

COCA COLA CO  
Form 10-Q  
July 26, 2012

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 29, 2012

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_  
Commission File No. 001-02217

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its Charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

One Coca-Cola Plaza

Atlanta, Georgia

(Address of principal executive offices)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (404) 676-2121

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes  No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock as of the latest practicable date.

Class of Common Stock

\$0.25 Par Value

Outstanding at July 23, 2012

2,250,961,597 Shares

## THE COCA-COLA COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Table of Contents

	Page Number
<u>Forward-Looking Statements</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Part I. Financial Information</u>	
Item 1. <u>Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income</u> <u>Three and six months ended June 29, 2012, and July 1, 2011</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income</u> <u>Three and six months ended June 29, 2012, and July 1, 2011</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets</u> <u>June 29, 2012, and December 31, 2011</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u> <u>Six months ended June 29, 2012, and July 1, 2011</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>6</u>
Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>33</u>
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>57</u>
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>57</u>
<u>Part II. Other Information</u>	
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>57</u>
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	<u>58</u>
Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>59</u>
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	<u>59</u>

---

## FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report contains information that may constitute "forward-looking statements." Generally, the words "believe," "expect," "intend," "estimate," "anticipate," "project," "will" and similar expressions identify forward-looking statements, which generally are not historical in nature. However, the absence of these words or similar expressions does not mean that a statement is not forward-looking. All statements that address operating performance, events or developments that we expect or anticipate will occur in the future — including statements relating to volume growth, share of sales and earnings per share growth, and statements expressing general views about future operating results — are forward-looking statements. Management believes that these forward-looking statements are reasonable as and when made. However, caution should be taken not to place undue reliance on any such forward-looking statements because such statements speak only as of the date when made. Our Company undertakes no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as required by law. In addition, forward-looking statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from our Company's historical experience and our present expectations or projections. These risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, those described in Part II, "Item 1A. Risk Factors" and elsewhere in this report and in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, and those described from time to time in our future reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

## Part I. Financial Information

## Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)

THE COCA-COLA COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME  
(UNAUDITED)

(In millions except per share data)

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 29, 2012	July 1, 2011	June 29, 2012	July 1, 2011
		As Adjusted		As Adjusted
NET OPERATING REVENUES	\$ 13,085	\$ 12,737	\$ 24,222	\$ 23,254
Cost of goods sold	5,224	4,989	9,572	8,937
GROSS PROFIT	7,861	7,748	14,650	14,317
Selling, general and administrative expenses	4,497	4,417	8,678	8,493
Other operating charges	70	152	169	361
OPERATING INCOME	3,294	3,179	5,803	5,463
Interest income	112	121	227	215
Interest expense	112	84	200	197
Equity income (loss) — net	245	221	385	355
Other income (loss) — net	84	362	133	479
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES	3,623	3,799	6,348	6,315
Income taxes	823	992	1,481	1,592
CONSOLIDATED NET INCOME	2,800	2,807	4,867	4,723
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	12	7	25	20
NET INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO SHAREOWNERS OF THE COCA-COLA COMPANY	\$ 2,788	\$ 2,800	\$ 4,842	\$ 4,703
BASIC NET INCOME PER SHARE <sup>1</sup>	\$ 1.24	\$ 1.22	\$ 2.14	\$ 2.05
DILUTED NET INCOME PER SHARE <sup>1</sup>	\$ 1.21	\$ 1.20	\$ 2.11	\$ 2.02
DIVIDENDS PER SHARE	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.47	\$ 1.02	\$ 0.94
AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING	2,255	2,290	2,259	2,291
Effect of dilutive securities	41	40	39	39
AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING ASSUMING DILUTION	2,296	2,330	2,298	2,330

<sup>1</sup> Calculated based on net income attributable to shareowners of The Coca-Cola Company.  
Refer to Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

THE COCA-COLA COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME  
 (UNAUDITED)  
 (In millions)

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended		
	June 29, 2012	July 1, 2011	June 29, 2012	July 1, 2011	
		As Adjusted		As Adjusted	
CONSOLIDATED NET INCOME	\$2,800	\$2,807	\$4,867	\$4,723	
Other comprehensive income:					
Net foreign currency translation adjustment	(1,729	)744	(799	)1,674	
Net gain (loss) on derivatives	28	(20	) 59	(17	)
Net unrealized gain (loss) on available-for-sale securities	66	100	166	76	
Net change in pension and other benefit liabilities	22	(9	) 11	(15	)
TOTAL COMPREHENSIVE INCOME	1,187	3,622	4,304	6,441	
Less: Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	(7	)1	57	4	
TOTAL COMPREHENSIVE INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO SHAREOWNERS OF THE COCA-COLA COMPANY	\$1,194	\$3,621	\$4,247	\$6,437	

Refer to Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

THE COCA-COLA COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
 (UNAUDITED)

(In millions except par value)

	June 29, 2012	December 31, 2011 As Adjusted
<b>ASSETS</b>		
<b>CURRENT ASSETS</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$9,337	\$ 12,803
Short-term investments	4,807	1,088
<b>TOTAL CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS AND SHORT-TERM INVESTMENTS</b>	<b>14,144</b>	<b>13,891</b>
Marketable securities	2,822	144
Trade accounts receivable, less allowances of \$77 and \$83, respectively	5,397	4,920
Inventories	3,587	3,092
Prepaid expenses and other assets	3,375	3,450
<b>TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS</b>	<b>29,325</b>	<b>25,497</b>
<b>EQUITY METHOD INVESTMENTS</b>	<b>7,838</b>	<b>7,233</b>
<b>OTHER INVESTMENTS, PRINCIPALLY BOTTLING COMPANIES</b>	<b>1,398</b>	<b>1,141</b>
<b>OTHER ASSETS</b>	<b>3,663</b>	<b>3,495</b>
PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT, less accumulated depreciation of \$8,743 and \$8,212, respectively	15,174	14,939
TRADEMARKS WITH INDEFINITE LIVES	6,473	6,430
BOTTLERS' FRANCHISE RIGHTS WITH INDEFINITE LIVES	7,738	7,770
GOODWILL	12,357	12,219
OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS	1,183	1,250
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b>\$85,149</b>	<b>\$ 79,974</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND EQUITY</b>		
<b>CURRENT LIABILITIES</b>		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$9,775	\$ 9,009
Loans and notes payable	15,964	12,871
Current maturities of long-term debt	128	2,041
Accrued income taxes	615	362
<b>TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES</b>	<b>26,482</b>	<b>24,283</b>
<b>LONG-TERM DEBT</b>	<b>16,390</b>	<b>13,656</b>
<b>OTHER LIABILITIES</b>	<b>4,658</b>	<b>5,420</b>
<b>DEFERRED INCOME TAXES</b>	<b>4,990</b>	<b>4,694</b>
<b>THE COCA-COLA COMPANY SHAREOWNERS' EQUITY</b>		
Common stock, \$0.25 par value; Authorized — 5,600 shares; Issued — 3,520 and 3,520 shares, respectively	880	880
Capital surplus	11,907	11,212
Reinvested earnings	56,159	53,621
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(3,369)	(2,774)
Treasury stock, at cost — 1,269 and 1,257 shares, respectively	(33,308)	(31,304)
<b>EQUITY ATTRIBUTABLE TO SHAREOWNERS OF THE COCA-COLA COMPANY</b>	<b>32,269</b>	<b>31,635</b>
<b>EQUITY ATTRIBUTABLE TO NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS</b>	<b>360</b>	<b>286</b>
<b>TOTAL EQUITY</b>	<b>32,629</b>	<b>31,921</b>
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES AND EQUITY</b>	<b>\$85,149</b>	<b>\$ 79,974</b>

Refer to Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.



THE COCA-COLA COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
 (UNAUDITED)  
 (In millions)

	Six Months Ended	
	June 29, 2012	July 1, 2011
	As Adjusted	
<b>OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Consolidated net income	\$4,867	\$4,723
Depreciation and amortization	955	957
Stock-based compensation expense	166	181
Deferred income taxes	53	182
Equity (income) loss — net of dividends	(143)	(26)
Foreign currency adjustments	(82)	88
Significant (gains) losses on sales of assets — net	(106)	(109)
Other operating charges	99	217
Other items	32	(399)
Net change in operating assets and liabilities	(1,663)	(2,172)
Net cash provided by operating activities	4,178	3,642
<b>INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Purchases of short-term investments	(4,843)	(3,901)
Proceeds from disposals of short-term investments	1,092	2,908
Acquisitions and investments	(756)	(260)
Purchases of other investments	(3,778)	(7)
Proceeds from disposals of bottling companies and other investments	957	395
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(1,305)	(1,190)
Proceeds from disposals of property, plant and equipment	57	46
Other investing activities	16	(319)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(8,560)	(2,328)
<b>FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Issuances of debt	21,964	12,699
Payments of debt	(18,101)	(9,963)
Issuances of stock	995	802
Purchases of stock for treasury	(2,610)	(1,371)
Dividends	(1,155)	(2,143)
Other financing activities	55	6
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	1,148	30
<b>EFFECT OF EXCHANGE RATE CHANGES ON CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS</b>	(232)	305
<b>CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS</b>		
Net increase (decrease) during the period	(3,466)	1,649
Balance at beginning of period	12,803	8,517
Balance at end of period	\$9,337	\$10,166
Refer to Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.		



THE COCA-COLA COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)

Note 1 — Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. They do not include all information and notes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. However, except as disclosed herein, there has been no material change in the information disclosed in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K of The Coca-Cola Company for the year ended December 31, 2011.

When used in these notes, the terms "The Coca-Cola Company," "Company," "we," "us" or "our" mean The Coca-Cola Company and all entities included in our condensed consolidated financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (including normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three and six months ended June 29, 2012, are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2012. Sales of our ready-to-drink nonalcoholic beverages are somewhat seasonal, with the second and third calendar quarters accounting for the highest sales volumes. The volume of sales in the beverage business may be affected by weather conditions.

Each of our interim reporting periods, other than the fourth interim reporting period, ends on the Friday closest to the last day of the corresponding quarterly calendar period. The second quarter of 2012 and 2011 ended on June 29, 2012, and July 1, 2011, respectively. Our fourth interim reporting period and our fiscal year end on December 31 regardless of the day of the week on which December 31 falls.

Certain amounts in the prior year's condensed consolidated financial statements and notes have been revised to conform to the current year presentation.

Advertising Costs

The Company's accounting policy related to advertising costs for annual reporting purposes, as disclosed in Note 1 of our 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K, is to expense production costs of print, radio, television and other advertisements as of the first date the advertisements take place. All other marketing expenditures are expensed in the annual period in which the expenditure is incurred.

For interim reporting purposes, we allocate our estimated full year marketing expenditures that benefit multiple interim periods to each of our interim reporting periods. We use the proportion of each interim period's actual unit case volume to the estimated full year unit case volume as the basis for the allocation. This methodology results in our marketing expenditures being recognized at a standard rate per unit case. At the end of each interim reporting period, we review our estimated full year unit case volume and our estimated full year marketing expenditures in order to evaluate if a change in estimate is necessary. The impact of any changes in these full year estimates is recognized in the interim period in which the change in estimate occurs. Our full year marketing expenditures are not impacted by this interim accounting policy.

Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans

Effective January 1, 2012, the Company elected to change our accounting methodology for determining the market-related value of assets for our U.S. qualified defined benefit pension plans. The market-related value of assets is used to determine the Company's expected return on assets, a component of our annual pension expense calculation. The Company previously used a smoothing technique to calculate our market-related value of assets which reflected changes in the fair value over no more than five years. However, we now use the actual fair value of plan assets to determine our expected return on those assets for all of our defined benefit plans. Although both methods are permitted under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, the Company believes our new methodology is preferable as it accelerates the recognition of gains and losses in the determination of our annual pension expense.

The Company's change in accounting methodology has been applied retrospectively, and we have adjusted all applicable prior period financial information presented herein as required. The cumulative effect of this change on

retained earnings as of January 1, 2011, was an increase of \$59 million, with an offset to accumulated other comprehensive income ("AOCI"). The impact of this change on the Company's income before income taxes was an increase of \$1 million and \$2 million during the three and six months ended June 29, 2012, respectively. In addition, the Company's income before income taxes increased \$5 million and \$10 million as a result of this change during the three and six months ended July 1, 2011, respectively. The impact on the Company's earnings per share was not significant for any of the financial statement periods presented in this report.

## Note 2 — Acquisitions and Divestitures

### Acquisitions

During the six months ended June 29, 2012, our Company's acquisition and investment activities totaled \$756 million, which primarily included investments in the existing beverage business of Aujan Industries ("Aujan"), one of the largest independent beverage companies in the Middle East, and our acquisition of bottling operations in Guatemala, Vietnam and Cambodia.

During the six months ended June 29, 2012, the Company transferred \$531 million under its definitive agreement with Aujan in exchange for 50 percent of the Aujan entity that holds the rights to Aujan-owned brands in certain territories and 49 percent of Aujan's bottling and distribution operations in certain territories. The remainder of the investments contemplated under the definitive agreement are contingent upon obtaining certain regulatory and other approvals, which we expect to obtain prior to the end of 2012. The Company expects to complete the transaction for \$980 million in total value, which includes approximately \$830 million to \$850 million in cash paid by the Company. The remainder of the value will be comprised of the Company's proportionate share of underlying debt in the acquired entities. The Company's investments in Aujan are being accounted for under the equity method of accounting.

During the six months ended July 1, 2011, our Company's acquisition and investment activities totaled \$260 million, which included our acquisition of the remaining ownership interest of Honest Tea, Inc. ("Honest Tea") not already owned by the Company. Prior to this transaction, the Company accounted for our investment in Honest Tea under the equity method of accounting. We remeasured our equity interest in Honest Tea to fair value upon the close of the transaction. The resulting gain on the remeasurement was not significant to our condensed consolidated financial statements. In addition, the Company's acquisition and investment activities included an immaterial cash payment for the finalization of working capital adjustments related to our acquisition of Coca-Cola Enterprises Inc.'s ("CCE") former North America business.

### Divestitures

During the six months ended June 29, 2012, proceeds from disposals of bottling companies and other investments totaled \$957 million. These proceeds resulted from the sale and/or maturity of investments associated with the Company's cash and risk management programs and were not related to the disposal of bottling companies. Refer to Note 3 for additional information.

During the six months ended July 1, 2011, proceeds from disposals of bottling companies and other investments totaled \$395 million, primarily related to the sale of our investment in Coca-Cola Embonor, S.A. ("Embonor"), a bottling partner with operations primarily in Chile, for \$394 million. Prior to this transaction, the Company accounted for our investment in Embonor under the equity method of accounting. Refer to Note 10.

## Note 3 — Investments

Investments in debt and marketable equity securities, other than investments accounted for under the equity method, are classified as trading, available-for-sale or held-to-maturity. Our marketable equity investments are classified as either trading or available-for-sale with their cost basis determined by the specific identification method. Realized and unrealized gains and losses on trading securities and realized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities are included in net income. Unrealized gains and losses, net of deferred taxes, on available-for-sale securities are included in our condensed consolidated balance sheets as a component of AOCI, except for the change in fair value attributable to the currency risk being hedged. Refer to Note 5 for additional information related to the Company's fair value hedges of available-for-sale securities.

Our investments in debt securities are carried at either amortized cost or fair value. Investments in debt securities that the Company has the positive intent and ability to hold to maturity are carried at amortized cost and classified as held-to-maturity. Investments in debt securities that are not classified as held-to-maturity are carried at fair value and classified as either trading or available-for-sale.

### Trading Securities

As of June 29, 2012, and December 31, 2011, our trading securities had a fair value of \$227 million and \$211 million, respectively, and consisted primarily of equity securities. The Company had net unrealized gains on trading securities of \$11 million as of June 29, 2012, and net unrealized losses of \$5 million as of December 31, 2011. The Company's trading securities were included in the following line items in our condensed consolidated balance sheets (in millions):

Edgar Filing: COCA COLA CO - Form 10-Q

	June 29, 2012	December 31, 2011
Marketable securities	\$148	\$138
Other assets	79	73
Total trading securities	\$227	\$211

7

---

## Available-for-Sale and Held-to-Maturity Securities

During 2012, the Company made a change in its overall cash management program. In an effort to manage counterparty risk and diversify our assets, the Company began to make additional investments in high-quality securities. These investments are primarily classified as available-for-sale securities.

As of June 29, 2012, available-for-sale and held-to-maturity securities consisted of the following (in millions):

	Cost	Gross Unrealized		Estimated
		Gains	Losses	Fair Value
Available-for-sale securities: <sup>1</sup>				
Equity securities	\$946	\$499	\$—	\$1,445
Debt securities	3,317	16	(18)	) 3,315
	\$4,263	\$515	\$(18)	) \$4,760
Held-to-maturity securities:				
Bank and corporate debt	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—

<sup>1</sup> Refer to Note 14 for additional information related to the estimated fair value.

As of December 31, 2011, available-for-sale and held-to-maturity securities consisted of the following (in millions):

	Cost	Gross Unrealized		Estimated
		Gains	Losses	Fair Value
Available-for-sale securities: <sup>1</sup>				
Equity securities	\$834	\$237	\$—	\$1,071
Debt securities	332	1	(3)	) 330
	\$1,166	\$238	\$(3)	) \$1,401
Held-to-maturity securities:				
Bank and corporate debt	\$113	\$—	\$—	\$113

<sup>1</sup> Refer to Note 14 for additional information related to the estimated fair value.

The sale and/or maturity of available-for-sale securities resulted in the following activity during the three and six months ended June 29, 2012 (in millions):

	June 29, 2012	
	Three Months	Six Months
	Ended	Ended
Gross gains	\$11	\$12
Gross losses	—	(2)
Proceeds	1,611	2,842

The Company's sale of available-for-sale securities did not result in any significant gross gains, gross losses or proceeds during the three and six months ended July 1, 2011.

During 2011, the Company began using one of its insurance captives to reinsure group annuity insurance contracts which cover the pension obligations of certain of our European pension plans. In accordance with local insurance regulations, our insurance captive is required to meet and maintain minimum solvency capital requirements. The Company elected to invest its solvency capital in a portfolio of available-for-sale securities, which have been classified in the line item other assets in our condensed consolidated balance sheets because the assets are not available to satisfy our current obligations. As of June 29, 2012, and December 31, 2011, the Company's balance of available-for-sale securities included solvency capital funds of \$415 million and \$285 million, respectively.

The Company's available-for-sale and held-to-maturity securities were included in the following line items in our condensed consolidated balance sheets (in millions):

	June 29, 2012		December 31, 2011	
	Available-for-Sale Securities	Held-to-Maturity Securities	Available-for-Sale Securities	Held-to-Maturity Securities
Cash and cash equivalents	\$294	\$—	\$—	\$112
Marketable securities	2,674	—	5	1
Other investments, principally bottling companies	1,246	—	986	—
Other assets	546	—	410	—
	\$4,760	\$—	\$1,401	\$113

The contractual maturities of these investments as of June 29, 2012, were as follows (in millions):

	Available-for-Sale Securities		Held-to-Maturity Securities	
	Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
Within 1 year	\$1,080	\$1,070	\$—	\$—
After 1 year through 5 years	1,577	1,570	—	—
After 5 years through 10 years	292	307	—	—
After 10 years	368	368	—	—
Equity securities	946	1,445	—	—
	\$4,263	\$4,760	\$—	\$—

The Company expects that actual maturities may differ from the contractual maturities above because borrowers have the right to call or prepay certain obligations.

#### Cost Method Investments

Cost method investments are initially recorded at cost, and we record dividend income when applicable dividends are declared. Cost method investments are reported as other investments in our condensed consolidated balance sheets, and dividend income from cost method investments is reported in other income (loss) — net in our condensed consolidated statements of income. We review all of our cost method investments quarterly to determine if impairment indicators are present; however, we are not required to determine the fair value of these investments unless impairment indicators exist. When impairment indicators exist, we generally use discounted cash flow analyses to determine the fair value. We estimate that the fair values of our cost method investments approximated or exceeded their carrying values as of June 29, 2012, and December 31, 2011. Our cost method investments had a carrying value of \$152 million and \$155 million as of June 29, 2012, and December 31, 2011, respectively.

#### Note 4 — Inventories

Inventories consist primarily of raw materials and packaging (which include ingredients and supplies) and finished goods (which include concentrates and syrups in our concentrate operations and finished beverages in our finished product operations). Inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market. We determine cost on the basis of the average cost or first-in, first-out methods. Inventories consisted of the following (in millions):

	June 29, 2012	December 31, 2011
Raw materials and packaging	\$1,991	\$1,680
Finished goods	1,305	1,198
Other	291	214
Total inventories	\$3,587	\$3,092

#### Note 5 — Hedging Transactions and Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company is directly and indirectly affected by changes in certain market conditions. These changes in market conditions may adversely impact the Company's financial performance and are referred to as "market risks." Our Company, when deemed appropriate, uses derivatives as a risk management tool to mitigate the potential impact of certain market risks. The primary market risks managed by the Company through the use of derivative instruments are foreign currency exchange rate risk, commodity price risk and interest rate risk.

The Company uses various types of derivative instruments including, but not limited to, forward contracts, commodity futures contracts, option contracts, collars and swaps. Forward contracts and commodity futures contracts are agreements to buy or sell a quantity of a currency or commodity at a predetermined future date, and at a predetermined rate or price. An option contract is an agreement that conveys the purchaser the right, but not the obligation, to buy or sell a quantity of a currency or commodity at a predetermined rate or price during a period or at a time in the future. A collar is a strategy that uses a combination of options to limit the range of possible positive or negative returns on an underlying asset or liability to a specific range, or to protect expected future cash flows. To do this, an investor simultaneously buys a put option and sells (writes) a call option, or alternatively buys a call option and sells (writes) a put option. A swap agreement is a contract between two parties to exchange cash flows based on specified underlying notional amounts, assets and/or indices. We do not enter into derivative financial instruments for trading purposes.

All derivatives are carried at fair value in our condensed consolidated balance sheets in the following line items, as applicable: prepaid expenses and other assets; other assets; accounts payable and accrued expenses; and other liabilities. The carrying values of the derivatives reflect the impact of legally enforceable master netting agreements and cash collateral held or placed with the same counterparties, as applicable. These master netting agreements allow the Company to net settle positive and negative positions (assets and liabilities) arising from different transactions with the same counterparty.

The accounting for gains and losses that result from changes in the fair values of derivative instruments depends on whether the derivatives have been designated and qualify as hedging instruments and the type of hedging relationships. Derivatives can be designated as fair value hedges, cash flow hedges or hedges of net investments in foreign operations. The changes in the fair values of derivatives that have been designated and qualify for fair value hedge accounting are recorded in the same line item in our condensed consolidated statements of income as the changes in the fair values of the hedged items attributable to the risk being hedged. The changes in the fair values of derivatives that have been designated and qualify as cash flow hedges or hedges of net investments in foreign operations are recorded in AOCI and are reclassified into the line item in our condensed consolidated statement of income in which the hedged items are recorded in the same period the hedged items affect earnings. Due to the high degree of effectiveness between the hedging instruments and the underlying exposures being hedged, fluctuations in the value of the derivative instruments are generally offset by changes in the fair values or cash flows of the underlying exposures being hedged. The changes in fair values of derivatives that were not designated and/or did not qualify as hedging instruments are immediately recognized into earnings.

For derivatives that will be accounted for as hedging instruments, the Company formally designates and documents, at inception, the financial instrument as a hedge of a specific underlying exposure, the risk management objective and the strategy for undertaking the hedge transaction. In addition, the Company formally assesses, both at inception and at least quarterly thereafter, whether the financial instruments used in hedging transactions are effective at offsetting changes in either the fair values or cash flows of the related underlying exposures. Any ineffective portion of a financial instrument's change in fair value is immediately recognized into earnings.

The Company determines the fair values of its derivatives based on quoted market prices or pricing models using current market rates. Refer to Note 14. The notional amounts of the derivative financial instruments do not necessarily represent amounts exchanged by the parties and, therefore, are not a direct measure of our exposure to the financial risks described above. The amounts exchanged are calculated by reference to the notional amounts and by other terms of the derivatives, such as interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates or other financial indices. The Company does not view the fair values of its derivatives in isolation, but rather in relation to the fair values or cash flows of the underlying hedged transactions or other exposures. Virtually all of our derivatives are straightforward

over-the-counter instruments with liquid markets.

10

---



The following table presents the fair values of the Company's derivative instruments that were designated and qualified as part of a hedging relationship (in millions):

Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments	Balance Sheet Location <sup>1</sup>	Fair Value <sup>1,2</sup>	
		June 29, 2012	December 31, 2011
Assets			
Foreign currency contracts	Prepaid expenses and other assets	\$232	\$170
Commodity contracts	Prepaid expenses and other assets	1	2
Interest rate swaps	Other assets	315	246
Total assets		\$548	\$418
Liabilities			
Foreign currency contracts	Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$40	\$41
Commodity contracts	Accounts payable and accrued expenses	4	1
Total liabilities		\$44	\$42

<sup>1</sup> All of the Company's derivative instruments are carried at fair value in our condensed consolidated balance sheets after considering the impact of legally enforceable master netting agreements and cash collateral held or placed with the same counterparties, as applicable. Current disclosure requirements mandate that derivatives must also be disclosed without reflecting the impact of master netting agreements and cash collateral. Refer to Note 14 for the net presentation of the Company's derivative instruments.

