Allied World Assurance Co Holdings, AG Form 10-Q August 03, 2012 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended: June 30, 2012

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to

Commission file number: 001-32938

ALLIED WORLD ASSURANCE COMPANY HOLDINGS, AG

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Switzerland

98-0681223

(State or Other Jurisdiction of

(I.R.S. Employer

Incorporation or Organization)

Identification No.)

Lindenstrasse 8

6340 Baar

Zug, Switzerland

(Address of Principal Executive Offices and Zip Code)

41-41-768-1080

(Registrant s Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes b No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes þ No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer b Accelerated filer 'Non-accelerated filer 'Smaller reporting company '(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes 'No b

As of July 30, 2012, 35,773,639 common shares were outstanding.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1.	Financial Statements	1
Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	30
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	64
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	66
	PART II	
Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	67
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	67
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	67
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	67
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	67
Item 5.	Other Information	67
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	68
SIGNATU	<u>RES</u>	69
EXHIBIT I	INDEX	

-i-

PART I

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements.

ALLIED WORLD ASSURANCE COMPANY HOLDINGS, AG

UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011

(Expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except share and per share amounts)

	As of June 30, 2012	As of December 31, 2011
ASSETS:		
Fixed maturity investments available for sale, at fair value (amortized cost: 2012: \$28,038; 2011: \$226,397)	\$ 30,214	\$ 244,016
Fixed maturity investments trading, at fair value (amortized cost: 2012: \$6,705,210; 2011: \$6,207,991)	6,796,109	6,254,686
Equity securities trading, at fair value (cost: 2012: \$419,366; 2011: \$356,370)	454,205	367,483
Other invested assets trading, at fair value	520,890	540,409
Total investments	7,801,418	7,406,594
Cash and cash equivalents	864,450	633,996
Restricted cash	239,376	82,608
Insurance balances receivable	845,663	652,158
Prepaid reinsurance	259,289	226,721
Reinsurance recoverable	1,073,612	1,002,919
Accrued investment income	30,117	38,263
Net deferred acquisition costs	129,818	100,334
Goodwill	268,376	268,376
Intangible assets	52,631	53,898
Balances receivable on sale of investments	635,727	580,443
Net deferred tax assets	28,477	22,646
Other assets	48,697	53,202
Total assets	\$ 12,277,651	\$ 11,122,158
LIABILITIES:		
Reserve for losses and loss expenses	\$ 5,377,518	\$ 5,225,143
Unearned premiums	1,363,006	1,078,412
Reinsurance balances payable	128,306	124,539
Balances due on purchases of investments	1,220,246	616,728
Senior notes	798,080	797,949
Dividends payable		14,302
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	106,594	116,063
Total liabilities	\$ 8,993,750	\$ 7,973,136
SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY:		
Common shares: 2012: par value CHF 13.69 per share and 2011: par value CHF 14.03 per share (2012: 40,003,642; 2011: 40,003,642 shares issued and 2012: 35,942,964; 2011: 37,742,131 shares outstanding)	543,452	557,153

Additional paid-in capital	52,815	78,225
Treasury shares, at cost (2012: 4,060,678; 2011: 2,261,511)	(264,037)	(136,590)
Retained earnings	2,950,257	2,635,750
Accumulated other comprehensive income: net unrealized gains on investments, net of tax	1,414	14,484
Total shareholders equity	3,283,901	3,149,022
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 12,277,651	\$ 11,122,158

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

ALLIED WORLD ASSURANCE COMPANY HOLDINGS, AG

UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

(Expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except share and per share amounts)

		Three Months Ended June 30,				nths Ended ne 30,		
		2012	,	2011		2012	,	2011
REVENUES:								
Gross premiums written	\$	646,870	\$	519,598	\$	1,327,799	\$	1,080,286
Premiums ceded		(152,160)		(123,795)		(244,136)		(203,612)
Net premiums written		494,710		395,803		1,083,663		876,674
Change in unearned premiums		(64,963)		(40,496)		(252,026)		(186,491)
•								
Net premiums earned		429,747		355,307		831,637		690,183
Net investment income		42,451		52,368		89,660		102,576
Net realized investment gains		8,663		58,878		142,244		109,254
		480,861		466,553		1,063,541		902,013
		,		,		,		ĺ
EXPENSES:								
Net losses and loss expenses		240,380		235,813		465,582		540,265
Acquisition costs		51,588		42,971		98,726		81,053
General and administrative expenses		73,979		67,201		144,345		135,157
Amortization and impairment of intangible assets		634		766		1,267		1,533
Interest expense		14,001		13,745		27,757		27,487
Foreign exchange (gain) loss		(1,019)		1,184		(1,100)		742
		379,563		361,680		736,577		786,237
		,		ĺ		ĺ		ĺ
Income before income taxes		101,298		104,873		326,964		115,776
Income tax expense		4,947		11,073		12,457		13,356
**************************************		,-		,		,		- ,
NET INCOME		96,351		93,800		314,507		102,420
TET INCOME		70,331		23,000		311,307		102,120
Other comprehensive loss:								
Unrealized gains on investments arising during the period net of								
applicable deferred income tax (benefit) expense for the three months								
ended June 30, 2012: (\$68); 2011:\$1,461 and six months ended								
June 30, 2012:(\$96); 2011: \$2,425		231		13,680		179		5,636
Reclassification adjustment for net realized investment gains included				,,,,,,				,,,,,,
in net income, net of applicable income tax		(1,142)		(23,548)		(13,249)		(39,676)
••		,						,
Other comprehensive loss		(911)		(9,868)		(13,070)		(34,040)
r		(>11)		(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		(,0,0)		(= .,0.0)
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME	\$	95,440	\$	83,932	\$	301,437	\$	68,380
COMI REMEMBILIE INCOME	Ψ	73,770	Ψ	03,732	Ψ	301,737	ψ	00,500

PER SHARE DATA

Basic earnings per share	\$	2.66	\$	2.45	\$	8.56	\$	2.69
Diluted earnings per share	\$	2.59	\$	2.36	\$	8.41	\$	2.57
Weighted average common shares outstanding	36,	288,596	38,	346,489	36	,746,881	38,	061,724
Weighted average common shares and common share equivalents								
outstanding	37,	189,722	39,	800,753	37.	,395,559	39,	873,418
Dividends paid per share	\$	0.375	\$		\$	0.750	\$	

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

ALLIED WORLD ASSURANCE COMPANY HOLDINGS, AG

UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

(Expressed in thousands of United States dollars)

		Additional			cumulated Other		
	Share Capital	Paid-in Capital	Treasury Shares	Com	prehensive Income	Retained Earnings	Total
December 31, 2011	\$ 557,153	\$ 78,225	\$ (136,590)	\$	14,484	\$ 2,635,750	\$ 3,149,022
Net income	,	,			,	314,507	314,507
Dividends par value reduction	(13,701)						(13,701)
Other comprehensive loss					(13,070)		(13,070)
Stock compensation		(25,410)	32,011				6,601
Share repurchases			(159,458)				(159,458)
June 30, 2012	\$ 543,452	\$ 52,815	\$ (264,037)	\$	1,414	\$ 2,950,257	\$ 3,283,901
December 31, 2010	\$ 600,055	\$ 170,239	\$ (112,811)	\$	57,135	\$ 2,361,202	\$ 3,075,820
Net income						102,420	102,420
Other comprehensive loss					(34,040)		(34,040)
Stock compensation		(34,582)	48,419				13,837
Share repurchase			(60,000)				(60,000)
Repurchase of founder warrants		(53,620)					(53,620)
June 30, 2011	\$ 600,055	\$ 82,037	\$ (124,392)	\$	23,095	\$ 2,463,622	\$ 3,044,417

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

ALLIED WORLD ASSURANCE COMPANY HOLDINGS, AG

UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

(Expressed in thousands of United States dollars)

	Six Month June	
	2012	2011
CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net income	\$ 314,507	\$ 102,420
Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash provided by operating activities:		
Net realized gains on sales of investments	(46,834)	(52,906)
Mark to market adjustments	(94,732)	(77,318)
Stock compensation expense	9,294	12,112
Changes in:		
Reserve for losses and loss expenses, net of reinsurance recoverables	81,682	285,753
Unearned premiums, net of prepaid reinsurance	252,026	186,491
Insurance balances receivable	(193,505)	(123,075)
Reinsurance balances payable	3,767	32,929
Net deferred acquisition costs	(29,484)	(15,280)
Net deferred tax assets	(3,458)	2,339
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(19,978)	(18,629)
Other items, net	28,488	27,010
Net cash provided by operating activities	301,773	361,846
CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY (USED IN) INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Purchases of available for sale securities		(352)
Purchases of trading securities	(4,005,352)	(4,194,787)
Purchases of other invested assets	(17,778)	(240,410)
Sales of available for sale securities	214,015	560,794
Sales of trading securities	3,959,204	3,540,053
Sales of other invested assets	108,759	36,067
Purchases of fixed assets	(879)	(2,316)
Change in restricted cash	(156,768)	29,520
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	101,201	(271,431)
CASH FLOWS USED IN FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Dividends paid partial par value reduction	(28,003)	
Proceeds from the exercise of stock options	6,697	4,824
Share repurchases	(148,949)	(60,000)
Repurchase of founder warrants		(53,620)
Net cash used in financing activities	(170,255)	(108,796)
Effect of exchange rate changes on foreign currency cash	(2,265)	2,190
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	230,454	(16,191)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF PERIOD	633,996	756,995

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF PERIOD

864,450	\$	740,804
00.,.00	Ψ	,,

\$

Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:		
Cash paid for income taxes	\$ 8,257	\$ 433
Cash paid for interest expense	\$ 27,000	\$ 27,000

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

4

ALLIED WORLD ASSURANCE COMPANY HOLDINGS, AG

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except share, per share, percentage and ratio information)

1. GENERAL

Allied World Assurance Company Holdings, AG, a Swiss holding company (Allied World Switzerland), through its wholly-owned subsidiaries (collectively, the Company), provides property and casualty insurance and reinsurance on a worldwide basis through operations in Bermuda, the United States, Europe, Hong Kong and Singapore.

2. BASIS OF PREPARATION AND CONSOLIDATION

These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP) for interim financial information and with Article 10 of Regulation S-X as promulgated by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments that are normal and recurring in nature and necessary for a fair presentation of financial position and results of operations as of the end of and for the periods presented. The results of operations for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of the results for a full year.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The significant estimates reflected in the Company s financial statements include, but are not limited to:

Recoverability of deferred acquisition costs,

The reserve for outstanding losses and loss expenses,

Valuation of ceded reinsurance recoverables,

Determination of impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets, and

The premium estimates for certain reinsurance agreements,

Intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated on consolidation and all entities meeting consolidation requirements have been included in the consolidation.

These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements, including these notes, should be read in conjunction with the Company s audited consolidated financial statements, and related notes thereto, included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

3. NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

Valuation of financial instruments.

In October 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2010-26, Accounting for Costs Associated with Acquiring or Renewing Insurance Contracts (ASU 2010-26). ASU 2010-26 clarifies what costs associated with acquiring or renewing insurance contracts can be deferred and amortized over the coverage period. Under the revised guidance of ASU 2010-26, incremental direct costs that result directly from and are essential to the insurance contract and would not have been incurred had the insurance contract not been written are costs that may be capitalized, including costs relating to activities specifically performed by the Company such as underwriting, policy issuance and processing. The Company adopted ASU 2010-26 retrospectively on January 1, 2012. The adoption of ASU 2010-26 did not have an impact on consolidated shareholders—equiry or net income as the Company had not previously capitalized costs that did not meet the requirement for capitalization of the revised standard.

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-04, Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs (ASU 2011-04). ASU 2011-04 provides a consistent meaning for the term fair value between the FASB and International Accounting Standards Board and establishes common requirements for measuring and disclosing information related thereto. The Company adopted ASU 2011-04 prospectively on January 1, 2012. The adoption of ASU 2011-04

5

ALLIED WORLD ASSURANCE COMPANY HOLDINGS, AG

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except share, per share, percentage and ratio information)

did not have an impact on consolidated shareholders equity or net income or the Company s fair value measurements. Refer to Note 6 for the Company s related disclosures.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-05, Presentation of Comprehensive Income (ASU 2011-05). ASU 2011-05 eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in shareholders equity, requires consecutive presentation of the statement of net income and other comprehensive income, and requires the presentation of reclassification adjustments on the face of the financial statements from other comprehensive income to net income. In December 2011, ASU 2011-05 was updated by ASU 2011-12, Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05 (ASU 2011-12) to defer the presentation requirements of reclassification adjustments required by ASU 2011-05. The Company adopted ASU 2011-05 on January 1, 2012. The adoption of ASU 2011-05 and the related updates from ASU 2011-12 did not have an impact on the presentation of the financial statements.

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-08, Testing Goodwill for Impairment (ASU 2011-08). ASU 2011-08 simplifies how goodwill is tested for impairment by permitting entities to assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. The results of the qualitative assessment will determine if an entity needs to proceed with the two-step goodwill impairment test. ASU 2011-08 is effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company adopted ASU 2011-08 on January 1, 2012. The adoption of ASU 2011-08 did not have an impact on consolidated shareholders equity or net income.

4. INVESTMENTS

a) Available for Sale Securities

The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains, gross unrealized losses and fair value of the Company s available for sale investments by category are as follows:

June 30, 2012	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
U.S. Government and Government agencies	\$ 28,038	\$ 2,176	\$	\$ 30,214
Total fixed maturity investments, available for sale	\$ 28,038	\$ 2,176	\$	\$ 30,214
December 31, 2011				
U.S. Government and Government agencies	\$ 31,309	\$ 2,321	\$	\$ 33,630
States, municipalities and political subdivisions	29,128	4,351		33,479
Corporate debt:				
Financial institutions	17,431	348	(292)	17,487
Industrials	73,539	4,268		77,807
Utilities	74,990	6,623		81,613
Total fixed maturity investments, available for sale	\$ 226,397	\$ 17,911	\$ (292)	\$ 244,016

6

ALLIED WORLD ASSURANCE COMPANY HOLDINGS, AG

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except share, per share, percentage and ratio information)

b) Trading Securities

Securities accounted for at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in the unaudited condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss) (consolidated income statements) by category are as follows:

	June 30, 2012			Decemb	2011	
	Fair Value			Fair Value	Amortized Cost	
U.S. Government and Government agencies	\$ 1,715,141	\$	1,701,706	\$ 1,278,265	\$	1,263,948
Non-U.S. Government and Government agencies	315,493		309,350	256,756		251,784
States, municipalities and political subdivisions	39,741		38,584	133,902		128,633
Corporate debt:						
Financial institutions	961,728		946,999	1,161,904		1,174,308
Industrials	1,019,043		1,013,026	987,006		974,731
Utilities	58,173		56,718	105,564		103,262
Residential mortgage-backed:						
Non-agency residential	298,355		283,739	302,827		314,077
Agency residential	1,710,596		1,686,022	1,183,893		1,156,913
Commercial mortgage-backed	260,420		252,193	331,371		326,697
Asset-backed	417,419		416,873	513,198		513,638
Total fixed maturity investments, trading	\$ 6,796,109	\$	6,705,210	\$ 6,254,686	\$	6,207,991

	June	30, 2012	Decemb	er 31, 2011
	Fair Value	Original Cost	Fair Value	Original Cost
Equity securities	\$ 454,205	\$ 419,366	\$ 367,483	\$ 356,370
Other invested assets (1)	520,890	487,938	540,409	529,851
	\$ 975,095	\$ 907,304	\$ 907,892	\$ 886,221

⁽¹⁾ Within the Company s financial statements and footnotes other invested assets include the Company s investments in both hedge funds and private equity funds.

c) Contractual Maturity Dates

The contractual maturity dates of available for sale fixed maturity investments are as follows:

	June 30	, 2012
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
Due within one year	\$ 7,001	\$ 7,056
Due after one year through five years	16,958	18,111
Due after five years through ten years	1,077	1,180

Due after ten years 3,002 3,867

\$ 28,038 \$ 30,214

Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to prepay obligations with or without prepayment penalties.

d) Other Invested Assets

Included in other invested assets are the Company s hedge fund and private equity investments. As of the balance sheet date, the Company held interests in 22 funds with a total fair value of \$520,890, which comprised 5.8% of the total fair value of its investments and cash and cash equivalents. The fair values of these assets have been estimated using the net asset value per share of the funds.

In general, the Company has invested in hedge funds that require at least 30 days notice of redemption, and may be redeemed on a monthly, quarterly, semi-annual, annual or longer basis, depending on the fund. Certain hedge funds have lock-up periods ranging from 1 to 3 years from initial investment. A lock-up period refers to the initial amount of time an investor is contractually required to invest before having the ability to redeem. Funds that provide for periodic redemptions may, depending on the funds—governing documents, have the ability to deny or delay a redemption request, called a—gate. The fund may implement this restriction because the aggregate amount of redemption requests as of a particular date exceeds a specified level, generally ranging from 15% to 25% of the fund—s net assets. The gate is a method for executing an orderly redemption process that allows for redemption requests to be

ALLIED WORLD ASSURANCE COMPANY HOLDINGS, AG

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except share, per share, percentage and ratio information)

executed in a timely manner to reduce the possibility of adversely affecting the remaining investors in the fund. Typically, the imposition of a gate delays a portion of the requested redemption, with the remaining portion settled in cash sometime after the redemption date. Certain funds may impose a redemption fee on early redemptions. Interests in private equity funds cannot be redeemed because the investments include restrictions that do not allow for redemption until termination of the fund.

Details regarding the redemption characteristics of the other invested assets portfolio as of June 30, 2012 were as follows:

Fund Type	Value as of June 30, 2012	Re	westments with demption strictions	Estimat Remain Restrict Period	ing ion	Investments without Redemption Restrictions	Redemption Frequency		Redemption Notice Period (1)	Infunded nmitments
Private equity (primary and										
secondary)	\$ 78,199	\$	78,199	4 - 10 Y	ears	\$				\$ 167,013
Mezzanine debt	21,756		21,756	10 Y	ears					94,022
Distressed	9,559		9,559	<6 Y	ears					8,699
Total private equity	109,514		109,514							269,734
Distressed	41,131		1,042	<2 Y	ears	40,089	Quarterly	4	45 - 65 Days	
Equity long/short	165,482					165,482	Quarterly	3	30 - 60 Days	
Multi-strategy	136,160		25,830	<2 Y	ears	110,330	Quarterly	4	45 - 90 Days	
Event driven	68,603					68,603	Annual	2	45 - 60 Days	
Total hedge funds	411,376		26,872			384,504				
Total other invested assets	\$ 520,890	\$	136,386			\$ 384,504				\$ 269,734

(1) The redemption frequency and notice periods only apply to the investments without redemption restrictions.

Private equity funds: Primary funds may invest in companies and general partnership interests. Secondary funds buy limited partnership interests from existing limited partners of primary private equity funds. As owners of private equity funds seek liquidity, they can sell their existing investments, plus any remaining commitment, to secondary market participants. These funds cannot be redeemed because the investments include restrictions that do not allow for redemption until termination of the fund.

Mezzanine debt funds: Mezzanine debt funds invest primarily in privately negotiated mezzanine investments. The funds strategies will focus primarily on providing capital to upper middle market and middle market companies, and private equity sponsors, in connection with leveraged buyouts, mergers and acquisitions, recapitalizations, growth financings and other corporate transactions. The most common position in the capital structure will be between the senior secured debt holder and the equity; however, the funds will utilize a flexible approach when structuring investments, which may include secured debt, subordinated debt, preferred stock and/or private equity. These funds cannot be redeemed because the investments include restrictions that do not allow for redemption until termination of the fund.

Distressed funds: In distressed debt investing, managers take positions in the debt of companies experiencing significant financial difficulties, including bankruptcy, or in certain positions of the capital structure of structured securities. The manager relies on the fundamental analysis of these securities, including the claims on the assets and the likely return to bondholders. Certain funds cannot be redeemed because the investments include restrictions that do not allow for redemption until termination of the fund.

Equity long/short funds: In equity long/short funds, managers take long positions in companies they deem to be undervalued and short positions in companies they deem to be overvalued. Long/short managers may invest in countries, regions or sectors and vary by their use of leverage and target net long position.

Multi-strategy funds: These funds may utilize many strategies employed by specialized funds including distressed investing, equity long/short, merger arbitrage, convertible arbitrage, fixed income arbitrage and macro trading.

Event driven funds: Event driven strategies seek to deploy capital into specific securities whose returns are affected by a specific event that affects the value of one or more securities of a company. Returns for such securities are linked primarily to the specific outcome of the events and not by the overall direction of the bond or stock markets. Examples could include mergers and acquisitions (arbitrage), corporate restructurings and spin-offs and capital structure arbitrage.

8

ALLIED WORLD ASSURANCE COMPANY HOLDINGS, AG

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except share, per share, percentage and ratio information)

e) Net Investment Income

	Three Mor			ths Ended ne 30,
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Fixed maturity investments	\$ 37,219	\$ 50,648	\$ 84,105	\$ 101,594
Equity securities and other invested assets	8,644	5,015	12,718	7,211
Cash and cash equivalents	550	127	1,157	445
Expenses	(3,962)	(3,422)	(8,320)	(6,674)
Net investment income	\$ 42,451	\$ 52,368	\$ 89,660	\$ 102,576

f) Components of Realized Gains and Losses

	Three Mon June		Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2012	2011	2012	2011	
Gross realized gains on sale of invested assets	\$ 52,775	\$ 34,611	\$ 91,944	\$ 78,168	
Gross realized losses on sale of invested assets	(14,762)	(3,330)	(36,669)	(25,262)	
Net realized and unrealized (losses) gains on derivatives	(5,914)	(10,040)	770	(15,536)	
Mark-to-market changes:					
Debt securities, trading	(24,287)	31,872	44,203	45,336	
Other invested assets and equity securities	851	5,765	41,996	26,548	
Net realized investment gains	\$ 8,663	\$ 58,878	\$ 142,244	\$ 109,254	
Proceeds from sale of available for sale securities g) Pledged Assets	\$ 14,308	\$ 202,671	\$ 213,716	\$ 546,191	

As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, \$2,161,733 and \$2,029,138, respectively, of cash and cash equivalents and investments were deposited, pledged or held in trust accounts in favor of ceding companies and other counterparties or government authorities to comply with reinsurance contract provisions and insurance laws.

In addition, as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, a further \$1,270,757 and \$1,044,236, respectively, of cash and cash equivalents and investments were pledged as collateral for the Company s letter of credit facility. See Note 11 to these Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 for details on the credit facility.

h) Analysis of Unrealized Losses

The following table summarizes the market value of those available for sale investments in an unrealized loss position for periods less than and greater than 12 months:

	June	30, 2012	Decembe	011	
	Gross Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	Gross Fair Value	_	ealized Loss
Less than 12 months					
Corporate debt:					
Financial institutions	\$	\$	\$ 9,440	\$	(292)
Total fixed maturity investments, available for sale	\$	\$	\$ 9,440	\$	(292)

As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, there were nil and three securities, respectively, in an unrealized loss position.

ALLIED WORLD ASSURANCE COMPANY HOLDINGS, AG

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except share, per share, percentage and ratio information)

i) Other-than-temporary impairment charges

Following the Company s review of the securities in the investment portfolio during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, no securities were considered to be other-than-temporarily impaired.

5. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS

As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, none of the Company s derivatives were designated as hedges. The following table summarizes information on the location and amounts of derivative fair values in the unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheets (consolidated balance sheets):

		June 3	0, 2012		December 31, 2011						
	Asset Derivative Notional Amount	Asset Derivative Fair Value	Liability Derivative Notional Amount	Liability Derivative Fair Value	Asset Derivative Notional Amount	Asset Derivative Fair Value	Liability Derivative Notional Amount	Liability Derivative Fair Value			
Derivatives not designated as hed	dging instrumen	nts									
Put options (1)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 4,461	\$ 336	\$	\$			
Foreign exchange contracts (2)	113,828	1,964	217,588	4,317	91,162	2,030	339,533	8,934			
Interest rate futures (2)	197,000	943	216,700	265	680,650	977	292,000	3,467			
Total derivatives	\$ 310,828	\$ 2,907	\$ 434,288	\$ 4,582	\$ 776,273	\$ 3,343	\$ 631,533	\$ 12,401			

- (1) Asset and liability derivatives relating to the put options are classified within equity securities trading, at fair value on the consolidated balance sheets.
- (2) All other asset and liability derivatives are classified within other assets or accounts payable and accrued liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets.

The following table provides the net realized and unrealized gains (losses) on derivatives not designated as hedges recorded in the consolidated income statements:

	Three months ended June 30,					Six months ended June 30,		
		2012		2011	2	012		2011
Foreign exchange contracts	\$	(359)	\$	1,345	\$	580	\$	2,601
Total included in foreign exchange (loss) gain		(359)		1,345		580		2,601
Put options						(336)		
Foreign exchange contracts		4,415		723		2,110		723

Interest rate futures	(10,329)	(10,763)	(1,004)	(16,259)
Total included in net realized investment gains	(5,914)	(10,040)	770	(15,536)
Total realized and unrealized (losses) gains on derivatives	\$ (6,273)	\$ (8,695)	\$ 1,350	\$ (12,935)

Derivative Instruments Not Designated as Hedging Instruments

The Company is exposed to foreign currency risk in its investment portfolio. Accordingly, the fair values of the Company s investment portfolio are partially influenced by the change in foreign exchange rates. The Company entered into foreign currency forward contracts to manage the effect of this foreign currency risk. These foreign currency hedging activities have not been designated as specific hedges for financial reporting purposes.

The Company s insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries and branches operate in various foreign countries and consequently the Company s underwriting portfolio is exposed to foreign currency risk. The Company manages foreign currency risk by seeking to match liabilities under the insurance policies and reinsurance contracts that it writes and that are payable in foreign currencies with

ALLIED WORLD ASSURANCE COMPANY HOLDINGS, AG

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except share, per share, percentage and ratio information)

cash and investments that are denominated in such currencies. When necessary, the Company may also use derivatives to economically hedge un-matched foreign currency exposures, specifically forward contracts and currency options.

The Company also purchases and sells interest rate future contracts to actively manage the duration and yield curve positioning of its fixed income portfolio. Interest rate futures can efficiently increase or decrease the overall duration of the portfolio. Additionally, interest rate future contracts can be utilized to obtain the desired position along the yield curve in order to protect against certain future yield curve shapes.

The Company purchases options to actively manage the Company s equity portfolio.

6. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

In accordance with U.S. GAAP, fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. There is a three-level valuation hierarchy for disclosure of fair value measurements. The valuation hierarchy is based upon whether the inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability are observable or unobservable in the market at the measurement date, with quoted market prices being the highest level (Level 1) and unobservable inputs being the lowest level (Level 3). A fair value measurement will fall within the level of the hierarchy based on the input that is significant to determining such measurement. The three levels are defined as follows:

Level 1: Observable inputs to the valuation methodology that are quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.

Level 2: Observable inputs to the valuation methodology other than quoted market prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets. Level 2 inputs include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical assets in markets that are not active and inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.

Level 3: Inputs to the valuation methodology that are unobservable for the asset or liability.

The following table shows the fair value of the Company s financial instruments and where in the fair value hierarchy the fair value measurements are included as of the dates indicated below:

11

ALLIED WORLD ASSURANCE COMPANY HOLDINGS, AG

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except share, per share, percentage and ratio information)

					Fair value measurement us					sing:		
June 30, 2012		Carrying amount	To	tal fair value	active i	e markets for dentical assets Level 1)	obse	nificant other rvable inputs (Level 2)	une	gnificant observable inputs Level 3)		
Available for sale securities:												
U.S. Government and Government agencies	\$	30,214	\$	30,214	\$	30,214	\$		\$			
Total available for sale fixed maturity investments		30,214		30,214								
Trading securities:												
U.S. Government and Government agencies	\$:	1,715,141	\$	1,715,141	\$ 1	,231,823	\$	483,318	\$			
Non-U.S. Government and Government agencies		315,493		315,493				315,493				
States, municipalities and political subdivisions		39,741		39,741				39,741				
Corporate debt	2	2,038,944		2,038,944				2,038,944				
Mortgage-backed	2	2,269,371		2,269,371				2,111,412		157,959		
Asset-backed		417,419		417,419				299,833		117,586		
Total trading fixed maturity investments	(5,796,109		6,796,109								
Total fixed maturity investments	(6,826,323		6,826,323								
Equity securities		454,205		454,205		454,205						
Other invested assets		520,890		520,890		,				520,890		
Total investments	\$ 7	7,801,418	\$	7,801,418	\$ 1	,716,242	\$	5,288,741	\$	796,435		
Derivative assets: (1)												
Foreign exchange contracts	\$	1,964	\$	1,964	\$		\$	1,964	\$			
Interest rate futures		943		943				943				
Derivative liabilities: (1)												
Foreign exchange contracts	\$	4,317	\$	4,317	\$		\$	4,317	\$			
Interest rate futures		265		265				265				
Senior notes	\$	798,080	\$	890,373	\$		\$	890,373	\$			

12

⁽¹⁾ Asset and liability derivatives relating to foreign exchange contracts and interest rate futures are classified within other assets or accounts payable and accrued liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets.

ALLIED WORLD ASSURANCE COMPANY HOLDINGS, AG

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except share, per share, percentage and ratio information)

			Fair value measurement using:				
	G		Quoted prices in active markets for	Significant other	Significant unobservable		
December 31, 2011	Carrying amount	Total fair value	identical assets (Level 1)	observable inputs (Level 2)	inputs (Level 3)		
Available for sale securities:	umoum	Total Iali value	(Ecter 1)	(Bever 2)	(Ecters)		
U.S. Government and Government agencies	\$ 33,630	\$ 33,630	\$ 33,630	\$	\$		
States, municipalities and political subdivisions	33,479	33,479	. ,	33,479			
Corporate debt	176,907	176,907		176,907			
Total available for sale fixed maturity investments	244,016	244,016					
Trading securities:							
U.S. Government and Government agencies	\$ 1,278,265	\$ 1,278,265	\$ 1,054,003	\$ 224,262	\$		
Non-U.S. Government and Government agencies	256,756	256,756		256,756			
States, municipalities and political subdivisions	133,902	133,902		133,902			
Corporate debt	2,254,474	2,254,474		2,254,474			
Mortgage-backed	1,818,091	1,818,091		1,568,887	249,204		
Asset-backed	513,198	513,198		418,453	94,745		
Total trading fixed maturity investments	6,254,686	6,254,686					
Total fixed maturity investments	6,498,702	6,498,702					
Equity securities	367,483	367,483	367,483				
Other invested assets	540,409	540,409	,		540,409		
Total investments	\$ 7,406,594	\$ 7,406,594	\$ 1,455,116	\$ 5,067,120	\$ 884,358		
Derivative assets: (1)							
Foreign exchange contracts	\$ 2.030	\$ 2,030	\$	\$ 2,030	\$		
Interest rate futures	977	977	·	977			
Derivative liabilities: (1)							
Foreign exchange contracts	\$ 8,934	\$ 8,934	\$	\$ 8,934	\$		
Interest rate futures	3,467	3,467		3,467			
Senior notes	\$ 797,949	\$ 872,731	\$	\$ 872,731	\$		

⁽¹⁾ Asset and liability derivatives relating to foreign exchange contracts and interest rate futures are classified within other assets or accounts payable and accrued liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets.

The following describes the valuation techniques used by the Company to determine the fair value of financial instruments held as of the balance sheet date.

U.S. Government and Government agencies: Comprised primarily of bonds issued by the U.S. Treasury, the Federal Home Loan Bank, the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation and the Federal National Mortgage Association. The fair values of the Company s U.S. government securities are based on quoted market prices in active markets and are included in the Level 1 fair value hierarchy. The Company believes the market for U.S. Treasury securities is an actively traded market given the high level of daily trading volume. The fair values of U.S. government agency securities are priced using the spread above the risk-free yield curve. As

13

ALLIED WORLD ASSURANCE COMPANY HOLDINGS, AG

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except share, per share, percentage and ratio information)

the yields for the risk-free yield curve and the spreads for these securities are observable market inputs, the fair values of U.S. government agency securities are included in the Level 2 fair value hierarchy.

Non-U.S. Government and Government agencies: Comprised of fixed income obligations of non-U.S. governmental entities. The fair values of these securities are based on prices obtained from international indices and are included in the Level 2 fair value hierarchy.

States, municipalities and political subdivisions: Comprised of fixed income obligations of U.S. domiciled state and municipality entities. The fair values of these securities are based on prices obtained from the new issue market, and are included in the Level 2 fair value hierarchy.

Corporate debt: Comprised of bonds issued by corporations that are diversified across a wide range of issuers and industries. The fair values of corporate bonds that are short-term are priced using spread above the London Interbank Offered Rate yield curve, and the fair value of corporate bonds that are long-term are priced using the spread above the risk-free yield curve. The spreads are sourced from broker-dealers, trade prices and the new issue market. As the significant inputs used to price corporate bonds are observable market inputs, the fair values of corporate bonds are included in the Level 2 fair value hierarchy.

Mortgage-backed: Primarily comprised of residential and commercial mortgages originated by both U.S. government agencies (such as the Federal National Mortgage Association) and non-U.S. government agencies. The fair values of mortgage-backed securities originated by U.S. government agencies and non-U.S. government agencies are based on a pricing model that incorporates prepayment speeds and spreads to determine appropriate average life of mortgage-backed securities. The spreads are sourced from broker-dealers, trade prices and the new issue market. As the significant inputs used to price the mortgage-backed securities are observable market inputs, the fair values of these securities are included in the Level 2 fair value hierarchy, unless the significant inputs used to price the mortgage-backed securities are broker-dealer quotes and the Company is not able to determine if those quotes are based on observable market inputs, in which case the fair value is included in the Level 3 hierarchy.

Asset-backed: Principally comprised of bonds backed by pools of automobile loan receivables, home equity loans, credit card receivables and collateralized loan obligations originated by a variety of financial institutions. The fair values of asset-backed securities are priced using prepayment speed and spread inputs that are sourced from the new issue market or broker-dealer quotes. As the significant inputs used to price the asset-backed securities are observable market inputs, the fair values of these securities are included in the Level 2 fair value hierarchy, unless the significant inputs used to price the asset-backed securities are broker-dealer quotes and the Company is not able to determine if those quotes are based on observable market inputs, in which case the fair value is included in the Level 3 hierarchy.

Equity securities: The fair value of the equity securities are priced from market exchanges and therefore included in the Level 1 fair value hierarchy.

Other invested assets: Comprised of funds invested in a range of diversified strategies. In accordance with U.S. GAAP, the fair values of the funds are based on the net asset value of the funds as reported by the fund manager that the Company believes is an unobservable input, and as such, the fair values of those funds are included in the Level 3 fair value hierarchy.

Derivative instruments: The fair value of foreign exchange contracts and interest rate futures are priced from quoted market prices for similar exchange-traded derivatives and pricing valuation models that utilize independent market data inputs. The fair value of derivatives are included in the Level 2 fair value hierarchy.

Senior notes: The fair value of the senior notes is based on reported trades. As of June 30, 2012, the 7.50% Senior Notes and 5.50% Senior Notes (each as defined in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011) were traded at 114.6% and 105.8% of their principal amount, providing an effective yield of 3.6% and 4.7%, respectively. The fair value of the senior notes is included in the Level 2 fair value hierarchy.

14

ALLIED WORLD ASSURANCE COMPANY HOLDINGS, AG

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except share, per share, percentage and ratio information)

The following is a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balance of financial instruments using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3):

Fair value measurement using significant

	unobservable inputs (Level 3):						
	Other invested assets				set-backed		
Three Months Ended June 30, 2012							
Opening balance	\$ 522,065	\$	178,374	\$	242,394		
Realized and unrealized gains (losses) included in net income	(641)		1,780		(220)		
Purchases	16,728		40,352		23,267		
Sales	(17,262)		(34,755)		(47,537)		
Transfers into Level 3 from Level 2			3,707		8,654		
Transfers out of Level 3 into Level 2 (1)			(31,499)		(108,972)		
Ending balance	\$ 520,890	\$	157,959	\$	117,586		
Three Months Ended June 30, 2011							
Opening balance	\$ 469,999	\$	234,087	\$	143,829		
Realized and unrealized gains included in net income	814		1,680		494		
Purchases	94,290		29,827		32,408		
Sales	(2,836)		(34,329)		(2,800)		
Transfers into Level 3 from Level 2			24,389		20,246		
Transfers out of Level 3 into Level 2 (1)			(38,994)		(80,867)		
					·		
Ending balance	\$ 562,267	\$	216,660	\$	113,310		

Fair value measurement using significant

	unobservable inputs (Level 3):					
	Other invested assets	Mor	tgage-backed	Ass	et-backed	
Six Months Ended June 30, 2012						
Opening balance	\$ 540,409	\$	249,204	\$	94,745	
Realized and unrealized gains included in net income	14,882		4,324		904	
Purchases	17,778		41,376		31,580	
Sales	(52,179)		(108,165)		(48,133)	
Transfers into Level 3 from Level 2			4,495		55,498	
Transfers out of Level 3 into Level 2 (1)			(33,275)		(17,008)	
Ending balance	\$ 520,890	\$	157,959	\$	117,586	
Six Months Ended June 30, 2011						
Opening balance	\$ 347,632	\$	172,558	\$	48,707	
Realized and unrealized gains included in net income	12,493		3,227		587	

Edgar Filing: Allied World Assurance Co Holdings, AG - Form 10-Q

Purchases	245,340	62,604	115,417
Sales	(43,198)	(43,287)	(3,226)
Transfers into Level 3 from Level 2		86,085	32,801
Transfers out of Level 3 into Level 2 (1)		(64,527)	(80,976)
Ending balance	\$ 562,267	\$ 216,660	\$ 113,310

⁽¹⁾ Transfers out of Level 3 are primarily attributable to the availability of market observable information.

ALLIED WORLD ASSURANCE COMPANY HOLDINGS, AG

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except share, per share, percentage and ratio information)

The Company attempts to verify the significant inputs used by broker-dealers in determining the fair value of the securities priced by them. If the Company could not obtain sufficient information to determine if the broker-dealers were using significant observable inputs, such securities have been transferred to the Level 3 fair value hierarchy. The Company believes the prices obtained from the broker-dealers are the best estimate of fair value of the securities being priced as the broker-dealers are typically involved in the initial pricing of the security, and the Company has compared the price per the broker-dealer to other pricing sources and noted no material differences. The Company recognizes transfers between levels at the end of the reporting period. There were no transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 during the period.

The Company s external investment accounting service provider receives prices from internationally recognized independent pricing services to measure the fair values of its fixed maturity investments. Pricing sources are evaluated and selected in a manner to ensure that the most reliable sources are used. The independent pricing sources obtain market quotations and actual transaction prices for securities that have quoted prices in active markets. Each pricing service has its own proprietary method for determining the fair value of securities that are not actively traded. In general, these methods involve the use of matrix pricing in which the independent pricing service uses observable market inputs, including, but not limited to, reported trades, benchmark yields, broker-dealer quotes, interest rates, prepayment speeds, default rates and such other inputs as are available from market sources to determine a reasonable fair value.

All of the Company s securities classified as Level 3, other than investments in other invested assets, are valued based on unadjusted broker-dealer quotes. This includes less liquid securities such as lower quality asset-backed securities, commercial mortgage-backed securities, and residential mortgage-backed securities. The primary valuation inputs include monthly payment information, the probability of default, loss severity rates and estimated prepayment rates. Significant changes in these inputs in isolation would result in a significantly lower or higher fair value measurement. In general, a change in the assumption of the probability of default is accompanied by a directionally similar change in the assumption used for the loss severity in an event of default and prepayment rates.

The Company records the unadjusted price provided and validates this price through a process that, includes, but is not limited to monthly and/or quarterly: (i) comparison of prices between two independent sources, with significant differences requiring additional price sources; (ii) quantitative analysis (e.g., comparing the quarterly return for each managed portfolio to their target benchmark, with significant differences identified and investigated); (iii) evaluation of methodologies used by external parties to calculate fair value, including a review of the inputs used for pricing; (iv) comparing the price to the Company s knowledge of the current investment market; and (v) back-testing, which includes randomly selecting purchased or sold securities and comparing the executed prices to the fair value estimates from the pricing service. In addition to internal controls, management relies on the effectiveness of the valuation controls in place at the Company s external investment accounting service provider (supported by a Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 16 report) in conjunction with regular discussion and analysis of the investment portfolio s structure and performance.

7. RESERVE FOR LOSSES AND LOSS EXPENSES

The reserve for losses and loss expenses consists of the following:

	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Outstanding loss reserves	\$ 1,431,802	\$ 1,366,466
Reserves for losses incurred but not reported	3,945,716	3,858,677
Reserve for losses and loss expenses	\$ 5,377,518	\$ 5,225,143

16

ALLIED WORLD ASSURANCE COMPANY HOLDINGS, AG

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except share, per share, percentage and ratio information)

The table below is a reconciliation of the beginning and ending liability for unpaid losses and loss expenses. Losses incurred and paid are reflected net of reinsurance recoverables.

	Three Mon June 2012		Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 2011		
Gross liability at beginning of period	\$ 5,331,418	\$ 5,100,643	\$ 5,225,143	\$ 4,879,188	
Reinsurance recoverable at beginning of period	(1,056,780)	(975,523)	(1,002,919)	(927,588)	
Net liability at beginning of period	4,274,638	4,125,120	4,222,224	3,951,600	
Net losses incurred related to:					
Commutation of variable-rated reinsurance contracts		11,529		11,529	
Current year	282,302	279,513	546,986	628,315	
Prior years	(41,922)	(55,229)	(81,404)	(99,579)	
Total incurred	240,380	235,813	465,582	540,265	
Net paid losses related to:					
Current year	18,226	19,579	19,840	21,279	
Prior years	186,891	109,238	362,411	243,596	
Total paid	205,117	128,817	382,251	264,875	
Foreign exchange revaluation	(5,995)	5,237	(1,649)	10,363	
Net liability at end of period Reinsurance recoverable at end of period	4,303,906 1,073,612	4,237,353 1,013,951	4,303,906 1,073,612	4,237,353 1,013,951	
Gross liability at end of period	\$ 5,377,518	\$ 5,251,304	\$ 5,377,518	\$ 5,251,304	

For the three months ended June 30, 2012, the Company had net favorable reserve development in each of its segments due to actual loss emergence being lower than initially expected. The majority of the net favorable reserve development was recognized by each segment in the 2004 through 2009 loss years across most lines of business.

For the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company had net favorable reserve development in each of its segments due to actual loss emergence being lower than initially expected. The majority of the net favorable reserve development was recognized in the international insurance and reinsurance segments in the 2004 through 2008 loss years.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company commuted certain variable-rated reinsurance contracts that have swing-rated provisions, reducing ceded losses by \$11,529 in accordance with the terms of the contracts resulting in a net gain of \$865.

For the three months ended June 30, 2011, the Company had net favorable reserve development in each of its segments due to actual loss emergence being lower than initially expected. The majority of the net favorable reserve development was recognized in the reinsurance

segment related to the 2004 through 2007 loss years for casualty reinsurance lines and in the international insurance segment related to the 2004 through 2007 loss years for casualty lines of business.

For the six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company had net favorable reserve development in its international and reinsurance segments due to actual loss emergence being lower than initially expected. The majority of the net favorable reserve development was recognized in the international insurance and reinsurance segments in the 2004 through 2007 loss years related to casualty insurance and reinsurance lines of business. The Company had net unfavorable reserve development in its U.S. insurance segment due to actual loss emergence being higher than initially expected. The majority of the net unfavorable reserve development was recognized in the 2006 through 2010 loss years related to the professional liability line of business.

While the Company has experienced favorable development in its insurance and reinsurance lines, there is no assurance that conditions and trends that have affected the development of liabilities in the past will continue. It is not appropriate to extrapolate future redundancies based on prior years—development. The methodology of estimating loss reserves is periodically reviewed to ensure that the key assumptions used in the actuarial models continue to be appropriate.

17

ALLIED WORLD ASSURANCE COMPANY HOLDINGS, AG

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except share, per share, percentage and ratio information)

8. INCOME TAXES

Under Swiss law, a resident company is subject to income tax at the federal, cantonal and communal levels that is levied on net income. Income attributable to permanent establishments or real estate located abroad is excluded from the Swiss tax base. Allied World Switzerland is a holding company and, therefore, is exempt from cantonal and communal income tax. As a result, Allied World Switzerland is subject to Swiss income tax only at the federal level. Allied World Switzerland is a resident of the Canton of Zug and, as such, is subject to an annual cantonal and communal capital tax on the taxable equity of Allied World Switzerland in Switzerland. Allied World Switzerland has a Swiss operating company resident in the Canton of Zug. The operating company is subject to federal, cantonal and communal income tax and to annual cantonal and communal capital tax.

Under current Bermuda law, Allied World Assurance Company Holdings, Ltd (Allied World Bermuda) and its Bermuda subsidiaries are not required to pay taxes in Bermuda on either income or capital gains. Allied World Bermuda and Allied World Assurance Company, Ltd have received an assurance from the Bermuda Minister of Finance under the Exempted Undertakings Tax Protection Act 1966 of Bermuda, that in the event of any such taxes being imposed, Allied World Bermuda and Allied World Assurance Company, Ltd will be exempted until March 2035.

Certain subsidiaries of Allied World Switzerland file U.S. federal income tax returns and various U.S. state income tax returns, as well as income tax returns in the United Kingdom, Ireland, Switzerland, Hong Kong and Singapore. To the best of the Company s knowledge, there are no income tax examinations pending by any tax authority.

Management has deemed all material tax positions to have a greater than 50% likelihood of being sustained based on technical merits if challenged. The Company does not expect any material unrecognized tax benefits within 12 months of January 2012.

9. SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

a) Authorized shares

The issued share capital consists of the following:

Common shares issued and fully paid, 2012: CHF 13.69 per share; 2011: CHF 14.03 per share	June 30, 2012 40,003,642	December 31, 2011 40,003,642
Share capital at end of period	\$ 543,452	\$ 557,153

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2012
Total shares issued at beginning and end of period	40,003,642
Treasury shares issued at beginning of period	2,261,511
Shares repurchased	2,336,187
Shares issued out of treasury	(537,020)

Total treasury shares at end of period

4,060,678

Total shares outstanding at end of period

35,942,964

As of June 30, 2012, there were outstanding 35,942,964 voting common shares and nil non-voting common shares.

