

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP
Form 10-K
March 11, 2011

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

☒ **ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010

OR

☐ **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ **to** _____
Commission file number 001-32593

Global Partners LP

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

74-3140887
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

P.O. Box 9161
800 South Street
Waltham, Massachusetts 02454-9161
(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)

(781) 894-8800
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
Common Units representing limited partner interests	New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files. Yes ☐ No ☐

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.:

Large accelerated filer ☐ Accelerated filer ☒ Non-accelerated filer ☐ Smaller reporting company ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes ☐ No ☒

The aggregate market value of common units held by non-affiliates of the registrant (treating directors and executive officers of the registrant's general partner and holders of 10% or more of the common units outstanding, for this purpose, as if they were affiliates of the registrant) as of June 30, 2010 was approximately \$188,854,670 based on a price per common unit of \$22.49, the price at which the common units were last sold as reported on the New York Stock Exchange on such date.

As of March 8, 2011, 21,580,563 common units were outstanding.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I

<u>Items 1. and 2.</u>	<u>Business and Properties</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Item 1A.</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u>	<u>17</u>
<u>Item 1B.</u>	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	<u>41</u>
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>41</u>
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>[Removed and Reserved]</u>	<u>42</u>

PART II

<u>Item 5.</u>	<u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	<u>43</u>
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Selected Financial Data</u>	<u>45</u>
<u>Item 7.</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>48</u>
<u>Item 7A.</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	<u>77</u>
<u>Item 8.</u>	<u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	<u>79</u>
<u>Item 9.</u>	<u>Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	<u>79</u>
<u>Item 9A.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>79</u>
<u>Item 9B.</u>	<u>Other Information</u>	<u>82</u>

PART III

<u>Item 10.</u>	<u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	<u>83</u>
<u>Item 11.</u>	<u>Executive Compensation</u>	<u>87</u>
<u>Item 12.</u>	<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	<u>113</u>
<u>Item 13.</u>	<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	<u>115</u>
<u>Item 14.</u>	<u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	<u>121</u>

PART IV

<u>Item 15.</u>	<u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	<u>122</u>
-----------------	---	------------

Table of Contents

Forward-Looking Statements

Some of the information contained in or incorporated by reference in this Annual Report on Form 10-K may contain forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements do not relate strictly to historical or current facts and include, without limitation, any statement that may project, indicate or imply future results, events, performance or achievements, and may contain the words "may," "believe," "should," "could," "expect," "anticipate," "plan," "intend," "estimate," "continue," "will likely result," or other similar expressions. In addition, any statement made by our management concerning future financial performance (including future revenues, earnings or growth rates), ongoing business strategies or prospects, and possible actions by us are also forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are not guarantees of performance. Although we believe these forward-looking statements are based on reasonable assumptions, statements made regarding future results are subject to a number of assumptions, uncertainties and risks, many of which are beyond our control, which may cause future results to be materially different from the results stated or implied in this document. These risks and uncertainties, which could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders, include, among other things:

We may not have sufficient cash from operations to enable us to pay the minimum quarterly distribution or maintain distributions at current levels following establishment of cash reserves and payment of fees and expenses, including payments to our general partner.

A significant decrease in demand for refined petroleum products in the areas served by our storage facilities and/or our retail gasoline business could reduce our ability to make distributions to our unitholders.

Our sales of home heating oil and residual oil could be significantly reduced by conversions to natural gas.

Erosion of the value of the Mobil brand could adversely affect our gasoline sales and customer traffic.

Our gas station and convenience store business could expose us to an increase in consumer litigation and result in an unfavorable outcome or settlement of one or more lawsuits where insurance proceeds are insufficient or otherwise unavailable.

Our gasoline sales could be significantly reduced by a reduction in demand due to new technologies and alternative fuel sources, such as electric, hybrid or battery powered motor vehicles.

Changes to government usage mandates and tax credits could adversely affect the availability and pricing of ethanol, which could negatively impact our gasoline sales.

Warmer weather conditions could adversely affect us.

Our risk management policies cannot eliminate all commodity risk. In addition, any noncompliance with our risk management policies could result in significant financial losses.

Our results of operations are influenced by the overall forward market for refined petroleum products.

Increases and/or decreases in the prices of refined petroleum products may adversely impact the amount of borrowing available for working capital under our credit agreement, which credit agreement has borrowing base limitations and advance rates.

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

We are exposed to trade credit risk in the ordinary course of our business activities.

We are exposed to risk associated with our trade credit support in the ordinary course of our business activities.

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The condition of credit markets may adversely affect us.

Due to our lack of asset and geographic diversification, adverse developments in the terminals that we use or in our operating areas could reduce our ability to make distributions to our unitholders.

A serious disruption to our information technology systems could significantly limit our ability to manage and operate our business efficiently.

We are exposed to performance risk in our supply chain.

Our retail gasoline business and terminal operations are subject to both federal and state environmental and non-environmental regulations which could have a material adverse effect on such businesses.

Our general partner and its affiliates have conflicts of interest and limited fiduciary duties, which may permit them to favor their own interests to the detriment of unitholders.

Unitholders have limited voting rights and are not entitled to elect our general partner or its directors or to remove our general partner without the consent of the holders of at least $66\frac{2}{3}\%$ of the outstanding units (including units held by our general partner and its affiliates), which could lower the trading price of our common units.

Our tax treatment depends on our status as a partnership for federal income tax purposes.

Unitholders may be required to pay taxes on their share of our income even if they do not receive any cash distributions from us.

Additional information about risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from forward-looking statements is contained in Item 1A, "Risk Factors" in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

All forward-looking statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and all subsequent written or oral forward-looking statements attributable to us or persons acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by these cautionary statements. The forward-looking statements speak only as of the date of this Form 10-K, and we expressly disclaim any obligation or undertaking to update these statements to reflect any change in our expectations or beliefs or any change in events, conditions or circumstances on which any forward-looking statement is based.

Available Information

We make available free of charge through our website, www.globalp.com, our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file or furnish such material with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). These documents are also available at the SEC's website at www.sec.gov. Our website also includes our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, our Governance Guidelines and the charters of our Audit Committee and Compensation Committee.

A copy of any of these documents will be provided without charge upon written request to the General Counsel, Global Partners LP, P.O. Box 9161, 800 South Street, Suite 200, Waltham, MA 02454; fax (781) 398-4165.

Table of Contents

PART I

References in this Annual Report on Form 10-K to "Global Partners LP," "Partnership," "we," "our," "us" or like terms refer to Global Partners LP and its subsidiaries. References to "our general partner" refer to Global GP LLC.

Items 1. and 2. Business and Properties.

Overview

We are a publicly traded Delaware limited partnership formed in March 2005. We have five operating subsidiaries: Global Companies LLC, its subsidiary, Glen Hes Corp., Global Montello Group Corp. ("GMG"), Chelsea Sandwich LLC and Global Energy Marketing LLC ("Global Energy") (the five operating subsidiaries, collectively, the "Companies"). The Companies (other than Glen Hes Corp.) are wholly owned by Global Operating LLC, our wholly owned subsidiary. GMG conducts our end user business, including certain aspects of our retail gasoline business. Global Energy was formed to conduct our natural gas operations and commenced operations in January 2010. In addition, GLP Finance Corp. ("GLP Finance") is our wholly owned subsidiary. GLP Finance has no material assets or liabilities. Its activities will be limited to co-issuing debt securities and engaging in other activities incidental thereto. Our general partner manages our operations and activities and employs our officers and substantially all of our personnel.

We own, control or have access to one of the largest terminal networks of refined petroleum products in Massachusetts, Maine, Connecticut, Vermont, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, New York, New Jersey and Pennsylvania (collectively, the "Northeast"). We are one of the largest wholesale distributors of gasoline, distillates (such as home heating oil, diesel and kerosene), residual oil and renewable fuels (such as ethanol) to wholesalers, retailers and commercial customers in the New England states and New York. We own and supply fuel to 190 Mobil-branded retail gas stations (38 leased properties and 152 fee properties) in New England and supply Mobil-branded fuel to an additional 31 independently-owned stations. In 2010, we sold approximately \$7.8 billion of refined petroleum products and small amounts of natural gas and renewable fuels. In addition, we had other revenues of approximately \$16.1 million, primarily from convenience store sales at our directly operated stores and gas station rental income. In 2010, we owned, leased or maintained dedicated storage facilities at 24 refined petroleum product bulk terminals, each with the capacity of more than 50,000 barrels, including 23 located throughout the Northeast, that are supplied primarily by marine transport, pipeline, rail or truck and that collectively have approximately 10.2 million barrels of storage capacity. We also have throughput, exchange or other supply agreements at more than 50 bulk terminals and inland storage facilities.

We purchase our refined petroleum products primarily from domestic and foreign refiners, major and independent oil companies and trading companies and sell these products in two segments, Wholesale and Commercial. In 2010, our Wholesale sales accounted for approximately 93% of our total sales and our Commercial sales accounted for approximately 7%.

As demand for some of our refined petroleum products, specifically home heating oil and residual oil for space heating purposes, is generally greater during the winter months, sales are generally higher during the first and fourth quarters of the calendar year which may result in significant fluctuations in our quarterly operating results. The increase in the non-weather sensitive components of our business helps to partially offset the economic impact that warmer weather conditions may have on our home heating oil and residual oil sales. Portions of our heating oil and residual oil are sold on a forward fixed price basis. In 2010, our volume in transportation fuels, which represents a growing portion of our sales, exceeded our heating oil volumes.

Table of Contents

Business Strategies

Our primary business objective is to increase distributable cash flow per unit by continuing to execute the following business strategies:

Expand Assets and Marketing Businesses Within and Beyond Our Core Northeast Market. We pursue strategic and accretive acquisitions of assets and marketing businesses of refined petroleum products including, without limitation, gasoline, other transportation fuels and heating oil, as well as natural gas, within our existing area of operations and in new geographic areas. We pursue strategic and accretive acquisitions of upstream or downstream assets and businesses and transportation assets and businesses related thereto. We target assets or businesses with (1) terminal and/or retail gasoline assets, (2) a marketing division that has, among other attributes, consistent cash flow and stable customer lists or (3) a combination of these attributes. We assign value to the marketing opportunities associated with these assets and businesses. Because of our interest in purchasing marketing businesses as well as physical assets, we believe we have a competitive advantage over bidders interested in purchasing only physical assets. In addition, we seek strategic relationships with companies that are looking to outsource their wholesale marketing business, as these opportunities allow us to leverage our strengths in marketing infrastructure and credit fundamentals. We currently have marketing arrangements with two major suppliers of unbranded gasoline as well as two distillate suppliers for several northeastern states.

Pursue Organic Expansions and Improvements. We focus on improved returns through terminal expansions, product expansions, such as natural gas and renewable fuels, and operating efficiencies.

Serve as a Preferred Supplier to Our Customers. We believe that our customers value dependability and quality of supply. We strive to maintain a level of inventory to ensure that the supply needs of our customers are always satisfied. During periods of product shortages, we have historically succeeded in sustaining a supply of product sufficient to meet the needs of our customers. We own, control or have access to bulk terminals and inland storage facilities that are strategically located for ease of access by our customers. Additionally, we satisfy specific customer needs by customizing our products, such as diesel and home heating oil, by blending and injecting additives.

Focus on Credit Fundamentals of Our Customers. We manage our trade credit exposure through conservative management practices, such as:

pre-approving customers up to certain credit limits;

seeking secondary sources of repayment for trade credit, such as letters of credit or guarantees;

not offering to extend credit as a marketing tool to attract customers; and

placing most of our customers on automatic debit systems for payment.

As a result of these practices, in each of the past five years, the amount of account receivables that we wrote off was insignificant as a percentage of sales.

Minimize Our Exposure to Commodity Price Volatility. We actively manage our business to minimize commodity price exposure by using hedging techniques. We seek to maintain a position that is substantially balanced between purchases and sales by establishing an offsetting sales position with a positive margin each time we commit to purchase a volume of product.

Table of Contents

Recent Developments

Retail Gas Stations/Fuel Supply Acquisition On September 30, 2010, we completed our acquisition of retail gas stations and supply rights from ExxonMobil Corporation ("ExxonMobil") for cash consideration of approximately \$202.3 million, plus the assumption of certain environmental liabilities. We acquired 190 Mobil-branded retail gas stations located in Massachusetts, New Hampshire and Rhode Island. As of December 31, 2010, of the 190 stations, 41 were directly operated on our behalf by our management agent, Alliance Energy LLC ("Alliance"), an experienced retail operator, and 149 were dealer operated subject to existing franchise agreements assigned to and assumed by us. Additionally, we acquired the right to supply Mobil-branded fuel to such stations and to 31 Mobil-branded stations that are owned and operated by independent dealers in these states. We outsourced the day-to-day management and operations of these 221 locations to Alliance. Alliance is approximately 95% owned by members of the Slifka family, who also own our general partner.

The acquisition expands our wholesale supply business and adds vertical integration to our transportation fuel business. The stations sold approximately 370 million gallons of gasoline and diesel fuel in 2009. Initially, we intend to continue operating the stations under the Mobil brand, although we have the right to rebrand the stations to another major gasoline brand or operate the stations on an unbranded basis. We initially financed the acquisition with borrowings under our credit agreement. See Note 5 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements and Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Overview ExxonMobil Acquisition" for additional information related to the transaction.

Acquisition of Warex Terminals On June 2, 2010, we completed our acquisition of three refined petroleum products terminals located in Newburgh, New York from Warex Terminals Corporation (the "Warex Terminals") for cash consideration of \$46.0 million, plus the assumption of certain environmental liabilities. We believe the acquisition strengthens our presence along the Hudson River in southeastern New York and enhances terminal operating efficiencies with our neighboring facility. See Note 5 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information related to the acquisition.

Ethanol and Rail Expansion Project In October 2010, we completed an ethanol and rail expansion project that adds 180,000 barrels of ethanol storage at our refined petroleum product terminal in Albany, New York. The project, which became operational in October 2010, was jointly developed with Canadian Pacific Railway Limited and includes modifications that enable the terminal to schedule the delivery of 80-car trains of ethanol and allows ethanol to be shipped directly on a single rail line from the Midwest. Beyond supplying our own business, we further invested in our Albany terminal having installed a marine vapor recovery system for barge-loading of ethanol and gasoline at the dock and expanded the rack to allow for additional ethanol and gasoline sales. We believe the supply efficiencies gained through this project position us to be a premier cost effective supplier of gasoline and ethanol to the Northeast. In December 2010, the U.S. Volumetric Ethanol Excise Tax Credit, which had been authorized through December 31, 2010, was extended for one year. In a separate and complementary project, we are converting two distillate storage tanks to gasoline storage at the Albany facility. These initiatives, combined with the return to service of three previously out-of-service tanks, increased the total storage capacity of our Albany terminal to approximately 1.2 million barrels, up from 737,000 barrels when we acquired the terminal in May 2007.

Public Offerings of Common Units On March 19, 2010, we completed a public offering of 3,910,000 common units at a price of \$22.75 per common unit. Net proceeds were approximately \$84.6 million, after deducting underwriting fees and offering expenses. On November 16, 2010, we completed a public offering of 1,955,000 common units at a price of \$25.57 per common unit. Net proceeds were approximately \$47.7 million, after deducting underwriting fees and offering expenses. On February 8, 2011, we completed a public offering of 2,645,000 common units at a price of \$27.60 per common unit.

Table of Contents

Net proceeds were approximately \$69.7 million, after deducting underwriting fees and offering expenses. We used the net proceeds from each of these offerings to reduce indebtedness outstanding under our credit agreement. See Notes 15 and 21 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information related to the public offerings.

Conversion of Subordinated Units Subsequent to December 31, 2010, based upon meeting certain distribution and performance tests provided in our partnership agreement, all 5,642,424 subordinated units have converted to common units. See Note 21, "Subsequent Events," of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this report.

Product Sales

General

We sell our refined petroleum products in two segments, Wholesale and Commercial. The majority of the refined petroleum products we sell can be grouped into three categories: gasoline, distillates and residual oil. In addition, our other operating segment includes convenience store sales at our directly operated stores and gas station rental income. In 2010, gasoline, distillates and residual oil accounted for approximately 60%, 34% and 6%, respectively, of our total volume sold.

Gasoline. We sell grades of unbranded and Mobil-branded gasoline that comply with seasonal and geographical requirements in the areas in which we market. Gasoline sales accounted for approximately 60%, 51% and 50% of total sales for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Distillates. Distillates are divided into home heating oil, diesel and kerosene. In 2010, sales of home heating oil, diesel and kerosene accounted for approximately 65%, 32% and 3%, respectively, of our total volume of distillates sold. Distillate sales accounted for approximately 35%, 44% and 46% of total sales for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

We sell generic home heating oil and Heating Oil Plus, our proprietary premium branded heating oil. Heating Oil Plus is electronically blended at the delivery facility. In 2010, approximately 12% of the volume of home heating oil we sold to wholesale resellers was Heating Oil Plus. In addition, we sell the additive used to create Heating Oil Plus to some wholesale resellers, make injection systems available to them and provide technical support to assist them with blending. We also educate the sales force of our customers to better prepare them for marketing our products to their customers.

We sell generic diesel and Diesel One®, our proprietary premium diesel fuel product. We offer marketing and technical support for those customers who purchase Diesel One®. In 2010, approximately 38% of the volume of diesel we sold to wholesale resellers was Diesel One®.

Residual Oil. We are one of three primary residual oil marketers in the Northeast. We specially blend residual oil for users in accordance with their individual power plant specifications. Residual oil sales accounted for approximately 5%, 5% and 4% of total sales for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

We had one customer, ExxonMobil, who accounted for approximately 19%, 22% and 20% of our total sales for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Wholesale

In the Wholesale segment, we sell gasoline, home heating oil, diesel, kerosene and residual oil to unbranded and Mobil-branded retail gasoline stations and other resellers of transportation fuels, home heating oil retailers and wholesale distributors. In 2010, this segment accounted for approximately 93% of our total volume sold. Generally, customers use their own vehicles or contract carriers to take

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

delivery of the product at bulk terminals and inland storage facilities that we own or control or with which we have throughput, exchange or other supply agreements. Please read " Storage."

In 2010, we sold unbranded and Mobil-branded gasoline and diesel, including Diesel One®, to approximately 1,135 wholesalers and retail gasoline station operators, vehicles, fleet and marine users and other end users throughout the Northeast.

We have marketing arrangements with two major suppliers of unbranded gasoline as well as two distillate suppliers in several northeastern states.

In 2010, we sold home heating oil, including Heating Oil Plus , to 1,076 wholesale distributors and retailers. We have a fixed price sales program that we market primarily to wholesale distributors and retailers which currently uses the New York Mercantile Exchange ("NYMEX") heating oil contract as the pricing benchmark and as a vehicle to manage the commodity risk. Please read " Commodity Risk Management." In 2010, approximately 27% of our home heating oil volume was sold using forward fixed price contracts. A forward fixed price contract requires our customer to purchase a specific volume at a specific price during a specific period. The remaining home heating oil was sold on either a posted price or a price based on various indices which, in both instances, reflect current market conditions.

In 2010, we sold residual oil to 19 wholesale distributors. Our Wholesale residual oil sales were accomplished through forward fixed price contracts or by using market-related prices, either posted prices or indexed prices, to reflect current market conditions.

Financial information with respect to the Wholesale segment, including information concerning revenues, gross profit, net product margin and total assets may be found under Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis and Results of Operations" and in Note 17 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Commercial

Our Commercial segment includes sales and deliveries of unbranded gasoline, home heating oil, diesel, kerosene, residual oil and small amounts of natural gas and renewable fuel to end user customers in the public sector and to large commercial and industrial end users. In the case of commercial and industrial end user customers, we sell our products primarily either through a competitive bidding process or through contracts of various terms. Our Commercial segment also includes sales of custom blended distillates and residual oil delivered by barges or from a terminal dock through bunkering activity as well as sales of Mobil-branded gasoline to end users. In 2010, this segment accounted for approximately 7% of our total volume sold.

Our commercial end user customers also include Mobil-branded gasoline customers at our directly operated gasoline stations, federal and state agencies, municipalities, large industrial companies, many autonomous authorities, such as transportation authorities and water resource authorities, colleges and universities and a group of small utilities. Unlike our Wholesale segment, in our Commercial segment, we generally arrange the delivery of the product to the customer's designated location. We typically hire third-party common carriers to deliver the product. Please read " Storage."

In this segment, we respond to publicly-issued requests for product proposals and quotes. As of December 31, 2010, we had contracts as a result of this public bidding process with the U.S. government and the states of Massachusetts, New Hampshire and Rhode Island. We also had contracts with municipalities, autonomous authorities and institutional customers in the Northeast to meet their various fuel requirements.

A majority of the contracts in our bid business are for a term of one to three years. We offer both fixed and indexed price and volume contracts to customers. The majority of bid activity is priced using

Table of Contents

an indexed price with the index typically chosen by the issuing authority in its solicitation for the bid proposal. The indexed prices are usually referenced to one of five industry publications and/or the utilization of regulated exchanges.

Our commercial customers also include cruise ships, dry and wet bulk carriers, fishing fleets and other marine vessels. We blend distillates and residual fuel to the customers' specifications at the terminal facility or on the barge and then deliver the resulting bunker fuel directly to the ship or barge.

Financial information with respect to the Commercial segment, including information concerning revenues, gross profit, net product margin and total assets may be found under Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis and Results of Operations" and in Note 17 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Other

Our non-petroleum product sales primarily include convenience store sales at our directly operated stores and gas station rental income.

Supply

Our products come from some of the major energy companies in the world. Cargos are sourced from the United States, Canada, South America, Europe, Russia and occasionally from Asia. During 2010, we purchased an average of approximately 238,000 barrels per day of refined petroleum products from approximately 115 suppliers. In 2010, our top ten suppliers accounted for approximately 60% of our product purchases. We enter into supply agreements with these suppliers on a term basis or a spot basis. With respect to trade terms, our supply purchases vary depending on the particular contract from prompt payment (usually three days) to net 30 days. Please read "Commodity Risk Management." We obtain our convenience store inventory from traditional suppliers.

Commodity Risk Management

Since we take title to the refined petroleum products that we sell, we are exposed to commodity risk. Commodity risk is the risk of unfavorable market fluctuations in the price of commodities such as refined petroleum products. We endeavor to minimize commodity risk in connection with our daily operations. Generally, as we purchase and/or store refined petroleum products, we reduce commodity risk through hedging by selling futures contracts on regulated exchanges or using other derivatives, and then lift hedges as we sell the product for physical delivery to third parties. Products are generally purchased and sold at spot prices, fixed prices or at indexed prices. While we use these transactions to seek to maintain a position that is substantially balanced between purchased volumes versus sales volumes through regulated exchanges or derivatives, we may experience net unbalanced positions for short periods of time as a result of variances in daily sales and transportation and delivery schedules as well as logistical issues associated with inclement weather conditions or infrastructure disruptions. In connection with managing these positions and maintaining a constant presence in the marketplace, both necessary for our business, we engage in a controlled trading program for up to an aggregate of 250,000 barrels of refined petroleum products on any day. Our general policy is not to hold refined petroleum products, futures contracts or other derivative products and instruments for the sole purpose of speculating on price change. While our policies are designed to minimize market risk, some degree of exposure to unforeseen fluctuations in market conditions remains.

Operating results are sensitive to a number of factors. Such factors include commodity location, grades of product, individual customer demand for grades or location of product, localized market price structures, availability of transportation facilities, daily delivery volumes that vary from expected quantities and timing and costs to deliver the commodity to the customer. The term "basis risk" is used to describe the inherent market price risk created when a commodity of certain grade or location is

Table of Contents

purchased, sold or exchanged as compared to a purchase, sale or exchange of commodity at a different time or place, including, without limitation, transportation costs and timing differentials. We attempt to reduce our exposure to basis risk by grouping our purchase and sale activities by geographical region and commodity quality in order to stay balanced within such designated region. However, basis risk cannot be entirely eliminated, and basis exposure, particularly in backward markets (when prices for future deliveries are lower than current prices) or other adverse market conditions, can adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

With respect to the pricing of commodities, we enter into futures contracts to minimize or hedge the impact of market fluctuations on our purchase and forward fixed price sales of refined petroleum products. Any hedge ineffectiveness is reflected in our results of operations. We utilize the NYMEX and the Chicago Mercantile Exchange ("CME"), which are regulated exchanges for the energy products that they trade, thereby reducing potential delivery and supply risks. Generally, our practice is to close all exchange positions rather than make or receive physical deliveries. With respect to other energy products, which may not have a correlated exchange contract, we enter into derivative agreements with counterparties that we believe have a strong credit profile in order to hedge market fluctuations and/or lock-in margins relative to our commitments.

We monitor processes and procedures to prevent unauthorized trading by our personnel and to maintain substantial balance between purchases and sales or future delivery obligations. We can provide no assurance, however, that these steps will detect and prevent all violations of such trading policies and procedures, particularly if deception or other intentional misconduct is involved.

Storage

Bulk terminals and inland storage facilities play a key role in the distribution of product to our customers. In 2010, we owned, leased or maintained dedicated storage facilities at 24 refined petroleum product bulk terminals, each with the capacity of more than 50,000 barrels, including 23 located throughout the Northeast that collectively have approximately 10.2 million barrels of storage capacity. We also have throughput, exchange or other supply agreements at more than 50 bulk terminals and inland storage facilities.

The bulk terminals and inland storage facilities from which we distribute product are supplied by ship, barge, truck, pipeline or rail. The inland storage facilities, which we use exclusively to store distillates, are supplied with product delivered by truck from bulk terminals. Our customers receive product from our network of bulk terminals and inland storage facilities via truck, barge, rail or pipeline.

Many of our bulk terminals operate 24 hours a day and consist of multiple storage tanks and automated truck loading equipment. These automated systems monitor terminal access, volumetric allocations, credit control and carrier certification through the remote identification of customers. In addition, some of the bulk terminals at which we market are equipped with truck loading racks capable of providing automated blending and additive packages which meet our customers' specific requirements.

Throughput arrangements allow storage of product at terminals owned by others. Our customers can load product at these terminals, and we pay the owners of these terminals fees for services rendered in connection with the receipt, storage and handling of such product. Compensation to the terminal owners may be fixed or based upon the volume of our product that is delivered and sold at the terminal.

Exchange agreements allow our customers to take delivery of product at a terminal or facility that is not owned or leased by us. An exchange is a contractual agreement where the parties exchange product at their respective terminals or facilities. For example, we (or our customers) receive product

Table of Contents

that is owned by our exchange partner from such party's facility or terminal, and we deliver the same volume of our product to such party (or to such party's customers) out of one of the terminals in our terminal network. Generally, both sides of an exchange transaction pay a handling fee (similar to a throughput fee), and often one party also pays a location differential that covers any excess transportation costs incurred by the other party in supplying product to the location at which the first party receives product. Other differentials that may occur in exchanges (and result in additional payments) include product value differentials and timing differentials.

Competition

We encounter varying degrees of competition based on product and geographic locations. Our competitors include terminal companies, major integrated oil companies and their marketing affiliates and independent marketers of varying sizes, financial resources and experience. In our core Northeast market, we compete in various product lines and for all customers. In the residual oil markets, however, where product is heated when stored and cannot be delivered long distances, we face less competition because of the strategic locations of our residual oil storage facilities. We also compete with natural gas suppliers and marketers in our home heating oil and residual oil product lines. Bunkering requires facilities at ports to service vessels. In various other geographic markets, particularly the unbranded gasoline and distillates markets, we compete with integrated refiners, merchant refiners and regional marketing companies. Our Mobil-branded retail gas stations compete with unbranded and branded retail gas stations as well as supermarket and warehouse stores that sell gasoline.

Environmental

General

Our business of supplying refined petroleum products involves a number of activities that are subject to extensive and stringent environmental laws. As part of our business, we own and operate various petroleum storage and distribution facilities and gas stations and must comply with environmental laws at the federal, state and local levels, which increases the cost of operating terminals and gas stations and our business generally.

Our operations also utilize a number of petroleum storage facilities and distribution facilities and gas stations that we do not own or operate, but at which refined petroleum products are stored. We utilize these facilities through several different contractual arrangements, including leases and throughput and terminalling services agreements. If facilities with which we contract that are owned and operated by third parties fail to comply with environmental laws, they could be shut down, requiring us to incur costs to use alternative facilities.

Environmental laws and regulations can restrict or impact our business activities in many ways, such as:

requiring remedial action to mitigate releases of hydrocarbons, hazardous substances or wastes caused by our operations or attributable to former operators;

requiring capital expenditures to comply with environmental control requirements; and

enjoining the operations of facilities deemed in noncompliance with environmental laws and regulations.

Failure to comply with environmental laws and regulations may trigger a variety of administrative, civil and criminal enforcement measures, including the assessment of monetary penalties, the imposition of remedial requirements and the issuance of orders enjoining future operations. Certain environmental statutes impose strict, joint and several liability for costs required to clean up and restore sites where hydrocarbons, hazardous substances or wastes have been released or disposed of. Moreover,

Table of Contents

neighboring landowners and other third parties may file claims for personal injury and property damage allegedly caused by the release of hydrocarbons, hazardous substances or other wastes into the environment.

The trend in environmental regulation is to place more restrictions and limitations on activities that may affect the environment. As a result, there can be no assurance as to the amount or timing of future expenditures for environmental compliance or remediation, and actual future expenditures may be different from the amounts we currently anticipate. We try to anticipate future regulatory requirements that might be imposed and plan accordingly to remain in compliance with changing environmental laws and regulations and minimize the costs of such compliance.

We do not believe that compliance with federal, state or local environmental laws and regulations will have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash available for distribution to our unitholders. We can provide no assurance, however, that future events, such as changes in existing laws (including changes in the interpretation of existing laws), the promulgation of new laws, or the development or discovery of new facts or conditions will not cause us to incur significant costs.

Hazardous Materials and Waste Handling

In most instances, the environmental laws and regulations affecting our business relate to the release of hazardous substances into the water or soils and include measures to control pollution of the environment. For instance, the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, as amended, also known as CERCLA or the Superfund law, and comparable state laws impose liability, without regard to fault or the legality of the original conduct, on certain classes of persons who are considered to be responsible for the release of hazardous substances into the environment. These persons include the owner or operator of the site where the release occurred and companies that disposed or arranged for the disposal of the hazardous substances. Under the Superfund law, these persons may be subject to joint and several liability for the costs of cleaning up hazardous substances that have been released into the environment, for damages to natural resources and for the costs of certain health studies. The Superfund law also authorizes the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), and in some instances third parties, to act in response to threats to the public health or the environment and seek to recover from the responsible persons the costs they incur. It is possible for neighboring landowners and other third parties to file claims for personal injury and property damage allegedly caused by hazardous substances or other pollutants released into the environment. In the course of our ordinary operations, we may generate, store or otherwise handle materials and wastes that fall within the Superfund law's definition of a hazardous substance and, as a result, we may be jointly and severally liable under the Superfund law for all or part of the costs required to clean up sites at which those hazardous substances have been released into the environment.

We currently own, lease or utilize storage or distribution facilities and gas stations where hydrocarbons are being or have been handled for many years. Although we have utilized operating and disposal practices that were standard in the industry at the time, hydrocarbons or other wastes may have been disposed of or released on, under or from the properties owned or leased by us or on or under other locations where we have contractual arrangements or where these wastes have been taken for disposal. In addition, many of these properties have been operated by third parties whose treatment and disposal or release of hydrocarbons or other wastes was not under our control. These properties and wastes disposed thereon may be subject to the Superfund law or other federal and state laws. Under these laws, we could be required to remove or remediate previously disposed wastes, including wastes disposed of or released by prior owners or operators, clean up contaminated property, including groundwater contaminated by prior owners or operators or make capital improvements to prevent future contamination.

Table of Contents

Our operations generate a variety of wastes, including some hazardous wastes that are subject to the federal Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, as amended ("RCRA") and comparable state laws. By way of summary, these regulations impose detailed requirements for the handling, storage, treatment and disposal of hazardous waste. Our operations also generate solid wastes which are regulated under state law or the less stringent solid waste requirements of the federal Solid Waste Disposal Act. We believe that we are in substantial compliance with the existing requirements of RCRA, the Solid Waste Disposal Act, and similar state and local laws, and the cost involved in complying with these requirements is not material.

We incur ongoing costs for monitoring groundwater and/or remediation of contamination at several facilities that we operate. Assuming that we will be able to continue to use common remedial and monitoring methods or associated engineering or institutional controls to demonstrate compliance with applicable regulatory requirements, as we have in the past and regulations currently allow, we believe that these costs will not have a material impact on our financial condition, results of operations or cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

Above Ground Storage Tanks

Above ground tanks that contain petroleum and other hazardous substances are subject to comprehensive regulation under environmental laws. Generally, these laws impose liability for releases and require secondary containment systems for tanks or that the operators take alternative precautions to ensure that no contamination results from tank leaks or spills. We believe we are in substantial compliance with environmental laws and regulations applicable to above ground storage tanks.

The Oil Pollution Act of 1990 ("OPA") addresses three principal areas of oil pollution prevention, containment and cleanup. In order to handle, store or transport oil, we are required to file oil spill response plans with either the United States Coast Guard (for marine facilities) or the EPA. States in which we operate have enacted laws similar to OPA. Under OPA and comparable state laws, responsible parties for a regulated facility from which oil is discharged may be subject to strict, joint and several liability for removal costs and certain other consequences of an oil spill such as natural resource damages, where the spill is into navigable waters or along shorelines. We believe we are in substantial compliance with regulations pursuant to OPA and similar state laws.

Under the authority of the federal Clean Water Act, the EPA imposes specific requirements for Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure plans that are designed to prevent, and minimize the impacts of, releases of oil and oil products from above ground storage tanks. We believe we are in substantial compliance with these requirements.

Underground Storage Tanks

We are required to make financial expenditures to comply with regulations governing underground storage tanks which store gasoline or other regulated substances adopted by federal, state and local regulatory agencies. Pursuant to RCRA, the EPA has established a comprehensive regulatory program for the detection, prevention, investigation and cleanup of leaking underground storage tanks. State or local agencies are often delegated the responsibility for implementing the federal program or developing and implementing equivalent or stricter state or local regulations. We have a comprehensive program in place for performing routine tank testing and other compliance activities which are intended to promptly detect and investigate any potential releases. In addition, the federal Clean Air Act and similar state laws impose requirements on emissions to the air from motor fueling activities in certain areas of the country, including those that do not meet state or national ambient air quality standards. These laws may require the installation of vapor recovery systems to control emissions of volatile organic compounds to the air during the motor fueling process. We believe we are in substantial compliance with applicable environmental requirements, including those applicable to our

Table of Contents

underground storage tanks. Compliance with existing and future environmental laws regulating underground storage tank systems of the kind we use may require significant capital expenditures in the future. These expenditures may include upgrades, modifications, and the replacement of underground storage tanks and related piping to comply with current and future regulatory requirements designed to ensure the detection, prevention, investigation and remediation of leaks and spills.

Water Discharges

The federal Clean Water Act imposes restrictions regarding the discharge of pollutants, including oil and refined petroleum products, into navigable waters. This law and comparable state laws require permits for discharging pollutants into state and federal waters and impose substantial liabilities and remedial obligations for noncompliance. EPA regulations also require us to obtain permits to discharge certain storm water runoff. Storm water discharge permits also may be required by certain states in which we operate. We believe that we hold the required permits and operate in material compliance with those permits. While we have experienced permit discharge exceedences at some of our terminals, we do not expect any noncompliance with existing permits and foreseeable new permit requirements to have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

Air Emissions

Under the federal Clean Air Act and comparable state and local laws, permits are typically required to emit regulated air pollutants into the atmosphere. We believe that we currently hold or have applied for all necessary air permits and that we are in substantial compliance with applicable air laws and regulations. Although we can give no assurances, we are aware of no changes to air quality regulations that will have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

Various federal, state and local agencies have the authority to prescribe product quality specifications for the refined petroleum products that we sell, largely in an effort to reduce air pollution. Failure to comply with these regulations can result in substantial penalties. Although we can give no assurances, we believe we are currently in substantial compliance with these regulations.

Changes in product quality specifications could require us to incur additional handling costs or reduce our throughput volume. For instance, different product specifications for different markets could require the construction of additional storage. Also, states in which we operate have considered limiting the sulfur content of home heating oil. If such regulations are enacted, this could restrict the supply of available heating oil, which could increase our costs to purchase such oil or limit our ability to sell heating oil.

Efforts at the federal and state level are currently underway to reduce the levels of greenhouse gas ("GHG") emissions from various sources in the United States. At the federal level, legislation was introduced in Congress in 2007-2010 to reduce GHG emissions in the United States. Such or similar federal legislation may impose a carbon emissions tax or establish a cap-and-trade program or regulation by the EPA. Even in the absence of new federal legislation, GHG emissions have begun to be regulated by the EPA pursuant to the Clean Air Act. In December of 2009, the EPA issued a final rule declaring that six GHGs, including carbon dioxide and methane, "endanger both the public health and the public welfare of current and future generations." The issuance of this "endangerment finding" allowed the EPA to begin regulating GHG emissions under existing provisions of the federal Clean Air Act. In May 2010, the EPA issued a final "tailoring rule" that would regulate GHG emissions from large stationary sources such as power plants or industrial facilities. In addition, in April 2010, the EPA set a new emissions standard for motor vehicles to reduce GHG emissions. In December 2010, the EPA issued its plan to update pollution standards for fossil fuel power plants and petroleum refineries.

Table of Contents

Under that agreement, the EPA intends to propose standards for power plants in July 2011 and for refineries in December 2011 and will issue final standards in May 2012 and November 2012, respectively. Numerous states, including many where we have operations, have already taken legal measures to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases, primarily through the planned development of GHG emission inventories and/or regional GHG cap and trade programs. A regional cap and trade program, referred to as the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative, began January 1, 2009, and is designed to stabilize and reduce GHG emissions from fossil fuel-fired power plants in many northeastern and mid-atlantic states. New federal or state restrictions on emissions of greenhouse gases that may be imposed in areas of the United States in which we conduct business and that apply to our operations could adversely affect the demand for our products.

On December 30, 2010 the EPA issued final rules under Subpart W of the Mandatory Greenhouse Gas Reporting Rule ("MRR"), which applies to petroleum and natural gas systems. Under Subpart W, any facility in a covered sector emitting more than 25,000 metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent per year must monitor and report their GHG emissions to the EPA. Monitoring obligations under Subpart W became effective on January 1, 2011. Currently, Subpart W only covers onshore and offshore petroleum and natural gas production; onshore natural gas processing; underground natural gas storage; liquefied natural gas storage, import and export; and natural gas distribution. Because we are not engaged in production activities or natural gas distribution, we do not believe that we are currently subject to the requirements of MRR Subpart W. In addition, under Subpart MM, importers of petroleum products, including distillates, must report the GHG emissions that would result from the complete combustion of all imported products if such combustion would result in the emission of at least 25,000 metric tons of carbon dioxide per year. At this time, we believe that we may be subject to Subpart MM because we import a volume of petroleum products that may produce sufficient emissions to trigger a reporting obligation. We do not believe that compliance with the MRR will substantially impact our operations.

Convenience Store Regulations

Our convenience store operations are subject to extensive governmental laws and regulations that include, but are not limited to, legal restrictions on the sale of alcohol, tobacco and lottery products, food safety and health requirements and public accessibility, as well as sanitation, safety and fire standards. State and local regulatory agencies have the authority to approve, revoke, suspend or deny applications for, and renewals of, permits and licenses. Our operations are also subject to federal and state laws governing matters such as wage rates, overtime, working conditions and citizenship requirements. At the federal level, there are proposals under consideration from time to time to increase minimum wage rates and to introduce a system of mandated health insurance, each of which could adversely affect our results of operations. In June 2009, Congress gave the Food and Drug Administration ("FDA"), broad authority to regulate tobacco products through passage of the Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco Control Act ("FSPTCA"). Under the FSPTCA, the FDA has passed regulations that, among other things, prohibit the sale of cigarettes or smokeless tobacco to anyone under the age of 18 years (state laws are permitted to set a higher minimum age); prohibit the sale of single cigarettes or packs with less than 20 cigarettes; and prohibit the sale or distribution of non-tobacco items such as hats and t-shirts with tobacco brands, names or logos. Governmental actions and regulations, such as these, could materially impact our retail price of cigarettes, cigarette unit volume and revenues, merchandise gross profit and overall customer traffic, which could in turn have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Ethanol Market

The market for ethanol is dependent on several economic incentives to use ethanol, including federal tax incentives, ethanol use mandates and oxygenate blending requirements. For instance, the

Table of Contents

Renewable Fuels Standard ("RFS") requires that a certain amount of renewable fuels be utilized in the United States each year. Additionally, the EPA imposes oxygenate blending requirements for reformulated gasoline. The market for ethanol is also affected by the Volumetric Ethanol Excise Tax Credit ("blender's credit"), which provides a volumetric tax credit of 4.5 cents per gallon of gasoline that contains at least 10% ethanol. The blender's credit was recently renewed until December 31, 2011. A reduction or waiver of the RFS mandate or the oxygenate blending requirements or the failure to extend the blender's credit could adversely affect the availability and pricing of ethanol, which could result in reduced discretionary blending of ethanol. Discretionary blending is when gasoline blenders use ethanol to reduce the cost of blended gasoline.

Recently, the EPA allowed the use of E15, gasoline which is blended at a rate of 15% ethanol and 85% gasoline, in vehicles manufactured in the model year 2007 and later as well as for cars and light duty trucks manufactured in the model years between 2001 and 2006. According to EPA estimates, flex-fuel vehicles make up only 7.3 million of the 240 million vehicles on the nation's roads and there are only about 2,000 E85 pumps in the U.S. The USDA recently announced that it will provide financial assistance to help implement more "blender pumps" in the U.S. in order to increase demand for ethanol and to help offset the cost of introducing mid-level ethanol blends into the U.S. retail gasoline market. However, blender pumps cost approximately \$25,000 each, so it may take time before they become widely available in the retail gasoline market.

Environmental Insurance

We maintain insurance which may cover, in whole or in part, certain costs relating to the clean up of releases of refined petroleum products. We maintain insurance policies with insurers in amounts and with coverage and deductibles as our general partner believes are reasonable and prudent. These policies may not cover all environmental risks and costs and may not provide sufficient coverage in the event an environmental claim is made against us.

Security Regulation

Since the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks on the United States, the U.S. government has issued warnings that energy infrastructure assets may be future targets of terrorist organizations. These developments have subjected our operations to increased risks. Increased security measures taken by us as a precaution against possible terrorist attacks have resulted in increased costs to our business. Where required by federal or local laws, we have prepared security plans for the storage and distribution facilities we operate. Terrorist attacks aimed at our facilities and any global and domestic economic repercussions from terrorist activities could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders. For instance, terrorist activity could lead to increased volatility in prices for home heating oil, gasoline and other products we sell.

Insurance carriers are currently required to offer coverage for terrorist activities as a result of the federal Terrorism Risk Insurance Act of 2002 ("TRIA"). We purchased this coverage with respect to our property and casualty insurance programs, which resulted in additional insurance premiums. Pursuant to the Terrorism Risk Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2007, TRIA has been extended through December 31, 2014. Although we cannot determine the future availability and cost of insurance coverage for terrorist acts, we do not expect the availability and cost of such insurance to have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

Employee Safety

We are subject to the requirements of the Occupational Safety and Health Act ("OSHA") and comparable state statutes that regulate the protection of the health and safety of workers. In addition,

Table of Contents

OSHA's hazard communication standards require that information be maintained about hazardous materials used or produced in operations and that this information be provided to employees, state and local government authorities and citizens. We believe that we are in substantial compliance with the applicable OSHA requirements.

We operate a limited number of trucks for the transportation of refined petroleum products, as most of the trucks that distribute products we sell are owned and operated by third parties. We are subject to regulations promulgated under the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Act for those trucks that we do operate. These regulations cover the transportation of hazardous materials and are administered by the U.S. Department of Transportation. We conduct ongoing training programs to help ensure that our operations are in compliance with applicable regulations.

Title to Properties, Permits and Licenses

We believe we have all of the assets needed, including leases, permits and licenses, to operate our business in all material respects. With respect to any consents, permits or authorizations that have not been obtained, we believe that the failure to obtain these consents, permits or authorizations will have no material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

We believe we have satisfactory title to all of our assets. Title to property may be subject to encumbrances. We believe that none of these encumbrances will materially detract from the value of our properties or from our interest in these properties, nor will they materially interfere with the use of these properties in the operation of our business.

The name GLOBAL, our logos and the name Global Petroleum Corp. are trademarks of Global Companies LLC. In addition, we have trademarks for our premium fuels and additives, Diesel One®, Heating Oil Plus and SubZero®.

Facilities

We lease office space for our principal executive office in Waltham, Massachusetts. The lease expires on December 31, 2015.

Employees

To carry out our operations, our general partner and certain of our operating subsidiaries employed 286 full-time employees as of December 31, 2010. We believe we have good relations with our employees.

Certain of the employees assigned to our terminal in Chelsea, Massachusetts are employed under collective bargaining agreements that expire in 2011 and which we expect will be renewed in the ordinary course. Certain of Global Petroleum Corp.'s employees at the Revere, Massachusetts facility are also employed under a collective bargaining agreement that expires in 2011 and which we expect will be renewed in the ordinary course. Certain of the employees assigned to our terminals in Albany, Newburgh, Glenwood Landing and Inwood, New York are employed under collective bargaining agreements that expire in May 2013 (with respect to Albany and Newburgh) and April 2011 (with respect to Glenwood Landing and Inwood and which we expect will be renewed in the ordinary course). Certain of the employees assigned to our terminal in Oyster Bay (Commander), New York are employed under a collective bargaining agreement that expired in May 2010. We have negotiated an agreement, in principle, with the Commander employees and expect that a successor collective bargaining agreement will be executed in the first quarter of 2011. One other employee at Commander is employed under a collective bargaining agreement that expires in 2011 and which we expect will be renewed in the ordinary course.

Table of Contents

We have two shared services agreements, one with Global Petroleum Corp. and another with Alliance Energy LLC. The services provided among these entities by any employees shared pursuant to these agreements does not limit the ability of such employees to provide all services necessary to properly run our business. Please read Item 13, "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence Shared Services Agreements."

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Risks Related to Our Business

We may not have sufficient cash from operations to enable us to pay the minimum quarterly distribution or maintain distributions at current levels following establishment of cash reserves and payment of fees and expenses, including payments to our general partner.

We may not have sufficient available cash each quarter to pay the minimum quarterly distribution or maintain distributions at current levels. The amount of cash we can distribute on our units principally depends upon the amount of cash we generate from our operations, which will fluctuate from quarter to quarter based on, among other things:

competition from other companies that sell refined petroleum products and natural gas and renewable fuels in the Northeast;

demand for refined petroleum products in the markets we serve;

absolute price levels, as well as the volatility of prices, of refined petroleum products in both the spot and futures markets;

seasonal variation in temperatures, which affects demand for home heating oil and residual oil to the extent that it is used for space heating;

the level of our operating costs, including payments to our general partner; and

prevailing economic conditions.

In addition, the actual amount of cash we have available for distribution will depend on other factors such as:

the level of capital expenditures we make;

the restrictions contained in our credit agreement, including borrowing base limitations and advance rates;

our debt service requirements;

the cost of acquisitions;

fluctuations in our working capital needs;

our ability to borrow under our credit agreement to make distributions to our unitholders; and

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

the amount of cash reserves established by our general partner, if any.

The amount of cash we have available for distribution to unitholders depends primarily on our cash flow and not solely on profitability.

The amount of cash we have available for distribution depends primarily on our cash flow, including working capital borrowings, and not solely on profitability, which will be affected by non-cash items. As a result, we may make cash distributions during periods when we record losses and may not make cash distributions during periods when we record net income.

Table of Contents

Our financial results are seasonal and generally lower in the second and third quarters of the calendar year, which may result in our need to borrow money in order to make distributions to our unitholders during these quarters.

Demand for some refined petroleum products, specifically home heating oil and residual oil for space heating purposes, is generally higher during November through March than during April through October. We obtain a significant portion of our sales of home heating oil and residual oil for space heating purposes during these winter months. Therefore, our results of operations for the first and fourth calendar quarters are generally better than for the second and third quarters. With lower cash flow during the second and third calendar quarters, we may be required to borrow money in order to pay the minimum quarterly distribution to our unitholders. Any restrictions on our ability to borrow money could restrict our ability to make quarterly distributions to our unitholders.

A significant decrease in demand for refined petroleum products in the areas we serve would reduce our ability to make distributions to our unitholders.

A significant decrease in demand for refined petroleum products in the areas that we serve could significantly reduce our revenues and, therefore, reduce our ability to make or increase distributions to our unitholders. Factors that could lead to a decrease in market demand for refined petroleum products include:

lower demand by consumers for refined petroleum products, including gasoline, home heating oil and residual oil, as a result of recession or other adverse economic conditions or due to high prices caused by an increase in the market price of refined petroleum products or higher fuel taxes or other governmental or regulatory actions that increase, directly or indirectly, the cost of gasoline or other refined petroleum products;

a shift by consumers to more fuel-efficient or alternative fuel vehicles or an increase in fuel economy of vehicles, whether as a result of technological advances by manufacturers, governmental or regulatory actions or otherwise; and

conversion from consumption of home heating oil or residual oil to natural gas.

Certain of our operating costs and expenses are fixed and do not vary with the volumes we store and distribute. These costs and expenses may not decrease ratably or at all should we experience a reduction in our volumes stored, distributed and sold. As a result, we may experience declines in our margin if our volumes decrease.

Our financial condition and results of operations are influenced by increases and/or decreases in the prices of refined petroleum products which may adversely impact our margins, our customer's financial condition, contract performance, trade credit, as well as the amount of borrowing available for working capital under our credit agreement, which credit agreement has borrowing base limitations and advance rates.

Results from our purchasing, storing, terminalling and selling operations are influenced by prices for refined petroleum products, pricing volatility and the market for such products. When prices for refined petroleum products rise, some of our customers may have insufficient credit to purchase supply from us at their historical purchase volumes, and their customers, in turn, may adopt conservation measures which reduce consumption, thereby reducing demand for product. Furthermore, when prices increase rapidly and dramatically, we may be unable to promptly pass our additional costs to our customers, resulting in lower margins for us which could adversely affect our results of operations. Lastly, higher prices for refined petroleum products may (1) diminish our access to trade credit support and/or cause it to become more expensive and (2) decrease the amount of borrowings available for working capital under our credit agreement as a result of total available commitments, borrowing base limitations and advance rates thereunder.

Table of Contents

In addition, when prices for refined petroleum products decline, our exposure to risk of loss in the event of nonperformance by our customers on forward contracts may be increased as they and/or their customers may breach their contracts and purchase refined petroleum products at the then lower spot and/or retail market price. Furthermore, lower prices for refined petroleum products may diminish the amount of borrowings available for working capital under our working capital revolving credit facility as a result of borrowing base limitations.

Our debt levels may limit our flexibility in obtaining additional financing and in pursuing other business opportunities.

We have a significant amount of debt. As of December 31, 2010, our total debt was approximately \$786.7 million. We have the ability to incur additional debt, including the capacity to borrow up to \$1.15 billion under our credit facilities, subject to limitations in our credit agreement. Our level of indebtedness could have important consequences to us, including the following:

our ability to obtain additional financing, if necessary, for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions or other purposes may be impaired or such financing may not be available on favorable terms;

covenants contained in our existing and future credit and debt arrangements will require us to meet financial tests that may affect our flexibility in planning for and reacting to changes in our business, including possible acquisition opportunities;

we will need a substantial portion of our cash flow to make principal and interest payments on our indebtedness, reducing the funds that would otherwise be available for operations, future business opportunities and distributions to unitholders;

our debt level will make us more vulnerable than our competitors with less debt to competitive pressures or a downturn in our business or the economy generally; and

our debt level may limit our flexibility in responding to changing business and economic conditions.

Our ability to service our indebtedness depends upon, among other things, our financial and operating performance, which will be affected by prevailing economic conditions and financial, business, regulatory and other factors, some of which are beyond our control. If our operating results are not sufficient to service our current or future indebtedness, we will be forced to take actions, such as reducing distributions, reducing or delaying our business activities, acquisitions, investments and/or capital expenditures, selling assets, restructuring or refinancing our indebtedness, or seeking additional equity capital or bankruptcy protection. We may not be able to effect any of these remedies on satisfactory terms, or at all.

We may not be able to obtain funding on acceptable terms or obtain additional requested funding in excess of total commitments under our credit agreement, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

Over the recent past, global financial markets and economic conditions were disrupted and volatile. The debt and equity capital markets were exceedingly distressed. These issues, along with significant write-offs in the financial services sector, the re-pricing of credit risk and the economic conditions, had made and, along with any other potential future economic or market uncertainties, could make it difficult to obtain funding.

As a result, the cost of raising money in the debt and equity capital markets could increase while the availability of funds from those markets could diminish. The cost of obtaining money from the

Table of Contents

credit markets could increase as many lenders and institutional investors increase interest rates, enact tighter lending standards and reduce and, in some cases, cease to provide funding to borrowers.

In addition, we may be unable to obtain adequate funding under our credit agreement because (i) one or more of our lenders may be unable to meet its funding obligations or (ii) our borrowing base under our credit agreement, as redetermined from time to time, may decrease as a result of price fluctuations, counterparty risk, advance rates and borrowing base limitations and customer nonpayment or nonperformance.

Due to these factors, we cannot be certain that funding will be available if needed and to the extent required or requested on acceptable terms. If funding is not available when needed, or is available only on unfavorable terms, we may be unable to maintain our core business as currently conducted, enhance our existing business, complete acquisitions or otherwise take advantage of business opportunities or respond to competitive pressures, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

Our credit agreement contains operating and financial restrictions that may restrict our business and financing activities.

The operating and financial restrictions and covenants in our credit agreement and any future financing agreements could restrict our ability to finance future operations or capital needs or to engage, expand or pursue our business activities. For example, our credit agreement restricts our ability to:

grant liens;

make certain loans or investments;

incur additional indebtedness or guarantee other indebtedness;

make any material change to the nature of our business or undergo a fundamental change;

make any material dispositions;

acquire another company;

enter into a merger, consolidation, sale leaseback transaction or purchase of assets;

make distributions if any potential default or event of default occurs;

modify borrowing base components and advance rates; or

make capital expenditures in excess of specified levels.

Our ability to comply with the covenants and restrictions contained in our credit agreement may be affected by events beyond our control, including prevailing economic, financial and industry conditions. If market or other economic conditions deteriorate, our ability to comply with these covenants may be impaired. If we violate any of the restrictions, covenants, ratios or tests in our credit agreement, a significant portion of our indebtedness may become immediately due and payable, and our lenders' commitment to make further loans to us may terminate. We might not have, or be able to obtain, sufficient funds to make these accelerated payments. In addition, our obligations under our credit agreement are

secured by substantially all of our assets, and if we are unable to repay our indebtedness under our credit agreement, the lenders could seek to foreclose on such assets.

Table of Contents

Restrictions in our credit agreement limit our ability to pay distributions upon the occurrence of certain events.

Our credit agreement limits our ability to pay distributions upon the occurrence of the following events, among others:

failure to pay any principal when due or any interest, fees or other amounts when due;

failure of any representation or warranty to be true and correct in any material respect;

failure to perform or otherwise comply with the covenants in the credit agreement or in other loan documents to which we are a borrower;

any default in the performance of any obligation or condition beyond the applicable grace period relating to any other indebtedness of more than \$2.0 million if the effect of the default is to permit or cause the acceleration of the indebtedness;

a judgment default for monetary judgments exceeding \$2.0 million or a default under any non-monetary judgment if such default could have a material adverse effect on us;

a change in management or ownership control; and

a violation of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act, or ERISA, or a bankruptcy or insolvency event involving us, our general partner or any of our subsidiaries.

Any subsequent refinancing of our current debt or any new debt could have similar restrictions. For more information regarding our credit agreement, please read Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Credit Agreement" and Note 8 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

We can borrow money under our credit agreement to pay distributions, which would reduce the amount of credit available to operate our business.

