

Edgar Filing: Och-Ziff Capital Management Group LLC - Form 10-Q

Och-Ziff Capital Management Group LLC
Form 10-Q
May 05, 2015

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended March 31, 2015
Commission File Number 001-33805

OCH-ZIFF CAPITAL MANAGEMENT GROUP LLC
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Delaware
(State of Incorporation)

26-0354783
(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

9 West 57th Street, New York, New York 10019
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)
Registrant's telephone number: (212) 790-0041

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act:

Large accelerated filer	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>
Non-accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>	Smaller reporting company	<input type="checkbox"/>

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ☐ No ☒

As of May 1, 2015, there were 176,193,990 Class A Shares and 301,874,006 Class B Shares outstanding.

OCH-ZIFF CAPITAL MANAGEMENT GROUP LLC
TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
PART I — FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1. <u>Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	5
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2015, and December 31, 2014</u>	5
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 and 2014</u>	6
<u>Consolidated Statement of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2015</u>	7
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 and 2014</u>	8
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	10
Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	35
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	66
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	68
PART II — OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	69
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	69
Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	104
Item 3. <u>Defaults upon Senior Securities</u>	104
Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	104
Item 5. <u>Other Information</u>	104
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	104
<u>Signatures</u>	105

Defined Terms	
2007 Offerings	Refers collectively to our IPO and the concurrent private offering of approximately 38.1 million Class A Shares to DIC Sahir Limited, a wholly owned indirect subsidiary of Dubai Holding LLC
2011 Offering	Our public offering of 33.3 million Class A Shares in November 2011
active executive managing directors	Executive managing directors who remain active in our business
Annual Report	Our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014, dated February 23, 2015 and filed with the SEC
Class A Shares	Our Class A Shares, representing Class A limited liability company interests of Och-Ziff Capital Management Group LLC, which are publicly traded and listed on the NYSE
Class B Shares	Class B Shares of Och-Ziff Capital Management Group LLC, which are not publicly traded, are currently held solely by our executive managing directors and have no economic rights but entitle the holders thereof to one vote per share together with the holders of our Class A Shares
CLOs	Collateralized loan obligations
Exchange Act	Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended
executive managing directors	The current limited partners of the Och-Ziff Operating Group entities other than our intermediate holding companies, including our founder, Daniel S. Och, and, except where the context requires otherwise, include certain limited partners who are no longer active in the business of the Company
GAAP	U.S. generally accepted accounting principles
intermediate holding companies	Refers collectively to Och-Ziff Corp and Och-Ziff Holding, both of which are wholly owned subsidiaries of Och-Ziff Capital Management Group LLC
Institutional Credit Strategies	Our asset management platform that invests in performing credits, including leveraged loans, high-yield bonds, private credit/bespoke financing and investment grade credit via CLOs and other customized solutions
IPO	Our initial public offering of 36.0 million Class A Shares that occurred in November 2007
NYSE	New York Stock Exchange
Och-Ziff, the Company, the firm, we, us, our	Refers, unless the context requires otherwise, to Och-Ziff Capital Management Group LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, and its consolidated subsidiaries, including the Och-Ziff Operating Group

Edgar Filing: Och-Ziff Capital Management Group LLC - Form 10-Q

Och-Ziff Corp	Och-Ziff Holding Corporation, a Delaware corporation
Och-Ziff funds, funds	The multi-strategy, opportunistic credit, real estate and equity funds, Institutional Credit Strategies products and other alternative investment vehicles for which we provide asset management services
Och-Ziff Holding	Och-Ziff Holding LLC, a Delaware limited liability company

Och-Ziff Operating Group	Refers collectively to OZ Management, OZ Advisors I and OZ Advisors II, and their consolidated subsidiaries
OZ Advisors I	OZ Advisors LP, a Delaware limited partnership
OZ Advisors II	OZ Advisors II LP, a Delaware limited partnership
OZ Management	OZ Management LP, a Delaware limited partnership
Registrant	Och-Ziff Capital Management Group LLC, a Delaware limited liability company
Reorganization	The reorganization of our business that took place prior to the 2007 Offerings
SEC	U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission
Securities Act	Securities Act of 1933, as amended
Special Investments	Investments that we, as investment manager, believe lack a readily ascertainable market value, are illiquid or should be held until the resolution of a special event or circumstance
Ziffs	Refers collectively to Ziff Investors Partnership, L.P. II and certain of its affiliates and control persons

Available Information

Och-Ziff Capital Management Group LLC files annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy statements and other information required by the Exchange Act with the SEC. We make available free of charge on our website (www.ozcap.com) our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, proxy statements and any amendments to those filings as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with or furnished to the SEC. Also posted on our website in the “Public Investors – Corporate Governance” section are charters for our Audit Committee; Compensation Committee; and Nominating, Corporate Governance and Conflicts Committee, as well as our Corporate Governance Guidelines and Code of Business Conduct and Ethics governing our directors, officers and employees. Information on, or accessible through, our website is not a part of, and is not incorporated into, this report or any other SEC filing. Copies of our SEC filings or corporate governance materials are available without charge upon written request to Och-Ziff Capital Management Group LLC, 9 West 57th Street, New York, New York 10019, Attention: Office of the Secretary.

Any materials we file with the SEC are also publicly available through the SEC’s website (www.sec.gov) or may be read and copied at the SEC’s Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20549. Information on the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330.

Forward-Looking Statements

Some of the statements under “Part I — Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations,” which we refer to as the “MD&A,” “Part I — Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk,” and “Part II — Item 1A. Risk Factors” and elsewhere in this quarterly report may contain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, which we refer to as the “Securities Act,” and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, which we refer to as the “Exchange Act,” that reflect our current views with respect to, among other things, future events and financial performance. We generally identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as “outlook,” “believe,” “expect,” “potential,” “continue,” “may,” “will,” “should,” “could,” “seek,” “approximately,” “predict,” “intend,” “plan,” “estimate,” “an opportunity,” “comfortable,” “assume,” “remain,” “maintain,” “sustain,” “achieve,” “see,” “think,” “position” or the negative those words or other comparable words.

Any forward-looking statements contained herein are based upon historical information and on our current plans, estimates and expectations. The inclusion of this or other forward-looking information should not be regarded as a representation by us or any other person that the future plans, estimates or expectations contemplated by us will be achieved.

We caution that forward-looking statements are subject to numerous assumptions, estimates, risks and uncertainties, including but not limited to the following: global economic, business, market and geopolitical conditions; U.S. and foreign regulatory developments relating to, among other things, financial institutions and markets, government oversight, fiscal and tax policy; conditions impacting the alternative asset management industry; our ability to retain existing investor capital; our ability to successfully compete for fund investors, assets, professional talent and investment opportunities; our ability to retain our active executive managing directors, managing directors and other investment professionals; our successful formulation and execution of our business and growth strategies; our ability to appropriately manage conflicts of interest and tax and other regulatory factors relevant to our business; and assumptions relating to our operations, investment performance, financial results, financial condition, business prospects, growth strategy and liquidity.

If one or more of these or other risks or uncertainties materialize, or if our assumptions or estimates prove to be incorrect, our actual results may vary materially from those indicated in these statements. These factors are not and should not be construed as exhaustive and should be read in conjunction with the other cautionary statements and risks that are included in our filings with the SEC, including but not limited to our Annual Report.

There may be additional risks, uncertainties and factors that we do not currently view as material or that are not known. The forward-looking statements contained in this report are made only as of the date of this report. We do not undertake to update any forward-looking statement because of new information, future developments or otherwise.

PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

OCH-ZIFF CAPITAL MANAGEMENT GROUP LLC

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS — UNAUDITED

	March 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
	(dollars in thousands)	
Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$283,211	\$250,603
Income and fees receivable	74,527	440,327
Due from related parties	2,237	4,963
Deferred income tax assets	815,695	835,385
Other assets, net (includes assets measured at fair value of \$36,982 and \$36,969 as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively)	214,189	212,428
Assets of consolidated Och-Ziff funds:		
Investments, at fair value	8,087,919	7,456,134
Other assets of Och-Ziff funds	198,639	103,046
Total Assets	\$9,676,417	\$9,302,886
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity		
Liabilities		
Due to related parties	\$702,932	\$702,905
Debt obligations	451,187	447,887
Compensation payable	20,760	238,489
Other liabilities	91,223	95,747
Liabilities of consolidated Och-Ziff funds:		
Notes payable of consolidated CLOs, at fair value	5,767,296	5,227,411
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	274,250	302,266
Other liabilities of Och-Ziff funds	42,158	50,333
Total Liabilities	7,349,806	7,065,038
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 14)		
Redeemable Noncontrolling Interests (Note 3)	708,813	545,771
Shareholders' Equity		
Class A Shares, no par value, 1,000,000,000 shares authorized, 176,191,916 and 175,946,555 shares issued and outstanding as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively		—
Class B Shares, no par value, 750,000,000 shares authorized, 301,874,006 and 301,884,116 shares issued and outstanding as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively		—
Paid-in capital	3,017,689	3,004,881
Appropriated retained deficit	(2,321)	(31,336)
Accumulated deficit	(3,323,376)	(3,264,304)
Shareholders' deficit attributable to Class A Shareholders	(308,008)	(290,759)
Shareholders' equity attributable to noncontrolling interests	1,925,806	1,982,836
Total Shareholders' Equity	1,617,798	1,692,077

Edgar Filing: Och-Ziff Capital Management Group LLC - Form 10-Q

Total Liabilities, Redeemable Noncontrolling Interests and Shareholders' Equity	\$9,676,417	\$9,302,886
---	-------------	-------------

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

5

OCH-ZIFF CAPITAL MANAGEMENT GROUP LLC

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME — UNAUDITED

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014
	(dollars in thousands)	
Revenues		
Management fees	\$ 165,943	\$ 158,770
Incentive income	57,110	52,093
Other revenues	461	446
Income of consolidated Och-Ziff funds	109,337	74,171
Total Revenues	332,851	285,480
Expenses		
Compensation and benefits	69,918	65,855
Reorganization expenses	4,017	4,021
Interest expense	5,245	1,666
General, administrative and other	49,835	35,912
Expenses of consolidated Och-Ziff funds	59,888	38,677
Total Expenses	188,903	146,131
Other Income		
Net gains on investments in Och-Ziff funds and joint ventures	117	5,483
Net gains of consolidated Och-Ziff funds	45,885	54,499
Total Other Income	46,002	59,982
Income Before Income Taxes	189,950	199,331
Income taxes	25,160	33,591
Consolidated and Comprehensive Net Income	\$ 164,790	\$ 165,740
Allocation of Consolidated and Comprehensive Net Income		
Class A Shareholders	\$ 25,871	\$ 23,852
Noncontrolling interests	133,353	132,065
Redeemable noncontrolling interests	5,566	9,823
	\$ 164,790	\$ 165,740
Earnings Per Class A Share		
Basic	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.14
Diluted	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.14
Weighted-Average Class A Shares Outstanding		
Basic	177,634,861	171,920,763
Diluted	180,156,745	175,925,367
Dividends Paid per Class A Share	\$ 0.47	\$ 1.12
See notes to consolidated financial statements.		

OCH-ZIFF CAPITAL MANAGEMENT GROUP LLC

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY — UNAUDITED

Och-Ziff Capital Management Group LLC Shareholders

	Number of Class A Shares	Number of Class B Shares	Paid-in Capital	Appropriated Retained Deficit	Accumulated Deficit	Shareholders' Deficit Attributable to Class A Shareholders	Shareholders' Equity Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests	Total Shareholders' Equity
(dollars in thousands)								
As of December 31, 2014	175,946,555	301,884,116	\$3,004,881	\$(31,336)	\$(3,264,304)	\$(290,759)	\$1,982,836	\$1,692,077
Capital contributions	—	—	—	—	—	—	107,231	107,231
Capital distributions	—	—	—	—	—	—	(279,428)	(279,428)
Cash dividends declared on Class A Shares	—	—	—	—	(82,774)	(82,774)	—	(82,774)
Dividend equivalents on Class A restricted share units	—	—	2,169	—	(2,169)	—	—	—
Equity-based compensation	245,361	(10,110)	9,119	—	—	9,119	15,300	24,419
Impact of changes in Och-Ziff Operating Group ownership (See Note 3)	—	—	39	—	—	39	(39)	—
Initial consolidation of CLOs	—	—	—	(6,968)	—	(6,968)	—	(6,968)
Allocation of income of consolidated CLOs	—	—	—	35,983	—	35,983	(35,983)	—
Impact of amortization of Reorganization charges on capital	—	—	1,481	—	—	1,481	2,536	4,017
Total comprehensive	—	—	—	—	25,871	25,871	133,353	159,224

net income,
excluding
amounts
allocated to
redeemable
noncontrolling
interests

As of
March 31, 2015 176,191,916 301,874,006 \$3,017,689 \$(2,321) \$(3,323,376) \$(308,008) \$1,925,806 \$1,617,798

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

OCH-ZIFF CAPITAL MANAGEMENT GROUP LLC
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS — UNAUDITED

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014
	(dollars in thousands)	
Cash Flows from Operating Activities		
Consolidated net income	\$ 164,790	\$ 165,740
Adjustments to reconcile consolidated net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Reorganization expenses	4,017	4,021
Amortization of equity-based compensation	28,796	27,130
Depreciation and amortization	2,149	1,828
Deferred income taxes	20,014	21,350
Operating cash flows due to changes in:		
Income and fees receivable	365,800	878,093
Due from related parties	2,726	2,581
Other assets, net	11,336	(9,673)
Due to related parties	27	(4,282)
Compensation payable	(220,204)	(292,383)
Other liabilities	468	14,067
Consolidated Och-Ziff funds related items:		
Net gains of consolidated Och-Ziff funds	(45,885)	(54,499)
Purchases of investments	(1,078,440)	(1,232,039)
Proceeds from sale of investments	979,132	1,082,074
Other assets of consolidated Och-Ziff funds	(48,585)	(10,324)
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	(28,016)	13,067
Other liabilities of consolidated Och-Ziff funds	(8,857)	(648)
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	149,268	606,103
Cash Flows from Investing Activities		
Purchases of fixed assets	(20,315)	(16,000)
Investment in Och-Ziff funds	(264)	(15,193)
Other, net	94	(2,167)
Net Cash Used in Investing Activities	(20,485)	(33,360)

OCH-ZIFF CAPITAL MANAGEMENT GROUP LLC
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS — UNAUDITED — (continued)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014
	(dollars in thousands)	
Cash Flows from Financing Activities		
Contributions from noncontrolling and redeemable noncontrolling interests	264,707	264,801
Distributions to noncontrolling and redeemable noncontrolling interests	(279,428)	(537,661)
Dividends on Class A Shares	(82,774)	(190,210)
Proceeds from debt obligations	3,606	16,000
Other, net	(2,286)	(4,466)
Net Cash Used in Financing Activities	(96,175)	(451,536)
Net Change in Cash and Cash Equivalents	32,608	121,207
Cash and Cash Equivalents, Beginning of Period	250,603	189,974
Cash and Cash Equivalents, End of Period	\$283,211	\$311,181
Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information		
Cash paid during the period:		
Interest	\$299	\$1,615
Income taxes	\$1,789	\$3,110
Non-cash transactions:		
Assets related to the initial consolidation of CLOs	\$497,579	\$—
Liabilities related to the initial consolidation of CLOs	\$504,547	\$—
See notes to consolidated financial statements.		

OCH-ZIFF CAPITAL MANAGEMENT GROUP LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — UNAUDITED
MARCH 31, 2015

1. OVERVIEW

Och-Ziff Capital Management Group LLC (the “Registrant”), a Delaware limited liability company, together with its consolidated subsidiaries (collectively, the “Company”), is a global alternative asset management firm with offices in New York, London, Hong Kong, Mumbai, Beijing, Dubai and Shanghai. The Company provides asset management services to its investment funds (the “Och-Ziff funds” or the “funds”), which pursue a broad range of global investment opportunities. The Company currently manages multi-strategy funds, dedicated credit funds, including opportunistic credit funds and Institutional Credit Strategies (as described below), real estate funds and other alternative investment vehicles.

The Company’s primary sources of revenues are management fees, which are based on the amount of the Company’s assets under management, and incentive income, which is based on the investment performance of its funds.

Accordingly, for any given period, the Company’s revenues will be driven by the combination of assets under management and the investment performance of the Och-Ziff funds.

The Company currently has two operating segments: the Och-Ziff Funds segment and the Company’s real estate business. The Och-Ziff Funds segment is currently the Company’s only reportable segment under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) and provides asset management services to the Company’s multi-strategy funds, dedicated credit funds and other alternative investment vehicles. Through Institutional Credit Strategies, the Company’s asset management platform that invests in performing credits, the Och-Ziff Funds segment manages collateralized loan obligations (“CLOs”) and other customized solutions for clients. The Company’s real estate business, which provides asset management services to its real estate funds, is included within Other Operations as it does not meet the threshold of a reportable business segment under GAAP.

The Company generates substantially all of its revenues in the United States. The liability of the Company’s Class A Shareholders is limited to the extent of their capital contributions.

The Company conducts substantially all of its operations through OZ Management LP (“OZ Management”), OZ Advisors LP (“OZ Advisors I”) and OZ Advisors II LP (“OZ Advisors II”) and their consolidated subsidiaries (collectively, the “Och-Ziff Operating Group”). References to the Company’s “executive managing directors” refer to the current limited partners of OZ Management, OZ Advisors and OZ Advisors II other than the Company’s intermediate holding companies, including the Company’s founder, Daniel S. Och, and, except where the context requires otherwise, include certain limited partners who are no longer active in the business of the Company. References to the Company’s “active executive managing directors” refer to executive managing directors who remain active in the Company’s business. References to the “Ziffs” refer collectively to Ziff Investors Partnership, L.P. II and certain of its affiliates and control persons. References to the Company’s “intermediate holding companies” refer, collectively, to Och-Ziff Holding Corporation (“Och-Ziff Corp”) and Och-Ziff Holding LLC, both of which are wholly owned subsidiaries of the Registrant.

2. BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Presentation

These unaudited, interim, consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with GAAP as set forth in the Financial Accounting Standards Board’s (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”), and should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements included in the Company’s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 (the “Annual Report”). In the opinion of management, all adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation of the Company’s unaudited, interim, consolidated financial statements have been included and are of a normal and recurring nature. The results of operations presented for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for any other interim period or for the entire year, primarily because of the majority of incentive income and discretionary cash bonuses being recorded in the fourth quarter each year. All significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

OCH-ZIFF CAPITAL MANAGEMENT GROUP LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — UNAUDITED
MARCH 31, 2015

Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

None of the changes to GAAP that went into effect in the three months ended March 31, 2015 has had a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Future Adoption of Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2014, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers. ASU 2014-09 supersedes the revenue recognition requirements in ASC 605—Revenue Recognition and most industry-specific revenue recognition guidance throughout the Codification. The core principle of the guidance is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. Entities are permitted to apply the guidance in ASU 2014-09 using one of the following methods: (1) full retrospective application to each prior period presented, or (2) modified retrospective application with a cumulative effect adjustment to opening retained earnings in the annual reporting period that includes that date of initial application. The requirements of ASU 2014-09 are effective for the Company beginning in the first quarter of 2017; however, the FASB in April 2015 issued a proposed ASU that would defer the effective date for the Company to the first quarter of 2018. The Company is currently evaluating the impact, if any, that this update will have on its consolidated financial statements.

In June 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-11, Repurchase-to-Maturity Transactions, Repurchase Financings, and Disclosures. ASU 2014-11 amends ASC 860—Transfers and Servicing to address the accounting for certain secured financing transactions. ASU 2014-11 also requires additional disclosures about certain transferred financial assets accounted for as sales, as well as those accounted for as secured financing transactions. The impact of ASU 2014-11 to the Company's consolidated financial statements is expected to be limited to the additional disclosures for transferred financial assets accounted for as financing transactions, which will be effective for the Company beginning in the second quarter of 2015.

In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-13, Measuring the Financial Assets and the Financial Liabilities of a Consolidated Collateralized Financing Entity. ASU 2014-13 amends ASC 810—Consolidation to address the measurement difference that may occur between the fair value, as determined under GAAP, of the financial assets and financial liabilities of a consolidated collateralized financing entity, such as a CLO. The new guidance provides a measurement alternative which allows entities to measure both the financial assets and financial liabilities of the consolidated collateralized financing entity using the more observable of the fair value of the financial assets and the fair value of the financial liabilities. The requirements of ASU 2014-13 are effective for the Company beginning in the first quarter of 2016 using a full retrospective or modified retrospective approach at adoption. The Company is currently evaluating the impact that this update will have on its consolidated financial statements.

In February 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-02, Amendments to the Consolidation Analysis. ASU 2015-02 significantly changes the consolidation analysis required under GAAP. Key changes include the following:

- The indefinite deferral from ASU 2010-10, Amendments to Statement 167 for Certain Investment Funds is eliminated.

- The presumption that a general partner should consolidate a limited partnership is eliminated. Limited partners other than interests held by the Company and its related parties must now have either substantive kick-out or participating rights in order for a limited partnership to qualify as a voting interest entity.

- Management fees and incentive income earned by the Company will no longer be considered variable interests where such fees are customary and commensurate with the level of effort required for services provided and where the Company and its related parties do not hold other interests in the variable interest entity ("VIE") that would absorb more than an insignificant amount of the variability of the VIE.

- The requirement that fees paid to the Company that are both customary and commensurate with the level of effort required for services provided be included in the determination of whether the Company absorbs variability of a VIE

when the Company also has a separate variable interest in that VIE is also eliminated.

When determining the primary beneficiary of a VIE, the Company will only need to consider its share of the economic exposure in the VIE held by related parties, unless the related party is under common control, in which case the variable interest held by the related party will be considered in its entirety.

OCH-ZIFF CAPITAL MANAGEMENT GROUP LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — UNAUDITED
MARCH 31, 2015

Entities are permitted to apply the guidance in ASU 2015-02 using one of the following methods: (1) full retrospective application to each prior period presented, or (2) modified retrospective application with a cumulative effect adjustment to opening retained earnings in the annual reporting period that includes that date of initial application. The requirements of ASU 2015-02 are effective for the Company beginning in the first quarter of 2016, with early adoption permitted in any interim period of 2015. The Company is currently evaluating the impact that this update will have on its consolidated financial statements.

ASU 2015-03, Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance costs. ASU 2015-03 simplifies the presentation of debt issuance costs by requiring that debt issuance costs related to a recognized debt liability be presented in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the carrying amount of that debt liability. The requirements of ASU 2015-03 are effective for the Company beginning in first quarter of 2016, with early adoption permitted. The impact on the Company will be limited to a reclassification of debt issuance costs from other assets to debt obligations in the Company's consolidated balance sheet. As of March 31, 2015, the amount of debt issuance costs within the scope of ASU 2015-03 and currently presented within other assets, net was \$6.9 million.

In May 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-07, Disclosures for Investments in Certain Entities That Calculate Net Asset Value per Share (or Its Equivalent). ASU 2015-07 removes the requirement to categorize within the fair value hierarchy all investments for which fair value is measured using the net asset value per share practical expedient. ASU 2015-07 will be effective for the Company beginning in the first quarter of 2016, with early adoption permitted, and will be applied retrospectively. The impact of ASU 2015-07 will be limited to disclosure of the level in the fair value hierarchy of investments held by the Company that are measured using net asset value per share during the periods presented.

None of the other changes to GAAP that are not yet effective are expected to have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

3. NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS

Noncontrolling interests represent ownership interests in the Company's subsidiaries held by parties other than the Company, and primarily relate to the Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units held by the Company's executive managing directors and the Ziffs (until they exchanged their remaining interests during the 2014 second quarter) as well as fund investors' interests in the consolidated Och-Ziff funds. Net income allocated to the Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units is driven by the earnings of the Och-Ziff Operating Group. Net income allocated to fund investors' interests in consolidated Och-Ziff funds is driven by the earnings of those funds, including the net difference in the fair value of CLO assets and liabilities that are subsequently reclassified to appropriated retained earnings on the consolidated balance sheets.

The following table presents the components of the net income allocated to noncontrolling interests:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014
	(dollars in thousands)	
Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units	\$80,932	\$73,581
Consolidated Och-Ziff funds	52,352	58,241
Other	69	243
	\$133,353	\$132,065

OCH-ZIFF CAPITAL MANAGEMENT GROUP LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — UNAUDITED
MARCH 31, 2015

The following table presents the components of the shareholders' equity attributable to noncontrolling interests:

	March 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
	(dollars in thousands)	
Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units	\$ 509,092	\$ 579,417
Consolidated Och-Ziff funds	1,413,502	1,401,495
Other	3,212	1,924
	\$ 1,925,806	\$ 1,982,836

The following table presents the activity in redeemable noncontrolling interests as presented in the consolidated balance sheets:

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2015
	(dollars in thousands)
Beginning balance	\$ 545,771
Capital contributions	157,476
Total net income	5,566
Ending Balance	\$ 708,813
Och-Ziff Operating Group Ownership	

The Company's interest in the Och-Ziff Operating Group increased to 36.9% as of March 31, 2015, from 36.8% as of December 31, 2014. Increases in the Company's interest in the Och-Ziff Operating Group are generally driven by the following: (i) the exchange of Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units for an equal number of Class A Shares, at which time the related Class B Shares are also canceled; (ii) the issuance of Class A Shares under the Company's Amended and Restated 2007 Equity Incentive Plan and 2013 Incentive Plan, primarily related to the vesting of Class A restricted share units ("RSUs"); and (iii) the forfeiture of Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units and related Class B Shares by a departing executive managing director. The Company's interest in the Och-Ziff Operating Group is expected to continue to increase over time as additional Class A Shares are issued upon the exchange of Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units and vesting of RSUs. These increases will be offset upon any conversion by an executive managing director of Och-Ziff Operating Group D Units, which are not considered equity for GAAP purposes, into Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units, at which time an equal number of Class B Shares is also issued to the executive managing director.

4. FAIR VALUE DISCLOSURES

Fair value represents the price that would be received upon the sale of an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants as of the measurement date (i.e., an exit price). Due to the inherent uncertainty of valuations of investments that are determined to be illiquid or do not have readily ascertainable fair values, the estimates of fair value may differ from the values ultimately realized, and those differences can be material.

GAAP prioritizes the level of market price observability used in measuring assets and liabilities at fair value. Market price observability is impacted by a number of factors, including the type of assets and liabilities and the specific characteristics of the assets and liabilities. Assets and liabilities with readily available, actively quoted prices or for which fair value can be measured from actively-quoted prices generally will have a higher degree of market price observability and lesser degree of judgment used in measuring fair value.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value are classified into one of the following categories:

-

Level I – Fair value is determined using quoted prices that are available in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. The types of assets and liabilities that would generally be included in this category are certain listed equities, U.S. Treasury obligations and certain listed derivatives.

OCH-ZIFF CAPITAL MANAGEMENT GROUP LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — UNAUDITED
MARCH 31, 2015

Level II – Fair value is determined using quotations received from dealers making a market for these assets or liabilities (“broker quotes”), valuations obtained from independent third-party pricing services, the use of models or other valuation methodologies based on pricing inputs that are either directly or indirectly market observable as of the measurement date. The types of assets and liabilities that would generally be included in this category are certain corporate bonds, certain credit default swap contracts, certain bank debt securities, certain commercial real estate debt, less liquid equity securities, forward contracts and certain over-the-counter (“OTC”) derivatives.

Level III – Fair value is determined using pricing inputs that are unobservable in the market and includes situations where there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability. The fair value of assets and liabilities in this category may require significant judgment or estimation in determining fair value of the assets or liabilities. The fair value of these assets and liabilities may be estimated using a combination of observed transaction prices, independent pricing services, relevant broker quotes, models or other valuation methodologies based on pricing inputs that are neither directly or indirectly market observable. The types of assets and liabilities that would generally be included in this category include real estate investments, equity and debt securities issued by private entities, limited partnerships, certain corporate bonds, certain credit default swap contracts, certain bank debt securities, certain commercial real estate debt, certain OTC derivatives, residential and commercial mortgage-backed securities, asset-backed securities, collateralized debt obligations, as well as the notes payable of consolidated CLOs.

In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, an asset or liability’s level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment and considers factors specific to the asset or liability.

Fair Value Measurements Categorized within the Fair Value Hierarchy

The following table summarizes the Company’s assets and liabilities (excluding the assets and liabilities of the consolidated funds) measured at fair value on a recurring basis within the fair value hierarchy as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014:

	Fair Value March 31, 2015	December 31, 2014	Fair Value Hierarchy
	(dollars in thousands)		
United States government obligations	\$36,982	\$36,969	Level 1
Financial Assets, at Fair Value, Included Within Other Assets, Net	\$36,982	\$36,969	

OCH-ZIFF CAPITAL MANAGEMENT GROUP LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — UNAUDITED
MARCH 31, 2015

Consolidated Funds

The assets and liabilities presented in the tables below belong to the investors in the consolidated funds. The Company has a minimal, if any, investment in these funds.

The following table summarizes the Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis within the fair value hierarchy as of March 31, 2015:

As of March 31, 2015

	Level I	Level II	Level III	Counterparty Netting of Derivative Contracts	Total
	(dollars in thousands)				
Bank debt	\$—	\$3,786,040	\$1,916,114	\$—	\$5,702,154
Real estate investments	—	—	720,550	—	720,550
Investments in affiliated opportunistic credit funds	—	—	764,993	—	764,993
Residential mortgage-backed securities	—	—	434,975	—	434,975
Collateralized debt obligations	—	—	150,963	—	150,963
Energy and natural resources limited partnerships	—	—	162,717	—	162,717
Commercial real estate debt	—	—	30,969	—	30,969
Corporate bonds	—	73,369	698	—	74,067
United States government obligations	17,687	—	—	—	17,687
Asset-backed securities	—	—	22,545	—	22,545
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	—	—	2,996	—	2,996
Other investments	—	1,134	2,218	(49)	3,303
Financial Assets, at Fair Value, Included Within Investments, at Fair Value	\$17,687	\$3,860,543	\$4,209,738	\$(49)	\$8,087,919
Senior secured notes payable of consolidated CLOs	\$—	\$—	\$5,298,366	\$—	\$5,298,366
Subordinated notes payable of consolidated CLOs	—	—	468,930	—	468,930
Notes payable of consolidated CLOs, at fair value	—	—	5,767,296	—	5,767,296
Other liabilities, included within other liabilities of Och-Ziff funds	7,561	—	98	(49)	7,610
Financial Liabilities, at Fair Value	\$7,561	\$—	\$5,767,394	\$(49)	\$5,774,906

OCH-ZIFF CAPITAL MANAGEMENT GROUP LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — UNAUDITED
MARCH 31, 2015

The following table summarizes the Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis within the fair value hierarchy as of December 31, 2014:

As of December 31, 2014					
	Level I	Level II	Level III	Counterparty Netting of Derivative Contracts	Total
(dollars in thousands)					
Bank debt	\$—	\$3,022,441	\$2,224,032	\$—	\$5,246,473
Real estate investments	—	—	645,916	—	645,916
Investments in affiliated opportunistic credit funds	—	—	628,913	—	628,913
Residential mortgage-backed securities	—	—	462,927	—	462,927
Collateralized debt obligations	—	—	173,746	—	173,746
Energy and natural resources limited partnerships	—	—	154,782	—	154,782
Commercial real estate debt	—	—	29,815	—	29,815
Corporate bonds	—	70,398	656	—	71,054
United States government obligations	15,000	—	—	—	15,000
Asset-backed securities	—	—	21,368	—	21,368
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	—	—	3,287	—	3,287
Other investments	2	705	2,151	(5) 2,853
Financial Assets, at Fair Value, Included Within Investments, at Fair Value	\$15,002	\$3,093,544	\$4,347,593	\$(5) \$7,456,134
Senior secured notes payable of consolidated CLOs	\$—	\$—	\$4,784,134	\$—	\$4,784,134
Subordinated notes payable of consolidated CLOs	—	—	443,277	—	443,277
Notes payable of consolidated CLOs, at fair value	—	—	5,227,411	—	5,227,411
Other liabilities, included within other liabilities of Och-Ziff funds	5,716	—	7	(5) 5,718
Financial Liabilities, at Fair Value	\$5,716	\$—	\$5,227,418	\$(5) \$5,233,129

OCH-ZIFF CAPITAL MANAGEMENT GROUP LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — UNAUDITED
MARCH 31, 2015

Reconciliation of Fair Value Measurements Categorized within Level III

The Company assumes that any transfers between Level I, Level II or Level III occur at the beginning of the reporting period presented. Amounts related to the initial consolidation of the Company's CLOs are included within investment purchases in the tables below.

The following table summarizes the changes in the Company's Level III assets and liabilities (excluding notes payable of consolidated CLOs) for the three months ended March 31, 2015:

	December 31, 2014	Transfers In	Transfers Out	Investment Purchases	Investment Sales	Derivative of Settlements	Net Gains (Losses) Consolidated Och-Ziff Funds	March 31, 2015
(dollars in thousands)								
Bank debt	\$2,224,032	\$207,339	\$(655,198)	\$365,750	\$(250,120)	\$—	\$24,311	\$1,916,114
Real estate investments	645,916	—	—	91,906	(34,310)	—	17,038	720,550
Investments in affiliated opportunistic credit funds	628,913	—	—	172,887	(47,653)	—	10,846	764,993
Residential mortgage-backed securities	462,927	—	—	11,791	(41,269)	—	1,526	434,975
Collateralized debt obligations	173,746	—	—	4,319	(35,454)	—	8,352	150,963
Energy and natural resources limited partnerships	154,782	—	—	9,697	(767)	—	(995)	162,717
Commercial real estate debt	29,815	—	—	1,232	(7)	—	(71)	30,969
Corporate bonds	656	—	—	146	—	—	(104)	698
Asset-backed securities	21,368	—	—	2,290	(974)	—	(139)	22,545
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	3,287	—	—	—	(302)	—	11	2,996
Other investments (including derivatives, net)	2,144	—	—	—	—	(161)	137	2,120
	\$4,347,586	\$207,339	\$(655,198)	\$660,018	\$(410,856)	\$(161)	\$60,912	\$4,209,640

OCH-ZIFF CAPITAL MANAGEMENT GROUP LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — UNAUDITED
MARCH 31, 2015

The following table summarizes the changes in the Company's Level III assets and liabilities (excluding notes payable of consolidated CLOs) for the three months ended March 31, 2014:

	December 31, 2013	Transfers In	Transfers Out	Investment Purchases	Investment Sales	Derivative of Settlements	Net Gains (Losses) Consolidated Och-Ziff Funds	March 31, 2014
(dollars in thousands)								
Bank debt	\$1,180,831	\$222,419	\$(196,218)	\$445,713	\$(332,171)	\$—	\$2,867	\$1,323,441
Real estate investments	633,311	—	—	15,314	(48,588)) —	19,999	620,036
Investments in affiliated opportunistic credit funds	188,454	—	—	240,105	(12,045)) —	14,594	431,108
Residential mortgage-backed securities	400,510	—	—	86,365	(104,866)) —	17,782	399,791
Collateralized debt obligations	205,026	—	—	51,306	(57,972)) —	12,463	210,823
Energy and natural resources limited partnerships	158,759	—	—	3,153	(14,191)) —	(1,212)) 146,509
Commercial real estate debt	93,445	—	—	40,286	(1,493)) —	299	132,537
Corporate bonds	817	—	—	—	—	—	32	849
Asset-backed securities	34,627	—	—	—	(8,207)) —	(912)) 25,508
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	20,530	—	—	—	(13,321)) —	2,617	9,826
Other investments (including derivatives, net)	2,492	69	—	1,455	(566)) 433	306	4,189
	\$2,918,802	\$222,488	\$(196,218)	\$883,697	\$(593,420)	\$433	\$68,835	\$3,304,617

Transfers out of Level III presented in the tables above resulted from the fair values of certain securities becoming market observable, with fair value determined using independent pricing services. Transfers into Level III presented in the table above resulted from the valuation of certain investments with decreased market observability, with fair values determined using broker quotes or independent pricing services. There were no transfers between Levels I and II during the period presented above.

OCH-ZIFF CAPITAL MANAGEMENT GROUP LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — UNAUDITED
MARCH 31, 2015

The table below summarizes the net change in unrealized gains and losses on the Company's Level III assets and liabilities (excluding notes payable of consolidated CLOs) held as of the reporting date. These gains and losses are included within net gains of consolidated Och-Ziff funds in the Company's consolidated statements of comprehensive income:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014
	(dollars in thousands)	
Bank debt	\$22,484	\$1,847
Real estate investments	8,786	16,883
Investments in affiliated opportunistic credit funds	(21,404)) 3,735
Residential mortgage-backed securities	(547)) 9,950
Collateralized debt obligations	3,852	7,046
Energy and natural resources limited partnerships	(995)) (1,391)
Commercial real estate debt	(71)) 226
Corporate bonds	(109)) 27
Asset-backed securities	(55)) (1,090)
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	(50)) (35)
Other investments (including derivatives, net)	(33)) 653
	\$11,858	\$37,851

The tables below summarize the changes in the notes payable of consolidated CLOs for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014. The amounts presented within net gains (losses) of consolidated Och-Ziff funds represent the net change in unrealized gains (losses) on the notes payable of consolidated CLOs, as none of these notes have been repaid as of March 31, 2015. These amounts all relate to liabilities still in existence as of each respective balance sheet date. Amounts related to the initial consolidation of the Company's CLOs are included within issuances in the tables below.

	December 31, 2014	Issuances	Net (Gains) Losses of Consolidated Och-Ziff Funds	March 31, 2015
	(dollars in thousands)			
Senior secured notes payable of consolidated CLOs	\$4,784,134	\$464,377	\$49,855	\$5,298,366
Subordinated notes payable of consolidated CLOs	443,277	39,487	(13,834)) 468,930
	\$5,227,411	\$503,864	\$36,021	\$5,767,296
	December 31, 2013	Issuances	Net Losses of Consolidated Och-Ziff Funds	March 31, 2014
	(dollars in thousands)			
Senior secured notes payable of consolidated CLOs	\$2,508,338	\$—	\$7,535	\$2,515,873
	255,639	—	943	256,582

Subordinated notes payable of consolidated
CLOs

\$2,763,977	\$—	\$8,478	\$2,772,455
-------------	-----	---------	-------------

Valuation Methodologies for Fair Value Measurements Categorized within Levels II and III

Real Estate Investments

Real estate investments are generally structured as equity, preferred equity, mezzanine debt, and participating debt in entities domiciled primarily in the United States and include investments in lodging, gaming, multifamily properties, retail, healthcare, distressed residential, senior housing, golf, parking, office buildings and land. The fair values of these investments are

OCH-ZIFF CAPITAL MANAGEMENT GROUP LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — UNAUDITED
MARCH 31, 2015

generally based upon discounting the expected cash flows from the investment or a cash flow multiple. In reaching the determination of fair value for investments, the Company considers many factors including, but not limited to: the operating cash flows and financial performance of the real estate investments relative to budgets or projections; property types; geographic locations; the physical condition of the asset; prevailing market capitalization rates; prevailing market discount rates; general economic conditions; economic conditions specific to the market in which the assets are located; the prevailing interest rate environment; the prevailing state of the debt markets; comparable public company trading multiples; independent third-party appraisals; available pricing data on comparable properties in the specific market in which the asset is located; expected exit timing and strategy; and any specific rights or terms associated with the investment.