Effective July 30, 2012, the Company cancelled 2,326,900 voting shares and 173,100 non-voting shares held in treasury, upon completing a required filing with the Swiss Commercial Register in Zug.

As of June 30, 2012, Allied World Switzerland s articles of association authorized its board of directors to increase the share capital by a maximum amount of 20% of the share capital registered in the commercial register up to CHF 102,685 or 7,500,728 voting shares, and create conditional capital of 5,200,000 voting shares.

ALLIED WORLD ASSURANCE COMPANY HOLDINGS, AG

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except share, per share, percentage and ratio information)

b) Share Warrants

In conjunction with the private placement offering at the formation of Allied World Bermuda, Allied World Bermuda granted warrant agreements to certain founding shareholders to acquire up to 5,500,000 common shares at an exercise price of \$34.20 per share. These warrants were exercisable in certain limited conditions, including a public offering of common shares. All warrants granted were repurchased by the Company.

In February 2011, the Company repurchased the last outstanding warrant owned by American International Group, Inc. (AIG) in a privately negotiated transaction. The warrant entitled AIG to purchase 2,000,000 of the Company s common shares for \$34.20 per share. The Company repurchased the warrant for an aggregate purchase price of \$53,620. The repurchase of the warrant was recognized as a reduction in additional paid-in capital on the consolidated balance sheets. The repurchase was executed separately from the share repurchase program discussed in Note 9(d) below. After this repurchase, AIG has no warrants remaining and no other disclosed equity interest in the Company.

c) Dividends

Under Swiss law, distributions to shareholders may be paid only if the Company has sufficient distributable profits from previous fiscal years, or if the Company has freely distributable reserves, each as presented on the audited stand-alone statutory balance sheet. Distributions to shareholders out of the share and participation capital may be made by way of a capital reduction in the form of a reduction to par value to achieve a similar result as the payment of a dividend.

On May 5, 2011, the shareholders approved the Company s proposal to pay cash dividends in the form of a distribution by way of par value reductions. The aggregate reduction amount was paid to shareholders in quarterly installments of \$0.375 per share, with the last of such quarterly dividend payments being made on April 6, 2012 to shareholders of record in the amount of \$13,795.

On May 3, 2012, the shareholders approved the Company s proposal to pay cash dividends in the form of a distribution by way of par value reductions. The aggregate reduction amount is expected to be paid to shareholders in quarterly installments of \$0.375 per share. The Company expects to distribute such dividends in August 2012, September 2012, December 2012 and March 2013.

d) Share repurchase

In May 2012, the Company established a new share repurchase program in order to repurchase up to \$500 million of its common shares. Repurchases may be effected from time to time through open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions, tender offers or otherwise. The timing, form and amount of the share repurchases under the program will depend on a variety of factors, including market conditions, the Company s capital position, legal requirements and other factors. Shares repurchased have been classified as Treasury shares, at cost on the consolidated balance sheets. The Company will issue shares out of treasury principally related to the Company s employee benefit plans. Under the terms of this new share repurchase program, common shares repurchased shall be designated for cancellation and shall be cancelled upon shareholder approval. The Company s share repurchases were as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2012	2011		2012		2011
Common shares repurchased	905,383			2,336,187		969,163
Total cost of shares repurchased	\$ 66,435	\$	9	159,458	\$	60,000
Average price per share	\$ 73.38	\$	9	68.26	\$	61.91

19

ALLIED WORLD ASSURANCE COMPANY HOLDINGS, AG

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except share, per share, percentage and ratio information)

10. EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following table sets forth the comparison of basic and diluted earnings per share:

		Three Mo Jur			nths Ended ne 30,			
		2012 2011		2011	2012			2011
Basic earnings per share:								
Net income	\$	96,351	\$	93,800	\$	314,507	\$	102,420
Weighted average common shares outstanding	30	36,288,596		8,346,489 36,74		5,746,881	881 38,061,724	
Basic earnings per share	\$	2.66	\$	2.45	\$	8.56	\$	2.69

	Three Months Ended June 30,					Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2	012	2011		2012			2011	
Diluted earnings per share:									
Net income	\$	96,351	\$	93,800	\$	314,507	\$	102,420	
Weighted average common shares outstanding	36,2	288,596	38	,346,489	30	5,746,881	38,061,724		
Share equivalents:									
Warrants and options	۷	140,811	333,837		413,702			503,529	
RSUs and long-term incentive plan (LTIP) awards	4	160,315	1,120,427		27 234,9		76 1,308,1		
•									
Weighted average common shares and common share equivalents									
outstanding diluted	37,189,722		39	39,800,753 37,395,559		7,395,559	3	9,873,418	
		·	,,					, ,	
Diluted earnings per share	\$	2.59	\$	2.36	\$	8.41	\$	2.57	

For the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, a weighted average of 337,153 and 662,835 employee stock options and restricted stock units (RSUs) were considered anti-dilutive and were therefore excluded from the calculation of the diluted earnings per share, respectively.

For the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, a weighted average of 343,726 and 687,713 employee stock options and RSUs were considered anti-dilutive and were therefore excluded from the calculation of the diluted earnings per share, respectively.

11. CREDIT AGREEMENTS

In the normal course of its operations, the Company enters into agreements with financial institutions to obtain secured and unsecured credit facilities.

On June 7, 2012, Allied World Bermuda amended its existing secured credit facility. The amended \$450,000 four-year secured credit facility (the Amended Secured Credit Facility) is primarily used for the issuance of standby letters of credit to support obligations in connection with the insurance and reinsurance business of Allied World Bermuda and its subsidiaries. A portion of the facility may also be used for revolving loans

Edgar Filing: Allied World Assurance Co Holdings, AG - Form 10-Q

for general corporate and working capital purposes, up to a maximum of \$150,000. Allied World Bermuda may request that existing lenders under the Amended Secured Credit Facility make additional commitments from time to time, up to \$150,000, subject to approval by the lenders. The Amended Secured Credit Facility contains representations, warranties and covenants customary for similar bank loan facilities, including certain covenants that, among other things, require the Company to maintain a certain leverage ratio and financial strength rating.

On June 7, 2012, upon entering into the Amended Secured Credit Facility, Allied World Bermuda terminated its \$400,000 unsecured facility.

20

ALLIED WORLD ASSURANCE COMPANY HOLDINGS, AG

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except share, per share, percentage and ratio information)

12. SEGMENT INFORMATION

The determination of reportable segments is based on how senior management monitors the Company s underwriting operations. Management monitors the performance of its direct underwriting operations based on the geographic location of the Company s offices, the markets and customers served and the type of accounts written. The Company is currently organized into three operating segments: U.S. insurance, international insurance and reinsurance. All product lines fall within these classifications.

The U.S. insurance segment includes the Company s direct specialty insurance operations in the United States. This segment provides both direct property and specialty casualty insurance primarily to non-Fortune 1000 North American domiciled accounts. The international insurance segment includes the Company s direct insurance operations in Bermuda, Europe, Singapore and Hong Kong. This segment provides both direct property and casualty insurance primarily to Fortune 1000 North American domiciled accounts and non-North American domiciled accounts. The reinsurance segment includes the Company s reinsurance operations in the U.S., Bermuda, Europe and Asia. This segment provides reinsurance of property, general casualty, professional liability, specialty lines and property catastrophe coverages written by insurance companies. The Company presently writes reinsurance on both a treaty and a facultative basis, targeting several niche reinsurance markets.

Responsibility and accountability for the results of underwriting operations are assigned by major line of business within each segment. Because the Company does not manage its assets by segment, investment income, interest expense and total assets are not allocated to individual reportable segments. General and administrative expenses are allocated to segments based on various factors, including staff count and each segment s proportional share of gross premiums written.

Management measures results for each segment on the basis of the loss and loss expense ratio, acquisition cost ratio, general and administrative expense ratio and the combined ratio. The loss and loss expense ratio is derived by dividing net losses and loss expenses by net premiums earned. The acquisition cost ratio is derived by dividing acquisition costs by net premiums earned. The general and administrative expense ratio is derived by dividing general and administrative expenses by net premiums earned. The combined ratio is the sum of the loss and loss expense ratio, the acquisition cost ratio and the general and administrative expense ratio.

21

ALLIED WORLD ASSURANCE COMPANY HOLDINGS, AG

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except share, per share, percentage and ratio information)

The following tables provide a summary of the segment results:

		International		
Three Months Ended June 30, 2012	U.S. Insurance	Insurance	Reinsurance	Total
Gross premiums written	\$ 265,974	\$ 183,593	\$ 197,303	\$ 646,870
Net premiums written	196,661	111,342	186,707	494,710
Net premiums earned	162,785	82,605	184,357	429,747
Net losses and loss expenses	(103,074)	(22,233)	(115,073)	(240,380)
Acquisition costs	(21,250)	582	(30,920)	(51,588)
General and administrative expenses	(34,730)	(21,648)	(17,601)	(73,979)
Underwriting income	3,731	39,306	20,763	63,800
Net investment income				42,451
Net realized investment gains				8,663
Amortization and impairment of intangible assets				(634)
Interest expense				(14,001)
Foreign exchange gain				1,019
Income before income taxes				\$ 101,298
	<2.2 <i>c</i>	26.00	50 00	55.00
Loss and loss expense ratio	63.3%	26.9%	53.0%	55.9%
Acquisition cost ratio	13.1%	(0.7%)	16.4%	12.0%
General and administrative expense ratio	21.3%	26.2%	10.0%	17.2%
Combined ratio	97.7%	52.4%	79.4%	85.1%

Three Months Ended June 30, 2011	U.S. Insurance	Insurance	Reinsurance	Total
Gross premiums written	\$ 226,738	\$ 178,593	\$ 114,267	\$ 519,598
Net premiums written	172,887	108,985	113,931	395,803
Net premiums earned	145,857	79,956	129,494	355,307
Net losses and loss expenses	(92,595)	(72,082)	(71,136)	(235,813)
Acquisition costs	(18,876)	747	(24,842)	(42,971)
General and administrative expenses	(31,253)	(20,653)	(15,295)	(67,201)
Underwriting income (loss)	3,133	(12,032)	18,221	9,322
Net investment income				52,368
Net realized investment gains				58,878
Amortization and impairment of intangible assets				(766)
Interest expense				(13,745)
Foreign exchange loss				(1,184)
-				
Income before income taxes				\$ 104,873

Edgar Filing: Allied World Assurance Co Holdings, AG - Form 10-Q

Loss and loss expense ratio	63.5%	90.2%	54.9%	66.4%
Acquisition cost ratio	12.9%	(0.9%)	19.2%	12.1%
General and administrative expense ratio	21.4%	25.8%	11.8%	18.9%
Combined ratio	97.8%	115.1%	85.9%	97.4%

ALLIED WORLD ASSURANCE COMPANY HOLDINGS, AG

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except share, per share, percentage and ratio information)

		International		
Six Months Ended June 30, 2012	U.S. Insurance	Insurance	Reinsurance	Total
Gross premiums written	\$ 470,185	\$ 297,183	\$ 560,431	\$ 1,327,799
Net premiums written	350,507	183,951	549,205	1,083,663
Net premiums earned	316,143	162,476	353,018	831,637
Net losses and loss expenses	(200,778)	(60,333)	(204,471)	(465,582)
Acquisition costs	(41,222)	1,110	(58,614)	(98,726)
General and administrative expenses	(65,774)	(44,049)	(34,522)	(144,345)
Underwriting income	8,369	59,204	55,411	122,984
Net investment income				89,660
Net realized investment gains				142,244
Amortization and impairment of intangible assets				(1,267)
Interest expense				(27,757)
Foreign exchange gain				1,100
Income before income taxes				326,964
Loss and loss expense ratio	63.5%	37.1%	57.9%	56.0%
Acquisition cost ratio	13.0%	(0.7%)	16.6%	11.9%
General and administrative expense ratio	20.8%	27.1%	9.8%	17.3%
Combined ratio	97.3%	63.5%	84.3%	85.2%

		International		
Six Months Ended June 30, 2011	U.S. Insurance	Insurance	Reinsurance	Total
Gross premiums written	\$ 410,040	\$ 289,918	\$ 380,328	\$ 1,080,286
Net premiums written	312,789	183,895	379,990	876,674
Net premiums earned	281,338	156,246	252,599	690,183
Net losses and loss expenses	(208, 426)	(143,266)	(188,573)	(540,265)
Acquisition costs	(36,978)	2,603	(46,678)	(81,053)
General and administrative expenses	(62,052)	(41,381)	(31,724)	(135,157)
Underwriting loss	(26,118)	(25,798)	(14,376)	(66,292)
Net investment income				102,576
Net realized investment gains				109,254
Amortization and impairment of intangible assets				(1,533)
Interest expense				(27,487)
Foreign exchange loss				(742)
Income before income taxes				\$ 115,776
Loss and loss expense ratio	74.1%	91.7%	74.7%	78.3%
Acquisition cost ratio	13.1%	(1.7%)	18.5%	11.7%
General and administrative expense ratio	22.1%	26.5%	12.6%	19.6%
1				

Edgar Filing: Allied World Assurance Co Holdings, AG - Form 10-Q

Combined ratio 109.3% 116.5% 105.8% 109.6%

ALLIED WORLD ASSURANCE COMPANY HOLDINGS, AG

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except share, per share, percentage and ratio information)

The following table shows an analysis of the Company s gross premiums written by geographic location of the Company s subsidiaries. All intercompany premiums have been eliminated.

		nths Ended e 30,	d Six Months Ende June 30,		
	2012	2011	2012	2011	
United States	\$ 349,577	\$ 269,193	\$ 738,548	\$ 575,824	
Bermuda	193,195	177,850	375,358	348,318	
Europe	60,008	58,209	135,384	122,288	
Singapore	40,128	10,331	69,311	25,567	
Hong Kong	3,962	4,015	9,198	8,289	
Total gross premiums written	\$ 646,870	\$ 519,598	\$ 1,327,799	\$ 1,080,286	

13. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

In April 2006, a complaint entitled *New Cingular Wireless Headquarters, LLC et al. v. Marsh & McLennan Companies, Inc., et al.* was filed against numerous brokers and 78 insurers including Allied World Assurance Company, Ltd. Plaintiffs allege that the broker defendants used a variety of illegal schemes and anti-competitive practices that resulted in the plaintiffs either paying more for insurance products or receiving less beneficial terms than the competitive market would have produced. Plaintiffs seek equitable and legal remedies, including injunctive relief, consequential and punitive damages, treble damages and attorneys fees. Due to various pending procedural matters, the litigation has not progressed beyond the discovery phase. While it is not possible to predict the outcome of the litigation, the Company does not believe that the outcome will have a material effect on its operations or financial position.

The Company, in common with the insurance industry in general, is subject to litigation and arbitration in the normal course of its business. These legal proceedings generally relate to claims asserted by or against the Company in the ordinary course of insurance or reinsurance operations; estimated amounts payable under such proceedings are included in the reserve for losses and loss expenses in the Company s consolidated balance sheets. As of June 30, 2012, the Company was not a party to any material legal proceedings arising outside the ordinary course of business that is expected by management to have a material adverse effect on the Company s results of operations and financial condition and liquidity.

14. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED GUARANTOR FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following tables present unaudited condensed consolidating financial information as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 for Allied World Switzerland (the Parent Guarantor) and Allied World Bermuda (the Subsidiary Issuer). The Subsidiary Issuer is a direct 100% owned subsidiary of the Parent Guarantor. Investments in subsidiaries are accounted for by the Parent Guarantor under the equity method for purposes of the supplemental consolidating presentation. Earnings of subsidiaries are reflected in the Parent Guarantor s investment accounts and earnings. The Parent Guarantor fully and unconditionally guarantees the senior notes issued by the Subsidiary Issuer.

ALLIED WORLD ASSURANCE COMPANY HOLDINGS, AG

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except share, per share, percentage and ratio information)

Unaudited Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet:

As of June 30, 2012	Allied World Switzerland (Parent Guarantor)	Allied World Bermuda (Subsidiary Issuer)	Other Allied World Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Allied World Switzerland Consolidated
ASSETS:	Guaruntor)	issuei)	Substatuties	rajustinents	Consolidated
Investments	\$	\$	\$ 7,801,418	\$	\$ 7,801,418
Cash and cash equivalents	24.319	19,212	820,919	-	864,450
Insurance balances receivable	_ 1,0 - 2	,	845,663		845,663
Reinsurance recoverable			1,073,612		1,073,612
Net deferred acquisition costs			129,818		129,818
Goodwill and intangible assets			321,007		321,007
Balances receivable on sale of investments			635,727		635,727
Investments in subsidiaries	3,283,286	4,220,504	ĺ	(7,503,790)	ŕ
Due (to) from subsidiaries	(4,457)	(7,361)	11,818		
Other assets	880	6,817	598,259		605,956
Total assets	\$ 3,304,028	\$ 4,239,172	\$ 12,238,241	\$ (7,503,790)	\$ 12,277,651
LIABILITIES:					
Reserve for losses and loss expenses	\$	\$	\$ 5,377,518	\$	\$ 5,377,518
Unearned premiums			1,363,006		1,363,006
Reinsurance balances payable			128,306		128,306
Balances due on purchases of investments			1,220,246		1,220,246
Senior notes		798,080			798,080
Other liabilities	20,127	17,972	68,495		106,594
Total liabilities	20,127	816,052	8,157,571		8,993,750
Total shareholders equity	3,283,901	3,423,120	4,080,670	(7,503,790)	3,283,901
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 3,304,028	\$ 4,239,172	\$ 12,238,241	\$ (7,503,790)	\$ 12,277,651
As of December 31, 2011	Allied World Switzerland (Parent Guarantor)	Allied World Bermuda (Subsidiary Issuer)	Other Allied World Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Allied World Switzerland Consolidated
ASSETS:					
Investments	\$	\$	\$ 7,406,594	\$	\$ 7,406,594
Cash and cash equivalents	112,672	8,886	512,438		633,996
Insurance balances receivable			652,158		652,158

Edgar Filing: Allied World Assurance Co Holdings, AG - Form 10-Q

Reinsurance recoverable			1,002,919		1,002,919
Net deferred acquisition costs			100,334		100,334
Goodwill and intangible assets			322,274		322,274
Balances receivable on sale of investments			580,443		580,443
Investments in subsidiaries	3,064,066	3,964,585		(7,028,651)	
Due (to) from subsidiaries	(4,853)	(6,769)	11,622		
Other assets	1,504	6,367	415,569		423,440
Total assets	\$ 3,173,389	\$ 3,973,069	\$ 11,004,351	\$ (7,028,651)	\$ 11,122,158
	+ -,-,-,-,-	+ -,,,	+,,	+ (.,==,==)	+,,
LIABILITIES:					
Reserve for losses and loss expenses	\$	\$	\$ 5,225,143	\$	\$ 5,225,143
Unearned premiums			1,078,412		1,078,412
Reinsurance balances payable			124,539		124,539
Balances due on purchases of investments			616,728		616,728
Senior notes		797,949			797,949
Other liabilities	24,367	17,688	88,310		130,365
Total liabilities	24,367	815,637	7,133,132		7,973,136
Total manning	21,307	015,057	7,133,132		7,575,150
Total shareholders aguity	3,149,022	3,157,432	2 971 210	(7.009.651)	3,149,022
Total shareholders equity	3,149,022	3,137,432	3,871,219	(7,028,651)	3,149,022
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 3,173,389	\$ 3,973,069	\$ 11,004,351	\$ (7,028,651)	\$ 11,122,158

ALLIED WORLD ASSURANCE COMPANY HOLDINGS, AG

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except share, per share, percentage and ratio information)

Unaudited Condensed Consolidating Income Statement:

	Allied World Switzerland (Parent	Allied World Bermuda (Subsidiary	Other Allied World	Consolidating	Allied World Switzerland
Three months ended June 30, 2012	Guarantor)	Issuer)	Subsidiaries	Adjustments	Consolidated
Net premiums earned	\$	\$	\$ 429,747	\$	\$ 429,747
Net investment income	5	8	42,438		42,451
Net realized investment losses			8,663		8,663
Net losses and loss expenses			(240,380)		(240,380)
Acquisition costs	(4.070)	(1.202)	(51,588)		(51,588)
General and administrative expenses	(4,278)	(1,302)	(68,399)		(73,979)
Amortization of intangible assets		44.004	(634)		(634)
Interest expense		(14,001)			(14,001)
Foreign exchange gain (loss)	460	(42)	601		1,019
Income tax (expense) benefit	(373)		(4,574)		(4,947)
Equity in earnings of consolidated subsidiaries	100,537	115,102		(215,639)	
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$ 96,351	\$ 99,765	\$ 115,874	\$ (215,639)	\$ 96,351
Unrealized gains on investments arising during the period net of applicable deferred income tax expense of \$68			231		231
Reclassification adjustment for net realized investment gains included in net income, net of applicable income tax			(1,142)		(1,142)
Other comprehensive loss			(911)		(911)
outer comprehensive ross			()11)		()11)
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)	\$ 96,351	\$ 99,765	\$ 114,963	\$ (215,639)	\$ 95,440
	Allied World Switzerland (Parent	Allied World Bermuda (Subsidiary	Other Allied World	Consolidating	Allied World Switzerland
Three months ended June 30, 2011	Guarantor)	Issuer)	Subsidiaries	Adjustments	Consolidated
Net premiums earned	\$	\$	\$ 355,307	\$	\$ 355,307
Net investment income	15	8	52,345		52,368
Net realized investment gains			58,878		58,878
Net losses and loss expenses			(235,813)		(235,813)
Acquisition costs			(42,971)		(42,971)
General and administrative expenses	(4,746)	(1,483)	(60,972)		(67,201)
Amortization of intangible assets			(766)		(766)
Interest expense		(13,745)			(13,745)
Foreign exchange gain (loss)	(3)	17	(1,198)		(1,184)
Income tax (expense) benefit			(11,073)		(11,073)
Equity in earnings of consolidated subsidiaries	98,534	114,496		(213,030)	

Edgar Filing: Allied World Assurance Co Holdings, AG - Form 10-Q

NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$ 93,800	\$ 99,293	\$ 113,737	\$ (213,030)	\$ 93,800
Unrealized gains on investments arising during the period net of applicable deferred income tax expense of \$1,461			13,680		13,680
Reclassification adjustment for net realized investment gains included in net income, net of applicable income tax			(23,548)		(23,548)
Other comprehensive loss			(9,868)		(9,868)
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)	\$ 93,800	\$ 99,293	\$ 103,869	\$ (213,030)	\$ 83,932

ALLIED WORLD ASSURANCE COMPANY HOLDINGS, AG

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except share, per share, percentage and ratio information)

