

HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP INC/DE

Form 10-Q

November 02, 2011

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2011

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 001-13958

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

13-3317783

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

One Hartford Plaza, Hartford, Connecticut 06155

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(860) 547-5000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of October 27, 2011 there were outstanding 445,739,799 shares of Common Stock, \$0.01 par value per share, of the registrant.

**THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.
 QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q
 FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2011
 TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Item	Description	Page
<u>Part I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>		
1.	<u>Financial Statements</u>	
	<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	5
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations For the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 and 2010</u>	6
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010</u>	7
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 and 2010</u>	8
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income For the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 and 2010</u>	9
	<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 and 2010</u>	10
	<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	11
2.	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	61
3.	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	121
4.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	121
<u>Part II. OTHER INFORMATION</u>		
1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	122
1A.	<u>Risk Factors</u>	124
2.	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	124
6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	124
	<u>Signature</u>	125
	<u>Exhibits Index</u>	126

Exhibit 15.01

Exhibit 31.01

Exhibit 31.02

Exhibit 32.01

Exhibit 32.02

EX-101 INSTANCE DOCUMENT

EX-101 SCHEMA DOCUMENT

EX-101 CALCULATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT

EX-101 LABELS LINKBASE DOCUMENT

EX-101 PRESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT

EX-101 DEFINITION LINKBASE DOCUMENT

Table of Contents

Forward-Looking Statements

Certain of the statements contained herein are forward-looking statements made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements can be identified by words such as anticipates, intends, plans, seeks, believes, estimates, expects, projects, and similar references to future. Forward-looking statements are based on our current expectations and assumptions regarding economic, competitive, legislative and other developments. Because forward-looking statements relate to the future, they are subject to inherent uncertainties, risks and changes in circumstances that are difficult to predict. They have been made based upon management's expectations and beliefs concerning future developments and their potential effect upon The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries (collectively, the Company or The Hartford). Future developments may not be in line with management's expectations or may have unanticipated effects. Actual results could differ materially from expectations, depending on the evolution of various factors, including those set forth in Part I, Item 1A, Risk Factors in The Hartford's 2010 Form 10-K Annual Report. These important risks and uncertainties include:

- challenges related to the Company's current operating environment, including continuing uncertainty about the strength and speed of the recovery in the United States and other key economies and the impact of governmental stimulus and austerity initiatives, sovereign credit concerns, including the potential consequences associated with downgrades to the credit ratings of debt issued by the United States government, and other developments on financial, commodity and credit markets and consumer spending and investment;
- the success of our initiatives relating to the realignment of our business in 2010 and plans to improve the profitability and long-term growth prospects of our key divisions, including through opportunistic acquisitions or divestitures, and the impact of regulatory or other constraints on our ability to complete these initiatives and deploy capital among our businesses as and when planned;
- market risks associated with our business, including changes in interest rates, credit spreads, equity prices, foreign exchange rates, and implied volatility levels, as well as continuing uncertainty in key sectors such as the global real estate market;
- volatility in our earnings resulting from our adjustment of our risk management program to emphasize protection of statutory surplus, and cash flows;
- the impact on our statutory capital of various factors, including many that are outside the Company's control, which can in turn affect our credit and financial strength ratings, cost of capital, regulatory compliance and other aspects of our business and results;
- risks to our business, financial position, prospects and results associated with negative rating actions or downgrades in the Company's financial strength and credit ratings or negative rating actions or downgrades relating to our investments;
- the potential for differing interpretations of the methodologies, estimations and assumptions that underlie the valuation of the Company's financial instruments that could result in changes to investment valuations;
- the subjective determinations that underlie the Company's evaluation of other-than-temporary impairments on available-for-sale securities;
- losses due to nonperformance or defaults by others;
- the potential for further acceleration of deferred policy acquisition cost amortization;
- the potential for further impairments of our goodwill or the potential for changes in valuation allowances against deferred tax assets;
- the possible occurrence of terrorist attacks and the Company's ability to contain its exposure, including the effect of the absence or insufficiency of applicable terrorism legislation on coverage;
- the difficulty in predicting the Company's potential exposure for asbestos and environmental claims;
- the possibility of a pandemic, earthquake, or other natural or man-made disaster that may adversely affect our businesses and cost and availability of reinsurance;
- weather and other natural physical events, including the severity and frequency of storms, hail, winter storms, hurricanes and tropical storms, as well as climate change and its potential impact on weather patterns;

the response of reinsurance companies under reinsurance contracts and the availability, pricing and adequacy of reinsurance to protect the Company against losses;
the possibility of unfavorable loss development;
actions by our competitors, many of which are larger or have greater financial resources than we do;
the restrictions, oversight, costs and other consequences of being a savings and loan holding company, including from the supervision, regulation and examination by The Federal Reserve as the Company's regulator and the Office of the Controller of the Currency as regulator of Federal Trust Bank;

Table of Contents

the cost and other effects of increased regulation as a result of the enactment of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (the Dodd-Frank Act), which will, among other effects, vest a newly created Financial Services Oversight Council with the power to designate systemically important institutions, require central clearing of, and/or impose new margin and capital requirements on, derivatives transactions, and may affect our ability as a savings and loan holding company to manage our general account by limiting or eliminating investments in certain private equity and hedge funds;

the potential effect of other domestic and foreign regulatory developments, including those that could adversely impact the demand for the Company s products, operating costs and required capital levels, including changes to statutory reserves and/or risk-based capital requirements related to secondary guarantees under universal life and variable annuity products or changes in U.S. federal or other tax laws that affect the relative attractiveness of our investment products;

the Company s ability to distribute its products through distribution channels, both current and future;

the uncertain effects of emerging claim and coverage issues;

regulatory limitations on the ability of the Company and certain of its subsidiaries to declare and pay dividends;

the Company s ability to effectively price its property and casualty policies, including its ability to obtain regulatory consents to pricing actions or to non-renewal or withdrawal of certain product lines;

the Company s ability to maintain the availability of its systems and safeguard the security of its data in the event of a disaster or other unanticipated events;

the risk that our framework for managing business risks may not be effective in mitigating material risk and loss;

the potential for difficulties arising from outsourcing relationships;

the impact of potential changes in federal or state tax laws, including changes affecting the availability of the separate account dividend received deduction;

the impact of potential changes in accounting principles and related financial reporting requirements;

the Company s ability to protect its intellectual property and defend against claims of infringement;

unfavorable judicial or legislative developments; and

other factors described in such forward-looking statements.

Any forward-looking statement made by the Company in this document speaks only as of the date of the filing of this Form 10-Q. Factors or events that could cause the Company s actual results to differ may emerge from time to time, and it is not possible for the Company to predict all of them. The Company undertakes no obligation to publicly update any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future developments or otherwise.

Table of Contents

Part I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc.
Hartford, Connecticut

We have reviewed the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet of The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) as of September 30, 2011, and the related condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 and statements of changes in stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010. These interim financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management.

We conducted our reviews in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our reviews, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to such condensed consolidated interim financial statements for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet of the Company as of December 31, 2010, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in equity, comprehensive income (loss), and cash flows for the year then ended (not presented herein); and in our report dated February 25, 2011 (which report includes an explanatory paragraph relating to the Company's change in its method of accounting and reporting for variable interest entities and embedded credit derivatives as required by accounting guidance adopted in 2010, for other-than-temporary impairments as required by accounting guidance adopted in 2009, and for the fair value measurement of financial instruments as required by accounting guidance adopted in 2008), we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2010 is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP
Hartford, Connecticut
November 2, 2011

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations

<i>(In millions, except for per share data)</i>	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
	(Unaudited)		(Unaudited)	
Revenues				
Earned premiums	\$ 3,518	\$ 3,513	\$ 10,582	\$ 10,546
Fee income	1,192	1,164	3,620	3,530
Net investment income (loss):				
Securities available-for-sale and other	1,062	1,073	3,274	3,275
Equity securities, trading	(1,890)	1,043	(1,684)	(905)
Total net investment income (loss)	(828)	2,116	1,590	2,370
Net realized capital gains (losses):				
Total other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) losses	(71)	(146)	(221)	(778)
OTTI losses recognized in other comprehensive income (OCI)	11	31	83	403
Net OTTI losses recognized in earnings	(60)	(115)	(138)	(375)
Net realized capital gains (losses), excluding net OTTI losses recognized in earnings	635	(142)	379	(147)
Total net realized capital gains (losses)	575	(257)	241	(522)
Other revenues	63	66	188	195
Total revenues	4,520	6,602	16,221	16,119
Benefits, losses and expenses				
Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses	4,006	3,037	11,160	9,762
Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses returns credited on international variable annuities	(1,889)	1,043	(1,683)	(905)
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of future profits	1,320	431	2,819	2,013
Insurance operating costs and other expenses	1,059	1,046	3,403	3,272
Interest expense	128	128	384	380
Total benefits, losses and expenses	4,624	5,685	16,083	14,522
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	(104)	917	138	1,597
Income tax expense (benefit)	(101)	252	(312)	437
Income (loss) from continuing operations, net of tax	(3)	665	450	1,160
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	3	1	85	(99)

Net income	\$		\$	666	\$	535	\$	1,061
Preferred stock dividends and accretion of discount		10		10		31		504
Net income (loss) available to common shareholders	\$	(10)	\$	656	\$	504	\$	557
<i>Income (loss) from continuing operations, net of tax, available to common shareholders per common share</i>								
Basic	\$	(0.03)	\$	1.47	\$	0.94	\$	1.54
Diluted	\$	(0.03)	\$	1.34	\$	0.87	\$	1.42
<i>Net income (loss) available to common shareholders per common share</i>								
Basic	\$	(0.02)	\$	1.48	\$	1.13	\$	1.30
Diluted	\$	(0.02)	\$	1.34	\$	1.05	\$	1.21
Cash dividends declared per common share	\$	0.10	\$	0.05	\$	0.30	\$	0.15

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
<i>(In millions, except for share and per share data)</i>	<i>(Unaudited)</i>	
Assets		
Investments:		
Fixed maturities, available-for-sale, at fair value (amortized cost of \$77,838 and \$78,419) (includes variable interest entity assets, at fair value, of \$154 and \$406)	\$ 80,263	\$ 77,820
Fixed maturities, at fair value using the fair value option (includes variable interest entity assets of \$338 and \$323)	1,323	649
Equity securities, trading, at fair value (cost of \$33,565 and \$33,899)	30,770	32,820
Equity securities, available-for-sale, at fair value (cost of \$1,093 and \$1,013)	989	973
Mortgage loans (net of allowances for loan losses of \$147 and \$155)	5,590	4,489
Policy loans, at outstanding balance	2,176	2,181
Limited partnerships and other alternative investments (includes variable interest entity assets of \$7 and \$14)	2,506	1,918
Other investments	2,857	1,617
Short-term investments	9,704	8,528
 Total investments	 136,178	 130,995
Cash	2,589	2,062
Premiums receivable and agents' balances, net	3,525	3,273
Reinsurance recoverables, net	5,253	4,862
Deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of future profits	8,729	9,857
Deferred income taxes, net	1,611	3,725
Goodwill	1,036	1,051
Property and equipment, net	1,029	1,150
Other assets	1,725	1,629
Separate account assets	143,923	159,742
 Total assets	 \$ 305,598	 \$ 318,346
 Liabilities		
Reserve for future policy benefits and unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses	\$ 41,034	\$ 39,598
Other policyholder funds and benefits payable	45,868	44,550
Other policyholder funds and benefits payable - international variable annuities	30,734	32,793
Unearned premiums	5,409	5,176
Short-term debt	400	400
Long-term debt	6,217	6,207
Consumer notes	349	382
Other liabilities (includes variable interest entity liabilities of \$461 and \$394)	8,883	9,187
Separate account liabilities	143,923	159,742
 Total liabilities	 282,817	 298,035

Commitments and Contingencies (Note 9)***Stockholders Equity***

Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value 50,000,000 shares authorized, 575,000 shares issued, liquidation preference \$1,000 per share	556	556
Common stock, \$0.01 par value 1,500,000,000 shares authorized, 469,754,771 shares issued	5	5
Additional paid-in capital	10,395	10,448
Retained earnings	12,447	12,077
Treasury stock, at cost 24,241,076 and 25,205,283 shares	(1,687)	(1,774)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	1,065	(1,001)
Total stockholders equity	22,781	20,311
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 305,598	\$ 318,346

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity

<i>(In millions, except for share data)</i>	Nine Months Ended	
	2011	September 30, 2010
	(Unaudited)	
Preferred Stock, at beginning of period	\$ 556	\$ 2,960
Issuance of mandatory convertible preferred stock		556
Accelerated accretion of discount from redemption of preferred stock issued to U.S. Treasury		440
Redemption of preferred stock issued to the U.S. Treasury		(3,400)
Preferred Stock, at end of period	556	556
Common Stock	5	5
Additional Paid-in Capital, at beginning of period	10,448	8,985
Issuance of common shares under public offering		1,599
Issuance of shares under incentive and stock compensation plans	(43)	(123)
Tax expense on employee stock options and awards	(10)	(6)
Additional Paid-in Capital, at end of period	10,395	10,455
Retained Earnings, at beginning of period, before cumulative effect of accounting change, net of tax	12,077	11,164
Cumulative effect of accounting change, net of tax		26
Retained Earnings, at beginning of period, as adjusted	12,077	11,190
Net income	535	1,061
Cumulative effect of accounting changes, net of tax		(194)
Accelerated accretion of discount from redemption of preferred stock issued to U.S. Treasury		(440)
Dividends on preferred stock	(31)	(64)
Dividends declared on common stock	(134)	(65)
Retained Earnings, at end of period	12,447	11,488
Treasury Stock, at Cost, at beginning of period	(1,774)	(1,936)
Issuance of shares under incentive and stock compensation plans from treasury stock	94	150
Return of shares under incentive and stock compensation plans and other to treasury stock	(7)	(3)
Treasury Stock, at Cost, at end of period	(1,687)	(1,789)
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss, Net of Tax, at beginning of period	(1,001)	(3,312)
Cumulative effect of accounting changes, net of tax		194
Total other comprehensive income	2,066	3,312

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income, Net of Tax, at end of period	1,065	194
Noncontrolling Interest, at beginning of period		29
Recognition of noncontrolling interest in other liabilities		(29)
Noncontrolling Interest, at end of period		
Total Stockholders Equity	\$ 22,781	\$ 20,909
Preferred Shares Outstanding, at beginning of period (in thousands)	575	3,400
Redemption of shares issued to the U.S. Treasury		(3,400)
Issuance of mandatory convertible preferred shares		575
Preferred Shares Outstanding, at end of period	575	575
Common Shares Outstanding, at beginning of period (in thousands)	444,549	383,007
Issuance of shares under public offering		59,590
Issuance of shares under incentive and stock compensation plans	1,203	1,901
Return of shares under incentive and stock compensation plans and other to treasury stock	(238)	(132)
Common Shares Outstanding, at end of period	445,514	444,366

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

<i>(In millions)</i>	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
	<i>(Unaudited)</i>		<i>(Unaudited)</i>	
Comprehensive Income				
Net income	\$	\$ 666	\$ 535	\$ 1,061
Other comprehensive income (loss)				
Change in net unrealized gain / loss on securities	871	1,064	1,717	2,642
Change in OTTI losses recognized in other comprehensive income	10	44	11	97
Change in net gain / loss on cash-flow hedging instruments	154	79	157	308
Change in foreign currency translation adjustments	83	164	109	205
Amortization of prior service cost and actuarial net losses included in net periodic benefit costs	24	28	72	60
Total other comprehensive income	1,142	1,379	2,066	3,312
Total comprehensive income	\$ 1,142	\$ 2,045	\$ 2,601	\$ 4,373

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

<i>(In millions)</i>	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010
	<i>(Unaudited)</i>	
<i>Operating Activities</i>		
Net income	\$ 535	\$ 1,061
<i>Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities</i>		
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of future profits	2,819	2,027
Additions to deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of future profits	(1,961)	(1,999)
Change in reserve for future policy benefits and unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses and unearned premiums	1,309	(17)
Change in reinsurance recoverables	(31)	247
Change in receivables and other assets	(452)	(29)
Change in payables and accruals	(141)	(92)
Change in accrued and deferred income taxes	(472)	(5)
Net realized capital (gains) losses	(360)	526
Net receipts (disbursements) from investment contracts related to policyholder funds - international variable annuities	(2,059)	174
Net (increase) decrease in equity securities, trading	2,050	(174)
Depreciation and amortization	532	449
Goodwill impairment		153
Other operating activities, net	(145)	(76)
Net cash provided by operating activities	1,624	2,245
<i>Investing Activities</i>		
Proceeds from the sale/maturity/prepayment of:		
Fixed maturities, available-for-sale	26,124	37,320
Fixed maturities, fair value option	40	
Equity securities, available-for-sale	130	207
Mortgage loans	366	1,517
Partnerships	151	312
Payments for the purchase of:		
Fixed maturities, available-for-sale	(26,513)	(39,485)
Fixed maturities, fair value option	(664)	
Equity securities, available-for-sale	(200)	(135)
Mortgage loans	(1,503)	(273)
Partnerships	(594)	(226)
Proceeds from business sold	278	130
Derivatives, net	1,603	504
Change in policy loans, net	5	(6)
Change in payables for collateral under securities lending, net		(46)
Other investing activities, net	(119)	(105)
Net cash used for investing activities	(896)	(286)
<i>Financing Activities</i>		
Deposits and other additions to investment and universal life-type contracts	8,419	9,458

Withdrawals and other deductions from investment and universal life-type contracts	(16,123)	(16,426)
Net transfers from separate accounts related to investment and universal life-type contracts	7,661	5,998
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt		1,090
Repayments at maturity for long-term debt and payments on capital lease obligations		(343)
Repayments at maturity or settlement of consumer notes	(33)	(752)
Net proceeds from issuance of mandatory convertible preferred stock		556
Net proceeds from issuance of common shares under public offering		1,600
Redemption of preferred stock issued to the U.S. Treasury		(3,400)
Proceeds from net issuance of shares under incentive and stock compensation plans, excess tax benefit and other	6	17
Dividends paid on preferred stock	(32)	(75)
Dividends paid on common stock	(107)	(62)
Changes in bank deposits and payments on bank advances	(30)	(56)
Net cash used for financing activities	(239)	(2,395)
Foreign exchange rate effect on cash	38	1
Net increase (decrease) in cash	527	(435)
Cash beginning of period	2,062	2,142
Cash end of period	\$ 2,589	\$ 1,707

Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information

Income taxes paid	\$ 245	\$ 249
Interest paid	\$ 340	\$ 324

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Dollar amounts in millions, except for per share data, unless otherwise stated)
(Unaudited)

1. Basis of Presentation and Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc. is a holding company for insurance and financial services subsidiaries that provide investment products and life and property and casualty insurance to both individual and business customers in the United States (collectively, The Hartford or the Company). Also, The Hartford continues to administer business previously sold in Japan and the U.K.

The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared on the basis of accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP), which differ materially from the accounting practices prescribed by various insurance regulatory authorities.

The accompanying Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes as of September 30, 2011, and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 are unaudited. These financial statements reflect all adjustments (consisting only of normal accruals) which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for the fair presentation of the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods. These Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto included in The Hartford s 2010 Form 10-K Annual Report. The results of operations for the interim periods should not be considered indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

Consolidation

The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc., companies in which the Company directly or indirectly has a controlling financial interest and those variable interest entities (VIEs) in which the Company is required to consolidate. Entities in which the Company has significant influence over the operating and financing decisions but are not required to consolidate are reported using the equity method. Material intercompany transactions and balances between The Hartford and its subsidiaries and affiliates have been eliminated. For further discussions on variable interest entities see Note 5 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Discontinued Operations

The Company is presenting the operations of certain businesses that meet the criteria for reporting as discontinued operations. Amounts for prior periods have been retrospectively reclassified. See Note 12 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for information on the specific subsidiaries and related impacts.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements, in conformity with U.S. GAAP, requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

The most significant estimates include those used in determining property and casualty insurance product reserves, net of reinsurance; estimated gross profits used in the valuation and amortization of assets and liabilities associated with variable annuity and other universal life-type contracts; evaluation of other-than-temporary impairments on available-for-sale securities and valuation allowances on investments; living benefits required to be fair valued; goodwill impairment; valuation of investments and derivative instruments; pension and other postretirement benefit obligations; valuation allowance on deferred tax assets; and contingencies relating to corporate litigation and regulatory matters. Certain of these estimates are particularly sensitive to market conditions, and deterioration and/or volatility in the worldwide debt or equity markets could have a material impact on the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Significant Accounting Policies

For a description of significant accounting policies, see Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in The Hartford s 2010 Form 10-K Annual Report, which should be read in conjunction with these

Table of Contents**THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)****1. Basis of Presentation and Accounting Policies (continued)****Future Adoption of New Accounting Standards***Accounting for Costs Associated with Acquiring or Renewing Insurance Contracts*

In October 2010, the FASB issued guidance clarifying the definition of acquisition costs that are eligible for deferral. Acquisition costs are to include only those costs that are directly related to the successful acquisition or renewal of insurance contracts; incremental direct costs of contract acquisition that are incurred in transactions with either independent third parties or employees; and advertising costs meeting the capitalization criteria for direct-response advertising.

This guidance will be effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011, and interim periods within those years. This guidance may be applied prospectively upon the date of adoption, with retrospective application permitted, but not required. Early adoption as of the beginning of a fiscal year is permitted.

The Company intends to adopt this guidance retrospectively on January 1, 2012, resulting in a write down of the Company's deferred acquisition costs relating to those costs which no longer meet the revised guidance as summarized above. The Company estimates the cumulative effect of the retrospective adoption of this guidance will reduce stockholders' equity as of December 31, 2010 between \$1.4 billion and \$1.7 billion after-tax. The actual impact may be different.

Income Taxes

A reconciliation of the tax provision at the U.S. Federal statutory rate to the provision for income taxes is as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Tax expense (benefit) at U.S. Federal statutory rate	\$ (36)	\$ 321	\$ 48	\$ 559
Tax-exempt interest	(37)	(38)	(112)	(116)
Dividends-received deduction	(42)	(34)	(169)	(115)
Valuation allowance	6		(83)	86
Other	8	3	4	23
Income tax expense (benefit)	\$ (101)	\$ 252	\$ (312)	\$ 437

The separate account dividends-received deduction (DRD) is estimated for the current year using information from the prior year-end, adjusted for current year equity market performance and other appropriate factors, including estimated levels of corporate dividend payments and level of policy owner equity account balances. The actual current year DRD can vary from estimates based on, but not limited to, changes in eligible dividends received by the mutual funds, amounts of distribution from these mutual funds, amounts of short-term capital gains at the mutual fund level and the Company's taxable income before the DRD. The Company evaluates its DRD computations on a quarterly basis.

The Company's unrecognized tax benefits were unchanged during the nine months ended September 30, 2011, remaining at \$48 as of September 30, 2011. This entire amount, if it were recognized, would affect the effective tax rate in the period it is released.

The Company's federal income tax returns are routinely audited by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS). Audits have been concluded for all years through 2006. The audit of the years 2007 - 2009 commenced during 2010 and is expected to conclude by the end of 2012. In addition, in the second quarter of 2011 the Company recorded a tax benefit of \$52 as a result of a resolution of a tax matter with the IRS for the computation of DRD for years 1998, 2000 and 2001.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

1. Basis of Presentation and Accounting Policies (continued)

The Company has recorded a deferred tax asset valuation allowance that is adequate to reduce the total deferred tax asset to an amount that will more likely than not be realized. The deferred tax asset valuation allowance was \$90, relating mostly to foreign net operating losses, as of September 30, 2011 and was \$173 as of December 31, 2010. In assessing the need for a valuation allowance, management considered future taxable temporary difference reversals, future taxable income exclusive of reversing temporary differences and carryforwards, taxable income in open carry back years, as well as other tax planning strategies. These tax planning strategies include holding a portion of debt securities with market value losses until recovery, selling appreciated securities to offset capital losses, business considerations such as asset-liability matching, and sales of certain corporate assets. Management views such tax planning strategies as prudent and feasible, and would implement them, if necessary, to realize the deferred tax asset. Based on the availability of additional tax planning strategies identified in the second quarter of 2011, the Company released \$86, or 100% of the valuation allowance associated with investment realized capital losses. Future economic conditions and debt market volatility, including increases in interest rates, can adversely impact the Company's tax planning strategies and in particular the Company's ability to utilize tax benefits on previously recognized realized capital losses.

Included in the Company's September 30, 2011 \$1.6 billion net deferred tax asset is \$1.8 billion relating to items treated as ordinary for federal income tax purposes, and a \$187 net deferred tax liability for items classified as capital in nature. The \$187 capital items are comprised of \$577 of gross deferred tax assets related to realized capital losses and \$764 of gross deferred tax liabilities related to net unrealized capital gains.

Also, for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, the Company incurred a \$19 charge related to a decrease in deferred tax assets as a result of federal legislation that will reduce the tax deduction available to the Company related to retiree health care costs beginning in 2013.

Table of Contents**THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)****2. Earnings (Loss) Per Common Share**

The following table presents a reconciliation of net income and shares used in calculating basic earnings (loss) per common share to those used in calculating diluted earnings (loss) per common share.

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
<i>(In millions, except for per share data)</i>	2011	2010	2011	2010
Earnings				
Income (loss) from continuing operations				
Income (loss) from continuing operations, net of tax	\$ (3)	\$ 665	\$ 450	\$ 1,160
Less: Preferred stock dividends and accretion of discount	10	10	31	504
Income (loss) from continuing operations, net of tax, available to common shareholders	(13)	655	419	656
Add: Dilutive effect of preferred stock dividends		10		
Income (loss) from continuing operations, net of tax, available to common shareholders and assumed conversion of preferred shares	\$ (13)	\$ 665	\$ 419	\$ 656
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	\$ 3	\$ 1	\$ 85	\$ (99)
Net income				
Net income	\$	\$ 666	\$ 535	\$ 1,061
Less: Preferred stock dividends and accretion of discount	10	10	31	504
Net income (loss) available to common shareholders	(10)	656	504	557
Add: Dilutive effect of preferred stock dividends		10		
Net income (loss) available to common shareholders and assumed conversion of preferred shares	\$ (10)	\$ 666	\$ 504	\$ 557
Shares				
Weighted average common shares outstanding, basic	445.3	444.1	445.0	427.2
Dilutive effect of warrants		29.0	34.8	32.6
Dilutive effect of stock compensation plans		1.4	1.2	1.3
		20.8		

Dilutive effect of mandatory convertible preferred shares

Weighted average shares outstanding and dilutive potential common shares

445.3 495.3 481.0 461.1

Earnings (loss) per common share

Basic

Income (loss) from continuing operations, net of tax, available to common shareholders	\$	(0.03)	\$	1.47	\$	0.94	\$	1.54
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax		0.01		0.01		0.19		(0.24)

Net income (loss) available to common shareholders

\$ (0.02) \$ 1.48 \$ 1.13 \$ 1.30

Diluted

Income (loss) from continuing operations, net of tax, available to common shareholders	\$	(0.03)	\$	1.34	\$	0.87	\$	1.42
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax		0.01				0.18		(0.21)

Net income (loss) available to common shareholders

\$ (0.02) \$ 1.34 \$ 1.05 \$ 1.21

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

2. Earnings (Loss) Per Common Share (continued)

The declaration of a quarterly common stock dividend of \$0.10 during the first, second and third quarters of 2011 triggered a provision in The Hartford's Warrant Agreement with The Bank of New York Mellon, relating to warrants to purchase common stock issued in connection with the Company's participation in the Capital Purchase Program, resulting in an adjustment to the warrant exercise price. The warrant exercise price at September 30, 2011, June 30, 2011, March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 was \$9.729, \$9.754, \$9.773 and \$9.790, respectively.

As a result of the losses available to common shareholders in the three months ended September 30, 2011, the Company is required to use basic weighted average common shares outstanding in the calculation of the three months ended September 30, 2011 diluted loss per share, since the inclusion of 27.4 million shares for warrants, 0.7 million shares for stock compensation plans and 20.7 million shares for mandatory convertible preferred shares, along with the related dividend adjustment, would have been antidilutive to the earnings per share calculation. In the absence of the net loss, weighted average common shares outstanding and dilutive potential common shares would have totaled 494.1 million.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, 20.8 million shares for mandatory convertible preferred shares, along with the related dividend adjustment, would have been antidilutive to the earnings per share calculations. Assuming the impact of the mandatory convertible preferred shares was not antidilutive, weighted average common shares outstanding and dilutive potential common shares would have totaled 501.8 million.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, 14.9 million shares for mandatory convertible preferred shares, along with the related dividend adjustment, would have been antidilutive to the earnings per share calculation. Assuming the impact of the mandatory convertible preferred shares was not antidilutive, weighted average common shares outstanding and dilutive potential common shares would have totaled 476.0 million.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

3. Segment Information

The Hartford is organized into three customer-oriented divisions, Commercial Markets, Consumer Markets and Wealth Management, conducting business principally in seven reporting segments. The Company's seven reporting segments, as well as the Corporate and Other category, are as follows:

Commercial Markets

Property & Casualty Commercial

Property & Casualty Commercial provides workers' compensation, property, automobile, marine, livestock, liability and umbrella coverages primarily throughout the United States (U.S.), along with a variety of customized insurance products and risk management services including professional liability, fidelity, surety and specialty casualty coverages.

Group Benefits

Group Benefits provides employers, associations, affinity groups and financial institutions with group life, accident and disability coverage, along with other products and services, including voluntary benefits and group retiree health.

Consumer Markets

Consumer Markets provides standard automobile, homeowners and home-based business coverages to individuals across the U.S., including a special program designed exclusively for members of AARP. Consumer Markets also operates a member contact center for health insurance products offered through the AARP Health program.

Wealth Management

Global Annuity

Global Annuity offers individual variable, fixed market value adjusted (fixed MVA) and single premium immediate annuities in the U.S., a range of products to institutional investors, including but not limited to, stable value contracts, and administers investments, retirement savings and other insurance and savings products to individuals and groups outside the U.S., primarily in Japan and Europe.

Life Insurance

Life Insurance sells a variety of life insurance products, including variable universal life, universal life, and term life, as well as private placement life insurance (PPLI) owned by corporations and high net worth individuals.

Retirement Plans

Retirement Plans provides products and services to corporations pursuant to Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code), and products and services to municipalities and not-for-profit organizations under Sections 457 and 403(b) of the Code, collectively referred to as government plans.

Mutual Funds

Mutual Funds offers retail mutual funds, investment-only mutual funds and college savings plans under Section 529 of the Code (collectively referred to as non-proprietary) and proprietary mutual funds supporting insurance products issued by The Hartford.

Corporate and Other

The Hartford includes in Corporate and Other the Company's debt financing and related interest expense, as well as other capital raising activities; banking operations; certain fee income and commission expenses associated with sales of non-proprietary products by broker-dealer subsidiaries; and certain purchase accounting adjustments and other charges not allocated to the segments. Also included in Corporate and Other is the Company's management of certain property and casualty operations that have discontinued writing new business and substantially all of the Company's asbestos and environmental exposures, collectively referred to as Other Operations.

Financial Measures and Other Segment Information

The following table presents net income (loss) for each reporting segment, as well as the Corporate and Other category.

**Three Months Ended
September 30,**

**Nine Months Ended
September 30,**

	2011	2010	2011	2010
Property & Casualty Commercial	\$ 52	\$ 306	\$ 500	\$ 782
Group Benefits	25	46	77	145
Consumer Markets	(16)	70	(80)	113
Global Annuity	49	175	327	141
Life Insurance	(3)	97	98	224
Retirement Plans	(32)	30	13	38
Mutual Funds	24	18	79	67
Corporate and Other	(99)	(76)	(479)	(449)
Net income	\$	\$ 666	\$ 535	\$ 1,061

Table of Contents**THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)****3. Segment Information (continued)**

The following table presents revenues by product line for each reporting segment, as well as the Corporate and Other category.

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Earned premiums, fees, and other considerations				
Property & Casualty Commercial				
Workers compensation	\$ 721	\$ 605	\$ 2,071	\$ 1,754
Property	129	137	398	412
Automobile	146	149	437	453
Package business	289	281	857	842
Liability	135	129	404	405
Fidelity and surety	55	56	164	169
Professional liability	78	82	237	243
Total Property & Casualty Commercial	1,553	1,439	4,568	4,278
Group Benefits				
Group disability	467	487	1,460	1,520
Group life and accident	501	513	1,529	1,539
Other	48	58	147	175
Total Group Benefits	1,016	1,058	3,136	3,234
Consumer Markets				
Automobile	649	698	1,978	2,122
Homeowners	281	287	847	854
Total Consumer Markets [1]	930	985	2,825	2,976
Global Annuity				
Variable annuity	600	629	1,870	1,855
Fixed / MVA and other annuity	29	24	56	49
Institutional investment products	(5)	4	(7)	21
Total Global Annuity	624	657	1,919	1,925
Life Insurance				
Variable life	122	113	304	315
Universal life	109	72	324	282
Term / other life	13	12	37	36
PPLI	42	46	131	129
Total Life Insurance	286	243	796	762
Retirement Plans				
401(k)	82	77	254	233
Government plans	11	12	37	32
Total Retirement Plans	93	89	291	265

Mutual Funds				
Non-proprietary	138	144	461	447
Proprietary	15	15	45	46
Total Mutual Funds	153	159	506	493
Corporate and Other				
	55	47	161	143
Total earned premiums, fees, and other considerations				
	4,710	4,677	14,202	14,076
Net investment income (loss):				
Securities available-for-sale and other Equity securities, trading	1,062	1,073	3,274	3,275
	(1,890)	1,043	(1,684)	(905)
Total net investment income (loss)	(828)	2,116	1,590	2,370
Net realized capital gains (losses)	575	(257)	241	(522)
Other revenues	63	66	188	195
Total revenues	\$ 4,520	\$ 6,602	\$ 16,221	\$ 16,119

[1] For the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, AARP members accounted for earned premiums of \$687 and \$712, respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, AARP members accounted for earned premiums of \$2.1 billion and \$2.1 billion, respectively.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

4. Fair Value Measurements – Financial Instruments Excluding Guaranteed Living Benefits

The following financial instruments are carried at fair value in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements: fixed maturity and equity securities, available-for-sale (AFS); fixed maturities at fair value using fair value option (FVO); equity securities, trading; short-term investments; freestanding and embedded derivatives; separate account assets; and certain other liabilities.

The following section and Note 4a apply the fair value hierarchy and disclosure requirements for the Company's financial instruments that are carried at fair value. The fair value hierarchy prioritizes the inputs in the valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three broad Levels (Level 1, 2 or 3).

Level 1 Observable inputs that reflect quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the Company has the ability to access at the measurement date. Level 1 securities include highly liquid U.S. Treasuries, money market funds and exchange traded equity securities, open-ended mutual funds reported in separate account assets and derivative securities, including futures and certain option contracts.

Level 2 Observable inputs, other than quoted prices included in Level 1, for the asset or liability or prices for similar assets and liabilities. Most fixed maturities and preferred stocks, including those reported in separate account assets, are model priced by vendors using observable inputs and are classified within Level 2. Also included in the Level 2 category are exchange traded equity securities, investment grade private placement securities and derivative instruments that are priced using models with significant observable market inputs, including interest rate, foreign currency and certain credit default swap contracts and have no significant unobservable market inputs.

Level 3 Valuations that are derived from techniques in which one or more of the significant inputs are unobservable (including assumptions about risk). Level 3 securities include less liquid securities such as lower quality asset-backed securities (ABS), commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS), commercial real estate (CRE) collateralized debt obligations (CDOs), residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS) primarily backed by below-prime loans and below investment grade private placement securities. Also included in Level 3 are guaranteed product embedded and reinsurance derivatives and other complex derivative securities, including customized guaranteed minimum withdrawal benefit (GMWB) hedging derivatives (see Note 4a for further information on GMWB product related financial instruments), equity derivatives, long dated derivatives, swaps with optionality, certain complex credit derivatives and certain other liabilities. Because Level 3 fair values, by their nature, contain one or more significant unobservable inputs as there is little or no observable market for these assets and liabilities, considerable judgment is used to determine the Level 3 fair values. Level 3 fair values represent the Company's best estimate of an amount that could be realized in a current market exchange absent actual market exchanges.

In many situations, inputs used to measure the fair value of an asset or liability position may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In these situations, the Company will determine the level in which the fair value falls based upon the lowest level input that is significant to the determination of the fair value. Transfers of securities among the levels occur at the beginning of the reporting period. Transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 were not material for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010. In most cases, both observable (e.g., changes in interest rates) and unobservable (e.g., changes in risk assumptions) inputs are used in the determination of fair values that the Company has classified within Level 3. Consequently, these values and the related gains and losses are based upon both observable and unobservable inputs. The Company's fixed maturities included in Level 3 are classified as such as they are primarily priced by independent brokers and/or within illiquid markets.

Table of Contents**THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)****4. Fair Value Measurements – Financial Instruments Excluding Guaranteed Living Benefits (continued)**

These disclosures provide information as to the extent to which the Company uses fair value to measure financial instruments and information about the inputs used to value those financial instruments to allow users to assess the relative reliability of the measurements. The following tables present assets and (liabilities) carried at fair value by hierarchy level, excluding those related to the Company's living benefits and associated hedging programs, which are reported in Note 4a.

	Total	September 30, 2011		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Assets accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis				
Fixed maturities, AFS				
ABS	\$ 3,504	\$	\$ 3,034	\$ 470
CDOs	2,465			2,465
CMBS	6,960		6,306	654
Corporate	43,316		41,097	2,219
Foreign government/government agencies States, municipalities and political subdivisions (Municipal)	1,944		1,867	77
RMBS	13,164		12,753	411
U.S. Treasuries	5,336		4,229	1,107
	3,574	574	3,000	
Total fixed maturities, AFS	80,263	574	72,286	7,403
Fixed maturities, FVO	1,323		831	492
Equity securities, trading	30,770	1,953	28,817	
Equity securities, AFS	989	346	550	93
Derivative assets				
Credit derivatives	(26)		(24)	(2)
Equity derivatives	35			35
Foreign exchange derivatives	724		724	
Interest rate derivatives	267		228	39
Other derivative contracts	29			29
Total derivative assets [1]	1,029		928	101
Short-term investments	9,704	337	9,367	
Separate account assets [2]	136,113	97,722	37,207	1,184
Total assets accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis	\$ 260,191	\$ 100,932	\$ 149,986	\$ 9,273
Percentage of level to total	100%	39%	57%	4%

Liabilities accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis

Other policyholder funds and benefits payable

Equity linked notes	\$	(6)	\$	\$	\$	(6)
Derivative liabilities						
Credit derivatives		(542)		(1)		(541)
Equity derivatives		1				1
Foreign exchange derivatives		(12)		(12)		
Interest rate derivatives		(310)		(262)		(48)
Total derivative liabilities [3]		(863)		(275)		(588)
Other liabilities		(13)				(13)
Consumer notes [4]		(4)				(4)

Total liabilities accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis

\$	(886)	\$	\$	(275)	\$	(611)
----	--------	----	----	--------	----	--------

[1] Includes over-the-counter derivative instruments in a net asset value position which may require the counterparty to pledge collateral to the Company. As of September 30, 2011, \$2.0 billion of a cash collateral liability was netted against the derivative asset value in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet and is excluded from the table above. See footnote 3 below for derivative liabilities.

[2] As of September 30, 2011, excludes approximately \$8 billion of investment sales receivable that are not subject to fair value accounting.

[3] Includes over-the-counter derivative instruments in a net negative market value position (derivative liability). In the Level 3 roll-forward table included below in this Note 4, the derivative asset and liability are referred to as freestanding derivatives and are presented on a net basis.

[4] Represents embedded derivatives associated with non-funding agreement-backed consumer equity linked notes.

Table of Contents**THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)****4. Fair Value Measurements Financial Instruments Excluding Guaranteed Living Benefits (continued)**

	December 31, 2010			
	Total	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Assets accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis				
Fixed maturities, AFS				
ABS	\$ 2,889	\$	\$ 2,412	\$ 477
CDOs	2,611		30	2,581
CMBS	7,917		7,228	689
Corporate	39,884		37,755	2,129
Foreign government/government agencies	1,683		1,627	56
Municipal	12,124		11,852	272
RMBS	5,683		4,398	1,285
U.S. Treasuries	5,029	434	4,595	
Total fixed maturities, AFS	77,820	434	69,897	7,489
Fixed maturities, FVO	649		127	522
Equity securities, trading	32,820	2,279	30,541	
Equity securities, AFS	973	298	521	154
Derivative assets				
Credit derivatives	3		(18)	21
Equity derivatives	2			2
Foreign exchange derivatives	868		868	
Interest rate derivatives	(106)		(70)	(36)
Other derivative contracts	32			32
Total derivative assets [1]	799		780	19
Short-term investments	8,528	541	7,987	
Separate account assets [2]	153,727	116,717	35,763	1,247
Total assets accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis	\$ 275,316	\$ 120,269	\$ 145,616	\$ 9,431
Percentage of level to total	100%	44%	53%	3%

Liabilities accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis

Other policyholder funds and benefits payable

Equity linked notes	\$	(9)	\$	\$	\$	(9)
Derivative liabilities						
Credit derivatives		(482)			(71)	(411)
Equity derivatives		2				2
Foreign exchange derivatives		(34)			(34)	
Interest rate derivatives		(266)			(249)	(17)
Total derivative liabilities [3]		(780)			(354)	(426)
Other liabilities		(37)				(37)
Consumer notes [4]		(5)				(5)
Total liabilities accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis	\$	(831)	\$	\$	(354)	(477)

[1] Includes over-the-counter derivative instruments in a net asset value position which may require the counterparty to pledge collateral to the Company. As of December 31, 2010, \$968 of cash collateral liability was netted against the derivative asset value in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet and is excluded from the table above. See footnote 3 below for derivative liabilities.

[2] As of December 31, 2010, excludes approximately \$6 billion of investment sales receivable that are not subject to fair value accounting.

[3] Includes over-the-counter derivative instruments in a net negative market value position (derivative liability). In the Level 3 roll-forward table included below in this Note 4, the derivative asset and liability are referred to as freestanding derivatives and are presented on a net basis.

[4] Represents embedded derivatives associated with non-funding agreement-backed consumer equity linked notes.

Table of Contents**THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)****4. Fair Value Measurements – Financial Instruments Excluding Guaranteed Living Benefits (continued)*****Determination of Fair Values***

The valuation methodologies used to determine the fair values of assets and liabilities under the exit price notion reflect market-participant objectives and are based on the application of the fair value hierarchy that prioritizes relevant observable market inputs over unobservable inputs. The Company determines the fair values of certain financial assets and financial liabilities based on quoted market prices where available and where prices represent a reasonable estimate of fair value. The Company also determines fair value based on future cash flows discounted at the appropriate current market rate. Fair values reflect adjustments for counterparty credit quality, the Company's default spreads, liquidity and, where appropriate, risk margins on unobservable parameters. The following is a discussion of the methodologies used to determine fair values for the financial instruments listed in the above tables.

Available-for-Sale Securities, Fixed Maturities, FVO, Equity Securities, Trading, and Short-term Investments

The fair value of AFS securities, fixed maturities, FVO, equity securities, trading, and short-term investments in an active and orderly market (e.g. not distressed or forced liquidation) are determined by management after considering one of three primary sources of information: third-party pricing services, independent broker quotations or pricing matrices. Security pricing is applied using a waterfall approach whereby publicly available prices are first sought from third-party pricing services, the remaining unpriced securities are submitted to independent brokers for prices, or lastly, securities are priced using a pricing matrix. Based on the typical trading volumes and the lack of quoted market prices for fixed maturities, third-party pricing services will normally derive the security prices from recent reported trades for identical or similar securities making adjustments through the reporting date based upon available market observable information as outlined above. If there are no recently reported trades, the third-party pricing services and independent brokers may use matrix or model processes to develop a security price where future cash flow expectations are developed based upon collateral performance and discounted at an estimated market rate. Included in the pricing of ABS and RMBS are estimates of the rate of future prepayments of principal over the remaining life of the securities. Such estimates are derived based on the characteristics of the underlying structure and prepayment speeds previously experienced at the interest rate levels projected for the underlying collateral. Actual prepayment experience may vary from these estimates.

Prices from third-party pricing services are often unavailable for securities that are rarely traded or are traded only in privately negotiated transactions. As a result, certain securities are priced via independent broker quotations which utilize inputs that may be difficult to corroborate with observable market based data. Additionally, the majority of these independent broker quotations are non-binding.

A pricing matrix is used to price private placement securities for which the Company is unable to obtain a price from a third-party pricing service by discounting the expected future cash flows from the security by a developed market discount rate utilizing current credit spreads. Credit spreads are developed each month using market based data for public securities adjusted for credit spread differentials between public and private securities which are obtained from a survey of multiple private placement brokers. The appropriate credit spreads determined through this survey approach are based upon the issuer's financial strength and term to maturity, utilizing an independent public security index and trade information and adjusting for the non-public nature of the securities.

