FOREST CITY ENTERPRISES INC Form 10-Q September 05, 2008

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549 Form 10-O

		roim ro Q			
(Mark One)					
	QUARTERLY REPORT PUR XCHANGE ACT OF 1934	SUANT TO SECTION	13 OR 15 (d) OF	THE SECURITIES	
	rly period ended July 31, 200	8			
-					
	RANSITION REPORT PUR	SUANT TO SECTION	13 OR 15 (d) OF	THE SECURITIES	
	XCHANGE ACT OF 1934				
For the transiti	ion period fromt	o nmission file number <u>1-</u>	4372		
		T CITY ENTERPRISE			
	_ 5		,		
	(Exact name	of registrant as specified	in its charter)		
Ohio			34-086	63886	
(State or other jurisdiction of			(I.R.S. E	mployer	
inc	orporation or organization)		Identification No.)		
Таша:	T	50 Dublic Course			
	nal Tower te 1100	50 Public Square Cleveland, Ohio		44113	
Sui	(Address of principal execut	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		(Zip Code)	
Registrant s tel	ephone number, including area		216-621-6060	(Zip code)	
C					
	(Former name, former addre				
	ck mark whether the registrant				
	ange Act of 1934 during the property and (2) has been				
Yes b No o	such reports), and (2) has been	subject to such filling req	ulternents for the p	bast 90 days.	
•	ck mark whether the registrant	is a large accelerated file	r an accelerated fil	ler a non-accelerated filer	
•	orting company. See the definit	•		ted filer and smaller reporting	
	ule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.		•	, ,	
Large accelerate	ed Accelerated filer o	Non-accelerated	l filer o	Smaller reporting	
filer þ		(Do not check if a sma company		company o	
Indicate by chec Yes o No b	ekmark whether the registrant is	s a shell company (as def	ined in Rule 12b-2	of the Exchange Act).	
	nber of shares outstanding, incl	•	stock, of each of	the issuer s classes of	
common stock,	as of the latest practicable date	•			

Class Outstanding at September 2, 2008

Class A Common Stock, \$.33 1/3 par value 80,440,972 shares

Class B Common Stock, \$.33 1/3 par value

22,967,393 shares

# Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries <u>Table of Contents</u>

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	<u>Page</u>
Item 1. Financial Statements	
Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries	
Consolidated Balance Sheets July 31, 2008 and January 31, 2008	2
Consolidated Statements of Operations Three and Six Months Ended July 31, 2008 and 2007	3
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss)  Three and Six Months Ended July 31, 2008 and 2007	4
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders Equity Six Months Ended July 31, 2008 and 2007	5
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Six Months Ended July 31, 2008 and 2007	6
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	8
Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	34
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	64
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	68
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	69
Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security-Holders	69
Item 6. Exhibits	70
<u>Signatures</u>	76
Certifications  EX-10.66  EX-10.67  EX-31.1  EX-31.2  EX-32.1	

i

# PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

# **Item 1. Financial Statements**

# Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Balance Sheets

	<b>July 31, 2008</b> (Unaudited)		January 31, 2008	
	(in thousands)			
Assets				
Real Estate				
Completed rental properties	\$ 7,948,753	\$	7,561,685	
Projects under development	1,775,979		1,499,495	
Land held for development or sale	163,853		155,524	
Total Real Estate	9,888,585		9,216,704	
Less accumulated depreciation	(1,337,106)		(1,244,391)	
Real Estate, net	8,551,479		7,972,313	
	225.240		054.424	
Cash and equivalents Restricted cash	225,349 387,213		254,434 248,262	
Notes and accounts receivable, net	428,994		419,090	
Investments in and advances to affiliates	390,778		415,030	
Other assets	895,705		829,998	
Operating property assets held for sale	-		31,672	
Total Assets	\$ 10,879,518	\$	10,251,597	
Total Assets	\$ 10,079,310	Ф	10,231,397	
Liabilities and Shareholders Equity				
Liabilities				
Mortgage debt, nonrecourse	\$ 6,824,880	\$	6,338,610	
Notes payable	179,904		143,874	
Bank revolving credit facility	143,500		39,000	
Senior and subordinated debt	886,900		886,900	
Accounts payable and accrued expenses  Deferred income taxes	1,046,720		1,015,844	
Liabilities of operating property held for sale	486,024		477,238 28,498	
Total Liabilities	9,567,928		8,929,964	
Minority Interest	379,604		349,517	
<b>Commitments and Contingencies</b>	-		-	

Company-Obligated Trust Preferred Securities	-	-
Shareholders Equity Preferred stock - without par value; 10,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued		
Common stock - \$.33 1/3 par value	-	-
Class A, 271,000,000 shares authorized, 79,604,947 and 78,237,993 shares		
issued and 79,587,550 and 78,201,673 shares outstanding, respectively Class B, convertible, 56,000,000 shares authorized, 23,236,412 and 24,387,607 shares	26,535	26,079
issued and outstanding, respectively; 26,257,961 issuable	7,745	8,129
	34,280	34,208
Additional paid-in capital	237,600	229,358
Retained earnings	717,805	782,871
Less treasury stock, at cost; 17,397 and 36,320 Class A shares, respectively	(754)	(1,665)
	988,931	1,044,772
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(56,945)	(72,656)
Total Shareholders Equity	931,986	972,116
Total Liabilities and Shareholders Equity	\$ 10,879,518	\$ 10,251,597
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial s	statements.	

# Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Operations

(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended July 31,		Six Months Ended July 31,		
	2008	2007	2008	2007	
	(i	n thousands, exc	cept per share data)	)	
Revenues from real estate operations	\$ 330,239	\$ 287,586	\$ 637,885	\$ 555,951	
Expenses					
Operating expenses	186,090	177,186	393,766	345,778	
Depreciation and amortization	70,228	55,741	136,847	115,528	
Provision for decline in real estate	365	-	365	-	
	256,683	232,927	530,978	461,306	
Interest expense	(82,350)	(72,708)	(165,721)	(149,507)	
Amortization of mortgage procurement costs	(3,169)	(2,839)	(6,107)	(5,403)	
Loss on early extinguishment of debt	(52)	(1,640)	(5,231)	(4,184)	
Interest and other income	12,887	23,423	21,288	34,822	
Gain on disposition of other investments	-	431	150	431	
Earnings (loss) before income taxes	872	1,326	(48,714)	(29,196)	
Income tax expense (benefit)					
Current	(10,727)	1,771	(10,172)	79	
Deferred	14,450	(2,380)	(5,684)	(14,728)	
	3,723	(609)	(15,856)	(14,649)	
Minority interest	(5,168)	(5,519)	(5,862)	(8,067)	
Equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated entities	(5,577)	7,773	(15,224)	9,134	
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations	(13,596)	4,189	(53,944)	(13,480)	
Discontinued operations, net of tax:					
Operating earnings (loss) from rental properties	(10)	(1,651)	69	(1,163)	
Gain on disposition of rental properties	5,294	65,237	5,294	65,237	
	5,284	63,586	5,363	64,074	

Net earnings (loss)	\$	(8,312)	\$	67,775	\$ (48,581)	\$ 50,594
Basic earnings (loss) per common share Earnings (loss) from continuing operations Earnings from discontinued operations, net of tax	\$	(0.13) 0.05	\$	0.04 0.60	\$ (0.52) 0.05	\$ (0.13) 0.61
Net earnings (loss)	\$	(0.08)	\$	0.64	\$ (0.47)	\$ 0.48
Diluted earnings (loss) per common share Earnings (loss) from continuing operations Earnings from discontinued operations, net of tax	\$	(0.13) 0.05	\$	0.04 0.59	\$ (0.52) 0.05	\$ (0.13) 0.61
Net earnings (loss)	\$	(0.08)	\$	0.63	\$ (0.47)	\$ 0.48
The second of th	1:	4.4.4 E	:	.1 .4.4		

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

3

# Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) (Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended July 31,			ed July
	<b>2008</b> 2007 (in thousands)			
Net earnings (loss)				67,775
ret carnings (1055)	Ψ	(0,312)	Ψ	07,773
Other comprehensive income, net of tax and minority interest:				
Unrealized net gains (losses) on investment securities		111		(115)
Change in unrealized net gains on interest rate derivative contracts		10,469		10,299
Other comprehensive income, net of tax and minority interest	\$	10,580	\$	10,184
Other comprehensive income, net of tax and inmortly interest	Ψ	10,500	Ψ	10,104
Comprehensive income	\$	2,268	\$	77,959
	Six	x Months En 2008		uly 31, 2007
		(in thous		
Net earnings (loss)	\$	(48,581)	\$	50,594
Other comprehensive income, net of tax and minority interest:				
Unrealized net gains (losses) on investment securities		162		(156)
Change in unrealized net gains on interest rate derivative contracts		15,549		1,121
Other comprehensive income, net of tax and minority interest	\$	15,711	\$	965
Other comprehensive income, her or tax and inmortry interest	Φ	13,/11	Ф	903
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$	(32,870)	\$	51,559
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statemen 4	ts.			

# **Table of Contents**

# Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Shareholder s Equity

(Unaudited)

	<b>Common Stock</b>			Additional	Т., .	0.032	AC		
Cla	Class A		Class B		Retained				
Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	_	_	Shares	Amou	unt	
				,	,				
78,238	\$ 26,079	24,388	\$ 8,129	\$ 229,358			\$ (1,6	565)	
					(16,485)			5.4 <b>0</b> \	
1 150	201	(1 152)	(201)			17	(6	642)	
•		(1,132)	, (384)			(36)	1 5	553	
74	26					(30)	1,0	ددر	
, 1	20			9,067					
128	42			3,736					
				(3,710)					
79,605	\$ 26,535	23,236	\$7,745	\$ 237,600	\$717,805	17	\$ (7	754)	
76,693	\$ 25,564	25,254	\$8,418	\$ 247,884		65	\$ (3,4	149)	
					245 50,594				
					(15,421)				
. =	<u></u>	<del>-</del>	24 =·			50	(3,1	138)	
		(488)	(163)						
				•		(107)	60	)56	
						(107)	0,0	USU	
133	7.3			9,714					
				(13,243)					
77,533	\$ 25,845	24,766	\$ 8,255	\$ 243,209	\$ 797,480	8	\$ (5	531)	
	78,238  1,152 13 74 128  79,605  76,693  488 324 (107) 135	Class A  Shares Amount  78,238 \$26,079  1,152 384 13 4 74 26 128 42  79,605 \$26,535  76,693 \$25,564  488 163 324 109 (107) (36)	Class A         Class A           Shares         Amount         Shares           78,238         \$ 26,079         24,388           1,152         384         (1,152)           13         4         (1,152)           128         42         23,236           76,693         \$ 25,564         25,254           488         163         (488)           324         109         (107)         (36)           135         45         (488)	Class A         Class B           Shares         Amount         Shares         Amount           78,238         \$ 26,079         24,388         \$ 8,129           1,152         384 74         (1,152)         (384)           13 74         4 26         42           79,605         \$ 26,535         23,236         \$ 7,745           76,693         \$ 25,564         25,254         \$ 8,418           488 	Class A         Class Amount         Amount         Capital (in the line)           78,238         \$ 26,079         24,388         \$ 8,129         \$ 229,358           1,152         384         (1,152)         (384)         (825)           74         26         (26)         9,067           128         42         3,736         (3,710)           79,605         \$ 26,535         23,236         \$ 7,745         \$ 237,600           76,693         \$ 25,564         25,254         \$ 8,418         \$ 247,884           488         163         (488)         (163)         4,919           (107)         (36)         (45)         9,714         (45)           135         45         (45)         9,714         (13,243)	Class A         Class B         Paid-In         Retained           Shares         Amount         Shares         Amount         Capital (in thousands)           78,238         \$26,079         24,388         \$8,129         \$229,358         \$782,871 (48,581)           1,152         384         (1,152)         (384)         (825)         (16,485)           1,33         4         (26)         9,067         3,736 (3,710)           128         42         25,254         \$7,745         \$237,600         \$717,805           79,605         \$26,535         23,236         \$7,745         \$237,600         \$717,805           76,693         \$25,564         25,254         \$8,418         \$247,884         \$762,062         245           50,594         (15,421)         (15,421)         (48)         (163)         4,919         (107)         (36)         (45)         9,714         (13,243)         (45)         9,714         (13,243)         (13,243)         (13,243)         (13,243)         (13,243)         (13,243)         (13,243)         (13,243)         (14,243)         (15,421)         (15,421)         (15,421)         (15,421)         (15,421)         (15,421)         (15,421)         (15,421)         (15,421) </td <td>Class A         Class B         Paid-In         Retained         States           Shares         Amount         Shares Amount         Capital (in thousands)         Earnings Shares (in thousands)           78,238         \$ 26,079         24,388         \$ 8,129         \$ 229,358         \$ 782,871 (48,581)         36 (48,581)           1,152         384 (1,152)         (384)         (825)         (16,485)         17           1,152         384 (1,152)         (384)         (825)         (36)           74         26         (26)         9,067         3,736         (3710)           79,605         \$ 26,535         23,236         \$ 7,745         \$ 237,600         \$ 717,805         17           76,693         \$ 25,564         25,254         \$ 8,418         \$ 247,884         \$ 762,062         65           245         50,594         (15,421)         50           488         163         (488)         (163)         4,919         (107)           324         109         (6,020)         (107)         (107)           135         45         (488)         (163)         9,714         (107)           135         45         (488)         (163)         (107)</td> <td>Class A         Class B         Paid-In         Retained         Treasury Stock           Shares         Amount         Shares         Amount (in to usands)         Earnings (16,485)         Shares Amount (in to usands)           78,238         \$26,079         24,388         \$8,129         \$229,358         \$782,871 (48,581)         36         \$(1,60)           1,152         384         (1,152)         (384)         (825)         (36)         1,5           13         4         (26)         9,067         3,736         (3,710)         (36)         1,5           79,605         \$26,535         23,236         \$7,745         \$237,600         \$717,805         17         \$ (7,7,45)           76,693         \$25,564         25,254         \$8,418         \$247,884         \$762,062         65         \$(3,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4</td>	Class A         Class B         Paid-In         Retained         States           Shares         Amount         Shares Amount         Capital (in thousands)         Earnings Shares (in thousands)           78,238         \$ 26,079         24,388         \$ 8,129         \$ 229,358         \$ 782,871 (48,581)         36 (48,581)           1,152         384 (1,152)         (384)         (825)         (16,485)         17           1,152         384 (1,152)         (384)         (825)         (36)           74         26         (26)         9,067         3,736         (3710)           79,605         \$ 26,535         23,236         \$ 7,745         \$ 237,600         \$ 717,805         17           76,693         \$ 25,564         25,254         \$ 8,418         \$ 247,884         \$ 762,062         65           245         50,594         (15,421)         50           488         163         (488)         (163)         4,919         (107)           324         109         (6,020)         (107)         (107)           135         45         (488)         (163)         9,714         (107)           135         45         (488)         (163)         (107)	Class A         Class B         Paid-In         Retained         Treasury Stock           Shares         Amount         Shares         Amount (in to usands)         Earnings (16,485)         Shares Amount (in to usands)           78,238         \$26,079         24,388         \$8,129         \$229,358         \$782,871 (48,581)         36         \$(1,60)           1,152         384         (1,152)         (384)         (825)         (36)         1,5           13         4         (26)         9,067         3,736         (3,710)         (36)         1,5           79,605         \$26,535         23,236         \$7,745         \$237,600         \$717,805         17         \$ (7,7,45)           76,693         \$25,564         25,254         \$8,418         \$247,884         \$762,062         65         \$(3,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

5

# Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(Unaudited)

	Six Months Ended July 3: 2008 2007	
	(in thou	sands)
Net Earnings (Loss) Depreciation and amortization	\$ (48,581) 136,847	\$ 50,594 115,528
Provision for decline in real estate	365	-
Amortization of mortgage procurement costs	6,107	5,403
(Gain) loss on early extinguishment of debt, net of cash prepayment penalties	(253)	4,184
Gain on disposition of other investments	(150)	(431)
Deferred income tax benefit	(5,684)	(14,728)
Minority interest	5,862	8,067
Equity in (earnings) loss of unconsolidated entities	15,224	(9,134)
Other income - net gain on sale of an ownership interest in parking management company (2008)		
and net gain on sale of development project (2007)	(3,350)	(10,090)
Stock-based compensation	4,948	6,077
Amortization and mark-to-market adjustments of derivative instruments	(4,144)	(3,622)
Cash distributions from operations of unconsolidated entities	32,435	14,820
Write-off of abandoned development projects	28,951	5,654
Discontinued operations:	05	1.024
Depreciation and amortization	95 11	1,934
Amortization of mortgage procurement costs  Loss on early extinguishment of debt	11	69 363
Gain on disposition of operating properties	(8,627)	(106,318)
Deferred income tax expense	4,496	34,608
Cost of sales of land included in projects under development or completed rental	7,70	34,000
properties	8,889	5,171
Increase in land held for development or sale	(12,082)	(9,214)
Decrease in notes and accounts receivable	16,707	245
Increase in other assets	(6,211)	(2,115)
Decrease (increase) in restricted cash used for operating purposes	7,948	(3,669)
Decrease in accounts payable and accrued expenses	(69,968)	(44,458)
Net cash provided by operating activities	109,835	48,938
Cash Flows from Investing Activities		
Capital expenditures, including real estate acquisitions	(550,307)	(729,643)
Payment of lease procurement costs and other assets, net	(32,031)	(16,628)
Increase in restricted cash used for capital expenditures	(167,853)	(72,479)
Proceeds from disposition of rental properties and other investments	15,309	291,551
Increase in investments in and advances to affiliates	5,128	(37,342)
Net cash used in investing activities	(729,754)	(564,541)

Cash Flows from Financing Activities		
Borrowings on bank revolving credit facility	268,000	409,000
Payments on bank revolving credit facility	(163,500)	(174,000)
Proceeds from nonrecourse mortgage debt	936,213	573,734
Principal payments on nonrecourse mortgage debt	(492,104)	(284,154)
Proceeds from notes payable	46,074	50,346
Payments on notes payable	(10,044)	(35,177)
Change in restricted cash and book overdrafts	24,214	(3,588)
Payment of deferred financing costs	(29,157)	(7,849)
Purchase of treasury stock	(642)	(3,138)
Exercise of stock options	732	5,028
Distributions of accumulated equity to minority partners	(3,710)	(13,243)
Dividends paid to shareholders	(16,470)	(14,341)
Increase (decrease) in minority interest	31,228	(2,967)
Net cash provided by financing activities	590,834	499,651
Net decrease in cash and equivalents	(29,085)	(15,952)
Cash and equivalents at beginning of period	254,434	254,213
Cash and equivalents at end of period	\$ 225,349	\$ 238,261
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.		

#### **Table of Contents**

# Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(Unaudited)

# **Supplemental Non-Cash Disclosures Table**

The table below represents the effect of the following non-cash transactions for the six months ended July 31, 2008 and 2007:

	Six Months Ended July 2008 2007 (in thousands)			• .
Operating Activities				
Increase in land held for development or sale (5)(6)	\$	(5,136)	\$	(4,138)
Increase in notes and accounts receivable (1)(2)(8)		(9,960)		(2,849)
Increase in other assets (1)(2)(3)(8)		(46,798)		(66,777)
Increase in restricted cash (1)(8)		(363)		(2,486)
Increase in accounts payable and accrued expenses (1)(2)(6)(8)		117,434		79,744
Total effect on operating activities	\$	55,177	\$	3,494
Investing Activities				
Increase in projects under development (1)(5)(6)(7)	\$	(101,158)	\$	(12,166)
Increase in completed rental properties (1)(2)(4)(5)(8)(9)		(27,974)		(56,661)
Increase in restricted cash (1)(8)		(244)		(16)
Non-cash proceeds from disposition of properties (3)		26,119		77,960
Decrease (increase) in investments in and advances to affiliates (1)(8)		25,051		(3,915)
Total effect on investing activities	\$	(78,206)	\$	5,202
Financing Activities				
Increase (decrease) in nonrecourse mortgage debt (1)(2)(3)(8)(9)	\$	24,270	\$	(9,841)
Increase in restricted cash (8)				(1,412)
Increase in Class A common stock (4)		42		
Increase in additional paid-in capital (4)(7)		7,855		3,637
Decrease in minority interest (4)		(9,123)		
Dividends declared but not yet paid		(15)		(1,080)
Total effect on financing activities	\$	23,029	\$	(8,696)

<sup>(1)</sup> Change to full consolidation method of accounting from equity method due to the restructuring of the partnership agreement related to *Shops at Wiregrass*, a retail development project in the Commercial Group, and acquisition of partners interest in *Village Center* apartment community in the Residential Group during the six months ended July 31, 2008 and acquisition of partners interest in *Midtown Towers*, *Sterling Glen of Glen Cove* and *Sterling Glen of Great Neck* apartments in the Residential Group during the six months ended July 31, 2007.

- (2) Amounts related to purchase price allocations in the Commercial Group during the six months ended July 31, 2008 for the following office buildings: *New York Times, Twelve MetroTech Center, Commerce Court, Colorado Studios* and *Richmond Office Park*, and during the six months ended July 31, 2007 for the New York portfolio transaction that closed in November 2006 and *Galleria at Sunset Mall*.
- (3) Assumption of nonrecourse mortgage debt by the buyer upon sale of *Sterling Glen of Lynbrook* during the six months ended July 31, 2008 and *Sterling Glen of Bayshore* and *Sterling Glen of Roslyn*, a development project, during the six months ended July 31, 2007 in the Residential Group.
- (4) Exchange of the Class A Common Units during the six months ended July 31, 2008 (see Note M).
- (5) Commercial Group and Residential Group outlots reclassified prior to sale from projects under development or completed rental properties to land held for sale.
- (6) Increase or decrease in construction payables included in accounts payable and accrued expenses.
- (7) Capitalization of stock-based compensation granted to employees directly involved with the acquisition, development and construction of real estate.
- (8) Change to full consolidation method of accounting from equity method due to the occurrence of a triggering event as described in FIN No. 46(R), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, for *Oceanpointe Towers* apartments in the Residential Group during the six months ended July 31, 2007.
- (9) Assumption of nonrecourse mortgage debt due to acquisition of properties in the Commercial Group during the six months ended July 31, 2007.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

7

#### **Table of Contents**

# Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

#### A. Accounting Policies

#### **Basis of Presentation**

The interim consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes included in the Company s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 2008, including the Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm. The results of interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results for the full year or any subsequent period. In the opinion of management, all adjustments considered necessary for a fair statement of financial position, results of operations and cash flows at the dates and for the periods presented have been included.

## **Use of Estimates**

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires the Company to make estimates and assumptions in certain circumstances that affect amounts reported in the accompanying consolidated financial statements and related notes. Some of the critical estimates made by the Company include, but are not limited to, estimates of useful lives for long-lived assets, reserves for collection on accounts and notes receivable and other investments, provisions for decline in real estate and the computation of expected losses on Variable Interest Entities (VIEs). As a result of the nature of estimates made by the Company, actual results could differ.

In March 2007, management approved a plan to demolish two buildings owned by the Company adjacent to *Ten MetroTech Center*, an office building located in Brooklyn, New York, to clear the land for a residential project named 80 *DeKalb Avenue*. Due to the new development plan, the estimated useful lives of the two adjacent buildings were adjusted to expire at the scheduled demolition date in April 2007, which resulted in approximately \$7,837,000 of accelerated depreciation expense reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Operations during the six months ended July 31, 2007.

# Reclassification

Certain prior year amounts in the accompanying consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current year s presentation.

#### **Restricted Cash**

Restricted cash represents legally restricted deposits with financial institutions for taxes and insurance, security deposits, capital replacement, improvement and operating reserves, bond funds, development escrows, construction escrows and collateral on total rate of return swaps, as well as certain restricted deposits with qualified intermediaries related to like-kind exchanges.

## **Capitalized Software Costs**

Costs related to software developed or obtained for internal use are capitalized pursuant to Statement of Position No. 98-1 Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software Developed or Obtained for Internal Use, and amortized using the straight-line method over their estimated useful life, which is primarily three years. The Company capitalizes significant costs incurred in the acquisition or development of software for internal use, including the costs of the software, materials, consultants, interest and payroll and payroll-related costs for employees directly involved in developing internal-use computer software once final selection of the software is made. Costs incurred prior to the final selection of software and costs not qualifying for capitalization are charged to expense as incurred.

At July 31 and January 31, 2008, the Company has capitalized \$22,375,000 and \$26,840,000, respectively, of software costs net of accumulated amortization of \$17,281,000 and \$11,393,000, respectively. The Company has been implementing an enterprise resource planning ( ERP ) project of which the first phase was placed into service March 1, 2007. Total amortization of capitalized software costs amounted to \$3,037,000 and \$6,053,000 for the three and six months ended July 31, 2008, respectively, and \$1,639,000 and \$3,348,000 for the three and six months ended July 31, 2007, respectively.

8

# Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

#### A. Accounting Policies (continued)

## **Historic and New Market Tax Credit Entities**

The Company has certain investments in properties that have received, or the Company believes are entitled to receive, historic rehabilitation tax credits on qualifying expenditures under Internal Revenue Code ( IRC ) section 47 and new market tax credits on qualifying investments in designated community development entities ( CDEs ) under IRC section 45D, as well as various state credit programs. The Company typically enters into these investments with sophisticated financial investors. In exchange for the financial investors initial contribution into these investments, they are entitled to substantially all of the benefits derived from the tax credit, but generally have no material interest in the underlying economics of the properties. Typically, these arrangements have put/call provisions (which range up to 7 years) whereby the Company may be obligated (or entitled) to repurchase the financial investors interest. The Company has consolidated each of these properties in its consolidated financial statements, and has reflected the investors contribution as a liability in its Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The Company guarantees the financial investor that in the event of a subsequent recapture by a taxing authority due to the Company's noncompliance with applicable tax credit guidelines that it will indemnify the financial investor for any recaptured tax credits. The Company initially records a liability for the cash received from the financial investor. The Company generally records income upon completion and certification of the qualifying development expenditures for historic tax credits and upon certification of the qualifying investments in designated CDEs for new market tax credits resulting in an adjustment of the liability at each balance sheet date to the amount that would be paid to the financial investor based upon the tax credit compliance regulations, which range from 0 to 7 years. During the three and six months ended July 31, 2008, the Company recognized income related to tax credits of \$1,491,000 and \$2,982,000, respectively, which were recorded in interest and other income in its Consolidated Statements of Operations. During the three and six months ended July 31, 2007, the Company recognized income related to tax credits of \$888,000 and \$1,776,000, respectively, which were recorded in interest and other income in its Consolidated Statements of Operations.

# **Distribution of Accumulated Equity to Minority Partners**

Distributions to minority partners in excess of their recorded minority interest balance related to refinancing proceeds from nonrecourse debt, which generally arise from appreciation of the underlying real estate assets, are reported as a reduction of additional paid-in capital in the Consolidated Statements of Shareholders Equity. During the six months ended July 31, 2008, the Company refinanced *Nine MetroTech Center North*, an office building located in Brooklyn, New York. Of the total nonrecourse refinancing proceeds distributed to its minority partner in this property during the six months ended July 31, 2008, \$3,710,000 was in excess of its minority partner s book capital account. During the six months ended July 31, 2007, the Company refinanced *Fifteen MetroTech Center*, an office building located in Brooklyn, New York, *Promenade in Temecula*, a regional mall located in Temecula, California and *Columbia Park Center*, a specialty retail center located in North Bergen, New Jersey. Of the total nonrecourse refinancing proceeds distributed to the Company s minority partners in these three properties during the six months ended July 31, 2007, \$13,243,000 was in excess of the minority partners book capital accounts.

# **Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss**

Net unrealized gains or losses on securities are included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) (OCI) and represent the difference between the market value of investments in unaffiliated companies that are available-for-sale at the balance sheet date and the Company s cost. Also included in accumulated OCI is the Company s portion of the unrealized gains and losses on the effective portions of derivative instruments designated and qualifying as cash flow hedges. The following table summarizes the components of accumulated OCI included within the Company s Consolidated Balance Sheets.

**July 31,** January 31, 2008

Edgar Filing: FOREST CITY ENTERPRISES INC - Form 10-Q

(in thousands)

Unrealized gains on securities	\$ 3	\$	91
Unrealized losses on interest rate contracts	(93,7	707)	(119,953)
	(93,3	363)	(119,862)
Minority interest and income tax benefit	(36,4	118)	(47,206)
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	\$ (56,9	945) \$	(72,656)
	9		

# Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

#### A. Accounting Policies (continued)

## **Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities**

During the three and six months ended July 31, 2008, the Company recorded interest expense of \$-0- and \$25,000, respectively, in the Consolidated Statements of Operations, representing the total ineffectiveness of all cash flow hedges. During the three and six months ended July 31, 2007, the Company recorded interest income of \$380,000 and \$52,000, respectively, in the Consolidated Statements of Operations, representing the total ineffectiveness of all cash flow hedges. For the three and six months ended July 31, 2008 and 2007, the amount of hedge ineffectiveness relating to hedges designated and qualifying as fair value hedges under Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities (SFAS No. 133), was not material. The amount of derivative gains reclassified into earnings from accumulated OCI as a result of forecasted transactions that did not occur by the end of the originally specified time period or within an additional two-month period of time thereafter was \$-0- for the three and six months ended July 31, 2008 and \$41,000 and \$50,000 for the three and six months ended July 31, 2007, respectively. As of July 31, 2008, the Company expects that within the next twelve months it will reclassify amounts recorded in accumulated OCI into earnings as an increase in interest expense of approximately \$15,714,000, net of tax.

The Company entered into various forward swaps to protect itself against fluctuations in the swap rate at terms ranging between five to ten years associated with forecasted fixed rate borrowings. At the time the Company secures and locks an interest rate on an anticipated financing, it intends to simultaneously terminate the forward hedge associated with that financing. The table below lists the forward swaps outstanding as of July 31, 2008 (in thousands): *Forward Swaps* 

Expirations for Years Ending	Fully Consolidated Properties <sup>(1)</sup> Notional		Consolidated Equity I Properties <sup>(1)</sup> of Acco			
January 31,	Amount	Rate	Amount	Rate		
2009	\$ -	-	\$ -	_		
2010	\$ 91,625	5.72%	\$ 120,000	5.93%		
Thereafter	\$ -	-	\$ -	-		

2010 \$91,625 5.72% \$1
Thereafter \$ - \$

(1) As these forward swaps have been designated and qualify as cash flow hedges under SFAS
No. 133, the
Company s portion of unrealized gains and

losses on the effective portion of the hedges has been recorded in accumulated OCI. To the extent effective, the receipt or payment of cash at termination on these forward swaps will be recorded in accumulated OCI and will be amortized as either an increase or decrease to interest expense in the same periods as the interest payments on the financing.

