

PROASSURANCE CORP
Form 10-Q
November 03, 2009

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

**Quarterly report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2009 or _____**

**Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____**

**Commission file number 0-16533
ProAssurance Corporation**

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware

63-1261433

(State or Other Jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization)

(IRS Employer Identification No.)

100 Brookwood Place, Birmingham, AL

35209

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

(Zip Code)

(205) 877-4400

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

(Former Name, Former Address, and Former Fiscal Year, if Changed Since Last Report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter), during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

As of October 23, 2009, there were 32,414,984 shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

SIGNATURE

EX-31.1

EX-31.2

EX-32.1

EX-32.2

Table of Contents

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Any statements in this Form 10Q that are not historical facts are specifically identified as forward-looking statements. These statements are based upon our estimates and anticipation of future events and are subject to certain risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to vary materially from the expected results described in the forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are identified by words such as, but not limited to, anticipate , believe , estimate , expect , hope , hopeful , intend , may , optimistic , preliminary , potential , project analogous expressions. There are numerous factors that could cause our actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements. Thus, sentences and phrases that we use to convey our view of future events and trends are expressly designated as forward-looking statements as are sections of this Form 10Q that are identified as giving our outlook on future business.

Forward-looking statements relating to our business include among other things: statements concerning liquidity and capital requirements, investment valuation and performance, return on equity, financial ratios, net income, premiums, losses and loss reserves, premium rates and retention of current business, competition and market conditions, the expansion of product lines, the development or acquisition of business in new geographical areas, the availability of acceptable reinsurance, actions by regulators and rating agencies, court actions, legislative actions, payment or performance of obligations under indebtedness, payment of dividends, and other matters.

These forward-looking statements are subject to significant risks, assumptions and uncertainties, including, among other things, the following factors that could affect the actual outcome of future events:

- general economic conditions, either nationally or in our market areas, that are different than anticipated;
- regulatory, legislative and judicial actions or decisions could affect our business plans or operations;
- the enactment or repeal of tort reforms;
- formation of state-sponsored malpractice insurance entities that could remove some physicians from the private insurance market;
- the impact of deflation or inflation;
- changes in the interest rate environment;
- the effect that changes in laws or government regulations affecting the U.S. economy or financial institutions, including the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 and the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, may have on the U.S. economy and our business;
- performance of financial markets affecting the fair value of our investments or making it difficult to determine the value of our investments;
- changes in accounting policies and practices that may be adopted by our regulatory agencies and the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or the Securities and Exchange Commission;
- changes in laws or government regulations affecting medical professional liability insurance or the financial community;
- the effects of changes in the health care delivery system;
- uncertainties inherent in the estimate of loss and loss adjustment expense reserves and reinsurance, and changes in the availability, cost, quality, or collectability of insurance/reinsurance;

the results of litigation, including pre-or-post-trial motions, trials and/or appeals we undertake;
bad faith litigation which may arise from our handling of any particular claim, including failure to settle;
loss of independent agents;
changes in our organization, compensation and benefit plans;
our ability to retain and recruit senior management;
our ability to purchase reinsurance and collect payments from our reinsurers;
increases in guaranty fund assessments;

Table of Contents

our ability to achieve continued growth through expansion into other states or through acquisitions or business combinations;

changes to the ratings assigned by rating agencies to our insurance subsidiaries, individually or as a group;

changes in competition among insurance providers and related pricing weaknesses in our markets; and

the expected benefits from completed and proposed acquisitions may not be achieved or may be delayed longer than expected due to business disruption, loss of customers and employees, increased operating costs or inability to achieve cost savings, and assumption of greater than expected liabilities, among other reasons.

Our results may differ materially from those we expect and discuss in any forward-looking statements. The principal risk factors that may cause these differences are described in Item 1A, Risk Factors in our annual report on Form 10K and other documents we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission, such as our current reports on Form 8-K, and our regular reports on Forms 10-Q and 10-K.

