ORBCOMM Inc. Form DEF 14A March 28, 2014 **Table of Contents**

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

(Rule 14a-101)

INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the

Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant þ
Filed by a Party other than the Registrant "
Check the appropriate box:
 Preliminary Proxy Statement. Confidential, for use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2)).

- Definitive Proxy Statement.
- Definitive Additional Materials.
- Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12.

ORBCOMM Inc.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if Other Than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11.

(1)	Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
(2)	Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
(3)	Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
(4)	Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
(5)	Total fee paid:
Fee p	aid previously with preliminary materials.
	to box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee aid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
(1)	Amount Previously Paid:
(2)	Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

March 28, 2014

Dear Shareholder:

You are cordially invited to attend our 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

We will hold the Annual Meeting at the Hyatt Regency Reston, 1800 Presidents Street, Reston, Virginia 20190, on Wednesday, April 23, 2014, at 8:00 a.m., local time. At the meeting we will discuss and act on the matters described in the Proxy Statement. At this year s meeting, you will have an opportunity to vote on the election of three directors, ratify the selection of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm and cast an advisory vote to approve the Company s executive compensation, as well as to transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting. Shareholders will then have an opportunity to comment on or to inquire about the affairs of the Company that may be of interest to shareholders generally.

Your vote is important no matter how many or how few shares you own. Whether or not you plan to attend the meeting, please vote via the Internet, by telephone or by returning your proxy card as soon as possible.

Admission tickets are printed on the outside back cover of this Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement. To enter the meeting, you will need an admission ticket or other proof that you are a shareholder. If you hold your shares through a broker or nominee, you will need to bring a copy of a brokerage statement showing your ownership as of the March 17, 2014 record date.

We are providing you the Proxy Statement for our 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K. You may also access these materials via the Internet at www.edocumentview.com/orbc. I hope you find them interesting and useful in understanding your company.

Sincerely yours,

Jerome B. Eisenberg

Chairman of the Board

ORBCOMM Inc.

395 West Passaic Street, Suite 325

Rochelle Park, New Jersey 07662

Notice of 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders

To the Shareholders of ORBCOMM Inc.:

The 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders of ORBCOMM Inc. will be held at the Hyatt Regency Reston, 1800 Presidents Street, Reston, Virginia 20190, on Wednesday, April 23, 2014, at 8:00 a.m., local time, for the following purposes:

- (a) to elect three members to our board of directors with terms expiring at the Annual Meeting in 2017;
- (b) to ratify the appointment by the Audit Committee of our board of directors of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2014; and
- (c) to cast an advisory vote to approve the Company s executive compensation.

Only shareholders of record at the close of business on March 17, 2014 will be entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the 2014 Annual Meeting and any postponements, adjournments or delays thereof. A list of such shareholders will be available for inspection by any shareholder at the 2014 Annual Meeting and at the offices of the Company at 395 West Passaic Street, Suite 325, Rochelle Park, New Jersey 07662, for at least ten (10) days prior to the 2014 Annual Meeting.

Shareholders are requested to submit a proxy for voting at the 2014 Annual Meeting over the Internet, by telephone or by completing, signing, dating and returning a proxy card in the enclosed postage-paid envelope as promptly as possible. Submitting your vote via the Internet, by telephone or by returning a proxy card will not affect your right to vote in person should you decide to attend the 2014 Annual Meeting.

By order of the Board of Directors,

Christian G. Le Brun

Secretary

March 28, 2014

ORBCOMM Inc.

Proxy Statement for the 2014 Annual Meeting

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT THIS PROXY STATEMENT AND THE ANNUAL MEETING	1
ELECTION OF DIRECTORS (PROPOSAL 1)	4
INFORMATION AS TO NOMINEES FOR DIRECTORS AND CONTINUING DIRECTORS	4
BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND COMMITTEES DIRECTOR GOVERNMENT HOLD INC.	7
DIRECTOR COMPENSATION	11
AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT	12
SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT	13
COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS	15
Compensation Committee	15
Executive Summary	15
Philosophy and Objectives of Compensation Programs	15
Compensation Committee Consideration of the Company s 2013 Shareholder Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation	16
Use of Compensation Consultant	16
Use of Peer Data	16
Elements of Compensation	17
Personal Benefits	27
<u>Perquisites</u>	27
401(k) Plan	27
Severance and Change in Control Benefits	27
Tax and Accounting Implications	28
Role of Executives and Others in Establishing Compensation	28
COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT	29
COMPENSATION OF EXECUTIVE OFFICERS	30
EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION	46
CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND TRANSACTIONS WITH RELATED PERSONS	47
ORBCOMM Europe	47
Satcom International Group plc	47
OHB AG	48
Registration Rights Agreement	49
Employment Arrangements	49
Indemnity Agreements	53
Policies and Procedures for Related Person Transactions	53
PROPOSAL TO RATIFY THE APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM	
(PROPOSAL 2)	61
Principal Accountant Fees	61
Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures	61
ADVISORY VOTE TO APPROVE EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION (PROPOSAL 3)	62
<u>OTHER MATTERS</u>	63
SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE	63
ANNUAL REPORT	63
SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR ANNUAL MEETING IN 2015	63
EXPENSES OF SOLICITATION	63
ADMISSION TO THE 2014 ANNIIAL MEETING	64

ORBCOMM Inc.

Proxy Statement

2014 ANNUAL MEETING

The enclosed proxy is solicited by the board of directors of ORBCOMM Inc. for use in voting at the 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders of ORBCOMM Inc. to be held on April 23, 2014, and any postponements, adjournments or delays thereof (the Annual Meeting or the 2014 Annual Meeting), for the purposes set forth in the accompanying Notice of 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. This proxy statement and the proxy are first being sent to shareholders and being made available on the Internet (www.edocumentview.com/orbc) on or about March 28, 2014. We will refer to our company in this proxy statement as we, us, the Company or ORBCOMM.

GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT THIS PROXY STATEMENT AND THE ANNUAL MEETING

What am I Voting On at the Annual Meeting?

You will be voting on the following:

The election of three members of our board of directors:

The ratification of the appointment of KPMG LLP (KPMG) as our independent registered public accounting firm for our fiscal year ending December 31, 2014; and

An advisory vote to approve the Company s executive compensation.

Who is Entitled to Vote at the Annual Meeting?

Only holders of record of the Company s common stock and Series A convertible preferred stock at the close of business on March 17, 2014, the record date for the meeting, may vote at the Annual Meeting. Each shareholder is entitled to one vote for each share of our common stock and 1.66611 votes for each share of Series A convertible preferred stock, in each case held on the record date. There is no cumulative voting. On March 17, 2014, the record date for the Annual Meeting, there were 55,179,337 shares of our common stock and 92,218 shares of our Series A convertible preferred stock outstanding and entitled to vote.

Who may Attend the Annual Meeting?

All shareholders as of the record date, or individuals holding their duly appointed proxies, may attend the Annual Meeting. Please note that if you hold your shares through a broker, bank or other nominee in street name, you will need to provide a copy of a brokerage statement reflecting your stock ownership as of the record date to be admitted to the Annual Meeting. If you want directions to the Annual Meeting, they can be obtained by contacting Chris Moore at (703) 433-6403.

How Do I Vote My Shares?

Whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, we urge you to vote your shares right away. Voting now will not affect your right to attend or your ability to vote at the Annual Meeting.

If you are a registered shareholder (that is, your shares are registered directly in your name through our stock transfer agent, Computershare, or you have stock certificates), you may vote:

By mail. Complete and mail the enclosed proxy card in the enclosed postage prepaid envelope. Your proxy will be voted in accordance with your instructions. If you sign the proxy card but do not specify how you want your shares voted, they will be voted as recommended by our board of directors.

By Internet or telephone. Registered shareholders may vote on the Internet at www.envisionreports.com/orbc by following the instructions on your screen, or by telephone by dialing 1-800-652-VOTE (8683). Please have your proxy card ready when voting by Internet or telephone.

In person at the meeting. If you attend the meeting you may deliver your proxy card in person or you may vote by completing a ballot, which will be available at the meeting.

1

If your shares are held in street name (that is, held through a brokerage firm, bank, broker-dealer or other similar organization or nominee), you must provide the brokerage firm, bank, broker-dealer or other similar organization or nominee with instructions on how to vote your shares and can do so as follows:

By mail. You will receive instructions from your broker or other nominee explaining how to vote your shares.

By Internet or telephone. Street name holders may vote on the Internet at www.envisionreports.com/orbc and following the instructions on your screen, or by telephone by dialing 1-800-652-VOTE (8683). Please have your proxy card ready when voting by Internet or telephone.

In person at the meeting. Contact the broker or other nominee who holds your shares to obtain a legal proxy from the broker or other nominee and bring it with you to the meeting. You will not be able to vote at the meeting unless you have a legal proxy from your broker. You will also need to sign a ballot in order to have your vote counted.

If you hold your shares of common stock in more than one account, you will receive a proxy card for each account. To ensure that all of your shares are voted, please sign, date and return the proxy card for each account. You should vote all of your shares of common stock.

How Will My Proxy Be Voted?

If you use the telephone or Internet voting procedures or duly complete, sign and return a proxy card to authorize the named proxies to vote your shares, your shares will be voted as specified. If your proxy card is signed but does not contain specific instructions, your shares will be voted as recommended by our board of directors: FOR the election of the nominees for directors set forth herein, FOR ratification of the appointment of the independent registered public accounting firm and FOR approval of the executive compensation. In addition, if other matters come before the Annual Meeting, the persons named as proxies in the proxy card will vote in accordance with their best judgment with respect to such matters.

Even if you plan on attending the Annual Meeting, we urge you to vote now by giving us your proxy. This will ensure that your vote is represented at the Annual Meeting. If you do attend the Annual Meeting, you can change your vote at that time, if you then desire to do so.

If My Shares Are Held in Street Name, How Will My Broker Vote?

If your brokerage firm, bank, broker-dealer or other similar organization is the holder of record of your shares (that is, your shares are held in street name), you will receive voting instructions from the holder of record. You must follow these instructions in order for your shares to be voted. Your broker is required to vote those shares in accordance with your instructions. If you do not give instructions to your broker, your broker will not be able to vote your shares with respect to the election of directors (Proposal 1) or the advisory vote to approve executive compensation (Proposal 3), but may vote your shares in its discretion with respect to the ratification of the appointment of the independent registered public accounting firm (Proposal 2). We urge you to instruct your broker or other nominee how to vote your shares by following those instructions.

May I Revoke My Proxy?

For shareholders of record, whether you vote via the Internet, by telephone or by mail, you may revoke your proxy at any time before it is voted by:

delivering a written notice of revocation to the Secretary of the Company so long as it is received prior to the Annual Meeting;

casting a later vote using the telephone or Internet voting procedures;

submitting a properly signed proxy card with a later date so long as it is received prior to the Annual Meeting; or

voting in person at the Annual Meeting.

2

Will My Vote be Confidential?

It is our policy to keep confidential all proxy cards, ballots and voting tabulations that identify individual shareholders, except as may be necessary to meet any applicable legal requirements and, in the case of any contested proxy solicitation, as may be necessary to permit proper parties to verify the propriety of proxies presented by any person and the results of the voting. The independent inspector of election and any employees involved in processing proxy instructions and cards or ballots and tabulating the vote are required to comply with this policy of confidentiality.

How Many Votes are Needed to Approve a Proposal?

Election of Directors (Proposal 1). Directors are elected by a plurality of votes cast. This means that the two nominees for election as directors who receive the greatest number of votes cast by the holders of our common stock and Series A convertible preferred stock entitled to vote at the meeting, a quorum being present, will become directors.

Selection of our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm (Proposal 2); Advisory Vote to Approve Executive Compensation (Proposal 3). An affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the voting power of our common stock and Series A convertible preferred stock present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote on the matter, a quorum being present, is necessary to (1) ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm and (2) approve our executive compensation.

What Constitutes a Quorum for the Meeting?

The presence in person or by proxy of a majority of the votes represented by shares of our common stock and Series A convertible preferred stock, considered together as a single class, outstanding on the record date is required for a quorum. As of March 17, 2014, there were 55,332,982 votes represented by outstanding shares of our common stock and Series A convertible preferred stock.

How are Votes Counted?

Under Delaware law and our Restated Certificate of Incorporation and By-Laws, all votes entitled to be cast by shareholders present in person or represented by proxy at the meeting and entitled to vote on the subject matter, whether those shareholders vote for , against or abstain from voting, will be counted for purposes of determining the minimum number of affirmative votes required for approving Proposals 2 and 3. The shares of a shareholder who abstains from voting on a matter or whose shares are not voted by reason of a broker non-vote on a matter will be counted for purposes of determining whether a quorum is present at the meeting. An abstention from voting on a matter by a shareholder present in person or represented by proxy at the meeting has no effect in the election of directors, but has the same legal effect as a vote against Proposals 2 and 3. A broker non-vote on a matter is not deemed to be present or represented by proxy for purposes of determining whether shareholder approval of the matter is obtained and has no effect in the election of directors or on Proposal 2 or 3.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Shareholder Meeting to be Held on April 23, 2014.

The proxy statement and annual report to stockholders are available at: www.edocumentview.com/orbc

3

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS (PROPOSAL 1)

Our Restated Certificate of Incorporation provides that the board of directors will consist of three classes of directors, as nearly equal in number as possible, serving staggered three-year terms. One class of directors is elected each year with terms extending to the third annual meeting after such election.

The terms of the three directors in Class II expire at the 2014 Annual Meeting. The board has designated Marc Eisenberg, Timothy Kelleher and John Major, upon the recommendation of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, as nominees for election as directors at the 2014 Annual Meeting with terms expiring at the 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. There are seven directors, consisting of two Class I directors, three Class II directors and two Class III directors.

Proxies properly submitted will be voted at the meeting, unless authority to do so is withheld, for the election of the three nominees specified in Class II Nominees for Election as Directors with Terms Expiring in 2017 below. If for any reason any of those nominees is not a candidate when the election occurs (which is not expected), proxies and shares properly authorized to be voted will be voted at the meeting for the election of a substitute nominee as selected by the board of directors, and the Company will provide shareholders with the required biographical information of such substitute nominee in advance of the meeting.

A plurality of the votes cast in person or by proxy at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote is required to elect directors. Under the rules of the New York Stock Exchange, brokers who hold shares in street name do not have the authority to vote on the election of directors when they do not receive instructions from beneficial owners. Accordingly, a broker non-vote will not be counted as a vote to elect directors.

INFORMATION AS TO NOMINEES FOR DIRECTORS AND CONTINUING DIRECTORS

For each director nominee and each continuing director, we have stated the nominee s or continuing director s name, age and principal occupation; his position, if any, with the Company; his period of service as a director of the Company; his business experience for at least the past five years; other directorships held; and the experiences, qualifications, attributes or skills that led the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee to conclude that the person should serve as a director of the Company. Each nominee for director has consented to being named in this proxy statement and to serve as a director if elected.

Class II Nominees for Election as Directors With Terms Expiring at the 2017 Annual Meeting

Marc J. Eisenberg Director Since March 2008 Age 47

Mr. Eisenberg is our Chief Executive Officer, a position he has held since March 2008. He served as our Chief Operating Officer from February 2007 to March 2008. From June 2006 to February 2007, he was our Chief Marketing Officer and from March 2002 to June 2006, he was our Executive Vice President, Sales and Marketing. He was a member of the board of directors of ORBCOMM Holdings LLC from May 2002 until February 2004. Prior to joining ORBCOMM, from 1999 to 2001, Mr. Eisenberg was a Senior Vice President of Cablevision Electronics Investments, where among his duties he was responsible for selling Cablevision services such as video and internet subscriptions through its retail channel. From 1984 to 1999, he held various positions, most recently as the Senior Vice President of Sales and Operations with the consumer electronics company The Wiz, where he oversaw sales and operations and was responsible for over 2,000 employees and \$1 billion a year in sales. Mr. Eisenberg is the son of Jerome B. Eisenberg. Mr. Eisenberg s significant and meaningful knowledge of our Company, in-depth knowledge of our global operations and experience and qualifications noted above were among the factors considered by our board of directors in selecting him to serve as a director.

Timothy Kelleher Director Since March 2008 Age 51

Mr. Kelleher has been a member of our board of directors since March 2008 and previously served as a member of our board of directors from December 2005 to June 2007. He is a Managing Partner of KMCP Advisors II LLC (formerly PCG Capital Partners Advisors II LLC) (investment management), focusing on providing growth capital to established companies, and was previously a Managing Director of Pacific Corporate Group, which he joined in 2002. He is also a Managing Partner of Silver Canyon Group, LLC. Prior to joining Pacific Corporate Group, Mr. Kelleher was a Partner and Senior Vice President at Desai Capital Management Incorporated from 1992 to 2002 and held positions at Entrecanales, Inc., L.F. Rothschild & Co. Incorporated and Arthur Young & Co. Mr. Kelleher s significant financial expertise, extensive board level experience helping growth companies achieve their full potential and success dealing with complex business and financial issues and experience and qualifications noted above were among the factors considered by our board of directors in selecting him to serve as a director.

John Major Director Since April 2007 Age 68

Mr. Major is President of MTSG (strategic consulting and investment company), which he founded in January 2003. From April 2004 to October 2006, Mr. Major also served as Chief Executive Officer of Apacheta Corporation, a privately-held mobile, wireless software company. From August 2000 until January 2003, Mr. Major was Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Novatel Wireless, Inc., a wireless data access solutions company. Prior to August 2000, he was the founder and Chief Executive Officer of the Wireless Internet Solutions Group, a strategic consulting firm. From November 1998 to November 1999, Mr. Major was Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Wireless Knowledge, a joint venture of Qualcomm Incorporated and Microsoft Corporation. From 1997 until 1998, he served as President of the Wireless Infrastructure Division of Qualcomm. Prior to that, for approximately 18 years, he held various positions at Motorola, Inc., the most recent of which was Senior Vice President and Chief Technology Officer. Mr. Major is Chairman of the Board of Broadcom Corporation as well as a director of Lennox International, Inc. and Littelfuse Inc. Mr. Major was a director of Verilink Corporation from 1998 to 2007. Mr. Major s senior leadership positions at a number of companies, strong operational expertise, strong background in the technology sector and experience and qualifications noted above were among the factors considered by our board of directors in selecting him to serve as a director.

Class III Continuing Directors With Terms Expiring at the 2015 Annual Meeting

Jerome B. Eisenberg Director Since February 2004 Age 74

Mr. Eisenberg has been our non-executive Chairman of the Board since March 2008. He served as our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer from January 2006 to March 2008 and our Chief Executive Officer and President from December 2004 to January 2006. Prior to that, Mr. Eisenberg held a number of positions with ORBCOMM Inc. and with ORBCOMM LLC, including Co-Chief Executive Officer of ORBCOMM Inc. Mr. Eisenberg has worked in the satellite industry since 1993 when he helped found Satcom International Group plc. From 1987 to 1992, he was President and CEO of British American Properties, an investment company funded by European and American investors that acquired and managed various real estate and industrial facilities in various parts of the U.S. Prior thereto, Mr. Eisenberg was a partner in the law firm of Eisenberg, Honig & Folger; CEO and President of Helenwood Manufacturing Corporation (presently known as Tennier Industries), a manufacturer of equipment for the U.S. Department of Defense; and Assistant Corporate Counsel for the City of New York. Mr. Eisenberg is the father of Marc Eisenberg, a member of the board of directors and our Chief Executive Officer. Since 2010, Mr. Eisenberg has been a director of GelTech Solutions, Inc. Mr. Eisenberg s significant and meaningful knowledge of our company (as former senior management of the Company), significant experience with the satellite industry and experience and qualifications noted above were among the factors considered by our board of directors in selecting him to serve as a director.

5

Marco Fuchs Director Since February 2004

Age 51

Mr. Fuchs has been a member of the board of directors of ORBCOMM LLC since 2001 and of ORBCOMM Holdings LLC from 2001 to February 2004. Mr. Fuchs is currently the Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Managing Board of OHB AG (technology and space), positions he has held since 2000. From 1995 to 2000, Mr. Fuchs worked at OHB System AG, first as a Prokurist (authorized signatory) and then as Managing Director. Prior to that, he worked as a lawyer from 1992 to 1994 for Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue in New York, and from 1994 to 1995 in Frankfurt am Main. Mr. Fuchs significant business and operating experience with satellite companies, significant experience with the satellite industry and experience and qualifications noted above were among the factors considered by our board of directors in selecting him to serve as a director.

Class I Continuing Directors With Terms Expiring at the 2016 Annual Meeting

Didier Delepine Director Since May 2007 Age 66

Mr. Delepine is currently Chairman of the Board of Viatel Ltd., Chairman of the Supervisory Board of OneAccess S.A., and a director of Global Telecom & Technology, Inc. Mr. Delepine served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Equant (now Orange Business Services) (global data networking and managed communications) from 1998 to 2003. From 1995 to 1998, Mr. Delepine served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Equant s network services division and as Chairman and President of Equant s Integration Services division, Americas. From 1983 to 1995, Mr. Delepine held a range of senior management positions at SITA, the global telecommunications and technology organization supporting the world s airlines. Mr. Delepine was a director of Intelsat, Ltd., a global provider of communications services, from 2003 to 2005 and Eircom Group plc, an Irish communications company, from 2003 to 2006. Mr. Delepine s high level managerial experience, service on various boards of directors, strong operational expertise and experience and qualifications noted above were among the factors considered by our board of directors in selecting him to serve as a director.

Gary H. Ritondaro Director Since November 2006 Age 67

Mr. Ritondaro retired in 2010 as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of LodgeNet Interactive Corporation (a NASDAQ company and the largest provider of media and connectivity solutions to the hospitality industry), and served in that position from 2001 to April 2010. He also served as Senior Vice President, Finance, Information and Administration of LodgeNet Interactive Corporation from July 2002 to April 2010. Prior to joining LodgeNet Interactive Corporation, Mr. Ritondaro served as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer for Mail-Well, Inc., an NYSE-listed manufacturer of envelopes, commercial printing and labels, from 1999 to 2001. From 1996 to 1999, Mr. Ritondaro was Vice President and Chief Financial Officer for Ferro Corporation, an NYSE-listed international manufacturer of chemicals, specialty plastics, colors, industrial coatings and ceramics. Mr. Ritondaro significant financial expertise, broad understanding of financial issues, significant experience dealing with capital markets, mergers and acquisitions, and his experience and qualifications noted above were among the factors considered by our board of directors in selecting him to serve as a director.