The Company performs a monthly analysis of the prices and credit spreads received from third parties to ensure that the prices represent a reasonable estimate of the fair value. As a part of this analysis, the Company considers trading volume and other factors to determine whether the decline in market activity is significant when compared to normal activity in an active market, and if so, whether transactions may not be orderly considering the weight of available evidence. If the available evidence indicates that pricing is based upon transactions that are stale or not orderly, the Company places little, if any, weight on the transaction price and will estimate fair value utilizing an internal pricing model. This process involves quantitative and qualitative analysis and is overseen by investment and accounting professionals. Examples of procedures performed include, but are not limited to, initial and on-going review of third-party pricing services' methodologies, review of pricing statistics and trends, back testing recent trades, and monitoring of trading volumes, new issuance activity and other market activities. In addition, the Company ensures

that prices received from independent brokers represent a reasonable estimate of fair value through the use of internal and external cash flow models developed based on spreads, and when available, market indices. As a result of this analysis, if the Company determines that there is a more appropriate fair value based upon the available market data, the price received from the third party is adjusted accordingly. The Company's internal pricing model utilizes the Company's best estimate of expected future cash flows discounted at a rate of return that a market participant would require. The significant inputs to the model include, but are not limited to, current market inputs, such as credit loss assumptions, estimated prepayment speeds and market risk premiums.

Table of Contents**THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)****4. Fair Value Measurements – Financial Instruments Excluding Guaranteed Living Benefits (continued)**

The Company has analyzed the third-party pricing services' valuation methodologies and related inputs, and has also evaluated the various types of securities in its investment portfolio to determine an appropriate fair value hierarchy level based upon trading activity and the observability of market inputs. Most prices provided by third-party pricing services are classified into Level 2 because the inputs used in pricing the securities are market observable. Due to a general lack of transparency in the process that brokers use to develop prices, most valuations that are based on brokers' prices are classified as Level 3. Some valuations may be classified as Level 2 if the price can be corroborated with observable market data.

Derivative Instruments, including embedded derivatives within investments

Derivative instruments are fair valued using pricing valuation models that utilize independent market data inputs, quoted market prices for exchange-traded derivatives, or independent broker quotations. Excluding embedded and reinsurance related derivatives, as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, 99% and 97%, respectively, of derivatives, based upon notional values, were priced by valuation models or quoted market prices. The remaining derivatives were priced by broker quotations. The Company performs a monthly analysis on derivative valuations which includes both quantitative and qualitative analysis. Examples of procedures performed include, but are not limited to, review of pricing statistics and trends, back testing recent trades, analyzing the impacts of changes in the market environment, and review of changes in market value for each derivative including those derivatives priced by brokers.

The Company utilizes derivative instruments to manage the risk associated with certain assets and liabilities. However, the derivative instrument may not be classified with the same fair value hierarchy level as the associated assets and liabilities. Therefore the realized and unrealized gains and losses on derivatives reported in Level 3 may not reflect the offsetting impact of the realized and unrealized gains and losses of the associated assets and liabilities.

Valuation Techniques and Inputs for Investments

Generally, the Company determines the estimated fair value of its AFS securities, fixed maturities, FVO, equity securities, trading, and short-term investments using the market approach. The income approach is used for securities priced using a pricing matrix, as well as for derivative instruments. For Level 1 investments, which are comprised of on-the-run U.S. Treasuries, exchange-traded equity securities, short-term investments, and exchange traded futures and option contracts, valuations are based on observable inputs that reflect quoted prices for identical assets in active markets that the Company has the ability to access at the measurement date.

For most of the Company's debt securities, the following inputs are typically used in the Company's pricing methods: reported trades, benchmark yields, bids and/or estimated cash flows. For securities, except U.S. Treasuries, inputs also include issuer spreads, which may consider credit default swap curves. Derivative instruments are valued using mid-market inputs that are predominantly observable in the market.

A description of additional inputs used in the Company's Level 2 and Level 3 measurements is listed below:

Level 2 The fair values of most of the Company's Level 2 investments are determined by management after considering prices received from third party pricing services. These investments include most fixed maturities and preferred stocks, including those reported in separate account assets.

ABS, CDOs, CMBS and RMBS Primary inputs also include monthly payment information, collateral performance, which varies by vintage year and includes delinquency rates, collateral valuation loss severity rates, collateral refinancing assumptions, credit default swap indices and, for ABS and RMBS, estimated prepayment rates.

Corporates Primary inputs also include observations of credit default swap curves related to the issuer.

Foreign government/government agencies - Primary inputs also include observations of credit default swap curves related to the issuer and political events in emerging markets.

Municipals Primary inputs also include Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board reported trades and material event notices, and issuer financial statements.

Short-term investments Primary inputs also include material event notices and new issue money market rates.

Equity securities, trading Consist of investments in mutual funds. Primary inputs include net asset values obtained from third party pricing services.

Credit derivatives Significant inputs primarily include the swap yield curve and credit curves.

Foreign exchange derivatives Significant inputs primarily include the swap yield curve, currency spot and forward rates, and cross currency basis curves.

Interest rate derivatives Significant input is primarily the swap yield curve.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

4. Fair Value Measurements – Financial Instruments Excluding Guaranteed Living Benefits (continued)

Level 3 Most of the Company's securities classified as Level 3 are valued based on brokers' prices. Certain long-dated securities are priced based on third party pricing services, including municipal securities and foreign government/government agencies, as well as bank loans and below investment grade private placement securities. Primary inputs for these long-dated securities are consistent with the typical inputs used in Level 1 and Level 2 measurements noted above, but include benchmark interest rate or credit spread assumptions that are not observable in the marketplace. Also included in Level 3 are certain derivative instruments that either have significant unobservable inputs or are valued based on broker quotations. Significant inputs for these derivative contracts primarily include the typical inputs used in the Level 1 and Level 2 measurements noted above, but also may include the following:

Credit derivatives Significant unobservable inputs may include credit correlation and swap yield curve and credit curve extrapolation beyond observable limits.

Equity derivatives Significant unobservable inputs may include equity volatility.

Interest rate contracts Significant unobservable inputs may include swap yield curve extrapolation beyond observable limits and interest rate volatility.

Separate Account Assets

Separate account assets are primarily invested in mutual funds but also have investments in fixed maturity and equity securities. The separate account investments are valued in the same manner, and using the same pricing sources and inputs, as the fixed maturity, equity security, and short-term investments of the Company.

Table of Contents**THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)****4. Fair Value Measurements – Financial Instruments Excluding Guaranteed Living Benefits (continued)****Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)**

The tables below provide fair value roll forwards for the three and nine months ending September 30, 2011 and 2010, for the financial instruments classified as Level 3, excluding those related to the Company's living benefits and associated hedging programs, which are reported in Note 4a.

For the three months ended September 30, 2011

Assets	Fixed Maturities, AFS							Total Fixed Maturities, AFS
	ABS	CDOs	CMBS	Corporate	Foreign gov./govt. agencies	Municipal	RMBS	
Fair value as of June 30, 2011	\$ 452	\$ 2,575	\$ 654	\$ 2,110	\$ 51	\$ 280	\$ 1,114	\$ 7,236
Total realized/unrealized gains (losses) Included in net income [1]	(15)	(26)		(9)				(50)
Included in OCI [2]	(2)	(34)	(56)	(63)	(1)	46	(39)	(149)
Purchases	58		25	42	1	85		211
Settlements	(14)	(50)	(12)	(41)	(1)		(36)	(154)
Sales	(8)		(2)	(7)	(1)			(18)
Transfers into Level 3 [3]	14		45	268	28		68	423
Transfers out of Level 3 [3]	(15)			(81)				(96)
Fair value as of September 30, 2011	\$ 470	\$ 2,465	\$ 654	\$ 2,219	\$ 77	\$ 411	\$ 1,107	\$ 7,403
Changes in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income related to financial instruments still held at September 30, 2011 [1]	\$ (15)	\$ (26)	\$	\$ (9)	\$	\$	\$	\$ (50)

Freestanding Derivatives [4]

Assets	Fixed Maturities FVO	Equity Securities, AFS	Credit Derivatives	Equity Derivatives	Interest Rate Derivatives	Other Derivative Contracts	Total Free-Standing Derivatives	Separate Accounts

Edgar Filing: HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP INC/DE - Form 10-Q

Fair value as of June 30, 2011	\$ 556	\$ 100	\$ (402)	\$ 6	\$ 7	\$ 30	\$ (359)	\$ 1,068
Total realized/unrealized gains (losses)								
Included in net income [1]	(24)		(142)	5	(16)	(1)	(154)	11
Included in OCI [2]		(6)						
Purchases				25			25	131
Settlements	(1)		1				1	
Sales	(39)	(1)						(11)
Transfers into Level 3 [3]								1
Transfers out of Level 3 [3]								(16)
Fair value as of September 30, 2011	\$ 492	\$ 93	\$ (543)	\$ 36	\$ (9)	\$ 29	\$ (487)	\$ 1,184

Changes in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income related to financial instruments still held at September 30, 2011 [1]	\$ (24)	\$	\$ (140)	\$ 5	\$ (16)	\$ (1)	\$ (152)	\$ 8
---	---------	----	----------	------	---------	--------	----------	------

Liabilities	Equity Linked Notes	Other Liabilities	Consumer Notes
Fair value as of June 30, 2011	\$ (10)	\$ (44)	\$ (4)
Total realized/unrealized gains (losses)			
Included in net income [1]	4	31	
Fair value as of September 30, 2011	\$ (6)	\$ (13)	\$ (4)
Changes in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income related to financial instruments still held at September 30, 2011 [1]	\$ 4	\$ 31	\$

Table of Contents**THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)****4. Fair Value Measurements – Financial Instruments Excluding Guaranteed Living Benefits (continued)**

For the nine months ended September 30, 2011

Assets	Fixed Maturities, AFS							Total Fixed Maturities, AFS
	ABS	CDOs	CMBS	Corporate	Foreign govt./govt. agencies	Municipal	RMBS	
Fair value as of January 1, 2011	\$ 477	\$ 2,581	\$ 689	\$ 2,129	\$ 56	\$ 272	\$ 1,285	\$ 7,489
Total realized/unrealized gains (losses) Included in net income [1]	(21)	(41)	11	(37)			(9)	(97)
Included in OCI [2]	35	89	91	(44)		55	(14)	212
Purchases	58		25	94	3	85	25	290
Settlements	(32)	(128)	(42)	(114)	(3)		(103)	(422)
Sales	(10)	(66)	(317)	(141)	(6)	(2)	(16)	(558)
Transfers into Level 3 [3]	82	30	197	541	39	4	82	975
Transfers out of Level 3 [3]	(119)			(209)	(12)	(3)	(143)	(486)
Fair value as of September 30, 2011	\$ 470	\$ 2,465	\$ 654	\$ 2,219	\$ 77	\$ 411	\$ 1,107	\$ 7,403
Changes in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income related to financial instruments still held at September 30, 2011 [1]	\$ (21)	\$ (41)	\$ 11	\$ (37)	\$	\$	\$ (9)	\$ (97)

Freestanding Derivatives [4]

Assets	Fixed	Equity	Credit Derivatives	Equity	Interest	Other	Total	Separate Accounts
	Maturities FVO	Securities, AFS		Derivatives	Rate Derivatives	Derivative Contracts	Free- Standing Derivatives	
Fair value as of January 1, 2011	\$ 522	\$ 154	\$ (390)	\$ 4	\$ (53)	\$ 32	\$ (407)	\$ 1,247
Total realized/unrealized gains (losses)								

Included in net income [1]	12	(10)	(148)	7	(21)	(3)	(165)	35
Included in OCI [2]		(5)						
Purchases		37	1	25	64		90	165
Settlements	(3)							
Sales	(39)	(2)	(6)		1		(5)	(180)
Transfers into Level 3 [3]								13
Transfers out of Level 3 [3]		(81)						(96)
Fair value as of September 30, 2011	\$ 492	\$ 93	\$ (543)	\$ 36	\$ (9)	\$ 29	\$ (487)	\$ 1,184

Changes in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income related to financial instruments still held at September 30, 2011 [1]	\$ 12	\$ (11)	\$ (148)	\$ 7	\$ (19)	\$ (3)	\$ (163)	\$ 9
---	-------	---------	----------	------	---------	--------	----------	------

Liabilities	Equity Linked Notes	Other Liabilities	Consumer Notes
Fair value as of January 1, 2011	\$ (9)	\$ (37)	\$ (5)
Total realized/unrealized gains (losses) Included in net income [1]	3	24	1
Settlements			
Fair value as of September 30, 2011	\$ (6)	\$ (13)	\$ (4)
Changes in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income related to financial instruments still held at September 30, 2011 [1]	\$ 3	\$ 24	\$ 1

Table of Contents**THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)****4. Fair Value Measurements – Financial Instruments Excluding Guaranteed Living Benefits (continued)****For the three months ended September 30, 2010**

Assets	Fixed Maturities, AFS							Total Fixed Maturities, AFS
	ABS	CDOs	CMBS	Corporate	Foreign govt./ govt. agencies	Municipal	RMBS	
Fair value as of June 30, 2010	\$ 548	\$ 2,778	\$ 652	\$ 8,816	\$ 51	\$ 317	\$ 1,466	\$ 14,628
Total realized/unrealized gains (losses) Included in net income [1]	(6)	(45)	(23)	(10)		1	(4)	(87)
Included in OCI [2]	28	110	58	74	1	14	56	341
Purchases, issuances, and settlements	(26)	(110)	(32)	(140)	(1)	(30)	(243)	(582)
Transfers into Level 3 [3]		15	36	5		11		67
Transfers out of Level 3 [3]	(35)	(192)	(78)	(6,635)		(24)	(3)	(6,967)
Fair value as of September 30, 2010	\$ 509	\$ 2,556	\$ 613	\$ 2,110	\$ 51	\$ 289	\$ 1,272	\$ 7,400
Changes in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income related to financial instruments still held at September 30, 2010 [1]	\$	\$ (47)	\$ (34)	\$ (11)	\$	\$	\$ (4)	\$ (96)

Freestanding Derivatives [4]

Assets	Fixed	Equity	Credit Derivatives	Equity	Interest	Other	Total	Separate Accounts
	Maturities FVO	Securities, AFS		Derivatives	Rate Derivatives	Derivative Contracts	Free- Standing Derivatives	
Fair value as of June 30, 2010	\$	\$ 80	\$ (533)	\$	\$ (49)	\$ 35	\$ (547)	\$ 937
Total realized/unrealized gains (losses)								

Included in net income [1]	48		80	4	(1)	83	13
Included in OCI [2]		(1)					
Purchases, issuances, and settlements	(1)	7	(3)			(3)	72
Transfers into Level 3 [3]	453	14					61
Transfers out of Level 3 [3]							(6)
Fair value as of September 30, 2010	\$ 500	\$ 100	\$ (456)	\$ 4	\$ (49)	\$ 34	\$ (467) \$ 1,077

Changes in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income related to financial instruments still held at September 30, 2010 [1]	\$ 48	\$	\$ 79	\$ 4	\$ 1	\$ (1)	\$ 83	\$ 9
---	-------	----	-------	------	------	--------	-------	------

Other Policyholder Funds and Benefits Payable

	Institutional Notes	Equity Linked Notes	Total Other Policyholder Funds and Benefits Payable	Other Liabilities	Consumer Notes
Liabilities					
Fair value as of June 30, 2010	\$ 2	\$ (7)	\$ (5)	\$ (16)	\$ (4)
Total realized/unrealized gains (losses)					
Included in net income [1]	1	(1)		(14)	
Fair Value as of September 30, 2010	\$ 3	\$ (8)	\$ (5)	\$ (30)	\$ (4)
Changes in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income related to financial instruments still held at September 30, 2010 [1]	\$ 1	\$ (1)	\$	\$	\$

Table of Contents**THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)****4. Fair Value Measurements – Financial Instruments Excluding Guaranteed Living Benefits (continued)**

For the nine months ended September 30, 2010

Assets	Fixed Maturities, AFS							Total Fixed Maturities, AFS
	ABS	CDOs	CMBS	Corporate	Foreign govt./ govt. agencies	Municipal	RMBS	
Fair value as of January 1, 2010	\$ 580	\$ 2,835	\$ 307	\$ 8,027	\$ 93	\$ 262	\$ 1,153	\$ 13,257
Total realized/unrealized gains (losses)								
Included in net income [1]	(9)	(130)	(137)	(2)		1	(38)	(315)
Included in OCI [2]	71	430	333	306	3	48	220	1,411
Purchases, issuances, and settlements	(49)	(177)	(55)	137	(9)	(5)	(37)	(195)
Transfers into Level 3 [3]	28	42	302	515	6	11		904
Transfers out of Level 3 [3]	(112)	(444)	(137)	(6,873)	(42)	(28)	(26)	(7,662)
Fair value as of September 30, 2010	\$ 509	\$ 2,556	\$ 613	\$ 2,110	\$ 51	\$ 289	\$ 1,272	\$ 7,400
Changes in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income related to financial instruments still held at September 30, 2010 [1]	\$ (2)	\$ (137)	\$ (108)	\$ (4)	\$	\$	\$ (33)	\$ (284)

Freestanding Derivatives [4]

Assets	Fixed	Equity	Credit Derivatives	Equity	Interest	Other	Total	Separate Accounts
	Maturities FVO	Securities, AFS		Derivatives	Rate Derivatives	Derivative Contracts	Free- Standing Derivatives	
Fair value as of January 1, 2010	\$	\$ 58	\$ (228)	\$ (2)	\$ 5	\$ 36	\$ (189)	\$ 962
Total realized/unrealized gains (losses)								

Included in net income [1]	48	(2)	60	6	1	(2)	65	29
Included in OCI [2]		8						
Purchases, issuances, and settlements	(1)	16	2		(44)		(42)	154
Transfers into Level 3 [3]	453	20	(290)				(290)	65
Transfers out of Level 3 [3]					(11)		(11)	(133)
Fair value as of September 30, 2010	\$ 500	\$ 100	\$ (456)	\$ 4	\$ (49)	\$ 34	\$ (467)	\$ 1,077

Changes in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income related to financial instruments still held at September 30, 2010 [1]	\$ 48	\$ (5)	\$ 59	\$ 6	\$ (19)	\$ (2)	\$ 44	\$ 21
---	-------	--------	-------	------	---------	--------	-------	-------

Other Policyholder Funds and Benefits Payable

Liabilities	Institutional Notes	Equity Linked Notes	Total Other Policyholder Funds and Benefits Payable	Other Liabilities	Consumer Notes
Fair value as of January 1, 2010	\$ (2)	\$ (10)	\$ (12)	\$	\$ (5)
Total realized/unrealized gains (losses)					
Included in net income [1]	5	2	7	(19)	1
Transfers into Level 3 [3]				(11)	
Fair Value as of September 30, 2010	\$ 3	\$ (8)	\$ (5)	\$ (30)	\$ (4)

Changes in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income related to financial instruments still held at September 30, 2010 [1]	\$ 5	\$ 2	\$ 7	\$	\$ 1
---	------	------	------	----	------

[1] All amounts in these rows are reported in net realized capital gains/losses. The realized/unrealized gains (losses) included in net income for separate account assets are offset by an equal amount for separate account liabilities, which results in a net zero impact on net income for the Company. All amounts are before income taxes and amortization DAC.

[2] *All amounts are before income taxes and amortization of DAC.*

[3] *Transfers in and/or (out) of Level 3 are primarily attributable to the availability of market observable information and the re-evaluation of the observability of pricing inputs.*

[4] *Derivative instruments are reported in this table on a net basis for asset/(liability) positions and reported in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet in other investments and other liabilities.*

Table of Contents**THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)****4. Fair Value Measurements – Financial Instruments Excluding Guaranteed Living Benefits (continued)*****Fair Value Option***

The Company elected the fair value option for its investments containing an embedded credit derivative which were not bifurcated as a result of new accounting guidance effective July 1, 2010. The underlying credit risk of these securities is primarily corporate bonds and commercial real estate. The Company elected the fair value option given the complexity of bifurcating the economic components associated with the embedded credit derivative. Additionally, the Company elected the fair value option for purchases of foreign government securities to align with the accounting for yen-based fixed annuity liabilities, which are adjusted for changes in spot rates through realized gains and losses. Similar to other fixed maturities, income earned from these securities is recorded in net investment income. Changes in the fair value of these securities are recorded in net realized capital gains and losses.

The Company previously elected the fair value option for one of its consolidated VIEs in order to apply a consistent accounting model for the VIE's assets and liabilities. The VIE is an investment vehicle that holds high quality investments, derivative instruments that reference third-party corporate credit and issues notes to investors that reflect the credit characteristics of the high quality investments and derivative instruments. The risks and rewards associated with the assets of the VIE inure to the investors. The investors have no recourse against the Company. As a result, there has been no adjustment to the market value of the notes for the Company's own credit risk.

The following table presents the changes in fair value of those assets and liabilities accounted for using the fair value option reported in net realized capital gains and losses in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

<i>(Before-tax)</i>	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Assets				
Fixed maturities, FVO				
ABS	\$	\$ 1	\$	\$ 3
CRE CDOs	(64)	44	(43)	44
Corporate	(3)	4	11	
Foreign government	33		44	
Other liabilities				
Credit-linked notes	31	(14)	24	(19)
Total realized capital gains (losses)	\$ (3)	\$ 35	\$ 36	\$ 28

The following table presents the fair value of assets and liabilities accounted for using the fair value option included in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

	September 30,	December 31,
	2011	2010
Assets		
Fixed maturities, FVO		
ABS	\$ 65	\$ 65
CRE CDOs	225	270
Corporate	268	250
Foreign government	765	64
Total fixed maturities, FVO	\$ 1,323	\$ 649

Other liabilities

Credit-linked notes [1]	\$	13	\$	37
-------------------------	----	----	----	----

[1] As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the outstanding principal balance of the notes was \$243.

Table of Contents**THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)****4. Fair Value Measurements – Financial Instruments Excluding Guaranteed Living Benefits (continued)*****Financial Instruments Not Carried at Fair Value***

The following table presents carrying amounts and fair values of The Hartford's financial instruments not carried at fair value and not included in the above fair value discussion as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

	September 30, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
Assets				
Mortgage loans	\$ 5,590	\$ 5,905	\$ 4,489	\$ 4,524
Policy loans	2,176	2,335	2,181	2,294
Liabilities				
Other policyholder funds and benefits payable [1]	\$ 11,001	\$ 11,296	\$ 11,155	\$ 11,383
Senior notes [2]	4,880	4,898	4,880	5,072
Junior subordinated debentures [2]	1,737	2,265	1,727	2,596
Consumer notes [3]	345	359	377	392

[1] *Excludes guarantees on variable annuities, group accident and health and universal life insurance contracts, including corporate owned life insurance.*

[2] *Included in long-term debt in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, except for current maturities, which are included in short-term debt.*

[3] *Excludes amounts carried at fair value and included in disclosures above.*

As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, included in other liabilities in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets are carrying amounts of \$202 and \$233 for deposits, respectively, and \$25, for Federal Home Loan Bank advances, related to Federal Trust Corporation. These liabilities are held for sale and the carrying amounts approximate fair value.

The Company has not made any changes in its valuation methodologies for the following assets and liabilities since December 31, 2010.

Fair values for mortgage loans were estimated using discounted cash flow calculations based on current lending rates for similar type loans. Current lending rates reflect changes in credit spreads and the remaining terms of the loans.

Fair value for policy loans and consumer notes were estimated using discounted cash flow calculations using current interest rates.

Fair values for other policyholder funds and benefits payable, not carried at fair value, are determined by estimating future cash flows, discounted at the current market rate.

Fair values for senior notes and junior subordinated debentures are based primarily on market quotations from independent third-party pricing services.

Table of Contents**THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)****4a. Fair Value Measurements – Guaranteed Living Benefits**

These disclosures provide information as to the extent to which the Company uses fair value to measure financial instruments related to variable annuity product guaranteed living benefits and the related variable annuity hedging program and information about the inputs used to value those financial instruments to allow users to assess the relative reliability of the measurements. The following tables present assets and (liabilities) related to the guaranteed living benefits program carried at fair value by hierarchy level.

	September 30, 2011			
	Total	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Assets accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis				
Variable annuity hedging derivatives	\$ 906	\$	\$ 2	\$ 904
Macro hedge program	865		434	431
Reinsurance recoverable for U.S. GMWB	485			485
Total assets accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis	\$ 2,256	\$	\$ 436	\$ 1,820
Liabilities accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis				
Other policyholder funds and benefits payable				
U.S. guaranteed withdrawal benefits	\$ (2,771)	\$	\$	\$ (2,771)
International guaranteed withdrawal benefits	(81)			(81)
International other guaranteed living benefits	(6)			(6)
Variable annuity hedging derivatives	155		(2)	157
Macro hedge program	210		219	(9)
Total liabilities accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis	\$ (2,493)	\$	\$ 217	\$ (2,710)

	December 31, 2010			
	Total	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Assets accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis				
Variable annuity hedging derivatives	\$ 339	\$	\$ (122)	\$ 461
Macro hedge program	386	2	176	208

Reinsurance recoverable for U.S. GMWB	280				280
Total assets accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis	\$ 1,005	\$ 2	\$ 54	\$ 949	
Liabilities accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis					
Other policyholder funds and benefits payable					
U.S. guaranteed withdrawal benefits	\$ (1,611)	\$	\$	\$	(1,611)
International guaranteed withdrawal benefits	(36)				(36)
International other guaranteed living benefits	3				3
Variable annuity hedging derivatives	128		(11)		139
Macro hedge program	(2)	(2)			
Total liabilities accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis	\$ (1,518)	\$ (2)	\$ (11)	\$ (1,505)	

Table of Contents**THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)****4a. Fair Value Measurements – Guaranteed Living Benefits (continued)*****Product Derivatives***

The Company currently offers certain variable annuity products with GMWB riders in the U.S., and formerly offered such products in the U.K. and Japan. The GMWB represents an embedded derivative in the variable annuity contract. When it is determined that (1) the embedded derivative possesses economic characteristics that are not clearly and closely related to the economic characteristics of the host contract, and (2) a separate instrument with the same terms would qualify as a derivative instrument, the embedded derivative is bifurcated from the host for measurement purposes. The embedded derivative is carried at fair value, with changes in fair value reported in net realized capital gains and losses. The Company's GMWB liability is reported in other policyholder funds and benefits payable in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

In valuing the embedded derivative, the Company attributes to the derivative a portion of the expected fees to be collected over the expected life of the contract from the contract holder equal to the present value of future GMWB claims (the *Attributed Fees*). The excess of fees collected from the contract holder in the current period over the current period's *Attributed Fees* are associated with the host variable annuity contract and reported in fee income.

U.S. GMWB Reinsurance Derivative

The Company has reinsurance arrangements in place to transfer a portion of its risk of loss due to GMWB. These arrangements are recognized as derivatives and carried at fair value in reinsurance recoverables. Changes in the fair value of the reinsurance agreements are reported in net realized capital gains and losses.

The fair value of the U.S. GMWB reinsurance derivative is calculated as an aggregation of the components described in the *Living Benefits Required to be Fair Valued* discussion below and is modeled using significant unobservable policyholder behavior inputs, identical to those used in calculating the underlying liability, such as lapses, fund selection, resets and withdrawal utilization and risk margins.

Living Benefits Required to be Fair Valued (in Other Policyholder Funds and Benefits Payable)

Fair values for GMWB and guaranteed minimum accumulation benefit (GMAB) contracts are calculated using the income approach based upon internally developed models because active, observable markets do not exist for those items. The fair value of the Company's guaranteed benefit liabilities, classified as embedded derivatives, and the related reinsurance and customized freestanding derivatives is calculated as an aggregation of the following components: Best Estimate Claim Payments; Credit Standing Adjustment; and Margins. The resulting aggregation is reconciled or calibrated, if necessary, to market information that is, or may be, available to the Company, but may not be observable by other market participants, including reinsurance discussions and transactions. The Company believes the aggregation of these components, as necessary and as reconciled or calibrated to the market information available to the Company, results in an amount that the Company would be required to transfer or receive, for an asset, to or from market participants in an active liquid market, if one existed, for those market participants to assume the risks associated with the guaranteed minimum benefits and the related reinsurance and customized derivatives. The fair value is likely to materially diverge from the ultimate settlement of the liability as the Company believes settlement will be based on our best estimate assumptions rather than those best estimate assumptions plus risk margins. In the absence of any transfer of the guaranteed benefit liability to a third party, the release of risk margins is likely to be reflected as realized gains in future periods' net income. Each component described below is unobservable in the marketplace and require subjectivity by the Company in determining their value.

Best Estimate***Claim Payments***

The Best Estimate Claim Payments is calculated based on actuarial and capital market assumptions related to projected cash flows, including the present value of benefits and related contract charges, over the lives of the contracts, incorporating expectations concerning policyholder behavior such as lapses, fund selection, resets and withdrawal utilization. For the customized derivatives, policyholder behavior is prescribed in the derivative contract. Because of the dynamic and complex nature of these cash flows, best estimate assumptions and a Monte Carlo stochastic process is used in valuation. The Monte Carlo stochastic process involves the generation of thousands of

scenarios that assume risk neutral returns consistent with swap rates and a blend of observable implied index volatility levels. Estimating these cash flows involves numerous estimates and subjective judgments regarding a number of variables including expected market rates of return, market volatility, correlations of market index returns to funds, fund performance, discount rates and assumptions about policyholder behavior which emerge over time.

At each valuation date, the Company assumes expected returns based on:

- risk-free rates as represented by the Eurodollar futures, LIBOR deposits and swap rates to derive forward curve rates;

- market implied volatility assumptions for each underlying index based primarily on a blend of observed market implied volatility data;

- correlations of historical returns across underlying well known market indices based on actual observed returns over the ten years preceding the valuation date; and

- three years of history for fund indices compared to separate account fund regression.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

4a. Fair Value Measurements – Guaranteed Living Benefits (continued)

As many guaranteed benefit obligations are relatively new in the marketplace, actual policyholder behavior experience is limited. As a result, estimates of future policyholder behavior are subjective and based on analogous internal and external data. As markets change, mature and evolve and actual policyholder behavior emerges, management continually evaluates the appropriateness of its assumptions for this component of the fair value model.

On a daily basis, the Company updates capital market assumptions used in the GMWB liability model such as interest rates and equity indices. On a weekly basis, the blend of implied equity index volatilities is updated. The Company continually monitors various aspects of policyholder behavior and may modify certain of its assumptions, including living benefit lapses and withdrawal rates, if credible emerging data indicates that changes are warranted. At a minimum, all policyholder behavior assumptions are reviewed and updated, as appropriate, in conjunction with the completion of the Company's comprehensive study to refine its estimate of future gross profits during the third quarter of each year.

Credit Standing Adjustment

This assumption makes an adjustment that market participants would make, in determining fair value, to reflect the risk that guaranteed benefit obligations or the GMWB reinsurance recoverables will not be fulfilled (nonperformance risk). The Company's estimate of the Credit Standing Adjustment incorporates a blend of observable Company and reinsurer credit default spreads from capital markets, adjusted for market recoverability. The credit standing adjustment assumption, net of reinsurance, and exclusive of the impact of the credit standing adjustment on other market inputs, resulted in pre-tax realized gains (losses) of \$75 and \$(23) for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively and \$75 and \$32 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 the credit standing adjustment was \$101 and \$26, respectively.

Margins

The behavior risk margin adds a margin that market participants would require, in determining fair value, for the risk that the Company's assumptions about policyholder behavior could differ from actual experience. The behavior risk margin is calculated by taking the difference between adverse policyholder behavior assumptions and best estimate assumptions.

Assumption updates, including policyholder behavior assumptions, affected best estimates and margins for total pre-tax realized gains (losses) of \$51 and \$163 for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively and \$51 and \$163 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Assumption updates for the three months ended September 30, 2011, primarily related to decreasing withdrawal and lapse rates.

In addition to the non-market-based updates described above, the Company recognized non-market-based updates driven by the relative outperformance (underperformance) of the underlying actively managed funds as compared to their respective indices resulting in pre-tax realized gains (losses) of approximately \$(131) and \$28, for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and \$(102) and \$70 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Table of Contents**THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)****4a. Fair Value Measurements – Guaranteed Living Benefits (continued)**

The tables below provide fair value roll forwards for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, for the financial instruments related to the Guaranteed Living Benefits Program classified as Levels 1, 2 and 3.

For the three months ended September 30, 2011

Asset (liability)	Variable Annuity Hedging Derivatives [5]			Total Variable Annuity Hedging Derivatives
	Levels 1 and 2	Level 3		
Fair value as of June 30, 2011	\$ (119)	\$ 548		\$ 429
Total realized/unrealized gains (losses) Included in net income [1],[2],[6]	235	516		751
Settlements[3]	(116)	(3)		(119)
Fair value as of September 30, 2011	\$	\$ 1,061	\$	\$ 1,061
Changes in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income related to financial instruments still held at September 30, 2011 [1], [2], [4]		\$ 510		

Asset (liability)	Reinsurance Recoverable for GMWB	U.S.		International	Total Guaranteed Withdrawal Benefits Net of Reinsurance and Hedging Derivatives
		Guaranteed Withdrawal Benefits Level 3	Guaranteed Withdrawal Benefits Level 3	Guaranteed Withdrawal Benefits Level 3	
Fair value as of June 30, 2011	\$ 237	\$ (1,420)	\$ (30)	\$ (784)	
Total realized/unrealized gains (losses) Included in net income [1],[2],[6]	241	(1,315)	(49)	(372)	
Settlements[3]	7	(36)	(2)	(150)	
Fair value as of September 30, 2011	\$ 485	\$ (2,771)	\$ (81)	\$ (1,306)	
Changes in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income related to financial instruments still held at September 30, 2011 [1], [2], [4]	\$ 241	\$ (1,315)	\$ (49)		

Macro Hedge Program [5]
Total Macro

International Other

Asset (liability)	Levels 1 and 2	Level 3	Hedge Program	Guaranteed Living	
				Benefits	Level 3
Fair value as of June 30, 2011	\$ 214	\$ 257	\$ 471	\$	
Total realized/unrealized gains (losses)					
Included in net income [1],[2],[6]	1,072	165	1,237		(5)
Purchases [3]	119		119		
Settlements[3]	(752)		(752)		(1)
Fair value as of September 30, 2011	\$ 653	\$ 422	\$ 1,075	\$	(6)
Changes in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income related to financial instruments still held at September 30, 2011 [1], [2], [4]		\$ 165		\$	(5)

Table of Contents**THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)****4a. Fair Value Measurements – Guaranteed Living Benefits Program (continued)**

For the nine months ended September 30, 2011

Asset (liability)	Variable Annuity Hedging Derivatives [5]		
	Levels 1 and 2	Level 3	Total Variable Annuity Hedging Derivatives
Fair value as of January 1, 2011	\$ (133)	\$ 600	\$ 467
Total realized/unrealized gains (losses)			
Included in net income [1],[2],[6]	110	457	567
Purchases [3]		23	23
Settlements[3]	23	(19)	4
Fair value as of September 30, 2011	\$	\$ 1,061	\$ 1,061
Changes in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income related to financial instruments still held at September 30, 2011 [1], [2], [4]		\$ 449	

Asset (liability)	Reinsurance Recoverable for GMWB	U.S.		International	Total Guaranteed Withdrawal Benefits Net of Reinsurance and Hedging Derivatives
		Guaranteed Withdrawal Benefits Level 3	Guaranteed Withdrawal Benefits Level 3	Guaranteed Withdrawal Benefits Level 3	
Fair value as of January 1, 2011	\$ 280	\$ (1,611)	\$ (36)	\$ (900)	
Total realized/unrealized gains (losses)					
Included in net income [1],[2],[6]	180	(1,047)	(38)	(338)	
Purchases [3]				23	
Settlements[3]	25	(113)	(7)	(91)	
Fair value as of September 30, 2011	\$ 485	\$ (2,771)	\$ (81)	\$ (1,306)	
Changes in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income related to financial instruments still held at September 30, 2011 [1], [2], [4]	\$ 180	\$ (1,047)	\$ (38)		

Macro Hedge Program [5]
Total Macro

**International
Other**

Asset (liability)	Levels 1 and 2	Level 3	Hedge Program	Guaranteed Living	
				Benefits	Level 3
Fair value as of January 1, 2011	\$ 176	\$ 208	\$ 384	\$	3
Total realized/unrealized gains (losses)					
Included in net income [1],[2],[6]	851	64	915		(6)
Purchases [3]	218	185	403		
Settlements[3]	(592)	(35)	(627)		(3)
Fair value as of September 30, 2011	\$ 653	\$ 422	\$ 1,075	\$	(6)
Changes in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income related to financial instruments still held at September 30, 2011 [1], [2], [4]		\$ 80		\$	(6)

Table of Contents**THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)****4a. Fair Value Measurements – Guaranteed Living Benefits Program (continued)****For the three months ended September 30, 2010**

Asset (liability)	Variable Annuity Hedging Derivatives [5]		
	Levels 1 and 2	Level 3	Total Variable Annuity Hedging Derivatives
Fair value as of June 30, 2010	\$ (91)	\$ 928	\$ 837
Total realized/unrealized gains (losses)			
Included in net income [1],[2],[6]	(89)	(295)	(384)
Purchases, issuances, and settlements [3]	134	(73)	61
Fair value as of September 30, 2010	\$ (46)	\$ 560	\$ 514
Changes in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income related to financial instruments still held at September 30, 2010 [1], [2],[4]		\$ (241)	

Asset (liability)	Reinsurance Recoverable for GMWB	U.S.		International	Total Guaranteed Withdrawal Benefits Net of Reinsurance and Hedging Derivatives
		Guaranteed Withdrawal Benefits Level 3	Guaranteed Withdrawal Benefits Level 3	Guaranteed Withdrawal Benefits Level 3	
Fair value as of June 30, 2010	\$ 550	\$ (3,148)	\$ (72)	\$ (1,833)	
Total realized/unrealized gains (losses)					
Included in net income [1],[2],[6]	(101)	639	16	170	
Included in OCI [2]			(4)	(4)	
Purchases, issuances, and settlements [3]	9	(32)	(4)	34	
Fair value as of September 30, 2010	\$ 458	\$ (2,541)	\$ (64)	\$ (1,633)	
Changes in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income related to financial instruments still held at September 30, 2010 [1], [2], [4]	\$ (101)	\$ 639	\$ 16		

Macro Hedge Program [5]	International Other Guaranteed Living
Total Macro	

Asset (liability)	Levels 1 and 2	Level 3	Hedge Program	Benefits	Level 3
Fair Value as of June 30, 2010	\$ 190	\$ 663	\$ 853	\$	(1)
Total realized/unrealized gains (losses)					
Included in net income [1],[2],[6]	(165)	(278)	(443)		3
Purchases, issuances, and settlements [3]	161	27	188		(1)
Fair value as of September 30, 2010	\$ 186	\$ 412	\$ 598	\$	1
Changes in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income related to financial instruments still held at September 30, 2010 [1], [2],[4]		\$ (278)		\$	3

Table of Contents**THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)****4a. Fair Value Measurements – Guaranteed Living Benefits Program (continued)**

For the nine months ended September 30, 2010

	Variable Annuity Hedging Derivatives [5]		
	Levels 1 and 2	Level 3	Total Variable Annuity Hedging Derivatives
Asset (liability)			
Fair value as of January 1, 2010	\$ (184)	\$ 236	\$ 52
Total realized/unrealized gains (losses)			
Included in net income [1],[2],[6]	34	244	278
Purchases, issuances, and settlements [3]	104	80	184
Fair value as of September 30, 2010	\$ (46)	\$ 560	\$ 514
Changes in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income related to financial instruments still held at September 30, 2010 [1], [2],[4]		\$ 261	

	Reinsurance Recoverable for GMWB	U.S.		International	Total Guaranteed Withdrawal Benefits Net of Reinsurance and Hedging Derivatives
		Guaranteed Withdrawal Benefits Level 3	Guaranteed Withdrawal Benefits Level 3	Guaranteed Withdrawal Benefits Level 3	
Asset (liability)					
Fair value as of January 1, 2010	\$ 347	\$ (1,957)	\$ (45)	\$ (1,603)	
Total realized/unrealized gains (losses)					
Included in net income [1],[2],[6]	84	(481)	(8)	(127)	
Included in OCI [2]			(4)	(4)	
Purchases, issuances, and settlements [3]	27	(103)	(7)	101	
Fair value as of September 30, 2010	\$ 458	\$ (2,541)	\$ (64)	\$ (1,633)	
Changes in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income related to financial instruments still held at September 30, 2010 [1], [2], [4]	\$ 84	\$ (481)	\$ (8)		

Macro Hedge Program [5]	International Other Guaranteed Living
Total Macro	

Asset (liability)	Levels 1 and 2	Level 3	Hedge Program	Benefits	Level 3
Fair Value as of January 1, 2010	\$ 28	\$ 290	\$ 318	\$	2
Total realized/unrealized gains (losses)					
Included in net income [1],[2],[6]	(73)	(137)	(210)		1
Purchases, issuances, and settlements [3]	231	259	490		(2)
Fair value as of September 30, 2010	\$ 186	\$ 412	\$ 598	\$	1
Changes in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income related to financial instruments still held at September 30, 2010 [1], [2],[4]		\$ (117)		\$	1

[1] *The Company classifies gains and losses on GMWB reinsurance derivatives and Guaranteed Living Benefit embedded derivatives as unrealized gains (losses) for purposes of disclosure in this table because it is impracticable to track on a contract-by-contract basis the realized gains (losses) for these derivatives and embedded derivatives.*

[2] *All amounts are before income taxes and amortization of DAC.*

[3] *The Purchases, issuances, and settlements primarily relates to the payment and receipt of cash on futures and option contracts classified as Level 1 and interest rate, currency and credit default swaps classified as Level 2. As of January 1, 2011, for GMWB reinsurance and guaranteed withdrawal benefits, purchases, issuances and settlements represent the reinsurance premium paid and the attributed fees collected, respectively.*

[4] *Disclosure of changes in unrealized gains (losses) is not required for Levels 1 and 2. Information presented is for Level 3 only.*

[5] *The variable annuity hedging derivatives and the macro hedge program derivatives are reported in this table on a net basis for asset (liability) positions and reported in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet in other investments and other liabilities.*

[6] *Includes both market and non-market impacts in deriving realized and unrealized gains (losses).*

Table of Contents**THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)****5. Investments and Derivative Instruments****Significant Investment Accounting Policies***Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments*

The Company deems debt securities and certain equity securities with debt-like characteristics (collectively debt securities) to be other-than-temporarily impaired (impaired) if a security meets the following conditions: a) the Company intends to sell or it is more likely than not the Company will be required to sell the security before a recovery in value, or b) the Company does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security. If the Company intends to sell or it is more likely than not the Company will be required to sell the security before a recovery in value, a charge is recorded in net realized capital losses equal to the difference between the fair value and amortized cost basis of the security. For those impaired debt securities which do not meet the first condition and for which the Company does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis, the difference between the security's amortized cost basis and the fair value is separated into the portion representing a credit other-than-temporary impairment (impairment), which is recorded in net realized capital losses, and the remaining impairment, which is recorded in OCI. Generally, the Company determines a security's credit impairment as the difference between its amortized cost basis and its best estimate of expected future cash flows discounted at the security's effective yield prior to impairment. The remaining non-credit impairment, which is recorded in OCI, is the difference between the security's fair value and the Company's best estimate of expected future cash flows discounted at the security's effective yield prior to the impairment, which typically represents current market liquidity and risk premiums. The previous amortized cost basis less the impairment recognized in net realized capital losses becomes the security's new cost basis. The Company accretes the new cost basis to the estimated future cash flows over the expected remaining life of the security by prospectively adjusting the security's yield, if necessary. The following table presents the change in non-credit impairments recognized in OCI as disclosed in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
OTTI losses recognized in OCI	\$ (11)	\$ (31)	\$ (83)	\$ (403)
Changes in fair value and/or sales	21	114	88	591
Tax and deferred acquisition costs		(39)	6	(91)
Change in non-credit impairments recognized in OCI	\$ 10	\$ 44	\$ 11	\$ 97

The Company's evaluation of whether a credit impairment exists for debt securities includes but is not limited to, the following factors: (a) changes in the financial condition of the security's underlying collateral, (b) whether the issuer is current on contractually obligated interest and principal payments, (c) changes in the financial condition, credit rating and near-term prospects of the issuer, (d) the extent to which the fair value has been less than the amortized cost of the security and (e) the payment structure of the security. The Company's best estimate of expected future cash flows used to determine the credit loss amount is a quantitative and qualitative process that incorporates information received from third-party sources along with certain internal assumptions and judgments regarding the future performance of the security. The Company's best estimate of future cash flows involves assumptions including, but not limited to, various performance indicators, such as historical and projected default and recovery rates, credit ratings, current and projected delinquency rates, and loan-to-value (LTV) ratios. In addition, for structured securities, the Company considers factors including, but not limited to, average cumulative collateral loss rates that vary by vintage year, commercial and residential property value declines that vary by property type and location and commercial real estate delinquency levels. These assumptions require the use of significant management judgment and include the

probability of issuer default and estimates regarding timing and amount of expected recoveries which may include estimating the underlying collateral value. In addition, projections of expected future debt security cash flows may change based upon new information regarding the performance of the issuer and/or underlying collateral such as changes in the projections of the underlying property value estimates.

For equity securities where the decline in the fair value is deemed to be other-than-temporary, a charge is recorded in net realized capital losses equal to the difference between the fair value and cost basis of the security. The previous cost basis less the impairment becomes the security's new cost basis. The Company asserts its intent and ability to retain those equity securities deemed to be temporarily impaired until the price recovers. Once identified, these securities are systematically restricted from trading unless approved by a committee of investment and accounting professionals (Committee). The Committee will only authorize the sale of these securities based on predefined criteria that relate to events that could not have been reasonably foreseen. Examples of the criteria include, but are not limited to, the deterioration in the issuer's financial condition, security price declines, a change in regulatory requirements or a major business combination or major disposition.