We caution readers not to place undue reliance on any such forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date made, and advise readers that the factors listed above could affect our financial performance and could cause actual results for future periods to differ materially from any opinions or statements expressed with respect to future periods in any current statements. Except as required by law or regulations, we do not undertake and specifically decline any obligation to publicly release the result of any revisions that may be made to any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of such statements or to reflect the occurrence of anticipated or unanticipated events.

Table of Contents

ProAssurance Corporation and Subsidiaries
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

	September 30	December 31
(In thousands, except per share data)	2009	2008
Assets		
Investments		
Fixed maturities, available for sale, at fair value	\$3,524,404	\$2,961,568
Equity securities, available for sale, at fair value	3,798	6,981
Equity securities, trading, at fair value	39,353	11,852
Short-term investments	137,908	441,996
Business owned life insurance	64,597	63,440
Investment in unconsolidated subsidiaries	47,392	44,522
Other investments	45,944	45,583
Total Investments	3,863,396	3,575,942
Cash and cash equivalents	20,758	3,459
Premiums receivable	126,205	86,137
Receivable from reinsurers on paid losses and loss adjustment expenses	33,266	17,826
Receivable from reinsurers on unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses	258,112	268,356
Prepaid reinsurance premiums	12,859	13,009
Deferred policy acquisition costs	26,916	19,505
Deferred taxes	65,259	138,034
Real estate, net	43,747	23,496
Amortizable intangibles	10,305	
Goodwill	118,997	72,213
Other assets	89,620	62,961
Total Assets	\$4,669,440	\$4,280,938
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity		
Liabilities		
Policy liabilities and accruals		
Reserve for losses and loss adjustment expenses	\$2,469,691	\$2,379,468
Unearned premiums	272,290	185,756
Reinsurance premiums payable	111,813	127,877
Total Policy Liabilities	2,853,794	2,693,101
Other liabilities	116,461	129,322
Long-term debt, at amortized cost, excluding \$14.3 million and \$0 carried at fair value, respectively	49,725	34,930
Total Liabilities	3,019,980	2,857,353
Stockholders Equity		
Common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 34,208,040 and 34,109,196 shares issued, respectively	342	341

Edgar Filing: PROASSURANCE CORP - Form 10-Q

Additional paid-in capital	524,469	518,687
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of deferred tax expense (benefit) of \$41,137 and \$(19,328), respectively	76,394	(35,898)
Retained earnings	1,111,851	970,891
	1,713,056	1,454,021
Treasury stock, at cost, 1,543,556 shares and 763,316 shares, respectively	(63,596)	(30,436)
Total Stockholders' Equity	1,649,460	1,423,585
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	\$4,669,440	\$4,280,938

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

ProAssurance Corporation and Subsidiaries
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Capital (Unaudited)

(In thousands)	Total	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Retained Earnings	Other Capital Accounts
Balance at December 31, 2008	\$1,423,585	\$ (35,898)	\$ 970,891	\$488,592
Cumulative effect adjustment for accounting change (see Note 1)		(3,511)	3,511	--
Net income	137,449		137,449	--
Change in net unrealized gains (losses) on investments, after tax, net of reclassification adjustments	115,803	115,803		--
Purchase of treasury stock	(38,144)			(38,144)
Treasury shares issued in acquisition (see Note 3)	5,161			5,161
Common shares issued as compensation and net effect of stock options exercised	756			756
Share-based compensation	4,850			4,850
Balance at September 30, 2009	\$1,649,460	\$ 76,394	\$1,111,851	\$461,215

(In thousands)	Total	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Retained Earnings	Other Capital Accounts
Balance at December 31, 2007	\$1,255,070	\$ 9,902	\$793,166	\$452,002
Net income	101,433		101,433	
Change in net unrealized gains (losses) on investments, after tax, net of reclassification adjustments	(65,719)	(65,719)		
Purchase of treasury stock	(80,335)			(80,335)
Common shares issued as compensation and net effect of stock options exercised	3,637			3,637
Share-based compensation	6,351			6,351
Conversion of convertible debentures	112,478			112,478
Balance at September 30, 2008	\$1,332,915	\$ (55,817)	\$894,599	\$494,133

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

ProAssurance Corporation and Subsidiaries
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income (Unaudited)