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the Company's real estate investments are discount rates, cash flow growth rates, capitalization rates, the price per square foot, the absorption percentage per year and exit multiples. Significant increases (decreases) in the discount rates and capitalization rates in isolation would be expected to result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement. Significant increases (decreases) in the cash flow growth rates, the price per square foot, the absorption percentage per year and exit multiples in isolation would be expected to result in a significantly higher (lower) fair value measurement. A change in the assumption used for price per square foot is generally accompanied by a directionally inverse change in the absorption percentage per year.

Bank Debt; Residential and Commercial Mortgage-Backed Securities; Collateralized Debt Obligations; Commercial Real Estate Debt; Corporate Bonds; Asset-Backed Securities; Notes Payable of Consolidated CLOs

The fair value of investments in bank debt, residential and commercial mortgage-backed securities, collateralized debt obligations, commercial real estate debt, corporate bonds, asset-backed securities and notes payable of consolidated CLOs that do not have readily ascertainable fair values is generally determined using broker quotes or independent pricing services. For month-end valuations, the Company generally receives one to four broker quotes for each security, depending on the type of security being valued. These broker quotes are generally non-binding or indicative in nature. The Company verifies that these broker quotes are reflective of fair value as defined in GAAP generally through procedures such as comparison to independent pricing services, back testing procedures, review of stale pricing reports and performance of other due diligence procedures as may be deemed necessary. Historically, the Company has only adjusted a small number of broker quotes when used in determining final valuations for securities as a result of these procedures.

To the extent broker quotes are not available or deemed unreliable, the methods and procedures to value these investments may include, but are not limited to: obtaining and using other additional broker quotes deemed reliable; using independent pricing services; performing comparisons with prices of comparable or similar securities; obtaining valuation-related information from the issuers; calculating the present value of future cash flows; assessing other analytical data and information relating to these investments that is an indication of their value; obtaining information provided by third parties; reviewing the amounts invested in these investments; and evaluating financial information provided by the management of these investments. Market data is used to the extent that it is observable and considered reliable.

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the Company's bank debt, residential and commercial mortgage-backed securities, commercial real estate debt, corporate bonds and asset-backed securities that are not valued using broker quotes or independent pricing services are discount rates, credit spreads and yields. Significant increases (decreases) in the discount rates, credit spreads and yields in isolation would be expected to result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement.

Energy and Natural Resources Limited Partnerships

The fair value of energy and natural resources limited partnerships is generally determined using discounted cash flows when assets are producing oil or gas, or when it is reasonably certain that an asset will be capable of producing

oil or gas, or using recent financing for certain investments. Acreage with proven undeveloped, probable or possible reserves are valued using prevailing prices of comparable properties, and may include adjustments for other assets or liabilities such as seismic data, equipment, and cash held by the investee. Certain natural resource assets may also be valued using scenario analyses and sum of the parts analyses.

OCH-ZIFF CAPITAL MANAGEMENT GROUP LLC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — UNAUDITED

MARCH 31, 2015

The fair value for certain energy and natural resources limited partnership investments is based on the net asset value of the underlying fund. This amount represents a certain consolidated fund's investment into another fund managed by the Company. The investee invests primarily in energy and natural resource investments. The fund may not redeem its investment into the investee prior to liquidation. The fund will receive distributions from the investee as investments are sold, the timing of which cannot be estimated. The consolidated fund has \$43.7 million of unfunded commitments that will be funded by capital contributions from investors in the fund, as the Company is not an investor in the fund. The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the Company's energy and natural resources limited partnerships that are not measured using net asset value are discount rates, EBITDA multiples, price per acre, and production multiples. Significant increases (decreases) in the discount rates in isolation would be expected to result in a lower (higher) fair value measurement. Significant increases (decreases) in the EBITDA multiples, price per acre, and production multiples in isolation would be expected to result in a significantly higher (lower) fair value measurement.

Investments in Affiliated Opportunistic Credit Funds

The fair value of investments in affiliated opportunistic credit funds relates to consolidated feeder funds' investments into their related master funds. The Company is not an investor of these feeder funds or master funds. The fair value of these investments is based on the consolidated feeder funds' proportionate share of the respective master funds' net asset value. These master funds invest primarily in credit-related strategies. Approximately 86% of these investments can be redeemed and paid to investors in the feeder fund after an initial lock-up period of one to three years, after which the feeder fund may redeem its investment on a quarterly basis upon 90 days' prior written notice. The Company, as investment manager of the master fund, has the option (not exercised to date) to suspend redemptions in certain situations. The remaining 14% relates to a feeder fund that is not able to redeem its investment in the master fund prior to liquidation, the timing of which cannot be estimated. The feeder fund will receive distributions from the master fund as investments are sold, the timing of which cannot be estimated due to the illiquid nature of the investments held by the master fund. The consolidated feeder funds have \$52.4 million of unfunded commitments that will be funded by capital contributions from investors in the feeder funds, as the Company is not an investor in the feeder funds or master funds.

Information about Significant Inputs Used in Fair Value Measurements Categorized within Level III

The table below summarizes information about the significant unobservable inputs used in determining the fair value of the Level III assets and liabilities held by the consolidated funds as of March 31, 2015.

Type of Investment or Liability	Fair Value at March 31, 2015 (in thousands)	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Input	Range (Weighted-Average)
Bank debt	\$1,861,566	Independent pricing services	n/a	
	51,870	Yield analysis	Yield	11% to 16% (12%)
	2,678	Broker quotes	n/a	
Real estate investments	\$720,550	Discounted cash flow	Discount rate	5% to 30% (20%)
			Cash flow growth rate	-48% to 47% (1%)
			Capitalization rate	5% to 13% (8%)
			Price per square foot	\$52.96 to \$170.00 (\$147.97)
			Absorption rate per year	0.3% to 57.5% (11%)
			Exit multiple	6.4x
Investments in affiliated opportunistic	\$764,993	Net asset value	n/a	

credit funds						
Residential						
mortgage-backed	\$419,268	Broker quotes	n/a			
securities						
	10,726	Independent pricing	n/a			
		services				
	4,981	Discounted cash flow	Discount rate	20		%
			Credit spread	1225 bps		

OCH-ZIFF CAPITAL MANAGEMENT GROUP LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — UNAUDITED
MARCH 31, 2015

Type of Investment or Liability	Fair Value at March 31, 2015 (in thousands)	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Input	Range (Weighted-Average)
Collateralized debt obligations	\$132,279	Broker quotes	n/a	
	18,684	Independent pricing services	n/a	
Energy and natural resources limited partnerships	\$90,661	Net asset value	n/a	
	46,935	Scenario analysis	Discount rate EBITDA multiple Price per acre Production multiple (price per thousand cubic feet equivalent per day)	10% to 25% (19%) 5.0x to 6.0x (5.5x) \$1,750 \$6,500 to \$11,667 (\$9,122)
	21,358	Sum of the parts	Discount rate Price per acre	15 \$432
	3,760	Discounted cash flow	Discount rate	15
	3	Broker quotes	n/a	
Commercial real estate debt	\$30,969	Yield analysis	Yield	13% to 19% (14%)
Corporate bonds	\$360	Yield analysis	Yield	11
	338	Broker quotes	n/a	
Asset-backed securities	\$20,549	Broker quotes	n/a	
	1,996	Discounted cash flow	Discount rate	13
Commercial mortgaged-backed securities	\$2,996	Broker quotes	n/a	
Senior secured notes payable of consolidated CLOs	\$5,298,366	Broker quotes	n/a	
Subordinated notes payable of consolidated CLOs	\$468,930	Broker quotes	n/a	

The table below summarizes information about the significant unobservable inputs used in determining the fair value of the Level III assets and liabilities held by the consolidated funds as of December 31, 2014.

Type of Investment or Liability	Fair Value at December 31, 2014 (in thousands)	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Input	Range (Weighted-Average)
Bank debt	\$2,165,152	Independent pricing services	n/a	
	52,107	Yield analysis	Yield	11% to 15% (12%)
	6,773	Broker quotes	n/a	

Edgar Filing: Och-Ziff Capital Management Group LLC - Form 10-Q

Real estate investments	\$638,896	Discounted cash flow	Discount rate	10% to 30%	(20%)
			Cash flow growth rate	-48% to 39%	(1%)
			Capitalization rate	5% to 13%	(8%)
			Price per square foot	\$55.22 to	
			Absorption rate per year	\$400.00	(\$151.33)
			Exit multiple	1% to 58%	(13%)
	7,020	Broker quotes	n/a	6.4x	
Investments in affiliated opportunistic credit funds	\$628,913	Net asset value	n/a		
Residential mortgage-backed securities	\$456,815	Broker quotes	n/a		
	6,112	Discounted cash flow	Discount rate	20	%
			Credit spread	1225 bps	
Collateralized debt obligations	\$173,746	Broker quotes	n/a		

OCH-ZIFF CAPITAL MANAGEMENT GROUP LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — UNAUDITED
MARCH 31, 2015

Type of Investment or Liability	Fair Value at December 31, 2014 (in thousands)	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Input	Range (Weighted-Average)
Energy and natural resources limited partnerships	\$88,873	Net asset value	n/a	
	40,350	Scenario analysis	Discount rate EBITDA multiple Price per acre Production multiple (price per thousand cubic feet equivalent per day)	10% to 25% (18%) 5.0x to 6.3x (5.6x) \$1,750 \$6,500 to \$10,000 (\$8,252)
	20,925	Sum of the parts	Discount rate Price per acre	15 \$425
	4,632	Discounted cash flow	Discount rate	15
	2	Broker quotes	n/a	
Commercial real estate debt	\$29,815	Yield analysis	Yield	13% to 18% (14%)
Corporate bonds	\$403	Yield analysis	Yield	13
	253	Broker quotes	n/a	
Asset-backed securities	\$18,775	Broker quotes	n/a	
	2,593	Discounted cash flow	Discount rate	12
Commercial mortgaged-backed securities	\$3,287	Broker quotes	n/a	
Senior secured notes payable of consolidated CLOs	\$4,784,134	Broker quotes	n/a	
Subordinated notes payable of consolidated CLOs	\$443,277	Broker quotes	n/a	

Valuation Process for Fair Value Measurements Categorized within Level III

The Company has established an internal control infrastructure over the valuation of financial instruments that includes ongoing oversight by its Financial Controls Group and Valuation Committee, as well as periodic audits by the Company's Internal Audit Group. These control functions are segregated from the trading and investing functions. The Valuation Committee is responsible for establishing the valuation policy and monitors compliance with the valuation policy, ensuring that all of the funds' investments reflect fair values, as well as providing oversight of the valuation process. These valuation policies and procedures include, but are not limited to the following: determining the pricing sources used to value specific investment classes; the selection of independent pricing services; the periodic review of due diligence materials of independent pricing services; and the fair value hierarchy coding of the funds' investments. The Valuation Committee reviews a variety of reports on a monthly basis, which include, but are not limited to the following: summaries of the sources used to determine the value of the funds' investments; summaries of the fair value hierarchy of the funds' investments; and variance reports that compare the values of investments to independent pricing services. The Valuation Committee is comprised of non-investment professionals,

and may obtain input from investment professionals for consideration in carrying out its responsibilities.

The Financial Controls Group is responsible for compliance with the valuation policies, performing price verification and preparing the monthly valuation reports reviewed by the Valuation Committee. The Financial Controls Group's other responsibilities include, but are not limited to the following: preparation and distribution of daily profit and loss reports; overseeing the collection and evaluation of counterparty prices, broker-dealer quotations, exchange prices and third party pricing feeds; performing back testing by comparing prices observed in executed transactions to previous day valuations and/or pricing service providers on a weekly and monthly basis; performing due diligence reviews on independent pricing services on an annual or as needed basis; and assisting the Valuation Committee in developing valuation policies.

The Internal Audit Group employs a risk-based program of audit coverage that is designed to provide an assessment of the design and effectiveness of controls over the Company's operations, regulatory compliance, valuation of financial instruments

OCH-ZIFF CAPITAL MANAGEMENT GROUP LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — UNAUDITED
MARCH 31, 2015

and reporting. Additionally, the Internal Audit Group meets periodically with management and the Audit Committee of the Company's Board of Directors to evaluate and provide guidance on the existing risk framework and control environment assessments.

Monthly procedures have been established for Level III investments, which include comparing unobservable inputs to observable inputs for similar positions, reviewing subsequent market activities, performing comparisons of actual versus projected performance indicators, and discussing the valuation methodology, including pricing techniques when applicable, with investment professionals. Independent pricing services may be used to corroborate the Company's internal valuations. Investment professionals and members of the Financial Controls Group review a daily profit and loss report, as well as other periodic reports that analyze the profit and loss and related asset class exposure of the funds' investments.

Fair Value of Other Financial Instruments

Management estimates that the carrying value of the Company's other financial instruments, primarily its Notes and Aircraft Loan (as defined in Note 9), approximated their fair values as of March 31, 2015. These fair value measurements would be categorized as Level III within the fair value hierarchy.

5. BALANCE SHEET OFFSETTING

In the ordinary course of business, certain consolidated funds have entered into certain transactions that are subject to master agreements that provide for payment netting and that, in the case of a default or similar event with respect to the counterparty to the master agreement, provide for netting across transactions. Generally, upon a counterparty default, the fund can terminate all transactions under the master agreement and set off amounts it owes across all transactions under a particular master agreement against collateral it has received under such master agreement; provided, however, that in the case of certain defaults, the fund may only be able to terminate and setoff solely with respect to the transactions affected by the default. Generally, the funds party to these agreements manage cash and securities collateral on a counterparty basis as permitted under each master agreement.

The table below presents the repurchase agreements that are set off, if any, as well as securities transferred to counterparties related to those repurchase agreements (capped so that the net amount presented will not be reduced below zero). No other material financial instruments were subject to master netting agreements or other similar agreements.

Securities Sold Under Agreements to Repurchase	Gross Amounts of Recognized Liabilities	Gross Amounts Offset in the Consolidated Balance Sheet	Net Amounts of Liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheet	Securities Transferred	Net Amount
(dollars in thousands)					
As of March 31, 2015	\$274,250	\$—	\$274,250	\$274,250	\$—
As of December 31, 2014	\$302,266	\$—	\$302,266	\$302,266	\$—

6. VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES

In the ordinary course of business, the Company sponsors the formation of funds that are considered VIEs. The Company generally serves as the general partner or the investment or collateral manager with decision-making rights for these entities. Substantially all of the funds that are VIEs managed by the Company qualify for the deferral granted under ASU 2010-10, Amendments to Statement 167 for Certain Investment Funds. Accordingly, the Company's determination of whether it is the primary beneficiary of a fund that is a VIE is generally based on identifying the variable interest holder that is exposed to the majority of the expected losses or receives a majority of the expected residual returns. Fund investors are entitled to substantially all of the economics of these VIEs with the exception of management fees and incentive income, if any, earned by the Company. Accordingly, the determination of whether

the Company is the primary beneficiary of these entities is not impacted by changes in the underlying assumptions made regarding future results or expected cash flows of these VIEs.

The deferral granted in ASU 2010-10 does not apply to CLOs. Accordingly, the determination of whether the Company is the primary beneficiary that would consolidate a CLO is based on a qualitative assessment to determine whether the Company,

OCH-ZIFF CAPITAL MANAGEMENT GROUP LLC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — UNAUDITED

MARCH 31, 2015

as collateral manager, has (i) the power to direct the activities of the CLO that most significantly impact its economic performance, and (ii) the obligations to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits from the CLO that could potentially be significant to the CLO. The Company acts as collateral manager for CLOs that are VIEs. CLOs are entities that issue collateralized notes that offer investors the opportunity for returns that vary commensurately with the risk they assume. The notes issued by the CLOs are generally backed by asset portfolios consisting of loans or other debt. The Company receives collateral management fees for acting as collateral manager to the CLOs, and may earn incentive income based on the performance of the CLOs, subject to hurdle rates.

After considering the purpose and design of the CLOs, the Company determined that when it launches its CLOs, it possesses the power to direct the activities of the CLOs that most significantly impact the CLOs' economic performance through its role in managing collateral and credit risk as the collateral manager. In addition, the Company determined that when it launches its CLOs, it has the right to receive benefits from the CLOs that could potentially be significant, on a quantitative and qualitative basis, because of the management fees and incentive income the Company could earn from the CLOs. As a result, the Company consolidates the CLOs it manages upon launch. The following table presents the assets and liabilities of CLOs and other funds, such as certain of the Company's real estate and credit funds, that are VIEs and consolidated by the Company:

	March 31, 2015		December 31, 2014	
	CLOs	Other Funds	CLOs	Other Funds
(dollars in thousands)				
Assets				
Assets of consolidated Och-Ziff funds:				
Investments, at fair value	\$5,647,118	\$1,125,918	\$5,190,994	\$944,997
Other assets of Och-Ziff funds	153,799	14,577	45,166	14,041
Total Assets	\$5,800,917	\$1,140,495	\$5,236,160	\$959,038
Liabilities				
Liabilities of consolidated Och-Ziff funds:				
Notes payable of consolidated CLOs, at fair value	\$5,767,296	\$—	\$5,227,411	\$—
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	—	5,451	—	7,646
Other liabilities of Och-Ziff funds	29,483	4,036	33,813	9,146
Total Liabilities	\$5,796,779	\$9,487	\$5,261,224	\$16,792

The assets presented in the table above belong to the investors in those funds, are available for use only by the fund to which they belong, and are not available for use by the Company. The consolidated funds have no recourse to the general credit of the Company with respect to any liability. The Company also consolidates funds that are not VIEs, and therefore the assets and liabilities of those funds are not included in the table above.

The Company's involvement with funds that are VIEs and not consolidated by the Company is generally limited to providing asset management services. The Company's exposure to loss from these entities is limited to a decrease in the management fees and incentive income that may be earned in future periods, as well as the loss of investments in these entities, if any. The net assets of these VIEs were \$37.2 billion and \$37.1 billion as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively. The Company does not provide, nor is it required to provide, any type of financial or other support to these entities. The Company's variable interests related to these VIEs relate primarily to management fees and incentive income earned from these entities, as well as investments in these entities, if any. As of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the only assets arising from these variable interests related to income and fees receivable of \$54.8 million and \$271.0 million, respectively. The Company has not recorded any liabilities with respect to VIEs that are not consolidated.

OCH-ZIFF CAPITAL MANAGEMENT GROUP LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — UNAUDITED
MARCH 31, 2015

7. OTHER ASSETS, NET

The following table presents the components of other assets, net as reported in the consolidated balance sheets:

	March 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
	(dollars in thousands)	
Fixed Assets:		
Corporate aircraft	\$85,352	\$22,600
Leasehold improvements	44,218	40,084
Computer hardware and software	28,211	27,079
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	6,630	6,533
Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(58,701)	(56,736)
Fixed assets, net	105,710	39,560
United States government obligations, at fair value	36,982	36,969
Goodwill	22,691	22,691
Receivables	17,993	25,867
Prepaid expenses	16,982	20,435
Deposit on new corporate aircraft	—	52,662
Other ⁽¹⁾	13,831	14,244
Total Other Assets, Net	\$214,189	\$212,428

⁽¹⁾ Includes investments in Och-Ziff funds of \$3.9 million and \$3.6 million as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively.

In February 2015, the Company received delivery of its corporate aircraft. Amounts previously reported within deposit on new aircraft were transferred to corporate aircraft in the table above.

8. OTHER LIABILITIES

The following table presents the components of other liabilities as reported in the consolidated balance sheets:

	March 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
	(dollars in thousands)	
Accrued expenses	\$51,774	\$63,983
Deferred rent credit	18,297	18,219
Other	21,152	13,545
Total Other Liabilities	\$91,223	\$95,747

9. DEBT OBLIGATIONS

As of March 31, 2015, the Company's outstanding indebtedness was comprised of Senior Notes (the "Notes") and a secured term loan to finance the purchase of a new corporate aircraft (the "Aircraft Loan"), as well as notes payable of the consolidated CLOs. During the three months ended March 31, 2015, the Company took a final draw down on the Aircraft Loan and recorded additional notes payable of the newly consolidated CLOs. There have been no other material changes to the Company's debt obligations as reported in the Annual Report.

Aircraft Loan

On February 14, 2014, the Company entered into the Aircraft Loan to finance installment payments towards the purchase of a new corporate aircraft that was delivered to the Company in February 2015. The Aircraft Loan is guaranteed by OZ Management, OZ Advisors I and OZ Advisors II. As of March 31, 2015, \$52.0 million was

outstanding under the Aircraft Loan.

OCH-ZIFF CAPITAL MANAGEMENT GROUP LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — UNAUDITED
MARCH 31, 2015

Outstanding borrowings bear interest at a rate of 3.22% per annum, and the balance is payable in equal monthly installments of principal and interest over the term of the facility beginning on the aircraft delivery date, with a balloon payment of \$30.8 million due upon maturity on February 4, 2022. There are no financial covenants associated with the Aircraft Loan. The Aircraft Loan includes other customary terms and conditions, including customary events of default and covenants.

Notes Payable of Consolidated CLOs

The Company consolidates the CLOs it manages. As a result, the senior and subordinated notes issued by the CLOs are included in the Company's consolidated balance sheets. Notes payable of the consolidated CLOs are collateralized by the assets held by the CLOs and the assets of one CLO may not be used to satisfy the liabilities of another. This collateral generally consists of corporate loans, corporate bonds and other securities. As of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the fair value of the CLO assets was \$5.8 billion and \$5.2 billion, respectively. The stated maturity dates for the notes issued by the CLOs range from 2023 to 2027.

The Company has elected to carry these notes at fair value in its consolidated balance sheets to mitigate the accounting mismatch between the carrying values of the assets and liabilities of the consolidated CLOs. The Company recorded unrealized losses of \$36.0 million and \$8.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. These amounts are included within net gains of consolidated Och-Ziff funds in the statements of comprehensive income. Substantially all of these changes related to changes in instrument specific credit risk, as substantially all of these are floating-rate instruments.

The tables below present information related to the CLO notes outstanding. The subordinated notes have no stated interest rate, and are entitled to any excess cash flows after contractual payments are made to the senior secured notes.

	As of March 31, 2015			
	Borrowings Outstanding	Fair Value	Weighted-Average Interest Rate	Weighted-Average Maturity in Years
	(dollars in thousands)			
Senior secured notes payable of consolidated CLOs	\$5,362,750	\$5,298,366	2.38%	10.6
Subordinated notes payable of consolidated CLOs	551,508	468,930	N/A	10.5
Total Notes Payable of Consolidated CLOs	\$5,914,258	\$5,767,296		
	As of December 31, 2014			
	Borrowings Outstanding	Fair Value	Weighted-Average Interest Rate	Weighted-Average Maturity in Years
	(dollars in thousands)			
Senior secured notes payable of consolidated CLOs	\$4,892,750	\$4,784,134	2.34%	10.7
Subordinated notes payable of consolidated CLOs	511,008	443,277	N/A	10.6
Total Notes Payable of Consolidated CLOs	\$5,403,758	\$5,227,411		

OCH-ZIFF CAPITAL MANAGEMENT GROUP LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — UNAUDITED
MARCH 31, 2015

10. GENERAL, ADMINISTRATIVE AND OTHER

The following table presents the components of general, administrative and other expenses as reported in the consolidated statements of comprehensive income:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014
	(dollars in thousands)	
Recurring placement and related service fees	\$12,647	\$10,717
Occupancy and equipment	8,376	8,045
Professional services	7,487	7,423
Information processing and communications	6,915	4,838
Insurance	4,164	3,968
Business development	3,189	2,791
Other expenses	7,082	1,945
	49,860	39,727
Changes in tax receivable agreement liability	(25) (3,815
Total General, Administrative and Other	\$49,835	\$35,912

11. INCOME TAXES

The computation of the effective tax rate and provision at each interim period requires the use of certain estimates and significant judgment including, but not limited to, the expected operating income for the year, projections of the proportion of income earned and taxed in foreign jurisdictions, permanent differences, and the likelihood of recovering deferred tax assets existing as of the balance sheet date. The estimates used to compute the provision for income taxes may change as new events occur, additional information is obtained or as tax laws and regulations change. Additionally, the Company records the majority of its incentive income and discretionary cash bonuses in the fourth quarter each year. Accordingly, the effective tax rate for interim periods is not indicative of the tax rate expected for a full year.

The Registrant and each of the Och-Ziff Operating Group entities are partnerships for U.S. federal income tax purposes. Due to the Company's legal structure, only a portion of the income earned by the Company is subject to corporate-level tax rates in the United States and in foreign jurisdictions.

The provision for income taxes includes federal, state and local taxes in the United States and foreign taxes at an approximate effective tax rate of 13.2% and 16.9% for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

The reconciling items from the Company's statutory rate to the effective tax rate were driven primarily by the following: (i) a portion of the income earned by the Company is not subject to federal, state and local corporate income taxes in the United States; (ii) a portion of the income earned by the Company is subject to the New York City unincorporated business tax; (iii) certain foreign subsidiaries are subject to foreign corporate income taxes; and (iv) the Reorganization expenses related to the reclassification of the executive managing directors' and the Ziffs' interests as Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units are not deductible for tax purposes.

In accordance with GAAP, the Company recognizes tax benefits for amounts that are "more likely than not" to be sustained upon examination by tax authorities. For uncertain tax positions in which the benefit to be realized does not meet the "more likely than not" threshold, the Company establishes a liability, which is included within other liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets.

As of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the Company had a liability for unrecognized tax benefits of \$7.0 million. As of and for the three months ended March 31, 2015, the Company did not accrue interest or penalties related to uncertain tax positions. As of March 31, 2015, the Company does not believe that there will be a significant

change to the uncertain tax positions during the next 12 months. The Company's total unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would affect its effective tax rate was \$4.4 million as of March 31, 2015.

OCH-ZIFF CAPITAL MANAGEMENT GROUP LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — UNAUDITED
MARCH 31, 2015

12. EARNINGS PER CLASS A SHARE

Basic earnings per Class A Share is computed by dividing the net income allocated to Class A Shareholders by the weighted-average number of Class A Shares outstanding for the period. For the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, the Company included, respectively, 1,534,479 and 1,784,357 RSUs that have vested but have not been settled in Class A Shares in the weighted-average Class A Shares outstanding used in the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per Class A Share.

The following tables present the computation of basic and diluted earnings per Class A Share:

Three Months Ended March 31, 2015	Net Income Allocated to Class A Shareholders	Weighted-Average Class A Shares Outstanding	Earnings Per Class A Share	Number of Antidilutive Units Excluded from Diluted Calculation
	(dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)			
Basic	\$25,871	177,634,861	\$0.15	
Effect of dilutive securities:				
Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units	—	—		301,874,006
RSUs	—	2,521,884		—
Diluted	\$25,871	180,156,745	\$0.14	
Three Months Ended March 31, 2014	Net Income Allocated to Class A Shareholders	Weighted-Average Class A Shares Outstanding	Earnings Per Class A Share	Number of Antidilutive Units Excluded from Diluted Calculation
	(dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)			
Basic	\$23,852	171,920,763	\$0.14	
Effect of dilutive securities:				
Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units	—	—		302,529,588
RSUs	—	4,004,604		—
Diluted	\$23,852	175,925,367	\$0.14	

13. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Due to Related Parties

Amounts due to related parties relate primarily to future payments owed to the Company's executive managing directors and the Ziffs under the tax receivable agreement, as discussed further in Note 14.

Notes Payable of Consolidated CLOs

A portion of the notes payable of consolidated CLOs is held by certain funds managed by the Company. As of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, these amounts totaled \$179.1 million and \$175.7 million, respectively.

Management Fees and Incentive Income Earned from the Och-Ziff Funds

The Company earns substantially all of its management fees and incentive income from the Och-Ziff funds, which are considered related parties as the Company manages the operations of and makes investment decisions for these funds.

Management Fees and Incentive Income Earned from Related Parties and Waived Fees

Prior to the 2007 Offerings, the Company did not charge management fees or earn incentive income on investments made by the Company's executive managing directors, employees and other related parties. Following the 2007

Offerings, the Company began charging management fees and earning incentive income on new investments made in the funds by executive managing directors and certain other related parties, including the reinvestment by executive managing directors of the after-tax

OCH-ZIFF CAPITAL MANAGEMENT GROUP LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — UNAUDITED
MARCH 31, 2015

proceeds from the 2007 Offerings. However, from January 2015, the Company began to waive management fees and incentive income on new investments by its executive managing directors and certain other related parties. The Company continues to waive fees for employee investments in the funds.

The following table presents management fees and incentive income charged on investments held by related parties and amounts waived by the Company for related parties before the impact of eliminations related to the consolidated funds:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014
	(dollars in thousands)	
Fees charged on investments held by related parties:		
Management fees	\$5,033	\$5,893
Incentive income	\$1,454	\$2,490
Fees waived on investments held by related parties:		
Management fees	\$4,082	\$3,937
Incentive income	\$235	\$—
Corporate Aircraft		

The Company's corporate aircraft is used primarily for business purposes. From time to time, Mr. Och uses the aircraft for personal use. For the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, the Company charged Mr. Och \$356 thousand and \$299 thousand, respectively, for his personal use of the aircraft.

14. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Tax Receivable Agreement

The purchase of Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units from the executive managing directors and the Ziffs with the proceeds from the 2007 Offerings, and subsequent taxable exchanges by them of Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units for Class A Shares on a one-for-one basis (or, at the Company's option, a cash equivalent), resulted, and, in the case of future exchanges, are anticipated to result, in an increase in the tax basis of the tangible and intangible assets of the Och-Ziff Operating Group that would not otherwise have been available. As a result, the Company expects that its future tax liability will be reduced. Pursuant to the tax receivable agreement entered into among the Company, the executive managing directors and the Ziffs, the Company has agreed to pay to the executive managing directors and the Ziffs 85% of the amount of tax savings, if any, actually realized by the Company.

The Company recorded its initial estimate of future payments under the tax receivable agreement by recording a decrease to paid-in capital and an increase in amounts due to related parties in the consolidated financial statements. Subsequent adjustments to the liability for future payments under the tax receivable agreement related to changes in estimated future tax rates or state income tax apportionment are recognized through current period earnings within general, administrative and other expenses in the consolidated statements of comprehensive income.

In connection with the departure of certain former executive managing directors since the 2007 Offerings, the right to receive payments under the tax receivable agreement by those former executive managing directors was contributed to the Och-Ziff Operating Group. As a result, the Company now expects to pay to the remaining executive managing directors and the Ziffs approximately 78% (from 85% at the time of the 2007 Offerings) of the amount of cash savings, if any, in federal, state and local income taxes in the United States that the Company actually realizes as a result of the increases in tax basis.

The estimate of the timing and the amount of future payments under the tax receivable agreement involves several assumptions that do not account for the significant uncertainties associated with these potential payments, including an assumption that Och-Ziff Corp will have sufficient taxable income in the relevant tax years to utilize the tax

benefits that would

30

OCH-ZIFF CAPITAL MANAGEMENT GROUP LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — UNAUDITED
MARCH 31, 2015

give rise to an obligation to make payments. The actual timing and amount of any actual payments under the tax receivable agreement will vary based upon these and a number of other factors.

Lease Obligations

The Company has non-cancelable operating leases for its headquarters in New York expiring in 2029 and various other operating leases for its offices in London, Hong Kong, Mumbai, Beijing, Dubai and Shanghai expiring on various dates through 2024. The Company also has operating leases for other locations, as well as operating leases on computer hardware. Certain operating leases allow for rent holiday periods. The Company recognizes expense related to its operating leases on a straight-line basis over the lease term taking into account these rent holiday periods. The related lease commitments have not changed materially since December 31, 2014.

Litigation

From time to time, the Company is involved in litigation and claims incidental to the conduct of the Company's business. The Company is also subject to extensive scrutiny by regulatory agencies globally that have, or may in the future have, regulatory authority over the Company and its business activities. This has resulted, or may in the future result, in regulatory agency investigations, litigation and subpoenas and costs related to each.

Since 2011, the Company has been investigated by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") and the U.S. Department of Justice (the "DOJ") concerning possible violations of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (the "FCPA") and other laws. The investigation concerns an investment by a foreign sovereign wealth fund in some of the Och-Ziff funds in 2007 and investments by some of the funds, both directly and indirectly, in a number of companies in Africa. While the Company is unable to predict the full scope, duration or outcome of the SEC and DOJ investigation, it believes that it is reasonably likely that the outcome would include the government pursuing remedies. The Company expects to enter into discussions to resolve any actions that may arise out of the investigation at the appropriate time in the future. The SEC and DOJ have a broad range of civil and criminal sanctions available to them under the FCPA and other laws. While the ultimate impact of any sanctions that may arise cannot be estimated at this time, any such resolution could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business and its consolidated financial statements. On May 5, 2014, a purported class of shareholders filed a lawsuit against the Company in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York (*Menaldi v. Och-Ziff Capital Mgmt., et al.*). The amended complaint asserts claims on behalf of all purchasers of Company securities from February 9, 2012 to August 22, 2014, and asserts claims under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Daniel Och, Joel Frank and Michael Cohen are also named defendants. The amended complaint alleges, among other things, breaches of certain disclosure obligations with respect to matters under investigation by the SEC and the DOJ. On May 30, 2014, a shareholder derivative action was filed against the Company in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York (*Stokes v. Och, et al.*). The amended complaint asserts claims derivatively on behalf of the Company against all of the Company's directors and alleges that the directors breached their fiduciary duties and other disclosure obligations with respect to the matters described above. The Company believes these cases are without merit and intends to defend them vigorously.

Investment Commitments

From time to time, certain funds consolidated by the Company may have commitments to fund investments. These commitments are funded through contributions from investors in those funds, including the Company if it is an investor in the relevant fund. The Company manages these funds and generally only has a minimal investment, if any, in these funds.

The Company has committed to fund a portion of the operating budget for a joint venture. The amount of the commitment will be equal to the actual costs incurred in the projects the joint venture manages, as determined by the Company and its joint venture partner. The joint venture periodically returns substantially all of the cash that is contributed by the Company, as expenses incurred by the joint venture are generally reimbursed by the projects it manages.

Certain of the Company's executive managing directors, collectively, have capital commitments to a fund managed by the Company of up to \$30.0 million. The Company has guaranteed these commitments in the event any executive managing director

OCH-ZIFF CAPITAL MANAGEMENT GROUP LLC
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — UNAUDITED
MARCH 31, 2015

fails to fund any portion when called by the fund. The Company has historically not funded any of these commitments and does not expect to in the future, as these commitments are expected to be funded by the Company's executive managing directors individually.

Other Contingencies

In the normal course of business, the Company enters into contracts that provide a variety of general indemnifications. Such contracts include those with certain service providers, brokers and trading counterparties. Any exposure to the Company under these arrangements could involve future claims that may be made against the Company. Currently, no such claims exist or are expected to arise and, accordingly, the Company has not accrued any liability in connection with such indemnifications.

15. SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company's operating segments are the Och-Ziff Funds segment and the Company's real estate business. The Och-Ziff Funds segment is currently the Company's only reportable segment under GAAP and provides asset management services to the Company's multi-strategy funds, dedicated credit funds and other alternative investment vehicles. The Company's real estate business, which provides asset management services to its real estate funds, is included in the Other Operations as it does not meet the threshold of a reportable business segment under GAAP. In addition to analyzing the Company's results on a GAAP basis, management also reviews its results on an "Economic Income" basis. Economic Income excludes the adjustments described below that are required for presentation of the Company's results on a GAAP basis, but that management does not consider when evaluating operating performance in any given period. Management uses Economic Income as the basis on which it evaluates the Company's financial performance and makes resource allocation and other operating decisions. Management considers it important that investors review the same operating information that it uses.

Economic Income is a measure of pre-tax operating performance that excludes the following from the Company's results on a GAAP basis:

Income allocations to the Company's executive managing directors and the Ziffs (until they exchanged their remaining interests during the 2014 second quarter) on their direct interests in the Och-Ziff Operating Group. Management reviews operating performance at the Och-Ziff Operating Group level, where substantially all of the Company's operations are performed, prior to making any income allocations.

Reorganization expenses related to the 2007 Offerings, equity-based compensation expenses and depreciation and amortization expenses, as management does not consider these non-cash expenses to be reflective of operating performance. However, the fair value of RSUs that are settled in cash to employees or executive managing directors is included as an expense at the time of settlement.

Changes in the tax receivable agreement liability and net gains (losses) on investments in Och-Ziff funds, as management does not consider these to be reflective of operating performance.

Amounts related to the consolidated Och-Ziff funds, including the related eliminations of management fees and incentive income, as management reviews the total amount of management fees and incentive income earned in relation to total assets under management and fund performance. The Company also defers the recognition of incentive income allocations from the consolidated Och-Ziff funds until all clawback contingencies are resolved, consistent with the revenue recognition policy for the funds the Company does not consolidate.

In addition, expenses related to compensation and profit-sharing arrangements based on fund investment performance are recognized at the end of the relevant commitment period, as management reviews the total compensation expense related to these arrangements in relation to any incentive income earned by the relevant fund.

Finally, management reviews Economic Income revenues by presenting management fees net of recurring placement and related service fees, rather than considering these fees an expense, and by excluding the impact of eliminations related to the consolidated Och-Ziff funds.

OCH-ZIFF CAPITAL MANAGEMENT GROUP LLC
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — UNAUDITED
 MARCH 31, 2015

Management does not regularly review assets by operating segment in assessing operating segment performance and the allocation of company resources; therefore, the Company does not present total assets by operating segment. All interest expense related to outstanding indebtedness is allocated to the Och-Ziff Funds segment.

Och-Ziff Funds Segment Results

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014
	(dollars in thousands)	
Och-Ziff Funds Segment:		
Economic Income Revenues	\$223,290	\$211,333
Economic Income	\$152,663	\$158,598
Reconciliation of Och-Ziff Funds Segment Revenues to Consolidated Revenues		
	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014
	(dollars in thousands)	
Total consolidated revenues	\$332,851	\$285,480
Adjustment to management fees ⁽¹⁾	(2,100)	(4,210)
Adjustment to incentive income ⁽²⁾	8,173	6,915
Other Operations revenues	(6,297)	(2,681)
Income of consolidated Och-Ziff funds	(109,337)	(74,171)
Economic Income Revenues - Och-Ziff Funds Segment	\$223,290	\$211,333

Adjustment to present management fees net of recurring placement and related service fees, as management (1) considers these fees a reduction in management fees, not an expense. The impact of eliminations related to the consolidated Och-Ziff funds is also removed.

(2) Adjustment to exclude the impact of eliminations related to the consolidated Och-Ziff funds.

