

Magyar Bancorp, Inc.  
Form 10-Q  
February 13, 2012

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

S QUARTERLY REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d)

OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended December 31, 2011

Commission File Number **000-51726**

Magyar Bancorp, Inc.

---

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

**Delaware**

(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)

**20-4154978**

(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

**400 Somerset Street, New Brunswick, New Jersey 08901**

(Address of Principal Executive Office)

(Zip Code)

**(732) 342-7600**

---

Edgar Filing: Magyar Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

(Issuer's Telephone Number including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer   
Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company   
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes  No

State the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class	Outstanding at February 1, 2012
Common Stock, \$0.01 Par Value	5,793,531



Table of Contents

MAGYAR BANCORP, INC.

Form 10-Q Quarterly Report

Table of Contents

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Page Number

<u>Item 1.</u> Financial Statements	1
<u>Item 2.</u> Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	24
<u>Item 3.</u> Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	32
<u>Item 4.</u> Controls and Procedures	32

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

<u>Item 1.</u> Legal Proceedings	33
<u>Item 1a.</u> Risk Factors	33
<u>Item 2.</u> Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	33
<u>Item 3.</u> Defaults Upon Senior Securities	33
<u>Item 4.</u> [Removed and Reserved]	33
<u>Item 5.</u> Other Information	33
<u>Item 6.</u> Exhibits	34

<u>Signature Pages</u>	35
------------------------	----

Table of Contents

## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## Item 1. Financial Statements

**MAGYAR BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**

## Consolidated Balance Sheets

(In Thousands, Except Share and Per Share Data)

	<b>2011</b>	<b>September</b>
	<b>31,</b>	<b>30,</b>
	(Unaudited)	
<b>Assets</b>		
Cash	\$1,128	\$1,066
Interest earning deposits with banks	8,755	13,968
Total cash and cash equivalents	9,883	15,034
Investment securities - available for sale, at fair value	27,189	25,312
Investment securities - held to maturity, at amortized cost (fair value of \$48,001 and \$45,713 at December 31, 2011 and September 30, 2011 , respectively)	47,237	45,000
Federal Home Loan Bank of New York stock, at cost	2,299	2,299
Loans receivable, net of allowance for loan losses of \$3,848 and \$3,812 at December 31, 2011 and September 30, 2011 , respectively	386,351	381,254
Bank owned life insurance	9,744	9,660
Accrued interest receivable	1,972	1,921
Premises and equipment, net	20,346	20,574
Other real estate owned ("OREO")	14,726	16,595
Other assets	6,584	6,388
Total assets	\$526,331	\$524,037
<b>Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity</b>		
<b>Liabilities</b>		
Deposits	\$426,977	\$424,943
Escrowed funds	909	1,043
Federal Home Loan Bank of New York advances	34,916	34,916
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	15,000	15,000
Accrued interest payable	325	300

Edgar Filing: Magyar Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Accounts payable and other liabilities	3,598	3,326
Total liabilities	481,725	479,528
Stockholders' equity		
Preferred stock: \$.01 Par Value, 1,000,000 shares authorized; none issued	—	—
Common stock: \$.01 Par Value, 8,000,000 shares authorized; 5,923,742 issued; 5,793,531 and 5,801,631 outstanding at December 31, 2011 and September 30, 2011, respectively, at cost	59	59
Additional paid-in capital	26,579	26,496
Treasury stock: 130,211 and 122,111 shares at December 31, 2011 and September 30, 2011, respectively, at cost	(1,501 )	(1,480 )
Unearned Employee Stock Ownership Plan shares	(1,201 )	(1,228 )
Retained earnings	21,100	21,069
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(430 )	(407 )
Total stockholders' equity	44,606	44,509
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$526,331	\$524,037

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents**MAGYAR BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**

## Consolidated Statements of Operations

(In Thousands, Except Per Share Data)

	<b>For the Three Months Ended December 31, 2011    2010 (Unaudited)</b>	
Interest and dividend income		
Loans, including fees	\$4,706	\$5,148
Investment securities		
Taxable	541	502
Tax-exempt	1	1
Federal Home Loan Bank of New York stock	24	47
Total interest and dividend income	5,272	5,698
Interest expense		
Deposits	1,102	1,416
Borrowings	504	610
Total interest expense	1,606	2,026
Net interest and dividend income	3,666	3,672
Provision for loan losses	370	358
Net interest and dividend income after provision for loan losses	3,296	3,314
Other income		
Service charges	267	341
Other operating income	112	112
Gains on sales of loans	116	449
Gains on sales of investment securities	84	—
Losses on OREO	(32 )	(135 )
Total other income	547	767
Other expenses		
Compensation and employee benefits	1,855	1,870
Occupancy expenses	729	666
Advertising	44	53
Professional fees	233	247
Service fees	122	145
OREO expenses	226	123

Edgar Filing: Magyar Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

FDIC deposit insurance premiums	176	349
Other expenses	420	465
Total other expenses	3,805	3,918
Income before income tax expense	38	163
Income tax expense	7	36
Net income	\$31	\$127
Net income per share-basic and diluted	\$0.01	\$0.02

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

2

—



Table of Contents**MAGYAR BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**

## Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity

For the Three Months Ended December 31, 2011

(In Thousands, Except for Share Amounts)

(Unaudited)

	Common Stock		Additional		Unearned		Accumulated		Total
	Shares	Par	Paid-In	Treasury	ESOP	Retained	Other		
	Outstanding	Value	Capital	Stock	Shares	Earnings	Comprehensive	Loss	
Balance, September 30, 2011	5,801,631	\$59	\$26,496	\$(1,480	) \$(1,228	) \$21,069	\$(407	)	\$44,500
Comprehensive income:									
Net income	—	—	—	—	—	31	—		31
Unrealized gain on securities available-for-sale, net of tax expense of \$32	—	—	—	—	—	—	41		41
Reclassification adjustment for gains included in net income, net of tax benefit of \$34	—	—	—	—	—	—	(50	)	(50
Unrealized loss on derivatives, net of tax benefit of \$9	—	—	—	—	—	—	(14	)	(14
Total comprehensive income	(8,100	)	—	—	(21	)	—	—	(21

Purchase of treasury stock											
ESOP shares allocated	—	—	(19	)	—	27	—	—	8		
Stock-based compensation expense	—	—	102	—	—	—	—	—	102		
Balance, December 31, 2011	5,793,531	\$59	\$26,579	\$(1,501	)	\$(1,201	)	\$21,100	\$(430	)	\$44,600

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement.

3  
—

Table of Contents

**MAGYAR BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(In Thousands)

	<b>For the Three Month Ended December 31, 2011 (Unaudited)</b>	<b>2010</b>
Operating activities		
Net income	\$ 31	\$ 127
Adjustment to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities		
Depreciation expense	247	247
Premium amortization on investment securities, net	65	74
Provision for loan losses	370	358

Edgar Filing: Magyar Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Provision for loss on other real estate owned	—	292
Proceeds from the sales of loans	2,926	7,613
Gains on sale of loans	(116 )	(449 )
Gains on sales of investment securities	(84 )	—
Losses (gains) on the sales of other real estate owned	32	(157 )
ESOP compensation expense	8	14
Stock-based compensation expense	102	100
Deferred income tax benefit	5	—
(Increase) decrease in accrued interest receivable	(51 )	130
Increase in surrender value bank owned life insurance	(84 )	(90 )
Decrease (increase) in other assets	(213 )	240
Increase (decrease) in accrued interest payable	25	(11 )
Increase in accounts payable and other liabilities	272	978
Net cash provided by operating activities	3,535	9,466
Investing activities		
Net (increase) decrease in loans receivable	(9,650 )	1,808
Purchases of investment securities held to maturity	(5,988 )	(5,753 )
Purchases of investment securities available for sale	(6,123 )	(16,109 )
Sales of investment securities available for sale	2,479	—
Principal repayments on investment securities held to	3,720	7,442

Edgar Filing: Magyar Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

maturity				
Principal repayments on investment securities available for sale	1,806		1,161	
Purchases of premises and equipment	(19	)	(43	)
Investment in other real estate owned	(5	)	(406	)
Proceeds from the sale of other real estate owned	3,215		542	
Redemption of Federal Home Loan Bank stock	—		(203	)
Net cash used by investing activities	(10,565	)	(11,561	)
Financing activities				
Net increase (decrease) in deposits	2,034		(16,429	)
Net decrease in escrowed funds	(134	)	(469	)
Repayments of long-term advances	—		(978	)
Net change in short-term advances	—		5,500	
Purchase of treasury stock	(21	)	—	
Net cash provided (used) by financing activities	1,879		(12,376	)
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(5,151	)	(14,471	)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	15,034		21,086	
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 9,883		\$ 6,615	
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information				
Cash paid for				
Interest	\$ 1,581		\$ 2,037	
Income taxes	\$ —		\$ —	
Non-cash investing activities				

Real estate acquired in full satisfaction of loans in foreclosure	\$	1,373	\$	581
---	----	-------	----	-----

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

4  
—

Table of Contents

**MAGYAR BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

**NOTE A – BASIS OF PRESENTATION**

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Magyar Bancorp, Inc. (the “Company”), its wholly owned subsidiary, Magyar Bank (the “Bank”), and the Bank’s wholly owned subsidiaries Magyar Service Corporation, Hungaria Urban Renewal, LLC, and MagBank Investment Company. All material intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated. The Company prepares its financial statements on the accrual basis and in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“US GAAP”). The unaudited information furnished herein reflects all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) that are, in the opinion of management, necessary to a fair statement of the results for the interim periods presented.

Operating results for the three months ended December 31, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending September 30, 2012. The September 30, 2011 information has been derived from the audited consolidated financial statements at that date but does not include all of the information and footnotes required by US GAAP for complete financial statements.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with US GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of income and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change in the near term relate to the determination of the allowance for loan losses, the valuation of other real estate owned, and the assessment of realizability of deferred income tax assets.

The Company has evaluated events and transactions occurring subsequent to the balance sheet date of December 31, 2011 for items that should potentially be recognized or disclosed in these financial statements. The evaluation was conducted through the date these financial statements were issued.

NOTE B- RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In connection with the preparation of quarterly and annual reports in accordance with the Securities and Exchange Commission's (SEC) Securities Exchange Act of 1934, SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin Topic 11.M requires the disclosure of the impact that recently issued accounting standards will have on financial statements when they are adopted in the future.

The FASB has issued ASU 2010-06, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820): Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements. This ASU requires some new disclosures and clarifies some existing disclosure requirements about fair value measurement as set forth in Codification Subtopic 820-10. The FASB's objective is to improve these disclosures and, thus, increase the transparency in financial reporting. Specifically, ASU 2010-06 amends Codification Subtopic 820-10 to now require: (1) a reporting entity to disclose separately the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and describe the reasons for the transfers; and (2) in the reconciliation for fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs, a reporting entity should present separately information about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements. In addition, ASU 2010-06 clarifies the requirements of the following existing disclosures: (1) for purposes of reporting fair value measurement for each class of assets and liabilities, a reporting entity needs to use judgment in determining the appropriate classes of assets and liabilities; and (2) a reporting entity should provide disclosures about the valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements. ASU 2010-06 is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements in the roll forward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. Those disclosures are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010, and for interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is permitted. The ASU did not have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

Table of Contents

The FASB issued ASU 2011-04, Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs, to amend FASB ASC Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements, to bring U.S. GAAP for fair value measurements in line with International Accounting Standards. The ASU clarifies existing guidance for items such as: the application of the highest and best use concept to non-financial assets and liabilities; the application of fair value measurement to financial instruments classified in a reporting entity's stockholder's equity; and disclosure requirements regarding quantitative information about unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurements of level 3 assets. The ASU also creates an exception to Topic 820 for entities which carry financial instruments within a portfolio or group, under which the entity is now permitted to base the price used for fair valuation upon a price that would be received to sell the net asset position or transfer a net liability position in an orderly transaction. The ASU also allows for the application of premiums and discounts in a fair value measurement if the financial instrument is categorized in level 2 or 3 of the fair value hierarchy. Lastly, the ASU contains new disclosure requirements regarding fair value amounts categorized as level 3 in the fair value hierarchy such as: disclosure of the valuation process used; effects of and relationships between unobservable inputs; usage of nonfinancial assets for purposes other than their highest and best use when that is the basis of the disclosed fair value; and categorization by level of items disclosed at fair value, but not measured at fair value for financial statement purposes. This ASU is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company is evaluating the updates to Topic 820 and does not expect their implementation to have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

The FASB issued ASU 2011-05, Presentation of Comprehensive Income to amend FASB ASC Topic 220, Comprehensive Income, to facilitate the continued alignment of U.S. GAAP with International Accounting Standards. The ASU prohibits the presentation of the components of comprehensive income in the statement of stockholder's equity. Reporting entities are allowed to present either: a statement of comprehensive income, which reports both net income and other comprehensive income; or separate, but consecutive, statements of net income and other comprehensive income. Under previous GAAP, all 3 presentations were acceptable. Regardless of the presentation selected, the Reporting Entity is required to present all reclassifications between other comprehensive and net income on the face of the new statement or statements. The provisions of this ASU are effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 31, 2011.

The FASB issued ASU 2011-12, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220):Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05. The amendments to the Codification in ASU No. 2011-12 are effective at the same time as the amendments in ASU No. 2011-05, so that entities will not be required to comply with the presentation requirements in ASU No. 2011-05 that ASU No. 2011-12 is deferring. The amendments are being made to allow the FASB time to redeliberate whether to present on the face of the financial statements the effects of reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income on the components of net income and other comprehensive income for all periods presented. All other requirements in ASU No. 2011-05 are not affected by ASU No. 2011-12, including the requirement to report comprehensive income either in a single continuous financial statement or in two separate but consecutive financial statements. Public entities should apply these requirements for fiscal years, and interim periods



within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company is evaluating the updates to Topic 220 and does not expect their implementation to have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

NOTE C - CONTINGENCIES

The Company, from time to time, is a party to routine litigation that arises in the normal course of business. In the opinion of management, the resolution of this litigation, if any, would not have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

NOTE D - EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic and diluted earnings per share for the three months ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 were calculated by dividing net income by the weighted-average number of shares outstanding for the period. All stock options and restricted stock awards were anti-dilutive for the three months ended December 31, 2011 and the three months ended December 31, 2010. The following table shows the Company's earnings per share for the periods presented:

6  
—

Table of Contents

	<b>For the Three Months Ended December 31,</b>					
	<b>2011</b>			<b>2010</b>		
	Weighted Per		Weighted Per			
	average	share	average	share	Income	Amount
	shares	Amount	shares	Amount	shares	Amount
	(In thousands, except per share data)					
<b>Basic EPS</b>						
Net income available to common shareholders	\$31	5,813	\$ 0.01	\$ 127	5,795	\$ 0.02
<b>Effect of dilutive securities</b>						
Options and grants	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>Diluted EPS</b>						
Net income available to common shareholders plus assumed conversion	\$31	5,813	\$ 0.01	\$ 127	5,795	\$ 0.02

Options to purchase 188,276 shares of common stock at a weighted average price of \$14.61 and 17,030 shares of restricted shares at a weighted average price of \$9.22 were outstanding and not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share for the three months ended December 31, 2011 because the grant (or option strike) price was greater than the average market price of the common shares during the periods. Options to purchase 188,276 shares of common stock at an average price of \$14.61 and 30,212 restricted shares at a weighted average price of \$11.45 were outstanding and not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share for the three months ended December 31, 2010 because the grant (or option strike) price was greater than the average market price of the common shares during the periods.

**NOTE E – STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION AND STOCK REPURCHASE PROGRAM**

The Company follows FASB Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) Section 718, Compensation-Stock Compensation, which covers a wide range of share-based compensation arrangements including share options, restricted share plans, performance-based awards, share appreciation rights, and employee share purchase plans. ASC 718 requires that compensation cost relating to share-based payment transactions be recognized in financial statements. The cost is measured based on the fair value of the equity or liability instruments issued.

ASC 718 also requires the Company to realize as a financing cash flow rather than an operating cash flow, as previously required, the benefits of realized tax deductions in excess of previously recognized tax benefits on compensation expense. In accordance with SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin (“SAB”) No. 107, the Company classified share-based compensation for employees and outside directors within “compensation and employee benefits” in the consolidated statement of operations to correspond with the same line item as the cash compensation paid.

Stock options generally vest over a five-year service period and expire ten years from issuance. Management recognizes compensation expense for all option grants over the awards’ respective requisite service periods. The fair values of all option grants were estimated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. Since there was limited historical information on the volatility of the Company’s stock, management also considered the average volatilities of similar entities for an appropriate period in determining the assumed volatility rate used in the estimation of fair value. Management estimated the expected life of the options using the simplified method allowed under SAB No. 107. The 7-year Treasury yield in effect at the time of the grant provided the risk-free rate for periods within the contractual life of the option. Management recognizes compensation expense for the fair values of these awards, which have graded vesting, on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of the awards. Once vested, these awards are irrevocable. Shares will be obtained from either the open market or treasury stock upon share option exercise.

Restricted shares generally vest over a five-year service period on the anniversary of the grant date. Once vested, these awards are irrevocable. The product of the number of shares granted and the grant date market price of the Company’s common stock determine the fair value of restricted shares under the Company’s restricted stock plans. Management recognizes compensation expense for the fair value of restricted shares on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period.

Table of Contents

The following is a summary of the status of the Company's stock option activity and related information for its option plan for the three months ended December 31, 2011:

	Number of Stock Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Balance at September 30, 2011	188,276	\$ 14.61		
Granted	—	—	—	
Exercised	—	—		
Forfeited	—	—		
Balance at December 31, 2011	188,276	\$ 14.61	5.2 years	\$—
Exercisable at December 31, 2011	154,561	\$ 14.61	5.2 years	\$—

The following is a summary of the Company's non-vested stock awards as of December 31, 2011 and changes during the three months ended December 31, 2011:

	Number of Stock Awards	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Balance at September 30, 2011	33,145	\$ 9.22
Granted	—	—
Vested	—	—
Forfeited	—	—
Balance at December 31, 2011	33,145	\$ 9.22

Stock option and stock award expenses included with compensation expense were \$40,000 and \$62,000, respectively, for the three months ended December 31, 2011.

The Company announced in November 2007 its second stock repurchase program of up to 5% of its publicly-held outstanding shares of common stock, or 129,924 shares. Through December 31, 2011, the Company had repurchased a total of 75,070 shares of its common stock at an average cost of \$8.66 per share under this program. 8,100 shares were repurchased during the three months ended December 31, 2011 at an average price of \$2.59. Under the stock repurchase program, 54,854 shares of the 129,924 shares authorized remained available for repurchase as of December 31, 2011. The Company's intended use of the repurchased shares is for general corporate purposes, including the funding of awards granted under the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan.

The Company has an Employee Stock Ownership Plan ("ESOP") for the benefit of employees of the Company and the Bank who meet the eligibility requirements as defined in the plan. The ESOP trust purchased 217,863 shares of common stock in the open market using proceeds of a loan from the Company. The total cost of shares purchased by the ESOP trust was \$2.3 million, reflecting an average cost per share of \$10.58. The Bank will make cash contributions to the ESOP on an annual basis sufficient to enable the ESOP to make the required loan payments to the Company. The loan bears a variable interest rate that adjusts annually every January 1st to the then published Prime Rate (3.25% at January 1, 2012) with principal and interest payable annually in equal installments over thirty years. The loan is secured by shares of the Company's stock.

As the debt is repaid, shares are released as collateral and allocated to qualified employees. Accordingly, the shares pledged as collateral are reported as unearned ESOP shares in the Consolidated Balance Sheet. As shares are released from collateral, the Company reports compensation expense equal to the then current market price of the shares, and the shares become outstanding for earnings per share computations.

At December 31, 2011, shares allocated to participants totaled 91,100. Unallocated ESOP shares held in suspense totaled 126,763 at December 31, 2011 and had a fair market value of \$311,837. The Company's contribution expense for the ESOP was \$8,000 and \$14,000 for the three months ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Table of Contents

## NOTE F – OTHER COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

The components of other comprehensive loss and the related income tax effects are as follows:

	<b>Three Months Ended December 31,</b>					
	<b>2011</b>			<b>2010</b>		
	Before Tax	Net of	Before Tax	Net of	Before Tax	Net of
	Tax	Tax	Tax	Tax	Tax	Tax
	Amount	Benefit	Amount	Benefit	Amount	Benefit
	(Expense)	(Expense)	(Expense)	(Expense)	(Expense)	(Expense)
	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount	Amount
	(Dollars in thousands)					
Unrealized holding gains (losses) arising during period on:						
Available-for-sale investments	\$73	\$ (32 )	\$ 41	\$ (421)	\$ 153	\$ (268 )
Less reclassification adjustment for gains realized in net income	(84)	34	(50 )	—	—	—
Interest rate derivatives	(23)	9	(14 )	(73 )	29	(44 )
Other comprehensive loss, net	\$(34)	\$ 11	\$ (23 )	\$(494)	\$ 182	\$(312 )

## NOTE G – FAIR VALUE DISCLOSURES

We use fair value measurements to record fair value adjustments to certain assets and liabilities and to determine fair value disclosures. Our securities available-for-sale are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. Additionally, from time to time, we may be required to record at fair value other assets or liabilities on a non-recurring basis, such as held-to-maturity securities, mortgage servicing rights, loans receivable and other real estate owned, or OREO. These non-recurring fair value adjustments involve the application of lower-of-cost-or-market accounting or write-downs of individual assets.

In accordance with ASC 820, we group our assets and liabilities at fair value in three levels, based on the markets in which the assets are traded and the reliability of the assumptions used to determine fair value. These levels are:

**Level 1** - Valuation is based upon quoted prices for identical instruments traded in active markets.

**Level 2** Valuation is based upon quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical -or similar instruments in markets that are not active and model-based valuation techniques for which all significant assumptions are observable in the market.

**Level 3** Valuation is generated from model-based techniques that use significant assumptions not observable in the market. These unobservable assumptions reflect our own estimates of assumptions that market participants -would use in pricing the asset or liability. Valuation techniques include the use of option pricing models, discounted cash flow models and similar techniques. The results cannot be determined with precision and may not be realized in an actual sale or immediate settlement of the asset or liability.

We base our fair values on the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. ASC 820 requires us to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value.

The following is a description of valuation methodologies used for assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis.