(In thousands, except per share data)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30		September 30	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Revenues:				
Gross premiums written	\$168,559	\$126,122	\$434,714	\$374,393
Net premiums written	\$158,705	\$116,409	\$401,634	\$343,609
Premiums earned	\$143,477	\$123,733	\$398,212	\$382,158
Premiums ceded	(11,521)	(10,284)	(34,621)	(32,364)
Net premiums earned	131,956	113,449	363,591	349,794
Net investment income	38,573	39,845	112,839	122,218
Equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated subsidiaries	1,637	(1,967)	328	(3,916)
Net realized investment gains (losses):				
Other-than-temporary impairment losses (OTTI)	(88)	(29,862)	(10,572)	(36,169)
Less: portion of OTTI losses recognized in other comprehensive income (before taxes)			172	
Net impairment losses recognized in earnings	(88)	(29,862)	(10,400)	(36,169)
Other net realized investment gains (losses)	7,363	(4,374)	15,222	(4,842)
Total net realized investment gains (losses)	7,275	(34,236)	4,822	(41,011)
Other income	3,153	997	7,224	3,694
Total revenues	182,594	118,088	488,804	430,779
Expenses:				
Losses and loss adjustment expenses	78,674	73,739	231,309	242,033
Reinsurance recoveries	(9,108)	(8,516)	(25,601)	(29,457)
Net losses and loss adjustment expenses	69,566	65,223	205,708	212,576
Underwriting, acquisition and insurance expenses	29,905	24,527	83,896	75,927
Interest expense	808	1,141	2,638	5,855
Loss on extinguishment of debt	2,839		2,839	
Total expenses	103,118	90,891	295,081	294,358
Income before income taxes	79,476	27,197	193,723	136,421
Provision for income taxes:				
Current expense (benefit)	21,595	(228)	31,257	21,907
Deferred expense (benefit)	2,680	5,178	25,017	13,081

Edgar Filing: PROASSURANCE CORP - Form 10-Q

Total income tax expense (benefit)	24,275	4,950	56,274	34,988
Net income	\$ 55,201	\$ 22,247	\$137,449	\$101,433
Earnings per share:				
Basic	\$ 1.69	\$ 0.66	\$ 4.17	\$ 3.12
Diluted	\$ 1.67	\$ 0.66	\$ 4.13	\$ 2.98
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding:				
Basic	32,701	33,496	32,988	32,519
Diluted	33,023	33,866	33,267	34,561

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

ProAssurance Corporation and Subsidiaries
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income
(Unaudited)

(In thousands)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30		September 30	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Comprehensive income:				
Net income	\$ 55,201	\$ 22,247	\$ 137,449	\$ 101,433
Change in net unrealized gains (losses) on investments, after tax, net of reclassification adjustments	67,050	(40,811)	115,803	(65,719)
Comprehensive income	\$ 122,251	\$ (18,564)	\$ 253,252	\$ 35,714

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

ProAssurance Corporation and Subsidiaries
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

(In thousands)	Nine Months Ended September 30	
	2009	2008
Operating Activities		
Net income	\$ 137,449	\$ 101,433
Loss on extinguishment of debt	2,839	
Depreciation and amortization	14,346	12,201
Net realized investment (gains) losses	(4,822)	41,011
Share-based compensation	4,850	6,351
Deferred income taxes	25,017	13,081
Changes in assets and liabilities, net of the effects of acquisitions:		
Premiums receivable	(20,844)	7,755
Reserve for losses and loss adjustment expenses	(70,768)	(78,303)
Unearned premiums	36,780	(7,840)
Reinsurance related assets and liabilities	(15,663)	68,524
Other liabilities	(82,521)	(29,284)
Other	(10,722)	9,958
Net cash provided by operating activities	15,941	144,887
Investing Activities		
Purchases of:		
Fixed maturities available for sale	(754,888)	(632,679)
Equity securities available for sale	(140)	(2,650)
Equity securities trading	(23,278)	(3,691)
Other investments	(292)	(278)
Cash invested in unconsolidated subsidiaries	(2,542)	(23,601)
Proceeds from sale or maturities of:		
Fixed maturities available for sale	580,635	691,493
Equity securities available for sale	5,264	417
Equity securities trading	18,698	815
Other investments	1,740	3,587
Net sales or maturities (purchases) of short-term investments, excluding unsettled redemptions	320,874	(117,395)
Cash paid for acquisitions, net of cash received	(124,208)	
Other	13,150	(11,613)
Net cash provided (used) by investing activities	35,013	(95,595)
Financing Activities		
Repurchase of treasury stock	(38,143)	(80,335)
Book overdraft	9,661	5,167
Repayment of debt	(7,190)	
Other	2,017	166