OCH-ZIFF CAPITAL MANAGEMENT GROUP LLC
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — UNAUDITED
 MARCH 31, 2015

Reconciliation of Och-Ziff Funds Economic Income to Net Income Allocated to Class A Shareholders

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014
	(dollars in thousands)	
Net income allocated to Class A Shareholders—GAAP	\$25,871	\$23,852
Net income allocated to the Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units	80,932	73,581
Equity-based compensation	28,796	27,130
Income taxes	25,160	33,591
Adjustment for incentive income allocations from consolidated funds subject to clawback	(18,473)	(8,317)
Allocations to Och-Ziff Operating Group D Units	6,035	5,157
Adjustment for expenses related to compensation and profit-sharing arrangements based on fund investment performance	1,419	4,366
Reorganization expenses	4,017	4,021
Changes in tax receivable agreement liability	(25)	(3,815)
Depreciation and amortization	2,149	1,828
Other adjustments	67	(554)
Other Operations	(3,285)	(2,242)
Economic Income - Och-Ziff Funds Segment	\$152,663	\$158,598

16. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Dividend

On May 5, 2015, the Company announced a cash dividend of \$0.22 per Class A Share. The dividend is payable on May 22, 2015 to holders of record as of the close of business on May 15, 2015.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

This discussion contains forward-looking statements and involves numerous risks and uncertainties, including, but not limited to, those described in "Part II—Item 1A. Risk Factors" of this report. Actual results may differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements. This MD&A should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this quarterly report. An investment in our Class A Shares is not an investment in any of our funds.

Overview

Overview of Our Financial Results

We reported GAAP net income allocated to Class A Shareholders of \$25.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015, compared to net income of \$23.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014. The year-over-year increase was primarily driven by higher management fees and incentive income, offset by higher operating expenses and a gain on the sale of an investment held by a joint venture in the first quarter of 2014 that did not reoccur in 2015.

We reported Economic Income for the Company of \$155.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015, compared to \$160.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014. The year-over-year decrease was principally due to higher operating expenses and a gain on the sale of an investment held by a joint venture in the first quarter of 2014 that did not reoccur in 2015. These decreases were partially offset by higher management fees and incentive income. Economic Income for the Company is a non-GAAP measure. For additional information regarding non-GAAP measures, as well as for a discussion of the drivers of the year-over-year change in Economic Income, please see "—Economic Income Analysis."

Overview of Assets Under Management and Fund Performance

Assets under management totaled \$48.3 billion as of March 31, 2015. Longer-dated assets under management, which are those subject to initial commitment periods of three years or longer, were \$16.0 billion, or 33% of our total assets under management as of March 31, 2015. Assets under management in our dedicated credit, real estate and other strategy-specific funds were \$14.4 billion, comprising 30% of assets under management as of March 31, 2015.

Assets under management in our multi-strategy products totaled \$33.9 billion as of March 31, 2015. OZ Master Fund, our global multi-strategy fund, generated a net return of 3.8% for the three months ended March 31, 2015, and an annualized net return since inception of 12.9%. Year-to-date net returns reflect particularly strong performance of the fund's long/short equity special situations strategies globally. Assets under management for the fund were \$27.7 billion as of March 31, 2015. Please see "—Assets Under Management and Fund Performance—Multi-Strategy Funds" for additional information regarding the returns of the OZ Master Fund.

Assets under management in our dedicated credit products totaled \$11.0 billion as of March 31, 2015. Assets under management in our opportunistic credit funds were \$5.2 billion as of March 31, 2015. OZ Credit Opportunities Master Fund, our global opportunistic credit fund, generated a net return of 0.8% for the three months ended March 31, 2015, and an annualized net return since inception of 16.9%. Assets under management for the fund were \$1.6 billion as of March 31, 2015. Assets under management in Institutional Credit Strategies, our asset management platform that invests in performing credits, were \$5.9 billion as of March 31, 2015.

Assets under management in our real estate funds totaled \$2.1 billion as of March 31, 2015. Since inception, Och-Ziff Real Estate Fund II, which finished its investment period in 2014, generated a net internal rate of return ("IRR") of 22.3% through March 31, 2015 and a multiple of invested capital of 1.6x.

Market Environment

Our ability to successfully generate consistent, positive, absolute returns is dependent on our ability to execute each fund's investment strategy or strategies. Each strategy may be materially affected by conditions in the global markets. Global equity markets fell sharply early in the 2015 first quarter as oil prices reached multi-year lows. Oil prices stabilized and rebounded slightly in February, and positive news from Europe helped drive equity markets higher towards the end of the quarter. In the U.S., equity markets exhibited mixed performance during the quarter. The S&P 500 Index underperformed global ex-U.S. equity markets by over 8% during this period, the first time since the third quarter of 2005 that the S&P 500 has lagged

global markets so markedly. This shift highlights the divergent trajectories of monetary policies in the U.S. relative to most other major economic regions. While the European Central Bank (“ECB”), the Bank of Japan (“BOJ”) and the People’s Bank of China (“PBOC”) all implemented incremental easing measures during the first quarter, the focus in the U.S. has been centered on when, not if, the Federal Reserve will begin to raise rates. A similar dynamic affected the currency markets with the U.S. dollar strengthening nearly 9% against a basket of global currencies in the first quarter, the largest such appreciation since the ‘flight-to-quality’ period observed during the financial crisis in the third quarter of 2008.

In Europe, markets were positively impacted by the ECB's launch of its quantitative easing (“QE”) program in early March 2015, setting the stage for a Eurozone recovery. The ECB expanded its asset purchase program to include Eurozone government, agency and European institutional bonds, committing to buying €60 billion of bonds per month through September 2016. The €1.1 trillion size of the program was much larger than most investors had anticipated. Additionally, geopolitical concerns eased with the announcement of a ceasefire in the Ukraine, and the announcement of an extension in Greece's debt relief package reduced concerns that Greece would withdraw from the Eurozone. In Asia, country-specific performance varied during the 2015 first quarter. Japan's economy emerged from a brief recession at the end of last year, but during the 2015 first quarter business sentiment remained weak and the BOJ's aggressive stimulus measures did little to stimulate economic growth. Towards the end of the quarter, Japan's equity markets rallied and produced positive performance. China's economic growth and inflation declined more than expected and first quarter data showed that China's economy continued to slow after its weakest expansion in more than a decade. The PBOC introduced additional stimulus measures during the quarter in response to China's weakening property market, slowing economic growth and deflationary pressures. Markets in China rallied towards the end of the quarter.

Assets Under Management and Fund Performance

Our financial results are primarily driven by the combination of our assets under management and the investment performance of our funds. Both of these factors directly affect the revenues we earn from management fees and incentive income. Growth in assets under management due to capital placed with us by investors in our funds and positive investment performance of our funds drive growth in our revenues and earnings. Conversely, poor investment performance slows our growth by decreasing our assets under management and increasing the potential for redemptions from our funds, which would have a negative effect on our revenues and earnings.

We typically accept capital from new and existing investors in our funds on a monthly basis on the first day of each month. Investors in our funds (other than investors in our real estate funds, certain opportunistic credit funds, our Institutional Credit Strategies products and certain other alternative investment vehicles we manage and other than with respect to capital invested in Special Investments) typically have the right to redeem their interests in a fund following an initial lock-up period of one to three years. Following the expiration of these lock-up periods, subject to certain limitations, investors may redeem capital generally on a quarterly or annual basis upon giving 30 to 90 days’ prior written notice. However, upon the payment of a redemption fee to the applicable fund and upon giving 30 days’ prior written notice, certain investors may redeem capital during the lock-up period. The lock-up requirements for our funds may generally be waived or modified at the sole discretion of each fund’s general partner or board of directors, as applicable.

With respect to investors with quarterly redemption rights, requests for redemptions submitted during a quarter generally are paid on the first day of the following quarter. Accordingly, quarterly redemptions generally will have no impact on management fees during the quarter in which they are submitted. Instead, these redemptions will decrease assets under management as of the first day of the following quarter, which reduces management fees for that quarter. With respect to investors with annual redemption rights, redemptions paid prior to the end of a quarter impact assets under management in the quarter in which they are paid, and therefore impact management fees for that quarter. Information with respect to our assets under management throughout this report, including the tables set forth below, includes investments by us, our executive managing directors, employees and certain other related parties. Prior to our IPO, we did not charge management fees or earn incentive income on these investments. Following our IPO, we began charging management fees and earning incentive income on new investments made in our funds by our executive managing directors and certain other related parties, including the reinvestment by our executive managing

directors of their after-tax proceeds from the 2007 Offerings. However, from January 2015, we began to waive management fees and incentive income on new investments by our executive managing directors and certain other related parties. As of March 31, 2015, approximately 6% of our assets under

management represented investments by us, our executive managing directors, employees and certain other related parties in our funds. As of that date, approximately 45% of these affiliated assets under management are not charged management fees and are not subject to an incentive income calculation. Additionally, to the extent that an Och-Ziff fund is an investor in another Och-Ziff fund, we waive or rebate a corresponding portion of the management fees charged to the fund.

As further discussed below in “—Understanding Our Results—Revenues,” we generally calculate management fees based on assets under management as of the beginning of each quarter. The assets under management in the tables below are presented net of management fees and incentive income and are as of the end of the period. Accordingly, the assets under management presented in the tables below are not the amounts used to calculate management fees for the respective periods.

Summary of Changes in Assets Under Management

The tables below present the changes to our assets under management for the respective periods based on the type of funds or investment vehicles we manage.

Three Months Ended March 31, 2015					
	December 31, 2014	Inflows / (Outflows)	Distributions / Other Reductions	Appreciation / (Depreciation)	March 31, 2015
(dollars in thousands)					
Multi-strategy funds	\$34,100,390	\$ (1,461,319)	\$ —	\$ 1,233,571	\$33,872,642
Credit					
Opportunistic credit funds	5,098,600	398,253	(362,930)	58,066	5,191,989
Institutional Credit Strategies	5,166,734	688,052	—	2,613	5,857,399
Real estate funds	2,022,399	54,513	(14,292)	(4,254)	2,058,366
Other	1,146,292	113,932	(1)	69,608	1,329,831
Total	\$47,534,415	\$ (206,569)	\$ (377,223)	\$ 1,359,604	\$48,310,227
Three Months Ended March 31, 2014					
	December 31, 2013	Inflows / (Outflows)	Distributions / Other Reductions	Appreciation / (Depreciation)	March 31, 2014
(dollars in thousands)					
Multi-strategy funds	\$31,768,578	\$ 781,169	\$ —	\$ (9,885)	\$32,539,862
Credit					
Opportunistic credit funds	4,305,438	439,451	(179,077)	195,013	4,760,825
Institutional Credit Strategies	2,605,628	35,000	—	(4,170)	2,636,458
Real estate funds	970,568	769,416	(7,474)	532	1,733,042
Other	588,600	372,306	—	(4,701)	956,205
Total	\$40,238,812	\$ 2,397,342	\$ (186,551)	\$ 176,789	\$42,626,392

In the three months ended March 31, 2015, our funds experienced performance-related appreciation of \$1.4 billion and net outflows of \$206.6 million, which was comprised of \$1.7 billion of gross inflows and \$1.9 billion of gross outflows due to redemptions. Distributions and other reductions were \$377.2 million, which was driven by \$210.5 million in distributions to investors in our closed-end opportunistic credit funds that are in the process of winding down, and a \$152.4 million reduction in the OZ European Credit Opportunities Fund as a result of the expiration of the fund's investment period. Additionally, our gross inflows included \$491.0 million within Institutional Credit Strategies related to a CLO that closed during the first quarter of 2015. Excluding CLOs, pension funds were the largest sources of both our gross inflows and gross outflows during the first quarter of 2015.

In the three months ended March 31, 2014, our funds experienced performance-related appreciation of \$176.8 million, net inflows of \$2.4 billion, which was comprised of \$3.7 billion of gross inflows and \$1.3 billion of gross outflows

due to redemptions. Distributions and other reductions of \$186.6 million primarily related to distributions to investors in our closed-end opportunistic credit and real estate funds that are in the process of winding down. Direct allocations from pension funds and

private banks were the largest drivers of our gross inflows, while redemptions from fund-of-funds were the largest driver of our gross outflows.

Weighted-Average Assets Under Management and Average Management Fee Rate

The table below presents our weighted-average assets under management and the average management fee rate. Weighted-average assets under management exclude the impact of first quarter investment performance for the periods presented, as these amounts do not impact management fees calculated for the periods presented.

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014
	(dollars in thousands)	
Weighted-average assets under management	\$46,440,317	\$41,455,928
Average management fee rate	1.43	% 1.51 %

The year-over-year decline in our average management fee rate occurred because the increase in our total assets under management during this period was primarily driven by growth in our opportunistic credit funds and our Institutional Credit Strategies products, which earn lower management fee rates than our multi-strategy funds, consistent with market convention for these products. Our average management fee will vary from quarter to quarter based on the mix of products that comprise our assets under management.

Fund Performance Information

The tables below present performance information for the funds we manage. All of our funds are managed by the Och-Ziff Funds segment with the exception of Och-Ziff Real Estate Funds I, II and III, which are managed by the real estate management business included in Other Operations.

The performance information presented in this report is not indicative of the performance of our Class A Shares and is not necessarily indicative of the future results of any particular fund, including the accrued unrecognized amounts of incentive income. An investment in our Class A Shares is not an investment in any of our funds. There can be no assurance that any of our existing or future funds will achieve similar results. The timing and amount of incentive income generated from our funds are inherently uncertain. Incentive income is a function of investment performance and realizations of investments, which vary period-to-period based on market conditions and other factors. We cannot predict when, or if, any realization of investments will occur. Incentive income recognized for any particular period is not a reliable indicator of incentive income that may be earned in subsequent periods.

The return information presented in this report represents, where applicable, the composite performance of all feeder funds that comprise each of the master funds presented. Gross return information is generally calculated using the total return of all feeder funds, net of all fees and expenses except management fees and incentive income of such feeder funds and master funds and the returns of each feeder fund include the reinvestment of all dividends and other income. Net return information is generally calculated as the gross returns less management fees and incentive income (except incentive income on unrealized gains attributable to Special Investments in certain funds that could reduce returns on these investments at the time of realization). Return information also includes realized and unrealized gains and losses attributable to Special Investments and initial public offering investments that are not allocated to all investors in the feeder funds. Investors that were not allocated Special Investments and initial public offering investments may experience materially different returns. The performance calculation for the OZ Master Fund excludes realized and unrealized gains and losses attributable to currency hedging specific to certain investors investing in OZ Master Fund in currencies other than the U.S. Dollar.

Multi-Strategy Funds

The table below presents assets under management and investment performance for our multi-strategy funds. Assets under management are generally based on the net asset value of these products. Management fees typically generally range from 1.00% to 2.75% of assets under management.

We generally crystallize incentive income from the majority of our multi-strategy funds on an annual basis. Incentive income is generally equal to 20% of the realized and unrealized profits attributable to each investor. A portion of the assets under management in each of the OZ Master Fund and our other multi-strategy funds is subject to initial commitment periods of three years, and certain of these assets are subject to hurdle rates (generally equal to the 3-month T-bill or LIBOR rate). However, once the investment performance has exceeded the hurdle rate for a portion of these assets, we may receive a preferential “catch-up” allocation, resulting in a potential recognition by us of a full 20% of the net profits attributable to investors in these assets. See “—Understanding Our Results—Incentive Income” for additional information.

Fund	Assets Under Management as of March 31,		Returns for the Three Months Ended March 31,						Annualized Returns Since Inception Through March 31, 2015	
			2015			2014				
	2015	2014	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	Gross	Net		
	(dollars in thousands)									
OZ Master Fund ⁽¹⁾	\$27,698,583	\$25,814,809	5.2 %	3.8 %	1.0 %	0.4 %	18.2 % ⁽¹⁾	12.9 % ⁽¹⁾		
OZ Asia Master Fund	1,345,004	1,375,059	6.9 %	5.4 %	-6.2 %	-6.7 %	10.3 %	6.1 %		
OZ Europe Master Fund	1,076,861	1,324,733	5.6 %	4.3 %	0.5 %	— %	12.5 %	8.3 %		
OZ Enhanced Master Fund	1,243,367	820,861	8.8 %	6.6 %	-0.4 %	-0.8 %	22.5 %	15.9 %		
Och-Ziff European Multi-Strategy UCITS Fund	305,586	620,771	5.8 %	5.3 %	-0.5 %	-1.1 %	6.3 %	3.5 %		
Other funds	2,203,241	2,583,629	n/m	n/m	n/m	n/m	n/m	n/m		
	\$33,872,642	\$32,539,862								

The annualized returns since inception are those of the Och-Ziff Multi-Strategy Composite, which represents the composite performance of all accounts that were managed in accordance with our broad multi-strategy mandate that were not subject to portfolio investment restrictions or other factors that limited our investment discretion since inception on April 1, 1994. Performance is calculated using the total return of all such accounts net of all investment fees and expenses of such accounts, except incentive income on unrealized gains attributable to Special Investments that could reduce returns in these investments at the time of realization, and the returns include the reinvestment of all dividends and other income. For the period from April 1, 1994 through December 31, 1997, the returns are gross of certain overhead expenses that were reimbursed by the accounts. Such reimbursement arrangements were terminated at the inception of the OZ Master Fund on January 1, 1998. The size of the accounts comprising the composite during the time period shown vary materially. Such differences impacted our investment decisions and the diversity of the investment strategies followed. Furthermore, the composition of the investment strategies we follow is subject to its discretion and have varied materially since inception and are expected to vary materially in the future. As of March 31, 2015, the gross and net annualized returns since the OZ Master Fund's inception on January 1, 1998 were 14.2% and 9.7%, respectively.

The \$1.3 billion, or 4%, year-over-year increase in assets under management in our multi-strategy funds was primarily due to \$2.7 billion of performance-related appreciation, driven by the performance of the OZ Master Fund, our global multi-strategy fund. Offsetting the increase were capital net outflows of \$1.4 billion across various multi-strategy funds. For the three months ended March 31, 2015, the OZ Master Fund generated a net return of 3.8%, driven by its long/short equity special situations strategy globally. For three months ended March 31, 2014, the OZ Master Fund generated a net return of 0.4%, driven primarily by positive performance in credit-related strategies and merger arbitrage, partially offset by negative performance in long/short equity special situations.

Credit

Assets Under Management as of
March 31,

	2015	2014
	(dollars in thousands)	
Opportunistic credit funds	\$5,191,989	\$4,760,825
Institutional Credit Strategies	5,857,399	2,636,458
	\$11,049,388	\$7,397,283

Opportunistic Credit Funds

Our opportunistic credit funds seek to generate risk-adjusted returns by capturing value in mispriced investments across disrupted, dislocated and distressed corporate, structured and private credit markets globally.

Certain of our opportunistic credit funds are open-end and allow for contributions and redemptions (subject to initial lock-up and notice periods) on a periodic basis similar to our multi-strategy funds. Our remaining opportunistic credit funds are closed-end, whereby investors make a commitment that is funded over an investment period. Upon the expiration of an investment period, the investments are then sold or realized over a period of time, and distributions are made to the investors in the fund.

Assets under management for our opportunistic credit funds are generally based on the net asset value of those funds plus any unfunded commitments. Management fees for our opportunistic credit funds generally range from 0.75% to 1.75% of the net asset value of these funds. See “—Understanding Our Results—Incentive Income” for additional information, including the recognition of incentive income for funds that we consolidate.

The table below presents assets under management and investment performance information for certain of our opportunistic credit funds. Incentive income related to these funds is generally equal to 20% of realized and unrealized profits attributable to each investor, and a portion of these assets under management is subject to hurdle rates (generally 5% to 8%). However, once the investment performance has exceeded the hurdle rate, we may receive a preferential “catch-up” allocation, resulting in a potential recognition by us of a full 20% of the net profits attributable to investors in these funds. The measurement periods for these assets under management generally range from one to five years.

Fund	Assets Under Management as of March 31,		Returns for the Three Months Ended March 31,				Annualized Returns Since Inception Through March 31, 2015			
	2015	2014	2015		2014		2015		2014	
			Gross	Net	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	Gross	Net
	(dollars in thousands)									
OZ Credit Opportunities Master Fund	\$1,551,706	\$879,938	0.9	% 0.8	% 5.0	% 3.7	% 22.6	% 16.9	%	
Customized Credit Focused Platform	1,792,721	1,655,335	1.9	% 1.4	% 6.5	% 5.0	% 22.8	% 17.3	%	
Closed-end opportunistic credit funds	1,283,467	1,777,727	See below for return information on our closed-end opportunistic credit funds.							
Other funds	564,095	447,825	n/m	n/m	n/m	n/m	n/m	n/m		
	\$5,191,989	\$4,760,825								

n/m not meaningful

The \$431.2 million, or 9%, year-over-year increase in our opportunistic credit funds was due to \$409.1 million of performance-related appreciation, capital net inflows of \$707.9 million, and distributions and other reductions related to our closed-end opportunistic credit fund of \$685.8 million. The capital net inflows were driven primarily by capital net inflows of \$611.0 million into the OZ Credit Opportunities Master Fund, our global opportunistic credit fund.

The table below presents assets under management, IRRs, multiple on invested capital (“MOIC”) and other information for our closed-end opportunistic credit funds. Incentive income related to these funds is generally equal to 20% of the cumulative realized profits attributable to each investor over the life of the fund, subject to hurdle rates (generally 5% to 6%), and is recognized at or near the end of the life of the fund when it is no longer subject to clawback. However, once the investment performance has exceeded the hurdle rate, we may receive a preferential “catch-up” allocation, resulting in a potential recognition by us of a full 20% of the net profits attributable to investors in these funds. The investment periods for these funds may generally be extended for an additional one to two years.

Fund (Investment Period)	Assets Under Management as of March 31,		Inception to Date as of March 31, 2015		IRR			
	2015	2014	Total Commitments	Total Invested Capital ⁽¹⁾	Gross ⁽²⁾	Net ⁽³⁾	Gross MOIC ⁽⁴⁾	
(dollars in thousands)								
OZ European Credit Opportunities Fund (2012-2015)	\$335,707	\$537,326	\$459,600	\$305,487	19.0 %	14.4 %	1.5x	
OZ Structured Products Domestic Fund II (2011-2014) ⁽⁵⁾	402,703	470,345	326,850	326,850	23.8 %	18.5 %	1.8x	
OZ Structured Products Offshore Fund II (2011-2014) ⁽⁵⁾	338,434	401,737	304,531	304,531	21.2 %	16.3 %	1.6x	
OZ Structured Products Offshore Fund I (2010-2013) ⁽⁵⁾	32,530	58,965	155,098	155,098	24.2 %	19.3 %	2.1x	
OZ Structured Products Domestic Fund I (2010-2013) ⁽⁵⁾	15,104	43,853	99,986	99,986	23.1 %	18.3 %	2.0x	
Other funds	158,989	265,501	298,250	268,250	n/m	n/m	n/m	
	\$1,283,467	\$1,777,727	\$1,644,315	\$1,460,202				

n/m not meaningful

(1) Represents funded capital commitments net of recallable distributions to investors.

Gross IRR for our closed-end opportunistic credit funds represents the estimated, unaudited, annualized return based on the timing of cash inflows into and outflows from the fund as of March 31, 2015, including the fair value

(2) of unrealized investments as of such date, together with any appreciation or depreciation from related hedging activity. Gross IRR does not include the effects of management fees or incentive income, which would reduce the return, and includes the reinvestment of all fund income.

Net IRR is calculated as described in footnote (2), but is reduced by all management fees, as well as paid incentive and accrued incentive income that will be payable upon the distribution of each fund’s capital in accordance with the terms of the relevant fund. Accrued incentive income may be higher or lower at such time. The net IRR

(3) represents a composite rate of return for a fund and does not reflect the net IRR specific to any individual investor. Gross MOIC for our closed-end opportunistic credit funds is calculated by dividing the sum of the net asset value

(4) of the fund, accrued incentive income, life-to-date incentive income and management fees paid and any non-recallable distributions made from the fund by the invested capital. These funds have concluded their investment periods and are in the process of winding down, and therefore we

(5) expect assets under management for these funds to decrease as investments are sold and the related proceeds are distributed to the investors in these funds.

Institutional Credit Strategies

Institutional Credit Strategies is our asset management platform that invests in performing credits, including leveraged loans, high-yield bonds, private credit/bespoke financing and investment grade credit via CLOs and other customized solutions for clients.

			Assets Under Management as of	
	Closing Date	Initial Deal Size	March 31, 2015	2014
		(dollars in thousands)		
CLOs:				
OZLM I	July 19, 2012	\$510,700	\$468,752	\$465,919
OZLM II	November 1, 2012	560,100	517,366	514,759
OZLM III	February 20, 2013	653,250	613,652	610,573
OZLM IV	June 27, 2013	600,000	543,245	540,394
OZLM V	December 17, 2013	501,250	470,831	469,813
OZLM VI	April 16, 2014	621,250	593,085	35,000
OZLM VII	June 26, 2014	824,750	796,315	—
OZLM VIII	September 9, 2014	622,250	596,858	—
OZLM IX	December 22, 2014	510,208	494,244	—
OZLM XI	March 12, 2015	510,500	490,977	—
		5,914,258	5,585,325	2,636,458
Other funds	n/a	n/a	272,074	—
		\$5,914,258	\$5,857,399	\$2,636,458

Assets under management for our CLOs are generally based on the par value of the collateral and cash held in the CLOs. However, assets under management are reduced for any investments in our CLOs held by our other funds in order to avoid double counting these assets. Management fees for the CLOs are generally 0.50% of assets under management. Incentive income from our CLOs is equal to 20% of the excess cash flows due to the holders of the subordinated notes issued by the CLOs, subject to a 12% hurdle rate. We consolidate our CLOs, and therefore the management fees and incentive income from these entities is eliminated in consolidation. See “—Understanding Our Results—Incentive Income” for additional information. Because of the hurdle rate and structure of our CLOs, we do not expect to earn a meaningful amount of incentive income from these entities, and therefore no return information is presented for these vehicles.

The \$3.2 billion year-over-year increase in assets under management was driven by the launch of five additional CLOs.

Real Estate Funds

Our real estate funds generally make investments in commercial and residential real estate, including real property, multi-property portfolios, real estate-related joint ventures, real estate operating companies and other real estate-related assets.

Assets under management for our real estate funds are generally based on the amount of capital committed by our fund investors during the investment period and the amount of actual capital invested for periods following the investment period. However, assets under management are reduced for unfunded commitments by our executive managing directors that will be funded through transfers from other funds in order to avoid double counting these assets. Management fees for our real estate funds generally range from 0.65% to 1.50% of assets under management. The tables below present assets under management, investment performance and other information for our real estate funds. Incentive income related to these funds is equal to 20% of the cumulative realized profits attributable to each investor over the life of the fund, subject to hurdle rates (generally 6% to 10%). However, once the investment performance has exceeded the hurdle rate, we may receive a preferential “catch-up” allocation, resulting in a potential recognition by us of a full 20% of the net profits attributable to investors in these funds. We consolidate most of our real estate funds, and therefore incentive income from these funds is eliminated in consolidation. See “—Understanding

Our Results—Incentive Income” for additional information,

42

Edgar Filing: Och-Ziff Capital Management Group LLC - Form 10-Q

including the recognition of incentive income for funds we consolidate. Management fees from the real estate funds are generally paid to us directly by the investors in those funds, and therefore those amounts are not eliminated in consolidation.

							Assets Under Management as of March 31,			
							2015		2014	
Fund	(dollars in thousands)									
Och-Ziff Real Estate Fund I	\$44,470							\$64,914		
Och-Ziff Real Estate Fund II	413,938							773,865		
Och-Ziff Real Estate Fund III	1,441,630							763,545		
Other funds	158,328							130,718		
	\$2,058,366							\$1,733,042		
Inception to Date as of March 31, 2015										
	Total Investments						Realized/Partially Realized Investments ⁽¹⁾			
	Total Commitments	Invested Capital ⁽²⁾	Total Value ⁽³⁾	Gross IRR ⁽⁴⁾	Net IRR ⁽⁵⁾	Gross MOIC ⁽⁶⁾	Invested Capital	Total Value	Gross IRR ⁽⁴⁾	Gross MOIC ⁽⁶⁾
Fund (Investment Period)	(dollars in thousands)									
Och-Ziff Real Estate Fund I ⁽⁷⁾ (2005-2010)	\$408,081	\$384,331	\$760,748	25.1 %	15.3 %	2.0x	\$359,360	\$751,551	27.9 %	2.1x
Och-Ziff Real Estate Fund II ⁽⁷⁾ (2011-2014)	839,508	707,040	1,145,380	35.7 %	22.3 %	1.6x	388,345	699,590	45.4 %	1.8x
Och-Ziff Real Estate Fund III ⁽⁸⁾ (2014-2019)	1,500,000	79,375	80,269	n/m	n/m	n/m	—	—	n/m	n/m
Other funds	274,281	159,423	207,409	n/m	n/m	n/m	—	—	n/m	n/m
	\$3,021,870	\$1,330,169	\$2,193,806				\$747,705	\$1,451,141		
Unrealized Investments as of March 31, 2015										
	Invested Capital						Total Value	Gross MOIC ⁽⁶⁾		
Fund (Investment Period)	(dollars in thousands)									
Och-Ziff Real Estate Fund I (2005-2010) ⁽⁷⁾	\$24,971						\$9,197	0.4x		
Och-Ziff Real Estate Fund II (2011-2014) ⁽⁷⁾	318,695						445,790	1.4x		
Och-Ziff Real Estate Fund III (2014-2019) ⁽⁸⁾	79,375						80,269	n/m		
Other funds	159,423						207,409	n/m		
	\$582,464						\$742,665			

n/m not meaningful

(1) An investment is considered partially realized when the total amount of proceeds received, including dividends, interest or other distributions of income and return of capital, represents at least 50% of invested capital.

(2) Invested capital represents total aggregate contributions made for investments by the fund.

(3) Total value represents the sum of realized distributions and the fair value of unrealized and partially realized investments as of March 31, 2015. Total value will be impacted (either positively or negatively) by future economic and other factors. Accordingly, the total value ultimately realized will likely be higher or lower than the

amounts presented as of March 31, 2015.

Gross IRR for our real estate funds represents the estimated, unaudited, annualized return based on the timing of cash inflows and outflows for the aggregated investments as of March 31, 2015, including the fair value of

- (4) unrealized and partially realized investments as of such date, together with any unrealized appreciation or depreciation from related hedging activity. Gross IRR is not adjusted for estimated management fees, incentive income or other fees or expenses to be paid by the fund, which would reduce the return.

Net IRR is calculated as described in footnote (4), but is reduced by all management fees and other fund-level fees and expenses not adjusted for in the calculation of gross IRR. Net IRR is further reduced by paid incentive and

- (5) accrued incentive income that will be payable upon the distribution of each fund's capital in accordance with the terms of the relevant fund. Accrued incentive income may be higher or lower at such time. The net IRR represents a composite rate of return for a fund and does not reflect the net IRR specific to any individual investor.

- (6) Gross MOIC for our real estate funds is calculated by dividing the value of a fund's investments by the invested capital, prior to adjustments for incentive income, management fees or other expenses to be paid by the fund.

These funds have concluded their investment periods and are in the process of winding down, and therefore we

- (7) expect assets under management for these funds to decrease as investments are sold and the related proceeds are distributed to the investors in these funds.

- (8) This fund recently launched and has only invested a small portion of its committed capital; therefore, IRR and MOIC information is not presented, as it is not meaningful.

The \$325.3 million year-over-year increase in assets under management in our real estate funds was driven by an increase of \$678.1 million related to the launch of Och-Ziff Real Estate Fund III, partially offset by a \$359.9 million reduction in assets under management resulting from the expiration of the investment period of Och-Ziff Real Estate Fund II.

Other

Our other assets under management are comprised of funds that are generally strategy-specific, including our equity, Africa and energy funds. Management fees for these funds range from 1.00% to 1.75% of assets under management, generally based on the amount of capital committed to these platforms by our fund investors. Incentive income for our equity funds is generally 20% of realized and unrealized annual profits attributable to each investor. Incentive income related to the Africa and energy funds is generally 20% of cumulative realized profits attributable to each investor, and is subject to hurdle rates (generally 8%). Incentive income for the Africa and energy funds is generally not recognized as revenue until at or near the end of the life of the fund when it is no longer subject to clawback. See “—Understanding Our Results—Incentive Income” for additional information.

Longer-Term Assets Under Management

As of March 31, 2015, approximately 33% of our assets under management were subject to initial commitment periods of three years or longer. We earn incentive income on these assets based on the cumulative investment performance generated over this commitment period. The table below presents the amount of these assets under management, as well as the gross amount of incentive income accrued at the fund level but for which the commitment period has not concluded. These amounts have not yet been recognized in our revenues, as we recognize incentive income at the end of the commitment period when amounts are no longer subject to clawback. Further, these amounts may ultimately not be recognized as revenue by us in the event of future losses in the respective funds. See “—Understanding Our Results—Incentive Income” for additional information.

	March 31, 2015	
	Longer-Term Assets Under Management	Accrued Unrecognized Incentive
	(dollars in thousands)	
Multi-strategy funds	\$3,788,938	\$93,648
Credit		
Opportunistic credit funds	4,037,316	146,154
Institutional Credit Strategies	5,857,399	—
Real estate funds	2,058,366	100,092
Other	278,335	—
	\$16,020,354	\$339,894

We recognize incentive income on our longer-term assets under management in our multi-strategy funds and open-end opportunistic credit funds at the end of their respective commitment periods, which are generally three to five years. We expect the commitment period with respect to approximately 2% and 23% of the longer-term assets under management in our multi-strategy funds to mature during the second quarter of 2015 and remainder of 2015, respectively. We do not expect the initial commitment periods for a significant amount of longer-term assets under management in our open-end opportunistic credit funds to expire in 2015. Incentive income related to assets under management in our closed-end opportunistic credit funds and our real estate funds is generally recognized at or near the end of the life of each fund. These funds generally begin to wind down after the conclusion of their respective investment period, as presented in the tables above. However, these investment periods may generally be extended for an additional one to two years.

Understanding Our Results

Revenues

Our operations have been financed primarily by cash flows generated by our business. Our principal sources of revenues are management fees and incentive income. For any given period, our revenues are influenced by the amount of our assets under management, the investment performance of our funds and the timing of when we recognize

incentive income for certain assets under management as discussed below.

44

The ability of investors to contribute capital to and redeem capital from our funds causes our assets under management to fluctuate from period to period. Fluctuations in assets under management also result from our funds' investment performance. Both of these factors directly impact the revenues we earn from management fees and incentive income. For example, a \$1 billion increase or decrease in assets under management subject to a 2% management fee would generally increase or decrease annual management fees by \$20 million. If net profits attributable to a fee-paying fund investor were \$10 million in a given year, we generally would earn incentive income equal to \$2 million, assuming a 20% incentive income rate, a one-year commitment period, no hurdle rate and no high-water marks from prior years.

For any given quarter, our revenues will be influenced by the combination of assets under management and the investment performance of our funds. For the first three quarters of each year, our revenues will be primarily comprised of the management fees we have earned for each respective quarter. In addition, we may recognize incentive income for assets under management for which the measurement period expired in that quarter, such as assets subject to three-year commitment periods, or incentive income related to fund investor redemptions, and these amounts may be significant. In the fourth quarter, our revenues will be primarily comprised of the management fees we have earned for the quarter, as well as incentive income related to the full-year investment performance generated on assets under management that are subject to one-year commitment periods, or for other assets under management for which the commitment period expired in that quarter.

Management Fees. Management fees are generally calculated and paid to us on a quarterly basis in advance, based on the amount of assets under management at the beginning of the quarter. Management fees are prorated for capital inflows and redemptions during the quarter. Accordingly, changes in our management fee revenues from quarter to quarter are driven by changes in the quarterly opening balances of assets under management, the relative magnitude and timing of inflows and redemptions during the respective quarter, as well as the impact of differing management fee rates charged on those inflows and redemptions. Our average management fee rate for the first quarter of 2015 was approximately 1.43%. This average rate takes into account the effect of non-fee paying assets under management, as well as our opportunistic credit funds, Institutional Credit Strategies products, real estate funds and the other alternative investment vehicles we manage, which generally pay lower management fees than our multi-strategy products, consistent with the market convention for these asset classes.

Incentive Income. We earn incentive income based on the cumulative performance of our funds over a commitment period. Incentive income is typically equal to 20% of the net realized and unrealized profits attributable to each fund investor in our multi-strategy funds, open-end opportunistic credit funds and certain other funds, but it excludes unrealized gains and losses attributable to Special Investments. For our closed-end opportunistic credit funds, real estate funds and certain other funds, incentive income is typically equal to 20% of the realized profits attributable to each fund investor. For our CLOs within Institutional Credit Strategies, incentive income is typically 20% of the excess cash flows available to the holders of the subordinated notes. Our ability to earn incentive income from some of our funds may be impacted by hurdle rates as further discussed below.

For funds that we consolidate, including our real estate funds, certain opportunistic credit funds and certain other funds, incentive income is recognized by allocating a portion of the net income of the consolidated Och-Ziff funds to us rather than to the fund investors (noncontrolling interests). Incentive income allocated to us is not reflected as incentive income in our consolidated revenues, as these amounts are eliminated in consolidation. The allocation of incentive income to us is based on the contractual terms of the relevant fund agreements. As a result, we may recognize earnings related to our incentive income allocation from the consolidated Och-Ziff funds prior to the end of their respective commitment periods, and therefore we may recognize earnings that are subject to clawback to the extent a consolidated fund generates subsequent losses. For Economic Income purposes, we defer recognition of these earnings until they are no longer subject to clawback.

For funds that we do not consolidate, incentive income is not recognized until the end of the applicable commitment period when the amounts are contractually payable, or "crystallized." Additionally, all of our multi-strategy funds and open-end opportunistic credit funds are subject to a perpetual loss carry forward, or perpetual "high-water mark," meaning we will not be able to earn incentive income with respect to positive investment performance we generate for a fund investor in any year following negative investment performance until that loss is recouped, at which point a

fund investor's investment surpasses the high-water mark. We earn incentive income on any net profits in excess of the high-water mark.

The commitment period for most of our assets under management is for a period of one year on a calendar-year basis, and therefore we generally crystallize incentive income annually on December 31. We may also recognize incentive income related to fund investor redemptions at other times during the year. Additionally, we may recognize a material amount of incentive

income during the year related to assets subject to three-year commitment periods for which such period has expired (including the rollover of a portion of these assets into one-year commitment periods upon the conclusion of the initial three-year period), as well as assets in certain of our opportunistic credit funds, real estate funds and certain other funds we manage, which typically have commitment periods of three years or longer. We may also recognize incentive income for tax distributions related to these assets. Tax distributions are amounts distributed to us to cover tax liabilities related to incentive income that has been accrued at the fund level but will not be recognized by us until the end of the relevant commitment period (if at all).

In addition to assets under management subject to one-year commitment periods, approximately \$16.0 billion, or 33%, of our assets under management as of March 31, 2015 were subject to initial commitment periods of three years or longer. These assets under management include assets subject to three-year commitment periods in the OZ Master Fund and certain other multi-strategy funds, as well as assets in our opportunistic credit funds, Institutional Credit Strategies products, real estate funds and other alternative investment vehicles we manage. Incentive income related to these assets is based on the cumulative investment performance over a specified commitment period (in the case of CLOs within Institutional Credit Strategies, based on the excess cash flows available to the holders of the subordinated notes), and, to the extent a fund is not consolidated, is not earned until it is no longer subject to repayment to the respective fund. Our ability to earn incentive income on these longer-term assets is also subject to hurdle rates whereby we do not earn any incentive income until the investment returns exceed an agreed upon benchmark. However, for a portion of these assets subject to hurdle rates, once the investment performance has exceeded the hurdle rate, we may receive a preferential “catch-up” allocation, resulting in a potential recognition by us of a full 20% of the net profits attributable to investors in these assets.