Table of ContentsSecurities available-for-sale

Our available-for-sale portfolio is carried at estimated fair value on a recurring basis, with any unrealized gains and losses, net of taxes, reported as accumulated other comprehensive income/loss in stockholders' equity. Our securities available-for-sale portfolio consists of U.S government and government-sponsored enterprise obligations, municipal bonds, and mortgage-backed securities. The fair values of these securities are obtained from an independent nationally recognized pricing service. Our independent pricing service provides us with prices which are categorized as Level 2, as quoted prices in active markets for identical assets are generally not available for the securities in our portfolio. Various modeling techniques are used to determine pricing for our mortgage-backed securities, including option pricing and discounted cash flow models. The inputs to these models include benchmark yields, reported trades, broker/dealer quotes, issuer spreads, two-sided markets, benchmark securities, bids, offers and reference data.

Derivative financial instruments

The Company uses interest rate floors to manage its interest rate risk. The interest rate floors have been designated as cash flow hedging instruments. The valuation of these instruments is determined using widely accepted valuation techniques including discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of each derivative. This analysis reflects the contractual terms of the derivatives, including the period to maturity, and uses observable market-based inputs, including interest rate curves and implied volatilities.

The following table provides the level of valuation assumptions used to determine the carrying value of our assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis.

	<b>Fair Value at December 31, 2011</b>			
	<b>Total</b>	<b>Level 1</b>	<b>Level 2</b>	<b>Level 3</b>
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Securities available for sale:				
Obligations of U.S. government agencies:				
Mortgage backed securities - residential	\$3,244	\$ —	\$3,244	\$ —
Obligations of U.S. government-sponsored enterprises:				
Mortgage-backed securities-residential	17,175	—	17,175	—
Mortgage backed securities-commercial	4,349	—	4,349	—
Debt securities	1,037	—	1,037	—
Private label mortgage-backed securities-residential	1,384	—	1,384	—
Total securities available for sale	\$27,189	\$ —	\$27,189	\$ —



**Fair Value at September 30,  
2011**

<b>Total</b>	<b>Level 1</b>	<b>Level 2</b>	<b>Level 3</b>
--------------	--------------------	----------------	--------------------

(Dollars in thousands)

Securities available for sale:

Obligations of U.S. government agencies:

Mortgage backed securities - residential	\$3,394	\$ —	\$3,394	\$ —
--	---------	------	---------	------

Obligations of U.S. government-sponsored enterprises:

Mortgage-backed securities-residential	14,088	—	14,088	—
--	--------	---	--------	---

Mortgage backed securities-commercial	4,402	—	4,402	—
---------------------------------------	-------	---	-------	---

Debt securities	2,029	—	2,029	—
-----------------	-------	---	-------	---

Private label mortgage-backed securities-residential	1,399	—	1,399	—
--	-------	---	-------	---

Total securities available for sale	\$25,312	\$ —	\$25,312	\$ —
-------------------------------------	----------	------	----------	------

The following is a description of valuation methodologies used for assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis.

**Mortgage Servicing Rights, net**

Mortgage Servicing Rights (MSRs) are carried at the lower of cost or estimated fair value. The estimated fair value of MSR is determined through a calculation of future cash flows, incorporating estimates of assumptions market participants would use in determining fair value including market discount rates, prepayment speeds, servicing income, servicing costs, default rates and other market driven data, including the market's perception of future interest rate movements and, as such, are classified as Level 3.

## Table of Contents

### Impaired Loans

Loans which meet certain criteria are evaluated individually for impairment. A loan is impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. All amounts due according to the contractual terms means that both the contractual interest and principal payments of a loan will be collected as scheduled in the loan agreement. Three impairment measurement methods are used, depending upon the collateral securing the asset: 1) the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate (the rate of return implicit in the loan); 2) the asset's observable market price; or 3) the fair value of the collateral if the asset is collateral dependent. The regulatory agencies require this method for loans from which repayment is expected to be provided solely by the underlying collateral. Our impaired loans are generally collateral dependent and, as such, are carried at the estimated fair value of the collateral less estimated selling costs. Fair value is estimated through current appraisals, and adjusted as necessary, by management, to reflect current market conditions and, as such, are generally classified as Level 3.

Appraisals of collateral securing impaired loans are conducted by approved, qualified, and independent third-party appraisers. Such appraisals are ordered via the Bank's credit administration department, independent from the lender who originated the loan, once the loan is deemed impaired, as described in the previous paragraph. Impaired loans are generally re-evaluated with an updated appraisal within one year of the last appraisal. However, the Company also obtains updated appraisals on performing construction loans that are approaching their maturity date to determine whether or not the fair value of the collateral securing the loan remains sufficient to cover the loan amount prior to considering an extension. The Company discounts the appraised "as is" value of the collateral for estimated selling and disposition costs and compares the resulting fair value of collateral to the outstanding loan amount. If the outstanding loan amount is greater than the discounted fair value, the Company requires a reduction in the outstanding loan balance or additional collateral before considering an extension to the loan. If the borrower is unwilling or unable to reduce the loan balance or increase the collateral securing the loan, it is deemed impaired and the difference between the loan amount and the fair value of collateral, net of estimated selling and disposition costs, is charged off through a reduction of the allowance for loan loss.

### Other Real Estate Owned

The fair value of other real estate owned is determined through current appraisals, and adjusted as necessary, by management, to reflect current market conditions. As such, other real estate owned is generally classified as Level 3.

The following table provides the level of valuation assumptions used to determine the carrying value of our assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis at December 31, 2011 and September 30, 2011.

**Fair Value at December 31,  
2011**

<b>Total</b>	<b>Level 1</b>	<b>Level 2</b>	<b>Level 3</b>
--------------	--------------------	--------------------	--------------------

(Dollars in thousands)

Impaired loans	\$1,497	\$ —	\$ —	\$1,497
Other real estate owned	—	—	—	—
	\$1,497	\$ —	\$ —	\$1,497

**Fair Value at September 30,  
2011**

<b>Total</b>	<b>Level 1</b>	<b>Level 2</b>	<b>Level 3</b>
--------------	--------------------	--------------------	--------------------

(Dollars in thousands)

Impaired loans	\$16,745	\$ —	\$ —	\$16,745
Other real estate owned	2,625	—	—	2,625
	\$19,370	\$ —	\$ —	\$19,370

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instruments not already disclosed above for which it is practicable to estimate fair value:

Table of Contents

Cash and interest earning deposits with banks: The carrying amounts are a reasonable estimate of fair value.

Held to maturity securities: The fair values of our held to maturity securities are obtained from an independent nationally recognized pricing service. Our independent pricing service provides us with prices which are categorized as Level 2, as quoted prices in active markets for identical assets are generally not available for the securities in our portfolio.

Loans: Fair value for the loan portfolio, excluding impaired loans with specific loss allowances, is estimated based on discounted cash flow analysis using interest rates currently offered for loans with similar terms to borrowers of similar credit quality.

Federal Home Loan Bank of New York (“FHLB”) stock: The carrying amount of FHLB stock approximates fair value and considers the limited marketability of the investment.

Bank-owned life insurance: The carrying amounts are based on the cash surrender values of the individual policies, which is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

The fair value of commitments to extend credit is estimated based on the amount of unamortized deferred loan commitment fees. The fair value of letters of credit is based on the amount of unearned fees plus the estimated costs to terminate the letters of credit. Fair values of unrecognized financial instruments including commitments to extend credit and the fair value of letter of credit are considered immaterial.

Deposits: The fair value of deposits with no stated maturity, such as money market deposit accounts, interest-bearing checking accounts and savings accounts, is equal to the amount payable on demand. The fair value of certificates of deposit is based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows. The discount rate is equivalent to current market rates for deposits of similar size, type and maturity.

Accrued interest receivable and payable: For these short-term instruments, the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

Federal Home Loan Bank of New York advances and securities sold under reverse repurchase agreements: The fair value of borrowings is based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows. The discount rate is equivalent to the rate currently offered by the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York for borrowings of similar maturity and terms.

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments at December 31, 2011 and September 30, 2011 were as follows:

	<b>December 31, 2011</b>		<b>September 30, 2011</b>	
	<b>Carrying</b>	<b>Fair</b>	<b>Carrying</b>	<b>Fair</b>
	<b>Value</b>	<b>Value</b>	<b>Value</b>	<b>Value</b>
	<b>(Dollars in thousands)</b>			
<b>Financial assets</b>				
Investment securities	\$74,426	\$75,190	\$70,312	\$71,025
Loans, net of allowance for loan losses	386,351	397,277	381,254	390,025
Bank owned life insurance	9,744	9,744	9,660	9,660
<b>Financial liabilities</b>				
<b>Deposits</b>				
Demand, NOW and money market savings	256,587	256,587	249,383	249,383
Certificates of deposit	170,390	173,413	175,560	178,818
<b>Total deposits</b>	<b>\$426,977</b>	<b>\$430,000</b>	<b>\$424,943</b>	<b>\$428,201</b>
<b>Borrowings</b>	<b>\$49,916</b>	<b>\$53,478</b>	<b>\$49,916</b>	<b>\$53,175</b>

Table of Contents

The fair value of commitments to extend credit is estimated based on the amount of unamortized deferred loan commitment fees. The fair value of letters of credit is based on the amount of unearned fees plus the estimated cost to terminate the letters of credit. Fair values of unrecognized financial instruments including commitments to extend credit and the fair value of letters of credit are considered immaterial.

Cash and cash equivalents, accrued interest receivable and accrued interest payable are not presented in the above table as the carrying amounts shown in the consolidated balance sheet equal fair value.

## NOTE H - INVESTMENT SECURITIES

The following tables summarize the amortized cost and fair values of securities available for sale at December 31, 2011 and September 30, 2011:

	<b>At December 31, 2011</b>			
	<b>Amortized Cost</b>	<b>Gross Unrealized Gains</b>	<b>Gross Unrealized Losses</b>	<b>Fair Value</b>
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Securities available for sale:				
Obligations of U.S. government agencies:				
Mortgage backed securities - residential	\$3,168	\$ 76	\$ —	\$3,244
Obligations of U.S. government-sponsored enterprises:				
Mortgage-backed securities-residential	17,000	191	(16 )	17,175
Mortgage backed securities-commercial	4,116	233	—	4,349
Debt securities	1,000	37	—	1,037
Private label mortgage-backed securities-residential	1,422	—	(38 )	1,384
Total securities available for sale	\$26,706	\$ 537	\$ (54 )	\$27,189

	<b>At September 30, 2011</b>			
	<b>Amortized Cost</b>	<b>Gross Unrealized Gains</b>	<b>Gross Unrealized Losses</b>	<b>Fair Value</b>
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Securities available for sale:				
Obligations of U.S. government agencies:				

Edgar Filing: Magyar Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Mortgage backed securities - residential	\$3,310	\$ 84	\$ —	\$3,394
Obligations of U.S. government-sponsored enterprises:				
Mortgage-backed securities-residential	13,915	215	(42 )	14,088
Mortgage backed securities-commercial	4,137	265	—	4,402
Debt securities	2,000	29	—	2,029
Private label mortgage-backed securities-residential	1,456	—	(57 )	1,399
Total securities available for sale	\$24,818	\$ 593	\$ (99 )	\$25,312

13

---

Table of Contents

The maturities of the debt securities and mortgage-backed securities available-for-sale at December 31, 2011 are summarized in the following table:

	<b>At December 31, 2011</b>	
	<b>Amortized Cost</b>	<b>Fair Value</b>
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Due within 1 year	\$—	\$—
Due after 1 but within 5 years	—	—
Due after 5 but within 10 years	1,000	1,037
Due after 10 years	—	—
Total debt securities	1,000	1,037
Mortgage-backed securities:		
Residential	21,590	21,803
Commercial	4,116	4,349
Total	\$26,706	\$27,189

The following tables summarize the amortized cost and fair values of securities held to maturity at December 31, 2011 and September 30, 2011:

	<b>At December 31, 2011</b>			
	<b>Gross Amortized Cost</b>	<b>Gross Unrealized Gains</b>	<b>Gross Unrealized Losses</b>	<b>Fair Value</b>
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Securities held to maturity:				
Obligations of U.S. government agencies:				
Mortgage-backed securities-residential	\$14,221	\$ 510	\$ (42 )	\$14,689
Mortgage-backed securities-commercial	1,624	19	—	1,643
Obligations of U.S. government-sponsored enterprises:				
Mortgage backed securities-residential	16,311	453	—	16,764
Debt securities	10,490	34	(1 )	10,523
Private label mortgage-backed securities-residential	1,519	34	(171 )	1,382
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	72	1	—	73
Corporate securities	3,000	—	(73 )	2,927
Total securities held to maturity	\$47,237	\$ 1,051	\$ (287 )	\$48,001





Table of Contents

	<b>At September 30, 2011</b>			
	<b>Amortized Cost</b>	<b>Gross Unrealized Gains</b>	<b>Gross Unrealized Losses</b>	<b>Fair Value</b>
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Securities held to maturity:				
Obligations of U.S. government agencies:				
Mortgage-backed securities-residential	\$14,875	\$ 483	\$ (11 )	\$15,347
Mortgage-backed securities-commercial	1,646	18	—	1,664
Obligations of U.S. government-sponsored enterprises:				
Mortgage backed securities-residential	17,315	441	—	17,756
Debt securities	6,500	12	—	6,512
Private label mortgage-backed securities-residential	1,592	14	(160 )	1,446
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	72	2	—	74
Corporate securities	3,000	—	(86 )	2,914
Total securities held to maturity	\$45,000	\$ 970	\$ (257 )	\$45,713

The maturities of the debt securities and the mortgage backed securities held to maturity at December 31, 2011 are summarized in the following table:

	<b>At December 31, 2011</b>	
	<b>Amortized Cost</b>	<b>Fair Value</b>
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Due within 1 year	\$—	\$—
Due after 1 but within 5 years	3,072	3,000
Due after 5 but within 10 years	2,000	2,005
Due after 10 years	8,490	8,518
Total debt securities	13,562	13,523
Mortgage-backed securities:		
Residential	32,051	32,835
Commercial	1,624	1,643
Total	\$47,237	\$48,001

## NOTE I – IMPAIRMENT OF INVESTMENT SECURITIES

The Company recognizes credit-related other-than-temporary impairment on debt securities in earnings while noncredit-related other-than-temporary impairment on debt securities not expected to be sold are recognized in other comprehensive income (“OCI”).

We review our investment portfolio on a quarterly basis for indications of impairment. This review includes analyzing the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been lower than the cost, the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, including any specific events which may influence the operations of the issuer and the intent and ability to hold the investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in the market. We evaluate our intent and ability to hold debt securities based upon our investment strategy for the particular type of security and our cash flow needs, liquidity position, capital adequacy and interest rate risk position. In addition, the risk of future other-than-temporary impairment may be influenced by prolonged recession in the U.S. economy, changes in real estate values and interest deferrals.

Investment securities with fair values less than their amortized cost contain unrealized losses. The following tables present the gross unrealized losses and fair value at December 31, 2011 and September 30, 2011 for both available for sale and held to maturity securities by investment category and time frame for which the loss has been outstanding:

Table of Contents

	Number of Securities	December 31, 2011					
		Less Than 12 Months		12 Months Or Greater		Total	
		Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
(Dollars in thousands)							
Obligations of U.S. government agencies:							
Mortgage-backed securities - residential	1	\$—	\$ —	\$2,160	\$ (42 )	\$2,160	\$ (42 )
Mortgage-backed securities - commercial	1	—	—	20	—	20	—
Obligations of U.S. government-sponsored enterprises:							
Mortgage backed securities - residential	2	2,650	(16 )	—	—	2,650	(16 )
Debt securities	1	998	(1 )	—	—	998	(1 )
Private label mortgage-backed securities-residential	3	—	—	2,097	(209 )	2,097	(209 )
Corporate securities	1	2,927	(73 )	—	—	2,927	(73 )
Total	9	\$6,575	\$ (90 )	\$4,277	\$ (251 )	\$10,852	\$ (341 )

	Number of Securities	September 30, 2011					
		Less Than 12 Months		12 Months Or Greater		Total	
		Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
(Dollars in thousands)							
Obligations of U.S. government agencies:							
Mortgage-backed securities - residential	1	\$2,339	\$ (11 )	\$—	\$ —	\$2,339	\$ (11 )
Mortgage-backed securities - commercial	1	—	—	21	—	21	—
Obligations of U.S. government-sponsored enterprises:							
Mortgage backed securities - residential	4	6,925	(42 )	—	—	6,925	(42 )
Private label mortgage-backed securities residential	3	—	—	2,132	(217 )	2,132	(217 )
Corporate securities	1	2,914	(86 )	—	—	2,914	(86 )
Total	10	\$12,178	\$ (139 )	\$2,153	\$ (217 )	\$14,331	\$ (356 )

At December 31, 2011, there were nine investment securities with unrealized losses. The Company anticipates full recovery of amortized costs with respect to these securities. The Company does not intend to sell these securities and has determined that it is not more likely than not that the Company would be required to sell these securities prior to maturity or market price recovery. Management has considered factors regarding other than temporarily impaired securities and determined that there are no securities with impairment that is other than temporary as of December 31,

2011.

16

---

Table of Contents

## NOTE J – LOANS RECEIVABLE, NET AND RELATED ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

Loans receivable, net were comprised of the following:

	<b>December 31, 2011</b>	<b>September 30, 2011</b>
	(Dollars in thousands)	
One-to four-family residential	\$161,811	\$159,228
Commercial real estate	129,725	120,994
Construction	27,732	34,144
Home equity lines of credit	21,726	22,352
Commercial business	37,351	36,195
Other	11,690	11,945
Total loans receivable	390,035	384,858
Net deferred loan costs	164	208
Allowance for loan losses	(3,848 )	(3,812 )
Total loans receivable, net	\$386,351	\$381,254

The segments of the Bank's loan portfolio are disaggregated to a level that allows management to monitor risk and performance. The residential mortgage loan segment is further disaggregated into two classes: amortizing term loans, which are primarily first liens, and home equity lines of credit, which are generally second liens. The commercial real estate loan segment is further disaggregated into three classes. Commercial real estate loans include loans secured by multifamily structures, owner-occupied commercial structures, and non-owner occupied nonresidential properties. The construction loan segment consists primarily of loans to developers or investors for the purpose of acquiring, developing and constructing residential or commercial structures and to a lesser extent one-to-four family residential construction loans made to individuals for the acquisition of and/or construction on a lot or lots on which a residential dwelling is to be built. Construction loans to developers and investors have a higher risk profile because the ultimate buyer, once development is completed, is generally not known at the time of the loan. The commercial business loan segment consists of loans made for the purpose of financing the activities of commercial customers and consists primarily of revolving lines of credit. The consumer loan segment consists primarily of stock-secured installment loans, but also includes unsecured personal loans and overdraft lines of credit connected with customer deposit accounts.

Management evaluates individual loans in all segments for possible impairment if the loan either is in nonaccrual status, or is risk rated Substandard and is greater than 90 days past due. Loans are considered to be impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect the scheduled

payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in evaluating impairment include payment status, collateral value, and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Management determines the significance of payment delays and payment shortfalls on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration all of the circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower, including the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower's prior payment record, and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed.

Once the determination has been made that a loan is impaired, the recorded investment in the loan is compared to the fair value of the loan using one of three methods: (a) the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate; (b) the loan's observable market price; or (c) the fair value of the collateral securing the loan, less anticipated selling and disposition costs. The method is selected on a loan-by loan basis, with management primarily utilizing the fair value of collateral method. If there is a shortfall between the fair value of the loan and the recorded investment in the loan, the Company charges the difference to the allowance for loan loss as a charge-off and carries the impaired loan on its books at fair value. It is the Company's policy to evaluate impaired loans on an annual basis to ensure the recorded investment in a loan does not exceed its fair value.

Table of Contents

The following table presents impaired loans by class, segregated by those for which a specific allowance was required and charged-off and those for which a specific allowance was not necessary at the dates presented:

	Impaired Loans with		Impaired Loans with		Total Impaired Loans	Unpaid Principal Balance
	Specific Allowance	Related Allowance	No Specific Allowance	Recorded Investment		
	Recorded Investment	Recorded Investment	Recorded Investment	Recorded Investment	Recorded Investment	Recorded Investment
(Dollars in thousands)						
December 31, 2011						
One-to four-family residential	\$—	\$ —	\$ 3,848	\$3,848	\$3,903	\$3,903
Commercial real estate	1,679	413	4,877	6,556	7,560	7,560
Construction	—	—	11,503	11,503	14,200	14,200
Home equity lines of credit	—	—	707	707	788	788
Commercial business	—	—	236	236	323	323
Total impaired loans	\$1,679	\$ 413	\$ 21,171	\$22,850	\$26,774	\$26,774

	Impaired Loans with		Loans with		Total Impaired Loans	Unpaid Principal Balance
	Specific Allowance	Related Allowance	No Specific Allowance	Recorded Investment		
	Recorded Investment	Recorded Investment	Recorded Investment	Recorded Investment	Recorded Investment	Recorded Investment
(Dollars in thousands)						
September 30, 2011:						
One-to four-family residential	\$—	\$ —	\$ 3,523	\$3,523	\$3,532	\$3,532
Commercial real estate	1,679	239	5,477	7,156	8,160	8,160
Construction	3,263	77	12,294	15,557	18,831	18,831
Home equity lines of credit	—	—	788	788	833	833
Commercial business	—	—	255	255	342	342
Total impaired loans	\$4,942	\$ 316	\$ 22,337	\$27,279	\$31,698	\$31,698



The following table presents the average recorded investment in impaired loans for the periods indicated. There was no interest income recognized on impaired loans during the periods presented.

	<b>For the Three Months Ended December 31, 2011</b>
	(Dollars in thousands)
One-to four-family residential	\$ 3,686
Commercial real estate	6,856
Construction	13,530
Home equity lines of credit	748
Commercial business	246
Other	—
Average investment in impaired loans	\$ 25,065

Management uses a ten point internal risk rating system to monitor the credit quality of the overall loan portfolio. The first six categories are considered not criticized, and are aggregated as “Pass” rated. The criticized rating categories utilized by management generally follow bank regulatory definitions. The Special Mention category includes assets that are currently protected but are potentially weak, resulting in an undue and unwarranted credit risk, but not to the point of justifying a Substandard classification. Loans in the Substandard category have well-defined weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt, and have a distinct possibility that some loss will be sustained if the weaknesses are not corrected. All loans greater than three months past due are considered Substandard. Any portion of a loan that has been charged off is placed in the Loss category.

Table of Contents

To help ensure that risk ratings are accurate and reflect the present and future capacity of borrowers to repay a loan as agreed, the Bank has a structured loan rating process with several layers of internal and external oversight. Generally, consumer and residential mortgage loans are included in the Pass categories unless a specific action, such as severe delinquency, bankruptcy, repossession, or death occurs to raise awareness of a possible credit event. The Bank's Commercial Loan Officers are responsible for the timely and accurate risk rating of the loans in their portfolios at origination and on an ongoing basis. The Asset Review Committee performs monthly reviews of all commercial relationships internally rated 6 ("Watch") or worse. Confirmation of the appropriate risk grade is performed by an external Loan Review Company that semi-annually reviews and assesses loans within the portfolio. Generally, the external consultant reviews commercial relationships greater than \$500,000 and/or criticized relationships greater than \$250,000. Detailed reviews, including plans for resolution, are performed on loans classified as Substandard on a monthly basis.

The following table presents the classes of the loan portfolio summarized by the aggregate Pass and the criticized categories of Special Mention, Substandard and Doubtful within the Bank's internal risk rating system at the dates presented:

	<b>Pass</b>	<b>Special Mention</b>	<b>Substandard</b>	<b>Doubtful</b>	<b>Total</b>
	(Dollars in thousands)				
December 31, 2011					
One-to four-family residential	\$ 150,867	\$ 6,454	\$ 4,490	\$ —	\$ 161,811
Commercial real estate	115,013	5,249	9,463	—	129,725
Construction	11,942	4,287	11,503	—	27,732
Home equity lines of credit	19,548	833	1,345	—	21,726
Commercial business	31,789	4,361	1,201	—	37,351
Other	11,690	—	—	—	11,690
Total	\$ 340,849	\$ 21,184	\$ 28,002	\$ —	\$ 390,035

	<b>Pass</b>	<b>Special Mention</b>	<b>Substandard</b>	<b>Doubtful</b>	<b>Total</b>
	(Dollars in thousands)				
September 30, 2011					
One-to four-family residential	\$ 150,306	\$ 4,720	\$ 4,202	\$ —	\$ 159,228
Commercial real estate	104,993	5,868	10,133	—	120,994
Construction	12,378	6,209	15,557	—	34,144
Home equity lines of credit	20,092	833	1,427	—	22,352
Commercial business	29,927	5,039	1,229	—	36,195
Other	11,945	—	—	—	11,945
Total	\$ 329,641	\$ 22,669	\$ 32,548	\$ —	\$ 384,858



Table of Contents

Management further monitors the performance and credit quality of the loan portfolio by analyzing the age of the portfolio as determined by the length of time a recorded payment is past due. The following table presents the classes of the loan portfolio summarized by the aging categories of performing loans and nonaccrual loans at the dates presented:

		<b>30-59</b>	<b>60-89</b>	<b>90 Days +</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Non-</b>	<b>Total</b>
	<b>Current</b>	<b>Days</b>	<b>Days</b>	<b>Past Due</b>	<b>Past Due</b>	<b>Accrual</b>	<b>Loans</b>
	<b>(Dollars in thousands)</b>						
December 31, 2011							
One-to four-family residential	\$ 154,226	\$ —	\$ 2,564	\$ 5,021	\$ 7,585	\$ 4,537	\$ 161,811
Commercial real estate	120,360	1,062	497	7,806	9,365	7,580	129,725
Construction	16,234	—	—	11,498	11,498	11,498	27,732
Home equity lines of credit	21,220	—	129	377	506	377	21,726
Commercial business	36,021	654	440	236	1,330	236	37,351
Other	11,678	12	—	—	12	—	11,690
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 359,739</b>	<b>\$ 1,728</b>	<b>\$ 3,630</b>	<b>\$ 24,938</b>	<b>\$ 30,296</b>	<b>\$ 24,228</b>	<b>\$ 390,035</b>

		<b>30-59</b>	<b>60-89</b>	<b>90 Days +</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Non-</b>	<b>Total</b>
	<b>Current</b>	<b>Days</b>	<b>Days</b>	<b>Past Due</b>	<b>Past Due</b>	<b>Accrual</b>	<b>Loans</b>
	<b>(Dollars in thousands)</b>						
September 30, 2011							
One-to four-family residential	\$ 154,164	\$ 523	\$ 485	\$ 4,056	\$ 5,064	\$ 3,523	\$ 159,228
Commercial real estate	112,546	—	851	7,597	8,448	7,156	120,994
Construction	18,588	—	92	15,464	15,556	15,464	34,144
Home equity lines of credit	21,384	180	—	788	968	788	22,352
Commercial business	35,940	—	—	255	255	255	36,195
Other	11,945	—	—	—	—	—	11,945
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 354,567</b>	<b>\$ 703</b>	<b>\$ 1,428</b>	<b>\$ 28,160</b>	<b>\$ 30,291</b>	<b>\$ 27,186</b>	<b>\$ 384,858</b>

At December 31, 2011, nonaccrual loans totaled \$24,228,000 and loans ninety days or more delinquent and accruing interest totaled \$710,000. At September 30, 2011, nonaccrual loans totaled \$27,186,000 and loans ninety days or more delinquent and accruing interest totaled \$974,000.

An allowance for loan losses (“ALL”) is maintained to absorb losses from the loan portfolio. The ALL is based on management’s continuing evaluation of the risk characteristics and credit quality of the loan portfolio, assessment of current economic conditions, diversification and size of the portfolio, adequacy of collateral, past and anticipated loss

experience, and the amount of non-performing loans.

The Bank's methodology for determining the ALL is based on the requirements of ASC Section 310-10-35 for loans individually evaluated for impairment (discussed above) and ASC Subtopic 450-20 for loans collectively evaluated for impairment, as well as the Interagency Policy Statements on the Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses and other bank regulatory guidance.

Loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment are analyzed with general allowances being made as appropriate. For general allowances, historical loss trends are used in the estimation of losses in the current portfolio. These historical loss amounts are modified by other qualitative and economic factors.

The loans are segmented into classes based on their inherent varying degrees of risk, as described above. Management tracks the historical net charge-off activity by segment and utilizes this figure, as a percentage of the segment, as the general reserve percentage for pooled, homogenous loans that have not been deemed impaired. Typically, an average of losses incurred over a defined number of consecutive historical years is used. A 5 year history is currently utilized for all loan segments except for construction loans, where the highest single year loss percentage of the most recent five years is used in place of a 5 year average.

Non-impaired credits are segregated for the application of qualitative factors. Management has identified a number of additional qualitative factors which it uses to supplement the historical charge-off factor because these factors are likely to cause estimated credit losses associated with the existing loan pools to differ from historical loss experience. The additional factors that are evaluated quarterly and updated using information obtained from internal, regulatory, and governmental sources are: national and local economic trends and conditions; levels of and trends in delinquency rates and non-accrual loans; trends in volumes and terms of loans; effects of changes in lending policies; experience, ability, and depth of lending staff; value of underlying collateral; and concentrations of credit from a loan type, industry and/or geographic standpoint.

Table of Contents

Management reviews the loan portfolio on a quarterly basis using a defined, consistently applied process in order to make appropriate and timely adjustments to the ALL. When information confirms all or part of specific loans to be uncollectible, these amounts are promptly charged off against the ALL. Since loans individually evaluated for impairment are promptly written down to their fair value, typically there is no portion of the ALL for loans individually evaluated for impairment.

The following table summarizes the activity in the ALL for the three months ended December 31, 2011 and 2010:

	<b>One-to Four- Family Residential</b>	<b>Commercial Real Estate</b>	<b>Construction</b>	<b>Home Equity Lines of Credit</b>	<b>Commercial Business</b>	<b>Other</b>	<b>Unallocated</b>	<b>Total</b>
	(Dollars in thousands)							
ALL balance at September 30, 2011	\$ 734	\$ 1,266	\$ 1,043	\$ 101	\$ 551	\$ 13	\$ 104	\$ 3,812
Charge-offs	—	—	(184 )	(81 )	(69 )	—		(334 )
Recoveries	—	—	—	—	—	—		—
Provision	(148)	245	90	58	135	(7 )	(3 )	370
ALL balance at December 31, 2011	\$ 586	\$ 1,511	\$ 949	\$ 78	\$ 617	\$ 6	\$ 101	\$ 3,848

	<b>Three Months Ended December 31, 2010</b>
	(Dollars in thousands)
Balance at September 30, 2010	\$ 4,766
Loans charged-off	(837 )
Recoveries of loans previously charged-off	—
Provision charged to operating expenses	358
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$ 4,287



Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the primary segments of the ALL, segregated into the amount required for loans individually evaluated for impairment and the amount required for loans collectively evaluated for impairment as of December 31, 2011 and September 30, 2011:

	<b>One-to Four- Family Residential</b>	<b>Commercial Real Estate</b>	<b>Construction</b>	<b>Home Equity Lines of Credit</b>	<b>Commercial Business</b>	<b>Other</b>	<b>Unallocated</b>	<b>Total</b>
(Dollars in thousands)								
ALL Balance:								
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$—	\$ 413	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$ 413
Collectively evaluated for impairment	586	1,098	949	78	617	6	101	3,435
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$ 586	\$ 1,511	\$ 949	\$ 78	\$ 617	\$ 6	\$ 101	\$ 3,848
Loans receivable:								
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 3,848	\$ 6,556	\$ 11,503	\$ 707	\$ 236	\$—		\$ 22,850
Collectively evaluated for impairment	157,963	123,169	16,229	21,019	37,115	11,690		367,185
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$ 161,811	\$ 129,725	\$ 27,732	\$ 21,726	\$ 37,351	\$ 11,690		\$ 390,035
(Dollars in thousands)								
ALL Balance:								
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$—	\$ 239	\$ 77	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$ 316
Collectively evaluated for impairment	734	1,027	966	101	551	13	104	3,496
Balance at September 30, 2011	\$ 734	\$ 1,266	\$ 1,043	\$ 101	\$ 551	\$ 13	\$ 104	\$ 3,812
Loans receivable:								
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 3,523	\$ 7,156	\$ 15,557	\$ 788	\$ 255	\$—		\$ 27,279
Collectively evaluated for impairment	155,705	113,838	18,587	21,564	35,940	11,945		357,579



Edgar Filing: Magyar Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Balance at September 30, 2011	\$ 159,228	\$ 120,994	\$ 34,144	\$ 22,352	\$ 36,195	\$ 11,945	\$ 384,858
----------------------------------	------------	------------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	------------

The allowance for loan losses is based on estimates, and actual losses will vary from current estimates. Management believes that the segmentation of the loan portfolio into homogeneous pools and the related historical loss ratios and other qualitative factors, as well as the consistency in the application of assumptions, result in an ALL that is representative of the risk found in the components of the portfolio at any given date.

During the quarter ended December 31, 2011, the Bank adopted the FASB issue ASU No. 2011-02 on the determination of whether a loan restructuring is considered to be a Troubled Debt Restructuring (“TDR”). A TDR is a loan that has been modified whereby the Bank has agreed to make certain concessions to a borrower to meet the needs of both the borrower and the Bank to maximize the ultimate recovery of a loan. TDR occurs when a borrower is experiencing, or is expected to experience, financial difficulties and the loan is modified using a modification that would otherwise not be granted to the borrower. The types of concessions granted are generally included, but not limited to interest rate reductions, limitations on the accrued interest charged, term extensions, and deferment of principal.

Table of Contents

There were two TDRs during the three months ended December 31, 2011. These were classified as TDRs due to financial difficulty of the borrowers and lower than market interest rates. The following table summarizes the TDRs during the three month periods ended December 31, 2011:

	Three Months Ended December 31, 2011		
		Investment Before	Investment After
	Number of Loans	TDR Modifications	TDR Modifications
	(Dollars in thousands)		
One-to four-family residential	1	\$ 1,749	\$ 1,749
Commercial real estate	1	249	249
Total	\$2	\$ 1,998	\$ 1,998

A default on a troubled debt restructured loan for purposes of disclosure occurs when a borrower is 90 days past due or a foreclosure or repossession of the applicable collateral has occurred. During the quarter ended December 31, 2011, no defaults occurred on troubled debt restructured loans that were modified as a TDR within the previous 12 months.

## NOTE K - DEPOSITS

A summary of deposits by type of account are summarized as follows:

	2011	
	December 31	September 30
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Demand accounts	\$49,399	\$ 51,220
Savings accounts	59,947	60,533
NOW accounts	40,766	30,941
Money market accounts	106,475	106,689

Certificates of deposit	141,262	144,739
Retirement certificates	29,128	30,821
	\$426,977	\$424,943

## NOTE L – INCOME TAXES

The Company records income taxes using the asset and liability method. Accordingly, deferred tax assets and liabilities: (i) are recognized for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in the financial statements or tax returns; (ii) are attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases; and (iii) are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply in the years when those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled.

Where applicable, deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance for any portions determined not likely to be realized. The valuation allowance is assessed by management on a quarterly basis and adjusted, by a charge or credit to income tax expense, as changes in facts and circumstances warrant. In assessing whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized, management considers projections of future taxable income, the projected periods in which current temporary differences will be deductible, the availability of carry forwards, feasible and permissible tax planning strategies and existing tax laws and regulations. Due to the uncertainty of the Company's ability to realize the benefit of certain deferred tax assets within statutory time limits, the net deferred tax assets are partially offset by a valuation allowance at December 31, 2011, the amount of which has not materially changed from that in place at September 30, 2011.

A reconciliation of income tax between the amounts calculated based upon pre-tax income at the Company's federal statutory rate and the amounts reflected in the consolidated statements of operations are as follows:

Table of Contents

	<b>For the Three Months Ended December 31, 2011 2010</b>	
	(in thousands)	
Income tax expense at 34% statutory federal tax rate	\$13	\$55
State tax expense	8	5
Other	(14)	(24)
Income tax expense	\$7	\$36

**NOTE M - FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS WITH OFF-BALANCE SHEET RISK**

The Company uses derivative financial instruments, such as interest rate floors and collars, as part of its interest rate risk management. Interest rate caps and floors are agreements whereby one party agrees to pay or receive a floating rate of interest on a notional principal amount for a predetermined period of time if certain market interest rate thresholds are met. The Company considers the credit risk inherent in these contracts to be negligible.

As of December 31, 2011 and September 30, 2011, the Company did not hold any interest rate floors or collars.

The Bank is a party to financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments are commitments to extend credit. Those instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amounts recognized in the balance sheets.

	<b>2011</b>	
	<b>December</b>	<b>September</b>
	<b>31</b>	<b>30</b>
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Financial instruments whose contract amounts represent credit risk		
Letters of credit	\$1,508	\$1,508
Unused lines of credit	35,145	37,502
Fixed rate loan commitments	3,228	2,368

Variable rate loan commitments	7,271	5,569
	\$47,152	\$ 46,947

Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

**Forward-Looking Statements**

When used in this filing and in future filings by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission, in the Company’s press releases or other public or shareholder communications, or in oral statements made with the approval of an authorized executive officer, the words or phrases, “anticipate,” “would be,” “will allow,” “intends to,” “will likely result,” “are expected to,” “will continue,” “is anticipated,” “estimated,” “projected,” “believes”, or similar expressions are intended to identify “forward looking statements.” Forward-looking statements are subject to numerous risks and uncertainties, including, but not limited to, those risks previously disclosed in the Company’s filings with the SEC, general economic conditions, changes in interest rates, regulatory considerations, competition, technological developments, retention and recruitment of qualified personnel, and market acceptance of the Company’s pricing, products and services, and with respect to the loans extended by the Bank and real estate owned, the following: risks related to the economic environment in the market areas in which the Bank operates, particularly with respect to the real estate market in New Jersey; the risk that the value of the real estate securing these loans may decline in value; and the risk that significant expense may be incurred by the Company in connection with the resolution of these loans.

## Table of Contents

The Company wishes to caution readers not to place undue reliance on any such forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date made, and advises readers that various factors, including regional and national economic conditions, substantial changes in levels of market interest rates, credit and other risks of lending and investing activities, and competitive and regulatory factors, could affect the Company's financial performance and could cause the Company's actual results for future periods to differ materially from those anticipated or projected.

The Company does not undertake, and specifically disclaims any obligation, to update any forward-looking statements to reflect occurrences or unanticipated events or circumstances after the date of such statements.

## **Critical Accounting Policies**

Critical accounting policies are defined as those that are reflective of significant judgments and uncertainties, and could potentially result in materially different results under different assumptions and conditions. Critical accounting policies may involve complex subjective decisions or assessments. We consider the following to be our critical accounting policies.

***Allowance for Loan Losses.*** The allowance for loan losses is the amount estimated by management as necessary to cover credit losses in the loan portfolio both probable and reasonably estimable at the balance sheet date. The allowance is established through the provision for loan losses which is charged against income. In determining the allowance for loan losses, management makes significant estimates and has identified this policy as one of our most critical. Due to the high degree of judgment involved, the subjectivity of the assumptions utilized and the potential for changes in the economic environment that could result in changes to the amount of the recorded allowance for loan losses, the methodology for determining the allowance for loan losses is considered a critical accounting policy by management.

As a substantial amount of our loan portfolio is collateralized by real estate, appraisals of the underlying value of property securing loans and discounted cash flow valuations of properties are critical in determining the amount of the allowance required for specific loans. Assumptions for appraisals and discounted cash flow valuations are instrumental in determining the value of properties. Overly optimistic assumptions or negative changes to assumptions could significantly affect the valuation of a property securing a loan and the related allowance determined. The assumptions supporting such appraisals and discounted cash flow valuations are carefully reviewed by management to determine that the resulting values reasonably reflect amounts realizable on the related loans.

Management performs a quarterly evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses. We consider a variety of factors in establishing this estimate including, but not limited to, current economic conditions, delinquency statistics, geographic and industry concentrations, the adequacy of the underlying collateral, the financial strength of the borrower, results of internal loan reviews and other relevant factors. This evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires material estimates by management that may be susceptible to significant change based on changes in economic and real estate market conditions.

The evaluation has a specific and general component. The specific component relates to loans that are delinquent or otherwise identified as impaired through the application of our loan review process and our loan grading system. All such loans are evaluated individually, with principal consideration given to the value of the collateral securing the loan and discounted cash flows. Specific impairment allowances are established as required by this analysis. The general component is determined by segregating the remaining loans by type of loan, risk weighting (if applicable) and payment history. We also analyze historical loss experience, delinquency trends, general economic conditions and geographic and industry concentrations. This analysis establishes factors that are applied to the loan groups to determine the amount of the general component of the allowance for loan losses.

Actual loan losses may be significantly greater than the allowances we have established, which could have a material negative effect on our financial results.

***Other Real Estate Owned.*** Real estate acquired through foreclosure, or a deed-in-lieu of foreclosure, is recorded at fair value less estimated selling costs at the date of acquisition or transfer, and subsequently at the lower of its new cost or fair value less estimated selling costs. Adjustments to the carrying value at the date of acquisition or transfer are charged to the allowance for loan losses. The carrying value of the individual properties is subsequently adjusted to the extent it exceeds estimated fair value less estimated selling costs, at which time a provision for losses on such real estate is charged to operations.

Table of Contents

Appraisals are critical in determining the fair value of the other real estate owned amount. Assumptions for appraisals are instrumental in determining the value of properties. Overly optimistic assumptions or negative changes to assumptions could significantly affect the valuation of a property. The assumptions supporting such appraisals are carefully reviewed by management to determine that the resulting values reasonably reflect amounts realizable.

**Deferred Income Taxes.** The Company records income taxes using the asset and liability method. Accordingly, deferred tax assets and liabilities: (i) are recognized for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in the financial statements or tax returns; (ii) are attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases; and (iii) are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply in the years when those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled.

Where applicable, deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance for any portions determined not likely to be realized. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income tax expense in the period of enactment. The valuation allowance is adjusted, by a charge or credit to income tax expense, as changes in facts and circumstances warrant.

**Comparison of Financial Condition at December 31, 2011 and September 30, 2011**

Total assets increased \$2.3 million, or 0.4%, to \$526.3 million at December 31, 2011 from \$524.0 million at September 30, 2011. The quarterly increase was attributable to higher net loans receivable, which increased \$5.1 million, and investment securities, which increased \$4.1 million, partially offset by decreases in cash and cash equivalents of \$5.2 million and other real estate owned of \$1.9 million.

Total loans receivable increased \$5.2 million during the three months ended December 31, 2011 to \$390.0 million and were comprised of \$161.8 million (41.4%) in 1-4 family residential mortgage loans, \$129.7 million (33.3%) in commercial real estate loans, \$37.4 million (9.6%) in commercial business loans, \$33.4 million (8.6%) in home equity lines of credit and other loans, and \$27.7 million (7.1%) in construction loans. Expansion of the portfolio during the quarter ended December 31, 2011 occurred primarily in commercial real estate, which increased \$8.7 million, followed by one-to-four family residential mortgage loans, which increased \$2.6 million, and commercial business loans, which increased \$1.2 million. Offsetting the increases were decreases in construction loans, home equity, and other loans, which decreased \$6.4 million, \$626,000 and \$255,000, respectively. The Company ceased originating new non-owner occupied construction loans in October 2008 and intends to continue decreasing construction loans as a percentage of total loans.



Total non-performing loans decreased by \$3.2 million, or 11.4%, to \$24.9 million at December 31, 2011 from \$28.2 million at September 30, 2011. The ratio of non-performing loans to total loans was 6.4% at December 31, 2011 compared to 7.3% at September 30, 2011.

Included in the non-performing loan totals were eleven construction loans totaling \$11.5 million, twelve commercial loans totaling \$8.0 million, and seventeen residential real estate loans totaling \$5.4 million. The Company has not and does not intend to originate or purchase sub-prime loans or option-ARM loans.

The decrease in non-performing loans during the quarter was due to one \$3.2 million loan paying off, two loans totaling \$411,000 that were brought current, and four loans totaling \$1.4 million that were transferred to OREO. Non-performing loans at December 31, 2011 included four residential mortgage loans and two commercial real estate loans totaling \$1.8 million that were performing at September 30, 2011.