Net cash provided (used) by financing activities	(33,655)	(75,002)
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	17,299	(25,710)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	3,459	30,274
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 20,758	\$ 4,564
Significant Non-cash Transactions:		
Common stock issued in acquisition, from treasury	\$ 5,161	\$
Unsettled redemption of short-term money market investment	\$	\$ 48,505
Equity increase due to conversion of debt	\$	\$ 112,478

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

ProAssurance Corporation and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)
September 30, 2009

1. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of ProAssurance Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries (ProAssurance or PRA). The financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP) for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and notes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation, consisting of normal recurring adjustments, have been included. Operating results for the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2009 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2009. The accompanying Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and notes contained in ProAssurance's December 31, 2008 report on Form 10-K. ProAssurance has evaluated subsequent events through November 2, 2009 which is the date the financial statements were issued.

Certain reclassifications have been made in the prior period consolidated financial statements to conform to the current period presentation.

Accounting Changes Adopted

FASB Accounting Standards Codification

On July 1, 2009 the FASB published the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (the Codification) as the single source of authoritative nongovernmental GAAP, effective for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. The Codification is not intended to change current GAAP, but rather to provide all the authoritative literature related to a particular topic in one place. Upon the effective date, all pre-existing accounting standard documents were superseded and accounting literature not included in the Codification became non-authoritative. ProAssurance has adopted use of the Codification as of the quarter ending September 30, 2009; adoption had no effect on ProAssurance's results of operations or financial position.

Subsequent Events

Effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, ending on or after June 15, 2009, GAAP guidance was revised to more clearly set forth the period after the balance sheet date during which management should evaluate events or transactions for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements, the circumstances under which events or transactions after the balance sheet date should be recognized and the disclosures that should be made regarding such events. ProAssurance adopted the revised guidance as of the quarter ended June 30, 2009; adoption had no effect on ProAssurance's results of operations or financial position.

Fair Value

Effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, ending on or after June 15, 2009, the FASB revised existing GAAP guidance regarding the valuation of assets or liabilities when the volume and level of market transactions for those assets or liabilities has significantly decreased. The revised guidance clarifies factors to be considered in determining whether there has been a significant decrease in market activity for an asset in relation to normal activity and provides additional guidance on when the use of multiple (or different) valuation techniques may be warranted and considerations for determining the weight that should be applied to the various techniques. The revisions also establish a requirement that conclusions about whether transactions are orderly be based on the weight of the evidence and require entities to disclose any changes to valuation techniques (and related inputs) that result from a conclusion that markets are not orderly and the effect of the change, if practicable. ProAssurance adopted the revised guidance as of the quarter ended June 30, 2009; adoption had no significant effect on ProAssurance's results of operations or financial position.

Table of Contents

ProAssurance Corporation and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)
September 30, 2009

1. Basis of Presentation (continued)

Effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, ending on or after June 15, 2009, the FASB has revised previously existing guidance to require publicly traded companies to provide disclosures about fair values of financial instruments for interim reporting periods as well as in annual financial statements and in any summarized financial information issued at interim reporting periods. ProAssurance adopted the revised guidance as of the quarter ended June 30, 2009; adoption had no effect on ProAssurance's results of operations or financial position.