<sup>2</sup> Refer to Note 14 for additional information related to the estimated fair value.

The following table presents the fair values of the Company's derivative instruments that were not designated as hedging instruments (in millions):

Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments	Balance Sheet Location <sup>1</sup>	Fair Value <sup>1,2</sup>	
		June 29, 2012	December 31, 2011
Assets			
Foreign currency contracts	Prepaid expenses and other assets	\$72	\$29
Commodity contracts	Prepaid expenses and other assets	37	54
Other derivative instruments	Prepaid expenses and other assets	7	5
Total assets		\$116	\$88
Liabilities			
Foreign currency contracts	Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$132	\$116
Commodity contracts	Accounts payable and accrued expenses	75	47
Other derivative instruments	Accounts payable and accrued expenses	—	1
Total liabilities		\$207	\$164

<sup>1</sup> All of the Company's derivative instruments are carried at fair value in our condensed consolidated balance sheets after considering the impact of legally enforceable master netting agreements and cash collateral held or placed with the same counterparties, as applicable. Current disclosure requirements mandate that derivatives must also be disclosed without reflecting the impact of master netting agreements and cash collateral. Refer to Note 14 for the net presentation of the Company's derivative instruments.

<sup>2</sup> Refer to Note 14 for additional information related to the estimated fair value.

#### Credit Risk Associated with Derivatives

We have established strict counterparty credit guidelines and enter into transactions only with financial institutions of investment grade or better. We monitor counterparty exposures regularly and review any downgrade in credit rating immediately. If a downgrade in the credit rating of a counterparty were to occur, we have provisions requiring collateral in the form of U.S. government securities for substantially all of our transactions. To mitigate presettlement risk, minimum credit standards become more stringent as the duration of the derivative financial instrument increases. In addition, the Company's master netting agreements reduce credit risk by permitting the Company to net settle for transactions with the same counterparty. To minimize the concentration of credit risk, we enter into derivative

transactions with a portfolio of financial institutions. Based on these factors, we consider the risk of counterparty default to be minimal.

### Cash Flow Hedging Strategy

The Company uses cash flow hedges to minimize the variability in cash flows of assets or liabilities or forecasted transactions caused by fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates, commodity prices or interest rates. The changes in the fair values of derivatives designated as cash flow hedges are recorded in AOCI and are reclassified into the line item in our condensed consolidated statements of income in which the hedged items are recorded in the same period the hedged items affect earnings. The changes in fair values of hedges that are determined to be ineffective are immediately reclassified from AOCI into earnings. The Company did not discontinue any cash flow hedging relationships during the six months ended June 29, 2012, or July 1, 2011. The maximum length of time for which the Company hedges its exposure to future cash flows is typically three years.

The Company maintains a foreign currency cash flow hedging program to reduce the risk that our eventual U.S. dollar net cash inflows from sales outside the United States and U.S. dollar net cash outflows from procurement activities will be adversely affected by changes in foreign currency exchange rates. We enter into forward contracts and purchase foreign currency options (principally euros and Japanese yen) and collars to hedge certain portions of forecasted cash flows denominated in foreign currencies. When the U.S. dollar strengthens against the foreign currencies, the decline in the present value of future foreign currency cash flows is partially offset by gains in the fair value of the derivative instruments. Conversely, when the U.S. dollar weakens, the increase in the present value of future foreign currency cash flows is partially offset by losses in the fair value of the derivative instruments. The total notional value of derivatives that were designated and qualified for the Company's foreign currency cash flow hedging program was \$4,306 million and \$5,158 million as of June 29, 2012, and December 31, 2011, respectively.

The Company has entered into commodity futures contracts and other derivative instruments on various commodities to mitigate the price risk associated with forecasted purchases of materials used in our manufacturing process. These derivative instruments have been designated and qualify as part of the Company's commodity cash flow hedging program. The objective of this hedging program is to reduce the variability of cash flows associated with future purchases of certain commodities. The total notional value of derivatives that were designated and qualified for the Company's commodity cash flow hedging program was \$15 million and \$26 million as of June 29, 2012, and December 31, 2011, respectively.

Our Company monitors our mix of short-term debt and long-term debt regularly. From time to time, we manage our risk to interest rate fluctuations through the use of derivative financial instruments. The Company had no outstanding derivative instruments under this hedging program as of June 29, 2012, and December 31, 2011.

The following table presents the pretax impact that changes in the fair values of derivatives designated as cash flow hedges had on AOCI and earnings during the three months ended June 29, 2012 (in millions):

	Gain (Loss) Recognized in Other Comprehensive Income ("OCI")	Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income <sup>1</sup>	Gain (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing)
Foreign currency contracts	\$72	Net operating revenues	\$(5	)\$1
Foreign currency contracts	(14	) Cost of goods sold	(6	)—
Interest rate locks	—	Interest expense	(3	)—
Commodity contracts	(3	) Cost of goods sold	(1	)—
Total	\$55		\$(15	)\$1

<sup>1</sup> The Company records gains and losses reclassified from AOCI into income for the effective portion and the ineffective portion, if any, to the same line items in our condensed consolidated statements of income.

The following table presents the pretax impact that changes in the fair values of derivatives designated as cash flow hedges had on AOCI and earnings during the six months ended June 29, 2012 (in millions):

	Gain (Loss) Recognized in OCI	Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income <sup>1</sup>	Gain (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing)
Foreign currency contracts	\$71	Net operating revenues	\$(26	)\$2
Foreign currency contracts	12	Cost of goods sold	(12	)—
Interest rate locks	—	Interest expense	(6	)—
Commodity contracts	(4	) Cost of goods sold	—	—
Total	\$79		\$(44	)\$2

<sup>1</sup> The Company records gains and losses reclassified from AOCI into income for the effective portion and the ineffective portion, if any, to the same line items in our condensed consolidated statements of income.

The following table presents the pretax impact that changes in the fair values of derivatives designated as cash flow hedges had on AOCI and earnings during the three months ended July 1, 2011 (in millions):

	Gain (Loss) Recognized in OCI	Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income <sup>1</sup>	Gain (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing)
Foreign currency contracts	\$(113	) Net operating revenues	\$(66	)\$—
Interest rate locks	—	) Interest expense	(3	)—
Commodity contracts	(2	) Cost of goods sold	—	—
Total	\$(115	)	\$(69	)\$—

<sup>1</sup> The Company records gains and losses reclassified from AOCI into income for the effective portion and the ineffective portion, if any, to the same line items in our condensed consolidated statements of income.

The following table presents the pretax impact that changes in the fair values of derivatives designated as cash flow hedges had on AOCI and earnings during the six months ended July 1, 2011 (in millions):

	Gain (Loss) Recognized in OCI	Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income <sup>1</sup>	Gain (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing)
Foreign currency contracts	\$(151	) Net operating revenues	\$(116	)\$—
Interest rate locks	—	) Interest expense	(6	)—
Commodity contracts	—	) Cost of goods sold	(1	)—
Total	\$(151	)	\$(123	)\$—

<sup>1</sup> The Company records gains and losses reclassified from AOCI into income for the effective portion and the ineffective portion, if any, to the same line items in our condensed consolidated statements of income.

As of June 29, 2012, the Company estimates that it will reclassify into earnings during the next 12 months approximately \$2 million of losses from the pretax amount recorded in AOCI as the anticipated cash flows occur.

#### Fair Value Hedging Strategy

The Company uses interest rate swap agreements designated as fair value hedges to minimize exposure to changes in the fair value of fixed-rate debt that results from fluctuations in benchmark interest rates. The changes in fair values of derivatives designated as fair value hedges and the offsetting changes in fair values of the hedged items are recognized in earnings. As of June 29, 2012, such adjustments increased the carrying value of our long-term debt by \$282 million. The changes in fair values of hedges that are determined to be ineffective are immediately recognized in earnings. The total notional value of derivatives that related to our fair value hedges of this type was \$6,700 million and \$5,700 million as of June 29, 2012, and December 31, 2011, respectively.

During the first quarter of 2012, the Company began using fair value hedges to minimize exposure to changes in the fair

value of certain available-for-sale securities from fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. The changes in fair values of derivatives designated as fair value hedges and the offsetting changes in fair values of the hedged items are recognized in earnings. As of June 29, 2012, such adjustments decreased the carrying value of our marketable

securities by \$16 million. The changes in fair values of hedges that are determined to be ineffective are immediately recognized in earnings. The total notional value of derivatives that related to our fair value hedges of this type was \$897 million as of June 29, 2012.

The following table summarizes the pretax impact that changes in the fair values of derivatives designated as fair value hedges had on earnings during the three months ended June 29, 2012, and July 1, 2011 (in millions):

Fair Value Hedging Instruments	Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income	Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income	
		June 29, 2012	July 1, 2011
Interest rate swaps	Interest expense	\$90	\$116
Fixed-rate debt	Interest expense	(90)	(111)
Net impact to interest expense		\$—	\$5
Foreign currency contracts	Other income (loss) — net	\$(25)	\$—
Available-for-sale securities	Other income (loss) — net	23	—
Net impact to other income (loss) — net		\$(2)	\$—
Net impact of fair value hedging instruments		\$(2)	\$5

The following table summarizes the pretax impact that changes in the fair values of derivatives designated as fair value hedges had on earnings during the six months ended June 29, 2012, and July 1, 2011 (in millions):

Fair Value Hedging Instruments	Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income	Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income	
		June 29, 2012	July 1, 2011
Interest rate swaps	Interest expense	\$69	\$68
Fixed-rate debt	Interest expense	(51)	(58)
Net impact to interest expense		\$18	\$10
Foreign currency contracts	Other income (loss) — net	\$15	\$—
Available-for-sale securities	Other income (loss) — net	(16)	—
Net impact to other income (loss) — net		\$(1)	\$—
Net impact of fair value hedging instruments		\$17	\$10

#### Hedges of Net Investments in Foreign Operations Strategy

The Company uses forward contracts to protect the value of our investments in a number of foreign subsidiaries. For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as hedges of net investments in foreign operations, the changes in fair values of the derivative instruments are recognized in net foreign currency translation gain (loss), a component of AOCI, to offset the changes in the values of the net investments being hedged. Any ineffective portions of net investment hedges are reclassified from AOCI into earnings during the period of change. The total notional value of derivatives that were designated and qualified for the Company's net investments hedging program was \$1,595 million and \$1,681 million as of June 29, 2012, and December 31, 2011, respectively.

The following table presents the pretax impact that changes in the fair values of derivatives designated as net investment hedges had on AOCI during the three and six months ended June 29, 2012, and July 1, 2011 (in millions):

	Gain (Loss) Recognized in OCI			
	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 29, 2012	July 1, 2011	June 29, 2012	July 1, 2011
Foreign currency contracts	\$136	\$(1)	\$42	\$(3)

The Company did not reclassify any deferred gains or losses related to net investment hedges from AOCI to earnings during the three and six months ended June 29, 2012, and July 1, 2011. In addition, the Company did not have any ineffectiveness related to net investment hedges during the three and six months ended June 29, 2012, and July 1, 2011.

## Economic (Non-designated) Hedging Strategy

In addition to derivative instruments that are designated and qualify for hedge accounting, the Company also uses certain derivatives as economic hedges of foreign currency and commodity exposure. Although these derivatives were not designated and/or did not qualify for hedge accounting, they are effective economic hedges. The changes in fair values of economic hedges are immediately recognized into earnings.

The Company uses foreign currency economic hedges to offset the earnings impact that fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates have on certain monetary assets and liabilities denominated in nonfunctional currencies. The changes in fair values of economic hedges used to offset those monetary assets and liabilities are immediately recognized into earnings in the line item other income (loss) — net in our condensed consolidated statements of income. In addition, we use foreign currency economic hedges to minimize the variability in cash flows associated with changes in foreign currency exchange rates. The changes in fair values of economic hedges used to offset the variability in U.S. dollar net cash flows are recognized into earnings in the line items net operating revenues and cost of goods sold in our condensed consolidated statements of income, as applicable. The total notional value of derivatives related to our foreign currency economic hedges was \$4,084 million and \$3,629 million as of June 29, 2012, and December 31, 2011, respectively.

The Company also uses certain derivatives as economic hedges to mitigate the price risk associated with the purchase of materials used in the manufacturing process and for vehicle fuel. The changes in fair values of these economic hedges are immediately recognized into earnings in the line items cost of goods sold and selling, general and administrative expenses in our condensed consolidated statements of income, as applicable. The total notional value of derivatives related to our economic hedges of this type was \$1,276 million and \$1,165 million as of June 29, 2012, and December 31, 2011, respectively.

The following tables present the pretax impact that changes in the fair values of derivatives not designated as hedging instruments had on earnings during the three and six months ended June 29, 2012, and July 1, 2011, respectively (in millions):

Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments	Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income	Three Months Ended	
		June 29, 2012	July 1, 2011
Foreign currency contracts	Net operating revenues	\$6	\$(2)
Foreign currency contracts	Other income (loss) — net	(184)	)92
Foreign currency contracts	Cost of goods sold	3	(7)
Commodity contracts	Cost of goods sold	(50)	)(10)
Commodity contracts	Selling, general and administrative expenses	(26)	)4
Other derivative instruments	Selling, general and administrative expenses	2	—
Total		\$(249)	)\$77
Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments	Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income	Six Months Ended	
		June 29, 2012	July 1, 2011
Foreign currency contracts	Net operating revenues	\$(3)	)(5)
Foreign currency contracts	Other income (loss) — net	(72)	)201
Foreign currency contracts	Cost of goods sold	3	(13)
Commodity contracts	Cost of goods sold	(44)	)42
Commodity contracts	Selling, general and administrative expenses	(7)	)4
Other derivative instruments	Selling, general and administrative expenses	18	8
Total		\$(105)	)\$237



#### Note 6 — Debt and Borrowing Arrangements

On May 15, 2012, the Company retired \$1,250 million of long-term notes upon maturity. This transaction resulted in a decrease in the Company's current maturities of long-term debt.

During the first quarter of 2012, the Company issued \$2,750 million of long-term debt. The general terms of the notes issued are as follows:

\$1,000 million total principal amount of notes due March 14, 2014, at a variable interest rate equal to the three-month London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") minus 0.05 percent;

\$1,000 million total principal amount of notes due March 13, 2015, at a fixed interest rate of 0.75 percent; and

\$750 million total principal amount of notes due March 14, 2018, at a fixed interest rate of 1.65 percent.

#### Note 7 — Commitments and Contingencies

##### Guarantees

As of June 29, 2012, we were contingently liable for guarantees of indebtedness owed by third parties of \$687 million, of which \$297 million related to variable interest entities ("VIEs"). These guarantees are primarily related to third-party customers, bottlers, vendors and container manufacturing operations that have arisen through the normal course of business. These guarantees have various terms, and none of these guarantees was individually significant.

The amount represents the maximum potential future payments that we could be required to make under the guarantees; however, we do not consider it probable that we will be required to satisfy these guarantees.

We believe our exposure to concentrations of credit risk is limited due to the diverse geographic areas covered by our operations.

##### Legal Contingencies

The Company is involved in various legal proceedings. We establish reserves for specific legal proceedings when we determine that the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome is probable and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated. Management has also identified certain other legal matters where we believe an unfavorable outcome is reasonably possible and/or for which no estimate of possible losses can be made. Management believes that the total liabilities to the Company that may arise as a result of currently pending legal proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on the Company taken as a whole.

During the period from 1970 to 1981, our Company owned Aqua-Chem, Inc., now known as Cleaver-Brooks, Inc. ("Aqua-Chem"). During that time, the Company purchased over \$400 million of insurance coverage, which also insures Aqua-Chem for some of its prior and future costs for certain product liability and other claims. A division of Aqua-Chem manufactured certain boilers that contained gaskets that Aqua-Chem purchased from outside suppliers. Several years after our Company sold this entity, Aqua-Chem received its first lawsuit relating to asbestos, a component of some of the gaskets. Aqua-Chem was first named as a defendant in asbestos lawsuits in or around 1985 and currently has approximately 40,000 active claims pending against it. In September 2002, Aqua-Chem notified our Company that it believed we were obligated for certain costs and expenses associated with its asbestos litigations. Aqua-Chem demanded that our Company reimburse it for approximately \$10 million for out-of-pocket litigation-related expenses. Aqua-Chem also demanded that the Company acknowledge a continuing obligation to Aqua-Chem for any future liabilities and expenses that are excluded from coverage under the applicable insurance or for which there is no insurance. Our Company disputes Aqua-Chem's claims, and we believe we have no obligation to Aqua-Chem for any of its past, present or future liabilities, costs or expenses. Furthermore, we believe we have substantial legal and factual defenses to Aqua-Chem's claims. The parties entered into litigation in Georgia to resolve this dispute, which was stayed by agreement of the parties pending the outcome of litigation filed in Wisconsin by certain insurers of Aqua-Chem. In that case, five plaintiff insurance companies filed a declaratory judgment action against Aqua-Chem, the Company and 16 defendant insurance companies seeking a determination of the parties' rights and liabilities under policies issued by the insurers and reimbursement for amounts paid by plaintiffs in excess of their obligations. During the course of the Wisconsin insurance coverage litigation, Aqua-Chem and the Company reached settlements with several of the insurers, including plaintiffs, who have or will pay funds into an escrow account for payment of costs arising from the asbestos claims against Aqua-Chem. On July 24, 2007, the Wisconsin trial court entered a final declaratory judgment regarding the rights and obligations of the parties under the insurance policies issued by the remaining defendant insurers, which judgment was not appealed. The judgment directs, among

other things, that each insurer whose policy is triggered is jointly and severally liable for 100 percent of Aqua-Chem's losses up to policy limits. The court's judgment concluded the Wisconsin insurance coverage litigation. The Georgia litigation remains subject to the stay agreement. The Company and Aqua-Chem continued to negotiate with various insurers that were defendants in the Wisconsin insurance coverage litigation over those insurers' obligations to defend and indemnify Aqua-Chem for the asbestos-related claims. The Company agreed on a term sheet with three of those insurers in 2010 and anticipated that a settlement agreement would be effective in May 2011, but such insurers repudiated their

settlement commitments and, as a result, Aqua-Chem and the Company filed suit in Wisconsin state court to enforce the settlement agreement and the 2010 term sheet and to obtain a declaratory judgment validating Aqua-Chem and the Company's interpretation of the court's judgment in the 2004 Wisconsin insurance coverage litigation. In February 2012, the parties argued a number of cross-motions for summary judgment related to the issues of the enforceability of the settlement agreement and the term sheet and exhaustion of policies underlying those issued by the defendant insurers. The court granted defendants' motion for summary judgment that the 2011 settlement agreement is not enforceable, but held over for trial several of the plaintiffs' claims for enforcement of the term sheet agreed to by the parties in 2010. The parties to this case have also resolved several substantive coverage issues that were once in the case. This should ensure coverage of Aqua-Chem asbestos claims without any gap in insurance coverage for approximately the next 10 years. The remaining issues in the case are set for trial in September 2012. Whether or not Aqua-Chem and the Company prevail in the coverage-in-place settlement litigation, these three insurance companies will remain subject to the court's judgment in the 2004 Wisconsin insurance coverage litigation.

The Company is unable to estimate at this time the amount or range of reasonably possible loss it may ultimately incur as a result of asbestos-related claims against Aqua-Chem. The Company believes that assuming that (a) the defense and indemnity costs for the asbestos-related claims against Aqua-Chem in the future are in the same range as during the past five years, and (b) the various insurers that cover the asbestos-related claims against Aqua-Chem remain solvent, regardless of the outcome of the coverage-in-place settlement litigation but taking into account the issues resolved to date, there will likely be little of Aqua-Chem's defense or indemnity costs that are not covered by insurance over the next 10 years.

#### Tax Audits

The Company is involved in various tax matters, with respect to some of which the outcome is uncertain. We establish reserves to remove some or all of the tax benefit of any of our tax positions at the time we determine that it becomes uncertain based upon one of the following conditions: (1) the tax position is not "more likely than not" to be sustained, (2) the tax position is "more likely than not" to be sustained, but for a lesser amount, or (3) the tax position is "more likely than not" to be sustained, but not in the financial period in which the tax position was originally taken. For purposes of evaluating whether or not a tax position is uncertain, (1) we presume the tax position will be examined by the relevant taxing authority that has full knowledge of all relevant information; (2) the technical merits of a tax position are derived from authorities such as legislation and statutes, legislative intent, regulations, rulings and case law and their applicability to the facts and circumstances of the tax position; and (3) each tax position is evaluated without consideration of the possibility of offset or aggregation with other tax positions taken. A number of years may elapse before a particular uncertain tax position is audited and finally resolved or when a tax assessment is raised. The number of years subject to tax assessments varies depending on the tax jurisdiction. The tax benefit that has been previously reserved because of a failure to meet the "more likely than not" recognition threshold would be recognized in our income tax expense in the first interim period when the uncertainty disappears under any one of the following conditions: (1) the tax position is "more likely than not" to be sustained, (2) the tax position, amount, and/or timing is ultimately settled through negotiation or litigation, or (3) the statute of limitations for the tax position has expired.

Refer to Note 13.

#### Risk Management Programs

The Company has numerous global insurance programs in place to help protect the Company from the risk of loss. In general, we are self-insured for large portions of many different types of claims; however, we do use commercial insurance above our self-insured retentions to reduce the Company's risk of catastrophic loss. Our reserves for the Company's self-insured losses are estimated through actuarial procedures of the insurance industry and by using industry assumptions, adjusted for our specific expectations based on our claim history. Our self-insurance reserves totaled \$517 million and \$527 million as of June 29, 2012, and December 31, 2011, respectively.

## Note 8 — Comprehensive Income

The following table summarizes the allocation of total comprehensive income between shareowners of The Coca-Cola Company and noncontrolling interests (in millions):

	Six Months Ended June 29, 2012		
	Shareowners of The Coca-Cola Company	Noncontrolling Interests	Total
Consolidated net income	\$4,842	\$25	\$4,867
Other comprehensive income:			
Net foreign currency translation adjustment	(831	)32	(799
Net gain (loss) on derivatives <sup>1</sup>	59	—	59
Net unrealized gain (loss) on available-for-sale securities <sup>2</sup>	166	—	166
Net change in pension and other benefit liabilities	11	—	11
Total comprehensive income	\$4,247	\$57	\$4,304

<sup>1</sup> Refer to Note 5 for information related to the net gain or loss on derivative instruments classified as cash flow hedges.

<sup>2</sup> Refer to Note 3 for information related to the net unrealized gain or loss on available-for-sale securities.

## Note 9 — Changes in Equity

The following table provides a reconciliation of the beginning and ending carrying amounts of total equity, equity attributable to shareowners of The Coca-Cola Company and equity attributable to noncontrolling interests (in millions):

	Total	Shareowners of The Coca-Cola Company					Non- controlling Interests
		Reinvested Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Common Stock	Capital Surplus	Treasury Stock	
December 31, 2011 — As Adjusted	\$31,921	\$53,621	\$ (2,774	)\$ 880	\$11,212	\$(31,304)	\$ 286
Comprehensive income (loss)	4,304	4,842	(595	)—	—	—	57
Dividends paid/payable to shareowners of The Coca-Cola Company	(2,304	)(2,304	)—	—	—	—	—
Dividends paid to noncontrolling interests	(33	)—	—	—	—	—	(33
Business combinations	50	—	—	—	—	—	50
Purchases of treasury stock	(2,597	)—	—	—	—	(2,597	)—
Impact of employee stock option and restricted stock plans	1,288	—	—	—	695	593	—
June 29, 2012	\$32,629	\$56,159	\$ (3,369	)\$ 880	\$11,907	\$(33,308)	\$ 360

## Note 10 — Significant Operating and Nonoperating Items

## Other Operating Items

In December 2011, the Company detected that orange juice being imported from Brazil contained residues of carbendazim, a fungicide that is not registered in the United States for use on citrus products. As a result, we began purchasing additional supplies of Florida orange juice at a higher cost than Brazilian orange juice and incurred charges of \$3 million and \$8 million during the three and six months ended June 29, 2012, respectively. These charges were recorded in the line item cost of goods sold in our condensed consolidated statements of income.

On March 11, 2011, a major earthquake struck off the coast of Japan, resulting in a tsunami that devastated the northern and eastern regions of the country. As a result of these events, the Company made a donation to a charitable organization to establish the Coca-Cola Japan Reconstruction Fund, which is helping to rebuild schools and community facilities across the impacted areas of the country.

The Company recorded total charges of \$83 million related to these events during the six months ended July 1, 2011. These charges were recorded in various line items in our condensed consolidated statement of income, including \$24 million in deductions from revenue, \$7 million in cost of goods sold and \$52 million in other operating charges. Refer to Note 15 for the impact these charges had on our operating segments.