The board of directors recommends that you vote FOR the election as directors of the three Class II director nominees described above, which is presented as Proposal 1.

6

BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND COMMITTEES

Our business is managed under the direction of the board of directors. Our board of directors has the authority to appoint committees to perform certain management and administration functions. We currently have an Audit Committee, a Compensation Committee and a Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, composed of at least three members each.

The functions of each of our board committees are described below. The duties and responsibilities of each committee are set forth in committee charters that are available on our website at www.orbcomm.com under the heading Investor Relations and the subheading Corporate Governance. The committee charters are also available in print to any shareholder upon request. The board of directors held six meetings during fiscal year 2013. All directors attended all meetings of the board and those committees on which they served. Directors are expected to attend the Annual Meeting of Shareholders. All of the directors attended the 2013 Annual Meeting.

The board has reviewed the independence of its members considering the independence criteria of The NASDAQ Stock Market, or NASDAQ, and any other commercial, industrial, banking, consulting, legal, accounting, charitable and familial relationships between the directors and the Company. Based on this review, the board has determined that none of the current directors, other than Jerome B. Eisenberg (a former executive officer of the Company), Marc J. Eisenberg (an executive officer of the Company) and Marco Fuchs (a senior executive of OHB AG), has a material relationship with the Company and each of Didier Delepine, Timothy Kelleher, John Major and Gary Ritondaro meets the independence requirements of NASDAQ.

The independent directors meet in executive session without the presence of any executive officer or member of management at least twice a year in conjunction with regular meetings of the board. A director designated by the independent directors will chair the session. The independent directors generally designate the chairman of one of the board committees as chair, depending upon whether the principal items to be considered at the session are within the scope of the applicable committee.

Board Leadership Structure. The board does not have a policy regarding the separation of the roles of Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board or whether the Chairman should be a member of management or a non-management director, as the board believes it is in the best interests of the Company to make that determination based on the position and direction of the Company and the membership of the board. In 2008, in connection with the transition of the Chief Executive Officer position from Jerome Eisenberg to Marc Eisenberg, the board determined that having Jerome Eisenberg continue in his role as Chairman of the Board in a non-executive capacity would provide continuity in the board s leadership and allow the Chief Executive Officer to focus on the management of the Company s day-to-day operations. In addition, as the former Chief Executive Officer, Jerome Eisenberg has extensive knowledge of the Company and its business and industry that are an invaluable resource for the board. Although Jerome Eisenberg is not an independent director due to his prior service as an executive officer and continued employment in a non-executive capacity, the board believes that this leadership structure is in the best interests of the Company s shareholders at this time.

Audit Committee. The Audit Committee, among other things:

reviews and oversees the integrity of our financial statements and internal controls;

reviews the qualifications of and recommends to the board of directors the selection of, our independent auditor, subject to ratification by our shareholders, and reviews and approves their fees;

reviews and oversees the adequacy of our accounting and financial reporting processes, including our system of internal controls and disclosure controls, and recommendations of the independent auditor with respect to our systems; and

reviews and oversees our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements.

Gary Ritondaro, Didier Delepine and John Major currently serve as members of our Audit Committee. Each current member of our Audit Committee meets the independence and financial literacy requirements of NASDAQ, the SEC and applicable law. All members of our Audit Committee are able to read and understand fundamental financial statements. The board of directors has determined that Gary Ritondaro is an audit committee financial expert as defined by the SEC rules. Mr. Ritondaro serves as chair of our Audit Committee. The Audit Committee met

six times during the 2013 fiscal year.

7

Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee, among other things:

reviews and approves corporate goals and objectives relevant to the compensation of the Chief Executive Officer, evaluates the performance of the Chief Executive Officer in light of these goals and objectives and determines and approves the level of the Chief Executive Officer s compensation based on this evaluation;

determines the base and incentive compensation of other senior executives, and determines the terms of the employment of senior executives, including the Chief Executive Officer;

reviews, administers, monitors and recommends to the board of directors all executive compensation plans and programs, including incentive compensation and equity-based plans; and

evaluates and makes recommendations regarding the compensation of non-employee directors and administration of non-employee director compensation plans or programs.

Timothy Kelleher, John Major and Gary Ritondaro currently serve as members of our Compensation Committee. Each current member of our Compensation Committee meets the independence requirement of NASDAQ and applicable law. Timothy Kelleher serves as chair as of our Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee met four times during the 2013 fiscal year.

For description of the role of our executive officers on determining or recommending the amount or form of executive or director compensation, see Compensation Discussion and Analysis Role of Executives and Others in Establishing Compensation .

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, among other things:

reviews and recommends to the board of directors the size and composition of the board, the qualification and independence of the directors and the recruitment and selection of individuals to serve as directors;

reviews and recommends to the board of directors the organization and operation of the board of directors, including the nature, size and composition of committees of the board, the designation of committee chairs, the designation of a Chairman of the Board or similar position, and the distribution of information to the board and its committees;

coordinates an annual self-assessment by the board of its operations and performance and the operations and performance of the committees and prepares an assessment of the board s performance for discussion with the board;

in coordination with the Compensation Committee, evaluates the performance of the Chief Executive Officer in light of corporate goals and objectives; and

oversees our corporate governance policies, practices and programs.

John Major, Didier Delepine and Gary Ritondaro currently serve as members of our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. Each member of our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee meets the independence requirement of NASDAQ and applicable law. John Major serves as chair of our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee met twice during the 2013 fiscal year.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, the Chairman of the Board and the Chief Executive Officer or other members of the board of directors may identify a need to add new members to the board or to fill a vacancy on the board. In that case, the committee will initiate a search for qualified director candidates, seeking input from other directors, and senior executives and, to the extent it deems appropriate, third party search firms to identify potential candidates. The committee will evaluate qualified candidates and then make its recommendation to the board, for its consideration and approval. In making its recommendations to the board, the committee will consider the selection criteria for director candidates set forth in our Board Membership Criteria, including the following:

each director should have high level managerial experience in a relatively complex organization or be accustomed to dealing with complex problems.

8

Table of Contents

each director should be an individual of the highest character and integrity, have experience at or demonstrated understanding of strategy/policy-setting and reputation for working constructively with others.

each director should have sufficient time available to devote to the affairs of the Company in order to carry out the responsibilities of a director.

each director should be free of any conflict of interest which would interfere with the proper performance of the responsibilities of a director

While the board does not have a formal policy with respect to diversity, it believes that it is essential that the directors represent the balanced, best interests of the shareholders as a whole, rather than special interest groups or constituencies, and takes into consideration in assessing the overall composition and needs of the board such factors as diversity of professional experience, skills and background, age, international background and specialized expertise. The committee from time to time reviews with the board our Board Membership Criteria in the context of current board composition and the Company s circumstances.

Our Guidelines on Corporate Governance includes a director age policy, pursuant to which any director who has achieved age 75 would be subject to an annual review by the committee with respect to such director s continued service on the board, considering any factors or other information that is considered appropriate and relevant, including the director s tenure, the director s qualifications, the director s past and expected contributions to the board, the overall composition of the board and whether the director s resignation from the board would be in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders. The board, upon the recommendation of the committee, will then decide whether or not to accept the director s tendered resignation. Each nominee for director who has achieved age 75 or would achieve age 75 during his or her term if elected is required, upon his or her election, to submit a resignation conditional upon the board s acceptance in connection with the annual review.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider director candidates recommended by our shareholders for election to the board of directors. Shareholders wishing to recommend director candidates can do so by writing to the Secretary of ORBCOMM Inc. at 395 West Passaic Street, Suite 325, Rochelle Park, New Jersey 07662. Shareholders recommending candidates for consideration by the committee must provide each candidate s name, biographical data and qualifications. Any such recommendation should be accompanied by a written statement from the individual of his or her consent to be named as a candidate and, if nominated and elected, to serve as a director. The recommending shareholder must also provide evidence of being a shareholder of record of our common stock at the time. The committee will evaluate properly submitted shareholder recommendations under substantially the same criteria and substantially the same manner as other potential candidates.

In addition, our By-Laws establish a procedure with regard to shareholder proposals for the 2015 Annual Meeting, including nominations of persons for election to the board of directors, as described below under Shareholder Proposals for Annual Meeting in 2015.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation. None of our executive officers currently serves or served during 2013 as a director or member of the compensation committee of another entity with an executive officer who serves on our board of directors or our Compensation Committee. For a description of the members of our Compensation Committee, see Board of Directors and Committees Compensation Committee.

Standards of Business Conduct. The board of directors has adopted a Standards of Business Conduct that is applicable to all of our directors, officers and employees. Any material changes made to the Standards of Business Conduct or any waivers granted to any of our directors and executive officers will be publicly disclosed in accordance with applicable NASDAQ and SEC rules. A copy of our Standards of Business Conduct is available on our website at www.orbcomm.com under the heading Investor Relations and the subheading Corporate Governance or upon request, without charge, by contacting our Investor Relations Department by calling 703-433-6505.

Risk Oversight. The board of directors has an active role, as a whole and also at the committee level, in overseeing the management of our risks. The board has designated the Audit Committee to take the lead in

overseeing risk management and pursuant to its charter, the Audit Committee reviews and discusses with management the steps management has taken to assess, monitor and control the Company s strategic, operational, financial and compliance risks, including guidelines and policies to govern the process by which such risk assessment and risk management are undertaken. The entire board is regularly informed by the Audit Committee on these matters. Notwithstanding the Audit Committee s primary risk oversight role, the entire board is actively involved in the oversight of the operational risks with respect to the Company s current satellite constellation and proposed next-generation satellites and receives regular presentations from management regarding these matters.

Communications to the Board. Shareholders and other interested parties may send communications to the board of directors, an individual director, the non-management directors as a group, or a specified committee at the following address:

ORBCOMM Inc.

c/o Corporate Secretary

395 West Passaic Street, Suite 325

Rochelle Park, New Jersey 07662

Attn: Board of Directors

The Secretary will receive and process all communications before forwarding them to the addressee. The Secretary will forward all communications unless the Secretary determines that a communication is a business solicitation or advertisement, or requests general information about us.

10

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

The following independent directors: Didier Delepine, Timothy Kelleher, John Major and Gary Ritondaro, each receive an annual retainer of \$35,000. In addition to the annual retainer, each of these directors receives \$3,000 annually for each committee on which he serves or \$10,000 annually for service as the chair of a committee. Each of these directors receives an attendance fee of \$1,000 for each committee meeting. Jerome Eisenberg receives an annual retainer of \$50,000 but does not receive any committee fees. Neither Marco Fuchs nor Marc Eisenberg received any retainer or committee fees for their service on the board of directors in 2013. All directors are reimbursed for reasonable expenses incurred to attend meetings of the board of directors. Annually, on or about January 2, we grant an award of time-based RSUs with a value of \$50,000 (based on the closing price of our common stock on the date of grant) to certain of our directors, which vest on January 1 of the following year. Accordingly, on January 2, 2014 we granted an award of 8,051 time-based RSUs with a value of \$50,000 (based on the closing price of our common stock of \$6.21 per share on January 2, 2014) to each of Messrs. Delepine, Jerome Eisenberg, Kelleher, Major and Ritondaro. These RSUs will vest on January 1, 2015.

Under the terms of our directors deferred compensation arrangements, a non-employee director may elect to defer all or part of the cash payment of director retainer fees until such time as shall be specified, with interest on deferred amounts accruing quarterly at 120% of the Federal long-term rate set each month by the U.S. Treasury Department. Each member of the Audit Committee also has the alternative each year to determine whether to defer all or any portion of his or her cash retainer fees for Audit Committee service by electing to receive shares or restricted shares of our common stock valued at the closing price of our common stock on NASDAQ on the date each retainer payment would otherwise be made in cash.

Director Compensation for Fiscal Year 2013

	Fees Earned or Paid		All Other			
Name	in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards(1) (\$)	Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)		
Jerome Eisenberg	105,625(2)	50,000	1,753(3)	157,378		
Didier Delepine	46,880	50,000		96,880		
John Major	59,735	50,000		109,735		
Gary Ritondaro	59,735	50,000		109,735		
Timothy Kelleher	47,895	50,000		97,895		
Marco Fuchs						

- (1) The amounts shown in the Stock Awards column represent the full grant date fair value of the RSU awards computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, Compensation Stock Compensation. For a discussion of assumptions used to calculate the grant date fair value of the RSU awards shown in the table, see Note 5 to our consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report of Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.
- (2) The amount includes an annual base salary of \$55,625 as the non-executive Chairman of the Board.
- (3) The amount represents payment for life insurance premiums of \$253 and \$1,500 for 401(k) matching contributions.

11

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

The Audit Committee assists the board of directors in overseeing the accounting and financial reporting processes of the Company, the audits of the financial statements, compliance with legal and regulatory requirements and the qualifications, independence and performance of its independent registered public accounting firm.

Our roles and responsibilities are set forth in a written charter adopted by the board, which is available on the Company s website at www.orbcomm.com under the heading Investor Relations and the subheading Corporate Governance . We review and reassess the charter annually, and more frequently as necessary, to address any changes in NASDAQ corporate governance and SEC rules regarding audit committees, and recommend any changes to the board of directors for approval.

Management is responsible for the preparation, presentation and integrity of the Company s financial statements. Management is also responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting and evaluating the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting. The Company s independent registered public accounting firm, KPMG LLP (KPMG), is responsible for performing an independent audit of the Company s financial statements and expressing an opinion on the conformity of those financial statements with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. KPMG is also responsible for expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

We are responsible for overseeing the Company s accounting and financial reporting processes. In fulfilling our responsibilities for the accounting and financial processes for fiscal year 2013, we:

reviewed and discussed the audited consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013 with management and KPMG:

reviewed and discussed management s assessment of the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting pursuant to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013 and KPMG s audit report on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting;

discussed with KPMG the matters required to be discussed by Auditing Standard No. 16 as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board; and

received the written disclosures and correspondence from KPMG required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding KPMG s communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence. We also discussed with KPMG its independence.

For information on fees paid to KPMG, for each of fiscal 2013 and 2012, see Proposal to Ratify the Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm (Proposal 2) .

We reviewed and approved all audit and audit-related fees and services. The Company is not using KPMG for non-audit related service, except that in 2013 KPMG provided non-audit services related to due diligence on a potential acquisition target, an accounting research subscription, the review of a draft registration statement in connection with a potential acquisition and review of our Shelf Registration Statement for a secondary offering. In fulfilling our responsibilities, we met with KPMG, with and without management present, to discuss the results of their audit and the overall quality of the Company s financial reporting and internal control environment. We considered the status of pending litigation, taxation matters and other areas of oversight relating to the financial reporting and audit process that we determined appropriate.

Based on our review of the audited financial statements and discussions with, and the reports of, management and KPMG, we recommended to the board of directors that the audited financial statements be included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013 for filing with the SEC.

The Audit Committee has appointed KPMG as the independent registered public accounting firm of the Company for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2014, subject to the ratification of shareholders.

Audit Committee

Gary Ritondaro, Chairman

Didier Delepine

John Major

12

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table shows the beneficial ownership, reported to us as of March 24, 2014, of our common stock, including shares as to which a right to acquire ownership within 60 days exists (for example, through the exercise of stock options) of each director, each nominee for director, each named executive officer, of such persons and other executive officers as a group and of beneficial owners of 5% or more of our common stock. The business address of the named executive officers and directors is c/o ORBCOMM Inc., 395 West Passaic Street, Suite 325, Rochelle Park, New Jersey 07662. As of March 24, 2014, there were 55,181,337 outstanding shares of our common stock.

	Shares of Common Stock	Percentage of Total
Name of Beneficial Owner	Owned(1)	Common Stock Held
Greater than 5% Stockholders		
KMCP Satellite Investments LLC(2)	4,116,383	7.5%
Norman H. and Sandra F. Pessin(3)	3,164,127	5.7%
Dimensional Fund Advisors LP(4)	2,945,790	5.3%
Ariel Investments, LLC(5)	5,562,881	10.1%
Named Executive Officers and Directors		
Marc Eisenberg(6)	1,140,618	2.0%
Robert G. Costantini(7)	745,388	1.3%
John J. Stolte, Jr.(8)	412,607	*
Christian G. Le Brun(9)	459,501	*
Patrick A. Shay(10)	25,798	*
Jerome B. Eisenberg(11)	1,228,498	2.2%
Didier Delepine	71,140	*
Marco Fuchs(12)	2,229,103	4.0%
Timothy Kelleher(13)	4,153,001	7.5%
John Major	71,140	*
Gary H. Ritondaro	71,140	*
All executive officers and directors as a group (12 persons)	10,646,358	18.4%

- * Represents beneficial ownership of less than 1% of the outstanding shares of common stock.
- (1) Unless otherwise indicated, the amounts shown as being beneficially owned by each stockholder or group listed above represent shares over which that stockholder or group holds sole investment power.
- (2) The managing member of KMCP Satellite Investments LLC is CalPERS Corporate Partners, LLC, whose manager is KMCP Advisors II LLC. Timothy Kelleher, a director of the Company, is a Managing Partner of KMCP Advisors II LLC, which is an affiliate of KMCP Satellite Investments LLC. KMCP Satellite Investments LLC s address is 12275 El Camino Real, Suite 200, San Diego, CA 92130.
- (3) Based on a Schedule 13D/A filed with the SEC by Norman H. Pessin and Sandra F. Pessin on March 26, 2013, Mr. and Ms. Pessin are the beneficial owners of 3,164,127 shares. Mr. Pessin reported having, through his IRA account, sole dispositive power and sole voting power over 2,351,734 shares. Ms. Pessin reported having sole dispositive power and sole voting power over 812,393 shares. Mr. and Ms. Pessin s address is 366 Madison Avenue, 14th Floor, New York, NY 10017.
- (4) Based on a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC by Dimensional Fund Advisors LP on February 10, 2014, Dimensional Fund Advisors LP, in its capacity as investment adviser to four registered investment companies and investment manager to certain other commingled group trusts and separate accounts (collectively with the registered investment companies, the Funds and through certain of its subsidiaries, in their capacities as investment adviser and/or sub-adviser to certain Funds, may be deemed the beneficial owner of shares held by the Funds. Dimensional Fund Advisors LP reported having sole voting power over 2,880,107 shares and sole dispositive power over

2,945,790 shares. However, all the shares are owned by

13

Table of Contents

the Funds and Dimensional Fund Advisors LP disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares. Dimensional Fund Advisors LP s address is Palisades West, Building One, 6300 Bee Cave Road, Austin, TX 78746.

- (5) Based on a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC by Ariel Investments, LLC on February 10, 2014, Ariel Investments, LLC, in its capacity as investment adviser of several managed portfolios, may be deemed the beneficial owner of shares held by such managed portfolios. Ariel Investments, LLC reported having sole voting power over 3,839,338 shares and sole dispositive power over 5,562,881 shares. Ariel Investment LLC s address is 200 E. Randolph Drive, Suite 2900, Chicago, IL 60601.
- (6) Includes 198,957 shares of common stock held by Marc Eisenberg. Also includes 941,661 shares of common stock underlying SARs that are currently exercisable.
- (7) Includes 147,061 shares of common stock held by Robert G. Costantini. Also includes 598,327 shares of common stock underlying SARs that are currently exercisable.
- (8) Includes 50,687 shares of common stock held by John J. Stolte, Jr. Also includes 361,920 shares of common stock underlying SARs, in each case, that are currently exercisable.
- (9) Includes 41,407 shares of common stock held by Christian G. Le Brun. Also includes 50,000 shares of common stock issuable to Mr. Le Brun upon exercise of options and 368,094 shares of common stock underlying SARs, in each case, that are currently exercisable.
- (10) Includes 15,798 shares of common stock held by Patrick A. Shay. Also includes 10,000 shares of common stock underlying SARs that are currently exercisable.
- (11) Includes 1,017,552 shares of common stock held by Jerome B. Eisenberg and 15,759 shares of common stock held by Cynthia Eisenberg, Mr. Eisenberg s wife. Also includes 195,187 shares of common stock underlying SARs that are currently exercisable
- (12) Includes 2,168,779 shares of common stock held by OHB AG, and 60,324 shares of common stock held by ORBCOMM Deutschland AG. Mr. Fuchs is Chief Executive Officer of OHB AG which owns ORBCOMM Deutschland AG. Manfred Fuchs, Marco Fuchs and Christa Fuchs hold voting and investment power with regard to the shares held by OHB AG and ORBCOMM Deutschland AG. OHB AG s address is Universitaetsalle 27-29, Bremen, D-28539, Germany.
- (13) Includes 4,116,383 shares held by KMCP Satellite Investments LLC. Mr. Kelleher is a Managing Partner of KMCP Advisors II LLC, which is an affiliate of KMCP Satellite Investments LLC. See Note (2) above.

14

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

The following Compensation Discussion and Analysis describes the material elements of compensation for our executive officers identified in the Summary Compensation Table (our Named Executive Officers).

Compensation Committee

Our Compensation Committee assists our board of directors in fulfilling its responsibilities with respect to oversight and determination of executive compensation and human resources matters, including the compensation of the Named Executive Officers. A description of the Compensation Committee s composition, functions, duties and responsibilities is set forth in this proxy statement under Board of Directors and Committees Compensation Committee.

The Compensation Committee s roles and responsibilities are set forth in a written charter which is available on our website at www.orbcomm.com under the heading Investors and the subheading Corporate Governance and is available in print to any shareholder upon request.

Executive Summary

We use base salaries and time-based equity awards to provide current income and retention incentives and a combination of cash and stock-based compensation that reward performance measured against various corporate and individual performance goals based on key business drivers. Our performance targets are based on our annual business plan and we believe that they are established at levels that are achievable if we execute our business plan. By providing for significant incentives for exceeding certain targets, we motivate our Named Executive Officers to achieve strategic business objectives that result in the creation of value to us and our stockholders over the long-term. For example, a large percentage of the Named Executive Officers—annual cash bonus opportunity and performance-based equity awards are based on metrics for profitability, growth, operations and systems expansion which we believe are important measures of the performance of our business. We believe the design of our compensation programs, which we have used over the past several years and continue to use for 2014, provides the appropriate balance for motivating and retaining our Named Executive Officers while providing appropriate rewards for demonstrated performance.