Investments Disclosure Requirements; Other-than-temporary Impairments

Effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, ending on or after June 15, 2009, the FASB altered previously existing GAAP guidance for investments in debt and equity securities. The new guidance specifies that disclosures for debt and equity securities should be provided for interim as well as annual periods. GAAP guidance related to other-than-temporary impairments was also altered. Previous investment guidance required that an impairment of a debt security be considered as other-than-temporary unless management could assert both the intent and the ability to hold the impaired security until recovery of value. The revised impairment guidance specifies that an impairment be considered as other-than-temporary unless an entity can assert that it has no intent to sell the security and that it is not more likely than not that the entity will be required to sell the security before recovery of its anticipated amortized cost basis. The new guidance also establishes the concept of credit loss. Credit loss is defined as the difference between the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected from a debt security and the amortized cost basis of the security. The new guidance states that in instances in which a determination is made that a credit loss exists but the entity does not intend to sell the debt security and it is not more likely than not that the entity will be required to sell the debt security before the anticipated recovery of its remaining amortized cost basis an impairment is to be separated into (a) the amount of the total impairment related to the credit loss and (b) the amount of total impairment related to all other factors. The credit loss component of the impairment is to be recognized in income of the current period. The non-credit component is to be recognized as a part of other comprehensive income. Transition provisions require a cumulative effect adjustment to reclassify the noncredit component of a previously recognized other-than-temporary impairment from retained earnings to accumulated other comprehensive income if an entity does not intend to sell and it is not more likely than not that the entity will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis. ProAssurance adopted this guidance as of the beginning of its quarter ended June 30, 2009. As of April 1, 2009, ProAssurance debt securities included non-credit impairment losses previously recognized in earnings of approximately \$5.4 million. In accordance with the transition provisions of the revised guidance, these credit losses, net of tax, were reclassified from retained earnings to accumulated comprehensive income as of April 1, 2009 (a \$3.5 million increase to retained earnings; a \$3.5 million decrease to accumulated other comprehensive income).

Convertible Debentures

Effective January 1, 2009 previous GAAP guidance regarding the accounting for Convertible Debentures has been revised. The revised guidance requires issuers to account for convertible debt securities that allow for either mandatory or optional cash settlement (including partial cash settlement) by separating the liability and equity components in a manner that reflects the issuer's nonconvertible debt borrowing rate at the time of issuance and requires recognition of additional (non-cash) interest expense in subsequent periods based on the nonconvertible rate. Additionally, when such debt instruments are repaid or converted, any consideration transferred at settlement is to be allocated between the extinguishment of the liability component and the reacquisition of the equity component. The revised guidance is applicable to the Convertible Debentures which ProAssurance converted in July 2008. ProAssurance adopted the revised guidance as of its effective date January 1, 2009; adoption had no effect on 2009 operating results because no convertible debt has been outstanding during 2009. The cumulative effect of adoption, which would be an increase to additional paid-in capital of \$65,000 and an offsetting decrease to retained earnings of the same amount, has not been recorded because the effect is immaterial and would not change total stockholders equity.

Table of Contents

ProAssurance Corporation and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)
September 30, 2009

1. Basis of Presentation (continued)

Non-controlling Interests in Subsidiaries

Effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2008, existing GAAP guidance was revised to establish accounting and reporting standards for the noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. ProAssurance adopted this guidance as of its effective date, January 1, 2009. Adoption did not have an effect on ProAssurance's results of operations or financial position.

Business Combinations

Existing GAAP guidance related to business combinations has been revised effective prospectively for combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008. The revision retains the previous requirement that the acquisition method of accounting be used for all business combinations but provides new or additional guidance including: defining the acquirer in a transaction, the valuation of assets and liabilities when noncontrolling interests exist, the treatment of contingent consideration, the treatment of costs incurred to effect the acquisition, the treatment of reorganization costs, and the valuation of assets and liabilities when the purchase price is below the net fair value of assets acquired. ProAssurance adopted the new guidance as of its effective date, January 1, 2009 and accounted for its acquisitions of MCGA Corporation (Mid-Continent), Georgia Lawyers Insurance Company (Georgia Lawyers) and Podiatry Insurance Company of America (PICA) during the first and second quarters of 2009 in accordance with the revised guidance (see Note 3).

