

Edgar Filing: BAKER MICHAEL CORP - Form 10-Q

BAKER MICHAEL CORP  
Form 10-Q  
August 15, 2006

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF  
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2005

Commission file number 1-6627

MICHAEL BAKER CORPORATION  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

PENNSYLVANIA  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

25-0927646  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

Airside Business Park, 100 Airside Drive, Moon Township, PA  
(Address of principal executive offices)

15108  
(Zip Code)

(412) 269-6300  
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No X  
-----

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act.)

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer X Non-accelerated filer  
--- ---

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act).

Yes No X  
-----

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Edgar Filing: BAKER MICHAEL CORP - Form 10-Q

As of June 30, 2006:

Common Stock 8,499,988 shares

EXPLANATORY NOTE

In this Form 10-Q, the terms "we," "us," or "our" refer to Michael Baker Corporation and its subsidiaries.

As discussed in Note 1 to the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements, this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q includes the restatements of our condensed consolidated statements of income for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2004, our condensed consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2004, our condensed consolidated statement of cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2004, and the related notes to these financial statements.

-1-

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

In this Form 10-Q, the terms "we," "us," or "our" refer to Michael Baker Corporation and its subsidiaries.

We have prepared the condensed consolidated financial statements which follow, without audit, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). Although certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations, we believe that the disclosures are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. The statements reflect all adjustments which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of the results for the periods presented, except those described in Note 1 resulting from the restatement. All such adjustments are of a normal and recurring nature unless specified otherwise. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with our annual consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto.

-2-

MICHAEL BAKER CORPORATION  
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME  
(UNAUDITED)

For the three months ended

-----  
June 30, 2004

Edgar Filing: BAKER MICHAEL CORP - Form 10-Q

	JUNE 30, 2005	(As Restated - See Note 1)
	(In thousands, except per share amounts)	
Total contract revenues	\$142,765	\$130,926
Cost of work performed	124,120	106,930
	-----	-----
Gross profit	18,645	23,996
Selling, general and administrative expenses	16,494	18,718
	-----	-----
Income from operations	2,151	5,278
Other income/(expense):		
Interest income	90	28
Interest expense	(400)	(370)
Other, net	77	209
	-----	-----
Income before income taxes	1,918	5,145
Provision for income taxes	1,876	2,781
	-----	-----
NET INCOME	\$ 42	\$ 2,364
Other comprehensive income, net of tax -		
Foreign currency translation adjustments	318	48
	-----	-----
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME	\$ 360	\$ 2,412
	=====	=====
BASIC EARNINGS PER SHARE	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.28
DILUTED EARNINGS PER SHARE	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.28
	=====	=====

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

-3-

MICHAEL BAKER CORPORATION  
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME  
(UNAUDITED)

	For the six months ended	
	JUNE 30, 2005	June 30, 2004 (As Restated - See Note 1)
	(In thousands, except per share amounts)	
Total contract revenues	\$286,961	\$256,255
Cost of work performed	245,942	211,482
	-----	-----
Gross profit	41,019	44,773

Edgar Filing: BAKER MICHAEL CORP - Form 10-Q

Selling, general and administrative expenses	32,013	34,948
	-----	-----
Income from operations	9,006	9,825
Other income/(expense):		
Interest income	135	33
Interest expense	(767)	(783)
Other, net	176	500
	-----	-----
Income before income taxes	8,550	9,575
Provision for income taxes	5,654	5,376
	-----	-----
NET INCOME	\$ 2,896	\$ 4,199
Other comprehensive income/(loss), net of tax:		
Reclassification of accumulated unrealized gain on sale of marketable securities included in net income	--	(109)
Foreign currency translation adjustments	284	31
	-----	-----
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME	\$ 3,180	\$ 4,121
	=====	=====
BASIC EARNINGS PER SHARE	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.50
DILUTED EARNINGS PER SHARE	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.49
	=====	=====

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

-4-

MICHAEL BAKER CORPORATION  
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
(UNAUDITED)

	JUNE 30, 2005	June 30, 2004 (As Restated) See Note 1
	-----	-----
	(In thousands)	
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 12,402	\$ 15,000
Receivables, net	76,018	79,000
Unbilled revenues on contracts in progress	88,447	73,000
Prepaid expenses and other	2,398	11,000
	-----	-----
Total current assets	179,265	180,000
	-----	-----
PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT, NET	19,395	19,000
OTHER ASSETS		
Goodwill and other intangible assets, net	8,804	8,000

Edgar Filing: BAKER MICHAEL CORP - Form 10-Q

Other assets	6,131	6
	-----	-----
Total other assets	14,935	15
	-----	-----
TOTAL ASSETS	\$213,595	\$215
	=====	=====
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' INVESTMENT		
CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Accounts payable	\$ 45,822	\$ 48
Accrued employee compensation	29,947	31
Accrued insurance	10,284	9
Other accrued expenses	20,690	20
Billings in excess of revenues on contracts in progress	9,454	9
Income taxes payable	6,031	4
Deferred tax liability	11,812	11
	-----	-----
Total current liabilities	134,040	137
	-----	-----
OTHER LIABILITIES		
Other liabilities	2,350	3
	-----	-----
Total liabilities	136,390	140
	-----	-----
SHAREHOLDERS' INVESTMENT		
Common Stock, par value \$1, authorized 44,000,000 shares, issued 8,956,611 and 8,910,371 shares at 6/30/05 and 12/31/04, respectively	8,957	8
Additional paid-in-capital	41,646	40
Retained earnings	32,184	29
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(845)	(1)
Unearned compensation expense	(255)	
Less - 480,337 and 391,237 shares of Common Stock in treasury, At cost, at 6/30/05 and 12/31/04, respectively	(4,482)	(2)
	-----	-----
Total shareholders' investment	77,205	74
	-----	-----
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' INVESTMENT	\$213,595	\$215
	=====	=====

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

-5-

MICHAEL BAKER CORPORATION  
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
(UNAUDITED)

For the six months ended  
-----  
June 30,  
(As Restated)  
JUNE 30, 2005 See Note  
-----  
(In thousands)

Edgar Filing: BAKER MICHAEL CORP - Form 10-Q

CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net income	\$ 2,896	\$ 4,19
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	2,432	2,40
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Increase in receivables and contracts in progress	(11,313)	(11,29
(Decrease)/increase in accounts payable and accrued expenses	(3,598)	15,18
Decrease in other net assets	10,349	5,66
	-----	-----
Total adjustments	(2,130)	11,95
	-----	-----
Net cash provided by operating activities	766	16,15
	-----	-----
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Additions to property, plant and equipment	(2,212)	(1,29
	-----	-----
Net cash used in investing activities	(2,212)	(1,29
	-----	-----
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Payments to acquire treasury stock	(1,529)	-
Payments for capital lease obligations	(289)	(14
Proceeds from the exercise of stock options	195	82
Repayments of long-term debt	--	(12,40
Decrease in book overdrafts	--	(1,23
	-----	-----
Net cash used in financing activities	(1,623)	(12,95
	-----	-----
Net (decrease)/increase in cash and cash equivalents	(3,069)	1,91
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	15,471	9,12
	-----	-----
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF PERIOD	\$ 12,402	\$ 11,03
	=====	=====
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW DATA		
Interest paid	\$ 58	\$ 23
Income taxes paid	\$ 829	\$ 47
SUPPLEMENTAL SCHEDULE OF NON-CASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Vehicles and equipment acquired through capital lease obligations	\$ 439	\$ 40
Equipment acquired on credit	\$ 11	\$ 5
	=====	=====

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

-6-

MICHAEL BAKER CORPORATION  
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
AS OF AND FOR THE PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 2005  
(UNAUDITED)

NOTE 1 - RESTATEMENT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Subsequent to the issuance of our condensed consolidated financial statements for the quarter ended March 31, 2005, we determined that accounting errors, as described below, were included in our previously issued consolidated financial statements. As a result, we have restated the accompanying condensed

## Edgar Filing: BAKER MICHAEL CORP - Form 10-Q

consolidated financial statements to correct the accounting errors described below. The following table presents the impact of the restatement on our net income and diluted earnings per share for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2004 (amounts in thousands, except earnings per share). For ease of comparison, the items in the following table are presented in the order in which they will appear in our 2005 consolidated financial statements.

	For the periods ended June 30, 2004			
	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	Amount	Diluted EPS	Amount	Diluted EPS
Net income as originally reported	\$ 3,605	\$0.42	\$ 6,703	\$0.79
Restatement items, pre-tax:				
Penalties and interest on taxes (1)	(575)		(979)	
Domestic sales and use taxes (2)	(472)		(807)	
International payroll taxes (3)	(318)		(803)	
International value added taxes (4)	(134)		(365)	
Minority interest adjustments (5)	(23)		(161)	
Sale of marketable securities (6)	--		(145)	
Professional liability IBNR (7)	4		4	
Unrecorded capital leases (8)	(2)		(4)	
Revenue for reimbursable taxes (9)	323		648	
Subtotal pre-tax adjustments	(1,197)		(2,612)	
Income tax adjustments:				
International income taxes (10)	(282)		(636)	
Income tax effects on the above (11)	238		744	
Net income as restated	\$ 2,364	\$0.28	\$ 4,199	\$0.49

Our accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2004 are being restated to correct the following errors:

- (1) The underaccrual of estimated penalties and interest related to the underpayment of international income, payroll, and value added taxes and domestic sales and use taxes by certain wholly-owned Energy segment subsidiaries, as noted in items (2)-(4) and (10) below.
- (2) The underaccrual of domestic sales and use taxes by one of our wholly-owned Energy segment subsidiaries.
- (3) The underaccrual of international payroll taxes by a wholly-owned Energy subsidiary related to employees working on international projects.
- (4) The underaccrual of international value added taxes by certain wholly-owned Energy segment subsidiaries.
- (5) Adjustments related to minority interest balances recorded by our majority-owned Nigerian subsidiary. These adjustments are primarily related to the valuation allowances recorded against prepaid income tax asset balances that are referred to in item (10) below.

Edgar Filing: BAKER MICHAEL CORP - Form 10-Q

-7-

- (6) Failure to record an adjustment related to the demutualization of an insurance company (which had previously provided us with coverage), from which shares of stock were received and subsequently sold. The shares were not valued and recorded as an asset when received in 2000, unrealized gains and losses were not recorded as other comprehensive income during the holding period, and the entire amount of sale proceeds was improperly recorded as a gain when sold in the first quarter of 2004.
- (7) Failure to record an immaterial adjustment to a previously unrecorded IBNR liability for self-insured professional liability insurance losses.
- (8) Unrecorded capital lease assets and obligations related to leased equipment and vehicles.
- (9) Adjustments to record additional revenue related to certain income, payroll and value added taxes (as discussed in items (3) and (4) above and item (10) below) which are reimbursable by a customer pursuant to terms of the related contract.
- (10) The underaccrual of international income taxes by certain wholly-owned Energy segment subsidiaries. Additionally, we recorded valuation allowances against the prepaid income tax asset balances recorded in prior periods by a majority-owned Nigerian subsidiary, which valuation allowances should have been previously recorded based on our inability to realize these assets.
- (11) The incremental effects of all foregoing restatement adjustments on the provision for income taxes in our Consolidated Statements of Income, as well as certain other adjustments that we determined to be necessary when the restated income tax provisions were prepared, such as the balance sheet effect on paid-in capital of the windfall tax benefits associated with stock option exercises.

-8-

THE FOLLOWING TABLE PRESENTS THE EFFECTS OF THE ADJUSTMENTS ON THE PREVIOUSLY ISSUED (UNAUDITED) CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME:

	For the periods ended June 30, 2004			
	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	As Originally Reported	As Restated	As Originally Reported	As Restated
Total contract revenues	\$130,603	\$130,926	\$255,607	\$255,932
Cost of work performed	106,613	106,930	210,676	210,996
Gross profit	23,990	23,996	44,931	44,936
Selling, general and administrative expenses	17,856	18,718	33,412	34,274



Edgar Filing: BAKER MICHAEL CORP - Form 10-Q

Income from operations	6,134	5,278	11,519
Other income/(expense):			
Interest income	28	28	33
Interest expense	(52)	(370)	(170)
Other, net	232	209	805
	-----	-----	-----
Income before income taxes	6,342	5,145	12,187
Provision for income taxes	2,737	2,781	5,484
	-----	-----	-----
NET INCOME	\$ 3,605	\$ 2,364	\$ 6,703
	-----	-----	-----
Other comprehensive income/(loss), net of tax:			
Reclassification of accumulated unrealized gain on sale of marketable securities included in net income	--	--	--
Foreign currency translation adjustments	26	48	17
	-----	-----	-----
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME	\$ 3,631	\$ 2,412	\$ 6,720
	=====	=====	=====
BASIC EARNINGS PER SHARE	\$ 0.43	\$ 0.28	\$ 0.80
DILUTED EARNINGS PER SHARE	\$ 0.42	\$ 0.28	\$ 0.79
	-----	-----	-----

-9-

THE FOLLOWING TABLE PRESENTS THE EFFECTS OF THE ADJUSTMENTS ON THE PREVIOUSLY ISSUED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET:

	December 31, 2004	
	As Originally Reported	As Restated
	-----	-----
	(In thousands)	
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 15,471	\$ 15,471
Receivables, net	79,559	79,559
Unbilled revenues on contracts in progress	71,280	73,852
Prepaid expenses and other	12,941	11,893
	-----	-----
Total current assets	179,251	180,775
	-----	-----
PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT, NET	17,879	19,013
OTHER ASSETS		
Goodwill and other intangible assets, net	8,947	8,947
Other assets	5,667	6,278
	-----	-----
Total other assets	14,614	15,225
	-----	-----
TOTAL ASSETS	\$211,744	\$215,013
	=====	=====
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' INVESTMENT		
CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Accounts payable	\$ 48,326	\$ 48,723

Edgar Filing: BAKER MICHAEL CORP - Form 10-Q

Accrued employee compensation	27,278	31,596
Accrued insurance	9,180	9,758
Other accrued expenses	13,484	25,413
Billings in excess of revenues on contracts in progress	9,705	9,704
Deferred tax liability	11,145	11,957
	-----	-----
Total current liabilities	119,118	137,151
	-----	-----
OTHER LIABILITIES		
Other liabilities	6,094	3,081
	-----	-----
Total liabilities	125,212	140,232
	-----	-----
SHAREHOLDERS' INVESTMENT		
Common stock	8,910	8,910
Additional paid-in-capital	40,000	40,730
Retained earnings	41,769	29,288
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(1,129)	(1,129)
Unearned compensation	(65)	(65)
Less - Treasury stock	(2,953)	(2,953)
	-----	-----
Total shareholders' investment	86,532	74,781
	-----	-----
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' INVESTMENT	\$211,744	\$215,013
	=====	=====

-10-

THE FOLLOWING TABLE PRESENTS THE EFFECTS OF THE ADJUSTMENTS ON THE PREVIOUSLY ISSUED (UNAUDITED) CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS:

	For the six months ended June 30, 2004	
	(In thousands)	
	As Originally Reported	As Restated
	-----	-----
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net income	\$ 6,703	\$ 4,199
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	2,269	2,408
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Increase in receivables and contracts in progress	(10,646)	(11,295)
Increase in accounts payable and accrued expenses	11,018	15,181
Decrease in other net assets	5,403	5,665
	-----	-----
Total adjustments	8,044	11,959
	-----	-----
Net cash provided by operating activities	14,747	16,158
	-----	-----
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Additions to property, plant and equipment	(1,405)	(1,290)
	-----	-----

Edgar Filing: BAKER MICHAEL CORP - Form 10-Q

Net cash used in investing activities	(1,405)	(1,290)
	-----	-----
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Payments for capital lease obligations	--	(148)
Proceeds from the exercise of stock options	825	825
Repayments of long-term debt	(12,402)	(12,402)
Decrease in book overdrafts	--	(1,230)
	-----	-----
Net cash used in financing activities	(11,577)	(12,955)
	-----	-----
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	1,765	1,913
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	9,274	9,126
	-----	-----
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF PERIOD	\$ 11,039	\$ 11,039
	=====	=====

These restatement adjustments had the following effects on our opening balances within shareholders' investment as of January 1, 2004:

	As Previously Reported	Adjustments	As Restated
	-----	-----	-----
Additional paid-in capital	\$38,298	\$ 236	\$38,534
Retained earnings	\$29,477	\$(8,583)	\$20,894
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	\$ (912)	\$ 109	\$ (803)
Total shareholders' investment	\$72,581	\$(8,238)	\$64,343
	=====	=====	=====

-11-

NOTE 2 - EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following table summarizes our weighted average shares outstanding for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2005 and 2004. The additional shares included in diluted shares outstanding are entirely attributable to the dilutive effect of stock options.

	For the three months ended		For the six months ended	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Weighted average shares outstanding				
Basic	8,543,956	8,402,482	8,534,053	8,361,449
Diluted	8,716,802	8,546,838	8,722,187	8,497,567

Basic and diluted earnings per share are computed based on our net income of \$42,000 and \$2,364,000 for the three-month periods ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, and \$2,896,000 and \$4,199,000 for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

As of June 30, 2005 and 2004, the Company had approximately 6,000 and 199,000

## Edgar Filing: BAKER MICHAEL CORP - Form 10-Q

stock options outstanding, respectively, which were not included in the computations of diluted shares outstanding for the respective six-month periods because the option exercise prices were greater than the average market prices of the common shares. Such options could potentially dilute basic earnings per share in future periods.

### NOTE 3 - BUSINESS SEGMENT INFORMATION

Our business segments reflect how management makes resource decisions and assesses its performance. Effective January 1, 2005, we have the following two reportable segments:

- The Engineering segment provides a variety of design and related consulting services. Such services include program management, design-build, construction management, consulting, planning, surveying, mapping, geographic information systems, architectural and interior design, construction inspection, constructability reviews, site assessment and restoration, strategic regulatory analysis, regulatory compliance, and advanced management systems.
- The Energy segment provides a full range of services for operating energy production facilities worldwide. These services range from complete outsourcing solutions to specific services such as training, personnel recruitment, pre-operations engineering, maintenance management systems, field operations and maintenance, procurement, and supply chain management. Many of these service offerings are enhanced by the utilization of this segment's Managed Services operating model as a service delivery method. Our Energy segment serves both major and smaller independent oil and gas producing companies, but does not pursue exploration opportunities for our own benefit or own any oil or natural gas reserves.

We evaluate the performance of our segments primarily based on operating income before Corporate overhead allocations. Corporate overhead includes functional unit costs related to finance, legal, human resources, information technology and communications, and is allocated between our Engineering and Energy segments based on a three-part formula comprising revenues, assets and payroll.

-12-

The following table reflects the required disclosures for our reportable segments (in millions):

#### TOTAL CONTRACT REVENUES/INCOME FROM OPERATIONS

	For the three months ended		For the six months ended	
	JUNE 30, 2005	Restated June 30, 2004	JUNE 30, 2005	Restated June 30, 2004
<b>ENGINEERING</b>				
Total contract revenues	\$ 92.1	\$ 81.1	\$185.9	\$156.2
Income from operations before Corporate overhead				
Corporate overhead	11.2	7.7	22.6	14.2
Less: Corporate overhead	(3.5)	(2.8)	(6.4)	(5.2)
Income from operations	7.7	4.9	16.2	9.8

Edgar Filing: BAKER MICHAEL CORP - Form 10-Q

	-----	-----	-----	-----
ENERGY				
Total contract revenues	50.7	49.8	101.1	100.
Income/(loss) from operations before Corporate overhead	(2.0)	1.3	(2.1)	2.
Less: Corporate overhead	(1.4)	(1.2)	(2.4)	(2.)
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Income/(loss) from operations	(3.4)	0.1	(4.5)	0.
	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL REPORTABLE SEGMENTS				
Total contract revenues	142.8	130.9	287.0	256.
Income from operations before Corporate overhead	9.2	9.0	20.5	17.
Less: Corporate overhead	(4.9)	(4.0)	(8.8)	(7.)
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Income from operations	4.3	5.0	11.7	9.
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Other Corporate/Insurance income/(expense)	(2.1)	0.3	(2.7)	-
	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL COMPANY - INCOME FROM OPERATIONS	\$ 2.2	\$ 5.3	\$ 9.0	\$ 9.
	=====	=====	=====	=====

	-----	-----
	JUNE 30, 2005	Restate Dec. 31,
SEGMENT ASSETS:		
Engineering	\$117.1	\$113.
Energy	79.6	71.
	-----	-----
Subtotal - segments	196.7	185.
Corporate/Insurance	16.9	29.
	-----	-----
Total	\$213.6	\$215.
	=====	=====

NOTE 4 - LONG-TERM DEBT AND BORROWING ARRANGEMENTS

We have an unsecured credit agreement ("the Agreement") with a consortium of financial institutions. The Agreement provides for a commitment of \$60 million through September 17, 2008. The commitment

includes the sum of the principal amount of revolving credit loans outstanding and the aggregate face value of outstanding letters of credit. As of June 30, 2005, no borrowings were outstanding under the Agreement; however, outstanding

## Edgar Filing: BAKER MICHAEL CORP - Form 10-Q

letters of credit totaled \$7.0 million as of this date.

The Agreement requires us to meet minimum equity, leverage, interest and rent coverage, and current ratio covenants. If any of these financial covenants or certain other conditions of borrowing are not achieved, under certain circumstances, after a cure period, the banks may demand the repayment of all borrowings outstanding and/or require deposits to cover the outstanding letters of credit.

We did not timely file our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q for the second and third quarters of 2005 and the first quarter of 2006, or our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005. As a result, several covenant violations related to the timing of our financial reporting occurred under the Agreement. The lenders have waived these violations by allowing us to file our Forms 10-Q for the quarters ended June 30, 2005 and September 30, 2005, our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005, and our Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2006, with the SEC by August 15, 2006. We expect to complete all of these past due filings by August 15, 2006.

Furthermore, we did not meet the SEC's filing deadline related to our Form 10-Q for the second quarter of 2006. Accordingly, our lenders have also waived the resulting covenant violation related to the timing of this filing by allowing us to file such Form 10-Q by September 30, 2006. We currently expect to be able to file our Form 10-Q for the second quarter of 2006 by September 30, 2006. Beginning with our Form 10-Q filing for the third quarter of 2006, we currently expect to complete our quarterly and annual SEC filings within the SEC's filing deadlines.

### NOTE 5 - CONTINGENCIES

We currently believe that amounts recorded for certain tax exposures identified through our restatement process may ultimately either be recoverable from clients or may otherwise be reduced. Actual payments could differ from amounts estimated due to the assessment of certain indirect tax obligations by tax authorities to our clients in situations where we had the obligation to charge the client for these taxes, collect the tax and remit it to the tax authorities, or our successful negotiation of tax penalties and interest at less than full statutory rates in situations where such penalty and interest obligations have been estimated and accrued at full statutory rates based on the best information currently available. Based on information currently available, these exposures have been determined to reflect probable liabilities. However, depending on the outcome of future negotiations and discussions with clients and tax authorities, subsequent conclusions may be reached which indicate that portions of these additional tax exposures may not require payment and therefore changes in our estimates could be necessary in future periods. This could result in favorable effects on our income statements in future periods.

Insurance coverage is obtained for catastrophic exposures as well as those risks required to be insured by law or contract. We require our insurers to meet certain minimum financial ratings at the time the coverages are placed; however, insurance recoveries remain subject to the risk that the insurer will be financially able to pay the claims as they arise. We are insured with respect to our workers' compensation and general liability exposures subject to deductibles or self-insured retentions. Loss provisions for these exposures are recorded based upon our estimates of the aggregate liability for claims incurred. Such estimates utilize certain actuarial assumptions followed in the insurance industry.

## Edgar Filing: BAKER MICHAEL CORP - Form 10-Q

We are self-insured for our primary layer of professional liability insurance through a wholly-owned captive insurance subsidiary. The secondary layer of the professional liability insurance continues to be provided, consistent with industry practice, under a "claims-made" insurance policy placed with an independent insurance company. Under claims-made policies, coverage must be in effect when a claim is made. This insurance is subject to standard exclusions.

Our professional liability insurance coverage had been placed on a claims-made basis with Reliance Insurance Group ("Reliance") for the period July 1, 1994 through June 30, 1999. In 2001, the Pennsylvania Insurance Commissioner placed Reliance into liquidation. We remain uncertain at this time what effect this action will have on our recoveries with respect to claims made against us or our subsidiaries when Reliance coverage was in effect. A wholly-owned subsidiary of ours was subject to one substantial claim which fell within the Reliance coverage period. This claim was settled in the amount of \$2.5 million and payment was made by us in 2003. Due to the liquidation of Reliance, we are currently uncertain what amounts paid to settle this claim will be recoverable under the insurance policy with Reliance. We are pursuing a claim in the Reliance liquidation and believe that some recovery will result from the liquidation, but the amount of such recovery cannot currently be estimated. We had no related receivables recorded from Reliance as of June 30, 2005.

In July 2001, we announced that we had become aware that certain activities related to the operations of a 53% owned Nigerian subsidiary acquired in 1993 were the subject of an inquiry by the U.S. Department of Justice. There has been no activity in this matter since 2002. At this time, we do not expect that any remaining costs associated with this matter will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

We have been named as a defendant or co-defendant in other legal proceedings wherein substantial damages are claimed. Such proceedings are not uncommon to our business. After consultations with counsel, management believes that we have recognized adequate provisions for probable and reasonably estimable liabilities associated with these proceedings, and that their ultimate resolutions will not have a material impact on our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

At June 30, 2005, we had certain guarantees and indemnifications outstanding which could result in future payments to third parties. These guarantees generally result from the conduct of our business in the normal course. Our outstanding guarantees were as follows at June 30, 2005:

(Dollars in millions)	Maximum undiscounted future payments -----	Related liability balance recorded at 6/30/05 -----
Standby letters of credit:		
Insurance related	\$6.8	\$6.8
Other	0.2	--
Sale of certain construction assets (see Note 11)	\$0.6	\$0.6

Our banks issue standby letters of credit ("LOCs") on our behalf under the Agreement discussed in Note 4. As of June 30, 2005, most of these LOCs had been issued to insurance companies to serve as collateral for payments the insurers are required to make under our self-insurance programs. These LOCs may be drawn upon in the event that we do not reimburse the insurance companies for claims payments made on our behalf. Such LOCs renew automatically on an annual basis unless either the LOCs are returned to the bank by the

beneficiary or our banks elect not to renew them.

The liability associated with the insurance-related letters of credit reflects the claims payments for which we expect to reimburse the insurance company (subsequent to their payments of these claims) in order to avoid the insurance company's need to draw on the letter of credit. This liability is included as accrued insurance in our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet.

NOTE 6 - INCOME TAXES

We account for income taxes under the asset and liability method pursuant to SFAS 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes." We base our consolidated effective income tax rate for interim periods on our estimated annual consolidated effective income tax rate, which includes estimates of the taxable income and revenue for jurisdictions in which we operate. In certain foreign jurisdictions, our subsidiaries are subject to a deemed profits tax that is assessed based on revenue. In other jurisdictions or situations, our subsidiaries are subject to income taxes based on taxable income. In these situations, our estimated income tax payments during the year (which are withheld from client invoices at statutory rates) may significantly exceed the tax due per the income tax returns when filed; however, no practical method of refund can be effected. As a result, related income tax assets are routinely assessed for realizability, and valuation allowances against these tax assets are recorded in the event that it is more likely than not that such tax assets will not be realized. Certain foreign subsidiaries do not have earnings and profits for U.S. tax purposes, which prevents us from taking U.S. tax benefits on these foreign losses. In addition, valuation allowances against tax benefits of foreign net operating losses may be recorded as a result of our inability to generate sufficient taxable income in certain foreign jurisdictions.

As a result of the foregoing, depending upon revenues and relative profitability, we may report very high effective income tax rates on foreign income. The amount of these taxes, when proportioned with U.S. tax rates and income amounts, can cause our consolidated effective income tax rate to fluctuate significantly.

During the first six months of 2005, our effective income tax was 66% as compared to 56% for the same period in 2004. The increase is attributable to the lower level of profitability for foreign jurisdictions due to taxes based on deemed profits and the inability to deduct losses generated by certain foreign subsidiaries. Our higher income tax provision for the second quarter of 2005 resulted from our estimated full year 2005 effective tax rate increasing from 57% in the first quarter of 2005 to 66% in the second quarter.

NOTE 7 - STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

In December 2002, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. ("SFAS") 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation--Transition and Disclosure," which amended SFAS 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," to provide alternative methods of transition for companies that voluntarily change to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. Under the prospective method, we began expensing the fair value of all stock options granted, modified or settled effective January 1, 2003.

Prior to January 1, 2003, we utilized the intrinsic value method of accounting



Edgar Filing: BAKER MICHAEL CORP - Form 10-Q

for stock-based compensation, as originally promulgated by Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and as permitted under SFAS 123. Accordingly, no compensation cost was recognized for stock options granted prior to January 1, 2003. If compensation costs for our stock incentive plans had been determined based on the fair value at the grant dates for awards under those plans, consistent with the method prescribed by SFAS 123, our pro forma net income and earnings per share amounts would have been as follows:

-16-

(In thousands)	For the three months ended		For the six months ended	
	JUNE 30, 2005	Restated June 30, 2004	JUNE 30, 2005	Restated June 30, 2004
Net income, as reported	\$ 42	\$2,364	\$2,896	\$4,190
Add: Stock-based employee compensation expense included in reported net income, net of related tax effects	95	55	105	55
Deduct: Stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value method, net of related tax effects	(97)	(74)	(120)	(120)
Pro forma net income	\$ 40	\$2,345	\$2,881	\$4,130

	For the three months ended		For the six months ended	
	JUNE 30, 2005	Restated June 30, 2004	JUNE 30, 2005	Restated June 30, 2004
Reported earnings per share:				
Basic	\$0.00	\$0.28	\$0.34	\$0.50
Diluted	0.00	0.28	0.33	0.40
Pro forma earnings per share:				
Basic	0.00	0.28	0.34	0.40
Diluted	\$0.00	\$0.27	\$0.33	\$0.40

NOTE 8 - GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Goodwill and other intangible assets consist of the following (in thousands):

	JUNE 30, 2005	Dec. 31, 2004
Goodwill:		
Engineering	\$1,006	\$1,000

Edgar Filing: BAKER MICHAEL CORP - Form 10-Q

Energy	7,465	7,4
	-----	-----
Total goodwill	8,471	8,4
	=====	=====
Other intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$1,667 and \$1,524, respectively	333	4
	-----	-----
Goodwill and other intangible assets, net	\$8,804	\$8,9
	=====	=====

Under SFAS 142, our goodwill balance is not being amortized and goodwill impairment tests are being performed at least annually. We completed our most recent annual impairment review during the second quarter of 2005, and no impairment charge was required. Similarly, no goodwill impairment charge was recorded in 2004.

Our other intangible assets balance solely comprises a non-compete agreement from our 1998 purchase of Steen Production Services, Inc. Amortization expense was \$143,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2005

-17-

and 2004. Future amortization expense on the other intangible assets balance is currently estimated to be \$143,000 for the six months ending December 31, 2005 with the remaining balance of \$190,000 being amortized in 2006.

NOTE 9 - CAPITAL STOCK

During 1996, the Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to 500,000 shares of our Common Stock in the open market. In 2003, the Board of Directors authorized an additional repurchase of up to 500,000 shares for a total authorization of 1,000,000 shares. During the second quarter of 2005, we reactivated this share repurchase program and repurchased 89,100 treasury shares at market prices ranging from \$16.35 to \$18.21 per share, for a total price of \$1.5 million. As of June 30, 2005, treasury shares totaling 505,119 had been repurchased under our Board's authorizations.

Our monthly share repurchases for the six months ended June 30, 2005 were as follows:

Period	(a) Total Number of Shares Purchased	(b) Average Price Paid per Share	(c) Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	(d) Maxi Approxima of Share Be Purch Plans
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
June 1, 2005-				
June 30, 2005	89,100	17.11	89,100	

As of June 30, 2005, the difference between the number of treasury shares repurchased under these authorizations and the number of treasury shares listed on the balance sheet relates to an exchange of Series B Common Stock for 23,452 Common shares which occurred during the first quarter of 2002. The remaining difference relates to 1,330 shares issued to employees as bonus share awards in

## Edgar Filing: BAKER MICHAEL CORP - Form 10-Q

the late 1990s.

### NOTE 10 - RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In March 2005, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 47, "Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations" ("FIN 47"). FIN 47 clarifies that the term "conditional asset retirement obligation" as used in SFAS 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations," refers to a legal obligation to perform an asset retirement activity in which the timing and/or method of settlement are conditional on a future event that may or may not be within the control of the entity. Accordingly, FIN 47 clarifies that an entity is required to recognize a liability for the fair value of a conditional asset retirement obligation when incurred if the fair value of the liability can be reasonably estimated. This interpretation is effective no later than the end of fiscal years ending after December 15, 2005. We will adopt this statement during the fourth quarter of 2005, and do not expect any significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS 123R, which replaces SFAS 123 and supersedes Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. ("APB 25"), "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees." SFAS 123R also amends Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 95, "Statement of Cash Flows," to require reporting of excess tax benefits from the exercises of stock-based compensation awards as a financing cash inflow rather than as an operating cash inflow. The Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") subsequently amended

-18-

the effective date of SFAS 123R to be effective for the first interim period after December 31, 2005 for calendar year companies. SFAS 123R requires that the expense resulting from all share-based payment transactions be recognized in the financial statements. This statement applies to all awards granted after the required effective date, and shall not apply to awards granted in periods before the required effective date, except if prior awards are modified, repurchased or cancelled after the effective date. In March 2005, the SEC released Staff Accounting Bulletin No. ("SAB") 107 to assist registrants in implementing SFAS 123R while enhancing the information that investors receive. The FASB has also issued interpretative guidance. We will adopt the provisions of SFAS 123R on January 1, 2006 and expect to use the modified prospective application method in our adoption of SFAS 123R. SFAS 123R will not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements since we have been recording our stock-based compensation expense under the fair value method in accordance with SFAS 123 since January 1, 2003.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS 153 "Exchanges of Nonmonetary Assets-an Amendment of APB No. 29." APB 29, "Accounting for Nonmonetary Transactions," is based on the principle that exchanges of nonmonetary assets should be measured based on the fair value of assets exchanged. The guidance in APB 29, however, included certain exceptions to that principle. SFAS 153 amends APB 29 to eliminate the exception for nonmonetary exchanges of similar productive assets and replaces it with a general exception for exchanges of nonmonetary assets that do not have commercial substance. The provisions of this statement are effective for nonmonetary asset exchanges occurring in fiscal periods beginning after June 15, 2005. We adopted the provisions of this statement effective July 1, 2005, and as a result, do not expect any impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2004, the FASB issued Staff Position No. ("FSP") 109-1, "Application of FASB Statement 109, Accounting for Income Taxes, to the Tax Deduction on Qualified Production Activities Provided by the American Jobs Creation Act of

## Edgar Filing: BAKER MICHAEL CORP - Form 10-Q

2004," and 109-2, "Accounting and Disclosure Guidance for the Foreign Earnings Repatriation Provision within the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004." FSP 109-1 provides guidance on the application of SFAS 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes," to the provision within the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 ("the Act") that provides a tax deduction on qualified production activities. FSP 109-2 provides for a special one-time tax benefit on the repatriation of certain foreign earnings to a U.S. taxpayer, provided certain criteria are met. Both FSP 109-1 and 109-2 were effective upon issuance. We have evaluated both provisions of the Act and the related FASB guidance, and determined that neither provision will have an effect on our 2005 consolidated financial statements.

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS 154, "Accounting Changes and Error Corrections, a replacement of APB No. 20 and FASB Statement No. 3." SFAS 154 requires, among other things, retrospective application, unless impracticable, to prior period financial statements for voluntary changes in accounting principles and changes required by an accounting pronouncement in the unusual circumstances in which the pronouncement does not include specific transition provisions. SFAS 154 also requires that a change in depreciation, amortization, or depletion method for long-lived, nonfinancial assets should be accounted for as a change in accounting estimate effected by a change in accounting principle. The guidance for reporting the correction of an error in previously issued financial statements and the change of an accounting estimate will not change from APB 20. SFAS 154 is effective for us beginning January 1, 2006. We do not expect the adoption of this standard will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

-19-

In July 2006, the FASB issued FIN 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes." FIN 48 provides guidance on the measurement, recognition, classification and disclosure of, as well as the interim period accounting for, uncertain tax positions. We will be required to adopt the provisions of FIN 48 effective January 1, 2007. We are currently evaluating the impact that FIN 48 will have on our consolidated financial statements.

### NOTE 11 - SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

During the fourth quarter of 2005, we became aware of new information related to a partially self-insured general liability insurance claim. After consideration of this new information, we determined that our self-insurance reserve related to this claim should be increased by \$0.5 million as of June 30, 2005. This adjustment reduced our Energy segment's pre-tax income by \$0.5 million for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2005.

During 2000, we sold certain assets associated with our former heavy & highway construction business to A&L, Inc. In October 2003, A&L filed a lawsuit against us alleging misrepresentation and breach of warranty in connection with the asset sale. In March 2006, a settlement was reached under which all claims were released by both parties. The settlement required our payment of \$625,000 to A&L, as well as our forgiveness of certain receivables totaling \$850,000, for which we had previously recorded reserves totaling \$600,000. The resultant effects of this settlement were recorded during the second quarter of 2005. These adjustments increased our Corporate overhead expense (which is allocated between the Engineering and Energy segments) and reduced pre-tax income by \$0.9 million for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2005.

During the second quarter of 2006, we became aware of new information related to a partially insured professional liability insurance claim. After consideration of this new information, we determined that our self-insurance reserve related

## Edgar Filing: BAKER MICHAEL CORP - Form 10-Q

to this claim should be increased by \$1.25 million as of June 30, 2005. This adjustment reduced our pre-tax income by \$1.25 million for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2005.

### ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

#### RESTATEMENT

As discussed more fully in the Explanatory Note of this Form 10-Q and in Note 1 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Item 1, Part I, we have restated our 2004 condensed consolidated financial statements in this Form 10-Q as a result of certain accounting errors that were determined subsequent to the issuance of our condensed consolidated financial statements for the quarter ended March 31, 2005. All amounts and commentary included in this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations section give effect to the restatement.

As a result of these errors, we have not timely filed our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q for the periods ended June 30, 2005, September 30, 2005, and March 31, 2006, or our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005, with the SEC. These failures to file timely SEC reports have caused us to be out of compliance relative to our listing agreement with the American Stock Exchange. The American Stock Exchange has indicated that we must file the above referenced SEC Forms no later than August 15, 2006 in order to regain compliance.

-20-

In addition, we did not meet the SEC's filing deadline related to our Form 10-Q for the second quarter of 2006. Accordingly, we will remain out of compliance relative to our listing agreement with the American Stock Exchange until our Form 10-Q for the second quarter of 2006 is filed. We have requested an extension of time from the American Stock Exchange to regain compliance with our listing agreement.

#### RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

##### BUSINESS OVERVIEW

We provide engineering and energy expertise for public and private sector clients worldwide. Our primary services include engineering design for the transportation and civil infrastructure markets, operation and maintenance of oil and gas production facilities, architectural and environmental services, and construction management services for buildings and transportation projects. We view our short and long-term liquidity as being dependent upon our results of operations, changes in working capital and our borrowing capacity.

##### BUSINESS ENVIRONMENT

Our operations are affected by appropriations of public funds for infrastructure and other government-funded projects, capital spending levels in the private sector, and the demand for our services in the engineering and energy markets. We could also be affected by additional external factors such as price fluctuations and capital expenditures in the energy industry.

Since its approval in 1998, the Federal government's TEA-21 legislation has made significant transportation infrastructure funding available to the various state agencies. TEA-21 expired on September 30, 2003, but was extended 11 times at

## Edgar Filing: BAKER MICHAEL CORP - Form 10-Q

previous funding levels. During the most recent extension period which ended in May 2005, the U.S. Congress approved a new, six-year \$286 billion measure entitled SAFETEA-LU, the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act-A Legacy for Users. This new level of guaranteed funding reflects an increase of approximately 46% over the TEA-21 levels. The most significant impact of the numerous extensions of TEA-21 was that many states were unable or unwilling to make sizeable long-term investments in major transportation infrastructure projects. This, in turn, has had a marginally adverse impact on our transportation planning, design and construction management activity to date in 2005. However, with the new bill now enacted, we expect to see increasing activity occurring in the second half of 2006 and into 2007. From 2002 through the first half of 2005, we have observed increased Federal spending activity on Departments of Defense ("DoD") and Homeland Security ("DHS") activities, including the Federal Emergency Management Agency ("FEMA"). To mitigate the effect of the state transportation budget constraints on our business, management has focused more marketing and sales activity on these agencies (DoD and DHS) of the Federal government. As a result of this strategy, we increased our revenues from U.S. Federal government contracting activity in excess of 100 percent since 2002. Additional government spending in these areas, or on transportation infrastructure, could result in profitability and liquidity improvements for us. Significant contractions in any of these areas could unfavorably impact our profitability and liquidity. In March 2004, we announced that we had been awarded a five-year contract with FEMA for up to \$750 million to serve as the Program Manager to develop, plan, manage, implement, and monitor the Multi-Hazard Flood Map Modernization Program for flood hazard mitigation across the United States and its territories. Approximately \$619 million of this contract value was included in the Company's backlog as of June 30, 2005. In addition, during 2004, we were selected for several indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity task order contracts by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, U.S. Air Force and the U.S. National Guard. During 2004, we were also selected for several contracts with the Mineral Management Service, agencies within the U.S. Department of Transportation, DHS (which includes FEMA, US-VISIT

-21-

and the U.S. Coast Guard), the Department of Energy, and the Federal Bureau of Investigation. In the first quarter of 2005, we were selected for a five-year indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity contract with a potential maximum value of \$30 million by the U.S. Army Corp of Engineers, Transatlantic Programs Center.

In 2003, our Energy business broadened its offshore Managed Services offering to include onshore U.S. oil and gas producers, as demonstrated by two new four-year contracts totaling \$144 million received during 2003 from Huber Energy. During the first quarter of 2005, we received an additional \$1.0 million per year onshore Managed Services contract in the Powder River Basin of Wyoming from Storm Cat Energy, to operate and maintain its coal bed methane production facilities, which are adjacent to the Huber properties. With regard to offshore Managed Services, during the third quarter of 2004, we executed a long-term, multi-million dollar Managed Services contract with Anglo-Suisse Offshore Partners, LLC ("ASOP") to operate, maintain and optimize the performance of ASOP's offshore oil and gas producing properties in the Gulf of Mexico. Internationally, we recently received a multi-million dollar contract to provide operations assurance services for the Agbami Floating Production Storage and Offloading Project in deepwater offshore Nigeria.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

## Edgar Filing: BAKER MICHAEL CORP - Form 10-Q

The following table reflects a summary of our operating results (excluding intercompany transactions) for ongoing operations for the periods ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 (dollars in millions). We evaluate the performance of our segments primarily based on income from operations before Corporate overhead allocations.

### TOTAL CONTRACT REVENUES/INCOME FROM OPERATIONS

	For the three months ended		For the six months ended	
	JUNE 30, 2005	Restated June 30, 2004	JUNE 30, 2005	Restated June 30,
<b>ENGINEERING</b>				
Total contract revenues	\$92.1	\$81.1	\$185.9	\$156.0
Income from operations before				
Corporate overhead	11.2	7.7	22.6	14.9
Percentage of Engineering revenues	12.2%	9.5%	12.2%	9.5%
Less: Corporate overhead	(3.5)	(2.8)	(6.4)	(5.5)
Percentage of Engineering revenues	(3.8)%	(3.5)%	(3.4)%	(3.5)%
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Income from operations	7.7	4.9	16.2	9.4
Percentage of Engineering revenues	8.4%	6.0%	8.7%	6.0%
	-----	-----	-----	-----

-22-

	For the three months ended		For the six months ended	
	JUNE 30, 2005	Restated June 30, 2004	JUNE 30, 2005	Restated June 30, 2
<b>ENERGY</b>				
Total contract revenues	50.7	49.8	101.1	100.3
Income/(loss) from operations before				
Corporate overhead	(2.0)	1.3	(2.1)	2.8
Percentage of Energy revenues	(3.9)%	2.6%	(2.1)%	2.8%
Less: Corporate overhead	(1.4)	(1.2)	(2.4)	(2.4)
Percentage of Energy revenues	(2.8)%	(2.4)%	(2.4)%	(2.4)%
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Income/(loss) from operations	(3.4)	0.1	(4.5)	0.4
Percentage of Energy revenues	(6.7)%	0.2%	(4.5)%	0.4%
	=====	=====	=====	=====
<b>TOTAL REPORTABLE SEGMENTS</b>				
Total contract revenues	142.8	130.9	287.0	256.3
Income from operations before				
Corporate overhead	9.2	9.0	20.5	17.7
Percentage of total reportable segment revenues	6.4%	6.9%	7.1%	6.9%
Less: Corporate overhead	(4.9)	(4.0)	(8.8)	(7.9)
Percentage of total reportable segment revenues	(3.4)%	(3.1)%	(3.1)%	(3.1)%
	-----	-----	-----	-----

Edgar Filing: BAKER MICHAEL CORP - Form 10-Q

Income from operations	4.3	5.0	11.7	9.8
Percentage of total reportable segment revenues	3.0%	3.8%	4.1%	3.8%
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Other Corporate/Insurance income/(expense)	(2.1)	0.3	(2.7)	--
	-----	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL COMPANY - INCOME FROM OPERATIONS	\$ 2.2	\$ 5.3	\$ 9.0	\$ 9.8
Percentage of total Company revenues	1.5%	4.0%	3.1%	3.8%
	=====	=====	=====	=====

TOTAL CONTRACT REVENUES

Total contract revenues increased 9% in the second quarter of 2005 relative to the second quarter of 2004. Engineering revenues for the second quarter of 2005 increased 14% from the second quarter of 2004. Engineering's revenues were positively impacted by the previously mentioned map modernization program management project with FEMA, which commenced near the end of the first quarter of 2004. In addition, as a result of achieving certain performance levels on this FEMA project during the fourth quarter of 2004, the Engineering segment recognized revenue totaling \$0.7 million during the second quarter of 2005. Total revenue from FEMA was \$25 million in the second quarter of 2005 versus \$14 million in the second quarter of 2004. Much of the FEMA revenue growth was associated with the cost of building the information infrastructure required for the project. In the Energy segment, revenues for the second quarter of 2005

-23-

increased 2% from the same period in 2004. Revenue increases were primarily associated with the addition of the aforementioned ASOP Managed Services and Storm Cat Energy contracts, as partially offset by lower revenues on certain contracts in Energy's computerized maintenance management and operations assurance ("CMMS") business. The lower second quarter 2005 revenues associated with these contracts were due to the wind down of several contracts during 2004 and the first half of 2005.

For the first six months of 2005, total contract revenues increased 12% over the corresponding period in 2004. In the Engineering segment, revenues increased 19% in the first half of 2005 as compared to the first half of 2004. Again, Engineering revenues for the first half of 2005 were positively impacted by the map modernization program management project with FEMA. During the first half of 2005, the Engineering segment recognized revenue totaling \$2.9 million related to incentive awards earned on the FEMA project. Total revenue from FEMA was \$59 million in the first six months of 2005 versus \$26 million in the first six months of 2004. Much of the FEMA revenue growth was associated with the cost of building the information infrastructure required for the project. In the Energy segment, revenues for the first six months of 2005 increased 1% over the first six months of 2004. Consistent with the increase in the second quarter of 2005, Energy's slight revenue increase was primarily associated with the ASOP Managed Services and Storm Cat Energy contracts, as partially offset by lower revenues on certain contracts in its CMMS business. The lower second quarter 2005 revenues associated with these contracts were due to the wind-down of several contracts during 2004 and the first half of 2005.

GROSS PROFIT



## Edgar Filing: BAKER MICHAEL CORP - Form 10-Q

Gross profit expressed as a percentage of revenues decreased to 13.1% for the second quarter of 2005 from 18.3% in the second quarter of 2004. The Engineering segment's gross profit percentage decreased slightly to 20.4% in the second quarter of 2005 from 21.4% in the second quarter of 2004. With respect to FEMA, the positive effect of the aforementioned incentive award (which had no associated costs) was offset by a higher use of subcontractors (at lower margins) in the second quarter of 2005. The Energy segment's gross profit percentage decreased to 3.9% in the second quarter of 2005 from 13.0% in the second quarter of 2004. Negatively impacting Energy's gross profit expressed as a percentage of revenues in the second quarter of 2005 was our loss of certain offshore projects in the Gulf of Mexico, where the properties were sold and we were not able to retain the contracts with the new owners. Gross profit as a percentage of revenues for our Energy segment was also affected by delays and cancellations of certain contracts in our CMMS business. In connection with a review of medical insurance claims, we also increased our related claims reserves by \$0.7 million during the second quarter of 2005. The majority of this expense was allocated to cost of work performed (thereby reducing gross profit for both the Engineering and Energy segments), while the remainder was allocated to selling, general and administrative expenses. Finally, our gross profit for the second quarter of 2005 was unfavorably affected by \$1.75 million as a result of the two subsequent events relating to insurance claims that are further discussed in Note 11 to the accompanying financial statements. By comparison, our Energy segment's gross profit for second quarter of 2004 was favorably impacted by developments associated with certain general liability claims.

For the first six months of 2005, gross profit expressed as a percentage of revenues decreased to 14.3% from 17.5% in 2004. The Engineering segment's gross profit percentage decreased to 20.5% in the first six months of 2005 from 20.9% in the first six months of 2004. With respect to FEMA, the positive effect of the aforementioned incentive awards (which had no associated costs) was again offset by a higher use of subcontractors (at lower margins) in the first half of 2005. The Energy segment's gross profit percentage decreased to 5.6% in the first six months of 2005 from 12.1% in the first six months of 2004. Energy's gross profit expressed as a percentage of revenues in the first six months of 2005 was adversely affected by the previously mentioned loss of certain offshore projects in the Gulf of Mexico and the resulting change in our

-24-

contract mix toward lower margin labor-based contracts, and the delays and cancellations of certain contracts in our CMMS business. As also stated above, we increased our reserve for medical insurance claims by \$0.7 million during the first half of 2005 and the majority of this expense was allocated to cost of work performed, thereby reducing gross profit for both the Engineering and Energy segments. Again, our gross profit for the first six months of 2005 was unfavorably impacted by \$1.75 million as a result of the two subsequent events relating to insurance claims that are further discussed in Note 11 to the accompanying financial statements. As a comparison, our Energy segment's gross profit was favorably impacted by developments associated with certain general liability claims in the first six months of 2004.

### SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

Selling, general and administrative ("SG&A") expenses, including Corporate overhead, decreased by \$2.2 million in the second quarter of 2005 as compared to the second quarter of 2004. Likewise, SG&A expenses expressed as a percentage of total contract revenues decreased to 11.6% in the second quarter of 2005 from 14.3% in the second quarter of 2004. In the Engineering segment, SG&A expenses

## Edgar Filing: BAKER MICHAEL CORP - Form 10-Q

expressed as a percentage of revenues decreased to 12.1% in the second quarter of 2005 from 15.2% in the second quarter of 2004. In the Energy segment, SG&A expenses expressed as a percentage of revenues decreased to 10.5% in the second quarter of 2005 from 12.8% in the second quarter of 2004. These decreases in SG&A expenses expressed as a percentage of revenues primarily reflect the combination of significantly lower incentive compensation expense in both segments for the second quarter of 2005 and the 9% increase in revenues. In addition to an absence of incentive compensation expense being recorded in the second quarter of 2005 due to our 2005 financial performance, amounts previously accrued at March 31, 2005 under our long-term incentive compensation plan and totaling \$0.5 million were reversed in the second quarter of 2005 when such amounts were no longer considered to be payable under the plan. Offsetting these decreases in SG&A expenses expressed as a percentage of revenues was the effect of our settling the A&L litigation. As discussed in Note 11 to the accompanying financial statements, this settlement had the effect of increasing our SG&A expenses (specifically Corporate overhead expense) by \$0.9 million in the second quarter of 2005. Aside from the effect of this litigation settlement, Corporate overhead was flat for the second quarters of 2005 and 2004.

SG&A expenses, including Corporate overhead, decreased by \$2.9 million in the first half of 2005 as compared to the first half of 2004. Similarly, SG&A expenses expressed as a percentage of total contract revenues decreased to 11.2% in the first half of 2005 from 13.6% in the first half of 2004. In the Engineering segment, SG&A expenses expressed as a percentage of revenues decreased to 11.7% in the first half of 2005 from 14.9% in the first half of 2004. In the Energy segment, SG&A expenses expressed as a percentage of revenues decreased to 10.0% in the first half of 2005 from 11.6% in the first half of 2004. These decreases in SG&A expenses expressed as a percentage of revenues primarily reflect the combination of significantly lower incentive compensation expense in both segments for the first half of 2005 and the 12% increase in revenues. Offsetting these decreases in SG&A expenses expressed as a percentage of revenues was the effect of our settling the A&L litigation. As discussed in Note 11 to the accompanying financial statements, this settlement had the effect of increasing our SG&A expenses (specifically Corporate overhead expense) by \$0.9 million in the first half of 2005. Aside from the effect of this litigation settlement, Corporate overhead was flat for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2005 and 2004.

### OTHER INCOME

Interest income was slightly higher for both the second quarter and first half of 2005 as compared to the second quarter and first half of 2004, respectively. We were in a net borrowed position during the second quarter and first half of 2004 versus a net invested position during the second quarter and first half of 2005. Interest expense was \$0.4 million for the second quarters of both 2005 and 2004, and \$0.8 million for the first

-25-

six months of both 2005 and 2004. Interest expense consists primarily of accruals related to our underpayment of income, payroll, value added, and sales and use taxes in our Energy segment (see further discussion in Note 1 to the accompanying financial statements). Other income for the second quarter and first half of 2005 was negligible. Other income for the second quarter of 2004 primarily resulted from currency-related gains, while other income for the first half of 2004 was also attributable to a gain on the sale of an investment.

### INCOME TAXES

## Edgar Filing: BAKER MICHAEL CORP - Form 10-Q

We had a provision for income taxes of 66% for the first half of 2005 up from 56% in the first half of 2004. The effective rate of 66% reflects our forecasted effective rate for the year ending December 31, 2005. The variance between the United States ("U.S.") federal statutory rate and the effective rate for these periods is due primarily to taxes on foreign income, which we are not able to offset with U.S. foreign tax credits. Our effective rate is also negatively impacted by state income taxes, permanent items that are not deductible for U.S. tax purposes, and Nigerian income taxes that are levied on a deemed income basis.

### CONTRACT BACKLOG

(In millions)	JUNE 30, 2005 -----	Dec. 31, 2004 -----
Engineering	\$1,162.8	\$1,115.2
Energy	293.3	284.3
	-----	-----
Total	\$1,456.1 =====	\$1,399.5 =====

Backlog consists of that portion of uncompleted work that is represented by signed or executed contracts. Certain of our contracts with the Federal government and other clients may be terminated at will, or option years may not be exercised; therefore, no assurance can be given that all backlog will be realized.

As of June 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, \$619 million and \$678 million of our backlog, respectively, related to a \$750 million contract in the Engineering segment to assist FEMA in conducting a large-scale overhaul of the nation's flood hazard maps, which commenced late in the first quarter of 2004. This contract includes data collection and analysis, map production, product delivery, and effective program management; and seeks to produce digital flood hazard data, provide access to flood hazard data and maps via the Internet, and implement a nationwide state-of-the-art infrastructure that enables all-hazard mapping. Due to the task order structure of the contract, realization of the timing and amount of the original contract value of \$750 million remains difficult to predict. For Year 1 program objectives, FEMA awarded us Core Task Order 001 with a total cost-plus-award fee value of \$107 million and a performance period of March 11, 2004 to March 31, 2005. We have submitted both: (1) a Core Task Order 001 modification proposal to request additional funding for a revised scope of work and extend the performance period from April 1, 2005 to September 30, 2005 and (2) a proposal for a new Core Task Order for Year 2 program objectives (Core Task Order 2). Pending negotiation of the Core Task Order 001 proposal, FEMA increased the total estimated cost by \$11 million, from \$95 million to \$106 million for costs incurred from April 1, 2005 to June 30, 2005. Additionally, pending definitization of Baker's Core Task Order 2 proposal, on June 30, 2005, FEMA issued Baker a letter contract task order at a not-to-exceed value of \$38 million and incrementally-funded \$23 million for performance costs through September 30, 2005. FEMA has identified specific program objectives and priorities which it intends to accomplish under this program. As the initial task orders are completed and progress against objectives is measured, we will become better able to predict realization of this contract award. We may at a time in the future reduce the backlog accordingly.

## Edgar Filing: BAKER MICHAEL CORP - Form 10-Q

In our Energy segment, we also consider purchase orders from clients for labor services as backlog. These purchase orders typically have a twelve-month term and amounts recorded as revenues on a periodic basis are reduced from backlog. Most purchase orders have cancellation clauses with thirty-day notice provisions.

### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$0.8 million and \$16.2 million for the six months of 2005 and 2004, respectively. The decrease in cash provided by operating activities for the first six months of 2005 resulted primarily from decreases in our accounts payable and other accrued expense balances due to higher FEMA-related payables at year-end 2004 and the payment of incentive compensation during the first quarter of 2005.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$2.2 million and \$1.3 million for the first half of 2005 and 2004, respectively. These amounts reflect only capital expenditures for both periods. We expect that our full-year 2005 capital expenditures will approximate \$7.1 million. The 2005 and 2004 amounts primarily relate to computer software and equipment purchases totaling \$1.5 million and \$0.5 million and office and field equipment purchases totaling \$0.5 million and \$0.6 million, respectively. In addition to capital expenditures, we acquire computer equipment, including software, as well as office space, furniture and fixtures, motor vehicles, and other equipment through operating leases. The use of operating leases reduces the level of capital expenditures that would otherwise be necessary to operate both segments of our business.

Net cash used in financing activities was \$1.6 million and \$13.0 million for the first half of 2005 and 2004, respectively. The cash used in financing activities for the first half of 2005 primarily relates to the payment of \$1.5 million for the repurchase of common stock under our stock repurchase program. The cash usage for the first half of 2004 primarily relates to the repayment of long-term debt totaling \$12.4 million and a decrease in book overdrafts of \$1.2 million. The difference between the aforementioned activity and the net cash usage in both six-month periods, relates to proceeds from the exercise of stock options and payments on capital lease obligations.

Working capital increased to \$45.2 million at June 30, 2005 from \$43.6 million at December 31, 2004. Our current ratios were 1.34:1 at the end of the second quarter of 2005 and 1.32:1 as of year-end 2004.

We have an unsecured credit agreement (the "Agreement") with a consortium of financial institutions. The Agreement provides for a commitment of \$60 million through September 17, 2008. The commitment includes the sum of the principal amount of revolving credit loans outstanding and the aggregate face value of outstanding letters of credit. As of June 30, 2005, only letters of credit totaling \$7.0 million were outstanding under the Agreement. The Agreement requires us to meet minimum equity, leverage, interest and rent coverage, and current ratio covenants. If any of these financial covenants or certain other conditions of borrowing are not achieved, under certain circumstances, after a cure period, the banks may demand the repayment of all borrowings outstanding and/or require deposits to cover the outstanding letters of credit. We expect to be in compliance with these financial covenants for at least the next year.

In connection with the restatement of our consolidated financial statements through March 31, 2005, we did not timely file our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q for the second and third quarters of 2005 and the first quarter of 2006, or our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005. As a result, several covenant violations related to the timing of our financial reporting occurred under the Agreement. The lenders have waived these violations

## Edgar Filing: BAKER MICHAEL CORP - Form 10-Q

by allowing us to file our Forms 10-Q for the quarters ended June 30, 2005 and September 30, 2005, our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005, and our Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2006, with the SEC by August 15, 2006. We expect to complete all of these

-27-

past due filings by August 15, 2006.

Furthermore, we did not meet the SEC's filing deadline related to our Form 10-Q for the second quarter of 2006. Accordingly, our lenders have also waived our resulting covenant violation related to the timing of this filing by allowing us to file such Form 10-Q by September 30, 2006. We currently expect to be able to file our Form 10-Q for the second quarter of 2006 by September 30, 2006. Beginning with our Form 10-Q filing for the third quarter of 2006, we currently expect to complete our quarterly and annual SEC filings within the SEC's filing deadlines.

Our borrowing capacity under the Agreement is available for short-term working capital needs, to support strategic opportunities that management identifies, and to make our past due tax payments. Our strategy is to better position ourselves for growth in our Engineering and Energy segments through selected opportunistic acquisitions that complement our experience, skill and geographic presence. We consider acquisitions and investments as components of our growth strategy and intend to use both existing cash and the Agreement to fund such endeavors. If we commit to funding future acquisitions, we may need to adjust our credit facilities to reflect a longer repayment period on borrowings used for acquisitions. Our failure to file timely financial reports adversely affects our ability to raise additional equity until the filings are completed.

After giving effect to the foregoing, management believes that the combination of cash generated from operations and our existing credit facility will be sufficient to meet our operating and capital expenditure requirements for at least the next year.

This "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" section contains forward-looking statements concerning our future operations and performance. Forward-looking statements are subject to market, operating and economic risks and uncertainties that may cause our actual results in future periods to be materially different from any future performance suggested herein. Factors that may cause such differences include, among others: increased competition, increased costs, changes in general market conditions, changes in industry trends, changes in the regulatory environment, changes in our relationships and/or contracts with FEMA, changes in anticipated levels of government spending on infrastructure, including SAFETEA-LU, changes in loan relationships or sources of financing, changes in management, and changes in information systems. Such forward-looking statements are made pursuant to the Safe Harbor Provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

### ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Currently, our primary interest rate risk relates to our variable-rate investments (included in cash and cash equivalents), which totaled \$8.6 million as of June 30, 2005. Assuming a 10% decrease in interest rates on these variable-rate investments (i.e., a decrease from the actual weighted average interest rate of 1.99% as of June 30, 2005, to a weighted average interest rate of 1.79%), annual interest income would be approximately \$17,000 lower in 2005 based on the outstanding balance of variable-rate investments as of June 30, 2005. Accordingly, we have no material exposure to interest rate risk, nor do we

## Edgar Filing: BAKER MICHAEL CORP - Form 10-Q

have any interest rate swap or exchange agreements.

We have several foreign subsidiaries that transact minor portions of their local activities in currencies other than the U.S. Dollar. In assessing our exposure to foreign currency exchange rate risk, we recognize that the majority of our foreign subsidiaries' assets and liabilities reflect ordinary accounts receivable and payable balances. These receivable and payable balances are substantially settled in the same currencies as the functional currencies of the related foreign subsidiaries, thereby not exposing us to material transaction gains and losses. Assuming that foreign currency exchange rates could change unfavorably by 10%, we would

-28-

have no material exposure to foreign currency exchange rate risk. We have no foreign currency exchange contracts.

Based on the nature of our business, we have no direct exposure to commodity price risk.

### ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

#### CONCLUSIONS REGARDING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") and Chief Financial Officer ("CFO"), of our disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), as of June 30, 2005. This evaluation considered our various procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including our CEO and CFO, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Based upon that evaluation, which included the matters discussed below, our CEO and CFO concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of June 30, 2005. Notwithstanding these material weaknesses, our management has concluded that the financial statements included in this Form 10-Q fairly present in all material respects our financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States ("GAAP").

A material weakness is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of a company's annual or interim financial statements would not be prevented or detected. The following material weaknesses were identified by management as of June 30, 2005:

1. We did not maintain effective controls, including monitoring, over the accounting for and disclosure of our income tax and other tax related accounts. Specifically, we did not maintain a sufficient complement of personnel within its tax accounting function with the appropriate level of knowledge, experience and training in the application of GAAP related to income and other taxes, resulting in us not maintaining effective controls over the completeness, valuation, existence and presentation of our deferred income tax assets and liabilities, including the related valuation allowance; foreign income taxes

## Edgar Filing: BAKER MICHAEL CORP - Form 10-Q

payable, foreign payroll and value added taxes payable; state sales taxes payable; prepaid tax accounts and the related income tax provision and various tax expense accounts. This control deficiency resulted in the restatement of our consolidated financial statements for fiscal years 2001, 2002, 2003 and 2004, and our related interim consolidated financial statements for each of the quarters of 2004 and the first quarter of fiscal year 2005. Additionally, this control deficiency could result in a misstatement in the aforementioned accounts that would result in a material misstatement to the annual or interim consolidated financial statements that would not be prevented or detected. Accordingly, we have determined that this control deficiency constitutes a material weakness.

2. We did not maintain effective controls over the accounting for our contract revenue and related unbilled revenue, other accrued expenses and cost of work performed accounts. Specifically, we did not maintain effective controls to ensure the completeness and accuracy of change orders related to a specific contract. This control deficiency resulted in immaterial misstatements to our consolidated financial statements for the fourth quarter and fiscal year of 2004, and the first quarter of fiscal year

-29-

2005. Additionally, this control deficiency could result in a misstatement in the aforementioned accounts that would result in a material misstatement to the annual or interim consolidated financial statements that would not be prevented or detected. Accordingly, we have determined that this control deficiency constitutes a material weakness.

3. We did not maintain effective controls over the accounting for our incurred but not reported (IBNR) liabilities as required under GAAP. Specifically, we did not properly account for adjustments and increased activity in evaluating the liability. This control deficiency resulted in an adjustment to our condensed consolidated financial statements for the second quarter of fiscal year 2005. This control deficiency could result in a misstatement in the aforementioned accounts that would result in a material misstatement to the annual or interim consolidated financial statements that would not be prevented or detected. Accordingly, we have determined that this control deficiency constitutes a material weakness.

### CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

There were changes, as discussed below, in our "internal control over financial reporting" (as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that occurred during the quarter ended June 30, 2005, and that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

### PLAN FOR REMEDIATION

We believe the steps described below, some of which we have already taken as noted herein, together with others that are ongoing or that we plan to take, will remediate the material weaknesses discussed above:

- (1) We established a tax function with a qualified tax director supported by internal and external resources (began in July 2005).

## Edgar Filing: BAKER MICHAEL CORP - Form 10-Q

- (2) We have supplemented our existing accounting and finance staff with additional internal and external resources as appropriate. We will continue to add financial personnel as necessary to provide adequate resources with appropriate levels of experience and knowledge of GAAP (began in July 2005).
- (3) We have enhanced our review and documentation of accounting estimates. This includes but is not limited to estimates of realizability of tax assets, potential loss contracts and insurance reserves (commenced in October 2005).

In addition, we have implemented the following procedures to improve our internal control over financial reporting:

- (1) We have emphasized certain key controls in an effort to mitigate significant risks and strengthen our control environment. In this regard, we have elevated within the company the awareness and communication of tax-related contingencies and financial reporting risks associated with contract accounting and insurance reserves (began in June 2005).
- (2) We have enhanced our monitoring of accounts by deploying account reconciliation software that facilitates access and review of reconciliations (deployment began in August 2005).

-30-

### PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

#### ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

See discussion in Note 5 to the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements.

#### ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

- (a) The Company's annual meeting of shareholders was held on April 21, 2005.
- (b) Each of management's nominees to the board of directors, as listed in Item 4(c) below, was elected. There was no solicitation in opposition to management's nominees.
- (c) The Shareholders elected each of the Company's directors listed below to one-year terms or until their respective successors have been elected. The votes cast by holders of the Company's Common Stock in approving the following directors were:

Name of Director -----	Votes for -----	Votes withheld -----
Robert N. Bontempo	7,699,170	430,638
Nicholas P. Constantakis	7,746,716	383,092
William J. Copeland	7,582,855	546,953
Donald P. Fusilli, Jr.	7,739,645	390,163
Roy V. Gavert, Jr.	7,706,881	422,927
Thomas D. Larson	7,668,005	461,803



Edgar Filing: BAKER MICHAEL CORP - Form 10-Q

John E. Murray, Jr.	7,883,922	245,886
Pamela S. Pierce	7,754,213	375,595
Richard L. Shaw	7,618,397	511,411

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

(a) The following exhibits are included herewith as a part of this Report:

Exhibit No. -----	Description -----
10.2(b)	Second Amendment to Consulting Agreement dated April 26, 2005, by and between us and Richard L. Shaw, filed herewith.
31.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)
31.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)
32.1	Certifications pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

-31-

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

MICHAEL BAKER CORPORATION

/s/ William P. Mooney

Dated: August 15, 2006

-----  
William P. Mooney  
Executive Vice President and  
Chief Financial Officer

/s/ Craig O. Stuver

Dated: August 15, 2006

-----  
Craig O. Stuver  
Senior Vice President, Corporate  
Controller and Treasurer  
(Chief Accounting Officer)

-32-