FIRST TRUST TAX-ADVANTAGED PREFERRED INCOME FUND Form N-CSR

January 09, 2009

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number 811-21876

FIRST TRUST TAX-ADVANTAGED PREFERRED INCOME FUND

(Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

120 East Liberty Drive WHEATON, IL 60187

.....

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

W. Scott Jardine, Esq.
First Trust Portfolios L.P.
120 East Liberty Drive
 WHEATON, IL 60187

(Name and address of agent for service)

registrant's telephone number, including area code: 630-765-8000

Date of fiscal year end: OCTOBER 31

Date of reporting period: OCTOBER 31, 2008

Form N-CSR is to be used by management investment companies to file reports with the Commission not later than 10 days after the transmission to stockholders of any report that is required to be transmitted to stockholders under Rule 30e-1 under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (17 CFR 270.30e-1). The Commission may use the information provided on Form N-CSR in its regulatory, disclosure review, inspection, and policymaking roles.

A registrant is required to disclose the information specified by Form N-CSR, and the Commission will make this information public. A registrant is not required to respond to the collection of information contained in Form N-CSR unless the Form displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") control number. Please direct comments concerning the accuracy of the information collection burden estimate and any suggestions for reducing the burden to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549. The OMB has reviewed this collection of information under the clearance requirements of 44 U.S.C. ss. 3507.

ITEM 1. REPORTS TO STOCKHOLDERS.

The Report to Shareholders is attached herewith.

(FIRST TRUST LOGO)

ANNUAL REPORT FOR THE YEAR ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2008

FIRST TRUST TAX-ADVANTAGED PREFERRED INCOME FUND

(STONEBRIDGE LOGO)
ADVISORS LLC
An Affiliate of First Trust Portfolios L.P.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FIRST TRUST TAX-ADVANTAGED PREFERRED INCOME FUND (FPI) ANNUAL REPORT OCTOBER 31, 2008

	1
At A Glance	2
Portfolio Commentary	3
Portfolio of Investments	5
Statement of Assets and Liabilities	8
Statement of Operations	9
Statements of Changes in Net Assets 1	10
Financial Highlights 1	11
Notes to Financial Statements 1	
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm 1	
Additional Information	L 9
Board of Trustees and Officers	21
Privacy Policy	

CAUTION REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report contains certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Forward-looking statements include statements regarding the goals, beliefs, plans or current expectations of First Trust Advisors L.P. ("First Trust" or the "Advisor") and/or Stonebridge Advisors LLC ("Stonebridge" or the "Sub-Advisor") and their respective representatives, taking into account the information currently available to them. Forward-looking statements include all statements that do not relate solely to current or historical fact. For example, forward-looking statements include the use of words such as "anticipate," "estimate," "intend," "expect," "believe," "plan," "may," "should," "would" or other words that convey uncertainty of future events or outcomes.

Forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause the actual results, performance or achievements of First Trust Tax-Advantaged Preferred Income Fund (the "Fund") to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. When evaluating the information included in this report, you are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which reflect the judgment of the Advisor and/or Stonebridge and their respective representatives only as of the date hereof. We undertake no obligation to publicly revise or update these forward-looking statements to reflect events and circumstances that arise after the date hereof.

PERFORMANCE AND RISK DISCLOSURE

There is no assurance that the Fund will achieve its investment objectives. The Fund is subject to market risk, which is the possibility that the market values of securities owned by the Fund will decline and that the value of the Fund shares may therefore be less than what you paid for them. Accordingly, you can lose money investing in the Fund. See "Risk Considerations" in the Notes to Financial Statements for a discussion of other risks of investing in the Fund.

Performance data quoted represents past performance, which is no guarantee of future results, and current performance may be lower or higher than the figures shown. For the most recent month-end performance figures, please visit http://www.ftportfolios.com or speak with your financial advisor. Investment returns, net asset value and common share price will fluctuate and Fund shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost.

HOW TO READ THIS REPORT

This report contains information that may help you evaluate your investment. It includes details about the Fund and presents data and analysis that provide insight into the Fund's performance and investment approach.

By reading the portfolio commentary by the portfolio management team of the Fund, you may obtain an understanding of how the market environment affected the Fund's performance. The statistical information that follows may help you understand the Fund's performance compared to that of relevant market benchmarks.

It is important to keep in mind that the opinions expressed by the personnel of Stonebridge are just that: informed opinions. They should not be considered to be promises or advice. The opinions, like the statistics, cover the period through the date on the cover of this report. The risks of investing in the Fund are spelled out in the prospectus, the statement of additional information, this report and other regulatory filings.

SHAREHOLDER LETTER

FIRST TRUST TAX-ADVANTAGED PREFERRED INCOME FUND (FPI)

ANNUAL REPORT

OCTOBER 31, 2008

Dear Shareholders:

The year ended October 31, 2008 has been challenging for the financial markets and for many investors. Yet, First Trust Advisors L.P. ("First Trust") believes that, regardless of the market, in order to be successful in reaching your financial goals, you should be invested for the long term. First Trust also believes that investors should seek professional help from a financial advisor who has been through many types of markets, knows the range of investments available, and is committed to bringing you investments suitable to your particular situation.

Our goal at First Trust has always been to offer a wide range of investment products, including our family of closed-end funds, to help financial advisors give you the opportunity to meet your financial objectives. First Trust has continued to expand our product line to ensure that you have many choices to fit your investment needs.

The report you hold contains detailed information about your investment in the First Trust Tax-Advantaged Preferred Income Fund. It contains a portfolio commentary from the Fund's portfolio management team that provides a market

recap for the period, a performance analysis and a market and Fund outlook. Additionally, the report provides the Fund's financial statements for the year ended October 31, 2008. I encourage you to read this document and discuss it with your financial advisor.

First Trust has been through many types of markets and remains committed to bringing you quality investment solutions regardless of the inevitable ups and downs experienced in the market. First Trust offers a variety of products that may fit many financial plans to help those investors seeking long-term investment success. As well, we are committed to giving you up-to-date reports about your investments so you and your financial advisor have current information on your portfolio.

We continue to value our relationship with you, and we thank you for the opportunity to assist you in achieving your financial goals.

Sincerely,

/s/ James A. Bowen

James A. Bowen

President of First Trust Tax-Advantaged Preferred Income Fund

Page 1

FIRST TRUST TAX-ADVANTAGED PREFERRED INCOME FUND "AT A GLANCE"
AS OF OCTOBER 31, 2008 (UNAUDITED)

FUND STATISTICS

Symbol on NYSE Alternext US (formerly known as the		
American Stock Exchange)		FPI
Common Share Price	\$	5.15
Common Share Net Asset Value ("NAV")	\$	5.75
Premium (Discount) to NAV		(10.43)%
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares	\$17,	137,475
Current Quarterly Distribution per Common Share (1)	\$	0.210
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share	\$	0.840
Current Distribution Rate on Closing Common Share Price (2)		16.31%
Current Distribution Rate on NAV (2)		14.61%

(PERFORMANCE GRAPH)

COMMON SHARE PRICE & NAV (WEEKLY CLOSING PRICE)

10/31/07	15.45	17.59
11/2/07	15.25	17.28
11/9/07	14.35	16.67
11/16/07	13.95	16.3
11/23/07	13.35	16

PERFORMANCE AS OF OCTOBER 31, 2008

	Average Annual
	Total Return
1 Year Ended	Inception (6/20/06)
10/31/2008	to 10/31/2008

Fund Performance NAV (3) -63.39% -34.35%

Market Value (4)	-62.66%	-38.58%
Index Performance		
Blended Benchmark (5)	-52.67%	-25.30%
S&P Preferred Index	-37.88%	-15.53%

TOP 10 HOLDINGS	% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS
Double Eagle Petroleum Corp., Series A, 9.25% Zurich RegCaPS Funding Trust VI, 4.25% GMX Resources Inc., Series B, 9.25% Willis Lease Finance Corp., Series A, 9.00% Wisconsin Public Service Corp., 6.88% US Bancorp, Series D, 7.875% Heartland Financial, 8.26% Alabama Power Company, 5.63% Southern California Edison Company, 6.13% National City Corp., 9.875%	7.0% 6.6 5.6 5.2 3.9 3.8 3.4 3.3 3.3
Total	45.3% ====

	% OF TOTAL
INDUSTRY	INVESTMENTS
Commercial Banks	34.6%
Insurance	22.8
Oil, Gas & Consumable Fuels	12.7
Electric Utilities	12.4
Diversified Financial Services	5.4
Trading Companies & Distributors	5.2
Capital Markets	2.7
Thrifts & Mortgage Finance	2.4
Consumer Finance	1.0
Multi-Utilities	0.8
Total	100.0%
	=====

CREDIT QUALITY	% OF TOTAL INVESTMENTS
AA A BBB BB CC NR	2.6% 41.7 26.0 5.1 0.3 24.3
Total	100.0%

- (1) Most recent distribution paid or declared through 10/31/2008. This distribution was decreased subsequent to 10/31/2008. See Note 11 -"Subsequent Events" in the Notes to Financial Statements.
- (2) Distribution rates are calculated by annualizing the most recent distribution paid or declared through the year ended 10/31/2008 and then dividing by Common Share price or NAV, as applicable, as of 10/31/2008.
- (3) Total return based on NAV is the combination of reinvested distributions and reinvested capital gain distributions, if any, at prices obtained by the Dividend Reinvestment Plan and changes in NAV per share and does not reflect sales load. Past performance is not indicative of future results.
- (4) Total return based on market value is the combination of reinvested dividend distributions and reinvested capital gains distributions, if any, at prices obtained by the Dividend Reinvestment Plan and changes in Common Share price. Past performance is not indicative of future results.
- (5) Blended benchmark consists of the following: Merrill Lynch Preferred Stock DRD Eligible Index (50%) Merrill Lynch Preferred Stock Adjustable Rate Index (27%) Merrill Lynch Preferred Stock American Depository Shares Index (15%) Scotia Capital Canada Income Trust Total Return Index (8%)

Page 2

PORTFOLIO COMMENTARY

SUB-ADVISOR

STONEBRIDGE ADVISORS LLC ("Stonebridge" or the "Sub-Advisor") is the sub-advisor to First Trust Tax-Advantaged Preferred Income Fund (NYSE Alternext US: FPI) and is a registered investment advisor based in Wilton, Connecticut. Stonebridge specializes in the management of preferred securities and North American equity income securities.

PORTFOLIO MANAGEMENT TEAM

SCOTT FLEMING is President and CEO of Stonebridge Advisors LLC. Prior to founding Stonebridge, Mr. Fleming co-founded Spectrum Asset Management, Inc., an investment advisor that specializes in preferred securities asset management for institutional clients and mutual funds. Mr. Fleming previously served as Vice President, Portfolio Manager for DBL Preferred Management, Inc. in New York City. As head portfolio manager, he managed institutional assets with a strategy specializing in preferred securities. Mr. Fleming received his MBA in Finance from Babson College in Wellesley, Massachusetts and a BS in Accounting from Bentley College in Waltham, Massachusetts.

ROBERT DEROCHIE serves as Senior Vice President, Portfolio Manager and Analyst for Stonebridge. Prior to joining Stonebridge, Mr. DeRochie was Chief Financial Officer for Startech Environment Corporation. He previously worked as Vice President and Portfolio Manager for Queensway Investment Counsel, Ltd. in Canada where he managed custom portfolios for institutional clients, primarily in fixed-income and preferred securities. Prior to Queensway, Mr. DeRochie was Assistant Vice President and Portfolio Manager for National Reinsurance Corporation, where he managed the firm's fixed-income securities and preferred

securities portfolios. Mr. DeRochie received his BS in Finance and Economics from Alfred University, Alfred, New York, and his MBA in Finance from the University of Bridgeport, Bridgeport, Connecticut.

RICHARD FORTIN, CFA, serves as Vice President, Portfolio Manager and Analyst for Stonebridge. Mr. Fortin brings over 11 years of equity capital markets experience to Stonebridge. Prior to joining Stonebridge, Mr. Fortin was Senior Equity Analyst for the Telus Corporation Pension Fund where he was a member of the Canadian Equities team that managed assets exceeding \$US 1 billion. At Telus, Mr. Fortin's responsibilities encompassed detailed company analysis across numerous industries, investment strategy formulation, security selection and portfolio construction. Mr. Fortin previously worked as an Equity Analyst for Soundvest Capital Management (formerly Queensway Investment Counsel, Ltd.) in Canada where he performed analysis of equities in a broad range of industries in Canada and the United States. Mr. Fortin received his Honors Bachelor of Commerce degree from the University of Ottawa in 1995, and his CFA designation in 2000. Mr. Fortin has resigned from Stonebridge effective December 31, 2008.

COMMENTARY

FIRST TRUST TAX-ADVANTAGED PREFERRED INCOME FUND

The primary investment objective of First Trust Tax-Advantaged Preferred Income Fund ("FPI" or the "Fund") is to seek current income. As a secondary objective, the Fund seeks capital preservation. The Fund pursues its objectives by investing primarily in preferred securities that the Sub-Advisor believes at the time of investment are eligible to pay dividends that qualify for certain favorable federal income tax treatment as "tax-advantaged" when received by shareholders of the Fund. There is no assurance that the Fund's objectives will be achieved. The Fund may not be appropriate for all investors.

MARKET RECAP

There have been two words often used to describe the financial markets over the past year: brutal and unprecedented. The turmoil in the global financial markets has been unavoidable for a Fund that invests in preferred securities, which make up a significant part of the capital base for nearly all financial institutions. The U.S. Government's attempts at intervention only seemed to dispel fears for a short period before further uncertainty over the stability of the financial markets caused more disruption in the markets. The volatility in the equity and credit markets has led to wild price swings which have led to new lows in search of a bottom. Outside of U.S. Treasuries, investors have seen virtually all asset classes suffer declines. Among those hardest hit has been the financial services industry. Many of the institutions in financial services once thought of as safe investment choices and business partners were brought to their knees as credit pressures mounted, depositors fled, counterparties balked, and liquidity dried up. The announcement and implementation of the Troubled Asset Relief Program ("TARP") in October, thought by some to be the path to recovery, has only provided a short-term boost to the financial institutions involved. Many believe this to be the worst market and weakest economy since the Great Depression of 1929.

Page 3

PORTFOLIO COMMENTARY - (CONTINUED)

PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

Preferred securities have been one of the hardest hit asset classes in this

downturn that started in the middle of 2007 primarily because of its exposure to the financial industry. Approximately 90% of the issuers in the preferred market are from the financial industry. Consequently, all preferred securities closed-end funds have been negatively impacted, including FPI. For the year ended October 31, 2008, FPI had a total return on market price of -62.7% and a total return on net asset value ("NAV") of -63.4%. This compares unfavorably to our blended benchmark return of -52.7% (see table below) for the same period for three primary reasons: IndyMac Bancorp Inc., Irwin Financial Corp. and Heartland Financial. All of these companies have suspended dividends on their private placement preferred securities held by the Fund and these large positions were not components of our benchmark. The value of these securities has declined over 90% for the year. FPI also experienced severe losses on three other issues that are included in the benchmarks: Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and Lehman Brothers. The breakout of benchmark returns over the period was as follows:

INDEX / WEIGHTING	12-MONTH TOTAL RETURN
Merrill Lynch Preferred Stock DRD Eligible Index (50%)	-56.5%
Merrill Lynch Preferred Stock Adjustable Rate Index (27%)	-61.5%
Merrill Lynch Preferred Stock American Depository	
Shares Index (15%)	-32.4%
Scotia Capital Canada Income Total Return Index (8%)	-33.6%

Due to the severe decline in Fund assets during the last few months, leverage in the Fund has been reduced from \$22 million to \$9.9 million, or approximately 55%. This was required in order to maintain a leverage ratio below 50% so that dividend distributions from the Fund can continue each quarter. This reduction in leverage resulted in the untimely liquidation of certain portfolio positions to the detriment of the Fund. Further reductions in the amount of leverage may be necessary.

MARKET AND FUND OUTLOOK

President-elect Barack Obama is scheduled to take office in late January. One of the many issues he is facing will be the fate of Qualified Dividend Income ("QDI") dividends, which are set to expire on January 1, 2011. Mr. Obama has stated a desire to increase the dividend tax rate from 15% to 20% on investors who earn over \$250,000 in a year. Close to 98% of the income received and paid out of the Fund qualifies for the 15% dividend tax rate. Any increase in the tax rate may reduce the attractiveness and price of these securities. Given the current state of the economy, many expect that Congress and the Obama administration may delay this rate increase; however, there is no guarantee that this will occur. An extension of the 15% dividend tax rate for individuals would be the most beneficial outcome for the Fund's investors.

Page 4

FIRST TRUST TAX-ADVANTAGED PREFERRED INCOME FUND PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS (a) OCTOBER 31, 2008

SHARES	DESCRIPTION	VALUE

	SECURITIES - 152.7% CAPITAL MARKETS - 4.2%	
40,000	Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc., Series J, 7.95% (b)	\$ 240
15,000	Merrill Lynch & Co Inc., Series Q, 8.625%	308,100
39,400	Morgan Stanley, Series A, 5.45% (c)	409,760
		718,100
	COMMERCIAL BANKS - 53.3%	
50,000	ABN AMRO Capital Funding Trust VII, Series G, 6.08%	609 , 000
22,900	Barclays Bank PLC, Series 3, 7.10%	360,904
16,100	Barclays Bank PLC, Series D, 8.125%	259,532
20,000	Credit Suisse Guernsey Ltd., 7.90%	382,800
6,000 30,000	Heartland Financial, 8.26% (d) (e) (f)	900,000 690,000
10,000	HSBC Holdings PLC, Series A, 6.20%	177,000
6,000	Irwin Financial Corporation, Series A, 8.61% (c) (d) (e) (f)	750,000
40,000	National City Corp., Series F, 9.875%	838,000
1,000,000	PNC Financial Services Group, Inc., 8.25%	825,349
20,000	Royal Bank of Scotland PLC, Series Q, 6.75%	221,800
42,800	Royal Bank of Scotland PLC, Series R, 6.13%	453,680
15,900	Royal Bank of Scotland PLC, Series T, 7.25%	189,051
20,000	Santander Finance Preferred SA Unipersonal, 6.50%	320,000
30,000	Santander Finance Preferred SA Unipersonal, 6.80%	513,000
5,000	Santander Finance Preferred SA Unipersonal, Series 6, 4.00% (c)	52 , 500
40,000	US Bancorp, Series D, 7.875%	1,008,000
30,000	Wachovia Corp., 8.00%	589 , 500
		9,140,116
		9,140,110
	CONSUMER FINANCE - 1.4%	
10,000	SLM Corp., Series B, 3.52% (c)	247,400
28,100	DIVERSIFIED FINANCIAL SERVICES - 8.4%	
38,500	Bank of America Corp., Series I, 6.625%	553 , 570
	Bank of America Corp., Series I, 6.625%	553 , 570 476 , 630
500,000	Bank of America Corp., Series I, 6.625%	553,570 476,630 406,263
	Bank of America Corp., Series I, 6.625%	553,570 476,630 406,263
	Bank of America Corp., Series I, 6.625%	553,570 476,630 406,263
	Bank of America Corp., Series I, 6.625%	553,570 476,630 406,263
500,000	Bank of America Corp., Series I, 6.625%	553,570 476,630 406,263
500,000 40,000	Bank of America Corp., Series I, 6.625%	553,570 476,630 406,263 1,436,463
40,000 20,000	Bank of America Corp., Series I, 6.625% ING Groep NV, 6.125% JPMorgan Chase & Co, Series 1, 7.90% (c) ELECTRIC UTILITIES - 19.1% Alabama Power Company, 5.63% San Diego Gas & Electric Company, 6.80%	553,570 476,630 406,263
40,000 20,000 10,000	Bank of America Corp., Series I, 6.625% ING Groep NV, 6.125% JPMorgan Chase & Co, Series 1, 7.90% (c) ELECTRIC UTILITIES - 19.1% Alabama Power Company, 5.63% San Diego Gas & Electric Company, 6.80% Southern California Edison Company, 6.13%	553,570 476,630 406,263
40,000 20,000	Bank of America Corp., Series I, 6.625% ING Groep NV, 6.125% JPMorgan Chase & Co, Series 1, 7.90% (c) ELECTRIC UTILITIES - 19.1% Alabama Power Company, 5.63% San Diego Gas & Electric Company, 6.80%	553,570 476,630 406,263
40,000 20,000 10,000	Bank of America Corp., Series I, 6.625% ING Groep NV, 6.125% JPMorgan Chase & Co, Series 1, 7.90% (c) ELECTRIC UTILITIES - 19.1% Alabama Power Company, 5.63% San Diego Gas & Electric Company, 6.80% Southern California Edison Company, 6.13%	553,570 476,630 406,263
40,000 20,000 10,000	Bank of America Corp., Series I, 6.625% ING Groep NV, 6.125% JPMorgan Chase & Co, Series 1, 7.90% (c) ELECTRIC UTILITIES - 19.1% Alabama Power Company, 5.63% San Diego Gas & Electric Company, 6.80% Southern California Edison Company, 6.13%	553,570 476,630 406,263
40,000 20,000 10,000	Bank of America Corp., Series I, 6.625% ING Groep NV, 6.125% JPMorgan Chase & Co, Series 1, 7.90% (c) ELECTRIC UTILITIES - 19.1% Alabama Power Company, 5.63% San Diego Gas & Electric Company, 6.80% Southern California Edison Company, 6.13% Wisconsin Public Service Corp., 6.88% INSURANCE - 35.1%	553,570 476,630 406,263
40,000 20,000 10,000 20,000	Bank of America Corp., Series I, 6.625% ING Groep NV, 6.125% JPMorgan Chase & Co, Series 1, 7.90% (c) ELECTRIC UTILITIES - 19.1% Alabama Power Company, 5.63% San Diego Gas & Electric Company, 6.80% Southern California Edison Company, 6.13% Wisconsin Public Service Corp., 6.88% INSURANCE - 35.1% Allianz SE, 8.375%	553,570 476,630 406,263
40,000 20,000 10,000 10,000 20,000 40,000	Bank of America Corp., Series I, 6.625% ING Groep NV, 6.125% JPMorgan Chase & Co, Series 1, 7.90% (c) ELECTRIC UTILITIES - 19.1% Alabama Power Company, 5.63% San Diego Gas & Electric Company, 6.80% Southern California Edison Company, 6.13% Wisconsin Public Service Corp., 6.88% INSURANCE - 35.1% Allianz SE, 8.375% Aspen Insurance Holdings Ltd., 7.40% (c)	553,570 476,630 406,263
40,000 20,000 10,000 10,000 40,000 40,000	Bank of America Corp., Series I, 6.625% ING Groep NV, 6.125% JPMorgan Chase & Co, Series 1, 7.90% (c) ELECTRIC UTILITIES - 19.1% Alabama Power Company, 5.63% San Diego Gas & Electric Company, 6.80% Southern California Edison Company, 6.13% Wisconsin Public Service Corp., 6.88% INSURANCE - 35.1% Allianz SE, 8.375% Aspen Insurance Holdings Ltd., 7.40% (c) Axis Capital Holdings Ltd., Series A, 7.25%	553,570 476,630 406,263
40,000 20,000 10,000 10,000 40,000 40,000 40,000	Bank of America Corp., Series I, 6.625% ING Groep NV, 6.125% JPMorgan Chase & Co, Series 1, 7.90% (c) ELECTRIC UTILITIES - 19.1% Alabama Power Company, 5.63% San Diego Gas & Electric Company, 6.80% Southern California Edison Company, 6.13% Wisconsin Public Service Corp., 6.88% INSURANCE - 35.1% Allianz SE, 8.375% Aspen Insurance Holdings Ltd., 7.40% (c) Axis Capital Holdings Ltd., Series A, 7.25% Endurance Specialty Holdings Ltd., Series A, 7.75%	553,570 476,630 406,263
40,000 20,000 10,000 10,000 40,000 40,000 40,000 8,700	Bank of America Corp., Series I, 6.625% ING Groep NV, 6.125% JPMorgan Chase & Co, Series 1, 7.90% (c) ELECTRIC UTILITIES - 19.1% Alabama Power Company, 5.63% San Diego Gas & Electric Company, 6.80% Southern California Edison Company, 6.13% Wisconsin Public Service Corp., 6.88% INSURANCE - 35.1% Allianz SE, 8.375% Aspen Insurance Holdings Ltd., 7.40% (c) Axis Capital Holdings Ltd., Series A, 7.25% Endurance Specialty Holdings Ltd., Series A, 7.75% Genworth Financial Inc., 5.25%	553,570 476,630 406,263
40,000 20,000 10,000 10,000 40,000 40,000 40,000	Bank of America Corp., Series I, 6.625% ING Groep NV, 6.125% JPMorgan Chase & Co, Series 1, 7.90% (c) ELECTRIC UTILITIES - 19.1% Alabama Power Company, 5.63% San Diego Gas & Electric Company, 6.80% Southern California Edison Company, 6.13% Wisconsin Public Service Corp., 6.88% INSURANCE - 35.1% Allianz SE, 8.375% Aspen Insurance Holdings Ltd., 7.40% (c) Axis Capital Holdings Ltd., Series A, 7.25% Endurance Specialty Holdings Ltd., Series A, 7.75%	553,570 476,630 406,263

See Notes to Financial Statements

Page 5

FIRST TRUST TAX-ADVANTAGED PREFERRED INCOME FUND PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS (a) - (CONTINUED) OCTOBER 31, 2008

SHARES	DESCRIPTION	VALUE
PREFERRED S	SECURITIES - (CONTINUED) INSURANCE - (CONTINUED)	
40,000 2,500	RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd., Series D, 6.60%	\$ 653,200 1,742,925
		6,010,072
113,500 81,444	OIL, GAS & CONSUMABLE FUELS - 19.5% Double Eagle Petroleum Corp., Series A, 9.25%	1,858,562 1,483,095
		3,341,657
20,000 3,500 200,000 36,100	THRIFTS & MORTGAGE FINANCE - 3.7% Fannie Mae, Series O, 7.00% (c) (g)	60,626 3,264 2,000 566,770
		632 , 660
180,000	TRADING COMPANIES & DISTRIBUTORS - 8.0% Willis Lease Finance Corp., Series A, 9.00%	1,371,600
	TOTAL PREFERRED SECURITIES	
CANADIAN IN	(Cost \$53,365,236) NCOME TRUSTS - 1.3% MULTI UTILITIES - 1.3%	
21,398	Energy Savings Income Fund	216 , 590
	TOTAL CANADIAN INCOME TRUSTS	216,590
RIGHTS - 0.	(Cost \$334,726) .0% MULTI-UTILITIES - 0.0% Energy Savings Income Fund, Expiration 12/31/08 (d) (f)	0
	TOTAL RIGHTS	0
	(Cost \$0) TOTAL INVESTMENTS - 154.0%	26,391,223 650,212 (9,903,960)
	NET ASSETS (APPLICABLE TO COMMON SHAREHOLDERS) - 100.0%	\$17,137,475

See Notes to Financial Statements

Page 6

FIRST TRUST TAX-ADVANTAGED PREFERRED INCOME FUND PORTFOLIO OF INVESTMENTS (a) - (CONTINUED) OCTOBER 31, 2008

- (a) All percentages shown in the Portfolio of Investments are based on net assets.
- (b) This company has filed for protection in federal bankruptcy court and dividends have been suspended.
- (c) Variable rate security. The interest rate shown reflects the rate in effect at October 31, 2008.
- (d) Non-income producing security.
- (e) This security is restricted and cannot be offered for public sale without first being registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. Prior to registration, restricted securities may only be resold in transactions exempt from registration. At October 31, 2008, the value of these securities amounted to \$3,394,925 or 19.81% of net assets applicable to Common Shareholders (Note 2E - Restricted Securities in the Notes to Financial Statements).
- (f) This security is fair valued in accordance with valuation procedures adopted by the Fund's Board of Trustees.
- (g) The U.S. Government took control over this company in September 2008 and dividends have been suspended.
- (h) Aggregate cost for federal income tax purposes is \$53,743,313. As of October 31, 2008, the aggregate gross unrealized appreciation for all securities in which there was an excess of value over tax cost was \$265,970, and the aggregate gross unrealized depreciation for all securities in which there was an excess of tax cost over value was \$27,618,060.