Philosophy and Objectives of Compensation Programs

Our executive compensation philosophy is to create a system that rewards executives for performance and focuses our management team on the critical short-term and long-term objectives. The primary objectives of our executive compensation programs are to attract, motivate and retain talented and dedicated executives, to link annual and long-term cash and stock incentives to achievement of specified performance objectives, and to align executives—incentives with stockholder value creation. To achieve these objectives, the Compensation Committee has implemented compensation programs that make a substantial portion of the executives—overall compensation contingent upon achieving key short-term business and long-term strategic goals established by our board of directors or the Compensation Committee based on key drivers in areas such as growth in profitability and revenue and system expansion. The short-term business and long-term strategic goals consist of the financial and operational performance, as measured by metrics such as Adjusted EBITDA, revenues and operational targets as well as expansion of our communications system. Further, beginning in 2012, the Compensation Committee added long-term incentive awards linking compensation directly with the Company—s long-term stock price performance. The Compensation Committee—s goal is to set executive compensation at levels the committee believes are competitive against compensation offered by other rapidly growing companies of similar size and stage of development against whom we compete for executive talent in the communications industry, while taking into account our performance and our own strategic goals.

We seek to provide executive compensation that is competitive in order to attract, motivate and retain key talent. We aim to reward executives for achieving goals designed to generate returns for our stockholders, but not for poor performance, by linking compensation to overall business performance and the achievement of performance goals. As a result, we believe that compensation packages provided to our executives, including our Named Executive Officers, should include both cash and stock-based compensation that reward performance as measured against performance goals.

Table of Contents 27

15

Compensation Committee Consideration of the Company s 2013 Shareholder Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation

At our 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, 98.8% of the shares voted on the matter at the meeting voted to approve the advisory vote on our executive compensation. Based on this strong endorsement, there were no specific changes to any component of our 2014 executive compensation programs that was made as a result of such vote. The Compensation Committee will continue to consider the outcome of the say-on-pay votes when making future compensation decisions for our Named Executive Officers.

Use of Compensation Consultant

We use general competitive market data available to us relating to compensation levels, mix of elements and compensation strategies being used by companies of comparable size and stage of development operating in the communications industry, and review such data against the aggregate level of our executive compensation, as well as the mix of elements used to compensate our executive officers. In addition, our Compensation Committee engaged Meridian Compensation Partners, LLC, or Meridian, as its independent compensation consultant for compensation decisions in 2012. Meridian provided the Compensation Committee with the following services in 2012:

advised on the design and structure of our cash and equity incentive compensation program;

reviewed and provided recommendations on the compensation program for our non-employee directors;

provided advice on the Company s compensation peer group;

provided compensation data for similarly situated executive officers at this new peer group;

reviewed the compensation arrangements for all of our Named Executive Officers, including the design and structure of our annual cash incentive bonus plan and equity-based incentive compensation program compared to market practices in preparation for the adoption of a performance based long-term incentive program;

guided the Compensation Committee s decision making with respect to executive compensation matters in light of the Company s business strategy, pay philosophy, prevailing market practices, shareholder interests, and relevant regulatory mandates;

provided advice on the Company s executive pay philosophy; and

provided incentive plan design advice for both annual and various long-term incentive vehicles and other compensation and benefit programs that meet Company objectives.

Although the Compensation Committee did not engage Meridian during 2013, it continued to utilize the advice and data provided by Meridian in 2012 in connection with 2013 compensation decisions.

The Compensation Committee has the authority to hire and terminate its compensation consultant. The Company pays the cost for the consultant s services. Other than providing services as directed by the Compensation Committee, Meridian did not provide any other services to the Company. When requested on several occasions, Meridian communicated with members of the Compensation Committee, both with and without management present.

Use of Peer Data

In 2012, Meridian delivered a report to the Compensation Committee that provided the base salary, annual incentive cash bonus opportunities and equity compensation grants for executive officers employed by the peer companies selected for use in 2012 and which the Compensation Committee continued to use in 2013.

Our Compensation Committee discussed compensation decisions in the context of external market data, the experiences and knowledge of each member, historical pay levels for each executive, historical and/or anticipated

16

future corporate and individual performance, and internal pay equity. The Compensation Committee believed that this comprehensive approach was appropriate for 2013 in order to allow us to maintain a reasonable and responsible cost structure.

Elements of Compensation

Base Salary. Base salaries are determined on an individual basis, are based on job responsibilities and individual contribution and are intended to provide our executives with current income. Base salaries for our Named Executive Officers are reviewed annually and may be adjusted to reflect any changes in job responsibilities and individual contribution, as well as competitive conditions in the market for executive talent.

Our senior management proposes new base salary amounts to the Compensation Committee for approval based on: an evaluation of individual performance and expected future contributions; a goal to ensure competitive compensation against the external market; and comparison of the base salaries of the executive officers who report directly to our Chief Executive Officer to ensure internal equity.

The 2013 base salaries of Messrs. Eisenberg, Costantini, Stolte and Le Brun were established pursuant to employment agreements entered into by the individual Named Executive Officer and us effective as of December 31, 2010. The base salary of Mr. Shay was established pursuant to his offer letter effective as of December 3, 2012.

Annual Cash Bonus. Annual cash bonuses are designed to align employees goals with the Company s financial and operational objectives for the current year and to reward individual performance. These objectives include financial and operational performance targets such as Adjusted EBITDA, Consolidated Revenues, net subscriber additions and solutions revenue. Our Compensation Committee defines Adjusted EBITDA as earnings attributable to ORBCOMM Inc. before interest income (expense), provision for income taxes and depreciation and amortization, adjusted for stock-based compensation expense, loss on disposition of investments, non-controlling interests and net impairment loss. These objectives also relate to strategic factors such as communications system expansion, including launch of the next-generation satellites, regulatory approvals and international licenses. These performance measures are primarily objective criteria that can be readily measured and do not require subjective determinations. In addition, the annual cash bonus also includes discretionary amounts which may be paid based on completion of key projects. Pursuant to their employment arrangements, each Named Executive Officer is generally eligible to receive annual bonuses, payable in cash based on a percentage of base salary (which may, in some cases, exceed 100%) and dependent upon achieving or exceeding certain performance targets for that fiscal year.

On December 13, 2012, our Compensation Committee established 2013 operational and financial performance targets for which annual bonuses were paid to Messrs. Eisenberg, Costantini, Stolte, Le Brun and Shay based on achieving financial and performance targets. Our performance targets are based on our annual business plan and we believe that they are established at levels that are achievable if we execute our business plan. By providing for significant incentives for exceeding certain targets, we motivate our Named Executive Officers to achieve strategic business objectives that result in the creation of value to us and our stockholders over the long-term.

17

The 2013 operational and financial performance targets for each of Messrs. Eisenberg, Costantini, Stolte, Le Brun and Shay, and the relative weighting of such performance targets as a percentage of the annual bonuses are set forth in the following table:

				Christian	
2012 D. 6	Marc	Robert	John	Le	Patrick
2013 Performance targets	Eisenberg	Costantini	Stolte	Brun	Shay
Adjusted EBITDA	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%
Consolidated revenues	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%
Net subscriber additions	3.75%	3.75%			3.75%
AIS Revenue	3.75%	3.75%	3.75%		
Launch next-generation satellite	3.75%		3.75%	3.75%	
Direct channel revenues	3.75%	3.75%	3.75%		3.75%
Regulatory approvals				3.75%	
Subscriber disconnects			3.75%		3.75%
International licenses				3.75%	
Operational target #1		3.75%		3.75%	
Operational target #2					3.75%
Discretionary	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

For 2013, bonuses were not generally earned unless at least 100% (or 80% for Adjusted EBITDA and 88% for Consolidated Revenues which are the lowest thresholds in the range of payouts) of the applicable performance target was met for fiscal 2013.

For the Adjusted EBITDA target, the table below describes the range of payouts (as a percentage of base salary) for each of the Named Executive Officers, with incremental increases for actual performance between the performance levels as described below:

	Threshold Target		Maximum Target
	(80% of Target)	Target	(120% of Target)
Marc Eisenberg	25%	49%	121%
Robert Costantini	24%	47%	116%
John Stolte	17%	35%	61%
Christian Le Brun	18%	36%	63%
Patrick Shay	18%	31%	63%

The payouts earned for actual performance between the threshold and target performance levels are based on an additional 25% of the payout amount attributable to the threshold target performance level for each \$1,000,000 of incremental Adjusted EBITDA attained in excess of such threshold target performance level, up to \$3,000,000 (prior to reaching the target performance level).

The payouts earned for actual performance between the target and maximum target performance levels are based on an additional 25% (for Messrs. Eisenberg and Costantini) or 15% (for Messrs. Stolte, Le Brun and Shay) of the payout amount attributable to the target performance level specified in the table above for the first \$1,000,000 of incremental Adjusted EBITDA attained in excess of the target performance level, while the payouts earned for each subsequent \$1,000,000, up to \$3,000,000, of incremental Adjusted EBITDA attained thereafter (prior to reaching the maximum target performance level) will be based on an additional 25% (for Messrs. Eisenberg and Costantini) or 15% (for Messrs. Stolte, Le Brun and Shay) of the payout amount attributable to the immediately prior additional payout calculation.

For the Consolidated Revenues target, the table below describes the range of payouts (as a percentage of base salary) for each of the Named Executive Officers, with incremental increases for actual performance between these performance levels:

	Threshold Target	Maximum Target	
	(88% of Target)	Target	(111% of Target)
Marc Eisenberg	12%	25%	49%
Robert Costantini	12%	24%	47%
John Stolte	9%	17%	30%
Christian Le Brun	9%	18%	31%
Patrick Shay	8%	15%	27%

The payouts earned for actual performance between the threshold and target performance levels are based on an additional 25% of the payout amount attributable to the threshold target performance level for each \$2,000,000 of incremental Consolidated Revenues attained in excess of such threshold target performance level, up to \$6,000,000 (prior to reaching the target performance level).

For Messrs. Eisenberg and Costantini the payouts earned for actual performance between the target and maximum target performance levels are based on an additional 25% of the payout amount attributable to the target performance level for each \$2,000,000 of incremental Consolidated Revenues attained in excess of such target performance level, up to \$6,000,000, of incremental Consolidated Revenues attained thereafter (prior to reaching the maximum target performance level) will be based on an additional 25% of the payout amount attributable to the target performance level. For Messrs. Stolte, Le Brun and Shay, the payouts earned for actual performance between the target and maximum target performance levels are based on an additional 15% of the payout amount attributable to the target performance level for the first \$2,000,000 of incremental Consolidated Revenues attained in excess of such target performance level, while the payouts earned for each subsequent \$2,000,000, up to \$6,000,000, of incremental Consolidated Revenues attained thereafter (prior to reaching the maximum target performance level) will be based on an additional 15% of the payout amount attributable to the immediately prior additional payout calculation.

For all other performance targets, excluding discretionary targets, the following describes the payout amounts (as a percentage of base salary) for each of the Named Executive Officers:

	Net subscriber additions	AIS revenue	Launch next- generation satellite	Direct channel revenues	Subscriber disconnects	International licenses	Other operational targets
Marc Eisenberg	3.75%						
Robert Costantini	3.75%						
John Stolte							
Christian Le Brun						3.75%	
Patrick Shav							3.75%

Our Compensation Committee, at its sole discretion, awarded the discretionary bonus components based on completion of certain key projects of 10% of base salary for Messrs. Eisenberg, Costantini, Le Brun and Shay.

2013 Operational and Financial Performance Targets and Results

	Threshold		Maximum		
Performance targets	Target	Target	Target	Performance	
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 16,000,000	\$ 20,000,000	\$ 24,000,000	Achieved \$15,048,000(1)	
Consolidated revenues	\$ 63,000,000	\$71,000,000	\$ 79,000,000	Achieved \$74,212,000	
Net subscriber additions	94,000			Achieved 104,000	
AIS Revenue	\$ 3,600,000	\$	\$	Not achieved	
Direct channel revenues	\$ 24,000,000	\$	\$	Not achieved	
Launch next-generation satellite	nch next-generation satellite Launch next-generation satellite by				
	December 31, 2	2013.		Not achieved	
Regulatory approvals	Obtain regulate	ry approvals in five	e		
	jurisdictions.		Achieved		
Subscriber disconnects	Achieve less th	an a specified perce	entage		
	of net satellite	disconnects.		Not achieved	
International licenses	Obtain internat	ional license in spe-	cified		
	countries. Not achieved				
Discretionary Based on completion of certain key					
	projects based on the Compensation				
	Committee s determination Achieved				

(1) While the Company reported Adjusted EBITDA of \$15,048,000 in 2013, our Compensation Committee determined that for purposes of 2013 cash and equity performance awards, it would be appropriate to exclude certain unbudgeted expenses, such as acquisition-related expenses, costs to pursue acquisitions and growth opportunities, foreign exchange fluctuations and other unbudgeted expenses, which otherwise reduced Adjusted EBITDA by over \$5 million in the aggregate, in determining that the Adjusted EBITDA performance target for 2013 had been achieved at the target level of \$20,000,000.

Operational target #1 referred to in the 2013 Performance Targets table above under Elements of Compensation Annual Cash Bonus was not achieved.

Operational target #2 referred to in the 2013 Performance Targets table above Elements of Compensation Annual Cash Bonus was not achieved.

On February 25, 2014, our Compensation Committee determined that the following performance-based annual incentive bonus payout amounts for 2013 relating to 2013 operational and financial performance targets were awarded to the Named Executive Officers:

2013 Performance targets	Marc Eisenberg	Robert Costantini	John Stolte	Christian Le Brun	Patrick Shay
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 210,000	\$ 140,000	\$ 85,000	\$ 75,000	\$ 75,000
Consolidated revenues	131,250	87,500	48,875	43,125	43,125
Net subscriber additions	15,750	10,500			5,625
AIS Revenue					
Launch next-generation satellite					
Direct channel revenues					
Regulatory approvals					
Subscriber disconnects					
International licenses				5,625	
Operational target #1					
Operational target #2					
Discretionary	42,500	28,000		15,000	

Total \$399,500 \$266,000 \$133,875 \$138,750 \$123,750

20

The payouts for Adjusted EBITDA and Consolidated Revenues, our material performance targets, are as follows:

Adjusted EBITDA. Payouts in 2013 for Messrs. Eisenberg, Costantini, Stolte, Le Brun and Shay were 49%, 47%, 35%, 36% and 31% of base salaries, respectively.

Consolidated Revenues. Payouts in 2013 for Messrs. Eisenberg, Costantini, Stolte, Le Brun and Shay were 31%, 30%, 20%, 21% and 18% of base salaries, respectively.

For purposes of illustrating the payout amount for Mr. Le Brun (whose base salary is \$209,352) with respect to the Consolidated Revenues performance target the calculation (with actual Consolidated Revenues of \$74,212,000 exceeding the target amount of \$71,000,000) is as follows:

Base salary of \$209,352 is multiplied by 18% (the percentage of base salary) equals approximately \$37,500 (the target payout amount). The \$37,500 is then multiplied by 15% representing the first \$2,000,000 of incremental Consolidated Revenues attained in excess of the target amount of \$71,000,000 resulting in an additional \$5,625.

2014 Operational and Financial Performance Targets

On December 12, 2013, our Compensation Committee established 2014 operational and financial performance targets for which annual bonuses will be paid to Messrs. Eisenberg, Costantini, Stolte, Le Brun, Shay and Malone based on achieving financial and operational performance targets. Our performance targets are based on our annual business plan and we believe that they are established at levels that are achievable if we execute our business plan. By providing for significant incentives for exceeding certain targets, we motivate our Named Executive Officers to achieve strategic business objectives that result in the creation of value to us and our stockholders over the long-term.

The 2014 operational and financial performance targets for each of Messrs. Eisenberg, Costantini, Stolte, Le Brun, Shay and Malone and the relative weighting of such performance targets as a percentage of the annual bonuses are set forth in the following table:

	Marc	Robert	.John	Christian Le	Patrick	Craig
2014 Performance targets	Eisenberg	Costantini	Stolte	Brun	Shay	Malone
Fiscal 2014 Adjusted EBITDA	37.5%	37.5%	37.5%	37.5%	37.5%	37.5%
Q4 2014 Adjusted EBITDA	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%	12.5%
Consolidated revenues	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%
Direct channel revenues	3.75%	3.75%			3.75%	3.75%
Net subscriber additions	3.75%	3.75%			3.75%	
Next-generation satellite launch #1 by						
September 30, 2014	3.75%		7.5%			
Obtain firm next-generation satellite #2						
launch date by December 31, 2014	3.75%		7.5%			
Next-generation satellite launch by						
December 31, 2014				3.75%		
Regulatory approvals				3.75%		
International licenses				3.75%		
Obtain new geographic distribution channel		3.75%		3.75%		
Obtain certain customer product targets					3.75%	3.75%
Operational target #1		3.75%				
Operational target #2					3.75%	
Operational target #3						3.75%
Operational target #4						3.75%
Discretionary	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

For 2014, bonuses will generally not be earned unless at least 100% (or 79% for Fiscal 2014 Adjusted EBITDA, 86% for Q4 2014 Adjusted EBITDA and 91% for Consolidated Revenues which are the lowest threshold in the range of payouts) of the applicable performance target is met for fiscal 2014.

For the Fiscal 2014 Adjusted EBITDA target, the table below describes the range of payouts (as a percentage of base salary) for each of the Named Executive Officers, with incremental increases for actual performance between the performance levels as described below:

	Threshold Target		Maximum Target
	(79% of		(121% of
	Target)	Target	Target)
Marc Eisenberg	18.53%	37.06%	90.48%
Robert Costantini	17.81%	35.61%	86.94%
John Stolte	12.97%	25.95%	45.38%
Christian Le Brun	13.43%	26.87%	46.99%
Patrick Shay	12.97%	25.95%	45.38%
Craig Malone	11.48%	22.96%	40.16%

The payouts earned for actual performance between the threshold and target performance levels are based on an additional 25% of the payout amount attributable to the threshold target performance level for each \$1,000,000 of incremental Fiscal 2014 Adjusted EBITDA attained in excess of such threshold target performance level, up to \$3,000,000 (prior to reaching the target performance level).

The payouts earned for actual performance between the target and maximum target performance levels are based on an additional 25% (for Messrs. Eisenberg and Costantini) or 15% (for Messrs. Stolte, Le Brun, Shay and Malone) of the payout amount attributable to the target performance level specified in the table above for the first \$1,000,000 of incremental Fiscal 2014 Adjusted EBITDA attained in excess of the target performance level, while the payouts earned for each subsequent \$1,000,000, up to \$3,000,000, of incremental Fiscal 2014 Adjusted EBITDA attained thereafter (prior to reaching the maximum target performance level) will be based on an additional 25% (for Messrs. Eisenberg and Costantini) or 15% (for Messrs. Stolte, Le Brun, Shay and Malone) of the payout amount attributable to the immediately prior additional payout calculation.

For the Q4 2014 Adjusted EBITDA target, the table below describes the range of payouts (as a percentage of base salary) for each of the Named Executive Officers, with incremental increases for actual performance between the performance levels as described below:

	Threshold Target		Maximum Target
	(89% of		(109% of
	Target)	Target	Target)
Marc Eisenberg	6.18%	12.35%	30.16%
Robert Costantini	5.94%	11.87%	28.98%
John Stolte	4.32%	8.65%	15.13%
Christian Le Brun	4.48%	8.96%	15.66%
Patrick Shay	4.32%	8.65%	15.13%
Craig Malone	3.83%	7.65%	13.39%

The payouts earned for actual performance between the threshold and target performance levels are based on an additional 25% of the payout amount attributable to the threshold target performance level for each \$1,000,000 of incremental Q4 2014 Adjusted EBITDA attained in excess of such threshold target performance level, up to \$3,000,000 (prior to reaching the target performance level).

The payouts earned for actual performance between the target and maximum target performance levels are based on an additional 25% (for Messrs. Eisenberg and Costantini) or 15% (for Messrs. Stolte, Le Brun, Shay and Malone) of the payout amount attributable to the target performance level specified in the table above for the first \$1,000,000 of incremental Q4 2014 Adjusted EBITDA attained in excess of the target performance level, while the payouts earned for each subsequent \$1,000,000, up to \$3,000,000, of incremental Q4 2014 Adjusted

EBITDA attained thereafter will be based on an additional 25% (for Messrs. Eisenberg and Costantini) or 15% (for Messrs. Stolte, Le Brun, Shay and Malone) of the payout amount attributable to the immediately prior additional payout calculation.

For the Consolidated Revenues target, the table below describes the range of payouts (as a percentage of base salary) for each of the Named Executive Officers, with incremental increases for actual performance between these performance levels:

	Threshold Target		Maximum Target
	(91% of		(109% of
	Target)	Target	Target)
Marc Eisenberg	12.35%	24.71%	49.41%
Robert Costantini	11.87%	23.74%	47.48%
John Stolte	8.65%	17.3%	30.25%
Patrick Shay	8.65%	17.3%	30.25%
Christian Le Brun	8.96%	17.91%	31.33%
Craig Malone	7.65%	15.31%	26.77%

The payouts earned for actual performance between the threshold and target performance levels are based on an additional 25% of the payout amount attributable to the threshold target performance level for each \$2,000,000 of incremental Consolidated Revenues attained in excess of such threshold target performance level, up to \$6,000,000 (prior to reaching the target performance level).