Six Months Ended June 30, 2012	Allied World Switzerland (Parent Guarantor)	Allied World Bermuda (Subsidiary Issuer)	Other Allied World Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Allied World Switzerland Consolidated
Net premiums earned	\$	\$	\$ 831,637	\$	\$ 831,637
Net investment income	14	11	89,635	Ψ	89,660
Net realized investment losses	1.	11	142,244		142,244
Net losses and loss expenses			(465,582)		(465,582)
Acquisition costs			(98,726)		(98,726)
General and administrative expenses	(8,234)	(2,454)	(133,657)		(144,345)
Amortization and impairment of intangible assets	(0,=0.1)	(=, := :)	(1,267)		(1,267)
Interest expense		(27,757)	(-,,)		(27,757)
Foreign exchange loss (gain)	549	(67)	618		1,100
Income tax (expense) benefit	71	(07)	(12,528)		(12,457)
Equity in earnings of consolidated subsidiaries	322,107	349,409	(,)	(671,516)	(,,
Equity in earnings of consolidated substituties	322,107	317,107		(071,510)	
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$ 314,507	\$ 319,142	\$ 352,374	\$ (671,516)	\$ 314,507
Unrealized gains on investments arising during the period net of applicable deferred income tax expense of \$96			179		179
Reclassification adjustment for net realized investment gains included in net income, net of applicable income tax			(13,249)		(13,249)
Other comprehensive loss			(13,070)		(13,070)
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)	\$ 314,507	\$ 319,142	\$ 339,304	\$ (671,516)	\$ 301,437
C' M . d . E . l . l	Allied World Switzerland (Parent	Allied World Bermuda (Subsidiary	Other Allied World	Consolidating	Allied World Switzerland
Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 Net premiums earned	Guarantor) \$	Issuer)	Subsidiaries \$ 690,183	Adjustments \$	Consolidated \$ 690,183
Net investment income	φ 45	22	102,509	Ф	102,576
Net realized investment gains	43	22	102,309		102,370
Net losses and loss expenses			(540,265)		(540,265)
Acquisition costs			(81,053)		(81,053)
General and administrative expenses	(5,810)	(4,229)	(125,118)		(135,157)
Amortization of intangible assets	(3,610)	(4,229)			
Interest expense		(27,487)	(1,533)		(1,533) (27,487)
Foreign exchange gain (loss)	1	(224)	(519)		(742)
Income tax (expense) benefit	1	(224)			(13,356)
Equity in earnings of consolidated subsidiaries	108,184	140,859	(13,356)	(249,043)	(13,330)
Equity in Carnings of Consolidated Substitutines	100,104	140,039		(443,043)	
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$ 102,420	\$ 108,941	\$ 140,102	\$ (249,043)	\$ 102,420
Unrealized gains on investments arising during the period net					
of applicable deferred income tax expense of \$2,425			5,636		5,636

Edgar Filing: Allied World Assurance Co Holdings, AG - Form 10-Q

Reclassification adjustment for net realized investment gains included in net income, net of applicable income tax			(39,676)		(39,676)
Other comprehensive loss			(34,040)		(34,040)
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)	\$ 102,420	\$ 108,941	\$ 106,062	\$ (249,043)	\$ 68,380

ALLIED WORLD ASSURANCE COMPANY HOLDINGS, AG

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except share, per share, percentage and ratio information)

Unaudited Condensed Consolidating Cash Flows:

Six Months Ended June 30, 2012	Allied World Switzerland (Parent Guarantor) Allied World Bermuda (Subsidiary Issuer)		Other Allied World Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Allied World Switzerland Consolidated
CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY (USED IN)					
OPERATING ACTIVITIES	\$ 81,902	\$ 10,326	\$ 207,280	\$	\$ 299,508
CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY (USED IN) INVESTING ACTIVITIES:					
Purchases trading securities			(4,005,352)		(4,005,352)
Purchases of other invested assets			(17,778)		(17,778)
Sales of available for sale securities			214,015		214,015
Sales of trading securities			3,959,204		3,959,204
Sales of other invested assets			108,759		108,759
Other			(157,647)		(157,647)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities			101,201		101,201
CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY (USED IN) FINANCING ACTIVITIES:					
Partial par value reduction	(28,003)				(28,003)
Proceeds from the exercise of stock options	6,697				6,697
Share repurchases	(148,949)				(148,949)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(170,255)				(170,255)
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND					
CASH EQUIVALENTS	(88,353)	10,326	308,481		230,454
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING					
OF PERIOD	112,672	8,886	512,438		633,996
	•		•		
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF PERIOD	\$ 24,319	\$ 19,212	\$ 820,919	\$	\$ 864,450

ALLIED WORLD ASSURANCE COMPANY HOLDINGS, AG

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except share, per share, percentage and ratio information)

Six Months Ended June 30, 2011	Allied World Switzerland (Parent Guarantor) Allied World Bermuda (Subsidiary Issuer)		Other Allied World Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Allied World Switzerland Consolidated
CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY (USED IN)					
OPERATING ACTIVITIES:	\$ (8,013)	\$ (32,760)	\$ 404,809	\$	\$ 364,036
CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY (USED IN) INVESTING ACTIVITIES:					
Purchases of available for sale securities			(352)		(352)
Purchases of trading securities			(4,194,787)		(4,194,787)
Purchases of other invested assets			(240,410)		(240,410)
Sales of available for sale securities			560,794		560,794
Sales of trading securities			3,540,053		3,540,053
Sales of other invested assets			36,067		36,067
Other	(4,496)		31,700		27,204
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY (USED IN)	(4,496)		(266,935)		(271,431)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES:					
Proceeds from the exercise of stock options	4.824				4,824
Share repurchases	(60,000)				(60,000)
Repurchase of founder warrants	(00,000)	(53,620)			(53,620)
Other	(216)	(881)	1,097		(88,828)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(55,392)	(54,501)	1,097		(108,796)
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND					
CASH EQUIVALENTS	(67,901)	(87,261)	138,971		(16,191)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF PERIOD	138,488	125,663	492,844		756,995
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF PERIOD	\$ 70,587	\$ 38,402	\$ 631,815	\$	\$ 740,804

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this Form 10-Q. References in this Form 10-Q to the terms we, us, our, the company or other similar terms mean the consolidated operations of Allied World Assurance Company Holdings, AG, a Swiss holding company, and our consolidated subsidiaries, unless the context requires otherwise. References in this Form 10-Q to the term Allied World Switzerland or Holdings means only Allied World Assurance Company Holdings, AG. References to Allied World Bermuda mean only Allied World Assurance Company Holdings, Ltd, a Bermuda holding company. References to our insurance subsidiaries may include our reinsurance subsidiaries. References in this Form 10-Q to \$ are to the lawful currency of the United States and to CHF are to the lawful currency of Switzerland. References in this Form 10-Q to Holdings common shares mean its registered voting shares.

Note on Forward-Looking Statement

This Form 10-Q and other publicly available documents may include, and our officers and representatives may from time to time make, projections concerning financial information and statements concerning future economic performance and events, plans and objectives relating to management, operations, products and services, and assumptions underlying these projections and statements. These projections and statements are forward-looking statements within the meaning of The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and are not historical facts but instead represent only our belief regarding future events, many of which, by their nature, are inherently uncertain and outside our control. These projections and statements may address, among other things, our strategy for growth, product development, financial results and reserves. Actual results and financial condition may differ, possibly materially, from these projections and statements and therefore you should not place undue reliance on them. Factors that could cause our actual results to differ, possibly materially, from those in the specific projections and statements are discussed throughout this Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and in Risk Factors in Item 1A. of Part I of our 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) on February 29, 2012 (the 2011 Form 10-K). We are under no obligation (and expressly disclaim any such obligation) to update or revise any forward-looking statement that may be made from time to time, whether as a result of new information, future developments or otherwise.

Overview

Our Business

We write a diversified portfolio of property and casualty insurance and reinsurance internationally through our subsidiaries and branches based in Bermuda, Europe, Hong Kong, Singapore and the United States as well as our Lloyd s Syndicate 2232. We manage our business through three operating segments: U.S. insurance, international insurance and reinsurance. As of June 30, 2012, we had approximately \$12.3 billion of total assets, \$3.3 billion of total shareholders equity and \$4.1 billion of total capital, which includes shareholders equity and senior notes.

During the three months ended June 30, 2012, we continued to experience rate increases on property lines that had experienced significant loss activity in the prior year. We also continued to see rate improvement during the quarter on some of our casualty lines of business in certain jurisdictions. We believe that there are opportunities where certain products have attractive premium rates and that the expanded breadth of our operations allows us to target those classes of business. Given these trends, we continue to be selective in the insurance policies and reinsurance contracts we underwrite. Our consolidated gross premiums written increased by \$127.3 million, or 24.5%, for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011. Our net income increased by \$2.6 million to \$96.4 million compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011. The increase resulted from the improvement in underwriting results due to significantly lower catastrophe losses. This was partially offset by lower investment income and net realized investment gains. Net realized investment gains decreased by \$50.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011.

Our consolidated gross premiums written increased by \$247.5 million, or 22.9%, for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011. Our net income increased by \$212.1 million to \$314.5 million compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011, primarily as a result of lower net losses and loss expenses. The six months ended June 30, 2011 include \$199.7 million of property catastrophe losses in the Asia-Pacific region and Midwestern United States.

30

Financial Highlights

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 2011				Six Months Er 2012	nded Jur	ne 30, 2011	
					share	2012 and percentage	data)	2011
Gross premiums written	\$	646.9	\$	519.6	\$	1,327.8	\$	1,080.3
Net income		96.4		93.8		314.5		102.4
Operating income		87.3		44.2		178.8		2.8
Basic earnings per share:								
Net income	\$	2.66	\$	2.45	\$	8.56	\$	2.69
Operating income	\$	2.41	\$	1.15	\$	4.87	\$	0.08
Diluted earnings per share:								
Net income	\$	2.59	\$	2.36	\$	8.41	\$	2.57
Operating income	\$	2.35	\$	1.11	\$	4.78	\$	0.07
Weighted average common shares outstanding:								
Basic	36	,288,596	38	,346,489	36	5,746,881	38	,061,724
Diluted	37	,189,722	39	,800,753	37	7,395,559	39	,873,418
Basic book value per common share	\$	91.36	\$	80.23	\$	91.36	\$	80.23
Diluted book value per common share	\$	88.24	\$	76.68	\$	88.24	\$	76.68
Annualized return on average equity (ROAE), net income		11.8%		12.6%		19.6%		6.8%
Annualized ROAE, operating income		10.7%		6.0%		11.1%		0.2%
Non-GAAP Financial Measures								

In presenting the company s results, management has included and discussed certain non-GAAP financial measures, as such term is defined in Item 10(e) of Regulation S-K promulgated by the SEC. Management believes that these non-GAAP measures, which may be defined differently by other companies, better explain the company s results of operations in a manner that allows for a more complete understanding of the underlying trends in the company s business. However, these measures should not be viewed as a substitute for those determined in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP).

Operating income & operating income per share

Operating income is an internal performance measure used in the management of our operations and represents after-tax operational results excluding, as applicable, net realized investment gains or losses, net impairment charges recognized in earnings, net foreign exchange gain or loss, impairment of intangible assets and other non-recurring items. We exclude net realized investment gains or losses, net impairment charges recognized in earnings, net foreign exchange gain or loss and any other non-recurring items from our calculation of operating income because these amounts are heavily influenced by and fluctuate in part according to the availability of market opportunities and other factors. We exclude impairment of intangible assets as these are non-recurring charges. In addition to presenting net income determined in accordance with U.S. GAAP, we believe that showing operating income enables investors, analysts, rating agencies and other users of our financial information to more easily analyze our results of operations and our underlying business performance. Operating income should not be viewed as a substitute for U.S. GAAP net income. The following is a reconciliation of operating income to its most closely related U.S. GAAP measure, net income.

	Three Months F 2012	2011	Six Months End 2012	ded June 30, 2011
	millions e	xcept share, per	share and percent	age data)
Net income	\$ 96.4	\$ 93.8	\$ 314.5	\$ 102.4
Add after tax effect of:				
Net realized investment gains	(8.1)	(50.8)	(134.6)	(100.3)
Foreign exchange (gain) loss	(1.0)	1.2	(1.1)	0.7
Operating income	\$ 87.3	\$ 44.2	\$ 178.8	\$ 2.8
Basic per share data:				
Net income	\$ 2.66	\$ 2.45	\$ 8.56	\$ 2.69
Add after tax effect of:				
Net realized investment gains	(0.22)	(1.32)	(3.66)	(2.64)
Foreign exchange (gain) loss	(0.03)	0.02	(0.03)	0.03
Operating income	\$ 2.41	\$ 1.15	\$ 4.87	\$ 0.08
Diluted per share data:				
Net income	\$ 2.59	\$ 2.36	\$ 8.41	\$ 2.57
Add after tax effect of:				
Net realized investment gains	(0.22)	(1.28)	(3.60)	(2.52)
Foreign exchange (gain) loss	(0.02)	0.03	(0.03)	0.02
Operating income	\$ 2.35	\$ 1.11	\$ 4.78	\$ 0.07

Diluted book value per share

We have included diluted book value per share because it takes into account the effect of dilutive securities; therefore, we believe it is an important measure of calculating shareholder returns.

		As of June 30,
	2012	2011
		lions except share and
	ŗ	per share data)
Price per share at period end	\$ 79.	47 \$ 57.58
Total shareholders equity	\$ 3,283	3.9 \$ 3,044.4
Basic common shares outstanding	35,942,9	64 37,945,043
Add:		
Unvested restricted share units	185,8	09 473,967
Performance based equity awards	510,5	30 920,164
Dilutive options/warrants outstanding	1,365,2	45 1,124,438
Weighted average exercise price per share	\$ 46.	04 \$ 38.83
Deduct:		
Options bought back via treasury method	(790,8	88) (758,342)
Common shares and common share equivalents outstanding	37,213,6	60 39,705,270
Basic book value per common share	\$ 91.	36 \$ 80.23
Diluted book value per common share	\$ 88.	24 \$ 76.68
Annualized return on average equity		

Annualized return on average shareholders equity (ROAE) is calculated using average equity, excluding the average after tax unrealized gains or losses on investments. We present ROAE as a measure that is commonly recognized as a standard of performance by investors, analysts, rating agencies and other users of our financial information.

Annualized operating return on average shareholders equity is calculated using operating income and average shareholders equity, excluding the average after tax unrealized gains or losses on investments.

	Th	ree Month 2012		l June 30, 2011		x Months En		une 30, 2011
			(\$ in mi	llions excep	ot per	centage data	1)	
Opening shareholders equity	9	\$ 3,245.8	\$:	2,951.0	\$	3,149.0	\$ 3	,075.8
Deduct: accumulated other comprehensive income		(2.3)		(33.0)		(14.5)		(57.1)
Adjusted opening shareholders equity	9	\$ 3,243.5	\$ 2	2,918.0	\$	3,134.5	\$ 3	,018.7
Closing shareholders equity	9	\$ 3,283.9	\$:	3,044.4	\$	3,283.9	\$ 3	,044.4
Deduct: accumulated other comprehensive income		(1.4)		(23.1)		(1.4)		(23.1)
Adjusted closing shareholders equity	9	\$ 3,282.5	\$:	3,021.3	\$	3,282.5	\$ 3	,021.3
Average shareholders equity	9	\$ 3,263.0	\$:	2,969.7	\$	3,208.5	\$ 3	,020.0
Net income available to shareholders	9	\$ 96.4	\$	93.8	\$	314.5	\$	102.4
Annualized return on average shareholders equity net income available to								
shareholders		11.8%)	12.6%		19.6%		6.8%
Operating income available to shareholders	9	\$ 87.3	\$	44.2	\$	178.8	\$	2.8
Annualized return on average shareholders equity operating income available	le to							
shareholders		10.7%		6.0%		11.1%		0.2%

Relevant Factors

Revenues

We derive our revenues primarily from premiums on our insurance policies and reinsurance contracts, net of any reinsurance or retrocessional coverage purchased. Insurance and reinsurance premiums are a function of the amounts and types of policies and contracts we write, as well as prevailing market prices. Our prices are determined before our ultimate costs, which may extend far into the future, are known. In addition, our revenues include income generated from our investment portfolio, consisting of net investment income and net realized investment gains or losses. Investment income is principally derived from interest and dividends earned on investments, partially offset by investment management expenses and fees paid to our custodian bank. Net realized investment gains or losses include gains or losses from the sale of investments, as well as the change in the fair value of investments that we mark-to-market through net income.

Expenses

Our expenses consist largely of net losses and loss expenses, acquisition costs and general and administrative expenses. Net losses and loss expenses incurred are comprised of three main components:

losses paid, which are actual cash payments to insureds and reinsureds, net of recoveries from reinsurers;

outstanding loss or case reserves, which represent management s best estimate of the likely settlement amount for known claims, less the portion that can be recovered from reinsurers; and

Edgar Filing: Allied World Assurance Co Holdings, AG - Form 10-Q

reserves for losses incurred but not reported, or IBNR, which are reserves (in addition to case reserves) established by us that we believe are needed for the future settlement of claims. The portion recoverable from reinsurers is deducted from the gross estimated loss.

Acquisition costs are comprised of commissions, brokerage fees and insurance taxes. Commissions and brokerage fees are usually calculated as a percentage of premiums and depend on the market and line of business. Acquisition costs are reported after (1) deducting commissions received on ceded reinsurance, (2) deducting the part of acquisition costs relating to unearned premiums and (3) including the amortization of previously deferred acquisition costs.

33

General and administrative expenses include personnel expenses including stock-based compensation expense, rent expense, professional fees, information technology costs and other general operating expenses.

Ratios

Management measures results for each segment on the basis of the loss and loss expense ratio, acquisition cost ratio, general and administrative expense ratio, expense ratio and the combined ratio. Because we do not manage our assets by segment, investment income, interest expense and total assets are not allocated to individual reportable segments. General and administrative expenses are allocated to segments based on various factors, including staff count and each segment s proportional share of gross premiums written. The loss and loss expense ratio is derived by dividing net losses and loss expenses by net premiums earned. The acquisition cost ratio is derived by dividing acquisition costs by net premiums earned. The general and administrative expense ratio is the sum of the acquisition cost ratio and the general and administrative expense ratio. The combined ratio is the sum of the loss and loss expense ratio, the acquisition cost ratio and the general and administrative expense ratio.

Critical Accounting Policies

It is important to understand our accounting policies in order to understand our financial position and results of operations. Our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements reflect determinations that are inherently subjective in nature and require management to make assumptions and best estimates to determine the reported values. If events or other factors cause actual results to differ materially from management s underlying assumptions or estimates, there could be a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations. We believe that some of the more critical judgments in the areas of accounting estimates and assumptions that affect our financial condition and results of operations are related to reserves for losses and loss expenses, reinsurance recoverables, premiums and acquisition costs, valuation of financial instruments and goodwill and other intangible asset impairment valuation. For a detailed discussion of our critical accounting policies, please refer to our 2011 Form 10-K. There were no material changes in the application of our critical accounting estimates subsequent to that report.

34

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth our selected consolidated statement of operations data for each of the periods indicated.

		Three Months Ended June 30,		s Ended 30,
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Revenues		(\$ in i	millions)	
Gross premiums written	\$ 646.9	\$ 519.6	\$ 1,327.8	\$ 1,080.3
Gross premiums written	φ 0 4 0.9	ф 319.0	\$ 1,327.6	\$ 1,060.5
Net premiums written	\$ 494.7	\$ 395.8	\$ 1,083.7	\$ 876.7
Net premiums earned	\$ 429.7	\$ 355.3	\$ 831.6	\$ 690.2
Net investment income	42.5	52.4	89.7	102.6
Net realized investment gains	8.6	58.9	142.2	109.3
	\$ 480.8	\$ 466.6	\$ 1,063.5	\$ 902.1
Expenses				
Net losses and loss expenses	\$ 240.4	\$ 235.8	\$ 465.6	\$ 540.3
Acquisition costs	51.6	43.0	98.7	81.1
General and administrative expenses	73.9	67.2	144.3	135.2
Amortization and impairment of intangible assets	0.6	0.8	1.3	1.5
Interest expense	14.0	13.7	27.7	27.5
Foreign exchange (gain) loss	(1.0)	1.2	(1.1)	0.7
	\$ 379.5	\$ 361.7	\$ 736.5	\$ 786.3
Income before income taxes	101.3	104.9	327.0	115.8
Income tax expense	4.9	11.1	12.5	13.4
•				
Net income	\$ 96.4	\$ 93.8	\$ 314.5	\$ 102.4
Ratios				
Loss and loss expense ratio	55.9%	66.4%	56.0%	78.39
Acquisition cost ratio	12.0%	12.1%	11.9%	11.79
General and administrative expense ratio	17.2%	18.9%	17.3%	19.69
Expense ratio	29.2%	31.0%	29.2%	31.39
Combined ratio	85.1%	97.4%	85.2%	109.69
Comparison of Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011				

Premiums

Gross premiums written increased by \$127.3 million, or 24.5%, for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011. The overall increase in gross premiums written was primarily the result of the following:

Gross premiums written in our U.S. insurance segment increased by \$39.3 million, or 17.3%. The increase in gross premiums written was primarily due to increased new business across most lines, growth from premiums from new products introduced in 2010 and 2011 and rate increases in all lines of business. This growth was partially offset by non-recurring business, the non-renewal of business that did not meet our underwriting requirements (which included inadequate pricing and/or terms and conditions) and

Edgar Filing: Allied World Assurance Co Holdings, AG - Form 10-Q

continued competition;

Gross premiums written in our international insurance segment increased by \$5.0 million, or 2.8%, primarily as a result of increased premiums from new products and rate increases in select lines of business. This growth was partially offset by the non-renewal of business that did not meet our underwriting requirements (which included inadequate pricing and/or terms and conditions) and continued competition; and

35

Gross premiums written in our reinsurance segment increased by \$83.0 million, or 72.6%. The increase in gross premiums written was primarily due to new business, both from new products and new regions, as well as increased participation on renewing business combined with rate increases. This growth was partially offset by the non-renewal of business that did not meet our underwriting requirements (which included inadequate pricing and/or terms and conditions) and continued competition.

The table below illustrates our gross premiums written by geographic location for each of the periods indicated.

	ee Months 2012	June 30, 2011 (\$ millions)	Dollar Change	Percentage Change
United States	\$ 349.5	\$ 269.2	\$ 80.3	29.8%
Bermuda	193.3	177.9	15.4	8.7%
Europe	60.0	58.2	1.8	3.1%
Singapore	40.1	10.3	29.8	289.3%
Hong Kong	4.0	4.0		0.0%
	\$ 646.9	\$ 519.6	\$ 127.3	24.5%

Net premiums written increased by \$98.9 million, or 25.0%, for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011. The increase in net premiums written was due to the increase in gross premiums written. The difference between gross and net premiums written is the cost to us of purchasing reinsurance coverage, including the cost of property catastrophe reinsurance coverage. We ceded 23.5% of gross premiums written for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to 23.8% for the same period in 2011.

Net premiums earned increased by \$74.4 million, or 20.9%, for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011 as a result of higher net premiums written in 2011 and 2012.

We evaluate our business by segment, distinguishing between U.S. insurance, international insurance and reinsurance. The following table illustrates the mix of our business on both a gross premiums written and net premiums earned basis.

	Gross Pre Writte		Net Pren Earne	rned	
	Three Months Er	nded June 30,	Three Months Ended June 30		
	2012	2011	2012	2011	
U.S. insurance	41.1%	43.6%	37.9%	41.1%	
International insurance	28.4%	34.4%	19.2%	22.5%	
Reinsurance	30.5%	22.0%	42.9%	36.4%	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Net Investment Income

Net investment income decreased by \$9.9 million, or 18.9%, for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011. The decrease was due to lower yields on our fixed maturity investments as well as an increased allocation to other invested assets that contribute to our total return but carry little or no current yield. The annualized period book yield of the investment portfolio for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 was 2.1% and 2.7%, respectively.

