

TREATY OAK BANCORP INC
Form 10KSB
December 29, 2006

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

WASHINGTON, DC 20549

FORM 10-KSB

**ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006

Commission File Number: 333-112325

Treaty Oak Bancorp, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Texas

(State or other jurisdiction of corporation or
organization)

20-0413144

(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

101 Westlake Drive, Austin, Texas

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

78746

(Zip Code)

(512) 617-3600

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered under Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act: None.

Securities registered under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act: None.

Indicate by check mark whether the issuer (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes x No o

Check if there is no disclosure of delinquent filers in response to Item 405 of Regulation S-B contained in this form, and no disclosure will be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-KSB or any amendment to this Form 10-KSB.

Yes x No o

The issuer's revenues for its most recent fiscal year were approximately \$5,227,000.

Edgar Filing: TREATY OAK BANCORP INC - Form 10KSB

The aggregate market value of the common stock held by non-affiliates, based upon the last reported sales price at which the common stock was sold as of December 1, 2006, was \$17,069,512.

The number of shares outstanding of common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, as of the close of business on December 1, 2006 was 2,730,187.

Transitional Small Business Disclosure Format (Check One): Yes ; No

ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-KSB
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2006

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|------|
| Part I. | |
| Item 1. <u>Description of Business</u> | 1 |
| Item 2. <u>Description of Property</u> | 14 |
| Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 14 |
| Item 4. <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holder</u> | 15 |
| Part II. | |
| Item 5. <u>Market for Common Equity Holders</u> | 15 |
| Item 6. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis</u> | 17 |
| Item 7. <u>Financial Statements</u> | 40 |
| Item 8. <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | 40 |
| Item 8A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 40 |
| Item 8B. <u>Other Information</u> | 40 |
| Part III. | |
| Item 9. <u>Directors, Executive Officers, Promoters and Control Persons; Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act</u> | 41 |
| Item 10. <u>Executive Compensation</u> | 45 |
| Item 11. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners And Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | 48 |
| Item 12. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions</u> | 51 |
| Item 13. <u>Exhibits</u> | 55 |
| Item 14. <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u> | 56 |
| <u>Signatures</u> | |

This Form 10-KSB contains forward-looking statements consisting of estimates with respect to the financial condition, results of operations and other business of Treaty Oak Bancorp, Inc., that are subject to various factors, which could cause actual results to differ materially from those estimates. Factors that could influence the estimates include changes in the national, regional and local market conditions, legislative and regulatory conditions, and an adverse interest rate environment.

Part I

Item 1. Description of Business

Overview

Holding Company

Treaty Oak Bancorp, Inc. (the Company, we, us, or our hereafter) was incorporated under the laws of the State of Texas on November 18, 2003. Our primary business is to own and manage our wholly-owned banking subsidiary, Treaty Oak Bank, which is a Texas state-chartered banking association (the Bank). Subsequent to our fiscal year end, on November 15, 2006, we acquired Treaty Oak Holdings, Inc., one of our original organizing shareholders by merging Treaty Oak Holdings, Inc. with and into the Company. As a result of the merger transaction, we now control all of the property where our offices and the headquarters of the Bank are located.

We chose a holding company structure because we believe this structure provides flexibility in accommodating our business objectives. For example, we may assist the Bank in maintaining its required capital ratios, or increasing its legal lending limits, by borrowing money and contributing the proceeds to the Bank as primary capital. Additionally, under provisions of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, if we elect to be a financial holding company, we may engage in activities that are financial in nature or incidental or complementary to a financial activity, including merchant banking activities, in which the Bank would be prohibited from engaging. Although we do not presently intend to engage in these financial activities, we would be able to do so without notice to or a filing with the Federal Reserve if we believed that there is a need for these services in our market area, that we can be successful in these activities, and that these activities would be profitable.

Bank

The primary focus of our Austin branch is on medium and small commercial businesses (including professionals such as doctors, dentists and lawyers) and real estate lending (including interim construction and commercial real estate loans), while our Texline branch has continued to focus primarily on agricultural lending. As of September 30, 2006, the Bank had total assets of approximately \$91,352,000 and total deposits of approximately \$81,546,000.

When we opened the Austin offices of the Bank, our goal was to return a high level of personal service and personal contact to our banking relationships. Our management has accomplished this by:

- demonstrating that banking can be built on interpersonal relationships and still be profitable, by capitalizing on our relationships in the community to build our loan and deposit base;
- creating a bank driven by client needs and expectations by training our staff and establishing policies and reward systems that are driven by client feedback;
- using technology to enhance the relationship between the Bank and its clients instead of replacing that relationship with technology solutions to banking, as well as maintaining a strong commitment to personal service and a personal banking relationship with our private bankers;
- empowering clients through education by providing both programs and printed information designed to increase our clients awareness both of banking opportunities and of general financial matters; and
- facilitating an open dialogue between clients and our staff by creating both a physical and emotional atmosphere conducive to communication between staff and clients.

We attempt to differentiate ourselves from other banks in our market area by delivering a high degree of personal service combined with a suite of products and capabilities comparable to that offered by much larger banks, all

within a neighborhood community bank. We empower our employees with both the authority and the responsibility to ensure that each client's needs are addressed in a timely and satisfactory manner. We measure our success in the relationships we have developed with our clients by their referrals to us and by their feedback about their experiences with us and with our staff.

On June 28, 2005, the Bank acquired a 50% ownership interest in Treaty Oak Mortgage, LLC (Treaty Oak Mortgage), which offices in the same building as the Company and the Bank. Treaty Oak Mortgage is a mortgage broker focused primarily on a wide range of residential lending products that compliment our own products and provide a greater degree of residential mortgage flexibility. We do not have a controlling financial interest in Treaty Oak Mortgage, and it is, therefore, not included in our consolidated results of operations.

On June 29, 2006, the Bank acquired a 50% ownership interest in Treaty Oak Commercial Group, LLC (TOCG). TOCG is a commercial real estate mortgage brokerage firm providing medium and long term mortgages on commercial real estate. TOCG works with life insurance and pension companies to fund these mortgages. TOCG offices in the same building as the Company and the Bank, and also maintains an office in Houston, Texas. Aside from its initial investment, the Company has no risk associated with operating expenditures or other liabilities of TOCG. The transaction was approved by the Texas Department of Banking on June 19, 2006.

Regulatory Matters

From its initial acquisition of Texline State Bank, the Company's banking operations had been subject to a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with the FDIC. The MOU ensured that bank management appropriately and adequately addressed certain deficiencies identified by the FDIC and the Texas Department of Banking (TDB) during previous regulatory examinations prior to the Company's acquisition of Texline State Bank on March 9, 2004. Following the FDIC examination of the Bank in November, 2004, the FDIC terminated the MOU and proposed a new MOU that was more limited in scope. In February 2005, the Bank agreed to the new MOU, which was executed effective March 3, 2005 by the FDIC. The Bank addressed all of the issues identified in the new MOU by March 31, 2005. At the follow-up review of the Bank, conducted jointly by the FDIC and the TDB, the on-site examiners deemed the Bank's actions to date with regard to the new MOU to be satisfactory. The Bank was later advised to discontinue the submission of quarterly responses to the MOU based upon noted progress in addressing the issues cited.

The TDB and the FDIC conducted a joint safety and soundness examination of the Bank during December, 2005 and reported their findings to the board of directors on January 10, 2006. The final written report was received by management in March, 2006, at which time the Bank was advised of the official termination of the MOU.

Primary Clients and Markets

In the Austin area, the Bank concentrates on small and middle market businesses and individual clients with net worth in excess of \$1,000,000. The Bank's Texline branch in the Texas panhandle continues to concentrate its efforts primarily in agricultural lending. We believe that the diverse nature of customers in the target markets provides a varied client base and allows the Bank to spread lending risk over different industries. We plan to open additional branches through internal growth and capital expansion, but do not compete on a retail basis with the large national banks that have branches located in and around Austin.

Banking Operations

Our core services include traditional banking activities, such as personal and commercial deposit accounts and loans, as well as finance, consulting, and treasury services. Ancillary services include notary services, safe deposit boxes, cashiers' checks and money orders, traveler's checks, wire transfers, and other services.

The Bank is an active business lender, with approximately 32% of its total loans as commercial loans, 52% as residential, commercial and construction real estate loans, and the balance in agricultural and personal lending. The Bank's primary targeted businesses are those requiring aggregate loans in the \$100,000 to \$10,000,000 range. The Bank offers business-oriented banking products and services, including the following:

- commercial loans for businesses to finance internal growth, acquisitions, and working capital needs;
- real estate and construction loans;
- cash management services, including on-line banking; and
- remote deposit opportunities and other business services.

The Bank also offers consumer-oriented banking services, which include consumer loans, checking accounts with debit cards and overdraft protection available, credit card services, traditional savings accounts and certificates of deposit, and 24-hour telephone and Internet banking.

Business Strategies

Our primary objective is to take advantage of expansion opportunities while maintaining efficiency and individualized client service, supplemented with technological advances and strategic branch expansion in key market areas, using the following strategies:

- *Developing Middle Market Commercial and Private Client Banking.*
- *Developing Mutual Referral Relationships with Organizations Offering Complimentary Services.*
- *Increasing Loan Volume and Diversifying Loan Portfolio.*
- *Expanding Operations.*

We continue to develop systems and train personnel to deliver the level of banking experience that is the cornerstone of our business. We market through a series of established relationships, including current clients, investors, friends, and business partners of our advisors and directors. By working with community and business leaders, we are developing a bank that is an integral part of the community. We endeavor to create professional relationships with individuals and businesses that complement our client base.

We are forecasting the opening of new offices as part of our growth; the first new branch in the Marble Falls/Horseshoe Bay area in the Texas Hill Country 45 miles northwest of Austin is expected to open in the spring of 2007, while our Barton Creek branch in West Austin is expected to open in the fall of 2007. Given the number of banks and bank branches in the Austin, Texas market, the success of electronic banking services, courier services and other remote banking alternatives that we provide our clients, and our desire to maintain our levels of service, we carefully review both the location of proposed branches and the timing of their opening when planning for expansion.

Services

Deposit Services

The Bank offers a traditional mix of deposit accounts. Rates and terms are set by the Bank's senior management team with input and guidance from our Asset/Liability Committee (ALCO), and are continually updated based on market conditions. The team strives to create a stable, low-cost base of deposits through personalized offerings and high levels of client service.

The Bank also offers a variety of other types of accounts, including:

- goal-oriented savings accounts;
- accumulation certificates of deposit (CDs);

- standard CDs;
- children's savings accounts;
- medical savings accounts;
- IRA accounts; and
- educational accounts.

In order to maximize our ability to serve our clients in a cost-effective manner, we provide automated and electronic account management tools free of charge to all clients.

Lending Services

Our lending practices are structured to meet the credit needs of medium and small-sized businesses. These loans include lines of credit, term loans, home equity products, construction loans and commercial real estate loans for owner-occupants. Our loan portfolio relies on the commercial and consumer credit experience of the loan committee and primary servicing officers.

The Bank's credit standards include consideration of the following:

- historical and projected financial information;
- strength of management, including the experience and character of the principals;
- acceptable collateral and associated advance rates;
- market conditions; and
- trends in the borrower's industry.

As a state chartered bank, total loans and extensions of credit to a person or entity at one time may not exceed an amount equal to 25% of the lesser of the Bank's capital and certified surplus or the Bank's total equity capital according to Texas law.

The Bank is primarily a secured lender with 90% or more of all loans collateralized. Further, a maximum loan to value ratio of 80% is generally targeted for purchase money loans or loans based upon an acceptable appraisal.

We analyze prospective loans based on industry concentrations in the Bank's loan portfolio to prevent an unacceptable concentration of loans in any particular industry. The Bank strives to diversify its loan portfolio by spreading loans over multiple industries and reviewing concentration of loans to related industries. The Bank focuses on middle market commercial and high net worth individual clients. The Bank endeavors to ensure loans that are appropriately collateralized according to its credit standards. We strive to tailor credit policies and underwriting guidelines to address the unique risks of each industry in the portfolio. We seek a reasonable mix of industries and loan types with up to 40% of the Bank's portfolio over time comprised of commercial loans to businesses, and up to 55% of the portfolio concentrated in real estate loans, including interim construction loans secured by real estate owned by owner/operators.

Interest rates of the Bank's variable rate loans fluctuate with a predetermined indicator, such as the United States prime rate or the London Inter-Bank Offered Rate. Variable rates help to protect the Bank from risks associated with interest rate fluctuations since the rates of interest earned by the Bank automatically reflect those fluctuations. Our expectation is to retain a loan portfolio consisting of at least 90% variable rate loans.

Internet Services

Our service strategy is enhanced through the Internet. We believe the Bank's Internet client demographics parallel those of the general Internet population. Our website, TreatyOakBank.com, provides a complete line of deposit services. Customers are able to view multiple accounts, transfer funds between related accounts, view statements, send and receive messages, pay bills and other traditional online services.

Additionally, we now offer remote deposit services via internet integrated devices that reside at our commercial clients' offices, thereby reducing the need for customers to drive to a branch location for deposit services.

We have contracted with third party vendors to provide both basic computer systems and advanced security measures. All banking transactions are encrypted and routed behind the security system. Clients are able to access the Bank's services through any Internet service provider by means of a secure Web browser.

Mortgage Services

In June 2006, following authorization from the TDB, the Bank acquired a 50% interest in TOCG, a commercial mortgage brokerage sourcing various long-term fixed rate commercial mortgage loans. In June, 2005, the Bank acquired a 50% interest in Treaty Oak Mortgage. Treaty Oak Mortgage is a full service mortgage brokerage offering an array of residential mortgage products. Under the conditions to acquire granted by the TDB, Treaty Oak Mortgage and TOCG are managed on a day-to-day basis by its officers, but all decisions are ultimately under the control of the Bank to ensure that the mortgage operation does not inadvertently engage in a service or activity inconsistent with state banking regulations.

Other Services

We provide other incentives and benefits to our customers, including the following:

- Rebates of ATM fees paid at most ATM machines throughout the United States;
- Correspondent relationships, which allow customers to use the correspondent's locations to make deposits;
- The ability to link multiple accounts of different but related Bank clients for the calculation of overall relationship profitability; and
- Extended banking hours.

Market Analysis

Competition

The banking business in our primary market area of Austin and Central Texas has become increasingly competitive over the past several years, and we expect the level of competition to continue to increase. We compete with some of the largest banking organizations in the state and the country. In addition, we face competition from other financial institutions, such as federally and state-chartered savings and loan institutions, and other lenders engaged in the business of extending credit or taking investment monies, such as consumer finance companies and mutual funds. Our competitors also include well-capitalized local banks, branches of large regional or national banks, and state-regulated entities offering bank-like services and products. In addition, there are also quasi-banking institutions, such as credit unions and brokerage houses that may compete with us. These types of competitors have the ability to make loans, issue credit cards, cash checks, conduct wire transfers, and mimic most other banking functions.

Many of our larger competitors have broader geographic markets and higher lending limits than us and have greater access to capital and other resources. They are also able to provide more services and make greater use of media advertising. Although we will compete directly with these larger institutions from time to time, we will likely compete

more directly with regional and mid-sized banks that have offices in our target markets. These community banks and others in surrounding areas have a direct competitive advantage as borrowers tend to shop the terms of their loans and deposits. In addition, the quasi-banking institutions and non-bank competitors do not fall under the tight regulatory environment applicable to banks. This lack of regulatory oversight gives these competitors advantages over us in providing some services and may enable them to offer more favorable financing alternatives.

Despite the competition in our target markets, we believe that we have certain competitive advantages that distinguish us from our competition. We believe the Bank competes effectively with other financial institutions by emphasizing client service, technology, and responsive decision-making, and by building long-term client relationships based on products and services designed to address clients' specific needs. We offer customers modern banking services without forsaking community values such as prompt, personal service and friendliness. We offer personalized services and attract customers by being responsive and sensitive to their individualized needs. One of our principal competitive advantages is our local decision-making process as opposed to electronic, remote, or out-of-market decisions. We believe our approach to business builds goodwill among our customers, shareholders, and the communities we serve that will result in referrals from shareholders and satisfied customers.

Marketing

The majority of our new business opportunity is derived from referrals from our shareholders, existing customers, officers and directors, and others close to the Bank. In addition to the referral activity, we market in our primary markets through sponsorships and the active involvement and leadership of our employees in community and civic events. By using our limited marketing budget in this manner, we have developed an image as the community bank in our primary markets.

Employees

We have a total of 29 full time employees. Of those, 23 are employed at the Austin headquarters, and six are employed in Texline, Texas.

Supervision and Regulation

The following is a summary description of the relevant laws, rules and regulations governing banks and bank holding companies. The descriptions of, and references to, the statutes and regulations below are brief summaries and do not purport to be complete. The descriptions are qualified in their entirety by reference to the specific statutes and regulations discussed.

Banking is a complex, highly regulated industry. Consequently, our growth and earnings performance and those of the Bank can be affected not only by management decisions and general and local economic conditions, but also by the statutes administered by, and the regulations and policies of, various governmental regulatory authorities. These authorities include, but are not limited to, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, the FDIC, the Texas Department of Banking, the Internal Revenue Service, and state taxing authorities. The effect of these statutes, regulations, and policies and any changes to any of them can be significant and cannot be predicted.

The primary goals of the banking regulatory design are to maintain a safe and sound banking system and to facilitate the conduct of sound monetary policy. In furtherance of these goals, Congress has created several largely autonomous regulatory agencies and enacted numerous laws that govern banks, bank holding companies, and the banking industry. The system of supervision and regulation applicable to us and our banking subsidiary establishes a comprehensive framework for their respective operations and is intended primarily for the protection of the FDIC's deposit insurance funds, the bank's depositors, and the public, rather than the shareholders and creditors. The following is an attempt to summarize some of the relevant laws, rules, and regulations governing banks and bank holding companies, but does not purport to be a complete summary of all applicable laws, rules, and regulations governing banks and bank holding companies. The descriptions are qualified in their entirety by reference to the specific statutes and regulations discussed.

Treaty Oak Bancorp, Inc.

We are a bank holding company registered with, and subject to regulation by, the Federal Reserve under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (the Bank Holding Company Act). The Bank Holding Company Act and other federal laws subject bank holding companies to particular restrictions on the types of activities in which they may engage, and to a range of supervisory requirements and activities, including regulatory enforcement actions for violations of laws and regulations.

In accordance with Federal Reserve policy, we are expected to act as a source of financial strength to the Bank and commit resources to support the Bank. This support may be required under circumstances when we might not be inclined to do so absent this Federal Reserve policy. As discussed below, we could be required to guarantee the capital plan of the Bank if it becomes undercapitalized for purposes of banking regulations.

Certain acquisitions. The Bank Holding Company Act requires every bank holding company to obtain the prior approval of the Federal Reserve Board before (1) acquiring more than 5% of the voting stock of any bank or other bank holding company, (2) acquiring all or substantially all of the assets of any bank or bank holding company, or (3) merging or consolidating with any other bank holding company.

Additionally, the Bank Holding Company Act provides that the Federal Reserve may not approve any of these transactions if it would result in or tend to create a monopoly or substantially lessen competition or otherwise function as a restraint of trade, unless the anti-competitive effects of the proposed transaction are clearly outweighed by the public interest in meeting the convenience and needs of the community to be served. The Federal Reserve is also required to consider the financial and managerial resources and future prospects of the bank holding companies and banks concerned and the convenience and needs of the community to be served. The Federal Reserve's consideration of financial resources generally focuses on capital adequacy, which is discussed below. As a result of the USA PATRIOT Act, which is discussed below, the Federal Reserve is also required to consider the record of a bank holding company and its subsidiary bank(s) in combating money laundering activities in its evaluation of bank holding company merger or acquisition transactions.

Under the Bank Holding Company Act, any bank holding company located in Texas, if adequately capitalized and adequately managed, may purchase a bank located outside of Texas. Conversely, an adequately capitalized and adequately managed bank holding company located outside of Texas may purchase a bank located inside Texas. In each case, however, restrictions currently exist on the acquisition of a bank that has only been in existence for a limited amount of time or will result in specified concentrations of deposits.

Change in Bank control. Subject to various exceptions, the Bank Holding Company Act and the Change in Bank Control Act of 1978, together with related regulations, require Federal Reserve approval prior to any person or company acquiring control of a bank holding company. Control is conclusively presumed to exist if an individual or company acquires 25% or more of any class of voting securities of the bank holding company. With respect to our Company, control is presumed to exist if a person or company acquires 10% or more, but less than 25%, of any class of voting securities.

Permitted activities. Generally, bank holding companies are prohibited under the Bank Holding Company Act from engaging in or acquiring direct or indirect control of more than 5% of the voting shares of any company engaged in any activity other than (1) banking or managing or controlling banks or (2) an activity that the Federal Reserve determines to be so closely related to banking as to be a proper incident to the business of banking.

Activities that the Federal Reserve has found to be so closely related to banking as to be a proper incident to the business of banking include:

- factoring accounts receivable;
- making, acquiring, brokering, or servicing loans and usual related activities;

- leasing personal or real property;
- operating a non-bank depository institution, such as a savings association;
- trust company functions;
- financial and investment advisory activities;
- conducting discount securities brokerage activities;
- underwriting and dealing in government obligations and money market instruments;
- providing specified management consulting and counseling activities;
- performing selected data processing services and support services;
- acting as agent or broker in selling credit life insurance and other types of insurance in connection with credit transactions; and
- performing selected insurance underwriting activities.

Despite prior approval, the Federal Reserve has the authority to require a bank holding company to terminate an activity or terminate control of or liquidate or divest certain subsidiaries or affiliates when the Federal Reserve Board believes the activity or the control of the subsidiary or affiliate constitutes a significant risk to the financial safety, soundness, or stability of any of its banking subsidiaries. A bank holding company that qualifies and elects to become a financial holding company is permitted to engage in additional activities that are financial in nature or incidental or complementary to financial activity. The Bank Holding Company Act expressly lists the following activities as financial in nature:

- lending, exchanging, transferring, investing for others, or safeguarding money or securities;
- insuring, guaranteeing, or indemnifying against loss or harm, or providing and issuing annuities, and acting as principal, agent, or broker for these purposes, in any state;
- providing financial, investment, or advisory services;
- issuing or selling instruments representing interests in pools of assets permissible for a bank to hold directly;
- underwriting, dealing in, or making a market in securities;
- other activities that the Federal Reserve may determine to be so closely related to banking or managing or controlling banks as to be a proper incident to managing or controlling banks;
- foreign activities permitted outside of the United States if the Federal Reserve has determined them to be usual in connection with banking operations abroad;
- merchant banking through securities or insurance affiliates; and
- insurance company portfolio investments.

Edgar Filing: TREATY OAK BANCORP INC - Form 10KSB

To qualify to become a financial holding company, the Bank and any other depository institution subsidiary that we may own at the time must be well capitalized and well managed and must have a Community Reinvestment Act rating of at least satisfactory. Additionally, we must file an election with the Federal Reserve to become a financial

8

holding company and must provide the Federal Reserve with 30 days' written notice prior to engaging in a permitted financial activity. A bank holding company that falls out of compliance with these requirements may be required to cease engaging in some of its activities. The Federal Reserve serves as the primary umbrella regulator of financial holding companies, with supervisory authority over each parent company and limited authority over its subsidiaries. Expanded financial activities of financial holding companies generally will be regulated according to the type of such financial activity: banking activities by banking regulators, securities activities by securities regulators, and insurance activities by insurance regulators.

Sound Banking practice. Bank holding companies are not permitted to engage in unsound banking practices. For example, the Federal Reserve Board's Regulation Y requires a holding company to give the Federal Reserve prior notice of any redemption or repurchase of its own equity securities, if the consideration to be paid, together with the consideration paid for any repurchases in the preceding year, is equal to 10% or more of the company's consolidated net worth. The Federal Reserve may oppose the transaction if it believes that the transaction would constitute an unsafe or unsound practice or would violate any law or regulation. As another example, a holding company could not impair its subsidiary bank's soundness by causing it to make funds available to non-banking subsidiaries or their customers if the Federal Reserve believed it not prudent to do so.

The Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery and Enforcement Act of 1989 expanded the Federal Reserve's authority to prohibit activities of bank holding companies and their non-banking subsidiaries which represent unsafe and unsound banking practices or which constitute violations of laws or regulations. The Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery and Enforcement Act increased the amount of civil money penalties which the Federal Reserve can assess for activities conducted on a knowing and reckless basis, if those activities caused a substantial loss to a depository institution. The penalties can be as high as \$1,000,000 for each day the activity continues. The Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery and Enforcement Act also expanded the scope of individuals and entities against which such penalties may be assessed.

Anti-tying restrictions. Bank holding companies and affiliates are prohibited from tying the provision of services, such as extensions of credit, to other services offered by a holding company or its affiliates.

Dividends. Consistent with its policy that bank holding companies should serve as a source of financial strength for their subsidiary banks, the Federal Reserve has stated that, as a matter of prudent banking, a bank holding company generally should not maintain a rate of distributions to shareholders unless its available net income has been sufficient to fully fund the distributions, and the prospective rate of earnings retention appears consistent with the bank holding company's capital needs, asset quality, and overall financial condition. In addition, we are subject to certain restrictions on the making of distributions as a result of the requirement that the Bank maintain an adequate level of capital as described below. As a Texas corporation, we are restricted under the Texas Business Corporation Act of 1957 from paying dividends under certain conditions. Under Texas law, we cannot pay dividends to shareholders if the dividends exceed our surplus or if after giving effect to the dividends, we would be insolvent.

Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (the SOX Act) represents a comprehensive revision of laws affecting corporate governance, accounting obligations and corporate reporting. Among other requirements, the SOX Act established: (i) new requirements for audit committees of public companies, including independence, expertise, and responsibilities; (ii) additional responsibilities regarding financial statements for the chief executive officers and chief financial officers of reporting companies; (iii) new standards for auditors and regulation of audits; (iv) increased disclosure and reporting obligations for reporting companies regarding various matters relating to corporate governance, and (v) new and increased civil and criminal penalties for violation of the securities laws.