Income of Consolidated Och-Ziff Funds. Revenues recorded as income of consolidated Och-Ziff funds consist of interest income, dividend income and other miscellaneous items.

Expenses

Compensation and Benefits. Compensation and benefits is comprised of salaries, benefits, payroll taxes, and discretionary and guaranteed cash bonus expense. On an annual basis, compensation and benefits comprise a significant portion of total expenses, with discretionary cash bonuses generally comprising a significant portion of total compensation and benefits. These cash bonuses are based on total annual revenues, which are significantly influenced by the amount of incentive income we earn in the year. Annual discretionary cash bonuses are generally determined and expensed in the fourth quarter each year. Compensation and benefits also includes equity-based compensation expense, which is primarily in the form of RSUs granted to our independent board members, employees and executive managing directors, as well as Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units granted to executive managing directors subsequent to the 2007 Offerings.

Subsequent to the 2007 Offerings we have issued Och-Ziff Operating Group D Units to certain executive managing directors. The Och-Ziff Operating Group D Units are not considered equity under GAAP and no equity-based compensation expense is recognized related to these units when they are granted. Distributions made to holders of these units are recognized within compensation and benefits in the consolidated statements of comprehensive income, and are done on a pro rata basis with the Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units (held by our executive managing directors and the Ziffs, until they exchanged their remaining units during the 2014 second quarter) and the Och-Ziff Operating Group B Units (held by our intermediate holding companies). An Och-Ziff Operating Group D Unit converts into an Och-Ziff Operating Group A Unit to the extent the Company determines that it has become economically equivalent to an Och-Ziff Operating Group A Unit, at which point it is considered a grant of equity-based compensation for GAAP purposes. Upon the conversion of Och-Ziff Operating Group D Units into Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units, we recognize a one-time charge for the grant-date fair value of the vested units and begin to amortize the grant-date fair value of the unvested units over the vesting period. As additional Och-Ziff Operating Group D Units are converted into Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units in the future, we may see increasing non-cash equity-based compensation expense related to these units.

We also have profit-sharing arrangements whereby certain employees or executive managing directors are entitled to a share of incentive income distributed by certain funds. This incentive income is typically paid to us, and a portion is paid to the participant, as investments held by these funds are realized. We defer the recognition of any portion of this

incentive income to the extent it is subject to clawback and relates to a fund that is not consolidated. See “—Incentive Income” above. To the extent that the payments to the employees or executive managing directors are probable and reasonably estimable, we accrue these payments as compensation expense for GAAP purposes, which may occur prior to the recognition of the related incentive income.

In August 2012, we adopted the Och-Ziff Capital Management Group LLC 2012 Partner Incentive Plan, which we refer to as the PIP, under which certain of our executive managing directors at the time of the IPO may be eligible to receive discretionary cash awards and discretionary grants of Och-Ziff Operating Group D Units over a five-year period that commenced in 2013. Each year, an aggregate of up to 2,770,749 Och-Ziff Operating Group D Units may be granted under the PIP to the participating executive managing directors. Aggregate discretionary cash awards for each year under the PIP will be capped at 10% of our incentive income earned during such year, up to a maximum of \$39.6 million. In addition to awards under the PIP, we may also issue additional performance-related Och-Ziff Operating Group D Units or make discretionary performance cash payments to our executive managing directors. Reorganization Expenses. As part of the Reorganization, interests in the Och-Ziff Operating Group held by our executive managing directors and the Ziffs were reclassified as Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units, resulting in significant non-cash Reorganization expenses. Substantially all of those Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units were expensed on a straight-line basis over a five-year vesting period following the 2007 Offerings, which concluded in November 2012. However, certain of these units have vesting periods through 2015.

Interest Expense. Amounts included within interest expense relate primarily to indebtedness outstanding under the 4.50% Senior Notes issued in November 2014 (the “Notes”) and, prior to repayment in November 2014, interest on our delayed draw term loan agreement entered into in November 2011 (the “Delayed Draw Term Loan”). We also have indebtedness outstanding under a secured multiple draw term loan agreement entered into in February 2014 to finance installment payments made toward the purchase of a new corporate aircraft that was delivered to us in February 2015 (the “Aircraft Loan”). Interest expense related to the Aircraft Loan was capitalized and, therefore, not included in interest expense prior to aircraft delivery. Following aircraft delivery in 2015, interest on the Aircraft Loan is fixed at 3.22% per annum. See “—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Debt Obligations” for additional information.

General, Administrative and Other. General, administrative and other expenses are related to recurring placement and related service fees, occupancy and equipment, professional services, information processing and communications, insurance, business development, changes in our tax receivable agreement liability and other miscellaneous expenses. Expenses of Consolidated Och-Ziff Funds. Expenses recorded as expenses of consolidated Och-Ziff funds consist of interest expense and other miscellaneous expenses.

Other Income

Net Gains on Investments in Och-Ziff Funds and Joint Ventures. Net gains on investments in Och-Ziff funds and joint ventures primarily consists of net gains and losses on investments in our funds made by us and net gains and losses on investments in joint ventures established to expand certain of our private investments platforms.

Net Gains of Consolidated Och-Ziff Funds. Net gains of consolidated Och-Ziff funds consist of net realized and unrealized gains and losses on investments held by the consolidated Och-Ziff funds.

Income Taxes

Income taxes consist of our provision for federal, state and local income taxes in the United States and foreign income taxes, including provisions for deferred income taxes resulting from temporary differences between the tax and GAAP basis. The computation of the provision requires certain estimates and significant judgment, including, but not limited to, the expected taxable income for the year, projections of the proportion of income earned and taxed in foreign jurisdictions, permanent differences between the tax and GAAP basis and the likelihood of being able to fully utilize deferred income tax assets existing as of the end of the period.

The Registrant and the Och-Ziff Operating Group entities are partnerships for U.S. federal income tax purposes. Due to our legal structure, only a portion of the income we earn is subject to corporate-level income taxes in the United States and foreign jurisdictions. The amount of incentive income we earn in a given year, the resultant flow of revenues and expenses through our legal entity structure, the effect that changes in our Class A Share price may have on the ultimate deduction we are able to take related to the vesting of RSUs, and any changes in future enacted income tax rates may have a significant impact on our income tax provision and effective income tax rate.

Net Income Allocated to Noncontrolling Interests

Noncontrolling interests represent ownership interests in our subsidiaries held by parties other than us and are primarily made up of Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units and fund investors' interests in the consolidated Och-Ziff funds. Increases or decreases in net income allocated to the Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units are driven by the earnings of the Och-Ziff Operating Group. Increases or decreases in the net income allocated to fund investors' interests in consolidated Och-Ziff funds are driven by the earnings of those funds as allocated under the contractual terms of the relevant fund agreements.

Our interest in the Och-Ziff Operating Group is expected to continue to increase over time as additional Class A Shares are issued upon the exchange of Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units and vesting of RSUs. These increases will be offset upon the conversion of Och-Ziff Operating Group D Units, which are not considered equity for GAAP purposes, into Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units.

Additionally, we consolidate an open-end opportunistic credit fund that we manage, wherein investors are able to redeem their interests after an initial lock-up period of one to three years. Allocations of earnings to these interests are reflected within net income allocated to redeemable noncontrolling interests in the consolidated statements of comprehensive income.

Results of Operations

Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 Compared to Three Months Ended March 31, 2014

Revenues

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014
	(dollars in thousands)	
Management fees	\$165,943	\$158,770
Incentive income	57,110	52,093
Other revenues	461	446
Income of consolidated Och-Ziff funds	109,337	74,171
Total Revenues	\$332,851	\$285,480

Total revenues increased by \$47.4 million, primarily due to the following:

A \$35.2 million increase in income of consolidated Och-Ziff funds. Substantially all of this income is allocated to noncontrolling interests, as we only have a minimal ownership interest, if any, in each of these funds. We may, however, be allocated a portion of these earnings through our incentive income allocation as general partner of these funds.

A \$7.2 million increase in management fees, primarily due to the year-over-year growth in assets under management, which was driven by a combination of performance-related appreciation and capital net inflows. See "Assets Under Management—Weighted-Average Assets Under Management and Average Management Fee Rate" for information regarding our average management fee rate.

A \$5.0 million increase in incentive income, driven by a \$5.8 million increase in incentive income from our opportunistic credit funds. This increase was driven primarily by higher tax distributions taken to cover tax liabilities on incentive income that has been accrued by certain of the funds we manage, but that will not be realized until the end of the relevant commitment period. Partially offsetting the higher tax distributions was \$9.1 million of incentive income earned from certain of our closed-end opportunistic credit funds in the first quarter of 2014 that did not reoccur in 2015.

Expenses

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014
	(dollars in thousands)	
Compensation and benefits	\$69,918	\$65,855
Reorganization expenses	4,017	4,021
Interest expense	5,245	1,666
General, administrative and other	49,835	35,912
Expenses of consolidated Och-Ziff funds	59,888	38,677
Total Expenses	\$188,903	\$146,131

Total expenses increased by \$42.8 million, primarily due to the following:

- A \$21.2 million increase in expenses of consolidated Och-Ziff funds. Substantially all of these expenses are allocated to noncontrolling interests, as we only have a minimal ownership interest, if any, in each of these funds. These expenses, however, may reduce the amount of earnings from the consolidated funds allocated to us through our incentive income allocation as general partner of these funds.

A \$13.9 million increase in general, administrative and other expenses, primarily driven by a \$4.5 million increase related to a reserve for certain regulatory matters. Also contributing to the increase was a \$3.8 million increase in expense related to a reduction in the tax receivable agreement liability recognized during the first quarter of 2014 as a result of updated estimated future income tax savings at the state and local levels. In addition, a \$2.1 million increase in information processing and communications expense and a \$1.9 million increase in recurring placement and related service fees contributed to the increase.

A \$4.1 million increase in compensation and benefits expenses, primarily due to a \$2.2 million increase in salaries and benefits due in part to our hiring activities globally. Our worldwide headcount increased to 596 as of March 31, 2015 compared to 552 as of March 31, 2014. In addition, equity based compensation increased \$1.7 million primarily due to an increase in amortization related to unvested RSUs.

▲ \$3.6 million increase in interest expense primarily due to the issuance of our Notes in the fourth quarter of 2014.

Other Income

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014
	(dollars in thousands)	
Net gains on investments in Och-Ziff funds and joint ventures	\$117	\$5,483
Net gains of consolidated Och-Ziff funds	45,885	54,499
Total Other Income	\$46,002	\$59,982

Total other income decreased by \$14.0 million, primarily due to a decrease in net gains of consolidated Och-Ziff funds. Substantially all of these net gains are allocated to noncontrolling interests, as we only have a minimal ownership interest, if any, in each of these funds. We may, however, be allocated a portion of these earnings through our incentive income allocation as general partner of these funds. Also contributing to the decrease was a \$5.4 million decrease in net gains on investments in Och-Ziff funds and joint ventures driven by the sale of an investment held by a joint venture during the first quarter of 2014 that did not reoccur in 2015.

Income Taxes

Three Months Ended March
31,
2015 2014

(dollars in thousands)

Income taxes \$25,160 \$33,591

Income tax expense decreased by \$8.4 million, primarily driven by a \$7.0 million decrease related to a liability for uncertain tax positions established in the first quarter of 2014, as well as a \$4.0 million decrease in state and local deferred income tax expense due to changes in apportionment factors. Partially offsetting these decreases was a \$3.5 million increase due to higher taxable income.

Net Income Allocated to Noncontrolling Interests

The following table presents the components of the net income allocated to noncontrolling interests and to redeemable noncontrolling interests:

Three Months Ended March
31,
2015 2014

(dollars in thousands)

Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units	\$80,932	\$73,581
Consolidated Och-Ziff funds	52,352	58,241
Other	69	243
Total	\$133,353	\$132,065

Redeemable noncontrolling interests \$5,566 \$9,823

Net income allocated to noncontrolling interests increased \$1.3 million primarily due to the following:

A \$7.4 million increase in the net income allocated to the Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units, driven primarily by higher management fees and incentive income, partially offset by higher operating expenses and a gain on the sale of an investment held by a joint venture in the first quarter of 2014 that did not reoccur in 2015.

A \$5.9 million decrease in the net income allocated to the consolidated Och-Ziff funds, driven primarily by the decrease in net gains of consolidated Och-Ziff funds and an increase in expenses of consolidated Och-Ziff funds, partially offset by higher income of consolidated Och-Ziff funds.

The \$4.3 million decrease in net income allocated to redeemable noncontrolling interests was driven primarily by the increase in the size of the opportunistic credit fund that is currently classified as redeemable noncontrolling interests.

Net Income Allocated to Class A Shareholders

Three Months Ended March
31,
2015 2014

(dollars in thousands)

Net income allocated to Class A Shareholders \$25,871 \$23,852

Net income allocated to Class A Shareholders increased by \$2.0 million, primarily due to higher management fees and incentive income, partially offset by higher operating expenses and a gain on the sale of an investment held by a joint venture in the first quarter of 2014 that did not reoccur in 2015.

Economic Income Analysis

In addition to analyzing our results on a GAAP basis, management also reviews our results on an “Economic Income” basis. Economic Income excludes the adjustments described below that are required for presentation of our results on a GAAP basis, but that management does not consider when evaluating operating performance in any given period. Management uses Economic Income as the basis on which it evaluates our financial performance and makes resource allocation and other operating decisions. Management considers it important that investors review the same operating information that it uses.

Economic Income is a measure of pre-tax operating performance that excludes the following from our results on a GAAP basis:

Income allocations to our executive managing directors and the Ziffs (until they exchanged their remaining interests during the 2014 second quarter) on their direct interests in the Och-Ziff Operating Group. Management reviews operating performance at the Och-Ziff Operating Group level, where substantially all of our operations are performed, prior to making any income allocations.

Reorganization expenses related to the 2007 Offerings, equity-based compensation expenses and depreciation and amortization expenses, as management does not consider these non-cash expenses to be reflective of operating performance. However, the fair value of RSUs that are settled in cash to employees or executive managing directors is included as an expense at the time of settlement.

Changes in the tax receivable agreement liability and net gains (losses) on investments in Och-Ziff funds, as management does not consider these items to be reflective of operating performance.

Amounts related to the consolidated Och-Ziff funds, including the related eliminations of management fees and incentive income, as management reviews the total amount of management fees and incentive income earned in relation to total assets under management and fund performance. We also defer the recognition of incentive income allocations from the consolidated Och-Ziff funds until all clawback contingencies are resolved, consistent with the revenue recognition policy for the funds we do not consolidate.

In addition, expenses related to compensation and profit-sharing arrangements based on fund investment performance are recognized at the end of the relevant commitment period, as management reviews the total compensation expense related to these arrangements in relation to any incentive income earned by the relevant fund.

As a result of the adjustments described above, as well as an adjustment to present management fees net of recurring placement and related service fees (rather than considering these fees an expense), management fees, incentive income, compensation and benefits, non-compensation expenses and net income (loss) allocated to noncontrolling interests as presented on an Economic Income basis are also non-GAAP measures. No adjustments to the GAAP basis have been made for other revenues and net gains (losses) on joint ventures. For reconciliations of our non-GAAP measures to the respective GAAP measures, please see “—Economic Income Reconciliations” at the end of this MD&A. Our non-GAAP financial measures should not be considered as alternatives to our GAAP net income allocated to Class A Shareholders or cash flow from operations, or as indicative of liquidity or the cash available to fund operations. Our non-GAAP measures may not be comparable to similarly titled measures used by other companies. We currently have two operating segments: the Och-Ziff Funds segment and our real estate business. The Och-Ziff Funds segment is currently our only reportable segment under GAAP and provides asset management services to our multi-strategy funds, dedicated opportunistic credit funds and other alternative investment vehicles. Our real estate business, which provides asset management services to our real estate funds, is included within Other Operations as it does not meet the threshold of a reportable business segment under GAAP.

Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 Compared to Three Months Ended March 31, 2014

Economic Income Revenues (Non-GAAP)

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2015			Three Months Ended March 31, 2014		
	Och-Ziff Funds Segment	Other Operations	Total Company	Och-Ziff Funds Segment	Other Operations	Total Company
	(dollars in thousands)					
Economic Income Basis						
Management fees	\$159,068	\$4,775	\$163,843	\$151,886	\$2,674	\$154,560
Incentive income	63,770	1,513	65,283	59,008	—	59,008
Other revenues	452	9	461	439	7	446
Total Economic Income Revenues	\$223,290	\$6,297	\$229,587	\$211,333	\$2,681	\$214,014

Economic Income revenues increased by \$15.6 million, primarily due to the following:

A \$9.3 million increase in management fees, primarily due to the year-over-year growth in assets under management, which was driven by a combination of capital net inflows and performance-related appreciation. See “Assets Under Management—Weighted-Average Assets Under Management and Average Management Fee Rate” for information regarding our average management fee rate.

A \$6.3 million increase in incentive income, driven by the following: (i) a \$5.5 million increase in incentive income from our opportunistic credit funds. This increase was driven primarily by higher tax distributions taken to cover tax liabilities on incentive income that has been accrued by certain of the funds we manage, but that will not be realized until the end of the relevant commitment period. Partially offsetting the higher tax distributions was \$10.9 million of incentive income earned from certain of our closed-end opportunistic credit funds in the first quarter of 2014 that did not reoccur in 2015; and (ii) a \$1.5 million increase from our real estate funds in connection with the continued wind down of Och-Ziff Real Estate Fund I.

Economic Income Expenses (Non-GAAP)

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2015			Three Months Ended March 31, 2014		
	Och-Ziff Funds Segment	Other Operations	Total Company	Och-Ziff Funds Segment	Other Operations	Total Company
	(dollars in thousands)					
Economic Income Basis						
Compensation and benefits	\$30,782	\$2,560	\$33,342	\$28,124	\$1,077	\$29,201
Non-compensation expenses	39,853	452	40,305	29,486	(638)	28,848
Total Economic Income Expenses	\$70,635	\$3,012	\$73,647	\$57,610	\$439	\$58,049

Economic Income expenses increased by \$15.6 million, primarily due to the following:

A \$11.5 million increase in non-compensation expenses primarily driven by the following: (i) a \$4.5 million increase related to a reserve for certain regulatory matters; (ii) a \$3.6 million increase in interest expense related to the issuance of our Notes in the fourth quarter of 2014. The remaining variance was driven by a combination of various other operating expenses. The ratio of non-compensation expense to management fees was 25% in the first quarter of 2015, compared to 19% in the first quarter of 2014. We expect this ratio to increase to a range of 30% to 32% in the second quarter of 2015 as a result of legal expenses relating to regulatory and legal matters.

A \$4.1 million increase in compensation and benefit expenses driven by a \$2.2 million increase in salaries and benefits due to our hiring activities globally as discussed above in “—Results of Operations.” The remaining increase was

driven by bonus expense. The ratio of salaries and benefits to management fees was 17% in the first quarters of 2015 and 2014.

Other Economic Income Items (Non-GAAP)

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2015			Three Months Ended March 31, 2014		
	Och-Ziff Funds Segment	Other Operations	Total Company	Och-Ziff Funds Segment	Other Operations	Total Company
(dollars in thousands)						
Economic Income Basis						
Net gains on joint ventures	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$4,874	\$—	\$4,874
Net loss allocated to noncontrolling interests	\$(8)	\$—	\$(8)	\$(1)	\$—	\$(1)

Net gains on joint ventures represent the net gains on joint ventures established to expand certain of our private investments platforms. Net income (loss) allocated to noncontrolling interests represents the amount of income (loss) that was reduced from Economic Income and allocated to residual interests in certain businesses not owned by us. The year-over-year decrease in net gains on joint ventures was primarily due to the sale of an investment held by a joint venture in the first quarter of 2014.

Economic Income (Non-GAAP)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014
(dollars in thousands)		
Economic Income:		
Och-Ziff Funds segment	\$152,663	\$158,598
Other Operations	3,285	2,242
Total Company	\$155,948	\$160,840

Economic Income decreased by \$4.9 million, primarily due to higher operating expenses and a gain on the sale of an investment held by a joint venture in the first quarter of 2014 that did not reoccur in 2015, partially offset by higher management fees and incentive income.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The working capital needs of our business have historically been met, and we anticipate will continue to be met, through cash generated from management fees and incentive income earned by the Och-Ziff Operating Group from our funds.

Over the next 12 months, we expect that our primary liquidity needs will be to:

- Pay our operating expenses, primarily consisting of compensation and benefits, as well as any related tax withholding obligations, and non-compensation expenses.

- Pay interest on our debt obligations.

- Provide capital to facilitate the growth of our business.

- Pay income taxes and amounts to our executive managing directors and the Ziffs with respect to the tax receivable agreement as discussed below under “—Tax Receivable Agreement.”

- Make cash distributions in accordance with our distribution policy as discussed below under “—Dividends and Distributions.”

Historically, management fees have been more than sufficient to cover all of our “fixed” operating expenses, which we define as salaries and benefits and our non-compensation costs. We cannot predict the amount of incentive income, if any, which we may earn in any given year. Accordingly, we do not rely on incentive income to meet our fixed operating expenses. Total annual revenues, which are heavily influenced by the amount of annual incentive income we earn, historically have been sufficient to

fund all of our other working capital needs, including annual discretionary cash bonuses. These cash bonuses, which historically have comprised our largest cash operating expense, are variable such that in any year where total annual revenues are greater or less than the prior year, cash bonuses may be adjusted accordingly. Our ability to scale our largest cash operating expense to our total annual revenues helps us manage our cash flow and liquidity position from year to year.

Executive managing directors participating in the PIP may be eligible to receive discretionary annual cash awards each year for a five-year period that commenced in 2013, if we earn incentive income in the relevant year. The maximum aggregate amount of cash that may be awarded for each year under the PIP to the participating executive managing directors, collectively, will be capped at 10% of our incentive income earned during that year, up to a maximum aggregate amount of \$39.6 million. Whether any cash is awarded under the PIP in a particular year, and the amount of such awards, will be determined by the Compensation Committee of the Board in its sole discretion, based on recommendations from Mr. Och for that year.

Based on our past results, management's experience and our current level of assets under management, we believe that our existing cash resources, together with the cash generated from management fees, will be sufficient to meet our anticipated fixed operating expenses and other working capital needs for at least the next 12 months. As we have done historically, we will determine the amount of discretionary cash bonuses, including discretionary annual cash awards under the PIP described above, during the fourth quarter of each year, based on our total annual revenues. We intend to fund this amount through fourth quarter management fees and incentive income crystallized on December 31, which represents the majority of the incentive income we typically earn each year. Although we cannot predict the amount, if any, of incentive income we may earn, we are able to regularly monitor expected management fees and we believe that we will be able to adjust our expense infrastructure, including discretionary cash bonuses, as needed to meet the requirements of our business and in order to maintain positive operating cash flows. Nevertheless, if we generate insufficient cash flows from operations to meet our short-term liquidity needs, we may have to borrow funds or sell assets, subject to existing contractual arrangements.

We may use cash on hand to repay all or a portion of our Notes, the Aircraft Loan and any future drawings on our Revolving Credit Facility (as defined below) prior to their respective maturity dates, which would reduce amounts available to distribute to our Class A Shareholders. For any amounts unpaid as of the maturity date, we will be required to repay the remaining balance by using cash on hand, refinancing the remaining balance by issuing new notes or entering into new credit facilities, which could result in higher borrowing costs, or by raising cash by issuing equity or other securities, which would dilute existing shareholders. No assurance can be given that we will be able to issue new notes, enter into new credit facilities or issue equity or other securities in the future on attractive terms or at all. Any new notes or new credit facilities that we may be able to issue or enter into may have covenants that impose additional limitations on us, including with respect to making distributions, entering into business transactions or other matters, and may result in increased interest expense. If we are unable to meet our debt obligations on terms that are favorable to us, our business may be adversely impacted. See "—Debt Obligations" for more information.

For our other longer-term liquidity requirements, we expect to continue to fund our fixed operating expenses through management fees and to fund discretionary cash bonuses and the repayment of our debt obligations through a combination of management fees and incentive income. We may also decide to meet these requirements by issuing additional debt, equity or other securities. Over the long term, we believe we will be able to grow our assets under management and generate positive investment performance in our funds, which we expect will allow us to grow our management fees and incentive income in amounts sufficient to cover our long-term liquidity requirements.

To maintain maximum flexibility to meet demands and opportunities both in the short and long term, and subject to existing contractual arrangements, we may want to retain cash, issue additional equity or borrow additional funds to:

- Support the future growth in our business.
- Create new or enhance existing products and investment platforms.
- Repay borrowings.
- Pursue new investment opportunities.
- Develop new distribution channels.

Market conditions and other factors may make it more difficult or costly to raise or borrow additional funds. Excessive costs or other significant market barriers may limit or prevent us from maximizing our growth potential and flexibility.

Debt Obligations

Senior Notes

On November 20, 2014, we issued \$400.0 million of 4.50% Senior Notes due November 20, 2019, unless earlier redeemed or repurchased. A portion of the proceeds from the Notes issuance was used to repay in full outstanding borrowings under the Delayed Draw Term Loan. The Notes were issued at a price equal to 99.417% of the aggregate principal amount and bear interest at a rate per annum of 4.50% payable semiannually in arrears. The Notes are unsecured and unsubordinated obligations of the issuer, Och-Ziff Finance, and are fully and unconditionally guaranteed, jointly and severally, on an unsecured and unsubordinated basis by the Och-Ziff Operating Group entities. Please see Note 9 to our consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report for a description of the redemption provisions and restrictions under the Notes.

Revolving Credit Facility

On November 20, 2014, we entered into a \$150.0 million, 5-year unsecured revolving credit facility (the “Revolving Credit Facility”), the proceeds of which may be used for working capital and general corporate purposes. The borrower under the Revolving Credit Facility is OZ Management and the facility is guaranteed by OZ Advisors I, OZ Advisors II and Och-Ziff Finance. We are able to increase the maximum amount of credit available under the facility to \$225.0 million if certain conditions are satisfied. As of March 31, 2015, there were no outstanding borrowings under the facility.

We are subject to a fee of 0.10% to 0.25% per annum on undrawn commitments during the term of the Revolving Credit Facility. Outstanding borrowings will bear interest at a rate per annum of LIBOR plus 1.00% to 2.00%, or a base rate plus zero to 1.00%. The commitment fees and the spreads over LIBOR or the base rate are based on OZ Management’s credit rating throughout the term of the facility.

Please see Note 9 to our consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report for a description of the financial covenants and restrictions under the Revolving Credit Facility.

Aircraft Loan

On February 14, 2014, we entered into the Aircraft Loan to finance installment payments towards the purchase of a new corporate aircraft that was delivered to us in February 2015. The Aircraft Loan is guaranteed by OZ Management, OZ Advisors I and OZ Advisors II. As of March 31, 2015, \$52.0 million was outstanding under the Aircraft Loan. Outstanding borrowings bear interest at a rate of 3.22% per annum, and the balance is payable in equal monthly installments of principal and interest over the term of the facility beginning on the aircraft delivery date, with a balloon payment of \$30.8 million due upon maturity on February 4, 2022. There are no financial covenants associated with the Aircraft Loan. The Aircraft Loan includes other customary terms and conditions, including customary events of default and covenants.

Tax Receivable Agreement

We have made, and may in the future be required to make, payments under the tax receivable agreement that we entered into with our executive managing directors and the Ziffs. The purchase by the Och-Ziff Operating Group of Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units from our executive managing directors and the Ziffs with proceeds from the 2007 Offerings, and subsequent taxable exchanges by them of Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units for our Class A Shares on a one-for-one basis (or, at our option, a cash equivalent), resulted, and, in the case of future exchanges, are anticipated to result, in an increase in the tax basis of the assets of the Och-Ziff Operating Group that would not otherwise have been available. We anticipate that any such tax basis adjustment resulting from an exchange will be allocated principally to certain intangible assets of the Och-Ziff Operating Group, and we will derive our tax benefits principally through amortization of these intangibles over a 15-year period. Consequently, these tax basis adjustments will increase, for tax purposes, our depreciation and amortization expenses and will therefore reduce the amount of tax that Och-Ziff Corp and any other corporate taxpaying entities that hold Och-Ziff Operating Group B Units in connection with an exchange, if any, would otherwise be required to pay in the future. Accordingly, pursuant to the tax receivable agreement, such corporate taxpaying entities (including Och-Ziff Capital Management Group LLC if it is treated as a corporate taxpayer) have agreed to pay our executive managing directors and the Ziffs 85% of the amount of cash savings, if any, in federal,

state and local income taxes in the United States that these entities actually realize related to their units as a result of such increases in tax basis.

In connection with the departure of certain former executive managing directors since the 2007 Offerings, the right to receive payments under the tax receivable agreement by those former executive managing directors was contributed to the Och-Ziff Operating Group. As a result, we expect to pay to the other executive managing directors and the Ziffs approximately 78% (from 85% at the time of the 2007 Offerings) of the amount of cash savings, if any, in federal, state and local income taxes in the United States that we actually realize as a result of such increases in tax basis. To the extent that we do not realize any cash savings, we would not be required to make corresponding payments under the tax receivable agreement.

Payments under the tax receivable agreement are anticipated to increase the tax basis adjustment of intangible assets resulting from a prior exchange, with such increase being amortized over the remainder of the amortization period applicable to the original basis adjustment of such intangible assets resulting from such prior exchange. It is anticipated that this will result in increasing annual amortization deductions in the taxable years of and after such increases to the original basis adjustments, and potentially will give rise to increasing tax savings with respect to such years and correspondingly increasing payments under the tax receivable agreement.

As of March 31, 2015, assuming no material changes in the relevant tax law and that we generate sufficient taxable income to realize the full tax benefit of the increased amortization resulting from the increase in tax basis of our assets, we expect to pay our executive managing directors and the Ziffs approximately \$701.1 million over the next 15 years as a result of the cash savings to our intermediate holding companies from the purchase of Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units from our executive managing directors and the Ziffs with proceeds from the 2007 Offerings and the exchange of Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units for Class A Shares. Future cash savings and related payments to our executive managing directors under the tax receivable agreement in respect of subsequent exchanges would be in addition to these amounts. The obligation to make payments under the tax receivable agreement is an obligation of Och-Ziff Corp, and any other corporate taxpaying entities that hold Och-Ziff Operating Group B Units, and not of the Och-Ziff Operating Group entities. We may need to incur debt to finance payments under the tax receivable agreement to the extent the entities within the Och-Ziff Operating Group do not distribute cash to our intermediate corporate tax paying entities in an amount sufficient to meet our obligations under the tax receivable agreement.

The actual increase in tax basis of the Och-Ziff Operating Group assets resulting from an exchange or from payments under the tax receivable agreement, as well as the amortization thereof and the timing and amount of payments under the tax receivable agreement, will vary based upon a number of factors, including the following:

The amount and timing of the income of Och-Ziff Corp will impact the payments to be made under the tax receivable agreement. To the extent that Och-Ziff Corp does not have sufficient taxable income to utilize the amortization deductions available as a result of the increased tax basis in the Och-Ziff Operating Group assets, payments required under the tax receivable agreement would be reduced.

The price of our Class A Shares at the time of any exchange will determine the actual increase in tax basis of the Och-Ziff Operating Group assets resulting from such exchange; payments under the tax receivable agreement resulting from future exchanges, if any, will be dependent in part upon such actual increase in tax basis.

The composition of the Och-Ziff Operating Group's assets at the time of any exchange will determine the extent to which Och-Ziff Corp may benefit from amortizing its increased tax basis in such assets and thus will impact the amount of future payments under the tax receivable agreement resulting from any future exchanges.

- The extent to which future exchanges are taxable will impact the extent to which Och-Ziff Corp will receive an increase in tax basis of the Och-Ziff Operating Group assets as a result of such exchanges, and thus will impact the benefit derived by Och-Ziff Corp and the resulting payments, if any, to be made under the tax receivable agreement.
- The tax rates in effect at the time any potential tax savings are realized, which would affect the amount of any future payments under the tax receivable agreement.

Depending upon the outcome of these factors, payments that we may be obligated to make to our executive managing directors and the Ziffs under the tax receivable agreement in respect of exchanges could be substantial. In light of the numerous factors affecting our obligation to make payments under the tax receivable agreement, the timing and

amounts of any such actual payments are not reasonably ascertainable.

Dividends and Distributions

The following table presents the cash dividends declared on our Class A Shares in 2015 and the related cash distributions to our executive managing directors on their Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units and Och-Ziff Operating Group D Units:

Payment Date	Class A Shares		Related Distributions to Executive Managing Directors (dollars in thousands)
	Record Date	Dividend per Share	
May 22, 2015	May 15, 2015	\$0.22	\$87,615
February 24, 2015	February 17, 2015	\$0.47	\$181,714

We intend to distribute to our Class A Shareholders substantially all of their pro rata share of our annual Economic Income (as described above under “—Economic Income Analysis”) in excess of amounts determined by us to be necessary or appropriate to provide for the conduct of our business, to pay income taxes, to pay any amounts owed under the tax receivable agreement, to make appropriate investments in our business and our funds, and to make payments on any of our other obligations.

When we pay dividends on our Class A Shares, we also intend to make distributions to our executive managing directors on their interests in the Och-Ziff Operating Group, subject to the terms of the limited partnership agreements of the Och-Ziff Operating Group entities.

The declaration and payment of future distributions will be at the sole discretion of our Board of Directors, which may change our distribution policy or reduce or eliminate our distributions at any time in its discretion. Our Board of Directors will take into account such factors as it may deem relevant, including general economic and business conditions; our strategic plans and prospects; our business and investment opportunities; our financial condition and operating results; working capital requirements and anticipated cash needs; contractual restrictions and obligations, including payment obligations pursuant to the tax receivable agreement and restrictions pursuant to our term loan; legal, tax and regulatory restrictions; other restrictions and limitations on the payment of distributions by us to our Class A Shareholders or by our subsidiaries to us; and such other factors as our Board of Directors may deem relevant. The declaration and payment of any distribution may be subject to legal, contractual or other restrictions. For example, as a Delaware limited liability company, Och-Ziff Capital Management Group LLC is not permitted to make distributions if and to the extent that after giving effect to such distributions, its liabilities would exceed the fair value of its assets. Our cash needs and payment obligations may fluctuate significantly from quarter to quarter, and we may have material unexpected expenses in any period. This may cause amounts available for distribution to significantly fluctuate from quarter to quarter or may reduce or eliminate such amounts.

Additionally, RSUs outstanding accrue dividend equivalents equal to the dividend amounts paid on our Class A Shares. To date, these dividend equivalents have been awarded in the form of additional RSUs, which accrue additional dividend equivalents. The dividend equivalents will only be paid if the related RSUs vest and will be settled at the same time as the underlying RSUs. Our Board of Directors has the right to determine whether the RSUs and any related dividend equivalents will be settled in Class A Shares or in cash. We currently withhold shares to satisfy the tax withholding obligations related to vested RSUs and dividend equivalents held by our employees, which results in the use of cash from operations or borrowings to satisfy these tax-withholding payments.

In accordance with the Och-Ziff Operating Group entities’ limited partnership agreements, we may cause the applicable Och-Ziff Operating Group entities to distribute cash to the intermediate holding companies and our executive managing directors in an amount at least equal to the presumed maximum tax liabilities arising from their direct ownership in these entities. The presumed maximum tax liabilities are based upon the presumed maximum income allocable to any such unit holder at the maximum combined U.S. federal, New York State and New York City tax rates. Holders of our Class A Shares may not always receive distributions at a time when our intermediate holding companies and our executive managing directors are receiving distributions on their interests, as distributions to our intermediate holding companies may be used to settle tax liabilities, if any, or other obligations. Such tax distributions

will take into account the disproportionate income allocation (but not a disproportionate cash allocation) to the unit holders with respect to “built-in gain assets,” if any, at the time of the 2007

Offerings. Consequently, Och-Ziff Operating Group tax distributions may be greater than if such assets had a tax basis equal to their value at the time of the 2007 Offerings.

Our cash distribution policy has certain risks and limitations, particularly with respect to our liquidity. Although we expect to pay distributions according to our policy, we may not make distributions according to our policy, or at all, if, among other things, we do not have the cash necessary to pay the distribution. Moreover, if the Och-Ziff Operating Group's cash flows from operations are insufficient to enable it to make required minimum tax distributions discussed above, the Och-Ziff Operating Group may have to borrow funds or sell assets, and thus our liquidity and financial condition could be materially adversely affected. Furthermore, by paying cash distributions rather than investing that cash in our businesses, we might risk slowing the pace of our growth, or not having a sufficient amount of cash to fund our obligations, operations, new investments or unanticipated capital expenditures, should the need arise. In such event, we may not be able to execute our business and growth strategy to the extent intended.

Our Funds' Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our funds have access to liquidity from our prime brokers and other counterparties. Additionally, our funds may have committed facilities in addition to regular financing from our counterparties. These sources of liquidity provide our funds with additional financing resources, allowing them to take advantage of opportunities in the global marketplace. Our funds' current liquidity position could be adversely impacted by any substantial, unanticipated investor redemptions from our funds that are made within a short time period. As discussed above in "—Assets Under Management and Fund Performance," capital contributions from investors in our multi-strategy and open-end opportunistic credit funds generally are subject to initial lock-up periods of one to three years. Following the expiration of these lock-up periods, subject to certain limitations, investors may redeem capital generally on a quarterly or annual basis upon giving 30 to 90 days' prior written notice. These lock-ups and redemption notice periods help us to manage our liquidity position. However, upon the payment of a redemption fee to the applicable fund and upon giving 30 days' prior written notice, certain investors may redeem capital during the lock-up period. Investors in our other funds are generally not allowed to redeem until the end of the life of the fund.

We also follow a rigorous risk management process and regularly monitor the liquidity of our funds' portfolios in relation to economic and market factors and the timing of potential investor redemptions. As a result of this process, we may determine to reduce exposure or increase the liquidity of our funds' portfolios at any time, whether in response to global economic and market conditions, redemption requests or otherwise. For these reasons, we believe we will be well prepared to address market conditions and redemption requests, as well as any other events, with limited impact on our funds' liquidity position. Nevertheless, significant redemptions made during a single quarter could adversely affect our funds' liquidity position, as we may meet redemptions by using our funds' available cash or selling assets (possibly at a loss). Such actions would result in lower assets under management, which would reduce the amount of management fees and incentive income we may earn. Our funds could also meet redemption requests by increasing leverage, provided we are able to obtain financing on reasonable terms, if at all. We believe our funds have sufficient liquidity to meet any anticipated redemptions for the foreseeable future.

Cash Flows Analysis

Operating Activities. Net cash from operating activities for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 was \$149.3 million and \$606.1 million, respectively. Our net cash flows from operating activities are generally comprised of current-year management fees, the collection of incentive income earned during the fourth quarter of the previous year, less cash operating expenses. Additionally, net cash from operating activities also includes the investment activities of the funds we consolidate. These investment-related cash flows are of the consolidated funds and do not directly impact the cash flows related to our Class A Shareholders.

The decrease in net cash provided by operating activities was primarily due to lower incentive income earned in 2014 compared to 2013, partially offset by lower discretionary bonuses in 2014 compared to 2013. The majority of our incentive income is generally collected and the related bonus payments are paid out during the first quarter of the following year.