The primary factors considered in evaluating whether an impairment exists for an equity security include, but are not limited to: (a) the length of time and extent to which the fair value has been less than the cost of the security, (b) changes in the financial condition, credit rating and near-term prospects of the issuer, (c) whether the issuer is current on contractually obligated payments and (d) the intent and ability of the Company to retain the investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for recovery.

Table of Contents**THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)****5. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)***Mortgage Loan Valuation Allowances*

The Company's security monitoring process reviews mortgage loans on a quarterly basis to identify potential credit losses. Commercial mortgage loans are considered to be impaired when management estimates that, based upon current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Criteria used to determine if an impairment exists include, but are not limited to: current and projected macroeconomic factors, such as unemployment rates, and property-specific factors such as rental rates, occupancy levels, LTV ratios and debt service coverage ratios (DSCR). In addition, the Company considers historic, current and projected delinquency rates and property values. For residential mortgage loans, impairments are evaluated based on pools of loans with similar characteristics including, but not limited to, similar property types and loan performance status. These assumptions require the use of significant management judgment and include the probability and timing of borrower default and loss severity estimates. In addition, projections of expected future cash flows may change based upon new information regarding the performance of the borrower and/or underlying collateral such as changes in the projections of the underlying property value estimates.

For mortgage loans that are deemed impaired, a valuation allowance is established for the difference between the carrying amount and the Company's share of either (a) the present value of the expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, (b) the loan's observable market price or, most frequently, (c) the fair value of the collateral. Additionally, a loss contingency valuation allowance is established for estimated probable credit losses on certain homogenous groups of residential loans. For commercial loans, a valuation allowance has been established for either individual loans or as a projected loss contingency for loans with an LTV ratio of 90% or greater and consideration of other credit quality factors, including DSCR. Changes in valuation allowances are recorded in net realized capital gains and losses. Interest income on impaired loans is accrued to the extent it is deemed collectible and the loans continue to perform under the original or restructured terms. Interest income ceases to accrue for loans when it is probable that the Company will not receive interest and principal payments according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement, or if a loan is more than 60 days past due. Loans may resume accrual status when it is determined that sufficient collateral exists to satisfy the full amount of the loan and interest payments, as well as when it is probable cash will be received in the foreseeable future. Interest income on defaulted loans is recognized when received.

Net Realized Capital Gains (Losses)

<i>(Before-tax)</i>	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Gross gains on sales	\$ 197	\$ 179	\$ 519	\$ 654
Gross losses on sales	(63)	(88)	(294)	(293)
Net OTTI losses recognized in earnings	(60)	(115)	(138)	(375)
Valuation allowances on mortgage loans		(4)	23	(156)
Japanese fixed annuity contract hedges, net [1]	9	11	(2)	22
Periodic net coupon settlements on credit derivatives/Japan	1	(4)	(8)	(15)
Results of variable annuity hedge program				
GMWB derivatives, net	(372)	170	(338)	(127)
Macro hedge program	1,237	(443)	915	(210)
Total results of variable annuity hedge program	865	(273)	577	(337)
Other, net [2]	(374)	37	(436)	(22)

Net realized capital gains (losses)	\$	575	\$	(257)	\$	241	\$	(522)
--	-----------	------------	-----------	--------------	-----------	------------	-----------	--------------

[1] *Relates to the Japanese fixed annuity product (adjustment of product liability for changes in spot currency exchange rates, related derivative hedging instruments, excluding net period coupon settlements, and Japan FVO securities).*

[2] *Primarily consists of gains and losses on non-qualifying derivatives and fixed maturities, FVO, Japan 3Win related foreign currency swaps, and other investment gains and losses.*

Net realized capital gains and losses from investment sales, after deducting the life and pension policyholders' share for certain products, are reported as a component of revenues and are determined on a specific identification basis. Gross gains on sales, gross losses on sales, and impairments previously reported as unrealized losses in AOCI were \$74 and \$87 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, and (\$24) and (\$14) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. Proceeds from sales of AFS securities totaled \$8.7 billion and \$26.3 billion for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, and \$13.7 billion and \$35.8 billion, respectively, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Table of Contents**THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)****5. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)****Other-Than-Temporary Impairment Losses**

The following table presents a roll-forward of the Company's cumulative credit impairments on debt securities held.

<i>(Before-tax)</i>	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Balance as of beginning of period	\$ (1,933)	\$ (2,281)	\$ (2,072)	\$ (2,200)
Additions for credit impairments recognized on [1]:				
Securities not previously impaired	(4)	(19)	(40)	(183)
Securities previously impaired	(38)	(52)	(63)	(143)
Reductions for credit impairments previously recognized on:				
Securities that matured or were sold during the period	157	224	349	378
Securities due to an increase in expected cash flows	4	10	12	30
Balance as of end of period	\$ (1,814)	\$ (2,118)	\$ (1,814)	\$ (2,118)

[1] These additions are included in the net OTTI losses recognized in earnings in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Available-for-Sale Securities

The following table presents the Company's AFS securities by type.

	September 30, 2011				December 31, 2010					Non-Credit OTTI [1]
	Cost or Amortized	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Non-Credit OTTI [1]	Cost or Amortized	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	
ABS	\$ 3,743	\$ 81	\$ (320)	\$ 3,504	\$ (7)	\$ 3,247	\$ 38	\$ (396)	\$ 2,889	\$ (2)
CDOs	2,851	1	(387)	2,465	(50)	3,088	1	(478)	2,611	(82)
CMBS	7,252	242	(534)	6,960	(27)	8,297	235	(615)	7,917	(9)
Corporate [2]	40,659	3,487	(747)	43,316		38,496	2,174	(747)	39,884	7
Foreign										
govt./govt. agencies	1,836	121	(13)	1,944		1,627	73	(17)	1,683	
Municipal	12,578	656	(70)	13,164		12,469	150	(495)	12,124	
RMBS	5,566	222	(452)	5,336	(121)	6,036	109	(462)	5,683	(124)
U.S. Treasuries	3,353	224	(3)	3,574		5,159	24	(154)	5,029	
Total fixed maturities, AFS	77,838	5,034	(2,526)	80,263	(205)	78,419	2,804	(3,364)	77,820	(210)

Equity securities, AFS	1,093	92	(196)	989		1,013	92	(132)	973	
Total AFS securities	\$ 78,931	\$ 5,126	\$ (2,722)	\$ 81,252	\$ (205)	\$ 79,432	\$ 2,896	\$ (3,496)	\$ 78,793	\$ (210)

[1] Represents the amount of cumulative non-credit OTTI losses recognized in OCI on securities that also had credit impairments. These losses are included in gross unrealized losses as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

[2] Gross unrealized gains (losses) exclude the change in fair value of bifurcated embedded derivative features of certain securities. Subsequent changes in fair value are recorded in net realized capital gains (losses).

The following table presents the Company's fixed maturities, AFS, by contractual maturity year.

Contractual Maturity	September 30, 2011	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
One year or less	\$ 2,623	\$ 2,651
Over one year through five years	15,991	16,708
Over five years through ten years	14,890	15,826
Over ten years	24,922	26,813
Subtotal	58,426	61,998
Mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities	19,412	18,265
Total fixed maturities, AFS	\$ 77,838	\$ 80,263

Estimated maturities may differ from contractual maturities due to security call or prepayment provisions. Due to the potential for variability in payment speeds (i.e. prepayments or extensions), mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities are not categorized by contractual maturity.

Table of Contents**THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)****5. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)****Securities Unrealized Loss Aging**

The following tables present the Company's unrealized loss aging for AFS securities by type and length of time the security was in a continuous unrealized loss position.

	September 30, 2011								
	Less Than 12 Months			12 Months or More			Total		
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
ABS	\$ 450	\$ 441	\$ (9)	\$ 1,275	\$ 964	\$ (311)	\$ 1,725	\$ 1,405	\$ (320)
CDOs	340	313	(27)	2,491	2,131	(360)	2,831	2,444	(387)
CMBS	1,340	1,220	(120)	2,432	2,018	(414)	3,772	3,238	(534)
Corporate [1]	4,782	4,612	(161)	3,352	2,692	(586)	8,134	7,304	(747)
Foreign govt./govt. agencies	324	316	(8)	58	53	(5)	382	369	(13)
Municipal	352	348	(4)	914	848	(66)	1,266	1,196	(70)
RMBS	355	340	(15)	1,389	952	(437)	1,744	1,292	(452)
U.S. Treasuries	534	532	(2)	25	24	(1)	559	556	(3)
Total fixed maturities	8,477	8,122	(346)	11,936	9,682	(2,180)	20,413	17,804	(2,526)
Equity securities	188	160	(28)	494	326	(168)	682	486	(196)
Total securities in an unrealized loss	\$ 8,665	\$ 8,282	\$ (374)	\$ 12,430	\$ 10,008	\$ (2,348)	\$ 21,095	\$ 18,290	\$ (2,722)

	December 31, 2010								
	Less Than 12 Months			12 Months or More			Total		
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
ABS	\$ 302	\$ 290	\$ (12)	\$ 1,410	\$ 1,026	\$ (384)	\$ 1,712	\$ 1,316	\$ (396)
CDOs	321	293	(28)	2,724	2,274	(450)	3,045	2,567	(478)
CMBS	556	530	(26)	3,962	3,373	(589)	4,518	3,903	(615)
Corporate [1]	5,533	5,329	(199)	4,017	3,435	(548)	9,550	8,764	(747)
Foreign govt./govt. agencies	356	349	(7)	78	68	(10)	434	417	(17)
Municipal	7,485	7,173	(312)	1,046	863	(183)	8,531	8,036	(495)
RMBS	1,744	1,702	(42)	1,567	1,147	(420)	3,311	2,849	(462)
U.S. Treasuries	2,436	2,321	(115)	158	119	(39)	2,594	2,440	(154)
Total fixed maturities	18,733	17,987	(741)	14,962	12,305	(2,623)	33,695	30,292	(3,364)

Equity securities	53	52	(1)	637	506	(131)	690	558	(132)
Total securities in an unrealized loss	\$ 18,786	\$ 18,039	\$ (742)	\$ 15,599	\$ 12,811	\$ (2,754)	\$ 34,385	\$ 30,850	\$ (3,496)

[1] Unrealized losses exclude the change in fair value of bifurcated embedded derivative features of certain securities. Subsequent changes in fair value are recorded in net realized capital gains (losses).

As of September 30, 2011, AFS securities in an unrealized loss position, comprised of 2,598 securities, largely related to commercial real estate, corporate securities primarily within the financial services sector and RMBS which have experienced price deterioration. As of September 30, 2011, 74% of securities in a gross unrealized loss position were depressed less than 20% of cost or amortized cost. The improvement in unrealized losses during 2011 was primarily attributable to declining interest rates, partially offset by credit spread widening.

Most of the securities depressed for twelve months or more relate to structured securities primarily within commercial and residential real estate, including structured securities that have a floating-rate coupon referenced to a market index such as LIBOR. Also included are financial services securities that have a floating-rate coupon and/or long-dated maturities. Current market spreads continue to be significantly wider for these securities as compared to spreads at the security's respective purchase date, largely due to the economic and market uncertainties regarding future performance of commercial and residential real estate. Deteriorations in valuation are also the result of substantial declines in certain market indices. The Company reviewed these securities as part of its impairment analysis and where a credit impairment has not been recorded, the Company's best estimate is that expected future cash flows are sufficient to recover the amortized cost basis of the security. Furthermore, the Company neither has an intention to sell nor does it expect to be required to sell these securities.

Table of Contents**THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)****5. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)****Mortgage Loans**

	September 30, 2011			December 31, 2010		
	Amortized Cost [1]	Valuation Allowance	Carrying Value	Amortized Cost [1]	Valuation Allowance	Carrying Value
Commercial	\$ 5,601	\$ (114)	\$ 5,487	\$ 4,492	\$ (152)	\$ 4,340
Residential	136	(33)	103	152	(3)	149
Total mortgage loans	\$ 5,737	\$ (147)	\$ 5,590	\$ 4,644	\$ (155)	\$ 4,489

[1] Amortized cost represents carrying value prior to valuation allowances, if any.

As of September 30, 2011, the carrying value of mortgage loans associated with the valuation allowance was \$781. Included in the table above, are mortgage loans held-for-sale with a carrying value and valuation allowance of \$202 and \$48, respectively, as of September 30, 2011, and \$87 and \$7, respectively, as of December 31, 2010. Mortgage loans held-for-sale include those related to the divestiture of Federal Trust Corporation with a carrying value and valuation allowance of \$128 and \$44, respectively, as of September 30, 2011, and \$68 and \$3, respectively as of December 31, 2010 (see Note 12). The carrying value of these loans is included in mortgage loans in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. As of September 30, 2011, loans within the Company's mortgage loan portfolio that have had extensions or restructurings other than what is allowable under the original terms of the contract are immaterial.

The following table presents the activity within the Company's valuation allowance for mortgage loans. These loans have been evaluated both individually and collectively for impairment. Loans evaluated collectively for impairment are immaterial.

	2011	2010
Balance as of January 1	\$ (155)	\$ (366)
Additions	(27)	(159)
Deductions	35	361
Balance as of September 30	\$ (147)	\$ (164)

The current weighted-average LTV ratio of the Company's commercial mortgage loan portfolio was 70% as of September 30, 2011, while the weighted-average LTV ratio at origination of these loans was 64%. LTV ratios compare the loan amount to the value of the underlying property collateralizing the loan. The loan values are updated no less than annually through property level reviews of the portfolio. Factors considered in the property valuation include, but are not limited to, actual and expected property cash flows, geographic market data and capitalization rates. DSCRs compare a property's net operating income to the borrower's principal and interest payments. The current weighted average DSCR of the Company's commercial mortgage loan portfolio was 1.88x as of September 30, 2011. The Company held only two delinquent commercial mortgage loans past due by 90 days or more. The total carrying value and valuation allowance of these loans totaled \$15 and \$60, respectively, as of September 30, 2011, and are not accruing income.

The following table presents the carrying value of the Company's commercial mortgage loans by LTV and DSCR.

Commercial Mortgage Loans Credit Quality**September 30, 2011****December 31, 2010**

Loan-to-value	Carrying Value	Avg. Debt-Service Coverage Ratio	Carrying Value	Avg. Debt-Service Coverage Ratio
Greater than 80%	\$ 841	1.39x	\$ 1,358	1.49x
65% - 80%	2,471	1.57x	1,829	1.93x
Less than 65%	2,175	2.41x	1,153	2.26x
Total commercial mortgage loans	\$ 5,487	1.88x	\$ 4,340	1.87x

Table of Contents**THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)****5. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)**

The following tables present the carrying value of the Company's mortgage loans by region and property type.

Mortgage Loans by Region

	September 30, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Carrying Value	Percent of Total	Carrying Value	Percent of Total
East North Central	\$ 73	1.3%	\$ 77	1.7%
Middle Atlantic	509	9.1%	428	9.5%
Mountain	126	2.3%	109	2.4%
New England	295	5.3%	259	5.8%
Pacific	1,537	27.4%	1,147	25.6%
South Atlantic	1,180	21.1%	1,177	26.3%
West North Central	31	0.6%	36	0.8%
West South Central	224	4.0%	231	5.1%
Other [1]	1,615	28.9%	1,025	22.8%
Total mortgage loans	\$ 5,590	100.0%	\$ 4,489	100.0%

[1] Primarily represents loans collateralized by multiple properties in various regions.

Mortgage Loans by Property Type

	September 30, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Carrying Value	Percent of Total	Carrying Value	Percent of Total
Commercial				
Agricultural	\$ 254	4.5%	\$ 315	7.0%
Industrial	1,704	30.5%	1,141	25.4%
Lodging	93	1.7%	132	2.9%
Multifamily	1,042	18.6%	713	15.9%
Office	979	17.5%	986	22.1%
Retail	1,127	20.2%	669	14.9%
Other	288	5.2%	384	8.5%
Residential	103	1.8%	149	3.3%
Total mortgage loans	\$ 5,590	100.0%	\$ 4,489	100.0%

Variable Interest Entities

The Company is involved with various special purpose entities and other entities that are deemed to be VIEs primarily as a collateral manager and as an investor through normal investment activities, as well as a means of accessing capital. A VIE is an entity that either has investors that lack certain essential characteristics of a controlling financial interest or lacks sufficient funds to finance its own activities without financial support provided by other entities.

The Company performs ongoing qualitative assessments of its VIEs to determine whether the Company has a controlling financial interest in the VIE and therefore is the primary beneficiary. The Company is deemed to have a controlling financial interest when it has both the ability to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the VIE and the obligation to absorb losses or right to receive benefits from the VIE that

could potentially be significant to the VIE. Based on the Company's assessment, if it determines it is the primary beneficiary, the Company consolidates the VIE in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)****5. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)***Consolidated VIEs*

The following table presents the carrying value of assets and liabilities, and the maximum exposure to loss relating to the VIEs for which the Company is the primary beneficiary. Creditors have no recourse against the Company in the event of default by these VIEs nor does the Company have any implied or unfunded commitments to these VIEs. The Company's financial or other support provided to these VIEs is limited to its investment management services and original investment.

	September 30, 2011			December 31, 2010		
	Total	Total	Maximum	Total	Total	Maximum
	Assets	Liabilities [1]	Exposure to Loss [2]	Assets	Liabilities [1]	Exposure to Loss [2]
CDOs [3]	\$ 492	\$ 461	\$ 39	\$ 729	\$ 393	\$ 289
Limited partnerships	7		7	14	1	13
Total	\$ 499	\$ 461	\$ 46	\$ 743	\$ 394	\$ 302

[1] Included in other liabilities in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

[2] The maximum exposure to loss represents the maximum loss amount that the Company could recognize as a reduction in net investment income or as a realized capital loss and is the cost basis of the Company's investment.

[3] Total assets included in fixed maturities, AFS, and fixed maturities, FVO, in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

CDOs represent structured investment vehicles for which the Company has a controlling financial interest as it provides collateral management services, earns a fee for those services and also holds investments in the securities issued by these vehicles. Limited partnerships represent one hedge fund for which the Company holds a majority interest in the fund as an investment.

Non-Consolidated VIEs

The Company holds a significant variable interest for one VIE for which it is not the primary beneficiary and, therefore, was not consolidated on the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. This VIE represents a contingent capital facility (facility) that has been held by the Company since February 2007 for which the Company has no implied or unfunded commitments. Assets and liabilities recorded for the facility were \$29 and \$27, respectively, as of September 30, 2011 and \$32 and \$32, respectively, as of December 31, 2010. Additionally, the Company has a maximum exposure to loss of \$3 as of September 30, 2011 and \$4 as of December 31, 2010, which represents the issuance costs that were incurred to establish the facility. The Company does not have a controlling financial interest as it does not manage the assets of the facility nor does it have the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits that could potentially be significant to the facility, as the asset manager has significant variable interest in the vehicle. The Company's financial or other support provided to the facility is limited to providing ongoing support to cover the facility's operating expenses. For further information on the facility, see Note 14 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in The Hartford's 2010 Form 10-K Annual Report.

In addition, the Company, through normal investment activities, makes passive investments in structured securities issued by VIEs for which the Company is not the manager which are included in ABS, CDOs, CMBS and RMBS in the Available-for-Sale Securities table and fixed maturities, FVO, in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance

Sheets. The Company has not provided financial or other support with respect to these investments other than its original investment. For these investments, the Company determined it is not the primary beneficiary due to the relative size of the Company's investment in comparison to the principal amount of the structured securities issued by the VIEs, the level of credit subordination which reduces the Company's obligation to absorb losses or right to receive benefits and the Company's inability to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the VIEs. The Company's maximum exposure to loss on these investments is limited to the amount of the Company's investment.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

Derivative Instruments

The Company utilizes a variety of over-the-counter and exchange traded derivative instruments as a part of its overall risk management strategy, as well as to enter into replication transactions. Derivative instruments are used to manage risk associated with interest rate, equity market, credit spread, issuer default, price, and currency exchange rate risk or volatility. Replication transactions are used as an economical means to synthetically replicate the characteristics and performance of assets that would otherwise be permissible investments under the Company's investment policies. The Company also purchases and issues financial instruments and products that either are accounted for as free-standing derivatives, such as certain reinsurance contracts, or may contain features that are deemed to be embedded derivative instruments, such as the GMWB rider included with certain variable annuity products.

Cash flow hedges

Interest rate swaps

Interest rate swaps are primarily used to convert interest receipts on floating-rate fixed maturity securities or interest payments on floating-rate guaranteed investment contracts to fixed rates. These derivatives are predominantly used to better match cash receipts from assets with cash disbursements required to fund liabilities.

The Company also enters into forward starting swap agreements to hedge the interest rate exposure related to the purchase of fixed-rate securities. These derivatives are primarily structured to hedge interest rate risk inherent in the assumptions used to price certain liabilities.

Foreign currency swaps

Foreign currency swaps are used to convert foreign currency-denominated cash flows related to certain investment receipts and liability payments to U.S. dollars in order to reduce cash flow fluctuations due to changes in currency rates.

Fair value hedges

Interest rate swaps

Interest rate swaps are used to hedge the changes in fair value of certain fixed rate liabilities and fixed maturity securities due to fluctuations in interest rates.

Foreign currency swaps

Foreign currency swaps are used to hedge the changes in fair value of certain foreign currency-denominated fixed rate liabilities due to changes in foreign currency rates by swapping the fixed foreign payments to floating rate U.S. dollar denominated payments.

Non-qualifying strategies

Interest rate swaps, swaptions, caps, floors, and futures

The Company uses interest rate swaps, swaptions, caps, floors, and futures to manage duration between assets and liabilities in certain investment portfolios. In addition, the Company enters into interest rate swaps to terminate existing swaps, thereby offsetting the changes in value of the original swap. As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the notional amount of interest rate swaps in offsetting relationships was \$7.7 and \$7.1 billion, respectively.

Foreign currency swaps and forwards

The Company enters into foreign currency swaps and forwards to convert the foreign currency exposures of certain foreign currency-denominated fixed maturity investments to U.S. dollars.

Japan 3Win foreign currency swaps

Prior to the second quarter of 2009, The Company offered certain variable annuity products with a GMIB rider through a wholly-owned Japanese subsidiary. The GMIB rider is reinsured to a wholly-owned U.S. subsidiary, which invests in U.S. dollar denominated assets to support the liability. The U.S. subsidiary entered into pay U.S. dollar, receive yen swap contracts to hedge the currency and interest rate exposure between the U.S. dollar denominated assets and the yen denominated fixed liability reinsurance payments.

Japanese fixed annuity hedging instruments

Prior to the second quarter of 2009, The Company offered a yen denominated fixed annuity product through a wholly-owned Japanese subsidiary and reinsured to a wholly-owned U.S. subsidiary. The U.S. subsidiary invests in U.S. dollar denominated securities to support the yen denominated fixed liability payments and entered into currency rate swaps to hedge the foreign currency exchange rate and yen interest rate exposures that exist as a result of U.S. dollar assets backing the yen denominated liability.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

Japanese variable annuity hedging instruments

The Company enters into foreign currency forward and option contracts to hedge the foreign currency risk associated with certain Japanese variable annuity liabilities reinsured from a wholly-owned Japanese subsidiary. Foreign currency risk may arise for some segments of the business where assets backing the liabilities are denominated in U.S. dollars while the liabilities are denominated in yen. Foreign currency risk may also arise when certain variable annuity policyholder accounts are invested in various currencies while the related guaranteed minimum death benefit (GMDB) and GMIB guarantees are effectively yen-denominated.

The Company's net notional amount relating to Japanese variable annuity hedging instruments as of September 30, 2011 was \$1.8 billion, which consisted of \$2.4 billion of long positions offset by short positions of \$582. The Company's net notional amount relating to Japanese variable annuity hedging instruments as of December 31, 2010 was \$1.7 billion which consisted of long positions only.

Credit derivatives that purchase credit protection

Credit default swaps are used to purchase credit protection on an individual entity or referenced index to economically hedge against default risk and credit-related changes in value on fixed maturity securities. These contracts require the Company to pay a periodic fee in exchange for compensation from the counterparty should the referenced security issuers experience a credit event, as defined in the contract.

Credit derivatives that assume credit risk

Credit default swaps are used to assume credit risk related to an individual entity, referenced index, or asset pool, as a part of replication transactions. These contracts entitle the Company to receive a periodic fee in exchange for an obligation to compensate the derivative counterparty should the referenced security issuers experience a credit event, as defined in the contract. The Company is also exposed to credit risk due to credit derivatives embedded within certain fixed maturity securities. These securities are primarily comprised of structured securities that contain credit derivatives that reference a standard index of corporate securities or particular securities.

Credit derivatives in offsetting positions

The Company enters into credit default swaps to terminate existing credit default swaps, thereby offsetting the changes in value of the original swap going forward.

Equity index swaps, options and futures

The Company offers certain equity indexed products, which may contain an embedded derivative that requires bifurcation. The Company enters into S&P index swaps and options to economically hedge the equity volatility risk associated with these embedded derivatives. During third quarter of 2011 the Company entered into equity index options and futures with the purpose of hedging equity market risk in the investment portfolio in an adverse equity market environment.

GMWB product derivatives

The Company offers certain variable annuity products with a GMWB rider in the U.S. and formerly in the U.K. and Japan. The GMWB is a bifurcated embedded derivative that provides the policyholder with a guaranteed remaining balance (GRB) if the account value is reduced to zero through a combination of market declines and withdrawals. The GRB is generally equal to premiums less withdrawals. Certain contract provisions can increase the GRB at contractholder election or after the passage of time. The notional value of the embedded derivative is the GRB.

GMWB reinsurance contracts

The Company has entered into reinsurance arrangements to offset a portion of its risk exposure to the GMWB for the remaining lives of covered variable annuity contracts. Reinsurance contracts covering GMWB are accounted for as free-standing derivatives. The notional amount of the reinsurance contracts is the GRB amount.

Table of Contents**THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)****5. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)***GMWB hedging instruments*

The Company enters into derivative contracts to partially hedge exposure associated with a portion of the GMWB liabilities that are not reinsured. These derivative contracts include customized swaps, interest rate swaps and futures, and equity swaps, options, and futures, on certain indices including the S&P 500 index, EAFE index, and NASDAQ index.

The following table represents notional and fair value for GMWB hedging instruments.

	Notional Amount		Fair Value	
	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Customized swaps	\$ 8,225	\$ 10,113	\$ 433	\$ 209
Equity swaps, options, and futures	5,026	4,943	627	391
Interest rate swaps and futures	2,730	2,800	1	(133)
Total	\$ 15,981	\$ 17,856	\$ 1,061	\$ 467

Macro hedge program

The Company utilizes equity options, swaps, equity futures contracts, currency forwards, and currency options to partially hedge against a decline in the equity markets or changes in foreign currency exchange rates and the resulting statutory surplus and capital impact primarily arising from GMDB, GMIB and GMWB obligations. The Company also enters into foreign currency denominated interest rate swaps to hedge the interest rate exposure related to the potential annuitization of certain benefit obligations issued in Japan.

The following table represents notional and fair value for the macro hedge program.

	Notional Amount		Fair Value	
	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Currency forwards	\$ 5,049	\$ 3,232	\$ 406	\$ 93
Currency options [1]	7,153	5,296	151	62
Equity futures	4,195	1,168		
Equity options	6,703	13,963	468	207
Equity swaps	402	369	(45)	1
Interest rate futures	695			
Interest rate swaps	5,579	2,182	95	21
Total	\$ 29,776	\$ 26,210	\$ 1,075	\$ 384

[1] As of September 30, 2011, and December 31, 2010, notional amounts include \$6.0 and \$3.1 billion, respectively, related to long positions and \$1.2 billion and \$2.2 billion, respectively, related to short positions.

The Company's notional amount relating to the macro hedge program increased since December 31, 2010 primarily due to an increase in the hedging of Japan variable annuities. The Company increased the notional amount related to currency forwards, currency options, equity futures, and Yen interest rate swaps. These increases were partially offset by a decline in S&P index equity options primarily due to the expiration of certain out of the money options in

January of 2011.

GMAB product derivatives

The GMAB rider associated with certain of the Company's Japanese variable annuity products is accounted for as a bifurcated embedded derivative. The GMAB provides the policyholder with their initial deposit in a lump sum after a specified waiting period. The notional amount of the embedded derivative is the yen denominated GRB converted to U.S. dollars at the current foreign spot exchange rate as of the reporting period date.

Contingent capital facility put option

The Company entered into a put option agreement that provides the Company the right to require a third-party trust to purchase, at any time, The Hartford's junior subordinated notes in a maximum aggregate principal amount of \$500. Under the put option agreement, The Hartford will pay premiums on a periodic basis and will reimburse the trust for certain fees and ordinary expenses.

Table of Contents**THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)****5. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)****Derivative Balance Sheet Classification**

The table below summarizes the balance sheet classification of the Company's derivative related fair value amounts, as well as the gross asset and liability fair value amounts. The fair value amounts presented do not include income accruals or cash collateral held amounts, which are netted with derivative fair value amounts to determine balance sheet presentation. Derivatives in the Company's separate accounts are not included because the associated gains and losses accrue directly to policyholders. The Company's derivative instruments are held for risk management purposes, unless otherwise noted in the table below. The notional amount of derivative contracts represents the basis upon which pay or receive amounts are calculated and is presented in the table to quantify the volume of the Company's derivative activity. Notional amounts are not necessarily reflective of credit risk.

Hedge Designation/ Derivative Type	Net Derivatives				Asset Derivatives		Liability Derivatives	
	Notional Amount		Fair Value		Fair Value		Fair Value	
	Sept. 30, 2011	Dec. 31, 2010	Sept. 30, 2011	Dec. 31, 2010	Sept. 30, 2011	Dec. 31, 2010	Sept. 30, 2011	Dec. 31, 2010
Cash flow hedges								
Interest rate swaps	\$ 9,458	\$ 10,290	\$ 432	\$ 115	\$ 432	\$ 188	\$	\$ (73)
Foreign currency swaps	302	335	7	6	34	29	(27)	(23)
Total cash flow hedges	9,760	10,625	439	121	466	217	(27)	(96)
Fair value hedges								
Interest rate swaps	944	1,120	(86)	(46)	2	5	(88)	(51)
Foreign currency swaps	677	677	(23)	(12)	74	71	(97)	(83)
Total fair value hedges	1,621	1,797	(109)	(58)	76	76	(185)	(134)
Non-qualifying strategies								
<i>Interest rate contracts</i>								
Interest rate swaps, swaptions, caps, floors, and futures	9,541	7,938	(389)	(441)	699	126	(1,088)	(567)
<i>Foreign exchange contracts</i>								
Foreign currency swaps and forwards	358	368	(8)	(18)	2	1	(10)	(19)
Japan 3Win foreign currency swaps	2,285	2,285	191	177	191	177		
Japanese fixed annuity hedging instruments	2,016	2,119	544	608	566	608	(22)	
Japanese variable annuity hedging instruments	2,977	1,720	1	73	66	74	(65)	(1)
<i>Credit contracts</i>								
Credit derivatives that purchase credit protection	1,581	2,559	50	(9)	74	29	(24)	(38)
Credit derivatives that assume credit risk [1]	2,355	2,569	(639)	(434)	2	8	(641)	(442)
Credit derivatives in offsetting positions	8,318	8,367	(63)	(75)	216	98	(279)	(173)

<i>Equity contracts</i>								
Equity index swaps, options and futures	1,329	189	27	(10)	36	5	(9)	(15)
<i>Variable annuity hedge program</i>								
GMWB product derivatives [2]	38,318	42,739	(2,852)	(1,647)			(2,852)	(1,647)
GMWB reinsurance contracts	7,519	8,767	485	280	485	280		
GMWB hedging instruments	15,981	17,856	1,061	467	1,180	647	(119)	(180)
Macro hedge program	29,776	26,210	1,075	384	1,152	394	(77)	(10)
<i>Other</i>								
GMAB product derivatives [2]	245	246	(6)	3		3	(6)	
Contingent capital facility put option	500	500	29	32	29	32		
Total non-qualifying strategies	123,099	124,432	(494)	(610)	4,698	2,482	(5,192)	(3,092)
Total cash flow hedges, fair value hedges, and non-qualifying strategies	\$ 134,480	\$ 136,854	\$ (164)	\$ (547)	\$ 5,240	\$ 2,775	\$ (5,404)	\$ (3,322)
Balance Sheet Location								
Fixed maturities, available-for-sale	\$ 703	\$ 728	\$ (83)	\$ (39)	\$	\$	\$ (83)	\$ (39)
Other investments	64,059	55,948	2,800	1,524	3,889	2,105	(1,089)	(581)
Other liabilities	23,542	28,333	(498)	(654)	866	387	(1,364)	(1,041)
Consumer notes	39	39	(4)	(5)			(4)	(5)
Reinsurance recoverables	7,519	8,767	485	280	485	280		
Other policyholder funds and benefits payable	38,618	43,039	(2,864)	(1,653)		3	(2,864)	(1,656)
Total derivatives	\$ 134,480	\$ 136,854	\$ (164)	\$ (547)	\$ 5,240	\$ 2,775	\$ (5,404)	\$ (3,322)

[1] The derivative instruments related to this strategy are held for other investment purposes.

[2] These derivatives are embedded within liabilities and are not held for risk management purposes.

Table of Contents**THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)****5. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)***Change in Notional Amount*

The net decrease in notional amount of derivatives since December 31, 2010, was primarily due to the following:

The GMWB product derivative notional decreased \$4.4 billion primarily as a result of policyholder lapses and withdrawals.

The GMWB hedging instruments notional decreased \$1.9 billion primarily due to a decrease in equity markets.

The macro hedge program notional increased \$3.6 billion primarily due to an increase in the hedging of Japan variable annuities. The Company increased the notional amount related to currency forwards, currency options, equity futures, and Yen interest rate swaps. These increases were partially offset by a decline in S&P index equity options primarily due to the expiration of certain out of the money options in January of 2011.

Change in Fair Value

The change in the total fair value of derivative instruments since December 31, 2010, was primarily related to the following:

The fair value related to the macro hedge program increased primarily due to lower equity market valuation and the Japanese yen strengthening in comparison to the euro.

The decrease in the combined GMWB hedging program, which includes the GMWB product, reinsurance, and hedging derivatives, was primarily a result of a general decrease in long-term interest rates and higher interest rate volatility.

The fair value related to interest rate swaps that qualify for cash flow hedge accounting increased primarily as a result of declining interest rates.

Cash Flow Hedges

For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as cash flow hedges, the effective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative is reported as a component of OCI and reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. Gains and losses on the derivative representing hedge ineffectiveness are recognized in current period earnings. No components of each derivative's gain or loss were excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness.

The following table presents the components of the gain or loss on derivatives that qualify as cash flow hedges:

Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships

		Gain (Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivative (Effective Portion)				Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffective Portion)			
		Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,		Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
		2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010
Interest rate swaps	Net realized capital gains (losses)	\$ 263	\$ 182	\$ 345	\$ 542	\$ (3)	\$ (5)	\$ 3	
Foreign currency swaps	Net realized capital gains		(15)						
Total		\$ 263	\$ 167	\$ 345	\$ 542	\$ (3)	\$ (5)	\$ 3	

Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships**Gain (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI into Income
(Effective Portion)**

		Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
		September 30,		September 30,	
		2011	2010	2011	2010
Interest rate swaps	Net realized capital gains	\$ 4	\$ 7	\$ 8	\$ 11
Interest rate swaps	Net investment income	33	27	96	61
Foreign currency swaps	Net realized capital gains (losses)	(9)	11	(1)	(5)
Total		\$ 28	\$ 45	\$ 103	\$ 67

Table of Contents**THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)****5. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)**

As of September 30, 2011, the before-tax deferred net gains on derivative instruments recorded in AOCI that are expected to be reclassified to earnings during the next twelve months are \$104. This expectation is based on the anticipated interest payments on hedged investments in fixed maturity securities that will occur over the next twelve months, at which time the Company will recognize the deferred net gains (losses) as an adjustment to interest income over the term of the investment cash flows. The maximum term over which the Company is hedging its exposure to the variability of future cash flows (for forecasted transactions, excluding interest payments on existing variable-rate financial instruments) is approximately two years.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, the Company had no net reclassifications from AOCI to earnings resulting from the discontinuance of cash-flow hedges due to forecasted transactions that were no longer probable of occurring. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, the Company had no net reclassifications and less than \$1 of net reclassifications, respectively, from AOCI to earnings resulting from the discontinuance of cash-flow hedges due to forecasted transactions that were no longer probable of occurring.

Fair Value Hedges

For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as a fair value hedge, the gain or loss on the derivative, as well as the offsetting loss or gain on the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk are recognized in current earnings. The Company includes the gain or loss on the derivative in the same line item as the offsetting loss or gain on the hedged item. No components of each derivative's gain or loss were excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness.

The Company recognized in income gains (losses) representing the ineffective portion of fair value hedges as follows:

	Derivatives in Fair Value Hedging Relationships							
	Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income [1]							
	Three Months Ended				Nine Months Ended			
	September 30,				September 30,			
	2011		2010		2011		2010	
Derivative	Hedge	Derivative	Hedge	Derivative	Hedge	Derivative	Hedge	
	Item	Item	Item	Item	Item	Item	Item	
Interest rate swaps								
Net realized capital gains (losses)	\$ (54)	\$ 54	\$ (25)	\$ 25	\$ (71)	\$ 71	\$ (77)	\$ 72
Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses			(1)	2			(3)	5
Foreign currency swaps								
Net realized capital gains (losses)	(28)	28	44	(44)	8	(8)	4	(4)
Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses	(5)	5	(5)	5	(14)	14	(6)	6
Total	\$ (87)	\$ 87	\$ 13	\$ (12)	\$ (77)	\$ 77	\$ (82)	\$ 79

[1] The amounts presented do not include the periodic net coupon settlements of the derivative or the coupon income (expense) related to the hedged item. The net of the amounts presented represents the ineffective portion of the

hedge.

Table of Contents**THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)****5. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)****Non-qualifying Strategies**

For non-qualifying strategies, including embedded derivatives that are required to be bifurcated from their host contracts and accounted for as derivatives, the gain or loss on the derivative is recognized currently in earnings within net realized capital gains or losses. The following table presents the gain or loss recognized in income on non-qualifying strategies:

	Non-qualifying Strategies			
	Gain (Loss) Recognized within Net Realized Capital Gains (Losses)			
	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Interest rate contracts				
Interest rate swaps, swaptions, caps, floors, futures, and forwards	\$ (25)	\$ 50	\$ (24)	\$ 45
Foreign exchange contracts				
Foreign currency swaps, forwards, and swaptions	19	(21)	7	8
Japan 3Win hedging derivatives [1]	39	84	14	93
Japanese fixed annuity hedging instruments [2]	103	160	98	301
Japanese variable annuity hedging instruments	56	15		60
Credit contracts				
Credit derivatives that purchase credit protection	31	(34)	11	4
Credit derivatives that assume credit risk	(183)	113	(178)	100
Equity contracts				
Equity index swaps, options, and futures	(56)	2	(54)	7
Variable annuity hedge program				
GMWB product derivatives	(1,364)	655	(1,085)	(489)
GMWB reinsurance contracts	241	(101)	180	84
GMWB hedging instruments	751	(384)	567	278
Macro hedge program	1,237	(443)	915	(210)
Other				
GMAB product derivatives	(5)	3	(6)	1
Contingent capital facility put option	(1)	(1)	(4)	(3)
Total	\$ 843	\$ 98	\$ 441	\$ 279

[1] The associated liability is adjusted for changes in spot rates through realized capital gains and was \$(93) and \$(114) for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and \$(100) and \$(210) for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

[2] The associated liability is adjusted for changes in spot rates through realized capital gains and was \$(115) and \$(140) for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and \$(125) and \$(258) for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, the net realized capital gain (loss) related to derivatives used in non-qualifying strategies was primarily comprised of the following:

The net gain associated with the macro hedge program was driven by a lower equity market valuation and foreign currency movements, primarily the Japanese yen strengthening in comparison to the euro.

The loss related to the combined GMWB hedging program, which includes the GMWB product, reinsurance, and hedging derivatives, was primarily a result of a general decrease in long-term interest rates and higher interest rate volatility.

The loss on credit derivatives that assume credit risk is primarily due to credit spread widening.

The net gain related to the Japanese fixed annuity hedging instruments is primarily due to the U.S. dollar weakening in comparison to the Japanese yen.

Table of Contents**THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)****5. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)**

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, the net realized capital gain (loss) related to derivatives used in non-qualifying strategies was primarily comprised of the following:

The net loss associated with the macro hedge program was primarily due to a higher equity market valuation, time decay, and lower implied market volatility.

The net gain on the Japanese fixed annuity hedging instruments was primarily due to the U.S. dollar weakening in comparison to the Japanese yen and a decrease in Japanese interest rates.

The gain for the three months ended September 30, 2010 related to the combined GMWB hedging program which includes the GMWB product, reinsurance, and hedging derivatives was primarily driven by liability model assumption updates and lower implied market volatility, partially offset by losses due to a general decrease in long-term interest rates. The loss for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 related to the combined GMWB hedging program which includes the GMWB product, reinsurance, and hedging derivatives was primarily driven by a general decrease in long-term interest rates, partially offset by gains on liability model assumption updates.

The net gain associated with credit derivatives that assume credit risk was primarily due to credit spreads tightening.

The net gain related to the Japan 3Win hedging derivatives was primarily due to the strengthening of the Japanese yen in comparison to the U.S. dollar, partially offset by the decrease in long-term interest rates.

Refer to Note 9 for additional disclosures regarding contingent credit related features in derivative agreements.

Credit Risk Assumed through Credit Derivatives

The Company enters into credit default swaps that assume credit risk of a single entity, referenced index, or asset pool in order to synthetically replicate investment transactions. The Company will receive periodic payments based on an agreed upon rate and notional amount and will only make a payment if there is a credit event. A credit event payment will typically be equal to the notional value of the swap contract less the value of the referenced security issuer's debt obligation after the occurrence of the credit event. A credit event is generally defined as a default on contractually obligated interest or principal payments or bankruptcy of the referenced entity. The credit default swaps in which the Company assumes credit risk primarily reference investment grade single corporate issuers and baskets, which include trades ranging from baskets of up to five corporate issuers to standard and customized diversified portfolios of corporate issuers. The diversified portfolios of corporate issuers are established within sector concentration limits and are typically divided into tranches that possess different credit ratings.

The following tables present the notional amount, fair value, weighted average years to maturity, underlying referenced credit obligation type and average credit ratings, and offsetting notional amounts and fair value for credit derivatives in which the Company is assuming credit risk as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

As of September 30, 2011

Credit Derivative type by derivative risk exposure	Notional Amount [2]	Fair Value	Weighted Average Years to Maturity	Underlying Referenced Credit Obligation(s) [1]		Offsetting Notional Amount [3]	Offsetting Fair Value [3]
				Type	Average Credit Rating		
Single name credit default swaps							
Investment grade risk exposure	\$ 1,569	\$ (42)	3 years	Corporate Credit/ Foreign	A+	\$ 1,446	\$ (10)

Edgar Filing: HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP INC/DE - Form 10-Q

Below investment grade risk exposure	180	(10)	2 years	Gov. Corporate Credit	BB-	144	(3)
Basket credit default swaps [4]							
Investment grade risk exposure	3,162	(118)	3 years	Corporate Credit	BBB+	2,044	45
Investment grade risk exposure	525	(133)	5 years	CMBS Credit	BBB+	525	133
Below investment grade risk exposure	553	(481)	3 years	Corporate Credit	BBB+		
Embedded credit derivatives							
Investment grade risk exposure	25	23	3 years	Corporate Credit	BBB-		
Below investment grade risk exposure	500	400	5 years	Corporate Credit	BB+		
Total	\$ 6,514	\$ (361)				\$ 4,159	\$ 165

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

As of December 31, 2010							
Credit Derivative type by derivative risk exposure	Notional Amount [2]	Fair Value	Weighted Average Years to Maturity	Underlying Referenced Credit Obligation(s) [1] Type	Average Credit Rating	Offsetting	
						Notional Amount [3]	Offsetting Fair Value [3]
Single name credit default swaps							
Investment grade risk exposure	\$ 1,562	\$ (14)	3 years	Corporate Credit/ Foreign Gov.	A+	\$ 1,447	\$ (41)
Below investment grade risk exposure	204	(6)	3 years	Corporate Credit	BB-	168	(13)
Basket credit default swaps [4]							
Investment grade risk exposure	3,145	(1)	4 years	Corporate Credit	BBB+	2,019	(14)
Investment grade risk exposure	525	(50)	6 years	CMBS Credit	BBB+	525	50
Below investment grade risk exposure	767	(381)	4 years	Corporate Credit	BBB+	25	
Embedded credit derivatives							
Investment grade risk exposure	25	25	4 years	Corporate Credit	BBB-		
Below investment grade risk exposure	525	463	6 years	Corporate Credit	BB+		
Total	\$ 6,753	\$ 36				\$ 4,184	\$ (18)

[1] The average credit ratings are based on availability and the midpoint of the applicable ratings among Moody's, S&P, and Fitch. If no rating is available from a rating agency, then an internally developed rating is used.

[2] Notional amount is equal to the maximum potential future loss amount. There is no specific collateral related to these contracts or recourse provisions included in the contracts to offset losses.

[3] The Company has entered into offsetting credit default swaps to terminate certain existing credit default swaps, thereby offsetting the future changes in value of, or losses paid related to, the original swap.