The Bank

The Bank is subject to various requirements and restrictions under the laws of the United States and the State of Texas, and to regulation, supervision, and regular examination by the Texas Department of Banking and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. The Texas Department of Banking and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation have the power to enforce compliance with applicable banking statutes and regulations. These requirements and restrictions include requirements to maintain reserves against deposits, restrictions on the nature and amount of loans that may be

made and the interest that may be charged thereon, and restrictions relating to investments and other activities of the Bank

Regulation of lending activities. Loans made by the Bank are subject to numerous federal and state laws and regulations, including the Truth-In-Lending Act, Federal Consumer Credit Protection Act, the Texas Finance Code, The Texas Consumer Credit Code, the Texas Consumer Protection Code, the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, and adjustable rate mortgage disclosure requirements. Remedies to the borrower or consumer and penalties to the Bank are provided if the Bank fails to comply with these laws and regulations. The scope and requirements of these laws and regulations have expanded significantly in recent years.

Dividends. All dividends paid by the Bank are paid to our Company, as the sole shareholder of the Bank. The general dividend policy of the Bank is to pay dividends at levels consistent with maintaining liquidity and preserving applicable capital ratios and servicing obligations. The dividend policy of the Bank is subject to the discretion of the Board of Directors of the Bank and will depend upon such factors as future earnings, financial conditions, cash needs, capital adequacy, compliance with applicable statutory and regulatory requirements, and general business conditions.

The ability of the Bank, as a Texas banking association, to pay dividends is restricted under applicable law and regulations. The Bank generally may not pay a dividend reducing its capital and surplus without the prior approval of the Texas Banking Commissioner. All dividends must be paid out of net profits then on hand, after deducting expenses, including losses and provisions for loan losses. The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation has the right to prohibit the payment of dividends by the Bank where the payment is deemed to be an unsafe and unsound Banking practice. The Bank is also subject to certain restrictions on the payment of dividends as a result of the requirements that it maintain an adequate level of capital in accordance with guidelines promulgated from time to time by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

The exact amount of future dividends on the stock of the Bank will be a function of the profitability of the Bank in general, applicable tax rates in effect from year to year, and the discretion of the Board of Directors of the Bank. The Bank's ability to pay dividends in the future will directly depend on the Bank's future profitability, which cannot be accurately estimated or assured.

Capital adequacy. In 1990, the federal banking regulators promulgated capital adequacy regulations to which all national and state banks, such as the Bank, are subject. These requirements are similar to the Federal Reserve requirements promulgated with respect to bank holding companies discussed previously. At September 30, 2006, Treaty Oak Bank was well-capitalized and had a total risk-based capital ratio of 12.15%, a Tier I risk-based capital ratio of 11.39%, and a leverage capital ratio of 11.07%.

Prompt Corrective Action. Banks are subject to restrictions on their activities depending on their level of capital. The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation's prompt corrective action regulations divide banks into five different categories, depending on their level of capital. Under these regulations, a bank is deemed to be well capitalized if it has a total risk-based capital ratio of 10% or more, a core capital ratio of 6% or more, and a leverage ratio of 5% or more, and if the bank is not subject to an order or capital directive to meet and maintain a certain capital level. Under these regulations, a bank is deemed to be adequately capitalized if it has a total risk-based capital ratio of 8% or more, a core capital ratio of 4% or more, and a leverage ratio of 4% or more (unless it receives the highest composite rating at its most recent examination and is not experiencing or anticipating significant growth, in which instance it must maintain a leverage ratio of 3% or more). Under these regulations, a bank is deemed to be undercapitalized if it has a total risk-based capital ratio of less than 8%, a core capital ratio of less than 4%, or a leverage ratio of less than 4%. Under these regulations, a bank is deemed to be significantly undercapitalized if it has a risk-based capital ratio of less than 6%, a core capital ratio of less than 3%, and a leverage ratio of less than 3%. Under such regulations, a bank is deemed to be critically undercapitalized if it has a leverage ratio of less than or equal to 2%. In addition, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation has the ability to downgrade a bank's classification (but not to critically undercapitalized) based on other considerations even if the bank meets the capital guidelines. Based on the foregoing classifications, the Bank was well-capitalized at September 30, 2006.

If a state nonmember bank, such as the Bank, is classified as undercapitalized, the Bank is required to submit a capital restoration plan to the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. An undercapitalized bank is prohibited from increasing its assets, engaging in a new line of business, acquiring any interest in any company or insured depository institution, or opening or acquiring a new branch office, except under certain circumstances, including the acceptance by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation of a capital restoration plan for the bank.

If a state nonmember bank is classified as undercapitalized, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation may take certain actions to correct the capital position of the bank. If a bank is classified as significantly undercapitalized, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation would be required to take one or more prompt corrective actions. These actions would include, among other things, requiring sales of new securities to bolster capital, improvements in management, limits on interest rates paid, prohibitions on transactions with affiliates, termination of certain risky activities, and restrictions on compensation paid to executive officers. If a bank is classified as critically undercapitalized, the bank must be placed into conservatorship or receivership within 90 days, unless the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation determines otherwise.

The capital classification of a bank affects the frequency of examinations of the bank and impacts the ability of the bank to engage in certain activities, and affects the deposit insurance premiums paid by the bank. The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation is required to conduct a full-scope, on-site examination of every bank at least once every 12 months.

Banks also may be restricted in their ability to accept brokered deposits, depending on their capital classification. Well capitalized banks are permitted to accept brokered deposits, but all banks that are not well capitalized are not permitted to accept such deposits. The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation may permit, on a case-by-case basis, banks that are adequately capitalized to accept brokered deposits if the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation determines that acceptance of such deposits would not constitute an unsafe or unsound banking practice with respect to the bank.

Community Reinvestment Act. Under the Community Reinvestment Act, the Bank has a continuing and affirmative obligation consistent with safe and sound banking practices to help meet the needs of its entire community, including low- and moderate-income neighborhoods served by the Bank. The Community Reinvestment Act does not establish specific lending requirements or programs for financial institutions nor does it limit the Bank's discretion to develop the types of products and services that it believes are best suited to its particular community. On a periodic basis, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation is charged with preparing a written evaluation of the Bank's record of meeting the credit needs of the entire community and assigning a rating. The bank regulatory agencies will take that record into account in their evaluation of any application made by the Bank or the Company for, among other things, approval of the acquisition or establishment of a branch or other deposit facility, an office relocation, a merger or the acquisition of shares of capital stock of another financial institution. An unsatisfactory Community Reinvestment Act rating may be used as the basis to deny an application. In addition, as discussed above, a bank holding company may not become a financial holding company unless each of its subsidiary banks has a Community Reinvestment Act rating of at least satisfactory. Treaty Oak Bank was last examined for compliance with the Community Reinvestment Act on May 3, 2005, and received a rating of satisfactory.

Deposit Insurance. The Bank's deposits are insured up to \$100,000 per depositor by the Bank Insurance Fund. As insurer, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation imposes deposit premiums and is authorized to conduct examinations of and to require reporting by the Bank. The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation assesses insurance premiums on a bank's deposits at a variable rate depending on the probability that the deposit insurance fund will incur a loss with respect to the bank. The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation determines the deposit insurance assessment rates on the basis of the Bank's capital classification and supervisory evaluations. The Bank's deposits insurance assessments may increase or decrease depending upon the risk assessment classification to which the Bank is assigned by the Federal Deposits Insurance Corporation. Any increase in insurance assessments could have an adverse effect on the Bank's earnings.

USA PATRIOT Act. On October 26, 2001, President Bush signed into law the Uniting and Strengthening America by Providing Appropriate Tools Required to Intercept and Obstruct Terrorism Act of 2001 in response to the

terrorist events of September 11, 2001. Also known as the USA PATRIOT Act, the law enhances the powers of the federal government and law enforcement organizations to combat terrorism, organized crime, and money laundering. The USA PATRIOT Act significantly amends and expands the application of the Bank Secrecy Act, including enhanced measures regarding customer identity, new suspicious activity reporting rules, and enhanced anti-money laundering programs. Under the Act, each financial institution is required to establish and maintain anti-money laundering compliance and due diligence programs, which include, at a minimum, the development of internal policies, procedures, and controls; the designation of a compliance officer; an ongoing employee training program; and an independent audit function to test programs. In addition, the Act requires the bank regulatory agencies to consider the record of a bank or bank holding company in combating money laundering activities in their evaluation of bank and bank holding company merger or acquisition transactions.

On April 24, 2002, the Treasury Department issued regulations under the USA PATRIOT Act. The regulations state that a depository institution will be deemed in compliance with the Act provided it continues to comply with the current Bank Secrecy Act regulations.

The Bank amended its Bank Secrecy Act Policy to include the provisions of the USA PATRIOT Act, including specific steps employed to properly identify individual customers and an enhanced due diligence program to identify suspicious activity of individual customers. Separate files are maintained to segregate identification records from other banking information. In addition, the Bank uses ancillary products to its core processing system that permit the identity of new customers to be immediately verified at account opening, as well as compare the new customer's information against lists of known terrorist or money laundering individuals and organizations.

The policy also includes procedures to be followed should a match occur in comparing customers with lists of known terrorist organizations or individuals. The funding of transactions is prohibited from occurring unless certain procedures for identification have been fulfilled.

The policy also includes provisions for training and periodic audit.

Transactions with affiliates. Transactions between the Bank and any of its affiliates (including Treaty Oak Bancorp and Treaty Oak Holdings) are governed by Sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act. An affiliate of a bank is any company or entity that controls, is controlled by, or is under common control with the bank. A subsidiary of a bank that is not also a depository institution is not treated as an affiliate of a bank for purposes of Sections 23A and 23B unless it engages in activities not permissible for a national bank to engage in directly. Generally, Sections 23A and 23B (1) limit the extent to which a bank or its subsidiaries may engage in covered transactions with any one affiliate to an amount equal to 10% of such institution's capital stock and surplus, and limit such transactions with all affiliates to an amount equal to 20% of such capital stock and surplus, and (2) require that all such transactions be on terms that are consistent with safe and sound banking practices. The term covered transaction includes the making of loans to an affiliate, the purchase of or investment in securities issued by an affiliate, the purchase of assets from an affiliate, the issuance of a guarantee for the benefit of an affiliate, and similar transactions. Most loans by a bank to any of its affiliates must be secured by collateral in amounts ranging from 100 to 130 percent of the loan amount, depending on the nature of the collateral. In addition, any covered transaction by a bank with an affiliate and any sale of assets or provision of services to an affiliate must be on terms that are substantially the same, or at least as favorable, to the bank as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with nonaffiliated companies. The Bank is also restricted in the loans that it may make to its executive officers and directors, the executive officers and directors of the Company, any owner of 10% or more of its stock or the stock of the Company, and certain entities affiliated with any such person.

On October 31, 2002, the Federal Reserve issued a new regulation, Regulation W, effective April 1, 2003, that comprehensively implements sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act, which are intended to protect insured depository institutions from suffering losses arising from transactions with affiliates. The regulation unifies and updates staff interpretations issued over the years, incorporates several new interpretative proposals (such as to clarify when transactions with an unrelated third party will be attributed to an affiliate), and addresses new issues arising as a result of the expanded scope of non-banking activities engaged in by bank and bank holding companies in recent years and authorized for financial holding companies under the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act.

Branch Banking. Pursuant to the Texas Finance Code, all banks located in Texas are authorized to branch statewide. Accordingly, a bank located anywhere in Texas has the ability, subject to regulatory approval, to establish branch facilities near any of our facilities and within our market area. If other banks were to establish branch facilities near our facilities, it is uncertain whether these branch facilities would have a material adverse effect on the business of the Bank.

In 1994 Congress adopted the Riegle-Neal Interstate Banking and Branching Efficiency Act of 1994. That statute provides for nationwide interstate banking and branching, subject to certain aging and deposit concentration limits that may be imposed under applicable state laws. Texas law permits interstate branching in two manners, with certain exceptions. First, a financial institution with its main office outside of Texas may establish a branch in the State of Texas by acquiring a financial institution located in Texas that is at least five years old, so long as the resulting institution and its affiliates would not hold more than 20% of the total deposits in the state after the acquisition. In addition, a financial institution with its main office outside of Texas generally may establish a branch in the State of Texas on a *de novo* basis if the financial institution's main office is located in a state that would permit Texas institutions to establish a branch on a *de novo* basis in that state.

The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation has adopted regulations under the Riegle-Neal Act to prohibit an out-of-state bank from using the interstate branching authority primarily for the purpose of deposit production. These regulations include guidelines to insure that interstate branches operated by an out-of-state bank in a host state are reasonably helping to meet the credit needs of the communities served by the out-of-state bank.

Enforcement authority. The federal banking laws also contain civil and criminal penalties available for use by the appropriate regulatory agency against certain institution-affiliated parties primarily including management, employees, and agents of a financial institution, as well as independent contractors such as attorneys, accountants, and others who participate in the conduct of the financial institution's affairs and who caused or are likely to cause more than minimum financial loss to or a significant adverse affect on the institution, who knowingly or recklessly violate a law or regulation, breach a fiduciary duty, or engage in unsafe or unsound practices. These practices can include the failure of an institution to timely file required reports or the submission of inaccurate reports. These laws authorize the appropriate banking agency to issue cease and desist orders that may, among other things, require affirmative action to correct any harm resulting from a violation or practice, including restitution, reimbursement, indemnification, or guarantees against loss. A financial institution may also be ordered to restrict its growth, dispose of certain assets, or take other action as determined by the ordering agency to be appropriate.

Governmental monetary policies. The commercial banking business is affected not only by general economic conditions but also by the monetary policies of the Federal Reserve. Changes in the discount rate on member bank borrowings, control of borrowings, open market operations, the imposition of and changes in reserve requirements against member banks, deposits and assets of foreign branches, the imposition of and changes in reserve requirements against certain borrowings by banks and their affiliates, and the placing of limits on interest rates which member Banks may pay on time and savings deposits are some of the instruments of monetary policy available to the Federal Reserve. Those monetary policies influence to a significant extent the overall growth of all bank loans, investments and deposits and the interest rates charged on loans or paid on time and savings deposits. The nature of future monetary policies and the effect of such policies on the future business and earnings of the Company, therefore, cannot be predicted accurately.

All of the above laws and regulations add to the cost of our operations and thus have a negative impact on profitability. You should note that there has been a tremendous expansion experienced in recent years by financial service providers that are not subject to the same rules and regulations as are applicable to the Company and the Bank. These institutions, because they are not so highly regulated, have a competitive advantage over us and may continue to draw large amounts of funds away from traditional banking institutions, with a continuing adverse effect on the banking industry in general.

Forward Looking Statements

This report contains various Forward-Looking Statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These forward-looking statements

about us and our subsidiaries are subject to risks and uncertainties. These statements include discussions of our business strategy, future financial performance, projected plans, and objectives. Information about markets for our products and services and trends in sales, as well as statements preceded by, followed by, or that otherwise include the words anticipates, believes, estimates, expects, intends, plans, may increase, fluctuate, and similar expressions of future or conditional verbs such as will, should, would, and could are generally forward-looking in nature and not historical facts. You are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this report. You should understand that the following important factors, in addition to those discussed elsewhere in this report and our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, could affect our future results and could cause results to differ materially from those expected in the forward-looking statements:

- effects of economic conditions and interest rates on a local, state, or national basis;
- performance of the Bank;
- competitive pressures in the financial services industry;
- financial resources of, and products available to, competitors;
- changes in the interest rate environment;
- changes in laws and regulations to which Treaty Oak Bancorp, the Bank, our customers, competitors, and potential competitors are subject, including those related to banking, tax, securities, insurance, and labor; and
- the loss of senior management or operating personnel and the potential inability to hire qualified personnel at reasonable compensation levels.

The forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties in addition to the risk factors described above. We cannot foresee or identify all of these factors. Except for any ongoing obligations to disclose material information under federal or state securities laws, we do not undertake any obligations to update any forward-looking statement, or to disclose any facts, events, or circumstances after the date of this report that may affect the accuracy of any forward-looking statement.

Item 2. Description of Property

Our principal offices and the main location of the Bank are located at 101 Westlake Drive, Austin, Texas 78746 in a building of 15,851 square feet. Approximately 4,000 square feet of the building is leased to an unrelated association. The property is subject to a mortgage secured under a deed of trust with Prudential Mortgage Capital Company, LLC in the principal amount of \$2.7 million. As a result of our acquisition of Treaty Oak Holdings, Inc. on November 15, 2006, we now own all of the real estate, either directly or indirectly, through our wholly-owned subsidiary PGI Capital, Inc. (the general partner of the limited partnership that owns the property).

The Bank's Texline branch, Texline State Bank, is located at 111 N. 2nd Street, Texline, Texas 79087. The Bank owns the 2,817 square foot building in which our Texline branch is located.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

NONE.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

There were no matters submitted to a vote of security holders during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006; however, a Special Meeting of Shareholders was held on October 24, 2006. Of the 2,636,926 shares of common stock outstanding and entitled to vote at the meeting, 1,354,943 shares were present, either in person or by proxy. The following proposals were submitted to a vote of the shareholders at the meeting:

1. To approve and adopt an Agreement and Plan of Merger between the Company and Treaty Oak Holdings, Inc.
2. To elect Bill F. Schneider to serve on the Company's Board of Directors as a Class II director until his successor is duly elected and qualified.

The above proposals were approved and the results of the voting are summarized in the following table:

| Proposal | For | Against | Withheld | Abstain |
|---|-----------|---------|----------|---------|
| Approve the merger | 1,339,637 | 12,005 | - | 3,301 |
| Elect Bill F. Schneider to the Board of Directors | 1,351,642 | - | 3,301 | - |

Part II**Item 5. Market for Common Equity and Related Shareholder Matters****General**

Our common stock is traded on the OTC Bulletin Board, under the symbol TOAK. The shares have been traded since October 1, 2004, though to date trading activity has been limited with the most recent transaction selling at \$8.00 per share on November 17, 2006. The initial public offering of our stock that closed September 30, 2004, priced our common stock at that time at \$8.33 per share. The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low sales prices for the common stock since October 1, 2004:

| | High | Low |
|---|---------|---------|
| 2005 | | |
| First Quarter ended December 31, 2004 | \$ 8.33 | \$ 8.33 |
| Second Quarter ended March 31, 2005 | \$ 8.85 | \$ 8.33 |
| Third Quarter ended June 30, 2005 | \$ 8.85 | \$ 8.85 |
| Fourth Quarter ended September 30, 2005 | \$ 8.65 | \$ 8.33 |
| 2006 | | |
| First Quarter ended December 31, 2005 | \$ 8.50 | \$ 8.50 |
| Second Quarter ended March 31, 2006 | \$ 8.50 | \$ 8.35 |
| Third Quarter ended June 30, 2006 | \$ 8.70 | \$ 8.35 |
| Fourth Quarter ended September 30, 2006 | \$ 9.00 | \$ 8.50 |
| 2007 | | |
| First Quarter (1) | \$ 9.00 | \$ 8.00 |

(1) As of December 1, 2006

Edgar Filing: TREATY OAK BANCORP INC - Form 10KSB

The quotations reflect inter-dealer prices without retail markups, markdowns or commissions and do not necessarily represent actual transactions. The quotations were derived from the Electronic Quotation and Trading System for OTC Securities; the OTC Bulletin Board.

On December 1, 2006, the last reported sales price for the common stock on the OTC Bulletin Board was \$8.00 per share. As of December 1, 2006, we had 425 holders of record of our common stock.

The Company's registrar and transfer agent is Continental Stock Transfer & Trust Company, 17 Battery Place, New York, New York 10004.

Dividends

We have never declared or paid any dividends on our capital stock. We expect to retain future earnings, if any, for use in the operation and expansion of our business and do not anticipate paying any cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Any determination to pay dividends in the future will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend upon regulatory requirements, and our results of operations, financial condition, and capital requirements, among other factors. We expect initially to have no material source of income other than dividends that we receive from the Bank. Therefore, our ability to pay dividends to our shareholders will depend on the Bank's ability to pay dividends to us. The Board of Directors of the Bank intends to retain earnings to promote growth and build capital and recover any losses incurred in prior periods. Accordingly, we do not expect to receive dividends from the Bank in the foreseeable future. In addition, banks and bank holding companies are both subject to certain regulatory restrictions on the payment of cash dividends, and the Bank is currently restricted from paying dividends for at least three years from the date of acquisition of Texline State Bank as a condition of our regulatory approval.

Use of Proceeds from Sales of Registered Securities

On May 7, 2004, the Securities and Exchange Commission declared our Registration Statement on Form SB-2 (File No. 333-112325) related to our initial public offering effective. In addition, on October 22, 2004, we filed a Form SB-2MEF under Rule 462 registering additional shares of our common stock, of which 324,000 shares are issuable under registered common stock warrants. We accepted subscriptions for 1,582,987 shares of our common stock under the offering and have received net offering proceeds (after deducting offering expenses) of approximately \$11.9 million.

From the offering proceeds, we paid our organizing shareholder and affiliate, Treaty Oak Holdings for reimbursement of expenses and advances on our behalf in connection with our offering and repayment of the amount due under a convertible promissory note. Treaty Oak Holdings owned approximately 39% of our common stock, but was acquired by us in a transaction that closed on November 15, 2006. We contributed to the capital of the Bank and paid operating expenses including repayment of certain costs previously paid by Treaty Oak Holdings. We also repaid principal and interest under unsecured promissory notes issued in connection with the purchase price of the Bank, and have paid ongoing operating expenses. These payments are summarized in the table below:

| | As of September 30, 2006 |
|--|-----------------------------|
| Gross proceeds from sale of shares | \$ 13,276,271 |
| Amounts receivable as of December 31, 2004 | |
| Repayment of offering and start-up costs under Expense Reimbursement Agreement to Treaty Oak Holdings, Inc., including offering costs of \$500,000 and pre-opening expenses of \$275,000 | (775,000) |
| Repayment of note payable to Treaty Oak Holdings, Inc., including accrued interest of approximately \$7,000 | (507,302) |
| Repayment of notes payable for the acquisition of Texline State Bank including accrued interest of approximately \$29,000 | (1,015,332) |
| Contribution to the capital of the Bank | (7,500,000) |
| Payments to directors and officers (bonuses and directors fees) | (192,637) |
| Payments to officers (salary and benefits) | (322,108) |
| Other payments to affiliates for services | (149,057) |
| Limited partnership investment in affiliated limited partnership | (1,030,000) |
| Loan to affiliated company | (446,097) |
| Repurchase of shares issued | (50,005) |
| Payment of additional operating expenses (estimated) | (916,143) |
| Remaining net proceeds | \$ 372,590 |

Equity Compensation Plan

On January 19, 2004, the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan (the "Plan") was adopted by our Board of Directors for the purpose of providing eligible persons in the Corporation's service with the opportunity to acquire or increase their proprietary interest in the Corporation as an incentive for them to remain in such service. The Plan initially set aside 500,000 shares of our common stock. The number of shares of common stock available for issuance under the Plan automatically increases on the first business day of January each calendar year during the term of the Plan by an amount equal to two percent (2%) of the total number of shares of Common Stock outstanding on the last business day in December of the immediately preceding calendar year, but in no event shall any such annual increase exceed 100,000 shares. At September 30, 2006 there were a total of 301,350 options outstanding, 604,772 total shares allocated to the Plan and 303,422 shares available for issuance.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

Pursuant to the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of October 3, 2006, between the Company and Treaty Oak Holdings, Inc., the Company issued 1,094,163 shares of common stock in exchange for 1,110,082 shares of Treaty Oak Holdings, Inc. common stock, which represented all of the issued and outstanding shares of Treaty Oak Holdings, Inc. The Company also issued warrants to acquire a total of 450,000 shares of the Company's common stock to existing warrant holders of Treaty Oak Holdings, Inc. The Company issued the shares and warrants in a private transaction without registration in reliance upon an exemption from registration provided by Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. No broker was involved and no commissions were paid on the transaction.

Item 6. *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations*

Introduction

Treaty Oak Bancorp, Inc., a Texas corporation, is a bank holding company headquartered in Austin, Texas. We own all of the outstanding shares of Treaty Oak Bank (the "Bank"), which was acquired on March 9, 2004. Treaty Oak Bancorp, Inc. acquired a Class D limited partnership interest in PGI Equity Partners, LP on December 30, 2004. For comparative purposes, for the year ended September 30, 2005, results of operations include activity for PGI Equity Partners, LP for the period beginning December 31, 2004 and ending September 30, 2005. The Bank acquired a 50% membership interest in Treaty Oak Mortgage, LLC on June 27, 2005, which is accounted for on the equity method of

accounting. The Bank acquired a 50% interest in Treaty Oak Commercial Group, LLC (TOCG) on June 29, 2006 for a purchase price of \$100,000, which is accounted for on the equity method of accounting. Aside from its initial investment, the Company has no risk associated with operating expenditures or other liabilities of TOCG.

Recent Developments

On February 28, 2006, we announced that Charles T. Meeks was elected as the Chairman of our Board of Directors and that Jeffrey L. Nash was elected to the offices of Chief Executive Officer and President. Mr. Nash had served as Chief Financial Officer and as a director of the Company, as well as the President and Chief Executive Officer of the Bank. Mr. Nash replaced Terry W. Hamann as our Chief Executive Officer and President who had served in such positions since our incorporation on November 18, 2003.

Plan of Operation

General

In Austin, given its greater growth potential, we are focusing the majority of our efforts on growing a community-oriented bank out of our headquarters in the 15,851 square foot facility that we occupy at 101 Westlake Drive (at Bee Cave Road), Austin, Texas 78746. Our locations serve two disparate markets. In Texline, Texas the majority of business is agricultural and agriculturally related. We continue to service that community and anticipate that activity in Texline will remain constant. We have a total of 29 full-time employees. Of those, 23 are employed at the Austin headquarters and six are employed in Texline, Texas.

Where required in the preparation of our financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, estimates are made by management to present fairly our financial condition. The notes to our Consolidated Financial Statements, included herein, describe our significant accounting policies including the use of estimates by noting that the preparation of our financial statements, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses for the period. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates.

Estimates made in preparation of the financial statements included herein are the estimates of management including management of the Bank. Although we believe that the estimates used lead to the fair presentation, in all material respects, of the financial statements for the Company, accounting estimates could have a material effect on our financial results. While we use estimates to record items such as prepaid expenses, accrued expenses, accrued taxes and similar items, we do not believe that any of these estimates are material, or that they could lead to a material misstatement in the financial statements.

Our critical estimates are centered in three areas: the treatment of certain loans, the allowance for loan losses, and goodwill and other intangibles. Each of these estimates generally relates to the operations of the Bank.