Our partnership agreement allows us to borrow under our credit agreement to pay distributions. Accordingly, we can make distributions on all our units even though cash generated by our operations may not be sufficient to pay such distributions. For more information, please read Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Credit Agreement" and Note 8 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Warmer weather conditions could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

Weather conditions have an impact on the demand for both home heating oil and residual oil. Because we supply distributors whose customers depend on home heating oil and residual oil for space heating purposes during the winter, warmer-than-normal temperatures during the first and fourth calendar quarters in one or more regions in which we operate can decrease the total volume we sell and the gross profit realized on those sales and, consequently, our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

The recent adoption of derivatives legislation by the United States Congress could have an adverse effect on our ability to use derivative instruments to reduce the effect of commodity prices, interest rate and other risks associated with our business.

The United States Congress recently adopted comprehensive financial reform legislation that establishes federal oversight and regulation of the over-the-counter derivatives market and entities, such as us, that participate in that market. The new legislation, known as the Dodd-Frank

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Dodd-Frank Act") was signed into law by the President on

Table of Contents

July 21, 2010 and requires the Commodities Futures Trading Commission (the "CFTC") and the SEC to promulgate rules and regulations implementing the new legislation within 360 days from the date of enactment. The CFTC has also proposed regulations to set position limits for certain futures and option contracts in the major energy markets, although it is not possible at this time to predict whether or when the CFTC will adopt those rules or include comparable provisions in its rulemaking under the Dodd-Frank Act. The Dodd-Frank Act may also require compliance with margin requirements and with certain clearing and trade-execution requirements in connection with certain derivative activities, although the application of those provisions is uncertain at this time. The financial reform legislation may also require the counterparties to our derivative instruments to spin off some of their derivatives activities to a separate entity, which may not be as creditworthy as the current counterparty.

The new legislation and any new regulations could significantly increase the cost of some derivative contracts (including through requirements to post collateral which could adversely affect our available liquidity), materially alter the terms of some derivative contracts, reduce the availability of some derivatives to protect against risks we encounter, reduce our ability to monetize or restructure our existing derivative contracts, and potentially increase our exposure to less creditworthy counterparties. Any of these consequences could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

Our risk management policies cannot eliminate all commodity risk, basis risk, or the impact of adverse market conditions which can adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders. In addition, any noncompliance with our risk management policies could result in significant financial losses.

While our hedging policies are designed to minimize commodity risk, some degree of exposure to unforeseen fluctuations in market conditions remains. For example, we change our hedged position daily in response to movements in our inventory. If we overestimate or underestimate our sales from inventory, we may be unhedged for the amount of the overestimate or underestimate. Also, significant increases in the costs of refined petroleum products can materially increase our costs to carry inventory. We use our credit facility as our primary source of financing to carry inventory and may be limited on the amounts we can borrow to carry inventory.

Basis risk describes the inherent market price risk created when a commodity of certain grade or location is purchased, sold or exchanged as compared to a purchase, sale or exchange of a like commodity at a different time or place. Transportation costs and timing differentials are components of basis risk. For example, we use the NYMEX to hedge our commodity risk with respect to pricing of energy products traded on the NYMEX. Physical deliveries under NYMEX contracts are made in New York Harbor. To the extent we take deliveries in other ports, such as Boston Harbor, we may have basis risk. In a backward market (when prices for future deliveries are lower than current prices), basis risk is created with respect to timing. In these instances, physical inventory generally loses value as basis declines over time. Basis risk cannot be entirely eliminated, and basis exposure, particularly in backward or other adverse market conditions, can adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

We monitor processes and procedures to prevent unauthorized trading and to maintain substantial balance between purchases and sales or future delivery obligations. We can provide no assurance, however, that these steps will detect and/or prevent all violations of such risk management policies and procedures, particularly if deception or other intentional misconduct is involved.

Table of Contents

We are exposed to trade credit risk and risk associated with our trade credit support in the ordinary course of our business activities.

We are exposed to risks of loss in the event of nonperformance by our customers and by counterparties of our forward and futures contracts, options and swap agreements and by our suppliers. Some of our customers, counterparties and suppliers may be highly leveraged and subject to their own operating and regulatory risks. The tightening of credit in the financial markets may make it more difficult for customers and counterparties to obtain financing and, depending on the degree to which it occurs, there may be a material increase in the nonpayment and nonperformance of our customers and counterparties. Even if our credit review and analysis mechanisms work properly, we may experience financial losses in our dealings with other parties. Any increase in the nonpayment or nonperformance by our customers and/or counterparties and the nonperformance by our suppliers could reduce our ability to make distributions to our unitholders.

Additionally, our access to trade credit support could diminish and/or become more expensive. Our ability to continue to receive sufficient trade credit on commercially acceptable terms could be adversely affected by fluctuations in refined petroleum product prices or disruptions in the credit markets or for any other reason.

We are exposed to performance risk in our supply chain.

We rely upon our suppliers to timely produce the volumes and types of refined petroleum products for which they contract with us. In the event one or more of our suppliers does not perform in accordance with its contractual obligations, we may be required to purchase product on the open market to satisfy forward contracts we have entered into with our customers in reliance upon such supply arrangements. We purchase refined petroleum products from a variety of suppliers under term contracts and on the spot market. In times of extreme market demand, we may be unable to satisfy our supply requirements. Furthermore, a portion of our supply comes from other countries, which could be disrupted by political events. In the event such supply becomes scarce, whether as a result of political events, natural disaster, logistical issues associated with delivery schedules or otherwise, we may not be able to satisfy our supply requirements. If any of these events were to occur, we may be required to pay more for product that we purchase on the open market, which could result in financial losses and adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

Some of our competitors have capital resources many times greater than ours and control greater supplies of refined petroleum products.

Our competitors include terminal companies, major integrated oil companies and their marketing affiliates and independent marketers of varying sizes, financial resources and experience. Some of our competitors have capital resources many times greater than ours and control greater supplies of refined petroleum products. If we are unable to compete effectively, we may lose existing customers or fail to acquire new customers, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders. For example, if a competitor attempts to increase market share by reducing prices, our operating results and cash available for distribution to our unitholders could be adversely affected. We may not be able to compete successfully with these companies.

Table of Contents

Some of our residual oil volumes are subject to customers switching or converting to natural gas which could result in loss of customers, which in turn could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

Our residual oil business competes for customers with suppliers of natural gas. Those end users who are dual-fuel users have the ability to switch from residual oil to natural gas. Other end users may elect to convert to natural gas. During a period of increasing residual oil prices relative to the prices of natural gas, dual-fuel using customers may switch and other end users may convert to natural gas. Such switching and conversions could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders. We could face additional competition from alternative energy sources, such as natural gas, as a result of government-mandated controls or regulation promoting the use of cleaner fuels. Residual oil consumption has steadily declined over the last three decades.

Some of our heating oil volumes are subject to residential conversion to natural gas which could result in less demand for home heating oil and, in turn, could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

Our heating oil business competes for customers with suppliers of natural gas. During a period of increasing home heating oil prices relative to prices of natural gas, home heating oil users may convert to natural gas. Such conversions could reduce our sales of home heating oil and have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

Erosion of the Mobil brand could have an adverse impact on our sales of Mobil-branded gasoline.

We believe that the success of our acquisition of retail gas stations and supply rights from ExxonMobil may be dependent, in part, upon the continuing favorable reputation of the Mobil brand. Erosion of the value of the Mobil brand could have a negative impact on our gasoline sales, which in turn may cause our recent acquisition to be less profitable.

New technologies and alternative fuel sources could reduce demand for our gasoline products.

Technological advances and alternative fuel sources, such as electric, hybrid or battery powered motor vehicles, may adversely affect the demand for gasoline. We could face additional competition from alternative energy sources as a result of future government-mandated controls or regulations which promote the use of alternative fuel sources. A reduction in demand for our gasoline products could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distributions to our unitholders.

The ethanol industry is highly dependent upon government usage mandates and tax credits. Changes to these mandates and/or tax credits could adversely affect the availability and pricing of ethanol and negatively impact our gasoline sales.

Our ethanol purchasing, transporting, blending and sales activities subject us to various risks including operating risks, risks of supply disruption, commodity price fluctuations and changes in government mandates and regulations. The domestic market for ethanol is tied to federal mandates for blending ethanol with gasoline. Future demand will be largely dependent upon the economic incentives to blend based upon the relative value of gasoline and ethanol, taking into consideration the blender's credit and the EPA's regulations on the RFS program. Any significant increase in production capacity beyond the RFS level may have an adverse impact on ethanol prices, and the RFS mandate with respect to ethanol derived from grain could be reduced or waived entirely. The blender's credit is currently authorized through December 31, 2011. A reduction or waiver of the RFS mandate or the

Table of Contents

failure to extend the blender's credit could adversely affect the availability and pricing of ethanol and our gasoline and ethanol sales.

We may not be able to obtain state fund or insurance reimbursement of our environmental remediation costs.

Where releases of refined petroleum products have occurred, federal and state laws and regulations require that contamination caused by such releases be assessed and remediated to meet applicable standards. Our obligation to remediate this type of contamination varies, depending upon applicable laws and regulations and the extent of, and the facts relating to, the release. A portion of the remediation costs may be recoverable from the reimbursement fund of the applicable state (with respect to gas stations) and/or from third party insurance after any deductible has been met, but there are no assurances that such reimbursement funds or insurance proceeds will be available to us.

Future consumer or other litigation could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Our retail gasoline and convenience store operations are characterized by a high volume of customer traffic and by transactions involving an array of products. These operations carry a higher exposure to consumer litigation risk when compared to the operations of companies operating in many other industries. Consequently, we may become a party to individual personal injury, or products liability and other legal actions in the ordinary course of our retail gasoline and convenience store business. While these actions are generally routine in nature, incidental to the operation of business and immaterial in scope, if our assessment of any action or actions should prove inaccurate, our financial condition and results of operations could be adversely impacted. Additionally, we are occasionally exposed to industry-wide or class action claims arising from the products we carry or industry-specific business practices. Our defense costs and any resulting damage awards or settlement amounts may not be fully covered by our insurance policies. An unfavorable outcome or settlement of one or more of these lawsuits could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distributions.

We depend upon a small number of suppliers for a substantial portion of our convenience store merchandise inventory. A disruption in supply or an unexpected change in our relationships with our principal merchandise suppliers could have an adverse effect on our convenience store results of operations.

We purchase convenience store merchandise inventory from a small number of suppliers for our directly operated convenience stores. A change of merchandise suppliers, a disruption in supply or a significant change in our relationships with our principal merchandise suppliers could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

We face intense competition in our purchasing, terminalling and storage activities. Competition from other providers of refined petroleum products and natural gas that are able to supply our customers with those products and services at a lower price could reduce our ability to make distributions to our unitholders.

We are subject to competition from other refined petroleum product distributors and suppliers of natural gas and renewable fuels that may be able to supply our customers with the same or comparable products and terminalling and storage services on a more competitive basis. We compete with terminal companies, major integrated oil companies and their marketing affiliates and independent marketers of varying sizes, financial resources and experience. Some of these competitors are substantially larger than us, have greater financial resources and control substantially greater storage capacity than we do. Our ability to compete could be harmed by factors including, but not limited to, price competition and the availability of alternative and less expensive fuels.

Table of Contents

Energy efficiency, new technology and alternative fuels could reduce demand for our products and adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

Increased conservation and technological advances, including installation of improved insulation and the development of more efficient furnaces and other heating devices, have adversely affected the demand for home heating oil and residual oil. Future conservation measures and technological advances in heating, conservation, energy generation or other devices might reduce demand and adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

A principal focus of our business strategy is to grow and expand our business through acquisitions. If we do not make acquisitions on economically acceptable terms, our future growth may be limited.

A principal focus of our business strategy is to grow and expand our business through acquisitions. Our ability to grow depends, in part, on our ability to make acquisitions that result in an increase in the cash generated per unit from operations. If we are unable to make these accretive acquisitions, either because we are (1) unable to identify attractive acquisition candidates or negotiate acceptable purchase contracts with them, (2) unable to obtain financing for these acquisitions on economically acceptable terms or (3) outbid by competitors, then our future growth and ability to increase distributions will be limited. Furthermore, even if we do make acquisitions that we believe will be accretive, these acquisitions may nevertheless result in a decrease in the cash generated from operations per unit.

Any acquisition involves potential risks, including, among other things:

mistaken assumptions about volumes, revenues and costs, including synergies;

an inability to integrate successfully the businesses we acquire;

an inability to hire, train or retain qualified personnel to manage and operate our business and newly acquired assets;

the assumption of unknown liabilities;

limitations on rights to indemnity from the seller;

mistaken assumptions about the overall costs of equity or debt;

the diversion of management's and employees' attention from other business concerns;

unforeseen difficulties operating in new product areas or new geographic areas; and

customer or key employee losses at the acquired businesses.

Our acquisition strategy involves risks that could reduce our ability to make distributions to our unitholders.

Even if we consummate acquisitions that we believe will be accretive, they may in fact result in no increase or even a decrease in cash available for distribution to our unitholders. Any acquisition involves potential risks, including:

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

performance from the acquired assets and businesses that is below the forecasts we used in evaluating the acquisition;

a significant increase in our indebtedness and working capital requirements;

the inability to timely and effectively integrate the operations of recently acquired businesses or assets, particularly those in new geographic areas or in new lines of business;

Table of Contents

the incurrence of substantial unforeseen environmental and other liabilities arising out of the acquired businesses or assets, including liabilities arising from the operation of the acquired businesses or assets prior to our acquisition, for which we are not indemnified or for which the indemnity is inadequate;

customer or key employee loss from the acquired businesses; and

diversion of our management's attention from other business concerns.

If any acquisitions we ultimately consummate do not generate expected increases in cash available for distribution to our unitholders, our ability to make such distributions will be reduced.

We may not be able to renew our leases or our agreements for dedicated storage when they expire.

The bulk terminals we own or lease or at which we maintain dedicated storage facilities play a key role in moving product to our customers. We lease the entirety of two bulk terminals that we operate exclusively for our business and maintain dedicated storage facilities at another eight bulk terminals. The agreements governing these arrangements are subject to expiration at various dates through 2019. These arrangements may not be renewed when they expire or, if renewed, may not be renewed at rates and on terms at least as favorable. If these agreements are not renewed or we are unable to renew these agreements at rates and on terms at least as favorable, it could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

A material amount of our terminalling capacity is controlled by one of our affiliates. Loss of that capacity could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

We currently have an exclusive throughput arrangement for a terminal located in Revere, Massachusetts with one of our affiliates, Global Petroleum Corp. (which entity is owned by Alfred A. Slifka and Richard Slifka). As of December 31, 2010, this facility accounted for approximately 21% of our storage capacity. We store distillates and gasoline at this facility. The throughput agreement for this facility expires in 2014. After expiration of the agreement, we can provide no assurance that Global Petroleum Corp. will continue to grant us exclusive use of the terminal or that the terms of a renegotiated agreement will be as favorable to us as the agreement it replaces. If we are unable to renew the agreement or unable to renew on terms at least as favorable, it could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

Some of our sales are generated under contracts that must be renegotiated or replaced periodically. If we are unable to successfully renegotiate or replace these contracts, our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders could be adversely affected.

Most of our arrangements with our customers are for a single season or on a spot basis. As these contracts expire, they must be renegotiated or replaced. We may be unable to renegotiate or replace these contracts when they expire, and the terms of any renegotiated contracts may not be as favorable as the contracts they replace. Whether these contracts are successfully renegotiated or replaced is often subject to factors beyond our control. Such factors include fluctuations in refined petroleum product and natural gas prices, counterparty ability to pay for or accept the contracted volumes and a competitive marketplace for the services offered by us. If we cannot successfully renegotiate or replace our contracts or renegotiate or replace them on less favorable terms, sales from these arrangements could decline, and our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders could be adversely affected.

Table of Contents

Due to our lack of asset and geographic diversification, adverse developments in the terminals we use or in our operating areas would reduce our ability to make distributions to our unitholders.

We rely primarily on sales generated from products distributed from the terminals we own or control or to which we have access. Furthermore, the majority of our assets and operations are located in the Northeast. Due to our lack of diversification in asset type and location, an adverse development in these businesses or areas, including adverse developments due to catastrophic events or weather and decreases in demand for refined petroleum products, could have a significantly greater impact on our results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders than if we maintained more diverse assets and locations.

Our operations are subject to operational hazards and unforeseen interruptions for which we may not be adequately insured.

We are not fully insured against all risks incident to our business. Our operations are subject to operational hazards and unforeseen interruptions such as natural disasters, adverse weather, accidents, fires, explosions, hazardous materials releases, mechanical failures, disruptions in supply infrastructure or logistics and other events beyond our control. If any of these events were to occur, we could incur substantial losses because of personal injury or loss of life, severe damage to and destruction of property and equipment, and pollution or other environmental damage resulting in curtailment or suspension of our related operations.

Furthermore, we may be unable to maintain or obtain insurance of the type and amount we desire at reasonable rates. As a result of market conditions, premiums and deductibles for certain of our insurance policies have increased and could escalate further. In some instances, certain insurance could become unavailable or available only for reduced amounts of coverage. If we were to incur a significant liability for which we are not fully insured, it could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to unitholders.

New, stricter environmental laws and regulations could significantly increase our costs, which could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

Our operations are subject to federal, state and local laws and regulations regulating product quality specifications and other environmental matters. The trend in environmental regulation is towards more restrictions and limitations on activities that may affect the environment. Our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders may be adversely affected by increased costs and liabilities resulting from such stricter laws and regulations. We try to anticipate future regulatory requirements that might be imposed and to plan accordingly to remain in compliance with changing environmental laws and regulations and to minimize the costs of such compliance. However, there can be no assurances as to the timing and type of such changes in existing laws or the promulgation of new laws or the amount of any required expenditures associated therewith.

Our terminalling operations are subject to federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to environmental protection and operational safety that could require us to incur substantial costs.

The risk of substantial environmental costs and liabilities is inherent in terminal operations, and we may incur substantial environmental costs and liabilities. Our terminalling operations involving the receipt, storage and redelivery of refined petroleum products are subject to stringent federal, state and local laws and regulations governing the discharge of materials into the environment, or otherwise relating to the protection of the environment, operational safety and related matters. Compliance with these laws and regulations increases our overall cost of business, including our capital costs to maintain and upgrade equipment and facilities. We utilize a number of terminals that are owned and operated by third parties who are also subject to these stringent federal, state and local environmental laws in

Table of Contents

their operations. Their compliance with these requirements could increase the cost of doing business with these facilities.

In addition, our operations could be adversely affected if shippers of refined petroleum products incur additional costs or liabilities associated with environmental regulations. These shippers could increase their charges to us or discontinue service altogether.

Various governmental authorities, including the EPA, have the power to enforce compliance with these regulations and the permits issued under them, and violators are subject to administrative, civil and criminal penalties, including fines, injunctions or both. Joint and several liability may be incurred, without regard to fault or the legality of the original conduct, under federal and state environmental laws for the remediation of contaminated areas at our facilities and those where we do business. Private parties, including the owners of properties located near our terminal facilities and those with whom we do business, also may have the right to pursue legal actions against us to enforce compliance with environmental laws, as well as seek damages for personal injury or property damage. We may also be held liable for damages to natural resources.

The possibility exists that new, stricter laws, regulations or enforcement policies could significantly increase our compliance costs and the cost of any remediation that may become necessary, some of which may be material. Our insurance may not cover all environmental risks and costs or may not provide sufficient coverage in the event an environmental claim is made against us. We may incur increased costs because of stricter pollution control requirements or liabilities resulting from noncompliance with required operating or other regulatory permits. New environmental regulations, such as those related to the emissions of greenhouse gases, might adversely affect our products and activities, including the storage of refined petroleum products, as well as waste management and our control of air emissions. Enactment of laws and passage of regulations regarding GHG emissions, or other actions to limit carbon dioxide emissions may reduce demand for fossil fuels and impact our business. Federal and state agencies also could impose additional safety regulations to which we would be subject. Because the laws and regulations applicable to our operations are subject to change, we cannot provide any assurance that compliance with future laws and regulations will not have a material effect on our results of operations.

Increased regulation of greenhouse gas emissions could result in increased operating costs and reduced demand for refined petroleum products as a fuel source, which could reduce demand for our products, decrease our revenues and reduce our profitability.

Combustion of fossil fuels, such as the refined petroleum products we sell, results in the emission of carbon dioxide into the atmosphere. On December 15, 2009, the EPA published its findings that emissions of carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases present an endangerment to public health and the environment because emissions of such gases are, according to the EPA, contributing to warming of the earth's atmosphere and other climatic changes, and the EPA has begun to regulate GHG emissions pursuant to the Clean Air Act. In addition, it is possible federal legislation could be adopted in the future to restrict GHG, as President Obama has expressed support for a mandatory cap and trade program to restrict or regulate emissions of greenhouse gases, and Congress has recently considered various proposals to reduce GHG emissions. Many states and regions have adopted GHG initiatives. Please read "Item 1. Business Environmental Air Emissions."

There are many regulatory approaches currently in effect or being considered to address greenhouse gases, including possible future U.S. treaty commitments, new federal or state legislation that may impose a carbon emissions tax or establish a cap-and-trade program and regulation by the EPA. The 2010 congressional mid-term elections, especially the loss of the Democratic majority in the House of Representatives, will probably change the EPA's approach in 2011 and beyond. Future international, federal and state initiatives to control carbon dioxide emissions could result in increased

Table of Contents

costs associated with refined petroleum products consumption, such as costs to install additional controls to reduce carbon dioxide emissions or costs to purchase emissions reduction credits to comply with future emissions trading programs. Such increased costs could result in reduced demand for refined petroleum products and some customers switching to alternative sources of fuel which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distributions to our unitholders.

We are subject to federal, state and local laws and regulations that govern the product quality specifications of the refined petroleum products we purchase, store, transport and sell.

Various federal, state and local government agencies have the authority to prescribe specific product quality specifications to the sale of commodities. Our business includes such commodities. Changes in product quality specifications, such as reduced sulfur content in refined petroleum products, or other more stringent requirements for fuels, could reduce our ability to procure product and our sales volume, require us to incur additional handling costs and/or require the expenditure of capital. For instance, different product specifications for different markets could require additional storage. If we are unable to procure product or recover these costs through increased sales, we may not be able to meet our financial obligations. Failure to comply with these regulations could result in substantial penalties.

We are subject to federal and state environmental regulations which could have a material adverse effect on our retail operations business.

Our retail operations are subject to extensive federal, state and local laws and regulations, including those relating to the protection of the environment, waste management, discharge of hazardous materials, pollution prevention, as well as laws and regulations relating to public safety and health. Certain of these laws and regulations may require assessment or remediation efforts. Retail operations with underground storage tanks ("USTs") are subject to federal and state regulations and legislation. Compliance with existing and future environmental laws regulating underground storage tanks may require significant capital expenditures and increased operating and maintenance costs. The operation of USTs also poses certain other risks, including damages associated with soil and groundwater contamination. Leaks from USTs which may occur at one or more of our gas stations may impact soil or groundwater and could result in fines or civil liability for us. We may be required to make material expenditures to modify operations, perform site cleanups or curtail operations.

We are subject to federal and state non-environmental regulations which could have an adverse effect on our convenience store business and results of operations.

Our convenience store business is subject to extensive governmental laws and regulations that include, but are not limited to, legal restrictions on the sale of alcohol, tobacco and lottery products, food safety and health requirements and public accessibility. Furthermore, state and local regulatory agencies have the power to approve, revoke, suspend, or deny applications for and renewals of permits and licenses relating to the sale of alcohol, tobacco and lottery products or to seek other remedies. A violation of or change in such laws and/or regulations could have an adverse effect on our convenience store business and results of operations.

Any terrorist attacks aimed at our facilities and any global and domestic economic repercussions from terrorist activities and the government's response could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

Since the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks on the United States, the U.S. government has issued warnings that energy assets may be future targets of terrorist organizations. These developments have subjected our operations to increased risks. We incurred costs for providing facility security and

Table of Contents

may incur additional costs in the future with respect to the receipt, storage and distribution of our products. Additional security measures could also restrict our ability to distribute refined petroleum products. Any future terrorist attack on our facilities, or those of our customers, could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

Terrorist activity could lead to increased volatility in prices for home heating oil, gasoline and other products we sell, which could decrease our customers' demand for these products. Insurance carriers are required to offer coverage for terrorist activities as a result of federal legislation. We purchased this coverage with respect to our property and casualty insurance programs. This additional coverage resulted in additional insurance premiums which could increase further in the future.

We depend on key personnel for the success of our business, and some of those persons face conflicts in the allocation of their time to our business.

We depend on the services of our senior management team and other key personnel. The loss of the services of any member of senior management or key employee could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders. We may not be able to locate or employ on acceptable terms qualified replacements for senior management or other key employees if their services were no longer available. Except with respect to certain of our named executive officers, neither we, our general partner nor any affiliate thereof entered into an employment agreement with, or, except for Eric Slifka, carry key man life insurance on, any member of our senior management team or other key personnel.

All of the executive officers of our general partner perform services for certain of our affiliates. Please read Item 13, "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence Relationship of Management with Global Petroleum Corp. and Alliance Energy LLC."

We depend on unionized labor for the operation of our terminals in Chelsea, Massachusetts and at certain of our terminals in New York and at the facility in Revere, Massachusetts which is controlled and operated by one of our affiliates. Any work stoppages or labor disturbances at these facilities could disrupt our business.

Any work stoppages or labor disturbances by our unionized labor force at our facilities, including drivers at Chelsea, Massachusetts, could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders. In addition, employees who are not currently represented by labor unions may seek representation in the future, and any renegotiation of collective bargaining agreements may result in terms that are less favorable to us.

We rely on our information technology systems to manage numerous aspects of our business, and a disruption of these systems could adversely affect our business.

We depend on our information technology ("IT") systems to manage numerous aspects of our business and to provide analytical information to management. Our IT systems are an essential component of our business and growth strategies, and a serious disruption to our IT systems could significantly limit our ability to manage and operate our business efficiently. These systems are vulnerable to, among other things, damage and interruption from power loss or natural disasters, computer system and network failures, loss of telecommunication services, physical and electronic loss of data, security breaches and computer viruses. We have a disaster recovery plan in place, but this plan may not entirely prevent delays or other complications that could arise from an IT systems failure. Any failure or interruption in our IT systems could have a negative impact on our operating results, cause our business and competitive position to suffer and damage our reputation.

Table of Contents

If we fail to maintain an effective system of internal controls, then we may not be able to accurately report our financial results or prevent fraud. As a result, current and potential unitholders could lose confidence in our financial reporting, which would harm our business and the trading price of our common units.

Effective internal controls are necessary for us to provide reliable financial reports, prevent fraud and operate successfully as a public company. If our efforts to maintain internal controls are not successful or if we are unable to maintain adequate controls over our financial processes and reporting in the future or if we are unable to comply with our obligations under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, our operating results could be harmed or we may fail to meet our reporting obligations. Ineffective internal controls also could cause investors to lose confidence in our reported financial information, which would likely have a negative effect on the trading price of our common units.

Risks Related to our Structure

Our general partner and its affiliates have conflicts of interest and limited fiduciary duties, which may permit them to favor their own interests to the detriment of our unitholders.

As of March 8, 2011, affiliates of our general partner, including directors and executive officers of our general partner, owned 25% of our common units and our 1.06% general partner interest. Although our general partner has a fiduciary duty to manage us in a manner beneficial to us and our unitholders, the directors and officers of our general partner have a fiduciary duty to manage our general partner in a manner beneficial to its owners. Furthermore, certain directors and officers of our general partner are directors or officers of affiliates of our general partner. Conflicts of interest may arise between our general partner and its affiliates, on the one hand, and us and our unitholders, on the other hand. As a result of these conflicts, our general partner may favor its own interests and the interests of its affiliates over the interests of our unitholders. Please read " Our partnership agreement limits our general partner's fiduciary duties to unitholders and restricts the remedies available to unitholders for actions taken by our general partner that might otherwise constitute breaches of fiduciary duty." These conflicts include, among others, the following situations:

Our general partner is allowed to take into account the interests of parties other than us, such as affiliates of its members, in resolving conflicts of interest, which has the effect of limiting its fiduciary duty to our unitholders.

Affiliates of our general partner may engage in competition with us under certain circumstances. See " Certain members of the Slifka family and their affiliates may engage in activities that compete directly with us."

Neither our partnership agreement nor any other agreement requires owners of our general partner to pursue a business strategy that favors us. Directors and officers of our general partner's owners have a fiduciary duty to make these decisions in the best interest of such owners which may be contrary to our interests.

Some officers of our general partner who provide services to us devote time to affiliates of our general partner.

Our general partner has limited its liability and reduced its fiduciary duties under the partnership agreement, while also restricting the remedies available to our unitholders for actions that, without these limitations, might constitute breaches of fiduciary duty. As a result of purchasing common units, unitholders consent to some actions and conflicts of interest that might otherwise constitute a breach of fiduciary or other duties under applicable state law.

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Our general partner determines the amount and timing of asset purchases and sales, borrowings, issuances of additional partnership securities and reserves, each of which can affect the amount of cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

Our general partner determines the amount and timing of any capital expenditures and whether a capital expenditure is a maintenance capital expenditure, which reduces distributable cash flow, or a capital expenditure for acquisitions or capital improvements, which does not, and determination can affect the amount of cash distributed to our unitholders.

In some instances, our general partner may cause us to borrow funds in order to permit the payment of cash distributions, even if the purpose or effect of the borrowing is to make incentive distributions.

Our general partner determines which costs incurred by it and its affiliates are reimbursable by us.

Our partnership agreement does not restrict our general partner from causing us to pay it or its affiliates for any services rendered on terms that are fair and reasonable to us or entering into additional contractual arrangements with any of these entities on our behalf.

Our general partner intends to limit its liability regarding our contractual and other obligations.

Our general partner may exercise its limited right to call and purchase common units if it and its affiliates own more than 80% of the common units.

Our general partner controls the enforcement of obligations owed to us by it and its affiliates.

Our general partner decides whether to retain separate counsel, accountants or others to perform services for us.

Please read Item 13, "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence Omnibus Agreement."

Our partnership agreement limits our general partner's fiduciary duties to unitholders and restricts the remedies available to unitholders for actions taken by our general partner that might otherwise constitute breaches of fiduciary duty.

Our partnership agreement contains provisions that reduce the standards to which our general partner would otherwise be held by state fiduciary duty law. For example, our partnership agreement:

permits our general partner to make a number of decisions in its individual capacity, as opposed to in its capacity as our general partner. This entitles our general partner to consider only the interests and factors that it desires, and it has no duty or obligation to give any consideration to any interest of, or factors affecting, us, our affiliates or any limited partner. Examples include the exercise of its limited call right, its voting rights with respect to the units it owns, its registration rights and its determination whether or not to consent to any merger or consolidation of us;

provides that our general partner shall not have any liability to us or our unitholders for decisions made in its capacity as general partner so long as it acted in good faith, meaning it believed that the decision was in our best interests;

generally provides that affiliated transactions and resolutions of conflicts of interest not approved by the conflicts committee of the board of directors of our general partner and not involving a vote of unitholders must be on terms no less favorable to us than those generally being provided to or available from unrelated third parties or be "fair and reasonable" to us and that,

in determining whether a transaction or resolution is "fair and reasonable," our general

Table of Contents

partner may consider the totality of the relationships between the parties involved, including other transactions that may be particularly advantageous or beneficial to us; and

provides that our general partner and its officers and directors will not be liable for monetary damages to us, our limited partners or assignees for any acts or omissions unless there has been a final and non-appealable judgment entered by a court of competent jurisdiction determining that the general partner or those other persons acted in bad faith or engaged in fraud or willful misconduct.

By purchasing a common unit, a common unitholder will become bound by the provisions of the partnership agreement, including the provisions described above.

Unitholders have limited voting rights and are not entitled to elect our general partner or its directors or remove our general partner without its consent, which could lower the trading price of our common units.

Unlike the holders of common stock in a corporation, unitholders have only limited voting rights on matters affecting our business and, therefore, limited ability to influence management's decisions regarding our business. Unitholders have no right to elect our general partner or its board of directors on an annual or other continuing basis. The board of directors of our general partner is chosen entirely by its members and not by the unitholders. Furthermore, if the unitholders are dissatisfied with the performance of our general partner, they have limited ability to remove our general partner. As a result of these limitations, the price at which the common units trade could diminish because of the absence or reduction of a takeover premium in the trading price.

The unitholders are currently unable to remove our general partner without its consent because affiliates of our general partner own sufficient units to be able to prevent removal of our general partner. The vote of the holders of at least 66²/₃% of all outstanding common units voting is required to remove our general partner. As of March 8, 2011, affiliates of our general partner, including directors and executive officers of our general partner, own 25% of our common units.

We may issue additional units without unitholder approval, which would dilute unitholders' ownership interests.

At any time, we may issue an unlimited number of limited partner interests of any type without the approval of our unitholders. The issuance by us of additional common units or other equity securities of equal or senior rank will have the following effects:

our unitholders' proportionate ownership interest in us will decrease;

the amount of cash available for distribution on each unit may decrease;

the relative voting strength of each previously outstanding unit may be diminished; and

the market price of the common units may decline.

The market price of our common units could be adversely affected by sales of substantial amounts of our common units, including sales by our existing unitholders.

As of March 8, 2011, we had 21,580,563 common units outstanding. A substantial number of our securities may be sold in the future either pursuant to Rule 144 under the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Securities Act") or pursuant to a registration statement filed with the SEC. Rule 144 under the Securities Act provides that after a holding period of six months, non-affiliates may resell restricted securities of reporting companies, provided that current public information for the reporting company is available. After a holding period of one year, non-affiliates may resell without restriction, and affiliates may resell in compliance with the volume, current public information and manner of sale

Table of Contents

requirements of Rule 144. Pursuant to our partnership agreement, members of the Slifka family have registration rights with respect to the common units owned by them.

Sales by any of our existing unitholders of a substantial number of our common units, or the perception that such sales might occur, could have a material adverse effect on the price of our common units or could impair our ability to obtain capital through an offering of equity securities.

In recent years, the securities market has experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations. This volatility has had a significant effect on the market price of securities issued by many companies for reasons unrelated to the operating performance of these companies. Future market fluctuations may result in a lower price of our common units.

An increase in interest rates may cause the market price of our common units to decline.

Like all equity investments, an investment in our common units is subject to certain risks. In exchange for accepting these risks, investors may expect to receive a higher rate of return than would otherwise be obtainable from lower-risk investments. Accordingly, as interest rates rise, the ability of investors to obtain higher risk-adjusted rates of return by purchasing government-backed debt securities may cause a corresponding decline in demand for riskier investments generally, including yield-based equity investments such as publicly-traded limited partnership interests. Reduced demand for our common units resulting from investors seeking other more favorable investment opportunities may cause the trading price of our common units to decline.

Our general partner has a limited call right that may require unitholders to sell their common units at an undesirable time or price.

If at any time our general partner and its affiliates own more than 80% of the common units, our general partner will have the right, but not the obligation, which it may assign to any of its affiliates or to us, to acquire all, but not less than all, of the common units held by unaffiliated persons at a price not less than their then-current market price. As a result, unitholders may be required to sell their common units at an undesirable time or price and may not receive any return on their investment. Unitholders may also incur a tax liability upon a sale of their units. Our general partner is not obligated to obtain a fairness opinion regarding the value of the common units to be repurchased by it upon exercise of the limited call right. There is no restriction in our partnership agreement that prevents our general partner from issuing additional common units and exercising its call right. If our general partner exercises its limited call right, the effect would be to take us private and, if the units were subsequently deregistered, we would no longer be subject to the reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Our partnership agreement restricts the voting rights of unitholders owning 20% or more of our common units.

Our partnership agreement restricts unitholders' voting rights by providing that any units held by a person that owns 20% or more of any class of units then outstanding, other than our general partner, its affiliates, their transferees and persons who acquired such units with the prior approval of the board of directors of our general partner, cannot vote on any matter. Our partnership agreement also contains provisions limiting the ability of unitholders to call meetings or acquire information about our operations, as well as other provisions limiting the unitholders' ability to influence the manner or direction of management.

Table of Contents

Cost reimbursements due our general partner and its affiliates will reduce cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

Prior to making any distribution on the common units, we reimburse our general partner and its affiliates for all expenses they incur on our behalf, which is determined by our general partner in its sole discretion. These expenses include all costs incurred by the general partner and its affiliates in managing and operating us, including costs for rendering corporate staff and support services to us. We are managed and operated by directors and executive officers of our general partner. In addition, the majority of our operating personnel are employees of our general partner. Please read Item 13, "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence." The reimbursement of expenses and payment of fees, if any, to our general partner and its affiliates could adversely affect our ability to pay cash distributions to our unitholders.

Unitholders may not have limited liability if a court finds that unitholder action constitutes control of our business.

A general partner of a partnership generally has unlimited liability for the obligations of the partnership, except for those contractual obligations of the partnership that are expressly made without recourse to the general partner. Our partnership is organized under Delaware law, and we conduct business in a number of other states. The limitations on the liability of holders of limited partner interests for the obligations of a limited partnership have not been clearly established in some of the other states in which we do business. A unitholder could be liable for our obligations as if he were a general partner if:

a court or government agency determined that we were conducting business in a state but had not complied with that particular state's partnership statute; or

a unitholder's right to act with other unitholders to remove or replace the general partner, approve some amendments to our partnership agreement or take other actions under our partnership agreement constitute "control" of our business.

Unitholders may have liability to repay distributions.

Under certain circumstances, unitholders may have to repay amounts wrongfully returned or distributed to them. Under Delaware law, we may not make a distribution to unitholders if the distribution would cause our liabilities to exceed the fair value of our assets. Delaware law provides that for a period of three years from the date of the impermissible distribution, limited partners who received the distribution and who knew at the time of the distribution that it violated Delaware law will be liable to the limited partnership for the distribution amount. Purchasers of units who become limited partners are liable for the obligations of the transferring limited partner to make contributions to us that are known to the purchaser of units at the time it became a limited partner and for unknown obligations if the liabilities could be determined from the partnership agreement. Liabilities to partners on account of their partnership interests and liabilities that are non-recourse to us are not counted for purposes of determining whether a distribution is permitted.

The control of our general partner may be transferred to a third party without unitholder consent.

Our general partner may transfer its general partner interest to a third party in a merger or in a sale of all or substantially all of its assets without the consent of the unitholders. Furthermore, there is no restriction in the partnership agreement on the ability of the members of our general partner from transferring their respective membership interests in our general partner to a third party. The new members of our general partner would then be in a position to replace the board of directors and officers of our general partner with their own choices and control the decisions taken by the board of directors and officers of our general partner.

Table of Contents

Certain members of the Slifka family and their affiliates may engage in activities that compete directly with us.

Certain members of the Slifka family and their affiliates are subject to the noncompete provisions in the omnibus agreement. The omnibus agreement does not prohibit certain affiliates of our general partner from owning certain assets or engaging in certain businesses that compete directly or indirectly with us. Please read Item 13, "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence Omnibus Agreement."

Tax Risks

Our tax treatment depends on our status as a partnership for federal income tax purposes. If the Internal Revenue Service, or IRS, were to treat us as a corporation for federal income tax purposes, our cash available for distribution to unitholders would be substantially reduced.

The anticipated after-tax economic benefit of an investment in the common units depends largely on our being treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes. We have not requested, and do not plan to request, a ruling from the IRS on this or any other tax matter affecting us.

Despite the fact that we are a limited partnership under Delaware law, it is possible in certain circumstances for a partnership such as us to be treated as a corporation for federal income tax purposes. Although we do not believe based upon our current operations that we are so treated, a change in our business (or a change in current law) could cause us to be treated as a corporation for federal income tax purposes or otherwise subject us to taxation as an entity.

If we were treated as a corporation for federal income tax purposes, we would pay federal income tax on our taxable income at the corporate tax rate, which is currently a maximum of 35%, and would likely pay state tax at varying rates. Distributions to unitholders would generally be taxed again as corporate distributions, and no income, gains, losses, deductions or credits would flow through to unitholders. Because a tax would be imposed upon us as a corporation, our cash available for distribution to unitholders would be substantially reduced. Thus, treatment of us as a corporation would result in a material reduction in the anticipated cash flow and after-tax return to unitholders, likely causing a substantial reduction in the value of the common units.

If we were subjected to a material amount of additional entity-level taxation by individual states, it would reduce our cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

Changes in current state law may subject us to additional entity-level taxation by individual states. Because of widespread state budget deficits and other reasons, several states are evaluating ways to subject partnerships to entity-level taxation through the imposition of state income, franchise or other forms of taxation. If any state were to impose a tax upon us as an entity, the cash available for distribution to unitholders would be reduced and, therefore, adversely affect the value of an investment in our units.

Our partnership agreement provides that if a law is enacted or existing law is modified or interpreted in a manner that subjects us to additional amounts of entity-level taxation for state or local income tax purposes, the minimum quarterly distribution amount and the target distribution amounts will be adjusted to reflect the impact of that law on us.

The tax treatment of publicly traded partnerships or an investment in our common units could be subject to potential legislative, judicial or administrative changes and differing interpretations, possibly on a retroactive basis.

The present federal income tax treatment of publicly traded partnerships, including us, or an investment in our common units may be modified by administrative, legislative or judicial interpretation

Table of Contents

at any time. For example, members of Congress have recently considered substantive changes to the existing federal income tax laws that would have affected certain publicly traded partnerships. Any modification to the federal income tax laws and interpretations thereof may or may not be applied retroactively and could make it more difficult or impossible to meet the "qualifying income" exception for us to be treated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes, affect or cause us to change our business activities, affect the tax considerations of an investment in us, change the character or treatment of portions of our income and adversely affect an investment in our common units. We are unable to predict whether similar changes, or other proposals, will ultimately be enacted. Any such changes could negatively impact the value of an investment in our common units.

Our partnership agreement provides that if a law is enacted or existing law is modified or interpreted in a manner that subjects us to taxation as a corporation or otherwise subjects us to entity-level taxation for federal income tax purposes, the minimum quarterly distribution and the target distribution amounts may be adjusted to reflect the impact of that law on us.

We have a subsidiary that is treated as a corporation for federal income tax purposes and subject to corporate-level income taxes.

We conduct all or a portion of our operations of our end-user business through a subsidiary that is organized as a corporation. In addition, through Alliance, as its management agent, the corporation engages in the retail sale of gasoline and operates convenience stores with respect to certain of the stations we acquired from ExxonMobil and collects rents on personal property to dealers at other stations we acquired from ExxonMobil. We may elect to conduct additional operations through this corporate subsidiary in the future. This corporate subsidiary is subject to corporate-level tax, which reduces the cash available for distribution to us and, in turn, to unitholders. If the IRS were to successfully assert that this corporation has more tax liability than we anticipate or legislation were enacted that increased the corporate tax rate, our cash available for distribution to unitholders would be further reduced.

If the IRS contests the federal income tax positions we take, the market for our common units may be adversely impacted, and the costs of any IRS contest will reduce our cash available for distribution to unitholders.

We have not requested a ruling from the IRS with respect to our treatment as a partnership for federal income tax purposes. The IRS may adopt positions that differ from the tax positions we take. It may be necessary to resort to administrative or court proceedings to sustain some or all of the positions we take. A court may not agree with the positions we take. Any contest with the IRS may materially and adversely impact the market for our common units and the price at which they trade. In addition, because the costs will be borne indirectly by our unitholders and our general partner, the costs of any contest with the IRS will result in a reduction in cash available for distribution.

Unitholders may be required to pay taxes on their share of our income even if they do not receive any cash distributions from us.

Because unitholders are treated as partners to whom we allocate taxable income, which could be different in amount than the cash we distribute, unitholders may be required to pay any federal income taxes and, in some cases, state and local income taxes on their share of our taxable income even if they do not receive any cash distributions from us.

Tax gain or loss on the disposition of our common units could be more or less than expected.

If a unitholder sells his common units, he will recognize a gain or loss equal to the difference between the amount realized and his tax basis in those common units. Because distributions to a

Table of Contents

unitholder in excess of the unitholder's allocable share of our net taxable income decreases the unitholder's tax basis in his common units, the amount, if any, of such prior excess distributions with respect to the units sold will, in effect, become taxable income to him if the common units are sold at a price greater than his tax basis in the common units, even if the price he receives is less than his original cost. Furthermore, a substantial portion of the amount realized, whether or not representing gain, may be taxed as ordinary income to the unitholder due to potential recapture items, including depreciation recapture. In addition, because the amount realized includes a unitholder's share of our non-recourse liabilities, if a unitholder sells his units, he may incur a tax liability in excess of the amount of cash he receives from the sale.

Tax-exempt entities and non-U.S. persons face unique tax issues from owning our common units that may result in adverse tax consequences to them.

Investment in common units by tax-exempt entities, such as employee benefit plans, individual retirement accounts (known as IRAs), and non-U.S. persons raises issues unique to them. For example, virtually all of our income allocated to organizations exempt from federal income tax, including IRAs and other retirement plans, will be unrelated business taxable income and will be taxable to them. Distributions to non-U.S. persons will be reduced by withholding taxes imposed at the highest applicable tax rate, and non-U.S. persons will be required to file U.S. federal tax returns and pay tax on their shares of our taxable income. If you are a tax exempt entity or a non-U.S. person, you should consult your tax advisor before investing in our common units.

We treat each purchaser of our common units as having the same tax benefits without regard to the actual common units purchased. The IRS may challenge this treatment, which could adversely affect the value of the common units.

To maintain the uniformity of the economic and tax characteristics of our common units, we have adopted certain depreciation and amortization positions that may not conform to all aspects of existing Treasury Regulations. A successful IRS challenge to those positions could adversely affect the amount of taxable income or loss allocated to our unitholders. It also could affect the gain from a unitholder's sale of common units or result in audit adjustments to our unitholders' tax returns without the benefit of additional deductions. Consequently, a successful IRS challenge could have a negative impact on the value of our common units.

We prorate our items of income, gain, loss and deduction between transferors and transferees of our units each month based upon the ownership of our units on the first day of each month, instead of on the basis of the date a particular unit is transferred. The IRS may challenge this treatment, which could change the allocation of items of income, gain, loss and deduction among our unitholders.

We prorate our items of income, gain, loss and deduction between transferors and transferees of our units based upon the ownership of our units on the first day of each month, instead of on the basis of the date a particular unit is transferred. The use of this proration method may not be permitted under existing Treasury Regulations. Recently, the U.S. Treasury Department issued proposed Treasury Regulations that provide a safe harbor pursuant to which publicly traded partnerships may use a similar monthly simplifying convention to allocate tax items among transferor and transferee unitholders. If the IRS were to challenge our proration method or new Treasury Regulations were issued, we may be required to change the allocation of items of income, gain, loss and deduction among our unitholders.

Table of Contents

A unitholder whose units are loaned to a "short seller" to cover a short sale of units may be considered as having disposed of those units. If so, the unitholder would no longer be treated for tax purposes as a partner with respect to those units during the period of the loan and may recognize gain or loss from the disposition.

Because a unitholder whose units are loaned to a "short seller" to cover a short sale of units may be considered as having disposed of the loaned units, he may no longer be treated for tax purposes as a partner with respect to those units during the period of the loan to the short seller and the unitholder may recognize gain or loss from such disposition. Moreover, during the period of the loan to the short seller, any of our income, gain, loss or deduction with respect to those units may not be reportable by the unitholder and any cash distributions received by the unitholder as to those units could be fully taxable as ordinary income. Unitholders desiring to assure their status as partners and avoid the risk of gain recognition from a loan to a short seller are urged to modify any applicable brokerage account agreements to prohibit their brokers from borrowing their units.

We have adopted certain valuation methodologies for U.S. federal income tax purposes that may result in a shift of income, gain, loss and deduction between our general partner and the unitholders. The IRS may challenge this treatment, which could adversely affect the value of the common units.

When we issue additional units or engage in certain other transactions, we determine the fair market value of our assets and allocate any unrealized gain or loss attributable to our assets to the capital accounts of our unitholders and our general partner. Although we may from time to time consult with professional appraisers regarding valuation matters, including the valuation of our assets, we make many of the fair market value estimates of our assets ourselves using a methodology based on the market value of our common units as a means to measure the fair market value of our assets. Our methodology may be viewed as understating the value of our assets. In that case, there may be a shift of income, gain, loss and deduction between certain unitholders and our general partner, which may be unfavorable to such unitholders. Moreover, under our current valuation methods, subsequent purchasers of common units may have a greater portion of their Internal Revenue Code Section 743(b) adjustment allocated to our tangible assets and a lesser portion allocated to our intangible assets. The IRS may challenge our valuation methods, or our allocation of the Section 743(b) adjustment attributable to our tangible and intangible assets, and allocations of income, gain, loss and deduction between our general partner and certain of our unitholders.

A successful IRS challenge to these methods or allocations could adversely affect the amount of taxable income or loss being allocated to our unitholders. It also could affect the amount of taxable gain from our unitholders' sale of common units and could have a negative impact on the value of the common units or result in audit adjustments to our unitholders' tax returns without the benefit of additional deductions.

The sale or exchange of 50% or more of our capital and profits interests during any twelve-month period will result in the termination of our partnership for federal income tax purposes.

We will be considered to have constructively terminated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes if there is a sale or exchange of 50% or more of the total interests in our capital and profits within a twelve-month period. For purposes of determining whether the 50% threshold has been met, multiple sales of the same interest will be counted only once. Our termination would, among other things, result in the closing of our taxable year for all unitholders, which could result in us filing two tax returns (and unitholders receiving two Schedule K-1s) for one calendar year. Our termination could also result in a significant deferral of depreciation deductions allowable in computing our taxable income. In the case of a unitholder reporting on a taxable year other than a calendar year, the closing of our taxable year may also result in more than twelve months of our taxable income or loss being includable in his taxable income for the year of termination. Our termination would not affect our classification as a partnership for federal income tax purposes but instead, we would be treated as a

Table of Contents

new partnership for federal income tax purposes. If we were treated as a new partnership, we would be required to make new tax elections and could be subject to penalties if we were unable to determine that a termination occurred. The IRS has recently announced a relief procedure whereby the IRS may allow a publicly traded partnership that has technically terminated to provide only a single Schedule K-1 to unitholders for the tax years in which the termination occurs.

Unitholders may be subject to state and local taxes and return filing requirements in jurisdictions where they do not live as a result of investing in our common units.

In addition to federal income taxes, unitholders will likely be subject to other taxes, including state, local and non-U.S. taxes, unincorporated business taxes and estate, inheritance or intangible taxes that are imposed by the various jurisdictions in which we conduct business or own property now or in the future, even if they do not live in any of those jurisdictions. Unitholders will likely be required to file state and local income tax returns and pay state and local income taxes in some or all of these various jurisdictions. Further, unitholders may be subject to penalties for failure to comply with those requirements. As of December 31, 2010, we conducted business in 16 states, some of which impose a personal income tax as well as an income tax on corporations and other entities. We may own property or conduct business in other states or non-U.S. countries in the future. It is the unitholder's responsibility to file all U.S. federal, state, local and non-U.S. tax returns.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.

None.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

General

Although we may, from time to time, be involved in litigation and claims arising out of our operations in the normal course of business, we do not believe that we are a party to any litigation that will have a material adverse impact on our financial condition, results of operations or cash available for distribution to our unitholders. Except as described below, we are not aware of any significant legal or governmental proceedings against us, or contemplated to be brought against us. We maintain insurance policies with insurers in amounts and with coverage and deductibles as our general partner believes are reasonable and prudent. However, we can provide no assurance that this insurance will be adequate to protect us from all material expenses related to potential future claims or that these levels of insurance will be available in the future at economically acceptable prices.

Environmental

In connection with the September 2010 acquisition of retail gas stations from ExxonMobil, we assumed certain environmental liabilities with respect to the Acquired Sites (as defined in Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Overview ExxonMobil Acquisition"). Based on consultations with environmental engineers, our estimated cost of the remediation is expected to be approximately \$30.0 million to be expended over an extended period of time. The assumed environmental liabilities include environmental remediation at approximately 70 of the Acquired Sites and future remediation activities at all sites required by applicable federal, state or local law or regulation. Remedial action plans are in place with the applicable state regulatory agencies for the majority of these locations, including plans for soil and groundwater treatment systems at certain sites. We believe that completion of the remediation efforts will not result in material costs in excess of the environmental reserve or have a material impact on our operations. See Note 9 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this report.

Table of Contents

In connection with the June 2010 acquisition of the Warex Terminals, we assumed certain environmental liabilities, including certain ongoing remediation efforts. As a result, we recorded, on an undiscounted basis, a total environmental liability of approximately \$1.5 million. We do not believe that completion of the remediation efforts will result in material costs in excess of the environmental reserve or have a material impact on our operations. See Note 9 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this report.

In connection with the November 2007 acquisition of ExxonMobil's Glenwood Landing and Inwood, New York terminals, we assumed certain environmental liabilities, including the remediation obligations under remedial action plans submitted by ExxonMobil to and approved by the New York Department of Environmental Conservation ("NYDEC") with respect to both terminals. As a result, we recorded total environmental liabilities of approximately \$1.2 million, of which approximately \$0.8 million was paid by us through December 31, 2010. The remaining liability of \$0.4 million was recorded as a current liability of \$0.3 million and a long-term liability of \$0.1 million at December 31, 2010. We have implemented the remedial action plans and do not believe that compliance with the terms thereof will result in material costs in excess of the environmental reserve or have a material impact on our operations. See Note 9 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this report.

In connection with the May 2007 acquisition of ExxonMobil's Albany and Newburgh, New York and Burlington, Vermont terminals, we assumed certain environmental liabilities, including the remediation obligations under a proposed remedial action plan submitted by ExxonMobil to NYDEC with respect to the Albany, New York terminal. As a result, we recorded total environmental liabilities of approximately \$8.0 million. In June 2008, we submitted a remedial action work plan to NYDEC, implementing NYDEC's conditional approval of the remedial action plan submitted by ExxonMobil. We responded to NYDEC's requests for additional information and conducted pilot tests for the remediation outlined in the work plan. Based on the results of such pilot tests, we changed our estimate and reduced the environmental liability by \$2.8 million during the fourth quarter ended December 31, 2008. Through December 31, 2010, we paid approximately \$2.4 million and, at December 31, 2010, this liability had a balance of \$2.8 million. In July 2009, NYDEC approved the remedial action work plan, and we signed a Stipulation Agreement with NYDEC to govern implementation of the approved plan. We do not believe that compliance with the terms of the approved remedial action work plan will result in material costs in excess of the environmental reserve or have a material impact on our operations. See Note 9 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this report.

Other

In January 2011, the trustee administering the post-bankruptcy litigation trust of Lyondell Chemical Company ("Lyondell") and certain of its affiliates brought an action against us to recover payments totaling approximately \$6.0 million made to us by an affiliate of Lyondell that the trustee claims were paid shortly before the Lyondell bankruptcy and at a time when the affiliate was insolvent, allegedly permitting the avoidance or recovery of those payments pursuant to bankruptcy law. We believe that the payments were made by the affiliate in the ordinary course of both its and our business as contemporaneous payment for its receipt of a volume of product of a value equivalent to the payments. The litigation is in its early stages, and we believe we have meritorious defenses. Therefore, no provision for losses has been recorded in connection with this matter.

Item 4. [Removed and Reserved]

Table of Contents**PART II****Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.**

Our common units trade on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "GLP." The closing sale price per common unit on March 7, 2011 was \$27.11. At the close of business on March 7, 2011, based upon information received from our transfer agent and brokers and nominees, we had 11,265 common unitholders, including beneficial owners of common units held in street name. The following table sets forth the range of the daily high and low sales prices per common unit as quoted on the New York Stock Exchange and the cash distributions per common unit for the periods indicated.

	Price Range		Cash Distribution Per Common Unit(a)
	High	Low	
2010			
Fourth Quarter	\$ 27.79	\$ 24.81	\$ 0.5000(b)
Third Quarter	25.42	21.27	0.4950
Second Quarter	23.10	18.00	0.4875
First Quarter	26.60	21.10	0.4875
2009			
Fourth Quarter	\$ 27.40	\$ 18.51	\$ 0.4875
Third Quarter	26.00	17.04	0.4875
Second Quarter	20.37	11.70	0.4875
First Quarter	14.50	8.58	0.4875

- (a) Represents cash distributions attributable to the quarter. Cash distributions declared in respect of a calendar quarter are paid in the following calendar quarter.
- (b) The cash distribution for this quarter was paid on February 14, 2011 to unitholders of record on February 3, 2011.