Investing Activities. Net cash provided by investing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 was \$(20.5) million and \$(33.4) million, respectively. Investing cash flows in 2015 primarily related to the final installment payment paid upon delivery of our new corporate aircraft in February. The remaining investing-related

cash flows relate to other fixed

58

asset purchases, primarily related to leasehold improvements in our New York headquarters. Investing cash flows in 2014 primarily related to investments made in the Och-Ziff funds, as well as an installment payment paid towards our new corporate aircraft. Investment-related cash flows of the consolidated Och-Ziff funds are classified within operating activities.

Financing Activities. Net cash used in financing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 was \$96.2 million and \$451.5 million, respectively. Our net cash from financing activities are generally comprised of dividends paid to our Class A Shareholders and borrowings and repayments related to our debt obligations.

Contributions from noncontrolling interests, substantially all of which relate to fund investor contributions into the consolidated funds, and distributions to noncontrolling interests, which relate to fund investor redemptions and distributions to our executive managing directors and the Ziffs (until they exchanged their remaining interests during the 2014 second quarter) on their Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units, are also included in net cash from financing activities.

We paid dividends to our Class A Shareholders of \$82.8 million and \$190.2 million and paid distributions to our executive managing directors and the Ziffs on the Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units of \$169.1 million and \$406.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

The decrease in net cash used in financing activities was primarily due to lower distributions on the Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units and dividends to Class A Shareholders as a result of the lower incentive income earned in 2014 compared to 2013 as discussed above.

Contractual Obligations

During the three months ended March 31, 2015, we closed an additional CLO resulting in an increase in the notes payable of consolidated CLOs. The table below presents the contractual cash obligation related to our CLOs as of March 31, 2015.

	Remainder of 2015	2016 - 2017	2018 - 2019	2020 - Thereafter	Total
(dollars in thousands)					
Notes payable of consolidated CLO ⁽¹⁾	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$5,914,258	\$5,914,258
Estimated interest on notes payable of consolidated CLO ⁽²⁾	96,857	256,695	256,695	747,964	1,358,211
Total Contractual Obligation of Consolidated CLO	\$96,857	\$256,695	\$256,695	\$6,662,222	\$7,272,469

(1) Represents the obligation of our consolidated CLOs, which has no recourse to the Och-Ziff Operating Group.

Represents the expected future interest payments on the notes payable of our consolidated CLOs, assuming no

(2) prepayments will be made and that debt will be outstanding until its final stated maturity date. For notes with variable interest rates, the amounts presented are based on the LIBOR rate in effect as of March 31, 2015.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of March 31, 2015, we did not have any off-balance sheet arrangements.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Critical accounting policies are those that require us to make significant judgments, estimates or assumptions that affect amounts reported in our financial statements or the notes thereto. We base our judgments, estimates and assumptions on current facts, historical experience and various other factors that we believe to be reasonable and prudent. Actual results may differ materially from these estimates. See Note 2 to our consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report for a description of our accounting policies. Set forth below is a summary of what we believe to be our most critical accounting policies and estimates.

Fair Value of Investments

The valuation of investments held by our funds is the most critical estimate made by management impacting our results. Pursuant to specialized accounting for investment companies under GAAP, investments held by the Och-Ziff funds are carried at their estimated fair values. The valuation of investments held by our funds has a significant impact on our results, as our management fees and incentive income are generally determined based on the fair value of these investments.

GAAP prioritizes the level of market price observability used in measuring assets and liabilities at fair value. Market price observability is impacted by a number of factors, including the type of assets and liabilities and the specific characteristics of the assets and liabilities. Assets and liabilities with readily available, actively quoted prices (Level I) or for which fair value can be measured from actively quoted prices (Level II) generally will have a higher degree of market price observability and lesser degree of judgment used in measuring fair value than those measured using pricing inputs that are unobservable in the market (Level III). See Note 4 to our consolidated financial statements included in this report for additional information regarding fair value measurements.

As of March 31, 2015, the absolute values of our funds' invested assets and liabilities (excluding the notes payable of our CLOs) were classified within the fair value hierarchy as follows: approximately 58% within Level I; approximately 19% within Level II; and approximately 23% within Level III. As of December 31, 2014, the absolute values of our funds' invested assets and liabilities (excluding the notes payable of our CLOs) were classified within the fair value hierarchy as follows: approximately 59% within Level I; approximately 19% within Level II; and approximately 22% within Level III. The percentage of our funds' assets and liabilities within the fair value hierarchy will fluctuate based on the investments made at any given time and such fluctuations could be significant. A portion of our funds' Level III assets relate to Special Investments or other investments on which we do not earn any incentive income until such investments are sold or otherwise realized. Upon the sale or realization event of these assets, any realized profits are included in the calculation of incentive income for such year. Accordingly, the estimated fair value of our funds' Level III assets may not have any relation to the amount of incentive income actually earned with respect to such assets.

Valuation of Investments. Fair value represents the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in an orderly transaction between market participants as of the measurement date. The fair value of our funds' investments is based on observable market prices when available. Such values are generally based on the last sales price. We, as the investment manager of the Och-Ziff funds, determine the fair value of investments that are not actively traded on a recognized securities exchange or otherwise lack a readily ascertainable market value. The methods and procedures to value these investments may include, but are not limited to: (i) performing comparisons with prices of comparable or similar securities; (ii) obtaining valuation-related information from the issuers; (iii) calculating the present value of future cash flows; (iv) assessing other analytical data and information relating to the investment that is an indication of value; (v) obtaining information provided by third parties; and (vi) evaluating financial information provided by the management of these investments. See Note 4 to our consolidated financial statements included in this report for additional information.

Significant judgment and estimation goes into the assumptions that drive our valuation methodologies and procedures for assets that are not actively traded on a recognized securities exchange or otherwise lack a readily ascertainable market value. The actual amounts ultimately realized could differ materially from the values estimated based on the use of these methodologies. Realizations at values significantly lower than the values at which investments have been reflected could result in losses at the fund level and a decline in future management fees and incentive income. Such situations may also negatively impact fund investor perception of our valuation policies and procedures, which could result in redemptions and difficulties in raising additional capital.

We have established an internal control infrastructure over the valuation of financial instruments that includes ongoing oversight by our Financial Controls Group and Valuation Committee, as well as periodic audits by our Internal Audit Group. These management control functions are segregated from the trading and investing functions. See Note 4 to our consolidated financial statements included in this report for a additional information regarding our valuation procedures and related oversight and controls.

Edgar Filing: Och-Ziff Capital Management Group LLC - Form 10-Q

As of March 31, 2015, the only assets and liabilities carried at fair value in our consolidated balance sheet were U.S. government obligations held by us (Level I in the fair value hierarchy) and the investment holdings of the consolidated Och-Ziff funds and the related debt obligations of our consolidated CLOs. The majority of these assets and liabilities of the consolidated Och-Ziff funds are valued using sources other than observable market data, which are considered to be within Level III of the

fair value hierarchy. However, substantially all of these fair value changes are absorbed by the investors of these funds (noncontrolling interests).

The following table presents our net economic exposure to loss related to these Level III investments (assets and liabilities excluding notes payable of consolidated CLOs):

	March 31, 2015 (dollars in thousands)
Level III investments (net) of consolidated Och-Ziff funds	\$4,209,640
Less: Level III investments (net) for which we do not bear direct economic exposure to loss	(4,200,438)
Net Economic Exposure to Loss Related to Level III Investments (net)	\$9,202

Impact of Fair Value Measurement on Our Results. A 10% change in the estimate of fair value of the investments held by our funds would generally have a 10% change in management fees in the period subsequent to the change in fair value, as management fees are charged based on the assets under management at the beginning of the period. For our real estate funds and certain other funds, there would be no impact as management fees are generally charged based on committed capital during the original investment period and invested capital thereafter. The impact of a 10% change in the estimate of fair value of the investments held by our funds would generally have an immediate 10% impact on our incentive income if the change in fair value continues at the end of the commitment period, at which time incentive income is recognized, and assuming no high-water marks from any prior-year losses. For certain opportunistic credit, real estate and certain other funds that are not consolidated, there would be no impact, as incentive income is recognized based on realized profits and when no longer subject to clawback.

For additional information regarding the impact that the fair value measurement of assets under management has on our results, please see “Part I—Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.”

Variable Interest Entities

The determination of whether or not to consolidate a variable interest entity under GAAP requires a significant amount of judgment concerning the degree of control over an entity by its holders of variable interests. To make these judgments, management has conducted an analysis, on a case-by-case basis, of the relationship of the holders of variable interests to each other, the design of the entity, the expected operations of the entity, which holder of variable interests within a related party group is most “closely associated” to the entity and which holder of variable interests is the primary beneficiary required to consolidate the entity. Upon the occurrence of certain events, such as redemptions by all unaffiliated investors in any fund and modifications to fund organizational documents and investment management agreements, management reviews and reconsiders its previous conclusion regarding the status of an entity as a variable interest entity. Additionally, management continually reconsiders whether we should consolidate a variable interest entity.

Income Taxes

We use the asset and liability method of accounting for deferred income taxes. Under this method, deferred income tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to temporary differences between the carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. A valuation allowance is established when management believes it is more likely than not that a deferred income tax asset will not be realized. Substantially all of our deferred income tax assets relate to the goodwill and other intangible assets deductible for tax purposes by Och-Ziff Corp that arose in connection with the purchase of Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units from our executive managing directors and the Ziffs with proceeds from the 2007 Offerings, subsequent exchanges of Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units for Class A Shares and subsequent payments to our executive managing directors and the Ziffs made under the tax receivable agreement, in addition to any related net operating loss carryforward. In accordance with relevant provisions of the Internal Revenue Code, we expect to take these goodwill and other intangible deductions over the 15-year period following the 2007 Offerings and the additional 20-year loss carryforward period available to us. Our analysis of whether we expect to have sufficient future taxable income to realize these deductions is based solely on estimates over this period.

Och-Ziff Corp generated taxable income of \$58.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015, before taking into account deductions related to the amortization of the goodwill and other intangible assets. We determined that we would need to generate taxable income of at least \$1.9 billion over the remaining 9-year weighted-average amortization period and the additional 20-year loss carryforward period available to us in order to fully realize the deferred income tax assets. In this regard, Reorganization expenses and certain other expenses are considered permanent book to tax differences, and therefore do not impact taxable income. Accordingly, while we reported annual net losses on a GAAP basis from 2007 through 2012, we generated income before the amortization of goodwill and other intangible assets on a tax basis over these same periods. Using the estimates and assumptions discussed below, we expect to generate sufficient taxable income over the remaining amortization and loss carryforward periods available to us in order to fully realize these deferred income tax assets.

To generate \$1.9 billion in taxable income over the remaining amortization and loss carryforward periods available to us, we estimated that, based on assets under management of \$47.3 billion as of April 1, 2015, we would need to generate a minimum compound annual growth rate in assets under management of less than 1% over the period for which the taxable income estimate relates to fully realize the deferred income tax assets, assuming no performance-related growth, and therefore no incentive income. The assumed nature and amount of this estimated growth rate are not based on historical results or current expectations of future growth; however, the other assumptions underlying the taxable income estimate, such as general maintenance of current expense ratios and cost allocation percentages among the Och-Ziff Operating Group entities, which impact the amount of taxable income flowing through our legal structure, are based on our near-term operating budget. If our actual growth rate in assets under management falls below this minimum threshold for any extended time during the period for which these estimates relate and we do not otherwise experience offsetting growth rates in other periods, we may not generate taxable income sufficient to realize the deferred income tax assets and may need to record a valuation allowance. Management regularly reviews the model used to generate the estimates, including the underlying assumptions. If it determines that a valuation allowance is required for any reason, the amount would be determined based on the relevant circumstances at that time. To the extent we record a valuation allowance against our deferred income tax assets related to the goodwill and other intangible assets, we would record a corresponding decrease in the liability to our executive managing directors and the Ziffs under the tax receivable agreement equal to approximately 78% of such amount; therefore, our net income allocated to Class A Shareholders would only be impacted by 22% of any valuation allowance recorded against the deferred income tax assets.

Actual taxable income may differ from the estimate described above, which was prepared solely for determining whether we currently expect to have sufficient future taxable income to realize the deferred income tax assets. Furthermore, actual or estimated future taxable income may be materially impacted by significant changes in assets under management, whether as a result of fund investment performance or fund investor contributions or redemptions, significant changes to the assumptions underlying our estimates, future changes in income tax law, state income tax apportionment or other factors.

As of March 31, 2015, we had \$61.5 million of net operating losses available to offset future taxable income for federal income tax purposes that will expire between 2029 and 2032, and \$99.1 million of net operating losses available to offset future taxable income for state income tax purposes and \$104.1 million for local income tax purposes that will expire between 2028 and 2032. Based on the analysis set forth above, as of March 31, 2015, we have determined that it is not necessary to record a valuation allowance with respect to our deferred income tax assets related to the goodwill and other intangible assets deductible for tax purposes, and any related net operating loss carryforward. However, we have determined that we may not realize certain deferred state income tax credits. Accordingly, a valuation allowance for \$14.0 million has been established for these credits.

Impact of Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements on Recent and Future Trends

None of the changes to GAAP that went into effect during the three months ended March 31, 2015 is expected to have an impact on our future trends.

Expected Impact of Future Adoption of New Accounting Pronouncements on Future Trends

The FASB has issued various Accounting Standards Updates (“ASUs”) that could have an impact on our future trends. For additional details regarding these ASUs, including allowable methods of adoption (e.g. full retrospective or

modified retrospective), see Note 2 to our consolidated financial statements included in this report. Below is a summary of the expected impact on our future trends from the adoption of these ASUs.

ASU 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers. ASU 2014-09 supersedes the revenue recognition requirements in ASC 605—Revenue Recognition and most industry-specific guidance throughout the Codification. The requirements of ASU 2014-09 are effective for us beginning in the first quarter of 2017; however, the FASB in April 2015 issued a proposed ASU that would defer the effective date for us to the first quarter of 2018. We are currently evaluating the impact, if any, that this update will have on our future trends.

ASU 2014-11, Repurchase-to-Maturity Transactions, Repurchase Financings, and Disclosures. ASU 2014-11 amends ASC 860—Transfers and Servicing to address the accounting for certain secured financing transactions. ASU 2014-11 also requires additional disclosures about certain transferred financial assets accounted for as sales, as well as those accounted for as secured financing transactions. The impact of ASU 2014-11 to our consolidated financial statements is expected to be limited to the additional disclosures for transferred financial assets accounted for as financing transactions, which would be effective for us beginning in the second quarter of 2015.

ASU 2014-13, Measuring the Financial Assets and the Financial Liabilities of a Consolidated Collateralized Financing Entity. ASU 2014-13 amends ASC 810—Consolidation to address the measurement difference that may occur between the fair value, as determined under GAAP, of the financial assets and financial liabilities of a consolidated collateralized financing entity, such as a CLO. The requirements of ASU 2014-13 are effective for us beginning in the first quarter of 2016. We are currently evaluating the impact, if any, that this update will have on our future trends.

ASU 2015-02, Amendments to the Consolidation Analysis. ASU 2015-02 significantly changes the consolidation analysis required under GAAP, and may result in the deconsolidation of many of the funds we currently consolidate. The requirements of ASU 2015-02 are effective for us beginning in the first quarter of 2016, with early adoption permitted in any interim period of 2015. We are currently evaluating the impact that this update will have on our future trends.

ASU 2015-03, Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance costs. ASU 2015-03 simplifies the presentation of debt issuance costs by requiring that debt issuance costs related to a recognized debt liability be presented in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the carrying amount of that debt liability. The requirements of ASU 2015-03 are effective for us for the first quarter of 2016, with early adoption permitted. The impact on future trends is not expected to be significant.

ASU 2015-07, Disclosures for Investments in Certain Entities That Calculate Net Asset Value per Share (or Its Equivalent). ASU 2015-07 removes the requirement to categorize within the fair value hierarchy all investments for which fair value is measured using the net asset value per share practical expedient. The requirements of ASU 2015-07 will be effective for the us beginning in the first quarter of 2016, with early adoption permitted, and will be applied retrospectively. The impact of ASU 2015-07 will be limited to disclosure of the level in the fair value hierarchy of investments held by the Company that are measured using net asset value per share during the periods presented, and therefore will have no impact on our future trends.

None of the other changes to GAAP that have been issued but that have not yet been adopted is expected to have an impact on our future trends.

Economic Income Reconciliations

Economic Income

The following tables present the reconciliations of Economic Income to our GAAP net income allocated to Class A Shareholders for the periods presented in this MD&A:

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2015		
	Och-Ziff Funds Segment	Other Operations	Total Company
	(dollars in thousands)		
Net income allocated to Class A Shareholders—GAAP	\$4,462	\$21,409	\$25,871
Net income allocated to the Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units	80,932	—	80,932
Equity-based compensation	28,005	791	28,796
Income taxes	25,160	—	25,160
Adjustment for incentive income allocations from consolidated funds subject to clawback	2,240	(20,713)	(18,473)
Allocations to Och-Ziff Operating Group D Units	5,697	338	6,035
Adjustment for expenses related to compensation and profit-sharing arrangements based on fund investment performance	—	1,419	1,419
Reorganization expenses	4,017	—	4,017
Changes in tax receivable agreement liability	(25)	—	(25)
Depreciation and amortization	1,964	185	2,149
Other adjustments	211	(144)	67
Economic Income—Non-GAAP	\$152,663	\$3,285	\$155,948
	Three Months Ended March 31, 2014		
	Och-Ziff Funds Segment	Other Operations	Total Company
	(dollars in thousands)		
Net income allocated to Class A Shareholders—GAAP	\$24,445	\$(593)	\$23,852
Net income allocated to the Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units	73,581	—	73,581
Equity-based compensation	27,130	—	27,130
Income taxes	33,591	—	33,591
Adjustment for incentive income allocations from consolidated funds subject to clawback	(7,644)	(673)	(8,317)
Allocations to Och-Ziff Operating Group D Units	5,157	—	5,157
Adjustment for expenses related to compensation and profit-sharing arrangements based on fund investment performance	1,101	3,265	4,366
Reorganization expenses	4,021	—	4,021
Changes in tax receivable agreement liability	(3,815)	—	(3,815)
Depreciation and amortization	1,643	185	1,828
Other adjustments	(612)	58	(554)
Economic Income—Non-GAAP	\$158,598	\$2,242	\$160,840

Economic Income Revenues

The following tables present the reconciliations of Economic Income revenues and its components to the respective GAAP measure for the periods presented in this MD&A:

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2015			Three Months Ended March 31, 2014		
	Och-Ziff Funds Segment	Other Operations	Total Company	Och-Ziff Funds Segment	Other Operations	Total Company
	(dollars in thousands)					
Management fees—GAAP	\$161,168	\$4,775	\$165,943	\$156,096	\$2,674	\$158,770
Adjustment to management fees ⁽¹⁾	(2,100)	—	(2,100)	(4,210)	—	(4,210)
Management Fees—Economic Income Basis—Non-GAAP	159,068	4,775	163,843	151,886	2,674	154,560
Incentive income—GAAP	57,110	—	57,110	52,093	—	52,093
Adjustment to incentive income ⁽²⁾	6,660	1,513	8,173	6,915	—	6,915
Incentive Income—Economic Income Basis—Non-GAAP	63,770	1,513	65,283	59,008	—	59,008
Other revenues	452	9	461	439	7	446
Total Revenues—Economic Income Basis—Non-GAAP	\$223,290	\$6,297	\$229,587	\$211,333	\$2,681	\$214,014

Adjustment to present management fees net of recurring placement and related service fees, as management (1) considers these fees a reduction in management fees, not an expense. The impact of eliminations related to the consolidated Och-Ziff funds is also removed.

(2) Adjustment to exclude the impact of eliminations related to the consolidated Och-Ziff funds.

Economic Income Expenses

The following tables present the reconciliations of Economic Income expenses and its components to the respective GAAP measure for the periods presented in this MD&A:

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2015			Three Months Ended March 31, 2014		
	Och-Ziff Funds Segment	Other Operations	Total Company	Och-Ziff Funds Segment	Other Operations	Total Company
	(dollars in thousands)					
Compensation and benefits—GAAP	\$64,810	\$5,108	\$69,918	\$61,513	\$4,342	\$65,855
Adjustment to compensation and benefits ⁽¹⁾	(34,028)	(2,548)	(36,576)	(33,389)	(3,265)	(36,654)
Compensation and Benefits—Economic Income Basis—Non-GAAP	\$30,782	\$2,560	\$33,342	\$28,124	\$1,077	\$29,201
Interest expense and general, administrative and other expenses—GAAP	\$54,439	\$641	\$55,080	\$38,031	\$(453)	\$37,578
Adjustment to interest expense and general, administrative and other expenses ⁽²⁾	(14,586)	(189)	(14,775)	(8,545)	(185)	(8,730)
Non-Compensation Expenses—Economic Income Basis—Non-GAAP	\$39,853	\$452	\$40,305	\$29,486	\$(638)	\$28,848

Adjustment to exclude equity-based compensation, as management does not consider these non-cash expenses to be reflective of our operating performance. However, the fair value of RSUs that are settled in cash to employees or executive managing directors is included as an expense at the time of settlement. Further, expenses related to compensation and profit-sharing arrangements based on fund investment performance are recognized at the end of (1) the relevant commitment period, as management reviews the total compensation expense related to these arrangements in relation to any incentive income earned by the relevant fund. Distributions to the Och-Ziff Operating Group D Units are also excluded, as management reviews operating performance at the Och-Ziff Operating Group level, where substantially all of our operations are performed, prior to making any income allocations.

- Adjustment to exclude depreciation, amortization and changes in the tax receivable agreement liability, as management does not consider these items to be reflective of our operating performance. Additionally, recurring placement and related service fees are excluded, as management considers these fees a reduction in management fees, not an expense.

Other Economic Income Items

The following tables present the reconciliations of other items included in Economic Income to the respective GAAP measure for the periods presented in this MD&A:

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2015			Three Months Ended March 31, 2014		
	Och-Ziff Funds Segment	Other Operations	Total Company	Och-Ziff Funds Segment	Other Operations	Total Company
(dollars in thousands)						
Net gains on investments in Och-Ziff funds and joint ventures—GAAP	\$117	\$—	\$117	\$5,483	\$—	\$5,483
Adjustment to net gains on investments in Och-Ziff funds and joint ventures ⁽¹⁾	(117)) —	(117)	(609)) —	(609)
Net Gains on Joint Ventures—GAAP	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$4,874	\$—	\$4,874
Net income allocated to noncontrolling interests—GAAP	\$126,489	\$6,864	\$133,353	\$96,229	\$35,836	\$132,065
Adjustment to net income allocated to noncontrolling interests ⁽²⁾	(126,497)) (6,864)	(133,361)	(96,230)) (35,836)	(132,066)
Net Loss Allocated to Noncontrolling Interests—Economic Income Basis—Non-GAAP	\$(8)) \$—	\$(8)	\$(1)) \$—	\$(1)

- (1) Adjustment to exclude net gains (losses) on investments in Och-Ziff funds, as management does not consider these gains to be reflective of our operating performance.

- Adjustment to exclude amounts allocated to our executive managing directors and the Ziffs (until they exchanged their remaining interests during the 2014 second quarter) on their interests in the Och-Ziff Operating Group, as management reviews operating performance at the Och-Ziff Operating Group level. We conduct substantially all of our activities through the Och-Ziff Operating Group. Additionally, the impact of the consolidated Och-Ziff funds, including the allocation of earnings to investors in those funds, is also removed.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Our predominant exposure to market risk is related to our role as general partner or investment manager for the Och-Ziff funds, and the sensitivities to movements in the fair value of their investments that may adversely affect our management fees and incentive income.

Fair value of the financial assets and liabilities of the Och-Ziff funds may fluctuate in response to changes in the value of investments, foreign currency exchange rates, commodity prices and interest rates. The fair value changes in the assets and liabilities of the Och-Ziff funds affect the management fees and incentive income we may earn from the funds.

With regards to the consolidated Och-Ziff funds, the net effect of these fair value changes primarily impacts the net gains of consolidated Och-Ziff funds in our consolidated statements of comprehensive income; however, substantially all of these fair value changes are absorbed by the investors of these funds (noncontrolling interests). We may also be entitled to a portion of these earnings through our incentive income allocation as general partner of these funds.

Impact on Management Fees

Management fees for our multi-strategy and opportunistic credit funds are generally based on the net asset value of those funds. Accordingly, management fees will generally change in proportion to changes in the fair value of

investments held by these funds. Management fees for our real estate funds and certain other funds are generally based on committed capital during the original investment period and invested capital thereafter; therefore, management fees are not impacted by changes in the fair value of investments held by those funds.

Impact on Incentive Income

Incentive income for our funds is generally based on a percentage of profits generated by our funds over a commitment period, which is impacted by global market conditions and other factors. Major factors that influence the degree of impact include how the investments held by our funds are impacted by changes in the market and the extent to which any high-water marks impact our ability to earn incentive income. Consequently, incentive income cannot be readily predicted or estimated.

Market Risk

The amount of our assets under management is generally based on the net asset value of multi-strategy and opportunistic credit funds (plus unfunded commitments for certain closed-end opportunistic credit funds), and committed or invested capital for our real estate funds and certain other funds. A 10% change in the fair value of the net assets held by our funds as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, would have resulted in a change of approximately \$3.9 billion and \$4.0 billion, respectively, in our assets under management.

A 10% change in the fair value of the net assets held by our funds as of April 1, 2015 (the date management fees are calculated for the second quarter of 2015) would impact management fees charged on that day by approximately \$13.9 million. A 10% change in the fair value of the net assets held by our funds as of January 1, 2015, would have impacted management fees charged on that day by approximately \$13.6 million.

A 10% change in the fair value of the net assets held by our funds as of the end of any year (excluding unrealized gains and losses in Special Investments or other investments on which we do not earn any incentive income until such investments are sold or otherwise realized), could significantly affect our incentive income by a corresponding amount, as incentive income is generally based on a percentage of annual profits generated by our funds. We do not earn incentive income on unrealized gains attributable to Special Investments and certain other investments, and therefore a change in the fair value of those investments would have no effect on incentive income.

Exchange Rate Risk

Our funds hold investments denominated in non-U.S. dollar currencies, which may be affected by movements in the rate of exchange between the U.S. dollar and foreign currencies. We estimate that as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, a 10% weakening or strengthening of the U.S. dollar against all or any combination of currencies to which our funds have exposure to exchange rates would not have a material effect on our revenues, net income allocated to Class A Shareholders or Economic Income.

Interest Rate Risk

Our Notes and Aircraft Loan are fixed-rate borrowings. Future borrowings (if any) under our Revolving Credit Facility will bear interest at rates indexed to LIBOR. There are currently no borrowings outstanding under our Revolving Credit Facility. We estimate that as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, a 10% increase or decrease in LIBOR would not have a material effect on our annual interest expense, net income allocated to Class A Shareholders or Economic Income.

Our funds have financing arrangements and hold credit instruments that accrue interest at variable rates. Interest rate changes may therefore impact the amount of interest payments, future earnings and cash flows. In the event LIBOR, and rates directly or indirectly indexed to LIBOR, were to increase by 10% over LIBOR as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, based on our funds' debt investments and obligations as of such date, we estimate that the net effect on our revenues, net income allocated to Class A Shareholders or Economic Income would not have been material. A tightening of credit and an increase in prevailing interest rates could make it more difficult for us to raise capital and sustain the growth rate of the funds.

Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk that counterparties or debt issuers may fail to fulfill their obligations or that the collateral value may become inadequate to cover our exposure. We manage credit risk by monitoring the credit exposure to and the creditworthiness of counterparties, requiring additional collateral where appropriate.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Effectiveness of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Exchange Act, that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

As of March 31, 2015, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based on the foregoing, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective and were operating at a reasonable assurance level as of March 31, 2015.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes to our internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act, that occurred in the first quarter of 2015 that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Inherent Limitations on Effectiveness of Controls

Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, does not expect that our disclosure controls or our internal control over financial reporting will prevent or detect all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the control system's objectives will be met. The design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Further, because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that misstatements due to error or fraud will not occur or that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Controls can also be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the controls.

The design of any system of controls is based in part on certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of controls to future periods are subject to risks. Over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or deterioration in the degree of compliance with policies or procedures.

PART II – OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

We are not currently subject to any pending judicial, administrative or arbitration proceedings that we expect to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements. We are from time to time involved in litigation and claims incidental to the conduct of our business. Like other businesses in our industry, we are subject to extensive scrutiny by regulatory agencies globally that have, or may in the future have, regulatory authority over us and our business activities. This has resulted in, or may in the future result in, regulatory agency investigations, litigation and subpoenas, and related sanctions and costs. See “Part II—Item 1A. Risk Factors—Risks Related to Our Business—Extensive regulation of our business affects our activities and creates the potential for significant liabilities and penalties. Our reputation, business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially affected by regulatory issues,” “Part II—Item 1A. Risk Factors—Risks Related to Our Business—Increased regulatory focus in the United States could result in additional burdens on our business” and “Part II—Item 1A. Risk Factors—Risks Related to Our Business—Recent regulatory changes in jurisdictions outside the United States could adversely affect our business.” See Note 14 to our consolidated financial statements included in this Form 10-Q for additional information.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Risks Related to Our Business

In the course of conducting our business operations, we are exposed to a variety of risks that are inherent to or otherwise impact the alternative asset management business. Any of the risk factors we describe below have affected or could materially adversely affect our business, results of operations, financial condition and liquidity. The market price of our Class A Shares could decline, possibly significantly or permanently, if one or more of these risks and uncertainties occur. Certain statements in “Risk Factors” are forward-looking statements. See “Forward-Looking Statements.”

Difficult global market, economic or geopolitical conditions may materially adversely affect our business and cause significant volatility in equity and debt prices, interest rates, exchange rates, commodity prices and credit spreads. These factors can materially adversely affect our business in many ways, including by reducing the value or performance of the investments made by our funds and by reducing the ability of our funds to raise or deploy capital, each of which could materially adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

The success and growth of our business are highly dependent upon conditions in the global financial markets and economic and geopolitical conditions throughout the world that are outside of our control and difficult to predict. Factors such as equity prices, equity market volatility, asset or market correlations, interest rates, counterparty risks, availability of credit, inflation rates, economic uncertainty, changes in laws or regulation (including laws relating to the financial markets generally or the taxation or regulation of the hedge fund industry), trade barriers, commodity prices, currency exchange rates and controls, and national and international political circumstances (including governmental instability, wars, terrorist acts or security operations) can have a material impact on the value of our funds’ portfolio investments or our general ability to conduct business. Difficult market, economic and geopolitical conditions can negatively impact those valuations and our ability to conduct business, which in turn would reduce or even eliminate our revenues and profitability, thereby having a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

As a global alternative asset manager, we seek to generate consistent, positive, absolute returns across all market cycles for the investors in our funds. Our ability to do this has been, and in the future may be, materially impacted by conditions in the global financial markets and economic and geopolitical conditions worldwide. For example, the financial crisis that began in the second half of 2008 resulted in significant global market turbulence, a lack of liquidity and substantial declines in the values of most asset classes worldwide, and had an adverse impact on the hedge fund industry, which experienced significant losses in assets under management. While these conditions have generally stabilized and improved since the first quarter of 2009, adverse conditions resulting from the crisis continue to persist and global financial markets have experienced volatility on various occasions since that time, as for example in response to the suggestion in May 2013 that the Federal Reserve could slow the pace of asset purchases in the following months. Economic activity and employment in many developed and other economies have remained weak, characterized by low levels of growth and high levels of unemployment and governmental deficits in many countries,

including in Europe, and global financial markets may react with significant volatility to unexpected economic or geopolitical developments, changes or uncertainty in fiscal or monetary policy, or a combination of these or other factors.

Interest rates have been at historically low levels for the last few years. These rates may remain relatively low or rise in the future and a period of sharply rising interest rates could have an adverse impact on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Unpredictable or unstable market, economic or geopolitical conditions have resulted and may in the future result in reduced opportunities to find suitable risk-adjusted investments to deploy capital and make it more difficult to exit and realize value from our existing investments, which could materially adversely affect our ability to raise new funds and increase our assets under management and, therefore, may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. In addition, during such periods, financing and merger and acquisition activity may be greatly reduced, making it harder and more competitive for asset managers to find suitable investment opportunities and to obtain funding for such opportunities. If we fail to react appropriately to difficult market, economic and geopolitical conditions, our funds could incur material losses.

An investment in our Class A Shares is not an alternative to an investment in any of our funds, and the returns of our funds should not be considered as indicative of any returns expected on our Class A Shares, although poor investment performance of, or lack of capital flows into, the funds we manage could have a materially adverse impact on our revenues and, therefore, the returns on our Class A Shares.

The returns on our Class A Shares are not directly linked to the historical or future performance of the funds we manage or the manager of those funds. Even if our funds experience positive performance and our assets under management increase, holders of our Class A Shares may not experience a corresponding positive return on their Class A Shares.

However, poor performance of the funds we manage will cause a decline in our revenues from such funds, and may therefore have a negative effect on our performance and the returns on our Class A Shares. If we fail to meet the expectations of our fund investors or otherwise experience poor investment performance, whether due to difficult economic and financial conditions or otherwise, our ability to retain existing assets under management and attract new investors and capital flows could be materially adversely affected. In turn, the management fees and incentive income that we would earn would be reduced and our business, financial condition or results of operations would suffer, thus negatively impacting the price of our Class A Shares. Furthermore, even if the investment performance of our funds is positive, our business, financial condition or results of operations and the price of our Class A Shares could be materially adversely affected if we are unable to attract and retain additional assets under management consistent with our past experience, industry trends or investor and market expectations.

Investors in our funds have the right to redeem their investments in our funds on a regular basis and could redeem a significant amount of assets under management during any given quarterly period, which would result in significantly decreased revenues.

Subject to any specific redemption provisions applicable to a fund, investors in our multi-strategy hedge funds may generally redeem their investments in our funds on an annual or quarterly basis following the expiration of a specified period of time (typically between one and three years), although certain investors generally may redeem capital during such specified period upon the payment of a redemption fee and upon giving proper notice. In a declining market, the pace of redemptions and consequent reduction in our assets under management potentially could accelerate.

Furthermore, investors in our funds may also invest in funds managed by other alternative asset managers that have restricted or suspended redemptions or may in the future do so. Such investors may redeem capital from our funds, even if our performance is superior to such other alternative asset managers' performance if they are restricted or prevented from redeeming capital from those other managers.

The decrease in revenues that would result from significant redemptions in our funds could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. During 2014, we experienced redemptions of approximately \$4.6 billion from our funds. We may continue to experience elevated redemption levels and, if economic and market conditions remain uncertain or worsen, we may once again experience significant redemptions.

Our business, financial condition or results of operations may be materially adversely impacted by the highly variable nature of our revenues, results of operations and cash flows. In a typical year, a substantial portion of our incentive income and all of our annual discretionary bonus expense is determined and recorded in the fourth quarter each year, which means that our interim results are not expected to be indicative of our results for a full year, causing increased volatility in the price of our Class A Shares.

Our revenues are influenced by the combination of the amount of assets under management and the investment performance of our funds. Asset flows, whether inflows or outflows, can be highly variable from month-to-month and quarter-to-quarter. Furthermore, our funds' investment performance, which affects the amount of assets under management and the amount of incentive income we may earn in a given year, can be volatile due to, among other things, general market and economic conditions. Accordingly, our revenues, results of operations and cash flows are all highly variable. This variability is exacerbated during the fourth quarter of each year, primarily due to the fact that a substantial portion of our revenues historically has been and we expect will continue to be derived from incentive income from our funds. Such incentive income is contingent on the investment performance of the funds as of the relevant commitment period, which generally is as of the end of each calendar year; however, as of March 31, 2015, with respect to 33% of assets under management, the initial commitment period can be three years or longer depending on how the assets are invested. The expiration of these commitment periods may occur on dates other than December 31 which, in certain circumstances, may cause increased volatility in our results. Moreover, in a typical year, we determine the amount of our annual discretionary cash bonus during the fourth quarter based on total annual revenues. Because this bonus is variable and discretionary, it can exacerbate the volatility of our results. We may also experience fluctuations in our results from quarter to quarter due to a number of other factors, including changes in management fees resulting from changes in the management fee rates we charge our fund investors or due to changes in the values of our funds' investments, as well as capital inflows or outflows. Changes in our operating expenses, unexpected business developments and initiatives and, as discussed above, general economic and market conditions may also cause fluctuations in our results from quarter to quarter. Such variability and unpredictability may lead to volatility or declines in the price of our Class A Shares and cause our results for a particular period not to be indicative of our performance in a future period or particularly meaningful as a basis of comparison against results for a prior period.

The amount of incentive income that may be generated by our funds is uncertain until it is actually crystallized. We generally do not record incentive income in our interim financial statements other than incentive income earned (i) as a result of fund investor redemptions during the interim period, (ii) at the end of the three-year commitment period for assets under management subject to a three-year commitment period, (iii) at the end of the commitment period for other assets subject to longer-term commitment periods, or (iv) from tax distributions relating to assets with longer-term commitment periods. As a result of these and other factors, our interim results may not be indicative of historical performance or any results that may be expected for a full year.

In addition, all of our hedge funds have "perpetual high-water marks." This means that if a fund investor experiences losses in a given year, we will not be able to earn incentive income with respect to such investor's investment unless and until our investment performance surpasses the perpetual high-water mark. For example, the incentive income we earn is dependent on the net asset value of each fund investor's investment in the fund. However, failure to earn incentive income as a result of any high-water marks that do arise may adversely impact our business, financial condition or results of operations and our ability to make distributions to our Class A Shareholders. In addition, incentive income distributions from our real estate and certain other funds is subject to clawback obligations generally measured as of the end of the life of a fund, and therefore we defer this revenue until we are no longer required to repay amounts to a fund to the extent we have received excess incentive income distributions during the life of the fund relative to the aggregate performance of the fund. We cannot predict when realization events will occur or whether, upon occurrence, these investments will be profitable.

As a result of quarterly fluctuations in, and the related unpredictability of, our revenues and profits, the price of our Class A Shares can be significantly volatile.

Competitive pressures in the asset management business could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

The asset management business remains intensely competitive, with competition based on a variety of factors, including investment performance, the quality of service and level of desired information provided to fund investors, brand recognition and business reputation. We compete for fund investors, highly qualified talent, including investment professionals, and for

investment opportunities with a number of hedge funds, private equity firms, specialized funds, traditional asset managers, commercial banks, investment banks and other financial institutions.

A number of factors create competitive risks for us:

We compete in an international arena and, to remain competitive, we may need to further expand our business into new geographic regions or new business areas where our competitors may have a more established presence or greater experience and expertise.

A number of our competitors have greater financial, technical, marketing and other resources and more personnel than we do.

Several of our competitors have raised and continue to raise significant amounts of capital, and many of them have or may pursue investment objectives that are similar to ours, which would create additional competition for investment opportunities and may reduce the size and duration of pricing inefficiencies that many alternative investment strategies seek to exploit.

Some of our competitors may have higher risk tolerances or different risk assessments, which could allow them to consider a wider variety of investments and to bid more aggressively than us for investments that we may want to make.