Estimates as they relate to loans

When a loan is considered to be impaired, management has concluded that based upon current information and events, we will be unable to collect all contractual principal and interest payments due in accordance with the terms of the loan agreement. Management's conclusions regarding the status of a loan are estimates. Management then chooses the method by which this loan will be accounted for from one of three methods: (1) The loan is accounted for at the net present value of expected future cash flows, discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, (2) the observable market price of the loan or (3) at the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. The impact of such method and the underlying estimate of classification varies, dependent upon which of these approaches is deemed to be appropriate for the loan. Each of these methods essentially revalues the underlying loan and the difference between the carrying value and the calculated loan value is included in the Allowance for Loan Losses. Depending upon the number of loans subject to revaluing and the amount charged-off, this could create a material additional charge to the Provision for Loan Loss expense. In each case, management uses its best efforts to evaluate problem loans and provide adequately for their collectibility based on all the facts available. However, the under or over valuing of loans could materially

affect our performance and financial condition. The actual results of collection efforts, regardless of the method selected, could vary significantly, thereby impacting reported earnings, reserves and asset values.

Estimates as they relate to the allowance for loan losses

The allowance for loan losses is established through a provision for loan losses charged to expense. Loans are charged against the allowance for loan losses when management believes that collectibility of the principal is unlikely. The allowance is an amount that management, in its best estimate, believes will be adequate to absorb estimated losses inherent in the loan portfolio. There are generally two components utilized to determine the allowance for loan losses. The first is a specific allocation determined in the evaluation of criticized loans identified by management and deemed to be impaired or troubled. The second component is a general allocation based upon the perceived risk associated with the individual loan. Changes in economic conditions, collateral valuations, or other factors that could impact a borrower's ability to repay, or the underlying value of collateral securing loans, might result in actual losses that could be greater or less than the allowance for losses established by management, in turn resulting in additional charges against earnings or a recovery that would positively impact earnings.

Failure of management to adequately reserve against future losses could materially affect the Bank's financial condition and performance and, therefore, our financial condition and performance. Likewise, an excessive reserve could also materially affect our performance and condition. Management does not believe that they have selected any methods that would result in a material difference in the presentation of the financial statements over the methods employed in the current periods. Further, management does not believe that the use of any alternative estimates in the financial statements would have differed materially from financial statements as presented.

Estimates as they relate to goodwill

In addition to the two critical estimates discussed above, we also used estimates in evaluating certain intangible assets including the goodwill recorded as part of the acquisition transaction. Management periodically reviews goodwill (and other similar intangibles) to determine whether a decline in value exists which requires a charge to earnings and a reduction to the net goodwill reflected on the statement of financial condition. Under SFAS 142, an intangible asset with an indefinite useful life is tested for impairment at least annually and more frequently if circumstances indicate that the asset could be impaired. To test for impairment, the fair value of the asset is compared to its carrying amount and if the fair value is less than its carrying value, we will recognize an impairment loss. If the fair value exceeds the carrying amount, no increase in the carrying amount is recorded. In addition, if following the recognition of impairment loss, the fair value increases back to or in excess of the carrying amount prior to the recognition of the loss, no reversal of the impairment is recorded.

A two-step impairment test is normally used to identify potential goodwill impairment. The first step compares the fair value of the acquired net assets with its carrying amount, including goodwill. If the fair value of the acquired net assets exceeds its carrying amount, goodwill is considered not impaired, thus the second step of the impairment test is unnecessary. The fair value of the acquired net assets refers to the amount at which the net assets as a whole could be bought or sold in a current transaction between willing parties.

The Company completed its annual impairment test in September 2006 and management has determined that no impairment to goodwill has occurred as of September 30, 2006.

Other Estimates

In addition to the three critical estimates discussed above, the Company also uses estimates in the valuation of Other Real Estate Owned (OREO) and other repossessed assets. While in larger banks this estimate could be significant, the Bank does not generally have material amounts of these assets. When the Bank forecloses on real estate, it seeks a third party assessment of the asset value, and if available, an appraisal, generally from a real estate broker familiar with the area. In addition, the Bank uses its best efforts to value other foreclosed assets. While the Bank has experienced write-downs of these values in the past, these adjustments have not been material and do not materially impact the Bank's financial performance. As of September 30, 2006, and September 30, 2005, the Bank held \$41,000 and zero assets in OREO, respectively.

Results of Operations

General

The following discussion analyzes the results of our operations for the years ended September 30, 2005, and September 30, 2006. For the fiscal year ending September 30, 2005, results of operations includes the accounts of the Company, the Bank and the results of operations of PGI Equity Partners, LP, since its acquisition on December 31, 2004. The results of operations for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2006 also include the result of operations related to the additional 3.75% of limited partnership interests of PGI Equity Partners, LP acquired by the Company in February 2006.

Net Income General

Our fiscal year ends on September 30. Net income for the year ended September 30, 2006, was \$195,000, compared to a net loss of \$1,737,000 for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2005. This improvement is due to earnings generated at the Bank as a result of growth experienced at the Austin branch and the elimination of organizing and start-up expenses incurred during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2005.

Our profitability depends primarily on net interest income, which is defined as the difference between total interest earned on interest earning assets (investments and loans) and total interest paid on interest bearing liabilities (deposits, borrowed funds). Our net income is affected by its provision for loan losses, as well as other income and other expenses. The provision for loan losses reflects the amount considered to be adequate to cover probable credit losses in the loan portfolio. Non-interest income or other income consists of service charges on deposits, gain on sale of loans, and other operating income. Other expenses include salaries and employee benefits, occupancy expenses, data processing expenses, marketing, supplies, and other operating expenses.

Net interest income is affected by changes in the volume and mix of interest earning assets, the level of interest rates earned on those assets, the volume and mix of interest bearing liabilities, and the level of interest rates paid on those interest bearing liabilities. The provision for loan losses is dependent upon changes in the loan portfolio and management's assessment of the collectibility of the loan portfolio, as well as economic and market conditions. Other income and other expenses are impacted by growth of operations and growth in the number of accounts through both acquisitions and core banking business growth. Growth in operations affects other expenses as a result of additional employees, branch facilities, and promotional marketing expense. Growth in the number of accounts affects other income including service fees, as well as other expenses such as computer services, supplies, postage, telecommunications, and other miscellaneous expenses.

Net Interest Income

For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006, our net interest income was \$3,508,000 compared to \$1,433,000 for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2005. Net interest income for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 was impacted by the amortization of direct loan origination costs of approximately \$55,000 and \$35,000, respectively.

For the comparative years ended September 30, 2006, and September 30, 2005, the average rate on interest-bearing liabilities increased 87 basis points, from 2.55% to 3.42%, while the average rate on interest bearing assets increased 144 basis points from 6.25% to 7.69% during the same period. The improvement in the net interest spread of 57 basis points, from 3.70% for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2005 to 4.27% for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006 is a result of our ability to continue to attract lower interest-bearing deposits with which to fund growing loan demand. The average loan portfolio increased from \$18,281,000 for the fiscal year ended September 20, 2005 to \$48,804,000 for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006, or an increase of 167%. For the same period, our average non-interest bearing demand deposits increased from \$6,320,000 to \$23,135,000, or an increase of 266%.

The following table presents, for the periods indicated, the total dollar amount of interest income from average interest earning assets, and the resultant yields, as well as the interest expense on average interest bearing liabilities, and

Edgar Filing: TREATY OAK BANCORP INC - Form 10KSB

the resultant costs, expressed both in dollars and rates. The averages are computed using the daily averages for each item noted. Yields are reflected on an annualized basis.

| | Fiscal Year September 30, 2006 | | | Fiscal Year September 30, 2005 | | | Fiscal Year September 30, 2004 | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--------------|------------|--------------------------------|--------------|------------|--------------------------------|--------------|------------|
| | Average Balance | Interest (2) | Yield/Rate | Average Balance | Interest (2) | Yield/Rate | Average Balance | Interest (2) | Yield/Rate |
| Interest Earning Assets: | | | | | | | | | |
| Loans (1) | \$ 48,804,000 | 4,184,000 | 8.57 % | 18,281,000 | 1,700,000 | 9.30 % | 8,667,000 | 447,000 | 9.58 % |
| Taxable investment securities | 3,045,000 | 93,000 | 3.05 % | 3,797,000 | 131,000 | 3.45 % | 479,000 | 7,000 | 2.92 % |
| Federal funds sold | 7,186,000 | 340,000 | 4.73 % | 7,997,000 | 160,000 | 2.00 % | 1,764,000 | 13,000 | 1.47 % |
| Interest bearing deposits with other Banks | 1,898,000 | 86,000 | 4.53 % | 2,659,000 | 63,000 | 2.37 % | - | 1,000 | - |
| Federal Home Loan Bank Stock | 323,000 | 7,000 | 2.17 % | 212,000 | 7,000 | 3.30 % | 209,000 | 3,000 | 2.87 % |
| Total interest earning assets | 61,256,000 | 4,710,000 | 7.69 % | 32,946,000 | 2,061,000 | 6.25 % | 11,119,000 | 471,000 | 7.88 % |
| Non-interest earning assets | 9,262,000 | | | 9,571,000 | | | 3,534,000 | | |
| Total assets | \$ 70,518,000 | | | 42,517,000 | | | 14,653,000 | | |
| Interest Bearing Liabilities: | | | | | | | | | |
| Deposits: | | | | | | | | | |
| NOW and money market deposits | \$ 17,245,000 | 438,000 | 2.54 % | 11,928,000 | 184,000 | 1.54 % | 1,156,000 | 4,000 | .69 % |
| Savings deposits | 451,000 | 4,000 | 0.89 % | 461,000 | 3,000 | 0.65 % | 417,000 | 1,000 | .48 % |
| Time deposits | 14,395,000 | 577,000 | 4.01 % | 9,437,000 | 295,000 | 3.12 % | 6,111,000 | 77,000 | 2.52 % |
| Short-term borrowings | 474,000 | 22,000 | 4.64 % | 44,000 | 2,000 | 4.54 % | 1,916,000 | 41,000 | 2.87 % |
| Property mortgage and long term Borrowings | 2,695,000 | 161,000 | 5.97 % | 2,776,000 | 144,000 | 5.19 % | - | - | - |
| Total interest bearing liabilities | 35,260,000 | 1,202,000 | 3.42 % | 24,646,000 | 628,000 | 2.55 % | 9,600,000 | 123,000 | 2.56 % |
| Demand deposits non-interest bearing | 23,135,000 | | | 6,320,000 | | | 2,183,000 | | |
| Other non-interest bearing liabilities | 1,064,000 | | | | | | 584,000 | | |
| Shareholders equity | 11,059,000 | | | 11,551,000 | | | 2,286,000 | | |
| Total liabilities and shareholders equity | \$ 70,518,000 | | | 42,517,000 | | | 14,653,000 | | |
| Net interest income | | 3,508,000 | | | 1,433,000 | | | 348,000 | |
| Interest rate spread (3) | | | 4.27 % | | | 3.70 % | | | 5.32 % |
| Net interest margin (4) | | | 5.73 % | | | 4.35 % | | | 3.12 % |

(1) Non-accrual loans are included in average loans.

(2) Interest income includes loan fees of \$128,000 for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006, \$93,000 for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2005 and \$31,000 for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2004.

(3) Interest rate spread represents the difference between the average yield on interest earning assets and the average cost of interest bearing liabilities.

(4) Net interest margin represents net interest income as a percentage of average interest earning assets.

Volume, Mix and Rate Analysis of Net Interest Income

The following table presents the extent to which changes in volume, changes in interest rates, and changes in the interest rates times the changes in volume of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities affected the Bank's interest income and interest expense during the periods indicated. Information is provided on changes in each category due to (1) changes attributable to changes in volume (change in volume times the prior period interest rate), (2) changes attributable to changes in interest rate (changes in rate times the prior period volume), and (3) changes attributable to

Edgar Filing: TREATY OAK BANCORP INC - Form 10KSB

changes in rate/volume (changes in interest rate times changes in volume). Changes attributable to the combined impact of volume and rate have been allocated proportionally to the changes due to volume and the changes due to rate:

| | Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2006 Compared to Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2005 | | |
|--|---|-----------------------|--------------|
| | Change Due to Volume | Change Due to Rate | Total Change |
| Interest Earning Assets: | | | |
| Loans | \$ 2,616,000 | \$ (132,000) | \$ 2,484,000 |
| Taxable investment securities | (23,000) | (15,000) | (38,000) |
| Federal funds sold | (38,000) | 218,000 | 180,000 |
| Interest bearing deposits in banks | (34,000) | 57,000 | 23,000 |
| Increase in interest income | 2,521,000 | 128,000 | 2,649,000 |
| Interest Bearing Liabilities: | | | |
| NOW and money market deposit accounts | \$ 135,000 | \$ 119,000 | \$ 254,000 |
| Savings deposits | - | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| Time deposits | 199,000 | 83,000 | 282,000 |
| Short-term borrowings | 16,000 | - | 16,000 |
| Long term borrowings | (5,000) | 26,000 | 21,000 |
| Increase in interest expense | 345,000 | 229,000 | 574,000 |
| Increase (decrease) in net interest income | \$ 2,176,000 | \$ (101,000) | \$ 2,075,000 |

| | Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2005 Compared to Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2004 | | |
|---|---|-----------------------|--------------|
| | Change Due to Volume | Change Due to Rate | Total Change |
| Interest Earning Assets: | | | |
| Loans | \$ 895,000 | \$ 358,000 | \$ 1,253,000 |
| Taxable investment securities | 115,000 | 9,000 | 124,000 |
| Federal funds sold | 128,000 | 19,000 | 147,000 |
| Interest bearing deposits in banks | 62,000 | - | 62,000 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank Stock and other assets | - | 4,000 | 4,000 |
| Increase in interest income | 1,200,000 | 390,000 | 1,590,000 |
| Interest Bearing Liabilities: | | | |
| NOW and money market deposit accounts | \$ 180,000 | \$ - | \$ 180,000 |
| Savings deposits | - | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| Time deposits | 119,000 | 99,000 | 218,000 |
| Short-term borrowings | (73,000) | 34,000 | (39,000) |
| Long term borrowings | 144,000 | - | 144,000 |
| Increase in interest expense | 370,000 | 135,000 | 505,000 |
| Increase in net interest income | \$ 830,000 | \$ 255,000 | \$ 1,085,000 |

Other Income

Edgar Filing: TREATY OAK BANCORP INC - Form 10KSB

For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006, other income increased \$190,000 from \$327,000 recorded for fiscal year ended September 30, 2005, to \$517,000. The majority of the increase for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006 related to the Company's ownership interest in PGI Equity Partners, LP, which is the real estate investment

22

company that owns and manages the property where the Company's offices are located, and subsequent recognition of rental income.

Provision for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses is an expense determined by management as the amount necessary to increase the allowance for loan losses after net charge-offs have been deducted to a level that, in management's best estimate, is necessary to absorb probable losses in the loan portfolio. The amount of the provision is based on management's review of the loan portfolio and consideration of such factors as historical loss experience, general prevailing economic conditions, changes in the size and composition of the loan portfolio and specific borrower considerations, including the ability of the borrower to repay the loan and the estimated value of the underlying collateral. The provision is calculated monthly and a charge to expense is made, as required, to increase the allowance to the calculated level. For any particular month, in the event the required allowance is less than or equal to the current allowance, no expense is charged or reversed.

For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006, the provision for loan losses was \$295,000, compared to \$130,000 for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2005. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006, we charged off \$29,000 in commercial and consumer loans and had recoveries of loans previously charged off of \$7,000 for net charge-offs of \$22,000. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2005, we charged off \$85,000 in commercial and consumer loans and had recoveries of loans previously charged-off of \$15,000 for net charge-offs of \$70,000. These factors combined increased the Allowance for Loan Losses to \$550,000, or 0.91% of the portfolio at September 30, 2006 from \$277,000, or 0.77% of the portfolio at September 30, 2005. Given the short history and limited losses in our loan portfolio since the acquisition of Texline State Bank, we have little historical charge-off experience on which to base our Allowance for Loan Losses. Nevertheless, the net increase in the Allowance for Loan Losses for the 2006 fiscal year is a result of management's recognition of the fact that (1) the average loan balance in our portfolio has grown significantly with the growth in the Austin branch; (2) this allowance is comparable with other public reporting banks in the Texas market; and (3) an acknowledgment that economic conditions in our primary market are likely to slow in coming months.

The increase in the Allowance for Loan Losses during the year ended September 30, 2005, resulted from a variety of factors. The Bank was not open for operations in Austin until September 10, 2004, although it began processing loans in Austin in June 2004. We, therefore, have a limited operating history in Austin upon which to base an experience rate for the Austin loan portfolio. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2005, we did not experience any loan loss from our Austin loan portfolio. As a result, and based upon improvement in the quality of the loan portfolio in Texline, we assess the adequacy of its Allowance for Loan Losses and its Provision for Loan Losses on a quarterly basis. In July 2005, a revised methodology was adopted by which it assesses these measures of credit quality that further stratifies its loan portfolio and assigns a percentage estimate of probable loan losses by grade classification for extensions of credit where a specific reserve is not calculated. We continue to assign a specific estimate of loan loss exposure for credits specifically identified as having loss potential.

Non-Interest Expenses

For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006, salaries and employee benefits were \$1,728,000 compared to \$1,793,000 at September 30, 2005. Bank salaries and benefits for fiscal 2006 and 2005 include credit adjustments for compensation relating to the costs of loan origination of approximately \$69,000 and \$67,000, respectively. In addition, the Company awarded bonuses to employees and directors for fiscal 2006 and 2005 in the amounts of \$140,000 and \$189,000, respectively. Stock based compensation recognized in fiscal year 2006 and 2005 was approximately \$28,000 and \$4,000, respectively, related to the recognition of expense from options issued to non-employees and stock grants to employees and \$0 and \$98,000 related to services provided to us by non-employees. Occupancy and equipment expenses for the 2006 fiscal year were \$398,000 as compared to \$296,000 for the 2006 fiscal year. Professional fees paid for accounting and legal services, including regulatory filings and audit services, totaled \$294,000 and \$291,000, respectively, for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2006 and 2005.

Other expenses for fiscal year ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, were \$1,089,000 and \$1,015,000 respectively, and included data processing expenses, banking assessments, and the other costs associated with

Edgar Filing: TREATY OAK BANCORP INC - Form 10KSB

operations. Other expenses included, marketing and promotional costs for fiscal year ended September 30, 2006, of approximately \$118,000, director and committee fees of \$49,000, \$160,000 of data processing expense and IT security and software maintenance of \$73,000. Depreciation and amortization expense of \$362,000 combined with other operating expenses of \$327,000 accounted for the other operating expenses for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006. Other expenses for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2005, included marketing and promotional expenses of \$84,000, director and committee fees of \$50,000, depreciation and amortization of \$361,000, and IT security and software maintenance of \$148,000. The \$100,000 write-off of loan acquisition fees related to the original mortgage on the building, which was expensed in conjunction with the refinance, combined with other operating expenses of \$343,000 accounted for other operating expenses for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2005.

Income Taxes

No federal tax expense has been recorded for fiscal years ended September 30, 2006, and September 30, 2005, based upon net operating losses incurred and carried forward from prior years. Although the Company became profitable during fiscal 2006, the Company's operating history is limited and, therefore, the federal tax benefit of the cumulative losses has been fully reserved against. Cumulative net operating losses available to carry forward for tax purposes amounted to approximately \$3,200,000 as of September 30, 2006. For the years ended September 30, 2006, and September 30, 2005, the Company has recorded \$29,000 and \$26,000 of Texas franchise tax expense, respectively, based upon the tax on capital at a rate of 0.25%. Net income for the period ended September 30, 2006 is fully offset for Texas franchise tax purposes by net operating losses carried forward to the current year. Texas franchise taxes based on taxable capital are included in other operating expenses.

Financial Condition

Loan Portfolio

Net loans increased \$23,937,000 to \$59,620,000 from \$35,683,000, an increase of 67.08%, between September 30, 2005, and September 30, 2006. The increase was due primarily to the planned growth in the loan portfolio following the opening of the Austin, Texas main office. The concentration within the portfolio has shifted in accordance with our business plan from a concentration of agricultural loans to a more diverse portfolio of commercial, residential and construction real estate and commercial loans, while still maintaining the level of agricultural lending at the Texline, Texas location.

Loan Portfolio Mix

The following tables set forth the composition of the loan portfolio:

| | As of September 30, 2006 | | As of September 30, 2005 | | As of September 30, 2004 | | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|--------------|----------|
| | Amount | Percent | Amount | Percent | Amount | Percent | |
| Agriculture | \$ 2,989,000 | 5.0 | % \$ 3,261,000 | 9.0 | % \$ 3,444,000 | 33.9 | % |
| Commercial | 19,068,000 | 31.7 | % 12,887,000 | 35.8 | % 2,316,000 | 22.8 | % |
| Commercial real estate | 18,582,000 | 30.9 | % 8,619,000 | 24.0 | % 1,030,000 | 10.1 | % |
| Residential real estate | 8,221,000 | 13.6 | % 4,524,000 | 12.6 | % 2,627,000 | 25.8 | % |
| Construction real estate | 4,329,000 | 7.2 | % 4,152,000 | 11.6 | % 33,000 | .3 | % |
| Consumer and other | 6,981,000 | 11.6 | % 2,517,000 | 7.0 | % 720,000 | 7.1 | % |
| Total Loans | 60,170,000 | 100.0 | % 35,960,000 | 100.0 | % 10,170,000 | 100.0 | % |
| Allowance for Loan Losses | (550,000) | | (277,000) | | (217,000) | | |
| Net Loans | \$ 59,620,000 | | \$ 35,683,000 | | \$ 9,953,000 | | |

Loan concentrations are considered to exist when there are amounts loaned to a multiple number of borrowers engaged in similar activities that would cause them to be similarly impacted by economic or other conditions.

For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006, the majority of new loan growth came from the Austin market reflecting a broader, more diverse mix of industries and borrowers. This has resulted in an anticipated reduction in the concentration in agricultural loans.

Management may renew loans at maturity when requested by a customer whose financial strength appears to support such a renewal or when such a renewal appears to be in the best interest of the Bank. The Bank requires payment of accrued interest in such instances and may adjust the rate of interest, require a principal reduction, or modify other terms of the loan at the time of renewal.

Loan Maturities

The following table presents the contractual maturity ranges for agricultural, commercial, and real estate loans outstanding at September 30, 2006, and at September 30, 2005, and also presents for each maturity range the portion of loans that have fixed interest rates or interest rates that fluctuate over the life of the loan in accordance with changes in the interest rate environment as represented by the base rate:

| | Due in One Year or Less | | Due after One Year Through Five Years | | Due after Five Years | | Total |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------|--------------|
| | Fixed Rate | Floating Rate | Fixed Rate | Floating Rate | Fixed Rate | Floating Rate | |
| | | | | | | | |
| September 30, 2006 | | | | | | | |
| Agricultural | \$ 6,000 | \$ 2,137,000 | \$ - | \$ 342,000 | \$ - | \$ 504,000 | \$ 2,989,000 |
| Real estate residential | 163,000 | 2,635,000 | 1,976,000 | 1,914,000 | 1,533,000 | - | 8,221,000 |
| Real estate commercial | 323,000 | 3,335,000 | 258,000 | 9,928,000 | - | 4,738,000 | 18,582,000 |
| Real estate construction | 1,049,000 | 202,000 | 1,013,000 | 2,065,000 | - | - | 4,329,000 |
| Commercial | 173,000 | 12,118,000 | 1,589,000 | 5,050,000 | 27,000 | 111,000 | 19,068,000 |
| Consumer and other | 559,000 | 5,166,000 | 652,000 | 550,000 | 31,000 | 23,000 | 6,981,000 |

At September 30, 2006, 46.31% of the loan portfolio, or \$27,866,000 matured or re-priced within one year or less and \$25,593,000 of these loans were variable rate loans. As of September 30, 2005, 36.52% of the loan portfolio, or \$13,132,000 matured or re-priced within one year or less and \$10,693,000 of these loans were variable rate loans.

Investment Securities

The primary purposes of the investment portfolio are to provide a source of earnings for liquidity management purposes, to provide collateral to pledge against public deposits, and to control interest rate risk. In managing the portfolio, we seek to attain the objectives of safety of principal, liquidity, diversification, and maximized return on investment.

The following tables set forth the amortized cost and fair value of our securities portfolio by accounting classification category and by type of security as indicated:

| | As of September 30, 2006 | | As of September 30, 2005 | | As of September 30, 2004 | |
|--|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| Securities Available for Sale: | | | | | | |
| U.S. Government Agencies | \$ 14,000 | \$ 14,000 | \$ 401,000 | \$ 396,000 | \$ 404,000 | \$ 404,000 |
| Other mortgage backed securities | 2,000 | 2,000 | 30,000 | 31,000 | 58,000 | 60,000 |
| Total Securities Available for Sale | \$ 16,000 | \$ 16,000 | \$ 431,000 | \$ 427,000 | \$ 462,000 | \$ 464,000 |
| Securities Held to Maturity: | | | | | | |
| U.S. Government Agencies | \$ 2,000,000 | \$ 1,996,000 | \$ 3,495,000 | \$ 3,467,000 | \$ - | \$ - |
| Other mortgage backed securities | 6,000 | 5,000 | 6,000 | 5,000 | 7,000 | 6,000 |
| Total Securities Held to Maturity | \$ 2,006,000 | \$ 2,001,000 | \$ 3,501,000 | \$ 3,472,000 | \$ 7,000 | \$ 6,000 |

U.S. Treasury securities and securities of U.S. Government agencies generally consist of fixed rate securities with maturities of three months to five years. Other mortgage backed securities consists of fixed rate mortgage pass-through securities with a maturity of five to fifteen years.