For Messrs. Eisenberg and Costantini the payouts earned for actual performance between the target and maximum target performance levels are based on an additional 25% of the payout amount attributable to the target performance level for each \$2,000,000 of incremental Consolidated Revenues attained in excess of such target performance level, up to \$6,000,000, of incremental Consolidated Revenues attained thereafter (prior to reaching the maximum target performance level) will be based on an additional 25% of the payout amount attributable to the target performance level. For Messrs. Stolte, Le Brun, Shay and Malone, the payouts earned for actual performance between the target and maximum target performance levels are based on an additional 15% of the payout amount attributable to the target performance level for the first \$2,000,000 of incremental Consolidated Revenues attained in excess of such target performance level, while the payouts earned for each subsequent \$2,000,000, up to \$6,000,000, of incremental Consolidated Revenues attained thereafter will be based on an additional 15% of the payout amount attributable to the immediately prior additional payout calculation.

Long-Term Equity-Based Incentives. In addition to the short-term cash compensation payable to our Named Executive Officers, our Compensation Committee believes that the interests of our stockholders are best served when a substantial portion of our Named Executive Officers compensation is comprised of equity-based and other long-term incentives that appreciate in value contingent upon increases in the share price of our common stock and other indicators that reflect improvements in business fundamentals. Therefore, it is our Compensation Committee s intention to make grants of equity-based awards to our Named Executive Officers and other key employees at such times and in such amounts as may be required to accomplish the objectives of our compensation programs. Please see the Grants of Plan Based awards table and the accompanying narrative disclosures set forth in this proxy statement for more information regarding the grants of equity plan-based awards to our Named Executive Officers in fiscal year 2013.

We have not timed grants of equity-based awards in coordination with the release of non-public information nor have we timed the release of non-public information for the purpose of affecting the value of executive compensation.

Under the 2006 LTIP, the Compensation Committee has the ability to provide a number of equity-based awards, including restricted stock units (RSUs), stock appreciation rights (SARs), stock options, stock, restricted stock, market performance units (MPUs) and performance shares to promote our long-term growth and profitability. Following adoption of the 2006 LTIP, we ceased to grant additional stock options under the 2004 Stock Option Plan. The 2004 Stock Option Plan will continue to govern all stock option awards granted under the 2004 Stock Option Plan prior to the adoption of the 2006 LTIP. Since 2012, our equity based

incentives have been a mix of RSUs, SARs and MPUs. This combination of equity-based incentives is intended to benefit stockholders by enabling us to better attract and retain top talent in a marketplace where such incentives are prevalent. We believe that SARs, RSUs and MPUs provide effective vehicles for promoting a long-term share ownership perspective for our senior management and employees and closely align the interests of senior management and employees with our achievement of longer-term financial objectives that enhance stockholder value, while at the same time limiting the dilutive effects of granting stock options. We have not adopted stock ownership guidelines, and our stock compensation plans have provided the principal method for our executive officers to acquire equity or equity-based interests in us.

SARs. A stock appreciation right, or SAR, is the right to receive a payment measured by the increase in the fair market value of a specified number of shares of our common stock from the date of grant of the SAR to the date on which the participant exercises the SAR. Under the 2006 LTIP, SARs may be (1) freestanding SARs or (2) tandem SARs granted in conjunction with an option, either at the time of grant of the option or at a later date, and exercisable at the participant s election instead of all or any part of the related option. Upon the exercise of a SAR, we will deliver cash, shares of our common stock valued at fair market value on the date of exercise or a combination of cash and shares of our common stock, as the Compensation Committee may determine. Vested and unvested SARs granted to certain of our employees, including our Named Executive Officers, are subject to forfeiture in the event such employees breach the non-competition and/or non-solicitation covenants set forth in their award agreements and unvested SARs are subject to cancellation if, prior to vesting, such employees ceased to be employed by us for any reason.

On October 24, 2012, the Compensation Committee granted time-based SARs to Messrs. Eisenberg, Costantini, Stolte and Le Brun. These time-based SARs vested on January 1, 2014 and have a base price of \$3.53 per share, the fair market value of our common stock on the date of grant. Mr. Eisenberg was granted 80,000 time-based SARs, Mr. Costantini was granted 50,000 time-based SARs and Messrs. Stolte and Le Brun were each granted 40,000 time-based SARs.

On December 3, 2012, in connection with Mr. Shay s employment in December 2012 he was awarded 30,000 time-based SARs. One-third of these time-based SARs vested on December 3, 2013. The remaining two-thirds will vest in equal installments on each of December 3, 2014 and 2015, subject to continued employment. These time-based SARs have a base price of \$3.38 per share, the fair market value of our common stock on the date of grant.

On December 12, 2013, the Compensation Committee granted time-based SARs to Messrs. Eisenberg, Costantini, Stolte, Le Brun and Shay. These time-based SARs vest on January 1, 2015 and have a base price of \$5.92 per share, the fair market value of our common stock on the date of grant. The Compensation Committee granted the following amount of time-based SARs to our Named Executive Officers: Mr. Eisenberg 60,000; Mr. Costantini 27,000; Messrs. Stolte and Le Brun 23,000 each; and Messrs. Shay and Malone 18,000.

We believe that the vesting periods in connection with these time-based SAR awards are appropriate for the following reasons:

they are intended to help retain employees, including executives, by rewarding them for extended, continuous service with us; and

they are time periods that incentivize and focus executives on the long-term performance of our business over reasonable timeframes, while minimizing the potential that longer vesting periods might dilute the motivation of the executives.

RSUs. A restricted stock unit, or RSU, is a contractual right to receive at a specified future vesting date an amount in respect of each RSU based on the fair market value on such date of one share of our common stock, subject to such terms and conditions as the Compensation Committee may establish. RSUs that become payable in accordance with their terms and conditions will be settled in cash, shares of our common stock, or a combination of cash and our common stock, as determined by the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee has determined that all currently outstanding RSUs will be settled in shares of common stock. The Compensation Committee may provide for the accumulation of dividend equivalents in cash, with or without interest, or the reinvestment of dividend equivalents in our common stock held subject to the same conditions as

the RSU and such terms and conditions as the Compensation Committee may determine. No participant who holds RSUs will have any ownership interest in the shares of common stock to which such RSUs relate until and unless payment with respect to such RSUs is actually made in shares of common stock. Vested and unvested RSUs awarded to certain of our employees, including our Named Executive Officers, will be subject to forfeiture in the event such employees breach their non-competition and/or non-solicitation covenants set forth in their award agreements and unvested RSUs are subject to cancellation if, prior to vesting, such employees ceased to be employed by us for any reason.

Time-based RSUs typically vest in three equal installments. On December 3, 2012, in connection with Mr. Shay s employment in December 2012 he was awarded 30,000 time-based RSUs. One-third of the RSUs vested on December 3, 2013. The remaining two-thirds vest in two equal installments on each of December 3, 2014 and 2015, subject to continued employment.

On December 13, 2012, the Compensation Committee granted performance-based RSUs under the 2006 LTIP relating to 2013 operational and financial performance targets that we believe are important to our long-term success. Each of the fiscal 2013 performance target components and the percentages for each component with respect to Messrs. Eisenberg, Costantini, Stolte, Shay and Le Brun are the same as those for their 2013 annual cash bonuses described above under Annual Cash Bonus . The Compensation Committee, in consultation with management, linked target performance levels to these measures, as we believe that each of them is an important factor in our revenue growth and for sustaining our business model. The Compensation Committee granted the following amounts of performance-based RSUs to our Named Executive Officers: Mr. Eisenberg 40,000; Mr. Costantini 25,000; Messrs. Stolte and Le Brun 20,000 each; and Mr. Shay 16,000.

On February 25, 2014, our Compensation Committee determined that performance-based RSU awards granted to the Named Executive Officers on December 13, 2012 vested based on achievement of the applicable 2013 operational and financial performance targets, as described below:

2013 Performance targets	Marc Eisenberg	Robert Costantini	John Stolte	Christian Le Brun	Patrick Shay
Adjusted EBITDA	20,000	12,500	10,000	10,000	8,000
Consolidated revenues	10,000	6,250	5,000	5,000	4,000
Net subscriber additions	1,500	938			600
AIS Revenue					
Launch next-generation satellite					
Direct channel revenues					
Regulatory approvals					
Subscriber disconnects					
International licenses				750	
Operational target #1					
Operational target #2					
Discretionary	4,000	2,500	2,000	2,000	1,600
Total	35,500	22,188	17,000	17,750	14,200

On December 12, 2013, the Compensation Committee granted performance-based RSUs under the 2006 LTIP relating to 2014 operational and financial performance targets that we believe are important to our long-term success. Each of the fiscal 2014 performance target components and the percentages for each component with respect to Messrs. Eisenberg, Costantini, Stolte, Le Brun, Shay and Malone are the same as those for their 2014 annual cash bonuses described above under Annual Cash Bonus. The Compensation Committee, in consultation with management, linked target performance levels to these measures, as we believe that each of them is an important factor in our revenue growth and for sustaining our business model. The Compensation Committee granted: (i) Mr. Eisenberg 20,000 performance-based RSUs; (ii) Mr. Costantini 9,000 performance-based RSUs; (iii) each of Messrs. Stolte and Le Brun 7,000 performance-based RSUs; and (iv) each of Messrs.

Table of Contents 40

25

Table of Contents

Shay and Malone 6,000 performance-based RSUs. The 2014 performance-based RSUs vest in 2015 dependent upon achieving financial and operational performance targets for 2014.

We believe that the vesting periods in connection with these performance-based RSU awards are appropriate because they allow the Compensation Committee to formulate performance targets annually that are aligned with our dynamic business plans and external factors.

MPUs. On December 13, 2012, the Compensation Committee granted Market Performance Units, or MPUs, to the Named Executive Officers with vesting based on stock price targets over a three-year performance period which ends on December 31, 2015 (the 2013 2015 MPUs). The stock price targets for each of the years for December 31, 2013, 2014 and 2015 have been set by our Compensation Committee. One-third of the MPUs will vest at the end of each performance period if the Company meets the specified stock price target. The value of the MPUs that will be earned each year ranges from 7.5% to 15% of each of the Named Executive Officers 2013 base salary depending on the Company s stock price performance for that year above the specified minimum target price. Under the terms of the MPUs, the annual stock price is calculated by using the average daily closing price of the Company s common stock for 20 trading days preceding December 31 of the relevant fiscal year. The payout amounts for the MPUs may be paid in cash, stock or a combination of both as determined by the Compensation Committee. If paid in common stock, the payout amount will be calculated based upon the fair market value of the Company s common stock on the trading day immediately preceding the payout date. Payment whether paid in cash, common stock or a combination of both must be paid by March 15th of the calendar year immediately following the year in which each performance period ends. If the stock price at the end of each annual year is below the minimum stock price the payout amounts will be zero. If the stock price meets the minimum stock price for each year, the payout amount will be equal to 7.5% of the Named Executive Officers 2013 base salary. If the stock price falls between the minimum and target stock price for each year, the payout amounts will be interpolated on straight-line basis between the minimum and target stock price. On January 1, 2014, the first third of the 2013-2015 MPUs vested based on the stock price performance for 2013 exceeding the specified target price of \$5.00 per share. The payout amounts for Messrs. Eisenberg, Costantini, Stolte, Le Brun and Shay were 15% of their respective 2013 base salaries (\$63,750, \$44,226, \$36,855, \$31,403 and \$36,750, respectively) and were paid in 10,055 shares, 6,976 shares, 5,813 shares, 4,953 shares and 5,797 shares of common stock, respectively, based on the closing price of our common stock on December 31, 2013 of \$6.34 per share.

On December 12, 2013, the Compensation Committee granted MPUs to Messrs. Eisenberg, Costantini, Stolte, Le Brun, Shay and Malone with vesting based on stock price targets over a three-year performance period which ends on December 31, 2016 (the 2014-2016 MPUs). The stock price targets for each of the years for December 31, 2014, 2015 and 2016 have been set by our Compensation Committee. One-third of the MPUs will vest at the end of each performance period if the Company meets the specified stock price target. The value of the MPUs that will be earned each year ranges from 7.5% to 15% of each of the grantees 2014 base salary depending on the Company s stock price performance for that year above the specified minimum target price. The other terms of the 2014-2016 MPUs are substantially the same as for the 2013-2015 MPUs described above. If the stock price at the end of each annual year is below the minimum stock price the payout amounts will be zero. If the stock price meets the minimum stock price for each year, the payout amount will be interpolated on straight-line basis between the minimum and target stock price.

Stock Options. We may grant stock options exercisable at such time or times, and subject to such terms and conditions, as the Compensation Committee may determine consistent with the terms of the 2006 LTIP. The exercise price of such stock options will be equal to or higher than the fair market value of our common stock on the date of grant.

Since adoption of the 2006 LTIP, our equity-based incentives have shifted away from stock options and we have not granted any stock option awards since 2006.

We may also grant SARs, RSUs or MPUs to executives under special circumstances outside of the annual process. Grants under the 2006 LTIP are made from time to time to selected executives in connection with talent management objectives, giving particular attention to employees leadership potential and potential future contributions in achieving critical business goals and objectives.

26

We may also grant SARs, RSUs or MPUs, as deemed appropriate by the Compensation Committee, including under the terms of employment agreements with our Named Executive Officers.

Personal Benefits

Our Named Executive Officers participate in a variety of retirement, health and welfare, and vacation benefits designed to enable us to attract and retain our workforce in a competitive marketplace. Health and welfare and vacation benefits help ensure that we have a productive and focused workforce through reliable and competitive health and other benefits. Generally these programs are the same offered to all employees.

Perquisites

Our Named Executive Officers are provided a limited number of perquisites whose primary purpose is to minimize distractions from the executives attention to the Company s business. An item is not a perquisite if it is integrally and directly related to the performance of the executive s duties. An item is a perquisite if it confers a direct or indirect benefit that has a personal aspect, without regard to whether it may be provided for some business reason or for our convenience, unless it is generally available on a non-discriminatory basis to all employees.

The principal perquisites offered to our Named Executive Officers are car allowances and life insurance premiums. Please see the Summary Compensation Table and accompanying narrative disclosures set forth in this proxy statement for more information on perquisites and other personal benefits we provide to our Named Executive Officers.

401(k) Plan

We maintain a 401(k) retirement plan intended to qualify under Sections 401(a) and 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code). The plan is a defined contribution plan that covers all our employees who have been employed for three months or longer, beginning on the date of employment. Employees may contribute up to 15% of their eligible compensation (subject to certain limits) as pretax, salary deferral contributions. In addition, the plan contains a discretionary contribution component pursuant to which we may make an additional annual contribution. Contributions made by us vest over a five-year period from the employee s date of employment. Commencing in the second quarter of 2012, we began to match the amount contributed by each employee up to 3% of the employee s salary.

Severance and Change in Control Benefits

Severance and change in control benefits are designed to facilitate our ability to attract and retain executives as we compete for talented employees in a marketplace where such protections are commonly offered. The severance and change in control benefits found in the Named Executive Officers employment agreements are designed to encourage employees to remain focused on our business in the event of rumored or actual fundamental corporate changes.

Severance Benefits. Our employment agreements with the Named Executive Officers provide severance payments and other benefits in an amount we believe is appropriate, taking into account the time it is expected to take a separated employee to find another job. These benefits include continued base salary payments, and in certain instances health insurance coverage (typically for a one-year or shorter period). The payments and other benefits are provided because we consider a separation to be a Company-initiated termination of employment that under different circumstances would not have occurred and which is beyond the control of a separated employee. Separation benefits are intended to ease the consequences to an employee of an unexpected termination of employment. We benefit by requiring a general release from separated employees. In addition, we have included post-termination non-compete and non-solicitation covenants in certain individual employment agreements.

Change in Control Benefits. Our employment agreements with the Named Executive Officers provide for change of control benefits of continued base salary payments, and in certain instances health insurance coverage,

health care coverage (typically for an 18 month or shorter period). Under the 2004 Stock Option Plan and the 2006 LTIP and the award agreements under those plans, our stock options, RSUs, SARs and MPUs generally vest upon a change of control with a minimum price threshold, whether or not time vesting requirements or performance targets have been achieved. Under the employment arrangements with our Named Executive Officers, other change of control benefits generally require a change of control, followed by a termination of or change in an executive s employment, a so-called double trigger mechanism. In adopting the so-called single trigger treatment for equity-based awards, we were guided by a number of principles: being consistent with current market practice among communications company peers; and keeping employees relatively whole for a reasonable period but avoid creating a windfall . Single trigger vesting ensures that ongoing employees are treated the same as terminated employees with respect to outstanding equity-based grants. Single trigger vesting provides employees with the same opportunities as stockholders, who are free to sell their equity at the time of the change in control event and thereby realize the value created at the time of the change of control transaction. The company that made the original equity grant will no longer exist after a change of control and employees should not be required to have the fate of their outstanding equity tied to the new company s future success. Single trigger vesting on performance-based equity awards, in particular, is appropriate given the difficulty of replicating the underlying performance goals.

Tax and Accounting Implications

Deductibility of Executive Compensation

Section 162(m) of the Code limits our tax deductions relating to the compensation paid to Named Executive Officers, unless the compensation is performance-based and the material terms of the applicable performance goals are disclosed to and approved by our stockholders. All of our equity-based compensation plans have received stockholder approval and, to the extent applicable, were prepared with the intention that our incentive compensation would qualify as performance-based compensation under Section 162(m). While we intend to continue to rely on performance-based compensation programs, we recognize the need for flexibility in making executive compensation decisions, based on the relevant facts and circumstances, so that we achieve our best interests and the best interests of our stockholders. To the extent consistent with this goal and to help us manage our compensation costs, we attempt to satisfy the requirements of Section 162(m) with respect to those elements of our compensation programs that are performance-based.

Certain Awards Deferring or Accelerating the Receipt of Compensation

Section 409A of the Code, enacted as part of the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004, imposes requirements applicable to nonqualified deferred compensation plans . If a nonqualified deferred compensation plan subject to Section 409A fails to meet, or is not operated in accordance with, these new requirements, then all compensation deferred under the plan may become immediately taxable. We intend that awards granted under the 2006 LTIP will comply with the requirements of Section 409A and intend to administer and interpret the 2006 LTIP in such a manner.

Role of Executives and Others in Establishing Compensation

During 2013 our Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Eisenberg, reviewed the performance of the Named Executive Officers (other than his own, which was reviewed by the Compensation Committee), and met on a case-by-case basis with each of the other Named Executive Officers to reach agreements with respect to salary adjustments and annual award amounts, which were then presented to the Compensation Committee for approval. The Compensation Committee can exercise discretion in modifying any recommended adjustments or awards to executives.

Mr. Eisenberg in his capacity as Chief Executive Officer, attended meetings of the Compensation Committee in 2013.

The day-to-day design and administration of benefits, including health and vacation plans and policies applicable to salaried employees in general are handled by our Finance and Legal Departments. Our Compensation Committee (or board of directors) remains responsible for certain fundamental changes outside the day-to-day requirements necessary to maintain these plans and policies.

28

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Compensation Committee of the Company has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis required by Item 402(b) of Regulation S-K with management and based on such review and discussion, the Compensation Committee recommended to the board of directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement and the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Compensation Committee

Timothy Kelleher, Chairman

John Major

Gary Ritondaro

29

COMPENSATION OF EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary \$	Bonus \$(1)	Stock Awards \$(2)	Option Awards \$(3)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation \$(4)	All other Compensation \$(5)	Total \$
Marc Eisenberg Chief Executive Officer	2013 2012 2011	\$ 425,000 425,000 379,600	\$ 42,500 41,000 136,656	\$ 203,400 307,750	\$ 219,600 285,900 276,000	\$ 357,000 443,313 230,020	\$ 23,970 23,430 15,930	\$ 1,271,470 1,526,393 1,038,206
Robert Costantini Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2013 2012 2011	294,840 294,840 294,840	28,000 28,000 94,349	112,266 203,738	98,820 165,250 138,000	238,000 292,250 205,195	19,170 19,446 11,946	791,096 1,003,524 744,330
John J. Stolte, Jr. Executive Vice President-Technology and Operations	2013 2012 2011	245,700 245,700 245,700	17,000 73,710	90,580 166,823	84,180 142,950 138,000	133,875 163,413 64,496	9,570 9,096 1,725	563,905 744,982 523,631
Patrick A. Shay Executive Vice President-Sales and Marketing(6)	2013 2012	245,000 20,739		84,520 253,750	65,880 63,600	123,750	15,623 666	534,773 338,755
Christian G. Le Brun Executive Vice President and General Counsel	2013 2012 2011	209,352 209,352 209,352	15,000 15,000 47,104	83,310 152,647	84,180 142,950 138,000	123,750 138,563 86,358	8,182 7,264 655	523,774 665,776 481,469

- (1) The amounts set forth in the Bonus column represents cash amounts of the discretionary portions of the 2013, 2012 and 2011 performance-based annual incentive awards which were paid following the Compensation Committee s determination of the final amounts earned by the Named Executive Officers.
- (2) The amounts set forth in the Stock Awards column for 2013 and 2102 represent the aggregate grant date fair values of performance-based RSU awards and MPU awards to Messrs. Eisenberg, Costantini, Stolte, Shay and Le Brun, computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, Compensation Stock Compensation. For performance-based RSU awards and MPU awards such amounts are based on the probable outcome of the performance conditions as of the grant date. For the MPUs such amounts are based on the vesting of 100% of the MPUs awarded based on achieving the target stock price level. For a discussion of the assumptions used to calculate the grant date fair value of an RSU award and an MPU award shown in the Stock Awards column, see Note 5 in our consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013. See Compensation Discussion and Analysis Elements of Compensation Long-Term Equity-Based Incentives and Annual Cash Bonuses for a further discussion regarding RSU and stock awards in 2013 and 2012 and the Outstanding Equity Awards at 2013 Fiscal Year-End Table for a further discussion regarding outstanding RSU and MPU awards.
- (3) The amounts set forth in the Options Awards column represent the aggregate grant date fair values of time-based SAR awards to Messrs. Eisenberg, Costantini, Stolte, Shay and Le Brun and performance-based SAR awards to Messrs. Eisenberg, Costantini, Stolte and Le Brun computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, Compensation Stock Compensation. For performance-based SAR awards such amounts are based on the probable outcome of the performance conditions as of the grant date. For a discussion of the assumptions used to calculate the grant date fair value of the SAR awards shown in the Options Awards column, see Note 5 in our consolidated financial

statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013. See Compensation Discussion and Analysis Elements of Compensation Long-Term Equity-Based Incentives for a further discussion regarding SAR awards in 2013, 2012 and 2011 and the Outstanding Equity Awards at 2013 Fiscal Year-End Table for a further discussion regarding outstanding SAR awards.

30

- (4) The amounts set forth in the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column represent the annual incentive bonus paid to Messrs. Eisenberg, Costantini, Stolte, Shay and Le Brun under the terms of their respective employment arrangements. See Compensation Discussion and Analysis Elements of Compensation Long-Term Equity-Based Incentives and the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table for a further discussion regarding the 2013 annual incentive payments.
- (5) The amounts set forth in the All Other Compensation column are comprised of the following for each Named Executive Officer: **Eisenberg:**

Perquisites and Personal Benefits:

2013: \$7,500 for 401(k) matching contributions, \$14,400 for automobile allowance and \$2,070 for payment of life insurance premiums.

2012: \$7,500 for 401(k) matching contributions, \$14,400 for automobile allowance and \$1,530 for payment of life insurance premiums.

2011: \$14,400 for automobile allowance and \$1,530 for payment of life insurance premiums.

Costantini:

Perquisites and Personal Benefits:

2013: \$7,500 for 401(k) matching contributions, \$9,600 for automobile allowance and \$2,070 for payment of life insurance premiums.

2012: \$7,500 for 401(k) matching contributions, \$9,600 for automobile allowance and \$2,346 for payment of life insurance premiums.

2011: \$9,600 for automobile allowance and \$2,346 for payment of life insurance premiums.

Stolte:

Perquisites and Personal Benefits:

2013: \$7,500 for 401(k) matching contributions and \$2,070 for payment of life insurance premiums.

2012: \$7,371 for 401(k) matching contributions and \$1,725 for payment of life insurance premiums.

2011: \$1,725 for payment of life insurance premiums.

Shay:

Perquisites and Personal Benefits:

2013: \$7,500 for 401(k) matching contributions, \$7,200 for automobile allowance and \$923 for payment of life insurance premiums.

2012: \$600 for automobile allowance and \$66 for payment of life insurance premiums.

Le Brun:

Perquisites and Personal Benefits:

2013: \$6,281 for 401(k) matching contributions and \$1,911 for payment of life insurance premiums.

2012: \$6,281 for 401(k) matching contributions and \$983 for payment of life insurance premiums.

2011: \$655 for payment of life insurance premiums.

(6) Mr. Shay joined the Company as an Executive Officer in December 2012.

31

Grants of Plan-Based Awards in 2013

				e e			Plan Number off Awards(3)(4) Shaftesuri	er on descis@mant Date offasFair Value of Price Stock
Name	Award Date(1)	Committee Date	Award Type	Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximulfih (\$)	resho k arg M axim Stooh tion (#) (#) (#) (#) (#)	
Marc Eisenberg	2014 non-eq	uity incentive	plan awards					
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 annual incentive (Fiscal 2014 Adjusted EBITDA)	78,750	157,500	384,521		
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 annual incentive (Q4 2014 Adjusted EBITDA)	26,250	52,500	128,174		
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 annual incentive (Consolidated revenues)	52,500	105,000	210,000		
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 annual incentive (Direct channel revenues)	15,750				
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 annual incentive (Net subscriber additions)	15,750				
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 annual incentive (Next-generation satellite launch #1 by September 30, 2014)	, 15,750				
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 annual incentive (Obtain firm next- generation satellite #2 launch date by	,				
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	December 31, 2014) 2014 annual incentive	15,750				
			(Discretionary new projects)			42,500		
	Total 2014 n	on-equity ince	ntive plan awards	220,500	315,000	765,195		
		incentive plan						
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 performance-based RSUs (Fiscal 2014 Adjusted EBITDA)				7,500	44,400
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 performance-based RSUs (Q4 2014 Adjusted EBITDA)				2,500	14,800
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 performance-based RSUs (Consolidated revenues)				5,000	29,600
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 performance-based RSUs (Direct channel					
			revenues)				750	4,440

				Under No	ed Possible on-Equity an Awards	Incentive	Un I	ated Poss Payouts der Equit ncentive Awards(3	y All S Av)(4)(u)	l Other StockA wards wards mber o hareSe	wardsEx	Base Fa Price of	Frant Date air Value of Stock and Option
	Award	Committee	Award	Threshold	Target	Maximuffih		_		MocK) p	otions(5).	Awards .	Awards(6)
Name	Date(1)	Date	Type	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(#)	(#)	(#)	(#)	(#)	(\$/sh)	(\$)
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 performance-based RSUs (Net subscriber additions)					750					4,440
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 performance-based RSUs (Next-generation satellite launch #1 by September 30, 2014)					750					4,440
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 performance-based RSUs (Obtain firm next-generation satellite #2 launch date by December 31, 2014)					750					4,440
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 performance-based RSUs (Discretionary new projects)					2,000					11,840
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	Time-based SARs								60,000	5.92	219,600
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	MPUs				(7)		(7)				85,000
	Total 2014 e	quity incentive	e plan awards				(7)	20,000	(7)		60,000		423,000
Robert G.	2014	•4	1										
Costantini	12/12/2013	uity incentive j 12/12/2013	2014 annual incentive										
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	(Fiscal 2014 Adjusted EBITDA)	52,500	105,000	256,348							
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 annual incentive (Q4 2014 Adjusted EBITDA)	17,500	35,000	85,449							
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 annual incentive (Consolidated revenues)	35,000	70,000	140,000							
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 annual incentive (Direct channel revenues)	10,500									
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 annual incentive (Net subscriber additions)	10,500									
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 annual incentive (Obtain new geographic distribution channel)	10,500									
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 annual incentive (Operational target #1)	10,500									
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 annual incentive (Discretionary new projects)			28,000							
	Total 2014 n	ntive plan awards	147,000	210,000	509,797								

				Under No		le Payouts y Incentive ls(2)	Un	nated Poss Payouts ider Equit Incentive Awards(3	y All Ot Stoc Award (4) Shar	k Awards:E ds:Number er of of esSecurities	Base Price of	oGrant Date Fair Value of Stock and
Name	Award Date(1)	Committee Date	Type	Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximuffil (\$)	reshol (#)	dГargetMa (#)			_	Option Awards(6) (\$)
		ncentive plan										
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 performance-based RSUs (Fiscal 2014 Adjusted EBITDA)					3,375				19,980
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 performance-based RSUs (Q4 2014 Adjusted EBITDA)					1,125				6,660
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 performance-based RSUs (Consolidated revenues)					2,250				13,320
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 performance-based RSUs (Direct channel									2.001
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	revenues) 2014					338				2,001
	12/12/2015	12/12/2013	performance-based RSUs (Net subscriber additions)					338				2,001
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 performance-based RSUs (Obtain new geographic distribution channel)					337				1,995
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 performance-based RSUs (Operational target #1)					337				1,995
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 performance-based RSUs (Discretionary new projects)					900				5,328
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	Time-based SARs							27,000	5.92	98,820
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	MPUs				(7)		(7)			58,968
	Total 2014 ed	quity incentive	plan awards				(7)	9,000	(7)	27,000		211,068
John J. Stolte, Jr.	2014 non-ear	iity incentive į	olan awards									
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 annual incentive (Fiscal 2014 Adjusted EBITDA)		63,750	111,499						
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 annual incentive (Q4 2014 Adjusted EBITDA)		21,250	37,166						
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 annual incentive (Consolidated revenues)	21,250	42,500	74,333						
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 annual incentive (Next-generation satellite launch #1 by September 30, 2014)	12,750								

34

				Under N	ed Possiblo on-Equity an Awards	Incentive	Un	nated Possil Payouts der Equity Incentive Awards(3)	All Ott Stoc (4) Award Number Shard		Base 1 Price of	oGrant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option
Name	Award Date(1)	Committee Date	Award Type	Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximumh (\$)	reshol			kOptions(5)	_	•
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 annual incentive (Obtain firm next-generation satellite #2 launch date by December 31, 2014)	12,750								
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 annual incentive (Discretionary new projects)			17,000						
	Total 2014 n	on-equity ince	ntive plan awards	89,250	127,500	239,998						
	2014 equity i	ncentive plan	awards									
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 performance-based RSUs (Fiscal 2014									
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	Adjusted EBITDA) 2014 performance-based RSUs (Q4 2014					2,625				15,540
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	Adjusted EBITDA) 2014 performance-based RSUs (Consolidated revenues)					875 1,750				5,180
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 performance-based RSUs (Next-generation satellite launch #1 by									
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	September 30, 2014) 2014 performance-based RSUs (Obtain firm next-generation satellite #2 launch date by December 31, 2014)					525 525				3,108
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 performance-based RSUs (Discretionary new projects)					700				4,144
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	Time-based SARs							23,000	5.92	84,180
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	MPUs				(7)		(7)			49,140
	Total 2014 e	quity incentive	e plan awards				(7)	7,000	(7)	23,000		174,760
Patrick A.												
Shay		uity incentive										
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 annual incentive (Fiscal 2014 Adjusted	31,874	62.570	111 101						
			EBITDA)	31,874	63,568	111,181						

12/12/2013 12/12/2013 2014 annual

incentive (Q4 2014

Adjusted EBITDA) 10,595 21,189 37,060

35

				Under No	ed Possible on-Equity an Awards	Incentive	Incentive	le All Other All OtherOption Stock Awards:Ex AwardsNumber Number of of ShareSecurities of Underlying	Base 1 Price of	oGrant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option
Name	Award Date(1)	Committee Date	Award Type	Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximumhh (\$)	reshold[argefMaxi (#) (#) (‡	mulitockOptions(5)	_	•
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 annual incentive (Consolidated				()	(")	(4/311)	(4)
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	revenues) 2014 annual incentive (Direct channel revenues)		42,379	74,121				
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 annual incentive (Net subscriber additions)							
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 annual incentive (Obtain certain customer product	6,357						
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	targets) 2014 annual incentive (Operational target #2)							
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 annual incentive (Discretionary new projects)			16,952				
	Total 2014 no	on-equity ince	ntive plan awards	89,085	127,136	239,314				
	2014 equity i	ncentive plan :	awards							
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 performance-based RSUs (Fiscal 2014 Adjusted EBITDA)				2,250			13,320
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 performance-based RSUs (Q4 2014 Adjusted EBITDA)				750			4,440
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 performance-based RSUs (Consolidated							
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	revenues) 2014 performance-based RSUs (Direct channel				1,500			8,880
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	revenues) 2014 performance-based RSUs (Net subscriber				225			1,332
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	additions) 2014 performance-based RSUs (Obtain certain customer product				225			1,332
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	targets) 2014 performance-based RSUs (Operational							
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	target #4) 2014 performance-based RSUs (Discretionary				225 600			1,332 3,552

	new projects)						
12/12/2013 12/12/2013	Time-based SARs				18,000	5.92	65,880
12/12/2013 12/12/2013	MPUs	(7)		(7)			49,000
Total 2014 equity incentive	plan awards	(7)	6,000	(7)	18,000		150,400

Estimated

All

Table of Contents

				Under N	ed Possible on-Equity an Awards	Incentive	Estimated Possible Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards(3)(4)	All Other All Oth@ption StockAwardNumberBasel Number ofof Price Shar6ccurities of	
Name	Award Date(1)	Committee Date	Award Type	Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximufihr		ofUnderlyiOption mulitoOptions(5)/ard f) (#) (#) (\$/sh)	sAwards(6)
Christian G. Le	2400(1)	2400	-,,,,,	(Ψ)	(Ψ)	(4)	() () () () () (4/511)	(4)
Brun	2014 non-eq	uity incentive	plan awards						
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 annual incentive (Fiscal 2014 Adjusted EBITDA)	28,125	56,250	98,382			
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 annual incentive (Q4 2014 Adjusted	0.055	10.550	22 = 2.4			
	10/10/0010	10/10/0010	EBITDA)	9,375	18,750	32,794			
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 annual incentive (Consolidated revenues)	18,750	37,500	65,588			
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 annual incentive (Next-generation satellite launch by December 31, 2014)	5,625					
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 annual incentive (Regulatory approvals)	5,625					
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 annual incentive (International licenses)	5,625					
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 annual incentive (Obtain new geographic distribution channel)	·					
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 annual incentive (Discretionary new projects)	3,023		15,000			
			projects)			13,000			
	Total 2014 n	on-equity ince	ntive plan awards	78,750	112,500	211,764			
	2014 equity	incentive plan	awards						
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 performance-based RSUs (Fiscal 2014						
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	Adjusted EBITDA) 2014 RSUs (Q4 2014				2,625		15,540
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	Adjusted EBITDA) 2014 performance-based RSUs (Consolidated				875		5,180
			revenues)				1,750		10,360
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 performance-based RSUs (Next-generation satellite launch by December 31, 2014)				263		1,557
	12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 performance-based RSUs (Regulatory approvals)				263		1,557

					N	Estima Possik Payou Unde Non-Eq Incent Plar Award	ole its er uity ive i	Un] Plan	nated Possib Payouts ider Equity Incentive Awards(3)(4	Stock Awards Number Shares of	s: of offecurities Underlying Options	Base Price of Option	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option
Nai	me	Award Date(1)	Committee Date	Award T Type	hrest) (\$)	_	aximbi (\$)	hmeshold (#)	IFarget Maxi (#) (#		(5) (#)	Awards (\$/sh)	Awards(6) (\$)
. ,		12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 performance-base RSUs (International licenses)		(Ψ)	(4)	(")	262	., ()	(")	(4/311)	1,551
		12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 performance-base RSUs (Obtain new geographic distribution channel)	d				262				1,551
		12/12/2013	12/12/2013	2014 performance-base RSUs (Discretionary ne					700				
		12/12/2013	12/12/2013	projects) Time-based SARs					700		23,000	5.92	4,144 84,180
		12/12/2013	12/12/2013	MPUs				(7)		(7)	23,000	3.72	41,870
		Total 2014 eq	quity incentive	plan awards				(7)	7,000	(7)	23,000		167,490

- (1) The date the Compensation Committee approved the issuance of the award.
- (2) The amounts shown represent annual incentive payments payable to Messrs. Eisenberg, Costantini, Stolte and Le Brun pursuant to employment agreements with the Company. For Mr. Shay the amounts shown represent annual incentive payable to him pursuant to an offer letter. See Certain Relationships and Transactions with Related Persons Employment Agreements for a summary of the employment arrangements. The actual annual incentive payment amount paid to each of these Named Executive Officers for fiscal year 2014 operational and financial performance targets are shown in the Summary Compensation Table under the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column. For 2013 Messrs. Eisenberg, Costantini, Stolte, Shay and Le Brun the incentive payments are calculated based on a percentage of the executive s 2013 base salary, determined based on the achievement of specified financial and operational performance targets of the Company for fiscal year 2014. Please see Compensation Discussion and Analysis Elements of Compensation Annual Cash Bonus for a further discussion regarding the allocation of annual incentive payments with respect to the specified performance targets.
- (3) On December 12, 2013, our Compensation Committee established 2014 operational and financial performance targets and granted performance-based RSU awards to each of Messrs. Eisenberg, Costantini, Stolte, Shay and Lebrun. The performance-based RSUs vest upon achievement of various 2014 operational and financial performance targets and continued employment through the date that our Compensation Committee has determined the performance targets have been achieved. Mr. Eisenberg was granted 20,000 performance-based RSU awards, Mr. Costantini was granted 9,000 performance-based RSU awards, each of Messrs. Stolte and Le Brun were granted 7,000 performance-based RSU awards and Mr. Shay was granted 6,000 performance-based RSU awards. Further on December 12, 2013, our Compensation Committee granted 2014-2016 MPUs to Messrs. Eisenberg, Costantini, Stolte, Shay and Lebrun based on the Company s stock price performance over a three-year performance period. The MPUs vest at the end of each performance period only if the Company satisfies stock price targets and continued employment through the date that our Compensation Committee has determined that the stock price targets have been achieved. See Compensation Discussion and Analysis Elements of Compensation Long-Term Equity-Based Incentives for a further discussion regarding performance-based RSU and MPU awards. See the Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End Table and the related footnotes for additional information regarding these RSU and MPU awards.

- (4) The amounts shown in the Target column represents the value of annual incentive payments payable if the Adjusted EBITDA and Consolidated Revenue performance targets are achieved at the 100% level. The amounts shown in the Threshold Target column represent the lower value of annual incentive payments payable if the performance targets are achieved at the 79% level for Fiscal 2014 Adjusted EBITDA, 86% level for Q4 2014 Adjusted EBITDA and 91% level for Consolidated Revenues, and the value of annual incentive payments payable if all other performance targets (excluding discretionary portion) are achieved at the 100% level. The amounts shown in the Maximum Target column represent the maximum value of annual incentive payments payable if the performance targets are achieved at the 121% level for Fiscal 2014 Adjusted EBITDA, 109% level for Q4 2014 Adjusted EBITDA and 109% level for Consolidated Revenue, and the maximum amount of the discretionary portion of the annual incentive payments payable upon determination by the Compensation Committee. Please see Compensation Discussion and Analysis Elements of Compensation Annual Cash Bonus for a further discussion regarding the allocation of annual incentive payments with respect to the specified performance targets.
- (5) On December 12, 2013, 60,000 time-based SAR awards were granted to Mr. Eisenberg, 27,000 time-based SAR awards were granted to Mr. Costantini, 23,000 time-based SAR awards were granted to each of Messrs. Stolte and Le Brun and 18,000 time-based SAR awards was granted to Mr. Shay. These time-based SAR awards have a base price of \$5.92 per share, the price of our common stock on the grant date, and will vest on January 1, 2015. See Compensation Discussion and Analysis Elements of Compensation Long-Term Equity-Based Incentives for a further discussion regarding time-based SAR awards. See the Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End Table and the related footnotes for additional information regarding these SAR awards.
- (6) The amounts shown in the Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards represent the full grant date fair value of the awards computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 Compensation Stock Compensation. For a discussion of the assumptions used to calculate the grant date fair value of the SAR, RSU and MPUs, see Note 5 to our consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.
- (7) Although the MPUs are equity incentive plan awards, the payouts are based on a percentage of salary rather than shares or units. In lieu of reporting the estimated probable payments in the table above, the following table reflects the Threshold and Maximum payments in dollars of the MPUs to the Named Executive Officers set forth in the table, assuming the Company s stock price performance during the applicable performance cycle satisfied the Threshold or Maximum levels.

Name	Threshold (\$)	Maximum (\$)
Marc Eisenberg	95,625	191,250
Robert G. Costantini	66,339	132,678
John J. Stolte, Jr.	55,283	110,565
Patrick A. Shay	55,125	110,250
Christian G. Le Brun	47,104	94,208

39

Outstanding Equity Awards at 2013 Fiscal Year-End

			on/SAR Awards			I Market Value	Stock Awards Equity Incentive Plan oof Awards:	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned
N	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options/SARs	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options/SARs (#)	Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Unearned Options/SARs	otion/SAR Exercise Price	Shar Option/ SAR Expiration/	Shares or Units umber of of res or units of that stock have that not	Unearned Shares, Units or other Rights that have not Vested	Shares, Units or Other Rights Units or Other That have not Vested
Name Marc Eisenberg	Exercisable 15,167(2)	Unexercisable	(#)	(\$) 11.00	Date 10/5/2016	(#) (\$)(1)	(#)	(\$)
	20,844(2) 10,129(2) 21,667(2) 15,167(2) 125,000(2) 300,000(2) 11,000(2) 4,250(2) 1,750(2) 2,500(2) 2,500(2) 2,750(2) 10,000(2) 150,000(2) 100,000(2) 12,500(2) 2,500(2) 2,500(2) 10,000(2) 12,500(2) 4,250(2) 2,500(2) 10,000(2) 12,500(2) 34,687(2)	80,000(2)(i		11.00 11.00 11.00 11.00 4.96 4.96 2.46 2.46 2.46 2.46 2.46 2.46 2.46 3.65 3.65 3.65 3.65 3.65 3.65 3.65 3.6	10/5/2016 10/5/2016 10/5/2016 3/31/2018 3/31/2020 3/3/2020 3/3/2020 3/3/2020 3/3/2020 3/3/2020 3/3/2020 3/3/2020 3/3/2020 3/3/2020 3/3/2020 3/3/2021 3/2/2021 3/2/2021 3/2/2021 3/2/2021 3/2/2021 3/2/2021 3/2/2021 3/2/2021			
		60,000(2)(-	7	5.74	12/12/2023		20,000(5) 10,000(6) 1,500(7) 1,500(8) 1,500(9) 1,500(10) 4,000(11) 20,000(19) (21)	25,360(11)
Robert Costantini	44,444(2) 22,222(2) 22,223(2) 11,111(2)			11.00 11.00 11.00 11.00	10/5/2016 10/5/2016 10/5/2016 10/5/2016		(21)	(21)

		Option	n/SAR Awards					Stock Awards	Equity Incentive
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options/SARs	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options/SARs	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Unearned		N Sha Option/ SAR	Mar umber of res or u of stock that	rket Valu Shares or Units	Equity Incentive Plan e of Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or other Rights that have not	Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights Units or Other That have not
	(#)		Options/SARs	Price	Expirati ba v	e not ve		Vested	Vested
Name	Exercisable	Unexercisable	(#)	(\$)	Date	(#)	(\$)(1)	(#)	(\$)
	5,195(2)			11.00	10/5/2016				
	14,444(2)			11.00	10/5/2016				
	50,000(2) 200,000(2)			4.96 4.96	3/31/2018 3/31/2018				
	6,250(2)			2.46	3/3/2020				
	1,938(2)			2.46	3/3/2020				
	875(2)			2.46	3/3/2020				
	875(2)			2.46	3/3/2020				
	1,250(2)			2.46	3/3/2020				
	1,250(2)			2.46 2.46	3/3/2020 3/3/2020				
	1,250(2) 5,000(2)			2.46	3/3/2020				
	75,000(2)			2.46	3/3/2020				
	50,000(2)			2.74	10/26/2021				
	7,500(2)			3.65	3/2/2021				
	3,125(2)			3.65	3/2/2021				
	625(2)			3.65	3/2/2021				
	625(2) 1,250(2)			3.65 3.65	3/2/2021 3/2/2021				
	1,250(2)			3.65	3/2/2021				
	3,750(2)			3.65	3/2/2021				
	16,875(2)			3.42	3/7/2022				
		50,000(2)(3)		3.53	10/24/2022				
		27,000(2)(4))	5.92	12/12/2023			12 500(5)	70.250(5)
								12,500(5) 6,250(6) 938(7) 938(8) 937(9) 937(10) 2,500(11) 9,000(19)	15,850(11)
								(21)	(21)
John J. Stolte, Jr.	11,667(20)			2.33	2/17/2014				
	12,667(20) 30,000(2)			2.78 4.96	2/17/2014 3/31/2018				
	120,000(2)			4.96 4.96	3/31/2018				
	3,750(2)			2.46	3/3/2020				
	1,250(2)			2.46	3/3/2020				
	1,250(2)			2.46	3/3/2020				
	1,250(2)			2.46	3/3/2020				