(2) This forward swap does not qualify as a cash flow hedge under the provisions of SFAS No. 133 because it relates to an unconsolidated property. Therefore, the change in the fair value of this swap is marked to market through earnings on a quarterly basis. The Company recorded

\$2,121 and

\$2,133 as a reduction of interest expense related to this forward swap in its Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended July 31, 2008, respectively, and \$2,897 and \$1,450 for the three and six months ended July 31, 2007, respectively.

From time to time, the Company and/or certain of its joint ventures (the Joint Ventures ) enter into total rate of return swaps (TRS) on various tax-exempt fixed-rate borrowings generally held by the Company and/or within the Joint Ventures. The TRS convert these borrowings from a fixed rate to a variable rate and provide an efficient financing product to lower the cost of capital. In exchange for a fixed rate, the TRS require that the Company and/or the Joint Ventures pay a variable rate, generally based on the Security Industry and Financial Markets Association (SIFMA) rate. Additionally, the Company and/or the Joint Ventures have guaranteed the fair value of the underlying borrowing. Any fluctuation in the value of the guarantee would be offset by the fluctuation in the value of the underlying borrowing, resulting in no financial impact to the Company and/or the Joint Ventures. At July 31, 2008, the aggregate notional amount of TRS in which the Company and/or the Joint Ventures have an interest is approximately \$499,525,000 (which includes the TRS on the \$20,400,000 redevelopment bonds. Refer to Note D Senior and Subordinated Debt). The Company believes the economic return and related risk associated with a TRS is generally comparable to that of nonrecourse variable-rate mortgage debt.

In addition, in May 2004 Stapleton Land, LLC, a consolidated subsidiary, entered into an agreement to purchase \$200,000,000 of tax increment revenue bonds issued by the Denver Urban Renewal Authority ( DURA ) from a trust if they are not repurchased or remarketed between June 1, 2007 and June 1, 2009 (see the Other Structured Financing Arrangements section of Note E). Stapleton Land, LLC will receive a fee upon removal of the DURA bonds from the trust. This purchase obligation and related fee have been

10

#### **Table of Contents**

# Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

#### A. Accounting Policies (continued)

accounted for as a derivative with changes in fair value recorded through earnings. During the three months ended July 31, 2008, \$100,000,000 of the DURA bonds were remarketed and Stapleton Land, LLC received \$13,838,000 in cash, which represented the portion of the fee related to the remarketed bonds and was recorded as a reduction of the asset s carrying value. The fair value at July 31 and January 31, 2008 of approximately \$13,816,000 and \$23,108,000, respectively, is recorded in other assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The Company estimates the fair value of its hedging instruments based on interest rate market and bond pricing models. At July 31 and January 31, 2008, interest rate caps and swaptions were reported at fair value of approximately \$4,517,000 and \$209,000, respectively, in other assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. At July 31 and January 31, 2008, interest rate swap agreements and TRS, which had a positive fair value of approximately \$3,296,000 and \$3,019,000, respectively, were included in other assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. At July 31 and January 31, 2008, interest rate swap agreements and TRS, which had a negative fair value of approximately \$87,304,000 and \$109,232,000, respectively, (which includes the forward swaps) were included in accounts payable and accrued expenses in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

## **Variable Interest Entities**

As of July 31, 2008, the Company determined that it is the primary beneficiary under Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Interpretation No. 46 (Revised December 2003), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities (FIN No. 46(R)) of 34 VIEs representing 21 properties (19 VIEs representing 9 properties in Residential Group, 13 VIEs representing 10 properties in Commercial Group and 2 VIEs/properties in Land Development Group). As of July 31, 2008, the Company held variable interests in 43 VIEs for which it is not the primary beneficiary. As of July 31, 2008, the maximum exposure to loss as a result of the Company s involvement with these unconsolidated VIEs is limited to its recorded investments in those VIEs totaling approximately \$97,000,000. The Company s VIEs consist of joint ventures that are engaged, directly or indirectly, in the ownership, development and management of office buildings, regional malls, specialty retail centers, apartment communities, military housing, supported-living communities, land development and the Nets.

In addition to the VIEs described above, the Company has also determined that it is the primary beneficiary of a VIE that holds collateralized borrowings of \$29,000,000 (see Note D Senior and Subordinated Debt) as of July 31, 2008.

## **New Accounting Standards**

In June 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) No. 03-6-1, Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities (FSP EITF 03-6-1). This new standard requires that nonvested share-based payment awards that contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents be treated as participating securities in the computation of earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method. FSP EITF 03-6-1 will be applied retrospectively to all periods presented for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The Company is currently assessing the impact that FSP EITF 03-6-1 will have on its consolidated financial statements and results of operations for the share-based payment programs currently in place.

In June 2008, the FASB ratified EITF Issue 07-5, Determining Whether an Instrument (or Embedded Feature) Is Indexed to an Entity s Own Stock (EITF 07-5). Paragraph 11(a) of SFAS No. 133 specifies that a contract that would otherwise meet the definition of a derivative but is both (a) indexed to the Company s own stock and (b) classified in stockholders equity in the statement of financial position would not be considered a derivative financial instrument. EITF 07-5 provides a new two-step model to be applied in determining whether a financial instrument or an embedded feature is indexed to an issuer s own stock and thus able to qualify for the SFAS No. 133 paragraph 11(a) scope exception. EITF 07-5 will be effective for the first annual reporting period beginning after December 15, 2008, and early adoption is prohibited. The Company is currently assessing the impact EITF 07-5 will have on its consolidated financial statements.

In May 2008, the FASB issued FSP No. APB 14-1, Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement) (FSP APB 14-1), which requires the liability and equity components of convertible debt instruments that may be settled in cash upon conversion (including partial cash settlement) to be separately accounted for in a manner that reflects the issuer s nonconvertible debt borrowing rate. This statement will change the accounting treatment for the Company s 3.625% Puttable Equity-Linked Senior Notes due October 2011, which were issued in October 2006. FSP APB 14-1 requires the initial debt proceeds from the sale of a company s convertible debt instrument to be allocated between a liability component and an equity component. The resulting debt discount will be amortized over the debt instrument s expected life as additional non-cash interest expense. Due to the increase in interest expense, the Company expects to record additional capitalized

11

#### **Table of Contents**

# Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

## A. Accounting Policies (continued)

interest based on its qualifying expenditures on its development projects. The Company expects the additional amount of interest capitalized will approximate the amount of additional interest expense. FSP APB 14-1 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and for interim periods within those fiscal years, with retrospective application required. The Company continues to evaluate the remaining components of FSP APB 14-1 as well as expected qualifying development expenditures in assessing the overall impact on its consolidated financial statements. In May 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 162, The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (SFAS No. 162), which is intended to improve financial reporting by identifying a consistent framework or hierarchy for selecting accounting principles to be used in preparing financial statements that are presented in conformity with GAAP for nongovernmental entities. SFAS No. 162 is effective 60 days following the Securities and Exchange Commission s (SEC) approval of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board amendment to AU Section 411, The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles. The Company does not

expect adoption of SFAS No. 162 to have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements. In April 2008, the FASB issued FSP No. FAS 142-3, Determination of the Useful Life of Intangible Assets. This FSP amends the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets . This FSP allows the Company to use its historical experience in renewing or extending the useful life of intangible assets. This FSP is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and interim periods within those fiscal years and shall be applied prospectively to intangible assets acquired after the effective date. The Company does not expect the application of this FSP to have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161 Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities (SFAS No. 161). SFAS No. 161 amends and expands the disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 133 with the intent to provide users of financial statements with an enhanced understanding of how derivative instruments and hedging activities affect an entity s financial position, financial performance and cash flows. These disclosure requirements include a tabular summary of the fair values of derivative instruments and their gains and losses, disclosure of derivative features that are credit risk related to provide more information regarding an entity s liquidity and cross-referencing within footnotes to make it easier for financial statement users to locate important information about derivative instruments. SFAS No. 161 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008 with early application encouraged. The Company is currently assessing the impact SFAS No. 161 will have on its consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141 (revised 2007), Business Combinations (SFAS No. 141(R)). SFAS No. 141(R) provides greater consistency in the accounting and financial reporting of business combinations. SFAS No. 141(R) requires the acquiring entity in a business combination to recognize all assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the transaction, establishes the acquisition-date fair value as the measurement objective for all assets acquired and liabilities assumed and requires the acquirer to disclose the nature and financial effect of the business combination. SFAS No. 141(R) is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The Company is currently assessing the impact SFAS No. 141(R) will have on its consolidated financial statements. In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, Non-controlling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements an Amendment of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51 ( SFAS No. 160 ). A non-controlling interest, sometimes called minority interest, is the portion of equity in a subsidiary not attributable, directly or indirectly, to a parent. The objective of this statement is to improve the relevance, comparability, and transparency of the financial information that a reporting entity provides in its consolidated financial statements by establishing accounting and reporting standards that require: (i) the ownership interest in subsidiaries held by parties other than the parent be clearly identified, labeled, and presented in the consolidated statement of financial position within equity, but separate from the parent s equity; (ii) the amount of consolidated net income attributable to the parent and to the non-controlling interest be clearly identified and presented on the face of the consolidated statement of operations; (iii) changes in a

parent s ownership interest while the parent retains its controlling financial interest in its subsidiary be accounted for consistently and requires that they be accounted for similarly, as equity transactions; (iv) when a subsidiary is deconsolidated, any retained non-controlling equity investment in the former subsidiary be initially measured at fair value, the gain or loss on the deconsolidation of the subsidiary is measured using fair value of any non-controlling equity investments rather than the carrying amount of that retained investment; and (v) entities provide sufficient disclosures that clearly identify and distinguish between the interest of the parent and the interest of the non-controlling owners. This statement is effective for fiscal years, and interim reporting periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2008. Earlier adoption is prohibited. The Company is currently assessing the impact SFAS No. 160 will have on its consolidated financial statements.

12

# Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

#### A. Accounting Policies (continued)

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (SFAS No. 157). SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and expands disclosures about the use of fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 does not require new fair value measurements, but applies to accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. In February 2008, the FASB issued two Staff Positions on SFAS No. 157: (1) FSP No. FAS 157-1, Application of FASB Statement No. 157 to FASB Statement No. 13 and Other Accounting Pronouncements That Address Fair Value Measurements for Purposes of Lease Classification or Measurement under Statement 13 (FSP FAS 157-1) and (2) FSP No. FAS 157-2, Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157 (FSP FAS 157-2). FSP FAS 157-1 excludes SFAS No. 13, Accounting for Leases (SFAS No. 13), and other accounting pronouncements that address fair value measurements for purposes of lease classification or measurement under SFAS No. 13 from SFAS No. 157 s scope. FSP FAS 157-2 delays the effective date of SFAS No. 157 for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except for items that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually), to fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008 and interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company partially adopted this statement for its financial assets and liabilities on February 1, 2008 (see Note F Fair Value Measurements).

## B. Investments in and Advances to Affiliates

Included in investments in and advances to affiliates are unconsolidated investments in entities that the Company does not control and/or is not deemed to be the primary beneficiary, and which are accounted for under the equity method of accounting, as well as advances to partners and other affiliates.

Following is a reconciliation of members and partners equity to the Company s carrying value in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets:

	July 31, 2008 (in tho	nuary 31, 2008 ds)
Members and partners equity, as below	\$ 614,808	\$ 741,871
Equity of other members and partners	499,824	553,842
Company s investment in partnerships	114,984	188,029
Advances to and on behalf of other affiliates	275,794	307,799
<b>Total Investments in and Advances to Affiliates</b>	\$ 390,778	\$ 495,828

Summarized financial information for the equity method investments is as follows:

	(Combine	d 100%)	
	<b>July 31,</b> Januar		
	2008	2008	
	(in thousands)		
<b>Balance Sheet:</b>			
Completed rental properties	\$ 3,329,643	\$ 2,989,525	
Projects under development	1,285,640	1,271,998	
Land held for development or sale	310,575	265,943	

Edgar Filing: FOREST CITY ENTERPRISES INC - Form 10-Q

Accumulated depreciation	(628,827)	(606,961)	
Restricted cash - military housing bond funds	984,253	1,029,503	
Other restricted cash	333,147	574,638	
Other assets	416,076	409,973	
Total Assets	\$ 6,030,507	\$ 5,934,619	
Mortgage debt, nonrecourse	\$ 4,612,411	\$ 4,486,786	
Other liabilities	803,288	705,962	
Members and partners equity	614,808	741,871	
Total Liabilities and Members /Partners Equity	\$ 6,030,507	\$ 5,934,619	
	13		

# **Table of Contents**

# Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

# B. Investments in and Advances to Affiliates (continued)

	(Combine Three Month	(Combined 100%) Six Months Ended July 31,				
	2008	2007	2008	2007		
	(in thou	isands)	(in thous	ands)		
Operations:						
Revenues	\$ 253,310	\$ 234,188	\$ 494,148	\$ 451,137		
Operating expenses	(200,470)	(156,434)	(369,356)	(311,002)		
Interest expense	(55,629)	(48,420)	(115,162)	(102,345)		
Provision for decline in real estate						
(1)	(45,348)	-	(45,348)	-		
Depreciation and amortization	(30,125)	(37,322)	(78,360)	(74,999)		
Interest and other income	14,446	9,354	31,810	28,541		
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations	(63,816)	1,366	(82,268)	(8,668)		
Discontinued operations:						
Gain on disposition of rental properties <sup>(2)</sup> Operating earnings (loss) from	-	-	3,070	4,212		
rental properties	(81)	121	(99)	820		
Discontinued operations subtotal	(81)	121	2,971	5,032		
Net earnings (loss) (pre-tax)	\$ (63,897)	\$ 1,487	\$ (79,297)	\$ (3,636)		
Company s portion of net earnings (loss) (pre-tax)	<b>\$</b> (5,577)	\$ 7,773	\$ (15,224)	\$ 9,134		

(1) The following table shows the detail of the provision for decline in real estate for equity method investments:

		Thr	ree Months		July	Six Months Ended July 31,			
		2	2008	200	)7	4	2008	20	07
			(in thou	sands)			(in thou	sands)	
Navy Midwest (Military Housing	(Chicago,								
Project) (a)	Illinois)	\$	30,000	\$	-	\$	30,000	\$	-

Mercury (Condominium)	(Los Angeles, California)	12,006	-	12,006	-
El Centro Mall (Specialty Retail Center)	(El Centro, California)	3,342	-	3,342	-
Total provision for decline in real esta method properties	ate for equity	\$ 45,348	\$ -	\$ 45,348	\$ -
Company s portion of provision for estate for equity method properties	decline in real	\$ 5,661	\$ _	\$ 5,661	\$ _

<sup>(</sup>a) Amount relates to impairment of land located in Puerto Rico held by Navy Midwest.

<sup>(2)</sup> The following table shows the detail of gain on disposition of rental properties that were held by equity method investments:

		Six Months Ended Ju 31,			ed July
		20	008		2007
One International Place (Office Building)	(Cleveland, Ohio) (Richmond Heights,	\$	3,070	ousana \$	<i>is)</i> -
White Acres (Apartments)	Ohio)		-		4,212
Total gain on disposition of equity method rental properties		\$	3,070	\$	4,212
Company s portion of gain on disposition of equity method r	ental properties	\$	881	\$	2,106
14					

# Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

#### B. Investments in and Advances to Affiliates (continued)

Included in the amounts above are the following amounts for the three and six months ended July 31, 2008 and 2007 related to the Company s investment in an entity that is reported in the Nets segment. This entity primarily reports on the operations of the New Jersey Nets basketball team, a franchise of the National Basketball Association, in which the Company has been an equity method investor since August 16, 2004. Summarized financial information for this equity method investment is as follows:

	Three Months	Six Months Ended July 31,				
	2008	2007	2008	2007		
	(in thous	sands)	(in thoi	(in thousands)		
Operations:						
Revenues and interest income	\$ 9,301	\$ 15,248	\$ 57,517	\$ 57,606		
Operating expenses	(16,677)	(19,081)	(67,148)	(67,097)		
Interest expense	(1,683)	(1,787)	(3,540)	(4,464)		
Depreciation and amortization	(3,856)	(3,841)	(24,070)	(24,369)		
Net loss (pre-tax)	<b>\$</b> (12,915)	\$ (9,461)	\$ (37,241)	\$ (38,324)		
Company s portion of net loss (pre-tax)	\$ (8,309)	\$ (1,339)	<b>\$</b> (21,136)	\$ (4,009)		

## C. Bank Revolving Credit Facility

At July 31 and January 31, 2008, the Company s bank revolving credit facility, as amended, provides for maximum borrowings of \$750,000,000 and matures in March 2010. The facility bears interest at the Company s option at either (1) a LIBOR-based rate plus 1.45% (3.95% and 4.89% at July 31 and January 31, 2008, respectively), or (2) a Prime-based rate plus .50%. The Company has historically elected the LIBOR-based rate option. Of the available borrowings, up to \$100,000,000 may be used for letters of credit or surety bonds. The credit facility also contains certain financial covenants, including maintenance of certain debt service and cash flow coverage ratios, specified levels of net worth (as defined in the credit facility) and a dividend and stock repurchase limitation of \$40,000,000 per annual period.

Outstanding balances and available credit on the bank revolving credit facility at July 31 and January 31, 2008 were as follows:

	<b>July 31</b> ,		
	2008	Janu	ary 31, 2008
	(in t	housan	ds)
Outstanding balances:			
Borrowings	\$ 143,500	\$	39,000
Letters of credit	\$ 83,898	\$	71,802
Surety bonds	\$ -	\$	-
Available credit	\$ 522,602	\$	639,198
	15		

#### **Table of Contents**

# Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

#### D. Senior and Subordinated Debt

The Company s Senior and Subordinated Debt is comprised of the following at both July 31 and January 31, 2008 (in thousands):

#### **Senior Notes:**

3.625% Puttable Equity-Linked	
Senior Notes due 2011	\$ 287,500

#### **Other Senior Notes:**

7.625% Senior Notes due 2015	300,000
6.500% Senior Notes due 2017	150,000
7.375% Senior Notes due 2034	100,000

Total	Senior Notes	837.5	00

#### **Subordinated Debt:**

Redevelopment Bonds due 2010	20,400	
Subordinate Tax Revenue Bonds due 2013	29,000	
Total Subordinated Debt	49,400	

# **Total Senior and Subordinated**

Debt \$886,900

#### **Puttable Equity-Linked Senior Notes**

On October 10, 2006, the Company issued \$287,500,000 of 3.625% puttable equity-linked senior notes due October 15, 2011 in a private placement. The proceeds from this offering (net of \$25,000,000 of offering costs, underwriting fees and the cost of the puttable note hedge and warrant transactions described below) were used to repurchase \$24,962,000 of the Company s Class A common stock, to repay the outstanding balance of \$190,000,000 under the bank revolving credit facility (see Note C Bank Revolving Credit Facility) and for general working capital purposes. The notes were issued at par and accrued interest is payable semi-annually in arrears on April 15 and October 15 of each year, which began on April 15, 2007. The Company may not redeem these notes prior to maturity. The notes are unsecured unsubordinated obligations and rank equally with all other unsecured and unsubordinated indebtedness.

Holders may put their notes to the Company at their option on any day prior to the close of business on the scheduled trading day immediately preceding July 15, 2011 only under the following circumstances: (1) during the five business-day period after any five consecutive trading-day period (the measurement period ) in which the trading price per note for each day of that measurement period was less than 98% of the product of the last reported sale price of the Company s Class A common stock and the put value rate (as defined) on each such day; (2) during any fiscal quarter after the fiscal quarter ending January 31, 2007, if the last reported sale price of the Company s Class A common stock for 20 or more trading days in a period of 30 consecutive trading days ending on the last trading day of the immediately preceding fiscal quarter exceeds 130% of the applicable put value price in effect on the last trading day

of the immediately preceding fiscal quarter; or (3) upon the occurrence of specified corporate events as set forth in the applicable indenture. On and after July 15, 2011 until the close of business on the scheduled trading day immediately preceding the maturity date, holders may put their notes to the Company at any time, regardless of the foregoing circumstances. In addition, upon a designated event, as defined, the holders may require the Company to purchase for cash all or a portion of their notes for 100% of the principal amount of the notes plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, as set forth in the applicable indenture.

If a note is put to the Company, a holder would receive (i) cash equal to the lesser of the principal amount of the note or the put value and (ii) to the extent the put value exceeds the principal amount of the note, shares of the Company s Class A common stock, cash, or a combination of Class A common stock and cash, at the Company s option. The initial put value rate was 15.0631 shares of Class A common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of notes (equivalent to a put value price of \$66.39 per share of Class A common stock). The put value rate will be subject to adjustment in some events but will not be adjusted for accrued interest. In addition, if a fundamental change, as defined, occurs prior to the maturity date, the Company will in some cases increase the put value rate for a holder that elects to put its notes.

16

#### **Table of Contents**

# Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

#### D. Senior and Subordinated Debt (continued)

The Company entered into a registration rights agreement that required a shelf registration statement to be filed within 90 days and declared effective under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (Securities Act) within 180 days after October 10, 2006. The Company filed a shelf registration statement under the Securities Act for the resale of the notes and the Class A common stock issuable upon the Company s exercise of the net share settlement option on January 4, 2007 and it was immediately effective due to the Company s status as a Well-Known Seasoned Issuer. The Company will use its best efforts to keep the shelf registration statement effective until the earliest of: (1) the date all of the registrable securities have been sold pursuant to the shelf registration statement; (2) the expiration of the holding period under Rule 144(k) under the Securities Act, or any successor provision; or (3) two years from the date the shelf registration statement is declared effective. The Company refers to each of the following as an effective failure: (1) the shelf registration statement ceases to be effective, or (2) the Company suspends the use of the prospectus or the holders are otherwise prevented or restricted by the Company from effecting sales pursuant to the shelf registration statement, and either continues for more than 30 days, whether or not consecutive, in any 90-day period, or for more than 90 days, whether or not consecutive, during any 12-month period.

Upon the occurrence of an effective failure, the Company will be required to pay additional amounts, in cash, to holders of the notes. Such additional amounts will accrue on the notes that are registrable securities, from and including the day following the effective failure, to but excluding, the earlier of the time such holders are again able to make resales under the shelf registration statement and the date the shelf registration statement is no longer required to be kept effective. Additional amounts will be paid semiannually in arrears on each April 15 and October 15 and will accrue at a rate per annum equal to 0.25% for the first 90 days after the occurrence of the event and 0.50% after the first 90 days. In no event will additional amounts exceed 0.50% per annum. At July 31, 2008, the maximum potential additional amounts that could be required to be paid by the Company is approximately \$436,000 for the remaining period in which the shelf registration is required to be effective. At July 31, 2008, in accordance with FASB Statement No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies, the Company has concluded that it is not probable it will be required to pay additional amounts as a result of an effective failure.

Concurrent with the issuance of the notes, the Company purchased a call option on its Class A common stock in a private transaction. The purchased call option allows the Company to receive shares of its Class A common stock and/or cash from counterparties equal to the amounts of Class A common stock and/or cash related to the excess put value that it would pay to the holders of the notes if put to the Company. These purchased call options will terminate upon the earlier of the maturity dates of the notes or the first day all of the notes are no longer outstanding due to a put or otherwise. The purchased call options, which cost an aggregate \$45,885,000 (\$28,155,000 net of the related tax benefit), were recorded net of tax as a reduction of shareholders—equity through additional paid-in capital during the year ended January 31, 2007. In a separate transaction, the Company sold warrants to issue shares of the Company s Class A common stock at an exercise price of \$74.35 per share in a private transaction. If the average price of the Company s Class A common stock during a defined period ending on or about the respective settlement dates exceeds the exercise price of the warrants, the warrants will be settled in shares of the Company s Class A common stock. Proceeds received from the issuance of the warrants totaled approximately \$28,923,000 and were recorded as an addition to shareholders—equity through additional paid-in capital during the year ended January 31, 2007.

## **Other Senior Notes**

Along with its wholly-owned subsidiaries, Forest City Enterprises Capital Trust I ( Trust I ) and Forest City Enterprises Capital Trust II ( Trust II ), the Company filed an amended shelf registration statement with the SEC on May 24, 2002. This shelf registration statement amended the registration statement previously filed with the SEC in December 1997. This registration statement is intended to provide the Company flexibility to raise funds from the offering of Class A common stock, preferred stock, depositary shares and a variety of debt securities, warrants and other securities. Trust I and Trust II have not issued securities to date and, if issued, such securities would represent the sole net assets of the trusts.

On May 19, 2003, the Company issued \$300,000,000 of 7.625% senior notes due June 1, 2015 in a public offering under its shelf registration statement. Accrued interest is payable semi-annually on December 1 and June 1. These senior notes may be redeemed by the Company at any time on or after June 1, 2008 at a redemption price of 103.813% beginning June 1, 2008 and systematically reduced to 100% in years thereafter.

On January 25, 2005, the Company issued \$150,000,000 of 6.500% senior notes due February 1, 2017 in a public offering under its shelf registration statement. Accrued interest is payable semi-annually on February 1 and August 1. These senior notes may be redeemed by the Company at any time on or after February 1, 2010 at a redemption price of 103.250% beginning February 1, 2010 and systematically reduced to 100% in the years thereafter.

17

#### **Table of Contents**

# Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

### D. Senior and Subordinated Debt (continued)

On February 10, 2004, the Company issued \$100,000,000 of 7.375% senior notes due February 1, 2034 in a public offering under its shelf registration statement. Accrued interest is payable quarterly on February 1, May 1, August 1, and November 1. These senior notes may be redeemed by the Company, in whole or in part, at any time on or after February 10, 2009 at a redemption price equal to 100% of their principal amount plus accrued interest. The Company s senior notes are unsecured senior obligations and rank equally with all existing and future unsecured indebtedness; however, they are effectively subordinated to all existing and future secured indebtedness and other liabilities of the Company s subsidiaries to the extent of the value of the collateral securing such other debt, including the bank revolving credit facility. The indentures governing the senior notes contain covenants providing, among other things, limitations on incurring additional debt and payment of dividends.

### **Subordinated Debt**

In November 2000, the Company issued \$20,400,000 of redevelopment bonds in a private placement. The bonds bear a fixed interest rate of 8.25% and are due September 15, 2010. The Company has entered into a TRS for the benefit of these bonds that expires on September 15, 2008. Under this TRS, the Company receives a rate of 8.25% and pays the SIFMA rate plus a spread (0.40% through the expiration date). Interest on the bonds is payable semi-annually on March 15 and September 15. This debt is unsecured and subordinated to the senior notes and the bank revolving credit facility.

In May 2003, the Company purchased \$29,000,000 of subordinate tax revenue bonds that were contemporaneously transferred to a custodian, which in turn issued custodial receipts that represent ownership in the bonds to unrelated third parties. The bonds bear a fixed interest rate of 7.875%. The Company evaluated the transfer pursuant to the provisions of SFAS No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishment of Liabilities (SFAS No. 140), and determined that the transfer did not qualify for sale accounting treatment principally because the Company guaranteed the payment of principal and interest in the unlikely event that there is insufficient tax revenue to support the bonds when the custodial receipts are subject to mandatory tender on December 1, 2013. As such, the Company is the primary beneficiary of this VIE and the book value of the bonds was recorded as a collateralized borrowing reported as senior and subordinated debt and as held-to-maturity securities reported as other assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

### E. Financing Arrangements

### **Collateralized Borrowings**

On July 13, 2005, the Park Creek Metropolitan District (the District ) issued \$65,000,000 Senior Subordinate Limited Property Tax Supported Revenue Refunding and Improvement Bonds, Series 2005 (the Senior Subordinate Bonds) and Stapleton Land II, LLC, a consolidated subsidiary, entered into an agreement whereby it will receive a 1% fee on the Senior Subordinate Bonds in exchange for providing certain credit enhancement. In connection with this transaction, Stapleton Land II, LLC provided a combination of cash and notes receivable aggregating approximately \$10,000,000 as collateral. During the year ended January 31, 2008, the cash component was replaced as collateral by certain notes receivable owned by the Company. The Company recorded \$164,000 and \$324,000 of interest income related to this arrangement in the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended July 31, 2008, respectively, and \$163,000 and \$394,000 for the three and six months ended July 31, 2007, respectively. The counterparty to the credit enhancement arrangement also owns the underlying Senior Subordinate Bonds and can exercise its rights requiring payment from Stapleton Land II, LLC upon an event of default of the Senior Subordinate Bonds, a refunding of the Senior Subordinate Bonds, or failure of Stapleton Land II, LLC to post required collateral. The agreement is scheduled to expire on July 1, 2009. The maximum potential amount of payments Stapleton Land II, LLC could be required to make under the agreement is the par value of the Senior Subordinate Bonds. The Company does not have any rights or obligations to acquire the Senior Subordinate Bonds under this agreement. At July 31, 2008, the fair value of this agreement, which is deemed to be a derivative financial instrument, was immaterial. Subsequent changes in fair value, if any, will be marked to market through earnings.