The decrease in the annualized period book yield was due to the reinvestment of cash at lower rates and an increased allocation to lower risk asset classes combined with lower market yields. As of June 30, 2012, we held 19.6% of our total investments and cash equivalents in U.S. government or government agency securities, compared to 14.4% as of June 30, 2011. Cash and cash equivalents also increased to 12.4% of total investments and cash equivalents as we continue to actively manage the duration of our investment portfolio, compared to 9.7% as of June 30, 2011. Our average duration decreased to 2.0 years as of June 30, 2012 compared to 2.3 years as of June 30, 2011.

Investment management expenses of \$4.0 million and \$3.4 million were incurred during the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The increase in investment management expenses was primarily due to the increase in the size of our investment portfolio, as well as expenses from higher expense asset classes.

As of June 30, 2012, approximately 91.4% of our fixed income investments consisted of investment grade securities. As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the average credit rating of our fixed income portfolio was AA- as rated by Standard & Poor s and Aa3 as rated by Moody s.

Realized Investment Gains

During the three months ended June 30, 2012, we recognized \$8.6 million in net realized investment gains compared to \$58.9 million during the three months ended June 30, 2011. We did not recognize any net impairment charges during the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011. Net realized investment gains for the three months ended June 30, 2012 were comprised of the following:

Net realized investment gains of \$38.0 million primarily from the sale of fixed maturity securities and equity securities, partially offset by realized losses from the sale of other invested assets,

Net realized and unrealized investment losses of \$5.9 million on derivatives, and

Net realized investment losses of \$23.5 million related to mark-to-market adjustments for our other invested assets, equity securities and fixed maturity investments that are accounted for as trading securities.

The following table shows the components of the mark-to-market adjustments for the three months ended June 30, 2012.

	June	Ionths Ended e 30, 2012 millions)
Fixed maturity investments accounted for as trading securities	\$	(24.3)
Other invested assets and equity securities		0.8
Total	\$	(23.5)

Net realized investment gains of \$58.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011 were primarily comprised of the following:

Net realized investment gains of \$31.3 million from the sale of securities,

Net realized and unrealized investment losses of \$10.0 million from derivatives, and

Net realized investment gains of \$37.6 million primarily related to the mark-to-market adjustments for our other invested assets, equity securities and fixed maturity investments that are accounted for as trading securities.

The total return of our investment portfolio was 0.6% and 1.3% for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Net Losses and Loss Expenses

Edgar Filing: Allied World Assurance Co Holdings, AG - Form 10-Q

Net losses and loss expenses increased by \$4.6 million, or 2.0%, for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011. The loss and loss expense ratio decreased by 10.5 percentage points for the same period. The increase in net loss and loss expenses was due to growth in net premiums earned and lower net favorable prior year reserve development. This was partially offset by the absence of significant catastrophe losses for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011 when we recognized estimated losses from Asia-Pacific catastrophes and Midwestern U.S. storms of \$67.5 million. In 2011, we also incurred \$11.5 million for the commutation of prior year contracts. Excluding the prior year reserve development, property catastrophe losses and the impact of the commutation, the loss and loss expense ratios would have been 65.7% and 61.8% for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

		nths Ended 60, 2012	Three Mont June 30,		Dollar	Change in Percentage
	Amount	% of NPE	Amount (\$ in millions)	NPE ⁽²⁾	Change	Points
Non-catastrophe	\$ 282.3	65.7%	\$ 212.0	61.8%	\$ 70.3	3.9Pts
Property catastrophe			67.5	19.7	(67.5)	(19.7)
Current period	282.3	65.7	279.5	81.5	2.8	(15.8)
Prior period	(41.9)	(9.8)	(55.2)	(16.1)	13.3	6.3
Impact of commutation ⁽¹⁾			11.5	1.0	(11.5)	(1.0)
Net losses and loss expenses	\$ 240.4	55.9%	\$ 235.8	66.4%	\$ 4.6	(10.5)Pts

- (1) Reflects the impact of the commutation of prior year contracts in the three months ended June 30, 2011, which increased prior year net losses and loss expenses by \$11.5 million and increased net premiums earned by \$12.4 million.
- (2) Current period and prior period losses as a % of net premiums earned (NPE) are calculated excluding the effect of the commutation on net premiums earned.

We recorded net favorable reserve development related to prior years of \$41.9 million during the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to net favorable reserve development of \$55.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, as shown in the tables below.

	(Favorable) and Unfavorable Loss Reserve Development by Loss Year										
	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2012										
	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Total
		(\$ in millions)									
U.S. insurance	\$	\$ (0.4)	\$ (1.5)	\$ (0.2)	\$ (3.9)	\$ (9.3)	\$ 0.8	\$ (2.7)	\$ 4.5	\$ 6.5	\$ (6.2)
International insurance	7.3	(1.1)	(3.3)	(7.5)	(11.4)	(7.5)	(3.1)	(1.2)		(1.3)	(29.1)
Reinsurance	(0.4)	1.6	(1.7)	(0.3)	(4.8)	(5.3)	(0.7)	1.0	(5.8)	9.8	(6.6)
	\$ 6.9	\$ 0.1	\$ (6.5)	\$ (8.0)	\$ (20.1)	\$ (22.1)	\$ (3.0)	\$ (2.9)	\$ (1.3)	\$ 15.0	\$ (41.9)

The net favorable reserve development is a result of actual loss emergence being lower than anticipated. The unfavorable reserve development in our U.S. insurance segment for the 2010 and 2011 loss years was due to adverse development on a terminated program and certain errors and omissions products. The unfavorable reserve development in our reinsurance segment for the 2011 loss year was due to increased property losses and casualty non-standard auto risks.

The following table shows the net favorable reserve development by loss year for each of our segments for the three months ended June 30, 2011.

	(Favorable) and Unfavorable Loss Reserve Development by Loss Year									
	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2011									
	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Total
	(\$ in millions)									
U.S. insurance	\$	\$ (0.7)	\$ (1.8)	\$ (6.7)	\$ (1.1)	\$ (2.2)	\$ 0.9	\$ 0.8	\$ 0.6	\$ (10.2)
International insurance	(0.3)	(1.4)	(3.0)	(6.3)	(9.8)	(14.0)	6.6	(6.0)	22.2	(12.0)
Reinsurance	(0.5)	(0.8)	(1.1)	(12.9)	(6.3)	(3.9)	(0.7)	(0.8)	(6.0)	(33.0)
	\$ (0.8)	\$ (2.9)	\$ (5.9)	\$ (25.9)	\$ (17.2)	\$ (20.1)	\$ 6.8	\$ (6.0)	\$ 16.8	\$ (55.2)

Edgar Filing: Allied World Assurance Co Holdings, AG - Form 10-Q

The unfavorable reserve development in our international insurance segment for the 2010 loss year was primarily due to a casualty claim emanating from an oil field services risk.

38

The following table shows the components of net losses and loss expenses for each of the periods indicated.

		Three Months Ended June 30,			
	2012	2011	Change		
	(\$ in mi	(\$ in millions)			
Net losses paid	\$ 205.2	\$ 128.7	\$ 76.5		
Net change in reported case reserves	14.0	116.5	(102.5)		
Net change in IBNR	21.2	(9.4)	30.6		
Net losses and loss expenses	\$ 240.4	\$ 235.8	\$ 4.6		

The table below is a reconciliation of the beginning and ending reserves for losses and loss expenses. Losses incurred and paid are reflected net of reinsurance recoverables.

	Three Months Ended June 30,		
	2012 (\$ in m	2011 illions)	
Net reserves for losses and loss expenses, April 1	\$ 4,274.6	\$ 4,125.1	
Incurred related to:	Ψ 1,27 1.0	ψ 1,123.1	
Commutation of variable-rated reinsurance contracts		11.5	
Current period non-catastrophe	282.3	212.0	
Current period property catastrophe		67.5	
Prior period	(41.9)	(55.2)	
Total incurred	240.4	235.8	
Paid related to:			
Current period non-catastrophe	18.2	9.6	
Current period property catastrophe		9.9	
Prior period	187.0	109.2	
Total paid	205.2	128.7	
Foreign exchange revaluation	(5.9)	5.2	
Net reserve for losses and loss expenses, June 30	4,303.9	4,237.4	
Losses and loss expenses recoverable	1,073.6	1,013.9	
·	,	·	
Reserve for losses and loss expenses, June 30	\$ 5,377.5	\$ 5,251.3	

Acquisition Costs

Acquisition costs increased by \$8.6 million, or 20.0%, for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011. The increase in acquisition costs was primarily due to the increase in net premiums earned. Acquisition costs as a percentage of net premiums earned were 12.0% for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to 12.1% for the same period in 2011.

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses increased by \$6.7 million, or 10.0%, for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011. The increase in general and administrative expenses was primarily due to increased salary and related costs as average headcount increased by 8% to support our continued growth, combined with higher stock compensation expense resulting from the 15.7% increase in our

Edgar Filing: Allied World Assurance Co Holdings, AG - Form 10-Q

share price during the quarter. This was partially offset by \$2.6 million in non-recurring costs incurred in 2011 related to the proposed merger with Transatlantic Holdings, Inc.

39

Our general and administrative expense ratio was 17.2% for the three months ended June 30, 2012, which was lower than the 18.9% for the three months ended June 30, 2011. The decrease was due to the growth in net premiums earned being greater than the increase in expenses.

The expense ratio is the sum of the acquisition cost ratio and the general and administrative expense ratio. Our expense ratio was 29.2% for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to 31.0% for the three months ended June 30, 2011. The decrease was primarily due to the 1.7 percentage point decrease in the general and administrative expense ratio, as discussed above.

Amortization of Intangible Assets

The amortization of intangible assets decreased by \$0.2 million, or 25.0%, for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011. The decrease is due to certain intangible assets that were fully amortized during 2011.

Interest Expense

Interest expense increased by \$0.3 million, or 2.2%, for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011. The increase was due to fees associated with the termination of our \$400 million unsecured credit facility.

Net Income

Net income for the three months ended June 30, 2012 was \$96.4 million compared to net income of \$93.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011. The \$2.6 million increase was primarily the result of significantly lower catastrophe losses, partially offset by lower investment returns.

Comparison of Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

Premiums

Gross premiums written increased by \$247.5 million, or 22.9%, for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011. The overall increase in gross premiums written was primarily the result of the following:

Gross premiums written in our U.S. insurance increased by \$60.1 million, or 14.7%. The increase in gross premiums written was primarily due to increased new business across most lines, growth from new products introduced in 2010 and 2011, and rate increases in all lines of business. This growth was partially offset by non-recurring business, the non-renewal of business that did not meet our underwriting requirements (which included inadequate pricing and/or terms and conditions) and continued competition;

Gross premiums written in our international insurance segment increased by \$7.3 million, or 2.5%, primarily as a result of increased premiums from new products, specifically our trade credit and small to mid-sized enterprise (SME) insurance products, and rate increases in select lines of business. This growth was partially offset by non-recurring business, the non-renewal of business that did not meet our underwriting requirements (which included inadequate pricing and/or terms and conditions) and continued competition; and

Gross premiums written in our reinsurance segment increased by \$180.1 million, or 47.4%. The increase in gross premiums written was primarily due to new business, both from new products and new regions, as well as increased participations on renewing business combined with rate increases. This growth was partially offset by the non-renewal of business that did not meet our underwriting requirements (which included inadequate pricing and/or terms and conditions) and continued competition.

40

The table below illustrates our gross premiums written by geographic location for each of the periods indicated.

	Six Months E 2012	nded June 30, 2011	Dollar Change	Percentage Change
	2012	(\$ in mi	0	Change
United States	\$ 738.5	\$ 575.8	\$ 162.7	28.3%
Bermuda	375.4	348.3	27.1	7.8%
Europe	135.4	122.3	13.1	10.7%
Singapore	69.3	25.6	43.7	170.7%
Hong Kong	9.2	8.3	0.9	10.8%
	\$ 1,327.8	\$ 1,080.3	\$ 247.5	22.9%

Net premiums written increased by \$207.0 million, or 23.6%, for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011. The increase in net premiums written was due to the increase in gross premiums written. The difference between gross and net premiums written is the cost to us of purchasing reinsurance coverage, including the cost of property catastrophe reinsurance coverage. We ceded 18.4% of gross premiums written for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to 18.8% for the same period in 2011. This decrease was due to higher writings in our reinsurance segment, where we retain substantially all of the premiums written.

Net premiums earned increased by \$141.4 million, or 20.5%, for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011 as a result of higher net premiums written in 2011 and 2012.

We evaluate our business by segment, distinguishing between U.S. insurance, international insurance and reinsurance. The following table illustrates the mix of our business on both a gross premiums written and net premiums earned basis.

	W	Premiums ritten Ended June 30,	Net Premiums Earned Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2012	2011	2012	2011	
U.S. insurance	35.4%	38.0%	38.1%	40.8%	
International insurance	22.4%	26.8%	19.5%	22.6%	
Reinsurance	42.2%	35.2%	42.4%	36.6%	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Net Investment Income

Net investment income decreased by \$12.9 million, or 12.6%, for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011. The decrease was due to lower yields on our fixed maturity investments as well as an increased allocation to other invested assets, which contribute to our total return but carry little or no current yield. The annualized period book yield of the investment portfolio for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 was 2.2% and 2.7%, respectively.

The decrease in the annualized period book yield was due to the reinvestment of cash at lower rates and an increased allocation to lower risk asset classes combined with lower market yields. As of June 30, 2012, we held 19.6% of our total investments and cash equivalents in U.S. government or government agency securities, compared to 14.4% as of June 30, 2011. Cash and cash equivalents also increased to 12.4% of total investments and cash equivalents as we continue to actively manage the duration of our investment portfolio, compared to 9.7% as of June 30, 2011. Our average duration decreased to 2.0 years as of June 30, 2012 compared to 2.3 years as of June 30, 2011.

Investment management expenses of \$8.3 million and \$6.7 million were incurred during the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The increase in investment management expenses was primarily due to the increase in the size of our investment portfolio, as well as expenses from higher expense asset classes.

Realized Investment Gains

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, we recognized \$142.2 million in net realized investment gains compared to net realized investment gains of \$109.3 million during the six months ended June 30, 2011. During the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, we did not recognize any net impairment charges. Net realized investment gains for the six months ended June 30, 2012 were comprised of the following:

Net realized investment gains of \$55.3 million primarily from the sale of fixed maturity securities and equity securities, partially offset by realized losses on other invested assets,

Net realized and unrealized investment gains of \$0.8 million from derivatives, and

Net realized investment gains of \$86.2 million related to mark-to-market adjustments for other invested assets, equity securities and fixed maturity investments accounted for as trading securities.

The following table shows the components of the mark-to-market adjustments for the six months ended June 30, 2012.

		ths Ended 30, 2012
	(\$ in m	nillions)
Fixed maturity investments accounted for as trading securities	\$	44.2
Other invested assets and equity securities		42.0
Total	\$	86.2

Net realized investment gains for the six months ended June 30, 2011 were comprised primarily of the following:

Net realized investment gains of \$52.9 million from the sale of securities,

Net realized and unrealized investment losses of \$15.5 million on derivatives, and

Net realized investment gains of \$71.9 million primarily related to the mark-to-market adjustments for our other invested assets, equity securities and fixed maturity investments that are accounted for as trading securities.

The total return of our investment portfolio was 2.6% and 2.2% for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Net Losses and Loss Expenses

Net losses and loss expenses decreased by \$74.7 million, or 13.8%, for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011. The loss and loss expense ratio decreased by 22.3 percentage points for the same period. The decrease in net loss and loss expenses was due to the absence of significant catastrophe losses for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011, when we recognized estimated losses from Asia-Pacific catastrophes and Midwestern U.S. storms of \$199.7 million. This was partially offset by lower net favorable prior year reserve development. Excluding the prior year reserve development, property catastrophe losses and the impact of the commutation, the loss and loss expense ratios would have been 65.8% and 63.2% for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

		Six Months Ended June 30, 2012		s Ended , 2011		Change in	
	Amount	% of NPE	Amount (\$ in millions)	% of NPE ⁽²⁾	Dollar Change	Percentage Points	
Non-catastrophe	\$ 547.0	65.8%	\$ 428.6	63.2%	\$ 118.4	2.6Pts	
Property catastrophe			199.7	29.5	(199.7)	(29.5)	
Current period	547.0	65.8	628.3	92.7	(81.3)	(26.9)	
Prior period	(81.4)	(9.8)	(99.5)	(14.7)	18.1	4.9	
Impact of commutation ⁽¹⁾			11.5	0.3	(11.5)	(0.3)	
Net losses and loss expenses	\$ 465.6	56.0%	\$ 540.3	78.3%	\$ (74.7)	(22.3)Pts	

- (1) Reflects the impact of the commutation of prior year contracts in the six months ended June 30, 2011, which increased prior year net losses and loss expenses by \$11.5 million and increased net premiums earned by \$12.4 million.
- (2) Current period and prior period losses as a % of NPE are calculated excluding the effect of the commutation on net premiums earned. We recorded net favorable reserve development related to prior years of \$81.4 million during the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to net favorable reserve development of \$99.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, as shown in the tables below.

(Favorable) and Unfavorable Loss Reserve Development by Loss Year For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2010 2011 **Total** (\$ in millions) U.S. insurance \$ (0.1) \$ 1.1 \$ 9.3 \$ 15.4 \$ (13.4) \$ \$ (1.2) \$ (3.8) \$ (10.4) \$ (18.4) \$ (5.3) International insurance 5.7 (2.4)(5.8)(10.5)(28.3)(17.4)(5.4)(1.8)(6.6)23.1 (49.4)Reinsurance 0.1 0.7 (0.8)(11.5)(1.6)1.9 8.5 (18.6)(7.6)(7.0)(1.3)\$ 5.7 \$(1.7) \$(7.8) \$(21.9) \$(45.7) \$(47.3) \$(5.9) \$(5.2) \$ 1.4 \$47.0 \$ (81.4)

The net favorable reserve development is a result of actual loss emergence being lower than anticipated. The unfavorable reserve development in our U.S. insurance segment for the 2010 and 2011 loss years was due to adverse development on a terminated program and certain errors and omissions products. The unfavorable reserve development in our international insurance segment for the 2011 loss year was due to adverse development on an individual general casualty claim, estimated to reach our full limit of \$20.0 million, net of reinsurance. The unfavorable reserve development in our reinsurance segment for the 2011 loss year was due to increased property catastrophe losses.

The following table shows the net favorable reserve development by loss year for each of our segments for the six months ended June 30, 2011.

	(Favorable) and Unfavorable Loss Reserve Development by Loss Year											
	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011											
	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Total		
		(\$ in millions)										
U.S. insurance	\$ (0.1)	\$ (1.4)	\$ (3.5)	\$ (12.7)	\$ 23.8	\$ (2.1)	\$ (0.7)	\$ (0.3)	\$ 9.0	\$ 12.0		
International insurance	1.2	(4.0)	(1.1)	(23.5)	(14.3)	(21.7)	10.2	(7.4)	20.0	(40.6)		
Reinsurance	(0.6)	(3.0)	(3.8)	(24.7)	(12.2)	(7.1)	(3.1)	(9.5)	(6.9)	(70.9)		
	\$ 0.5	\$ (8.4)	\$ (8.4)	\$ (60.9)	\$ (2.7)	\$ (30.9)	\$ 6.4	\$ (17.2)	\$ 22.1	\$ (99.5)		

The unfavorable reserve development of \$23.8 million in our U.S. insurance segment for the 2006 loss year was primarily due to directors and officers claims within our professional liability line of business related to a class action suit filed against a number of private equity firms

Edgar Filing: Allied World Assurance Co Holdings, AG - Form 10-Q

alleging collusion. The unfavorable reserve development in our international insurance segment for the 2010 loss year was primarily due to a casualty claim emanating from an oil field services risk.

The following table shows the components of net losses and loss expenses for each of the periods indicated.

		Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2012	2012 2011		
	1	(\$ in million	s)	
Net losses paid	\$ 382.3	\$ 264.8	\$ 117.5	
Net change in reported case reserves	47.0	228.8	(181.8)	
Net change in IBNR	36.3	46.7	(10.4)	
Net losses and loss expenses	\$ 465.6	\$ 540.3	\$ (74.7)	

The table below is a reconciliation of the beginning and ending reserves for losses and loss expenses. Losses incurred and paid are reflected net of reinsurance recoverables

	Six Mont	
	June 2012	e 30, 2011
	2012 (\$ in m	
Net reserves for losses and loss expenses, January 1	\$ 4,222.2	\$ 3,951.6
Incurred related to:	Ψ 1,222.2	Ψ 5,551.0
Commutation of variable rated reinsurance contracts		11.5
Current period non-catastrophe	547.0	428.6
Current period property catastrophe		199.7
Prior period	(81.4)	(99.5)
·		
Total incurred	465.6	540.3
Paid related to:		
Current period non-catastrophe	19.8	11.1
Current period property catastrophe		10.2
Prior period	362.5	243.5
Total paid	382.3	264.8
Foreign exchange revaluation	(1.6)	10.3
Net reserve for losses and loss expenses, June 30	4,303.9	4,237.4
Losses and loss expenses recoverable	1,073.6	1,013.9
<u> </u>		·
Reserve for losses and loss expenses, June 30	\$ 5,377.5	\$ 5,251.3

Acquisition Costs

Acquisition costs increased by \$17.6 million, or 21.7%, for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011. The increase in acquisition costs was primarily due to the increase in net premiums earned. Acquisition costs as a percentage of net premiums earned were 11.9% for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to 11.7% for the same period in 2011.

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses increased by \$9.1 million, or 6.7%, for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period in June 30, 2011. The increase in general and administrative expenses was primarily due to increased salary and related costs as average headcount increased by 6% to support our continued growth, combined with increased stock compensation expense resulting from the 26.3% increase in

Edgar Filing: Allied World Assurance Co Holdings, AG - Form 10-Q

our share price during the six months ended June 30, 2012. This was partially offset by \$2.6 million in non-recurring costs incurred in 2011 related to the proposed merger with Transatlantic Holdings, Inc.

Our general and administrative expense ratio was 17.3% for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to 19.6% for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The decrease was due to the growth in net premiums earned being greater than the increase in expenses.

44

The expense ratio is the sum of the acquisition cost ratio and the general and administrative expense ratio. Our expense ratio was 29.2% for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to 31.3% for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The decrease was primarily due to the 2.3 percentage point decrease in the general and administrative expense ratio, as discussed above.

Amortization of Intangible Assets

The amortization and impairment of intangible assets decreased by \$0.2 million, or 13.3%, for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011. The decrease is due to certain intangible assets that were fully amortized during 2011.

Interest Expense

Interest expense increased by \$0.2 million, or 0.7%, for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011. The increase was due to fees associated with the termination of our \$400 million unsecured credit facility.

Net Income

Net income for the six months ended June 30, 2012 was \$314.5 million compared to \$102.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The \$212.1 million increase was primarily the result of lower net loss and loss expenses. The six months ended June 30, 2011 included \$199.7 million of property catastrophe losses in the Asia-Pacific region and Midwestern United States.

Underwriting Results by Operating Segments

Our company is organized into three operating segments:

U.S. Insurance Segment. The U.S. insurance segment includes our direct specialty insurance operations in the United States. This segment provides both direct property and specialty casualty insurance primarily to non-Fortune 1000 North American domiciled accounts.

International Insurance Segment. The international insurance segment includes our direct insurance operations in Bermuda, Europe, Singapore and Hong Kong. This segment provides both direct property and casualty insurance primarily to Fortune 1000 North American domiciled accounts and non-North American domiciled accounts.