The following table sets forth certain information regarding contractual maturities and the book yields of the Bank's securities portfolio as of September 30, 2006 and September 30, 2005 (in thousands). Held to maturity securities are listed at amortized cost and available for sale securities are listed at fair value.

| | As of September 30, 2006 | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|------------|--|---------------|
| | Due in One Year or Less | | Due after One Year through Five Years | | Due after Five Years through 10 Years | | Due after 10 Years or no Stated Maturity | |
| | Balance | Book Yield | Balance | Book Yield | Balance | Book Yield | Balance | Book Yield |
| Securities Available for Sale: | | | | | | | | |
| U.S. Government Agencies | \$ - | - | \$ 14 | 5.34 % | \$ - | - | \$ - | - |
| Other mortgage backed securities | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 5.53 % |
| Total Securities Available for Sale | \$ - | - | \$ 14 | 5.34 % | \$ - | - | \$ 2 | 5.53 % |
| Securities Held to Maturity | | | | | | | | |
| U.S. Government Agencies | \$ 2,000 | 3.14 % | \$ - | - | \$ - | - | \$ - | - |
| Other mortgage backed securities | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | 8.91 % |
| Total Securities Held to Maturity | \$ 2,000 | 3.14 % | \$ - | - | \$ - | - | \$ 6 | 8.91 % |

We have minimal investment in our securities portfolio and little exposure to loss. Yields have minimal effect on the income statement due to the small volume of investments. We do not currently own any tax exempt securities, but should we acquire any in the future, the yields on those

securities would be computed on a tax equivalent basis.

26

We provide general commercial lending services for business clients as a part of its efforts to serve the local communities in which it operates. Certain risks are involved in granting loans, primarily related to the borrower's ability and willingness to repay the debt. Before extending a new loan to a customer, these risks are assessed through a review of the borrower's past and current credit history, the collateral being used to secure the transaction in the event the customer does not repay the debt, the borrower's character, and other factors. Once the decision has been made to extend credit, these factors are monitored throughout the life of the loan. Any loan identified as a problem credit by management during the internal loan review process or otherwise is assigned to the watch loan list and is subject to ongoing monitoring to ensure appropriate action is taken if and when deterioration occurs.

Asset Quality

The following table sets forth the amount of non-performing loans and non-performing assets at the dates indicated:

| | As of September 30, 2006 | As of September 30, 2005 | As of September 30, 2004 | |
|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| Non-accruing loans | \$ 123,000 | \$ 85,000 | \$ 97,000 | |
| Loans 90 days or more past due, still accruing interest | - | 6,000 | 56,000 | |
| Total non-performing loans | 123,000 | 91,000 | 153,000 | |
| Other real estate owned | 41,000 | - | - | |
| Other repossessed assets | 5,000 | 15,000 | 7,000 | |
| Total non-performing assets | \$ 169,000 | \$ 106,000 | \$ 160,000 | |
| Total non-performing loans to total loans | 0.20 | % 0.25 | % 1.51 | % |
| Allowance for loan losses to non-performing loans | 447 | % 304 | % 136 | % |
| Total non-performing assets to total assets | 0.17 | % 0.17 | % 0.61 | % |

Non-performing Loans

Non-performing loans include (1) loans accounted for on a non-accrual basis, (2) accruing loans contractually past due 90 days or more as to interest and principal, and (3) troubled debt restructurings. Management reviews the loan portfolio for problem loans on an ongoing basis.

During the ordinary course of business, management becomes aware of borrowers that may not be able to meet the contractual requirements of loan agreements. Such loans are placed under close supervision with consideration given to placing the loan on a non-accrual status, increasing the allowance for loan losses, and (if appropriate) partial or full charge-off. After a loan is placed on non-accrual status, any current year interest previously accrued but not yet collected is reversed against current income. When payments are received on non-accrual loans, such payments are applied to principal and not taken into income. Loans are not placed back on accrual status unless all back interest and principal payments are made. If interest on non-accrual loans had been accrued, such income would have amounted to approximately \$9,000 and \$3,000, respectively, for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2006 and 2005. This amount was not included in interest income during this period.

Under Federal and Texas law, any loan 90 or more days past due is required to be placed on non-accrual basis unless specifically identified mitigating circumstances exist. Our policy is to place loans 90 days past due on non-accrual status. An exception is made when management believes the loan is fully secured and in process of collection. Non-accrual loans are further classified as impaired when underlying collateral is not sufficient to cover the loan balance and it is probable that we will not fully collect all principal and interest. As of September 30, 2006 and September 30, 2005,

we had \$123,000 and \$85,000 in non-accrual loans, respectively. Loans contractually past due over 90 days which continued to accrue interest totaled \$0 on September 30, 2006 and \$6,000 on September 30, 2005, based upon specific information available at that time to management. In compliance with these statutes we have developed pro forma financial statements assuming that no loans will continue to accrue interest if they become 90 days past due, and instead transfer all such loans to non-accrual status.

A troubled debt restructuring is a restructured loan upon which interest accrues at a below market rate or upon which certain principal has been forgiven so as to aid the borrower in the final repayment of the loan, with any interest previously accrued, but not yet collected, being reversed against current income. Interest is accrued based upon the new loan terms. There were no troubled debt restructurings outstanding as of September 30, 2006 and September 30, 2005.

Non-performing assets also consist of other repossessed assets and other real estate owned (OREO). OREO represents properties acquired through foreclosure or other proceedings and is recorded at the lower of cost or fair market value less the estimated cost of disposal. OREO is evaluated regularly to ensure that the recorded amount is supported by its current fair market value. Valuation allowances to reduce the carrying amount to fair market value less estimated costs of disposal are recorded as necessary. Revenues and expenses from the operations of OREO and changes in the valuation are included in other income and other expenses on the income statement. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006, we acquired \$41,000 of other real estate owned through foreclosure. No OREO was disposed of during the fiscal year. As of September 30, 2005, we had no other real estate owned, and no acquisitions or dispositions of OREO during the fiscal year. Repossessed assets totaled \$5,000 and \$15,000 at September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is a reserve against losses in the loan portfolio that is calculated monthly based upon a specific reserve and a general allocation as described herein. Increases to the allowance are made by charging the expense, Provision for Loan Losses. Please refer to Provision for Loan Losses on page 18 for more information. We believe the accounting policies governing the allowance for loan losses are critical to the portrayal and understanding of the Bank's financial condition and its results of operations.

Loans subject to specific allocations to the allowance for loan losses are loans that have generally first been placed on the Bank's Watch List. The fact that a loan is placed on the Watch List does not necessarily indicate that the Bank has experienced a problem with the loan but indicates that the Bank has had some indication that the loan may become a problem loan. The Bank and the regulators each, independently, perform review of the Bank's loans. The regulators (the Texas Department of Banking and the FDIC) will generally specifically review each loan on the Bank's Watch List and a random sampling of other loans as well. As a result of these examinations, the regulators may place additional loans on the Watch List. There are a variety of factors influencing our decision to place a loan on the Watch List. Factors other than a failure to make one or more payments, which may result in a loan being included on the Watch List, include a general economic trend impacting a concentration of loans such as adverse trends in agriculture, a declining trend in a particular banking customer's deposits, or negative trends in financial statements which are provided on a routine basis for business loans. In the personal banking relationship model established in Austin and in a rural community such as Texline, Texas, personal knowledge of the existence of a financial hardship to a customer by one of the Bank's officers is not uncommon. Thus to some degree, a category of loans, such as agricultural loans, may be separately reviewed based upon known additional risks to which the category may be subject. Placement of a loan on the Watch List from any of these factors may be the result of a determination by the board of directors, bank management, the servicing officer, or by the banking regulators during their periodic examinations of the Bank.

Through this process the Bank addresses the particular risks inherent in different concentrations of loans. Historically, the Bank's allowance has been adequate to absorb the losses experienced by the Bank.

Once a loan is placed on the Watch List it may be classified as Watch, OAEM, Substandard, Doubtful, or Loss. These classifications are also based on numerous factors but these are general groupings of the loans that receive different levels of scrutiny and have different methods for determining whether a specific reserve should be made for each loan applied to them. If a loan is classified as a Watch or OAEM, then it merely indicates that

circumstances exist that may, but have not yet, jeopardized the collection of the loan. Loans classified as Watch or OAEM generally do not have a specific reserve charged against them but are instead subject to the historical loss percentage discussed below.

Substandard and doubtful loans are those loans that are considered to have some deficiency relative to loans generally considered to be of acceptable loan quality (be it cash flow, collateral value, or otherwise). Each such loan is considered separately. Factors such as collectibility, collateral values, borrower strength, and past due status may influence the amount of reserve to be specifically allocated. A 0% specific reserve may occur when a loan is classified as substandard but is fully collateralized with collateral such as a certificate of deposit held by the Bank.

As of September 30, 2006, we had \$318,000 in Substandard or Doubtful loans with specific reserves of \$86,000. As of September 30, 2005, we had \$287,000 in Substandard or Doubtful loans with specific reserves of \$25,600. Loss loans were \$0 as of September 30, 2006 and as of September 30, 2005. Loans classified as loss would have specific allocations of 100% of loan principal balance.

During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2005, management refined the method of determining the reserve requirement. The percentage used to calculate the reserve requirement varies based upon the risk grade assigned to the particular credit when the loan is made or when the loan is reviewed by an internal or external loan review process. The revised loan grades and definitions are:

Grade 1 HIGHEST QUALITY Loans secured by liquid collateral and governmental guarantees. No risk-based general allocation is assessed on these loans, based upon the nature of the collateral and management's assessment of the underlying risk.

Grade 2 EXCELLENT QUALITY These loans are characterized by the strong credit standing of the borrower and/or guarantor, and should rarely experience losses. A risk-based general allocation of 0.25% was assessed, based upon management's estimate of the risk inherent in the portfolio.

Grade 3 GOOD QUALITY Such loans are extended to well established borrowers with strong balance sheets and cash flows. A risk-based general allocation of 0.50% was assessed, based upon management's estimate of the risk inherent in the portfolio.

Grade 4 ACCEPTABLE QUALITY Grade 4 loans are reflective of most borrowers that have capacity to service all obligations. A risk-based general allocation factor of 1% is assigned these credits based primarily upon the last two years of loss experience at our Texline branch.

Grade 5 PROBLEM POTENTIAL Loans in this category demonstrate specific signs of weaknesses including sporadic profitability, slow payment history, or collateral documentation deficiencies, even though the credit is well secured and collection does not appear to be in question. These loans are separately reviewed for specific reserves.

Grade 6 SUBSTANDARD IDENTIFIED PROBLEM These loans contain inherent weaknesses due to the borrower's inability to service the debt even though the credit is perceived to be adequately secured, and may have accrual or non-accrual status. These loans are separately reviewed for specific reserves.

Grade 7 DOUBTFUL Grade 7 loans contain all of the inherent weaknesses of those classified as Substandard, but with identified loss exposure, which makes collection in full questionable; these are the loans for which we identify specific reserves for the potential loss exposure. These loans are separately reviewed for specific reserves.

Grade 8 LOSS These loans are considered uncollectible and as such are no longer recognized as a bankable asset. The loss associated with such loans is recorded in the period it becomes uncollectible. These loans are charged off in their entirety.

Edgar Filing: TREATY OAK BANCORP INC - Form 10KSB

After the required reserve has been calculated by adding together the specific reserves, and the general allocations, the current balance in the allowance for loan losses is compared to the calculated required allowance and to the extent an addition is necessary, the allowance is increased by a charge to expense through the provision for loan losses. The following table shows the calculation for the allowance for loan losses as applied on September 30, 2006. All amounts are approximate and are rounded. The revised method of calculating as noted above has been employed:

| | Balance | Allocation % | As of September 30, 2006 |
|---------------------------------|---------------|------------------|--------------------------------|
| Gross Loans | \$ 60,170,000 | | |
| Loans Graded 1 | 1,360,000 | 0.00 | % \$ 0 |
| Loans Graded 2 | 5,793,000 | 0.25 | % 14,500 |
| Loans Graded 3 | 10,481,000 | 0.50 | % 52,400 |
| Seasoned Houston Mortgage Loans | 929,000 | 0.50 | % 4,600 |
| Loans Graded 4 | 40,807,000 | 1.00 | % 408,100 |
| Criticized Loans (Grades 5-8) | 800,000 | Specific Reserve | 70,400 |
| Total Allowance | | | \$ 550,000 |

The amount of the allowance represents the cumulative total of the provisions made from time to time, reduced by loan charge-offs and increased by recoveries of loans previously charged-off. The allowance was \$550,000, or 0.91%, of gross loans at September 30, 2006 and \$277,000, or 0.77%, of gross loans at September 30, 2005.

Credit and loan decisions are made by management and the board of directors in conformity with loan policies established by the board of directors. Our practice is to charge-off any loan or portion of a loan when the loan is determined by management to be uncollectible due to the borrower's failure to meet repayment terms, the borrower's deteriorating or deteriorated financial condition, the depreciation of the underlying collateral, the loan's classification as a loss by regulatory examiners, or other reasons. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006, we charged off \$29,000 in commercial and consumer loans. Recoveries totaled \$7,000 for the fiscal year. We charged off \$85,000 in commercial and consumer loans during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2005. Recoveries totaled \$15,000 for that fiscal year.

Edgar Filing: TREATY OAK BANCORP INC - Form 10KSB

The following table presents an analysis of the allowance for loan losses for the periods presented:

| | Year Ended September 30, 2006 | | Year Ended September 30, 2005 | | Year Ended September 30, 2004 | | |
|---|----------------------------------|------------|----------------------------------|------------|----------------------------------|------------|---|
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ | 277,000 | \$ | 217,000 | \$ | 166,000 | |
| Provision for loan losses | | 295,000 | | 130,000 | | 95,000 | |
| Charge-offs: | | | | | | | |
| Agricultural | | | | | | | |
| Commercial | | | | 65,000 | | 62,000 | |
| Commercial Real Estate | | | | | | | |
| Residential Real Estate | | 25,000 | | 16,000 | | 3,000 | |
| Consumer and other | | 4,000 | | 4,000 | | | |
| Total Charge-offs | | 29,000 | | 85,000 | | 65,000 | |
| Recoveries | | | | | | | |
| Agricultural | | | | | | | |
| Commercial | | 6,000 | | 12,000 | | 18,000 | |
| Commercial Real Estate | | | | | | | |
| Residential Real Estate | | | | | | | |
| Consumer and other | | 1,000 | | 3,000 | | 3,000 | |
| Total Recoveries | | 7,000 | | 15,000 | | 21,000 | |
| Net Charge-offs | | (22,000) | | (70,000) | | (44,000) | |
| Balance at end of period | \$ | 550,000 | \$ | 277,000 | \$ | 217,000 | |
| Gross Loans at end of period | \$ | 60,170,000 | \$ | 35,960,000 | \$ | 10,170,000 | |
| Ratio of loan loss allowance to gross loans | | 0.91 | % | 0.77 | % | 2.13 | % |
| Ratio of net charge-offs to gross loans | | 0.04 | % | 0.19 | % | 0.43 | % |

The following table sets forth the specific allocation of the allowance for loan losses for the fiscal years presented and the percentage of allocated possible loan losses in each category to total gross loans. An allocation for a loan classification is only for internal analysis of the adequacy of the allowance and is not an indication of expected or anticipated losses. Specifically allocated reserves by loan type represent categories of loans that have been identified on the Bank's internal Watch List and the corresponding allocations assigned.

| | As of September 30, 2006 | | | As of September 30, 2005 | | | As of September 30, 2004 | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|---|-----------------------------|---------|---|-----------------------------|---------|---|
| | Amount | Percent | | Amount | Percent | | Amount | Percent | |
| Agricultural | \$ 16,800 | 0.03 | % | \$ 8,000 | 0.02 | % | \$ 8,000 | 0.08 | % |
| Commercial | 18,500 | 0.03 | % | | 0.00 | % | | 0.00 | % |
| Commercial Real Estate | | 0.00 | % | | 0.00 | % | 15,000 | 0.14 | % |
| Construction Real Estate | | 0.00 | % | | 0.00 | % | | 0.00 | % |
| Residential Real Estate | 1,000 | 0.00 | % | 9,500 | 0.03 | % | 14,000 | 0.14 | % |
| Installment and Other | 49,700 | 0.08 | % | 8,100 | 0.02 | % | | 0.00 | % |
| Risk-based General Allocation | 464,000 | 0.77 | % | 251,400 | 0.70 | % | 180,000 | 1.77 | % |
| Total | \$ 550,000 | 0.91 | % | \$ 277,000 | 0.77 | % | \$ 217,000 | 2.13 | % |

We maintain the allowance for loan losses at a level that management believes will be adequate to absorb probable losses on existing loans based on an evaluation of the collectibility of loans and prior loss experience. Three methods are used to evaluate the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses: (1) historical loss experience, of this and other banks of similar size and experience (2) specific identification, based upon management's assessment of loans and the probability that a charge-off will occur in the upcoming quarter; and (3) loan concentrations, based upon current or expected economic factors in the geographic and industry sectors where management believes the Bank may eventually experience some loan losses.

Additions to the allowance for loan losses, which are charged to earnings through the provision for loan losses, are determined based upon a variety of factors, as indicated above. Although management believes the allowance for loan losses is sufficient to cover probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio, there can be no absolute assurance that the allowance will prove sufficient to cover actual future loan losses.

Potential Problem Loans

The Bank utilizes an internal asset classification system as a means of reporting problem and potential problem assets. At scheduled Bank Board of Directors meetings each month, a watch list is presented, showing all loans listed as Graded 5 through 8. An asset is classified Grade 6 if it is inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Grade 6 assets include those characterized by the distinct possibility that the Bank will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. Assets classified as Grade 7 have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified Grade 6, with the added characteristic that the weaknesses present make collection or liquidation in full highly unlikely on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values. Assets classified as Grade 8 are those considered uncollectible and viewed as non-bankable assets, worthy of charge-off. Assets that do not currently expose the Bank to sufficient risk to warrant classification into one of the aforementioned categories but possess weaknesses that may or may not be within the control of the customer, are deemed to be a Grade 5.

Our determination as to the classification of its assets and the amount of the valuation allowance is subject to review by its primary regulator(s), which can order the establishment of additional general or specific loss allowances. The FDIC, in conjunction with the other federal banking agencies, has adopted an interagency policy statement on the allowance for loan losses. The policy statement provides guidance for financial institutions on the responsibilities of management for the assessment and establishment of adequate allowances and for banking agency examiners to use in determining the adequacy of general valuation guidelines. Generally, the policy statement recommends that (a) institutions have effective systems and controls to identify, monitor, and address asset quality problems; (b) management analyze all significant factors that affect the collectibility of the portfolio in a reasonable manner; and (c) management establish acceptable allowance evaluation processes that meet the objectives set forth in the policy statement. Management believes it has established an adequate allowance for probable loan losses. The Bank analyzes its processes regularly, with modifications made if needed, and reports those results monthly at Board of Directors meetings. However, there can be no assurance that regulators, in reviewing our loan portfolio, will not request us to materially increase our allowance for loan losses at the time. Although management believes that adequate specific, general, and special contingency loan loss allowances have been established, actual losses are dependent upon future events and, as such, further additions to the level of specific and general loan loss allowances may become necessary.

The aggregate principal amounts of potential problem or problem loans as of September 30, 2006, and September 30, 2005, were approximately \$1,068,000 and \$422,000 respectively. Included in these loan totals are non-accrual, Watch or Special Mention, Substandard, and Doubtful classifications, which comprise the watch list presented monthly to the Board of Directors. All loans classified as Loss have been charged-off. Loans in this category generally include loans that were classified for risk management and regulatory purposes. It should be noted, however, that the inclusion of loans categorized as Grade 5 in the total amount of problem loans is a more conservative approach than that required by federal regulations. Only Grade 6 and Grade 7 classifications are deemed to be problem loans for regulatory reporting purposes. At September 30, 2006 and September 30, 2005, these classifications totaled \$318,000 and \$287,000 respectively.

Loan Origination Fees and Costs

The Company defers and amortizes loan origination fees and costs as an adjustment to yield. Certain costs are associated with loan origination, including compensation costs of staff that evaluate credit worthiness, handle loan applications, or negotiate loan terms; and other costs, such as obtaining credit reports on loan applicants. These loan origination costs are capitalized and offset to compensation costs. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006 capitalized costs for loan originations were approximately \$69,000. The portion of capitalized costs amortized for fiscal year 2006 totaled \$55,000. The remainder of capitalized costs will be amortized over the average maturity of the portfolio of loans originated during fiscal 2006 and 2005.

Liquidity

Our liquidity is monitored by management, while the Bank's liquidity is monitored by its staff, the Asset/Liability Management Committee and the Board of Directors, who review historical funding requirements, current liquidity position, sources and stability of funding, marketability of assets, options for attracting additional funds, and anticipated future funding needs, including the level of unfunded commitments.

The Company has \$373,000 of proceeds remaining from the initial common stock offering in 2004 which are invested in interest bearing accounts. The Bank's primary sources of funds are retail and commercial deposits, loan and securities repayments, other short term borrowings, and other funds provided by operations. While scheduled loan repayments and maturing investments are relatively predictable, deposit flows and early loan prepayments are more influenced by interest rates, general economic conditions, and competition. We will maintain investments in liquid assets based upon management's assessment of (1) the need for funds, (2) expected deposit flows, (3) yields available on short-term liquid assets, and (4) objectives of the asset/liability management program. We no longer rely on borrowed funds, but may utilize available lines of credit should the need arise for short term cash requirements.

We retained the facility in Texline, Texas, as a branch of Treaty Oak Bank. Because this facility has both a concentration and an expertise in agricultural lending, we continue to service the existing loans and make new agricultural loans when possible in the Texline market.

Greater loan demand will result in greater pressure on our liquidity; however, it is our intention to maintain a conservative loan to deposit ratio in the range of 80% - 90% over time. Given this goal, we do not intend to pursue lending opportunities if sufficient funding sources (i.e. deposits, Federal Funds, etc.) are not available, nor will we seek to attract transient volatile, non-local deposits with above market interest rates. As of September 30, 2006 and September 30, 2005, the loans to deposits ratios were 73.97% and 78.34%, respectively. In the event that additional short-term liquidity is needed, the Bank will retain established relationships with several large correspondent banks.

The Bank had cash and cash equivalents totaling \$26,354,000 and \$13,107,000, or 28.85 % and 23.44% of total Bank assets, at September 30, 2006, and September 30, 2005, respectively. The Bank had available \$80.5 million and \$28.2 million of rate-sensitive assets which mature or adjust within one year or less as of September 30, 2006 and September 30, 2005, respectively.

The Bank is subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by federal and state banking agencies, which could affect its ability to pay dividends to the Company. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material adverse effect on our financial statements. The minimum ratios required for the Bank to be considered well capitalized for regulatory purposes, and therefore eligible to consider the payment of dividends to the Company, will be 10% total capital to risk weighted assets, 6% tier 1 capital to risk weighted assets and 5% tier 1 capital to average assets. At September 30, 2006 and at September 30, 2005, the Bank was considered well capitalized by regulatory standards.

Interest Rate Analysis

The purpose of the interest rate shock analysis, as required by regulatory authorities, is to ensure that the Bank's management is aware of and is appropriately managing the structure of its interest sensitive assets and liabilities.

Edgar Filing: TREATY OAK BANCORP INC - Form 10KSB

The tables below reflect the balance sheet and interest rate shock analysis as of September 30, 2006 and September 30, 2005, respectively.

The columns titled Normal Income/Expense Decrease per 1% Decrease and Normal Income/Expense Increase per 1% Increase measure the dollar impact of rates moving up or down under normal circumstances, meaning no other factors (e.g. deposits might be withdrawn and invested in alternative investments when interest rates decline), except for interest rates, are changed.

Shock Effect of Interest Rate Changes

| | As of September 30, 2006 | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| | Balance | Normal Income/Expense decrease per 1% Decrease | Normal Income/Expense increase per 1% Increase |
| Assets | | | |
| Federal Funds | \$ 23,775,000 | \$ (237,750) | \$ 237,750 |
| U.S. Treasury Notes | | | |
| Due From Time Deposits | | | |
| Loans | | | |
| Fixed Rate Loans | 9,352,000 | (93,520) | 93,520 |
| Floating Rate Loans | 50,818,000 | (508,180) | 508,180 |
| | | | |
| Total | \$ 83,945,000 | \$ (839,450) | \$ 839,450 |
| | | | |
| Liabilities | | | |
| NOW Accounts | \$ 8,663,000 | \$ (86,630) | \$ 86,630 |
| Money Market Demand Accounts | 23,557,000 | (235,570) | 235,570 |
| Savings Accounts | 446,000 | (4,460) | 4,460 |
| CDs< \$100,000 | 11,538,000 | (115,380) | 115,380 |
| CDs> \$100,000 | 8,989,000 | (89,890) | 89,890 |
| | | | |
| | \$ 53,193,000 | \$ (531,930) | \$ 531,930 |

Actual Rate Change Effect on Interest Income and Interest Expense

| Percentage Change | Change in Interest Income One Year | Change in Interest Expense One Year | Change in Net Interest Income One Year |
|-------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| +1% | \$ 491,840 | \$ 102,635 | \$ 389,205 |
| +2% | 1,237,770 | 426,290 | 811,480 |
| +3% | 1,983,700 | 692,255 | 1,291,445 |
| -1% | -491,840 | 368,600 | -123,240 |
| -2% | -953,989 | 573,870 | -380,119 |
| -3% | -1,431,482 | 779,140 | -652,342 |

Shock Effect of Interest Rate Changes

| | As of September 30, 2005 | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|---|---|
| | Balance | Normal Income/Expense decrease per 1% Decrease | Normal Income/Expense increase per 1% Increase |
| Assets | | | |
| Federal Funds | \$ 3,606,000 | \$ (36,060) | \$ 36,060 |
| U.S. Treasury Notes | | | |
| Due From Time Deposits | 8,100,000 | (81,000) | 81,000 |
| Loans | | | |
| Fixed Rate Loans | 7,852,000 | (78,520) | 78,520 |
| Floating Rate Loans | 28,108,000 | (281,080) | 281,080 |
| | | | |
| Total | \$ 47,666,000 | \$ (476,660) | \$ 476,660 |
| Liabilities | | | |
| NOW Accounts | \$ 6,938,000 | \$ (69,380) | \$ 69,380 |
| Money Market Demand Accounts | 7,180,000 | (71,800) | 71,800 |
| Savings Accounts | 437,000 | (4,370) | 4,370 |
| CDs< \$100,000 | 7,479,000 | (74,790) | 74,790 |
| CDs> \$100,000 | 7,797,000 | (77,970) | 77,970 |
| | | | |
| | \$ 29,831,000 | \$ (298,310) | \$ 298,310 |

Actual Rate Change Effect on Interest Income and Interest Expense

| Percentage Change | Change in Interest Income One Year | Change in Interest Expense One Year | Change in Net Interest Income One Year |
|-------------------|---|--|---|
| +1% | \$ 259,021 | \$ 60,579 | \$ 198,442 |
| +2% | 660,005 | 231,329 | 428,676 |
| +3% | 1,060,990 | 380,486 | 680,504 |
| -1% | -259,021 | -257,144 | -1,877 |
| -2% | -496,918 | -378,301 | -118,617 |
| -3% | -750,159 | -531,064 | -219,095 |

The shock effect of interest rate changes table illustrates a method that banks may use to test the balance sheet for the effects of sudden interest rate increases or decreases, and the effect of those sudden rate changes on interest income and interest expense over a one year period. This table assumes that the Bank's interest rates change by the same percent as the market interest rates change (1%, 2% and 3%) and excludes interest earning assets and liabilities of the Company and PGI Equity Partners, LP.

Rate shock refers to the impact of a sudden change up or down in interest rates. In the shock table, when calculating the effect of changes in interest rates on the value of our interest earning assets and interest paying liabilities the liabilities are assumed to immediately re-price at the same time as interest earning assets. In practice, interest paying liabilities generally re-price more slowly than interest earning assets and generally realize a smaller rate increase or decrease than interest earning assets. In addition, the shock table assumes that all financial instruments, whether fixed rate or floating rate, immediately re-price, and that there are no balance fluctuations through early withdrawals of deposits or prepayments on variable rate loans. Conversely, an increase in the prevailing interest rate of 1% would result

in an increase in net interest income of the same amount. Thus, the Shock Effect of Interest Rates table for September 30, 2006, reflects that based upon our then existing asset and liability mixes, a 1% decrease in the interest rates would result in a decrease in interest income of \$839,450 and a decrease in interest expense of \$531,930 for an approximate decrease in net interest income of \$307,520. At September 30, 2005, the Shock Effect of Interest Rates table illustrates that, based on the then existing asset and liability mixes, a 1% decrease in the interest rates would result in a decrease in interest income of \$476,790, along with a decrease in interest expense of \$298,310 for a resulting decrease in net interest income of \$178,500.

The Actual Rate Change Effect table illustrates how the Bank's net interest income would actually respond to prevailing market rate changes. The change in net interest income for a one year period is calculated by multiplying the percentage of rate increase that we intend to use if market interest rates increase/decrease by 1%, 2% or 3%. The columns labeled Change in Interest Income One Year and Change in Interest Expense One Year reflect the dollar amount by which actual interest income or expense is expected to increase or decrease (decreases are presented in parentheses) based on the stated increase or decrease in interest rates, reflected on an annual basis. For example, as of September 30, 2006, if interest rates decreased by 2%, we would expect to realize a \$953,989 decrease in interest income, but a \$573,870 decrease in interest expense, resulting in a \$380,119 increase in net interest income. At September 30, 2005, if interest rates decreased by 2%, we would expect to realize a \$496,918 decrease in interest income, and a \$378,301 decrease in interest expense, resulting in a \$118,617 decrease in net interest income.

Change in Interest Expense One Year shows a much lower relative change in interest expense at a 1% change in prevailing rates because we do not intend to increase the rates paid on deposits by 1% if market rates increase 1%. Since some of the rates paid on certain certificates of deposit are in excess of the market rates, reductions are calculated at 2% for both a 1% and 2% prevailing rate reduction. As of September 30, 2006 and September 30, 2005, the rates paid on deposits were as follows:

| | As of September 30, 2006 | As of September 30, 2005 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Savings accounts | 1.50% | 0.70% |
| NOW accounts range | 1.20% - 4.35% | 0.85% - 1.95% |
| Money market accounts range | 2.40% - 4.20% | 1.25% - 2.70% |

Sources of Funds

General

Deposits, short-term and long-term borrowings, loan and investment security repayments and prepayments, proceeds from the sale of securities, and cash flows generated from operations are the primary sources of our funds for lending, investing, and other general purposes. Loan repayments are a relatively predictable source of funds except during periods of significant interest rate declines, while deposit flows tend to fluctuate with prevailing interests rates, money markets conditions, general economic conditions, and competition.

Deposits

We offer a variety of deposit accounts with a range of interest rates and terms. Core deposits consist of checking accounts (non-interest bearing), NOW accounts, savings accounts, and non-public certificates of deposit. These deposits, along with public fund deposits and short-term borrowings, are used to support our asset base. Deposits are obtained predominantly from the geographic trade areas surrounding our office locations. We rely primarily on customer service and long-standing relationships with customers to attract and retain deposits; however, market interest rates and rates offered by competing financial institutions significantly affect our ability to attract and retain deposits.

Edgar Filing: TREATY OAK BANCORP INC - Form 10KSB

The following table sets forth the maturities of time deposits as of September 30, 2006:

| Year Ending September 30, | As of September 30, 2006 |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| 2007 | \$ 20,197,000 |
| 2008 | 329,000 |
| Total | \$ 20,526,000 |

The following table sets forth the maturities of time deposits over \$100,000 as of September 30, 2006:

| | As of September 30, 2006 |
|--|--------------------------|
| Time deposits \$100,000 and over: | |
| Maturing within three months | \$ 1,949,000 |
| After three months but within six months | 3,982,000 |
| After six months but within 12 months | 3,058,000 |
| After 12 months | |
| Total time deposits \$100,000 and over | \$ 8,989,000 |

Return on Equity and Assets

The following table sets forth certain information regarding our return on equity and assets for the periods indicated:

| | Year Ended September 30, 2006 | Year Ended September 30, 2005 | Year Ended September 30, 2004 |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Return on assets | .20 | % -2.86 | % -8.22 |
| Return on equity | 1.73 | % -15.75 | % -17.44 |
| Dividend payout ratio | 0 | % 0 | % 0 |
| Equity to assets ratio | 11.38 | % 18.16 | % 47.08 |

Borrowings

We have access to a variety of borrowing sources and occasionally may use short-term borrowings to support the Bank's asset base. Short-term borrowings include federal funds purchased and advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank with remaining maturities less than one year. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006, short-term borrowings including Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings and a \$2,425,000 loan from a third party bank, which was incurred to facilitate Treaty Oak Holdings, Inc.'s redemption of its shares from certain of its shareholders. The Company has a corresponding note receivable from Treaty Oak Holdings, Inc. for an equivalent amount. The loan from the third party bank was paid off on November 15, 2006. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006, long-term borrowings includes the mortgage on the real estate owned by PGI Equity Partners, LP of \$2,667,000, and a related note payable incurred by PGI Equity Partners, LP in conjunction with the refinancing of \$58,000.

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the Company's borrowings for the periods indicated:

| | As of September 30, 2006 | As of September 30, 2005 | As of September 30, 2004 |
|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Other Short-term Borrowings and Notes Payable: | | | |
| Average balance outstanding | \$ 409,000 | \$ 29,000 | \$ 1,916,000 |
| Maximum outstanding at any month-end during the period | 2,425,000 | 250,000 | 2,248,000 |
| Balance outstanding at end of period | 2,425,000 | 0 | 0 |
| Average interest rate during the period | 4.64 | % 3.45 | % 2.87 |
| Mortgages and Notes Payable: | | | |
| Average balance outstanding | 2,760,000 | 2,145,000 | 2,000 |
| Maximum outstanding at any month-end during the period | 2,794,000 | 2,919,000 | 509,000 |
| Balance outstanding at end of period | 2,725,000 | 2,801,000 | 509,000 |
| Average interest rate during the period | 5.97 | % 6.71 | % 0.00 |

Commitments and Contingencies

We are subject to contractual commitments to extend credit that may not be recorded on our balance sheets.

At September 30, 2006, we had outstanding loan origination commitments and unused commercial and retail lines of credit of \$21,277,000 and standby letters of credit of \$1,935,000. At September 30, 2005, we had outstanding loan origination commitments and unused commercial and retail lines of credit of \$14,043,000 and standby letters of credit of \$269,000. Management believes we have sufficient funds available to meet current origination and other lending commitments. Certificates of deposit that are scheduled to mature within one year totaled \$20,197,000 at September 30, 2006 and \$12,674,000 at September 30, 2005.

Capital Resources

As of September 30, 2006, and September 30, 2005, shareholder's equity was \$11,272,000 and \$11,033,000, respectively, or 11.38% and 18.16% of total assets. The change in equity results primarily from \$195,000 of net income for the fiscal year plus an increase of \$40,000 from shares issued upon the exercise of the Company's common stock warrants and the granting of common stock as compensation. Our total assets increased from \$60,749,000 at September 30, 2005, to \$99,091,000 at September 30, 2006, for an increase of 38.69% over the period, resulting primarily from growth in the Bank's and loan portfolio and an increase in cash and cash equivalents.

The risk-based capital regulations established and administered by the banking regulatory agencies discussed previously are applicable to the Bank. Risk-based capital guidelines are designed to make regulatory capital requirements more sensitive to differences in risk profiles among banks, to account for off-balance sheet exposure, and to minimize disincentives for holding liquid assets. Under the regulations, assets and off-balance sheet items are assigned to broad risk categories, each with appropriate weights. The resulting capital ratios represent capital as a percentage of total risk weighted assets and off-balance sheet items. Under the prompt corrective action regulations, to be adequately capitalized a bank must maintain minimum ratios of total capital to risk-weighted assets of 8.00%, Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets of 4.00%, and Tier 1 capital to total assets of 4.00%. Failure to meet these capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Bank's financial statements.

As of September 30, 2006 and as of September 30, 2005, the most recent notifications from the federal Banking regulators categorized the Bank as well-capitalized. A well-capitalized institution must maintain a minimum ratio of total capital to risk-weighted assets of at least 10.00%, a minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk weighted assets of at least 6.00%, and a minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to total assets of at least 5.00% and must not be subject to any written order, agreement, or directive requiring it to meet or maintain a specific capital level. There are no conditions or events since that notification that the Bank's management believes have changed the capital classification.

Edgar Filing: TREATY OAK BANCORP INC - Form 10KSB

The Bank was in full compliance with all applicable capital adequacy requirements as of September 30, 2006 and September 30, 2005. The required and actual amounts and ratios for the Bank as of September 30, 2006 and 2005, are presented below:

| | Actual | | For Capital Adequacy Purposes | | To Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions | |
|--|--------------|-------|-------------------------------|-------|--|-------|
| | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio |
| As of September 30, 2006 | | | | | | |
| Tier 1 capital (to average assets) | \$ 8,213,000 | 11.07 | % \$ 2,967,000 | 4 | % 3,709,000 | 5 |
| Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets) | 8,213,000 | 11.39 | % 2,885,000 | 4 | % 4,328,000 | 6 |
| Total Capital (to risk-weighted assets) | 8,763,000 | 12.15 | % 5,771,000 | 8 | % 7,213,000 | 10 |

| | Actual | | For Capital Adequacy Purposes | | To Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions | |
|--|--------------|-------|-------------------------------|-------|--|-------|
| | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio |
| As of September 30, 2005 | | | | | | |
| Tier 1 capital (to average assets) | \$ 7,617,000 | 14.71 | % \$ 2,071,000 | 4 | % 2,589,000 | 5 |
| Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets) | 7,617,000 | 18.42 | % 1,654,000 | 4 | % 2,481,000 | 6 |
| Total Capital (to risk-weighted assets) | 7,894,000 | 19.09 | % 3,307,000 | 8 | % 4,134,000 | 10 |

Statement of Cash Flows

Cash and cash equivalents for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006 increased \$13,247,000 to \$26,354,000 from \$13,107,000 as of September 30, 2005. Net increases in deposits were the primary sources of cash for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2006. Cash was primarily used to fund loans and purchase federal funds for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006. Net increases in deposits along with the proceeds from the sale of common stock were the primary sources of cash for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2005 while expenses experienced related to the start-up operations in Austin were the primary uses of cash for the fiscal year.

Our cash flow statement is composed of three classifications: cash flows from operating activities, cash flows from investing activities, and cash flows from financing activities. Net cash provided by operating activities was \$908,000 for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006. Net cash used by operating activities was \$1,266,000 for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2005. The increase in cash flow from operating activities was due to the earnings generated at the Bank as a result of growth experienced at the Austin branch and the elimination of organizing and start-up expenses incurred during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2005.

Net cash used in investing activities for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006 totaled \$22,676,000 primarily due to the funding of loans totaling \$24,273,000. Maturities of investment securities provided \$1,906,000 of cash for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006. Net cash used in investing activities for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2005 totaled \$30,943,000, due primarily to the purchase of investment securities of \$5,494,000 and increases in loan fundings of \$25,860,000.

Net cash provided by financing activities for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006 of \$35,015,000 were primarily from the net increase in deposits of \$35,472,000. For fiscal year ended September 30, 2005, increases in deposits of \$32,353,000 and net proceeds from the sale of common stock account for the bulk of the net cash provided by financing activities of \$36,032,000.

Item 7. *Financial Statements*

Reference is made to the financial statements, the report thereon, and the notes thereto commencing at page F-1 of this Form 10-KSB, which financial statements, report and notes are included herein.

Item 8. *Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.*

NONE.

Item 8A. *Controls and Procedures*

With the participation of management, the Company's chief executive officer and chief financial officer reviewed and evaluated the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rules 13a-14(c) and 15d-14(c) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing of this report. Based on this evaluation, the chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures are effective in bringing to their attention on a timely basis information relating to the Company and its consolidated subsidiaries in connection with the Company's filing of its annual report on Form 10-KSB for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006.

There have been no significant changes in the Company's internal controls for financial reporting or in other factors that could significantly affect these controls, including any significant deficiencies or material weaknesses of internal controls that would require corrective action. In connection with the new rules, the Company is currently in the process of further reviewing and documenting its disclosure controls and procedures, including its internal accounting controls, and may from time to time make changes aimed at enhancing their effectiveness and ensuring that the Company's systems evolve with its business.

Item 8B. *Other Information*

NONE.

40

PART III.**Item 9. *Directors, Executive Officers, Promoters and Control Persons; Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act.*****Treaty Oak Bancorp Board of Directors**

Our Board of Directors is divided into three classes. The members of each class serve for a staggered three-year term. Upon the expiration of the term of a class of directors, directors in that class will be elected for three-year terms at the annual meeting of shareholders in the year in which their term expires. The classes are composed as follows:

Class III Directors. William J. McLellan and Carl J. Stolle are *Class III Directors* whose terms will expire at the 2007 annual meeting of shareholders.

Class I Directors. Charles T. Meeks, Marvin L. Schragger and Arthur H. Coleman are *Class I Directors* whose terms will expire at the 2008 annual meeting of shareholders.

Class II Directors. Jeffrey L. Nash, Hayden D. Watson, Elias F. Lee Urbina, and Bill F. Schneider are *Class II Directors* whose terms will expire at the 2009 annual meeting of shareholders.

Directors and Executive Officers

Set forth below is biographical information concerning our directors and executive officers. We consider certain of the Bank s and our officers listed below to be our executive officers.

| Name | Age | Position(s) Held with Treaty Oak Bancorp | Position(s) Held with Treaty Oak Bank |
|---------------------|------------|---|---|
| Charles T. Meeks | 71 | Chairman of the Board, Director (2) | Chairman of the Board, Director |
| William J. McLellan | 70 | Business Development Advisor, Vice-Chairman, and Director (1)(4)* | Director |
| Jeffrey L. Nash | 50 | President, Chief Executive Officer, and Director (1)(4) | President, Chief Executive Officer and Director |
| Carl J. Stolle | 47 | Director (2)(3) | Director |
| Marvin L. Schragger | 67 | Director (2)* | |
| Hayden D. Watson | 58 | Director (3)* | Director |
| Sandra L. Ellsworth | 54 | Chief Financial Officer, Secretary, Treasurer | Chief Financial Officer, Cashier and Director |
| Arthur H. Coleman | 67 | Director | Director |
| Elias F. Lee Urbina | 48 | Director (3) | |
| Bill F. Schneider | 57 | Director | |
| Tony White | 49 | | President Texline Branch, Director |
| M. Randall Meeks | 47 | | Executive Vice President Chief Lending Officer |
| Sheila A. Bostick | 42 | | Senior Vice President |
| Thomas G. Clark | 55 | | Senior Vice President Senior Credit Officer, Compliance Officer |

-
- (1) Executive Committee Member
 - (2) Compensation Committee Member
 - (3) Audit Committee Member
 - (4) Business Development Committee Member
- * Denotes Treaty Oak Bancorp Committee Chair

In February 2006, Terry W. Hamann resigned as President, CEO and Chairman of the Company. Mr. Hamann was elected Chairman Emeritus at that time. In August, 2006, Mr. Hamann resigned all remaining positions with the Company including Chairman Emeritus, Director and all committee assignments. Bill F. Schneider was elected as a Class II Director at a Special Meeting of Shareholders held on October 24, 2006.

Background of Directors and Executive Officers

The following is a background summary of each of our directors and executive officers.

Mr. Charles Meeks has served as a member of our Board of Directors since May 2004 and has served as the Chairman of the Board since February 28, 2006. He is also the Chairman of the Board of the Bank, a position he has held since 1996. Since 1994, Mr. Meeks has served as the senior principal of the Charles T. Meeks Company, a consulting company for U.S. and offshore banks. From 1989 to 1994, he was a senior vice president with Alex Sheshunoff Management Services. Mr. Meeks has over 40 years of banking experience and served as the chief executive officer of a major affiliate Bank of Allied Bancshares, Houston, Texas, a \$10 billion bank holding company. He holds a BBA degree from the University of Texas at Austin.

Mr. McLellan co-founded Treaty Oak Bancorp, has served as a director since our inception, and is a director with the Bank. He co-founded Treaty Oak Holdings and was a member of the board of directors since inception in August 2002. Mr. McLellan is a former senior executive with 3M Corporation, from which he retired in 1996. From June 2001 to August 2002, he served as the chief executive officer of the Austin Art Museum. From January 1998 to June 2001, he served as Executive Director for Lifeworks: Alliance of Youth and Family Services. Mr. McLellan attended St. Thomas College and has an honorary MBA from St. Edwards University. He has also attended the Stanford University School of Management and the Graduate Program in Sales Management at Columbia University.

Mr. Nash co-founded Treaty Oak Bancorp and has served as our President and CEO since February, 2006. Prior to that time, he served as our executive vice president and chief financial officer, as well as a director since our inception. Additionally, Mr. Nash is the president and chief executive officer of the Bank, and is a director of the Bank. He has also been president and was a director with Treaty Oak Holdings since April 2006 and its chief financial officer since December 2004 and a director with Treaty Oak Financial Holdings since November 2003. Mr. Nash has more than 25 years of financial, operational, and leadership experience. From 1999 to January 2003, he served as President and led the turn-around of Addressing Your Needs, Inc. He was also an independent corporate consultant from June 2002 to January 2003. From 1996 to 1999 he was the chief operating officer of CSE Child Support Enforcement, now Supportkids, Inc. From 1991 to 1994 he was Chief Financial Officer and Chief Operating Officer of Select Marketing, Inc., which was acquired by Harte-Hanks Response Management in 1994, at which time he became Executive Vice President and General Manager, a position he held until 1996. He served as Executive Vice President, Chief Operating Officer, and President of Allied Bank North Austin (later First Interstate Bank of North Austin) from 1986 to 1991 and as Vice President and Section Manager at Texas Commerce Bank-Austin, N.A., from 1983 to 1986. Mr. Nash holds BBA and MBA degrees from the University of Texas at Austin and is active in many Austin civic activities.

Ms. Ellsworth is our chief financial officer, treasurer and secretary. She is also the chief financial officer and cashier of the Bank, as well as one of its directors. From October 2001 until joining us in October 2003, Ms. Ellsworth was self-employed as an independent contractor/consultant performing accounting and organizational functions for

42

clients on a contractual basis. From February to September 2001, she served as the chief operating officer for The Buttross Group, assisting in the start-up of a proposed de novo bank. From December 1998 to April 2000, she was employed by Flieller, Kruger & Skelton L.L.P., as a seasonal tax preparer. Prior to that time, from 1989 to 1998, she served as Senior Vice President and Cashier of Hill Country Bank and served as a member of the board of directors. Until its sale in 1998, Ms. Ellsworth was responsible for Hill Country Bank's regulatory reporting, financial statement and budget preparation, investment portfolio accounting, and calculation of the bank's interest rate risk position and risk management strategy. From 1985 to 1989, Ms. Ellsworth served as Lakeway National Bank's Vice President and Cashier. She holds a BS degree in psychology & social science from Clarkson University in Potsdam, New York.

Mr. Stolle has served as a member of our Board of Directors since May 2004 was a member of the Board of Directors of Treaty Oak Holdings since June 2003. Mr. Stolle was employed with Dell, Inc., from 1996 until his retirement in May, 2005. He served as Vice President of Advanced Systems Group for the Small and Medium Business Division. Prior to that, he served in the capacities of Vice President of the Emerging Business Division and Vice President for Dell's OptiPlex and Dimension lines of business. Before joining Dell, Mr. Stolle spent nine years at Sun Microsystems, where he managed the marketing, planning, and sales development for the Sun server business. His previous experience also includes positions in marketing and finance with the Hewlett Packard Company. Mr. Stolle holds a B.A. degree in biochemistry from the University of California, San Diego, and an M.B.A. from the University of Chicago.

Mr. Schragger has served as a member of our Board of Directors since May 2004 was a member of the Board of Directors of Treaty Oak Holdings since November 2003. He has extensive experience in complex business matters in the financial industries and real estate, both as an independent business investor and as a practicing attorney. He has been in the private practice of law in Texas since 1988 and in Illinois from 1963 to 1988. Mr. Schragger holds a Bachelors degree in accounting from Ohio State University and an LLM from the University of Michigan Law School.

Mr. Watson has served as a member of our Board of Directors since May 2004 and is a director of the Bank. He is president of the Mariner Group, Inc., a bank investment and management consulting company he founded in 1999. From 1996 to 1999, Mr. Watson served as managing director of bank operations for Fleet Financial Group, where he was responsible for the bank's consolidated operating units including deposits, commercial and consumer loans, investments (trust and mutual fund), and capital markets. In 1995, Mr. Watson assumed responsibility for the Payment System Services Group at Wells Fargo Bank, following its acquisition of First Interstate Bancorp, where he held several executive management positions with affiliates from 1988 to 1995. Mr. Watson has over 28 years experience in banking and holds a BBA degree in finance from the University of Texas. He is currently a member of the board of directors of U.S Data Works, Inc. a public reporting company.

Mr. Coleman has served as a member of our Board of Directors since May 2004 and is a director of the Bank. He is a practicing attorney and since 1984 has been the president of his own firm, Arthur C. Coleman, P.C. Additionally, he has been Senior Vice President, Director, and General Counsel for the Medical Institute for Sexual Health since 1997. Mr. Coleman was instrumental in organizing the former Hill Country Bank and served as its general counsel and a member of its board of directors until its sale to Compass Bancshares in 1998. He holds a BS degree in economics from the Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania and a law degree from Columbia University School of Law.

Mr. Urbina is president of Urbina & Co. Certified Public Accountants of Central Texas. As a CPA for 17 years, Mr. Urbina provides advisory business solutions and accounting services to primarily high net worth business owners, technology executives, and real estate operators. As an owner of business interests ranging from heavy truck dealerships to real estate shopping centers, technology companies and restaurants, Urbina offers extensive knowledge both from a CPA perspective and as a business owner. Mr. Urbina is also experienced in advising and acting as a limited member of a multi-state national venture capital firm and also serves as an advisor to the board for a publicly traded technology company. Mr. Urbina also serves on the Bank's audit committee.

Mr. Schneider has been in the medical industry since 1974, and has served primarily in executive positions since 1983. He co-founded, expanded, and sold three medical companies, two of which were national concerns. Mr. Schneider serves on the Master M.U.D. board serving the Barton Creek Country Club development area, and is a board

43

member for the San Saba Wildlife Management Association, where he was the founder and past president. Mr. Schneider received a BBA in marketing from the University of Texas at Austin.

Mr. White is president of the Texline branch of the Bank and is a director of the Bank. He previously served as president and chief executive officer of the Texline State Bank from July 2001 to March 2004 prior to our acquisition. He has more than 20 years experience in the banking industry, specializing in agricultural lending. Prior to that time, he was Senior Vice President and Senior Lender at Southwest State Bank in Sentinel, Oklahoma, from 1995 to 2001. He has also served in the capacity of vice president at First National Bank of Hooker, Oklahoma, Citizens State Bank of Liberal, Kansas, and Dumas Banking Center of Dumas, Texas. He attended Oklahoma State University, Northwestern State University, and Panhandle State University, with a major in agri-business. He also attended the Kansas School of Agriculture Banking and has participated in numerous banking seminars during his career. Mr. White is an active civic leader in the Texline community.

Mr. Randall Meeks has served as the Bank's executive vice president and chief loan officer since April 2004. Before joining the Bank, Mr. Meeks was Partner/General Manager of Art Office Signs, a regional manufacturing/service business from March 1995 to March 2004. From February 1989 to March 1995, he served as Senior Vice President and Manager of the Commercial Lending Division in the Austin branch Boatmen's National Bank, which was acquired by Bank of America. Mr. Meeks received a BBA in Finance degree from the University of Texas at Austin in August 1982 and is an August 1993 graduate of the Graduate School of Banking at Colorado. M. Randall Meeks is the son of Charles T. Meeks, our chairman and a member of our Board of Directors.

Mr. Clark has served as the Bank's chief credit officer since March 2004. Before joining the Bank, Mr. Clark served as Manager, Trust Real Estate Group for the Austin region, and trust administrator, for JPMorgan Private Bank from March 1995 to March 2004. Mr. Clark has over 29 years of experience in the commercial credit industry and received his BBA in Accounting from the University of Texas at Austin in May 1974.

Ms. Bostick joined the Company in March, 2004, and has served as the Bank's senior vice president and director of service and customer satisfaction, as well as assuming the role of marketing director. Prior to joining the Bank, Ms. Bostick was Vice President of Sales and Client Development for Supportkids, the largest privately owned child support enforcement agency in the world. From December 1991 to February 1998, Ms. Bostick served in several sales and management positions for Harte-Hanks Response Management, an outsource provider for high tech Fortune 500 companies. Ms. Bostick also has five years of banking experience in the commercial lending support area at First Interstate Bank from 1986 to 1991.

Audit Committee

We have a separately-designated standing Audit Committee. Its members are Hayden D. Watson, Carl J. Stolle, Elias F. Lee Urbina and William T. Willis, a director of the Bank who serves as an ex-officio member of the committee. Mr. Watson serves as chairman. Even though our common stock is not listed, we have determined that the NASDAQ independence standards are appropriate standards for our directors in accordance with applicable securities law requirements. Accordingly, each of the members of the Audit Committee is independent under these standards.

In addition, as a result of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, we are required to disclose (1) whether we have at least one audit committee financial expert serving on our audit committee and the name of that expert, (2) whether the audit committee financial expert is independent, and (3) if we have no audit committee financial expert serving on our audit committee, we must state that fact and explain why. Elias F. Lee Urbina is our designated audit committee financial expert. William T. Willis, a director of the Bank who serves as an ex-officio member of the Committee, also meets the statutory guideline as a financial expert. The Board of Directors and each of the members of the Audit Committee believe that the Audit Committee as presently comprised thoroughly and adequately performs its primary functions.

Director Nominee Procedures for Security Holders

Under our bylaws, shareholders may nominate persons for election to our Board of Directors upon delivery of timely written notice to our corporate secretary. To be considered timely, the notice must be delivered or mailed and received at our principal offices not less than 60 days nor more than 180 days prior to the first anniversary of the preceding year's annual meeting (in the case of an annual meeting) unless the date of such annual meeting is changed by more than 30 days from the anniversary date. In the latter case (and in the case of a special meeting), the notice must be received not later than the close of business on the 10th day following the earlier of the date on which a written statement setting forth the date of the meeting was mailed to shareholders or the date on which it is first disclosed to the public.

In addition, shareholders must be entitled to vote at the applicable meeting and be a shareholder of record at the time of providing notice in order for such nominations to be accepted.

Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act

Our directors, officers, and 10% or more shareholders are not presently subject to Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act because we do not have a class of securities registered under Section 12 of that Act.

Code of Ethics

We have adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, which, together with the policies referred to therein, is applicable to all our directors, officers and employees. The Code of Business Conduct and Ethics covers all areas of professional conduct, including conflicts of interest, disclosure obligations, insider trading and confidential information, as well as compliance with all laws, rules and regulations applicable to our business. We encourage all employees, officers and directors to promptly report any violations of any of our policies. Copies of our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics may be obtained by any person, without charge, upon written request to our corporate secretary.

Item 10. *Executive Compensation*

Summary Compensation Table

The following table sets forth certain summary information concerning the cash and non-cash compensation awarded to, earned by, or paid to our chief executive officer and each of the two other most highly compensated executive officers of the Company whose salary and bonus for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2006, and 2005, was in excess of \$100,000 for services rendered in all capacities to the Company and its subsidiaries. In addition, we have included compensation information for our remaining executive officers who we paid in fiscal 2006 and 2005. No executive officers who would have otherwise been included in such table on the basis of salary and bonus earned for the 2006 or 2005 fiscal years have been excluded by reason of his or her termination of employment or change in executive status during that year. The listed individuals shall be hereinafter referred to as the Named Executive Officers.

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

| Name and Principal Position | Fiscal Year | Annual Compensation | | | Long-Term Compensation | |
|---|-------------|---------------------|-----------|---------------------------|---|------------------------|
| | | Salary | Bonus | Other Annual Compensation | Securities Underlying Stock Options Granted | All Other Compensation |
| Terry W. Hamann Former Chairman, President, and Chief Executive Officer (1) | | | | | | |
| | 2006 | \$ 60,000 | - | - | 5,000 | - |
| | 2005 | \$ 126,243 | \$ 26,000 | - | - | \$ 2,993 |
| Jeffrey L. Nash President, Chief Executive Officer, Bank President and Director (2) | | | | | | |
| | 2006 | \$ 185,559 | \$ 32,247 | \$ 25,500 | 15,000 | \$ 11,562 |
| | 2005 | \$ 168,000 | \$ 26,000 | - | - | \$ 5,400 |
| Sandra L. Ellsworth Secretary/Treasurer and Treaty Oak Bank CFO and Cashier (3) | | | | | | |
| | 2006 | \$ 117,108 | \$ 9,662 | - | 5,000 | \$ 1,000 |
| | 2005 | \$ 83,750 | \$ 5,207 | - | - | \$ 900 |
| M. Randall Meeks Treaty Oak Bank Chief Lending Officer (3) | | | | | | |
| | 2006 | \$ 99,627 | \$ 14,675 | - | 9,000 | \$ 3,212 |
| | 2005 | \$ 91,417 | \$ 3,138 | - | - | \$ 3,633 |
| Sheila A. Bostick Treaty Oak Bank Senior Vice President (3) | | | | | | |
| | 2006 | \$ 100,091 | \$ 17,018 | - | 10,000 | \$ 6,333 |
| Thomas Clark Treaty Oak Bank Senior Credit Officer and Compliance Officer (3) | | | | | | |
| | 2006 | \$ 101,397 | \$ 14,110 | - | 8,750 | \$ 3,088 |
| | 2005 | \$ 95,022 | \$ 3,138 | - | - | \$ 2,149 |

(1) In February 2006, Terry W. Hamann resigned as President, CEO and Chairman of the Company. Mr. Hamann was elected Chairman Emeritus at that time. In August, 2006, Mr. Hamann resigned all remaining positions with the Company including Chairman Emeritus, Director and all committee assignments. All other compensation in 2005 represents automobile allowances and our contributions to SIMPLE IRA plan.

(2) All other annual compensation represents a stock grant of 3,000 shares. All other compensation represents automobile allowances and our contributions to SIMPLE IRA plan.

(3) All other compensation represents our contributions to SIMPLE IRA plan.

Option Grants

During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006, we granted stock options totaling 52,750 to the Named Executive Officers, which included 5,000 options granted to Terry W. Hamann, the former CEO and Chairman of the Company. We did not grant any stock options or stock appreciation rights to any of the Named Executive Officers in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2005.

Fiscal Year-end Option Values

The following table provides information, with respect to the Named Executive Officers, concerning their exercise of options during the year ended September 30, 2006, and unexercised options held by them as of the end of such fiscal year. We have not granted any stock appreciation rights.

**AGGREGATED OPTION/SAR EXERCISES IN LAST FISCAL YEAR AND FY-END
OPTION/SAR VALUES**

| Name | Shares Acquired On Exercise | Value Realized | Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options At FY-End Exercisable/Unexercisable | Value of Unexercised In-the- Money Options At FY-End Exercisable/Unexercisable(1) |
|---------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|--|---|
| Terry W. Hamann | - | - | 20,000/0 | \$ 2,550/\$0 |
| Jeffrey L. Nash | - | - | 10,000/20,000 | \$ 1,700/\$850 |
| Sandra L. Ellsworth | - | - | 3,333/6,667 | \$ 567/\$283 |
| M. Randall Meeks | - | - | 1,667/9,833 | \$ 283/\$142 |
| Sheila A. Bostick | - | - | 2,333/11,167 | \$ 397/\$198 |
| Thomas G. Clark | - | - | 1,667/9,583 | \$ 283/\$142 |

(1) These amounts represent the difference between the exercise price of the stock options and \$8.50, which was the closing market price of our common stock on September 29, 2006 (the last trading day for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006).

Director Compensation

We currently pay our outside directors for their services as directors and committee members, for attending and participating in Board and committee meetings. In the future, we expect to continue to pay retainer and committee fees at the rate customary in the banking and financial services industries.

Treaty Oak Bancorp and Treaty Oak Bank directors who are not employees are eligible to receive stock option grants at periodic intervals under the automatic option grant program of our 2004 Stock Incentive Plan. Under the automatic option grant program, on the date of each annual shareholders meeting (beginning in 2005), each non-employee Board member who continues to serve as a non-employee Board member will automatically be granted an option to purchase up to 3,000 shares of common stock, provided he or she has served on the Board for at least six months and has not received an automatic grant on the date of either of the last two preceding annual meetings. All directors are eligible to receive option grants under the discretionary option grant program of the plan. However, we cannot determine the likelihood of future stock options to Board members under the discretionary option grant program at this time.

On October 25, 2005, the Company granted 82,750 options to purchase shares of stock at an exercise price of \$8.50 per share to fifteen (15) officers and directors. The options become exercisable for the option shares in three equal annual installments upon completion of each year of service over the three-year period of service measured from the grant date. Between January 24, 2006 and June 26, 2006, the Company granted a total of 4,150 stock options with an exercise price ranging from \$8.35 to \$8.50 to various Bank employees. On July 25, 2006, we granted 5,000 options to Jeffrey L. Nash and 10,000 options to William T. Willis, a non-employee Bank Board member, at an exercise price of \$8.50 per share. Between August 21, 2006 and September 26, 2006, we granted 18,100 stock options to various employees of the Bank at exercise prices ranging from \$8.50 to \$8.75 per share. Included in the September 26, 2006 grant were 5,000 options to M. Randall Meeks and 5,000 options to Thomas G. Clark with an exercise price of \$8.75.

Employment Agreements

On September 27, 2006, we entered into an Employment Agreement with Jeffrey L. Nash whereby he agreed to continue to serve as our President and Chief Executive Officer. Under the terms of the Employment Agreement, Mr. Nash will receive an annual base salary of \$200,000 and other usual and customary benefits. The Company granted Mr. Nash options to purchase 15,000 shares of the Company's common stock under the Company's 2004 Stock Incentive Stock Plan (the "Plan") at an exercise price per share of the fair market value of the Company's common stock as of the date of the grant, with one-third of the underlying shares to vest on the grant date of October 25, 2007 and the remaining two-thirds to vest equally on the first and second anniversary of the grant date. Mr. Nash is eligible to receive an annual bonus equal to 50% of his base annual salary plus any additional bonus amounts based on his performance, as determined in the sole discretion of the Board of Directors. The Employment Agreement has a two year term ending on September 27, 2008, with automatic one year renewal terms unless terminated earlier by either party. Pursuant to the terms of the Employment Agreement, if the Company terminates Mr. Nash other than for cause or by reason of death or disability or if Mr. Nash resigns following a material diminution in his title, responsibilities or direct-report staff or a change of control (as such terms are defined or used in the Employment Agreement), Mr. Nash will be entitled to a lump sum payment of one times his current base salary in cash and any remaining unvested options shall vest at that time.

All of our other executive officers are employees-at-will serving at the pleasure of our Board of Directors.

Item 11. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Shareholder Matters

Securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans

The following table provides information as of September 30, 2006, regarding our 2004 Stock Incentive Plan under which our common stock is authorized for issuance:

EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION

| | Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a) | Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b) | Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) |
|---|--|---|--|
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders | 301,350 | \$ 8.47 | 303,422 (1) |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Total | 301,350 | \$ 8.47 | 303,422 |

(1) The plan reserve automatically increases on January 3, 2007, by an amount equal to 2% of the total number of shares of our common stock outstanding on December 31, 2006, subject to a 100,000-share increase limit.

Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

This following table sets forth information regarding the beneficial ownership of our common stock as of December 1, 2006, for:

- each person known by us to own beneficially more than 5% of our common stock;
- each executive officer named in the summary compensation table;
- each of our directors and director nominees; and
- all of our directors and executive officers as a group.

Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission and includes voting and investment power with respect to the securities. Except as indicated in the notes following the table, and subject to applicable community property laws, the persons named in the table have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of common stock shown as beneficially owned by them. The applicable percentage of ownership for each shareholder is based on 2,730,187 shares of common stock outstanding as of December 1, 2006, together with the shares of common stock underlying options or warrants held by such persons that are exercisable on or before February 1, 2007. Shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of options and other rights beneficially owned that are exercisable on or before February 1, 2007 are deemed outstanding for the purpose of computing the percentage ownership of the person holding those options and other rights but are not deemed outstanding for computing the percentage ownership of any other person.

Edgar Filing: TREATY OAK BANCORP INC - Form 10KSB

| Name and Address | Number of Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned | Percentage | |
|--|---|------------|---|
| Named Executive Officers, Directors, and Director Nominees | | | |
| William J. McLellan (1)(2) | 105,287 | 3.86 | % |
| Jeffrey L. Nash (1)(3) | 108,692 | 3.98 | % |
| Sandra L. Ellsworth (1)(4) | 8,600 | * | |
| Sheila A. Bostick (1)(5) | 7,606 | * | |
| Thomas G. Clark (1)(6) | 6,517 | * | |
| M. Randall Meeks (1)(7) | 6,602 | * | |
| Carl J. Stolle (8) 5108 Cuesta Verde Austin, Texas 78746 | 153,298 | 5.61 | % |
| Marvin L. Schrage (9) 220 N. Zapata Highway #11 Laredo, Texas 78043 | 27,479 | 1.01 | % |
| Charles T. Meeks (10) 2308 Quail Run Cove Lago Vista, Texas 78645 | 39,823 | 1.46 | % |
| Hayden D. Watson (11) 7901 Bee Cave Road #18 Austin, Texas 78746 | 52,527 | 1.92 | % |
| Arthur H. Coleman (12) 2905 Thousand Oaks Dr Austin, Texas 78746 | 10,053 | * | |
| Elias F. Lee Urbina (13) 500 N. Capital of Texas Highway, #3-100 Austin, Texas 78746 | 4,010 | * | |
| Bill F. Schneider (14) 905 Crystal Mountain Drive Austin, Texas 78733 | 126,875 | 4.65 | % |
| 5% Shareholders | | | |
| Treaty Oak Holdings, Inc. (15) 101 Westlake Drive Austin, Texas 78746 | 0 | * | |
| Terry W. Hamann (16) 5300 Bee Cave Road Building III, Suite 200 Austin, Texas 78746 | 238,124 | 8.72 | % |
| All directors and executive officers as a group (14 persons) (17) | 895,793 | 32.81 | % |

* Represents beneficial ownership of less than 1% of the outstanding shares of our common stock.

- (1) Address is 101 Westlake Drive, Austin, Texas 78746.
- (2) Includes 2,000 shares issuable upon exercise of warrants and 11,667 shares issuable upon exercise of options.

50

- (3) Includes 800 shares issuable upon exercise of warrants and 33,636 shares issuable upon exercise of options. Also includes 1,000 shares and warrants to purchase 200 shares held for the benefit of Mr. Nash's children, which beneficial ownership of such shares is disclaimed.
- (4) Includes 600 shares issuable upon exercise of warrants and 5,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options.
- (5) Includes 601 shares issuable upon exercise of warrants and 4,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options.
- (6) Includes 600 shares issuable upon exercise of warrants and 2,917 shares issuable upon exercise of options.
- (7) Includes 600 shares issuable upon exercise of warrants and 3,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options.
- (8) Includes 1,200 shares issuable upon exercise of warrants and 17,454 shares issuable upon exercise of options.
- (9) Includes 1,158 shares issuable upon exercise of warrants and 10,167 shares issuable upon exercise of options.
- (10) Includes 2,640 shares issuable upon exercise of warrants and 11,363 shares issuable upon exercise of options. Also includes 6,000 shares held jointly with Mr. Meeks' spouse.
- (11) Includes 3,480 shares issuable upon exercise of warrants and 10,167 shares issuable upon exercise of options.
- (12) Includes 120 shares issuable upon exercise of warrants and 9,333 shares issuable upon exercise of options.
- (13) Includes 4,010 shares held in the name of Mr. Urbina's limited partnership, La Patrona, Ltd.
- (14) Mr. Schneider was elected to the Company's board on October 26, 2006. Amounts shown reflect 4,802 shares issuable upon exercise of warrants. Includes 121,892 shares held in the name of Mr. Schneiders' family limited partnership, The B.F. Schneider Family, Ltd.
- (15) On November 15, 2006, 1,000,000 shares owned by Treaty Oak Holdings, Inc. were retired in connection with the merger of Treaty Oak Holdings, Inc. with and into the Company.
- (16) In February 2006, Mr. Hamann resigned as President, CEO and Chairman of the Company. Mr. Hamann was elected Chairman Emeritus at that time. In August, 2006, Mr. Hamann resigned all remaining positions with the Company including Chairman Emeritus, Director and all committee assignments. Amounts shown reflect 1,999 shares issuable upon exercise of warrants and 20,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options. Also includes 196,125 shares issuable upon exercise of warrants for which Mr. Hamann has agreed to grant a proxy to the Company for all of such underlying shares of common stock upon the exercise thereof.
- (17) Includes 216,925 shares issuable upon exercise of warrants and 138,704 shares issuable upon exercise of options.

Item 12. *Certain Relationships and Related Transactions*

General

We expect to enter into banking and other business transactions in the ordinary course of business with our directors and officers, including members of their families and corporations, partnerships, or other organizations in which they have a controlling interest. Each of these transactions will be on the following terms:

- In the case of banking transactions, each transaction will be on substantially the same terms, including price or interest rate and collateral, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with

51

unrelated parties, and any banking transactions will not be expected to involve more than the normal risk of collectibility or present other unfavorable features to the Bank;

- In the case of business transactions, each transaction will be on terms no less favorable than could be obtained from an unrelated third party;
- In the case of all related party transactions, each transaction will be approved by a majority of the independent and disinterested directors; and
- In the case of loans to related parties or loan guarantees by related parties:
- the loans will be evidenced by promissory notes naming Treaty Oak Bank as payee;
- the terms of the loans will be comparable to those normally assessed by other lenders for similar loans made in the Texline and Austin areas;
- the loans will be repaid pursuant to appropriate amortization schedules and contain default provisions comparable to those normally used by other commercial lenders for similar loans;
- the loans will be made only if credit reports and financial statements show the loans to be collectible and the borrowers are satisfactory credit risks, in light of the nature and terms of the loans and other circumstances;
- the loans meet the loan policies normally used by other commercial lenders for similar loans;
- the purpose of the loans and the disbursements of proceeds will be reviewed and monitored in a manner comparable to that normally used by commercial lenders for similar loans; and
- the loans will not violate the requirements of any banking or other financial institution's regulatory authority.

Acquisition of Treaty Oak Holdings, Inc.

On November 15, 2006, the Company completed its previously announced acquisition of Treaty Oak Holdings, Inc. (TOHI) pursuant to the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated October 3, 2006 (the Merger Agreement). The merger was approved by the Company's Board of Directors and also by the Company's shareholders at a Special Meeting of Shareholders held on October 24, 2006. Prior to the consummation of the merger, TOHI held approximately 39% of the issued and outstanding shares of our common stock. Pursuant to the Merger Agreement, (1) each issued and outstanding share of TOHI's common stock, par value \$0.01 per share was converted into the right to receive 0.8121 shares of the Company's common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, and (2) each issued and outstanding share of TOHI's preferred stock, par value \$10.00 per share, was converted into the right to receive 1.2034 shares of the Company's common stock.

TOHI had options to acquire 40,000 shares of common stock outstanding as of the date of the merger, which options were assumed by the Company and, pursuant to the conversion ratio, now represent options to acquire 32,484 shares of the Company's common stock. Also, at the closing of the merger, certain TOHI warrant holders were issued new warrants to acquire, in the aggregate, 450,000 shares of the Company's common stock. The Company granted the TOHI shareholders certain limited registration rights pursuant to a Registration Rights Agreement executed at the closing of the merger. Pursuant to the Registration Rights Agreement these former TOHI shareholders can request that the Company register their shares of the Company's common stock on a Registration Statement on Form S-3 if such form is available for use by the Company, but only once during any 12-month period. The former TOHI warrant holders were also granted similar S-3 registration rights.

PGI Equity Partners, L.P.

Office Lease

Our offices are located in a renovated building at 101 Westlake Drive, Austin, Texas. The Bank occupies approximately 7,700 square feet for its offices and the Company's corporate offices occupy an additional 1,000 square feet. The office space is leased from PGI Equity Partners, L.P., a Texas limited partnership. As of September 30, 2006, we own a limited partnership interest of 47.5% in PGI Equity Partners, L.P. We engaged the services of a third party consultant in determining the fair market rental value of this office space to be leased to us and the Bank. Upon completion of the merger of the Company and Treaty Oak Holdings, Inc. on November 15, 2006, the Company now has 100% ownership and control of the real estate through its ownership of the limited partnership interests of PGI Equity Partners, L.P. and its general partner, PGI Capital, Inc.

Investment in PGI Equity Partners, L.P.

At September 30, 2006, we owned a 51.25% limited partnership interest in PGI Equity Partners, LP. Following the consummation of the merger with Treaty Oak Holdings, Inc. on November 15, 2006, we now own a 94.5% limited partnership interest in PGI Equity Partners LP. Additionally, we also now own, as a wholly-owned subsidiary PGI Capital, Inc., the general partner of PGI Equity Partners, Inc., which owns the remaining 5.5% interest in the partnership.

Loans to Treaty Oak Holdings, Inc.

On October 24, 2006, our shareholders approved the acquisition of Treaty Oak Holdings, Inc. (TOHI), our largest shareholder, which held approximately 39% of our issued and outstanding common stock. On August 24, 2006, we had loaned TOHI \$2,425,000 for the redemption of its shares held by certain principals of our previously affiliated entities. The loan was at the prime rate of interest with interest only payable monthly.

On October 11, 2005, we made a \$355,000 loan to TOHI, with interest at the prime rate per annum with monthly interest payments due on the first of each month beginning November 1, 2005. The purpose of the loan was to enable TOHI to pay off certain obligations, and to provide sufficient liquidity to TOHI until the proposed merger with the Company has been completed. On April 26, 2006, the obligation was renewed and increased to \$373,000 at the then current prime rate. The loan was renewed and increased to \$400,000 on September 1, 2006. The principal balance, along with any accrued interest, is due and payable in full on November 1, 2006.

In November 2006, TOHI completed a private placement of shares of common stock that raised approximately \$3,500,000 and TOHI was able to repay the \$2,425,000 and the \$400,000 loan in full on November 15, 2006, upon the consummation of the merger between TOHI and the Company.

PGI Capital, Inc.

On March 14, 2005, in conjunction with the refinancing of the PGI Equity Partner, LP real estate, the Bank loaned PGI Capital, Inc., a controlled subsidiary of Treaty Oak Holdings, Inc., \$200,000 which was in part loaned and in part contributed to the capital of PGI Equity Partners. The loan was made on commercially reasonable terms and is secured by a certificate of deposit in the amount of \$200,000 provided by the Company.

Treaty Oak Mortgage, LLC

On June 27, 2005, we acquired a 50% membership interest in Treaty Oak Mortgage, LLC, a mortgage broker focusing on residential real estate lending, from Treaty Oak Financial Holdings, Inc., an affiliated company. We acquired the interest in Treaty Oak Mortgage for a purchase price of \$1,000.

Treaty Oak Commercial Group, LLC

On June 29, 2006, we acquired a 50% membership interest in Treaty Oak Commercial Group, LLC, a commercial real estate brokerage firm providing medium and long term mortgages on commercial real estate. We acquired the interest in Treaty Oak Commercial Group for a purchase price of \$100,000.

Accounting and Tax Services

Until the redemption of the TOHI shares held by the principals of our previously affiliated entities on August 30, 2006, we received tax planning advice and general tax and accounting services from Hamann, Ernstmeyer & Williamson, P.C. (formerly Hamann & Associates, P.C. HEW), a professional corporation of certified public accountants in which Mr. Hamann (the former Chief Executive Officer of the Company) owned an 80% interest until March 30, 2005, when his ownership interest was reduced to 5% and as of that time, he was no longer an officer or director of HEW. As of November 1, 2005, Mr. Hamann had no ownership interest in HEW. HEW is an accounting firm focused on tax planning and tax advisory work and does not provide auditing services to clients. During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, we paid approximately \$35,000 and \$81,000, respectively for these services. None of the services provided in the years ended September 30, 2005 and 2006, were provided by Mr. Hamann.

Promotional Shares Lock-In Agreement

In connection with our public offering, Treaty Oak Holdings, our organizing shareholder, and certain of our officers and directors were required by the Texas State Securities Board to escrow their shares of common stock issued to them upon our formation pursuant to a Promotional Shares Lock-In Agreement. Pursuant to the terms of the Lock-In Agreement, beginning two years from the date of completion of the offering, 2.5% of the promotional shares may be released each quarter on a pro rata basis among the depositors, and all remaining promotional shares will be released from escrow on the fourth anniversary from the date of completion of this offering. The promotional shares may not be transferred by the holders thereof, except in certain limited instances such as by will, the laws of descent and distribution, by order of any court of competent jurisdiction or by gift to family members.

Edgar Filing: TREATY OAK BANCORP INC - Form 10KSB

Item 13. *Exhibits*

The following exhibits are filed herewith or are incorporated by reference to exhibits previously filed with the SEC.

Exhibit

| Number | Exhibit Title |
|----------|---|
| 2.1(1) | Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated October 3, 2006, between Treaty Oak Holdings, Inc. and the Company |
| 3.1(2) | Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation |
| 3.2(3) | By-laws |
| 4.1(3) | Specimen Common Stock Certificate, \$.01 par value per share |
| 4.2(3) | See Exhibits 3.1 and 3.2 for provisions of the Articles of Incorporation and By-laws defining rights of holders of Common Stock |
| 10.1(3) | Amended and Restated Building Lease between PGI Equity Partners, L.P. and Treaty Oak Bank |
| 10.2(3) | Expense Reimbursement Agreement between Treaty Oak Holdings, Inc. and Treaty Oak Bancorp, Inc. |
| 10.3(3) | Form of Promotional Shares Lock-In Agreement |
| 10.4(3) | Form of Escrow Agreement between Treaty Oak Bancorp, Inc. and TIB The Independent Bankers Bank |
| 10.5(3) | Form of Treaty Oak Bancorp Shareholders Warrant Agreement |
| 10.6(2)* | Treaty Oak Bancorp, Inc. 2004 Stock Incentive Plan (amended and restated as of May 20, 2004) |
| 10.7(3)* | Form of Indemnification Agreement between Treaty Oak Bancorp, Inc. and its directors and officers, and those of the Bank |
| 10.8(3) | Registration Rights Agreement between Treaty Oak Bancorp, Inc. and Treaty Oak Holdings, Inc. |
| 10.9(4)* | Employment Agreement, dated September 27, 2006, between Treaty Oak Bancorp, Inc. and Jeffrey L. Nash |
| 10.10 | Form of Warrant** |
| 10.11 | Form of Registration Rights Agreement with former shareholders of Treaty Oak Holdings, Inc. ** |
| 10.12 | Form of Registration Rights Agreement with former warrant holders of Treaty Oak Holdings, Inc.** |
| 21 | Subsidiaries** |
| 23.1 | Consent of McGladrey & Pullen LLP** |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) under the Exchange Act** |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) under the Exchange Act** |
| 32.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002** |
| 32.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002** |

55

* Indicates management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

- (1) Incorporated by reference to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed October 10, 2006.
- (2) Incorporated by reference to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed October 22, 2004.
- (3) Incorporated by reference to the same numbered exhibit to the Company's Registration Statement on Form SB-2.
- (4) Incorporated by reference to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed November 1, 2006.

** Filed herewith.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

The following is a summary of the fees billed to us by our independent auditors, McGladrey & Pullen, LLP and RSM McGladrey, Inc., for professional services rendered for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2006, and 2005.

| Fee Category | Years Ended | |
|------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | September 30, 2006 | September 30, 2005 |
| Audit Fees (1) | \$ 73,268 | \$ 64,500 |
| Audit-Related Fees (2) | - | - |
| Tax Fees (3) | 17,675 | - |
| All Other Fees (4) | - | - |
| Total Fees | \$ 90,943 | \$ 64,500 |

(1) Audit fees consist of aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered for the audit of the Company's annual financial statements and review of the interim financial statements included in the quarterly reports or services that are normally provided by the independent auditor in connection with the statutory and regulatory filings or engagements for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2006, and 2005.

(2) Audit related fees consist of aggregate fees billed for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the Company's financial statements and are not reported under Audit Fees. These fees include review of registration statements and participation at meeting of the board of directors and audit committees.

(3) Tax fees consist of aggregate fees billed for professional services for tax compliance, advice and planning.

(4) All other fees consist of aggregate fees billed for products and services other than those disclosed above and may include accounting research or assistance with regulatory matters.

Our Audit Committee has not established pre-approval procedures and instead specifically approves each service prior to the engagement of the auditor for all audit and non-audit services.

SIGNATURES

In accordance with Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

TREATY OAK BANCORP, INC.

Dated: December 29, 2006

By:

/s/ Jeffrey L. Nash
 Jeffrey L. Nash, President and Chief Executive Officer

In accordance with the Exchange Act, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| Signature | Title | Date |
|--|---|-------------------|
| /s/ Charles T. Meeks Charles T. Meeks | Chairman of the Board | December 29, 2006 |
| /s/ Jeffrey L. Nash Jeffrey L. Nash | Chief Executive Officer, President, and Director (Principal Executive Officer) | December 29, 2006 |
| /s/ William J. McLellan William J. McLellan | Director | December 29, 2006 |
| /s/ Elias F. Lee Urbina Elias F. Lee Urbina | Director | December 29, 2006 |
| /s/ Carl J. Stolle Carl J. Stolle | Director | December 29, 2006 |
| /s/ Marvin L. Schragger Marvin L. Schragger | Director | December 29, 2006 |
| /s/ Hayden D. Watson Hayden D. Watson | Director | December 29, 2006 |
| /s/ Arthur H. Coleman Arthur H. Coleman | Director | December 29, 2006 |
| /s/ Bill F. Schneider Bill F. Schneider | Director | December 29, 2006 |

Contents

| | |
|---|-----|
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | F-1 |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u> | F-2 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations</u> | F-3 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Changes In Shareholders' Equity</u> | F-4 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u> | F-5 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | F-7 |

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors
Treaty Oak Bancorp, Inc.
Austin, Texas

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Treaty Oak Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiaries (the Company) as of September 30, 2006, and 2005, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in shareholders' equity and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Treaty Oak Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of September 30, 2006, and 2005, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Dallas, Texas
December 28, 2006

McGladrey & Pullen, LLP is an independent member firm of RSM International, an affiliation of independent accounting and consulting firms.

F-1

Treaty Oak Bancorp, Inc.

Consolidated Balance Sheets

September 30, 2006 and 2005

(Dollars In Thousands, Except Shares and Par Value Amounts)

| | September 30, 2006 | September 30, 2005 |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Cash and cash items | \$ 267 | \$ 230 |
| Due from banks | 2,312 | 9,271 |
| Federal funds sold | 23,775 | 3,606 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents | 26,354 | 13,107 |
| Securities available for sale | 16 | 427 |
| Securities held to maturity, fair value of \$2,001 and \$3,472, respectively | 2,006 | 3,501 |
| Investment in Federal Home Loan Bank, at cost | 224 | 217 |
| Investment in Independent Banker's Financial Corporation stock, at cost | 102 | 100 |
| Loans, net | 59,620 | 35,683 |
| Premises and equipment, net | 5,593 | 5,819 |
| Notes receivable from affiliate | 2,821 | |
| Accrued interest receivable | 484 | 348 |
| Due from affiliates | 192 | 34 |
| Goodwill and other intangibles, net | 1,205 | 1,215 |
| Other assets | 474 | 298 |
| Total assets | \$ 99,091 | \$ 60,749 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Deposits: | | |
| Noninterest-bearing demand | \$ 28,091 | \$ 17,057 |
| NOW, money market and savings | 32,401 | 13,413 |
| Time deposits | 20,526 | 15,076 |
| Total deposits | 81,018 | 45,546 |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 352 | 109 |
| Accrued interest payable | 160 | 116 |
| Property mortgage | 2,667 | 2,727 |
| Notes payable | 2,483 | 74 |
| Minority interest - PGI Equity Partners, LP | 1,106 | 1,109 |
| Other liabilities | 33 | 35 |
| Total liabilities | 87,819 | 49,716 |
| Shareholders' equity: | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; 1,000,000 shares authorized; none issued | | |
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value; 20,000,000 shares authorized; 2,640,226 and 2,635,746, respectively, issued | 26 | 26 |
| Paid-in capital | 14,989 | 14,949 |
| Accumulated deficit | (3,693) | (3,888) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (4) | (4) |
| Less shares held in treasury, at cost (6,003 shares) | (50) | (50) |
| Total shareholders' equity | 11,272 | 11,033 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 99,091 | \$ 60,749 |

See Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Treaty Oak Bancorp, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Operations

Year Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005

(Dollars In Thousands, Except Shares and Per Share Amounts)

| | Year Ended September 30, 2006 | Year Ended September 30, 2005 |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Interest income: | | |
| Loans, including fees | \$ 4,184 | \$ 1,700 |
| Taxable securities | 93 | 131 |
| Interest on deposits with other banks | 93 | 70 |
| Federal funds sold | 340 | 160 |
| Total interest income | 4,710 | 2,061 |
| Interest expense: | | |
| Deposits | 1,019 | 482 |
| Other borrowings | 183 | 146 |
| Total interest expense | 1,202 | 628 |
| Net interest income | 3,508 | 1,433 |
| Provision for loan losses | 295 | 130 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 3,213 | 1,303 |
| Noninterest income: | | |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | 103 | 80 |
| Other noninterest income | 414 | 247 |
| Total noninterest income | 517 | 327 |
| Noninterest expense: | | |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 1,728 | 1,793 |
| Occupancy and equipment expenses | 398 | 296 |
| Accounting and other professional fees | 294 | 291 |
| Other noninterest expense | 1,089 | 1,015 |
| Income (losses) allocated to minority interests | 26 | (28) |
| Total noninterest expense | 3,535 | 3,367 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 195 | \$ (1,737) |
| Earnings (loss) per common share - basic | \$ 0.07 | \$ (0.66) |
| Earnings (loss) per common share - diluted | \$ 0.07 | \$ (0.66) |
| Weighted average shares outstanding - basic | 2,630,720 | 2,619,411 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding - dilutive | 2,633,953 | 2,619,411 |

See Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

F-3

Treaty Oak Bancorp, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Changes In Shareholders Equity
Year Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005
(Dollars In Thousands)

| | Common Stock Shares | Amount | Additional paid-in capital | Subscribed for but not paid for and not issued | Accumulated Deficit | Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | Treasury Stock | Total |
|---|------------------------|--------|----------------------------------|---|------------------------|--|-------------------|-----------|
| Balances at October 1, 2004 | 2,169,814 | \$ 22 | \$ 11,067 | \$ 3,379 | \$ (2,151) | \$ 2 | \$ | 12,319 |
| Sale of common stock subscribed as of September 30, 2004 | 405,663 | 4 | 3,375 | (3,379) | | | | |
| Sale of common stock, net of costs of issuance | 47,510 | | 393 | | | | | 393 |
| Shares repurchased and held in treasury | | | | | | | (50) | (50) |
| Non-cash stock based compensation | 11,199 | | 98 | | | | | 98 |
| Shares issued through the exercise of common stock warrants | 1,560 | | 16 | | | | | 16 |
| Comprehensive loss: | | | | | | | | |
| Net loss | | | | | (1,737) | | | (1,737) |
| Change in unrealized depreciation on securities available for sale | | | | | | (6) | | (6) |
| Total comprehensive loss | | | | | | | | (1,743) |
| Balances at September 30, 2005 | 2,635,746 | \$ 26 | \$ 14,949 | \$ | \$ (3,888) | \$ (4) | \$ (50) | \$ 11,033 |
| Shares issued through the exercise of common stock warrants | 1,480 | | 15 | | | | | 15 |
| Non-cash stock based compensation | 3,000 | | 25 | | | | | 25 |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | | | | |
| Net income | | | | | 195 | | | 195 |
| Change in unrealized appreciation on securities available for sale | | | | | | 4 | | 4 |
| Total comprehensive income | | | | | | | | 199 |
| Balances at September 30, 2006 | 2,640,226 | \$ 26 | \$ 14,989 | \$ | \$ (3,693) | \$ | \$ (50) | \$ 11,272 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

F-4

Treaty Oak Bancorp, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
Years Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005
(Dollars In Thousands)

| | September 30, 2006 | September 30, 2005 |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 195 | \$ (1,737) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: | | |
| Minority interests in partnership income (loss) | 26 | (28) |
| Provision for loan losses | 295 | 130 |
| Non-cash stock based compensation | 25 | 98 |
| Write-off of loan acquisition costs in connection with refinancing | | 100 |
| Depreciation and amortization, net | 362 | 321 |
| Changes in other operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Accrued interest receivable | (136) | (204) |
| Other assets | (144) | (59) |
| Accrued interest payable | 44 | 101 |
| Other liabilities | 241 | 12 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | 908 | (1,266) |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Proceeds from maturities and principal repayments on securities - available for sale | 416 | 31 |
| Proceeds from maturities and principal repayments on securities - held to maturity | 1,490 | 2,000 |
| Purchases of securities - held to maturity | | (5,494) |
| Cash paid for acquisitions | | (926) |
| Purchase of minority interest | (80) | |
| Purchases of correspondent bank stock | (7) | (106) |
| Net increase in loans | (24,273) | (25,860) |
| Advances to affiliates | (158) | (128) |
| Repayments of advances to affiliates | | 163 |
| Purchases of premises and equipment | (64) | (623) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (22,676) | (30,943) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Net increase in deposits | 35,472 | 32,353 |
| Proceeds from the sale of common stock | | 3,772 |
| Payments of principal on mortgage | (60) | (364) |
| Proceeds from notes payable | 2,409 | |
| Proceeds from exercise of warrants | 15 | 16 |
| Proceeds from note payable - PGI Equity Partners, LP | | 150 |
| Notes payable to affiliates | (2,821) | |
| Repurchase of common stock | | (50) |
| Increase in minority interest - PGI Equity Partners, LP | | 155 |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 35,015 | 36,032 |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 13,247 | 3,823 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 13,107 | 9,284 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of year | \$ 26,354 | \$ 13,107 |

See Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

Treaty Oak Bancorp, Inc.**Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
Years Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005
(Dollars In Thousands)**

| | 2006 | 2005 |
|--|----------|----------|
| Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information: | | |
| Non-cash investing and financing information: | | |
| Cash interest received | \$ 4,574 | \$ 1,857 |
| Cash interest paid | \$ 1,158 | \$ 527 |
| Assets acquired through foreclosure | \$ 41 | \$ 15 |
| Acquisition of PGI Equity Partners, LP | | |
| Assets acquired | | \$ 4,943 |
| Liabilities assumed | | (3,015) |
| Minority interests | | (1,002) |
| Cash paid, net of cash acquired | | \$ 926 |

See Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

F-6

Treaty Oak Bancorp, Inc.

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
Years Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005**

Note 1. Organization and Nature of Operation

Treaty Oak Bancorp, Inc. (the Company) is a bank holding company incorporated on November 18, 2003, and organized December 8, 2003 for the purpose of holding the common stock of Treaty Oak Bank (formerly Texline State Bank, the Bank). The Company acquired the Bank on March 9, 2004, and began conducting banking operations in Texline, Texas. The Bank commenced operations in Austin, Texas, on September 10, 2004. Prior to the commencement of operations in Austin, the Company was considered to be in the development stage. Since opening the Austin, Texas offices, the Company has pursued its business model of movement toward a more diversified lending strategy with an emphasis on commercial and real estate lending. The Company, through Treaty Oak Bank, provides a full range of commercial and consumer banking services to individuals and businesses in the commercial sector in Austin, Texas, and in the agriculture, cattle and commercial sectors in the community of Texline, Texas.

The Company acquired a 47.5% interest in PGI Equity Partners, LP (the Partnership) on December 30, 2004. The Partnership owns and operates the building in which the Company offices. On February 23, 2006, the Company acquired additional 2.5% Class A and 1.25% Class B interests in the Partnership from the sole remaining minority shareholder for \$80,000 cash, bringing the Company's total ownership interest in the Partnership to 51.25% at September 30, 2006. As of November 15, 2006, following the Company's merger with Treaty Oak Holdings, Inc., the Company now controls 100% of the limited partnership interests in the Partnership as well as the 5.5% interest held by PGI Capital, Inc., the Partnership's general partner. The Partnership has been consolidated in the Company's financial statements since December 31, 2004.

The Company (through its Bank subsidiary) acquired a 50% interest in Treaty Oak Mortgage, LLC, on June 27, 2005 for \$1,000. Treaty Oak Mortgage provides mortgage brokerage services in 38 states. The Company does not have a controlling financial interest in Treaty Oak Mortgage, LLC.

On June 29, 2006, the Company (through its Bank subsidiary) acquired a 50% interest in Treaty Oak Commercial Group, LLC (TOCG) for \$100,000. The Company does not have a controlling interest in TOCG and it is accounted for on the equity method of accounting. TOCG is a commercial real estate brokerage firm providing medium and long term mortgages on commercial real estate. Aside from its initial investment, the Company has no risk associated with operating expenditures or other liabilities of TOCG.

Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

A summary of the significant accounting policies applied in the preparation of the accompanying consolidated financial statements follows. The accounting principles followed and the methods of applying them are in conformity with both accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and prevailing practices of the Banking industry.

Basis of Presentation

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and include the accounts and operations of the Company, its wholly owned subsidiary, the Bank, entities that it owns more than a 50% and entities in which it has a controlling financial interest. Significant inter-company accounts and transactions have been eliminated. The investment in PGI Equity Partners, LP, in which it has been determined that the Company exerts significant influence, is also consolidated under Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 46, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, an Interpretation of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51 (FIN 46). FIN 46 was adopted by the Company for the quarter ended December 31, 2004.

F-7

Treaty Oak Bancorp, Inc.

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
Years Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005**

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses for the period. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

For purposes of reporting cash flows, cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand, amounts due from banks, and federal funds sold. Federal funds are normally sold for one-day periods. The Company normally considers all highly liquid investments with an initial maturity of less than ninety days to be cash equivalents. Cash flows from loans, due from time deposits and deposits are reported net.

Securities

Securities classified as available for sale are those that the Company intends to hold for an indefinite period of time, but not necessarily to maturity. Any decision to sell a security classified as available for sale would be based on various factors, including significant movements in interest rates, changes in the maturity mix of the Company's assets and liabilities, liquidity needs, regulatory capital considerations, and other similar factors. Securities available for sale are reported at fair value with unrealized gains or losses reported as a separate component of other comprehensive income, net of income taxes. Realized gains or losses, determined on the basis of the cost of specific securities sold, are included in earnings. Declines in the fair market value of individual securities to below cost result in the write-down to fair market value. Securities classified as held to maturity are those that the Company has the ability and intent to hold until the instrument matures under the terms of its issuance and are reported at amortized cost. Management determines the appropriate classification of securities at the time of purchase.

Interest income includes amortization of purchase premiums and discounts. Gains and losses on sales of securities are recorded on the trade date and are determined using the specific identification method. Declines in the fair value of held-to-maturity and available-for-sale securities below their cost that are deemed to be other than temporary are reflected in earnings as realized losses. In estimating other-than-temporary impairment losses, management considers (1) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, (2) the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, and (3) the intent and ability of the Company to retain its investment in the issuer for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value.

Loans

Loans that management has the intent and ability to hold for the foreseeable future or until maturity or pay-off are reported at their outstanding principal balance adjusted for the allowance for loan losses. Interest is accrued daily on the outstanding balances.

A loan is considered impaired when it is probable, based upon current information and events, the Company will be unable to collect all contractual principal and interest payments due in accordance with the terms of the loan agreement. Impaired loans are accounted for at the net present value of expected future cash flows, discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, the observable market price of the loan or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent.

The accrual of interest on impaired loans is discontinued when, in management's opinion, the borrower may be unable to meet payments as they become due. When interest accrual is discontinued, all unpaid accrued interest is reversed. Interest income is subsequently recognized only to the extent cash payments are received.

F-8

Treaty Oak Bancorp, Inc.

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
Years Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005**

Loan fees and direct loan origination costs are capitalized and amortized over the expected life of the loan.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is established through a provision for loan losses charged to expense. Loans are charged against the allowance for loan losses when management believes that collectibility of the principal is unlikely. The allowance is an amount that management believes will be adequate to absorb estimated losses on existing loans, based on an evaluation of the collectibility of loans and prior loss experience. This evaluation also takes into consideration such factors as changes in the nature and volume of the loan portfolio, overall portfolio quality, review of specific problem loans, and current economic conditions that may affect the borrower's ability to pay. While management uses the best information available to make its evaluation, future adjustments to the allowance may be necessary if there are significant changes in economic conditions. In addition, regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the Company's allowance for loan losses, and may require the Company to make additions to the allowance based on their judgment about information available to them at the time of their examinations.

Premises and Equipment

Land is carried at cost. Buildings, leasehold improvements, furniture and equipment are carried at cost, less accumulated depreciation which is computed on the straight line method over the following estimated useful lives:

| | |
|---------------------------|---------------|
| Building and improvements | 10 - 25 years |
| Furniture and equipment | 2 - 10 years |

Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of the lease term or their useful life.

Other Real Estate and Repossessed Assets

Assets acquired through or instead of loan foreclosures are initially recorded at fair value when acquired, establishing a new cost basis. If fair value declines, a valuation allowance is recorded through expense. Costs after acquisition are expensed.

Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Comprehensive income (loss) is included in the consolidated statement of changes in shareholders' equity and reported for all periods. Comprehensive income (loss) includes both net income (loss) and other comprehensive income (loss), which includes the change in unrealized gains and losses on securities available for sale.

Income Taxes

Deferred taxes are provided on a liability method whereby deferred tax assets are recognized for deductible temporary differences and deferred tax liabilities are recognized for taxable temporary differences. Temporary differences are the differences between the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and their tax bases. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance when, in the opinion of management, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are adjusted for the effects of changes in tax laws and rates on the date of enactment.

F-9

Treaty Oak Bancorp, Inc.**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
Years Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005**Goodwill, Intangibles and Other Assets

Goodwill is not amortized but is instead reviewed at least annually for impairment, and more often when impairment indicators are present. The Company completed a test for impairment of its goodwill based upon the measurement of its fair value as provided under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangibles* in September 2006. The Company has determined that its goodwill is not impaired.

Core deposit intangibles are amortized using the straight-line method, which approximates the interest method, over seven years, the estimated life of the related deposits.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

The Company evaluates and recognizes impairment of long-lived assets under the provisions of SFAS No. 144, *Accounting for the impairment of Long-Lived Assets*. The Company evaluates long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of an asset may not be recoverable. An impairment loss is recognized when the sum of the undiscounted future cash flow is less than the carrying amount of the asset, in which case, a write-down is recorded to reduce the related asset to its estimated fair value.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company accounts for stock-based compensation to employees using the intrinsic value method. Accordingly, compensation costs for employee stock options is measured as the excess, if any, of the fair value of the Company's common stock at date of grant over the exercise price.

The Company accounts for stock-based compensation to non-employees at fair value.

The Company will be required to adopt FASB Statement 123R, *Share-Based Payments* (Statement 123R) beginning on October 1, 2006. Statement 123R requires public entities to measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of the award (with limited exceptions). That cost will be recognized over the period during which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award—the requisite service period (usually the vesting period).

Statement 123R applies to all awards granted after the required effective date and to awards modified, repurchased or cancelled after that date. Additionally, compensation cost for the portion of the awards for which the requisite service has not been rendered that are outstanding as of the required effective date shall be recognized as the requisite service is rendered on or after the effective date. Based on the options currently outstanding, the adoption of Statement 123R will reduce fiscal 2007 earnings by approximately \$165,000.

The weighted average fair value of the options granted during the years ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 have been estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions:

| | Years Ended September 30, 2006 | | | September 30, 2005 | | |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|------|---|-----------------------|------|---|
| Dividend yield | 0 | % | | 0 | % | |
| Risk-free interest rate | 4.25 | 5.15 | % | 3.86 | 4.42 | % |
| Expected volatility | 22 | % | | 22 | % | |
| Expected life in years | 6.85 Years | | | 6.85 Years | | |

Treaty Oak Bancorp, Inc.**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
Years Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005**

The table below presents the pro forma effect on the Company's operations assuming the Company had accounted for its stock options issued to employees at fair value (in thousands, except per share amounts):

| | Years Ended September 30, 2006 | September 30, 2005 |
|---------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| Net income (loss) as reported | \$ 195 | \$ (1,737) |
| Net income (loss) pro forma | \$ 30 | \$ (1,848) |
| Earnings (loss) per share as reported | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.07 | \$ (0.66) |
| Diluted | \$ 0.07 | \$ (0.66) |
| Earnings (loss) per share pro forma | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.01 | \$ (0.71) |
| Diluted | \$ 0.01 | \$ (0.71) |

Off-Balance Sheet Financial Instruments

In the ordinary course of business, the Company has entered into off-balance sheet financial instruments consisting of commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. Such financial instruments are recorded in the financial statements when they are funded or related fees are incurred or received.

Earnings (Loss) Per Share

Basic earnings (loss) per share is computed by dividing net earnings (loss) by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the year. Diluted earnings (loss) per share is computed using the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding plus the number of additional common shares that would have been outstanding if dilutive common shares had been issued. For the year ended September 30, 2006, all potentially dilutive securities, except for stock options to purchase 250,100 shares of the Company's common stock at exercise prices ranging from \$8.33 to \$8.50 per share were anti-dilutive. For the year ended September 30, 2005, all potentially dilutive securities were anti-dilutive.

Statement of Cash Flows

The Company has chosen to report on a net basis its cash receipts and cash payments for time deposits and loans.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company provides disclosures regarding financial instruments as prescribed by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These disclosures do not purport to represent the aggregate net fair value of the Company. Further, the fair value estimates are based on various assumptions, methodologies and subjective considerations which vary widely among different financial institutions and which are subject to change. With the exception of the financial instruments described below, the estimated fair values of financial instruments approximate their carrying amounts. The following methods and assumptions were used by the Company in estimating financial instruments fair values:

Treaty Oak Bancorp, Inc.

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
Years Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005**

Loans: The fair values estimated for fixed-rate loans are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation based on the interest rates currently being charged compared to average market rates being charged for loans of similar amounts and maturities.

Securities: The fair value of investment securities, excluding FHLB and IBFC stock, are the quoted market value for those securities on the balance sheet date.

Deposits: The fair values estimated for demand deposits (interest and non-interest bearing accounts) are, by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date (i.e. their carrying amounts). Fair values of fixed rate certificates of deposit are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation based on interest rates currently being offered.

Notes Payable: The fair values for notes payable are estimated using discounted cash flow calculation based on the interest rates currently being charged in the market compared to similar types of borrowings.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In July 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes (FIN 48). FIN 48 clarifies the accounting and reporting for income taxes recognized in accordance with SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. This Interpretation prescribes a comprehensive model for the financial statement recognition, measurement, presentation and disclosure of uncertain tax positions taken or expected to be taken in income tax returns. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of FIN 48. The Company will adopt this Interpretation in the first quarter of fiscal 2008.

In September 2006, the SEC issued Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 108, Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements. SAB 108 addresses the views of the SEC staff regarding the process of quantifying financial statement misstatements. SEC registrants are expected to reflect the effects of initially applying the guidance in SAB 108 in their annual financial statements covering the first fiscal year ending after November 15, 2006. The cumulative effect of the initial application should be reported in the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities as of the beginning of that fiscal year and the offsetting adjustment should be made to the opening balance of retained earnings for that year. We will be required to adopt the interpretations in SAB 108 in the first quarter of fiscal 2007. We do not anticipate this interpretation will have any impact on the financial statements.

Note 3. Common Stock Offering

The Company issued 1,582,987 shares of its common stock at \$8.33 per share, resulting in gross proceeds of approximately \$13,186,282 and incurred offering costs of \$910,000 in an offering that closed on September 30, 2004. The stock subscription receivable at September 30, 2004 (the date the offering closed), represents stock subscription agreements that were received on or before September 30, 2004, and collected during the period from October 1, 2004 to December 3, 2004. The final stock subscription was paid in full on March 11, 2005; accordingly, there was no stock subscription receivable balance as of September 30, 2005. All of the stock subscriptions have been accounted for and that activity has been closed.

In addition, the Company issued warrants to purchase one share of common stock for every five shares of common stock purchased, up to a maximum of 280,000 warrants. This amount was increased to approximately 318,000 warrants in conjunction with the over-subscription of the offering. The warrants have an exercise price of \$10.00 per share and are exercisable at any time prior to June 30, 2007, subject to certain corporate transactions. 3,040 of the warrants had been exercised as of September 30, 2006.

Treaty Oak Bancorp, Inc.**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
Years Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005****Note 4. Consolidation of Variable Interest Entity**

The Company has determined that it is the consolidating parent of the Partnership for financial reporting purposes based upon its investment in the Partnership combined with its lessee relationship with the Partnership. The Partnership owns and operates the premises located at 101 Westlake Drive where the Company and Bank offices are located. The Partnership has no other significant activities.

The assets and liabilities of the Partnership have been included in the consolidated balance sheet of the Company at September 30, 2006. The balance sheet of the Partnership as of September 30, 2006, was as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|--|-----------------|
| Cash | \$ 50 |
| Premises and equipment, net | 4,546 |
| Other assets | 285 |
| Total Assets | \$ 4,881 |
| Mortgage payable | \$ 2,667 |
| Other liabilities | 139 |
| Partners' capital | 2,075 |
| Total Liabilities and Partners' Capital | \$ 4,881 |

The operations of the Partnership were included in the Company's statement of operations commencing on December 31, 2004. Because of the Company's status as a limited partner of the Partnership, the creditors of the Partnership do not have recourse against the Company with respect to the obligations of the Partnership in excess of the Company's investment in the Partnership.

Note 5. Securities

Securities consisted of the following (in thousands):

| | As of September 30, 2006 | | As of September 30, 2005 | |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| Securities Available for Sale: | | | | |
| U.S. Government Agencies | \$ 14 | \$ 14 | \$ 401 | \$ 396 |
| Other mortgage backed securities | 2 | 2 | 30 | 31 |
| Total Securities Available for Sale | \$ 16 | \$ 16 | \$ 431 | \$ 427 |
| Securities Held to Maturity: | | | | |
| U.S. Government Agencies | \$ 2,000 | \$ 1,996 | \$ 3,495 | \$ 3,467 |
| Other mortgage backed securities | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 |
| Total Securities Held to Maturity | \$ 2,006 | \$ 2,001 | \$ 3,501 | \$ 3,472 |

Treaty Oak Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
Years Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005

At September 30, 2006, there were no holdings of securities of any one issuer, other than the U.S. government and its agencies, in an amount greater than 10% of shareholders' equity.

Mortgage-backed securities are backed by pools of mortgages that are insured or guaranteed by the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (FHLMC), the Federal National Mortgage Association (FNMA) or the Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA).

There were no mortgage-backed-securities or agency notes and bonds classified as available-for-sale in an unrealized loss position for a period greater than one year as of September 30, 2006. For securities which were in an unrealized loss position for a period less than one year as of September 30, 2006, the unrealized losses were approximately \$4,000 at September 30, 2006. For those securities with unrealized losses at September 30, 2006, the losses are generally due to changes in interest rates and, as such, are considered by management to be temporary.

The table below reflects the securities which were in a loss position on September 30, 2006, and the length of time they have been so positioned (in thousands).

| | Continuous unrealized losses existing for less than 12 months | | Continuous unrealized losses existing for more than 12 months | | Total | |
|----------------------------------|---|-------------------|---|-------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| Securities Held to Maturity: | | | | | | |
| Agency notes and bonds | \$ 1,996 | \$ 4 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1,996 | \$ 4 |
| Other mortgage backed securities | - | - | 5 | 1 | 5 | 1 |
| Total | \$ 1,996 | \$ 4 | \$ 5 | \$ 1 | \$ 2,001 | \$ 5 |

The table below reflects the securities which were in a loss position on September 30, 2005, and the length of time they have been so positioned (in thousands).

| | Continuous unrealized losses existing for less than 12 months | | Continuous unrealized losses existing for more than 12 months | | Total | |
|--------------------------------|---|-------------------|---|-------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| Securities Available for Sale: | | | | | | |
| Agency notes and bonds | \$ 198 | \$ 2 | \$ 198 | \$ 3 | \$ 396 | \$ 5 |
| Mortgage backed securities | - | - | 3 | - | 3 | - |
| | \$ 198 | \$ 2 | \$ 201 | \$ 3 | \$ 399 | \$ 5 |
| Securities Held to Maturity: | | | | | | |
| Agency notes and bonds | \$ 3,467 | \$ 4 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 3,467 | \$ 28 |
| Mortgage backed securities | - | - | 5 | 1 | 5 | 1 |
| | \$ 3,467 | \$ 4 | \$ 5 | 1 | \$ 3,472 | \$ 29 |
| Total | \$ 3,665 | \$ 6 | \$ 206 | \$ 4 | \$ 3,871 | \$ 34 |

Edgar Filing: TREATY OAK BANCORP INC - Form 10KSB

For those securities with unrealized losses at September 30, 2005, the losses are generally due to changes in interest rates and, as such are considered by management to be temporary.

F-14

Treaty Oak Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
Years Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of securities at September 30, 2006, by contractual maturity, are shown below (in thousands). Expected maturities for mortgage backed securities may differ from contractual maturities because issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations. Mortgage-backed securities are shown separately since they are not due at a single maturity date.

| September 30, 2006 | Amortized Cost | | Estimated Fair Value | |
|---------------------------------|----------------|-------|----------------------|-------|
| Available for Sale | | | | |
| Due in one year or less | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Due from one year to five years | 14 | | 14 | |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 2 | | 2 | |
| | \$ | 16 | \$ | 16 |
| Held to Maturity | | | | |
| Due in one year or less | \$ | 2,000 | \$ | 1,996 |
| Due from one year to five years | - | | - | |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 6 | | 5 | |
| | \$ | 2,006 | \$ | 2,001 |

At September 30, 2006, \$2,017,000 of the Company's securities was pledged to the State of Texas (\$200,000), Texline Independent School District (\$1,014,000) and to the City of Texline (\$802,000). At September 30, 2005, \$1,218,000 of the Company's securities was pledged to the Texline Independent School District (\$1,016,000) and to the City of Texline (\$202,000).

Note 6. Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses

The Company grants real estate, commercial and agribusiness loans to customers primarily in the Austin and Northwest Texas markets. Although the Company has a diversified loan portfolio, a substantial portion of its debtors' ability to honor their obligations is dependent upon the general economic conditions of the area.

Loans at September 30, 2006 and 2005, were as follows (in thousands):

| | As of September 30, 2006 | As of September 30, 2005 |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Agriculture | \$ 2,989 | \$ 3,261 |
| Commercial | 19,068 | 12,887 |
| Commercial real estate | 18,582 | 8,619 |
| Residential real estate | 8,221 | 4,524 |
| Construction real estate | 4,329 | 4,152 |
| Consumer and other | 6,981 | 2,517 |
| Gross Loans | 60,170 | 35,960 |
| Less: allowance for loan losses | (550) | (277) |
| Net Loans | \$ 59,620 | \$ 35,683 |

Treaty Oak Bancorp, Inc.**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
Years Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005**

Activity in the allowance for loan losses for the years ended September 30, 2006, and 2005, was as follows (in thousands):

| | As of September 30, 2006 | As of September 30, 2005 |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 277 | \$ 217 |
| Provisions for loan losses | 295 | 130 |
| Loans charged off | (29) | (85) |
| Recoveries on loans previously charged off | 7 | 15 |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 550 | \$ 277 |

The Company had no impaired loans at September 30, 2006, or September 30, 2005. At September 30, 2006 and September 30, 2005, the Company had approximately \$123,000 and \$91,000, respectively, in nonperforming loans consisting of \$123,000 and \$85,000, respectively, in non-accruing loans and \$0 and \$6,000, respectively, in loans 90 days or more past due, still accruing interest. If interest on non-accrual loans had been accrued, such income would have amounted to approximately \$9,000 and \$3,000 for the years ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Note 7. Premises and Equipment

Premises and equipment at September 30, 2006, and 2005, were as follows (in thousands):

| | As of September 30, 2006 | As of September 30, 2005 |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Land | \$ 959 | \$ 949 |
| Building and leasehold improvements | 4,568 | 4,520 |
| Furniture, fixtures and equipment | 778 | 718 |
| | 6,305 | 6,187 |
| Less accumulated depreciation and amortization | (712) | (368) |
| Total | \$ 5,593 | \$ 5,819 |

Note 8. Notes Payable

On September 30, 2006, the Company had notes payable of \$5,150,000 which included the mortgage on the premises of \$2,667,000, a note payable to a third party bank of \$2,425,000 and other notes payable of \$58,000. The property mortgage bears interest at 5.92% and is due in 9 years. The \$2,425,000 note payable bears interest at the prime rate (8.25% at September 30, 2006) and is due in full on February 24, 2007. This note was paid in full on November 15, 2006. A schedule of contractual maturities of these notes as of September 30, 2006, is as follows (in thousands):

Treaty Oak Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
Years Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005

| Years Ending September 30, | | As of September 30, 2006 |
|----------------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| 2007 | | \$ 2,505 |
| 2008 | | 85 |
| 2009 | | 89 |
| 2010 | | 79 |
| 2011 | | 80 |
| Thereafter | | 2,312 |
| | | \$ 5,150 |

Note 9. Deposits

Time deposits of \$100,000 or more totaled \$8,989,000 and \$7,797,000 at September 30, 2006 and at September 30, 2005, respectively. At September 30, 2006, the scheduled maturities of time deposits were as follows (in thousands):

| Years Ending September 30, | | As of September 30, 2006 |
|----------------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| 2007 | | \$ 20,197 |
| 2008 | | 329 |
| | | \$ 20,526 |

Note 10. Goodwill and Other Intangibles

In conjunction with the acquisition of Texline State Bank, the Company recorded Goodwill of \$1,161,000 and core deposit intangibles of \$70,000. The following table reflects the net carrying amount of core deposit intangibles at September 30, 2006 and at September 30, 2005 (in thousands).

| | As of September 30, 2006 | As of September 30, 2005 |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Gross core deposit intangibles | \$ 70 | \$ 70 |
| Less accumulated amortization | (26) | (16) |
| Net core deposit intangibles | \$ 44 | \$ 54 |

Core deposit intangibles will continue to be amortized over the next five (5) years at the rate of approximately \$10,000 per year.

Treaty Oak Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
Years Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005

Note 11. Stock Based Compensation and 2004 Stock Incentive Plan

On January 19, 2004, the Company and its shareholders approved the Company's 2004 Stock Incentive Plan (the "Plan"). The Plan authorizes incentive stock option grants, non-statutory stock option grants, direct stock issuances, stock appreciation rights, salary investment option grants, and director fee option grants. Eligible participants are employees, non-employee board members, and independent consultants or advisors.

500,000 shares of common stock were initially reserved under the Plan, and the share reserve increases on the 1st business day in January each year (beginning in 2005) by an amount equal to 2% of the number of outstanding shares of the Company on the last business day in December of the preceding year, subject to a maximum annual increase of 100,000 shares. For the year ending September 30, 2005, the increase in the number of shares available to the plan was 52,177. No person may receive stock option grants, stock issuances, or stock appreciation rights for more than 100,000 shares in the aggregate in any calendar year under the Plan.

In February 2005, the Company awarded share issuances for an aggregate of 10,803 shares of common stock under its 2004 incentive plan in connection with services that were rendered to the Company. These shares were fully vested. The Company recorded compensation expense in February of \$95,000 related to this transaction. During May 2005, the Company issued 396 shares of common stock for services provided by an outside consultant at \$8.83 per share. As a result, the Company recognized compensation expense in the amount of \$3,500 in May, 2005. An additional 38,300 options were granted to board members and employees in the last quarter of fiscal 2005. The options expire in ten (10) years and vest over three (3) years.

During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006, no shares of common stock were issued in exchange for services rendered to the Company. In December 2005, 52,595 additional shares were added to the Plan's share reserves. During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006, 121,000 options were granted to board members and employees. The options expire in ten (10) years and vest over (3) years. During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006, 23,450 options were forfeited prior to vesting by individuals no longer employed by the Company.

| | Year Ended September 30, 2006 | | Year Ended September 30, 2005 | |
|--|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| | Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
| Outstanding, beginning of the year | 203,800 | \$ 8.42 | 165,500 | \$ 8.33 |
| Granted | 121,000 | \$ 8.53 | 38,300 | \$ 8.82 |
| Forfeited/expired | (23,450) | \$ 8.40 | | |
| Outstanding, end of the year | 301,350 | \$ 8.47 | 203,800 | \$ 8.42 |
| Exercisable at the end of the year | 120,950 | \$ 8.38 | | |
| Weighted-average fair value of options granted during the year | | \$ 1.87 | | \$ 1.77 |
| Weighted-average remaining contractual life (months) | 101 | | 103 | |

Treaty Oak Bancorp, Inc.

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
Years Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005**

Note 12. Other Related Party Transactions

Transactions with Our Organizing Shareholder

On August 30, 2006, Treaty Oak Holdings, Inc., the Company's largest shareholder, repurchased all of its stock owned by certain principals of our formerly affiliated services firms, including the Company's former CEO Terry W. Hamann. Concurrent with this stock redemption, those formerly affiliated firms relocated from the headquarters building. At that time, Mr. Hamann resigned his remaining positions with the Company including all committee assignments and his seat on the board of directors. Mr. Hamann had previously resigned his role as Chairman, CEO and President of the Company in February 2006.

PGI Capital, Inc.

The Company loaned \$200,000 to PGI Capital, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Treaty Oak Holdings, Inc., on March 10, 2005, in conjunction with the refinance of the premises. The loan was renewed on September 26, 2005. PGI Capital, Inc. is the general partner in PGI Equity Partners, LP. The funds were partially loaned to, and partially contributed to the capital of, PGI Equity Partners, LP. The original loan bore interest at 5.05% and was due in full on March 8, 2006. At that time, the loan was renewed and extended to March 8, 2007 and the interest rate was increased to 5.90%.

Accounting and Tax Services

The Company receives tax planning advice and general tax and accounting services from Hamann, Ernstmeyer & Williamson, P.C., formerly Hamann & Associates, P.C. (HEW), a professional corporation of certified public accountants in which Mr. Hamann (the former Chief Executive Officer of the Company) owned an 80% interest until June 30, 2005 when his ownership interest became 5%. As of November 1, 2005, Mr. Hamann has no ownership interest in HEW. For the years ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, the Company paid approximately \$35,000 and \$ 123,000, respectively, for these services primarily with respect to assistance in the preparation of the Company's monthly and periodic reporting, tax planning and reporting, and other advisory services including public reporting matters. None of the fees paid for services during the years ended September 30, 2006, and 2005, were related to the efforts of Mr. Hamann, and Mr. Hamann is not currently compensated by the firm.

Other Transactions

The Company has a \$192,000 due from affiliate balance as of September 30, 2006 which represents amounts due from Treaty Oak Holdings, Inc. to PGI Equity Partners, LP for occupancy costs.

On October 11, 2005, the Company made a \$355,000 loan to Treaty Oak Holdings, Inc. (TOHI) with monthly interest payments due on the first of each month beginning November 1, 2005. The purpose of the loan was to enable TOHI to pay off certain obligations, and to provide sufficient liquidity to TOHI until the proposed merger with the Company has been completed. On April 26, 2006, the obligation was renewed and increased to \$373,000 at the then current prime rate. The loan was renewed and increased to \$400,000 on September 1, 2006. The principal balance (\$396,000 as of September 30, 2006), along with any accrued interest, is due and payable in full on November 1, 2006. On November 15, 2006, in connection with the merger of TOHI and the Company, the loan was paid in full.

On August 24, 2006, the Company made a \$2,425,000 loan to TOHI with monthly interest payments at the current prime rate (8.25% at September 30, 2006) due on the 24th day of each month. The purpose of the loan was to enable TOHI to redeem shares of common and preferred stock from certain of its shareholders. The loan was due and payable on February 24, 2007 but was paid-off in full on November 15, 2006 in connection with the Company's acquisition of TOHI.

Treaty Oak Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
Years Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005

Note 13. Other Non-interest Expense

Other non-interest expense consists of operating expenses such as data processing, advertising and marketing expenses, office supplies and general administrative and operating expenses. Also included in non-interest expense is the depreciation of the building owned by PGI Equity Partners, LP. The following table represents major categories of other non-interest expense for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 (in thousands):

| | Years Ended | | | |
|--|-----------------------|-------|-----------------------|-------|
| | September 30, 2006 | | September 30, 2005 | |
| Data processing, IT security and software maintenance | \$ | 233 | \$ | 148 |
| Depreciation and amortization | | 362 | | 291 |
| Write-off of loan acquisition fees on refinance, gross | | - | | 100 |
| Advertising, marketing, business development | | 118 | | 84 |
| Director and committee fees | | 49 | | 50 |
| Other operating expenses | | 327 | | 342 |
| | \$ | 1,089 | \$ | 1,015 |

Note 14. Federal Income Taxes

| | As of September 30, 2006 | | As of September 30, 2005 | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|--------------------------------|---------|
| Deferred tax assets: | | | | |
| Net operating loss carryforward | \$ | 1,088 | \$ | 1,200 |
| Other | | 92 | | 51 |
| | | 1,180 | | 1,251 |
| Less valuation allowance | | (1,180) | | (1,251) |
| | \$ | | \$ | |

At September 30, 2006, the Company had approximately \$3,200,000 of federal net operating loss carry-forwards which expire in 2021 to 2026.

Although the Company became profitable during fiscal 2006, the Company's operating history is limited and, therefore, management has determined that the realization of the deferred tax assets was not more likely than not and accordingly such deferred tax assets have been fully reserved.

A reconciliation of the income tax benefit at the U.S. federal statutory income tax rate of 34% to actual income tax benefit for the years presented is as follows (in thousands):

Treaty Oak Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
Years Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005

| | Years Ended | | | |
|--|-----------------------|------|-----------------------|-------|
| | September 30, 2006 | | September 30, 2005 | |
| Income tax benefit (expense) at statutory rate | \$ | 66 | \$ | (591) |
| Non-cash compensation | | 9 | | 1 |
| Increase (decrease) in valuation allowance | | (71) | | 468 |
| Other | | (4) | | 122 |
| | \$ | | \$ | |

For the year ended September 30, 2005, other consists of items not deductible for tax purposes of \$12,000 and the effect of changes in tax estimates resulting from completion of the 2005 tax return of \$110,000.

Note 15. Financial Instruments with Off-Balance Sheet Risk

In the normal course of business, the Company is a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk to meet the financing needs of its customers, through the use of commitments to extend credit. Those instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest-rate risk in excess of the amount recognized in the consolidated balance sheet. The contract or notional amounts of those instruments reflect the extent of the Company's involvement in particular classes of financial instruments.

The Company's exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party to the financial instrument for commitments to extend credit is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments. The Company uses the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as they do for on-balance sheet instruments.

The following table summarizes the Company's off-balance sheet financial instruments as of September 30, 2006, and September 30, 2005 (in thousands):

| | As of September 30, 2006 | | As of September 30, 2005 | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------|--------------------------------|--------|
| Commitments to extend credit | \$ | 21,277 | \$ | 14,043 |
| Standby letters of credit | \$ | 1,935 | \$ | 269 |

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The Company evaluates each customer's creditworthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained if deemed necessary by the Company upon extension of credit is based on management's credit evaluation of the counterparty. Collateral held varies but may include accounts receivable, inventory, property, plant and equipment and income-producing commercial properties.

Standby letters of credit are written conditional commitments used by the Company to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. The Company's policies generally require that letter of credit arrangements contain security and debt covenants similar to those contained in loan arrangements. In the event the customer does not perform in accordance with the terms of the agreement with the third party, the Company would be required to fund the commitment. The maximum potential amount of future payments the Company could be required to make is

Treaty Oak Bancorp, Inc.**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
Years Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005**

represented by the contractual amount shown in the table above. If the commitment is funded, the Company would be entitled to seek recovery from the customer. As of September 30, 2006 and at September 30, 2005, no amounts had been recorded as liabilities for the Bank's potential obligations under these guarantees.

The Company periodically is subject to litigation as a part of normal business operations. As of September 30, 2006 and 2005, there was no material, or potentially material, ongoing litigation.

Note 16. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

| | As of September 30, 2006 | | As of September 30, 2005 | |
|--|--------------------------------|------------|--------------------------------|------------|
| | Carrying Amount | Fair Value | Carrying Amount | Fair Value |
| Financial Assets: | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 26,354 | \$ 26,354 | \$ 13,107 | \$ 13,107 |
| Securities available for sale | 16 | 16 | 427 | 427 |
| Securities held to maturity | 2,006 | 2,001 | 3,501 | 3,472 |
| Investment in Federal Home Loan Bank stock | 224 | 224 | 217 | 217 |
| Investment in Independent Banker's Financial Corporation stock | 102 | 102 | 100 | 100 |
| Loans, net | 59,620 | 59,362 | 35,683 | 35,792 |
| Notes receivable from affiliate | 2,821 | 2,821 | - | - |
| Accrued interest receivable | 484 | 484 | 348 | 348 |
| Due from affiliates | | | | |
| Financial Liabilities: | | | | |
| Deposits | 81,018 | 81,064 | 45,546 | 45,507 |
| Accrued interest payable | 160 | 160 | 116 | 116 |
| Notes payable | 5,150 | 5,150 | 2,801 | 2,428 |

Note 17. Dividend Restrictions and Regulatory Matters

Banks and Bank holding companies are subject to regulatory capital requirements administered by state and federal Banking regulatory agencies. Capital adequacy guidelines and, additionally for Banks, prompt corrective action regulations involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance sheet items calculated under regulatory accounting practices. Capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by regulators about components, risk weightings and other factors. Failure to meet capital requirements can initiate regulatory action. As of September 30, 2006, and at September 30, 2005, management believes the Bank is in compliance with all regulatory capital requirements to which it is subject.

The following table sets forth the capital levels for the Bank in addition to the requirements under prompt corrective action regulations (in thousands):

Treaty Oak Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
Years Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005

| | Actual | | | For Capital Adequacy Purposes | | | To Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions | | |
|--|----------|--|---------|-------------------------------|--|-------|--|--|-------|
| | Amount | | Ratio | Amount | | Ratio | Amount | | Ratio |
| As of September 30, 2006 | | | | | | | | | |
| Tier 1 capital (to average assets) | \$ 8,213 | | 11.07 % | \$ 2,967 | | 4 % | 3,709 | | 5 % |
| Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets) | 8,213 | | 11.39 % | 2,885 | | 4 % | 4,328 | | 6 % |
| Total Capital (to risk-weighted assets) | 8,763 | | 12.15 % | 5,771 | | 8 % | 7,213 | | 10 % |

| | Actual | | | For Capital Adequacy Purposes | | | To Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions | | |
|--|----------|--|---------|-------------------------------|--|-------|--|--|-------|
| | Amount | | Ratio | Amount | | Ratio | Amount | | Ratio |
| As of September 30, 2005 | | | | | | | | | |
| Tier 1 capital (to average assets) | \$ 7,617 | | 14.71 % | \$ 2,071 | | 4 % | 2,589 | | 5 % |
| Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets) | 7,617 | | 18.12 % | 1,654 | | 4 % | 2,481 | | 6 % |
| Total Capital (to risk-weighted assets) | 7,894 | | 19.09 % | 3,307 | | 8 % | 4,134 | | 10 % |

As of September 30, 2006 and September 30, 2005, the Bank met the level of capital required to be categorized as well capitalized under prompt corrective action regulations. Management is not aware of any conditions subsequent to September 30, 2006 that would change the Bank's capital category.

The Bank is a state chartered Banking association and is subject to regulation, supervision and examination by the Texas Department of Banking (TDB) and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). In addition, upon making certain determinations with respect to the condition of any insured Bank, the FDIC may begin proceedings to terminate a Bank's federal deposit insurance.

From its initial acquisition of Texline State Bank, the Company's banking operations had been subject to a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with the FDIC. The MOU had been entered in for the purpose of ensuring that bank management appropriately and adequately addressed certain deficiencies identified by the FDIC and the Texas Department of Banking (TDB) during previous regulatory examinations prior to the Company's acquisition of Texline State Bank on March 9, 2004. Following the FDIC examination of the Bank in November, 2004, the FDIC terminated the MOU and proposed a new MOU that was more limited in scope. In February 2005, the Bank agreed to become subject to the new MOU which was subsequently executed effective March 3, 2005 by the FDIC. The Bank addressed all of the issues identified in the new MOU by March 31, 2005. At a subsequent follow-up review of the Bank conducted jointly by the FDIC and the TDB, the on-site examiners deemed the Bank's actions to date with regard to the new MOU to be satisfactory. The Bank was later advised to discontinue the submission of quarterly responses to the MOU based upon the Bank's affirmative actions in a letter dated April 25, 2005 from the FDIC.

The TDB and the FDIC conducted a joint safety and soundness examination of the Company during December, 2005 and reported their findings to the board of directors on January 10, 2006. The final written report was received by management in March, 2006, at which time the bank was advised of the official termination of the MOU.

Certain restrictions exist regarding the ability of the Bank to pay cash dividends. Regulatory approval is required in order to pay dividends until such time as the accumulated deficit is eliminated and certain other conditions are met. Further, the Company has been notified by its Banking regulators that no approval will be received in the first three years of operations.

Treaty Oak Bancorp, Inc.

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
Years Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005**

Note 18. Subsequent Events

On November 15, 2006, the Company completed its previously announced acquisition of Treaty Oak Holdings, Inc. (TOHI) pursuant to the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated October 3, 2006 (the Merger Agreement). The merger was approved by the Company s Board of Directors and also by the Company s shareholders at a Special Meeting of Shareholders held on October 24, 2006. Prior to the consummation of the merger, TOHI held approximately 39% of the issued and outstanding shares of our common stock. Pursuant to the Merger Agreement, (1) each issued and outstanding share of TOHI s common stock, par value \$0.01 per share was converted into the right to receive 0.8121 shares of the Company s common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, and (2) each issued and outstanding share of TOHI s preferred stock, par value \$10.00 per share, was converted into the right to receive 1.2034 shares of the Company s common stock.

TOHI had options to acquire 40,000 shares of common stock outstanding as of the date of the merger, which options were assumed by the Company and, pursuant to the conversion ratio, now represent options to acquire 32,484 shares of the Company s common stock. Also, at the closing of the merger, certain TOHI warrant holders were issued new warrants to acquire, in the aggregate, 450,000 shares of the Company s common stock. The Company granted the TOHI shareholders certain limited registration rights pursuant to a Registration Rights Agreement executed at the closing of the merger. Pursuant to the Registration Rights Agreement these former TOHI shareholders can request that the Company register their shares of the Company s common stock on a Registration Statement on Form S-3 if such form is available for use by the Company, but only once during any 12-month period. The former TOHI warrant holders were also granted similar S-3 registration rights.

F-24