On August 16, 2005, the District issued \$58,000,000 Junior Subordinated Limited Property Tax Supported Revenue Bonds, Series 2005 (the Junior Subordinated Bonds). The Junior Subordinated Bonds initially were to pay a variable rate of interest. Upon issuance, the Junior Subordinated Bonds were purchased by a third party and the sales proceeds were deposited with a trustee pursuant to the terms of the Series 2005 Investment Agreement. Under the terms of the Series 2005 Investment Agreement, after March 1, 2006, the District may elect to withdraw funds from the trustee for reimbursement for certain qualified infrastructure and interest expenditures (Qualifying Expenditures). In the event that funds from the trustee are used for Qualifying Expenditures, a corresponding amount of the Junior Subordinated Bonds converts to an 8.5% fixed rate and matures in December 2037 (Converted Bonds). On August 16, 2005, Stapleton Land, LLC, a consolidated subsidiary, entered into a Forward Delivery Placement Agreement (FDA) whereby Stapleton Land, LLC was entitled and obligated to purchase the converted fixed rate Junior

18

# **Table of Contents**

# Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

### E. Financing Arrangements (continued)

Subordinated Bonds through June 2, 2008. The District withdrew \$58,000,000 of funds from the trustee for reimbursement of certain Qualifying Expenditures by June 2, 2008. Therefore, a corresponding amount of the Junior Subordinated Bonds became Converted Bonds and were acquired by Stapleton Land, LLC under the terms of the FDA. Stapleton Land, LLC immediately transferred the Converted Bonds to investment banks and the Company simultaneously entered into a TRS with a notional amount of \$58,000,000. The Company receives a fixed rate of 8.5% and pays SIFMA plus a spread on the TRS related to the Converted Bonds. The Company determined that the sale of the Converted Bonds to the investment banks and simultaneous execution of the TRS did not surrender control; therefore, the Converted Bonds have been recorded as a secured borrowing in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The Company has classified the Converted Bonds as available for sale. The fair value of the Converted Bonds was \$58,000,000 and \$44,000,000, respectively, at July 31, 2008 and January 31, 2008. The Company recorded net interest income of \$898,000 and \$1,736,000, related to the TRS in the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended July 31, 2008, respectively, and \$346,000 and \$560,000 for the three and six months ended July 31, 2007, respectively.

# **Other Structured Financing Arrangements**

In May 2004, a third party purchased \$200,000,000 in tax increment revenue bonds issued by DURA, with a fixed-rate coupon of 8.0% and maturity date of October 1, 2024, which were used to fund the infrastructure costs associated with phase II of the Stapleton development project. The DURA bonds were transferred to a trust that issued floating rate trust certificates. Stapleton Land, LLC entered into an agreement with the third party to purchase the DURA bonds from the trust if they are not repurchased or remarketed between June 1, 2007 and June 1, 2009. Stapleton Land, LLC will receive a fee upon removal of the DURA bonds from the trust equal to the 8.0% coupon rate, less the SIFMA index, less all fees and expenses due to the third party (collectively, the Fee ). On July 1, 2008, \$100,000,000 of the DURA bonds were remarketed. On July 15, 2008, Stapleton Land, LLC was paid \$13,838,000 of the fee, which represented the fee earned on the remarketed DURA bonds. As of July 31, 2008, \$100,000,000 of the DURA bonds have not been repurchased or remarketed.

The Company has concluded that the trust described above is considered a qualified special purpose entity pursuant to the provisions of SFAS No. 140 and thus is excluded from the scope of FIN No. 46(R). As a result, the DURA bonds and the activity of the trust have not been recorded in the consolidated financial statements. The DURA purchase obligation and fee have been accounted for as a derivative with changes in fair value recorded through earnings (see Note F Fair Value Measurements). The fair value of \$13,816,000 and \$23,108,000 at July 31, 2008 and January 31, 2008, respectively, is recorded in other assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The Company recorded interest income of \$3,376,000 and \$4,546,000 related to the change in fair value of the DURA purchase obligation and fee in the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended July 31, 2008, respectively, and \$2,253,000 and \$4,259,000 for the three and six months ended July 31, 2007, respectively.

Stapleton Land, LLC has committed to fund \$24,500,000 to the District to be used for certain infrastructure projects and has funded \$14,826,000 of this commitment as of July 31, 2008.

### F. Fair Value Measurements

The Company adopted SFAS No. 157 on February 1, 2008 for its financial assets and liabilities. The Company determined the financial assets and liabilities subject to SFAS No. 157 were interest rate caps and swaptions, interest rate swap agreements (including forward swaps), TRS, borrowings subject to TRS, and the DURA purchase obligation and related fee (refer to the Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities section of Note A).

### Fair Value Hierarchy

SFAS No. 157 specifies a hierarchy of valuation techniques based upon whether the inputs to those valuation techniques reflect assumptions other market participants would use based upon market data obtained from independent sources (also referred to as observable inputs). In accordance with SFAS No. 157, the following

summarizes the fair value hierarchy:

Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets that are unadjusted and accessible at the measurement date for identical, unrestricted assets or liabilities;

Level 2 Quoted prices for identical assets and liabilities in markets that are not active, quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets or financial instruments for which significant observable inputs are available, either directly or indirectly such as interest rates and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals; and

Level 3 Prices or valuations that require inputs that are unobservable.

19

#### **Table of Contents**

# Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

### F. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the fair value measurement in its entirety falls has been determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The Company s assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, and considers factors specific to the asset or liability.

# Measurement of Fair Value

The Company estimates the fair value of its hedging instruments, which includes the interest rate caps and swaptions, and interest rate swap agreements (including forward swaps), based on interest rate market pricing models. Although the Company has determined that the significant inputs used to value its hedging instruments fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, the credit valuation adjustments associated with the Company's counterparties and its own credit risk utilize Level 3 inputs, such as estimates of current credit spreads to evaluate the likelihood of default by itself and its counterparties. However, as of July 31, 2008, the Company has assessed the significance of the impact of the credit valuation adjustments on the overall valuation of its hedging instruments positions and has determined that the credit valuation adjustments are not significant to the overall valuation of its hedging instruments. As a result, the Company has determined that its hedging instruments valuations in their entirety are classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

The Company s TRS have termination values equal to the difference between the fair value of the underlying bonds and the bonds base (acquired) price times the stated par amount of the bonds. Upon termination of the contract with the counterparty, the Company is entitled to receive the termination value if the underlying fair value of the bonds is greater than the base price and is obligated to pay the termination value if the underlying fair value of the bonds is less than the base price. The underlying borrowings generally have call features at par and without prepayment penalties. The call features of the underlying borrowings would result in a significant discount factor to any value attributed to the exchange of cash flows in these contracts by another market participant willing to purchase the Company s positions. Therefore, the Company believes the termination value of the TRS approximates the fair value another market participant would assign to these contracts. The Company compares estimates of fair value to those provided by the respective counterparties on a quarterly basis. The Company has determined its fair value estimate of TRS is classified in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

To determine the fair value of the underlying borrowings subject to TRS, the base price is initially used as the estimate of fair value. The Company adjusts the fair value based upon observable and unobservable measures, such as the financial performance of the underlying collateral, interest rate risk spreads for similar transactions and loan to value ratios. In the absence of such evidence, management s best estimate is used. At July 31, 2008, TRS borrowings subject to fair value adjustments is approximately \$499,525,000. The Company compares estimates of fair value to those provided by the respective counterparties on a quarterly basis. The Company has determined its fair value estimate of borrowings subject to TRS is classified in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

To determine the fair value of the DURA purchase obligation and related fee, the Company uses observable and unobservable measures such as the underlying tax revenue to support future bonding capacity of DURA, credit spreads, movements in variable interest rates, the period remaining before the remarketing date, and management s estimates of the likelihood of remarketing the underlying bonds. Additionally, the Company compares its estimate of fair value to an independent calculation by the counterparty. The Company has determined its fair value estimate of the DURA purchase obligation and related fee is classified in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

20

# Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

# F. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

Items Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The Company s financial assets consists of interest rate caps and swaptions, interest rate swap agreements with a positive fair value, TRS with a positive fair value, and the DURA purchase obligation and related fee and are included in other assets. The Company s financial liabilities consists of interest rate swap agreements with a negative fair value (which includes the forward swaps) and TRS with a negative fair value included in accounts payable and accrued expenses and borrowings subject to TRS included in mortgage debt, nonrecourse. The following table presents information about the Company s financial assets and liabilities that were measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of July 31, 2008, and indicates the fair value hierarchy of the valuation techniques utilized by the Company to determine such fair value.

	at July 31, 2008										
	Level 1		Level 2		Level 3		7	Γotal			
	(in thousands)										
Interest rate caps and swaptions	\$	_	\$	4,517	\$	-	\$	4,517			
Interest rate swap agreements (positive fair value)		-		2,600		-		2,600			
TRS (positive fair value)		-		-		696		696			
DURA purchase obligation and related fee		-		-		13,816		13,816			
Interest rate swap agreements (negative fair value)		-		(76,819)		-	(	(76,819)			
TRS (negative fair value)		-		-		(10,485)	(	(10,485)			
Fair value adjustment to the borrowings subject to TRS		-		-		5,952		5,952			
Total	\$	-	\$	(69,702)	\$	9,979	\$ (	(59,723)			

The table below presents a reconciliation of all financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) during the six months ended July 31, 2008.

# Fair Value Measurements Six Months Ended July 31, 2008

Fair Value Measurements

		Fair value adjustment to the				
	Net	borrowings subject to	To	otal TRS	aı	nd
	TRS	TRS	F	Related	relate	ed fee
Balance, February 1, 2008 Total realized and unrealized gains (losses):	\$ (2,866)	\$ (934)	\$	(3,800)	\$ 2	23,108

Included in earnings	(6,923)	6,886	(37)	4,546
Included in other comprehensive income	-	-	-	-
Purchases, issuances and settlements	-	-	-	(13,838)
Balance, July 31, 2008	\$ (9,789) \$	5,952 \$	(3,837)	\$ 13,816
	21			

#### **Table of Contents**

# Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

### G. Stock-Based Compensation

In June 2008, the shareholders approved amendments to the Company s 1994 Stock Plan to allow the granting of performance shares, increase the aggregate maximum number of shares that may be issued by 1,000,000 to 12,750,000, and increase the maximum number of restricted stock and performance shares that may be issued by 2,000,000 to 2,500,000.

Performance shares may be granted to selected executives and the vesting of the shares is contingent upon meeting management objectives established by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. The management objectives may be company-wide or business unit performance goals that must be met within a performance period of at least one year. Performance shares will generally be granted at target levels and the ultimate number of shares earned will depend upon the degree performance goals are met at the end of the performance period. The fair value of performance shares is based on the closing price of the underlying stock on the date of grant and recorded as stock-based compensation cost over the performance period. If the performance goals are not met or below target, then any related recognized compensation costs will be reversed. If the performance goals are exceeded, additional compensation costs will be recorded, as applicable, up to the maximum specified in the grant.

During the six months ended July 31, 2008, the Company granted 430,874 stock options, 320,777 shares of restricted stock and 172,609 performance shares under the Company s 1994 Stock Plan. The stock options had a weighted-average grant-date fair value of \$10.11 per option, which was computed using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions: expected term of 5.5 years, expected volatility of 22.97%, risk-free interest rate 3.73%, and expected dividend yield of .54%. The weighted-average exercise price of the options is \$36.46, which represents the closing price of the underlying stock on the respective dates of grant. The restricted stock had a weighted-average grant-date fair value of \$36.51 per share, which represents the closing price of the stock on the respective dates of grant. The performance shares had a grant-date fair value of \$36.38 which was the closing price of the underlying stock on the date of grant.

At July 31, 2008, there was \$18,772,000 of unrecognized compensation cost related to stock options that is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.52 years, \$18,458,000 of unrecognized compensation cost related to restricted stock that is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 3.11 years, and \$5,994,000 of unrecognized compensation cost related to performance shares that is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 3.50 years.

The amount of stock-based compensation costs and related deferred income tax benefit recognized in the financial statements are as follows:

		s Ended July 1,	Six Months Ended July 31,					
	2008	<b>2008</b> 2007		2007				
	(in tho	usands)	(in thousands)					
Stock option costs	\$ 2,562	\$ 2,314	\$ 5,138	\$ 6,529				
Restricted stock costs	1,750	1,488	3,643	3,185				
Performance shares	286	-	286	-				
Total stock-based compensation costs Less amount capitalized into	4,598	3,802	9,067	9,714				
qualifying real estate projects	(2,387)	(1,950)	(4,119)	(3,637)				
Amount charged to operating expenses	2,211 62	1,852 19	4,948 123	6,077 39				

Depreciation expense on capitalized stock-based compensation

Total stock-based compensation expense	\$ 2,273	\$ 1,871	\$ 5,071	\$ 6,116
Deferred income tax benefit	\$ 742	\$ 591	\$ 1,663	\$ 2,041

SFAS No. 123(R) Share-Based Payment requires the immediate recognition of stock-based compensation costs for awards granted to retirement-eligible grantees. The amount of grant-date fair value expensed immediately for awards granted to retirement-eligible grantees during the six months ended July 31, 2008 and 2007 was \$1,298,000 and \$2,152,000, respectively.

In connection with the vesting of restricted stock during the six months ended July 31, 2008 and 2007, the Company repurchased into treasury 16,893 shares and 50,186 shares, respectively, of Class A common stock to satisfy the employees related minimum statutory tax withholding requirements. These shares were placed in treasury with an aggregate cost basis of \$642,000 and \$3,138,000, respectively.

22

#### **Table of Contents**

# Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

#### H. Income Taxes

Income tax expense (benefit) for the three months ended July 31, 2008 and 2007 was \$3,723,000 and \$(609,000), respectively. Income tax benefit for the six months ended July 31, 2008 and 2007 was \$(15,856,000) and \$(14,649,000), respectively. The difference in the income tax expense or benefit reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Operations versus the income tax expense or benefit computed at the statutory federal income tax rate is primarily attributable to state income taxes, additional general business credits, changes to the Company s charitable contribution and state NOL valuation allowances based upon management s assessment of the Company s ability to utilize such deferred tax assets, and various permanent differences between pre-tax GAAP income and taxable income.

At January 31, 2008, the Company had a net operating loss carryforward for tax purposes of \$64,589,000 (generated primarily from the impact on the Company's net earnings of tax depreciation expense from real estate properties and excess deductions from stock-based compensation) that will expire in the years ending January 31, 2024 through January 31, 2028, a charitable contribution deduction carryforward of \$40,676,000 that will expire in the years ending January 31, 2009 through January 31, 2013 (\$7,111,000 expiring in the year ended January 31, 2009), general business credit carryovers of \$13,866,000 that will expire in the years ending January 31, 2009 through January 31, 2028 (\$39,000 expiring in the year ended January 31, 2009), and an alternative minimum tax (AMT) credit carryforward of \$34,894,000 that is available until used to reduce Federal tax to the AMT amount. The Company has a full valuation allowance against the deferred tax asset associated with its charitable contributions because management believes at this time that it is more likely than not that the Company will not realize these benefits. The Company s policy is to consider a variety of tax-deferral strategies, including tax deferred exchanges, when evaluating its future tax position.

The Company applies the with-and-without methodology for recognizing excess tax benefits from the deduction of stock-based compensation. The net operating loss available for the tax return, as is noted in the paragraph above, is significantly greater than the net operating loss available for the tax provision due to excess deductions from stock-based compensation reported on the return, as well as the impact of FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (FIN No. 48) adjustments to the net operating loss. The Company has not recorded a net deferred tax asset of approximately \$13,355,000, as of January 31, 2008, from excess stock-based compensation deductions for which a benefit has not yet been recognized.

### **FIN No. 48**

The Company adopted the provisions of FIN No. 48 effective February 1, 2007. Unrecognized tax benefits represent those tax benefits related to tax positions that have been taken or are expected to be taken in tax returns that are not recognized in the financial statements because management has either concluded that it is not more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained if audited by the appropriate taxing authority or the amount of the benefit will be less than the amount taken or expected to be taken in its income tax returns.

As of July 31 and January 31, 2008, the Company had unrecognized tax benefits of \$1,818,000 and \$2,556,000, respectively. The Company recognizes estimated interest payable on underpayments of income taxes and estimated penalties that may result from the settlement of some uncertain tax positions as components of income tax expense. As of July 31 and January 31, 2008, the Company had approximately \$508,000 and \$840,000, respectively, of accrued interest and penalties related to uncertain income tax positions. During the three months ended July 31, 2008 and 2007, \$(421,000) and \$32,000, respectively, of tax expense (benefit) was recorded relating to interest and penalties. Income tax expense (benefit) relating to interest and penalties of \$(332,000) and \$311,000 was recorded for the six months ended July 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. During the three months ended July 31, 2008, the Company settled an Internal Revenue Service audit of one of its partnership investments, which resulted in a decrease in the Company s unrecognized tax benefits and associated accrued interest and penalties.

The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits that would affect the Company s effective tax rate, if recognized as of July 31, 2008 and 2007, is \$325,000 and \$576,000, respectively. Based upon the Company s assessment of the

outcome of examinations that are in progress, the settlement of liabilities, or as a result of the expiration of the statutes of limitation for certain jurisdictions, it is reasonably possible that the related unrecognized tax benefits for tax positions taken regarding previously filed tax returns will materially change from those recorded at July 31, 2008. Included in the \$1,818,000 of unrecognized benefits noted above, is \$1,728,000 which, due to the reasons above, could significantly decrease during the next twelve months.

23

# Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

# I. <u>Discontinued Operations</u>, <u>Gain on Disposition of Rental Properties and Provision for Decline in Real Estate</u> **Discontinued Operations**

Pursuant to the definition of a component of an entity in SFAS No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets (SFAS No. 144), all earnings of discontinued operations sold or held for sale, assuming no significant continuing involvement, have been reclassified in the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended July 31, 2008 and 2007. The Company considers assets held for sale when the transaction has been approved and there are no significant contingencies related to the sale that may prevent the transaction from closing.

During the year ended January 31, 2008, the Company consummated an agreement to sell eight (seven operating properties and one property that was under construction at the time of the agreement) and lease four supported-living apartment properties to a third party. Pursuant to the agreement, during the second quarter of 2007, six operating properties listed in the table below and the property under construction, *Sterling Glen of Roslyn* located in Roslyn, New York, were sold. The seventh operating property, *Sterling Glen of Lynbrook*, was operated by the purchaser under a short-term lease through the date of sale, which occurred on May 20, 2008 and generated a gain on disposition of rental property of \$8,627,000 (\$5,294,000, net of tax). The gain along with the operating results of the property through the date of sale is classified as discontinued operations for the six months ended July 31, 2008. Pursuant to the agreement, the four remaining properties entered into long-term operating leases with the purchaser.

The operating leases have stated terms of five or ten years with various put and call provisions at a pre-determined purchase price that can be exercised beginning in the second year of each lease at an amount that is in excess of the current carrying amount of the properties. The Company is generally entitled to a fixed lease payment from the lessee over the term of the lease in exchange for the operations of the properties, which will be retained by the lessee. The Company has continued to consolidate the leased properties in its Consolidated Balance Sheets as the criteria for sales accounting pursuant to the provisions of SFAS No. 66, Accounting for Sales of Real Estate (SFAS No. 66), have not been achieved. Further, the Company has concluded that the leased properties have met the criteria as VIEs pursuant to FIN No. 46(R), and due to the Company s obligation to absorb a majority of expected losses, the leased properties are consolidated by the Company at July 31, 2008. Additionally, these properties do not meet the qualifications of assets held for sale under SFAS No. 144 as of July 31, 2008; therefore, these properties have not been included in discontinued operations.

Sterling Glen of Lynbrook was classified as held for sale at January 31, 2008 through the date of disposition. Sterling Glen of Lynbrook s assets and liabilities as of January 31, 2008 are presented in the table below.

	January 31, 2008 (in thousands)				
Assets Real estate Notes and accounts receivable, net Other assets	\$ 29,858 179 1,635				
<b>Total Assets</b>	\$ 31,672				
Liabilities Mortgage debt, nonrecourse	\$ 27,700				

Accounts payable and accrued expenses 798

**Total Liabilities** \$ 28,498

24

# Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

I. <u>Discontinued Operations, Gain on Disposition of Rental Properties and Provision for Decline in Real Estate (continued)</u>

The following table lists the consolidated rental properties included in discontinued operations:

				Three Months	Six Months	Three Months	Six Months
Property	Location	Number of Units	Period Disposed	Ended 7/31/2008	Ended	Ended	Ended
			•				
Residential Group:							
Sterling Glen of	Lynbrook, New	130	Q2-2008	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Lynbrook	York						
Sterling Glen of	Bayshore, New	85	Q2-2007	-	-	Yes	Yes
Bayshore	York						
Sterling Glen of	Philadelphia,	135	Q2-2007	-	-	Yes	Yes
Center City	Pennsylvania						
Sterling Glen of	Darien,	80	Q2-2007	-	-	Yes	Yes
Darien	Connecticut						
Sterling Glen of	Forest Hills, New	83	Q2-2007	-	-	Yes	Yes
Forest Hills	York						
Sterling Glen of	Plainview, New	79	Q2-2007	-	-	Yes	Yes
Plainview	York						
Sterling Glen of	Stamford,	166	Q2-2007	-	-	Yes	Yes
Stamford	Connecticut						
Landings of	Nashville,	724	Q2-2007	-	-	Yes	Yes
Brentwood	Tennessee						

The operating results related to discontinued operations were as follows:

	Three Months Ended July 31,				Six Months Ended July 31,					
	20	2008		2007		2008		2007		
	(in thousands)					(in thousands)				
Revenues	\$	162	\$	12,397	\$	706	\$	24,599		
Expenses Operating expenses Depreciation and amortization		76 90		11,883 921		287 95		20,730 1,934		
		166		12,804		382		22,664		
Interest expense Amortization of mortgage procurement costs Loss on early extinguishment of debt		(43)		(2,000) (34) (363)		(235) (11)		(3,608) (69) (363)		

Edgar Filing: FOREST CITY ENTERPRISES INC - Form 10-Q

Interest income	31	112	35	209
Gain on disposition of rental properties	8,627	106,318	8,627	106,318
Earnings before income taxes	8,611	103,626	8,740	104,422
Income tax expense (benefit)				
Current	(1,055)	5,682	(1,119)	5,740
Deferred	4,382	34,358	4,496	34,608
	3,327	40,040	3,377	40,348
Net earnings from discontinued operations	\$ 5,284	\$ 63,586	\$ 5,363	\$ 64,074
	25			

# Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

I. <u>Discontinued Operations</u>, <u>Gain on Disposition of Rental Properties and Provision for Decline in Real Estate</u> (continued)

# **Gain on Disposition of Rental Properties**

The following table summarizes the gain on disposition of rental properties, pre-tax, for the three and six months ended July 31, 2008 and 2007:

	Three Months Ended July 31,			Six Months Ended July 31,				
	2	2008	2007		2008		2007	
	(in thousands)			(in thousands)				
Discontinued Operations: Seven Sterling Glen properties (Supported-Living Apartments) (1) Landings of Brentwood (Apartments) (2)	\$	8,627	\$	81,239 25,079	\$	8,627	\$	81,239 25,079
Total	\$	8,627	\$	106,318	\$	8,627	\$	106,318

- (1) The seven properties included in the gain on disposition are *Sterling Glen of Lynbrook* for the three and six months ended July 31, 2008 and *Sterling Glen of Bayshore*, *Sterling Glen of Center City*, *Sterling Glen of Darien*, *Sterling Glen of Forest Hills*, *Sterling Glen of Plainview* and *Sterling Glen of Stamford* for the three and six months ended July 31, 2007. The Company elected to deposit the sales proceeds with a qualified intermediary for the purposes of identifying replacement assets under Section 1031 of the Internal Revenue Code for all the aforementioned properties except *Sterling Glen of Forest Hills*.
- (2) The Company elected to deposit the sales proceeds with a qualified intermediary for purposes of acquiring replacement assets under Section 1031 of the Internal Revenue Code.

Upon disposal, investments accounted for on the equity method are not classified as discontinued operations under the provisions of SFAS No. 144; therefore, the gains or losses on the sales of equity method properties are reported in continuing operations when sold. The following table summarizes the Company s proportionate share of the gains on disposition of equity method investments during the six months ended July 31, 2008 and 2007, which are included in equity in earnings of unconsolidated entities in the Consolidated Statements of Operations:

		Six	<b>July 31,</b>			
		20	008	2	2007	
		(in thousands)				
One International Place (Office Building)	Cleveland, Ohio	\$	881	\$	-	
White Acres (Apartments)	Richmond Heights, Ohio		-		2,106	
		\$	881	\$	2,106	

# **Provision for Decline in Real Estate**

The Company reviews its real estate portfolio, including land held for development or sale, to determine if its carrying costs will be recovered from future undiscounted cash flows whenever events or changes indicate that recoverability of long-lived assets may not be supported by current assumptions. In cases where the Company does not expect to recover its carrying costs, an impairment loss is recorded as a provision for decline in real estate pursuant to the

guidance established in SFAS No. 144. For its equity method real estate investments, a loss in value of an investment which is other than a temporary decline is recognized as a provision for decline in real estate based upon the length of time elapsed, severity of decline and all other relevant facts and circumstances.

During the three and six months ended July 31, 2008, the Company recorded a provision for decline in real estate of \$365,000 for the other than temporary decline in its equity method investment in its Land Development Group related to *Old Stone Crossing at Caldwell Creek*, located in Charlotte, North Carolina. There was no provision for decline in real estate recorded for the three and six months ended July 31, 2007.

26

#### **Table of Contents**

# Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

# I. <u>Discontinued Operations, Gain on Disposition of Rental Properties and Provision for Decline in Real Estate</u> (continued)

The following table summarizes the Company s proportionate share of the provision for decline in real estate for equity method investments during the three and six months ended July 31, 2008 and 2007, which are included in equity in earnings of unconsolidated entities in the Consolidated Statements of Operations:

		Three Months Ended July 31,			Six Months Ended July 31,				
		2	2008	2007		2008		2007	
		(in thousands)					(in thou	ousands)	
	(Los Angeles,								
Mercury (Condominium)	California)	\$	4,098	\$	-	\$	4,098	\$	-
El Centro Mall (Specialty Retail									
Center)	(El Centro, California)		1,263		-		1,263		-
Other			300		-		300		-
		\$	5,661	\$	_	\$	5,661	\$	_

### J. Dividends

The Company pays quarterly cash dividends on shares of Class A and Class B common stock. The first 2008 quarterly dividend of \$.08 per share on both Class A and Class B common stock was declared on March 26, 2008 and was paid on June 17, 2008 to shareholders of record at the close of business on June 2, 2008. The second 2008 quarterly cash dividend of \$.08 per share on both Class A and Class B common stock was declared on June 19, 2008 and will be paid on September 15, 2008 to shareholders of record at the close of business on August 29, 2008, the last business day prior to the Board of Director s resolution date of September 1, 2008, which was a legal holiday.

# K. Earnings per Share

Earnings per share (EPS) has been computed under the provisions of SFAS No. 128 Earnings Per Share. Pursuant to EITF No. 03-6 Participating Securities and the Two-Class Method under FASB 128 (EITF 03-6), the Class A Common Units issued in exchange for Bruce C. Ratner s minority interests in the Forest City Ratner Company portfolio in November 2006, which are reflected as minority interest in the Company s Consolidated Balance Sheets, are considered participating securities as they are entitled to participate in any dividends paid to the Company s common stock holders. Therefore, the Class A units are included in the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share if the effect of applying the if-converted method is dilutive.

The computation of EPS for continuing operations and net earnings for the three and six months ended July 31, 2008 did not allocate any amounts to the holders of the Class A Common Units, which are considered participating securities in accordance with EITF 03-6. For the three and six months ended July 31, 2008, the loss from continuing operations of \$13,596,000 and \$53,944,000, respectively, and net loss of \$8,312,000 and \$48,581,000, respectively, were allocated solely to the holders of common stock as the participating security holders do not share in the losses in accordance with EITF 03-6.

27

# **Table of Contents**

# Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

# K. Earnings per Share

**Table of Contents** 

The reconciliation of the amounts used in the basic and diluted earnings per share computations is shown in the following table.

	Thi	ree Months I 2008	Ended	<b>July 31,</b> 2007	x Months En	nded July 31, 2007		
Numerators (in thousands) Earnings (loss) from continuing operations - Basic Preferred distribution on Class A Common Units, net of tax	\$	(13,596)	\$	4,189 575	\$ (53,944)	\$	(13,480)	
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations - Diluted	\$	(13,596)	\$	4,764	\$ (53,944)	\$	(13,480)	
Net earnings (loss) Undistributed earnings allocated to participating securities	\$	(8,312)	\$	67,775 (2,186)	\$ (48,581)	\$	50,594 (1,292)	
Net earnings (loss) - Basic Undistributed earnings allocated to participating securities Preferred distribution on Class A Common Units, net of tax Net earnings (loss) - Diluted	\$	(8,312)	\$	65,589 2,186 575 68,350	\$ (48,581) - (48,581)	\$	49,302	
Denominators Weighted average shares outstanding - Basic Effect of stock options and restricted stock (1)(2) Effect of convertible Class A Common Units (1) Weighted average shares outstanding - Diluted (3)(4)		02,682,825		02,239,962 1,646,110 3,894,232 07,780,304	)2,648,700 - - )2,648,700		02,117,423	
Earnings Per Share Earnings (loss) from continuing operations - Basic	\$	(0.13)	\$	0.04	\$ (0.52)	\$	(0.13)	

55

Earnings (loss) from continuing	¢	(0.13)	¢	0.04	¢	(0.52)	¢	(0.13)
operations - Diluted	Ф	(0.13)	Ф	0.04	Ф	(0.52)	Ф	(0.13)
Net earnings (loss) - Basic	\$	(0.08)	\$	0.64	\$	(0.47)	\$	0.48
Net earnings (loss) - Diluted	\$	(0.08)	\$	0.63	\$	(0.47)	\$	0.48

- (1) For the three months ended July 31, 2008 and the six months ended July 31, 2008 and 2007, incremental shares from dilutive securities of 4,513,666, 4,565,100 and 5,607,815, respectively, were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because their effect is anti-dilutive due to the loss from continuing operations.
- (2) The weighted-average options and restricted stock of 2,439,244 and 2,263,990 for the three and six months ended July 31, 2008, respectively, and 1,067,600 and 731,726 for the three and six months ended July 31, 2007, respectively, were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because they were anti-dilutive.
- (3) For the three and six months ended July 31, 2008, weighted-average contingently issuable securities (performance shares) of 82,552 and 41,276, respectively, were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the performance criteria was not satisfied at the end of the respective periods.
- (4) The Puttable Equity-Linked Senior Notes issued in October 2006 can be put to the Company by the holders under certain circumstances (see Note D Senior and Subordinated Debt). If the Company exercises its net share settlement option upon a put of the notes by the holders, it will then issue shares of its Class A common stock. The effect of these shares was not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share for the three and six months ended July 31, 2008 and 2007 as the Company s average stock price did not exceed the put value price of the Puttable Equity-Linked Senior Notes. These notes will be dilutive when the average stock price for the period exceeds \$66.39. Additionally, the Company sold a warrant with an exercise price of \$74.35, which has also been excluded from diluted earnings per share for the three and six months ended July 31, 2008 and 2007 as the Company s stock price did not exceed the exercise price.