[4] Includes \$3.7 billion and \$3.9 billion as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, of standard market indices of diversified portfolios of corporate issuers referenced through credit default swaps. These swaps

are subsequently valued based upon the observable standard market index. Also includes \$553 and \$542 as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, of customized diversified portfolios of corporate issuers referenced through credit default swaps.

6. Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs and Present Value of Future Profits

Changes in the DAC balance are as follows:

	2011	2010
Balance, January 1	\$ 9,857	\$ 10,686
Deferred Costs	1,961	1,999
Amortization DAC	(2,351)	(2,043)
Amortization DAC from discontinued operations		(14)
Amortization Unlock charge, pre-tax	(468)	30
Adjustments to unrealized gains and losses on securities available-for-sale and other	(352)	(1,462)
Effect of currency translation	82	179
Effect of new accounting guidance		11
Balance, September 30	\$ 8,729	\$ 9,386

The Unlock charge, pre-tax, for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was driven by declines in equity markets and assumption changes, including additional costs associated with the implementation of the Japan hedging strategy which reduces expected future gross profits. The Unlock benefit, pre-tax, for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily driven by actual separate account returns being above our aggregated estimated return and the impacts of assumption updates made during the third quarter of 2010.

Table of Contents**THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)****7. Separate Accounts, Death Benefits and Other Insurance Benefit Features****U.S. GMDB, International GMDB/GMIB, and UL Secondary Guarantee Benefits**

Changes in the gross U.S. GMDB, International GMDB/GMIB, and UL secondary guarantee benefits are as follows:

	U.S. GMDB	International GMDB/GMIB	UL Secondary Guarantees
Liability balance as of January 1, 2011	\$ 1,053	\$ 696	\$ 113
Incurred	171	91	29
Paid	(156)	(116)	
Unlock	171	250	66
Currency translation adjustment		33	
Liability balance as of September 30, 2011	\$ 1,239	\$ 954	\$ 208
Reinsurance recoverable asset, as of January 1, 2011	\$ 686	\$ 36	\$ 30
Incurred	99	14	(10)
Paid	(101)	(21)	
Unlock	113	11	
Currency translation adjustment		2	
Reinsurance recoverable asset, as of September 30, 2011	\$ 797	\$ 42	\$ 20

	U.S. GMDB	International GMDB/GMIB	UL Secondary Guarantees
Liability balance as of January 1, 2010	\$ 1,233	\$ 599	\$ 76
Incurred	183	87	29
Paid	(233)	(95)	
Unlock	(24)	(20)	(2)
Currency translation adjustment		70	
Liability balance as of September 30, 2010	\$ 1,159	\$ 641	\$ 103
Reinsurance recoverable asset, as of January 1, 2010	\$ 787	\$ 51	\$ 22
Incurred	107	(7)	6
Paid	(138)		
Unlock	(4)	(5)	
Currency translation adjustment		4	
Reinsurance recoverable asset, as of September 30, 2010	\$ 752	\$ 43	\$ 28

Table of Contents**THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)****7. Separate Accounts, Death Benefits and Other Insurance Benefit Features (continued)**

The following table provides details concerning GMDB and GMIB exposure as of September 30, 2011:

Individual Variable and Group Annuity Account Value by GMDB/GMIB Type

	Account Value	Net Amount at Risk	Retained Net Amount at Risk (RNAR)	Weighted Average Attained Age of Annuitant
Maximum anniversary value (MAV) [1]	(AV) [8]	(NAR) [10]	[10]	
MAV only	\$ 20,113	\$ 7,357	\$ 2,009	68
With 5% rollup [2]	1,407	612	226	68
With Earnings Protection Benefit Rider (EPB) [3]	5,225	1,271	131	65
With 5% rollup & EPB	572	206	42	68
Total MAV	27,317	9,446	2,408	
Asset Protection Benefit (APB) [4]	21,875	4,495	2,939	66
Lifetime Income Benefit (LIB) Death Benefit [5]	1,052	187	187	64
Reset [6] (5-7 years)	3,030	446	442	68
Return of Premium (ROP) [7]/Other	20,557	1,360	1,330	65
Subtotal U.S. GMDB	73,831	15,934	7,306	66
Less: General Account Value with U.S. GMDB	7,172			
Subtotal Separate Account Liabilities with GMDB	66,659			
Separate Account Liabilities without U.S. GMDB	77,264			
Total Separate Account Liabilities	\$ 143,923			
Japan GMDB [9], [11]	\$ 29,522	\$ 11,035	\$ 9,583	69
Japan GMIB [9], [11]	\$ 27,471	\$ 7,662	\$ 7,662	69

[1] MAV GMDB is the greatest of current AV, net premiums paid and the highest AV on any anniversary before age 80 (adjusted for withdrawals).

[2] Rollup GMDB is the greatest of the MAV, current AV, net premium paid and premiums (adjusted for withdrawals) accumulated at generally 5% simple interest up to the earlier of age 80 or 100% of adjusted premiums.

[3] EPB GMDB is the greatest of the MAV, current AV, or contract value plus a percentage of the contract's growth. The contract's growth is AV less premiums net of withdrawals, subject to a cap of 200% of premiums net of withdrawals.

[4]

APB GMDB is the greater of current AV or MAV, not to exceed current AV plus 25% times the greater of net premiums and MAV (each adjusted for premiums in the past 12 months).

- [5] *LIB GMDB is the greatest of current AV, net premiums paid, or for certain contracts a benefit amount that ratchets over time, generally based on market performance.*
- [6] *Reset GMDB is the greatest of current AV, net premiums paid and the most recent five to seven year anniversary AV before age 80 (adjusted for withdrawals).*
- [7] *ROP GMDB is the greater of current AV or net premiums paid.*
- [8] *AV includes the contract holder's investment in the separate account and the general account.*
- [9] *GMDB includes a ROP and MAV (before age 80) paid in a single lump sum. GMIB is a guarantee to return initial investment, adjusted for earnings liquidity which allows for free withdrawal of earnings, paid through a fixed payout annuity, after a minimum deferral period of 10, 15 or 20 years. The GRB related to the Japan GMIB was \$34.4 billion and \$33.9 billion as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. The GRB related to the Japan GMAB and GMWB was \$707 as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. These liabilities are not included in the Separate Account as they are not legally insulated from the general account liabilities of the insurance enterprise. As of September 30, 2011, 56% of the GMDB RNAR and 66% of the GMIB NAR is reinsured to a Hartford affiliate.*
- [10] *NAR is defined as the guaranteed benefit in excess of the current AV. RNAR represents NAR reduced for reinsurance. NAR and RNAR are highly sensitive to equity markets movements and increase when equity markets decline. Additionally Japan's NAR and RNAR are highly sensitive to currency movements and increase when the Yen strengthens.*
- [11] *Policies with a guaranteed living benefit (GMIB in Japan) also have a guaranteed death benefit. The NAR for each benefit is shown in the table above, however these benefits are not additive. When a policy terminates due to death, any NAR related to GMWB or GMIB is released. Similarly, when a policy goes into benefit status on a GMWB or GMIB, its GMDB NAR is released.*

In the U.S., account balances of contracts with guarantees were invested in variable separate accounts as follows:

Asset type	As of September 30, 2011	As of December 31, 2010
Equity securities (including mutual funds)	\$ 59,253	\$ 75,601
Cash and cash equivalents	7,406	8,365
Total	\$ 66,659	\$ 83,966

As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, approximately 18% and 15%, respectively, of the equity securities above were invested in fixed income securities through these funds and approximately 82% and 85%, respectively, were invested in equity securities.

See Note 4a for further information on guaranteed living benefits that are accounted for at fair value, such as GMWB.

Table of Contents**THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)****8. Sales Inducements**

Changes in deferred sales inducement activity were as follows for the nine months ended September 30:

	2011	2010
Balance, January 1	\$ 459	\$ 438
Sales inducements deferred	15	20
Amortization	(31)	(10)
Amortization Unlock charge, pre-tax	(19)	(6)
Balance, September 30	\$ 424	\$ 442

9. Commitments and Contingencies**Litigation**

The Hartford is involved in claims litigation arising in the ordinary course of business, both as a liability insurer defending or providing indemnity for third-party claims brought against insureds and as an insurer defending coverage claims brought against it. The Hartford accounts for such activity through the establishment of unpaid loss and loss adjustment expense reserves. Subject to the uncertainties discussed below under the caption Asbestos and Environmental Claims, management expects that the ultimate liability, if any, with respect to such ordinary-course claims litigation, after consideration of provisions made for potential losses and costs of defense, will not be material to the consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows of The Hartford.

The Hartford is also involved in other kinds of legal actions, some of which assert claims for substantial amounts. These actions include, among others, putative state and federal class actions seeking certification of a state or national class. Such putative class actions have alleged, for example, underpayment of claims or improper underwriting practices in connection with various kinds of insurance policies, such as personal and commercial automobile, property, life and inland marine; improper sales practices in connection with the sale of life insurance and other investment products; and improper fee arrangements in connection with investment products. The Hartford also is involved in individual actions in which punitive damages are sought, such as claims alleging bad faith in the handling of insurance claims. Like many other insurers, The Hartford also has been joined in actions by asbestos plaintiffs asserting, among other things, that insurers had a duty to protect the public from the dangers of asbestos and that insurers committed unfair trade practices by asserting defenses on behalf of their policyholders in the underlying asbestos cases. Management expects that the ultimate liability, if any, with respect to such lawsuits, after consideration of provisions made for estimated losses, will not be material to the consolidated financial condition of The Hartford. Nonetheless, given the large or indeterminate amounts sought in certain of these actions, and the inherent unpredictability of litigation, the outcome in certain matters could, from time to time, have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows in particular quarterly or annual periods.

Apart from the inherent difficulty of predicting litigation outcomes, particularly those that will be decided by a jury, many of the matters specifically identified below purport to seek substantial damages for unsubstantiated conduct spanning a multi-year period based on novel and complex legal theories and damages models. The alleged damages typically are not quantified or factually supported in the complaint, and, in any event, the Company's experience shows that demands for damages often bear little relation to a reasonable estimate of potential loss. Most are in the earliest stages of litigation, with few or no substantive legal decisions by the court defining the scope of the claims, the class (if any), or the potentially available damages. In many, the Company has not yet answered the complaint or asserted its defenses, and fact discovery is still in progress or has not yet begun. Accordingly, unless otherwise specified below, management cannot reasonably estimate the possible loss or range of loss, if any, or predict the timing of the eventual resolution of these matters.

Table of Contents**THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)****9. Commitments and Contingencies (continued)**

Broker Compensation Litigation Following the New York Attorney General's filing of a civil complaint against Marsh & McLennan Companies, Inc., and Marsh, Inc. (collectively, Marsh) in October 2004 alleging that certain insurance companies, including The Hartford, participated with Marsh in arrangements to submit inflated bids for business insurance and paid contingent commissions to ensure that Marsh would direct business to them, private plaintiffs brought several lawsuits against the Company predicated on the allegations in the Marsh complaint, to which the Company was not party. Among these is a multidistrict litigation in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey. Two consolidated amended complaints were filed in the multidistrict litigation, one related to conduct in connection with the sale of property-casualty insurance and the other related to alleged conduct in connection with the sale of group benefits products. The Company and various of its subsidiaries are named in both complaints. The complaints assert, on behalf of a putative class of persons who purchased insurance through broker defendants, claims under the Sherman Act, the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO), state law, and in the case of the group benefits complaint, claims under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA). The claims are predicated upon allegedly undisclosed or otherwise improper payments of contingent commissions to the broker defendants to steer business to the insurance company defendants. The district court dismissed the Sherman Act and RICO claims in both complaints for failure to state a claim and has granted the defendants' motions for summary judgment on the ERISA claims in the group-benefits products complaint. The district court further declined to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over the state law claims and dismissed those claims without prejudice. The plaintiffs appealed the dismissal of the claims in both consolidated amended complaints, except the ERISA claims. In August 2010, the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit affirmed the dismissal of the Sherman Act and RICO claims against the Company. The Third Circuit vacated the dismissal of the Sherman Act and RICO claims against some defendants in the property casualty insurance case and vacated the dismissal of the state-law claims as to all defendants in light of the reinstatement of the federal claims. In September 2010, the district court entered final judgment for the defendants in the group benefits case. In March 2011, the Company reached an agreement in principle to settle on a class basis the property casualty insurance case for an immaterial amount. The settlement was preliminarily approved in June 2011 and is contingent upon final court approval.

Investment and Savings Plan ERISA and Shareholder Securities Class Action Litigation In November and December 2008, following a decline in the share price of the Company's common stock, seven putative class action lawsuits were filed in the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut on behalf of certain participants in the Company's Investment and Savings Plan (the Plan), which offers the Company's common stock as one of many investment options. These lawsuits have been consolidated, and a consolidated amended class-action complaint was filed on March 23, 2009, alleging that the Company and certain of its officers and employees violated ERISA by allowing the Plan's participants to invest in the Company's common stock and by failing to disclose to the Plan's participants information about the Company's financial condition. The lawsuit seeks restitution or damages for losses arising from the investment of the Plan's assets in the Company's common stock during the period from December 10, 2007 to the present. In January 2010, the district court denied the Company's motion to dismiss the consolidated amended complaint. In February 2011, the parties reached an agreement in principle to settle on a class basis for an immaterial amount. The settlement is contingent upon the execution of a final settlement agreement and preliminary and final court approval.

The Company and certain of its present or former officers are defendants in a putative securities class action lawsuit filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York in March 2010. The operative complaint, filed in October 2010, is brought on behalf of persons who acquired Hartford common stock during the period of July 28, 2008 through February 5, 2009, and alleges that the defendants violated Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 10b-5, by making false or misleading statements during the alleged class period about the Company's valuation of certain asset-backed securities and its effect on the Company's capital position. In September 2011, the district court dismissed the lawsuit with prejudice. The plaintiffs did not appeal.

Fair Credit Reporting Act Class Action In February 2007, the United States District Court for the District of Oregon gave final approval of the Company's settlement of a lawsuit brought on behalf of a class of homeowners and automobile policy holders alleging that the Company willfully violated the Fair Credit Reporting Act by failing to send appropriate notices to new customers whose initial rates were higher than they would have been had the customer had a more favorable credit report. The Company paid approximately \$84.3 to eligible claimants and their counsel in connection with the settlement, sought reimbursement from the Company's Excess Professional Liability Insurance Program for the portion of the settlement in excess of the Company's \$10 self-insured retention, and booked an insurance recoverable for the amount paid under the settlement plus the cost of settlement administration, less the self-insured retention. Certain insurance carriers participating in that program disputed coverage for the settlement, and one of the excess insurers commenced an arbitration that resulted in an award in the Company's favor and payments to the Company of approximately \$30.1, thereby exhausting the primary and first-layer excess policies. As a result, the Company's insurance recoverable was reduced to \$45.5. In June 2009, the second-layer excess carriers commenced an arbitration to resolve the dispute over coverage for the remainder of the amounts paid by the Company. The Company counterclaimed for coverage. In September 2011, the arbitrators ruled in the Company's favor and awarded approximately \$50 with interest of \$3 from July 2009.

Table of Contents**THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)****9. Commitments and Contingencies (continued)**

Mutual Funds Litigation In October 2010, a derivative action was brought on behalf of six Hartford retail mutual funds in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, alleging that Hartford Investment Financial Services, LLC received excessive advisory and distribution fees in violation of its statutory fiduciary duty under Section 36(b) of the Investment Company Act of 1940. In February 2011, a nearly identical derivative action was brought against Hartford Investment Financial Services, LLC in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey on behalf of six additional Hartford retail mutual funds. Both actions are assigned to the Honorable Renee Marie Bumb, a judge in the District of New Jersey who is sitting by designation with respect to the Delaware action. Plaintiffs in each action seek to rescind the investment management agreements and distribution plans between Hartford Investment Financial Services, LLC and the six mutual funds and to recover the total fees charged thereunder or, in the alternative, to recover any improper compensation Hartford Investment Financial Services, LLC received. In addition, plaintiff in the New Jersey action seeks recovery of lost earnings. The Company disputes the allegations and moved to dismiss both actions. In September 2011, the motions to dismiss were granted in part and denied in part. The district court gave the plaintiffs leave to amend their complaints by November 14, 2011 and ordered Hartford Investment Financial Services, LLC to respond by January 16, 2012.

Asbestos and Environmental Claims As discussed in Note 12, Commitments and Contingencies, of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under the caption *Asbestos and Environmental Claims*, included in the Company's 2010 Form 10-K Annual Report, The Hartford continues to receive asbestos and environmental claims that involve significant uncertainty regarding policy coverage issues. Regarding these claims, The Hartford continually reviews its overall reserve levels and reinsurance coverages, as well as the methodologies it uses to estimate its exposures. Because of the significant uncertainties that limit the ability of insurers and reinsurers to estimate the ultimate reserves necessary for unpaid losses and related expenses, particularly those related to asbestos, the ultimate liabilities may exceed the currently recorded reserves. Any such additional liability cannot be reasonably estimated now but could be material to The Hartford's consolidated operating results, financial condition and liquidity.

Derivative Commitments

Certain of the Company's derivative agreements contain provisions that are tied to the financial strength ratings of the individual legal entity that entered into the derivative agreement as set by nationally recognized statistical rating agencies. If the legal entity's financial strength were to fall below certain ratings, the counterparties to the derivative agreements could demand immediate and ongoing full collateralization and in certain instances demand immediate settlement of all outstanding derivative positions traded under each impacted bilateral agreement. The settlement amount is determined by netting the derivative positions transacted under each agreement. If the termination rights were to be exercised by the counterparties, it could impact the legal entity's ability to conduct hedging activities by increasing the associated costs and decreasing the willingness of counterparties to transact with the legal entity. The aggregate fair value of all derivative instruments with credit-risk-related contingent features that are in a net liability position as of September 30, 2011, is \$638. Of this \$638 the legal entities have posted collateral of \$619 in the normal course of business. Based on derivative market values as of September 30, 2011, a downgrade of one level below the current financial strength ratings by either Moody's or S&P could require approximately an additional \$22 to be posted as collateral. Based on derivative market values as of September 30, 2011, a downgrade by either Moody's or S&P of two levels below the legal entities' current financial strength ratings could require approximately an additional \$33 of assets to be posted as collateral. These collateral amounts could change as derivative market values change, as a result of changes in our hedging activities or to the extent changes in contractual terms are negotiated. The nature of the collateral that we would post, if required, would be primarily in the form of U.S. Treasury bills and U.S. Treasury notes.

Table of Contents**THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)****10. Pension Plans and Postretirement Health Care and Life Insurance Benefit Plans****Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost**

Total net periodic benefit cost for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 includes the following components:

	Pension Benefits		Other Postretirement Benefits	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Service cost	\$ 24	\$ 25	\$ 2	\$ 2
Interest cost	64	64	5	6
Expected return on plan assets	(74)	(71)	(3)	(4)
Amortization of prior service credit	(2)	(2)	(1)	(1)
Amortization of actuarial loss	40	26		
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 52	\$ 42	\$ 3	\$ 3

Total net periodic benefit cost for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 includes the following components:

	Pension Benefits		Other Postretirement Benefits	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Service cost	\$ 76	\$ 76	\$ 4	\$ 5
Interest cost	194	189	15	17
Expected return on plan assets	(223)	(214)	(10)	(10)
Settlement expense		20		
Amortization of prior service credit	(7)	(7)	(1)	(1)
Amortization of actuarial loss	119	80		
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 159	\$ 144	\$ 8	\$ 11

11. Stock Compensation Plans

The Company's stock-based compensation plans include The Hartford 2010 Incentive Stock Plan, The Hartford Employee Stock Purchase Plan and The Hartford Deferred Stock Unit Plan. For a description of these plans, see Note 18 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in The Hartford's 2010 Form 10-K Annual Report. Shares issued in satisfaction of stock-based compensation may be made available from authorized but unissued shares, shares held by the Company in treasury or from shares purchased in the open market. The Company typically issues shares from treasury in satisfaction of stock-based compensation.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Stock-based compensation plans (benefit)/expense	\$ (16)	\$ 16	\$ 30	\$ 57
Income tax expense/(benefit)	6	(6)	(11)	(20)
Total stock-based compensation plans (benefit)/expense, after-tax	\$ (10)	\$ 10	\$ 19	\$ 37

In 2010 and 2009, the Company issued awards that will ultimately be settled in cash. As a result, these awards are re-measured at the end of each reporting period until settlement. The net stock-based compensation plans benefit recognized during the three months ended September 30, 2011 was a result of a decrease in the Company's share price during the reporting period.

The Company did not capitalize any cost of stock-based compensation. As of September 30, 2011, the total compensation cost related to non-vested awards not yet recognized was \$79, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.5 years.

Table of Contents**THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)****12. Discontinued Operations**

On May 22, 2011, the Company announced a definitive merger agreement with CenterState Banks, Inc. (CBI), pursuant to which Federal Trust Corporation (FTC), a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, will be merged with and into CBI, and Federal Trust Bank (FTB), a federally chartered, FDIC-insured thrift and wholly owned subsidiary of FTC, will be merged with and into CenterState Bank of Florida, N.A. (CenterState Bank), a wholly owned subsidiary of CBI. These mergers closed on November 1, 2011. At the time of the mergers, FTC and FTB held net assets including cash, certain mortgage loans, property and other assets equivalent to liabilities assumed including deposits and other liabilities, totaling approximately \$200. The Company recorded an after-tax charge of \$74 in the second quarter of 2011 related to the divestiture, including the write off of remaining goodwill of \$10, after-tax, and losses on certain FTC and FTB assets and liabilities which will not be transferred to CenterState. The Company simultaneously engaged in activities to purchase certain assets and assume certain liabilities from FTC and FTB that were not part of the transactions with CBI and CenterState Bank. The Company anticipates disposing of these assets and liabilities within twelve months after closing, and thus any income or expense related to these assets and liabilities will be temporary in nature. FTC is included in the Corporate and Other category for segment reporting.

In the first quarter of 2011, the Company completed the sale of its wholly-owned subsidiary Specialty Risk Services (SRS). SRS is a third-party claims administration business that provides self-insured, insured, and alternative market clients with customized claims services. The Company is required to provide certain services to SRS for up to 24 months under a Transition Services Agreement. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company recorded a net realized capital gain of \$150, after-tax. SRS is included in the Property & Casualty Commercial reporting segment. In addition, during the fourth quarter of 2010, the Company completed the sales of its indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries Hartford Investments Canada Corporation (HICC) and Hartford Advantage Investment, Ltd. (HAIL). HICC is included in the Mutual Funds reporting segment and HAIL is included in the Global Annuity reporting segment.

The following table summarizes the amounts related to discontinued operations in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Revenues				
Fee income	\$ (1)	\$ 9	\$	\$ 27
Net investment income	5	10	16	21
Net realized capital gains (losses)		(4)	(5)	(4)
Other revenues		56	47	165
Total revenues	4	71	58	209
Benefits, losses and expenses				
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of future profits		7		14
Insurance operating costs and other expenses	5	59	51	189
Goodwill impairment				153
Total benefits, losses and expenses	5	66	51	356
Income (loss) before income taxes	(1)	5	7	(147)
Income tax expense (benefit)		4	2	(48)
	(1)	1	5	(99)

Income (loss) from operations of discontinued operations, net of tax

Net realized capital gain on disposal, net of tax		4				80		
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	\$	3	\$	1	\$	85	\$	(99)

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

13. Goodwill

The carrying amount of goodwill allocated to reporting segments as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 is shown below.

	September 30, 2011			December 31, 2010		
	Gross	Accumulated Impairments	Carrying Value	Gross	Accumulated Impairments	Carrying Value
Commercial Markets						
Property & Casualty						
Commercial	\$ 30	\$	\$ 30	\$ 30	\$	\$ 30
Total Commercial Markets	30		30	30		30
Consumer Markets	119		119	119		119
Wealth Management						
Global Annuity	422	(422)		422	(422)	
Life Insurance	224		224	224		224
Retirement Plans	87		87	87		87
Mutual Funds	159		159	159		159
Total Wealth Management	892	(422)	470	892	(422)	470
Corporate and Other	940	(523)	417	940	(508)	432
Total Goodwill	\$ 1,981	\$ (945)	\$ 1,036	\$ 1,981	\$ (930)	\$ 1,051

During the second quarter, the Company charged off the remaining \$15 of goodwill associated with the Federal Trust Corporation (FTC) reporting unit within Corporate and Other due to the announced divestiture of FTC. The write-off of the FTC reporting unit goodwill was recorded as a loss on disposal within discontinued operations, see Note 12 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Company completed its annual goodwill assessment for the individual reporting units within Wealth Management and Corporate and Other, except for the FTC reporting unit, as of January 1, 2011, which resulted in no write-downs of goodwill in 2011. The reporting units passed the first step of their annual impairment tests with a significant margin with the exception of the Individual Life reporting unit within Life Insurance. The Individual Life reporting unit has a goodwill balance of \$342 and had a margin of less than 10%.

The fair value of the Individual Life reporting unit within Life Insurance is based on discounted cash flows using earnings projections on in force business and future business growth. There could be a positive or negative impact on the result of step one in future periods if actual earnings or business growth assumptions emerge differently than those used in determining fair value for the first step of the annual goodwill impairment test.

During the fourth quarter of 2011, the Company will change the date of its annual impairment test to October 31st to be consistent across the organization. All business units will perform an impairment test in the fourth quarter even if previously performed during the fiscal year. The change is being made to more closely align the impairment testing date with the long-range planning and forecasting process.

Table of Contents**Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS***(Dollar amounts in millions except share data unless otherwise stated)*

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) addresses the financial condition of The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries (collectively, The Hartford or the Company) as of September 30, 2011, compared with December 31, 2010, and its results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, compared to the equivalent 2010 periods. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the MD&A in The Hartford's 2010 Form 10-K Annual Report. Certain reclassifications have been made to prior period financial information to conform to the current period classifications. Also, prior period amounts have been retrospectively reclassified to reflect discontinued operations, see Note 12 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further information on discontinued operations. The Hartford defines increases or decreases greater than or equal to 200%, or changes from a net gain to a net loss position, or vice versa, as NM or not meaningful.

INDEX

Description	Page
<u>Consolidated Results of Operations</u>	62
<u>Outlooks</u>	65
<u>Critical Accounting Estimates</u>	66
<u>The Hartford's Operations Overview</u>	76
<u>Key Performance Measures and Ratios</u>	76
<u>Property & Casualty Commercial</u>	84
<u>Group Benefits</u>	86
<u>Consumer Markets</u>	87
<u>Global Annuity</u>	90
<u>Life Insurance</u>	92
<u>Retirement Plans</u>	93
<u>Mutual Funds</u>	95
<u>Corporate and Other</u>	96
<u>Insurance Risk Management</u>	97
<u>Investment Credit Risk Management</u>	97
<u>Capital Markets Risk Management</u>	105
Table of Contents	112

<u>Capital Resources and Liquidity</u>	114
<u>Impact of New Accounting Standards</u>	121

Table of Contents**CONSOLIDATED RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

Operating Summary	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2011	2010	Change	2011	2010	Change
Earned premiums	\$ 3,518	\$ 3,513		\$ 10,582	\$ 10,546	
Fee income	1,192	1,164	2%	3,620	3,530	3%
Net investment income (loss):						
Securities available-for-sale and other	1,062	1,073	(1%)	3,274	3,275	
Equity securities, trading [1]	(1,890)	1,043	NM	(1,684)	(905)	(86%)
Total net investment income (loss)	(828)	2,116	NM	1,590	2,370	(33%)
Net realized capital gains (losses)	575	(257)	NM	241	(522)	NM
Other revenues	63	66	(5%)	188	195	(4%)
Total revenues	4,520	6,602	(32%)	16,221	16,119	1%
Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses	4,006	3,037	32%	11,160	9,762	14%
Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses returns credited on international variable annuities [1]	(1,889)	1,043	NM	(1,683)	(905)	(86%)
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of future profits (DAC)	1,320	431	NM	2,819	2,013	40%
Insurance operating costs and other expenses	1,059	1,046	1%	3,403	3,272	4%
Interest expense	128	128		384	380	1%
Total benefits, losses and expenses	4,624	5,685	(19%)	16,083	14,522	11%
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	(104)	917	NM	138	1,597	(91%)
Income tax expense (benefit)	(101)	252	NM	(312)	437	NM
Income (loss) from continuing operations, net of tax	(3)	665	NM	450	1,160	(61%)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	3	1	NM	85	(99)	NM
Net income	\$	\$ 666	(100%)	\$ 535	\$ 1,061	(50%)

Supplemental Operating Data

Income (loss) from continuing operations, net of tax, available to common shareholders per diluted common share	\$ (0.03)	\$ 1.34	\$ 0.87	\$ 1.42
Net income (loss) available to common shareholders per diluted common share	(0.02)	1.34	1.05	1.21
Total revenues, excluding net investment income on equity securities, trading	6,410	5,559	17,905	17,024

Summary of Financial Condition	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Total assets	\$ 305,598	\$ 318,346
Total investments, excluding equity securities, trading	105,408	98,175
Total stockholders equity	22,781	20,311

[1] Includes investment income and mark-to-market effects of equity securities, trading, supporting the international variable annuity business, which are classified in net investment income with corresponding amounts credited to policyholders within benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses.

Table of Contents

<i>Segment Results</i>	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2011	2010	Increase (Decrease) From 2011 to 2010	2011	2010	Increase (Decrease) From 2011 to 2010
Property & Casualty						
Commercial	\$ 52	\$ 306	\$ (254)	\$ 500	\$ 782	\$ (282)
Group Benefits	25	46	(21)	77	145	(68)
Commercial Markets	77	352	(275)	577	927	(350)
Consumer Markets	(16)	70	(86)	(80)	113	(193)
Global Annuity	49	175	(126)	327	141	186
Life Insurance	(3)	97	(100)	98	224	(126)
Retirement Plans	(32)	30	(62)	13	38	(25)
Mutual Funds	24	18	6	79	67	12
Wealth Management	38	320	(282)	517	470	47
Corporate and Other	(99)	(76)	(23)	(479)	(449)	(30)
Net income	\$	\$ 666	\$ (666)	\$ 535	\$ 1,061	\$ (526)

Three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2010

The decrease in net income from 2010 to 2011 was primarily due to the following items:

An Unlock charge \$516, after-tax, in 2011 compared to an Unlock benefit of \$193, after-tax, in 2010. The charge in 2011 was primarily driven by declines in equity markets and assumption changes, including additional costs associated with the implementation of the Japan hedging strategy which reduces expected future gross profits.

The benefit in 2010 was attributable to actual separate account returns being above our aggregated estimated return and the impact of assumption updates primarily related to decreasing lapse and withdrawal rates and lower hedge costs. For further discussion of Unlocks see the Critical Accounting Estimates within the MD&A.

Current accident year catastrophe losses of \$134, after-tax, in 2011, primarily due to Hurricane Irene in the Northeast and thunderstorms in the Midwest, compared to \$36, after-tax, in 2010, primarily due to severe windstorm events in the Midwest, Plains States and the Southeast.

The Company recorded reserve releases of \$10, after-tax, in 2011, compared to reserve releases of \$98, after-tax, in 2010, in its property and casualty insurance prior accident years development, excluding environmental reserves. For additional information regarding prior accident years development, see Critical Accounting Estimates within the MD&A.

Partially offsetting the decrease in net income was the following item:

Net realized capital gains increased primarily due the results of the variable annuity hedge program. For further discussion, see Net Realized Capital Gains (Losses) within Investment Results of Key Performance Measures and Ratios of this MD&A.

An environmental reserve strengthening of \$12, after-tax, in 2011 compared to \$40, after-tax, in 2010, resulting from the company's annual review of its environmental liabilities within the Other Operations operating segment. For further information see Other Operations Claims within the Property and Casualty Insurance Product Reserves, Net of Reinsurance section in Critical Accounting Estimates.

See the segment sections of the MD&A for a discussion on their respective performances.

Table of Contents

Nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2010

The decrease in net income from 2010 to 2011 was primarily due to the following items:

An Unlock charge of \$531, after-tax, in 2011 compared to an Unlock benefit of \$48, after-tax, in 2010. The charge in 2011 was primarily driven by declines in equity markets and assumption changes, including additional costs associated with the implementation of the Japan hedging strategy which reduces expected future gross profits. The Unlock benefit for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was attributable to actual separate account returns being above our aggregated estimated return and the impact of assumption updates primarily related to decreasing lapse and withdrawal rates and lower hedge costs. For further discussion of Unlocks see the Critical Accounting Estimates within the MD&A.

Current accident year catastrophe losses of \$475, after-tax, in 2011 compared to \$236, after-tax, in 2010. The losses in 2011 primarily relate to Hurricane Irene in the Northeast, thunderstorms in the Midwest and tornadoes in the Midwest, Plains States and the Southwest. The losses in 2010, primarily relate to severe windstorm events, particularly from hail in the Midwest, Plains States and the Southeast and from winter storms in the Mid-Atlantic and Northeast.

An asbestos reserve strengthening of \$189, after-tax, in 2011, compared to \$110, after-tax, in 2010 resulting from the Company's annual review of its asbestos liabilities within the Other Operations operating segment. The reserve strengthening in 2011 was primarily driven by higher frequency and severity of mesothelioma claims, particularly against certain smaller, more peripheral insureds, while the reserve strengthening in 2010 was primarily driven by increases in claim severity and expenses. For further information, see Other Operations Claims within the Property and Casualty Insurance Product Reserves, Net of Reinsurance section in Critical Accounting Estimates.

A \$73, after-tax, charge in the second quarter of 2011 related to the write-off of capitalized costs associated with a policy administration software project that was discontinued.

The Company recorded reserve releases of \$27, after-tax, in 2011, compared to reserve releases of \$254, after-tax, in 2010, in its property and casualty insurance prior accident years development, excluding asbestos and environmental reserves. For additional information regarding prior accident years development, see Critical Accounting Estimates within the MD&A.

Partially offsetting these decreases in net income were following items:

Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax, increased due to a realized gain on the sale of Specialty Risk Services of \$150, after-tax, in the first quarter of 2011, which was partially offset by a loss of \$74, after-tax, from the disposition of Federal Trust Corporation in the second quarter of 2011. In 2010, loss from discontinued operations, net of tax, primarily relates to goodwill impairment on Federal Trust Corporation of approximately \$100, after-tax, recorded in the second quarter of 2010.

Net realized capital gains increased primarily due the results of the variable annuity hedge program. For further discussion, see Net Realized Capital Gains (Losses) within Investment Results of Key Performance Measures and Ratios of this MD&A.

The first quarter of 2010 includes an accrual for a litigation settlement of \$73, before-tax, for further information see Structured Settlement Class Action in Note 12 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in The Hartford's 2010 Form 10-K Annual Report.

Income tax expense (benefit) in 2010 includes a valuation allowance expense of \$86 compared to a benefit of \$83 in 2011. See Note 1 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for a reconciliation of the tax provision at the U.S. Federal statutory rate to the provision for income taxes.

In the second quarter of 2011, the Company recorded a \$52 income tax benefit related to a resolution of a tax matter with the IRS for the computation of dividends received deduction for years 1998, 2000 and 2001. For additional information see Note 1 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

See the segment sections of the MD&A for a discussion on their respective performances.

Table of Contents

OUTLOOKS

The Hartford provides projections and other forward-looking information in the following discussions, which contain many forward-looking statements, particularly relating to the Company's future financial performance. These forward-looking statements are estimates based on information currently available to the Company, are made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and are subject to the precautionary statements set forth on pages 3-4 of this Form 10-Q. Actual results are likely to differ, and in the past have differed, materially from those forecast by the Company, depending on the outcome of various factors, including, but not limited to, those set forth in each discussion below and in Part I, Item 1A, Risk Factors in The Hartford's 2010 Form 10-K Annual Report.

The Hartford will continue to focus on growing its three customer-oriented divisions, Commercial Markets, Consumer Markets, and Wealth Management, through enhanced product development, leveraging synergies of the divisions product offerings to meet customer needs, and increased efficiencies throughout the organization. Slow economic and employment expansion may adversely impact the performance of The Hartford's insurance protection businesses where insureds may change their level of insurance, and asset accumulation businesses may see customers changing their level of savings based on anticipated economic conditions. In addition, the performance of The Hartford's divisions is subject to uncertainty due to capital market conditions, which impact the earnings of its asset management businesses and valuations and earnings in its investment portfolio. The current and future interest rate environment also affects the performance of the Company's divisions. A sustained low interest rate environment would result in lower net investment income, lower estimated gross profits on certain Wealth Management products, lower margins and increased pension expense.

Commercial Markets

Commercial Markets will continue to focus on growth through market-differentiated products and services while maintaining a disciplined underwriting approach. In the Property & Casualty Commercial insurance marketplace, improving market conditions have enabled the Company to achieve price increases in standard commercial lines during the first nine months of 2011, while a slowly recovering economy has resulted in an increase in insurance exposures, both at levels greater than anticipated. Additionally, standard commercial lines policy counts are growing, particularly for our small commercial business, led by an increase in workers' compensation policies in force. Favorable trends are expected to continue. As such, the Company expects mid to high single-digit full year 2011 written premium growth for Property & Casualty Commercial. This growth potential reflects the combination of our current market position, a broadening of underwriting expertise focused on selected industries, a leveraging of the payroll model, and numerous initiatives launched in the past several years. Initiatives include programs aimed at improving policy count retention, the rollout of new product offerings and the introduction of ease of doing business technology for our small commercial business. The Property & Casualty Commercial combined ratio before catastrophes and prior accident year development is expected to be higher for full year 2011 than the 93.4 achieved in 2010, as loss costs are expected to outpace pricing increases. In Group Benefits, the economic downturn, combined with employees lessening spending on the Company's products and the overall competitive environment, reduced premium levels in 2010. Premiums are expected to remain relatively flat for full year 2011, or until there is economic expansion with lower unemployment rates, compared to 2010 levels. Over time, as employers design benefit strategies to attract and retain employees, while attempting to control their benefit costs, management believes that the need for the Company's products will continue to expand. This combined with the significant number of employees who currently do not have coverage or adequate levels of coverage, creates continued opportunities for our products and services. The Company experienced elevated disability loss ratios in 2010, as compared to prior years, and anticipates loss ratios to remain essentially flat for full year 2011.

Consumer Markets

The Company expects written premium to decline for full year 2011, compared to 2010, including a decrease in both AARP direct and Agency business. Management expects written premium from business sold directly to AARP members to decline reflecting the impact of written pricing increases in a price sensitive market. The Company also expects Agency earned premium to decline in 2011 as a result of continued pricing and underwriting actions to improve profitability, including efforts to reposition the book into more preferred market business, including for

insureds aged 40+. Partially offsetting the decreases in 2011, Consumer Markets expects to increase its business written through independent agents to AARP members and enter into new affinity relationships. In addition, management expects to generate new business from direct marketing to AARP members, expanding the sale of the Open Road Advantage auto product and introducing an enhanced homeowners product called Hartford Home Advantage. The Open Road Advantage product is being rolled out to additional states for direct business and is being offered through independent agents. As of October 2011, the Open Road Advantage auto product was available in 44 states. The Hartford Home Advantage product was available in 27 states as of October 2011 and management expects it to be available in 38 states by the end of 2011. The Company distributes its discounted AARP Open Road Advantage auto product through those independent agents who are authorized to offer the AARP product and has begun to distribute its Hartford Home Advantage product on a discounted basis through those same authorized agents. Management expects that the combined ratio before catastrophes and prior accident year development will improve in 2011, as compared to 2010, driven mostly by earned pricing increases for both auto and home and lower average claim frequency for auto liability, partially offset by higher auto physical damage loss costs. For both auto physical damage and home, claim frequency has increased in the first nine months of 2011 because of an increase in weather-related claims but is otherwise expected to benefit from the continued shift to a more preferred book of business. Claim severity increases for home are expected to be modest throughout 2011.

Table of Contents***Wealth Management***

Wealth Management continues to drive sales momentum through the execution of several key strategies. Global Annuity is continuing to build out a portfolio of solutions to meet the needs of consumers planning for and living in retirement. In June 2011, several of these solutions were incorporated in the Personal Retirement Manager II (PRM II) and were introduced to the market place to provide a unique range of options to meet customers' retirement accumulation, income and legacy needs. The product's ultimate success in contributing to Global Annuity growth will depend on, among other things, our ability to market and distribute the product through new and existing distribution channels and market receptivity to the new product features. New product rollouts typically require 3-6 months time for full integration into available distribution channels. We continue to introduce PRM II to our existing distribution network and believe the product rollout should be complete in the fourth quarter of 2011. Global Annuity is also preparing to introduce a variety of product enhancements to PRM II in the fourth quarter. As such, we believe that sales trends in the coming quarters should provide a better indication of PRMII's potential to contribute to the Company's Global Annuity sales growth. Further, Global Annuity will diversify its suite of product solutions through the introduction of a new fixed indexed annuity product, which will open up new annuity distribution avenues that complement existing channels. Our Mutual Fund business has been offering new funds to improve our participation in segments where we see growth opportunities. The success of two new global funds last year were followed by the launch of an emerging market equity fund, an emerging market debt fund and a global fixed income fund. We are also seeing strong growth opportunities beyond our core retail distribution—specifically with Registered Investment Advisors and Institutional investors. The Retirement Plans business continues to experience strong sales. In addition to our core 401(k) market, we have seen growth in larger (\$5+) corporate plans, as well as with tax exempt plans. The property & casualty channel will become an increasingly important area of focus for us given our conviction that this channel is underpenetrated and well suited for this business. Life Insurance continues to differentiate itself from the industry through the creative offering of riders. The recently launched LongevityAccess rider, which allows policyholders to begin taking income from a policy at age 90, in tandem with the increasingly popular LifeAccess rider, which allows policyholders to take distributions from their policies in cases of chronic illness, gives The Hartford an ability to help people protect against premature death, outliving one's assets, or deteriorating health. In addition to building out distribution through property & casualty agents, the Company continues to expand its distribution into career life insurance professionals through the Monarch program.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP), requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ, and in the past have differed, from those estimates.

The Company has identified the following estimates as critical in that they involve a higher degree of judgment and are subject to a significant degree of variability:

- property and casualty insurance product reserves, net of reinsurance;
- estimated gross profits used in the valuation and amortization of assets and liabilities associated with variable annuity and other universal life-type contracts;
- evaluation of other-than-temporary impairments on available-for-sale securities and valuation allowances on investments;
- living benefits required to be fair valued (in other policyholder funds and benefits payable);
- goodwill impairment;
- valuation of investments and derivative instruments;
- pension and other postretirement benefit obligations;
- valuation allowance on deferred tax assets; and
- contingencies relating to corporate litigation and regulatory matters.

Certain of these estimates are particularly sensitive to market conditions, and deterioration and/or volatility in the worldwide debt or equity markets could have a material impact on the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

In developing these estimates management makes subjective and complex judgments that are inherently uncertain and subject to material change as facts and circumstances develop. Although variability is inherent in these estimates, management believes the amounts provided are appropriate based upon the facts available upon compilation of the financial statements. The Hartford's critical accounting estimates are discussed in Part II, Item 7 MD&A in The Hartford's 2010 Form 10-K Annual Report. The following discussion updates certain of The Hartford's critical accounting estimates for September 30, 2011 results.

Table of Contents***Property and Casualty Insurance Product Reserves, Net of Reinsurance***

Based on the results of the quarterly reserve review process, the Company determines the appropriate reserve adjustments, if any, to record. Recorded reserve estimates are changed after consideration of numerous factors, including but not limited to, the magnitude of the difference between the actuarial indication and the recorded reserves, improvement or deterioration of actuarial indications in the period, the maturity of the accident year, trends observed over the recent past and the level of volatility within a particular line of business. In general, adjustments are made more quickly to more mature accident years and less volatile lines of business. Such adjustments of reserves are referred to as *reserve development*. Reserve development that increases previous estimates of ultimate cost is called *reserve strengthening*. Reserve development that decreases previous estimates of ultimate cost is called *reserve releases*. Reserve development can influence the comparability of year over year underwriting results and is set forth in the paragraphs and tables that follow.

Reserve Roll Forwards and Development

A roll-forward follows of property and casualty insurance product liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2011:

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011

	Property &		Corporate	Total
	Casualty	Consumer	and	Property
	Commercial	Markets	Other	and
				Casualty
				Insurance
Beginning liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, gross	\$ 14,727	\$ 2,177	\$ 4,121	\$ 21,025
Reinsurance and other recoverables	2,361	17	699	3,077
Beginning liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, net	12,366	2,160	3,422	17,948
Provision for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses				
Current accident year before catastrophes	2,997	1,902		4,899
Current accident year catastrophes	305	426		731
Prior accident years	16	(58)	311	269
Total provision for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses	3,318	2,270	311	5,899
Payments	(2,826)	(2,287)	(284)	(5,397)
Ending liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, net	12,858	2,143	3,449	18,450
Reinsurance and other recoverables	2,428	9	730	3,167
Ending liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, gross	\$ 15,286	\$ 2,152	\$ 4,179	\$ 21,617
Earned premiums	\$ 4,568	\$ 2,825		
Loss and loss expense paid ratio [1]	61.9	81.0		
Loss and loss expense incurred ratio	72.6	80.4		

Prior accident years development (pts) [2] 0.4 (2.1)

[1] *The loss and loss expense paid ratio represents the ratio of paid losses and loss adjustment expenses to earned premiums.*

[2] *Prior accident years development (pts) represents the ratio of prior accident years development to earned premiums.*

Table of Contents**Prior accident years development recorded in 2011**

Included within prior accident years development for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 were the following reserve strengthenings (releases):

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2011				Total Property and Casualty Insurance
	Property & Casualty		Consumer	Corporate and	
	Commercial	Markets	Other		
Auto liability	\$	(4)	\$ (19)	\$	(23)
Homeowners			14		14
Professional liability		29			29
Package business		(42)			(42)
Workers compensation		7			7
General liability		(8)			(8)
Fidelity and surety		(7)			(7)
Commercial property		1			1
Net environmental reserves				19	19
Change in workers compensation discount, including accretion		15			15
Catastrophes		2			2
Other reserve re-estimates, net		(2)	(4)	2	(4)
Total prior accident years development	\$	(9)	\$ (9)	\$ 21	\$ 3

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011				Total Property and Casualty Insurance
	Property & Casualty		Consumer	Corporate and	
	Commercial	Markets	Other		
Auto liability	\$	(5)	\$ (83)	\$	(88)
Homeowners			1		1
Professional liability		22			22
Package business		(46)			(46)
Workers compensation		10			10
General liability		4			4
Fidelity and surety		(9)			(9)
Commercial property		(4)			(4)
Net asbestos reserves				290	290
Net environmental reserves				21	21
Change in workers compensation discount, including accretion		32			32
Catastrophes		7	28		35
Other reserve re-estimates, net		5	(4)		1
Total prior accident years development	\$	16	\$ (58)	\$ 311	\$ 269

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, the Company's re-estimates of prior accident years reserves included the following significant reserve changes:

Released reserves for personal auto liability claims for both the three month and nine month periods, primarily for accident years 2006 through 2010. Favorable trends in reported severity have persisted or improved over this time period. As these accident years develop, the uncertainty around the ultimate losses is reduced and management places more weight on the emerged experience.

Strengthened homeowners' reserves for the three months ended September 30, 2011, for accident years 2008 through 2010, primarily due to increased frequency of sinkhole claims in Florida and increased severity on slower reporting homeowner casualty claims.

Strengthened reserves in professional liability for accident years 2007 through 2009, primarily in the directors and officers (D&O) line of business. Detailed reviews of claims involving the sub-prime mortgage market collapse, and shareholder class action lawsuits, resulted in a higher estimate of future case development.

Released reserves in package business liability coverages and general liability in accident years 2005 through 2009 as a result of lower than expected claim severity emergence.

Strengthened reserves in workers' compensation for the 2010 accident year as a result of higher than expected claim frequency emergence. This is offset by releases in accident years 2007 and prior. Reserve indications in these years have been stable, giving greater confidence in the adequacy of estimates.

Prior year catastrophe strengthening, for the nine month period, primarily related to a severe wind and hail storm event in Arizona during the fourth quarter of 2010.

Refer to the Other Operations Claims section for further discussion on strengthening of net asbestos reserves and net environmental reserves.

Table of Contents

A roll forward follows of property and casualty insurance product liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2010:

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010

	Property &		Corporate	Total
	Casualty	Consumer	and	Property
	Commercial	Markets	Other	and
				Casualty
				Insurance
Beginning liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, gross	\$ 15,051	\$ 2,109	\$ 4,491	\$ 21,651
Reinsurance and other recoverables	2,570	11	860	3,441
Beginning liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, net	12,481	2,098	3,631	18,210
Provision for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses				
Current accident year before catastrophes	2,634	2,034		4,668
Current accident year catastrophes	134	229		363
Prior accident years	(339)	(51)	236	(154)
Total provision for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses	2,429	2,212	236	4,877
Payments	(2,568)	(2,146)	(306)	(5,020)
Ending liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, net	12,342	2,164	3,561	18,067
Reinsurance and other recoverables	2,438	11	701	3,150
Ending liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, gross	\$ 14,780	\$ 2,175	\$ 4,262	\$ 21,217
Earned premiums	\$ 4,278	\$ 2,976		
Loss and loss expense paid ratio [1]	60.0	72.1		
Loss and loss expense incurred ratio	56.8	74.3		
Prior accident years development (pts) [2]	(7.9)	(1.9)		

[1] *The loss and loss expense paid ratio represents the ratio of paid losses and loss adjustment expenses to earned premiums.*

[2] *Prior accident years development (pts) represents the ratio of prior accident years development to earned premiums.*

Prior accident years development recorded in 2010

Included within prior accident years development for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 were the following reserve strengthenings (releases):

Three Months Ended September 30, 2010

	Property & Casualty		Consumer	Corporate and Other	Total Property and Casualty Insurance
	Commercial	Markets			
Auto liability	\$ (26)	\$ (41)		\$	\$ (67)
Professional liability	(8)				(8)
General liability	(47)				(47)
Commercial property	1				1
Package business	(11)				(11)
Workers compensation	(34)				(34)
Net environmental reserves				62	62
Homeowners		3			3
Change in workers compensation discount, including accretion	7				7
Catastrophes	1	8			9
Other reserve re-estimates, net	(1)	(4)		2	(3)
Total prior accident years development	\$ (118)	(34)		64	(88)

Table of Contents

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010			
	Property & Casualty	Consumer	Corporate and	Total Property and Casualty Insurance
	Commercial	Markets	Other	
Auto liability	\$ (51)	\$ (82)	\$	\$ (133)
Professional liability	(87)			(87)
General liability	(94)			(94)
Commercial property	(13)			(13)
Package business	(20)			(20)
Workers compensation	(53)			(53)
Fidelity and surety	(9)			(9)
Net asbestos reserves			172	172
Net environmental reserves			64	64
Homeowners		27		27
Change in workers compensation discount, including accretion	20			20
Catastrophes	1	11		12
Uncollectible reinsurance	(30)			(30)
Other reserve re-estimates, net	(3)	(7)		(10)
Total prior accident years development	\$ (339)	\$ (51)	\$ 236	\$ (154)

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, the Company's re-estimates of prior accident years reserves included the following significant reserve changes:

Released reserves for commercial auto claims in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 as the Company lowered its reserve estimate to recognize a lower severity trend during 2009 and 2010 on larger claims in accident years 2002 to 2009.

Released reserves for personal auto liability claims in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010. During 2009, the Company recognized that favorable development in reported severity, due in part to changes made to claim handling procedures in 2007, was a sustained trend for accident years 2005 through 2008 and, accordingly, management reduced its reserve estimate. The reserve releases are in response to a continuation of these same favorable trends, primarily affecting accident years 2005 through 2009.

Released reserves for package business claims for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, primarily related to accident years 2005 through 2009. Claim emergence within the liability portion of the package coverage for these accident years continues to be lower than anticipated. Management now believes this lower level of claim activity will continue into the future and has reduced its reserve estimate in response to these favorable trends.

Released reserves for workers compensation business in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, primarily related to accident years 2006 and 2007. Management updated reviews of state reforms affecting these accident years and determined impacts to be more favorable than previously estimated. Accordingly, management reduced reserve estimates for these years.

Released reserves for general liability claims for the three and nine months ending September 30, 2010, primarily related to accident years 2005 through 2008. Claim emergence for these accident years continues to be lower than anticipated. Management now believes this lower level of claim activity will continue into the future and has reduced its reserve estimate in response to these favorable trends. Partially offsetting this reserve release is strengthening on loss adjustment expense reserves during the second quarter of 2010 due to higher than expected allocated loss expenses for claims in accident years 2000 and prior.

Released reserves for professional liability claims for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 primarily related to directors and officers (D&O) claims in accident years 2008 and prior. For these accident years, reported losses for claims under D&O policies have been emerging favorably to initial expectations due to lower than expected claim severity.

Strengthened reserves for property in personal homeowners claims for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010. During 2010, the Company observed a lengthening of the claim reporting period for homeowners claims for prior accident years which resulted in increasing management s estimate of the ultimate cost to settle these claims.

The Company reviewed its allowance for uncollectible reinsurance in the second quarter of 2010 and reduced its allowance, in part, by a reduction in gross ceded loss recoverables.

Refer to the Other Operations Claims section for further discussion on strengthening of net environmental and net asbestos reserves.

Table of Contents**Other Operations Claims****Reserve Activity**

Reserves and reserve activity in the Other Operations operating segment, within Corporate and Other, are categorized and reported as asbestos, environmental, or all other. The all other category of reserves covers a wide range of insurance and assumed reinsurance coverages, including, but not limited to, potential liability for construction defects, lead paint, silica, pharmaceutical products, molestation and other long-tail liabilities.

The following table presents reserve activity, inclusive of estimates for both reported and incurred but not reported claims, net of reinsurance, for Other Operations, categorized by asbestos, environmental and all other claims, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011.

Other Operations Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses

For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2011	Asbestos	Environmental	All Other [1]	Total
Beginning liability net [2][3]	\$ 1,977	\$ 315	\$ 1,224	\$ 3,516
Losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred		19	2	21
Losses and loss adjustment expenses paid	(42)	(6)	(39)	(87)
Ending liability net [2][3]	\$ 1,935 [4]	\$ 328	\$ 1,187	\$ 3,450

For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011	Asbestos	Environmental	All Other [1]	Total
Beginning liability net [2][3]	\$ 1,787	\$ 334	\$ 1,302	\$ 3,423
Losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred	290	21		311
Losses and loss adjustment expenses paid	(142)	(27)	(115)	(284)
Ending liability net [2][3]	\$ 1,935 [4]	\$ 328	\$ 1,187	\$ 3,450

[1] All Other includes unallocated loss adjustment expense reserves. All Other also includes The Company's allowance for uncollectible reinsurance. When the Company commutes a ceded reinsurance contract or settles a ceded reinsurance dispute, the portion of the allowance for uncollectible reinsurance attributable to that commutation or settlement, if any, is reclassified to the appropriate cause of loss.

[2] Excludes amounts reported in Property & Casualty Commercial and Consumer Markets reporting segments (collectively Ongoing Operations) for asbestos and environmental net liabilities of \$10 and \$5, respectively, as of September 30, 2011, \$10 and \$10 respectively, as of June 30, 2011 and \$11 and \$5, respectively, as of December 31, 2010; total net losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 includes \$3 and \$15, respectively, related to asbestos and environmental claims; and total net losses and loss adjustment expenses paid for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 includes \$8 and \$16, respectively, related to asbestos and environmental claims.

[3] Gross of reinsurance, asbestos and environmental reserves, including liabilities in Ongoing Operations, were \$2,502 and \$375, respectively, as of September 30, 2011, \$2,558 and \$368, respectively, as of June 30, 2011, and \$2,308 and \$378, respectively, as of December 31, 2010.

[4] The one year and average three year net paid amounts for asbestos claims, including Ongoing Operations, are \$269 and \$235, respectively, resulting in a one year net survival ratio of 7.2 and a three year net survival ratio of 8.3. Net survival ratio is the quotient of the net carried reserves divided by the average annual payment amount

and is an indication of the number of years that the net carried reserve would last (i.e. survive) if the future annual claim payments were consistent with the calculated historical average.

During the third quarter of 2011, the Company completed its annual ground-up environmental reserve evaluation. As part of this evaluation, the Company reviewed all of its open direct domestic insurance accounts exposed to environmental liability, as well as assumed reinsurance accounts and its London Market exposures for both direct and assumed reinsurance. Based on this evaluation, the Company strengthened its net environmental reserves by \$19. The Company found estimates for some individual account exposures increased based upon unfavorable litigation results and increased clean-up or expense costs, with the vast majority of this deterioration emanating from a limited number of insureds. These changes were case specific and not as a result of any underlying change in the current environment. The Company currently expects to continue to perform a ground-up evaluation of its environmental liabilities annually and regularly evaluate the Company's historical direct net loss and expense paid and reported experience, and net loss and expense paid and reported experience by calendar and/or report year, to assess any emerging trends, fluctuations or characteristics suggested by the aggregate paid and reported activity.

In reporting environmental results, the Company divides its gross exposure into Direct, Assumed Reinsurance and London Market. The following table displays gross environmental reserves by category and net environmental reserves as of September 30, 2011:

	Total Reserves
Gross	
Direct	\$ 275
Assumed Reinsurance	41
London Market	59
Total [1] [2]	375
Ceded	(42)
Net	\$ 333

[1] The one-year gross paid amount for total environmental claims is \$58, resulting in a one-year gross survival ratio of 6.5.

[2] The three-year average annual gross paid amount for total environmental claims is \$56, resulting in a three-year gross survival ratio of 6.7.

Table of Contents

During the second quarter of 2011, the Company completed its annual ground-up asbestos reserve evaluation. As part of this evaluation, the Company reviewed all of its open direct domestic insurance accounts exposed to asbestos liability, as well as assumed reinsurance accounts and its London Market exposures for both direct insurance and assumed reinsurance. Based on this evaluation, the Company strengthened its net asbestos reserves by \$290. For certain direct policyholders, the Company experienced increases in claim frequency, severity, and expense largely driven by mesothelioma claims, particularly against certain smaller, more peripheral insureds. The Company also experienced unfavorable development on its assumed reinsurance accounts driven largely by the same factors experienced by the direct policyholders. The Company currently expects to continue to perform an evaluation of its asbestos liabilities annually and regularly evaluate the Company's historical direct net loss and expense paid and reported experience, and net loss and expense paid and reported experience by calendar and/or report year, to assess any emerging trends, fluctuations or characteristics suggested by the aggregate paid and reported activity. For paid and incurred losses and loss adjustment expenses reporting, the Company classifies its asbestos and environmental reserves into three categories: Direct, Assumed Reinsurance and London Market. Direct insurance includes primary and excess coverage. Assumed reinsurance includes both treaty reinsurance (covering broad categories of claims or blocks of business) and facultative reinsurance (covering specific risks or individual policies of primary or excess insurance companies). London Market business includes the business written by one or more of the Company's subsidiaries in the United Kingdom, which are no longer active in the insurance or reinsurance business. Such business includes both direct insurance and assumed reinsurance.

Of the three categories of claims (Direct, Assumed Reinsurance and London Market), direct policies tend to have the greatest factual development from which to estimate the Company's exposures.

Assumed reinsurance exposures are inherently less predictable than direct insurance exposures because the Company may not receive notice of a reinsurance claim until the underlying direct insurance claim is mature. This causes a delay in the receipt of information at the reinsurer level and adds to the uncertainty of estimating related reserves.

London Market exposures are the most uncertain of the three categories of claims. As a participant in the London Market (comprised of both Lloyd's of London and London Market companies), certain subsidiaries of the Company wrote business on a subscription basis, with those subsidiaries' involvement being limited to a relatively small percentage of a total contract placement. Claims are reported, via a broker, to the lead underwriter and, once agreed to, are presented to the following markets for concurrence. This reporting and claim agreement process makes estimating liabilities for this business the most uncertain of the three categories of claims.

The following table sets forth, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, paid and incurred loss activity by the three categories of claims for asbestos and environmental.

Paid and Incurred Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses (LAE) Development Asbestos and Environmental

	Asbestos [1]		Environmental [1]	
	Paid Losses & LAE	Incurred Losses & LAE	Paid Losses & LAE	Incurred Losses & LAE
Three Months Ended September 30, 2011				
Gross				
Direct	\$ 42	\$	\$ 5	\$ 18
Assumed Reinsurance	11		3	
London Market	5		1	4
Total	58		9	22
Ceded	(16)		(3)	(3)
Net	\$ 42	\$	\$ 6	\$ 19
	Paid	Incurred	Paid	Incurred

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011	Losses & LAE	Losses & LAE	Losses & LAE	Losses & LAE
Gross				
Direct	\$ 129	\$ 350	\$ 17	\$ 20
Assumed Reinsurance	35	12	6	
London Market	19	16	4	4
Total	183	378	27	24
Ceded	(41)	(88)		(3)
Net	\$ 142	\$ 290	\$ 27	\$ 21

[1] Excludes asbestos and environmental paid and incurred loss and LAE reported in Ongoing Operations. Total gross losses and LAE incurred in Ongoing Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 includes \$4 and \$17, respectively, related to asbestos and environmental claims. Total gross losses and LAE paid in Ongoing Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 includes \$9 and \$18, respectively, related to asbestos and environmental claims.

Table of Contents

Uncertainties Regarding Adequacy of Asbestos and Environmental Reserves

A number of factors affect the variability of estimates for asbestos and environmental reserves including assumptions with respect to the frequency of claims, the average severity of those claims settled with payment, the dismissal rate of claims with no payment and the expense to indemnity ratio. The uncertainty with respect to the underlying reserve assumptions for asbestos and environmental adds a greater degree of variability to these reserve estimates than reserve estimates for more traditional exposures. While this variability is reflected in part in the size of the range of reserves developed by the Company, that range may still not be indicative of the potential variance between the ultimate outcome and the recorded reserves. The recorded net reserves as of September 30, 2011 of \$2.28 billion (\$1.95 billion and \$333 for asbestos and environmental, respectively) is within an estimated range, unadjusted for covariance, of \$1.80 billion to \$2.63 billion. The process of estimating asbestos and environmental reserves remains subject to a wide variety of uncertainties, which are detailed in the Company's 2010 Form 10-K Annual Report. The Company believes that its current asbestos and environmental reserves are appropriate. However, analyses of future developments could cause the Company to change its estimates and ranges of its asbestos and environmental reserves, and the effect of these changes could be material to the Company's consolidated operating results, financial condition and liquidity.

The Company provides an allowance for uncollectible reinsurance, reflecting management's best estimate of reinsurance cessions that may be uncollectible in the future due to reinsurers' unwillingness or inability to pay. During the second quarter of 2011, the Company completed its annual evaluation of the collectibility of the reinsurance recoverables and the adequacy of the allowance for uncollectible reinsurance associated with older, long-term casualty liabilities reported in the Other Operations segment. The evaluation resulted in no change in the allowance for uncollectible reinsurance. In conducting this evaluation, the Company used its most recent detailed evaluations of ceded liabilities reported in the segment. The Company analyzed the overall credit quality of the Company's reinsurers, recent trends in arbitration and litigation outcomes in disputes between cedants and reinsurers, and recent developments in commutation activity between reinsurers and cedants. As of September 30, 2011, the allowance for uncollectible reinsurance for Other Operations totals \$211. The Company currently expects to perform its regular comprehensive review of Other Operations reinsurance recoverables annually. Due to the inherent uncertainties as to collection and the length of time before reinsurance recoverables become due, particularly for older, long-term casualty liabilities, it is possible that future adjustments to the Company's reinsurance recoverables, net of the allowance, could be required.

Consistent with the Company's long-standing reserve practices, the Company will continue to review and monitor its reserves in the Other Operations operating segment regularly, including its annual reviews of asbestos liabilities, reinsurance recoverables and the allowance for uncollectible reinsurance, and environmental liabilities, and where future developments indicate, make appropriate adjustments to the reserves. For a discussion of the Company's reserving practices, see the Critical Accounting Estimates Property and Casualty Insurance Product Reserves, Net of Reinsurance section of the MD&A included in the Company's 2010 Form 10-K Annual Report.

Table of Contents***Estimated Gross Profits Used in the Valuation and Amortization of Assets and Liabilities Associated with Variable Annuity and Other Universal Life-Type Contracts***

Estimated gross profits (EGPs) are used in the amortization of: the DAC asset, which includes the present value of future profits; sales inducement assets (SIA); and unearned revenue reserves (URR). See Note 6 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on DAC. See Note 8 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on SIA. Portions of EGPs are also used in the valuation of reserves for death and other insurance benefit features on variable annuity and universal life-type contracts. See Note 7 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on death and other insurance benefit reserves. See The Hartford's 2010 Form 10-K Annual Report for additional discussion on the Company's critical accounting estimates related to EGPs.

The most significant EGP based balances as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 are as follows:

	Global Annuity		Life Insurance		Retirement Plans	
	Sep. 30, 2011	Dec. 31, 2010	Sep. 30, 2011	Dec. 31, 2010	Sep. 30, 2011	Dec. 31, 2010
DAC	\$ 4,055	\$ 4,868	\$ 2,725	\$ 2,667	\$ 796	\$ 820
SIA	336	370	46	45	22	23
URR	117	142	1,534	1,383		
Death and Other Insurance Benefit Reserves, net of reinsurance	1,352	1,026	188	83	1	1
<i>Unlocks</i>						

The after-tax (charge) benefit to net income by asset and liability as a result of the Unlocks for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 was as follows:

	Global Annuity		Life Insurance		Retirement Plans		Total	
	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010
DAC	\$ (188)	\$ 58	\$ (34)	\$ 31	\$ (42)	\$ 19	\$ (264)	\$ 108
SIA	(6)	5		(1)	(1)		(7)	4
URR	3	5	11	(2)			14	3
Death and Other Insurance Benefit Reserves	(216)	77	(43)	1			(259)	78
Total	\$ (407)	\$ 145	\$ (66)	\$ 29	\$ (43)	\$ 19	\$ (516)	\$ 193

The after-tax (charge) benefit to net income by asset and liability as a result of the Unlocks for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 was as follows:

	Global Annuity		Life Insurance		Retirement Plans		Total	
	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010
DAC	\$ (223)	\$ (22)	\$ (38)	\$ 26	\$ (43)	\$ 15	\$ (304)	\$ 19
SIA	(11)	(5)		(1)	(1)		(12)	(6)
URR	5	8	12	3			17	11
Death and Other Insurance Benefit Reserves	(189)	23	(43)	1			(232)	24
Total	\$ (418)	\$ 4	\$ (69)	\$ 29	\$ (44)	\$ 15	\$ (531)	\$ 48

The Company completed a comprehensive non-market related policyholder behavior assumption study during the third quarter and incorporated the results of the study into its projection of EGPs.

The Unlock charges for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 were primarily driven by declines in equity markets and assumption changes, including additional costs associated with the implementation of the Japan hedging strategy which reduces expected future gross profits. The most significant contributors to the Unlock benefits recorded during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 were actual separate account returns being above our aggregated estimated return and the impact of assumption updates primarily related to decreasing lapse and withdrawal rates and lower hedge costs.

An Unlock revises EGPs, on a quarterly basis, to reflect market updates of policyholder account value and the Company's current best estimate assumptions. Modifications to the Company's hedging programs may impact EGPs, and correspondingly impact DAC recoverability. After each quarterly Unlock, the Company also tests the aggregate recoverability of DAC by comparing the DAC balance to the present value of future EGPs. The margin between the DAC balance and the present value of future EGPs for U.S. and Japan individual variable annuities was 23% and 37% as of September 30, 2011, respectively. If the margin between the DAC asset and the present value of future EGPs is exhausted, then further reductions in EGPs would cause portions of DAC to be unrecoverable and the DAC asset would be written down to equal future EGPs.

Table of Contents**Goodwill Impairment**

As of September 30, 2011, the Company had goodwill allocated to the following reporting units:

	Segment Goodwill		Goodwill in Corporate and Other	Total
Hartford Financial Products within Property & Casualty				
Commercial	\$ 30	\$		\$ 30
Group Benefits			138	138
Consumer Markets	119			119
Individual Life within Life Insurance	224		118	342
Retirement Plans	87		69	156
Mutual Funds	159		92	251
Total	\$ 619	\$	417	\$ 1,036

As of December 31, 2010, the Company had goodwill allocated to the following reporting units:

	Segment Goodwill		Goodwill in Corporate and Other	Total
Hartford Financial Products within Property & Casualty				
Commercial	\$ 30	\$		\$ 30
Group Benefits			138	138
Consumer Markets	119			119
Individual Life within Life Insurance	224		118	342
Retirement Plans	87		69	156
Mutual Funds	159		92	251
Federal Trust Corporation within Corporate and Other			15	15
Total	\$ 619	\$	432	\$ 1,051

During the second quarter, the Company charged off the remaining \$15 of goodwill associated with the Federal Trust Corporation (FTC) reporting unit within Corporate and Other due to the announced divestiture of FTC. The write-off of the FTC reporting unit goodwill was recorded as a loss on disposal within discontinued operations, see Note 12 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Company completed its annual goodwill assessment for the individual reporting units within Wealth Management and Corporate and Other, except for the FTC reporting unit, as of January 1, 2011, which resulted in no write-downs of goodwill in 2011. The reporting units passed the first step of their annual impairment tests with a significant margin with the exception of the Individual Life reporting unit within Life Insurance. The Individual Life reporting unit has a goodwill balance of \$342 and had a margin of less than 10%.

The fair value of the Individual Life reporting unit within Life Insurance is based on discounted cash flows using earnings projections on in force business and future business growth. There could be a positive or negative impact on the result of step one in future periods if actual earnings or business growth assumptions emerge differently than those used in determining fair value for the first step of the annual goodwill impairment test.

During the fourth quarter of 2011, the Company will change the date of its annual impairment test to October 31st to be consistent across the organization. All business units will perform an impairment test in the fourth quarter even if previously performed during the fiscal year. The change is being made to more closely align the impairment testing date with the long-range planning and forecasting process.

Table of Contents**THE HARTFORD S OPERATIONS OVERVIEW**

The Hartford is a holding company for insurance and financial services subsidiaries that provide investment products and life and property and casualty insurance to both individual and business customers in the United States. Also, The Hartford continues to administer business previously sold in Japan and the U.K.

The Company conducts business in three customer focused divisions, Commercial Markets, Consumer Markets and Wealth Management, each containing reporting segments. The Commercial Markets division consists of the reporting segments of Property & Casualty Commercial and Group Benefits. The Consumer Markets division is also the reporting segment. The Wealth Management division consists of the following reporting segments: Global Annuity, Life Insurance, Retirement Plans and Mutual Funds. For additional discussion regarding The Hartford s reporting segments, see Note 3 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

KEY PERFORMANCE MEASURES AND RATIOS

The Company considers several measures and ratios to be the key performance indicators for its businesses. The following discussions include the more significant ratios and measures of profitability for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010. Management believes that these ratios and measures are useful in understanding the underlying trends in The Hartford s businesses. However, these key performance indicators should only be used in conjunction with, and not in lieu of, the results presented in the segment discussions that follow in this MD&A. These ratios and measures may not be comparable to other performance measures used by the Company s competitors. For additional information on key performance measures and ratios, see Definitions of Non-GAAP and other measures and ratios with the MD&A in The Hartford s 2010 Form 10-K Annual Report.

Definitions of Non-GAAP and other measures and ratios***Account Value***

Account value includes policyholders balances for investment contracts and reserves for future policy benefits for insurance contracts.

After-tax Margin, excluding realized gains (losses) and Unlock

After-tax margin, excluding realized gains (losses) and Unlock, is a non-GAAP financial measure that the Company uses to evaluate, and believes is an important measure of, certain of the segment s operating performance. After-tax margin, excluding realized gains (losses) and Unlock is calculated by dividing net income, excluding realized gains (losses) and Unlock, by total revenues, excluding realized gains (losses) and Unlock. After-tax margin is the most directly comparable U.S. GAAP measure. A reconciliation of after-tax margin to after-tax margin, excluding realized gains (losses) and Unlock, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 is set forth in the After-tax Margin section within Key Performance Measures and Ratios.

Assets Under Management

Assets under management (AUM) include account values and mutual fund assets.

Catastrophe ratio

The catastrophe ratio (a component of the loss and loss adjustment expense ratio) represents the ratio of catastrophe losses incurred in the current calendar year (net of reinsurance) to earned premiums and includes catastrophe losses incurred for both the current and prior accident years. A catastrophe is an event that causes \$25 or more in industry insured property losses and affects a significant number of property and casualty policyholders and insurers. The catastrophe ratio includes the effect of catastrophe losses, but does not include the effect of reinstatement premiums.

Combined ratio

The combined ratio is the sum of the loss and loss adjustment expense ratio, the expense ratio and the policyholder dividend ratio. This ratio is a relative measurement that describes the related cost of losses and expenses for every \$100 of earned premiums. A combined ratio below 100.0 demonstrates underwriting profit; a combined ratio above 100.0 demonstrates underwriting losses.

Combined ratio before catastrophes and prior accident year development

The combined ratio before catastrophes and prior accident year development, a non-GAAP financial measure, represents the combined ratio for the current accident year, excluding the impact of catastrophes. Combined ratio is the most directly comparable U.S.GAAP measure. A reconciliation of combined ratio to combined ratio before prior accident year reserve development for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 is set forth in the

Combined ratio before catastrophes and prior year development section within Key Performance Measures and Ratios.

Table of Contents

DAC amortization ratio, excluding realized gains (losses) and Unlock

DAC amortization ratio, excluding realized gains (losses) and Unlock, is a non-GAAP financial measure that the Company uses to evaluate, and believes is an important measure of, certain of the segment's operating performance. DAC amortization ratio, excluding realized gains (losses) and Unlock is calculated by dividing DAC amortization costs from continuing operations, excluding realized gains (losses) and Unlock by pre-tax income from continuing operations before DAC amortization costs, excluding realized gains (losses) and Unlock. DAC amortization ratio is the most directly comparable U.S. GAAP measure. A reconciliation of DAC amortization ratio to DAC amortization ratio, excluding realized gains (losses) and Unlock for the three and nine months ended September 20, 2011 is set forth in the Global Annuity Operating Summary within MD&A.

Mutual Fund Assets

Mutual fund assets include retail, investment-only and college savings plan assets under Section 529 of the Code, collectively referred to as non-proprietary, and proprietary mutual funds. Non-proprietary mutual fund assets are owned by the shareholders of those funds and not by the Company. Proprietary mutual funds include mutual funds sponsored by the Company which are owned by the separate accounts of the Company to support insurance and investment products sold by the Company. The non-proprietary mutual fund assets are not reflected in the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Net Investment Spread

Management evaluates performance of certain products based on net investment spread. These products include those that have insignificant mortality risk, such as fixed annuities, certain general account universal life contracts and certain institutional contracts. Net investment spread is determined by taking the difference between the annualized earned rate, (excluding the effects of realized capital gains and losses, including those related to the Company's GMWB product and related reinsurance and hedging programs), and the related annualized crediting rates on average general account assets under management. Some realized capital gains and losses are primarily driven by investment decisions and external economic developments, the nature and timing of which are unrelated to insurance aspects of our businesses. Accordingly, net investment spread excludes the effect of all realized gains and losses that tend to be highly variable from period to period based on capital market conditions. The Hartford believes, however, that some realized capital gains and losses are integrally related to our insurance operations and they are included in the net investment spread calculation.

Return on Assets (ROA), excluding realized gains (losses) and Unlock

ROA, excluding realized gains (losses) and Unlock, is a non-GAAP financial measure that the Company uses to evaluate, and believes is an important measure of, certain of the segment's operating performance. ROA is the most directly comparable U.S. GAAP measure. A reconciliation of ROA to ROA excluding realized gains (losses) and Unlock for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 is set forth in the ROA section within Key Performance Measures and Ratios.

Underwriting results

Underwriting results is a before-tax measure that represents earned premiums less incurred losses, loss adjustment expenses, underwriting expenses and policyholder dividends. Net Income is the most directly comparable U.S. GAAP measure. A reconciliation of underwriting results to net income for Property & Casualty Commercial and Consumer Markets is set forth in their respective discussions herein.

Written and earned premiums

Written premium is a statutory accounting financial measure which represents the amount of premiums charged for policies issued, net of reinsurance, during a fiscal period. Earned premium is a U.S. GAAP and statutory measure. The difference between written and earned premium is the change in unearned premium reserve.

Table of Contents***Combined ratio before catastrophes and prior year development***

Combined ratio before catastrophes and prior accident year development is a key indicator of overall profitability for the property and casualty underwriting segments of Property & Casualty Commercial and Consumer Markets since it removes the impact of volatile and unpredictable catastrophe losses and prior accident year reserve development.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Property & Casualty Commercial				
Combined ratio	104.8	84.9	102.8	88.0
Catastrophe ratio	6.1	0.9	6.8	3.1
Non-catastrophe prior year development	(0.7)	(8.2)	0.2	(7.9)
Combined ratio before catastrophes and prior year development	99.4	92.2	95.8	92.8
Consumer Markets				
Combined ratio	106.8	94.1	105.0	98.5
Catastrophe ratio	12.2	5.1	16.1	8.0
Non-catastrophe prior year development	(1.0)	(4.3)	(3.0)	(2.1)
Combined ratio before catastrophes and prior year development	95.6	93.3	91.9	92.6

Three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010

Property & Casualty Commercial's combined ratio before catastrophes and prior year development deteriorated for the three and nine month periods primarily due to an increase in current accident year losses and loss adjustment expenses before catastrophes, largely due to loss costs outpacing earned pricing increases. Increased loss costs include current accident year reserve strengthening in the third quarter of 2011 of \$47 which had a 3.0 point increase in the three months ended September 30, 2011, predominantly related to workers compensation business.

The changes in Consumer Markets combined ratio before catastrophes and prior year development for three and nine-month periods primarily relate to changes in the current accident year loss and loss adjustment expenses ratio before catastrophes. For the three-month period, the ratio deteriorated primarily for home, and for the nine-month period the ratio improved, as a decrease for auto was partially offset by an increase for home. The increase in both periods for home was primarily due to an increase in the frequency of non-catastrophe weather claims, partially offset by the effect of earned pricing increases. The decrease for auto in the nine-month period was driven by the effect of earned pricing increases and lower estimated frequency on auto liability claims, which was partially offset by higher auto physical damage loss costs.

Table of Contents**Return on Assets**

Return on assets is a key indicator of overall profitability for the Global Annuity, Retirement Plans and Mutual Funds reporting segments as a significant portion of their earnings is based on average assets under management

Ratios	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Global Annuity				
ROA	14.0bps	47.4bps	30.7bps	12.2bps
Effect of net realized losses, net of tax and DAC on ROA	7.7bps	(23.2) bps	(12.3) bps	(27.7) bps
Effect of discontinued operations on ROA	bps	(0.5) bps	bps	(0.2) bps
Effect of Unlock on ROA	(35.4) bps	31.6bps	(7.5) bps	2.9bps
ROA, excluding realized losses and Unlock	41.7bps	39.5bps	50.5bps	37.2bps
Retirement Plans				
ROA	(24.3) bps	25.4bps	3.4bps	10.8bps
Effect of net realized gains (losses), net of tax and DAC on ROA	(4.5) bps	(4.3) bps	0.5bps	(5.2) bps
Effect of Unlock on ROA	(28.9) bps	21.2bps	(9.9) bps	6.6bps
ROA, excluding realized losses and Unlock	9.1bps	8.5bps	12.8bps	9.4bps
Mutual Funds				
ROA	10.5bps	7.9bps	11.5bps	9.5bps
Effect of discontinued operations on ROA	bps	(0.5) bps	bps	(0.6) bps
Effect of net realized gains (losses), net of tax and DAC on ROA	bps	(0.5) bps	0.2bps	bps
ROA, excluding realized gains (losses) and Unlock	10.5bps	8.9bps	11.3bps	10.1bps

Three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2010

Global Annuity's ROA, excluding realized losses and Unlock, improved primarily due to flat earnings and a decrease in AUM driven by a decline in equity markets and net outflows.

Retirement Plans' ROA, excluding realized gains (losses) and Unlock, increased due to improvements in the equity markets as compared to prior year, which led to increased fee income from higher account values.

Mutual Funds' ROA, excluding realized gains (losses) and Unlock, increase was primarily driven by increased net income over prior year due to a decline in expenses largely due to a one-time capital infusion to the Money Market Funds in the third quarter of 2010.

Nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2010

Global Annuity's ROA, excluding realized losses and Unlock, increased primarily due to a lower DAC amortization rate, as earnings increased in 2011 as compared to 2010, a DRD tax settlement benefit, and a release

of a reserve related to a product in Japan.

Retirement Plans ROA, excluding realized gains (losses) and Unlock, increased primarily due to a DRD tax settlement benefit and improvements in the equity markets, which led to increased fee income from higher account values.

Mutual Funds ROA, excluding realized gains (losses) and Unlock, increase was primarily driven by increased fee income as a result of increased average account values attributed to improved equity markets partially offset by net outflows.

Table of Contents**After-tax margin**

After-tax margin is a key indicator of overall profitability for the Life Insurance and Group Benefits reporting segments as a significant portion of their earnings are a result of the net margin from losses incurred on earned premiums, fees and other considerations.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Life Insurance				
After-tax margin	(0.7%)	25.0%	8.0%	18.7%
Effect of net realized gains (losses), net of tax and DAC	1.4%	2.5%	(0.5%)	2.0%
Effect of Unlock	(15.8%)	7.5%	(5.8%)	2.0%
After-tax margin, excluding realized losses and Unlock	13.7%	15.0%	14.3%	14.7%
Group Benefits				
After-tax margin (excluding buyouts)	2.2%	4.0%	2.3%	4.1%
Effect of net realized gains, net of tax	0.4%	0.2%	0.3%	0.5%
After-tax margin (excluding buyouts), excluding realized gains (losses)	1.8%	3.8%	2.0%	3.6%

Three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010

The decrease in Life Insurance's after-tax margin, excluding realized losses and Unlock, for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011 were primarily due to an increase in benefits, losses, and loss adjustment expenses, as a result of favorable mortality in the prior year.

The decrease in Group Benefits' after-tax margin (excluding buyouts), excluding realized gains (losses), in both periods was primarily due to higher mortality in both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, and to a lesser extent, a decrease in fully insured ongoing premiums, driven by lower sales over the past year, as well as, from a challenging economic environment.

Table of Contents**Investment Results****Composition of Invested Assets**

	September 30, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent
Fixed maturities, AFS, at fair value	\$ 80,263	76.1%	\$ 77,820	79.2%
Fixed maturities, at fair value using the fair value option	1,323	1.3%	649	0.7%
Equity securities, AFS, at fair value	989	0.9%	973	1.0%
Mortgage loans	5,590	5.3%	4,489	4.6%
Policy loans, at outstanding balance	2,176	2.1%	2,181	2.2%
Limited partnerships and other alternative investments	2,506	2.4%	1,918	2.0%
Other investments [1]	2,857	2.7%	1,617	1.6%
Short-term investments	9,704	9.2%	8,528	8.7%
Total investments excluding equity securities, trading	105,408	100.0%	98,175	100.0%
Equity securities, trading, at fair value [2] [3]	30,770		32,820	
Total investments	\$ 136,178		\$ 130,995	

[1] Primarily relates to derivative instruments.

[2] These assets primarily support the Global Annuity-International variable annuity business. Changes in these balances are also reflected in the respective liabilities.

[3] As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, approximately \$28.8 billion and \$30.5 billion, respectively, of equity securities, trading, support Japan variable annuities. Those equity securities, trading, were invested in mutual funds, which, in turn, invested in the following asset classes, Japan equity 21%, Japan fixed income (primarily government securities) 15%, global equity 21%, global government bonds 42%, and cash and other 1% for both periods presented.

Total investments increased since December 31, 2010 primarily due to increases in fixed maturities, AFS, other investments, short-term investments and mortgage loans, partially offset by a decline in equity securities, trading. The increase in fixed maturities, AFS, was largely the result of improved valuations as a result of declining interest rates. The increase in other investments primarily relates to increases in value of derivatives largely due to a decline in the equity market, strengthening of the Japanese yen in comparison to the U.S. dollar and a decline in interest rates. The increase in short-term investments was a result of an increase in derivative cash collateral received from counterparties as derivatives increased in value. The Company holds a liability within other liabilities to reflect the obligation to return the collateral. The increase in mortgage loans related to the funding of commercial whole loans. These increases were partially offset by a decline in equity securities, trading, primarily due to deteriorations in market performance of the underlying investments and net outflows, partially offset by the appreciation of currency.

Net Investment Income (Loss)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011 Amount	2010 Amount	2011 Amount	2010 Amount
(Before-tax)				

		Yield [1]		Yield [1]		Yield [1]		Yield [1]
Fixed maturities [2]	\$ 836	4.1%	\$ 867	4.3%	\$ 2,552	4.2%	\$ 2,628	4.4%
Equity securities, AFS	8	3.0%	12	3.9%	27	3.5%	39	4.2%
Mortgage loans	75	5.6%	64	5.5%	205	5.4%	193	5.0%
Policy loans	32	5.9%	33	6.1%	99	6.1%	101	6.2%
Limited partnerships and other alternative investments	67	12.8%	49	11.5%	245	16.8%	141	10.9%
Other [3]	73		77		231		251	
Investment expense	(29)		(29)		(85)		(78)	
Total securities AFS and other	1,062	4.3%	1,073	4.4%	3,274	4.5%	3,275	4.5%
Equity securities, trading	(1,890)		1,043		(1,684)		(905)	
Total net investment income (loss)	\$ (828)		\$ 2,116		\$ 1,590		\$ 2,370	
Total securities, AFS and other excluding limited partnerships and other alternative investments	\$ 995	4.1%	\$ 1,024	4.3%	\$ 3,029	4.2%	\$ 3,134	4.4%

[1] Yields calculated using annualized investment income before investment expenses divided by the monthly average invested assets at cost, amortized cost, or adjusted carrying value, as applicable, excluding consolidated variable interest entity noncontrolling interests. Included in the fixed maturity yield is Other, which primarily relates to derivatives (see footnote [3] below). Included in the total net investment income yield is investment expense.

[2] Includes net investment income on short-term investments.

[3] Primarily includes income from derivatives that qualify for hedge accounting and hedge fixed maturities.

Table of Contents**Three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010**

Total net investment income declined largely due to equity securities, trading, resulting from deteriorations in market performance of the underlying investment funds supporting the Japanese variable annuity product and net outflows, partially offset by the appreciation of currency. Also contributing to the decline was lower income on fixed maturities resulting from the proceeds from sales being reinvested at lower rates. These were partially offset by an increase in limited partnership and other alternative investment income due to strong private equity and real estate returns, as well as an increase in mortgage loan income due to additional investments in commercial whole loans.

Net Realized Capital Gains (Losses)

<i>(Before-tax)</i>	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Gross gains on sales	\$ 197	\$ 179	\$ 519	\$ 654
Gross losses on sales	(63)	(88)	(294)	(293)
Net OTTI losses recognized in earnings	(60)	(115)	(138)	(375)
Valuation allowances on mortgage loans		(4)	23	(156)
Japanese fixed annuity contract hedges, net [1]	9	11	(2)	22
Periodic net coupon settlements on credit derivatives/Japan	1	(4)	(8)	(15)
Results of variable annuity hedge program				
GMWB derivatives, net	(372)	170	(338)	(127)
Macro hedge program	1,237	(443)	915	(210)
Total results of variable annuity hedge program	865	(273)	577	(337)
Other, net [2]	(374)	37	(436)	(22)
Net realized capital gains (losses)	\$ 575	\$ (257)	\$ 241	\$ (522)

[1] *Relates to the Japanese fixed annuity product (adjustment of product liability for changes in spot currency exchange rates, related derivative hedging instruments, excluding net period coupon settlements, and Japan FVO securities).*

[2] *Primarily consists of gains and losses on non-qualifying derivatives and fixed maturities, FVO, Japan 3Win related foreign currency swaps, and other investment gains and losses.*

Details on the Company's net realized capital gains and losses are as follows:

Gross gains and losses on sales Gross gains and losses on sales for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 were predominately from investment grade corporate securities, U.S. Treasuries and commercial real estate related securities.

Gross gains and losses on sales for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 were predominantly from sales of investment grade corporate securities, U.S. Treasuries and previously reserved mortgage loans in order to take advantage of attractive market opportunities.

Net OTTI losses For further information, see Other-Than-Temporary Impairments within the Investment Credit Risk section of the MD&A.

Valuation allowances on mortgage loans

For further information, see Valuation Allowances on Mortgage Loans within the Investment Credit Risk section of the MD&A.

Variable annuity hedge program

The loss on GMWB related derivatives, net, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 was primarily due to a decrease in long-term interest rates that resulted in a charge of \$(247) and \$(261), respectively, and a higher interest rate volatility that resulted in a charge of \$(72) and \$(76), respectively. The gain on the macro hedge program for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 is driven by a lower equity market valuation and foreign currency movements, primarily the Japanese yen strengthening in comparison to the euro.

The gain on GMWB derivatives, net, for the three months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily due to gains on liability model assumption updates of \$164 and gains driven by lower implied market volatility of \$117, partially offset by losses due to a general decrease in long-term rates of \$(94). The loss on GMWB derivatives, net, for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily due to a general decrease in long-term interest rates that resulted in a charge of \$(309), partially offset by gains on liability model assumption updates of \$164. The net loss on the macro hedge program was primarily the result of higher equity market valuation.

Table of Contents

Other, net

Other, net loss for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 was primarily due to losses of (\$178) and (\$148), respectively, on credit derivatives and fair value option securities driven by credit spread widening and losses of (\$135) and (\$126), respectively, on transactional foreign currency re-valuation associated with the internal reinsurance of the Japan variable annuity business, which is offset in AOCI, due to an increase in value of the Japanese yen versus the U.S. dollar. Also included were losses of (\$54) and (\$86), respectively, on Japan 3Win foreign currency swaps primarily driven by a decrease in long-term U.S. interest rates. In addition, losses of (\$58) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 resulted from equity futures and options used to hedge equity market risk in the investment portfolio due to an increase in the equity market during the hedged period.

Other, net gain for the three months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily due to gains of \$109 on credit derivatives that assume credit risk driven by credit spreads tightening, and gains of \$58 on interest rate derivatives used to manage portfolio duration driven by a decline in long-term interest rates, partially offset by losses of (\$123) on transactional foreign currency re-valuation associated with the internal reinsurance of the Japan variable annuity business, which is offset in AOCI, due to an increase in value of the Japanese yen versus the U.S. dollar.

Other, net loss for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily due to losses of (\$240) on transactional foreign currency re-valuation associated with the internal reinsurance of the Japan variable annuity business, which is offset in AOCI, due to an increase in value of the Japanese yen versus the U.S. dollar, and losses of (\$117) related to the Japan 3Win foreign currency swaps driven by a decrease in U.S. interest rates. These losses are partially offset by gains of \$140 on credit derivatives, gains of \$60 related to Japan variable annuity hedges due to the appreciation of the Japanese yen, gains of \$55 on interest rate derivatives used to manage portfolio duration driven by a decline in long-term interest rates and \$35 of other foreign currency related gains.

Table of Contents**PROPERTY & CASUALTY COMMERCIAL**

Underwriting Summary	Three Months Ended			Nine Months Ended		
	September 30,			September 30,		
	2011	2010	Change	2011	2010	Change
Written premiums	\$ 1,551	\$ 1,447	7%	\$ 4,694	\$ 4,347	8%
Change in unearned premium reserve	(2)	8	NM	126	69	83%
Earned premiums	1,553	1,439	8%	4,568	4,278	7%
Losses and loss adjustment expenses						
Current accident year before catastrophes	1,085	888	22%	2,997	2,634	14%
Current accident year catastrophes	93	13	NM	305	134	128%
Prior accident years	(9)	(118)	92%	16	(339)	NM
Total losses and loss adjustment expenses	1,169	783	49%	3,318	2,429	37%
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs	340	338	1%	1,015	1,018	
Insurance operating costs and expenses	119	100	19%	362	318	14%
Underwriting results	(75)	218	NM	(127)	513	NM
Net servicing income	2	5	(60%)	6	8	(25%)
Net investment income	217	226	(4%)	698	693	1%
Net realized capital gains (losses)	(51)	5	NM	(61)	(11)	NM
Other expenses	(38)	(31)	(23%)	(116)	(101)	(15%)
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	55	423	(87%)	400	1,102	(64%)
Income tax expense	1	124	(99%)	55	331	(83%)
Income from continuing operations, net of tax	54	299	(82%)	345	771	(55%)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax [1]	(2)	7	NM	155	11	NM
Net income	\$ 52	\$ 306	(83%)	\$ 500	\$ 782	(36%)

[1] Represents the income from operations and sale of Specialty Risk Services (SRS). For additional information, see Note 12 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Premium Measures [1]	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
New business premium	\$ 271	\$ 279	\$ 860	\$ 852
Standard commercial lines policy count retention	82%	83%	82%	84%
Standard commercial lines renewal written pricing increase	4%	1%	3%	1%
Standard commercial lines renewal earned pricing increase	2%		2%	
Standard commercial lines policies in-force as of end of period			1,256,229	1,201,862

[1] Standard commercial lines represents the Company's small commercial and middle market property and casualty lines.

Ratios	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine months ended September 30,		
	2011	2010	Change	2011	2010	Change
Loss and loss adjustment expense ratio						
Current accident year before catastrophes	69.9	61.8	(8.1)	65.6	61.6	(4.0)
Current accident year catastrophes	6.0	0.9	(5.1)	6.7	3.1	(3.6)
Prior accident years	(0.6)	(8.2)	(7.6)	0.4	(7.9)	(8.3)
Total loss and loss adjustment expense ratio	75.3	54.5	(20.8)	72.6	56.8	(15.8)
Expense ratio	29.2	30.1	0.9	29.9	31.2	1.3
Policyholder dividend ratio	0.3	0.3		0.3		(0.3)
Combined ratio	104.8	84.9	(19.9)	102.8	88.0	(14.8)
Catastrophe ratio						
Current accident year	6.0	0.9	(5.1)	6.7	3.1	(3.6)
Prior accident years	0.1		(0.1)	0.2		(0.2)
Total catastrophe ratio	6.1	0.9	(5.2)	6.8	3.1	(3.7)
Combined ratio before catastrophes	98.7	84.0	(14.7)	96.0	84.9	(11.1)
Combined ratio before catastrophes and prior accident year development	99.4	92.2	(7.2)	95.8	92.8	(3.0)
Other revenues [1]	\$ 28	\$ 26	8%	\$ 77	\$ 72	7%

[1] Represents servicing revenues.

Table of Contents

Three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010

Net income decreased for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to the prior year periods, primarily due to a decrease in underwriting results due to higher current accident year losses, including catastrophes, and unfavorable prior accident years development in 2011 compared to favorable prior accident years development in the same 2010 periods. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the decrease in underwriting results was partially offset by the net realized capital gain on the sale of SRS.

Current accident year catastrophe losses for the three months ended September 30, 2011 of \$93, pre-tax, primarily included Hurricane Irene in the Northeast, thunderstorms in the Midwest and Tropical Storm Lee in the Southeast. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, pre-tax catastrophes of \$305 also included winter storms in the Northeast and Midwest and severe thunderstorms and tornadoes in the Midwest and South. In 2010, catastrophes primarily included tornadoes, thunderstorms and hail events in the Midwest, Plains States and the Southeast, for the comparable three-month period, and winter storms in the Mid-Atlantic and Northeast, for the comparable nine-month period.

For information regarding prior accident years reserve development, including reserve (releases) strengthenings by reserve line, see the Property and Casualty Insurance Product Reserves, Net of Reinsurance section within Critical Accounting Estimates.

The increase in earned premiums for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, is primarily due to improvements in workers compensation, driven by higher new business premium, renewal earned pricing increases and an increase in policies-in-force. The earned pricing changes were primarily a reflection of written pricing changes over the last year. Renewal written pricing increased for all standard commercial lines driven by improving market conditions.

Current accident year losses and loss adjustment expenses before catastrophes increased, due primarily to the increase in earned premiums for workers compensation, as well as an increase in the current accident year loss and loss adjustment expense ratio before catastrophes. The ratio increased primarily due to loss costs outpacing earned pricing increases, including current accident year reserve strengthening in the third quarter of 2011 of \$47 which had a 3.0 point increase in the three months ended September 30, 2011, predominantly related to workers compensation business.

Net realized capital losses increased in both the three and nine-month periods primarily due to losses on derivatives, partially offset by lower impairments. For additional information, see the Investment Results section within Key Performance Measures and Ratios.

The effective tax rate in both periods differs from the U.S. Federal statutory rate primarily due to permanent differences related to investments in tax exempt securities. For further discussion, see Income Taxes within Note 1 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**GROUP BENEFITS**

Operating Summary	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2011	2010	Change	2011	2010	Change
Premiums and other considerations	\$ 1,016	\$ 1,058	(4%)	\$ 3,136	\$ 3,234	(3%)
Net investment income	102	107	(5%)	312	324	(4%)
Net realized capital gains (losses)	6	(1)	NM	2	31	(94%)
Total revenues	1,124	1,164	(3%)	3,450	3,589	(4%)
Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses	814	816		2,492	2,505	(1%)
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs	14	15	(7%)	42	46	(9%)
Insurance operating costs and other expenses	268	275	(3%)	835	839	
Total benefits, losses and expenses	1,096	1,106	(1%)	3,369	3,390	(1%)
Income before income taxes	28	58	(52%)	81	199	(59%)
Income tax expense	3	12	(75%)	4	54	(93%)
Net income	\$ 25	\$ 46	(46%)	\$ 77	\$ 145	(47%)
Premiums and other considerations						
Fully insured ongoing premiums	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,043	(4%)	\$ 3,041	\$ 3,136	(3%)
Buyout premiums				49	58	(16%)
Other	16	15	7%	46	40	15%
Total premiums and other considerations	\$ 1,016	\$ 1,058	(4%)	\$ 3,136	\$ 3,234	(3%)
Fully insured ongoing sales, excluding buyouts	\$ 91	\$ 100	(9%)	\$ 427	\$ 497	(14%)
Ratios, excluding buyouts						
Loss ratio	80.1%	77.1%		79.1%	77.0%	
Loss ratio, excluding financial institutions	84.7%	82.4%		84.2%	82.5%	
Expense ratio	27.8%	27.4%		28.4%	27.9%	
Expense ratio, excluding financial institutions	23.8%	22.8%		23.8%	23.1%	

Three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010

Net income decreased, relative to the comparable prior year periods, primarily due to higher mortality in both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, and to a lesser extent, a decrease in fully insured ongoing premiums, driven by lower sales over the past year, as well as, from a challenging economic environment.

The effective tax rate, in both periods, differs from the U.S. Federal statutory rate primarily due to permanent differences related to investments in tax exempt securities. For further discussion, see Income Taxes within Note 1 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. In addition, due to the availability of additional tax planning strategies, the Company released \$5 or 100% of the valuation allowance associated with investment realized capital losses during the second quarter of 2011.

Table of Contents**CONSUMER MARKETS**

Operating Summary	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2011	2010	Change	2011	2010	Change
Written premiums	\$ 964	\$ 1,014	(5%)	\$ 2,817	\$ 2,990	(6%)
Change in unearned premium reserve	34	29	17%	(8)	14	NM
Earned premiums	930	985	(6%)	2,825	2,976	(5%)
Losses and loss adjustment expenses						
Current accident year before catastrophes	663	681	(3%)	1,902	2,034	(6%)
Current accident year catastrophes	113	42	169%	426	229	86%
Prior accident years	(9)	(34)	74%	(58)	(51)	(14%)
Total losses and loss adjustment expenses	767	689	11%	2,270	2,212	3%
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs	159	167	(5%)	480	503	(5%)
Insurance operating costs and expenses	67	71	(6%)	215	217	(1%)
Underwriting results	(63)	58	NM	(140)	44	NM
Net servicing income	4	7	(43%)	13	22	(41%)
Net investment income	46	46		145	139	4%
Net realized capital gains (losses)	(10)	1	NM	(12)	(2)	NM
Other expenses	(8)	(14)	43%	(153)	(47)	NM
Income (loss) before income taxes	(31)	98	NM	(147)	156	NM
Income tax expense (benefit)	(15)	28	NM	(67)	43	NM
Net income (loss)	\$ (16)	\$ 70	NM	\$ (80)	\$ 113	NM

Written Premiums	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2011	2010	Change	2011	2010	Change
<i>Product Line</i>						
Automobile	\$ 657	\$ 700	(6%)	\$ 1,963	\$ 2,115	(7%)
Homeowners	307	314	(2%)	854	875	(2%)
Total	\$ 964	\$ 1,014	(5%)	\$ 2,817	\$ 2,990	(6%)

Earned Premiums

<i>Product Line</i>										
Automobile	\$	649	\$	698	(7%)	\$	1,978	\$	2,122	(7%)
Homeowners		281		287	(2%)		847		854	(1%)
Total	\$	930	\$	985	(6%)	\$	2,825	\$	2,976	(5%)

Premium Measures	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,					
	2011	2010	2011	2010				
Policies in-force end of period								
Automobile			2,106,385	2,287,845				
Homeowners			1,358,162	1,455,921				
Total policies in-force end of period			3,464,547	3,743,766				
New business written premium								
Automobile	\$	80	\$	74	\$	221	\$	249
Homeowners	\$	26	\$	26	\$	68	\$	86
Policy count retention								
Automobile		83%		82%		82%		83%
Homeowners		84%		84%		83%		85%
Renewal written pricing increase								
Automobile		4%		8%		5%		6%
Homeowners		8%		11%		8%		9%
Renewal earned pricing increase								
Automobile		6%		5%		6%		4%
Homeowners		9%		8%		9%		7%

Table of Contents

Ratios and Supplemental Data	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2011	2010	Change	2011	2010	Change
Loss and loss adjustment expense ratio						
Current accident year before catastrophes	71.3	69.2	(2.1)	67.3	68.4	1.1
Current accident year catastrophes	12.2	4.3	(7.9)	15.1	7.7	(7.4)
Prior accident years	(1.0)	(3.5)	(2.5)	(2.1)	(1.7)	0.4
Total loss and loss adjustment expense ratio	82.5	70.0	(12.5)	80.4	74.4	(6.0)
Expense ratio	24.3	24.1	(0.2)	24.6	24.2	(0.4)
Combined ratio	106.8	94.1	(12.7)	105.0	98.5	(6.5)
Catastrophe ratio						
Current year	12.2	4.3	(7.9)	15.1	7.7	(7.4)
Prior years		0.7	0.7	1.0	0.4	(0.6)
Total catastrophe ratio	12.2	5.1	(7.1)	16.1	8.0	(8.1)
Combined ratio before catastrophes	94.6	89.1	(5.5)	88.9	90.5	1.6
Combined ratio before catastrophes and prior accident years development	95.6	93.3	(2.3)	91.9	92.6	0.7
Other revenues [1]	\$ 35	\$ 40	(13%)	\$ 111	\$ 123	(10%)

[1] Represents servicing revenues.

Product Line Combined Ratios	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2011	2010	Change	2011	2010	Change
Automobile	100.3	93.3	(7.0)	95.1	95.2	0.1
Homeowners	122.1	96.3	(25.8)	127.9	107.4	(20.5)
Total	106.8	94.1	(12.7)	105.0	98.5	(6.5)

Table of Contents

Three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010

Net income decreased relative to the comparable prior year periods, due to higher current accident year catastrophes in both the three- and nine-month periods, and in the nine-month period, due to a charge incurred in the second quarter of 2011 related to the write off of capitalized costs associated with a policy administration software project that was discontinued.

Current accident year catastrophe losses for the three months ended September 30, 2011 of \$113, pre-tax, primarily included losses from Hurricane Irene in the Northeast and thunderstorms in the Midwest. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, pre-tax catastrophes of \$426 also included severe tornadoes and wind storms in the Midwest and South, and winter storms in the Northeast and Midwest. In 2010, catastrophes primarily included tornadoes, thunderstorms and hail events in the Midwest, Plains States and the Southeast, for the comparable three-month period, as well as, winter storms in the Mid-Atlantic and Northeast, for the comparable nine-month period.

In the nine-month period, other expenses increased resulting from a \$113, pre-tax, charge in the second quarter of 2011 for the write-off of the discontinued software project.

Earned premiums decreased in auto and were down modestly for homeowners. For both auto and homeowners, non-renewal of existing policies more than offset the impacts of new business written premium and renewal earned pricing increases. Compared to 2010, the number of policies in-force as of September 30, 2011 decreased for both auto and home, driven by non-renewals.

For the three month period, policy count retention for auto increased as moderating renewal written price increases improved the Company's price competitiveness. Policy count retention for auto and home decreased in the nine-month period primarily due to renewal written pricing increases and underwriting actions to improve profitability.

Auto new business written premium increased for the three-month period primarily due to more competitive new business pricing within AARP and, to a lesser extent, an increase in the sale of the AARP auto product through independent agents. For the nine-month period for both auto and home, new business written premium decreased, primarily due to the effect of written pricing increases and underwriting actions that lowered the policy issue rate in Agency.

The higher auto renewal earned pricing in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 was due to rate increases and the effect of policyholders purchasing newer vehicle models in place of older models. Average renewal earned premium per policy for auto increased as renewal earned pricing increases were partially offset by the effect of a continued shift to more preferred market business which has lower average earned premium. Homeowners renewal earned pricing increases were due to rate increases and increased coverage amounts. For both auto and home, the Company has increased rates in certain states for certain classes of business to maintain profitability in the face of rising loss costs.

Current accident year losses and loss adjustment expenses before catastrophes decreased primarily due to lower earned premiums. The overall current accident year loss and loss adjustment expense ratio before catastrophes increased for the three-month period due primarily to an increase in home and decreased in the nine-month period as a decrease for auto was partially offset by an increase for home. In the nine month period, the effect of earned pricing increases and lower estimated frequency on auto liability claims was partially offset by higher auto physical damage loss costs. In the three-month period, higher auto physical damage loss costs were largely offset by an improvement in auto liability as auto physical damage was driven by higher emerged loss costs and lower estimated subrogation recoveries from third-party insurers. The increase in the current accident year loss and loss adjustment expense ratio before catastrophes for home in both the three- and nine-month periods was primarily due to an increase in the frequency of non-catastrophe weather claims, partially offset by the effect of earned pricing increases.

For information regarding prior accident years reserve development, see the Property and Casualty Insurance Product Reserves, Net of Reinsurance section within Critical Accounting Estimates.

The effective tax rate, in both periods, differs from the U.S. Federal statutory rate primarily due to permanent differences related to investments in tax exempt securities. For further discussion, see Income Taxes within Note 1 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**GLOBAL ANNUITY**

	Three Months Ended			Nine Months Ended		
	September 30,			September 30,		
Operating Summary	2011	2010	Change	2011	2010	Change
Fee income and other	\$ 565	\$ 588	(4%)	\$ 1,752	\$ 1,762	(1%)
Earned premiums	59	69	(14%)	167	163	2%
Net investment income:						
Securities available-for sale and other	412	426	(3%)	1,243	1,277	(3%)
Equity securities, trading [1]	(1,889)	1,043	NM	(1,683)	(905)	(86%)
Total net investment income (loss)	(1,477)	1,469	NM	(440)	372	NM
Net realized capital gains (losses)	668	(321)	NM	374	(626)	NM
Total revenues	(185)	1,805	NM	1,853	1,671	11%
Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses	850	388	119%	1,762	1,531	15%
Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses returns credited on international variable annuities [1]	(1,889)	1,043	NM	(1,683)	(905)	(86%)
Amortization of DAC	607	(70)	NM	945	323	193%
Insurance operating costs and other expenses	196	198	(1%)	581	568	2%
Total benefits, losses and expenses	(236)	1,559	NM	1,605	1,517	6%
Income before income taxes	51	246	(79%)	248	154	61%
Income tax expense (benefit)	2	69	(97%)	(79)	11	NM
Income from continuing operations, net of tax	49	177	(72%)	327	143	129%
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax		(2)	100%		(2)	100%
Net income	\$ 49	\$ 175	(72%)	\$ 327	\$ 141	132%
Assets Under Management						
Variable annuity account values				\$ 98,154	\$ 113,912	
Fixed MVA annuity and other account values [2]				16,740	17,100	
Institutional investment products account values				18,003	20,086	
Total assets under management				\$ 132,897	\$ 151,098	
Account Value Roll Forward						
Variable Annuities						
Account value, beginning of period	\$ 112,328	\$ 107,295		\$ 116,520	\$ 119,387	
Transfers affecting beginning of period [3]					(1,355)	
Account Value, beginning of period, as adjusted	112,328	107,295		116,520	118,032	
Net flows	(3,103)	(2,848)		(10,564)	(8,597)	
Change in market value and other	(12,466)	7,631		(9,397)	1,349	

Effect of currency translation	1,395	1,834	1,595	3,128
Account value, end of period	\$ 98,154	\$ 113,912	\$ 98,154	\$ 113,912
Net Investment Spread	27 bps	11 bps	29 bps	13 bps
Expense Ratios				
General insurance expense ratio	6.2 bps	5.6 bps	18.4 bps	16.1 bps
DAC amortization ratio	92.2%	(39.8%)	79.2%	67.7%
Effect of realized gains (losses) on DAC amortization	1892.2%	(62.9%)	38.2%	20.8%
Effect of Unlock on DAC amortization	(1848.5%)	(22.9%)	(4.4%)	(3.4%)
DAC amortization ratio, excluding realized losses and Unlocks	48.5%	46.0%	45.4%	50.3%

[1] Includes investment income and mark-to-market effects of equity securities, trading, supporting the international variable annuity business, which are classified in net investment income with corresponding amounts credited to policyholders within benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses.

[2] Fixed MVA annuity and other account values includes approximately \$2.7 billion related to the triggering of the guaranteed minimum income benefit for the 3Win product as of September 30, 2011 and \$2.0 billion as of September 30, 2010. This account value is not expected to generate material future profit or loss to the Company.

[3] Canadian mutual funds were transferred from Global Annuity to Mutual Funds effective January 1, 2010.

Table of Contents***Three ended September 30, 2011 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2010***

Net income decreased in 2011 as compared to 2010 primarily due to quarterly declines in equity markets. For the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, the US equity markets, as represented by the S&P 500 index, declined by 14.3% and increased by 10.7%, respectively. The changes in equity markets have a direct correlation to the income statement results of the DAC unlock, and are negatively correlated to changes in certain reserves, and net realized gains and losses.

For the three months ended September 30, 2011, there were net realized capital gains in comparison to net realized capital losses for the three months ended September 30, 2010. This is primarily due to gains in the variable annuity hedge program and foreign currency gains. The variable annuity hedging program gains for the three months ended September 30, 2011 were \$865 compared with losses of \$(273) for the three months ended September 30, 2010.

The Unlock charge for the three months ended September 30, 2011 was \$407, after-tax, as compared to the Unlock benefit of \$145, after-tax, for the three months ended September 30, 2010. The charge in 2011 was driven by declines in equity markets and assumption changes including additional costs as the Company has begun implementation of its Japan hedging strategy, which reduces future expected gross profits.

The DAC amortization ratio, excluding net realized capital losses and Unlocks, increased in the three months ended September 30, 2011 due to lower earnings in 2011 as compared to three months ended September 30, 2010.

Global Annuity has been reducing its operating expenses through active management; however, in the three months ended September 30, 2011, the segment recognized \$22, pretax, of costs associated with expected assessments related to the Executive Life Insurance Company of New York (ELNY) insolvency.

Net investment spreads increased by 16 bps for the three months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2010. The increase was driven by higher yields of 13 bps and a decline in credited rates of 2 bps.

Global Annuity's effective tax rate differs from the statutory rate of 35% primarily due to permanent differences for the separate account DRD on U.S. annuity products. Income taxes include separate account DRD benefits of \$27 and \$19 for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2010

Net income increased in 2011 as compared to 2010 primarily due to changes in net realized gains, offset by the change in Unlock as a result of equity market changes and assumption changes. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, the US equity markets, as represented by the S&P 500 index, declined by 10% and increased by 2.3%, respectively. In addition, individual line items were driven by one-time events, including a \$45 DRD tax settlement benefit, an, \$18, benefit from a reserve was released related to a product in Japan and \$22, pre-tax, of costs associated with expected assessments related to the ELNY insolvency.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, there were net realized capital gains as compared to net realized capital losses for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. This is primarily due to gains in the variable annuity hedging program and fewer net impairment losses in 2011. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, the variable annuity hedging program gains were \$577 and losses of \$(337), respectively; and net impairment losses were \$60 and \$215 respectively. For further discussion on the results of the variable annuity hedging program see Investment Results, Net Realized Capital Gains (Losses) within Key Performance Measures and Ratios of the MD&A. Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses have increased substantially as a result of declines in equity markets; however, the increases were partially offset by the release of the reserve related to a Japan product.

Global Annuity has been reducing its operating expenses through active management; however, in the three months ended September 30, 2011, the segment recognized \$22 of costs, pre-tax, associated with expected assessments related to the ELNY insolvency.

The Unlock charge was \$418, after-tax for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to the Unlock benefit of \$4, after-tax, for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The charge in 2011 was a result of the addition of expected Japan hedging costs and actual separate returns below our aggregated estimated returns. The benefit in 2010 was primarily attributed to improvements in the equity markets. For further discussion of the Unlock see the Critical Accounting Estimates section within the MD&A.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to September 30, 2010 net investment spread increased primarily due to a decline in credited rates of 14 bps.

The DAC amortization ratio, excluding net realized capital losses and Unlocks, decreased in the nine months ended September 30, 2011 due to increased earnings in 2011 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Global Annuity's effective tax rate differs from the statutory rate of 35% primarily due to permanent differences for the separate account DRD on U.S. annuity products. Income taxes include separate account DRD benefits of \$127 and \$79 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The increase in the benefit of \$45 is attributed to a resolution of a tax matter with the IRS for the computation of DRD for years 1998, 2000 and 2001. In addition, due to the availability of additional tax planning strategies, the Company released \$38 or 100% of the valuation allowance associated with investment realized capital losses during the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

Table of Contents**LIFE INSURANCE**

Operating Summary	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2011	2010	Change	2011	2010	Change
Fee income and other	\$ 311	\$ 268	16%	\$ 870	\$ 832	5%
Earned premiums	(25)	(25)		(74)	(70)	(6%)
Net investment income	146	132	11%	435	391	11%
Net realized capital gains (losses)	20	13	54%	(3)	45	NM
Total revenues	452	388	16%	1,228	1,198	3%
Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses	310	227	37%	783	646	21%
Amortization of DAC	107	(20)	NM	181	71	155%
Insurance operating costs and other expenses	51	44	16%	156	154	1%
Total benefits, losses and expenses	468	251	86%	1,120	871	29%
Income (loss) before income taxes	(16)	137	NM	108	327	(67%)
Income tax expense (benefit)	(13)	40	NM	10	103	(90%)
Net income (loss)	\$ (3)	\$ 97	NM	\$ 98	\$ 224	(56%)
Account Values						
Individual variable universal life insurance				\$ 5,259	\$ 5,757	
Universal life, interest sensitive whole life, modified guaranteed life insurance and other PPLI				6,549 35,989	5,995 35,558	
Total account values				\$ 47,797	\$ 47,310	
Individual Life Insurance In-Force						
Variable universal life insurance				\$ 70,926	\$ 75,399	
Universal life, interest sensitive whole life, modified guaranteed life insurance				62,052	57,734	

Term life				80,249		73,959	
Total individual life insurance in-force				\$ 213,227		\$ 207,092	
Individual Life Net Investment Spread	157 bps	142 bps		159 bps		148 bps	
Death Benefits	\$ 130	\$ 134	(3%)	\$ 393	\$ 348		13%

Three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010

Net income decreased in 2011 compared to 2010 due largely to the Unlock charge taken in the third quarter compared to an Unlock benefit in 2010 and unfavorable mortality in 2011, partially offset by an increase in net investment income driven by higher invested assets and favorable partnership income.

The Unlock charge was \$66, after-tax, for the third quarter of 2011 compared to an Unlock benefit of \$29, after-tax, for the third quarter of 2010 and a charge of \$69, after-tax for the first nine months of 2011 compared to an Unlock benefit of \$29, after-tax for the first nine months of 2010. The Unlock charge in 2011 was due to the annual assumption update completed in the third quarter and resulted in an increase in fee income, benefit and claim expense and DAC amortization. For further discussion of Unlocks see the Critical Accounting Estimates within the MD&A.

Life Insurance's effective tax rate differs from the statutory rate of 35% primarily due to permanent differences for the separate account DRD. Income taxes include separate account DRD benefits of \$6 and \$6 for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively and \$17 and \$15 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Included in 2011 the separate account benefit above is a tax benefit of \$3 related to a DRD settlement for the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

Table of Contents**RETIREMENT PLANS**

Operating Summary	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2011	2010	Change	2011	2010	Change
Fee income and other	\$ 92	\$ 88	5%	\$ 285	\$ 260	10%
Earned premiums	1	1		6	5	20%
Net investment income	100	93	8%	299	267	12%
Net realized capital losses	(2)				(10)	100%
Total revenues	191	182	5%	590	522	13%
Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses	81	71	14%	228	204	12%
Insurance operating costs and other expenses	88	84	5%	268	250	7%
Amortization of DAC	81	(12)	NM	120	14	NM
Total benefits, losses and expenses	250	143	75%	616	468	32%
Income (loss) before income taxes	(59)	39	NM	(26)	54	NM
Income tax expense (benefit)	(27)	9	NM	(39)	16	NM
Net income (loss)	\$ (32)	\$ 30	NM	\$ 13	\$ 38	(66%)
Assets Under Management						
401(k) account values				\$ 19,769	\$ 18,764	
403(b)/457 account values				12,072	11,874	
401(k)/403(b) mutual funds				17,844	18,602	
Total assets under management				\$ 49,685	\$ 49,240	
Assets Under Management Roll Forward						
Assets under management, beginning of period	\$ 55,555	\$ 43,791		\$ 52,518	\$ 43,962	
Transfers affecting the beginning of the period [1]		1,294		267	1,488	
Assets under management, beginning of period, as adjusted	55,555	45,085		52,785	45,450	

Net flows	760	508	1,247	1,442
Change in market value and other	(6,630)	3,647	(4,347)	2,348

Assets under management, end of period	\$ 49,685	\$ 49,240	\$ 49,685	\$ 49,240
---	------------------	------------------	------------------	------------------

Net Investment Spread	84 bps	100 bps	110 bps	96 bps
------------------------------	---------------	----------------	----------------	---------------

[1] *Lifetime Income and Maturity Funding business of \$194 was transferred from Global Annuity to Retirement Plans effective January 1, 2010.*

Three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2010

Net income decreased in 2011 compared to 2010 due largely to the Unlock charge taken in the third quarter compared to an Unlock benefit in 2010, partially offset by a favorable one-time true up in tax expense.

The Unlock charge was \$43, after-tax, in 2011 as compared to an Unlock benefit of \$19, after-tax, in 2010. The Unlock charge in 2011 was primarily due to the annual assumption update completed in the third quarter. The most significant assumption changes related to reduced investment spread in the general account, delayed projected expense benefits and increased trail commissions due to the mix of business. Each of these items reduces expected future gross profits. The benefit in 2010 was due to equity market improvements that were greater than expectations. For further discussion of Unlocks see the Critical Accounting Estimates within the MD&A.

Net investment income increased in 2011 compared to 2010 primarily due to higher general account invested assets.

Net investment spread decreased by 16 bps driven by lower yields of 22 bps offset by lower crediting rates of 6 bps.

Retirement Plans effective tax rate differs from the statutory rate of 35% primarily due to permanent differences for the separate account DRD. For 2011 and 2010 income taxes include separate account DRD benefits of \$7 and \$5, respectively. Included in the separate account benefit for 2011 is a one-time favorable true up of \$2 related to the 2010 tax year provision. For further discussion, see Note 1 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the Nine months ended September 30, 2010

Net income decreased in 2011 compared 2010 primarily due the Unlock charge recorded in 2011, offset by a decrease in net realized capital losses and increased asset based fee income and other as a result of increased business volumes.

Net investment income increased in 2011 compared to 2010 primarily due to the improved performance of higher average general account invested assets and favorable partnership income compared to 2010. Net investment spread for the nine months improved by 14 bps driven by higher yields of 4 bps and lower crediting rates of 10 bps.

Net realized capital losses decreased in 2011 compared to 2010 due to lower losses from impairments compared to 2010 and due to the release of a valuation allowance on mortgage loans.

Fee income and other increased primarily due to increases in asset based fees on higher average account values resulting from positive net flows.

The Unlock charge was \$44, after-tax, in 2011 as compared to an Unlock benefit of \$15, after-tax, in 2010. The Unlock charge in 2011 was primarily due to the annual assumption update completed in the third quarter. The most significant assumption changes related to reduced investment spread in the general account, delayed projected expense benefits and increased trail commissions due to the mix of business. Each of these items reduces expected future gross profits. The 2010 Unlock benefit was due to equity market improvements that were greater than expectations. For further discussion of Unlocks see the Critical Accounting Estimates within the MD&A.

Retirement Plans effective tax rate differs from the statutory rate of 35% primarily due to permanent differences for the separate account DRD. For 2011 and 2010 income taxes include separate account DRD benefits of \$20 and \$14, respectively. Included in the separate account benefit for 2011 is a \$4 benefit related to a DRD settlement and a \$2 benefit related to a true up the 2010 tax year provision. In addition, due to the availability of additional tax planning strategies, the Company released \$10 or 100% of the valuation allowance associated with realized capital losses during the nine months ended September 30, 2011. For further discussion, see Note 1 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**MUTUAL FUNDS**

Operating Summary	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2011	2010	Change	2011	2010	Change
Fee income and other	\$ 153	\$ 159	(4%)	\$ 506	\$ 493	3%
Net investment loss		(2)	100%	(2)	(6)	67%
Net realized capital gains (losses)		(1)	100%	1		
Total revenues	153	156	(2%)	505	487	4%
Insurance operating costs and other expenses	105	115	(9%)	348	342	2%
Amortization of DAC	12	13	(8%)	36	38	(5%)
Total benefits, losses and expenses	117	128	(9%)	384	380	1%
Income from continuing operations, before income taxes	36	28	29%	121	107	13%
Income tax expense	12	9	33%	42	37	14%
Income from continuing operations	24	19	26%	79	70	13%
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax [1]		(1)	100%		(3)	100%
Net income	\$ 24	\$ 18	33%	\$ 79	\$ 67	18%
Assets Under Management						
Retail mutual fund assets				\$ 39,258	\$ 44,788	
Investment Only mutual fund assets				6,625	5,570	
529 College Savings Plan and Canadian mutual fund (CMF) assets [2]				1,424	3,026	
Total non-proprietary and Canadian mutual fund assets				47,307	53,384	
Proprietary mutual fund assets				35,494	41,779	
Total mutual fund assets under management				\$ 82,801	\$ 95,163	
Non-Proprietary and CMF AUM Roll Forward [2]						

Non-Proprietary and CMF AUM, beginning of period	58,150	48,759	\$ 56,884	\$ 44,031
Transfers affecting the beginning of the period [3]				5,617
Non-Proprietary and CMF AUM, beginning of period, as adjusted	58,150	48,759	56,884	49,648
Net flows	(2,396)	(163)	(2,584)	2,199
Change in market value and other	(8,447)	4,788	(6,993)	1,537
Non-Proprietary and CMF AUM, end of period	\$ 47,307	\$ 53,384	\$ 47,307	\$ 53,384
Proprietary Mutual Fund AUM Roll Forward				
Proprietary Mutual Fund AUM, beginning of period	\$ 42,204	\$ 39,402	\$ 43,602	\$
Transfers affecting the beginning of the period [4]				43,890
Proprietary Mutual Fund AUM, beginning of period, as adjusted	42,204	39,402	43,602	43,890
Net flows	(1,244)	(1,299)	(4,355)	(3,763)
Change in market value	(5,466)	3,676	(3,753)	1,652
Proprietary Mutual Fund AUM, end of period	\$ 35,494	\$ 41,779	\$ 35,494	\$ 41,779

[1] Represents the loss from operations of Hartford Investments Canada Corporation. (HICC). For additional information, see Note 12 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

[2] Canadian mutual funds representing approximately \$1.8 billion in AUM were sold in December 2010, therefore are not included in the 2011 beginning balance.

[3] In 2010, Investment Only and Canadian mutual fund assets were transferred to Mutual Funds from Global Annuity effective January 1, 2010.

[4] Proprietary mutual fund assets under management are included in the Mutual Fund reporting segment effective January 1, 2010.

Three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2010

Net income increased in 2011 compared to 2010 due to a decline in expenses largely due to a one-time capital infusion to the Money Market Funds in the third quarter of 2010.

Nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2010

Net income increased in 2011 compared to 2010 due to increased fee income and other as a result of increased average account values attributed to improved equity markets partially offset by net outflows. Expense increases, specifically trail commissions are the result of increased average account values partially offset by a one-time capital infusion to the Money Market Funds in the third quarter of 2010. In addition, prior year results include losses from discontinued

Table of Contents**CORPORATE AND OTHER**

Operating Summary	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2011	2010	Change	2011	2010	Change
Earned premiums	\$	\$ 1	(100%)	\$	\$	
Fee income	55	46	20%	161	143	13%
Net investment income	38	45	(16%)	143	190	(25%)
Net realized capital gains (losses)	(56)	47	NM	(60)	51	NM
Total revenues	37	139	(73%)	244	384	(36%)
Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses	15	64	(77%)	307	236	30%
Insurance operating costs and other expenses	62	59	5%	200	270	(26%)
Interest expense	128	128		384	380	1%
Total benefits, losses and expenses	205	251	(18%)	891	886	1%
Loss from continuing operations before income taxes	(168)	(112)	(50%)	(647)	(502)	(29%)
Income tax benefit	(64)	(39)	(64%)	(238)	(158)	(51%)
Loss from continuing operations, net of tax	(104)	(73)	(42%)	(409)	(344)	(19%)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	5	(3)	NM	(70)	(105)	33%
Net loss	\$ (99)	\$ (76)	(30%)	\$ (479)	\$ (449)	(7%)

Three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010

The net loss in Corporate and Other increased in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 primarily due to net realized capital losses in 2011, compared to gains in the comparable 2010 periods. The net realized capital losses in 2011 primarily relate to losses on derivatives.

The three-month period benefited from lower reserve strengthening of net environmental reserves of \$19, pre-tax, in 2011 compared to \$62, pre-tax, in 2010, resulting from the company's annual review of its environmental liabilities within the Other Operations operating segment. For further information see Other Operations Claims within the Property and Casualty Insurance Product Reserves, Net of Reinsurance section in Critical Accounting Estimates.

Further contributing to the net loss in Corporate and Other in the nine-month period was a reserve strengthening of \$290, pre-tax, during the second quarter of 2011, resulting from the company's annual review of its asbestos liabilities within the Other Operations operating segment. In the comparable prior year period, the reserve increase was \$169, pre-tax. For further information, see Other Operations Claims within the Property and Casualty Insurance Product Reserves, Net of Reinsurance section in Critical Accounting Estimates.

The increase in the net loss for the nine-month period was partially offset by a decrease in insurance operating costs and other expenses as a result of an accrual for a litigation settlement of \$73 in the first quarter of 2010, for further

information see Structured Settlement Class Action in Note 12 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in The Hartford's 2010 Form 10-K Annual Report.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the loss from discontinued operations, net of tax, is due to a net realized capital loss of \$74, after-tax, from the disposition of Federal Trust Corporation in the second quarter of 2011. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, loss from discontinued operations, net of tax, primarily relates to goodwill impairment on Federal Trust Corporation of approximately \$100, after-tax, recorded in the second quarter of 2010.

See Note 1 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for a reconciliation of the tax provision at the U.S. Federal statutory rate to the provision (benefit) for income taxes.

Table of Contents**INSURANCE RISK MANAGEMENT***Use of Reinsurance*

The Company has several catastrophe reinsurance programs, including reinsurance treaties that cover property and workers' compensation losses aggregating from single catastrophe events. The following table summarizes the primary catastrophe treaty reinsurance coverages that the Company has in place as of October 1, 2011:

Coverage	Treaty term	% of layer(s)		Per occurrence limit	Retention
		reinsured			
Principal property catastrophe program covering property catastrophe losses from a single event	1/1/2011 to 1/1/2012	90%	\$	750	\$ 350
Reinsurance with the Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund (FHCF) covering Florida Personal Lines property catastrophe losses from a single event	6/1/2011 to 6/1/2012	90%	\$	141 [1]	\$ 55
Workers' compensation losses arising from a single catastrophe event	7/1/2011 to 7/1/2012	95%	\$	350 [2]	\$ 100

[1] *The estimated per occurrence limit on the FHCF treaty is \$141 for the 6/1/2011 to 6/1/2012 treaty year based on the Company's election to purchase the required coverage from the FHCF. Coverage is estimated based upon the best available information until the FHCF releases actual results at the end of October.*

[2] *In addition to the limit shown above, the workers' compensation reinsurance treaty includes a non-catastrophe, industrial accident layer of \$30 excess of a \$20 retention.*

Refer to the MD&A in The Hartford's 2010 Form 10-K Annual Report for further explanation of the Company's Insurance Risk Management Strategy.

INVESTMENT CREDIT RISK MANAGEMENT

The Company has established investment credit policies that focus on the credit quality of obligors and counterparties, limit credit concentrations, encourage diversification and require frequent creditworthiness reviews. Investment activity, including setting of policy and defining acceptable risk levels, is subject to regular review and approval by senior management.

The Company invests primarily in securities which are rated investment grade and has established exposure limits, diversification standards and review procedures for all credit risks including borrower, issuer and counterparty. Creditworthiness of specific obligors is determined by consideration of external determinants of creditworthiness, typically ratings assigned by nationally recognized ratings agencies and is supplemented by an internal credit evaluation. Obligor, asset sector and industry concentrations are subject to established Company limits and are monitored on a regular basis.

The Company is not exposed to any credit concentration risk of a single issuer greater than 10% of the Company's stockholders' equity other than U.S. government and government agencies backed by the full faith and credit of the U.S. government. For further discussion of concentration of credit risk, see the Concentration of Credit Risk section in Note 5 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in The Hartford's 2010 Form 10-K Annual Report.

Derivative Instruments

In the normal course of business, the Company uses various derivative counterparties in executing its derivative transactions. The use of counterparties creates credit risk that the counterparty may not perform in accordance with the terms of the derivative transaction. The Company has developed a derivative counterparty exposure policy which limits the Company's exposure to credit risk.

The derivative counterparty exposure policy establishes market-based credit limits, favors long-term financial stability and creditworthiness of the counterparty and typically requires credit enhancement/credit risk reducing agreements. The Company minimizes the credit risk of derivative instruments by entering into transactions with high quality counterparties rated A/A- or better, which are monitored and evaluated by the Company's risk management team and reviewed by senior management. In addition, the Company monitors counterparty credit exposure on a monthly basis to ensure compliance with Company policies and statutory limitations. The Company also generally requires that derivative contracts, other than exchange traded contracts, certain forward contracts, and certain embedded and reinsurance derivatives, be governed by an International Swaps and Derivatives Association Master Agreement, which is structured by legal entity and by counterparty and permits right of offset.

Table of Contents

The Company has developed credit exposure thresholds which are based upon counterparty ratings. Credit exposures are measured using the market value of the derivatives, resulting in amounts owed to the Company by its counterparties or potential payment obligations from the Company to its counterparties. Credit exposures are generally quantified daily based on the prior business day's market value and collateral is pledged to and held by, or on behalf of, the Company to the extent the current value of derivatives exceeds the contractual thresholds. In accordance with industry standards and the contractual agreements, collateral is typically settled on the next business day. The Company has exposure to credit risk for amounts below the exposure thresholds which are uncollateralized, as well as for market fluctuations that may occur between contractual settlement periods of collateral movements.

The maximum uncollateralized threshold for a derivative counterparty for a single legal entity is \$10. The Company currently transacts over-the-counter derivatives in five legal entities and therefore the maximum combined threshold for a single counterparty across all legal entities that use derivatives is \$50. In addition, the Company may have exposure to multiple counterparties in a single corporate family due to a common credit support provider. As of September 30, 2011, the maximum combined threshold for all counterparties under a single credit support provider across all legal entities that use derivatives is \$100. Based on the contractual terms of certain collateral agreements, these thresholds may be immediately reduced due to a downgrade in either party's credit rating. For further discussion, see the Derivative Commitments Section of Note 9 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, the Company has incurred no losses on derivative instruments due to counterparty default.

In addition to counterparty credit risk, the Company enters into credit default swaps to manage credit exposure. Credit default swaps involve a transfer of credit risk of one or many referenced entities from one party to another in exchange for periodic payments. The party that purchases credit protection will make periodic payments based on an agreed upon rate and notional amount, and for certain transactions there will also be an upfront premium payment. The second party, who assumes credit risk, will typically only make a payment if there is a credit event as defined in the contract and such payment will be typically equal to the notional value of the swap contract less the value of the referenced security issuer's debt obligation. A credit event is generally defined as default on contractually obligated interest or principal payments or bankruptcy of the referenced entity.

The Company uses credit derivatives to purchase credit protection and assume credit risk with respect to a single entity, referenced index, or asset pool. The Company purchases credit protection through credit default swaps to economically hedge and manage credit risk of certain fixed maturity investments across multiple sectors of the investment portfolio. The Company also enters into credit default swaps that assume credit risk as part of replication transactions. Replication transactions are used as an economical means to synthetically replicate the characteristics and performance of assets that would otherwise be permissible investments under the Company's investment policies. These swaps reference investment grade single corporate issuers and baskets, which include customized diversified portfolios of corporate issuers, which are established within sector concentration limits and may be divided into tranches which possess different credit ratings.

Investments

The following table presents the Company's fixed maturities, AFS, by credit quality. The ratings referenced below are based on the ratings of a nationally recognized rating organization or, if not rated, assigned based on the Company's internal analysis of such securities.

Fixed Maturities by Credit Quality					
September 30, 2011			December 31, 2010		
		Percent			Percent
		of			of
		Total			Total
Amortized		Fair	Amortized		Fair
Cost	Fair	Value	Cost	Fair	Value
	Value	Value		Value	Value
\$ 7,955	\$ 8,423	10.5%	\$ 9,961	\$ 9,918	12.7%

United States Government/Government agencies						
AAA	10,085	10,497	13.1%	10,080	10,174	13.1%
AA	15,651	15,921	19.8%	15,933	15,554	20.0%
A	20,217	21,584	26.9%	19,265	19,460	25.0%
BBB	19,884	20,626	25.7%	18,849	19,153	24.6%
BB & below	4,046	3,212	4.0%	4,331	3,561	4.6%
Total fixed maturities	\$ 77,838	\$ 80,263	100.0%	\$ 78,419	77,820	100.0%

The movement in the overall credit quality of the Company's portfolio was primarily attributable to sales of U.S. Treasuries as the Company continues to reinvest in spread product, partially offset by net purchases of investment grade corporate securities concentrated in high quality industrial, utility and financial services issuers. Fixed maturities, FVO, are not included in the above table. For further discussion on fair value option securities, see Note 4 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the Company's AFS securities by type, as well as fixed maturities, FVO.

	Securities by Type September 30, 2011					December 31, 2010				
	Cost or Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Percent of Total Fair Value	Cost or Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Percent of Total Fair Value
Asset-backed securities (ABS)										
Consumer loans	\$ 2,939	\$ 39	\$ (191)	\$ 2,787	3.5%	\$ 2,496	\$ 23	\$ (221)	\$ 2,298	2.9%
Small business	433		(126)	307	0.4%	453		(141)	312	0.4%
Other	371	42	(3)	410	0.5%	298	15	(34)	279	0.4%
CDOs										
Collateralized loan obligations (CLOs)	2,343	1	(199)	2,145	2.7%	2,429	1	(212)	2,218	2.9%
CREs	502		(188)	314	0.4%	653		(266)	387	0.5%
Other	6			6		6			6	
CMBS										
Agency backed [1]	610	32		642	0.8%	519	9	(4)	524	0.7%
Bonds	6,034	141	(511)	5,664	7.1%	6,985	147	(583)	6,549	8.4%
Interest only (IOs)	608	69	(23)	654	0.8%	793	79	(28)	844	1.1%
Corporate										
Basic industry [2]	3,385	281	(31)	3,634	4.5%	2,993	190	(24)	3,159	4.1%
Capital goods	3,317	333	(20)	3,630	4.5%	3,179	223	(23)	3,379	4.3%
Consumer cyclical	2,111	179	(13)	2,277	2.8%	1,883	115	(12)	1,986	2.6%
Consumer non-cyclical	6,053	681	(11)	6,723	8.4%	6,126	444	(29)	6,541	8.4%
Energy	3,624	377	(9)	3,992	5.0%	3,377	212	(23)	3,566	4.6%
Financial services	7,752	329	(538)	7,543	9.4%	7,545	253	(470)	7,328	9.4%
Tech./comm.	4,289	399	(57)	4,631	5.8%	4,268	269	(68)	4,469	5.7%
Transportation	1,103	105	(4)	1,204	1.5%	1,141	69	(13)	1,197	1.5%
Utilities	8,266	795	(42)	9,019	11.2%	7,099	386	(58)	7,427	9.5%
Other [2]	759	8	(22)	663	0.8%	885	13	(27)	832	1.1%
Foreign										
govt./govt. agencies	1,836	121	(13)	1,944	2.4%	1,627	73	(17)	1,683	2.2%
Municipal										
Taxable	1,562	127	(40)	1,649	2.1%	1,319	9	(129)	1,199	1.5%
Tax-exempt	11,016	529	(30)	11,515	14.3%	11,150	141	(366)	10,925	14.0%
Residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS)										

Edgar Filing: HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP INC/DE - Form 10-Q

Agency	3,992	216	(1)	4,207	5.2%	4,283	109	(27)	4,365	5.6%
Non-agency	64		(1)	63	0.1%	78		(3)	75	0.1%
Alt-A	119		(18)	101	0.1%	168		(19)	149	0.2%
Sub-prime	1,391	6	(432)	965	1.2%	1,507		(413)	1,094	1.4%
U.S. Treasuries	3,353	224	(3)	3,574	4.5%	5,159	24	(154)	5,029	6.5%
Fixed maturities, AFS	77,838	5,034	(2,526)	80,263	100.0%	78,419	2,804	(3,364)	77,820	100.0%
Equity securities										
Financial services	510	11	(167)	354		569	4	(127)	446	
Other	583	81	(29)	635		444	88	(5)	527	
Equity securities, AFS	1,093	92	(196)	989		1,013	92	(132)	973	
Total AFS securities	\$ 78,931	\$ 5,126	\$ (2,722)	\$ 81,252		\$ 79,432	\$ 2,896	\$ (3,496)	\$ 78,793	
Fixed maturities, FVO				\$ 1,323					\$ 649	

[1] Represents securities with pools of loans issued by the Small Business Administration which are backed by the full faith and credit of the U.S. government.

[2] Gross unrealized gains (losses) exclude the change in fair value of bifurcated embedded derivative features of certain securities. Subsequent changes in fair value are recorded in net realized capital gains (losses).

The Company continues to reallocate to investment grade corporate securities concentrated in high quality industrial, utility and financial services issuers, while reducing its exposure to U.S. Treasuries, commercial real estate and subordinated financial services securities. The Company's AFS net unrealized position improved primarily as a result of improved security valuations largely due to declining interest rates, partially offset by credit spread widening. Fixed maturities, FVO, represents Japan government securities supporting the Japan fixed annuity product, as well as securities containing an embedded credit derivative for which the Company elected the fair value option. The underlying credit risk of the securities containing credit derivatives are primarily investment grade CRE CDOs and a subordinated position on a basket of corporate bonds. For further discussion on fair value option securities, see Note 4 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

The Company's European investment exposure, excluding hedging derivatives, has an amortized cost and fair value of \$7.6 billion and \$7.9 billion, respectively, as of September 30, 2011 and \$8.0 billion and \$8.3 billion, respectively, as of December 31, 2010. Approximately 85% of this exposure largely relates to corporate entities, primarily industrials, utilities and financial services, which are domiciled in or generated a significant portion of their revenue within the United Kingdom, Switzerland and the Netherlands, the majority of which is US dollar-denominated. The securities that are pound and euro-denominated are hedged to US dollars or support foreign-denominated liabilities. Included in the Company's European investment exposure were investments in Greece, Ireland, Italy, Portugal and Spain (GIIPS) with an amortized cost and fair value of \$704 and \$660, respectively, as of September 30, 2011 and \$922 and \$905, respectively, as of December 31, 2010. The Company holds less than \$1 of corporate securities of companies domiciled in Greece. Additionally, the Company does not hold any sovereign debt exposure in GIIPS.

Not included in the table above is the Company's exposure to equity securities, trading, supporting the Japan variable annuity products. For further information, see Invested Assets of the Investment Results Section of this MD&A. The following sections highlight the Company's significant investment sectors.

Financial Services

The Company's exposure to the financial services sector is predominantly through banking and insurance institutions. The following table presents the Company's exposure to the financial services sector included in the Securities by Type table above.

	September 30, 2011			December 31, 2010		
	Amortized	Fair	Net	Amortized	Fair	Net
	Cost	Value	Unrealized	Cost	Value	Unrealized
AAA	\$ 282	\$ 296	\$ 14	\$ 302	\$ 309	\$ 7
AA	1,983	1,997	14	2,085	2,095	10
A	3,896	3,740	(156)	3,760	3,599	(161)
BBB	1,774	1,612	(162)	1,677	1,518	(159)
BB & below	327	252	(75)	290	253	(37)
Total	\$ 8,262	\$ 7,897	\$ (365)	\$ 8,114	\$ 7,774	\$ (340)

Financial companies continued to stabilize in 2011 due to positive earnings performance, improvement in asset quality and fewer loan defaults. However, spread volatility in the financial sector increased during the third quarter on concerns around European sovereign risks, regulatory pressures and a weaker U.S. macroeconomic environment. Financial institutions remain vulnerable to ongoing stress in the real estate markets including high unemployment and global economic uncertainty, which could adversely impact the Company's net unrealized position. Included in the table above as of September 30, 2011 is \$1.4 billion of high quality European investment exposure, of which only \$20 relates to GIIPS.

Commercial Real Estate

The commercial real estate market continued to show signs of improving fundamentals, such as increases in transaction activities, more readily available financing and new issuances. While delinquencies still remain at historically high levels, they are expected to move lower in early 2012.

The following table presents the Company's exposure to commercial mortgage backed-securities (CMBS) bonds by current credit quality and vintage year, included in the Securities by Type table above. Credit protection represents the current weighted average percentage of the outstanding capital structure subordinated to the Company's investment holding that is available to absorb losses before the security incurs the first dollar loss of principal and excludes any equity interest or property value in excess of outstanding debt.

CMBS Bonds [1]**September 30, 2011**

	AAA		AA		A		BBB		BB and Below		Total	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
2003 & Prior	\$ 441	\$ 448	\$ 159	\$ 155	\$ 84	\$ 82	\$ 16	\$ 13	\$ 33	\$ 30	\$ 733	\$ 728
2004	345	360	71	76	54	49	42	39	12	9	524	533
2005	557	585	126	114	170	144	198	156	76	64	1,127	1,063
2006	736	771	618	551	77	70	383	310	433	345	2,247	2,047
2007	261	272	249	215	122	98	202	152	166	135	1,000	872
2008	55	59									55	59
2010	29	30									29	30
2011	319	332									319	332
Total	\$ 2,743	\$ 2,857	\$ 1,223	\$ 1,111	\$ 507	\$ 443	\$ 841	\$ 670	\$ 720	\$ 583	\$ 6,034	\$ 5,664
Credit protection		27.5%		22.4%		18.7%		13.4%		8.8%		21.5%

Table of Contents**December 31, 2010**

	AAA		AA		A		BBB		BB and Below		Total	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
2003 & Prior	\$ 782	\$ 803	\$ 146	\$ 142	\$ 107	\$ 103	\$ 24	\$ 21	\$ 26	\$ 22	\$ 1,085	\$ 1,091
2004	489	511	35	35	68	61	33	27	6	5	631	639
2005	610	632	131	121	213	177	182	147	123	96	1,259	1,173
2006	1,016	1,050	566	536	256	224	496	416	436	339	2,770	2,565
2007	305	320	278	250	71	55	253	200	278	198	1,185	1,023
2008	55	58									55	58
Total	\$ 3,257	\$ 3,374	\$ 1,156	\$ 1,084	\$ 715	\$ 620	\$ 988	\$ 811	\$ 869	\$ 660	\$ 6,985	\$ 6,549

Credit protection	28.8%	22.5%	13.3%	13.8%	8.0%	21.5%
-------------------	-------	-------	-------	-------	------	-------

[1] The vintage year represents the year the pool of loans was originated.

The Company also has AFS exposure to commercial real estate (CRE) collateralized debt obligations (CDOs) with an amortized cost and fair value of \$502 and \$314, respectively, as of September 30, 2011 and \$653 and \$387, respectively, as of December 31, 2010. These securities are comprised of diversified pools of commercial mortgage loans or equity positions of other CMBS securitizations. Although the Company does not plan to invest in this asset class going forward, we continue to monitor these investments as economic and market uncertainties regarding future performance impacts market liquidity and results in higher risk premiums.

In addition to CMBS bonds and CRE CDOs, the Company has exposure to commercial mortgage loans as presented in the following table. These loans are collateralized by a variety of commercial properties and are diversified both geographically throughout the United States and by property type. These loans may be either in the form of a whole loan, where the Company is the sole lender, or a loan participation. Loan participations are loans where the Company has purchased or retained a portion of an outstanding loan or package of loans and participates on a pro-rata basis in collecting interest and principal pursuant to the terms of the participation agreement. In general, A-Note participations have senior payment priority, followed by B-Note participations and then mezzanine loan participations. As of September 30, 2011, loans within the Company's mortgage loan portfolio that have had extensions or restructurings other than what is allowable under the original terms of the contract are immaterial.

Commercial Mortgage Loans

	September 30, 2011			December 31, 2010		
	Amortized Cost [1]	Valuation Allowance	Carrying Value	Amortized Cost [1]	Valuation Allowance	Carrying Value
Agricultural	\$ 273	\$ (19)	\$ 254	\$ 339	\$ (23)	\$ 316
Whole loans	4,653	(29)	4,624	3,326	(23)	3,303
A-Note participations	266		266	319		319
B-Note participations	300	(66)	234	327	(70)	257
Mezzanine loans	109		109	181	(36)	145
Total [2]	\$ 5,601	\$ (114)	\$ 5,487	\$ 4,492	\$ (152)	\$ 4,340

[1] Amortized cost represents carrying value prior to valuation allowances, if any.

[2] *Includes commercial whole loans and excludes residential mortgage loans related to Federal Trust Corporation. For further information on the total mortgage loan portfolio, see Note 5 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.*

Since December 31, 2010, the Company funded \$1.5 billion of commercial whole loans with a weighted average loan-to-value (LTV) ratio of 63% and a weighted average yield of 4.6%. The Company continues to originate commercial whole loans in primary markets, focusing on loans with strong LTV ratios and high quality property collateral. As of September 30, 2011, the Company had mortgage loans held-for-sale with a carrying value and valuation allowance of \$99 and \$15, respectively.

Municipal Bonds

The Company holds investments in securities backed by states, municipalities and political subdivisions (municipal) with an amortized cost and fair value of \$12.6 billion and \$13.2 billion, respectively, as of September 30, 2011 and \$12.5 billion and \$12.1 billion, respectively, as of December 31, 2010. The Company s municipal bond portfolio primarily consists of high quality essential service revenue and general obligation bonds. As of September 30, 2011, the largest issuer concentrations were the states of California, Massachusetts and Illinois, which each comprised less than 3% of the municipal bond portfolio and were primarily comprised of general obligation bonds. As of December 31, 2010, the largest issuer concentrations were the states of California, Massachusetts and Georgia, which each comprised less than 3% of the municipal bond portfolio and were primarily comprised of general obligation bonds.

Table of Contents***Limited Partnerships and Other Alternative Investments***

The following table presents the Company's investments in limited partnerships and other alternative investments which include hedge funds, mortgage and real estate funds, mezzanine debt funds, and private equity and other funds. Hedge funds include investments in funds of funds and direct funds. Mortgage and real estate funds consist of investments in funds whose assets consist of mortgage loans, mortgage loan participations, mezzanine loans or other notes which may be below investment grade, as well as equity real estate and real estate joint ventures. Mezzanine debt funds include investments in funds whose assets consist of subordinated debt that often incorporates equity-based options such as warrants and a limited amount of direct equity investments. Private equity and other funds primarily consist of investments in funds whose assets typically consist of a diversified pool of investments in small non-public businesses with high growth potential.

	September 30, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent
Hedge funds	\$ 824	32.9%	\$ 439	22.8%
Mortgage and real estate funds	501	20.0%	406	21.2%
Mezzanine debt funds	122	4.9%	132	6.9%
Private equity and other funds	1,059	42.2%	941	49.1%
Total	\$ 2,506	100.0%	\$ 1,918	100.0%

Since December 31, 2010, the increase in hedge funds relate to a newly established fund of hedge funds special purpose entity.

Available-for-Sale Securities Unrealized Loss Aging

The total gross unrealized losses were \$2.7 billion as of September 30, 2011, which is an improvement of \$774, or 22%, from December 31, 2010 as interest rates declined, partially offset by credit spread widening. As of September 30, 2011, \$984 of the gross unrealized losses were associated with securities depressed less than 20% of cost or amortized cost.

The remaining \$1.7 billion of gross unrealized losses were associated with securities depressed greater than 20%, which includes \$212 associated with securities depressed over 50% for twelve months or more. These securities are backed primarily by commercial and residential real estate that have market spreads that continue to be wider than the spreads at the security's respective purchase date. The unrealized losses remain largely due to the continued market and economic uncertainties surrounding residential and certain commercial real estate. Based upon the Company's cash flow modeling and current market and collateral performance assumptions, these securities have sufficient credit protection levels to receive contractually obligated principal and interest payments. Also included in the gross unrealized losses depressed greater than 20% are financial services securities that have a floating-rate coupon and/or long-dated maturities.

As part of the Company's ongoing security monitoring process, the Company has reviewed its AFS securities in an unrealized loss position and concluded that there were no additional impairments as of September 30, 2011 and that these securities are temporarily depressed and are expected to recover in value as the securities approach maturity or as real estate related market spreads continue to improve. For these securities in an unrealized loss position where a credit impairment has not been recorded, the Company's best estimate of expected future cash flows are sufficient to recover the amortized cost basis of the security. Furthermore, the Company neither has an intention to sell nor does it expect to be required to sell these securities. For further information regarding the Company's impairment analysis, see Other-Than-Temporary Impairments in the Investment Credit Risk Section of this MD&A.

The following table presents the Company's unrealized loss aging for AFS securities by length of time the security was in a continuous unrealized loss position.

September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Cost or	Cost or

	Items	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss [1]	Items	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss [1]
Three months or less	1,090	\$ 6,170	\$ 6,013	\$ (157)	1,503	\$ 17,431	\$ 16,783	\$ (643)
Greater than three to six months	299	1,371	1,241	(130)	115	732	690	(42)
Greater than six to nine months	76	267	250	(17)	91	438	397	(41)
Greater than nine to eleven months	113	857	778	(70)	42	185	169	(16)
Twelve months or more	1,020	12,430	10,008	(2,348)	1,231	15,599	12,811	(2,754)
Total	2,598	\$ 21,095	\$ 18,290	\$ (2,722)	2,982	\$ 34,385	\$ 30,850	\$ (3,496)

[1] Unrealized losses exclude the fair value of bifurcated embedded derivative features of certain securities. Subsequent changes in fair value are recorded in net realized capital gains (losses).

Table of Contents

The following tables present the Company's unrealized loss aging for AFS securities continuously depressed over 20% by length of time (included in the table above).

Consecutive Months	Items	September 30, 2011			December 31, 2010			
		Cost or Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss [1]	Cost or Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	
Three months or less	321	\$ 2,506	\$ 1,779	\$ (670)	99	\$ 771	\$ 582	\$ (189)
Greater than three to six months	53	479	324	(155)	22	136	104	(32)
Greater than six to nine months	15	2	1	(1)	28	234	169	(65)
Greater than nine to eleven months	19	43	27	(16)	13	43	32	(11)
Twelve months or more	256	2,179	1,283	(896)	390	4,361	2,766	(1,595)
Total	664	\$ 5,209	\$ 3,414	\$ (1,738)	552	\$ 5,545	\$ 3,653	\$ (1,892)

[1] Unrealized losses exclude the fair value of bifurcated embedded derivative features of certain securities.

Subsequent changes in value will be recorded in net realized capital gains (losses).

The following tables present the Company's unrealized loss aging for AFS securities continuously depressed over 50% by length of time (included in the tables above).

Consecutive Months	Items	September 30, 2011			December 31, 2010			
		Cost or Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	Cost or Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	
Three months or less	40	\$ 173	\$ 77	\$ (96)	20	\$ 27	\$ 12	\$ (15)
Greater than three to six months	9	34	12	(22)	1	2	1	(1)
Greater than six to nine months	7	9	2	(7)	12	65	29	(36)
Greater than nine to eleven months	7	14	5	(9)				
Twelve months or more	58	304	92	(212)	94	722	260	(462)
Total	121	\$ 534	\$ 188	\$ (346)	127	\$ 816	\$ 302	\$ (514)

Other-Than-Temporary Impairments

The following table presents the Company's impairments recognized in earnings by security type.

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010
ABS	\$ 13	\$ 26	\$ 23	\$ 5
CRE CDOs	26	49	41	142

CMBS					
Bonds	2	44	16	155	
IOs		1	3	2	
Corporate	19	15	40	21	
Equity			10	5	
RMBS					
Non-agency		1		2	
Alt-A		1		10	
Sub-prime		3	3	32	
Other		1	2	1	
Total	\$ 60	\$ 115	\$ 138	\$ 375	

Three and Nine Months ended September 30, 2011

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, impairments recognized in earnings were comprised of credit impairments of \$42 and \$103, respectively, impairments on equity securities of \$0 and \$10, respectively, and securities that the Company intends to sell of \$18 and \$25, respectively.

Credit impairments were primarily concentrated in structured securities associated with commercial real estate, as well as direct private equity investments. The structured securities were impaired primarily due to property-specific deterioration of the underlying collateral. The Company calculated these impairments utilizing both a top down modeling approach and a security-specific collateral review. The top down modeling approach used discounted cash flow models that considered losses under current and expected future economic conditions. Assumptions used over the current period included macroeconomic factors, such as a high unemployment rate, as well as sector specific factors such as property value declines, commercial real estate delinquency levels and changes in net operating income. The macroeconomic assumptions considered by the Company did not materially change from the previous several quarters and, as such, the credit impairments recognized for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 were largely driven by actual or expected collateral deterioration, largely as a result of the Company's security-specific collateral review.

Table of Contents

The security-specific collateral review is performed to estimate potential future losses. This review incorporates assumptions about expected future collateral cash flows, including projected rental rates and occupancy levels that varied based on property type and sub-market. The results of the security-specific collateral review allowed the Company to estimate the expected timing of a security's first loss, if any, and the probability and severity of potential ultimate losses. The Company then discounted these anticipated future cash flows at the security's book yield prior to impairment.

Included in corporate and equity security types were direct private equity investments that were impaired primarily due to the likelihood of a disruption in contractual principal and interest payments due to the restructuring of the debtor's obligation. Impairments on equity securities were related to preferred stock associated with these direct private equity investments.

Impairments on securities for which the Company has the intent to sell consisted of corporate financial services securities and ABS collateralized by aircraft where the Company anticipates reducing its exposure to certain investments.

In addition to the credit impairments recognized in earnings, the Company recognized non-credit impairments in other comprehensive income of \$11 and \$83, respectively, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, predominantly concentrated in CRE CDOs and RMBS. These non-credit impairments represent the difference between fair value and the Company's best estimate of expected future cash flows discounted at the security's effective yield prior to impairment, rather than at current market implied credit spreads. These non-credit impairments primarily represent increases in market liquidity premiums and credit spread widening that occurred after the securities were purchased, as well as a discount for variable-rate coupons which are paying less than at purchase date. In general, larger liquidity premiums and wider credit spreads are the result of deterioration of the underlying collateral performance of the securities, as well as the risk premium required to reflect future uncertainty in the real estate market.

Future impairments may develop as the result of changes in intent to sell of specific securities or if actual results underperform current modeling assumptions, which may be the result of, but are not limited to, macroeconomic factors and security-specific performance below current expectations. Continued improvement in commercial real estate property valuations will positively impact future loss development, with future impairments driven by idiosyncratic security-specific risk.

Three and Nine Months ended September 30, 2010

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, impairments recognized in earnings were comprised of credit impairments of \$71 and \$326, respectively, primarily concentrated in CMBS bonds and CRE CDOs due to continued property-specific deterioration of the underlying collateral and increased delinquencies. Also included were impairments on debt securities for which the Company intended to sell of \$44 and \$44, respectively, as the Company continued to reduce its exposure to certain variable-rate CMBS, as well as impairments on equity securities of \$0 and \$5, respectively.

Valuation Allowances on Mortgage Loans

The following table presents (additions) and reductions to valuation allowances on mortgage loans.

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Credit-related concerns	\$	\$ (4)	\$ 26	\$ (72)
Held for sale				
Agricultural loans			(3)	(10)
B-note participations				(22)
Mezzanine loans				(52)
Total	\$	\$ (4)	\$ 23	\$ (156)

For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, valuation allowances on mortgage loans were largely driven by the release of a reserve associated with the sale of a previously reserved for mezzanine loan. Excluded from the table above are valuation allowances associated with mortgage loans held-for-sale related to the divestiture of Federal Trust Corporation. For further information regarding the divestiture of Federal Trust Corporation, see Note 12 of the Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. Continued improvement in commercial real estate property valuations will positively impact future loss development, with future impairments driven by idiosyncratic loan-specific risk.

Table of Contents

CAPITAL MARKETS RISK MANAGEMENT

The Company has a disciplined approach to managing risks associated with its capital markets and asset/liability management activities. Investment portfolio management is organized to focus investment management expertise on the specific classes of investments. The Company invests in various types of investments including derivative instruments, in order to meet its portfolio objectives. Derivative instruments are utilized in compliance with established Company policy and regulatory requirements and are monitored internally and reviewed by senior management.

The Company utilizes a variety of over-the-counter and exchange traded derivative instruments as a part of its overall risk management strategy, as well as to enter into replication transactions. Derivative instruments are used to manage risk associated with interest rate, equity market, credit spread, issuer default, price, and currency exchange rate risk or volatility. Replication transactions are used as an economical means to synthetically replicate the characteristics and performance of assets that would otherwise be permissible investments under the Company's investment policies. For further information, see Note 5 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Derivative activities are monitored and evaluated by the Company's compliance and risk management teams and reviewed by senior management. In addition, the Company monitors counterparty credit exposure on a monthly basis to ensure compliance with Company policies and statutory limitations. The notional amounts of derivative contracts represent the basis upon which pay or receive amounts are calculated and are not reflective of credit risk. For further information on the Company's use of derivatives, see Note 5 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Market Risk

The Company is exposed to market risk associated with changes in interest rates, credit spreads including issuer defaults, equity prices or market indices, and foreign currency exchange rates. The Company is also exposed to credit and counterparty repayment risk. Derivative instruments are utilized in compliance with established Company policy and regulatory requirements and are monitored internally and reviewed by senior management. For further discussion of market risk, see the Capital Markets Risk Management section of the MD&A in The Hartford's 2010 Form 10-K Annual Report.

Interest Rate Risk

The Company manages its exposure to interest rate risk by constructing investment portfolios that maintain asset allocation limits and asset/liability duration matching targets which may include the use of derivatives. The Company analyzes interest rate risk using various models including parametric models and cash flow simulation under various market scenarios of the liabilities and their supporting investment portfolios, which may include derivative instruments. For further discussion of interest rate risk, see the Interest Rate Risk discussion within the Capital Markets Risk Management section of the MD&A in The Hartford's 2010 Form 10-K Annual Report.

The Company is also exposed to interest rate risk based upon the discount rate assumption associated with the Company's pension and other postretirement benefit obligations. The discount rate assumption is based upon an interest rate yield curve comprised of bonds rated Aa with maturities primarily between zero and thirty years. For further discussion of interest rate risk associated with the benefit obligations, see the Critical Accounting Estimates Section of the MD&A under Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Obligations and Note 17 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in The Hartford's 2010 Form 10-K Annual Report. In addition, management evaluates performance of certain Wealth Management products based on net investment spread which is, in part, influenced by changes in interest rates. For further discussion, see the Global Annuity, Life Insurance, and Retirement Plans sections of the MD&A.

A decline in interest rates results in certain mortgage-backed securities being more susceptible to paydowns and prepayments. During such periods, the Company generally will not be able to reinvest the proceeds at comparable yields. Lower interest rates will also likely result in lower net investment income, increased hedging cost associated with variable annuities and, if declines are sustained for a long period of time, it may subject the Company to reinvestment risks, higher pension costs expense and possibly reduced profit margins associated with guaranteed crediting rates on certain Wealth Management products. Conversely, the fair value of the investment portfolio will increase when interest rates decline.

An increase in interest rates from the current levels is generally a favorable development for the Company. Rate increases are expected to provide additional net investment income, increase sales of fixed rate Wealth Management investment products, reduce the cost of the variable annuity hedging program, limit the potential risk of margin erosion due to minimum guaranteed crediting rates in certain Wealth Management products and, if sustained, could reduce the Company's prospective pension expense. Conversely, a rise in interest rates will reduce the fair value of the investment portfolio, increase interest expense on the Company's variable rate debt obligations and, if long-term interest rates rise dramatically within a six to twelve month time period, certain Wealth Management businesses may be exposed to disintermediation risk. Disintermediation risk refers to the risk that policyholders will surrender their contracts in a rising interest rate environment requiring the Company to liquidate assets in an unrealized loss position. In conjunction with the interest rate risk measurement and management techniques, certain of Wealth Management's fixed income product offerings have market value adjustment provisions at contract surrender. An increase in interest rates may also impact the Company's tax planning strategies and in particular its ability to utilize tax benefits to offset certain previously recognized realized capital losses.

Table of Contents

Credit Risk

The Company is exposed to credit risk within our investment portfolio and through counterparties. Credit risk relates to the uncertainty of an obligor's continued ability to make timely payments in accordance with the contractual terms of the instrument or contract. The Company manages credit risk through established investment credit policies which address quality of obligors and counterparties, credit concentration limits, diversification requirements and acceptable risk levels under expected and stressed scenarios. These policies are regularly reviewed and approved by the Enterprise Risk Management group and senior management. For further discussion of credit risk, see the Credit Risk section of the MD&A in The Hartford's 2010 Form 10-K Annual Report.

For further information on credit risk associated with derivatives, see the Investment Credit Risk section of the MD&A.

The Company is also exposed to credit spread risk related to security market price and cash flows associated with changes in credit spreads. Credit spread widening will reduce the fair value of the investment portfolio and will increase net investment income on new purchases. If issuer credit spreads increase significantly or for an extended period of time, it may result in higher impairment losses. Credit spread tightening will reduce net investment income associated with new purchases of fixed maturities and increase the fair value of the investment portfolio. For further discussion of sectors most significantly impacted, see the Investment Credit Risk Section of the MD&A. Also, for a discussion of the movement of credit spread impacts on the Company's statutory financial results as it relates to the accounting and reporting for market value fixed annuities, see the Capital Resources & Liquidity Section of the MD&A.

Variable Product Equity Risk

The Company's variable products are significantly influenced by the U.S., Japanese, and other equity markets. Increases or declines in equity markets impact certain assets and liabilities related to the Company's variable products and the Company's earnings derived from those products. The Company's variable products include variable annuity contracts, mutual funds, and variable life insurance.

Generally, declines in equity markets will:

- reduce the value of assets under management and the amount of fee income generated from those assets;
- reduce the value of equity securities trading supporting the international variable annuities, the related policyholder funds and benefits payable, and the amount of fee income generated from those variable annuities;
- increase the liability for GMWB benefits resulting in realized capital losses;
- increase the value of derivative assets used to hedge product guarantees resulting in realized capital gains;
- increase the costs of the hedging instruments we use in our hedging program;
- increase the Company's net amount at risk for GMDB and GMIB benefits;
- decrease the Company's actual gross profits, resulting in increased DAC amortization;
- increase the amount of required assets to be held backing variable annuity guarantees to maintain required regulatory reserve levels and targeted risk based capital ratios;
- adversely affect customer sentiment toward equity-linked products, causing a decline in sales; and
- decrease the Company's estimated future gross profits. See Estimated Gross Profits Used in the Valuation and Amortization of Assets and Liabilities Associated with Variable Annuity and Other Universal Life-Type Contracts within the Critical Accounting Estimates section of the MD&A for further information.

Generally, increases in equity markets will reduce the value of the dynamic hedge programs and macro hedge derivative assets, resulting in realized capital losses during periods of market appreciation.

GMWB

The majority of the Company's U.S. and U.K. variable annuities, and a small portion of Japan's variable annuities, include a GMWB rider. Declines in equity markets will generally increase the Company's liability for the in-force GMWB riders. As of September 30, 2011, U.S. GMWB account value was \$35.6 billion and International GMWB account value was \$2.2 billion. As of December 31, 2010, U.S. GMWB account value was \$44.8 billion and International GMWB account value was \$2.5 billion. A GMWB contract is in the money if the contract holder's guaranteed remaining benefit (GRB) is greater than their current account value. As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, 56% and 35%, respectively, of all unreinsured U.S. GMWB contracts were in the money . For

those contracts that were in the money, the average contract was 14% and 9% in the money as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. For U.S. GMWB contracts that were in the money, the Company's net amount at risk (i.e. GRB less account value), after reinsurance, as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, was \$2.5 billion and \$1.1 billion, respectively. For U.K. and Japan GMWB contracts that were in the money, the Company's net amount at risk, after reinsurance, as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, was \$159 and \$73, respectively. However, the Company expects to incur these payments in the future only if the policyholder has an in the money GMWB at their death or their account value is reduced to a specified level, through contractually permitted withdrawals and/or market declines. If the account value is reduced to the specified level, the contract holder will receive an annuity equal to the remaining GRB. For the Company's life-time GMWB products, this annuity can continue beyond the GRB. As the account value fluctuates with equity market returns on a daily basis and the life-time GMWB payments can exceed the GRB, the ultimate amount to be paid by the Company, if any, is uncertain and could be significantly more or less than the Company's current carried liability. For additional information on the Company's GMWB liability, see Note 4a of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**GMDB**

The majority of the Company's U.S. individual variable annuity contracts include a GMDB rider. Declines in the equity markets will increase the Company's liability for GMDB riders. The Company's total gross exposure (i.e., before reinsurance) to U.S. GMDB as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 is \$15.8 billion and \$10.7 billion, respectively. However, the Company will incur these payments in the future only if the policyholder has an in the money GMDB at their death. As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, 82% and 70%, respectively, of all unreinsured U.S. GMDB contracts were in the money. For those contracts that were in the money, the average contract was 19% and 12% in the money as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. The Company reinsured 55% and 60% of these death benefit guarantees as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. Under certain of these reinsurance agreements, the reinsurers' exposure is subject to an annual cap. The Company's net exposure (i.e., after reinsurance), is \$7.2 billion and \$4.3 billion, as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

In the second quarter of 2009, the Company suspended all new product sales in Japan. Prior to that, the Company offered variable annuity products in Japan with a GMDB. For the in-force block of Japan business, declines in equity and bond markets, as well as a strengthening of the Japanese yen in comparison to the U.S. dollar, the euro and other currencies will increase the Company's liability for GMDB riders. This increase may be significant in extreme market scenarios. In general, the GMDB riders entitle the policyholder to receive the original investment value at the date of death. If the original investment value exceeds the account value upon death then the contract is in the money. As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, substantially all of the unreinsured Japan GMDB contracts were in the money. For those contracts that were in the money, the average contract was 27% and 22% in the money as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. The Company's total gross exposure (i.e., before reinsurance) to the GMDB offered in Japan is \$11.0 billion and \$8.8 billion as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. The Company reinsured 13% and 14% of the GMDB to a third-party reinsurer as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. Under certain of these reinsurance agreements, the reinsurers' exposure is subject to an annual cap. The Company's net GMDB exposure (i.e. after reinsurance) is \$9.6 billion and \$7.6 billion as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. Many policyholders with a GMDB also have a GMWB in the U.S. or GMIB in Japan. Policyholders that have a product that offer both guarantees can only receive the GMDB or the GMIB benefit in Japan or the GMDB or GMWB in the U.S. For additional information on the Company's GMDB liability, see Note 7 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

GMIB

In the second quarter of 2009, the Company suspended all new product sales in Japan. Prior to that, the Company offered variable annuity products in Japan with a GMIB. For GMIB contracts, in general, the policyholder has the right to elect to annuitize benefits, beginning (for certain products) on the tenth or fifteenth anniversary year of contract commencement, receive lump sum payment of account value, or remain in the variable sub-account. For GMIB contracts, the policyholder is entitled to receive the original investment value over a 10- to 15- year annuitization period. A small percentage of the contracts will first become eligible to elect annuitization beginning in 2013. The remainder of the contracts will first become eligible to elect annuitization from 2014 to 2022. Because policyholders have various contractual rights to defer their annuitization election, the period over which annuitization election can take place is subject to policyholder behavior and therefore indeterminate. In addition, upon annuitization the contractholder surrenders access to the account value and the account value is transferred to the Company's general account where it is invested and the additional investment proceeds are used towards payment of the original investment value. If the original investment value exceeds the account value upon annuitization then the contract is in the money. As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, substantially all of the Japan GMIB contracts were in the money. For those contracts that were in the money, the average contract was 22% and 17% in the money as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. In addition, as of September 30, 2011, 66% of retained net amount at risk is reinsured to an affiliate of The Hartford. For additional information on the Company's GMIB liability, see Note 9 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

The following table represents the timing of account values eligible for annuitization under the Japan GMIB as of September 30, 2011, as well as the retained net amount at risk. The account values reflect 100% annuitization at the earliest point allowed by the contract and no adjustments for future market returns and policyholder behaviors. Future market returns, changes in the value of the Japanese yen and policyholder behaviors will impact account values eligible for annuitization in the years presented.

(\$ in billions)	GMIB [1]	
	Account Value	Net Amount at Risk
2013	\$ 0.3	\$
2014	4.6	0.9
2015	7.4	2.0
2016	2.5	0.8
2017	2.8	1.0
2018 & beyond [2]	6.8	2.1
Total	\$ 24.4	\$ 6.8

[1] Excludes certain non-GMIB living benefits of \$3.0 billion of account value and \$0.9 billion of net amount at risk.

[2] In 2018 & beyond, \$2.6 billion of the \$6.8 billion is primarily associated with account value that is eligible in 2021.

Table of Contents**Variable Product Equity Risk Management****Market Risk Exposures**

The following table summarizes the broad Variable Annuity Guarantees offered by the Company and the market risks to which the guarantee is most exposed from a U.S. GAAP accounting perspective.

Variable Annuity Guarantee [1]	U.S. GAAP Treatment [1]	Primary Market Risk Exposures [1]
<i>U.S. Variable Guarantees</i>		
GMDB	Accumulation of the portion of fees required to cover expected claims, less accumulation of actual claims paid	Equity Market Levels
GMWB	Fair Value	Equity Market Levels / Implied Volatility / Interest Rates
For Life Component of GMWB	Accumulation of the portion of fees required to cover expected claims, less accumulation of actual claims paid	Equity Market Levels
<i>International Variable Guarantees</i>		
GMDB & GMIB	Accumulation of the portion of fees required to cover expected claims, less accumulation of actual claims paid	Equity Market Levels / Interest Rates / Foreign Currency
GMWB	Fair Value	Equity Market Levels / Implied Volatility / Interest Rates / Foreign Currency
GMAB	Fair Value	Equity Market Levels / Implied Volatility / Interest Rates / Foreign Currency

[1] Each of these guarantees and the related U.S. GAAP accounting volatility will also be influenced by actual and estimated policyholder behavior.

Risk Management Approach

The Company analyzes and evaluates GMDB, GMWB, GMIB, and GMAB market risk exposures arising from equity markets, interest rates, implied volatility, foreign currency exchange risk, and correlation between these market risk exposures. As part of the Company's risk management strategy, we manage or limit our exposure to certain of the risks associated with our global variable annuity products, primarily through a combination of product design elements, reinsurance, and hedging.

The Company's variable annuity hedging is primarily focused on reducing the economic exposure to market risks associated with guaranteed benefits that are embedded in our global VA contracts. The variable annuity hedging also considers the potential impacts on Statutory accounting results.

The following table depicts the type of hedges being used by the Company to either partially or fully mitigate market risk embedded in our global variable annuity guarantees as of September 30, 2011:

Variable Annuity Guarantee	Reinsurance	Customized Derivative	Dynamic Hedging	Macro Hedging
GMDB	ü		ü	ü

GMWB	ü	ü	ü	ü
For Life Component of GMWB				ü
GMIB			ü	ü
GMAB			ü	ü

Table of Contents

Reinsurance

The Company uses reinsurance for a portion of contracts with GMWB riders issued prior to the third quarter of 2003 and GMWB risks associated with a block of business sold between the third quarter of 2003 and the second quarter of 2006. The Company also uses reinsurance for a majority of the GMDB issued in the U.S. and a portion of the GMDB issued in Japan

Derivative Hedging Strategies

The Company maintains derivative hedging strategies to reduce the economic exposure to market risks associated with guaranteed benefits that are embedded in our global VA contracts. The variable annuity hedging also considers the potential impacts on Statutory accounting results.

GMWB Hedge Program

The Company enters into derivative contracts to hedge market risk exposures associated with the GMWB liabilities that are not reinsured. These derivative contracts include customized swaps, interest rate swaps and futures, and equity swaps, options, and futures, on certain indices including the S&P 500 index, EAFE index, and NASDAQ index.

Additionally, the Company holds customized derivative contracts to provide protection from certain capital market risks for the remaining term of specified blocks of non-reinsured GMWB riders. These customized derivative contracts are based on policyholder behavior assumptions specified at the inception of the derivative contracts. The Company retains the risk for differences between assumed and actual policyholder behavior and between the performance of the actively managed funds underlying the separate accounts and their respective indices.

While the Company actively manages this dynamic hedging program, increased U.S. GAAP earnings volatility may result from factors including, but not limited to: policyholder behavior, capital markets, divergence between the performance of the underlying funds and the hedging indices, changes in hedging positions and the relative emphasis placed on various risk management objectives.

Japan Hedge Program

The Company enters into derivative contracts to hedge market risk exposures associated with the guaranteed benefits which are embedded in the Japan variable annuity contracts. These derivative contracts include foreign currency forwards and options, interest rate swaps and futures, and equity swaps, options, and futures on certain broadly traded global equity indices including the S&P500 index, Nikkei 225 index, FTSE 100 index, and Euro Stoxx 50. During the quarter, the Company increased its equity, currency, and interest rate hedge coverages.

While the Company actively manages this dynamic hedging program, increased U.S. GAAP earnings volatility may result from factors including, but not limited to: focus on reducing the economic exposure to market risks associated with guaranteed benefits, capital markets, changes in hedging positions and the relative emphasis placed on various risk management objectives.

Macro Hedge Program

The Company's macro hedging program uses derivative instruments such as options, futures, swaps, and forwards on equities and interest rates to provide protection against the statutory tail scenario risk arising from U.S., and U.K. GMWB and GMDB liabilities, on the Company's statutory surplus. These macro hedges cover some of the residual risks not otherwise covered by specific dynamic hedging programs. Management assesses this residual risk under various scenarios in designing and executing the macro hedge program. The macro hedge program will result in additional U.S. GAAP earnings volatility as changes in the value of the macro hedge derivatives, which are designed to reduce statutory reserve and capital volatility, may not be closely aligned to changes in U.S. GAAP liabilities.

Table of Contents

Based on the construction of the Company's derivative hedging programs, which can change based on capital market conditions, and changes in the hedging program, underlying exposures and other factors, an independent change in the following capital market factors is likely to have the impacts in the table below. These sensitivities do not capture the impact of elapsed time on liabilities or hedge assets. Each of the sensitivities set forth below is estimated individually under the indicated level of market movement and from the market levels at June 30, 2011 and September 30, 2011, and without consideration of any correlation among the key assumptions. In addition, there are other factors, including changes to the underlying hedging program, policyholder behavior and variation in underlying fund performance relative to the hedged index, which could materially impact the liability. As a result, these sensitivities do not necessarily reflect the financial impact from large shifts in the underlying indices or when multiple risk factors are impacted. Actual net changes in the value of the liability, the related dynamic hedging program derivative assets and the macro hedge program derivative assets may vary materially from those calculated using only the sensitivities disclosed below:

Capital Market Factor	Net Realized Capital Gain (Loss), Pre-Tax and DAC							
	GMWB Hedge Program	Japan Hedge Program [6]	Macro Hedge Program [6]	Total Net Impact	GMWB Hedge Program	Japan Hedge Program [6]	Macro Hedge Program [6]	Total Net Impact
	Expected for third quarter 2011 based on June 30, 2011				Expected for fourth quarter 2011 based on September 30, 2011			
Equity markets increase / decrease 1% [1]	\$(1) / \$1	\$(23) / \$23	\$(10) / \$10	\$(34) / \$34	\$(1) / \$1	\$(47) / \$47	\$(12) / \$12	\$(60) / \$60
Volatility increases / decreases 1% [2]	\$(23) / \$23	/	\$8 / \$(8)	\$(15) / \$15	\$(31) / \$31	\$5 / \$(5)	\$(2) / \$2	\$(28) / \$28
Interest rates increase / decrease 1 basis point [4]	\$2 / \$(2)	\$(2) / \$2	\$(1) / \$1	\$(1) / \$1	\$3 / \$(3)	\$(6) / \$6	\$(1) / \$1	\$(4) / \$4
Yen strengthens / weakens by 1% [5]	N/A	\$53 / \$(53)	N/A	\$53 / \$(53)	N/A	\$90 / \$(90)	N/A	\$90 / \$(90)

[1] Represents the aggregate net impact of a 1% increase or decrease in broadly traded global equity indices.

[2] The increase in equity sensitivity was primarily due to changes in market conditions, the structure of the Japan and macro hedging programs and additional purchase of macro hedges during the third quarter of 2011.

[3] Represents the aggregate net impact of a 1% increase or decrease in blended implied volatility that is generally skewed towards longer durations for broadly traded global equity indices. The increase in volatility sensitivity was primarily due to higher equity implied volatility during the third quarter 2011.

[4] Represents the aggregate net impact of a 1 basis point parallel shift on the global LIBOR yield curve. The increase in interest rate sensitivity was primarily due to additional purchases of interest rate hedges during the third quarter of 2011.

[5]

Represents the aggregate net impact of a 1% strengthening or weakening in the yen compared to all other currencies. The increase in currency sensitivity was primarily due to additional currency positions added and a strengthening Yen during the third quarter 2011.

[6] The sensitivities associated with the Japan Hedge Program and the Macro Hedge Program have no offsetting mark-to-market liabilities as the liabilities are not carried at fair value. Prior to the third quarter of 2011, the Japan hedge program was part of the macro hedge program.

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2011
Summary of Hedging Net Realized Capital Gain (Loss), Pre-Tax	
GMWB Hedge Program	\$ (372)
Japan Hedge Program [1]	1,088
Macro Hedge Program [1]	205
Total net realized capital gain, pre-tax	\$ 921

[1] The actual Japan Hedge Program and Macro Hedge Program results have been reported in our investment results in Note 5 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and within the Investment Results section of Key Performance Measures and Ratios in the MD&A as \$1,237 of Macro Hedge results and \$56 of Japan variable annuity foreign exchange hedging instruments which is included in Other, net .

For the three months ended September 30, 2011, the net realized pre-tax gain of \$921 related to the Company's variable annuity hedge programs was primarily comprised of the following.

A net realized capital gain, pre-tax of \$1.1 billion associated with the Japan VA Hedge Program primarily due to a decrease in global equity levels and a strengthened Yen.

A net realized capital loss, pre-tax of (\$372) related to the net of GMWB derivatives primarily as a result of a general decrease in long-term interest rates.

Table of Contents**Actual Hedging Net Realized Capital Gains (Losses), Pre-Tax vs. Predicted using Sensitivity**

Predicted earnings impact for the three months ended September 30, 2011 used the following market movements in its calculation. For GMWB and Macro Hedge Programs, the by market driver attribution was calculated using the changes in the S&P 500 index and U.S. swap rates. For Japan Hedge Program, the by market driver attribution was calculated using the changes in global equity indices, Japanese swap rates, and Yen movements against the U.S. dollar and the Euro.

	Predicted Earnings Impact Three Months Ended September 30, 2011 Total	
GMWB Net Liability, Japan, and Macro Programs		
Equity markets	\$	492
Volatility		83
Interest rates		(93)
Yen		426
Total implied net realized capital gain (loss), pre-tax [1]	\$	908
Actual reported net realized capital gain (loss), pre-tax [1]	\$	921

[1] For the three months ended September 30, 2011, the major factors to the variance in the actual reported result and the implied sensitivities calculation pre-tax net realized gain/(loss) were attributed to the following: (i) the impact of elapsed time on short duration hedge assets, (ii) hedging activities including timing of rebalancing, trading, and changes in the composition of the underlying hedging instruments, and (iii) partially offset by favorable policyholder behavior.

Additional factors attributed to the variance include non-parallel shifts in capital market factors, specific market index and interest rate movements, interest rate and currency volatilities, variation in the underlying fund performance relative to the hedged indices, changes in The Hartford's own credit, and changes in Non-U.S. GMWB fair value liabilities. This difference may vary materially from quarter-to-quarter.

Table of Contents**Market Risk on Statutory Capital**

Statutory surplus amounts and RBC ratios may increase or decrease in any period depending upon a variety of factors and may be compounded in extreme scenarios or if multiple factors occur at the same time. At times the impact of changes in certain market factors or a combination of multiple factors on RBC ratios can be counterintuitive. Factors include:

In general, as equity market levels and interest rates decline, the amount and volatility of both our actual potential obligation, as well as the related statutory surplus and capital margin for death and living benefit guarantees associated with U.S. variable annuity contracts can be materially negatively affected, sometimes at a greater than linear rate. Other market factors that can impact statutory surplus, reserve levels and capital margin include differences in performance of variable subaccounts relative to indices and/or realized equity and interest rate volatilities. In addition, as equity market levels increase, generally surplus levels will increase. RBC ratios will also tend to increase when equity markets increase. However, as a result of a number of factors and market conditions, including the level of hedging costs and other risk transfer activities, reserve requirements for death and living benefit guarantees and RBC requirements could increase with rising equity markets, resulting in lower RBC ratios. Non-market factors, which can also impact the amount and volatility of both our actual potential obligation, as well as the related statutory surplus and capital margin, include actual and estimated policyholder behavior experience as it pertains to lapsation, partial withdrawals, and mortality.

Similarly, for guaranteed benefits (GMDB, GMIB and GMWB) reinsured from our international operations to our U.S. insurance subsidiaries, the amount and volatility of both our actual potential obligation, as well as the related statutory surplus and capital margin can be materially affected by a variety of factors, both market and non-market. Market factors include declines in various equity market indices and interest rates, changes in value of the yen versus other global currencies, difference in the performance of variable subaccounts relative to indices, and increases in realized equity, interest rate, and currency volatilities. Non-market factors include actual and estimated policyholder behavior experience as it pertains to lapsation, withdrawals, mortality, and annuitization. Risk mitigation activities, such as hedging, may also result in material and sometimes counterintuitive impacts on statutory surplus and capital margin. Notably, as changes in these market and non-market factors occur, both our potential obligation and the related statutory reserves and/or required capital can increase or decrease at a greater than linear rate.

As the value of certain fixed-income and equity securities in our investment portfolio decreases, due in part to credit spread widening, statutory surplus and RBC ratios may decrease.

As the value of certain derivative instruments that do not get hedge accounting decreases, statutory surplus and RBC ratios may decrease.

The life insurance subsidiaries' exposure to foreign currency exchange risk exists with respect to non-U.S. dollar denominated assets and liabilities. Assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies are accounted for at their U.S. dollar equivalent values using exchange rates at the balance sheet date. As foreign currency exchange rates vary in comparison to the U.S. dollar, the remeasured value of those non-dollar denominated assets or liabilities will also vary, causing an increase or decrease to statutory surplus.

Our statutory surplus is also impacted by widening credit spreads as a result of the accounting for the assets and liabilities in our fixed market value adjusted (MVA) annuities. Statutory separate account assets supporting the fixed MVA annuities are recorded at fair value. In determining the statutory reserve for the fixed MVA annuities, we are required to use current crediting rates in the U.S. and Japanese LIBOR in Japan. In many capital market scenarios, current crediting rates in the U.S. are highly correlated with market rates implicit in the fair value of statutory separate account assets. As a result, the change in statutory reserve from period to period will likely substantially offset the change in the fair value of the statutory separate account assets. However, in periods of volatile credit markets, such as we have experienced, actual credit spreads on investment assets may increase sharply for certain sub-sectors of the overall credit market, resulting in statutory separate account asset market value losses. As actual credit spreads are not fully reflected in the current crediting rates in the U.S. or Japanese LIBOR in Japan, the calculation of statutory reserves will not substantially offset the change in fair value of the statutory separate account assets resulting in reductions in statutory surplus. This has resulted and may continue

to result in the need to devote significant additional capital to support the product.

With respect to our fixed annuity business, sustained low interest rates may result in a reduction in statutory surplus and an increase in National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC) required capital.

Most of these factors are outside of the Company's control. The Company's financial strength and credit ratings are significantly influenced by the statutory surplus amounts and RBC ratios of our insurance company subsidiaries. In addition, rating agencies may implement changes to their internal models that have the effect of increasing or decreasing the amount of statutory capital we must hold in order to maintain our current ratings.

The Company has reinsured approximately 20% of its risk associated with U.S. GMWB and 63% of its risk associated with the aggregate U.S. GMDB exposure. These reinsurance agreements serve to reduce the Company's exposure to changes in the statutory reserves and the related capital and RBC ratios associated with changes in the capital market. The Company also continues to explore other solutions for mitigating the capital market risk effect on surplus, such as internal and external reinsurance solutions, modifications to our hedging program, changes in product design, increasing pricing and expense management.

Table of Contents***Derivative Instruments***

The Company utilizes a variety of derivative instruments, including swaps, caps, floors, forwards, futures and options through one of four Company-approved objectives: to hedge risk arising from interest rate, equity market, credit spread including issuer default, price or currency exchange rate risk or volatility; to manage liquidity; to control transaction costs; or to enter into replication transactions.

Further downgrades to the credit ratings of The Hartford's insurance operating companies may have adverse implications for its use of derivatives including those used to hedge benefit guarantees of variable annuities. In some cases, further downgrades may give derivative counterparties the unilateral contractual right to cancel and settle outstanding derivative trades or require additional collateral to be posted. In addition, further downgrades may result in counterparties becoming unwilling to engage in additional over-the-counter (OTC) derivatives or may require collateralization before entering into any new trades. This will restrict the supply of derivative instruments commonly used to hedge variable annuity guarantees, particularly long-dated equity derivatives and interest rate swaps. Under these circumstances, The Hartford's operating subsidiaries could conduct hedging activity using a combination of cash and exchange-traded instruments, in addition to using the available OTC derivatives.

Foreign Currency Exchange Risk

The Company's foreign currency exchange risk is related to non U.S. dollar denominated investments, which primarily consist of fixed maturity investments, the investment in and net income of the Japanese and U.K. operations, and non-U.S. dollar denominated liability contracts, including its GMDB, GMAB, GMWB and GMIB benefits associated with its Japanese and U.K. variable annuities, and a yen denominated individual fixed annuity product. Also, foreign currency exchange rate risk is inherent when the Japan policyholders' variable annuity sub-account investments are non-Japanese yen denominated securities while the related GMDB and GMIB guarantees are effectively yen-denominated. A portion of the Company's foreign currency exposure is mitigated through the use of derivatives.

Fixed Maturity Investments

The risk associated with the non-U.S. dollar denominated fixed maturities relates to potential decreases in value and income resulting from unfavorable changes in foreign exchange rates. In order to manage its currency exposures, the Company enters into foreign currency swaps and forwards to hedge the variability in cash flows as fair value associated with certain foreign denominated fixed maturities declines. These foreign currency swap and forward agreements are structured to match the foreign currency cash flows of the hedged foreign denominated securities.

Liabilities

The Company issued non-U.S. dollar denominated funding agreement liability contracts, and hedges the foreign currency risk associated with these liability contracts with currency rate swaps.

The yen based fixed annuity product was written by Hartford Life Insurance K.K. (HLIKK), a wholly-owned Japanese subsidiary of Hartford Life, Inc. (HLI), and subsequently reinsured to Hartford Life Insurance Company, a U.S. dollar based wholly-owned indirect subsidiary of HLI. In 2009, the Company suspended new sales of the Japan business. The underlying investment involves investing in U.S. securities markets, which offer favorable credit spreads. The yen denominated fixed annuity product (yen fixed annuities) is recorded in the consolidated balance sheets with invested assets denominated in dollars while policyholder liabilities are denominated in yen and converted to U.S. dollars based upon the September 30, yen to U.S. dollar spot rate. The difference between U.S. dollar denominated investments and yen denominated liabilities exposes the Company to currency risk. The Company manages this currency risk associated with the yen fixed annuities primarily with pay variable U.S. dollar and receive fixed yen currency swaps.

Prior to 2010, the Company had also issued guaranteed benefits (GMDB and GMIB) that were reinsured from HLIKK to the U.S. insurance subsidiaries. During 2010, the Company entered into foreign currency forward contracts that convert U.S. dollars to yen in order to hedge the foreign currency risk due to U.S. dollar denominated assets backing the yen denominated liabilities. The Company also enters into foreign currency forward contracts that convert euros to yen in order to economically hedge the risk arising when the Japan policyholders' variable annuity sub-accounts are invested in non-Japanese yen denominated securities while the related GMDB and GMIB guarantees are effectively yen-denominated.

Table of Contents**CAPITAL RESOURCES AND LIQUIDITY**

The following section discusses the overall financial strength of The Hartford and its insurance operations including their ability to generate cash flows from each of their business segments, borrow funds at competitive rates and raise new capital to meet operating and growth needs over the next twelve months.

Liquidity Requirements and Sources of Capital**The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc. (Holding Company)**

The liquidity requirements of the holding company of The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc. (HFSG Holding Company) have been and will continue to be met by HFSG Holding Company s fixed maturities, short-term investments and cash of \$2.2 billion at September 30, 2011, dividends from its insurance operations, as well as the issuance of common stock, debt or other capital securities and borrowings from its credit facilities. Expected liquidity requirements of the HFSG Holding Company for the next twelve months include interest on debt of approximately \$490, maturity of senior notes of \$400 due October 2011, common stockholder dividends, subject to the discretion of the Board of Directors, of approximately \$175, and preferred stock dividends of approximately \$42. Other liquidity requirements include potential contributions to various insurance subsidiaries to address year end capital levels, including a \$155 capital contribution on October 31, 2011 to a wholly owned captive insurance company in the form of a surplus note, which is included in statutory surplus as of September 30, 2011, and an expected capital contribution to another wholly owned captive insurance company of approximately \$100 in the fourth quarter of 2011. Furthermore, the Company s Board of Directors has authorized a \$500 stock repurchase program that permits purchases of common stock, as well as, warrants and other derivative securities.

In addition, in 2010 The Hartford entered into an intercompany liquidity agreement that allows for short-term advances of funds among the HFSG Holding Company and certain affiliates of up to \$2.0 billion for liquidity and other general corporate purposes. The Connecticut Insurance Department granted approval for the Connecticut domiciled insurance companies that are parties to the agreement to treat receivables from a parent, including the HFSG Holding Company, as admitted assets for statutory accounting purposes.

Debt

On October 17, 2011, The Hartford repaid its \$400, 5.25% senior notes at maturity. For additional information regarding debt, see Note 14 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in The Hartford s 2010 Form 10-K Annual Report.

Dividends

On October 27, 2011, The Hartford s Board of Directors declared a quarterly dividend of \$0.10 per common share payable on January 3, 2012 to common shareholders of record as of December 1, 2011 and a dividend of \$18.125 on each share of Series F preferred stock payable on January 3, 2012 to shareholders of record as of December 15, 2011.

Pension Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits

While the Company has significant discretion in making voluntary contributions to its U.S. qualified defined benefit pension plan (the Plan), the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended by the Pension Protection Act of 2006 and further amended by the Worker, Retiree, and Employer Recovery Act of 2008, and Internal Revenue Code regulations mandate minimum contributions in certain circumstances. The Company does not have a required minimum funding contribution for the Plan for 2011 and the funding requirements for all of its pension plans are expected to be immaterial. The Company contributed approximately \$120 to its pension plans in July 2011, and presently anticipates contributing approximately \$80 during the remainder of 2011, based upon certain economic and business assumptions. These assumptions include, but are not limited to, equity market performance, changes in interest rates and the Company s other capital requirements.

Dividends from Insurance Subsidiaries

Dividends to the HFSG Holding Company from its insurance subsidiaries are restricted. The payment of dividends by Connecticut-domiciled insurers is limited under the insurance holding company laws of Connecticut. These laws require notice to and approval by the state insurance commissioner for the declaration or payment of any dividend, which, together with other dividends or distributions made within the preceding twelve months, exceeds the greater of (i) 10% of the insurer s policyholder surplus as of December 31 of the preceding year or (ii) net income (or net gain from operations, if such company is a life insurance company) for the twelve-month period ending on the thirty-first

day of December last preceding, in each case determined under statutory insurance accounting principles. In addition, if any dividend of a Connecticut-domiciled insurer exceeds the insurer's earned surplus, it requires the prior approval of the Connecticut Insurance Commissioner. The insurance holding company laws of the other jurisdictions in which The Hartford's insurance subsidiaries are incorporated (or deemed commercially domiciled) generally contain similar (although in certain instances somewhat more restrictive) limitations on the payment of dividends. Dividends paid to HFSG Holding Company by its life insurance subsidiaries are further dependent on cash requirements of HLI and other factors. The Company's property-casualty insurance subsidiaries are permitted to pay up to a maximum of approximately \$1.5 billion in dividends to HFSG Holding Company in 2011 without prior approval from the applicable insurance commissioner. The Company's life insurance subsidiaries are permitted to pay up to a maximum of approximately \$83 in dividends to HLI in 2011 without prior approval from the applicable insurance commissioner. The aggregate of these amounts is the maximum the insurance subsidiaries could pay to HFSG Holding Company in 2011 without prior approval from the applicable insurance commissioner. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, HFSG Holding Company and HLI received no dividends from the life insurance subsidiaries, and HFSG Holding Company received \$871 in dividends from its property-casualty insurance subsidiaries.

Table of Contents*Other Sources of Capital for the HFSG Holding Company*

The Hartford endeavors to maintain a capital structure that provides financial and operational flexibility to its insurance subsidiaries, ratings that support its competitive position in the financial services marketplace (see the Ratings section below for further discussion), and shareholder returns. As a result, the Company may from time to time raise capital from the issuance of stock, debt or other capital securities and is continuously evaluating strategic opportunities. The issuance of common stock, debt or other capital securities could result in the dilution of shareholder interests or reduced net income due to additional interest expense.

Shelf Registrations

On August 4, 2010, The Hartford filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) an automatic shelf registration statement (Registration No. 333-168532) for the potential offering and sale of debt and equity securities. The registration statement allows for the following types of securities to be offered: debt securities, junior subordinated debt securities, preferred stock, common stock, depository shares, warrants, stock purchase contracts, and stock purchase units. In that The Hartford is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 under the Securities Act of 1933, the registration statement went effective immediately upon filing and The Hartford may offer and sell an unlimited amount of securities under the registration statement during the three-year life of the registration statement.

Contingent Capital Facility

The Hartford is party to a put option agreement that provides The Hartford with the right to require the Glen Meadow ABC Trust, a Delaware statutory trust, at any time and from time to time, to purchase The Hartford's junior subordinated notes in a maximum aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$500.

Commercial Paper and Revolving Credit Facility

The table below details the Company's short-term debt programs and the applicable balances outstanding.

Description	Effective Date	Expiration Date	Maximum Available As of		Outstanding As of	
			September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Commercial Paper						
The Hartford	11/10/86	N/A	\$ 2,000	\$ 2,000	\$	\$
Revolving Credit Facility						
5-year revolving credit facility	8/9/07	8/9/12	1,900	1,900		
Total Commercial Paper and Revolving Credit Facility			\$ 3,900	\$ 3,900	\$	\$

The revolving credit facility provides for up to \$1.9 billion of unsecured credit through August 9, 2012. Of the total availability under the revolving credit facility, up to \$100 is available to support letters of credit issued on behalf of The Hartford or other subsidiaries of The Hartford. Under the revolving credit facility, the Company must maintain a minimum level of consolidated net worth of \$12.5 billion. At September 30, 2011, the consolidated net worth of the Company as calculated in accordance with the terms of the credit facility was \$23 billion. The definition of consolidated net worth under the terms of the credit facility, excludes AOCI and includes the Company's outstanding junior subordinated debentures and perpetual preferred securities, net of discount. In addition, the Company must not exceed a maximum ratio of debt to capitalization of 40%. At September 30, 2011, as calculated in accordance with the terms of the credit facility, the Company's debt to capitalization ratio was 17%. Quarterly, the Company certifies compliance with the financial covenants for the syndicate of participating financial institutions. As of September 30, 2011, the Company was in compliance with all such covenants.

The Hartford's Japan operations also maintain two lines of credit in support of the subsidiary operations. Both lines of credit are in the amount of \$65, or ¥5 billion, and individually have expiration dates of September 30, 2012 and January 4, 2012.

Table of Contents**Derivative Commitments**

Certain of the Company's derivative agreements contain provisions that are tied to the financial strength ratings of the individual legal entity that entered into the derivative agreement as set by nationally recognized statistical rating agencies. If the legal entity's financial strength were to fall below certain ratings, the counterparties to the derivative agreements could demand immediate and ongoing full collateralization and in certain instances demand immediate settlement of all outstanding derivative positions traded under each impacted bilateral agreement. The settlement amount is determined by netting the derivative positions transacted under each agreement. If the termination rights were to be exercised by the counterparties, it could impact the legal entity's ability to conduct hedging activities by increasing the associated costs and decreasing the willingness of counterparties to transact with the legal entity. The aggregate fair value of all derivative instruments with credit-risk-related contingent features that are in a net liability position as of September 30, 2011, is \$638. Of this \$638 the legal entities have posted collateral of \$619 in the normal course of business. Based on derivative market values as of September 30, 2011, a downgrade of one level below the current financial strength ratings by either Moody's or S&P could require approximately an additional \$22 to be posted as collateral. Based on derivative market values as of September 30, 2011, a downgrade by either Moody's or S&P of two levels below the legal entities' current financial strength ratings could require approximately an additional \$33 of assets to be posted as collateral. These collateral amounts could change as derivative market values change, as a result of changes in our hedging activities or to the extent changes in contractual terms are negotiated. The nature of the collateral that we would post, if required, would be primarily in the form of U.S. Treasury bills and U.S. Treasury notes.

The aggregate notional amount of derivative relationships that could be subject to immediate termination in the event of rating agency downgrades to either BBB+ or Baa1 as of September 30, 2011 was \$15.1 billion with a corresponding fair value of \$626. The notional and fair value amounts include a customized GMWB derivative with a notional amount of \$4.1 billion and a fair value of \$231, for which the Company has a contractual right to make a collateral payment in the amount of approximately \$44 to prevent its termination. This customized GMWB derivative contains an early termination trigger such that if the unsecured, unsubordinated debt of the counterparty's related party guarantor is downgraded two levels or more below the current ratings by both Moody's and S&P, the counterparty could terminate all transactions under the applicable International Swaps and Derivatives Association Master Agreement. As of September 30, 2011, the gross fair value of the affected derivative contracts is \$254, which would approximate the settlement value.

Table of Contents**Insurance Operations**

Current and expected patterns of claim frequency and severity or surrenders may change from period to period but continue to be within historical norms and, therefore, the Company's insurance operations' current liquidity position is considered to be sufficient to meet anticipated demands over the next twelve months, including any obligations related to the Company's restructuring activities. For a discussion and tabular presentation of the Company's current contractual obligations by period, refer to Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Aggregate Contractual Obligations within the Capital Resources and Liquidity section of the MD&A included in The Hartford's 2010 Form 10-K Annual Report.

The principal sources of operating funds are premiums, fees earned from assets under management and investment income, while investing cash flows originate from maturities and sales of invested assets. The primary uses of funds are to pay claims, claim adjustment expenses, commissions and other underwriting expenses, to purchase new investments and to make dividend payments to the HFSG Holding Company.

The Company's insurance operations consist of property and casualty insurance products (collectively referred to as Property & Casualty Operations) and life insurance products (collectively referred to as Life Operations).

Property & Casualty Operations

Property & Casualty Operations holds fixed maturity securities including a significant short-term investment position (securities with maturities of one year or less at the time of purchase) to meet liquidity needs.

The following table summarizes Property & Casualty Operations' fixed maturities, short-term investments, and cash, as of September 30, 2011:

Fixed maturities	\$ 25,942
Short-term investments	792
Cash	188
Less: Derivative collateral	(233)
Total	\$ 26,689

Liquidity requirements that are unable to be funded by Property & Casualty Operations' short-term investments would be satisfied with current operating funds, including premiums received or through the sale of invested assets. A sale of invested assets could result in significant realized losses.

Life Operations

Life Operations' total general account contractholder obligations are supported by \$76 billion of cash and total general account invested assets, excluding equity securities, trading, which includes a significant short-term investment position to meet liquidity needs.

The following table summarizes Life Operations' fixed maturities, short-term investments, and cash, as of September 30, 2011:

Fixed maturities	\$ 55,643
Short-term investments	6,619
Cash	2,394
Less: Derivative collateral	(3,329)
Cash associated with Japan variable annuities	(691)
Total	\$ 60,636

Capital resources available to fund liquidity, upon contract holder surrender, are a function of the legal entity in which the liquidity requirement resides. Generally, obligations of Group Benefits will be funded by Hartford Life and Accident Insurance Company; Annuity obligations of Global Annuity and Life Insurance obligations will be generally funded by both Hartford Life Insurance Company and Hartford Life and Annuity Insurance Company; obligations of

Retirement Plans and institutional investment products within Global Annuity will be generally funded by Hartford Life Insurance Company; and obligations of the Company's international annuity subsidiaries will be generally funded by the legal entity in the country in which the obligation was generated.

Hartford Life Insurance Company (HLIC) became a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston (FHLBB) in May 2011. Membership allows HLIC access to collateralized advances, which may be used to support various spread-based business and enhance liquidity management. As of September 30, 2011, HLIC had no advances outstanding under the FHLBB facility. The Connecticut Department of Insurance (CTDOI) permits HLIC to pledge up to \$1.45 billion in qualifying assets to secure FHLBB advances for 2011. The amount of advances that can be taken are dependent on the asset types pledged to secure the advances. The pledge limit is recalculated annually based on statutory admitted assets and capital and surplus. HLIC would need to seek the prior approval of the CTDOI if there were a desire to exceed these limits.

Table of Contents

	As of September 30, 2011
Contractholder Obligations	
Total Life contractholder obligations	\$ 240,291
Less: Separate account assets [1]	(143,923)
International statutory separate accounts [1]	(30,734)
General account contractholder obligations	\$ 65,634
 Composition of General Account Contractholder Obligations	
Contracts without a surrender provision and/or fixed payout dates [2]	\$ 30,868
Fixed MVA annuities [3]	9,872
International fixed MVA annuities	2,704
Guaranteed investment contracts (GIC) [4]	675
Other [5]	21,515
General account contractholder obligations	\$ 65,634

[1] *In the event customers elect to surrender separate account assets or international statutory separate accounts, Life Operations will use the proceeds from the sale of the assets to fund the surrender, and Life Operations liquidity position will not be impacted. In many instances Life Operations will receive a percentage of the surrender amount as compensation for early surrender (surrender charge), increasing Life Operations liquidity position. In addition, a surrender of variable annuity separate account or general account assets (see below) will decrease Life Operations obligation for payments on guaranteed living and death benefits.*

[2] *Relates to contracts such as payout annuities or institutional notes, other than guaranteed investment products with an MVA feature (discussed below) or surrenders of term life, group benefit contracts or death and living benefit reserves for which surrenders will have no current effect on Life Operations liquidity requirements.*

[3] *Relates to annuities that are held in a statutory separate account, but under U.S. GAAP are recorded in the general account as Fixed MVA annuity contract holders are subject to the Company's credit risk. In the statutory separate account, Life Operations is required to maintain invested assets with a fair value equal to the MVA surrender value of the Fixed MVA contract. In the event assets decline in value at a greater rate than the MVA surrender value of the Fixed MVA contract, Life Operations is required to contribute additional capital to the statutory separate account. Life Operations will fund these required contributions with operating cash flows or short-term investments. In the event that operating cash flows or short-term investments are not sufficient to fund required contributions, the Company may have to sell other invested assets at a loss, potentially resulting in a decrease in statutory surplus. As the fair value of invested assets in the statutory separate account are generally equal to the MVA surrender value of the Fixed MVA contract, surrender of Fixed MVA annuities will have an insignificant impact on the liquidity requirements of Life Operations.*

[4] *GICs are subject to discontinuance provisions which allow the policyholders to terminate their contracts prior to scheduled maturity at the lesser of the book value or market value. Generally, the market value adjustment reflects changes in interest rates and credit spreads. As a result, the market value adjustment feature in the GIC serves to protect the Company from interest rate risks and limit Life Operations liquidity requirements in the event of a surrender.*

[5] *Surrenders of, or policy loans taken from, as applicable, these general account liabilities, which include the general account option for Global Annuity's individual variable annuities and Life Insurance's variable life contracts, the general account option for Retirement Plans' annuities and universal life contracts sold by Life Insurance may be funded through operating cash flows of Life Operations, available short-term investments, or Life Operations may be required to sell fixed maturity investments to fund the surrender payment. Sales of fixed maturity investments could result in the recognition of significant realized losses and insufficient proceeds to fully fund the surrender amount. In this circumstance, Life Operations may need to take other actions, including enforcing certain contract provisions which could restrict surrenders and/or slow or defer payouts.*

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Aggregate Contractual Obligations

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

There have been no material changes to the Company's off-balance sheet arrangements and aggregate contractual obligations since the filing of the Company's 2010 Form 10-K Annual Report.

Table of Contents**Capitalization**

The capital structure of The Hartford as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 consisted of debt and stockholders' equity, summarized as follows:

	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010	Change
Short-term debt (includes current maturities of long-term debt)	\$ 400	\$ 400	
Long-term debt	6,217	6,207	
Total debt [1]	6,617	6,607	
Stockholders' equity excluding accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax (AOCI)	21,716	21,312	2%
AOCI, net of tax	1,065	(1,001)	NM
Total stockholders' equity	\$ 22,781	\$ 20,311	12%
Total capitalization including AOCI	\$ 29,398	\$ 26,918	9%
Debt to stockholders' equity	29%	33%	
Debt to capitalization	23%	25%	

[1] Total debt of the Company excludes \$349 and \$382 of consumer notes as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, and \$25 of Federal Home Loan Bank advances recorded in other liabilities as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

The Hartford's total capitalization increased \$2.5 billion, or 9%, from December 31, 2010 to September 30, 2011 due to improvements in AOCI, net of tax, and increases in stockholders' equity, excluding AOCI. AOCI, net of tax, improved primarily due to improvements in the Company's net unrealized position on available-for-sale securities of \$1.7 billion primarily as a result of improved security valuations largely due to declining interest rates, partially offset by credit spread widening. The increase in stockholders' equity, excluding AOCI, was primarily due to net income of \$535.

For additional information on equity and AOCI, net of tax, see Notes 15 and 16, respectively, of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in The Hartford's 2010 Form 10-K Annual Report.

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010
Cash Flows		
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 1,624	\$ 2,245
Net cash used for investing activities	\$ (896)	\$ (286)
Net cash used for financing activities	\$ (239)	\$ (2,395)
Cash end of period	\$ 2,589	\$ 1,707

The decrease in cash provided by operating activities compared to the prior year period reflected an increase in losses paid on property and casualty insurance products, and to a lesser extent, lower net investment income on available-for-sale securities, excluding limited partnerships and other alternative investments.

Cash used for investing activities in 2011 primarily relates to net purchases of mortgage loans of \$1.1 billion, net purchases of fixed maturities, fair value option of \$624 and net purchases of available-for-sale securities of \$459, partially offset by net receipts on derivatives of \$1.6 billion. Cash used for investing activities in 2010 primarily relates to net purchases of available-for-sale securities of \$2.1 billion, partially offset by net proceeds from sales of mortgage loans of \$1.2 billion and net receipts on derivatives of \$504.

Cash used for financing activities in 2011 consists primarily of net outflows on investment and universal life-type contracts and dividends paid on common and preferred stock. In the comparable prior period of 2010, cash used for financing activities was primarily related to the redemption of preferred stock issued to the U.S. Treasury of \$3.4 billion, repayments of consumer notes of \$752, repayment of \$275 in senior notes in September 2010, and net outflows on investment and universal life-type contracts. Partially offsetting the outflows in 2010 were proceeds from the issuance of \$1.1 billion in aggregate senior notes, issuance of common stock under a public offering of \$1.6 billion and issuance of mandatory convertible preferred stock of \$556.

Operating cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 have been adequate to meet liquidity requirements.

Equity Markets

For a discussion of the potential impact of the equity markets on capital and liquidity, see the Capital Markets Risk Management section of the MD&A under Market Risk above.

Table of Contents**Ratings**

Ratings impact the Company's cost of borrowing and its ability to access financing and are an important factor in establishing competitive position in the insurance and financial services marketplace. There can be no assurance that the Company's ratings will continue for any given period of time or that they will not be changed. In the event the Company's ratings are downgraded, the Company's cost of borrowing and ability to access financing, as well as the level of revenues or the persistency of its business may be adversely impacted.

The following table summarizes The Hartford's significant member companies' financial ratings from the major independent rating organizations as of October 27, 2011.

	A.M. Best	Fitch	Standard & Poor's	Moody's
Insurance Financial Strength Ratings:				
Hartford Fire Insurance Company	A	A+	A	A2
Hartford Life Insurance Company	A	A-	A	A3
Hartford Life and Accident Insurance Company	A	A-	A	A3
Hartford Life and Annuity Insurance Company	A	A-	A	A3

Other Ratings:

The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc.:

Senior debt	bbb+	BBB-	BBB	Baa3
Commercial paper	AMB-2	F2	A-2	P-3

These ratings are not a recommendation to buy or hold any of The Hartford's securities and they may be revised or revoked at any time at the sole discretion of the rating organization.

The agencies consider many factors in determining the final rating of an insurance company. One consideration is the relative level of statutory surplus necessary to support the business written. Statutory surplus represents the capital of the insurance company reported in accordance with accounting practices prescribed by the applicable state insurance department.

Statutory Surplus

The table below sets forth statutory surplus for the Company's insurance companies. The statutory surplus amount as of December 31, 2010 in the table below is based on actual statutory filings with the applicable regulatory authorities. The statutory surplus amount as of September 30, 2011 is an estimate, as the third quarter 2011 statutory filings have not yet been made.

	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
U.S. life insurance subsidiaries, includes domestic captive insurance subsidiaries	\$ 7,414	\$ 7,731
Property and casualty insurance subsidiaries	7,392	7,721
Total	\$ 14,806	\$ 15,452

Total statutory capital and surplus for the U.S. life insurance subsidiaries, including domestic captive insurance subsidiaries, decreased by \$317, primarily due to variable annuity surplus impacts of \$800 and an increase in the asset valuation reserve of \$192, partially offset by an increase in the admitted deferred tax asset of \$445 and capital contributions of \$266. Total statutory capital and surplus for the property and casualty insurance subsidiaries decreased by \$329, primarily due to dividends to the HFSG Holding Company of \$871, partially offset by statutory net income, after tax, of \$261, an increase in statutory admitted assets of \$131, and unrealized gains of \$106.

The Company also holds regulatory capital and surplus for its operations in Japan. Under the accounting practices and procedures governed by Japanese regulatory authorities, the Company's statutory capital and surplus was \$1.3 billion as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

Contingencies

Legal Proceedings For a discussion regarding contingencies related to The Hartford's legal proceedings, please see the information contained under *Litigation* in Note 9 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents***Legislative Developments***

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (the Dodd-Frank Act) was enacted on July 21, 2010, mandating changes to the regulation of the financial services industry. The Dodd-Frank Act may affect our operations and governance in ways that could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

In particular, the Dodd-Frank Act vests a newly created Financial Services Oversight Council with the power to designate systemically important institutions, which will be subject to special regulatory supervision and other provisions intended to prevent, or mitigate the impact of, future disruptions in the U.S. financial system. Systemically important institutions are limited to large bank holding companies and nonbank financial companies that are so important that their potential failure could pose a threat to the financial stability of the United States. If we are designated as a systemically important institution, we could be subject to higher capital requirements and additional regulatory oversight imposed by The Federal Reserve, as well as to post-event assessments imposed by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) to recoup the costs associated with the orderly liquidation of other systemically important institutions in the event one or more such institutions fails. Further, the FDIC is authorized to petition a state court to commence an insolvency proceeding to liquidate an insurance company that fails in the event the insurer's state regulator fails to act. Other provisions will require central clearing of, and/or impose new margin and capital requirements on, derivatives transactions, which we expect will increase the costs of our hedging program.

While we filed an application to deregister as a savings and loan holding company in connection with the closing of the sale of FTC on November 1, 2011, a number of provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act affect us due to our current status as a savings and loan holding company. For example, because of our status as a savings and loan holding company or if we are designated a systemically important institution, the Dodd-Frank Act may restrict us from sponsoring and investing in private equity and hedge funds, which would limit our discretion in managing our general account. The Dodd-Frank Act will also impose new minimum capital standards on a consolidated basis and additional regulation of compensation for savings and loan holding companies.

Other provisions in the Dodd-Frank Act that may impact us, irrespective of whether or not we are a savings and loan holding company include: the possibility that regulators could break up firms that are considered too big to fail; a new Federal Insurance Office within Treasury to, among other things, conduct a study of how to improve insurance regulation in the United States; new means for regulators to limit the activities of financial firms; discretionary authority for the SEC to impose a harmonized standard of care for investment advisers and broker-dealers who provide personalized advice about securities to retail customers; and enhancements to corporate governance, especially regarding risk management.

FY 2012, Budget of the United States Government

On February 15, 2011, the Obama Administration released its FY 2012, Budget of the United States Government (the Budget). Although the Administration has not released proposed statutory language, the Budget includes proposals which if enacted, would affect the taxation of life insurance companies and certain life insurance products. In particular, the proposals would affect the treatment of corporate owned life insurance (COLI) policies by limiting the availability of certain interest deductions for companies that purchase those policies. The proposals would also change the method used to determine the amount of dividend income received by a life insurance company on assets held in separate accounts used to support products, including variable life insurance and variable annuity contracts, that are eligible for the dividends received deduction (DRD). The DRD reduces the amount of dividend income subject to tax and is a significant component of the difference between the Company's actual tax expense and expected amount determined using the federal statutory tax rate of 35%. If proposals of this type were enacted, the Company's sale of COLI, variable annuities, and variable life products could be adversely affected and the Company's actual tax expense could increase, reducing earnings. The Budget also included a proposal to levy a Financial Crisis Responsibility Fee, of \$30 billion, in the aggregate, over 10 years on large financial institutions, including The Hartford. On September 19, 2011, the Obama Administration included the DRD and COLI proposals, as well as the Financial Crisis Responsibility Fee, in a proposal sent to the congress as part of a deficit reduction package.

IMPACT OF NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

For a discussion of accounting standards, see Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in The Hartford's 2010 Form 10-K Annual Report and Note 1 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial

Statements in this Form 10-Q.

Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The information contained in the Capital Markets Risk Management section of Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures

The Company's principal executive officer and its principal financial officer, based on their evaluation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e)) have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective for the purposes set forth in the definition thereof in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e) as of September 30, 2011.

Changes in internal control over financial reporting

There was no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Company's current fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents**Part II. OTHER INFORMATION****Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS****Litigation**

The Hartford is involved in claims litigation arising in the ordinary course of business, both as a liability insurer defending or providing indemnity for third-party claims brought against insureds and as an insurer defending coverage claims brought against it. The Hartford accounts for such activity through the establishment of unpaid loss and loss adjustment expense reserves. Subject to the uncertainties discussed below under the caption Asbestos and Environmental Claims, management expects that the ultimate liability, if any, with respect to such ordinary-course claims litigation, after consideration of provisions made for potential losses and costs of defense, will not be material to the consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows of The Hartford.

The Hartford is also involved in other kinds of legal actions, some of which assert claims for substantial amounts. These actions include, among others, putative state and federal class actions seeking certification of a state or national class. Such putative class actions have alleged, for example, underpayment of claims or improper underwriting practices in connection with various kinds of insurance policies, such as personal and commercial automobile, property, life and inland marine; improper sales practices in connection with the sale of life insurance and other investment products; and improper fee arrangements in connection with investment products. The Hartford also is involved in individual actions in which punitive damages are sought, such as claims alleging bad faith in the handling of insurance claims. Like many other insurers, The Hartford also has been joined in actions by asbestos plaintiffs asserting, among other things, that insurers had a duty to protect the public from the dangers of asbestos and that insurers committed unfair trade practices by asserting defenses on behalf of their policyholders in the underlying asbestos cases. Management expects that the ultimate liability, if any, with respect to such lawsuits, after consideration of provisions made for estimated losses, will not be material to the consolidated financial condition of The Hartford. Nonetheless, given the large or indeterminate amounts sought in certain of these actions, and the inherent unpredictability of litigation, the outcome in certain matters could, from time to time, have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows in particular quarterly or annual periods.

Broker Compensation Litigation Following the New York Attorney General's filing of a civil complaint against Marsh & McLennan Companies, Inc., and Marsh, Inc. (collectively, Marsh) in October 2004 alleging that certain insurance companies, including The Hartford, participated with Marsh in arrangements to submit inflated bids for business insurance and paid contingent commissions to ensure that Marsh would direct business to them, private plaintiffs brought several lawsuits against the Company predicated on the allegations in the Marsh complaint, to which the Company was not party. Among these is a multidistrict litigation in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey. Two consolidated amended complaints were filed in the multidistrict litigation, one related to conduct in connection with the sale of property-casualty insurance and the other related to alleged conduct in connection with the sale of group benefits products. The Company and various of its subsidiaries are named in both complaints. The complaints assert, on behalf of a putative class of persons who purchased insurance through broker defendants, claims under the Sherman Act, the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO), state law, and in the case of the group benefits complaint, claims under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA). The claims are predicated upon allegedly undisclosed or otherwise improper payments of contingent commissions to the broker defendants to steer business to the insurance company defendants. The district court dismissed the Sherman Act and RICO claims in both complaints for failure to state a claim and has granted the defendants' motions for summary judgment on the ERISA claims in the group-benefits products complaint. The district court further declined to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over the state law claims and dismissed those claims without prejudice. The plaintiffs appealed the dismissal of the claims in both consolidated amended complaints, except the ERISA claims. In August 2010, the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit affirmed the dismissal of the Sherman Act and RICO claims against the Company. The Third Circuit vacated the dismissal of the Sherman Act and RICO claims against some defendants in the property casualty insurance case and vacated the dismissal of the state-law claims as to all defendants in light of the reinstatement of the federal claims. In September 2010, the district court entered final judgment for the defendants in the group benefits case. In March 2011, the Company reached an agreement in

principle to settle on a class basis the property casualty insurance case for an immaterial amount. The settlement was preliminarily approved in June 2011 and is contingent upon final court approval.

Investment and Savings Plan ERISA and Shareholder Securities Class Action Litigation In November and December 2008, following a decline in the share price of the Company's common stock, seven putative class action lawsuits were filed in the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut on behalf of certain participants in the Company's Investment and Savings Plan (the Plan), which offers the Company's common stock as one of many investment options. These lawsuits have been consolidated, and a consolidated amended class-action complaint was filed on March 23, 2009, alleging that the Company and certain of its officers and employees violated ERISA by allowing the Plan's participants to invest in the Company's common stock and by failing to disclose to the Plan's participants information about the Company's financial condition. The lawsuit seeks restitution or damages for losses arising from the investment of the Plan's assets in the Company's common stock during the period from December 10, 2007 to the present. In January 2010, the district court denied the Company's motion to dismiss the consolidated amended complaint. In February 2011, the parties reached an agreement in principle to settle on a class basis for an immaterial amount. The settlement is contingent upon the execution of a final settlement agreement and preliminary and final court approval.

Table of Contents

The Company and certain of its present or former officers are defendants in a putative securities class action lawsuit filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York in March 2010. The operative complaint, filed in October 2010, is brought on behalf of persons who acquired Hartford common stock during the period of July 28, 2008 through February 5, 2009, and alleges that the defendants violated Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 10b-5, by making false or misleading statements during the alleged class period about the Company's valuation of certain asset-backed securities and its effect on the Company's capital position. In September 2011, the district court dismissed the lawsuit with prejudice. The plaintiffs did not appeal.

Fair Credit Reporting Act Class Action In February 2007, the United States District Court for the District of Oregon gave final approval of the Company's settlement of a lawsuit brought on behalf of a class of homeowners and automobile policy holders alleging that the Company willfully violated the Fair Credit Reporting Act by failing to send appropriate notices to new customers whose initial rates were higher than they would have been had the customer had a more favorable credit report. The Company paid approximately \$84.3 to eligible claimants and their counsel in connection with the settlement, sought reimbursement from the Company's Excess Professional Liability Insurance Program for the portion of the settlement in excess of the Company's \$10 self-insured retention, and booked an insurance recoverable for the amount paid under the settlement plus the cost of settlement administration, less the self-insured retention. Certain insurance carriers participating in that program disputed coverage for the settlement, and one of the excess insurers commenced an arbitration that resulted in an award in the Company's favor and payments to the Company of approximately \$30.1, thereby exhausting the primary and first-layer excess policies. As a result, the Company's insurance recoverable was reduced to \$45.5. In June 2009, the second-layer excess carriers commenced an arbitration to resolve the dispute over coverage for the remainder of the amounts paid by the Company. The Company counterclaimed for coverage. In September 2011, the arbitrators ruled in the Company's favor and awarded approximately \$50 with interest of \$3 from July 2009.

Mutual Funds Litigation In October 2010, a derivative action was brought on behalf of six Hartford retail mutual funds in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, alleging that Hartford Investment Financial Services, LLC received excessive advisory and distribution fees in violation of its statutory fiduciary duty under Section 36(b) of the Investment Company Act of 1940. In February 2011, a nearly identical derivative action was brought against Hartford Investment Financial Services, LLC in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey on behalf of six additional Hartford retail mutual funds. Both actions are assigned to the Honorable Renee Marie Bumb, a judge in the District of New Jersey who is sitting by designation with respect to the Delaware action. Plaintiffs in each action seek to rescind the investment management agreements and distribution plans between Hartford Investment Financial Services, LLC and the six mutual funds and to recover the total fees charged thereunder or, in the alternative, to recover any improper compensation Hartford Investment Financial Services, LLC received. In addition, plaintiff in the New Jersey action seeks recovery of lost earnings. The Company disputes the allegations and moved to dismiss both actions. In September 2011, the motions to dismiss were granted in part and denied in part. The district court gave the plaintiffs leave to amend their complaints by November 14, 2011 and ordered Hartford Investment Financial Services, LLC to respond by January 16, 2012.

Asbestos and Environmental Claims As discussed in Item 2, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations under the caption Reserving for Asbestos and Environmental Claims within Other Operations, The Hartford continues to receive asbestos and environmental claims that involve significant uncertainty regarding policy coverage issues. Regarding these claims, The Hartford continually reviews its overall reserve levels and reinsurance coverages, as well as the methodologies it uses to estimate its exposures. Because of the significant uncertainties that limit the ability of insurers and reinsurers to estimate the ultimate reserves necessary for unpaid losses and related expenses, particularly those related to asbestos, the ultimate liabilities may exceed the currently recorded reserves. Any such additional liability cannot be reasonably estimated now but could be material to The Hartford's consolidated operating results, financial condition and liquidity.

Table of Contents**Item 1A. RISK FACTORS**

Investing in The Hartford involves risk. In deciding whether to invest in The Hartford, you should carefully consider the risk factors disclosed in Item 1A of Part I of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, any of which could have a significant or material adverse effect on the business, financial condition, operating results or liquidity of The Hartford. This information should be considered carefully together with the other information contained in this report and the other reports and materials filed by The Hartford with the SEC.

Item 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS**Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer**

The following table summarizes the Company's repurchases of its common stock for the three months ended September 30, 2011:

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased [1]	Average Price Paid Per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs [2] (in millions)
July 1, 2011 – July 31, 2011		\$		\$
August 1, 2011 – August 31, 2011	2,253	\$ 21.73		\$ 500
September 1, 2011 – September 30, 2011	2,125	\$ 17.23		\$ 500
Total	4,378	\$ 19.55		N/A

[1] Primarily represents shares acquired from employees of the Company for tax withholding purposes in connection with the Company's stock compensation plans.

[2] On July 27, 2011 the Company's Board of Directors authorized a \$500 stock repurchase program. The Company's repurchase authorization, which expires on August 5, 2014, permits purchases of common stock, as well as warrants or other derivative securities. Repurchases may be made in the open market, through derivative, accelerated share repurchase and other privately negotiated transactions, and through plans designed to comply with Rule 10b5-1(c) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. The timing of any future repurchases will be dependent upon several factors, including the market price of the Company's securities, the Company's capital position, consideration of the effect of any repurchases on the Company's financial strength or credit ratings, and other corporate considerations. The repurchase program may be modified, extended or terminated by the Board of Directors at any time.

Item 6. EXHIBITS

See Exhibits Index on page 126.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc.
(Registrant)

Date: November 2, 2011

/s/ Beth A. Bombara

Beth A. Bombara
Senior Vice President and Controller
(Chief accounting officer and duly
authorized signatory)

125

Table of Contents

**THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.
FOR THE QUARTER ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2011
FORM 10-Q
EXHIBITS INDEX**

Exhibit No.	Description
15.01	Deloitte & Touche LLP Letter of Awareness.
31.01	Certification of Liam E. McGee pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.02	Certification of Christopher J. Swift pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.01	Certification of Liam E. McGee pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.02	Certification of Christopher J. Swift pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document.
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema.
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase.
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase.
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase.
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase.