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## FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

When we use words or phrases like "will probably result," "we expect," "will continue," "we anticipate," "estimate," "project," "should cause," or similar expressions in this report or in any press releases, public announcements, filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), or other disclosures, we are making "forward-looking statements" as described in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. In addition, certain information we provide, such as analysis of the adequacy of our allowance for loan losses or an analysis of the interest rate sensitivity of our assets and liabilities, is always based on predictions of the future. From time to time, we may also publish other forward-looking statements about anticipated financial performance, business prospects, and similar matters.

The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 provides a safe harbor for forward-looking statements. We want you to know that a variety of future events and uncertainties could cause our actual results and experience to differ materially from what we anticipate when we make our forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause future results to vary from current management expectations include, but are not limited to, general economic conditions, legislative and regulatory changes, monetary and fiscal policies of the federal government, changes in tax policies, tax rates and regulations of federal, state and local tax authorities, changes in consumer preferences, changes in interest rates, deposit flows, cost of funds, demand for loan products, demand for financial services, competition, changes in the quality or composition of the Company's loan and investment portfolios, changes in accounting principles, policies or guidelines, and other economic, competitive, governmental, and technological factors affecting the Company's operations, markets, products, services and fees.

Please do not rely unduly on any forward-looking statements, which are valid only as of the date made. Many factors, including those described above, could affect our financial performance and could cause our actual results or circumstances for future periods to differ materially from what we anticipate or project. We have no obligation to update any forward-looking statements to reflect future events which occur after the statements are made, and we specifically disclaim such obligation.
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PART I
------

ITEM 1: BUSINESS

## A. General

The Wilber Corporation (the "Company"), a New York corporation, was originally incorporated in 1928. The Company held and disposed of various real estate assets until 1974. In 1974, the Company and its real estate assets were sold to Wilber National Bank (the "Bank"), a national bank established in 1874. The Company's real estate assets were used to expand the banking house of Wilber National Bank. The Company was an inactive subsidiary of the Bank until 1982. In 1983, under a plan of reorganization, the Company was re-capitalized, acquired $100 \%$ of the voting stock of the Bank, and registered as a bank holding company
within the meaning of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 ("BHCA").
The business of the Company consists primarily of the ownership, supervision, and control of the Bank. The Bank is chartered by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency ("the OCC"), and its deposits are insured up to the applicable limits of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("the FDIC"). The Company, through the Bank and the Bank's subsidiaries (collectively "we" or "our"), offers a full range of commercial and consumer financial products including business, municipal, mortgage and consumer loans, deposits, trust and investment services, and insurance. We serve our customers through twenty (20) full service branch banking offices located in Otsego, Delaware, Schoharie, Chenango, Ulster, and Broome counties, New York, an ATM network, and electronic / Internet banking services. In addition, we operate an insurance sales office located in Walton, New York (Delaware County), and two representative loan production banking offices, one in Kingston, New York (Ulster County), and one in Syracuse, New York (Onondaga County). The Bank's main office is located at 245 Main Street, Oneonta, New York, 13820 (Otsego County). We employed 245 full-time equivalent employees at December 31, 2005. Our website address is www.wilberbank.com.

The Bank's subsidiaries include Wilber REIT, Inc., Western Catskill Realty, LLC, and Mang-Wilber, LLC. Wilber REIT, Inc. is wholly - owned by the Bank and primarily holds mortgage related assets. Western Catskill Realty, LLC is a wholly - owned real estate holding company, which primarily holds foreclosed real estate. Mang-Wilber, LLC is the Bank's insurance agency subsidiary, which is operated under a joint venture arrangement with a regional insurance agency. At December 31, 2005, the Bank owned a 62.7\% membership interest in Mang-Wilber, LLC.

Our principal business is to act as a financial intermediary in the communities we serve by obtaining funds through customer deposits and institutional borrowings, lending the proceeds of those funds to our customers, and investing excess funds in debt securities and short-term liquid investments. Our funding base consists of deposits derived principally from the central New York communities which we serve. To a lesser extent, we borrow funds from institutional sources, principally the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York ("FHLBNY"). We target our lending activities to consumers and municipalities in the immediate geographic areas and to small and mid-sized businesses in the immediate geographic areas and broader statewide region. Our investment activities primarily consist of purchases of U.S. Treasury, U.S. Government Agency ("GinnieMae"), U.S. Government Sponsored Entities ("FannieMae" and "FreddieMac"), municipal, mortgage-backed and high quality corporate debt instruments. Through our Trust and Investment Division, we provide personal trust, agency, estate administration and retirement planning services for individuals, as well as custodial and investment management services to institutions. We also offer stocks, bonds and mutual funds through a third party broker-dealer firm. Through our subsidiary, Mang-Wilber LLC, we offer a full line of life, health and property, and casualty insurance products.

## B. Market Area

We primarily operate in the small town and rural markets to the north and west of the Catskill Mountains in central New York. The regional economy is driven by small not-for-profit organizations; farming; hospitals; small, independently owned retailers, restaurants and motels; light manufacturing; several small colleges; and tourism. The National Baseball Hall of Fame (Cooperstown, New York), the National Soccer Hall of Fame (Oneonta, New York), several youth sport camps, and outdoor recreation such as camping, hunting, fishing, and skiing bring seasonal activity to several communities within our market area. The Bank's main office in Oneonta, New York, is approximately 70 miles southwest of Albany, New York, the state's capital, and 180 miles northwest of New York City.

Our primary market area consists of four rural counties in central New York,
namely Otsego, Delaware, Schoharie and Chenango Counties. The estimated population of our four county primary market area is 194,000. Between 2000 and 2004, the area population increased by less than $1 \%$. This compares to a national average of $1.3 \%$ during the same time period. Approximately $15.9 \%$ of the individuals that reside in our four county primary market area are over the age of 65, as compared to a national average of $12.4 \%$. In 1999 (the latest available statistics) the median household income for the four county region was approximately $\$ 34$ thousand. This is approximately 80\% of the United States national average
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and $78 \%$ of the New York State average. The local unemployment rate approximates the national average. Our management believes the demographic profile of the primary market area in which we operate has not materially changed through 2005.

We also operate one full-service branch office in Ulster County, New York. Although the demographic profile of that county differs from our primary four-county market, the town in which we operate our branch is similar to our primary market. The full-service branch located in Johnson City, New York (Broome County), and the representative loan production offices located in Kingston, New York (Ulster County) and Syracuse, New York (Onondaga County), operate in more densely populated markets.

## C. Lending Activities

General. The Company, through the Bank, engages in a wide range of lending activities, including commercial lending primarily to small and mid-sized businesses; mortgage lending for $1-4$ family and multi-family properties including home equity loans; mortgage lending for commercial properties; consumer installment and automobile lending, and to a lesser extent, agricultural lending.

Over the last several decades we have implemented lending strategies and policies that are designed to provide flexibility to meet customer needs, while minimizing losses associated with borrowers' inability or unwillingness to repay loans. The loan portfolio, in general, is fully collateralized, and many commercial loans are further secured by personal guarantees. We do not commonly grant unsecured loans to our customers. Annually, we utilize the services of an outside consultant to conduct reviews of the larger, more complex commercial real estate and commercial loan portfolios to ensure adherence to underwriting standards and loan policy guidelines.

We periodically participate in loan participations with other banks or financial institutions both as an originator and as a participant. A participation loan is generally formed when the aggregate size of a single loan exceeds the originating bank's regulatory maximum loan size or a self-imposed loan limit. We typically make participation loans for commercial or commercial real estate purposes. Although we do not always maintain direct contact with the borrower, credit underwriting procedures and credit monitoring practices associated with participation loans are identical in all material respects to those practices and procedures followed for loans that we originate, service, and hold for our own account. We typically buy participation loans from other commercial banks operating within New York State with whose management we are familiar. Our total participation loans represent less than $10 \%$ of the total loans outstanding and are comprised of approximately 20 borrowers.

If deemed appropriate for the borrower and for the Bank, we place certain loans in Federal, State or Local Government agency or government sponsored loan programs. These placements often help reduce our exposure to credit losses and
often provide our borrowers with lower interest rates on their loans.
a. Loan Products and Services

Residential Real Estate. We originate and hold residential real estate loans for our loan portfolio. The terms on these loans are typically $15-30$ years and are usually secured by a first lien position on the home of the borrower. We offer both adjustable rate and fixed rate loans and provide monthly and bi-weekly payment options. Our $1-4$ family residential loan portfolio primarily consists of owner-occupied, primary residence properties and, to a lesser extent, rental properties for off-campus student housing, which surround each of the local colleges within our market. Our property appraisal process, debt-to-income limits for borrowers, and established loan-to-value limits dictate our residential real estate lending practices.

To be more competitive in the interest-rate sensitive 15 to 30 -year fixed rate residential mortgage market, we also originate loans on behalf of a super-regional bank based in the Southeastern United States. During 2002 we entered into an agreement with this bank to originate residential real estate loans as their agent.

We originate and retain home equity loans. Our home equity loans are typically granted as adjustable rate lines of credit. The interest rate on the line of credit adjusts twice per year and is tied to the Wall Street Journal Prime loan rate. The loan terms generally include a 2nd lien position on the borrower's residence and a 10 -year interest only repayment period. At the end of a $10-y e a r$ term, the home equity line of credit is either renewed by the borrower or placed on a scheduled principal and interest payment plan by the Bank.

Commercial Real Estate. We originate commercial real estate loans to finance the purchase of developed real estate. To a lesser extent, we will also provide financing for the construction of commercial real estate. Our commercial real estate loans are typically larger than those made for residential real estate. The loans are often secured by properties whose
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tenants include "Main Street" type small businesses, retailers and motels. We also finance properties for commercial office and owner-occupied manufacturing space. Our commercial real estate loans are usually limited to a maximum repayment period of 20 years. Most of our commercial real estate loans are fully collateralized and further secured by the personal guarantees of the property owners. Construction loans are generally granted as a line of credit whose term does not exceed 12 months. We typically advance funds on construction loans based upon an advance schedule, to which the borrower agrees, and physical inspection of the premises.

Commercial Loans. In addition to commercial real estate loans, we also make various types of commercial loans to qualified borrowers, including business installment and term loans, lines-of-credit, demand loans, time notes, automobile dealer floor-plan financing, and accounts receivable financing.

Business installment and term loans are typically provided to borrowers for long term working capital or to finance the purchase of a piece of equipment, truck or automobile utilized in their business. We generally limit the term of the borrowing to a period shorter than the estimated useful life of the equipment being purchased. We also place a lien on the equipment being financed by the borrower.

Lines-of-credit are typically provided to meet the short-term working capital
needs of the borrowers for inventory and other seasonal aspects of their business. We also offer a cash management line of credit that is tied to a borrower's primary demand deposit operating account. Each day, on an automated basis, the borrower's line of credit is paid down with the excess operating funds available in the primary operating account. Upon complete repayment of the line-of-credit, excess operating funds are invested in investment securities on a short-term basis, usually overnight, through a securities repurchase agreement between the Bank and the customer.

Demand loans and time notes are often granted to borrowers to provide short term or "bridge" financing for special orders, contracts or projects. These loans are often secured with a lien on business assets, liquid collateral, and/or personal guarantees.

On a limited basis we also provide inventory financing or "floor plans" for automobile dealers. Floor plan lines of credit create unique risks that require close oversight by the Bank's lending personnel. Accordingly, we have developed special procedures for floor plan lines of credit to assure the borrower maintains sufficient inventory collateral at all times.

In 1997 we began offering accounts receivable financing to qualified borrowers through affiliation with a third party vendor specializing in this type of financing. The program allows business customers to borrow funds from the Bank by assigning their accounts receivable to the Bank for billing and collection. The program is supported by limited fraud and credit insurance.

Commercial loans and commercial real estate loans generally involve a higher degree of risk and are more complex than residential mortgages and consumer loans. Such loans typically involve large loan balances to single borrowers or groups of related borrowers. Commercial loan repayment and interest terms are often established to meet the unique needs of the borrower and the characteristics of the business. Typically, payments on commercial real estate are dependent upon leases whose terms are shorter than the borrower's repayment period. This places significant reliance upon the owner's successful operation and management of the property. Accordingly, the borrower and we must be aware of the risks that affect the underlying business including, but not limited to, economic conditions, competition, product obsolescence, inventory cycles, seasonality, and the business owner's experience and expertise.

Standby Letters of Credit. We offer stand-by letters of credit for our business customers. Stand-by letters of credit are not loans. They are guarantees to pay other creditors of the customer should the customer fail to meet certain payment obligations required by the third party creditor. Those guarantees are primarily issued to support public and private borrowing arrangements, including bond financing and similar transactions. Because the issuance of a stand-by letter of credit creates a contingent liability for the Bank, they are underwritten in the same manner as loans. Accordingly, a stand-by letter of credit will only be issued upon completing our credit review process. We charge our customers a fee for providing this service, which is based on the principal amount of the stand-by letter of credit.

Consumer Loans. We offer a variety of consumer loans to our customers. These loans are usually provided to purchase a new or used automobile, motorcycle or recreational vehicle, or to make a home improvement. We also make personal loans to finance the purchase of consumer durables or other needs of our customers. The consumer loans are generally offered for a shorter term than residential mortgages because the collateral typically has an estimated useful life of 5 to 10 years and tends to depreciate rapidly. Automobile loans comprise the largest portion of our consumer loan portfolio. The financial terms of our automobile loans are determined by the age and condition of the vehicle, and the ability of the borrower to make scheduled principal and interest payments on the loan. We obtain a lien on the vehicle and collision insurance policies are required on
these loans. Although we lend directly to borrowers, the majority of our automobile commonly refer to these as indirect automobile or indirect installment loans.

We also provide an overdraft line of credit product called ChequeMate, which provides our customers with an option to eliminate overdraft fees should they make an error in balancing their checking account. Our ChequeMate lines of credit are typically unsecured and are generally limited to less than $\$ 4,000$ per account.
b. Loan Approval Procedures and Authority

General. The Bank's Board of Directors delegates the authority to provide loans to borrowers through the Bank's loan policy. The policy is modified, reviewed and approved on an annual basis to assure that lending policies and practices meet the needs of borrowers, mitigate perceived credit risk, and reflect current economic conditions. Currently, we use a four (4) tier structure to approve loans. First, the full Board of Directors of the Bank has authority to approve single loans or loans to any one borrower up to the Bank's legal lending limit, which was $\$ 10.3$ million for loans not fully secured by readily marketable collateral and $\$ 17.2$ million for loans secured by readily marketable collateral at December 31, 2005. The full Board of Directors also approves loans made to members of the Board of Directors, their family members, and their related businesses when the total loans exceed $\$ 500,000$. If conditions merit, the Board of Directors may authorize exceptions to our loan policy.

Second, the Board of Directors, as required by the Bank's by-laws, appoints a Loan and Investment Committee. The Loan and Investment Committee must be comprised of at least three (3) outside directors and meets on an as-needed basis, generally bi-weekly. Its lending authority for loans not secured by readily marketable collateral is limited to 50\% of the Bank's legal lending limit, which is approximately $\$ 5.2$ million. The Committee may also approve loans up to $100 \%$ of the Bank's legal lending limit if the loan is secured by readily marketable collateral such as stocks and bonds. The Loan and Investment Committee is also responsible for ratifying and affirming all loans made that exceed $\$ 25,000$, approving collateral releases, authorizing charge-offs in excess of $\$ 10,000$, and annually reviewing all lines of credit that exceed the lending limit of the Officers' Loan Committee. The actions of the Loan and Investment Committee are reported to and ratified by the full Board of Directors each month.

Third, the Board of Directors has authorized the creation of the Officers' Loan Committee. The Officers' Loan Committee is comprised of four (4) voting members, the Bank's President and Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Credit Officer, the Senior Lender, and the Vice President - Credit Risk Management. The Officers' Loan Committee may approve secured and unsecured loans up to $25 \%$ of the Bank's legal lending limit (approximately $\$ 2.6$ million) and loans up to $100 \%$ of the Bank's legal lending limit if the loan is secured by readily marketable collateral. The Committee also has the authority to adjust loan rates from time to time as market conditions dictate. Loan charge-offs up to $\$ 10,000$ and collateral releases within prescribed limits established by the Board of Directors are also approved by the Officers' Loan Committee. All actions of the Officers' Loan Committee are reported to the Loan and Investment Committee for ratification.

Fourth, through the loan policy, individual loan officers are provided specific
loan limits by category of loan. Each officer's lending limits are determined based on the individual officer's experience, past credit decisions, and expertise.

Our goal for the loan approval process is to provide adequate review of loan proposals while at the same time responding quickly to customer requests. We complete a credit review and maintain a credit file for each borrower. The purpose of the file is to provide the history and current status of each borrower's relationship and credit standing, so that a loan officer can quickly understand the borrower's status and make a fully informed decision on a new loan request. We require that all business borrowers submit audited, reviewed, or compiled internal financial statements or tax returns no less than annually.

Loans to Directors and Executive Officers. Loans to members of the Board of Directors (and their related interests) are granted under the same terms and conditions as loans made to unaffiliated borrowers. Any fee that is normally charged to other borrowers is also charged to the members of the Board of Directors. Loans to Executive Officers are limited by banking regulations. There is no regulatory loan limit established for Executive Officers to purchase, construct, maintain or improve a residence, or to finance the education of a dependent. However, any loans to Executive Officers which are not for the construction, improvement, or purchase of a residence, not used to finance a dependent's education, or not secured by readily marketable investment collateral, are limited to a maximum of $\$ 100,000$. In addition, we require that all loans made to Executive Officers be reported to the Board of Directors at the next Board of Directors meeting.

## c. Credit Quality Practices

General. One of our key objectives is to maintain strong credit quality of the Bank's loan portfolio. We strive to accomplish this objective by maintaining a diversified mix of loan types, limiting industry concentrations, and monitoring regional
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economic conditions. In addition, we use a variety of strategies to protect the quality of individual loans within the loan portfolio during the credit review and approval process. We evaluate both the primary and secondary sources of repayment and complete financial statement review and cash flow analysis for commercial borrowers. We also generally require personal guarantees on small business loans, cross-collateralize loan obligations, complete on-site inspections of the business, and require the company to adhere to financial covenants. Similarly, in the event a modification to an outstanding loan is requested, we reevaluate the loan under the proposed terms prior to making the modification. If we approve the modification, we often secure additional collateral or impose stricter financial covenants. In the event a loan becomes delinquent, we follow collection procedures to assure repayment. If it becomes necessary to repossess or foreclose on collateral, we strive to execute the proceedings in a timely manner and dispose of the repossessed or foreclosed property quickly to minimize the level of non-performing assets, subsequent asset deterioration, and costs associated with monitoring the collateral.

Delinquent Loans and Collection Procedures. When a borrower fails to make a required payment on a loan, we take a number of steps to induce the borrower to cure the delinquency and restore the loan to current status. Our Chief Credit Officer continuously monitors the past due status of the loan portfolio. Individual delinquencies are reported to the Directors' Loan and Investment Committee at each meeting and the overall delinquency levels to the Board of Directors at least quarterly. Separate collection procedures have been
established for residential mortgage, consumer, and commercial and commercial real estate loans.

On residential mortgage loans fifteen (15) days past due, we send the borrower a notice which requests immediate payment. At twenty (20) days past due, the borrower is usually contacted by telephone by an employee of the Bank. The borrower's response and promise to pay is recorded. At sixty (60) days or more past due, if satisfactory repayment arrangements are not made with the borrower, generally, an attorney letter will be sent and foreclosure procedures will begin.

On consumer loans ten (10) days past due, we send the borrower a notice which requests immediate payment. If the loan remains past due, an employee of the Bank's Collection Department or the approving Loan Officer will usually contact the borrower before day thirty (30) of past due status. Loans sixty to ninety (60-90) days past due are generally subject to repossession of collateral.

We send past due notices to borrowers with commercial term loans, demand notes, and time notes (including commercial real estate) when the loan reaches ten (10) days past due. Between day fifteen and day thirty (15-30), borrowers are contacted by telephone by an employee of the Bank's Collection Department or by the approving Loan Officer to attempt to return the account to current status. After thirty (30) days past due, the loan officer and senior loan officer decide whether to pursue further action against the borrower.

Loan Portfolio Monitoring Practices. Our loan policy requires that the Chief Credit Officer continually monitor the status of the loan portfolio, by regularly reviewing and analyzing reports, which include information on delinquent loans, criticized loans and foreclosed real estate. We risk rate our loan portfolios and individual loans based on their perceived risks and historical losses. For commercial borrowers whose aggregate loans exceed $\$ 50,000$, we assign an individual risk rating annually. We arrive at a risk rating based on current payment performance and payment history, the current financial strength of the borrower, and the value of the collateral and personal guarantee. Loans classified as "substandard" typically exhibit some or all of the following characteristics:

| o the borrower lacks current financial information, |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| o | the business of the borrower is poorly managed, |
| o the borrower's business becomes highly-leveraged or appears to |  |
| be insolvent, |  |
| the borrower exhibits inadequate cash flow to support the debt |  |
| service, |  |
| o the loan is chronically delinquent, or |  |
| o the industry in which the business operates has become |  |
| unstable or volatile. |  |

Loans we classify as "special mention" are loans that are generally performing, but the borrower's financial strength appears to be deteriorating. Loans we categorize as a "pass" are generally performing per contractual terms and exhibit none of the characteristics of special mention or substandard loans.

Allowance for Loan Loss. The allowance for loan losses is an amount which in the opinion of management, is necessary to absorb probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio. We continually monitor the allowance for loan losses to determine its reasonableness. At each quarter end our Chief Credit Officer prepares a formal assessment of the allowance for loan losses and submits it to the full Board of Directors to determine the adequacy of the allowance. The allowance is determined based upon numerous considerations. For the consumer, residential mortgage, and small commercial loans, we consider local economic conditions, the growth and composition of the loan portfolio, the trend in delinquencies, and
the trend in loan charge-offs and non-performing loans. Based on these factors, we estimate the probable or "embedded" losses in the loan portfolio. On large commercial loans, we take into consideration the specific characteristics of the loan including the borrower's payment history, business conditions in the borrower's industry, the collateral and guarantees securing the loan, and our historical experience with similarly structured loans. We then assign an estimated loss percentage based on these characteristics. The adequacy of our allowance for loan losses is also reviewed by the OCC on a periodic basis. Its comments and recommendations are factored into the determination of the allowance for loan losses.

The allowance for loan losses is increased by the provision for loan losses, which is recorded as an expense on our income statement. Loan charge-offs are recorded as a reduction in the allowance for loan losses. Loan recoveries are recorded as an increase in the allowance for loan losses.

Non-Performing Loans. There are three categories of non-performing loans, (i) those 90 or more days delinquent and still accruing interest, (ii) non-accrual loans, and (iii) troubled debt restructured loans ("TDR"). We place individual loans on non-accrual status when timely collection of contractual principal and interest payments is doubtful. This generally occurs when a loan becomes ninety (90) days delinquent. When deemed prudent, however, we may place loans on non-accrual status before they become 90 days delinquent. Upon being placed on non-accrual status, we reverse all interest accrued in the current year against interest income. Interest accrued and not collected from a prior year is charged-off through the allowance for loan losses. If ultimate repayment of a non-accrual loan is expected, any payments received may be applied in accordance with contractual terms. If ultimate repayment of principal is not expected, any payment received on the non-accrual loan is applied to principal until ultimate repayment becomes expected.

A loan is considered to be a TDR when we grant a special concession to the borrower because the borrower's financial condition has deteriorated to the point where servicing the original loan under the original terms becomes difficult or challenges the financial viability of the business. Such concessions include the reduction of interest rates, forgiveness of principal or interest, or other similar modifications to the original terms. TDR loans that are in compliance with their modified terms and that yield a market rate may be removed from TDR status in the calendar year after the year in which the restructuring took place.

Our goal is to minimize the number of non-performing loans because of their negative impact on the Company's earnings.

Foreclosure and Repossession. At times it becomes necessary to foreclose or repossess property that a delinquent borrower pledged as collateral on a loan. Upon concluding foreclosure or repossession procedures, we take title to the collateral and attempt to dispose of it in the most efficient manner possible. Real estate properties formerly pledged as collateral on loans, which we have acquired through foreclosure proceedings or acceptance of a deed in lieu of foreclosure are called Other Real Estate Owned (hereinafter referred to as "OREO"). OREO is carried at the lower of the recorded investment in the loan or the fair value of the real estate, less estimated costs to sell. Write-downs from the unpaid loan balance to fair value are charged to the allowance for loan losses.

Loan Charge-offs. We charge off loans or portions of loans that we deem
non-collectible and can no longer justify carrying as an asset on the Bank's balance sheet. We determine if a loan should be charged-off by analyzing all possible sources of repayment. Once the responsible Loan Officer or designated Collections Department personnel determines the loan is not collectible, he/she completes a "Recommendation for Charge-off" form, which is subsequently reviewed and approved by the Bank's Loan and Investment Committee (or by the Officers' Loan Committee for charge-offs less than $\$ 10,000$ ).
D. Investment Securities Activities

General. The Bank's Board of Directors has final authority and responsibility for all aspects of the Bank's investment activities. It exercises this authority by setting the Bank's Investment Policy each year and appointing the Loan and Investment Committee to monitor adherence to the policy. The Board of Directors delegates its powers by appointing designated investment officers to purchase and sell investment securities for the account of the Bank. The CEO and the Chief Investment Officer have the authority to make investment purchases within the limits set by the Board of Directors. All investment securities transactions are reviewed monthly by the Loan and Investment Committee and the Board of Directors.

The Bank's investment securities portfolio is primarily comprised of high-grade fixed income debt instruments. Investment purchases are generally made when we have funds that exceed the present demand for loans. Our primary investment objectives are to:
(i) minimize risk through strong credit quality,
(ii) provide liquidity to fund loans and meet deposit run-off,
(iii) diversify the Bank's assets,
(iv) generate a favorable investment return,
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(v) meet the pledging requirements of State, County and Municipal depositors,
(vi) manage the risk associated with changing interest rates, and (vii) match the maturities of securities with deposit and borrowing maturities.

Our current investment policy generally limits securities investments to U.S. Government, agency and sponsored entity securities, corporate debt, municipal bonds, pass-through mortgage backed securities issued by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac or Ginnie Mae, and collateralized mortgage obligations issued by these same agencies.

The investment securities we hold are classified as held-to-maturity, trading, or available-for-sale, depending on the purposes for which the investment securities were acquired and are being held. Securities held-to-maturity are debt securities that the Company has both the positive intent and ability to hold to maturity. These securities are stated at amortized cost. Debt and equity securities that are bought and held principally for the purpose of sale in the near term are classified as trading securities and are reported at fair value with unrealized gains and losses included in earnings. Debt and equity securities not classified as either held-to-maturity or trading securities are classified as available-for-sale and are reported at fair value with unrealized gains and losses excluded from earnings and reported net of taxes in accumulated other comprehensive income or loss. We hold the majority of our investment securities in the available-for-sale category.

On a daily basis we buy and sell overnight federal funds to and from our
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correspondent banks. Federal funds are unsecured general obligations of the purchasing bank and therefore subject to credit risk. To mitigate this risk, we monitor the financial strength of our correspondent banks on a continuous basis. Financial strength rating reports of each correspondent bank are reviewed by the Bank's management on a quarterly basis.

From time to time we purchase and hold certificates of deposit with banks domiciled in the United States. These obligations are all insured by the FDIC.

On a limited basis, we also invest in permissible types of equity securities.

## E. Sources of Funds

General. The Bank's lending and investment activities are highly dependent upon the Bank's ability to obtain funds. Our primary source of funds is customer deposits. To a lesser extent we have borrowed funds from the FHLBNY and entered into repurchase agreements to fund our loan and investment activities.

Deposits. We offer a variety of deposit accounts to our customers. The fees, interest rates, and terms of each deposit product vary to meet the unique needs and requirements of our depositors. Presently, we offer a variety of accounts for consumers, businesses, not-for-profit organizations and municipalities including: demand deposit accounts, interest bearing transaction accounts, money market accounts, statement savings accounts, passbook savings accounts, and fixed and variable rate certificates of deposit. The majority of our deposit accounts are owned by individuals and businesses who reside near our branch locations. Municipal deposits are generally derived from the local and county taxing authorities, school districts near our branch locations, and, to a limited degree, New York State public funds. Accordingly, deposit levels are dependent upon regional economic conditions, as well as more general national and statewide economic conditions, local competition, and our pricing decisions.

Borrowed Funds. From time to time we borrow funds to finance our loan and investment activities. Most of our borrowings are with the FHLBNY. These advances are secured by a general lien on our eligible 1-4 family residential mortgage portfolio or specific investment securities collateral. We determine the maturity and structure of each advance based on market conditions at the time of borrowing and the interest rate risk profile of the loans or investments being funded.

We also utilize repurchase and resale agreements to fund our loan and investment activities. Repurchase / resale agreements are contracts for sale of securities owned or borrowed by us, with an agreement with the counter party to repurchase those securities at an agreed upon price and date. In addition, when necessary, we borrow overnight federal funds from other banks or borrow monies from the Federal Reserve Bank's discount window.

Deposit account structures, fees and interest rates, as well as funding strategies, are determined by the Bank's Asset and Liability Committee ("ALCO"). The ALCO is comprised of the Bank's senior managers and meets on a bi-weekly basis. The ALCO reviews general economic conditions, the Bank's need for funds, and local competitive conditions prior to establishing funding strategies and interest rates to be paid. The actions of the ALCO are reported to the Directors' Loan and Investment Committee at their regularly scheduled meetings.
F. Electronic and Payment Services

General. We offer a variety of electronic services to our customers. Most of the
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services are provided for convenience purposes and are typically offered in conjunction with a deposit or loan account. Certain electronic and payment services are provided using marketing arrangements and third party services, branded with the Bank's name. These services often provide us with additional sources of fee income or reduce our operating and transaction expenses. Our menu of electronic and payment services include point of sale transactions, debit card payments, ATMs, merchant credit and debit card processing, Internet banking, Internet bill pay services, voice response, wire transfer services, automated clearing house services, direct deposit of Social Security and other payments, loan autodraft payments, and cash management services.