Some of our competitors may be subject to less extensive regulation and thus may be better positioned to pursue certain investment objectives and/or be subject to lower expenses related to compliance and regulatory investigations than us.

Other industry participants will from time to time seek to recruit our active executive managing directors, investment professionals and other professional talent away from us.

We may lose fund investors in the future if we do not match or provide more attractive management fees, incentive income arrangements, structures and terms than those offered by competitors. However, we may experience decreased revenues if we match or provide more attractive management fees, incentive income arrangements, structures and terms offered by competitors. In addition, changes in the global capital markets could diminish the attractiveness of our funds relative to investments in other investment products. This competitive pressure could materially adversely affect our ability to make successful investments and limit our ability to raise future successful funds, either of which would materially adversely impact our business, financial condition or results of operations.

If our investment performance, including the level and consistency of returns or other performance criteria, does not meet the expectations of our fund investors, it will be difficult for our funds to retain or raise capital and for us to grow our business. Additionally, even if our fund performance is strong, it is possible that we will not be able to attract additional capital. Further, the allocation of increasing amounts of capital to alternative investment strategies over the long term by institutional and individual investors may lead to a reduction in profitable investment opportunities, including by driving prices for investments higher and increasing the difficulty of achieving consistent, positive, absolute returns.

Competition for fund investors is based on a variety of factors, including:

- Investment performance.
- Investor liquidity and willingness to invest.
- Investor perception of investment managers' ability, drive, focus and alignment of interest with them.
- Investor perception of robustness of business infrastructure and financial controls.
- Transparency with regard to portfolio composition.
- Investment and risk management processes.
- Quality of service provided to and duration of relationship with investors.
- Business reputation, including the reputation of a firm's investment professionals.
- Level of fees and incentive income charged for services.

If we are not able to compete successfully based on these and other factors, our assets under management, earnings and revenues may be significantly reduced and our business, financial condition or results of operations may be materially adversely affected. Furthermore, if we are forced to compete with other alternative asset managers on the basis of fees, we may not be able to maintain our current management fee and incentive income structures, which drive our revenues and earnings. We have historically competed for fund investors primarily on the investment performance of our funds and our reputation, and not on the level of our fees or incentive income relative to those of our competitors. However, as the alternative asset management sector continues to mature and addresses current market and competitive conditions, there is a risk that management fee and incentive income rates will decline, without regard to the historical performance of a manager. Management fee or incentive income rate reductions on existing or future funds, particularly without corresponding increases in assets under management or decreases in our operating costs, could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

In addition to the competitive pressures described above, as we diversify by offering new or enhanced products and investment platforms, the average management fee rate we earn on our assets under management may fall as a result of a larger proportion of our assets under management being invested in products that earn lower management fee rates. For example, our average management fee rate has fallen during the period 2012 to 2014 from 1.63% to 1.46% of weighted-average assets under management, and to 1.43% for the first quarter of 2015. The decrease is due primarily to a disproportionate increase in the assets under management in our opportunistic credit funds and our Institutional Credit Strategies products, which earn lower management fee rates than our multi-strategy funds, consistent with market convention for these products.

Even if we are able to compete successfully based on the factors noted above, it is possible we could lose assets under management to our competitors. It is possible that similar circumstances could cause us to experience unusually high redemptions or a decrease in inflows, even if our investment performance and other business attributes are otherwise competitive or superior.

Our indebtedness may restrict our current and future operations, particularly our ability to respond to certain changes or to take future actions.

On November 20, 2014, OZ Management, as borrower, and certain of our other subsidiaries, as guarantors (collectively, with certain of their respective subsidiaries, the “Och-Ziff Operating Group Credit Parties”), entered into a \$150 million unsecured revolving credit and guaranty agreement (the “Revolving Credit Facility”), with certain financial institutions, as lenders, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent, and certain other parties party thereto. On the same date, Och-Ziff Finance Co. LLC (“Och-Ziff Finance”), an indirect subsidiary of the Company, issued \$400 million in aggregate principal amount of its 4.50% Senior Notes due 2019 (the “Notes”) pursuant to an indenture (as supplemented by a supplemental indenture, the “Indenture”) among Och-Ziff Finance and the Och-Ziff Operating Group entities (excluding Och-Ziff Finance, collectively, the “Notes Guarantors”) and Wilmington Trust, National Association, as trustee.

The Revolving Credit Agreement provides for a revolving credit facility with a maturity of five years and contains a number of restrictive covenants that collectively impose significant operating and financial restrictions on the Och-Ziff Operating Group Credit Parties, including restrictions that may limit their ability to engage in acts that may be in our long-term best interests.

The restrictions in the Revolving Credit Facility include, among other things, limitations on the ability of the Och-Ziff Operating Group Credit Parties to:

- Incur additional indebtedness or issue certain equity interests.
- Create liens.
- Pay dividends or make other restricted payments.
- Merge, consolidate, or sell or otherwise dispose of all or any part of their assets.
- Engage in certain transactions with shareholders or affiliates.
- Engage in substantially different lines of business.
- Amend their organizational documents in a manner materially adverse to the lenders.

Additionally, our Revolving Credit Facility includes two financial maintenance covenants relating to assets under management and an economic income leverage ratio.

The Indenture includes certain covenants, including limitations on Och-Ziff Finance's and the Notes Guarantors' ability to, subject to exceptions, incur indebtedness secured by liens on voting stock or profit participating equity interests of their respective subsidiaries or merge, consolidate or sell, transfer or lease all or substantially all assets.

The Revolving Credit Agreement also identifies a number of events that, if they occur or are continuing, would constitute an event of default under the Revolving Credit Facility. The events of default include a change of control, which would occur if any person or group, other than certain permitted holders (including, but not limited to, Daniel S. Och, our other executive managing directors, and each of their respective related entities), becomes the beneficial owner, directly or indirectly, of at least 50% (on a fully diluted basis) of the voting interests in the Och-Ziff Operating Group. The Indenture also provides for customary events of default and, if a change of control repurchase event occurs, Och-Ziff Finance will be required to offer to repurchase the Notes at a price in cash equal to 101% of the aggregate principal amount of the Notes, plus any accrued and unpaid interest to, but excluding, the repurchase date.

A failure by any of the Och-Ziff Operating Group Credit Parties, Och-Ziff Finance or the Notes Guarantors, as applicable, to comply with the covenants and other obligations—or upon the occurrence of other defaults—specified in the Revolving Credit Facility, or the Indenture, as the case may be, could result in an event of default under the Revolving Credit Facility, or the Indenture, as the case may be, which would give the lenders under the Revolving Credit Facility, or the holders of the Notes, the right to declare all indebtedness and other obligations outstanding under the Revolving Credit Facility, if any, or the Notes, as the case may be, together with accrued and unpaid interest and fees, to be immediately due and payable. If the indebtedness outstanding under the Revolving Credit Facility, if any, or the Notes were to be accelerated, the Och-Ziff Operating Group Credit Parties, Och-Ziff Finance or the Notes Guarantors, as applicable, may not have sufficient cash on hand or be able to sell sufficient assets to repay this indebtedness, which may have an immediate material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. For more detail about risks relating to any refinancing, repurchasing or repayment of our Revolving Credit Facility and the Notes, see “—Changes in the credit markets may negatively impact our ability to refinance our outstanding indebtedness or our ability to otherwise obtain attractive financing for our business, and may increase the cost of such financing if it is obtained, which would lead to higher interest expense or, with respect to our funds, lower-yielding investments, either of which would decrease our earnings. An increase in our borrowing costs may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.” For more detail regarding the Revolving Credit Facility, and Notes, their respective terms and the current status of compliance with the Revolving Credit Facility by the Och-Ziff Operating Group Credit Parties, please see “Part I—Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources” and “—Debt Obligations.” Our business and financial condition may be materially adversely impacted by the loss of any of our key executive managing directors, particularly certain members of our Partner Management Committee.

The success of our business depends on the efforts, judgment and personal reputations of our key executive managing directors, particularly certain members of our Partner Management Committee, and certain other key executive managing directors. Our key executive managing directors' reputations, expertise in investing and risk management, relationships with investors in our funds and third parties on which our funds depend for investment opportunities and financing are each critical elements in operating and expanding our business. The loss of any of these individuals could harm our business and jeopardize our relationships with our fund investors and members of the business community. We believe our performance is highly correlated to the performance of these individuals. Accordingly, the retention of our key executive managing directors is crucial to our success, but none of them is obligated to remain actively involved with us. In addition, if any of our key executive managing directors were to join or form a competitor, some of our fund investors could choose to invest with that competitor rather than in our funds. The loss of the services of any of our key executive managing directors could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations, including on the performance of our funds, our ability to retain and attract fund investors and highly qualified employees and our ability to raise new funds. We do not carry any “key man” insurance that would provide us with proceeds in the event of the death or disability of any of our key executive managing directors.

In addition, investors in most of our funds have one-time special redemption rights that are triggered upon the loss of services of Mr. Och. See “—Most of our funds have special withdrawal provisions pursuant to which the failure of Daniel

S. Och to be actively involved in the business provides investors with the right to redeem from such funds. The loss of the services of Mr. Och would have a material adverse effect on each of such funds and on our business, financial condition or results of operations” for additional information. Further, we negotiate other key man provisions in certain of our funds, which could

provide for earlier redemption rights, in the event that one or more of certain of our key executive managing directors cease to provide services to such funds. Accordingly, the loss of such key executive managing directors could also result in significant or earlier redemptions from our funds, which could have a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Our ability to retain and attract executive managing directors, managing directors and other investment professionals is critical to the success and growth of our business.

Our investment performance and ability to successfully manage and expand our business, including into new geographic areas, is largely dependent on the talents and efforts of highly skilled individuals, including our active executive managing directors, managing directors and other investment professionals. Accordingly, our future success and growth depend on our ability to retain and motivate our active executive managing directors and other key personnel and to strategically recruit, retain and motivate new talent. We may not be successful in our efforts to recruit, retain and motivate the required personnel as the global market for qualified investment professionals is extremely competitive, particularly in cases where we are competing for qualified personnel in geographic or business areas where our competitors have a significantly greater presence or more extensive experience. We compete intensely with businesses both within and outside the alternative asset management industry for highly talented and qualified personnel. Accordingly, in order to retain and attract talent, our total compensation and benefits expense could increase to a level that may materially adversely affect our profitability and reduce our cash available for distribution to our executive managing directors and Class A Shareholders.

It may be difficult for us to retain and motivate our active executive managing directors after their interests in our business are fully vested and they are permitted to exchange their interests for Class A Shares that they can sell. The Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units granted to our executive managing directors who were executive managing directors before our IPO (our “Pre-IPO Partners”) in connection with the Reorganization have now generally become fully vested and unvested Och-Ziff Operating Group Units granted subsequently to our executive managing directors continue to vest over time.

In August 2012, our executive managing directors approved new transfer restrictions that generally limit their ability to transfer or exchange Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units. In 2015, the Exchange Committee will determine in its sole discretion whether to allow any exchanges of Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units and sales of the resulting Class A Shares by any of our executive managing directors for each year from 2015 through 2017, provided that such exchanges or sales will generally not exceed 10% of an executive managing director’s vested partnership interests in the Och-Ziff Operating Group per year (determined on a cumulative basis) for each year through 2017. These transfer restrictions will also allow each of our executive managing directors to exchange an equivalent percentage of units that were permitted to be but were not exchanged in 2013 and 2014, and to sell any resulting Class A Shares with the approval of the Exchange Committee.

In consideration for our executive managing directors agreeing to accept these transfer restrictions, and reflective of our Pre-IPO Partners’ commitment to Och-Ziff, we established a new Partner Incentive Plan in August 2012, which we refer to as the “PIP.” Under the terms of the PIP, the participating Pre-IPO Partners, who we refer to as the “Eligible Pre-IPO Partners,” may be eligible to receive discretionary grants of annual performance awards (“Performance Awards”) over a five-year period that commenced in 2013. Performance Awards may be satisfied in Och-Ziff Operating Group D Units, which we refer to as “Performance Unit Awards,” and may also be satisfied in cash, which we refer to as “Performance Cash Awards.” All Performance Awards will be conditionally granted subject to compliance by each Eligible Pre-IPO Partner’s non-compete obligations. Each Eligible Pre-IPO Partner’s Performance Unit Awards and the after-tax portion of his Performance Cash Awards in respect of two prior years will be subject to clawback pursuant to the terms of the PIP if he breaches the non-compete obligation.

Although we believe that the PIP will help us retain and further motivate our active executive managing directors, Performance Unit Awards to participating Pre-IPO Partners under the PIP may involve the issuance of substantial additional equity interests in our business to such executive managing directors and the incurrence of significant additional expenses. For the three remaining years covered by the PIP, in the aggregate, the Eligible Pre-IPO Partners collectively may receive up to 8,312,247 Och-Ziff Operating Group D Units over the three-year period if a determination is made for each such year to award the maximum number of Performance Unit Awards to all of the

Eligible Pre-IPO Partners. The maximum aggregate amount of Performance Cash Awards for each year will be capped at 10% of our incentive income earned during that year, up to a maximum of \$39.6 million. For the three remaining years covered by the PIP, in the aggregate, the Eligible Pre-IPO Partners, collectively, may receive Performance Cash Awards in a maximum aggregate amount of \$118.8 million over the three-year period if, for each

such year, we earn enough incentive income and a determination is made to award the maximum aggregate amount of Performance Cash Awards for such year to all of the Eligible Pre-IPO Partners. In addition, in order to retain and further motivate our active executive managing directors, we may determine from time to time to make additional grants of Och-Ziff Operating Group D Units or cash. Awards of units, including under the PIP, will cause dilution to existing Class A Shareholders, thereby reducing amounts available for distribution to each Class A Shareholder. In addition, any cash awards made to our active executive managing directors will cause our total compensation and benefits expense to increase and will adversely affect our profitability. If we are unable to retain the services of any of our active executive managing directors, the loss of their services could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations, including by harming our ability to maintain or grow assets under management in existing funds or raise additional funds in the future.

In any year where our funds experience losses and we do not earn incentive income, bonuses for that year (and in subsequent years until such losses are recouped) may be significantly reduced. Reduced bonuses, particularly during subsequent years, could have a material adverse impact on our ability to motivate and retain our investment professionals and other employees.

Furthermore, our active executive managing directors and investment professionals possess substantial experience and expertise in investing, are responsible for locating and executing our funds' investments, have significant relationships with the institutions that are the source of many of our funds' investment opportunities, and in certain cases have strong relationships with our fund investors. Therefore, if our active executive managing directors or investment professionals join competitors or form competing businesses, we could experience a loss of investment opportunities and existing fund investor relationships, which if significant, would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

The Och-Ziff Operating Group entities' limited partnership agreements and other agreements entered into with our executive managing directors provide that the ownership interests in our business that are held by our executive managing directors are subject to various transfer restrictions and vesting and forfeiture conditions. In addition, the RSUs that have been awarded to our managing directors, certain executive managing directors and certain other employees are also subject to certain vesting and forfeiture requirements. Further, all of our active executive managing directors and managing directors are subject to certain restrictions with respect to competing with us, soliciting our employees and fund investors and disclosing confidential information about our business. These restrictions, however, may not be enforceable in all cases and can be waived by us at any time. There is no guarantee that these requirements and agreements, or the forfeiture provisions of the Och-Ziff Operating Group entities' limited partnership agreements (which are relevant to our executive managing directors) or the agreements we have with our managing directors will prevent any of these professionals from leaving us, joining our competitors or otherwise competing with us. Any of these events could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Most of our assets under management are in funds that have special withdrawal provisions pursuant to which the failure of Daniel S. Och to be actively involved in the business provides investors with the right to redeem from such funds. The loss of the services of Mr. Och would have a material adverse effect on each of such funds and on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Most of our assets under management are in funds that give investors a one-time special redemption right (not subject to redemption fees) if Daniel S. Och dies or ceases to perform his duties with respect to the fund for 90 consecutive days or otherwise ceases to be involved in the activities of the Och-Ziff Operating Group. The death or inability of Mr. Och to perform his duties with respect to any of our funds for 90 consecutive days, or termination of Mr. Och's involvement in the activities of the Och-Ziff Operating Group for any reason, could result in substantial redemption requests from investors in certain of our funds. Any such event would have a direct material adverse effect on our revenues and earnings, and would likely harm our ability to maintain or grow assets under management in existing funds or raise additional funds in the future. Such withdrawals could lead to a liquidation of certain funds and a corresponding elimination of our management fees and potential to earn incentive income. The loss of Mr. Och could, therefore, ultimately have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations, including a loss of substantially all of our revenues and earnings.

We have experienced and may again experience periods of rapid growth and significant declines in assets under management, which place significant demands on our legal, compliance, accounting, risk management, administrative and operational resources.

Rapid changes in our assets under management may impose substantial demands on our legal, compliance, accounting, risk management, administrative and operational infrastructures. The complexity of these demands, and the time and expense required to address them, is a function not simply of the size of the increase or decrease, but also of significant differences in the investing strategies employed within our funds and the time periods during which these changes occur. For example, expanding our product offerings and entering into new lines of business places additional demands on our infrastructure. Furthermore, our future growth will depend on, among other things, our ability to maintain and develop highly reliable operating platforms, management systems and financial reporting and compliance infrastructures that are also sufficiently flexible to promptly and appropriately address our business needs, applicable legal and regulatory requirements and relevant market and other operating conditions, all of which can change rapidly.

Addressing the matters described above may require us to incur significant additional expenses and to commit additional senior management and operational resources, even if we are experiencing declines in assets under management.

There can be no assurance that we will be able to manage our operations effectively without incurring substantial additional expense or that we will be able to grow our business and assets under management, and any failure to do so could materially adversely affect our ability to generate revenues and control our expenses.

We are highly dependent on information systems and other technology, including those used or maintained by third parties with which we do business. Any failure in any such systems or infrastructure could materially impair our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Our business is highly dependent on information systems and technology. We rely heavily on our financial, accounting, trading, risk management and other data processing and information systems to, among other things, execute, confirm, settle and record a very large number of transactions, which can be highly complex and involve multiple parties across multiple financial markets and geographies, and to facilitate financial reporting and legal and regulatory compliance all in an extremely time-sensitive, efficient and accurate manner. We must continually update these systems to properly support our operations and growth, which creates risks associated with implementing new systems and integrating them into existing ones. We also use and rely upon third-party information systems and technology to perform certain business functions. Such third-party technology may be integrated with our own. Therefore, we face additional significant risks that would arise from the failure, disruption, termination or constraints in the information systems and technology of such third parties, including financial intermediaries such as exchanges and other service providers whose information systems and technology we use. Any of these information systems or technology infrastructures could fail, become disrupted (including by unauthorized security breaches) or otherwise not operate properly or as intended. In addition, our systems are from time to time subject to cyberattacks. Breaches of our network security systems could involve attacks that are intended to obtain unauthorized access to our proprietary information, destroy data or disable, degrade or sabotage our systems, often through the introduction of computer viruses, cyberattacks and other means and could originate from a wide variety of sources, including unknown third parties outside the firm. Although we take various measures to ensure the integrity of our systems, there can be no assurance that these measures will always provide sufficient protection. If any of these failures occur, particularly those that directly affect our New York headquarters, we could suffer a disruption or cessation in our business operations, an interception of confidential or proprietary information, liability to our funds, regulatory intervention, legal action or reputational damage, any or all of which could materially impair our business, financial condition or results of operations. We could also be significantly affected if the information systems and technology of third parties with whom we conduct business are subject to unauthorized security breaches or other tampering.

We depend on our headquarters in New York and our London and Hong Kong offices, where most of our personnel are located. Although, we have taken important precautions to limit the impact of failures or disruptions in the information systems and technology infrastructures that we use, as well as the impact of physical disruptions to our New York headquarters and London office, these precautions, including our disaster recovery programs, may not be

sufficient to adequately mitigate the harm that may result from such a disaster or disruption. In addition, insurance and other safeguards might only partially reimburse us for any losses, if at all.

We are subject to third-party litigation that could result in significant legal and other liabilities and reputational harm, which could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

We face significant risks in our business that subject us to third-party litigation and legal liability. In general, we will be exposed to litigation risk in connection with any allegations of misconduct, negligence, dishonesty or bad faith arising from our management of any fund. We may also be subject to litigation arising from investor dissatisfaction with the performance of our funds, including certain losses due to the failure of a particular investment strategy or improper trading activity, if we violate restrictions in our funds' organizational documents or from allegations that we improperly exercised control or influence over companies in which our funds have large investments. In addition, we are exposed to risks of litigation relating to claims that we have not properly addressed conflicts of interest. Any litigation arising in such circumstances is likely to be protracted, expensive and surrounded by circumstances that could be materially damaging to our reputation and our business. Moreover, in such cases, we would be obligated to bear legal, settlement and other costs, which may be in excess of any available insurance coverage. In addition, although we are indemnified by our funds, our rights to indemnification may be challenged. If we are required to incur all or a portion of the costs arising out of any litigation or investigation as a result of inadequate insurance proceeds, if any, or fail to obtain indemnification from our funds, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

It is possible that we would be made a party to any lawsuit involving any of the fund-related litigation described above. As with the funds, while we maintain insurance, there can be no assurance that our insurance will prove to be adequate. If we are required to incur all or a portion of the costs arising out of litigation, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially adversely affected. Furthermore, any such litigation could be protracted, expensive and highly damaging to our reputation, which could result in a significant decline in our assets under management and revenues, even if the underlying claims are without merit. In addition, we may participate in transactions that involve litigation (including the enforcement of property rights) from time to time, and such transactions may expose us to reputational risk and increased risk from countersuits.

Extensive regulation of our business affects our activities and creates the potential for significant liabilities and penalties. Our reputation, business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially affected by regulatory issues.

Our business is subject to extensive and complex regulation, including periodic examinations and regulatory investigations, by governmental and self-regulatory organizations in the jurisdictions in which we operate and trade around the world. As an investment adviser registered under the Advisers Act and a company subject to the registration and reporting provisions of the Exchange Act, we are subject to regulation and oversight by the SEC. As a company with a class of securities listed on the NYSE, we are subject to the rules and regulations of the NYSE. As a registered commodity pool operator and a registered commodity trading advisor, we are subject to regulation and oversight by the United States Commodities Futures Trading Commission ("CFTC") and the National Futures Association. In addition, we are subject to regulation by the Department of Labor under ERISA. In the United Kingdom ("UK"), our UK sub-adviser is subject to regulation by the UK Financial Conduct Authority. Our Asian operations, and our investment activities around the globe, are subject to a variety of other regulatory regimes that vary country by country, including the Securities and Futures Commission in Hong Kong, the Securities and Exchange Board of India and the Dubai Financial Services Authority.

The regulatory bodies with jurisdiction over us have the authority to grant, and in specific circumstances to cancel, permissions to carry on our business and to conduct investigations and administrative proceedings. Such investigations and administrative proceedings can result in fines, suspensions of personnel or other sanctions, including censure, the issuance of cease-and-desist orders or the suspension or expulsion of an investment adviser from registration or memberships. For example, a failure to comply with the obligations imposed by the Exchange Act or Advisers Act, including recordkeeping, advertising and operating requirements, disclosure obligations and prohibitions on fraudulent activities, or a failure to maintain our funds' exemption from compliance with the 1940 Act could result in investigations, sanctions and reputational damage, which could adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations. Our funds are involved regularly in trading activities that implicate a broad number of U.S. and foreign securities law regimes, including laws governing trading on inside information, market

manipulation, anti-corruption, including the FCPA, and a broad number of technical trading requirements that implicate fundamental market regulation policies. Even if an investigation or proceeding did not result in a sanction or the sanction imposed against us or our personnel by a regulator were small in monetary amount, the adverse publicity relating to the investigation, proceeding or imposition of these sanctions could harm our reputation and cause us to lose existing investors or to fail to gain new investors. Furthermore, the legal, technology and other costs associated with regulatory investigations could increase to such a level that they could have a material impact on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

These global financial services regulators affect us not only with their regulations, but also with their examination, inspection and enforcement functions as well. We are routinely subject to examination and inspection and, although we make reasonable efforts to maintain effective compliance programs, there can be no assurances that any such inquiry would not result in a finding or sanction that would adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations. Likewise, enforcement investigations and administrative inquiries can be sweeping in nature. Cooperating with these investigations, as is our practice, can be expensive and time-consuming and could distract us from our business operations. In particular, U.S. regulators routinely investigate potentially serious matters such as possible insider trading, market manipulation, misleading disclosure, conflicts of interest, fraud, foreign corruption, including under the FCPA; lesser potential violations, such as books and records inaccuracies, weaknesses in internal controls; and compliance with general reporting and advertising regulations. For the past several years, we have cooperated with a number of ongoing regulatory investigations and examinations, both domestically and internationally, and we expect to be the subject of investigations and examinations in the future. There can be no assurances that ongoing or future investigations will not adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations. Enforcement actions and administrative proceedings can result in fines, or other sanctions, including censure, the issuance of a cease-and-desist order, suspension or expulsion of persons or firms from the industry. Such sanctions can harm our reputation and cause us to lose existing investors or fail to gain new investors, which could adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Since 2011, we have been investigated by the SEC and the DOJ concerning possible violations of the FCPA and other laws. The investigation concerns an investment by a foreign sovereign wealth fund in some of our funds in 2007 and investments by some of our funds, both directly and indirectly, in a number of companies in Africa. While the Company is unable to predict the full scope, duration or outcome of the SEC and DOJ investigation, it believes that it is reasonably likely that the outcome would include the government pursuing remedies. The Company expects to enter into discussions to resolve any actions that may arise out of the investigation at the appropriate time in the future. The SEC and DOJ have a broad range of civil and criminal sanctions available to them under the FCPA and other laws. While the ultimate impact of any sanctions that may arise cannot be estimated at this time, any such resolution could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

In addition, we regularly rely on exemptions or exclusions from various requirements of the Securities Act, the Exchange Act, the 1940 Act, the Commodity Exchange Act and ERISA in conducting our asset management activities. These exemptions or exclusions are sometimes highly complex and may, in certain circumstances, depend on compliance by third parties whom we do not control. If for any reason these exemptions or exclusions were to become unavailable to us, we could become subject to regulatory action or third-party claims and our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially adversely affected. Certain of the requirements imposed under the 1940 Act, the Advisers Act, ERISA and by non-U.S. regulatory authorities are designed primarily to ensure the integrity of the financial markets and to protect investors in our funds and are not designed to protect holders of our Class A Shares. At any time, the regulations applicable to us may be amended or expanded by the relevant regulatory authorities. If we are unable to correctly interpret and timely comply with any amended or expanded regulatory requirements, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be adversely impacted in a material way.

We may also be adversely affected if additional legislation or regulations are enacted, or by changes in the interpretation or enforcement of existing rules and regulations imposed by the SEC, other U.S. or foreign governmental regulatory authorities or self-regulatory organizations that supervise the financial markets and their participants. See “—Increased regulatory focus in the United States could result in additional burdens on our business” and “—Recent regulatory changes in jurisdictions outside the United States could adversely affect our business” for additional information. It is impossible to determine the extent of the impact of any new laws, regulations or initiatives that may be proposed, or whether any of the proposals will become law. Compliance with additional new laws or regulations could be difficult and expensive and affect the manner in which we conduct business, and we may be unable to correctly interpret and timely comply with any amended or expanded regulatory requirements, which could have adverse impacts on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Increased regulatory focus in the United States could result in additional burdens on our business.

The financial industry has become more highly regulated. Legislation has been introduced in recent years in the U.S. relating to financial markets and institutions, including alternative asset management firms, which would result in increased oversight and taxation. There has been, and may continue to be, a related increase in regulatory investigations of the trading and other investment activities of alternative investment funds, including our funds. Such investigations may impose additional

expenses on us, may require the attention of senior management and may result in fines if any of our funds are deemed to have violated any regulations.

On July 21, 2010, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the “Dodd-Frank Act”) was signed into law. The Dodd-Frank Act imposes significant new regulations on the U.S. financial services industry, including aspects of our business and the markets in which we operate.

Title VII of the Dodd-Frank Act (the “Derivatives Title”) imposes a comprehensive regulatory regime on over-the-counter (“OTC”) derivatives and the operations of the markets for, and the activities of the dealers in and users of, OTC derivatives. The Derivatives Title, among other things: (i) requires a substantial majority of OTC derivatives, including “swaps” (such as rate, credit, equity and commodity swaps) and “security-based swaps” (swaps and security-based swaps, collectively, “Swaps”), to be traded on a regulated exchange and cleared through a regulated clearing entity, potentially increasing significantly the collateral costs associated with such activities; (ii) imposes initial and variation margin requirements on those derivatives that are not cleared through a regulated clearing entity; (iii) creates several new classes of CFTC and SEC registrants, including “swap dealers,” “security-based swap dealers,” “major swap participants” and “major security-based swap participants,” that are, or soon will be, subject to comprehensive regulation, including minimum net capital, margin, disclosure, reporting and recordkeeping requirements, conflicts of interest policies and procedures, new business conduct standards and other regulatory requirements; and (iv) expands the CFTC’s authority to impose speculative position limits with respect to certain Swaps (such as Swaps based on oil, gas, precious metals and agricultural commodities) that perform a price discovery function and aggregate position limits for instruments (including futures and options contracts and other listed instruments that are economically equivalent to such contracts) based on the same underlying physical commodity, including oil, gas, precious metals and agricultural commodities.

We may be directly and indirectly affected by the Derivatives Title and its rules, including but not limited to results such as fewer Swaps dealers, increased clearing and margin costs, and decreased liquidity. Certain key concepts, processes and issues under the Derivatives Title that were to be defined or addressed by the regulators have not yet been defined or addressed in final form. At this time we still cannot fully predict what impact the Derivatives Title will have on us, the funds we manage, our counterparties, the financial services industry or the markets, although we already have begun to see meaningful impacts on the financial services industry and the markets, both positive and negative.

On December 18, 2014, the Financial Stability Oversight Council (the “Council”) released a notice seeking public comment regarding potential risks to U.S. financial stability from asset management products and activities. If we or any of our funds or activities were to be designated as a systemically important financial institution (“SIFI”), or otherwise designated by the Council as presenting systemic risk, we would be subject to limitations on our ability to conduct certain activities, along with increased costs of doing business in the form of fees and assessments associated with such designation as well as by virtue of increased regulatory compliance costs, all of which would be likely to adversely affect our competitive position.

On December 10, 2013, U.S. financial regulators adopted final regulations to implement the statutory mandate of the “Volcker Rule” contained in Section 619 of the Dodd-Frank Act. Under the Volcker Rule, the ability of certain banking entities to acquire as principal, directly or indirectly, ownership interests in certain private investment funds (referred to in the Volcker Rule as “covered funds”) will be limited. As a result, banking entities and their affiliates that would otherwise invest in our funds may choose not to invest in our funds, or to invest less capital in our funds. In addition, banking entities that are invested in our funds may be required to reduce or eliminate such investments due to the requirements of the Volcker Rule. The Volcker Rule also includes a general prohibition on banking entities engaging in activities defined as “proprietary trading.” The effectiveness of the Volcker Rule could negatively impact our business, financial condition or results of operations.

The Dodd-Frank Act also requires increased disclosure of executive compensation and provides shareholders with the right to a non-binding vote on executive compensation. In addition, the Dodd-Frank Act empowers federal regulators to prescribe regulations or guidelines to prohibit any incentive-based payment arrangements that the regulators determine encourage covered financial institutions to take inappropriate risks by providing officers, employees, directors or principal shareholders with excessive compensation or that could lead to a material financial loss by such

financial institutions. Until all of the relevant regulations and guidelines have been established, we cannot predict what effect, if any, these developments may have on our business or the markets in which we operate.

Furthermore, the Dodd-Frank Act required the SEC and the CFTC to implement more expansive regulations concerning whistleblowers. The SEC and the CFTC have each adopted rules under this requirement, establishing reward programs for persons who bring information to the SEC or the CFTC. To receive a reward under these programs, the information must lead to the successful enforcement or resolution of a judicial or administrative action brought by the SEC or CFTC that results in a monetary sanction of more than \$1 million for a violation of the securities laws or the Commodity Exchange Act, respectively. While it is too soon to observe the full effect of these rules, they may result in increased regulatory inquiries or investigations by the SEC or the CFTC. Such inquiries or investigations could impose significant additional expense on us, require the attention of senior management and result in negative publicity and harm to our reputation.

Effective September 23, 2013, and pursuant to a mandate under the Dodd-Frank Act, the SEC adopted amendments to Rule 506 of Regulation D under the Securities Act (“Rule 506”) that disqualify issuers, such as our funds, from relying on the exemption from registration provided by Rule 506 in connection with a securities offering structured as a private placement if any “covered persons” are deemed to be “bad actors.” Specifically, an issuer generally will be precluded from conducting offerings that rely on the registration exemption provided by Rule 506 if a “covered person” has been subject to a relevant criminal conviction, regulatory or court order or other disqualifying event that occurred on or after September 23, 2013. For these purposes, the “covered persons” of an issuer include directors, certain officers, various entities related to the issuer, solicitors and promoters of the issuer and 20% beneficial owners of the issuer’s voting securities. If any covered person is subject to a disqualifying event, one or more of our funds could lose the ability to raise capital in a Rule 506 offering which would result in significant additional expenses in connection with such offerings. Many of our funds continuously raise capital in reliance on Rule 506. If one or more of our funds were to lose the ability to rely on the Rule 506 exemption, it could adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

These and many other key aspects of the changes imposed by the Dodd-Frank Act will be established by various regulatory bodies and other groups over the next several years and the Dodd-Frank Act mandates multiple agency reports and studies (which could result in additional legislative or regulatory action). As a result of the regulatory and other action yet to be taken, including with respect to the definition of certain key terms in the Dodd-Frank Act, we do not know what the final regulations under the Dodd-Frank Act will require and it is difficult to predict how significantly the Dodd-Frank Act will affect us. The Dodd-Frank Act will likely increase our administrative costs and could impose additional restrictions on our business.

A number of legislative proposals have been considered by past Congresses that would have characterized some or all of the income recognized from carried interests as ordinary income and would have treated such income as non-qualifying income under the publicly traded partnership rules, thereby precluding us from qualifying for treatment as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes after a transition period or requiring us to restructure our operations to earn such non-qualifying income through taxable subsidiary corporations. In addition, versions of the prior proposals could have, if enacted, (i) prevented us from completing certain types of internal reorganization transactions on a tax-free basis and acquiring other asset management companies on a tax-free basis, (ii) subjected holders of Class A Shares to tax on our conversion into a corporation or restructuring after the transition period, and (iii) increased the portion of any gain realized from the sale or other disposition of a Class A Share that is treated as ordinary income rather than capital gain. The Obama administration has supported changing the treatment of carried interests in its budget proposals for 2016 (similar to its proposals in prior years). More broadly, Congress and the administration may consider potentially significant changes to various aspects of the tax law, including the deductibility of certain expenses and tax treatment of certain entities. Representative David Camp, then Chairman of the House Committee on Ways and Means, recently released a discussion draft of proposed legislation that would, among other things, prevent us from qualifying for treatment as a partnership for years beginning after 2016 and characterize some or all of the income we may earn from carried interests as ordinary income for years beginning after 2014.

If the carried interest proposals or former Chairman Camp’s proposal described above were to be enacted into law or any other change in the tax laws, rules, regulations or interpretations were to preclude us from qualifying for treatment as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes under the publicly traded partnership rules or otherwise impose

additional taxes, Class A Shareholders would be negatively affected. We would incur a material increase in our tax liability as a public company from the date any such changes applied to us, which likely would result in a reduction in the value of our Class A Shares.

Recent regulatory changes in jurisdictions outside the United States could adversely affect our business.

Similar to the United States, jurisdictions outside the United States in which we operate, in particular Europe, have become subject to further regulation. Governmental regulators and other authorities in Europe have proposed or implemented a number of initiatives and additional rules and regulations that could adversely affect our business. The European Directive on Alternative Investment Fund Managers (the “AIFMD”) became effective on July 21, 2011, and as of May 1, 2015 most (but not all) EU Member States had transposed the AIFMD into their domestic law. The AIFMD and its associated implementation process is complex and key aspects of it remain subject to further consultation and interpretation.

The AIFMD imposes significant regulatory requirements on alternative investment fund managers (“AIFMs”), operating within the EU, as well as prescribing certain conditions with regard to regulatory standards, cooperation and transparency that will need to be satisfied for non-EU AIFMs to market alternative investment funds (“AIFs”) into EU Member States. Should any member of our group be treated as an AIFM operating within the EU, AIFMD rules would impose significant additional costs on the operation of our business in the EU and limit our operating flexibility. In any event, in order to market one of our AIFs to investors in the EU, the non-EU investment adviser of that AIF will be required to comply with the marketing conditions in the AIFMD. The conditions are that the AIFM complies with certain additional transparency requirements requiring disclosures to investors in the AIF and to EU regulators; the AIFM also complies with requirements relating to the acquisition of substantial stakes in EU companies; and the jurisdictions in which the non-EU AIFM and the relevant AIF are organized satisfy certain conditions with regard to regulatory standards, cooperation and transparency.

From 2018 onwards, if the marketing passport is made available to non-EU AIFMs, it is possible that national private placement regimes will be phased out, in which case such persons would, thereafter, need to comply with the AIFMD in full in order to be able to continue to market their AIFs within the EU. Again, such rules could, if they start to apply in full to our business, potentially impose significant additional costs on the operation of our business in the EU and could limit our operating flexibility and our ability to raise funds within the EU. There is also no requirement for EU Member States to make the private placement regimes available to non-EU AIFMs and consequently, individual EU Member States could, theoretically, seek to apply the rules set out in the AIFMD in full to non-EU AIFMs at any time, even before the marketing passport is made available to such non-EU AIFMs.

In addition to the AIFMD, the European Union has implemented, or is in the process of implementing, new measures in response to the financial crisis. These include, but are not limited to (i) a regulation on short selling and certain aspects of credit default swaps (which restricts uncovered short sales in EU shares, EU sovereign debt and EU sovereign debt-related credit default swaps), (ii) a regulation on OTC derivatives, central counterparties and trade repositories (which imposes clearing, risk mitigation, margining and trade reporting requirements on OTC derivatives counterparties), (iii) amendments to the existing Markets in Financial Instruments Directive regime (covering inducements and soft commission arrangements, pre- and post-trade transparency requirements for securities and derivatives, and venue trading requirements for certain categories of shares and derivatives and product banning powers) and (iv) the Solvency II directive (which applies new capital charges on insurers for fund investments). Each or all of these measures could have direct and indirect effects on our business.

In addition, the UK has now introduced a new tax on “diverted profits,” effective April 1, 2015. The tax remains controversial and, in some parts, unclear as to its operation. The rules also may not be retained after the implementation of new multi-lateral treaties that could arise out of the Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) Project of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), which is currently reviewing various topics of international taxation. According to the UK government’s publications, the new rules are intended to counteract “contrived arrangements” to divert profits from the UK by avoiding a UK taxable presence or by other contrived arrangements between connected entities. A 25% rate of tax will apply to diverted profits relating to UK activity, targeting foreign companies which are perceived as exploiting the UK’s permanent establishment rules or creating other tax advantages by using transactions or entities that lack economic substance. Credit will be available in some circumstances for foreign taxes incurred on the same profits. Statements by the UK government indicate that the legislation was not primarily focused on investment funds such as our funds, or non-UK investment managers of such funds such as Och-Ziff. While it is not possible to reach a definitive conclusion that the funds or the management

entities will not be affected, we believe there are sufficiently strong arguments as to why neither our funds nor the management entities would be required to self-report for this tax. It is worth noting in this regard that the UK government is of the view that the new tax is not within the terms of the U.S.-UK double taxation treaty, potentially limiting the availability of credit in the U.S., as well as treaty-based dispute resolution procedures.