Reinsurance Segment. Our reinsurance segment has operations in Bermuda, Europe, Singapore and the United States. This segment includes the reinsurance of property, general casualty, professional liability, specialty lines and property catastrophe coverages written by insurance companies. We presently write reinsurance on both a treaty and a facultative basis, targeting several niche reinsurance markets.

45

U.S. Insurance Segment

The following table summarizes the underwriting results and associated ratios for the U.S. insurance segment for each of the periods indicated.

	Three Mont June		Six Month June	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
		(\$ in mi	llions)	
Revenues				
Gross premiums written	\$ 266.0	\$ 226.7	\$ 470.2	\$ 410.1
Net premiums written	196.7	172.9	350.5	312.8
Net premiums earned	162.8	145.9	316.1	281.3
Expenses				
Net losses and loss expenses	\$ 103.1	\$ 92.6	\$ 200.8	\$ 208.4
Acquisition costs	21.3	18.9	41.2	37.0
General and administrative expenses	34.7	31.3	65.8	62.0
Underwriting income (loss)	3.7	3.1	8.3	(26.1)
Ratios				
Loss and loss expense ratio	63.3%	63.5%	63.5%	74.1%
Acquisition cost ratio	13.1%	12.9%	13.0%	13.1%
General and administrative expense ratio	21.3%	21.4%	20.8%	22.1%
Expense ratio	34.4%	34.3%	33.8%	35.2%
Combined ratio	97.7%	97.8%	97.3%	109.3%

Comparison of Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

Premiums. Gross premiums written increased by \$39.3 million, or 17.3%, for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011. The increase in gross premiums written was primarily due to increased new business across most lines, growth from new products introduced in 2010 and 2011 and rate increases in all lines of business. This growth was partially offset by non-recurring business, the non-renewal of business that did not meet our underwriting requirements (which included inadequate pricing and/or terms and conditions) and continued competition.

The table below illustrates our gross premiums written by line of business for each of the periods indicated.

	2012	Ended June 30, 2011 (\$ in millions)	Dollar Change	Percentage Change
General casualty	\$ 78.3	\$ 56.5	\$ 21.8	38.6%
Professional liability	66.7	58.8	7.9	13.4%
Healthcare	46.3	50.2	(3.9)	(7.8%)
General property	37.1	32.9	4.2	12.8%
Programs	25.6	20.3	5.3	26.1%
Other *	12.0	8.0	4.0	50.0%
	\$ 266.0	\$ 226.7	\$ 39.3	17.3%

^{*} Includes our inland marine, environmental and mergers and acquisitions lines of business

Net premiums written increased by \$23.8 million, or 13.8%, for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011. The increase in net premiums written was primarily due to higher gross premiums written, partially offset by the impact of the commutation of prior year contracts in 2011. The three months ended June 30, 2011 included a \$12.4 million reduction in premiums ceded due to the commutation of certain variable-rated reinsurance contracts that have swing-rated

provisions. We ceded 26.1% of gross premiums written for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to 23.7% for the same period in 2011. The increase in the cession percentage was due to the impact of the commutation of prior year contracts in 2011.

Net premiums earned increased by \$16.9 million, or 11.6%, for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011. The increase was primarily due to the growth of our U.S. insurance operations during 2012 and 2011, partially offset by the \$12.4 million impact of the commutation of prior year contracts in 2011, which was fully earned.

Net losses and loss expenses. Net losses and loss expenses increased by \$10.5 million, or 11.3%, for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011. The loss and loss expense ratio decreased by 0.2 percentage points for the same period. The increase in net losses and loss expenses was primarily due to growth in the U.S. insurance segment combined with lower favorable prior year reserve development. We also incurred \$6.5 million of non-catastrophe property losses on two claims that added 4.0 percentage points to the current period s loss and loss expense ratio. This was partially offset by the \$11.5 million impact of the commutation of prior year contracts in 2011. Excluding the prior year reserve development, property catastrophe losses and the impact of the commutation, the loss and loss expense ratios would have been 67.1% and 64.6% for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

		nths Ended 80, 2012	Three Mon June 30		Dollar	Change in Percentage
	Amount	% of NPE	Amount (\$ in millions)	NPE ⁽²⁾	Change	Points
Non-catastrophe	\$ 109.3	67.1%	\$ 86.3	64.6%	\$ 23.0	2.5Pts
Property catastrophe			5.0	3.7	(5.0)	(3.7)
Current period	109.3	67.1	91.3	68.3	18.0	(1.2)
Prior period	(6.2)	(3.8)	(10.2)	(7.6)	4.0	3.8
Impact of commutation ⁽¹⁾			11.5	2.8	(11.5)	(2.8)
Net losses and loss expenses	\$ 103.1	63.3%	\$ 92.6	63.5%	\$ 10.5	(0.2)Pts

- (1) Reflects the impact of the commutation of prior year contracts in the three months ended June 30, 2011, which increased prior year net losses and loss expenses by \$11.5 million and increased net premiums earned by \$12.4 million.
- (2) Current period and prior period losses as a % of NPE are calculated excluding the effect of the commutation on net premiums earned. Overall, our U.S. insurance segment recorded net favorable reserve development of \$6.2 million during the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to net favorable reserve development of \$10.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, as shown in the tables below.

	(Favorable) and Unfavorable Loss Reserve Development by Loss Year										
	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2012										
	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Total
					((\$ in millio	ns)				
Professional liability	\$	\$	\$	\$ (0.2)	\$ 0.2	\$ (8.3)	\$ 0.6	\$ (1.0)	\$ 0.8	\$ 4.1	\$ (3.8)
Healthcare		(0.2)	(1.6)	0.4	(0.3)	(0.8)	1.1	(1.2)	0.1	0.5	(2.0)
General casualty		(0.2)	(0.3)	(0.3)	(3.7)	(0.1)	(1.2)	(0.4)	(0.1)		(6.3)
General property			0.4	(0.1)			0.3		(0.1)		0.5
Programs					(0.1)	(0.1)		(0.1)	3.8	2.6	6.1
Other										(0.7)	(0.7)
	\$	\$ (0.4)	\$ (1.5)	\$ (0.2)	\$ (3.9)	\$ (9.3)	\$ 0.8	\$ (2.7)	\$ 4.5	\$ 6.5	\$ (6.2)

Edgar Filing: Allied World Assurance Co Holdings, AG - Form 10-Q

The unfavorable reserve development in our U.S. insurance segment for the 2010 and 2011 loss years was due to adverse development on a terminated program and certain errors and omissions products.

	(Favorable) and Unfavorable Loss Reserve Development by Loss Year										
	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2011										
	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Total	
					(\$ in n	nillions)					
Professional liability	\$	\$ (0.1)	\$ (0.1)	\$ (0.6)	\$ (0.2)	\$ (2.0)	\$	\$ (1.1)	\$ 0.6	\$ (3.5)	
Healthcare	(0.1)	(0.4)	(1.3)	(0.7)	(0.9)	0.3	(0.5)	0.7	(0.9)	(3.8)	
General casualty	0.1	(0.2)	(0.4)	(5.4)				0.1		(5.8)	
General property							0.7	0.2	0.3	1.2	
Programs						(0.5)	0.7	0.9	0.6	1.7	
Other											
	\$	\$ (0.7)	\$ (1.8)	\$ (6.7)	\$ (1.1)	\$ (2.2)	\$ 0.9	\$ 0.8	\$ 0.6	\$ (10.2)	

Acquisition costs. Acquisition costs increased by \$2.4 million, or 12.7%, for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011. The increase was primarily caused by increased net premiums earned. The acquisition cost ratio increased slightly to 13.1% for the three months ended June 30, 2012 from 12.9% for the same period in 2011.

General and administrative expenses. General and administrative expenses increased by \$3.4 million, or 10.9%, for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011. The increase was due to the continued growth of the U.S. insurance operations. The general and administrative ratio decreased slightly to 21.3% for the three months ended June 30, 2012 from 21.4% for the same period in 2011 due to the higher growth in net premiums earned relative to expenses.

Comparison of Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

Premiums. Gross premiums written increased by \$60.1 million, or 14.7%, for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011. The increase in gross premiums written was primarily due to increased new business across most lines, growth from new products introduced in 2010 and 2011 and rate increases in most lines of business. This growth was partially offset by the non-renewal of business that did not meet our underwriting requirements (which included inadequate pricing and/or terms and conditions) and continued competition.

The table below illustrates our gross premiums written by line of business for each of the periods indicated.

	Six Mont June		Dollar	Percentage
	2012	2011	Change millions)	Change
General casualty	\$ 126.7	\$ 97.5	\$ 29.2	29.9%
Professional liability	125.9	113.8	12.1	10.6%
Healthcare	93.3	95.6	(2.3)	(2.4%)
General property	55.6	46.5	9.1	19.6%
Programs	48.9	40.2	8.7	21.6%
Other*	19.8	16.5	3.3	20.0%
	\$ 470.2	\$ 410.1	\$ 60.1	14.7%

^{*} Includes our inland marine, environmental and mergers and acquisitions lines of business

Net premiums written increased by \$37.7 million, or 12.1%, for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011. The increase in net premiums written was primarily due to higher gross premiums written, partially offset by the impact of the commutation of prior year contracts in 2011. The six months ended June 30, 2011 included a \$12.4 million reduction in premiums ceded due to the commutation of certain variable-rated reinsurance contracts that had swing-rated provisions. We ceded 25.5% of gross premiums written for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to 23.7% for the same period in 2011. The increase in the cession percentage was due to the impact of the commutation on the prior year.

Net premiums earned increased by \$34.8 million, or 12.4%, for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011. The increase was primarily due to the growth of our U.S. insurance operations during 2012 and 2011, partially offset by the \$12.4 million impact of the commutation of prior year contracts in 2011, which was fully earned.

Net losses and loss expenses. Net losses and loss expenses decreased by \$7.6 million, or 3.6%, for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011. The loss and loss expense ratio decreased by 10.6 percentage points for the same period. The decrease in net losses and loss expenses was primarily due to favorable prior year reserve development in 2012 compared to unfavorable prior year reserve development in 2011 and the \$11.5 million impact of the commutation of prior year contracts in 2011. Excluding the prior year reserve development, property catastrophe losses and the impact of the commutation, the loss and loss expense ratios would have been 67.7% and 66.9% for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2012		Six Mont June 3			Change in	
	Amount	% of NPE	Amount (\$ in millions)	% of NPE (2)	Dollar Change	Percentage Points	
Non-catastrophe	\$ 214.2	67.7%	\$ 179.9	66.9%	\$ 34.3	0.8 Pts	
Property catastrophe			5.0	1.9	(5.0)	(1.9)	
Current period	214.2	67.7	184.9	68.8	29.3	(1.1)	
Prior period	(13.4)	(4.2)	12.0	4.5	(25.4)	(8.7)	
Impact of commutation (1)			11.5	0.8	(11.5)	(0.8)	
Net losses and loss expenses	\$ 200.8	63.5%	\$ 208.4	74.1%	\$ (7.6)	(10.6)Pts	

- (1) Reflects the impact of the commutation of prior year contracts in the six months ended June 30, 2011, which increased prior year net losses and loss expenses by \$11.5 million and increased net premiums earned by \$12.4 million.
- (2) Current period and prior period losses as a % of NPE are calculated excluding the effect of the commutation on net premiums earned. Overall, our U.S. insurance segment recorded net favorable reserve development of \$13.4 million during the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to net unfavorable reserve development of \$12.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, as shown in the tables below.

	(Favorable) and Unfavorable Loss Reserve Development by Loss Year For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012										
	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Total
					(\$	in million	s)				
Professional liability	\$	\$	\$ (0.1)	\$ (0.3)	\$ 0.1	\$ (15.1)	\$ (0.1)	\$ (2.8)	\$ (0.9)	\$ 10.2	\$ (9.0)
Healthcare		0.3	(2.7)	(2.6)	(2.3)	(2.8)	1.1	(1.7)	3.5	0.5	(6.7)
General casualty	(0.1)	(0.3)	0.9	(0.6)	(8.1)	(0.1)	(1.0)	(0.4)	(0.1)		(9.8)
General property			0.7	(0.3)		(0.2)	1.7	(0.4)	(0.5)	1.1	2.1
Programs					(0.1)	(0.2)	(0.6)		7.3	3.8	10.2
Other										(0.2)	(0.2)
	\$ (0.1)	\$	\$ (1.2)	\$ (3.8)	\$ (10.4)	\$ (18.4)	\$ 1.1	\$ (5.3)	\$ 9.3	\$ 15.4	\$ (13.4)

The unfavorable reserve development in our U.S. insurance segment for the 2010 and 2011 loss years was due to adverse development on a terminated program and certain errors and omissions products.

(Favorable) and Unfavorable Loss Reserve Development by Loss Year For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 2002 2004 2003 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 Total (\$ in millions) Professional liability \$ \$ (0.1) \$ (0.2) \$ (1.3) \$ 24.1 \$ (2.2) \$ 0.5 \$ (2.7) \$ 6.9 \$ 25.0 Healthcare (0.2)(0.9)(1.8)0.3 (0.3)0.7 (1.7)1.2 (0.8)(3.5)General casualty 0.1 (0.4)(1.2)(11.1)(12.6)General property (0.3)(0.6)(0.3)1.4 0.2 1.5 **Programs** (0.6)0.8 1.2 2.9 \$ (0.1) \$ (1.4) \$ (3.5) \$ (12.7) \$ 23.8 \$ (2.1) \$ (0.7) \$ (0.3) \$ 9.0 \$ 12.0

The unfavorable reserve development of \$24.1 million for the 2006 loss year was primarily due to directors and officers claims within our professional liability line of business related to a class action suit filed against a number of private equity firms alleging collusion.

Acquisition costs. Acquisition costs increased by \$4.2 million, or 11.4%, for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011. The increase was primarily caused by increased net premiums earned. The acquisition cost ratio decreased to 13.0% for the six months ended June 30, 2012 from 13.1% for the same period in 2011.

General and administrative expenses. General and administrative expenses increased by \$3.8 million, or 6.1%, for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011, due to the continued growth of our U.S. insurance operations. The general and administrative expense ratio decreased to 20.8% for the six months ended June 30, 2012 from 22.1% in the same period in 2011 as a result of our increased net premiums earned.

50

International Insurance Segment

The following table summarizes the underwriting results and associated ratios for the international insurance segment for each of the periods indicated.

	Three Mont June 3		Six Month June		
	2012	2011	2012	2011	
		(\$ in mil	lions)		
Revenues					
Gross premiums written	\$ 183.6	\$ 178.6	\$ 297.2	\$ 289.9	
Net premiums written	111.3	109.0	184.0	183.9	
Net premiums earned	82.6	80.0	162.5	156.3	
Expenses					
Net losses and loss expenses	\$ 22.2	\$ 72.1	\$ 60.3	\$ 143.3	
Acquisition costs	(0.6)	(0.7)	(1.1)	(2.6)	
General and administrative expenses	21.7	20.7	44.0	41.4	
Underwriting income (loss)	39.3	(12.1)	59.3	(25.8)	
Ratios					
Loss and loss expense ratio	26.9%	90.2%	37.1%	91.7%	
Acquisition cost ratio	(0.7%)	(0.9%)	(0.7%)	(1.7%)	
General and administrative expense ratio	26.2%	25.8%	27.1%	26.5%	
Expense ratio	25.5%	24.9%	26.4%	24.8%	
Combined ratio	52.4%	115.1%	63.5%	116.5%	

Comparison of Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

Premiums. Gross premiums written increased by \$5.0 million, or 2.8%, for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011. The increase was primarily a result of new business and rate increases in select lines of business. We saw continued growth from new products, specifically trade credit which grew \$3.5 million, and growth in Asia, which increased \$1.0 million. However, this increase was partially offset by decreases in other lines due to the non-renewal of business that did not meet our underwriting requirements (which included inadequate pricing and/or terms and conditions), continued competition and a reduction in limits deployed for the general property line of business.

The table below illustrates our gross premiums written by line of business for each of the periods indicated.

	Three Months 2012	Ended June 30, 2011 (\$ in millions)	Dollar Change	Percentage Change
General property	\$ 61.2	\$ 63.3	\$ (2.1)	(3.3%)
Professional liability	52.8	48.2	4.6	9.5%
General casualty	43.2	45.3	(2.1)	(4.6%)
Healthcare	20.3	19.2	1.1	5.7%
Other *	6.1	2.6	3.5	134.6%
	\$ 183.6	\$ 178.6	\$ 5.0	2.8%

^{*} Includes our trade credit line of business

Net premiums written increased by \$2.3 million, or 2.1%, for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011. We ceded to reinsurers 39.4% of gross premiums written for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to 39.0% for the three months ended June 30, 2011. Net premiums written increased primarily due to an increase in gross premiums written.

Net premiums earned increased by \$2.6 million, or 3.2%, primarily due to higher net premiums written in the latter half of 2011 and first half of 2012.

Net losses and loss expenses. Net losses and loss expenses decreased by \$49.9 million, or 69.2%, for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011. The loss and loss expense ratio decreased by 63.3 percentage points for the same period. The decrease in net losses and loss expenses was primarily due to the absence of significant catastrophe losses in 2012 compared to the same period in 2011, which included \$30.5 million in catastrophe losses. This was combined with higher net favorable prior year reserve development in 2012 compared to the same period in 2011. Excluding the prior year reserve development and property catastrophe losses, the loss and loss expense ratios would have been 62.1% and 67.1% for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

		onths Ended 30, 2012 % of NPE		nths Ended 60, 2011 % of NPE	Dollar Change	Change in Percentage Points
Non-catastrophe	\$ 51.3	62.1%	\$ 53.6	67.1%	\$ (2.3)	(5.0)Pts
Property catastrophe			30.5	38.1	(30.5)	(38.1)
Current period	51.3	62.1	84.1	105.2	(32.8)	(43.1)
Prior period	(29.1)	(35.2)	(12.0)	(15.0)	(17.1)	(20.2)
Net losses and loss expenses	\$ 22.2	26.9%	\$ 72.1	90.2%	\$ (49.9)	(63.3)Pts

Overall, our international insurance segment recorded net favorable reserve development of \$29.1 million during the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to net favorable reserve development of \$12.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, as shown in the tables below.

		(Favorable	e) and Unf	avorable L	oss Reserv	e Develop	ment by I	Loss Yea	ar		
	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2012											
	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Total	
	(\$ in millions)											
General property	\$	\$	\$ 0.1	\$ (2.5)	\$ (0.2)	\$ 0.2	\$ (2.1)	\$ (1.2)	\$	\$ (1.3)	\$ (7.0)	
Professional liability		(0.1)	(1.2)	(1.4)	(8.3)	(1.1)	4.7				(7.4)	
General casualty	7.4	(0.9)	(1.9)	(3.1)	(2.5)	(6.1)	(3.1)				(10.2)	
Healthcare	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.3)	(0.5)	(0.4)	(0.5)	(2.6)				(4.5)	
	\$ 7.3	\$ (1.1)	\$ (3.3)	\$ (7.5)	\$ (11.4)	\$ (7.5)	\$ (3.1)	\$ (1.2)	\$	\$ (1.3)	\$ (29.1)	

		(Fa	vorable) a	nd Unfavo	rable Los	s Reserve D	evelopmer	t by Loss	Year			
	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2011											
	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Total		
					(\$ in :	millions)						
General property	\$	\$	\$ (0.3)	\$ (0.2)	\$ (0.4)	\$ (1.5)	\$ (7.4)	\$ (6.0)	\$ (0.3)	\$ (16.1)		
Professional liability	(0.1)	(0.1)	(1.4)	(3.4)	(1.8)	(4.1)	14.0			3.1		
General casualty	(0.2)	(1.1)	(0.8)	(2.2)	(7.1)	(4.4)			22.5	6.7		
Healthcare		(0.2)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(4.0)				(5.7)		
	\$ (0.3)	\$ (1.4)	\$ (3.0)	\$ (6.3)	\$ (9.8)	\$ (14.0)	\$ 6.6	\$ (6.0)	\$ 22.2	\$ (12.0)		

The unfavorable reserve development for the 2010 loss year was primarily due to a casualty claim emanating from an oil field services risk.

Edgar Filing: Allied World Assurance Co Holdings, AG - Form 10-Q

Acquisition costs. Acquisition costs increased by \$0.1 million, or 14.3%, for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011. The negative cost represents ceding commissions received on ceded premiums in excess of the brokerage fees and commissions paid on gross premiums written. The acquisition cost ratio was negative 0.7% for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to negative 0.9% for the three months ended June 30, 2011.

52

General and administrative expenses. General and administrative expenses increased by \$1.0 million, or 4.8%, for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011. The increase in general and administrative expenses was primarily due to increased salary and related costs incurred as we continue to expand internationally. The general and administrative expense ratios for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 were 26.2% and 25.8%, respectively.

Comparison of Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

Premiums. Gross premiums written increased by \$7.3 million, or 2.5%, for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011. The increase was primarily a result of new business, including \$6.5 million from new products, specifically our trade credit and SME insurance products, growth in Asia and rate increases in select lines of business. However, this increase was partially offset by decreases in other lines due to the non-renewal of business that did not meet our underwriting requirements (which included inadequate pricing and/or terms and conditions), continued competition and a reduction in limits deployed for the general property line of business.

The table below illustrates our gross premiums written by line of business for each of the periods indicated.

	Six Months	Ended June 30,	Dollar	Percentage
	2012	2011 (\$ in millions)	Change	Change
General property	\$ 98.0	\$ 100.5	\$ (2.5)	(2.5%)
Professional liability	83.8	77.1	6.7	8.7%
General casualty	64.9	68.7	(3.8)	(5.5%)
Healthcare	40.3	39.9	0.4	1.0%
Other *	10.2	3.7	6.5	175.7%
	\$ 297.2	\$ 289.9	\$ 7.3	2.5%

* Includes our trade credit line of business

Net premiums written increased by \$0.1 million, or 0.1%, for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011. We ceded to reinsurers 38.1% of gross premiums written for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to 36.6% for the six months ended June 30, 2011.

Net premiums earned increased by \$6.2 million, or 4.0%, primarily due to higher net premiums written in the latter half of 2011.

Net losses and loss expenses. Net losses and loss expenses decreased by \$83.0 million, or 57.9%, for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011. The loss and loss expense ratio decreased by 54.6 percentage points for the same period. The decrease in net losses and loss expenses was due to the absence of significant catastrophe losses in 2012 compared to the same period in 2011, which included \$73.7 million for Asia-Pacific catastrophes and Midwestern U.S. storms. This was combined with higher net favorable prior year reserve development in 2012 compared to the same period in 2011. Excluding the prior year reserve development and property catastrophe losses, the loss and loss expense ratios would have been 67.5% and 70.5% for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

	·-	ths Ended 30, 2012		ths Ended 80, 2011	Dollar	Change in Percentage
	Amount	% of NPE	Amount (\$ in millions)	% of NPE	Change	Points
Non-catastrophe	\$ 109.7	67.5%	\$ 110.2	70.5%	\$ (0.5)	(3.0)Pts
Property catastrophe			73.7	47.2	(73.7)	(47.2)
Current period	109.7	67.5	183.9	117.7	(74.2)	(50.2)

Edgar Filing: Allied World Assurance Co Holdings, AG - Form 10-Q

Prior period	(49.4)	(30.4)	(40.6)	(26.0)	(8.8)	(4.4)
Net losses and loss expenses	\$ 60.3	37.1%	\$ 143.3	91 7%	\$ (83.0)	(54.6)Pts

Overall, our international insurance segment recorded net favorable reserve development of \$49.4 million during the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to net favorable reserve development of \$40.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, as shown in the tables below.