28

#### **Table of Contents**

# Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

# L. Segment Information

The Company operates through three strategic business units and five reportable segments, determined in accordance with SFAS No. 131, Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information (SFAS No. 131). The three strategic units/reportable segments are the Commercial Group, Residential Group and Land Development Group (Real Estate Groups). The Commercial Group, the Company slargest business unit, owns, develops, acquires and operates regional malls, specialty/urban retail centers, office and life science buildings, hotels and mixed-use projects. The Residential Group owns, develops, acquires and operates residential rental properties, including upscale and middle-market apartments and adaptive re-use developments. Additionally, the Residential Group develops for-sale condominium projects and also owns interests in entities that develop and manage military family housing. The Land Development Group acquires and sells both land and developed lots to residential, commercial and industrial customers. It also owns and develops land into master-planned communities and mixed-use projects. The remaining two reportable segments are the Nets, a franchise of the National Basketball Association in which the Company accounts for its investment on the equity method of accounting, and Corporate Activities. The following tables summarize financial data for the Company s five reportable segments. All amounts are presented in thousands.

			July 31, 2008 Identifiab	January 31, 2008 ble Assets	Ended . 2008	Months July 31, 2007 itures for Ad		ths Ended y 31, 2007 eal Estate
Commercial Group Residential			, ,	\$ 7,345,283	\$ 237,067		\$ 403,323	\$ 492,993
Group Land Development Group			2,620,935 418,284	2,322,971 402,452	75,748 930	156,961 14,408	145,100 1,799	220,857 14,755
The Nets Corporate Activities			12,805 125,761	14,454 166,437	27	200	85	1,038
			\$10,879,518	\$ 10,251,597	\$313,772	\$ 422,737	\$ 550,307	\$ 729,643
		onths Ended by 31, 2007		<b>Ended July 1,</b> 2007		Months July 31, 2007		ths Ended y 31, 2007
	Rev	enues from I	Real Estate Ope	erations		Operating	g Expenses	
Commercial Group Commercial Group Land	\$ 237,438	\$ 209,162	\$ 456,057	\$ 406,596	\$ 116,994	\$ 107,175	\$ 244,621	\$ 209,724
Sales Residential	10,602	1,564	14,250	7,157	5,510	1,905	8,372	5,171
Group	75,040	62,254	153,997	116,859	43,546	44,901	98,453	81,704

Edgar Filing: FOREST	CITY ENTERPRISES INC - Form 1	0-Q
----------------------	-------------------------------	-----

Land Development Group The Nets Corporate		7,159 -		14,606		13,581		25,339	9,994 -	13,981	19,524	26,078
Activities		-		-		-		-	10,046	9,224	22,796	23,101
	\$3	330,239	\$ :	287,586	\$	637,885	\$	555,951	\$ 186,090	\$ 177,186	\$ 393,766	\$ 345,778
		Depr	eci	ation and	l Am	ortization l	Exp	oense		Interest	Expense	
Commercial Group Residential	\$	53,088	\$	40,017	\$	103,837	\$	85,606	\$ 57,697	\$ 43,733	\$ 116,442	\$ 91,102
Group Land Development		16,144		14,915		31,069		28,378	9,171	11,949	19,382	25,231
Group The Nets Corporate		239		143		430		321	(69)	68	6	2,374
Activities		757		666		1,511		1,223	15,551	16,958	29,891	30,800
	\$	70,228	\$	55,741	\$	136,847	\$	115,528	\$ 82,350	\$ 72,708	\$ 165,721	\$ 149,507
			Ι	nterest aı	nd Ot	ther Incom	e			Net Earni	ngs (Loss)	
Commercial Group Residential	\$	4,566	\$	8,295	\$	6,349	\$	10,233	\$ 8,090	\$ 14,485	\$ (5,169)	\$14,553
Group Land		2,587		11,785		6,177		15,629	8,131	65,975	9,798	65,398
Development Group The Nets Corporate		5,202		2,968		8,038		7,978	3,505 (5,472)	3,628 (1,419)	2,911 (14,432)	3,860 (3,469)
Corporate Activities		532		375		724		982	(22,566)	(14,894)	(41,689)	(29,748)
	\$	12,887	\$	23,423	\$	21,288	\$	34,822	\$ (8,312)	\$67,775	\$ (48,581)	\$50,594
								29				

#### **Table of Contents**

# Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

### L. Segment Information (continued)

The Company uses a measure defined as Earnings Before Depreciation, Amortization and Deferred Taxes (EBDT) to report its operating results. EBDT is a non-GAAP measure and is defined as net earnings excluding the following items: i) gain (loss) on disposition of rental properties, divisions and other investments (net of tax); ii) the adjustment to recognize rental revenues and rental expense using the straight-line method; iii) non-cash charges for real estate depreciation, amortization, amortization of mortgage procurement costs and deferred income taxes; iv) preferred payment which is classified as minority interest expense in the Company s Consolidated Statements of Operations; v) provision for decline in real estate (net of tax); vi) extraordinary items (net of tax); and vii) cumulative effect of change in accounting principle (net of tax).

The Company believes that, although its business has many facets such as development, acquisitions, disposals, and property management, the core of its business is the recurring operations of its portfolio of real estate assets. The Company s Chief Executive Officer, the chief operating decision maker, uses EBDT, as presented, to assess performance of its portfolio of real estate assets by operating segment because it provides information on the financial performance of the core real estate portfolio operations. EBDT measures the profitability of a real estate segment s operations of collecting rent, paying operating expenses and servicing its debt. The Company s segments adhere to the accounting policies described in Note A. Unlike the real estate segments, EBDT for the Nets segment equals net earnings (loss). All amounts in the following tables are presented in thousands.

(continued on next page)

30

# Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

# L. Segment Information (continued)

**Reconciliation of EBDT to Net Earnings (Loss) by Segment:** 

	Cor	mmonoial	D,	osidantia D	Land	4				
Three Months Ended July 31, 2008		innerciai Group		esidentiaD Group	eropmen Froup		ne Nets	Corporate	Total	
EBDT	\$	74,095	5	30,344	\$ 2,384	\$	(5,472)	\$ (13,008)	\$ 88,343	
Depreciation and amortization - Real		(52.027)		(10.502)	(74)				(72 502)	
Estate Groups Amortization of mortgage procurement		(53,927)		(19,502)	(74)		-	-	(73,503)	
costs - Real Estate Groups		(2,564)		(753)	(131)		_	-	(3,448)	
Deferred taxes - Real Estate Groups		(3,545)		(3,301)	1,550		-	(9,558)	(14,854)	
Straight-line rent adjustment		(4,263)		15	-		-	-	(4,248)	
Preference payment (1)		(931)		-	-		-	-	(931)	
Preferred return on disposition, net of				(130)					(130)	
tax Provision for decline in real estate, net		-		(128)	-		-	-	(128)	
of tax		_		_	(224)		_	_	(224)	
Provision for decline in real estate of					(221)				(221)	
equity method rental properties, net of										
tax		(775)		(2,699)	-		-	-	(3,474)	
Discontinued operations, net of tax: (2)										
Depreciation and amortization - Real				(00)					(00)	
Estate Groups  Deformed toyon Real Estate Groups		-		(90)	-		-	-	(90)	
Deferred taxes - Real Estate Groups Gain on disposition of rental properties		-		(1,049) 5,294	-		-	-	(1,049) 5,294	
Gain on disposition of rental properties		_		3,274	_		_	_	3,274	
Net earnings (loss)	\$	8,090	\$	8,131	\$ 3,505	\$	(5,472)	\$ (22,566)	\$ (8,312)	
Three Months Ended July 31, 2007										
EBDT	\$	60,062	\$	26,077	\$ 3,478	\$	(1,419)	\$ (16,992)	\$ 71,206	
Depreciation and amortization - Real Estate Groups		(41,920)		(19,469)	(180)		_	_	(61,569)	
Amortization of mortgage procurement		(11,720)		(1), (0))	(100)				(01,50))	
costs - Real Estate Groups		(2,089)		(1,269)	(146)		-	-	(3,504)	
Deferred taxes - Real Estate Groups		(4,084)		790	476		-	1,834	(984)	
Straight-line rent adjustment		3,452		18	-		-	-	3,470	
Preference payment (1)		(936)		-	-		-	-	(936)	
Preferred return on disposition, net of				(2.090)					(2.090)	
tax Gain on disposition of other		-		(3,089)	-		-	-	(3,089)	
investments, net of tax		_		_	_		_	264	264	
Discontinued operations, net of tax: (2)								-0.	_0.	
*		-		(921)	-		-	-	(921)	

=aga: 1g. 1	•	_0. 0				_0		0	~			
Depreciation and amortization - Real Estate Groups Amortization of mortgage procurement				(24)								(24)
costs - Real Estate Groups		-		(34)		-		-		-		(34)
Deferred taxes - Real Estate Groups		-		(1,365)		-		-		-		(1,365)
Gain on disposition of rental properties		-		65,237		-		-		-		65,237
Net earnings (loss)	\$	14,485	\$	65,975	\$	3,628	\$	(1,419)	\$	(14,894)	\$	67,775
Six Months Ended July 31, 2008												
<b>EBDT</b> Depreciation and amortization - Real	\$	107,126	\$	47,571	\$	1,698	\$	(14,432)	\$	(37,666)	\$	104,297
Estate Groups	(	(106,015)		(38,099)		(149)		_		_		(144,263)
Amortization of mortgage procurement		(100,010)		(00,022)		(= ->)						(111,200)
costs - Real Estate Groups		(5,046)		(1,480)		(254)		-		_		(6,780)
Deferred taxes - Real Estate Groups		1,986		589		1,841		_		(4,115)		301
Straight-line rent adjustment		(1,119)		19		(1)		_		-		(1,101)
Preference payment (1)		(1,867)		-		-		-		_		(1,867)
Preferred return on disposition, net of		( ) /										( ) /
tax		-		(128)		-		-		-		(128)
Gain on disposition of other												
investments, net of tax		-		-		-		-		92		92
Provision for decline in real estate, net												
of tax		-		-		(224)		-		-		(224)
Gain on disposition of equity method												
rental properties, net of tax		541		-		-		-		-		541
Provision for decline in real estate of												
equity method rental properties, net of												
tax		(775)		(2,699)		-		-		-		(3,474)
Discontinued operations, net of tax: (2)												
Depreciation and amortization - Real												
Estate Groups		-		(95)		-		-		-		(95)
Amortization of mortgage procurement												
costs - Real Estate Groups		-		(11)		-		-		-		(11)
Deferred taxes - Real Estate Groups		-		(1,163)		-		-		-		(1,163)
Gain on disposition of rental properties		-		5,294		-		-		-		5,294
Net earnings (loss)	\$	(5,169)	\$	9,798	\$	2,911	\$	(14,432)	\$	(41,689)	\$	(48,581)
Six Months Ended July 31, 2007												
EBDT	\$	104,863	\$	38,567	\$	781	\$	(3 469)	\$	(35,007)	\$	105,735
Depreciation and amortization - Real	Ψ	101,003	Ψ	20,207	Ψ	,01	Ψ	(5,10)	Ψ	(55,007)	Ψ	100,700
Estate Groups		(88,346)		(36,425)		(294)		_		_		(125,065)
Amortization of mortgage procurement		(23,210)		(- 5, .25)		(=> 1)						(0,000)
costs - Real Estate Groups		(4,115)		(1,986)		(287)		_		_		(6,388)
Deferred taxes - Real Estate Groups		(3,614)		5,398		3,661		_		4,995		10,440
Straight-line rent adjustment		7,599		22		(1)		_		-		7,620
Preference payment (1)		(1,834)				-		_		_		(1,834)
1 0		-		(3,089)		_		_		_		(3,089)
				· / /								. , /

Preferred return on disposition, net of						
tax						
Gain on disposition of other						
investments, net of tax	-	-	-	-	264	264
Gain on disposition of equity method						
rental properties, net of tax	-	1,292	-	-	-	1,292
Discontinued operations, net of tax: (2)						
Depreciation and amortization - Real						
Estate Groups	-	(1,934)	-	-	-	(1,934)
Amortization of mortgage procurement						
costs - Real Estate Groups	-	(69)	-	-	-	(69)
Deferred taxes - Real Estate Groups	-	(1,615)	-	-	-	(1,615)
Gain on disposition of rental properties	-	65,237	-	-	-	65,237
Net earnings (loss)	\$ 14,553	\$ 65,398	\$ 3,860	\$ (3,469) \$	(29,748) \$	50,594

(1) The preference

payment

represents the

respective

period s share of

the annual

preferred

payment in

connection with

the issuance of

Class A

Common Units

in exchange for

Bruce C.

Ratner s

minority

interests in the

Forest City

Ratner

Company

portfolio.

(2) See Note I

Discontinued

Operations for

more

information.

31

#### **Table of Contents**

# Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

### M. Class A Common Units

Master Contribution Agreement

The Company and certain of its affiliates (the FCE Entities ) entered into a Master Contribution and Sale Agreement (the Master Contribution Agreement ) with Bruce C. Ratner (Mr. Ratner), an Executive Vice President and Director of the Company, and certain entities and individuals affiliated with Mr. Ratner (the BCR Entities ) on August 14, 2006. Pursuant to the Master Contribution Agreement, on November 8, 2006, the Company issued Class A Common Units ( Units ) in a newly-formed jointly-owned limited liability company to the BCR Entities in exchange for their interests in a total of 30 retail, office and residential operating properties, and certain service companies, all in the greater New York City metropolitan area. The Company accounted for the issuance of the Units in exchange for the minority interests under the purchase method of accounting. After a one-year lock-up period, which expired on November 7, 2007, the Units may be exchanged, at the Company s sole discretion, for one of the following forms of consideration: (i) an equal number of shares of the Company s Class A common stock or, (ii) cash based on a formula using the average closing price of the Class A common stock at the time of conversion or, (iii) a combination of cash and shares of the Company s Class A common stock. The Company has no rights to redeem or repurchase the Units. Also pursuant to the Master Contribution Agreement, the Company and Mr. Ratner agreed that certain projects under development would remain owned jointly until such time as each individual project was completed and achieved stabilization. As each of the development projects achieves stabilization, it is valued and the Company, in its discretion, chooses among various options for the ownership of the project following stabilization consistent with the Master Contribution Agreement. The development projects were not covered by the Tax Protection Agreement that the parties entered into in connection with the Master Contribution Agreement. The Tax Protection Agreement indemnified the BCR Entities included in the initial closing against taxes payable by reason of any subsequent sale of certain operating properties.

New York Times and Twelve MetroTech Center

Two of the development projects, *New York Times*, an office building located in Manhattan, New York and *Twelve MetroTech Center*, an office building located in Brooklyn, New York, recently achieved stabilization. During the three months ended July 31, 2008, the Company elected to cause certain of its affiliates to acquire for cash the BCR Entities interests in the two projects pursuant to agreements dated May 6, 2008 and May 12, 2008, respectively. In accordance with the agreements, the applicable BCR Entities assigned and transferred their interests in the two projects to affiliates of the Company and will receive approximately \$121,000,000 over a 15 year period. An affiliate of the Company has also agreed to indemnify the applicable BCR Entity against taxes payable by it by reason of a subsequent sale or other disposition of one of the projects. The tax indemnity provided by the affiliate of the Company expires on December 31, 2014 and is similar to the indemnities provided for the operating properties under the Tax Protection Agreement. As was provided in the Master Contribution Agreement, the agreement also includes customary representations and warranties from the applicable BCR Entities regarding the operation of the projects. The applicable BCR Entities will indemnify the applicable FCE Entities for breaches of the representations and warranties subject to certain time limits and limitations on liability. Consistent with the Master Contribution Agreement, the applicable FCE Entities agreed to indemnify the applicable BCR Entities for losses resulting from claims made after the transfer of Mr. Ratner s interests.

The consideration exchanged by the Company for the BCR Entities interest in the two development projects has been accounted for under the purchase method of accounting. Pursuant to the agreements, the BCR Entities received an initial cash amount of \$49,249,000. The Company calculated the net present value of the remaining payments over the 15 year period using a discounted interest rate. This discounted amount of \$56,495,000 was recorded in accounts payable and accrued expenses on the Company s Consolidated Balance Sheets and will be accreted up to the total liability through interest expense over the next 15 years using the effective interest method.

32

### **Table of Contents**

# Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

### M. Class A Common Units (continued)

The following table summarizes the allocation of the consideration exchanged for the BCR Entities interests in the two projects. The amounts reported below are based on the Company's preliminary allocation and certain estimates. As a result, the allocation is preliminary and subject to change. The Company anticipates finalizing the allocation during fiscal year 2008. Amounts presented are in thousands.

Completed rental properties (1)	\$ 102,378
Notes and accounts receivable, net (2)	132
Other assets (3)	12,513
Accounts payable and accrued expenses (4)	(9,279)

Total purchase price allocated \$105,744

### Represents allocation for:

- (1) Land, building and tenant improvements associated with the underlying real estate
- (2) Above market leases
- (3) In-place leases, tenant relationships and leasing commissions
- (4) Below market leases

### Exchange of Units

In July 2008, the BCR Entities exchanged 247,477 of the Units. The Company issued 128,477 shares of its Class A common stock for 128,477 of the Units and paid cash of \$3,501,000 for 119,000 Units. The Company accounted for the exchange as a purchase of minority interest, resulting in a reduction of minority interest of \$12,624,000. The following table summarizes the components of the exchange transaction (in thousands):

Reduction of completed rental properties	\$ 5,345
Reduction of cash and equivalents	3,501
Increase in Class A common stock - par value	42
Increase in additional paid-in capital	3,736
Total reduction of minority interest	\$12,624

33

### **Table of Contents**

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) of Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and subsidiaries should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and the footnotes thereto contained in the annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 2008.

# **RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

We report our results of operations by each of our three strategic business units as we believe this provides the most meaningful understanding of our financial performance. In addition to our three strategic business units, we have two additional segments: the Nets and Corporate Activities.

# **Corporate Description**

We principally engage in the ownership, development, management and acquisition of commercial and residential real estate and land throughout the United States. We operate through three strategic business units and five reportable segments. The **Commercial Group**, our largest business unit, owns, develops, acquires and operates regional malls, specialty/urban retail centers, office and life science buildings, hotels and mixed-use projects. The **Residential Group** owns, develops, acquires and operates residential rental properties, including upscale and middle-market apartments and adaptive re-use developments. Additionally, the Residential Group develops for-sale condominium projects and also owns interests in entities that develop and manage military family housing. New York City operations are part of the Commercial Group or Residential Group depending on the nature of the operations. The **Land Development Group** acquires and sells both land and developed lots to residential, commercial and industrial customers. It also owns and develops land into master-planned communities and mixed-use projects.

**Corporate Activities** and **The Nets**, a franchise of the National Basketball Association (NBA) in which we account for our investment on the equity method of accounting, are reportable segments of the Company.

We have approximately \$10.9 billion of assets in 27 states and the District of Columbia at July 31, 2008. Our core markets include the New York City/Philadelphia metropolitan area, Denver, Boston, Greater Washington D.C./Baltimore metropolitan area, Chicago and the state of California. We have offices in Albuquerque, Boston, Chicago, Denver, London (England), Los Angeles, New York City, San Francisco, Washington, D.C., and our corporate headquarters in Cleveland, Ohio.

# Overview

Significant milestones occurring during the second quarter of 2008 included:

The election of Deborah L. Harmon, president of Harmon & Co. and principal of Caravel Management LLC, to our board of directors by shareholders;

Redemption of Bruce C. Ratner s minority interest in *New York Times*, an office building located in Manhattan, New York and *Twelve MetroTech Center*, an office building located in Brooklyn, New York (see the Class A Common Units section of the MD&A):

Closing on a \$167,000,000 financing for 80 DeKalb Avenue, a 335,000 square foot residential building in Brooklyn, New York where construction began in the second quarter (the financing includes a \$10,000,000 mezzanine loan and \$20,000,000 credit enhancement); and

Closing \$127,000,000 in other nonrecourse mortgage financing transactions.

We have a track record of past successes and a strong pipeline of future opportunities. With a balanced portfolio concentrated in the product types and geographic markets that offer many unique, financially rewarding opportunities, we appear to be well positioned for future growth.

34

### **Table of Contents**

**Net Earnings (Loss)** Net loss for the three months ended July 31, 2008 was (\$8,312,000) versus net earnings of \$67,775,000 for the three months ended July 31, 2007. Although we have substantial recurring revenue sources from our properties, we also enter into significant one-time transactions, which could create substantial variances in net earnings (loss) between periods. This variance to the prior year is primarily attributable to the following decreases, which are net of tax and minority interest:

\$65,237,000 (\$106,318,000, pre-tax) related to the 2007 gains on disposition of *Landings of Brentwood*, a consolidated apartment community in Nashville, Tennessee and the following six consolidated supported-living apartment communities: *Sterling Glen of Bayshore* in Bayshore, New York, *Sterling Glen of Center City* in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, *Sterling Glen of Darien* in Darien, Connecticut, *Sterling Glen of Forest Hills* in Forest Hills, New York, *Sterling Glen of Plainview* in Plainview, New York and *Sterling Glen of Stamford* in Stamford, Connecticut;

\$6,191,000 (\$10,090,000, pre-tax) related to the 2007 net gain recognized in other income on the sale of *Sterling Glen of Roslyn*, a consolidated supported-living apartment community under construction in Roslyn, New York;

\$4,053,000 (\$6,322,000, pre-tax) related to the increased share of losses from our equity investment in the New Jersey Nets basketball team (see The Nets section); and

\$2,515,000 (\$4,098,000, pre-tax) related to an impairment charge recorded in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets (SFAS No. 144). Due to the continued deterioration of the condominium market in Los Angeles, California, *Mercury*, an unconsolidated condominium project, lowered certain estimates of future undiscounted cash flows from unit sales.

These decreases were partially offset by the following increases, net of tax and minority interest: \$6,948,000 (\$11,385,000, pre-tax) primarily related to military housing fee income from the management and development of units in Hawaii, Illinois, Washington and Colorado;

\$5,294,000 (\$8,627,000, pre-tax) related to the 2008 gain on disposition of *Sterling Glen of Lynbrook*, a supported-living apartment community in Lynbrook, New York;

\$2,056,000 (\$3,350,000, pre-tax) related to the 2008 gain on the sale of an ownership interest in a parking management company; and

\$1,943,000 (\$3,166,000, pre-tax) related to increases in Commercial Group outlot land sales in 2008 primarily at *Short Pump Town Center*, a regional mall and at *White Oak Village*, a retail center, both located in Richmond, Virginia.

Net loss for the six months ended July 31, 2008 was (\$48,581,000) versus net earnings of \$50,594,000 for the six months ended July 31, 2007. This variance to the prior year is primarily attributable to the following decreases, which are net of tax and minority interest:

\$65,237,000 (\$106,318,000, pre-tax) related to the 2007 gains on disposition of Landings of Brentwood, Sterling Glen of Bayshore, Sterling Glen of Center City, Sterling Glen of Darien, Sterling Glen of Forest Hills, Sterling Glen of Plainview and Sterling Glen of Stamford;

\$12,918,000 (\$21,052,000, pre-tax) related to increased write-offs of abandoned development projects in 2008 compared to 2007. The increase primarily relates to the write-off at *Summit at Lehigh Valley*, a Commercial development project with a housing component located in Allentown, Pennsylvania, of \$13,200,000 (\$21,513,000 pre-tax) in 2008. Due to delays in the public entitlement process to fund infrastructure and overall slowdown in retail and housing markets, we did not acquire the underlying land, which the land owner

decided to sell to a third party for an alternative use. As a result, in April 2008, we determined it was no longer probable that the project would be completed resulting in the charge during the six months ended July 31, 2008;

\$10,963,000 (\$16,544,000, pre-tax) related to the increased share of losses from our equity investment in the New Jersey Nets basketball team (see The Nets section);

\$6,191,000 (\$10,090,000, pre-tax) related to the 2007 net gain recognized in other income on the sale of *Sterling Glen of Roslyn*;

\$2,515,000 (\$4,098,000, pre-tax) related to an impairment charge recorded in accordance with SFAS No. 144 at *Mercury*;

\$1,860,000 (\$3,031,000, pre-tax) related to participation payments in 2008 on the refinancing of *350 Massachusetts Avenue*, an unconsolidated office building and *Jackson Building*, a consolidated office building, both located in Cambridge, Massachusetts; and

35

#### **Table of Contents**

\$752,000 (\$1,225,000, pre-tax) related to the difference in gains on disposition of equity method properties between years. The 2007 gain on the disposition of our partnership interest in *White Acres*, an apartment community located in Richmond Heights, Ohio was higher than the 2008 gain on the sale of our partnership interest in *One International Place*, an office building located in Cleveland, Ohio.

These decreases were partially offset by the following increases, net of tax and minority interest: \$10,459,000 (\$17,418,000, pre-tax) primarily related to military housing fee income from the management and development of units in Hawaii, Illinois, Washington and Colorado;

\$5,294,000 (\$8,627,000, pre-tax) related to the 2008 gain on disposition of *Sterling Glen of Lynbrook*, a supported-living apartment community in Lynbrook, New York;

\$4,809,000 (\$7,837,000, pre-tax) of expense in 2007 that did not recur in 2008 related to management s approved plan to demolish two buildings owned by us adjacent to *Ten MetroTech Center*, an office building located in Brooklyn, New York, to clear the land for a residential project named *80 DeKalb Avenue*. Due to this new development plan, the estimated useful lives of the two adjacent buildings were adjusted to expire at the scheduled demolition date in April 2007 resulting in accelerated depreciation expense;

\$2,056,000 (\$3,350,000, pre-tax) related to the 2008 gain on the sale of an ownership interest in a parking management company; and

\$1,231,000 (\$2,007,000, pre-tax) related to increases in Commercial Group outlot land sales in 2008 primarily at *Short Pump Town Center* and *White Oak Village*, which was partially offset by a decrease in land sales at *Victoria Gardens*, a regional mall located in Rancho Cucamonga, California.

36

### **Table of Contents**

**Summary of Segment Operating Results** The following tables present a summary of revenues from real estate operations, operating expenses, interest expense and equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated entities by segment for the three and six months ended July 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. See discussion of these amounts by segment in the narratives following the tables.

		Months Ended J		Six Months Ended July 31,						
	2008	2007 (in thousands)	Variance	2008	2007 (in thousands)	Variance				
Revenues from Real Estate		,			,					
Operations										
Commercial Group	\$ 237,438	\$ 209,162	\$ 28,276	\$456,057	\$ 406,596	\$ 49,461				
Commercial Group Land	40.50									
Sales	10,602	1,564	9,038	14,250	7,157	7,093				
Residential Group	75,040	62,254	12,786	153,997	116,859	37,138				
Land Development Group The Nets	7,159	14,606	(7,447)	13,581	25,339	(11,758)				
Corporate Activities	-	-	_	-	-	_				
Corporate Activities										
<b>Total Revenues from Real</b>										
<b>Estate Operations</b>	\$ 330,239	\$ 287,586	\$ 42,653	\$ 637,885	\$ 555,951	\$ 81,934				
<b>Operating Expenses</b>										
Commercial Group	\$ 116,994	\$ 107,175	\$ 9,819	\$ 244,621	\$ 209,724	\$ 34,897				
Cost of Commercial Group	F 510	1.005	2.605	0.252	5 171	2.201				
Land Sales	5,510	1,905	3,605	8,372	5,171	3,201				
Residential Group	43,546 9,994	44,901 13,981	(1,355)	98,453	81,704 26,078	16,749				
Land Development Group The Nets	9,994	13,961	(3,987)	19,524	20,078	(6,554)				
Corporate Activities	10,046	9,224	822	22,796	23,101	(305)				
Corporate Field vittes	10,010	>,22.	022	22,770	23,101	(202)				
<b>Total Operating Expenses</b>	\$ 186,090	\$ 177,186	\$ 8,904	\$ 393,766	\$ 345,778	\$ 47,988				
Interest Expense	ф <b>55</b> сов	Φ 42.722	<b>4.12.064</b>	Φ 11 C 143	Φ 01 102	Φ 25 240				
Commercial Group	\$ 57,697	\$ 43,733	\$ 13,964	\$116,442	\$ 91,102	\$ 25,340				
Residential Group	9,171	11,949	(2,778)	19,382	25,231	(5,849)				
Land Development Group The Nets	(69)	68	(137)	6	2,374	(2,368)				
Corporate Activities	15,551	16,958	(1,407)	29,891	30,800	(909)				
	10,001	10,500	(1,107)	2,,0,1	20,000	(202)				
<b>Total Interest Expense</b>	\$ 82,350	\$ 72,708	\$ 9,642	\$ 165,721	\$ 149,507	\$ 16,214				
Equity in Earnings (Loss)										
of Unconsolidated Entities	¢ 542	¢ 2746	¢ (2.202)	¢ 004	¢ 5012	¢ (4.220)				
Commercial Group	\$ 543	\$ 3,746	\$ (3,203)	\$ 984 881	\$ 5,213	\$ (4,229) 881				
	-	-	-	001	-	001				

Edgar Filing: FOREST CITY ENTERPRISES INC - Form 10-Q

Gain on sale of <i>One</i> International Place									
Residential Group		(2,019)		3,055	(5,074)	712		4,521	(3,809)
Gain on sale of White Acres		-		-	-	-		2,106	(2,106)
Land Development Group		4,447		3,198	1,249	4,220		2,771	1,449
The Nets		(8,548)		(2,226)	(6,322)	(22,021)		(5,477)	(16,544)
Corporate Activities		-		-	-	-		-	-
Total Equity in Earnings (Loss) of Unconsolidated Entities	\$	(5,577)	\$	7,773	\$ (13,350)	\$ (15,224)	\$	9,134	\$ (24,358)
	•	(-)- /	·	,,,,,,	1 ( - ) )	. ( - )	·	- , -	1 ( )/
				37					

# **Table of Contents**

### Commercial Group

Table of Contents

**Revenues from Real Estate Operations** Revenues from real estate operations for the Commercial Group, including the segment s land sales, increased by \$37,314,000, or 17.71%, for the three months ended July 31, 2008 compared to the same period in the prior year. This increase was primarily the result of:

Increase of \$21,291,000 related to new property openings, as noted in the table below; and

Increase of \$9,038,000 related to an increase in commercial outlot land sales primarily at *Short Pump Town Center* located in Richmond, Virginia and *Ridge Hill Retail* in Yonkers, New York.