If third-party investors in our funds exercise their right to remove us as investment manager or general partner of the funds, we would lose the assets under management in such funds, which would eliminate our management fees and incentive income derived from such funds.

The governing agreements of most of our funds provide that, subject to certain conditions, third-party investors in those funds have the right, without cause, to vote to remove us as investment manager or general partner of the fund by a simple majority vote, resulting in the elimination of the assets under management by those funds and the management fees and incentive income derived from those funds. In addition to having a significant negative impact on our business, financial condition or results of operations, the occurrence of such an event would likely result in significant reputational damage to us.

In addition, because our funds generally have an adviser that is registered under the Advisers Act, the management agreements of all of our funds would be terminated upon an “assignment” of these agreements without investor consent, which assignment may be deemed to occur in the event these advisers were to experience a change of control. We cannot be certain that consents required to assignments of our investment management agreements will be obtained if a change of control occurs. “Assignment” of these agreements without investor consent could cause us to lose the fees we earn from such funds.

Our failure to maintain effective internal control over financial reporting in accordance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act and the related rules require our management to conduct annual assessments of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting and require a report by our independent registered public accounting firm, as well as an independent audit of our internal control over financial reporting. If our independent registered public accounting firm is unable to opine on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting for any reason or we are unable to report our financial information on a timely basis due to matters impacting our internal controls, as has occurred in the past, we may become subject to adverse regulatory or other consequences, including sanctions or investigations by the SEC, and some of these consequences could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Our failure to deal appropriately with conflicts of interest could damage our reputation and materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

As we expand the scope of our business, we increasingly confront potential conflicts of interest relating to our funds’ investment activities. Certain of our funds have overlapping investment objectives and potential conflicts may arise with respect to our decisions regarding how to allocate investment opportunities among or even within those funds. For example, a decision to acquire material non-public information about a company while pursuing an investment opportunity for a particular fund gives rise to a potential conflict of interest when it results in our having to restrict the ability of other funds to buy or sell securities in the public markets. In addition, fund investors and holders of our Class A Shares may perceive conflicts of interest regarding investment decisions for funds in which our executive managing directors and employees, who have and may continue to make significant personal investments, are personally invested.

It is possible that actual, potential or perceived conflicts could give rise to investor dissatisfaction or litigation or regulatory enforcement actions. Appropriately dealing with conflicts of interest is complex and difficult and our reputation could be damaged if we fail, or appear to fail, to deal appropriately with one or more potential or actual conflicts of interest. Regulatory scrutiny of, or litigation in connection with, conflicts of interest would have a material adverse effect on our reputation, which would materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations in a number of ways, including an inability to raise additional funds and a reluctance of counterparties to do business with us.

Misconduct by our executive managing directors, employees or agents could harm us by impairing our ability to attract and retain investors and subjecting us to significant legal liability, regulatory scrutiny and reputational harm. There is a risk that our executive managing directors, employees, joint venture partners, consultants or agents could engage in misconduct that materially adversely affects our business. We are subject to a number of obligations and standards arising from our asset management business and our authority over the assets we manage, as well as our status as a public company with securities listed on the NYSE. The violation of these obligations and standards by any

of our executive managing directors, employees, joint venture partners, consultants or agents could materially adversely affect our investors, both in our funds and in our Class A Shares, and us. In addition to these numerous and complex obligations, our business requires that we properly deal with confidential matters of great significance to companies in which we may invest or with which we otherwise do

business. If our executive managing directors, employees, joint venture partners, consultants or agents were improperly to use or disclose confidential information, we could be subject to litigation, regulatory investigations or sanctions and suffer serious harm to our reputation, financial position and current and future business relationships. Furthermore, there have been a number of recent highly publicized cases involving fraud or other misconduct by employees in the financial services industry generally and there can be no assurance that we will not suffer from similar employee misconduct. It is not always possible to detect or deter employee misconduct, and the precautions we take to detect and prevent this activity have not been and may not be effective in all cases. If one of our executive managing directors, employees, joint venture partners, consultants or agents were to engage in misconduct or were to be accused of such misconduct, even if such allegations were unsubstantiated, our reputation and our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

In recent years, the DOJ and the SEC have devoted greater resources to enforcement of the FCPA. In addition, the UK has recently significantly expanded the reach of its anti-bribery laws. While we have developed and implemented policies and procedures designed to ensure strict compliance by us and our personnel with the FCPA, such policies and procedures may not be effective in all instances to prevent violations. Any determination that we have violated the FCPA or other applicable anti-bribery laws could subject us to, among other things, civil and criminal penalties, material fines, profit disgorgement, injunctions on future conduct, securities litigation and a general loss of investor confidence, any one of which could adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations. We may enter into new businesses, make future strategic investments or acquisitions or enter into joint ventures, each of which may result in additional risks and uncertainties in our business.

We intend, to the extent that market conditions warrant, to grow our business by increasing assets under management and creating new investment platforms and businesses. Accordingly, we may pursue growth through strategic investments, acquisitions or joint ventures, which may include entering into new lines of business in which we may not have extensive experience, including sponsoring business development companies. In addition, we expect opportunities will arise to acquire, or enter into joint ventures with, other alternative or traditional asset managers. To the extent we make strategic investments or acquisitions, enter into joint ventures, or enter into a new line of business, we will face numerous risks and uncertainties, including risks associated with the required investment of capital and other resources, the possibility that we have insufficient expertise to engage in such activities profitably or without incurring inappropriate amounts of risk, combining or integrating operational and management systems and controls, or loss of investors in our funds due to the perception that we are no longer focusing on our core fund management duties. Entry into certain lines of business may subject us to more complex or extensive new laws and regulations with which we may not be familiar, or from which we are currently exempt, and may lead to increased litigation and regulatory risk. If a new business that we enter into generates insufficient revenues or if we are unable to efficiently manage any expansion of our operations, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially adversely affected. In the case of joint ventures, we are subject to additional risks and uncertainties in that we may be dependent upon, and subject to liability, losses or reputational damage relating to, systems, controls and personnel that are not under our control.

Changes in the credit markets may negatively impact our ability to refinance our outstanding indebtedness or our ability to otherwise obtain attractive financing for our business, and may increase the cost of such financing if it is obtained, which would lead to higher interest expense or, with respect to our funds, lower-yielding investments, either of which would decrease our earnings. An increase in our borrowing costs may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Our Revolving Credit Facility and the Notes will both mature in November 2019. At those times, we will be required to either refinance or replace any outstanding indebtedness under the Revolving Credit Facility (or to obtain a new revolving line of credit), and the Notes, as applicable, by entering into one or more new credit facilities or issuing debt securities, which could result in higher borrowing costs, or issuing equity, which would dilute existing shareholders. We could also repay any outstanding loans under the Revolving Credit Facility, or the Notes, by using cash on hand or cash from the sale of our assets, which would reduce amounts available for compensation of our employees or distribution to our Class A Shareholders and our executive managing directors. No assurance can be given that we will be able to enter into new credit facilities, issue debt securities or issue equity in the future on attractive terms, or at all.

Loans under the Revolving Credit Facility may be subject to a base rate plus a margin or a LIBOR-based floating rate plus a margin, and the interest expense we incur may vary with changes in the applicable LIBOR reference rate. See “Part I—Item 3. Qualitative and Quantitative Disclosures about Market Risk—Interest Rate Risk,” for additional information regarding the impact that a change in LIBOR would have on our annual interest expense associated with our debt obligations.

As our Revolving Credit Facility, the Notes and, with respect to our funds, other committed secured credit facilities expire, or if our lenders fail, we will need to replace them by entering into new facilities or finding other sources of liquidity. Furthermore, to the extent that the debt financing markets make it difficult or impossible for us to refinance or replace our Revolving Credit Facility or the Notes, we may be unable to repay the loans outstanding under the Revolving Credit Facility, if any, or the aggregate principal amount of the Notes upon maturity, our liquidity may be reduced in a manner that may restrict or otherwise prevent us from funding or operating our general business affairs. We may be forced to sell assets, undergo a recapitalization or seek bankruptcy protection, and substantial doubt may be raised as to our status as a going concern. See “Part I—Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources” and “—Debt Obligations” for a discussion of our Revolving Credit Facility and overall liquidity position.

Furthermore, depending on the facts and circumstances, we may want to use significant borrowings to finance our business operations or growth. If we incur additional substantial indebtedness, we will be exposed to risks associated with the use of substantial borrowings, including those discussed below under “—Risks Related to Our Funds—Our funds may determine to use leverage in investments, which could materially adversely affect our ability to achieve positive rates of return on those investments.”

Risks Related to Our Funds

Our results of operations are dependent on the performance of our funds. Poor performance of our funds will result in reduced revenues and earnings and make it difficult for us to retain or attract investors to our funds, retain and increase assets under management and grow our business. The performance of each fund we manage is subject to some or all of the following risks.

Difficult market conditions can adversely affect our funds in many ways, including by negatively impacting their performance and reducing their ability to raise or deploy capital, which could materially reduce our revenues and adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

A recurrence of significant disruption and volatility in the global financial markets and economies could impair the investment performance of our funds. Additionally, we may not be able to raise capital for existing or new funds during, or even following, periods of market instability. Although we seek to generate consistent, positive, absolute returns across all market cycles, our funds have been and may be materially affected by conditions in the global financial markets and economic conditions. The global market and economic climate may become increasingly uncertain due to numerous factors beyond our control, including but not limited to, concerns related to unpredictable global market and economic factors, regulatory uncertainty, rising interest rates, inflation or deflation, the availability of credit, performance of financial markets, terrorism or political uncertainty.

A general market downturn, a specific market dislocation or deteriorating economic conditions may cause a material reduction in our revenues and adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations by causing:

- A decline in assets under management, resulting in lower management fees and incentive income.
- An increase in the cost of financial instruments, executing transactions or otherwise doing business.
- Lower or negative investment returns, which may reduce assets under management and potential incentive income.
- Reduced demand for assets held by our funds, which would negatively affect our funds’ ability to realize value from such assets.
- Increased investor redemptions or greater demands for enhanced liquidity or other terms, resulting in a reduction in assets under management, lower revenues and potential increased difficulty in raising new capital.

Furthermore, while difficult market and economic conditions and other factors can potentially increase investment opportunities over the long term, including with respect to the competitive landscape for the hedge fund industry, such conditions and factors also increase the risk of increased investment losses and additional regulation, which may impair our business model and operations. Our funds may also be materially adversely affected by difficult market conditions if our investment professionals fail to assess the adverse effect of such conditions on our investments, resulting in a significant reduction in the value of those investments. Moreover, challenging market conditions may prompt alternative asset managers to reduce the management fee and incentive income rates they charge in order to retain assets. In response to competitive pressures or for any other reason, we may reduce or change the fee structures of our funds, which could reduce the amount of fees and income that we may earn relative to assets under

management.

85

Most of our funds utilize investment strategies that depend on our ability to appropriately react to, or accurately assess, the occurrence of, certain events, including market and corporate events. If we fail to do so, our funds' investment performance could be adversely affected in a material way.

The historical returns attributable to our funds should not be considered as indicative of the future results of our funds or any future funds we may raise.

We have presented throughout this report the net composite returns relating to the historical performance of our most significant funds, and we have also referred to other metrics associated with historical returns, such as risk and correlation measures. The returns are relevant to us primarily insofar as they are indicative of incentive income we have earned in prior periods and are not indicative of any future fund returns.

Moreover, with respect to the historical returns of our funds:

The historical returns of our funds should not be considered indicative of the future results that should be expected from such funds or from any future funds we may raise.

Our funds' returns, particularly during periods of more extreme market and economic conditions, have benefited from or been impaired by the existence or lack of investment opportunities and such general market and economic conditions, which may not repeat themselves, and there can be no assurance that our current or future funds will be able to avail themselves of profitable investment opportunities.

The historical rates of return of our funds reflect such funds' historical expenses, which may vary in the future due to factors beyond our control, including changes in laws or regulations.

We are subject to counterparty default risks.

Our funds enter into numerous types of financial arrangements with a wide array of counterparties around the world, including loans, swaps, repurchase agreements, securities lending agreements and other derivative and non-derivative contracts. The terms of these contracts are often customized and complex and these arrangements may occur in markets or relate to products that are not currently subject to experienced regulatory oversight. In particular, certain of our funds utilize prime brokerage arrangements with a relatively limited number of counterparties, which has the effect of concentrating the transaction volume (and related counterparty default risk) of these funds with these counterparties.

Our funds are subject to the risk that the counterparty to one or more of these contracts defaults, either voluntarily or involuntarily, under the contract. Any such default may occur rapidly and without prior notice to us. Moreover, if a counterparty defaults, we may be unable to take action to recover our assets or any amounts due to us, either because we lack the contractual ability or because market conditions make it difficult to take effective action. This inability could occur at any time, but particularly in times of market stress, which are precisely the times when defaults may be most likely to occur.

In addition, our risk-management assessments may not accurately anticipate the impact of market stress or counterparty financial condition and, as a result, we may not take sufficient action to reduce our risks effectively. Although each of our funds regularly monitors its credit exposures, default risk may arise from events or circumstances that are difficult to detect, foresee or evaluate. In addition, concerns about, or a default by, one large participant could lead to significant liquidity problems for other participants, which may in turn expose us to significant losses.

In the event of a counterparty default, particularly a default by a major commercial bank or other financial institution, one or more of our funds could incur material losses, and the resulting market impact of a major counterparty default could harm our business, results of operation and financial condition. In the event that one of our counterparties becomes insolvent or files for bankruptcy, our ability to eventually recover any losses suffered as a result of that counterparty's default may be limited by the liquidity of the counterparty or the applicable legal regime governing the bankruptcy proceeding.

The counterparty risks that we face have increased in complexity and magnitude as a result of the financial crisis, including the recent sovereign debt crisis in Europe, and resulting impairment or insolvency of a number of major financial institutions that serve as counterparties for derivative contracts and other financial instruments with our funds. The consolidation or elimination of counterparties may also result in concentration of counterparty risk. In addition, counterparties have generally reacted to the ongoing market volatility by tightening their underwriting

standards and increasing their margin requirements for all

86

categories of financing, which has the result of decreasing the overall amount of leverage available to our funds and increasing the costs of borrowing.

Poor performance of our funds would cause a decline in our revenues, results of operations and cash flows and could materially adversely affect our ability to retain capital or attract additional capital.

If our funds perform poorly, our revenues, results of operations and cash flows decline because the value of our assets under management decreases, which in turn results in a reduction in management fees. To the extent that our funds perform poorly and such performance is continuing at the end of a relevant commitment period, we would experience a reduction in incentive income and, if such reduction was substantial, could result in the elimination of incentive income for a given year and future years until that decrease has been surpassed by positive performance. Poor performance of our funds would make it more difficult for us to raise new capital and may cause investors in our funds to redeem their investments. Investors and potential investors in our funds continually assess our funds' performance, as well as our ability to raise capital for existing and future funds. Our ability to avoid excessive redemption levels will depend in part on our funds' continued satisfactory performance. Moreover, poor performance, particularly in our most significant funds, would harm our reputation and competitive standing, which would further impair our ability to retain or attract fund capital. These factors may cause us to reduce or change the fee structure of our funds in order to retain or continue to attract assets under management, which could further reduce the amounts of management fees and incentive income that we may earn relative to assets under management.

Our funds may determine to use leverage in investments, which could materially adversely affect our ability to achieve positive rates of return on those investments.

Our funds use or may choose to use leverage, either directly or through the use of derivative instruments, to increase the yield on certain of their investments. The use of leverage poses a significant degree of risk, most notably by significantly increasing the risk of loss associated with leveraged investments that decline in value, and enhances the possibility of a significant loss in the value of the investments in our funds. Our funds may borrow money from time to time to purchase or carry securities. The interest expense and other costs incurred in connection with such borrowing may not be recovered by appreciation in the securities purchased or carried, and will be lost—and the timing and magnitude of such losses may be accelerated or exacerbated—in the event of a decline in the market value of such securities. Volatility in the credit markets increases the degree of risk associated with such borrowing. Gains realized with borrowed funds may cause a fund's net asset value to increase at a faster rate than would be the case without borrowings. If investment results fail to cover the cost of borrowings, the fund's net asset value could also decrease faster than if there had been no borrowings. Increases in interest rates could also decrease the value of fixed-rate debt investments made by our funds. To the extent our funds determine to significantly increase their use of leverage, any of the foregoing circumstances could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

The due diligence process that we undertake in connection with investments by our funds may not reveal all facts that may be relevant in connection with making an investment.

Before investments are made by our funds, particularly investments in securities that are not publicly traded, we conduct due diligence that we deem reasonable and appropriate based on the facts and circumstances applicable to each investment. When conducting due diligence, we may be required to evaluate important and complex business, financial, tax, accounting, environmental and legal issues. Outside consultants, legal advisors, accountants and investment bankers may be involved in the due diligence process in varying degrees depending on the type of investment. Nevertheless, when conducting due diligence and making an assessment regarding an investment, we rely on the resources available to us, including information provided by the target of the investment and, in some circumstances, third-party investigations. The due diligence that we carry out with respect to any investment opportunity may not reveal or highlight all relevant facts that may be necessary or helpful in evaluating such investment opportunity, and such an evaluation will not necessarily result in the investment being successful. Moreover, the level of due diligence conducted with respect to a particular investment will vary and we may not properly assess the appropriate amount of diligence for each investment, which may result in losses.

Our funds may invest in relatively high-risk, illiquid assets, including structured products, and may fail to realize any profits from these activities for a considerable period of time or lose some or all of the principal investments.

Our funds invest in securities that are not publicly traded or that are otherwise illiquid, including complex structured products. There may be no readily available liquidity in these securities, particularly at times of market stress or where many

participants may be seeking liquidity at the same time. In many cases, our funds may be prohibited, whether by contract, by applicable securities laws or by the lack of a liquid market, from selling such securities for a period of time. Moreover, even if the securities are publicly traded, large holdings of securities can often be disposed of only over a substantial length of time, exposing the investment returns to risks of downward movement in market prices during the required holding period. Accordingly, under certain conditions, our funds may be forced to either sell securities at lower prices than they had expected to realize or defer, potentially for a considerable period of time, sales that they had planned to make. Investment in illiquid assets involves considerable risk and our funds may lose some or all of the principal amount of such investments.

Valuation methodologies for certain assets in our funds are subject to significant subjectivity and the values established pursuant to such methodologies may never be realized, which could result in significant losses for our funds.

There are no readily ascertainable market prices for the large number of the illiquid investments held by our funds. The fair value of the investments of our funds is determined periodically by us using a number of methodologies permitted by our funds' valuation policies. These methodologies involve a significant degree of judgment and are based on a number of factors, which may include, without limitations, the nature of the investment, the expected cash flows from the investment, bid or ask prices provided by third parties for the investment, the length of time the investment has been held, the trading price of securities (in the case of publicly traded securities), restrictions on transfer and other recognized valuation methodologies. In addition, because certain of the illiquid investments held by our funds may be in industries or sectors that are under distress or undergoing some uncertainty, such investments may be subject to rapid changes in value caused by sudden company-specific or industry-specific developments. Because valuations, and in particular valuations of investments for which market quotations are not readily available, are inherently uncertain, may fluctuate over short periods of time and may be based on estimates, determinations of fair value may differ materially from the values that would have resulted if a ready market had existed. Even if market quotations are available for our investments, such quotations may not reflect the value that may actually be realized because of various factors, including the possible illiquidity associated with a large ownership position, subsequent illiquidity in the market for a company's securities, future market price volatility or the potential for a future loss in market value based on poor industry conditions or the market's view of overall company and management performance.

Because there is significant uncertainty in the valuation of and in the stability of the value of illiquid investments, the fair values of such investments as reflected in a fund's net asset value do not necessarily reflect the prices that might actually be obtained when such investments are sold. Realizations at values significantly lower than the values at which investments have been reflected in fund net asset values would result in losses for the applicable fund, a decline in management fees and the loss of potential incentive income. Also, a situation where asset values turn out to be materially different from values reflected in fund net asset values may cause investors to lose confidence in us, which could, in turn, result in redemptions from our funds, difficulties in our ability to raise additional capital or an increased risk of litigation by investors or governmental or self-regulatory organizations. These issues could result in regulatory scrutiny of our valuation methodologies, policies and related disclosures.

Our funds make investments in companies that we do not control, exposing us to the risk of decisions made by others with whom we may not agree.

Investments by our funds will include investments in debt or equity of companies that we do not control. Such investments may be acquired by our funds through trading activities or through purchases of securities from the issuer. Those investments will be subject to the risk that the company in which the investment is made may make business, financial or management decisions contrary to our expectations, with which we do not agree or that the majority stakeholders or the management of the company may take risks or otherwise act in a manner that does not serve our interests. In addition, we may make investments in which we share control over the investment with co-investors, which may make it more difficult for us to implement our investment approach or exit the investment when we otherwise would. If any of the foregoing were to occur with respect to one or more significant investments, the values of such investments by our funds could decrease and our business, financial condition or results of operations could suffer as a result.

Our funds make investments in companies that are based outside of the United States, exposing us to additional risks not typically associated with investing in companies that are based in the United States.

Many of our funds may invest a significant portion of their assets in the equity, debt, loans or other securities of issuers located outside the United States. Investments in non-U.S. securities involve certain factors not typically associated with investing in U.S. securities, including risks relating to the following:

- Currency exchange matters, including fluctuations in currency exchange rates and costs associated with conversion of investment principal and income from one currency into another.

- Less developed or efficient financial markets than in the United States, which may not enable or permit appropriate hedging techniques or other developed trading activities, leading to potential price volatility and relative illiquidity.

- The absence of uniform accounting, auditing and financial reporting standards, practices and disclosure requirements and less government supervision and regulation.

- Differences in the legal and regulatory environment, including less-developed or less-comprehensive bankruptcy laws.

- Fewer investor protections and less stringent requirements relating to fiduciary duties.

- Difficulties in enforcing contracts and filing claims under foreign legal systems.

- Less publicly available information in respect of companies in non-U.S. markets.

Certain economic and political risks, including potential exchange control regulations and restrictions on our non-U.S. investments and repatriation of profits on investments or of capital invested, the risks of political, economic or social instability, the possibility of expropriation or confiscatory taxation and adverse economic and political developments.

- The possible imposition of non-U.S. taxes or withholding on income and gains recognized with respect to such securities.

There can be no assurance that adverse developments with respect to such risks will not materially adversely affect our funds' investments that are held in certain countries or the returns from these investments.

Our funds and certain of our counterparties may have direct or indirect credit exposure to sovereign debt of non-U.S. countries, and disruptions in these economies could have a negative effect on the performance of our funds or our business, financial condition or results of operations.

The financial markets continue to reflect concern and a loss of investor confidence globally about the ability of certain countries to finance their deficits and service growing debt burdens amid difficult economic conditions. The potential for insolvency has led to financial rescue measures for Greece, Portugal and Ireland by Euro-zone countries, the European Central Bank and the International Monetary Fund. The actions required to be taken by those countries as a condition to rescue packages, and by other countries to mitigate similar developments in their economies, have resulted in increased political and economic discord within and among Euro-zone countries. The interdependencies among European economies and financial institutions (in particular the European Central Bank, which has played a growing role during the crisis) have also exacerbated concern regarding the stability of European financial markets generally and certain institutions in particular. Our funds have and may continue to have exposure to non-U.S. sovereign debt, including the debt of a number of European countries whose credit ratings have been downgraded or placed under review in recent months by one or more major rating agencies. Given the scope of our global operations and our exposure to a wide array of counterparties, some of whom may have exposure to the economies of non-U.S. countries, there can be no assurance that persistent or unexpected disruptions in the global financial markets related to, directly or indirectly, non-U.S. sovereign debt will not have a negative impact on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Risk management activities may materially adversely affect the return on our funds' investments.

When managing our funds' exposure to market risks, we may from time to time use hedging strategies and various forms of derivative instruments to limit the funds' exposure to changes in the relative values of investments that may result from market developments, including changes in prevailing interest rates, currency exchange rates and commodity prices. The success of any hedging transactions generally will depend on our ability to correctly assess the degree of correlation between price movements

of the hedging instrument, the position being hedged, the creditworthiness of the counterparty and other factors. As a result, while we may enter into a transaction in order to reduce our exposure to market risks, the transaction may result in poorer overall investment performance than if it had not been executed. Such transactions may also limit the opportunity for gain if the value of a hedged position increases. In addition, the degree of correlation between price movements of the instruments used in connection with hedging activities and price movements in a position being hedged may vary. For a variety of reasons, we may not seek or be successful in establishing a perfect correlation between the instruments used in a hedging or other derivative transaction and the position being hedged. An imperfect correlation could prevent us from achieving the intended result and could give rise to a loss. In addition, it may not be possible to fully or perfectly limit our exposure against all changes in the value of our investment because the value of investments is likely to fluctuate as a result of a number of factors, some of which will be beyond our control or ability to hedge.

If our risk management processes and systems are ineffective, we may be exposed to material unanticipated losses. We continue to refine and implement our risk management techniques, strategies and assessment methods, such as the use of statistical and other quantitative and qualitative tools to identify, observe, measure and analyze the risks to which our funds are exposed. These methods, even if properly implemented, may not allow us to fully mitigate the risk exposure of our funds in all economic or market environments, or against all types of risk, including risks that we might fail to identify or anticipate. Some of our strategies for anticipating and managing risk in our funds are based upon our use of historical market behavior statistics, which may not be an accurate predictor of current or future market risks. We apply statistical and other tools to these observations to measure and analyze the risks to which our funds are exposed. Any failure in our risk management systems, whether in design or implementation, to accurately identify and quantify such risk exposure could limit our ability to manage risks in the funds, identify appropriate investment opportunities or realize positive, risk-adjusted returns. Because neither our quantitative nor qualitative risk management processes can anticipate for every investment the economic and financial outcome or timing and other specifics of the outcome, we will, in the course of our activities, incur losses.

Our funds' investments are subject to numerous additional risks.

Our funds' investments are subject to numerous additional risks, including the following:

The funds may engage in short selling, which is subject to the theoretically unlimited risk of loss because there is no limit on how much the price of a security may appreciate before the short position is closed out. A fund may be subject to losses if a security lender demands return of the lent securities and an alternative lending source cannot be found or if the fund is otherwise unable to borrow securities that are necessary to hedge its positions.

Our funds may be limited in their ability to engage in short selling or other activities as a result of regulatory mandates. Such regulatory actions may limit our ability to engage in hedging activities and therefore impair our investment strategies. In addition, our funds may invest in securities and other assets for which appropriate market hedges do not exist or cannot be acquired on attractive terms.

Our funds may invest in companies with weak financial conditions, poor operating results, substantial financial needs, negative net worth and/or special competitive problems or that are involved in bankruptcy or reorganization proceedings. In such "distressed" situations, it may be difficult to obtain full information as to the exact financial and operating condition of the issuer. Depending on the specific fund's investment profile, a fund's exposure to distressed investments may be substantial in relation to the market for those investments and the investments may be illiquid and difficult to transfer. As a result, it may take a number of years for the fair value of our funds' distressed investments to reflect their intrinsic value as perceived by us.

Distressed investments may be involved in work-outs, liquidations, spin-offs, reorganizations, bankruptcies and similar transactions and may purchase high-risk receivables. Additionally, the fair values of such investments may be subject to abrupt and erratic market movements and significant price volatility if they are widely traded securities and significant uncertainty in general if they are not widely traded securities or have no recognized market. Moreover, a major economic recession could have a materially adverse impact on the value of such securities. An investment in such business enterprises entails the risk that the transaction in which such business enterprise is involved either will be unsuccessful, will take considerable time or will result in a distribution of cash or a new security the value of which will be less than the purchase price to the fund of the security or other financial instrument in respect of which such

distribution is received. In addition, if an anticipated transaction does not in fact occur, the fund may be required to sell its investment at a loss. Because there is substantial uncertainty

concerning the outcome of transactions involving financially troubled companies, there is a potential risk of loss by a fund of its entire investment in each such company.

Investments in troubled companies may also be adversely affected by U.S. federal and state laws relating to, among other things, fraudulent conveyances, voidable preferences, lender liability and a bankruptcy court's discretionary power to disallow, subordinate or disenfranchise particular claims. Investments in securities and private claims of troubled companies made in connection with an attempt to influence a restructuring proposal or plan of reorganization in a bankruptcy case may also involve substantial litigation. Adverse publicity and investor perceptions, whether or not based on fundamental analysis, may also decrease the value and liquidity of securities rated below investment grade or otherwise adversely affect our reputation.

Credit risk may be exacerbated by a default by any one of several large institutions that are dependent on one another to meet their liquidity or operational needs, so that a default by one institution causes a series of defaults by the other institutions. This "systemic risk" could have a further material adverse effect on the financial intermediaries (such as prime brokers, clearing agencies, clearing houses, banks, securities firms and exchanges) with which the funds transact on a daily basis. Although the U.S. government, including the U.S. Treasury Department and the Federal Reserve, has taken significant actions to prevent a systemic collapse, no assurance can be given that such actions will be sufficient or successful in all cases.

The effectiveness of investment and trading strategies depends largely on the ability to establish and maintain an overall market position in a combination of financial instruments. A fund's trading orders may not be executed in a timely and efficient manner due to various circumstances, including systems failures or human error. In such event, the funds may only be able to acquire some but not all of the components of the position, or if the overall position were to need adjustment, the funds might not be able to make such adjustment. As a result, the funds would not be able to achieve the market position selected by the investment manager or general partner of such funds, and might incur a loss in liquidating their position.

Fund investments are subject to risks relating to investments in commodities, futures, options and other derivatives, the prices of which are highly volatile and may be subject to the theoretically unlimited risk of loss in certain circumstances, including if the fund writes a call option. Price movements of commodities, futures and options contracts and payments pursuant to swap agreements are influenced by, among other things, interest rates; changing supply and demand relationships; trade, fiscal, monetary and exchange control programs; and policies of governments and national and international political and economic events and policies. The value of futures, options and swap agreements also depends upon the price of the securities underlying them. In addition, the funds' assets are subject to the risk of the failure of any of the exchanges on which their positions trade or of their clearinghouses or counterparties.

Our funds may make real estate investments, including, without limitation, the acquisition of real estate assets, the purchase of loans secured directly or indirectly by real estate and the purchase of securities backed by mortgage loans secured by real estate, which will be subject to the risks incident to the lending, ownership and operation of commercial and residential real estate, including (i) risks associated with both the domestic and international general economic climate; (ii) local real estate conditions; (iii) risks due to dependence on cash flow; (iv) risks relating to the decline in value of the real estate properties in question; (v) risks and operating problems arising out of the absence of certain construction materials; (vi) changes in supply of, or demand for, competing properties in an area (as a result, for instance, of over-building); (vii) the financial condition of tenants, buyers and sellers of properties; (viii) risks relating to the absence of debt financing or changes in its availability; (ix) energy and supply shortages; (x) laws assigning liability to the owners of real estate properties for environmental hazards existing on such properties; (xi) laws relating to real estate lending, management and/or ownership that are complex or unclear or otherwise difficult to comply with; (xii) changes in the tax, real estate, environmental and zoning laws and regulations; (xiii) various uninsured or uninsurable risks; (xiv) natural disasters; and (xv) the ability of the fund or third party borrowers to develop and manage the real properties. With respect to investments in equity or debt securities, the fund will in large part be dependent on the ability of third parties to successfully manage the underlying real estate assets. In addition, the fund may invest in mortgage loans that are structured so that all or a substantial portion of the principal will not be paid until maturity, which increases the risk of default at that time. The fund's investment strategy, which may

involve the acquisition of distressed or underperforming assets in a leveraged capital structure, will involve a high degree of legal and financial risk, and there can be no assurance that the fund's rate of return objectives will be realized or that there will be any return of capital. There is no assurance that there will be a ready market for resale of investments because investments in real estate generally are not liquid.

Risks Related to Our Organization and Structure

Control by Mr. Och of the total combined voting power of our shares could cause or prevent us from engaging in certain transactions, which could materially adversely affect the market price of the Class A Shares or deprive our Class A Shareholders of an opportunity to receive a premium as part of a sale of our Company.

As of March 31, 2015, our executive managing directors control approximately 64.4% of the total combined voting power of our Class A Shares and Class B Shares through their ownership of 100% of our Class B Shares and Mr. Och's and certain other executive officers' ownership of Class A Shares purchased on the open market. In addition, our executive managing directors will receive additional Class B Shares resulting in additional control upon the conversion of any Och-Ziff Operating Group D Units into Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units. Each of our executive managing directors that owns Class B Shares has granted to the Class B Shareholder Committee, the sole member of which is currently our founder, Mr. Och, an irrevocable proxy to vote all of their Class B Shares as the Committee may determine in its sole discretion. This proxy will terminate upon the later of Mr. Och's withdrawal, death or disability, or such time as our executive managing directors hold less than 40% of our total combined voting power. Accordingly, Mr. Och currently has the ability to elect all of the members of our Board of Directors and thereby control our management and affairs. In addition, he currently is able to determine the outcome of all matters requiring shareholder approval and will be able to cause or prevent a change of control of our Company or a change in the composition of our Board of Directors, and could preclude any unsolicited acquisition of our Company. The control of voting power by Mr. Och could deprive Class A Shareholders of an opportunity to receive a premium for their Class A Shares as part of a sale of our Company, and might ultimately affect the market price of the Class A Shares. Upon Mr. Och's withdrawal, death or disability, the Class B Shareholder Committee will consist of either the remaining members of the Partner Management Committee, who shall act by majority vote in such capacity, or an executive managing director elected by majority vote of the remaining members of the Partner Management Committee to serve as the sole member of the Class B Shareholder Committee.

In addition, the shareholders' agreement among us and our executive managing directors, in their capacity as the Class B shareholders, provides the Class B Shareholder Committee, so long as our executive managing directors and their permitted transferees continue to hold more than 40% of the total combined voting power of our outstanding Class A Shares and Class B Shares, with approval rights over a variety of significant Board actions, including:

Any incurrence of indebtedness, other than intercompany indebtedness, in one transaction or a series of related transactions, by us or any of our subsidiaries or controlled affiliates in an amount in excess of approximately 10% of the then existing long-term indebtedness of us and our subsidiaries.

Any issuance by us or any of our subsidiaries or controlled affiliates, in any transaction or series of related transactions, of equity or equity-related shares which would represent, after such issuance, or upon conversion, exchange or exercise, as the case may be, at least 10% of the total combined voting power of our outstanding Class A Shares and Class B Shares other than (i) pursuant to transactions solely among us and our wholly owned subsidiaries, (ii) upon issuances of securities pursuant to the Plan, (iii) upon the exchange by our executive managing directors of Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units for our Class A Shares pursuant to the exchange agreement or (iv) upon conversion of any convertible securities or upon exercise of warrants or options, which convertible securities, warrants or options may be issued and are either outstanding on the date of, or issued in compliance with, the shareholders' agreement.

Any equity or debt commitment or investment or series of related equity or debt commitments or investments by us or any of our subsidiaries or controlled affiliates in an unaffiliated entity or related group of entities in an amount greater than \$250 million.

- Any entry by us, any subsidiary or controlled affiliate into a new line of business that does not involve investment management and that requires a principal investment in excess of \$100 million.
- The adoption of a shareholder rights plan.
- Any appointment or removal of a chief executive officer or co-chief executive officer.
- The termination of the employment of an executive officer or the active involvement of an executive managing director with us or any of our subsidiaries or controlled affiliates without cause.

In addition, our operating agreement requires that we obtain the consent of the Class B Shareholder Committee for specified actions primarily relating to our structure so long as any Class B Shares are outstanding. Our structure is intended to ensure that we maintain exchangeability of Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units for Class A Shares on a one-for-one basis.

Accordingly, the Class B Shareholder Committee will have the right to approve or consent to actions that could result in an economic disparity between holders of our Class A Shares and other classes of equity, such as the issuance of certain securities, making certain capital contributions, owning or disposing of certain assets, incurring certain indebtedness and conducting business outside of the Och-Ziff Operating Group.

Our operating agreement contains provisions that reduce fiduciary duties of our directors and officers with respect to potential conflicts of interest against such individuals and limit remedies available to our Class A Shareholders against such individuals for actions that might otherwise constitute a breach of duty.

Our operating agreement provides that in the event a potential conflict of interest exists or arises between any of our executive managing directors, our officers, our directors or their respective affiliates, on the one hand, and us, any of our subsidiaries or any of our shareholders, on the other hand, a resolution or course of action by our Board of Directors shall be deemed approved by all of our shareholders, and shall not constitute a breach of the fiduciary duties of members of the Board to us or our shareholders, if such resolution or course of action is: (i) approved by our Nominating, Corporate Governance and Conflicts Committee, which is composed of independent directors; (ii) approved by shareholders holding a majority of our shares that are disinterested parties; (iii) on terms no less favorable than those generally provided to or available from unrelated third parties; or (iv) fair and reasonable to us. Accordingly, if such a resolution or course of action is approved by our Nominating, Corporate Governance and Conflicts Committee or otherwise meets one or more of the above criteria, shareholders will not be able to successfully assert a claim that such resolution or course of action constituted a breach of fiduciary duties owed to our shareholders by our officers, directors and their respective affiliates. Under the Delaware General Corporation Law, which we refer to as the “DGCL,” in contrast, a corporation is not permitted to automatically exempt Board members from claims of breach of fiduciary duty under such circumstances.

Our operating agreement contains provisions limiting the liability of our officers and directors to us, which also reduces remedies available to our Class A Shareholders for certain acts by such persons.

Our operating agreement also provides that to the fullest extent permitted by applicable law our directors or officers will not be liable to us other than in instances of fraud, gross negligence and willful misconduct. Accordingly, unless our officers or directors commit acts of fraud, gross negligence or willful misconduct, our shareholders may not have remedies available against such individuals under applicable law. Under the DGCL, in contrast, a director or officer would be liable to us for: (i) breach of duty of loyalty to us or our shareholders; (ii) intentional misconduct or knowing violations of the law that are not done in good faith; (iii) improper redemption of stock or declaration of a dividend; or (iv) a transaction from which the director derived an improper personal benefit.

Our operating agreement also provides that we will indemnify our directors and officers for acts or omissions to the fullest extent permitted by law other than in instances of fraud, gross negligence and willful misconduct, against all expenses and liabilities (including judgments, fines, penalties, interest, amounts paid in settlement with the approval of the Company and counsel fees and disbursements) arising from the performance of any of their obligations or duties in connection with their service to us or the operating agreement, including in connection with any civil, criminal, administrative, investigative or other action, suit or proceeding to which any such person may hereafter be made party by reason of being or having been one of our directors or officers. Under the DGCL, in contrast, a corporation can only indemnify directors and officers for acts or omissions if the director or officer acted in good faith, in a manner he reasonably believed to be in the best interests of the corporation, and, in a criminal action, if the officer or director had no reasonable cause to believe his conduct was unlawful.

In the future, we may elect to rely on exceptions from certain corporate governance and other requirements under the rules of the NYSE.

Our executive managing directors control more than 50% of our voting power. We are therefore eligible for the “controlled company” exception from NYSE requirements that our Board of Directors be comprised of a majority of independent directors and that our Compensation Committee and Nominating, Corporate Governance and Conflicts Committee consist solely of independent directors. Although we do not currently intend to utilize this exception, we may in the future determine to do so.

Because our executive managing directors hold their economic interest in our business directly in the Och-Ziff Operating Group, conflicts of interest may arise between them and holders of our Class A Shares, particularly with respect to tax considerations.

As of March 31, 2015, our executive managing directors held 63.1% of the equity in the Och-Ziff Operating Group directly through Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units, rather than through ownership of our Class A Shares. In addition, as of March 31, 2015, our executive managing directors held a 4.5% interest in the Och-Ziff Operating Group in the form of Och-Ziff Operating Group D Units, which are non-equity profit interests. Because they hold their economic interests in our business directly through the Och-Ziff Operating Group, our executive managing directors may have conflicting interests with holders of Class A Shares or with us. For example, our executive managing directors will have different tax positions from holders of our Class A Shares which could influence decisions of the Class B Shareholder Committee and also our Board of Directors regarding whether and when to dispose of assets, and whether and when to incur new or refinance existing indebtedness, especially in light of the existence of the tax receivable agreement. Decisions with respect to these and other operational matters could affect the timing and amounts of payments due to our executive managing directors and the Ziffs under the tax receivable agreement. In addition, the structuring of future transactions and investments may take into consideration our executive managing directors' tax considerations even where no similar benefit would accrue to us or the holders of Class A Shares.

We intend to pay regular quarterly distributions but our ability to do so may be limited by our holding company structure, as we are dependent on distributions from the Och-Ziff Operating Group to make distributions and to pay taxes and other expenses.

As a holding company, our ability to make distributions or to pay taxes and other expenses is subject to the ability of our subsidiaries to provide cash to us. We intend to make quarterly distributions to our Class A Shareholders.

Accordingly, we expect to cause the Och-Ziff Operating Group to make distributions to the direct owners of Och-Ziff Operating Group Units, currently our intermediate holding companies, our executive managing directors, pro rata in an amount sufficient to enable us to pay corresponding distributions to our Class A Shareholders and make required tax payments and payments under the tax receivable agreement; however, no assurance can be given that such distributions will or can be made. Our Board of Directors can change our distribution policy or reduce or eliminate our distributions at any time, in its discretion. In addition, the Och-Ziff Operating Group is required to make minimum tax distributions to its direct unit holders, to which our Class A Shareholders may not be entitled, as distributions on Och-Ziff Operating Group B Units to our intermediate holding companies may be used to settle tax liabilities, if any, or other obligations. In addition, the Och-Ziff Operating Group may make distributions to our executive managing directors in respect of their Class C Non-Equity Interests with respect to cash awards granted to them under the PIP or otherwise. As a result, Class A Shareholders may not receive any distributions at a time when our executive managing directors are receiving distributions on their ownership interests. If the Och-Ziff Operating Group has insufficient funds to make such distributions, we may have to borrow additional funds or sell assets, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Furthermore, by paying cash distributions rather than investing that cash in our business, we might risk slowing the pace of our growth, or not having a sufficient amount of cash to fund our operations, new investments or unanticipated capital expenditures, should the need arise.

There may be circumstances under which we are restricted from making distributions under applicable law or regulation (for example, due to Delaware limited partnership act or limited liability company act limitations on making distributions if liabilities of the entity after the distribution would exceed the fair value of the entity's assets).

The declaration and payment of any future distributions will be at the sole discretion of our Board of Directors, which may change our distribution policy or reduce or eliminate our distributions at any time, in its discretion.

Because we have historically earned and recognized most of our incentive income in the fourth quarter of each year, we anticipate that quarterly distributions in respect of the first three calendar quarters will be disproportionate to distributions in respect of the last calendar quarter, which will typically be paid in the first calendar quarter of the following year. Our Board of Directors will take into account such factors as it may deem relevant, including general economic and business conditions; our strategic plans and prospects; our business and investment opportunities; our financial condition and operating results; working capital requirements and anticipated cash needs; contractual

restrictions and obligations, including payment obligations pursuant to the tax receivable agreement and restrictions pursuant to our Revolving Credit Facility; legal, tax and regulatory restrictions; and other restrictions and implications on the payment of distributions by us to our Class A Shareholders or by our subsidiaries to us and such other factors as our Board of Directors may deem relevant. Any compensatory payments made to our employees, as

well as payments that Och-Ziff Corp makes under the tax receivable agreement and distributions to holders of ownership interests in respect of their tax liabilities arising from their direct ownership of ownership interests, will reduce amounts that would otherwise be available for distribution on our Class A Shares. In addition, discretionary income allocations on Class C Non-Equity Interests as determined by the Chairman of the Partner Management Committee (or, in the event there is no Chairman, the full Partner Management Committee acting by majority vote) in conjunction with our Compensation Committee, relating to cash awards granted to our executive managing directors, including under the PIP, will also reduce amounts available for distribution to our Class A Shareholders. We have granted RSUs that may settle in Class A Shares to certain of our executive managing directors, managing directors and other employees, and to independent members of our Board of Directors. All of these RSUs accrue distributions to be paid if and when the underlying RSUs vest. Distributions may be paid in cash or in additional RSUs that accrue additional distributions and will be settled at the same time the underlying RSUs vest.

The declaration and payment of any distribution may be subject to legal, contractual or other restrictions. For example, as a Delaware limited liability company, we are not permitted to make distributions if and to the extent that after giving effect to such distributions, our liabilities would exceed the fair value of our assets. In addition, we may not be permitted to make certain distributions if we are in default under our Revolving Credit Facility. Our cash needs and payment obligations may fluctuate significantly from quarter to quarter, and we may have material unexpected expenses in any period. This may cause amounts available for distribution to significantly fluctuate from quarter to quarter or may reduce or eliminate such amounts.

There are a number of risks involving the tax receivable agreement we are party to, including the risk that the Internal Revenue Service may challenge all or part of the tax basis increases and related increased deductions, and a court could sustain such a challenge, even with respect to amounts for which we have made payments pursuant to the tax receivable agreement.

The actual increase in tax basis of the Och-Ziff Operating Group assets resulting from an exchange or from payments under the tax receivable agreement, as well as the amortization thereof and the timing and amount of payments under the tax receivable agreement, will vary based upon a number of factors including the law in effect at the time of an exchange or a payment under the tax receivable agreement, the timing of future exchanges, the timing and amount of prior payments under the tax receivable agreement, the price of our Class A Shares at the time of any exchange, the composition of the Och-Ziff Operating Group's assets at the time of any exchange, the extent to which such exchanges are taxable and the amount and timing of the income of Och-Ziff Corp and our other intermediate corporate taxpayers that hold Och-Ziff Operating Group B Units in connection with an exchange, if any. Depending upon the outcome of these factors, payments that we may be obligated to make to our executive managing directors and the Ziffs under the tax receivable agreement in respect of exchanges are likely to be substantial. In light of the numerous factors affecting our obligation to make payments under the tax receivable agreement, however, the timing and amounts of any such actual payments are not reasonably ascertainable. See "Part I—Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Tax Receivable Agreement." The Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") may challenge all or part of increased deductions and tax basis increase, and a court could sustain such a challenge, which could result in a substantial increase in our tax liabilities. Were the IRS to challenge a tax basis increase, our executive managing directors and the Ziffs who have received payments under the tax receivable agreement will not reimburse the corporate taxpayers for any such payments that have been previously made. As a result, in certain circumstances, payments could be made to our executive managing directors and the Ziffs under the tax receivable agreement in excess of the corporate taxpayers' cash tax savings. The corporate taxpayers' ability to achieve benefits from any tax basis increase, and the payments to be made under this agreement, will depend upon a number of factors, including the timing and amount of our future income.

Decisions made by our executive managing directors in the course of running our business, in particular decisions made with respect to the sale or disposition of assets or change of control, may influence the timing and amount of payments that are payable to an exchanging or selling executive managing director or the Ziffs under the tax receivable agreement. In general, earlier disposition of assets following an exchange or acquisition transaction will tend to accelerate such payments and increase the present value of the tax receivable agreement, and disposition of assets before an exchange or acquisition transaction will tend to increase the tax liability of our executive managing

directors or the Ziffs without giving rise to any rights to receive payments under the tax receivable agreement. In addition, the tax receivable agreement provides that, upon a merger, asset sale or other form of business combination or certain other changes of control, the corporate taxpayers' (or their successors') obligations with respect to exchanged or

acquired units (whether exchanged or acquired before or after such change of control) would be based on certain prescribed assumptions, including that the corporate taxpayers would have sufficient taxable income to fully utilize the deductions arising from the increased tax deductions and tax basis and other benefits related to entering into the tax receivable agreement. Accordingly, obligations under the tax receivable agreement may make it more expensive for third parties to acquire control of us and make it more difficult for the holders of Class A Shares to recognize a premium in connection with any such transaction. Finally, we may need to incur debt to finance payments under the tax receivable agreement to the extent our cash resources are insufficient to meet our obligations under the tax receivable agreement, which may or may not be available on favorable terms, if at all.

If we are deemed an investment company under the 1940 Act, the applicable restrictions could make it impracticable for us to continue our business as contemplated and would have a material adverse impact on the market price of our Class A Shares.

We do not believe that we are an “investment company” under the 1940 Act because the nature of our assets and the sources of our income exclude us from the definition of an investment company under the 1940 Act. In addition, we believe our Company is not an investment company under Section 3(b)(1) of the 1940 Act because we are primarily engaged in a non-investment company business. We intend to continue to conduct our operations so that we will not be deemed an investment company. If we were to be deemed an investment company, restrictions imposed by the 1940 Act, including limitations on our capital structure and our ability to transact with affiliates, could make it impractical for us to continue our business as contemplated. In addition, we would no longer be treated, for U.S. federal income tax purposes, as a partnership and our earnings would become taxable as a corporation, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations and the price of our Class A Shares.

Risks Related to Our Shares

The market price and trading volume of our Class A Shares has been and may continue to be highly volatile, which could result in rapid and substantial losses for our shareholders.

The market price of our Class A Shares has been and may continue to be highly volatile and subject to wide fluctuations. In addition, the trading volume in our Class A Shares can be highly variable, which has caused and may continue to cause significant price variations to occur. The market price of our Class A Shares may fluctuate or decline significantly in the future.

Some of the primary factors that could negatively affect the price of our Class A Shares or result in fluctuations in the price or trading volume of our Class A Shares include:

- Reductions or lack of growth in our assets under management, whether due to poor investment performance by our funds or redemptions by investors in our funds.

- Difficult global market and economic conditions.

- Loss of investor confidence in the global financial markets and investing in general and in alternative asset managers in particular.

- Competitively adverse actions taken by other hedge fund managers with respect to pricing, fund structure, redemptions, employee recruiting and compensation.

- Inability to attract, retain or motivate our active executive managing directors, investment professionals, managing directors or other key personnel.

- Inability to refinance or replace our Revolving Credit Facility or the Notes either on acceptable terms or at all.

- Public or other offerings of additional Class A Shares.

- Inability to develop or successfully execute on business strategies or plans.

- Unanticipated variations in our quarterly operating results or dividends.

- Failure to meet analysts’ earnings estimates.

- Publication of negative or inaccurate research reports about us or the asset management industry or the failure of securities analysts to provide adequate coverage of our Class A Shares in the future.

• Adverse market reaction to any indebtedness we may incur, Och-Ziff Operating Group Units or cash awards we may grant under our PIP or otherwise, or any other securities we may issue in the future.

• Changes in market valuations of similar companies.

• Speculation in the press or investment community about our business.

• Additional or unexpected changes or proposed changes in laws or regulations or differing interpretations thereof affecting our business or enforcement of these laws and regulations, or announcements relating to these matters.

Increases in compliance or enforcement inquiries and investigations by regulatory authorities, including as a result of regulations mandated by the Dodd-Frank Act and other initiatives of various regulators that have jurisdiction over us related to the alternative asset management industry.

• Adverse publicity about the asset management industry generally or scandals involving hedge funds specifically.

The price of our Class A Shares may decline due to the large number of shares eligible for future sale and for exchange into Class A Shares.

The market price of our Class A Shares could decline as a result of sales of a large number of our Class A Shares or the perception that such sales could occur. These sales, or the possibility that these sales may occur, also might make it more difficult for us to sell equity securities in the future at a time and price that we deem appropriate. As of March 31, 2015, 176,191,916 Class A Shares were outstanding and 38,431,529 interests were outstanding pursuant to our Amended and Restated 2007 Equity Incentive Plan, with approximately 3,092,325 Class A Shares and other plan interests that remain available for future grant under that plan. The Class A Shares reserved under the Amended and Restated 2007 Equity Incentive Plan are increased on the first day of each fiscal year during the plan's term by the positive difference, if any, of (i) 15% of the number of outstanding Class A Shares (assuming the exchange of all outstanding Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units for Class A Shares) on the last day of the immediately preceding fiscal year over (ii) the number of shares reserved for issuance under the plan as of such date. As of March 31, 2015, 30,109,195 interests were outstanding pursuant to our 2013 Incentive Plan, and approximately 49,839,076 Class A Shares and other plan interests remain available for future grant under that plan. The Class A Shares reserved under our 2013 Incentive Plan are increased on the first day of each fiscal year during the plan's term by 15% of any increase in the number of outstanding Class A Shares (assuming the exchange of all outstanding Och-Ziff Operating Group Units (other than Och-Ziff Operating Group B Units) for Class A Shares) from the number outstanding on the first day of the immediately preceding fiscal year.

As of March 31, 2015, our executive managing directors owned an aggregate of 324,500,897 Och-Ziff Operating Group A and D Units. The holder of any Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units generally has the right to exchange each of its Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units for one of our Class A Shares (or, at our option, a cash equivalent), subject to vesting, minimum retained ownership requirements and transfer restrictions. The Och-Ziff Operating Group D Units convert into Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units to the extent we determine that they have become economically equivalent to Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units. The Och-Ziff Operating Group A and D Units owned by our Pre-IPO Partners are subject to various new transfer restrictions which limit the number of such Units which may be exchanged for Class A Shares or cash under our Exchange Agreement, and therefore a limited number of Class A Shares may be resold by our Pre-IPO Partners from time to time. See “—Risks Related to Our Business—Our ability to retain and attract executive managing directors, managing directors and other investment professionals is critical to the success and growth of our business.”

We are party to a registration rights agreement with our executive managing directors that provides them with certain “piggyback” registration rights in connection with registered offerings of our securities and for our executive managing directors to have the ability to cause us to register the Class A Shares they acquire upon exchange of their Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units or otherwise.

RSUs may be settled at the election of a majority of our Board of Directors in Class A Shares or cash. Subject to continued employment over the vesting period, the underlying Class A Shares will be issued, or cash in lieu thereof will be paid, as such RSUs vest. We filed registration statements on Forms S-8 to register an aggregate of 67,188,267 Class A Shares reserved for issuance under our Amended and Restated 2007 Equity Incentive Plan and a registration statement on Form S-8 to register an aggregate of 75,000,000 Class A Shares reserved for issuance under our 2013 Incentive Plan (in each case, not including automatic annual increases thereto). As a result, any Class A Shares issued

in respect of the RSUs will be freely transferable by non-affiliates upon issuance and by affiliates under Rule 144, without regard to holding period limitations.

As of March 31, 2015, DIC Sahir Limited (“DIC”) owns 29,953,094 of our Class A Shares, which it purchased from us concurrent with the consummation of our IPO pursuant to a Securities Purchase and Investment Agreement. The transfer restrictions originally imposed by such agreement no longer apply to any of DIC’s Class A Shares, and DIC will be able to sell these Class A Shares.

Our executive managing directors’ beneficial ownership of Class B Shares, our shareholders’ agreement, the tax receivable agreement and anti-takeover provisions in our charter documents and Delaware law could delay or prevent a change in control.

Our executive managing directors own all of our Class B Shares, which as of March 31, 2015, represent approximately 63.1% of the total combined voting power of our Company. In addition, our executive managing directors have granted an irrevocable proxy to vote all of such shares to the Class B Shareholder Committee (the sole member of which is currently Mr. Och) as it may determine in its sole discretion. As a result, Mr. Och is currently able to control all matters requiring the approval of shareholders and will be able to prevent a change in control of our Company. In addition, under the shareholders’ agreement entered into in connection with the IPO, the Class B Shareholder Committee has approval rights with respect to certain actions of our Board of Directors, including actions relating to a potential change in control, so long as our executive managing directors continue to hold at least 40% of our total combined voting power, and has the ability to initially designate five of the seven nominees to our Board of Directors, and, under our operating agreement, the Class B Shareholder Committee will have certain consent rights with respect to structural and other changes involving our Company. See “—Risks Related to Our Organization and Structure—Control by Mr. Och of the total combined voting power of our shares could cause or prevent us from engaging in certain transactions, which could materially adversely affect the market price of the Class A Shares or deprive our Class A Shareholders of an opportunity to receive a premium as part of a sale of our Company.”

In addition, the tax receivable agreement provides that, upon a merger, asset sale or other form of business combination or certain other changes of control, the corporate taxpayers’ (or any successors’) obligations with respect to exchanged or acquired units (whether exchanged or acquired before or after such change of control) would be based on certain prescribed assumptions, including that the corporate taxpayers would have sufficient taxable income to fully utilize the deductions arising from the increased tax deductions and tax basis and other benefits related to entering into the tax receivable agreement. The provisions may make it more difficult and expensive for a third party to acquire control of us even if a change of control would be beneficial to the interests of our shareholders.

Further, provisions in our operating agreement may make it more difficult and expensive for a third party to acquire control of us even if a change of control would be beneficial to the interests of our shareholders. For example, our operating agreement provides for a staggered board of directors, requires advance notice for proposals by shareholders and nominations, places limitations on convening shareholder meetings, and authorizes the issuance of preferred shares that could be issued by our Board of Directors to thwart a takeover attempt. The market price of our Class A Shares could be materially adversely affected to the extent that Mr. Och’s control over us, as well as provisions of our operating agreement, discourage potential takeover attempts that our shareholders may favor.

Finally, some provisions of Delaware law may delay or prevent a transaction that would cause a change in our control. In this regard, Section 203 of the DGCL restricts certain business combinations with interested stockholders in certain situations. In general, this statute prohibits a publicly held Delaware corporation from engaging in a business combination with an interested stockholder for a period of three years after the date of the transaction by which that person became an interested stockholder, unless the business combination is approved in a prescribed manner. For purposes of Section 203, a business combination includes a merger, asset sale or other transaction resulting in a financial benefit to the interested stockholder, and an interested stockholder is a person who, together with affiliates and associates, owns, or within three years prior, did own, 15% or more of voting stock. While Section 203 does not apply to limited liability companies, such companies may elect to utilize it. Although we currently have elected not to utilize Section 203, we may in the future determine to do so.

Risks Related to Taxation

Our structure involves complex provisions of U.S. federal income tax law for which no clear precedent or authority may be available. Our structure also is subject to potential legislative, judicial or administrative change and differing interpretations, possibly on a retroactive basis.

The U.S. federal income tax treatment of holders of the Class A Shares depends in some instances on determinations of fact and interpretations of complex provisions of U.S. federal income tax law for which no clear precedent or authority may be

available. You should be aware that the U.S. federal income tax rules are constantly under review by persons involved in the legislative process, the IRS, and the U.S. Treasury Department, frequently resulting in revised interpretations of established concepts, statutory changes, revisions to regulations and other modifications and interpretations. The IRS pays close attention to the proper application of tax laws to partnerships. The present U.S. federal income tax treatment of an investment in the Class A Shares may be modified by administrative, legislative or judicial interpretation at any time, possibly on a retroactive basis, and any such action may affect investments and commitments previously made. For example, changes to the U.S. federal tax laws and interpretations thereof could make it more difficult or impossible to meet the qualifying income exception for us to be treated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes that is not taxable as a corporation, affect or cause us to change our investments and commitments, change the character or treatment of portions of our income (including, for instance, treating carried interest income as entirely ordinary income), affect the tax considerations of an investment in us and adversely affect an investment in our Class A Shares. “Carried interest” is a term often used in the marketplace as a general reference to describe a general partner’s right to receive its incentive income in the form of a profit allocation eligible for capital gains tax treatment (to the extent that the carried interest consists of capital gains). See “—Legislation changing the treatment of carried interest has been considered that would, if enacted, preclude us from qualifying for treatment as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes under the publicly traded partnership rules. Our structure also is subject to other potential legislative, judicial or administrative changes and differing interpretations, possibly on a retroactive basis.”

Our organizational documents and agreements permit the Board of Directors to modify our operating agreement from time to time, without the consent of the holders of Class A Shares, in order to address certain changes in U.S. federal income tax regulations, legislation or interpretation. In some circumstances, such revisions could have a material adverse impact on some or all of the holders of our Class A Shares. Moreover, we will apply certain assumptions and conventions in an attempt to comply with applicable rules and to report income, gain, deduction, loss and credit to holders in a manner that reflects such holders’ beneficial ownership of partnership items, taking into account variation in ownership interests during each taxable year because of trading activity. However, these assumptions and conventions may not be in compliance with all aspects of applicable tax requirements. It is possible that the IRS will assert successfully that the conventions and assumptions used by us do not satisfy the technical requirements of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and/or Treasury regulations and could require that items of income, gain, deductions, loss or credit, including interest deductions, be adjusted, reallocated, or disallowed, in a manner that adversely affects holders of the Class A Shares.

Legislation changing the treatment of carried interest has been considered that would, if enacted, preclude us from qualifying for treatment as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes under the publicly traded partnership rules. Our structure also is subject to other potential legislative, judicial or administrative changes and differing interpretations, possibly on a retroactive basis.

A number of legislative proposals have been considered by past Congresses that would have characterized some or all of the income recognized from carried interests as ordinary income and would have treated such income as non-qualifying income under the publicly traded partnership rules, thereby precluding us from qualifying for treatment as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes after a transition period or requiring us to restructure our operations to earn such non-qualifying income through taxable subsidiary corporations. In addition, versions of the prior proposals could have, if enacted, (i) prevented us from completing certain types of internal reorganization transactions on a tax-free basis and acquiring other asset management companies on a tax-free basis, (ii) subjected holders of Class A Shares to tax on our conversion into a corporation or restructuring after the transition period, and (iii) increased the portion of any gain realized from the sale or other disposition of a Class A Share that is treated as ordinary income rather than capital gain. The Obama administration has supported changing the treatment of carried interests in its budget proposals for 2016 (similar to its proposals in prior years). Representative David Camp, then Chairman of the House Committee on Ways and Means, recently released a discussion draft of proposed legislation that would, among other things, prevent us from qualifying for treatment as a partnership for years beginning after 2016 and characterize some or all of the income we may earn from carried interests as ordinary income for years beginning after 2014.

States, including New York, have also considered legislation to increase taxes with respect to carried interests and, as a result of widespread budget deficits, several states have evaluated proposals to subject partnerships to entity level taxation through the imposition of state income, franchise or other forms of taxation. More broadly, Congress and the administration may consider potentially significant changes to various aspects of the tax law, including the deductibility of certain expenses and tax treatment of certain entities.

If the carried interest proposals or former Chairman Camp's proposal described above were to be enacted into law or any other change in the tax laws, rules, regulations or interpretations were to preclude us from qualifying for treatment as a

partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes under the publicly traded partnership rules or otherwise impose additional taxes, Class A Shareholders would be negatively affected because we would incur a material increase in our tax liability as a public company from the date any such changes applied to us, which likely would result in a reduction in the value of our Class A Shares.

You may be subject to U.S. federal income tax on your share of our taxable income, regardless of whether you receive any cash distributions from us.

So long as we are not required to register as an investment company under the 1940 Act and 90% of our gross income for each taxable year constitutes “qualifying income” within the meaning of the Code on a continuing basis, we will be treated, under current law, as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes and not as an association or a publicly traded partnership taxable as a corporation. You may be subject to U.S. federal, state, local and possibly, in some cases, foreign income taxation on your allocable share of our items of income, gain, loss, deduction and credit (including our allocable share of those items of any entity in which we invest that is treated as a partnership or is otherwise subject to tax on a flow-through basis) for each of our taxable years ending with or within your taxable year, regardless of whether or not you receive cash distributions from us. You may not receive cash distributions equal to your allocable share of our net taxable income or even the tax liability that results from that income. Even in cases where we make cash distributions, our taxable income and losses will be apportioned among Class A Shareholders in a manner that may not correspond with the timing of cash distributions. In addition, certain of our holdings, including holdings, if any, in a Controlled Foreign Corporation, which we refer to as “CFC,” and a Passive Foreign Investment Company, which we refer to as “PFIC,” may produce taxable income prior to the receipt of cash relating to such income, and holders of our Class A Shares that are United States persons will be required to take such income into account in determining their taxable income. Under our operating agreement, in the event of an inadvertent partnership termination in which the IRS has granted us limited relief, each holder of our Class A Shares also is obligated to make such adjustments as are required by the IRS to maintain our status as a partnership. Such adjustments may require persons who hold our Class A Shares to recognize additional amounts in income during the years in which they hold such shares. We may also be required to make payments to the IRS.

There can be no assurance that amounts paid as distributions on Class A Shares will be sufficient to cover the tax liability arising from ownership of Class A Shares.

Any distributions paid on Class A Shares will not take into account your particular tax situation (including the possible application of the alternative minimum tax) and, therefore, because of the foregoing as well as other possible reasons, may not be sufficient to pay your full amount of tax based upon your share of our net taxable income. In addition, the actual amount and timing of distributions will always be subject to the discretion of our Board of Directors and we cannot assure you that we will in fact pay cash distributions as currently intended. In particular, the amount and timing of distributions will depend upon a number of factors, including, among others:

- General business and economic conditions and our strategic plans and prospects.

- Amounts necessary or appropriate to provide for the conduct of our business, including to pay operating and other expenses.

- Amounts necessary to make appropriate investments in our business and our funds and the timing of such investments.

- Our actual results of operations and financial condition.

- Restrictions imposed by our operating agreement and Delaware law.

- Contractual restrictions, including restrictions imposed by our Revolving Credit Facility and payment obligations under our tax receivable agreement.

- Cash payments to our executive managing directors, including distributions in respect of their Class C Non-Equity Interests, that we may make in connection with awards under our PIP or otherwise, and compensatory payments made to our employees.

- The amount of cash that is generated by our investments.

- Cash needed to fund liquidity requirements.

- Contingent liabilities.

Other factors that our Board of Directors deems relevant.

Even if we do not distribute cash in an amount that is sufficient to fund your tax liabilities, you will still be required to pay income taxes on your share of our taxable income.

If we were to be treated as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes, the value of the Class A Shares may be materially adversely affected.

We have not requested, and do not plan to request, a ruling from the IRS on our treatment as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes, or on any other matter affecting us. Under current law and assuming full compliance with the terms of our operating agreement (and other relevant documents), we believe that we would be treated as a partnership, and not as an association or a publicly traded partnership taxable as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

In general, if an entity that would otherwise be classified as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes is a “publicly traded partnership” (as defined in the Code) it will be nonetheless treated as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes, unless the exception described below, and upon which we intend to rely, applies. A publicly traded partnership will, however, be treated as a partnership, and not as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes, so long as 90% or more of its gross income for each taxable year constitutes “qualifying income” within the meaning of the Code and it is not required to register as an investment company under the 1940 Act. We refer to this exception as the “qualifying income exception.”

Qualifying income generally includes dividends, interest, capital gains from the sale or other disposition of stocks and securities and certain other forms of investment income. We expect that our income generally will consist of interest and dividends (including dividends from Och-Ziff Corp), capital gains and other types of qualifying income, such as income from notional principal contracts, securities loans, options, forward contracts and future contracts. No assurance can be given as to the types of income that will be earned in any given year. If we fail to satisfy the qualifying income exception described above, items of income and deduction would not pass through to holders of the Class A Shares and holders of the Class A Shares would be treated for U.S. federal (and certain state and local) income tax purposes as shareholders in a corporation. In such a case, we would be required to pay income tax at regular corporate rates on all of our income. In addition, we would likely be liable for state and local income and/or franchise taxes on all of such income. Moreover, dividends to holders of the Class A Shares would constitute ordinary dividend income taxable to such holders to the extent of our earnings and profits, and the payment of these dividends would not be deductible by us. Taxation of us as a publicly traded partnership taxable as a corporation could result in a material adverse effect on our cash flows and the after-tax returns for holders of Class A Shares and thus could result in a substantial reduction in the value of the Class A Shares.

Tax gain or loss on disposition of our Class A Shares could be more or less than expected.

If you sell your Class A Shares, you will recognize a gain or loss equal to the difference between the amount realized and the adjusted tax basis in those Class A Shares. Prior distributions to you in excess of the total net taxable income allocated to you, which decreased the tax basis in your Class A Shares, will in effect become taxable income to you if the Class A Shares are sold at a price greater than your tax basis in those Class A Shares, even if the price is less than the original cost.

We cannot match transferors and transferees of our Class A Shares, and we have therefore adopted certain income tax accounting positions that may not conform with all aspects of applicable tax requirements. The IRS may challenge this treatment, which could materially adversely affect the value of our Class A Shares.

Because we cannot match transferors and transferees of Class A Shares, we have adopted depreciation, amortization and other tax accounting positions that may not conform with all aspects of existing Treasury regulations. A successful IRS challenge to those positions could materially adversely affect the amount of tax benefits available to our holders. It also could affect the timing of these tax benefits or the amount of gain on the sale of Class A Shares and could have a negative impact on the value of our Class A Shares or result in audits of and adjustments to our Class A Shareholders' tax returns.

As we currently do not intend to make, or cause to be made, an otherwise available election under Section 754 of the Internal Revenue Code to adjust our asset basis or the asset basis of OZ Advisors II, a holder of Class A Shares could be allocated more taxable income in respect of those shares prior to disposition than if such an election were made. We have not made and currently do not intend to make, or cause to be made, an election to adjust asset basis under Section 754 of the Internal Revenue Code with respect to the Registrant or OZ Advisors II. Without such an election, there will generally be no adjustment to the basis of the assets of OZ Advisors II upon our acquisition of interests in OZ Advisors II in connection with an exchange of Och-Ziff Operating Group A Units for Class A Shares, or to the assets of the Registrant or of OZ Advisors II upon a subsequent transferee's acquisition of Class A Shares from a prior holder of such shares, even if the purchase price for those interests or shares, as applicable, is greater than the share of the aggregate tax basis of the assets of the Registrant or OZ Advisors II attributable to those interests or units immediately prior to the acquisition. Consequently, upon a sale of an asset by the Registrant or OZ Advisors II, gain allocable to a holder of Class A Shares could include built-in gain in the asset existing at the time the Registrant acquired those interests, or such holder acquired such shares, which built-in gain would otherwise generally be eliminated if a Section 754 election had been made.

The sale or exchange of 50% or more of our capital and profit interests will result in the termination of our Company as a partnership for federal income tax purposes.

We will be considered to have been terminated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes if there is a sale or exchange of 50% or more of the total interests in our capital and profits within a 12-month period. A termination would, among other things, result in the closing of our taxable year for all holders and could result in a deferral of depreciation deductions allowable in computing our taxable income.

Complying with certain tax-related requirements may cause us to forego otherwise attractive business or investment opportunities or enter into acquisitions, borrowings, financings or arrangements we may not have otherwise entered into.

In order for us to be treated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes, and not as an association or publicly traded partnership taxable as a corporation, we must meet the qualifying income exception discussed above on a continuing basis and we must not be required to register as an investment company under the 1940 Act. In order to effect such treatment we (or our subsidiaries) may be required to invest through foreign or domestic corporations, forego attractive business or investment opportunities or enter into borrowings or financings we may not have otherwise entered into. This may materially adversely affect our ability to operate solely to maximize our cash flows. Our structure also may impede our ability to engage in certain corporate acquisitive transactions because we generally intend to hold all of our assets through the Och-Ziff Operating Group. In addition, we may be unable to participate in certain corporate reorganization transactions that would be tax free to our holders if we were a corporation. To the extent we hold assets other than through the Och-Ziff Operating Group, we will make appropriate adjustments to the Och-Ziff Operating Group agreements so that distributions to our executive managing directors and us would be the same as if such assets were held at that level.

We may not be able to invest in certain assets, other than through a taxable corporation.

In certain circumstances, we or one of our subsidiaries may have an opportunity to invest in certain assets through an entity that is characterized as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes, where the income of such entity may not be "qualifying income" for purposes of the publicly traded partnership rules. In order to manage our affairs so that we will meet the qualifying income exception, we may either refrain from investing in such entities or, alternatively, we may structure our investment through an entity classified as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes. If the entity were a U.S. corporation, it would be subject to U.S. federal income tax on its operating income, including any gain recognized on its disposal of its interest in the entity in which the opportunistic investment has been made, as the case may be, and such income taxes would reduce the return on that investment.

The IRS could assert that we are engaged in a U.S. trade or business and that some portion of our income is properly treated as effectively connected income, which we refer to as "ECI," with respect to non-U.S. holders of Class A Shares. Moreover, certain REIT dividends and other stock gains may be treated as effectively connected income with respect to non-U.S. holders of Class A Shares.

While we expect that our method of operation will not result in a determination that we are engaged in a U.S. trade or business, there can be no assurance that the IRS will not assert successfully that we are engaged in a U.S. trade or business and

that some portion of our income is properly treated as ECI with respect to non-U.S. holders. Moreover, dividends paid by an investment that we make in a Real Estate Investment Trust, which we refer to as a “REIT,” that is attributable to gains from the sale of U.S. real property interests will, subject to certain exceptions, and sales of certain investments in the stock of U.S. corporations owning significant U.S. real property may, be treated as effectively connected income with respect to non-U.S. holders. In addition, certain income of non-U.S. holders from U.S. sources not connected to any such U.S. trade or business conducted by us could be treated as ECI. To the extent our income is treated as ECI, non-U.S. holders generally would be subject to withholding tax on their allocable shares of such income and would be required to file a U.S. federal income tax return for such year reporting their allocable shares of income effectively connected with such trade or business and any other income treated as ECI, and would be subject to U.S. federal income tax at regular U.S. tax rates on any such income (state and local income taxes and filings may also apply in that event). Non-U.S. holders that are treated as corporations for U.S. federal income tax purposes may also be subject to a 30% branch profits tax on such income.

Class A Shareholders may be subject to foreign, state and local taxes and return filing requirements as a result of investing in our Class A Shares.

While it is expected that our method of operation will not result in a determination that the holders of our Class A Shares, solely on account of their ownership of Class A Shares, are engaged in trade or business so as to be taxed on any part of their allocable shares of our income or subjected to tax return filing requirements in any jurisdiction in which we conduct activities or own property, there can be no assurance that the Class A Shareholders, on account of owning Class A Shares, will not be subject to certain taxes, including foreign, state and local income taxes, unincorporated business taxes and estate, inheritance or intangible taxes, imposed by the various jurisdictions in which we conduct activities or own property now or in the future, even if the Class A Shareholders do not reside, or are not otherwise subject to such taxes, in any of those jurisdictions. Consequently, Class A Shareholders also may be required to file foreign, state and local income tax returns in some or all of these jurisdictions. Furthermore, Class A Shareholders may be subject to penalties for failure to comply with those requirements. It is the responsibility of each Class A Shareholder to file all United States federal, foreign, state and local tax returns that may be required of such Class A Shareholder.

Our delivery of required tax information for a taxable year may be subject to delay, which may require a Class A Shareholder to request an extension of the due date for their income tax returns.

We have agreed to use reasonable efforts to furnish to you tax information (including Schedule K-1) which describes your allocable share of our income, gains, losses and deductions for our preceding taxable year. Delivery of this information by us will be subject to delay in the event of, among other reasons, the late receipt of any necessary tax information from lower-tier entities. It is therefore possible that, in any taxable year, our shareholders will need to apply for extensions of time to file their tax returns.

An investment in Class A Shares will give rise to UBTI to certain tax-exempt holders of Class A Shares.

Due to ownership interests we will hold in entities that are treated as partnerships, or are otherwise subject to tax on a flow-through basis, which will incur indebtedness or may engage in a trade or business, we will derive unrelated business taxable income, which we refer to as “UBTI,” from “debt-financed” property or from such trade or business, as applicable, and, thus, an investment in Class A Shares will give rise to UBTI to certain tax-exempt holders of Class A Shares. Och-Ziff Holding may borrow funds from Och-Ziff Corp or third parties from time to time to make investments. These investments will give rise to UBTI from “debt-financed” property.

We may hold or acquire certain investments through an entity classified as a PFIC or CFC for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

Certain of our investments may be in foreign corporations or may be acquired through a foreign subsidiary that would be classified as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes. Such an entity may be a PFIC or a CFC for U.S. federal income tax purposes. U.S. holders of Class A Shares indirectly owning an interest in a PFIC or a CFC may experience adverse U.S. tax consequences.

Special tax considerations may apply to mutual fund investors.

U.S. mutual funds that are treated as regulated investment companies, or RICs, for U.S. federal income tax purposes are required, among other things, to meet an annual 90% gross income and a quarterly 50% asset value test under Section 851(b) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, to maintain their favorable U.S. federal income tax status. The treatment of an investment by a RIC in Class A Shares for purposes of these tests will depend on whether our partnership will be treated as a “qualified publicly traded partnership.” If our partnership is so treated, then the Class A Shares themselves are the relevant assets for purposes of the 50% asset value test and the net income from the Class A Shares is the relevant gross income for purposes of the 90% gross income test. If, however, our partnership is not so treated, then the relevant assets are the RIC’s allocable share of the underlying assets held by our partnership and the relevant gross income is the RIC’s allocable share of the underlying gross income earned by our partnership. Whether our partnership will qualify as a “qualified publicly traded partnership” depends on the exact nature of its future investments, but we believe our partnership is not a “qualified publicly traded partnership.” We expect, however, that at least 90% of our annual gross income from the underlying assets held by our partnership will consist of dividends, interest and gains from the sale of securities or other income that qualifies for the RIC gross income test described above. As discussed above under “—You may be subject to U.S. federal income tax on your share of our taxable income, regardless of whether you receive any cash distributions from us,” RICs investing in Class A Shares may recognize income for U.S. federal income tax purposes without receiving a corresponding cash distribution. RICs should consult their own tax advisors about the U.S. tax consequences of an investment in Class A Shares.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

None.

Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

None.

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit No.	Description
10.1	Separation and Transition Agreement for Jeffrey C. Blockinger, dated as of July 3, 2014, and effective as of July 11, 2014.
31.1	Certificate of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/Rule 15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
31.2	Certificate of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/Rule 15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
32.1	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: May 5, 2015

OCH-ZIFF CAPITAL MANAGEMENT GROUP LLC

By: /s/ Joel M. Frank
Joel M. Frank
Chief Financial Officer, Senior Chief Operating
Officer and Executive Managing Director