## G. Trust and Investment Services

General. We offer various personal trust and investment services through our Trust and Investment Division, including both fiduciary and custodial services. At December 31, 2005, and December 31, 2004, we had $\$ 309.920$ million and $\$ 322.248$ million, respectively, of assets under management in the Bank's Trust and Investment Division. The following chart summarizes the Trust and Investment Division assets under management as of the dates noted:

Trust Assets Summary Table:

| dollars in thousands | December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005 |  | 2004 |  |
|  | Number of Accounts | Estimated Market Value | Number of Accounts | Est <br> Market |
| Trusts | 342 | \$166,041 | 337 | \$176 |
| Estates | 8 | 4,522 | 8 | 1, |
| Custodian, Investment Management and Others | 227 | 139,357 | 221 | 144, |
| Total | 577 | \$309,920 | 566 | \$322 |

We also provide investment services through a third party provider, INVEST Financial Corp., for the purchase of mutual funds and annuities.

## H. Insurance Services

General. In 1998, the Bank established an insurance agency through a joint venture with a regional independent insurance agency. The agency, Mang-Wilber, LLC, is licensed to sell, within New York State, various insurance products including life, health, property, and casualty insurance products to both consumers and businesses. The principal office of the agency is in Sidney, New York, with satellite sales offices in Oneonta, New York (the Bank's main office), Cobleskill, New York and Walton, New York (doing business as Mang-Sholes Insurance). Mang - Wilber, LLC, also owns a two-thirds interest in a specialty-lines agency in Clifton Park (Saratoga County), New York.

We offer credit life and disability insurance through an affiliation with the New York Bankers Association. The insurance is typically offered to and purchased by consumers securing a mortgage or consumer loan through the Bank. In addition, we offer title insurance through New York Bankers Title Agency East,

LLC. Title insurance is sold in conjunction with origination of residential and commercial mortgages. We own an interest in New York Bankers Title Agency East, LLC, and receive profit distributions based upon the overall performance of the agency.

## I. Supervision and Regulation

Set forth below is a brief description of certain laws and regulations governing the Company, the Bank, and its subsidiaries. The description does not purport to be complete, and is qualified in its entirety by reference to applicable laws and regulations.

## a. The Company

Bank Holding Company Act. The Company is a bank holding company registered with, and subject to regulation and examination by, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System ("Federal Reserve Board") pursuant to the
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BHCA, as amended. The Federal Reserve Board regulates and requires the filing of reports describing the activities of bank holding companies, and conducts periodic examinations to test compliance with applicable regulatory requirements. The Federal Reserve Board has enforcement authority over bank holding companies, including, among other things, the ability to assess civil money penalties, to issue cease and desist or removal orders, and to require a bank holding company to divest subsidiaries.

The BHCA prohibits a bank holding company from acquiring direct or indirect ownership or control of more than $5 \%$ of the voting shares of any bank, or increasing such ownership or control of any bank, without the prior approval of the Federal Reserve Board. The BHCA further generally precludes a bank holding company from acquiring direct or indirect ownership or control of any non-banking entity engaged in any activities other than those which the Federal Reserve Board has determined to be so closely related to banking or managing and controlling banks as to be a proper incident thereto. Some of the activities that have been found to be closely related to banking are: operating a savings association, mortgage company, finance company, credit card company, factoring company, or collection agency; performing certain data processing services; providing investment and financial advice; underwriting and acting as an insurance agent for certain types of credit-related insurance; real and personal property leasing; selling money orders, travelers' checks, and United States Savings Bonds; real estate and personal property appraising; and providing tax planning and preparation and check guarantee services.

Under provisions of the BHCA enacted as part of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act of 1999 ("GLBA"), a bank holding company may elect to become a financial holding company ("FHC") if all of its depository institution subsidiaries are well-capitalized and well-managed under applicable guidelines, as certified in a declaration filed with the Federal Reserve Board. In addition to the activities listed above, FHC's may engage, directly or through a subsidiary, in any activity that the Federal Reserve Board, by regulation or order, has determined to be financial in nature or incidental thereto, or is complementary to a financial activity and does not pose a risk to the safety and soundness of depository institutions or the financial system. Pursuant to the BHCA, a number of activities are expressly considered to be financial in nature, including insurance and securities underwriting and brokerage. The Company has not elected to become an FHC but continues to evaluate the opportunities presented by FHC registration.
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The BHCA generally permits a bank holding company to acquire a bank located outside of the state in which the existing bank subsidiaries of the bank holding company are located, subject to deposit concentration limits and state laws prescribing minimum periods of time an acquired bank must have been in existence prior to the acquisition.

A bank holding company must serve as a source of strength for its subsidiary bank. The Federal Reserve Board may require a bank holding company to contribute additional capital to an undercapitalized subsidiary bank. The Company is subject to capital adequacy guidelines for bank holding companies (on a consolidated basis), which are substantially similar to the FDIC-mandated capital adequacy guidelines applicable to the Bank.

Federal Securities Law. The Company is subject to the information, reporting, proxy solicitation, insider trading, and other rules contained in the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") and the regulations of the SEC thereunder.

Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. The Company is subject to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (the "Sarbanes-Oxley Act"). The Sarbanes-Oxley Act represents a comprehensive revision of laws affecting corporate governance, accounting obligations, and corporate reporting. Specifically, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act: (i) creates a new federal accounting oversight body; (ii) revamps auditor independence rules; (iii) enacts new corporate responsibility and governance measures; (iv) enhances disclosures by public companies, their directors, and their executive officers; (v) strengthens the powers and resources of the SEC; and (vi) imposes new criminal and civil penalties for securities fraud and related wrongful conduct. The SEC has adopted in final form substantially all of the new regulations Congress directed it to adopt in the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, including: standards of independence for directors who serve on the Company's Audit Committee; disclosure requirements as to whether at least one member of the Company's Audit Committee qualifies as a "financial expert" as defined in the SEC regulations, and whether the Company has adopted a code of ethics applicable to its chief executive officer, chief financial officer, or those persons performing similar functions; and disclosure requirements regarding the operations of board nominating committees and the means, if any, by which security holders may communicate with directors.

## b. The Bank

The following discussion is not, and does not purport to be, a complete description of the laws and regulations applicable to the Bank. Such statutes and regulations relate to required reserves, investments, loans, deposits, issuances of securities, payments of dividends, establishment of branches, and other aspects of the Bank's operations. Any change in such laws or regulations by the OCC, the FDIC, or Congress could materially adversely affect the Bank.

General. The Bank is a national bank subject to extensive regulation, examination, and supervision by the OCC, as its primary federal regulator, and by the FDIC, as its deposit insurer. The Bank's deposit accounts are insured up to applicable limits by the Bank Insurance Fund of the FDIC. The Bank must file reports with the OCC and the FDIC concerning its activities and financial condition and must obtain regulatory approval before commencing certain activities or engaging in transactions such as mergers and other business combinations or the establishment, closing, purchase or sale of branch offices. This regulatory structure gives the regulatory authorities extensive discretion in the enforcement of laws and regulations and the supervision of the Bank.

Business Activities. The Bank's lending, investment, deposit, and other powers derive from the National Bank Act and OCC regulations. These powers are also governed to some extent by the FDIC under the Federal Deposit Insurance Act and FDIC regulations. The Bank may make mortgage loans, commercial loans and consumer loans, and may invest in certain types of debt securities and other assets. The Bank may offer a variety of deposit accounts, including savings, certificate (time), demand, and NOW accounts.

Standards for Safety and Soundness. The OCC has adopted guidelines prescribing safety and soundness standards. These guidelines establish general standards relating to internal controls and information systems, internal audit systems, loan documentation, credit underwriting, interest rate exposure, asset growth, asset quality, earnings standards, compensation, fees, and benefits. In general, the guidelines require appropriate systems and practices to identify and manage the risks and exposures specified in the guidelines. The OcC may order an institution that has been given notice that it is not satisfying these safety and soundness standards to submit a compliance plan, and if an institution fails to do so, the OCC must issue an order directing action to correct the deficiency and may issue an order directing other action. If an institution fails to comply with such an order, the OCC may seek to enforce such order in judicial proceedings and to impose civil money penalties.

Branching. Generally, national banks may establish branch offices within a state to the same extent as commercial banks chartered under the laws of that state.

Transactions with Related Parties. The Federal Reserve Act governs transactions between the Bank and its affiliates. In general, an affiliate of the Bank is any company that controls, is controlled by, or is under common control with the Bank. Generally, the Federal Reserve Act limits the extent to which the Bank or its subsidiaries may engage in "covered transactions" with any one affiliate to $10 \%$ of the Bank's capital stock and surplus, and contains an aggregate limit of 20\% of capital stock and surplus for covered transactions with all affiliates. Covered transactions include loans, asset purchases, the issuance of guarantees, and similar transactions. The Bank's loans to insiders must be made on terms that are substantially the same as, and follow credit underwriting procedures that are not less stringent than, those prevailing for comparable transactions with unaffiliated persons and that do not involve more than the normal risk of repayment or present other unfavorable features. The loans are also subject to maximum dollar limits and must generally be approved by the Board.

Capital Requirements. Capital adequacy is measured within guidelines defined as either tier 1 capital (primarily stockholders' equity) or tier 2 capital (certain debt instruments and a portion of the reserve for loan losses). There are two measures of capital adequacy for banks: the tier 1 leverage ratio and the risk-based requirements. Most banks must maintain a minimum tier 1 leverage ratio of $4 \%$. In addition, tier 1 capital must equal $4 \%$ of risk-weighted assets, and total capital (tier 1 plus tier 2) must equal 8\% of risk-weighted assets. Federal banking agencies are required to take prompt corrective action, such as imposing restrictions, conditions, and prohibitions, to deal with banks that fail to meet their minimum capital requirements or are otherwise in troubled condition. The regulators have also established different capital classifications for banking institutions, the highest being "well capitalized." Under regulations adopted by the federal bank regulators, a banking institution is considered well capitalized if it has a total risk adjusted capital ratio of $10 \%$ or greater, a tier 1 risk adjusted capital ratio of $6 \%$ or greater and a leverage ratio of $5 \%$ or greater, and is not subject to any regulatory order or written directive regarding capital maintenance. The Bank qualified as well capitalized at December 31, 2005. See Part II, Item 7.F. entitled "Capital Resources and Dividends" and Note 13 of the Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Part II, Item 8, of this document for additional information regarding the Bank's capital levels.

Payment of Dividends. The OCC regulates the amount of dividends and other capital distributions that the Bank may pay to its stockholders. A national bank may not pay dividends from its capital. All dividends must be paid out of undivided profits. In general, if the Bank satisfies all OCC capital requirements both before and after a dividend payment, the Bank may pay a dividend to stockholders in any year equal to the current year's net income plus retained net income for the preceding two years. A Bank may not declare or pay any dividend if it is "undercapitalized" under OCC regulations. The OCC also may restrict the Bank's ability to pay dividends if the OCC has reasonable cause to believe that such payment would constitute an unsafe and unsound practice. The Bank is not undercapitalized nor under any special restrictions regarding the payment of dividends.
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Insurance of Deposit Accounts. The Bank is an insured depository institution subject to assessment by, and the payment of deposit insurance premiums to, the FDIC. Deposit insurance premiums are determined by a number of factors, including the institution's capital ratio and supervisory condition. Since the ratio of reserves to insured deposits in the Bank Insurance Fund of the FDIC was at its statutory maximum, the Bank was not required to pay any deposit insurance premiums during 2005, 2004, or 2003. Although the Bank did not pay any premiums during these periods, the FDIC did levy an assessment based on the Bank's deposit accounts under the Deposit Insurance Funds Act of 1996 . Under the Deposit Insurance Funds Act, deposits insured by the Bank Insurance Fund ("BIF"), such as the deposits of the Bank, are subject to an assessment for payment on bond obligations financing the FDIC's Savings Association Fund ("SAIF"). The rate is adjusted quarterly, depending on the need of the fund. At December 31, 2005, the assessment rate was 1.34 cents per $\$ 100$ of insured deposits. This compares to 1.46 cents and 1.52 cents per $\$ 100$ of insured deposit at December 31, 2004, and December 31, 2003, respectively. There can be no assurance that the Bank will continue to not be required to pay deposit insurance premiums. If the Bank is required to pay deposit insurance premiums, this expense could adversely affect the Bank's earnings in future periods. Management anticipates that the FDIC may begin assessing a premium for deposits insured under the BIF during the last two quarters of 2006.

Federal Reserve System. All depository institutions must maintain with a Federal Reserve Bank reserves against their transaction accounts (primarily checking, NOW, and Super NOW accounts) and non-personal time accounts. Since these reserves are maintained as vault cash or non-interest-bearing accounts, they have the effect of reducing an institution's earnings. As of December 31, 2005, the Bank was in compliance with applicable reserve requirements.

Loans to One Borrower. The Bank generally may not make a loan or extend credit to a single or related group of borrowers in excess of $15 \%$ of its unimpaired capital and surplus. Up to an additional $10 \%$ of unimpaired capital and surplus can be lent if the additional amount is fully secured by readily marketable collateral. At December 31, 2005, the Bank's legal lending limit on loans to one borrower was $\$ 10.3$ million for loans not fully secured by readily marketable collateral and $\$ 17.2$ million for loans secured by readily marketable collateral. At that date, the Bank did not have any loans or agreements to extend credit to a single or related group of borrowers in excess of its legal lending limit.

Real Estate Lending Standards. OCC regulations generally require each national bank to establish and maintain written internal real estate lending standards that are consistent with safe and sound banking practices and appropriate to the size of the bank and the nature and scope of its real estate lending activities. The standards also must be consistent with accompanying OCC guidelines, which include loan-to-value ratios for the different types of real estate loans

Community Reinvestment Act. Under the federal Community Reinvestment Act (the "CRA"), the Bank, consistent with its safe and sound operation, must help meet the credit needs of its entire community, including low and moderate income neighborhoods. The OCC periodically assesses the Bank's compliance with CRA requirements. The Bank received a SATISFACTORY rating for CRA on its last performance evaluation conducted by the OCC as of August 11, 2003.

Fair Lending and Consumer Protection Laws. The Bank must also comply with the federal Equal Credit Opportunity Act and the New York Executive Law, which prohibit creditors from discrimination in their lending practices on bases specified in these statutes. In addition, the Bank is subject to a number of federal statutes and regulations implementing them, which are designed to protect the general public, borrowers, depositors, and other customers of depository institutions. These include the Bank Secrecy Act, the Truth In Lending Act, the Truth In Savings Act, the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act, the Fair Housing Act, the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, the Electronic Funds Transfers Act, the Fair Credit Reporting Act, and the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act. The OCC and, in some instances, other regulators, including the Justice Department, may take enforcement action against institutions that fail to comply with these laws.

Prohibitions Against Tying Arrangements. National banks are prohibited, subject to some exceptions, from extending credit to or offering any other service, or fixing or varying the consideration for such extension of credit or service, on the condition that the customer obtain some additional service from the bank or its affiliates or not obtain services of a competitor of the bank.

Privacy Regulations. OCC regulations generally require the Bank to disclose its privacy policy. The policy must identify with whom the Bank shares its customer's "non-public personal information," at the time of establishing the customer relationship and annually thereafter. In addition the Bank must provide its customers with the ability to "opt-out" of having their personal information shared with unaffiliated third parties and not to disclose account numbers or access codes to non-affiliated third parties for marketing purposes. We believe that the Bank's privacy policy complies with the regulations.

The USA PATRIOT Act. The Bank is subject to the USA PATRIOT Act, which gives the federal government new powers to address terrorist threats through enhanced domestic security measures, expanded surveillance powers, increased information sharing, and broadened anti-money laundering requirements. The USA PATRIOT Act imposes affirmative obligations on financial institutions, including the Bank, to establish anti-money laundering programs which require: (i) the
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establishment of internal policies, procedures, and controls; (ii) the designation of an anti-money laundering compliance officer; (iii) ongoing employee training programs; and (iv) an independent audit function to test the anti-money laundering program. The OCC must consider the Bank's effectiveness in combating money laundering when ruling on merger and other applications.

## c. Subsidiaries

The Bank's insurance agency subsidiary, Mang-Wilber LLC, is subject to New York State insurance laws and regulations.

## J. Competition

We face competition in all the markets we serve. Traditional competitors are
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other local commercial banks, savings banks, savings and loan institutions, and credit unions, as well as local offices of major regional and money center banks. Also, non-banking financial organizations, such as consumer finance companies, mortgage brokers, insurance companies, securities firms, money market funds, mutual funds and credit card companies offer substantive equivalents of transaction accounts and various loan and financial products. As a result of the enactment of the GLBA (discussed further in Item 1. I (b) above), other non-banking financial organizations now may offer comparable products to those offered by the Company and to establish, acquire, or affiliate with commercial banks themselves.

## K. Legislative Developments

Preemption and Predatory Lending. On January 13, 2004, the OCC adopted amendments to its regulations, which assert the exclusive authority of the OCC to regulate the activities and operations of national banks and prohibit certain "predatory" lending practices. The new regulations preempt the application to national banks of any state laws that obstruct, impair, or condition a national bank's ability to fully exercise its deposit-taking and lending powers and reaffirm, subject to narrow exceptions, the OCC's exclusive authority to examine national banks. The new regulations also prohibit a national bank from making any consumer loan based predominantly on the bank's realization of the foreclosure or liquidation value of the borrower's collateral, without regard to the borrower's ability to repay the loan according to its terms and from engaging in unfair or deceptive practices within the meaning of section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act. We believe that the Bank's underwriting and other credit-related policies and procedures comply with the lending standards prescribed in the new regulations.

FACT Act. In December 2003, The Fair and Accurate Credit Transaction Act of 2003 ("FACT Act") was signed into law. The FACT Act was crafted to provide consumers with more disclosure and notification on their credit score, rating, and history (particularly negative information filings), and how these items impact their credit-related transactions. The FACT Act also provides consumers with enhanced identity theft, fraud alert, and fraud repair provisions, as well as restrictions on information sharing among affiliates.

New Legislative Developments. Various federal bills that would significantly affect banks are introduced in Congress from time to time. The Company cannot estimate the likelihood of any currently pending banking bills being enacted into law, or the ultimate effect that any such potential legislation, if enacted, would have upon its financial condition or results of operations.

ITEM 1A: RISK FACTORS

The investment performance of our common shares is affected by several material risk factors. These factors (summarized below) can affect our financial condition or results of operations. Accordingly, you should be aware of these risk factors and how each may potentially affect your investment in our common stock.

General Competitive and Economic Conditions. National, regional, and local competitive conditions can negatively affect our financial condition or results of operations. Our existing competition may begin offering new products and services, change the price for existing products and services, or open a new office in direct competition with one of our offices. In addition, new competitors can establish a physical presence in our market or begin offering products and services through the Internet or other remote channel that compete directly with our products and services. All of these factors are dynamic and may affect the demand for our products and services, and, in turn, our financial condition and results of operations.

Regional and local economic conditions including employment and unemployment conditions, population growth, and price and wage scale changes, may impact the demand for our products and services, the level of customer deposits, or credit status of our borrowers. National and international economic conditions including raw materials costs, oil prices, consumer demand, and consumer trends, may impact the demand for our commercial borrower's products and services, which, in turn, can affect our financial condition and results of operation.

Changes in Interest Rates and Capital Markets. Our financial condition and results of operations are highly dependent upon the amount of the interest income we receive on our earning assets and the interest we pay for our funding and capital resources. Accordingly, changes in interest rates and capital markets can affect our financial condition and results of operations. A detailed analysis regarding our market risk and interest rate sensitivity is contained in Item 7A of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Changes in Government Laws, Regulations, and Policies. Financial institutions are highly regulated companies and are subject to numerous laws and regulations. Changes to these laws or regulations, particularly at the federal and state level, may materially impact the business climate we operate within, which, in turn, may impact the economic return on our common shares, financial condition, or results of operations.

Changes in Generally Accepted Accounting Principles. Changes to Generally Accepted Accounting Principles are periodically issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB"). The purpose of these new Generally Accepted Accounting Principles is to quantify, identify, or disclose certain aspects of a company's financial condition or results of operations. The adoption of new accounting standards may alter certain aspects of the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Company and, in turn, the investment performance of our common shares.

Changes in the Financial Condition of Government Agencies, Government Sponsored Enterprises, and Local and State Governments. We invest substantially in the debt instruments issued by U.S. Government Agencies, U.S. Government Sponsored Enterprises, and local and state governments. A deterioration of the credit standing of any of these issuers of debt may materially impact our financial condition or results of operations.

Actions of Regulatory Authorities. The Company and the Bank are subject to the supervision of several federal and state regulatory bodies. These regulatory bodies have authority to issue, change, and enforce rules and regulations including the authority to assess fines. Changes to these regulations may impact the financial condition or results of operations of the Company or the Bank. See Item 1 I. of this Annual Report on Form $10-\mathrm{K}$ for additional explanation regarding the regulations to which the Company and the Bank are subject.

Changes in the Company's Policies or Management. Our financial condition and results of operations depend upon the policies approved by the Board of Directors and the practices of management. Changes in our policies or management practices, particularly credit policies and practices of the Bank, may affect our financial condition or results of operations.

Allowance for Loan Losses May not be Sufficient to Cover Actual Loan Losses. The Bank's Chief Credit Officer, under the control and supervision of the Board of Directors, continually monitors the credit status of the Bank's loan portfolio. The adequacy of the Allowance for Loan Losses is reviewed quarterly by the Board of Directors, and periodically by an independent loan review firm under the
direction of the Bank's Audit Committee, the Bank's regulators, and the Company's external auditors. However, because the Allowance for Loan Losses is an estimate of probable losses and is based on management's experience and assumptions, there is no certainty that the Allowance for Loan Losses will be sufficient to cover actual loan losses. Actual loan losses in excess of the Allowance for Loan Losses would negatively impact our financial condition and results of operations.

The Financial Performance of Large Borrowers. Our financial condition and results of operations are highly dependent upon the credit worthiness and financial performance of our borrowers. The Bank has several borrowers or groups of related borrowers whose total indebtedness with the Bank exceeds \$1.0 million. The financial performance of these borrowers is a material risk factor that may affect our financial condition or results of operations.

Incidents Affecting Our Reputation. The demand for our products and services is influenced by our reputation and the reputation of our management and employees. Public incidents that negatively affect the reputation of the company or the Bank, including, but not limited to, breaches in the security of customer information or unfair or deceptive practices, may adversely impact our financial condition, results of operations, or economic performance of the company's common stock.

Liquidity of the Company's Common Shares. The Company's common stock is lightly traded on the Amex (R). This condition may make it difficult for shareholders with large common stock ownership positions to sell or liquidate shares at a suitable price.

Changes to the Markets or Exchanges On Which the Company's Common Shares Are Traded. The Company's common shares trade on the Amex (R). Changes to the Amex (R)'s trading practices or systems, reputation or financial condition, or rules which govern trading on the Amex may impact our shareholders' ability to buy or sell his / her commons shares at a suitable price.

## ITEM 1B: UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

The Company has not been subject to any comments by the SEC during the period covered by this Annual Report on Form $10-\mathrm{K}$ that remain unresolved.

ITEM 2: PROPERTIES

The Company and the Bank are headquartered at 245 Main Street, Oneonta, New York. The three buildings that comprise our headquarters are owned by the Bank and also serve as our main office. In addition to our main office, we own nineteen (19) branch offices and lease one (1) branch office and two (2) loan production offices at market rates. We also own an insurance sales office in Walton, New York, through our insurance agency subsidiary, Mang-Wilber LLC.

In the opinion of management, the physical properties of the Company are suitable and adequate. All of our properties are insured at full replacement cost.

ITEM 3: LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

From time to time, the Company becomes subject to various legal claims which arise in the normal course of business. At December 31, 2005, the Company was not the subject of any material pending legal proceedings, other than ordinary routine litigation occurring in the normal course of its business. The various
pending legal claims against the Company will not, in the opinion of management based upon consultation with legal counsel, result in any material liability to the Company and will not materially affect our financial position, results of operation or cash flow.

Neither the Company, the Bank, nor any of the Bank's subsidiaries have been subject to review by the Internal Revenue Service of any transactions that have been identified as abusive or that have a significant tax avoidance purpose.

ITEM 4: SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

There were no matters submitted to a vote of the security holders of the Company during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005.
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## PART II

ITEM 5: MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS, AND ISSUERS PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES
A. Market Information; Dividends on Common Stock; and Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

Through February 11, 2004 , the common stock of the Company was inactively traded on Nasdaq's Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board market under the symbol "WLBC.OB." Market makers for the stock were Ryan, Beck, and Company; Monroe Securities, Inc.; Hill Thompson Magid \& Co., Inc.; Knight Equity Markets, L.P.; Schwab Capital Markets, L.P.; and Stifel, Nicolaus \& Company, Incorporated. On February 12, 2004, the common stock of the Company ( $\$ 0.01$ par value per share) began trading on the Amex (R) under the symbol "GIW." The following table shows the high and low bid quotations (while on the Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board) and trading price (while on the Amex(R)) for the common stock and quarterly dividend paid to our security holders for the periods presented:

Common Stock Market Price and Dividend Table:

2005

| High | Low | Dividend |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$12.00 | \$10.84 | \$0.0950 |
| \$12.27 | \$11.80 | \$0.0950 |
| \$12.45 | \$12.00 | \$0.0950 |
| \$12.90 | \$11.94 | \$0.0950 |

2004

| ----------------------------- |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| High | Low |
| ----------------------------- |  |
|  |  |
| $\$ 12.64$ | $\$ 11.94$ |
| $\$ 12.80$ | $\$ 12.00$ |
| $\$ 13.65$ | $\$ 12.00$ |
| $\$ 15.50$ | $\$ 12.65$ |

(1) The high and low bid quotations and trading prices provided in this table were obtained from www.finance.yahoo.com. The high and low prices provided for the period in which the common stock of the Company was traded on the Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board market reflect inter-dealer bid quotations without retail mark-up, mark-down, or commissions and may not represent actual transactions.

At March 7, 2006, there were 532 holders of record of our common stock (excluding beneficial owners who hold their shares in nominee name through
brokerage accounts). The closing price of the common stock at March 7, 2006, was $\$ 10.42$ per share.

We have not sold any unregistered securities in the past five years.
B. Use of Proceeds from Registered Securities

None.

## C. Purchases of Equity Securities by Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers

On August 27, 2004, we announced that our Board of Directors approved a stock repurchase program, which authorizes the purchase, at the discretion of management, of up to $\$ 1.5$ million of the Company's common stock. Between August 27, 2004, and July 26, 2005, management purchased 46,300 shares under this authority. These repurchases reduced the amount available to management to purchase additional shares under the program to $\$ 929$ thousand. On July 26, 2005, management's authority to purchase the Company's common stock under the stock repurchase program was reauthorized to $\$ 1.5$ million.

All shares repurchased under our repurchase program were made in the open market or through private transactions, were limited to one transaction per week, and were conducted exclusively through Merrill Lynch, a registered broker-dealer. All such purchases were in compliance with the laws of the State of New York, Rule 10b(18) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the rules and regulations there under, and the rules and regulations of the Amex(R). The following table summarizes the shares repurchased by us under this repurchase program during the fourth quarter of 2005:
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Share Repurchases:

| Period | Total <br> Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid per Share | Total Cost <br> (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| October 1 - October 31, 2005 | 0 | \$0.00 | \$ 0 |
| November 1, - November 30, 2005 | 6,000 | \$11.30 | \$67,800 |
| December 1, - December 31, 2005 | 11,155 | \$11.05 | \$123,263 |
| Total | 17,155 | \$11.14 | \$191,063 |

(1) Excludes brokerage commissions paid by the Company.

All shares purchased by the Company during the three month period ended December 31, 2005, were purchased under the publicly announced program.

ITEM 6: SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The comparability of the information provided in the following 5-Year Summary Table of Selected Financial Data and the Table of Selected Quarterly Financial Data have not been materially impacted by any significant business combinations, dispositions of business operations, or accounting changes other than those
provided in the footnotes to our financial statements provided in PART II, Item 8, of this document. However, all per share financial information contained in this document, as well as all exhibits, was restated to reflect a $4: 1$ stock split approved on September 5, 2003.

5-Year Summary Table of Selected Financial Data:

The Wilber Corporation and Subsidiary (Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Data)

```
Consolidated Statements of Income Data:
    Interest Income
    Interest Expense
    Net Interest Income
    Provision for Loan Losses
    Net Interest Income After Provision for Loan Losses
    Non-Interest Income (Excluding Net Gains on Securities)
    Net Gains on Securities Transactions
    Non-Interest Expense (1)
    Income Before Provision for Income Taxes
    Provision for Income Taxes
    Net Income
```
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5-Year Summary Table of Selected Financial Data, continued
Per Common Share: (2)
Earnings (Basic) \$0.69

| 0.380 | 0.380 | 0.370 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 6.08 | 6.04 | 5.74 |
| 5.61 | 5.77 | 5.46 |

    Book Value
    Tangible Book Value (3)
5-Year Summary Table of Selected Financial Data, cont.
Consolidated Period-End Balance Sheet Data:
Total Assets

| $\$ 752,728$ | $\$ 750,861$ | $\$ 729,023$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 240,350 | 249,415 | 275,051 |
| 54,939 | 59,463 | 44,140 |
| 403,665 | 391,043 | 360,906 |
| 6,640 | 6,250 | 5,757 |
| 604,958 | 571,929 | 580,633 |
| 52,472 | 65,379 | 55,849 |

Short-Term Borrowings
Stockholder's Equity

Selected Key Ratios:
Return on Average Assets
Return on Average Equity
Net Interest Margin (tax-equivalent)
Efficiency Ratio (4)
Dividend Payout

| 19,357 | 37,559 | 20,018 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 67,717 | 67,605 | 64,304 |
|  |  |  |
| $1.02 \%$ | $1.17 \%$ | $1.20 \%$ |
| $11.40 \%$ | $13.08 \%$ | $13.67 \%$ |
| $3.82 \%$ | $3.76 \%$ | $3.77 \%$ |
| $57.52 \%$ | $55.37 \%$ | $53.61 \%$ |
| $55.07 \%$ | $49.35 \%$ | $47.44 \%$ |

Asset Quality:
Non-performing Loans 2,751 3,918 3,658

Non-performing Assets
4,938 2,829 3,678
Net Loan Charge-Offs to Average Loans
$0.30 \% \quad 0.19 \% \quad 0.33 \%$
Allowance for Loan Losses to Period-End Loans
$1.64 \% 1.60 \% 1.60 \%$
Allowance for Loan Losses to Non-performing Loans (5)
135\% 227\%
157\%
Non-performing Loans to Period-End Loans
$0.70 \%$
1.01\%
(1) Amortization of goodwill was discontinued with retroactive effect back to January 1, 2002 upon adoption of SFAS No. 147 during the third quarter of 2003.
(2) All per share amounts have been adjusted for the 4 for 1 stock split approved on September 5, 2003.
(3) Tangible book value numbers exclude goodwill and intangible assets associated with prior business combinations.
(4) The efficiency ratio is calculated by dividing total non-interest expense less amortization of intangibles and other real estate expense by tax-equivalent net interest income plus non-interest income other than securities gains and losses.
(5) Non-performing loans include non-accrual loans, troubled debt restructured loans and accruing loans 90 days or more delinquent.
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Table of Selected Quarterly Financial Data:

2005


| Other non-interest income |  | 1,261 |  | 1,308 |  | 1,303 |  | 1,170 |  | 1,161 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-interest expense |  | 4,641 |  | 4,812 |  | 4,845 |  | 4,553 |  | 4,463 |  |
| Income before income tax expense <br> Income tax expense |  | 2,098 505 | 2,098 | 2,682 700 |  | 2,747 748 |  | 2,932 762 |  | 3,118 799 |  |
| Net income | \$ | 1,593 | \$ | 1,982 | \$ | 1,999 | \$ | 2,170 | \$ | 2,319 | \$ |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ | 0.14 | \$ | 0.18 | \$ | 0.18 | \$ | 0.19 | \$ | 0.21 | \$ |

Basic weighted average shares outstanding
$11,158,81311,163,09211,171,11411,186,27511,202,08711,2$

Net interest margin (tax equivalent) (1)
Return on average assets

| $3.78 \%$ | $3.85 \%$ | $3.85 \%$ | $3.81 \%$ | $3.95 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $0.84 \%$ | $1.04 \%$ | $1.06 \%$ | $1.16 \%$ | $1.23 \%$ |
| $9.37 \%$ | $11.54 \%$ | $11.76 \%$ | $12.94 \%$ | $13.57 \%$ |
| $57.30 \%$ | $57.97 \%$ | $58.57 \%$ | $56.21 \%$ | $53.99 \%$ |

(1) Net interest margin (tax-equivalent) is tax-equivalent net interest income divided by average earning assets.
(2) The Efficiency Ratio is calculated by dividing total non-interest expense less amortization of intangibles and other real estate expense by tax-equivalent net interest income plus non-interest income other than securities gains and losses

## $24-K$

ITEM 7: MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

## A. General

The primary objective of this financial review is to provide an overview of the financial condition and results of operations of The Wilber Corporation and its subsidiary for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2005. This discussion and tabular presentations should be read in conjunction with the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes presented in PART II, Item 8, of this document.

Our financial performance is heavily dependent upon net interest income, which is the difference between the interest and dividend income earned on our loans and investment securities less the interest paid on our deposits and borrowings. Results of operations are also affected by the provision for loan losses, investment securities gains (losses), service charges and penalty fees on deposit accounts, fees collected for trust and investment services, insurance commission income, the increase on the cash surrender value on bank owned life insurance, other service fees, other income and taxes. Our non-interest expenses primarily consist of salaries, employee benefits, occupancy expense, furniture and equipment expense, computer service fees, advertising and marketing, professional fees, and other miscellaneous expenses. Results of operations are also influenced by general economic conditions (particularly changes in interest rates), competitive conditions, government policies, changes in Federal or State
tax law, and the actions of our regulatory authorities.

Critical Accounting Policies. Management of the Company considers the accounting policy relating to the allowance for loan losses to be a critical accounting policy given the uncertainty in evaluating the level of the allowance required to cover credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio and the material effect that such judgments can have on the results of operations. While management's current evaluation of the allowance for loan losses indicates that the allowance is adequate, under adversely different conditions or assumptions, the allowance would need to be increased. For example, if historical loan loss experience significantly worsened or if current economic conditions significantly deteriorated, additional provisions for loan losses would be required to increase the allowance for loan losses. In addition, the assumptions and estimates used in the internal reviews of the company's non-performing loans and potential problem loans have a significant impact on the overall analysis of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses. While management has concluded that the evaluation of collateral values was reasonable under the circumstances for each of the reported periods, if collateral valuations were significantly lowered the Company's allowance for loan losses would also require an additional provision for loan losses.

Our policy on the allowance for loan losses is disclosed in Note 1 of the Consolidated Financial Statements. A more detailed description of the allowance for loan losses is included in PART II, Item 7 C.a., of this document. All accounting policies are important, and as such, we encourage the reader to review each of the policies included in Note 1 of the Consolidated Financial Statements (provided in PART II, Item 8, of this document) to obtain a better understanding of how our financial performance is reported.

Accounting for Branch Acquisition. On February 4, 2005, the Bank acquired two branch offices from HSBC Bank USA, National Association ("HSBC"), one in Sidney, New York, and one in Walton, New York. Under the terms of our agreement with HSBC, we assumed deposit liabilities with a fair value of $\$ 32.967$ million, and acquired loans with a fair value of $\$ 7.635$ million, and property, equipment, and other tangible assets with a fair value of $\$ 484$ thousand. This acquisition was accounted for as a business combination in accordance with SFAS No. 141. SFAS No. 141 states that a business combination occurs when an entity acquires net assets that constitute a business, as defined by Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") Issue No. 98-3, "Determining Whether a Non-monetary Transaction Involves Receipt of Productive Assets or of a Business." EITF No. 98-3 states that a business is a self-sustaining integrated set of activities and assets conducted and managed for the purpose of providing a return to investors. A business consists of inputs (long-lived assets, intellectual property, the ability to obtain access to necessary materials or rights, employees, etc.), processes (the existence of systems necessary for normal, self sustaining operations) and outputs (the ability to obtain access to customers).

The branch purchase involved the acquisition of (i) long-lived and intangible assets (building, core deposit intangible, and equipment), (ii) employees (branch management and staff), (iii) certain processes (administration of personnel, operational processes, and strategic management processes), and (iv) the ability to obtain access to customers who purchase outputs (deposit and loan customers and accounts of the acquired branch were included in the purchase). For these reasons, we recorded the acquisition as a business combination within the scope of SFAS No. 141. In connection with this acquisition, we recorded goodwill of $\$ 1.835$ million and a core deposit intangible of $\$ 492$ thousand.

The EITF reached consensus in March, 2004, regarding guidance provided in EITF issue No. 03-1, "The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and its Application to Certain Investments." The purpose of EITF issue No. 03-1 is to determine the meaning of other-than-temporary impairment and its application to certain securities, including debt and equity securities that are within the scope of SFAS No. 115. Guidance in EITF Issue No. 03-1 should be used to determine when an investment is considered impaired, whether that impairment is other-than-temporary, and the measurement of an impaired loss. This guidance also includes accounting considerations for securities subsequent to the recognition of other-than-temporary impairment, and requires certain disclosures about unrealized losses that have not been recognized as other-than-temporary. Portions of this guidance were effective for reporting periods beginning after June 15, 2004, and other portions will be deliberated further. This delay does not suspend the current requirement to recognize other-than-temporary impairments as required by existing authoritative literature. The Company has not identified any other-than-temporary impairment in its securities portfolio as of December 31, 2005. Subsequent to the FASB final deliberations, the Company will evaluate the potential impact on its process of identifying other-than-temporary declines in value of its debt and equity securities. Management does not believe that the provision, as currently written, will have a material impact on the results of operations for several quarters prospectively.

In 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, "Accounting Changes and Error Corrections." This statement replaces Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 20, "Accounting Changes", and FASB Statement No. 3, "Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements" and changes the requirements for the accounting for and reporting of a change in accounting principle. SFAS No. 154 shall be effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. Therefore, the Company has adopted this statement, as applicable, on January 1, 2006.

In December, 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board revised Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123R, "Share-Based Payment (Revised 2004)." SFAS 123R establishes standards for the accounting for transactions in which an entity (i) exchanges its equity instruments for goods or services, or (ii) incurs liabilities in exchange for goods or services that are based on the fair value of the entity's equity instruments or that may be settled by the issuance of the equity instruments. SFAS 123R eliminates the ability to account for stock-based compensation using APB 25 and requires that such transactions be recognized as compensation cost in the income statement based on their fair values on the date of grant. SFAS $123 R$ is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal quarters beginning July 1, 2005. Since we do not currently have an employee stock option plan or any other form of stock-based compensation, this pronouncement did not have any impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

## B. Performance Overview for Period Ended December 31, 2005

During 2005, several of our key performance measures decreased significantly, as compared to 2004. Net income, earnings per share, return on assets, and return on equity all decreased between the periods ended December 31, 2004, and December 31, 2005. Specifically, net income decreased from $\$ 8.618$ million in 2004 to $\$ 7.744$ million in 2005, an $\$ 874$ thousand, or $10.1 \%$ decrease. Earnings per share, return on assets, and return on equity decreased from $\$ 0.77$, $1.17 \%$, and $13.08 \%$, respectively, in 2004 , to $\$ 0.69,1.02 \%$, and $11.40 \%$, respectively, in 2005. Although our net interest income increased $\$ 976$ thousand between 2004 and 2005, it was offset by a $\$ 1.633$ million increase in non-interest expense and a $\$ 380$ thousand increase in the provision for loan losses. The increase in non-interest expense was driven by increases in salaries, employee benefits expense, computer service fees, professional fees, and other miscellaneous
expenses. During 2005, we converted our core computer system, focused resources on Bank-related compliance efforts, and incurred increases in professional fees related to compliance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes - Oxley Act. These endeavors required us to purchase substantial amounts of new computer hardware and software, engage computer, accounting and other professional service firms, pay overtime wages, and create several new full and part-time job positions. The increase in the provision for loan losses was due to a decline in some of our key loan quality measures.

Although several of our key performance measures decreased during 2005, we experienced growth in our primary lines of business, namely deposits and loans. Total deposits increased from $\$ 571.929$ million at December 31, 2004, to $\$ 604.958$ million at December 31, 2005, a $\$ 33.029$ million, or $5.8 \%$ increase. The increase in our total deposits was principally driven by our HSBC branch acquisition. During the first quarter of 2005 , we assumed $\$ 32.967$ million of deposit liabilities as a result of the acquisition. Similarly, total loans increased from $\$ 391.043$ million at December 31, 2004 , to $\$ 403.665$ million at December 31, 2005, a $\$ 12.622$ million, or $3.2 \%$ increase. This growth was driven by both the HSBC branch acquisition and our continuing efforts to increase the size of our small business loan portfolio.
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During 2005, some of our key loan quality measures worsened. In particular, the amount of non-performing loans and loans charged-off net of recoveries both increased. At December 31, 2005, total non-performing loans and total non-performing loans as a percent of total loans were $\$ 4.918$ million and $1.22 \%$, as compared to $\$ 2.751$ million and $0.70 \%$ at December 31, 2004, a $\$ 2.167$ million, or 52 basis point increase. During the fourth quarter of 2005 , the financial condition of one of our large commercial borrowers declined rapidly. This required us to place our loans to the borrower, totaling $\$ 973$ thousand, on non-accrual status. During the third quarter we also restructured a troubled loan for a community-oriented, not-for-profit business. The balance of the loan at December 31, 2005, was $\$ 871$ thousand. The loans to these two borrowers comprised $85.1 \%$ of the net increase in non-performing loans between December 31, 2004, and December 31, 2005.

During the fourth quarter of 2005, the amount of loans charged-off net of recoveries increased precipitously. We experienced a sudden spike in net charge-offs in the consumer loan and commercial loan portfolio. Through the end of the third quarter of 2005 , loans charged-off net of recoveries totaled $\$ 433$ thousand. During the fourth quarter, we recorded $\$ 758$ thousand in net charge-offs. Of the increase, $\$ 335$ thousand was due to the charge-off of loans made to one commercial borrower. The remaining portion of the fourth quarter increase, totaling $\$ 423$ thousand, was recorded in the consumer loan portfolio. Management attributes the sudden increase in consumer loan charge-offs to the change in the federal bankruptcy law that took effect during the fourth quarter.

The allowance for loan losses and the ratio of the allowance for loan losses to non-performing loans both increased between December 31, 2004, and December 31, 2005. The allowance for loan losses was $\$ 6.250$ million at December 31, 2004 , as compared to $\$ 6.640$ million at December 31, 2005. Similarly, the ratio of the allowance for loan losses to period-end loans increased from $1.60 \%$ at December 31, 2004, to $1.64 \%$ at December 31, 2005. The Company's management believes the allowance for loan losses was adequate at December 31, 2005, to absorb inherent losses in the loan portfolio.

The information provided in ITEM 7, Parts C through $F$, that follow provide additional information as to the financial condition, results of operations, liquidity, and capital resources of the Company.

C. Financial Condition<br>a. Comparison of Financial Condition at December 31, 2005, and December 31, 2004<br>Please refer to the Consolidated Financial Statements presented in PART II, Item 8 , of this document.

Asset Composition

Our assets are comprised of earning and non-earning assets. Earning assets include our investment securities, loans, interest-bearing deposits at other banks, and federal funds sold. Non-earning assets include our real estate and other assets acquired as the result of foreclosure, facilities, equipment, goodwill and other intangibles, non-interest bearing deposits at other banks, and cash. We generally maintain $92 \%$ to $95 \%$ of our total assets in earning assets. The ratio of earning assets to total assets we maintained during 2004 and 2005 was slightly greater than the ratio maintained by comparable bank holding companies.

Total Assets

During 2005, our total assets increased slightly, from $\$ 750.861$ million at December 31, 2004, to $\$ 752.728$ million at December 31, 2005, a $\$ 1.867$ million, or less than $1 \%$ increase. This compares to a $3.0 \%$ increase in total assets between December 31, 2003, and December 31, 2004. During 2005, we acquired $\$ 32.967$ million of deposit liabilities from HSBC, but repaid $\$ 31.109$ million of short and long-term borrowings. During 2005 our management repaid maturing borrowings as they came due, which curbed growth in total assets. Throughout most of 2005 the yield curve was flat. This interest rate environment reduced the Bank's ability to earn spread on borrowed funds, which prompted management to repay rather than renew most borrowings during 2005.

## Investment Securities

Our investment securities portfolio consists of trading, available-for-sale, and held-to-maturity securities. The following table summarizes our trading, available-for-sale, and held-to-maturity investment securities portfolio for the periods indicated.

Summary of Investment Securities:

At December

(In thousands)

Trading (1):


Available-for-sale:

| U.S. Treasuries | \$10,952 | \$10,866 | \$4,960 | \$5,016 | \$3, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Obligations of U.S. Government Corporations and Agencies | 25,444 | 25,091 | 11,989 | 11,954 | 2, |
| Obligations of States and Political Subdivisions (Municipal Bonds) | 55,080 | 54,638 | 66,656 | 67,745 | 56, |
| Mortgage - Backed Securities | 146,463 | 143,248 | 159,289 | 158,645 | 192, |
| Corporate Bonds | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13, |
| Equity securities | 6,356 | 6,507 | 5,870 | 6,055 | 4 |
| Total available-for-sale | \$244,295 | \$240,350 | \$248,764 | \$249,415 | \$272, |
| Held-to-maturity: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Obligations of States and Political |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subdivisions (Municipal Bonds) | \$10,655 | \$10,633 | \$7,811 | \$7,999 | \$6, |
| Mortgage-Backed Securities | 44,284 | 43,204 | 51,652 | 51,325 | 37, |
| Total held-to-maturity | \$54,939 | \$53,837 | \$59,463 | \$59,324 | \$44, |

(1) These securities are held by the Company for its non-qualified Executive Deferred Compensation plan.

Between December 31, 2004, and December 31, 2005, our investment securities portfolio (including trading, available-for-sale, and held-to-maturity) decreased $\$ 13.551$ million, or $4.4 \%$. During 2005, we sold or had mature $\$ 80.232$ million of available-for-sale and held-to-maturity investment securities versus new purchases of $\$ 71.895$ million. Proceeds from the sale or maturity of the investment securities portfolio in excess of new purchases were generally used to fund growth in our loan portfolio.

During 2005, we continued to reduce our concentration in mortgage-backed securities. These include both mortgage pass-through securities and collateralized mortgage obligations. At the end of 2005, our mortgage-backed securities portfolio comprised $63.2 \%$ of the carrying value of our investment securities portfolio. This compares to $67.6 \%$ and $71.9 \%$ at the end of 2004 and 2003, respectively. During 2003 and 2004, residential mortgage interest rates were very low. This boosted housing starts and provided considerable incentive for consumers to refinance their existing home. This, in turn, significantly accelerated the level of principal pre-payments on our mortgage-backed securities, requiring us to record unprecedented levels of amortization of premiums on investments in those years. Since the prepayment options embedded in mortgage-backed securities provides for more volatile investment securities cash flows and yields, the Bank's management reduced its concentration in mortgage-backed securities during 2004 and 2005 and increased its holdings of U.S. Treasury and U.S. government corporation and agency bonds.

The estimated fair value of the investment portfolio is largely dependent upon the interest rate environment at the time the market price is determined. As interest rates decline, the estimated fair value of bonds generally increases, and conversely, as interest rates increase, the estimated fair value of bonds generally decreases. At December 31, 2005, the net unrealized loss on the available-for-sale investment securities portfolio was $\$ 3.945$ million. By comparison, at December 31, 2004, the net unrealized gain on the available-for-sale investment securities portfolio was $\$ 651$ thousand. The change in net unrealized investment securities gains / losses between the periods was due to several factors, including the general rise in interest rates between the periods, the change in the composition of our investment securities portfolio, as well as the sale of available-for-sale securities. During 2003 and 2004, respectively, we purchased $\$ 203.619$ million and $\$ 181.119$ million of
available-for-sale and held-to-maturity investment securities due principally to the high
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levels of prepayments on our mortgage-backed securities portfolio. During those periods the coupon rates and yields on investment securities were very low. For this reason, management anticipates that the Company's available-for-sale investment securities portfolio will remain in a net unrealized loss position during 2006.

The following table sets forth information regarding the carrying value, weighted average yields, and anticipated principal repayments of the Bank's investment securities portfolio as of December 31, 2005. All amortizing security principal payments, including collateralized mortgage obligations and mortgage pass-through securities, are included based on their expected average lives. Callable securities, primarily callable agency securities, and municipal bonds are assumed to mature on their maturity date. Available-for-sale securities are shown at fair value. Held-to-maturity securities are shown at their amortized cost. The yields on debt securities shown in the table below are calculated by dividing annual interest, including accretion of discounts and amortization of premiums, by the amortized cost of the securities at December 31, 2005. Yields on obligations of states and municipalities exempt from federal taxation were not tax-effected.

Investment Securities Maturity Table:

At December 31, 2005

| In One Yea | or Less | After One Year through Five Years |  | After Five Years through Ten Years |  | After |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weighted |  | Weighted |  | Weighted |  |
| Carrying | Average | Carrying | Average | Carrying | Average | Carryin |
| Value | Yield | Value | Yield | Value | Yield | Value |


|  | - | $0.00 \%$ | \$7,918 | 4.03\% | \$2,948 | $4.10 \%$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Obligations of U.S. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corporations and Agencies | 9,854 | 3.03\% | 15,237 | 3.75\% | -- | $0.00 \%$ |  |
| Obligations of States and Political |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subdivisions <br> (Municipal Bonds) | 5,084 | 3.98\% | 13,154 | 3.59\% | 36,932 | 3.83\% | 10,12 |
| Mortgage-backed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| securities | 9,131 | 5.13\% | 145,236 | 4.21\% | 31,097 | 5.00\% | 2,06 |
| Total securities (1) | \$24,069 | 4.03\% | \$181,545 | 4.12\% | \$70,977 | $4.35 \%$ | \$12,19 |

(1) This table excludes trading securities totaling $\$ 1.542$ million and other
equity securities totaling $\$ 6.507$ million at December 31, 2005.
At December 31, 2005, the approximate weighted average maturity for all of the Bank's available-for-sale and held-to-maturity debt securities was 4.4 years. This estimate was established based upon projected cash flows provided by a third party investment securities analyst and is used to provide comparisons with other companies in the banking industry. Our estimate fluctuates considerably from period to period due to our concentration in mortgage-backed securities.

The credit quality of our debt securities is strong. At December 31, 2005, 99.8\% of the securities held in our available-for-sale and held-to-maturity investment securities portfolio were rated "A" or better by Moody's credit rating services; $95.1 \%$ were rated AAA. This compares to $99.8 \%$ and $94.1 \%$, respectively, for the period ended December 31, 2004.

At December 31, 2005, we also held $\$ 6.507$ million of equity securities including: $\$ 3.254$ million in FHLBNY stock; a $\$ 1.829$ million equity interest in a Small Business Investment Company, Meridian Venture Partners II, L.P; \$1.229 million of common stock holdings of other banking institutions; \$135 thousand of Federal Reserve Bank of New York stock; $\$ 35$ thousand in New York Business Development Corporation stock; and $\$ 25$ thousand in a money market mutual fund. By comparison, at December 31, 2004; equity securities totaled $\$ 6.055$ million at estimated fair value. The increase in the estimated fair value of equity securities between the periods totaling $\$ 452$ thousand was due to a $\$ 592$ thousand increase in the common stock of other banking institutions (primarily due to additional security purchases during 2005) and a $\$ 200$ thousand increase in the Bank's investment in Meridian Venture Partners II, L.P., offset by a \$115 thousand decrease in FHLBNY stock and a $\$ 225$ thousand decrease in the money market mutual fund balance.

Interest Bearing Bank Balances and Federal Funds Sold

During 2005, we substantially reduced our time deposits with other banks. At December 31, 2004, time deposits at other banks were $\$ 10.099$ million, as compared to $\$ 2.700$ million at December 31, 2005, a $\$ 7.399$ million decrease. These balances are comprised of FDIC-insured certificates of deposit (in amounts of $\$ 100,000$ or less) in various FDIC-insured banks throughout the United States. All of the certificates of deposit were acquired by the Bank during the execution of
wholesale leverage transactions in 2000, 2001 and 2004. The certificates of deposit purchased in December 2000 and January 2001 (original total - $\$ 20.0$ million) were funded by equal amounts of FHLBNY advances with identical maturity terms. The cost of the advances were $0.70 \%-0.75 \%$ below the yield on the like maturity certificates of deposit at the time of purchase. All of the certificates of deposit currently in our portfolio will mature prior to December 31, 2007.

In the normal course of business, we sell and purchase federal funds to and from other banks to meet our daily liquidity needs. Because the funds are generally an unsecured obligation of the counter party, we only sell federal funds to well-capitalized banks that carry strong credit ratings. Given the daily fluctuation in our federal funds sold position throughout the year, it is appropriate to compare the annual average federal funds sold positions rather than the positions at the end of the periods. During 2005, our average federal funds sold position was $\$ 8.110$ million. By comparison, during 2004 , our average
federal funds sold position was $\$ 6.346$ million.
Loan Portfolio
---------------1

General. The total loan portfolio increased by $\$ 12.622$ million, or $3.2 \%$, during 2005. Total loans outstanding at December 31, 2005, were $\$ 403.665$ million, as compared to $\$ 391.043$ million at December 31, 2004. During 2005, we acquired $\$ 7.635$ million of loans during the HSBC branch acquisition and continued to increase the loans outstanding in the commercial and commercial real estate categories. This was due to our efforts to expand our market area and focus our resources on this type of lending. During 2005, we established a new representative loan production office in the Syracuse, New York (Onondaga County) market, continued to seek loan opportunities with select loan brokers, expanded our network of banks to grow participation loans, and maintained a geographic footprint for small business loans that extended beyond our primary market area. The following table summarizes the composition of our loan portfolio over the prior five-year period.

Distribution of Loans Table:

| 2005 |  | 2004 |  | 2003 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount | Percent | Amount | Percent | Amount | Percent |

(Dollars in thousands)

| Residential real estate (1) | \$123,748 | 30.7\% | \$119,103 | 30.5\% | \$118,571 | 32.9\% | \$125, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial real estate | 144,171 | 35.7\% | 129,516 | 33.1\% | 115,733 | 32.1\% | 104 |
| Commercial (2) | 69,651 | 17.3\% | 78,003 | 19.9\% | 65,031 | 18.0\% | 65, |
| Consumer | 66,095 | 16.4\% | 64,421 | 16.5\% | 61,571 | 17.1\% | 62, |
| Total loans | 403,665 | 100.0\% | 391,043 | 100.0\% | 360,906 | 100.0\% | 358 |

Less:
Allowance for loan losses

| $(6,640)$ | $(6,250)$ | $(5,757)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$397,025 | \$384,793 | \$355,149 |

(5)
---
\$352,
$===$
(1) Includes loans secured by 1-4 family residential dwellings, 5+ family residential dwellings, home equity loans and residential construction loans.
(2) Includes commercial and industrial loans, agricultural loans and obligations (other than securities and leases) of states and political subdivisions in the United States

During the prior five-year period the composition of our loan portfolio has generally shifted from one with a concentration in residential real estate to one with a concentration in commercial real estate and commercial (primarily small business) loans. However, during 2005 our residential loan portfolio increased modestly, primarily due to an increase in home equity loans. During
the first quarter of 2005 we acquired the loans of two branch offices of HSBC. The majority of the loans acquired were loans secured by residential properties. In addition, we continued to actively promote and competitively price our variable rate home equity line of credit product known as the "Prestige Line of Credit." The loan balances outstanding in the residential real estate category increased $\$ 4.721$ million or $4.0 \%$ during 2005, from $\$ 119.103$ million at December 31, 2004 to $\$ 123.824$ million at December 31, 2005.

Our commercial real estate portfolio increased during 2005 due to our continued emphasis on small business lending and the low interest rate environment. Specifically, our commercial real estate portfolio increased from \$129.516 million at December 31, 2004 to $\$ 144.171$ million at December 31, 2005, a $\$ 14.655$ million or $11.3 \%$ increase.
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Our commercial loan portfolio decreased $\$ 8.352$ million or $10.7 \%$ during 2005, from $\$ 78.003$ million at December 31,2004 to $\$ 69.651$ million at December 31, 2005. During 2005 two large commercial borrowers repaid their loans with us. One paid-off approximately $\$ 4.5$ million on a line of credit, which the Bank continues to maintain. The other borrower paid-off all loans with the Bank, totaling $\$ 5.220$ million. In spite of the decrease in this category of loans during 2005, we will continue to focus our efforts on increasing the balances of our small business loan portfolio prospectively.

During 2005, we increased our total consumer loans outstanding by $\$ 1.674$ million or $2.6 \%$. During 2005, we continued to broaden our automobile dealer network to increase the volume of our indirect automobile loans (secured primarily by used vehicles). These efforts resulted in a $\$ 1.791$ million increase in loans outstanding in this category. In management's opinion, higher levels of growth in indirect loans were curbed by a reduced level of used automobile sales in our primary market area. At December 31, 2004, our indirect automobile loan portfolio was comprised of 4,123 accounts, totaling $\$ 41.268$ million. This compares to 4,217 accounts and $\$ 43.059$ million at December 31, 2005 , a $2.3 \%$ net increase in accounts and 4.3\% net increase in indirect automobile loan volume outstanding.

The following table sets forth the amount of loans maturing and repricing in our portfolio. The full principal amount outstanding of adjustable rate loans are included in the period in which the interest rate is next scheduled to adjust. Similarly, the full principal amount outstanding of fixed-rate loans are shown based on their final maturity date. The full principal amount outstanding of demand loans without a repayment schedule and no stated maturity, financed accounts receivable, and overdrafts are reported as due within one year. The table has not been adjusted for scheduled principal payments or anticipated principal pre-payments.

Maturity and Repricing of Loans Table:

|  | Within One <br> Year (1) | One <br> Through Five Years | More <br> Than Five Years | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential real estate (1) | \$51,624 | \$10,249 | \$61,875 | \$123,748 |
| Commercial real estate | 52,861 | 9,826 | 81,484 | 144,171 |
| Commercial (2) | 39,203 | 11,202 | 19,246 | 69,651 |
| Consumer | 9,265 | 47,332 | 9,498 | 66,095 |
| Total loans receivable | \$152,953 | \$78,609 | \$172,103 | \$403,665 |

(1) Includes loans secured by 1-4 family residential dwellings, 5+ family residential dwellings, home equity loans and residential construction loans.
(2) Includes commercial and industrial loans, agricultural loans and obligations (other than securities and leases) of states and political subdivisions in the United States

The following table sets forth fixed and adjustable rate loans with maturity dates after December 31, 2006:

Table of Fixed and Adjustable Rate Loans:

Residential real estate (1)
Commercial real estate
Commercial (2)
Consumer
Total loans

| Fixed | Adjustable | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$26,395 | \$90,647 | \$117,042 |
| 93,034 | 50,084 | 143,118 |
| 28,735 | 16,130 | 44,865 |
| 58,800 | 1,454 | 60,254 |
| \$206,964 | \$158,315 | \$365,279 |

(1) Includes loans secured by 1-4 family residential dwellings, 5+ family residential dwellings, home equity loans and residential construction loans.
(2) Includes commercial and industrial loans, agricultural loans and obligations (other than securities and leases) of states and political subdivisions in the United States

Commitments and Lines of Credit. Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by us to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Those guarantees are primarily issued to support public and private borrowing arrangements, including bond financing and similar transactions. The credit risk involved in issuing standby letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loans to customers. Since most of the standby letters of credit are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. At December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004 standby letters of credit totaled $\$ 8.880$ million and $\$ 9.948$ million, respectively. At December 31, 2005 and December 31 , 2004 the fair value of the Bank's standby letters of credit was not material.

In addition to standby letters of credit, we have issued lines of credit and other commitments to lend to our customers. Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the loan agreement. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. These include home equity lines of credit, commitments for residential and commercial construction loans, commercial letters of credit, and other personal and commercial lines of credit. At December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004 we had outstanding unfunded loan commitments of $\$ 76.783$ million and $\$ 57.055$ million, respectively, representing a $\$ 19.728$ million or $34.6 \%$ increase period over period. The increase in the unfunded loan commitments was primarily due to growth in the unused portion of commercial and home equity lines of credit.

Asset Quality and Risk Elements

General. One of our key objectives is to maintain strong credit quality of the Bank's loan portfolio. The following narrative provides summary information and our experience regarding the quality and risk elements of our loan portfolio.

Delinquent Loans. At December 31, 2005, we had $\$ 2.062$ million of loans that were 30 or more days past due (excluding non-performing loans). This equaled $0.51 \%$ of total loans outstanding. By comparison, at December 31, 2004 we had $\$ 2.077$ million of this same category of loans that were 30 or more days past due (excluding non-performing loans). This equaled $0.53 \%$ of total loans outstanding. Management considers the level of delinquent loans as low (relative to prior years' levels) and attributes the low levels of delinquency to improved delinquent loan collection procedures implemented in 2001.

Non-accrual, Past Due and Restructured Loans. The following chart sets forth information regarding non-performing assets for the periods stated.

Table of Non-performing Assets:

| Dollars in Thousands | At December 31, |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 |
| Loans in Non-Accrual Status: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential real estate (1) | \$327 | \$141 | \$257 | \$222 | \$465 |
| Commercial real estate | 2,287 | 2,168 | 1,199 | 1,049 | 1,587 |
| Commercial (2) | 1,191 | 243 | 1,700 | 760 | 33 |
| Consumer | 61 | 9 | 8 | 3 | 5 |
| Total non-accruing loans | 3,866 | 2,561 | 3,164 | 2,034 | 2,090 |
| Loans Contractually Past Due 90 Days or |  |  |  |  |  |
| More and Still Accruing Interest | 181 | 190 | 123 | 717 | 329 |
| Troubled Debt Restructured Loans | 871 | 0 | 371 | 387 | 861 |
| Total non-performing loans | 4,918 | 2,751 | 3,658 | 3,138 | 3,280 |
| Other real estate owned | 20 | 78 | 20 | 22 | 857 |
| Total non-performing assets | \$4,938 | \$2,829 | \$3,678 | \$3,160 | \$4,137 |
| Total non-performing assets as a |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total non-performing loans as a percentage of total loans | 1.22\% | $0.70 \%$ | 1.01\% | $0.88 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ |

(1) Includes loans secured by $1-4$ family residential dwellings, 5+ family residential dwellings, home equity loans and residential construction loans.
(2) Includes commercial and industrial loans, agricultural loans and obligations (other than securities and leases) of states and political subdivisions in the United States
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Total non-performing assets increased from $\$ 2.829$ million at December 31, 2004, to $\$ 4.938$ million at December 31, 2005, a $\$ 2.109$ million or $74.5 \%$ increase. The substantial majority of the increase in non-performing assets was due to the decline in the financial condition of two of our large borrowers. During the third quarter of 2005 we restructured a credit facility for a struggling not-for-profit company. The loan balance at December 31, 2005 was $\$ 871$ thousand and was current under the restructured terms. During the fourth quarter of 2005 the financial condition of one of our large commercial borrowers deteriorated rapidly. Due to the borrowers financial condition and past due status, we determined it was necessary to place the borrower's loans with us on non-accrual status. The total loans outstanding with the borrower were $\$ 973$ thousand at December 31, 2005.

Other Real Estate Owned and Repossessed Assets. Other Real Estate Owned ("OREO") consists of properties formerly pledged as collateral on loans, which have been acquired by the Bank through foreclosure proceedings or acceptance of a deed in lieu of foreclosure. OREO is carried at the lower of the recorded investment in the loan or the fair value of the real estate, less estimated costs to sell. At both December 31, 2004 and December 31, 2005 we held insignificant amounts of OREO property totaling less than $\$ 100$ thousand.

Potential Problem Loans. In addition to non-performing loans, we have identified through normal credit review procedures potential problem loans totaling \$7.897 million or $2.0 \%$ of total loans outstanding at December 31, 2005. By comparison, at December 31, 2004, potential problem loans totaled $\$ 8.662$ million or $2.2 \%$ of total loans outstanding. Potential problem loans are loans that are currently performing, but where known information about possible credit problems of the related borrowers causes management to have doubts as to the ability of such borrowers to comply with the present loan repayment terms and which may result in disclosure of such loans as non-performing at some time in the future. Potential problem loans are typically loans that are performing, but are classified by our loan rating system as "substandard." Potential problem loans may fluctuate significantly from period to period due to a rating upgrade of loans previously classified as substandard or a rating downgrade of loans previously carried in a higher credit classification. Management does not believe the decrease in potential problem loans, totaling $\$ 765$ thousand between December 31, 2004, and December 31, 2005 to be significant or indicative of an improvement in the overall quality of the loan portfolio. Management cannot predict the extent to which economic conditions may worsen or other factors which may impact borrowers and the potential problem loans. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that other loans will not become 90 days or more past due, be placed on non-accrual status, become restructured, or require increased allowance coverage and provision for loan losses.

Loan Concentrations. At December 31, 2005 we had $\$ 33.210$ million of total loans outstanding and $\$ 1.795$ million of unfunded loan commitments secured by commercial rental properties. Total loans outstanding in this category comprised $8.2 \%$ of our total loans outstanding at December 31, 2005. We have nine (9) borrowers within this area of concentration that each has outstanding loan balances in excess of $\$ 1.0$ million. The aggregate amount of loans outstanding to these nine (9) borrowers at December 31, 2005, was $\$ 23.393$ million. All of these loans were in compliance with contractual payment terms at December 31, 2005. The remaining portfolio was comprised of approximately seventy-five (75) loans and spread amongst a diverse group of properties and borrowers. By comparison, at December 31, 2004, we had $\$ 22.707$ million of commercial rental property loans outstanding with seven (7) of these loans in excess of $\$ 1.0$ million totaling $\$ 12.873$ million.

At December 31, 2005 we had outstanding $\$ 28.538$ million or $7.1 \%$ of our total loans outstanding to borrowers who operate in the hotel / motel industry. By comparison, at December 31, 2004 we had outstanding $\$ 30.048$ million, or $7.7 \%$ of our total loans outstanding in this same category. The hotel / motel properties
that we finance are geographically dispersed throughout our market area and the broader statewide region. The Bank's Board of Directors has established a specific exposure guideline for this hotel / motel industry at 50\% of the Bank's total equity capital, which at December 31, 2005 was $\$ 32.449$ million.

At December 31, 2005 we had $\$ 25.350$ million of total loan outstanding and $\$ 2.054$ million of unfunded loan commitments to manufacturing companies. Total loans outstanding in this category comprised $6.2 \%$ of our total loans outstanding at December 31, 2005. This compares to $\$ 23.076$ million in loans outstanding and $\$ 8.795$ million of unfunded loan commitments to this sector at December 31 , 2004 . Although our borrowers operate in various industries within the manufacturing sector, we have experienced a general decline in the financial condition of several borrowers within this sector. We have eight (8) borrowers in the manufacturing sector with aggregate credit facilities in excess of $\$ 1.0$ million. Five (5) of these have either been placed on non-accrual status, identified as a potential problem loan, or identified as a "special mention" loan requiring special monitoring.

At December 31, 2005 we had $\$ 22.766$ of total loans outstanding and $\$ 1.418$ million of unfunded loan commitments secured by residential rental properties. Total loans outstanding in this category comprised 5.6\% of our total loans outstanding at December 31, 2005. Within this group we had six (6) loans to four (4) borrowers totaling approximately $\$ 9.6$ million that operate independent living, assisted living, or residential care facilities for senior citizens. None of these
loans was classified as substandard at December 31,2005 and all were performing pursuant to contractual terms. By comparison, at December 31, 2004 we had $\$ 22.257$ million of total loan commitments in this same category.

At December 31, 2005 we had $\$ 16.663$ million of total loans outstanding and $\$ 4.065$ million of unfunded loan commitments to automotive dealerships. Total loans outstanding in this category comprised 4.1\% of our total loans outstanding at December 31, 2005. The largest eight (8) borrowers comprise $\$ 19.380$ million or $93.5 \%$ of the loan commitments to this industry. Management monitors the dealer floor plan loans provided to six of these seven borrowers by conducting inventory reviews on a monthly basis.

At December 31, 2005 we had $\$ 13.943$ million of total loans outstanding and $\$ 6.135$ million of unfunded loan commitments to borrowers in the convenience store / fuel business. Total loans outstanding in this category comprised 3.5\% of our total loans outstanding at December 31, 2005. By comparison, at December 31, 2004 , we had $\$ 22.036$ million of total loan commitments to this industry. At December 31, 2005 none of the loans to these borrowers were rated substandard by our management. These loans were primarily distributed among five (5) borrowers. We have loan commitments totaling $\$ 8.000$ million to one borrower in this industry.

Other areas of concentration at December 31, 2005, include $\$ 12.724$ million of loan commitments to medical facilities and medical practices, $\$ 9.527$ million of loan commitments to general residential and commercial contractors, $\$ 8.632$ million of loan commitments to various restaurants, and $\$ 8.049$ million of loan commitments to religious and human services organizations. Although we classified these industries as areas of credit concentration, we believe the aggregate exposure to these industries do not pose unwarranted amounts of risk to the Company.

Summary of Loss Experience (Charge-Offs) and Allowance for Loan Losses. The
following table sets forth the analysis of the activity in the allowance for loan losses, including charge-offs and recoveries, for the periods indicated.

Analysis of the Allowance for Loan Losses Table:

Years Ended December 31,

| 2005 | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |
| \$6,250 | \$5,757 | \$5,392 | \$4,476 | \$4,003 |
| 20 | 133 | 174 | 93 | 225 |
| 0 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 148 |
| 364 | 121 | 193 | 402 | 123 |
| 1,091 | 639 | 1,109 | 926 | 905 |
| 1,475 | 944 | 1,476 | 1,421 | 1,401 |
| 39 | 20 | 10 | 0 | 24 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 |
| 29 | 51 | 78 | 250 | 88 |
| 217 | 166 | 188 | 167 | 181 |
| 285 | 237 | 276 | 417 | 334 |
| 1,190 | 707 | 1,200 | 1,004 | 1,068 |
| 1,580 | 1,200 | 1,565 | 1,920 | 1,540 |
| \$6,640 | \$6,250 | \$5,757 | \$5,392 | \$4,476 |
| $0.30 \%$ | $0.19 \%$ | $0.33 \%$ | $0.29 \%$ | $0.33 \%$ |
| $1.64 \%$ | 1.60\% | 1. $60 \%$ | $1.50 \%$ | $1.36 \%$ |
| 135\% | 227\% | 157\% | 172\% | 136\% |

(1) Includes loans secured by 1-4 family residential dwellings, 5+ family residential dwellings, home equity loans and residential construction loans.
(2) Includes commercial and industrial loans, agricultural loans and obligations (other than securities and leases) of states and political subdivisions in the United States
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The allowance for loan losses and the allowance for loan losses as a percent of total loans increased from $\$ 6.250$ million and $1.60 \%$, respectively, at December 31, 2004 to $\$ 6.640$ million and $1.64 \%$, respectively, at December 31, 2005. This represents a $\$ 390$ thousand and 4 basis point increase between the periods. In

2005 the provision for loan losses increased to $\$ 1.580$ million, versus $\$ 1.200$ million in 2004. During 2005, two of our key credit quality measures, namely net charge-offs and non-performing loans, worsened, as compared to 2004. These trends in our loan portfolio, as well as the decline in the financial condition of one of our large commercial borrowers during the fourth quarter of 2005, prompted us to increase the provision for loan losses during the fourth quarter to absorb the estimated losses embedded in our loan portfolio. Management and the Board of Directors deemed the allowance for loan losses as adequate at December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004.

Allocation of the Allowance for Loan Losses. We allocate our allowance for loan losses among the loan categories indicated below. This allocation should not be interpreted as a projection of: (i) likely sources of future charge-offs, (ii) likely proportional distribution of future charge-offs among loan categories, or (iii) likely amounts of future charge-offs. Additionally, since management regards the allowance for loan losses as a general balance, the amounts presented do not represent the total balance available to absorb future charge-offs that might occur within the designated categories.

Subject to the qualifications noted above, an allocation of the allowance for loan losses by principal classification and the proportion of the related loan balance represented by the allocation is presented below for the periods indicated.

Loan Loss Summary Allocation Table:

At December 31,


Residential real
estate (1) \$595
Commercial real
estate
3,171
1,512 ,
1,435

2,248
Commercial (2)
Consumer
Unallocated

Total

| 1,114 | $16.8 \%$ | 1,080 | $17.3 \%$ | 966 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 248 | $3.7 \%$ | 611 | $9.8 \%$ | 701 |


| \$6,640 | 100.0\% | \$6,250 | 100.0\% | \$5,757 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

At December 31,

| 2002 |  | 2001 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent of |  | Percent of |
|  | Allowance |  | Allowance |
| Amount of | for Loan | Amount of | for Loan |
| Allowance | Losses in | Allowance | Losses in |
| for Loan | Each | for Loan | Each |

## Dollars in Thousands

| Losses | Category | Losses | Category |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$718 | 13.3\% | \$598 | $13.4 \%$ |
| 2,051 | 38.0\% | 1,461 | $32.6 \%$ |
| 1,282 | $23.8 \%$ | 891 | 19.9\% |
| 1,017 | 18.9\% | 982 | 21.9\% |
| 324 | 6.0\% | 544 | 12.2\% |
| \$5,392 | 100.0\% | \$4,476 | 100.0\% |

(1) Includes loans secured by $1-4$ family residential dwellings, 5+ family residential dwellings, home equity loans and residential construction loans.
(2) Includes commercial and industrial loans, agricultural loans and obligations (other than securities and leases) of states and political subdivisions in the United States

Other Non-earning Assets and Bank Owned Life Insurance

Cash and Due from Banks. In order to operate the Bank on a daily basis, it is necessary for us to maintain a limited amount of cash at our teller stations and within our vaults and ATMs to meet customers' demands. In addition, we always maintain an amount of check and other presentment items in the process of collection (or float). We are also required to maintain a clearing / reserve balance at the Federal Reserve Bank of New York and minimum target balances at our correspondent banks. At December 31, 2005, we maintained $\$ 12.817$ million, or $1.7 \%$ of total assets in these categories of non-earning assets. This compares to $\$ 10.440$ million, or $1.4 \%$ of total assets at December 31, 2004.

Premises and Equipment. The net book value of premises and equipment increased $\$ 570$ thousand or $9.7 \%$ from $\$ 5.860$ million at December 31, 2004, to $\$ 6.430$ million at December 31, 2005. During 2005, we acquired $\$ 440$ thousand of real property and equipment in the HSBC branch acquisition and purchased significant amounts of computer equipment for our core computer system conversion and expansion activities.

Bank-Owned Life Insurance. The cash surrender value of the life insurance at December 31, 2005 was $\$ 15.530$ million, as compared to $\$ 14.975$ million at December 31, 2004. The increase was attributable to an increase in the cash surrender value of the policies between the periods totaling $\$ 555$ thousand. The policies are issued by four life insurance
companies who all carry strong financial strength ratings. The policies are issued on the lives of the Bank's senior management.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. As a result of the HSBC branch transaction in February, 2005, we recorded $\$ 1.836$ million in goodwill and $\$ 492$ thousand in core deposit intangible. No impairment of the goodwill from the HSBC transaction or previous transactions was deemed necessary during 2005. The $\$ 492$ thousand increase in core deposit intangible was offset by $\$ 171$ thousand of amortization expense on the HSBC core deposit intangible, as well as previously recorded intangible assets, resulting in a $\$ 321$ thousand net increase. The core deposit
intangible on the HSBC acquisition is being amortized over 5 years on a straight-line basis.

Other Assets. Other assets increased by $\$ 2.026$ million, or $18.0 \%$ from $\$ 11.253$ million at December 31, 2004, to $\$ 13.279$ million at December 31, 2005. Other assets are comprised of other real estate owned, interest receivable, prepaid dealer reserve, prepaid pension plan asset, computer software, net deferred tax assets, deferred taxes on investment securities, other assets, other prepaid items, and other accounts receivable. Several factors contributed to the net increase in other assets between the periods.

The largest contributing factor to the increase in other assets was the significant swing in our deferred taxes on investment securities between the periods. Our deferred taxes on investment securities increased $\$ 1.789$ million between the periods due to the significant decline in net unrealized gains / losses in our available-for-sale investment securities portfolio between December 31, 2004 and December 31, 2005. At December 31, 2004 the net unrealized gain on our available-for-sale investment securities portfolio was $\$ 651$ thousand. This compares to a net unrealized loss of $\$ 3.945$ million at December 31, 2005.

Composition of Liabilities

Deposits. Deposits are our primary funding source. At December 31, 2005 deposits represented $88.3 \%$ of our total liabilities, compared to $83.7 \%$ at December 31, 2004. At December 31, 2004 our total deposits were $\$ 571.929$ million. This compares to $\$ 604.958$ million at December 31, 2005, a $\$ 33.029$ million or $5.8 \%$ increase. The increase in total deposits was primarily attributable to our HSBC branch acquisition in the first quarter of 2005 , in which we assumed $\$ 32.967$ million of deposit liabilities.

At December 31, 2005, $\$ 343.095$ million or $56.7 \%$ of our total deposits were in accounts without a stated maturity date versus $\$ 261.863$ million or $43.3 \%$ of total deposits in certificates of deposit. This compares to $\$ 330.389$ million or $57.8 \%$ of total deposits and $\$ 241.540$ million or $42.2 \%$ of total deposits, respectively, at December 31, 2004.

The following table indicates the amount of our time accounts by time remaining until maturity as of December 31, 2005.

Time Accounts Maturity Table:

|  | Maturity as of December 31, 2005 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dollars in Thousands | 3 Months <br> or Less | Over 3 to 6 Months | Over 6 to 12 <br> Months | Over 12 <br> Months |
| Certificates of Deposit of $\$ 100,000$ or more | \$21,557 | \$12,369 | \$9,746 | \$34,475 |
| Certificates of Deposit less than \$100,000 | 29,340 | 21,005 | 29,493 | 103,878 |
| Total of time accounts | \$50,897 | \$33,374 | \$39,239 | \$138,353 |

Foreign Deposits in Domestic Offices. At December 31, 2005, we held $\$ 3.793$ million of deposits from foreign depositors. This compares to $\$ 13.824$ million at

December 31, 2004. The substantial majority of these deposit relationships were acquired during 1994 when we purchased certain assets and all of the deposit liabilities from the First National Bank of Downsville in Delaware County, New York. Due to the difficulty associated with servicing our foreign depositors, during 2005 we asked each of these customers to close their accounts upon maturity. At December 31, 2005, a few certificates of deposit and other interest-bearing accounts remained active.

Borrowings. Total borrowed funds consist of short-term and long-term borrowings. Short-term borrowings include federal funds purchased, treasury, tax, and loan notes held for the benefit of the U.S. Treasury Department, and securities sold under agreements to repurchase with our customers and other third parties. Long-term borrowings consist of monies we
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borrowed from the FHLBNY for various funding requirements and wholesale funding strategies. At December 31, 2005, our ratio of borrowed funds (including short-term and long-term borrowings) to total liabilities decreased as compared to December 31, 2004. Total borrowed funds were $\$ 71.829$ million or $10.5 \%$ of total liabilities at December 31, 2005, as compared to $\$ 102.938$ million or $15.1 \%$ of total liabilities at December 31, 2004 , a $\$ 31.109$ million decrease. During 2005, upon acquisition of the $H S B C$ branches, we repaid a $\$ 15.0$ million borrowing from a large money center bank. The monies were initially borrowed in the fourth quarter of 2004 to purchase $\$ 15.0$ million of available-for-sale investment securities with the intention of replacing the borrowing with the core deposit liabilities assumed in the HSBC branch acquisition. In addition, throughout 2005 we repaid other short-term and long-term borrowings as they came due. In prior years, management has borrowed funds at the FHLBNY for the purpose of acquiring investment securities or time deposits at other banks to increase net interest income. Management did not execute any new such wholesale leverage transactions during 2005 due to a poor interest rate climate, most notably the flat Treasury yield curve. See Note 8 of the Consolidated Financial Statements contained in PART II, Item 8, of this document for additional detail on our borrowed funds.

## D. Results of Operations

a. Comparison of Operating Results for the Years Ended December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004

Please refer to the Consolidated Financial Statements presented in PART II, Item 8, of this document.

Summary. Net income for 2005 was $\$ 7.744$ million. This was $\$ 874$ thousand or $10.1 \%$ less than 2004 net income of $\$ 8.618$ million. This resulted in a $\$ 0.08$ decrease in earnings per share. Earnings per share were $\$ 0.69$ in 2005 , as compared to $\$ 0.77$ in 2004. Although net interest income and several components of non-interest income improved year over year, they were negated by an increase in non-interest expense, an increase in the provision for loan losses, and a decrease in net investment securities gains. In 2005 net interest income increased $\$ 976$ thousand. This was principally due to an increase in both the volume and the yield on the loan portfolio. This improvement was offset by a $\$ 1.633$ million increase in non-interest expense. In 2005 we incurred significant increases in most components of non-interest expense due to expansion activities, the core computer system conversion, and significant regulatory compliance efforts, including Sarbanes-Oxley Act compliance and Bank Secrecy Act / Anti-Money Laundering compliance.

During the fourth quarter of 2005 , we recorded $\$ 800$ thousand in the provision for loan losses to increase the allowance for loan losses to a level that
reflected the estimated embedded losses in the portfolio. The substantial increase in the provision for loan losses during the fourth quarter was principally due to the substantial decline in the financial condition of one of our large commercial borrowers and increase in net charge-offs.

In 2004 we recorded $\$ 1.031$ million of investment securities gains due principally to the sale of $\$ 12.986$ million of available-for-sale investment securities. Low interest rates through the period allowed us to sell investment securities at substantial gains to our book value. During 2005 interest rates increased, which reduced the level of investment securities gains on available-for-sale securities. As a result, during 2005 we sold $\$ 9.350$ million of available-for-sale investment securities and recorded $\$ 469$ thousand of net investment securities gains.

Our return on average assets declined from $1.17 \%$ in 2004 to $1.02 \%$ in 2005 . Similarly, our return on average stockholders' equity also declined from 13.08\% in 2004 to $11.40 \%$ in 2005. In 2005 average assets and average stockholders' equity increased, while net income declined, resulting in a lower return on average assets and lower return on average equity.

Net Interest Income. Net interest income is our most significant source of earnings. During 2005, net interest income comprised $82 \%$ of our total revenues (the other $18 \%$ was due to non-interest income). This compares to 81\% and $19 \%$, respectively, for 2004 . For this reason, the following tables, including the Asset and Yield Summary Table, the Interest Rate Table and the Rate and Volume Table and the associated analytical narrative are important components of our results of operations.

The following table summarizes the total dollar amount of interest income from average earnings assets and the resultant yields, as well as the interest expense and rate paid on average interest bearing liabilities. No tax equivalent adjustments were made for tax-exempt assets. The average balances presented are calculated using daily totals and averaging them for the period indicated.
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Asset and Yield Summary Table:

(Dollars in thousands)

| Earning Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Federal funds sold | \$8,110 | \$270 | 3.33\% | \$6,346 | \$81 |
| Interest- bearing |  |  |  |  |  |
| deposits | 9,006 | 449 | 4.99\% | 8,581 | 534 |
| Securities (1) | 297,965 | 11,908 | 4.00\% | 308,101 | 11,685 |
| Loans, Net (2) | 392,240 | 27,683 | 7.06\% | 367,328 | 24,865 |
| Total earning assets | 707,321 | 40,310 | 5.70\% | 690,356 | 37,165 |
| Non-earning assets | 46,394 |  |  | 46,394 |  |


| Total assets | \$753,715 |  |  | \$736,750 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Savings accounts | \$98,356 | \$677 | $0.69 \%$ | \$95,657 | \$601 |
| Money market |  |  |  |  |  |
| accounts | 42,667 | 1,145 | $2.68 \%$ | 28,773 | 328 |
| NOW accounts | 112,042 | 1,134 | 1.01\% | 122,640 | 1,023 |
| Time \& other deposit accounts | 277,649 | 8,984 | 3. $24 \%$ | 271,317 | 7,526 |
| Borrowings | 83,225 | 2,990 | 3. $59 \%$ | 82,929 | 3,283 |
| Total interest-bearing |  |  |  |  |  |
| liabilities | 613,939 | 14,930 | $2.43 \%$ | 601,316 | 12,761 |
| Non-interest bearing |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other non-interest bearing liabilities | 5,986 |  |  | 7,913 |  |
| Total liabilities | 687,715 |  |  | 670,855 |  |
| Stockholders' equity | 67,950 |  |  | 65,895 |  |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | Total liabilities and |  |  |  |  |
| Net interest income |  | \$25,380 |  |  | \$24,404 |
| Net interest rate |  |  |  |  |  |
| spread (3) |  |  | $3.27 \%$ |  |  |
| Net earning assets | \$93,382 |  |  | \$89,040 |  |
| Net interest margin (4) |  |  | 3.59\% |  |  |
| Ratio of earning assets to interest-bearing |  |  |  |  |  |
| liabilities | 115.21\% |  |  | $114.81 \%$ |  |
|  | For the Ye | Ended Dece | er 31, |  |  |
|  | 2003 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Average Outstanding Balance | Interest <br> Earned <br> /Paid | ```Yield / Rate``` |  |  |
|  | (Doll | in thousa |  |  |  |
| Earning Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal funds sold | 15,175 | 173 | $1.14 \%$ |  |  |
| Interest- bearing deposits | 14,149 | 928 | $6.56 \%$ |  |  |
| Securities (1) | 302,387 | 13,050 | 4.32\% |  |  |
| Loans, Net (2) | 352,935 | 24,477 | $6.94 \%$ |  |  |
| Total earning assets | 684,646 | 38,628 | $5.64 \%$ |  |  |


| Non-earning assets | 43,580 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$728,226 |  |  |
| Liabilities: |  |  |  |
| Savings accounts | \$89,087 | \$791 | $0.89 \%$ |
| Money market |  |  |  |
| accounts | 30,938 | 298 | $0.96 \%$ |
| NOW accounts | 121,288 | 1,353 | 1.12\% |
| Time \& other deposit accounts | 280,290 | 8,258 | $2.95 \%$ |
| Borrowings | 77,068 | 3,453 | 4.48\% |
| Total interest-bearing |  |  |  |
| liabilities | 598,671 | 14,153 | $2.36 \%$ |
| Non-interest bearing deposits$57,355$ |  |  |  |
| Other non-interest |  |  |  |
| bearing liabilities | 8,454 |  |  |
| Total liabilities | 664,480 |  |  |
| Stockholders' equity | 63,746 |  |  |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 728,226 |  |  |
| Net interest income |  | \$24,475 |  |
| Net interest rate |  |  |  |
| spread (3) |  |  | $3.28 \%$ |
| Net earning assets | \$85,975 |  |  |
| Net interest margin (4) |  |  | $3.57 \%$ |
| Ratio of earning assets |  |  |  |
| liabilities | $114.36 \%$ |  |  |

(1) Securities are shown at average amortized cost with net unrealized gains or losses on securities available-for-sale included as a component of non-earning assets.
(2) Average net loans equal average total loans less the average allowance for loan losses. However, for purposes of these computations, non-accrual loans are included in average loan balances outstanding.
(3) Net interest rate spread represents the difference between the weighted average yield on interest-earning assets and the weighted average cost of interest-bearing liabilities.
(4) The net interest margin, also known as the net yield on average
interest-earning assets, represents net interest income as a percentage of average interest-earning assets.
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Net interest income increased $\$ 976$ thousand or 4.0\% in 2005. During 2005 national interest rates increased (see comparative interest rate table below for an 8 quarter history of interest rates). These changes, particularly the changes to the national prime rate of interest (eight 25 basis point increases totaling 200 basis points), helped increase the average yield on net loans from $6.77 \%$ in 2004 to 7.06\% in 2005. During 2005 we maintained approximately $\$ 155$ million in variable rate loans. The substantial majority of these variable rate loans were indexed to the national prime rate, which contributed to the improved yield on these assets. The interest income on our loan portfolio increased from $\$ 24.865$ million in 2004 to $\$ 27.683$ million in 2005 , a $\$ 2.818$ million or $11.3 \%$ increase. Also during the year, we increased the average dollar volume of loans outstanding through our loan production efforts and the HSBC branch acquisition. During 2004 the average volume of our net loans was $\$ 367.328$ million, as compared to $\$ 392.240$ million during 2005, a $6.8 \%$ increase.

In addition to increases in interest income on loans, during 2005 we recorded an increase in the interest income on our investment securities. Although our average volume of securities decreased during 2005, as loans grew and we repaid borrowings, we recorded a $\$ 223$ thousand increase in interest income on securities over 2004. During 2004, due to the very low interest rate environment, we recorded $\$ 2.180$ million in net amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts on investments. This decreased to $\$ 1.027$ million in 2005. Within our investment securities portfolio, we maintained a concentration of mortgage-backed securities. Many of these securities were purchased at premiums to their par value. As homeowners refinanced and prepaid their mortgages during 2004 due to the low interest rate environment, we received prepayments on these securities, which required us to record substantial amounts of amortization on the premiums. During 2005 the level of homeowner refinancing decreased due to higher residential mortgage interest rates, resulting in a decrease in the amount of amortization recorded on this portfolio. Our yield on investment securities was 3.79\% in 2004, versus 4.00\% in 2005.

Due to the rising interest rate environment during 2005 and the acquisition of the HSBC branches, we substantially increased the interest expense on our most interest rate sensitive deposits, namely money market and time accounts. During 2005, the interest expense on these two categories of deposits increased \$2.275 million. Throughout 2005 our competitors raised their deposit interest rates due to the higher national interest rate environment. To remain competitive and retain our customer's deposit accounts, we also raised our deposit rates. This rate increase, coupled with the HSBC branch acquisition and slight increases in less interest sensitive savings and NOW account interest rates, our total cost of interest-bearing liabilities increased from 2.12\% in 2004 to $2.43 \%$ in 2005, a 31 basis point increase.

At December 31, 2005 the Treasury yield curve was very flat. The two year and the ten year Treasury notes were both yielding 4.34\%. This flat interest rate environment inhibits our ability to earn net interest income, since banks typically earn net interest income by procuring short-term deposits and borrowings and investing those proceeds in longer term loans and investments. This practice, which is sometimes referred to as mismatching assets and liabilities, typically allows banks to enhance their "interest spread," which generates net interest income. If this flat interest rate environment persists, it may negatively impact our ability to increase net interest income for several quarters prospectively.

Comparative Interest Rate Table:

|  | 2005 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest Rates (1) | December | September | June | March | December |
| Target Federal Funds Rate | 4.25\% | 3.75\% | 3.25\% | 2.75\% | 2.25\% |
| NYC Prime | 7.25\% | 6.75\% | 6.25\% | 5.75\% | 5.25\% |
| 90 Day Treasury Bill | 3.97\% | 3.48\% | 2.98\% | 2.78\% | 2.23\% |
| 6 Month Treasury Bill | 4.32\% | 3.87\% | 3.12\% | 3.09\% | 2.56\% |
| 1 Year Treasury Note | 4.37\% | 3.88\% | 3.40\% | 3.38\% | 2.77\% |
| 2 Year Treasury Note | 4.34\% | 4.08\% | 3.65\% | 3.83\% | 3.09\% |
| 3 Year Treasury Note | 4.30\% | 4.08\% | 3.69\% | 4.03\% | 3.27\% |
| 5 Year Treasury Note | 4.31\% | 4.14\% | 3.77\% | 4.27\% | 3.65\% |
| 10 Year Treasury Note | 4.34\% | 4.29\% | 4.00\% | 4.59\% | 4.29\% |
| Federal Housing Finance Board National Avg. Mortgage Contract Rate (2) | 6.22\% | 5.83\% | 5.80\% | 5.68\% | 5.65\% |

(1) The yields and interest rates presented in this table are provided to us by a third party vendor on a bi-weekly basis. The interest rates provided in the table were obtained from the report nearest to the month-end.
(2) The Federal Housing Finance Board national average mortgage contract rate is presented with a one-month lag.

Rate and Volume Analysis
The following table presents changes in interest income and interest expense attributable to changes in volume (change in average balance multiplied by prior year rate), changes in rate (change in rate multiplied by prior year volume), and the net change in net interest income. The net change attributable to the combined impact of volume and rate has been allocated to each in proportion to the absolute dollar amount of change. The table has not been adjusted for tax-exempt interest.

Rate and Volume Table:

| Rate | Volume | Total | Rate | Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

(In thousands)
Earning assets:
Federal funds sold
Interest-bearing deposits
Securities
Loans

Total earning assets

Interest bearing liabilities: Savings accounts
Money market accounts
NOW accounts
Time accounts
Borrowings

Total interest bearing liabilities

Change in net interest income

| \$161 | \$28 | \$189 | \$19 | (\$111) | (\$92 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (110) | 25 | (85) | (46) | (348) | (394 |
| 621 | (398) | 223 | $(1,608)$ | 243 | $(1,365$ |
| 1,088 | 1,730 | 2,818 | (595) | 983 | 388 |
| 1,760 | 1,385 | 3,145 | $(2,230)$ | 767 | $(1,463$ |

acquired $\$ 4.800$ million of $F D I C$-insured certificates of deposit as part of a wholesale leverage strategy consummated in connection with the HSBC branch acquisition. The certificates of deposit acquired in the fourth quarter of 2004 were acquired at a much lower rate of interest than the certificates that had matured in connection with the 2000 / 2001 interest arbitrage wholesale leverage strategy. These activities resulted in a $\$ 110$ thousand decrease in interest income due to rate and a $\$ 25$ thousand increase in interest income due to an increase in the average volume between the periods.
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During 2005 we maintained an average balance in our federal funds sold account of $\$ 8.110$ million. This compares to $\$ 6.346$ million during 2004 . In addition, throughout 2004 and 2005 the Federal Open Market Committee raised the target federal funds rate by 325 basis points (thirteen 25 basis point increases). Due to these changes, interest income on federal funds sold increased $\$ 189$ thousand between 2004 and 2005, $\$ 161$ thousand due to an increase in rate and $\$ 28$ thousand due to the increase in volume of federal funds sold.

During 2005 the interest expense on all categories of our interest-bearing deposits increased relative to 2004 . The most significant increases between the periods were recorded on our most interest-sensitive deposits, namely time and money market accounts. This was due to both the rapidly rising short-term interest rates throughout both periods and the HSBC branch acquisition consummated during the first quarter of 2005. The total increase in interest expense on interest-bearing deposits due to rate was $\$ 2.100$ million. This compares to a net increase in interest expense on interest-bearing deposits due to volume of $\$ 362$ thousand. Between the periods, the average volume of savings, money market, and time accounts increased, while the average volume of NOW accounts decreased. The decrease in NOW account volumes was principally due to a decrease in deposit balances in our Electronic Money Management Account, a consumer-oriented deposit account. The increase in the average volume of savings, money market and time accounts was principally due to the HSBC branch acquisition.

The total interest expense on our borrowings decreased from $\$ 3.283$ million in 2004 to $\$ 2.990$ million in 2005 , a $\$ 293$ thousand decrease. During 2005 we repaid $\$ 37.807$ million of long-term borrowings. Most of these borrowings were borrowed in periods when borrowing costs were greater than the rates that prevailed during 2005. Although we re-borrowed $\$ 24.900$ million of long-term borrowings during 2005, the rates of interest on these borrowings were lower than the rates of borrowings that were repaid.

Provision for Loan Losses. During 2005 we recorded a provision for loan losses of $\$ 1.580$ million, or $0.40 \%$ of average total loans outstanding. This compares to $\$ 1.200$ million, or $0.31 \%$ of average total loans outstanding in 2004 . The provision for loan losses increased in 2005, as compared to 2004, due to a decline in the credit quality of our loan portfolio. During 2005, net loan charge-offs increased $\$ 483$ thousand or $68.3 \%$ from $\$ 707$ thousand or $0.19 \%$ of average total loans outstanding in 2004 to $\$ 1.190$ million or $0.30 \%$ of average total loans outstanding in 2005. Similarly, during 2005 we experienced an increase in the level of our non-performing loans. At December 31, 2005 we had $\$ 4.918$ million of non-performing loans outstanding versus $\$ 2.751$ million at December 31, 2004. This was a $\$ 2.167$ million or $78.8 \%$ increase between the periods.

Non-Interest Income. Non-interest income is comprised of trust fees, service charges on deposit accounts, commission income, investment security gains / (losses), income on bank-owned life insurance, other service fees, and other income. Non-interest income decreased modestly from $\$ 5.634$ million in 2004 to
$\$ 5.510$ million in 2005. This represents a $\$ 124$ thousand or $2.2 \%$ decrease. Increases in trust fees, service charges on deposit accounts, other service fees, and other income, were negatively offset by a significant decrease in net investment security gains, a modest decrease in commission income and a slight decrease in bank-owned life insurance income.

Total trust fees increased during 2005. Specifically, during 2004 we recorded total trust fees of $\$ 1.325$ million, as compared to $\$ 1.472$ million in 2005 , a $\$ 147$ thousand or $11.1 \%$ increase. The increase in trust fees between the periods was due to an increase in non-recurring estate administration commissions and trust account termination fees, as well as an increase in general service fees on trust, custodial, and investment management accounts. During 2004 we revised our trust account fee schedule. Accordingly, the increased fees imposed by the revised fee schedule impacted all of 2005 , as opposed to only a portion of fiscal 2004. In 2005 we recorded $\$ 118$ thousand in trust / estate closing fees, as compared to $\$ 81$ thousand in 2004.

Service charges on deposit accounts increased from $\$ 1.556$ million in 2004 to $\$ 1.615$ million in 2005, a $\$ 59$ thousand or $3.8 \%$ increase. During the second half of 2004 , we increased certain penalty charges on checking accounts, the impact of which was recognized for the full-year of 2005 versus only for a portion of 2004. In addition, due to the HSBC branch acquisition in the first quarter of 2005, the number of demand deposit accounts upon which we were able to assess service charges increased year over year.

Our commission income is generated from the Bank's insurance agency subsidiary, Mang - Wilber LLC. During 2005 we recorded $\$ 489$ thousand of commission income, as compared to $\$ 524$ thousand in 2004 , a $\$ 35$ thousand or $6.7 \%$ decrease. During 2005 our agency did not renew coverage on several large commercial property and casualty insurance accounts due to competitive factors. In addition the agency's personal lines property and casualty "book of business" did not grow substantially between periods. These two factors resulted in a net decrease in commission income.

During 2005, we recognized net pre-tax investment securities gains of $\$ 469$ thousand. This was a $\$ 562$ thousand or $54.5 \%$ decrease, as compared to 2004 . In 2004 we recorded $\$ 1.031$ million in net pre-tax investment securities gains. During 2005 we sold $\$ 9.350$ million of available-for-sale investment securities and an additional $\$ 70.882$ million in
available-for-sale and held-to-maturity securities matured or were called. By comparison, during 2004 we sold $\$ 12.986$ million of available-for-sale investment securities and had an additional $\$ 175.702$ in available-for-sale and held-to-maturity securities mature or be called. The principal cash flows from matured, called, and sold investment securities and the realized gains generated from those principal cash flows were greater in 2004 than in 2005 due to historically low interest rates experienced during 2004.

The income related to the increase in the cash surrender value of bank-owned life insurance decreased from $\$ 570$ thousand in 2004 to $\$ 555$ thousand in 2005, a $\$ 15$ thousand or $2.6 \%$ decrease. During 2005 the insurance companies that underwrote our bank-owned life insurance decreased the crediting rates to their policyholders because the yields on their investment securities portfolios generally declined during 2004 and 2005 as a result of the low interest rate environment.

Other service fees are comprised of numerous types of fee income, including merchant credit card processing fees, residential mortgage commissions, official
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check and check cashing fees, travelers' check sales, wire transfer fees, letter of credit fees, and other miscellaneous service charges, commissions, and fees. Other service fees increased substantially year over year. During 2004 we recorded $\$ 286$ thousand in other service fees, versus $\$ 471$ thousand in 2005, a $\$ 185$ thousand or $64.7 \%$ increase. The substantial majority of the increase in other services fees in 2005 was due to a substantial increase in mortgage commissions year over year. During 2005 we increased our marketing efforts and streamlined our mortgage origination process to increase the volume of mortgages we originate as agent for a large regional bank based in the Southeast. These efforts, coupled with the low mortgage rates prevalent during most of 2005, increased our mortgage origination fees by $\$ 159$ thousand, from $\$ 69$ thousand in 2004 to \$228 thousand in 2005.

Other income is comprised of numerous types of fee income, including investment services, lease income, safe deposit box income, title insurance agency income, rental of foreclosed real estate, and distributions from two insurance trusts, in which the Bank participates. Other income increased from $\$ 342$ thousand in 2004 to $\$ 439$ thousand in 2005, a $\$ 97$ thousand, or $28.4 \%$ increase. During 2004, we recorded $\$ 113$ thousand of investment services income, as compared to \$211 thousand in 2005, a $\$ 98$ thousand, or $86.7 \%$ increase. During 2003, we hired a financial planning and investment management specialist and licensed eight additional employees to sell investment services. The fee income improvements experienced in 2005 were the result of additional mutual fund, annuity, and investment securities sales generated by these employees. In addition, during 2005 we recorded a $\$ 25$ thousand increase in other income due to the improved performance in a title insurance agency in which we hold an ownership interest. During 2004 we recorded $\$ 18$ thousand of other income due to the title agency, as compared to $\$ 43$ thousand in 2005. This improvement was offset by a $\$ 25$ thousand decrease in the amount distributed from a credit life insurance trust in which we participate through our membership in the New York Bankers Association. During 2004 we received a $\$ 52$ thousand distribution from this credit life insurance trust, versus $\$ 27$ thousand in 2005.

Non-Interest Expense. Non-interest expense is comprised of salaries, employee benefits, occupancy expense, furniture and equipment expense, computer service fees, advertising and marketing expense, professional fees, and other expense. Total non-interest expense increased from $\$ 17.218$ million in 2004 to $\$ 18.851$ million in 2005, a $\$ 1.633$ million or $9.5 \%$ increase. During 2005, we acquired two branch offices from HSBC, opened a loan production office in Syracuse, New York, converted our core computer operating system, and completed a few significant compliance related projects, including documenting and testing our internal controls over financial reporting in compliance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act (Section 404). These efforts resulted in significant increases in several categories of non-interest expense.

Salaries expense increased significantly in 2005. Total salaries expense in 2005 was $\$ 9.040$ million versus $\$ 8.425$ million in 2004, a $\$ 615$ thousand or $7.3 \%$ increase. The $\$ 615$ thousand increase between periods was due to several factors. To service the accounts acquired from HSBC we increased our teller and customer service staff by ten (10) full-time employees. During the second quarter of 2005 we opened a loan production office in Syracuse, New York, and hired two (2) additional staff members. In addition, throughout 2004 and 2005 we provided salary increases to various members of the Company's staff for merit and cost of living purposes. And finally, due to our core computer system conversion and our Sarbanes-Oxley Act (Section 404) compliance efforts, we recorded \$78 thousand in increased overtime wages. These increases were partially offset by a $\$ 227$ thousand reduction in salaries expense related to the Company's profit sharing incentive and commission plans.

In 2005 employee benefits expense increased $\$ 296$ thousand or $12.8 \%$ from $\$ 2.316$ million in 2004 to $\$ 2.612$ million in 2005. Although various components of benefits expense changed year over year, the increase was primarily attributable
to three factors, namely group health insurance costs, F.I.C.A expense, and retirement plan costs. During 2005, we experienced higher claims on our partially self-insured group health insurance plan. This resulted in a $\$ 155$ thousand increase in plan costs year over year. In addition, during 2005 the expense associated with our pension plan increased from $\$ 477$ thousand in 2004 to $\$ 574$ thousand in 2005, a $\$ 97$ thousand increase. And finally, due to an increase in
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salaries expense, our F.I.C.A. tax increased from $\$ 580$ thousand in 2004 to $\$ 639$ thousand in 2005, a $\$ 59$ thousand increase.

On February 28, 2006, we "froze" our defined benefit pension plan and replaced it with a defined contribution 401 k retirement plan. Under the frozen plan, no future benefits will accrue for the plan's participants. We expect that the switch from the defined benefit retirement plan to the defined contribution 401 k plan will reduce retirement benefit related expense by $\$ 163$ thousand in 2006 .

Occupancy expense and furniture and fixture expense both increased during 2005 due to our expansion activities, increased utilities cost, and increased property taxes. In 2005 we recorded total occupancy and furniture and fixture expenses of $\$ 2.197$ million. This compares to $\$ 2.327$ million in 2004 , $\mathbf{a} \$ 130$ thousand or $5.9 \%$ increase. During 2005 our utilities cost increased $\$ 64$ thousand due primarily to higher fuel prices. Our school and land taxes increased $\$ 33$ thousand or $11.4 \%$ year over year due to increased property taxes assessed by the municipalities in which our main office and branch offices operate. In addition, we acquired an office building in the HSBC branch acquisition. And finally, building repair and maintenance costs due to increased snow removal costs and depreciation expense increased $\$ 27$ thousand and $\$ 17$ thousand, respectively, year over year.

Computer service fees increased from $\$ 598$ thousand in 2004 to $\$ 745$ thousand in 2005, a $\$ 147$ thousand or $24.6 \%$ increase. During the third quarter of 2005 , we converted our proprietary core computer operating system to a system more widely used throughout the banking industry. To complete the conversion we incurred significant computer consulting fees to: (i) convert existing data to the new system, (ii) build software interfaces between the core system and related ancillary computer systems, and (iii) set and test new system parameters. In addition, to operate the new system(s) on an ongoing basis we entered into various software licensing agreements and maintenance contracts with several hardware and software computer system vendors.

Advertising and marketing expense decreased $\$ 30$ thousand or 5.6\% in 2005, from $\$ 538$ thousand in 2004 to $\$ 508$ thousand in 2005. During 2004 we recorded a significant increase in advertising and marketing expense to support our market expansion activities. In addition, due to the low interest rate environment in 2004, we increased the promotion expense related to variable rate home equity line of credit. We reduced our expenditures on these marketing and advertising endeavors in 2005 due to our concentration on our computer conversion and compliance efforts.

Professional fees increased $\$ 197$ thousand or $38.5 \%$ in 2005, from $\$ 512$ thousand in 2004 to $\$ 709$ thousand in 2005. The increase was principally due to a substantial increase in independent auditor fees and an accounting consultant related to our Sarbanes - Oxley Act compliance efforts.

Other miscellaneous expenses include directors' fees, fidelity insurance, the Bank's OCC assessment, FDIC premiums and assessments, bad debt collection expenses, correspondent bank services, service expense related to the Bank's
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accounts receivable financing service, charitable donations and customer relations, other losses, dues and memberships, office supplies, postage and shipping, subscriptions, telephone expense, employee travel and entertainment, software amortization, intangible asset amortization expense, goodwill impairment, OREO expenses, gain / loss on the disposal of assets, minority interest expense, Amex(R) listing fees, and several other miscellaneous expenses. During 2005, other miscellaneous expenses increased $\$ 278$ thousand, or 10.6\%, from $\$ 2.632$ million in 2004 to $\$ 2.910$ million in 2005. The following table itemizes the individual components of other miscellaneous expenses that increased or (decreased) by more than $\$ 10$ thousand between the periods.
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Table of Other Miscellaneous Expenses:

|  | Year |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description of Other Miscellaneous Expense | 2005 | 2004 | Increase / <br> (Decrease) |
| dollars in thousands |  |  |  |
| Directors fees | \$200 | \$150 | \$50 |
| Accounts receivable financing servicing expense | 165 | 152 | 13 |
| Customer relations expense | 79 | 67 | 12 |
| Charitable donations | 84 | 107 | (23) |
| Office Supplies | 318 | 261 | 57 |
| Postage and Shipping | 270 | 224 | 46 |
| Travel and entertainment | 229 | 198 | 31 |
| Software amortization | 183 | 165 | 18 |
| Amortization of Intangible Assets | 171 | 84 | 87 |
| Minority interest for Mang - Wilber insurance agency subsidiary | 90 | 110 | (20) |
| Other losses | 36 | 25 | 11 |
| American stock exchange listing fees | 20 | 73 | (53) |
| (Gain) / loss on disposal of assets | (5) | 30 | (35) |
| All other expense items, net | 1,070 | 986 | 84 |
| Total Other Miscellaneous Expense | \$2,910 | \$2,632 | \$278 |

Income Taxes. Income tax expense decreased from $\$ 3.002$ million in 2004 to $\$ 2.715$ million in 2005, a $\$ 287$ thousand, or $9.6 \%$ decrease. The primary reason income tax expense decreased between the periods was due to a decrease in pre-tax income. Our effective tax rates for 2005 and 2004 were $26.0 \%$ and $25.8 \%$, respectively.

During 2004 and 2005 we recorded New York State income tax expense utilizing a statutory alternative minimum tax rate of $3 \%$. This rate was used because New York State tax law has allowed us to claim a $60 \%$ dividends paid deduction for dividends paid to the Bank by its subsidiary, Wilber REIT, Inc. This section of New York State tax law, namely, Article 32, may not be renewed in its current form, which would disallow the dividends paid deduction for taxable income earned in tax years beginning January 1, 2006. If this were to occur, our New York State income tax expense would increase in 2006 and beyond, negatively
affecting the results of operations. If passed, we expect the legislative change would increase our New York State income tax rate to 6.75\%.
b. Comparison of Operating Results for the Years Ended December 31, 2004, and December 31, 2003

Please refer to the Consolidated Financial Statements presented in PART II, Item 8 , of this document.

Summary. Net income for 2004 was $\$ 8.618$ million. This was $\$ 95$ thousand or $1.1 \%$ less than 2003 net income of $\$ 8.713$ million. Earnings per share also decreased slightly, from $\$ 0.78$ in 2003 to $\$ 0.77$ in 2004. Minor decreases in net interest income and other income and an increase in other expenses were partially offset by reductions in the provision for loan losses and income taxes. During 2004 net interest income decreased by $\$ 71$ thousand or $0.3 \%$, from $\$ 24.475$ million in 2003 to $\$ 24.404$ million in 2004. A reduction in net interest income due to rate totaling \$785 thousand was offset by an increase in net interest income due to volume of $\$ 714$ thousand. Similarly, other income decreased modestly from \$5.663 million in 2003 to $\$ 5.634$ million in 2004 . Decreases in trust fees, investment securities gains, bank-owned life insurance income, other service fees, and other income were offset by increases in service charges on deposit accounts and commission income. Other expenses increased $\$ 635$ thousand or $3.8 \%$ in 2004 due to increases in employee benefits expense, occupancy expense, computer service fees, advertising and marketing, professional fees, and other fees totaling \$810 thousand, offset by reductions in salaries expense and furniture and equipment expense totaling $\$ 175$ thousand. The provision for loan losses in 2004 was $\$ 1.200$ million, as compared to $\$ 1.565$ million in 2003, a $\$ 365$ thousand decrease due primarily to a decrease in net charge-offs and improved asset quality. Income taxes decreased from $\$ 3.277$ million in 2003 to $\$ 3.002$ million in 2004 , a $\$ 275$ thousand or $9.2 \%$ decrease due to increased tax-exempt municipal security income and a reduction in income before taxes.

Our return on average assets declined from 1.20\% in 2003 to 1.17\% in 2004. Similarly, our return on average stockholders' equity also declined from 13.67\% in 2003 to 13.08\% in 2004. In 2004 average earning assets and average
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stockholders' equity increased modestly, while net income declined, resulting in a lower return on average assets and lower return on average equity.

Net Interest Income. Net interest income is our most significant source of earnings. During both 2004 and 2003 net interest income contributed 81\% of our total revenues, as compared to $19 \%$ for non-interest income. Net interest income decreased $\$ 71$ thousand or $0.3 \%$ in 2004. Specifically, our net interest income was $\$ 24.404$ million in 2004, as compared to $\$ 24.475$ million in 2003 . Throughout 2004, national interest rates remained low relative to historical interest rates (see comparative interest rate table below for an 8 quarter history of interest rates). This interest rate environment allowed us to reduce the interest rates paid on our deposits (particularly time deposits) and borrowings, netting a reduction in interest expense and the total cost of interest-bearing liabilities. In 2004 our interest-bearing liabilities expense and cost were $\$ 12.761$ million and $2.12 \%$ respectively. This compares to $\$ 14.153$ million and 2.36\%, respectively, in 2003. A reduction in interest expense totaling $\$ 1.392$ million was offset by a reduction in interest income totaling $\$ 1.463$ million. In 2004 the interest income and net yield on our earning assets were $\$ 37.165$ million and 5.38\%, respectively. In 2003, the interest income and net yield on our earning assets were $\$ 38.628$ million and $5.64 \%$, respectively. The primary cause for the decrease in interest income and our total earning asset yield was the reduction in interest income on our investment securities portfolio
(including trading, available-for-sale and held-to-maturity).

Comparative Interest Rate Table:

(1) The yields and interest rates presented in this table are provided to us by a third party vendor on a bi-weekly basis. The interest rates provided in the table were obtained from the report nearest to the month-end.
(2) The Federal Housing Finance Board national average mortgage contract rate is presented with a one-month lag.

In 2003 our investment securities portfolio generated $\$ 13.050$ million in interest income resulting in a 4.32\% yield. By comparison, in 2004 our investment securities portfolio generated $\$ 11.685$ million in interest income and yielded $3.79 \%$, $\$ 1.365$ million reduction in interest income and 53 basis point reduction in yield. During 2004 , we experienced very rapid prepayments on the mortgage-backed securities sector of our investment securities portfolio, requiring us to record a significant amount of amortization of premiums on our investment securities. During 2003 we recorded a net amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts on investments of $\$ 1.682$ million. This compares to $\$ 2.180$ million in 2004, a $\$ 498$ thousand increase. Additionally, due to the high levels of prepayments and the low interest rate environment, we reinvested much of the investment securities proceeds in investment securities at investment yields below the investment yield on the maturing security, driving down our yields. Specifically, during 2003 and 2004 we received investment securities proceeds (primarily due to prepayments) totaling $\$ 344.788$ million, $\$ 156.031$ million in 2003 and $\$ 188.757$ million in 2004.

The yield on the loan portfolio declined from 6.94\% in 2003 to 6.77\% in 2004, a 17 basis point decrease. As existing loans matured and amortized throughout

2004, they were replaced by new loans at lower rates of interest. In spite of the decreased yields, the interest income on loans increased by $\$ 388$ thousand period over period due to a substantial increase in our average total loans outstanding. Average total loans outstanding were $\$ 352.935$ million in 2003, as compared to $\$ 367.328$ million in 2004, a $\$ 14.393$ million or $4.1 \%$ increase period over period.

During 2004 both the yield and average volume outstanding of interest-bearing bank balances decreased. This resulted in a $\$ 394$ thousand decrease in interest income year over year. In 2003 the average balances outstanding and average yield on interest-bearing bank balances were $\$ 14.149$ million and 6.56\% respectively. This compares to $\$ 8.581$ million and 6.22\% in 2004. During 2003 and 2004, several FDIC-insured bank certificates of deposit acquired in a wholesale
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leverage transaction during the fourth quarter of 2000 and first quarter of 2001 matured. The average yield on these maturing certificates ranged from 6.50 $6.75 \%$. During the fourth quarter of 2004 , we acquired $\$ 4.800$ million of FDIC-insured certificates of deposit as part of a wholesale leverage transaction. The interest rate paid on these certificates of deposit was lower (2.40-3.40\%) than the interest rates paid on the matured certificates, resulting in a decrease in our average yield on interest-bearing bank balances in 2004.

During 2004 the interest income earned on federal funds sold decreased, primarily due to a reduction in our average outstanding balances. During 2003, we maintained an average balance in federal funds sold of $\$ 15.175$ million, as compared to $\$ 6.346$ million in 2004 . Although the average yield on federal funds sold increased during the last two quarters of 2004 , our interest income decreased from \$173 thousand in 2003 to \$81 thousand in 2004.

During 2004 we offset our decrease in earning asset yields by decreasing the cost of interest-bearing liabilities. Specifically, the interest expenses and total cost of interest-bearing liabilities was $\$ 14.153$ million and $2.36 \%$ in 2003, as compared to $\$ 12.761$ million and $2.12 \%$ in 2004 , respectively. During 2004, we reduced our interest expense on our savings accounts, NOW accounts, time accounts, and borrowings, and only modestly increased the interest expense on our money market accounts.

The most significant decrease in our interest expense occurred in time accounts. During 2003, we recorded $\$ 8.258$ million of interest expense on time accounts. This compares to $\$ 7.526$ million of interest expense on time accounts in 2004, a $\$ 732$ thousand decrease. During 2004 the weighted average interest rate on maturing certificates of deposit exceeded the rates of interest being paid on new and renewed certificates of deposit, primarily because the maturing certificates of deposit were initially issued at a time when market interest rates were higher. This caused a reduction in the average cost of time deposits from 2.95\% in 2003 to $2.77 \%$ in 2004, an 18 basis point decrease.

During 2004 the interest expense and cost associated with savings accounts and NOW accounts also decreased even though the average balance maintained in both categories increased. In 2003, savings accounts balances averaged $\$ 89.087$ million. This compares to $\$ 95.657$ million in 2004 , a $\$ 6.570$ million, or $7.4 \%$ increase. In spite of this increase, the interest expense related to savings accounts decreased by $\$ 190$ thousand, from $\$ 791$ thousand in 2003 to $\$ 601$ thousand in 2004. During 2003 and 2004 we decreased the interest rate paid on our savings accounts as a result of the decrease in short-term interest rates during the second quarter of 2003. This decreased our average cost on savings deposits from $0.89 \%$ in 2003 to $0.63 \%$ in 2004, a 26 basis point decrease. Similarly, the
average cost of our NOW accounts decreased from 1.12\% in 2003 to 0.83\% in 2004 for this same reason. This reduced interest expense on NOW accounts from $\$ 1.353$ million in 2003 to $\$ 1.023$ million in 2004 , a $\$ 330$ thousand, or $24.4 \%$ decrease.

Our interest expense and average cost of borrowings decreased during 2004. Specifically, in 2003 our interest expense on borrowings was $\$ 3.453$ million, as compared to $\$ 3.283$ million in 2004 . During 2003 and 2004 respectively, we repaid $\$ 17.497$ million and $\$ 5.470$ million of high cost borrowings. These matured borrowings were replaced by $\$ 6.758$ million and $\$ 32.541$ million of new lower-cost borrowings in 2003 and 2004, respectively, which reduced the average cost of borrowed funds from 4.48\% in 2003 to $3.96 \%$ in 2004.

The cost of our money market accounts increased from $0.96 \%$ in 2003 to $1.14 \%$ in 2004 due to an increase in the 90-day Treasury bill rate during 2004. The majority of our money market account balances were deposited in our highest interest rate tier in 2004, which was indexed weekly to the 90-day Treasury bill rate. For this reason, as the 90-day Treasury bill rate increased during 2004, as did our cost of money market deposit accounts.

Rate and Volume Analysis. During 2004 we were able to maintain our net interest income near the 2003 levels because we increased the volume of our earning assets and reduced the cost of interest-bearing liabilities. Specifically, in 2004 net interest income decreased by $\$ 71$ thousand, from $\$ 24.475$ million in 2003 to $\$ 24.404$ million in 2004. The growth in the volume of our earning assets contributed an additional $\$ 767$ thousand in interest income in 2004 (over 2003), while the reduction in our interest-bearing liability costs reduced interest expense by $\$ 1.445$ million. These two factors combined nearly offset the decrease in interest income on earning assets of $\$ 2.230$ million due to a change in interest rates.

Interest income on investment securities decreased $\$ 1.365$ million during 2004, due to reduction in volume. An increase in the average volume of securities contributed an additional $\$ 243$ thousand of interest income in 2004, while a reduction in the yield on the securities portfolio decreased interest income by $\$ 1.608$ million. During 2004 we experienced very rapid prepayments on our mortgage-backed securities portfolio due to the low interest rates available on home mortgages. During 2004 many homeowners refinanced or repaid their existing mortgage to lower their interest rate or move into a new home. These rapid prepayments required us to amortize a significant portion of premiums paid by us to obtain these securities. Additionally, due to a high turnover rate on our investment securities portfolio in 2003 and 2004, many of the securities purchased were purchased at yields below the yield on the maturing security. The reduction in
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interest income on the securities portfolio due to a change in interest rates was the largest contributing factor toward our decrease in net interest income.

Interest income on loans increased $\$ 388$ thousand during 2004. Changes in interest rates caused a $\$ 595$ thousand reduction in interest income, while an increase in the average volume of loans outstanding increased interest income by $\$ 983$ thousand. Although interest rates declined on loans during 2004, we increased the interest income earned on loans by increasing loans outstanding.

Interest income earned on interest-bearing deposits (at other banks) decreased by $\$ 394$ thousand during 2004. A decrease in the average volume of interest-bearing deposits reduced interest income by $\$ 348$ thousand, while a decrease in the average yield reduced interest income by $\$ 46$ thousand.

Interest income on federal funds sold in 2004 decreased by $\$ 92$ thousand, from $\$ 173$ thousand in 2003 to $\$ 81$ thousand in 2004 . The interest income earned on federal funds sold decreased by $\$ 111$ thousand due to a reduction in the average volume of federal funds sold during the year. This reduction was partially offset by a $\$ 19$ thousand increase in interest income on federal funds sold due to the increase in the federal funds rate during the second half of 2004.

During 2004 we experienced a significant reduction in interest expense due to a reduction in the rate and volume of time accounts. During 2004 higher-rate certificates of deposit were replaced by new certificates of deposit at lower rates. Interest expense on time accounts decreased $\$ 732$ thousand in 2004 , as compared to 2003. Interest expense decreased $\$ 486$ thousand as a result of a reduction in rates and $\$ 246$ thousand as a result of a reduction in volume. The average cost of time deposits was $2.95 \%$ in 2003 , as compared to $2.77 \%$ in 2004 , while the average volume of time deposits decreased from $\$ 280.290$ million in 2003 to $\$ 271.317$ million in 2004 .

Savings accounts, NOW accounts, and borrowings experienced similar rate and volume patterns in 2004. In 2004 the average balances of all three of these categories of interest bearing liabilities increased, while the average interest rate paid decreased. The increase in the average balances resulted in additional interest expense due to a change in volume, while the change in the rates decreased interest expense. On the savings accounts, interest expense decreased $\$ 190$ thousand due to a $\$ 245$ thousand reduction in interest expense due to a change in rates, offset by a $\$ 55$ thousand increase in interest expense due to an increase in the average volume. On the NOW accounts, interest expense decreased $\$ 330$ thousand due to a $\$ 345$ thousand reduction in interest expense due to a change in rates, offset by a $\$ 15$ thousand increase in interest expense due to an increase in the average volume. And finally, on borrowings, interest expense decreased $\$ 170$ thousand due to a $\$ 421$ thousand reduction in interest expense due to a change in rates, offset by a $\$ 251$ thousand increase in interest expense due to an increase in the average volume.

Interest expense on our money market accounts increased $\$ 30$ thousand in 2004 over 2003. Interest expense increased $\$ 52$ thousand due to an increase in interest rates paid on money market accounts, while interest expense decreased $\$ 22$ thousand due to a reduction in the volume of money market accounts. The majority of our money market accounts are indexed to the 90-day Treasury bill rate, which increased during 2004.

Provision for Loan Losses. The provision for loan losses was $\$ 1.200$ million or $0.33 \%$ of average total loans outstanding in 2004 , as compared to $\$ 1.565$ million or $0.44 \%$ of average total loans outstanding in 2003 . This was a $\$ 365$ thousand or $23.3 \%$ decrease. The provision for loan losses decreased in 2004 as compared to 2003 due to a general improvement in the credit quality of our loan portfolio. During 2004 net loan charge-offs decreased by $\$ 493$ thousand or $41.1 \%$, from $\$ 1.200$ million or $0.33 \%$ of average loans outstanding in 2003 to $\$ 707$ thousand or $0.19 \%$ of average loans outstanding in 2004. Similarly, during 2004 we experienced a reduction in the level of our non-performing loans. At December 31, 2003, we had $\$ 3.658$ million of non-performing loans outstanding versus $\$ 2.751$ million at December 31, 2004. This was a $\$ 907$ thousand or $24.8 \%$ decrease between the periods. Delinquent loans also decreased between the periods. At December 31, 2004, we had $\$ 2.267$ million of loans or $0.58 \%$ of total loans outstanding that were 30 or more days past due (excluding loans placed on non-accrual status). By comparison, at December 31, 2003, we had $\$ 2.752$ million or $0.76 \%$ of total loans outstanding that were 30 or more days past due (excluding loans placed on non-accrual status). The potential problem loans did not change significantly between the periods. Potential problem loans increased slightly between the periods, from $\$ 7.846$ million or $2.2 \%$ of total loans outstanding at December 31, 2003, to $\$ 8.662$ million or $2.2 \%$ of total loans outstanding at December 31, 2004.

Non-Interest Income. Non-interest income is comprised of trust fees, service charges on deposit accounts, commission income, investment security gains / (losses), income on bank-owned life insurance, other service fees, and other income. Non-interest income decreased slightly from $\$ 5.663$ million in 2003 to $\$ 5.634$ million in 2004 . This represents a $\$ 29$ thousand or $0.5 \%$ decrease. Increases in service charges on deposit accounts and commissions income were negatively offset by decreases in trust fees, investment security gains, bank-owned life insurance income, other service fees, and other income.

Total trust fees decreased slightly in 2004. Specifically, trust fees totaled $\$ 1.383$ million in 2003, as compared to $\$ 1.325$ million in 2004 , a $\$ 58$ thousand or $4.2 \%$ decrease. The decrease in trust fees between the periods was primarily due to a reduction in non-recurring closing fees, including estate administration commissions and trust account termination fees. In 2003 we recorded $\$ 289$ thousand in trust / estate closing fees, as compared to \$81 thousand in 2004 . We partially offset this decline in 2004 by recording a $\$ 151$ thousand increase in fees on other trusts, custodial and investment management accounts. Although there was a decrease in the period end market value of trust accounts between December 31, 2003 and December 31, 2004, the average value of trust assets administered by the Bank increased, driving the increase in our trust fees. The decrease in the period end market value of trust accounts between the periods was primarily due to the reduction in value of a single trust account totaling approximately $\$ 26.600$ million.

Service charges on deposit accounts increased from $\$ 1.457$ million in 2003 to $\$ 1.556$ million in 2004, a $\$ 99$ thousand or $6.8 \%$ increase. During the second half of 2004, we increased certain penalty charges on checking accounts. This, in addition to a higher average balance of demand deposit accounts in 2004 versus 2003, increased our service charge income year over year.

Our commission income is generated from the Bank's insurance agency subsidiary, Mang - Wilber LLC. During 2004 we increased the number of policies through additional sales to customers and purchased a two-thirds interest in a small specialty-lines insurance agency located in Clifton Park, New York. These factors increased our commission income from $\$ 434$ thousand in 2003 to $\$ 524$ thousand in 2004, a $\$ 90$ thousand or $20.7 \%$ increase.

During 2004 we recorded net pre-tax investment securities gains of $\$ 1.031$ million on the call and sale of investment securities. This was a $\$ 33$ thousand or $3.1 \%$ decrease as compared to 2003. In 2003 we recorded $\$ 1.064$ million in net pre-tax investment securities gains. During 2004 we sold $\$ 12.986$ million of available-for-sale investment securities and had an additional $\$ 175.771$ million in available-for-sale and held-to-maturity securities mature or be called as interest rates declined. Our corporate securities were sold during 2004 to reduce the credit risk in our investment securities portfolio.

The income related to the increase in the cash surrender value of bank-owned life insurance decreased from $\$ 639$ thousand in 2003 to $\$ 569$ thousand in 2004 , a $\$ 70$ thousand or $11.0 \%$ decrease. During 2004 the insurance companies decreased the crediting rates for their policyholders because yields decreased on their investment securities portfolios as a result of the low interest rate environment.

Other service fees are comprised of numerous types of fee income, including merchant credit card processing fees, residential mortgage origination fees, official check and check cashing fees, travelers' check sales, wire transfer fees, letter of credit fees, and other miscellaneous service charges, commissions, and fees. Other service fees decreased from $\$ 325$ thousand in 2003
to $\$ 286$ thousand in 2004, a $\$ 39$ thousand or $12.0 \%$ decrease. During the second quarter of 2003, we lost our largest merchant credit card customer, which decreased fees related to this service from $\$ 58$ thousand in 2003 to $\$ 9$ thousand in 2004, a $\$ 49$ thousand decrease. This decrease was partially offset by an increase in our mortgage loan fees. During 2004, we increased our marketing efforts and origination process to increase the volume of mortgages we originate as agent for another bank. These efforts, coupled with the low mortgage rates, increased our mortgage loan fees by $\$ 30$ thousand, from $\$ 39$ thousand in 2003 to $\$ 69$ thousand in 2004 .

Other income is comprised of numerous types of fee income, including investment services, lease income, safe deposit box income, title insurance agency income, rental of foreclosed real estate, and distributions from two insurance trusts, in which the Bank participates. Other income decreased from $\$ 361$ thousand in 2003 to $\$ 342$ thousand in 2004, a $\$ 19$ thousand or 5.3\% decrease. During 2004 we recorded $\$ 113$ thousand of investment services income, as compared to \$54 thousand in 2003, a $\$ 59$ thousand increase. During 2003 we hired a financial planning and investment management specialist and licensed eight additional employees to sell investment services. The fee income improvements experienced in 2004 were the result of additional mutual fund, annuity, and investment securities sales generated by these employees. These improvements were negatively offset by a $\$ 50$ thousand decrease in income related to the Bank's investment in New York Bankers Title Agency East and a $\$ 28$ thousand net decrease in other miscellaneous income items.

Non-Interest Expense. Non-interest expenses are comprised of salaries and employee benefits, occupancy expense, furniture and equipment expense, computer service fees, advertising and marketing expense, professional fees, and other expense. Total non-interest expense increased from $\$ 16.583$ million in 2003 to $\$ 17.218$ million in 2004, a $\$ 635$ thousand or $3.8 \%$ increase.

Salaries expense decreased slightly in 2004. Specifically, total salaries expense was $\$ 8.425$ million in 2004, as compared to $\$ 8.548$ million in 2003, a $\$ 123$ thousand or $1.41 \%$ decrease. Although the net decrease in salaries was modest in 2004, there were several positive and negative factors, which contributed to the net change. Base salaries, overtime, and
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employee incentive pay increased by $\$ 276$ thousand, or $3.5 \%$ in 2004 , from $\$ 7.889$ million in 2003 to $\$ 8.165$ million in 2004 due to annual wage and salary adjustments for existing employees and expansion activities, including the addition of new employees. This increase was offset by a $\$ 399$ thousand decrease in salaries expense recorded between the periods due to our deferred compensation plan for executives. Under the plan, participants may defer a portion of their salary into the plan. The deferred amounts are then invested in either "phantom stock units" of the Company or other permissible investments allowed under the plan. The deferred amounts allocated to "phantom stock units" are indexed to the economic performance of the Company's common stock with changes recorded to salaries expense. During 2004, we recorded $\$ 83$ thousand of expense due to the "phantom stock units" component of the plan, as compared to $\$ 395$ thousand during 2003, a $\$ 312$ thousand decrease. Similarly, during 2004 we recorded $\$ 177$ thousand of expense due to the other investments held by the plan, as compared to $\$ 264$ thousand in 2003, an $\$ 87$ thousand decrease.

During 2004, we recorded $\$ 2.316$ million of employee benefits expense, as compared to $\$ 2.230$ million in 2003, an $\$ 86$ thousand or $3.9 \%$ increase between the periods. The net increase in benefits expense, although modest, was due to several positive and negative factors. Our group health insurance expenses increased from $\$ 650$ thousand in 2003 to $\$ 717$ thousand in 2004 , a $\$ 67$ thousand or
9.3\% increase due to increased claims and plan administration costs. In addition, during 2004 we recorded increases in F.I.C.A. expense (due to increased salaries expense), group life insurance, group disability, employee education, supplemental executive retirement plan benefits, and other benefits totaling $\$ 95$ thousand. These increases were partially offset by a $\$ 67$ thousand decrease in retirement plan expenses and a $\$ 9$ thousand decrease in workers compensation expense between the periods.

Our net occupancy expense on bank premises increased $\$ 86$ thousand or $6.3 \%$ from $\$ 1.360$ million in 2003 to $\$ 1.446$ million in 2004 . During 2004 , building repairs, utilities, insurance, building rental expense, school and land taxes, and depreciation expense all increased due to general inflationary-type increases and the establishment of a new full-service branch office in Johnson City (Broome County), New York, and a representative loan production office in Kingston (Ulster County), New York.

Furniture and equipment expense decreased $\$ 52$ thousand or $6.5 \%$ in 2004 , from $\$ 803$ thousand in 2003 to $\$ 751$ thousand in 2004 . During 2004, equipment maintenance and repair costs and depreciation expense declined by $\$ 30$ thousand and $\$ 26$ thousand respectively.

During 2004, we recorded a significant increase in computer service fees. Total computer service fees were $\$ 598$ thousand in 2004 , as compared to $\$ 305$ thousand in 2003, a $\$ 293$ thousand or $96.1 \%$ increase. During the third quarter of 2004 , we terminated a contract to convert our core computer processing system. At that time we were carrying $\$ 135$ thousand of prepaid conversion costs in other assets, which we expensed to computer service fees. The remaining $\$ 158$ thousand in computer service fee increases were incurred as the result of implementing new computer systems and data conversion costs related to increased information technology system demands and the pending core computer system conversion scheduled for the second quarter of 2005.

Marketing and advertising expense increased $\$ 102$ thousand or $23.4 \%$ in 2004, from $\$ 436$ thousand in 2003 to $\$ 538$ thousand in 2003 . Our market expansion activities, increased product promotions and an increased level of participation in community events drove the increase in our marketing and advertising expense in 2004 .

Professional fees increased by $\$ 103$ thousand or $25.2 \%$ in 2004 , from $\$ 409$ thousand in 2003 to $\$ 512$ thousand in 2004 . In 2004 we expanded the scope of our loan review process. We recorded $\$ 39$ thousand of additional professional fees expense for this purpose. Other various professional fees increases account for the remaining of professional fees increases.

Other miscellaneous expenses include directors' fees, fidelity insurance, the Bank's OCC assessment, FDIC premiums and assessments, bad debt collection expenses, correspondent bank services, service expense related to the Bank's accounts receivable financing service, charitable donations and customer relations, other miscellaneous losses, dues and memberships, office supplies, postage and shipping, subscriptions, telephone expense, employee travel and entertainment, software amortization, intangible asset amortization expense, goodwill impairment, OREO expenses, gain / loss on the disposal of assets, minority interest expense, Amex (R) listing fees, and several other miscellaneous expenses. During 2004, other expenses increased $\$ 140$ thousand or $5.6 \%$, from $\$ 2.492$ million in 2003 to $\$ 2.632$ million in 2004 . The following table itemizes the individual components of other miscellaneous expenses that increased or (decreased) by more than $\$ 10$ thousand between the periods.

Table of Other Miscellaneous Expenses:

| Description of Other Expense | Year |  | Increase / (Decrease) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2004 | 2003 |  |
| dollars in thousands |  |  |  |
| Directors fees | \$ 150 | \$ 168 | \$ (18) |
| Bad debt collection expense | 137 | 178 | (41) |
| Accounts receivable financing servicing expense | 152 | 100 | 52 |
| Customer relations expense | 67 | 31 | 36 |
| Charitable donations | 107 | 89 | 18 |
| Telephone | 178 | 227 | (49) |
| Travel and entertainment | 198 | 177 | 21 |
| Software amortization | 165 | 130 | 35 |
| Intangible asset amortization | 84 | 115 | (31) |
| Deferred reserves for unused loan commitments | 4 | 38 | (34) |
| Minority interest for Mang - Wilber insurance agency subsidiary | 110 | 89 | 21 |
| Other losses | 25 | 38 | (13) |
| American stock exchange listing fees | 73 | -- | 73 |
| All other expense items, net | 1,182 | 1,112 | 70 |
| Total Other | \$ 2,632 | \$ 2,492 | \$ 140 |

Income Taxes. Income tax expense decreased from $\$ 3.277$ million in 2003 to $\$ 3.002$ million in 2004, a $\$ 275$ thousand, or $8.4 \%$ decrease. The decrease in the income tax expense was primarily due to a net increase in tax-exempt income of $\$ 397$ thousand in 2004 and decreased pre-tax earnings. Our effective tax rates for 2004 and 2003 were $25.8 \%$ and $27.3 \%$, respectively.

Our income tax expense and effective tax rate were reduced in 2004 (as well as in prior years) because current New York State tax law allows us to claim a 60\% dividends paid deduction for dividends paid to the Bank by its subsidiary, Wilber REIT, Inc. Legislation has been proposed at the New York State level, which would change the tax treatment of dividends paid by real estate investment trusts, such as Wilber REIT, Inc. If the law is passed in its current form with an effective date of January 1, 2005, our annual income tax expense would increase by approximately $\$ 320$ thousand, increasing our effective tax rate to 28.6\%.

## E. Liquidity

Liquidity describes our ability to meet financial obligations in the normal course of business. Liquidity is primarily needed to meet the borrowing and deposit withdrawal requirements of our customers, to fund loans to customers, and to fund our current and planned expenditures. We are committed to maintaining a strong liquidity position. Accordingly, we monitor our liquidity position on a daily basis through our daily funds management process. This includes:
o maintaining the appropriate levels of currency throughout our branch system to meet the daily cash needs of our customers,
o balancing our mandated deposit or "reserve" requirements at the Federal Reserve Bank of New York,
o maintaining adequate cash balances at our correspondent banks, and
o assuring that adequate levels of federal funds sold, liquid assets, and borrowing resources are available to meet
obligations, including reasonably anticipated daily fluctuations.

In addition to the daily funds management process, we also monitor certain liquidity ratios and complete a liquidity assessment every 90 days to estimate current and future sources and uses of liquidity. The 90 day sources and uses assessment is reviewed by our ALCO. The ALCO, based on this assessment and other data, determines our future funding or investment needs and strategies. The results of the 90 day sources and uses assessment is reported to the Board of Directors of the Bank quarterly. We were in compliance with all of its internal liquidity policy limits at December

31, 2005 and December 31, 2004. The following list represents the sources of funds available to meet our liquidity requirements. Our primary sources of funds are denoted by an asterisk (*).

| Source of Funding |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| - Currency* |  |
| $\bigcirc$ | Federal Reserve and Correspondent Bank Balances* |
| $\bigcirc$ | Federal Funds Sold* |
|  | Loan and Investment Principal and Interest Payments* |
|  | Investment Security Maturities and Calls* |
|  | Demand Deposits \& NOW Accounts* |
| $\bigcirc$ | Savings \& Money Market Deposits* |
|  | Certificates of Deposit and Other Time Deposits* |
| $\bigcirc$ | Repurchase Agreements* |
| $\bigcirc$ | FHLBNY Advances / Lines of Credit* |
|  | Sale of Available-for-Sale Investment Securities |
|  | Brokered Deposits |
| $\bigcirc$ | Correspondent Lines of Credit |
|  | Fed. Reserve Discount Window Borrowings |
|  | Sale of Loans |
|  | Proceeds from Issuance of Equity Securities |
|  | Branch Acquisition |
|  | Cash Surrender Value of Bank-Owned Life Insurance |

Our liquidity position did not materially change between December 31, 2004 and December 31,2005 . We maintained adequate amounts of cash and cash equivalents at both period ends to meet anticipated short-term funding needs. In addition, our ability to meet unanticipated funding needs was strong. At December 31,2004 we maintained $\$ 64.622$ million of available-for-sale investment securities that could be pledged for borrowings or sold to meet unanticipated funding needs. This compares to $\$ 63.472$ million at December 31, 2004. In addition, we maintained a $\$ 10.000$ million credit facility at a correspondent bank, in the event we needed to borrow federal funds on an overnight basis. This compares to $\$ 7.600$ million at December 31, 2004. In addition, at December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004, our total loan to total asset ratio of $53.6 \%$ and $52.1 \%$, respectively, were low relative to our comparative peer group of financial institutions.

The following table summarizes several of our key liquidity measures for the periods stated:

Table of Liquidity Measures:

| Liquidity Measure | December 31, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dollars in Thousands | 2005 | 2004 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents | \$18,417 | \$20,539 |
| Available for Sale Investment Securities at Estimated Fair Value less Securities pledged for State and Municipal Deposits and Borrowings | \$64,622 | \$63,472 |
| Total Loan to Total Asset Ratio | 53.63\% | $52.08 \%$ |
| FHLBNY Remaining Borrowing Capacity | \$19,413 | \$19,180 |
| Available Correspondent Bank Lines of Credit | \$10,000 | \$ 7,600 |

Our commitments to extend credit and stand-by letters of credit increased by $\$ 18.660$ million or $27.8 \%$ between December 31, 2004 and December 31, 2005. At December 31, 2005 commitments to extend credit and stand-by letters of credit were $\$ 85.663$ million, as compared to $\$ 67.003$ million at December 31, 2004. This increase was due to both an increase in home equity line of credit commitments assumed during the HSBC branch acquisition and additional commercial loan commitments. Our experience indicates that draws on the commitments to extend credit and stand-by letters of credit do not fluctuate significantly from quarter to quarter, and therefore, are not expected to materially impact our liquidity prospectively.

We recognize that deposit flows and loan and investment prepayment activity are affected by the level of interest rates, the interest rates and products offered by competitors, and other factors. Based on our deposit retention experience, anticipated levels of regional economic activity, particularly moderate levels of loan demand within our primary market
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area, and current pricing strategies, we anticipate that we will have sufficient levels of liquidity to meet our current funding commitments for several quarters prospectively.

## F. Capital Resources and Dividends

The maintenance of appropriate capital levels is a management priority. Overall capital adequacy is monitored on an ongoing basis by our management and reviewed regularly by the Board of Directors. Our principal capital planning goal is to provide an adequate return to stockholders while retaining a sufficient capital base to provide for future expansion and comply with all regulatory standards.

At December 31, 2005 our stockholders' equity was $\$ 67.717$ million, a $\$ 112$ thousand or $0.2 \%$ increase over December 31, 2004 stockholders' equity of $\$ 67.605$ million. The slight increase in stockholders' equity was due to an increase in retained earnings of $\$ 3.498$ million offset by a $\$ 2.805$ million reduction in other comprehensive income and a $\$ 581$ thousand increase in Treasury stock.

The Company and the Bank are both subject to regulatory capital guidelines. Under these guidelines, as established by federal bank regulators, to be adequately capitalized the Company and the Bank must both maintain the minimum
ratio of tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets at $4.0 \%$ and the minimum ratio of total capital to risk-weighted assets ratio of $8.0 \%$. tier 1 capital is comprised of stockholders' equity, less intangible assets and accumulated other comprehensive income. Total capital, for this risk-based capital standard, includes tier 1 capital plus the Company's allowance for loan losses. Similarly, for the Bank to be considered "well capitalized," it must maintain a tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets ratio of $6.0 \%$ and a total capital to risk-weighted assets ratio of $10.0 \%$. The Company exceeded all capital adequacy guidelines and the Bank exceeded all well capitalized guidelines at December 31, 2005, and December 31, 2004. The Company's tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets ratio and total capital to risk-weighted assets ratio at December 31 , 2005, were 13.12\% and 14.37\%, respectively. This compares to 13.09\% and 14.34\%, respectively, at December 31,2004 . Additional details regarding the company's and the Bank's capital ratios are set forth in Note 13 of the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements located in PART II, Item 8, of this document.

The principal source of funds for the payment of shareholder dividends by the Company has been dividends declared and paid to the Company by its subsidiary bank. There are various legal and regulatory limitations applicable to the payment of dividends to the Company by its subsidiaries as well as the payment of dividends by the Company to its stockholders. As of December 31, 2005, under this statutory limitation, the maximum amount that could have been paid by the Bank subsidiary to the Company, without special regulatory approval, was approximately $\$ 9.787$ million. The ability of the Company and the Bank to pay dividends in the future is and will continue to be influenced by regulatory policies, capital guidelines, and applicable laws.

See PART II, Item 5 of this document, "Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters, and Issuers Purchases of Equity Securities," for a recent history of the Company's cash dividend payments and stock sale and repurchase activities.

ITEM 7A: QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Our business activities generate market risk. Market risk is the possibility that changes in future market conditions, including rates and prices, will reduce earnings and make the Company less valuable. We are primarily exposed to market risk through changes in interest rates. This risk is called interest rate risk and is an inherent component of risk for all banks. The risk occurs because we pay interest on deposits and borrowed funds at varying rates and terms, while receiving interest income on loans and investments with different rates and terms. As a result, our earnings and the imputed economic value of assets and liabilities are subject to potentially significant fluctuations as interest rates rise and fall. Our objective is to minimize the fluctuation in net interest margin and net interest income caused by anticipated and unanticipated changes in interest rates.

Ultimately, the Company's Board of Directors is responsible for monitoring and managing market and interest rate risk. The Board accomplishes this objective by annually reviewing and approving an Asset and Liability Management Policy, which establishes broad risk limits and delegates responsibility to carry out asset and liability oversight and control to the Directors' Loan and Investment Committee and management's Asset and Liability Committee ("ALCO").

We manage a few different forms of interest rate risk. The first is mismatch risk, which involves the mismatch of maturities of fixed rate assets and liabilities. The second is basis risk. Basis risk is the risk associated with non-correlated changes in different interest rates. For example, we price many of our adjustable rate commercial loans (an asset) using the prime rate as a basis, while some of our deposit accounts (a liability) are tied to Treasury security yields. In a given
timeframe, the prime rate might decrease $2 \%$ while a particular Treasury security might only decrease $1 \%$. If this were to occur, our yield on prime based commercial loans would decrease by $2 \%$, while the cost of deposits might only decrease by 1\%, negatively affecting net interest income and net interest margin. The third risk is option risk. Option risk generally appears in the form of prepayment volatility on residential mortgages, commercial and commercial real estate loans, consumer loans, mortgage-backed securities, and callable agency or municipal investment securities. The Bank's customers generally have alternative financing sources (or options) to refinance their existing debt obligations with other financial institutions. When interest rates decrease, many of these customers exercise this option and refinance at other institutions and prepay their loans with us, forcing us to reinvest the prepaid funds in lower yielding investments and loans. The same type of refinancing activity also accelerates principal payments on mortgage-backed securities held by the Bank. Municipal investment securities and agency securities are issued with specified call dates and call prices and are typically exercised by the issuer when interest rates on comparable maturity securities are lower than the current coupon rate on the security.

Measuring and managing interest rate risk is a dynamic process that the Bank's management must continually perform to meet the objective of maintaining stable net interest income and net interest margin. This means that prior to setting the term or interest rate on loans or deposits, or before purchasing investment securities or borrowing funds, management must understand the impact that alternative interest rates will have on the Bank's interest rate risk profile. This is accomplished through simulation modeling. Simulation modeling is the process of "shocking" the current balance sheet under a variety of interest rate scenarios and then measuring the impact of interest rate changes on both projected earnings and the economic value of the Bank's equity. The estimates underlying the sensitivity analysis are based on numerous assumptions including, but not limited to: the nature and timing of interest rate changes, prepayments on loans and securities, deposit retention rates, pricing decisions on loans and deposits, and reinvestment/replacement rates on asset and liability cash flows. While assumptions are developed based on available information and current economic and local market conditions, management cannot make any assurances as to the ultimate accuracy of these assumptions, including competitive influences and customer behavior. Accordingly, actual results will differ from those predicted by simulation modeling.

The following table shows the projected changes in net interest income from a parallel shift in all market interest rates. The shift in interest rates is assumed to occur in monthly increments of $0.50 \%$ per month until the full shift is complete. In other words, we assume it will take 6 months for a $3.00 \%$ shift to take place. This is also known as a "ramped" interest rate shock. The projected changes in net interest income are totals for the 12 -month period beginning January 1, 2006 and ending December 31, 2006, under ramped shock scenarios.

Interest Rate Sensitivity Table:

```
Interest Rates Dollars in Thousands
```

|  |  | Projected |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Projected | Projected | Projected |
| Dollar | Net Interest |  |
| Percentage | Income as a |  |


| Interest <br> Rate Shock <br> (1) | Prime <br> Rate | Annualized <br> Net <br> Interest <br> Income | Change <br> in Net <br> Interest <br> Income | Change in <br> Net <br> Interest <br> Income | Percent of <br> Total <br> Stockholders' <br> Equity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.00\% | 10.25\% | \$25,863 | 220 | $0.86 \%$ | 0.32\% |
| 2.00\% | 9.25\% | \$25,482 | (161) | -0.63\% | -0.24\% |
| 1.00\% | 8.25\% | \$25,478 | (165) | -0.64\% | -0.24\% |
| No change | 7.25\% | \$25,643 | -- | -- | -- |
| -1.00\% | 6.25\% | \$25,245 | (398) | -1.55\% | -0.59\% |
| -2.00\% | 5.25\% | \$24,092 | $(1,551)$ | -6.05\% | -2.29\% |
| -3.00\% | 4.25\% | \$23,295 | $(2,348)$ | -9.16\% | -3.47\% |

(1) Under a ramped interest rate shock, interest rates are modeled to change at a rate of $0.50 \%$ per month.

Many assumptions are embedded within our interest rate risk model. These assumptions are approved by the Bank's ALCO and are based upon both management's experience and projections provided by investment securities companies. Assuming our prepayment and other assumptions are accurate and assuming we take reasonable actions to preserve net interest income, we project that net interest income would decline by $\$ 161$ thousand or $0.24 \%$ of total stockholders' equity
in $\mathrm{a}+2.00 \%$ ramped interest rate shock and $\$ 1.551$ milion or $2.29 \%$ of total stockholders' equity in a $-2.00 \%$ ramped interest rate shock. This is within our Asset and Liability Policy guideline, which limits the maximum projected decrease in net interest income in a $+2.00 \%$ or $-2.00 \%$ ramped interest rate shock to $-5.0 \%$ of the Company's total equity capital.

Our strategy for managing interest rate risk is impacted by general market conditions and customer demand. But generally, we try to limit the volume and term of fixed-rate assets and fixed-rate liabilities so that we can adjust the mix and pricing of assets and liabilities to mitigate net interest income volatility. We also purchase investments for the securities portfolio and structure borrowings from the FHLBNY to offset interest rate risk taken in the loan portfolio. We also offer adjustable rate loan and deposit products that change as interest rates change. Approximately $21 \%$ of our total assets at December 31, 2005 were invested in adjustable rate loans and investments.

At December 31, 2005 the Treasury yield curve was very "flat." The two year and the ten year Treasury note were both yielding $4.34 \%$. This flat interest rate environment inhibits our ability to earn net interest income, since Banks typically earn net interest income by procuring short-term deposits and borrowings and investing those proceeds in longer term loans and investments. This practice, which is sometimes referred to as mismatching assets and liabilities, typically allows Bank's to enhance their "interest spread," which generates net interest income. If this flat interest rate environment persists, it may negatively impact our ability to increase net interest income for several quarters prospectively.

# ITEM 8: FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA 

## Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors of The Wilber Corporation:
We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of condition of The Wilber Corporation and subsidiary (the "Company") as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, and the related consolidated statements of income, changes in stockholders' equity and comprehensive income, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2005. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of The Wilber Corporation and subsidiary as of December 31, 2005 and 2004 , and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2005, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated March 10, 2006 expressed an unqualified opinion on management's assessment of, and the effective operation of, internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Albany, New York
March 10, 2006

The Wilber Corporation
Consolidated Statements of Condition

|  | December 31, December 31, |
| :--- | :--- |
| dollars in thousands except share and per share data | 2005 |

Assets
Cash and Due from Banks
Time Deposits with Other Banks
Federal Funds Sold

Total Cash and Cash Equivalents
Securities
Trading, at Fair Value
Available-for-Sale, at Fair Value
Held-to-Maturity, Fair Value of $\$ 53,837$ at December 31, 2005 and \$59,324 at December 31, 2004
Loans
Allowance for Loan Losses

Loans, Net

Premises and Equipment, Net
Bank Owned Life Insurance
Goodwill
Intangible Assets, Net
Other Assets

Total Assets
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity
Deposits:
Demand
Savings, NOW and Money Market Deposit Accounts
Certificates of Deposit (Over $\$ 100 \mathrm{M}$ )
Certificates of Deposit (Under $\$ 100 \mathrm{M}$ )
Other Deposits

Total Deposits

Short-Term Borrowings
Long-Term Borrowings
Other Liabilities

Total Liabilities
Stockholders' Equity:
Common Stock, $\$ .01$ Par Value, 16,000,000 Shares Authorized, and 13,961,664 Shares Issued at December 31, 2005, and December 31, 2004
$\$ \quad 72,986$
244,484
78,147
183,716
25,625

\$ 63,746
241,151
76,346
165,194 25,492
---------------

571,929
-------------- -----------------1

37,559
65,379
8,389
$-------------\quad-------------$


|  | 140 |  | 140 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4,224 |  | 4,224 |
|  | 86,900 |  | 83, 402 |
|  | $(2,409)$ |  | 396 |
|  | $(21,138)$ |  | $(20,557)$ |
|  | 67,717 |  | 67,605 |
| \$ | 752,728 | \$ | 750,861 |

See accompanying notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
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The Wilber Corporation

Consolidated Statements of Income

| dollars in thousands except share and per share data | 2005 |  | Ended December$2004$ |  | 31, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest and Dividend Income |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest and Fees on Loans | \$ | 27,683 | \$ | 24,865 | \$ |
| Interest and Dividends on Securities: |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Government and Agency Obligations |  | 9,124 |  | 8,605 |  |
| State and Municipal Obligations |  | 2,569 |  | 2,665 |  |
| Other |  | 215 |  | 415 |  |
| Interest on Federal Funds Sold and Time Deposits |  | 719 |  | 615 |  |
| Total Interest and Dividend Income |  | 40,310 |  | 37,165 |  |
| Interest Expense |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest on Deposits: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Savings, NOW and Money Market Deposit Accounts |  | 2,956 |  | 1,952 |  |
| Certificates of Deposit (Over \$100M) |  | 2,445 |  | 2,197 |  |
| Certificates of Deposit (Under \$100M) |  | 5,944 |  | 4,782 |  |
| Other Deposits |  | 595 |  | 547 |  |
| Interest on Short-Term Borrowings |  | 633 |  | 212 |  |
| Interest on Long-Term Borrowings |  | 2,357 |  | 3,071 |  |
| Total Interest Expense |  | 14,930 |  | 12,761 |  |
| Net Interest Income |  | 25,380 |  | 24,404 |  |
| Provisions for Loan Losses |  | 1,580 |  | 1,200 |  |
| Net Interest Income After Provision for Loan Losses |  | 23,800 |  | 23,204 |  |
| Non Interest Income |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trust Fees |  | 1,472 |  | 1,325 |  |
| Service Charges on Deposit Accounts |  | 1,615 |  | 1,556 |  |
| Commissions Income |  | 489 |  | 524 |  |
| Investment Security Gains, Net |  | 469 |  | 1,031 |  |
| Increase in Cash Surrender Value of Bank Owned Life Insurance |  | 555 |  | 570 |  |
| Other Service Fees |  | 471 |  | 286 |  |
| Other Income |  | 439 |  | 342 |  |
| Total Non Interest Income |  | 5,510 |  | 5,634 |  |
| Non Interest Expense |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries |  | 9,040 |  | 8,425 |  |
| Employee Benefits |  | 2,612 |  | 2,316 |  |
| Net Occupancy Expense of Bank Premises |  | 1,563 |  | 1,446 |  |
| Furniture and Equipment Expense |  | 764 |  | 751 |  |
| Computer Service Fees |  | 745 |  | 598 |  |
| Advertising and Marketing |  | 508 |  | 538 |  |
| Professional Fees |  | 709 |  | 512 |  |
| Other Miscellaneous Expenses |  | 2,910 |  | 2,632 |  |
| Total Non Interest Expense |  | 18,851 |  | 17,218 |  |
| Income Before Taxes |  | 10,459 |  | 11,620 |  |
| Income Taxes |  | $(2,715)$ |  | $(3,002)$ |  |
| Net Income | \$ | 7,744 | \$ | 8,618 | \$ |

Weighted Average Shares Outstanding
Basic Earnings Per Share

| 11, 169,730 |  | $11,207,215$ |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$$ | 0.69 | $\$$ | 0.77 |

See accompanying notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The Wilber Corporation
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income

| dollars in thousands except share and per share data |  |  |  |  |  |  | Accumul <br> Oth <br> Compreh <br> Income |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Common Stock |  | Paid in Capital |  | Retained Earnings |  |  |
| Balance December 31, 2002 |  | 182 | \$ | 2,182 |  | 74,439 | \$ |
| Comprehensive Income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Income |  | -- |  | -- |  | 8,713 |  |
| Change in Net Unrealized (Loss) on Securities, Net of Taxes |  | -- |  | -- |  | -- |  |
| Total Comprehensive Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash Dividends (\$.37 per share) |  | -- |  | -- |  | $(4,109)$ |  |
| Purchase of Treasury Stock (11,917 shares) |  | -- |  | -- |  | -- |  |
| Change in Par Value and Stock Split |  | $042)$ |  | 2,042 |  | -- |  |
| Balance December 31, 2003 | \$ | 140 | \$ | 4,224 | \$ | 79,043 | \$ |
| Comprehensive Income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Income |  | -- |  | -- |  | 8,618 |  |
| Change in Net Unrealized (Loss) on Securities, Net of Taxes |  | -- |  | -- |  | -- |  |
| Total Comprehensive Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchase of Treasury Stock (14,800 shares) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance December 31, 2004 | \$ | 140 | \$ | 4,224 | \$ | 83,402 | \$ |
| Comprehensive Income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Income |  | -- |  | -- |  | 7,744 |  |
| Change in Net Unrealized (Loss) on Securities, Net of Taxes |  | -- |  | -- |  | -- |  |
| Total Comprehensive Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash Dividends (\$.38 per share) -- -- (4,246) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchase of Treasury Stock (48,655 shares) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

See accompanying notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.
(1) Per share information has been restated to give retroactive effect to the $4-f o r-1$ stock split that was approved September 5, 2003.
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The Wilber Corporation
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
dollars in thousands
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:Net IncomeAdjustments to Reconcile Net Income to Net CashUsed by Operating Activities:
Provision for Loan Losses
Depreciation and Amortization
Net Amortization of Premiums and Accretion of Discounts on Investments\$ 7
Available-for-Sale Investment Security Gains, net
Deferred Income Tax (Benefit) Expense
Other Real Estate Losses
Increase in Cash Surrender Value of Bank Owned Life Insurance
Net Decrease (Increase) in Trading Securities
Net Gains on Trading Securities
Increase in Other Assets
(Decrease) Increase in Other Liabilities
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:
Net Cash Acquired from Acquisition of a Branch ..... 22,
Proceeds from Maturities of Held-to-Maturity Investment Securities ..... 9,
Purchases of Held-to-Maturity Investment Securities ..... (5
Proceeds from Maturities of Available-for-Sale Investment Securities ..... 60
Proceeds from Sales of Available-for-Sale Investment Securities ..... 9,
Purchases of Available-for-Sale Investment Securities(66,Net Increase in Loans( 6
Proceeds from Sale of LoansPurchase of Premises and Equipment, Net of Disposals
Proceeds from Sale of Other Real Estate
Net Cash Provided by (Used by) Investing Activities
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:
Net Decrease in Demand Deposits, Savings, NOW,Money Market and Other Time Deposits(8,
Net Increase (Decrease) in Certificates of Deposit ..... 8
Net (Decrease) Increase in Short-Term Borrowings ..... (18
Increase in Long-Term Borrowings ..... 24
Repayment of Long-Term Borrowings ..... (37Decrease in Dividends Payable

```
    Purchase of Treasury Stock
    Cash Dividends Paid
    Net Cash (Used by) Provided by Financing Activities
            Net (Decrease) Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period
    Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period
Supplemental Disclosures of Cash Flow Information:
    Cash Paid during Period for:
        Interest
        Income Taxes
    Non Cash Investing Activities:
        Change in Unrealized Loss on Securities
        Transfer of Loans to Other Real Estate
    Fair Value of Tangible Assets Acquired
    Fair Value of Liabilities Assumed
```

See accompanying notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The Wilber Corporation (the Parent Company) operates 20 branches serving Otsego, Delaware, Schoharie, Ulster, Chenango, and Broome Counties through its wholly owned subsidiary Wilber National Bank (the Bank). The Company's primary source of revenue is interest earned on commercial, mortgage, and consumer loans to customers who are predominately individuals and small and middle-market businesses. Collectively, the Parent Company and the Bank are referred to herein as "the Company."

The Bank owns a majority interest in Mang-Wilber, LLC, an insurance agency offering a full line of life, health and property, and casualty insurance. Accordingly, the assets and liabilities and revenues and expenses of Mang-Wilber, LLC are included in the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Consolidated Financial Statements of the Company conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). The following is a summary of the more significant policies:

Principles of Consolidation -- The Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of the Parent Company and its wholly owned subsidiary after elimination of inter-company accounts and transactions. In the "Parent Company Only Financial Statements," the investment in subsidiary is carried under the equity method of accounting.

Management's Use of Estimates -- The preparation of the Consolidated Financial Statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated Financial Statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expense during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Reclassifications -- Whenever necessary, reclassifications are made to prior period amounts to conform to current year presentation.

Cash Equivalents -- The Company considers amounts due from correspondent banks, cash items in process of collection, federal funds sold and time deposit balances with other banks to be cash equivalents for purposes of the consolidated statements of cash flows.

Securities -- The Company classifies its investment securities at date of purchase as either held-to-maturity, available-for-sale or trading. Held-to-maturity securities are those for which the Company has the intent and ability to hold to maturity, and are reported at amortized cost. Available-for-sale securities are reported at fair value, with net unrealized gains and losses reflected in stockholders' equity as accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of the applicable income tax effect. Trading securities are reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses reflected in the income statement. Transfers of securities between categories are recorded at full value at the date of transfer.

Non-marketable equity securities, including Federal Reserve and FHLBNY stock required for membership in those organizations, are carried at cost.

Premiums and discounts are amortized or accreted over the life of the related security as an adjustment to yield using a method that approximates the interest method. Dividend and interest income are recognized when earned. Realized gains and losses on the sale of securities are included in securities gains (losses). The cost of securities sold is based on the specific identification method.

A decline in the fair value of any available-for-sale or held-to-maturity security below cost that is deemed to be other than temporary is charged to earnings, resulting in the establishment of a new cost basis for the security.

Loans -- Loans are reported at their outstanding principal balance. Interest income on loans is accrued based upon the principal amount outstanding.

Loans are placed on non-accrual status when timely collection of principal and interest in accordance with contractual terms is doubtful. Loans are transferred to a non-accrual basis generally when principal or interest payments become ninety days delinquent, unless the loan is well secured and in the process of collection, or sooner when management concludes circumstances indicate that borrowers may be unable to meet contractual principal or interest payments. When a loan is transferred to a non-accrual status, all interest previously accrued in the current period but not collected is reversed against interest income in that period. Interest accrued in a prior period and not collected is charged-off against the allowance for loan losses.
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Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, Continued
If ultimate repayment of a non-accrual loan is expected, any payments received are applied in accordance with contractual terms. If ultimate repayment of principal is not expected, any payment received on a non-accrual loan is applied to principal until ultimate repayment becomes expected. Non-accrual loans are returned to accrual status when they become current as to principal and interest or demonstrate a period of performance under the contractual terms and, in the opinion of management, are fully collectible as to principal and interest. When in the opinion of management the collection of principal appears unlikely, the loan balance is charged-off in total or in part.

Commercial type loans are considered impaired when it is probable that the borrower will not repay the loan according to the original contractual terms of
the loan agreement, and all loan types are considered impaired if the loan is restructured in a troubled debt restructuring.

A loan is considered to be a troubled debt restructured loan (TDR) when the Company grants a concession to the borrower because of the borrower's financial condition that it would not otherwise consider. Such concessions include the reduction of interest rates, forgiveness of principal or interest or other modifications of interest rates that are less than the current market rate for new obligations with similar risk. TDR loans that are in compliance with their modified terms and that yield a market rate may be removed from the TDR status after a period of performance.

Allowance for Loan Losses -- The allowance for loan losses is the amount, which in the opinion of management, is necessary to absorb probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio. The allowance is determined based upon numerous considerations, including local economic conditions, the growth and composition of the loan portfolio with respect to the mix between the various types of loans and their related risk characteristics, a review of the value of collateral supporting the loans, comprehensive reviews of the loan portfolio by the external Loan Review and management, as well as consideration of volume and trends of delinquencies, non-performing loans, and loan charge-offs. As a result of the test of adequacy, required additions to the allowance for loan losses are made periodically by charges to the provision for loan losses.

The allowance for loan losses related to impaired loans is based on discounted cash flows using the loan's initial effective interest rate or the fair value of the collateral for certain loans where repayment of the loan is expected to be provided solely by the underlying collateral (collateral dependent loans). The Company's impaired loans are generally collateral dependent. The Company considers the estimated cost to sell, on a discounted basis, when determining the fair value of collateral in the measurement of impairment if those costs are expected to reduce the cash flows available to repay or otherwise satisfy the loans.

Management believes that the allowance for loan losses is adequate. While management uses available information to recognize loan losses, future additions to the allowance for loan losses may be necessary based on changes in economic conditions or changes in the values of properties securing loans in the process of foreclosure. In addition, various regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the Company's allowance for loan losses. Such agencies may require the Company to recognize additions to the allowance for loan losses based on their judgments about information available to them at the time of their examination, which may not be currently available to management.

Other Real Estate Owned ("OREO") -- Other real estate owned consists of properties formerly pledged as collateral on loans, which have been acquired by the Company through foreclosure proceedings or acceptance of a deed in lieu of foreclosure. Other real estate owned is carried at the lower of the recorded investment in the loan or the fair value of the real estate, less estimated costs to sell. Upon transfer of a loan to foreclosure status, an appraisal is obtained and any excess of the loan balance over the fair value, less estimated costs to sell, is charged against the allowance for loan losses. Expenses and subsequent adjustments to the fair value are treated as other operating expense. Gains on the sale of other real estate owned are included in income when title has passed and the sale has met the minimum down payment requirements prescribed by GAAP.

Bank Premises and Equipment -- Land is carried at cost. Premises and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation computed principally using accelerated methods over the estimated useful lives of the assets, which range from 15 to 40 years for buildings and from 3 to 10 years for furniture and
equipment. Maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred.

Bank-Owned Life Insurance ("BOLI") -- The BOLI was purchased as a financing tool for employee benefits. The value of life insurance financing is the tax preferred status of increases in life insurance cash values and death benefits and the cash flow generated at the death of the insured. The purchase of the life insurance policy results in an interest sensitive asset on the company's consolidated statements of condition that provides monthly tax-free income to the Company. In

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, Continued
addition to interest risk related to BOLI investments, there is also credit risk related to insurance carriers. To mitigate this risk, annual financial condition reviews are completed on all carriers. BOLI is stated on the company's consolidated statements of condition at its current cash surrender value. Increases in BOLI's cash surrender value are reported as other operating income in the Company's consolidated statements of income.

Income Taxes -- Income taxes are accounted for under the asset and liability method. The Company files a consolidated tax return on the accrual method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for future tax consequences attributable to temporary differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and operating loss and tax credit carry forwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

Pension Costs -- The Company maintains a noncontributory, defined benefit pension plan covering substantially all employees, as well as supplemental employee retirement plans covering certain executives. Costs associated with these plans, based on actuarial computations of current and future benefits for employees, are charged to current operating expenses.

Treasury Stock -- Treasury stock acquisitions are recorded at cost. Subsequent sales of treasury stock are recorded on an average cost basis. Gains on the sale of treasury stock are credited to additional paid-in-capital. Losses on the sale of treasury stock are charged to additional paid-in-capital to the extent of previous gains, otherwise charged to retained earnings.

Earnings Per Share -- Basic earnings per share (EPS) is computed by dividing income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Entities with complex capital structures must also present diluted EPS, which reflect the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common shares. The Company does not have a complex capital structure and, accordingly, has presented only basic EPS.

Trust Department -- Assets held in fiduciary or agency capacities for customers are not included in the accompanying consolidated statements of condition, since such items are not assets of the Company.

Financial Instruments with Off-Balance Sheet Risk -- The Bank is a party to other financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit
which involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amount recognized in the statement of condition. The contract amounts of those instruments reflect the extent of involvement the Bank has in particular classes of financial instruments.

Comprehensive Income -- For the Company, comprehensive income represents net income plus other comprehensive income (loss), which consists of the net change in unrealized gains or losses on securities available for sale, net of income taxes, for the period and is presented in the consolidated statements of changes in stockholders' equity and comprehensive income. Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) represents the net unrealized gains or losses on securities available-for-sale as of the balance sheet dates, net of income taxes.

Segment Reporting -- The Company's operations are solely in the community banking industry and include the provision of traditional commercial banking services. The Company operates solely in the geographical region of Central New York State. The Company has identified separate operating segments; however, these segments did not meet the quantitative thresholds for separate disclosure.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets --Acquired intangible assets (other than goodwill) are amortized over their useful economic life, while goodwill and any acquired intangible assets with an indefinite useful economic life are not amortized, but are reviewed for impairment on an annual basis.
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Note 2. Investment Securities

The amortized cost and fair value of investment securities are as follows:


|  |  | ember 31, 2004 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dollars in thousands | Amortized Cost |  | ross <br> lized <br> ins |  |
| Available-for-Sale Portfolio |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasuries | \$ 4,960 | \$ | 56 | \$ |
| Obligations of U.S. Government Corporations and Agencies | 11,989 |  | 5 |  |
| Obligations of States and Political Subdivisions | 66,656 |  | 1,556 |  |
| Mortgage-Backed Securities | 159,289 |  | 612 |  |
| Equity Securities | 5,870 |  | 185 |  |
|  | \$248,764 | \$ | 2,414 |  |
| Trading Portfolio | 1,347 |  | 157 |  |
| Held-to-Maturity Portfolio |  |  |  |  |
| Obligations of States and Political Subdivisions | \$ 7,811 | \$ | 205 | \$ |
| Mortgage-Backed Securities | 51,652 |  | 127 |  |
|  | \$ 59,463 | \$ | 332 | \$ |

The following tables provide information on temporarily impaired securities:

December 31, 2005


Note 2. Investment Securities, Continued

| dollars in thousands | December 31, 2004 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less Than 12 Months |  | 12 Months or Longer |  |  |
|  | Estimated Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Estimated Fair Value |  | lizec <br> ses |
| Obligations of U.S. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government Corporations and Agencies | 9,955 | 40 | -- |  | -- |
| Obligations of States and Political Subdivisions | 16,193 | 127 | 8,744 |  | 357 |
| Mortgage-Backed Securities | 119,403 | 1,386 | 26,545 |  | 324 |
|  | \$145,551 | \$ 1,553 | \$ 35,289 | \$ | 681 |
|  | ======= | ======= | = = = = = = = = |  | $===$ |

The above unrealized losses are considered temporary, based on the following:
U.S. Treasuries and agencies, State and political subdivisions: The unrealized losses on these investments were caused by market interest rate increases. The contractual terms of these investments require the issuer to settle the securities at par upon maturity of the investment. Because the Company has the ability and intent to hold these investments until a market price recovery or possibly to maturity, these investments are not considered other-than-temporarily impaired.

Mortgage-backed securities: The unrealized losses on investments in mortgage-backed securities has been caused by market rate increases. Substantially all of the contractual cash flows of these securities are issued or backed by various government agencies or government sponsored enterprises such as GNMA, FNMA, and FHLMC. Because the decline in fair value is attributed to market interest rates and not credit quality, and because the Company has the ability and intent to hold these investments until a market price recovery or to maturity, these investments are not considered other-than-temporarily impaired.

Based on our analysis for other than temporary impairment, there were no securities in the investment portfolio at or during the twelve months ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 that exceeded the Company's guidelines for recognizing an other-than-temporary impairment loss.

The amortized cost and fair value of debt securities by contractual maturity, are shown below. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties. Equity securities have no stated maturity and are excluded from the following tables.

| dollars in thousands | December 31, 2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amortized Cost | Fair <br> Value |
| Available-for-Sale Securities |  |  |
| Due in One Year or Less | 11,788 | 11,669 |
| Due After One Year Through Five Years | 73,005 | 71,630 |
| Due After Five Years Through Ten Years | 72,257 | 70,860 |
| Due After Ten Years | 80,889 | 79,684 |



Federal Home Loan Bank and Federal Reserve Bank stock of $\$ 3,424,000$ at December 31, 2005, and $\$ 3,504,000$ in 2004 is carried at cost as fair values are not readily determinable. Both investments are required for membership. At December 31, 2005, investment securities with an amortized cost of $\$ 181,038,000$ and an estimated fair value of $\$ 177,864,000$ were pledged as collateral for certain public deposits and other purposes as required or permitted by law.

Note 3. Loans

| dollars in thousands | December 31, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005 | 2004 |
| Residential Real Estate | 123,748 | 119,103 |
| Commercial Real Estate | 144,171 | 129,516 |
| Commercial | 69,651 | 78,003 |
| Consumer | 66,095 | 64,421 |
|  | 403,665 | 391,043 |
| Less: Allowance for Loan Losses | $(6,640)$ | $(6,250)$ |


| $\$ 397,025$ | $\$ 384,793$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $=========$ | $=========$ |

At December $31,2005, \$ 57,012,000$ residential real estate loans were pledged as collateral for FHLBNY advances.

At the periods presented below, the subsidiary bank had loans to directors and executive officers of the company and its subsidiary, or company in which they have ownership. Such loans are made in the ordinary course of business on substantially the same terms, including interest rates and collateral, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with other persons and did not involve more than normal risk of collectibility or present other unfavorable features. Loan transactions with related parties are as follows:

| dollars in thousands | December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005 |  | 2004 |  |
| Balance at Beginning of Year | \$ | 14,249 | \$ | 13,254 |
| Loan Payments |  | $(8,133)$ |  | $(2,320)$ |
| New Loans and Advances |  | 480 |  | 3,315 |
| Ending Balance | \$ | 6,596 | \$ | 14,249 |

Note 4. Allowance for Loan Losses

Changes in the allowance for loan losses are presented in the following summary:

| dollars in thousands | Year Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005 |  | 2004 |  | 2003 |  |
| Balance at Beginning of Year | \$ | 6,250 | \$ | 5,757 | \$ | 5,392 |
| Provision for Loan Losses |  | 1,580 |  | 1,200 |  | 1,565 |
| Recoveries Credited |  | 285 |  | 237 |  | 276 |
| Loans Charged-Off |  | $(1,475)$ |  | (944) |  | (1,476) |
| Ending Balance | \$ | 6,640 | \$ | 6,250 |  | 5,757 |

The following provides information on impaired loans for the periods presented:


| As of December 31, | 2005 | 2004 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |


| and Still Accruing Interest | 181 | 190 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Troubled Debt Restructured Loans | 871 | -- |
|  | ------ | ------ |
| Total Non-Performing Loans | $\$ 4,918$ | $\$ 2,751$ |

Had the loans in non-accrual status performed in accordance with their original terms, additional interest income of $\$ 49,000$ would have been recorded for the year ended December 31, 2005. In addition, in 2004 and 2003 interest income of $\$ 22,000$, and $\$ 83,000$, respectively, would have been recorded.

Had the troubled debt restructured loans performed in accordance with their original terms, the company would have recorded interest income of $\$ 3,000$ for the year ended December 31, 2005 and $\$ 31,000$ for the year ended December 31, 2003. Under the restructured terms, the company recorded interest income of $\$ 4,000$ for the year ended December 31, 2005 and $\$ 32,000$ for the year ended December 31, 2003.

Note 5. Premises and Equipment

| dollars in thousands | December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005 |  | 2004 |  |
| Land | \$ | 599 | \$ | 539 |
| Buildings |  | 8,614 |  | 7,892 |
| Furniture, Fixtures and Equipment |  | 6,046 |  | 5,518 |
|  |  | 15,259 |  | 13,949 |
| Less: Accumulated Depreciation |  | $(8,829)$ |  | (8,089) |
|  | \$ | 6,430 | \$ | 5,860 |

Depreciation expense was $\$ 797,000$, $\$ 773,000$, and $\$ 781,000$ for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.
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Note 6. Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill and intangible assets are presented in the following table:

assets are amortized over a weighted average period of approximately 5 and 15 years, respectively.

In February 2005, the Company acquired two branches and recorded related goodwill of $\$ 1,836,000$ and a core deposit intangible asset of $\$ 492,000$.

Estimated annual amortization expense of intangible assets, absent any impairment or change in estimated useful lives is summarized as follows for each of the next five years:
dollars in thousands


Note 7. Time Deposits
Contractual maturities of time deposits were as follows:

December 31, 2005


Note 8. Borrowings
The following is a summary of borrowings:
dollars in thousands
December 31
Short-Term Borrowings:
Federal Funds Purchased
Securities Sold Under Agreements to Repurchase
Treasury Tax and Loan Notes
Long-Term Borrowings:
Advances from Federal Home Loan Bank of New York


Borrowings from the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York (FHLBNY) are collateralized by mortgage loans, mortgage-backed securities or other government agency securities. At December 31, 2005, $\$ 26,500,000$ of the long term borrowings were collateralized by securities with an amortized cost and estimated fair value of $\$ 30,492,000$ and $\$ 29,773,000$, respectively. The remaining long term borrowings totaling $\$ 25,972,000$ are collateralized by the Company's mortgage loans. At December 31, 2005, the Bank had a line of credit of $\$ 75,432,000$ with the FHLB. However, based on outstanding borrowings at FHLB the total potential borrowing capacity on these lines is reduced to $\$ 19,413,000$ at December 31, 2005.

Information related to short-term borrowings is as follows:

|  | As of and For the |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dollars in thousands | Year Ended December 31, |  |
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Note 8. Borrowings, Continued

Average amounts outstanding and average interest rates are computed using weighted daily averages.

Securities sold under agreements to repurchase included in short-term borrowings represent the purchase of interests in government securities by the Bank's customers or other third parties, which are repurchased by the Bank on the following business day or at stated maturity. The underlying securities are held in a third party custodian account and are under the Company's control. The
amortized cost and estimated fair value of securities pledged as collateral for repurchase agreements was $\$ 43,660,000$ and $\$ 42,802,000$ at December 31, 2005, respectively. These amounts are included in the total of investment securities pledged disclosed in Note 2.

Note 9. Income Taxes

Income tax expense attributable to income before taxes is comprised of the following:

| dollars in thousands | $2005$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Decem } \\ & 2004 \end{aligned}$ |  | 2003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal | \$ | 2,541 | \$ | 2,738 | \$2,620 |
| State |  | 312 |  | 320 | 321 |
| Total Current |  | 2,853 |  | 3,058 | 2,941 |
| Deferred: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal |  | (75) |  | (109) | 275 |
| State |  | (63) |  | 53 | 61 |
| Total Deferred |  | (138) |  | ( 56 ) | 336 |
| Total Income Tax Expense | \$ | 2,715 | \$ | 3,002 | \$3,277 |

The components of deferred income taxes, which are included in the consolidated statements of condition are:

| dollars in thousands | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Year Eng } \\ 2005 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ember } 31, \\ 2004 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets: |  |  |
| Allowance for Loan Losses | \$2,586 | \$2,434 |
| Deferred Compensation | 1,341 | 1,255 |
| Net Unrealized Loss on Securities Available-for-Sale | 1,537 | -- |
| Other | 203 | 168 |
|  | 5,667 | 3,857 |
| Liabilities: |  |  |
| Securities Discount Accretion | 280 | 221 |
| Defined Benefit Pension Plan | 1,544 | 1,768 |
| Net Unrealized Gain on Securities Available-for-Sale | -- | 252 |
| Equity Investment | 286 | 132 |
| Goodwill Amortization | 233 | 140 |
| Other | 406 | 353 |
|  | 2,749 | 2,866 |
| Net Deferred Tax Assets | \$2,918 | \$ 991 |

Note 9. Income Taxes, Continued
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Realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income or the existence of sufficient taxable income within the carryback period. A valuation allowance is provided when it is more likely than not that some portion of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. In assessing the need for a valuation allowance, management considers the scheduled reversal of the deferred tax liabilities, the level of historical taxable income and projected future taxable income over the periods in which the temporary differences comprising the deferred tax assets will be deductible. Based on its assessment, management determined that no valuation allowance is necessary.

A reconciliation of the statutory federal income tax rate to the effective income tax rate is as follows:

| dollars in thousands | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & 2005 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { led Dece } \\ & 2004 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31, \\ & 2003 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statutory Federal Income Tax Rate | $34.0 \%$ | $34.0 \%$ | $34.0 \%$ |
| Variances from Statutory Rate: |  |  |  |
| State Income Tax, Net of Federal Tax Benefit | 1.6 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| Tax Exempt Income | (12.1) | (10.2) | (8.8) |
| Other | 2.5 | (0.1) | -- |
| Effective Tax Rate | $26.0 \%$ | $25.8 \%$ | 27.3\% |

Note. 10. Employee Benefit Plans

The Company, through its bank subsidiary, has a non-contributory defined benefit pension plan covering employees who have attained the age of 21 and have completed one year of service. The Company's funding practice is to contribute at least the minimum amount annually to meet minimum funding requirements. Contributions are intended to provide not only for benefits attributed to service to date, but for those expected to be earned in the future. Plan assets consist primarily of marketable fixed income securities and common stocks. Plan benefits are based on years of service and the employee's average compensation during the five highest consecutive years of the last ten years of employment.

The following table sets forth the components of pension expense (benefit) as well as changes in the plan's projected benefit obligation and plan assets and the plan's funded status and amounts recognized in the consolidated statements of condition based on a September 30 measurement date.

| dollars in thousands | 2005 | 2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Change in Benefit Obligation: |  |  |
| Benefit Obligation at Beginning of Year | \$ 16,050 | \$ 14,259 |
| Service Cost | 682 | 646 |
| Interest Cost | 924 | 839 |
| Actuarial Loss | 1,305 | 903 |
| Benefits Paid | (693) | (597) |
| Projected Benefit Obligation at End of Year | \$ 18,268 | \$ 16,050 |
| Change in Plan Assets: |  |  |
| Fair Value of Plan Assets at Beginning of Year | \$ 15,404 | \$ 14,538 |
| Actual Gain on Plan Assets | 1,765 | 1,462 |
| Employer Contribution | 552 | -- |
| Benefits Paid | (693) | (597) |
| Fair Value of Plan Assets at End of Year | \$ 17,028 | \$ 15,403 |


| dollars in thousands | 2005 |  | 2004 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unfunded Status | \$ | $(1,240)$ | \$ | (647) |
| Unrecognized Net Actuarial Loss |  | 4,849 |  | 4,244 |
| Unrecognized Net Transition Asset |  | -- |  | -- |
| Unrecognized Prior Service Cost |  | 355 |  | 389 |
| Prepaid Benefit Cost before Fourth Quarter Contribution | \$ | 3,964 | \$ | 3,986 |
| Amount Contributed during the Fourth Quarter | \$ | -- | \$ | 552 |
| Prepaid Benefit Cost at December 31 | \$ | 3,964 | \$ | 4,538 |
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Note. 10. Employee Benefit Plans, Continued
The following table presents a comparison of the accumulated benefit obligation and plan assets:

| dollars in thousands | 2005 | 2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Projected benefit obligation | \$18,268 | \$16,050 |
| Accumulated benefit obligation | 15,354 | 13,491 |
| Fair Value of Plan Assets | 17,028 | 15,403 |

Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost are:

| ars in thousands |  | 2005 |  | 2004 | 2003 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Service Cost | \$ | 682 | \$ | 646 |  | 541 |
| Interest Cost |  | 924 |  | 839 |  | 785 |
| Expected Return on Plan Assets |  | $(1,247)$ |  | (1,142) |  | (949) |
| Net Amortization |  | 215 |  | 200 |  | 166 |
|  | \$ | 574 | \$ | 543 |  | 543 |

The following weighted-average assumptions were used to determine the benefit obligation of the plan as of September 30:

|  | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Discount Rate | $5.50 \%$ | $5.88 \%$ | $6.00 \%$ |
| Expected Return on Plan Assets | $8.00 \%$ | $8.00 \%$ | $8.00 \%$ |
| Rate of Compensation Increase | $3.00 \%$ | $3.00 \%$ | $3.00 \%$ |

The following weighted-average assumptions were used to determine the net periodic benefit cost of the plan for the years ended December 31:

|  | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Discount Rate | 5.88\% | 6.00\% | 6.75\% |
| Expected Return on Plan Assets | 8.00\% | 8.00\% | 8.50\% |
| Rate of Compensation Increase | 3.00\% | 3.00\% | 4.00\% |

The plan's weighted average asset allocations at September 30, 2005 and 2004, by asset category are as follows:


Note. 10. Employee Benefit Plans, Continued
Investment Strategy
The plan assets are invested in the New York State Bankers Retirement System (the "System"), which was established in 1938 to provide for the payment of benefits to employees of participating banks. The System is overseen by a Board of Trustees who meet quarterly and set the investment policy guidelines. The System utilizes two investment management firms, (which will be referred to as Firm I and Firm II). Firm I is investing approximately $68 \%$ of the total portfolio and Firm II is investing approximately $32 \%$ of the portfolio. The System's investment objective is to exceed the investment benchmarks in each asset category. Each firm operates under a separate written investment policy approved by the Board of Trustees and designed to achieve an allocation approximating 60\% invested in Equity Securities and 40\% invested in Debt Securities. Each firm reports at least quarterly to the Investment Committee and semi-annually to the Board.

The equity portfolio consists of international securities and a diversified range of securities in the US equity markets. The fixed income porfolio focuses the purchase and sale of futures and options on futures on foreign currencies and foreign and domestic bonds, bond indicies and short-term securities.

Discount Rate

Annually, the Company establishes a discount rate to determine the value of the plan's benefit obligation. The Company uses the 20 -year AA Corporate bond yield as a basis for determining the discount rate for the plan.

Expected Long-Term Rate-of-Return
The expected long-term rate-of-return on the plan assets reflects long-term earnings expectations on existing plan assets and those contributions expected to be received during the current plan year. In estimating that rate,
appropriate consideration was given to historical returns earned by plan assets in the fund and the rates of return expected to be available for reinvestment. Average rates of return over the past $1,3,5$ and 10 year periods were determined and subsequently adjusted to reflect current capital market assumptions and changes in investment allocations.

Supplemental Retirement Income Agreement

In addition to the Company's noncontributory defined benefit pension plan, in 2002 the Company adopted two supplemental employee retirement plans for one current executive and one former executive. The amount of the liabilities recognized in the Company's consolidated statements of condition associated with these plans was $\$ 934,000$ at December 31, 2005 and $\$ 787,000$ at December 31, 2004 . For the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003, the Company recognized $\$ 194,000$, $\$ 178,000$ and $\$ 163,000$, respectively, of expense related to those plans. The discount rate used in determining the actuarial present values of the projected benefit obligations was 5.88\% at December 31, 2005.

Note 11. Commitments and Contingencies

Financial instruments whose contract amounts represent credit risk consist of the following:

|  | December 31, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dollars in thousands | 2005 | 2004 |
| Commitments to Extend Credit | \$76,783 | \$57,055 |
| Standby Letters of Credit | 8,880 | 9,948 |

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since some of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements.

Standby letters of credit written are conditional commitments issued by the Bank to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Those guarantees are primarily issued to support public and private borrowing arrangements, including bond financing and similar transactions. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to customers. Since some of the letters of credit are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements.
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Note 11. Commitments and Contingencies, Continued

The estimated fair value of the Company's stand-by letters of credit was $\$ 12$ thousand and $\$ 22$ thousand at December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004 respectively. The estimated fair value of stand-by letters of credit at their inception is equal to the fee that is charged to the customer by the company. Generally, the Company's stand-by letters of credit have a term of one year. In determining the fair values disclosed above, the fees were reduced on a straight-line basis from the inception of each stand-by letter of credit to the respective dates above. Due to immateriality of the fair value of the company's standby letters of credit, as well as their short-term nature, the company
recognized the fees for the stand-by letters of credit in income at inception during 2003.

The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary, by the Bank upon extension of credit for commitments to extend credit and letters of credit, is based upon management's credit evaluation of the counter party. Collateral held varies but includes residential and commercial real estate.

In the ordinary course of business there are various legal proceedings pending against the Company. After consultation with outside counsel, management considers that the aggregate exposure, if any, arising from such litigation would not have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position.

Note 12. Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments

SFAS No. 107, "Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments," requires disclosure of fair value information about financial instruments, whether or not recognized in the consolidated statement of condition, for which it is practicable to estimate that value. In cases where quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on estimates using present value or other valuation techniques. Those techniques are significantly affected by the assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows. In that regard, the derived fair value estimates cannot be substantiated by comparison to independent markets and in many cases, could not be realized in immediate settlement of the instruments. SFAS No. 107 excludes certain financial instruments and all nonfinancial instruments from its disclosure requirements. Accordingly, the aggregate fair value amounts presented do not represent the underlying value of the Bank.

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instruments:

Short-Term Financial Instruments

The fair value of certain financial instruments is estimated to approximate their carrying value because the remaining term to maturity of the financial instrument is less than 90 days or the financial instrument reprices in 90 days or less. Such financial instruments include cash and due from banks, Federal Funds sold, accrued interest receivable and accrued interest payable.

The fair value of Time Deposits with Other Banks is estimated using discounted cash flow analysis based on the Company's current reinvestment rate for similar deposits.

Securities

Fair values of securities are based on quoted market prices or dealer quotes. If a quoted market price is not available, fair value is estimated using quoted market prices for similar securities.

Loans

For certain homogenous categories of loans, such as some residential mortgages, credit card receivables, and other consumer loans, fair value is estimated using the quoted market prices for securities backed by similar loans, adjusted for differences in loan characteristics. The fair value of other types of loans is estimated by discounting the future cash flows using the current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same remaining maturities.

Note 12. Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments, Continued

Deposits
The fair value of demand deposits, savings accounts, and certain NOW and money market deposits is the amount payable on demand at the reporting date. The fair value of fixed-maturity time deposits is estimated using the rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities.

## Borrowings

The fair value of repurchase agreements, short-term borrowings, and long-term borrowings is estimated using discounted cash flow analysis based on the Company's current incremental borrowing rate for similar borrowing arrangements.

Off-Balance Sheet Instruments

The fair value of outstanding loan commitments and standby letters of credit are based on fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements, the counter parties' credit standing and discounted cash flow analysis. The fair value of these instruments approximates the value of the related fees and is not material.

The carrying values and estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments are as follows:

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December } 31 \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December } 31 \\ 2004 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dollars in thousands |  | Carrying <br> Value |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fair } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  | arrying Value |  | Fair Value |
| Financial Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents | \$ | 18,417 | \$ | 18,427 | \$ | 20,539 | \$ | 21,112 |
| Securities |  | 296,831 |  | 295,729 |  | 310,382 |  | 310,243 |
| Loans |  | 403,665 |  | 398,429 |  | 391,043 |  | 393,640 |
| Allowance for Loan Losses |  | $(6,640)$ |  | $(6,640)$ |  | $(6,250)$ |  | $(6,250)$ |
| Net Loans |  | 397,025 |  | 391,789 |  | 384,793 |  | 387,390 |
| Accrued Interest Receivable |  | 3,297 |  | 3,297 |  | 2,857 |  | 2,857 |
| Financial Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demand, Savings, NOW and Money Market Deposit Accounts | \$ | 342,815 | \$ | 342,815 | \$ | 304,897 | \$ | 304,897 |
| Time Deposits |  | 262,143 |  | 262,133 |  | 267,032 |  | 266,577 |
| Borrowings |  | 71,829 |  | 70,638 |  | 102,938 |  | 103,675 |
| Accrued Interest Payable |  | 690 |  | 690 |  | 678 |  | 678 |
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Note 13. Regulatory Matters

## Edgar Filing: Wilber CORP - Form 10-K

The Company and the subsidiary bank are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the Federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory, and possibly additional discretionary, actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Company' s consolidated financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the subsidiary bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The Company's and subsidiary bank's capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the maintenance of minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the table below) of total and Tier 1 capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets (as defined), and of Tier 1 capital (as defined) to average assets (as defined). Management believes the Company and subsidiary bank meet all capital adequacy requirements to which they are subject.

The most recent notification from the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency categorized the subsidiary bank as well capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be categorized as well capitalized the Company and subsidiary bank must maintain minimum total risk-based, Tier 1 risk-based, and Tier 1 leverage ratios as set forth in the following table. There have been no conditions or events since that notification that management believes have changed the subsidiary institution's category.

| dollars in thousands | Actual: |  |  |  | Adequa | al <br> poses: |  | Well Ca |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Amount | Ratio |  | Amount | Ratio |  | Amount |
| As of December 31, 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The Company | \$ | 71,198 | $14.37 \%$ | \$ | 39,626 | $8.00 \%$ |  | N/A |
| Subsidiary Bank | \$ | 68,365 | 13.83\% | \$ | 39,546 | $8.00 \%$ | \$ | 49,432 |
| Tier 1 Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The Company | \$ | 65,000 | 13.12\% | \$ | 19,813 | $4.00 \%$ |  | N/A |
| Subsidiary Bank | \$ | 62,180 | 12.58\% | \$ | 19,773 | $4.00 \%$ | \$ | 29,659 |
| Tier 1 Capital to Average Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The Company | \$ | 65,000 | 8.71\% | \$ | 29,846 | $4.00 \%$ |  | N/A |
| Subsidiary Bank | \$ | 62,180 | 8.35\% | \$ | 29,798 | $4.00 \%$ | \$ | 37,247 |
| As of December 31, 2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The Company | \$ | 70,276 | $14.34 \%$ | \$ | 39,197 | 8.00\% |  | N/A |
| Subsidiary Bank | \$ | 67,689 | $13.84 \%$ | \$ | 39,132 | $8.00 \%$ | \$ | 48,915 |
| Tier 1 Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The Company | \$ | 64,150 | 13.09\% | \$ | 19,599 | 4.00\% |  | N/A |
| Subsidiary Bank | \$ | 61,571 | 12.59\% |  | 19,566 | $4.00 \%$ | \$ | 29,349 |
| Tier 1 Capital to Average Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The Company | \$ | 64,150 | 8. 59\% | \$ | 29,880 | $4.00 \%$ |  | N/A |
| Subsidiary Bank | \$ | 61,571 | 8. 25 \% | \$ | 29,854 | $4.00 \%$ | \$ | 37,318 |

Banking regulations limit the amount of dividends that may be paid to stockholders. Generally, dividends are limited to retained net profits for the current year and two preceding years. At December 31, 2005, dividends totaling $\$ 9,787,000$ could have been paid without prior regulatory approval.

Note 14. Other Comprehensive Income

The following is a summary of changes in other comprehensive income for the periods presented:

| dollars in thousands | Year Ended December 31,  <br> 2005 2004 2003 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unrealized Holding Losses Arising During the Period Net of Tax (Pre-tax Amount of $(\$ 4,226,000),(\$ 564,000)$, and $(\$ 4,094,000)$ ) | \$ $(2,579)$ | \$ | (344) | \$ $(2,485$ |
| Reclassification Adjustment for Gains Realized in Net Income During the Period, Net of Tax (Pre-tax Amount of ( $\$ 370,000$ ), $(\$ 871,0000)$, and $(\$ 808,000)$ ) | (226) |  | (532) | (485 |
| Other Comprehensive Loss, Net of $\operatorname{Tax}$ of $(\$ 1,791,000)$, (\$559,000) and (\$1,932,000) | \$ $(2,805)$ | \$ | (876) | \$ $(2,970$ |

Note 15. Parent Company Only Financial Statements
Presented below are the condensed statements of condition December 31, 2005, and 2004 and statements of income and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2005, for the Parent Company. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto.

Condensed Statements of Condition

| dollars in thousands | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Decem } \\ & 2005 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31, \\ & 2004 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets |  |  |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents | \$ 1,593 | \$ 2,083 |
| Securities Available for Sale, at Estimated Fair Value | 1,229 | 638 |
| Investment in Subsidiary, Equity Basis | 64,897 | 64,913 |
| Other Assets | 1,547 | 1,525 |
| Total Assets | \$69,266 | \$69,159 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity |  |  |
| Total Liabilities | \$ 1,549 | \$ 1,554 |
| Stockholders' Equity | 67,717 | 67,605 |
| Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity | \$69,266 | \$69,159 |

Condensed Statements of Income

| dollars in thousands | December 31, |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2000 | 2003 |


| Dividends from Subsidiary | \$ 5,305 | \$ 5,306 | \$ 5,375 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest and Other Dividend Income | 89 | 47 | 31 |
| Net (Loss) Gain on Sale of Securities | -- | 95 | -- |
|  | 5,394 | 5,448 | 5,406 |
| Operating Expense | 606 | 301 | 172 |
| Income Before Income Tax (Benefit) Expense and Equity in Undistributed Income of Subsidiary | 4,788 | 5,147 | 5,234 |
| Income Tax (Benefit) Expense | (190) | (81) | ( 56 ) |
| Equity in Undistributed Income of Subsidiaries | 2,766 | 3,390 | 3,423 |
| Net Income | \$ 7,744 | \$ 8,618 | \$ 8,713 |
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Note 15. Parent Company Only Financial Statements, Continued
Condensed Statements of Cash Flows

| dollars in thousands | $\begin{array}{r} Y € \\ 2005 \end{array}$ | Ended December 31, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2004 | 2003 |
| Cash Flows from Operating Activities: |  |  |  |
| Net Income | \$ 7,744 | \$ 8,618 | \$ 8,713 |
| Adjustments to Reconcile Net Income to Cash |  |  |  |
| Provided by Operating Activities: |  |  |  |
| Investment Security Gains | -- | (95) | -- |
| Decrease (Increase) in Other Assets | 16 | (16) | (4) |
| (Decrease) Increase in Other Liabilities | (32) | 91 | (44) |
| Equity in Undistributed Income of Subsidiaries | $(2,766)$ | $(3,390)$ | $(3,423)$ |
| Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities | 4,962 | 5,208 | 5,242 |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities: |  |  |  |
| Proceeds from Sales of Available-for-Sale Securities | -- | 195 | -- |
| Purchase of Available-for-Sale Securities | (625) | -- | -- |
| Net Cash (Used by) Provided by Investing Activities | (625) | 195 | -- |
| Cash Flows from Financing Activities: |  |  |  |
| Purchase of Treasury Stock | (581) | (182) | (492) |
| Sale of Treasury Stock | -- | -- | -- |
| Cash Dividends | $(4,246)$ | $(4,259)$ | $(4,319)$ |
| Net Cash Used in Financing Activities | $(4,827)$ | $(4,441)$ | $(4,811)$ |
| Net (Decrease) Increase in Cash Equivalents | (490) | 962 | 431 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Year | 2,083 | 1,121 | 690 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Year | \$ 1,593 | \$ 2,083 | \$ 1,121 |

Note 16. Stockholders' Equity

On July 21, 2003 the Board of Directors approved a 4-for-1 stock split payable on September 18, 2003. On September 5, 2003, the stockholders approved: (i) an increase in authorized shares of common stock to $16,000,000$ and (ii) a change in the par value of the common stock from no par value to $\$ 0.01$ par value. All per share amounts were restated to reflect the 4 -for-1 stock split. The stock split resulted in an increase in the shares issued and treasury shares of $10,471,248$ and 2,064,204 shares, respectively, on September 5, 2003.

Note 17. Federal Reserve Bank Requirement

The Company is required to maintain a clearing balance with the Federal Reserve Bank. The required clearing balance for the 14 -day maintenance period ending January 4, 2006 was $\$ 1,300,000$.

Note 18. Subsequent Events

Effective February 2006, the Company' s defined benefit pension plan was frozen. The curtailment gain due to the freezing of the plan will reduce the projected benefit obligation by approximately $\$ 2,561,000$ in the first quarter of 2006 .
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ITEM 9: CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

## ITEM 9A: CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

We have established disclosure control procedures to ensure that material information related to the company, its financial condition or results of operation, is made known to the officers that certify the Company's financial reports and to other members of senior management and the Board of Directors. These procedures have been formalized through the formation of a Management Disclosure Committee and the adoption of a Management Disclosure Committee Charter and related disclosure certification process. The management disclosure committee is comprised of our senior management and meets at least quarterly to review periodic filings for full and proper disclosure of material information.

Our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the design and operational effectiveness of the company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13(a)-15(e) and $15(d)-15(e)$ under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) as of December 31, 2005. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective in ensuring that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 are recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms.

It should be noted that any system of internal controls, regardless of design can provide only reasonable, and not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. In addition, the design of any control system is based in part upon certain assumption about the likelihood of future events. Because of these and other inherent limitations of control systems, there can be
no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions, regardless of how remote.

## Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15f. Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on our evaluation under the framework in Internal control - Integrated Framework, our management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2005. Our management's assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, has been audited by KPMG, LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report on the following page.
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Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
The Board of Directors of The Wilber Corporation:

We have audited management's assessment, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting that The Wilber Corporation (the "Company") maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005 , based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on management's assessment and an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management's assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's
assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, management's assessment that The Wilber Corporation maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Also, in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated statements of condition of The Wilber Corporation and subsidiary as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, and the related consolidated statements of income, changes in stockholders' equity and comprehensive income, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2005, and our report dated March 10, 2006 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

Albany, New York
March 10, 2006

ITEM 9B: OTHER INFORMATION

None.

## PART III

ITEM 10: DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT
A. Directors of the Registrant

Information contained under the caption Proposal II, "Election of Directors (introduction);" "The Nominees and Continuing Directors" and in "Meetings of the Board of Directors and Certain Committees" in the definitive Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on April 29, 2006, to be filed with the Commission within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Form 10-K, is incorporated herein by this reference.
B. Executive Officers of the Registrant Who Are Not Directors

Information contained in Proposal II under the caption, "Executive Officers Who Are Not Directors," in the definitive Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on April 29, 2006, to be filed with the Commission within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Form $10-K$, is incorporated herein by this reference.
C. Compliance With Section $16(\mathrm{a})$

Information contained under the caption, "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance," in the definitive Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on April 29, 2006, to be filed with the Commission within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Form 10-K, is incorporated herein by this reference.

The Company has adopted a Code of Ethics for adherence by its Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, and Chief Accounting Officer to ensure honest and ethical conduct; full, fair and proper disclosure of financial information in the Company's periodic reports; and compliance with applicable laws, rules, and regulations. The text of the Company's Code of Ethics is posted and available on the Bank's website (http://www.wilberbank.com) under 'About Us.'

ITEM 11: EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Information contained under the caption, "Compensation," in the definitive Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on April 29, 2006, to be filed with the Commission within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Form $10-\mathrm{K}$, is incorporated herein by this reference.

ITEM 12: SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Information contained under the caption, "Principal Owners of Our Common Stock," in the definitive Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on April 29, 2006, to be filed with the Commission within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Form $10-\mathrm{K}$, is incorporated herein by this reference.

ITEM 13: CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS
Information contained under the caption, "Compensation - Transactions with Directors and Executive Officers," in the definitive Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on April 29, 2006, to be filed with the Commission within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Form 10-K, is incorporated herein by this reference.

ITEM 14: PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES
Information contained under the caption, "Independent Auditors' Fees - Audit and Non-Audit Fees," and "Independent Auditors' Fees - Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures" in the definitive Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on April 29, 2006, to be filed with the Commission within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Form $10-K$, is incorporated herein by this reference.
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PART IV
-------

ITEM 15: EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES
The financial statement schedules and exhibits filed as part of this Form $10-\mathrm{K}$ are as follows:
(a) (1) The following Consolidated Financial Statements are included in PART II, Item 8, hereof:

```
-Independent Auditors' Report
-Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2005 and 2004
-Consolidated Statements of Income for the Years Ended December
        31, 2005, 2004 and 2003
-Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity
        for the Years Ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003
-Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended
        December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 -Consolidated Statements
        of Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31,
        2005, 2004 and 2003
-Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
```

(2) None.
(3) Exhibits: See Exhibit Index to this Form 10-K
(b) See Exhibit Index to this Form 10-K
(c) None.
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SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or $15(\mathrm{~d})$ of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this registration statement to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

THE WILBER CORPORATION


| /s/ Mary C. Albrecht | Director |
| :---: | :---: |
| Mary C. Albrecht |  |
| /s/ Olon T. Archer | Director |
| Olon T. Archer |  |
| /s/ Philip J. Devine | Director |
| Philip J. Devine |  |
| /s/ Richard E. Keene | Director |
| Richard E. Keene |  |
| /s/ Joseph P. Mirabito | Director |
| Joseph P. Mirabito |  |
| /s/ James L. Seward | Director |
| James L. Seward |  |
| /s/ Geoffrey A. Smith | Director |
| Geoffrey A. Smith |  |
| /s/ James F. VanDeusen | Director |
| James F. VanDeusen |  |
| /s/ David F. Wilber, III | Director |
| David F. Wilber, III |  |
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EXHIBIT INDEX

| No. | Document |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3.1 | Restated Certificate of Incorporation of The Wilber Corporation (incorporated by reference as Exhibit A of the Company's Definitive Proxy Statement - Schedule 14A (File No. 001-31896) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 24, 2005) |
| 3.2 | Bylaws of The Wilber Corporation as Amended and Restated (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of the Company's Form 8-K Current Report (File No. 001-31896) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 27, 2005) |
| 10.1 | Deferred Compensation Agreement as Amended between Wilber National Bank and Alfred S. Whittet (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Form 8-K Current Report (File No. O01-31896) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 6, 2006) |
| 10.2 | Summary Plan Description for the Amended and Restated Wilber National |

Bank Split-Dollar Life Insurance Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Form 10/A Registration Statement (No. 001-31896) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 30, 2004)
10.3 Amendment to the Wilber National Bank Split-Dollar Life Insurance Plan Agreement and Split-Dollar Policy Endorsement between Wilber National Bank and Alfred S. Whittet (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Company's Form 10/A Registration Statement (No. 001-31896) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 30, 2004)
10.4 Executive Salary Continuation Agreement between Wilber National Bank and Robert W. Moyer (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Company's Form 10/A Registration Statement (No. 001-31896) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 30, 2004)
10.5 Executive Salary Continuation Agreement between Wilber National Bank and Alfred S. Whittet (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of the Company's Form 10/A Registration Statement (No. 001-31896) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 30, 2004)
10.6 Employment Agreement between Wilber National Bank and Alfred S. Whittet (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of the Company's Form 10/A Registration Statement (No. 001-31896) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 30, 2004)
10.7 Severance Compensation Agreement between The Wilber Corporation and Alfred S. Whittet (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 of the Company's Form 10/A Registration Statement (No. 001-31896) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 30, 2004)
10.8 Retention Bonus Agreement as Amended between Wilber National Bank and Douglas C. Gulotty (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 of the Company's Form 8-K Current Report (File No. 001-31896) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 6, 2006)
10.9 Retention Bonus Agreement as Amended between Wilber National Bank and Joseph E. Sutaris (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 of the Company's Form 8-K Current Report (File No. 001-31896) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 6, 2006)
10.11 Employment Agreement between Wilber National Bank and Douglas C. Gulotty (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 of the Company's Form 8-K Current Report (File No. 001-31896) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 6, 2006)
10.12 Employment Agreement between Wilber National Bank and Joseph E. Sutaris (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 of the Company's Form 8-K Current Report (File No. 001-31896) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 6, 2006)

13 Annual Report to Shareholders (included in this annual report on Form 10-K)

Code of Ethics as Amended incorporated by reference to Exhibit 14 of the Company's Annual Report on Form $10-\mathrm{K}$, and available on the Company's website (http://www.wilberbank.com) under the link 'About
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31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act
31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act
32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350
32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350
$84-K$

Subsidiaries of the Registrant
The Wilber Corporation has the following subsidiary, which is wholly owned:
Wilber National Bank, a national bank.