The charges of \$24 million recorded in deductions from revenue were primarily related to funds we provided our local bottling partners to enable them to continue producing and distributing our beverage products in the affected regions. This support not only helped restore our business operations in the impacted areas, but it also assisted our bottling partners in meeting the evolving customer and consumer needs as the recovery and rebuilding efforts advanced. The charges of \$7 million recorded in cost of goods sold were primarily related to Company-owned inventory that was destroyed or lost. The charges of \$52 million recorded in other operating charges were primarily related to the donation discussed above and included an impairment charge of \$1 million on certain Company-owned fixed assets. These fixed assets primarily consisted of Company-owned vending equipment and coolers that were damaged or lost as a result of these events. Refer to Note 14 for the fair value disclosures related to the inventory and fixed asset charges described above.

During the three months ended July 1, 2011, the Company refined our initial estimates that were recorded during the first quarter of 2011 and recorded an additional net charge of \$4 million related to the events in Japan. This net charge was recorded in various line items in our condensed consolidated statement of income, including charges of \$3 million in cost of goods sold and \$5 million in other operating charges, partially offset by the reversal of a \$4 million charge we recorded in deductions from revenue during the first quarter of 2011.

#### Other Operating Charges

During the three months ended June 29, 2012, the Company incurred other operating charges of \$70 million. These charges consisted of \$54 million associated with the Company's productivity and reinvestment program; \$15 million related to the Company's other restructuring and integration initiatives, including the integration of 18 German bottling and distribution operations acquired during 2007; and \$3 million due to costs associated with the Company detecting carbendazim in orange juice imported from Brazil for distribution in the United States. These charges were partially offset by a \$2 million reversal associated with the refinement of previously recorded accruals related to the Company's 2008–2011 productivity initiatives. Refer to Note 11 for additional information on our productivity and reinvestment program as well as the Company's other productivity, integration and restructuring initiatives. Refer to Note 15 for the impact these charges had on our operating segments.

During the six months ended June 29, 2012, the Company incurred other operating charges of \$169 million. These charges consisted of \$118 million associated with the Company's productivity and reinvestment program; \$30 million related to the Company's other restructuring and integration initiatives, including the integration of 18 German bottling and distribution operations acquired during 2007; \$20 million due to changes in the Company's ready-to-drink tea strategy as a result of our current U.S. license agreement with Nestlé S.A. ("Nestlé") terminating at the end of 2012; and \$4 million due to costs associated with the Company detecting carbendazim in orange juice imported from Brazil for distribution in the United States. These charges were partially offset by a \$3 million reversal associated with the refinement of previously recorded accruals related to the Company's 2008–2011 productivity initiatives. Refer to Note 11 for additional information on our productivity and reinvestment program as well as the Company's other productivity, integration and restructuring initiatives. Refer to Note 15 for the impact these charges had on our operating segments.

During the three months ended July 1, 2011, the Company incurred other operating charges of \$152 million, which primarily consisted of \$119 million associated with the Company's productivity, integration and restructuring initiatives; \$26 million of costs associated with the merger of Embotelladoras Arca, S.A.B. de C.V. ("Arca") and Grupo Continental S.A.B. ("Contal"); and \$5 million related to the events in Japan described above. Refer to Note 11 for additional information on our productivity, integration and restructuring initiatives. Refer to the discussion of the merger of Arca and Contal below for additional information on the transaction. Refer to Note 15 for the impact these charges had on our operating segments.

During the six months ended July 1, 2011, the Company incurred other operating charges of \$361 million, which primarily consisted of \$281 million associated with the Company's productivity, integration and restructuring initiatives; \$26 million of costs associated with the merger of Arca and Contal; and \$52 million related to the events in Japan described above. Refer to Note 11 for additional information on our productivity, integration and restructuring initiatives. Refer to the discussion of the merger of Arca and Contal below for additional information on the transaction. Refer to Note 15 for the impact these charges had on our operating segments.



## Other Nonoperating Items

## Equity Income (Loss) — Net

During the three months ended June 29, 2012, the Company recorded a net charge of \$1 million in equity income (loss) — net. This net charge primarily represents the Company's proportionate share of restructuring charges recorded by certain of our equity method investees, partially offset by our proportionate share of a transaction gain recorded by an equity method investee. In addition, the Company recorded charges of \$11 million related to changes in the structure of Beverage Partners Worldwide ("BPW"), our 50/50 joint venture with Nestlé in the ready-to-drink tea category. These changes in structure resulted in the joint venture focusing its geographic scope on Europe and Canada. The Company accounts for our investment in BPW under the equity method of accounting. Refer to Note 15 for the impact these charges had on our operating segments.

During the six months ended June 29, 2012, the Company recorded a net gain of \$43 million in equity income (loss) — net. This net gain primarily represents the Company's proportionate share of transaction gains recorded by an equity method investee, partially offset by our proportionate share of restructuring charges recorded by certain of our equity method investees. In addition, the Company recorded a charge of \$14 million related to changes in the structure of BPW. Refer to Note 15 for the impact these charges had on our operating segments.

During the three months ended July 1, 2011, the Company did not record any significant unusual or infrequent items in equity income (loss) — net.

During the six months ended July 1, 2011, the Company recorded charges of \$4 million in equity income (loss) — net. These charges primarily represent the Company's proportionate share of restructuring charges recorded by an equity method investee and impacted the Bottling Investments operating segment.

## Other Income (Loss) — Net

During the three and six months ended June 29, 2012, the Company recognized a gain of \$92 million as a result of Coca-Cola FEMSA, S.A.B. de C.V. ("Coca-Cola FEMSA"), an equity method investee, issuing additional shares of its own stock at a per share amount greater than the carrying value of the Company's per share investment.

Accordingly, the Company is required to treat this type of transaction as if the Company sold a proportionate share of its investment in Coca-Cola FEMSA. The gain was recorded in other income (loss) — net and impacted the Corporate operating segment. Refer to Note 14 for additional information on the measurement of the gain.

During the three months ended July 1, 2011, the Company recognized a net gain of \$417 million, primarily as a result of the merger of Arca and Contal, two bottling partners headquartered in Mexico, into a combined entity known as Arca Continental, S.A.B. de C.V. ("Arca Contal"). Prior to this transaction the Company held an investment in Contal that we accounted for under the equity method of accounting. The merger of the two companies was a non-cash transaction that resulted in Contal shareholders exchanging their existing Contal shares for new shares in Arca Contal at a specified exchange rate. The gain was recorded in other income (loss) — net and impacted the Corporate operating segment. Refer to Note 14 for additional information on the measurement of the gain. As a result of this transaction, the Company now holds an investment in Arca Contal that we account for as an available-for-sale security.

During the three months ended July 1, 2011, the Company also recorded a charge of \$38 million in other income (loss) — net due to the impairment of an investment in an entity accounted for under the equity method of accounting. This charge impacted the Corporate operating segment. Refer to Note 14 for additional information.

In addition to the items described above, the Company recognized a gain of \$102 million in other income (loss) — net during the six months ended July 1, 2011, related to the sale of our investment in Embonor. The gain on this transaction impacted the Corporate operating segment. Refer to Note 2 for additional information.



## Note 11 — Productivity, Integration and Restructuring Initiatives

## Productivity Initiatives

During 2008, the Company announced a transformation effort centered on productivity initiatives to provide additional flexibility to invest for growth. During the fourth quarter of 2011, we completed this program. The initiatives impacted a number of areas, including aggressively managing operating expenses supported by lean techniques; redesigning key processes to drive standardization and effectiveness; better leveraging our size and scale; and driving savings in indirect costs through the implementation of a "procure-to-pay" program.

The Company incurred total pretax expenses of \$505 million related to these productivity initiatives since they commenced in the first quarter of 2008. These expenses were recorded in the line item other operating charges. Refer to Note 15 for the impact these charges had on our operating segments. Outside services reported in the tables below primarily relate to expenses in connection with legal, outplacement and consulting activities. Other direct costs reported in the tables below included, among other items, internal and external costs associated with the development, communication, administration and implementation of these initiatives and accelerated depreciation on certain fixed assets.

The following table summarizes the balance of accrued expenses related to productivity initiatives and the changes in the accrued amounts as of and for the three months ended June 29, 2012 (in millions):

	Accrued Balance March 30, 2012	Costs Incurred Three Months Ended June 29, 2012	Payments	Noncash and Exchange	Accrued Balance June 29, 2012
Severance pay and benefits	\$30	\$(2)	\$(5)	\$(2)	\$21
Outside services	2	—	—	(1)	1
Other direct costs	8	—	(1)	(1)	6
Total	\$40	\$(2)	\$(6)	\$(4)	\$28

The following table summarizes the balance of accrued expenses related to productivity initiatives and the changes in the accrued amounts as of and for the six months ended June 29, 2012 (in millions):

	Accrued Balance December 31, 2011	Costs Incurred Six Months Ended June 29, 2012	Payments	Noncash and Exchange	Accrued Balance June 29, 2012
Severance pay and benefits	\$48	\$(3)	\$(21)	\$(3)	\$21
Outside services	3	—	(1)	(1)	1
Other direct costs	9	—	(3)	—	6
Total	\$60	\$(3)	\$(25)	\$(4)	\$28

## Integration Initiatives

## Integration of CCE's North American Business

In 2010, we acquired CCE's North American business and began an integration initiative to develop, design and implement our future operating framework. Upon completion of the CCE transaction, we combined the management of the acquired North American business with the management of our existing foodservice business; Minute Maid and Odwalla juice businesses; North America supply chain operations; and Company-owned bottling operations in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, into a unified bottling and customer service organization called Coca-Cola Refreshments, or CCR. In addition, we reshaped our remaining Coca-Cola North America ("CCNA") operations into an organization that primarily provides franchise leadership and consumer marketing and innovation for the North American market. As a result of the transaction and related reorganization, our North American businesses operate as aligned and agile

organizations with distinct capabilities, responsibilities and strengths.

The Company incurred total pretax expenses of \$493 million related to this initiative since the plan commenced. These expenses were recorded in the line item other operating charges. Refer to Note 15 for the impact these charges had on our operating segments. Outside services reported in the tables below primarily relate to expenses in connection with legal, outplacement and consulting activities. Other direct costs reported in the tables below included, among other items, internal and external costs associated with the development, design and implementation of our future operating framework; contract termination fees; and relocation costs. During the fourth quarter of 2011, the Company completed this program.

The following table summarizes the balance of accrued expenses related to these integration initiatives and the changes in the accrued amounts as of and for the three months ended June 29, 2012 (in millions):

	Accrued Balance March 30, 2012	Costs Incurred Three Months Ended June 29, 2012	Payments	Noncash and Exchange	Accrued Balance June 29, 2012
Severance pay and benefits	\$ 32	\$—	\$(3	)\$—	\$ 29
Outside services	—	—	(1	)1	—
Other direct costs	11	—	(1	)(2	)8
Total	\$ 43	\$—	\$(5	)\$(1	)\$ 37

The following table summarizes the balance of accrued expenses related to these integration initiatives and the changes in the accrued amounts as of and for the six months ended June 29, 2012 (in millions):

	Accrued Balance December 31, 2011	Costs Incurred Six Months Ended June 29, 2012	Payments	Noncash and Exchange	Accrued Balance June 29, 2012
Severance pay and benefits	\$ 48	\$—	\$(19	)\$—	\$ 29
Outside services	11	—	(12	)1	—
Other direct costs	32	—	(22	)(2	)8
Total	\$ 91	\$—	\$(53	)\$(1	)\$ 37

#### Integration of Our German Bottling and Distribution Operations

In 2008, the Company began an integration initiative related to the 18 German bottling and distribution operations acquired in 2007. The Company incurred expenses of \$12 million and \$25 million related to this initiative during the three and six months ended June 29, 2012. The Company has incurred total pretax expenses of \$317 million related to this initiative since it commenced, which were recorded in the line item other operating charges and impacted the Bottling Investments operating segment. The expenses recorded in connection with these integration activities have been primarily due to involuntary terminations. The Company had \$22 million and \$30 million accrued related to these integration costs as of June 29, 2012, and December 31, 2011, respectively.

The Company is currently reviewing other integration and restructuring opportunities within the German bottling and distribution operations, which, if implemented, will result in additional charges in future periods. However, as of June 29, 2012, the Company has not finalized any additional plans.

#### Productivity and Reinvestment

In February 2012, the Company announced a four-year productivity and reinvestment program which will further enable our efforts to strengthen our brands and reinvest our resources to drive long-term profitable growth. This program will be focused on the following initiatives: global supply chain optimization; global marketing and innovation effectiveness; operating expense leverage and operational excellence; data and information technology systems standardization; and further integration of CCE's former North America business.

The Company believes the costs related to the further integration of CCE's former North America business will be approximately \$300 million, and we are in the process of defining the costs associated with the remaining initiatives. As of June 29, 2012, the Company had incurred total pretax expenses of \$118 million related to this program since the plan commenced. These expenses were recorded in the line item other operating charges. Refer to Note 15 for the impact these charges had on our operating segments. Outside services reported in the tables below primarily relate to expenses in connection with legal, outplacement and consulting activities. Other direct costs reported in the tables below included, among other items, internal and external costs associated with the development, communication,

administration and implementation of these initiatives; accelerated depreciation on certain fixed assets; contract termination fees; and relocation costs.

The following table summarizes the balance of accrued expenses related to these productivity and reinvestment initiatives and the changes in the accrued amounts as of and for the three months ended June 29, 2012 (in millions):

	Accrued Balance March 30, 2012	Costs Incurred Three Months Ended June 29, 2012	Payments	Noncash and Exchange	Accrued Balance June 29, 2012
Severance pay and benefits	\$3	\$4	\$(4	)\$—	\$3
Outside services	5	23	(21	)—	7
Other direct costs	—	27	(20	)(3	)4
Total	\$8	\$54	\$(45	)\$ (3	)\$14

The following table summarizes the balance of accrued expenses related to these productivity and reinvestment initiatives and the changes in the accrued amounts as of and for the six months ended June 29, 2012 (in millions):

	Accrued Balance March 30, 2012	Costs Incurred Six Months Ended June 29, 2012	Payments	Noncash and Exchange	Accrued Balance June 29, 2012
Severance pay and benefits	\$3	\$7	\$(4	)\$—	\$3
Outside services	5	33	(26	)—	7
Other direct costs	—	78	(68	)(6	)4
Total	\$8	\$118	\$(98	)\$ (6	)\$14

#### Other Restructuring Activities

The Company incurred expenses of \$3 million and \$5 million related to other restructuring initiatives during the three and six months ended June 29, 2012. These other restructuring initiatives were outside the scope of the initiatives discussed above. These other restructuring charges were related to individually insignificant activities throughout many of our business units. None of these activities is expected to be individually significant. These charges were recorded in the line item other operating charges. Refer to Note 15 for the impact these charges had on our operating segments.

## Note 12 — Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans

Net periodic benefit cost for our pension and other postretirement benefit plans consisted of the following during the three and six months ended June 29, 2012, and July 1, 2011, respectively (in millions):

	Pension Benefits		Other Benefits	
	Three Months Ended		Other Benefits	
	June 29, 2012	July 1, 2011	June 29, 2012	July 1, 2011
		As Adjusted		
Service cost	\$71	\$62	\$9	\$8
Interest cost	97	98	11	12
Expected return on plan assets	(144	)(128	)(2	)(2
Amortization of prior service cost (credit)	—	2	(13	)(16
Amortization of net actuarial loss	34	20	1	—
Net periodic benefit cost (credit)	58	54	6	2
Curtailment charge (credit)	—	—	—	—
Special termination benefits	—	—	—	—
Total cost (credit) recognized in statements of income	\$58	\$54	\$6	\$2
	Pension Benefits		Other Benefits	
	Six Months Ended		Other Benefits	
	June 29, 2012	July 1, 2011	June 29, 2012	July 1, 2011
		As Adjusted		
Service cost	\$136	\$124	\$17	\$16
Interest cost	195	195	22	23
Expected return on plan assets	(288	)(254	)(4	)(4
Amortization of prior service cost (credit)	(1	)3	(26	)(31
Amortization of net actuarial loss	68	40	3	1
Net periodic benefit cost (credit)	110	108	12	5
Curtailment charge (credit)	—	—	—	—
Special termination benefits <sup>1</sup>	—	4	—	2
Total cost (credit) recognized in statements of income	\$110	\$112	\$12	\$7

<sup>1</sup> The special termination benefits primarily relate to the Company's productivity, integration and restructuring initiatives. Refer to Note 11 for additional information related to these initiatives.

Effective January 1, 2012, the Company elected to change our accounting methodology for determining the market-related value of assets for our U.S. qualified defined benefit pension plans. The Company's change in accounting methodology has been applied retrospectively, and we have adjusted all applicable prior period financial information presented herein as required. Refer to Note 1 for further information related to this change and the impact it had on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

We contributed \$990 million to our pension plans during the six months ended June 29, 2012, which primarily consisted of \$900 million to our U.S. pension plans and \$74 million to certain European pension plans whose assets are managed through one of our captive insurance companies. We anticipate making additional contributions of approximately \$30 million to our pension plans during the remainder of 2012. The Company contributed \$811 million to our pension plans during the six months ended July 1, 2011.

## Note 13 — Income Taxes

Our effective tax rate reflects the benefits of having significant operations outside the United States, which are generally taxed at rates lower than the U.S. statutory rate of 35 percent. As a result of employment actions and capital investments made by the Company, certain tax jurisdictions provide income tax incentive grants, including Brazil, Costa Rica, Singapore and Swaziland. The terms of these grants expire from 2015 to 2020. We expect each of these grants to be renewed indefinitely. In addition, our effective tax rate reflects the benefits of having significant earnings generated in investments accounted for under the equity method of accounting, which are generally taxed at rates lower than the U.S. statutory rate.

At the end of each interim period, we make our best estimate of the effective tax rate expected to be applicable for the full fiscal year. This estimate reflects, among other items, our best estimate of operating results and foreign currency exchange rates. Based on current tax laws, the Company's estimated effective tax rate for 2012 is 24.0 percent.

However, in arriving at this estimate we do not include the estimated impact of unusual and/or infrequent items, which may cause significant variations in the customary relationship between income tax expense and income before income taxes.

The Company recorded income tax expense of \$823 million (22.7 percent effective tax rate) and \$992 million (26.1 percent effective tax rate) during the three months ended June 29, 2012, and July 1, 2011, respectively. The Company recorded income tax expense of \$1,481 million (23.3 percent effective tax rate) and \$1,592 million (25.2 percent effective tax rate) during the six months ended June 29, 2012, and July 1, 2011, respectively. The following table illustrates the tax expense (benefit) associated with unusual and/or infrequent items for the interim periods presented (in millions):

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 29, 2012	July 1, 2011	June 29, 2012	July 1, 2011
Asset impairments	\$—	\$(15)	\$—	\$(15)
Productivity and reinvestment program	(20)	—	(44)	—
Other productivity, integration and restructuring initiatives	1	2 (34)	1	2 (86)
Transaction gains and losses	33	3 172	33	3 208
Certain tax matters	(25)	) <sup>4</sup> 16	(33)	) <sup>6</sup> 19
Other — net	(7)	) <sup>5</sup> (1)	(14)	) <sup>7</sup> (38)

Related to charges of \$54 million and \$118 million during the three and six months ended June 29, 2012,  
<sup>1</sup> respectively. These charges were due to the Company's productivity and reinvestment program announced in February 2012. Refer to Note 10 and Note 11.

Related to charges of \$13 million and \$27 million during the three and six months ended June 29, 2012,  
<sup>2</sup> respectively. These charges were primarily due to the Company's other restructuring initiatives that are outside the scope of the Company's productivity and reinvestment program announced in February 2012. Refer to Note 10 and Note 11.

Related to a gain of \$92 million the Company realized as a result of Coca-Cola FEMSA, an equity method  
<sup>3</sup> investee, issuing additional shares of its own stock during the period at a per share amount greater than the carrying amount of the Company's per share investment. Refer to Note 10.

Related to a net tax benefit primarily associated with the reversal of a valuation allowance in one of the Company's  
<sup>4</sup> foreign jurisdictions as well as amounts required to be recorded for changes to our uncertain tax positions, including interest and penalties. See below for additional details related to the change in the Company's uncertain tax positions.

Related to a charge of \$18 million that consisted of a net charge of \$1 million due to our proportionate share of  
<sup>5</sup> restructuring charges and a transaction gain recorded by certain of our equity method investees; charges of \$11 million associated with changes in the structure of BPW; and charges of \$6 million associated with the Company's orange juice supply in the United States. Refer to Note 10.

<sup>6</sup>

Related to a net tax benefit primarily associated with the reversal of valuation allowances in the Company's foreign jurisdictions, partially offset by amounts required to be recorded for changes to our uncertain tax positions, including interest and penalties. See below for additional details related to the change in the Company's uncertain tax positions.

7 Related to a net charge of \$3 million. This net charge is primarily due to a net gain of \$43 million related to our proportionate share of transaction gains and restructuring charges recorded by certain of our equity method investees, partially offset by charges of \$20 million associated with changes in the Company's ready-to-drink tea strategy as a result of our current U.S. license agreement with Nestlé terminating at the end of 2012; charges of \$14 million associated with changes in the structure of BPW; and charges of \$12 million associated with the Company's orange juice supply in the United States. Refer to Note 10.

8 Related to a \$38 million charge due to the impairment of an entity accounted for under the equity method of accounting. Refer to Note 10.

9 Related to charges of \$121 million and \$283 million during the three and six months ended July 1, 2011, primarily due to our productivity, integration and restructuring initiatives. These productivity and integration initiatives were outside the scope of the Company's productivity and reinvestment program announced in February 2012. Refer to Note 10 and Note 11.

10 Related to a net gain of \$391 million, primarily due to the gain on the merger of Arca and Contal, partially offset by costs associated with the merger. Refer to Note 10.



11 Related to amounts required to be recorded for changes to our uncertain tax positions, including interest and penalties. The components of the net change in uncertain tax positions were individually insignificant.

12 Related to a net charge of \$4 million, primarily due to charges related to the earthquake and tsunami that devastated northern and eastern Japan; our proportionate share of restructuring charges recorded by an equity method investee; and a net gain on the repurchase of certain long-term debt we assumed in connection with our acquisition of CCE's former North America business. Refer to Note 10.

13 Related to a net gain of \$493 million, primarily due to the gain on the merger of Arca and Contal and the gain on the sale of our investment in Embonor, partially offset by costs associated with the merger of Arca and Contal. Refer to Note 10.

14 Related to a net charge of \$111 million, primarily due to charges related to the earthquake and tsunami that devastated northern and eastern Japan; the amortization of favorable supply contracts acquired in connection with our acquisition of CCE's former North America business; our proportionate share of restructuring charges recorded by an equity method investee; and a net expense on the repurchase of certain long-term debt we assumed in connection with the CCE transaction. Refer to Note 10.

During the six months ended June 29, 2012, the Company made a change in judgment about one of its tax positions as a result of an adverse court decision. The Company concluded that because of the court decision, the tax position had become uncertain and the tax benefits associated with the position could not be recognized for financial statement purposes. The litigation did not have a material impact on the Company's condensed consolidated statement of income for the six months ended June 29, 2012. However, as a result of this litigation, there has been a change in the balance of our unrecognized tax benefits, which is described further below.

As of June 29, 2012, the gross amount of unrecognized tax benefits was \$373 million. If the Company were to prevail on all uncertain tax positions, the net effect would be a benefit to the Company's effective tax rate of \$236 million, exclusive of any benefits related to interest and penalties. The remaining \$137 million, which was recorded as a deferred tax asset, primarily represents tax benefits that would be received in different tax jurisdictions in the event the Company did not prevail on all uncertain tax positions. A reconciliation of the changes in the gross balance of unrecognized tax benefits during the six months ended June 29, 2012, is as follows (in millions):

Balance of unrecognized tax benefits as of December 31, 2011	\$320
Increase related to prior period tax positions	66
Increase related to current period tax positions	13
Decrease as a result of a lapse of the applicable statute of limitations	(7 )
Decrease from effects of foreign currency exchange rates	(19 )
Balance of unrecognized tax benefits as of June 29, 2012	\$373

The Company had \$135 million and \$110 million in interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits accrued as of June 29, 2012, and December 31, 2011, respectively. The change in the accrued interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits did not have a material impact on the Company's condensed consolidated statements of income during the three and six months ended June 29, 2012.

It is expected that the amount of unrecognized tax benefits will change in the next 12 months; however, we do not expect the change to have a significant impact on our condensed consolidated statements of income or condensed consolidated balance sheets. The change may be the result of settlements of ongoing audits, statutes of limitations expiring or final settlements in matters that are the subject of litigation. At this time, an estimate of the range of the reasonably possible outcomes cannot be made.

The Company evaluates the recoverability of our deferred tax assets in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. We perform our recoverability tests on a quarterly basis, or more frequently, to determine whether it is more likely than not that any of our deferred tax assets will not be realized within their life cycle based on the available evidence. The Company's deferred tax valuation allowances are primarily a result of uncertainties regarding the future realization of recorded tax benefits on tax loss carryforwards from operations in various jurisdictions.

During the six months ended June 29, 2012, the Company changed its judgment on the realizability of certain deferred tax assets. As a result of considering recent significant positive evidence, including, among other things, a consistent

pattern of positive earnings in the past three years as well as the future forecast, it was determined that a valuation allowance was no longer required for certain deferred tax assets primarily recorded on net operating losses in foreign jurisdictions. The decrease in these valuation allowances resulted in a tax benefit of \$20 million and \$153 million during the three and six months ended June 29, 2012, respectively. Furthermore, the Company currently believes it is reasonably possible that \$125 million to \$175 million of valuation allowances related to net operating losses in certain foreign jurisdictions may be reversed within the next 12 months.

#### Note 14 — Fair Value Measurements

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States define fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Additionally, the inputs used to measure fair value are prioritized based on a three-level hierarchy. This hierarchy requires entities to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. The three levels of inputs used to measure fair value are as follows:

Level 1 — Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 — Observable inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1. We value assets and liabilities included in this level using dealer and broker quotations, certain pricing models, bid prices, quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3 — Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities. This includes certain pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies and similar techniques that use significant unobservable inputs.

#### Recurring Fair Value Measurements

In accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, certain assets and liabilities are required to be recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. For our Company, the only assets and liabilities that are adjusted to fair value on a recurring basis are investments in equity and debt securities classified as trading or available-for-sale and derivative financial instruments. Additionally, the Company adjusts the fair value of long-term debt as a result of the Company's fair value hedging strategy.

#### Investments in Trading and Available-for-Sale Securities

The fair values of our investments in trading and available-for-sale securities using quoted market prices from daily exchange traded markets were based on the closing price as of the balance sheet date and were classified as Level 1. The fair values of our investments in trading and available-for-sale securities classified as Level 2 are priced using quoted market prices for similar instruments or nonbinding market prices that are corroborated by observable market data. Inputs into these valuation techniques include actual trade data, benchmark yields, broker/dealer quotes, and other similar data. These inputs are obtained from quoted market prices, independent pricing vendors, or other sources, to determine the fair value of these assets and liabilities.

#### Derivative Financial Instruments

The fair values of our futures contracts were primarily determined using quoted contract prices on futures exchange markets. The fair values of these instruments were based on the closing contract price as of the balance sheet date and were classified as Level 1.

The fair values of our derivative instruments other than futures were determined using standard valuation models. The significant inputs used in these models are readily available in public markets or can be derived from observable market transactions, and therefore have been classified as Level 2. Inputs used in these standard valuation models for derivative instruments other than futures include the applicable exchange rates, forward rates, interest rates and discount rates. The standard valuation model for options also uses implied volatility as an additional input. The discount rates are based on the historical U.S. Deposit or U.S. Treasury rates, and the implied volatility specific to options is based on quoted rates from financial institutions.

Included in the fair value of derivative instruments is an adjustment for nonperformance risk. The adjustment is based on the current one-year credit default swap ("CDS") rate applied to each contract, by counterparty. We use our counterparty's CDS rate when we are in an asset position and our own CDS rate when we are in a liability position. The adjustment for nonperformance risk did not have a significant impact on the estimated fair value of our derivative instruments. The following table summarizes those assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 29, 2012 (in millions):

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Netting Adjustment <sup>1</sup>	Fair Value Measurements
Assets					
Trading securities	\$114	\$109	\$4	\$—	\$227
Available-for-sale securities <sup>2</sup>	1,453	3,178	129	<sup>3</sup> —	4,760
Derivatives <sup>4</sup>	30	634	—	(138)	)526
Total assets	\$1,597	\$3,921	\$133	\$(138)	)\$5,513
Liabilities					
Derivatives <sup>4</sup>	\$12	\$239	\$—	\$(145)	)\$106
Total liabilities	\$12	\$239	\$—	\$(145)	)\$106

<sup>1</sup> Amounts represent the impact of legally enforceable master netting agreements that allow the Company to settle positive and negative positions and also cash collateral held or placed with the same counterparties. Refer to Note 5.

<sup>2</sup> Refer to Note 3 for additional information related to the composition of our available-for-sale securities.

<sup>3</sup> Primarily related to long-term debt securities that mature in 2018.

<sup>4</sup> Refer to Note 5 for additional information related to the composition of our derivative portfolio.

The following table summarizes those assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2011 (in millions):

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Netting Adjustment <sup>1</sup>	Fair Value Measurements
Assets					
Trading securities	\$166	\$41	\$4	\$—	\$211
Available-for-sale securities <sup>2</sup>	1,071	214	116	<sup>3</sup> —	1,401
Derivatives <sup>4</sup>	39	467	—	(117)	)389
Total assets	\$1,276	\$722	\$120	\$(117)	)\$2,001
Liabilities					
Derivatives <sup>4</sup>	\$5	\$201	\$—	\$(121)	)\$85
Total liabilities	\$5	\$201	\$—	\$(121)	)\$85

<sup>1</sup> Amounts represent the impact of legally enforceable master netting agreements that allow the Company to settle positive and negative positions and also cash collateral held or placed with the same counterparties. Refer to Note 5.

<sup>2</sup> Refer to Note 3 for additional information related to the composition of our available-for-sale securities.

<sup>3</sup> Primarily related to long-term debt securities that mature in 2018.

<sup>4</sup> Refer to Note 5 for additional information related to the composition of our derivative portfolio.

Gross realized and unrealized gains and losses on Level 3 assets and liabilities were not significant for the three and six months ended June 29, 2012, and July 1, 2011.

The Company recognizes transfers between levels within the hierarchy as of the beginning of the reporting period. Gross transfers between levels within the hierarchy were not significant for the three and six months ended June 29, 2012, and July 1, 2011.

### Nonrecurring Fair Value Measurements

In addition to assets and liabilities that are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis, the Company records assets and liabilities at fair value on a nonrecurring basis as required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Generally, assets are recorded at fair value on a nonrecurring basis as a result of impairment charges. The gains or losses on assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis for the three and six months ended June 29, 2012, and July 1, 2011, are summarized in the table below (in millions):

	Gains (Losses)			
	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 29, 2012	July 1, 2011	June 29, 2012	July 1, 2011
Valuation of shares in equity method investee	\$92	<sup>1</sup> \$—	\$92	<sup>1</sup> \$—
Exchange of investment in equity securities	—	418	<sup>2</sup> —	418
Equity method investments	—	(38)	<sup>3</sup> —	(38)
Inventories	—	(3)	<sup>4</sup> —	(7)
Cold-drink equipment	—	1	<sup>4</sup> —	(1)
Total	\$92	\$378	\$92	\$372

<sup>1</sup> The Company recognized a gain of \$92 million as a result of Coca-Cola FEMSA, an equity method investee, issuing additional shares of its own stock at a per share amount greater than the carrying value of the Company's per share investment. Accordingly, the Company is required to treat this type of transaction as if the Company sold a proportionate share of its investment in Coca-Cola FEMSA. The gain was determined using Level 1 inputs. Refer to Note 10.

<sup>2</sup> As a result of the merger of Arca and Contal, the Company recognized a gain on the exchange of the shares we previously owned in Contal for shares in the newly formed entity Arca Contal. The gain represents the difference between the carrying value of the Contal shares we relinquished and the fair value of the Arca Contal shares we received as a result of the transaction. The gain and initial carrying value of our investment were calculated based on Level 1 inputs. Refer to Note 10.

<sup>3</sup> The Company recognized an impairment charge of \$38 million related to an investment in an entity accounted for under the equity method of accounting. Subsequent to the recognition of this impairment charge, the Company's remaining financial exposure related to this entity is not significant. This charge was determined using Level 3 inputs. Refer to Note 10.

<sup>4</sup> These assets primarily consisted of Company-owned inventory and cold-drink equipment that were damaged or lost as a result of the natural disasters in Japan on March 11, 2011. During the first quarter of 2011, we recorded impairment charges of \$4 million and \$2 million related to Company-owned inventory and cold-drink equipment, respectively. During the three months ended July 1, 2011, the Company recorded an additional impairment charge of \$3 million related to the inventory and revised our estimated impairment charge related to the cold-drink equipment from \$2 million to \$1 million. These charges represent the Company's best estimate as of July 1, 2011, and were determined using Level 3 inputs based on the carrying value of the inventory and cold-drink equipment prior to the natural disasters. Refer to Note 10.

### Other Fair Value Disclosures

The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents; short-term investments; receivables; accounts payable and accrued expenses; and loans and notes payable approximate their fair values because of the relatively short-term maturities of these instruments.

The fair value of our long-term debt is estimated using Level 2 inputs based on quoted prices for those or similar instruments. As of June 29, 2012, the carrying amount and fair value of our long-term debt, including the current portion, were \$16,518 million and \$17,292 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2011, the carrying amount and fair value of our long-term debt, including the current portion, were \$15,697 million and \$16,360 million, respectively.



## Note 15 — Operating Segments

Information about our Company's operations as of and for the three months ended June 29, 2012, and July 1, 2011, by operating segment, is as follows (in millions):

	Eurasia & Africa	Europe	Latin America	North America	Pacific	Bottling Investments	Corporate	Elimination	Consolidated
2012									
Net operating revenues:									
Third party	\$777	\$1,314	\$1,083	\$5,789	\$1,594	\$2,476	\$52	\$—	\$13,085
Intersegment	63	173	62	8	121	21	—	(448)	) —
Total net revenues	840	1,487	1,145	5,797	1,715	2,497	52	(448)	) 13,085
Operating income (loss)	347	897	686	756	823	90	(305)	)—	3,294
Income (loss) before income taxes	357	916	687	761	821	312	(231)	)—	3,623
Identifiable operating assets	1,436	3,159	2,459	34,316	2,257	9,218	23,068	—	75,913
Noncurrent investments	820	265	495	22	123	7,437	74	—	9,236
2011									
Net operating revenues:									
Third party	\$748	\$1,446	\$1,064	\$5,496	\$1,500	\$2,420	\$63	\$—	\$12,737
Intersegment	56	193	69	8	97	23	—	(446)	) —
Total net revenues	804	1,639	1,133	5,504	1,597	2,443	63	(446)	) 12,737
Operating income (loss)	330	973	674	739	718	105	(360)	)—	3,179
Income (loss) before income taxes	330	995	674	742	718	305	35	—	3,799
Identifiable operating assets	1,412	3,435	2,484	34,118	2,186	9,028	18,971	—	71,634
Noncurrent investments	323	267	477	26	130	7,160	73	—	8,456
As of December 31, 2011									
Identifiable operating assets	\$1,245	\$3,204	\$2,446	\$33,422	\$2,085	\$8,905	\$20,293	\$—	\$71,600
Noncurrent investments	284	243	475	26	133	7,140	73	—	8,374

During the three months ended June 29, 2012, the results of our operating segments were impacted by the following items:

Operating income (loss) and income (loss) before income taxes were reduced by \$48 million for North America, \$16 million for Bottling Investments and \$5 million for Corporate due to charges related to the Company's productivity and reinvestment program as well as other restructuring initiatives. Refer to Note 10 and Note 11.

Operating income (loss) and income (loss) before income taxes were increased by \$2 million for Europe due to the refinement of previously established accruals related to the Company's 2008–2011 productivity initiatives. Refer to Note 10 and Note 11.

Operating income (loss) and income (loss) before income taxes were reduced by \$6 million for North America due to costs associated with the Company detecting residues of carbendazim, a fungicide that is not registered in the United States for use on citrus products, in orange juice imported from Brazil for distribution in the United States. As a result, the Company began purchasing additional supplies of Florida orange juice at a higher cost than Brazilian orange juice. Refer to Note 10.

Income (loss) before income taxes was increased by \$92 million for Corporate due to a gain the Company recognized as a result of Coca-Cola FEMSA, an equity method investee, issuing additional shares of its own stock during the period at a per share amount greater than the carrying amount of the Company's per share investment. Refer to Note 10 and Note 14.

Income (loss) before income taxes was reduced by \$3 million for Eurasia and Africa, \$6 million for Europe, \$2 million for Latin America, \$3 million for Pacific and was increased by \$3 million for Corporate due to changes in the structure of BPW, our 50/50 joint venture with Nestlé in the ready-to-drink tea category. Refer to Note 10.

Income (loss) before income taxes was reduced by a net \$1 million for Bottling Investments. This net reduction primarily represents the Company's proportionate share of restructuring charges recorded by certain of our equity method investees, partially offset by our proportionate share of a transaction gain recorded by an equity method investee. Refer to Note 10.



During the three months ended July 1, 2011, the results of our operating segments were impacted by the following items:

Operating income (loss) and income (loss) before income taxes were reduced by \$8 million for Eurasia and Africa, \$2 million for Europe, \$1 million for Latin America, \$66 million for North America, \$23 million for Bottling Investments and \$47 million for Corporate, primarily due to the Company's productivity, integration and restructuring initiatives as well as costs associated with the merger of Arca and Contal. Refer to Note 10 and Note 11.

Operating income (loss) and income (loss) before income taxes were reduced by \$4 million for Pacific due to charges associated with the earthquake and tsunami that devastated northern and eastern Japan on March 11, 2011. Refer to Note 10.

Income (loss) before income taxes was increased by a net \$417 million for Corporate, primarily due to the gain the Company recognized as a result of the merger of Arca and Contal. Refer to Note 10 and Note 14.

Income (loss) before income taxes was reduced by \$38 million for Corporate due to the impairment of an investment in an entity accounted for under the equity method of accounting. Refer to Note 10 and Note 14.

Income (loss) before income taxes was increased by \$1 million for Corporate due to the net gain we recognized on the repurchase of certain long-term debt assumed in connection with our acquisition of CCE's former North America business.

Information about our Company's operations as of and for the six months ended June 29, 2012, and July 1, 2011, by operating segment, is as follows (in millions):

	Eurasia & Africa	Europe	Latin America	North America	Pacific	Bottling Investments	Corporate	Elimination	Consolidated
2012									
Net operating revenues:									
Third party	\$1,427	\$2,368	\$2,210	\$10,706	\$2,869	\$4,560	\$82	\$—	\$24,222
Intersegment	97	323	121	12	225	40	—	(818)	) —
Total net revenues	1,524	2,691	2,331	10,718	3,094	4,600	82	(818)	) 24,222
Operating income (loss)	642	1,592	1,430	1,207	1,396	125	(589)	)—	5,803
Income (loss) before income taxes	653	1,624	1,430	1,228	1,392	481	(460)	)—	6,348
2011									
Net operating revenues:									
Third party	\$1,370	\$2,518	\$2,146	\$10,180	\$2,641	\$4,308	\$91	\$—	\$23,254
Intersegment	90	345	141	11	185	42	—	(814)	) —
Total net revenues	1,460	2,863	2,287	10,191	2,826	4,350	91	(814)	) 23,254
Operating income (loss)	595	1,687	1,390	1,203	1,161	113	(686)	)—	5,463
Income (loss) before income taxes	598	1,715	1,402	1,206	1,162	434	(202)	)—	6,315

During the six months ended June 29, 2012, the results of our operating segments were impacted by the following items:

Operating income (loss) and income (loss) before income taxes were reduced by \$109 million for North America, \$31 million for Bottling Investments and \$8 million for Corporate due to charges related to the Company's productivity and reinvestment program as well as other restructuring initiatives. Refer to Note 10 and Note 11.

Operating income (loss) and income (loss) before income taxes were increased by \$3 million for Europe due to the refinement of previously established accruals related to the Company's 2008–2011 productivity initiatives. Refer to Note 10 and Note 11.

Operating income (loss) and income (loss) before income taxes were reduced by \$20 million for North America due to changes in the Company's ready-to-drink tea strategy as a result of our current U.S. license agreement with Nestlé terminating at the end of 2012. Refer to Note 10.

Operating income (loss) and income (loss) before income taxes were reduced by \$12 million for North America due to costs associated with the Company detecting residues of carbendazim, a fungicide that is not registered in the United States for use on citrus products, in orange juice imported from Brazil for distribution in the United States. As a result, the Company began purchasing additional supplies of Florida orange juice at a higher cost than Brazilian orange juice. Refer to Note 10.

Income (loss) before income taxes was increased by \$92 million for Corporate due to a gain the Company recognized as a result of Coca-Cola FEMSA, an equity method investee, issuing additional shares of its own stock during the period at a per share amount greater than the carrying amount of the Company's per share investment. Refer to Note 10 and Note 14.

Income (loss) before income taxes was increased by a net \$43 million for Bottling Investments. This net increase primarily represents the Company's proportionate share of transaction gains recorded by an equity method investee, partially offset by our proportionate share of restructuring charges recorded by certain of our equity method investees. Refer to Note 10.

Income (loss) before income taxes was reduced by \$3 million for Eurasia and Africa, \$6 million for Europe, \$2 million for Latin America and \$3 million for Pacific due to changes in the structure of BPW, our 50/50 joint venture with Nestlé in the ready-to-drink tea category. Refer to Note 10.

During the six months ended July 1, 2011, the results of our operating segments were impacted by the following items:

- Operating income (loss) and income (loss) before income taxes were reduced by \$9 million for Eurasia and Africa, \$3 million for Europe, \$1 million for Latin America, \$177 million for North America, \$1 million for Pacific, \$44 million for Bottling Investments and \$74 million for Corporate, primarily due to the Company's productivity, integration and restructuring initiatives as well as costs associated with the merger of Arca and Contal. Refer to Note 11 for additional information on our productivity, integration and restructuring initiatives. Refer to Note 10 for information related to the merger of Arca and Contal.

Operating income (loss) and income (loss) before income taxes were reduced by \$83 million for Pacific due to charges associated with the earthquake and tsunami that devastated northern and eastern Japan on March 11, 2011. Refer to Note 10.

Income (loss) before income taxes was increased by \$102 million for Corporate due to the gain on the sale of our investment in Embonor, a bottling partner with operations primarily in Chile. Prior to this transaction, the Company accounted for our investment in Embonor under the equity method of accounting. Refer to Note 10.

Income (loss) before income taxes was increased by a net \$417 million for Corporate, primarily due to the gain the Company recognized as a result of the merger of Arca and Contal. Refer to Note 10 and Note 14.

Income (loss) before income taxes was reduced by \$38 million for Corporate due to the impairment of an investment in an entity accounted for under the equity method of accounting. Refer to Note 10 and Note 14.

Income (loss) before income taxes was reduced by \$4 million for Bottling Investments, primarily attributable to the Company's proportionate share of restructuring charges recorded by an equity method investee. Refer to Note 10.

Income (loss) before income taxes was reduced by \$3 million for Corporate due to the net charge we recognized on the repurchase of certain long-term debt assumed in connection with our acquisition of CCE's former North America business.

#### Note 16 — Subsequent Event

On July 10, 2012, the shareowners of the Company approved an amendment to the Company's certificate of incorporation to increase the number of authorized shares of common stock from 5.6 billion to 11.2 billion and effect a two-for-one stock split of the common stock. The record date for the stock split is expected to be July 27, 2012.

Each shareowner of record on the close of business on the record date will receive one additional share of common stock for each share held. The new shares are expected to be distributed on or about August 10, 2012.

## Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

When used in this report, the terms "The Coca-Cola Company," "Company," "we," "us" or "our" mean The Coca-Cola Company and all entities included in our condensed consolidated financial statements.

### CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

#### Recoverability of Current and Noncurrent Assets

Our Company faces many uncertainties and risks related to various economic, political and regulatory environments in the countries in which we operate, particularly in developing and emerging markets. Refer to the heading "Item 1A. Risk Factors" in Part I and "Our Business — Challenges and Risks" in Part II of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. As a result, management must make numerous assumptions which involve a significant amount of judgment when performing recoverability and impairment tests of noncurrent assets in various regions around the world.

We perform recoverability and impairment tests of noncurrent assets in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. For certain assets, recoverability and/or impairment tests are required only when conditions exist that indicate the carrying value may not be recoverable. For other assets, impairment tests are required at least annually, or more frequently if events or circumstances indicate that an asset may be impaired. Our equity method investees also perform such recoverability and/or impairment tests. If an impairment charge is recorded by one of our equity method investees, the Company records its proportionate share of the charge as a reduction of equity income (loss) — net in our condensed consolidated statement of income. However, the actual amount we record with respect to our proportionate share of such charges may be impacted by items such as basis differences, deferred taxes and deferred gains.

#### Investments in Equity and Debt Securities

Investments classified as trading securities are not assessed for impairment since they are carried at fair value with the change in fair value included in net income. We review our investments in equity and debt securities that are accounted for using the equity method or cost method or that are classified as available-for-sale or held-to-maturity each reporting period to determine whether a significant event or change in circumstances has occurred that may have an adverse effect on the fair value of each investment. When such events or changes occur, we evaluate the fair value compared to our cost basis in the investment. We also perform this evaluation every reporting period for each investment for which our cost basis has exceeded the fair value in the prior period. The fair values of most of our Company's investments in publicly traded companies are often readily available based on quoted market prices. For investments in non-publicly traded companies, management's assessment of fair value is based on valuation methodologies including discounted cash flows, estimates of sales proceeds and appraisals, as appropriate. We consider the assumptions that we believe hypothetical marketplace participants would use in evaluating estimated future cash flows when employing the discounted cash flow or estimates of sales proceeds valuation methodologies. The ability to accurately predict future cash flows, especially in developing and emerging markets, may impact the determination of fair value.

In the event the fair value of an investment declines below our cost basis, management is required to determine if the decline in fair value is other than temporary. If management determines the decline is other than temporary, an impairment charge is recorded. Management's assessment as to the nature of a decline in fair value is based on, among other things, the length of time and the extent to which the market value has been less than our cost basis; the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer; and our intent and ability to retain the investment in the issuer for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in market value.

The following table presents the difference between calculated fair values, based on quoted closing prices of publicly traded shares, and our Company's cost basis in publicly traded bottlers accounted for as equity method investments (in millions):

June 29, 2012	Fair Value	Carrying Value	Difference
Coca-Cola FEMSA, S.A.B. de C.V.	\$7,327	\$1,679	\$5,648
Coca-Cola Amatil Limited	2,937	1,028	1,909
Coca-Cola Hellenic Bottling Company S.A.	1,494	1,381	113

Edgar Filing: COCA COLA CO - Form 10-Q

Coca-Cola Icecek A.S.	780	167	613
Embotelladoras Coca-Cola Polar S.A.	226	97	129
Coca-Cola Central Japan Co., Ltd.	189	178	11
Coca-Cola Bottling Co. Consolidated	160	82	78
Total	\$13,113	\$4,612	\$8,501

33

---

As of June 29, 2012, gross unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities were \$515 million and \$18 million, respectively. Management assessed each investment with unrealized losses to determine if the decline in fair value was other than temporary. As a result, the Company did not record any significant impairment charges related to available-for-sale securities during the three and six months ended June 29, 2012, and July 1, 2011. We will continue to monitor these investments in future periods. Refer to Note 3 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

During the three and six months ended July 1, 2011, the Company recorded an impairment charge of \$38 million related to the impairment of an investment accounted for under the equity method of accounting. This charge was recorded in the line item other income (loss) — net in our condensed consolidated statements of income and impacted the Corporate operating segment. Refer to Note 10, Note 14 and Note 15 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

#### Goodwill, Trademarks and Other Intangible Assets

Intangible assets are classified into one of three categories: (1) intangible assets with definite lives subject to amortization; (2) intangible assets with indefinite lives not subject to amortization; and (3) goodwill. For intangible assets with definite lives, tests for impairment must be performed if conditions exist that indicate the carrying value may not be recoverable. For intangible assets with indefinite lives and goodwill, tests for impairment must be performed at least annually, or more frequently if events or circumstances indicate that an asset might be impaired. Management's assessments of the recoverability and impairment tests of intangible assets involve critical accounting estimates. These estimates require significant management judgment, include inherent uncertainties and are often interdependent; therefore, they do not change in isolation. Factors that management must estimate include, among others, the economic life of the asset, sales volume, pricing, cost of raw materials, delivery costs, inflation, cost of capital, marketing spending, foreign currency exchange rates, tax rates and capital spending. These factors are even more difficult to predict when global financial markets are highly volatile. The estimates we use when assessing the recoverability of definite-lived intangible assets are consistent with those we use in our internal planning. When performing impairment tests of indefinite-lived intangible assets, we estimate the fair values of the assets using management's best assumptions, which we believe would be consistent with what a hypothetical marketplace participant would use. Estimates and assumptions used in these tests are evaluated and updated as appropriate. The variability of these factors depends on a number of conditions, including uncertainty about future events, and thus our accounting estimates may change from period to period. If other assumptions and estimates had been used when these tests were performed, impairment charges could have resulted. As mentioned above, these factors do not change in isolation and, therefore, we do not believe it is practicable or meaningful to present the impact of changing a single factor. Furthermore, if management uses different assumptions or if different conditions occur in future periods, future impairment charges could result. Refer to the heading "Operations Review" below for additional information related to our present business environment. Certain factors discussed above are impacted by our current business environment and are discussed throughout this report, as appropriate.

Intangible assets acquired in recent transactions are naturally more susceptible to impairment, primarily due to the fact that they are recorded at fair value based on recent operating plans and macroeconomic conditions present at the time of acquisition. Consequently, if operating results and/or macroeconomic conditions deteriorate shortly after an acquisition, it could result in the impairment of the acquired assets. A deterioration of macroeconomic conditions may not only negatively impact the estimated operating cash flows used in our cash flow models, but may also negatively impact other assumptions used in our analyses, including, but not limited to, the estimated cost of capital and/or discount rates. Additionally, as discussed above, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, we are required to ensure that assumptions used to determine fair value in our analyses are consistent with the assumptions a hypothetical marketplace participant would use. As a result, the cost of capital and/or discount rates used in our analyses may increase or decrease based on market conditions and trends, regardless of whether our Company's actual cost of capital has changed. Therefore, if the cost of capital and/or discount rates change, our Company may recognize an impairment of an intangible asset or assets in spite of realizing actual cash flows that are approximately equal to, or greater than, our previously forecasted amounts.

The Company did not record any significant impairment charges related to intangible assets during the three and six months ended June 29, 2012, and July 1, 2011.

Impact of Natural Disasters in Japan

On March 11, 2011, a major earthquake struck off the coast of Japan, resulting in a tsunami that devastated the northern and eastern regions of the country. As a result of these events, the Company made a donation to a charitable organization to establish the Coca-Cola Japan Reconstruction Fund, which is helping to rebuild schools and community facilities across the impacted areas of the country.

The Company recorded total charges of \$83 million related to these events during the six months ended July 1, 2011. These charges were recorded in various line items in our condensed consolidated statements of income, including \$24 million in deductions from revenue, \$7 million in cost of goods sold and \$52 million in other operating charges. These charges impacted the Pacific operating segment.

The charges of \$24 million recorded in deductions from revenue were primarily related to funds we provided our local bottling partners to enable them to continue producing and distributing our beverage products in the affected regions. This support not only helped restore our business operations in the impacted areas, but it also assisted our bottling partners in meeting the evolving customer and consumer needs as the recovery and rebuilding efforts advanced. The charges of \$7 million recorded in cost of goods sold were primarily related to Company-owned inventory that was destroyed or lost. The charges of \$52 million recorded in other operating charges were primarily related to the donation discussed above and included an impairment charge of \$1 million on certain Company-owned fixed assets. These fixed assets primarily consisted of Company-owned vending equipment and coolers that were damaged or lost as a result of these events.

Our operations outside the hardest hit regions were minimally impacted, if at all. Our challenges in the affected regions included, but were not limited to, availability of fuel, concerns related to radiation leakage, rolling power blackouts, a need for energy savings and interruptions to mass transit services. The Company assessed the recoverability of long-lived assets, including intangible assets related to products sold in Japan. Because our operations outside the hardest hit regions were only minimally impacted, if at all, the Company determined that our long-lived assets were recoverable and no impairment was required except for certain fixed assets that were physically damaged or lost as a result of the events discussed above.

#### Pension Plan Valuations

Effective January 1, 2012, the Company elected to change our accounting methodology for determining the market-related value of assets for our U.S. qualified defined benefit pension plans. The market-related value of assets is used to determine the Company's expected return on assets, a component of our annual pension expense calculation. The Company previously used a smoothing technique to calculate our market-related value of assets which reflected changes in the fair value over no more than five years. However, we now use the actual fair value of plan assets to determine our expected return on those assets for all of our defined benefit plans. Although both methods are permitted under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, the Company believes our new methodology is preferable as it accelerates the recognition of gains and losses in the determination of our annual pension expense.

The Company's change in accounting methodology has been applied retrospectively, and we have adjusted all applicable prior period financial information presented herein as required. The cumulative effect of this change on retained earnings as of January 1, 2011, was an increase of \$59 million, with an offset to accumulated other comprehensive income ("AOCI"). The impact of this change on the Company's income before income taxes was an increase of \$1 million and \$2 million during the three and six months ended June 29, 2012, respectively. In addition, the Company's income before income taxes increased \$5 million and \$10 million as a result of this change during the three and six months ended July 1, 2011, respectively. The impact on the Company's earnings per share was not significant for any of the financial statement periods presented in this report.

#### OPERATIONS REVIEW

Sales of our ready-to-drink nonalcoholic beverages are somewhat seasonal, with the second and third calendar quarters accounting for the highest sales volumes. The volume of sales in the beverage business may be affected by weather conditions.

#### Structural Changes, Acquired Brands and New License Agreements

In order to continually improve upon the Company's operating performance, from time to time we engage in buying and selling ownership interests in bottling partners and other manufacturing operations. In addition, we also acquire brands or enter into license agreements for certain brands to supplement our beverage offerings. These items impact our operating results and certain key metrics used by management in assessing the Company's performance.

Unit case volume growth is a key metric used by management to evaluate the Company's performance because it measures demand for our products at the consumer level. The Company's unit case volume represents the number of



unit cases (or unit case equivalents) of Company beverage products directly or indirectly sold by the Company and its bottling partners to customers and, therefore, reflects unit case volume for consolidated and unconsolidated bottlers. Refer to the heading "Beverage Volume" below.

Concentrate sales volume represents the amount of concentrates and syrups (in all cases expressed in equivalent unit cases) sold by, or used in finished products sold by, the Company to its bottling partners or other customers. Refer to the heading "Beverage Volume" below.

Our Bottling Investments operating segment and our other finished product operations, including our finished product operations in our North America operating segment, typically generate net operating revenues by selling sparkling beverages and a variety of still beverages, such as juice and juice drinks, energy and sports drinks, ready-to-drink teas and coffees, and certain water products, to retailers or to distributors, wholesalers and bottling partners who distribute them to retailers. In addition, in the United States, we manufacture fountain syrups and sell them to fountain retailers such as restaurants and convenience stores which use the fountain syrups to produce beverages for immediate consumption, or to authorized fountain wholesalers or bottling partners who resell the fountain syrups to fountain retailers. For these finished product operations, we recognize the associated concentrate sales volume at the time the unit case or unit case equivalent is sold to the customer. Our concentrate operations typically generate net operating revenues by selling concentrates and syrups to authorized bottling and canning operations. For these concentrate operations, we recognize concentrate revenue and concentrate sales volume when we sell concentrate to the authorized unconsolidated bottling and canning operations, and we typically report unit case volume when finished products manufactured from the concentrates and syrups are sold to the customer. When we analyze our net operating revenues we generally consider the following four factors: (1) volume growth (unit case volume or concentrate sales volume, as appropriate), (2) structural changes, (3) changes in price, product and geographic mix and (4) foreign currency fluctuations. Refer to the heading "Net Operating Revenues" below.

"Structural changes" generally refers to acquisitions or dispositions of bottling, distribution or canning operations and consolidation or deconsolidation of bottling and distribution entities for accounting purposes. Typically, structural changes do not impact the Company's unit case volume on a consolidated basis or at the geographic operating segment level. We recognize unit case volume for all sales of Company beverage products regardless of our ownership interest in the bottling partner, if any. However, our Bottling Investments operating segment is generally impacted by structural changes because it only includes the unit case volume of consolidated bottlers.

The Company acquired Coca-Cola Great Plains Bottling Company ("Great Plains") in December 2011, bottling operations in Vietnam and Cambodia in February 2012, and bottling operations in Guatemala in June 2012.

Accordingly, the impact to net operating revenues related to these acquisitions was included as a structural change in our analysis of changes to net operating revenues. Refer to the heading "Net Operating Revenues" below.

In January 2012, the Company announced that Beverage Partners Worldwide ("BPW"), our joint venture with Nestlé S.A. ("Nestlé") in the ready-to-drink tea category, will focus its geographic scope on Europe and Canada. The joint venture will be phased out in all other territories in a transition to be completed by the end of 2012, and the Company's current U.S. license agreement with Nestlé will also terminate at the end of 2012. The impact to net operating revenues for North America related to the termination of our license agreement has been included as a structural change in our analysis of changes to net operating revenues. Refer to the heading "Net Operating Revenues" below.

The Company sells concentrates and syrups to both consolidated and unconsolidated bottling partners. The ownership structure of our bottling partners impacts the timing of recognizing concentrate revenue and concentrate sales volume. When we sell concentrates or syrups to our consolidated bottling partners, we are not able to recognize the concentrate revenue or concentrate sales volume until the bottling partner has sold finished products manufactured from the concentrates or syrups to a customer. When we sell concentrates or syrups to our unconsolidated bottling partners, we recognize the concentrate revenue and concentrate sales volume when the concentrates or syrups are sold to the bottling partner. The subsequent sale by our unconsolidated bottling partners of the finished products manufactured from the concentrates or syrups to a customer does not impact the timing of recognizing the concentrate revenue or concentrate sales volume.

"Acquired brands" refers to brands acquired during the past 12 months. Typically, the Company has not reported unit case volume or recognized concentrate sales volume related to acquired brands in periods prior to the closing of a transaction. Therefore, the unit case volume and concentrate sales volume from the sale of these brands is incremental to prior year volume. We do not generally consider acquired brands to be structural changes.

In June 2012, the Company invested in the existing beverage business of Aujan Industries ("Aujan"), one of the largest independent beverage companies in the Middle East. Under our definitive agreement with Aujan, the Company now owns 50 percent of the Aujan entity that holds the rights to Aujan-owned brands in certain territories and 49 percent of Aujan's bottling and distribution operations in certain territories. Accordingly, the volume associated with

the Aujan transaction is considered to be from acquired brands. Refer to the heading "Beverage Volume" below. "License agreements" refers to brands not owned by the Company but for which we hold certain rights, generally including, but not limited to, distribution rights, and we derive an economic benefit from the ultimate sale of these brands. Typically, the Company has not reported unit case volume or recognized concentrate sales volume related to these brands in periods prior to the beginning of the term of a license agreement. Therefore, the unit case volume and concentrate sales volume from the sale of these brands is incremental to prior year volume. We do not generally consider new license agreements to be structural changes.

## Beverage Volume

We measure the volume of Company beverage products sold in two ways: (1) unit cases of finished products and (2) concentrate sales. As used in this report, "unit case" means a unit of measurement equal to 192 U.S. fluid ounces of finished beverage (24 eight-ounce servings); and "unit case volume" means the number of unit cases (or unit case equivalents) of Company beverage products directly or indirectly sold by the Company and its bottling partners to customers. Unit case volume primarily consists of beverage products bearing Company trademarks. Also included in unit case volume are certain products licensed to, or distributed by, our Company, and brands owned by Coca-Cola system bottlers for which our Company provides marketing support and from the sale of which we derive economic benefit. In addition, unit case volume includes sales by joint ventures in which the Company has an equity interest. We believe unit case volume is one of the measures of the underlying strength of the Coca-Cola system because it measures trends at the consumer level. The unit case volume numbers used in this report are derived based on estimates received by the Company from its bottling partners and distributors. Concentrate sales volume represents the amount of concentrates and syrups (in all cases expressed in equivalent unit cases) sold by, or used in finished beverages sold by, the Company to its bottling partners or other customers. Unit case volume and concentrate sales volume growth rates are not necessarily equal during any given period. Factors such as seasonality, bottlers' inventory practices, the number of selling days in a reporting period, supply point changes, timing of price increases, new product introductions and changes in product mix can impact unit case volume and concentrate sales volume and can create differences between unit case volume and concentrate sales volume growth rates. In addition to the items mentioned above, the impact of unit case volume from certain joint ventures in which the Company has an equity interest, but to which the Company does not sell concentrates or syrups, may give rise to differences between unit case volume and concentrate sales volume growth rates.

Information about our volume growth worldwide and by operating segment for the three and six months ended June 29, 2012, is as follows:

	Percent Change 2012 versus 2011			
	Second Quarter		Year-to-Date	
	Unit Cases <sup>1,2,3</sup>	Concentrate Sales <sup>4</sup>	Unit Cases <sup>1,2,3</sup>	Concentrate Sales <sup>4</sup>
Worldwide	4	% 3	% 5	% 3
Eurasia & Africa	12	% 9	% 11	% 10
Europe	(4	) (4	) (2	) (4
Latin America	3	3	4	3
North America	1	1	1	1
Pacific	8	5	8	5
Bottling Investments	12	N/A	12	N/A

<sup>1</sup> Bottling Investments operating segment data reflects unit case volume growth for consolidated bottlers only.

<sup>2</sup> Geographic segment data reflects unit case volume growth for all bottlers in the applicable geographic areas, both consolidated and unconsolidated.

<sup>3</sup> Unit case volume percentage change is based on average daily sales. Unit case volume growth based on average daily sales is computed by comparing the average daily sales in each of the corresponding periods. Average daily sales are the unit cases sold during the period divided by the number of days in the period.

<sup>4</sup> Concentrate sales volume represents the actual amount of concentrates, syrups, beverage bases and powders sold by, or used in finished beverages sold by, the Company to its bottling partners or other customers and is not based on average daily sales. Each of our interim reporting periods, other than the fourth interim reporting period, ends on the Friday closest to the last day of the corresponding quarterly calendar period. The first quarter of 2012 had one less day compared to the first quarter of 2011. However, the fourth quarter of 2012 will have two additional days compared to the fourth quarter of 2011.

## Unit Case Volume

Although a significant portion of our Company's revenues is not based directly on unit case volume, we believe unit case volume is a measure of the underlying strength of the Coca-Cola system because it measures trends at the

consumer level.

Three Months Ended June 29, 2012, versus Three Months Ended July 1, 2011

In Eurasia and Africa, unit case volume increased 12 percent, which consisted of 11 percent growth in sparkling beverages and 18 percent growth in still beverages. The group's sparkling beverage growth was led by 12 percent growth in brand Coca-Cola, 16 percent growth in Trademark Sprite and 6 percent growth in Trademark Fanta. Growth in still beverages was primarily due to juices and juice drinks and included a 7 percent benefit attributable to acquired volume related to our investment in Aujan.

37

---

India reported 20 percent unit case volume growth, led by 20 percent growth in sparkling beverages. India's sparkling beverage growth reflected the impact of strong integrated marketing campaigns and primarily consisted of 29 percent growth in Trademark Sprite, 17 percent growth in Trademark Thums Up and 35 percent growth in brand Coca-Cola. Still beverages in India grew 21 percent and included 32 percent growth in our Maaza juice brand. In addition, Russia reported unit case volume growth of 9 percent, including growth of 11 percent in sparkling beverages driven by growth of 23 percent in brand Coca-Cola. Eurasia and Africa also benefited from unit case volume growth of 20 percent in the Company's Middle East and North Africa business unit, including 7 percent growth attributable to acquired volume related to our investment in Aujan. South Africa had unit case volume growth of 10 percent, reflecting our increased marketing initiatives in the current year and the impact of the volume decline reported in 2011 due to unfavorable weather conditions and higher pricing.

Unit case volume in Europe declined 4 percent, which consisted of a 4 percent decline in both sparkling and still beverages. These declines reflected the challenges of both very unseasonable weather as well as ongoing macroeconomic uncertainty across the region. In particular, volume declines were reported in France, Italy and Great Britain of 8 percent, 9 percent and 3 percent, respectively. Europe's unit case volume declines were partially offset by unit case volume growth of 1 percent in Germany, reflecting the benefit of the Company's ongoing bottler restructuring efforts and our effective marketing campaigns. The Company continues to leverage integrated marketing campaigns centered on the Euro Cup and the upcoming Olympic Games in addition to introducing new packaging innovations in the marketplace.

In Latin America, unit case volume increased 3 percent, which consisted of 2 percent growth in sparkling beverages and 8 percent growth in still beverages. The growth reported across Latin America was driven by a continued dual focus on affordability with refillable packages and recruitment through our investment in immediate consumption as well as placement of new cold-drink equipment. The group's sparkling beverage unit case volume growth was led by 2 percent growth in brand Coca-Cola and 4 percent growth in both Trademark Fanta and Trademark Sprite. Still beverage growth in Latin America reflected 37 percent growth in ready-to-drink tea, 14 percent growth in juices and juice drinks and 28 percent growth in sports drinks. The group's growth in ready-to-drink tea was primarily a result of the strong performance of Fuze Tea, which was recently launched. Brazil reported unit case volume growth of 6 percent, which included 5 percent growth in sparkling beverages and 18 percent growth in still beverages. Brazil's sparkling beverage unit case volume growth was led by 4 percent growth in brand Coca-Cola. Latin America also benefited from unit case volume growth of 1 percent in Mexico and 6 percent growth in Argentina.

Unit case volume in North America increased 1 percent, led by growth of 8 percent in still beverages. Still beverage growth in North America included 13 percent growth in Trademark Powerade, 12 percent growth in Dasani, as well as strong growth in Gold Peak and smartwater. Juices and juice drinks had volume growth of 3 percent, led by strong growth in Trademark Simply and the launch of new flavors of Minute Maid Light. The group's growth in still beverages was partially offset by a volume decline of 2 percent in sparkling beverages. The decline in sparkling beverages reflected the overall decline in the broader sparkling beverage industry's performance in North America. The unit case volume decline in the group's sparkling beverages was partially offset by growth of 6 percent in Trademark Fanta and 5 percent in Coca-Cola Zero.

In Pacific, unit case volume increased 8 percent, which consisted of 6 percent growth in sparkling beverages and 12 percent growth in still beverages. The group's volume results were led by 7 percent growth in China, despite the impact of an economic slowdown in the country. Sparkling beverages in China grew 3 percent and included growth of 27 percent in Trademark Fanta and 3 percent in Trademark Sprite. Still beverages in China grew 14 percent, primarily due to volume growth in packaged water. Japan's unit case volume increased 4 percent, which included a 1 percent increase in sparkling beverages and a 5 percent increase in still beverages. Still beverages in Japan benefited primarily from growth in the Company's ready-to-drink tea and coffee categories. The Pacific group also benefited from unit case volume growth of 24 percent in Thailand and 6 percent in the Philippines.

Unit case volume for Bottling Investments increased 12 percent. The group had growth in key markets where we own or otherwise consolidate bottling operations, including unit case volume growth of 7 percent in China, 20 percent in India, 6 percent in the Philippines and 1 percent in Germany. The Company's consolidated bottling operations accounted for 35 percent, 62 percent, 100 percent and 100 percent of the unit case volume in China, India, the

Philippines and Germany, respectively. During the three months ended June 29, 2012, the group's volume growth included a benefit of 2 percent attributable to the acquisition of bottling operations in Vietnam, Cambodia and Guatemala in 2012.

Six Months Ended June 29, 2012, versus Six Months Ended July 1, 2011

In Eurasia and Africa, unit case volume increased 11 percent, which consisted of 10 percent growth in sparkling beverages and 15 percent growth in still beverages. The group's sparkling beverage growth was led by 11 percent growth in brand Coca-Cola, 13 percent growth in Trademark Sprite and 5 percent growth in Trademark Fanta. Growth in still beverages was primarily due to juices and juice drinks and included a 4 percent benefit attributable to acquired volume related to our investment in Aujan. India reported 20 percent unit case volume growth, led by 20 percent growth in sparkling beverages. India's sparkling beverage growth reflected the impact of strong integrated marketing campaigns and primarily consisted of 27 percent growth in

Trademark Sprite, 18 percent growth in Trademark Thums Up and 32 percent growth in brand Coca-Cola. Still beverages in India grew 22 percent and included 33 percent growth in our Maaza juice brand. In addition, Russia reported unit case volume growth of 7 percent, including growth of 10 percent in sparkling beverages driven by growth of 22 percent in brand Coca-Cola. Eurasia and Africa also benefited from unit case volume growth of 18 percent in the Company's Middle East and North Africa business unit, including 4 percent growth attributable to acquired volume related to our investment in Aujan. South Africa had unit case volume growth of 10 percent, reflecting our increased marketing initiatives in the current year and the impact of the volume decline reported in 2011 due to unfavorable weather conditions and higher pricing.

Unit case volume in Europe declined 2 percent, which consisted of a 1 percent decline in sparkling beverages and a 2 percent decline in still beverages. These declines reflected the challenges of both very unseasonable weather as well as ongoing macroeconomic uncertainty across the region. In particular, volume declines were reported in France, Italy and Great Britain of 5 percent, 3 percent and 2 percent, respectively. Europe's unit case volume declines were partially offset by unit case volume growth of 2 percent in Germany, reflecting the benefit of the Company's ongoing bottler restructuring efforts and our effective marketing campaigns, and volume growth of 2 percent in Spain. The Company continues to leverage integrated marketing campaigns centered on the Euro Cup and the upcoming Olympic Games in addition to introducing new packaging innovations in the marketplace.

In Latin America, unit case volume increased 4 percent, which consisted of 3 percent growth in sparkling beverages and 9 percent growth in still beverages. The growth reported across Latin America was driven by a continued dual focus on affordability with refillable packages and recruitment through our investment in immediate consumption as well as placement of new cold-drink equipment. The group's sparkling beverage unit case volume growth was led by 3 percent growth in brand Coca-Cola, 4 percent growth in Trademark Fanta and 5 percent growth in Trademark Sprite. Still beverage growth in Latin America reflected 28 percent growth in ready-to-drink tea, 15 percent growth in juices and juice drinks and 27 percent growth in sports drinks. The group's growth in ready-to-drink tea was primarily a result of the strong performance of Fuze Tea, which was recently launched. Brazil reported unit case volume growth of 5 percent, which included 3 percent growth in sparkling beverages and 19 percent growth in still beverages. Brazil's sparkling beverage unit case volume growth primarily consisted of 3 percent growth in brand Coca-Cola and 8 percent growth in Trademark Fanta. Latin America also benefited from unit case volume growth of 2 percent in Mexico and 9 percent growth in Argentina.

Unit case volume in North America increased 1 percent, led by growth of 7 percent in still beverages. Still beverage growth in North America included 13 percent growth in Trademark Powerade, 12 percent growth in Dasani, as well as strong growth in Gold Peak and smartwater. Juices and juice drinks had volume growth of 1 percent, led by growth in Trademark Simply and Minute Maid. The group's growth in still beverages was partially offset by a volume decline of 1 percent in sparkling beverages, reflecting the overall decline in the broader sparkling beverage industry's performance in North America. The unit case volume decline in the group's sparkling beverages was partially offset by growth of 7 percent in Coca-Cola Zero and 6 percent in Trademark Fanta.

In Pacific, unit case volume increased 8 percent, which consisted of 6 percent growth in sparkling beverages and 12 percent growth in still beverages. The group's volume results were led by 8 percent growth in China, despite the impact of an economic slowdown in the country and the unseasonably cold weather that occurred during the Chinese New Year's celebration. Sparkling beverages in China grew 3 percent and included growth of 22 percent in Trademark Fanta and 3 percent in Trademark Sprite. Still beverages in China grew 15 percent, primarily due to volume growth in packaged water. Japan's unit case volume increased 4 percent, which included a 2 percent increase in sparkling beverages and a 4 percent increase in still beverages. Still beverages in Japan benefited primarily from growth in the Company's ready-to-drink tea and coffee categories. The Pacific group also benefited from unit case volume growth of 24 percent in Thailand and 6 percent in the Philippines.

Unit case volume for Bottling Investments increased 12 percent. The group had growth in key markets where we own or otherwise consolidate bottling operations, including unit case volume growth of 8 percent in China, 20 percent in India, 6 percent in the Philippines and 2 percent in Germany. The Company's consolidated bottling operations accounted for 35 percent, 64 percent, 100 percent and 100 percent of the unit case volume in China, India, the Philippines and Germany, respectively. The group's volume growth included a benefit of 2 percent attributable to the



acquisition of bottling operations in Vietnam, Cambodia and Guatemala during the six months ended June 29, 2012.  
Concentrate Sales Volume

During the three months ended June 29, 2012, unit case volume grew 4 percent and concentrate sales volume grew 3 percent compared to the three months ended July 1, 2011. During the six months ended June 29, 2012, unit case volume grew 5 percent and concentrate sales volume grew 3 percent compared to the six months ended July 1, 2011. The difference between the consolidated unit case volume and concentrate sales volume growth rates during the three and six months ended June 29, 2012, was primarily due to the timing of concentrate shipments, the number of selling days in the reporting periods, and the impact of unit case volume from certain joint ventures in which the Company has an equity interest, but to which the Company does not sell concentrates, syrups, beverage bases or powders. In particular, the difference between the unit case volume and concentrate

sales volume growth rates for the Pacific operating segment was primarily due to the timing of shipments in China. Concentrate sales volume growth is calculated based on the actual amount of concentrate sold during the reporting period, which is impacted by the number of selling days. Conversely, unit case volume growth is calculated based on average daily sales, which is not impacted by the number of selling days in a reporting period. Our concentrate sales volume growth rate for the six months ended June 29, 2012, was negatively impacted as a result of having one less selling day during the first quarter of 2012 when compared to the first quarter of 2011.

#### Net Operating Revenues

Three Months Ended June 29, 2012, versus Three Months Ended July 1, 2011

The Company's net operating revenues increased \$348 million, or 3 percent. The following table illustrates, on a percentage basis, the estimated impact of key factors resulting in the increase (decrease) in net operating revenues by operating segment:

	Percent Change 2012 vs. 2011					Total
	Volume <sup>1</sup>	Structural Changes	Price, Product & Geographic Mix	Currency Fluctuations		
Consolidated	3	% 1	% 3	%(4	)%3	%
Eurasia & Africa	9	%—	%7	%(11	)%5	%
Europe	(4	) —	2	(7	) (9	)
Latin America	3	(1	) 9	(10	) 1	
North America	1	1	3	—	5	
Pacific	5	(1	) 1	2	7	
Bottling Investments	10	2	(1	) (9	) 2	
Corporate	*	*	*	*	*	

\* Calculation is not meaningful.

<sup>1</sup> Represents the percent change in net operating revenues attributable to the increase (decrease) in concentrate sales volume for our geographic operating segments (expressed in equivalent unit cases). For our Bottling Investments operating segment, this represents the percent change in net operating revenues attributable to the increase in unit case volume after considering the impact of structural changes. Our Bottling Investments operating segment data reflects unit case volume growth for consolidated bottlers only. Refer to the heading "Beverage Volume" above.

Refer to the heading "Beverage Volume" above for additional information related to changes in our unit case and concentrate sales volumes.

Refer to the heading "Structural Changes, Acquired Brands and New License Agreements" above for additional information related to the structural changes that impacted our Latin America, North America, Pacific and Bottling Investments operating segments.

Price, product and geographic mix had a favorable 3 percent impact on our consolidated net operating revenues. Price, product and geographic mix for our operating segments was impacted by a variety of factors and events including, but not limited to, the following:

• The impact of geographic mix on our consolidated results was even.

• Eurasia and Africa was favorably impacted as a result of price increases across a number of our key markets as well as product mix.

• Latin America was favorably impacted as a result of price increases across a number of our key markets. Still beverages, which generally result in higher net operating revenues, grew faster than sparkling beverages for the segment as a whole.

• North America was favorably impacted as a result of price increases and product mix. Price, product and geographic mix in North America included positive pricing of 5 percent for sparkling beverages. Still beverages, which generally result in higher net operating revenues, grew faster than sparkling beverages for the segment as a whole.

The unfavorable impact of foreign currency fluctuations decreased our consolidated net operating revenues by 4 percent. The unfavorable impact of changes in foreign currency exchange rates was primarily due to a stronger U.S.

dollar compared to certain foreign currencies, including the euro, Mexican peso, Brazilian real, U.K. pound sterling, South African rand and Australian dollar, which had an unfavorable impact on our Eurasia and Africa, Europe, Latin America, Pacific and Bottling Investments operating segments. The unfavorable impact of a stronger U.S. dollar compared to the currencies listed above was

40

---

partially offset by the impact of a weaker U.S. dollar compared to certain other foreign currencies, including the Japanese yen, which had a favorable impact on our Pacific operating segment. Refer to the heading "Liquidity, Capital Resources and Financial Position — Foreign Exchange" below.

Six Months Ended June 29, 2012, versus Six Months Ended July 1, 2011

The Company's net operating revenues increased \$968 million, or 4 percent. The following table illustrates, on a percentage basis, the estimated impact of key factors resulting in the increase (decrease) in net operating revenues by operating segment:

	Percent Change 2012 vs. 2011				
	Volume <sup>1</sup>	Structural Changes	Price, Product & Geographic Mix	Currency Fluctuations	Total
Consolidated	3	% 1	% 3	%) 3	%) 4
Eurasia & Africa	10	% —	% 4	%) (10	%) 4
Europe	(4	) —	2	(4	) (6
Latin America	3	—	7	(8	) 2
North America	1	1	3	—	5
Pacific	5	—	2	2	9
Bottling Investments	9	2	1	(6	) 6
Corporate	*	*	*	*	*

\* Calculation is not meaningful.

<sup>1</sup> Represents the percent change in net operating revenues attributable to the increase (decrease) in concentrate sales volume for our geographic operating segments (expressed in equivalent unit cases). For our Bottling Investments operating segment, this represents the percent change in net operating revenues attributable to the increase in unit case volume after considering the impact of structural changes. Our Bottling Investments operating segment data reflects unit case volume growth for consolidated bottlers only. Refer to the heading "Beverage Volume" above.

Refer to the heading "Beverage Volume" above for additional information related to changes in our unit case and concentrate sales volumes.

Refer to the heading "Structural Changes, Acquired Brands and New License Agreements" above for additional information related to the structural changes that impacted our North America and Bottling Investments operating segments.

Price, product and geographic mix had a favorable 3 percent impact on our consolidated net operating revenues. Price, product and geographic mix for our operating segments was impacted by a variety of factors and events including, but not limited to, the following:

• The impact of geographic mix on our consolidated results was even.

• Eurasia and Africa was favorably impacted as a result of price increases across a number of our key markets as well as product mix.

• Latin America was favorably impacted as a result of price increases across a number of our key markets. Still beverages, which generally result in higher net operating revenues, grew faster than sparkling beverages for the segment as a whole.

• North America was favorably impacted as a result of price increases and product mix, including positive pricing for sparkling beverages. Still beverages, which generally result in higher net operating revenues, grew faster than sparkling beverages for the segment as a whole.

The unfavorable impact of foreign currency fluctuations decreased our consolidated net operating revenues by 3 percent. The unfavorable impact of changes in foreign currency exchange rates was primarily due to a stronger U.S. dollar compared to certain foreign currencies, including the euro, Mexican peso, Brazilian real, U.K. pound sterling and South African rand, which had an unfavorable impact on our Eurasia and Africa, Europe, Latin America and Bottling Investments operating segments. The unfavorable impact of a stronger U.S. dollar compared to the currencies listed above was partially offset by the impact of a weaker U.S. dollar compared to certain other foreign currencies,

including the Australian dollar and Japanese yen, which had a favorable impact on our Pacific operating segment. Refer to the heading "Liquidity, Capital Resources and Financial Position — Foreign Exchange" below.

41

---

## Gross Profit

Our gross profit margin decreased to 60.1 percent during the three months ended June 29, 2012, compared to 60.8 percent during the three months ended July 1, 2011. In addition, our gross profit margin decreased to 60.5 percent during the six months ended June 29, 2012, compared to 61.6 percent during the six months ended July 1, 2011. The decrease in our gross profit margin for the three and six months ended June 29, 2012, compared to the three and six months ended July 1, 2011, was driven by ongoing fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates and shifts in channel and package mix across markets as a result of the impact of current global economic conditions on consumers. The Company's gross profit margin during the three and six months ended June 29, 2012, was also unfavorably impacted by increases in commodity costs and the impact of our acquisitions of Great Plains in North America and bottling operations in Vietnam, Cambodia and Guatemala. The unfavorable impact of these items was partially offset by price increases in many of our key markets. Refer to the heading "Structural Changes, Acquired Brands and New License Agreements" above for additional information regarding the impact of our acquisitions of Great Plains and bottling operations in Vietnam, Cambodia and Guatemala.

The following inputs represent a substantial portion of the Company's total cost of goods sold: (1) sweeteners, (2) metals, (3) juices and (4) polyethylene terephthalate ("PET"). The majority of these costs are included within our North America and Bottling Investments operating segments. In 2012, we expect the incremental impact of increased commodity costs related to these inputs, primarily juices and sweeteners, to be approximately \$300 million on our full year 2012 operating results.

In recent years, the Company has increased our hedging activities related to certain commodities in order to mitigate a portion of the price risk associated with forecasted purchases. Many of the derivative financial instruments used by the Company to mitigate the risk associated with these commodity exposures do not qualify, or are not designated, for hedge accounting. As a result, the change in fair value of these derivative instruments has been and will continue to be included as a component of net income in each reporting period. During the three and six months ended June 29, 2012, the Company recorded losses of \$47 million and \$41 million, respectively, in the line item cost of goods sold in our condensed consolidated statements of income. These losses were related to derivative financial instruments that do not qualify, or are not designated, for hedge accounting. Refer to Note 5 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Company anticipates that fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates, shifts in channel and package mix and increased commodity costs will continue to unfavorably impact our consolidated gross profit margin through the remainder of 2012.

## Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

The following table sets forth the significant components of selling, general and administrative expenses (in millions):

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 29, 2012	July 1, 2011	June 29, 2012	July 1, 2011
		As Adjusted		As Adjusted
Stock-based compensation expense	\$89	\$105	\$166	\$181
Advertising expenses	802	845	1,567	1,608
Bottling and distribution expenses <sup>1</sup>	2,259	2,154	4,431	4,226
Other operating expenses	1,347	1,313	2,514	2,478
Selling, general and administrative expenses	\$4,497	\$4,417	\$8,678	\$8,493

<sup>1</sup> Includes operating expenses as well as general and administrative expenses related to our finished product operations in our North America and Bottling Investments operating segments.

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$80 million, or 2 percent, during the three months ended June 29, 2012, versus the three months ended July 1, 2011. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$185 million, or 2 percent, during the six months ended June 29, 2012, versus the six months ended July 1, 2011. The increase in bottling and distribution expenses during the three and six months ended June 29, 2012, included the impact of the Company's acquisition of Great Plains in December 2011 as well as bottling operations in Vietnam,

Cambodia and Guatemala in 2012. Foreign currency fluctuations decreased selling, general and administrative expenses by 4 percent and 3 percent during the three and six months ended June 29, 2012, respectively. We contributed \$990 million to our pension plans during the six months ended June 29, 2012, which primarily consisted of \$900 million to our primary U.S. pension plans and \$74 million to certain European pension plans whose assets are managed through one of our captive insurance companies. Our full year pension expense is currently expected to decrease by \$25 million compared to 2011. The anticipated decrease is primarily due to our pension contributions discussed above as well as the impact of our change in accounting methodology for determining the market-related value of assets for our U.S. qualified defined

benefit pension plans. The favorable impact of these items is partially offset by the unfavorable impact of a decrease in the weighted-average discount rate used to calculate the Company's benefit obligation. Refer to the heading "Liquidity, Capital Resources and Financial Position" below and Note 12 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for information related to our pension contributions. Refer to Note 1 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for information related to our change in accounting methodology.

As of June 29, 2012, we had \$698 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested stock-based compensation arrangements granted under our plans, which we expect to recognize over a weighted-average period of 1.8 years. This expected cost does not include the impact of any future stock-based compensation awards.

#### Other Operating Charges

Other operating charges incurred by operating segment were as follows (in millions):

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 29, 2012	July 1, 2011	June 29, 2012	July 1, 2011
Eurasia & Africa	\$—	\$8	\$—	\$9
Europe	(2)	)2	(3	)3
Latin America	—	1	—	1
North America	51	66	133	177
Pacific	—	5	—	53
Bottling Investments	16	23	31	44
Corporate	5	47	8	74
Total	\$70	\$152	\$169	\$361

During the three months ended June 29, 2012, the Company incurred other operating charges of \$70 million. These charges consisted of \$54 million associated with the Company's productivity and reinvestment program; \$15 million related to the Company's other restructuring and integration initiatives, including the integration of 18 German bottling and distribution operations acquired during 2007; and \$3 million due to costs associated with the Company detecting residues of carbendazim, a fungicide that is not registered in the United States for use on citrus products, in orange juice imported from Brazil for distribution in the United States. These charges were partially offset by a \$2 million reversal associated with the refinement of previously recorded accruals related to the Company's 2008–2011 productivity initiatives. Refer to Note 11 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on our productivity and reinvestment program as well as the Company's other productivity, integration and restructuring initiatives. Refer to Note 10 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on the costs associated with the Company's orange juice supply in the United States.

During the six months ended June 29, 2012, the Company incurred other operating charges of \$169 million. These charges consisted of \$118 million associated with the Company's productivity and reinvestment program; \$30 million related to the Company's other restructuring and integration initiatives, including the integration of 18 German bottling and distribution operations acquired during 2007; \$20 million due to changes in the Company's ready-to-drink tea strategy as a result of our current U.S. license agreement with Nestlé terminating at the end of 2012; and \$4 million due to costs associated with the Company detecting residues of carbendazim, a fungicide that is not registered in the United States for use on citrus products, in orange juice imported from Brazil for distribution in the United States. These charges were partially offset by a \$3 million reversal associated with the refinement of previously recorded accruals related to the Company's 2008–2011 productivity initiatives. Refer to Note 11 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on our productivity and reinvestment program as well as the Company's other productivity, integration and restructuring initiatives. Refer to Note 10 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on the Company's ready-to-drink tea strategy and costs associated with our orange juice supply in the United States.

In February 2012, the Company announced a four-year productivity and reinvestment program which will further enable our efforts to strengthen our brands and reinvest our resources to drive long-term profitable growth. This program is focused on the following initiatives: global supply chain optimization; global marketing and innovation effectiveness; operating expense leverage and operational excellence; data and information technology systems



standardization; and further integration of Coca-Cola Enterprises Inc.'s ("CCE") former North America business.

43

---

As a result of this productivity and reinvestment program, the Company anticipates generating annualized savings of \$550 million to \$650 million, which will be phased in over a four-year period starting in 2012. We expect to begin fully realizing the annual benefit of these savings by the end of 2015, the final year of the program. The savings generated by this program will be reinvested in brand-building initiatives, and in the short term will also mitigate potential incremental commodity costs. The Company believes the costs related to the further integration of CCE's former North America business will be approximately \$300 million, and we are in the process of defining the costs associated with the remaining initiatives.

The Company's integration initiatives include costs related to the integration of 18 German bottling and distribution operations acquired in 2007. The Company began these integration initiatives in 2008 and has incurred total pretax expenses of \$317 million since they commenced. The expenses recorded in connection with these integration activities have been primarily due to involuntary terminations. The Company is currently reviewing other integration and restructuring opportunities within the German bottling and distribution operations, which if implemented will result in additional charges in future periods. However, as of June 29, 2012, the Company had not finalized any additional plans. Refer to Note 11 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information related to this integration initiative.

During the three months ended July 1, 2011, the Company incurred other operating charges of \$152 million. These charges primarily consisted of \$119 million associated with the Company's productivity, integration and restructuring initiatives; \$26 million due to costs associated with the merger of Embotelladoras Arca, S.A.B. de C.V. ("Arca") and Grupo Continental S.A.B. ("Contal"); and \$5 million related to the earthquake and tsunami that devastated northern and eastern Japan on March 11, 2011. Refer to Note 11 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on the Company's productivity, integration and restructuring initiatives. Refer to the heading "Other Income (Loss) — Net" for additional information related to the merger of Arca and Contal. Refer to the heading "Recoverability of Current and Noncurrent Assets" and Note 10 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information related to the impact of the natural disasters in Japan.

During the six months ended July 1, 2011, the Company incurred other operating charges of \$361 million. These charges primarily consisted of \$281 million associated with the Company's productivity, integration and restructuring initiatives; \$52 million related to the earthquake and tsunami that devastated northern and eastern Japan on March 11, 2011; and \$26 million due to costs associated with the merger of Arca and Contal. Refer to Note 11 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on the Company's productivity, integration and restructuring initiatives. Refer to the heading "Recoverability of Current and Noncurrent Assets" and Note 10 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information related to the impact of the natural disasters in Japan. Refer to the heading "Other Income (Loss) — Net" for additional information related to the merger of Arca and Contal.

In 2010, the Company began an integration initiative related to our acquisition of CCE's former North America business. Upon completion of the CCE transaction, we combined the management of the acquired North American business with the management of our existing foodservice business; Minute Maid and Odwalla juice businesses; North America supply chain operations; and Company-owned bottling operations in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, into a unified bottling and customer service organization called Coca-Cola Refreshments ("CCR"). In addition, we reshaped our remaining Coca-Cola North America ("CCNA") operations into an organization that primarily provides franchise leadership and consumer marketing and innovation for the North American market. As a result of the transaction and related reorganization, our North American businesses operate as aligned and agile organizations with distinct capabilities, responsibilities and strengths. We believe this acquisition will result in an evolved franchise system that will enable us to better serve the unique needs of the North American market. The creation of a unified operating system will strategically position us to better market and distribute our nonalcoholic beverage brands in North America.

The Company initially estimated that the total cost of these integration initiatives would be approximately \$425 million, and the initiatives were expected to generate annualized savings of at least \$350 million per year. The Company realized nearly all of the \$350 million in annualized savings by the end of 2011 and incurred total costs of \$493 million related to this program since its inception. As such, this initiative was completed at the end of 2011.

Refer to Note 11 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information related to this integration initiative.

During 2011, the Company also completed our four-year global productivity program and exceeded our target of providing \$500 million in annualized savings from these initiatives by the end of 2011. These savings have provided the Company additional flexibility to invest for growth. The Company generated these savings in a number of areas, which include aggressively managing operating expenses supported by lean techniques; redesigning key processes to drive standardization and effectiveness; better leveraging our size and scale; and driving savings in indirect costs through the implementation of a "procure-to-pay" program. In realizing these savings, the Company incurred total costs of \$505 million related to these productivity initiatives since they commenced during the first quarter of 2008. Refer to Note 11 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

## Operating Income and Operating Margin

Information about our operating income by operating segment on a percentage basis is as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended		
	June 29, 2012	July 1, 2011	June 29, 2012	July 1, 2011	
Eurasia & Africa	10.6	% 10.4	% 11.1	% 10.9	%
Europe	27.2	30.7	27.4	30.9	
Latin America	20.8	21.2	24.6	25.5	
North America	23.0	23.2	20.8	22.0	
Pacific	25.0	22.6	24.1	21.3	
Bottling Investments	2.7	3.3	2.2	2.1	
Corporate	(9.3	) (11.4	) (10.2	) (12.7	)
Total	100.0	% 100.0	% 100.0	% 100.0	%

Information about our operating margin on a consolidated basis and by operating segment is as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended		
	June 29, 2012	July 1, 2011	June 29, 2012	July 1, 2011	
Consolidated	25.2	% 25.0	% 24.0	% 23.5	%
Eurasia & Africa	44.7	% 44.1	% 45.0	% 43.4	%
Europe	68.3	67.3	67.2	67.0	
Latin America	63.3	63.3	64.7	64.8	
North America	13.1	13.4	11.3	11.8	
Pacific	51.6	47.9	48.7	44.0	
Bottling Investments	3.6	4.3	2.7	2.6	
Corporate	*	*	*	*	

\* Calculation is not meaningful.

As demonstrated by the tables above, the operating margin and percentage contribution to operating income for each operating segment fluctuated between the periods. Operating income and operating margin by operating segment were influenced by a variety of factors and events, including the following:

During the three months ended June 29, 2012, fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates unfavorably impacted consolidated operating income by 6 percent, primarily due to a stronger U.S. dollar compared to certain foreign currencies, including the euro, Mexican peso, Brazilian real, U.K. pound sterling, South African rand and Australian dollar, which had an unfavorable impact on our Eurasia and Africa, Europe, Latin America, Pacific and Bottling Investments operating segments. The unfavorable impact of a stronger U.S. dollar compared to the currencies listed above was partially offset by the impact of a weaker U.S. dollar compared to certain other foreign currencies, including the Japanese yen, which had a favorable impact on our Pacific operating segment.

During the six months ended June 29, 2012, fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates unfavorably impacted consolidated operating income by 4 percent, primarily due to a stronger U.S. dollar compared to certain foreign currencies, including the euro, Mexican peso, Brazilian real, U.K. pound sterling and South African rand, which had an unfavorable impact on our Eurasia and Africa, Europe, Latin America and Bottling Investments operating segments. The unfavorable impact of a stronger U.S. dollar compared to the currencies listed above was partially offset by the impact of a weaker U.S. dollar compared to certain other foreign currencies, including the Australian dollar and Japanese yen, which had a favorable impact on our Pacific operating segment.

During the three months ended June 29, 2012, operating income was unfavorably impacted by fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates by 12 percent for Eurasia and Africa, 5 percent for Europe, 12 percent for Latin America and 19 percent for Bottling Investments. During the same period, operating income was favorably impacted by fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates by 2 percent for Pacific. Fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates had a minimal impact on operating income for North America and Corporate.



During the six months ended June 29, 2012, operating income was unfavorably impacted by fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates by 10 percent for Eurasia and Africa, 3 percent for Europe, 9 percent for Latin America, 19 percent for Bottling Investments and 1 percent for Corporate. During the same period, operating income was favorably impacted by fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates by 4 percent for Pacific.

Fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates had a minimal impact on operating income for North America.

During the three and six months ended June 29, 2012, operating income for Europe was unfavorably impacted by a decline in net revenues and incremental investments related to the 2012 Olympic Games and Euro Cup competition. During the three and six months ended June 29, 2012, operating income for Pacific increased when compared to the three and six months ended July 1, 2011, reflecting the prior year impact of the earthquake and tsunami that devastated northern and eastern Japan on March 11, 2011.

During the three and six months ended June 29, 2012, operating income for Pacific was favorably impacted by geographic mix due to improved performance in key markets, including strong growth in Japan.

During the six months ended June 29, 2012, operating income for our North America operating segment was reduced by \$20 million due to changes in the Company's ready-to-drink tea strategy as a result of our current U.S. license agreement with Nestlé expiring at the end of 2012.

During the three months ended June 29, 2012, operating income was reduced by \$48 million for North America, \$16 million for Bottling Investments and \$5 million for Corporate due to charges related to the Company's productivity and reinvestment program as well as other restructuring initiatives.

During the three months ended June 29, 2012, operating income was increased by \$2 million for Europe due to the refinement of previously established accruals related to the Company's 2008–2011 productivity initiatives.

During the six months ended June 29, 2012, operating income was reduced by \$109 million for North America, \$31 million for Bottling Investments and \$8 million for Corporate due to charges related to the Company's productivity and reinvestment program as well as other restructuring initiatives.

During the six months ended June 29, 2012, operating income was increased by \$3 million for Europe due to the refinement of previously established accruals related to the Company's 2008–2011 productivity initiatives.

During the three months ended July 1, 2011, operating income was reduced by \$8 million for Eurasia and Africa, \$2 million for Europe, \$1 million for Latin America, \$66 million for North America, \$23 million for Bottling Investments and \$47 million for Corporate, primarily due to the Company's productivity, integration and restructuring initiatives as well as costs associated with the merger of Arca and Contal.

During the six months ended July 1, 2011, operating income was reduced by \$9 million for Eurasia and Africa, \$3 million for Europe, \$1 million for Latin America, \$177 million for North America, \$1 million for Pacific, \$44 million for Bottling Investments and \$74 million for Corporate, primarily due to the Company's productivity, integration and restructuring initiatives as well as costs associated with the merger of Arca and Contal.

#### Interest Income

During the three months ended June 29, 2012, interest income was \$112 million, compared to \$121 million during the three months ended July 1, 2011, a decrease of \$9 million, or 7 percent. The decrease in interest income for the three months ended June 29, 2012, reflects lower average interest rates in some of our international locations as well as the unfavorable impact of foreign currency exchange rates due to a stronger U.S. dollar against most major currencies. The unfavorable impact of these items on interest income was partially offset by higher average cash and short-term investment balances.

During the six months ended June 29, 2012, interest income was \$227 million, compared to \$215 million during the six months ended July 1, 2011, an increase of \$12 million, or 6 percent. The increase in interest income for the six months ended June 29, 2012, reflects the impact of higher average cash and short-term investment balances, particularly in international locations, partially offset by lower average interest rates and the unfavorable impact of foreign currency exchange rates.

#### Interest Expense

During the three months ended June 29, 2012, interest expense was \$112 million, compared to \$84 million during the three months ended July 1, 2011, an increase of \$28 million, or 33 percent. This increase primarily reflects the higher interest expense on the additional long-term debt the Company issued during the first quarter of 2012.

During the six months ended June 29, 2012, interest expense was \$200 million, compared to \$197 million during the six months ended July 1, 2011, an increase of \$3 million, or 2 percent.

#### Payments of Long-Term Debt

On May 15, 2012, the Company retired \$1,250 million of long-term notes upon maturity. This transaction resulted in a decrease in the Company's current maturities of long-term debt.

#### Issuance of Long-Term Debt

During the first quarter of 2012, the Company issued \$2,750 million of long-term debt. The general terms of the notes issued are as follows:

\$1,000 million total principal amount of notes due March 14, 2014, at a variable interest rate equal to the three-month London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") minus 0.05 percent;

\$1,000 million total principal amount of notes due March 13, 2015, at a fixed interest rate of 0.75 percent; and

\$750 million total principal amount of notes due March 14, 2018, at a fixed interest rate of 1.65 percent.

#### Repurchases of Long-Term Debt

On March 4, 2011, the Company repurchased all of our outstanding U.K. pound sterling notes that we assumed in connection with our acquisition of CCE's former North America business. The repurchased debt had a carrying value of \$674 million on the settlement date, which included \$106 million in unamortized fair value adjustments recorded as part of our purchase accounting. In addition, during the three months ended July 1, 2011, the Company repurchased certain other long-term debt that we assumed in connection with the CCE transaction. The debt repurchased during the three months ended July 1, 2011, had a carrying value of \$42 million and included \$12 million in unamortized fair value adjustments recorded as part of our purchase accounting. The Company recorded a net charge of \$3 million in interest expense during the six months ended July 1, 2011, related to our debt repurchases described above. This net charge was primarily due to the change in fair value from the date we assumed the debt until the date it was repurchased in addition to premiums paid to repurchase the debt.

#### Fair Value Adjustments

As of June 29, 2012, the carrying value of the Company's long-term debt included \$674 million of fair value adjustments related to the debt we assumed in connection with our acquisition of CCE's former North America business. These fair value adjustments will be amortized over a weighted-average period of approximately 17 years, which is equal to the weighted-average maturity of the assumed debt to which these fair value adjustments relate. The amortization of these fair value adjustments will be a reduction of interest expense in future periods, which will typically result in our interest expense being less than the actual interest paid to service the debt.

#### Equity Income (Loss) — Net

Three Months Ended June 29, 2012, versus Three Months Ended July 1, 2011

Equity income (loss) — net represents the Company's proportionate share of net income or loss from each of our equity method investments. During the three months ended June 29, 2012, equity income was \$245 million, compared to equity income of \$221 million during the three months ended July 1, 2011, an increase of \$24 million, or 11 percent. During the three months ended June 29, 2012, the Company recorded a net charge of \$1 million in equity income (loss) — net related to our proportionate share of restructuring charges recorded by our equity method investees, partially offset by our proportionate share of a transaction gain recorded by an equity method investee. In addition, the Company recorded a charge of \$11 million related to changes in the structure of BPW, our 50/50 joint venture with Nestlé in the ready-to-drink tea category.

The Company did not record any significant unusual or infrequent items in equity income (loss) — net during the three months ended July 1, 2011.

Six Months Ended June 29, 2012, versus Six Months Ended July 1, 2011

During the six months ended June 29, 2012, equity income was \$385 million, compared to equity income of \$355 million during the six months ended July 1, 2011, an increase of \$30 million, or 8 percent.

The Company recorded a net gain of \$43 million in equity income (loss) — net during the six months ended June 29, 2012. This net gain was primarily related to our proportionate share of transaction gains recorded by an equity method investee, partially offset by our proportionate share of restructuring charges recorded by our equity method investees. In addition, the Company recorded a charge of \$14 million related to changes in the structure of BPW.

During the six months ended July 1, 2011, the Company recorded charges of \$4 million in equity income (loss) — net, primarily due to the Company's proportionate share of restructuring charges recorded by an equity method investee.





## Other Income (Loss) — Net

Three Months Ended June 29, 2012, versus Three Months Ended July 1, 2011

Other income (loss) — net includes, among other things, the impact of foreign currency exchange gains and losses; dividend income; rental income; gains and losses related to the disposal of property, plant and equipment; realized and unrealized gains and losses on trading securities; realized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities; other-than-temporary impairments of available-for-sale securities; and the accretion of expense related to certain acquisitions. The foreign currency exchange gains and losses are primarily the result of the remeasurement of monetary assets and liabilities from certain currencies into functional currencies. The effects of the remeasurement of these assets and liabilities are partially offset by the impact of our economic hedging program for certain exposures on our consolidated balance sheets. Refer to Note 5 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

During the three months ended June 29, 2012, other income (loss) — net was income of \$84 million, primarily related to a realized gain of \$92 million the Company recognized as a result of Coca-Cola FEMSA, S.A.B. de C.V. ("Coca-Cola FEMSA"), an equity method investee, issuing additional shares of its own stock at per share amounts greater than the carrying value of the Company's per share investment; dividend income of \$26 million; and gains and losses of \$9 million related to trading securities and the sale of available-for-sale securities. The favorable impact of the previous items was partially offset by net foreign currency exchange losses of \$33 million.

During the three months ended July 1, 2011, other income (loss) — net was income of \$362 million. This income was primarily related to a realized gain of \$418 million as a result of the merger of Arca and Contal, two bottling partners headquartered in Mexico, into a combined entity known as Arca Continental, S.A.B. de C.V. ("Arca Contal"). Prior to this transaction the Company held an investment in Contal that we accounted for under the equity method of accounting. The merger of the two companies was a non-cash transaction that resulted in Contal shareholders exchanging their existing Contal shares for new shares in Arca Contal at a specified exchange rate. As a result, the Company now holds an investment in Arca Contal that we account for as an available-for-sale security. This gain was partially offset by a charge of \$38 million due to the impairment of an investment in an entity accounted for under the equity method of accounting and net foreign currency exchange losses of \$16 million. Refer to Note 10 and Note 14 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information related to the merger of Arca and Contal as well as the impairment charge.

Six Months Ended June 29, 2012, versus Six Months Ended July 1, 2011

During the six months ended June 29, 2012, other income (loss) — net was income of \$133 million, primarily related to a realized gain of \$92 million the Company recognized as a result of Coca-Cola FEMSA, an equity method investee, issuing additional shares of its own stock at per share amounts greater than the carrying value of the Company's per share investment; dividend income of \$31 million; and gains and losses of \$23 million related to trading securities and the sale of available-for-sale securities. The favorable impact of the previous items was partially offset by net foreign currency exchange losses of \$11 million.

During the six months ended July 1, 2011, other income (loss) — net was income of \$479 million. This income was primarily related to a realized gain of \$418 million as a result of the merger of Arca and Contal in addition to a realized gain of \$102 million on the sale of the Company's investment in Coca-Cola Embonor, S.A. ("Embonor"), a bottling partner with operations primarily in Chile. These gains were partially offset by a charge of \$38 million due to the impairment of an investment in an entity accounted for under the equity method of accounting and net foreign currency exchange losses of \$18 million. Refer to Note 10 and Note 14 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information related to the merger of Arca and Contal, the sale of Embonor and the impairment charge.

## Income Taxes

Our effective tax rate reflects the benefits of having significant operations outside the United States, which are generally taxed at rates lower than the U.S. statutory rate of 35 percent. As a result of employment actions and capital investments made by the Company, certain tax jurisdictions provide income tax incentive grants, including Brazil, Costa Rica, Singapore and Swaziland. The terms of these grants expire from 2015 to 2020. We expect each of these grants to be renewed indefinitely. In addition, our effective tax rate reflects the benefits of having significant earnings generated in investments accounted for under the equity method of accounting, which are generally taxed at rates

lower than the U.S. statutory rate.

At the end of each interim period, we make our best estimate of the effective tax rate expected to be applicable for the full fiscal year. This estimate reflects, among other items, our best estimate of operating results and foreign currency exchange rates. Based on current tax laws, the Company's estimated effective tax rate for 2012 is 24.0 percent.

However, in arriving at this estimate we do not include the estimated impact of unusual and/or infrequent items, which may cause significant variations in the customary relationship between income tax expense and income before income taxes.

The Company recorded income tax expense of \$823 million (22.7 percent effective tax rate) and \$992 million (26.1 percent effective tax rate) during the three months ended June 29, 2012, and July 1, 2011, respectively. The Company recorded income tax expense of \$1,481 million (23.3 percent effective tax rate) and \$1,592 million (25.2 percent effective tax rate) during the six months ended June 29, 2012, and July 1, 2011, respectively. The following table illustrates the tax expense (benefit) associated with unusual and/or infrequent items for the interim periods presented (in millions):

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 29, 2012	July 1, 2011	June 29, 2012	July 1, 2011
Asset impairments	\$—	\$(15)	\$—	\$(15)
Productivity and reinvestment program	(20)	—	(44)	—
Other productivity, integration and restructuring initiatives	1	(34)	1	(86)
Transaction gains and losses	33	172	33	208
Certain tax matters	(25)	16	(33)	19
Other — net	(7)	(1)	(14)	(38)

Related to charges of \$54 million and \$118 million during the three and six months ended June 29, 2012,

<sup>1</sup> respectively. These charges were due to the Company's productivity and reinvestment program announced in February 2012. Refer to Note 10 and Note 11 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Related to charges of \$13 million and \$27 million during the three and six months ended June 29, 2012,

<sup>2</sup> respectively. These charges were primarily due to the Company's other restructuring initiatives that are outside the scope of the Company's productivity and reinvestment program announced in February 2012. Refer to Note 10 and Note 11 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Related to a gain of \$92 million the Company realized as a result of Coca-Cola FEMSA, an equity method investee,

<sup>3</sup> issuing additional shares of its own stock during the period at a per share amount greater than the carrying amount of the Company's per share investment. Refer to Note 10 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Related to a net tax benefit primarily associated with the reversal of a valuation allowance in one of the Company's

<sup>4</sup> foreign jurisdictions as well as amounts required to be recorded for changes to our uncertain tax positions, including interest and penalties. See below for additional details related to the change in the Company's uncertain tax positions.

Related to a charge of \$18 million that consisted of a net charge of \$1 million due to our proportionate share of restructuring charges and a transaction gain recorded by certain of our equity method investees; charges of \$11

<sup>5</sup> million associated with changes in the structure of BPW; and charges of \$6 million associated with the Company's orange juice supply in the United States. Refer to Note 10 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Related to a net tax benefit primarily associated with the reversal of valuation allowances in the Company's foreign

<sup>6</sup> jurisdictions, partially offset by amounts required to be recorded for changes to our uncertain tax positions, including interest and penalties. See below for additional details related to the change in the Company's uncertain tax positions.

Related to a net charge of \$3 million. This net charge is primarily due to a net gain of \$43 million related to our proportionate share of transaction gains and restructuring charges recorded by certain of our equity method investees, partially offset by charges of \$20 million associated with changes in the Company's ready-to-drink tea

<sup>7</sup> strategy as a result of our current U.S. license agreement with Nestlé terminating at the end of 2012; charges of \$14 million associated with changes in the structure of BPW; and charges of \$12 million associated with the Company's orange juice supply in the United States. Refer to Note 10 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Related to a \$38 million charge due to the impairment of an entity accounted for under the equity method of

<sup>8</sup> accounting. Refer to Note 10.

<sup>9</sup>

Related to charges of \$121 million and \$283 million during the three and six months ended July 1, 2011, primarily due to our productivity, integration and restructuring initiatives. These productivity and integration initiatives were outside the scope of the Company's productivity and reinvestment program announced in February 2012. Refer to Note 10 and Note 11 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

<sup>10</sup> Related to a net gain of \$391 million, primarily due to the gain on the merger of Arca and Contal, partially offset by costs associated with the merger. Refer to Note 10 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

<sup>11</sup> Related to amounts required to be recorded for changes to our uncertain tax positions, including interest and penalties. The components of the net change in uncertain tax positions were individually insignificant.

<sup>12</sup> Related to a net charge of \$4 million, primarily due to charges related to the earthquake and tsunami that devastated northern and eastern Japan; our proportionate share of restructuring charges recorded by an equity method investee; and a net gain on the repurchase of certain long-term debt we assumed in connection with our acquisition of CCE's former North America business. Refer to Note 10 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

<sup>13</sup> Related to a net gain of \$493 million, primarily due to the gain on the merger of Arca and Contal and the gain on the sale of our investment in Embonor, partially offset by costs associated with the merger of Arca and Contal. Refer to Note 10 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

<sup>14</sup> Related to a net charge of \$111 million, primarily due to charges related to the earthquake and tsunami that devastated northern and eastern Japan; the amortization of favorable supply contracts acquired in connection with our acquisition of CCE's former North America business; our proportionate share of restructuring charges recorded by an equity method investee; and a net expense on the repurchase of certain long-term debt we assumed in connection with the CCE transaction. Refer to Note 10 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

During the six months ended June 29, 2012, the Company made a change in judgment about one of its tax positions as a result of an adverse court decision. The Company concluded that because of the court decision, the tax position had become uncertain and the tax benefits associated with the position could not be recognized for financial statement purposes. The litigation did not have a material impact on the Company's condensed consolidated statement of income for the six months ended June 29, 2012. However, as a result of this litigation, there has been a change in the balance of our unrecognized tax benefits, which is described further below.

As of June 29, 2012, the gross amount of unrecognized tax benefits was \$373 million. If the Company were to prevail on all uncertain tax positions, the net effect would be a benefit to the Company's effective tax rate of \$236 million, exclusive of any benefits related to interest and penalties. The remaining \$137 million, which was recorded as a deferred tax asset, primarily represents tax benefits that would be received in different tax jurisdictions in the event the Company did not prevail on all uncertain tax positions. A reconciliation of the changes in the gross balance of unrecognized tax benefits during the six months ended June 29, 2012, is as follows (in millions):

Balance of unrecognized tax benefits as of December 31, 2011	\$ 320	
Increase related to prior period tax positions	66	
Increase related to current period tax positions	13	
Decrease as a result of a lapse of the applicable statute of limitations	(7	)
Decrease from effects of foreign currency exchange rates	(19	)
Balance of unrecognized tax benefits as of June 29, 2012	\$ 373	

The Company had \$135 million and \$110 million in interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits accrued as of June 29, 2012, and December 31, 2011, respectively. The change in the accrued interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits did not have a material impact on the Company's condensed consolidated statements of income during the three and six months ended June 29, 2012.

It is expected that the amount of unrecognized tax benefits will change in the next 12 months; however, we do not expect the change to have a significant impact on our condensed consolidated statements of income or condensed consolidated balance sheets. The change may be the result of settlements of ongoing audits, statutes of limitations expiring or final settlements in matters that are the subject of litigation. At this time, an estimate of the range of the reasonably possible outcomes cannot be made.

The Company evaluates the recoverability of our deferred tax assets in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. We perform our recoverability tests on a quarterly basis, or more frequently, to determine whether it is more likely than not that any of our deferred tax assets will not be realized within their life cycle based on the available evidence. The Company's deferred tax valuation allowances are primarily a result of uncertainties regarding the future realization of recorded tax benefits on tax loss carryforwards from operations in various jurisdictions.

During the six months ended June 29, 2012, the Company changed its judgment on the realizability of certain deferred tax assets. As a result of considering recent significant positive evidence, including, among other things, a consistent pattern of positive earnings in the past three years as well as the future forecast, it was determined that a valuation allowance was no longer required for certain deferred tax assets primarily recorded on net operating losses in foreign jurisdictions. The decrease in these valuation allowances resulted in a tax benefit of \$20 million and \$153 million during the three and six months ended June 29, 2012, respectively. Furthermore, the Company currently believes it is reasonably possible that \$125 million to \$175 million of valuation allowances related to net operating losses in certain foreign jurisdictions may be reversed within the next 12 months.

## LIQUIDITY, CAPITAL RESOURCES AND FINANCIAL POSITION

We believe our ability to generate cash from operating activities is one of our fundamental financial strengths. Refer to the heading "Cash Flows from Operating Activities" below. The near-term outlook for our business remains strong, and we expect to generate substantial cash flows from operations throughout 2012. As a result of our expected cash flows from operations, we have significant flexibility to meet our financial commitments. The Company does not typically raise capital through the issuance of stock. Instead, we use debt financing to lower our overall cost of capital and increase our return on shareowners' equity. Refer to the heading "Cash Flows from Financing Activities" below. We have a history of borrowing funds domestically and continue to have the ability to borrow funds domestically at reasonable interest rates. Our debt financing includes the use of an extensive commercial paper program as part of our overall cash management strategy. The Company reviews its optimal mix of short-term and long-term debt regularly and may replace certain amounts of commercial paper, short-term debt and current maturities of long-term debt with new issuances of long-term debt in the future. In addition to the Company's cash balances, commercial paper program, and our ability to issue long-term debt, we also had \$6,400 million in lines of credit available for general corporate purposes, including commercial paper backup, as of June 29, 2012. These backup lines of credit expire at various times from 2012 through 2017.

We have significant operations outside the United States. Unit case volume outside the United States represented 80 percent of the Company's worldwide unit case volume for the six months ended June 29, 2012. We earn a substantial amount of our consolidated operating income and income before income taxes in foreign subsidiaries that either sell concentrate to our local bottling partners or, in certain instances, sell finished products directly to our customers to fulfill the demand for Company beverage products outside the United States. A significant portion of these foreign earnings is considered to be indefinitely reinvested in foreign jurisdictions where the Company has made, and will continue to make, substantial investments to support the ongoing development and growth of our international operations. Accordingly, no U.S. federal and state income taxes have been provided on the portion of our foreign earnings that is considered to be indefinitely reinvested in foreign jurisdictions. The Company's cash, cash equivalents, short-term investments and marketable securities held by our foreign subsidiaries totaled \$14.2 billion as of June 29, 2012. With the exception of an insignificant amount, for which U.S. federal and state income taxes have already been provided, we do not intend, nor do we foresee a need, to repatriate these funds. Additionally, the absence of a government-approved market mechanism to convert local currency to U.S. dollars in Argentina and Venezuela restricts the Company's ability to pay dividends from these locations. The Company's subsidiaries in Argentina and Venezuela held approximately \$450 million of cash, cash equivalents, short-term investments and marketable securities as of June 29, 2012.

Net operating revenues in the United States were \$9.7 billion for the six months ended June 29, 2012, or 40 percent of the Company's consolidated net operating revenues. We expect existing domestic cash, cash equivalents, short-term investments, marketable securities, cash flows from operations and the issuance of domestic debt to continue to be sufficient to fund our domestic operating activities and cash commitments for investing and financing activities. In addition, we expect existing foreign cash, cash equivalents, short-term investments, marketable securities and cash flows from operations to continue to be sufficient to fund our foreign operating activities and cash commitments for investing activities.

In the future, should we require more capital to fund significant discretionary activities in the United States than is generated by our domestic operations and is available through the issuance of domestic debt, we could elect to repatriate future periods' earnings from foreign jurisdictions. This alternative could result in a higher effective tax rate in the future. While the likelihood is remote, the Company could also elect to repatriate earnings from foreign jurisdictions that have previously been considered to be indefinitely reinvested. Upon distribution of those earnings in the form of dividends or otherwise, the Company would be subject to additional U.S. income taxes (net of an adjustment for foreign tax credits) and withholding taxes payable to various foreign jurisdictions, where applicable. This alternative could also result in a higher effective tax rate in the period in which such a determination is made to repatriate prior period foreign earnings. Refer to Note 14 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K for further information related to our income taxes and undistributed earnings of the Company's foreign subsidiaries.

Based on all of the aforementioned factors, the Company believes its current liquidity position is strong, and we will continue to meet all of our financial commitments for the foreseeable future.



#### Cash Flows from Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities for the six months ended June 29, 2012, and July 1, 2011, was \$4,178 million and \$3,642 million, respectively, an increase of 15 percent. This increase reflects higher receipts from customers, lower tax payments and the favorable impact of the Company discontinuing its temporary extension of credit terms in Japan. The favorable impact of the previous items was partially offset by the unfavorable impact of foreign currency exchange rates and an increase in contributions to our pension plans. Refer to the heading "Net Operating Revenues" above for additional information on the Company's net operating revenue growth, including the impact of foreign currency exchange rates.

The increase in cash payments to our pension plans is the result of the Company contributing \$990 million to these plans during the six months ended June 29, 2012. The Company's contributions primarily consisted of \$900 million to our U.S. pension plans and \$74 million to certain European pension plans whose assets are managed through one of our captive insurance companies. We anticipate making additional contributions of approximately \$30 million to our pension plans during the remainder of 2012. The Company contributed \$811 million to our pension plans during the six months ended July 1, 2011.

The Company discontinued the temporary extension of its credit terms in Japan during the first quarter of 2012. We originally extended our credit terms in Japan during the second quarter of 2011 as a result of the natural disasters that devastated portions of the country on March 11, 2011. This change resulted in an increase in cash from operations during the six months ended June 29, 2012.

Furthermore, sales of our ready-to-drink nonalcoholic beverages are somewhat seasonal, with the second and third calendar quarters accounting for the highest sales volumes. This seasonality has historically resulted in a greater use of working capital for our finished product operations during the first two calendar quarters of the year as they build their inventories and prepare for higher sales volumes in the second and third calendar quarters.

#### Cash Flows from Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities for the six months ended June 29, 2012, and July 1, 2011, was \$8,560 million and \$2,328 million, respectively. Net cash used in investing activities increased \$6,232 million for the six months ended June 29, 2012, when compared to the six months ended July 1, 2011. This increase was primarily related to a change in the Company's overall cash management program. In an effort to manage counterparty risk and diversify our assets, the Company began to make additional investments in high-quality securities. These investments are primarily classified as available-for-sale securities. Refer to Note 3 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information. Refer to the headings "Purchases of Other Investments" and "Proceeds from Disposals of Bottling Companies and Other Investments" below for the impact this change had on our condensed consolidated statements of cash flows. Refer to the heading "Financial Position" below for the impact this change had on our condensed consolidated balance sheets.

#### Short-Term Investments

In the six months ended June 29, 2012, purchases of short-term investments were \$4,843 million, and proceeds from disposals of short-term investments were \$1,092 million. This activity resulted in a net cash outflow of \$3,751 million. In the six months ended July 1, 2011, purchases of short-term investments were \$3,901 million, and proceeds from disposals of short-term investments were \$2,908 million. This activity resulted in a net cash outflow of \$993 million. These short-term investments are time deposits that have maturities greater than three months but less than one year and are classified in the line item short-term investments in our condensed consolidated balance sheets.

#### Acquisitions and Investments

Net cash used in investing activities for the six months ended June 29, 2012, included acquisitions and investments of \$756 million, primarily related to our investment in Aujan, one of the largest independent bottling companies in the Middle East, and our acquisition of bottling operations in Vietnam, Cambodia and Guatemala.

Net cash used in investing activities for the six months ended July 1, 2011, included acquisitions and investments of \$260 million, primarily related to our acquisition of the remaining ownership interest of Honest Tea, Inc. ("Honest Tea") not already owned by the Company. In addition, the Company recorded an immaterial cash payment for the finalization of working capital adjustments related to our acquisition of CCE's former North America business.

Refer to Note 2 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further information related to our Company's acquisition and investment activities.

#### Purchases of Other Investments

During the six months ended June 29, 2012, purchases of other investments were \$3,778 million, primarily due to a change in the Company's overall cash management program. In an effort to manage counterparty risk and diversify our assets, the Company began to make additional investments in high-quality securities. Refer to Note 3 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

During the six months ended July 1, 2011, the Company's purchases of other investments were \$7 million.

#### Proceeds from Disposals of Bottling Companies and Other Investments

During the six months ended June 29, 2012, proceeds from disposals of bottling companies and other investments were \$957 million. These proceeds resulted from the sale of investments associated with the Company's cash and risk management programs and were not related to the disposal of bottling companies. Refer to Note 2 and Note 3 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

During the six months ended July 1, 2011, proceeds from disposals of bottling companies and other investments were \$395 million. These proceeds were primarily related to the sale of our investment in Embonor for \$394 million. Refer to Note 2 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

#### Purchases of Property, Plant and Equipment — Net

Purchases of property, plant and equipment (net of disposals) for the six months ended June 29, 2012, were \$1,248 million. The Company currently expects our 2012 full year capital expenditures to range between \$3.0 billion and \$3.2 billion as we continue to integrate CCE's former North America business and make investments to further enhance our operational effectiveness.

During the six months ended July 1, 2011, cash outflows for investing activities included purchases of property, plant and equipment (net of disposals) of \$1,144 million.

#### Cash Flows from Financing Activities

Our financing activities include net borrowings, share issuances and share repurchases. Net cash provided by financing activities during the six months ended June 29, 2012, totaled \$1,148 million. Net cash provided by financing activities during the six months ended July 1, 2011, totaled \$30 million.

#### Debt Financing

Issuances and payments of debt included both short-term and long-term financing activities. On June 29, 2012, we had \$6,400 million in lines of credit available for general corporate purposes, including commercial paper backup, all of which were unused and available. These backup lines of credit expire at various times from 2012 through 2017.

During the six months ended June 29, 2012, the Company had issuances of debt of \$21,964 million, which included \$19,217 million of issuances of commercial paper and short-term debt with maturities greater than 90 days. The Company's total issuances of debt also included long-term debt issuances of \$2,747 million, net of related discounts and issuance costs. Refer below for additional details on our long-term debt issuance.

The Company made payments of debt of \$18,101 million during the six months ended June 29, 2012, which included \$1,006 million of net payments of commercial paper and short-term debt with maturities of 90 days or less and \$15,198 million of payments related to commercial paper and short-term debt with maturities greater than 90 days. In addition, the Company made payments of long-term debt of \$1,897 million, which included the retirement of \$1,250 million of long-term notes upon their maturity.

During the first quarter of 2012, the Company issued \$2,750 million of long-term debt. The general terms of the notes issued are as follows:

\$1,000 million total principal amount of notes due March 14, 2014, at a variable interest rate equal to the three-month LIBOR minus 0.05 percent;

\$1,000 million total principal amount of notes due March 13, 2015, at a fixed interest rate of 0.75 percent; and

\$750 million total principal amount of notes due March 14, 2018, at a fixed interest rate of 1.65 percent.

As of June 29, 2012, the carrying value of the Company's long-term debt included \$674 million of fair value adjustments related to the debt assumed in connection with our acquisition of CCE's former North America business. These fair value adjustments will be amortized over a weighted-average period of approximately 17 years, which is equal to the weighted-average maturity of the assumed debt to which these fair value adjustments relate. The amortization of these fair value adjustments will be a reduction of interest expense in future periods, which will typically result in our interest expense being less than the actual interest paid to service the debt.

During the six months ended July 1, 2011, the Company had issuances of debt of \$12,699 million and payments of debt of \$9,963 million. The issuances of debt included \$12,675 million of issuances of commercial paper and short-term debt with maturities greater than 90 days and \$24 million of issuances of long-term debt. The payments of debt during the six months ended July 1, 2011, included \$635 million of net payments of commercial paper and short-term debt with maturities of 90 days or less and \$7,789 million of payments related to commercial paper and short-term debt with maturities greater than 90 days. In addition, the Company had payments of long-term debt of \$1,538 million.

