

MOLINA HEALTHCARE INC  
Form 10-Q  
October 30, 2013  
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2013

Or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission file number: 001-31719

Molina Healthcare, Inc.  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware  
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

13-4204626  
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

200 Oceangate, Suite 100  
Long Beach, California  
(Address of principal executive offices)  
(562) 435-3666  
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

90802  
(Zip Code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer  (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Edgar Filing: MOLINA HEALTHCARE INC - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes  No

The number of shares of the issuer's Common Stock outstanding as of October 28, 2013, was approximately 45,760,400.

---

Table of Contents

MOLINA HEALTHCARE, INC.

Index

Part I — Financial Information

Item 1. Financial Statements

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2013 (unaudited) and December 31, 2012 1

Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 (unaudited) 2

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 (unaudited) 3

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 (unaudited) 4

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) 6

Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations 36

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk 66

Item 4. Controls and Procedures 67

Part II — Other Information

Item 1. Legal Proceedings 67

Item 1A. Risk Factors 67

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds 68

Item 6. Exhibits 68

Signatures 70

---

Table of Contents

## PART I — FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## Item 1. Financial Statements.

## MOLINA HEALTHCARE, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
	(Amounts in thousands, except per-share data) (Unaudited)	
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$856,556	\$795,770
Investments	735,151	342,845
Receivables	293,967	149,682
Deferred income taxes	30,480	32,443
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	50,061	28,386
Total current assets	1,966,215	1,349,126
Property, equipment, and capitalized software, net	267,277	221,443
Deferred contract costs	48,768	58,313
Intangible assets, net	104,635	77,711
Goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets	230,783	151,088
Derivative asset	191,663	—
Restricted investments	65,225	44,101
Auction rate securities	11,674	13,419
Deferred income taxes	3,090	—
Other assets	35,736	19,621
	\$2,925,066	\$1,934,822
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Medical claims and benefits payable	\$632,706	\$494,530
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	282,727	184,034
Deferred revenue	124,388	141,798
Income taxes payable	5,508	6,520
Current maturities of long-term debt	109	1,155
Total current liabilities	1,045,438	828,037
Convertible senior notes	591,884	175,468
Lease financing obligations	178,188	—
Other long-term debt	—	86,316
Derivative liabilities	191,556	1,307
Deferred income taxes	—	37,900
Other long-term liabilities	25,152	23,480
Total liabilities	2,032,218	1,152,508
Stockholders' equity:		
Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 150,000 shares authorized; outstanding: 45,757 shares at September 30, 2013 and 46,762 shares at December 31, 2012	46	47
Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value; 20,000 shares authorized, no shares issued and outstanding	—	—
Additional paid-in capital	331,958	285,524
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(1,411	) (457 )

Edgar Filing: MOLINA HEALTHCARE INC - Form 10-Q

Treasury stock, at cost; 111 shares at December 31, 2012	—	(3,000	)
Retained earnings	562,255	500,200	
Total stockholders' equity	892,848	782,314	
	\$2,925,066	\$1,934,822	

See accompanying notes.

1

---

Table of ContentsMOLINA HEALTHCARE, INC.  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(Amounts in thousands, except net income (loss) per share) (Unaudited)			
Revenue:				
Premium revenue	\$1,584,656	\$1,448,600	\$4,583,818	\$4,066,737
Premium tax receipts	43,723	37,894	127,606	120,953
Service revenue	51,100	48,422	150,528	132,351
Investment income	1,740	1,155	4,884	3,893
Rental and other income	5,860	4,079	16,476	12,315
Total revenue	1,687,079	1,540,150	4,883,312	4,336,249
Expenses:				
Medical care costs	1,383,213	1,319,991	3,965,834	3,715,455
Cost of service revenue	40,113	37,004	119,188	98,111
General and administrative expenses	176,233	127,035	478,990	365,564
Premium tax expenses	43,723	37,894	127,606	120,953
Depreciation and amortization	18,871	15,858	52,449	46,916
Total expenses	1,662,153	1,537,782	4,744,067	4,346,999
Operating income (loss)	24,926	2,368	139,245	(10,750 )
Other expenses:				
Interest expense	13,532	4,315	38,236	12,421
Other (income) expense	(24 )	184	3,347	1,270
Total other expenses	13,508	4,499	41,583	13,691
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense	11,418	(2,131 )	97,662	(24,441 )
Income tax expense (benefit)	3,865	(1,966 )	43,791	(11,113 )
Income (loss) from continuing operations	7,553	(165 )	53,871	(13,328 )
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax expense (benefit) of \$97, \$1,474, \$(10,046), and 16 \$(4,115), respectively		3,529	8,184	(2,525 )
Net income (loss)	\$7,569	\$3,364	\$62,055	\$(15,853 )
Basic income (loss) per share:				
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$0.17	\$(0.01 )	\$1.18	\$(0.29 )
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	—	0.08	0.18	(0.05 )
Basic net income (loss) per share	\$0.17	\$0.07	\$1.36	\$(0.34 )
Diluted income (loss) per share:				
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$0.16	\$(0.01 )	\$1.15	\$(0.29 )
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	—	0.08	0.18	(0.05 )
Diluted net income (loss) per share	\$0.16	\$0.07	\$1.33	\$(0.34 )
Weighted average shares outstanding:				
Basic	45,699	46,546	45,708	46,301
Diluted	47,062	46,880	46,767	46,301
See accompanying notes.				



Table of Contents

## MOLINA HEALTHCARE, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(Amounts in thousands)			
	(Unaudited)			
Net income (loss)	\$7,569	\$3,364	\$62,055	\$(15,853 )
Other comprehensive income (loss):				
Gross unrealized investment gain (loss)	2,087	733	(1,539 )	1,734
Effect of income taxes	793	278	(585 )	659
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	1,294	455	(954 )	1,075
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$8,863	\$3,819	\$61,101	\$(14,778 )

See accompanying notes.



Table of ContentsMOLINA HEALTHCARE, INC.  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012
	(Amounts in thousands)	
	(Unaudited)	
Operating activities:		
Net income (loss)	\$62,055	\$(15,853)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash change in operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	68,035	58,289
Deferred income taxes	(38,442)	) 523
Stock-based compensation	20,654	15,448
Gain on sale of subsidiary	—	(1,747)
Amortization of convertible senior notes and lease financing obligations	16,128	4,414
Change in fair value of derivatives	3,383	1,270
Amortization of premium/discount on investments	8,053	5,166
Amortization of deferred financing costs	3,042	825
Tax deficiency from employee stock compensation	(72)	) (159)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Receivables	(144,285)	) 10,989
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(27,552)	) (10,574)
Medical claims and benefits payable	138,176	133,987
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	20,991	(9,030)
Deferred revenue	(17,410)	) 92,354
Income taxes	(1,012)	) (21,878)
Net cash provided by operating activities	111,744	264,024
Investing activities:		
Purchases of equipment	(64,426)	) (52,548)
Purchases of investments	(627,953)	) (234,465)
Sales and maturities of investments	227,800	213,665
Proceeds from sale of subsidiary, net of cash surrendered	—	9,162
Net cash paid in business combinations	(57,684)	) —
Change in deferred contract costs	9,545	(18,799)
Increase in restricted investments	(21,124)	) (3,034)
Change in other non-current assets and liabilities	(7,574)	) (4,775)
Net cash used in investing activities	(541,416)	) (90,794)
Financing activities:		
Proceeds from issuance of 1.125% Notes, net of deferred financing costs	537,973	—
Proceeds from sale-leaseback transactions	158,694	—
Purchase of 1.125% Notes call option	(149,331)	) —
Proceeds from issuance of warrants	75,074	—
Treasury stock purchases	(50,000)	) —
Repayment of amounts borrowed under credit facility	(40,000)	) (20,000)
Amount borrowed under credit facility	—	60,000
Principal payments on term loan	(47,471)	) (846)
Settlement of interest rate swap	(875)	) —
Proceeds from employee stock plans	5,156	5,571

Edgar Filing: MOLINA HEALTHCARE INC - Form 10-Q

Excess tax benefits from employee stock compensation	1,238	3,698
Net cash provided by financing activities	490,458	48,423
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	60,786	221,653
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	795,770	493,827
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$856,556	\$715,480

4

---

Table of Contents

MOLINA HEALTHCARE, INC.  
 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
 (continued)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012
	(Amounts in thousands) (Unaudited)	
Supplemental cash flow information:		
Cash paid during the period for:		
Income taxes	\$72,156	\$1,074
Interest	\$28,035	\$5,663
Schedule of non-cash investing and financing activities:		
Retirement of treasury stock	\$53,000	\$—
Common stock used for stock-based compensation	\$6,667	\$9,852
Non-cash financing obligation for construction projects	\$19,222	\$—
Details of business combinations:		
Fair value of assets acquired	\$121,845	\$—
Fair value of contingent consideration liabilities incurred	(59,947	) —
Payable to seller	(3,882	) —
Escrow deposit	(332	) \$—
Net cash paid in business combinations	\$57,684	\$—
Details of change in fair value of derivatives:		
Gain on 1.125% Call Option	\$42,332	\$—
Loss on embedded cash conversion option	(42,225	) —
Loss on 1.125% Warrants	(3,923	) —
Gain (loss) on interest rate swap	433	(1,270 )
Change in fair value of derivatives	\$(3,383	) \$(1,270 )
Details of sale of subsidiary:		
Decrease in carrying value of assets	\$—	\$30,942
Decrease in carrying value of liabilities	—	(23,527 )
Gain on sale	—	1,747
Proceeds from sale of subsidiary, net of cash surrendered	\$—	\$9,162

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

MOLINA HEALTHCARE, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

September 30, 2013

1. Basis of Presentation

Organization and Operations

Molina Healthcare, Inc. provides quality and cost-effective Medicaid-related solutions to meet the health care needs of low-income families and individuals and to assist state agencies in their administration of the Medicaid program. We report our financial performance based on two reportable segments: Health Plans and Molina Medicaid Solutions.

Our Health Plans segment comprises health plans in California, Florida, Illinois, Michigan, New Mexico, Ohio, Texas, Utah, Washington, and Wisconsin, and includes our direct delivery business. As of September 30, 2013, these health plans served approximately 1.9 million members eligible for Medicaid, Medicare, and other government-sponsored health care programs for low-income families and individuals. The health plans are operated by our respective wholly owned subsidiaries in those states, each of which is licensed as a health maintenance organization, or HMO. Our direct delivery business consists of primary care community clinics in California, Florida, New Mexico, and Washington.

Our health plans' state Medicaid contracts generally have terms of three to four years with annual adjustments to premium rates. These contracts typically contain renewal options exercisable by the state Medicaid agency, and allow either the state or the health plan to terminate the contract with or without cause. Our health plan subsidiaries have generally been successful in retaining their contracts, but such contracts are subject to risk of loss when a state issues a new request for proposals (RFP) open to competitive bidding by other health plans. If one of our health plans is not a successful responsive bidder to a state RFP, its contract may be subject to non-renewal.

Our Molina Medicaid Solutions segment provides business processing and information technology development and administrative services to Medicaid agencies in Idaho, Louisiana, Maine, New Jersey, and West Virginia, and drug rebate administration services in Florida.

Market Updates - Health Plans Segment

California Health Plan Tentative Rate Settlement Agreement. In the third quarter of 2013, our California health plan reached a tentative settlement agreement with the California Department of Health Care Services (DHCS), conditioned on final government approvals. The tentative settlement agreement settles rate disputes initiated by our California health plan dating back to 2003 with respect to its participation in California's Medicaid program, or Medi-Cal.

Under the terms of the tentative settlement agreement, DHCS has agreed to extend each of the California health plan's existing Medi-Cal managed care contracts for an additional five years, including its contracts in San Diego, San Bernardino, Riverside, and Sacramento counties. In addition, effective January 1, 2014, the settlement establishes a settlement account applicable to the California health plan's Medi-Cal, Seniors and Persons with Disabilities, and the dual eligibles pilot programs. The settlement account will be established with an initial balance of zero, and will be adjusted annually to reflect a calendar year deficit or surplus. A deficit or surplus will result to the extent the plan's pre-tax margin is below or above a specified percentage, subject to further adjustment as specified in the settlement agreement. Cash settlement will occur after December 31, 2017. DHCS will make an interim partial settlement payment to us if it terminates early, without replacement, any of our Medi-Cal managed care contracts. Upon expiration of the settlement agreement, if the settlement account is in a deficit position, then DHCS will pay the amount of the deficit to us, subject to an alternative minimum payment amount. If the settlement account is in a surplus position, then no amount is owed to either party. The maximum amount that DHCS would pay to us under the terms of the settlement agreement is limited. See Note 18, "Subsequent Events," for further information.

We do not expect the tentative settlement agreement to impact our consolidated financial condition, cash flows, or results of operations for the year ending December 31, 2013.

Florida. On October 23, 2013, our Florida health plan and the Florida Agency for Health Care Administration (AHCA), agreed to a settlement under which our health plan will be awarded three contracts under the Florida Statewide Medicaid Managed Care Managed Medical Assistance Invitation to Negotiate. The three contracts are

expected to commence in the second or third quarter of 2014.

6

---

Table of Contents

On February 14, 2013, we announced that AHCA awarded our Florida health plan contracts in three regions under the Statewide Medicaid Managed Care Long-Term Care Program. As a result of the awards, we will now enter into a comprehensive pre-contracting assessment, with the program currently scheduled to commence on December 1, 2013. Under the program, we will provide long-term care benefits, including institutional and home and community-based services.

New Mexico. On August 1, 2013, our New Mexico health plan closed on its acquisition of the Lovelace Community Health Plan's contract for the New Mexico Medicaid Salud! Program, under which Lovelace's Medicaid members became Molina Healthcare Medicaid members and now receive their Medicaid managed services and benefits from our New Mexico health plan. Additionally, in the coming months we expect to add membership currently covered under New Mexico's State Coverage Insurance (SCI) program with Lovelace. See Note 4, "Business Combinations," for further information.

On February 11, 2013, we announced that our New Mexico health plan was selected by the New Mexico Human Services Department (HSD) to participate in the new Centennial Care program. In addition to continuing to provide physical and acute health care services, under the new program our New Mexico health plan will expand its services to provide behavioral health and long-term care services. The selection of our New Mexico health plan was made by HSD pursuant to its RFP issued in August 2012. The operational start date for the program is currently scheduled for January 2014.

South Carolina. On July 26, 2013, we entered into an agreement with Community Health Solutions of America, Inc. (CHS) to acquire certain assets, including the rights to convert certain of CHS' Medicaid members who will be covered by South Carolina's full-risk Medicaid managed care program. See Note 4, "Business Combinations," for further information.

Market Updates - Molina Medicaid Solutions Segment

U.S. Virgin Islands and West Virginia. In 2012, Molina Medicaid Solutions of West Virginia secured a historic partnership with the United States Virgin Islands (USVI). The partnership involves processing the USVI's Medicaid claims using West Virginia's certified Medicaid management information system. On August 1, 2013 the system went live, marking the first MMIS for a U.S. Territory, and the first to be shared between two government agencies on a single business processing platform.

Louisiana. In 2011, Molina Medicaid Solutions received notice from the state of Louisiana that the state intended to award the contract for a replacement MMIS to a different vendor, CNSI. However, in March 2013, the state of Louisiana cancelled its contract award to CNSI. CNSI is currently challenging the contract cancellation. The state has informed us that we will continue to perform under our current contract until a successor is named. At such time as a new RFP may be issued, we intend to respond to the state's RFP. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, our revenue under the Louisiana MMIS contract was approximately \$31.1 million, or 20.7% of total service revenue. So long as our Louisiana MMIS contract continues, we expect to recognize approximately \$40.0 million of service revenue annually under this contract.

Consolidation and Interim Financial Information

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Molina Healthcare, Inc., its subsidiaries and variable interest entities in which Molina Healthcare, Inc. is considered to be the primary beneficiary. Such variable interest entities are insignificant to our consolidated financial position and results of operations. In the opinion of management, all adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation of the results as of the date and for the interim periods presented have been included; such adjustments consist of normal recurring adjustments. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated. The consolidated results of operations for the current interim period are not necessarily indicative of the results for the entire year ending December 31, 2013. The unaudited consolidated interim financial statements have been prepared under the assumption that users of the interim financial data have either read or have access to our audited consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012. Accordingly, certain disclosures that would substantially duplicate the disclosures contained in the December 31, 2012 audited consolidated financial statements have been omitted. These unaudited consolidated interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with our December 31, 2012 audited consolidated financial statements.

Presentation and Reclassifications

We previously reported that our Medicaid managed care contract with the state of Missouri expired without renewal on June 30, 2012. Effective June 30, 2013 the transition obligations associated with that contract terminated.

Therefore, we have reclassified the results relating to the Missouri health plan to discontinued operations for all periods presented. These results are presented in a single line item, net of taxes, in the unaudited consolidated statements of operations. Additionally, we abandoned our equity interests in the Missouri health plan during the second quarter of 2013, resulting in the recognition of a tax benefit of

7

---

## Table of Contents

approximately \$9.5 million, which is also included in discontinued operations in the unaudited consolidated statements of operations. The Missouri health plan's revenues amounted to \$0.2 million and \$113.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

We have reclassified certain amounts in the 2012 consolidated balance sheet, and statements of operations and cash flows to conform to the 2013 presentation, including the presentation of premium tax receipts as a separate line item in the consolidated statements of operations.

### 2. Significant Accounting Policies

#### Revenue Recognition

##### Premium Revenue – Health Plans Segment

Premium revenue is fixed in advance of the periods covered and, except as described below, is not generally subject to significant accounting estimates. Premium revenues are recognized in the month that members are entitled to receive health care services.

Certain components of premium revenue are subject to accounting estimates. The components of premium revenue subject to estimation fall into two categories:

(1) Contractual provisions that may limit revenue based upon the costs incurred or the profits realized under a specific contract: These are contractual provisions that require the health plan to return premiums to the extent that certain thresholds are not met. In some instances premiums are returned when medical costs fall below a certain percentage of gross premiums; or when administrative costs or profits exceed a certain percentage of gross premiums. In other instances, premiums are partially determined by the acuity of care provided to members (risk adjustment). To the extent that our expenses and profits change from the amounts previously reported (due to changes in estimates), our revenue earned for those periods will also change. In all of these instances, our revenue is only subject to estimate due to the fact that the thresholds themselves contain elements (expense or profit) that are subject to estimate. While we have adequate experience and data to make sound estimates of our expenses or profits, changes to those estimates may be necessary, which in turn would lead to changes in our estimates of revenue. In general, a change in estimate relating to expense or profit would offset any related change in estimate to premium, resulting in no or small impact to net income. The following contractual provisions fall into this category:

California Health Plan Medical Cost Floors (Minimums): A portion of certain premiums received by our California health plan may be returned to the state if certain minimum amounts are not spent on defined medical care costs. We recorded a liability under the terms of these contract provisions of approximately \$0.8 million and \$0.3 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Florida Health Plan Medical Cost Floor (Minimum): A portion of premiums received by our Florida health plan may be returned to the state if certain minimum amounts are not spent on defined behavioral health care costs (in all counties except Broward). A similar minimum expenditure is required for total health care costs in Broward county only. At both September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, we had not recorded any liability under the terms of these contract provisions.

New Mexico Health Plan Medical Cost Floors (Minimums) and Administrative Cost and Profit Ceilings (Maximums): Our contract with the state of New Mexico directs that a portion of premiums received may be returned to the state if certain minimum amounts are not spent on defined medical care costs, or if administrative costs or profit, as defined in the contract, exceed certain amounts. At both September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, we had not recorded any liability under the terms of these contract provisions.

Ohio Health Plan Medical Cost Floors (Minimums): Sanctions may be levied by the state if certain minimum amounts are not spent on defined medical care costs. These sanctions include the requirements to file a corrective action plan as well as an enrollment freeze.

Texas Health Plan Profit Sharing: Under our contract with the state of Texas, there is a profit-sharing agreement under which we pay a rebate to the state of Texas if our Texas health plan generates pretax income, as defined in the contract, above a certain specified percentage as determined in accordance with a tiered rebate schedule. We are limited in the amount of administrative costs that we may deduct in calculating the rebate, if any. As a result of profits in excess of the amount we are allowed to fully retain, we had accrued an aggregate liability of approximately \$2.2 million and \$3.2 million pursuant to our profit-sharing agreement with the state of Texas at September 30, 2013 and



December 31, 2012, respectively.

Washington Health Plan Medical Cost Floors (Minimums): A portion of certain premiums received by our Washington health plan may be returned to the state if certain minimum amounts are not spent on defined medical care costs. At

8

---

Table of Contents

September 30, 2013, we recorded a liability under the terms of these contract provisions of approximately \$0.3 million. At December 31, 2012, we had not recorded any liability under the terms of this contract provision.

**Medicare Revenue Risk Adjustment:** Based on member encounter data that we submit to the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS), our Medicare premiums are subject to retroactive adjustment for both member risk scores and member pharmacy cost experience for up to two years after the original year of service. This adjustment takes into account the acuity of each member's medical needs relative to what was anticipated when premiums were originally set for that member. In the event that a member requires less acute medical care than was anticipated by the original premium amount, CMS may recover premium from us. In the event that a member requires more acute medical care than was anticipated by the original premium amount, CMS may pay us additional retroactive premium. A similar retroactive reconciliation is undertaken by CMS for our Medicare members' pharmacy utilization. We estimate the amount of Medicare revenue that will ultimately be realized for the periods presented based on our knowledge of our members' health care utilization patterns and CMS practices. Based on our knowledge of member health care utilization patterns and expenses we have recorded a net receivable of approximately \$18.4 million and \$0.3 million as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively for anticipated Medicare risk adjustment premiums.

(2) **Quality incentives that allow us to recognize incremental revenue if certain quality standards are met:** These are contract provisions that allow us to earn additional premium revenue in certain states if we achieve certain quality-of-care or administrative measures. We estimate the amount of revenue that will ultimately be realized for the periods presented based on our experience and expertise in meeting the quality and administrative measures as well as our ongoing and current monitoring of our progress in meeting those measures. The amount of the revenue that we will realize under these contractual provisions is determinable based upon that experience. The following contractual provisions fall into this category:

**New Mexico Health Plan Quality Incentive Premiums:** Under our contract with the state of New Mexico, incremental revenue of up to 0.75% of our total premium is earned if certain performance measures are met. These performance measures are generally linked to various quality-of-care and administrative measures dictated by the state.

**Ohio Health Plan Quality Incentive Premiums:** Under our contract with the state of Ohio, incremental revenue of up to 1% of our total premium is earned if certain performance measures are met. These performance measures are generally linked to various quality-of-care measures dictated by the state.

**Texas Health Plan Quality Incentive Premiums:** Effective March 1, 2012, under our contract with the state of Texas, incremental revenue of up to 5% of our total premium may be earned if certain performance measures are met. These performance measures are generally linked to various quality-of-care measures established by the state.

**Wisconsin Health Plan Quality Incentive Premiums:** Under our contract with the state of Wisconsin, incremental revenue of up to 3.25% of total premium is earned if certain performance measures are met. These performance measures are generally linked to various quality-of-care measures dictated by the state.

The following table quantifies the quality incentive premium revenue recognized for the periods presented, including the amounts earned in the period presented and prior periods. Although the reasonably possible effects of a change in estimate related to quality incentive premium revenue as of September 30, 2013 are not known, we have no reason to believe that the adjustments to prior years noted below are not indicative of the potential future changes in our estimates as of September 30,

Table of Contents

2013.

## Three Months Ended September 30, 2013

	Maximum Available Quality Incentive Premium - Current Year (In thousands)	Amount of Current Year Quality Incentive Premium Recognized	Amount of Quality Incentive Premium Revenue Recognized from Prior Year	Total Quality Incentive Premium Revenue Recognized	Total Premium Revenue Recognized
New Mexico	\$906	\$ 818	\$ 2	\$ 820	\$130,318
Ohio	3,080	976	(52)	924	280,964
Texas	15,744	15,744	—	15,744	320,657
Wisconsin	1,209	—	—	—	39,676
	\$20,939	\$ 17,538	\$ (50)	\$ 17,488	\$771,615

## Three Months Ended September 30, 2012

	Maximum Available Quality Incentive Premium - Current Year (In thousands)	Amount of Current Year Quality Incentive Premium Revenue Recognized	Amount of Quality Incentive Premium Revenue Recognized from Prior Year	Total Quality Incentive Premium Revenue Recognized	Total Premium Revenue Recognized
New Mexico	\$560	\$ 532	\$ —	\$ 532	\$80,846
Ohio	2,824	1,412	—	1,412	282,489
Texas	17,685	10,453	—	10,453	344,522
Wisconsin	419	—	246	246	16,279
	\$21,488	\$ 12,397	\$ 246	\$ 12,643	\$724,136

## Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013

	Maximum Available Quality Incentive Premium - Current Year (In thousands)	Amount of Current Year Quality Incentive Premium Revenue Recognized	Amount of Quality Incentive Premium Revenue Recognized from Prior Year	Total Quality Incentive Premium Revenue Recognized	Total Premium Revenue Recognized
New Mexico	\$2,079	\$ 1,685	\$ 159	\$ 1,844	\$298,767
Ohio	9,049	3,115	501	3,616	819,879
Texas	47,683	47,683	5,995	53,678	969,063
Wisconsin	3,239	—	1,104	1,104	104,540
	\$62,050	\$ 52,483	\$ 7,759	\$ 60,242	\$2,192,249

## Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012

	Maximum Available Quality Incentive Premium - Current Year (In thousands)	Amount of Current Year Quality Incentive Premium Revenue Recognized	Amount of Quality Incentive Premium Revenue Recognized from Prior Year	Total Quality Incentive Premium Revenue Recognized	Total Premium Revenue Recognized

Edgar Filing: MOLINA HEALTHCARE INC - Form 10-Q

New Mexico	\$1,676	\$ 1,350	\$ 658	\$ 2,008	\$240,568
Ohio	8,222	6,810	966	7,776	827,219
Texas	41,687	30,487	—	30,487	892,377
Wisconsin	1,284	—	492	492	52,209
	\$52,869	\$ 38,647	\$ 2,116	\$ 40,763	\$2,012,373

Taxes Based on Premiums

Certain of our health plans are assessed a tax based on premium revenue collected. The premium revenues we receive from these states include the premium tax assessment. We have reported these taxes on a gross basis, included in premium tax

Table of Contents

receipts (within revenue), and premium tax expense (within expenses), in the consolidated statements of operations. Prior to the third quarter of 2013, premium tax receipts were included in premium revenue. The presentation change affected only premium revenue amounts previously reported, by reducing premium revenue for the amount now included in premium tax receipts. There is no effect on income from continuing operations, net income, or per-share amounts. This change was made to more clearly present the portion of premium revenue not available in the general operations of our health plans. All prior periods presented have been adjusted to conform to this presentation.

#### Service Revenue and Cost of Service Revenue — Molina Medicaid Solutions Segment

The payments received by our Molina Medicaid Solutions segment under its state contracts are based on the performance of multiple services. The first of these is the design, development and implementation (DDI) of a Medicaid management information system (MMIS). An additional service, following completion of DDI, is the operation of the MMIS under a business process outsourcing (BPO) arrangement. While providing BPO services (which include claims payment and eligibility processing), we also provide the state with other services including both hosting and support and maintenance. Our Molina Medicaid Solutions contracts may extend over a number of years, particularly in circumstances where we are delivering extensive and complex DDI services, such as the initial design, development and implementation of a complete MMIS. For example, the terms of our most recently implemented Molina Medicaid Solutions contracts (in Idaho and Maine) were each seven years in total, consisting of two years allocated for the delivery of DDI services, followed by five years for the performance of BPO services. We receive progress payments from the state during the performance of DDI services based upon the attainment of predetermined milestones. We receive a flat monthly payment for BPO services under our Idaho and Maine contracts. The terms of our other Molina Medicaid Solutions contracts – which primarily involve the delivery of BPO services with only minimal DDI activity (consisting of system enhancements) – are shorter in duration than our Idaho and Maine contracts.

We have evaluated our Molina Medicaid Solutions contracts to determine if such arrangements include a software element. Based on this evaluation, we have concluded that these arrangements do not include a software element. As such, we have concluded that our Molina Medicaid Solutions contracts are multiple-element service arrangements. Additionally, we evaluate each required deliverable under our multiple-element service arrangements to determine whether it qualifies as a separate unit of accounting. Such evaluation is generally based on whether the deliverable has standalone value to the customer. The arrangement's consideration that is fixed or determinable is then allocated to each separate unit of accounting based on the relative selling price of each deliverable. In general, the consideration allocated to each unit of accounting is recognized as the related goods or services are delivered, limited to the consideration that is not contingent.

We have concluded that the various service elements in our Molina Medicaid Solutions contracts represent a single unit of accounting due to the fact that DDI, which is the only service performed in advance of the other services (all other services are performed over an identical period), does not have standalone value because our DDI services are not sold separately by any vendor and the customer could not resell our DDI services. Further, we have no objective and reliable evidence of fair value for any of the individual elements in these contracts, and at no point in the contract will we have objective and reliable evidence of fair value for the undelivered elements in the contracts. We lack objective and reliable evidence of the fair value of the individual elements of our Molina Medicaid Solutions contracts for the following reasons:

- Each contract calls for the provision of its own specific set of services. While all contracts support the system of record for state MMIS, the actual services we provide vary significantly between contracts; and
- The nature of the MMIS installed varies significantly between our older contracts (proprietary mainframe systems) and our new contracts (commercial off-the-shelf technology solutions).

Because we have determined the services provided under our Molina Medicaid Solutions contracts represent a single unit of accounting and because we are unable to determine a pattern of performance of services during the contract period, we recognize all revenue (both the DDI and BPO elements) associated with such contracts on a straight-line basis over the period during which BPO, hosting, and support and maintenance services are delivered. As noted above, the period of performance of BPO services under our Idaho and Maine contracts is five years. Therefore, absent any contingencies as discussed in the following paragraph, we would recognize all revenue associated with

those contracts over a period of five years. In cases where there is no DDI element associated with our contracts, BPO revenue is recognized on a monthly basis as specified in the applicable contract or contract extension.

Provisions specific to each contract may, however, lead us to modify this general principle. In those circumstances, the right of the state to refuse acceptance of services, as well as the related obligation to compensate us, may require us to delay recognition of all or part of our revenue until that contingency (the right of the state to refuse acceptance) has been removed. In

## Table of Contents

those circumstances we defer recognition of any contingent revenue (whether DDI, BPO services, hosting, and support and maintenance services) until the contingency has been removed. These types of contingency features are present in our Maine and Idaho contracts. In those states, we deferred recognition of revenue until the contingencies were removed.

Costs associated with our Molina Medicaid Solutions contracts include software-related costs and other costs. With respect to software-related costs, we apply the guidance for internal-use software and capitalize external direct costs of materials and services consumed in developing or obtaining the software, and payroll and payroll-related costs associated with employees who are directly associated with and who devote time to the computer software project. With respect to all other direct costs, such costs are expensed as incurred, unless corresponding revenue is being deferred. If revenue is being deferred, direct costs relating to delivered service elements are deferred as well and are recognized on a straight-line basis over the period of revenue recognition, in a manner consistent with our recognition of revenue that has been deferred. Such direct costs can include:

- Transaction processing costs;
- Employee costs incurred in performing transaction services;
- Vendor costs incurred in performing transaction services;
- Costs incurred in performing required monitoring of and reporting on contract performance;
- Costs incurred in maintaining and processing member and provider eligibility; and
- Costs incurred in communicating with members and providers.

The recoverability of deferred contract costs associated with a particular contract is analyzed on a periodic basis using the undiscounted estimated cash flows of the whole contract over its remaining contract term. If such undiscounted cash flows are insufficient to recover the long-lived assets and deferred contract costs, the deferred contract costs are written down by the amount of the cash flow deficiency. If a cash flow deficiency remains after reducing the balance of the deferred contract costs to zero, any remaining long-lived assets are evaluated for impairment. Any such impairment recognized would equal the amount by which the carrying value of the long-lived assets exceeds the fair value of those assets.

### Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes is determined using an estimated annual effective tax rate, which is generally greater than the U.S. federal statutory rate primarily because of state taxes and non-deductible compensation under a provision of the Affordable Care Act that limits deductions claimed by health insurers on compensation earned after December 31, 2009 that is paid after December 31, 2012. The effective tax rate may be subject to fluctuations during the year as new information is obtained. Such information may affect the assumptions used to estimate the annual effective tax rate, including factors such as the mix of pretax earnings in the various tax jurisdictions in which we operate, valuation allowances against deferred tax assets, the recognition or derecognition of tax benefits related to uncertain tax positions, and changes in or the interpretation of tax laws in jurisdictions where we conduct business. We recognize deferred tax assets and liabilities for temporary differences between the financial reporting basis and the tax basis of our assets and liabilities, along with net operating loss and tax credit carryovers.

The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits was \$12.5 million and \$10.6 million as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. Approximately \$10.5 million and \$8.4 million of the unrecognized tax benefits recorded at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, relate to a tax position claimed on a state refund claim that will not result in a cash payment for income taxes if our claim is denied. The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would affect the effective tax rate was \$8.6 million and \$7.4 million as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. We expect that during the next 12 months it is reasonably possible that unrecognized tax benefit liabilities may decrease by as much as \$10.7 million due to the expiration of statute of limitations and the resolution to the state refund claim described above.

Our continuing practice is to recognize interest and/or penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense. As of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, we had accrued \$67,000 and \$56,000, respectively, for the payment of interest and penalties.

### Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Income Taxes. In July 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued guidance for the presentation of an unrecognized tax benefit when a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward exists. The

12

---



Table of Contents

new guidance states that an unrecognized tax benefit, or a portion of an unrecognized tax benefit, should be presented in the financial statements as a reduction to a deferred tax asset for a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward. To the extent one of these items is not available at the reporting date; the unrecognized tax benefit should be presented in the financial statements as a liability and should not be combined with deferred tax assets. The new guidance is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2013, with early adoption permitted. The new guidance should be applied prospectively to all unrecognized tax benefits that exist at the effective date, with retrospective application permitted. We do not expect the adoption of this new guidance to have a material impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

**Reclassifications Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income.** In February 2013, the FASB issued guidance for the reporting of amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income. The new guidance requires entities to report the effect of significant reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income on the respective line items in net income if the amount being reclassified is required under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) to be reclassified in its entirety to net income. The new guidance does not change the current requirements for reporting net income or other comprehensive income in financial statements and is effective prospectively for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2012. The adoption of this new guidance in 2013 did not impact our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

**Balance Sheet Offsetting.** In December 2011, the FASB issued guidance for new disclosure requirements related to the nature of an entity's rights of set-off and related arrangements associated with its financial instruments and derivative instruments. The new guidance is effective for annual reporting periods, and interim periods within those years, beginning on or after January 1, 2013. The adoption of this new guidance in 2013 did not impact our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

**Federal Premium-Based Assessment.** In July 2011, the FASB issued guidance related to accounting for the fees to be paid by health insurers to the federal government under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act (ACA). The ACA imposes an annual fee on health insurers for each calendar year beginning on or after January 1, 2014. The fee will be imposed beginning in 2014 based on a company's share of the industry's net premiums written during the preceding calendar year.

The new guidance specifies that the liability for the fee should be estimated and recorded in full once the entity provides qualifying health insurance in the applicable calendar year in which the fee is payable with a corresponding deferred cost that is amortized to expense using a straight-line method of allocation unless another method better allocates the fee over the calendar year that it is payable. The new guidance is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 31, 2013, when the fee initially becomes effective. As enacted, this federal premium-based assessment is non-deductible for income tax purposes, and is anticipated to be significant. It is yet undetermined how this premium-based assessment will be factored into the calculation of our premium rates, if at all. Accordingly, adoption of this guidance and the enactment of this assessment as currently written is expected to have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations, and cash flows in future periods. We estimate that the fee in 2014 will be approximately \$100.0 million.

Other recent accounting pronouncements issued by the FASB (including its Emerging Issues Task Force), the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA), and the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) did not have, or are not believed by management to have, a material impact on our present or future consolidated financial statements.

### 3. Net Income per Share

The following table sets forth the calculation of the denominators used to compute basic and diluted net income per share:

Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2013	2012	September 30, 2013	2012
	(In thousands)			
Shares outstanding at the beginning of the period	45,683	46,527	46,762	45,815
Weighted-average number of shares repurchased	—	—	(1,375 )	—
Weighted-average number of shares issued	16	19	321	486
Denominator for basic net income (loss) per share	45,699	46,546	45,708	46,301
Dilutive effect of employee stock options and stock grants (1)	453	334	532	—
Dilutive effect of convertible senior notes	910	—	527	—
Denominator for diluted net income (loss) per share (2)	47,062	46,880	46,767	46,301

Unvested restricted shares are included in the calculation of diluted net income per share when their grant date fair values are below the average fair value of the common shares for each of the periods presented. Options to purchase common shares are included in the calculation of diluted net income per share when their exercise prices are below the average fair value of the common shares for each of the periods presented. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 there were no anti-dilutive weighted restricted shares. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 there were approximately 60,000 and 48,600 anti-dilutive weighted options, respectively. For the three months ended September 30, 2012, there were approximately 370,000 anti-dilutive weighted restricted shares and 125,000 anti-dilutive weighted options. Potentially dilutive unvested restricted shares and stock options were not included in the computation of diluted loss per share for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, because to do so would have been anti-dilutive.

(1)

Potentially dilutive shares issuable pursuant to our 1.125% Warrants (defined in Note 11, "Long-Term Debt") were not included in the computation of diluted income per share for the three and nine month period ended September 30, 2013, because to do so would have been anti-dilutive. Potentially dilutive shares issuable pursuant to our 3.75% Notes (defined in Note 11, "Long-Term Debt") were not included in the computation of diluted loss per share for the three and nine month period ended September 30, 2012, because to do so would have been anti-dilutive.

(2)

## 4. Business Combinations

## Health Plans Segment

South Carolina. On July 26, 2013, we entered into an agreement with Community Health Solutions of America, Inc. (CHS) to acquire certain assets, including the rights to convert certain of CHS' Medicaid members who will be covered by South Carolina's full-risk Medicaid managed care program, consistent with our stated strategy to enter new markets. The conversion of such members is contingent on our successful receipt of an HMO license from the South Carolina Department of Insurance, the award to Molina Healthcare of a full-risk Medicaid managed care contract by the South Carolina Department of Health and Human Services, and the state's conversion to a full-risk Medicaid managed care program. Each of these three conditions is expected to be satisfied by January 2014. In connection with the agreement, we paid CHS \$7.5 million on the closing date. We currently expect to convert approximately 130,000 members under the agreement, for a total estimated discounted purchase price of \$65.0 million. The final purchase price will be settled when the member conversion has been completed.

Because the number of members we will ultimately convert was unknown as of the July 26, 2013 acquisition date, \$57.5 million of the purchase price represents contingent consideration for the number of members we expect to enroll in our health plan as a result of the conversion. The contingent consideration liability will be remeasured to fair value at each quarter until the contingency is resolved, with adjustments, if any, recorded to operations. The undiscounted

amount we could be required to pay under this contingent consideration arrangement ranges from \$7.5 million (which we paid on the closing date) to approximately \$70 million. We expect most of the contingent consideration liability to be settled in the second quarter of 2014.

We recorded \$42.1 million in goodwill, which relates to future economic benefits arising from expected synergies achieved in the transaction. Such synergies include use of our existing infrastructure to support our health plan operations in South Carolina. We also recorded \$22.9 million in intangible assets, as indicated in the table below. Accumulated amortization was immaterial as of September 30, 2013. We expect to record amortization of approximately \$1.9 million per year in the years 2014 through 2018.

Table of Contents

New Mexico. Consistent with our stated strategy to expand within existing markets, on August 1, 2013, our New Mexico health plan closed on its acquisition of the Lovelace Community Health Plan's contract for the New Mexico Medicaid Salud! Program, under which Lovelace's Medicaid members became Molina Healthcare Medicaid members and now receive their Medicaid managed services and benefits from our New Mexico health plan. As part of this acquisition, we also expect to add membership currently covered under New Mexico's State Coverage Insurance (SCI) program with Lovelace in the near future. Effective January 1, 2014, members in this program will ultimately be a) enrolled in the Centennial Care program as Medicaid members, or b) eligible to enroll in New Mexico's health insurance marketplace. All members transferred from Lovelace will be able to continue with Molina Healthcare as the state transitions to the Centennial Care program. We expect the final purchase price for the acquisition to amount to approximately \$53.5 million, of which \$47.2 million was paid on the closing date. As of September 30, 2013, the New Mexico health plan's membership increased by approximately 80,000 members as a result of this transaction.

Because the number of SCI members we will ultimately retain was unknown as of the August 1, 2013 acquisition date, \$2.4 million of contingent consideration was recorded for this SCI membership as of September 30, 2013. We believe the contingent consideration amount may decrease as we learn more about how many SCI members we will retain, but is unlikely to increase. The contingent consideration liability will be remeasured to fair value at each quarter until the contingency is resolved, with adjustments, if any, recorded to operations. We expect that the contingency will be settled in the second quarter of 2014.

We recorded \$35.2 million in goodwill, which relates to future economic benefits arising from expected synergies achieved in the transaction. Such synergies include use of our existing infrastructure to support the added membership. We also recorded \$18.3 million in intangible assets, as indicated in the table below. Accumulated amortization was immaterial as of September 30, 2013. We expect to record amortization of approximately \$1.8 million per year in the years 2014 through 2018.

Florida. On June 30, 2013, our Florida health plan acquired assets relating to the Statewide Medicaid Managed Care Long-Term Care Program from Neighborly Care Network, Inc. The final purchase price for this acquisition was \$3.3 million. Accumulated amortization as September 30, 2013, and future amortization for this acquisition are immaterial.

The following table presents assets acquired and the weighted average useful life for the major asset categories for the business combinations in 2013:

	Fair Value of Assets Acquired - Health Plans Segment				Total
	Weighted average useful life (Years)	South Carolina	New Mexico	Florida	
		(In thousands)			
Membership conversion rights	12.0	\$21,800	\$—	\$—	\$21,800
Contract rights	10.6	—	18,300	—	18,300
Other finite-lived intangibles	7.7	1,060	—	990	2,050
Goodwill	—	42,140	35,223	2,332	79,695
		\$65,000	\$53,523	\$3,322	\$121,845

Acquisition costs relating to these transactions were immaterial individually and in the aggregate. The amounts recorded as goodwill represent intangible assets that do not qualify for separate recognition as identifiable intangible assets. The entire amounts recorded as goodwill are deductible for income tax purposes. Goodwill is not amortized, but is subject to an annual impairment test.

## 5. Stock-Based Compensation

At September 30, 2013, we had employee equity incentives outstanding under two plans: (1) the 2011 Equity Incentive Plan; and (2) the 2002 Equity Incentive Plan (from which equity incentives are no longer awarded). In March 2013, our named executive officers were granted restricted stock awards with performance conditions as follows: our chief executive officer was awarded 186,858 shares, our chief financial officer was awarded 93,429 shares, our chief operating officer was awarded 62,286 shares, our chief accounting officer was awarded 28,029 shares, and our general counsel was awarded 21,800 shares. These awards were apportioned into four equal increments, and will vest in accordance with the following four measures: (i) 1/4th will vest in equal 1/3rd increments over three years on March 1, 2014, March 1,

Table of Contents

2015, and March 1, 2016; (ii) 1/4th will vest upon our achievement of three-year Total Stockholder Return (TSR) as determined by Institutional Shareholder Services Inc. (ISS) calculations for the three-year period ending December 31, 2013 equal to or greater than the 50th percentile within our ISS peer group; (iii) 1/4th shall vest upon our achievement of total revenue in any of the 2013, 2014, or 2015 fiscal years equal to or greater than \$12 billion; and (iv) 1/4th shall vest upon our achievement of the three-year earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA) margin percentage for the three-year period ending December 31, 2013 equal to or greater than 2.5%. In the event the vesting conditions are not achieved, the awards shall lapse. As of September 30, 2013, such performance goals have not yet been met, but we do expect the awards to vest in full.

Charged to general and administrative expenses, total stock-based compensation expense was as follows for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2013 and 2012:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2013	2012	September 30, 2013	2012
	(In thousands)			
Restricted stock and performance awards	\$7,634	\$5,093	\$18,593	\$13,943
Employee stock purchase plan and stock options	870	543	2,061	1,505
	\$8,504	\$5,636	\$20,654	\$15,448

As of September 30, 2013, there was \$28.0 million of total unrecognized compensation expense related to unvested restricted share awards, including those with performance conditions, which we expect to recognize over a remaining weighted-average period of 1.7 years. Also as of September 30, 2013, there was \$0.6 million of total unrecognized compensation expense related to unvested stock options, which we expect to recognize over a weighted-average period of 2.3 years.

Restricted and performance stock activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 is summarized below:

	Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Unvested balance as of December 31, 2012	986,577	\$23.74
Granted - restricted stock	587,706	32.15
Granted - performance stock	456,174	30.80
Vested	(581,329)	) 24.72
Forfeited	(31,500)	) 25.55
Unvested balance as of September 30, 2013	1,417,628	29.06

The total fair value of restricted and performance awards granted during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 was \$33.3 million and \$23.0 million, respectively. The total fair value of restricted awards, including those with performance conditions, vested during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 was \$19.3 million and \$24.3 million, respectively.

The weighted-average grant date fair value per share of the performance awards with vesting conditions based on TSR, as described above, was \$28.24. We estimated the fair value on the grant date using a Monte Carlo Simulation to project TSR over the performance period using correlations and volatilities of the ISS peer group. Additional inputs included a risk-free interest rate of 0.14%, dividend yield of 0%, and an expected life of 0.83 years.

Table of Contents

Stock option activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 is summarized below:

	Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value  (In thousands)	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual term (Years)
Outstanding as of December 31, 2012	414,061	\$22.39		
Granted	45,000	33.02		
Exercised	(70,000)	) 20.11		
Forfeited	(300)	) 17.63		
Outstanding as of September 30, 2013	388,761	24.04	\$4,495	3.6
Stock options exercisable and expected to vest as of September 30, 2013	388,761	24.04	\$4,495	3.6
Exercisable as of September 30, 2013	333,761	22.50	\$4,371	2.7

The weighted-average grant date fair value per share of stock options awarded to the new members of our board of directors during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 was \$14.67. The weighted-average grant date fair value per share of the stock option awarded to the director appointed during 2012 was \$13.97. We estimate the fair value of each stock option award on the grant date using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. To determine the fair values of these stock options we applied risk-free interest rates of 1.1% to 1.4%, expected volatilities of 41.3% to 43.0%, dividend yields of 0%, and expected lives of 6 years to 7 years.

#### 6. Fair Value Measurements

Our consolidated balance sheets include the following financial instruments: cash and cash equivalents, investments, receivables, a derivative asset, trade accounts payable, medical claims and benefits payable, long-term debt, a derivative liability, contingent consideration, and other liabilities. We consider the carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, receivables, other current assets and current liabilities to approximate their fair values because of the relatively short period of time between the origination of these instruments and their expected realization or payment. For our financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis, we prioritize the inputs used in measuring fair value according to a three-tier fair value hierarchy as follows:

##### Level 1 — Observable Inputs

Our Level 1 financial instruments recorded at fair value consist of investments including government-sponsored enterprise securities (GSEs) and U.S. treasury notes that are classified as current investments in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. These financial instruments are actively traded and therefore the fair value for these securities is based on quoted market prices on one or more securities exchanges.

##### Level 2 — Directly or Indirectly Observable Inputs

Our Level 2 financial instruments recorded at fair value consist of investments including corporate debt securities, municipal securities, and certificates of deposit that are classified as current investments in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Such investments are traded frequently though not necessarily daily. Fair value for these investments is determined using a market approach based on quoted prices for similar securities in active markets or quoted prices for identical securities in inactive markets.

##### Level 3 — Unobservable Inputs

Our Level 3 financial instruments recorded at fair value include non-current auction rate securities that are designated as available-for-sale, and are reported at fair value of \$11.7 million (par value of \$12.4 million) as of September 30, 2013. To estimate the fair value of these securities we use valuation data from our primary pricing source, a third party who provides a marketplace for illiquid assets with over 10,000 participants including global financial institutions, hedge funds, private equity funds, mutual funds, corporations and other institutional investors. This valuation data is based on a range of prices that represent indicative bids from potential buyers. To validate the reasonableness of the data, we compare these valuations to data from two other third-party pricing sources, which also provide a range of prices representing indicative bids from potential buyers. We have concluded that these estimates,

given the lack of market available pricing, provide a reasonable basis for determining the fair value of the auction rate securities as of September 30, 2013.

17

---



Table of Contents

Level 3 financial instruments also include derivative financial instruments comprising the 1.125% Call Option asset, and the embedded cash conversion option liability. These derivatives are not actively traded and are valued based on an option pricing model that uses observable and unobservable market data for inputs. Significant market data inputs used to determine fair value as of September 30, 2013 included our common stock price, time to maturity of the derivative instruments, the risk-free interest rate, and the implied volatility of our common stock. As described further in Note 11, "Long-Term Debt," and Note 12, "Derivative Financial Instruments," the 1.125% Call Option asset and the embedded cash conversion option liability were designed such that changes in their fair values would offset, with minimal impact to the consolidated statements of operations. Therefore, the sensitivity of changes in the unobservable inputs to the option pricing model for such instruments is mitigated.

Additionally, Level 3 financial instruments include contingent consideration liabilities of \$59.9 million, primarily relating to the acquisition in South Carolina as described in Note 4, "Business Combinations," and recorded to accounts payable and accrued liabilities in our consolidated balance sheets. We applied discounted cash flow analysis to determine the fair value of the contingent consideration liabilities. Significant unobservable inputs primarily related to the probability weighted present values of the purchase price estimates for the membership that could convert in the South Carolina acquisition. Such membership could range from zero to approximately 170,000 members, with a weighted average of approximately 130,000 members.

Our financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis at September 30, 2013, were as follows:

	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
	(In thousands)			
Corporate debt securities	\$467,060	\$—	\$467,060	\$—
GSEs	74,951	74,951	—	—
Municipal securities	107,844	—	107,844	—
U.S. treasury notes	42,207	42,207	—	—
Certificates of deposit	43,089	—	43,089	—
Auction rate securities	11,674	—	—	11,674
1.125% Call Option derivative asset	191,663	—	—	191,663
Total assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis	\$938,488	\$117,158	\$617,993	\$203,337
Embedded cash conversion option derivative liability	\$191,556	\$—	\$—	\$191,556
Contingent consideration liabilities	59,947	—	—	59,947
Total liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis	\$251,503	\$—	\$—	\$251,503

Our financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis at December 31, 2012, were as follows:

	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
	(In thousands)			
Corporate debt securities	\$191,008	\$—	\$191,008	\$—
GSEs	29,525	29,525	—	—
Municipal securities	75,848	—	75,848	—
U.S. treasury notes	35,740	35,740	—	—
Certificates of deposit	10,724	—	10,724	—
Auction rate securities	13,419	—	—	13,419
Total assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis	\$356,264	\$65,265	\$277,580	\$13,419
Interest rate swap derivative liability	\$1,307	\$—	\$1,307	\$—

Table of Contents

The following tables present activity relating to our assets (liabilities) measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3):

	Changes in Level 3 Instruments for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013			
	Total	Auction Rate Securities	Derivatives, Net	Contingent Consideration
	(In thousands)			
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$13,419	\$13,419	\$—	\$—
Net unrealized gains included in other comprehensive income	505	505	—	—
Net unrealized losses included in other expense	(3,382 )	—	(3,382 )	—
Issuances	(75,074 )	—	(75,074 )	—
Auction rate securities settlements and derivative re-designation	76,747	(2,250 )	78,997	—
Acquisitions	(59,947 )	—	—	(59,947 )
Balance at September 30, 2013	\$(47,732 )	\$11,674	\$541	\$(59,947 )
The amount of total unrealized gains for the period included in other comprehensive income attributable to the change in accumulated other comprehensive losses relating to assets still held at September 30, 2013	\$397	\$397	\$—	\$—

	Changes in Level 3 Instruments for the Year Ended December 31, 2012			
	Total	Auction Rate Securities	Derivatives, Net	Contingent Consideration
	(In thousands)			
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$16,134	\$16,134	\$—	\$—
Net unrealized gains included in other comprehensive income	1,635	1,635	—	—
Settlements	(4,350 )	(4,350 )	—	—
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$13,419	\$13,419	\$—	\$—
The amount of total unrealized gains for the period included in other comprehensive income attributable to the change in accumulated other comprehensive losses relating to assets still held at December 31, 2012	\$1,059	\$1,059	\$—	\$—

## Fair Value Measurements – Disclosure Only

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of our long-term debt, as well as the applicable fair value hierarchy tiers, are contained in the tables below. Our convertible senior notes are classified as Level 2 financial instruments. Fair value for these securities is determined using a market approach based on quoted prices for similar securities in active markets or quoted prices for identical securities in inactive markets. As described in greater detail Note 11, "Long-Term Debt," we recorded lease financing obligations in connection with sale-leaseback transactions executed in the first half of 2013. The lease financing obligations are classified as Level 3 financial instruments because certain inputs used to determine their fair value are unobservable, such as our incremental borrowing rate. Fair value for these obligations was determined using discounted cash flow analysis with an estimated incremental borrowing rate for debt with similar terms. The credit facility was repaid and terminated effective February 15, 2013, and the term loan was repaid in June 2013.

Table of Contents

	September 30, 2013				
	Carrying Value	Total Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
	(In thousands)				
1.125% Notes	\$411,659	\$596,899	\$—	\$596,899	\$—
3.75% Notes	180,225	229,556	—	229,556	—
Lease financing obligations	178,188	178,500	—	—	178,500
	\$770,072	\$1,004,955	\$—	\$826,455	\$178,500

	December 31, 2012				
	Carrying Value	Total Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
	(In thousands)				
3.75% Notes	\$175,468	\$208,460	\$—	\$208,460	\$—
Term loan	47,471	47,471	—	—	47,471
Credit facility	40,000	40,000	—	—	40,000
	\$262,939	\$295,931	\$—	\$208,460	\$87,471

## 7. Investments

The following tables summarize our investments as of the dates indicated:

	September 30, 2013			
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Losses	Estimated Fair Value
	(In thousands)			
Corporate debt securities	\$467,785	\$306	\$1,031	\$467,060
GSEs	75,022	18	89	74,951
Municipal securities	108,647	109	912	107,844
U.S. treasury notes	42,159	67	19	42,207
Certificates of deposit	43,087	3	1	43,089
Subtotal - current investments	736,700	503	2,052	735,151
Auction rate securities	12,400	—	726	11,674
	\$749,100	\$503	\$2,778	\$746,825

	December 31, 2012			
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Losses	Estimated Fair Value
	(In thousands)			
Corporate debt securities	\$190,545	\$528	\$65	\$191,008
GSEs	29,481	45	1	29,525
Municipal securities	75,909	185	246	75,848
U.S. treasury notes	35,700	42	2	35,740
Certificates of deposit	10,715	9	—	10,724
Subtotal - current investments	342,350	809	314	342,845
Auction rate securities	14,650	—	1,231	13,419
	\$357,000	\$809	\$1,545	\$356,264

The contractual maturities of our investments as of September 30, 2013 are summarized below:

	Cost	Estimated Fair Value
	(In thousands)	
Due in one year or less	\$406,330	\$406,342
Due one year through five years	330,370	328,809
Due after ten years	12,400	11,674
	\$749,100	\$746,825

Gross realized gains and gross realized losses from sales of available-for-sale securities are calculated under the specific identification method and are included in investment income. Net realized investment gains for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 were \$27,000 and \$12,000, respectively. Net realized investment gains for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 were \$169,000 and \$250,000, respectively.

We monitor our investments for other-than-temporary impairment. For investments other than our auction rate securities, discussed below, we have determined that unrealized gains and losses at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, are temporary in nature, because the change in market value for these securities has resulted from fluctuating interest rates, rather than a deterioration of the credit worthiness of the issuers. So long as we hold these securities to maturity, we are unlikely to experience gains or losses. In the event that we dispose of these securities before maturity, we expect that realized gains or losses, if any, will be immaterial.

The following tables segregate those available-for-sale investments that have been in a continuous loss position for less than 12 months, and those that have been in a loss position for 12 months or more as of September 30, 2013.

	In a Continuous Loss Position for Less than 12 Months			In a Continuous Loss Position for 12 Months or More		
	Estimated Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Total Number of Securities	Estimated Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Total Number of Securities
	(Dollars in thousands)					
Corporate debt securities	\$254,351	\$991	99	\$5,580	\$40	5
Municipal securities	60,428	736	68	12,424	176	29
GSEs	24,271	89	15	—	—	—
U.S. treasury notes	12,487	19	11	—	—	—
Certificates of deposit	415	1	2	—	—	—
Auction rate securities	—	—	—	11,674	726	17
	\$351,952	\$1,836	195	\$29,678	\$942	51

The following table segregates those available-for-sale investments that have been in a continuous loss position for less than 12 months, and those that have been in a loss position for 12 months or more as of December 31, 2012.

	In a Continuous Loss Position for Less than 12 Months			In a Continuous Loss Position for 12 Months or More		
	Estimated Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Total Number of Securities	Estimated Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Total Number of Securities
	(Dollars in thousands)					
Corporate debt securities	\$44,457	\$65	23	\$—	\$—	—
Municipal securities	35,223	246	43	—	—	—
GSEs	5,004	1	1	—	—	—
U.S. treasury notes	4,511	2	5	—	—	—
Auction rate securities	—	—	—	13,419	1,231	21
	\$89,195	\$314	72	\$13,419	\$1,231	21

Auction Rate Securities

Due to events in the credit markets, the auction rate securities held by us experienced failed auctions beginning in the first quarter of 2008, and such auctions have not resumed. Therefore, quoted prices in active markets have not been available since early 2008. Our investments in auction rate securities are collateralized by student loan portfolios guaranteed by the U.S. government, and the range of maturities for such securities is from 17 years to 33 years. Considering the relative insignificance of these securities when compared with our liquid assets and other sources of liquidity, we have no current intention of selling these securities nor do we expect to be required to sell these securities before a recovery in their cost basis. For this reason, and because the decline in the fair value of the auction rate securities was not due to the credit quality of the issuers, we do not consider the auction rate securities to be other-than-temporarily impaired at September 30, 2013. At the time of the first failed auctions during first quarter 2008, we held a total of \$82.1 million in auction rate securities at par value; since that time, we have settled \$69.7 million of these instruments at par value.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, we recorded pretax unrealized gains of \$0.5 million and \$1.4 million, respectively, to accumulated other comprehensive income for the changes in their fair value. Any future fluctuation in fair value related to these instruments that we deem to be temporary, including any recoveries of previous write-downs, would be recorded to accumulated other comprehensive income. If we determine that any future valuation adjustment was other-than-temporary, we would record a charge to earnings as appropriate.

#### 8. Receivables

Receivables consist primarily of amounts due from the various states in which we operate. Accounts receivable increased as of September 30, 2013, primarily due to certain intermediary arrangements with state agencies entered into in the third quarter of 2013. For further information on these arrangements, refer to Note 10, "Medical Claims and Benefits Payable."

	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
	(In thousands)	
Health Plans segment:		
California	\$130,718	\$28,553
Florida	2,239	953
Michigan	16,311	12,873
New Mexico	14,091	9,059
Ohio	79,200	40,980
Texas	5,280	7,459
Utah	10,375	3,359
Washington	12,668	17,587
Wisconsin	5,033	4,098
Other	633	2,177
Total Health Plans segment	276,548	127,098
Molina Medicaid Solutions segment	17,419	22,584
	\$293,967	\$149,682

#### 9. Restricted Investments

Pursuant to the regulations governing our Health Plans segment subsidiaries, we maintain statutory deposits and deposits required by state authorities in certificates of deposit and U.S. treasury securities. We also maintain restricted investments as protection against the insolvency of certain capitated providers. Additionally, in connection with the Molina Medicaid Solutions segment contracts with the states of Maine and Idaho, we maintain restricted investments as collateral for letters of credit. The following table presents the balances of restricted investments:

Table of Contents

	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
	(In thousands)	
California	\$373	\$373
Florida	8,840	5,738
Michigan	1,014	1,014
New Mexico	24,620	15,915
Ohio	9,081	9,082
Texas	3,500	3,503
Utah	3,308	3,126
Washington	151	151
Other	4,037	5,199
Total Health Plans segment	54,924	44,101
Molina Medicaid Solutions segment	10,301	—
	\$65,225	\$44,101

The contractual maturities of our held-to-maturity restricted investments as of September 30, 2013 are summarized below.

	Amortized Cost	Estimated Fair Value
	(In thousands)	
Due in one year or less	\$59,633	\$59,636
Due one year through five years	5,592	5,596
	\$65,225	\$65,232

#### 10. Medical Claims and Benefits Payable

As of September 30, 2013, medical claims and benefits payable include amounts payable to certain providers for which we act as an intermediary on behalf of various state agencies without assuming financial risk. Such receipts and payments do not impact our consolidated statements of operations. As of September 30, 2013, we recorded provider payables of approximately \$64.1 million, and \$69.7 million accounts receivable for new intermediary arrangements that began in the third quarter of 2013.

The following table presents the components of the change in our medical claims and benefits payable for the periods indicated. The amounts displayed for “Components of medical care costs related to: Prior periods” represent the amount by which our original estimate of claims and benefits payable at the beginning of the period were (more) or less than the actual amount of the liability based on information (principally the payment of claims) developed since that liability was first reported. The following table shows the components of the change in medical claims and benefits payable from continuing and discontinued operations for the periods indicated:

Table of Contents

	Nine Months Ended	Three Months	Year Ended		
	September 30, 2013	Ended	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012	
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Balances at beginning of period	\$494,530		\$465,487	\$402,476	
Components of medical care costs related to:					
Current period	4,021,461		1,415,670	5,136,055	
Prior periods	(54,040	)	(32,575	) (39,295	)
Total medical care costs	3,967,421		1,383,095	5,096,760	
Payments for medical care costs related to:					
Current period	3,410,689		851,025	4,649,363	
Prior periods	418,556		364,851	355,343	
Total paid	3,829,245		1,215,876	5,004,706	
Balances at end of period	\$632,706		\$632,706	\$494,530	
Benefit from prior period as a percentage of:					
Balance at beginning of period	10.9	%	7.0	% 9.8	%
Premium revenue, trailing twelve months	0.9	%	0.5	% 0.7	%
Medical care costs, trailing twelve months	1.0	%	0.6	% 0.8	%

Assuming that our initial estimate of claims incurred but not paid (IBNP) is accurate, we believe that amounts ultimately paid out would generally be between 8% and 10% less than the liability recorded at the end of the period as a result of the inclusion in that liability of the allowance for adverse claims development and the accrued cost of settling those claims. Because the amount of our initial liability is merely an estimate (and therefore not perfectly accurate), we will always experience variability in that estimate as new information becomes available with the passage of time. Therefore, there can be no assurance that amounts ultimately paid out will fall within the range of 8% to 10% lower than the liability that was initially recorded. Furthermore, because our initial estimate of IBNP is derived from many factors, some of which are qualitative in nature rather than quantitative, we are seldom able to assign specific values to the reasons for a change in estimate - we only know when the circumstances for any one or more factors are out of the ordinary.

As indicated above, the amounts ultimately paid out on our liabilities in fiscal years 2013 and 2012 were less than what we had expected when we had established our reserves. For example, for the year ended December 31, 2012, the amounts ultimately paid out were less than the amount of the reserves we had established as of December 31, 2011 by 9.8%. While many related factors working in conjunction with one another determine the accuracy of our estimates, we are seldom able to quantify the impact that any single factor has on a change in estimate. In addition, given the variability inherent in the reserving process, we will only be able to identify specific factors if they represent a significant departure from expectations. As a result, we do not expect to be able to fully quantify the impact of individual factors on changes in estimates.

We recognized favorable prior period claims development in the amount of \$54.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013. This amount represents our estimate, as of September 30, 2013, of the extent to which our initial estimate of medical claims and benefits payable at December 31, 2012 was more than the amount that will ultimately be paid out in satisfaction of that liability. We believe the overestimation of our claims liability at December 31, 2012 was due primarily to the following factors:

At our Washington health plan prior to July 2012, certain high-cost newborns that were approved for supplemental security income (SSI) coverage by the state were retroactively dis-enrolled from our Healthy Options (TANF) coverage, and the health plan was reimbursed for the claims paid on behalf of these members. Starting July 1, 2012, these newborns, as well as other high-cost disabled members, are now covered by the health plan under the Healthy Options Blind and Disabled (HOBD) program. At the end of 2012, we had limited claims history with which to estimate the claims liability of the HOBD members, and as a result the liability for these high-cost members was overstated.

-

At our New Mexico health plan, we overestimated the impact of certain high-dollar outstanding claim payments as of December 31, 2012.

At our Ohio health plan, we overestimated the impact of several potential high-dollar claims relating to our aged, blind or disabled (ABD) members.



Table of Contents

We recognized favorable prior period claims development in the amount of \$32.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013. This amount represents our estimate as of September 30, 2013, of the extent to which our initial estimate of medical claims and benefits payable at June 30, 2013 was more than the amount that will ultimately be paid out in satisfaction of that liability. This amount of favorable development was considerably less than we typically experience, and was significant enough to have a materially unfavorable impact upon our third quarter financial performance. We believe the overestimation of our claims liability at June 30, 2013 was due primarily to the following factors:

- At our Ohio health plan, we overestimated the impact of several potential high-dollar claims relating to critically ill members.

- At our Michigan health plan, we underestimated the impact of future claims overpayment recoveries when establishing reserves at June 30, 2013.

The overestimation of our liability for medical claims and benefits payable was partially offset by an underestimation of that liability at our Texas health plan as a result of the costs associated with an unusually large number of older claims. This anomaly was caused primarily by the payment of claims that were delayed as a result of hospital provider disputes that have been resolved. The underestimation of the liability at our Texas health plan was responsible for the relatively small amount of prior period development noted above.

We recognized favorable prior period claims development in the amount of \$37.7 million and \$39.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, and the year ended December 31, 2012, respectively. This was primarily caused by the overestimation of our liability claims and medical benefits at December 31, 2011, as a result of the following factors:

- At our Washington health plan, we underestimated the amount of recoveries we would collect for certain high-cost newborn claims, resulting in an overestimation of reserves at year end.

- At our Texas health plan, we overestimated the cost of new members in STAR+PLUS, in the Dallas region.

The overestimation of our liability for medical claims and benefits payable was partially offset by an underestimation of that liability at our Missouri health plan, as a result of the costs associated with an unusually large number of premature infants during the fourth quarter of 2011.

In estimating our claims liability at September 30, 2013, we adjusted our base calculation to take account of the following factors which we believe are reasonably likely to change our final claims liability amount:

- At our Texas health plan, we have noted an unusually large number of older claims dated older than 12 months. This has caused some distortion in the claims lag pattern that we use to estimate the incurred claims.

- At our Michigan health plan, there were a large number of claim recoveries recorded in June 2013 due to overpayments that resulted from a system configuration issue. These recoveries impacted the completion factors used to estimate incurred claims. While we attempted to remove this distortion from the claims data to develop a more accurate reserve estimate, this type of correction in claims data adds a degree of uncertainty for the Michigan reserves as of September 30, 2013.

Our New Mexico health plan acquired approximately 80,000 new members in August 2013 from another health plan. This acquisition roughly doubled the size of the membership in a single month. For the September 30, 2013 reserve calculation, we have assumed that these new members will incur costs at about the same rate as the New Mexico members that were previously enrolled. With only two months of paid claims for these new members, it is too soon to know whether that assumption is correct or not.

The use of a consistent methodology in estimating our liability for claims and medical benefits payable minimizes the degree to which the under- or overestimation of that liability at the close of one period may affect consolidated results of operations in subsequent periods. In particular, the use of a consistent methodology should result in the replenishment of reserves during any given period in a manner that generally offsets the benefit of favorable prior period development in that period. Facts and circumstances unique to the estimation process at any single date, however, may still lead to a material impact on consolidated results of operations in subsequent periods. Any absence of adverse claims development (as well as the expensing through general and administrative expense of the costs to settle claims held at the start of the period) will lead to the recognition of a benefit from prior period claims development in the period subsequent to the date of the original estimate. In 2012, and for the nine months ended

September 30, 2013, the absence of adverse development of the liability for claims and medical benefits payable at the close of the previous period resulted in the recognition of substantial favorable prior period development. In both years, however, the recognition of a benefit from prior period claims development did not have a material

25

---

Table of Contents

impact on our consolidated results of operations because the replenishment of reserves in the respective periods generally offset the benefit from the prior period.

## 11. Long-Term Debt

As of September 30, 2013, maturities of long-term debt for the years ending December 31 are as follows (in thousands):

	Total	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Thereafter
1.125% Notes	\$550,000	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$550,000
3.75% Notes	187,000	—	187,000	—	—	—	—
	\$737,000	\$—	\$187,000	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$550,000

## 1.125% Cash Convertible Senior Notes due 2020

On February 15, 2013, we settled the issuance of \$550.0 million aggregate principal amount of 1.125% Cash Convertible Senior Notes due 2020 (the 1.125% Notes). This transaction included the initial issuance of \$450.0 million on February 11, 2013, plus the exercise of the full amount of the \$100.0 million over-allotment option on February 13, 2013. The aggregate net proceeds of the 1.125% Notes were \$458.9 million, after payment of the net cost of the Call Spread Overlay described below and in Note 12, “Derivative Financial Instruments,” and transaction costs. Additionally, we used \$50.0 million of the net proceeds to purchase shares of our common stock (see Note 13, “Stockholders' Equity”), and \$40.0 million to repay the principal owed under our Credit Facility.

Interest on the 1.125% Notes is payable semiannually in arrears on January 15 and July 15 of each year, at a rate of 1.125% per annum, and commenced on July 15, 2013. The 1.125% Notes will mature on January 15, 2020 unless repurchased or converted in accordance with their terms prior to such date.

The 1.125% Notes are convertible only into cash, and not into shares of our common stock or any other securities. Holders may convert their 1.125% Notes solely into cash at their option at any time prior to the close of business on the business day immediately preceding July 15, 2019 only under the following circumstances: (1) during any calendar quarter commencing after the calendar quarter ending on June 30, 2013 (and only during such calendar quarter), if the last reported sale price of the common stock for at least 20 trading days (whether or not consecutive) during a period of 30 consecutive trading days ending on the last trading day of the immediately preceding calendar quarter is greater than or equal to 130% of the conversion price on each applicable trading day; (2) during the five business day period immediately after any five consecutive trading day period in which the trading price per \$1,000 principal amount of 1.125% Notes for each trading day of the measurement period was less than 98% of the product of the last reported sale price of our common stock and the conversion rate on each such trading day; or (3) upon the occurrence of specified corporate events. On or after July 15, 2019 until the close of business on the second scheduled trading day immediately preceding the maturity date, holders may convert their 1.125% Notes solely into cash at any time, regardless of the foregoing circumstances. Upon conversion, in lieu of receiving shares of our common stock, a holder will receive an amount in cash, per \$1,000 principal amount of 1.125% Notes, equal to the settlement amount, determined in the manner set forth in the indenture.

The initial conversion rate will be 24.5277 shares of our common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of 1.125% Notes (equivalent to an initial conversion price of approximately \$40.77 per share of common stock). The conversion rate will be subject to adjustment in some events but will not be adjusted for any accrued and unpaid interest. In addition, following certain corporate events that occur prior to the maturity date, we will pay a cash make-whole premium by increasing the conversion rate for a holder who elects to convert its 1.125% Notes in connection with such a corporate event in certain circumstances. We may not redeem the 1.125% Notes prior to the maturity date, and no sinking fund is provided for the 1.125% Notes.

If we undergo a fundamental change (as defined in the indenture to the 1.125% Notes), holders may require us to repurchase for cash all or part of their 1.125% Notes at a repurchase price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the 1.125% Notes to be repurchased, plus accrued and unpaid interest to, but excluding, the fundamental change repurchase date. The indenture provides for customary events of default, including cross acceleration to certain other

indebtedness of ours, and our significant subsidiaries.

The 1.125% Notes are senior unsecured obligations, and rank senior in right of payment to any of our indebtedness that is expressly subordinated in right of payment to the 1.125% Notes; equal in right of payment to any of our unsecured indebtedness that is not so subordinated; effectively junior in right of payment to any of our secured indebtedness to the extent of the value of the assets securing such indebtedness; and structurally junior to all indebtedness and other liabilities (including trade payables) of our subsidiaries.

26

---

Table of Contents

The 1.125% Notes contain an embedded cash conversion option. We have determined that the embedded cash conversion option is a derivative financial instrument, required to be separated from the 1.125% Notes and accounted for separately as a derivative liability, with changes in fair value reported in our consolidated statements of operations until the embedded cash conversion option transaction settles or expires. The initial fair value liability of the embedded cash conversion option was \$149.3 million, which simultaneously reduced the carrying value of the 1.125% Notes (effectively an original issuance discount). For further discussion of the derivative financial instruments relating to the 1.125% Notes, refer to Note 12, "Derivative Financial Instruments."

As noted above, the reduced carrying value on the 1.125% Notes resulted in a debt discount that is amortized to the 1.125% Notes' principal amount through the recognition of interest expense over the expected life of the debt. This has resulted in our recognition of interest expense on the 1.125% Notes at an effective rate approximating what we would have incurred had nonconvertible debt with otherwise similar terms been issued. The effective interest rate of the 1.125% Notes is 5.9%, which is imputed based on the amortization of the fair value of the embedded cash conversion option over the remaining term of the 1.125% Notes. As of September 30, 2013, we expect the 1.125% Notes to be outstanding until their January 15, 2020 maturity date, for a remaining amortization period of 6.3 years. The 1.125% Notes' if-converted value did not exceed their principal amount as of September 30, 2013.

Also in connection with the settlement of the 1.125% Notes, we paid approximately \$16.9 million in transaction costs. Such costs have been allocated to the 1.125% Notes, the 1.125% Call Option (defined below) and the 1.125% Warrants (defined below) according to their relative fair values. The amount allocated to the 1.125% Notes, or \$12.0 million, was capitalized and will be amortized over the term of the 1.125% Notes. The aggregate amount allocated to the 1.125% Call Option and 1.125% Warrants, or \$4.9 million, was recorded to interest expense in the quarter ended March 31, 2013.

#### 1.125% Notes Call Spread Overlay

Concurrent with the issuance of the 1.125% Notes, we entered into privately negotiated hedge transactions (collectively, the 1.125% Call Option) and warrant transactions (collectively, the 1.125% Warrants), with certain of the initial purchasers of the 1.125% Notes (the Counterparties). These transactions represent a Call Spread Overlay, whereby the cost of the 1.125% Call Option we purchased to cover the cash outlay upon conversion of the 1.125% Notes was reduced by the sales price of the 1.125% Warrants. Assuming full performance by the Counterparties (and 1.125% Warrants strike prices in excess of the conversion price of the 1.125% Notes), these transactions are intended to offset cash payments due upon any conversion of the 1.125% Notes. We used \$149.3 million of the proceeds from the settlement of the 1.125% Notes to pay for the 1.125% Call Option, and simultaneously received \$75.1 million for the sale of the 1.125% Warrants, for a net cash outlay of \$74.2 million for the Call Spread Overlay. The 1.125% Call Option is a derivative financial instrument. Until April 22, 2013, the 1.125% Warrants were classified as derivative financial instruments; refer to Note 12, "Derivative Financial Instruments" for further discussion.

Aside from the initial payment of a premium to the Counterparties of \$149.3 million for the 1.125% Call Option, we will not be required to make any cash payments to the Counterparties under the 1.125% Call Option, and will be entitled to receive from the Counterparties an amount of cash, generally equal to the amount by which the market price per share of common stock exceeds the strike price of the 1.125% Call Options during the relevant valuation period. The strike price under the 1.125% Call Option is initially equal to the conversion price of the 1.125% Notes. Additionally, if the market value per share of our common stock exceeds the strike price of the 1.125% Warrants on any trading day during the 160 trading day measurement period under the 1.125% Warrants, we will be obligated to issue to the Counterparties a number of shares equal in value to the product of the amount by which such market value exceeds such strike price and 1/160th of the aggregate number of shares of our common stock underlying the 1.125% Warrants, subject to a share delivery cap. We will not receive any additional proceeds if the 1.125% Warrants are

exercised. Pursuant to the 1.125% Warrants, we issued 13,490,236 warrants with a strike price of \$53.8475 per share. The number of warrants and the strike price are subject to adjustment under certain circumstances.

**3.75% Convertible Senior Notes due 2014**

We had \$187.0 million of 3.75% Convertible Senior Notes due 2014 (the 3.75% Notes) outstanding as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. The 3.75% Notes rank equally in right of payment with our existing and future senior indebtedness. The 3.75% Notes are convertible into cash and, under certain circumstances, shares of our common stock. The initial conversion rate is 31.9601 shares of our common stock per one thousand dollar principal amount of the 3.75% Notes. This represents an initial conversion price of approximately \$31.29 per share of our common stock. In addition, if certain corporate transactions that constitute a change of control occur prior to maturity, we will increase the conversion rate in certain circumstances.

Table of Contents

Because the 3.75% Notes have cash settlement features, we have allocated the proceeds from their issuance between a liability component and an equity component. The reduced carrying value on the 3.75% Notes resulted in a debt discount that is amortized back to the 3.75% Notes' principal amount through the recognition of non-cash interest expense over the expected life of the debt. This has resulted in our recognition of interest expense on the 3.75% Notes at an effective rate approximating what we would have incurred had nonconvertible debt with otherwise similar terms had been issued. The effective interest rate of the 3.75% Notes is 7.5%, principally based on the seven-year U.S. Treasury note rate as of the October 2007 issuance date, plus an appropriate credit spread. As of September 30, 2013, we expect the 3.75% Notes to be outstanding until their October 1, 2014 maturity date, for a remaining amortization period of 12 months. As of September 30, 2013, the 3.75% Notes' if-converted value exceeded their principal amount by approximately \$30 million. The 3.75% Notes' if-converted value did not exceed their principal amount as of December 31, 2012. At September 30, 2013, the equity component of the 3.75% Notes, net of the impact of deferred taxes, was \$24.0 million.

The principal amounts, unamortized discount and net carrying amounts of the convertible senior notes were as follows:

	Principal Balance (In thousands)	Unamortized Discount	Net Carrying Amount
September 30, 2013:			
1.125% Notes	\$550,000	\$138,341	\$411,659
3.75% Notes	187,000	6,775	180,225
	\$737,000	\$145,116	\$591,884
December 31, 2012:			
3.75% Notes	\$187,000	\$11,532	\$175,468
	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013	
	2012	2012	
	(In thousands)		
Interest cost recognized for the period relating to the:			
Contractual interest coupon rate	\$3,300	\$1,753	\$9,127
Amortization of the discount	6,059	1,499	15,747
Total interest cost recognized	\$9,359	\$3,252	\$24,874
			\$9,673

## Lease Financing Obligations

On June 12, 2013 we entered into a sale-leaseback transaction for the sale and contemporaneous leaseback of two properties, including the Molina Center located in Long Beach, California, and the building that houses our Ohio health plan located in Columbus, Ohio. We sold the two properties for \$158.6 million in the aggregate. Due to our continuing involvement with these leased properties, the sale did not qualify for sale-leaseback accounting treatment and we remain the "accounting owner" of the properties. The carrying values of these properties, including the related intangible assets, amounted to \$78.3 million in the aggregate as of September 30, 2013. These assets continue to be included in our consolidated balance sheets, and also continue to be depreciated and amortized over their remaining useful lives. The sales price of \$158.6 million was recorded as a lease financing obligation, which is amortized over the 25-year lease term such that there will be no gain or loss recorded if the lease is not extended at the end of its term. Payments under the lease adjust the lease financing obligation, and the imputed interest is recorded to interest expense in our consolidated statements of operations. Transaction costs associated with this transaction, amounting to \$3.5 million, have been deferred and will be amortized over the initial lease term. Future minimum rental income on noncancelable leases from third party tenants of these properties, as reported in our December 31, 2012 Form 10-K, is now considered to be sublease rental income, and continues to be reported in rental income in our consolidated

statements of operations. The future minimum rental income previously reported as of December 31, 2012 is consistent with our expected sublease rental income as of September 30, 2013. For information regarding the future minimum lease obligation, refer to Note 15, "Commitments and Contingencies."

As described and defined in further detail in Note 16, "Related Party Transactions," we entered into a lease for office space in February 2013 consisting of two office buildings then under construction. We have concluded that we are the accounting owner of the construction projects because of our continuing involvement in those projects. Therefore, we have recorded \$18.9 million to property, equipment and capitalized software, net, in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of September 30, 2013, which represents the total cost, including imputed interest, incurred by the Landlord thus far in the



Table of Contents

construction projects. As of September 30, 2013, the aggregate amount recorded to lease financing obligations for the construction projects amounted to \$19.2 million. Payments under the lease adjust the lease financing obligation, and the imputed interest is recorded to interest expense in our consolidated statements of operations. In addition to the capitalization of the costs incurred by the Landlord, we impute and record rent expense relating to the ground leases for the property sites. Such rent expense is computed based on the fair value of the land and our incremental borrowing rate, and was immaterial for the nine months ended September 30, 2013. For information regarding the future minimum lease obligation, refer to Note 15, "Commitments and Contingencies."

**Term Loan**

In December 2011, our wholly owned subsidiary, Molina Center LLC, entered into a term loan agreement with various lenders and East West Bank to borrow \$48.6 million to finance a portion of the purchase price for the Molina Center, located in Long Beach, California. On June 13, 2013, we repaid the principal balance outstanding under the term loan on that date with proceeds we received in the sale-leaseback transaction described above.

**Credit Facility**

On February 15, 2013, we used \$40.0 million of the net proceeds from the offering of the 1.125% Notes to repay all of the outstanding indebtedness under our \$170 million revolving Credit Facility, with various lenders and U.S. Bank National Association, as Line of Credit Issuer, Swing Line Lender, and Administrative Agent. As of December 31, 2012, there was \$40.0 million outstanding under the Credit Facility.

We terminated the Credit Facility in connection with the closing of the offering and sale of the 1.125% Notes. Two letters of credit in the aggregate principal amount of \$10.3 million that reduced the amount available for borrowing under the Credit Facility as of December 31, 2012, were transferred to direct issue letters of credit with another financial institution. Such direct issue letters of credit are collateralized by restricted investments.

**12. Derivative Financial Instruments**

The following table summarizes the fair values and the presentation of our derivative financial instruments (defined and discussed individually below) in the consolidated balance sheets:

	Balance Sheet Location	September 30, 2013 (In thousands)
Derivative asset:		
1.125% Call Option	Non-current assets: Derivative asset	\$ 191,663

**Derivative liability:**

Embedded cash conversion option	Non-current liabilities: Derivative liability	\$ 191,556
---------------------------------	---	------------

Our derivative financial instruments do not qualify for hedge treatment, therefore the change in fair value of these instruments is recognized immediately in our consolidated statements of operations, in other expense. The following table summarizes the gains (losses) recorded in the periods presented:

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013		Nine months ended September 30, 2013		
	2012		2012		
	(In thousands)				
Derivative gains (losses):					
1.125% Call Option	\$(15,460	) \$—	\$42,332	\$—	
Embedded cash conversion option	15,461	—	(42,225	) —	
1.125% Warrants	—	—	(3,923	) —	
Interest rate swap	—	(184	) 433	(1,270	)
	\$1	\$(184	) \$(3,383	) \$(1,270	)

**1.125% Notes Call Spread Overlay**



Table of Contents

As described in Note 11, "Long-Term Debt," we entered into a Call Spread Overlay, whereby the cost of the 1.125% Call Option we purchased to cover the cash outlay upon conversion of the debentures was reduced by the sales price of the 1.125% Warrants. Assuming full performance by the Counterparties (and 1.125% Warrants strike prices in excess of the conversion price of the 1.125% Notes), these transactions are intended to offset cash payments due upon any conversion of the 1.125% Notes.

The 1.125% Call Option, which is indexed to our common stock, is a derivative asset that requires mark-to-market accounting treatment due to the cash settlement features until the 1.125% Call Option settles or expires. The 1.125% Call Option is measured and reported at fair value on a recurring basis, within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. For further discussion of the inputs used to determine the fair value of the 1.125% Call Option, refer to Note 6, "Fair Value Measurements."

Until April 22, 2013, the 1.125% Warrants were recorded as a derivative liability that required mark-to-market accounting treatment due to certain terms in the 1.125% Warrants that prevented such instruments being considered to be indexed in our common stock. Effective April 22, 2013, we entered into amended and restated warrant confirmations with the Counterparties to clarify these terms, such that 1.125% Warrants are no longer considered to be derivative instruments, and have been recorded to additional paid-in capital. In the second quarter of 2013, we recorded a loss of \$3.9 million for the change in fair value of the 1.125% Warrants from February 15, 2013 to April 22, 2013.

#### Embedded Cash Conversion Option

The embedded cash conversion option within the 1.125% Notes is required to be separated from the 1.125% Notes and accounted for separately as a derivative liability, with changes in fair value reported in our consolidated statements of operations until the cash conversion option settles or expires. The initial fair value liability of the embedded cash conversion option was \$149.3 million, which simultaneously reduced the carrying value of the 1.125% Notes (effectively an original issuance discount). The embedded cash conversion option is measured and reported at fair value on a recurring basis, within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. For further discussion of the inputs used to determine the fair value of the embedded cash conversion option, refer to Note 6, "Fair Value Measurements."

#### Interest Rate Swap

In May 2012, we entered into a \$42.5 million notional amount interest rate swap agreement, with an effective date of March 1, 2013. On June 14, 2013, we settled the interest rate swap for \$0.9 million.

#### 13. Stockholders' Equity

Stockholders' equity increased \$110.5 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The increase was primarily due to the \$79.0 million reclassification of the 1.125% Warrants to additional paid-in capital, net income of \$62.1 million, and \$19.1 million related to employee stock transactions, partially offset by \$50.0 million in repurchases of our common stock, as described in further detail below.

**Common Shares Authorized.** On May 1, 2013, our stockholders approved an amendment to our certificate of incorporation to increase the number of authorized shares of our common stock from 80,000,000 to 150,000,000.

**1.125% Warrants.** As described in Note 12, "Derivative Financial Instruments," we reclassified the 1.125% Warrants to additional paid-in capital during the second quarter of 2013, resulting in a \$79.0 million increase to stockholders' equity. If the market value per share of our common stock exceeds the strike price of the 1.125% Warrants on any trading day during the 160 trading day measurement period under the 1.125% Warrants, we will be obligated to issue to the Counterparties a number of shares equal in value to the product of the amount by which such market value exceeds such strike price and 1/160th of the aggregate number of shares of our common stock underlying the 1.125% Warrants, subject to a share delivery cap. We will not receive any additional proceeds if the 1.125% Warrants are exercised. Pursuant to the 1.125% Warrants, we issued 13,490,236 warrants with a strike price of \$53.8475 per share. The number of warrants and the strike price are subject to adjustment under certain circumstances. The 1.125% Warrants could separately have a dilutive effect to the extent that the market value per share of our common stock (as measured under the terms of the warrant transactions) exceeds the applicable strike price of the 1.125% Warrants.

Securities Repurchases and Repurchase Program. In connection with the issuance and settlement of the 1.125% Notes, we used a portion of the net proceeds from the offering to repurchase \$50 million of our common stock in negotiated transactions with institutional investors in the offering, concurrently with the pricing of the offering. On February 12, 2013, we repurchased a total of 1,624,959 shares at \$30.77 per share, which was our closing stock price on that date.

Table of Contents

Effective as of September 30, 2013, our board of directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$50 million in aggregate of our common stock. Stock repurchases under this program may be made through open-market and/or privately negotiated transactions at times and in such amounts as management deems appropriate. The timing and actual number of shares repurchased will depend on a variety of factors including price, corporate and regulatory requirements and other market conditions. This newly authorized repurchase program extends through December 31, 2014, and replaces in its entirety, the \$75 million repurchase program adopted by the board of directors on February 13, 2013.

Shelf Registration Statement. In May 2012, we filed an automatic shelf registration statement on Form S-3 with the SEC covering the issuance of an indeterminate number of our securities, including common stock, warrants, or debt securities. We may publicly offer securities from time to time at prices and terms to be determined at the time of the offering.

Stock Plans. In connection with the plans described in Note 5, "Stock-Based Compensation," we issued approximately 620,000 shares of common stock, net of shares used to settle employees' income tax obligations, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

#### 14. Segment Reporting

We report our financial performance based on two reportable segments: Health Plans and Molina Medicaid Solutions. Our reportable segments are consistent with how we manage the business and view the markets we serve. Our Health Plans segment consists of our state health plans and also includes our direct delivery business. Our state health plans represent operating segments that have been aggregated for reporting purposes because they share similar economic characteristics.

Our Molina Medicaid Solutions segment provides design, development, implementation; business process outsourcing solutions; hosting services; and information technology support services to state Medicaid agencies.

We rely on an internal management reporting process that provides segment information to the operating income level for purposes of making financial decisions and allocating resources. The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in Note 2, "Significant Accounting Policies." The cost of services shared between the Health Plans and Molina Medicaid Solutions segments is charged to the Health Plans segment.

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(In thousands)			
Revenue from continuing operations:				
Health Plans:				
Premium revenue	\$1,584,656	\$1,448,600	\$4,583,818	\$4,066,737
Premium tax receipts	43,723	37,894	127,606	120,953
Investment income	1,740	1,155	4,884	3,893
Rental and other income	5,860	4,079	16,476	12,315
Molina Medicaid Solutions:				
Service revenue	51,100	48,422	150,528	132,351
	\$1,687,079	\$1,540,150	\$4,883,312	\$4,336,249
Depreciation and amortization reported in the consolidated statements of cash flows:				
Health Plans	\$17,545	\$14,753	\$48,467	\$43,600
Molina Medicaid Solutions	6,583	5,526	19,568	14,689
	\$24,128	\$20,279	\$68,035	\$58,289
Operating income (loss) from continuing operations:				
Health Plans	\$16,929	\$(5,788)	\$118,600	\$(33,957)
Molina Medicaid Solutions	7,997	8,156	20,645	23,207
	24,926	2,368	139,245	(10,750)

Edgar Filing: MOLINA HEALTHCARE INC - Form 10-Q

Total operating income (loss) from continuing operations

Interest expense	13,532	4,315	38,236	12,421
Other (income) expense	(24	) 184	3,347	1,270
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	\$11,418	\$(2,131	) \$97,662	\$(24,441 )

31

---

Table of Contents

	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
	(In thousands)	
Goodwill and intangible assets, net:		
Health Plans	\$252,360	\$139,710
Molina Medicaid Solutions	83,058	89,089
	\$335,418	\$228,799
Total assets:		
Health Plans	\$2,748,724	\$1,702,212
Molina Medicaid Solutions	176,342	232,610
	\$2,925,066	\$1,934,822

Goodwill and intangible assets increased in the Health Plans segment due to acquisitions that occurred in the third quarter of 2013. See Note 4, "Business Combinations," for further information.

## 15. Commitments and Contingencies

## Sale-Leaseback Transactions

As described in Note 11, "Long-Term Debt," we entered into sale-leaseback transactions that have been classified as lease financing obligations. For the transaction entered into in June 2013, the initial lease term is 25 years, with five five-year renewal options. For the transaction relating to the construction project completed in June 2013, the initial lease term is 11.5 years, with two five-year renewal options. We expect future minimum lease payments under these leases, for the three months ended December 31, 2013, to be \$3.3 million. Future minimum lease payments due under these leases beginning January 1, 2014 are as follows:

	(In thousands)
2014	\$14,395
2015	18,277
2016	18,877
2017	19,496
2018	20,137
Thereafter	385,813
Total minimum lease payments	\$476,995

## Legal Proceedings

The health care and business process outsourcing industries are subject to numerous laws and regulations of federal, state, and local governments. Compliance with these laws and regulations can be subject to government review and interpretation, as well as regulatory actions unknown and unasserted at this time. Penalties associated with violations of these laws and regulations include significant fines and penalties, exclusion from participating in publicly funded programs, and the repayment of previously billed and collected revenues.

We are involved in legal actions in the ordinary course of business, some of which seek monetary damages, including claims for punitive damages, which are not covered by insurance. We have accrued liabilities for certain matters for which we deem the loss to be both probable and estimable. Although we believe that our estimates of such losses are reasonable, these estimates could change as a result of further developments of these matters. The outcome of legal actions is inherently uncertain and such pending matters for which accruals have not been established have not progressed sufficiently through discovery and/or development of important factual information and legal issues to enable us to estimate a range of possible loss, if any. While it is not possible to accurately predict or determine the eventual outcomes of these items, an adverse determination in one or more of these pending matters could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

## Provider Claims

## Table of Contents

Many of our medical contracts are complex in nature and may be subject to differing interpretations regarding amounts due for the provision of various services. Such differing interpretations have led certain medical providers to pursue us for additional compensation. The claims made by providers in such circumstances often involve issues of contract compliance, interpretation, payment methodology, and intent. These claims often extend to services provided by the providers over a number of years.

Various providers have contacted us seeking additional compensation for claims that we believe to have been settled. These matters, when finally concluded and determined, will not, in our opinion, have a material adverse effect on our business, consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

### Regulatory Capital and Dividend Restrictions

Our health plans, which are operated by our respective wholly owned subsidiaries in those states, are subject to state laws and regulations that, among other things, require the maintenance of minimum levels of statutory capital, as defined by each state. Such state laws and regulations also restrict the timing, payment, and amount of dividends and other distributions that may be paid to us as the sole stockholder. To the extent our subsidiaries must comply with these regulations, they may not have the financial flexibility to transfer funds to us. The net assets in these subsidiaries (after intercompany eliminations) which may not be transferable to us in the form of loans, advances, or cash dividends was \$601.1 million at September 30, 2013, and \$549.7 million at December 31, 2012. Because of the statutory restrictions that inhibit the ability of our health plans to transfer net assets to us, the amount of retained earnings readily available to pay dividends to our stockholders is generally limited to cash, cash equivalents and investments held by the parent company – Molina Healthcare, Inc. Such cash, cash equivalents and investments amounted to \$472.1 million and \$46.9 million as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The National Association of Insurance Commissioners, or NAIC, adopted rules effective December 31, 1998, which, if implemented by the states, set minimum capitalization requirements for insurance companies, HMOs, and other entities bearing risk for health care coverage. The requirements take the form of risk-based capital (RBC) rules. Illinois, Michigan, New Mexico, Ohio, Texas, Utah, Washington, and Wisconsin have adopted these rules, which may vary from state to state. California and Florida have not adopted NAIC risk-based capital requirements for HMOs and have not formally given notice of their intention to do so. Such requirements, if adopted by California and Florida, may increase the minimum capital required for those states.

As of September 30, 2013, our health plans had aggregate statutory capital and surplus of approximately \$637.0 million compared with the required minimum aggregate statutory capital and surplus of approximately \$362.2 million. All of our health plans were in compliance with the minimum capital requirements at September 30, 2013. We have the ability and commitment to provide additional capital to each of our health plans when necessary to ensure that statutory capital and surplus continue to meet regulatory requirements.

## 16. Related Party Transactions

### Leased Office Buildings

On February 27, 2013, we entered into a lease (the Lease) with 6<sup>th</sup> & Pine Development, LLC (the Landlord) for office space located in Long Beach, California. The Lease consists of two office buildings, one of which is under construction. The building which comprises approximately 90,000 square feet of office and storage space (Building A) was completed in June 2013; immediately following its completion, we occupied Building A and commenced lease payments. The second building (Building B) is expected to comprise approximately 120,000 square feet of office space.

The term of the Lease with respect to Building A commenced on June 6, 2013, and the term of the Lease with respect to Building B is expected to commence on November 1, 2014. The initial term of the Lease with respect to both buildings expires on December 31, 2024, subject to two options to extend the term for a period of five years each. Initial annual rent for Building A is approximately \$2.6 million, and initial annual rent for Building B is expected to be approximately \$4.0 million. Rent will increase 3.75% per year through the initial term. Rent during the extension terms will be the greater of then-current rent or fair market rent.

The principal members of the Landlord are John C. Molina, our chief financial officer and a director of the Company, and his wife. In addition, in connection with the development of the buildings being leased, the Landlord has pledged shares of common stock in the Company he holds as trustee. Dr. J. Mario Molina, our chief executive officer and



chairman of the board of directors, holds a partial interest in such shares as trust beneficiary.  
Medical Services

33

---

Table of Contents

We have an equity investment in a medical service provider that provides certain vision services to our members; we account for this investment under the equity method of accounting. For the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, we paid \$8.2 million and \$7.0 million, respectively, for medical service fees to this provider. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, we paid \$24.6 million and \$20.6 million, respectively, for medical service fees to this provider.

17. Variable Interest Entities

Joseph M. Molina M.D., Professional Corporations

The Joseph M. Molina, M.D. Professional Corporations (JMMPC) were created in 2012 to further advance our direct delivery business. JMMPC's sole shareholder is Dr. J. Mario Molina, our Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer. Dr. Molina is paid no salary and receives no dividends in connection with his work for, or ownership of, JMMPC. JMMPC provides outpatient professional medical services to the general public for routine non-life threatening, outpatient health care needs. Substantially all of the individuals served by JMMPC are members of our health plans. JMMPC does not have agreements to provide professional medical services with any other entities.

Our wholly owned subsidiary, American Family Care, Inc. (AFC), has entered into services agreements with JMMPC to provide clinic facilities, clinic administrative support staff, patient scheduling services and medical supplies to JMMPC. The services agreements were designed such that JMMPC will not operate at a loss, ensuring the availability of quality care and access for our health plan members. The services agreements provide that the administrative fees charged to JMMPC by AFC are reviewed annually to assure the achievement of this goal.

Our California, Florida, New Mexico and Washington health plans have entered into primary care capitation agreements with JMMPC. These agreements also direct our health plans to fund JMMPC's operating deficits, or receive JMMPC's operating surpluses, based on a monthly reconciliation. Because the AFC services agreements described above mitigate the likelihood of significant operating deficits or surpluses, such monthly reconciliation amounts are insignificant.

We have determined that JMMPC is a variable interest entity, or VIE, and that we are its primary beneficiary. We have reached this conclusion under the power and benefits criterion model according to GAAP. Specifically, we have the power to direct the activities that most significantly affect JMMPC's economic performance, and the obligation to absorb losses or right to receive benefits that are potentially significant to the VIE, under the agreements described above. Because we are its primary beneficiary, we have consolidated JMMPC. JMMPC's assets may be used to settle only JMMPC's obligations, and JMMPC's creditors have no recourse to the general credit of Molina Healthcare, Inc. As of September 30, 2013, JMMPC had total assets of \$5.6 million, comprising primarily cash and equivalents, and total liabilities of \$5.3 million, comprising primarily accrued payroll and employee benefits.

Our maximum exposure to loss as a result of our involvement with JMMPC is limited to the amounts needed to fund JMMPC's ongoing payroll and employee benefits. We believe that such loss exposure will be immaterial to our consolidated operating results and cash flows for the foreseeable future. We provided an initial cash infusion of \$0.3 million to JMMPC in the first quarter of 2012 to fund its start-up operations.

New Markets Tax Credit

During the fourth quarter of 2011, our New Mexico data center subsidiary entered into a financing transaction with Wells Fargo Community Investment Holdings, LLC, or Wells Fargo, its wholly owned subsidiary New Mexico Healthcare Data Center Investment Fund, LLC, or Investment Fund, and certain of Wells Fargo's affiliated Community Development Entities, or CDEs, in connection with our participation in the federal government's New Markets Tax Credit Program, or NMTC. The NMTC was established by Congress in 2000 to facilitate new or increased investments in businesses and real estate projects in low-income communities. The NMTC attracts investment capital to low-income communities by permitting investors to receive a tax credit against their federal income tax return in exchange for equity investments in specialized financial institutions, called CDEs, which provide financing to qualified active businesses operating in low-income communities. The credit amounts to 39% of the original investment amount and is claimed over a period of seven years (five percent for each of the first three years, and six percent for each of the remaining four years). The investment in the CDE cannot be redeemed before the end

of the seven-year period.

In the fourth quarter of 2011, as a result of a series of simultaneous financing transactions, Wells Fargo contributed capital of \$5.9 million to the Investment Fund, and Molina Healthcare, Inc. loaned the principal amount of \$15.5 million to the Investment Fund. The Investment Fund then contributed the proceeds to certain CDEs, which, in turn, loaned the proceeds of \$20.9 million to our New Mexico data center subsidiary. Wells Fargo will be entitled to claim the NMTC while we effectively received net loan proceeds equal to Wells Fargo's contribution to the Investment Fund, or approximately \$5.9 million.

## Table of Contents

Additionally, financing costs incurred in structuring the arrangement amounting to \$1.2 million were deferred and will be recognized as expense over the term of the loans. This transaction also includes a put/call feature that becomes enforceable at the end of the seven-year compliance period. Wells Fargo may exercise its put option or we can exercise the call, both of which will serve to transfer the debt obligation to us. Incremental costs to maintain the structure during the compliance period will be recognized as incurred.

We have determined that the financing arrangement with Investment Fund and CDEs is a VIE, and that we are the primary beneficiary of the VIE. We reached this conclusion based on the following:

The ongoing activities of the VIE-collecting and remitting interest and fees and NMTC compliance-were all considered in the initial design and are not expected to significantly affect economic performance throughout the life of the VIE;

Contractual arrangements obligate us to comply with NMTC rules and regulations and provide various other guarantees to Investment Fund and CDEs;

Wells Fargo lacks a material interest in the underling economics of the project; and

We are obligated to absorb losses of the VIE.

Because we are the primary beneficiary of the VIE, we have included it in our consolidated financial statements. Wells Fargo's contribution of \$5.9 million is included in cash at December 31, 2012 and the offsetting Wells Fargo's interest in the financing arrangement is included in other liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. As described above, this transaction also includes a put/call provision whereby we may be obligated or entitled to repurchase Wells Fargo's interest in the Investment Fund. The value attributed to the put/call is nominal. The NMTC is subject to 100% recapture for a period of seven years as provided in the Internal Revenue Code and applicable U.S. Treasury regulations. We are required to be in compliance with various regulations and contractual provisions that apply to the NMTC arrangement. Non-compliance with applicable requirements could result in Wells Fargo's projected tax benefits not being realized and, therefore, require us to indemnify Wells Fargo for any loss or recapture of NMTCs related to the financing until such time as the recapture provisions have expired under the applicable statute of limitations. We do not anticipate any credit recaptures will be required in connection with this arrangement.

## 18. Subsequent Events

### California Health Plan Rate Settlement Agreement

On October 30, 2013, we finalized the California health plan tentative rate settlement agreement, as described in Note 1, "Basis of Presentation." In connection with this agreement, a deficit or surplus will result to the extent the plan's pre-tax margin is below or above 3.25%, subject to further adjustment as specified in the settlement agreement. Such settlement amount shall be based on 75% of the plan's revenue in 2014; and 50% of the plan's revenue in each subsequent year of the settlement agreement. The maximum amount that DHCS would pay to us under the terms of the settlement agreement is \$40 million. Additionally, DHCS agreed to enter into a Medi-Cal managed care contract with the California health plan for the Imperial County with an original term of five years and extension through October 31, 2023. The foregoing description of the settlement agreement is qualified in its entirety by reference to the Settlement Agreement which is filed as Exhibit 10.1 to this report and is incorporated herein by reference.

### Hospital Management Service Agreement

On October 9, 2013, we entered into a 10-year agreement with College Health Enterprises (CHE) to perform certain medical and administrative management services for CHE's hospital in Long Beach, California. Under the agreement, we will assume financial benefit and risk for a number of acute care beds at the hospital. We believe that this arrangement will improve hospital access for our members in the Long Beach, California area, and will also enhance our overall direct delivery strategy. As with any new start up activity, we may incur losses while we modify various

business operations during the initial months of the management services agreement.

35

---

Table of ContentsItem 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.  
Forward Looking Statements

This quarterly report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements regarding our business, financial condition, and results of operations within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, or Securities Act, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or Securities Exchange Act. We intend such forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation reform Act of 1995, and we are including this statement for purposes of complying with these safe harbor provisions. All statements, other than statements of historical facts, included in this quarterly report may be deemed to be forward-looking statements for purposes of the Securities Act and the Securities Exchange Act. Without limiting the foregoing, we use the words “anticipate(s),” “believe(s),” “estimate(s),” “expect(s),” “intend(s),” “may,” “plan(s),” “project(s),” “will,” “would,” “could,” “should” and similar expressions to identify forward-looking statements, although not all forward-looking statements contain these identifying words. We cannot guarantee that we will actually achieve the plans, intentions, or expectations disclosed in our forward-looking statements and, accordingly, you should not place undue reliance on our forward-looking statements. There are a number of important factors that could cause actual results or events to differ materially from the forward-looking statements that we make. You should read these factors and the other cautionary statements as being applicable to all related forward-looking statements wherever they appear in this quarterly report. We caution you that we do not undertake any obligation to update forward-looking statements made by us. Forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties that may cause our actual results in future periods to differ materially from those projected, estimated, expected, or contemplated. Those risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, the following:

- those identified and discussed in our periodic reports and filings with the SEC;
- uncertainties associated with the implementation of the Affordable Care Act, including the impact of, and state rate development associated with, the health insurance industry excise tax, the expansion of Medicaid eligibility in participating states to previously uninsured populations unfamiliar with managed care, the implementation of insurance exchanges or marketplaces and related technical problems, the effect of various implementing regulations, and uncertainties regarding the impact of other federal or state health care and insurance reform measures, including the dual demonstration programs in California, Illinois, and Ohio;
- the success of our medical cost containment initiatives in Texas;
- significant budget pressures on state governments and their potential inability to maintain current rates, to implement expected rate increases, or to maintain existing benefit packages or membership eligibility thresholds or criteria;
- management of our medical costs, including seasonal flu patterns and rates of utilization that are consistent with our expectations and our accruals for incurred but not reported medical costs;
- the success of our efforts to retain existing government contracts and to obtain new government contracts in connection with state requests for proposals (RFPs) in both existing and new states, and our ability to increase our revenues consistent with our expectations;
- accurate estimation of incurred but not reported medical costs across our health plans;
- risks associated with the continued growth in new Medicaid and Medicare enrollees, and the development of actuarially sound rates with respect to such new enrollees, including duals;
- retroactive adjustments to premium revenue or accounting estimates which require adjustment based upon subsequent developments, including Medicaid pharmaceutical rebates;
- continuation and renewal of the government contracts of both our health plans and Molina Medicaid Solutions and the terms under which such contracts are renewed;
- government audits and reviews, and any enrollment freeze or monitoring program that may result therefrom;
- changes with respect to our provider contracts and the loss of providers;
- the establishment of a federal or state medical cost expenditure floor as a percentage of the premiums we receive, and
- the interpretation and implementation of medical cost expenditure floors, administrative cost and profit ceilings, and profit sharing arrangements;

- interpretation and implementation of at-risk premium rules regarding the achievement of certain quality measures;
- approval by state regulators of dividends and distributions by our health plan subsidiaries;
- changes in funding under our contracts as a result of regulatory changes, programmatic adjustments, or other reforms;
- high dollar claims related to catastrophic illness;
  - the favorable resolution of litigation, arbitration, or administrative proceedings;
- our management of a portion of CHE's hospital in Long Beach, California;
- the relatively small number of states in which we operate health plans;
- the availability of adequate financing to fund and capitalize our expansion and growth activities and to meet our liquidity needs, including the interest expense and other costs associated with such financing;

Table of Contents

- a state's failure to renew its federal Medicaid waiver;
- inadvertent unauthorized disclosure of protected health information;
- changes generally affecting the managed care or Medicaid management information systems industries;
- increases in government surcharges, taxes, and assessments;
- changes in general economic conditions, including unemployment rates; and
- increasing consolidation in the Medicaid industry.

Investors should refer to Part I, Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, for a discussion of certain risk factors that could materially affect our business, financial condition, cash flows, or results of operations. Given these risks and uncertainties, we can give no assurance that any results or events projected or contemplated by our forward-looking statements will in fact occur.

This document and the following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the accompanying consolidated financial statements and the notes to those statements appearing elsewhere in this report and the audited financial statements and Management's Discussion and Analysis appearing in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.



## Table of Contents

### Overview

Molina Healthcare, Inc. provides quality and cost-effective Medicaid-related solutions to meet the health care needs of low-income families and individuals and to assist state agencies in their administration of the Medicaid program. We report our financial performance based on two reportable segments: Health Plans and Molina Medicaid Solutions.

Our Health Plans segment comprises health plans in California, Florida, Illinois, Michigan, New Mexico, Ohio, Texas, Utah, Washington, and Wisconsin, and includes our direct delivery business. As of September 30, 2013, these health plans served approximately 1.9 million members eligible for Medicaid, Medicare, and other government-sponsored health care programs for low-income families and individuals. The health plans are operated by our respective wholly owned subsidiaries in those states, each of which is licensed as a health maintenance organization, or HMO. Our direct delivery business consists of primary care community clinics in California, Florida, New Mexico, and Washington.

Our Molina Medicaid Solutions segment provides business processing and information technology development and administrative services to Medicaid agencies in Idaho, Louisiana, Maine, New Jersey, and West Virginia, and drug rebate administration services in Florida.

### Third Quarter 2013 Financial Highlights

Net income for continuing operations for the third quarter of 2013 increased when compared with the third quarter of 2012 as a result of higher medical margins. Those medical margins were partially offset by increased administrative expense related to the Company's preparations for significant membership growth expected in 2014.

Premium revenue for the third quarter of 2013 increased 9% over the third quarter of 2012, due to a 5% increase in enrollment, and a 4% increase in revenue per member per month (PMPM). The 5% enrollment increase was primarily due to the acquisition of a contract covering approximately 80,000 members in New Mexico effective August 1, 2013.

Our consolidated medical care ratio decreased to 87.3% in the third quarter of 2013, from 91.1% in the third quarter of 2012. The decline in the consolidated medical care ratio was the result of improved operating results at most of our health plans. Medical care ratios decreased in eight of our nine health plans, while medical margin (measured as the excess of premium revenue over medical care costs) increased in the same eight of nine health plans.

General and administrative expenses increased to 10.4% of revenue in the third quarter of 2013, from 8.2% in the third quarter of 2012, primarily due to higher costs incurred as a result of our preparations for significant membership growth in 2014 in connection with the Affordable Care Act. Increased administrative expense related to anticipated membership growth in 2014 represented approximately 1.8% of premium revenue, or \$30 million, during the third quarter of 2013.

### Health Care Reform

We believe that the Affordable Care Act will provide us with significant opportunities for membership growth in our existing markets and, potentially, in new markets in the future as follows:

**Health Insurance Marketplaces.** On September 13, 2013, we announced that nine of our state health plan subsidiaries have been selected by the relevant state and federal regulatory agencies overseeing the non-group health insurance marketplaces for individuals to offer certified Qualified Health Plans. We are participating in the federally facilitated marketplaces in Florida, Ohio, Texas, Utah and Wisconsin, and in the state-federal partnership marketplaces in New Mexico and Michigan. In California and Washington, Molina Healthcare has been selected to participate in each of those two states' state-run marketplaces.

Dual Eligibles. The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) has implemented several demonstrations designed to improve the coordination of care for dual eligible beneficiaries who are enrolled in both Medicare and Medicaid. We refer to such demonstrations as our Medicare-Medicaid Plan (MMP) implementations, which are scheduled to commence as follows:

California - San Diego, Riverside and San Bernardino counties commence April 1, 2014

Illinois - 15 counties in the Central Region commence February 1, 2014

Ohio - 13 counties in Southwest, Central and West Central Regions commence March 1, 2014

Table of Contents

Medicaid Expansion. The Affordable Care Act also provides for expanded Medicaid coverage effective in January 2014, which remains subject to implementation at the state level. We believe that we will likely add expansion membership in 2014. In preparation for such expansion membership growth, and for our participation in the health insurance marketplaces and MMP described above, we have augmented our infrastructure. Such preparations have included increased hiring in the nine months ended September 30, 2013, to support expansion of product development and pricing, network customization, and marketing; technology enhancements relating to premium billing and collections; and upgraded care management tools and telecommunications.

Market Updates - Health Plans Segment

California Health Plan Rate Settlement Agreement. On October 30, 2013, our California health plan finalized and entered into a settlement agreement with the California Department of Health Care Services (DHCS). The settlement agreement settles rate disputes initiated by our California health plan dating back to 2003 with respect to its participation in California's Medicaid program, or Medi-Cal.

Under the terms of the settlement agreement, DHCS has agreed to extend each of the California health plan's existing Medi-Cal managed care contracts for an additional five years, including its contracts in San Diego, San Bernardino, Riverside, and Sacramento counties. In addition, effective January 1, 2014, the settlement establishes a settlement account applicable to the California health plan's Medi-Cal, Seniors and Persons with Disabilities (SPD), and the dual eligibles pilot programs. The settlement account will be established with an initial balance of zero, and will be adjusted annually to reflect a calendar year deficit or surplus. A deficit or surplus will result to the extent the plan's pre-tax margin is below or above 3.25%, subject to further adjustment as specified in the settlement agreement. Such settlement amount shall be based on 75% of the plan's revenue in 2014; and 50% of the plan's revenue in each subsequent year of the settlement agreement. Cash settlement will occur after December 31, 2017. DHCS will make an interim partial settlement payment to us if it terminates early, without replacement, any of our Medi-Cal managed care contracts. Upon expiration of the settlement agreement, if the settlement account is in a deficit position, then DHCS will pay the amount of the deficit to us, subject to an alternative minimum payment amount. The alternative minimum amount is calculated as follows: (i) \$40 million, minus (ii) any partial settlement payments previously made to our California health plan by DHCS, minus (iii) 50% of the pre-tax income on our Medi-Cal, SPD, and dual eligibles pilot program business in excess of a 2.0% pre-tax margin for each calendar from 2014 through 2017. If the settlement account is in a surplus position, then no amount is owed to either party. The maximum amount that DHCS would pay to us under the terms of the settlement agreement is \$40 million. DHCS agreed to enter into a Medi-Cal managed care contract with the California health plan for the Imperial County with an original term of five years and extension through October 31, 2023. The foregoing description of the settlement agreement is qualified in its entirety by reference to the Settlement Agreement which is filed as Exhibit 10.1 to this report and is incorporated herein by reference.

We do not expect the settlement agreement to impact our consolidated financial condition, cash flows, or results of operations for the year ending December 31, 2013.

Hospital Management Services Agreement. On October 9, 2013, we entered into a 10-year agreement with College Health Enterprises (CHE) to perform certain medical and administrative management services for CHE's hospital in Long Beach, California. Under the agreement, we will assume financial benefit and risk for a number of acute care beds at the hospital. We believe that this arrangement will improve hospital access for our members in the Long Beach, California area, and will also enhance our overall direct delivery strategy. As with any new start up activity, we may incur losses while we modify various business operations during the initial months of the management services agreement.

Florida. On October 23, 2013, our Florida health plan and the Florida Agency for Health Care Administration (AHCA), agreed to a settlement under which our health plan will be awarded three contracts under the Florida Statewide Medicaid Managed Care Managed Medical Assistance Invitation to Negotiate. The three contracts are expected to commence in the second or third quarter of 2014.

On February 14, 2013, we announced that AHCA awarded our Florida health plan contracts in three regions under the Statewide Medicaid Managed Care Long-Term Care program. As a result of the awards, we will now enter into a comprehensive pre-contracting assessment, with the program currently scheduled to commence on December 1, 2013. Under the program, we will provide long-term care benefits, including institutional and home and community-based services.

New Mexico. Consistent with our stated strategy to expand within existing markets, on August 1, 2013, our New Mexico health plan closed on its acquisition of the Lovelace Community Health Plan's contract for the New Mexico Medicaid Salud! Program, under which Lovelace's Medicaid members became Molina Healthcare Medicaid members and now receive their

Table of Contents

Medicaid managed services and benefits from our New Mexico health plan. As part of this acquisition, we also expect to add membership currently covered under New Mexico's State Coverage Insurance (SCI) program with Lovelace in the near future. Effective January 1, 2014, members in this program will ultimately be a) enrolled in the Centennial Care program as Medicaid members, or b) eligible to enroll in New Mexico's health insurance marketplace. All members transferred from Lovelace will be able to continue with Molina Healthcare as the state transitions to the Centennial Care program. We expect the final purchase price for the acquisition to amount to approximately \$53.5 million, of which \$47.2 million was paid on the closing date. As of September 30, 2013, the New Mexico health plan's membership increased by approximately 80,000 members as a result of this transaction.

On February 11, 2013, we announced that our New Mexico health plan was selected by the New Mexico Human Services Department, or HSD, to participate in the new Centennial Care program. In addition to continuing to provide physical and acute health care services, under the new program our New Mexico health plan will expand its services to provide behavioral health and long-term care services. The selection of our New Mexico health plan was made by HSD pursuant to its request for proposals issued in August 2012. The operational start date for the program is currently scheduled for January 2014.

South Carolina. On July 26, 2013, we entered into an agreement with Community Health Solutions of America, Inc. (CHS) to acquire certain assets, including the rights to convert certain of CHS' Medicaid members who will be covered by South Carolina's full-risk Medicaid managed care program, consistent with our stated strategy to enter new markets. The conversion of such members will be contingent on our successful receipt of an HMO license from South Carolina Department of Insurance, the award to Molina Healthcare of a full-risk Medicaid managed care contract by the South Carolina Department of Health and Human Services, and the state's conversion to a full-risk Medicaid managed care program. Each of these three conditions is expected to be satisfied by January 2014. In connection with the agreement, we paid CHS \$7.5 million on the closing date. We currently expect to convert approximately 130,000 members under the agreement, for a total estimated discounted purchase price of \$65.0 million. The final purchase price will be settled when the member conversion has been completed.

Washington. The Washington Health Care Authority (HCA) has communicated to our Washington health plan that it believes it has erroneously overpaid the plan with regard to certain claims, including claims for psychotropic drugs, and claims for health plan members under the Washington Community Options Program Entry System (COPES). The alleged overpayments date back to the July 1, 2012 start date of the current contract. Because of the unilateral errors underlying the overpayments, HCA has indicated an intent to seek recoupment of the allegedly overpaid amounts. Our Washington health plan is seeking additional information from HCA regarding the factual and legal bases for any potential retroactive rate recoupment. In the event our Washington health plan is required to disgorge to HCA, in the fourth quarter of 2013, rate amounts that had been previously paid to it, our results of operations in the fourth quarter of 2013 may be adversely affected.

Market Updates - Molina Medicaid Solutions Segment

U.S. Virgin Islands and West Virginia. In 2012, Molina Medicaid Solutions of West Virginia secured a historic partnership with the United States Virgin Islands (USVI). The partnership involves processing the USVI's Medicaid claims using West Virginia's certified Medicaid management information system (MMIS). On August 1, 2013 the system went live, marking the first MMIS for a U.S. Territory, and the first to be shared between two government agencies on a single business processing platform.

Louisiana. In 2011, Molina Medicaid Solutions received notice from the state of Louisiana that the state intended to award the contract for a replacement MMIS to a different vendor, CNSI. However, in March 2013, the state of Louisiana cancelled its contract award to CNSI. CNSI is currently challenging the contract cancellation. The state has informed us that we will continue to perform under our current contract until a successor is named. At such time as a

new RFP may be issued, we intend to respond to the state's RFP. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, our revenue under the Louisiana MMIS contract was approximately \$31.1 million, or 20.7% of total service revenue. So long as our Louisiana MMIS contract continues, we expect to recognize approximately \$40 million of service revenue annually under this contract.

#### Discontinued Operations

We previously reported that our Medicaid managed care contract with the state of Missouri expired without renewal on June 30, 2012. Effective June 30, 2013 the transition obligations associated with that contract terminated. Therefore, we have reclassified the results relating to the Missouri health plan to discontinued operations for all periods presented. These results are presented in a single line item, net of taxes, in the unaudited consolidated statements of operations. Additionally, we abandoned all of our equity interests in the Missouri health plan during the second quarter of 2013, resulting in the recognition of a tax benefit of approximately \$9.5 million, which is also included in discontinued operations in the unaudited consolidated

Table of Contents

statements of operations. The Missouri health plan's revenues amounted to \$0.2 million and \$113.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Composition of Revenue and Membership

Health Plans Segment

Our Health Plans segment state Medicaid contracts generally have terms of three to four years with annual adjustments to premium rates. These contracts typically contain renewal options exercisable by the state Medicaid agency, and allow either the state or the health plan to terminate the contract with or without cause. Our health plan subsidiaries have generally been successful in retaining their contracts, but such contracts are subject to risk of loss when a state issues a new request for proposals, or RFP, open to competitive bidding by other health plans. If one of our health plans is not a successful responsive bidder to a state RFP, its contract may be subject to non-renewal.

In addition to contract renewal, our state Medicaid contracts may be periodically amended to include or exclude certain health benefits (such as pharmacy services, behavioral health services, or long-term care services); populations (such as the aged, blind or disabled, or ABD); and regions or service areas.

Our Health Plans segment derives its revenue, in the form of premiums, chiefly from Medicaid contracts with the states in which our health plans operate. Premium revenue is fixed in advance of the periods covered and, except as described in "Critical Accounting Policies" below, is not generally subject to significant accounting estimates. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we received approximately 96% of our premium revenue as a fixed amount per member per month, or PMPM, pursuant to our Medicaid contracts with state agencies, our Medicare contracts with the CMS, and our contracts with other managed care organizations for which we operate as a subcontractor. These premium revenues are recognized in the month that members are entitled to receive health care services. The state Medicaid programs and the federal Medicare program periodically adjust premium rates.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we recognized approximately 4% of our premium revenue in the form of "birth income" — a one-time payment for the delivery of a child — from the Medicaid programs in all of our state health plans except New Mexico. Such payments are recognized as revenue in the month the birth occurs.

The amount of the premiums paid to us may vary substantially between states and among various government programs. PMPM premiums for the Children's Health Insurance Program, or CHIP, members are generally among our lowest, with rates as low as approximately \$80 PMPM in Michigan. Premium revenues for Medicaid members are generally higher. Among the TANF Medicaid population — the Medicaid group that includes mostly mothers and children — PMPM premiums range between approximately \$100 in California to \$270 in Ohio. Among our ABD membership, PMPM premiums range from approximately \$400 in Utah to \$1,400 in Ohio. Contributing to the variability in Medicaid rates among the states is the practice of some states to exclude certain benefits from the managed care contract (most often pharmacy, inpatient, behavioral health and catastrophic case benefits) and retain responsibility for those benefits at the state level. Medicare membership generates the highest PMPM premiums in the aggregate, at approximately \$1,200 PMPM.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the approximate total number of members by state health plan as of the dates indicated:

	September 30, 2013	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012	September 30, 2012
<b>Total Ending Membership by Health Plan:</b>				
California	363,000	355,000	336,000	346,000
Florida	84,000	81,000	73,000	71,000
Michigan	213,000	215,000	220,000	219,000
New Mexico	172,000	92,000	91,000	90,000
Ohio	261,000	240,000	244,000	272,000
Texas	258,000	266,000	282,000	291,000
Utah	87,000	87,000	87,000	85,000
Washington	409,000	413,000	418,000	411,000
Wisconsin	95,000	98,000	46,000	41,000
Total	1,942,000	1,847,000	1,797,000	1,826,000
<b>Total Ending Membership for our Medicare Advantage Plans:</b>				
California	8,600	8,100	7,700	7,300
Florida	600	600	900	900
Michigan	10,000	9,500	9,700	9,300
New Mexico	900	900	900	900
Ohio	400	400	300	200
Texas	2,500	2,300	1,500	1,100
Utah	8,200	7,800	8,200	8,300
Washington	6,900	6,600	6,500	6,100
Total	38,100	36,200	35,700	34,100
<b>Total Ending Membership for our Aged, Blind or Disabled Population:</b>				
California	46,300	45,400	44,700	44,100
Florida	12,200	11,200	10,300	10,300
Michigan	45,400	45,000	41,900	40,700
New Mexico	11,400	6,000	5,700	5,600
Ohio	33,000	28,000	28,200	29,000
Texas	90,800	92,000	95,900	101,300
Utah	9,500	9,400	9,000	8,900
Washington	33,000	31,700	30,000	23,400
Wisconsin	1,700	1,600	1,700	1,600
Total	283,300	270,300	267,400	264,900

**Molina Medicaid Solutions Segment**

The payments received by our Molina Medicaid Solutions segment under its state contracts are based on the performance of multiple services. The first of these is the design, development and implementation, or DDI, of a Medicaid Management Information System, or MMIS. An additional service, following completion of DDI, is the operation of the MMIS under a business process outsourcing, or BPO arrangement. While providing BPO services (which include claims payment and eligibility processing) we also provide the state with other services including both hosting and support and maintenance. Because we have determined the services provided under our Molina Medicaid Solutions contracts represent a single unit of accounting, we recognize revenue associated with such contracts on a straight-line basis over the period during which BPO, hosting, and support and maintenance services are delivered.

**Composition of Expenses****Health Plans Segment**



Operating expenses for the Health Plans segment include expenses related to the provision of medical care services, G&A expenses, and premium tax expenses. Our results of operations are impacted by our ability to effectively manage expenses

42

---

Table of Contents

related to medical care services and to accurately estimate medical costs incurred. Expenses related to medical care services are captured in the following four categories:

• **Fee-for-service** — Expenses paid for specific encounters or episodes of care according to a fee schedule or other basis established by the state or by contract with the provider.

• **Capitation** — Expenses for PMPM payments to the provider without regard to the frequency, extent, or nature of the medical services actually furnished.

• **Pharmacy** — Expenses for all drug, injectable, and immunization costs paid through our pharmacy benefit manager.

• **Other** — Expenses for medically related administrative costs of approximately \$107.0 million, and \$94.6 million, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, as well as certain provider incentive costs, reinsurance, costs to operate our medical clinics, and other medical expenses.

Our medical care costs include amounts that have been paid by us through the reporting date as well as estimated liabilities for medical care costs incurred but not paid by us as of the reporting date. See “Critical Accounting Policies” below for a comprehensive discussion of how we estimate such liabilities.

**Molina Medicaid Solutions Segment**

Cost of service revenue consists primarily of the costs incurred to provide business process outsourcing and technology outsourcing services under our MMIS contracts. General and administrative costs consist primarily of indirect administrative costs and business development costs.

In some circumstances we may defer recognition of incremental direct costs (such as direct labor, hardware, and software) associated with a contract if revenue recognition is also deferred. Such deferred contract costs are amortized on a straight-line basis over the remaining original contract term, consistent with the revenue recognition period.

**Third Quarter Financial Performance Summary, Continuing Operations**

The following table and narrative briefly summarize our financial and operating performance for continuing operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012. All ratios, with the exception of the medical care ratio and the premium tax ratio, are shown as a percentage of total revenue. The medical care ratio is computed as a percentage of premium revenue, the premium tax ratio is computed as a percentage of premium revenue plus premium tax receipts because there are direct relationships between premium revenue earned, and the cost of health care and premium taxes.

Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2013	2012	2013	2012	
	(Dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)				
Net income (loss) per diluted share	\$0.16	\$(0.01)	\$1.15	\$(0.29)	)
Premium revenue	\$1,584,656	\$1,448,600	\$4,583,818	\$4,066,737	
Service revenue	\$51,100	\$48,422	\$150,528	\$132,351	
Operating income (loss)	\$24,926	\$2,368	\$139,245	\$(10,750)	)
Net income (loss)	\$7,553	\$(165)	\$53,871	\$(13,328)	)
Total ending membership	1,942,000	1,826,000	1,942,000	1,826,000	
Premium revenue	93.9	% 94.1	% 93.9	% 93.8	%
Premium tax receipts	2.6	% 2.4	% 2.6	% 2.8	%
Service revenue	3.0	% 3.1	% 3.1	% 3.0	%
Investment income	0.2	% 0.1	% 0.1	% 0.1	%
Rental and other income	0.3	% 0.3	% 0.3	% 0.3	%
Total revenue	100.0	% 100.0	% 100.0	% 100.0	%
Medical care ratio	87.3	% 91.1	% 86.5	% 91.4	%
General and administrative expense ratio	10.4	% 8.2	% 9.8	% 8.4	%
Premium tax ratio	2.7	% 2.5	% 2.7	% 2.9	%
Operating income (loss)	1.5	% 0.2	% 2.9	% (0.2)	)%
Net income (loss)	0.4	% —	% 1.1	% (0.3)	)%
Effective tax rate	33.9	% (92.3)	)% 44.8	% (45.5)	)%

## Non-GAAP Financial Measures

We use the following non-GAAP<sup>1</sup> financial measures as supplemental metrics in evaluating our financial performance, our financing and business decisions, and in forecasting and planning for future periods. For these reasons, management believes such measures are useful supplemental measures to investors in evaluating our performance and the performance of other companies in the health care industry. These non-GAAP financial measures should be considered as supplements to, and not substitutes for or superior to, GAAP measures.

The first of these non-GAAP measures is earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, or EBITDA. The following table reconciles net income (loss), which we believe to be the most comparable GAAP measure, to EBITDA.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2013	2012	2013	2012	
	(In thousands)				
Net income (loss)	\$7,569	\$3,364	\$62,055	\$(15,853)	)
Adjustments:					
Depreciation and amortization reported in the consolidated statements of cash flows	24,128	20,279	68,035	58,289	
Interest expense	13,532	4,315	38,236	12,421	
Income tax expense (benefit)	3,962	(492)	) 33,745	(15,228)	)
EBITDA	\$49,191	\$27,466	\$202,071	\$39,629	

The second of these non-GAAP measures is adjusted net income per diluted share, continuing operations. The following table reconciles net income (loss) per diluted share, which we believe to be the most comparable GAAP measure, to adjusted net income per diluted share, continuing operations.

Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(In thousands)			
Net income (loss) per diluted share, continuing operations	\$0.16	\$(0.01)	) \$1.15	\$(0.29)
Adjustments, net of tax:				
Depreciation and amortization of capitalized software	0.25	0.20	0.71	0.56
Stock-based compensation	0.15	0.09	0.36	0.24
Amortization of intangible assets	0.07	0.07	0.20	0.22
Amortization of convertible senior notes and lease financing obligations	0.08	0.02	0.21	0.06
Change in fair value of derivatives	—	—	0.08	0.02
Adjusted net income per diluted share, continuing operations	\$0.71	\$0.37	\$2.71	\$0.81

<sup>1</sup>GAAP stands for Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.

## Results of Operations, Continuing Operations

Three Months Ended September 30, 2013 Compared with the Three Months Ended September 30, 2012

## Health Plans Segment

## Premium Revenue

Premium revenue for the third quarter of 2013 increased 9% over the third quarter of 2012, due to a 5% increase in enrollment, and a 4% increase in revenue per member per month (PMPM). The 5% enrollment increase was primarily due to the acquisition of a contract covering approximately 80,000 members in New Mexico effective August 1, 2013.

## Medical Care Costs

The following table provides the details of consolidated medical care costs for the periods indicated (dollars in thousands except PMPM amounts):

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013			2012			
	Amount	PMPM	% of Total	Amount	PMPM	% of Total	
Fee for service	\$928,165	\$161.39	67.1	% \$913,137	\$166.88	69.2	%
Pharmacy	237,073	41.22	17.1	219,823	40.17	16.7	
Capitation	162,554	28.27	11.8	142,724	26.08	10.8	
Other	55,421	9.64	4.0	44,307	8.10	3.3	
Total	\$1,383,213	\$240.52	100.0	% \$1,319,991	\$241.23	100.0	%

Our consolidated medical care ratio decreased to 87.3% in the third quarter of 2013, from 91.1% in the third quarter of 2012. The decline in the consolidated medical care ratio was the result of improved operating results at all but one of our health plans. Medical care ratios decreased in eight of our nine health plans, while medical margin (measured as the excess of premium revenue over medical care costs) increased in the same eight of nine health plans. Medical margin improvements were most pronounced at the California, Michigan and New Mexico health plans.

## Individual Health Plan Analysis

The medical care ratio at the California health plan decreased to 90.5% in the third quarter of 2013 from 96.1% in the third quarter of 2012. The lower medical care ratio was primarily the result of premium rate increases received in the first quarter of 2013.



Table of Contents

The medical care ratio of the Florida health plan increased to 88.8% in the third quarter of 2013, from 84.0% in the third quarter of 2012 due to inpatient unit cost increases.

The medical care ratio of the Michigan health plan decreased to 82.1% in the third quarter of 2013, from 89.9% in the third quarter of 2012, primarily due to a reduction in inpatient costs.

The medical care ratio of the New Mexico health plan decreased to 85.6% in the third quarter of 2013, from 91.2% in the third quarter of 2012, primarily as a result of higher Medicaid premium rates PMPM effective January 1, 2013, and lower fee-for-service claims costs. The New Mexico health plan gained approximately 80,000 new members in the third quarter of 2013, as a result of its acquisition of Lovelace Community Health Plan's contract for the New Mexico Medicaid Salud! Program effective August 1, 2013.

The medical care ratio of the Ohio health plan decreased to 87.3% for the third quarter of 2013, from 89.7% for the third quarter of 2012, due to lower pharmacy and inpatient fee-for-service expense; partially offset by a combination of premium decreases and increases to fee schedules effective July 1, 2013 that combined to reduce medical margin for the third quarter by approximately 2% of premium revenue. We also experienced an additional 1.5% decrease in premium rates in Ohio effective July 1, 2013, due to a re-basing of risk adjusters.

The medical care ratio of the Texas health plan was 89.6% in the third quarter of 2013 compared with 91.9% in the third quarter of 2012 (which included the reversal of a premium deficiency reserve (PDR) of \$10 million established in the second quarter of 2012). We received a blended rate increase in Texas of approximately 6%, or \$6 million per month, effective September 1, 2013. In the third quarter of 2012, we received a blended rate increase of 4%, or \$4.5 million per month, effective September 1, 2012; and implemented various medical cost containment initiatives in the second half of 2012.

The medical care ratio of the Utah health plan decreased to 78.7% in the third quarter of 2013, from 85.2% in the third quarter of 2012 due to both higher revenue PMPM and lower fee-for-service medical expenses PMPM.

The medical care ratio of the Washington health plan decreased to 86.3% in the third quarter of 2013, from 88.0% in the third quarter of 2012 due to higher premium revenue PMPM which was only partially offset by increased fee-for-service medical expenses PMPM.

The medical care ratio of the Wisconsin health plan decreased to 69.8% in the third quarter of 2013, from 93.5% in the third quarter of 2012 due to both higher revenue PMPM and lower fee-for-service physician expenses PMPM. Additionally, the health plan gained approximately 50,000 members in the first half of 2013 due to another health plan's recent exit from the market.

Operating Data

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes member months, premium revenue, medical care costs, medical care ratio, and medical margin by health plan for the periods indicated (PMPM amounts are in whole dollars; member months and other dollar amounts are in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013						
	Member Months (1)	Premium Revenue		Medical Care Costs		MCR (2)	Medical Margin
		Total	PMPM	Total	PMPM		
California	1,076	\$184,235	\$171.16	\$166,774	\$154.93	90.5	% \$17,461
Florida	251	67,688	269.58	60,127	239.46	88.8	7,561
Michigan	641	174,706	272.65	143,498	223.95	82.1	31,208
New Mexico	435	130,318	299.19	111,599	256.21	85.6	18,719
Ohio	786	280,964	357.66	245,148	312.07	87.3	35,816
Texas	780	320,657	411.17	287,446	368.59	89.6	33,211
Utah	261	84,525	323.83	66,555	254.98	78.7	17,970
Washington	1,234	294,808	238.96	254,430	206.23	86.3	40,378
Wisconsin	287	39,676	138.36	27,694	96.58	69.8	11,982
Other <sup>(3)</sup>	—	7,079	—	19,942	—	—	(12,863 )
	5,751	\$1,584,656	\$275.55	\$1,383,213	\$240.52	87.3	% \$201,443

  

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2012						
	Member Months (1)	Premium Revenue		Medical Care Costs		MCR (2)	Medical Margin
		Total	PMPM	Total	PMPM		
California	1,041	\$162,389	\$156.00	\$156,106	\$149.96	96.1	% \$6,283
Florida	214	57,433	268.58	48,250	225.64	84.0	9,183
Michigan	656	159,591	243.32	143,513	218.80	89.9	16,078
New Mexico	269	80,846	300.79	73,721	274.28	91.2	7,125
Ohio	805	282,489	350.63	253,447	314.58	89.7	29,042
Texas	890	344,522	387.03	316,716	355.80	91.9	27,806
Utah	256	73,484	287.21	62,630	244.79	85.2	10,854
Washington	1,217	269,191	221.28	236,928	194.76	88.0	32,263
Wisconsin	124	16,279	131.21	15,217	122.65	93.5	1,062
Other <sup>(3)</sup>	—	2,376	—	13,463	—	—	(11,087 )
	5,472	\$1,448,600	\$264.74	\$1,319,991	\$241.23	91.1	% \$128,609

(1) A member month is defined as the aggregate of each month's ending membership for the period presented.

(2) "MCR" represents medical costs as a percentage of premium revenue.

(3) "Other" medical care costs include primarily medically related administrative costs at the parent company, and direct delivery costs.

Molina Medicaid Solutions Segment



Table of Contents

Performance of the Molina Medicaid Solutions segment was as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012
	(In thousands)	
Service revenue before amortization	\$51,829	\$48,958
Amortization recorded as reduction of service revenue	(729	) (536
Service revenue	51,100	48,422
Cost of service revenue	40,113	37,004
General and administrative costs	1,708	1,980
Amortization of customer relationship intangibles recorded as amortization	1,282	1,282
Operating income	\$7,997	\$8,156

Operating income for our Molina Medicaid Solutions segment decreased \$0.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013, compared with the same prior year period. The decrease in operating income was primarily the result of a change in the mix of transactions processed from fee-for-service claims to managed care encounters (processing fees are lower for encounters than for fee-for-service claims) and changes to state contract revenues implemented during 2012.

#### Results of Operations, Continuing Operations

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 Compared with the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012

#### Health Plans Segment

##### Premium Revenue

Premium revenue for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 increased 13% over the nine months ended September 30, 2012, due to both higher enrollment and higher premium revenue PMPM. Medicare premium revenue was \$396.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared with \$346.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

##### Medical Care Costs

The following table provides the details of consolidated medical care costs for the periods indicated (dollars in thousands except PMPM amounts):

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013			2012			
	Amount	PMPM	% of Total	Amount	PMPM	% of Total	
Fee for service	\$2,674,785	\$160.14	67.5	% \$2,566,161	\$162.76	69.1	%
Pharmacy	691,903	41.42	17.4	606,004	38.44	16.3	
Capitation	441,287	26.42	11.1	412,692	26.17	11.1	
Other	157,859	9.45	4.0	130,598	8.28	3.5	
Total	\$3,965,834	\$237.43	100.0	% \$3,715,455	\$235.65	100.0	%

Our medical care ratio decreased to 86.5% in the nine months ended September 30, 2013, from 91.4% in the nine months ended September 30, 2012, primarily due to improved financial performance at our Texas health plan. The decline in the consolidated medical care ratio was the result of improved operating results at most of our health plans. Medical care ratios decreased in seven of our nine health plans, while medical margin (measured as the excess of premium revenue over medical care costs) increased in all nine health plans. Medical margin improvements were most pronounced at the Texas health plan.

#### Operating Data

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes member months, premium revenue, medical care costs, medical care ratio, and premium taxes by health plan for the periods indicated (PMPM amounts are in whole dollars; member months and other dollar amounts are in thousands):

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013							
	Member Months (1)	Premium Revenue		Medical Care Costs		MCR (2)	Medical Margin
		Total	PMPM	Total	PMPM		
California	3,132	\$552,950	\$176.54	\$497,314	\$158.78	89.9	% \$55,636
Florida	712	187,689	263.62	161,446	226.76	86.0	26,243
Michigan	1,941	508,748	262.14	432,105	222.65	84.9	76,643
New Mexico	984	298,767	303.59	252,001	256.07	84.3	46,766
Ohio	2,234	819,879	367.03	688,266	308.11	83.9	131,613
Texas	2,417	969,063	400.90	829,854	343.31	85.6	139,209
Utah	781	236,992	303.41	193,261	247.42	81.5	43,731
Washington	3,722	892,627	239.85	779,339	209.41	87.3	113,288
Wisconsin	780	104,540	134.04	82,543	105.84	79.0	21,997
Other <sup>(3)</sup>	—	12,563	—	49,705	—	—	(37,142 )
	16,703	\$4,583,818	\$274.43	\$3,965,834	\$237.43	86.5	% \$617,984
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012							
	Member Months (1)	Premium Revenue		Medical Care Costs		MCR (2)	Medical Margin
		Total	PMPM	Total	PMPM		
California	3,156	\$486,714	\$154.21	\$446,694	\$141.53	91.8	% \$40,020
Florida	632	170,940	270.50	146,261	231.44	85.6	24,679
Michigan	1,983	480,098	242.13	419,406	211.52	87.4	60,692
New Mexico	801	240,568	300.51	208,668	260.66	86.7	31,900
Ohio	2,313	827,219	357.61	735,432	317.93	88.9	91,787
Texas	2,389	892,377	373.54	890,042	372.57	99.7	2,335
Utah	767	225,533	293.93	183,930	239.71	81.6	41,603
Washington	3,352	684,466	204.22	592,398	176.75	86.5	92,068
Wisconsin	374	52,209	139.46	54,861	146.54	105.1	(2,652 )
Other <sup>(3)</sup>	—	6,613	—	37,763	—	—	(31,150 )
	15,767	\$4,066,737	\$257.93	\$3,715,455	\$235.65	91.4	% \$351,282

(1) A member month is defined as the aggregate of each month's ending membership for the period presented.

(2) "MCR" represents medical costs as a percentage of premium revenue.

(3) "Other" medical care costs include primarily medically related administrative costs at the parent company, and direct delivery costs.

Molina Medicaid Solutions Segment

Table of Contents

Performance of the Molina Medicaid Solutions segment was as follows:

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012
	(In thousands)	
Service revenue before amortization	\$152,714	\$133,193
Amortization recorded as reduction of service revenue	(2,186	) (842
Service revenue	150,528	132,351
Cost of service revenue	119,188	98,111
General and administrative costs	6,849	7,187
Amortization of customer relationship intangibles recorded as amortization	3,846	3,846
Operating income	\$20,645	\$23,207

Operating income for our Molina Medicaid Solutions segment decreased \$2.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared with the same prior year period. The decrease in operating income was primarily the result of a change in the mix of transactions processed from fee-for-service claims to managed care encounters (processing fees are lower for encounters than for fee-for-service claims) and changes to state contract revenues implemented during 2012.

**Consolidated Expenses****General and Administrative Expenses**

General and administrative expenses increased to 10.4% of total revenue for the three months ended September 30, 2013, compared with 8.2% of total revenue for the three months ended September 30, 2012. General and administrative expenses increased to 9.8% of total revenue for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared with 8.4% of total revenue for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The increased ratio of general and administrative expenses to total revenue for both the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2013, was primarily due to higher costs incurred as a result of our preparations for significant membership growth in 2014.

**Premium Tax Expense**

Premium tax expense was 2.7% of premium revenue in the three months ended September 30, 2013, compared with 2.5% in the three months ended September 30, 2012, and 2.7% of premium revenue in the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared with 2.9% in the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

**Depreciation and Amortization**

Depreciation and amortization related to our Health Plans segment is recorded in "Depreciation and amortization" in the consolidated statements of operations. Amortization related to our Molina Medicaid Solutions segment is recorded within three different headings in the consolidated statements of operations as follows:

• Amortization of purchased intangibles relating to customer relationships is reported as amortization within the heading "Depreciation and amortization;"

• Amortization of purchased intangibles relating to contract backlog is recorded as a reduction of "Service revenue;" and

• Amortization of capitalized software is recorded within the heading "Cost of service revenue."

Table of Contents

The following table presents all depreciation and amortization recorded in our consolidated statements of operations, regardless of whether the item appears as depreciation and amortization, a reduction of service revenue, or as cost of service revenue.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		2012		
	2013	% of Total Revenue	Amount	% of Total Revenue	
	(Dollar amounts in thousands)				
Depreciation, and amortization of capitalized software, continuing operations	\$ 14,237	0.8	% \$ 11,352	0.7	%
Amortization of intangible assets, continuing operations	4,634	0.3	4,506	0.3	
Depreciation and amortization, continuing operations	18,871	1.1	15,858	1.0	
Depreciation and amortization, discontinued operations	—	—	176	—	
Amortization recorded as reduction of service revenue	729	—	536	0.1	
Amortization of capitalized software recorded as cost of service revenue	4,528	0.3	3,709	0.2	
Depreciation and amortization reported in the consolidated statements of cash flows	\$ 24,128	1.4	% \$ 20,279	1.3	%
	Nine Months Ended September 30,		2012		
	2013	% of Total Revenue	Amount	% of Total Revenue	
	(Dollar amounts in thousands)				
Depreciation, and amortization of capitalized software, continuing operations	\$ 39,578	0.8	% \$ 31,321	0.7	%
Amortization of intangible assets, continuing operations	12,871	0.3	15,595	0.4	
Depreciation and amortization, continuing operations	52,449	1.1	46,916	1.1	
Depreciation and amortization, discontinued operations	2	—	530	—	
Amortization recorded as reduction of service revenue	2,186	—	842	—	
Amortization of capitalized software recorded as cost of service revenue	13,398	0.3	10,001	0.2	
Depreciation and amortization reported in the consolidated statements of cash flows	\$ 68,035	1.4	% \$ 58,289	1.3	%

**Interest Expense**

Interest expense increased to \$13.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013, from \$4.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012, and increased to \$38.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, from \$12.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 primarily due to the issuance of the 1.125% Notes in February 2013. Interest expense includes amortization of the discount on our convertible senior notes, which amounted to \$6.1 million and \$1.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013, and 2012, respectively, and \$15.7 million and \$4.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, and 2012, respectively. Interest expense in the nine months ended September 30, 2013, also includes the immediate recognition of approximately \$6 million of interest expense relating to debt issuance costs. The remainder of the fees associated with that issuance, amounting to approximately \$12 million, are being expensed over the life of the 1.125% Notes.

As described in further detail below, in "Future Sources and Uses of Liquidity – Lease Financing Obligations," lease payments under the sale-leaseback transactions adjust the lease financing obligation, and the imputed interest is recorded to interest expense in our consolidated statements of operations.

**Other Expense**

Other expense increased to \$3.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, from \$1.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, and was insignificant for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012. Other expense includes primarily gains or losses associated with changes in the fair value of our derivative financial instruments. For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we recorded a \$1.3 million charge for the fair value of an interest rate swap derivative liability. In the second quarter of 2013 we recorded a one-time non-cash charge of \$3.9 million related to warrants issued in conjunction with our convertible senior notes offering in February 2013. We settled the interest rate swap in the

Table of Contents

second quarter of 2013, which resulted in a gain of approximately \$0.4 million, partially offsetting the \$3.9 million charge described above.

**Income Taxes**

The provision for income taxes in continuing operations is recorded at an effective rate of 33.9% for the three months ended September 30, 2013, compared with 92.3% for the three months ended September 30, 2012. The provision for income taxes in continuing operations is recorded at an effective rate of 44.8% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared with 45.5% for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The disparity between rates in the third quarters of 2013 and 2012 is primarily due to significant differences in pretax income during the applicable periods and the greater proportional impact of non-deductible expenses on lower income before taxes in 2012.

**Liquidity and Capital Resources****Introduction**

We manage our cash, investments, and capital structure to meet the short- and long-term obligations of our business while maintaining liquidity and financial flexibility. We forecast, analyze, and monitor our cash flows to enable prudent investment management and financing within the confines of our financial strategy.

Our regulated subsidiaries generate significant cash flows from premium revenue. Such cash flows are our primary source of liquidity. Thus, any future decline in our profitability may have a negative impact on our liquidity. We generally receive premium revenue in advance of the payment of claims for the related health care services. A majority of the assets held by our regulated subsidiaries are in the form of cash, cash equivalents, and investments. After considering expected cash flows from operating activities, we generally invest cash of regulated subsidiaries that exceeds our expected short-term obligations in longer term, investment-grade, and marketable debt securities to improve our overall investment return. These investments are made pursuant to board approved investment policies which conform to applicable state laws and regulations. Our investment policies are designed to provide liquidity, preserve capital, and maximize total return on invested assets, all in a manner consistent with state requirements that prescribe the types of instruments in which our subsidiaries may invest. These investment policies require that our investments have final maturities of five years or less (excluding auction rate securities and variable rate securities, for which interest rates are periodically reset) and that the average maturity be two years or less. Professional portfolio managers operating under documented guidelines manage our investments. As of September 30, 2013, a substantial portion of our cash was invested in a portfolio of highly liquid money market securities, and our investments consisted solely of investment-grade debt securities. All of our investments are classified as current assets, except for our restricted investments, and our investments in auction rate securities, which are classified as non-current assets. Our restricted investments are invested principally in certificates of deposit and U.S. treasury securities.

Investment income was \$4.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared with \$3.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. Our annualized portfolio yield for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 was 0.4% compared with 0.5% for the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

Investments and restricted investments are subject to interest rate risk and will decrease in value if market rates increase. We have the ability to hold our restricted investments until maturity. Declines in interest rates over time will reduce our investment income.

Cash in excess of the capital needs of our regulated health plans is generally paid to our non-regulated parent company in the form of dividends, when and as permitted by applicable regulations, for general corporate use.

**Liquidity**

A condensed schedule of cash flows to facilitate our discussion of liquidity follows:

	Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2013	2012	Change
	(In thousands)		
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$111,744	\$264,024	\$(152,280)
Net cash used in investing activities	(541,416)	(90,794)	(450,622)
Net cash provided by financing activities	490,458	48,423	442,035
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	\$60,786	\$221,653	\$(160,867)



Table of Contents

**Operating Activities.** The decrease in cash provided by operating activities was primarily due to the changes in receivables and deferred revenue, partially offset by increased net income. Cash flows from operations in each year were impacted by the timing of payments we receive from our states. States may pay the following month's premium payment in advance, which we record as deferred revenue, or they may delay our premium payment, which we record as a receivable. We typically receive capitation payments monthly, however the states in which we operate may decide to adjust their payment schedules which could positively or negatively impact our reported cash flows from operating activities in any given period. In such situations, however, the acceleration or delay in payment is usually only a few days in duration, meaning that the change in cash flows in any given period is usually reversed in the next. The change in receivables and deferred revenue resulted in a use of operating cash amounting to \$161.7 million in the aggregate in the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared with a source of operating cash of \$103.3 million in the aggregate in the same period in 2012. Net income increased \$77.9 million year over year.

**Investing Activities.** The increase in cash used in investing activities was primarily due to \$393.5 million increased purchases of investments in 2013, a result of increased cash generated in financing activities, described below. Additionally, we paid \$57.7 million in connection with business acquisitions in the third quarter of 2013.

**Financing Activities.** The increase in cash provided by financing activities was primarily due to 2013 activity including \$538.0 million in proceeds we received from our offering of 1.125% Notes, \$158.7 million received from sale-leaseback transactions, and \$75.1 million from the sale of warrants, partially offset by \$149.3 million paid for the purchased call option relating to Notes, \$50.0 million paid for repurchases of our common stock, \$47.5 million used to repay our term loan, and \$40.0 million used to repay our Credit Facility.

**Financial Condition**

On a consolidated basis, at September 30, 2013, we had working capital of \$920.8 million compared with \$521.1 million at December 31, 2012. At September 30, 2013, and December 31, 2012, we had cash and investments, including restricted investments, of \$1,668.6 million, and \$1,196.1 million, respectively. We believe that our cash resources and internally generated funds will be sufficient to support our operations, regulatory requirements, and capital expenditures for at least the next 12 months.

In July 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued guidance related to accounting for the fees to be paid by health insurers to the federal government under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act (ACA). The ACA imposes an annual fee on health insurers for each calendar year beginning on or after January 1, 2014. The fee will be imposed beginning in 2014 based on a company's share of the industry's net premiums written during the preceding calendar year.

The new guidance specifies that the liability for the fee should be estimated and recorded in full once the entity provides qualifying health insurance in the applicable calendar year in which the fee is payable with a corresponding deferred cost that is amortized to expense using a straight-line method of allocation unless another method better allocates the fee over the calendar year that it is payable. The new guidance is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 31, 2013, when the fee initially becomes effective. As enacted, this federal premium-based assessment is non-deductible for income tax purposes, and is anticipated to be significant. It is yet undetermined how this premium-based assessment will be factored into the calculation of our premium rates, if at all. Accordingly, adoption of this guidance and the enactment of this assessment as currently written is expected to have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations, and cash flows in future periods. We estimate that the fee in 2014 will be approximately \$100.0 million.

**Regulatory Capital and Dividend Restrictions**

Our health plans, which are operated by our respective wholly owned subsidiaries in those states, are subject to state laws and regulations that, among other things, require the maintenance of minimum levels of statutory capital, as defined by each state. Such state laws and regulations also restrict the timing, payment, and amount of dividends and other distributions that may be paid to us as the sole stockholder. To the extent our subsidiaries must comply with these regulations, they may not have the financial flexibility to transfer funds to us. The net assets in these subsidiaries (after intercompany eliminations) which may not be transferable to us in the form of loans, advances, or cash dividends was \$601.1 million at September 30, 2013, and \$549.7 million at December 31, 2012. Because of the statutory restrictions that inhibit the ability of our health plans to transfer net assets to us, the amount of retained



earnings readily available to pay dividends to our stockholders is generally limited to cash, cash equivalents and investments held by the parent company – Molina Healthcare, Inc. Such cash, cash equivalents and investments amounted to \$472.1 million and \$46.9 million as of September 30, 2013, and December 31, 2012, respectively. We anticipate that we will pay the remaining balances due for our recent New Mexico and South Carolina acquisitions (approximately \$64 million in total) from available cash at the parent company.

Table of Contents

The National Association of Insurance Commissioners, or NAIC, adopted rules effective December 31, 1998, which, if implemented by the states, set minimum capitalization requirements for insurance companies, HMOs, and other entities bearing risk for health care coverage. The requirements take the form of risk-based capital (RBC) rules. Illinois, Michigan, New Mexico, Ohio, Texas, Utah, Washington, and Wisconsin have adopted these rules, which may vary from state to state. California and Florida have not adopted NAIC risk-based capital requirements for HMOs and have not formally given notice of their intention to do so. Such requirements, if adopted by California and Florida, may increase the minimum capital required for those states.

As of September 30, 2013, our health plans had aggregate statutory capital and surplus of approximately \$637.0 million compared with the required minimum aggregate statutory capital and surplus of approximately \$362.2 million. As noted above, we anticipate that the ACA annual fee on health insurers will result in the recording of a liability of approximately \$100 million spread across our all of our health plans. The liability for that fee, when recorded effective January, 2014, will reduce our aggregate statutory capital and surplus by the same amount. All of our health plans were in compliance with the minimum capital requirements at September 30, 2013. We have the ability and commitment to provide additional capital to each of our health plans when necessary to ensure that statutory capital and surplus continue to meet regulatory requirements.

Future Sources and Uses of Liquidity

As of September 30, 2013, maturities of long-term debt for the years ending December 31 are as follows (in thousands):

	Total	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Thereafter
1.125% Notes	\$550,000	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$550,000
3.75% Notes	187,000	—	187,000	—	—	—	—
	\$737,000	\$—	\$187,000	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$550,000

1.125% Cash Convertible Senior Notes due 2020

On February 15, 2013, we settled the issuance of \$550.0 million aggregate principal amount of 1.125% Cash Convertible Senior Notes due 2020 (the 1.125% Notes). This transaction included the initial issuance of \$450.0 million on February 11, 2013, plus the exercise of the full amount of the \$100.0 million over-allotment option on February 13, 2013. The aggregate net proceeds of the 1.125% Notes were \$458.9 million, after payment of the net cost of the Call Spread Overlay described below and transaction costs. Additionally, we used \$50.0 million of the net proceeds to purchase shares of our common stock, and \$40.0 million to repay the principal owed under our Credit Facility.

Interest on the 1.125% Notes is payable semiannually in arrears on January 15 and July 15 of each year, at a rate of 1.125% per annum, and commenced on July 15, 2013. The 1.125% Notes will mature on January 15, 2020 unless repurchased or converted in accordance with their terms prior to such date.

The 1.125% Notes are convertible only into cash, and not into shares of our common stock or any other securities. Holders may convert their 1.125% Notes solely into cash at their option at any time prior to the close of business on the business day immediately preceding July 15, 2019 only under the following circumstances: (1) during any calendar quarter commencing after the calendar quarter ending on June 30, 2013 (and only during such calendar quarter), if the last reported sale price of the common stock for at least 20 trading days (whether or not consecutive) during a period of 30 consecutive trading days ending on the last trading day of the immediately preceding calendar quarter is greater than or equal to 130% of the conversion price on each applicable trading day; (2) during the five business day period immediately after any five consecutive trading day period in which the trading price per \$1,000 principal amount of 1.125% Notes for each trading day of the measurement period was less than 98% of the product of the last reported sale price of our common stock and the conversion rate on each such trading day; or (3) upon the occurrence of specified corporate events. On or after July 15, 2019 until the close of business on the second scheduled trading day immediately preceding the maturity date, holders may convert their 1.125% Notes solely into cash at any time, regardless of the foregoing circumstances. Upon conversion, in lieu of receiving shares of our common stock, a holder will receive an amount in cash, per \$1,000 principal amount of 1.125% Notes, equal to the settlement amount, determined in the manner set forth in the indenture.

The initial conversion rate will be 24.5277 shares of our common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of 1.125% Notes (equivalent to an initial conversion price of approximately \$40.77 per share of common stock). The conversion rate will be subject to adjustment in some events but will not be adjusted for any accrued and unpaid interest. In addition, following certain corporate events that occur prior to the maturity date, we will pay a cash make-whole premium by increasing the conversion rate for a holder who elects to convert its 1.125% Notes in connection with such a corporate event in certain circumstances. We may not redeem the 1.125% Notes prior to the maturity date, and no sinking fund is provided for the 1.125% Notes.

Table of Contents

If we undergo a fundamental change (as defined in the indenture to the 1.125% Notes), holders may require us to repurchase for cash all or part of their 1.125% Notes at a repurchase price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the 1.125% Notes to be repurchased, plus accrued and unpaid interest to, but excluding, the fundamental change repurchase date. The indenture provides for customary events of default, including cross acceleration to certain other indebtedness of ours, and our significant subsidiaries.

The 1.125% Notes are senior unsecured obligations, and rank senior in right of payment to any of our indebtedness that is expressly subordinated in right of payment to the 1.125% Notes; equal in right of payment to any of our unsecured indebtedness that is not so subordinated; effectively junior in right of payment to any of our secured indebtedness to the extent of the value of the assets securing such indebtedness; and structurally junior to all indebtedness and other liabilities (including trade payables) of our subsidiaries.

The 1.125% Notes contain an embedded cash conversion option. We have determined that the embedded cash conversion option is a derivative financial instrument, required to be separated from the 1.125% Notes and accounted for separately as a derivative liability, with changes in fair value reported in our consolidated statements of operations until the embedded cash conversion option transaction settles or expires. The initial fair value liability of the embedded cash conversion option was \$149.3 million, which simultaneously reduced the carrying value of the 1.125% Notes (effectively an original issuance discount).

As noted above, the reduced carrying value on the 1.125% Notes resulted in a debt discount that is amortized to the 1.125% Notes' principal amount through the recognition of interest expense over the expected life of the debt. This has resulted in our recognition of interest expense on the 1.125% Notes at an effective rate approximating what we would have incurred had nonconvertible debt with otherwise similar terms been issued. The effective interest rate of the 1.125% Notes is 5.9%, which is imputed based on the amortization of the fair value of the embedded cash conversion option over the remaining term of the 1.125% Notes. As of September 30, 2013, we expect the 1.125% Notes to be outstanding until their January 15, 2020 maturity date, for a remaining amortization period of 6.3 years. Also in connection with the settlement of the 1.125% Notes, we paid approximately \$16.9 million in transaction costs. Such costs have been allocated to the 1.125% Notes, the 1.125% Call Option (defined below) and the 1.125% Warrants (defined below) according to their relative fair values. The amount allocated to the 1.125% Notes, or \$12.0 million, was capitalized and will be amortized over the term of the 1.125% Notes. The aggregate amount allocated to the 1.125% Call Option and 1.125% Warrants, or \$4.9 million, was recorded to interest expense in the quarter ended March 31, 2013.

#### 1.125% Notes Call Spread Overlay

Concurrent with the issuance of the 1.125% Notes, we entered into privately negotiated hedge transactions (collectively, the 1.125% Call Option) and warrant transactions (collectively, the 1.125% Warrants), with certain of the initial purchasers of the 1.125% Notes (the Counterparties). These transactions represent a Call Spread Overlay, whereby the cost of the 1.125% Call Option we purchased to cover the cash outlay upon conversion of the 1.125% Notes was reduced by the sales price of the 1.125% Warrants. Assuming full performance by the Counterparties (and 1.125% Warrants strike prices in excess of the conversion price of the 1.125% Notes), these transactions are intended to offset cash payments due upon any conversion of the 1.125% Notes. We used \$149.3 million of the proceeds from the settlement of the 1.125% Notes to pay for the 1.125% Call Option, and simultaneously received \$75.1 million for the sale of the 1.125% Warrants, for a net cash outlay of \$74.2 million for the Call Spread Overlay. The 1.125% Call Option is a derivative financial instrument.

Until April 22, 2013, the 1.125% Warrants were recorded as a derivative liability that required mark-to-market accounting treatment due to certain terms in the 1.125% Warrants that prevented such instruments being considered to be indexed in our common stock. Effective April 22, 2013, we entered into amended and restated warrant confirmations with the Counterparties to clarify these terms, such that 1.125% Warrants are no longer considered to be derivative instruments, and have been recorded to additional paid-in capital.

Aside from the initial payment of a premium to the Counterparties of \$149.3 million for the 1.125% Call Option, we will not be required to make any cash payments to the Counterparties under the 1.125% Call Option, and will be entitled to receive from the Counterparties an amount of cash, generally equal to the amount by which the market price per share of common stock exceeds the strike price of the 1.125% Call Options during the relevant valuation

period. The strike price under the 1.125% Call Option is initially equal to the conversion price of the 1.125% Notes. Additionally, if the market value per share of our common stock exceeds the strike price of the 1.125% Warrants on any trading day during the 160 trading day measurement period under the 1.125% Warrants, we will be obligated to issue to the Counterparties a number of shares equal in value to the product of the amount by which such market value exceeds such strike price and 1/160th of the aggregate number of shares of our common stock underlying the 1.125% Warrants, subject to a share delivery cap. We will not receive any additional

Table of Contents

proceeds if the 1.125% Warrants are exercised. Pursuant to the 1.125% Warrants, we issued 13,490,236 warrants with a strike price of \$53.8475 per share. The number of warrants and the strike price are subject to adjustment under certain circumstances.

**3.75% Convertible Senior Notes due 2014**

We had \$187.0 million of 3.75% Convertible Senior Notes due 2014 (the 3.75% Notes) outstanding as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. The 3.75% Notes rank equally in right of payment with our existing and future senior indebtedness. The 3.75% Notes are convertible into cash and, under certain circumstances, shares of our common stock. The initial conversion rate is 31.9601 shares of our common stock per one thousand dollar principal amount of the 3.75% Notes. This represents an initial conversion price of approximately \$31.29 per share of our common stock. In addition, if certain corporate transactions that constitute a change of control occur prior to maturity, we will increase the conversion rate in certain circumstances.

**Lease Financing Obligations**

On June 12, 2013, we entered into a sale-leaseback transaction for the sale and contemporaneous leaseback of two properties, including the Molina Center located in Long Beach, California, and the building that houses our Ohio health plan located in Columbus, Ohio. We sold the two properties for \$158.6 million in the aggregate. Due to our continuing involvement with these leased properties, the sale did not qualify for sale-leaseback accounting treatment and we remain the "accounting owner" of the properties. The carrying values of these properties, including the related intangible assets, amounted to \$78.3 million in the aggregate as of September 30, 2013. These assets continue to be included in our consolidated balance sheets, and also continue to be depreciated and amortized over their remaining useful lives. The sales price of \$158.6 million was recorded as a lease financing obligation, which is amortized over the 25-year lease term such that there will be no gain or loss recorded if the lease is not extended at the end of its term. Payments under the lease adjust the lease financing obligation, and the imputed interest is recorded to interest expense in our consolidated statements of operations. Transaction costs associated with this transaction, amounting to \$3.5 million, have been deferred and will be amortized over the initial lease term.

We entered into a lease for office space in February 2013 consisting of two office buildings then under construction. We have concluded that we are the accounting owner of the construction projects because of our continuing involvement in those projects. Therefore, we have recorded \$18.9 million to property, equipment and capitalized software, net, in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of September 30, 2013, which represents the total cost, including imputed interest, incurred by the landlord thus far in the construction projects. As of September 30, 2013, the aggregate amounts recorded to property, equipment and capitalized software, net, for both Building A and B are recorded as a lease financing obligation of \$19.2 million. Payments under the lease adjust the lease financing obligation, and the imputed interest is recorded to interest expense in our consolidated statements of operations. In addition to the capitalization of the costs incurred by the landlord, we impute and record rent expense relating to the ground leases for the property sites. Such rent expense is computed based on the fair value of the land and our incremental borrowing rate, and was immaterial for the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

**Term Loan**

In December 2011, our wholly owned subsidiary, Molina Center LLC, entered into a term loan agreement with various lenders and East West Bank to borrow \$48.6 million to finance a portion of the purchase price for the Molina Center, located in Long Beach, California. On June 13, 2013, we repaid the principal balance outstanding under the term loan on that date, with proceeds we received in the sale-leaseback transaction described above.

**Credit Facility**

On February 15, 2013, we used \$40.0 million of the net proceeds from the offering of the 1.125% Notes to repay all of the outstanding indebtedness under our \$170 million revolving Credit Facility, with various lenders and U.S. Bank National Association, as Line of Credit Issuer, Swing Line Lender, and Administrative Agent. As of December 31, 2012, there was \$40.0 million outstanding under the Credit Facility.

We terminated the Credit Facility in connection with the closing of the offering and sale of the 1.125% Notes. Two letters of credit in the aggregate principal amount of \$10.3 million that reduced the amount available for borrowing under the Credit Facility as of December 31, 2012, were transferred to direct issue letters of credit with another financial institution. Such direct issue letters of credit are collateralized by restricted investments.

Shelf Registration Statement

In the second quarter of 2012, we filed an automatic shelf registration statement on Form S-3 with the Securities and Exchange Commission covering the registration, issuance, and sale of an indeterminate amount of our securities, including

56

---

## Table of Contents

common stock, preferred stock, senior or subordinated debt securities, or warrants. We may publicly offer securities from time to time at prices and terms to be determined at the time of the offering.

### Securities Repurchase Program

Effective as of September 30, 2013, our board of directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$50 million in aggregate of our common stock. Stock repurchase under this program may be made through open-market and/or privately negotiated transactions at times and in such amounts as management deems appropriate. The timing and actual number of shares repurchased will depend on a variety of factors including price, corporate and regulatory requirements and other market conditions. This newly authorized repurchase program extends through December 31, 2014, and replaces in its entirety, the \$75 million repurchase program adopted by the board of directors on February 13, 2013.

### Contractual Obligations

A summary of future obligations under our various contractual obligations and commitments as of December 31, 2012, was disclosed in our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K. Other than the transactions relating to our February 2013 offering of the 1.125% Notes, the sale-leaseback transactions and our third quarter acquisitions discussed above, there were no material changes to this previously filed information outside the ordinary course of business during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. For further discussion and maturities of our long-term debt, see Note 11 of the accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

### Critical Accounting Policies

When we prepare our consolidated financial statements, we use estimates and assumptions that may affect reported amounts and disclosures. Actual results could differ from these estimates. Our most significant accounting policies relate to:

- Health plan contractual provisions that may limit revenue based upon the costs incurred or the profits realized under a specific contract;
- Health plan quality incentives that allow us to recognize incremental revenue if certain quality standards are met;
- The recognition of revenue and costs associated with contracts held by our Molina Medicaid Solutions segment; and
- The determination of medical claims and benefits payable.

### Premium Revenue – Health Plans Segment

Premium revenue is fixed in advance of the periods covered and, except as described below, is not generally subject to significant accounting estimates. Premium revenues are recognized in the month that members are entitled to receive health care services.

Certain components of premium revenue are subject to accounting estimates. The components of premium revenue subject to estimation fall into two categories:

- (1) Contractual provisions that may limit revenue based upon the costs incurred or the profits realized under a specific contract: These are contractual provisions that require the health plan to return premiums to the extent that certain thresholds are not met. In some instances premiums are returned when medical costs fall below a certain percentage of gross premiums; or when administrative costs or profits exceed a certain percentage of gross premiums. In other instances, premiums are partially determined by the acuity of care provided to members (risk adjustment). To the extent that our expenses and profits change from the amounts previously reported (due to changes in estimates), our revenue earned for those periods will also change. In all of these instances, our revenue is only subject to estimate due to the fact that the thresholds themselves contain elements (expense or profit) that are subject to estimate. While we have adequate experience and data to make sound estimates of our expenses or profits, changes to those estimates may be necessary, which in turn would lead to changes in our estimates of revenue. In general, a change in estimate relating to expense or profit would offset any related change in estimate to premium, resulting in no or small impact to net income. The following contractual provisions fall into this category:

**California Health Plan Medical Cost Floors (Minimums):** A portion of certain premiums received by our California health plan may be returned to the state if certain minimum amounts are not spent on defined medical care costs. We recorded a liability under the terms of these contract provisions of approximately \$0.8 million and \$0.3 million at September 30, 2013, and December 31, 2012, respectively.



Florida Health Plan Medical Cost Floor (Minimum): A portion of premiums received by our Florida health plan may be returned to the state if certain minimum amounts are not spent on defined behavioral health care costs (in all counties except

57

---

Table of Contents

Broward). A similar minimum expenditure is required for total health care costs in Broward county only. At both September 30, 2013, and December 31, 2012, we had not recorded any liability under the terms of these contract provisions.

New Mexico Health Plan Medical Cost Floors (Minimums) and Administrative Cost and Profit Ceilings (Maximums): Our contract with the state of New Mexico directs that a portion of premiums received may be returned to the state if certain minimum amounts are not spent on defined medical care costs, or if administrative costs or profit, as defined in the contract, exceed certain amounts. At both September 30, 2013, and December 31, 2012, we had not recorded any liability under the terms of these contract provisions.

Ohio Health Plan Medical Cost Floors (Minimums): Sanctions may be levied by the state if certain minimum amounts are not spent on defined medical care costs. These sanctions include the requirements to file a corrective action plan as well as an enrollment freeze.

Texas Health Plan Profit Sharing: Under our contract with the state of Texas, there is a profit-sharing agreement under which we pay a rebate to the state of Texas if our Texas health plan generates pretax income, as defined in the contract, above a certain specified percentage as determined in accordance with a tiered rebate schedule. We are limited in the amount of administrative costs that we may deduct in calculating the rebate, if any. As a result of profits in excess of the amount we are allowed to fully retain, we had accrued an aggregate liability of approximately \$2.2 million and \$3.2 million pursuant to our profit-sharing agreement with the state of Texas at September 30, 2013, and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Washington Health Plan Medical Cost Floors (Minimums): A portion of certain premiums received by our Washington health plan may be returned to the state if certain minimum amounts are not spent on defined medical care costs. At September 30, 2013, we recorded a liability under the terms of these contract provisions of approximately \$0.3 million. At December 31, 2012, we had not recorded any liability under the terms of this contract provision.

Medicare Revenue Risk Adjustment: Based on member encounter data that we submit to CMS, our Medicare premiums are subject to retroactive adjustment for both member risk scores and member pharmacy cost experience for up to two years after the original year of service. This adjustment takes into account the acuity of each member's medical needs relative to what was anticipated when premiums were originally set for that member. In the event that a member requires less acute medical care than was anticipated by the original premium amount, CMS may recover premium from us. In the event that a member requires more acute medical care than was anticipated by the original premium amount, CMS may pay us additional retroactive premium. A similar retroactive reconciliation is undertaken by CMS for our Medicare members' pharmacy utilization. We estimate the amount of Medicare revenue that will ultimately be realized for the periods presented based on our knowledge of our members' health care utilization patterns and CMS practices. Based on our knowledge of member health care utilization patterns and expenses we have recorded a net receivable of approximately \$18.4 million and \$0.3 million as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively for anticipated Medicare risk adjustment premiums.

(2) Quality incentives that allow us to recognize incremental revenue if certain quality standards are met: These are contract provisions that allow us to earn additional premium revenue in certain states if we achieve certain quality-of-care or administrative measures. We estimate the amount of revenue that will ultimately be realized for the periods presented based on our experience and expertise in meeting the quality and administrative measures as well as our ongoing and current monitoring of our progress in meeting those measures. The amount of the revenue that we will realize under these contractual provisions is determinable based upon that experience. The following contractual provisions fall into this category:

New Mexico Health Plan Quality Incentive Premiums: Under our contract with the state of New Mexico, incremental revenue of up to 0.75% of our total premium is earned if certain performance measures are met. These performance measures are generally linked to various quality-of-care and administrative measures dictated by the state.

Ohio Health Plan Quality Incentive Premiums: Under our contract with the state of Ohio, incremental revenue of up to 1% of our total premium is earned if certain performance measures are met. These performance measures are generally linked to various quality-of-care measures dictated by the state.

Texas Health Plan Quality Incentive Premiums: Effective March 1, 2012, under our contract with the state of Texas, incremental revenue of up to 5% of our total premium is earned if certain performance measures are met. These performance measures are generally linked to various quality-of-care measures established by the state.

Wisconsin Health Plan Quality Incentive Premiums: Under our contract with the state of Wisconsin, incremental revenue of up to 3.25% of total premium may be earned if certain performance measures are met. These performance measures are generally linked to various quality-of-care measures dictated by the state.

Table of Contents

The following table quantifies the quality incentive premium revenue recognized for the periods presented, including the amounts earned in the period presented and prior periods. Although the reasonably possible effects of a change in estimate related to quality incentive premium revenue as of September 30, 2013 are not known, we have no reason to believe that the adjustments to prior years noted below are not indicative of the potential future changes in our estimates as of September 30, 2013.

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013				
	Maximum Available Quality Incentive Premium - Current Year	Amount of Quality Incentive Premium Recognized	Amount of Quality Incentive Premium Revenue Recognized from Prior Year	Total Quality Incentive Premium Revenue Recognized	Total Premium Revenue Recognized
	(In thousands)				
New Mexico	\$906	\$ 818	\$ 2	\$ 820	\$130,318
Ohio	3,080	976	(52 )	924	280,964
Texas	15,744	15,744	—	15,744	320,657
Wisconsin	1,209	—	—	—	39,676
	\$20,939	\$ 17,538	\$ (50 )	\$ 17,488	\$771,615

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2012				
	Maximum Available Quality Incentive Premium - Current Year	Amount of Quality Incentive Premium Revenue Recognized	Amount of Quality Incentive Premium Revenue Recognized from Prior Year	Total Quality Incentive Premium Revenue Recognized	Total Premium Revenue Recognized
	(In thousands)				
New Mexico	\$560	\$ 532	\$ —	\$ 532	\$80,846
Ohio	2,824	1,412	—	1,412	282,489
Texas	17,685	10,453	—	10,453	344,522
Wisconsin	419	—	246	246	16,279
	\$21,488	\$ 12,397	\$ 246	\$ 12,643	\$724,136

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013				
	Maximum Available Quality Incentive Premium - Current Year	Amount of Quality Incentive Premium Revenue Recognized	Amount of Quality Incentive Premium Revenue Recognized from Prior Year	Total Quality Incentive Premium Revenue Recognized	Total Premium Revenue Recognized
	(In thousands)				
New Mexico	\$2,079	\$ 1,685	\$ 159	\$ 1,844	\$298,767
Ohio	9,049	3,115	501	3,616	819,879
Texas	47,683	47,683	5,995	53,678	969,063
Wisconsin	3,239	—	1,104	1,104	104,540
	\$62,050	\$ 52,483	\$ 7,759	\$ 60,242	\$2,192,249

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012				
	Maximum Available Quality Incentive Premium - Current Year	Amount of Quality Incentive Premium Revenue Recognized	Amount of Quality Incentive Premium Revenue Recognized from Prior Year	Total Quality Incentive Premium Revenue Recognized	Total Premium Revenue Recognized
	(In thousands)				

Edgar Filing: MOLINA HEALTHCARE INC - Form 10-Q

	Premium - Current Year (In thousands)	Premium Revenue Recognized	Recognized from Prior Year	Recognized	Recognized
New Mexico	\$1,676	\$ 1,350	\$ 658	\$ 2,008	\$240,568
Ohio	8,222	6,810	966	7,776	827,219
Texas	41,687	30,487	—	30,487	892,377
Wisconsin	1,284	—	492	492	52,209
	\$52,869	\$ 38,647	\$ 2,116	\$ 40,763	\$2,012,373
Taxes Based on Premiums					

Table of Contents

Certain of our health plans are assessed a tax based on premium revenue collected. The premium revenues we receive from these states include the premium tax assessment. We have reported these taxes on a gross basis, included in premium tax receipts (within revenue), and premium tax expense (within expenses), in the consolidated statements of operations. Prior to the third quarter of 2013, premium tax receipts were included in premium revenue. The presentation change affected only premium revenue amounts previously reported, by reducing premium revenue for the amount now included in premium tax receipts. There is no effect on income from continuing operations, net income, or per-share amounts. This change was made to more clearly present the portion of premium revenue not available in the general operations of our health plans. All prior periods presented have been adjusted to conform to this presentation.

**Service Revenue and Cost of Service Revenue — Molina Medicaid Solutions Segment**

The payments received by our Molina Medicaid Solutions segment under its state contracts are based on the performance of multiple services. The first of these is the design, development and implementation (DDI) of a Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS). An additional service, following completion of DDI, is the operation of the MMIS under a business process outsourcing (BPO) arrangement. While providing BPO services (which include claims payment and eligibility processing), we also provide the state with other services including both hosting and support and maintenance. Our Molina Medicaid Solutions contracts may extend over a number of years, particularly in circumstances where we are delivering extensive and complex DDI services, such as the initial design, development and implementation of a complete MMIS. For example, the terms of our most recently implemented Molina Medicaid Solutions contracts (in Idaho and Maine) were each seven years in total, consisting of two years allocated for the delivery of DDI services, followed by five years for the performance of BPO services. We receive progress payments from the state during the performance of DDI services based upon the attainment of predetermined milestones. We receive a flat monthly payment for BPO services under our Idaho and Maine contracts. The terms of our other Molina Medicaid Solutions contracts – which primarily involve the delivery of BPO services with only minimal DDI activity (consisting of system enhancements) – are shorter in duration than our Idaho and Maine contracts.

We have evaluated our Molina Medicaid Solutions contracts to determine if such arrangements include a software element. Based on this evaluation, we have concluded that these arrangements do not include a software element. As such, we have concluded that our Molina Medicaid Solutions contracts are multiple-element service arrangements. Additionally, we evaluate each required deliverable under our multiple-element service arrangements to determine whether it qualifies as a separate unit of accounting. Such evaluation is generally based on whether the deliverable has standalone value to the customer. The arrangement's consideration that is fixed or determinable is then allocated to each separate unit of accounting based on the relative selling price of each deliverable. In general, the consideration allocated to each unit of accounting is recognized as the related goods or services are delivered, limited to the consideration that is not contingent.

We have concluded that the various service elements in our Molina Medicaid Solutions contracts represent a single unit of accounting due to the fact that DDI, which is the only service performed in advance of the other services (all other services are performed over an identical period), does not have standalone value because our DDI services are not sold separately by any vendor and the customer could not resell our DDI services. Further, we have no objective and reliable evidence of fair value for any of the individual elements in these contracts, and at no point in the contract will we have objective and reliable evidence of fair value for the undelivered elements in the contracts. We lack objective and reliable evidence of the fair value of the individual elements of our Molina Medicaid Solutions contracts for the following reasons:

- Each contract calls for the provision of its own specific set of services. While all contracts support the system of record for state MMIS, the actual services we provide vary significantly between contracts; and
- The nature of the MMIS installed varies significantly between our older contracts (proprietary mainframe systems) and our new contracts (commercial off-the-shelf technology solutions).

Because we have determined the services provided under our Molina Medicaid Solutions contracts represent a single unit of accounting and because we are unable to determine a pattern of performance of services during the contract period, we recognize all revenue (both the DDI and BPO elements) associated with such contracts on a straight-line

basis over the period during which BPO, hosting, and support and maintenance services are delivered. As noted above, the period of performance of BPO services under our Idaho and Maine contracts is five years. Therefore, absent any contingencies as discussed in the following paragraph, we would recognize all revenue associated with those contracts over a period of five years. In cases where there is no DDI element associated with our contracts, BPO revenue is recognized on a monthly basis as specified in the applicable contract or contract extension.

60

---

Table of Contents

Provisions specific to each contract may, however, lead us to modify this general principle. In those circumstances, the right of the state to refuse acceptance of services, as well as the related obligation to compensate us, may require us to delay recognition of all or part of our revenue until that contingency (the right of the state to refuse acceptance) has been removed. In those circumstances we defer recognition of any contingent revenue (whether DDI, BPO services, hosting, and support and maintenance services) until the contingency has been removed. These types of contingency features are present in our Maine and Idaho contracts. In those states, we deferred recognition of revenue until the contingencies were removed.

Costs associated with our Molina Medicaid Solutions contracts include software-related costs and other costs. With respect to software-related costs, we apply the guidance for internal-use software and capitalize external direct costs of materials and services consumed in developing or obtaining the software, and payroll and payroll-related costs associated with employees who are directly associated with and who devote time to the computer software project. With respect to all other direct costs, such costs are expensed as incurred, unless corresponding revenue is being deferred. If revenue is being deferred, direct costs relating to delivered service elements are deferred as well and are recognized on a straight-line basis over the period of revenue recognition, in a manner consistent with our recognition of revenue that has been deferred. Such direct costs can include:

- Transaction processing costs;
- Employee costs incurred in performing transaction services;
- Vendor costs incurred in performing transaction services;
- Costs incurred in performing required monitoring of and reporting on contract performance;
- Costs incurred in maintaining and processing member and provider eligibility; and
- Costs incurred in communicating with members and providers.

The recoverability of deferred contract costs associated with a particular contract is analyzed on a periodic basis using the undiscounted estimated cash flows of the whole contract over its remaining contract term. If such undiscounted cash flows are insufficient to recover the long-lived assets and deferred contract costs, the deferred contract costs are written down by the amount of the cash flow deficiency. If a cash flow deficiency remains after reducing the balance of the deferred contract costs to zero, any remaining long-lived assets are evaluated for impairment. Any such impairment recognized would equal the amount by which the carrying value of the long-lived assets exceeds the fair value of those assets.

#### Medical Claims and Benefits Payable — Health Plans Segment

The following table provides the details of our medical claims and benefits payable as of the dates indicated:

	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012	September 30, 2012
	(In thousands)		
Fee-for-service claims incurred but not paid (IBNP)	\$393,318	\$377,614	\$414,725
Capitation payable	77,051	49,066	55,314
Pharmacy	45,451	38,992	42,681
Other <sup>(1)</sup>	116,886	28,858	23,743
	\$632,706	\$494,530	\$536,463

“Other” medical claims and benefits payable include amounts payable to certain providers for which we act as an intermediary on behalf of various state agencies without assuming financial risk. Such receipts and payments do not impact our consolidated statements of operations. As of September 30, 2013, we recorded provider payables of approximately \$64.1 million for new intermediary arrangements that began in the third quarter of 2013.

The determination of our liability for claims and medical benefits payable is particularly important to the determination of our financial position and results of operations in any given period. Such determination of our liability requires the application of a significant degree of judgment by our management.

As a result, the determination of our liability for claims and medical benefits payable is subject to an inherent degree of uncertainty. Our medical care costs include amounts that have been paid by us through the reporting date, as well as



estimated liabilities for medical care costs incurred but not paid by us as of the reporting date. Such medical care cost liabilities include, among other items, unpaid fee-for-service claims, capitation payments owed providers, unpaid pharmacy invoices, and various

61

---

Table of Contents

medically related administrative costs that have been incurred but not paid. We use judgment to determine the appropriate assumptions for determining the required estimates.

The most important element in estimating our medical care costs is our estimate for fee-for-service claims which have been incurred but not paid by us. These fee-for-service costs that have been incurred but have not been paid at the reporting date are collectively referred to as medical costs that are "Incurred But Not Paid," or IBNP. Our IBNP, as reported on our balance sheet, represents our best estimate of the total amount of claims we will ultimately pay with respect to claims that we have incurred as of the balance sheet date. We estimate our IBNP monthly using actuarial methods based on a number of factors. As indicated in the table above, our estimated IBNP liability represented \$393.3 million of our total medical claims and benefits payable of \$632.7 million at September 30, 2013. Excluding amounts that we anticipate paying on behalf of capitated providers in Ohio (which we will subsequently withhold from those providers' monthly capitation payments), our IBNP liability at September 30, 2013, was \$384.6 million. The factors we consider when estimating our IBNP include, without limitation, claims receipt and payment experience (and variations in that experience), changes in membership, provider billing practices, health care service utilization trends, cost trends, product mix, seasonality, prior authorization of medical services, benefit changes, known outbreaks of disease or increased incidence of illness such as influenza, provider contract changes, changes to Medicaid fee schedules, and the incidence of high dollar or catastrophic claims. Our assessment of these factors is then translated into an estimate of our IBNP liability at the relevant measuring point through the calculation of a base estimate of IBNP, a further reserve for adverse claims development, and an estimate of the administrative costs of settling all claims incurred through the reporting date. The base estimate of IBNP is derived through application of claims payment completion factors and trended PMPM cost estimates.

For the fifth month of service prior to the reporting date and earlier, we estimate our outstanding claims liability based on actual claims paid, adjusted for estimated completion factors. Completion factors seek to measure the cumulative percentage of claims expense that will have been paid for a given month of service as of the reporting date, based on historical payment patterns.

The following table reflects the change in our estimate of claims liability as of September 30, 2013 that would have resulted had we changed our completion factors for the fifth through the twelfth months preceding September 30, 2013, by the percentages indicated. A reduction in the completion factor results in an increase in medical claims liabilities. Dollar amounts are in thousands.

Increase (Decrease) in Estimated Completion Factors	Increase (Decrease) in Medical Claims and Benefits Payable
(6)%	\$145,282
(4)%	96,854
(2)%	48,427
2%	(48,427)
4%	(96,854)
6%	(145,282)

For the four months of service immediately prior to the reporting date, actual claims paid are not a reliable measure of our ultimate liability, given the inherent delay between the patient/physician encounter and the actual submission of a claim for payment. For these months of service, we estimate our claims liability based on trended PMPM cost estimates. These estimates are designed to reflect recent trends in payments and expense, utilization patterns, authorized services, and other relevant factors. The following table reflects the change in our estimate of claims liability as of September 30, 2013 that would have resulted had we altered our trend factors by the percentages indicated. An increase in the PMPM costs results in an increase in medical claims liabilities. Dollar amounts are in thousands.

(Decrease) Increase in Trended Per member Per Month Cost Estimates	(Decrease) Increase in Medical Claims and Benefits Payable
(6)%	\$(134,294)

(4)%	(89,529	)
(2)%	(44,765	)
2%	44,765	
4%	89,529	
6%	134,294	

62

---

Table of Contents

The following per-share amounts are based on a combined federal and state statutory tax rate of 37%, and 46.8 million diluted shares outstanding for the nine months ended September 30, 2013. Assuming a hypothetical 1% change in completion factors from those used in our calculation of IBNP at September 30, 2013, net income for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 would increase or decrease by approximately \$15.3 million, or \$0.33 per diluted share. Assuming a hypothetical 1% change in PMPM cost estimates from those used in our calculation of IBNP at September 30, 2013, net income for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 would increase or decrease by approximately \$14.1 million, or \$0.30 per diluted share. The corresponding figures for a 5% change in completion factors and PMPM cost estimates would be \$76.3 million, or \$1.63 per diluted share, and \$70.5 million, or \$1.51 per diluted share, respectively.

It is important to note that any change in the estimate of either completion factors or trended PMPM costs would usually be accompanied by a change in the estimate of the other component, and that a change in one component would almost always compound rather than offset the resulting distortion to net income. When completion factors are overestimated, trended PMPM costs tend to be underestimated. Both circumstances will create an overstatement of net income. Likewise, when completion factors are underestimated, trended PMPM costs tend to be overestimated, creating an understatement of net income. In other words, errors in estimates involving both completion factors and trended PMPM costs will usually act to drive estimates of claims liabilities and medical care costs in the same direction. If completion factors were overestimated by 1%, resulting in an overstatement of net income by approximately \$15.3 million, it is likely that trended PMPM costs would be underestimated, resulting in an additional overstatement of net income.

After we have established our base IBNP reserve through the application of completion factors and trended PMPM cost estimates, we then compute an additional liability, once again using actuarial techniques, to account for adverse developments in our claims payments which the base actuarial model is not intended to and does not account for. We refer to this additional liability as the provision for adverse claims development. The provision for adverse claims development is a component of our overall determination of the adequacy of our IBNP. It is intended to capture the potential inadequacy of our IBNP estimate as a result of our inability to adequately assess the impact of factors such as changes in the speed of claims receipt and payment, the relative magnitude or severity of claims, known outbreaks of disease such as influenza, our entry into new geographical markets, our provision of services to new populations such as the aged, blind or disabled (ABD), changes to state-controlled fee schedules upon which a large proportion of our provider payments are based, modifications and upgrades to our claims processing systems and practices, and increasing medical costs. Because of the complexity of our business, the number of states in which we operate, and the need to account for different health care benefit packages among those states, we make an overall assessment of IBNP after considering the base actuarial model reserves and the provision for adverse claims development. We also include in our IBNP liability an estimate of the administrative costs of settling all claims incurred through the reporting date. The development of IBNP is a continuous process that we monitor and refine on a monthly basis as additional claims payment information becomes available. As additional information becomes known to us, we adjust our actuarial model accordingly to establish IBNP.

On a monthly basis, we review and update our estimated IBNP and the methods used to determine that liability. Any adjustments, if appropriate, are reflected in the period known. While we believe our current estimates are adequate, we have in the past been required to increase significantly our claims reserves for periods previously reported, and may be required to do so again in the future. Any significant increases to prior period claims reserves would materially decrease reported earnings for the period in which the adjustment is made.

In our judgment, the estimates for completion factors will likely prove to be more accurate than trended PMPM cost estimates because estimated completion factors are subject to fewer variables in their determination. Specifically, completion factors are developed over long periods of time, and are most likely to be affected by changes in claims receipt and payment experience and by provider billing practices. Trended PMPM cost estimates, while affected by the same factors, will also be influenced by health care service utilization trends, cost trends, product mix, seasonality, prior authorization of medical services, benefit changes, outbreaks of disease or increased incidence of illness, provider contract changes, changes to Medicaid fee schedules, and the incidence of high dollar or catastrophic claims. As discussed above, however, errors in estimates involving trended PMPM costs will almost always be accompanied

by errors in estimates involving completion factors, and vice versa. In such circumstances, errors in estimation involving both completion factors and trended PMPM costs will act to drive estimates of claims liabilities (and therefore medical care costs) in the same direction.

Assuming that our initial estimate of IBNP is accurate, we believe that amounts ultimately paid out would generally be between 8% and 10% less than the liability recorded at the end of the period as a result of the inclusion in that liability of the allowance for adverse claims development and the accrued cost of settling those claims. Because the amount of our initial liability is merely an estimate (and therefore not perfectly accurate), we will always experience variability in that estimate as new information becomes available with the passage of time. Therefore, there can be no assurance that amounts ultimately paid out will fall within the range of 8% to 10% lower than the liability that was initially recorded. Furthermore, because our initial estimate of IBNP is derived from many factors, some of which are qualitative in nature rather than quantitative, we are seldom

Table of Contents

able to assign specific values to the reasons for a change in estimate - we only know when the circumstances for any one or more factors are out of the ordinary.

As shown in greater detail in the table below, the amounts ultimately paid out on our liabilities in fiscal years 2013 and 2012 were less than what we had expected when we had established our reserves. For example, for the year ended December 31, 2012, the amounts ultimately paid out were less than the amount of the reserves we had established as of December 31, 2011 by 9.8%. While many related factors working in conjunction with one another determine the accuracy of our estimates, we are seldom able to quantify the impact that any single factor has on a change in estimate. In addition, given the variability inherent in the reserving process, we will only be able to identify specific factors if they represent a significant departure from expectations. As a result, we do not expect to be able to fully quantify the impact of individual factors on changes in estimates.

We recognized favorable prior period claims development in the amount of \$54.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013. This amount represents our estimate as of September 30, 2013 of the extent to which our initial estimate of medical claims and benefits payable at December 31, 2012 was more than the amount that will ultimately be paid out in satisfaction of that liability. We believe the overestimation of our claims liability at December 31, 2012 was due primarily to the following factors:

At our Washington health plan prior to July 2012, certain high-cost newborns that were approved for supplemental security income (SSI) coverage by the state were retroactively dis-enrolled from our Healthy Options (TANF) coverage, and the health plan was reimbursed for the claims paid on behalf of these members. Starting July 1, 2012, these newborns, as well as other high-cost disabled members, are now covered by the health plan under the Healthy Options Blind and Disabled (HOBD) program. At the end of 2012, we had limited claims history with which to estimate the claims liability of the HOBD members, and as a result the liability for these high-cost members was overstated.

At our New Mexico health plan, we overestimated the impact of certain high-dollar outstanding claim payments as of December 31, 2012.

At our Ohio health plan, we overestimated the impact of several potential high-dollar claims relating to our aged, blind or disabled (ABD) members.

We recognized favorable prior period claims development in the amount of \$32.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013. This amount represents our estimate as of September 30, 2013 of the extent to which our initial estimate of medical claims and benefits payable at June 30, 2013 was more than the amount that will ultimately be paid out in satisfaction of that liability. This amount of favorable development was considerably less than we typically experience, and was significant enough to have a materially unfavorable impact upon our third quarter financial performance. We believe the overestimation of our claims liability at June 30, 2013 was due primarily to the following factors:

At our Ohio health plan, we overestimated the impact of several potential high-dollar claims relating to critically ill members.

At our Michigan health plan, we underestimated the impact of future claims overpayment recoveries when establishing reserves at June 30, 2013.

The overestimation of our liability for medical claims and benefits payable was partially offset by an underestimation of that liability at our Texas health plan as a result of the costs associated with an unusually large number of older claims. This anomaly was caused primarily by the payment of claims that were delayed as a result of hospital provider disputes that have been resolved. The underestimation of the liability at our Texas health plan was responsible for the relatively small amount of prior period development noted above.

We recognized favorable prior period claims development in the amount of \$37.7 million and \$39.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, and the year ended December 31, 2012, respectively. This was primarily caused by the overestimation of our liability claims and medical benefits at December 31, 2011, as a result of the following factors:

At our Washington health plan, we underestimated the amount of recoveries we would collect for certain high-cost newborn claims, resulting in an overestimation of reserves at year end.

At our Texas health plan, we overestimated the cost of new members in STAR+PLUS, in the Dallas region.



Table of Contents

The overestimation of our liability for medical claims and benefits payable was partially offset by an underestimation of that liability at our Missouri health plan, as a result of the costs associated with an unusually large number of premature infants during the fourth quarter of 2011.

In estimating our claims liability at September 30, 2013, we adjusted our base calculation to take account of the following factors which we believe are reasonably likely to change our final claims liability amount:

At our Texas health plan, we have noted an unusually large number of older claims dated older than 12 months. This has caused some distortion in the claims lag pattern that we use to estimate the incurred claims.

At our Michigan health plan, there were a large number of claim recoveries recorded in June 2013 due to overpayments that resulted from a system configuration issue. These recoveries impacted the completion factors used to estimate incurred claims. While we attempted to remove this distortion from the claims data to develop a more accurate reserve estimate, this type of correction in claims data adds a degree of uncertainty for the Michigan reserves as of September 30, 2013.

Our New Mexico health plan acquired approximately 80,000 new members in August 2013 from another health plan. This acquisition roughly doubled the size of the membership in a single month. For the September 30, 2013 reserve calculation, we have assumed that these new members will incur costs at about the same rate as the New Mexico members that were previously enrolled. With only two months of paid claims for these new members, it is too soon to know whether that assumption is correct or not.

The use of a consistent methodology in estimating our liability for claims and medical benefits payable minimizes the degree to which the under- or overestimation of that liability at the close of one period may affect consolidated results of operations in subsequent periods. In particular, the use of a consistent methodology should result in the replenishment of reserves during any given period in a manner that generally offsets the benefit of favorable prior period development in that period. Facts and circumstances unique to the estimation process at any single date, however, may still lead to a material impact on consolidated results of operations in subsequent periods. Any absence of adverse claims development (as well as the expensing through general and administrative expense of the costs to settle claims held at the start of the period) will lead to the recognition of a benefit from prior period claims development in the period subsequent to the date of the original estimate. In 2012 and for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the absence of adverse development of the liability for claims and medical benefits payable at the close of the previous period resulted in the recognition of substantial favorable prior period development. In both years, however, the recognition of a benefit from prior period claims development did not have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations because the replenishment of reserves in the respective periods generally offset the benefit from the prior period.

The following table presents the components of the change in our medical claims and benefits payable for the periods indicated. The amounts displayed for "Components of medical care costs related to: Prior periods" represent the amount by which our original estimate of claims and benefits payable at the beginning of the period were (more) or less than the actual amount of the liability based on information (principally the payment of claims) developed since that liability was first reported. The following table shows the components of the change in medical claims and benefits payable from continuing and discontinued operations for the periods indicated:



Table of Contents

	Nine Months Ended September		Three Months Ended		Year Ended December 31, 2012	
	30, 2013	2012	September 30, 2013	2012		
	(Dollars in thousands, except per-member amounts)					
Balances at beginning of period	\$494,530	\$402,476	\$465,487	\$525,538	\$402,476	
Components of medical care costs related to:						
Current period	4,021,461	3,860,825	1,415,670	1,361,539	5,136,055	
Prior periods	(54,040 )	(37,689 )	(32,575 )	(46,968 )	(39,295 )	
Total medical care costs	3,967,421	3,823,136	1,383,095	1,314,571	5,096,760	
Payments for medical care costs related to:						
Current period	3,410,689	3,332,896	851,025	875,236	4,649,363	
Prior periods	418,556	356,253	364,851	428,410	355,343	
Total paid	3,829,245	3,689,149	1,215,876	1,303,646	5,004,706	
Balances at end of period	\$632,706	\$536,463	\$632,706	\$536,463	\$494,530	
Benefit from prior period as a percentage of:						
Balance at beginning of period	10.9	% 9.0	% 7.0	% 8.9	% 9.8	%
Premium revenue, trailing twelve months	0.9	% 0.7	% 0.5	% 0.8	% 0.7	%
Medical care costs, trailing twelve months	1.0	% 0.8	% 0.6	% 1.0	% 0.8	%
Claims Data:						
Days in claims payable, fee for service	41	45	41	45	40	
Number of members at end of period	1,942,000	1,826,000	1,942,000	1,826,000	1,797,000	
Number of claims in inventory at end of period	137,100	163,600	137,100	163,600	122,700	
Billed charges of claims in inventory at end of period	\$257,600	\$304,600	\$257,600	\$304,600	\$255,200	
Claims in inventory per member at end of period	0.07	0.09	0.07	0.09	0.07	
Billed charges of claims in inventory per member at end of period	\$132.65	\$166.81	\$132.65	\$166.81	\$142.01	
Number of claims received during the period	15,751,500	15,455,000	5,227,000	5,079,200	20,842,400	
Billed charges of claims received during the period	\$15,848,900	\$14,339,700	\$5,371,100	\$4,951,000	\$19,429,300	

## Compliance Costs

Our health plans are regulated by both state and federal government agencies. Regulation of managed care products and health care services is an evolving area of law that varies from jurisdiction to jurisdiction. Regulatory agencies generally have discretion to issue regulations and interpret and enforce laws and rules. Changes in applicable laws and rules occur frequently. Compliance with such laws and rules may lead to additional costs related to the implementation of additional systems, procedures and programs that we have not yet identified.

## Inflation

We use various strategies to mitigate the negative effects of health care cost inflation. Specifically, our health plans try to control medical and hospital costs through contracts with independent providers of health care services. Through these contracted providers, our health plans emphasize preventive health care and appropriate use of specialty and hospital services. There can be no assurance, however, that our strategies to mitigate health care cost inflation will be successful. Competitive pressures, new health care and pharmaceutical product introductions, demands from health care providers and customers, applicable regulations, or other factors may affect our ability to control health care costs.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Concentrations of Credit Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject us to concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of cash and cash equivalents, investments, receivables, and restricted investments. We invest a substantial portion of our cash in the PFM Fund Prime Series — Institutional Class, and the PFM Fund Government Series. These funds represent a portfolio of highly liquid money market securities that are managed by PFM Asset Management LLC, a Virginia business trust registered as an open-end

## Table of Contents

management investment fund. Our investments and a portion of our cash equivalents are managed by professional portfolio managers operating under documented investment guidelines. No investment that is in a loss position can be sold by our managers without our prior approval. Our investments consist solely of investment grade debt securities with a maximum maturity of five years and an average duration of two years or less. Restricted investments are invested principally in certificates of deposit and U.S. treasury securities. Concentration of credit risk with respect to accounts receivable is limited due to payors consisting principally of the governments of each state in which our Health Plans segment and our Molina Medicaid Solutions segment operate.

### Item 4. Controls and Procedures

**Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures:** Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, has concluded, based upon its evaluation as of the end of the period covered by this report, that the Company's "disclosure controls and procedures" (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")) are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms.

**Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting:** There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting during the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2013 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

## PART II — OTHER INFORMATION

### Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The health care and business process outsourcing industries are subject to numerous laws and regulations of federal, state, and local governments. Compliance with these laws and regulations can be subject to government review and interpretation, as well as regulatory actions unknown and unasserted at this time. Penalties associated with violations of these laws and regulations include significant fines and penalties, exclusion from participating in publicly funded programs, and the repayment of previously billed and collected revenues.

We are involved in legal actions in the ordinary course of business, some of which seek monetary damages, including claims for punitive damages, which are not covered by insurance. We have accrued liabilities for certain matters for which we deem the loss to be both probable and estimable. Although we believe that our estimates of such losses are reasonable, these estimates could change as a result of further developments of these matters. The outcome of legal actions is inherently uncertain and such pending matters for which accruals have not been established have not progressed sufficiently through discovery and/or development of important factual information and legal issues to enable us to estimate a range of possible loss, if any. While it is not possible to accurately predict or determine the eventual outcomes of these items, an adverse determination in one or more of these pending matters could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

### Item 1A. Risk Factors

Certain risk factors may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, cash flows, or results of operations, and you should carefully consider them. In addition to the other information set forth in this report, the following risk factor was identified by the Company during the third quarter of 2013, and is a supplement to, and should be read together with, the risk factors discussed in Part I, Item 1A - Risk Factors, in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, and in Part II, Item 1A - Risk Factors, in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2013. The risk factors described herein, in our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K, and in our third quarter report on Form 10-Q are not the only risks facing our Company. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, cash flows, or results of operations.

If we are required to return any alleged overpayments to the Washington Healthcare Authority, our results of operations may be adversely affected.

The Washington Health Care Authority (HCA) has communicated to our Washington health plan that it believes it has erroneously overpaid the plan with regard to certain claims, including claims for psychotropic drugs, and claims for health plan members under the Washington Community Options Program Entry System (COPES). The alleged overpayments date back to the July 1, 2012 start date of the current contract. Because of the unilateral errors underlying the overpayments, HCA has indicated an intent to seek recoupment of the allegedly overpaid amounts. Our Washington health plan is seeking additional

67

---

Table of Contents

information from HCA regarding the factual and legal bases for any potential retroactive rate recoupment. In the event our Washington health plan is required to disgorge to HCA, in the fourth quarter of 2013, rate amounts that had been previously paid to it, our results of operations in the fourth quarter of 2013 may be adversely affected.

## Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

## Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Common Stock Repurchase in Connection with Offering of 1.125% Cash Convertible Senior Notes Due 2020. We used a portion of the net proceeds in this offering to repurchase \$50.0 million of our common stock in negotiated transactions with institutional investors in the offering, concurrently with the pricing of the offering. On February 12, 2013, we repurchased a total of 1,624,959 shares at \$30.77 per share, which was our closing stock price on that date. Securities Repurchase Program. Effective as of September 30, 2013, our board of directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$50 million in aggregate of our common stock. Stock repurchases under this program may be made through open-market and/or privately negotiated transactions at times and in such amounts as management deems appropriate. The timing and actual number of shares repurchased will depend on a variety of factors including price, corporate and regulatory requirements and other market conditions. This newly authorized repurchase program extends through December 31, 2014, and replaces in its entirety, the \$75 million repurchase program adopted by the board of directors on February 13, 2013.

Purchases of common stock made by or on our behalf during the quarter ended September 30, 2013, including shares withheld by us to satisfy our employees' income tax obligations, are set forth below:

	Total Number of Shares Purchased (a)	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
July 1 - July 31	815	\$ 37.89	—	\$ 50,000,000
August 1 - August 31	1,969	\$ 37.45	—	\$ 50,000,000
September 1 - September 30	25,171	\$ 34.94	—	\$ 50,000,000
Total	27,955	\$ 35.76	—	

(a) During the three months ended September 30, 2013, we withheld 27,955 shares of common stock under our 2002 Equity Incentive Plan and 2011 Equity Incentive Plan to settle our employees' income tax obligations.

## Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit No.	Title
10.1	Settlement Agreement entered into on October 30, 2013, by and between the Department of Health Care Services and Molina Healthcare of California and Molina Healthcare of California Partner Plan, Inc.
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS	XBRL Taxonomy Instance Document.

101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document.
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document.
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.

68

---

Table of Contents

69

---

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

MOLINA HEALTHCARE, INC.  
(Registrant)

Dated: October 30, 2013

/s/ JOSEPH M. MOLINA, M.D.  
Joseph M. Molina, M.D.  
Chairman of the Board,  
Chief Executive Officer and President  
(Principal Executive Officer)

Dated: October 30, 2013

/s/ JOHN C. MOLINA, J.D.  
John C. Molina, J.D.  
Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer  
(Principal Financial Officer)



Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit No.	Title
10.1	Settlement Agreement entered into on October 30, 2013, by and between the Department of Health Care Services and Molina Healthcare of California and Molina Healthcare of California Partner Plan, Inc.
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS	XBRL Taxonomy Instance Document.
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document.
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document.
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.