	Option/SAR Awards						Stock .	Awards	Equity Incentive Plan
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options/SARs (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options/SARs	Equity Incenti Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Unearned ^E Options/SAR	tion/SAR xercise	Option/ SAR	Number of hares or units of stock that	arket Value of Shares or Units of Stock that have not Vested	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or other Rights that have not	Awards: Market
Name	Exercisable	Unexercisable	(#)	(\$)	Date	(#)	(\$)(1)	(#)	(\$)
	3,325(2) 1,250(2) 3,750(2) 75,000(2) 50,000(2) 3,750(2) 1,250(2) 1,250(2) 2,500(2) 3,750(2) 17,345(2)	40,000(2)(3 23,000(2)(4	*	2.46 2.46 2.46 2.74 3.65 3.65 3.65 3.65 3.65 3.65 3.53 5.92	3/3/2020 3/3/2020 3/3/2020 3/3/2020 10/26/2021 3/2/2021 3/2/2021 3/2/2021 3/2/2021 3/2/2021 3/7/2022 10/24/2022 12/12/2023			10,000(5) 5,000(6) 750(8) 750(9) 750(10 750(15 2,000(11 7,000(19 (21)	4,755(15) 12,680(11)
Patrick A. Shay	10,000(2)(17)	20,000(2)(1 18,000(2)(4	,	3.38 3.38 5.92	12/3/2022 12/3/2022 12/12/2023	20,000(18)	126,800(18	8,000(5) 4,000(6) 600(7) 600(9) 600(15) 600(16) 1,600(11) 6,000(19) (21)	3,804(16) 10,144(11) 38,040(19)
Christian G. Le Brun	47,952(20) 2,048(20) 30,000(3) 120,000(3) 3,750(3)			4.88 4.88 4.96 4.96 2.46	5/2/2015 5/2/2015 3/31/2018 3/31/2018 3/3/2020			(21)	(21)

	Number of Securities Underlying		Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities		N Sha		Shares or Units of of nits ock	Equity Incentive Plan Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or other Rights	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights Units or Other
	Unexercised	Unexercised	Underlying Unexercised O	otion/SAR	Option/	stock	that have	that have not	That have
	Options/SARs (#)	Options/SARs (#)	Unearned Options/SARs	Exercise Price	SAR Expirati ba v	that not ve	not Stødstad	Vested	not Vested
Name		Unexercisable	(#)	(\$)	Date	(#)	(\$)(1)	(#)	(\$)
	1,250(3) 1,250(3) 750(3) 2,500(3) 2,250(3) 1,250(3) 1,250(3) 4,000(3) 75,000(3) 3,750(3) 1,250(3)	40,000(3)(a 23,000(3)(a		2.46 2.46 2.46 2.46 2.46 2.46 2.46 2.46	3/3/2020 3/3/2020 3/3/2020 3/3/2020 3/3/2020 3/3/2020 3/3/2020 3/3/2020 10/26/2021 3/2/2021 3/2/2021 3/2/2021 3/2/2021 3/2/2021 3/2/2021 3/2/2021 3/2/2021 3/2/2021 3/2/2021 3/2/2021 3/2/2021 3/2/2021 3/2/2021 3/2/2021				
								10,000(5) 5,000(6) 750(10] 750(12] 750(13] 750(14] 2,000(11] 7,000(19] (21)	4,755(12) 4,755(13) 4,755(14) 12,680(11)

- $(1) \quad \text{Based on the $6.34 per share closing price of our common stock on December $1,2013.}$
- (2) SAR awards granted under our 2006 LTIP, which have a base price equal to the price of our common stock on the grant date.
- (3) Time-based SAR awards that vested on January 1, 2014.

- (4) Time-based SAR awards that vest on January 1, 2015.
- (5) Performance-based RSU awards that vested in February 2014 based on achieving the fiscal 2013 target for adjusted EBITDA during fiscal 2013 as determined by the Compensation Committee.

43

Table of Contents

- (6) Performance-based RSU awards that vested in February 2014 based on achieving the fiscal 2013 target for consolidated revenues during fiscal 2013 as determined by the Compensation Committee.
- (7) Performance-based RSU awards that vested in February 2014 based on achieving the fiscal 2013 target for net subscriber additions during fiscal 2013 as determined by the Compensation Committee.
- (8) Performance-based RSU awards that lapsed unvested in February 2014 based on not achieving the fiscal 2013 target for AIS revenue during fiscal 2013 as determined by the Compensation Committee.
- (9) Performance-based RSU awards that lapsed unvested in February 2014 based on not achieving the fiscal 2013 target for Direct channel revenues during fiscal 2013 as determined by the Compensation Committee.
- (10) Performance-based RSU awards that lapsed unvested in February 2014 based on not achieving the threshold target of launching the next-generation satellite during fiscal 2013 as determined by the Compensation Committee.
- (11) Performance-based RSU awards that vested in February 2014 at the discretion of the Compensation Committee based on completion of certain key projects during fiscal 2013 as determined by the Compensation Committee.
- (12) Performance-based RSU awards that lapsed unvested in February 2014 based on not achieving the fiscal 2013 target for operational target #1 during fiscal 2013 as determined by the Compensation Committee.
- (13) Performance-based RSU awards that vested in February 2014 based on achieving the fiscal 2013 target for regulatory approvals during fiscal 2013 as determined by the Compensation Committee.
- (15) Performance-based RSU awards that lapsed unvested in February 2014 based on not achieving the fiscal 2013 target for subscriber disconnects during fiscal 2013 as determined by the Compensation Committee.
- (16) Performance-based RSU awards that lapsed unvested in February 2014 based on not achieving the fiscal 2013 operational target #2 during fiscal 2013 as determined by the Compensation Committee.
- (17) Remaining time-based SAR awards which vest in two equal installments on December 3, 2014 and 2015.
- (18) Remaining time-based RSU awards which vest in two equal installments on December 3, 2014 and 2015.
- (19) Performance-based RSU awards which vest in 2015 based on achieving fiscal 2014 performance targets during fiscal 2014 as determined by the Compensation Committee.
- (20) Option awards granted under our 2004 Stock Option Plan.

(21) MPU award payouts are based on a percentage of salary rather than shares or units. For a discussion of the estimated payout amounts for these unvested MPUs, see footnote (3) to the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table.

44

Option Exercises and Stock Vested in 2013

	Option	Option Awards		
	Number of		Number of	
	Securities Acquired		Securities Acquired	
	on	Value Realized	on	Value Realized
	Exercise	on Exercise	Vesting	on Vesting
Name	(#)	(\$) (1)	(#) (2)	(\$) (3)
Marc Eisenberg	280,003	671,096		
Robert G. Costantini				
John J. Stolte, Jr.	26,668	45,844		
Patrick A. Shay			10,000	61,000
Christian G. Le Brun				

- (1) Represents the difference between the exercise price and the fair market value of our common stock on the date of exercise.
- (2) Shares acquired on vesting of a time-based RSU award on December 3, 2013.
- (3) Based on the closing price of our common stock on the vesting date.

45

EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION

The following table provides information, as of December 31, 2013, about shares of our common stock that may be issued upon the exercise or vesting of options, RSUs and SARs granted to employees and directors under all of our existing equity compensation plans.

	(a)	(b)	(c) Number of	
		Weighted-	securities	
	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise or vesting of outstanding	average exercise price of outstanding options	remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding	
Plan Category	options, RSUs and SARs	and SARs	securities reflected in column (a))	
Equity compensation plans approved by stockholders(1)	4,963,996(2)	\$ 4.42(3)	3,338,701(4)	
Equity compensation plans not approved by				
stockholders				
Total	4,963,996	\$ 4.42	3,338,701	

- (1) Consists of the following equity compensation plans: the 2004 Stock Option Plan and the 2006 LTIP.
- (2) Consists of 88,446 shares subject to outstanding stock options under the 2004 Stock Option Plan and 4,477,280 shares underlying outstanding time- and performance-based SARs and 398,270 shares underlying outstanding time- and performance-based RSUs granted under the 2006 LTIP.
- (3) Excludes 398,270 shares underlying outstanding time- and performance-based RSUs which do not have an exercise price.
- (4) Consists of shares available for issuance under the 2006 LTIP, which includes the remaining 258,867 shares of common stock available for issuance under the 2004 Stock Option Plan.

46

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND TRANSACTIONS WITH RELATED PERSONS

ORBCOMM Europe

We have entered into a service license agreement covering 43 jurisdictions in Europe and a gateway services agreement with ORBCOMM Europe LLC, a company in which we indirectly own a 49.95% interest. The service license agreement and the gateway services agreement with ORBCOMM Europe contain terms and conditions substantially similar to the service license agreements and the gateway services agreements we have and expect to enter into with other licensees, except for certain more favorable pricing terms. ORBCOMM Europe is owned 50% by Satcom International Group plc and 50% by OHB AG (OHB). In 2012, we increased our ownership interest in Satcom from a 52% interest to 99.9%.

OHB is a substantial stockholder and a direct investor of ours and its Chief Executive Officer, Marco Fuchs, is on our board of directors. In addition, Satcom has been appointed by ORBCOMM Europe as a country representative for the United Kingdom, Ireland and Switzerland. ORBCOMM Deutschland, an affiliate of OHB, has been appointed by ORBCOMM Europe as country representative for Germany and holds the relevant regulatory authority and authorization in Germany. OHB-France, a subsidiary of OHB, holds the regulatory authority and authorization in France. In addition, ORBCOMM Europe and Satcom have entered into an agreement obligating ORBCOMM Europe to enter into a country representative agreement for Turkey with Satcom, if the current country representative agreement for Turkey expires or is terminated for any reason.

In connection with the organization of ORBCOMM Europe and the reorganization of our business in Europe, we agreed to grant ORBCOMM Europe approximately \$3.7 million in air time credits. The amount of the grant was equal to the amount owed by ORBCOMM Global L.P. to the European Company for Mobile Communications Services N.V. (MCS), the former licensee for Europe of ORBCOMM Global L.P. ORBCOMM Europe, in turn, agreed to issue credits in the aggregate amount of the credits received from us to MCS and its country representatives who were stockholders of MCS. Satcom, as a country representative for the United Kingdom, Ireland and Switzerland, received airtime credits in the amount of \$580,200. ORBCOMM Deutschland, as country representative for Germany, received airtime credits of \$449,800. Because approximately \$2.8 million of the airtime credits were granted to stockholders of MCS who are not related to us and who continue to be country representatives in Europe, we believe that granting of the airtime credits was essential to permit ORBCOMM Europe to reorganize the ORBCOMM business in Europe. The airtime credits have no expiration date. As of December 31, 2013, approximately \$2.1 million of the airtime credits granted by us to ORBCOMM Europe remained unused.

Satcom International Group plc

Satcom is our 99.9%-owned consolidated subsidiary that (i) owns 50% of ORBCOMM Europe, (ii) has entered into country representative agreements with ORBCOMM Europe, covering the United Kingdom, Ireland and Switzerland, and (iii) has entered into a service license agreement with us, covering substantially all of the countries of the Middle East and a significant number of countries of Central Asia, and a gateway services agreement with us. In addition, ORBCOMM Europe and Satcom have entered into an agreement obligating ORBCOMM Europe to enter into a country representative agreement for Turkey with Satcom, if the current country representative agreement for Turkey expires or is terminated for any reason. We believe that the service license agreement and the gateway services agreement between us and Satcom contain terms and conditions substantially similar to those which we have and expect to enter into with other unaffiliated licensees. As of December 31, 2013, Satcom owed us unpaid fees of approximately \$154,000.

In 2005, we acquired our 52% interest in Satcom from Jerome Eisenberg, our Chairman of the Board and former Chief Executive Officer, and Don Franco, a former officer of ours, who, immediately prior to the October 2005 reorganization of Satcom, together owned directly or indirectly a majority of the outstanding voting shares of Satcom and held a substantial portion of the outstanding debt of Satcom. On October 7, 2005, pursuant to a contribution agreement entered into between us and Messrs. Eisenberg and Franco in February 2004, we acquired all of their interests in Satcom in exchange for (1) an aggregate of 620,000 shares of our Series A preferred stock and (2) a contingent cash payment in the event of our sale or initial public offering. The contribution agreement was entered into in connection with our February 2004 reorganization in order to eliminate any potential conflict

of interest between us and Messrs. Eisenberg and Franco, in their capacities as officers of ours. The contingent payment would equal \$2 million, \$3 million or \$6 million in the event the proceeds from our sale or the valuation in our IPO exceeded \$250 million, \$300 million or \$500 million, respectively, subject to proration for amounts that fell in between these thresholds. On November 8, 2006, upon completion of our IPO, we made a contingent payment of approximately \$3.6 million. Immediately prior to, and as a condition to the closing of, the Satcom acquisition, Satcom and certain of its stockholders and noteholders consummated a reorganization transaction whereby 95% of the outstanding principal of demand notes, convertible notes and certain contract debt was converted into equity, and accrued and unpaid interest on such demand and convertible notes was acknowledged to have been previously released. This reorganization included the conversion into equity of the demand notes and convertible notes of Satcom held by Messrs. Eisenberg and Franco in the principal amounts of approximately \$50,000 and \$6,250,800, respectively, and the release of any other debts of Satcom owed to them.

On March 28, 2012, we purchased the remaining 48% noncontrolling ownership interests in Satcom not previously owned by us (other than a 0.12% equity interest held by a Satcom shareholder that is no longer in existence) for \$1,119,000. The consideration consisted of: (i) \$119,000 in cash and (ii) the issuance of 263,133 shares of our common stock (valued at \$3.80 per share, which reflects our common stock opening stock price on March 28, 2012). Concurrently, Satcom paid \$253,000 to its note holders, which included \$43,000 to Jerome Eisenberg, who was a creditor of Satcom and is a related-party serving as our Chairman of the Board of Directors, in exchange for a waiver and release of all outstanding principal and accrued interest previously recorded in accrued liabilities totaling \$1,340,000, which included \$290,000 owed to Mr. Eisenberg. Satcom also paid \$128,000 to a trade creditor in exchange for a waiver and release of the outstanding trade payables totaling \$256,000.

As of December 31, 2013, ORBCOMM Europe had a note payable to Satcom in the amount of 1,466,920 (\$2,024,349.60). This note has the same payment terms as the note payable from ORBCOMM Europe to OHB described below under OHB AG and carries a zero interest rate. For accounting purposes, this note has been eliminated in the consolidation of ORBCOMM Europe and Satcom with ORBCOMM Inc. We own 99.9% of Satcom, which in turn owns 50% of ORBCOMM Europe.

We have provided Satcom with a \$1,500,000 line of credit for working capital purposes. The revolving loan bears interest at 8% per annum and is secured by all of Satcom s assets, including its membership interest in ORBCOMM Europe. As of December 31, 2013, Satcom had \$1,479,944 outstanding under this line of credit.

OHB AG

We have entered into several agreements with OHB AG and its affiliates for satellite-related products and services. Marco Fuchs, a director of ours, is Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Managing Board of OHB.

On May 21, 2002, we entered into an IVAR agreement with OHB under which OHB has been granted non-exclusive rights to resell our services for applications developed by OHB for the monitoring and tracking of mobile tanks and containers. As of December 31, 2013, OHB owed us unpaid fees of approximately \$500,000.

In connection with the acquisition of an interest in Satcom (see Satcom International Group plc above), we recorded an indebtedness to OHB arising from a note payable from ORBCOMM Europe to OHB. As of December 31, 2013, the principal balance of the note payable is 1,138,400 and it has a carrying value of \$1,570,992. This note does not bear interest and has no fixed repayment term. Repayment will be made from the distribution profits (as defined in the note agreement) of ORBCOMM Europe.

On September 28, 2010, we and OHB-System AG (an affiliate of OHB) entered into an AIS Satellite Deployment and License Agreement (the AIS Agreement) pursuant to which OHB-System AG, through its affiliate Luxspace Sarl (LXS), would (1) design, construct, launch and in-orbit test two Automatic Identification System (AIS) microsatellites and (2) design and construct the required ground support equipment. Under the AIS Agreement, we obtained exclusive licenses for all data (with certain exceptions) collected or transmitted by the AIS microsatellites (including all AIS data) during the term of the AIS Agreement and nonexclusive licenses for all AIS data collected or transmitted by the Pathfinder 3 satellite if launched by LXS. The AIS Agreement provided for milestone payments by us to OHB-System AG totaling \$2,000,000

48

(inclusive of in-orbit testing) beginning with the execution of the AIS Agreement through the microsatellite launches. In addition, to the extent that both microsatellites continue to successfully operate after launch, we will pay OHB-System AG lease payments of up to \$546,000 in the aggregate over thirty six (36) months. In addition OHB-System AG was also entitled to credits of up to \$500,000 to be used solely for microsatellite AIS data license fees payable to us under a separate AIS data resale agreement. On January 1, 2012, we and OHB-System AG amended the AIS Agreement to (i) increase the milestone payments to \$2,100,000 in the aggregate, (ii) eliminate the \$500,000 credit described above and (iii) increase the lease payments described above to up to \$946,000 in the aggregate over thirty six (36) months.

Registration Rights Agreement

On December 30, 2005, and in connection with private placements of Series B convertible preferred stock in November and December 2005 and January 2006, we entered into a Second Amended and Restated Registration Rights Agreement with the Series B preferred stock investors and holders of our Series A preferred stock and common stock who were parties to the Amended and Restated Registration Rights Agreement dated February 17, 2004.

Under the agreement, certain holders of common stock (including common stock issued upon the conversion of our prior Series A convertible preferred stock and Series B convertible preferred stock) have the right to demand, at any time or from time to time, that we file up to two registration statements registering the common stock. Only holders of (i) at least two-thirds of the registrable securities (generally our common stock and common stock issued upon conversion of our preferred stock and warrants) outstanding as of the date of our initial public offering, (ii) at least 35% of the registrable securities outstanding as of the date of the demand or (iii) a specified number of holders of common stock issued upon conversion of our Series B convertible preferred stock may request a demand registration.

In addition, certain holders will be entitled to an additional demand registration statement on Form S-3 covering the resale of all registrable securities, provided that we will not be required to effect more than one such demand registration statement on Form S-3 in any twelve month period or to effect any such demand registration statement on Form S-3 if any such demand registration statement on Form S-3 will result in an offering price to the public of less than \$20 million. Notwithstanding the foregoing, after we qualify to register our common stock on Form S-3, Sagamore Hill Hub Fund Ltd. and its affiliates (collectively, Sagamore) and KMCP Satellite Investments LLC, CALPERS Corporate Partners, LLC and their affiliates (the KMCP Entities) will have separate rights to additional demand registrations that would be eligible for registration on Form S-3; provided, that we will not be required to effect more than one such demand registration requested by Sagamore or the KMCP Entities, as the case may be, on Form S-3 in any twelve month period and that Sagamore or the KMCP Entities, as the case may be, will pay the expenses of such registration if such registration shall result in an aggregate offering price to the public of less than \$1 million. Certain investors also have preemptive rights and piggyback registration rights as specified in our Second Amended and Restated Registration Rights Agreement. KMCP Satellite Investment LLC owns 7.5% of our common stock and Timothy Kelleher, a director of ours, is a Managing Partner of KMCP Advisors II LLC, an affiliate of KMCP Satellite Investments LLC.

Employment Arrangements

Marc Eisenberg. On November 8, 2010, we entered into an employment agreement (the Eisenberg Agreement) with Marc Eisenberg, our Chief Executive Officer, effective as of December 31, 2010. Upon its effectiveness, the Eisenberg Agreement supersedes and replaces any prior employment agreements with Mr. Eisenberg (except for any existing equity award agreements and any of his obligations applicable to the period prior to December 31, 2010) and continued through December 31, 2012. Upon the expiration of the initial term or any extension thereof, the term of the Eisenberg Agreement will be automatically extended by twelve additional calendar months through the next December 31st, unless either party notifies the other party in writing at least 90 days in advance of such expiration that he or it does not want such extension to occur, in which case the term of the Eisenberg Agreement will not be further extended and Mr. Eisenberg s employment will terminate upon such expiration. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Mr. Eisenberg s employment with us may be terminated prior to the expiration of the term of the Eisenberg Agreement pursuant to the provisions described below.

The Eisenberg Agreement provides for an annual base salary, currently of \$425,000. In addition to his salary, Mr. Eisenberg is entitled to certain employee benefits, including medical and disability insurance, term

49

life insurance (with a death benefit no less than three times his annual base salary), paid holiday and vacation time and other employee benefits paid by us. Under the Eisenberg Agreement, Mr. Eisenberg is eligible to receive a bonus, payable in cash or cash equivalents, based on a percentage of his base salary dependent upon achieving certain performance targets (both financial and qualitative) established each year by the board of directors. Mr. Eisenberg is entitled to participate in any profit sharing and/or pension plan generally provided for our executives, and in any equity incentive plan established by us in which our senior executives are generally permitted to participate. In the event we elect to relocate Mr. Eisenberg s position to Dulles, Virginia, Mr. Eisenberg will receive reimbursement from us for any reasonable moving expenses incurred, as reasonably approved by us, up to 50% of his annual base salary.

If Mr. Eisenberg s employment is terminated (1) by us without cause (as defined in the Eisenberg Agreement), (2) as a result of a notice of non-extension of the Eisenberg Agreement provided by us or (3) by him due to a material change in his status, title, position or scope of authority or responsibility during the term of the Eisenberg Agreement, he will be entitled to continue to receive his base salary for a period of one year, payable beginning on the 60th day following his termination of employment (subject to any delay that may be required by Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code (Section 409A)), and continued health insurance coverage for one year following such termination. Mr. Eisenberg s post-termination payments and insurance coverage are conditioned on his executing a release in favor of us. In addition, the Eisenberg Agreement contains standard covenants relating to confidentiality and assignment of intellectual property rights, a two-year post-employment non-solicitation covenant and a one-year post-employment non-competition covenant. Upon a termination of employment following a change of control (as defined in the Eisenberg Agreement and described below under Potential Service Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control Change of Control Triggers), Mr. Eisenberg will be entitled to the same post-employment payments and health insurance coverage as if his employment were terminated by us without cause (as described above), unless the successor or transferee company continues his employment on substantially equivalent terms as under the Eisenberg Agreement; provided that if the change of control transaction occurs, then the length of the severance period during which Mr. Eisenberg receives continued base salary and coverage under our health insurance plan will be eighteen months. If we elect to relocate Mr. Eisenberg s position to Dulles, Virginia and he elects not to relocate with the position, upon his voluntary resignation for such reason, Mr. Eisenberg will be entitled to the same post-employment payments and health insurance coverage as if his employment were terminated by us without cause (as described above), except that the length of the severance period during which he receives continued base salary and coverage under our health insurance plan will be three months.

Robert G. Costantini. On November 8, 2010, we entered into an employment agreement (the Costantini Agreement) with Robert G. Costantini, our Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, effective as of December 31, 2010. Upon its effectiveness, the Costantini Agreement supersedes and replaces any prior employment agreements with Mr. Costantini (except for any existing equity award agreements and any of his obligations applicable to the period prior to December 31, 2010) and continued through December 31, 2012. Upon the expiration of the initial term or any extension thereof, the term of the Costantini Agreement will be automatically extended by twelve additional calendar months through the next December 31st, unless either party notifies the other party in writing at least 90 days in advance of such expiration that he or it does not want such extension to occur, in which case the term of the Costantini Agreement will not be further extended and Mr. Costantini s employment will terminate upon such expiration. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Mr. Costantini s employment with us may be terminated prior to the expiration of the term of the Costantini Agreement pursuant to the provisions described below.

The Costantini Agreement provides for an annual base salary of \$294,840. In addition to his salary, Mr. Costantini is entitled to certain employee benefits, including medical and disability insurance, term life insurance, paid holiday and vacation time and other employee benefits paid by us. Under the Costantini Agreement, Mr. Costantini is eligible to receive a bonus, payable in cash or cash equivalents, based on a percentage of his base salary dependent upon achieving certain performance targets (both financial and qualitative) established each year by the board of directors. Mr. Costantini is entitled to participate in any profit sharing and/or pension plan generally provided for our executives, and in any equity incentive plan established by us in which our senior executives are generally permitted to participate. In the event we elect to relocate

50

Mr. Costantini s position to Dulles, Virginia, Mr. Costantini will receive reimbursement from us for any reasonable moving expenses incurred, as reasonably approved by us, up to 50% of his annual base salary.

If Mr. Costantini s employment is terminated (1) by us without cause (as defined in the Costantini Agreement), (2) as a result of a notice of non-extension of the Costantini Agreement provided by us or (3) by him due to a material change in his status, title, position or scope of authority or responsibility during the term of the Costantini Agreement, he will be entitled to continue to receive his base salary for a period of one year, payable beginning on the 60th day following his termination of employment (subject to any delay that may be required by Section 409A), and continued health insurance coverage for one year following such termination. Mr. Costantini s post-termination payments and insurance coverage are conditioned on his executing a release in favor of us. In addition, the Costantini Agreement contains standard covenants relating to confidentiality and assignment of intellectual property rights, a two-year post-employment non-solicitation covenant and a one-year post-employment non-competition covenant. Upon a termination of employment following a change of control (as defined in the Costantini Agreement and described below under Potential Service Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control Change of Control Triggers), Mr. Costantini will be entitled to the same post-employment payments and health insurance coverage as if his employment were terminated by us without cause (as described above), unless the successor or transferee company continues his employment on substantially equivalent terms as under the Costantini Agreement; provided that if the change of control transaction occurs, then the length of the severance period during which Mr. Costantini receives continued base salary and coverage under our health insurance plan will be eighteen months. If we elect to relocate Mr. Costantini s position to Dulles, Virginia and he elects not to relocate with the position, upon his voluntary resignation for such reason, Mr. Costantini will be entitled to the same post-employment payments and health insurance coverage as if his employment were terminated by us without cause (as described above), except that the length of the severance period during which he receives continued base salary and coverage under our health insurance plan will be three months.

John J. Stolte, Jr. On November 8, 2010, we entered into an employment agreement (the Stolte Agreement) with John Stolte, our Executive Vice President Technology and Operations, effective as of December 31, 2010. Upon its effectiveness, the Stolte Agreement supersedes and replaces any previous employment agreements with Mr. Stolte (except for any existing equity award agreements and any of his obligations applicable to the period prior to December 31, 2010) and continued through December 31, 2012. Upon the expiration of the initial term or any extension thereof, the term of the Stolte Agreement will be automatically extended by twelve additional calendar months through the next December 31st, unless either party notifies the other party in writing at least 90 days in advance of such expiration that he or it does not want such extension to occur, in which case the term of the Stolte Agreement will not be further extended and Mr. Stolte s employment will terminate upon such expiration. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Mr. Stolte s employment with us may be terminated prior to the expiration of the term of the Stolte Agreement pursuant to the provisions described below.

The Stolte Agreement provides for an annual base salary of \$245,700. In addition to his salary, Mr. Stolte is entitled to certain employee benefits, including medical and disability insurance, term life insurance, paid holiday and vacation time and other employee benefits paid by the Company. Under the Stolte Agreement, Mr. Stolte is eligible to receive a bonus based on a percentage of his base salary dependent upon achieving certain performance targets (both financial and qualitative) established each year by the board of directors. Mr. Stolte is entitled to participate in any profit sharing and/or pension plan generally provided for our executives, and in any equity incentive plan established by us in which our senior executives are generally permitted to participate.

If Mr. Stolte s employment is terminated (1) by reason of his death or disability, (2) by us without cause (as defined in the Stolte Agreement) or (3) as a result of a notice of non-extension of the Stolte Agreement provided by us he or his estate will be entitled to continue to receive his base salary for a period of one year, payable beginning on the 60th day following his termination of employment (subject to any delay that may be required by Section 409A). Mr. Stolte s post-termination payments are conditioned on his executing a release in favor of us. In addition, the Stolte Agreement contains standard covenants relating to confidentiality and assignment of intellectual property rights, a two-year post-employment non-solicitation covenant and a one-year post-employment non-competition covenant. Upon a termination of his employment following a change of

51

Table of Contents

control (as defined in the Stolte Agreement and described below under Potential Service Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control Change of Control Triggers), Mr. Stolte will be entitled to the same post-employment payments as if his employment were terminated by us without cause (as described above), unless the successor or transferee company continues his employment on substantially equivalent terms as under the Stolte Agreement; provided that if the change of control transaction occurs, then the length of the severance period during which Mr. Stolte receives continued base salary will be eighteen months.

Christian G. Le Brun. On November 8, 2010, we entered into an employment agreement (the Le Brun Agreement) with Christian Le Brun, our Executive Vice President and General Counsel, effective as of December 31, 2010. Upon its effectiveness, the Le Brun Agreement supersedes and replaces any previous employment agreements with Mr. Le Brun (except for any existing equity award agreements and any of his obligations applicable to the period prior to December 31, 2010) and continued through December 31, 2012. Upon the expiration of the initial term or any extension thereof, the term of the Le Brun Agreement will be automatically extended by twelve additional calendar months through the next December 31st, unless either party notifies the other party in writing at least 90 days in advance of such expiration that he or it does not want such extension to occur, in which case the term of the Le Brun Agreement will not be further extended and Mr. Le Brun s employment will terminate upon such expiration. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Mr. Le Brun s employment with us may be terminated prior to the expiration of the term of the Le Brun Agreement pursuant to the provisions described below.

The Le Brun Agreement provides for an annual base salary of \$209,352. In addition to his salary, Mr. Le Brun is entitled to certain employee benefits, including medical and disability insurance, term life insurance, paid holiday and vacation time and other employee benefits paid by us. Under the Le Brun Agreement, Mr. Le Brun is eligible to receive a bonus based on a percentage of his base salary dependent upon achieving certain performance targets (both financial and qualitative) established each year by the board of directors. Mr. Le Brun is entitled to participate in any profit sharing and/or pension plan generally provided for our executives, and in any equity incentive plan established by us in which our executives are generally permitted to participate.

If Mr. Le Brun s employment is terminated (1) by us without cause (as defined in the Le Brun Agreement) or (2) as a result of a notice of non-extension of the Le Brun Agreement provided by us, he will be entitled to continue to receive his base salary for a period of one year, payable beginning on the 60th day following his termination of employment (subject to any delay that may be required by Section 409A). Mr. Le Brun s post-termination payments are conditioned on his executing a release in favor of us. In addition, the Le Brun Agreement contains standard covenants relating to confidentiality and assignment of intellectual property rights, a two-year post-employment non-solicitation covenant and a one-year post-employment non-competition covenant. Upon a termination of employment following a change of control (as defined in the Le Brun Agreement and described below under Potential Service Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control Change of Control Triggers), Mr. Le Brun will be entitled to the same post-employment payments as if his employment were terminated by us without cause (as described above), unless the successor or transferee company continues his employment on substantially equivalent terms as under the Le Brun Agreement; provided that if the change of control transaction occurs, then the length of the severance period during which Mr. Le Brun receives continued base salary will be eighteen months.

Patrick A. Shay. Mr. Shay is employed on an at-will basis pursuant to his offer letter (the Shay Offer Letter) dated December 3, 2012 as our Executive Vice President Sales and Marketing.

The Shay Offer Letter provides for an annual base salary of \$245,000. In addition to his salary, Mr. Shay is entitled to certain employee benefits, including medical and disability insurance, term life insurance, paid holiday and vacation time and other employee benefits paid by us. Under the Shay Offer Letter, Mr. Shay is eligible to receive a bonus based on a percentage of his base salary dependent upon achieving certain performance targets (both financial and qualitative) established each year by the board of directors.

Upon a termination of his employment following a change of control as described below under Potential Service Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control Change of Control Triggers), Mr. Shay will be entitled to his base salary and benefits for six months.

52

Table of Contents

Craig E. Malone. Mr. Malone is employed on an at-will basis pursuant to his offer letter (the Malone Offer Letter) dated September 23, 2011, currently serving as our Executive Vice President Product Development.

The Malone Offer Letter provides for an annual base salary originally of \$210,000 which has been subsequently increased to \$225,000 in connection with Mr. Malone s promotion to an Executive Vice President in July 2013. In addition to his salary, Mr. Malone is entitled to certain employee benefits, including medical and disability insurance, term life insurance, paid holiday and vacation time and other employee benefits paid by us. Mr. Malone is eligible to receive a bonus based on a percentage of his base salary dependent upon achieving certain performance targets (both financial and qualitative) established each year by the board of directors.

Indemnity Agreements

We have entered into indemnification agreements with each of our directors. In addition, we have entered into indemnification agreements with certain of our executive officers in their capacity as our executive officers and as directors of certain of our subsidiaries. Each indemnification agreement provides that we will, subject to certain exceptions, indemnify the indemnified person in respect of any and all expenses incurred as a result of any threatened, pending or completed action, suit or proceedings involving the indemnified person and relating to the indemnified person s service as an executive officer or director of ours. We will also indemnify the indemnified person to the fullest extent as may be provided under the provisions of our By-Laws and Delaware law. The indemnification period lasts for as long as the indemnified person is an executive officer or director of ours and continues if the indemnified person is subject to any possible claim or threatened, pending or completed action, suit or proceeding, whether civil, criminal, arbitration, administrative or investigative, by reason of fact that the indemnified person was serving in such capacity. Upon request, we must advance all expenses incurred by the indemnified person in connection with any proceeding, provided the indemnified person undertakes to repay the advanced amounts if it is determined ultimately that the indemnified person is not entitled to be indemnified under any provision of the indemnification agreement, our By-Laws, Delaware law or otherwise.

Policies and Procedures for Related Person Transactions

Pursuant to the Audit Committee s charter and applicable NASDAQ rules, the Audit Committee is responsible for reviewing and approving all related party transactions (as defined by the NASDAQ rules).

53

POTENTIAL SERVICE PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION OR CHANGE OF CONTROL

The following tables below reflect the amount of compensation payable to each Named Executive Officer in the event of termination of such executive s employment or upon a change of control based on the applicable provisions of the Named Executive Officer s employment arrangements, RSU award agreements, SAR award agreements and MPU award agreements. The amount of compensation payable to each Named Executive Officer upon voluntary termination, termination without cause, non-extension of employment agreement, termination related to relocation, change of control, disability or death is shown below for Messrs. Eisenberg, Costantini, Stolte, Shay and Le Brun. All severance payments to the Named Executive Officers are conditioned on the execution of a release discharging the Company of any claims or liabilities in relation to the Named Executive Officer s employment with the Company. The tables assume an effective date of a change of control and termination of employment on December 31, 2013 and the amount of compensation payable to each Named Executive Officer is based upon the employment arrangement for such Named Executive Officer as in effect as of that date. See Certain Relationships and Transactions with Related Persons Employment Arrangements for descriptions of the employment arrangements currently in effect for our Named Executive Officers.

Change of Control Triggers

For the purposes of the severance payments under the Named Executive Officer employment arrangements, change of control means:

the Company s merger or consolidation with another corporation or entity;

the Company s transfer of all or substantially all of its assets to another person, corporation, or other entity; or

a sale of the Company s stock in a single transaction or series of related transactions that results in the holders of the outstanding voting power of the Company immediately prior to such transaction or series of transactions owning less than a majority of the outstanding voting securities for the election of directors of the surviving company or entity immediately following such transaction or series of transactions (other than any registered, underwritten public offering by the Company of the Company s stock or pursuant to any stock-based compensation plan of the Company).

For purposes of the stock option awards under the 2004 Stock Option Plan, a change of control means the purchase or other acquisition by any person, entity or group of persons, within the meaning of Section 13(d) or 14(d) of the Exchange Act, or any comparable successor provisions, of:

ownership of more than 50% or more of the combined voting power of the Company s then outstanding voting securities entitled to vote generally; or

all or substantially all of the direct and indirect assets of the Company and its subsidiaries, other than by a person, firm, entity or group, which together with its affiliates, prior to such purchase or other acquisition, owned at least 50% of the outstanding common equity of the Company.

For purposes of the RSU awards and SAR awards, awards will vest upon the effective date of a change in control having a value in excess of \$6.045 per share where change in control means an event that would be considered a change in control under Section 409A of the Code and the regulations issued thereunder, which includes:

the acquisition by a person or group of beneficial ownership of more than 50% of the total fair market value or total voting power of the outstanding stock of the Company;

the acquisition by a person or group, within a 12-month period, of beneficial ownership of 30% or more of the total voting power of the outstanding stock of the Company; a majority of our board of directors is replaced during any 12-month period by directors whose appointment or election is not endorsed by a majority of the members of the board prior to the date of the appointment or election; or the acquisition by a person or group of a substantial portion of the Company s assets (40% or more of the total gross fair market value) within a 12-month period, unless the recipient of the assets is (i) a subsidiary of the

Table of Contents

Company, (ii) shareholder(s) owning 50% or more of the total value or voting power of the outstanding stock of the Company, (iii) an entity at least 50% owned by shareholder(s) described in clause (ii), or (iv) shareholder(s) receiving the assets in exchange for or with respect to the Company s stock.

For purposes of the MPU awards, awards will vest upon the effective date of a change of control where change in control has the meaning under Section 409A described above.

Post-Termination Covenants

The RSU awards and SAR awards are subject to a non-competition provision restricting the Named Executive Officer s employment with a competitor for six months following termination. The RSU awards and SAR awards are also subject to a non-solicitation provision restricting the Named Executive Officer from soliciting certain business or recruiting certain of the Company s employees for one year following termination. If the Company determines that the Named Executive Officer violated these provisions of the RSU award or SAR award, the Named Executive Officer will forfeit all rights to any RSUs or SARs under the awards and will have to return to the Company the value of any RSUs or SARs awarded to the Named Executive Officer by the Company. The Named Executive Officers are also subject to post-termination non-competition, non-solicitation and confidentiality provisions in their employment agreements. See Certain Relationships and Transactions with Related Persons Employment Agreements .

55

Marc Eisenberg

Executive Payments Upon Termination	Non	rmination Without Cause/ n-Extension Notice(1)	Voluntary Termination With Good Reason(1)	Relocation	For Cause Termination(1)	Change in Control(1)
•		` /		` '		
Severance payments(2)	\$	431,108	\$ 431,108	\$ 107,777	\$	\$ 646,662
Time-based SARs (unvested and						
accelerated)(3)						250,000
Performance-based RSUs (unvested and						
accelerated)(4)						380,400
MPUs (unvested and accelerated)(5)						308,529

- (1) Assumes an effective date of a change of control or termination on December 31, 2013 and that the change of control transaction occurred at \$6.34 per share, the closing price of the shares at the effective date.
- (2) Severance Payments: Under the terms of his employment agreement, in the event Mr. Eisenberg s employment is involuntarily terminated without cause by the Company or his employment is terminated as a result of a notice of non-extension of his employment agreement provided to him by the Company or he voluntarily terminates his employment due to a material change in his status, title, position or scope of authority or responsibilities, he would be entitled to one year of his base salary in effect at the time of such termination payable in regular installments consistent with our payroll practices. He is also entitled to continued health insurance coverage for one year immediately following such termination at then existing employee contribution rates representing a benefit valued at \$6,266 at December 31, 2013. If the Company elects to relocate Mr. Eisenberg s position to Dulles, Virginia and he elects not to relocate with the position, upon his voluntary resignation for such reason, Mr. Eisenberg will be entitled to continued base salary and health insurance coverage as if his employment were terminated by the Company without cause except that the length of the severance period during which he receives the continued base salary and continued health insurance coverage will be three months instead of one year. In the event Mr. Eisenberg s employment is terminated following a change of control or his employment is not continued on substantially equivalent terms following a change of control, he will be entitled to continued base salary and health insurance coverage for eighteen months.
- (3) *Time-Based SARs:* Under the applicable award agreement, in the event of a change of control having a value in excess of \$6.045 per share, Mr. Eisenberg would be entitled to immediate vesting of all unvested time-based SAR awards. As of December 31, 2013, he had 60,000 unvested time-based SARs with a value of \$25,200 based on the difference between the closing price of the Company s common stock of \$6.34 per share as of December 31, 2013 and the SAR base price of \$5.92 per share, and 80,000 unvested time-based SARs with a value of \$224,800 based on the difference between the closing price of the Company s common stock of \$6.34 per share as of December 31, 2013 and the SAR base price of \$3.53 per share.
- (4) *Performance-Based RSUs:* Under the applicable award agreement, in the event of a change of control having a value in excess of \$6.045 per share, Mr. Eisenberg would be entitled to immediate vesting of all unvested performance-based RSU awards. As of December 31, 2013, he had 80,000 unvested performance-based RSU awards with a value of \$380,400 based on the closing price of the Company s common stock of \$6.34 per share as of December 31, 2013.
- (5) *Market Performance Units*: Under the applicable award agreement, in the event of a change of control Mr. Eisenberg would be entitled to immediate vesting of all outstanding MPU awards where the per share value of the change of control transaction represents the stock price used to determine the pay-out amounts. Mr. Eisenberg s outstanding MPU awards had an aggregate pay-out value of \$308,529, or 48,664 shares of the Company s common stock based on the closing price of \$6.34 per share as of December 31, 2013.

Robert Costantini

Executive Payments	Noi	ermination Without Cause/ n-Extension	Te W	oluntary rmination ith Good		elocation	For Cause	Change in
Upon Termination	ľ	Notice(1)	R	leason(1)	Terr	nination(1)	Termination(1)	Control(1)
Severance payments(2)	\$	300,948	\$	300,948	\$	75,237	\$	\$ 451,422
Time-based SARs (unvested and								
accelerated)(3)								151,840
Performance-based RSUs (unvested and								
accelerated)(4)								215,560
MPUs (unvested and accelerated)(5)								214,039

- (1) Assumes an effective date of a change of control or termination on December 31, 2013 and that the change of control transaction occurred at \$6.34 per share, the closing price of the shares at the effective date.
- (2) Severance Payments: Under the terms of his employment agreement, in the event Mr. Costantini s employment is involuntarily terminated without cause by the Company or his employment is terminated as a result of a notice of non-extension of his employment agreement provided to him by the Company or he voluntarily terminates his employment due to a material change in his status, title, position or scope of authority or responsibilities, he would be entitled to one year of his base salary in effect at the time of such termination payable in regular installments consistent with our payroll practices. He is also entitled to continued health insurance coverage for one year immediately following such termination at then existing employee contribution rates representing a benefit valued at \$6,266 at December 31, 2013. If the Company elects to relocate Mr. Costantini s position to Dulles, Virginia and he elects not to relocate with the position, upon his voluntary resignation for such reason, Mr. Costantini will be entitled to continued base salary and health insurance coverage as if his employment were terminated by the Company without cause except that the length of the severance period during which he receives the continued base salary and continued health insurance coverage will be three months instead of one year. In the event Mr. Costantini s employment is terminated following a change of control or his employment is not continued on substantially equivalent terms following a change of control, he will be entitled to continued base salary and health insurance coverage for eighteen months.
- (3) *Time-Based SARs*: Under the applicable award agreement, in the event of a change of control having a value in excess of \$6.045 per share, Mr. Costantini would be entitled to immediate vesting of all unvested time-based SAR awards. As of December 31, 2013, he had 27,000 unvested time-based SARs with a value of \$11,340 based on the difference between the closing price of the Company s common stock of \$6.34 per share as of December 31, 2013 and the SAR base price of \$5.92 per share, and 50,000 unvested time-based SARs with a value of \$140,500 based on the difference between the closing price of the Company s common stock of \$6.34 per share as of December 31, 2013 and the SAR base price of \$3.53 per share.
- (4) *Performance-Based RSUs:* Under the applicable award agreement, in the event of a change of control having a value in excess of \$6.045 per share, Mr. Costantini would be entitled to immediate vesting of all unvested performance-based RSU awards. As of December 31, 2013, he had 34,000 unvested performance-based RSU awards with a value of \$215,560 based on the closing price of the Company s common stock of \$6.34 per share as of December 31, 2013.
- (5) Market Performance Units: Under the applicable award agreement, in the event of a change of control Mr. Costantini would be entitled to immediate vesting of all outstanding MPU awards where the per share value of the change of control transaction represents the stock price used to determine the pay-out amounts. Mr. Costantini s outstanding MPU awards had an aggregate pay-out value of \$214,039, equivalent to 72.6% of his base salary for 2013 and 2014, or 33,760 shares of the Company s common stock based on the closing price of \$6.34 per share

as of December 31, 2013.