The balance of the remaining increase in revenues from real estate operations of approximately \$6,985,000 was generally due to fluctuations in mature properties.

Revenues from real estate operations for the Commercial Group, including the segment s land sales, increased by \$56,554,000, or 13.67%, for the six months ended July 31, 2008 compared to the same period in the prior year. This increase was primarily the result of:

Increase of \$42,207,000 related to new property openings, as noted in the table below; and

Increase of \$7,093,000 related to an increase in commercial outlot land sales primarily at *Short Pump Town Center* and *Ridge Hill Retail* which was partially offset by a decrease at *Victoria Gardens* in Rancho Cucamonga, California.

The balance of the remaining increase in revenues from real estate operations of approximately \$7,254,000 was generally due to fluctuations in mature properties.

**Operating and Interest Expenses** Operating expenses increased \$13,424,000, or 12.31%, for the three months ended July 31, 2008 compared to the same period in the prior year. This increase was primarily the result of:

Increase of \$4,994,000 related to new property openings, as noted in the table below; and

Increase of \$3,605,000 related to an increase in costs associated with commercial outlot land sales primarily at *Short Pump Town Center* and *Ridge Hill Retail*.

The balance of the remaining increase in operating expenses of approximately \$4,825,000 was generally due to fluctuations in mature properties and general operating activities.

Operating expenses increased \$38,098,000, or 17.73%, for the six months ended July 31, 2008 compared to the same period in the prior year. This increase was primarily the result of:

Increase of \$23,060,000 related to write-offs of abandoned development projects primarily at *Summit at Lehigh Valley*;

Increase of \$10,749,000 related to new property openings, as noted in the table below;

Increase of \$3,201,000 related to an increase in costs associated with commercial outlot land sales primarily at *Short Pump Town Center* and *Ridge Hill Retail* which was partially offset by a decrease at *Victoria Gardens*; and

Increase of \$1,206,000 primarily related to a participation payment on the refinancing at *Jackson Building*, an office building located in Cambridge Massachusetts.

The balance of the remaining decrease in operating expenses of approximately \$118,000 was generally due to fluctuations in mature properties and general operating activities.

Interest expense for the Commercial Group increased by \$13,964,000, or 31.93%, for the three months ended July 31, 2008 and by \$25,340,000, or 27.81%, for the six months ended July 31, 2008 compared to the same periods in the prior year. These increases are primarily attributable to the openings of the properties listed in the table below.

71

### **Table of Contents**

The following table presents the increases in revenue and operating expenses incurred by the Commercial Group for newly-opened/acquired properties for the three and six months ended July 31, 2008 compared to the same periods in the prior year (dollars in thousands):

				Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	(	Quarter/Year	Canona	Revenues from Real	v	1, 2008 Revenues from Real	On anoting
Property	Location	Opened/ Acquired	Square Feet	Estate Operations	Operating Expenses	Estate Operations	Operating Expenses
Retail Centers: Orchard Town	Westminster,						
Center	Colorado Rancho	Q1-2008	980,000	\$ 1,659	\$ 1,382	\$ 2,120	\$ 2,225
Victoria Gardens-Bass Pro	Cucamonga, California	Q2-2007	180,000	103	153	1,053	300
Promenade	Bolingbrook,	Q2-2007	160,000	103	133	1,033	300
Bolingbrook	Illinois	Q1-2007	750,000	810	(306)	4,242	495
Office Buildings:							
Johns Hopkins - 855	East Baltimore,						
North Wolfe Street	Maryland Manhattan,	Q1-2008	278,000	1,889	522	1,919	590
New York Times Richmond Office	New York Richmond,	Q3-2007	737,000	13,910	2,006	26,572	4,470
Park Illinois Science and	Virginia	Q2-2007 <sub>(1)</sub>	570,000	2,850	870	5,888	1,773
Technology	Skokie,	01.0007	150,000	70	265	412	006
Park-Building Q	Illinois	Q1-2007 <sub>(1)</sub>	158,000	70	367	413	896
Total				\$21,291	\$ 4,994	\$42,207	\$ 10,749

# (1) Acquired property.

Total occupancy for the Commercial Group is 90.7% and 90.4% for retail and office, respectively, as of July 31, 2008 compared to 92.1% and 88.5%, respectively, as of July 31, 2007. Retail and office occupancy as of July 31, 2008 and 2007 is based on square feet leased at the end of the fiscal quarter. Average occupancy for hotels for the six months ended July 31, 2008 is 76.8% compared to 75.6% for the six months ended July 31, 2007. Total hotel average occupancy year-to-date for July 31, 2007 has been restated to exclude *University Park at MIT Hotel*, which was sold during the year ended January 31, 2008.

As of July 31, 2008, the average base rent per square foot expiring for retail and office leases is \$26.68 and \$30.41, respectively, compared to \$25.46 and \$26.81, respectively, as of July 31, 2007. Square feet of expiring leases and average base rent per square foot are operating statistics that represent 100% of the square footage and base rental income per square foot from expiring leases. The average daily rate (ADR) for our hotel portfolio is \$150.07 and \$148.32 for the six months ended July 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. ADR is an operating statistic and is calculated

by dividing revenue by the number of rooms sold for all hotels that were operating for both the six months ended July 31, 2008 and 2007.

#### Residential Group

**Revenues from Real Estate Operations** Revenues from real estate operations for the Residential Group increased by \$12,786,000, or 20.5%, during the three months ended July 31, 2008 compared to the same period in the prior year. This increase was primarily the result of:

Increase of \$17,771,000 related to military housing fee income from the management and development of units located primarily on the Hawaiian islands of Oahu and Kauai; Chicago, Illinois; Seattle, Washington and Colorado Springs, Colorado; and

Increase of \$1,051,000 related to new property openings and acquired properties as noted in the table below.

These increases were partially offset by the following decrease:

Decrease of \$5,629,000 due to net lease arrangements whereby we receive fixed rental income in exchange for the operations of certain supported-living apartment properties which were retained by the lessee (see the Discontinued Operations section of the MD&A).

The balance of the remaining decrease of approximately \$407,000 was generally due to fluctuations in other mature properties.

Revenues from real estate operations for the Residential Group increased by \$37,138,000, or 31.8%, during the six months ended July 31, 2008 compared to the same period in the prior year. This increase was primarily the result of:

Increase of \$41,512,000 related to military housing fee income from the management and development of units located primarily on the Hawaiian islands of Oahu and Kauai; Chicago, Illinois; Seattle, Washington and Colorado Springs, Colorado; and

Increase of \$2,694,000 related to new property openings and acquired properties as noted in the table below.

39

#### **Table of Contents**

These increases were partially offset by the following decrease:

Decrease of \$10,858,000 due to net lease arrangements whereby we receive fixed rental income in exchange for the operations of certain supported-living apartment properties which were retained by the lessee (see the Discontinued Operations section of the MD&A).

The balance of the remaining increase of approximately \$3,790,000 was generally due to fluctuations in other mature properties.

**Operating and Interest Expenses** Operating expenses for the Residential Group decreased by \$1,355,000, or 3.0%, during the three months ended July 31, 2008 compared to the same period in the prior year. This decrease was primarily the result of:

Decrease of \$5,252,000 due to net lease arrangements whereby we receive fixed rental income in exchange for the operations of certain supported-living apartment properties which were retained by the lessee (see the Discontinued Operations section of the MD&A); and

Decrease of \$976,000 in write-offs of abandoned development projects.

These decreases were partially offset by the following increase:

Increase of \$4,830,000 related to operating expenses associated with military housing fee income; and

Increase of \$1,678,000 related to new property openings and acquired properties as noted in the table below. The balance of the remaining decrease of approximately \$1,635,000 was generally due to fluctuations in mature properties and general operating activities.

Operating expenses for the Residential Group increased by \$16,749,000, or 20.5%, during the six months ended July 31, 2008 compared to the same period in the prior year. This increase was primarily the result of:

Increase of \$24,227,000 related to operating expenses associated with military housing fee income; and

Increase of \$3,098,000 related to new property openings and acquired properties as noted in the table below. These increases were partially offset by the following decrease:

Decrease of \$9,839,000 due to net lease arrangements whereby we receive fixed rental income in exchange for the operations of certain supported-living apartment properties which were retained by the lessee (see the Discontinued Operations section of the MD&A).

The balance of the remaining decrease of approximately \$737,000 was generally due to fluctuations in mature properties and general operating activities.

Interest expense for the Residential Group decreased by \$2,778,000, or 23.2%, during the three months ended July 31, 2008 compared to the same period in the prior year and by \$5,849,000 or 23.2%, during the six months ended July 31, 2008 compared to the same period in the prior year.

The following table presents the increases (decreases) in revenues and operating expenses incurred by the Residential Group for newly-opened properties which have not yet reached stabilization for the three and six months ended July 31, 2008 compared to the same period in the prior year (dollars in thousands):

Three Months

				I III ee	WIOHUIS		
				En	ded	Six Mon	ths Ended
					July 3	1, 2008	
		Quarter/					
		Year		Revenue from		Revenue from	
		Opened/	Number of	Real Estate	Operating	Real Estate	Operating
Property	Location	Acquired	Units	Operations	Expenses	Operations	Expenses
Lucky Strike		Q1-2008	131	\$ 103	\$ 66	\$ 124	\$ 232

Edgar Filing: FOREST CITY ENTERPRISES INC - Form 10-Q

Richmond, Virginia								
Dallas,								
Texas	Q1-2008	366	80		938	94		1,383
Dallas,								
Texas	$Q4-2007_{(1)}$	143	536		452	1,092		697
Richmond,								
Virginia	$Q2-2007_{(1)}$	259	(7)		76	714		425
Denver,								
Colorado	Q2-2007	154	339		146	670		361
			\$ 1,051	\$	1,678	\$ 2,694	\$	3,098
	Virginia Dallas, Texas Dallas, Texas Richmond, Virginia Denver,	Virginia Dallas, Texas Q1-2008 Dallas, Texas Q4-2007 <sub>(1)</sub> Richmond, Virginia Q2-2007 <sub>(1)</sub> Denver,	Virginia Dallas, Texas Q1-2008 366 Dallas, Texas Q4-2007(1) 143 Richmond, Virginia Q2-2007(1) 259  Denver,	Virginia Dallas, Texas Q1-2008 366 80 Dallas, Texas Q4-2007 <sub>(1)</sub> 143 536 Richmond, Virginia Q2-2007 <sub>(1)</sub> 259 (7)  Denver, Colorado Q2-2007 154 339	Virginia Dallas, Texas Q1-2008 366 80 Dallas, Texas Q4-2007 <sub>(1)</sub> 143 536 Richmond, Virginia Q2-2007 <sub>(1)</sub> 259 (7)  Denver, Colorado Q2-2007 154 339	Virginia         Dallas,         Texas       Q1-2008       366       80       938         Dallas,         Texas       Q4-2007(1)       143       536       452         Richmond,       Virginia       Q2-2007(1)       259       (7)       76         Denver,       Colorado       Q2-2007       154       339       146	Virginia         Dallas,         Texas       Q1-2008       366       80       938       94         Dallas,       Texas       Q4-2007(1)       143       536       452       1,092         Richmond,       Virginia       Q2-2007(1)       259       (7)       76       714         Denver,       Colorado       Q2-2007       154       339       146       670	Virginia         Dallas,         Texas       Q1-2008       366       80       938       94         Dallas,         Texas       Q4-2007(1)       143       536       452       1,092         Richmond,       Virginia       Q2-2007(1)       259       (7)       76       714         Denver,       Colorado       Q2-2007       154       339       146       670

(1) Acquired property.

40

#### **Table of Contents**

Total average occupancy for the Residential Group is 90.0% and 91.7% for the six months ended July 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Average residential occupancy for the six months ended July 31, 2008 and 2007 is calculated by dividing gross potential rent less vacancy by gross potential rent.

Total net rental income (NRI) for our Residential Group was 86.1% and 89.7% for the three months ended July 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively, and 87.0% and 89.4% for the six months ended July 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. NRI is an operating statistic that represents the percentage of potential rent received after deducting vacancy and rent concessions from gross potential rent.

# Land Development Group

Revenues from real estate operations Land sales and the related gross margins vary from period to period depending on the timing of sales and general market conditions relating to the disposition of significant land holdings. We have an inventory of land that we believe is in good markets throughout the country. Our land sales have been impacted by slowing demand from home buyers in certain core markets for the land business, reflecting conditions throughout the housing industry that are anticipated to continue throughout 2008. Revenues from real estate operations for the Land Development Group decreased by \$7,447,000 for the three months ended July 31, 2008 compared to the same period in the prior year. This decrease is primarily the result of:

Decrease of \$3,258,000 in land sales at Stapleton in Denver, Colorado;

Decrease of \$1,932,000 in land sales at Summers Walk in Davidson, North Carolina;

Decrease of \$1,253,000 in land sales at Tangerine Crossing in Tucson, Arizona; and

Decrease of \$1,476,000 in land sales primarily at four land development projects: *Wheatfield Lake* in Wheatfield, New York, *Waterbury* in North Ridgeville, Ohio, *Mill Creek* in York County, South Carolina and *Creekstone* in Copley, Ohio, combined with several smaller sales decreases at other land development projects. These decreases were partially offset by the following increase:

Increase of \$472,000 in unit/land sales primarily at two land development projects: *Rockport Square* in Lakewood, Ohio and *Mallard Point* in Lorain, Ohio, combined with several smaller sales increases at other land development projects.

Revenues from real estate operations for the Land Development Group decreased by \$11,758,000 for the six months ended July 31, 2008 compared to the same period in the prior year. This decrease is primarily the result of:

Decrease of \$4,681,000 in land sales at *Stapleton*;

Decrease of \$1,781,000 in land sales at *Mill Creek*;

Decrease of \$1,737,000 in land sales at *Tangerine Crossing*;

Decrease of \$579,000 in unit sales at *Rockport Square*;

Decrease of \$574,000 in land sales at Summers Walk; and

Decrease of \$2,603,000 in land sales primarily at three land development projects: *Waterbury, Wheatfield Lake* and *Creekstone*, combined with several smaller sales decreases at other land development projects.

These decreases were partially offset by the following increase:

Increase of \$197,000 in land sales primarily at *Legacy Lakes* in Aberdeen, North Carolina, combined with several smaller sales increases at other land development projects.

**Operating and Interest Expenses** Operating expenses decreased by \$3,987,000 for the three months ended July 31, 2008 compared to the same period in the prior year. This decrease is primarily the result of:

Decrease of \$1,706,000 at Stapleton primarily related to decreased land sales;

Decrease of \$1,489,000 at Summers Walk primarily related to decreased land sales;

Decrease of \$721,000 at Tangerine Crossing primarily related to decreased land sales; and

41

#### **Table of Contents**

Decrease of \$976,000 primarily related to decreased land sales at *Wheatfield Lake*, *Waterbury* and *Creekstone*, combined with several other expense decreases at various land development projects.

These decreases were partially offset by the following increase:

Increase of \$905,000 primarily related to increased unit/land sales at *Rockport Square* and *Mallard Point*, combined with several smaller expense increases at other land development projects.

Operating expenses decreased by \$6,554,000 for the six months ended July 31, 2008 compared to the same period in the prior year. This decrease is primarily the result of:

Decrease of \$2,261,000 at *Stapleton* primarily related to decreased land sales;

Decrease of \$1,395,000 at *Mill Creek* primarily related to decreased land sales;

Decrease of \$812,000 at *Tangerine Crossing* primarily related to decreased land sales;

Decrease of \$770,000 at *Rockport Square* primarily related to decreased unit sales;

Decrease of \$594,000 at Summers Walk primarily related to decreased land sales; and

Decrease of \$1,266,000 primarily related to decreased land sales at *Wheatfield Lake* and *Creekstone* combined with several smaller expense decreases at other land development projects.

These increases were partially offset by the following increase:

Increase of \$544,000 primarily related to increased land sales at *Legacy Lakes*, combined with several smaller expense increases at other land development projects.

Interest expense decreased by \$137,000 for the three months ended July 31, 2008 and decreased by \$2,368,000 for the six months ended July 31, 2008 compared to the same periods in the prior year. Interest expense varies from year to year depending on the level of interest-bearing debt within the Land Development Group.

#### The Nets

Our equity investment in The Nets incurred a pre-tax loss of \$8,548,000 and \$22,021,000 for the three and six months ended July 31, 2008, respectively, representing an increase in allocated losses of \$6,322,000 and \$16,544,000 compared to the same periods in the prior year. For the six months ended July 31, 2008 and 2007, we recognized approximately 57% and 10% of the net loss, respectively, because profits and losses are allocated to each member based on an analysis of the respective member s claim on the net book equity assuming a liquidation at book value at the end of the accounting period without regard to unrealized appreciation (if any) in the fair value of The Nets. For the six months ended July 31, 2008, we recognized a higher share of the loss than in the prior year because we advanced capital to fund anticipated future operating losses on behalf of both us and certain non-funding partners. While these capital advances receive certain preferential capital treatment, generally accepted accounting principles require us to report losses, including significant non-cash losses resulting from amortization, in excess of our legal ownership of approximately 23%.

Included in the losses for the six months ended July 31, 2008 and 2007 are approximately \$13,544,000 and \$2,550,000, respectively, of amortization, at our share, of certain assets related to the purchase of the team. The remainder of the loss substantially relates to the operations of the team.

#### **Corporate Activities**

**Operating and Interest Expenses** Operating expenses for Corporate Activities increased \$822,000 for the three months ended July 31, 2008 and decreased \$305,000 for the six months ended July 31, 2008, compared to the same periods in the prior year. The increase of \$822,000 for the three months ended July 31, 2008 was primarily attributed to a \$280,000 increase in stock-based compensation, a \$445,000 increase of charitable contributions and a \$97,000 increase related to general operating expenses. The decrease of \$305,000 for the six months ended July 31, 2008 was primarily attributed to a \$1,010,000 decrease in stock-based compensation, a \$184,000 decrease related to general operating expenses, offset by a \$889,000 increase of charitable contributions.

Interest expense for Corporate Activities consists primarily of interest expense on the senior notes and the bank revolving credit facility, excluding the portion allocated to the Land Development Group (see Financial Condition and

Liquidity section). Interest expense decreased by \$1,407,000 and \$909,000, respectively, for the three and six months ended July 31, 2008 compared to the same periods in prior year primarily associated with decreased borrowings on the bank revolving credit facility.

42

# **Table of Contents**

#### Other Activity

The following items are discussed on a consolidated basis.

# **Depreciation and Amortization**

We recorded depreciation and amortization of \$70,228,000 for the three months ended July 31, 2008, which is an increase of \$14,487,000 compared to the same period in the prior year. Included in this increase is \$13,089,000 of depreciation and amortization primarily related to new property openings and acquisitions and \$1,398,000 of amortization related to capitalized software costs.

We recorded depreciation and amortization of \$136,847,000 for the six months ended July 31, 2008, which is an increase of \$21,319,000 compared to the same period in the prior year. Included in this increase is \$26,451,000 of depreciation and amortization primarily related to new property openings and acquisitions and \$2,705,000 of amortization related to capitalized software costs. These increases were partially offset by accelerated depreciation of \$7,837,000 recorded during the six months ended July 31, 2007 due to management s approval to demolish two buildings adjacent to *Ten MetroTech Center*, an office building located in Brooklyn, New York, to clear the land for a residential project named *80 DeKalb Avenue*. Due to the new development plan, the estimated useful lives of the two adjacent buildings were adjusted to expire at the scheduled demolition date in April 2007.

# **Provision for Decline in Real Estate**

We review our real estate portfolio, including land held for development or sale, to determine if our carrying costs will be recovered from future undiscounted cash flows whenever events or changes indicate that recoverability of long-lived assets may not be supported by current assumptions. In cases where we do not expect to recover our carrying costs, an impairment loss is recorded as a provision for decline in real estate pursuant to the guidance established in SFAS No. 144. For our equity method real estate investments, a loss in value of an investment which is other than a temporary decline is recognized as a provision for decline in real estate based upon the length of time elapsed, severity of decline and all other relevant facts and circumstances.

During the three and six months ended July 31, 2008, we recorded a provision for decline in real estate of \$365,000 for the other than temporary decline in our equity method investment in our Land Development Group related to *Old Stone Crossing at Caldwell Creek*, located in Charlotte, North Carolina. There was no provision for decline in real estate recorded for the three and six months ended July 31, 2007.

The following table summarizes our proportionate share of the provision for decline in real estate for equity method investments during the three and six months ended July 31, 2008 and 2007, which are included in equity in earnings of unconsolidated entities in the Consolidated Statements of Operations:

			Six Month July		ed				
		2	2008	20	07		2008	200	Э7
			(in thous	ands)			(in thou	sands)	
	(Los Angeles,								
Mercury (Condominium)	California)	\$	4,098	\$	-	\$	4,098	\$	-
El Centro Mall (Specialty Retail	(El Centro,								
Center)	California)		1,263		-		1,263		-
Other			300		-		300		-
		\$	5,661	\$	-	\$	5,661	\$	_

## **Amortization of Mortgage Procurement Costs**

Mortgage procurement costs are amortized on a straight-line basis over the life of the related nonrecourse mortgage debt, which approximates the effective interest method. For the three and six months ended July 31, 2008, we recorded amortization of mortgage procurement costs of \$3,169,000 and \$6,107,000, respectively. Amortization of mortgage procurement costs increased \$330,000 and \$704,000 for the three and six months ended July 31, 2008,

respectively, compared to the same periods in the prior year.

43

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **Loss on Early Extinguishment of Debt**

For the three and six months ended July 31, 2008, we recorded \$52,000 and \$5,231,000, respectively, as loss on early extinguishment of debt. The amounts for 2008 represent the impact of early extinguishment of nonrecourse mortgage debt at *Galleria at Sunset*, a regional mall located in Henderson, Nevada, and 1251 S. Michigan and Sky 55, apartment communities located in Chicago, Illinois, in order to secure more favorable financing terms. These charges were offset, in part, by a gain on the early extinguishment of the Urban Development Action Grant loan at M.K. Ferguson Plaza, an office building located in Cleveland, Ohio. For the three and six months ended July 31, 2007, we recorded \$1,640,000 and \$4,184,000, respectively, as loss on early extinguishment of debt. The amounts for 2007 represent the impact of early extinguishment of nonrecourse mortgage debt at Northern Boulevard and Columbia Park Center, specialty retail centers located in Queens, New York and North Bergen, New Jersey, respectively, in order to secure more favorable financing terms, as well as the costs associated with the disposition of Landings of Brentwood, a consolidated apartment community in Nashville, Tennessee, which was sold during the three months ended July 31, 2007 (see the Discontinued Operations section of the MD&A).

#### **Interest and Other Income**

We recorded \$12,887,000 and \$23,423,000 of interest and other income for the three months ended July 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively, and \$21,288,000 and \$34,822,000 for the six months ended July 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Interest and other income decreased \$10,536,000 for the three months ended July 31, 2008 compared to the same period in the prior year primarily due to the 2007 gain of \$10,090,000 on the disposition of *Sterling Glen of Roslyn*, a supported-living apartment community located in Roslyn, New York, partially offset by an increase of \$3,350,000 related to the 2008 gain on the sale of an ownership interest in a parking management company and an increase of \$603,000 related to the income recognition on the sale of Historic Preservation and New Market Tax Credits. For the six months ended July 31, 2008, interest and other income decreased \$13,534,000 compared to the same period in the prior year primarily due to the 2007 gain of \$10,090,000 on the disposition of *Sterling Glen of Roslyn* and \$1,846,000 related to interest income earned by Stapleton Land, LLC on an interest rate swap related to the \$75,000,000 Tax Increment Financing ( TIF ) bonds which matured in 2007, partially offset by an increase of \$3,350,000 related to the 2008 gain on the sale of an ownership interest in a parking management company and an increase of \$1,206,000 related to the income recognition on the sale of Historic Preservation and New Market Tax Credits.

#### **Income Taxes**

Income tax expense (benefit) for the three months ended July 31, 2008 and 2007 was \$3,723,000 and \$(609,000), respectively. Income tax benefit for the six months ended July 31, 2008 and 2007 was \$(15,856,000) and \$(14,649,000), respectively. The difference in the income tax expense or benefit reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Operations versus the income tax expense or benefit computed at the statutory federal income tax rate is primarily attributable to state income taxes, additional general business credits, changes to our charitable contribution and state NOL valuation allowances based upon management s assessment of our ability to utilize such deferred tax assets, and various permanent differences between pre-tax GAAP income and taxable income.

At January 31, 2008, we had a net operating loss carryforward for tax purposes of \$64,589,000 (generated primarily from the impact on our net earnings of tax depreciation expense from real estate properties and excess deductions from stock-based compensation) that will expire in the years ending January 31, 2024 through January 31, 2028, a charitable contribution deduction carryforward of \$40,676,000 that will expire in the years ending January 31, 2009 through January 31, 2013 (\$7,111,000 expiring in the year ended January 31, 2009), general business credit carryovers of \$13,866,000 that will expire in the years ending January 31, 2009 through January 31, 2028 (\$39,000 expiring in the year ended January 31, 2009), and an alternative minimum tax (AMT) credit carryforward of \$34,894,000 that is available until used to reduce Federal tax to the AMT amount. We have a full valuation allowance against the deferred tax asset associated with our charitable contributions because management believes at this time that it is more likely than not that we will not realize these benefits. Our policy is to consider a variety of tax-deferral strategies, including tax deferred exchanges, when evaluating our future tax position.

We apply the with-and-without methodology for recognizing excess tax benefits from the deduction of stock-based compensation. The net operating loss available for the tax return, as is noted in the paragraph above, is significantly greater than the net operating loss available for the tax provision due to excess deductions from stock-based

compensation reported on the return, as well as the impact of FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (FIN No. 48) adjustments to the net operating loss. We have not recorded a net deferred tax asset of approximately \$13,355,000, as of January 31, 2008, from excess stock-based compensation deductions for which a benefit has not yet been recognized.

44

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **FIN No. 48**

We adopted the provisions of FIN No. 48 effective February 1, 2007. Unrecognized tax benefits represent those tax benefits related to tax positions that have been taken or are expected to be taken in tax returns that are not recognized in the financial statements because management has either concluded that it is not more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained if audited by the appropriate taxing authority or the amount of the benefit will be less than the amount taken or expected to be taken in our income tax returns.

As of July 31 and January 31, 2008, we had unrecognized tax benefits of \$1,818,000 and \$2,556,000, respectively. We recognize estimated interest payable on underpayments of income taxes and estimated penalties that may result from the settlement of some uncertain tax positions as components of income tax expense. As of July 31 and January 31, 2008, we had approximately \$508,000 and \$840,000, respectively, of accrued interest and penalties related to uncertain income tax positions. During the three months ended July 31, 2008 and 2007, \$(421,000) and \$32,000, respectively, of tax expense (benefit) was recorded relating to interest and penalties. Income tax expense (benefit) relating to interest and penalties of \$(332,000) and \$311,000 was recorded for the six months ended July 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. During the three months ended July 31, 2008, we settled an Internal Revenue Service audit of one of our partnership investments, which resulted in a decrease in our unrecognized tax benefits and associated accrued interest and penalties.

The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits that would affect our effective tax rate, if recognized as of July 31, 2008 and 2007, is \$325,000 and \$576,000, respectively. Based upon our assessment of the outcome of examinations that are in progress, the settlement of liabilities, or as a result of the expiration of the statutes of limitation for certain jurisdictions, it is reasonably possible that the related unrecognized tax benefits for tax positions taken regarding previously filed tax returns will materially change from those recorded at July 31, 2008. Included in the \$1,818,000 of unrecognized benefits noted above, is \$1,728,000 which, due to the reasons above, could significantly decrease during the next twelve months.

#### **Equity in Earnings (Loss) of Unconsolidated Entities**

Equity in loss of unconsolidated entities was \$(5,577,000) for the three months ended July 31, 2008 and equity in earnings of unconsolidated entities was \$7,773,000 for the three months ended July 31, 2007, representing a decrease of \$13,350,000. This decrease was primarily the result of the following activities that occurred within our equity method investments:

- The Nets

Decrease of \$6,322,000 related to an increase in our share of the loss in The Nets (see The Nets section).

- Residential Group

Decrease of \$4,098,000 related to an impairment charge recorded in accordance with SFAS No. 144 during the second quarter of 2008 for *Mercury*, a condominium project located in Los Angeles, California. Certain estimates were lowered regarding future undiscounted cash flows on condominium sales due to the continued deterioration of the condominium market in Los Angeles.

- Land Development Group

Decrease of \$2,659,000 related to decreased land sales at Gladden Farms II, located in Marana, Arizona.

- Commercial Group

Decrease of \$1,263,000 related to an impairment charge recorded in accordance with SFAS No. 144 during the second quarter of 2008 due to a write-down of costs to fair value less estimated selling costs for *El Centro Mall* located in El Centro, California as a result of a letter of intent to dispose of the property.

These decreases were partially offset by the following increase:

- Land Development Group

Increase of \$4,581,000 related to increased sales at *Central Station*, located in Chicago, Illinois.

The balance of the remaining decrease of approximately \$3,589,000 was due to fluctuations in the operations of our equity method investments.

45

#### **Table of Contents**

Equity in loss of unconsolidated entities was \$(15,224,000) for the six months ended July 31, 2008 and equity in earnings of unconsolidated entities was \$9,134,000 for the six months ended July 31, 2007, representing a decrease of \$24,358,000. This decrease was primarily the result of the following activities that occurred within our equity method investments:

- The Nets

Decrease of \$16.544.000 related to an increase in our share of the loss in The Nets (see The Nets section).

- Residential Group

Decrease of \$4,098,000 related to an impairment charge recorded in accordance with SFAS No. 144 during the second quarter of 2008 for *Mercury*. Certain estimates of future undiscounted cash flows on unit sales were lowered due to the continued deterioration of the condominium market in Los Angeles; and

Decrease of \$2,106,000 related to the 2007 gain on disposition of our partnership interest in *White Acres*, an apartment community located in Richmond Heights, Ohio.

- Land Development Group

Decrease of \$2,655,000 related to decreased land sales at Gladden Farms II.

- Commercial Group

Decrease of \$1,663,000, primarily related to a participation payment on the refinancing during 2008 at 350 Massachusetts Avenue, an office building located in Cambridge, Massachusetts; and

Decrease of \$1,263,000 related to an impairment charge recorded in accordance with SFAS No. 144 during the second quarter of 2008 due to a write-down of costs to fair value less estimated selling costs for *El Centro Mall*.

These decreases were partially offset by the following increases:

- Land Development Group

Increase of \$4,704,000 related to increased sales at Central Station.

- Commercial Group

Increase of \$881,000 related to the 2008 gain on disposition of our partnership interest in *One International Place*, an office building located in Cleveland, Ohio.

The balance of the remaining decrease of approximately \$1,614,000 was due to fluctuations in the operations of our equity method investments.

# **Discontinued Operations**

Pursuant to the definition of a component of an entity in SFAS No. 144, all earnings of discontinued operations sold or held for sale, assuming no significant continuing involvement, have been reclassified in the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended July 31, 2008 and 2007. We consider assets held for sale when the transaction has been approved and there are no significant contingencies related to the sale that may prevent the transaction from closing.

During the year ended January 31, 2008, we consummated an agreement to sell eight (seven operating properties and one property that was under construction at the time of the agreement) and lease four supported-living apartment properties to a third party. Pursuant to the agreement, during the second quarter of 2007, six operating properties listed in the table below and the property under construction, *Sterling Glen of Roslyn* located in Roslyn, New York, were sold. The seventh operating property, *Sterling Glen of Lynbrook*, was operated by the purchaser under a short-term lease through the date of sale, which occurred on May 20, 2008 and generated a gain on disposition of rental property of \$8,627,000 (\$5,294,000, net of tax). The gain along with the operating results of the property through the date of sale is classified as discontinued operations for the six months ended July 31, 2008.

Pursuant to the agreement, the four remaining properties entered into long-term operating leases with the purchaser. The operating leases have stated terms of five or ten years with various put and call provisions at a pre-determined purchase price that can be exercised beginning in the second year of each lease at an amount that is in excess of the current carrying amount of the properties. We are generally entitled to a fixed lease payment from the lessee over the term of the lease in exchange for the operations of the properties, which will be retained by the lessee. We have

continued to consolidate the leased properties in our Consolidated Balance Sheets as the criteria for sales accounting pursuant to the provisions of SFAS No. 66, Accounting for Sales of Real Estate

46

#### **Table of Contents**

(SFAS No. 66), have not been achieved. Further, we have concluded that the leased properties have met the criteria as Variable Interest Entities (VIEs) pursuant to FASB Interpretation No. 46 (Revised December 2003) Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities (FIN No. 46(R)), and due to our obligation to absorb a majority of expected losses, the leased properties are consolidated by us at July 31, 2008. Additionally, these properties do not meet the qualifications of assets held for sale under SFAS No. 144 as of July 31, 2008; therefore, these properties have not been included in discontinued operations.

Sterling Glen of Lynbrook was classified as held for sale at January 31, 2008 through the date of disposition. Sterling Glen of Lynbrook s assets and liabilities as of January 31, 2008 are presented in the table below.

	:	uary 31, 2008 (in usands)
Assets		
Real estate	\$	29,858
Notes and accounts receivable, net		179
Other assets		1,635
<b>Total Assets</b>	\$	31,672
Liabilities		
Mortgage debt, nonrecourse	\$	27,700
Accounts payable and accrued		
expenses		798
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	\$	28,498

The following table lists the consolidated rental properties included in discontinued operations:

		Number of	Period	Three Months Ended	Six Months Ended	Three Months Ended	Six Months Ended
Property	Location	Units	Disposed	7/31/2008	7/31/2008	7/31/2007	7/31/2007
Residential Group:							
Sterling Glen of Lynbrook	Lynbrook, New York	130	Q2-2008	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Sterling Glen of Bayshore	Bayshore, New York	85	Q2-2007	-	-	Yes	Yes
Sterling Glen of Center City	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania	135	Q2-2007	-	-	Yes	Yes
Sterling Glen of Darien	Darien, Connecticut	80	Q2-2007	-	-	Yes	Yes
Sterling Glen of	Forest Hills, New York	83	Q2-2007	-	-	Yes	Yes
Forest Hills	Plainview, New York	79	Q2-2007	-	-	Yes	Yes

Sterling Glen of Plainview		1.55					
Sterling Glen of	Stamford, Connecticut	166	Q2-2007	-	-	Yes	Yes
Stamford							
Landings of	Nashville, Tennessee	724	Q2-2007	-	-	Yes	Yes
Brentwood	•						
		2	<del>1</del> 7				
			.,				

# **Table of Contents**

The operating results related to discontinued operations were as follows:

	Three Month	-	Six Months Ended July 31,			
	2008	2007	2008	2007		
	(in thoi	usands)	(in thous	ousands)		
Revenues	<b>\$</b> 162	\$ 12,397	<b>\$</b> 706	\$ 24,599		
Expenses						
Operating expenses	76	11,883	287	20,730		
Depreciation and amortization	90	921	95	1,934		
	166	12,804	382	22,664		
Interest expense	(43)	(2,000)	(235)	(3,608)		
Amortization of mortgage procurement costs	· -	(34)	(11)	(69)		
Loss on early extinguishment of debt	-	(363)	-	(363)		
Interest income	31	112	35	209		
Gain on disposition of rental properties	8,627	106,318	8,627	106,318		
Earnings before income taxes	8,611	103,626	8,740	104,422		
Income tax expense (benefit)						
Current	(1,055)	5,682	(1,119)	5,740		
Deferred	4,382	34,358	4,496	34,608		
	3,327	40,040	3,377	40,348		
Net earnings from discontinued operations	\$ 5,284	\$ 63,586	\$ 5,363	\$ 64,074		

# **Gain on Disposition of Rental Properties**

The following table summarizes the gain on disposition of rental properties, pre-tax, for the three and six months ended July 31, 2008 and 2007:

	Three Months Ended July 31,			Six Months Ended July 31,					
	2	2008	•	2007	2008			2007	
		(in tho	usand	(s)		(in th	ousan	ds)	
Discontinued Operations: Seven Sterling Glen properties (Supported-Living Apartments) (1) Landings of Brentwood (Apartments) (2)	\$	8,627	\$	81,239 25,079	\$	8,627	\$	81,239 25,079	
Total	\$	8,627	\$	106,318	\$	8,627	\$	106,318	

(1) The seven properties included in the gain on disposition are Sterling Glen of Lynbrook for the three and six months ended July 31, 2008 and Sterling Glen of Bayshore, Sterling Glen of Center City, Sterling Glen of Darien, Sterling Glen of Forest Hills, Sterling Glen of Plainview and Sterling Glen of Stamford for the three and six months ended July 31, 2007. We elected to deposit the sales proceeds with a qualified intermediary for the purposes of identifying replacement assets under Section 1031 of the Internal Revenue Code for all the aforementioned properties except Sterling Glen of Forest Hills.

(2) We elected to deposit the sales proceeds with a qualified intermediary for

purposes of acquiring replacement assets under Section 1031 of the Internal Revenue Code.

Upon disposal, investments accounted for on the equity method are not classified as discontinued operations under the provisions of SFAS No. 144; therefore, the gains or losses on the sales of equity method properties are reported in continuing operations when sold. The following table summarizes our proportionate share of the gains on disposition of equity method investments during the six months ended July 31, 2008 and 2007, which are included in equity in earnings of unconsolidated entities in the Consolidated Statements of Operations:

			Six	Months 31		July
			20	008	20	007
				(in thou	isands)	
One International Place (Office Building)		Cleveland, Ohio	\$	881	\$	-
		Richmond				
White Acres (Apartments)		Heights, Ohio		-	2	2,106
			\$	881	\$ 2	2,106
	48					

#### **Table of Contents**

### FINANCIAL CONDITION AND LIQUIDITY

We believe that our sources of liquidity and capital are adequate to meet our funding obligations. Recent difficulties in the real estate and mortgage markets have negatively impacted the lending and capital markets, particularly for real estate. The risk premium demanded by capital suppliers has increased significantly. Lending spreads have widened from recent levels and originations of new loans for the Commercial Mortgage Backed Securities market have decreased dramatically from recent historical levels. Underwriting standards are being tightened and spreads have risen. While the long-term impact cannot be known, borrowing costs for us may rise and financing levels may be lower. To date, we have not experienced any significant negative impact to our access to capital from the recent changes in the debt marketplace.

Our principal sources of funds are cash provided by operations, the bank revolving credit facility, nonrecourse mortgage debt, dispositions of mature properties, proceeds from the issuance of senior notes and from equity joint ventures and other financing arrangements. Our principal use of funds are the financing of development and acquisitions of real estate projects, capital expenditures for our existing portfolio, payments on nonrecourse mortgage debt, payments on our bank revolving credit facility and retirement of senior notes previously issued. The discussion below under Bank Revolving Credit Facility and Senior and Subordinated Debt outline events that have enhanced our liquidity and financial flexibility which will be important in our efforts to continue to develop and acquire quality real estate assets.

Our primary capital strategy seeks to isolate the financial risk at the property level to maximize returns and reduce risk on and of our equity capital. Our mortgage debt is nonrecourse, including our construction loans, with each property separately financed. We do not cross-collateralize our mortgage debt outside of a single identifiable project. We operate as a C-corporation and retain substantially all of our internally generated cash flows. We recycle this cash flow, together with refinancing and property sale proceeds, to fund new development and acquisitions that we believe will drive favorable returns for our shareholders. This strategy has historically provided us with the necessary liquidity to take advantage of investment opportunities, and we believe will continue to do so in the future.

Effective December 1, 2005, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) adopted new rules that substantially

modify the registration, communications and offering procedures under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (Securities Act ). These new rules streamline the shelf registration process for well-known seasoned issuers (WKSI) by allowing them to file shelf registration statements that automatically become effective. Based upon the criteria set forth in the new rules, we have determined that we are still a WKSI as of July 31, 2008 and would be eligible to file an automatic shelf registration statement. In the meantime, we may still issue securities under our existing shelf registration statement (see the Other Senior Notes section of the MD&A).

# **Bank Revolving Credit Facility**

At July 31 and January 31, 2008, our bank revolving credit facility, as amended, provides for maximum borrowings of \$750,000,000 and matures in March 2010. The facility bears interest at our option at either (1) a LIBOR-based rate plus 1.45% (3.95% and 4.89% at July 31 and January 31, 2008, respectively), or (2) a Prime-based rate plus .50%. We have historically elected the LIBOR-based rate option. Of the available borrowings, up to \$100,000,000 may be used for letters of credit or surety bonds. The credit facility also contains certain financial covenants, including maintenance of certain debt service and cash flow coverage ratios, specified levels of net worth (as defined in the credit facility) and a dividend and stock repurchase limitation of \$40,000,000 per annual period. Outstanding balances and available credit on the bank revolving credit facility at July 31 and January 31, 2008 were as follows:

	July 31, 2008		nuary 31, 2008
	(in ti	housands	)
<b>Outstanding balances:</b>			
Borrowings	\$ 143,500	\$	39,000
Letters of credit	\$ 83,898	\$	71,802
Surety bonds	\$ -	\$	-

Available credit

\$522,602

\$ 49 639,198

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **Senior and Subordinated Debt**

Our Senior and Subordinated Debt is comprised of the following at both July 31 and January 31, 2008 (in thousands):

#### **Senior Notes:**

3.625% Puttable Equity-Linked Senior Notes	
due 2011	\$ 287,500

#### **Other Senior Notes:**

7.625% Senior Notes due 2015	300,000
6.500% Senior Notes due 2017	150,000
7.375% Senior Notes due 2034	100,000

Total Senior Notes 837,500

#### **Subordinated Debt:**

Redevelopment Bonds due 2010	20,400
Subordinate Tax Revenue Bonds due 2013	29,000
Total Subordinated Debt	49,400

Total Senior and Subordinated Debt \$ 886,900

## **Puttable Equity-Linked Senior Notes**

On October 10, 2006, we issued \$287,500,000 of 3.625% puttable equity-linked senior notes due October 15, 2011 in a private placement. The proceeds from this offering (net of \$25,000,000 of offering costs, underwriting fees and the cost of the puttable note hedge and warrant transactions described below) were used to repurchase \$24,962,000 of our Class A common stock, to repay the outstanding balance of \$190,000,000 under the bank revolving credit facility (see the Bank Revolving Credit Facility section of the MD&A) and for general working capital purposes. The notes were issued at par and accrued interest is payable semi-annually in arrears on April 15 and October 15 of each year, which began on April 15, 2007. We may not redeem these notes prior to maturity. The notes are unsecured unsubordinated obligations and rank equally with all other unsecured and unsubordinated indebtedness.

Holders may put their notes to us at their option on any day prior to the close of business on the scheduled trading day immediately preceding July 15, 2011 only under the following circumstances: (1) during the five business-day period after any five consecutive trading-day period (the measurement period ) in which the trading price per note for each day of that measurement period was less than 98% of the product of the last reported sale price of our Class A common stock and the put value rate (as defined) on each such day; (2) during any fiscal quarter after the fiscal quarter ending January 31, 2007, if the last reported sale price of our Class A common stock for 20 or more trading days in a period of 30 consecutive trading days ending on the last trading day of the immediately preceding fiscal quarter exceeds 130% of the applicable put value price in effect on the last trading day of the immediately preceding fiscal quarter; or (3) upon the occurrence of specified corporate events as set forth in the applicable indenture. On and after July 15, 2011 until the close of business on the scheduled trading day immediately preceding the maturity date, holders may put their notes to us at any time, regardless of the foregoing circumstances. In addition, upon a designated event, as defined, the holders may require us to purchase for cash all or a portion of their notes for 100% of the principal amount of the notes plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, as set forth in the applicable indenture. If a note is put to us, a holder would receive (i) cash equal to the lesser of the principal amount of the note or the put value and (ii) to the extent the put value exceeds the principal amount of the note, shares of our Class A common

stock, cash, or a combination of Class A common stock and cash, at our option. The initial put value rate was 15.0631 shares of Class A common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of notes (equivalent to a put value price of \$66.39 per share of Class A common stock). The put value rate will be subject to adjustment in some events but will not be adjusted for accrued interest. In addition, if a fundamental change, as defined, occurs prior to the maturity date, we will in some cases increase the put value rate for a holder that elects to put its notes.

We entered into a registration rights agreement that required a shelf registration statement to be filed within 90 days and declared effective under the Securities Act within 180 days after October 10, 2006. We filed a shelf registration statement under the Securities Act for the resale of the notes and the Class A common stock issuable upon our exercise of the net share settlement option on January 4, 2007 and it was immediately effective due to our status as a Well-Known Seasoned Issuer. We will use our best efforts to keep the shelf registration statement effective until the earliest of: (1) the date all of the registrable securities have been sold pursuant to the shelf registration statement; (2) the expiration of the holding period under Rule 144(k) under the Securities Act, or any successor provision; or (3) two years from the date the shelf registration statement is declared effective. We refer to each of the following as an effective failure: (1) the shelf registration statement ceases to be effective, or (2) we suspend the use of the prospectus or the holders are otherwise prevented or restricted by us from effecting sales pursuant to the shelf registration statement, and either continues for more than 30 days, whether or not consecutive, in any 90-day period, or for more than 90 days, whether or not consecutive, during any 12-month period.

50

# **Table of Contents**

Upon the occurrence of an effective failure, we will be required to pay additional amounts, in cash, to holders of the notes. Such additional amounts will accrue on the notes that are registrable securities, from and including the day following the effective failure, to but excluding, the earlier of the time such holders are again able to make resales under the shelf registration statement and the date the shelf registration statement is no longer required to be kept effective. Additional amounts will be paid semiannually in arrears on each April 15 and October 15 and will accrue at a rate per annum equal to 0.25% for the first 90 days after the occurrence of the event and 0.50% after the first 90 days. In no event will additional amounts exceed 0.50% per annum. At July 31, 2008, the maximum potential additional amounts that could be required to be paid by us is approximately \$436,000 for the remaining period in which the shelf registration is required to be effective. At July 31, 2008, in accordance with FASB Statement No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies, we have concluded that it is not probable we will be required to pay additional amounts as a result of an effective failure.

Concurrent with the issuance of the notes, we purchased a call option on our Class A common stock in a private transaction. The purchased call option allows us to receive shares of our Class A common stock and/or cash from counterparties equal to the amounts of Class A common stock and/or cash related to the excess put value that we would pay to the holders of the notes if put to us. These purchased call options will terminate upon the earlier of the maturity dates of the notes or the first day all of the notes are no longer outstanding due to a put or otherwise. The purchased call options, which cost an aggregate \$45,885,000 (\$28,155,000 net of the related tax benefit), were recorded net of tax as a reduction of shareholders—equity through additional paid-in capital during the year ended January 31, 2007. In a separate transaction, we sold warrants to issue shares of our Class A common stock at an exercise price of \$74.35 per share in a private transaction. If the average price of our Class A common stock during a defined period ending on or about the respective settlement dates exceeds the exercise price of the warrants, the warrants will be settled in shares of our Class A common stock. Proceeds received from the issuance of the warrants totaled approximately \$28,923,000 and were recorded as an addition to shareholders—equity through additional paid-in capital during the year ended January 31, 2007.

#### **Other Senior Notes**

Along with our wholly-owned subsidiaries, Forest City Enterprises Capital Trust I ( Trust I ) and Forest City Enterprises Capital Trust II ( Trust II ), we filed an amended shelf registration statement with the SEC on May 24, 2002. This shelf registration statement amended the registration statement previously filed with the SEC in December 1997. This registration statement is intended to provide us flexibility to raise funds from the offering of Class A common stock, preferred stock, depositary shares and a variety of debt securities, warrants and other securities. Trust I and Trust II have not issued securities to date and, if issued, such securities would represent the sole net assets of the trusts.

On May 19, 2003, we issued \$300,000,000 of 7.625% senior notes due June 1, 2015 in a public offering under our shelf registration statement. Accrued interest is payable semi-annually on December 1 and June 1. These senior notes may be redeemed by us at any time on or after June 1, 2008 at a redemption price of 103.813% beginning June 1, 2008 and systematically reduced to 100% in years thereafter.

On January 25, 2005, we issued \$150,000,000 of 6.500% senior notes due February 1, 2017 in a public offering under our shelf registration statement. Accrued interest is payable semi-annually on February 1 and August 1. These senior notes may be redeemed by us at any time on or after February 1, 2010 at a redemption price of 103.250% beginning February 1, 2010 and systematically reduced to 100% in the years thereafter.

On February 10, 2004, we issued \$100,000,000 of 7.375% senior notes due February 1, 2034 in a public offering under our shelf registration statement. Accrued interest is payable quarterly on February 1, May 1, August 1, and November 1. These senior notes may be redeemed by us, in whole or in part, at any time on or after February 10, 2009 at a redemption price equal to 100% of their principal amount plus accrued interest.

Our senior notes are unsecured senior obligations and rank equally with all existing and future unsecured indebtedness; however, they are effectively subordinated to all existing and future secured indebtedness and other liabilities of our subsidiaries to the extent of the value of the collateral securing such other debt, including the bank revolving credit facility. The indentures governing the senior notes contain covenants providing, among other things, limitations on incurring additional debt and payment of dividends.

#### **Subordinated Debt**

In November 2000, we issued \$20,400,000 of redevelopment bonds in a private placement. The bonds bear a fixed interest rate of 8.25% and are due September 15, 2010. We entered into a total rate of return swap ( TRS ) for the benefit of these bonds that expires on September 15, 2008. Under this TRS, we receive a rate of 8.25% and pay the Security Industry and Financial Markets Association ( SIFMA ) rate plus a spread (0.40% through the expiration date). Interest on the bonds is payable semi-annually on March 15 and September 15. This debt is unsecured and subordinated to the senior notes and the bank revolving credit facility.

In May 2003, we purchased \$29,000,000 of subordinate tax revenue bonds that were contemporaneously transferred to a custodian, which in turn issued custodial receipts that represent ownership in the bonds to unrelated third parties. The bonds bear a fixed interest rate of 7.875%. We evaluated the transfer pursuant to the provisions of SFAS No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishment of Liabilities (SFAS No. 140), and determined that the transfer did not qualify for sale accounting treatment principally because we guaranteed the payment of principal and interest in the unlikely event that there is

51

#### **Table of Contents**

insufficient tax revenue to support the bonds when the custodial receipts are subject to mandatory tender on December 1, 2013. As such, we are the primary beneficiary of this VIE and the book value of the bonds was recorded as a collateralized borrowing reported as senior and subordinated debt and as held-to-maturity securities reported as other assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

## **Financing Arrangements**

#### **Collateralized Borrowings**

On July 13, 2005, the Park Creek Metropolitan District (the District ) issued \$65,000,000 Senior Subordinate Limited Property Tax Supported Revenue Refunding and Improvement Bonds, Series 2005 (the Senior Subordinate Bonds) and Stapleton Land II, LLC, a consolidated subsidiary, entered into an agreement whereby it will receive a 1% fee on the Senior Subordinate Bonds in exchange for providing certain credit enhancement. In connection with this transaction, Stapleton Land II, LLC provided a combination of cash and notes receivable aggregating approximately \$10,000,000 as collateral. During the year ended January 31, 2008, the cash component was replaced as collateral by certain notes receivable owned by us. We recorded \$164,000 and \$324,000 of interest income related to this arrangement in the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended July 31, 2008, respectively, and \$163,000 and \$394,000 for the three and six months ended July 31, 2007, respectively. The counterparty to the credit enhancement arrangement also owns the underlying Senior Subordinate Bonds and can exercise its rights requiring payment from Stapleton Land II, LLC upon an event of default of the Senior Subordinate Bonds, a refunding of the Senior Subordinate Bonds, or failure of Stapleton Land II, LLC to post required collateral. The agreement is scheduled to expire on July 1, 2009. The maximum potential amount of payments Stapleton Land II, LLC could be required to make under the agreement is the par value of the Senior Subordinate Bonds. We do not have any rights or obligations to acquire the Senior Subordinate Bonds under this agreement. At July 31, 2008, the fair value of this agreement, which is deemed to be a derivative financial instrument, was immaterial. Subsequent changes in fair value, if any, will be marked to market through earnings.

On August 16, 2005, the District issued \$58,000,000 Junior Subordinated Limited Property Tax Supported Revenue Bonds, Series 2005 (the Junior Subordinated Bonds ). The Junior Subordinated Bonds initially were to pay a variable rate of interest. Upon issuance, the Junior Subordinated Bonds were purchased by a third party and the sales proceeds were deposited with a trustee pursuant to the terms of the Series 2005 Investment Agreement. Under the terms of the Series 2005 Investment Agreement, after March 1, 2006, the District may elect to withdraw funds from the trustee for reimbursement for certain qualified infrastructure and interest expenditures ( Qualifying Expenditures ). In the event that funds from the trustee are used for Qualifying Expenditures, a corresponding amount of the Junior Subordinated Bonds converts to an 8.5% fixed rate and matures in December 2037 ( Converted Bonds ). On August 16, 2005, Stapleton Land, LLC, a consolidated subsidiary, entered into a Forward Delivery Placement Agreement (FDA) whereby Stapleton Land, LLC was entitled and obligated to purchase the converted fixed rate Junior Subordinated Bonds through June 2, 2008. The District withdrew \$58,000,000 of funds from the trustee for reimbursement of certain Qualifying Expenditures by June 2, 2008. Therefore, a corresponding amount of the Junior Subordinated Bonds became Converted Bonds and were acquired by Stapleton Land, LLC under the terms of the FDA. Stapleton Land, LLC immediately transferred the Converted Bonds to investment banks and we simultaneously entered into a TRS with a notional amount of \$58,000,000. We receive a fixed rate of 8.5% and pay SIFMA plus a spread on the TRS related to the Converted Bonds. We determined that the sale of the Converted Bonds to the investment banks and simultaneous execution of the TRS did not surrender control; therefore, the Converted Bonds have been recorded as a secured borrowing in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. We have classified the Converted Bonds as available for sale, with unrealized holding gains and losses recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) (OCI). The fair value of the Converted Bonds was \$58,000,000 and \$44,000,000, respectively, at July 31, 2008 and January 31, 2008. We recorded net interest income of \$898,000 and \$1,736,000, related to the TRS in the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended July 31, 2008, respectively, and \$346,000 and \$560,000 for the three and six months ended July 31, 2007, respectively.

# **Other Structured Financing Arrangements**

In May 2004, a third party purchased \$200,000,000 in tax increment revenue bonds issued by the Denver Urban Renewal Authority ( DURA ), with a fixed-rate coupon of 8.0% and maturity date of October 1, 2024, which were used

to fund the infrastructure costs associated with phase II of the Stapleton development project. The DURA bonds were transferred to a trust that issued floating rate trust certificates. Stapleton Land, LLC entered into an agreement with the third party to purchase the DURA bonds from the trust if they are not repurchased or remarketed between June 1, 2007 and June 1, 2009. Stapleton Land, LLC will receive a fee upon removal of the DURA bonds from the trust equal to the 8.0% coupon rate, less the SIFMA index, less all fees and expenses due to the third party (collectively, the Fee ). On July 1, 2008, \$100,000,000 of the DURA bonds were remarketed. On July 15, 2008, Stapleton Land, LLC was paid \$13,838,000 of the fee, which represented the fee earned on the remarketed DURA bonds. As of July 31, 2008, \$100,000,000 of the DURA bonds have not been repurchased or remarketed.

We have concluded that the trust described above is considered a qualified special purpose entity pursuant to the provisions of SFAS No. 140 and thus is excluded from the scope of FIN No. 46(R). As a result, the DURA bonds and the activity of the trust have not been recorded in the consolidated financial statements. The DURA purchase obligation and fee have been accounted for as a derivative with changes in fair value recorded through earnings. The fair value of \$13,816,000 and \$23,108,000 at July 31, 2008 and January 31, 2008, respectively, is recorded in other assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. We recorded interest income of

52

#### **Table of Contents**

\$3,376,000 and \$4,546,000 related to the change in fair value of the DURA purchase obligation and fee in the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended July 31, 2008, respectively, and \$2,253,000 and \$4,259,000 for the three and six months ended July 31, 2007, respectively.

Stapleton Land, LLC has committed to fund \$24,500,000 to the District to be used for certain infrastructure projects and has funded \$14,826,000 of this commitment as of July 31, 2008.

#### **Mortgage Financings**

We use taxable and tax-exempt nonrecourse debt for our real estate projects. For those operating projects financed with taxable debt, we generally seek long-term, fixed-rate financing for those real estate project loans which mature within the next 12 months, as well as those real estate projects which are projected to open and achieve stabilized operations during that same time frame. For real estate projects financed with tax-exempt debt, we generally utilize variable-rate debt. For construction loans, we generally pursue variable-rate financings with maturities ranging from two to five years.

We are actively working to refinance and/or extend the maturities of the nonrecourse debt that is coming due in 2008 and 2009. During the six months ended July 31, 2008, we completed the following financings:

<b>Purpose of Financing</b>	Amount
	(in
	thousands)
Refinancings	\$ 561,960
Development projects (1)	949,125
Loan extensions/additional	
fundings	254,568
	\$ 1,765,653(2)

- (1) Represents the full amount available to be drawn on the loans.
- loans.

  (2) Of the total financings shown above of \$1,765,653, \$711,774 was reflected as maturing loans in either 2008 or 2009 in the listing of scheduled maturities as of January 31, 2008.

The table listed above is the result of our success in not only refinancing scheduled maturities, but also includes early financings of future loan maturities on existing properties and additional proceeds related to our development and acquisition pipeline. Of the total 2008 and 2009 maturities reported as of July 31, 2008, over 85% of the \$179,492,000

of loans that mature in 2008 and 50% of the \$734,169,000 of loans that mature in 2009 has been addressed to date through closed loans, scheduled amortization, committed refinancings and available extensions (some of which are based upon project specific performance and have been extended beyond the current fiscal year).

# **Interest Rate Exposure**

At July 31, 2008, the composition of nonrecourse mortgage debt was as follows:

	Operating	Development	Land		Total Weighted Average
	Properties	Projects	Projects	Total	Rate
		(dolla	ars in thousands)		
Fixed Variable <sup>(1)</sup>	\$4,148,486	\$ 2,331	\$ 2,214	\$4,153,031	6.05%
Taxable	1,238,326	454,518	36,791	1,729,635	5.48%
Tax-Exempt	601,257	282,957	58,000	942,214	3.15%
	\$ 5,988,069	\$ 739,806	(2) \$ 97,005	\$ 6,824,880	5.50%
Total commitment from lenders		\$ 1,865,065	\$ 124,361		

(1) Taxable variable-rate debt of \$1,729,635 and tax-exempt variable-rate debt of \$942,214 as of July 31, 2008 is protected with swaps and caps described in the

tables below.

(2) \$178,579 of proceeds from outstanding debt described above is recorded as restricted cash in our Consolidated Balance Sheets. For bonds issued in conjunction with development, the local

housing authority issued the full amount of the bonds at the beginning of construction and, until costs are incurred, bond funds must remain in escrow held by a financial institution affiliated with the bond issuance.

53

#### **Table of Contents**

To mitigate short-term variable interest rate risk, we have purchased interest rate hedges for our mortgage debt portfolio as follows:

Taxable (Priced off of LIBOR Index)

	Caps	ł	Swaps <sup>(</sup>	(1)(3)
		Average		Average
	Notional	Base	Notional	Base
Period Covered	Amount	Rate	Amount	Rate
	(dollars in thousands)			
08/01/08-02/01/09 (2)	\$ 1,308,100	5.15%	\$ 974,063	4.96%
02/01/09-02/01/10	1,320,150	5.01	1,073,432	4.95
02/01/10-02/01/11	126,116	5.13	732,081	5.37
02/01/11-02/01/12	-	-	730,656	5.37
02/01/12-02/01/13	476,100	5.50	729,110	5.37
02/01/13-09/01/14	476,100	5.50	685,000	5.43
02/01/14-09/01/17	-	-	640,000	5.50

- (1) Excludes the forward swaps discussed below.
- (2) These LIBOR-based hedges as of August 1, 2008 protect the debt currently outstanding as well as the anticipated increase in debt outstanding for projects under development or anticipated to be under development during the year ending January 31, 2009.
- (3) Includes \$640,000 for New York Times at 5.50% which expires in September 2017.

**Tax-Exempt** (Priced off of SIFMA Index)

Cap	S
	Average
Notional	Base
Amount	Rate
(dollars in th	housands)
222 025	5 000%

	- 10 0-0-0-	
Period Covered	Amount	Rate
	(dollars in the	ousands)
08/01/08-02/01/09	\$ 232,025	5.98%
02/01/09-02/01/10	203,625	5.97
02/01/10-02/01/11	114,315	5.89
02/01/11-02/01/12	12,715	6.00
02/01/12-02/01/13	12,715	6.00
The toy exempt cons expressed she	va mainly represent protection	that was nur

The tax-exempt caps expressed above mainly represent protection that was purchased in conjunction with lender hedging requirements that require the borrower to protect against significant fluctuations in interest rates. Outside of such requirements, we generally do not hedge tax-exempt debt because, since 1990, the base rate of this type of financing has averaged 3.06% and has never exceeded 7.90%.

54

#### **Table of Contents**

The interest rate hedges summarized in the previous tables were purchased to mitigate variable interest rate risk. We entered into various forward swaps to protect ourselves against fluctuations in the swap rate at terms ranging between five to ten years associated with forecasted fixed rate borrowings. At the time we secure and lock an interest rate on an anticipated financing, it is our intention to simultaneously terminate the forward swap associated with that financing. The table below lists the forward swaps outstanding as of July 31, 2008 (dollars in thousands):

#### Forward Swaps

	Full Consoli Propert	dated	Prope Account under the Meth of Accoun	ed for Equity od
Expirations for Years Ending January 31,	Notional Amount	Rate	Notional Amount	Rate
2009	\$ -	-	\$ -	-
2010	\$91,625	5.72%	\$120,000	5.93%
Thereafter	\$ -	-	\$ -	-

(1) As these

forward swaps

have been

designated and

qualify as cash

flow hedges

under SFAS

No. 133,

Accounting for

Derivative

Instruments and

Hedging

Activities

( SFAS

No. 133 ), our

portion of

unrealized gains

and losses on

the effective

portion of the

hedges has been

recorded in

accumulated

OCI. To the

extent effective,

the receipt or

payment of cash

at termination

on these

forward swaps will be recorded in accumulated OCI and will be amortized as either an increase or decrease to interest expense in the same periods as the interest payments on the financing.

(2) This forward swap does not qualify as a cash flow hedge under the provisions of SFAS No. 133 because it relates to an unconsolidated property. Therefore, the change in the fair value of this swap is marked to market through earnings on a quarterly basis. We recorded \$2,121 and \$2,133 as a reduction of interest expense related to this forward swap in our Consolidated Statements of

> Operations for the three and six months ended July 31, 2008, respectively, and \$2,897 and \$1,450 for the

three and six months ended July 31, 2007, respectively.

Including the effect of the protection provided by the interest rate swaps, caps and long-term contracts in place as of July 31, 2008, a 100 basis point increase in taxable interest rates (including properties accounted for under the equity method and corporate debt and the effect of interest rate floors) would increase the annual pre-tax interest cost for the next 12 months of our variable-rate debt by approximately \$10,818,000 at July 31, 2008. Although tax-exempt rates generally move in an amount that is smaller than corresponding changes in taxable interest rates, a 100 basis point increase in tax-exempt rates (including properties accounted for under the equity method) would increase the annual pre-tax interest cost for the next 12 months of our tax-exempt variable-rate debt by approximately \$10,714,000 at July 31, 2008. The analysis above includes a portion of our taxable and tax-exempt variable-rate debt related to construction loans for which the interest expense is capitalized.

From time to time, we and/or certain of our joint ventures (the Joint Ventures ) enter into TRS on various tax-exempt fixed-rate borrowings generally held by us and/or within the Joint Ventures. The TRS convert these borrowings from a fixed rate to a variable rate and provide an efficient financing product to lower the cost of capital. In exchange for a fixed rate, the TRS require that we and/or the Joint Ventures pay a variable rate, generally based on the SIFMA rate. Additionally, we and/or the Joint Ventures have guaranteed the fair value of the underlying borrowing. Any fluctuation in the value of the guarantee would be offset by the fluctuation in the value of the underlying borrowing, resulting in no financial impact to us or the Joint Ventures. At July 31, 2008, the aggregate notional amount of TRS in which we and the Joint Ventures have an interest is approximately \$479,125,000. We believe the economic return and related risk associated with a TRS is generally comparable to that of nonrecourse variable-rate mortgage debt. While the bonds that have TRS have bond maturities that are generally greater than 20 years in duration, our TRS structures are generally no more than 5 years in duration.

55

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **Cash Flows**

# **Operating Activities**

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$109,835,000 and \$48,938,000 for the six months ended July 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The net increase in cash provided by operating activities in the six months ended July 31, 2008 compared to the six months ended July 31, 2007 of \$60,897,000 is the result of the following (in thousands):

Increase in rents and other revenues received	\$ 66,808
Decrease in interest and other income received	(10,451)
Increase in cash distributions from unconsolidated	
entities	17,615
Increase in proceeds from land sales - Land	
Development Group	3,835
Increase in proceeds from land sales - Commercial	
Group	7,552
Increase in land development expenditures	(8,602)
Decrease in operating expenditures	3,140
Increase in interest paid	(19,000)

Net increase in cash provided by operating activities \$ 60,897

(continued on next page)

56

## **Table of Contents**

# **Investing Activities**

Net cash used in investing activities was \$729,754,000 and \$564,541,000 for the six months ended July 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The net cash used in investing activities consisted of the following:

	Six Months En 2008 (in thou	2007
Capital expenditures, including real estate acquisitions*	\$ (550,307)	\$ (729,643)
Payment of lease procurement costs and other assets, net	(32,031)	(16,628)
(Increase) decrease in restricted cash used for capital expenditures: <i>Beekman</i> , a mixed-use residential project under construction in Manhattan, New York <i>80 DeKalb Avenue</i> , a residential project under construction in Brooklyn, New York <i>Sterling Glen of Rye Brook</i> , a supported-living community in Rye Brook, New York <i>One MetroTech Center</i> , an office building in Brooklyn, New York <i>Promenade Bolingbrook</i> , a regional mall in Bolingbrook, Illinois <i>Victoria Gardens</i> , a retail center in Rancho Cucamonga, California <i>Forest Trace</i> , a supported-living community in Lauderhill, Florida <i>Chase Financial Tower</i> , an office building located in Cleveland, Ohio <i>New York Times</i> , an office building in Manhattan, New York Sale proceeds released from (placed in) escrow for acquisitions: <i>Mount Vernon Square</i> , an apartment complex in Alexandria, Virginia <i>Battery Park City</i> , a specialty retail center in Manhattan, New York Seven <i>Sterling Glen</i> supported-living communities Other	(94,435) (44,202) (12,500) (8,791) (5,040) - (3,978) 10,789 - (6,349) (3,347) \$ (167,853)	51,943 25,125 (111,089) (72,479)
Proceeds from disposition of rental properties and other investments:  Seven Sterling Glen supported-living communities  Landings of Brentwood, an apartment complex in Nashville, Tennessee  Hilton Times Square, a hotel in Manhattan, New York  Ownership interest in a parking management company and other  Subtotal	\$ 11,159 - - 4,150 \$ 15,309	\$ 188,499 67,756 34,717 579 \$ 291,551
*Capital expenditures were financed as follows: New nonrecourse mortgage indebtedness Proceeds from disposition of rental properties and other investments including release of investing escrows (see above)	\$ 304,787 8,960	\$ 341,944 257,530

Cash provided by operating activities Portion of cash on hand at the beginning of the year		109,835 126,725	48,938 81,231
Total Capital Expenditures		\$ 550,307	\$ 729,643
	57		

## **Table of Contents**

# Investing Activities (continued)

	2008	anded July 31, 2007 usands)
Change in investments in and advances to affiliates - (investment in) or return of investment:  Dispositions:		
One International Place, an unconsolidated office building in Cleveland, Ohio Land Development:	\$ 1,589	\$ -
Mesa del Sol, an unconsolidated project in Albuquerque, New Mexico San Antonio I & II, an unconsolidated project in San Antonio, Texas Residential Projects:	1,255 3,810	(6,498)
1100 Wilshire, an unconsolidated condominium development project in Los Angeles, California	2,448	-
Mercury, an unconsolidated condominium development project in Los Angeles, California	, ·	(3,069)
Uptown Apartments, an unconsolidated development project in Oakland, California	(1,565)	2,007
Air Force Academy, an unconsolidated military housing complex in Colorado Springs, Colorado	(1,505)	(1,900)
Fort Lincoln III & IV, primarily refinancing proceeds from an unconsolidated apartment complex in Washington, D.C.	_	5,152
New York City Projects:  East River Plaza, an unconsolidated retail development project in Manhattan,		3,132
New York  Sports arena complex and related development projects in Brooklyn, New York  currently in	(16,176)	(1,048)
pre-development; excess funds to be reinvested during the future construction phase	12,747	1,123
The Nets, a National Basketball Association franchise Commercial Projects:	(19,782)	(25,083)
Unconsolidated development activity in Las Vegas, Nevada  Liberty Center, primarily refinancing proceeds from an unconsolidated office building in Pittsburgh,	(5,148)	-
Pennsylvania 350 Massachusetts Avenue, primarily refinancing proceeds from an	9,961	-
unconsolidated office building in Cambridge, Massachusetts	24,427	_
818 Mission Street, acquisition of an unconsolidated office building in San Francisco, California	(7,782)	-
Bulletin Building, primarily refinancing proceeds from an unconsolidated office building in	. ,	
San Francisco, California San Francisco Centre-Emporium, primarily refinancing proceeds from an	-	8,648
unconsolidated regional mall in San Francisco, California	-	15,804 (2,611)

Village at Gulfstream, an unconsolidated development project in Hallendale,			
Florida			
Waterfront, an unconsolidated development project in Washington, D.C.		<b>(4,707)</b>	(25,200)
Wiregrass Ranch, an unconsolidated retail development project in Tampa,			
Florida		-	(3,053)
Other net (advances) returns of investment of equity method investments and			, ,
other advances to affiliates		4,051	(1,614)
		-,	(-,)
Subtotal	\$	5,128	\$ (37,342)
	Ψ	2,120	Ψ (37,312)
Net cash used in investing activities	\$ C	729,754)	\$ (564,541)
rect cash used in investing activities	Ψ(	127,134)	Ψ (304,341)
50			
58			

## **Table of Contents**

# Financing Activities

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$590,834,000 and \$499,651,000 for the six months ended July 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Net cash provided by financing activities consisted of the following:

	Six Months	•
	2008	2007
	(in tho	usands)
Borrowings on bank revolving credit facility Payments on bank revolving credit facility Proceeds from nonrecourse mortgage debt	\$ 268,000 (163,500) 936,213	\$ 409,000 (174,000) 573,734
Principal payments on nonrecourse mortgage debt	(492,104)	(284,154)
Net increase in notes payable	36,030	15,169
Decrease (increase) in restricted cash:		
Haverhill, a residential project under construction in Haverhill, Massachusetts	9,484	-
Lucky Strike, an apartment complex in Richmond, Virginia	7,665	(5,295)
Metro 417, an apartment community in Los Angeles, California	2,571	-
101 San Fernando, an apartment community in San Jose, California	2,509	-
Promenade Bolingbrook, a regional mall in Bolingbrook, Illinois	2,300	-
100 Landsdowne, an apartment complex in Cambridge, Massachusetts	2,151	(335)
Sterling Glen of Great Neck, a supported-living community in Great Neck, New York	1 520	(210)
	1,520	(210)
Legacy Lakes, a land development project in Aberdeen, North Carolina	(1,000)	
Easthaven at the Village, an apartment community in Beachwood, Ohio	(1,200)	-
Promenade in Temecula, a regional mall in Temecula, California	(1,525)	2 207
Sky55, an apartment complex in Chicago, Illinois	(1,652)	3,207
Stapleton, a mixed-use development project in Denver, Colorado Sterling Glen of Roslyn, a supported-living development project in Roslyn, New	-	6,000
York, sold in July 2007	-	2,781
Sterling Glen of Rye Brook, a supported-living community in Rye Brook, New York		(4,000)
	(224)	
Other	(224)	541
Subtotal	22,599	2,689
Increase (decrease) in book overdrafts, representing checks issued but not yet paid	1,615	(6,277)
Payment of deferred financing costs	(29,157)	(0,277) $(7,849)$
Purchase of other treasury stock	(642)	(3,138)
·	732	5,028
Exercise of stock options  Distribution of accumulated equity to minority partners	(3,710)	
* * *		(13,243)
Dividends paid to shareholders	(16,470)	(14,341)
Increase (decrease) in minority interest	31,228	(2,967)
Net cash provided by financing activities	\$ 590,834	\$ 499,651

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

We are involved in various claims and lawsuits incidental to our business, and management and legal counsel believe that these claims and lawsuits will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial statements.

#### **DIVIDENDS**

We pay quarterly cash dividends on shares of Class A and Class B common stock. The first 2008 quarterly dividend of \$.08 per share on both Class A and Class B common stock was declared on March 26, 2008 and was paid on June 17, 2008 to shareholders of record at the close of business on June 2, 2008. The second 2008 quarterly cash dividend of \$.08 per share on both Class A and Class B common stock was declared on June 19, 2008 and will be paid on September 15, 2008 to shareholders of record at the close of business on August 29, 2008, the last business day prior to the Board of Director s resolution date of September 1, 2008, which was a legal holiday. The third 2008 quarterly dividend will be reviewed at the quarterly Board Meeting on September 24, 2008.

#### **VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES**

As of July 31, 2008, we determined that we are the primary beneficiary under FIN No. 46(R) of 34 VIEs representing 21 properties (19 VIEs representing 9 properties in Residential Group, 13 VIEs representing 10 properties in Commercial Group and 2 VIEs/properties in Land Development Group). As of July 31, 2008, we held variable interests in 43 VIEs for which we are not the primary beneficiary. As of July 31, 2008, the maximum exposure to loss as a result of our involvement with these unconsolidated VIEs is limited to our recorded investments in those VIEs totaling approximately \$97,000,000. Our VIEs consist of joint ventures that are engaged, directly or indirectly, in the ownership, development and management of office buildings, regional malls, specialty retail centers, apartment communities, military housing, supported-living communities, land development and the Nets.

In addition to the VIEs described above, we have also determined that we are the primary beneficiary of a VIE that holds collateralized borrowings of \$29,000,000 (See Senior and Subordinated Debt section of MD&A) as of July 31, 2008.

#### NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In June 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) No. 03-6-1, Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities (FSP EITF 03-6-1). This new standard requires that nonvested share-based payment awards that contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents be treated as participating securities in the computation of earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method. FSP EITF 03-6-1 will be applied retrospectively to all periods presented for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. We are currently assessing the impact that FSP EITF 03-6-1 will have on our consolidated financial statements and results of operations for the share-based payment programs currently in place.

In June 2008, the FASB ratified EITF Issue 07-5, Determining Whether an Instrument (or Embedded Feature) Is Indexed to an Entity s Own Stock (EITF 07-5). Paragraph 11(a) of SFAS No. 133 specifies that a contract that would otherwise meet the definition of a derivative but is both (a) indexed to our own stock and (b) classified in stockholders equity in the statement of financial position would not be considered a derivative financial instrument. EITF 07-5 provides a new two-step model to be applied in determining whether a financial instrument or an embedded feature is indexed to an issuer s own stock and thus able to qualify for the SFAS No. 133 paragraph 11(a) scope exception. EITF 07-5 will be effective for the first annual reporting period beginning after December 15, 2008, and early adoption is prohibited. We are currently assessing the impact EITF 07-5 will have on our consolidated financial statements. In May 2008, the FASB issued FSP No. APB 14-1, Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement) (FSP APB 14-1), which requires the liability and equity components of convertible debt instruments that may be settled in cash upon conversion (including partial cash settlement) to be separately accounted for in a manner that reflects the issuer s nonconvertible debt borrowing rate. This statement will change the accounting treatment for our 3.625% Puttable Equity-Linked Senior Notes due October 2011, which were issued in October 2006. FSP APB 14-1 requires the initial debt proceeds from the sale of a company s convertible debt instrument to be allocated between a liability component and an equity component. The resulting debt discount will be amortized over the debt instrument s expected life as additional non-cash interest expense. Due to the increase in interest expense, we expect to record additional capitalized interest based on the

qualifying expenditures on our development projects. We expect the additional amount of interest capitalized will approximate the amount of additional interest expense. FSP APB 14-1 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and for interim periods within those fiscal years, with retrospective application required. We continue to evaluate the remaining component of FSP APB 14-1 as well as expected qualifying development expenditures in assessing the overall impact on our consolidated financial statements.

60

#### **Table of Contents**

In May 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 162, The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (SFAS No. 162), which is intended to improve financial reporting by identifying a consistent framework or hierarchy for selecting accounting principles to be used in preparing financial statements that are presented in conformity with GAAP for nongovernmental entities. SFAS No. 162 is effective 60 days following the SEC s approval of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board amendment to AU Section 411, The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles. We do not expect adoption of SFAS No. 162 to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In April 2008, the FASB issued FSP No. FAS 142-3, Determination of the Useful Life of Intangible Assets. This FSP amends the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. This FSP allows us to use our historical experience in renewing or extending the useful life of intangible assets. This FSP is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and interim periods within those fiscal years and shall be applied prospectively to intangible assets acquired after the effective date. We do not expect the application of this FSP to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161 Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities (SFAS No. 161). SFAS No. 161 amends and expands the disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 133 with the intent to provide users of financial statements with an enhanced understanding of how derivative instruments and hedging activities affect an entity s financial position, financial performance and cash flows. These disclosure requirements include a tabular summary of the fair values of derivative instruments and their gains and losses, disclosure of derivative features that are credit risk related to provide more information regarding an entity s liquidity and cross-referencing within footnotes to make it easier for financial statement users to locate important information about derivative instruments. SFAS No. 161 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008 with early application encouraged. We are currently assessing the impact SFAS No. 161 will have on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141 (revised 2007), Business Combinations (SFAS No. 141(R)). SFAS No. 141(R) provides greater consistency in the accounting and financial reporting of business combinations. SFAS No. 141(R) requires the acquiring entity in a business combination to recognize all assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the transaction, establishes the acquisition-date fair value as the measurement objective for all assets acquired and liabilities assumed and requires the acquirer to disclose the nature and financial effect of the business combination. SFAS No. 141(R) is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. We are currently assessing the impact SFAS No. 141(R) will have on our consolidated financial statements. In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, Non-controlling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements an Amendment of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51 ( SFAS No. 160 ). A non-controlling interest, sometimes called minority interest, is the portion of equity in a subsidiary not attributable, directly or indirectly, to a parent. The objective of this statement is to improve the relevance, comparability, and transparency of the financial information that a reporting entity provides in its consolidated financial statements by establishing accounting and reporting standards that require: (i) the ownership interest in subsidiaries held by parties other than the parent be clearly identified, labeled, and presented in the consolidated statement of financial position within equity, but separate from the parent s equity; (ii) the amount of consolidated net income attributable to the parent and to the non-controlling interest be clearly identified and presented on the face of the consolidated statement of operations; (iii) changes in a parent s ownership interest while the parent retains its controlling financial interest in its subsidiary be accounted for consistently and requires that they be accounted for similarly, as equity transactions; (iv) when a subsidiary is deconsolidated, any retained non-controlling equity investment in the former subsidiary be initially measured at fair value, the gain or loss on the deconsolidation of the subsidiary is measured using fair value of any non-controlling equity investments rather than the carrying amount of that retained investment; and (v) entities provide sufficient disclosures that clearly identify and distinguish between the interest of the parent and the interest of the non-controlling owners. This statement is effective for fiscal years, and interim reporting periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2008. Earlier adoption is prohibited. We are currently assessing the impact SFAS No. 160 will have on our consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (SFAS No. 157). SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and expands disclosures about the use of fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 does not require new fair value measurements, but applies to accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. In February 2008, the FASB issued two Staff Positions on SFAS No. 157: (1) FSP No. FAS 157-1, Application of FASB Statement No. 157 to FASB Statement No. 13 and Other Accounting Pronouncements That Address Fair Value Measurements for Purposes of Lease Classification or Measurement under Statement 13 (FSP FAS 157-1) and (2) FSP No. FAS 157-2, Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157 (FSP 157-2). FSP FAS 157-1 excludes SFAS No. 13, Accounting for Leases (SFAS No. 13), and other accounting pronouncements that address fair value measurements for purposes of lease classification or measurement under SFAS No. 13 from SFAS No. 157 s scope. FSP FAS 157-2 delays the effective date of SFAS No. 157 for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except for items that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually), to fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008 and interim periods within those fiscal years. We partially adopted this statement for our financial assets and liabilities on February 1, 2008.

61

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **CLASS A COMMON UNITS**

Master Contribution Agreement

We and certain of our affiliates (the FCE Entities ) entered into a Master Contribution and Sale Agreement (the Master Contribution Agreement ) with Bruce C. Ratner (Mr. Ratner), an Executive Vice President and Director of ours, and certain entities and individuals affiliated with Mr. Ratner (the BCR Entities ) on August 14, 2006. Pursuant to the Master Contribution Agreement, on November 8, 2006, we issued Class A Common Units ( Units ) in a newly-formed jointly-owned limited liability company to the BCR Entities in exchange for their interests in a total of 30 retail, office and residential operating properties, and certain service companies, all in the greater New York City metropolitan area. We accounted for the issuance of the Units in exchange for the minority interests under the purchase method of accounting. After a one-year lock-up period, which expired on November 7, 2007, the Units may be exchanged, at our sole discretion, for one of the following forms of consideration: (i) an equal number of shares of our Class A common stock or, (ii) cash based on a formula using the average closing price of the Class A common stock at the time of conversion or, (iii) a combination of cash and shares of our Class A common stock. We have no rights to redeem or repurchase the Units. Also pursuant to the Master Contribution Agreement, we and Mr. Ratner agreed that certain projects under development would remain owned jointly until such time as each individual project was completed and achieved stabilization. As each of the development projects achieves stabilization, it is valued and we, in our discretion, choose among various options for the ownership of the project following stabilization consistent with the Master Contribution Agreement. The development projects were not covered by the Tax Protection Agreement that the parties entered into in connection with the Master Contribution Agreement. The Tax Protection Agreement indemnified the BCR Entities included in the initial closing against taxes payable by reason of any subsequent sale of certain operating properties.

New York Times and Twelve MetroTech Center

Two of the development projects, *New York Times*, an office building located in Manhattan, New York and *Twelve MetroTech Center*, an office building located in Brooklyn, New York, recently achieved stabilization. During the three months ended July 31, 2008, we elected to cause certain of our affiliates to acquire for cash the BCR Entities interests in the two projects pursuant to agreements dated May 6, 2008 and May 12, 2008, respectively. In accordance with the agreements, the applicable BCR Entities assigned and transferred their interests in the two projects to affiliates of ours and will receive approximately \$121,000,000 over a 15 year period. An affiliate of ours has also agreed to indemnify the applicable BCR Entity against taxes payable by it by reason of a subsequent sale or other disposition of one of the projects. The tax indemnity provided by the affiliate of ours expires on December 31, 2014 and is similar to the indemnities provided for the operating properties under the Tax Protection Agreement. As was provided in the Master Contribution Agreement, the agreement also includes customary representations and warranties from the applicable BCR Entities regarding the operation of the projects. The applicable BCR Entities will indemnify the applicable FCE Entities for breaches of the representations and warranties subject to certain time limits and limitations on liability. Consistent with the Master Contribution Agreement, the applicable FCE Entities agreed to indemnify the applicable BCR Entities for losses resulting from claims made after the transfer of Mr. Ratner s interests

The consideration exchanged by us for the BCR Entities interest in the two development projects has been accounted for under the purchase method of accounting. Pursuant to the agreements, the BCR Entities received an initial cash amount of \$49,249,000. We calculated the net present value of the remaining payments over the 15 year period using a discounted interest rate. This discounted amount of \$56,495,000 was recorded in accounts payable and accrued expenses on our consolidated balance sheet and will be accreted up to the total liability through interest expense over the next 15 years using the effective interest method.

The following table summarizes the allocation of the consideration exchanged for the BCR Entities interests in the two projects. The amounts reported below are based on our preliminary allocation and certain estimates. As a result, the allocation is preliminary and subject to change. We anticipate finalizing the allocation during fiscal year 2008. Amounts presented are in thousands.

Completed rental properties (1)

\$102,378

Notes and accounts receivable, net (2)	132
Other assets (3)	12,513
Accounts payable and accrued expenses (4)	(9,279)

Total purchase price allocated \$105,744

## Represents allocation for:

(1) Land, building and tenant improvements associated with the underlying real estate

- (2) Above market leases
- (3) In-place leases, tenant relationships and leasing commissions
- (4) Below market leases

62

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Exchange of Units

In July 2008, the BCR Entities exchanged 247,477 of the Units. We issued 128,477 shares of our Class A common stock for 128,477 of the Units and paid cash of \$3,501,000 for 119,000 Units. We accounted for the exchange as a purchase of minority interest, resulting in a reduction of minority interest of \$12,624,000. The following table summarizes the components of the exchange transaction (in thousands):

Reduction of completed rental properties	\$ 5,345
Reduction of cash and equivalents	3,501
Increase in Class A common stock - par value	42
Increase in additional paid-in capital	3,736

Total reduction of minority interest \$12,624

#### INFORMATION RELATED TO FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Form 10-Q, together with other statements and information publicly disseminated by us, contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Such statements reflect management s current views with respect to financial results related to future events and are based on assumptions and expectations that may not be realized and are inherently subject to risks and uncertainties, many of which cannot be predicted with accuracy and some of which might not even be anticipated. Future events and actual results, financial or otherwise, may differ from the results discussed in the forward-looking statements. Risk factors discussed in Item 1A of our Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 2008 and other factors that might cause differences, some of which could be material, include, but are not limited to, general real estate development and investment risks including lack of satisfactory financing, construction and lease-up delays and cost overruns, dependence on rental income from real property, reliance on major tenants, the effect of economic and market conditions on a nationwide basis as well as in our primary markets, vacancies in our properties, downturns in the housing market, competition, illiquidity of real estate investments, bankruptcy or defaults of tenants, department store consolidations, international activities, the impact of terrorist acts, risks associated with an investment in and operation of a professional sports team, conflicts of interests, our substantial debt leverage and the ability to obtain and service debt, the impact of restrictions imposed by our credit facility, the level and volatility of interest rates, the continued availability of tax-exempt government financing, effects of uninsured or underinsured losses, environmental liabilities, risks associated with developing and managing properties in partnership with others, the ability to maintain effective internal controls, compliance with governmental regulations, changes in market conditions, litigation risks, as well as other risks listed from time to time in our reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. We have no obligation to revise or update any forward-looking statements, other than imposed by law, as a result of future events or new information. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on such forward-looking statements.

63

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Recent difficulties in the real estate and mortgage markets have negatively impacted the lending and capital markets. Our market risk includes the inability to obtain construction loans, refinance existing construction loans into long-term fixed rate nonrecourse financing, refinance existing nonrecourse financing at maturity, obtain renewals or replacement of credit enhancement devices, such as letters of credit, or otherwise obtain funds by selling real estate assets or by raising equity. We also have interest rate exposure on our current variable rate debt portfolio. During the construction period, we have historically used variable rate debt to finance developmental projects. At July 31, 2008, our outstanding variable-rate debt portfolio consisted of \$1,873,135,000 of taxable debt (which includes \$143,500,000 related to the bank revolving facility) and \$942,214,000 of tax-exempt variable-rate debt. Upon opening and achieving stabilized operation, we have historically procured long-term fixed-rate financing for our rental properties. Additionally, we are exposed to interest rate risk upon maturity of our long term fixed rate financings. To mitigate short-term variable interest rate risk, we have purchased interest rate hedges for our variable-rate debt as follows:

(1)(3)

**Taxable** (Priced off of LIBOR Index)

	Caps	Swaps <sup>(1)</sup>	.)(3)			
			Average			
	Notional	Notional Base				
Period Covered	Amount	Amount Rate An				
08/01/08-02/01/09 (2)	\$ 1,308,100	5.15%	\$ 974,063	4.96%		
02/01/09-02/01/10	1,320,150	5.01	1,073,432	4.95		
02/01/10-02/01/11	126,116	5.13	732,081	5.37		
02/01/11-02/01/12	-	-	730,656	5.37		
02/01/12-02/01/13	476,100	5.50	729,110	5.37		
02/01/13-09/01/14	476,100	5.50	685,000	5.43		
02/01/14-09/01/17	-	-	640,000	5.50		

- (1) Excludes the forward swaps discussed below.
- (2) These LIBOR-based hedges as of August 1, 2008 protect the debt currently outstanding as well as the anticipated increase in debt outstanding for projects under development or anticipated to be under development during the year

ending January 31, 2009.

(3) Includes \$640,000 for New York Times at 5.50%, which expires in September 2017.

**Tax-Exempt** (Priced off of SIFMA Index)

## Caps

Period Covered  08/01/08-02/01/09 02/01/09-02/01/10 02/01/10-02/01/11	Notional Amount	Average Base Rate
	(dollars in th	ousands)
08/01/08-02/01/09	\$ 232,025	5.98%
02/01/09-02/01/10	203,625	5.97
02/01/10-02/01/11	114,315	5.89
02/01/11-02/01/12	12,715	6.00
02/01/12-02/01/13	12,715	6.00

The tax-exempt caps expressed above mainly represent protection that was purchased in conjunction with lender hedging requirements that require the borrower to protect against significant fluctuations in interest rates. Outside of such requirements, we generally do not hedge tax-exempt debt because, since 1990, the base rate of this type of financing has averaged 3.06% and has never exceeded 7.90%.

64

#### **Table of Contents**

The interest rate hedges summarized in the previous tables were purchased to mitigate variable interest rate risk. We entered into various forward swaps to protect ourselves against fluctuations in the swap rate at terms ranging between five and ten years associated with forecasted fixed rate borrowings. At the time we secure and lock an interest rate on an anticipated financing, it is our intention to simultaneously terminate the forward swap associated with that financing. The table below lists the forward swaps outstanding as of July 31, 2008 (dollars in thousands):

## Forward Swaps

	Full Consolid Properti	lated	Accounted under the I Metho	d for Equity d		
Expirations for Years Ending January 31,	Notional Amount	Rate	Notional Amount	Rate		
2009	\$ -	-	\$ -	-		
2010	\$ 91,625	5.72%	\$ 120,000	5.93%		
Thereafter	\$ -	-	\$ -	-		

(1) As these

forward swaps

have been

designated and

qualify as cash

flow hedges

under SFAS

No. 133, our

portion of

unrealized gains

and losses on

the effective

portion of the

hedges has been

recorded in

accumulated

OCI. To the

extent effective,

the receipt or

payment of cash

at termination

on these

forward swaps

will be recorded

in accumulated

OCI and will be

amortized as

either an

increase or

decrease to interest expense in the same periods as the interest payments on the financing.

(2) This forward

swap does not

qualify as a cash

flow hedge

under the

provisions of

SFAS No. 133

because it

relates to an

unconsolidated

property.

Therefore, the

change in the

fair value of this

swap is marked

to market

through

earnings on a

quarterly basis.

We recorded

\$2,121 and

\$2,133 as a

reduction of

interest expense

related to this

forward swap in

our

Consolidated

Statements of

Operations for

the three and six

months ended

July 31, 2008,

respectively,

and \$2,897 and

\$1,450 for the

three and six

months ended

July 31, 2007,

respectively.

Including the effect of the protection provided by the interest rate swaps, caps and long-term contracts in place as of July 31, 2008, a 100 basis point increase in taxable interest rates (including properties accounted for under the equity method and corporate debt and the effect of interest rate floors) would increase the annual pre-tax interest cost for the

next 12 months of our variable-rate debt by approximately \$10,818,000 at July 31, 2008. Although tax-exempt rates generally move in an amount that is smaller than corresponding changes in taxable interest rates, a 100 basis point increase in tax-exempt rates (including properties accounted for under the equity method) would increase the annual pre-tax interest cost for the next 12 months of our tax-exempt variable-rate debt by approximately \$10,714,000 at July 31, 2008. The analysis above includes a portion of our taxable and tax-exempt variable-rate debt related to construction loans for which the interest expense is capitalized.

We estimate the fair value of our hedging instruments based on interest rate market and bond pricing models. At July 31 and January 31, 2008, interest rate caps and swaptions were reported at fair value of approximately \$4,517,000 and \$209,000, respectively, in other assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. At July 31 and January 31, 2008, interest rate swap agreements and TRS, which had a positive fair value of approximately \$3,296,000 and \$3,019,000, respectively, were included in other assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. At July 31 and January 31, 2008, interest rate swap agreements and TRS, which had a negative fair value of approximately \$87,304,000 and \$109,232,000, respectively (which includes the forward swaps), were included in accounts payable and accrued expenses in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

We estimate the fair value of our long-term debt by market rates, if available, or by discounting future cash payments at interest rates that approximate the current market. Based on these parameters, the table listed below contains our assessment of the fair value of our long-term debt at July 31, 2008.

			Fair Value with 100 bp
	Carrying	Fain Value	Decrease in Market
	Value	Fair Value (in thousands)	Rates
Fixed Variable	\$5,039,931	\$ 4,718,143	\$ 4,974,375
Taxable	1,873,135	1,788,555	1,855,629
Tax-Exempt	942,214	922,343	1,048,006

The following tables provide information about our financial instruments that are sensitive to changes in interest rates.

65

# **Table of Contents**

**Long-Term Debt** \$179,492 \$734,169

# <u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk (continued)</u> July 31, 2008

# **Expected Maturity Date Year Ending January 31,**

					rear Engi	ng .	January 5	1,							
											Period		Total Outstanding	,	Fair Market Value
Long-Term Debt	2009		2010		2011		2012		2013		Thereafter		7/31/08		7/31/08
							(dollar	s ir	n thousands	s)					1
Fixed: Fixed-rate debt Weighted average	\$ 34,050	\$2	243,061		\$ 162,614		\$ 372,678		\$ 316,807		\$ 3,023,821		\$4,153,031		\$ 3,949,789
interest rate	6.45	%	6.80	%	7.17	%	7.04	%	5.98	%	5.81	%	6.05	%	
Senior & subordinated debt					20,400		207 500				570,000		227,000		760.254
Weighted average interest rate	-	%	-	%	20,400		287,500		- ) -	%	579,000		886,900		768,354
Total Fixed-Rate Debt	34,050	2	243,061		183,014		660,178		316,807		3,602,821		5,039,931		4,718,143
<b>Variable:</b> Variable-rate debt Weighted average	143,672	۷	489,948		265,130		86,694		45,366		698,825		1,729,635		1,646,806
interest rate (2)	4.50	%	4.69	%	5.38	%	4.51	%	6.31	%	6.33	%	5.48	%	
Tax-exempt Weighted average	1,770		1,160		1,515		35,325		206,560		695,884		942,214		922,343
interest rate (2)	2.73	%	2.85	%	2.71	%	4.77	%	3.94	%	2.83	%	3.15	%	
Bank revolving credit facility <sup>(1)</sup> Weighted average	-		-		143,500		-		-		-		143,500		141,749
interest rate <sup>(2)</sup>	-	%	-	%	3.95	%	-	%	_	%	-	%	3.95	%	
Total Variable-Rate															
Debt	145,442	4	491,108		410,145		122,019		251,926		1,394,709		2,815,349		2,710,898
<b>Total</b>															

Table of Contents 127

\$593,159 \$782,197 \$568,733

\$4,997,530 \$7,855,280

\$7,429,041

Weighted average interest rate

4.85 % 5.39 % 5.62 % 5.40 % 5.26 % 5.64 % 5.55 %

(1) Represents recourse debt.

(2) Weighted average interest rate is based on current market rates as of July 31, 2008.

66

## **Table of Contents**

**Table of Contents** 

# <u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk (continued)</u> January 31, 2008

**Expected Maturity Date Year Ending January 31,** 

T D14	2000		2010		2011		2012		2012		Period		Total Outstanding	,	Fair Market Value
Long-Term Debt	2009		2010		2011		2012		2013 (dollars		Thereafter		1/31/08		1/31/08
									in thousands)	)					
<b>Fixed:</b> Fixed-rate debt Weighted average	\$ 84,220		\$ 327,885		\$ 174,421		\$ 375,489		\$319,644		\$ 2,650,047		\$ 3,931,706		\$4,062,237
interest rate	6.53	%	6.92	%	6.78	%	7.03	%	5.98	%	5.79	%	6.08	%	
Senior & subordinated debt					20,400		207.500				570,000		226 200		212.040
(1) Weighted average	-		-		20,400		287,500		-		579,000		886,900		812,040
interest rate		%	-	%	8.25	%	3.63	%	-	%	7.30	%	6.13	%	l
Total Fixed-Rate Debt	84,220		327,885		194,821		662,989		319,644		3,229,047		4,818,606		4,874,277
Variable: Variable-rate debt			152,872		170,753		10,056		45,366		653,826		1,705,091		1,705,091
Weighted average interest rate (2)	6.68	%	6.78	%	6.28	%	5.61	%	6.37	%	6.39	%	6.52	%	
Tax-exempt Weighted average	85,413		1,160		1,140		505		540		613,055		701,813		701,813
interest rate (2)	3.12	%	2.81	%	3.00	%	3.36	%	3.36	%	3.11	%	3.11	%	
Bank revolving credit facility <sup>(1)</sup> Weighted average	-		-		39,000		-		-		-		39,000		39,000
interest rate <sup>(2)</sup>		%	-	%	4.89	%	-	%	-	%	-	%	4.89	%	
Total Variable-Rate Debt	757,631		154,032		210,893		10,561		45,906		1,266,881		2,445,904		2,445,904
Total Long-Term Debt	\$ 841,851		\$ 481,917		\$ 405,714		\$ 673,550		\$ 365,550		\$4,495,928		\$7,264,510		\$7,320,181

129

Weighted average

interest rate 6.30 % 6.86 % 6.45 % 5.55 % 6.02 % 5.71 % 5.89 %

(1) Represents recourse debt.

(2) Weighted average interest rate is based on current market rates as of January 31, 2008.

67

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Item 4. Controls and Procedures

The Company maintains a set of disclosure controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files or furnishes under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Securities Exchange Act ) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Company s management, including the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO), as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. As of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report, an evaluation of the effectiveness of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act, was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of the Company s management, which includes the CEO and CFO. Based on that evaluation, the CEO and CFO have concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of July 31, 2008. There have been no changes in the Company s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the fiscal

There have been no changes in the Company s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the fiscal quarter ended July 31, 2008 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

In connection with the rules, the Company continues to review and document its disclosure controls and procedures, including the Company s internal control over financial reporting, and may from time to time make changes aimed at enhancing their effectiveness and ensuring that the Company s systems evolve with the business.

68

#### **Table of Contents**

## PART II OTHER INFORMATION

## Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The Company is involved in various claims and lawsuits incidental to its business, and management and legal counsel believe that these claims and lawsuits will not have a material adverse effect on the Company s consolidated financial statements

## Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security-Holders

On June 19, 2008, the Company held its annual meeting of shareholders. It was reported that 72,001,683 shares of Class A common stock representing 72,001,683 votes and 23,519,332.5 shares of Class B common stock representing 235,193,325 votes were represented in person or by proxy and that these shares represented a quorum. The matters presented to shareholders for vote and the vote on such matters were as follows:

		For	Withheld		
1.	Election of the following nominated directors by Class A shareholders:				
	Michael P. Esposito, Jr.	70,632,755	1,368,928		
	Joan K. Shafran	56,939,310	15,062,373		
	Louis Stokes	56,816,237	15,185,446		
	Stan Ross	70,783,249	1,218,434		
2.	Election of the following nominated				
	directors by Class B shareholders:	224 240 200	0.42,027		
	Albert B. Ratner	234,349,388	843,937		
	Samuel H. Miller	234,349,388	843,937		
	Charles A. Ratner	234,349,388	843,937		
	James A. Ratner	234,349,388	843,937		
	Jerry V. Jarrett	234,588,788	604,537		
	Ronald A. Ratner	234,349,388	843,937		
	Scott S. Cowen	234,836,808	356,517		
	Brian J. Ratner	234,349,388	843,937		
	Deborah Ratner Salzberg	234,349,388	843,937		
	Bruce C. Ratner	234,349,388	843,937		
	Deborah L. Harmon	234,929,128	264,197		
					Broker
		For	Against	Absentions (b)	Non-Votes (c)
3.	Approval of the proposal to amend and restate the 1994				
	Stock Plan (a)	292,489,118	1,462,100	425,505	12,818,285
4.	Approval of the proposal to amend and restate the Executive				
	Short-Term Incentive Plan (a)	292,995,589	958,581	422,553	12,818,285
5.	Approval of the proposal to amend and restate the Executive				
	Long-Term Incentive Plan (a)	293,165,358	717,986	493,379	12,818,285

306,951,677

117,478

125,853

## 6. Ratification of

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as

independent

registered public accounting firm for

the Company for

the fiscal year ending January 31,

2009 (a)

(a) The affirmative

vote of a

majority of the

combined

voting power of

the outstanding

shares of

Class A

common stock

and Class B

common stock

of the Company

present or

represented at

the meeting was

required for

approval or

ratification.

## (b) Abstentions

were counted as

cast with respect

to such proposal

and had the

same effect as

votes against the

proposal.

## (c) Broker

non-votes were

not counted as

cast for or

against any

proposal.

69

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Item 6. Exhibits

## Exhibit Number

## **Description of Document**

- 3.1 Amended Articles of Incorporation adopted as of October 11, 1983, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended October 31, 1983 (File No. 1-4372).
- 3.2 Certificate of Amendment by Shareholders to the Articles of Incorporation of Forest City Enterprises, Inc. dated June 24, 1997, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.14 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-3 (Registration No. 333-41437).
- 3.3 Certificate of Amendment by Shareholders to the Articles of Incorporation of Forest City Enterprises, Inc. dated June 16, 1998, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-8 (Registration No. 333-61925).
- 3.4 Certificate of Amendment by Shareholders to the Articles of Incorporation of Forest City Enterprises, Inc., effective as of June 20, 2006, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.6 to the Company s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended July 31, 2006 (File No. 1-4372).
- Code of Regulations as amended June 15, 2006, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.5 to the Company s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended July 31, 2006 (File No. 1-4372).
- 4.1 Senior Note Indenture, dated as of May 19, 2003, between Forest City Enterprises, Inc., as issuer, and The Bank of New York, as trustee, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company s Form 8-K filed on May 20, 2003 (File No. 1-4372).
- 4.2 Form of 7.625% Senior Note due 2015, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form 8-K filed on May 20, 2003 (File No. 1-4372).
- 4.3 Form of 7.375% Senior Note due 2034, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form 8-K filed on February 10, 2004 (File No. 1-4372).
- 4.4 Form of 6.5% Senior Note due 2017, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form 8-K filed on January 26, 2005 (File No. 1-4372).
- 4.5 Indenture, dated as of October 10, 2006, between Forest City Enterprises, Inc., as issuer, and The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A., as trustee, including, as Exhibit A thereto, the Form of 3.625% Puttable Equity-Linked Senior Note due 2011, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company s Form 8-K filed on October 16, 2006 (File No. 1-4372).
- 9.1 Voting Agreement, dated November 8, 2006, by and among Forest City Enterprises, Inc., RMS Limited Partnership, Powell Partners, Limited, Joseph M. Shafran and Bruce C. Ratner, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 9.1 to the Company s Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 2007 (File No. 1-4372).
- +10.1 Split Dollar Insurance Agreement and Assignment of Life Insurance Policy as Collateral between Deborah Ratner-Salzberg and Forest City Enterprises, Inc., insuring the lives of Albert Ratner and Audrey Ratner, dated June 26, 1996, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to the Company s Form 10-K for the year

ended January 31, 1997 (File No. 1-4372).

- +10.2 Split Dollar Insurance Agreement and Assignment of Life Insurance Policy as Collateral between Brian J. Ratner and Forest City Enterprises, Inc., insuring the lives of Albert Ratner and Audrey Ratner, dated June 26, 1996, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to the Company s Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 1997 (File No. 1-4372).
- +10.3 Letter Supplement to Split Dollar Insurance Agreement and Assignment of Life Insurance Policy as Collateral between Brian J. Ratner and Forest City Enterprises, Inc., insuring the lives of Albert Ratner and Audrey Ratner, effective June 26, 1996, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to the Company s Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 1997 (File No. 1-4372).
- +10.4 Letter Supplement to Split Dollar Insurance Agreement and Assignment of Life Insurance Policy as Collateral between Deborah Ratner-Salzberg and Forest City Enterprises, Inc., insuring the lives of Albert Ratner and Audrey Ratner, effective June 26, 1996, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 to the Company s Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 1997 (File No. 1-4372).

70

## **Table of Contents**

Exhibit Number	Description of Document
+10.5	Split Dollar Insurance Agreement and Assignment of Life Insurance Policy as Collateral between Albert B. Ratner and James Ratner, Trustees under the Charles Ratner 1992 Irrevocable Trust Agreement and Forest City Enterprises, Inc., insuring the lives of Charles Ratner and Ilana Horowitz (Ratner), dated November 2, 1996, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.23 to the Company s Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 1997 (File No. 1-4372).
+10.6	Split Dollar Insurance Agreement and Assignment of Life Insurance Policy as Collateral between Albert B. Ratner and James Ratner, Trustees under the Charles Ratner 1989 Irrevocable Trust Agreement and Forest City Enterprises, Inc., insuring the life of Charles Ratner, dated October 24, 1996, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.24 to the Company s Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 1997 (File No. 1-4372).
+10.7	Split Dollar Insurance Agreement and Assignment of Life Insurance Policy as Collateral between Albert B. Ratner and James Ratner, Trustees under the Max Ratner 1988 Grandchildren's Trust Agreement and Forest City Enterprises, Inc., insuring the life of Charles Ratner, dated October 24, 1996, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.25 to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 1997 (File No. 1-4372).
+10.8	Split Dollar Insurance Agreement and Assignment of Life Insurance Policy as Collateral between Albert B. Ratner and James Ratner, Trustees under the Max Ratner 1988 Grandchildren's Trust Agreement and Forest City Enterprises, Inc., insuring the life of Charles Ratner, dated October 24, 1996, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26 to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 1997 (File No. 1-4372).
+10.9	Split Dollar Insurance Agreement and Assignment of Life Insurance Policy as Collateral between Albert B. Ratner and James Ratner, Trustees under the Max Ratner 1988 Grandchildren s Trust Agreement and Forest City Enterprises, Inc., insuring the life of Charles Ratner, dated October 24, 1996, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.27 to the Company s Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 1997 (File No. 1-4372).
+10.10	Split Dollar Insurance Agreement and Assignment of Life Insurance Policy as Collateral between Albert B. Ratner and James Ratner, Trustees under the Max Ratner 1988 Grandchildren s Trust Agreement and Forest City Enterprises, Inc., insuring the life of Charles Ratner, dated October 24, 1996, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.28 to the Company s Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 1997 (File No. 1-4372).
+10.11	Split Dollar Insurance Agreement and Assignment of Life Insurance Policy as Collateral between Albert B. Ratner and James Ratner, Trustees under the Charles Ratner 1989 Irrevocable Trust Agreement and Forest City Enterprises, Inc., insuring the life of Charles Ratner, dated October 24, 1996, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.29 to the Company s Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 1997 (File No. 1-4372).
+10.12	Split Dollar Insurance Agreement and Assignment of Life Insurance Policy as Collateral between Albert B. Ratner and James Ratner, Trustees under the Charles Ratner 1989 Irrevocable Trust Agreement and

Forest City Enterprises, Inc., insuring the life of Charles Ratner, dated October 24, 1996, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.30 to the Company s Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 1997 (File No. 1-4372).

- +10.13 Split Dollar Insurance Agreement and Assignment of Life Insurance Policy as Collateral between Albert B. Ratner and James Ratner, Trustees under the Charles Ratner 1989 Irrevocable Trust Agreement and Forest City Enterprises, Inc., insuring the life of Charles Ratner, dated October 24, 1996, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.31 to the Company s Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 1997 (File No. 1-4372).
- +10.14 Letter Supplement to Split Dollar Insurance Agreement and Assignment of Life Insurance Policy as Collateral between James Ratner and Albert Ratner, Trustees under the Charles Ratner 1992 Irrevocable Trust Agreement and Forest City Enterprises, Inc., insuring the lives of Charles Ratner and Ilana Ratner, effective November 2, 1996, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.32 to the Company s Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 1997 (File No. 1-4372).
- +10.15 Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.42 to the Company s Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 1999 (File No. 1-4372).
- +10.16 Supplemental Unfunded Deferred Compensation Plan for Executives, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to the Company s Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 1997 (File No. 1-4372).
- +10.17 Deferred Compensation Plan for Executives, effective as of January 1, 1999, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.43 to the Company s Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 1999 (File No. 1-4372).

## **Table of Contents**

Exhibit Number	Description of Document
+10.18	First Amendment to the Deferred Compensation Plan for Executives, effective as of October 1, 1999, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.45 to the Company s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended April 30, 2005 (File No. 1-4372).
+10.19	Second Amendment to the Deferred Compensation Plan for Executives, effective as of December 31, 2004, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.46 to the Company s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended April 30, 2005 (File No. 1-4372).
+10.20	Forest City Enterprises, Inc. 2005 Deferred Compensation Plan for Executives (As Amended and Restated Effective January 1, 2008), incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to the Company s Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 2008 (File No. 1-4372).
+10.21	Deferred Compensation Plan for Nonemployee Directors, effective as of January 1, 1999, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.44 to the Company s Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 1999 (File No. 1-4372).
+10.22	First Amendment to the Deferred Compensation Plan for Nonemployee Directors, effective October 1, 1999, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-8 (Registration No. 333-38912).
+10.23	Second Amendment to the Deferred Compensation Plan for Nonemployee Directors, effective March 10, 2000, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.7 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-8 (Registration No. 333-38912).
+10.24	Third Amendment to the Deferred Compensation Plan for Nonemployee Directors, effective March 12, 2004, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.39 to the Company s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended July 31, 2004 (File No. 1-4372).
+10.25	Fourth Amendment to the Deferred Compensation Plan for Nonemployee Directors, effective as of December 31, 2004, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.47 to the Company s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended April 30, 2005 (File No. 1-4372).
+10.26	Fifth Amendment to the Deferred Compensation Plan for Nonemployee Directors, effective as of March 26, 2008, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.60 to the Company s Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 2008 (File No. 1-4372).
+10.27	Forest City Enterprises, Inc. 2005 Deferred Compensation Plan for Nonemployee Directors (As Amended and Restated effective January 1, 2008), incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.60 to the Company s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended April 30, 2008 (File No. 1-4372).
+10.28	Summary of Forest City Enterprises, Inc. Management Incentive Plan as adopted in 1997, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.51 to the Company s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended July 31, 2001 (File No. 1-4372) (Replaced by Exhibit 10.62).

+10.29	Summary of Forest City Enterprises, Inc. Long-Term Performance Plan as adopted in 2000, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.52 to the Company s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended July 31, 2001 (File No. 1-4372) (Replaced by Exhibit 10.62).
+10.30	Forest City Enterprises, Inc. Long-Term Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Form 8-K filed on June 30, 2005 (File No. 1-4372) (Replaced by Exhibit 10.62).
+10.31	Forest City Enterprises, Inc. Executive Bonus Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Form 8-K filed on March 30, 2005 (File No. 1-4372) (Replaced by Exhibit 10.61).
+10.32	Forest City Enterprises, Inc. Amended Board of Directors Compensation Policy, effective February 1, 2008, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.33 to the Company s Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 2008 (File No. 1-4372).
+10.33	Forest City Enterprises, Inc. Unfunded Nonqualified Supplemental Retirement Plan for Executives (As Amended and Restated Effective January 1, 2008), incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.59 to the Company s Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 2008 (File No. 1-4372).

## **Table of Contents**

Exhibit Number	Description of Document
+10.34	Amended and Restated Form of Stock Option Agreement, effective as of June 8, 2004, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to the Company s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended April 30, 2005 (File No. 1-4372).
+10.35	Amended and Restated Form of Restricted Stock Agreement, effective as of June 8, 2004, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 to the Company s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended April 30, 2005 (File No. 1-4372).
+10.36	Forest City Enterprises, Inc. 1994 Stock Plan, as Amended and Restated as of June 21, 2005, incorporated by reference to Exhibit A to the Company s Proxy Statement for its Annual Meeting of Shareholders held on June 21, 2005 (File No. 1-4372) (Replaced by Exhibit 10.60).
+10.37	Amendment No. 1 to Forest City Enterprises, Inc. 1994 Stock Plan (As Amended and Restated as of June 21, 2005), incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.53 to the Company s Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 2006 (File No. 1-4372) (Replaced by Exhibit 10.60).
+10.38	Amendment No. 2 to Forest City Enterprises, Inc. 1994 Stock Plan (As Amended and Restated as of June 21, 2005), incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.8 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed on May 3, 2007 (Registration No. 333-122172) (Replaced by Exhibit 10.60).
+10.39	Employment Agreement entered into on May 31, 1999, effective January 1, 1999, between Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Albert B. Ratner, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.47 to the Company s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended July 31, 1999 (File No. 1-4372).
+10.40	First Amendment to Employment Agreement effective as of February 28, 2000 between Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Albert B. Ratner, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.45 to the Company s Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 2000 (File No. 1-4372).
+10.41	Employment Agreement entered into on May 31, 1999, effective January 1, 1999, between Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Samuel H. Miller, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.48 to the Company s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended July 31, 1999 (File No. 1-4372).
+10.42	Deferred Compensation Agreement between Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Thomas G. Smith dated December 27, 1995, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.33 to the Company s Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 1997 (File No. 1-4372).
+10.43	Employment Agreement (re: death benefits) entered into on May 31, 1999, between Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Thomas G. Smith dated December 27, 1995, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.49 to the Company s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended October 31, 1999 (File No. 1-4372).
+10.44	Employment Agreement entered into on July 20, 2005, effective February 1, 2005, between Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Charles A. Ratner, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Form 8-K filed on July 26, 2005 (File No. 1-4372).

+10.45First Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated as of November 9, 2006, by and among Charles A. Ratner and Forest City Enterprises, Inc., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company s Form 8-K filed on November 13, 2006 (File No. 1-4372). Employment Agreement entered into on July 20, 2005, effective February 1, 2005, between Forest City +10.46Enterprises, Inc. and James A. Ratner, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company s Form 8-K filed on July 26, 2005 (File No. 1-4372). +10.47First Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated as of November 9, 2006, by and among James A. Ratner and Forest City Enterprises, Inc, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company s Form 8-K filed on November 13, 2006 (File No. 1-4372). +10.48Employment Agreement entered into on July 20, 2005, effective February 1, 2005, between Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Ronald A. Ratner, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company s Form 8-K filed on July 26, 2005 (File No. 1-4372). +10.49First Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated as of November 9, 2006, by and among Ronald A. Ratner and Forest City Enterprises, Inc., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company s

73

Form 8-K filed on November 13, 2006 (File No. 1-4372).

## **Table of Contents**

Exhibit Number	Description of Document
+10.50	Employment Agreement, effective November 9, 2006, by and among Bruce C. Ratner and Forest City Enterprises, Inc., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Form 8-K filed on November 13, 2006 (File No. 1-4372).
10.51	Master Contribution and Sale Agreement, dated as of August 10, 2006, by and among Forest City Enterprises, Inc., certain entities affiliated with Forest City Enterprises, Inc., Forest City Master Associates III, LLC, certain entities affiliated with Forest City Master Associates III, LLC, certain entities affiliated with Bruce C. Ratner and certain individuals affiliated with Bruce C. Ratner, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.54 to the Company s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended July 31, 2006 (File No. 1-4372).
10.52	Amended and Restated Credit Agreement by and among Forest City Rental Properties Corporation, KeyBank National Association, as Administrative Agent, National City Bank, as Syndication Agent, Bank of America, N.A. and LaSalle Bank National Association, as Co-Documentation Agents, and the banks named therein, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Form 8-K filed on June 12, 2007 (File No. 1-4372).
10.53	Additional Bank Assumption Agreement by and among The Bank of New York, Forest City Rental Properties Corporation, and KeyBank in its capacity as administrative agent under the Credit Agreement, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company s Form 8-K filed on December 20, 2007 (File No. 1-4372).
10.54	Additional Bank Assumption Agreement by and among Wachovia Bank, N.A., Forest City Rental Properties Corporation, and KeyBank in its capacity as administrative agent under the Credit Agreement, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company s Form 8-K filed on December 20, 2007 (File No. 1-4372).
10.55	Exhibit A to the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement by and among Forest City Rental Properties Corporation, KeyBank National Association, as Administrative Agent, National City Bank, as Syndication Agent, Bank of America, N.A. and LaSalle Bank National Association, as Co-Documentation Agents, and the banks named therein, revised as of December 20, 2007, further revised as of February 4, 2008 and further revised as of February 19, 2008, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.56 to the Company s Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 2008 (File No. 1-4372).
10.56	Amended and Restated Guaranty of Payment of Debt by Forest City Enterprises, Inc. for the benefit of KeyBank National Association, as Administrative Agent, National City Bank, as Syndication Agent, Bank of America, N.A. and LaSalle Bank National Association, as Co-Documentation Agents, and the banks named therein, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company s Form 8-K filed on June 12, 2007 (File No. 1-4372).
10.57	Registration Rights Agreement by and among Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and the holders of BCR Units listed on Schedule A thereto dated November 8, 2006, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on November 7, 2007 (Registration No. 333-147201).

+10.58Separation Agreement, dated April 1, 2008, by and between Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Thomas G. Smith, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Form 8-K filed on April 7, 2008 (File No. 1-4372). +10.59 Consultant Agreement, dated May 12, 2008, by and between Forest City Enterprises, Inc. and Thomas G. Smith, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Form 8-K filed on May 19, 2008 (File No. 1-4372). +10.60Forest City Enterprises, Inc. 1994 Stock Plan (As Amended and Restated as of June 19, 2008), incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Form 8-K filed on June 24, 2008 (File No. 1-4372) (Replaces Exhibits 10.36, 10.37 and 10.38). +10.61 Forest City Enterprises, Inc. Executive Short-Term Incentive Plan (As Amended and Restated as of June 19, 2008), incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company s Form 8-K filed on June 24, 2008 (File No. 1-4372) (Replaces Exhibit 10.31). +10.62Forest City Enterprises, Inc. Executive Long-Term Incentive Plan (As Amended and Restated as of June 19, 2008), incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on June 24, 2008 (File No. 1-4372) (Replaces Exhibits 10.28, 10.29 and 10.30). +10.63Forest City Enterprises, Inc. Senior Management Short-Term Incentive Plan (Effective February 1, 2008), incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company s Form 8-K filed on June 24, 2008 (File No. 1-4372). 74

## **Table of Contents**

Exhibit Number	Description of Document
+10.64	Forest City Enterprises, Inc. Senior Management Long-Term Incentive Plan (Effective February 1, 2008), incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Company s Form 8-K filed on June 24, 2008 (File No. 1-4372).
+10.65	Form of Forest City Enterprises, Inc. Performance Shares Agreement, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Company s Form 8-K filed on June 24, 2008 (File No. 1-4372).
*+10.66	Form of Forest City Enterprises, Inc. Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement for Nonemployee Directors.
*+10.67	Form of Forest City Enterprises, Inc. Restricted Shares Agreement for Nonemployee Directors.
*31.1	Principal Executive Officer s Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
*31.2	Principal Financial Officer s Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
*32.1	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
+ Manage contract compensus arranger required filed as exhibit to Form 10 pursuant Item 6.	or satory ment to be an to this 0-Q
* Filed he	rewith.

Table of Contents 144

75

## **Table of Contents**

## **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

FOREST CITY ENTERPRISES, INC.

(Registrant)

Date: September 4, 2008 /S/ ROBERT G. O BRIEN

Name: Robert G. O Brien

Title: Executive Vice President and

Chief Financial Officer

Date: September 4, 2008 /S/ LINDA M. KANE

Name: Linda M. Kane

Title: Senior Vice President, Chief

Accounting

and Administrative Officer

76

## **Table of Contents**

# Exhibit Index

Exhibit Number	Description of Document
10.66	Form of Forest City Enterprises, Inc. Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement for Nonemployee Directors.
10.67	Form of Forest City Enterprises, Inc. Restricted Shares Agreement for Nonemployee Directors.
31.1	Principal Executive Officer s Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Principal Financial Officer s Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.