On March 4, 2011, the Company repurchased all of our outstanding U.K. pound sterling notes that we assumed in connection with our acquisition of CCE's former North America business. The repurchased debt had a carrying value of \$674 million on the settlement date, which included \$106 million in unamortized fair value adjustments recorded as part of our purchase accounting. In addition, during the three months ended July 1, 2011, the Company repurchased certain other long-term debt that we assumed in connection with the CCE transaction. The debt repurchased during the three months ended July 1, 2011, had a carrying value of \$42 million and included \$12 million in unamortized fair value adjustments recorded as part of our purchase accounting. The Company recorded a net charge of \$3 million in interest expense during the six months ended July 1, 2011, related to our debt repurchases described above. This net charge was primarily due to the change in fair value from the date we assumed the debt until the date it was repurchased in addition to premiums paid to repurchase the debt.

#### Issuances of Stock

During the six months ended June 29, 2012, the Company had issuances of stock of \$995 million, an increase of \$193 million when compared to \$802 million of stock issuances during the six months ended July 1, 2011. This increase was related to the exercise of stock options by Company employees.

#### Share Repurchases

During the six months ended June 29, 2012, the Company repurchased 34.6 million shares of common stock under the stock repurchase plan authorized by our Board of Directors. These shares were repurchased at an average cost of \$73.09 per share, for a total cost of \$2,531 million. However, due to the timing of settlements, the total cash outflow for treasury stock purchases was \$2,610 million during the six months ended June 29, 2012. The total cash outflow for treasury stock during the first six months of 2012 includes treasury stock that was purchased and settled during the six months ended June 29, 2012, as well as treasury stock purchased in December 2011 that settled in early 2012; however, it does not include treasury stock that was purchased but did not settle during the six months ended June 29, 2012. In addition, the cash flow impact of the Company's treasury stock activity also includes shares surrendered to the Company to satisfy tax withholding obligations in connection with so-called stock swap exercises of employee stock options and/or the vesting of restricted stock issued to employees. The impact of the Company's issuances of stock and share repurchases during the six months ended June 29, 2012, resulted in a net cash outflow of \$1,615 million. During 2012, the Company expects to purchase between \$2.5 billion and \$3.0 billion of treasury shares, net of proceeds from the issuance of stock due to the exercise of employee stock options.

On July 10, 2012, the shareowners of the Company approved an amendment to the Company's certificate of incorporation to increase the number of authorized shares of common stock from 5.6 billion to 11.2 billion and effect a two-for-one stock split of the common stock. The record date for the stock split is expected to be July 27, 2012. Each shareowner of record on the close of business on the record date will receive one additional share of common stock for each share held. The new shares are expected to be distributed on or about August 10, 2012. The stock split will not affect the targeted range of share repurchases for the full year.

The Company repurchased 17.2 million shares of common stock under stock repurchase plans authorized by our Board of Directors during the six months ended July 1, 2011. These shares were repurchased at an average cost of

\$64.81 per share, for a total cost of \$1,114 million. However, due to the timing of settlements, the total cash outflow for treasury stock purchases during the six months ended July 1, 2011, was \$1,371 million.

Dividends

The Company paid dividends of \$1,155 million and \$2,143 million during the six months ended June 29, 2012, and July 1, 2011, respectively. The Company's dividend for the second quarter of 2012 was paid on July 2, 2012, and totaled \$1,149 million. As a result of timing, the Company only paid one quarter of dividends during the six months ended June 29, 2012, and paid two quarters of dividends during the six months ended July 1, 2011.

### Foreign Exchange

Our international operations are subject to certain opportunities and risks, including foreign currency fluctuations and governmental actions. We closely monitor our operations in each country and seek to adopt appropriate strategies that are responsive to changing economic and political environments, and to fluctuations in foreign currencies.

Our Company conducts business in more than 200 countries. Due to our global operations, weaknesses in the currencies of some of these countries are often offset by strengths in others. Our foreign currency management program is designed to mitigate, over time, a portion of the potentially unfavorable impact of exchange rate changes on net income and earnings per share. Taking into account the effects of our hedging activities, the impact of changes in foreign currency exchange rates decreased our consolidated operating income for the three and six months ended June 29, 2012, by 6 percent and 4 percent, respectively, compared to the same periods in the prior year. As a result of the U.S. dollar continuing to strengthen against other currencies, including many of those that we do not traditionally hedge, the Company expects foreign currency exchange rates to have a negative impact on our consolidated results through the end of the year. Based on the anticipated benefits of the hedging coverage we have in place and the currently forecasted foreign currency exchange rates for the remainder of 2012, the Company expects fluctuations in foreign currencies to have an 8 percent to 9 percent negative impact on our consolidated operating income for the third quarter. In addition, we expect fluctuations in foreign currencies to negatively impact our full year consolidated operating income at the high end of a mid single-digit range.

The absence of a government-approved market mechanism to convert local currency to U.S. dollars in Argentina and Venezuela restricts the Company's ability to pay dividends from retained earnings. As of June 29, 2012, cash held by our Argentine and Venezuelan subsidiaries accounted for approximately 3 percent of the combined total of our consolidated cash, cash equivalents, short-term investments and marketable securities.

The Company will continue to manage its foreign currency exposures to mitigate, over time, a portion of the impact of exchange rate changes on net income and earnings per share. Refer to Note 5 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on the Company's foreign currency management program.

## Financial Position

The following table illustrates the change in the individual line items of the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheet as of June 29, 2012, compared to our consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2011 (in millions):

	June 29, 2012	December 31, 2011	Increase (Decrease)	Percentage Change	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$9,337	\$12,803	\$(3,466)	(27)	)%
Short-term investments	4,807	1,088	3,719	342	
Marketable securities	2,822	144	2,678	1,860	
Trade accounts receivable — net	5,397	4,920	477	10	
Inventories	3,587	3,092	495	16	
Prepaid expenses and other assets	3,375	3,450	(75)	(2)	)
Equity method investments	7,838	7,233	605	8	
Other investments, principally bottling companies	1,398	1,141	257	23	
Other assets	3,663	3,495	168	5	
Property, plant and equipment — net	15,174	14,939	235	2	
Trademarks with indefinite lives	6,473	6,430	43	1	
Bottlers' franchise rights with indefinite lives	7,738	7,770	(32)	0	)
Goodwill	12,357	12,219	138	1	
Other intangible assets	1,183	1,250	(67)	(5)	)
Total assets	\$85,149	\$79,974	\$5,175	6	%
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$9,775	\$9,009	\$766	9	%
Loans and notes payable	15,964	12,871	3,093	24	
Current maturities of long-term debt	128	2,041	(1,913)	(94)	)
Accrued income taxes	615	362	253	70	
Long-term debt	16,390	13,656	2,734	20	
Other liabilities	4,658	5,420	(762)	(14)	)
Deferred income taxes	4,990	4,694	296	6	
Total liabilities	\$52,520	\$48,053	\$4,467	9	%
Net assets	\$32,629	\$31,921	\$708	<sup>1</sup> 2	%

<sup>1</sup> Includes a decrease in net assets of \$799 million resulting from foreign currency translation adjustments in various balance sheet accounts.

The increases/(decreases) in the table above include the impact of the following transactions and events:

Cash and cash equivalents decreased \$3,466 million, or 27 percent, primarily due to a change in the Company's overall cash management program which resulted in more of our cash balances being transferred into short-term investments as well as high-quality marketable securities. As a result of this change in strategy, short-term investments increased \$3,719 million and marketable securities increased \$2,678 million.

Inventories increased \$495 million, or 16 percent, primarily due to the higher cost of raw materials as well as an increase in inventory levels associated with the peak summer selling season.

Equity method investments increased \$605 million, or 8 percent, primarily due to the Company's investment in Aujan, one of the largest independent beverage companies in the Middle East. Refer to Note 2 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

Loans and notes payable increased \$3,093 million, or 24 percent, primarily due to an increase in the Company's commercial paper balances.

Current maturities of long-term debt decreased \$1,913 million, or 94 percent, primarily due to the Company retiring \$1,250 million of long-term debt upon maturity on May 15, 2012. Refer to Note 6 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

Long-term debt increased \$2,734 million, or 20 percent, primarily due to the Company's issuance of long-term debt during the first quarter of 2012. Refer to the heading "Cash Flows from Financing Activities" above and Note 6 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

Other liabilities decreased \$762 million, or 14 percent, primarily due to the Company's contributions to our pension plans. Refer to Note 12 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.



### Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We have no material changes to the disclosure on this matter made in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

### Item 4. Controls and Procedures

#### Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company, under the supervision and with the participation of its management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's "disclosure controls and procedures" (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of June 29, 2012.

#### Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended June 29, 2012, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

#### Additional Information

The Company is in the process of several productivity and transformation initiatives that include redesigning several key business processes in a number of areas. As business processes change related to these transformation initiatives, the Company identifies, documents and evaluates controls to ensure controls over our financial reporting remain strong.

## Part II. Other Information

### Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Information regarding reportable legal proceedings is contained in Part I, "Item 3. Legal Proceedings" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. The following updates and restates descriptions of reportable legal proceedings in which there have been developments during the three months ended June 29, 2012.

#### Aqua-Chem Litigation

On December 20, 2002, the Company filed a lawsuit (The Coca-Cola Company v. Aqua-Chem, Inc., Civil Action No. 2002CV631-50) in the Superior Court of Fulton County, Georgia (the "Georgia Case"), seeking a declaratory judgment that the Company has no obligation to its former subsidiary, Aqua-Chem, Inc., now known as Cleaver-Brooks, Inc. ("Aqua-Chem"), for any past, present or future liabilities or expenses in connection with any claims or lawsuits against Aqua-Chem. Subsequent to the Company's filing but on the same day, Aqua-Chem filed a lawsuit (Aqua-Chem, Inc. v. The Coca-Cola Company, Civil Action No. 02CV012179) in the Circuit Court, Civil Division of Milwaukee County, Wisconsin (the "Wisconsin Case"). In the Wisconsin Case, Aqua-Chem sought a declaratory judgment that the Company is responsible for all liabilities and expenses not covered by insurance in connection with certain of Aqua-Chem's general and product liability claims arising from occurrences prior to the Company's sale of Aqua-Chem in 1981, and a judgment for breach of contract in an amount exceeding \$9 million for costs incurred by Aqua-Chem to date in connection with such claims. The Wisconsin Case initially was stayed, pending final resolution of the Georgia Case, and later was voluntarily dismissed without prejudice by Aqua-Chem. The Company owned Aqua-Chem from 1970 to 1981. During that time, the Company purchased over \$400 million of insurance coverage, which also insures Aqua-Chem for some of its prior and future costs for certain product liability and other claims. The Company sold Aqua-Chem to Lyonnaise American Holding, Inc., in 1981 under the terms of a stock sale agreement. The 1981 agreement, and a subsequent 1983 settlement agreement, outlined the parties' rights and obligations concerning past and future claims and lawsuits involving Aqua-Chem. Cleaver-Brooks, a division of Aqua-Chem, manufactured boilers, some of which contained asbestos gaskets. Aqua-Chem was first named as a defendant in asbestos lawsuits in or around 1985 and currently has approximately 40,000 active claims pending against it.

The parties agreed in 2004 to stay the Georgia Case pending the outcome of insurance coverage litigation filed by certain Aqua-Chem insurers on March 26, 2004. In the coverage action, five plaintiff insurance companies filed suit (Century Indemnity Company, et al. v. Aqua-Chem, Inc., The Coca-Cola Company, et al., Case No. 04CV002852) in

the Circuit Court, Civil Division of Milwaukee County, Wisconsin, against the Company, Aqua-Chem and 16 insurance companies. Several of the policies that were the subject of the coverage action had been issued to the Company during the period (1970 to 1981) when the Company owned Aqua-Chem. The complaint sought a determination of the respective rights and obligations under the insurance policies issued with regard to asbestos-related claims against Aqua-Chem. The action also sought a monetary judgment reimbursing any amounts paid by the plaintiffs in excess of their obligations. Two of the insurers, one with a

\$15 million policy limit and one with a \$25 million policy limit, asserted cross-claims against the Company, alleging that the Company and/or its insurers are responsible for Aqua-Chem's asbestos liabilities before any obligation is triggered on the part of the cross-claimant insurers to pay for such costs under their policies.

Aqua-Chem and the Company filed and obtained a partial summary judgment determination in the coverage action that the insurers for Aqua-Chem and the Company were jointly and severally liable for coverage amounts, but reserving judgment on other defenses that might apply. During the course of the Wisconsin insurance coverage litigation, Aqua-Chem and the Company reached settlements with several of the insurers, including plaintiffs, who have paid or will pay funds into an escrow account for payment of costs arising from the asbestos claims against Aqua-Chem. On July 24, 2007, the Wisconsin trial court entered a final declaratory judgment regarding the rights and obligations of the parties under the insurance policies issued by the remaining defendant insurers, which judgment was not appealed. The judgment directs, among other things, that each insurer whose policy is triggered is jointly and severally liable for 100 percent of Aqua-Chem's losses up to policy limits. The court's judgment concluded the Wisconsin insurance coverage litigation.

The Company and Aqua-Chem continued to pursue and obtain coverage agreements for the asbestos-related claims against Aqua-Chem with those insurance companies that did not settle in the Wisconsin insurance coverage litigation. The Company agreed on a term sheet with three of those insurers in 2010 and anticipated that a settlement agreement would be effective in May 2011, but such insurers repudiated their settlement commitments and, as a result, Aqua-Chem and the Company filed suit in Wisconsin state court to enforce the settlement agreement and the 2010 term sheet and to obtain a declaratory judgment validating Aqua-Chem and the Company's interpretation of the court's judgment in the 2004 Wisconsin insurance coverage litigation.

In February 2012, the parties argued a number of cross-motions for summary judgment related to the issues of the enforceability of the settlement agreement and the term sheet and exhaustion of policies underlying those issued by the defendant insurers. The court granted defendants' motion for summary judgment that the 2011 settlement agreement is not enforceable, but held over for trial several of the plaintiffs' claims for enforcement of the term sheet agreed to by the parties in 2010. The parties to this case have also resolved several substantive coverage issues that were once in the case. This should ensure coverage of Aqua-Chem asbestos claims without any gap in insurance coverage for approximately the next ten years. The remaining issues in the case are set for trial in September 2012. Whether or not Aqua-Chem and the Company prevail in the coverage-in-place settlement litigation, these three insurance companies will remain subject to the court's judgment in the 2004 Wisconsin insurance coverage litigation. The Georgia Case remains subject to the stay agreed to in 2004.

#### Item 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to the other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Part I, "Item 1A. Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, which could materially affect our business, financial condition or future results. The risks described in this report and in our Annual Report on Form 10-K are not the only risks facing our Company. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or future results.

## Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

The following table presents information with respect to purchases of common stock of the Company made during the three months ended June 29, 2012, by The Coca-Cola Company or any "affiliated purchaser" of The Coca-Cola Company as defined in Rule 10b-18(a)(3) under the Exchange Act:

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased <sup>1</sup>	Average Price Paid Per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of the Publicly Announced Plan <sup>2</sup>	Maximum Number of Shares That May Yet Be Purchased Under the Publicly Announced Plan
March 31, 2012 through April 27, 2012	1,635,079	\$74.27	1,635,000	61,331,572
April 28, 2012 through May 25, 2012	8,529,316	\$76.43	8,417,500	52,914,072
May 26, 2012 through June 29, 2012	5,601,910	\$75.12	5,565,000	47,349,072
Total	15,766,305	\$75.74	15,617,500	

<sup>1</sup> The total number of shares purchased includes: (i) shares purchased pursuant to the 2006 Plan described in footnote 2 below; and (ii) shares surrendered to the Company to pay the exercise price and/or to satisfy tax withholding obligations in connection with so-called stock swap exercises of employee stock options and/or the vesting of restricted stock issued to employees, totaling 79 shares, 111,816 shares and 36,910 shares for the fiscal months of April, May and June 2012, respectively.

<sup>2</sup> On July 20, 2006, we publicly announced that our Board of Directors had authorized a plan (the "2006 Plan") for the Company to purchase up to 300 million shares of our Company's common stock. This column discloses the number of shares purchased pursuant to the 2006 Plan during the indicated time periods (including shares purchased pursuant to the terms of pre-set trading plans meeting the requirements of Rule 10b5-1 under the Exchange Act).

## Item 6. Exhibits

In reviewing the agreements included as exhibits to this report, please remember they are included to provide you with information regarding their terms and are not intended to provide any other factual or disclosure information about the Company or the other parties to the agreements. The agreements contain representations, warranties, covenants and conditions by or of each of the parties to the applicable agreement. These representations, warranties, covenants and conditions have been made solely for the benefit of the other parties to the applicable agreement and:

- should not in all instances be treated as categorical statements of fact, but rather as a way of allocating the risk to one of the parties if those statements prove to be inaccurate;
- may have been qualified by disclosures that were made to the other party in connection with the negotiation of the applicable agreement, which disclosures are not necessarily reflected in the agreement;
- may apply standards of materiality in a way that is different than what may be viewed as material to you or other investors; and
- were made only as of the date of the applicable agreement or such other date or dates as may be specified in the agreement and are subject to more recent developments.

Accordingly, these representations, warranties, covenants and conditions may not describe the actual state of affairs as of the date they were made or at any other time. Additional information about the Company may be found elsewhere in this report and the Company's other public filings, which are available without charge through the Securities and Exchange Commission's website at <http://www.sec.gov>.

Exhibit No.

(With regard to applicable cross-references in the list of exhibits below, the Company's Current, Quarterly and Annual Reports are filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") under File No. 001-02217.)

- 3.1 Certificate of Incorporation of the Company, including Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation, effective May 1, 1996 — incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 1996.
- 3.2 By-Laws of the Company, as amended and restated through April 17, 2008 — incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 27, 2008.
- 4.1 As permitted by the rules of the SEC, the Company has not filed certain instruments defining the rights of holders of long-term debt of the Company or consolidated subsidiaries under which the total amount of securities authorized does not exceed 10 percent of the total assets of the Company and its consolidated subsidiaries. The Company agrees to furnish to the SEC, upon request, a copy of any omitted instrument.
- 4.2 Amended and Restated Indenture, dated as of April 26, 1988, between the Company and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, as successor to Bankers Trust Company, as trustee — incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (Registration No. 33-50743) filed on October 25, 1993.
- 4.3 First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of February 24, 1992, to Amended and Restated Indenture, dated as of April 26, 1988, between the Company and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, as successor to Bankers Trust Company, as trustee — incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (Registration No. 33-50743) filed on October 25, 1993.
- 4.4 Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of November 1, 2007, to Amended and Restated Indenture, dated as of April 26, 1988, as amended, between the Company and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, as successor to Bankers Trust Company, as trustee — incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.3 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 5, 2009.
- 4.5 Form of Note for 5.350% Notes due November 15, 2017 — incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed October 31, 2007.
- 4.6 Form of Note for 3.625% Notes due March 15, 2014 — incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.4 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 5, 2009.
- 4.7 Form of Note for 4.875% Notes due March 15, 2019 — incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.5 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 5, 2009.
- 4.8 Form of Note for Floating Rate Notes due May 15, 2012 — incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed November 18, 2010.
- 4.9 Form of Note for 0.750% Notes due November 15, 2013 — incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed November 18, 2010.
- 4.10 Form of Note for 1.500% Notes due November 15, 2015 — incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.6 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed November 18, 2010.
- 4.11 Form of Note for 3.150% Notes due November 15, 2020 — incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.7 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed November 18, 2010.
- 4.12 Form of Registration Rights Agreement among the Company, the representatives of the initial purchasers of the Notes and the other parties named therein — incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed August 8, 2011.
- 4.13 Form of Note for 1.80% Notes due September 1, 2016 - incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.13 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2011.
- 4.14 Form of Note for 3.30% Notes due September 1, 2021 - incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.14 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2011.
- 4.15 Form of Note for Floating Rate Notes due March 14, 2014 - incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 14, 2012.
- 4.16 Form of Note for 0.750% Notes due March 13, 2015 - incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 14, 2012.

Edgar Filing: COCA COLA CO - Form 10-Q

- 4.17 Form of Note for 1.650% Notes due March 14, 2018 - incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.6 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 14, 2012.
- 12.1 Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges.
- 31.1 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification, executed by Muhtar Kent, Chairman of the Board of Directors, Chief Executive Officer and President of The Coca-Cola Company.
- 31.2 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification, executed by Gary P. Fayard, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of The Coca-Cola Company.

60

---

32.1 Certifications required by Rule 13a-14(b) or Rule 15d-14(b) and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code (18 U.S.C. Section 1350), executed by Muhtar Kent, Chairman of the Board of Directors, Chief Executive Officer and President of The Coca-Cola Company, and by Gary P. Fayard, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of The Coca-Cola Company.

101 The following financial information from The Coca-Cola Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 29, 2012, formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the three and six months ended June 29, 2012, and July 1, 2011, (ii) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three and six months ended June 29, 2012, and July 1, 2011, (iii) Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 29, 2012, and December 31, 2011, (iv) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 29, 2012, and July 1, 2011, and (v) the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

61

---

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

THE COCA-COLA COMPANY  
(REGISTRANT)

/s/ KATHY N. WALLER  
Kathy N. Waller  
Vice President and Controller  
(On behalf of the Registrant and  
as Chief Accounting Officer)

Date: July 26, 2012



EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit No.

(With regard to applicable cross-references in the list of exhibits below, the Company's Current, Quarterly and Annual Reports are filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") under File No. 001-02217.)

- 3.1 Certificate of Incorporation of the Company, including Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation, effective May 1, 1996 — incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 1996.
- 3.2 By-Laws of the Company, as amended and restated through April 17, 2008 — incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 27, 2008.
- 4.1 As permitted by the rules of the SEC, the Company has not filed certain instruments defining the rights of holders of long-term debt of the Company or consolidated subsidiaries under which the total amount of securities authorized does not exceed 10 percent of the total assets of the Company and its consolidated subsidiaries. The Company agrees to furnish to the SEC, upon request, a copy of any omitted instrument.
- 4.2 Amended and Restated Indenture, dated as of April 26, 1988, between the Company and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, as successor to Bankers Trust Company, as trustee — incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (Registration No. 33-50743) filed on October 25, 1993.
- 4.3 First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of February 24, 1992, to Amended and Restated Indenture, dated as of April 26, 1988, between the Company and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, as successor to Bankers Trust Company, as trustee — incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (Registration No. 33-50743) filed on October 25, 1993.
- 4.4 Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of November 1, 2007, to Amended and Restated Indenture, dated as of April 26, 1988, as amended, between the Company and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, as successor to Bankers Trust Company, as trustee — incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.3 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 5, 2009.
- 4.5 Form of Note for 5.350% Notes due November 15, 2017 — incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed October 31, 2007.
- 4.6 Form of Note for 3.625% Notes due March 15, 2014 — incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.4 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 5, 2009.
- 4.7 Form of Note for 4.875% Notes due March 15, 2019 — incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.5 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 5, 2009.
- 4.8 Form of Note for Floating Rate Notes due May 15, 2012 — incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed November 18, 2010.
- 4.9 Form of Note for 0.750% Notes due November 15, 2013 — incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed November 18, 2010.
- 4.10 Form of Note for 1.500% Notes due November 15, 2015 — incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.6 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed November 18, 2010.
- 4.11 Form of Note for 3.150% Notes due November 15, 2020 — incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.7 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed November 18, 2010.
- 4.12 Form of Registration Rights Agreement among the Company, the representatives of the initial purchasers of the Notes and the other parties named therein — incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed August 8, 2011.
- 4.13 Form of Note for 1.80% Notes due September 1, 2016 - incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.13 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2011.
- 4.14 Form of Note for 3.30% Notes due September 1, 2021 - incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.14 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2011.
- 4.15 Form of Note for Floating Rate Notes due March 14, 2014 - incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 14, 2012.

- 4.16 Form of Note for 0.750% Notes due March 13, 2015 - incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 14, 2012.
- 4.17 Form of Note for 1.650% Notes due March 14, 2018 - incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.6 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 14, 2012.
- 12.1 Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges.
- 31.1 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification, executed by Muhtar Kent, Chairman of the Board of Directors, Chief Executive Officer and President of The Coca-Cola Company.
- 31.2 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification, executed by Gary P. Fayard, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of The Coca-Cola Company.

32.1 Certifications required by Rule 13a-14(b) or Rule 15d-14(b) and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code (18 U.S.C. Section 1350), executed by Muhtar Kent, Chairman of the Board of Directors, Chief Executive Officer and President of The Coca-Cola Company, and by Gary P. Fayard, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of The Coca-Cola Company.

101 The following financial information from The Coca-Cola Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 29, 2012, formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the three and six months ended June 29, 2012, and July 1, 2011, (ii) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three and six months ended June 29, 2012, and July 1, 2011, (iii) Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 29, 2012, and December 31, 2011, (iv) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 29, 2012, and July 1, 2011, and (v) the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

64