See Notes to Financial Statements

Page 7

FIRST TRUST TAX-ADVANTAGED PREFERRED INCOME FUND STATEMENT OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES OCTOBER 31, 2008

ASSETS:		
Investments, at val	lue	
(Cost \$53,699,96	62)	
Cash		
Segregated cash		

Prepaid expenses .	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	 •
Receivables:		
Dividends recei	vable	
Interest receiv	able	

Total Assets

LIABILITIES:

Pavables:

Audit and tax fees
Investment advisory fees
Printing fees
Administrative fees
Legal fees
Transfer agent fees
Custodian fees
Trustees' fees and expenses

NET ASSETS INCLUDING AUCTION PREFERRED SHARES

AUCTION PREFERRED SHARES:

NET ASSETS (APPLICABLE TO COMMON SHAREHOLDERS)

NET ASSETS CONSIST OF:

Paid-in capital
Par value

Net Assets (Applicable to Common Shareholders)

NET ASSET VALUE, per Common Shares outstanding (par value \$0.01 per Common Share)

Number of Common Shares outstanding (unlimited number of Common Shares has been authorized)

Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on investments, futures contracts and foreign currenci

See Notes to Financial Statements

Page 8

FIRST TRUST TAX-ADVANTAGED PREFERRED INCOME FUND STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED OCTOBER 31, 2008

INVESTMENT INCOME:

Total investment income

EXPENSES:
Investment advisory fees
Administrative fees
Auction Preferred Shares commission fees
Trustees' fees and expenses
Audit and tax fees
Transfer agent fees
Legal fees
Printing fees
Custodian fees
Other
Total expenses
Fees waived by the investment advisor
Net expenses
NET INVESTMENT INCOME
NET REALIZED AND UNREALIZED GAIN (LOSS):
Net realized gain (loss) on:
Investments
Futures contracts
Foreign currencies
Net realized gain (loss)
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on:
Investments
Futures contracts
Foreign currencies
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)
NET REALIZED AND UNREALIZED GAIN (LOSS)
AUCTION PREFERRED SHARE DIVIDENDS
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN NET ASSETS APPLICABLE TO COMMON SHAREHOLDERS RESULTING FROM OPERATIONS

See Notes to Financial Statements

Page 9

FIRST TRUST TAX-ADVANTAGED PREFERRED INCOME FUND STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN NET ASSETS

> YEAR ENDED 10/31/0

OPERATIONS:	
Net investment income (loss)	\$ 4,009,
Net realized gain (loss)	(11,802,
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	(23,342,
Dividends paid from net investment income	(898,
Net increase (decrease) in net assets applicable to Common Shareholders resulting	
from operations	(32,033,
Dividends paid from net investment income	(3,085,
Return of capital	(175,
Total distributions to Common Shareholders	(3,261,
CAPITAL TRANSACTIONS:	
Net proceeds from 0 and 8,107 of Common Shares reinvested, respectively Preferred Share offering costs	
Total capital transactions	
Total increase (decrease) in net assets applicable to Common Shareholders NET ASSETS (APPLICABLE TO COMMON SHAREHOLDERS):	(35,295,
Beginning of year	52,433,
End of year	\$ 17,137, =======
Accumulated net investment gain (loss) at end of year	

See Notes to Financial Statements

Page 10

FIRST TRUST TAX-ADVANTAGED PREFERRED INCOME FUND FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS
FOR A COMMON SHARE OUTSTANDING THROUGHOUT EACH PERIOD

	YEAR ENDED 10/31/08	YEAR ENDED 10/31/0
Net asset value, beginning of period	\$ 17.60	\$ 19.5
INCOME FROM INVESTMENT OPERATIONS: Net investment income (loss)	1.34 (11.80)	1.5 (1.6
Distributions paid to Auction Preferred Shareholders: Dividends paid from net investment income	(0.30)	(0.3
Total from investment operations	(10.76)	(0.4
DISTRIBUTIONS PAID TO COMMON SHAREHOLDERS: From net investment income	(1.03)	(1.2

From realized gain on investments	(0.06)	(0.1
Total distributions to Common Shareholders	(1.09)	(1.5
Dilutive impact from the offering of Auction Preferred Shares		
Common Share offering costs charged to paid-in capital		
Net asset value, end of period	\$ 5.75	\$ 17.6
Market value, end of period	\$ 5.15	\$ 15.4
TOTAL RETURN BASED ON NET ASSET VALUE (d)(e)	(63.39)%	(2.3
TOTAL RETURN BASED ON MARKET VALUE (e)(f)	(62.66)% ======	(12.8
RATIOS TO AVERAGE NET ASSETS AVAILABLE TO COMMON SHAREHOLDERS:	======	=====
Ratio of total expenses to average net assets	2.57%	2.0
Ratio of net expenses to average net assets	2.16%	1.5
Ratio of net expenses to average net assets excluding interest expense	2.16%	1.5
Ratio of net investment income to average net assets	10.97%	8.2
Preferred Share dividends (h)	8.51%	6.4
Portfolio turnover rate	65%	13
Net assets, end of period (in 000's)	\$17,137	\$52,43
interest expense (i)	1.37%	1.1
Ratio of net expenses to total average Managed Assets (i)	1.37%	1.1
Ratio of total expenses to total average Managed Assets (i)	1.63%	1.4
Total Auction Preferred Shares outstanding	396	88
Liquidation and market value per Auction Preferred Share (j)	\$25,010	\$25,00
Asset coverage per share (k)	\$68,286	\$84,58

⁽a) Initial seed date of June 20, 2006. The Fund commenced operations on June 27, 2006.

⁽b) Net of sales load of \$0.90 per share on initial shares issued.

⁽c) The expenses associated with the offering of the Auction Preferred Shares had a \$(0.14) impact on the Common Share NAV.

⁽d) Total return based on net asset value is the combination of reinvested dividend distributions and reinvested capital gains distributions, if any, at prices obtained by the Dividend Reinvestment Plan and changes in net asset value per share and does not reflect sales load.

⁽e) Total return is not annualized for periods less than one year.

⁽f) Total return based on market value is the combination of reinvested dividend distributions and reinvested capital gains distributions, if any, at prices obtained by the Dividend Reinvestment Plan and changes in Common Share price.

⁽g) Annualized.

⁽h) The ratio reflects income net of operating expenses and payments and changes in unpaid dividends to Auction Preferred Shareholders.

- (i) Managed Assets include the value of the securities and other instruments the Fund holds plus cash or other assets, including interest accrued but not yet received, minus accrued liabilities other than the principal amount of borrowings.
- (j) Includes accumulated and unpaid dividends.
- (k) Calculated by subtracting the Fund's total liabilities (not including the Auction Preferred Shares and the unpaid dividends on the Auction Preferred Shares) from the Fund's total assets, and dividing by the number of Auction Preferred Shares outstanding.

See Notes to Financial Statements

Page 11

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

FIRST TRUST TAX-ADVANTAGED PREFERRED INCOME FUND OCTOBER 31, 2008

1. FUND DESCRIPTION

First Trust Tax-Advantaged Preferred Income Fund (the "Fund") is a diversified, closed-end management investment company organized as a Massachusetts business trust on March 9, 2006 and is registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the "1940 Act"). The Fund trades under the ticker symbol FPI on the NYSE Alternext US (formerly known as the American Stock Exchange).

The Fund's primary investment objective is to seek current income. The Fund seeks capital preservation as a secondary objective. Under normal market conditions, the Fund will invest at least 80% of it Managed Assets in preferred securities that Stonebridge Advisors LLC ("Stonebridge" or the "Sub-Advisor") believes at the time of investment are eligible to pay dividends that qualify for certain favorable federal income tax treatment as "tax-advantaged" when received by shareholders of the Fund. "Managed Assets" means the average daily gross asset value of the Fund (including assets attributable to the Fund's Preferred Shares, if any, and the principal amount of borrowings) minus the sum of the Fund's accrued and unpaid dividends on any outstanding Preferred Shares and accrued liabilities (other than the principal amount of any borrowings incurred). There can be no assurance that the Fund will achieve its investment objectives. The Fund may not be appropriate for all investors.

2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The following is a summary of significant accounting policies consistently followed by the Fund in the preparation of its financial statements. The preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

A. PORTFOLIO VALUATION:

The net asset value ("NAV") of the Common Shares of the Fund is determined daily as of the close of regular trading on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE"), normally 4:00 p.m. Eastern Time, on each day the NYSE is open for trading.

Domestic debt securities and foreign securities are priced using data reflecting the earlier closing of the principal markets for those securities. The NAV per Common Share is calculated by dividing the value of all assets of the Fund (including accrued interest and dividends), less all liabilities (including accrued expenses, dividends declared but unpaid and any borrowings of the Fund) and the liquidation value of any outstanding Auction Preferred Shares, by the total number of Common Shares outstanding.

The Fund's investments are valued daily at market value or, in the absence of market value with respect to any portfolio securities, at fair value according to valuation procedures adopted by the Fund's Board of Trustees. Securities for which market quotations are readily available are valued at market value, which is currently determined using the last reported sale price or, if no sales are reported (as in the case of some securities traded over-the-counter), the last reported bid price, except that certain U.S. government securities are valued at the mean between the last reported bid and asked prices. The Fund will value other debt securities not traded in an organized market on the basis of valuations provided by dealers or by an independent pricing service, approved by the Fund's Board of Trustees, which uses information with respect to transactions in such securities, quotations from dealers, market transactions for comparable securities, various relationships between securities and yield to maturity in determining value. Debt securities having a remaining maturity of less than sixty days when purchased are valued at amortized cost. In the event that market quotations are not readily available, the pricing service does not provide a valuation for a particular asset, or the valuations are deemed unreliable, First Trust Advisors L.P. ("First Trust") may use a fair value method to value the Fund's securities and investments. Additionally, if events occur after the close of the principal markets for particular securities (e.g., domestic debt and foreign securities), but before the Fund values its assets, that could materially affect NAV, First Trust may use a fair value method to value the Fund's securities and investments. The use of fair value pricing by the Fund is governed by valuation procedures adopted by the Fund's Board of Trustees and in accordance with the provisions of the 1940 Act.

Futures contracts, as explained below, are valued daily, and the Fund's net equity therein, representing unrealized gain or loss on the contracts as measured by the difference between the forward foreign exchange rates at the reporting date, is included in the Statement of Assets and Liabilities.

B. FUTURES CONTRACTS:

Upon entering into a futures contract, the Fund is required to deposit with the broker an amount of cash or cash equivalents equal to a certain percentage of the contract amount (known as an initial margin deposit). Subsequent payments (known as variation margin) are made or received by the Fund each day, depending on the daily fluctuation of the value of the contract. The daily changes in contract value are recorded as unrealized gains or losses, and the Fund recognizes a realized gain or loss when the contract is closed. Should market

Page 12

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (CONTINUED)

FIRST TRUST TAX-ADVANTAGED PREFERRED INCOME FUND OCTOBER 31, 2008

conditions change unexpectedly, the Fund may not achieve the anticipated benefits of the futures contracts and may realize a loss. The use of futures transactions involves the risk of imperfect correlation in movements in the

price of futures contracts, interest rates and the underlying hedged assets. As of October 31, 2008, there were no open futures contracts.

C. SECURITIES TRANSACTIONS AND INVESTMENT INCOME:

Securities transactions are recorded as of the trade date. Realized gains and losses from securities transactions are recorded on the identified cost basis. Dividend income is recorded on the ex-dividend date. Interest income is recorded on the accrual basis. Amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts are recorded using the effective interest method.

D. FOREIGN CURRENCY:

The books and records of the Fund are maintained in U.S. dollars. Foreign currencies, investments and other assets and liabilities are translated into U.S. dollars at the exchange rates prevailing at the end of the period. Purchases and sales of investment securities and items of income and expense are translated on the respective dates of such transactions. Unrealized gains and losses which result from changes in foreign currency exchange rates have been included in "Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on foreign currencies" in the Statement of Operations. Net realized foreign currency gains and losses include the effect of changes in exchange rates between trade date and settlement date on investment security transactions, foreign currency transactions and interest and dividends received. The portion of foreign currency gains and losses related to fluctuations in exchange rates between the initial purchase trade date and subsequent sale trade date is included in "Net realized gain (loss) on foreign currencies" in the Statement of Operations.

E. RESTRICTED SECURITIES:

The Fund invests in restricted securities, which are securities that cannot be offered for public sale without first being registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. Prior to registration, restricted securities may only be resold in transactions exempt from registration. As of October 31, 2008, the Fund held restricted securities as shown in the following table. The Fund does not have the right to demand that such securities be registered. These securities are valued according to the valuation procedures as stated in the Portfolio Valuation footnote (Note 2A) and are not expressed as a discount to the carrying value of comparable unrestricted securities.

SECURITY 	ACQUISITION DATE	SHARES	VALUE PER SHARE	CARRYING COST
Heartland Financial, 8.26% IndyMac Bank FSB, 8.50% Irwin Financial Corporation, Series A, 8.61% Zurich RegCaPS Funding Trust VI, 4.25%	12/21/06 05/22/07 12/22/06 02/06/07	6,000 200,000 6,000 2,500	\$150.00 0.01 125.00 697.17	\$ 6,000,000 5,000,000 5,940,000 2,575,000
		214,500		\$19,515,000 ======

F. DIVIDENDS AND DISTRIBUTIONS TO SHAREHOLDERS:

The Fund will distribute to holders of its Common Shares quarterly dividends of all or a portion of its net income after the payment of interest and dividends in connection with leverage. Distributions will automatically be reinvested into additional Common Shares pursuant to the Fund's Dividend Reinvestment Plan

unless cash distributions are elected by the shareholder.

Distributions from income and capital gains are determined in accordance with income tax regulations, which may differ from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These differences are primarily due to differing treatments of income and gains on various investment securities held by the Fund, timing differences and differing characterization of distributions made by the Fund. Permanent differences incurred during the fiscal year ended October 31, 2008, resulting in book and tax accounting differences, have been reclassified at year end to reflect a decrease in accumulated net investment income by \$23,851, a decrease in accumulated net realized gain (loss) on investments by \$141,917 and an increase to paid-in capital of \$165,768. Net assets were not affected by this reclassification.

The tax character of distributions paid during the fiscal years ended October 31, 2008 and October 31, 2007 was as follows:

DISTRIBUTIONS PAID FROM:	2008	2007
Ordinary Income	\$3,984,164	\$5 , 192 , 932
Long-Term Capital Gains	\$	\$
Return of Capital	\$ 175,995	\$ 291,522

Page 13

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (CONTINUED)

FIRST TRUST TAX-ADVANTAGED PREFERRED INCOME FUND OCTOBER 31, 2008

As of October 31, 2008, the components of distributable earnings on a tax basis were as follows:

Undistributed	Ordinary Incom	ne		\$	
Undistributed	Long-Term Cap	ital Gains .		\$	
Net Unrealize	d Appreciation	(Depreciati	on)	\$(27,352,2	295)

G. INCOME TAXES:

The Fund intends to continue to qualify as a regulated investment company by complying with the requirements under Subchapter M of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, by distributing substantially all of its net investment income and net realized gains to shareholders. Accordingly, no provision has been made for federal or state income taxes.

In June 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Interpretation No. 48 ("FIN 48"), "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes." FIN 48 establishes the minimum threshold for recognizing, and a system for measuring, the benefits of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return, and is effective for the Fund's current fiscal year. As of October 31, 2008, management has evaluated the application of FIN 48 to the Fund, and has determined that no provision for income tax is required in the Fund's financial

statements.

As of October 31, 2008, the Fund had a capital loss carryforward for federal income tax purposes of \$11,581,121 expiring on October 31, 2016.

H. EXPENSES:

The Fund pays all expenses directly related to its operations.

I. ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS:

In September 2006, FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, Fair Value Measurements ("FAS 157"), effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. FAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. Disclosure will include fair value measurement at the reporting date and the assignment of levels within the hierarchy in which the fair value measurements fall. At this time, management is evaluating the implications of FAS 157 and its impact on the Fund's financial statements, if any, has not been determined.

In March 2008, FASB released Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 161, "Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" ("FAS 161"). FAS 161 requires qualitative disclosures about objectives and strategies for using derivatives, quantitative disclosures about fair value amounts of and gains and losses on derivative instruments, and disclosures about credit risk-related contingent features in derivative agreements. The application of FAS 161 is required for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008 and interim periods within those fiscal years. Management is currently evaluating the impact the adoption of FAS 161 will have on the Fund's financial statement disclosures, if any.

3. INVESTMENT ADVISORY FEE AND OTHER AFFILIATED TRANSACTIONS

First Trust is a limited partnership with one limited partner, Grace Partners of DuPage L.P., and one general partner, The Charger Corporation. First Trust serves as investment advisor to the Fund pursuant to an Investment Management Agreement. First Trust is responsible for the ongoing monitoring of the Fund's investment portfolio, managing the Fund's business affairs and providing certain administrative services necessary for the management of the Fund. For these investment management services, First Trust is entitled to a monthly fee calculated at an annual rate of 1.00% of the Fund's Managed Assets.

Stonebridge, an affiliate of First Trust, serves as the Fund's sub-advisor and manages the Fund's portfolio subject to First Trust's supervision. The Sub-Advisor receives a portfolio management fee at an annual rate of 0.50% of Managed Assets that is paid monthly by First Trust from its investment advisory fee.

First Trust agreed to waive fees in an amount equal to 0.35% of the average daily Managed Assets of the Fund for the first two years of the Fund's operations through June 27, 2008. The Sub-Advisor agreed to bear a portion of this waiver obligation by reducing the amount of its sub-advisory fee to 0.20%. Waivers are reported as "Fees waived by the investment advisor" in the Statement of Operations.

PNC Global Investment Servicing (U.S.) Inc., formerly known as PFPC Inc., an indirect, majority-owned subsidiary of The PNC Financial Services Group, Inc., serves as the Fund's Administrator and Transfer Agent in accordance with certain fee arrangements. PFPC Trust Company, also an indirect, majority-owned subsidiary of The PNC Financial Services Group, Inc., serves as the Fund's Custodian in accordance with certain fee arrangements.

Page 14

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (CONTINUED)

FIRST TRUST TAX-ADVANTAGED PREFERRED INCOME FUND OCTOBER 31, 2008

Each Trustee who is not an officer or employee of First Trust, any sub-advisor or any of their affiliates ("Independent Trustee") is paid an annual retainer of \$10,000 per trust for the first 14 trusts of the First Trust Fund Complex and an annual retainer of \$7,500 per trust for each subsequent trust in the First Trust Fund Complex. The annual retainer is allocated equally among each of the trusts. No additional meeting fees are paid in connection with board or committee meetings.

Additionally, the Lead Independent Trustee is paid \$10,000 annually, and the Audit Committee Chairman is paid \$5,000 annually, with such compensation paid by the trusts in the First Trust Fund Complex and divided among those trusts. Trustees are also reimbursed by the trusts in the First Trust Fund Complex for travel and out-of-pocket expenses in connection with all meetings. Effective January 1, 2008, each of the chairmen of the Nominating and Governance Committee and the Valuation Committee are paid \$2,500 to serve in such capacities, with such compensation paid by the trusts in the First Trust Fund Complex and divided among those trusts. Also effective January 1, 2008, the Lead Independent Trustee and each committee chairman will serve two-year terms. The officers and Interested Trustee receive no compensation from the trusts for serving in such capacities.

4. PURCHASES AND SALES OF SECURITIES

Cost of purchases and proceeds from sales of investment securities, excluding U.S. government and short-term investments, for the year ended October 31, 2008, aggregated \$36,571,496 and \$48,597,146, respectively.

5. COMMON SHARES

As of October 31, 2008, 2,978,819 of \$0.01 par value Common Shares were issued. An unlimited number of Common Shares has been authorized pursuant to the Fund's Dividend Reinvestment Plan.

6. AUCTION PREFERRED SHARES

The Fund's Declaration of Trust authorizes the issuance of an unlimited number of preferred shares of beneficial interest, par value \$0.01 per share, in one or more classes or series, with rights as determined by the Board of Trustees without the approval of Common Shareholders. As of October 31, 2008, the Fund has 396 Series M Auction Preferred Shares ("Preferred Shares") outstanding with a liquidation value of \$25,000 per share. During the year ended October 31, 2007, it was determined that actual offering costs from the Fund's Preferred Share offering in September 2006 were less than the estimated offering costs by \$25,753. Therefore, paid-in capital was increased by that amount.

Due to the severe decline in Fund assets, the Fund redeemed approximately 55% of the Fund's Preferred Shares. This reduction in leverage resulted in the untimely liquidation of certain Fund portfolio positions to the detriment of the Fund. Further reductions in the amount of leverage may be necessary.

The Fund is required to meet certain asset coverage tests with respect to the Preferred Shares. If the Fund fails to maintain eligible assets having an

aggregated discounted value at least equal to the Preferred Shares basic maintenance amount as of any valuation date and the failure is not cured on or before the related asset coverage cure date, the Fund will be required in certain circumstances to redeem certain Preferred Shares.

An auction of the Preferred Shares is generally held every 7 days. Existing shareholders may submit an order to hold, bid or sell such shares at par value on each auction date. The Fund pays commissions to the auction agent as compensation for conducting the auctions. These fees are included in the "Auction Preferred Shares commission fees" on the Statement of Operations.

The markets for auction rate securities have continued to experience a number of failed auctions. A failed auction results when there are not enough bidders in the auction at rates below the maximum rate as prescribed by the terms of the security. When an auction fails, the rate is automatically set at the maximum rate. A failed auction does not cause an acceleration of, or otherwise have any impact on, outstanding principal amounts due. In the case of the Fund's outstanding Preferred Shares, the maximum rate under the terms of those securities is one-hundred fifty percent (and could be up to two hundred seventy-five percent, depending on the ratings of the Preferred Shares) of the greater of: (1) the applicable AA composite commercial paper rate (for a rate period of fewer than 184 days) or the applicable U.S. Treasury index rate (for a rate period of 184 days or more), or (2) the applicable London-InterBank Offered Rate.

The annual dividend rate in effect as of October 31, 2008 was 3.60%. The dividend rate, as set by the auction process, is generally expected to vary with short-term interest rates. The high and low annual dividend rates during the year ended October 31, 2008, were 7.00% and 2.65%, respectively, and the average dividend rate was 4.28%. These rates may vary in a manner not related directly to the income received on the Fund's assets, which could have either a beneficial or detrimental impact on net investment income and gains available to Common Shareholders.

Page 15

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (CONTINUED)

FIRST TRUST TAX-ADVANTAGED PREFERRED INCOME FUND OCTOBER 31, 2008

Under Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) promulgating Topic D-98, Classification and Measurement of Redeemable Securities, which was issued on July 19, 2001, preferred securities that are redeemable for cash or other assets are to be classified outside of permanent equity to the extent that the redemption is at a fixed or determinable price and at the option of the holder or upon the occurrence of an event that is not solely within the control of the issuer. Subject to guidance of the EITF, the Fund's Preferred Shares are classified outside of permanent equity (net assets attributable to Common Shares) in the accompanying financial statements.

7. INDEMNIFICATION

The Fund has a variety of indemnification obligations under contracts with its service providers. The Fund's maximum exposure under these arrangements is unknown. However, the Fund has not had prior claims or losses pursuant to these contracts and expects the risk of loss to be remote.

8. CHANGE IN INVESTMENT STRATEGY

The Fund currently invests 80% of its Managed Assets in preferred securities that the Sub-Advisor believes at the time of investment are eligible to pay dividends that qualify for certain federal income tax treatment as tax-advantaged when received by shareholders of the Fund ("Tax-Advantaged"). On March 3, 2008, the Board of Trustees approved a change in investment strategy allowing any Tax-Advantaged hybrid preferred securities to be included in the 80% strategy. The non-fundamental change in investment strategy described above, which was effective on or about September 1, 2008, was not required to be, and was not, approved by the shareholders of the Fund. The change in strategy may be changed by the Board of Trustees without shareholder approval.

9. RISK CONSIDERATIONS

Risks are inherent in all investing. The following summarizes some of the risks that should be considered for the Fund. For additional information about the risks associated with investing in the Fund, please see the Fund's prospectus and statement of additional information, as well as other Fund regulatory filings.

INVESTMENT RISK: An investment in the Fund's Common Shares is subject to investment risk, including the possible loss of the entire principal invested. An investment in Common Shares represents an indirect investment in the securities owned by the Fund. The value of these securities, like other market investments, may move up or down, sometimes rapidly and unpredictably. Common Shares at any point in time may be worth less than the original investment, even after taking into account the reinvestment of Fund dividends and distributions. Security prices can fluctuate for several reasons including the general condition of the securities markets, or when political or economic events affecting the issuers occur.

LOSS OF "QUALIFIED DIVIDEND INCOME" STATUS: Without further legislation, the tax advantage associated with "qualified dividend income" is set to expire for tax years beginning January 1, 2011. The loss of such tax advantage would reduce the after-tax yield of any income from the Fund's investments that would otherwise constitute "qualified dividend income," thereby reducing the overall level of tax-advantaged current income available to individual and other non-corporate holders of Common Shares.

NON-INVESTMENT GRADE SECURITIES RISK: The Fund may invest up to 50% of its Managed Assets in non-investment grade securities. Below-investment grade securities are rated below "Baa" by Moody's Investors Service, Inc., below "BBB" by Standard & Poor's Ratings Group, comparably rated by another nationally recognized statistical rating organization or, if unrated, determined to be of comparable credit quality by the Sub-Advisor. Below-investment grade debt instruments are commonly referred to as "high-yield" or "junk" bonds and are considered speculative with respect to the issuer's capacity to pay interest and repay principal and are susceptible to default or decline in market value due to adverse economic and business developments. The market values for high-yield securities tend to be volatile, and these securities are less liquid than investment grade debt securities.

ECONOMIC CONDITION RISK: Adverse changes in economic conditions are more likely to lead to a weak capacity of high-yield issuers to make principal payments and interest payments than an investment grade issuer. An economic downturn could severely affect the ability of highly leveraged issuers to service their debt obligations or repay their obligations upon maturity. Under adverse market or economic conditions, the secondary market for high-yield securities could contract further. Also, independent of any adverse changes in the conditions of a particular issuer, these securities may become illiquid. As a result, the Fund could find it more difficult to sell these securities or may be able to sell the securities only at prices lower than if such securities were widely traded.

INTEREST RATE RISK: The Fund is also subject to interest rate risk. Interest rate risk is the risk that fixed-income securities will decline in value because of changes in market interest rates. Investments in debt securities with long-term maturities may experience significant price declines if long-term interest rates increase.

Page 16

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (CONTINUED)

FIRST TRUST TAX-ADVANTAGED PREFERRED INCOME FUND OCTOBER 31, 2008

FINANCIAL SERVICES SECTOR RISK: The Fund's assets will be primarily invested in securities issued by companies in the financial services sector, which includes banks and thrifts, financial services and insurance companies and investment firms. In addition, within the financial services sector, the Fund's investments will be concentrated in the banking industry. A fund concentrated in a single industry is likely to present more risks than a fund that is broadly diversified over several industries. Banks, thrifts and their holding companies are especially subject to the adverse effects of economic recession; volatile interest rates; portfolio concentrations in geographic markets and in commercial and residential real estate loans; and competition from new entrants in their fields of business.

SMALLER ISSUER RISK: The Fund may invest in securities issued by smaller financial institutions and insurance companies that may present greater opportunities for income, and may also involve greater investment risk than larger, more established companies. As a result, the prices of the securities of such smaller companies may fluctuate to a greater degree than the prices of securities of other issuers.

ILLIQUID/RESTRICTED SECURITIES RISK: The Fund may invest in securities that, at the time of investment, are illiquid. The Fund may also invest in restricted securities. Investments in restricted securities could have the effect of increasing the amount of the Fund's assets invested in illiquid securities if qualified institutional buyers are unwilling to purchase these securities. Illiquid and restricted securities may be difficult to dispose of at a fair price at the times when the Fund believes it is desirable to do so. The market price of illiquid and restricted securities generally is more volatile than that of more liquid securities, which may adversely affect the price that the Fund pays for or receives upon the sale of such securities. Investment of the Fund's assets in illiquid and restricted securities may restrict the Fund's ability to take advantage of market opportunities. The risks associated with illiquid and restricted securities may be particularly acute in situations in which the Fund's operations require cash and could result in the Fund borrowing to meet its short-term needs or incurring losses on the sale of illiquid or restricted securities. In order to dispose of an unregistered security, the Fund, where it has contractual rights to do so, may have to cause such security to be registered.

LEVERAGE RISK: The Fund uses leverage for investment purposes by issuing preferred shares. Its leveraged capital structure creates special risks not associated with unleveraged funds having similar investment objectives and policies. These include the possibility of higher volatility of the net asset value of the Fund and the preferred shares' asset coverage. The Fund may from time to time consider changing the amount of the leverage in response to actual or anticipated changes in interest rates or the value of the Fund's investment

portfolio. There can be no assurance that the leverage strategies will be successful.

AUCTION RISK: If an auction fails the Preferred Shareholders may not be able to sell some or all of their Preferred Shares. No third parties related to the auction nor the Fund itself are obligated to purchase Preferred Shares in an auction or otherwise, nor is the Fund required to redeem Preferred Shares in the event of a failed auction.

10. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On December 10, 2008, the Fund declared a dividend of \$0.15 per share to Common Shareholders of record December 23, 2008, payable December 31, 2008.

Page 17

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

TO THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES AND SHAREHOLDERS OF FIRST TRUST TAX-ADVANTAGED PREFERRED INCOME FUND:

We have audited the accompanying statement of assets and liabilities, including the portfolio of investments, of First Trust Tax-Advantaged Preferred Income Fund (the "Fund") as of October 31, 2008, and the related statement of operations for the year then ended, the statements of changes in net assets for the two years then ended, and the financial highlights for the respective stated periods. These financial statements and financial highlights are the responsibility of the Fund's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial highlights based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements and financial highlights are free of material misstatement. The Fund is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Fund's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our procedures included confirmation of securities owned as of October 31, 2008, by correspondence with the custodian. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements and financial highlights referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of First Trust Tax-Advantaged Preferred Income Fund as of October 31, 2008 and the related statement of operations for the year then ended, the statements of changes in net assets for each of the two years then ended, and the financial highlights for the respective stated periods, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

(Deloitte & Touche LLP)

Chicago, Illinois December 22, 2008

Page 18

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

FIRST TRUST TAX-ADVANTAGED PREFERRED INCOME FUND OCTOBER 31, 2008 (UNAUDITED)

DIVIDEND REINVESTMENT PLAN

If your Common Shares are registered directly with the Fund or if you hold your Common Shares with a brokerage firm that participates in the Fund's Dividend Reinvestment Plan (the "Plan"), unless you elect, by written notice to the Fund, to receive cash distributions, all dividends, including any capital gain distributions, on your Common Shares will be automatically reinvested by PNC Global Investment Servicing (U.S.) Inc. (the "Plan Agent"), in additional Common Shares under the Plan. If you elect to receive cash distributions, you will receive all distributions in cash paid by check mailed directly to you by the Plan Agent as dividend paying agent.

If you decide to participate in the Plan, the number of Common Shares you will receive will be determined as follows:

- (1) If Common Shares are trading at or above net asset value ("NAV") at the time of valuation, the Fund will issue new shares at a price equal to the greater of (i) NAV per Common Share on that date or (ii) 95% of the market price on that date.
- (2) If Common Shares are trading below NAV at the time of valuation, the Plan Agent will receive the dividend or distribution in cash and will purchase Common Shares in the open market, on the NYSE Alternext US or elsewhere, for the participants' accounts. It is possible that the market price for the Common Shares may increase before the Plan Agent has completed its purchases. Therefore, the average purchase price per share paid by the Plan Agent may exceed the market price at the time of valuation, resulting in the purchase of fewer shares than if the dividend or distribution had been paid in Common Shares issued by the Fund. The Plan Agent will use all dividends and distributions received in cash to purchase Common Shares in the open market within 30 days of the valuation date except where temporary curtailment or suspension of purchases is necessary to comply with federal securities laws. Interest will not be paid on any uninvested cash payments.

You may elect to opt-out of or withdraw from the Plan at any time by giving written notice to the Plan Agent, or by telephone at (800) 334-1710, in accordance with such reasonable requirements as the Plan Agent and the Fund may agree upon. If you withdraw or the Plan is terminated, you will receive a certificate for each whole share in your account under the Plan and you will receive a cash payment for any fraction of a share in your account. If you wish, the Plan Agent will sell your shares and send you the proceeds, minus brokerage commissions.

The Plan Agent maintains all Common Shareholders' accounts in the Plan and gives written confirmation of all transactions in the accounts, including information you may need for tax records. Common Shares in your account will be held by the Plan Agent in non-certificated form. The Plan Agent will forward to each

participant any proxy solicitation material and will vote any shares so held only in accordance with proxies returned to the Fund. Any proxy you receive will include all Common Shares you have received under the Plan.

There is no brokerage charge for reinvestment of your dividends or distributions in Common Shares. However, all participants will pay a pro rata share of brokerage commissions incurred by the Plan Agent when it makes open market purchases.

Automatically reinvesting dividends and distributions does not mean that you do not have to pay income taxes due upon receiving dividends and distributions. Capital gains and income are realized, although cash is not received by you. Consult your financial advisor for more information.

If you hold your Common Shares with a brokerage firm that does not participate in the Plan, you will not be able to participate in the Plan and any dividend reinvestment may be effected on different terms than those described above.

The Fund reserves the right to amend or terminate the Plan if in the judgment of the Board of Trustees the change is warranted. There is no direct service charge to participants in the Plan; however, the Fund reserves the right to amend the Plan to include a service charge payable by the participants. Additional information about the Plan may be obtained by writing PNC Global Investment Servicing (U.S.) Inc., 301 Bellevue Parkway, Wilmington, Delaware 19809.

PROXY VOTING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

A description of the policies and procedures that the Fund uses to determine how to vote proxies and information on how the Fund voted proxies relating to portfolio securities during the most recent 12-month period ended June 30 is available (1) without charge, upon request, by calling (800) 988-5891; (2) on the Fund's website located at http://www.ftportfolios.com; and (3) on the Securities and Exchange Commission's website at http://www.sec.gov.

Page 19

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION - (CONTINUED)

FIRST TRUST TAX-ADVANTAGED PREFERRED INCOME FUND OCTOBER 31, 2008 (UNAUDITED)

PORTFOLIO HOLDINGS

The Fund files its complete schedule of portfolio holdings with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") for the first and third quarters of each fiscal year on Form N-Q. The Fund's Forms N-Q are available (1) by calling (800) 988-5891; (2) on the Fund's website located at http://www.ftportfolios.com; (3) on the SEC's website at http://www.sec.gov; and (4) for review and copying at the SEC's Public Reference Room ("PRR") in Washington, DC. Information regarding the operation of the PRR may be obtained by calling (800) SEC-0330.

TAX INFORMATION

Of the ordinary income (including short-term capital gain) distributions made by the Fund during the year ended October 31, 2008, 74.99% qualify for the corporate dividend received deduction available to corporate shareholders.

The Fund hereby designates as qualified dividend income distributions 100% of the ordinary income distributions (including short-term capital gain), for the

year ended October 31, 2008.

SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SHAREHOLDERS

The Joint Annual Meeting of Shareholders of the Common Shares of Macquarie/First Trust Global Infrastructure/Utilities Dividend & Income Fund, Energy Income and Growth Fund, First Trust Enhanced Equity Income Fund, First Trust/Aberdeen Global Opportunity Income Fund, First Trust/FIDAC Mortgage Income Fund, First Trust Strategic High Income Fund, First Trust Strategic High Income Fund II, First Trust/Aberdeen Emerging Opportunity Fund, First Trust Specialty Finance and Financial Opportunities Fund (formerly known as First Trust/Gallatin Specialty Finance and Financial Opportunities Fund) and First Trust Active Dividend Income Fund and Shareholders of the Preferred Shares of First Trust Tax-Advantaged Preferred Income Fund, was held on April 14, 2008. At the Annual Meeting, Independent Trustee Robert F. Keith was elected by the Preferred Shareholders as a Class I Trustee for a three-year term expiring at the Fund's annual meeting of shareholders in 2011. The number of votes cast in favor of Mr. Keith was 880. There were no votes against nor any abstentions for Robert F. Keith. James A. Bowen, Richard E. Erickson, Thomas R. Kadlec and Niel B. Nielson are the current and continuing Trustees.

Page 20

BOARD OF TRUSTEES AND OFFICERS

FIRST TRUST TAX-ADVANTAGED PREFERRED INCOME FUND OCTOBER 31, 2008 (UNAUDITED)

NAME, ADDRESS, DATE OF BIRTH AND POSITION WITH THE FUND		PRINCIPAL OCCUPATIONS DURING PAST 5 YEARS	
		INDEPENDENT TRUSTEES	
Richard E. Erickson, Trustee c/o First Trust Advisors L.P. 120 E. Liberty Drive, Suite 400 Wheaton, IL 60187 D.O.B.: 04/51	- Since Fund	Wheaton Orthopedics;	60
Thomas R. Kadlec, Trustee c/o First Trust Advisors L.P. 120 E. Liberty Drive, Suite 400 Wheaton, IL 60187 D.O.B.: 11/57		Chief Financial Officer (May 2007 to Present), Vice	60

Present), ADM Derivatives, Inc.; Registered Representative (2000 to Present), Segerdahl & Company, Inc., a FINRA member (Broker-Dealer)

Robert F. Keith, Trustee - Three Year Term President (2003 to c/o First Trust Advisors L.P. 120 E. Liberty Drive, - Since June 2006 (Financial and Management

60 Present), Hibs Enterprises

Suite 400 Wheaton, IL 60187 D.O.B.: 11/56

Consulting); President (2001 to 2003), Aramark Service Master Management; President and Chief Operating Officer (1998 to 2003), Service Master Management Services

(1) Currently, Robert F. Keith, as a Class I Trustee, is serving as a trustee until the Fund's 2011 annual meeting of shareholders. Richard E. Erickson and Thomas R. Kadlec, as Class II Trustees, are each serving as trustees until the Fund's 2009 annual meeting of shareholders. James A. Bowen and Niel B. Nielson, as Class III Trustees, are each serving as trustees until the Fund's 2010 annual meeting. Officers of the Fund have an indefinite term.

Page 21

BOARD OF TRUSTEES AND OFFICERS - (CONTINUED)

FIRST TRUST TAX-ADVANTAGED PREFERRED INCOME FUND OCTOBER 31, 2008 (UNAUDITED)

PORTFOLIOS I THE FIRST TRU AND POSITION WITH THE FUND LENGTH OF SERVICE(1) DURING PAST 5 YEARS NAME, ADDRESS, DATE OF BIRTH FUND COMPLEX OVERSEEN BY TRU INDEPENDENT TRUSTEES - (CONTINUED) Niel B. Nielson, Trustee - Three Year Term President (June 2002 to c/o First Trust Advisors L.P. Present), Covenant College 120 E. Liberty Drive, - Since Fund Suite 400 Inception Wheaton, IL 60187 D.O.B.: 03/54 INTERESTED TRUSTEE James A. Bowen(2), Trustee, - Three Year President, First Trust
President, Chairman of the Trustee Term Advisors L.P. and First
Board and CEO and Indefinite Trust Portfolios L.P.; 60

NUMBER OF

120 E. Liberty Drive, Suite 400

Wheaton, IL 60187 D.O.B.: 09/55

Officer Term Chairman of the Board of Directors, BondWave LLC - Since Fund (Software Development Inception Company/Broker-

Dealer/Investment Advisor) and Stonebridge Advisors LLC (Investment Advisor)

NAME, ADDRESS POSITION AND OFFICES
AND DATE OF BIRTH WITH FUND

TERM OF OFFICE AND PRINCIPAL OCCUPATIONS
LENGTH OF SERVICE DURING PAST 5 YEARS

OFFICERS WHO ARE NOT TRUSTEES (3)

D.O.B.: 11/57

Mark R. Bradley Treasurer, Controller, 120 E. Liberty Drive, Chief Financial Officer

 Indefinite Term Chief Financial Officer, First Trust Advisors L.P. Suite 400 and Chief Accounting - Since Fund and First Trust Portfolios Wheaton, IL 60187 Officer Inception L.P.; Chief Financial Officer, BondWave LLC (Software Development

> Company/Broker-Dealer/Investment Advisor) and Stonebridge Advisors LLC (Investment Advisor)

- (1) Currently, Robert F. Keith, as a Class I Trustee, is serving as a trustee until the Fund's 2011 annual meeting of shareholders. Richard E. Erickson and Thomas R. Kadlec, as Class II Trustees, are each serving as trustees until the Fund's 2009 annual meeting of shareholders. James A. Bowen and Niel B. Nielson, as Class III Trustees, are each serving as trustees until the Fund's 2010 annual meeting. Officers of the Fund have an indefinite term.
- (2) Mr Bowen is deemed an "interested person" of the Fund due to his position as President of First Trust Advisors L.P., investment advisor of the Fund.
- (3) The term "officer" means the president, vice president, secretary, treasurer, controller or any other officer who performs a policy making function.

Page 22

BOARD OF TRUSTEES AND OFFICERS - (CONTINUED)

FIRST TRUST TAX-ADVANTAGED PREFERRED INCOME FUND OCTOBER 31, 2008 (UNAUDITED)

NAME, ADDRESS AND DATE OF BIRTH

POSITION AND OFFICES WITH FUND

TERM OF OFFICE AND LENGTH OF SERVICE

PRINCIPAL OCCUPATIONS DURING PAST 5 YEARS

OFFICERS WHO ARE NOT TRUSTEES (3) - (CONTINUED)

James M. Dykas Assistant Treasurer - Indefinite Term Senior Vice President 120 E. Liberty Drive, (April 2007 to Present), Suite 400 Since Fund Vice President (January 2005 to April 2007), First Wheaton, IL 60187 Inception D.O.B.: 01/66 Trust Advisors L.P. and First Trust Portfolios L.P Executive Director (December 2002 to January 2005), Vice President (December 2000 to December 2002), Van Kampen Asset Management and Morgan Stanley Investment Management Christopher R. Fallow Assistant Vice President - Indefinite Term Assistant Vice President 120 E. Liberty Drive, (August 2006 to Present), Suite 400 Since Fund Associate (January 2005 to Wheaton, IL 60187 Inception August 2006), First Trust D.O.B.: 04/79 Advisors L.P. and First Trust Portfolios L.P.; Municipal Bond Trader (July 2001 to January 2005), BondWave LLC (Software Development Company/Broker-Dealer/Investment Advisor) W. Scott Jardine Secretary and Chief Indefinite Term General Counsel, First Tru 120 E. Liberty Drive, Compliance Officer Advisors L.P. and First Suite 400 Since Fund Trust Portfolios L.P.; Wheaton, IL 60187 Secretary, BondWave LLC Inception D.O.B.: 05/60 (Software Development Company/Broker-Dealer/Investment Advisor) and Stonebridge Advisors LLC (Investment Advisor)

Page 23

BOARD OF TRUSTEES AND OFFICERS - (CONTINUED)

FIRST TRUST TAX-ADVANTAGED PREFERRED INCOME FUND OCTOBER 31, 2008 (UNAUDITED)

NAME, ADDRESS AND DATE OF BIRTH

POSITION AND OFFICES
WITH FUND

TERM OF OFFICE AND LENGTH OF SERVICE

PRINCIPAL OCCUPATIONS
DURING PAST 5 YEARS

⁽³⁾ The term "officer" means the president, vice president, secretary, treasurer, controller or any other officer who performs a policy making function.

OFFICERS WHO ARE NOT TRUSTEES (3) - (CONTINUED)

Daniel J. Lindquist Vice President - Indefinite Term Senior Vice President 120 E. Liberty Drive, (September 2005 to Present - Since Fund Vice President (April 2004
Inception September 2005), First Tru Suite 400

Wheaton, IL 60187 D.O.B.: 02/70

Advisors L.P. and First Tr Portfolios L.P.; Chief Operating Officer (January 2004 to April 2004), Mina Capital Management, LLC; Chief Operating Officer (April 2000 to January 200 Samaritan Asset Management

Services, Inc.

120 E. Liberty Drive,

Wheaton, IL 60187 D.O.B.: 07/58

Suite 400

Coleen D. Lynch Assistant Vice President - Indefinite Term Assistant Vice President

(January 2008 to Present), - Since July 2008 First Trust Advisors L.P. First Trust Portfolios L.P

Vice President (May 1998 t January 2008), Van Kampen Asset Management and Morgan Stanley Investment

Management

120 E. Liberty Drive,

Suite 400

Wheaton, IL 60187 D.O.B.: 12/66

Kristi A. Maher Assistant Secretary - Indefinite Term Deputy General Counsel (May 2007 to Present), - Since Fund Assistant General Counsel Inception (March 2004 to May 2007), First Trust Advisors L.P. First Trust Portfolios L.P Associate (December 1995 t

> March 2004), Chapman and Cutler LLP

Page 24

PRIVACY POLICY

FIRST TRUST TAX-ADVANTAGED PREFERRED INCOME FUND OCTOBER 31, 2008 (UNAUDITED)

PRIVACY POLICY

The open-end and closed-end funds advised by First Trust Advisors L.P. (each a "Fund") consider your privacy an important priority in maintaining our relationship. We are committed to protecting the security and confidentiality of your personal information.

⁽³⁾ The term "officer" means the president, vice president, secretary, treasurer, controller or any other officer who performs a policy making function.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

We may collect nonpublic personal information about you from the following sources:

- Information we receive from you or your broker-dealer, investment adviser or financial representative through interviews, applications, agreements or other forms;
- Information about your transactions with us, our affiliates or others;
- Information we receive from your inquiries by mail, e-mail or telephone;
- Information we collect on our website through the use of "cookies." For example, we may identify the pages on our website that your browser requests or visits.

INFORMATION COLLECTED

The type of data we collect may include your name, address, social security number, age, financial status, assets, income, tax information, retirement and estate plan information, transaction history, account balance, payment history, investment objectives, marital status, family relationships and other personal information.

DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION

We do not disclose any nonpublic personal information about our customers or former customers to anyone, except as permitted by law. The permitted uses include the disclosure of such information to unaffiliated companies for the following reasons:

- In order to provide you with products and services and to effect transactions that you request or authorize, we may disclose your personal information as described above to unaffiliated financial service providers and other companies that perform administrative or other services on our behalf, such as transfer agents, custodians and trustees, or that assist us in the distribution of investor materials such as trustees, banks, financial representatives and printers.
- We may release information we have about you if you direct us to do so, if we are compelled by law to do so, or in other legally limited circumstances (for example to protect your account from fraud).

In addition, in order to alert you to our other financial products and services, we may share your personal information with affiliates of the Fund. Please note, however, that the California Financial Information Privacy Act contains an "opt out" mechanism that California consumers may use to prevent us from sharing nonpublic personal information with affiliates.

CONFIDENTIALITY AND SECURITY

With regard to our internal security procedures, the Fund restricts access to your nonpublic personal information to those individuals who need to know that information to provide products or services to you. We maintain physical, electronic and procedural safeguards to protect your nonpublic personal information.

POLICY UPDATES AND INQUIRIES

As required by federal law, we will notify you of our privacy policy annually.

We reserve the right to modify this policy at any time; however, if we do change it, we will tell you promptly.

For questions about our policy, or for additional copies of this notice, please contact us at (800) 621-1675.

Page 25

(FIRST TRUST LOGO)

INVESTMENT ADVISOR First Trust Advisors L.P. 120 E. Liberty Drive, Suite 400 Wheaton, IL 60187

INVESTMENT SUB-ADVISOR Stonebridge Advisors LLC 187 Danbury Road Wilton, CT 06897

ADMINISTRATOR,
FUND ACCOUNTANT,
TRANSFER AGENT &
BOARD ADMINISTRATOR
PNC Global Investment Servicing (U.S.) Inc.
301 Bellevue Parkway
Wilmington, DE 19809

CUSTODIAN
PFPC Trust Company
8800 Tinicum Boulevard
Philadelphia, PA 19153

INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM Deloitte & Touche LLP 111 S. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606

LEGAL COUNSEL Chapman and Cutler LLP 111 W. Monroe Street Chicago, IL 60603

ITEM 2. CODE OF ETHICS.

- (a) The registrant, as of the end of the period covered by this report, has adopted a code of ethics that applies to the registrant's principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions, regardless of whether these individuals are employed by the registrant or a third party.
- (c) There have been no amendments, during the period covered by this report, to a provision of the code of ethics that applies to the registrant's principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions, regardless of whether these individuals are employed

by the registrant or a third party, $\,$ and that relates to any element of the code of ethics description.

(d) The registrant has not granted any waivers, including an implicit waiver, from a provision of the code of ethics that applies to the registrant's principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions, regardless of whether these individuals are employed by the registrant or a third party, that relates to one or more of the items set forth in paragraph (b) of this item's instructions.

ITEM 3. AUDIT COMMITTEE FINANCIAL EXPERT.

As of the end of the period covered by the report, the Registrant's board of trustees has determined that Thomas R. Kadlec and Robert F. Keith are qualified to serve as audit committee financial experts serving on its audit committee and that each of them is "independent," as defined by Item 3 of Form N-CSR.

ITEM 4. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES.

- (A) AUDIT FEES (REGISTRANT) -- The aggregate fees billed for each of the last two fiscal years for professional services rendered by the principal accountant for the audit of the Registrant's annual financial statements or services that are normally provided by the accountant in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements for those fiscal years were \$25,500 for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2007 and \$36,000 for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2008.
- (B) AUDIT-RELATED FEES (REGISTRANT) -- The aggregate fees billed in each of the last two fiscal years for assurance and related services by the principal accountant that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit of the registrant's financial statements and are not reported under paragraph (a) of this Item were \$1,800 for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2007 and \$0 for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2008. These fees were for additional audit work.

AUDIT-RELATED FEES (INVESTMENT ADVISOR) — The aggregate fees billed in each of the last two fiscal years for assurance and related services by the principal accountant that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit of the Registrant's financial statements and are not reported under paragraph (a) of this Item were \$1,800 for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2007 and \$0 for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2008. These fees were for additional audit work.

(C) TAX FEES (REGISTRANT) -- The aggregate fees billed in each of the last two fiscal years for professional services rendered by the principal accountant for tax compliance, tax advice, and tax planning were \$4,000 for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2007 and \$4,250 for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2008. These fees were for tax return preparation.

TAX FEES (INVESTMENT ADVISOR) — The aggregate fees billed in each of the last two fiscal years for professional services rendered by the principal accountant for tax compliance, tax advice, and tax planning were \$0 for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2007 and \$0 for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2008.

(D) ALL OTHER FEES (REGISTRANT) -- The aggregate fees billed in each of the last two fiscal years for products and services provided by the principal accountant to the Registrant, other than the services reported in paragraphs (a) through (c) of this Item were \$408 for the

fiscal year ended October 31, 2007 and \$0 for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2008. These fees were for compliance consulting services and agreed upon procedures in connection with preferred services.

ALL OTHER FEES (INVESTMENT ADVISER) The aggregate fees billed in each of the last two fiscal years for products and services provided by the principal accountant to the Registrant, other than the services reported in paragraphs (a) through (c) of this Item were \$23,303 for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2007 and \$0 for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2008. These fees were for compliance consulting services.

(e) (1) Disclose the audit committee's pre-approval policies and procedures described in paragraph (c) (7) of Rule 2-01 of Regulation S-X.

Pursuant to its charter and its Audit and Non-Audit Services Pre-Approval Policy the Audit Committee (the "COMMITTEE") is responsible for the pre-approval of all audit services and permitted non-audit services (including the fees and terms thereof) to be performed for the registrant by its independent auditors. The Chairman of the Committee authorized to give such pre-approvals on behalf of the Committee up to \$25,000 and report any such pre-approval to the full Committee.

The Committee is also responsible for the pre-approval of the independent auditor's engagements for non-audit services with the registrant's adviser (not including a sub-adviser whose role is primarily portfolio management and is sub-contracted or overseen by another investment adviser) and any entity controlling, controlled by or under common control with the investment adviser that provides ongoing services to the registrant, if the engagement relates directly to the operations and financial reporting of the registrant, subject to the DE MINIMIS exceptions for non-audit services described in Rule 2-01 of Regulation S-X. If the independent auditor has provided non-audit services to the registrant's adviser (other than any sub-adviser whose role is primarily portfolio management and is sub-contracted with or overseen by another investment adviser) and any entity controlling, controlled by or under common control with the investment adviser that provides ongoing services to the registrant that

were not pre-approved pursuant to its policies, the Committee will consider whether the provision of such non-audit services is compatible with the auditor's independence.

- (e)(2) The percentage of services described in each of paragraphs (b) through (d) for the Registrant and the Registrant's investment adviser of this Item that were approved by the audit committee pursuant to the pre-approval exceptions included in paragraph (c)(7)(i)(c) or paragraph (c)(7)(ii) of Rule 2-01 of Regulation S-X are as follows:
 - (b) 0%
 - (c) 0%
 - (d) 0%
 - (f) The percentage of hours expended on the principal accountant's engagement to audit the registrant's financial statements for the most recent fiscal year that were attributed to work performed by persons other than the principal accountant's full-time, permanent employees was less than fifty percent.

- (g) The aggregate non-audit fees billed by the registrant's accountant for services rendered to the registrant, and rendered to the registrant's investment adviser (not including any sub-adviser whose role is primarily portfolio management and is subcontracted with or overseen by another investment adviser), and any entity controlling, controlled by, or under common control with the adviser that provides ongoing services to the registrant for each of the last two fiscal years of the Registrant for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2007 were \$4,408 for the Registrant, \$7,000 for the Registrant's investment adviser and \$14,146 for the Registrant's investment sub-adviser controlled by the investment adviser, and for the year ended October 31, 2008 were \$4,250 for the Registrant, \$12,143 for the Registrant's investment adviser and \$4,500 for the Registrant's investment sub-adviser controlled by the investment adviser.
- (h) The registrant's audit committee of the board of directors has considered whether the provision of non-audit services that were rendered to the registrant's investment adviser (not including any sub-adviser whose role is primarily portfolio management and is subcontracted with or overseen by another investment adviser), and any entity controlling, controlled by, or under common control with the investment adviser that provides ongoing services to the registrant that were not pre-approved pursuant to paragraph (c)(7)(ii) of Rule 2-01 of Regulation S-X is compatible with maintaining the principal accountant's independence.

ITEM 5. AUDIT COMMITTEE OF LISTED REGISTRANTS.

(a) The Registrant has a separately designated audit committee consisting of all the independent directors of the Registrant. The members of the audit committee are: Thomas R. Kadlec, Niel B. Nielson, Richard E. Erickson and Robert F. Keith.

ITEM 6. INVESTMENTS.

- (a) Schedule of Investments in securities of unaffiliated issuers as of the close of the reporting period is included as part of the report to shareholders filed under Item 1 of this form.
- (b) Not applicable.

ITEM 7. DISCLOSURE OF PROXY VOTING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES FOR CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES.

The Proxy Voting Policies are attached herewith.

STONEBRIDGE ADVISORS, LLC

PROXY VOTING GUIDELINES

Stonebridge Advisors, LLC (the "ADVISER") may serve as investment adviser providing discretionary investment advisory services to open or closed-end investment companies (the "Funds"). As part of these services, the Adviser has full responsibility for proxy voting and related duties. In fulfilling these duties, the Adviser and Funds have adopted the following policies and procedures:

1. It is the Adviser's policy to seek to ensure that proxies for securities held by a Fund are voted consistently and solely in the best economic

interests of the respective Fund.

- 2. The Adviser shall be responsible for the oversight of a Fund's proxy voting process and shall assign a senior member of its staff to be responsible for this oversight.
- 3. The Adviser has engaged the services of Institutional Shareholder Services, Inc. ("ISS") to make recommendations to the Adviser on the voting of proxies related to securities held by a Fund. ISS provides voting recommendations based on established guidelines and practices. The Adviser has adopted these ISS Proxy Voting Guidelines.
- 4. The Adviser shall review the ISS recommendations and generally will vote the proxies in accordance with such recommendations. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Adviser may not vote in accordance with the ISS recommendations if the Adviser believes that the specific ISS recommendation is not in the best interests of the respective Fund.
- 5. If the Adviser manages the assets or pension fund of a company and any of the Adviser's clients hold any securities in that company, the Adviser will vote proxies relating to such company's securities

in accordance with the ISS recommendations to avoid any conflict of interest. In addition, if the Adviser has actual knowledge of any other type of material conflict of interest between itself and the respective Fund with respect to the voting of a proxy, the Adviser shall vote the applicable proxy in accordance with the ISS recommendations to avoid such conflict of interest.

- 6. If a Fund requests the Adviser to follow specific voting guidelines or additional guidelines, the Adviser shall review the request and follow such guidelines, unless the Adviser determines that it is unable to follow such guidelines. In such case, the Adviser shall inform the Fund that it is not able to follow the Fund's request.
- 7. The Adviser may have clients in addition to the Funds which have provided the Adviser with discretionary authority to vote proxies on their behalf. In such cases, the Adviser shall follow the same policies and procedures.

(RISKMETRICS GROUP LOGO)

2008 U.S. Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary

ISS Governance Services

December 17, 2007

Copyright (C) 2007 by RiskMetrics Group.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopy, recording, or any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher. Requests for permission to make copies of any part of this work should be sent to: RiskMetrics Group Marketing

Department, One Chase Manhattan Plaza, 44th Floor, New York, NY 10005. RiskMetrics Group is a trademark used herein under license.

Risk Management | RiskMetrics Labs | ISS Governance Services | Financial Research & Analysis

www.riskmetrics.com

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

ISS GOVERANCE SERVICES 2008 U.S. PROXY VOTING GUIDELINES SUMMARY

EFFECTIVE FOR MEETINGS ON OR AFTER FEB 1, 2008 UPDATED DEC 17, 2007

The following is a condensed version of the proxy voting recommendations contained in the ISS Governance Services ("ISS") Proxy Voting Manual.

Table of Contents

1.	OPERATIONAL ITEMS	6
	Adjourn Meeting	6
	Amend Quorum Requirements	6
	Amend Minor Bylaws	6
	Auditor Indemnification And Limitation Of Liability	6
	Auditor Ratification	6
	Change Company Name	-
	Change Date, Time, Or Location Of Annual Meeting	-
	Transact Other Business	-
2.	BOARD OF DIRECTORS:	8
۷.	Voting On Director Nominees In Uncontested Elections	,
	2008 Classification Of Directors	10
	Age Limits	11
	Board Size	11
	Classification/Declassification Of The Board	12
		12
	Cumulative Voting	
	Director And Officer Indemnification And Liability Protection	12
	Establish/Amend Nominee Qualifications	12
	Filling Vacancies/Removal Of Directors	13
	Independent Chair (Separate Chair/Ceo)	13
	Majority Of Independent Directors/Establishment Of Committees	14
	Majority Vote Shareholder Proposals	14
	Office Of The Board	14
	Open Access	14
	Performance Test For Directors	15
	Stock Ownership Requirements	16
	Term Limits	16
3.	PROXY CONTESTS	17
	Voting For Director Nominees In Contested Elections	17
	Reimbursing Proxy Solicitation Expenses	17
	Confidential Voting	17
4.	ANTITAKEOVER DEFENSES AND VOTING RELATED ISSUES	18
	Advance Notice Requirements For Shareholder Proposals/Nominations	18
	Amend Bylaws Without Shareholder Consent	18
	Poison Pills	18
	Shareholder Ability To Act By Written Consent	18
	Shareholder Ability To Call Special Meetings	

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -2-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

_	Supermajority Vote Requirements	19
5.	MERGERS AND CORPORATE RESTRUCTURINGS	20
OVE	Appraisal Rights	20
	Asset Purchases	20
	Asset Sales	20
	Bundled Proposals	21
	Conversion Of Securities	21
	Corporate Reorganization/Debt Restructuring/Prepackaged Bankruptcy	۷.
	Plans/Reverse Leveraged Buyouts/Wrap Plans	21
	Formation Of Holding Company	21
	Going Private Transactions (Lbos, Minority Squeezeouts, And Going Dark)	22
	Joint Ventures	22
	Liquidations	22
	Mergers And Acquisitions/ Issuance Of Shares To Facilitate Merger Or	22
	Acquisition	22
	Private Placements/Warrants/Convertible Debentures	23
	Spinoffs	23
	Value Maximization Proposals	23
6.	STATE OF INCORPORATION	24
0.	Control Share Acquisition Provisions	24
	Control Share Cash-Out Provisions	24
	Disgorgement Provisions	24
	Fair Price Provisions	2.4
	Freeze-Out Provisions	25
	Greenmail	25
	Reincorporation Proposals	25
	Stakeholder Provisions	25
	State Antitakeover Statutes	25
7.	CAPITAL STRUCTURE	26
' •	Adjustments To Par Value Of Common Stock	26
	Common Stock Authorization	26
	Dual-Class Stock	26
	Issue Stock For Use With Rights Plan	26
	Preemptive Rights	26
	Preferred Stock	27
	Recapitalization	27
	Reverse Stock Splits	27
	Share Repurchase Programs	28
	Stock Distributions: Splits And Dividends	28
	Tracking Stock	28
8.	EXECUTIVE AND DIRECTOR COMPENSATION	29
	ITY COMPENSATION PLANS	29
поот	Cost Of Equity Plans	29
	Repricing Provisions	29
	Pay-For-Performance Disconnect	30
	Three-Year Burn Rate/Burn Rate Commitment	31
	Poor Pay Practices	33
SPEC	CIFIC TREATMENT OF CERTAIN AWARD TYPES IN EQUITY PLAN EVALUATIONS:	34
	Dividend Equivalent Rights	34

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -3-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

Liberal Share Recycling Provisions	34
Option Overhang Cost	34
OTHER COMPENSATION PROPOSALS AND POLICIES	35
401(K) Employee Benefit Plans	35
Advisory Vote On Executive Compensation (Say-On-Pay) Management Proposals	35
Director Compensation	36
Director Retirement Plans	36
Employee Stock Ownership Plans (Esops)	36
Employee Stock Purchase Plans Qualified Plans	37
Employee Stock Purchase Plans Non-Qualified Plans	37
Incentive Bonus Plans And Tax Deductibility Proposals (Obra-Related	
Compensation Proposals)	37
Options Backdating	38
Option Exchange Programs/Repricing Options	38
Stock Plans In Lieu Of Cash	39
Transfer Programs Of Stock Options	39
SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS ON COMPENSATION	40
Advisory Vote On Executive Compensation (Say-On-Pay)	40
Compensation Consultants- Disclosure Of Board Or Company's Utilization Disclosure/Setting Levels Or Types Of Compensation For Executives And	40
Directors	40
Pay For Superior Performance	40
Performance-Based Awards	41
Pension Plan Income Accounting	41
Pre-Arranged Trading Plans (10b5-1 Plans)	41
Recoup Bonuses	42
Severance Agreements For Executives/Golden Parachutes	42
Share Buyback Holding Periods	42
Stock Ownership Or Holding Period Guidelines	42
Supplemental Executive Retirement Plans (Serps)	43
Tax Gross-Up Proposals	43
9. CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY (CSR) ISSUES	44
ANIMAL WELFARE	44
Animal Testing	44
Animal Welfare Policies	44
Controlled Atmosphere Killing (Cak)	44
CONSUMER ISSUES	44
Genetically Modified Ingredients	44
Consumer Lending	45
Pharmaceutical Pricing	45
Pharmaceutical Product Reimportation	45
Product Safety And Toxic Materials	46
Tobacco	46
DIVERSITY	47
Board Diversity	47
Equality Of Opportunity And Glass Ceiling	47
Sexual Orientation And Domestic Partner Benefits	48
CLIMATE CHANGE AND THE ENVIRONMENT	48
Climate Change	48
Concentrated Area Feeding Operations (Cafo)	48
Energy Efficiency	48
Facility Safety (Nuclear And Chemical Plant Safety)	49

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -4-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

General Environmental Reporting	49
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	49
Operations In Protected Areas	49
Recycling	49
Renewable Energy	49
GENERAL CORPORATE ISSUES	50
Charitable Contributions	50
Csr Compensation-Related Proposals	50
Hiv/Aids	50
Lobbying Expenditures/Initiatives	51
Political Contributions And Trade Associations Spending	51
	51
INTERNATIONAL ISSUES, LABOR ISSUES, AND HUMAN RIGHTS	51
China Principles	
Codes Of Conduct	52
Community Impact Assessments	52
Foreign Military Sales/Offsets	52
Internet Privacy And Censorship	52
Macbride Principles	53
Nuclear And Depleted Uranium Weapons	53
Operations In High Risk Markets	53
Outsourcing/Offshoring	53
Vendor Standards	53
SUSTAINABILITY	54
Sustainability Reporting	54
10. MUTUAL FUND PROXIES	55
Election Of Directors	55
Converting Closed-End Fund To Open-End Fund	55
Proxy Contests	55
Investment Advisory Agreements	5.5
Approving New Classes Or Series Of Shares	5.5
Preferred Stock Proposals	55
1940 Act Policies	56
Changing A Fundamental Restriction To A Nonfundamental Restriction	56
Change Fundamental Investment Objective To Nonfundamental	56
	56
Name Change Proposals	56
Change In Fund's Subclassification	
Disposition Of Assets/Termination/Liquidation	56
Changes To The Charter Document	56
Changing The Domicile Of A Fund	57
Authorizing The Board To Hire And Terminate Subadvisors Without Shareholder	
Approval	57
Distribution Agreements	57
Master-Feeder Structure	57
Mergers	57
SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR MUTUAL FUNDS	57
Establish Director Ownership Requirement	57
Reimburse Shareholder For Expenses Incurred	58
Torminate The Investment Advisor	5.9

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -5-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

1. Operational Items

ADJOURN MEETING

Generally vote AGAINST proposals to provide management with the authority to adjourn an annual or special meeting absent compelling reasons to support the proposal.

Vote FOR proposals that relate specifically to soliciting votes for a merger or transaction if supporting that merger or transaction. Vote AGAINST proposals if the wording is too vague or if the proposal includes "other business."

AMEND QUORUM REQUIREMENTS

Vote AGAINST proposals to reduce quorum requirements for shareholder meetings below a majority of the shares outstanding unless there are compelling reasons to support the proposal.

AMEND MINOR BYLAWS

Vote FOR bylaw or charter changes that are of a housekeeping nature (updates or corrections).

AUDITOR INDEMNIFICATION AND LIMITATION OF LIABILITY

Consider the issue of auditor indemnification and limitation of liability on a CASE-BY-CASE basis. Factors to be assessed include, but are not limited to:

- The terms of the auditor agreement- the degree to which these agreements impact shareholders' rights;
- Motivation and rationale for establishing the agreements;
- Quality of disclosure; and
- Historical practices in the audit area.

WTHHOLD or vote AGAINST members of an audit committee in situations where there is persuasive evidence that the audit committee entered into an inappropriate indemnification agreement with its auditor that limits the ability of the company, or its shareholders, to pursue legitimate legal recourse against the audit firm.

AUDITOR RATIFICATION

Vote FOR proposals to ratify auditors, unless any of the following apply:

- An auditor has a financial interest in or association with the company, and is therefore not independent;
- There is reason to believe that the independent auditor has rendered an opinion which is neither accurate nor indicative of the company's financial position;
- Poor accounting practices are identified that rise to a serious level of concern, such as: fraud; misapplication of GAAP; and material

weaknesses identified in Section 404 disclosures; or

- Fees for non-audit services ("Other" fees) are excessive.

Non-audit fees are excessive if:

Non-audit ("other") fees >audit fees + audit-related fees + tax compliance/preparation fees

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -6-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

Tax compliance and preparation include the preparation of original and amended tax returns, refund claims and tax payment planning. All other services in the tax category, such as tax advice, planning or consulting should be added to "Other" fees. If the breakout of tax fees cannot be determined, add all tax fees to "Other" fees.

In circumstances where "Other" fees include fees related to significant one-time capital structure events: initial public offerings, bankruptcy emergence, and spin-offs; and the company makes public disclosure of the amount and nature of those fees which are an exception to the standard "non-audit fee" category, then such fees may be excluded from the non-audit fees considered in determining the ratio of non-audit to audit/audit-related fees/tax compliance and preparation for purposes of determining whether non-audit fees are excessive.

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on shareholder proposals asking companies to prohibit or limit their auditors from engaging in non-audit services.

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on shareholder proposals asking for audit firm rotation, taking into account:

- The tenure of the audit firm;
- The length of rotation specified in the proposal;
- Any significant audit-related issues at the company;
- The number of Audit Committee meetings held each year;
- The number of financial experts serving on the committee; and
- Whether the company has a periodic renewal process where the auditor is evaluated for both audit quality and competitive price.

CHANGE COMPANY NAME

Vote FOR proposals to change the corporate name.

CHANGE DATE, TIME, OR LOCATION OF ANNUAL MEETING

Vote FOR management proposals to change the date, time, and/or location of the annual meeting unless the proposed change is unreasonable.

Vote AGAINST shareholder proposals to change the date, time, and/or location of the annual meeting unless the current scheduling or location is unreasonable.

TRANSACT OTHER BUSINESS

Vote AGAINST proposals to approve other business when it appears as voting item.

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -7-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

2. Board of Directors:

VOTING ON DIRECTOR NOMINEES IN UNCONTESTED ELECTIONS

Vote on director nominees should be determined on a CASE-BY-CASE basis.

Vote AGAINST or WITHHOLD(1) from individual directors who:

- Attend less than 75 percent of the board and committee meetings without a valid excuse (such as illness, service to the nation, work on behalf of the company);
- Sit on more than six public company boards;
- Are CEOs of public companies who sit on the boards of more than two public companies besides their own-- withhold only at their outside boards.

Vote AGAINST or WITHHOLD from all nominees of the board of directors, (except from new nominees, who should be considered on a CASE-BY-CASE basis) if:

- The company's proxy indicates that not all directors attended 75% of the aggregate of their board and committee meetings, but fails to provide the required disclosure of the names of the directors involved. If this information cannot be obtained, vote against/withhold from all incumbent directors;
- The company's poison pill has a dead-hand or modified dead-hand feature. Vote against/withhold every year until this feature is removed;
- The board adopts or renews a poison pill without shareholder approval, does not commit to putting it to shareholder vote within 12 months of adoption (or in the case of an newly public company, does not commit to put the pill to a shareholder vote within 12 months following the IPO), or reneges on a commitment to put the pill to a vote, and has not yet received a withhold/against recommendation for this issue;
- The board failed to act on a shareholder proposal that received approval by a majority of the shares outstanding the previous year (a management proposal with other than a FOR recommendation by management will not be considered as sufficient action taken);
- The board failed to act on a shareholder proposal that received approval of the majority of shares cast for the previous two consecutive years (a management proposal with other than a FOR recommendation by management will not be considered as sufficient action taken);
- The board failed to act on takeover offers where the majority of the shareholders tendered their shares;

- At the previous board election, any director received more than 50 percent withhold/against votes of the shares cast and the company has failed to address the underlying issue(s) that caused the high withhold/against vote;
- The company is a Russell 3000 company that underperformed its industry group (GICS group) under the criteria discussed in the section "Performance Test for Directors";
- The board is classified, and a continuing director responsible for a problematic governance issue at the board/committee level that would warrant a withhold/against vote recommendation is not up for electionany or all appropriate nominees (except new) may be held accountable.

(1) In general, companies with a plurality vote standard use "Withhold" as the valid contrary vote option in director elections; companies with a majority vote standard use "Against". However, it will vary by company and the proxy must be checked to determine the valid contrary vote option for the particular company.

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -8-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

Vote AGAINST or WITHHOLD from Inside Directors and Affiliated Outside Directors (per the Classification of Directors below) when:

- The inside or affiliated outside director serves on any of the three key committees: audit, compensation, or nominating;
- The company lacks an audit, compensation, or nominating committee so that the full board functions as that committee;
- The company lacks a formal nominating committee, even if board attests that the independent directors fulfill the functions of such a committee;
- The full board is less than majority independent.

Vote AGAINST or WITHHOLD from the members of the Audit Committee if:

- The non audit fees paid to the auditor are excessive (see discussion under Auditor Ratification);
- Poor accounting practices are identified which rise to a level of serious concern, such as: fraud; misapplication of GAAP; and material weaknesses identified in Section 404 disclosures; or
- There is persuasive evidence that the audit committee entered into an inappropriate indemnification agreement with its auditor that limits the ability of the company, or its shareholders, to pursue legitimate legal recourse against the audit firm.

Vote AGAINST or WITHHOLD from the members of the Compensation Committee if:

- There is a negative correlation between the chief executive's pay and company performance (see discussion under Equity Compensation Plans);

- The company reprices underwater options for stock, cash or other consideration without prior shareholder approval, even if allowed in their equity plan;
- The company fails to submit one-time transfers of stock options to a shareholder vote;
- The company fails to fulfill the terms of a burn rate commitment they made to shareholders;
- The company has backdated options (see "Options Backdating" policy);
- The company has poor compensation practices (see "Poor Pay Practices" policy). Poor pay practices may warrant withholding votes from the CEO and potentially the entire board as well.

Vote AGAINST or WITHHOLD from directors, individually or the entire board, for egregious actions or failure to replace management as appropriate.

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -9-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

2008 CLASSIFICATION OF DIRECTORS

INSIDE DIRECTOR (I)

- Employee of the company or one of its affiliates(1);
- Non-employee officer of the company if among the five most highly paid individuals (excluding interim CEO);
- Listed as a Section 16 officer(2);
- Current interim CEO;
- Beneficial owner of more than 50 percent of the company's voting power (this may be aggregated if voting power is distributed among more than one member of a defined group).

AFFILIATED OUTSIDE DIRECTOR (AO)

- Board attestation that an outside director is not independent;
- Former CEO of the company(3);
- Former CEO of an acquired company within the past five years;
- Former interim CEO if the service was longer than 18 months. If the service was between twelve and eighteen months an assessment of the interim CEO's employment agreement will be made; (4)
- Former executive(2) of the company, an affiliate or an acquired firm within the past five years;
- Executive(2) of a former parent or predecessor firm at the time the company was sold or split off from the parent/predecessor within the past five years;

- Executive(2), former executive, general or limited partner of a joint venture or partnership with the company;
- Relative(5) of a current Section 16 officer of company or its affiliates;
- Relative(5) of a current employee of company or its affiliates where additional factors raise concern (which may include, but are not limited to, the following: a director related to numerous employees; the company or its affiliates employ relatives of numerous board members; or a non-Section 16 officer in a key strategic role);
- Relative(5) of former Section 16 officer, of company or its affiliate within the last five years;
- Currently provides (or a relative(5) provides) professional services(6) to the company, to an affiliate of the company or an individual officer of the company or one of its affiliates in excess of \$10,000 per year;
- Employed by (or a relative(5) is employed by) a significant customer or supplier(7);
- Has (or a relative(5) has) any transactional relationship with the company or its affiliates excluding investments in the company through a private placement; (7)
- Any material financial tie or other related party transactional relationship to the company;
- Party to a voting agreement to vote in line with management on proposals being brought to shareholder vote;
- Has (or a relative(5) has) an interlocking relationship as defined by the SEC involving members of the board of directors or its Compensation and Stock Option Committee; (8)
- Founder (9) of the company but not currently an employee;
- Is (or a relative(5) is) a trustee, director or employee of a charitable or non-profit organization that receives grants or endowments(7) from the company or its affiliates(1).

INDEPENDENT OUTSIDE DIRECTOR (IO)

- No material (10) connection to the company other than a board seat.

FOOTNOTES:

- (1) "Affiliate" includes a subsidiary, sibling company, or parent company. ISS uses 50 percent control ownership by the parent company as the standard for applying its affiliate designation.
- (2) "Executives" (officers subject to Section 16 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934) include the chief

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -10-

executive, operating, financial, legal, technology, and accounting officers of a company (including the president, treasurer, secretary, controller, or any vice president in charge of a principal business unit, division or policy function). A non-employee director serving as an officer due to statutory requirements (e.g. corporate secretary) will be classified as an Affiliated Outsider. If the company provides additional disclosure that the director is not receiving additional compensation for serving in that capacity, then the director will be classified as an Independent Outsider.

- (3) Includes any former CEO of the company prior to the company's initial public offering (IPO).
- (4) ISS will look at the terms of the interim CEO's employment contract to determine if it contains severance pay, long-term health and pension benefits or other such standard provisions typically contained in contracts of permanent, non-temporary CEOs. ISS will also consider if a formal search process was underway for a full-time CEO at the time.
- (5) "Relative" follows the SEC's new definition of "immediate family members" which covers spouses, parents, children, step-parents, step-children, siblings, in-laws, and any person (other than a tenant or employee) sharing the household of any director, nominee for director, executive officer, or significant shareholder of the company.
- (6) Professional services can be characterized as advisory in nature and generally include the following: investment banking / financial advisory services; commercial banking (beyond deposit services); investment services; insurance services; accounting/audit services; consulting services; marketing services; and legal services. The case of participation in a banking syndicate by a non-lead bank should be considered a transaction (and hence subject to the associated materiality test) rather than a professional relationship.
- (7) If the company makes or receives annual payments exceeding the greater of \$200,000 or 5 percent of the recipient's gross revenues. (The recipient is the party receiving the financial proceeds from the transaction).
- (8) Interlocks include: (a) executive officers serving as directors on each other's compensation or similar committees (or, in the absence of such a committee, on the board); or (b) executive officers sitting on each other's boards and at least one serves on the other's compensation or similar committees (or, in the absence of such a committee, on the board).
- (9) The operating involvement of the Founder with the company will be considered. Little to no operating involvement may cause ISS to deem the Founder as an independent outsider.
- (10) For purposes of ISS' director independence classification, "material" will be defined as a standard of relationship (financial, personal or otherwise) that a reasonable person might conclude could potentially influence one's objectivity in the boardroom in a manner that would have a meaningful impact on an individual's ability to satisfy requisite fiduciary standards on behalf of shareholders.

AGE LIMITS

Vote AGAINST shareholder or management proposals to limit the tenure of outside directors through mandatory retirement ages.

BOARD SIZE

Vote FOR proposals seeking to fix the board size or designate a range for the board size.

Vote AGAINST proposals that give management the ability to alter the size of the board outside of a specified range without shareholder approval.

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -11-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

CLASSIFICATION/DECLASSIFICATION OF THE BOARD

Vote AGAINST proposals to classify the board.

Vote FOR proposals to repeal classified boards and to elect all directors annually.

CUMULATIVE VOTING

Generally vote AGAINST proposals to eliminate cumulative voting.

Generally vote FOR proposals to restore or provide for cumulative voting unless:

- The company has proxy access or a similar structure(2) to allow shareholders to nominate directors to the company's ballot; and
- The company has adopted a majority vote standard, with a carve-out for plurality voting in situations where there are more nominees than seats, and a director resignation policy to address failed elections.

Vote FOR proposals for cumulative voting at controlled companies (insider voting power > 50%).

DIRECTOR AND OFFICER INDEMNIFICATION AND LIABILITY PROTECTION

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on proposals on director and officer indemnification and liability protection using Delaware law as the standard.

Vote AGAINST proposals to eliminate entirely directors' and officers' liability for monetary damages for violating the duty of care.

Vote AGAINST indemnification proposals that would expand coverage beyond just legal expenses to liability for acts, such as negligence, that are more serious violations of fiduciary obligation than mere carelessness.

Vote AGAINST proposals that would expand the scope of indemnification to provide for mandatory indemnification of company officials in connection with acts that previously the company was permitted to provide indemnification for at the discretion of the company's board (i.e., "permissive indemnification") but that previously the company was not required to indemnify.

Vote FOR only those proposals providing such expanded coverage in cases when a director's or officer's legal defense was unsuccessful if both of the following apply:

 If the director was found to have acted in good faith and in a manner that he reasonably believed was in the best interests of the company; and

- If only the director's legal expenses would be covered.

ESTABLISH/AMEND NOMINEE QUALIFICATIONS

(2) Similar structure" would be a structure that allows shareholders to nominate candidates who the company will include on the management ballot IN ADDITION TO management's nominees, and their bios are included in management's proxy.

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -12-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on proposals that establish or amend director qualifications. Votes should be based on how reasonable the criteria are and to what degree they may preclude dissident nominees from joining the board.

Vote AGAINST shareholder proposals requiring two candidates per board seat.

FILLING VACANCIES/REMOVAL OF DIRECTORS

Vote AGAINST proposals that provide that directors may be removed only for cause.

Vote FOR proposals to restore shareholders' ability to remove directors with or without cause.

Vote AGAINST proposals that provide that only continuing directors may elect replacements to fill board vacancies.

Vote FOR proposals that permit shareholders to elect directors to fill board vacancies.

INDEPENDENT CHAIR (SEPARATE CHAIR/CEO)

Generally vote FOR shareholder proposals requiring that the chairman's position be filled by an independent director, unless there are compelling reasons to recommend against the proposal, such as a counterbalancing governance structure. This should include all the following:

- Designated lead director, elected by and from the independent board members with clearly delineated and comprehensive duties. (The role may alternatively reside with a presiding director, vice chairman, or rotating lead director; however the director must serve a minimum of one year in order to qualify as a lead director.) The duties should include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - presides at all meetings of the board at which the chairman is not present, including executive sessions of the independent directors;
 - serves as liaison between the chairman and the independent directors;
 - approves information sent to the board;
 - approves meeting agendas for the board;

- approves meeting schedules to assure that there is sufficient time for discussion of all agenda items;
- has the authority to call meetings of the independent directors;
- if requested by major shareholders, ensures that he is available for consultation and direct communication;
- The company publicly discloses a comparison of the duties of its independent lead director and its chairman;
- The company publicly discloses a sufficient explanation of why it chooses not to give the position of chairman to the independent lead director, and instead combine the chairman and CEO positions;
- Two-thirds independent board;
- All independent key committees;
- Established governance guidelines;
- The company should not have underperformed both its peers and index on the basis of both one-year and three-year total shareholder returns*, unless there has been a change in the Chairman/CEO position within that time; and
- The company does not have any problematic governance issues.

Vote FOR the proposal if the company does not provide disclosure with respect to any or all of the bullet points above. If disclosure is provided, evaluate on a CASE-BY-CASE basis.

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -13-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

* The industry peer group used for this evaluation is the average of the 12 companies in the same 6-digit GICS group that are closest in revenue to the company. To fail, the company must under-perform its index and industry group on all 4 measures (1 and 3 year on industry peers and index).

MAJORITY OF INDEPENDENT DIRECTORS/ESTABLISHMENT OF COMMITTEES

Vote FOR shareholder proposals asking that a majority or more of directors be independent unless the board composition already meets the proposed threshold by ISS' definition of independent outsider. (See Classification of Directors.)

Vote FOR shareholder proposals asking that board audit, compensation, and/or nominating committees be composed exclusively of independent directors if they currently do not meet that standard.

MAJORITY VOTE SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS

Generally vote FOR precatory and binding resolutions requesting that the board change the company's bylaws to stipulate that directors need to be elected with an affirmative majority of votes cast, provided it does not conflict with the state law where the company is incorporated. Binding resolutions need to allow for a carve-out for a plurality vote standard when there are more nominees than board seats.

Companies are strongly encouraged to also adopt a post-election policy (also know as a director resignation policy) that will provide guidelines so that the company will promptly address the situation of a holdover director.

OFFICE OF THE BOARD

Generally vote FOR shareholders proposals requesting that the board establish an Office of the Board of Directors in order to facilitate direct communications between shareholders and non-management directors, unless the company has all of the following:

- Established a communication structure that goes beyond the exchange requirements to facilitate the exchange of information between shareholders and members of the board;
- Effectively disclosed information with respect to this structure to its shareholders;
- Company has not ignored majority-supported shareholder proposals or a majority withhold vote on a director nominee; and
- The company has an independent chairman or a lead/presiding director, according to ISS' definition. This individual must be made available for periodic consultation and direct communication with major shareholders.

OPEN ACCESS

Vote shareholder proposals asking for open or proxy access on a CASE-BY-CASE basis, taking into account:

- The ownership threshold proposed in the resolution;
- The proponent's rationale for the proposal at the targeted company in terms of board and director conduct.

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -14-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

PERFORMANCE TEST FOR DIRECTORS

On a CASE-BY-CASE basis, Vote AGAINST or WITHHOLD from directors of Russell 3000 companies that underperformed relative to their industry peers. The criterion used to evaluate such underperformance is a combination of four performance measures:

One measurement is a market-based performance metric and three measurements are tied to the company's operational performance. The market performance metric in the methodology is five-year Total Shareholder Return (TSR) on a relative basis within each four-digit GICS group. The three operational performance metrics are sales growth, EBITDA growth (or operating income growth for companies in the financial sector), and pre-tax operating Return on Invested Capital (ROIC) (or Return on Average Assets (ROAA) for companies in the financial sector) on a relative basis within each four-digit GICS group. All four metrics will be time-weighted as follows: 40 percent on the trailing 12 month period and 60 percent on the 48 month period prior to the trailing 12 months. This methodology emphasizes the company's historical performance over a five-year period yet also accounts for near-term changes in a company's performance.

The table below summarizes the framework:

METRICS	BASIS OF EVALUATION	WEIGHTING	2ND WEIGHTING
Operational Denfermance			50%
Operational Performance			50%
5-year Average pre-tax	Management efficiency in		
operating ROIC or ROAA*	deploying assets	33.3%	
5-year Sales Growth	Top-Line	33.3%	
5-year EBITDA Growth or	Core-earnings	33.3%	
Operating Income Growth*			
Sub Total		100%	
Stock Performance			50%
5-year TSR	Market		
Total			100%

* Metric applies to companies in the financial sector

Adopt a two-phase approach. In Year 1, the worst performers (bottom 5 percent) within each of the 24 GICS groups receive are noted. In Year 2, consider a vote AGAINST or WITHHOLD votes from director nominees if a company continues to be in the bottom five percent within its GICS group for that respective year and shows no improvement in its most recent trailing 12 months operating and market performance relative to its peers in its GICS group. Take into account various factors including:

- Year-to-date performance;
- Situational circumstances;
- Change in management/board;
- Overall governance practices.

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -15-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

STOCK OWNERSHIP REQUIREMENTS

Generally vote AGAINST shareholder proposals that mandate a minimum amount of stock that directors must own in order to qualify as a director or to remain on the board. While stock ownership on the part of directors is desired, the company should determine the appropriate ownership requirement.

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on shareholder proposals asking that the company adopt a holding or retention period for its executives (for holding stock after the vesting or exercise of equity awards), taking into account any stock ownership requirements or holding period/retention ratio already in place and the actual ownership level of executives.

TERM LIMITS

Vote AGAINST shareholder or management proposals to limit the tenure of outside directors through term limits. However, scrutinize boards where the average

tenure of all directors exceeds 15 years for independence from management and for sufficient turnover to ensure that new perspectives are being added to the board.

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -16-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

3. Proxy Contests

VOTING FOR DIRECTOR NOMINEES IN CONTESTED ELECTIONS

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on the election of directors in contested elections, considering the following factors:

- Long-term financial performance of the target company relative to its industry;
- Management's track record;
- Background to the proxy contest;
- Qualifications of director nominees (both slates);
- Strategic plan of dissident slate and quality of critique against management;
- Likelihood that the proposed goals and objectives can be achieved (both slates);
- Stock ownership positions.

REIMBURSING PROXY SOLICITATION EXPENSES

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on proposals to reimburse proxy solicitation expenses. When voting in conjunction with support of a dissident slate, vote FOR the reimbursement of all appropriate proxy solicitation expenses associated with the election.

Generally vote FOR shareholder proposals calling for the reimbursement of reasonable costs incurred in connection with nominating one or more candidates in a contested election where the following apply:

- The election of fewer than 50% of the directors to be elected is contested in the election;
- One or more of the dissident's candidates is elected;
- Shareholders are not permitted to cumulate their votes for directors;
- The election occurred, and the expenses were incurred, after the adoption of this bylaw.

CONFIDENTIAL VOTING

Vote FOR shareholder proposals requesting that corporations adopt confidential voting, use independent vote tabulators, and use independent inspectors of election, as long as the proposal includes a provision for proxy contests as

follows: In the case of a contested election, management should be permitted to request that the dissident group honor its confidential voting policy. If the dissidents agree, the policy remains in place. If the dissidents will not agree, the confidential voting policy is waived.

Vote FOR management proposals to adopt confidential voting.

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -17-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

4. Antitakeover Defenses and Voting Related Issues

ADVANCE NOTICE REQUIREMENTS FOR SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS/NOMINATIONS

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on advance notice proposals, supporting those proposals which allow shareholders to submit proposals as close to the meeting date as reasonably possible and within the broadest window possible.

AMEND BYLAWS WITHOUT SHAREHOLDER CONSENT

Vote AGAINST proposals giving the board exclusive authority to amend the bylaws.

Vote FOR proposals giving the board the ability to amend the bylaws in addition to shareholders.

POISON PILLS

Vote FOR shareholder proposals requesting that the company submit its poison pill to a shareholder vote or redeem it UNLESS the company has: (1) A shareholder approved poison pill in place; or (2) The company has adopted a policy concerning the adoption of a pill in the future specifying that the board will only adopt a shareholder rights plan if either:

- Shareholders have approved the adoption of the plan; or
- The board, in its exercise of its fiduciary responsibilities, determines that it is in the best interest of shareholders under the circumstances to adopt a pill without the delay in adoption that would result from seeking stockholder approval (i.e., the "fiduciary out" provision). A poison pill adopted under this fiduciary out will be put to a shareholder ratification vote within 12 months of adoption or expire. If the pill is not approved by a majority of the votes cast on this issue, the plan will immediately terminate.

Vote FOR shareholder proposals calling for poison pills to be put to a vote within a time period of less than one year after adoption. If the company has no non-shareholder approved poison pill in place and has adopted a policy with the provisions outlined above, vote AGAINST the proposal. If these conditions are not met, vote FOR the proposal, but with the caveat that a vote within 12 months would be considered sufficient.

Vote CASE-by-CASE on management proposals on poison pill ratification, focusing on the features of the shareholder rights plan. Rights plans should contain the following attributes:

- No lower than a 20% trigger, flip-in or flip-over;
- A term of no more than three years;

- No dead-hand, slow-hand, no-hand or similar feature that limits the ability of a future board to redeem the pill;
- Shareholder redemption feature (qualifying offer clause); if the board refuses to redeem the pill 90 days after a qualifying offer is announced, 10 percent of the shares may call a special meeting or seek a written consent to vote on rescinding the pill.

SHAREHOLDER ABILITY TO ACT BY WRITTEN CONSENT

Vote AGAINST proposals to restrict or prohibit shareholder ability to take action by written consent.

Vote FOR proposals to allow or make easier shareholder action by written consent.

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -18-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

SHAREHOLDER ABILITY TO CALL SPECIAL MEETINGS

Vote AGAINST proposals to restrict or prohibit shareholder ability to call special meetings.

Vote FOR proposals that remove restrictions on the right of shareholders to act independently of management.

SUPERMAJORITY VOTE REQUIREMENTS

Vote AGAINST proposals to require a supermajority shareholder vote.

Vote FOR proposals to lower supermajority vote requirements.

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -19-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

5. Mergers and Corporate Restructurings

OVERALL APPROACH

For mergers and acquisitions, review and evaluate the merits and drawbacks of the proposed transaction, balancing various and sometimes countervailing factors including:

- VALUATION Is the value to be received by the target shareholders (or paid by the acquirer) reasonable? While the fairness opinion may provide an initial starting point for assessing valuation reasonableness, emphasis is placed on the offer premium, market reaction and strategic rationale.
- MARKET REACTION How has the market responded to the proposed deal? A negative market reaction should cause closer scrutiny of a deal.

- STRATEGIC RATIONALE Does the deal make sense strategically? From where is the value derived? Cost and revenue synergies should not be overly aggressive or optimistic, but reasonably achievable. Management should also have a favorable track record of successful integration of historical acquisitions.
- NEGOTIATIONS AND PROCESS Were the terms of the transaction negotiated at arm's-length? Was the process fair and equitable? A fair process helps to ensure the best price for shareholders. Significant negotiation "wins" can also signify the deal makers' competency. The comprehensiveness of the sales process (e.g., full auction, partial auction, no auction) can also affect shareholder value.
- CONFLICTS OF INTEREST Are insiders benefiting from the transaction disproportionately and inappropriately as compared to non-insider shareholders? As the result of potential conflicts, the directors and officers of the company may be more likely to vote to approve a merger than if they did not hold these interests. Consider whether these interests may have influenced these directors and officers to support or recommend the merger. The CIC figure presented in the "ISS Transaction Summary" section of this report is an aggregate figure that can in certain cases be a misleading indicator of the true value transfer from shareholders to insiders. Where such figure appears to be excessive, analyze the underlying assumptions to determine whether a potential conflict exists.
- GOVERNANCE Will the combined company have a better or worse governance profile than the current governance profiles of the respective parties to the transaction? If the governance profile is to change for the worse, the burden is on the company to prove that other issues (such as valuation) outweigh any deterioration in governance.

APPRAISAL RIGHTS

Vote FOR proposals to restore, or provide shareholders with rights of appraisal.

ASSET PURCHASES

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on asset purchase proposals, considering the following factors:

- Purchase price;
- Fairness opinion;
- Financial and strategic benefits;
- How the deal was negotiated;
- Conflicts of interest;
- Other alternatives for the business;
- Non-completion risk.

ASSET SALES

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on asset sales, considering the following factors:

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -20-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

- Impact on the balance sheet/working capital;
- Potential elimination of diseconomies;
- Anticipated financial and operating benefits;
- Anticipated use of funds;
- Value received for the asset;
- Fairness opinion;
- How the deal was negotiated;
- Conflicts of interest.

BUNDLED PROPOSALS

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on bundled or "conditional" proxy proposals. In the case of items that are conditioned upon each other, examine the benefits and costs of the packaged items. In instances when the joint effect of the conditioned items is not in shareholders' best interests, vote AGAINST the proposals. If the combined effect is positive, support such proposals.

CONVERSION OF SECURITIES

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on proposals regarding conversion of securities. When evaluating these proposals the investor should review the dilution to existing shareholders, the conversion price relative to market value, financial issues, control issues, termination penalties, and conflicts of interest.

Vote FOR the conversion if it is expected that the company will be subject to onerous penalties or will be forced to file for bankruptcy if the transaction is not approved.

CORPORATE REORGANIZATION/DEBT RESTRUCTURING/PREPACKAGED BANKRUPTCY PLANS/REVERSE LEVERAGED BUYOUTS/WRAP PLANS

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on proposals to increase common and/or preferred shares and to issue shares as part of a debt restructuring plan, taking into consideration the following:

- Dilution to existing shareholders' position;
- Terms of the offer;
- Financial issues;
- Management's efforts to pursue other alternatives;
- Control issues;
- Conflicts of interest.

Vote FOR the debt restructuring if it is expected that the company will file for bankruptcy if the transaction is not approved.

FORMATION OF HOLDING COMPANY

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on proposals regarding the formation of a holding company, taking into consideration the following:

- The reasons for the change;
- Any financial or tax benefits;
- Regulatory benefits;
- Increases in capital structure;

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -21-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

- Changes to the articles of incorporation or bylaws of the company.

Absent compelling financial reasons to recommend the transaction, vote AGAINST the formation of a holding company if the transaction would include either of the following:

- Increases in common or preferred stock in excess of the allowable maximum (see discussion under "Capital Structure");
- Adverse changes in shareholder rights.

GOING PRIVATE TRANSACTIONS (LBOS, MINORITY SQUEEZEOUTS, AND GOING DARK)

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on going private transactions, taking into account the following:

- Offer price/premium;
- Fairness opinion;
- How the deal was negotiated;
- Conflicts of interest;
- Other alternatives/offers considered; and
- Non-completion risk.

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on "going dark" transactions, determining whether the transaction enhances shareholder value by taking into consideration:

- Whether the company has attained benefits from being publicly-traded (examination of trading volume, liquidity, and market research of the stock);
- Cash-out value;
- Whether the interests of continuing and cashed-out shareholders are balanced; and
- The market reaction to public announcement of transaction.

JOINT VENTURES

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on proposals to form joint ventures, taking into account the following:

- Percentage of assets/business contributed;
- Percentage ownership;
- Financial and strategic benefits;
- Governance structure;
- Conflicts of interest;
- Other alternatives;
- Noncompletion risk.

LIQUIDATIONS

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on liquidations, taking into account the following:

- Management's efforts to pursue other alternatives;
- Appraisal value of assets; and
- The compensation plan for executives managing the liquidation.

Vote FOR the liquidation if the company will file for bankruptcy if the proposal is not approved.

MERGERS AND ACQUISITIONS/ ISSUANCE OF SHARES TO FACILITATE MERGER OR ACQUISITION

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -22-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on mergers and acquisitions, determining whether the transaction enhances shareholder value by giving consideration to items listed under "Mergers and Corporate Restructurings: Overall Approach."

PRIVATE PLACEMENTS/WARRANTS/CONVERTIBLE DEBENTURES

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on proposals regarding private placements, taking into consideration:

- Dilution to existing shareholders' position;
- Terms of the offer;
- Financial issues;
- Management's efforts to pursue other alternatives;
- Control issues;
- Conflicts of interest.

Vote FOR the private placement if it is expected that the company will file for

bankruptcy if the transaction is not approved.

SPINOFFS

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on spin-offs, considering:

- Tax and regulatory advantages;
- Planned use of the sale proceeds;
- Valuation of spinoff;
- Fairness opinion;
- Benefits to the parent company;
- Conflicts of interest;
- Managerial incentives;
- Corporate governance changes;
- Changes in the capital structure.

VALUE MAXIMIZATION PROPOSALS

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on shareholder proposals seeking to maximize shareholder value by hiring a financial advisor to explore strategic alternatives, selling the company or liquidating the company and distributing the proceeds to shareholders. These proposals should be evaluated based on the following factors:

- Prolonged poor performance with no turnaround in sight;
- Signs of entrenched board and management;
- Strategic plan in place for improving value;
- Likelihood of receiving reasonable value in a sale or dissolution; and
- Whether company is actively exploring its strategic options, including retaining a financial advisor.

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -23-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

6. State of Incorporation

CONTROL SHARE ACQUISITION PROVISIONS

Control share acquisition statutes function by denying shares their voting rights when they contribute to ownership in excess of certain thresholds. Voting rights for those shares exceeding ownership limits may only be restored by approval of either a majority or supermajority of disinterested shares. Thus, control share acquisition statutes effectively require a hostile bidder to put its offer to a shareholder vote or risk voting disenfranchisement if the bidder continues buying up a large block of shares.

Vote FOR proposals to opt out of control share acquisition statutes unless doing so would enable the completion of a takeover that would be detrimental to shareholders.

Vote AGAINST proposals to amend the charter to include control share acquisition provisions.

Vote FOR proposals to restore voting rights to the control shares.

CONTROL SHARE CASH-OUT PROVISIONS

Control share cash-out statutes give dissident shareholders the right to "cash-out" of their position in a company at the expense of the shareholder who has taken a control position. In other words, when an investor crosses a preset threshold level, remaining shareholders are given the right to sell their shares to the acquirer, who must buy them at the highest acquiring price.

Vote FOR proposals to opt out of control share cash-out statutes.

DISGORGEMENT PROVISIONS

Disgorgement provisions require an acquirer or potential acquirer of more than a certain percentage of a company's stock to disgorge, or pay back, to the company any profits realized from the sale of that company's stock purchased 24 months before achieving control status. All sales of company stock by the acquirer occurring within a certain period of time (between 18 months and 24 months) prior to the investor's gaining control status are subject to these recapture-of-profits provisions.

Vote FOR proposals to opt out of state disgorgement provisions.

FAIR PRICE PROVISIONS

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on proposals to adopt fair price provisions (provisions that stipulate that an acquirer must pay the same price to acquire all shares as it paid to acquire the control shares), evaluating factors such as the vote required to approve the proposed acquisition, the vote required to repeal the fair price provision, and the mechanism for determining the fair price.

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -24-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

Generally, vote AGAINST fair price provisions with shareholder vote requirements greater than a majority of disinterested shares.

FREEZE-OUT PROVISIONS

Vote FOR proposals to opt out of state freeze-out provisions. Freeze-out provisions force an investor who surpasses a certain ownership threshold in a company to wait a specified period of time before gaining control of the company.

GREENMAIL

Greenmail payments are targeted share repurchases by management of company stock from individuals or groups seeking control of the company. Since only the hostile party receives payment, usually at a substantial premium over the market value of its shares, the practice discriminates against all other shareholders.

Vote FOR proposals to adopt anti-greenmail charter or bylaw amendments or otherwise restrict a company's ability to make greenmail payments.

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on anti-greenmail proposals when they are bundled with other charter or bylaw amendments.

REINCORPORATION PROPOSALS

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on proposals to change a company's state of incorporation, taking into consideration both financial and corporate governance concerns, including:

- The reasons for reincorporating;
- A comparison of the governance provisions;
- Comparative economic benefits; and
- A comparison of the jurisdictional laws.

Vote FOR re-incorporation when the economic factors outweigh any neutral or negative governance changes.

STAKEHOLDER PROVISIONS

Vote AGAINST proposals that ask the board to consider non-shareholder constituencies or other non-financial effects when evaluating a merger or business combination.

STATE ANTITAKEOVER STATUTES

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on proposals to opt in or out of state takeover statutes (including control share acquisition statutes, control share cash-out statutes, freeze-out provisions, fair price provisions, stakeholder laws, poison pill endorsements, severance pay and labor contract provisions, anti-greenmail provisions, and disgorgement provisions).

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -25-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

7. Capital Structure

ADJUSTMENTS TO PAR VALUE OF COMMON STOCK

Vote FOR management proposals to reduce the par value of common stock.

COMMON STOCK AUTHORIZATION

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on proposals to increase the number of shares of common stock authorized for issuance using a model developed by ISS.

Vote FOR proposals to approve increases beyond the allowable increase when a company's shares are in danger of being delisted or if a company's ability to continue to operate as a going concern is uncertain.

In addition, for capital requests less than or equal to 300 percent of the current authorized shares that marginally fail the calculated allowable cap

(i.e., exceed the allowable cap by no more than 5 percent), on a CASE-BY-CASE basis, vote FOR the increase based on the company's performance and whether the company's ongoing use of shares has shown prudence. Factors should include, at a minimum, the following:

- Rationale;
- Good performance with respect to peers and index on a five-year total shareholder return basis;
- Absence of non-shareholder approved poison pill;
- Reasonable equity compensation burn rate;
- No non-shareholder approved pay plans; and
- Absence of egregious equity compensation practices.

DUAL-CLASS STOCK

Vote AGAINST proposals to create a new class of common stock with superior voting rights.

Vote AGAINST proposals at companies with dual-class capital structures to increase the number of authorized shares of the class of stock that has superior voting rights.

Vote FOR proposals to create a new class of nonvoting or sub-voting common stock if:

- It is intended for financing purposes with minimal or no dilution to current shareholders;
- It is not designed to preserve the voting power of an insider or significant shareholder.

ISSUE STOCK FOR USE WITH RIGHTS PLAN

Vote AGAINST proposals that increase authorized common stock for the explicit purpose of implementing a non-shareholder approved shareholder rights plan (poison pill).

PREEMPTIVE RIGHTS

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -26-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on shareholder proposals that seek preemptive rights, taking into consideration: the size of a company, the characteristics of its shareholder base, and the liquidity of the stock.

PREFERRED STOCK

Vote AGAINST proposals authorizing the creation of new classes of preferred stock with unspecified voting, conversion, dividend distribution, and other rights ("blank check" preferred stock).

Vote FOR proposals to create "declawed" blank check preferred stock (stock that

cannot be used as a takeover defense).

Vote FOR proposals to authorize preferred stock in cases where the company specifies the voting, dividend, conversion, and other rights of such stock and the terms of the preferred stock appear reasonable.

Vote AGAINST proposals to increase the number of blank check preferred stock authorized for issuance when no shares have been issued or reserved for a specific purpose.

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on proposals to increase the number of blank check preferred shares after analyzing the number of preferred shares available for issue given a company's industry and performance in terms of shareholder returns.

RECAPITALIZATION

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on recapitalizations (reclassifications of securities), taking into account the following:

- More simplified capital structure;
- Enhanced liquidity;
- Fairness of conversion terms;
- Impact on voting power and dividends;
- Reasons for the reclassification;
- Conflicts of interest; and
- Other alternatives considered.

REVERSE STOCK SPLITS

Vote FOR management proposals to implement a reverse stock split when the number of authorized shares will be proportionately reduced.

Vote FOR management proposals to implement a reverse stock split to avoid delisting.

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on proposals to implement a reverse stock split that do not proportionately reduce the number of shares authorized for issue based on the allowable increased calculated using the Capital Structure model.

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -27-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

SHARE REPURCHASE PROGRAMS

Vote FOR management proposals to institute open-market share repurchase plans in which all shareholders may participate on equal terms.

STOCK DISTRIBUTIONS: SPLITS AND DIVIDENDS

Vote FOR management proposals to increase the common share authorization for a stock split or share dividend, provided that the increase in authorized shares would not result in an excessive number of shares available for issuance as

determined using a model developed by ISS.

TRACKING STOCK

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on the creation of tracking stock, weighing the strategic value of the transaction against such factors as:

- Adverse governance changes;
- Excessive increases in authorized capital stock;
- Unfair method of distribution;
- Diminution of voting rights;
- Adverse conversion features;
- Negative impact on stock option plans; and
- Alternatives such as spin-off.

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -28-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

8. Executive and Director Compensation

EQUITY COMPENSATION PLANS

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on equity-based compensation plans. Vote AGAINST the equity plan if any of the following factors apply:

- The total cost of the company's equity plans is unreasonable;
- The plan expressly permits the repricing of stock options without prior shareholder approval;
- There is a disconnect between CEO pay and the company's performance;
- The company's three year burn rate exceeds the greater of 2% and the mean plus one standard deviation of its industry group; or
- The plan is a vehicle for poor pay practices.

Each of these factors is described below:

COST OF EQUITY PLANS

Generally, vote AGAINST equity plans if the cost is unreasonable. For non-employee director plans, vote FOR the plan if certain factors are met (see Director Compensation section).

The cost of the equity plans is expressed as Shareholder Value Transfer (SVT), which is measured using a binomial option pricing model that assesses the amount of shareholders' equity flowing out of the company to employees and directors. SVT is expressed as both a dollar amount and as a percentage of market value, and includes the new shares proposed, shares available under existing plans, and shares granted but unexercised. All award types are valued. For omnibus plans, unless limitations are placed on the most expensive types of awards (for

example, full value awards), the assumption is made that all awards to be granted will be the most expensive types. See discussion of specific types of awards.

The Shareholder Value Transfer is reasonable if it falls below the company-specific allowable cap. The allowable cap is determined as follows: The top quartile performers in each industry group (using the Global Industry Classification Standard GICS) are identified. Benchmark SVT levels for each industry are established based on these top performers' historic SVT. Regression analyses are run on each industry group to identify the variables most strongly correlated to SVT. The benchmark industry SVT level is then adjusted upwards or downwards for the specific company by plugging the company-specific performance measures, size and cash compensation into the industry cap equations to arrive at the company's allowable cap.

REPRICING PROVISIONS

Vote AGAINST plans that expressly permit the repricing of underwater stock options without prior shareholder approval, even if the cost of the plan is reasonable. Also, vote AGAINST OR WITHHOLD from members of the Compensation Committee who approved and/or implemented an option exchange program by repricing and buying out underwater options for stock, cash or other consideration or canceling underwater options and regranting options with a lower exercise price without prior shareholder approval, even if such repricings are allowed in their equity plan.

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -29-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

Vote AGAINST plans if the company has a history of repricing options without shareholder approval, and the applicable listing standards would not preclude them from doing so.

PAY-FOR-PERFORMANCE DISCONNECT Generally vote AGAINST plans in which:

- There is a disconnect between the CEO's pay and company performance (an increase in pay and a decrease in performance);
- The main source of the pay increase (over half) is equity-based; and
- The CEO is a participant of the equity proposal.

Performance decreases are based on negative one— and three—year total shareholder returns. CEO pay increases are based on the CEO's total direct compensation (salary, cash bonus, value of non-equity incentive payouts, present value of stock options, face value of restricted stock, target value of performance—based awards, change in pension value and nonqualified deferred compensation earnings, and all other compensation) increasing over the previous year.

Vote AGAINST or WITHHOLD votes from the Compensation Committee members when the company has a pay-for-performance disconnect.

On a CASE-BY-CASE basis, vote for equity plans and FOR compensation committee members with a pay-for-performance disconnect if compensation committee members can present strong and compelling evidence of improved committee performance. This evidence must go beyond the usual compensation committee report disclosure. This additional evidence necessary includes all of the following:

- The compensation committee has reviewed all components of the CEO's compensation, including the following:
 - Base salary, bonus, long-term incentives;
 - Accumulative realized and unrealized stock option and restricted stock gains;
 - Dollar value of perquisites and other personal benefits to the CEO and the total cost to the company;
 - Earnings and accumulated payment obligations under the company's nonqualified deferred compensation program;
 - Actual projected payment obligations under the company's supplemental executive retirement plan (SERPs).
- A tally sheet with all the above components should be disclosed for the following termination scenarios:
 - Payment if termination occurs within 12 months: \$_____;
 - Payment if "not for cause" termination occurs within 12
 months: \$_____;
 - Payment if "change of control" termination occurs within 12 months: \$_____.
- The compensation committee is committed to providing additional information on the named executives' annual cash bonus program and/or long-term incentive cash plan for the current fiscal year. The compensation committee will provide full disclosure of the qualitative and quantitative performance criteria and hurdle rates used to determine the payouts of the cash program. From this

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -30-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

disclosure, shareholders will know the minimum level of performance required for any cash bonus to be delivered, as well as the maximum cash bonus payable for superior performance.

The repetition of the compensation committee report does not meet ISS' requirement of compelling and strong evidence of improved disclosure. The level of transparency and disclosure is at the highest level where shareholders can understand the mechanics of the annual cash bonus and/or long-term incentive cash plan based on the additional disclosure.

The compensation committee is committed to granting a substantial portion of performance-based equity awards to the named executive officers. A substantial portion of performance-based awards would be at least 50 percent of the shares awarded to each of the named executive officers. Performance-based equity awards are earned or paid out based on the achievement of company performance targets. The company will disclose the details of the performance criteria (e.g., return on equity) and the hurdle rates (e.g., 15 percent) associated with the performance targets. From this disclosure, shareholders will

know the minimum level of performance required for any equity grants to be made. The performance-based equity awards do not refer to non-qualified stock options(3) or performance-accelerated grants.(4) Instead, performance-based equity awards are performance-contingent grants where the individual will not receive the equity grant by not meeting the target performance and vice versa.

The level of transparency and disclosure is at the highest level where shareholders can understand the mechanics of the performance-based equity awards based on the additional disclosure.

- The compensation committee has the sole authority to hire and fire outside compensation consultants. The role of the outside compensation consultant is to assist the compensation committee to analyze executive pay packages or contracts and understand the company's financial measures.

THREE-YEAR BURN RATE/BURN RATE COMMITMENT

Generally vote AGAINST plans if the company's most recent three-year burn rate exceeds one standard deviation in excess of the industry mean (per the following Burn Rate Table) and is over 2 percent of common shares outstanding. The three-year burn rate policy does not apply to non-employee director plans unless outside directors receive a significant portion of shares each year.

The annual burn rate is calculated as follows:

Annual Burn rate = (# of options granted + # of full value shares awarded * Multiplier) / Weighted Average common shares outstanding)

- (3) Non-qualified stock options are not performance-based awards unless the grant or the vesting of the stock options is tied to the achievement of a pre-determined and disclosed performance measure. A rising stock market will generally increase share prices of all companies, despite of the company's underlying performance.
- (4) Performance-accelerated grants are awards that vest earlier based on the achievement of a specified measure. However, these grants will ultimately vest over time even without the attainment of the goal(s).

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -31-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

However, vote FOR equity plans if the company fails this burn rate test but the company commits in a public filing to a three-year average burn rate equal to its GICS group burn rate mean plus one standard deviation (or 2%, whichever is greater), assuming all other conditions for voting FOR the plan have been met.

If a company fails to fulfill its burn rate commitment, vote AGAINST or WITHHOLD from the compensation committee.

2008 BURN RATE TABLE

RUSSELL 3000 NO

	GICS	DESCRIPTION	MEAN	STANDARD DEVIATION	MEAN+STDEV	MEAN	S DE
	1010	Energy	1.71%	1.39%	3.09%	2.12%	
	1510	Materials	1.16%	0.77%	1.93%	2.23%	
	2010	Capital Goods	1.51%	1.04%	2.55%	2.36%	
	2020	Commercial Services & Supplies	2.35%	1.70%	4.05%	2.20%	
	2030	Transportation	1.59%	1.22%	2.80%	2.02%	
	2510	Automobiles & Components	1.89%	1.10%	2.99%	1.73%	
	2520	Consumer Durables & Apparel	2.02%	1.31%	3.33%	2.10%	
	2530	Hotels Restaurants & Leisure	2.15%	1.18%	3.33%	2.32%	
	2540	Media	1.92%	1.35%	3.27%	3.33%	
	2550	Retailing	1.86%	1.04%	2.90%	3.15%	
3010,	3020, 3030	Food & Staples Retailing	1.69%	1.23%	2.92%	1.82%	
	3510	Health Care Equipment & Services	2.90%	1.67%	4.57%	3.75%	
	3520	Pharmaceuticals & Biotechnology	3.30%	1.66%	4.96%	4.92%	
	4010	Banks	1.27%	0.88%	2.15%	1.07%	
	4020	Diversified Financials	2.45%	2.07%	4.52%	4.41%	
	4030	Insurance	1.21%	0.93%	2.14%	2.07%	
	4040	Real Estate	1.04%	0.81%	1.85%	0.80%	
	4510	Software & Services	3.81%	2.30%	6.11%	5.46%	
	4520	Technology Hardware & Equipment	3.07%	1.74%	4.80%	3.43%	
	4530	Semiconductors & Semiconductor Equipment	3.78%	1.81%	5.59%	4.51%	
	5010	Telecommunication Services	1.57%	1.23%	2.80%	2.69%	
	5510	Utilities	0.72%	0.50%	1.22%	0.59%	

For companies that grant both full value awards and stock options to their employees, apply a premium on full value awards for the past three fiscal years. The guideline for applying the premium is as follows:

ANNUAL STOCK PRICE VOLATILITY	MULTIPLIER
54.6% and higher	1 full-value award will count as 1.5 option shares
36.1% or higher and less than 54.6%	1 full-value award will count as 2.0 option shares
24.9% or higher and less than 36.1%	1 full-value award will count as 2.5 option shares
16.5% or higher and less than 24.9%	1 full-value award will count as 3.0 option shares
7.9% or higher and less than 16.5%	1 full-value award will count as 3.5 option shares
Less than 7.9%	1 full-value award will count as 4.0 option shares

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -32-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

POOR PAY PRACTICES

Vote AGAINST or WITHHOLD from compensation committee members, CEO, and potentially the entire board, if the company has poor compensation practices. Vote AGAINST equity plans if the plan is a vehicle for poor compensation practices.

The following practices, while not exhaustive, are examples of poor compensation practices that may warrant voting against or withholding votes:

- Egregious employment contracts:
 - Contracts containing multi-year guarantees for salary increases, bonuses, and equity compensation;
- Excessive perks:
 - Overly generous cost and/or reimbursement of taxes for personal use of corporate aircraft, personal security systems maintenance and/or installation, car allowances, and/or other excessive arrangements relative to base salary;
- Abnormally large bonus payouts without justifiable performance linkage or proper disclosure:
 - Performance metrics that are changed, canceled, or replaced during the performance period without adequate explanation of the action and the link to performance;
- Egregious pension/SERP (supplemental executive retirement plan) payouts:
 - Inclusion of additional years of service not worked that result in significant payouts
 - Inclusion of performance-based equity awards in the pension calculation;
- New CEO with overly generous new hire package:
 - Excessive "make whole" provisions;
 - Any of the poor pay practices listed in this policy;
- Excessive severance and/or change-in-control provisions:
 - Inclusion of excessive change-in-control or severance payments, especially those with a multiple in excess of 3X cash pay;
 - Severance paid for a "performance termination," (i.e., due to the executive's failure to perform job functions at the appropriate level);
 - Change-in-control payouts without loss of job or substantial diminution of job duties (single-triggered);
 - Perquisites for former executives such as car allowances, personal use of corporate aircraft, or other inappropriate arrangements;
- Poor disclosure practices:
 - Unclear explanation of how the CEO is involved in the pay setting process;
 - Retrospective performance targets and methodology not discussed;
 - Methodology for benchmarking practices and/or peer group not disclosed and explained;
- Internal Pay Disparity:

- Excessive differential between CEO total pay and that of next highest-paid named executive officer (NEO);
- Options backdating (covered in a separate policy);
- Other excessive compensation payouts or poor pay practices at the company.

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -33-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

SPECIFIC TREATMENT OF CERTAIN AWARD TYPES IN EQUITY PLAN EVALUATIONS:

DIVIDEND EQUIVALENT RIGHTS

Options that have Dividend Equivalent Rights (DERs) associated with them will have a higher calculated award value than those without DERs under the binomial model, based on the value of these dividend streams. The higher value will be applied to new shares, shares available under existing plans, and shares awarded but not exercised per the plan specifications. DERS transfer more shareholder equity to employees and non-employee directors and this cost should be captured.

LIBERAL SHARE RECYCLING PROVISIONS

Under net share counting provisions, shares tendered by an option holder to pay for the exercise of an option, shares withheld for taxes or shares repurchased by the company on the open market can be recycled back into the equity plan for awarding again. All awards with such provisions should be valued as full-value awards. Stock-settled stock appreciation rights (SSARs) will also be considered as full-value awards if a company counts only the net shares issued to employees towards their plan reserve.

OPTION OVERHANG COST

Companies with sustained positive stock performance and high overhang cost (the overhang alone exceeds the allowable cap) attributable to in-the-money options outstanding in excess of six years may warrant a carve-out of these options from the overhang as long as the dilution attributable to the new share request is reasonable and the company exhibits sound compensation practices. Consider, on a CASE-BY-CASE basis, a carve-out of a portion of cost attributable to overhang, considering the following criteria:

- PERFORMANCE: Companies with sustained positive stock performance will merit greater scrutiny. Five-year total shareholder return (TSR), year-over-year performance, and peer performance could play a significant role in this determination.
- OVERHANG DISCLOSURE: Assess whether optionees have held in-the-money options for a prolonged period (thus reflecting their confidence in the prospects of the company). Note that this assessment would require additional disclosure regarding a company's overhang. Specifically, the following disclosure would be required:
 - The number of in-the-money options outstanding in excess of six or more years with a corresponding weighted average exercise price and weighted average contractual remaining term;

- The number of all options outstanding less than six years and underwater options outstanding in excess of six years with a corresponding weighted average exercise price and weighted average contractual remaining term;
- The general vesting provisions of option grants; and
- The distribution of outstanding option grants with respect to the named executive officers;
- DILUTION: Calculate the expected duration of the new share request in addition to all shares currently available for grant under the equity compensation program, based on the company's three-year average burn rate (or a burn-rate commitment that the company makes for future years). The expected duration will be calculated by multiplying the company's unadjusted (options and full-value awards accounted on a one-for-one basis) three-year average burn rate by the most recent fiscal year's weighted average shares outstanding (as used in the company's calculation of basic EPS) and divide the

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -34-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

sum of the new share request and all available shares under the company's equity compensation program by the product. For example, an expected duration in excess of five years could be considered problematic; and

- COMPENSATION PRACTICES: An evaluation of overall practices could include: (1) stock option repricing provisions, (2) high concentration ratios (of grants to top executives), or (3) additional practices outlined in the Poor Pay Practices policy.

OTHER COMPENSATION PROPOSALS AND POLICIES

401(K) EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

Vote FOR proposals to implement a 401(k) savings plan for employees.

ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION (SAY-ON-PAY) MANAGEMENT PROPOSALS

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on management proposals for an advisory vote on executive compensation. Vote AGAINST these resolutions in cases where boards have failed to demonstrate good stewardship of investors' interests regarding executive compensation practices. The following principles and factors should be considered:

- 1. The following FIVE GLOBAL PRINCIPLES apply to all markets:
 - Maintain appropriate pay-for-performance alignment with emphasis on long-term shareholder value: This principle encompasses overall executive pay practices, which must be designed to attract, retain, and appropriately motivate the key employees who drive shareholder value creation over the long term. It will take into consideration, among other factors: the linkage between pay and performance; the mix between fixed and variable pay; performance goals; and equity-based plan costs;

- Avoid arrangements that risk "pay for failure": This principle addresses the use and appropriateness of long or indefinite contracts, excessive severance packages, and guaranteed compensation;
- Maintain an independent and effective compensation committee: This principle promotes oversight of executive pay programs by directors with appropriate skills, knowledge, experience, and a sound process for compensation decision-making (e.g., including access to independent expertise and advice when needed);
- Provide shareholders with clear, comprehensive compensation disclosures: This principle underscores the importance of informative and timely disclosures that enable shareholders to evaluate executive pay practices fully and fairly;
- Avoid inappropriate pay to non-executive directors: This principle recognizes the interests of shareholders in ensuring that compensation to outside directors does not compromise their independence and ability to make appropriate judgments in overseeing managers' pay and performance. At the market level, it may incorporate a variety of generally accepted best practices.
- 2. For U.S. companies, vote CASE-BY-CASE considering the following factors in the context of each company's specific circumstances and the board's disclosed rationale for its practices:

RELATIVE CONSIDERATIONS:

 Assessment of performance metrics relative to business strategy, as discussed and explained in the CD & A;

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -35-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

- Evaluation of peer groups used to set target pay or award opportunities;
- Alignment of company performance and executive pay trends over time (e.g., performance down: pay down);
- Assessment of disparity between total pay of the CEO and other Named Executive Officers (NEOs).

DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS:

- Balance of fixed versus performance-driven pay;
- Assessment of excessive practices with respect to perks, severance packages, supplemental executive pension plans, and burn rates.

COMMUNICATION CONSIDERATIONS:

- Evaluation of information and board rationale provided in CD&A about how compensation is determined (e.g., why certain elements and pay targets are used, and specific incentive plan goals, especially retrospective goals);
- Assessment of board's responsiveness to investor input and engagement

on compensation issues (e.g., in responding to majority-supported shareholder proposals on executive pay topics).

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on compensation plans for non-employee directors, based on the cost of the plans against the company's allowable cap.

On occasion, director stock plans that set aside a relatively small number of shares when combined with employee or executive stock compensation plans will exceed the allowable cap. Vote for the plan if ALL of the following qualitative factors in the board's compensation are met and disclosed in the proxy statement:

- Director stock ownership guidelines with a minimum of three times the annual cash retainer.
- Vesting schedule or mandatory holding/deferral period:
 - A minimum vesting of three years for stock options or restricted stock; or
 - Deferred stock payable at the end of a three-year deferral period.
- Mix between cash and equity:
 - A balanced mix of cash and equity, for example 40% cash/60% equity or 50% cash/50% equity; or
 - If the mix is heavier on the equity component, the vesting schedule or deferral period should be more stringent, with the lesser of five years or the term of directorship.
- No retirement/benefits and perquisites provided to non-employee directors; and
- Detailed disclosure provided on cash and equity compensation delivered to each non-employee director for the most recent fiscal year in a table. The column headers for the table may include the following: name of each non-employee director, annual retainer, board meeting fees, committee retainer, committee-meeting fees, and equity grants.

DIRECTOR RETIREMENT PLANS

Vote AGAINST retirement plans for non-employee directors.

Vote FOR shareholder proposals to eliminate retirement plans for non-employee directors.

EMPLOYEE STOCK OWNERSHIP PLANS (ESOPS)

Vote FOR proposals to implement an ESOP or increase authorized shares for existing ESOPs, unless the number of shares allocated to the ESOP is excessive (more than five percent of outstanding shares).

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -36-

EMPLOYEE STOCK PURCHASE PLANS-- QUALIFIED PLANS

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on qualified employee stock purchase plans. Vote FOR employee stock purchase plans where all of the following apply:

- Purchase price is at least 85 percent of fair market value;
- Offering period is 27 months or less; and
- The number of shares allocated to the plan is ten percent or less of the outstanding shares.

Vote AGAINST qualified employee stock purchase plans where any of the following apply:

- Purchase price is less than 85 percent of fair market value; or
- Offering period is greater than 27 months; or
- The number of shares allocated to the plan is more than ten percent of the outstanding shares.

EMPLOYEE STOCK PURCHASE PLANS-- NON-QUALIFIED PLANS

Vote CASE-by-CASE on nonqualified employee stock purchase plans. Vote FOR nonqualified employee stock purchase plans with all the following features:

- Broad-based participation (i.e., all employees of the company with the exclusion of individuals with 5 percent or more of beneficial ownership of the company);
- Limits on employee contribution, which may be a fixed dollar amount or expressed as a percent of base salary;
- Company matching contribution up to 25 percent of employee's contribution, which is effectively a discount of 20 percent from market value;
- No discount on the stock price on the date of purchase since there is a company matching contribution.

Vote AGAINST nonqualified employee stock purchase plans when any of the plan features do not meet the above criteria. If the company matching contribution exceeds 25 percent of employee's contribution, evaluate the cost of the plan against its allowable cap.

INCENTIVE BONUS PLANS AND TAX DEDUCTIBILITY PROPOSALS (OBRA-RELATED COMPENSATION PROPOSALS)

Vote FOR proposals that simply amend shareholder-approved compensation plans to include administrative features or place a cap on the annual grants any one participant may receive to comply with the provisions of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code.

Vote FOR proposals to add performance goals to existing compensation plans to comply with the provisions of Section $162\,(\mathrm{m})$ unless they are clearly inappropriate.

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on amendments to existing plans to increase shares reserved and to qualify for favorable tax treatment under the provisions of Section 162(m) as long as the plan does not exceed the allowable cap and the plan does

not violate any of the supplemental policies.

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -37-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

Generally vote FOR cash or cash and stock bonus plans that are submitted to shareholders for the purpose of exempting compensation from taxes under the provisions of Section $162 \, (m)$ if no increase in shares is requested.

OPTIONS BACKDATING

In cases where a company has practiced options backdating, vote AGAINST or WITHHOLD on a CASE-BY-CASE basis from the members of the compensation committee, depending on the severity of the practices and the subsequent corrective actions on the part of the board. Vote AGAINST or WITHHOLD from the compensation committee members who oversaw the questionable options grant practices or from current compensation committee members who fail to respond to the issue proactively, depending on several factors, including, but not limited to:

- Reason and motive for the options backdating issue, such as inadvertent vs. deliberate grant date changes;
- Length of time of options backdating;
- Size of restatement due to options backdating;
- Corrective actions taken by the board or compensation committee, such as canceling or repricing backdated options, or recoupment of option gains on backdated grants;
- Adoption of a grant policy that prohibits backdating, and creation of a fixed grant schedule or window period for equity grants going forward.

OPTION EXCHANGE PROGRAMS/REPRICING OPTIONS

Vote CASE-by-CASE on management proposals seeking approval to exchange/reprice options taking into consideration:

- Historic trading patterns--the stock price should not be so volatile that the options are likely to be back "in-the-money" over the near term;
- Rationale for the re-pricing--was the stock price decline beyond management's control?
- Is this a value-for-value exchange?
- Are surrendered stock options added back to the plan reserve?
- Option vesting--does the new option vest immediately or is there a black-out period?
- Term of the option--the term should remain the same as that of the replaced option;
- Exercise price--should be set at fair market or a premium to market;

Participants--executive officers and directors should be excluded.

If the surrendered options are added back to the equity plans for re-issuance, then also take into consideration the company's three-year average burn rate.

In addition to the above considerations, evaluate the intent, rationale, and timing of the repricing proposal. The proposal should clearly articulate why the board is choosing to conduct an exchange program at this point in time. Repricing underwater options after a recent precipitous drop in the company's stock price demonstrates poor timing. Repricing after a recent decline in stock price triggers additional scrutiny and a potential AGAINST vote on the proposal. At a minimum, the decline should not have happened within the past year. Also, consider the terms of the surrendered options, such as the grant date, exercise price and vesting schedule. Grant dates of surrendered options should be far enough back (two to three years) so as not to

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -38-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

suggest that repricings are being done to take advantage of short-term downward price movements. Similarly, the exercise price of surrendered options should be above the 52-week high for the stock price.

Vote FOR shareholder proposals to put option repricings to a shareholder vote.

STOCK PLANS IN LIEU OF CASH

Vote CASE-by-CASE on plans that provide participants with the option of taking all or a portion of their cash compensation in the form of stock.

Vote FOR non-employee director-only equity plans that provide a dollar-for-dollar cash-for-stock exchange.

Vote CASE-by-CASE on plans which do not provide a dollar-for-dollar cash for stock exchange. In cases where the exchange is not dollar-for-dollar, the request for new or additional shares for such equity program will be considered using the binomial option pricing model. In an effort to capture the total cost of total compensation, ISS will not make any adjustments to carve out the in-lieu-of cash compensation.

TRANSFER PROGRAMS OF STOCK OPTIONS

One-time Transfers: Vote AGAINST or WITHHOLD from compensation committee members if they fail to submit one-time transfers to shareholders for approval.

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on one-time transfers. Vote FOR if:

- Executive officers and non-employee directors are excluded from participating;
- Stock options are purchased by third-party financial institutions at a discount to their fair value using option pricing models such as Black-Scholes or a Binomial Option Valuation or other appropriate financial models;
- There is a two-year minimum holding period for sale proceeds (cash or stock) for all participants.

Additionally, management should provide a clear explanation of why options are being transferred and whether the events leading up to the decline in stock price were beyond management's control. A review of the company's historic stock price volatility should indicate if the options are likely to be back "in-the-money" over the near term.

Ongoing TSO program: Vote against equity plan proposals if the details of ongoing TSO programs are not provided to shareholders. Since TSOs will be one of the award types under a stock plan, the ongoing TSO program, structure and mechanics must be disclosed to shareholders. The specific criteria to be considered in evaluating these proposals include, but not limited, to the following:

- Eligibility;
- Vesting;
- Bid-price;
- Term of options;
- Transfer value to third-party financial institution, employees and the company.

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -39-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

Amendments to existing plans that allow for introduction of transferability of stock options should make clear that only options granted post-amendment shall be transferable.

SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS ON COMPENSATION

ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION (SAY-ON-PAY)

Generally, vote FOR shareholder proposals that call for non-binding shareholder ratification of the compensation of the Named Executive Officers and the accompanying narrative disclosure of material factors provided to understand the Summary Compensation Table.

COMPENSATION CONSULTANTS- DISCLOSURE OF BOARD OR COMPANY'S UTILIZATION

Generally vote FOR shareholder proposals seeking disclosure regarding the Company, Board, or Compensation Committee's use of compensation consultants, such as company name, business relationship(s) and fees paid.

DISCLOSURE/SETTING LEVELS OR TYPES OF COMPENSATION FOR EXECUTIVES AND DIRECTORS

Generally, vote FOR shareholder proposals seeking additional disclosure of executive and director pay information, provided the information requested is relevant to shareholders' needs, would not put the company at a competitive disadvantage relative to its industry, and is not unduly burdensome to the company.

Vote AGAINST shareholder proposals seeking to set absolute levels on compensation or otherwise dictate the amount or form of compensation.

Vote AGAINST shareholder proposals requiring director fees be paid in stock

only.

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on all other shareholder proposals regarding executive and director pay, taking into account company performance, pay level versus peers, pay level versus industry, and long-term corporate outlook.

PAY FOR SUPERIOR PERFORMANCE

Generally vote FOR shareholder proposals based on a case-by-case analysis that requests the board establish a pay-for-superior performance standard in the company's executive compensation plan for senior executives. The proposal has the following principles:

- Sets compensation targets for the Plan's annual and long-term incentive pay components at or below the peer group median;
- Delivers a majority of the Plan's target long-term compensation through performance-vested, not simply time-vested, equity awards;
- Provides the strategic rationale and relative weightings of the financial and non-financial performance metrics or criteria used in the annual and performance-vested long-term incentive components of the plan;
- Establishes performance targets for each plan financial metric relative to the performance of the company's peer companies;

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -40-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

- Limits payment under the annual and performance-vested long-term incentive components of the plan to when the company's performance on its selected financial performance metrics exceeds peer group median performance.

Consider the following factors in evaluating this proposal:

- What aspects of the company's annual and long-term equity incentive programs are performance driven?
- If the annual and long-term equity incentive programs are performance driven, are the performance criteria and hurdle rates disclosed to shareholders or are they benchmarked against a disclosed peer group?
- Can shareholders assess the correlation between pay and performance based on the current disclosure?
- What type of industry and stage of business cycle does the company belong to?

PERFORMANCE-BASED AWARDS

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on shareholder proposal requesting that a significant amount of future long-term incentive compensation awarded to senior executives shall be performance-based and requesting that the board adopt and disclose challenging performance metrics to shareholders, based on the following analytical steps:

- First, vote FOR shareholder proposals advocating the use of

performance-based equity awards, such as performance contingent options or restricted stock, indexed options or premium-priced options, unless the proposal is overly restrictive or if the company has demonstrated that it is using a "substantial" portion of performance-based awards for its top executives. Standard stock options and performance-accelerated awards do not meet the criteria to be considered as performance-based awards. Further, premium-priced options should have a premium of at least 25 percent and higher to be considered performance-based awards.

Second, assess the rigor of the company's performance-based equity program. If the bar set for the performance-based program is too low based on the company's historical or peer group comparison, generally vote FOR the proposal. Furthermore, if target performance results in an above target payout, vote FOR the shareholder proposal due to program's poor design. If the company does not disclose the performance metric of the performance-based equity program, vote FOR the shareholder proposal regardless of the outcome of the first step to the test.

In general, vote FOR the shareholder proposal if the company does not meet both of the above two steps.

PENSION PLAN INCOME ACCOUNTING

Generally vote FOR shareholder proposals to exclude pension plan income in the calculation of earnings used in determining executive bonuses/compensation.

PRE-ARRANGED TRADING PLANS (10B5-1 PLANS)

Generally vote FOR shareholder proposals calling for certain principles regarding the use of prearranged trading plans (10b5-1 plans) for executives. These principles include:

- Adoption, amendment, or termination of a 10b5-1 Plan must be disclosed within two business days in a Form 8-K;
- Amendment or early termination of a 10b5-1 Plan is allowed only under extraordinary circumstances, as determined by the board;

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -41-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

- Ninety days must elapse between adoption or amendment of a 10b5-1 Plan and initial trading under the plan;
- Reports on Form 4 must identify transactions made pursuant to a 10b5-1 Plan;
- An executive may not trade in company stock outside the 10b5-1 Plan.
- Trades under a 10b5-1 Plan must be handled by a broker who does not handle other securities transactions for the executive.

RECOUP BONUSES

Vote on a CASE-BY-CASE on proposals to recoup unearned incentive bonuses or other incentive payments made to senior executives if it is later determined that fraud, misconduct, or negligence significantly contributed to a restatement of financial results that led to the awarding of unearned incentive

compensation, taking into consideration:

- If the company has adopted a formal recoupment bonus policy; or
- If the company has chronic restatement history or material financial problems.

SEVERANCE AGREEMENTS FOR EXECUTIVES/GOLDEN PARACHUTES

Vote FOR shareholder proposals requiring that golden parachutes or executive severance agreements be submitted for shareholder ratification, unless the proposal requires shareholder approval prior to entering into employment contracts.

Vote on a CASE-BY-CASE basis on proposals to ratify or cancel golden parachutes. An acceptable parachute should include, but is not limited to, the following:

- The triggering mechanism should be beyond the control of management;
- The amount should not exceed three times base amount (defined as the average annual taxable W-2 compensation during the five years prior to the year in which the change of control occurs;
- Change-in-control payments should be double-triggered, i.e., (1) after a change in control has taken place, and (2) termination of the executive as a result of the change in control. Change in control is defined as a change in the company ownership structure.

SHARE BUYBACK HOLDING PERIODS

Generally vote AGAINST shareholder proposals prohibiting executives from selling shares of company stock during periods in which the company has announced that it may or will be repurchasing shares of its stock. Vote FOR the proposal when there is a pattern of abuse by executives exercising options or selling shares during periods of share buybacks.

STOCK OWNERSHIP OR HOLDING PERIOD GUIDELINES

Generally vote AGAINST shareholder proposals that mandate a minimum amount of stock that directors must own in order to qualify as a director or to remain on the board. While ISS favors stock ownership on the part of directors, the company should determine the appropriate ownership requirement.

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on shareholder proposals asking companies to adopt holding period or retention ratios for their executives, taking into account:

- Whether the company has any holding period, retention ratio, or officer ownership requirements in place. These should consist of:

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -42-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

- Rigorous stock ownership guidelines, or
- A short-term holding period requirement (six months to one year) coupled with a significant long-term ownership requirement, or

- A meaningful retention ratio,
- Actual officer stock ownership and the degree to which it meets or exceeds the proponent's suggested holding period/retention ratio or the company's own stock ownership or retention requirements.

SUPPLEMENTAL EXECUTIVE RETIREMENT PLANS (SERPS)

Generally vote FOR shareholder proposals requesting to put extraordinary benefits contained in SERP agreements to a shareholder vote unless the company's executive pension plans do not contain excessive benefits beyond what is offered under employee-wide plans.

Generally vote FOR shareholder proposals requesting to limit the executive benefits provided under the company's supplemental executive retirement plan (SERP) by limiting covered compensation to a senior executive's annual salary and excluding of all incentive or bonus pay from the plan's definition of covered compensation used to establish such benefits.

TAX GROSS-UP PROPOSALS

Generally vote FOR proposals calling for companies to adopt a policy of not providing tax gross-up payments to executives, except in situations where gross-ups are provided pursuant to a plan, policy, or arrangement applicable to management employees of the company, such as a relocation or expatriate tax equalization policy.

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -43-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

9. Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) Issues

ANIMAL WELFARE

ANIMAL TESTING

Generally vote AGAINST proposals to phase out the use of animals in product testing unless:

- The company is conducting animal testing programs that are unnecessary or not required by regulation;
- The company is conducting animal testing when suitable alternatives are accepted and used at peer firms;
- The company has been the subject of recent, significant controversy related to its testing programs.

ANIMAL WELFARE POLICIES

Generally vote FOR proposals seeking a report on the company's animal welfare standards unless:

- The company has already published a set of animal welfare standards and monitors compliance;
- The company's standards are comparable to or better than those of peer firms; and

- There are no recent, significant fines or litigation related to the company's treatment of animals.

CONTROLLED ATMOSPHERE KILLING (CAK)

Generally vote AGAINST proposals requesting the implementation of CAK methods at company and/or supplier operations unless such methods are required by legislation or generally accepted as the industry standard.

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on proposals requesting a report on the feasibility of implementing CAK methods, considering the availability of existing research conducted by the company or industry groups on this topic and any fines or litigation related to current animal processing procedures at the company.

CONSUMER ISSUES

GENETICALLY MODIFIED INGREDIENTS

Generally, vote AGAINST proposals asking restaurants and food retail companies to voluntarily label genetically engineered (GE) ingredients in their products or alternatively to provide interim labeling and eventually eliminate GE ingredients due to the costs and feasibility of labeling and/or phasing out the use of GE ingredients.

Vote CASE-BY CASE on proposals asking food supply and genetic research companies to voluntarily label genetically engineered (GE) ingredients in their products or alternatively to provide interim labeling and eventually eliminate GE ingredients due to the costs and feasibility of labeling and/or phasing out the use of GE ingredients.

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on proposals asking for a report on the feasibility of labeling products containing GE ingredients taking into account:

- The relevance of the proposal in terms of the company's business and the proportion of it affected by the resolution;

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -44-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

- The quality of the company's disclosure on GE product labeling and related voluntary initiatives and how this disclosure compares with peer company disclosure;
- Company's current disclosure on the feasibility of GE product labeling, including information on the related costs;
- Any voluntary labeling initiatives undertaken or considered by the company.

Generally vote AGAINST proposals seeking a report on the health and environmental effects of genetically modified organisms (GMOs). Health studies of this sort are better undertaken by regulators and the scientific community.

Generally vote AGAINST proposals to completely phase out GE ingredients from the company's products or proposals asking for reports outlining the steps necessary to eliminate GE ingredients from the company's products. Such resolutions presuppose that there are proven health risks to GE ingredients (an issue better

left to federal regulators) that outweigh the economic benefits derived from biotechnology.

CONSUMER LENDING

Vote CASE-BY CASE on requests for reports on the company's lending guidelines and procedures, including the establishment of a board committee for oversight, taking into account:

- Whether the company has adequately disclosed mechanisms in place to prevent abusive lending practices;
- Whether the company has adequately disclosed the financial risks of the lending products in question;
- Whether the company has been subject to violations of lending laws or serious lending controversies;
- Peer companies' policies to prevent abusive lending practices.

PHARMACEUTICAL PRICING

Generally vote AGAINST proposals requesting that companies implement specific price restraints on pharmaceutical products unless the company fails to adhere to legislative guidelines or industry norms in its product pricing.

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on proposals requesting that the company evaluate their product pricing considering:

- The existing level of disclosure on pricing policies;
- Deviation from established industry pricing norms;
- The company's existing initiatives to provide its products to needy consumers;
- Whether the proposal focuses on specific products or geographic regions.

PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCT REIMPORTATION

Generally vote FOR proposals requesting that companies report on the financial and legal impact of their policies regarding prescription drug reimportation unless such information is already publicly disclosed.

Generally vote AGAINST proposals requesting that companies adopt specific policies to encourage or constrain prescription drug reimportation.

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -45-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

PRODUCT SAFETY AND TOXIC MATERIALS

Generally vote FOR proposals requesting the company to report on its policies, initiatives/procedures, and oversight mechanisms related to toxic materials and/or product safety in its supply chain, unless:

- The company already discloses similar information through existing

reports or policies such as a Supplier Code of Conduct and/or a sustainability report;

- The company has formally committed to the implementation of a toxic materials and/or product safety and supply chain reporting and monitoring program based on industry norms or similar standards within a specified time frame; and
- The company has not been recently involved in relevant significant controversies or violations.

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on resolutions requesting that companies develop a feasibility assessment to phase-out of certain toxic chemicals and/or evaluate and disclose the potential financial and legal risks associated with utilizing certain chemicals, considering:

- Current regulations in the markets in which the company operates;
- Recent significant controversy, litigation, or fines stemming from toxic chemicals or ingredients at the company; and
- The current level of disclosure on this topic.

Generally vote AGAINST resolutions requiring that a company reformulate its products.

TOBACCO

Most tobacco-related proposals should be evaluated on a CASE-BY-CASE basis, taking into account the following factors:

Advertising to youth:

- Whether the company complies with federal, state, and local laws on the marketing of tobacco or if it has been fined for violations;
- Whether the company has gone as far as peers in restricting advertising;
- Whether the company entered into the Master Settlement Agreement,
 which restricts marketing of tobacco to youth;
- Whether restrictions on marketing to youth extend to foreign countries.

Cease production of tobacco-related products or avoid selling products to tobacco companies:

- The percentage of the company's business affected;
- The economic loss of eliminating the business versus any potential tobacco-related liabilities.

Investment in tobacco-related stocks or businesses:

Vote AGAINST proposals prohibiting investment in tobacco equities. Such decisions are better left to portfolio managers.

Second-hand smoke:

 Whether the company complies with all local ordinances and regulations;

- The degree that voluntary restrictions beyond those mandated by law might hurt the company's competitiveness;
- The risk of any health-related liabilities.

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -46-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

Spin-off tobacco-related businesses:

- The percentage of the company's business affected;
- The feasibility of a spin-off;
- Potential future liabilities related to the company's tobacco business.

Stronger product warnings:

Vote AGAINST proposals seeking stronger product warnings. Such decisions are better left to public health authorities.

DIVERSITY

BOARD DIVERSITY

Generally vote FOR reports on the company's efforts to diversify the board, unless:

- The board composition is reasonably inclusive in relation to companies of similar size and business; or
- The board already reports on its nominating procedures and diversity initiatives.

Generally vote AGAINST proposals that would call for the adoption of specific committee charter language regarding diversity initiatives unless the company fails to publicly disclose existing equal opportunity or non-discrimination policies.

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on proposals asking the company to increase the representation of women and minorities on the board, taking into account:

- The degree of board diversity;
- Comparison with peer companies;
- Established process for improving board diversity;
- Existence of independent nominating committee;
- Use of outside search firm;
- History of EEO violations.

EQUALITY OF OPPORTUNITY AND GLASS CEILING

Generally vote FOR reports outlining the company's equal opportunity initiatives unless all of the following apply:

- The company has well-documented equal opportunity programs;
- The company already publicly reports on its diversity initiatives and/or provides data on its workforce diversity; and
- The company has no recent EEO-related violations or litigation.

Generally vote FOR requests for reports outlining the company's progress towards the Glass Ceiling Commission's business recommendations, unless:

- The composition of senior management and the board is fairly inclusive;
- The company has well-documented programs addressing diversity initiatives and leadership development;
- The company already publicly reports on its company-wide affirmative-action initiatives and provides data on its workforce diversity; and

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -47-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

 The company has had no recent, significant EEO-related violations or litigation.

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on proposals requesting disclosure of a company's EEO1 data or the composition of the company's workforce considering:

- Existing disclosure on the company's diversity initiatives and policies;
- Any recent, significant violations or litigation related to discrimination at the company.

Generally vote AGAINST proposals seeking information on the diversity efforts of suppliers and service providers, which can pose a significant cost and administration burden on the company.

SEXUAL ORIENTATION AND DOMESTIC PARTNER BENEFITS

Generally, vote FOR proposals seeking to amend a company's EEO statement in order to prohibit discrimination based on sexual orientation, unless the change would result in excessive costs for the company.

Generally vote AGAINST proposals to extend company benefits to, or eliminate benefits from domestic partners. Benefits decisions should be left to the discretion of the company.

CLIMATE CHANGE AND THE ENVIRONMENT

CLIMATE CHANGE

In general, vote FOR resolutions requesting that a company disclose information on the impact of climate change on the company's operations unless:

- The company already provides current, publicly-available information on the perceived impact that climate change may have on the company as well as associated policies and procedures to address such risks and/or opportunities;
- The company's level of disclosure is comparable to or better than information provided by industry peers; and
- There are no significant fines, penalties, or litigation associated with the company's environmental performance.

CONCENTRATED AREA FEEDING OPERATIONS (CAFO)

Generally vote FOR resolutions requesting that companies report to shareholders on the risks and liabilities associated with CAFOs unless:

- The company has publicly disclosed guidelines for its corporate and contract farming operations, including compliance monitoring; or
- The company does not directly source from CAFOs.

ENERGY EFFICIENCY

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on proposals requesting a company report on its energy efficiency policies, considering:

- The current level of disclosure related to energy efficiency policies, initiatives, and performance measures;
- The company's level of participation in voluntary energy efficiency programs and initiatives;

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -48-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

- The company's compliance with applicable legislation and/or regulations regarding energy efficiency; and
- The company's energy efficiency policies and initiatives relative to industry peers.

FACILITY SAFETY (NUCLEAR AND CHEMICAL PLANT SAFETY)

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on resolutions requesting that companies report on risks associated with their operations and/or facilities, considering:

- The company's compliance with applicable regulations and guidelines;
- The level of existing disclosure related to security and safety policies, procedures, and compliance monitoring; and,
- The existence of recent, significant violations, fines, or controversy related to the safety and security of the company's operations and/or facilities.

GENERAL ENVIRONMENTAL REPORTING

Generally vote FOR requests for reports disclosing the company's environmental policies unless it already has well-documented environmental management systems that are available to the public.

GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

Generally vote FOR proposals requesting a report on greenhouse gas emissions from company operations and/or products unless this information is already publicly disclosed or such factors are not integral to the company's line of business.

Generally vote AGAINST proposals that call for reduction in greenhouse gas emissions by specified amounts or within a restrictive time frame unless the company lags industry standards and has been the subject of recent, significant fines or litigation resulting from greenhouse gas emissions.

OPERATIONS IN PROTECTED AREAS

Generally vote FOR requests for reports outlining potential environmental damage from operations in protected regions unless:

- Operations in the specified regions are not permitted by current laws or regulations;
- The company does not currently have operations or plans to develop operations in these protected regions; or,
- The company provides disclosure on its operations and environmental policies in these regions comparable to industry peers.

RECYCLING

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on proposals to adopt a comprehensive recycling strategy, taking into account:

- The nature of the company's business and the percentage affected;
- The extent that peer companies are recycling;
- The timetable prescribed by the proposal;
- The costs and methods of implementation;
- Whether the company has a poor environmental track record, such as violations of applicable regulations.

RENEWABLE ENERGY

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -49-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

In general, vote FOR requests for reports on the feasibility of developing renewable energy sources unless the report is duplicative of existing disclosure or irrelevant to the company's line of business.

Generally vote AGAINST proposals requesting that the company invest in renewable energy sources. Such decisions are best left to management's evaluation of the feasibility and financial impact that such programs may have on the company.

GENERAL CORPORATE ISSUES

CHARITABLE CONTRIBUTIONS

Vote AGAINST proposals restricting the company from making charitable contributions.

Charitable contributions are generally useful for assisting worthwhile causes and for creating goodwill in the community. In the absence of bad faith, self-dealing, or gross negligence, management should determine which contributions are in the best interests of the company.

CSR COMPENSATION-RELATED PROPOSALS

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on proposals to review ways of linking executive compensation to social factors, such as corporate downsizings, customer or employee satisfaction, community involvement, human rights, environmental performance, predatory lending, and executive/employee pay disparities. Such resolutions should be evaluated in the context of:

- The relevance of the issue to be linked to pay;
- The degree that social performance is already included in the company's pay structure and disclosed;
- The degree that social performance is used by peer companies in setting pay;
- Violations or complaints filed against the company relating to the particular social performance measure;
- Artificial limits sought by the proposal, such as freezing or capping executive pay;
- Independence of the compensation committee;
- Current company pay levels.

Generally vote AGAINST proposals calling for an analysis of the pay disparity between corporate executives and other employees as such comparisons may be arbitrary in nature and/or provide information of limited value to shareholders.

HIV/AIDS

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on requests for reports outlining the impact of the health pandemic (HIV/AIDS, malaria and tuberculosis) on the company's Sub-Saharan operations and how the company is responding to it, taking into account:

- The nature and size of the company's operations in Sub-Saharan Africa and the number of local employees;
- The company's existing healthcare policies, including benefits and healthcare access for local workers; and
- Company donations to healthcare providers operating in the region.

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -50-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

Vote AGAINST proposals asking companies to establish, implement, and report on a standard of response to the HIV/AIDS, TB, and malaria health pandemic in Africa and other developing countries, unless the company has significant operations in these markets and has failed to adopt policies and/or procedures to address these issues comparable to those of industry peers.

LOBBYING EXPENDITURES/INITIATIVES

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on proposals requesting information on a company's lobbying initiatives, considering any significant controversy or litigation surrounding a company's public policy activities, the current level of disclosure on lobbying strategy, and the impact that the policy issue may have on the company's business operations.

POLITICAL CONTRIBUTIONS AND TRADE ASSOCIATIONS SPENDING

Generally vote AGAINST proposals asking the company to affirm political nonpartisanship in the workplace so long as:

- The company is in compliance with laws governing corporate political activities; and
- The company has procedures in place to ensure that employee contributions to company-sponsored political action committees (PACs) are strictly voluntary and not coercive.

Vote AGAINST proposals to publish in newspapers and public media the company's political contributions as such publications could present significant cost to the company without providing commensurate value to shareholders.

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on proposals to improve the disclosure of a company's political contributions and trade association spending considering:

- Recent significant controversy or litigation related to the company's political contributions or governmental affairs; and
- The public availability of a company policy on political contributions and trade association spending including information on the types of organizations supported, the business rationale for supporting these organizations, and the oversight and compliance procedures related to such expenditures of corporate assets.

Vote AGAINST proposals barring the company from making political contributions. Businesses are affected by legislation at the federal, state, and local level and barring contributions can put the company at a competitive disadvantage.

Vote AGAINST proposals asking for a list of company executives, directors, consultants, legal counsels, lobbyists, or investment bankers that have prior government service and whether such service had a bearing on the business of the company. Such a list would be burdensome to prepare without providing any meaningful information to shareholders.

INTERNATIONAL ISSUES, LABOR ISSUES, AND HUMAN RIGHTS

CHINA PRINCIPLES

Vote AGAINST proposals to implement the China Principles unless:

There are serious controversies surrounding the company's China operations; and

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -51-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

- The company does not have a code of conduct with standards similar to those promulgated by the International Labor Organization (ILO).

CODES OF CONDUCT

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on proposals to implement certain human rights standards and policies at company facilities. In evaluating these proposals, the following should be considered:

- The degree to which existing human rights policies and practices are disclosed;
- Whether or not existing policies are consistent with internationally recognized labor standards;
- Whether company facilities are monitored and how;
- Company participation in fair labor organizations or other internationally recognized human rights initiatives;
- The company's primary business model and methods of operation;
- Proportion of business conducted in markets known to have higher risk of workplace labor right abuse;
- Whether the company has been recently involved in significant labor and human rights controversies or violations;
- Peer company standards and practices; and
- Union presence in company's international factories.

COMMUNITY IMPACT ASSESSMENTS

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on requests for reports outlining the potential community impact of company operations in specific regions considering:

- Current disclosure of applicable risk assessment report(s) and risk management procedures;
- The impact of regulatory non-compliance, litigation, remediation, or reputational loss that may be associated with failure to manage the company's operations in question, including the management of relevant community and stakeholder relations;
- The nature, purpose, and scope of the company's operations in the specific region(s); and,
- The degree to which company policies and procedures are consistent with industry norms.

FOREIGN MILITARY SALES/OFFSETS

Vote AGAINST reports on foreign military sales or offsets. Such disclosures may

involve sensitive and confidential information. Moreover, companies must comply with government controls and reporting on foreign military sales.

INTERNET PRIVACY AND CENSORSHIP

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on resolutions requesting the disclosure and implementation of Internet privacy and censorship policies and procedures considering:

- The level of disclosure of policies and procedures relating to privacy, freedom of speech, Internet censorship, and government monitoring of the Internet;
- Engagement in dialogue with governments and/or relevant groups with respect to the Internet and the free flow of information;
- The scope of business involvement and of investment in markets that maintain government censorship or monitoring of the Internet;
- The market-specific laws or regulations applicable to Internet censorship or monitoring that may be imposed on the company; and,
- The level of controversy or litigation related to the company's international human rights policies and procedures.

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -52-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

MACBRIDE PRINCIPLES

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on proposals to endorse or increase activity on the MacBride Principles, taking into account:

- Company compliance with or violations of the Fair Employment Act of 1989;
- Company antidiscrimination policies that already exceed the legal requirements;
- The cost and feasibility of adopting all nine principles;
- The cost of duplicating efforts to follow two sets of standards (Fair Employment and the
- MacBride Principles);
- The potential for charges of reverse discrimination;
- The potential that any company sales or contracts in the rest of the United Kingdom could be negatively impacted;
- The level of the company's investment in Northern Ireland;
- The number of company employees in Northern Ireland;
- The degree that industry peers have adopted the MacBride Principles;
 and
- Applicable state and municipal laws that limit contracts with

companies that have not adopted the MacBride Principles.

NUCLEAR AND DEPLETED URANTUM WEAPONS

Vote AGAINST proposals asking a company to cease production or report on the risks associated with the use of depleted uranium munitions or nuclear weapons components and delivery systems, including disengaging from current and proposed contracts. Such contracts are monitored by government agencies, serve multiple military and non-military uses, and withdrawal from these contracts could have a negative impact on the company's business.

OPERATIONS IN HIGH RISK MARKETS

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on requests for review and a report outlining the company's potential financial and reputation risks associated with operations in "high-risk" markets, such as a terrorism-sponsoring state or otherwise, taking into account:

- The nature, purpose, and scope of the operations and business involved that could be affected by social or political disruption;
- Current disclosure of applicable risk assessment(s) and risk management procedures;
- Compliance with U.S. sanctions and laws;
- Consideration of other international policies, standards, and laws;
 and
- Whether the company has been recently involved in significant controversies or violations in "high-risk" markets.

OUTSOURCING/OFFSHORING

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on proposals calling for companies to report on the risks associated with outsourcing, considering:

- Risks associated with certain international markets;
- The utility of such a report to shareholders;
- The existence of a publicly available code of corporate conduct that applies to international operations.

VENDOR STANDARDS

Generally vote FOR reports outlining vendor standards compliance unless any of the following apply:

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -53-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

- The company does not operate in countries with significant human rights violations;
- The company has no recent human rights controversies or violations; or
- The company already publicly discloses information on its vendor

standards policies and compliance mechanisms.

SUSTATNABILITY

SUSTAINABILITY REPORTING

Generally vote FOR proposals requesting the company to report on policies and initiatives related to social, economic, and environmental sustainability, unless:

- The company already discloses similar information through existing reports or policies such as an Environment, Health, and Safety (EHS) report; a comprehensive Code of Corporate Conduct; and/or a Diversity Report; or
- The company has formally committed to the implementation of a reporting program based on Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) guidelines or a similar standard within a specified time frame.

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -54-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

10. Mutual Fund Proxies

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on the election of directors and trustees, following the same guidelines for uncontested directors for public company shareholder meetings. However, mutual fund boards do not usually have compensation committees, so do not withhold for the lack of this committee.

CONVERTING CLOSED-END FUND TO OPEN-END FUND

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on conversion proposals, considering the following factors:

- Past performance as a closed-end fund;
- Market in which the fund invests;
- Measures taken by the board to address the discount; and
- Past shareholder activism, board activity, and votes on related proposals.

PROXY CONTESTS

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on proxy contests, considering the following factors:

- Past performance relative to its peers;
- Market in which fund invests;
- Measures taken by the board to address the issues;
- Past shareholder activism, board activity, and votes on related proposals;
- Strategy of the incumbents versus the dissidents;

- Independence of directors;
- Experience and skills of director candidates;
- Governance profile of the company;
- Evidence of management entrenchment.

INVESTMENT ADVISORY AGREEMENTS

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on investment advisory agreements, considering the following factors:

- Proposed and current fee schedules;
- Fund category/investment objective;
- Performance benchmarks;
- Share price performance as compared with peers;
- Resulting fees relative to peers;
- Assignments (where the advisor undergoes a change of control).

APPROVING NEW CLASSES OR SERIES OF SHARES

Vote FOR the establishment of new classes or series of shares.

PREFERRED STOCK PROPOSALS

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on the authorization for or increase in preferred shares, considering the following factors:

- Stated specific financing purpose;
- Possible dilution for common shares;
- Whether the shares can be used for antitakeover purposes.

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -55-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

1940 ACT POLICIES

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on policies under the Investment Advisor Act of 1940, considering the following factors:

- Potential competitiveness;
- Regulatory developments;
- Current and potential returns; and
- Current and potential risk.

Generally vote FOR these amendments as long as the proposed changes do not

fundamentally alter the investment focus of the fund and do comply with the current SEC interpretation.

CHANGING A FUNDAMENTAL RESTRICTION TO A NONFUNDAMENTAL RESTRICTION

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on proposals to change a fundamental restriction to a non-fundamental restriction, considering the following factors:

- The fund's target investments;
- The reasons given by the fund for the change; and
- The projected impact of the change on the portfolio.

CHANGE FUNDAMENTAL INVESTMENT OBJECTIVE TO NONFUNDAMENTAL

Vote AGAINST proposals to change a fund's fundamental investment objective to non-fundamental.

NAME CHANGE PROPOSALS

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on name change proposals, considering the following factors:

- Political/economic changes in the target market;
- Consolidation in the target market; and
- Current asset composition.

CHANGE IN FUND'S SUBCLASSIFICATION

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on changes in a fund's sub-classification, considering the following factors:

- Potential competitiveness;
- Current and potential returns;
- Risk of concentration;
- Consolidation in target industry.

DISPOSITION OF ASSETS/TERMINATION/LIQUIDATION

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on proposals to dispose of assets, to terminate or liquidate, considering the following factors:

- Strategies employed to salvage the company;
- The fund's past performance;
- The terms of the liquidation.

CHANGES TO THE CHARTER DOCUMENT

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on changes to the charter document, considering the following factors:

The degree of change implied by the proposal;

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -56-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

- The efficiencies that could result;
- The state of incorporation;
- Regulatory standards and implications.

Vote AGAINST any of the following changes:

- Removal of shareholder approval requirement to reorganize or terminate the trust or any of its series;
- Removal of shareholder approval requirement for amendments to the new declaration of trust;
- Removal of shareholder approval requirement to amend the fund's management contract, allowing the contract to be modified by the investment manager and the trust management, as permitted by the 1940 Act;
- Allow the trustees to impose other fees in addition to sales charges on investment in a fund, such as deferred sales charges and redemption fees that may be imposed upon redemption of a fund's shares;
- Removal of shareholder approval requirement to engage in and terminate subadvisory arrangements;
- Removal of shareholder approval requirement to change the domicile of the fund.

CHANGING THE DOMICILE OF A FUND

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on re-incorporations, considering the following factors:

- Regulations of both states;
- Required fundamental policies of both states;
- The increased flexibility available.

AUTHORIZING THE BOARD TO HIRE AND TERMINATE SUBADVISORS WITHOUT SHAREHOLDER APPROVAL

Vote AGAINST proposals authorizing the board to hire/terminate subadvisors without shareholder approval.

DISTRIBUTION AGREEMENTS

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on distribution agreement proposals, considering the following factors:

- Fees charged to comparably sized funds with similar objectives;
- The proposed distributor's reputation and past performance;
- The competitiveness of the fund in the industry;
- The terms of the agreement.

MASTER-FEEDER STRUCTURE

Vote FOR the establishment of a master-feeder structure.

MERGERS

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on merger proposals, considering the following factors:

- Resulting fee structure;
- Performance of both funds;
- Continuity of management personnel;
- Changes in corporate governance and their impact on shareholder rights.

SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR MUTUAL FUNDS

ESTABLISH DIRECTOR OWNERSHIP REQUIREMENT

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -57-

RISKMETRICS Group

www.riskmetrics.com

Generally vote AGAINST shareholder proposals that mandate a specific minimum amount of stock that directors must own in order to qualify as a director or to remain on the board.

REIMBURSE SHAREHOLDER FOR EXPENSES INCURRED

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on shareholder proposals to reimburse proxy solicitation expenses. When supporting the dissidents, vote FOR the reimbursement of the proxy solicitation expenses.

TERMINATE THE INVESTMENT ADVISOR

Vote CASE-BY-CASE on proposals to terminate the investment advisor, considering the following factors:

- Performance of the fund's Net Asset Value (NAV);
- The fund's history of shareholder relations;
- The performance of other funds under the advisor's management.

2008 US Proxy Voting Guidelines Summary -58-

ITEM 8. PORTFOLIO MANAGERS OF CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES.

(A)(1) IDENTIFICATION OF PORTFOLIO MANAGERS OR MANAGEMENT TEAM MEMBERS AND DESCRIPTION OF ROLE OF PORTFOLIO MANAGERS OR MANAGEMENT TEAM MEMBERS

Stonebridge Advisors LLC ("Stonebridge") serves as the Registrant's investment sub-adviser, making investment decisions for the Registrant. Investments are made under the direction of a team of the Stonebridge professionals led by the individuals described below. Effective December 31,

2008, Richard Fortin resigned from Stonebridge and no longer participates in making investment decisions for the Registrant.

LENGTH

	NAME 	TITLE	OF SERVICE	BUSINESS EXP
1.	Scott Fleming	President & CIO	4	CIO, Stonebridge CIO, Spectrum Ass
2.	Robert DeRochie	Senior Vice President & Portfolio Manager	4	CFO, Startech Env VP, Queensway Inv
3.	Richard Fortin, CFA	Senior Vice President & Portfolio Manager	4	Senior Equity Ana Pension Fund

Mr. Fleming oversees and takes lead role over investment committee at Stonebridge. At Stonebridge, Mr. DeRochie is portfolio manager for preferred securities accounts. Mr. Fortin is the Portfolio Manager for all North American equity income and income trust accounts advised by Stonebridge.

(A)(2) OTHER ACCOUNTS MANAGED BY PORTFOLIO MANAGERS OR MANAGEMENT TEAM MEMBER AND POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

OTHER ACCOUNTS MANAGED BY PORTFOLIO MANAGERS OR MANAGEMENT TEAM MEMBER

NAME OF PORTFOLIO MANAGER OR TEAM MEMBER	TYPE OF ACCOUNTS*	TOTAL # OF ACCOUNTS MANAGED	TOTAL ASSETS
	Registered Investment Companies: Other Pooled Investment Vehicles:		\$0 \$0
	Other Accounts:	22	\$25.0M
2. Robert DeRochie Wachovia / A.G. Edwards / Schwab (preferreds)	Registered Investment Companies: Other Pooled Investment Vehicles:	0 0	\$0 \$0
	Other Accounts:	19	\$23.2M
3. Richard Fortin All NAEI / IT accounts	Registered Investment Companies: Other Pooled Investment Vehicles: Other Accounts:	0 0 28	\$0 \$0 \$15.2M

Information provided as of October 31, 2008

POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTERESTS

Stonebridge's Tax-Preferred investment style is consistent across all of its managed accounts. Stonebridge is not aware of any material conflicts of interest between the separately managed accounts and the Registrant. In the case where Stonebridge blocks trades that involve the Registrant and other accounts, Stonebridge follows its allocation policy and handles the trade in a fair and equitable manner.

(A) (3) COMPENSATION STRUCTURE OF PORTFOLIO MANAGERS OR MANAGEMENT TEAM MEMBERS

Compensation of the portfolio managers consists of a base salary plus discretionary bonus. The bonus is based on the success of Stonebridge in meeting their growth goals, the performance of the employee in handling their responsibilities and the earnings available for employee bonuses. Compensation is based on the growth of the overall assets of the firm. Additionally, Mr. Fleming is a principal of Stonebridge and receives compensation from his equity ownership in Stonebridge.

(A) (4) DISCLOSURE OF SECURITIES OWNERSHIP

Information provided as of October 31, 2008

	Dollar Range of Fund Shares
Name	Beneficially Owned
Scott Fleming	\$1 to \$10,000
Robert DeRochie	\$1 to \$10,000
Richard Fortin	\$0

(B) Not applicable.

ITEM 9. PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES BY CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANY AND AFFILIATED PURCHASERS.

Not applicable.

ITEM 10. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS.

There have been no material changes to the procedures by which the shareholders may recommend nominees to the registrant's board of directors, where those changes were implemented after the registrant last provided disclosure in response to the requirements of Item 407(c)(2)(iv) of Regulation S-K (17 CFR 229.407) (as required by Item 22(b)(15) of Schedule 14A (17 CFR 240.14a-101)), or this Item.

ITEM 11. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

(a) The registrant's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, have concluded that the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 30a-3(c) under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the "1940 Act") (17 CFR 270.30a-3(c))) are effective, as of a date within 90 days of the filing date of the report that includes the disclosure required by this paragraph, based on their evaluation of these controls and procedures required by Rule 30a-3(b) under the 1940 Act (17 CFR

270.30a-3 (b)) and Rules 13a-15 (b) or 15d-15 (b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (17 CFR 240.13a-15 (b) or 240.15d-15 (b)).

(b) There were no changes in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 30a-3(d) under the 1940 Act (17 CFR 270.30a-3(d)) that occurred during the registrant's second fiscal quarter of the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 12. EXHIBITS.

- (a) (1) Code of ethics, or any amendment thereto, that is the subject of disclosure required by Item 2 is attached hereto.
- (a)(2) Certifications pursuant to Rule 30a-2(a) under the 1940 Act and Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 are attached hereto.
- (a)(3) Not applicable.
- (b) Certifications pursuant to Rule 30a-2(b) under the 1940 Act and Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 are attached hereto.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

(registrant) FIRST TRUST TAX-ADVANTAGED PREFERRED INCOME FUND

By (Signature and Title) * /S/ JAMES A. BOWEN

James A. Bowen, Chairman of the Board, President and

Chief Executive Officer
(principal executive officer)

Date DECEMBER 22, 2008

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By (Signature and Title) * /S/ JAMES A. BOWEN

James A. Bowen, Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer (principal executive officer)

Date DECEMBER 22, 2008

By (Signature and Title)* /S/ MARK R. BRADLEY

Mark R. Bradley, Treasurer, Controller,
Chief Financial Officer
and Chief Accounting Officer
(principal financial officer)

Date DECEMBER 22, 2008

 $^{^{\}star}$ Print the name and title of each signing officer under his or her signature.