57

John J. Stolte, Jr.

Executive Payments	Termination Without Cause/ Non-Extension	Voluntary Termination/ For Cause	Change in		
Upon Termination	Notice(1)	Termination(1)	Control(1)	Death(1)	Disability(1)
Severance payments(2)	\$ 245,700	\$	\$ 368,550	\$ 245,700	\$ 245,700
Time-based SARs (unvested and					
accelerated)(3)			122,060		
Performance-based RSUs (unvested and					
accelerated)(4)			171,180		
MPUs (unvested and accelerated)(5)			178,366		

- (1) Assumes an effective date of a change of control or termination on December 31, 2013 and that the change of control transaction occurred at \$6.34 per share, the closing price of the shares at the effective date.
- (2) Severance Payments: Under the terms of his employment agreement, in the event Mr. Stolte s employment is (a) involuntarily terminated without cause by the Company, (b) terminated due to death or disability or (c) not continued on substantially equivalent terms following a change of control, he would be entitled to one year of his base salary in effect at the time of such termination payable in regular installments consistent with our payroll practices. In the event Mr. Stolte s employment is terminated following a change of control or his employment is not continued on substantially equivalent terms following a change of control, he will be entitled to continued base salary for eighteen months.
- (3) *Time-Based SARs:* Under the applicable award agreement, in the event of a change of control having a value in excess of \$6.045 per share, Mr. Stolte would be entitled to immediate vesting of all unvested time-based SAR awards. As of December 31, 2013, he had 23,000 unvested time-based SARs with a value of \$9,660 based on the difference between the closing price of the Company s common stock of \$6.34 per share as of December 31, 2013 and the SAR base price of \$5.92 per share, and 40,000 unvested time-based SARs with a value of \$112,400 based on the difference between the closing price of the Company s common stock of \$6.34 per share as of December 31, 2013 and the SAR base price of \$3.53 per share.
- (4) *Performance-Based RSUs:* Under the applicable award agreement, in the event of a change of control having a value in excess of \$6.045 per share, Mr. Stolte would be entitled to immediate vesting of all unvested performance-based RSU awards. As of December 31, 2013, he had 27,000 unvested performance-based RSU awards with a value of \$171,180 based on the closing price of the Company s common stock of \$6.34 per share as of December 31, 2013.
- (5) Market Performance Units: Under the applicable award agreement, in the event of a change of control Mr. Stolte would be entitled to immediate vesting of all outstanding MPU awards where the per share value of the change of control transaction represents the stock price used to determine the pay-out amounts. Mr. Stolte s outstanding MPU awards had an aggregate pay-out value of of \$178,366, equivalent to 72.6% of his base salary for 2013 and 2014, or 28,133 shares of the Company s common stock based on the closing price of \$6.34 per share as of December 31, 2013.

Table of Contents 83

58

Patrick A. Shay

Executive Payments

	Change in
Upon Termination	Control(1)
Severance payments(2)	\$ 124,564
Time-based SARs (unvested and accelerated)(3)	7,560
Time-based RSUs (unvested and accelerated)(4)	139,480
Performance-based RSUs (unvested and accelerated)(5)	126,800
MPUs (unvested and accelerated)(6)	177,858

- (1) Assumes an effective date of a change of control or termination on December 31, 2013 and that the change of control transaction occurred at \$6.34 per share, the closing price of the shares at the effective date.
- (2) Severance Payments: Under the terms of his offer letter he is not entitled to a severance payment if he is involuntarily terminated without cause by the Company. In the event Mr. Shay s employment is terminated following a change of control, he will be entitled to six months of his base salary in effect at the time of such termination payable in regular installments consistent with our payroll practices. He is also entitled to continued health insurance coverage for six months immediately following such termination at then existing employee contribution rates representing a benefit valued at \$2,110 at December 31, 2013.
- (3) *Time-Based SARs:* Under the applicable award agreement and his offer letter, in the event of a change of control having a value in excess of \$6.045 per share, Mr. Shay would be entitled to immediate vesting of all unvested time-based SAR awards. As of December 31, 2013, he had 18,000 unvested time-based SARs with a value of \$7,560 based on the difference between the closing price of the Company s common stock of \$6.34 per share as of December 31, 2013 and the SAR base price of \$5.92 per share.
- (4) *Time-Based RSUs:* Under the applicable award agreement and his offer letter, in the event of a change of control having a value in excess of \$6.045 per share, Mr. Shay would be entitled to immediate vesting of all unvested time-based RSU awards. As of December 31, 2013, he had 20,000 unvested time-based RSU awards with a value of \$126,800 based on the closing price of the Company s common stock of \$6.34 per share as of December 31, 2013.
- (5) *Performance-Based RSUs:* Under the applicable award agreement, in the event of a change of control having a value in excess of \$6.045 per share, Mr. Shay would be entitled to immediate vesting of all unvested performance-based RSU awards. As of December 31, 2013, he had 22,000 unvested performance-based RSU awards with a value of \$139,480 based on the closing price of the Company s common stock of \$6.34 per share as of December 31, 2013.
- (6) Market Performance Units: Under the applicable award agreement, in the event of a change of control Mr. Shay would be entitled to immediate vesting of all outstanding MPU awards where the per share value of the change of control transaction represents the stock price used to determine the pay-out amounts. Mr. Shay s outstanding MPU awards had an aggregate pay-out value of \$177,858, equivalent to 72.6% of his base salary for 2013 and 2014, or 28,053 shares of the Company s common stock based on the closing price of \$6.34 per share as of December 31, 2013.

59

Christian G. Le Brun

Executive Payments	Terminati Without Cause/ Non-Extens	Voluntary Termination/	Change in
Upon Termination	Notice(1	Termination(1)	Control(1)
Severance payments(2)	\$ 209,3	352 \$	\$ 314,028
Time-based SARs (unvested and accelerated)(3)			122,060
Performance-based RSUs (unvested and accelerated)(4)			171,180
MPUs (unvested and accelerated)(5)			151,979

- (1) Assumes an effective date of a change of control or termination on December 31, 2013 and that the change of control transaction occurred at \$6.34 per share, the closing price of the shares at the effective date.
- (2) Severance Payments: Under the terms of his employment agreement, in the event Mr. Le Brun s employment is involuntarily terminated without cause by the Company or his employment is terminated as a result of a notice of non-extension of his employment agreement provided to him by the Company, he would be entitled to one year of his base salary in effect at the time of such termination payable in regular installments consistent with our payroll practices. In the event Mr. Le Brun s employment is terminated following a change of control or his employment is not continued on substantially equivalent terms following a change of control, he will be entitled to continued base salary coverage for eighteen months.
- (3) *Time-Based SARs:* Under the applicable award agreement, in the event of a change of control having a value in excess of \$6.045 per share, Mr. Le Brun would be entitled to immediate vesting of all unvested time-based SAR awards. As of December 31, 2013, he had 23,000 unvested time-based SARs with a value of \$9,660 based on the difference between the closing price of the Company s common stock of \$6.34 per share as of December 31, 2013 and the SAR base price of \$5.92 per share, and 40,000 unvested time-based SARs with a value of \$112,400 based on the difference between the closing price of the Company s common stock of \$6.34 per share as of December 31, 2013 and the SAR base price of \$3.53 per share.
- (4) *Time-Based RSUs:* Under the applicable award agreement, in the event of a change of control having a value in excess of \$6.045 per share, Mr. Le Brun would be entitled to immediate vesting of all unvested performance-based RSU awards. As of December 31, 2013, he had 27,000 unvested performance-based RSU awards with a value of \$171,180 based on the closing price of the Company s common stock of \$6.34 per share as of December 31, 2013.
- (5) Market Performance Units: Under the applicable award agreement, in the event of a change of control Mr. Le Brun would be entitled to immediate vesting of all outstanding MPU awards where the per share value of the change of control transaction represents the stock price used to determine the pay-out amounts. Mr. Le Brun s outstanding MPU awards had an aggregate pay-out value of \$151,979, equivalent to 72.6% of his base salary for 2013 and 2014, or 23,971 shares of the Company s common stock based on the closing price of \$6.34 per share as of December 31, 2013.

60

PROPOSAL TO RATIFY THE APPOINTMENT OF

INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM (PROPOSAL 2)

The Audit Committee has appointed the firm of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2014, subject to the ratification of shareholders. KPMG has acted as our independent registered public accounting firm since 2009.

Before the Audit Committee appointed KPMG, it carefully considered the independence and qualifications of that firm, including their performance in the prior year and their reputation for integrity and for competence in the fields of accounting and auditing. We expect that representatives of KPMG will be present at the Annual Meeting to respond to appropriate questions and to make a statement if they desire to do so.

Principal Accountant Fees

The following table sets forth the aggregate fees for professional services provided by KPMG for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, all of which were approved by the Audit Committee:

	Year	Year Ended		
	Decem	ber 31,		
	2013	2012		
Audit Fees	\$ 1,642,500	\$ 1,324,750		
Audit-Related Fees	257,000			
Non-Audit Fees	215,000			
Tax Fees		8,000		
All Other Fees	2,250	1,650		

Audit Fees. Consisted of audit fees billed by KPMG related to the audits of our annual consolidated financial statements and internal control over financial reporting and the reviews of our quarterly financial statements for fiscal year 2013 and 2012.

Audit-Related Fees. During fiscal year 2013, KPMG provided audit-related services related to the review of a draft registration statement in connection with a potential acquisition target and review of our Shelf Registration Statement for a secondary offering.

Non-Audit Fees. During fiscal 2013, KPMG provided non-audit services related to our due diligence on a potential acquisition target.

Tax Fees. Consisted of fees related to tax consulting services for a foreign tax matter.

All Other Fees. Represents fees for subscription services to professional literature databases.

Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures

The Audit Committee is responsible for the appointment and compensation of, and oversight of the work performed by, our independent registered public accounting firm. The Audit Committee pre-approves all audit (including audit-related) services and permitted non-audit services provided by our independent registered public accounting firm in accordance with the pre-approval policies and procedures established by the Audit Committee.

The Audit Committee annually approves the scope and fee estimates for the annual audit to be performed by our independent registered public accounting firm for the next fiscal year. With respect to other permitted services, management defines and presents specific projects for which the advance approval of the Audit Committee is requested. The Audit Committee pre-approves specific engagements and projects on a fiscal year basis, subject to individual project thresholds and annual thresholds. The Chief Financial Officer reports to the Audit Committee regarding the aggregate fees charged by our independent registered public accounting firm compared to the pre-approved amounts.

The board of directors recommends that you vote FOR the proposal to ratify the appointment of KPMG as our independent registered public accounting firm, which is presented as Proposal 2.

ADVISORY VOTE TO APPROVE EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION (PROPOSAL 3)

We are providing our shareholders with the opportunity to cast an advisory vote to approve executive compensation as described below.

Our executive compensation philosophy is to create a system that rewards executives for performance and focuses our management team on the critical short-term and long-term objectives. The primary objectives of our executive compensation programs are to attract, motivate and retain talented and dedicated executives, to link annual and long-term cash and stock incentives to achievement of specified performance objectives, and to align executives incentives with shareholder value creation. To achieve these objectives, the Compensation Committee has implemented compensation programs that make a substantial portion of the executives overall compensation contingent upon achieving key short-term business and long-term strategic goals established by our board of directors or the Compensation Committee based on key drivers in areas such as subscriber growth, technology improvements and system expansion. We use base salaries and time-based equity awards to provide current income and retention incentives and a combination of cash and stock-based compensation that reward performance measured against various corporate and individual performance goals based on key business drivers. Our performance targets are based on our annual business plan and we believe that they are established at levels that are achievable if we execute our business plan. By providing for significant incentives for exceeding certain targets, we motivate our Named Executive Officers to achieve strategic business objectives that result in the creation of value to us and our shareholders over the long-term. For example, a large percentage of the Named Executive Officers annual cash bonus opportunity and performance-based equity awards are based on metrics for profitability, growth, operations and systems expansion which we believe are important measures of the performance of our business. We believe the design of our compensation programs, which we have used over the past several years and continue to use for 2014, provides the appropriate balance for motivating and retaining our Named Executive Officers while providing appropriate rewards for demonstrated performance. The Compensation Committee s goal is to set executive compensation at levels the committee believes are competitive against compensation offered by other rapidly growing companies of similar size and stage of development against whom we compete for executive talent in the communications industry, while taking into account our performance and our own strategic goals. The Compensation Discussion and Analysis contained in this proxy statement describes our executive compensation program and the decisions made by the Compensation Committee in fiscal 2013 in more detail.

Accordingly, we ask our shareholders to vote on the following resolution at the Annual Meeting:

RESOLVED, that the Company s shareholders approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the named executive officers, as disclosed in the Company s Proxy Statement for the 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the 2013 Summary Compensation Table and the other related tables and disclosure.

As an advisory vote, this proposal is not binding upon us. However, the Compensation Committee, which is responsible for designing and administering our executive compensation program, values the opinions expressed by shareholders in their vote on this proposal and will consider the outcome of the vote when making future compensation decisions for named executive officers

The board of directors recommends that you vote FOR the proposal regarding an advisory vote to approve executive compensation presented, which is presented as Proposal 3.

62

OTHER MATTERS

The board of directors is not aware of any other matters to be presented for action by the shareholders at the Annual Meeting. In the event of a vote on any matters other than those referred to in the accompanying Notice of 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders properly come before the meeting, proxies in the accompanying form will be voted in accordance with the best judgment of the persons voting such proxies.

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires our executive officers and directors, and persons who own more than ten percent of a registered class of our equity securities, to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership on Forms 3, 4 and 5 with the SEC and NASDAQ.

Based on our review of the copies of such forms that we have received and written representations from certain reporting persons confirming that they were not required to file Forms 5 for specified fiscal years, we believe that all our executive officers, directors and greater than ten percent beneficial owners complied with applicable SEC filing requirements under Section 16(a) during fiscal year 2013, except for the Forms 5 and Forms 4 with respect to annual grants of MPUs in 2012 and in 2013 to each of Messrs. M. Eisenberg, Costantini, Stolte, Le Brun, Shay and Malone (2013 only).

ANNUAL REPORT

Our 2013 Annual Report to Shareholders, including the Annual Report on Form 10-K and financial statements, for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, was sent or made available to shareholders with this proxy statement. A copy of our 2013 Annual Report to Shareholders is also available on the internet at www.edocumentview.com/orbc

SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR ANNUAL MEETING IN 2015

To be eligible for inclusion in our proxy statement and the proxy card pursuant to Rule 14a-8, shareholder proposals for the 2015 Annual Meeting of Shareholders must be received on or before November 28, 2014 by the Office of the Secretary at our headquarters, 395 West Passaic Street, Suite 325, Rochelle Park, New Jersey 07662. In order for shareholder proposals made outside of Rule 14a-8 under the Exchange Act to be considered timely within the meaning of Rule 14a-4(c) under the Exchange Act, such proposals must be received by the Office of the Secretary at the above address by January 23, 2015. If the proposal is not timely within the meaning of Rule 14a-4(c), the proxies solicited by us for the 2015 Annual Meeting of Shareholders may confer discretionary authority to us on such proposal. In addition, our By-Laws require a shareholder desiring to propose any matter for consideration of the shareholders at the 2015 Annual Meeting of Shareholders or to nominate an individual to our board of directors to notify the Office of the Secretary in writing at the address above on or after December 25, 2014 and on or before January 23, 2015. If the number of directors to be elected to the board at the 2015 Annual Meeting of Shareholders is increased and we do not make a public announcement naming all of the nominees for director or specifying the increased size of the board on or before January 13, 2015, a shareholder proposal with respect to nominees for any new position created by such increase will be considered timely if received at the Office of the Secretary not later than the tenth day following our public announcement of the increase.

EXPENSES OF SOLICITATION

We will bear the cost of the solicitation of proxies. In addition to mail and e-mail, proxies may be solicited personally, or by telephone or facsimile, by a few of our regular employees without additional compensation. We will reimburse brokers and other persons holding stock in their names, or in the names of nominees, for their expenses for forwarding proxy materials to principals and beneficial owners and obtaining their proxies.

63

ADMISSION TO THE 2014 ANNUAL MEETING

An admission ticket (or other proof of stock ownership) and proper identification will be required for admission to the Annual Meeting of Shareholders on April 23, 2014. Admission tickets are printed on the outside back cover of this proxy statement. To enter the meeting, you will need an admission ticket or other proof that you are a shareholder. If you hold your shares through a broker or nominee, you will need to bring either a copy of the voting instruction card provided by your broker or nominee, or a copy of a brokerage statement showing your ownership as of the March 17, 2014 record date.

Notice: If you plan on attending the 2014 Annual Meeting, please cut out and use the admission ticket(s) below.

No admission will be granted without an admission ticket.

Annual Meeting of Shareholders April 23, 2014, 8:00 a.m. (local time)

Hyatt Regency Reston

1800 Presidents Street

Reston, Virginia 20190

1-703-709-1234

PLEASE VOTE YOUR SHARES VIA THE TELEPHONE OR INTERNET, OR SIGN, DATE AND RETURN THE PROXY CARD PROMPTLY USING THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE.

ADMISSION TICKET

ADMISSION TICKET

ORBCOMM Inc.

ORBCOMM Inc.

2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders

2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders

Hyatt Regency Reston 1800 Presidents Street Reston, Virginia 20190 1-703-709-1234 Hyatt Regency Reston 1800 Presidents Street Reston, Virginia 20190 1-703-709-1234

April 23, 2014 8:00 a.m. (local time) Admit ONE April 23, 2014 8:00 a.m. (local time) Admit ONE

64

IMPORTANT ANNUAL MEETING INFORMATION

Electronic Voting Instructions
Available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week!
Instead of mailing your proxy, you may choose one of the voting methods outlined below to vote your proxy.

VALIDATION DETAILS ARE LOCATED BELOW IN THE TITLE BAR.

Proxies submitted by the Internet or telephone must be received by 11:59 PM, Eastern Time, on April 22, 2014.

Vote by Internet

Go to www.envisionreports.com/ORBC
Or scan the QR code with your
smartphone
Follow the steps outlined on the secure
website

Vote by telephone

Call toll free 1-800-652-VOTE (8683) within the USA, US territories & Canada on a touch tone telephone

Follow the instructions provided by the recorded message

Using a $\underline{black\ ink}$ pen, mark your votes with an X as shown in

this example. Please do not write outside the designated areas.

${\bf q}$ IF YOU HAVE NOT VOTED VIA THE INTERNET <u>OR</u> TELEPHONE, FOLD ALONG THE PERFORATION, DETACH AND RETURN THE BOTTOM PORTION IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE. ${\bf q}$

X

Proposals The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR Items 1, 2 and 3.

1. ElectiForof Withhold For Withhold For Withhold

Table of Contents 91

+

oia

Nominees:

01 - MARC J. EISENBERG - TIMOTHY KELLEHER " 03 - JOHN MAJOR " "

For Against Abstain

For Against Abstain
3. ADVISORY VOTE TO
APPROVE

2. RATIFICATION OF KPMG LLP AS INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

In their discretion, the proxies are authorized to vote upon such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any postponement or adjournment thereof.

Non-Voting Items

Change of Address Please print your new address below.

Comments Please print your comments below.

Meeting Attendance
Mark the box to the
right ...
if you plan to attend
the

Annual Meeting.

C Authorized Signatures This section must be completed for your vote to be counted. Date and Sign Below

NOTE: Please sign as name appears hereon. Joint owners should each sign personally. When signing as attorney, executor, administrator, trustee or guardian, please give full title as such.

n/dd/yyyy) Please print date below. Signature 1 Please keep signature within the box. Signature 2 Please keep signature within

¢ 3 2 D V +

ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 23, 2014

8:00 AM EDT

HYATT REGENCY RESTON

1800 PRESIDENTS STREET

RESTON, VA 20190

YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT!

YOU CAN VOTE BY INTERNET, TELEPHONE OR MAIL, SEE THE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE OTHER SIDE OF THIS PROXY CARD.

Important notice regarding the Internet availability of proxy materials for the Annual Meeting of shareholders. The Proxy Statement and the 2013 Annual Report to Shareholders are available at: www.edocumentview.com/ORBC

 ${\bf q}$ IF YOU HAVE NOT VOTED VIA THE INTERNET <u>OR</u> TELEPHONE, FOLD ALONG THE PERFORATION, DETACH AND RETURN THE BOTTOM PORTION IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE. ${\bf q}$

Proxy ORBCOMM INC.

THIS PROXY IS SOLICITED ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The undersigned hereby appoints Marc J. Eisenberg and Christian G. Le Brun, jointly and severally, proxies, with full power of substitution, to vote shares of stock which the undersigned is entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on April 23, 2014 or any postponement or adjournment thereof. Such proxies are directed to vote as specified or, if no specification is made, FOR the election of the three nominees proposed for election as directors with terms expiring at the Annual Meeting in 2017, and FOR Proposal 2 and FOR Proposal 3 and to

vote in accordance with their discretion on such other matters as may properly come before the meeting.

TO VOTE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE COMPANY S RECOMMENDATIONS, JUST SIGN AND DATE; NO BOXES NEED TO BE CHECKED.

(Continued and to be marked, dated and signed, on the other side)