On December 9, 2009, our general partner entered into the Third Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership which amended the Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership, dated May 9, 2007, as amended, to: (i) replace the terms "operating surplus" and "adjusted operating surplus" with the term "distributable cash flow" and thereby eliminate the term "working capital borrowings," (ii) increase the minimum quarterly distribution, prospectively, from \$0.4125 to \$0.4625 per unit per quarter; and (iii) remove the provisions that previously permitted early conversion of a portion of the subordinated units and restate the provisions governing conversion of the subordinated units using distributable cash flow to test whether we have "earned" the minimum quarterly distribution.

We intend to make cash distributions to unitholders on a quarterly basis, although there is no assurance as to the future cash distributions since they are dependent upon future cash flows, capital requirements, financial condition and other factors. Our credit agreement prohibits us from making cash distributions if any potential default or event of default, as defined in the credit agreement, occurs or would result from the cash distribution.

Within 45 days after the end of each quarter, we will distribute all of our available cash (as defined in our partnership agreement) to unitholders of record on the applicable record date. The amount of available cash is all cash on hand on the date of determination of available cash for the quarter;

less the amount of cash reserves established by our general partner to:

provide for the proper conduct of our business;

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

comply with applicable law, any of our debt instruments or other agreements; or

provide funds for distributions to unitholders and to our general partner for any one or more of the next four quarters.

Conversion of Subordinated Units Subsequent to December 31, 2010, based upon meeting certain distribution and performance tests provided in our partnership agreement, all 5,642,424 subordinated units have converted to common units.

We will make distributions of available cash from distributable cash flow for any quarter in the following manner: 98.94% to the common unitholders, pro rata, and 1.06% to the general partner, until we distribute for each outstanding common unit an amount equal to the minimum quarterly distribution for that quarter; and thereafter, cash in excess of the minimum quarterly distributions is distributed to the unitholders and the general partner based on the percentages as provided below.

As holder of the incentive distribution rights, the general partner is entitled to incentive distributions if the amount we distribute with respect to any quarter exceeds specified target levels shown below:

	Total Quarterly Distribution Target Amount	Marginal Percentage Interest in Distributions	
		Unitholders	General Partner
Minimum Quarterly Distribution	\$0.4625	98.94%	1.06%
First Target Distribution	\$0.4625	98.94%	1.06%
Second Target Distribution	above \$0.4625 up to \$0.5375	85.94%	14.06%
Third Target Distribution	above \$0.5375 up to \$0.6625	75.94%	24.06%
Thereafter	above \$0.6625	50.94%	49.06%

The equity compensation plan information required by Item 201(d) of Regulation S-K in response to this item is incorporated by reference from Item 12, "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management Equity Compensation Plan Table."

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

None. Please read Item 1, "Business Recent Developments Conversion of Subordinated Units" for information on the conversion of our subordinated units into common units.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The table below provides information with respect to purchases of our common units made by our general partner on our behalf during the quarter ended December 31, 2010:

Period	Total Number Of Units Purchased	Average Price Paid Per Unit (\$)	Total Number of Units Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs(1)	Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Units That May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs(1)
October 1 - October 31, 2010				
November 1 - November 30, 2010	33,900	25.98	33,900	215,809
December 1 - December 31, 2010				

(1)

On May 7, 2009, the board of directors of our general partner announced that it authorized the repurchase of our common units for the purpose of meeting our general partner's anticipated obligations to deliver common units under the LTIP and meeting the general partner's obligations under existing employment agreements and other employment related obligations of the general

Table of Contents

partner. We are authorized to acquire up to 445,000 of our common units in the aggregate, over an extended period of time, consistent with the general partner's obligations under the LTIP and employment agreements. Common units may be repurchased from time to time in open market transactions, including block purchases, or in privately negotiated transactions. Such authorized unit repurchases may be modified, suspended or terminated at any time, and are subject to price, economic and market conditions, applicable legal requirements and available liquidity.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data.

The following table presents selected historical financial and operating data of Global Partners LP for the years and as of the dates indicated. The selected historical financial data is derived from the historical consolidated financial statements of Global Partners LP.

This table should be read in conjunction with Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and the historical consolidated financial statements of Global Partners LP and the notes thereto included elsewhere in this report. In addition, this table presents non-GAAP financial measures which we use in our business. These measures are not calculated or presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States ("GAAP"). We explain these measures and present reconciliations to their most directly

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

comparable financial measures calculated in accordance with GAAP in Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations."

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
	(dollars in millions except per unit amounts)				
Statement of Income Data:					
Sales	\$ 7,801.5	\$ 5,818.4	\$ 9,019.1	\$ 6,757.8	\$ 4,472.4
Cost of sales	7,634.8	5,668.6	8,899.3	6,630.8	4,359.2
Gross profit	166.7	149.8	119.8	127.0	113.2
Selling, general and administrative expenses	66.1	61.0	42.1	45.5	43.0
Operating expenses	47.8	35.0	31.8	27.7	22.2
Amortization expense	3.5	3.0	2.9	2.3	1.5
Total operating costs and expenses	117.4	99.0	76.8	75.5	66.7
Operating income	49.3	50.8	43.0	51.5	46.5
Interest expense	(22.3)	(15.2)	(20.8)	(17.4)	(11.9)
Other income					0.5
Gain on sale of investment(1)				14.1	
Income before income tax expense	27.0	35.6	22.2	48.2	35.1
Income tax expense		(1.5)	(1.1)	(1.2)	(1.6)
Net Income	27.0	34.1	21.1	47.0	33.5
Less: General partner's interest in net income	(0.6)	(0.8)	(0.6)	(1.0)	(0.7)
Limited partners' interest in net income	\$ 26.4	\$ 33.3	\$ 20.5	\$ 46.0	\$ 32.8
Basic net income per limited partner unit(2)	\$ 1.61	\$ 2.56	\$ 1.57	\$ 2.38	\$ 2.46
Diluted net income per limited partner unit(2)	\$ 1.59	\$ 2.51	\$ 1.57	\$ 2.38	\$ 2.46
Basic weighted average limited partner' units outstanding	16.3	13.0	13.1	12.4	11.3
Diluted weighted average limited partner' units outstanding	16.6	13.3	13.1	12.4	11.3
Cash Flow Data:					
Net cash (used in) provided by					
Operating activities	\$ (87.2)	\$ (61.1)	\$ 99.2	\$ (115.0)	\$ (54.5)
Investment activities	(263.0)	(9.1)	(11.5)	(136.5)	(12.4)
Financing activities	351.9	69.9	(88.9)	249.7	69.0
Other Financial Data:					
EBITDA(5)	\$ 72.4	\$ 66.7	\$ 58.1	\$ 75.2	\$ 51.5
Adjusted EBITDA(3)	72.4	66.7	58.1	61.1	51.5
Distributable cash flow(4)	46.0	45.4	34.1	38.6	36.0
Capital expenditures(5)	14.7	9.1	11.5	13.7	5.9

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

Cash distributions per limited partner unit(6)	1.96	1.95	1.95	1.87	1.72
Operating Data:					
Normal heating degree days(7)	5,630	5,630	5,630	5,630	5,630
Actual heating degree days	5,049	5,656	5,426	5,656	5,007
Variance from normal heating degree days	(10)%	1%	(4)%	1%	(11)%
Variance from prior year actual degree days	(11)%	4%	(4)%	13%	(15)%
Total gallons sold (in millions)	3,650	3,404	3,550	3,288	2,486
Variance in volume sold from prior year	7%	(4)%	8%	32%	(7)%
Balance Sheet Data (at period end):					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 2.4	\$ 0.6	\$ 0.9	\$ 2.1	\$ 3.9
Property and equipment, net	422.7	159.3	162.0	161.7	31.7
Total assets	1,672.3	1,052.7	889.3	1,159.2	638.9
Total debt	786.7	533.8	433.5	496.2	272.3
Total liabilities	1,395.5	895.3	745.8	998.9	535.7
Equity	276.8	157.4	143.5	160.3	103.2

- (1) We sold our investment in NYMEX Holdings, Inc. along with our NYMEX seats for approximately \$15.3 million and realized a gain of approximately \$14.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Table of Contents

- (2) See Note 2 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this report for net income per limited partner unit calculation.
- (3) Earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization ("EBITDA") and adjusted EBITDA are non-GAAP financial measures which are discussed under "Results of Operations Evaluating Our Results of Operations" and reconciled to their most directly comparable GAAP financial measures in Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations." Adjusted EBITDA is EBITDA less the \$14.1 million gain we realized on the sale of our investment in NYMEX Holdings, Inc. along with our NYMEX seats for the year ended December 31, 2007.
- (4) Distributable cash flow is a non-GAAP financial measure which is discussed under "Results of Operations Evaluating Our Results of Operations" and reconciled to its most directly comparable GAAP financial measures in Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations."
- (5) Capital expenditures are discussed in Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources."
- (6) Cash distributions declared in one calendar quarter are paid in the following calendar quarter. This amount is based on cash distributions paid during each respective year. See Note 14 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this report.
- (7) Degree days is an industry measurement of temperature designed to evaluate energy demand and consumption which is further discussed under "Results of Operations Evaluating Our Results of Operations" in Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations."

Table of Contents

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The following discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations of Global Partners LP should be read in conjunction with the historical consolidated financial statements of Global Partners LP and the notes thereto included elsewhere in this report.

Overview

General

We own, control or have access to one of the largest terminal networks of refined petroleum products in the Northeast. We are one of the largest wholesale distributors of gasoline, distillates (such as home heating oil, diesel and kerosene), residual oil and renewable fuels (such as ethanol) to wholesalers, retailers and commercial customers in the New England states and New York. We own and supply fuel to 190 Mobil-branded retail gas stations (38 leased properties and 152 fee properties) in New England and supply Mobil-branded fuel to an additional 31 independently-owned stations. In 2010, we sold approximately \$7.8 billion of refined petroleum products and small amounts of natural gas and renewable fuels. In addition, we had revenues of approximately \$16.1 million, primarily from convenience store sales at our directly operated stores and gas station rental income.

We purchase our refined petroleum products primarily from domestic and foreign refiners, major and independent oil companies and trading companies and sell these products in two segments, Wholesale and Commercial. Like most independent marketers of refined petroleum products, we base our pricing on spot physical prices and routinely use the NYMEX or other derivatives to hedge our commodity risk inherent in buying and selling energy commodities. Through the use of regulated exchanges or derivatives, we maintain a position that is substantially balanced between purchased volumes and sales volumes or future delivery obligations. We earn a margin by selling the product for physical delivery to third parties.

Products and Operational Structure

Our products primarily include unbranded and Mobil-branded gasoline, distillates and residual oil. We sell gasoline to unbranded and Mobil-branded retail gasoline stations and other resellers of transportation fuels. The distillates we sell are used primarily for fuel for trucks and off-road construction equipment and for space heating of residential and commercial buildings. We sell residual oil to major housing units, such as public housing authorities, colleges and hospitals and large industrial facilities that use processed steam in their manufacturing processes. In addition, we sell bunker fuel, which we can custom blend, to cruise ships, bulk carriers and fishing fleets. We have increased our sales in the non-weather sensitive components of our business, such as transportation fuels; however, we are still subject to the impact that warmer weather conditions may have on our home heating oil and residual oil sales.

Our business is divided into three operating segments:

Wholesale. This segment includes sales of unbranded and Mobil-branded gasoline, distillates, residual oil and small amounts of renewable fuels to retail gasoline stations and other resellers of transportation fuels, home heating oil retailers and wholesale distributors.

Commercial. This segment includes sales and deliveries of unbranded gasoline, distillates, residual oil and small amounts of natural gas and renewable fuels to end user customers in the public sector and to large commercial and industrial end user customers. In the case of commercial and industrial end user customers, we sell our products primarily either through a competitive bidding process or through contracts of various terms. Our commercial segment sales also include sales of Mobil-branded gasoline to end users at our directly operated gas

Table of Contents

stations. This segment also purchases, custom blends, sells and delivers bunker fuel and diesel to cruise ships, bulk carriers and fishing fleets generally by barges.

Other. This segment includes convenience store, car wash and other ancillary sales and rental income from the Dealer Leased Sites (defined below). Please read "ExxonMobil Acquisition."

Our business is substantially comprised of purchasing, storing, terminalling and selling refined petroleum products. In a contango market (when product prices for future deliveries are higher than for current deliveries), we may use our storage capacity to improve our margins by storing products we have purchased at lower prices in the current market for delivery to customers at higher prices in the future. In a backward market (when product prices for future deliveries are lower than current deliveries), we attempt to minimize our inventories to reduce commodity risk and maintain or increase net product margins. See Part I, Item 1A, "Risk Factors," for additional information related to commodity risk.

Outlook

This section identifies certain risks and certain economic or industry-wide factors that may affect our financial performance and results of operations in the future, both in the short term and in the long term. Our results of operations and financial condition depend, in part, upon the following:

The condition of credit markets may adversely affect our liquidity. In the recent past, world financial markets experienced a severe reduction in the availability of credit. Although we were not negatively impacted by this condition, possible negative impacts in the future could include a decrease in the availability of borrowings under our credit agreement, increased counterparty credit risk on our derivatives contracts and our contractual counterparties requiring us to provide collateral. In addition, we could experience a tightening of trade credit from our suppliers.

We commit substantial resources to pursuing acquisitions, though there is no certainty that we will successfully complete any acquisitions or receive the economic results we anticipate from completed acquisitions. Consistent with our business strategy, we are continuously engaged in discussions with potential sellers of terminalling, storage and/or marketing assets and related businesses. Our growth largely depends on our ability to make accretive acquisitions. We may be unable to make such accretive acquisitions for a number of reasons, including, but not limited to, the following: (1) we are unable to identify attractive acquisition candidates or negotiate acceptable purchase contracts; (2) we are unable to raise financing for such acquisitions on economically acceptable terms; or (3) we are outbid by competitors. In addition, we may consummate acquisitions that at the time of consummation we believe will be accretive, but that ultimately may not be accretive. If any of these events were to occur, our future growth would be limited. We can give no assurance that our acquisition efforts will be successful or that any such acquisition will be completed on terms that are favorable to us.

Our financial results are generally better in the first and fourth quarters of the calendar year. Demand for some refined petroleum products, specifically home heating oil and residual oil for space heating purposes, is generally higher during November through March than during April through October. We obtain a significant portion of these sales during these winter months. Therefore, our results of operations for the first and fourth calendar quarters are generally better than for the second and third quarters. With lower cash flow during the second and third calendar quarters, we may be required to borrow money in order to maintain current levels of distributions to our unitholders.

Warmer weather conditions could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition. Weather conditions generally have an impact on the demand for both home heating oil and

Table of Contents

residual oil. Because we supply distributors whose customers depend on home heating oil and residual oil for space heating purposes during the winter, warmer-than-normal temperatures during the first and fourth calendar quarters in the Northeast can decrease the total volume we sell and the gross profit realized on those sales.

Energy efficiency, new technology and alternative fuels could reduce demand for our products. Increased conservation and technological advances have adversely affected the demand for home heating oil and residual oil. Consumption of residual oil has steadily declined over the last three decades. We could face additional competition from alternative energy sources as a result of future government-mandated controls or regulation further promoting the use of cleaner fuels. End users who are dual-fuel users have the ability to switch between residual oil and natural gas. Other end users may elect to convert to natural gas. During a period of increasing residual oil prices relative to the prices of natural gas, dual-fuel customers may switch and other end users may convert to natural gas. Residential users of home heating oil may also convert to natural gas. Such switching or conversion could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders. In addition, new technologies and alternative fuel sources, such as electric, hybrid or battery powered motor vehicles, could reduce the demand for gasoline and adversely impact our gasoline sales. A reduction in gasoline sales could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

Our financial condition and results of operations are influenced by the overall forward market for refined petroleum products, and increases and/or decreases in the prices of refined petroleum products may adversely impact the amount of borrowing available for working capital under our credit agreement, which credit agreement has borrowing base limitations and advance rates, as well as access to trade credit. Results from our purchasing, storing, terminalling and selling operations are influenced by prices for refined petroleum products, pricing volatility and the market for such products. Prices in the overall forward market for refined petroleum products may impact our ability to execute advantageous purchasing opportunities. In a contango market (when product prices for future deliveries are higher than for current deliveries), we may use our storage capacity to improve our margins by storing products we have purchased at lower prices in the current market for delivery to customers at higher prices in the future. In a backward market (when product prices for future deliveries are lower than current deliveries), we attempt to minimize our inventories to reduce commodity risk and maintain or increase net product margins. When prices for refined petroleum products rise, some of our customers may have insufficient credit to purchase supply from us at their historical purchase volumes, and their customers, in turn, may adopt conservation measures which reduce consumption, thereby reducing demand for product. Furthermore, when prices increase rapidly and dramatically, we may be unable to promptly pass our additional costs on to our customers, resulting in lower margins for us which could adversely affect our results of operation. Lastly, higher prices for refined petroleum products may (1) diminish our access to trade credit support and/or cause it to become more expensive and (2) decrease the amount of borrowings available for working capital under our credit agreement as a result of total available commitments, borrowing base limitations and advance rates thereunder. In addition, when prices for refined petroleum products decline, our exposure to risk of loss in the event of nonperformance by our customers of our forward contracts may be increased as they and/or their customers may breach their contracts and purchase refined petroleum products at the then lower spot and/or retail market price. Furthermore, lower prices for refined petroleum products may diminish the amount of borrowings available for working capital under our working capital revolving credit facility as a result of borrowing base limitations.

Table of Contents

Changes in government usage mandates and tax credits could adversely affect the availability and pricing of ethanol, which could negatively impact our gasoline sales. Future demand for ethanol will be largely dependent upon the economic incentives to blend based upon the relative value of gasoline and ethanol, taking into consideration the Volumetric Ethanol Excise Tax Credit (the "blender's credit") and the EPA's regulations on the Renewable Fuels Standard ("RFS") program and oxygenate blending requirements. A reduction or waiver of the RFS mandate or the oxygenate blending requirements or the failure to extend the blender's credit could adversely affect the availability and pricing of ethanol, which in turn could adversely affect our future gasoline and ethanol sales. Please read Item 1, "Business Recent Developments Ethanol and Rail Expansion Project."

New, stricter environmental laws and regulations could significantly increase our costs, which could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition. Our operations are subject to federal, state and local laws and regulations regulating product quality specifications and other environmental matters. The trend in environmental regulation is towards more restrictions and limitations on activities that may affect the environment. Our business may be adversely affected by increased costs and liabilities resulting from such stricter laws and regulations. We try to anticipate future regulatory requirements that might be imposed and plan accordingly to remain in compliance with changing environmental laws and regulations and to minimize the costs of such compliance. However, there can be no assurances as to the timing and type of such changes in existing laws or the promulgation of new laws or the amount of any required expenditures associated therewith.

ExxonMobil Acquisition

On September 30, 2010, we completed our acquisition of retail gas stations and supply rights from ExxonMobil for cash consideration of approximately \$202.3 million, plus the assumption of certain environmental liabilities (the "Acquisition"). The Acquisition was completed in multiple phases from September 8 through September 30, 2010.

The following is a summary of the Acquisition and certain matters relating to our operation of the acquired assets and rights. Information regarding results and operations of the Sites (as defined below) prior to the Acquisition, including rents, real estate taxes, sales and salaries and benefits, is based on available information, including certain information provided by the seller.

Assets Acquired and Liabilities Assumed The Acquisition included the purchase of the following assets from ExxonMobil:

148 stations leased to and operated by dealers (the "Dealer Leased Sites"). 130 of the Dealer Leased Sites are located in Massachusetts, 9 are located in Rhode Island and 9 are located in New Hampshire. 124 of the Dealer Leased Sites are owned by us. 24 of the Dealer Leased Sites are leased by us pursuant to existing lease agreements with third-party landlords assigned to and assumed by us and subleased by dealers.

Assuming we exercise available renewal terms, the leases with third-party landlords for the 24 Dealer Leased Sites leased by us expire between 2011 and 2033, with an average remaining lease term of approximately 12 years. The rent paid in 2009 for the 24 leased Dealer Leased Sites was approximately \$1.6 million and approximately \$360,000 was paid for real estate taxes. The real estate taxes paid in 2009 for the 124 owned Dealer Leased Sites was approximately \$2.0 million. Subject to applicable rent increases under the terms of the leases for the 24 leased Dealer Leased Sites, and any real estate tax increases imposed by applicable taxing authority, we expect to incur comparable rent and real estate tax expenses in connection with the future operation of the Dealer Leased Sites.

Table of Contents

Each of the Dealer Leased Sites are leased or subleased, as applicable, to and operated by dealers pursuant to existing franchise agreements assigned to and assumed by us. The franchise agreements for the Dealer Leased Sites are generally for three-year terms with varying expiration dates and contain renewal terms pursuant to and governed by applicable federal laws. In 2009, the rents paid by dealers for the 148 Dealer Leased Sites were approximately \$16.8 million. Subject to rent increases under the terms of the franchise agreements for the 148 Dealer Leased Sites, and any changes in rent contained in any renewal franchise agreements executed for the 148 Dealer Leased Sites, we expect to receive similar rents in connection with the future operation of the Dealer Leased Sites.

From the Dealer Leased Sites, we receive revenues pursuant to the terms of the franchise agreements from (a) rent paid by the dealers, and (b) the wholesale supply of Mobil-branded gasoline and diesel fuel sold to the Dealer Leased Sites. All revenues at the Dealer Leased Sites relating to (a) the sale of Mobil-branded gasoline and diesel fuels to retail end-users, and (b) convenience store, car wash and other ancillary sales are for the account of the dealer who leases and operates the location. All station-level employees of each Dealer Leased Site are employees of the dealer who leases and operates the location.

42 stations directly operated by a management company as discussed below (the "Company Operated Sites" and, together with the Dealer Leased Sites, the "Acquired Sites"). Simultaneously with the Acquisition, the Company Operated Sites were transferred by us to GMG, our wholly owned subsidiary. 27 of the Company Operated Sites are located in Massachusetts, 6 are located in Rhode Island and 9 are located in New Hampshire. 28 of the Company Operated Sites are owned by GMG. 14 of the Company Operated Sites are leased by GMG pursuant to existing lease agreements with third-party landlords assigned to and assumed by GMG.

Assuming exercise by GMG of available renewal terms, the leases with third-party landlords for the 14 Company Operated Sites leased by us expire between 2013 and 2038, with an average remaining lease term of approximately 12 years. The rent paid in 2009 for the 14 leased Company Operated Sites was approximately \$1.5 million and approximately \$330,000 was paid for real estate taxes. The real estate taxes paid in 2009 for the 28 owned Company Operated sites was approximately \$728,000. Subject to applicable rent increases under the terms of the leases for the 14 leased Company Operated Sites, and any real estate tax increases imposed by applicable taxing authority, we expect to incur comparable rent and real estate tax expenses in connection with the future operation of the Company Operated Sites.

All of the Company Operated Sites have convenience stores ranging in size from 900 to 3,900 square feet, 38 of which are operated under the *On the Run* flag (see " Management Agreements"). 36 of the Company Operated Sites are open 24 hours per day. All of the Company Operated Sites are licensed lottery agents in their respective states. The 9 Company Operated Sites in New Hampshire are licensed to sell beer and wine. 20 of the Company Operated Sites have car washes on site. The Company Operated Sites averaged convenience store sales in 2009 of approximately \$1.0 million per site.

From the Company Operated Sites, we will receive revenues from (a) the wholesale supply of Mobil-branded gasoline and diesel fuel to the Company Operated Sites, (b) the sale of Mobil-branded gasoline and diesel fuel to retail end-users, and (c) convenience store, car wash and other ancillary sales. All station-level employees of a Company Operated Sites are employees of GMG's management agent, as discussed below.

The right to supply Mobil-branded fuel to an additional 31 stations that are owned and operated by independent dealers (the "Dealer Owned Sites" and, together with the Acquired Sites, the "Sites"). Each of the Dealer Owned Sites is supplied fuel pursuant to an existing supply

Table of Contents

agreement assigned to and assumed by us. 22 of the Dealer Owned Sites are located in Massachusetts, 7 are located in Rhode Island and 2 are located in New Hampshire. The supply agreements for the Dealer Owned Sites expire between 2011 and 2015, and we intend to pursue renewals of these agreements as they mature.

From the Dealer Owned Sites, we will receive revenues solely from the wholesale supply of Mobil-branded gasoline and diesel fuel to the Dealer Owned Sites. All revenues at the Dealer Owned Sites relating to (a) the sale of Mobil-branded gasoline and diesel fuels to retail end-users, and (b) convenience store, car wash and other ancillary sales are for the benefit of the dealer who owns and operates the location. All station-level employees of each Dealer Operated Site are employees of the independent dealer who owns the location.

In 2009, the Dealer Owned Sites, together with the Dealer Leased Sites, sold approximately 275 million gallons of gasoline and diesel fuel. Also in 2009, the Company Operated Sites sold approximately 95 million gallons of gasoline and diesel fuel.

We believe the Acquired Sites are premier locations and have been well maintained. All underground storage tank systems and related dispensing equipment were inspected by us and environmental engineers as part of due diligence activities prior to consummation of the Acquisition and are believed to be in compliance in all material respects with all applicable federal, state and local underground storage tank laws and regulations. Our policy will be to replace underground motor fuel storage tanks at approximately 30 years of age. The average tank age of the underground storage tanks at the Acquired Sites is approximately 19.5 years. We do not own the underground storage tanks or other dispensing equipment at the Dealer Owned Sites. Based on a 30-year average life replacement cycle, we expect to replace approximately 18% of the tanks in the next 5 years. All of the motor fuel storage tanks at the Acquired Sites are constructed of fiberglass reinforced plastic, with approximately 2/3 of the tanks double walled. All tanks at the Acquired Sites are equipped with automatic tank gauges which are remotely monitored for leak detection purposes.

Pursuant to the BFA (as defined below), we must maintain all buildings at each of the Acquired Sites, and must ensure that the operators maintain all buildings at each of the Dealer Owned Sites, in compliance with all applicable fire, building and zoning codes and ordinances, in a clean condition free of debris, trash and fire hazards, and in accordance with detailed and rigorous ExxonMobil brand imaging requirements. We believe that each of the Sites is in compliance in all material respects with each of these requirements.

In addition to the contractual obligations assumed by us as described above, we assumed certain environmental liabilities with respect to the Acquired Sites. The assumed environmental liabilities include on-going environmental remediation at approximately 70 of the Acquired Sites and future remediation activities required by applicable federal, state or local law or regulation. Based on consultations with environmental engineers, our estimated cost of the remediation is expected to be approximately \$30.0 million to be expended over an extended period of time.

We contemplate potential sales of Acquired Sites to franchise dealers and other third parties, and the possible lease of Acquired Sites to commissioned agents. A "commissioned agent" is a person who leases and operates the convenience store as an independent operator, with all convenience store revenues for the agent's account. In addition, the agent receives a commission on a per gallon basis for the sale of fuel products owned, priced and sold by us.

Brand Fee Agreement In connection with the Acquisition, we and ExxonMobil entered into a 15-year Brand Fee Agreement (the "BFA"), which entitles us to (a) operate each of the Company Operated Sites under the Mobil-branded trade name and related trade logos (the "Mobil Flag"), (b) allow the Dealer Leased Sites and the Dealer Owned Sites to be operated under the Mobil Flag, (c) subject to ExxonMobil's approval, brand additional service stations (whether company operated,

Table of Contents

dealer leased or dealer owned) in Massachusetts, Rhode Island, New Hampshire, Maine and Vermont (collectively, the "BFA States") under the Mobil Flag, and (d) supply Mobil-branded motor fuel to the Sites and other Mobil-branded stations in the BFA States. We are responsible for complying and ensuring compliance by all third parties purchasing Mobil-branded motor fuel from us within the BFA States, with all of ExxonMobil's branded facility requirements, brand image specifications and restrictions and minimum service standards with respect to the use of the Mobil Flag and the sale of Mobil-branded motor fuel. In addition, on and after June 1, 2011, we will have similar rights and responsibilities with respect to the Exxon branded trade name and related trade logos (the "Exxon Flag") in the BFA States.

We are responsible for securing our own wholesale fuel supply, including sourcing and delivery of motor fuel and ExxonMobil proprietary additives, arranging for storage and distribution at and from bulk storage facilities and installing any necessary additive injection systems, and providing dispatch and distribution systems for delivery of fuel to the Sites and any other Mobil-branded station supplied by us in the BFA States during the term of the BFA. We are also responsible for ensuring that any Mobil-branded motor fuel distributed by us within the BFA States meets ExxonMobil's specifications and quality assurance requirements for Mobil-branded motor fuel, as such specifications and requirements may be changed by ExxonMobil from time to time, as well as all applicable federal, state and local laws and regulations. Except for a brief transition period, ExxonMobil will not supply motor fuels to us within the BFA States. The Acquisition did not include any of ExxonMobil's existing supply arrangements, terminal assets, rolling stock or other storage and distribution system components.

Securing wholesale fuel supply is part of our core business. Our products come from some of the major energy companies in the world. Cargos are sourced from the United States, Canada, South America, Europe, Russia and occasionally from Asia. During 2010, we purchased an average of approximately 238,000 barrels per day of refined petroleum products from approximately 115 suppliers. In 2010, our top ten suppliers accounted for approximately 60% of product purchases. We enter into supply agreements with these suppliers on a term basis or a spot basis.

We will utilize our existing terminal network to supply the Sites. This terminal network includes bulk terminals owned by us or at which we maintain dedicated storage as well as throughput or exchange agreements at other bulk terminals. Throughput arrangements allow storage of product at terminals owned by others. We can load product at these terminals, and pay the owners of these terminals fees for services rendered in connection with the receipt, storage and handling of such product. Exchange agreements also allow us to take delivery of product at a terminal or facility that is not owned or leased. An exchange is a contractual agreement where the parties exchange product at their respective terminals or facilities. For example, we receive product that is owned by the exchange partner from such party's facility or terminal, and we deliver the same volume of product to such party out of one of the terminals in our terminal network. Initially, we intend to supply the Sites pursuant to throughput agreements at two bulk terminals and an exchange agreement at one bulk terminal, each of which currently have the necessary Mobil proprietary additive available. We can supply the Sites using additional bulk terminals in our terminal network, subject to potential modifications to accommodate the storage and injection of Mobil proprietary additive as required by the BFA. Consistent with our other operations, the bulk supply required for the Sites and other locations supplied pursuant to the BFA are substantially hedged through futures contracts and swap agreements.

Pursuant to the BFA, we have the right (but not the obligation) to continue operating the Sites under the Mobil Flag. In addition, on and after June 1, 2011, we will have similar rights with respect to the Exxon Flag. We will pay a fee of approximately \$9.0 million to ExxonMobil in 2011 for this right, plus an additional amount in the event additional sites are branded Mobil or Exxon or supplied Mobil or Exxon branded fuel by us pursuant to the BFA. Initially, we intend to continue operating the Sites under the Mobil brand, although we have the right to rebrand the Sites to another major gasoline

Table of Contents

brand or to operate the Sites as unbranded stations. In the event we rebrand any of the Sites to another major gasoline brand or to an unbranded station, the BFA fee will not decrease.

ExxonMobil has agreed to provide credit card processing services for the Sites and any other stations Mobil-branded or supplied by us in the BFA States pursuant to the BFA. We are responsible for providing our own marketing and promotion efforts in support of the Mobil and Exxon brands within the BFA States. We expect to benefit from national promotions of the Mobil (and, after June 1, 2011, Exxon) brand by ExxonMobil. We are responsible for providing our own customer service operations to respond to consumer complaints or concerns regarding the operation of the Sites and other stations Mobil-branded or supplied by us under the BFA. In addition, ExxonMobil operates and offers a variety of incentive and rebate programs for franchise dealers and other wholesale distributors which, prior to the Acquisition, were available to the Sites. Under the BFA, these programs are not available to us, and we will be responsible for developing, offering and administering any such program we wish to offer to any of the Sites.

Pursuant to the BFA, we also have the ability to provide Mobil-branded fuels to other authorized Mobil distributors in the BFA States. As of March 1, 2011, we began supplying several such distributors, including Alliance. Please read Item 13, "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence."

Management Agreements In connection with the Acquisition, Global Companies LLC and GMG entered into Facilities Management Agreements (each, a "Management Agreement") with Alliance with respect to all of the Sites. Alliance is approximately 95% owned by members of the Slifka family, who also own our general partner. Each Management Agreement is for an initial term continuing through September 30, 2013. Either party to each Management Agreement may extend the term for consecutive additional one-year terms by giving written notice of its election to extend the term not less than 24 months prior to the expiration of the then current term, subject to the parties' mutual agreement on the management fee for such extension.

Pursuant to the Management Agreements, Alliance will supervise and direct the day-to-day management and operations of the Sites for an aggregate annual management fee of \$2.6 million, commencing October 1, 2010. Alliance will manage the operations of the Sites in accordance with annual budgets to be approved by Global Companies LLC and GMG, respectively. In addition to the annual management fee, Global Companies LLC and GMG are responsible for reimbursing Alliance for certain direct overhead expenses related to the operations of the Sites, including costs relating to the employees directly employed to manage and operate the Sites and a portion of the costs relating to certain administrative personnel of Alliance as may be approved by Global Companies LLC and/or GMG, in accordance with the Management Agreements and the approved annual budgets. In the event that the number or type of Mobil or Exxon branded stations in the BFA States changes, the annual management fee and reimbursed direct overhead expenses may be adjusted as the parties mutually agree.

In connection with the Acquisition, all of ExxonMobil's station-level employees at the Company Operated Sites and ExxonMobil's 13 field supervisory and support employees responsible for the Sites were hired by Alliance. The aggregate cost of salary and benefits in 2009 for station-level employees at the Company Operated Sites was approximately \$9.5 million, exclusive of field supervisory and support employees. As we may operate the Company Operated Sites in a manner different than ExxonMobil, the aggregate cost of salary and benefits for station-level employees at the Company Operated Sites may be greater or less than the amounts incurred by ExxonMobil. All matters pertaining to the employment, supervision, compensation, promotion, and discharge of such employees are the responsibility of Alliance. Pursuant to the Management Agreements, Alliance is required to indemnify Global Companies LLC and GMG from and against any and all claims and damages of any nature whatsoever arising out of or incidental to Alliance's performance of its responsibilities under the

Table of Contents

Management Agreements caused by or due to fraud, gross negligence, willful misconduct or a material breach by Alliance of any provision of the Management Agreements. Alliance's aggregate liability is capped at \$5.0 million, over and above the utilization of any and all insurance proceeds.

In addition, pursuant to the Management Agreements, Alliance is providing certain accounting, tax, information technology, legal, maintenance, environmental and regulatory, dispatch, credit, human resource, construction and other services not acquired as part of the Acquisition. Additional accounting, tax, information technology, legal, environmental and regulatory, credit and other services not acquired as part of the Acquisition and not otherwise provided by Alliance pursuant to the Management Agreements will be provided by us. In addition, we will be solely responsible for providing all necessary employees and services relating to any wholesale fuel supply, including sourcing and delivery of physical product and ExxonMobil proprietary additives, and arranging for storage at and distribution from bulk storage facilities and installing any necessary additive injection systems, as these services were not acquired as part of the Acquisition. The ExxonMobil employees who previously provided the services being provided by us with respect to these activities were not available for hire as part of the Acquisition.

Alliance, as management agent for GMG, has obtained the right to continue operating 38 of the Company Operated Sites under the current *On the Run* convenience store brand, as the Acquisition did not include ExxonMobil's rights to use this brand name. We have the right to rebrand the convenience stores at the Company Operated Sites to another brand in the future. In addition, we did not acquire any of ExxonMobil's existing supply contracts with convenience store vendors, and we have entered into new arrangements with suppliers to stock the convenience stores at the Company Operated Sites. Subject to approval under the BFA, dealers at Dealer Leased Sites and at Dealer Owned Sites are responsible for obtaining any necessary rights to any convenience store brand name for these sites as well as entering into any desired supply contracts with convenience store vendors directly.

Other ExxonMobil Relationships ExxonMobil has long-term throughput contracts with us for the use of five refined petroleum products terminals acquired from ExxonMobil in 2007. We supply refined petroleum products to ExxonMobil at four of these terminals. ExxonMobil is also a supplier of refined petroleum products to us at other locations. ExxonMobil accounted for approximately 19%, 22% and 20% of our consolidated sales for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Results of Operations

Evaluating Our Results of Operations

Our management uses a variety of financial and operational measurements to analyze our performance. These measurements include: (1) net product margin, (2) gross profit, (3) selling, general and administrative expenses ("SG&A"), (4) operating expenses, (5) degree days, (6) net income per diluted limited partner unit, (7) EBITDA and (8) distributable cash flow.

Net Product Margin

We view net product margin as an important performance measure of the core profitability of our operations. We review net product margin monthly for consistency and trend analysis. We define net product margin as our sales minus product costs. Sales primarily include sales of unbranded and Mobil-branded gasoline, distillates, residual oil, small amounts of natural gas and renewable fuels and convenience store sales and gas station rental income. Product costs include the cost of acquiring the refined petroleum products, natural gas and renewable fuels that we sell and all associated costs including shipping and handling costs to bring such products to the point of sale. We also look at net product margin on a per unit basis (net product margin divided by volume). Net product margin is a non-GAAP financial measure used by management and external users of our consolidated financial statements to assess our business. Net product margin should not be considered as an alternative to net

Table of Contents

income, operating income, cash flow from operations, or any other measure of financial performance presented in accordance with GAAP. In addition, our net product margin may not be comparable to net product margin or a similarly titled measure of other companies.

Gross Profit

We define gross profit as our sales minus product costs and terminal depreciation expense allocated to cost of sales. Sales primarily include sales of unbranded and Mobil-branded gasoline, distillates, residual oil and small amounts of natural gas and renewable fuels. Product costs include the cost of acquiring the refined petroleum products, natural gas and renewable fuels that we sell and all associated costs to bring such products to the point of sale.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Our SG&A expenses include, among other things, marketing costs, corporate overhead, employee salaries and benefits, pension and 401(k) plan expenses, discretionary bonuses, non-interest financing costs, professional fees and information technology expenses. Employee-related expenses including employee salaries, discretionary bonuses and related payroll taxes, benefits, and pension and 401(k) plan expenses are paid by our general partner which, in turn, is reimbursed for these expenses by us.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses are costs associated with the operation of the terminals and gasoline stations used in our business. Lease payments and storage expenses, maintenance and repair, utilities, taxes, labor and labor-related expenses comprise the most significant portion of our operating expenses. These expenses remain relatively stable independent of the volumes through our system but fluctuate slightly depending on the activities performed during a specific period.

Degree Day

A "degree day" is an industry measurement of temperature designed to evaluate energy demand and consumption. Degree days are based on how far the average temperature departs from a human comfort level of 65°F. Each degree of temperature above 65°F is counted as one cooling degree day, and each degree of temperature below 65°F is counted as one heating degree day. Degree days are accumulated each day over the course of a year and can be compared to a monthly or a long-term (multi-year) average, or normal, to see if a month or a year was warmer or cooler than usual. Degree days are officially observed by the National Weather Service and officially archived by the National Climatic Data Center. For purposes of evaluating our results of operations, we use the normal heating degree day amount as reported by the National Weather Service at its Logan International Airport station in Boston, Massachusetts.

Net Income Per Diluted Limited Partner Unit

We use net income per diluted limited partner unit to measure our financial performance on a per-unit basis. Net income per diluted limited partner unit is defined as net income, divided by the weighted average number of outstanding diluted common and subordinated units, or limited partner units, during the period.

Table of Contents

EBITDA

EBITDA is a non-GAAP financial measure used as a supplemental financial measure by management and external users of our consolidated financial statements, such as investors, commercial banks and research analysts, to assess:

our compliance with certain financial covenants included in our debt agreements;

our financial performance without regard to financing methods, capital structure, income taxes or historical cost basis;

our ability to generate cash sufficient to pay interest on our indebtedness and to make distributions to our partners;

our operating performance and return on invested capital as compared to those of other companies in the wholesale, marketing and distribution of refined petroleum products, without regard to financing methods and capital structure; and

the viability of acquisitions and capital expenditure projects and the overall rates of return of alternative investment opportunities.

EBITDA should not be considered as an alternative to net income, operating income, cash flow from operating activities or any other measure of financial performance or liquidity presented in accordance with GAAP. EBITDA excludes some, but not all, items that affect net income, and this measure may vary among other companies. Therefore, EBITDA may not be comparable to similarly titled measures of other companies.

Distributable Cash Flow

Distributable cash flow is an important non-GAAP financial measure for our limited partners since it serves as an indicator of our success in providing a cash return on their investment. In December 2009, we amended our partnership agreement to restate the provisions governing conversion of the subordinated units to use distributable cash flow to test whether we have "earned" the minimum quarterly distribution. Distributable cash flow means our net income plus depreciation and amortization minus maintenance capital expenditures, as well as adjustments to eliminate items approved by the audit committee of the board of directors of our general partner that are extraordinary or non-recurring in nature and that would otherwise increase distributable cash flow. Specifically, this financial measure indicates to investors whether or not we have generated sufficient earnings on a current or historic level that can sustain or support an increase in our quarterly cash distribution. Distributable cash flow is a quantitative standard used by the investment community with respect to publicly traded partnerships. Distributable cash flow should not be considered as an alternative to net income, cash flow from operations, or any other measure of financial performance presented in accordance with GAAP. In addition, our distributable cash flow may not be comparable to distributable cash flow or similarly titled measures of other companies.

Years Ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008

In September 2010, we acquired 190 Mobil-branded retail gas stations located in Massachusetts, New Hampshire and Rhode Island. Additionally, we acquired the right to supply Mobil-branded gasoline and diesel fuel to such stations and to 31 Mobil-branded stations that are owned and operated by independent dealers. The Acquisition expands our wholesale supply business and adds vertical integration to our transportation fuel business. Please read "Overview ExxonMobil Acquisition" for a discussion of the transaction.

In June 2010, we completed our acquisition of the Warex Terminals (three refined petroleum products terminals located in Newburgh, New York). We believe the acquisition strengthens our

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

presence along the Hudson River in southeastern New York and enhances terminal operating efficiencies with our neighboring facility.

In addition, in October 2010, we completed an ethanol and rail expansion project that adds 180,000 barrels of ethanol storage at our refined petroleum product terminal in Albany, New York. The project includes modifications that enable the terminal to schedule the delivery of 80-car trains of ethanol and allows ethanol to be shipped directly on a single rail line from the Midwest. Beyond supplying our own business, we further invested in our Albany terminal by installing a marine vapor recovery system for barge/vessel-loading of ethanol and gasoline at the dock, and expanding the rack to allow for additional ethanol and gasoline sales. We believe the supply efficiencies gained through this project position us to be a premier cost effective supplier of gasoline and ethanol to the Northeast. In a separate and complementary project, we are converting two distillate storage tanks to gasoline storage at the Albany facility. These initiatives, combined with the return to service of three previously out-of-service tanks, increased the total storage capacity of our Albany terminal to approximately 1.2 million barrels, up from 737,000 barrels when we acquired the terminal in May 2007.

During the year ended December 31, 2010:

Refined petroleum product prices increased during 2010 compared to 2009.

Our aggregate volume of product sold increased by approximately 7% for 2010 compared to 2009 primarily due to our gasoline business.

We expensed credit losses of approximately \$1.1 million.

Temperatures for 2010 were 10% warmer than normal and 11% warmer than 2009.

We believe heating oil conservation and consumption continued during 2010.

We believe our results for the fourth quarter 2010 were negatively impacted due, in part, to adverse market conditions and fewer advantageous purchasing opportunities primarily in our distillates business. Although these factors continue to affect our business at the present time, we do not believe they are of a long-term nature. We believe that these market conditions and fewer purchasing opportunities did not adversely impact the performance of our gasoline business including the recent acquisition of retail gas stations and supply rights from ExxonMobil.

During 2009, we optimized our terminal network by initiating organic expansion and other projects, primarily in Albany, New York, Oyster Bay, New York and Linden, New Jersey. These projects added approximately 1.3 million barrels of storage capacity, broadening the depth and breadth of our strategic asset base.

During the year ended December 31, 2009:

Refined petroleum product prices dramatically declined during the first three quarters of 2009 compared to the same periods in 2008 which we believe contributed to lower revenues and lower financing costs as a result of decreased borrowings to finance inventory.

Refined petroleum product prices, however, dramatically increased during the fourth quarter of 2009 compared to the fourth quarter of 2008.

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

Due to the decline in refined petroleum product prices for most of 2009 and due to favorable market conditions, we elected to use our storage capacity to carry increased inventories of \$225.6 million as of December 31, 2009 compared December 31, 2008.

Temperatures for 2009 were 1% colder than normal and 4% colder than 2008 while temperatures were 4% warmer than normal for 2008.

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

We expensed credit losses of approximately \$2.2 million.

We believe heating oil conservation continued during 2009 even though prices declined.

We believe the overall economic conditions affected our distillates and gasoline results.

We continued to experience a decline in our residual oil sales and volumes.

In 2008, we expanded our presence in Providence, Rhode Island. We entered into two separate sublease agreements in November 2007 for land located at the Port of Providence. In January 2008, the terminal at one parcel opened for business and has storage capacity of 244,000 barrels for distillates. In November 2008, the terminal at the other parcel opened for business and has storage capacity of 230,000 barrels for refined petroleum products. These facilities will enable us to more effectively supply existing wholesale and commercial customers across Rhode Island and southeastern Massachusetts and cultivate new customers in the region.

In 2008, we experienced higher revenues and higher gasoline sales volumes, primarily due to our 2007 acquisitions of five refined petroleum products terminals from ExxonMobil. Refined petroleum product and natural gas prices were higher during the first nine months of the year and generally peaked in July of 2008 before dramatically decreasing during the fourth quarter as evidenced in the table below.

During the year ended December 31, 2008:

We believe increasing refined petroleum product prices for most of 2008 contributed to:

higher financing costs as a result of increased borrowings to finance inventory;

the conversion or temporary switching by dual-fuel users by primarily commercial customers to other products (primarily natural gas) from residual fuel and heating oil; and

energy conservation.

A decrease in market demand for distillates and residual oil due to energy conservation and higher refined petroleum product prices for most of 2008 led to lower volumes sold and lower margins.

Adverse market conditions in our markets, including volatility and backwardation, led to lower margins and intensified competition from other wholesalers.

Temperatures were 4% warmer than normal for 2008 as measured by aggregate heating degree days.

We expensed credit losses of approximately \$660,000.

We had fewer fixed priced sales of heating oil in 2008.

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

During the first quarter of 2008, the opportunistic conversion of certain gasoline markets to ethanol put us in a temporarily disadvantaged competitive position while our terminal infrastructure was being converted.

Temporary logistical supply issues related to rail capacity adversely affected the performance of our Burlington, Vermont facility.

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The following table provides the percentage increases (decreases) in refined petroleum product and natural gas prices at the end of each quarter in 2010 as compared to each comparable quarter in 2009 and at the end of each quarter in 2009 as compared to each comparable quarter in 2008:

Period:	Heating Oil \$ per gallon(1)	Gasoline \$ per gallon(1)	Residual Oil \$ per gallon(2)	Natural Gas \$ per gallon equivalent(3)
2010 compared to 2009				
At March 31, 2009	\$ 1.34	\$ 1.40	\$ 0.95	\$ 0.62
At March 31, 2010	\$ 2.16	\$ 2.31	\$ 1.76	\$ 0.63
Change	61%	65%	85%	2%
At June 30, 2009	\$ 1.72	\$ 1.90	\$ 1.49	\$ 0.62
At June 30, 2010	\$ 1.98	\$ 2.06	\$ 1.61	\$ 0.75
Change	15%	8%	8%	21%
At September 30, 2009	\$ 1.80	\$ 1.73	\$ 1.50	\$ 0.54
At September 30, 2010	\$ 2.24	\$ 2.04	\$ 1.74	\$ 0.62
Change	24%	18%	16%	15%
At December 31, 2009	\$ 2.12	\$ 2.05	\$ 1.71	\$ 1.07
At December 31, 2010	\$ 2.54	\$ 2.45	\$ 1.86	\$ 0.76
Change	20%	19%	9%	(29%)
2009 compared to 2008				
At March 31, 2008	\$ 3.05	\$ 2.62	\$ 1.73	\$ 1.53
At March 31, 2009	\$ 1.34	\$ 1.40	\$ 0.95	\$ 0.62
Change	(56%)	(47%)	(45%)	(59%)
At June 30, 2008	\$ 3.90	\$ 3.50	\$ 2.65	\$ 2.06
At June 30, 2009	\$ 1.72	\$ 1.90	\$ 1.49	\$ 0.62
Change	(56%)	(46%)	(44%)	(70%)
At September 30, 2008	\$ 2.86	\$ 2.48	\$ 1.99	\$ 1.13
At September 30, 2009	\$ 1.80	\$ 1.73	\$ 1.50	\$ 0.54
Change	(37%)	(30%)	(25%)	(52%)
At December 31, 2008	\$ 1.41	\$ 1.01	\$ 0.85	\$ 1.13
At December 31, 2009	\$ 2.12	\$ 2.05	\$ 1.71	\$ 1.07
Change	50%	103%	101%	(5%)

(1) Source: New York Mercantile Exchange (closing price)

(2) Source: Platts Oilgram Price Report (6-1% New York Harbor; average)

(3) Source: Platts Gas Daily Report (Tennessee zone delivered)

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Key Performance Indicators

The following table provides a summary of some of the key performance indicators that may be used to assess our results of operations. These comparisons are not necessarily indicative of future results (gallons and dollars in thousands, except per unit data):

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Net income	\$ 27,038	\$ 34,134	\$ 21,055
Net income per diluted limited partner unit(1)	\$ 1.59	\$ 2.51	\$ 1.57
EBITDA(2)	\$ 72,437	\$ 66,660	\$ 58,132
Distributable cash flow(3)	\$ 46,035	\$ 45,433	\$ 34,061
Wholesale Segment:			
Volume (gallons)	3,377,351	3,177,574	3,348,238
Sales			
Gasoline	\$ 4,554,046	\$ 2,954,461	\$ 4,469,783
Distillates	2,680,729	2,467,883	4,044,039
Residual oil	39,353	32,803	75,358
Total	\$ 7,274,128	\$ 5,455,147	\$ 8,589,180
Net product margin(4)			
Gasoline	\$ 64,677	\$ 40,706	\$ 36,451
Distillates	80,948	95,098	70,045
Residual oil	9,398	9,430	11,671
Total	\$ 155,023	\$ 145,234	\$ 118,167
Commercial Segment:			
Volume (gallons)	273,090	226,193	202,088
Sales	\$ 511,326	\$ 363,264	\$ 429,943
Net product margin(4)	\$ 18,438	\$ 15,410	\$ 11,835
All Other:			
Sales	\$ 16,105	\$	\$
Net product margin(4)	\$ 8,885	\$	\$
Combined sales and net product margin:			
Sales	\$ 7,801,559	\$ 5,818,411	\$ 9,019,123
Net product margin(4)	\$ 182,346	\$ 160,644	\$ 130,002
Depreciation allocated to cost of sales	15,628	10,816	10,211
Combined gross profit	\$ 166,718	\$ 149,828	\$ 119,791

Weather conditions:			
Normal heating degree days	5,630	5,630	5,630
Actual heating degree days	5,049	5,656	5,426
Variance from normal heating degree days	(10)%	1%	(4)%
Variance from prior period actual heating degree days	(11)%	4%	(4)%

(1) See Note 2 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for net income per diluted limited partner unit calculation.

Table of Contents

- (2) EBITDA is a non-GAAP financial measure which is discussed above under "Evaluating Our Results of Operations." The table below presents reconciliations of EBITDA to the most directly comparable GAAP financial measures.
- (3) Distributable cash flow is a non-GAAP financial measure which is discussed above under "Evaluating Our Results of Operations." The table below presents reconciliations of distributable cash flow to the most directly comparable GAAP financial measures.
- (4) Net product margin is a non-GAAP financial measure which is discussed above under "Evaluating Our Results of Operations." The table above reconciles net product margin on a combined basis to gross profit, a directly comparable GAAP financial measure.

The following table presents reconciliations of EBITDA to the most directly comparable GAAP financial measures on a historical basis (in thousands):

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Reconciliation of net income to EBITDA:			
Net income	\$ 27,038	\$ 34,134	\$ 21,055
Depreciation and amortization and amortization of deferred financing fees	23,089	15,909	15,126
Interest expense	22,310	15,188	20,799
Income tax expense		1,429	1,152
EBITDA	\$ 72,437	\$ 66,660	\$ 58,132
Reconciliation of net cash (used in) provided by operating activities to EBITDA:			
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	\$ (87,194)	\$ (61,129)	\$ 99,220
Net changes in operating assets and liabilities and certain non-cash items	137,321	111,172	(63,039)
Interest expense	22,310	15,188	20,799
Income tax expense		1,429	1,152
EBITDA	\$ 72,437	\$ 66,660	\$ 58,132

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The following table presents reconciliations of distributable cash flow to the most directly comparable GAAP financial measures on a historical basis (in thousands):

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Reconciliation of net income to distributable cash flow:			
Net income	\$ 27,038	\$ 34,134	\$ 21,055
Depreciation and amortization and amortization of deferred financing fees	23,089	15,909	15,126
Maintenance capital expenditures	(4,092)	(4,610)	(2,120)
Distributable cash flow	\$ 46,035	\$ 45,433	\$ 34,061
Reconciliation of net cash (used in) provided by operating activities to distributable cash flow:			
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	\$ (87,194)	\$ (61,129)	\$ 99,220
Net changes in operating assets and liabilities and certain non-cash items	137,321	111,172	(63,039)
Maintenance capital expenditures	(4,092)	(4,610)	(2,120)
Distributable cash flow	\$ 46,035	\$ 45,433	\$ 34,061

Consolidated Sales

Our total sales for 2010 increased by \$1,983.1 million, or 34%, to \$7,801.5 million compared to \$5,818.4 million for 2009. The increase was driven primarily by higher refined petroleum product and natural gas prices for 2010 compared to 2009. Our aggregate volume of product sold increased by approximately 246 million gallons, or 7%, to 3,650 million gallons. The increase in volume primarily includes an increase of approximately 424 million gallons in gasoline due, in part, to our acquisitions in June 2010 of the Warex Terminals and in September 2010 of retail gas stations and supply rights from ExxonMobil. The increase in volume sold was offset by a decrease of 195 million gallons in distillates attributable to warmer temperatures during 2010 compared to 2009, increased competition in the marketplace, continued conservation, economic conditions and fewer advantageous purchasing opportunities. The number of actual heating degree days decreased 11% to 5,049 for 2010 compared to 5,656 for 2009. Our gross profit for 2010 was \$166.7 million, an increase of \$16.9 million, or 11%, compared to \$149.8 million for 2009, due primarily to strong unit margins for gasoline offset by lower unit margins in distillate products.

Our total sales for 2009 decreased by \$3,200.7 million, or 35%, to \$5,818.4 million compared to \$9,019.1 million for 2008. The decrease was driven primarily by significantly lower refined petroleum product and natural gas prices for most of 2009 compared to 2008. Our aggregate volume of product sold decreased by approximately 146 million gallons, or 4%, to 3,404 million gallons. The decrease in volume primarily includes decreases of approximately 84 million gallons, 63 million gallons and 11 million gallons in gasoline, distillates and residual oil, respectively, mostly attributed to continued conservation, increased competition in the marketplace and economic conditions, despite colder temperatures. Our gross profit for 2009 was \$149.8 million, an increase of \$30.0 million, or 25%, compared to \$119.8 million for 2008. The increase was primarily due to higher net product margins in distillates and gasoline.

Wholesale Segment

Gasoline. Wholesale gasoline sales for 2010 were \$4,554.0 million compared to \$2,954.5 million for 2009. The increase of \$1,599.5 million, or 54%, was due primarily to our acquisitions in June 2010 of the Warex Terminals and in September 2010 of retail gas stations and supply rights from ExxonMobil,

Table of Contents

as well as to higher gasoline prices and an increase in gasoline volume sold compared to 2009. The increase in gasoline volume was primarily due to our 2010 acquisitions. Our net product margin from gasoline sales increased by \$24.0 million to \$64.7 million for 2010 compared to \$40.7 million for 2009. This increase was primarily attributable to improved unit margins and the increase in gasoline volume sold for 2010 compared to 2009.