Adverse economic conditions have led to high levels of non-performing loans, particularly in the Company's construction loan portfolio. The repayment of construction loans is typically dependent upon the sale of the collateral securing the loan, which has been negatively impacted by rapid deterioration in the housing market and decreased buyer demand. As a result, construction projects have slowed and reached their maturity dates. In order for the Company to extend the loans beyond the original maturity date, the value of the collateral securing the loan must be assessed, which is typically done by obtaining an updated third-party appraisal. Given the deterioration in the economy and, specifically, the housing market, updated valuations of the collateral reflect depreciation from earlier assessments. To the extent that an updated valuation of the collateral is insufficient to cover a collateral-dependent loan, the Company reduces the balance of the loan via a charge to the allowance for loan loss.

Table of Contents

At December 31, 2011, non-performing construction loans consisted of four loans totaling \$4.0 million for the development of single family homes with collateral ranging from partially completed land to completed leased homes, six loans totaling \$4.1 million secured by land and other real estate, and one loan totaling \$3.4 million secured by incomplete condominium units. These loans were used for land acquisition and construction in various locations in the States of New Jersey and Pennsylvania. Magyar Bank is determining the proper course of action to collect the principal outstanding on these loans. Year-to-date, the Bank has charged off \$184,000 in construction loan balances through a reduction of its allowance for loan loss.

Construction loans may contain interest reserves on which the interest is capitalized to the loan. At December 31, 2011, there was one performing construction loan with an interest reserve representing an outstanding balance of \$292,000, original interest reserves of \$95,000, advanced interest reserves of \$3,000, and a remaining interest reserve balance of \$92,000. At September 30, 2011, there was one performing construction loan with an interest reserve representing outstanding balance of \$290,000, an original interest reserve of \$95,000, an advanced interest reserve of \$1,000, and remaining interest reserve balance of \$94,000.

Underwriting for construction loans with and without interest reserves has followed a uniform process. Construction loan progress is monitored on a monthly basis by management as well as by the Board of Directors. Each time an advance is requested, an inspection is made of the project by an outside engineer or appraiser, depending on the size and complexity of the project, to determine the amount of work completed and if the costs to date are supported adequately. The Bank's construction loan operations personnel compare the advance request with the original budget and remaining loan funds available to ensure the project is in balance and that at all times the amount remaining on the loan is sufficient to complete the project.

A number of the Bank's construction loans have been extended due to slower sales as a result of economic conditions. In cases where updated appraisals reflect collateral values insufficient to cover the loan, additional collateral and/or a principal reduction is required to extend the loan. Some of the Bank's loans that originally had interest reserves are non-performing. The Bank does not have any currently non-performing loans with active interest reserves. Once a loan is deemed impaired, any interest reserve is frozen and the loan is placed on non-accrual so that no future interest income is recorded on these loans. The Bank ceased originating new non-owner occupied construction loans in October 2008.

Non-performing loans secured by one-to four-family residential properties including home equity lines of credit increased \$554,000 to \$5.4 million at December 31, 2011 from \$4.8 million at September 30, 2011. The loans consisted of two commercial-purpose loans totaling \$2.1 million and fifteen consumer loans totaling \$3.3 million. Included in the totals were three loans totaling \$484,000 that were ninety days delinquent and still accruing interest at December 31, 2011. The Company has not and does not intend to originate or purchase sub-prime loans or

option-ARM loans. Fiscal year-to-date, the Bank has charged off \$81,000 in residential loans through a reduction of its allowance for loan loss.

Non-performing commercial real estate loans increased \$209,000 to \$7.8 million at December 31, 2011 from \$7.6 million at September 30, 2011. Included in the totals was one loan totaling \$226,000 that was ninety days delinquent and still accruing interest at December 31, 2011. The nine non-accrual loans were in various stages of foreclosure and collection at December 31, 2011. Fiscal year-to-date, there have been no charge-offs to commercial real estate loans.

Non-performing commercial business loans decreased \$19,000 to \$236,000 at December 31, 2011 from \$255,000 at September 30, 2011. The Bank is in the process of collecting the principal outstanding on the two non-accrual loans which will include foreclosure proceedings for those loans secured by real estate. Fiscal year-to-date, the Bank has charged off \$69,000 in non-performing commercial business loans through a reduction of its allowance for loan loss.

The allowance for loan loss increased by \$36,000 during the three months ended December 31, 2011 to \$3,848,000. The increase during the quarter was primarily attributable to growth in the loan portfolio.

The allowance for loan loss does not typically include a specific reserve for non-performing loans as all such loans are reported at the lower of amortized cost or fair value, based upon updated independent appraisals of collateral or the discounted value of expected loan repayments. Valuations of such loans are performed at least annually with charge-offs recorded when appraised values, net of estimated selling and disposition costs, are less than the loan balances. Specific reserves may be used on occasions where an updated valuation is unavailable. At December 31, 2011, the Bank held a specific reserve totaling \$413,000 for a \$1.7 million non-performing commercial real estate participation loan, for which the Bank was not the lead lender.

Table of Contents

The allowance for loan losses as a percentage of non-performing loans increased to 15.4% at December 31, 2011 from 13.5% at September 30, 2011. At December 31, 2011 and September 30, 2011, our allowance for loan losses as a percentage of total loans was 0.99%. Future increases in the allowance for loan losses may be necessary based on possible future increases in non-performing loans and charge-offs, possible additional deterioration of collateral values, and the possible continuation or deterioration of the current economic environment.

At December 31, 2011, investment securities totaled \$74.4 million, reflecting an increase of \$4.1 million, or 5.9%, from September 30, 2011. The increase was funded by cash and cash equivalents as well as deposit inflows during the quarter. Investment securities at December 31, 2011 consisted of \$56.9 million in mortgage-backed securities issued by U.S. government agencies and U.S. government-sponsored enterprises, \$11.5 million in U.S. government-sponsored enterprise debt securities, \$3.0 million in corporate notes, \$2.9 million in “private-label” mortgage-backed securities, and \$72,000 in state municipal bonds. There were no other-than-temporary-impairment charges for the Company’s investment securities for the three months ended December 31, 2011.

Other real estate owned decreased \$1.9 million to \$14.7 million during the quarter ended December 31, 2011. The decrease was due to the sale of two properties totaling \$3.2 million for a loss of \$32,000. Offsetting the decrease from sales was the addition of five properties resulting from the foreclosure of the real estate previously securing \$1.4 million in non-performing loans. Other real estate owned at December 31, 2011 consisted of six residential properties, one commercial real estate property, three substantially completed condominium projects, and twenty-one real estate lots approved for residential housing. The Bank is determining the proper course of action for its other real estate owned, which may include holding the properties until the real estate market improves, selling the properties to a developer and completing partially completed homes for either rental or sale.

Total deposits increased \$2.0 million, or 0.5%, to \$427.0 million during the three months ended December 31, 2011. The inflow in deposits occurred in interest-bearing checking accounts which increased \$9.8 million, or 31.8%, to \$40.8 million. Partially offsetting the increase were decreases in certificates of deposit (including individual retirement accounts), which decreased \$5.2 million, or 2.9%, to \$170.4 million, non-interest checking accounts, which decreased \$1.8 million, or 3.5%, to \$49.4 million, savings accounts, which decreased \$586,000, or 1.0%, to \$59.9 million, and money market accounts, which decreased \$255,000, or 0.2%, to \$106.4 million. The Company’s ability to increase its net interest margin during the three months ended December 31, 2011 was largely a result of the continued replacement of higher-rate certificates of deposit with lower-cost transactional accounts.

Included in total deposits at December 31, 2011 were \$556,000 in Certificate of Deposit Account Registry Service (CDARS) Reciprocal certificates of deposit and \$8.1 million in brokered certificates of deposit. At September 30, 2011, the Company held \$1.8 million in CDARS Reciprocal certificates of deposit and \$10.0 million in brokered certificates of deposit.

Federal Home Loan Bank of New York advances and securities sold under agreements to repurchase were unchanged at \$34.9 million and \$15.0 million, respectively.

Stockholders' equity increased \$97,000, or 0.2%, to \$44.6 million at December 31, 2011 from \$44.5 million at September 30, 2011. The increase was due to the Company's results from operations, partially offset by changes in the Company's accumulated other comprehensive loss during the three month period.

During the three months ended December 31, 2011, the Company repurchased 8,100 shares of its common stock at an average price of \$2.59. Through December 31, 2011, the Company had repurchased 75,070 shares at an average price of \$8.66 pursuant to the second stock repurchase plan, which has reduced outstanding shares to 5,793,531.

The Company's book value per share increased to \$7.70 at December 31, 2011 from \$7.67 at September 30, 2011. The increase was the result of the Company's results from operations and shares repurchased.

#### **Average Balance Sheets for the Three Months Ended December 31, 2011 and 2010**

The table on the following page presents certain information regarding the Company's financial condition and net interest income for the three months ended December 31, 2011 and 2010. The table presents the annualized average yield on interest-earning assets and the annualized average cost of interest-bearing liabilities. We derived the yields and costs by dividing annualized income or expense by the average balance of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, respectively, for the periods shown. We derived average balances from daily balances over the periods indicated. Interest income includes fees that we consider adjustments to yields.

Table of Contents

	For the Three Months Ended December 31, 2011				2010			
	Average Balance	Interest Income/ Expense	Yield/Cost (Annualized)		Average Balance	Interest Income/ Expense	Yield/Cost (Annualized)	
(Dollars In Thousands)								
Interest-earning assets:								
Interest-earning deposits	\$15,996	\$12	0.29 %		\$19,281	\$11	0.23 %	
Loans receivable, net	382,126	4,706	4.89 %		398,044	5,148	5.13 %	
Securities								
Taxable	72,582	529	2.89 %		63,871	491	3.05 %	
Tax-exempt <sup>(1)</sup>	72	2	9.09 %		97	2	9.09 %	
FHLB of NY stock	2,299	24	4.12 %		2,752	47	6.76 %	
Total interest-earning assets	473,075	5,273	4.42 %		484,045	5,699	4.67 %	
Noninterest-earning assets	54,297				53,414			
Total assets	\$527,372				\$537,459			
Interest-bearing liabilities:								
Savings accounts <sup>(2)</sup>	\$59,882	74	0.49 %		\$62,880	110	0.69 %	
NOW accounts <sup>(3)</sup>	142,172	161	0.45 %		140,526	340	0.96 %	
Time deposits <sup>(4)</sup>	173,698	867	1.98 %		185,139	966	2.07 %	
Total interest-bearing deposits	375,752	1,102	1.16 %		388,545	1,416	1.45 %	
Borrowings	49,916	504	4.01 %		60,273	610	4.02 %	
Total interest-bearing liabilities	425,668	1,606	1.50 %		448,818	2,026	1.79 %	
Noninterest-bearing liabilities	57,188				44,294			
Total liabilities	482,856				493,112			
Retained earnings	44,516				44,347			
Total liabilities and retained earnings	\$527,372				\$537,459			
Tax-equivalent basis adjustment		(1 )				(1 )		
Net interest income		\$3,666				\$3,672		
Interest rate spread			2.92 %				2.88 %	
Net interest-earning assets	\$47,407				\$35,227			
Net interest margin <sup>(5)</sup>			3.07 %				3.01 %	
Average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities	111.14 %				107.85 %			

(1) Calculated using 34% tax rate.

(2) Includes passbook savings, money market passbook and club accounts.

(3) Includes interest-bearing checking and money market accounts.

(4) Includes certificates of deposits and individual retirement accounts.

(5) Calculated as annualized net interest income divided by average total interest-earning assets.

Table of Contents

**Comparison of Operating Results for the Three Months Ended December 31, 2011 and 2010**

**Net Income.** Net income decreased \$96,000 during the three-month period ended December 31, 2011 compared with the three-month period ended December 31, 2010 due to lower non-interest income, which decreased \$220,000 to \$547,000 during the three months ended December 31, 2011 from \$767,000 for the three months ended December 31, 2010, partially offset by a \$113,000 decline in non-interest expenses.

**Net Interest and Dividend Income.** Net interest and dividend income was unchanged at \$3.7 million for the quarters ended December 31, 2011 and 2010. Total interest and dividend income decreased \$426,000 to \$5.3 million for the three month period ended December 31, 2011 while total interest expense decreased \$420,000 to \$1.6 million from the same three month period one year earlier. For the period our interest rate margin increased 6 basis points to 3.07% from 3.01%. The Company was able to maintain its level of net interest income despite a 141 basis point reduction in long-term interest rates, as measured using the 10-year U.S. Treasury, to 1.89% at December 31, 2011 from 3.30% at December 31, 2010.

**Interest and Dividend Income.** Interest and dividend income decreased \$426,000, or 7.5%, to \$5.3 million for the three months ended December 31, 2011 from \$5.7 million for the three months ended December 31, 2010. The decrease was attributable to lower average interest-earning assets, which declined \$11.0 million, or 2.3%, as well as a decrease in the yield on such assets of 25 basis points to 4.42% for the quarter ended December 31, 2011 compared with the prior year period.

Interest earned on loans decreased \$442,000, or 8.6%, to \$4.7 million for the three months ended December 31, 2011 compared with the prior year period due to a \$15.9 million decrease in the average balance of loans between the periods and a 24 basis point decrease in the average yield on such loans to 4.89% from 5.13%. The decrease in yield between the two periods was due primarily to the lower market interest rate environment.

Interest earned on our investment securities, excluding Federal Home Loan Bank of New York stock, increased \$39,000, or 7.8%, due to an \$8.7 million, or 13.6%, increase in the average balance of such securities to \$72.7 million for the three months ended December 31, 2011 from \$64.0 million for the three months ended December 31, 2010. The increase more than offset a 16 basis point decrease in the average yield on such securities to 2.90% for the three months ended December 31, 2011 from 3.06% for the three months ended December 31, 2010. The decrease in yield on investment securities was due to lower market interest rates than in the prior year period.



**Interest Expense.** Interest expense decreased \$420,000, or 20.7%, to \$1.6 million for the three months ended December 31, 2011 from \$2.0 million for the three months ended December 31, 2010. The average balance of interest-bearing liabilities decreased \$23.2 million, or 5.2%, between the two periods, while the cost on such liabilities fell 29 basis points to 1.50% for the quarter ended December 31, 2011 compared with the prior year period.

The average balance of interest bearing deposits decreased \$12.7 million to \$375.8 million from \$388.5 million while the average cost of such deposits decreased 29 basis points to 1.16% from 1.45% in the lower market interest rate environment. As a result, interest paid on deposits decreased \$314,000 to \$1.1 million for the three months ended December 31, 2011 from \$1.4 million for the three months ended December 31, 2010.

Interest paid on advances and securities sold under agreements to repurchase decreased to \$504,000 for the three months ended December 31, 2011 from \$610,000 for the prior year period due to a decrease in the average balance of such borrowings to \$49.9 million from \$60.3 million. In addition, the average cost of advances and securities sold under agreements to repurchase decreased 1 basis point to 4.01% for the three months ended December 31, 2011 from 4.02% for the prior year period, reflecting the repayment of higher interest-bearing advances between periods.

**Provision for Loan Losses.** We establish provisions for loan losses, which are charged to earnings, at a level necessary to absorb known and inherent losses that are both probable and reasonably estimable at the date of the financial statements. In evaluating the level of the allowance for loan losses, management considers historical loss experience, the types of loans and the amount of loans in the loan portfolio, adverse situations that may affect the borrower's ability to repay, the estimated value of any underlying collateral, peer group information and prevailing economic conditions. This evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires estimates that are susceptible to significant revision as more information becomes available or as future events occur. After an evaluation of these factors, management recorded a provision of \$370,000 for the three months ended December 31, 2011 compared to a provision of \$358,000 for the prior year period.

Table of Contents

Net charge-offs were \$334,000 for the three months ended December 31, 2011 compared with \$837,000 for the three months ended December 31, 2010. The level of loan charge-offs decreased from the prior year as a result of lower depreciation of real estate collateral securing non-performing residential and construction loans than in the prior year period. During the three months ended December 31, 2011, the Bank reduced the carrying balance on two construction loans, one commercial real estate loan, and one residential mortgage loan totaling \$1.6 million by \$214,000 to the appraised fair value of collateral, net of estimated disposition costs, securing the loans. The Bank also accepted a short-sale payoff of a \$3.2 million construction loan that resulted in a charge-off of \$120,000.

Determining the amount of the allowance for loan losses necessarily involves a high degree of judgment. Management reviews the level of the allowance on a quarterly basis, and establishes the provision for loan losses based on the factors set forth in the preceding paragraph. As management evaluates the allowance for loan losses, the increased risk associated with larger non-homogenous construction, commercial real estate and commercial business loans may result in larger additions to the allowance for loan losses in future periods.

**Other Income.** Non-interest income decreased \$220,000, or 28.7%, to \$547,000 during the three months ended December 31, 2011 compared to \$767,000 for the three months ended December 31, 2010.

The decrease in non-interest income was primarily attributable to lower net gains on the sales of assets, which were \$181,000 for the three months ended December 31, 2011 compared with \$314,000 for the three months ended December 31, 2010. In addition, service charge fee income decreased \$74,000 due to lower loan prepayment penalties received during the current year period.

**Other Expenses.** Non-interest expenses decreased \$113,000 to \$3.8 million from \$3.9 million for the three months ended December 31, 2010.

The decrease was primarily the result of lower FDIC insurance premiums, which decreased by \$173,000 to \$176,000 for the three months ended December 31, 2011 from \$349,000 for the prior year period. Partially offsetting the decrease were increases of \$103,000 in Other Real Estate Owned (“OREO”) expenses and \$63,000 in occupancy expenses related to the opening of the Bank’s Edison branch office in July 2011.

Despite the addition of the Bank's Edison office, which opened in July 2011, and annual merit increases for staff, compensation and benefit expenses decreased \$15,000, or 0.8%, between the two periods. The decrease was attributable to increased efficiencies within the Bank that enabled it to operate with fewer employees.

**Income Tax Expense.** The Company recorded tax expense of \$7,000 for the three months ended December 31, 2011, compared to a tax expense of \$36,000 for the three months ended December 31, 2010. The effective tax rates for the periods were 18.4% and 22.1%, respectively.

## LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

### Liquidity

The Company's liquidity is a measure of its ability to fund loans, pay withdrawals of deposits, and other cash outflows in an efficient, cost-effective manner. The Company's short-term sources of liquidity include maturity, repayment and sales of assets, excess cash and cash equivalents, new deposits, other borrowings, and new advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank. There has been no material adverse change during the three months ended December 31, 2011 in the ability of the Company and its subsidiaries to fund their operations.

At December 31, 2011, the Company had commitments outstanding under letters of credit of \$1.5 million, commitments to originate loans of \$10.5 million, and commitments to fund undisbursed balances of closed loans and unused lines of credit of \$35.1 million. There has been no material change during the three months ended December 31, 2011 in any of the Company's other contractual obligations or commitments to make future payments.

Table of Contents

**Capital Requirements**

On April 22, 2010, Magyar Bank entered into agreements with the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (“FDIC”), its principal federal banking regulator, and the New Jersey Department of Banking and Insurance (the “Department”), which require the Bank to take certain measures to improve its safety and soundness. In connection with these agreements, the Bank stipulated to the issuance by the FDIC and the Department of consent orders against the Bank (the “Consent Orders”) relating to certain findings from a recent examination of the Bank. The Consent Orders were filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on Form 8-K as Exhibits 10.1 and 10.2 on April 23, 2010.

Among the corrective actions required were for the Bank to develop, within 30 days of the April 22, 2010 effective date of the Consent Orders, a written capital plan that details the manner in which the Bank will achieve a Tier 1 capital as a percentage of the Bank’s total assets of at least 8%, and total qualifying capital as a percentage of risk-weighted assets of at least 12%. The Bank developed and filed a capital plan on a timely basis with the FDIC and the Department and the plan remains under review by those regulatory authorities.

At December 31, 2011, the Bank’s Tier 1 capital as a percentage of the Bank’s total assets was 8.04%, and total qualifying capital as a percentage of risk-weighted assets was 13.16%.

Item 3- Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Not applicable to smaller reporting companies.

Item 4 – Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that

information required to be disclosed in the reports that Magyar Bancorp, Inc. files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms.

There has been no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during its three months ended December 31, 2011 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

## PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal proceedings

On December 14, 2011, Elizabeth E. Hance, the former President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company and the Bank, filed a lawsuit against the Company and its directors in the Superior Court of New Jersey, Middlesex County. The lawsuit alleges, among other things, breach of contract and employment discrimination in connection with Ms. Hance's December 2009 separation from employment and seeks severance that she claims she was entitled to, as well as other compensatory and punitive damages. The Company believes that the failure to pay Ms. Hance severance was the result of applicable regulatory prohibitions, and intends to defend the suit vigorously.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Not applicable to smaller reporting companies.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

a.) Not applicable.

b.) Not applicable.

c.) The Company repurchased 8,100 shares during the three months ended December 31, 2011. The following table presents a summary of the Company's shares repurchased during the quarter ended December 31, 2011:

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid Per Share	Remaining Number
			of Shares That May be Purchased Under the Plan (1)
October 1 - October 31, 2011	—	\$ —	62,954
November 1 - November 30, 2011	—	\$ —	62,954

Edgar Filing: Magyar Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

December 1 - December 31, 2011	8,100	\$ 2.59	54,854
	8,100	\$ 2.59	

The Company completed its first stock repurchase program of 130,927 shares in November 2007. The Company<sup>(1)</sup> announced a second repurchase program of 129,924 shares in November 2007, under which 75,070 shares had been repurchased as of December 31, 2011 at an average price of \$8.66.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None

Item 4. [Removed and Reserved]

Item 5. Other Information

a.) Not applicable.

b.) None.

33

---

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibits

31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)

31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)

32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

101 Interactive data file containing the following financial statements formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2011 and September 30, 2010; (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months ended December 31, 2011 and 2010; (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the three months ended December 31, 2011; (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended December 31, 2011; and (v) the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, tagged as blocks of text. As provided in Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, this interactive data file shall not be deemed to be "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and shall not be deemed "filed" or part of any registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Section 11 or 12 under the Securities Act of 1933, or otherwise subject to liability under those sections.

34

---



Table of Contents

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

MAGYAR BANCORP, INC.  
(Registrant)

Date: February 13, 2012 /s/ John S. Fitzgerald  
John S. Fitzgerald  
President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: February 13, 2012 /s/ Jon R. Ansari  
Jon R. Ansari  
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer