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## PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of Green Bankshares, Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiaries are as follows:

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009.
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009.

Condensed Consolidated Statement of Changes in Shareholders Equity For the six months ended June 30, 2010.

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows For the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.
Exhibit 31.1
Exhibit 31.2
Exhibit 32.1
Exhibit 32.2
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## GREEN BANKSHARES, INC. <br> CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS <br> June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 <br> (Amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

|  | (Unaudited) <br> June 30, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | December 31, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 *}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| ASSETS |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | $\$ 06,412$ | $\$$ |
| Federal funds sold | 1,743 | 206,701 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 208,155 | 3,793 |
| Interest earning deposits in other banks | 1,000 | 210,494 |
| Securities available for sale | 176,121 | 11,000 |
| Securities held to maturity (with a market value of $\$ 625$ and $\$ 638)$ | 616 | 147,724 |
| Loans held for sale | 830 | 626 |
| Loans, net of unearned interest | $1,928,174$ | $2,043,807$ |
| Allowance for loan losses | $50,049)$ | $(50,161)$ |
| Other real estate owned and repossessed assets | 76,932 | 57,168 |
| Premises and equipment, net | 80,437 | 81,818 |
| FHLB and other stock, at cost | 12,734 | 12,734 |
| Cash surrender value of life insurance | 30,872 | 30,277 |
| Core deposit and other intangibles | 8,044 | 9,335 |
| Deferred tax asset | 12,913 | 13,600 |
| Other assets | 42,553 | 49,184 |

Total assets
\$ 2,529,332 \$ 2,619,139

## LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

Liabilities

| Non-interest bearing deposits | $\$ 170,396$ | $\$$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Interest bearing deposits | $1,820,044$ | $1,899,902$ |
| Brokered deposits | 1,399 | 6,584 |
| Total deposits | $1,991,839$ | $2,084,096$ |
|  |  |  |
| Repurchase agreements | 24,240 | 24,449 |
| FHLB advances and notes payable | 171,838 | 171,999 |
| Subordinated debentures | 88,662 | 88,662 |
| Accrued interest payable and other liabilities | 19,603 | 23,164 |

Total liabilities
\$ 2,296, 182 \$ 2,392,370

Shareholders equity
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See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.
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## GREEN BANKSHARES, INC. <br> CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009
(Amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Three Months Ended } \\ & \text { June 30, } \\ & 2010 \quad 2009 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Unaudited) |  |  |  | (Unaudited) |  |  |  |
| Interest income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest and fees on loans | \$ | 29,374 | \$ | 32,528 | \$ | 59,434 | \$ | 65,173 |
| Taxable securities |  | 1,391 |  | 1,843 |  | 2,679 |  | 4,063 |
| Nontaxable securities |  | 306 |  | 314 |  | 618 |  | 634 |
| FHLB and other stock |  | 134 |  | 135 |  | 272 |  | 285 |
| Federal funds sold and other |  | 99 |  | 36 |  | 193 |  | 81 |
| Total interest income |  | 31,304 |  | 34,856 |  | 63,196 |  | 70,236 |
| Interest expense |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits |  | 7,626 |  | 11,511 |  | 15,687 |  | 24,164 |
| Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements |  | 5 |  | 7 |  | 11 |  | 16 |
| FHLB advances and notes payable |  | 1,712 |  | 2,469 |  | 3,406 |  | 4,912 |
| Subordinated debentures |  | 488 |  | 689 |  | 960 |  | 1,535 |
| Total interest expense |  | 9,831 |  | 14,676 |  | 20,064 |  | 30,627 |
| Net interest income |  | 21,473 |  | 20,180 |  | 43,132 |  | 39,609 |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 4,749 |  | 24,384 |  | 8,638 |  | 25,369 |
| Net interest income (loss) after provision for loan losses |  | 16,724 |  | $(4,204)$ |  | 34,494 |  | 14,240 |
| Non-interest income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service charges on deposit accounts |  | 6,692 |  | 5,795 |  | 12,632 |  | 11,151 |
| Other charges and fees |  | 383 |  | 505 |  | 739 |  | 954 |
| Trust and investment services income |  | 757 |  | 489 |  | 1,339 |  | 877 |
| Mortgage banking income |  | 123 |  | 110 |  | 241 |  | 165 |
| Other income |  | 909 |  | 642 |  | 1,599 |  | 1,337 |
| Securities gains (losses), net |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other-than-temporary impairment |  | (553) |  | (960) |  | (553) |  | (960) |
| Less non-credit portion recognized in other comprehensive income |  | 460 |  | 227 |  | 460 |  | 227 |


| Total non-interest income | 8,771 | 6,808 | 16,457 | 13,751 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



1 Diluted weighted average shares outstanding exclude 105,734 and 92,420 restricted average shares for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009 because their impact would be anti-dilutive.

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

# GREEN BANKSHARES, INC. <br> CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY <br> For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 <br> (Unaudited) <br> (Amounts in thousands, except share and per share data) 



See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.
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# GREEN BANKSHARES, INC. <br> CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS <br> For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 <br> (Amounts in thousands, except share and per share data) 

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 30, } \\ & 2010 \\ & \text { (Una) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 30, } \\ & 2009 \\ & \text { lited) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash flows from operating activities |  |  |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 6,007 | \$ (145,370) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities |  |  |
| Provision for loan losses | 8,638 | 25,369 |
| Impairment of goodwill |  | 143,389 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 3,619 | 3,642 |
| Security amortization and accretion, net | 235 | 47 |
| Write down of investments and other securities for impairment | 93 | 524 |
| Net gain on sale of mortgage loans | (222) | (99) |
| Originations of mortgage loans held for sale | $(18,759)$ | $(21,582)$ |
| Proceeds from sales of mortgage loans | 19,685 | 18,489 |
| Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance | (595) | (574) |
| Net losses from sales of fixed assets | 5 | 35 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 316 | 350 |
| Net loss on other real estate and repossessed assets | 1,435 | 3,427 |
| Deferred tax benefit (expense) | (516) | 305 |
| Net changes: |  |  |
| Other assets | 6,631 | $(5,453)$ |
| Accrued interest payable and other liabilities | $(3,561)$ | (228) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 23,011 | 22,271 |
| Cash flows from investing activities |  |  |
| Purchase of securities available for sale | $(85,684)$ | $(45,873)$ |
| Proceeds from maturities of securities available for sale | 70,025 | 82,098 |
| Proceeds from maturities of securities held to maturity | 10 | 10 |
| Net change in loans | 77,775 | 19,980 |
| Proceeds from sale of other real estate | 8,357 | 3,110 |
| Improvements to other real estate | (450) |  |
| Proceeds from sale of fixed assets |  | 555 |
| Premises and equipment expenditures | (951) | $(2,865)$ |
| Net cash provided by investing activities | 69,082 | 57,015 |
| Cash flows from financing activities |  |  |
| Net change in core deposits | $(87,072)$ | 90,830 |
| Net change in brokered deposits | $(5,185)$ | $(248,255)$ |
| Net change in repurchase agreements | (209) | $(9,312)$ |
| Repayments of FHLB advances and notes payable | (161) | (195) |

Preferred stock dividends paid ..... $(1,805)$ ..... $(1,426)$
Common stock dividends paid ..... $(1,713)$
Net cash (used) in financing activities$(94,432) \quad(170,071)$
Net change in cash and cash equivalents ..... $(2,339)$ ..... $(90,785)$
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period ..... 210,494 ..... 198,358
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period ..... \$ 208,155 \$ 107,573
Supplemental disclosures cash and noncash
Interest paid ..... \$ 20,639 \$ 33,944
Income taxes paid ..... 1,610 ..... 1,675
Loans converted to other real estate ..... 30,879 ..... 34,193
Unrealized gain on available for sale securities, net of tax 1,863 ..... 481See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.
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## GREEN BANKSHARES, INC. <br> NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS <br> June 30, 2010 <br> Unaudited <br> (Amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

## NOTE 1 PRINCIPLES OF CONSOLIDATION

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of Green Bankshares, Inc. (the Company ) and its wholly owned subsidiary, GreenBank (the Bank ), have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim information and in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X as promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ). Accordingly, they do not include all the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2010. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. Certain amounts from prior period financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current year s presentation.

## NOTE 2 SECURITIES

Securities are summarized as follows:

| Available for Sale | Amortized Cost |  | Gross <br> Unrealized Gains |  | Gross <br> Unrealized Losses |  | Fair <br> Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | June 30, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. government agencies | \$ | 71,653 | \$ | 489 | \$ | (1) | \$ | 72,141 |
| States and political subdivisions |  | 31,034 |  | 1,054 |  | (348) |  | 31,740 |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations |  | 52,551 |  | 2,055 |  | (372) |  | 54,234 |
| Mortgage-backed securities |  | 15,504 |  | 650 |  | (1) |  | 16,153 |
| Trust preferred securities |  | 2,003 |  |  |  | (150) |  | 1,853 |
|  | \$ | 172,745 | \$ | 4,248 | \$ | (872) | \$ | 176,121 |
| December 31, 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. government agencies | \$ | 52,937 | \$ | 99 | \$ | (988) | \$ | 52,048 |
| States and political subdivisions |  | 31,764 |  | 877 |  | (449) |  | 32,192 |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations |  | 44,018 |  | 1,281 |  | (622) |  | 44,677 |
| Mortgage-backed securities |  | 16,607 |  | 291 |  | (6) |  | 16,892 |
| Trust preferred securities |  | 2,088 |  |  |  | (173) |  | 1,915 |
|  | \$ | 147,414 | \$ | 2,548 | \$ | $(2,238)$ | \$ | 147,724 |
| Held to Maturity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June 30, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| States and political subdivisions | \$ | 251 | \$ | 4 | \$ |  | \$ | 255 |
| Other securities |  | 365 |  | 5 |  |  |  | 370 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| States and political subdivisions <br> Other securities | $\$$ | 251 | $\$$ | 4 | $\$$ | $\$$ | 255 |
| 383 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 375 |  | 8 |  |  |  |
|  | $\$$ | 626 | $\$$ | 12 | $\$$ | $\$$ | 638 |
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# GREEN BANKSHARES, INC. <br> NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS <br> June 30, 2010 <br> Unaudited <br> (Amounts in thousands, except share and per share data) 

## NOTE 2 SECURITIES (Continued)

Contractual maturities of securities at June 30, 2010 are shown below. Securities not due at a single maturity date, collateralized mortgage obligations and mortgage-backed securities are shown separately.

|  |  | Available for |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sale <br> Fair |  |  |  | Fair <br> Value |  |
|  | Value |  | Amount |  |  |  |
| Due in one year or less | \$ |  | \$ | 566 | \$ | 575 |
| Due after one year through five years |  | 4,134 |  | 50 |  | 50 |
| Due after five years through ten years |  | 57,655 |  |  |  |  |
| Due after ten years |  | 43,945 |  |  |  |  |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations |  | 54,234 |  |  |  |  |
| Mortgage-backed securities |  | 16,153 |  |  |  |  |


| Total maturities | $\$$ | 176,121 | $\$$ | 616 | $\$$ | 625 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

There were no gross gains or (losses) recognized for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.
Securities with a carrying value of $\$ 138,342$ and $\$ 125,005$ at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, were pledged for public deposits and securities sold under agreements to repurchase and to the Federal Reserve Bank. The balance of pledged securities in excess of the pledging requirements was $\$ 6,182$ and $\$ 9,135$ at June 30,2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.
Securities with unrealized losses at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 not recognized in income are as follows:

|  | Less than 12 months |  |  |  | 12 months or more |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fair Value | Unrealized <br> Loss |  | Fair <br> Value |  | Unrealized Loss |  | Fair <br> Value |  | Unrealized Loss |  |
| June 30, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U. S. government agencies | \$ | 1,005 | \$ | (1) | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 1,005 | \$ | (1) |
| States and political subdivisions |  |  |  |  |  | 3,223 |  | (348) |  | 3,223 |  | (348) |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations |  | 2,458 |  | (13) |  | 3,320 |  | (359) |  | 5,778 |  | (372) |
| Mortgage-backed securities |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |  | (1) |  | 9 |  | (1) |
| Trust preferred securities |  |  |  |  |  | 1,853 |  | (150) |  | 1,853 |  | (150) |
| Total temporarily impaired | \$ | 3,463 | \$ | (14) | \$ | 8,405 | \$ | (858) | \$ | 11,868 | \$ | (872) |
| December 31, 2009U. S. government agencies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \$ | 40,959 | \$ | (988) | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 40,959 | \$ |  |
|  |  | 2,463 |  | (24) |  | 3,075 |  | (425) |  | 5,538 |  | (449) |

States and political subdivisions
Collateralized mortgage obligations
Mortgage-backed securities
Trust preferred securities
4,997
2,028
1,783

| $(32)$ | 3,222 |
| ---: | ---: |
| $(5)$ | 11 |
| $(122)$ | 132 |

\$ 52,230
\$
$(1,171) \quad \$ \quad 6,440$
\$ $(1,067) \quad \$ 58,670$
\$ $(2,238)$
(Continued)
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# GREEN BANKSHARES, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS June 30, 2010 <br> Unaudited <br> (Amounts in thousands, except share and per share data) 

## NOTE 2 SECURITIES (Continued)

The Company reviews its investment portfolio on a quarterly basis judging each investment for other-than-temporary impairment ( OTTI ). Management does not have the intent to sell any of the temporarily impaired investments and believes it is more likely than not that the Company will not have to sell any such securities before a recovery of cost. The OTTI analysis focuses on the duration and amount a security is below book value and assesses a calculation for both a credit loss and a non credit loss for each measured security considering the security s type, performance, underlying collateral, and any current or potential debt rating changes. The OTTI calculation for credit loss is reflected in the income statement while the non credit loss is reflected in other comprehensive income.
The Company holds a single issue trust preferred security issued by a privately held bank holding company. Based upon available but limited information we have estimated that the likelihood of collecting the security s principal and interest payments is approximately $45 \%$. In addition, the bank holding company deferred its interest payments beginning in the second quarter of 2009, and we have placed the security on non-accrual. The Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis entered into an agreement with the bank holding company on October 22, 2009 which was made public on October 30, 2009. Among other provisions of the regulatory agreement, the bank holding company must strengthen its management of operations, strengthen its credit risk management practices, and submit a capital plan. As of June 30, 2010 no other communications between the bank holding company and the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis have been made public.
The Company valued the security by projecting estimated cash flows given the assumption of collecting approximately $45 \%$ of the security s principal \& interest and then discounting the amount back to the present value using a discount rate of $3.50 \%$ plus three month LIBOR. As of June 30, 2010, our best estimate for the three month LIBOR over the next twenty-one years (the remaining life of the security) is $3.65 \%$. The difference in the present value and the carrying value of the security was the OTTI credit portion. Due to the illiquid trust preferred market for private issuers and the absence of a credible pricing source, we calculated a $15 \%$ illiquidity premium for the security to calculate the OTTI non credit portion. The security is currently booked at a fair value of $\$ 574$ at June 30, 2010 and during the six months ended June 30, 2010 the Company recognized a write-down of $\$ 75$ through non-interest income representing other-than-temporary impairment on the security.
The Company holds a private label class A21 collateralized mortgage obligation that was analyzed with multiple stress scenarios using conservative assumptions for underlying collateral defaults, loss severity, and prepayments. The average principal at risk given the stress scenarios was calculated at $6.11 \%$, and then analyzed using the present value of the future cash flows using the fixed rate of the security of $5.5 \%$ as the discount rate. The difference in the present value and the carrying value of the security was the OTTI credit portion. The security is currently booked at a fair value of $\$ 2,447$ at June 30, 2010 and during the six months ended June 30, 2010 the Company recognized a write-down of $\$ 18$ through non-interest income representing other-than-temporary impairment on the security.
The Company holds a private label class 2A1 collateralized mortgage obligation that was analyzed with multiple stress scenarios using conservative assumptions for underlying collateral defaults, loss severity, and prepayments. The average principal at risk given the stress scenarios was calculated at $0.0 \%$, and then analyzed using the present value of the future cash flows using the fixed rate of the security of $5.5 \%$ as the discount rate. The difference in the present value and the carrying value of the security was the OTTI credit portion. The security is currently booked at a fair value of $\$ 873$ at June 30, 2010 and during the six months ended June 30, 2010 the Company has not recognized a write-down through non-interest income representing other-than-temporary impairment on the security.
(Continued)
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## GREEN BANKSHARES, INC. <br> NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS <br> June 30, 2010 <br> Unaudited <br> (Amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

NOTE 2 SECURITIES (Continued)
The following table presents more detail on selective Company security holdings as of June 30, 2010. These details are listed separately due to the inherent level of risk for OTTI on these securities.

| Description | Cusip\# | Current <br> Credit <br> Rating | Book Value | Fair Value | Unrealized Loss | Present <br> Value Discounted Cash Flow |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wells Fargo 2007-4 A21 | 94985RAW2 | Caa2 | \$ 2,802 | \$ 2,447 | \$ (355) | \$ 2,802 |
| Wells Fargo 2005-5 2A1 | 94982MAE6 | B3 | 877 | 873 | (4) | 904 |
|  |  |  | \$ 3,679 | \$ 3,320 | \$ (359) | \$ 3,706 |
| Trust preferred securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West Tennessee Bancshares, Inc. | 956192AA6 | N/A | 675 | 574 | (101) | 675 |
|  |  |  | \$ 933 | \$ 773 | \$ (160) | \$ 934 |

The following table presents a roll-forward of the cumulative amount of credit losses on the Company s investment securities that have been recognized through earnings as of June 30, 2010. There was $\$ 93$ of credit losses on the Company s investment securities recognized in earnings for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010:

$$
\text { Beginning balance of credit losses at January 1, } 2010 \quad \$ 976
$$

Other-than-temporary impairment credit losses
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Ending balance of cumulative credit losses recognized in earnings } & \$ 1,069\end{array}$
(Continued)
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## GREEN BANKSHARES, INC. <br> NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS <br> June 30, 2010 <br> Unaudited <br> (Amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

## NOTE 3 LOANS

Loans at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 were as follows:

|  | June 30, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | December 31, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Commercial real estate | $\$ 1,222,460$ | $\$$ | $1,306,398$ |
| Residential real estate | 384,227 | 392,365 |  |
| Commercial | 255,223 | 274,346 |  |
| Consumer | 78,421 | 83,382 |  |
| Other | 2,581 | 2,117 |  |
| Unearned income | $(14,738)$ | $(14,801)$ |  |
| Loans, net of unearned income | $\$ 1,928,174$ | $\$$ | $2,043,807$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Allowance for loan losses | $\$$ | $(50,049)$ | $\$$ |

The following table presents the Company s total loan portfolio based upon the primary purpose of the loan:

|  | June 30, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | December 31, <br> 2009 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Speculative 1-4 family residential real estate: |  |  |
| Acquisition and development | $\$ 176,152$ | $\$$ |
| Lot warehouse | 52,520 | 185,087 |
| Commercial 1-4 family residential | 49,539 | 66,104 |
|  |  | 70,434 |
| Sub-total | 278,211 | 321,625 |
|  |  |  |
| Construction: |  |  |
| Commercial vacant land | 100,163 | 101,679 |
| Commercial construction |  | 123,330 |
| Commercial constructionowner occupied | 6,519 | 28,287 |
| Consumer residential construction | 13,511 | 19,073 |
|  |  |  |
| Sub-total | 243,523 | 313,852 |
|  |  |  |
| Total speculative and construction | 521,734 | 635,477 |
| Non-owner occupied commercial real estate | 434,238 | 397,028 |
| Owner occupied commercial real estate | 242,690 | 255,819 |
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| Commercial and industrial loans | 267,721 | 282,493 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Home equity lines of credit | 182,322 | 170,818 |
| Consumer mortgages | 177,598 | 191,332 |
| Farmland | 19,124 | 25,117 |
| Agriculture | 8,902 | 8,841 |
| Consumer | 88,583 | 91,683 |
| Unearned income | $(14,738)$ | $(14,801)$ |
|  |  |  |
| Loans, net of unearned income | $\$ 1,928,174$ | $\$$ |

## (Continued)
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# GREEN BANKSHARES, INC. <br> NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS 

June 30, 2010
Unaudited
(Amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)
NOTE 3 LOANS (Continued)
Transactions in the allowance for loan losses and certain information about nonaccrual loans and loans 90 days past due but still accruing interest for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and twelve months ended December 31, 2009 were as follows:

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance at beginning of year | \$ | 50,161 | \$ | 48,811 |
| Add (deduct): |  |  |  |  |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 8,638 |  | 50,246 |
| Loans charged off |  | $(10,049)$ |  | $(54,890)$ |
| Recoveries of loans charged off |  | 1,299 |  | 5,994 |
| Ending balance | \$ | 50,049 | \$ | 50,161 |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } 30, \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sber 31, } \\ & \mathbf{0 y} \end{aligned}$ |
| Impaired loans were as follows: |  |  |  |  |
| Loans with no allowance allocated | \$ | 108,121 | \$ | 89,292 |
| Loans with allowance allocated |  | 20,488 |  | 25,946 |
| Amount of allowance allocated |  | 4,291 |  | 5,737 |
| Nonperforming loans were as follows: |  |  |  |  |
| Loans past due 90 days still on accrual | \$ | 641 | \$ | 147 |
| Nonaccrual loans |  | 64,342 |  | 75,411 |
| Total | \$ | 64,983 | \$ | 75,558 |

Impaired loans with no allowance allocated of \$108,121 and \$89,292 at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 are shown net of amounts previously charged off of $\$ 16,578$ and $\$ 27,937$, respectively.
(Continued)
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Basic earnings per share ( EPS ) of common stock is computed by dividing net income available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share of common stock is computed by dividing net income available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares and potential common shares outstanding during the period. Stock options, warrants and restricted common shares are regarded as potential common shares. Potential common shares are computed using the treasury stock method. For the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2010 1,017,645 options and warrants are excluded from the effect of dilutive securities because they are anti-dilutive; $1,059,947$ options are similarly excluded from the effect of dilutive securities for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009.

The following is a reconciliation of the numerators and denominators used in the basic and diluted earnings per share computations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009:

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |
| Basic Earnings (Loss) Per Common Share |  |  |  |  |
| Net income (loss) | \$ | 2,811 |  | $(150,150)$ |
| Less: preferred stock dividends and accretion of discount on warrants |  | 1,250 |  | 1,250 |
| Net income (loss) available to common shareholders | \$ | 1,561 | \$ | $(151,400)$ |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding |  | 13,097,611 |  | 13,070,216 |
| Basic earnings (loss) per share available to common shareholders | \$ | 0.12 | \$ | (11.58) |
| Diluted Earnings (Loss) Per Common Share |  |  |  |  |
| Net income (loss) | \$ | 2,811 | \$ | $(150,150)$ |
| Less: preferred stock dividends and accretion of discount on warrants |  | 1,250 |  | 1,250 |
| Net income (loss) available to common shareholders | \$ | 1,561 | \$ | $(151,400)$ |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding |  | 13,097,611 |  | 13,070,216 |
| Add: Dilutive effects of assumed conversions of restricted stock and exercises of stock options and warrants ${ }^{1}$ |  | 60,520 |  |  |

Weighted average common and dilutive potential common shares outstanding

Diluted earnings (loss) per share available to common shareholders $\quad \$ \quad 0.12 \quad \$ \quad$ (11.58)

1 Diluted
weighted
average shares
outstanding
exclude 105,734
restricted
average shares
for the three
month period
ended June 30,
2009 because
their impact
would be
anti-dilutive.
(Continued)
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Weighted average common shares outstanding

Basic earnings (loss) per share available to common shareholders

Diluted Earnings (Loss) Per Common Share

| Net income (loss) | $\$$ | 6,007 | $\$$ | $(145,370)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Less: preferred stock dividends and accretion of discount on warrants |  | 2,500 |  |  |
| Net income (loss) available to common shareholders | $\$$ | 3,507 | $\$$ | $(147,852)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | $13,090,021$ | $13,066,569$ |  |  |

Add: Dilutive effects of assumed conversions of restricted stock and exercises
of stock options and warrants ${ }^{1}$

Weighted average common and dilutive potential common shares outstanding
13,148,226 13,066,569

Diluted earnings (loss) per share available to common shareholders
\$ 0.27 \$
(11.32)

1 Diluted weighted average shares outstanding exclude 92,420
restricted
average shares
for the six
month period
ended June 30,
2009 because
their impact
would be
anti-dilutive.
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## NOTE 5 SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company s operating segments include banking, mortgage banking, consumer finance, automobile lending and title insurance. The reportable segments are determined by the products and services offered, and internal reporting. Loans, investments and deposits provide the revenues in the banking operation; loans and fees provide the revenues in consumer finance and mortgage banking and insurance commissions provide revenues for the title insurance company. Consumer finance, automobile lending and title insurance do not meet the quantitative threshold on an individual basis, and are therefore shown below in Other Segments . Mortgage banking operations are included in
Bank. All operations are domestic.
Segment performance is evaluated using net interest income and non-interest income. Income taxes are allocated based on income before income taxes, and indirect expenses (includes management fees) are allocated based on time spent for each segment. Transactions among segments are made at fair value. Information reported internally for performance assessment follows.

| Three months ended June 30, 2010 | Bank |  | Other Segments |  | Holding Company |  | Eliminations |  | Totals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net interest income (expense) | \$ | 19,859 | \$ | 2,103 | \$ | (489) | \$ |  | \$ | 21,473 |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 4,439 |  | 310 |  |  |  |  |  | 4,749 |
| Noninterest income |  | 8,529 |  | 417 |  | 52 |  | (227) |  | 8,771 |
| Noninterest expense |  | 19,948 |  | 1,141 |  | 412 |  | (227) |  | 21,274 |
| Income tax expense (benefit) |  | 1,287 |  | 418 |  | (295) |  |  |  | 1,410 |
| Segment profit (loss) |  | 2,714 | \$ | 651 | \$ | (554) | \$ |  | \$ | 2,811 |
| Segment assets at June 30, 2010 |  | 2,477,386 | \$ | 42,234 | \$ | 9,712 | \$ |  |  | 529,332 |


| Three months ended June 30, 2009 | Bank |  | Other <br> Segments |  | Holding <br> Company |  | Eliminations |  | Totals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net interest income (expense) |  | \$ 18,683 | \$ | 2,185 | \$ | (688) |  | \$ | \$ | 20,180 |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 23,645 |  | 739 |  |  |  |  |  | 24,384 |
| Noninterest income |  | 7,204 |  | 540 |  | 24 |  | (227) |  | 7,541 |
| Noninterest expense |  | 167,643 |  | 1,232 |  | 495 |  | (227) |  | 169,143 |
| Income tax expense (benefit) |  | $(15,526)$ |  | 298 |  | (428) |  |  |  | $(15,656)$ |
| Segment profit (loss) |  | $(149,875)$ | \$ | 456 | \$ | (731) |  | \$ | \$ | $(150,150)$ |
| Segment assets at June 30, 2009 |  | \$ 2,577,886 | \$ | 42,282 | \$ | 9,666 |  | \$ |  | 2,629,834 |
|  |  |  |  | her |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Six months ended June 30, 2010 <br> Net interest income (expense) | \$ | Bank $39.927$ | ${ }_{\$}^{\text {Seg }}$ | 4,166 | \$ | (961) | \$ | Eliminations | \$ | Totals <br> 43,132 |


| Provision for loan losses | 7,795 | 843 |  |  | 8,638 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Noninterest income | 16,057 | 788 | 66 | $(454)$ | 16,457 |  |
| Noninterest expense | 39,417 | 2,256 | 601 | $(454)$ | 41,820 |  |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | 2,915 | 727 | $(518)$ |  | 3,124 |  |
|  |  | 5,857 | $\$$ | 1,128 | $\$$ | $(978)$ |
| Segment profit (loss) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Six months ended June 30, 2009 | Bank |  | Other Segments |  | Holding <br> Company |  | Eliminations |  | Totals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net interest income (expense) | \$ | 36,893 | \$ | 4,251 | \$ | $(1,535)$ | \$ |  | \$ | 39,609 |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 23,986 |  | 1,383 |  |  |  |  |  | 25,369 |
| Noninterest income |  | 13,801 |  | 989 |  | 149 |  | (455) |  | 14,484 |
| Noninterest expense |  | 183,885 |  | 2,471 |  | 1,073 |  | (455) |  | 186,974 |
| Income tax expense (benefit) |  | $(12,510)$ |  | 545 |  | (915) |  |  |  | $(12,880)$ |
| Segment profit (loss) |  | $(144,667)$ | \$ | 841 | \$ | $(1,544)$ | \$ |  | \$ | $(145,370)$ |
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As of and for the period ended June 30, 2010
Nonperforming loans as a percentage of total loans, net of unearned income
Nonperforming assets as a percentage of total assets
Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of total loans, net of unearned income
Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of nonperforming loans
YTD net charge-offs to average total loans, net of unearned income

As of and for the period ended June 30, 2009
Nonperforming loans as a percentage of total loans, net of unearned income
Nonperforming assets as a percentage of total assets
Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of total loans, net of unearned income
Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of nonperforming loans YTD net charge-offs to average total loans, net of unearned income

As of and for the year ended December 31, 2009
Nonperforming loans as a percentage of total loans, net of unearned income
Nonperforming assets as a percentage of total assets
Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of total loans, net of unearned income
Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of nonperforming loans
Net charge-offs to average total loans, net of unearned income

## Net charge-offs

For the six month period ended June 30, 2010
For the six month period ended June 30, 2009
For the year ended December 31, 2009

Bank
Other

| $3.37 \%$ | $1.28 \%$ | $3.37 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $5.59 \%$ | $1.38 \%$ | $5.61 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
| $2.44 \%$ | $7.89 \%$ | $2.60 \%$ |
| $72.35 \%$ | $616.49 \%$ | $77.02 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
| $0.40 \%$ | $2.09 \%$ | $0.44 \%$ |

Total

| $4.33 \%$ | $1.89 \%$ | $4.34 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $4.88 \%$ | $2.00 \%$ | $4.91 \%$ |
| $2.15 \%$ | $8.11 \%$ | $2.30 \%$ |
| $49.70 \%$ | $428.99 \%$ | $52.96 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
| $1.04 \%$ | $2.71 \%$ | $1.08 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
| Bank | Other | Total |


| $3.69 \%$ | $1.50 \%$ | $3.70 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $5.04 \%$ | $2.02 \%$ | $5.07 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
| $2.30 \%$ | $8.05 \%$ | $2.45 \%$ |
| $62.29 \%$ | $538.31 \%$ | $66.39 \%$ |
| $2.15 \%$ | $5.88 \%$ | $2.25 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
| Bank | Other | Total |


| $\$$ | 7,847 | $\$$ | 903 | $\$$ | 8,750 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$$ | 22,893 | $\$$ | 1,130 | $\$$ | 24,023 |
| $\$$ | 46,394 | $\$$ | 2,502 | $\$$ | 48,896 |
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## NOTE 6 FAIR VALUE DISCLOSURES

Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ( GAAP ), also establishes a fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The standard describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

## Level 1

Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level 1 assets and liabilities include debt and equity securities and derivative contracts that are traded in an active exchange market, as well as certain U.S. Treasury, other U.S. Government and agency mortgage-backed debt securities that are highly liquid and are actively traded in over-the-counter markets.

## Level 2

Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities. Level 2 assets and liabilities include debt securities with quoted prices that are traded less frequently than exchange-traded instruments and derivative contracts whose value is determined using a pricing model with inputs that are observable in the market or can be derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data. This category generally includes certain U.S. Government and agency mortgage-backed debt securities, corporate debt securities, derivative contracts and residential mortgage loans held-for-sale.

## Level 3

Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities. Level 3 assets and liabilities include financial instruments whose value is determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies, or similar techniques, as well as instruments for which the determination of fair value requires significant management judgment or estimation. This category generally includes certain private equity investments, retained residual interests in securitizations, residential mortgage servicing rights, and highly structured or long-term derivative contracts.
Following is a description of valuation methodologies used for assets and liabilities recorded at fair value.
Investment Securities Available-for-Sale
Investment securities available-for-sale are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. Fair value measurement is based upon quoted prices of like or similar securities, if available and these securities are classified as Level 1 or Level 2. If quoted prices are not available, fair values are measured using independent pricing models or other model-based valuation techniques such as the present value of future cash flows, adjusted for the security s credit rating, prepayment assumptions and other factors such as credit loss assumptions and are classified as Level 3.
Loans Held for Sale
Loans held for sale are carried at the lower of cost or market value. The fair value of loans held for sale is based on what secondary markets are currently offering for portfolios with similar characteristics. As such, the Company classifies loans held for sale subjected to nonrecurring fair value adjustments as Level 2.
(Continued)
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Impaired Loans
The Company does not record loans at fair value on a recurring basis. However, from time to time, a loan is considered impaired and an allowance for loan losses is established. Loans for which it is probable that payment of interest and principal will not be made in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan agreement are considered impaired. Once a loan is identified as individually impaired, management measures impairment in accordance with GAAP. The fair value of impaired loans is estimated using one of several methods, including collateral value, market value of similar debt, enterprise value, liquidation value, and discounted cash flows. Those impaired loans not requiring an allowance represent loans for which the fair value of the expected repayments or collateral exceed the recorded investments in such loans. At June 30, 2010, substantially all of the total impaired loans were evaluated based on either the fair value of the collateral or its liquidation value. In accordance with GAAP, impaired loans where an allowance is established based on the fair value of collateral require classification in the fair value hierarchy. When the fair value of the collateral is based on an observable market price or a current appraised value, the Company records the impaired loan as nonrecurring Level 2. When an appraised value is not available or management determines the fair value of the collateral is further impaired below the appraised value and there is no observable market price, the Company records the impaired loan as nonrecurring Level 3.
Other Real Estate
Other real estate, consisting of properties obtained through foreclosure or in satisfaction of loans, is reported at fair value, determined on the basis of current appraisals, comparable sales, and other estimates of value obtained principally from independent sources, adjusted for estimated selling costs. At the time of foreclosure, any excess of the loan balance over the fair value of the real estate held as collateral is treated as a charge against the allowance for loan losses. Gains or losses on sale and any subsequent adjustments to the value are recorded as a component of foreclosed real estate expense. Other real estate is included in Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy.
(Continued)
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Level 3 Valuations
Financial instruments are considered Level 3 when their values are determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies or similar techniques and at least one significant model assumption or input is unobservable. Level 3 financial instruments also include those for which the determination of fair value requires significant management judgment or estimation.
Currently the Company has one trust preferred security that is considered Level 3. For more information on this security please refer to Note 2 Securities.
(Continued)
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The following table shows a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances for assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs.

|  | June 30, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | December 31, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance, beginning of period <br> Total gains or (loss) (realized/unrealized) | $\$$ | 638 | $\$$ |  |
| Included in earnings <br> Included in other comprehensive income <br> Paydowns and maturities <br> Transfers into Level 3 |  | $(75)$ | $(7112)$ |  |
| Balance, end of period | $\$$ | 574 | $\$$ | 638 |

## Assets and Liabilities Recorded at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis

The Company may be required, from time to time, to measure certain assets at fair value on a nonrecurring basis in accordance with GAAP. These include assets that are measured at the lower of cost or market that were recognized at fair value below cost at the end of the period. Assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis are included in the table below.
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The carrying value and estimated fair value of the Company s financial instruments are as follows at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

|  | June 30, 2010 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Carrying Value | Fair Value | Carrying Value | Fair Value |
| Financial assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 209,155 | 209,155 | \$ 221,494 | \$ 221,494 |
| Securities available for sale | 176,121 | 176,121 | 147,724 | 147,724 |
| Securities held to maturity | 616 | 625 | 626 | 638 |
| Loans held for sale | 830 | 842 | 1,533 | 1,552 |
| Loans, net | 1,878,125 | 1,839,401 | 1,993,646 | 1,950,684 |
| FHLB and other stock | 12,734 | 12,734 | 12,734 | 12,734 |
| Cash surrender value of life insurance | 30,872 | 30,872 | 30,277 | 30,277 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 8,839 | 8,839 | 9,130 | 9,130 |
| Financial liabilities: |  |  |  |  |
| Deposit accounts | \$ 1,991,839 | \$ 1,999,337 | \$ 2,084,096 | \$ 2,095,611 |
| Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements | 24,240 | 24,240 | 24,449 | 24,449 |
| FHLB Advances and notes payable | 171,838 | 181,150 | 171,999 | 176,602 |
| Subordinated debentures | 88,662 | 71,349 | 88,662 | 70,527 |
| Accrued interest payable | 1,986 | 1,986 | 2,561 | 2,561 |

NOTE 7 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS
Management evaluated subsequent events through the date the financial statements were issued. Material events or transactions occurring after June 30, 2010 but prior to issuance that provided additional evidence about conditions that existed at June 30, 2010 have been recognized in the financial statements for the period ended June 30, 2010. Events or transactions that provided evidence about conditions that did not exist at June 30, 2010 but arose before the financial statements were issued have not been recognized in the financial statements for the period ended June 30, 2010.

## ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis provides information that management believes is relevant to an assessment and understanding of the Company s consolidated results of operations and financial condition. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the (i) condensed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto in this Form 10-Q and (ii) the financial statements and the notes thereto included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 (the 2009 10-K ). Except for specific historical information, many of the matters discussed in this Form 10-Q may express or imply projections of revenues or expenditures, plans and objectives for future operations, growth or initiatives, expected future economic performance, or the expected outcome or impact of pending or threatened litigation. These and similar statements regarding events or results which the Company expects will or may occur in the future, are forward-looking statements that involve risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause actual results and performance of the Company to differ materially from those expressed or implied by those statements. All forward-looking information is provided pursuant to the safe harbor established under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and should be evaluated in the context of these risks, uncertainties and other factors. Forward-looking statements, which are based on assumptions and estimates and describe our future plans, strategies and expectations, are generally identifiable by the use of forward-looking terminology and words such as trends, assumptions, target, guidance, outlook, opportunity, future, plans, expectations, near-term, long-term, projection, may, will, would, could, expect, intend, believe, potential, regular, or continue (or the negative or other derivatives of each of these terms) or sim terminology and expressions.
Although the Company believes that the assumptions underlying any forward-looking statements are reasonable, any of the assumptions could be inaccurate, and therefore, actual results may differ materially from those projected in or implied by the forward-looking statements. Factors and risks that may result in actual results differing from this forward-looking information include, but are not limited to, those contained in the 2009 10-K in Part I, Item 1A thereof and (1) deterioration in the financial condition of borrowers resulting in significant increases in loan losses and provisions for those losses; (2) continuation of the historically low short-term interest rate environment; (3) changes in loan underwriting, credit review or loss reserve policies associated with economic conditions, examination conclusions, or regulatory developments; (4) increased levels of non-performing and repossessed assets and the ability to resolve these may result in future losses; (5) greater than anticipated deterioration or lack of sustained growth in the national or local economies; (6) rapid fluctuations or unanticipated changes in interest rates; (7) the impact of governmental restrictions on entities participating in the Capital Purchase Program of the United States Department of the Treasury; (8) changes in state and federal legislation, regulations or policies applicable to banks or other financial service providers, including regulatory or legislative developments arising out of current unsettled conditions in the economy including implementation of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act; (9) the results of regulatory examinations; (10) increased competition with other financial institutions in the markets that GreenBank (the Bank ) serves; (11) exploring alternatives available for the future repayment of TARP as economic conditions begin to improve; and (12) the loss of key personnel, as well as other factors discussed throughout this document, including, without limitation the factors described under Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates on page 24 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, or from time to time, in the Company s filings with the SEC, press releases and other communications.
Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements made in this document, since the statements speak only as of the document s date. All forward-looking statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements in this section and to the more detailed risk factors included in the Company s 2009 10-K. The Company has no obligation and does not intend to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements contained in or incorporated by reference into this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, to reflect events or circumstances occurring after the date of this document or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events. Readers are advised, however, to consult any further disclosures the Company may make on related subjects in its documents filed with or furnished to
the SEC or in its other public disclosures.
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Green Bankshares, Inc. (the Company ) is the bank holding company for the Bank, a Tennessee-chartered commercial bank that conducts the principal business of the Company. The Company is the third largest bank holding company headquartered in Tennessee based on asset size at June 30, 2010 and at that date was also the second largest NASDAQ-listed bank holding company headquartered in Tennessee. The Bank currently maintains a main office in Greeneville, Tennessee and 64 full-service bank branches primarily in East and Middle Tennessee. In addition to its commercial banking operations, the Bank conducts separate businesses through its three wholly-owned subsidiaries: Superior Financial Services, Inc. ( Superior Financial ), a consumer finance company; GCB Acceptance Corporation ( GCB Acceptance ), an automobile lending company; and Fairway Title Co., a title company. The Bank also operates a wealth management office in Sumner County, Tennessee, and a mortgage banking operation in Knox County, Tennessee. All dollar amounts reported or discussed in Part I, Item 2 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q are shown in thousands, except share and per share amounts.

## Growth and Business Strategy

The Company expects that over the short term, given the current economic environment, there will be little to no growth until this recessionary environment stabilizes and the economy begins to improve.
Over the intermediate term, defined as over the next 24 to 48 months, we believe our growth from in-market mergers and acquisitions including acquisitions of both entire financial institutions and selected branches of financial institutions, is expected to continue. De novo branching is also expected to be a method of growth, particularly in high-growth and other demographically-desirable markets.
The Company s long-term strategic plan outlines geographic expansion within a 300 -mile radius of its headquarters in Greene County, Tennessee. This could result in the Company expanding westward and eastward up to and including Nashville, Tennessee and Roanoke, Virginia, respectively, east/southeast up to and including the Piedmont area of North Carolina and western North Carolina, southward to northern Georgia and northward into eastern and central Kentucky. In particular, the Company believes the markets in and around Knoxville, Nashville and Chattanooga, Tennessee are highly desirable areas with respect to expansion and growth plans.
The Bank focuses its lending efforts predominately on individuals and small to medium-sized businesses while it generates deposits primarily from individuals in its local communities. To aid in deposit generation efforts, the Bank offers its customers extended hours of operation during the week as well as Saturday and Sunday banking in many of its markets. The Bank also offers free online banking along with its High Performance Checking Program which since its inception has generated a significant number of core transaction accounts.
In addition to the Company s business model, which is summarized in the paragraphs above and the Company s 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K, the Company is continuously investigating and analyzing other lines and areas of business. Conversely, the Company frequently evaluates and analyzes the profitability, risk factors and viability of its various business lines and segments and, depending upon the results of these evaluations and analyses, may conclude to exit certain segments and/or business lines. Further, in conjunction with these ongoing evaluations and analyses, the Company may decide to sell, merge or close certain branch facilities.

## Overview

The Company s results of operations for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2010, before dividend and related costs of $\$ 1,250$ and $\$ 2,500$, respectively associated with the issuance of Preferred Stock to the U.S. Treasury, increased $\$ 153$ million and $\$ 151.4$ million or $\$ 15.5$ million and $\$ 13.9$ million compared to the same periods in 2009 after adjusting for the non-cash, non-recurring, pre-tax goodwill impairment charge of $\$ 143.4$ million which occurred in the quarter ended June 30, 2009 (See reconciliation of non-GAAP measures table below). The year over year increase was primarily driven by lower loan loss provisioning expense coupled with improving net interest income and non-interest income further bolstered by declining operating expenses.
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GREEN BANKSHARES, INC. Reconciliation of Non-GAAP Measures (Dollars in thousands)

\left.|  | Three Months Ended |  |  | Six Months Ended |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 30, |  |  |  |  |$\right)$

The above table presents computations and other financial information excluding the goodwill impairment charge incurred by the Company in the second quarter of 2009 (non-GAAP). The goodwill impairment charge is included in the financial results presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). The Company believes that the exclusion of goodwill impairment in expressing net operating income (loss), operating expenses and earnings (loss) per share data provides a more meaningful base for period to period comparisons which will assist investors in analyzing the operating results of the Company and predicting operating performance. The Company utilizes these non-GAAP financial measures to compare the operating performance with comparable periods in prior years and with internally prepared projections. Non-GAAP financial measures have inherent limitations, are not required to be uniformly applied and are not audited. To mitigate these limitations, the Company has policies in place to address goodwill impairment from other normal operating expenses to ensure that the Company s operating results are properly reflected for period to period comparisons.
Net charge-offs for the current quarter totaled $\$ 4,868$ compared with $\$ 3,882$ during the first quarter of 2010 and $\$ 23,281$ during the second quarter of 2009 when net charge-off levels peaked as the recession was deepening. During the third quarter of 2009 , net charge-offs totaled $\$ 18,436$ and in the fourth quarter of 2009 net charge-offs amounted to $\$ 6,437$. Non-performing assets were $\$ 141,915$ at June 30,2010 compared with $\$ 132,726$ at year end 2009 and $\$ 129,177$ at June 30, 2009. During this time period, the Company s loan loss reserve to outstanding loans increased from $2.30 \%$ at June 30, 2009 to $2.60 \%$ at June 30, 2010. The Company s provision for loan losses totaled $\$ 4,749$ for the three months ended June 30,2010 compared with $\$ 3,889$ for the first quarter of 2010 and $\$ 24,384$ during the second quarter of 2009.
At June 30, 2010, the Company had total consolidated assets of $\$ 2,529,332$, total consolidated deposits of $\$ 1,991,839$, total consolidated loans, net of unearned income, of $\$ 1,928,174$ and total consolidated shareholders equity of $\$ 233,150$ compared to $\$ 2,619,139, \$ 2,084,096, \$ 2,043,807$, and 226,769 , respectively, at December 31, 2009. The

Company s annualized return on average common shareholders equity for the three months ended June 30, 2010 was $3.76 \%$ and its annualized return on average total assets was $0.25 \%$.
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## Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The Company s consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reported periods.
Management continually evaluates the Company s accounting policies and estimates it uses to prepare the consolidated financial statements. In general, management s estimates are based on historical experience, information from regulators and third party professionals and various assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the existing facts and circumstances. Actual results could differ from those estimates made by management.
The Company believes its critical accounting policies and estimates include the valuation of the allowance for loan losses and the fair value of financial instruments and other real estate owned. Based on management s calculation, an allowance of $\$ 50,049$, or $2.60 \%$ of total loans, net of unearned income, was an adequate estimate of losses inherent in the loan portfolio as of June 30, 2010. This estimate resulted in a provision for loan losses in the income statement of $\$ 4,749$ and $\$ 8,638$, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010. If the economic conditions, loan mix and amount of future charge-off percentages differ significantly from those assumptions used by management in making its determination, the allowance for loan losses and provision for loan losses on the income statement could be materially affected.
The consolidated financial statements include certain accounting disclosures that require management to make estimates about fair values. Independent third party valuations are used for securities available for sale and securities held to maturity as well as acquisition purchase accounting adjustments. Estimates of fair value are used in the accounting for loans held for sale, goodwill and other intangible assets. Estimates of fair values are used in disclosures regarding stock compensation, commitments, and the fair values of financial instruments. Fair values are estimated using relevant market information and other assumptions such as interest rates, credit risk, prepayments and other factors. The fair values of financial instruments are subject to change as influenced by market conditions.

## Changes in Results of Operations

Net Income. Net operating income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 was $\$ 1,561$ and $\$ 3,507$, as compared to a net operating loss of $\$ 151,400$ and $\$ 147,852$ or $\$ 13,986$ and $\$ 10,438$ after adjusting for the non-cash net of tax goodwill charge of $\$ 137,414$ (see non-GAAP reconciliation table) for the same period in 2009. The increase of $\$ 15,547$ (see non-GAAP reconciliation table) from the second quarter of 2009 resulted primarily from a reduction in provision for loan losses of $\$ 19,635$ as the Company experienced a reduction in loan loss charge-offs and a decline in non-interest expense of $\$ 3,747$ primarily from the reduction in OREO expenses. These were coupled with increases of $\$ 1,293$ in net interest income and $\$ 1,963$ in total non-interest income. Second quarter 2010 net interest income totaled $\$ 21,473$ compared with $\$ 20,180$ during the prior year period. The increase in net interest income was principally a result of a widening in the net interest margin as the Company re-priced interest-bearing liabilities in a lower market rate environment while also maintaining a disciplined approach to loan pricing. The net interest margin widened from $3.43 \%$ in the second quarter of 2009 to $3.86 \%$ for the comparable 2010 quarter, which was slightly lower than the $3.90 \%$ experienced in the first quarter of 2010 . Non-interest income increased by $\$ 1,963$ from the second quarter of last year and totaled $\$ 8,771$ for the 2010 second quarter. The increase was principally the result of a $\$ 897$ improvement in deposit service charge income driven by the continued success of the Company s High Performance Checking product and the increased number of net new checking accounts opened. Further contributing to this increase were lower other-than-temporary impairment charges of $\$ 640$ and higher revenues associated with annuity sales in the Company s Wealth Management Division along with additional mortgage banking income stimulated by the continuance of the U.S. Government s first-time homeowners buying program. Total non-interest expenses amounted to $\$ 21,274$ during the quarter compared with $\$ 25,021$ after adjusting for the non-cash, pre-tax goodwill impairment charge of $\$ 143,389$ (see non-GAAP reconciliation table) during the same period for the prior year. The principal improvements in non-interest expense driving this decrease, compared to the same period a year ago, were lower OREO expenses of $\$ 2,180$ and lower FDIC insurance expenses of $\$ 1,341$.
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Net Interest Income. The largest source of earnings for the Company is net interest income, which is the difference between interest income on earning assets and interest expense on deposits and other interest-bearing liabilities. The primary factors which affect net interest income are changes in volume and rates on interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, which are affected in part by management s responses to changes in interest rates through asset/liability management. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, net interest income was $\$ 21,473$ and $\$ 43,132$, as compared to $\$ 20,180$ and $\$ 39,609$ for the same periods in 2009, representing an increase of $6 \%$ and $9 \%$, respectively. This increase of $\$ 1,293$ in net interest income resulted primarily from the increase of the net interest margin driven primarily by the reduction of interest rates on interest-bearing liabilities.
The Company s average balance for interest-earning assets decreased $6 \%$ from $\$ 2,382,377$ for the three months ended June 30, 2009 to $\$ 2,248,240$ for the three months ended June 30, 2010. The primary reasons for the decline in interest-earning assets were the movement of loans to non-performing assets as the recession continued coupled with credit worthy borrowers reducing their aggregate loan balances.
The Company s average balance for interest-bearing liabilities decreased $4 \%$ from $\$ 2,232,953$ for the three months ended June 30, 2009 to $\$ 2,136,794$ for the three months ended June 30, 2010 as the Company reduced its reliance on short-term borrowings and brokered deposits while focusing on building core deposit levels throughout its branch network.
The Company s yield on loans (the largest component of interest-earning assets) increased by 8 basis points from the second quarter of 2009 to the second quarter of 2010 principally due to the establishment of interest rate floors on loans originated since 2008. Approximately one-half of the Company s loan portfolio is set at variable rates and would have continued to negatively impact net interest income, if interest rate floors had not been established, as indicated in the table below. The FOMC has maintained interest rates at historically low levels since December 16, 2008.

FOMC Meeting
Date
January 22, 2008
January 30, 2008
March 18, 2008
April 30, 2008
June 25, 2008
August 6, 2008
September 16, 2008
September 29, 2008
October 7, 2008
October 29, 2008
December 16, 2008
January 28, 2009
March 17, 2009
April 30, 2009
June 25, 2009
August 12, 2009
September 23, 2009
November 4, 2009
December 16, 2009
January 27, 2010
March 16, 2010
April 28, 2010
June 23, 2010
The Company s
Beginning
Rate
$4.25 \%$
$3.50 \%$
$3.00 \%$
$2.25 \%$
$2.00 \%$
$2.00 \%$
$2.00 \%$
$2.00 \%$
$2.00 \%$
$1.50 \%$
$1.00 \%$
$0.00 \%-0.25 \%$
$0.00 \%-0.25 \%$
$0.00 \%-0.25 \%$
$0.00 \%-0.25 \%$
$0.00 \%-0.25 \%$
$0.00 \%-0.25 \%$
$0.00 \%-0.25 \%$
$0.00 \%-0.25 \%$
$0.00 \%-0.25 \%$
$0.00 \%-0.25 \%$
$0.00 \%-0.25 \%$
$0.00 \%-0.25 \%$

Increase/
Decrease

$$
(0.75 \%)
$$

$$
(0.50 \%)
$$

$$
(0.75 \%)
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
(0.75 \%) & 2.25 \% \\
(0.25 \%) & 2.00 \%
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2.00 \% \\
& 2.00 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
0.00 \% \quad 2.00 \%
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
0.00 \% & 2.00 \% \\
0.00 \% & 2.00 \%
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
0.0070 & 2.00 \% \\
0.00 \% &
\end{array}
$$

$$
(0.50 \%) \quad 1.50 \%
$$

$$
(0.75 \%)-(1.00 \%)
$$

$$
\text { (0.50\%) } \quad 1.00 \%
$$

$$
0.00 \%-0.25 \%
$$

$$
0.00 \% \quad 0.00 \%-0.25 \%
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
0.00 \% & 0.00 \%-0.25 \% \\
0.00 \% & 0.00 \%-0.25 \%
\end{array}
$$

$$
0.00 \% \quad 0.00 \%-0.25 \%
$$

$$
0.00 \% \quad 0.00 \%-0.25 \%
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
0.00 \% & 0.00 \%-0.25 \% \\
0.00 \% & 0.00 \%-0.25 \%
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
0.00 \% & 0.00 \%-0.25 \% \\
0.00 \% & 0.00 \%-0.25 \%
\end{array}
$$

cost of interest-bearing liabilities decreased by 79 basis points from the quarter ended June 30,2009 to the quarter ended June 30, 2010. The re-pricing characteristics of the Company s interest-bearing liabilities had been
structured to take advantage of the forecasted drop in market rates.
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The following table sets forth certain information relating to the Company s consolidated average interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities and reflects the average yield on assets and average cost of liabilities for the periods indicated. These yields and costs are derived by dividing income or expense by the average daily balance of assets or liabilities, respectively, for the periods presented.

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Balance | 2010 |  |  | 2009 |  |
|  |  |  | Average <br> Rate | Average Balance |  | Average Rate |
|  |  | Interest |  |  | Interest |  |
| Interest-earning assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans ${ }^{(1)(2)}$ | \$ 1,896,071 | \$ 29,390 | 6.22\% | \$2,127,104 | \$ 32,538 | 6.14\% |
| Investment securities ${ }^{(2)}$ | 193,961 | 1,996 | 4.13\% | 195,390 | 2,461 | 5.05\% |
| Other short-term investments | 158,208 | 99 | 0.25\% | 59,883 | 36 | 0.24\% |
| Total interest-earning assets | \$ 2,248,240 | \$ 31,485 | 5.62\% | \$ 2,382,377 | \$ 35,035 | 5.90\% |
| Non-interest earning assets | 305,374 |  |  | 420,404 |  |  |
| Total assets | \$ 2,553,614 |  |  | \$ 2,802,781 |  |  |

## Interest-bearing liabilities:

Deposits:
Interest checking, savings and money market
Time deposits
Total interest-bearing deposits
\$ 970,304 \$ 2,488
1.03\%
2.33\%
$1.65 \%$ \$ 1,886,955
\$ 1,854,342 \$ 7,626

Securities sold under repurchase agreements and short-term borrowings
Notes payable
Subordinated debentures
Total interest-bearing liabilities

## Non-interest bearing

 liabilities:Demand deposits 165,554 162,458
Other liabilities
17,477
0.09\%

28,171
4.00\%

229,165

7
1.39\%
3.11\%
2.45\%
\$2,136,794
\$ 9,831
1.85\%
\$ 2,232,953
\$ 14,676
2.64\%

Total non-interest bearing liabilities

$$
183,031
$$

$$
184,055
$$

Total liabilities
2,319,825
2,417,008

1 Average loanbalancesexcludednonaccrualloans for theperiodspresented.
2 Fully TaxableEquivalent
( FTE ) at the rateof $35 \%$. TheFTE basisadjusts for thetax benefits ofincome oncertaintax-exemptloans andinvestmentsusing the federalstatutory rate of$35 \%$ for eachperiod
presented. The
Companybelieves thismeasure to bethe preferredindustrymeasurement ofnet interestincome and
provides
relevant
comparison
between taxable and non-taxable amounts.
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|  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Balance | 2010 |  | Average | Average | 2009 |  | Average |
|  |  |  | Iterest |  |  |  | Interest |  |
| Interest-earning assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans ${ }^{(1)(2)}$ | \$ 1,924,943 | \$ | 59,470 | 6.23\% | \$ 2,151,190 |  | 65,193 | 6.11\% |
| Investment securities ${ }^{(2)}$ | 181,559 |  | 3,902 | 4.33\% | 206,015 |  | 5,323 | 5.21\% |
| Other short-term investments | 153,328 |  | 193 | 0.25\% | 65,141 |  | 81 | 0.25\% |
| Total interest-earning assets | \$2,259,830 | \$ | 63,565 | 5.67\% | \$ 2,422,346 |  | 70,597 | 5.88\% |
| Non-interest earning assets | 305,977 |  |  |  | 402,244 |  |  |  |
| Total assets | \$ 2,565,807 |  |  |  | \$2,824,590 |  |  |  |
| Interest-bearing liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest checking, savings and money market | \$ 956,174 | \$ | 4,885 | 1.03\% | \$ 677,863 | \$ | 4,394 | 1.31\% |
| Time deposits | 912,057 |  | 10,802 | 2.39\% | 1,225,516 |  | 19,770 | 3.25\% |
| Total interest-bearing deposits | \$ 1,868,231 | \$ | 15,687 | 1.69\% | \$ 1,903,379 |  | 24,164 | 2.56\% |
| Securities sold under repurchase agreements and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notes payable | 171,897 |  | 3,406 | 4.00\% | 229,223 |  | 4,912 | 4.32\% |
| Subordinated debentures | 88,662 |  | 960 | 2.19\% | 88,662 |  | 1,535 | 3.49\% |
| Total interest-bearing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| liabilities | \$ 2,151,564 | \$ | 20,064 | 1.88\% | \$ 2,251,873 |  | 30,627 | 2.74\% |
| Non-interest bearing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demand deposits | 164,370 |  |  |  | 165,268 |  |  |  |
| Other liabilities | 17,786 |  |  |  | 22,098 |  |  |  |
| Total non-interest bearing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| liabilities | 182,156 |  |  |  | 187,366 |  |  |  |
| Total liabilities | 2,333,720 |  |  |  | 2,439,239 |  |  |  |
| Shareholders equity | 232,087 |  |  |  | 385,351 |  |  |  |
| Total liabilities and shareholders equity | \$ 2,565,807 |  |  |  | \$ 2,824,590 |  |  |  |

Net interest income ..... \$ 43,501
Interest rate spread ..... 3.79\% ..... 3.13\%
Net yield on interest-earning assets ..... 3.88\% ..... 3.33\%
1 Average loanbalancesexcludednonaccrualloans for theperiodspresented.2 Fully TaxableEquivalent( FTE ) at the rateof $35 \%$. TheFTE basisadjusts for thetax benefits ofincome oncertaintax-exemptloans andinvestmentsusing the federalstatutory rate of$35 \%$ for eachperiod
presented. TheCompanybelieves thismeasure to bethe preferredindustrymeasurement ofnet interestincome andprovidesrelevantcomparisonbetween taxableand non-taxableamounts.
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Provision for Loan Losses. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, loan charge-offs were \$5,316 and $\$ 10,049$, respectively, and recoveries of charged-off loans were $\$ 448$ and $\$ 1,299$. The Company s provision for loan losses decreased by $\$ 19,635$ to $\$ 4,749$ for the three months ended June 30,2010 , as compared to $\$ 24,384$ for the same period in 2009. Compared with the first quarter of 2010, the provision for loan losses modestly increased $\$ 860$ as the Company experienced an increase in loan defaults during the second quarter of 2010 with net loan charge-offs increasing from $\$ 3,883$ in the first quarter of 2010 to $\$ 4,867$ during the second quarter of 2010 . The Company s allowance for loan losses decreased slightly to $\$ 50,049$ at June 30, 2010 from $\$ 50,167$ at March 31, 2010 while the reserve to outstanding loans ratio increased to $2.60 \%$ from $2.52 \%$ at March 31, 2010 and $2.30 \%$ at June 30, 2009. Credit quality ratios had generally declined since September 30, 2007 through the second quarter of 2009, principally as a result of the prolonged deterioration of the residential real estate construction and development market, beginning in the fourth quarter of 2007, in the Company s urban markets, primarily Nashville and Knoxville. Beginning late in the third quarter of 2009 the Company began to witness economic stabilization beginning to materialize in certain of its major markets with this trend continuing through the second quarter of 2010. Management continually evaluates the Company s credit policies and procedures for effective risk and control management. The ratio of allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans was $77.02 \%, 78.85 \%$ and $52.96 \%$ at June 30, 2010, March 31, 2010 and June 30, 2009, respectively, and the ratio of nonperforming assets to total assets was $5.61 \%, 5.27 \%$ and $4.91 \%$ at June 30 , 2010, March 31, 2010 and June 30, 2009, respectively. The ratio of nonperforming loans to total loans, net of unearned interest, was $3.37 \%, 3.19 \%$ and $4.34 \%$ at June 30, 2010, March 31, 2010 and June 30, 2009, respectively. Within the Bank, the Company s largest subsidiary, the ratio of nonperforming assets to total assets was $5.59 \%, 5.25 \%$ and $4.88 \%$ at June 30, 2010, March 31, 2010 and June 30, 2009, respectively.
Based on management s calculation, an allowance of $\$ 50,049$, or $2.60 \%$ of total loans, net of unearned income, was an adequate estimate of losses inherent in the loan portfolio as of June 30, 2010. This estimate resulted in a provision for loan losses in the income statement of $\$ 4,749$ for the three months ended June 30, 2010. If the economic conditions, loan mix and amount of future charge-off percentages differ significantly from those assumptions used by management in making its determination, the allowance for loan losses and provision for loan losses on the income statement could be materially affected.
The Company s year-to-date net charge-offs as a percentage of average loans decreased from $1.08 \%$ (annualized $2.16 \%$ ) for the three months ended June 30, 2009 to $0.44 \%$ (annualized $0.88 \%$ ) for the three months ended June 30, 2010. Net charge-offs as a percentage of average loans were $2.25 \%$ for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Management believes that credit quality indicators will be driven by the current economic environment and the resiliency of residential real estate markets. Management continually evaluates the existing portfolio in light of loan concentrations, current general economic conditions and economic trends. During the second quarter of 2010, the Company segregated the staffing in its special assets area and transferred additional independent resources into this area to accelerate problem asset resolution.
Based on its evaluation of the allowance for loan loss calculation and review of the loan portfolio, management believes the allowance for loan losses is adequate at June 30, 2010. However, the provision for loan losses could further increase for the entire year of 2010 based on actions taken by the special assets area to resolve problem loans, and if the general economic conditions continue to weaken or the residential real estate markets in Nashville, Knoxville or the Company s other markets or the financial conditions of borrowers deteriorate beyond management current expectations.
Non-interest Income. Fee income, unrelated to interest-earning assets, consisting primarily of service charges, commissions and fees, is an important component to the Company s total revenue stream.
Total non-interest income for the three and six months ended June 30,2010 was $\$ 8,771$ and $\$ 16,457$ as compared to $\$ 6,808$ and $\$ 13,751$ for the same periods in 2009. Service charges on deposit accounts remain the largest component of total non-interest income and increased from $\$ 5,795$ and $\$ 11,151$ for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 to $\$ 6,692$ and $\$ 12,632$ for the same periods in 2010 . The Company continues to see solid growth in net new checking account customers due to its High Performance Checking Program, as evidenced by the 7,807 net new accounts opened (a net new account opening ratio of 2.2 to 1) during the first six months of 2010. The service charges and fees associated with this product have increased $13 \%$ on a year over year comparison. The Company believes that as the
economy begins to recover, non-interest income will continue to increase given the expansion of its customer base and successful Regulation E consumer overdraft opt-in campaign which touched $91 \%$ of our customer base.
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Non-interest Expense. Control of non-interest expense is a critical aspect in enhancing income. Non-interest expense includes personnel, occupancy, and other expenses such as OREO costs, data processing, printing and supplies, legal and professional fees, postage, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ( FDIC ) assessment fees and other expenses. Total non-interest expense was $\$ 21,274$ and $\$ 41,820$ for the three and six months ended June 30,2010 compared to $\$ 25,021$ and $\$ 42,852$ after excluding $\$ 143,389$ for the non-cash goodwill charge (see non-GAAP reconciliation table) for the same periods in 2009. The $\$ 3,747$ and $\$ 1,032$ decreases in total non-interest expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same periods of 2009 were principally the result of lower OREO related costs and other expenses related to collection efforts, including professional services fees coupled with lower FDIC insurance costs.
Personnel costs are the primary element of the Company s recurring non-interest expenses. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, employee compensation and benefits represented $\$ 8,788$, or $41 \%$, and $\$ 17,430$, or $42 \%$, of total non-interest expense. This was a decrease of $\$ 505$, or $5 \%$, and $\$ 850$, or $5 \%$, from the $\$ 9,293$ and $\$ 18,280$ for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009. The decrease is primarily the result of a reduction in employee benefit costs. Including Bank branches and non-bank office locations the Company had 75 locations at June 30, 2010, December 31, 2009 and June 30, 2009, and the number of full-time equivalent employees declined from 730 at June 30, 2009 to 717 at June 30, 2010.
Income Taxes. The effective income tax rate for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 was $33.40 \%$ and $34.21 \%$ compared to $9.44 \%$ and $8.14 \%$ for the same periods in 2009.

## Changes in Financial Condition

Total assets at June 30, 2010 were $\$ 2,529,332$, a decrease of $\$ 40,400$, or $2 \%$, from March 31, 2010. The decrease in assets was primarily reflective of the decrease of $\$ 65,865$ in loans, net of unearned income, partially offset by an increase in cash and due from banks of $\$ 29,943$.
Non-performing assets ( NPA s ), which include non-accrual loans, loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest and OREO, totaled $\$ 141,915$ at June 30, 2010 compared with $\$ 135,366$ at March 31, 2010. During the three month period ended June 30, 2010, the Company experienced an increase in net NPA s of $\$ 6,549$ as the Company continued to identify and recognize NPA s. During the second quarter of 2010, the Company segregated the staffing in its special assets area and transferred additional independent resources into this area to accelerate problem asset resolution. Actions taken by the special assets area to resolve problem assets may result in an increase in the level of NPAs and/or charge-offs through the remainder of the year. Also, if general economic conditions continue to weaken or the residential real estate markets in Nashville, Knoxville or the Company s other markets or the financial conditions of borrowers deteriorate beyond management $s$ current expectations, the Company expects that the levels of NPA s may remain elevated through the remainder of the year.
Non-performing loans include non-accrual loans and loans 90 or more days past due. All loans that are 90 days past due are considered non-accrual unless they are adequately secured and there is reasonable assurance of full collection of principal and interest. Non-accrual loans that are 120 days past due without assurance of repayment are charged off against the allowance for loan losses. Nonaccrual loans and loans past due 90 days totaled $\$ 64,983$ at June 30, 2010, an increase of $\$ 1,363$ from March 31, 2010. The increase in nonaccrual loans and loans past due 90 days balances were primarily the result of an increase in nonaccrual loans in excess of such loans being transferred to OREO during the second quarter of 2010.
OREO totaled $\$ 76,932$ at June 30, 2010 compared with $\$ 71,746$ at March 31, 2010 an increase of $\$ 5,186$ as the Company continued to foreclose on certain properties.
Impaired loans, which are loans identified as being probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts of contractual interest and principal as scheduled in the loan agreement, totaled \$124,318 at June 30, 2010 compared with $\$ 103,479$ at March 31, 2010 and $\$ 109,501$ at December 31, 2009. At June 30, 2010, the Company classified a relationship totaling approximately $\$ 20,122$ as impaired. The loan is current and the guarantors appear to have the necessary resources to continue to maintain the current status of this credit.
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The Company s policy requires new appraisals on adversely rated collateral dependent loans and OREO to be obtained at least annually. On a quarterly basis, the Company receives a written report from an independent nationally recognized organization which provides updated valuation trends, by price point and by zip code, for each of the major markets in which the Company is conducting business. The information obtained is then used in the Company s impairment analysis of collateral dependent loans. Depending upon future trends which may develop in the Company s major markets, charges incurred associated with these properties may increase.
At June 30, 2010, the ratio of the Company s allowance for loan losses to non-performing loans (which include non-accrual loans) was $77.02 \%$ compared to $52.96 \%$ at June 30, 2009.
The Company maintains an investment portfolio to provide liquidity and earnings. Investments at June 30, 2010 with an amortized cost of $\$ 173,361$ had a market value of $\$ 176,746$. At March 31, 2010, investments with an amortized cost of $\$ 172,425$ had a market value of $\$ 174,344$.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity. Liquidity refers to the ability or the financial flexibility to manage future cash flows to meet the needs of depositors and borrowers and fund operations. Maintaining appropriate levels of liquidity allows the Company to have sufficient funds available for reserve requirements, customer demand for loans, withdrawal of deposit balances and maturities of deposits and other liabilities. The Company s primary source of liquidity is dividends paid by the Bank. Applicable Tennessee statutes and regulations impose restrictions on the amount of dividends that may be declared by the Bank. Under Tennessee law, the Bank can only pay dividends to the Company in an amount equal to or less than the total amount of its net income for that year combined with retained net income for the preceding two years. Payment of dividends in excess of this amount requires the consent of the Commissioner of the Tennessee Department of Financial Institutions ( TDFI ), Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ( FDIC ) and the Federal Reserve Bank ( FRB Further, any dividend payments are subject to the continuing ability of the Bank to maintain compliance with minimum federal regulatory capital requirements and to retain its characterization under federal regulations as a well-capitalized institution. Because of the Bank s loss in 2009, dividends from the Bank to the Company, including funds for payment of dividends on preferred stock and trust preferred, including the preferred stock issued to the U.S. Treasury, will require prior approval of the TDFI, FDIC and FRB.
Recent supervisory guidance from the Federal Reserve Board ( FRB ) indicates that bank holding companies that are participants in the CPP that are experiencing financial difficulty generally should eliminate, reduce or defer dividends on Tier 1 capital instruments including trust preferred securities, preferred stock or common stock, if the holding company needs to conserve capital for safe and sound operation and to serve as a source of strength to its subsidiaries. The Company expects to maintain elevated well-capitalized capital levels until it has become clearer that the current economic cycle is improving. In addition, the Company maintains borrowing availability with the FHLB which was fully utilized at June 30, 2010. The Company also maintains federal funds lines of credit totaling $\$ 70,000$ at four correspondent banks of which $\$ 70,000$ was available at June 30, 2010. The Company believes it has sufficient liquidity to satisfy its current operating needs.
For the six months ended June 30, 2010, operating activities of the Company provided $\$ 23,011$ of cash flows. Net income of $\$ 6,007$ comprised a substantial portion of the cash generated from operations. Cash flows from operating activities were also positively affected by various non-cash items, including (i) $\$ 8,638$ in provision for loan losses, (ii) $\$ 3,619$ of depreciation and amortization and (iii) $\$ 6,631$ in other assets. This was offset in part by a decrease of $\$ 3,561$ in accrued interest payable and other liabilities.
Maturities of $\$ 70,025$ in investment securities available for sale, proceeds from the net change in loans of $\$ 77,775$ and proceeds of $\$ 8,357$ from the sale of other real estate were the primary components of inflows from investing activities. These were offset in part by $\$ 85,684$ in purchases of investment securities available for sale for a net increase in net cash provided from investing activities of $\$ 69,082$.
The net decrease in core and brokered deposits of $\$ 92,257$ was the primary use of cash flows used in financing activities of $\$ 94,432$. The net decrease in total deposits reflects a decrease in core deposits of $\$ 87,072$ and brokered deposits of $\$ 5,185$.
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Capital Resources. The Company s capital position is reflected in its shareholders equity, subject to certain adjustments for regulatory purposes. Shareholders equity, or capital, is a measure of the Company s net worth, soundness and viability. The Company continues to exhibit a strong capital position compared to minimum regulatory requirements. Further, the capital base of the Company allows it to take advantage of business opportunities while maintaining the level of resources deemed appropriate by management of the Company to address business risks inherent in the Company s daily operations. During the second quarter of 2009, the Company suspended common stock dividends in order to preserve capital.
Shareholders equity on June 30, 2010 was $\$ 233,150$, an increase of $\$ 2,961$, or $1.3 \%$, from $\$ 230,189$ on March 31, 2010. The increase in shareholders equity during the second quarter of 2010 primarily reflects net income available to common shareholders for the three months ended June 30, 2010 of $\$ 1,561$ and the cumulative change of $\$ 893$ in unrealized gains, net of reclassification and taxes, on available for sale securities. Shareholders equity at December 31, 2009 was $\$ 226,769$.
Risk-based capital regulations adopted by the Board of Governors of the FRB and the FDIC require bank holding companies and banks, respectively, to achieve and maintain specified ratios of capital to risk-weighted assets. The risk-based capital rules are designed to measure Tier 1 Capital and Total Capital in relation to the credit risk of both on- and off-balance sheet items. Under the guidelines, one of four risk weights is applied to the different on-balance sheet items. Off-balance sheet items, such as loan commitments, are also subject to risk-weighting after conversion to balance sheet equivalent amounts. All bank holding companies and banks must maintain a minimum total capital to total risk-weighted assets ratio of $8.00 \%$, at least half of which must be in the form of core, or Tier 1, capital (consisting of common equity, retained earnings, and a limited amount of qualifying perpetual preferred stock and trust preferred securities, net of goodwill and other intangible assets and accumulated other comprehensive income). These guidelines also specify that bank holding companies that are experiencing internal growth or making acquisitions will be expected to maintain strong capital positions substantially above the minimum supervisory levels. At June 30, 2010, the Bank and the Company each satisfied their respective minimum regulatory capital requirements, and the Bank was well-capitalized within the meaning of federal regulatory requirements. As set forth in the table below, the capital position of the Bank and the Company at June 30, 2010 are at elevated levels compared to minimum regulatory requirements.

Tier 1 risk-based capital
Total risk-based capital
Leverage Ratio

| Required <br> Minimum | Required <br> to be |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Well |  |  |  |$\quad$| Ratio | Capitalized | Bank | Company |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $4.00 \%$ | $6.00 \%$ | $14.73 \%$ | $14.88 \%$ |
| $8.00 \%$ | $10.00 \%$ | $15.99 \%$ | $16.15 \%$ |
| $4.00 \%$ | $5.00 \%$ | $11.86 \%$ | $12.00 \%$ |

## Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

At June 30, 2010, the Company had outstanding unused lines of credit and standby letters of credit totaling $\$ 251,651$ and unfunded loan commitments outstanding of $\$ 18,428$. Because these commitments generally have fixed expiration dates and most will expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment level does not necessarily represent future cash requirements. If needed to fund these outstanding commitments, the Company has the ability to liquidate FRB cash or securities available-for-sale or, on a short-term basis, to borrow any then available amounts from the FHLB and/or purchase Federal funds from other financial institutions. At June 30, 2010, the Company had accommodations with upstream correspondent banks for unsecured federal funds lines. These accommodations have various covenants related to their term and availability, and in most cases must be repaid within two business weeks. The following table presents additional information about the Company s off-balance sheet commitments as of June 30, 2010, which by their terms has contractual maturity dates subsequent to June 30, 2010:

| Less than 1 <br> Year | 1-3 Years | 3-5 Years | More than 5 <br> Years | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Commitments to make loans fixed | \$ | 3,528 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 3,528 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commitments to make loans variable |  | 14,900 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14,900 |
| Unused lines of credit |  | 120,844 |  | 9,735 |  | 13,527 |  | 78,221 |  | 222,327 |
| Letters of credit |  | 21,731 |  | 7,593 |  |  |  |  |  | 29,324 |
| Total | \$ | 161,003 | \$ | 17,328 | \$ | 13,527 | \$ | 78,221 | \$ | 270,079 |
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## Disclosure of Contractual Obligations

In the ordinary course of operations, the Company enters into certain contractual obligations. Such obligations include the funding of operations through debt issuances as well as leases for premises and equipment. The following table summarizes the Company s significant fixed and determinable contractual obligations as of June 30, 2010:

|  | Less than 1 |  |  |  | 3-5 Years |  | More than 5 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Year |  | 3 Years |  |  | Years |  | Total |  |
| Certificates of deposits | \$ | 670,653 | \$ | 153,375 | \$ | 20,119 | \$ | 3,588 | \$ | 847,735 |
| FHLB advances and notes payable |  | 12,361 |  | 80,714 |  | 30,756 |  | 48,007 |  | 171,838 |
| Subordinated debentures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 88,662 |  | 88,662 |
| Operating lease obligations |  | 1,092 |  | 1,846 |  | 926 |  | 909 |  | 4,773 |
| Deferred compensation |  | 1,951 |  |  |  | 251 |  | 2,017 |  | 4,219 |
| Purchase obligations |  | 562 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 562 |
| Total | \$ | 686,619 | \$ | 235,935 | \$ | 52,052 | \$ | 143,183 |  | ,117,789 |

Additionally, the Company routinely enters into contracts for services. These contracts may require payment for services to be provided in the future and may also contain penalty clauses for early termination of the contract. Management is not aware of any additional commitments or contingent liabilities which may have a material adverse impact on the liquidity or capital resources of the Company.

## Effect of New Accounting Standards

FASB ASU 2010-06 In January 2010, the FASB issued additional guidance on fair value disclosures. The new guidance clarifies two existing disclosure requirements and requires two new disclosures as follows: (1) a gross presentation of activities (purchases, sales, and settlements) within the Level 3 rollforward reconciliation, which will replace the net presentation format; and (2) detailed disclosures about the transfers in and out of Level 1 and 2 measurements. This guidance is effective for the first interim or annual reporting period beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the gross presentation of the Level 3 rollforward information, which is required for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2010, and for interim reporting periods within those years. The Company adopted the fair value disclosures guidance on January 1, 2010, except for the gross presentation of the Level 3 rollforward information which is not required to be adopted by the Company until January 1, 2011.
FASB ASC 810 and amended by FASB ASU 2010-10 became effective on January 1, 2010, and was amended to change how a company determines when an entity that is insufficiently capitalized or is not controlled through voting (or similar rights) should be consolidated. The determination of whether a company is required to consolidate an entity is based on, among other things, an entity s purpose and design and a company s ability to direct the activities of the entity that most significantly impact the entity s economic performance. The new authoritative accounting guidance requires additional disclosures about the reporting entity $s$ involvement with variable-interest entities and any significant changes in risk exposure due to that involvement as well as its affect on the entity s financial statements. The new authoritative accounting guidance under ASC 810 was effective January 1, 2010 and did not have a significant impact on the Company s financial statements.
FASB ASU 2010-20 Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses In July the Company adopted the new standard governing the disclosures associated with credit quality and the allowance for loan losses. This standard requires additional disclosures related to the allowance for loan loss with the objective of providing financial statement users with greater transparency about an entity s loan loss reserves and overall credit quality. Additional disclosures include showing on a disaggregated basis the aging of receivables, credit quality indicators, and troubled debt restructures with its effect on the allowance for loan loss. The provisions of this standard are effective for interim and annual periods ending on or after December 15, 2010. The adoption of this standard will not have a material impact on the Company s financial position and results of operations however will
increase the amount of disclosures in the notes to the consolidated financial statements.
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## ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND OUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Part II, Item 7A of the $200910-\mathrm{K}$ is incorporated in this item of this Quarterly Report by this reference. There have been no material changes in the quantitative and qualitative market risks of the Company since December 31, 2009.
ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

## Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company s management, with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(f) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act ) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon this evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of June 30, 2010, the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were effective for the purposes set forth in the definition thereof in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e).

## Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in the Company s internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f)) during the quarter ended June 30, 2010 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.
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## PART II OTHER INFORMATION

## Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The Company and its subsidiaries are subject to claims and suits arising in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, the ultimate resolution of these pending claims and legal proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on the Company $s$ results of operations.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors

Except as set forth below, there have been no material changes to our risk factors as previously disclosed in Part I, Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009:
The impact on our future results of operations and financial condition of the recently enacted Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act is not yet known.
On July 21, 2010, President Obama signed the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Reform Act ) into law. The Reform Act significantly reforms the structure of federal financial regulation and enacts new substantive requirements and regulations that apply to a broad range of financial market participants, affecting every segment of the financial services industry, which will alter the way we conduct certain aspects of our business and may restrict our ability to compete, increase costs and reduce revenues. The Reform Act, among other things, strengthens oversight and regulation of banks and nonbank financial institutions, enhances regulation of over-the-counter derivatives and asset-backed securities, and establishes new rules for credit rating agencies. The Reform Act may have a significant and negative impact on our earnings through fee reductions, higher costs (from both a regulatory and an implementation perspective) and new. The ultimate impact of the Reform Act on our operations will be dependent on regulatory interpretation and rulemaking, as well as the success of our actions to mitigate the negative impact of such rules and regulations. The full scope and effect of the Reform Act on the Company and the Bank may not be known for several years.
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds
The Company made no unregistered sales of its equity securities or repurchases of its common stock during the quarter ended June 30, 2010.
Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities
None
Item 4. Removed and Reserved
Item 5. Other Information
None
Item 6. Exhibits
See Exhibit Index immediately following the signature page hereto.
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## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: August 6, 2010

Green Bankshares, Inc.
Registrant
By: /s/ James E. Adams
James E. Adams
Executive Vice President,
Chief Financial Officer and Secretary
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## EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit No. Description
31.1 Chief Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a)
31.2 Chief Financial Officer Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a)
32.1 Chief Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2 Chief Financial Officer Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