Wholesale gasoline sales for 2009 were \$2,954.5 million compared to \$4,469.8 million for 2008. The decrease of \$1,515.3 million, or 34%, was due primarily to significantly lower gasoline prices for most of 2009 compared to 2008 and an 84 million gallon decrease in volume sold attributed to continued conservation, increased competition in the marketplace and economic conditions. Our net product margin from gasoline sales increased by \$4.2 million to \$40.7 million for 2009 compared to \$36.5 million for 2008, primarily attributable to advantageous purchasing opportunities and improved unit margins through diligent inventory and sales management.

Distillates. Wholesale distillate sales for 2010 were \$2,680.7 million compared to \$2,467.8 million for 2009. The increase of \$212.9 million, or 9%, was primarily due to increased refined petroleum product prices. We experienced a 14% decrease in volume sold for 2010 compared to 2009 due to warmer temperatures, increased competition in the marketplace, continued conservation and economic conditions. Primarily for the same reasons as well as to fewer advantageous purchasing opportunities, our net product margin from distillate sales decreased by 15% to \$80.9 million for 2010 compared to \$95.1 million for 2009.

Wholesale distillate sales for 2009 were \$2,467.8 million compared to \$4,044.0 million for 2008. The decrease of \$1,576.2 million, or 39%, was due to the significantly lower refined petroleum product prices for most of 2009. We experienced a 73 million gallon decrease in distillate volume sold for 2009 compared to 2008 which was attributed to continued conservation, increased competition in the marketplace and economic conditions. Our net product margin from distillate sales increased by \$25.1 million, or 36%, to \$95.1 million 2009 compared to \$70.0 million for 2008, primarily attributable to advantageous purchasing opportunities and improved unit margins through diligent inventory and sales management.

Residual Oil. Wholesale residual oil sales for 2010 were \$39.4 million compared to \$32.8 million for 2009. The increase of \$6.6 million, or 20%, was primarily due to the increase in refined petroleum product prices compared to 2009. We experienced a 15% decrease year over year in residual oil volume sold, primarily due to warmer temperatures, continued conservation, challenging economic conditions, system conversions and fuel switching due to the comparative price advantage of natural gas over residual oil. Our net product margin contributions from residual oil sales was flat at \$9.4 million for 2010 and 2009.

Wholesale residual oil sales for 2009 were \$32.8 million compared to \$75.4 million for 2008. The decrease of \$42.6 million, or 56%, was primarily due to significantly lower refined petroleum product prices for most of 2009 compared to 2008 and a decrease in volume sold. The decrease in volume sold was the result of continued conservation, economic conditions and conversion and fuel switching related to the decrease in natural gas prices compared to residual oil prices. Our net product margin contribution from residual oil sales decreased by \$2.2 million, or 19%, to \$9.4 million for 2009 compared to \$11.7 million for 2008 due to a decrease in volume sold and intensified competition in the marketplace.

Commercial Segment

In our Commercial segment, residual oil accounted for approximately 51%, 61% and 67% of total commercial volume sold in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Distillates, gasoline and natural gas accounted for the remainder of the total volume sold. Commercial residual oil sales increased by approximately 22% due to increased refined petroleum product prices for 2010 compared to 2009. We

Table of Contents

attribute the decrease in volume sold to the competitive pricing from natural gas and reductions in production by certain industry participants in our markets.

Commercial residual oil sales and volume sold for 2009 decreased by 21% and 2%, respectively, compared to 2008. We attribute the decreases in sales and volume sold to the competitive pricing from natural gas and reductions in production by certain industry participants in our markets.

All Other Segment

This segment consists primarily of convenience stores sales at our directly operated stores and gas station rental income which generated approximately \$16.1 million, or 0.21%, of our total sales for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

SG&A expenses increased by \$5.1 million, or 8%, to \$66.1 million for 2010 compared to \$61.0 million for 2009. The increase was primarily due to increases of \$5.0 million in overhead (including information technology, natural gas personnel and project management), \$3.0 million in bank fees and amortization of deferred financing fees largely related to the expansions of our bank facilities, \$0.8 million in management fees to Alliance, \$0.6 million in costs associated with the expansion of our natural gas operations and \$0.3 million in various other SG&A expenses. The increase in SG&A expenses also included one-time increases of \$1.4 million in legal, consulting and other expenses related to the FTC's regulatory review of our acquisition of the Warex Terminals and \$0.8 million of one-time acquisition costs associated with the September 2010 acquisition of retail gas stations and supply rights from ExxonMobil. The increase in SG&A expenses was offset by decreases of \$5.8 million in incentive compensation and \$1.1 million in bad debt accruals.

SG&A expenses for 2009 increased by approximately \$18.9 million to \$61.0 million compared to \$42.1 million for 2008. We had increases in variable SG&A expenses of approximately \$7.7 million in bonuses and \$1.9 million in bad debt accruals and credit collections. Increases in other variable SG&A expense for 2009 included \$3.6 million in project development and due diligence costs which are generally one-time in nature. Included in the \$3.6 million are some enhancements we made in our information technology infrastructure, including implementing an enterprise-wide system that provides improved access to information about hedging activities, inventory, scheduling, pricing and sales activities.

The increase in SG&A expenses in 2009 was also due to increases of approximately \$1.2 million in compensation costs on our long-term incentive plan, \$0.9 million in salaries, \$0.9 million in costs associated with the expansion of our natural gas operations, \$0.6 million in professional and consulting fees, \$0.5 million in bank fees, \$0.4 million in building and computer rent expenses, \$0.4 million in franchise taxes and \$2.4 million in various other SG&A expenses. The increase in SG&A expenses was offset by a \$1.5 million curtailment gain associated with the pension plan freeze.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses increased by \$12.8 million, or 36%, to \$47.8 million for 2010 compared to \$35.0 million for 2009. The increase was primarily due to \$8.3 million in expenses related to the retail gas stations acquired from ExxonMobil, \$2.4 million in expenses related to the Warex Terminals and \$2.0 million in various other operating expenses.

Operating expenses were \$35.0 million for 2009 compared to \$31.8 million for 2008. Operating expenses for 2008, however, included an offset of \$2.8 million due to a change in estimate of our remediation obligations under a proposed remedial action work plan submitted by us to NYDEC related to our Albany, New York terminal. If not for the \$2.8 million offset, operating expenses for

Table of Contents

2008 would have been approximately \$34.6 million. The increase in 2009 operating expenses was primarily due to increased costs of approximately \$1.7 million related to our leased storage facility in Oyster Bay (Commander) New York, offset by decreases of \$1.0 million in cost savings related to the non-renewal of the terminal lease in New Haven, Connecticut and \$0.3 million in other operating expenses.

Amortization Expense

Amortization expense related to our intangible assets was \$3.5 million, \$3.0 million and \$2.9 million for 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The increase of \$0.5 million in 2010 compared to 2009 was primarily the result of amortization related to intangible assets recognized as part of the 2010 acquisitions.

Interest Expense

Interest expense for 2010 increased by \$7.1 million, or 47%, to \$22.3 million compared to \$15.2 million for 2009. The increase was primarily attributable to higher average balances on our working capital revolving credit facility from carrying higher average balances of inventories and accounts receivable reflecting increased refined petroleum product prices. Also, we had additional borrowing costs as a result of the acquisitions of the Warex Terminals and the retail gas stations and supply rights from ExxonMobil. In addition, the costs of borrowings under our credit agreement were increased in connection with the May 14, 2010 and August 18, 2010 amendments to the credit agreement.

Interest expense for 2009 decreased by \$5.6 million, or 27%, to \$15.2 million compared to \$20.8 million for 2008. We attribute the decrease primarily to lower average costs on our working capital revolving credit facility from carrying lower average balances on inventories and accounts receivable due to lower refined petroleum product prices for most of 2009. In addition, interest rates were lower during the 2009 compared to 2008.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity

Our primary liquidity needs are to fund our working capital requirements, capital expenditures and distributions. Cash generated from operations and our working capital revolving credit facility provide our primary sources of liquidity. Working capital increased by \$150.7 million to \$445.9 million at December 31, 2010 compared to \$295.2 million at December 31, 2009 primarily as a result of the public offerings discussed below.

On February 12, 2010, we paid a cash distribution to our common and subordinated unitholders and our general partner of approximately \$6.5 million for the fourth quarter of 2009. On May 14, 2010, we paid a cash distribution to our common and subordinated unitholders and our general partner of approximately \$8.5 million for the first quarter of 2010. On August 13, 2010, we paid a cash distribution to our common and subordinated unitholders and our general partner of approximately \$8.5 million for the second quarter of 2010. On November 12, 2010, we paid a cash distribution to our common and subordinated unitholders and our general partner of approximately \$8.6 million for the third quarter of 2010.

On March 19, 2010, we completed a public offering of 3,910,000 common units at a price of \$22.75 per common unit. Net proceeds were approximately \$84.6 million, after deducting approximately \$4.4 million in underwriting fees and offering expenses. We used the net proceeds to reduce indebtedness outstanding under our credit agreement.

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

On June 30, 2010, we completed our acquisition of the Warex Terminals for cash consideration of approximately \$46.0 million plus the assumption of certain environmental liabilities.

On September 30, 2010, we completed our acquisition of retail gas stations and supply rights from ExxonMobil for cash consideration of approximately \$202.3 million plus the assumption of certain environmental liabilities.

On November 16, 2010, we completed a public offering of 1,955,000 common units at a price of \$25.57 per common unit. Net proceeds were approximately \$47.7 million, after deducting approximately \$2.3 million in underwriting fees and offering expenses.

Contractual Obligations

We have contractual obligations that are required to be settled in cash. The amounts of our contractual obligations at December 31, 2010 are as follows (in thousands):

	Total	Payments due by period			
		Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4-5 years	More than 5 years
Revolver loan obligations(1)	\$ 832,600	\$ 200,420	\$ 537,156	\$ 95,024	\$
Operating lease obligations(2)	70,970	17,285	28,742	8,089	16,854
Capital lease obligations	884	280	230	132	242
Other long-term liabilities(3)	300,505	32,847	66,112	66,344	135,202
Total	\$ 1,204,959	\$ 250,832	\$ 632,240	\$ 169,589	\$ 152,298

-
- (1) Includes principal and interest on our working capital revolving credit facility at December 31, 2010 and assumes a ratable payment through the expiration date. The credit agreement has a contractual maturity of May 14, 2014 and no principal payments are required prior to that date. However, we repay amounts outstanding and reborrow funds based on our working capital requirements. Therefore, the current portion of the working capital revolving credit facility included in the accompanying balance sheets is the amount we expect to pay down during the course of the year, and the long-term portion of the working capital revolving credit facility is the amount we expect to be outstanding during the entire year.
- (2) Includes operating lease obligations with related parties and gas station leases.
- (3) Includes amounts related to the 15-year BFA. Please read "ExxonMobil Acquisition Brand Fee Agreement" and minimum freight requirements on the transportation of ethanol to our Albany, New York terminal.

In addition to the obligations described in the above table, we have minimum volume purchase requirements at December 31, 2010. Pricing is based on spot prices at the time of purchase. Please read Note 13, Commitments and Contingencies, of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements with respect to purchase commitments and sublease information related to certain lease agreements.

Capital Expenditures

Our operations require investments to expand, upgrade and enhance existing operations, and to meet environmental and operations regulations. We categorize our capital requirements as either maintenance capital expenditures or expansion capital expenditures. Maintenance capital expenditures represent capital expenditures to repair or replace partially or fully depreciated assets to maintain the operating capacity of, or revenues generated by, existing assets and extend their useful lives. Maintenance capital expenditures include expenditures required to maintain equipment reliability, tankage and pipeline integrity and safety and to address certain environmental regulations. We

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

anticipate that maintenance capital expenditures will be funded with cash generated by operations. We had approximately \$4.1 million, \$4.6 million and \$2.1 million in maintenance capital expenditures for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, which are included in capital expenditures in the accompanying consolidated statements of cash flows. Repair and maintenance expenses associated with existing assets that are minor in nature and do not extend the useful life of existing assets are charged to operating expenses as incurred.

Expansion capital expenditures include expenditures to acquire assets to grow our business or expand our existing facilities, such as projects that increase our operating capacity or revenues by increasing tankage, diversifying product availability at various terminals and adding terminals. We have the ability to fund our expansion capital expenditures through cash from operations or our credit agreement or by issuing additional equity. We had approximately \$258.9 million, \$4.5 million and \$9.4 million in expansion capital expenditures for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Specifically, for 2010, expansion capital expenditures included approximately \$248.3 million in acquisitions including \$202.3 million for the purchase of retail gas stations and supply rights from ExxonMobil and \$46.0 million in terminal acquisition costs related to the acquisition of the Warex Terminals. In addition, we had \$10.6 million in expansion capital expenditures which consisted of \$7.7 million in expenditures related to our Albany, New York terminal, \$1.8 million in terminal and computer equipment at the Warex Terminals, \$0.5 million in bio-fuel conversion costs at our Chelsea, Massachusetts terminal and \$0.6 million in other expansion capital expenditures, which are included in capital expenditures in the accompanying consolidated statements of cash flows. The \$7.7 million in expenditures related to our Albany terminal include costs related to our terminal and rail ethanol expansion project, a continuing program to bring previously out-of-permit tanks back online, the installation of a marine vapor recovery system to allow for barge/vessel loading of gasoline and ethanol and the continuing effort to convert two distillate storage tanks to gasoline.

In 2009, expansion capital expenditures included approximately \$3.2 million at the Albany, New York terminal in costs related to bringing formerly out-of-permit tanks back online and to dock expansion, \$0.9 million in additional terminal equipment at the Providence, Rhode Island terminal, \$0.2 million in automation costs at our leased storage facility in Long Island, New York and \$0.2 million in other expansion capital expenditures.

In 2008, expansion capital expenditures included approximately \$6.4 million in costs primarily related to the second phase of construction of our terminal in Providence, Rhode Island, \$1.2 million related to conversion expenditures to handle ethanol-based gasoline and \$1.8 million in other expansion capital expenditures primarily related to additional terminal equipment at the Albany and Newburgh, New York and Burlington, Vermont terminals.

We believe that we will have sufficient cash flow from operations, borrowing capacity under our credit agreement and the ability to issue additional common units and/or debt securities to meet our financial commitments, debt service obligations, contingencies and anticipated capital expenditures. However, we are subject to business and operational risks that could adversely affect our cash flow. A material decrease in our cash flows would likely produce an adverse effect on our borrowing capacity as well as our ability to issue additional common units and/or debt securities.

Cash Flow (in thousands)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	\$ (87,194)	\$ (61,129)	\$ 99,220
Net cash used in investing activities	\$ (262,997)	\$ (9,062)	\$ (11,510)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	\$ 351,890	\$ 69,908	\$ (88,875)

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Cash flow from operating activities generally reflects our net income, depreciation and amortization levels, as well as balance sheet changes arising from inventory purchasing patterns, the timing of collections on our accounts receivable, the seasonality of our business, fluctuations in refined petroleum product prices, our working capital requirements and general market conditions.

Net cash used in operating activities was \$87.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to \$61.2 million for year ended December 31, 2009, for a year-over-year increase in cash used in operating activities of \$26.1 million.

During the year ended December 31, 2010, we experienced increases in refined petroleum products prices, and we funded additional working capital requirements due to our acquisitions of the Warex Terminals and retail gas stations and supply rights from ExxonMobil. As a result, for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to the year ended December 31, 2009, we had increases of \$217.1 million in accounts receivable, \$120.9 million in inventories and \$200.0 million in accounts payable. Net cash used in operating activities was offset by \$27.0 million in net income.

In addition, through the use of regulated exchanges or derivatives, we maintain a position that is substantially hedged with respect to our inventories. Specifically, in 2010, the contracts supporting our forward fixed price hedge program required margin payments of \$10.8 million to the NYMEX due to market direction, while similar hedging activity in 2009 provided funds from the NYMEX of \$171.9 million.

Net cash used in operating activities was \$61.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to net cash provided by operating activities of \$99.2 million for year ended December 31, 2008, for a year-over-year decrease in cash from operating activities of \$160.3 million.

During the first three quarters of 2009, refined petroleum product and natural gas prices declined significantly compared to same periods in 2008, while refined petroleum product prices rose significantly during the fourth quarter of 2009 compared to the fourth quarter of 2008. Due to favorable market conditions, we elected to use our storage capacity to carry increased inventories. As a result of these factors, for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to the year ended December 31, 2008, we had increases of approximately \$225.6 million in inventories, \$89.3 million in accounts receivable and \$47.2 million in accounts payable and accrued expenses and other current liabilities. Through the use of regulated exchanges or derivatives, we maintain a position that is substantially hedged with respect to such inventories. The cash used in operating activities was offset by \$34.1 million in net income and a \$171.9 million change in the fair value of our forward fixed price contracts. For the year ended December 31, 2009, contracts supporting our forward fixed price hedge program provided these funds from the NYMEX due to market direction.

During 2008, refined petroleum product prices were higher for most of the year and declined significantly during the fourth quarter, thereby causing the carrying values of our accounts receivable, inventories and accounts payable at December 31, 2008 to be less than the carrying values we experienced at the beginning of the year.

The increase in cash provided by operating activities for 2008 also included net income of \$21.1 million and a \$195.0 million change in the fair value of our forward fixed price contracts and other derivatives. For 2008, contracts supporting our forward fixed price hedge program required these margin payments to the NYMEX.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$263.0 million for 2010 compared to \$9.1 million for 2009, and included \$4.1 million in maintenance capital expenditures and \$258.9 million in expansion capital expenditures. The \$258.9 million included approximately \$248.3 million in acquisitions including \$202.3 million for the purchase of retail gas stations and supply rights from ExxonMobil and \$46.0 million in terminal acquisition costs related to the acquisition of the Warex Terminals. In addition, we had \$10.6 million in expansion capital expenditures which consisted of \$7.7 million in

Table of Contents

expenditures related to our Albany, New York terminal, \$1.8 million in terminal and computer equipment at the Warex Terminals, \$0.5 million in bio-fuel conversion costs at our Chelsea, Massachusetts terminal and \$0.6 million in other expansion capital expenditures, which are included in capital expenditures in the accompanying consolidated statements of cash flows. The \$7.7 million in expenditures related to our Albany terminal include costs related to our terminal and rail ethanol expansion project, a continuing program to bring previously out-of-permit tanks back online, the installation of a marine vapor recovery system to allow for barge/vessel loading of gasoline and ethanol and the continuing effort to convert two distillate storage tanks to gasoline.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$9.1 million for 2009 and included \$4.6 million in maintenance capital expenditures and \$4.5 million in expansion capital expenditures (\$3.2 million at the Albany, New York terminal in costs related to bringing formerly out-of-permit tanks back online and to dock expansion, \$0.9 million in additional terminal equipment at the Providence, Rhode Island terminal, \$0.2 million in automation costs at our recently leased storage facility in Long Island, New York and \$0.2 million in other expansion capital expenditures).

Net cash used in investing activities for 2008 included \$11.5 million in total capital expenditures comprised of \$2.1 million in maintenance capital expenditures and \$9.4 million in expansion capital expenditures (\$6.4 million related to construction in process on our leased terminal in Providence, Rhode Island, \$1.2 million related to conversion expenditures to handle ethanol-based gasoline and \$1.8 million in other expansion capital expenditures).

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$351.9 million for 2010 and included net borrowings on our credit facilities of \$252.9 million and \$132.2 million in net proceeds from our public offerings of common units, offset by \$31.9 million in cash distributions to our common and subordinated unitholders and our general partner, \$0.9 million in the repurchases of common units pursuant to our repurchase program for future satisfaction of our general partner's obligations and \$0.4 million in repurchased units held for tax obligations related to units distributed under the LTIP. The general partner's obligations include anticipated obligations to deliver common units under the LTIP and meeting the general partner's obligations under existing employment agreements and other employment related obligations of the general partner.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$69.9 million for 2009 and primarily included \$100.3 million in net proceeds from our credit facilities, offset by \$26.1 million in cash distributions to our common and subordinated unitholders and our general partner, \$4.0 million in the repurchases of common units pursuant to our repurchase program for future satisfaction of our general partner's obligations and \$0.3 million in repurchased units held for tax obligations related to units distributed under the LTIP.

Net cash used in financing activities was \$88.9 million for 2008 and included \$61.5 million in net payments on our credit facilities, \$26.1 million in cash distributions to our common and subordinated unitholders and our general partner, and \$1.2 million in payments on our note payable.

Credit Agreement

On August 18, 2010, we, our general partner, our operating company and our operating subsidiaries amended our credit agreement. In accordance with the credit agreement and in connection with the acquisition of retail gas stations and supply rights from ExxonMobil, we requested, and certain lenders under the credit agreement agreed to, an increase in the revolving credit facility in an amount equal to \$200.0 million for a total credit facility of up to \$1.15 billion. We repay amounts outstanding and reborrow funds based on our working capital requirements and, therefore, classify as a current liability the portion of the working capital revolving credit facility we expect to pay down during the course of the year. The long-term portion of the working capital revolving credit facility is the amount we expect to be outstanding during the entire year. The credit agreement will mature on May 14, 2014.

Table of Contents

There are two facilities under our credit agreement:

a working capital revolving credit facility to be used for working capital purposes and letters of credit in the principal amount equal to the lesser of our borrowing base and \$800.0 million; and

a \$350.0 million revolving credit facility to be used for acquisitions and general corporate purposes.

In addition, the credit agreement has an accordion feature whereby we may request on the same terms and conditions of our then existing credit agreement, provided no Event of Default (as defined in the credit agreement) then exists, an increase to the revolving credit facility, the working capital revolving credit facility, or both, by up to another \$200.0 million, for a total credit facility of up to \$1.35 billion. Any such request for an increase by us must be in a minimum amount of \$5.0 million, and the revolving credit facility may not be increased by more than \$50.0 million. We cannot provide assurance, however, that our lending group will agree to fund any request by us for additional amounts in excess of the total available commitments of \$1.15 billion.

Availability under our working capital revolving credit facility is subject to a borrowing base which is redetermined from time to time and based on specific advance rates on eligible current assets. Under the credit agreement, our borrowings under the working capital revolving credit facility cannot exceed the then current borrowing base. Availability under our borrowing base may be affected by events beyond our control, such as changes in refined petroleum product prices, collection cycles, counterparty performance, advance rates and limits and general economic conditions. These and other events could require us to seek waivers or amendments of covenants or alternative sources of financing or to reduce expenditures. We can provide no assurance that such waivers, amendments or alternative financing could be obtained, or, if obtained, would be on terms acceptable to us.

During the period from January 1, 2009 through May 13, 2010, borrowings under the working capital revolving credit facility bore interest at (1) the Eurodollar rate plus 1.75% to 2.25%, (2) the cost of funds rate plus 1.75% to 2.25%, or (3) the base rate plus 0.75% to 1.25%, each depending on the pricing level provided in the previous credit agreement, which in turn depended upon the Combined Interest Coverage Ratio (as defined in the previous credit agreement). Borrowings under the revolving credit facility bore interest at (1) the Eurodollar rate plus 2.25% to 2.75%, (2) the cost of funds rate plus 1.75% to 2.25%, or (3) the base rate plus 0.75% to 1.25%, each depending on the pricing level provided in the previous credit agreement, which in turn depended upon the Combined Interest Coverage Ratio under the previous credit agreement.

Commencing May 14, 2010, borrowings under the working capital revolving credit facility bear interest at (1) the Eurodollar rate plus 2.50% to 3.00%, (2) the cost of funds rate plus 2.50% to 3.00%, or (3) the base rate plus 1.50% to 2.00%, each depending on the pricing level provided in the credit agreement, which in turn depends upon the Utilization Amount (as defined in the credit agreement).

During the period from May 14, 2010 through September 7, 2010, borrowings under the revolving credit facility bore interest at (1) the Eurodollar rate plus 3.00% to 3.25%, (2) the cost of funds rate plus 3.00% to 3.25%, or (3) the base rate plus 2.00% to 2.25%, each depending on the pricing level provided in the credit agreement, which in turn depended upon the Combined Senior Secured Leverage Ratio (as defined in the credit agreement).

Commencing September 8, 2010, borrowings under the revolving credit facility bear interest at (1) the Eurodollar rate plus 3.00% to 3.875%, (2) the cost of funds rate plus 3.00% to 3.875%, or (3) the base rate plus 2.00% to 2.875%, each depending on the pricing level provided in the credit agreement, which in turn depends upon the Combined Total Leverage Ratio (as defined in the credit agreement). The average interest rates for the credit agreement were 3.7%, 3.6% and 4.6% for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

We incur a letter of credit fee of 2.50% 3.00% per annum for each letter of credit issued. In addition, we incur a commitment fee on the unused portion of each facility under the credit agreement equal to 0.50% per annum.

As of December 31, 2010, we had total borrowings outstanding under the credit agreement of \$786.7 million, including \$300.0 million outstanding on our revolving credit facility. In addition, we had outstanding letters of credit of \$110.7 million. Subject to borrowing base limitations, the total remaining availability for borrowings and letters of credit was \$252.6 million and \$211.2 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The credit agreement imposes financial covenants that require us to maintain certain minimum working capital amounts, capital expenditure limits, a minimum EBITDA, a minimum combined interest coverage ratio, a maximum senior secured leverage ratio and a maximum total leverage ratio. We were in compliance with the foregoing covenants at December 31, 2010. The credit agreement also contains a representation whereby there can be no event or circumstance, either individually or in the aggregate, that has had or could reasonably be expected to have a Material Adverse Effect (as defined in the credit agreement). Under the credit agreement, the clean down requirement of the previous credit agreement was eliminated.

The credit agreement limits distributions to our unitholders to available cash.

Our obligations under the credit agreement are secured by substantially all of our assets and the assets of our operating company and operating subsidiaries.

The lending group under the credit agreement is comprised of the following institutions: Bank of America, N.A.; JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.; Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.; Societe Generale; Standard Chartered Bank; RBS Citizens, National Association; BNP Paribas; Cooperative Centrale Raiffeisen-Boerenleenbank B.A., "Rabobank Nederland" New York Branch; Sovereign Bank; Credit Agricole Corporate and Investment Bank; Keybank National Association; Toronto Dominion (New York); RB International Finance (USA) LLC (formerly known as RZB Finance LLC); Royal Bank of Canada; Raymond James Bank, FSB; Barclays Bank plc; Webster Bank, National Association; Natixis, New York Branch; DZ Bank AG Deutsche Zentral-Genossenschaftsbank Frankfurt Am Main; Branch Banking & Trust Company; and Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have no off-balance sheet arrangements.

Impact of Inflation

Inflation in the United States has been relatively low in recent years and did not have a material impact on our results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008.

Environmental Matters

Our business of supplying refined petroleum products involves a number of activities that are subject to extensive and stringent environmental laws. For a complete discussion of the environmental laws and regulations affecting our business, please read Items 1 and 2, "Business and Properties Environmental."

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

A summary of the significant accounting policies that we have adopted and followed in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements is detailed in Note 2 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. Certain of these accounting policies require the use of estimates. These estimates

Table of Contents

are based on our knowledge and understanding of current conditions and actions that we may take in the future. Changes in these estimates will occur as a result of the passage of time and the occurrence of future events. Subsequent changes in these estimates may have a significant impact on our financial condition and results of operations and are recorded in the period in which they become known. We have identified the following estimates that, in our opinion, are subjective in nature, require the exercise of judgment and involve complex analysis:

Inventory

Except for our convenience store inventory, we hedge substantially all of our inventory purchases through futures contracts and swap agreements. Hedges are executed when inventory is purchased and are identified with that specific inventory. Changes in the fair value of these contracts, as well as the offsetting gain or loss on the hedged inventory item, are recognized in earnings as an increase or decrease in cost of sales. All hedged inventory is valued using the lower of cost, as determined by specific identification, or market. Prior to sale, hedges are removed from specific barrels of inventory, and the then unhedged inventory is sold and accounted for on a first-in, first-out basis. In addition to our own inventory, we have exchange agreements with unrelated third party suppliers, whereby we may draw inventory from these other suppliers and replace it at a later date. Similarly, these suppliers may draw inventory from us and replace it at a later date. Positive exchange balances are accounted for as accounts receivable. Negative exchange balances are accounted for as accounts payable. Exchange transactions are valued using current quoted market prices. In addition, we have convenience store inventory which is carried at the lower of historical cost or market.

Leases

We have a throughput agreement with Global Petroleum Corp., one of our affiliates, with respect to its terminal in Revere, Massachusetts. This agreement is accounted for as an operating lease. Please read Item 13, "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence Throughput Agreement with Global Petroleum Corp." We also have entered into terminal and throughput lease arrangements with various unrelated oil terminals, certain of which arrangements have minimum usage requirements. Please read Items 1 and 2, "Business and Properties Storage." In addition, we lease certain gas stations from third parties under long-term arrangements with various expiration dates.

We have future commitments, principally for office space and computer equipment, under the terms of operating lease arrangements. We have rental income from gas stations leased to independents dealers and lease income from office space leased to an unrelated third party at one of our terminals. Additionally, we have capital leases for other computer equipment and leasehold improvements. Accounting and reporting guidance for leases requires that leases be evaluated and classified as operating or capital leases for financial reporting purposes. The lease term used for lease evaluation includes option periods only in instances in which the exercise of the option period can be reasonably assured and failure to exercise such options would result in an economic penalty.

Revenue Recognition

Sales relate primarily to the sale of refined petroleum products and natural gas and are recognized along with the related receivable upon delivery, net of applicable provisions for discounts and allowances. Allowances for cash discounts are recorded as a reduction of sales at the time of sale based on the estimated future outcome. We also provide for shipping costs at the time of sale, which are included in cost of sales. The amounts recorded for bad debts are generally based upon historically derived percentages while also factoring in any new business conditions that might impact the historical analysis, such as market conditions and bankruptcies of particular customers. Bad debt provisions are included in selling, general and administrative expenses. Convenience store products are recognized net

Table of Contents

of applicable provisions for discounts and allowances upon delivery, generally, at the point of sale. Rental income is recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease.

Revenue is not recognized on exchange agreements, which are entered into primarily to acquire various refined petroleum products of a desired quality or to reduce transportation costs by taking delivery of products closer to our end markets. Any net differential for exchange agreements is recorded as a nonmonetary adjustment of inventory costs in the purchases component of cost of sales in the statement of income.

Derivative Financial Instruments

Accounting and reporting guidance for derivative instruments and hedging activities requires that an entity recognize derivatives as either assets or liabilities on the balance sheet and measure the instruments at fair value. Changes in the fair value of the derivative are to be recognized currently in earnings, unless specific hedge accounting criteria are met.

Fair Value Hedges The fair value of our derivatives is determined through the use of independent markets and is based upon the prevailing market prices of such instruments at the date of valuation. We enter into futures contracts for the receipt or delivery of refined petroleum products in future periods. The contracts are entered into in the normal course of business to reduce risk of loss of inventory on hand, which could result through fluctuations in market prices. Changes in the fair value of these contracts, as well as the offsetting gain or loss on the hedged inventory item, are recognized in earnings as an increase or decrease in cost of sales.

We also use futures contracts and swap agreements to hedge exposure under forward purchase and sale commitments. These agreements are intended to hedge the cost component of virtually all of our forward purchase and sale commitments. Changes in the fair value of these contracts, as well as offsetting gains or losses on the forward fixed price purchase and sale commitments, are recognized in earnings as an increase or decrease in cost of sales. Gains and losses on net product margin from forward fixed price purchase and sale contracts are reflected in earnings as an increase or decrease in cost of sales as these contracts mature.

We also market and sell natural gas. We generally conduct business by entering into forward purchase commitments for natural gas only when we simultaneously enter into arrangements for the sale of product for physical delivery to third-party users. We generally take delivery under our purchase commitments at the same location as we deliver to third-party users. Through these transactions, which establish an immediate margin, we seek to maintain a position that is substantially balanced between firm forward purchase and sales commitments. Natural gas is generally purchased and sold at fixed prices and quantities. Current price quotes from actively traded markets are used in all cases to determine the contracts' fair value. Changes in the fair value of these contracts are recognized in earnings as an increase or decrease in cost of sales.

Interest Rate Hedges We link all hedges that are designated as cash flow hedges to forecasted transactions. To the extent such hedges are effective, the changes in the fair value of the derivative instrument are reported as a component of other comprehensive income and reclassified into interest expense in the same period during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. We executed two zero premium interest rate collars with major financial institutions. Each collar is designated as a cash flow hedge. The first collar, which expires on May 14, 2011, is used to hedge the variability in interest payments due to changes in the three-month LIBOR rate with respect to \$100.0 million of three-month LIBOR-based borrowings. Under the first collar, we capped our exposure at a maximum three-month LIBOR rate of 5.75% and established a minimum floor rate of 3.75%. The changes in the fair value of the first collar are expected to be highly effective in offsetting the changes in interest rate payments attributable to fluctuations in the three-month LIBOR rate above and below the first collar's strike rates. The second collar, which expires on October 2, 2013, is used to hedge the variability in cash

Table of Contents

flows in monthly interest payments made on our \$100.0 million one-month LIBOR-based borrowings (and subsequent refinancings thereof) due to changes in the one-month LIBOR rate. Under the second collar, we capped our exposure at a maximum one-month LIBOR rate of 5.50% and established a minimum floor rate of 2.70%. The changes in the fair value of the second collar are expected to be highly effective in offsetting the changes in interest rate payments attributable to fluctuations in the one-month LIBOR rate above and below the second collar's strike rates. Changes in the fair values of the collars are recorded as either an asset or a liability with a corresponding amount recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet.

Forward Starting Swap In October 2009, we executed a forward starting swap with a major financial institution. The swap, which will become effective on May 16, 2011 and expire on May 16, 2016, will be used to hedge the variability in interest payments due to changes in the one-month LIBOR swap curve with respect to \$100.0 million of one-month LIBOR-based borrowings at a fixed rate of 3.93%. Hedge effectiveness was assessed at inception and will be assessed quarterly, prospectively and retrospectively, using regression analysis. The changes in the fair value of the swap are expected to be highly effective in offsetting the changes in interest rate payments attributable to fluctuations in the one-month LIBOR swap curve.

Valuation of Intangibles and Other Long-Lived Assets

We assess the carrying value of our long-lived assets, including intangible assets, whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. Factors we consider important include, but are not limited to, significant underperformance relative to historical or projected future results, significant negative industry factors and significant changes in strategy or operations that negatively affect the utilization of our long-lived assets. If an impairment review is triggered, we evaluate the carrying value of intangible assets based on the projected cash flows of the particular asset. The amount of impairment, if any, is measured based on fair value, which is determined using projected discounted future operating cash flows. The cash flows that are used contain our best estimates, using appropriate and customary assumptions and projections at the time. If the cash flow estimates or the significant operating assumptions upon which they are based change in the future, we may be required to record additional impairment charges.

Environmental and Other Liabilities

We record accrued liabilities for all direct costs associated with the estimated resolution of contingencies at the earliest date at which it is deemed probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of such liability can be reasonably estimated. Costs accrued are estimated based upon an analysis of potential results, assuming a combination of litigation and settlement strategies and outcomes.

Estimated losses from environmental remediation obligations generally are recognized no later than completion of the remedial feasibility study. Loss accruals are adjusted as further information becomes available or circumstances change. Costs of future expenditures for environmental remediation obligations are not discounted to their present value. Recoveries of environmental remediation costs from other parties are recognized as assets when their receipt is deemed probable.

We are subject to other contingencies, including legal proceedings and claims arising out of our businesses that cover a wide range of matters, including, among others, environmental matters, contract and employment claims. Environmental and other legal proceedings may also include matters with respect to businesses we previously owned. Further, due to the lack of adequate information and the potential impact of present regulations and any future regulations, there are certain circumstances in which no range of potential exposure may be reasonably estimated. Please read Item 3, "Legal Proceedings."

Table of Contents

Related Party Transactions

A discussion of related party transactions is included in Note 16 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this report.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

A description and related impact expected from the adoption of certain new accounting pronouncements is provided in Note 2 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this report.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.

Market risk is the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in market rates and prices. The principal market risks to which we are exposed are interest rate risk and commodity risk. We utilize two interest rate collars and a forward starting swap to manage exposure to interest rate risk and various derivative instruments to manage exposure to commodity risk.

Interest Rate Risk

We utilize variable rate debt and are exposed to market risk due to the floating interest rates on our credit agreement. Therefore, from time to time, we utilize interest rate collars and swaps to hedge interest obligations on specific and anticipated debt issuances.

On August 18, 2010, we amended our credit agreement. Please read Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis Liquidity and Capital Resources Credit Agreement" for information on interest rates related to our borrowings.

As of December 31, 2010, we had total borrowings outstanding under the credit agreement of \$786.7 million. The impact of a 1% increase in the interest rate on this amount of debt would have resulted in an increase in interest expense, and a corresponding decrease in our results of operations, of approximately \$7.9 million annually, assuming, however, that our indebtedness remained constant throughout the year.

We executed two zero premium interest rate collars with major financial institutions. Each collar is designated and accounted for as a cash flow hedge. The first collar, which became effective on May 14, 2007 and expires on May 14, 2011, is used to hedge the variability in interest payments due to changes in the three-month LIBOR rate with respect to \$100.0 million of three-month LIBOR-based borrowings. Under the first collar, we capped our exposure at a maximum three-month LIBOR rate of 5.75% and established a minimum floor rate of 3.75%. Whenever the three-month LIBOR rate is greater than the cap, we receive from the respective financial institution the difference between the cap and the current three-month LIBOR rate on the \$100.0 million of three-month LIBOR-based borrowings. Conversely, whenever the three-month LIBOR rate is lower than the floor, we remit to the respective financial institution the difference between the floor and the current three-month LIBOR rate on the \$100.0 million of three-month LIBOR-based borrowings. As of December 31, 2010, the three-month LIBOR rate of 0.29% was lower than the floor rate. As a result, in January 2011, we remitted to the respective financial institution the difference between the floor rate and the current rate which amounted to approximately \$452,300.

On September 29, 2008, we executed our second zero premium interest rate collar. The second collar, which became effective on October 2, 2008 and expires on October 2, 2013, is used to hedge the variability in cash flows in monthly interest payments made on our \$100.0 million one-month LIBOR-based borrowings (and subsequent refinancings thereof) due to changes in the one-month LIBOR rate. Under the second collar, we capped our exposure at a maximum one-month LIBOR rate of 5.50% and established a minimum floor rate of 2.70%. Whenever the one-month LIBOR rate is greater than the

Table of Contents

cap, we receive from the respective financial institution the difference between the cap and the current one-month LIBOR rate on the \$100.0 million of one-month LIBOR-based borrowings. Conversely, whenever the one-month LIBOR rate is lower than the floor, we remit to the respective financial institution the difference between the floor and the current one-month LIBOR rate on the \$100.0 million of one-month LIBOR-based borrowings. As of December 31, 2010, the one-month LIBOR rate of 0.26% was lower than the floor rate. As a result, in January 2011, we remitted to the respective financial institution the difference between the floor rate and the current rate which amounted to approximately \$203,300.

In addition, in October 2009, we executed a forward starting swap with a major financial institution. The swap, which will become effective on May 16, 2011 and expire on May 16, 2016, will be used to hedge the variability in interest payments due to changes in the one-month LIBOR swap curve with respect to \$100.0 million of one-month LIBOR-based borrowings at a fixed rate of 3.93%.

Commodity Risk

We hedge our exposure to price fluctuations with respect to refined petroleum products and blendstocks in storage and expected purchases and sales of these commodities. The derivative instruments utilized consist primarily of futures contracts traded on the NYMEX and the Chicago Mercantile Exchange and over-the-counter transactions, including swap agreements entered into with established financial institutions and other credit-approved energy companies. Our policy is generally to purchase only products for which we have a market and to structure our sales contracts so that price fluctuations do not materially affect our profit. While our policies are designed to minimize market risk, some degree of exposure to unforeseen fluctuations in market conditions remains. Except for the controlled trading program discussed below, we do not acquire and hold futures contracts or other derivative products for the purpose of speculating on price changes that might expose us to indeterminable losses.

While we seek to maintain a position that is substantially balanced within our product purchase activities, we may experience net unbalanced positions for short periods of time as a result of variances in daily sales and transportation and delivery schedules as well as logistical issues associated with inclement weather conditions. In connection with managing these positions and maintaining a constant presence in the marketplace, both necessary for our business, we engage in a controlled trading program for up to an aggregate of 250,000 barrels of refined petroleum products and blendstocks at any one point in time.

We enter into futures contracts to minimize or hedge the impact of market fluctuations on our purchases and forward fixed price sales of refined petroleum products. Any hedge ineffectiveness is reflected in our results of operations. We utilize regulated exchanges, including the NYMEX and the Chicago Mercantile Exchange, which are regulated exchanges for energy products that it trades, thereby reducing potential delivery and supply risks. Generally, our practice is to close all exchange positions rather than to make or receive physical deliveries. With respect to other energy products, which may not have a correlated exchange contract, we enter into derivative agreements with counterparties that we believe have a strong credit profile, in order to hedge market fluctuations and/or lock-in margins relative to our commitments.

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

At December 31, 2010, the fair value of all of our commodity risk derivative instruments and the change in fair value that would be expected from a 10% price increase or decrease are shown in the table below (in thousands):

	Fair Value	Gain (Loss)	
		Effect of 10% Price Increase	Effect of 10% Price Decrease
NYMEX contracts	\$ (36,273)	\$ (55,604)	\$ 55,604
Swaps, options and other, net	(2,391)	(6,693)	1,139
	\$ (38,664)	\$ (62,297)	\$ 56,743

The fair values of the futures contracts are based on quoted market prices obtained from the NYMEX. The fair value of the swaps and option contracts are estimated based on quoted prices from various sources such as independent reporting services, industry publications and brokers. These quotes are compared to the contract price of the swap, which approximates the gain or loss that would have been realized if the contracts had been closed out at December 31, 2010. For positions where independent quotations are not available, an estimate is provided, or the prevailing market price at which the positions could be liquidated is used. All hedge positions offset physical exposures to the spot market; none of these offsetting physical exposures are included in the above table. Price-risk sensitivities were calculated by assuming an across-the-board 10% increase or decrease in price regardless of term or historical relationships between the contractual price of the instruments and the underlying commodity price. In the event of an actual 10% change in prompt month prices, the fair value of our derivative portfolio would typically change less than that shown in the table due to lower volatility in out-month prices. We have a daily margin requirement to maintain a cash deposit with our broker based on the prior day's market results on open futures contracts. The balance of this deposit will fluctuate based on our open market positions and the commodity exchange's requirements. The brokerage margin balance was \$15.5 million at December 31, 2010.

We are exposed to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by counterparties of futures contracts, forward contracts and swap agreements. We anticipate some nonperformance by some of these counterparties which, in the aggregate, we do not believe at this time will have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash available for distribution to our unitholders. Futures contracts, the primary derivative instrument utilized, are traded on regulated exchanges, greatly reducing potential credit risks. Exposure on swap and certain option agreements is limited to the amount of the recorded fair value as of the balance sheet dates. We utilize primarily one clearing broker, a major financial institution, for all NYMEX derivative transactions and the right of offset exists. Accordingly, the fair value of all derivative instruments is displayed on a net basis.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

The information required here is included in the report as set forth in the "Index to Financial Statements" on page F-1.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that the information required to be disclosed by us in the reports we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of

Table of Contents

1934 (the "Exchange Act") is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms and that information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Under the supervision and with the participation of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, management evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e) of the Exchange Act). Based on that evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2010.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management's Annual Report

We are responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) or 15d-15(f) of the Exchange Act). Internal control over financial reporting is the process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with GAAP. There are inherent limitations in the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility that misstatements may not be prevented or detected. Accordingly, even effective internal controls over financial reporting can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation.

Under the supervision and with the participation of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in *Internal Control-Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on that evaluation, management believes that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2010.

In conducting its evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting, management excluded the retail gas stations acquired in September 2010. The contribution from this acquisition represented approximately 15% of total assets, 4% of revenues and 5% of net income, respectively, of our consolidated financial statement amounts as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Ernst & Young LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, has issued an attestation report on management's assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting, as stated in their report which is included herein.

Changes in Internal Control

During the quarter ended December 31, 2010, our existing internal control framework was supplemented with an enhanced control process in relation to any new large, unique, or abnormal sales contracts and associated state and federal fuel taxes. Also during the quarter ended December 31, 2010, we initiated the process of integrating the internal controls and procedures related to our September 30, 2010 acquisition of retail gas stations into our internal control over financial reporting.

Except as described above, there has not been any change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended December 31, 2010 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors of Global GP LLC
and Unitholders of Global Partners LP

We have audited Global Partners LP's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). Global Partners LP's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Annual Report. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

As indicated in the accompanying Management's Annual Report, management's assessment of and conclusion on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting did not include the internal controls of the retail gas stations acquired in September 2010, which are included in the 2010 consolidated financial statements of Global Partners LP and constituted approximately 15% of total assets as of December 31, 2010 and 4% and 5% of revenues and net income, respectively, for the year then ended. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting of Global Partners LP also did not include an evaluation of the internal control over financial reporting of the retail gas stations acquired in September 2010.

In our opinion, Global Partners LP maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Global Partners LP, as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of income, partners' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010, and our report dated March 11, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP

Boston, Massachusetts
March 11, 2011

Table of Contents

Item 9B. Other Information.

None.

Table of Contents

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.

Global GP LLC, our general partner, manages our operations and activities on our behalf. Our general partner is not elected by our unitholders and is not subject to re-election in the future. Affiliates of the Slifka family own 100% of the ownership interests in our general partner. Our general partner is controlled by Alfred A. Slifka and Richard Slifka through their beneficial ownership of entities that own ownership interests in our general partner. Eric Slifka beneficially owns an interest in our general partner. Unitholders are not entitled to elect the directors of our general partner or directly or indirectly participate in our management or operation. Our general partner is liable, as general partner, for all of our debts (to the extent not paid from our assets), except for indebtedness or other obligations that are made specifically nonrecourse to it. Whenever possible, our general partner intends to incur indebtedness or other obligations that are nonrecourse.

Three members of the board of directors of our general partner serve on a conflicts committee to review specific matters that the board believes may involve conflicts of interest. The conflicts committee determines if the resolution of the conflict of interest is fair and reasonable to us. Members of the conflicts committee may not be officers or employees of our general partner or directors, officers or employees of its affiliates and must meet the independence and experience standards established by the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Any matters approved by the conflicts committee will be conclusively deemed to be fair and reasonable to us, approved by all of our partners and not a breach by our general partner of any duties it may owe us or our unitholders. In addition, we have a separately-designated standing audit committee established in accordance with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and a compensation committee. The three independent members of the board of directors of our general partner, Messrs. McKown, McCool and Watchmaker, serve as members of the conflicts, audit and compensation committees.

Even though most companies listed on the NYSE are required to have a majority of independent directors serving on the board of directors of the listed company and establish and maintain an audit committee, a compensation committee and a nominating/corporate governance committee, each consisting solely of independent directors, the NYSE does not require a listed limited partnership like us to have a majority of independent directors on the board of directors of our general partner or establish a compensation committee or a nominating/corporate governance committee.

No member of the audit committee is an officer or employee of our general partner or director, officer or employee of any affiliate of our general partner. Furthermore, each member of the audit committee is independent as defined in the listing standards of the NYSE. The board of directors of our general partner has determined that a member of the audit committee, namely Kenneth Watchmaker, is an "audit committee financial expert" as defined by the SEC.

Among other things, the audit committee is responsible for reviewing our external financial reporting, including reports filed with the SEC, engaging and reviewing our independent auditors and reviewing procedures for internal auditing and the adequacy of our internal accounting controls.

We are managed and operated by the directors and executive officers of our general partner. Our operating personnel are employees of our general partner or certain of our operating subsidiaries.

All of our executive officers devote substantially all of their time to managing our business and affairs, but from time to time perform services for certain of our affiliates. Messrs. Eric Slifka, Hollister, Faneuil and Rudinsky spend a portion of their time providing services to certain of our affiliates. Please read Item 13, "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence Relationship of Management with Global Petroleum Corp. and Alliance Energy LLC." Our non-executive directors devote as much time as is necessary to prepare for and attend board of directors and committee meetings.

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The following table shows information for the directors and executive officers of our general partner.

Name	Age	Position with Global GP LLC
Alfred A. Slifka	78	Chairman
Richard Slifka	70	Vice Chairman
Eric Slifka	45	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director
Thomas J. Hollister	56	Chief Operating Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Director
Edward J. Faneuil	58	Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary
Charles A. Rudinsky	63	Executive Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer
David K. McKown	73	Director
Robert J. McCool	72	Director
Kenneth I. Watchmaker	68	Director

Alfred A. Slifka was elected Chairman of the Board of our general partner in March 2005. He has been employed with Global Companies LLC or its predecessors for over fifty years. Mr. Slifka served as Chairman of the board of directors of Global Companies LLC since its formation in December 1998. Currently Mr. Slifka is a member of, is employed by and serves as Chairman of the board of directors of Alliance Energy LLC, a privately held affiliated company that engages in the retail distribution of gasoline in the Northeastern United States and manages our retail gas stations. Mr. Slifka also is a shareholder, a director and the President of Global Petroleum Corp., a privately held affiliated company that owns, operates and leases to us our petroleum products storage terminal located in Revere, Massachusetts. Mr. Slifka currently serves on the boards of the New England Fuel Institute, Petroleum Institute Research Foundation, and Children's Hospital. He is a past member of the boards of directors of Citibank and Trust and of Griffiths Consumer Company, the board of overseers of Beth Israel Deaconess Hospital, and numerous other civic and charitable organizations. Mr. Slifka's extensive knowledge of the oil industry in general and of our history, customers and suppliers make him uniquely qualified to serve as our Chairman of the Board.

Richard Slifka was elected Vice Chairman of the Board of our general partner in March 2005. He has been employed with Global Companies LLC or its predecessors since 1963. Mr. Slifka served as Treasurer and a director of Global Companies LLC since its formation in December 1998. Currently Mr. Slifka is a member of, is employed by and serves as Treasurer and Vice Chairman of the board of directors of Alliance Energy LLC, a privately held affiliated company that engages in the retail distribution of gasoline in the Northeastern United States and manages our retail gas stations. Mr. Slifka also is a shareholder, a director and the Treasurer of Global Petroleum Corp., a privately held affiliated company that owns, operates and leases to us our petroleum products storage terminal located in Revere, Massachusetts. Mr. Slifka currently serves on the boards of directors of New England Fuel Institute, Independent Fuel Terminal Operators Association (where he also serves as president), and National Oil Heat Research Alliance. He also currently serves on the board of directors of St. Francis House and the board of trustees of Boston Medical Center. He has been a director of the National Multiple Sclerosis Society since 1988. Mr. Slifka's extensive knowledge of the oil industry in general and of our history, customers and suppliers make him uniquely qualified to serve as our Vice Chairman of the Board. Alfred A. Slifka and Richard Slifka are brothers.

Eric Slifka was elected President, Chief Executive Officer and a director of our general partner in March 2005. He has been employed with Global Companies LLC or its predecessors since 1987. Mr. Slifka served as President and Chief Executive Officer and a director of Global Companies LLC since July 2004 and as Chief Operating Officer and a director of Global Companies LLC from its formation in December 1998 to July 2004. Prior to 1998, Mr. Slifka held various senior positions in the accounting, supply, distribution and marketing departments of the predecessors to Global Companies LLC. Mr. Slifka is a member of the board of directors and an owner of Alliance

Table of Contents

Energy LLC, a privately held affiliated company that engages in the retail distribution of gasoline in the Northeastern United States and manages our retail gas stations. He currently serves as a member of the board of directors of the Mass Oil Heat Council, the National Oilheat Research Alliance and the Energy Policy Research Foundation. He also is a member of the boards of directors of the Cystic Fibrosis Foundation, Massachusetts Youth Committed to Winning, and Buckingham, Browne & Nichols. Mr. Slifka's extensive experience in all aspects of our business and his position as President and Chief Executive Officer of our general partner make him uniquely qualified to serve as a director of our general partner. Mr. Slifka is the son of Alfred A. Slifka and the nephew of Richard Slifka.

Thomas J. Hollister was elected to serve as a director of our general partner in August 2009. He has served as Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer of our general partner since January 2007 and Chief Financial Officer of our general partner since July 2006, when he was first employed with our general partner. From 2005 to March 2006, Mr. Hollister served as Vice Chairman of Citizens Financial Group and as Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Citizens Capital, Inc., Citizens Financial Group's private equity and venture capital business. From 2004 to 2005, he served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Charter One Bank. From 1998 to 2004 he served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Citizens Bank of Massachusetts. Mr. Hollister currently serves on the board of directors of Brookline Bancorp, where he serves as audit chair. He is the former chair of the Greater Boston Chamber of Commerce and currently serves on its Executive Committee. He is chair of the board of Tufts Medical Center and chair of the board of Wheaton College. He is chair of the Initiative for a New Economy. He previously served on the boards of directors of the Massachusetts Bankers Association, Macomber Construction Company, the Massachusetts Housing Investment Corporation, Savings Bank Life Insurance of Massachusetts and the Massachusetts Community & Banking Council (where he served as chair of the board). His extensive financial and executive experience, as well as his broad community ties, are assets for our board of directors.

Edward J. Faneuil was elected Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary of our general partner in March 2005. He has been employed with Global Companies LLC or its predecessors since 1991. Mr. Faneuil served as General Counsel and Secretary of Global Companies LLC since its formation in December 1998. He currently serves on the board of directors of New England Fuel Institute.

Charles A. Rudinsky has served as Executive Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer of our general partner since January 2007. He has been employed with Global Companies LLC or its predecessors since 1988. Mr. Rudinsky served as Assistant Controller from 1988 to 1997 and as the Senior Controller and Chief Accounting Officer of Global Companies LLC since its formation in December 1998.

David K. McKown was elected to serve as a director of our general partner and as a member of the conflicts committee, the compensation committee and the audit committee of the board of directors of our general partner in October 2005. He has been a Senior Advisor to Eaton Vance Management, whose principal business is creating, marketing and managing investment funds and providing investment management services to institutions and individuals, since 2000. In this capacity he serves as a credit analyst and a major research source for many of the changes in the accounting area, such as marked to market valuations, changes in bank lending rules and understanding of new financial products and derivatives. Mr. McKown retired in March 2000 having served as a Group Executive with BankBoston since 1993. Mr. McKown has been in the banking industry for over 40 years, where he acquired extensive accounting, financial structuring and negotiation skills, having worked at BankBoston for over 33 years as a Senior Credit Officer, the head of a workout unit, the head of BankBoston's energy lending group and the head of BankBoston's real estate and corporate finance departments. He also was a managing director of BankBoston's private equity unit. Mr. McKown has served on the boards of four public companies and four private companies in a variety of industries. He currently

Table of Contents

serves as a director of Safety Insurance Group, Newcastle Investment Co. and several private companies. Mr. McKown previously served as a member of the board of directors of Equity Office Properties. Mr. McKown's extensive financial expertise and longstanding work in BankBoston's energy practice make him well qualified to serve as a director of our general partner.

Robert J. McCool was elected to serve as a director of our general partner, the chair of the conflicts committee of the board of directors of our general partner, and a member of the compensation and audit committees of the board of directors of our general partner in October 2005. He has been an Advisor to Tetco Inc., a privately held company in the energy industry, since 1967. Mr. McCool has been in the refined petroleum industry for over 40 years. He worked for Mobil Oil for 33 years in various positions including manager, planning and financial analysis, controller, manager U.S. lubricants operations and manager, budget and controls for U.S. acquisitions. Mr. McCool retired in 1998 having served as Executive Vice President responsible for Mobil Oil's North and South America marketing and refining business. Mr. McCool's extensive experience with the financial, accounting and managerial aspects of the refined petroleum products industry make him well qualified to serve as a director of our general partner.

Kenneth I. Watchmaker was elected to serve as a director of our general partner, a member of the conflicts and compensation committees of the board of directors of our general partner, and chair of the audit committee of the board of directors of our general partner in October 2005. He subsequently became chair of our general partner's compensation committee as well. He served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Reebok International Ltd. from 1995 until March 2006, when he elected to retire in connection with the sale of Reebok International Ltd to adidas-Salomon AG. Mr. Watchmaker joined Reebok International Ltd. in July 1992 as Executive Vice President, Operations and Finance, of the Reebok Brand. Prior to joining Reebok International Ltd., he was an audit partner at Ernst & Young LLP., where he had various responsibilities including partner in charge of merger and acquisition services, regional partner in charge of bankruptcy and insolvency services and regional partner in charge of retail industry services. Mr. Watchmaker also serves as a director and the chair of the audit committee of American Bilrite Inc. Mr. Watchmaker's broad audit and accounting experience, as well as his significant corporate and financial experience as a senior executive with public companies, make him a valuable member of our board of directors.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires directors and executive officers of our general partner and persons who beneficially own more than 10% of a class of our equity securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 to file certain reports with the SEC and the NYSE concerning their beneficial ownership of such securities. Based solely upon a review of the copies of reports on Forms 3, 4 and 5 and amendments thereto furnished to us, or written representations that no reports on Form 5 were required, we believe that during the year ended December 31, 2010, the officers and directors of our general partner and beneficial owners of more than 10% of our equity securities registered pursuant to Section 12 were in compliance with the applicable requirements of Section 16(a),

Executive Sessions

The board of directors of our general partner holds executive sessions for the non-management directors on a regular basis without management present. Since the non-management directors include directors who are not independent directors, the independent directors also meet in separate executive sessions without the other directors or management at least once each year to discuss such matters as the independent directors consider appropriate. In addition, any director may call for an executive session of non-management or independent directors at any board meeting. A majority of the independent directors selects a presiding director for any such executive session.

Table of Contents

Communications with Unitholders, Employees and Others

Unitholders, employees and other interested persons who wish to communicate with the board of directors of our general partner, non-management or independent directors as a group, a committee of the board or a specific director may do so by transmitting correspondence addressed to the Board of Directors, Name of Director, Group or Committee, c/o Corporate Secretary, Global Partners LP, P.O. Box 9161, 800 South Street, Suite 200, Waltham, MA 02454-9161, Fax: 781-398-4165.

Letters addressed to the board of directors of our general partner in general will be reviewed by the corporate secretary and relayed to the chairman of the board or the chair of the appropriate committee. Letters addressed to the non-management or independent directors in general will be relayed unopened to the chair of the audit committee. Letters addressed to a committee of the board of directors or a specific director will be relayed unopened to the chair of the committee or the specific director to whom they are addressed. All letters regarding accounting, accounting policies, internal accounting controls and procedures, auditing matters, financial reporting processes or disclosure controls and procedures are to be forwarded by the recipient director to the chair of the audit committee.

Code of Ethics

Our general partner has adopted a code of business conduct and ethics that applies to all officers, directors and employees of our general partner, including the principal executive officer, principal financial officer and principal accounting officer, and to our subsidiaries and their officers, directors and employees.

A copy of the code of business conduct and ethics is available on our website at www.globalp.com or may be obtained without charge upon written request to the General Counsel at: Global Partners LP, P.O. Box 9161, 800 South Street, Suite 200, Waltham, MA 02454-9161.

Corporate Governance Matters

The NYSE requires the Chief Executive Officer of each listed company to certify annually that he is not aware of any violation by the company of the NYSE corporate governance listing standards as of the date of the certification, qualifying the certification to the extent necessary. The Chief Executive Officer of our general partner provided such certification to the NYSE in 2010.

The certifications of our general partner's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer required by the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 are included as exhibits to this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 11. Executive Compensation.

All of our executive officers and substantially all of our employees are employed by our general partner. Our general partner does not receive any management fee or other compensation for its management of Global Partners LP. Our general partner and its affiliates are reimbursed for expenses incurred on our behalf. These expenses include the costs of employee, executive officer and director compensation and benefits properly allocable to Global Partners LP, and all other expenses necessary or appropriate to the conduct of the business of, and allocable to, Global Partners LP. Our partnership agreement provides that our general partner will determine the expenses that are allocable to Global Partners LP.

Table of Contents

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

We are managed and operated by the directors and executive officers of our general partner. Executive officers of our general partner receive compensation in the form of salaries and short-term incentive awards (contractual and/or discretionary) and long-term incentive awards, and they are eligible to participate in employee benefit plans and arrangements sponsored by our general partner or its affiliates, including plans that may be established by our general partner or its affiliates in the future. Our named executive officers (defined below) serve as executive officers of our general partner and each of our subsidiaries, and the compensation described herein reflects their total compensation for services to us, our general partner and our subsidiaries.

Our "named executive officers" include Mr. Eric Slifka, our Chief Executive Officer ("CEO"), Mr. Thomas J. Hollister, our Chief Financial Officer ("CFO") and Chief Operating Officer, and the two other most highly compensated executive officers during 2010, who are Mr. Charles A. Rudinsky, our Executive Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer, and Mr. Edward J. Faneuil, our Executive Vice President and General Counsel. Messrs. Slifka, Hollister and Faneuil are parties to employment agreements with our general partner. Mr. Rudinsky is an employee at will with no employment agreement.

The compensation committee of the board of directors of our general partner (the "Compensation Committee") has direct responsibility for the compensation of our CEO based upon (i) contractual obligations pursuant to the employment agreement between our CEO and our general partner, and (ii) compensation parameters established by the Compensation Committee with respect to salary adjustments, incentive plans and discretionary bonuses, if any. The Compensation Committee also has oversight and approval authority for the compensation of our named executive officers other than our CEO based upon our CEO's recommendations, including awards under any incentive plans in which the named executive officers participate, and our general partner's contractual obligations pursuant to employment agreements with two of our named executive officers.

Compensation Objectives

The objectives of our compensation program with respect to our executive officers are to attract, engage and retain individuals with the requisite knowledge, experience and skill sets required for our future success. Our compensation program is intended to motivate and inspire employee behavior that fosters high performance, and to support our overall business objectives. To achieve these objectives, we aim to provide each executive officer with a competitive total compensation program. We currently utilize the following compensation components:

Salaries and benefits designed to attract and retain high caliber employees;

Short-term, performance-based incentives and discretionary bonus awards designed to focus employees on key business objectives for a particular year; and

Long-term, equity-based incentive awards designed to support the achievement of our long-term business objectives and the retention of key personnel.

Compensation Methodology

Our general partner uses third-party consultants to study and supply market comparable compensation data and to assist our management and the Compensation Committee in formulating competitive compensation plans. In 2008, our general partner engaged W.F. Conover III, Ltd. as an independent compensation consultant to provide advice and assistance to the Compensation Committee on matters related to named executive officer compensation as well as our general compensation programs (i.e., short-term and long-term incentive programs). In 2009, Michael Conover, the principal at W.F. Conover III, Ltd. who provided consulting services to our general partner, moved to BDO

Table of Contents

Seidman, LLP. References to "Conover" hereinafter mean our compensation consultant, whether the consulting services were provided through W.F. Conover III, Ltd. or BDO Seidman, LLP.

Conover has continued to work with our management and the Compensation Committee to assess the competitiveness of our executive compensation program using a comprehensive, broad-based analysis of market information. In 2010 Conover utilized the following data sources to develop and update overall consensus values for executive compensation prepared in prior years. The following data sources were utilized to complete this update: (i) data from the Watson Wyatt Worldwide Executive Compensation Survey; (ii) data with respect to a group of more than 220 companies with market capitalizations of \$200 million to \$600 million, a range which was comparable to our market capitalization at the time of the study; and (iii) data with respect to a multi-factor based group of 17 energy and non-energy companies which had market capitalizations of \$200 million to \$600 million and between 100 to 600 employees. Conover also examined the responsibilities assigned to each of our named executive officer positions in relation to the external positions to which they were compared, exercised judgment in terms of the relevance of each of the market data sources, and made adjustments to arrive at a competitive market benchmark for each executive position.

Data included in the Watson Wyatt Worldwide Executive Compensation Survey are provided with respect to energy companies as a group, but not individually by name, and, therefore, we are unable to provide a list of these companies.

The multi-factor based group of 17 energy and non-energy companies referenced above includes:

American Ecology Corp.
American Vanguard Corp.
Aventine Renewable Energy
Balchem Corp.
Electro Rent Corp.
EnergySouth, Inc.
Flotek Industries Inc.
Fuel Tech, Inc.
Houston Wire & Cable Co.
Metalico Inc.
Penford Corp.
Pioneer Companies Inc.
Semco Energy Inc.
Symyx Technologies Inc.
Topps Co. Inc.
Uranium Resources Inc.
Verenium Corp.

In 2010, Conover also worked with our Compensation Committee to structure our short-term incentive plan for our named executive officers for 2011. The plan is structured to support the overall objectives of our compensation program by linking a significant portion of our named executive officers' compensation to our short-term business goals. The performance levels used in our 2011 short-term incentive plan for determination of the performance-based portion of the award were changed. The threshold minimum level of performance required to qualify for any award was increased. Likewise, the target "par" and maximum performance levels used for determination of the performance-based portion of the award also were increased. In addition, the award amounts associated with performance levels used for the performance-based portion of our short-term incentive plan were changed. Award amounts at or just above the threshold minimum performance level were lowered, and award levels closer to the target "par" were increased to provide a greater incentive to reach target "par" performance. Similarly, awards just above the target "par" range were lowered and award levels

Table of Contents

closer to the maximum performance level significantly increased to provide greater incentive for results that significantly exceed target "par" performance. Conover also assisted the Compensation Committee with an analysis of the portion of our partnership's common units reserved for compensation purposes versus competitive practices, and provided a preliminary overview in connection with the development of a new long-term executive retention plan.

Elements of Compensation

Our executive compensation structure utilizes complementary components to align our compensation with the needs of our business and to provide for desired levels of pay that competitively compensate our executive management personnel. We administer the program on the basis of total compensation. When our performance goals are met, we expect the total compensation levels (i.e., salary plus short and long-term incentives) for our named executive officers to fall between the median (50th percentile) and 75th percentile compensation levels in our competitive marketplace. When we perform above or below our performance goals, we expect that will be reflected in our compensation levels.

The elements of the 2010 executive officer compensation of our general partner are base salary, discretionary bonuses, short-term incentive awards, retirement and health benefits, and perquisites consistent with those provided to executive officers generally and as may be approved by the Compensation Committee from time to time.

A description of the components of the compensation program and principles used to guide their administration appears below:

Salaries

Under our executive compensation structure, our goal is for our named executive officer salaries to fall between the median (50th percentile) and 75th percentile of competitive salary levels following any adjustments made to marketplace pay levels in order to account for significant responsibilities that are assigned to our named executive officers and that exceed the scope of responsibilities generally associated with the external benchmark positions to which they are compared, specifically:

Our Chief Financial Officer also serves as our Chief Operating Officer ("COO") and, as such, has responsibilities for many operational areas that are not commonly assigned to Chief Financial Officer positions.

Our Executive Vice President and General Counsel is responsible for all our environmental compliance functions, many of our human resources matters, and many of our business transactions that he manages in an executive as well as legal capacity.

Our Executive Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer, who also serves as co-director of our mergers and acquisitions activities, is responsible for our financial analyses for our acquisition due diligence.

Base salaries for three of our four named executive officers are set by the terms of their respective employment agreements. Salaries for our named executive officers were not increased in 2010.

Short-Term Incentive Awards Contractual

Thomas Hollister, our COO and CFO, is entitled to annual contractual bonuses under his employment agreement with our general partner based upon our achievement of specific targets established by the Compensation Committee. Mr. Hollister was not entitled to a contractual bonus in 2008 because the distributable cash flow target established by the Compensation Committee for 2008 was not achieved. In 2009, the Compensation Committee implemented our general partner's

Table of Contents

Short-Term Incentive Plan (see *Short-Term Incentive Plan*). Prior to the Compensation Committee's determination of Mr. Hollister's awards for each of 2009 and 2010 under our general partner's Short-Term Incentive Plan, Mr. Hollister waived his annual contractual bonuses for those years. For each of 2009 and 2010, Mr. Hollister was paid a bonus under our general partner's Short-Term Incentive Plan in an amount in excess of what he would have received as a contractual bonus under his employment agreement with our general partner.

Annual Bonuses Discretionary

Our compensation program for named executive officers contains a provision for the Compensation Committee to award a discretionary bonus to recognize significant contributions made by an executive in the course of the year. Typically, these are one-time awards and not associated with any of our incentive plans. The Compensation Committee may make discretionary bonus awards to our CEO. Our CEO may also recommend discretionary bonus awards for all other named executive officers for consideration and approval by the Compensation Committee for similar purposes.

The Compensation Committee elected to not award any discretionary bonus payments in respect of 2008 and 2010. Consequently, no discretionary bonus payments were awarded to our named executive officers for 2008 or for 2010.

For 2009, the Compensation Committee awarded discretionary bonuses of \$115,000 and \$50,000, respectively, to Messrs. Hollister and Faneuil. These bonuses recognized Mr. Hollister's and Mr. Faneuil's successful accomplishment of several critical objectives for the Partnership during 2009.

Short-Term Incentive Plan

Our general partner established a cash bonus pool for 2010 to fund short-term incentive awards for each of our named executive officers. Target awards under our general partner's short-term incentive plan for 2010 (the "STIP") included a performance-based component, for which 60% of the cash bonus pool was available (the "STIP Performance Component"), and a discretionary component, for which 40% of the cash bonus pool was available (the "STIP Discretionary Component"). Incentive awards earned under the STIP were based on the Partnership's actual performance in relation to a specified objective for distributable cash flow established by our Compensation Committee in February 2010 (the "DCF objective"), as adjusted by the Compensation Committee to reflect several factors that affected the Partnership's performance in 2010. Under our general partner's Short-Term Incentive Plan, for purposes of determining whether a specified target was achieved, "distributable cash flow" (a non-GAAP financial measure used by management) means our net income plus depreciation and amortization, less our maintenance capital expenditures.

Under the STIP, each of our named executive officers was assigned an incentive target value expressed as a percentage of his base salary. The 2010 incentive target values were: 100% (or \$800,000) for Mr. Slifka; 58% (or \$337,500) for Mr. Hollister; 37% (or \$137,500) for Mr. Faneuil; and 41% or (\$112,500) for Mr. Rudinsky. 60% of the target value for each named executive officer was allocated to his STIP Performance Component (the "60% Performance-Based Payout Target"), and 40% was allocated to his STIP Discretionary Component (the "40% Discretionary Payout Target").

STIP Performance Component (60% of the award opportunity): Under the terms of the STIP, 100% of the STIP Performance Component is earned when the DCF objective is achieved. However, the STIP also provides for an increased payout under the STIP Performance Component when the DCF objective is exceeded, and a reduced payout under the STIP Performance Component when the DCF objective is not achieved. Such increases and reductions in payouts are determined in accordance with an award payout grid adopted by the Compensation Committee at the time that the STIP was established. Although the Partnership achieved the DCF objective for 2010 of \$46.0 million (which DCF objective was established in February 2010), the Compensation Committee concluded that the

Table of Contents

Partnership's 2010 acquisitions, which occurred during the second and third quarters of 2010, should be taken into account. Furthermore, the Partnership would only have achieved less than the DCF objective if the results from its acquisition of the Mobil-branded retail gasoline stations and supply rights were excluded. Accordingly, in accordance with the payout grid established by the Compensation Committee at the time that the STIP was established, the STIP Performance Component of the named executive officers' 2010 awards was adjusted downward to approximately one-third of each named executive officer's 60% Performance-Based Payout Target.

STIP Discretionary Component (40% of the award opportunity): The STIP Discretionary Component is intended to be used as a discretionary award, allowing the Compensation Committee to supplement the performance metric by analyzing other factors that it may elect to use for determining the STIP Performance Component. Such factors include, without limitation, market factors and significant acquisitions, developments and ventures accomplished by the Partnership. The Compensation Committee awarded 100% of the STIP Discretionary Component for 2010 in recognition of each named executive officer's successful efforts in consummating the 2010 acquisitions, the Partnership's earning of the highest distributable cash flow in its history, and the Partnership's overall 2010 performance despite adverse market conditions and fewer advantageous buying opportunities that occurred during the fourth quarter of 2010.

Each of our named executive officers earned a short-term incentive award for 2010. A summary of these awards appears in the table below:

		Target Value as a Percentage of Salary	Target Value (\$)	2010 Award Payouts (\$)
Eric Slifka	Total Award	100%	800,000	480,000
	Performance	60%	480,000	160,000
	Discretionary	40%	320,000	320,000
Thomas J. Hollister	Total Award	58%	337,500	202,500
	Performance	35%	202,500	67,500
	Discretionary	23%	135,000	135,000
Edward J. Faneuil	Total Award	37%	137,500	82,500
	Performance	22%	82,500	27,500
	Discretionary	15%	55,000	55,000
Charles A. Rudinsky	Total Award	41%	112,500	67,500
	Performance	25%	67,500	22,500
	Discretionary	16%	45,000	45,000

Long-Term Incentive Plan

2008 CEO Award. On December 31, 2008, pursuant to a contractual commitment in Eric Slifka's employment agreement with our general partner, the Compensation Committee granted to Mr. Slifka 99,700 phantom units together with a contingent right to receive an amount in cash equal to the number of then outstanding phantom units granted to Mr. Slifka multiplied by the cash distributions per common unit made by the Partnership from time to time during the period the phantom units are outstanding. The phantom units vest in six approximately equal installments on June 30 and December 31 of 2009, 2010 and 2011; provided, however, that in accordance with the terms of Mr. Slifka's employment agreement, if Mr. Slifka's employment is terminated (i) for reason of death or disability, or (ii) without cause or for constructive termination, in either case within 12 months following a change in control, then all unvested phantom units shall vest on the applicable termination date. Additionally, if Mr. Slifka's employment is terminated without cause or for constructive

Table of Contents

termination but such termination does not occur within 12 months following a change in control, then a prorated portion of the phantom units scheduled to vest at the end of the then applicable six-month period will vest on the date of termination, such proration to be based upon the number of full months' service provided by Mr. Slifka during such six-month period. In accordance with Mr. Slifka's employment agreement, 16,617 phantom units vested on each of June 30 and December 31 of 2009 and 2010. The general partner delivered to Mr. Slifka common units that it purchased in the open market and cash (to the extent not used by Mr. Slifka to satisfy his tax obligations in respect of the award) in payment for the vested phantom units.

2009 Awards. On February 5, 2009, the Compensation Committee granted 88,183, 61,728, 48,501 and 17,637 phantom units (without DERs) under the LTIP, respectively, to Messrs. Eric Slifka, Hollister, Faneuil and Rudinsky. Grant levels were established by the Compensation Committee to achieve the overall objectives of the compensation program.

The phantom units granted in 2009 will vest and become payable on a one-for-one basis in common units (and/or cash in lieu thereof) on December 31, 2013 (or potentially sooner as described below). All or a portion of the phantom units granted to our named executive officers may vest earlier than December 31, 2013 if the Average Unit Price (as defined below) equals or exceeds specified target prices during specified periods. Specifically, if the Average Unit Price equals or exceeds: (i) \$21.00 at any time prior to December 31, 2013, then 25% of the phantom units will automatically vest; (ii) \$27.00 at any time during the period from February 5, 2011 through December 31, 2013, then an additional 25% of the phantom units will automatically vest; and (iii) \$34.00 at any time during the period from June 5, 2012 through December 31, 2013, then all of the remaining phantom units will automatically vest. "Average Unit Price" means the closing market price per common unit for any 10-consecutive trading day period.

The phantom units granted to Mr. Slifka on February 5, 2009 that do not otherwise vest early as described above will be subject to a performance goal. Specifically, any unvested phantom units held by Mr. Slifka on December 31, 2013 will vest only if the Partnership makes cumulative distributions on all units of the Partnership outstanding during the 20 consecutive quarters ending December 31, 2013 in an amount equal to or exceeding the minimum quarterly distribution (as defined in the Partnership's Agreement of Limited Partnership) on all such units.

Any phantom units granted on February 5, 2009 that have not vested as of the end of the five year cliff vesting period will be forfeited. Additionally, upon a change of control event (as defined in the grant, as amended), all outstanding phantom units that were granted on February 5, 2009 to Messrs. Slifka, Hollister and Faneuil only and that have not otherwise vested automatically will become fully vested (in the case of the phantom units awarded to Mr. Slifka, without regard to the achievement of the performance goal.)

A portion (25%) of the February 5, 2009 phantom units vested on August 21, 2009 when the Compensation Committee determined that the first Average Unit Price condition (\$21.00 for 10 consecutive trading days) was satisfied. The general partner delivered common units that it purchased in the open market to the named executive officers in payment for these vested phantom units.

A second portion (25%) of the February 5, 2009 phantom units vested on February 18, 2011 when the Compensation Committee determined that the second Average Unit Price condition (\$27.00 for 10 consecutive trading days) was satisfied. The general partner delivered common units that it had purchased in the open market to the named executive officers in payment for these vested phantom units.

Further vesting opportunities for 2009 awards will become available after June 5, 2012 based upon the unit price performance criteria associated with the award.

Table of Contents

Retirement and Health Benefits; Perquisites

Each of our named executive officers is eligible to participate in our general partner's health insurance plans, pension plans, 401(k) savings and profit sharing plan and other employee benefit plans in accordance with our general partner's policies and on the same general basis as other employees of our general partner. Under the general partner's pension plan, an employee becomes fully vested in his or her pension benefits after completing five years of service or upon termination due to death, disability or retirement. See "Other Benefits *Pension Benefits*" for information with respect to eligibility standards and calculations of estimated annual pension benefits payable upon retirement under the pension plan. Our general partner's pension plan was frozen on December 31, 2009. Our general partner's 401(k) savings and profit sharing plan provides for discretionary matching contributions by our general partner equal to 50% of each employee's contribution, up to a maximum contribution of 4% of the employee's pre-tax annual compensation, subject to certain limitations under federal law. See "Other Benefits *401(k) Savings and Profit Sharing Plan*" for additional information with respect to eligibility and permitted contributions to this plan. Additional perquisites for our named executive officers may include payment of premiums for supplemental life and/or long-term disability insurance, automobile fringe benefits, club membership dues and payment of fees for professional financial planning and/or tax advice.

Relationship of Compensation Elements to Compensation Objectives

We use base salaries to provide financial stability and to compensate our executive officers for fulfillment of their respective job duties.

We use a short-term incentive plan with performance-based and discretionary components to align a significant portion of our executive officers' compensation with annual business performance and success, and to provide rewards and recognition for key annual business and financial results such as achieving increased quarterly distributions, expanding our terminalling storage capacity, retail gasoline station assets and the geographic markets that we serve, and diversifying our product mix to enhance profitability and effectively managing our business. Short-term performance-based incentives also allow flexibility to reward performance and individual success consistent with such criteria as may be established from time to time by our CEO and the Compensation Committee.

The long-term incentive plan provides incentive and rewards eligible participants for the achievement of long-term objectives, facilitates the retention of key employees by investing in our long-term performance, continues to make our compensation mix more competitive, and aligns the interests of management with those of our unitholders.

We offer a mix of traditional perquisites such as automobile fringe benefits and country/golf club memberships, and additional benefits, such as payment of professional financial planning and tax advice fees, that are tailored to address our executive officers' individual needs to facilitate the performance of their job duties and to be competitive with the total compensation packages available to executive officers generally.

Table of Contents**Compensation of Named Executive Officers**

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to compensation of our Chief Executive Officer, our Chief Financial Officer and the two other most highly compensated executive officers during 2010, 2009 and 2008.

Summary Compensation Table

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)(2)	Stock Awards (\$)(3)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)(4)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation	All Other Compensation (\$)(6)	Total (\$)
						Earnings (\$)		
Eric Slifka President and CEO(1)(7)	2010	800,000			480,000	56,781	93,798	1,430,579
	2009	800,000		1,147,261	1,032,968	34,648	80,313	3,095,190
	2008	1,049,889		1,126,610		39,908	82,576	2,298,983
Thomas J. Hollister COO and CFO(8)	2010	578,000			202,500	14,862	34,739	830,101
	2009	578,000	115,000	803,081	435,784	24,637	40,184	1,996,686
	2008	577,500				25,680	26,779	629,959
Edward J. Faneuil EVP, General Counsel and Secretary(5)(9)	2010	376,000			82,500	235,024	52,799	746,323
	2009	376,000	50,000	630,998	177,541	224,953	48,963	1,508,455
	2008	375,953				64,782	27,783	468,518
Charles A. Rudinsky EVP and Chief Accounting Officer(5)(10)	2010	273,000			67,500	359,227	34,891	734,618
	2009	273,000		229,457	125,261	372,687	34,507	1,034,912
	2008	273,000				136,180	15,272	424,452

(1)

The above table reflects the 2008 compensation paid to Mr. Slifka pursuant to his employment agreement with our general partner that expired on December 31, 2008. On December 31, 2008, Mr. Slifka entered into a new employment agreement with our general partner, pursuant to which his base salary was reduced to \$800,000.

(2)

These discretionary bonuses represent the amounts paid to the named executive officers by our general partner in 2010 for services performed during 2009. In 2010, Mr. Hollister and Mr. Faneuil were paid discretionary bonuses of \$115,000 and \$50,000, respectively, for services performed during 2009, which discretionary bonuses were in addition to the payments they received for services performed during 2009 under the 2009 Short-Term Incentive Plan. No discretionary bonuses were paid for services performed during 2008 and 2010.

(3)

In accordance with accounting guidance related to stock-based compensation, the dollar values shown in the "Stock Awards" column represent the grant date fair value of awards as described below:

(a)

LTIP 2009 Awards. On February 5, 2009, the Compensation Committee granted awards of 88,183, 61,728, 48,501 and 17,637 phantom units, respectively, to Messrs. Slifka, Hollister, Faneuil and Rudinsky. Twenty-five percent of these phantom units (22,046, 15,432, 12,125 and 4,409, respectively) vested on August 21, 2009 and were paid on a one-for-one basis in our common units. See "*Elements of Compensation Long-Term Incentive Plan*" for the terms of the 2009 Awards.

(b)

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

LTIP 2008 CEO Award. On December 31, 2008, pursuant to Mr. Slifka's employment agreement with our general partner, the Compensation Committee granted Mr. Slifka an award of 99,700 phantom units which have vested or will vest in six approximately equal installments on June 30, 2009, December 31, 2009, June 30, 2010, December 31, 2010, June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2011. See "*Elements of Compensation Long-Term Incentive Plan*" for the terms of the 2008 CEO Award.

(c)

LTIP 2007 Awards. On August 14, 2007, the Compensation Committee granted awards of 24,541, 9,120, 7,976 and 3,927 phantom units and associated DERs, respectively, to Messrs. Slifka, Hollister, Faneuil and Rudinsky. These phantom units and associated DERs vested on December 31, 2009. The phantom units were paid in March 2010 in common units purchased by our general partner on the open market and, in April 2010, cash was paid (to the extent not used to satisfy the recipient's tax obligations in respect of the award.)

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Using the vesting date price of \$24.80 per unit, the 24,541, 9,120, 7,976 and 3,927 common units received by Messrs. Slifka, Hollister, Faneuil and Rudinsky, respectively, were valued at \$608,617, \$226,176, \$197,805 and \$97,390, and the associated DERs were valued at \$119,619, \$44,453, \$38,877 and \$19,141. See "*Elements of Compensation Long-Term Incentive Plan*" for the terms of the 2007 Awards.

- (4) The bonuses paid to each of the named executive officers for services performed during 2009 and 2010 were determined in accordance with our general partner's Short-Term Incentive Plan described above under *Elements of Compensation Short-Term Incentive Plan*.
- (5) With respect to Messrs. Faneuil and Rudinsky, the amounts shown under "Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings" include \$159,355 and \$277,318, respectively, representing the full amounts they each would receive on a lump sum basis under their respective supplemental executive retirement plan ("SERP") agreements with our general partner. Mr. Faneuil's interest in his SERP benefit will fully vest on December 31, 2014, to the extent he remains continuously employed with our general partner through such date. Mr. Rudinsky's interest in his SERP benefit will fully vest on July 19, 2012, to the extent he remains continuously employed with our general partner through such date. See *Elements of Compensation Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan Agreements* for additional information regarding the SERP agreements.
- (6) All of our named executive officers are eligible to participate in our general partner's health insurance, pension, 401(k) and other employee benefit plans in accordance with our general partner's policies and on the same general basis as other employees of our general partner. See "Other Benefits Pension Benefits" for information with respect to eligibility standards and calculations of estimated annual pension benefits payable upon retirement. Our general partner's 401(k) Savings and Profit Sharing Plan provides for discretionary matching contributions to the plan by our general partner. See "Other Benefits 401(k) Savings and Profit Sharing Plan" for additional information with respect to eligibility and permitted contributions to this plan.
- (7) With respect to Mr. Slifka, "All Other Compensation" for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 includes the following perquisites in connection with his employment by our general partner: employer contributions paid by us under the 401(k) plan; the estimated personal value of an automobile provided by us for Mr. Slifka's use; life insurance and long-term disability insurance premiums paid by us; club memberships; and professional financial planning and tax advice fees in the aggregate amount of \$34,750 for 2010, and at levels below \$25,000 for 2009 and 2008, paid by us.
- (8) With respect to Mr. Hollister, "All Other Compensation" for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 includes the following perquisites in connection with his employment by our general partner: employer contributions paid by us under the 401(k) plan; the estimated personal value of an automobile provided by us for Mr. Hollister's use; and long-term disability insurance premiums paid by us.
- (9) With respect to Mr. Faneuil, "All Other Compensation" for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 includes the following perquisites in connection with his employment by our general partner: employer contributions paid by us under the 401(k) plan; the estimated personal value of an automobile provided by us for Mr. Faneuil's use; long-term disability insurance premiums paid by us; and club membership fees paid by us.
- (10) With respect to Mr. Rudinsky, "All Other Compensation" for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 includes the following perquisites in connection with his employment by our general partner: employer contributions paid by us under the 401(k) plan; the estimated personal value of an automobile provided by us for Mr. Rudinsky's use; and life insurance and long-term disability insurance premiums paid by us.

Table of Contents**Grants of Plan-Based Awards**

On March 8, 2011, the Compensation Committee awarded the following cash awards under our general partner's Short-Term Incentive Plan to our named executive officers in consideration of their respective services during the year ended December 31, 2010:

Name	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards \$
Eric Slifka	480,000
Thomas J. Hollister	202,500
Edward J. Faneuil	82,500
Charles A. Rudinsky	67,500

These awards were payable immediately without restrictions. See "*Elements of Compensation Short-Term Incentive Plan*" for a discussion of the parameters on which the 2010 awards were determined.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End

The following table presents equity awards in the form of phantom units granted (i) under the LTIP to Mr. Slifka on December 31, 2008 pursuant to his employment agreement with our general partner, and (ii) under the LTIP to the named executive officers on February 5, 2009. The awards shown on the table below are all of the equity awards held by the named executive officers at the end of the last fiscal year:

Name	Equity Incentive Plan Awards	
	Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (#)	Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested \$(1)
Eric Slifka	99,369	2,722,711
Thomas J. Hollister	46,296	1,268,510
Edward J. Faneuil	36,376	996,702
Charles A. Rudinsky	13,228	362,447

(1)

The market values of the equity awards shown in the table above were calculated based on the closing price of \$27.40 per common unit on December 31, 2010.

See "*Elements of Compensation Long-Term Incentive Plan*" for a discussion of the plan.

Restricted Units Vested

No restricted unit awards to named executive officers vested during the year ended December 31, 2010 and there were no options outstanding to named executive officers during 2010.

Employment and Related Agreements

Eric Slifka is employed as President and Chief Executive Officer pursuant to an employment agreement with our general partner. The term of his initial employment agreement commenced on October 4, 2005 and continued through December 31, 2008. Effective December 31, 2008, Mr. Slifka entered into a new employment agreement with our general partner which amends, restates and supersedes his initial employment agreement. Unless terminated earlier in accordance with the terms of his new employment agreement, the term of the agreement ends on December 31, 2011 and, unless

Table of Contents

either party sends a notice of non-renewal to the other party, the agreement will automatically renew for an additional 36 months commencing January 1, 2012.

The agreement provides for a base salary of \$800,000 per year, subject to increase as of each January 1 during the term, as may be determined by the Compensation Committee. In addition, the agreement provides that Mr. Slifka: is (a) eligible to receive a cash bonus, payable annually, no later than two and one-half months after each fiscal year end in an amount to be determined at the discretion of the Compensation Committee; (b) entitled to participate in our general partner's short-term incentive compensation plan, pursuant to which he shall be entitled to receive cash incentive amounts to be determined based upon the achievement of financial metrics to be established by the Compensation Committee in the first month of each fiscal year during the term of the agreement, with the annual "award target" amount being 100% of his base salary and the annual maximum cash incentive amount being 200% of his base salary; any such awards to be paid within two and one-half months after the applicable fiscal year end; and (c) entitled to participate in our general partner's LTIP, including without limitation (i) the December 31, 2008 grant to Mr. Slifka of 99,700 phantom units (with a contingent right to receive cash in amounts equal to the number of awarded phantom units outstanding multiplied by the cash distributions per common unit made by the Partnership from time to time), and (ii) the February 5, 2009 grant to Mr. Slifka of 88,183 performance-restricted phantom units under the LTIP. See "Elements of Compensation *Long-Term Incentive Plan*." As determined by the Compensation Committee, Mr. Slifka also may be eligible to participate in any other incentive plans in which management employees may participate. He is entitled to participate in such other benefit plans and programs as the general partner may provide for its executives in general.

Mr. Slifka's employment agreement includes a confidentiality provision which, subject to typical exceptions for requirements of law and public knowledge (other than as a result of unauthorized disclosure by Mr. Slifka), will continue for two years following Mr. Slifka's termination of employment. The agreement also includes a nonsolicitation provision, which will continue for one year following Mr. Slifka's termination of employment. The agreement is subject to the non-competition provisions included in the Omnibus Agreement dated October 4, 2005 (and filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Partnership's Form 8-K filed on October 11, 2005), which non-competition obligations shall continue to apply to Mr. Slifka throughout the term of his employment agreement (including the renewal term, if any) and, in the event Mr. Slifka's employment with our general partner is terminated (x) by our general partner without cause or by Mr. Slifka for reasons constituting constructive termination, (y) by our general partner for cause, or (z) by Mr. Slifka for reasons other than constructive termination, the non-competition provisions included in the Omnibus Agreement shall remain in effect for one year following Mr. Slifka's termination of employment. See "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control" for a discussion of the provisions in Mr. Slifka's employment agreement, as amended, relating to termination, change in control and related payment obligations.

Thomas J. Hollister is employed as Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer of our general partner. Mr. Hollister's employment commenced effective July 1, 2006 and is on an "at will" basis, meaning that Mr. Hollister's employment has no specific duration and that, subject to the provisions of his employment agreement, either Mr. Hollister or our general partner may terminate his employment at any time for any reason. The agreement provides for a base salary of \$550,000 for the initial 12-month period commencing July 1, 2006, and subsequent review by the Compensation Committee no less frequently than annually, at which time Mr. Hollister's base salary may be increased at the discretion of the Compensation Committee. In 2010, Mr. Hollister's base salary was \$578,000. Mr. Hollister also is eligible to receive an annual cash bonus amount of \$130,000 for each 12-month period that he is employed by our general partner, provided that we achieve a distributable cash flow target set by the Compensation Committee. No such bonus was earned in respect of calendar year 2008. Prior to the Compensation Committee's determination of Mr. Hollister's awards for each of 2009 and 2010 under our general partner's Short-Term Incentive Plan, Mr. Hollister waived his annual contractual bonuses for those years. Under our general partner's short-term incentive plan,

Table of Contents

Mr. Hollister's 2009 and 2010 target awards were set at amounts that exceed his contractual bonus amount. The employment agreement provides that Mr. Hollister also is entitled to participate in the LTIP and in such other benefit plans and programs as our general partner may provide for its employees in general. The agreement includes a confidentiality provision which, subject to typical exceptions for requirement of law and public knowledge (other than as a result of unauthorized disclosure by Mr. Hollister), will continue for two years following Mr. Hollister's termination of employment. The agreement also includes non-competition provisions which continue during the term of the agreement and for a period of two years thereafter. Also see "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control" for a discussion of the provisions in Mr. Hollister's employment agreement, as amended, relating to termination, change of control and related payment obligations.

Edward J. Faneuil is employed as Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary pursuant to an employment agreement with our general partner. Mr. Faneuil's employment agreement became effective as of July 1, 2006 and continues through December 31, 2011 unless terminated earlier in accordance with the terms of the agreement. The agreement provides for an annual base salary of \$358,050 for the 12-month period commencing July 1, 2006. Thereafter, Mr. Faneuil's base salary will be reviewed by the Compensation Committee at least annually. In 2010, Mr. Faneuil's base salary was \$376,000. Mr. Faneuil also is entitled to receive bonuses in accordance with the then applicable short-term incentive plan as authorized by the Compensation Committee to be paid no later than March 15 of the calendar year immediately following the calendar year in which such bonuses are earned. Mr. Faneuil is eligible to participate in our general partner's health insurance, pension, 401(k) and other employee benefit plans and will also receive additional fringe benefits consistent with benefits previously provided to him under prior arrangements. Mr. Faneuil is eligible to participate in the LTIP on the same general basis as the other executive officers of our general partner. The agreement includes a confidentiality provision which, subject to typical exceptions for requirement of law and public knowledge (other than as a result of unauthorized disclosure by Mr. Faneuil), will continue for two years following Mr. Faneuil's termination of employment. The agreement also includes non-competition and non-solicitation provisions which continue during the term of the agreement and for a period of two years thereafter. Mr. Faneuil also has entered into a deferred compensation agreement with our general partner. See "Deferred Compensation Agreement" below for a description of this non-qualified deferred compensation plan. Mr. Faneuil also has entered into a supplemental executive retirement plan ("SERP") agreement with our general partner to provide him with supplemental retirement benefits in consideration of past and future services provided by him and in recognition of his ineligibility to participate in our increased benefits program in connection with the freezing of benefits under the pension plan. See "Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan Agreements" for a discussion of the provisions in Mr. Faneuil's SERP agreement. See "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control" for a discussion of the provisions in Mr. Faneuil's employment agreement, as amended, and in his amended and restated deferred compensation agreement relating to termination, change of control and related payment obligations.

Charles A. Rudinsky, Executive Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer, is an at will employee and does not have an employment agreement with our general partner. In 2010, Mr. Rudinsky's base salary was \$273,000. Mr. Rudinsky also has entered into a supplemental executive retirement plan ("SERP") agreement with our general partner to provide him with supplemental retirement benefits in consideration of past and future services provided by him and in recognition of his ineligibility to participate in our increased benefits program in connection with the freezing of benefits under the pension plan. See "Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan Agreements" for a discussion of the provisions in Mr. Rudinsky's SERP agreement.

Table of Contents

Deferred Compensation Agreement

On December 31, 2008, our general partner and Edward J. Faneuil entered into a deferred compensation agreement pursuant to which Mr. Faneuil will be subject to terms and conditions relating to confidential information, non-solicitation and non-competition, as provided therein. See "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control" for a discussion of the provisions in Mr. Faneuil's deferred compensation agreement relating to termination, change of control and related payment obligations.

Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan Agreements

On December 31, 2009, our general partner entered into SERP agreements with each of Edward J. Faneuil and Charles A. Rudinsky. The value of the SERP benefits to be provided under the agreements, expressed as single lump sum payments, will be \$159,355 for Mr. Faneuil and \$277,318 for Mr. Rudinsky. Each of Messrs. Faneuil and Rudinsky will acquire a fully vested and nonforfeitable interest in his respective SERP benefit only to the extent he is continuously employed with our general partner from December 31, 2009 through the vesting dates set forth in his agreement, or if he dies or becomes Disabled (as such term is defined in the agreements) or if there is a Change in Control (as such term is defined in the agreements). See "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control" for a discussion of the provisions in Mr. Faneuil's and Mr. Rudinsky's SERP agreements relating to termination, change of control and related payment obligations.

Table of Contents**Potential Payments upon a Change of Control or Termination**

The following table shows potential payments to our named executive officers under existing contracts, agreements, plans or arrangements, whether written or unwritten, for various scenarios involving a change of control or termination of employment of each such named executive officer assuming a December 31, 2010 termination date.

Name	Change in Control (\$)	Death (\$)	Disability (\$)	Termination by general partner without Cause / Constructive Termination / Breach by general partner		
				No Change in Control (\$)	With a Change In Control (\$)	Nonrenewal (\$)
Eric Slifka(1)						
Severance Amount		3,200,000	3,200,000	3,200,000	4,800,000	800,000
LTIP awards(5)	1,812,154	3,372,802	3,372,802	1,245,104	3,372,802	724,867
Fringe benefits		42,538	42,538	42,538	42,538	
Life insurance benefits		210,000				
Total	1,812,154	6,825,340	6,615,340	4,487,642	8,215,340	1,524,867
Thomas J. Hollister(2)						
Severance Amount				1,156,000	1,831,000	
LTIP awards(5)	1,268,510	1,268,510	1,268,510	1,268,510	1,268,510	
Fringe benefits				34,739	34,739	
Life insurance benefits		210,000				
Total	1,268,510	1,478,510	1,268,510	2,459,249	3,134,249	
Edward J. Faneuil(3)						
Severance Amount				752,000	1,027,000	
Deferred Compensation	819,477	819,477	819,477			
SERP benefit	159,355	159,355	159,355	31,871	31,871	
LTIP awards(5)	996,702			398,670	996,702	
Fringe benefits				28,890	28,890	
Life insurance benefits		210,000				
Total	1,975,534	1,188,832	978,832	1,211,431	2,084,463	
Charles A. Rudinsky(4)						
SERP benefit	277,318	277,318	277,318	55,463	55,463	
LTIP awards(5)						
Life insurance benefits		350,000				
Total	277,318	627,318	277,318	55,463	55,463	

(1)

Eric Slifka

On December 31, 2008, Mr. Slifka entered into a new employment agreement with our general partner for an initial term commencing January 1, 2009 and continuing through December 31, 2011.

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

This employment agreement may be terminated at any time by either party with proper notice. Under the employment agreement, assuming Mr. Slifka's employment was terminated on December 31, 2010 for any reason, he would have been entitled to receive (i) all amounts of his base salary due and owing up through the date of termination, (ii) any earned but unpaid bonus, (iii) all reimbursements of

Table of Contents

expenses appropriately and timely submitted, and (iv) any and all other amounts that may be due to him as of the date of termination (the "Accrued Obligations").

If Mr. Slifka's employment had been terminated by death or "Disability" (defined below) on December 31, 2010, within 10 days following such termination he (or his estate) would have been entitled to receive in addition to any Accrued Obligations:

- (i) a lump sum payment of \$1,600,000 (equal to his then base salary multiplied by 200%); plus
- (ii) a lump sum payment of \$1,600,000 (equal to his target incentive amount under the short-term incentive plan multiplied by 200%); plus
- (iii) his interests in our general partner's long-term incentive plans, as described below.

Additionally, our general partner would have continued the monthly payment of all group health and similar insurance premiums on behalf of his spouse and dependents for 24 months following the date of termination. For purposes of Mr. Slifka's employment agreement, "Disability" is defined as a physical or mental condition which (a) renders Mr. Slifka, with or without reasonable accommodation, unable to engage in any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months, or (b) by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months, results in Mr. Slifka receiving income replacement benefits for a period of not less than 3 months under an accident and health plan covering employees of our general partner.

Assuming Mr. Slifka's employment had been terminated by our general partner without cause or by Mr. Slifka for reasons constituting "Constructive Termination" (defined below) on December 31, 2010, within 10 days following such termination he would have been entitled to receive in addition to any Accrued Obligations:

- (i) a lump sum payment of \$1,600,000 (an amount equal to his then base salary multiplied by 200%); provided, however, that the lump sum payment would be increased to \$2,400,000 (an amount equal to his then base salary multiplied by 300%) if such termination were for reasons constituting Constructive Termination *and* such termination occurred within 12 months following a "Change in Control" (defined below); plus
- (ii) a lump sum payment of \$1,600,000 (an amount equal to his target incentive amount under the then applicable Short-Term Incentive Plan multiplied by 200%); provided, however, that the lump sum payment would be increased to \$2,400,000 (an amount equal to his target incentive amount under the then applicable Short-Term Incentive Plan multiplied by 300%) if such termination were for reasons constituting Constructive Termination *and* such termination occurred within 12 months following a "Change in Control" (defined below); plus
- (iii) his interests in our general partner's long-term incentive plans, as described below.

Also, our general partner would have continued the monthly payment of all group health and similar insurance premiums on behalf of Mr. Slifka's spouse and dependents for 24 months following the date of termination. For purposes of Mr. Slifka's employment agreement, "Constructive Termination" means termination of employment as a result of (a) any substantial diminution, without Mr. Slifka's written consent, in his working conditions consisting of (i) a material reduction in his duties and responsibilities, (ii) any change in the reporting structure so that he no longer reports solely to our Board of Directors, or (iii) a relocation of his place of work further than forty (40) miles from Waltham, Massachusetts, or (b) an uncured breach by the general partner of a material provision of the employment agreement, as amended. Pursuant to Mr. Slifka's employment agreement, a "Change in Control" would be deemed to have occurred upon (1) the date that any one person, entity or group (other than Alfred Slifka, Richard Slifka or Eric Slifka, or their respective family members or entities

Table of Contents

they control, individually or in the aggregate, directly or indirectly) acquires ownership of the membership interests of our general partner that, together with the membership interests of our general partner already held by such person, entity or group, constitutes more than 50% of the total fair market value or total voting power of the membership interests of our general partner; provided, however, if any one person, entity or group is considered to own more than 50% of the total fair market value or total voting power of the membership interests of our general partner, the acquisition of additional membership interests by the same person, entity or group shall not be deemed to be a Change in Control; (2) a consolidation or merger (in one transaction or a series of related transactions) of our general partner pursuant to which the holders of our general partner's equity securities immediately prior to such transaction or series of related transactions would not be the holders immediately after such transaction or series of related transactions of at least 50% of the voting power of the entity surviving such transaction or series of related transactions; or (3) the sale, lease, exchange or other transfer (in one transaction or a series of related transactions) of all or substantially all of our business and/or assets to a person other than Alfred Slifka, Richard Slifka or Eric Slifka, or their respective family members or entities they control, individually or in the aggregate, directly or indirectly.

With respect to Mr. Slifka's interests in our general partner's long-term incentive plans:

(i)

Assuming, at December 31, 2010, that Mr. Slifka's employment had been terminated for death or Disability, or by our general partner without Cause or by Mr. Slifka for Constructive Termination, in either case within twelve months following a Change in Control, then:

(a)

all outstanding and unvested phantom units that were granted to Mr. Slifka under our LTIP on December 31, 2008 automatically would become fully vested. Using the closing market price of \$27.40 per unit at December 31, 2010, the fair value of these phantom units would have been \$1,365,863 and the value of the associated DERs would have been \$194,785, for a total value of \$1,560,648;

(b)

all outstanding and unvested phantom units that were granted to Mr. Slifka under our LTIP on February 5, 2009 automatically would become fully vested without regard to the achievement of the performance goal provided in the grant. Using the closing market price of \$27.40 per unit at December 31, 2010, the fair value of these phantom units would have been \$1,812,154. There were no DERs associated with the February 5, 2009 grant.

(ii)

Assuming, at December 31, 2010, that Mr. Slifka's employment had been terminated by our general partner without Cause or by Mr. Slifka for Constructive Termination, but such termination did not occur within twelve months following a Change in Control, then:

i.

because Mr. Slifka was employed by our general partner for all six months of the vesting period for the installment that vested on December 31, 2011, 16,617 of the outstanding and unvested phantom units that were granted to Mr. Slifka under our LTIP on December 31, 2008 automatically would become fully vested. Using the closing market price of \$27.40 per unit at December 31, 2010, the fair value of these phantom units would have been \$455,306 and the value of the associated DERs would have been \$64,931, for a total value of \$520,237.

ii.

because Mr. Slifka was employed by our general partner for two years of the five year vesting period for his February 5, 2009 LTIP award, he would become fully vested in $\frac{2}{5}$ s of the then outstanding and unvested phantom units granted to him, or 26,455 phantom units, automatically without regard to the achievement of the performance goal provided in the grant. Using the closing market price of \$27.40 per unit at December 31, 2010, the

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

fair value of these phantom units would have been \$724,862. There were no DERs associated with the February 5, 2009 grant.

In the event of termination for "Cause" (defined below), assuming a termination date of December 31, 2010, Mr. Slifka would have been entitled to receive the Accrued Obligations only. For purposes of Mr. Slifka's employment agreement, "Cause" is defined as (a) engaging in gross negligence or willful misconduct in the performance of duties, (b) committing an act of fraud, embezzlement or willful breach of a fiduciary duty to us including our general partner and any of our subsidiaries (including the unauthorized disclosure of any of our material secret, confidential and/or proprietary information, knowledge or data), (c) being convicted of a crime involving fraud, dishonesty or moral turpitude or any felony, or (d) breaching any material provision of the employment agreement or of the Omnibus Agreement, which agreement contains non-competition provisions applicable to Mr. Slifka.

If Mr. Slifka's employment agreement is not renewed by our general partner effective January 1, 2012 and he does not continue to serve as our general partner's President and Chief Executive Officer following the expiration of the current term of his employment agreement, he will be entitled to be paid a lump sum payment equal to 100% of his then base salary plus a proportionate amount of the then outstanding and unvested phantom units awarded to him under our LTIP on February 5, 2009 plus any Accrued Obligations. For purposes of the above table we have assumed that Mr. Slifka's employment agreement was due to be renewed as of December 31, 2010 and we used Mr. Slifka's current base salary.

(2)

Thomas J. Hollister

The employment agreement between our general partner and Mr. Hollister provides, upon termination of his employment for any reason, that Mr. Hollister will receive payment through the date of termination of his employment of (i) any earned, but unpaid, base salary as then in effect, (ii) all earned, but unpaid, bonuses, and (iii) all accrued vacation, expense reimbursements and other benefits (other than severance benefits, except as provided below) due Mr. Hollister in accordance with the established plans and policies of our general partner or applicable law (the "Accrued Obligations").

In the event of a change in control (defined below), Mr. Hollister's employment agreement provides for accelerated vesting on any and all outstanding Partnership options, restricted units, phantom units, unit appreciation rights and other similar rights (under the LTIP or otherwise) held by him as in effect on the date of termination. The Compensation Committee granted to Mr. Hollister 9,120 phantom units and associated DERs under the LTIP on August 14, 2007, all of which vested on December 31, 2009 and were paid to Mr. Hollister in 2010, and 61,728 phantom units (without DERs) on February 5, 2009, 15,432 of which vested and were paid to Mr. Hollister in August 2009. No other such options, restricted units, phantom units, unit appreciation rights and other similar rights had been granted to Mr. Hollister as of December 31, 2010. Assuming a change of control event (as defined in the grant) had occurred on December 31, 2010, all outstanding and unvested phantom units that were granted to Mr. Hollister on February 5, 2009 automatically would become fully vested. Using the closing market price of \$27.40 per unit at December 31, 2010, the fair value of the February 5, 2009 awarded phantom units would have been \$1,268,510. Pursuant to Mr. Hollister's employment agreement, a "change in control" is deemed to occur on the date that any one person, entity or group (other than Alfred Slifka, Richard Slifka or Eric Slifka, or their respective family members or entities they control, individually or in the aggregate, directly or indirectly) acquires ownership of the membership interests of our general partner that, together with the membership interests of our general partner already held by such person, entity or group, constitutes more than 50% of the total voting power of the membership interests of our general partner.

Assuming Mr. Hollister's employment had been terminated on December 31, 2010 by our general partner for "Cause" (defined below) or by Mr. Hollister voluntarily (for reasons other than Constructive Termination), then following such termination Mr. Hollister (or his estate, if applicable)

Table of Contents

would have been entitled to the Accrued Obligations. For purposes of Mr. Hollister's employment agreement, "Cause" is defined as (1) engaging in gross negligence or willful misconduct in the performance of duties, (2) committing an act of fraud, embezzlement or willful breach of a fiduciary duty to us including our general partner and any of our subsidiaries (including the unauthorized disclosure of any material secret, confidential and/or proprietary information, knowledge or data of the Company or any of its subsidiaries), (3) being convicted of (or pleading no contest to) a crime involving fraud, dishonesty or moral turpitude or any felony, or (4) an uncured breach of any material provision of the agreement.

Assuming Mr. Hollister's employment had been terminated on December 31, 2010 by reason of Mr. Hollister's death or "Disability" (defined below), then following such termination Mr. Hollister (or his estate, if applicable) would have been entitled to the Accrued Obligations plus accelerated vesting of all outstanding and unvested phantom units that were granted to Mr. Hollister on February 5, 2009. Using the closing market price of \$27.40 per unit at December 31, 2010, the fair value of the February 5, 2009 awarded phantom units would have been \$1,268,510. Pursuant to Mr. Hollister's employment agreement, "Disability" means a physical or mental disability or impairment which renders Mr. Hollister unable, with or without reasonable accommodation, to perform the essential functions of his duties for a period of at least 90 consecutive days and, following the expiration of the initial 90-day period, a medical doctor or other appropriate health care provider, in either case selected solely by our general partner, has delivered an opinion to our general partner that such physical or mental disability or impairment is expected to continue for at least an additional 90 consecutive days.

Assuming Mr. Hollister's employment had been terminated on December 31, 2010 by our general partner without Cause, or by Mr. Hollister for reasons constituting "Constructive Termination" (defined below), Mr. Hollister would have been entitled to receive a severance payment in an amount equal to the sum of (i) twice his then base salary (\$1,156,000), plus (ii) if such termination had occurred within 12 months following a Change in Control, an additional amount equal to twice his target incentive amount under the applicable short-term incentive for the fiscal year 2010 (\$675,000), for a total severance amount of \$1,831,000. Such severance payment would be payable monthly in 24 equal installments. During such severance payment payout period, Mr. Hollister would remain eligible to participate in our general partner's health insurance, pension, 401(k) and other employee benefit plans in accordance with our general partner's policies and on the same general basis as other employees. Additionally, in the absence of any Change in Control, all of the outstanding and unvested phantom units that were granted to Mr. Hollister on February 5, 2009 would automatically vest. Using the closing market price of \$27.40 per unit at December 31, 2010, the fair value of the February 5, 2009 awarded phantom units would have been \$1,268,510. Mr. Hollister's employment agreement defines "Constructive Termination" as the termination of employment by Mr. Hollister as a result of (i) an uncured breach by the general partner of a material provision of the employment agreement, as amended, (ii) the failure of any successor (whether direct or indirect, by purchase, merger or otherwise) to all or substantially all of our business and/or assets to expressly assume and agree to perform the employment agreement, as amended or (iii) any material diminution, without Mr. Hollister's written consent, in his working conditions consisting of (a) a material reduction in his duties and responsibilities as the Chief Operating Officer or Chief Financial Officer, (b) any change in the reporting structure so that he no longer reports to the President or Chief Executive Officer of our general partner, or (c) a relocation of his place of work further than 40 miles from Waltham, Massachusetts. Assuming a December 31, 2010 termination date, in the event Mr. Hollister elected to terminate his employment for constructive termination at any time within three months before a change in control and 12 months after a change in control, then in addition to the foregoing severance and benefits Mr. Hollister also would have been entitled to the accelerated vesting provisions described above.

Table of Contents

Our general partner is obligated to reimburse Mr. Hollister for any and all federal excise taxes and penalties (other than penalties imposed as a result of Mr. Hollister's actions), and any taxes imposed upon such reimbursement amounts, including, but not limited to, any federal, state and local income taxes, employment taxes, and other taxes, if any, which may become due pursuant to the application of Sections 4999 and/or 409A of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the "Internal Revenue Code") on any payments to Mr. Hollister in connection with the employment agreement, as amended.

(3)

Edward J. Faneuil

The employment agreement between our general partner and Mr. Faneuil provides that, upon termination of his employment for any reason, Mr. Faneuil will receive payment through the date of termination of his employment of (i) any earned, but unpaid, base salary as then in effect, (ii) all earned, but unpaid, bonuses, and (iii) all accrued vacation, expense reimbursements and other benefits (other than severance benefits, except as provided below) due Mr. Faneuil in accordance with the established plans and policies of our general partner or applicable law (the "Accrued Obligations").

In the event of a change in control (defined below), Mr. Faneuil's employment agreement provides for accelerated vesting on any and all outstanding Partnership options, restricted units, phantom units, unit appreciation rights and other similar rights (under the LTIP or otherwise) held by him as in effect on the date of termination. The Compensation Committee granted to Mr. Faneuil 7,976 phantom units and associated DERs under the LTIP on August 14, 2007, all of which vested on December 31, 2009 and were paid to Mr. Faneuil in 2010, and 48,501 phantom units (without DERs) on February 5, 2009, 12,125 of which vested and were paid to Mr. Faneuil in August 2009. No other such options, restricted units, phantom units, unit appreciation rights and other similar rights had been granted to Mr. Faneuil as of December 31, 2010. Assuming a change of control event (as defined in the grant) had occurred on December 31, 2010, all outstanding and unvested phantom units and associated DERs that were granted to Mr. Faneuil on February 5, 2009 automatically would become fully vested. Using the closing market price of \$27.40 per unit at December 31, 2010, the fair value of the February 5, 2009 awarded phantom units would have been \$996,702. Pursuant to Mr. Faneuil's employment agreement, a "change in control" is deemed to occur on the date that any one person, entity or group (other than Alfred Slifka, Richard Slifka or Eric Slifka, or their respective family members or entities they control, individually or in the aggregate, directly or indirectly) acquires ownership of the membership interests of our general partner that, together with the membership interests of our general partner already held by such person, entity or group, constitutes more than 50% of the total voting power of the membership interests of our general partner.

Assuming Mr. Faneuil's employment had been terminated on December 31, 2010 (i) by our general partner for "Cause" (defined below), (ii) by Mr. Faneuil voluntarily (for reasons other than Constructive Termination), or (iii) by reason of Mr. Faneuil's death, then following such termination Mr. Faneuil (or his estate, if applicable) would have been entitled to the Accrued Obligations. For purposes of Mr. Faneuil's employment agreement, "Cause" is defined as (1) engaging in gross negligence or willful misconduct in the performance of duties, (2) committing an act of fraud, embezzlement or willful breach of a fiduciary duty to us including our general partner and any of our subsidiaries (including the unauthorized disclosure of any material secret, confidential and/or proprietary information, knowledge or data of the Company or any of its subsidiaries), (3) being convicted of (or pleading no contest to) a crime involving fraud, dishonesty or moral turpitude or any felony, or (4) an uncured breach of any material provision of the agreement.

Assuming Mr. Faneuil's employment had been terminated on December 31, 2010 by our general partner without Cause, or by Mr. Faneuil for reasons constituting "Constructive Termination" (defined below), Mr. Faneuil would have been entitled to receive a severance payment in an amount equal to the sum of (i) twice his then base salary (\$752,000), plus (ii) if such termination had occurred within 12 months following a Change in Control, an additional amount equal to twice his target incentive

Table of Contents

amount under the then applicable short-term incentive for the fiscal year (\$275,000), for a total severance amount of \$1,027,000. Such severance payment would be payable monthly in 24 equal installments. In addition, the general partner would provide health care continuation coverage benefits to Mr. Faneuil pursuant to the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1985 ("COBRA") and would continue to pay the applicable percentage of the medical insurance premium that it pays for active employees during the applicable COBRA coverage period. Mr. Faneuil's employment agreement defines "Constructive Termination" as the termination of employment by Mr. Faneuil as a result of (i) an uncured breach by the general partner of a material provision of the employment agreement, as amended, (ii) the failure of any successor (whether direct or indirect, by purchase, merger or otherwise) to all or substantially all of our business and/or assets to expressly assume and agree to perform the employment agreement, as amended or (iii) any material diminution, without Mr. Faneuil's written consent, in his working conditions consisting of (a) a material reduction in his duties and responsibilities as the Executive Vice-President and General Counsel, (b) any change in the reporting structure so that he no longer reports to the President or Chief Executive Officer of our general partner, or (c) a relocation of his place of work further than 40 miles from Waltham, Massachusetts. For purposes of Mr. Faneuil's employment agreement, however, Constructive Termination does not include a change in reporting structure as a result of our general partner becoming a subsidiary of an unrelated entity, including, without limitation, a change whereby Mr. Faneuil is not the chief legal officer or general counsel of the acquiring or parent entity or must report to the chief legal officer or general counsel of a currently unaffiliated parent corporation or entity. Assuming a December 31, 2010 termination date, in the event Mr. Faneuil elected to terminate his employment for constructive termination at any time within three months before a change in control and 12 months after a change in control, then in addition to the foregoing severance Mr. Faneuil also would have been entitled to the accelerated vesting provisions described above.

Our general partner and Mr. Faneuil also entered into an amended and restated deferred compensation agreement, pursuant to which Mr. Faneuil will be paid the sum of \$70,000 per year (the "Deferred Compensation") in equal monthly installments of \$5,833.33 on the first business day of each month for 15 years (180 months) commencing on the earlier of: (i) August 1, 2014, and (ii) the first business day of the month following Mr. Faneuil's "separation from service" (as defined in the Code) with our general partner for reasons other than "Cause" (as defined in the deferred compensation agreement), subject to earlier termination as provided in the agreement. In the event of an unforeseeable emergency as referenced in the deferred compensation agreement, our general partner will pay Mr. Faneuil within 15 days of the occurrence of the unforeseeable emergency the maximum amount allowable in a lump sum promptly following the occurrence of such unforeseeable emergency. The Deferred Compensation will be forfeited in its entirety in the event that our general partner terminates Mr. Faneuil's employment prior to August 1, 2014 for Cause or Mr. Faneuil terminates his employment for any reason other than death, disability or a Change in Control (as defined below). On and after the date on which Deferred Compensation payments commence, our general partner may terminate its obligations under the deferred compensation agreement for Cause or if our general partner subsequently determines within 18 months of Mr. Faneuil's termination that circumstances which would give rise to a for Cause termination of Mr. Faneuil otherwise existed at the time of his earlier termination. In the event of Mr. Faneuil's death prior to his receiving any or all of the aggregate amount of the Deferred Compensation (including the event of Mr. Faneuil's death before August 1, 2014), our general partner will pay Mr. Faneuil's beneficiary within 60 days of the date of his death a single lump sum payment in an amount equal to the present value of the remaining payments that would have been paid to Mr. Faneuil. If there is a Change in Control or Mr. Faneuil is determined to have become disabled prior to his receiving any or all of the aggregate amount of the Deferred Compensation (including if the Change of Control occurred or the determination that Mr. Faneuil became disabled were made before August 1, 2014), our general partner will pay to Mr. Faneuil within 60 days of the effective date of the Change in Control or the determination that Mr. Faneuil became

Table of Contents

disabled a single lump sum payment in an amount equal to the present value of the remaining payments that would have been paid to him had the Change in Control not occurred or had Mr. Faneuil not become disabled. For purposes of the deferred compensation agreement, "Cause", as defined in the deferred compensation agreement, means (a) any uncured material breach by Mr. Faneuil of his obligations under the deferred compensation agreement, (b) any breach by Mr. Faneuil of his confidentiality, non-competition and non-solicitation obligations set forth on Exhibit "A" to the deferred compensation agreement or included in his employment agreement with our general partner, (c) engagement in gross negligence or willful misconduct in the performance of his duties, (d) a conviction or plea of no contest to a crime involving fraud, dishonesty or moral turpitude or any felony, or (e) the commission of an act of embezzlement or willful breach of a fiduciary duty to our general partner, the Partnership or any of its Affiliates.

Mr. Faneuil entered into a SERP agreement with our general partner on December 31, 2009. The value of the benefit to be provided under the SERP agreement, expressed as a single lump sum payment, is \$159,355. Mr. Faneuil will acquire a fully vested and nonforfeitable interest in his SERP benefit only to the extent he is continuously employed with our general partner from December 31, 2009 through the vesting dates set forth in his SERP agreement, or if he dies or becomes Disabled (as such term is defined in the SERP agreement) after December 31, 2009 while employed with our general partner or if there is a Change in Control (as such term is defined in the SERP agreement).

Our general partner is obligated to reimburse Mr. Faneuil for any and all federal excise taxes and penalties (other than penalties imposed as a result of Mr. Faneuil's actions), and any taxes imposed upon such reimbursement amounts, including, but not limited to, any federal, state and local income taxes, employment taxes, and other taxes, if any, which may become due pursuant to the application of Sections 4999 and/or 409A of the Code on any payments to Mr. Faneuil in connection with the employment agreement, as amended. Mr. Faneuil and our general partner have agreed to reform any provision of the deferred compensation agreement, as amended, between them in a manner mutually agreeable to avoid imposition of any additional tax under the provisions of Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code and related regulations and Treasury pronouncements.

(4)

Charles A. Rudinsky

Mr. Rudinsky entered into a SERP agreement with our general partner on December 31, 2009. The value of the benefit to be provided under this SERP agreement, expressed as a single lump sum payment, is \$277,318. Mr. Rudinsky will acquire a fully vested and nonforfeitable interest in his SERP benefit only to the extent he is continuously employed with our general partner from December 31, 2009 through the vesting dates set forth in his SERP agreement, or if he dies or becomes Disabled (as such term is defined in the SERP agreement) after December 31, 2009 while employed with our general partner or if there is a Change in Control (as such term is defined in the SERP agreement).

(5)

LTIP Awards

On February 5, 2009, the Compensation Committee made grants of 88,183, 61,728, 48,501 and 17,637 phantom units under the LTIP, respectively, to Messrs. Eric Slifka, Hollister, Faneuil and Rudinsky. Upon a change of control event (as defined in the grant, as amended), all outstanding phantom units that were granted on February 5, 2009 to Messrs. Slifka, Hollister and Faneuil only and that have not otherwise vested automatically will become fully vested (in the case of the phantom units awarded to Mr. Slifka, without regard to the achievement of the performance goal.). See "Elements of Compensation *Long-Term Incentive Plan*" for information regarding performance restrictions and additional vesting terms.

Table of Contents**Other Benefits*****Pension Benefits***

The table below sets forth information regarding the present value as of December 31, 2010 of the accumulated benefits of our named executive officers under the Global Partners LP Pension Plan.

Pension Benefits at December 31, 2010

Name	Plan Name	Number of Years Credited Service (#)	Present Value of Accumulated Benefit (\$)	Payments During Last Fiscal Year (\$)
Eric Slifka	(1)	23	320,317	
Thomas J. Hollister	(1)	4	97,952	
Edward J. Faneuil	(1)	19	515,221	
Charles A. Rudinsky(2)	(1)	26	855,211	

(1) Global Partners LP Pension Plan

(2) From 1984 through 1988, Mr. Rudinsky was employed by National Petroleum Corporation, Inc. In 1988, a predecessor of the Partnership acquired all of the outstanding capital stock of National Petroleum Corporation, Inc. and Mr. Rudinsky became an employee of said predecessor of the Partnership. In connection with this acquisition, and for purposes of the Global Partners LP Pension Plan, Mr. Rudinsky was credited with four additional years of service for the period from 1984 through 1988.

All employees who (1) are 21 years of age or older, (2) are not covered by a collective bargaining agreement providing for union pension benefits, (3) have been employed by our predecessor, our general partner or one of our operating subsidiaries for one year prior to enrollment in the Pension Plan and (4) have worked for our predecessor, our general partner or one of our operating subsidiaries at least 1,000 hours during the applicable plan year are eligible to participate in the Global Partners LP Pension Plan (the "Pension Plan"). An employee is fully vested in benefits under the Pension Plan after completing five years of service or upon termination due to death, disability or retirement. When an employee retires at age 65, the employee can elect to receive either a lump sum distribution or monthly benefit payments under the Pension Plan equal to (1) 23% of the employee's average monthly compensation for the five consecutive calendar years during which the employee received the highest amount of pay ("Average Compensation") plus (2) 19.5% of the employee's Average Compensation in excess of his monthly "covered compensation" for Social Security purposes, as provided in the Pension Plan. However, if an employee completes less than 30 years of service on his termination at or after reaching age 65, the monthly benefit will be reduced by 1/30th for each year less than 30 years completed by the employee. If an employee is terminated before age 65, his benefit beginning at age 65 would be based on his Average Compensation multiplied by a fraction, the numerator of which is a number of years of service at termination (not to exceed 30) and the denominator of which is the number of years such employee would have served (not to exceed 30) had he stayed until age 65. An employee who is terminated after completing at least five years of service will be eligible for an early retirement benefit determined as described in the preceding sentence at any time after attaining age 60. Effective December 31, 2009, the Pension Plan was amended to freeze participation in and benefit accruals under the Pension Plan.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the estimated annual pension benefits payable upon retirement under the Global Partners LP Pension Plan formula to persons in the specified compensation and years of service classifications:

Highest Consecutive 5-Year Average Compensation \$	Estimated Annual Pension for Representative Years of Credited Service					
	5 Years	10 Years	15 Years	20 Years	25 Years	30 Years & Over
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
125,000	6,924	13,849	20,773	27,697	34,621	41,546
150,000	8,695	17,390	26,085	34,780	43,475	52,171
175,000	10,466	20,932	31,398	41,864	52,330	62,796
200,000	12,237	24,474	36,710	48,947	61,184	73,421
225,000	14,008	28,015	42,023	56,030	70,038	84,046
245,000 and above	15,424	39,849	46,273	61,697	77,121	92,546

Benefits under the formula are based upon the employee's highest consecutive five-year average compensation and are not subject to offset for social security benefits. Compensation for such purposes means compensation including overtime, but excluding bonuses, commissions, any program of deferred compensation, employee benefits, moving expense, transportation allowances, salary continuation, and additional forms of remuneration.

401(k) Savings and Profit Sharing Plan

The 401(k) Savings and Profit Sharing Plan permits all eligible employees to make voluntary pre-tax contributions to the plan, subject to applicable tax limitations. Effective January 1, 2010, our general partner may make a discretionary matching contribution to the plan for each eligible employee equal to 50% of each employee's contribution, up to a maximum contribution of 4% of the employee's pre-tax annual compensation, subject to certain limitations under federal law. Eligible employees may elect to contribute up to 60% of their compensation to the plan for each plan year. Employee contributions are subject to annual dollar limitations, which are periodically adjusted by the cost of living index. Participants in the plan are always fully vested in any matching contributions under the plan; however, additional discretionary contributions are subject to a vesting schedule ranging from two to six years. The plan is intended to be tax-qualified under Section 401(a) of the Internal Revenue Code so that contributions to the plan, and income earned on plan contributions, are not taxable to employees until withdrawn from the plan, and so that contributions, if any, will be deductible when made.

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Compensation of Directors

The following table sets forth (i) certain information concerning the compensation earned by our directors in 2010, and (ii) the aggregate amounts of stock awards and option awards, if any, held by each director at the end of the last fiscal year:

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards \$(1)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings	Total (\$)
Alfred Slifka	62,000			62,000
Richard Slifka	62,000			62,000
Eric Slifka(2)			56,781	
Thomas J. Hollister(3)			14,862	
Robert J. McCool	83,000	27,360		110,360
David McKown	81,000	27,360		108,360
Kenneth I. Watchmaker	90,500	27,360		117,860

- (1) On April 20, 2010, Messrs. McCool, McKown and Watchmaker, as our independent directors, were each granted 1,200 phantom units under the LTIP. None of the phantom units granted were outstanding at December 31, 2010 as the phantom units vested on that date and became payable on a one-for-one basis in our common units (or cash equivalent) in connection with the achievement of certain performance goals over the vesting period. The amounts above were calculated in accordance with accounting guidance for share-based compensation. The fair value of the award at the April 20, 2010 grant date approximated the fair value of our common unit at that date.
- (2) Mr. Eric Slifka, as an executive officer of our general partner, is otherwise compensated for his services and therefore receives no separate compensation for his service as a director. He was granted phantom units and associated DERs under the LTIP in 2007, phantom units and DERs under the LTIP in 2008, and phantom units without DERs in 2009 and he participated in the Global Partners LP Pension Plan pursuant to his employment agreement with our general partner, and not in his capacity as a director.
- (3) Mr. Thomas Hollister, as an executive officer of our general partner, is otherwise compensated for his services and therefore receives no separate compensation for his service as a director. He was granted phantom units and associated DERs under the LTIP in 2007 and phantom units without DERs in 2009 and he participated in the Global Partners LP Pension Plan pursuant to his employment agreement with our general partner, and not in his capacity as a director.

Employees of our general partner who also serve as directors do not receive additional compensation. In 2010, directors who are not employees of our general partner (1) received: (a) \$50,000 annual cash retainer; (b) \$1,000 for each meeting of the board of directors attended; (c) \$2,000 for each audit committee meeting attended (limited to payment for one committee meeting per day); and (d) \$1,000 for each committee meeting other than the audit committee meeting attended (limited to payment for one committee meeting per day), and (2) are eligible to participate in the LTIP. In 2010, the chair of the audit committee received an additional \$7,500.

Each director also is reimbursed for out-of-pocket expenses in connection with attending meetings of the board of directors or committees. Each director will be fully indemnified by us for actions associated with being a director to the extent permitted under Delaware law.

Table of Contents

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

Since the formation of Global GP LLC and throughout the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, the Compensation Committee of Global GP LLC's board of directors has comprised of Robert J. McCool, David McKown and Kenneth I. Watchmaker, none of whom are officers or employees of our general partner or any of its affiliates. Mr. Alfred Slifka serves as the Chairman of the board of directors and is an employee of Alliance Energy LLC, which is a related party to (and customer of) the Partnership. Mr. Richard Slifka serves as Vice Chairman of our general partner's board of directors and is the Treasurer and an employee of Alliance Energy LLC. Mr. Eric Slifka serves as a director of Alliance Energy LLC.

Compensation Committee Report

The Compensation Committee of Global GP LLC held three meetings during fiscal year 2010. The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis required by Item 402(b) of Regulation S-K with management. Based upon such review, the related discussions and such other matters deemed relevant and appropriate by the Compensation Committee, the Compensation Committee has recommended to the board of directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Form 10-K.

Kenneth I. Watchmaker (Chairman)
Robert J. McCool
David McKown

Table of Contents**Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.**

The following table sets forth as of March 8, 2011 the beneficial ownership of units of Global Partners LP held by certain beneficial owners of more than 5% of the units, by each director and named executive officer of our general partner and by all directors and executive officers of our general partner as a group:

Name of Beneficial Owner(1)	Common Units Beneficially Owned	Percentage of Common Units Beneficially Owned
Kayne Anderson Capital Advisors L.P.(2)	3,038,006	14.1%
Richard A. Kayne(2)	3,038,006	14.1%
Global GP LLC(3)	15,546	*
Global Petroleum Corp.(4)	1,725,463	8.0%
Larea Holdings LLC(5)	564,984	2.6%
Larea Holdings II LLC(6)	282,492	1.3%
Montello Oil Corporation(7)	2,348,078	10.9%
Sandwich Terminal, L.L.C.(8)	8,475	*
Chelsea Terminal Limited Partnership(9)	120,356	*
Alfred A. Slifka(4)(7)(8)(9)(10)	4,252,482	19.7%
Richard Slifka(4)(6)(7)(8)(9)(10)	4,534,871	21.0%
Eric Slifka(5)(11)	703,138	3.3%
Thomas J. Hollister	40,084	*
Edward J. Faneuil	34,394	*
Charles A. Rudinsky	13,698	*
David K. McKown	2,427	*
Robert J. McCool	11,227	*
Kenneth I. Watchmaker	5,927	*
All directors and executive officers as a group (9 persons)	5,395,876	25.0%

*
Less than 1%

(1) The address for each person or entity listed, other than Kayne Anderson Capital Advisors, L.P. and Richard A. Kayne, is P.O. Box 9161, 800 South Street, Suite 200, Waltham, Massachusetts 02454-9161.

(2) According to a Schedule 13G/A filed on February 9, 2011, Kayne Anderson Capital Advisors, L.P. and Richard A. Kayne beneficially owned 3,038,006 common units, representing 26.79% of the common units then outstanding. The subordinated units of the Partnership held by affiliates of the Slifka family converted to common units effective as of February 16, 2011, thereby reducing the percentage ownership of Kayne Anderson Capital Advisors, L.P. and Richard A. Kayne to 14.1% of the common units outstanding. The address for Kayne Anderson Capital Advisors, L.P. and Richard A. Kayne is 1800 Avenue of the Stars, Second Floor, Los Angeles, California 90067.

(3) Purchased by our general partner for the purpose of assisting us in meeting our anticipated obligations to deliver common units under our Long-Term Incentive Plan to officers, directors and employees, and meeting obligations under existing employment agreements with the officers of our general partner. Affiliates of the Slifka family own 100% of the ownership interests in Global GP LLC. These affiliates of the Slifka family disclaim beneficial ownership of the units owned by Global GP LLC. The units beneficially owned by our general partner are excluded from the units beneficially owned by all directors and executive officers as a group.

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

- (4) ASRS Global General Partnership owns 100% of the ownership interests in Global Petroleum Corp. Alfred A. Slifka and Richard Slifka are equal owners of ASRS Global General Partnership. As general partners of ASRS Global General Partnership, Alfred A. Slifka and Richard Slifka share voting and investment power with respect to, and therefore may be deemed to beneficially own, the units owned by Global Petroleum Corp.
- (5) Eric Slifka has sole voting and investment power with respect to units owned by Larea Holdings LLC. Eric Slifka may, therefore, be deemed to beneficially own the units held by Larea Holdings LLC. Eric Slifka is the son of Alfred A. Slifka.
- (6) Richard Slifka is the trustee of a voting trust with sole voting and investment power with respect to units owned by Larea Holdings II LLC. Richard Slifka may, therefore, be deemed to beneficially own the units held by Larea Holdings II LLC.
- (7) ASRS Montello General Partnership owns 72.8% of the ownership interests in Montello Oil Corporation. Alfred A. Slifka and Richard Slifka are equal owners of ASRS Montello General Partnership. Alfred A. Slifka and Richard Slifka share voting and investment power with respect to and, therefore, may be deemed to beneficially own, the units owned by Montello Oil Corporation. Alfred Slifka Montello Irrevocable Trust ("AS Montello") owns 13.6% of Montello Oil Corporation. Alfred A. Slifka is the beneficial owner of AS Montello. Richard Slifka Montello Irrevocable Trust ("RS Montello") owns 13.6% of Montello Oil Corporation. Richard Slifka is the beneficial owner of RS Montello.
- (8) Alfred A. Slifka and Richard Slifka are equal owners of Sandwich Terminal, L.L.C. and share voting and investment power with respect to and, therefore, may be deemed to beneficially own, the units owned by Sandwich Terminal, L.L.C.
- (9) Chelsea Terminal Corp. is the general partner of Chelsea Terminal Limited Partnership. Alfred A. Slifka and Richard Slifka are equal owners of Chelsea Terminal Corp. and each owns a 50% limited partner interest in Chelsea Terminal Limited Partnership. Alfred A. Slifka and Richard Slifka share voting and investment power with respect to and, therefore, may be deemed to beneficially own, the units owned by Chelsea Terminal Limited Partnership.
- (10) Beneficially owned unit amounts for each of Alfred A. Slifka and Richard Slifka include the units owned by Global Petroleum Corp., Montello Oil Corporation, Sandwich Terminal, L.L.C. and Chelsea Terminal Limited Partnership. Alfred A. Slifka and Richard Slifka are brothers.
- (11) Beneficially owned unit amounts for Eric Slifka include the units owned by Larea Holdings LLC.

Table of Contents**Equity Compensation Plan Table**

The following table summarizes information about our equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2010:

Plan Category	Number of Securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and right	Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))
	(a)	(b)	(c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders			
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders(1)			564,242
Total			564,242

(1)

For a description of the material terms of the Long-Term Incentive Plan, please see Item 11, "Executive Compensation Long-Term Incentive Plan."

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.

As of March 8, 2011, affiliates of our general partner, including directors and executive officers of our general partner, owned 5,411,422 common units. In addition, our general partner owns a 1.06% general partner interest in us.

Distributions and Payments to Our General Partner and Its Affiliates

The following table summarizes the distributions and payments to be made by us to our general partner and its affiliates in connection with the ongoing operation and liquidation of Global Partners LP pursuant to our partnership agreement. These distributions and payments were determined by and among affiliated entities and, consequently, are not the result of arm's-length negotiations.

Operational Stage

Distributions of available cash to our general partner and its affiliates

We will generally make cash distributions of 98.94% to the unitholders, including affiliates of our general partner (including directors and executive officers of our general partner), as the holders of an aggregate of 5,411,422 common units and 1.06% to our general partner. In addition, if distributions exceed the minimum quarterly distribution and other higher target levels, our general partner will be entitled to increasing percentages of the distributions, up to 49.06% of the distributions above the highest target level.

Assuming we have sufficient available cash to pay the full minimum quarterly distribution on all of our outstanding units for four quarters, our general partner and its affiliates, including directors and executive officers of our general partner, would receive an annual distribution of approximately \$0.4 million on the 1.06% general partner interest and \$10.0 million on their common units.

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Payments to our general partner and its affiliates	Our general partner does not receive a management fee or other compensation for its management of Global Partners LP. Our general partner and its affiliates are reimbursed for expenses incurred on our behalf. Our partnership agreement provides that our general partner determines the amount of these expenses.
--	---

Withdrawal or removal of our general partner	If our general partner withdraws or is removed, its general partner interest and its incentive distribution rights will either be sold to the new general partner for cash or converted into common units, in each case for an amount equal to the fair market value of those interests.
--	--

Liquidation Stage

Liquidation	Upon our liquidation, the partners, including our general partner, will be entitled to receive liquidating distributions according to their particular capital account balances.
-------------	--

Omnibus Agreement

We are a party to an omnibus agreement with certain members of the Slifka family and our general partner that addresses the agreement of certain members of the Slifka family not to compete with us and to cause their affiliates not to compete with us under certain circumstances. The omnibus agreement also addressed certain environmental indemnity obligations of Global Petroleum Corp. and certain of its affiliates, which indemnity obligations have expired. This agreement is not the result of arm's-length negotiations and may not have been effected on terms at least as favorable to the parties to this agreement as could have been obtained from unaffiliated third parties.

Noncompetition

Each of Alfred A. Slifka, Chairman of our general partner, and Richard Slifka, Vice Chairman of our general partner, agreed, and caused their affiliates to agree, for so long as such individual, Eric Slifka, President, Chief Executive Officer and a director of our general partner, or controlled affiliates thereof, individually or as part of a group, control our general partner, and Eric Slifka agreed, and caused his affiliates to agree, through December 31, 2011, not to engage in, acquire or invest in any business having assets engaged in the following businesses: (1) the wholesale marketing, sale, distribution and transportation (other than transportation by truck) of refined petroleum products in the United States, provided such activity generates qualifying income (as defined in Section 7704 of the Internal Revenue Code); (2) the storage of refined petroleum products in connection with any of the activities described in (1); and (3) bunkering. These restrictions will not apply to:

any assets not contributed to us in connection with our initial public offering, including any replacements and natural extensions thereof;

the ownership, individually or collectively, of up to 9.9% in a publicly traded entity that competes with us as long as none of Alfred A., Richard or Eric Slifka are on the board of directors of the publicly traded entity;

any investment in or acquisition of any restricted business in an aggregate amount of up to \$5.0 million per year; and

any investment in or acquisition of any restricted business in excess of an aggregate amount of \$5.0 million per year with the approval of our conflicts committee.

Table of Contents

Eric Slifka further agreed, pursuant to his employment agreement with our general partner, that the noncompetition obligations contained in the omnibus agreement shall continue to apply to him throughout the term of his employment agreement (including the renewal term, if any) and, in the event his employment is terminated (a) by our general partner without cause or by Mr. Slifka for reasons constituting constructive termination, (b) by our general partner for cause, or (c) by Mr. Slifka for reasons other than constructive termination, for one additional year from the date of such termination

In addition, pursuant to Eric Slifka's employment agreement, Eric Slifka also agreed that for a period of one year following his date of termination, he will not solicit or induce any employee of our general partner to terminate his/her employment with, or otherwise cease his/her relationship with our general partner.

Shared Services Agreements

We are party to shared services agreements with Global Petroleum Corp. and with Alliance Energy LLC. We believe the terms of these agreements are at least as favorable as could have been obtained from unaffiliated third parties. Under each agreement, we provide Global Petroleum Corp. and Alliance Energy LLC with certain accounting, treasury, legal, information technology, human resources and financial operations support for which Global Petroleum Corp. and Alliance Energy LLC, as applicable, pay us an amount based upon the cost associated with provision of such services. In addition, Global Petroleum Corp. provides us with certain terminal, environmental and operational support services, for which we pay a fee based on an agreed assessment of the cost associated with provision of such services. Alliance may also provide us with certain support services for which we would pay a fee based on an agreed assessment of the cost associated with provision of such services. We paid to Global Petroleum Corp. a net total of \$87,000, \$73,000 and \$86,000 and received from Alliance Energy LLC a total of \$196,000, \$382,000 and \$866,000 for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The decrease in amounts received from Alliance Energy LLC in 2010 compared to 2009 and 2008 is because the nature, scope and extent of services previously provided by us have diminished. The agreement with Global Petroleum Corp. is for an indefinite term, and either party may terminate its receipt of some or all of the services thereunder upon 180 days' notice at any time after January 1, 2009. As of December 31, 2010, no such notice of termination was given by either party. The agreement is for an indefinite term, and Alliance may terminate its receipt of some or all of the services thereunder upon 180 days' notice at any time after January 1, 2008. As of December 31, 2010, no such notice of termination was given by either party.

Management Agreements

In connection with the acquisition of 190 retail gas stations and supply rights to an additional 31 gas stations (collectively, the "Facilities") from ExxonMobil, Global Companies LLC and Global Montello Group Corp. are parties to facilities management agreements with Alliance Energy LLC. We believe the terms of these agreements are at least as favorable as could have been obtained from unaffiliated third parties. Each management agreement is for an initial term continuing through September 30, 2013. Either party to each management agreement may extend the term for consecutive additional one-year terms by giving written notice of its election to extend the term not less than twenty-four months prior to the expiration of the then current term, subject to the parties' mutual agreement on the management fee for such extension.

Pursuant to the management agreements, Alliance Energy LLC will supervise and direct the day-to-day management and operations of the Facilities for an aggregate annual management fee of \$2.6 million, commencing October 1, 2010. Global Companies LLC and Global Montello Group Corp. will share in paying the annual management fees consistent with their ownership of the assets acquired pursuant to the purchase agreement. Alliance Energy LLC will manage the operations of the Facilities

Table of Contents

in accordance with annual budgets to be approved by Global Companies LLC and Global Montello Group Corp., respectively. Global Companies LLC and Global Montello Group Corp. are responsible for the salaries of the employees directly employed to manage and operate the Facilities and for a portion of the salaries of certain administrative personnel of Alliance Energy LLC as may be approved by Global Companies LLC and/or Global Montello Group Corp. in accordance with the management agreements and the approved annual budgets. All matters pertaining to the employment, supervision, compensation, promotion, and discharge of such employees are the responsibility of Alliance Energy LLC. Pursuant to the management agreements, Alliance Energy LLC is required to indemnify Global Companies LLC and Global Montello Group Corp. from and against any and all claims and damages of any nature whatsoever arising out of or incidental to Alliance Energy LLC's performance of its responsibilities under the management agreements caused by or due to fraud, gross negligence, willful misconduct or a material breach by Alliance Energy LLC of any provision of the management agreements. Alliance Energy LLC's aggregate liability is capped at \$5.0 million, over and above the utilization of any and all insurance proceeds. Collectively, Global Companies LLC and Global Montello Group Corp. paid to Alliance Energy LLC a total of \$0.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Sub-Jobber Agreements

Also in connection with the acquisition of the Facilities, Global Companies LLC and ExxonMobil entered into an Assignment of Branded Wholesaler PMPA Franchise Agreements (the "Assignment") pursuant to which ExxonMobil assigned its existing Branded Wholesaler PMPA Franchise Agreement (the "Franchise Agreement") with Alliance Energy LLC, a Sub-jobber, to Global Companies LLC, effective March 1, 2011 (with respect to Alliance Energy LLC's Mobil-branded retail gas stations in Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island and Vermont) and effective June 30, 2011 (with respect to Alliance Energy LLC's Mobil-branded retail gas stations in Maine).

Effective March 1, 2011, Global Companies LLC and Alliance Energy LLC entered into an Amended and Restated Distributor PMPA Franchise Agreement (the "New Franchise Agreement") amending and restating the Franchise Agreement in its entirety. Pursuant to the New Franchise Agreement, Alliance Energy LLC will purchase all of its Mobil-branded fuel from Global Companies LLC for a term of seven years. The price for fuel purchased under the New Franchise Agreement will be Global Companies LLC's distributor rack price for Mobil-branded fuels as in effect at the time of purchase. Pursuant to the New Franchise Agreement, Alliance Energy LLC is granted the right to continue using the Mobil name and trade dress (collectively, the "Mobil Flag") at its existing Mobil-branded stations. The New Franchise Agreement further requires Alliance Energy LLC to comply with Global Companies LLC's and ExxonMobil's quality assurance standards, customer service requirements and brand image requirements related to the use, promotion and protection of the Mobil Flag.

Simultaneously with the New Franchise Agreement, Global Companies LLC and Alliance Energy LLC entered into a Volume Incentive Program Agreement (the "VIP Agreement"). Pursuant to the VIP Agreement, Alliance Energy LLC will receive a per gallon rebate on all Mobil-branded fuel purchased from Global Companies LLC pursuant to the New Franchise Agreement. The amount of the rebate varies depending on the average gallons purchased per station and on the total aggregate gallons purchased per year. The VIP Agreement will remain in effect for the term of the New Franchise Agreement. Receipt of payments made pursuant to the VIP Agreement is conditioned on Alliance Energy LLC complying with the terms of the New Franchise Agreement.

Throughput Agreement with Global Petroleum Corp.

We have an exclusive throughput agreement with Global Petroleum Corp., one of our affiliates, with respect to the Revere terminal in Revere, Massachusetts. We believe the terms of this agreement

Table of Contents

are at least as favorable as could have been obtained from unaffiliated third parties. We retain the title of all products stored at this terminal. The term of this agreement ends July 31, 2014. The agreement automatically renews annually unless it is terminated by either party by giving 90 days notice. We pay a monthly fee to Global Petroleum Corp., which is adjusted according to the Consumer Price Index for the Northeast region and for certain contractual costs. Including increases in certain contractual costs but excluding amortization of deferred rent, we paid to Global Petroleum Corp. a total of \$8.6 million, \$8.4 million and \$8.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Throughout the term of the throughput agreement with Global Petroleum Corp., we will have a right of first refusal through September 30, 2014 to purchase or lease the Revere terminal if Global Petroleum Corp. desires to sell or lease the Revere terminal to a third party.

Relationship of Management with Global Petroleum Corp. and Alliance Energy LLC

Some members of our management team are also officers and/or directors of two of our affiliates, Global Petroleum Corp. and Alliance Energy LLC. Global Petroleum Corp. is wholly owned by ASRS Global General Partnership, an entity that is owned equally by Alfred A. and Richard Slifka. Messrs. Hollister, Faneuil and Rudinsky spend a portion of their time providing services to Global Petroleum Corp. under a shared services agreement. Please read " Shared Services Agreements."

Alliance Energy LLC is wholly owned by AE Holdings Corp., which is approximately 95% owned by members of the Slifka family. Alfred A. and Richard Slifka each own approximately 16% of AE Holdings Corp. and they together control another 63% of this entity through voting trusts for the benefit of their six children, each of whom owns approximately 10.5% of AE Holdings Corp. The remaining 5% ownership interest is held by an unaffiliated third party. Under a shared services agreement, Messrs. Eric Slifka, Hollister, Faneuil and Rudinsky spend a portion of their time providing services to Alliance Energy LLC. Please read " Shared Services Agreements."

We sell refined petroleum products to Alliance at prevailing market prices at the time of delivery. Sales to Alliance Energy LLC were approximately \$20.1 million, \$19.8 million and \$29.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Year-over-year increases or decreases in sales to Alliance Energy LLC are due to correlated increases or decreases in refined petroleum product prices.

Policies Relating to Conflicts of Interest

Conflicts of interest exist and may arise in the future as a result of the relationships between our general partner and its affiliates, on the one hand, and us and our unaffiliated limited partners, on the other hand. The directors and officers of our general partner have fiduciary duties to manage our general partner in a manner beneficial to its owners. At the same time, our general partner has a fiduciary duty to manage us in a manner beneficial to our unitholders and us. Our partnership agreement modifies and limits our general partner's fiduciary duties to unitholders. Our partnership agreement also restricts the remedies available to unitholders for actions taken by our general partner that might otherwise constitute breaches of fiduciary duty under applicable Delaware law. The Delaware Revised Uniform Limited Partnership Act provides that Delaware limited partnerships may, in their partnership agreements, expand, restrict or eliminate the fiduciary duties otherwise owed by a general partner to limited partners and the partnership.

Under our partnership agreement, whenever a conflict arises between our general partner or its affiliates, on the one hand, and us or any other partner, on the other, our general partner will resolve that conflict. Our general partner will not be in breach of its obligations under our partnership agreement or its duties to us or our unitholders if the resolution of the conflict is:

approved by the conflicts committee of our general partner, although our general partner is not obligated to seek such approval;

Table of Contents

approved by the vote of a majority of the outstanding common units, excluding any common units owned by our general partner or any of its affiliates;

on terms no less favorable to us than those generally being provided to or available from unaffiliated third parties; or

fair and reasonable to us, taking into account the totality of the relationships between the parties involved, including other transactions that may be particularly favorable or advantageous to us.

Our general partner may, but is not required to, seek the approval of such resolution from the conflicts committee of the board of directors our general partner. If our general partner does not seek approval from the conflicts committee and its board of directors determines that the resolution or course of action taken with respect to the conflict of interest satisfies either of the standards set forth in the third and fourth bullet points above, then it will be presumed that, in making its decision, the board acted in good faith, and in any proceeding brought by or on behalf of us or any of any limited partner, the person bringing or prosecuting such proceeding will have the burden of overcoming such presumption. Unless the resolution of a conflict is specifically provided for in our partnership agreement, our general partner or the conflicts committee may consider any factors it determines in good faith to consider when resolving a conflict. When our partnership agreement requires someone to act in good faith, it requires that person to reasonably believe that he is acting in the best interests of the partnership, unless the context otherwise requires.

Director Independence

Please see Item 10, "Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance" for information regarding director independence.

Table of Contents**Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services.**

The audit committee of the board of directors of Global GP LLC selected Ernst & Young LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, to audit the books, records and accounts of Global Partners LP for the 2010 and 2009 calendar years. The audit committee's charter, which is available on our website at www.globalp.com, requires the audit committee to approve in advance all audit and non-audit services to be provided by our independent registered public accounting firm. All services reported in the audit, audit-related, tax and all other fees categories below were approved by the audit committee.

Fees paid to Ernst & Young LLP were as follows (in thousands):

	2010	2009
Audit Fees(1)	\$ 2,145	\$ 1,662
Audit-Related Fees	63	45
Tax Fees(2)	666	739
Total	\$ 2,874	\$ 2,446

(1) Represents fees for professional services provided primarily in connection with the audits of our annual financial statements and reviews of our quarterly financial statements. Audit fees also included Ernst & Young's audits of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting at December 31, 2010 and 2009, and fees for 2010 included audits performed as part of our prospectus supplement filings and registrations filings on Form S-3.

(2) Tax fees included tax planning and tax return preparation.

Table of Contents

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.

(a)

The following documents are included with the filing of this report:

1. Financial statements
See "Index to Financial Statements" on page F-1.
2. Financial statement schedules:

Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

All other schedules for which provision is made in the applicable accounting regulation of the Securities and Exchange Commission are not required under the related instructions or are inapplicable and, therefore, have been omitted.
3. Exhibits
See "Exhibit Index" immediately following the financial statement schedules in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for a description of the documents that are filed as Exhibits to this report or incorporated herein by reference.

(b)

The following documents are filed as Exhibits to this report:

- 3.1 Third Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Global Partners LP dated as of December 9, 2009 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 15, 2009).
- 4.1 Registration Rights Agreement, dated May 9, 2007, by and between Global Partners LP and the purchasers named therein (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 10, 2007).
- 4.2 Class B Unit Purchase Agreement, dated March 17, 2007, by and among Global Partners LP and the purchasers named therein (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on August 6, 2007).
- 10.1 Omnibus Agreement, dated October 4, 2005, by and among Global Petroleum Corp., Montello Oil Corporation, Global Revco Dock, L.L.C., Global Revco Terminal, L.L.C., Global South Terminal, L.L.C., Sandwich Terminal, L.L.C., Chelsea Terminal Limited Partnership, Global GP LLC, Global Partners LP, Global Operating LLC, Alfred A. Slifka, Richard Slifka and Eric Slifka (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 11, 2005).
- 10.2^ Global Partners GP Long-Term Incentive Plan effective as of October 4, 2005 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Amendment No. 1 to Form S-1 (File No. 333-124755) filed on July 1, 2005).
- 10.3 Amended and Restated Services Agreement, dated October 4, 2005, by and among Global Petroleum Corp., Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group LLC, and Chelsea Sandwich LLC (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 11, 2005).
- 10.4 Amended and Restated Services Agreement, dated October 4, 2005, by and between Alliance Energy Corp. and Global Companies LLC (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 11, 2005).

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

- 10.5 Second Amended and Restated Terminal Storage and Throughput Agreement, dated October 4, 2005 by and among Global Petroleum Corp., Global Companies LLC and Global Montello Group LLC (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 11, 2005).
- 10.6 Credit Agreement, dated October 4, 2005, among Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group LLC, Glen Hes Corp. and Chelsea Sandwich LLC, as borrowers, Global Partners LP and Global GP LLC, as guarantors, each lender from time to time party thereto and Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent and L/C issuer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 11, 2005).
- 10.7 First Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of November 10, 2005, among Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group Corp., Glen Hes Corp. and Chelsea Sandwich LLC, as borrowers, Global Partners LP, Global GP LLC, as guarantors, each lender from time to time party thereto, and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and L/C issuer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 14, 2005).
- 10.8^ Employment Agreement dated April 19, 2006, by and between Global GP LLC and Thomas J. Hollister (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 11, 2006).
- 10.9 Second Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of August 2, 2006, among Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group Corp., Glen Hes Corp. and Chelsea Sandwich LLC, as borrowers, Global Partners LP and Global GP LLC, as guarantors, each lender from time to time party thereto, and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and L/C Issuer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 3, 2006).
- 10.10^ Employment Agreement dated February 1, 2007, by and between Global GP LLC and Edward J. Faneuil (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 6, 2007).
- 10.11 Third Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of April 24, 2007, among Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group Corp., Glen Hes Corp. and Chelsea Sandwich LLC, as borrowers, Global Partners LP and Global GP LLC, as guarantors, each lender from time to time party thereto, and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and L/C Issuer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 26, 2007).
- 10.12 Terminals Sale and Purchase Agreement, dated March 16, 2007 by and between Global Partners LP and ExxonMobil Oil Corporation (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 9, 2007).
- 10.13 Forms of LTIP Grant Agreements dated August 14, 2007 (Named Executive Officers who are party to an employment agreement with Global GP LLC) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 20, 2007).

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

- 10.14 Form of LTIP Grant Agreement (Directors) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 20, 2007).
- 10.15 Form of LTIP Grant Agreement (General) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 20, 2007).
- 10.16 Fourth Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of August 21, 2007, among Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group Corp., Glen Hes Corp. and Chelsea Sandwich LLC, as borrowers, Global Partners LP and Global GP LLC, as guarantors, each lender from time to time party thereto, and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and L/C Issuer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 24, 2007).
- 10.17 Fifth Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of October 23, 2007, among Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group Corp., Glen Hes Corp. and Chelsea Sandwich LLC, as borrowers, Global Partners LP and Global GP LLC, as guarantors, each lender from time to time party thereto, and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and L/C Issuer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 24, 2007).
- 10.18 Sixth Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of November 29, 2007, among Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group Corp., Glen Hes Corp. and Chelsea Sandwich LLC, as borrowers, Global Partners LP and Global GP LLC, as guarantors, each lender from time to time party thereto, and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and L/C Issuer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 3, 2007).
- 10.19 Terminals Sale and Purchase Agreement, dated July 9, 2007 by and between Global Partners LP and ExxonMobil Oil Corporation (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 14, 2008).
- 10.20 Waiver Letter and Seventh Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of March 13, 2008, among Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group Corp., Glen Hes Corp. and Chelsea Sandwich LLC, as borrowers, Global Partners LP and Global GP LLC, as guarantors, each lender from time to time party thereto, and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and L/C Issuer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 8, 2008).
- 10.21 Eighth Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of June 13, 2008, among Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group Corp., Glen Hes Corp. and Chelsea Sandwich LLC, as borrowers, Global Partners LP and Global GP LLC, as guarantors, each lender from time to time party thereto, and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and L/C Issuer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 17, 2008).

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

- 10.22 Ninth Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of July 18, 2008, among Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group Corp., Glen Hes Corp. and Chelsea Sandwich LLC, as borrowers, Global Partners LP and Global GP LLC, as guarantors, each lender from time to time party thereto, and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and L/C Issuer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 21, 2008).
- 10.23 Tenth Amendment to Credit Agreement and Limited Waiver, dated as of September 26, 2008, among Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group Corp., Glen Hes Corp. and Chelsea Sandwich LLC, as borrowers, Global Partners LP and Global GP LLC, as guarantors, each lender from time to time party thereto, and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and L/C Issuer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 1, 2008).
- 10.24^ Employment Agreement dated December 31, 2008, by and between Global GP LLC and Eric S. Slifka (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 7, 2009).
- 10.25^ Amendment No. 1 to Employment Agreement dated December 31, 2008, by and between Global GP LLC and Thomas Hollister (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 7, 2009).
- 10.26^ Amendment No. 1 to Employment Agreement dated December 31, 2008, by and between Global GP LLC and Edward J. Faneuil (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 7, 2009).
- 10.27^ Amended and Restated Deferred Compensation Agreement dated December 31, 2008, by and between Global GP LLC and Edward J. Faneuil (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 7, 2009).
- 10.28^ First Amendment to LTIP Grant Agreement dated December 31, 2008 for Eric Slifka (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 7, 2009).
- 10.29^ First Amendment to LTIP Grant Agreement (Named Executive Officers who are party to an employment agreement with Global GP LLC (except Mr. Slifka)), LTIP Grant Agreement (Directors) and LTIP Grant Agreement (General) dated December 31, 2008 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 7, 2009).
- 10.30^ Amendment No. 1 to Employment Agreement dated February 4, 2009, by and between Global GP LLC and Eric S. Slifka (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.30 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 13, 2009).
- 10.31^ Amendment No. 2 to Employment Agreement dated February 4, 2009, by and between Global GP LLC and Thomas Hollister (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.31 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 13, 2009).
- 10.32^ Amendment No. 2 to Employment Agreement dated February 4, 2009, by and between Global GP LLC and Edward J. Faneuil (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.32 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 13, 2009).

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

10.33^	Amendment No. 3 to Employment Agreement dated March 11, 2009, by and between Global GP LLC and Edward J. Faneuil (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.33 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 13, 2009).
10.34	Joinder Agreement, dated as of August 5, 2009, among Global Energy Marketing LLC, as a new guarantor, Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group Corp., Glen Hes Corp. and Chelsea Sandwich LLC, as borrowers, Global Partners LP and Global GP LLC, as guarantors, and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and L/C Issuer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 7, 2009).
10.35^	Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan dated December 31, 2009, between Global GP LLC and Edward J. Faneuil (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 7, 2010).
10.36^	Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan dated December 31, 2009, between Global GP LLC and Charles A. Rudinsky (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 7, 2010).
10.37	Consent Regarding Capital Expenditure Limit for 2010 Fiscal Year, dated as of January 26, 2010, among Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group Corp., Glen Hes Corp. and Chelsea Sandwich LLC, as borrowers, Global Partners LP, Global GP LLC and Global Energy Marketing LLC, as guarantors, each lender from time to time party to the Credit Agreement, and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and L/C Issuer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 29, 2010).
10.38	Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of May 14, 2010, among Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group Corp., Glen Hes Corp., Chelsea Sandwich LLC, GLP Finance Corp. and Global Energy Marketing LLC as borrowers, Global Partners LP and Global GP LLC, as guarantors, each lender from time to time party thereto, Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and L/C Issuer, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. as Syndication Agent, Societe Generale, Standard Chartered Bank, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. and RBS Citizens, National Association as Co-Documentation Agents and Banc of America Securities LLC and JP Morgan Securities Inc. as Joint Lead Arrangers and Joint Book Managers (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 18, 2010).
10.39	Letter Agreement for 2010 Shared Services Fee Structure, dated as of May 12, 2010 between Global Companies LLC and Alliance Energy LLC (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 18, 2010).
10.40	First Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Terminal Storage Rental and Throughput Agreement, dated May 12, 2010 among Global Petroleum Corp., Global Companies LLC and Global Montello Group Corp. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 18, 2010).
10.41**	Sale and Purchase Agreement, dated May 24, 2010 among ExxonMobil Oil Corporation and Exxon Mobil Corporation, as sellers, and Global Companies LLC (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 6, 2010).

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

10.42	First Amendment to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of August 18, 2010, by and among Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group Corp., Glen Hes Corp., Chelsea Sandwich LLC, GLP Finance Corp. and Global Energy Marketing LLC as borrowers, Global Partners LP and Global GP LLC, as guarantors, each lender from time to time party thereto, Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and L/C Issuer, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. as Syndication Agent, Societe Generale, Standard Chartered Bank, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. and RBS Citizens, National Association as Co-Documentation Agents (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 24, 2010).
10.43	First Amendment to Sale and Purchase Agreement, effective August 12, 2010 among ExxonMobil Oil Corporation and Exxon Mobil Corporation, as sellers, and Global Companies LLC (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 31, 2010).
10.44	Second Amendment to Sale and Purchase Agreement, dated September 7, 2010, among ExxonMobil Oil Corporation and Exxon Mobil Corporation, as sellers, and Global Companies LLC, as buyer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 9, 2010).
10.45	Facilities Management Agreement, dated September 8, 2010, between Global Montello Group Corp. and Alliance Energy LLC (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 14, 2010).
10.46	Facilities Management Agreement, dated September 8, 2010, 2010, between Global Companies LLC and Alliance Energy LLC (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 14, 2010).
10.47^	Amendment No. 4 to Employment Agreement dated November 2, 2010 by and between Global GP LLC and Edward J. Faneuil (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 8, 2010).
10.48	Brand Fee Agreement, dated September 3, 2010, between ExxonMobil Oil Corporation and Global Companies LLC (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A filed on January 20, 2011).
10.49*	Assignment of Branded Wholesaler PMPA Franchise Agreements, effective March 1, 2011 between Global Companies LLC, Alliance Energy LLC and ExxonMobil Oil Corporation.
10.50*	Amended and Restated Distributor PMPA Franchise Agreement, dated March 1, 2011, between Global Companies LLC and Alliance Energy LLC.
10.51*	Volume Incentive Program, dated March 1, 2011, between Global Companies LLC and Alliance Energy LLC.
21.1*	List of Subsidiaries of Global Partners LP.
23.1*	Consent of Ernst & Young LLP.

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

31.1*	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Principal Executive Officer of Global GP LLC, general partner of Global Partners LP.
31.2*	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Principal Financial Officer of Global GP LLC, general partner of Global Partners LP.
32.1	Section 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer of Global GP LLC, general partner of Global Partners LP.
32.2	Section 1350 Certification of Chief Financial Officer of Global GP LLC, general partner of Global Partners LP.

^

Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

*

Filed herewith.

Not deemed "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or otherwise subject to the liability of that section.

**

Schedules and Exhibits have been omitted pursuant to Item 601(b)(2) of Regulation S-K and will be furnished supplementally to the SEC upon request.

Portions of this exhibit have been omitted pursuant to a request for confidential treatment.

Table of Contents**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

By: Global GP LLC,
its general partner

Dated: March 11, 2011

By: /s/ ERIC SLIFKA

Eric Slifka

President and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities indicated on March 11, 2011.

Signature	Title
<u>/s/ ERIC SLIFKA</u> Eric Slifka	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer)
<u>/s/ THOMAS J. HOLLISTER</u> Thomas J. Hollister	Chief Operating Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Director (Principal Financial Officer)
<u>/s/ CHARLES A. RUDINSKY</u> Charles A. Rudinsky	Executive Vice President, Chief Accounting Officer and Co-Director of Mergers and Acquisitions (Principal Accounting Officer)
<u>/s/ ALFRED A. SLIFKA</u> Alfred A. Slifka	Chairman
<u>/s/ RICHARD SLIFKA</u> Richard Slifka	Vice Chairman
<u>/s/ DAVID K. MCKOWN</u> David K. McKown	Director
<u>/s/ ROBERT J. MCCOOL</u> Robert J. McCool	Director
<u>/s/ KENNETH I. WATCHMAKER</u> Kenneth I. Watchmaker	Director

Table of Contents

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

F-2

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2010 and 2009

F-3

Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008

F-4

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008

F-5

Consolidated Statements of Partners' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008

F-6

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

F-7

F-1

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors of Global GP LLC
and Unitholders of Global Partners LP

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Global Partners LP ("the Partnership") as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of income, partners' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15(a). These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Partnership's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Global Partners LP at December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Global Partners LP's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated March 11, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP

Boston, Massachusetts
March 11, 2011

Table of Contents

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In thousands, except unit data)

	December 31,	
	2010	2009
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 2,361	\$ 662
Accounts receivable (less allowance of \$3,799 and \$3,006 as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively)	553,066	335,912
Accounts receivable affiliates	1,230	1,565
Inventories	586,831	465,923
Brokerage margin deposits	15,501	18,059
Fair value of forward fixed price contracts	1,942	3,089
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	36,714	37,648
 Total current assets	 1,197,645	 862,858
Property and equipment, net	422,684	159,292
Intangible assets, net	40,065	28,557
Other assets	11,922	1,996
 Total assets	 \$ 1,672,316	 \$ 1,052,703

Liabilities and partners' equity

Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 443,469	\$ 243,449
Working capital revolving credit facility current portion	193,198	221,711
Environmental liabilities current portion	5,535	3,296
Trustee taxes payable	69,828	41,484
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	30,494	36,120
Income taxes payable		461
Obligations on forward fixed price contracts and other derivatives	9,157	21,114
 Total current liabilities	 751,681	 567,635
Working capital revolving credit facility less current portion	293,502	240,889
Revolving credit facility	300,000	71,200
Environmental liabilities less current portion	28,970	2,254
Other long-term liabilities	21,347	13,305
 Total liabilities	 1,395,500	 895,283

Commitments and contingencies (See Note 13)

Partners' equity

Common unitholders (13,293,139 units issued and 13,232,629 outstanding at December 31, 2010 and 7,428,139 units issued and 7,380,996 outstanding at December 31, 2009)	292,267	165,129
Subordinated unitholders (5,642,424 units issued and outstanding at December 31, 2010)	(1,623)	(713)

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

and 2009)

General partner interest (1.20% and 1.73% interest with 230,303 equivalent units outstanding at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively)

	(66)	(29)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(13,762)	(6,967)

Total partners' equity	276,816	157,420
------------------------	---------	---------

Total liabilities and partners' equity	\$ 1,672,316	\$ 1,052,703
--	--------------	--------------

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-3

Table of Contents

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(In thousands, except per unit data)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Sales	\$ 7,801,559	\$ 5,818,411	\$ 9,019,123
Cost of sales	7,634,841	5,668,583	8,899,332
Gross profit	166,718	149,828	119,791
Costs and operating expenses:			
Selling, general and administrative expenses	66,063	61,048	42,060
Operating expenses	47,781	35,043	31,788
Amortization expenses	3,526	2,986	2,937
Total costs and operating expenses	117,370	99,077	76,785
Operating income	49,348	50,751	43,006
Interest expense	(22,310)	(15,188)	(20,799)
Income before income tax expense	27,038	35,563	22,207
Income tax expense		(1,429)	(1,152)
Net income	27,038	34,134	21,055
Less: General partner's interest in net income, including incentive distribution rights	(677)	(791)	(564)
Limited partners' interest in net income	\$ 26,361	\$ 33,343	\$ 20,491
Basic net income per limited partner unit	\$ 1.61	\$ 2.56	\$ 1.57
Diluted net income per limited partner unit	\$ 1.59	\$ 2.51	\$ 1.57
Basic weighted average limited partner units outstanding	16,346	13,017	13,071
Diluted weighted average limited partner units outstanding	16,597	13,279	13,071
Distributions per limited partner unit	\$ 1.96	\$ 1.95	\$ 1.95

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(In thousands)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Cash flows from operating activities			
Net income	\$ 27,038	\$ 34,134	\$ 21,055
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	20,082	14,740	14,188
Amortization of deferred financing fees	3,007	1,169	938
Disposition of property and equipment and other	(5)	4	5
Bad debt expense	1,060	2,172	660
Stock-based compensation expense	228	1,946	745
Curtailment gain		(1,479)	
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable	(218,214)	(91,446)	191,868
Accounts receivable affiliate	335	953	1,790
Inventories	(120,908)	(225,577)	243,913
Broker margin deposits	2,558	(9,068)	3,554
Prepaid expenses, all other current assets and other assets	(12,077)	(6,226)	(15,821)
Accounts payable	200,020	23,666	(151,559)
Income taxes payable	(461)	(59)	778
Trustee taxes payable	28,344	8,906	(6,917)
Change in fair value of forward fixed price contracts	(10,810)	171,858	(194,983)
Accrued expenses, all other current liabilities and other long-term liabilities	(7,391)	13,178	(10,994)
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	(87,194)	(61,129)	99,220
Cash flows from investing activities			
Acquisitions	(248,359)		
Capital expenditures	(14,687)	(9,075)	(11,524)
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	49	13	14
Net cash used in investing activities	(262,997)	(9,062)	(11,510)
Cash flows from financing activities			
Proceeds from public offerings, net	132,240		
Borrowings from (payments on) credit facilities, net	252,900	100,300	(61,500)
Payments on note payable, other			(1,239)
Repurchase of common units	(882)	(3,956)	
Repurchased units withheld for tax obligations	(404)	(386)	
Distributions to partners	(31,964)	(26,050)	(26,136)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	351,890	69,908	(88,875)
Cash and cash equivalents			
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	1,699	(283)	(1,165)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	662	945	2,110
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 2,361	\$ 662	\$ 945
Supplemental information			
Cash paid during the year for interest	\$ 22,048	\$ 15,280	\$ 20,703

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

Cash paid during the year for income taxes	\$	1,748	\$	1,572	\$	404
--	----	-------	----	-------	----	-----

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-5

Table of Contents

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF PARTNERS' EQUITY

(In thousands)

	Common Unitholders	Subordinated Unitholders	General Partner Interest	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Total Partners' Equity
Balance December 31, 2007	\$ 165,271	\$ (2,116)	\$ (88)	\$ (2,716)	\$ 160,351
Stock-based compensation	745				745
Distributions to partners	(14,484)	(11,004)	(648)		(26,136)
Comprehensive income:					
Net income	11,560	8,931	564		21,055
Other comprehensive income:					
Change in fair value of interest rate collars				(9,518)	(9,518)
Change in pension liability				(3,029)	(3,029)
Total comprehensive income					8,508
Balance December 31, 2008	163,092	(4,189)	(172)	(15,263)	143,468
Stock-based compensation	1,946				1,946
Distributions to partners	(14,398)	(11,004)	(648)		(26,050)
Phantom unit dividends	(32)				(32)
Repurchase of common units	(3,956)				(3,956)
Repurchased units withheld for tax obligations	(386)				(386)
Comprehensive income:					
Net income	18,863	14,480	791		34,134
Other comprehensive income:					
Change in fair value of interest rate collars				3,879	3,879
Curtailment gain				3,619	3,619
Change in pension liability				798	798
Total comprehensive income					42,430
Balance December 31, 2009	165,129	(713)	(29)	(6,967)	157,420
Proceeds from public offerings, net	132,240				132,240
Stock-based compensation	228				228
Distributions to partners	(20,204)	(11,046)	(714)		(31,964)
Phantom unit dividends	(65)				(65)
Repurchase of common units	(882)				(882)
Repurchased units withheld for tax obligations	(404)				(404)
Comprehensive income:					
Net income	16,225	10,136	677		27,038
Other comprehensive income:					
Change in fair value of interest rate collars				(6,371)	(6,371)
Change in pension liability				(424)	(424)
Total comprehensive income					20,243

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

Balance December 31, 2010	\$	292,267	\$	(1,623)	\$	(66)	\$	(13,762)	\$	276,816
----------------------------------	----	---------	----	---------	----	------	----	----------	----	---------

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-6

Table of Contents

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1. Organization and Nature of Business

Global Partners LP (the "Partnership") is a publicly traded master limited partnership that engages in the wholesale and commercial distribution of refined petroleum products and small amounts of natural gas and renewable fuels and also provides ancillary services to companies. The Partnership also receives revenue from retail sales of gasoline, convenience store sales and gas station rental income.

The Partnership has five operating subsidiaries: Global Companies LLC, its subsidiary, Glen Hes Corp., Global Montello Group Corp. ("GMG"), Chelsea Sandwich LLC and Global Energy Marketing LLC ("Global Energy") (the five operating subsidiaries, collectively, the "Companies"). The Companies (other than Glen Hes Corp.) are wholly owned by Global Operating LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Partnership. GMG conducts the Partnership's end user business, including certain aspects of its retail gasoline business. Global Energy was formed to conduct the Partnership's natural gas operations and commenced operations in January 2010. In addition, GLP Finance Corp. ("GLP Finance") is a wholly owned subsidiary of the Partnership. GLP Finance has no material assets or liabilities. Its activities will be limited to co-issuing debt securities and engaging in other activities incidental thereto.

Recent Developments

Retail Gas Stations/Fuel Supply Acquisition On September 30, 2010, the Partnership completed its acquisition of retail gas stations and supply rights for cash consideration of approximately \$202.3 million, plus the assumption of certain environmental liabilities. See Note 5.

Acquisition of Warex Terminals On June 2, 2010, the Partnership completed its acquisition of three refined petroleum products terminals located in Newburgh, New York for cash consideration of \$46.0 million, plus the assumption of certain environmental liabilities. See Note 5 for additional information related to the transaction.

Ethanol and Rail Expansion Project In October 2010, the Partnership completed an ethanol and rail expansion project that adds ethanol storage at its refined petroleum product terminal in Albany, New York. The project, which became operational in October 2010, was jointly developed with Canadian Pacific Railway Limited and includes modifications that enable the terminal to schedule the delivery of 80-car trains of ethanol and allows ethanol to be shipped directly on a single rail line from the Midwest. Beyond supplying its own business, the Partnership further invested in its Albany terminal having installed a marine vapor recovery system for barge-loading of ethanol and gasoline at the dock and expanded the rack to allow for additional ethanol and gasoline sales. The Partnership believes the supply efficiencies gained through this project position it to be a premier cost effective supplier of gasoline and ethanol to the Northeast. In December 2010, the U.S. Volumetric Ethanol Excise Tax Credit, which had been authorized through December 31, 2010, was extended for one year. In a separate and complementary project, the Partnership is converting two distillate storage tanks to gasoline storage at the Albany facility.

Conversion of Subordinated Units Subsequent to December 31, 2010, based upon meeting certain distribution and performance tests provided in the Partnership's partnership agreement, all 5,642,424 subordinated units have converted to common units. See Note 21.

Table of Contents

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 1. Organization and Nature of Business (Continued)

Public Offerings of Common Units

On March 19, 2010, the Partnership completed a public offering of 3,910,000 common units at a price of \$22.75 per common unit. Net proceeds were approximately \$84.6 million, after deducting underwriting fees and offering expenses. On November 16, 2010, the Partnership completed a public offering of 1,955,000 common units at a price of \$25.57 per common unit. Net proceeds were approximately \$47.7 million, after deducting underwriting fees and offering expenses. The Partnership used the net proceeds from each of these offerings to reduce indebtedness outstanding under its Credit Agreement.

On February 8, 2011, the Partnership completed a public offering of 2,645,000 common units at a price of \$27.60 per common unit. Net proceeds were approximately \$69.7 million, after deducting underwriting fees and offering expenses. The Partnership used the net proceeds to reduce indebtedness outstanding under its Credit Agreement. See Note 15 for additional information related to these public offerings.

The Partnership's 1.06% general partner interest (reduced from 1.73% following the Partnership's public offerings discussed above and in Notes 15 and 21) is held by Global GP LLC, the Partnership's general partner (the "General Partner"). The General Partner, which is owned by affiliates of the Slifka family, manages the Partnership's operations and activities and employs its officers and substantially all of its personnel. As of December 31, 2010, affiliates of the General Partner, including its directors and executive officers, owned 282,141 common units and 5,642,424 subordinated units.

Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Consolidation and Presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 and for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 reflect the accounts of the Partnership. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results may differ from those estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Partnership considers highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less at the time of purchase to be cash equivalents. The carrying value of cash and cash equivalents approximates fair value.

Table of Contents**GLOBAL PARTNERS LP****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)*****Accounts Receivable***

The Partnership's accounts receivable result from sales of refined petroleum products and natural gas to its customers. The majority of the Partnership's accounts receivable relates to its petroleum marketing activities that can generally be described as high volume and low margin activities. The Partnership makes a determination of the amount, if any, of a line of credit it may extend to a customer based on the form and amount of financial performance assurances the Partnership requires. Such financial assurances are commonly provided to the Partnership in the form of standby letters of credit, personal guarantees or corporate guarantees.

The Partnership reviews all accounts receivable balances on a monthly basis and records a reserve for estimated amounts it expects will not be fully recovered. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, substantially all of the Partnership's accounts receivable classified as current assets were within payment terms.

Inventories

Except for its convenience store inventory, the Partnership hedges substantially all of its inventory purchases through futures contracts and swap agreements. Hedges are executed when inventory is purchased and are identified with that specific inventory. Changes in the fair value of these contracts, as well as the offsetting gain or loss on the hedged inventory item, are recognized in earnings as an increase or decrease in cost of sales. All hedged inventory is valued using the lower of cost, as determined by specific identification, or market. Prior to sale, hedges are removed from specific barrels of inventory, and the then unhedged inventory is sold and accounted for on a first-in, first-out basis. In addition, the Partnership has convenience store inventory which is carried at the lower of historical cost or market.

Inventories consisted of the following at December 31 (in thousands):

	2010	2009
Distillates: home heating oil, diesel and kerosene	\$ 377,123	\$ 339,737
Residual oil	35,749	39,787
Gasoline	115,542	64,645
Blend stock	55,919	21,754
Total	584,333	465,923
Convenience store inventory	2,498	
Total	\$ 586,831	\$ 465,923

In addition to its own inventory, the Partnership has exchange agreements with unrelated third-party suppliers, whereby it may draw inventory from these other suppliers (see *Revenue Recognition*) and suppliers may draw inventory from the Partnership. Positive exchange balances are accounted for as accounts receivable and amounted to \$126.8 million and \$22.9 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Negative exchange balances are accounted for as accounts payable and amounted to \$115.2 million and \$10.2 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Exchange transactions are valued using current quoted market prices.

Table of Contents

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)***Property and Equipment***

Property and equipment are stated at cost. Expenditures for routine maintenance, repairs and renewals are charged to expense as incurred, and major improvements are capitalized. Depreciation is charged to cost of sales and selling, general and administrative expenses over the estimated useful lives of the applicable assets, using principally straight-line methods. The Partnership capitalizes interest on qualified long-term projects and depreciates it over the life of the related asset. The estimated useful lives are as follows:

Buildings, docks, terminal facilities and improvements	15-25 years
Gasoline stations	25 years
Gasoline station equipment	7 years
Fixtures, equipment and automobiles	3-7 years

Intangibles and Other Long-Lived Assets

Intangibles are carried at cost less accumulated amortization. For assets with determinable useful lives, amortization is computed using the straight line method over the estimated economic useful lives of the respective intangible assets, ranging from 2 to 20 years. Accounting and reporting guidance for long-lived assets, including intangibles, requires that a long-lived asset (group) be reviewed for impairment only when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the long-lived asset (group) might not be recoverable. Accordingly, the Partnership evaluates for impairment whenever indicators of impairment are identified. The impairment evaluation is based on the projected cash flows of the particular asset. No impairments of long-lived assets were recorded during 2010, 2009 and 2008.

Environmental and Other Liabilities

The Partnership accrues for all direct costs associated with the estimated resolution of contingencies at the earliest date at which it is deemed probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of such liability can be reasonably estimated. Costs accrued are estimated based upon an analysis of potential results, assuming a combination of litigation and settlement strategies and outcomes.

Estimated losses from environmental remediation obligations generally are recognized no later than completion of the remedial feasibility study. Loss accruals are adjusted as further information becomes available or circumstances change. Costs of future expenditures for environmental remediation obligations are not discounted to their present value.

Recoveries of environmental remediation costs from other parties are recognized as assets when their receipt is deemed probable.

The Partnership is subject to other contingencies, including legal proceedings and claims arising out of its businesses that cover a wide range of matters, including, among others, environmental matters and contract and employment claims. Environmental and other legal proceedings may also include matters with respect to businesses previously owned. Further, due to the lack of adequate information and the potential impact of present regulations and any future regulations, there are certain circumstances in which no range of potential exposure may be reasonably estimated. See Notes 9 and 19.

Table of Contents

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Leases

The Partnership leases office space and computer equipment and also has entered into terminal and throughput lease arrangements with various unrelated oil terminals. In addition, the Partnership leases certain gas stations from third parties under long-term arrangements with various expiration dates. Accounting and reporting guidance for leases requires that leases be evaluated and classified as operating or capital leases for financial reporting purposes. The lease term used for lease evaluation includes option periods only in instances in which the exercise of the option period can be reasonably assured and failure to exercise such options would result in an economic penalty.

Revenue Recognition

Sales relate primarily to the sale of refined petroleum products and natural gas and are recognized along with the related receivable upon delivery, net of applicable provisions for discounts and allowances. Allowances for cash discounts are recorded as a reduction of sales at the time of sale based on the estimated future outcome. The Partnership also provides for shipping costs at the time of sale, which are included in cost of sales. The amounts recorded for bad debts are generally based upon historically derived percentages while also factoring in any new business conditions that might impact the historical analysis, such as market conditions and bankruptcies of particular customers. Bad debt provisions are included in selling, general and administrative expenses. Convenience store products are recognized net of applicable provisions for discounts and allowances upon delivery, generally, at the point of sale. Rental income is recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease.

Revenue is not recognized on exchange agreements, which are entered into primarily to acquire various refined petroleum products of a desired quality or to reduce transportation costs by taking delivery of products closer to the Partnership's end markets. Any net differential for exchange agreements is to be recorded as a nonmonetary adjustment of inventory costs.

The Partnership collects trustee taxes, which consist of various pass through taxes collected from customers on behalf of taxing authorities, and remits such taxes directly to those taxing authorities. As such, it is the Partnership's policy to exclude trustee taxes from revenues and cost of sales and account for them as liabilities.

Income Taxes

Section 7704 of the Internal Revenue Code provides that publicly-traded partnerships are, as a general rule, taxed as corporations. However, an exception, referred to as the "Qualifying Income Exception," exists under Section 7704(c) with respect to publicly-traded partnerships of which 90% or more of the gross income for every taxable year consists of "qualifying income." Qualifying income includes income and gains derived from the transportation, storage and marketing of crude oil, natural gas and products thereof. Other types of qualifying income include interest (other than from a financial business), dividends, gains from the sale of real property and gains from the sale or other disposition of capital assets held for the production of income that otherwise constitutes qualifying income.

Substantially all of the Partnership's income is "qualifying income" for federal and state income tax purposes and, therefore, is not subject to federal and state income taxes at the partnership level. Accordingly, no provision has been made for income taxes on the qualifying income in the Partnership's financial statements. Net income for financial statement purposes may differ significantly from taxable

Table of Contents

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

income reportable to unitholders as a result of differences between the tax bases and financial reporting bases of assets and liabilities and the taxable income allocation requirements under the Third Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Global Partners LP. Individual unitholders have different investment bases depending upon the timing and price at which they acquired their Partnership units. Further, each unitholder's tax accounting, which is partially dependent upon the unitholder's tax position, differs from the accounting followed in the Partnership's consolidated financial statements. Accordingly, the aggregate difference in the basis of the Partnership's net assets for financial and tax reporting purposes cannot be readily determined because information regarding each unitholder's tax attributes in the Partnership is not available to the Partnership.

GMG is a taxable entity for federal and state income tax purposes. Current and deferred income taxes are recognized on the separate earnings of GMG for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008. The after-tax earnings of GMG are included in the earnings of the Partnership. Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes for GMG. See Note 4.

GMG files income tax returns in the U.S. and various state jurisdictions. The Partnership is subject to income tax examinations by tax authorities for all years dated back to 2008.

The Partnership performed an evaluation of all material tax positions for the tax years that remain subject to examination by major tax jurisdictions as of December 31, 2010 (tax years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008). Tax positions that do not meet the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold at the financial statement date may not be recognized or continue to be recognized under the accounting guidance for income taxes. Based on such evaluation, the Partnership concluded that there were no significant uncertain tax positions requiring adjustment regarding recognition in its financial statements as of December 31, 2010. Where required, the Partnership recognizes interest and penalties for uncertain tax positions in selling, general and administrative expenses.

Concentration of Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Partnership to concentration of credit risk consist primarily of cash, cash equivalents, accounts receivable, firm commitments and, under certain circumstances, futures contracts, options and swap agreements. The Partnership invests excess cash primarily in investment-grade securities and, by policy, limits the amount of credit exposure to any one financial institution. The Partnership provides credit, in the normal course of business, primarily to other wholesale and retail petroleum companies and generally does not require collateral. The Partnership performs ongoing credit evaluations of its customers and provides for credit losses based on specific information and historical trends. Credit risk on trade receivables is minimized as a result of the Partnership's large customer base. Losses have historically been within management's expectations. See Note 3 for a discussion regarding risk of credit loss related to futures contracts, options and swap agreements.

The Partnership's wholesale and commercial customers of refined petroleum products are located in the Northeast. The Partnership's retail gas stations are located in Massachusetts, New Hampshire and Rhode Island.

Table of Contents**GLOBAL PARTNERS LP****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)**

As demand for some of the Partnership's refined petroleum products, specifically home heating oil and residual oil for space heating purposes, is generally greater during the winter months, sales are generally higher during the first and fourth quarters of the calendar year which may result in significant fluctuations in the Partnership's quarterly operating results.

The following table presents the Partnership's products as a percentage of total sales for the years ended December 31:

	2010	2009	2008
Gasoline	60%	51%	50%
Distillates	35%	44%	46%
Residual oil	5%	5%	4%
Total	100%	100%	100%

The Partnership had one customer, ExxonMobil Oil Corporation ("ExxonMobil") who accounted for approximately 19%, 22% and 20% of sales for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Derivative Financial Instruments

Accounting and reporting guidance for derivative instruments and hedging activities requires that an entity recognize derivatives as either assets or liabilities on the balance sheet and measure the instruments at fair value. Changes in the fair value of the derivative are to be recognized currently in earnings, unless specific hedge accounting criteria are met.

Fair Value Hedges

The fair value of the Partnership's derivatives is determined through the use of independent markets and is based upon the prevailing market prices of such instruments at the date of valuation. The Partnership enters into futures contracts for the receipt or delivery of refined petroleum products in future periods. The contracts are entered into in the normal course of business to reduce risk of loss of inventory on hand, which could result through fluctuations in market prices. Changes in the fair value of these contracts, as well as the offsetting gain or loss on the hedged inventory item, are recognized in earnings as an increase or decrease in cost of sales. Ineffectiveness related to these hedging activities was immaterial at December 31, 2010 and 2009.

The Partnership also uses futures contracts and swap agreements to hedge exposure under forward purchase and sale commitments. These agreements are intended to hedge the cost component of virtually all of the Partnership's forward purchase and sale commitments. Changes in the fair value of these contracts, as well as offsetting gains or losses on the forward fixed price purchase and sale commitments, are recognized in earnings as an increase or decrease in cost of sales. Gains and losses on net product margin from forward fixed price purchase and sale contracts are reflected in earnings as an increase or decrease in cost of sales as these contracts mature. Ineffectiveness related to these hedging activities was immaterial at December 31, 2010 and 2009.

The Partnership also markets and sells natural gas. The Partnership generally conducts business by entering into forward purchase commitments for natural gas only when it simultaneously enters into

Table of Contents

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

arrangements for the sale of product for physical delivery to third-party users. The Partnership generally takes delivery under its purchase commitments at the same location as it delivers to third-party users. Through these transactions, which establish an immediate margin, the Partnership seeks to maintain a position that is substantially balanced between firm forward purchase and sales commitments. Natural gas is generally purchased and sold at fixed prices and quantities. Current price quotes from actively traded markets are used in all cases to determine the contracts' fair value. Changes in the fair value of these contracts are recognized in earnings as an increase or decrease in cost of sales. See Note 3 for additional information on fair value hedges.

Cash Flow Hedges

The Partnership links all hedges that are designated as cash flow hedges to forecasted transactions. To the extent such hedges are effective, the changes in the fair value of the derivative instrument are reported as a component of other comprehensive income and reclassified into interest expense in the same period during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. The Partnership executed two zero premium interest rate collars with major financial institutions. Each collar is designated and accounted for as a cash flow hedge. The first collar, which became effective on May 14, 2007 and expires on May 14, 2011, is used to hedge the variability in interest payments due to changes in the three-month LIBOR rate with respect to \$100.0 million of three-month LIBOR-based borrowings. The second collar, which became effective on October 2, 2008 and expires on October 2, 2013, is used to hedge the variability in cash flows in monthly interest payments made on the Partnership's \$100.0 million one-month LIBOR-based borrowings (and subsequent refinancings thereof) due to changes in the one-month LIBOR rate.

In addition, in October 2009, the Partnership executed a forward starting swap with a major financial institution. The swap, which will become effective on May 16, 2011 and expire on May 16, 2016, will be used to hedge the variability in interest payments due to changes in the one-month LIBOR swap curve with respect to \$100.0 million of one-month LIBOR-based borrowings at a fixed rate of 3.93%. See Note 3 for additional information on the interest rate collars and the forward starting swap.

Net Income Per Limited Partner Unit

Under the Partnership's partnership agreement, for any quarterly period, the incentive distribution rights ("IDRs") participate in net income only to the extent of the amount of cash distributions actually declared, thereby excluding the IDRs from participating in the Partnership's undistributed net income or losses. Accordingly, the Partnership's undistributed net income is assumed to be allocated to the common and subordinated unitholders, or limited partners' interest, and to the General Partner's general partner interest.

On April 21, 2010, the board of directors of the General Partner declared a quarterly cash distribution of \$0.4875 per unit for the period from January 1, 2010 through March 31, 2010. On July 21, 2010, the board declared a quarterly cash distribution of \$0.4875 per unit for the period from April 1, 2010 through June 30, 2010. On October 20, 2010, the board declared a quarterly cash distribution of \$0.4950 per unit for the period from July 1, 2010 through September 30, 2010. On January 19, 2011, the board declared a quarterly cash distribution of \$0.50 per unit for the period from October 1, 2010 through December 31, 2010. These declared cash distributions resulted in incentive

Table of Contents

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

distributions to the General Partner, as the holder of the IDRs, and enabled the Partnership to exceed its first target distribution with respect to such IDRs. See Note 14, "Partners' Equity, Allocations and Cash Distributions" for further information.

The following table provides a reconciliation of net income and the assumed allocation of net income to the limited partners' interest for purposes of computing net income per limited partner unit (in thousands, except per unit data):

	Year Ended December 31, 2010			
	Total	Limited Partner Interest	General Partner Interest	IDRs
Numerator:				
Net income(1)	\$ 27,038	\$ 26,361	\$ 677	\$
Declared distribution	\$ 35,204	\$ 34,429	\$ 453	\$ 322
Assumed allocation of undistributed net income	(8,166)	(8,068)	(98)	
Assumed allocation of net income	\$ 27,038	\$ 26,361	\$ 355	\$ 322
Denominator:				
Basic weighted average limited partner units outstanding(2)		16,346		
Dilutive effect of phantom units (Note 12)		251		
Diluted weighted average limited partner units outstanding(2)		16,597		
Basic net income per limited partner unit		\$ 1.61		
Diluted net income per limited partner unit		\$ 1.59		

(1) Calculation includes the effect of the public offerings on March 19, 2010 and November 16, 2010 (see Note 15) and, as a result, the general partner interest was, based on a weighted average, 1.53% for the year ended December 31, 2010.

(2) For the year ended December 31, 2010, limited partner units outstanding excluded common units held on behalf of the Partnership pursuant to its Repurchase Program and for future satisfaction of the General Partner's Obligations (as defined in Note 12). These units are not deemed outstanding for purposes of calculating net income per limited partner unit (basic and diluted).

Table of Contents

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

	Year Ended December 31, 2009			
	Total	Limited Partner Interest	General Partner Interest	IDRs
Numerator:				
Net income(1)	\$ 34,134	\$ 33,343	\$ 791	\$
Declared distribution	\$ 26,262	\$ 25,614	\$ 448	\$ 200
Assumed allocation of undistributed net income	7,872	7,729	143	
Assumed allocation of net income	\$ 34,134	\$ 33,343	\$ 591	\$ 200
Denominator:				
Basic weighted average limited partner units outstanding(2)		13,017		
Dilutive effect of phantom units (Note 12)		262		
Diluted weighted average limited partner units outstanding(2)		13,279		
Basic net income per limited partner unit		\$ 2.56		
Diluted net income per limited partner unit		\$ 2.51		

	Year Ended December 31, 2008			
	Total	Limited Partner Interest	General Partner Interest	IDRs
Numerator:				
Net income(1)	\$ 21,055	\$ 20,491	\$ 564	\$
Declared distribution	\$ 26,136	\$ 25,488	\$ 448	\$ 200
Assumed allocation of undistributed net income	(5,081)	(4,997)	(84)	
Assumed allocation of net income	\$ 21,055	\$ 20,491	\$ 364	\$ 200
Denominator:				
Basic weighted average limited partner units outstanding		13,071		
Diluted weighted average limited partner units outstanding		13,071		
Basic net income per limited partner unit		\$ 1.57		
Diluted net income per limited partner unit		\$ 1.57		

(1) For the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, the general partner interest was 1.73%.

(2) For the year ended December 31, 2009, limited partner units outstanding excluded common units held on behalf of the Partnership pursuant to its Repurchase Program and for future satisfaction of the General Partner's Obligations (as defined in Note 12). These units are not deemed outstanding for purposes of calculating net income per limited partner unit (basic and diluted).

Table of Contents

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

Accumulated other comprehensive loss consisted of the following:

	Pension Plan	Derivatives	Total
Balance at December 31, 2008	\$ (4,417)	\$ (10,846)	\$ (15,263)
Change in fair value of interest rate collars		3,879	3,879
Curtailment gain	3,619		3,619
Change in pension liability	798		798
Balance at December 31, 2009		(6,967)	(6,967)
Change in fair value of interest rate collars and forward starting swap		(6,371)	(6,371)
Change in pension liability	(424)		(424)
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$ (424)	\$ (13,338)	\$ (13,762)

New Accounting Standard

In January 2010, guidance issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") related to fair value measurements and disclosure was updated to require additional disclosures related to transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and enhanced detail in the Level 3 reconciliation. This guidance clarifies the level of disaggregation required for assets and liabilities and the disclosures required for inputs and valuation techniques used to measure the fair value of assets and liabilities that fall in either Level 2 or Level 3. The updated guidance was effective for the Partnership on January 1, 2010, with the exception of the Level 3 disaggregation which is effective for the Partnership on January 1, 2011. The adoption had no impact on the Partnership's consolidated financial statements. See Note 18 for details regarding the Partnership's assets and liabilities measured at fair value.

Table of Contents

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 3. Derivative Financial Instruments

The following table presents the volume of activity related to the Partnership's derivative financial instruments at December 31, 2010:

	Units(1)	Unit of Measure
Oil Contracts		
Long	17,099	Thousands of barrels
Short	(22,777)	Thousands of barrels
Natural Gas Contracts		
Long	20,628	Thousands of decatherms
Short	(20,628)	Thousands of decatherms
Interest Rate Collars		
Forward Starting Swap	\$ 200	Millions of dollars
	\$ 100	Millions of dollars

(1)

Number of open positions and gross notional amounts do not quantify risk or represent assets or liabilities of the Partnership, but are used in the calculation of cash settlements under the contracts.

Fair Value Hedges

The following table presents the gross fair values of the Partnership's derivative instruments and firm commitments and their location in the Partnership's consolidated balance sheets at December 31 (in thousands):

	Balance Sheet Location (Net)	2010 Fair Value	2009 Fair Value
Asset Derivatives			
<i>Derivatives designated as hedging instruments and firm commitments</i>			
Oil product contracts(1)	(2)	\$ 3,896	\$ 4,085
<i>Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments</i>			
Oil product and natural gas contracts	(2)	3,049	11,067
Total asset derivatives		\$ 6,945	\$ 15,152
Liability Derivatives			
<i>Derivatives designated as hedging instruments and firm commitments</i>			
Oil product contracts(1)	(3)	\$ 13,538	\$ 23,030
<i>Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments</i>			
Oil product and natural gas contracts	(3)	2,896	10,805
Total liability derivatives		\$ 16,434	\$ 33,835

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

- (1) Includes forward fixed price purchase and sale contracts as recognized in the Partnership's consolidated balance sheets at December 31, 2010 and 2009
- (2) Fair value of forward fixed price contracts and prepaid expenses and other current assets
- (3) Obligations on forward fixed price contracts and other derivatives and accrued expenses and other current liabilities

F-18

Table of Contents

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 3. Derivative Financial Instruments (Continued)

The following table presents the amount of gains and losses from derivatives involved in fair value hedging relationships recognized in the Partnership's consolidated statements of income for the years ended December 31 (in thousands):

Derivatives in Fair Value Hedging Relationship	Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives		
		2010	2009	2008
Oil product contract	Cost of sales	\$ (60,166)	\$ (254,440)	\$ 179,644

Hedged Items in Fair Value Hedged Relationships	Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Hedged Item	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Hedged Items		
		2010	2009	2008
Inventories and forward fixed price contracts	Cost of sales	\$ 60,320	\$ 255,218	\$ (180,345)

The Partnership's derivative financial instruments do not contain credit risk related or other contingent features that could cause accelerated payments when these financial instruments are in net liability positions.

The table below presents the composition and fair value of forward fixed price purchase and sale contracts on the Partnership's consolidated balance sheet being hedged by the following derivative instruments at December 31 (in thousands):

	2010	2009
Futures contracts	\$ (6,480)	\$ (14,605)
Swaps and other, net	(735)	(3,420)
Total	\$ (7,215)	\$ (18,025)

The total balances of \$7.2 million and \$18.0 million reflect the fair value of the forward fixed price contract liability net of the corresponding asset in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The Partnership formally documents all relationships between hedging instruments and hedged items after its risk management objectives and strategy for undertaking the hedge are determined. The Partnership calculates hedge effectiveness on a quarterly basis. This process includes specific identification of the hedging instrument and the hedged transaction, the nature of the risk being hedged and how the hedging instrument's effectiveness will be assessed. Both at the inception of the hedge and on an ongoing basis, the Partnership assesses whether the derivatives that are used in hedging transactions are highly effective in offsetting changes in fair value of hedged items. The derivative instruments that qualify for hedge accounting are fair value hedges.

The Partnership has a daily margin requirement with its broker based on the prior day's market results on open futures contracts. The brokerage margin balance was \$15.5 million and \$18.1 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The Partnership is exposed to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by counterparties of forward purchase and sale commitments, futures contracts, options and swap agreements, but the Partnership has no current reason to expect any material nonperformance by any of these

Table of Contents

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 3. Derivative Financial Instruments (Continued)

counterparties. Futures contracts, the primary derivative instrument utilized by the Partnership, are traded on regulated exchanges, greatly reducing potential credit risks. The Partnership utilizes primarily one clearing broker, a major financial institution, for all New York Mercantile Exchange ("NYMEX") derivative transactions and the right of offset exists. Accordingly, the fair value of all derivative instruments is presented on a net basis in the consolidated balance sheets. Exposure on forward purchase and sale commitments, swap and certain option agreements is limited to the amount of the recorded fair value as of the balance sheet dates. See Note 2 for additional information on fair value hedges.

The Partnership generally enters into master netting arrangements to mitigate counterparty credit risk with respect to its derivatives. Master netting arrangements are standardized contracts that govern all specified transactions with the same counterparty and allow the Partnership to terminate all contracts upon occurrence of certain events, such as a counterparty's default or bankruptcy. Because these arrangements provide the right of offset, and the Partnership's intent and practice is to offset amounts in the case of contract terminations, the Partnership records fair value of derivative positions on a net basis.

Cash Flow Hedges

The Partnership executed two zero premium interest rate collars with major financial institutions. Each collar is designated and accounted for as a cash flow hedge. The first collar, which became effective on May 14, 2007 and expires on May 14, 2011, is used to hedge the variability in interest payments due to changes in the three-month LIBOR rate with respect to \$100.0 million of three-month LIBOR-based borrowings. Under the first collar, the Partnership capped its exposure at a maximum three-month LIBOR rate of 5.75% and established a minimum floor rate of 3.75%. As of December 31, 2010, the three-month LIBOR rate of 0.29% was lower than the floor rate. As a result, in January 2011, the Partnership remitted to the respective financial institution the difference between the floor rate and the current rate which amounted to approximately \$452,300 and, at December 31, 2010, such amount was recorded in accrued expenses and other current liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. The fair values of the first collar, excluding accrued interest, were liabilities of approximately \$1.2 million and \$3.9 million as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and were recorded in both other long-term liabilities and accumulated other comprehensive income. Hedge effectiveness was assessed at inception and is assessed quarterly, prospectively and retrospectively. The changes in the fair value of the first collar are expected to be highly effective in offsetting the changes in interest rate payments attributable to fluctuations in the three-month LIBOR rate above and below the first collar's strike rates.

On September 29, 2008, the Partnership executed its second zero premium interest rate collar. The second collar, which became effective on October 2, 2008 and expires on October 2, 2013, is used to hedge the variability in cash flows in monthly interest payments made on the Partnership's \$100.0 million one-month LIBOR-based borrowings (and subsequent refinancings thereof) due to changes in the one-month LIBOR rate. Under the second collar, the Partnership capped its exposure at a maximum one-month LIBOR rate of 5.50% and established a minimum floor rate of 2.70%. As of December 31, 2010, the one-month LIBOR rate of 0.26% was lower than the floor rate. As a result, in January 2011, the Partnership remitted to the respective financial institution the difference between the floor rate and the current rate which amounted to approximately \$203,300 and, at December 31, 2010, such amount was recorded in accrued expenses and other current liabilities in the accompanying

Table of Contents

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 3. Derivative Financial Instruments (Continued)

consolidated balance sheets. The fair values of the second collar, excluding accrued interest, were liabilities of approximately \$4.8 million and \$3.2 million as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and were recorded in both other long-term liabilities and accumulated other comprehensive income in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Hedge effectiveness was assessed at inception and is assessed quarterly, prospectively and retrospectively, using the regression analysis. The changes in the fair value of the second collar are expected to be highly effective in offsetting the changes in interest rate payments attributable to fluctuations in the one-month LIBOR rate above and below the second collar's strike rates.

In addition, in October 2009, the Partnership executed a forward starting swap with a major financial institution. The swap, which will become effective on May 16, 2011 and expire on May 16, 2016, will be used to hedge the variability in interest payments due to changes in the one-month LIBOR swap curve with respect to \$100.0 million of one-month LIBOR-based borrowings at a fixed rate of 3.93%. The fair value of the swap was a liability of approximately \$7.3 million as of December 31, 2010 and was recorded in other long-term liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. The fair value of the swap was an asset of approximately \$80,000 as of December 31, 2009 and was recorded in other long-term assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Hedge effectiveness was assessed at inception and will be assessed quarterly, prospectively and retrospectively, using the regression analysis. The changes in the fair value of the swap are expected to be highly effective in offsetting the changes in interest rate payments attributable to fluctuations in the one-month LIBOR swap curve.

The following table presents the fair value of the Partnership's derivative instruments and their location in the Partnership's consolidated balance sheets at December 31 (in thousands):

Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments	Balance Sheet Location	2010 Fair Value	2009 Fair Value
<i>Asset derivatives</i>			
Forward starting swap	Other assets	\$	\$ 80
<i>Liability derivatives</i>			
Interest rate collars	Other long-term liabilities	\$ 6,042	\$ 7,047
Forward starting swap	Other long-term liabilities	7,296	
Total liability derivatives		\$ 13,338	\$ 7,047

F-21

Table of Contents

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 3. Derivative Financial Instruments (Continued)

The following table presents the amount of gains and losses from derivatives involved in cash flow hedging relationships recognized in the Partnership's consolidated statements of income and partners' equity for years ended December 31 (in thousands):

Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationship	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Other Comprehensive Income on Derivatives			Recognized in Income on Derivatives (Ineffectiveness Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing)		
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009	2008
Interest rate collars	\$ 1,005	\$ 3,799	\$ (9,518)	\$	\$	\$
Forward starting swap	(7,376)	80				
Total	\$ (6,371)	\$ 3,879	\$ (9,518)	\$	\$	\$

Ineffectiveness related to the interest rate collars and forward starting swap is recognized as interest expense and was immaterial for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008. The effective portion related to the interest rate collars that was originally reported in other comprehensive income and reclassified to earnings was \$5.9 million, \$5.2 million and \$1.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Derivatives Not Involved in a Hedging Relationship

While the Partnership seeks to maintain a position that is substantially balanced within its product purchase activities, it may experience net unbalanced positions for short periods of time as a result of variances in daily sales and transportation and delivery schedules as well as logistical issues inherent in the business, such as weather conditions. In connection with managing these positions and maintaining a constant presence in the marketplace, both necessary for its business, the Partnership engages in a controlled trading program for up to an aggregate of 250,000 barrels of refined petroleum products at any one point in time.

The following table presents the amount of gains and losses from derivatives not involved in a hedging relationship recognized in the Partnership's consolidated statements of income for the years ended December 31 (in thousands):

Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments	Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives		
		2010	2009	2008
Oil product contracts	Cost of sales	\$ 6,505	\$ 8,766	\$ 10,469

F-22

Table of Contents**GLOBAL PARTNERS LP****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 4. Income Taxes**

The following table presents a reconciliation of the difference between the statutory federal income tax rate and the effective income tax rate for the years ended December 31:

	2010	2009	2008
Federal statutory income tax rate	34.0%	34.0%	34.0%
State income tax rate, net of federal tax benefit	6.4%	6.4%	6.4%
Partnership income not subject to tax	(40.4)%	(36.4)%	(35.2)%
Effective income tax rate	%	4.0%	5.2%

The following table presents the components of the provision for income taxes for the years ended December 31 (in thousands) :

	2010	2009	2008
Current:			
Federal	\$ (1,732)	\$ 1,106	\$ 903
State	170	381	279
Total current	(1,562)	1,487	1,182
Deferred:			
Federal	1,558	(43)	(23)
State	4	(15)	(7)
Total deferred	1,562	(58)	(30)
Total	\$	\$ 1,429	\$ 1,152

At December 31, 2010, the Partnership had a net deferred tax liability of \$1.6 million which primarily represents the difference between the book and tax basis of assets. At December 31, 2009, the Partnership had a net deferred tax asset of approximately \$140,000, which primarily represents the difference between tax and book amortization.

The following presents a reconciliation of the differences between income before income tax expense and income subject to income tax expense for the years ended December 31 (in thousands):

	2010	2009	2008
Income before income tax expense	\$ 27,038	\$ 35,563	\$ 22,207
Non-taxable income	(27,076)	(32,580)	(19,669)
Income subject to income tax expense	\$ (38)	\$ 2,983	\$ 2,538

The Partnership made approximately \$1.7 million, \$1.6 million and \$0.4 million in income tax payments during 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Table of Contents

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 5. Acquisitions

Retail Gas Stations/Fuel Supply Acquisition On September 30, 2010, the Partnership completed its acquisition of retail gas stations and supply rights from ExxonMobil for cash consideration of approximately \$202.3 million, plus the assumption of certain environmental liabilities (see Note 9). In addition, the Partnership purchased approximately \$4.2 million of convenience store inventory and gasoline and diesel inventory in the ordinary course of business that was stored at the acquired sites. The Partnership acquired 190 Mobil branded retail gas stations located in Massachusetts, New Hampshire and Rhode Island. As of December 31, 2010, of the 190 stations, 41 were directly operated on the Partnership's behalf by its management agent, Alliance Energy LLC ("Alliance"), an experienced retail operator, and 149 were dealer operated subject to existing franchise agreements assigned to and assumed by the Partnership. Additionally, the Partnership acquired the right to supply Mobil branded fuel to such stations and to 31 Mobil branded stations that are owned and operated by independent dealers in these states. The Partnership outsourced the day-to-day management and operations of these 221 locations to Alliance.

The Partnership incurred acquisition expenses of approximately \$0.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 which are included in selling, general and administrative expenses in the accompanying statements of income. The Partnership financed the acquisition through borrowings under its Credit Agreement. This acquisition was accounted for as a business combination.

The allocation of the purchase price will be finalized as the Partnership receives additional information relevant to the acquisition, including a final valuation of the assets purchased and further information with respect to the fair value of environmental liabilities assumed. The following table presents the preliminary allocation of the cash consideration to the estimated fair value of the assets acquired and environmental liabilities assumed (in thousands):

Assets purchased:	
Buildings and improvements	\$ 59,271
Land	118,634
Fixtures and equipment	47,008
Intangibles	7,400
 Total assets purchased	 232,313
Less environmental liabilities assumed	(30,000)
 Total cash consideration	 \$ 202,313

The intangible assets acquired in the transaction consist of the following (in thousands):

Description	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Intangible Assets	Amortization Period
Supply contracts	\$ 7,400	\$ (493)	\$ 6,907	5 years

Amortization expense was approximately \$493,000 for the year ended December 31, 2010.

In a separate transaction, the Partnership entered into a brand fee agreement with ExxonMobil which entitles the Partnership to operate its retail gas stations under the Mobil-branded trade name and related trade logos. See Note 13.

Table of Contents

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 5. Acquisitions (Continued)

Warex Terminals On June 2, 2010, the Partnership completed its acquisition of three refined petroleum products terminals located in Newburgh, New York from Warex Terminals Corporation (the "Warex Terminals") for cash consideration of \$46.0 million plus the assumption of certain environmental liabilities (see Note 9). In addition, the Partnership purchased approximately \$9.5 million of inventory in the normal course of business that was stored in the acquired terminals. The Partnership incurred acquisition expenses of approximately \$1.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 which are included in selling, general and administrative expenses in the accompanying statements of income. The Partnership financed the acquisition through its revolving credit facility. This acquisition was accounted for as a business combination.

The allocation of the purchase price will be finalized as the Partnership receives additional information relevant to the acquisition, including a final valuation of the assets purchased and further information with respect to the environmental liabilities assumed. The impact of any adjustments to the final allocation is not expected to be material. The following table presents the preliminary allocation of the cash consideration to the estimated fair value of the assets acquired and environmental liabilities assumed (in thousands):

Assets purchased:	
Buildings, docks, terminal facilities and improvements	\$ 34,887
Land	4,500
Fixtures, equipment and automobiles	525
Intangibles	7,634
 Total assets purchased	 47,546
Less environmental liabilities assumed	(1,500)
 Total cash consideration	 \$ 46,046

The intangible assets acquired in the transaction consist of the following (in thousands):

Description	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Intangible Assets	Amortization Period
Customer relationships	\$ 6,524	\$ (254)	\$ 6,270	15 years
Supply contracts	1,110	(43)	1,067	15 years
 Total intangible assets	 \$ 7,634	 \$ (297)	 \$ 7,337	

Amortization expense was approximately \$297,000 for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Valuation of Intangible Assets The estimated purchase price for each of the above acquisitions has been allocated to assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values. The Partnership has then allocated the purchase price in excess of net tangible assets acquired to identifiable intangible assets, based upon a detailed valuation that uses information and assumptions provided by management.

Table of Contents**GLOBAL PARTNERS LP****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 5. Acquisitions (Continued)**

As part of the preliminary purchase price allocations, identifiable intangible assets include supply contracts and, in the case of the Warex Terminals, customer relationships. The Partnership primarily used the income approach to value the intangibles. This approach calculates fair value by estimating future cash flows attributable to each intangible asset and discounting them to present value at a risk-adjusted discount rate.

The Partnership utilized accounting guidance related to intangible assets which lists the pertinent factors to be considered when estimating the useful life of an intangible asset. These factors include, in part, a review of the expected use by the Partnership of the assets acquired, the expected useful life of another asset (or group of assets) related to the acquired assets and legal, regulatory or other contractual provisions that may limit the useful life of an acquired asset. The Partnership expects to amortize these intangible assets on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives using a consistent method that is based on estimated future cash flows.

The estimated annual amortization expense for intangible assets acquired in 2010 for future years ending December 31 is as follows (in thousands):

2011	\$ 1,989
2012	1,989
2013	1,989
2014	1,989
2015	1,496
Thereafter	4,792
Total	\$ 14,244

Note 6. Property and Equipment

Property and equipment consisted of the following at December 31 (in thousands):

	2010	2009
Buildings, docks, terminal facilities and improvements	\$ 307,616	\$ 153,954
Land	149,244	26,110
Fixtures, equipment and automobiles	11,056	8,866
Construction in process	5,894	5,028
Total property and equipment	473,810	193,958
Less accumulated depreciation	(51,126)	(34,666)
Total	\$ 422,684	\$ 159,292

The net increase of approximately \$279.8 million in total property and equipment was primarily due to the Partnership's acquisitions of retail gas stations from ExxonMobil and the terminal acquisition from Warex (see Note 5).

Table of Contents

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 6. Property and Equipment (Continued)

Depreciation

Depreciation expense allocated to cost of sales was approximately \$15.6 million, \$10.8 million and \$10.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Depreciation expense allocated to selling, general and administrative expenses was approximately \$0.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 and \$1.0 million for each of the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008.

There were no fully depreciated assets written off for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009.

Note 7. Intangible Assets

Intangible assets consisted of the following at December 31 (in thousands):

	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Intangible Assets	Amortization Period
December 31, 2010				
Intangible assets subject to amortization:				
Terminalling services	\$ 26,365	\$ (4,696)	\$ 21,669	20 years
Customer relationships	17,986	(8,293)	9,693	8-15 years
Supply contracts	8,510	(536)	7,974	5-15 years
Workforce	347	(59)	288	20 years
Software	1,139	(1,139)		5 years
Covenants not to compete	942	(770)	172	3-5 years
Customer contracts	307	(307)		2 years
Total	55,596	(15,800)	39,796	
Brand names, not subject to amortization	269		269	Indefinite
Total intangible assets	\$ 55,865	\$ (15,800)	\$ 40,065	

December 31, 2009

Intangible assets subject to amortization:

Terminalling services	\$ 26,365	\$ (3,378)	\$ 22,987	20 years
Customer relationships	11,462	(6,836)	4,626	8-12 years
Workforce	347	(42)	305	20 years
Software	1,139	(1,139)		5 years
Covenants not to compete	942	(572)	370	3-5 years
Customer contracts	307	(307)		2 years
Total	40,562	(12,274)	28,288	
Brand names, not subject to amortization	269		269	Indefinite
Total intangible assets	\$ 40,831	\$ (12,274)	\$ 28,557	

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

The aggregate amortization expense was approximately \$3.5 million, \$3.0 million and \$2.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

F-27

Table of Contents**GLOBAL PARTNERS LP****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 7. Intangible Assets (Continued)**

The estimated annual intangible asset amortization expense for future years ending December 31 is as follows (in thousands):

2011	\$ 4,635
2012	4,166
2013	3,754
2014	3,723
2015	3,224
Thereafter	20,294
Total intangible assets subject to amortization	39,796
Brand names, not subject to amortization	269
Total intangible assets	\$ 40,065

Note 8. Debt***Credit Agreement***

On August 18, 2010, the Partnership entered into a First Amendment to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement which amended the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated May 14, 2010 (as amended the "Credit Agreement"). In accordance with the Credit Agreement and in connection with the acquisition of retail gas stations and supply rights from ExxonMobil (see Note 5), the Partnership requested, and certain lenders under the Credit Agreement agreed to, an increase in the revolving credit facility in an amount equal to \$200.0 million for a total credit facility of up to \$1.15 billion. The Credit Agreement will mature on May 14, 2014.

There are two facilities under the Credit Agreement:

a working capital revolving credit facility to be used for working capital purposes and letters of credit in the principal amount equal to the lesser of the Partnership's borrowing base and \$800.0 million; and

a \$350.0 million revolving credit facility to be used for acquisitions and general corporate purposes.

In addition, the Credit Agreement has an accordion feature whereby the Partnership may request on the same terms and conditions of its then existing Credit Agreement, provided no Event of Default (as defined in the Credit Agreement) then exists, an increase to the revolving credit facility, the working capital revolving credit facility, or both, by up to another \$200.0 million, for a total credit facility of up to \$1.35 billion. Any such request for an increase by the Partnership must be in a minimum amount of \$5.0 million, and the revolving credit facility may not be increased by more than \$50.0 million. The Partnership cannot provide assurance, however, that its lending group will agree to fund any request by the Partnership for additional amounts in excess of the total available commitments of \$1.15 billion.

Table of Contents

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 8. Debt (Continued)

Availability under the Partnership's working capital revolving credit facility is subject to a borrowing base which is redetermined from time to time and based on specific advance rates on eligible current assets. Under the Credit Agreement, the Partnership's borrowings under the working capital revolving credit facility cannot exceed the then current borrowing base. Availability under the Partnership's borrowing base may be affected by events beyond the Partnership's control, such as changes in refined petroleum product prices, collection cycles, counterparty performance, advance rates and limits, and general economic conditions. These and other events could require the Partnership to seek waivers or amendments of covenants or alternative sources of financing or to reduce expenditures. The Partnership can provide no assurance that such waivers, amendments or alternative financing could be obtained or, if obtained, would be on terms acceptable to the Partnership.

During the period from January 1, 2009 through May 13, 2010, borrowings under the working capital revolving credit facility bore interest at (1) the Eurodollar rate plus 1.75% to 2.25%, (2) the cost of funds rate plus 1.75% to 2.25%, or (3) the base rate plus 0.75% to 1.25%, each depending on the pricing level provided in the previous credit agreement, which in turn depended upon the Combined Interest Coverage Ratio (as defined in the previous credit agreement). Borrowings under the revolving credit facility bore interest at (1) the Eurodollar rate plus 2.25% to 2.75%, (2) the cost of funds rate plus 1.75% to 2.25%, or (3) the base rate plus 0.75% to 1.25%, each depending on the pricing level provided in the previous credit agreement, which in turn depended upon the Combined Interest Coverage Ratio under the previous credit agreement.

Commencing May 14, 2010, borrowings under the working capital revolving credit facility bear interest at (1) the Eurodollar rate plus 2.50% to 3.00%, (2) the cost of funds rate plus 2.50% to 3.00%, or (3) the base rate plus 1.50% to 2.00%, each depending on the pricing level provided in the Credit Agreement, which in turn depends upon the Utilization Amount (as defined in the Credit Agreement).

During the period from May 14, 2010 through September 7, 2010, borrowings under the revolving credit facility bore interest at (1) the Eurodollar rate plus 3.00% to 3.25%, (2) the cost of funds rate plus 3.00% to 3.25%, or (3) the base rate plus 2.00% to 2.25%, each depending on the pricing level provided in the Credit Agreement, which in turn depended upon the Combined Senior Secured Leverage Ratio (as defined in the Credit Agreement). Commencing September 8, 2010, borrowings under the revolving credit facility bear interest at (1) the Eurodollar rate plus 3.00% to 3.875%, (2) the cost of funds rate plus 3.00% to 3.875%, or (3) the base rate plus 2.00% to 2.875%, each depending on the pricing level provided in the Credit Agreement, which in turn depends upon the Combined Total Leverage Ratio (as defined in the Credit Agreement).

The average interest rates for the Credit Agreement were 3.7%, 3.6% and 4.6% for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

The Partnership executed two zero premium interest rate collars and a forward starting swap which are used to hedge the variability in interest payments under the Credit Agreement due to changes in LIBOR rates. See Note 3 for additional information on the interest rate collars and the forward starting swap.

The Partnership incurs a letter of credit fee of 2.50% - 3.00% per annum for each letter of credit issued. In addition, the Partnership incurs a commitment fee on the unused portion of each facility under the Credit Agreement equal to 0.50% per annum.

Table of Contents

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 8. Debt (Continued)

The Partnership classifies a portion of its working capital revolving credit facility as a long-term liability because the Partnership has a multi-year, long-term commitment from its bank group. The long-term portion of the working capital revolving credit facility was \$293.5 million and \$240.9 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, representing the amounts expected to be outstanding during the year. In addition, the Partnership classifies a portion of its working capital revolving credit facility as a current liability because it repays amounts outstanding and reborrow funds based on its working capital requirements. The current portion of the working capital revolving credit facility was approximately \$193.2 million and \$221.7 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, representing the amounts the Partnership expects to pay down during the course of the year.

As of December 31, 2010, the Partnership had total borrowings outstanding under the Credit Agreement of \$786.7 million, including \$300.0 million outstanding on the revolving credit facility. In addition, the Partnership had outstanding letters of credit of \$110.7 million. Subject to borrowing base limitations, the total remaining availability for borrowings and letters of credit was \$252.6 million and \$211.2 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The Credit Agreement is secured by substantially all of the assets of the Partnership and each of the Companies and is guaranteed by the General Partner. The Credit Agreement imposes certain requirements including, for example, a prohibition against distributions if any potential default or Event of Default (as defined in the Credit Agreement) would occur as a result thereof, and limitations on the Partnership's ability to grant liens, make certain loans or investments, incur additional indebtedness or guarantee other indebtedness, make any material change to the nature of the Partnership's business or undergo a fundamental change, make any material dispositions, acquire another company, enter into a merger, consolidation, sale leaseback transaction or purchase of assets, or make capital expenditures in excess of specified levels.

The Credit Agreement imposes financial covenants that require the Partnership to maintain certain minimum working capital amounts, capital expenditure limits, a minimum EBITDA, a minimum combined interest coverage ratio, a maximum senior secured leverage ratio and a maximum total leverage ratio. The Partnership was in compliance with the foregoing covenants at December 31, 2010. The Credit Agreement also contains a representation whereby there can be no event or circumstance, either individually or in the aggregate, that has had or could reasonably be expected to have a Material Adverse Effect (as defined in the Credit Agreement). Under the Credit Agreement, the clean down requirement of the previous credit agreement was eliminated.

The Credit Agreement limits distributions by the Partnership to its unitholders to the amount of the Partnership's available cash (as defined in its partnership agreement).

The lending group under the Credit Agreement is comprised of the following institutions: Bank of America, N.A.; JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.; Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.; Societe Generale; Standard Chartered Bank; RBS Citizens, National Association; BNP Paribas; Cooperative Centrale Raiffeisen-Boerenleenbank B.A., "Rabobank Nederland" New York Branch; Sovereign Bank; Credit Agricole Corporate and Investment Bank; Keybank National Association; Toronto Dominion (New York); RB International Finance (USA) LLC (formerly known as RZB Finance LLC); Royal Bank of Canada; Raymond James Bank, FSB; Barclays Bank plc; Webster Bank, National Association; Natixis, New York Branch; DZ Bank AG Deutsche Zentral-Genossenschaftsbank Frankfurt Am Main; Branch Banking & Trust Company; and Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation.

Table of Contents

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 9. Environmental Liabilities

The Partnership currently owns or leases properties where refined petroleum products are being or have been handled. These properties and the refined petroleum products handled thereon may be subject to federal and state environmental laws and regulations. Under such laws and regulations, the Partnership could be required to remove or remediate containerized hazardous liquids or associated generated wastes (including wastes disposed of or abandoned by prior owners or operators), to clean up contaminated property arising from the release of liquids or wastes into the environment, including contaminated groundwater, or to implement best management practices to prevent future contamination.

The Partnership maintains insurance of various types with varying levels of coverage that it considers adequate under the circumstances to cover its operations and properties. The insurance policies are subject to deductibles that the Partnership considers reasonable and not excessive. In addition, the Partnership has entered into indemnification agreements with various sellers in conjunction with several of its acquisitions. Allocation of environmental liability is an issue negotiated in connection with each of the Partnership's acquisition transactions. In each case, the Partnership makes an assessment of potential environmental liability exposure based on available information. Based on that assessment and relevant economic and risk factors, the Partnership determines whether to, and the extent to which it will, assume liability for existing environmental conditions.

In connection with the September 2010 acquisition of the retail gas stations from ExxonMobil (see Note 5), the Partnership assumed certain environmental liabilities. The assumed environmental liabilities include ongoing environmental remediation at approximately 70 of the Acquired Sites and future remediation activities required by applicable federal, state or local law or regulation. Remedial action plans are in place with the applicable state regulatory agencies for the majority of these locations, including plans for soil and groundwater treatment systems at eight sites. Based on consultations with environmental engineers, the Partnership's estimated cost of the remediation is expected to be approximately \$30.0 million to be expended over an extended period of time. As a result, the Partnership recorded, on an undiscounted basis, total environmental liabilities of approximately \$30.0 million, of which approximately \$0.2 million was paid by the Partnership as of December 31, 2010. The remaining liability of \$29.8 million was recorded as a current liability of \$2.4 million and a long-term liability of \$27.4 million in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets at December 31, 2010.

In connection with the June 2010 acquisition of the Warex Terminals (see Note 5), the Partnership assumed certain environmental liabilities, including certain ongoing remediation efforts. As a result, the Partnership recorded, on an undiscounted basis, a total environmental liability of approximately \$1.5 million, which was recorded as a long-term liability in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets at December 31, 2010. The Partnership does not believe that completion of the ongoing remediation efforts will result in material costs in excess of the environmental reserve or have a material impact on its operations.

Table of Contents

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 9. Environmental Liabilities (Continued)

In connection with the November 2007 acquisition of ExxonMobil's Glenwood Landing and Inwood, New York terminals, the Partnership assumed certain environmental liabilities, including the remediation obligations under remedial action plans submitted by ExxonMobil to and approved by the New York Department of Environmental Conservation ("NYDEC") with respect to both terminals. As a result, the Partnership recorded, on an undiscounted basis, total environmental liabilities of approximately \$1.2 million, of which approximately \$0.8 million was paid by the Partnership through December 31, 2010. The remaining liability of \$0.4 million was recorded as a current liability of \$0.3 million and a long-term liability of \$0.1 million in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets at December 31, 2010. The Partnership has implemented the remedial action plans and does not believe that compliance with the terms thereof will result in material costs in excess of the environmental reserve or have a material impact on its operations.

In connection with the May 2007 acquisition of ExxonMobil's Albany and Newburgh, New York and Burlington, Vermont terminals, the Partnership assumed certain environmental liabilities, including the remediation obligations under a proposed remedial action plan submitted by ExxonMobil to NYDEC with respect to the Albany, New York terminal. As a result, the Partnership recorded, on an undiscounted basis, total environmental liabilities of approximately \$8.0 million. In June 2008, the Partnership submitted a remedial action work plan to NYDEC, implementing NYDEC's conditional approval of the remedial action plan submitted by ExxonMobil. The Partnership responded to NYDEC's requests for additional information and conducted pilot tests for the remediation outlined in the work plan. Based on the results of such pilot tests, the Partnership changed its estimate and reduced the environmental liability by \$2.8 million during the fourth quarter ended December 31, 2008. Through December 31, 2010, the Partnership paid approximately \$2.4 million and, at December 31, 2010, this liability had a balance of \$2.8 million which was recorded as a current liability in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. In July 2009, NYDEC approved the remedial action work plan, and the Partnership signed a Stipulation Agreement with NYDEC to govern implementation of the approved plan. The Partnership does not believe that compliance with the terms of the approved remedial action work plan will result in material costs in excess of the environmental reserve or have a material impact on its operations.

The Partnership's estimates used in these reserves are based on all known facts at the time and its assessment of the ultimate remedial action outcomes. Among the many uncertainties that impact the Partnership's estimates are the necessary regulatory approvals for, and potential modification of, its remediation plans, the amount of data available upon initial assessment of the impact of soil or water contamination, changes in costs associated with environmental remediation services and equipment and the possibility of existing legal claims giving rise to additional claims. Therefore, although the Partnership believes that these reserves are adequate, no assurances can be made that any costs incurred in excess of these reserves or outside of indemnifications or not otherwise covered by insurance would not have a material adverse effect on the Partnership's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Table of Contents

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 10. Trustee Taxes and Accrued Expenses and Other Current Liabilities

Accrued expenses and other current liabilities consisted of the following at December 31 (in thousands):

	2010	2009
Barging transportation, product storage and other ancillary costs	\$ 17,678	\$ 21,019
Swaps and other derivatives	2,602	4,113
Employee compensation	5,465	7,468
Other	4,749	3,520
Total	\$ 30,494	\$ 36,120

Employee compensation consisted of bonuses, vacation and other salary accruals. Ancillary costs consisted of cost accruals related to product expediting and storage.

In addition, the Partnership had trustee taxes payable of \$69.8 million at December 31, 2010 which consisted of \$40.2 million related to an ethanol credit and \$29.6 million in various pass-through taxes collected from customers on behalf of taxing authorities. Trustee taxes payable at December 31, 2009 of \$41.5 million consisted of \$27.3 million related to an ethanol credit and \$14.2 million in various pass-through taxes collected from customers on behalf of taxing authorities.

Note 11. Employee Benefit Plans with Related Party

The General Partner has a qualified 401(k) Savings and Profit Sharing Plan that covers eligible employees. Contributions under the plan are determined annually, at the sole discretion of the General Partner's board of directors. The General Partner's discretionary matching contributions to the 401(k) Savings and Profit Sharing Plan have been equal to 50% of each employee's contribution, up to a maximum contribution of 3% of the employee's compensation. Matching contributions greater than this level are allowed under the plan. Effective January 1, 2010, the discretionary percentage match by the General Partner increased from 3% to 4% of the employee's compensation. The General Partner's matching contributions on behalf of higher-paid employees are subject to certain limitations under federal law. Employees may elect to contribute up to 60% of their compensation to the 401(k) Savings and Profit Sharing Plan for each plan year. Employee contributions are subject to annual dollar limitations, which are periodically adjusted by the cost of living index. This plan had expenses of approximately \$966,000, \$498,000 and \$500,000 for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, which are included in selling, general and administrative expenses in the accompanying statements of income.

In addition, the General Partner has a qualified pension plan (the "Plan") that covers all eligible employees. Effective December 31, 2009, the Plan was amended to freeze participation in and benefit accruals under the Plan. In order to reduce the adverse effects of the pension freeze on employees with substantial service who may not have time to replace future pension accruals with retirement savings before reaching the normal retirement age of 65, employees meeting certain age and service requirements received increased benefits under the Plan, effective December 31, 2009. As a result of the freeze, the Partnership recognized a curtailment gain of approximately \$1.5 million which was recorded as an offset to selling, general and administrative expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of income for the year ended December 31, 2009. The curtailment gain consisted of approximately \$5.1 million in a change in benefit obligation and approximately \$3.6 million in an

Table of Contents

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 11. Employee Benefit Plans with Related Party (Continued)

unrecognized net actuarial loss. As of December 31, 2010, the Partnership had a net unfunded pension liability of approximately \$2.2 million. The change in the funded status of \$424,000 for 2010 was recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income.

Non-qualified Pension and Deferred Compensation Plans

The Partnership has a non-qualified pension plan for a former employee, which commenced fixed payments in November of 2003. The plan calls for payments over a fifteen-year period from the commencement date. Total remaining future fixed payments under this plan consist of \$0.1 million per year for the period from 2011 through 2018 for an aggregate amount of \$1.0 million. The Partnership had a discounted obligation of approximately \$0.8 million recorded in long-term liabilities at December 31, 2010 and 2009.

The General Partner also has two non-qualified deferred compensation arrangements. One arrangement is for an executive officer that calls for annual payments of \$70,000 for fifteen consecutive years from the commencement date. The other arrangement is for a former executive officer that calls for annual payments of \$85,000 for fifteen consecutive years from the commencement date. The Partnership had a total discounted obligation of approximately \$1.2 million and \$1.0 million recorded in long-term liabilities at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. In accordance with the provisions of the Partnership's partnership agreement, the General Partner will be reimbursed by the Partnership for payments to the executive officers under these non-qualified deferred compensation arrangements.

Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan

The General Partner, on behalf of the Partnership, entered into Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan ("SERP") agreements with four employees. Such SERP agreements were effective December 31, 2009 and are intended to reduce the adverse effects of the pension freeze on these four employees. The SERP agreements are intended to provide for benefits generally comparable in value to the increase in benefits the employee would have received under the Plan if such increased benefits could be provided to the employee under the Plan consistent with applicable tax qualification requirements. Total expenses related to the SERP were approximately \$248,000 for the year ended December 31, 2010. Expenses related to the SERP were immaterial for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Long-Term Incentive Plan

Please see Note 12 for a discussion of the Long-Term Incentive Plan.

Note 12. Long-Term Incentive Plan

The General Partner has adopted a Long-Term Incentive Plan ("LTIP") whereby 564,242 common units are authorized for issuance. Any units delivered pursuant to an award under the LTIP may be acquired in the open market or from any affiliate, be newly issued units or any combination of the foregoing. The LTIP provides for awards to employees, consultants and directors of the General Partner and employees and consultants of affiliates of the Partnership who perform services for the Partnership. The LTIP allows for the award of unit options, unit appreciation rights, restricted units, phantom units and distribution equivalent rights.

Table of Contents

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 12. Long-Term Incentive Plan (Continued)*Status of Non-Vested Units*

The following table presents a summary of the status of the non-vested units as of December 31:

	Number of Non-vested Units	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Outstanding non-vested units at December 31, 2008	162,320	\$ 18.13
Granted	277,777	3.73
Vested	(102,678)	18.87
Forfeited		
Outstanding non-vested units at December 31, 2009	337,419	6.05
Granted	3,600	22.80
Vested	(36,834)	12.42
Forfeited		
Outstanding non-vested units at December 31, 2010	304,185	\$ 5.47

The Partnership recorded compensation expenses related to phantom unit awards of approximately \$0.5 million, \$2.0 million and \$0.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, which are included in selling, general and administrative expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of income. The total compensation cost related to the non-vested awards not yet recognized at December 31, 2010 was approximately \$570,400.

Repurchase Program

In May 2009, the board of directors of the General Partner authorized the repurchase of the Partnership's common units (the "Repurchase Program") for the purpose of meeting the General Partner's anticipated obligations to deliver common units under the LTIP and meeting the General Partner's obligations under existing employment agreements and other employment related obligations of the General Partner (collectively, the "General Partner's Obligations"). The Partnership is authorized to acquire up to 445,000 of its common units in the aggregate, over an extended period of time, consistent with the General Partner's Obligations. Common units of the Partnership may be repurchased from time to time in open market transactions, including block purchases, or in privately negotiated transactions. Such authorized unit repurchases may be modified, suspended or terminated at any time, and are subject to price, economic and market conditions, applicable legal requirements and available liquidity. Through December 31, 2010, the General Partner repurchased 229,191 common units pursuant to the Repurchase Program for approximately \$4.8 million.

At December 31, 2010 and 2009, common units outstanding excluded 60,510 and 47,143 common units, respectively, held on behalf of the Partnership pursuant to its Repurchase Program and for future satisfaction of the General Partner's Obligations.

Table of Contents

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 13. Commitments and Contingencies

The Partnership is subject to contingencies, including legal proceedings and claims arising out of the normal course of business that cover a wide range of matters, including, among others, environmental matters and contract and employment claims.

Leases of Office Space and Computer Equipment

The Partnership has future commitments, principally for office space and computer equipment, under the terms of operating lease arrangements. The following provides total future minimum payments under leases with non-cancelable terms of one year or more at December 31, 2010 (in thousands):

2011	\$ 1,624
2012	1,329
2013	1,060
2014	1,062
2015	1,071
Thereafter	4,808
Total	\$ 10,954

Total expenses under the operating lease arrangements amounted to approximately \$2.2 million, \$1.9 million and \$1.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The Partnership also receives lease income from office space leased at one of its owned terminals for \$0.2 million per year through January 2014.

The Partnership also leases certain equipment under capital lease agreements, for which the net book value was approximately \$1.0 million and \$0.7 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Depreciation expense for equipment under the capital leases was approximately \$188,000, \$177,000, and \$166,000 for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Terminal and Throughput Leases

The Partnership entered into terminal and throughput lease arrangements with certain counterparties at various unrelated oil terminals. Certain arrangements have minimum usage requirements. The following provides future minimum lease and throughput commitments under these arrangements with non-cancelable terms of one year or more at December 31, 2010 (in thousands):

2011	\$ 10,540
2012	4,809
2013	1,941
2014	766
2015	777
Thereafter	2,203
Total	\$ 21,036

Table of Contents

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 13. Commitments and Contingencies (Continued)***Leases of Gasoline Stations***

The Partnership entered into gasoline station lease arrangements at various gasoline stations. The following provides future minimum lease commitments under these arrangements with non-cancelable terms of one year or more at December 31, 2010 (in thousands):

2011	\$	2,847
2012		2,310
2013		1,779
2014		1,565
2015		1,341
Thereafter		4,086
Total	\$	13,928

Purchase Commitments

The minimum volume purchase requirements for 2011 under the Partnership's existing supply agreements are approximately 739 million gallons. The Partnership purchased approximately 481 million, 878 million and 885 million gallons of product under the Partnership's existing supply agreements for \$1.0 billion, \$1.4 billion and \$2.2 billion in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, which included fulfillment of the minimum purchase obligation under these commitments.

Brand Fee Agreement

The Partnership entered into a brand fee agreement with ExxonMobil which entitles the Partnership to operate its retail gas stations under the Mobil-branded trade name and related trade logos. The fees, which are based upon an estimate of the volume of gasoline and diesel to be sold at the acquired gas stations, are due on a monthly basis. The following provides total future minimum payments under the agreement with non-cancelable terms of one year or more at December 31, 2010 (in thousands):

2011	\$	9,000
2012		9,000
2013		9,000
2014		9,000
2015		9,000
Thereafter		85,550
Total	\$	130,550

Table of Contents**GLOBAL PARTNERS LP****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 13. Commitments and Contingencies (Continued)*****Commercial Agreement***

The Partnership entered into a series of agreements related to the transportation of ethanol to the Partnership's Albany, New York terminal. The following provides total future minimum payments under the agreement with non-cancelable terms of one year or more at December 31, 2010 (in thousands):

2011	\$	23,370
2012		23,370
2013		23,370
2014		23,370
2015		23,370
Thereafter		42,845
Total	\$	159,695

Environmental Liabilities

Please see Note 9 for a discussion of the Partnership's environmental liabilities.

Legal Proceedings

Please see Note 19 for a discussion of the Partnership's legal proceedings.

Note 14. Partners' Equity, Allocations and Cash Distributions***Units Outstanding***

Partners' equity at December 31, 2010 consisted of 13,293,139 common units outstanding (including 282,141 common units held by affiliates of the General Partner, including directors and executive officers), 5,642,424 subordinated units held by affiliates of the Slifka family, collectively representing a 98.80% effective ownership interest in the Partnership, and 230,303 general partner units representing a 1.20% general partner interest in the Partnership.

Partners' equity at December 31, 2010 and 2009 excluded common units outstanding of 60,510 and 47,143 common units, respectively, held pursuant to the Repurchase Program and for future satisfaction of the General Partner's Obligations. See Note 12, "Long-Term Incentive Plan Repurchase Program."

Common Units

During the subordination period, as defined in the Partnership's partnership agreement, the common units will have the right to receive distributions of available cash from "distributable cash flow" (as defined in the Partnership's partnership agreement) before any distributions of available cash from distributable cash flow may be made on the subordinated units in an amount equal to the minimum quarterly distribution of (a) \$0.4125 per unit per quarter for all quarters ending on or prior to September 30, 2009 and \$0.4625 per unit per quarter for all quarters ending on or after September 30, 2009 (b) plus any arrearages in the payment of the minimum quarterly distribution on the common units from prior quarters. The purpose of the subordinated units is to increase the likelihood that during the subordination period there will be available cash to be distributed on the common units. The subordination period will extend until the first day of any quarter beginning after

Table of Contents

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 14. Partners' Equity, Allocations and Cash Distributions (Continued)

December 31, 2010 that each of the following tests are met: (1) distributions of available cash from distributable cash flow on each of the outstanding common units and subordinated units and general partner units equaled or exceeded the minimum quarterly distribution for each of the three consecutive, non-overlapping four-quarter periods immediately preceding that date; (2) the distributable cash flow generated during each of the three consecutive non-overlapping four-quarter periods immediately preceding that date equaled or exceeded the sum of the minimum quarterly distributions on each of the outstanding common units and subordinated units during those periods on a fully diluted basis and the related distribution on the general partner units during those periods; and (3) there are no arrearages in payment of the minimum quarterly distribution on the common units. Subsequent to December 31, 2010, based upon meeting certain distribution and performance tests provided in the Partnership's partnership agreement, all 5,642,424 subordinated units converted to common units.

The common units have limited voting rights as set forth in the Partnership's partnership agreement.

Pursuant to the Partnership's partnership agreement, if at any time the General Partner and its affiliates own more than 80% of the common units outstanding, the General Partner has the right, but not the obligation, to "call" or acquire all, but not less than all, of the common units held by unaffiliated persons at a price not less than their then-current market value. The General Partner may assign this call right to any of its affiliates or to the Partnership.

Subordinated Units

During the subordination period, the subordinated units have no right to receive distributions of available cash from distributable cash flow until the common units receive distributions of available cash from distributable cash flow in an amount equal to the minimum quarterly distribution of (a) \$0.4125 per unit per quarter for all quarters ending on or prior to September 30, 2009 and \$0.4625 per unit per quarter for all quarters ending on or after September 30, 2009, (b) plus any arrearages in the payment of the minimum quarterly distribution on the common units from prior quarters. No arrearages will be paid to subordinated units.

As described above and in the Partnership's partnership agreement, the subordinated units may convert to common units on a one-for-one basis when certain conditions are met. The Partnership's partnership agreement also sets forth the calculation to be used to determine the amount and priority of cash distributions that the common unitholders, subordinated unitholders, holders of the incentive distribution rights and General Partner will receive.

The subordinated units have limited voting rights as set forth in the Partnership's partnership agreement.

As stated above and in Note 21, subsequent to December 31, 2010, based upon meeting certain distribution and performance tests provided in the Partnership's partnership agreement, all 5,642,424 subordinated units have converted to common units.

General Partner Units

The Partnership's general partner interest is represented by general partner units. The General Partner is entitled to a percentage (equal to the general partner interest) of all cash distributions of

Table of Contents

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 14. Partners' Equity, Allocations and Cash Distributions (Continued)

available cash on all common and subordinated units. The Partnership's partnership agreement sets forth the calculation to be used to determine the amount and priority of cash distributions that the common unitholders, subordinated unitholders, holders of the incentive distribution rights and the General Partner will receive.

The Partnership's general partner interest has the management rights as set forth in the Partnership's partnership agreement.

Incentive Distribution Rights

Incentive distribution rights represent the right to receive an increasing percentage of quarterly distributions of available cash from distributable cash flow after the target distribution levels have been achieved, as defined in the Partnership's partnership agreement. The General Partner currently holds all of the incentive distribution rights, but may transfer these rights separately from its general partner interest, subject to restrictions in the Partnership's partnership agreement.

Allocations of Net Income

Net income is allocated between the General Partner and the common and subordinated unitholders in accordance with the provisions of the Partnership's partnership agreement. Net income is generally allocated first to the General Partner and the common and subordinated unitholders in an amount equal to the net losses allocated to the General Partner and the common and subordinated unitholders in the current and prior tax years under the Partnership's partnership agreement. The remaining net income is allocated to the General Partner and the common and subordinated unitholders in accordance with their respective percentage interests of the general partner units, common units and subordinated units.

Cash Distributions

The Partnership intends to consider regular cash distributions to unitholders on a quarterly basis, although there is no assurance as to the future cash distributions since they are dependent upon future earnings, capital requirements, financial condition and other factors. The Credit Agreement prohibits the Partnership from making cash distributions if any potential Default or Event of Default, as defined in the Credit Agreement, occurs or would result from the cash distribution.

Within 45 days after the end of each quarter, the Partnership will distribute all of its available cash (as defined in its partnership agreement) to unitholders of record on the applicable record date. The amount of available cash is all cash on hand on the date of determination of available cash for the quarter; less the amount of cash reserves established by the General Partner to provide for the proper conduct of the Partnership's business, to comply with applicable law, any of the Partnership's debt instruments, or other agreements or to provide funds for distributions to unitholders and the General Partner for any one or more of the next four quarters.

The Partnership will make distributions of available cash from distributable cash flow for any quarter during the subordination period as defined in its partnership agreement in the following manner: firstly, 98.94% to the common unitholders, pro rata, and 1.06% to the General Partner, until the Partnership distributes for each outstanding common unit an amount equal to the minimum quarterly distribution for that quarter; secondly, 98.94% to the common unitholders, pro rata, and

Table of Contents

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 14. Partners' Equity, Allocations and Cash Distributions (Continued)

1.06% to the General Partner, until the Partnership distributes for each outstanding common unit an amount equal to any arrearages in payment of the minimum quarterly distribution on the common units for any prior quarters during the subordination period; thirdly, 98.94% to the subordinated unitholders, pro rata, and 1.06% to the General Partner, until the Partnership distributes for each subordinated unit an amount equal to the minimum quarterly distribution for that quarter; and thereafter, cash in excess of the minimum quarterly distributions is distributed to the unitholders and the General Partner, as the holder of the incentive distribution rights, based on the percentages as provided below.

As the holder of the incentive distribution rights, the General Partner is entitled to incentive distributions if the amount that the Partnership distributes with respect to any quarter exceeds specified target levels shown below:

	Marginal Percentage Interest in Distributions		
	Total Quarterly Distribution Target Amount	Unitholders	General Partner
Minimum Quarterly Distribution	\$0.4625	98.94%	1.06%
First Target Distribution	\$0.4625	98.94%	1.06%
Second Target Distribution	above \$0.4625 up to \$0.5375	85.94%	14.06%
Third Target Distribution	above \$0.5375 up to \$0.6625	75.94%	24.06%
Thereafter	above \$0.6625	50.94%	49.06%

The Partnership paid the following cash distributions during 2010, 2009 and 2008 (in thousands, except per unit data):

Cash Distribution Payment Date	Per Unit Cash Distribution	Common Units	Subordinated Units	General Partner	Incentive Distribution	Total Cash Distribution
2008						
02/14/08(1)(2)	\$ 0.4875	\$ 3,621	\$ 2,751	\$ 112	\$ 50	\$ 6,534
05/14/08(1)(2)	0.4875	3,621	2,751	112	50	6,534
08/14/08(1)(2)	0.4875	3,621	2,751	112	50	6,534
11/14/08(1)(2)	0.4875	3,621	2,751	112	50	6,534
2009						
02/13/09(1)(2)	\$ 0.4875	\$ 3,621	\$ 2,751	\$ 112	\$ 50	\$ 6,534
05/15/09(1)(2)	0.4875	3,621	2,751	112	50	6,534
08/14/09(1)(2)	0.4875	3,621	2,751	112	50	6,534
11/13/09(1)(2)	0.4875	3,621	2,751	112	50	6,534
2010						
02/12/10(1)(2)	\$ 0.4875	\$ 3,621	\$ 2,751	\$ 112	\$ 50	\$ 6,534
05/14/10(2)(3)	0.4875	5,527	2,751	112	65	8,455
08/13/10(3)	0.4875	5,527	2,751	112	65	8,455
11/12/10(4)	0.4950	5,612	2,793	114	84	8,603

(1)

Prior to the Partnership's public offering in March 2010 (see Note 15), the limited partner interest was 98.27% and the general partner interest was 1.73%.

Table of Contents

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 14. Partners' Equity, Allocations and Cash Distributions (Continued)

- (2) This distributions of \$0.4875 per unit resulted in the Partnership exceeding its first target distribution for each of the four quarters of 2008 and 2009 and for the first two quarters of 2010. As a result, the General Partner, as the holder of the IDRs, received an incentive distribution for each respective quarter.
- (3) Prior to the Partnership's public offering in November 2010 (see Note 15), the limited partner interest was 98.66% and the general partner interest was 1.34%.
- (4) This distribution of \$0.4950 per unit resulted in the Partnership exceeding its first target distribution for the third quarter of 2010. As a result, the General Partner, as the holder of the IDRs, received an incentive distribution.

On January 19, 2011, the board of directors of the General Partner declared a quarterly cash distribution of \$0.50 per unit for the period from October 1, 2010 through December 31, 2010 (\$2.00 per unit on an annualized basis) to the Partnership's common and subordinated unitholders of record as of the close of business February 3, 2011. On February 14, 2011, the Partnership paid the total cash distribution of approximately \$9.7 million.

Note 15. Unitholders' Equity

On March 16, 2010, the Partnership entered into an Underwriting Agreement (the "March Underwriting Agreement") relating to the public offering of 3,400,000 common units representing limited partner interests in the Partnership, at a public offering price of \$22.75, less underwriting discounts and commissions of \$1.00 per common unit. Pursuant to the March Underwriting Agreement, the Partnership also granted the underwriters an option to purchase an additional 510,000 common units from the Partnership at the same price, which option was exercised. On March 19, 2010, the Partnership completed the public offering of 3,910,000 common units for approximately \$89.0 million. The net proceeds of \$84.6 million, after deducting approximately \$4.4 million in underwriting fees and offering expenses, were used to reduce indebtedness outstanding under the Credit Agreement.

On November 11, 2010, the Partnership entered into an Underwriting Agreement (the "November Underwriting Agreement") relating to the public offering of 1,700,000 common units representing limited partner interests in the Partnership, at a public offering price of \$25.57, less underwriting discounts and commissions of \$1.04 per common unit. Pursuant to the November Underwriting Agreement, the Partnership also granted the underwriters an option to purchase an additional 255,000 common units from the Partnership at the same price, which option was exercised. On November 16, 2010, the Partnership completed the public offering of 1,955,000 common units for approximately \$50.0 million. The net proceeds of \$47.7 million, after deducting approximately \$2.3 million in underwriting fees and offering expenses, were used to reduce indebtedness outstanding under the Credit Agreement.

On February 3, 2011, the Partnership entered into an Underwriting Agreement (the "February Underwriting Agreement") relating to the public offering of 2,300,000 common units representing limited partner interests in the Partnership, at a public offering price of \$27.60, less underwriting discounts and commissions of \$1.11 per common unit. Pursuant to the February Underwriting Agreement, the Partnership also granted the underwriters an option to purchase an additional 345,000 common units from the Partnership at the same price, which option was exercised. On February 8, 2011, the Partnership completed the public offering of 2,645,000 common units for approximately

Table of Contents**GLOBAL PARTNERS LP****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 15. Unitholders' Equity (Continued)**

\$73.0 million. The net proceeds of \$69.7 million, after deducting approximately \$3.3 million in underwriting fees and offering expenses, were used to reduce indebtedness outstanding under the Credit Agreement.

Note 16. Related-Party Transactions

The Partnership is a party to a First Amendment to the Second Amended and Restated Terminal Storage Rental and Throughput Agreement with GPC, an affiliate of the Partnership, which extends through July 31, 2014 with annual renewal options thereafter. The agreement is accounted for as an operating lease.

The following provides future minimum payments at December 31, 2010, including the expected exercise of renewal options, which have an annual consumer price index adjustment (in thousands):

2011	\$ 7,262
2012	7,262
2013	7,262
2014	4,236
Total	\$ 26,022

The expenses under this agreement totaled \$8.7 million, \$8.5 million and \$8.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. These expenses include annual consumer price index adjustments of approximately \$1.4 million, \$1.1 million and \$1.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The Partnership did not record deferred rent expense for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009. Deferred rent expense was approximately \$121,000 for the year ended December 31, 2008 as calculated under accounting guidance related to leases.

Pursuant to an Amended and Restated Services Agreement with GPC, GPC provides certain terminal operating management services to the Partnership and uses certain administrative, accounting and information processing services of the Partnership. The expenses from these services totaled approximately \$87,000, \$73,000 and \$86,000 for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. These charges were recorded in selling, general and administrative expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of income. The agreement is for an indefinite term, and either party may terminate its receipt of some or all of the services thereunder upon 180 days' notice at any time after January 1, 2009. As of December 31, 2010, no such notice of termination was given by either party.

Pursuant to the Partnership's Amended and Restated Services Agreement with Alliance Energy LLC ("Alliance"), the Partnership also provides certain administrative, accounting and information processing services, and the use of certain facilities, to Alliance, an affiliate of the Partnership that is wholly owned by AE Holdings Corp., which is approximately 95% owned by members of the Slifka family. The income from these services was approximately \$196,000, \$382,000 and \$866,000 for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The decrease in amounts received from Alliance in 2010 compared to 2009 and 2008 is because the nature, scope and extent of services previously provided by the Partnership have diminished. These fees were recorded as an offset to selling, general and administrative expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of income. The agreement is for an indefinite term, and Alliance may terminate its receipt of some or

Table of Contents**GLOBAL PARTNERS LP****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****Note 16. Related-Party Transactions (Continued)**

all of the services thereunder upon 180 days' notice at any time after January 1, 2008. As of December 31, 2010, no such notice of termination was given by either party.

In addition, on May 12, 2010, the Partnership and Alliance entered into a letter agreement with respect to shared services for the year ended December 31, 2010, pursuant to which the Partnership provided production and project support and routine information technology maintenance. The income from these services was approximately \$209,000 for the year ended December 31, 2010.

The Partnership sells refined petroleum products to Alliance at prevailing market prices at the time of delivery. Sales to Alliance were approximately \$20.1 million, \$19.8 million and \$29.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Year-over-year increases or decreases in sales to Alliance are due to correlated increases or decreases in refined petroleum product prices.

In addition, Global Companies LLC and GMG, wholly owned subsidiaries of the Partnership, entered into management agreements with Alliance in connection with the Partnership's September 2010 acquisition of retail gas stations from ExxonMobil. The expenses for the management fee and overhead reimbursement were approximately \$778,300 through December 31, 2010. See Note 5.

The General Partner employs substantially all of the Partnership's employees and charges the Partnership for their services. The expenses for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, including payroll, payroll taxes and bonus accruals, were \$38.6 million, \$39.0 million and \$27.2 million, respectively. The Partnership also reimburses the General Partner for its contributions under the General Partner's 401(k) Savings and Profit Sharing Plan and the General Partner's qualified and non-qualified pension plans. See Note 11, Employee Benefit Plans with Related Party.

The table below presents trade receivables with Alliance, receivables incurred in connection with the services agreements between Alliance and the Partnership and GPC and the Partnership, as the case may be, and receivables from the General Partner at December 31 (in thousands):

	2010	2009
Receivables from Alliance	\$ 296	\$ 838
Receivables from GPC	224	251
Receivables from the General Partner(1)	710	476
Total	\$ 1,230	\$ 1,565

(1)

Receivables from the General Partner reflect the Partnership's prepayment of payroll taxes and payroll accruals to the General Partner.

Note 17. Segment Reporting

The Partnership is a wholesale and commercial distributor of gasoline, distillates and residual oil whose primary business is organized within two reporting segments, Wholesale and Commercial, based on the way the chief operating decision maker (CEO) manages the business and on the similarity of customers and expected long-term financial performance of each segment. The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in Note 2, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies."

Table of Contents

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 17. Segment Reporting (Continued)

In the Wholesale segment, the Partnership sells gasoline, home heating oil, diesel, kerosene and residual oil to unbranded and Mobil branded retail gasoline stations and other resellers of transportation fuels, home heating oil retailers and wholesale distributors. Generally, customers use their own vehicles or contract carriers to take delivery of the product at bulk terminals and inland storage facilities that the Partnership owns or controls or with which it has throughput arrangements.

The Commercial segment includes (1) sales and deliveries of unbranded gasoline, home heating oil, diesel, kerosene, residual oil and small amounts of natural gas and renewable fuels to end user customers in the public sector and to large commercial and industrial end users; (in the case of commercial and industrial end user customers, the Partnership sells products either through a competitive bidding process or through contracts of various terms, (2) sales of custom blended distillates and residual oil delivered by barges or from a terminal dock through bunkering activity, and (3) sales of Mobil-branded gasoline to end users. Commercial segment end user customers also include Mobil-branded gasoline customers at the Partnership's directly operated gas stations, federal and state agencies, municipalities, large industrial companies, many autonomous authorities such as transportation authorities and water resource authorities, colleges and universities and a group of small utilities. Unlike the Wholesale segment, in the Commercial segment, the Partnership generally arranges the delivery of the product to the customer's designated location, typically hiring third-party common carriers to deliver the product.

The Partnership evaluates segment performance based on net product margins before allocations of corporate and indirect operating costs, depreciation, amortization (including non-cash charges) and interest. Based on the way the CEO manages the business, it is not reasonably possible for the Partnership to allocate the components of operating costs and expenses between the reportable segments. There were no intersegment sales for any of the years presented below.

Table of Contents

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 17. Segment Reporting (Continued)

Summarized financial information for the Partnership's reportable segments for the years ended December 31 is presented in the table below (in thousands):

	2010	2009	2008
Wholesale Segment:			
Sales			
Gasoline	\$ 4,554,046	\$ 2,954,461	\$ 4,469,783
Distillates	2,680,729	2,467,883	4,044,039
Residual oil	39,353	32,803	75,358
Total	\$ 7,274,128	\$ 5,455,147	\$ 8,589,180
Net product margin(1)			
Gasoline	\$ 64,677	\$ 40,706	\$ 36,451
Distillates	80,948	95,098	70,045
Residual oil	9,398	9,430	11,671
Total	\$ 155,023	\$ 145,234	\$ 118,167
Commercial Segment:			
Sales	\$ 511,326	\$ 363,264	\$ 429,943
Net product margin(1)	\$ 18,438	\$ 15,410	\$ 11,835
All Other(2):			
Sales	\$ 16,105	\$	\$
Net product margin(1)	\$ 8,885	\$	\$
Combined sales and net product margin:			
Sales	\$ 7,801,559	\$ 5,818,411	\$ 9,019,123
Net product margin(1)	\$ 182,346	\$ 160,644	\$ 130,002
Depreciation allocated to cost of sales	15,628	10,816	10,211
Combined gross profit	\$ 166,718	\$ 149,828	\$ 119,791

(1)

Net product margin is a non-GAAP financial measure used by management and external users of the Partnership's consolidated financial statements to assess the Partnership's business. The table above reconciles net product margin on a combined basis to gross profit, a directly comparable GAAP measure.

(2)

Results from segments below the quantitative thresholds are attributable to one operating segment of the Partnership (retail), which consists primarily of convenience stores sales at the Partnership's directly operated stores and rental income from the Partnership's gas station dealers. This segment does not meet the quantitative thresholds for determining reportable segments.

In the Wholesale segment, the Partnership had one customer whose revenues were approximately \$1.4 billion (19%), \$1.3 billion (22%) and \$1.8 billion (20%) of the Partnership's total revenues for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

F-46

Table of Contents

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 17. Segment Reporting (Continued)

A reconciliation of the totals reported for the reportable segments to the applicable line items in the consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31 is as follows (in thousands):

	2010	2009	2008
Combined gross profit	\$ 166,718	\$ 149,828	\$ 119,791
Operating costs and expenses not allocated to operating segments:			
Selling, general and administrative expenses	66,063	61,048	42,060
Operating expenses	47,781	35,043	31,788
Amortization expense	3,526	2,986	2,937
Total operating costs and expenses	117,370	99,077	76,785
Operating income	49,348	50,751	43,006
Interest expense	(22,310)	(15,188)	(20,799)
Income tax expense		(1,429)	(1,152)
Net income	\$ 27,038	\$ 34,134	\$ 21,055

There were no foreign sales for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008. The Partnership has no foreign assets.

Segment Assets

In connection with its acquisition of retail gas stations from ExxonMobil (see Note 5), the Partnership acquired assets of approximately \$232.3 million, of which approximately \$152.2 million of property and equipment has been allocated to the Wholesale segment at December 31, 2010. Due to the commingled nature and uses of the remainder of the Partnership's assets, it is not reasonably possible for the Partnership to allocate these assets between its reportable segments.

Note 18. Fair Value Measurements

Certain of the Partnership's assets and liabilities are measured at fair value. Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The FASB established a fair value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value into the following three levels:

- Level 1 Observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.
- Level 2 Inputs other than the quoted prices in active markets that are observable for assets or liabilities, either directly or indirectly. These include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets and quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in inactive markets.
- Level 3 Unobservable inputs based on the entity's own assumptions.

Table of Contents

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 18. Fair Value Measurements (Continued)

The following table presents those financial assets and financial liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis at December 31 (in thousands):

	Fair Value as of December 31, 2010				Fair Value as of December 31, 2009			
	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Assets:								
Hedged inventories	\$ 585,323	\$	\$ 585,323	\$	\$ 472,533	\$	\$ 472,533	\$
Fair value of forward fixed price contracts	1,942		1,942		3,089		3,089	
Swap agreements and options	211	152	59		3,009	2,966	43	
Forward starting swap					80		80	
Total	\$ 587,476	\$ 152	\$ 587,324	\$	\$ 478,711	\$ 2,966	\$ 475,745	\$
Liabilities:								
Obligations on forward fixed price contracts	\$ (9,157)	\$	\$ (9,157)	\$	\$ (21,114)	\$	\$ (21,114)	\$
Swap agreements and option contracts	(2,446)	(150)	(2,296)		(3,669)	(118)	(3,551)	
Interest rate collars and forward starting swap	(13,338)		(13,338)		(7,047)		(7,047)	
Total liabilities	\$ (24,941)	\$ (150)	\$ 24,791	\$	\$ (31,830)	\$ (118)	\$ (31,712)	\$

The majority of the Partnership's derivatives outstanding are reported at fair value based market quotes that are deemed to be observable inputs in an active market for similar assets and liabilities and are considered Level 2 inputs for purposes of fair value disclosures. Specifically, the fair values of the Partnership's financial assets and financial liabilities provided above were derived from NYMEX and New York Harbor quotes for the Partnership's hedged inventories, forward fixed price contracts, swap agreements and option contracts and from the LIBOR rates for the Partnership's interest rate collars and forward starting swap. The Partnership has not changed its valuation techniques or inputs during the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009.

For assets and liabilities measured on a non-recurring basis during the year, accounting guidance requires quantitative disclosures about the fair value measurements separately for each major category. See Note 5 for acquired assets and liabilities measured on a non-recurring basis in the current year. There were no other remeasured assets or liabilities at fair value on a non-recurring basis during the year ended December 31, 2010.

Financial Instruments

The fair value of the Partnership's financial instruments approximated their carrying value as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, in each case due to the short-term nature of the financial instruments.

Table of Contents

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 19. Legal Proceedings*General*

Although the Partnership may, from time to time, be involved in litigation and claims arising out of its operations in the normal course of business, the Partnership does not believe that it is a party to any litigation that will have a material adverse impact on its financial condition or results of operations. Except as described below and in Note 9 included herein, the Partnership is not aware of any significant legal or governmental proceedings against it, or contemplated to be brought against it. The Partnership maintains insurance policies with insurers in amounts and with coverage and deductibles as its general partner believes are reasonable and prudent. However, the Partnership can provide no assurance that this insurance will be adequate to protect it from all material expenses related to potential future claims or that these levels of insurance will be available in the future at economically acceptable prices.

Other

In January 2011, the trustee administering the post-bankruptcy litigation trust of Lyondell Chemical Company ("Lyondell") and certain of its affiliates brought an action against the Partnership to recover payments totaling approximately \$6.0 million made to the Partnership by an affiliate of Lyondell that the trustee claims were paid shortly before the Lyondell bankruptcy and at a time when the affiliate was insolvent, allegedly permitting the avoidance or recovery of those payments pursuant to bankruptcy law. The Partnership believes that the payments were made by the affiliate in the ordinary course of both its and the Partnership's business as contemporaneous payment for its receipt of a volume of product of a value equivalent to the payments. The litigation is in its early stages, and the Partnership believes it has meritorious defenses. Therefore, no provision for losses has been recorded in connection with this matter.

Note 20. Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

Unaudited quarterly financial data is as follows (in thousands, except per unit amounts):

	First	Second	Third	Fourth	Total
Year ended December 31, 2010					
Sales	\$ 1,964,745	\$ 1,534,701	\$ 1,546,839	\$ 2,755,274	\$ 7,801,559
Gross profit	\$ 47,768	\$ 31,961	\$ 35,095	\$ 51,894	\$ 166,718
Net income	\$ 17,389	\$ 3,159	\$ 551	\$ 5,939	\$ 27,038
Limited partners' interest in net income	\$ 17,050	\$ 3,052	\$ 479	\$ 5,780	\$ 26,361
Basic net income per limited partner unit(1)	\$ 1.26	\$ 0.18	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.32	\$ 1.61
Diluted net income per limited partner unit(1)	\$ 1.23	\$ 0.18	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.32	\$ 1.59
Cash distributions per limited partner unit(2)	\$ 0.4875	\$ 0.4875	\$ 0.4875	\$ 0.4950	\$ 1.9575

(1) See Note 2 for net income per limited partner unit calculation.

(2) Cash distributions declared in one calendar quarter are paid in the following calendar quarter.

Table of Contents

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 20. Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited) (Continued)

	First	Second	Third	Fourth	Total
Year ended December 31, 2009					
Sales	\$ 1,632,955	\$ 1,201,149	\$ 1,285,331	\$ 1,698,976	\$ 5,818,411
Gross profit	\$ 50,714	\$ 27,789	\$ 29,273	\$ 42,052	\$ 149,828
Net income	\$ 18,863	\$ 978	\$ 2,059	\$ 12,234	\$ 34,134
Limited partners' interest in net income	\$ 18,487	\$ 911	\$ 1,973	\$ 11,972	\$ 33,343
Basic net income per limited partner unit(1)	\$ 1.41	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.92	\$ 2.56
Diluted net income per limited partner unit(1)	\$ 1.40	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.91	\$ 2.51
Cash distributions per limited partner unit(2)	\$ 0.4875	\$ 0.4875	\$ 0.4875	\$ 0.4875	\$ 1.9500

(1) See Note 2 for net income per limited partner unit calculation.

(2) Cash distributions declared in one calendar quarter are paid in the following calendar quarter.

Note 21. Subsequent Events

On January 26, 2011, the Partnership requested an increase in the working capital revolving credit facility in an amount equal to \$100.0 million. On February 11, 2011, certain lenders under the Credit Agreement agreed to fund the \$100.0 million increase which increases the total available commitments under the Credit Agreement from \$1.15 billion to \$1.25 billion.

On February 8, 2011, the Partnership completed a public offering of 2,645,000 common units at a price of \$27.60 per common unit. Net proceeds were approximately \$69.7 million, after deducting underwriting fees and offering expenses. The Partnership used the net proceeds to reduce indebtedness outstanding under its Credit Agreement. See Note 15. The offering, had it occurred as of December 31, 2010, would have had an immaterial impact on net income per limited partner unit, basic and diluted.

On February 14, 2011, the Partnership paid a cash distribution of approximately \$9.7 million to its common and subordinated unitholders of record as of the close of business on February 3, 2011.

On February 18, 2011, the second tranche of the February 5, 2009 phantom unit award vested and, as a result, the General Partner distributed 69,444 common units (or 25%) pursuant to the LTIP and under the Repurchase Program.

Subsequent to December 31, 2010, based upon meeting certain distribution and performance tests provided in the Partnership's partnership agreement, all 5,642,424 subordinated units converted to common units.

Table of Contents

Item 15(a)

SCHEDULE II VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

GLOBAL PARTNERS LP

FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008

(In thousands)

Description		Balance at Beginning of Period	Charged to Costs and Expenses	Recoveries	Write Offs	Balance at End of Period
<i>Year ended December 31, 2010</i>						
Allowance for doubtful accounts	accounts receivable	\$ 3,006	\$ 1,060	\$ 6	\$ (273)	\$ 3,799
<i>Year ended December 31, 2009</i>						
Allowance for doubtful accounts	accounts receivable	\$ 2,974	\$ 2,172	\$ 14	\$ (2,154)	\$ 3,006
<i>Year ended December 31, 2008</i>						
Allowance for doubtful accounts	accounts receivable	\$ 2,650	\$ 660	\$ 1	\$ (337)	\$ 2,974

F-51

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number	Description
3.1	Third Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Global Partners LP dated as of December 9, 2009 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 15, 2009).
4.1	Registration Rights Agreement, dated May 9, 2007, by and between Global Partners LP and the purchasers named therein (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 10, 2007).
4.2	Class B Unit Purchase Agreement, dated March 17, 2007, by and among Global Partners LP and the purchasers named therein (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on August 6, 2007).
10.1	Omnibus Agreement, dated October 4, 2005, by and among Global Petroleum Corp., Montello Oil Corporation, Global Revco Dock, L.L.C., Global Revco Terminal, L.L.C., Global South Terminal, L.L.C., Sandwich Terminal, L.L.C., Chelsea Terminal Limited Partnership, Global GP LLC, Global Partners LP, Global Operating LLC, Alfred A. Slifka, Richard Slifka and Eric Slifka (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 11, 2005).
10.2^	Global Partners GP Long-Term Incentive Plan effective as of October 4, 2005 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Amendment No. 1 to Form S-1 (File No. 333-124755) filed on July 1, 2005).
10.3	Amended and Restated Services Agreement, dated October 4, 2005, by and among Global Petroleum Corp., Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group LLC, and Chelsea Sandwich LLC (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 11, 2005).
10.4	Amended and Restated Services Agreement, dated October 4, 2005, by and between Alliance Energy Corp. and Global Companies LLC (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 11, 2005).
10.5	Second Amended and Restated Terminal Storage and Throughput Agreement, dated October 4, 2005 by and among Global Petroleum Corp., Global Companies LLC and Global Montello Group LLC (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 11, 2005).
10.6	Credit Agreement, dated October 4, 2005, among Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group LLC, Glen Hes Corp. and Chelsea Sandwich LLC, as borrowers, Global Partners LP and Global GP LLC, as guarantors, each lender from time to time party thereto and Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent and L/C issuer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 11, 2005).
10.7	First Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of November 10, 2005, among Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group Corp., Glen Hes Corp. and Chelsea Sandwich LLC, as borrowers, Global Partners LP, Global GP LLC, as guarantors, each lender from time to time party thereto, and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and L/C issuer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 14, 2005).
10.8^	Employment Agreement dated April 19, 2006, by and between Global GP LLC and Thomas J. Hollister (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 11, 2006).

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description
10.9	Second Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of August 2, 2006, among Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group Corp., Glen Hes Corp. and Chelsea Sandwich LLC, as borrowers, Global Partners LP and Global GP LLC, as guarantors, each lender from time to time party thereto, and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and L/C Issuer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 3, 2006).
10.10^	Employment Agreement dated February 1, 2007, by and between Global GP LLC and Edward J. Faneuil (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 6, 2007).
10.11	Third Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of April 24, 2007, among Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group Corp., Glen Hes Corp. and Chelsea Sandwich LLC, as borrowers, Global Partners LP and Global GP LLC, as guarantors, each lender from time to time party thereto, and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and L/C Issuer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 26, 2007).
10.12	Terminals Sale and Purchase Agreement, dated March 16, 2007 by and between Global Partners LP and ExxonMobil Oil Corporation (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 9, 2007).
10.13	Forms of LTIP Grant Agreements dated August 14, 2007 (Named Executive Officers who are party to an employment agreement with Global GP LLC) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 20, 2007).
10.14	Form of LTIP Grant Agreement (Directors) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 20, 2007).
10.15	Form of LTIP Grant Agreement (General) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 20, 2007).
10.16	Fourth Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of August 21, 2007, among Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group Corp., Glen Hes Corp. and Chelsea Sandwich LLC, as borrowers, Global Partners LP and Global GP LLC, as guarantors, each lender from time to time party thereto, and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and L/C Issuer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 24, 2007).
10.17	Fifth Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of October 23, 2007, among Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group Corp., Glen Hes Corp. and Chelsea Sandwich LLC, as borrowers, Global Partners LP and Global GP LLC, as guarantors, each lender from time to time party thereto, and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and L/C Issuer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 24, 2007).
10.18	Sixth Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of November 29, 2007, among Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group Corp., Glen Hes Corp. and Chelsea Sandwich LLC, as borrowers, Global Partners LP and Global GP LLC, as guarantors, each lender from time to time party thereto, and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and L/C Issuer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 3, 2007).

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description
10.19	Terminals Sale and Purchase Agreement, dated July 9, 2007 by and between Global Partners LP and ExxonMobil Oil Corporation (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 14, 2008).
10.20	Waiver Letter and Seventh Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of March 13, 2008, among Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group Corp., Glen Hes Corp. and Chelsea Sandwich LLC, as borrowers, Global Partners LP and Global GP LLC, as guarantors, each lender from time to time party thereto, and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and L/C Issuer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 8, 2008).
10.21	Eighth Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of June 13, 2008, among Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group Corp., Glen Hes Corp. and Chelsea Sandwich LLC, as borrowers, Global Partners LP and Global GP LLC, as guarantors, each lender from time to time party thereto, and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and L/C Issuer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 17, 2008).
10.22	Ninth Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as of July 18, 2008, among Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group Corp., Glen Hes Corp. and Chelsea Sandwich LLC, as borrowers, Global Partners LP and Global GP LLC, as guarantors, each lender from time to time party thereto, and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and L/C Issuer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 21, 2008).
10.23	Tenth Amendment to Credit Agreement and Limited Waiver, dated as of September 26, 2008, among Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group Corp., Glen Hes Corp. and Chelsea Sandwich LLC, as borrowers, Global Partners LP and Global GP LLC, as guarantors, each lender from time to time party thereto, and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and L/C Issuer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 1, 2008).
10.24^	Employment Agreement dated December 31, 2008, by and between Global GP LLC and Eric S. Slifka (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 7, 2009).
10.25^	Amendment No. 1 to Employment Agreement dated December 31, 2008, by and between Global GP LLC and Thomas Hollister (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 7, 2009).
10.26^	Amendment No. 1 to Employment Agreement dated December 31, 2008, by and between Global GP LLC and Edward J. Faneuil (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 7, 2009).
10.27^	Amended and Restated Deferred Compensation Agreement dated December 31, 2008, by and between Global GP LLC and Edward J. Faneuil (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 7, 2009).
10.28^	First Amendment to LTIP Grant Agreement dated December 31, 2008 for Eric Slifka (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 7, 2009).

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description
10.29^	First Amendment to LTIP Grant Agreement (Named Executive Officers who are party to an employment agreement with Global GP LLC (except Mr. Slifka)), LTIP Grant Agreement (Directors) and LTIP Grant Agreement (General) dated December 31, 2008 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 7, 2009).
10.30^	Amendment No. 1 to Employment Agreement dated February 4, 2009, by and between Global GP LLC and Eric S. Slifka (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.30 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 13, 2009).
10.31^	Amendment No. 2 to Employment Agreement dated February 4, 2009, by and between Global GP LLC and Thomas Hollister (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.31 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 13, 2009).
10.32^	Amendment No. 2 to Employment Agreement dated February 4, 2009, by and between Global GP LLC and Edward J. Faneuil (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.32 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 13, 2009).
10.33^	Amendment No. 3 to Employment Agreement dated March 11, 2009, by and between Global GP LLC and Edward J. Faneuil (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.33 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 13, 2009).
10.34	Joinder Agreement, dated as of August 5, 2009, among Global Energy Marketing LLC, as a new guarantor, Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group Corp., Glen Hes Corp. and Chelsea Sandwich LLC, as borrowers, Global Partners LP and Global GP LLC, as guarantors, and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and L/C Issuer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 7, 2009).
10.35^	Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan dated December 31, 2009, between Global GP LLC and Edward J. Faneuil (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 7, 2010).
10.36^	Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan dated December 31, 2009, between Global GP LLC and Charles A. Rudinsky (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 7, 2010).
10.37	Consent Regarding Capital Expenditure Limit for 2010 Fiscal Year, dated as of January 26, 2010, among Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group Corp., Glen Hes Corp. and Chelsea Sandwich LLC, as borrowers, Global Partners LP, Global GP LLC and Global Energy Marketing LLC, as guarantors, each lender from time to time party to the Credit Agreement, and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and L/C Issuer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 29, 2010).
10.38	Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of May 14, 2010, among Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group Corp., Glen Hes Corp., Chelsea Sandwich LLC, GLP Finance Corp. and Global Energy Marketing LLC as borrowers, Global Partners LP and Global GP LLC, as guarantors, each lender from time to time party thereto, Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and L/C Issuer, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. as Syndication Agent, Societe Generale, Standard Chartered Bank, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. and RBS Citizens, National Association as Co-Documentation Agents and Banc of America Securities LLC and JP Morgan Securities Inc. as Joint Lead Arrangers and Joint Book Managers (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 18, 2010).

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description
10.39	Letter Agreement for 2010 Shared Services Fee Structure, dated as of May 12, 2010 between Global Companies LLC and Alliance Energy LLC (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 18, 2010).
10.40	First Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Terminal Storage Rental and Throughput Agreement, dated May 12, 2010 among Global Petroleum Corp., Global Companies LLC and Global Montello Group Corp. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 18, 2010).
10.41**	Sale and Purchase Agreement, dated May 24, 2010 among ExxonMobil Oil Corporation and Exxon Mobil Corporation, as sellers, and Global Companies LLC (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 6, 2010).
10.42	First Amendment to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of August 18, 2010, by and among Global Operating LLC, Global Companies LLC, Global Montello Group Corp., Glen Hes Corp., Chelsea Sandwich LLC, GLP Finance Corp. and Global Energy Marketing LLC as borrowers, Global Partners LP and Global GP LLC, as guarantors, each lender from time to time party thereto, Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent and L/C Issuer, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. as Syndication Agent, Societe Generale, Standard Chartered Bank, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. and RBS Citizens, National Association as Co-Documentation Agents (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 24, 2010).
10.43	First Amendment to Sale and Purchase Agreement, effective August 12, 2010 among ExxonMobil Oil Corporation and Exxon Mobil Corporation, as sellers, and Global Companies LLC (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 31, 2010).
10.44	Second Amendment to Sale and Purchase Agreement, dated September 7, 2010, among ExxonMobil Oil Corporation and Exxon Mobil Corporation, as sellers, and Global Companies LLC, as buyer (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 9, 2010).
10.45	Facilities Management Agreement, dated September 8, 2010, between Global Montello Group Corp. and Alliance Energy LLC (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 14, 2010).
10.46	Facilities Management Agreement, dated September 8, 2010, 2010, between Global Companies LLC and Alliance Energy LLC (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 14, 2010).
10.47^	Amendment No. 4 to Employment Agreement dated November 2, 2010 by and between Global GP LLC and Edward J. Faneuil (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 8, 2010).
10.48	Brand Fee Agreement, dated September 3, 2010, between ExxonMobil Oil Corporation and Global Companies LLC (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A filed on January 20, 2011).
10.49*	Assignment of Branded Wholesaler PMPA Franchise Agreements, effective March 1, 2011 between Global Companies LLC, Alliance Energy LLC and ExxonMobil Oil Corporation.
10.50*	Amended and Restated Distributor PMPA Franchise Agreement, dated March 1, 2011, between Global Companies LLC and Alliance Energy LLC.

Edgar Filing: GLOBAL PARTNERS LP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description
10.51*	Volume Incentive Program, dated March 1, 2011, between Global Companies LLC and Alliance Energy LLC.
21.1*	List of Subsidiaries of Global Partners LP.
23.1*	Consent of Ernst & Young LLP.
31.1*	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Principal Executive Officer of Global GP LLC, general partner of Global Partners LP.
31.2*	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Principal Financial Officer of Global GP LLC, general partner of Global Partners LP.
32.1	Section 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer of Global GP LLC, general partner of Global Partners LP.
32.2	Section 1350 Certification of Chief Financial Officer of Global GP LLC, general partner of Global Partners LP.

^
Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

*
Filed herewith.

Not deemed "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or otherwise subject to the liability of that section.

**
Schedules and Exhibits have been omitted pursuant to Item 601(b)(2) of Regulation S-K and will be furnished supplementally to the SEC upon request.

Portions of this exhibit have been omitted pursuant to a request for confidential treatment.