	(Favorable) and Unfavorable Loss Reserve Development by Loss Year												
	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012												
	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Total		
					(\$	in millions)						
General property	\$	\$	\$ 0.2	\$ (1.5)	\$ (1.0)	\$ 1.1	\$ (2.2)	\$ (1.8)	\$ (6.6)	\$ 3.1	\$ (8.7)		
Professional liability		(0.2)	(2.3)	(3.1)	(15.1)	(7.2)	7.0				(20.9)		
General casualty	5.8	(1.6)	(3.1)	(4.8)	(11.4)	(7.2)	(7.6)			20.0	(9.9)		
Healthcare	(0.1)	(0.6)	(0.6)	(1.1)	(0.8)	(4.1)	(2.6)				(9.9)		
	\$ 5.7	\$ (2.4)	\$ (5.8)	\$ (10.5)	\$ (28.3)	\$ (17.4)	\$ (5.4)	\$ (1.8)	\$ (6.6)	\$ 23.1	\$ (49.4)		

The net favorable reserve development for loss years 2003 to 2010 is a result of actual loss emergence being lower than anticipated. The unfavorable reserve development in our general casualty line for loss year 2011 was due to adverse development on an individual claim, estimated to reach our full limit of \$20.0 million, net of reinsurance.

	(I	Favorable)) and Unfav	orable Loss	s Reserve D	evelopment	by Loss Ye	ear			
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011											
2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Total		
	(\$ in millions)										
\$	\$	\$ (0.7)	\$ (2.3)	\$ 0.3	\$ (1.6)	\$ (12.8)	\$ (14.6)	\$ (2.5)	\$ (34.2)		
2.0	(1.1)	(3.9)	(7.7)	(10.0)	(4.1)	23.0			(1.8)		
(0.7)	(2.4)	4.4	(12.5)	4.2	(12.0)		7.2	22.5	10.7		
(0.1)	(0.5)	(0.9)	(1.0)	(8.8)	(4.0)				(15.3)		
\$ 1.2	\$ (4.0)	\$ (1.1)	\$ (23.5)	\$ (14.3)	\$ (21.7)	\$ 10.2	\$ (7.4)	\$ 20.0	\$ (40.6)		
	\$ 2.0 (0.7)	2002 2003 \$ \$ 2.0 (1.1) (0.7) (2.4) (0.1) (0.5)	2002 2003 2004 \$ \$ (0.7) 2.0 (1.1) (3.9) (0.7) (2.4) 4.4 (0.1) (0.5) (0.9)	2002 2003 2004 For the 2005 \$ \$ (0.7) \$ (2.3) 2.0 (1.1) (3.9) (7.7) (0.7) (2.4) 4.4 (12.5) (0.1) (0.5) (0.9) (1.0)	2002 2003 2004 For the Six Months 2005 2006 (\$ in 1 \$ (\$ in 1 \$) \$ \$ (\$ (\$ in 1 \$) \$ \$ (\$ (\$ in 1 \$) \$ \$ (\$ (\$ (\$ in 1 \$) \$) \$ (\$ (\$ (\$ (\$ in 1 \$) \$) \$ (\$ (\$ (\$ (\$ (\$ (\$ (\$ (\$ (\$ (\$ (\$ (\$ (2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 (\$ in millions)	Sample Part Part	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 \$ \$ \$ \$ (0.7) \$ (2.3) \$ 0.3 \$ (1.6) \$ (12.8) \$ (14.6) 2.0 (1.1) (3.9) (7.7) (10.0) (4.1) 23.0 (0.7) (2.4) 4.4 (12.5) 4.2 (12.0) 7.2 (0.1) (0.5) (0.9) (1.0) (8.8) (4.0)	2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 (\$ in millions) 2007 (\$ in millions) 2008 (\$ 2009) 2010 \$ \$ (0.7) \$ (2.3) \$ 0.3 \$ (1.6) \$ (12.8) \$ (14.6) \$ (2.5) 2.0 (1.1) (3.9) (7.7) (10.0) (4.1) 23.0 (0.7) (2.4) 4.4 (12.5) 4.2 (12.0) 7.2 22.5 (0.1) (0.5) (0.9) (1.0) (8.8) (4.0)		

The unfavorable reserve development for the 2010 loss year was primarily due to a casualty claim emanating from an oil field services risk.

Acquisition costs. Acquisition costs increased by \$1.5 million, or 57.7%, for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011. The negative cost represents ceding commissions received on ceded premiums in excess of the brokerage fees and commissions paid on gross premiums written. The acquisition cost ratio was negative 0.7% for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and negative 1.7% for the six months ended June 30, 2011.

General and administrative expenses. General and administrative expenses increased by \$2.6 million, or 6.3%, for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011. The increase in general and administrative expenses was primarily due to increased salary and related costs incurred as we continue to expand internationally. The general and administrative expense ratios for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 were 27.1% and 26.5%, respectively. The increase was due to higher expenses, partially offset by higher net premiums earned.

Reinsurance Segment

The following table summarizes the underwriting results and associated ratios for the reinsurance segment for each of the periods indicated.

	Three Mont June		Six Month June			
	2012	2011	2012	2011		
		(\$ in millions)				
Revenues						
Gross premiums written	\$ 197.3	\$ 114.3	\$ 560.4	\$ 380.3		
Net premiums written	186.7	113.9	549.2	380.0		
Net premiums earned	184.3	129.4	353.0	252.6		
Expenses						
Net losses and loss expenses	\$ 115.1	\$ 71.1	\$ 204.5	\$ 188.6		
Acquisition costs	30.9	24.8	58.6	46.7		
General and administrative expenses	17.5	15.3	34.5	31.7		
Underwriting income (loss)	20.8	18.2	55.4	(14.4)		
Ratios						
Loss and loss expense ratio	62.4%	54.9%	57.9%	74.7%		
Acquisition cost ratio	16.8%	19.2%	16.6%	18.5%		
General and administrative expense ratio	9.5%	11.8%	9.8%	12.6%		
Expense ratio	26.3%	31.0%	26.4%	31.1%		
Combined ratio	88.7%	85.9%	84.3%	105.8%		

Comparison of Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

Premiums. Gross premiums written increased by \$83.0 million, or 72.6%, for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011. Approximately \$65.0 million, or 56.9%, of the increase was due to new business, from both new products and new regions, as well as increased participations on renewing business combined with rate increases. Within our specialty unit, crop reinsurance premiums increased \$8.7 million and marine reinsurance premiums increased \$3.3 million. Our North American property reinsurance business increased \$23.5 million due to a combination of new business opportunities and rate increases. Our international book also continued to grow, with a \$28.6 million increase from our Singapore branch. In addition, approximately \$13.5 million of gross premiums written in the third quarter of 2011 was written in the second quarter of 2012 as a result of the earlier timing of renewal business. This was partially offset by the non-renewal of business that did not meet our underwriting requirements (which included inadequate pricing and/or terms and conditions) and continued competition.

The table below illustrates our gross premiums written by geographic location for our reinsurance operations.

	Three Months 2012	Ended June 30, 2011 (\$ in millions)	Dollar Change	Percentage Change
United States	\$ 83.6	\$ 42.5	\$ 41.1	96.7%
Bermuda	59.6	55.0	4.6	8.4%
Singapore	38.7	10.0	28.7	287.0%
Europe	15.4	6.8	8.6	126.5%
	\$ 197.3	\$ 114.3	\$ 83.0	72.6%

The table below illustrates our gross premiums written by line of business for each of the periods indicated.

		onths Ended ne 30,	Dollar	Percentage
	2012	2011	Change	Change
		(\$ in millions)		
Property	\$ 121.7	\$ 64.0	\$ 57.7	90.2%
Casualty	52.8	38.8	14.0	36.1%
Specialty	22.8	11.5	11.3	98.3%
	\$ 197.3	\$ 114.3	\$ 83.0	72.6%

Net premiums written increased by \$72.8 million, or 63.9%, consistent with the increase in gross premiums written.

Net premiums earned increased by \$54.9 million, or 42.4%, as a result of the increase in net premiums written during the year ended December 31, 2011 and the six months ended June 30, 2012. Premiums related to our reinsurance business earn at a slower rate than those related to our direct insurance business. Direct insurance premiums typically earn ratably over the term of a policy. Reinsurance premiums under a quota share reinsurance contract are typically earned over the same period as the underlying policies, or risks, covered by the contract. As a result, the earning pattern of a quota share reinsurance contract may extend up to 24 months, reflecting the inception dates of the underlying policies. Property catastrophe premiums, crop reinsurance premiums and premiums for other treaties written on a losses occurring basis generally earn ratably over the term of the reinsurance contract.

Net losses and loss expenses. Net losses and loss expenses increased by \$44.0 million, or 61.9%, for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011. The loss and loss expense ratio increased by 7.5 percentage points for the same period. The increase in net losses and loss expenses was due to growth in net premiums earned and lower prior year net favorable reserve development for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011. This was partially offset by the absence of significant catastrophe losses in 2012 compared to the same period in 2011, which included \$32.0 million for the Asia-Pacific catastrophes and Midwestern U.S. storms. Excluding the prior year reserve development and property catastrophe losses, the loss and loss expense ratios would have been 66.0% and 55.7% for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The increase in the loss and loss expense ratio was due to \$12.4 million in non-catastrophe large losses, including U.S. weather related losses, for the three months ended June 30, 2012 that increased the loss and loss expense ratio by 6.7 percentage points.

		nths Ended 60, 2012 % of NPE		nths Ended 0, 2011 % of NPE	Dollar Change	Change in Percentage Points
Non-catastrophe	\$ 121.7	66.0%	\$ 72.1	55.7%	\$ 49.6	10.3 Pts
Property catastrophe			32.0	24.7	(32.0)	(24.7)
Current period	121.7	66.0	104.1	80.4	17.6	(14.4)
Prior period	(6.6)	(3.6)	(33.0)	(25.5)	26.4	21.9
Net losses and loss expenses	\$ 115.1	62.4%	\$ 71.1	54.9%	\$ 44.0	7.5 Pts

Overall, our reinsurance segment recorded net favorable reserve development of \$6.6 million during the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to net favorable reserve development of \$33.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, as shown in the tables below.

(Favorable) and Unfavorable Loss Reserve Development by Loss Year For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2012

Edgar Filing: Allied World Assurance Co Holdings, AG - Form 10-Q

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Total
					(\$	in million	s)				
Specialty	\$	\$ (0.2)	\$ (1.1)	\$ (1.4)	\$ (1.3)	\$ (1.6)	\$ (0.1)	\$	\$ 0.2	\$ (0.3)	\$ (5.8)
Property			(0.1)		(0.1)	(0.1)		1.1	(5.9)	5.4	0.3
Casualty	(0.4)	1.8	(0.5)	1.1	(3.4)	(3.6)	(0.6)	(0.1)	(0.1)	4.7	(1.1)
	\$ (0.4)	\$ 1.6	\$ (1.7)	\$ (0.3)	\$ (4.8)	\$ (5.3)	\$ (0.7)	\$ 1.0	\$ (5.8)	\$ 9.8	\$ (6.6)

(Favorable) and Unfavorable Loss Reserve Development by Loss Year For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 2002 2003 2004 2006 2007 2009 2010 Total 2005 2008 (\$ in millions) Specialty \$(0.2)0.1 \$ (0.8) \$ \$ 0.1 \$ (1.3) \$ (2.1) Property (0.1)(0.2)(0.5)(0.6)(1.7)(0.9)(4.7)(8.7)Casualty (0.4)(0.6)(0.4)(12.4)(1.4)(22.2)(6.3)(0.7)\$ (1.1) \$ (12.9) \$ (6.3) \$ (3.9) \$ (0.5) \$ (0.8) \$ (0.7) \$ (0.8) \$ (6.0) \$ (33.0)

Acquisition costs. Acquisition costs increased by \$6.1 million, or 24.6%, for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the three months ended 2011, primarily due to the increase in net premiums earned. The acquisition cost ratio was 16.8% for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to 19.2% for the three months ended 2011, primarily due to the change in mix of business. The proportion of premiums from excess of loss reinsurance contracts, which carry lower acquisition costs, has increased compared to the prior year.

General and administrative expenses. General and administrative expenses increased by \$2.2 million, or 14.4%, for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011. The increase was due to higher salary and related costs due to higher headcount to support our growing operations. The general and administrative expense ratios for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 were 9.5% and 11.8%, respectively, reflecting the higher growth in net premiums earned relative to expenses in 2012.

Comparison of Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

Premiums. Gross premiums written increased by \$180.1 million, or 47.4%, for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011. The increase in gross premiums written was primarily due to new business, from both new products and new regions, as well as increased participations on renewing business combined with rate increases. Within our specialty unit, crop reinsurance premiums increased by \$58.8 million while marine contributed a further \$16.3 million. Our North American property reinsurance business also increased by \$41.8 million due to a combination of new business opportunities and rate increases. Our international book also continued to grow, with a \$42.4 million increase from our Singapore branch. This was partially offset by the non-renewal of business that did not meet our underwriting requirements (which included inadequate pricing and/or terms and conditions) and continued competition.

The table below illustrates our gross premiums written by geographic location for our reinsurance operations.

	Six Months	Ended June 30,	Dollar	Percentage
	2012	2011 (\$ in millions)	Change	Change
United States	\$ 268.3	\$ 165.8	\$ 102.5	61.8%
Bermuda	169.6	152.4	17.2	11.3%
Singapore	67.3	24.9	42.4	170.3%
Europe	55.2	37.2	18.0	48.4%
	\$ 560.4	\$ 380.3	\$ 180.1	47.4%

The table below illustrates our gross premiums written by line of business for each of the periods indicated.

		hs Ended e 30,	Dollar	Percentage
	2012	2011	Change	Change
	(\$ in million	s)	
Property	\$ 275.3	\$ 183.2	\$ 92.1	50.3%
Casualty	148.4	144.8	3.6	2.5%
Specialty	136.7	52.3	84.4	161.4%
	\$ 560.4	\$ 380.3	\$ 180.1	47.4%

Net premiums written increased by \$169.2 million, or 44.5%, consistent with the increase in gross premiums written.

Net premiums earned increased by \$100.4 million, or 39.7%, as a result of the increase in net premiums written during the year ended December 31, 2011 and the six months ended June 30, 2012. Premiums related to our reinsurance business earn at a slower rate than those related to our direct insurance business. Direct insurance premiums typically earn ratably over the term of a policy. Reinsurance premiums under a quota share reinsurance contract are typically earned over the same period as the underlying policies, or risks, covered by the contract. As a result, the earning pattern of a quota share reinsurance contract may extend up to 24 months, reflecting the inception dates of the underlying policies. Property catastrophe premiums and premiums for other treaties written on a losses occurring basis generally earn ratably over the term of the reinsurance contract.

Net losses and loss expenses. Net losses and loss expenses increased by \$15.9 million, or 8.4%, for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011. The loss and loss expense ratio decreased by 16.8 percentage points for the same period. The increase in net losses and loss expenses was due to growth in net premiums earned and lower prior year net favorable reserve development for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011. This was partially offset by the absence of significant catastrophe losses in 2012 compared to the same period in 2011, which included \$121.0 million for the Asia-Pacific catastrophes and Midwestern U.S. storms. Excluding the prior year reserve development and property catastrophe losses, the loss and loss expense ratios would have been 63.2% and 54.9% for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The higher loss and loss expense ratio is due to the change in mix of business to specialty products with higher attritional loss ratios, combined with \$16.5 million in non-catastrophe large losses for the six months ended June 30, 2012 that added 4.7 percentage points to the loss and loss expense ratio.

		ths Ended 60, 2012 % of NPE		ths Ended 30, 2011 % of NPE	Dollar Change	Change in Percentage Points
Non-catastrophe	\$ 223.1	63.2%	\$ 138.5	54.9%	\$ 84.6	8.3 Pts
Property catastrophe			121.0	47.9	(121.0)	(47.9)
Current period	223.1	63.2	259.5	102.8	(36.4)	(39.6)
Prior period	(18.6)	(5.3)	(70.9)	(28.1)	52.3	22.8
Net losses and loss expenses	\$ 204.5	57.9%	\$ 188.6	74.7%	\$ 15.9	(16.8)Pts

Overall, our reinsurance segment recorded net favorable reserve development of \$18.6 million during the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to net favorable reserve development of \$70.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, as shown in the tables below.

(Favorable) and Unfavorable Loss Reserve Development by Loss Year For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012

Edgar Filing: Allied World Assurance Co Holdings, AG - Form 10-Q

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Total
					((\$ in million	ıs)				
Specialty	\$	\$ (0.3)	\$ (3.2)	\$ (5.1)	\$ (1.8)	\$ (2.2)	\$ (0.1)	\$	\$	\$ (2.0)	\$ (14.7)
Property			(0.8)	0.2	(0.1)	0.1	(0.3)	2.0	(1.2)	5.5	5.4
Casualty	0.1	1.0	3.2	(2.7)	(5.1)	(9.4)	(1.2)	(0.1)	(0.1)	5.0	(9.3)
	\$ 0.1	\$ 0.7	\$ (0.8)	\$ (7.6)	\$ (7.0)	\$ (11.5)	\$ (1.6)	\$ 1.9	\$ (1.3)	\$ 8.5	\$ (18.6)

(Favorable) and Unfavorable Loss Reserve Development by Loss Year For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2009 2010 Total 2008 (\$ in millions) Specialty \$ \$(0.2)\$ 0.1 \$(0.8)\$ (0.2) \$ (5.7) \$ (3.3) \$ (10.1) Property (0.1)(0.7)(0.7)(2.0)(1.0)(3.9)(1.8)(3.8)(6.4)(20.4)Casualty (2.9)2.8 (40.4)(0.5)(2.3)(22.8)(11.2)(2.4)(1.1)\$ (24.7) \$ (12.2) \$ (7.1) \$ (3.1) \$ (9.5) \$ (6.9) \$ (0.6) \$ (3.0) \$ (3.8) \$ (70.9)

Acquisition costs. Acquisition costs increased by \$11.9 million, or 25.5%, for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011 primarily due to the increase in net premiums earned. The acquisition cost ratio was 16.6% for the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to 18.5% for the six months ended June 30, 2011, primarily due to the change in mix of business. The proportion of premiums from excess-of-loss reinsurance contracts, which carry lower acquisition costs, has increased compared to the prior year.

General and administrative expenses. General and administrative expenses increased by \$2.8 million, or 8.8%, for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011. The increase was due to higher salary and related costs due to higher headcount to support our growing operations. The general and administrative expense ratios for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 were 9.8% and 12.6%, respectively, reflecting the higher growth in net premiums earned relative to expenses in 2012.

Reserves for Losses and Loss Expenses

Reserves for losses and loss expenses by segment were comprised of the following:

	U.S. Ins	U.S. Insurance International Ins		al Insurance	Reinsu	rance	Total		
	Jun. 30,	Dec. 31,	Jun. 30,	Dec. 31,	Jun. 30,	Dec. 31,	Jun. 30,	Dec. 31,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	
				(\$ in n	nillions)				
Case reserves	\$ 442.5	\$ 387.6	\$ 517.4	\$ 522.6	\$ 471.9	\$ 456.2	\$ 1,431.8	\$ 1,366.4	
IBNR	1,317.7	1,274.8	1,729.2	1,726.4	898.8	857.5	3,945.7	3,858.7	
Reserve for losses and loss expenses	1,760.2	1,662.4	2,246.6	2,249.0	1,370.7	1,313.7	5,377.5	5,225.1	
Reinsurance recoverables	(471.0)	(438.3)	(601.8)	(564.3)	(0.8)	(0.3)	(1,073.6)	(1,002.9)	
Net reserve for losses and loss									
expenses	\$ 1,289.2	\$ 1,224.1	\$ 1,644.8	\$ 1,684.7	\$ 1,369.9	\$ 1,313.4	\$ 4,303.9	\$ 4,222.2	

We participate in certain lines of business where claims may not be reported for many years. Accordingly, management does not solely rely upon reported claims on these lines for estimating ultimate liabilities. We also use statistical and actuarial methods to estimate expected ultimate losses and loss expenses. Loss reserves do not represent an exact calculation of liability. Rather, loss reserves are estimates of what we expect the ultimate resolution and administration of claims will cost. These estimates are based on various factors including underwriters expectations about loss experience, actuarial analysis, comparisons with the results of industry benchmarks and loss experience to date. Loss reserve estimates are refined as experience develops and as claims are reported and resolved. Establishing an appropriate level of loss reserves is an inherently uncertain process. Ultimate losses and loss expenses may differ from our reserves, possibly by material amounts.

59

The following tables provide our ranges of loss and loss expense reserve estimates by business segment as of June 30, 2012:

		Losses and Lo Reinsurance R	•
	Carried Reserves	Low Estimate (\$ in millions)	High Estimate
U.S. insurance	\$ 1,760.2	\$ 1,377.5	\$ 1,995.1
International insurance	2,246.6	1,679.8	2,581.7
Reinsurance	1,370.7	1,114.9	1,591.3
Consolidated (1)	5,377.5	4,464.5	5,875.9

		Net of Reinsurance Recoveral			
	Net of Re	coverable			
	Carried	Low	High		
	Reserves	Estimate	Estimate		
		(\$ in millions)			
U.S. insurance	\$ 1,289.2	\$ 1,021.1	\$ 1,458.6		
International insurance	1,644.8	1,208.2	1,888.5		
Reinsurance	1,369.9	1,114.1	1,590.0		
Consolidated (1)	4,303.9	3,576.8	4,703.7		

Reserve for Losses and Loss Expenses

(1) For statistical reasons, it is not appropriate to add together the ranges of each business segment in an effort to determine the low and high range around the consolidated loss reserves.

Our range for each business segment was determined by utilizing multiple actuarial loss reserving methods along with various assumptions of reporting patterns and expected loss ratios by loss year. The various outcomes of these techniques were combined to determine a reasonable range of required loss and loss expense reserves. While we believe our approach to determine the range of loss and loss expense is reasonable, there are no assurances that actual loss experience will be within the ranges of loss and loss expense noted above.

Our selection of the actual carried reserves is generally above the midpoint of the range. We believe that we should be prudent in our reserving practices due to the lengthy reporting patterns and relatively large limits of net liability for any one risk of our direct excess casualty business and of our casualty reinsurance business. Thus, due to this uncertainty regarding estimates for reserve for losses and loss expenses, we have carried our consolidated reserve for losses and loss expenses, net of reinsurance recoverable, above the midpoint of the low and high estimates for the consolidated net losses and loss expenses. We believe that relying on the more prudent actuarial indications is appropriate for these lines of business.

Reinsurance Recoverable

The following table illustrates our reinsurance recoverable as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

	June 30, 2012	Dec	cember 31, 2011
	(\$ in	millior	ns)
Ceded case reserves	\$ 216.4	\$	196.5
Ceded IBNR reserves	857.2		806.4
Reinsurance recoverable	\$ 1,073.6	\$	1,002.9

We remain obligated for amounts ceded in the event our reinsurers do not meet their obligations. Accordingly, we have evaluated the reinsurers that are providing reinsurance protection to us and will continue to monitor their credit ratings and financial stability. We generally have the right to terminate our treaty reinsurance contracts at any time, upon prior written notice to the reinsurer, under specified circumstances, including

Edgar Filing: Allied World Assurance Co Holdings, AG - Form 10-Q

the assignment to the reinsurer by A.M. Best of a financial strength rating of less than A-. Approximately 95% of ceded reserves as of June 30, 2012 were recoverable from reinsurers who had an A.M. Best rating of A- or higher.

60

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity

Liquidity is a measure of our ability to access sufficient cash flows to meet the short-term and long-term cash requirements of our business operations. The Company believes that its cash flows from operations and investments will provide sufficient liquidity for the foreseeable future.

Holdings is a holding company and transacts no business of its own. Cash flows to Holdings may comprise dividends, advances and loans from its subsidiary companies. Holdings is therefore reliant on receiving dividends and other permitted distributions from its subsidiaries to make dividend payments on its common shares.

Our operating subsidiaries depend upon cash inflows from premium receipts, net of commissions, investment income and proceeds from sales and redemptions of investments. Cash outflows for our operating subsidiaries are in the form of claims payments, reinsurance premium payments, purchase of investments, operating expenses and income tax payments as well as dividend payments to the holding company.

Historically, our operating subsidiaries have generated sufficient cash flows to meet all of their obligations. Because of the inherent volatility of our business, the seasonality in the timing of payments by insureds and cedents, the irregular timing of loss payments, and the impact of a change in interest rates and credit spreads on the investment income as well as seasonality in coupon payment dates for fixed income securities, cash flows from operating activities may vary between periods. In the unlikely event that paid losses exceed operating cash flows in any given period, we would use our cash balances available, or liquidate a portion of our investment portfolio in order to meet our short-term liquidity needs. Our total investments and cash totaled \$8.9 billion as of June 30, 2012, the main components of which were investment grade fixed income securities and cash and cash equivalents.

Dividend Restrictions

The jurisdictions in which our operating subsidiaries are licensed to write business impose regulations requiring companies to maintain or meet various defined statutory ratios, including solvency and liquidity requirements. Some jurisdictions also place restrictions on the declaration and payment of dividends and other distributions. See Liquidity and Capital Resources in Item 7 of Part II of the Company s 2011 Form 10-K.

Cash Flows

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011
	(\$ in m	illions)
Cash flows provided by operating activities	\$ 301.8	\$ 361.8
Cash flows provided by (used in) investing activities	101.2	(271.4)
Cash flows used in financing activities	(170.3)	(108.8)
Effect of exchange rate changes on foreign currency cash	(2.2)	2.2
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	230.5	(16.2)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	634.0	757.0
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 864.5	\$ 740.8

The decrease in cash flows from operations was due to the \$117.5 million increase in paid losses resulting from the growth in operations and the 2011 catastrophe events. This was partially offset by higher premiums collected, net of reinsurance, due to continued growth in 2012.

Cash flows from investing activities consist primarily of proceeds on the sale of investments and payments for investments acquired in addition to changes in restricted cash. The increase in cash flows provided by investing activities reflects net sales of securities and a \$156.8 million increase in restricted cash.

Edgar Filing: Allied World Assurance Co Holdings, AG - Form 10-Q

Cash flows from financing activities consist primarily of capital raising activities, which include the issuance of common shares or debt, the repurchase of our shares, the payment of dividends and the repayment of debt. The increase in cash flows used in financing

activities was due to the \$88.9 million increase in share repurchases for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011. No shares were repurchased in the three months ended June 30, 2011 because of the merger negotiations with Transatlantic Holdings, Inc. In addition, \$53.6 million in founder warrants were repurchased in February 2011.

Investments

Our funds are primarily invested in liquid, high-grade fixed income securities. As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, 91.4% and 92.6%, respectively, of our fixed income portfolio consisted of investment grade securities. The maturity distribution of our fixed income portfolio (on a fair value basis) as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 was as follows:

	June 30, 2012		cember 31, 2011
	(\$ iı	n millio	ns)
Due in one year or less	\$ 827.2	\$	661.6
Due after one year through five years	2,811.2		2,686.1
Due after five years through ten years	429.8		725.5
Due after ten years	71.3		94.2
Mortgage-backed	2,269.4		1,818.1
Asset-backed	417.4		513.2
Total	\$ 6,826.3	\$	6,498.7

We have investments in other invested assets, comprising interests in hedge funds and private equity funds, the market value of which was \$520.9 million as of June 30, 2012. Some of these funds have redemption notice requirements. For each of our funds, liquidity is allowed after certain defined periods based on the terms of each fund. See Note 4(d) Investments Other Invested Assets to our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements for additional details on our other invested assets.

We do not believe that inflation has had a material effect on our consolidated results of operations. The potential exists, after a catastrophe loss, for the development of inflationary pressures in a local economy. The effects of inflation are considered implicitly in pricing. Loss reserves are established to recognize likely loss settlements at the date payment is made. Those reserves inherently recognize the effects of inflation. The actual effects of inflation on our results cannot be accurately known, however, until claims are ultimately resolved.

Pledged Assets

Allied World Assurance Company, Ltd uses trust accounts primarily to meet security requirements for inter-company and certain reinsurance transactions. We also have cash and cash equivalents and investments on deposit with various state or government insurance departments or pledged in favor of ceding companies in order to comply with reinsurance contract provisions and relevant insurance regulations. In addition, Allied World Assurance Company, Ltd currently has access to up to \$1.35 billion in letters of credit under two letter of credit facilities, \$900 million with Citibank Europe plc and \$450 million with a syndication of lenders (the Amended Secured Credit Facility). These facilities are used to provide security to reinsureds and are collateralized by us, at least to the extent of letters of credit outstanding at any given time.

Security arrangements with ceding insurers may subject our assets to security interests or require that a portion of our assets be pledged to, or otherwise held by, third parties. Both of our letter of credit facilities are fully collateralized by assets held in custodial accounts at the Bank of New York Mellon held for the benefit of the banks. Although the investment income derived from our assets while held in trust accrues to our benefit, the investment of these assets is governed by the terms of the letter of credit facilities or the investment regulations of the state or territory of domicile of the ceding insurer, which may be more restrictive than the investment regulations otherwise applicable to us. The restrictions may result in lower investment yields on these assets, which may adversely affect our profitability.

As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, \$2,161.7 million and \$2,029.1 million, respectively, of cash and cash equivalents and investments were deposited, pledged or held in escrow accounts in favor of ceding companies and other counterparties or government authorities to comply with reinsurance contract provisions and insurance laws.

62

In addition, as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, a further \$1,270.8 million and \$1,044.2 million, respectively, of cash and cash equivalents and investments were pledged as collateral for our credit facilities.

We do not currently anticipate that the restrictions on liquidity resulting from restrictions on the payment of dividends by our subsidiary companies or from assets committed in trust accounts or to collateralize the letter of credit facilities will have a material impact on our ability to carry out our normal business activities, including interest and dividend payments, respectively, on our senior notes (described below) and common shares.

Financial Strength Ratings

Financial strength ratings represent the opinions of rating agencies on our capacity to meet our obligations. In the event of a significant downgrade in ratings, our ability to write business and to access the capital markets could be impacted. Our financial strength ratings as of June 30, 2012 have not changed since December 31, 2011. See Item 1. Business in our 2011 Form 10-K.

Capital Resources

The table below sets forth the capital structure of the Company as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

	As of June 30, 2012 (\$ in	As of December 31, 2011 millions)		
Senior notes	\$ 798.1	\$	798.0	
Shareholders equity	3,283.9		3,149.0	
Total capitalization	\$ 4,082.0	\$	3,947.0	

Share Repurchases

In May 2012, we established a new \$500 million share repurchase program. Under the terms of this new share repurchase program, common shares repurchased shall be designated for cancellation and shall be cancelled upon prior shareholder approval. As of June 30, 2012, no shares had been repurchased under the new share repurchase program.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, our share repurchases were as follows:

	Three 1	Months Ended	Six M	Ionths Ended
	J	une 30, 2012	•	June 30, 2012
Common shares repurchased		905,383		2,336,187
Total cost of shares repurchased	\$	66,435	\$	159,458
Average price per share	\$	73.38	\$	68.26

We have classified the repurchased shares as treasury shares, at cost on the consolidated balance sheets.

Long-Term Debt

In July 2006, Allied World Bermuda issued \$500.0 million aggregate principal amount of 7.50% senior notes due August 1, 2016, with interest payable August 1 and February 1 each year. Allied World Bermuda can redeem the senior notes prior to maturity, subject to payment of a make-whole premium; however, Allied World Bermuda currently has no intention of redeeming the notes.

In November 2010, Allied World Bermuda issued \$300.0 million aggregate principal amount of 5.50% senior notes due November 1, 2020, with interest payable May 15 and November 15 each year, commencing May 15, 2011. Allied World Bermuda can redeem the senior notes prior to

Edgar Filing: Allied World Assurance Co Holdings, AG - Form 10-Q

maturity, subject to payment of a make-whole premium; however, Allied World Bermuda currently has no intention of redeeming the notes.

63

The senior notes issued in 2006 and 2010 have been unconditionally and irrevocably guaranteed for the payment of the principal and interest by Holdings.

Credit Facility

In the normal course of our operations, we enter into agreements with financial institutions to obtain secured and unsecured credit facilities.

On June 7, 2012, Allied World Bermuda amended its existing secured credit facility. The amended \$450 million four-year secured credit facility (the Amended Secured Credit Facility) is primarily for the issuance of standby letters of credit to support obligations in connection with the insurance and reinsurance business of Allied World Bermuda and its subsidiaries. A portion of the facility may also be used for revolving loans for general corporate and working capital purposes, up to a maximum of \$150 million. Allied World Bermuda may request that existing lenders under the Amended Secured Credit Facility make additional commitments from time to time, up to \$150 million, subject to approval by the lenders. The Amended Secured Credit Facility contains representations, warranties and covenants customary for similar bank loan facilities, including certain covenants that, among other things, require us to maintain a certain leverage ratio and financial strength rating. We are in compliance with all covenants under the Facility as of June 30, 2012.

On June 7, 2012, upon entering into the Amended Secured Credit Facility, Allied World Bermuda terminated its \$400 million unsecured facility.

As of June 30, 2012, we had a combined unused letters of credit capacity of \$350.7 million from the Amended Secured Credit Facility and Citibank Europe plc. We believe that this remaining capacity is sufficient to meet our future letter of credit needs.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of June 30, 2012, we did not have any off-balance sheet arrangements.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

We believe that we are principally exposed to three types of market risk: interest rate risk, credit risk and currency risk.

The fixed income securities in our investment portfolio are subject to interest rate risk and credit risk. Any changes in interest rates and credit spreads have a direct effect on the market values of fixed income securities. As interest rates rise, the market values fall, and vice versa. As credit spreads widen, the market values fall, and vice versa.

In the table below changes in market values as a result of changes in interest rates is determined by calculating hypothetical June 30, 2012 ending prices based on yields adjusted to reflect the hypothetical changes in interest rates, comparing such hypothetical ending prices to actual ending prices, and multiplying the difference by the principal amount of the security. The sensitivity analysis is based on estimates. The estimated changes of our fixed maturity investments and cash and cash equivalents are presented below and actual changes for interest rate shifts could differ significantly.

			Interest I	Rate Shift in Ba	sis Points		
	-200	-100	-50	0	+50	+100	+200
				(\$ in millions)			
Total market value	\$ 8,092.3	\$ 8,033.7	\$ 7,993.9	\$ 7,930.1	\$ 7,855.5	\$7,773.2	\$ 7,589.5
Market value change from base	162.2	103.6	63.8		(74.6)	(156.9)	(340.6)
Change in unrealized							
appreciation/(depreciation)	2.0%	1.3%	0.8%	0%	(0.9%)	(2.0%)	(4.3%)

In the table below changes in market values as a result of changes in credit spreads are determined by calculating hypothetical June 30, 2012 ending prices adjusted to reflect the hypothetical changes in credit spreads, comparing such hypothetical ending prices to actual ending prices, and multiplying the difference by the principal amount of the security. The sensitivity analysis is based on estimates. The estimated changes of our non-cash, non-U.S. Treasury fixed maturity investments are presented below and actual changes in credit spreads could differ significantly.

64

	Credit Spread Shift in Basis Points						
	-200	-100	-50	0	+50	+100	+200
			(\$	in millions)			
Total market value	\$ 5,830.3	\$ 5,697.3	\$ 5,630.8	\$ 5,564.3	\$ 5,497.8	\$ 5,431.3	\$ 5,298.3
Market value change from base	266.0	133.0	66.5		(66.5)	(133.0)	(266.0)
Change in unrealized appreciation/(depreciation)	4.8%	2.4%	1.2%	0%	(1.2%)	(2.4%)	(4.8%)

In addition to credit spread risk, our portfolio is also exposed to the risk of securities being downgraded or of issuers defaulting. In an effort to minimize this risk, our investment guidelines have been defined to ensure that the assets held are well diversified and are primarily high-quality securities. As of June 30, 2012, we held \$6.8 billion of fixed income securities. Of those assets, approximately 91.4% were rated investment grade (Baa3/BBB- or higher) with the remaining 8.6% rated in the below investment grade category. The average credit quality of the fixed maturity portfolios was AA- by Standard & Poor s.

As of June 30, 2012, we held \$2,038.9 million, or 22.9%, of our total investments and cash and cash equivalents in corporate bonds. \$961.7 million of these corporate bonds were issued by entities within the financial services industry and had an average rating of A+.

As of June 30, 2012, we held \$2,269.4 million, or 25.5%, of our total investments and cash and cash equivalents in mortgage-backed securities, which included agency pass-through mortgage-backed securities (19.2%), non-agency residential mortgage-backed securities (3.3%) and non-agency commercial mortgage-backed securities (3.0%).

The agency pass-through mortgage-backed securities are exposed to prepayment risk, which occurs when holders of individual mortgages increase the frequency with which they prepay the outstanding principal before the maturity date to refinance at a lower interest rate cost. Given the proportion that these securities comprise of the overall portfolio, and the current interest rate environment and condition of the credit market, prepayment risk is not considered significant at this time.

As of June 30, 2012, we held \$101.1 million in non-agency residential mortgage-backed securities, with an average credit rating of A+, and \$197.3 million of high yield (below investment grade) non-agency residential mortgage-backed securities, with an average credit rating of CCC. As of June 30, 2012, 93.3% of the high yield non-agency residential mortgage-backed securities were rated below investment grade.

As of June 30, 2012, we held \$260.4 million of non-agency commercial mortgage-backed securities. These securities are subject to the risk of non-payment due to increased levels of delinquencies, defaults and losses on commercial loans that cumulatively create shortfalls beyond the level of subordination in our specific securities. As of June 30, 2012, 17.0% of our non-agency commercial mortgage-backed securities were rated below Aaa/AAA.

As of June 30, 2012, we held investments in other invested assets with a fair value of \$520.9 million. Investments in these funds involve certain risks related to, among other things, the illiquid nature of the fund shares, the limited operating history of the fund, as well as risks associated with the strategies employed by the managers of the funds. The funds—objectives are generally to seek attractive long-term returns with lower volatility by investing in a range of diversified investment strategies. As our reserves and capital continue to build, we may consider additional investments in these or other alternative investments.

During 2011, concerns about sovereign and corporate credits throughout the European Economic Area became elevated. As of June 30, 2012, our direct exposure to European credit across all of Europe was \$689.4 million and is included within fixed maturity investments trading, at fair value and equity securities trading, at fair value in the consolidated balance sheets. Of that, approximately \$213.7 million was invested in debt issued or guaranteed by European nations, \$21.1 million was invested in structured products and \$454.7 million was invested in debt and equity securities of European corporations (not guaranteed by a sovereign nation) as outlined in the table below. As of June 30, 2012, we had no direct sovereign exposure to Greece, Ireland, Italy, Portugal or Spain.

		June 30, 2012					
	Sovereign and Sovereign Guaranteed	Struct Prod	ucts		rate Bonds Equities)		Total posure
Belgium	\$ 21.7	\$		\$	24.5	\$	46.2
Denmark	34.9						34.9
France			7.6		50.8		58.4
Germany	70.9				23.4		94.3
Ireland					0.1		0.1
Italy					2.9		2.9
Luxembourg					13.0		13.0
Netherlands	38.2				34.0		72.2
Norway	7.8				60.0		67.8
Russia					12.0		12.0
Spain					12.2		12.2
Sweden					29.0		29.0
Switzerland	10.7				38.7		49.4
United Kingdom	29.5		13.5		154.0		197.0
Total exposure	\$ 213.7	\$	21.1	\$	454.6	\$	689.4

The U.S. dollar is our reporting currency and the functional currency of all of our operating subsidiaries. However, we enter into insurance and reinsurance contracts where the premiums receivable and losses payable are denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. In addition, we maintain a portion of our investments and liabilities in currencies other than the U.S. dollar, primarily Euro, British Sterling, Swiss Franc and the Canadian dollar. Receivables in non-U.S. currencies are generally converted into U.S. dollars at the time of receipt. When we incur a liability in a non-U.S. currency, we carry such liability on our books in the original currency. These liabilities are converted from the non-U.S. currency to U.S. dollars at the time of payment. As a result, we have an exposure to foreign currency risk resulting from fluctuations in exchange rates. We utilize a hedging strategy to minimize the potential loss of value caused by currency fluctuations by using foreign currency forward contract derivatives that expire in 90 days from purchase.

As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, less than 3.6% and 3.1%, respectively, of our total investments and cash and cash equivalents were denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. Of our business written during the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, approximately 12% was written in currencies other than the U.S. dollar.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

In connection with the preparation of this quarterly report, our management has performed an evaluation, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act)) as of June 30, 2012. Disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by SEC rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosures. Based on their evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of June 30, 2012, our company s disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by SEC rules and forms and accumulated and communicated to management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, does not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures or our internal control over financial reporting will prevent all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must

66

be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide an absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within our company have been detected.

No changes were made in our internal controls over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f), during the quarter ended June 30, 2012 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II

OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

The Company, in common with the insurance industry in general, is subject to litigation and arbitration in the normal course of its business. These legal proceedings generally relate to claims asserted by or against the Company in the ordinary course of insurance or reinsurance operations; estimated amounts payable under such proceedings are included in the reserve for losses and loss expenses in the Company s consolidated balance sheets. As of June 30, 2012, the Company was not a party to any material legal proceedings arising outside the ordinary course of business that is expected by management to have a material adverse effect on the Company s results of operations and financial condition and liquidity.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Our business is subject to a number of risks, including those identified in Item 1A. of Part I of our 2011 Form 10-K, that could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition and/or liquidity and that could cause our operating results to vary significantly from period to period. There have been no material changes to the risk factors described in our 2011 Form 10-K. The risks described in our 2011 Form 10-K are not the only risks we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition and/or liquidity.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

(c) The following table summarizes our repurchases of our common shares during the three months ended June 30, 2012:

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share		Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Dollar Value (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares that May Yet be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs	
April 1 - 30, 2012	430,700	\$	69.65	430,700	\$	51,153,422
May 1 - 31, 2012	251,724		75.53	251,724		532,141,682
June 1 - 30, 2012	222,959		78.16	222,959		514,714,727
Total	905,383	\$	73.38	905,383	\$	514,714,727 (1)

⁽¹⁾ In May 2010, the company established a share repurchase program in order to repurchase Holdings common shares. At the 2012 Annual Shareholder Meeting on May 3, 2012, Holdings shareholders approved a new, two-year \$500 million share repurchase program. Share repurchases may be effected from time to time through open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions, tender offers or otherwise.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.

Edgar Filing: Allied World Assurance Co Holdings, AG - Form 10-Q

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

Not applicable.

Item 5. Other Information.

None.

67

Item 6. Exhibits.

Description
Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of June 7, 2012, by and among Allied World Assurance Company Holdings, AG; Allied World Assurance Company Holdings, Ltd; Allied World Assurance Company, Ltd; the lenders party thereto; Citibank, N.A., as syndication agent; and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as administrative agent, fronting bank and letter of credit agent.
Amended and Restated Pledge and Security Agreement, dated as of June 7, 2012, by and between Allied World Assurance Company, Ltd, as pledgor; and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as administrative agent.
Pledge and Security Agreement, dated as of June 7, 2012, by and between Allied World Assurance Company Holdings, Ltd, as pledgor; and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as administrative agent.
Amended and Restated Account Control Agreement, dated as of June 7, 2012, by and among Allied World Assurance Company, Ltd, as pledgor; The Bank of New York Mellon, as custodian; and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as administrative agent.
Account Control Agreement, dated as of June 7, 2012, by and among Allied World Assurance Company Holdings, Ltd, as pledgor; The Bank of New York Mellon, as custodian; and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as administrative agent.
Certification by Chief Executive Officer, as required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
Certification by Chief Financial Officer, as required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
Certification by Chief Executive Officer, as required by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
Certification by Chief Financial Officer, as required by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
Interactive data files pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T: (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Shareholders Equity for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 and (v) the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

- Incorporated herein by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K of Allied World Assurance Company Holdings, AG filed with the SEC on June 13, 2012.
- * These certifications are being furnished solely pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (subsections (a) and (b) of Section 1350, chapter 63 of title 18 United States Code) and are not being filed as part of this report.
- ** In accordance with Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the information in Exhibit 101.1 to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q is deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act, is deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

68

Dated: August 3, 2012

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

ALLIED WORLD ASSURANCE COMPANY HOLDINGS, AG

By: /s/ Scott A. Carmilani

Name: Scott A. Carmilani

Title: President and Chief Executive Officer

Dated: August 3, 2012 By: /s/ Joan H. Dillard

Name: Joan H. Dillard

Title: Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

69

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Description
10.1(1)	Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of June 7, 2012, by and among Allied World Assurance Company Holdings, AG; Allied World Assurance Company Holdings, Ltd; Allied World Assurance Company, Ltd; the lenders party thereto; Citibank, N.A., as syndication agent; and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as administrative agent, fronting bank and letter of credit agent.
10.2(1)	Amended and Restated Pledge and Security Agreement, dated as of June 7, 2012, by and between Allied World Assurance Company, Ltd, as pledgor; and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as administrative agent.
10.3(1)	Pledge and Security Agreement, dated as of June 7, 2012, by and between Allied World Assurance Company Holdings, Ltd, as pledgor; and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as administrative agent.
10.4(1)	Amended and Restated Account Control Agreement, dated as of June 7, 2012, by and among Allied World Assurance Company, Ltd, as pledgor; The Bank of New York Mellon, as custodian; and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as administrative agent.
10.5(1)	Account Control Agreement, dated as of June 7, 2012, by and among Allied World Assurance Company Holdings, Ltd, as pledgor; The Bank of New York Mellon, as custodian; and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as administrative agent.
31.1	Certification by Chief Executive Officer, as required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification by Chief Financial Officer, as required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1*	Certification by Chief Executive Officer, as required by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2*	Certification by Chief Financial Officer, as required by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.1**	Interactive data files pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T: (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Shareholders Equity for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 and (v) the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

- Incorporated herein by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K of Allied World Assurance Company Holdings, AG filed with the SEC on June 13, 2012.
- * These certifications are being furnished solely pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (subsections (a) and (b) of Section 1350, chapter 63 of title 18 United States Code) and are not being filed as part of this report.
- ** In accordance with Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the information in Exhibit 101.1 to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q is deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act, is deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.