Accounting Changes Not Yet Adopted

Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities

In June 2009 the FASB issued new guidance (effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2009 and interim periods within those fiscal years) which changes how a reporting entity determines whether or not to consolidate its interest in an entity that is insufficiently capitalized or is not controlled through voting (or similar) rights. The determination of whether a reporting entity is required to consolidate another entity will now be based on, among other things, the other entity's purpose and design and the reporting entity's ability to direct the activities of the other entity that most significantly impact the other entity's economic performance. The revised guidance also requires a reporting entity to provide additional disclosures about its involvement with variable interest entities and any significant changes in risk exposure due to that involvement. A reporting entity will be required to disclose how its involvement with a variable interest entity affects the reporting entity's financial statements. Management is currently evaluating the new guidance and has not yet completed its determination of the impact on ProAssurance's results of operations or financial position.

Fair Value

In August 2009 the FASB issued updated guidance regarding the valuation of liabilities at fair value; the guidance is effective for the first reporting period that begins after issuance of the guidance. The updated guidance clarifies that when a quoted price in an active market for an identical liability is not available, fair value should be determined using quoted prices for identical or similar liabilities traded as assets or using another valuation technique described in existing GAAP guidance for determining fair values. Such techniques include present value techniques, and techniques based on the amount that a reporting entity would pay on the measurement date to transfer or enter into an identical liability. Adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a significant effect on the valuation of ProAssurance liabilities.

Table of Contents

ProAssurance Corporation and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)
September 30, 2009

2. Fair Value Measurement

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. A three level hierarchy has been established for valuing assets and liabilities based on how transparent (observable) the inputs are that are used to determine fair value, with the inputs considered most observable categorized as Level 1 and those that are the least observable categorized as Level 3. Hierarchy levels are defined as follows:

Level 1: quoted (unadjusted) market prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities. For ProAssurance, Level 1 inputs are generally quotes for debt or equity securities actively traded in exchange or over-the-counter markets.

Level 2: market data obtained from sources independent of the reporting entity (observable inputs). For ProAssurance, Level 2 inputs generally include quoted prices in markets that are not active, quoted prices for similar assets/liabilities, and other observable inputs such as interest rates and yield curves that are generally available at commonly quoted intervals.

Level 3: the reporting entity's own assumptions about market participant assumptions based on the best information available in the circumstances (non-observable inputs). For ProAssurance, Level 3 inputs are used in situations where little or no Level 1 or 2 inputs are available or are inappropriate given the particular circumstances. Level 3 inputs include results from pricing models and discounted cash flow methodologies as well as adjustments to externally quoted prices that are based on management judgment or estimation.

The following tables present information about ProAssurance's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2009, and indicate the fair value hierarchy of the valuation techniques utilized to determine such value. For some assets, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. When this is the case, the asset is categorized based on the level of the most significant input to the fair value measurement. ProAssurance's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement requires judgment, and considers factors specific to the assets being valued.

Table of Contents

ProAssurance Corporation and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)
September 30, 2009

2. Fair Value Measurement (continued)

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2009, including financial instruments for which ProAssurance has elected fair value accounting, are as follows:

<i>(In thousands)</i>	September 30, 2009			Total Fair Value
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Assets:				
Fixed maturities, available for sale				
Government/government agencies	\$	\$ 228,253	\$	\$ 228,253
State and municipal bonds		1,476,664	9,585	1,486,249
Corporate bonds		983,831	20,685	1,004,516
Residential mortgage-backed securities		570,871		570,871
Commercial mortgage-backed securities		162,155		162,155
Other asset-backed securities		71,455	905	72,360
Equity securities, available for sale	3,798			3,798
Equity securities, trading	39,353			39,353
Short-term investments ⁽¹⁾	108,875	29,033		137,908
Other investments ⁽²⁾			14,810	14,810
Total assets	\$ 152,026	\$ 3,522,262	\$ 45,985	\$ 3,720,273
Liabilities:				
2019 Note Payable	\$	\$	\$ 14,264	\$ 14,264
Interest rate swap agreement			3,707	3,707
Total liabilities	\$	\$	\$ 17,971	\$ 17,971

(1) Short-term investments are reported at amortized cost, which approximates fair value.

(2) Our other investments also include \$31.1 million of investments accounted for using the cost

method that are
not included in
the table above.

Level 3 assets in the table consist primarily of auction rate municipal bonds (included in State and Municipal bonds), private placement senior notes (included in Corporate bonds), an asset-backed bond (included in Other asset-backed securities) and a beneficial interest in asset-backed securities held in a private investment fund (included in Other Investments).

The auction rate municipal bonds are rated A or better. The private placement senior notes are unconditionally guaranteed by large regional banks rated AA- or better. The asset-backed bond is rated AA and is collateralized by a timber trust. The fair values of these three types of assets are primarily derived using pricing models, which may require multiple market input parameters, considered appropriate for the asset being valued.

The asset-backed securities held in a private investment fund are primarily backed by manufactured housing, recreational vehicle receivables, and subprime securities. These securities have an average rating of CCC, and are valued using a broker dealer quote.

Table of Contents

ProAssurance Corporation and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)
September 30, 2009

2. Fair Value Measurement (continued)

The following tables present additional information about assets and liabilities measured at fair value using Level 3 inputs, including financial instruments for which ProAssurance has elected fair value accounting, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009:

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Level 3 Fair Value Measurements				Assets	
	State and Municipal Bonds	Corporate Bonds	Asset-backed Securities	Equity Securities	Other Investments	Total
Balance June 30, 2009	\$ 8,954	\$ 23,050	\$ 759	\$ 72	\$14,082	\$ 46,917
Total gains (losses) realized and unrealized:						
Included in earnings, as a part of net realized investment gains (losses)		(16)		(72)		(88)
Included in other comprehensive income	706	427	146		1,006	2,285
Purchases, sales or settlements	(75)	(689)			(278)	(1,042)
Transfers in						
Transfers out		(2,087)				(2,087)
Balance September 30, 2009	\$ 9,585	\$ 20,685	\$ 905	\$	\$14,810	\$ 45,985
The amount of total gains (losses) for the three months ended September 30, 2009 included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains (losses) relating to assets still held at September 30, 2009	\$	\$ (16)	\$	\$ (72)	\$	\$ (88)
Balance January 1, 2009	\$	\$ 36,472	\$ 1,327	\$ 357	\$14,576	\$ 52,732
Total gains (losses) realized and						

unrealized:						
Included in earnings, as a part of net realized investment gains (losses)		(342)		(357)	(536)	(1,235)
Included in other comprehensive income	(315)	196	114		1,081	1,076
Purchases, sales or settlements	(125)	(11,385)	(21)		(311)	(11,842)
Transfers in	10,025	2,000				12,025
Transfers out		(6,256)	(515)			(6,771)
Balance September 30, 2009	\$ 9,585	\$ 20,685	\$ 905	\$	\$ 14,810	\$ 45,985
The amount of total gains (losses) for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains (losses) relating to assets still held at September 30, 2009	\$	\$ (342)	\$	\$(357)	\$ (536)	\$ (1,235)

Table of Contents

ProAssurance Corporation and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)
September 30, 2009

2. Fair Value Measurement (continued)

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Level 3 Fair Value Measurements 2019 Note Payable	Interest rate swap agreement	Liabilities Total
Balance June 30, 2009	\$(13,903)	\$(3,301)	\$(17,204)
Total gains (losses) realized and unrealized:			
Included in earnings as a part of net realized investment gains (losses)	(546)	(406)	(952)
Included in other comprehensive income			
Purchases, sales or settlements	185		185
Transfers in			
Transfers out			
Balance September 30, 2009	\$(14,264)	\$(3,707)	\$(17,971)

The amount of total gains (losses) for the three months ended September 30, 2009 included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains (losses) relating to liabilities still held at September 30, 2009

\$ (546)	\$ (406)	\$ (952)
----------	----------	----------

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Level 3 Fair Value Measurements 2019 Note Payable	Interest rate swap agreement	Liabilities Total
Balance January 1, 2009	\$	\$	\$
Total gains (losses) realized and unrealized:			
Included in earnings as a part of net realized investment gains (losses)	(1,843)	982	(861)
Included in other comprehensive income			
Purchases, sales or settlements	(12,421)	(4,689)	(17,110)
Transfers in			
Transfers out			
Balance September 30, 2009	\$(14,264)	\$(3,707)	\$(17,971)

The amount of total gains (losses) for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains (losses) relating to liabilities still held at September 30, 2009

\$ (1,843)	\$ 982	\$ (861)
------------	--------	----------

Transfers into Level 3 include:

A corporate bond valued at \$2 million was valued using multiple observable inputs at December 31, 2008, but such information has not been available in 2009. In 2009, the bond has been valued using a single broker dealer quote.

Municipal bonds totaling \$10 million were valued using multiple observable inputs at December 31, 2008. Such inputs have been unavailable in 2009 and the bonds were valued using a pricing model at both June 30, 2009 and September 30, 2009.

We transferred the following securities out of Level 3 to Level 2. While there was no active market for the security or nearly identical securities during the latter portion of 2008, market activity increased in 2009, which provided multiple observable inputs that could be used to value the securities.

Asset-backed securities valued at \$515,000.

A private placement bond (included in Corporate bonds) valued at \$4 million that was a new issue during 2008.

Two corporate bonds, having a combined value of \$2.2 million.

Table of Contents

ProAssurance Corporation and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)
September 30, 2009

2. Fair Value Measurement (continued)***Fair Value Option Elections***

ProAssurance elected to account for a liability assumed in the acquisition of PICA at fair value on a recurring basis, specifically the 2019 Note Payable bearing a floating interest rate discussed further in Note 8. The 2019 Note Payable has a related interest rate swap intended to mitigate the market risk of future interest rate changes on the 2019 Note Payable. The interest rate swap is carried at fair value with changes in fair value recorded in other income. Electing the fair value option allows ProAssurance to account for the note payable at fair value, which is more consistent with management's view of the underlying economics and reduces the accounting irregularity that would otherwise result from carrying the note payable on an amortized cost basis and the interest rate swap at fair value. As of September 30, 2009, the 2019 Note Payable had a fair value of \$14.3 million recorded in Long-term Debt and an outstanding principal balance of \$17.8 million. During the third quarter of 2009 the fair value of the interest rate swap liability increased by \$406,000 and the fair value of the 2019 Note Payable increased by \$546,000; a combined loss of \$952,000 was recognized related to the changes in fair value. Year-to-date in 2009, the fair value of the interest rate swap liability decreased by \$1.0 million and the fair value of the 2019 Note Payable increased by \$1.8 million; a combined loss of \$861,000 was recognized related to the changes in fair value. Gains or losses from changes in the fair value of the 2019 Note Payable and related interest rate swap are included in net realized investments gains (losses) on the ProAssurance income statement.

3. Acquisitions

Each of the following business combination transactions has a 2009 acquisition date and accordingly all have been accounted for in accordance with the December 2007 revisions to GAAP relating to business combinations. The final purchase price allocations of all acquired businesses are subject to the completion of the valuation of certain assets and liabilities and will be finalized within one year of the transaction date or sooner. All of the entities acquired in 2009 are considered to be a part of ProAssurance's pre-existing reporting segment, the professional liability segment. ProAssurance operates in a single reporting segment.

ProAssurance acquired 100% of the outstanding shares of Mid-Continent and Georgia Lawyers during the first quarter of 2009 as a means of expanding its professional liability business. Assets acquired and liabilities assumed were recorded based on estimated fair values as of the date of acquisition. The excess of the purchase price over the fair values of the identifiable net assets acquired was recognized as goodwill totaling \$18.0 million for the two acquisitions. Approximately \$17 million of the goodwill is expected to be tax deductible. The consideration for these acquisitions included 100,533 ProAssurance common shares, which were reissued from treasury stock. The shares, which had a cost basis of approximately \$5.0 million, were valued at \$5.2 million, based on the market value of ProAssurance common shares on the date of closing.

On April 1, 2009 ProAssurance acquired Podiatry Insurance Company of America and subsidiaries (PICA) through a cash sponsored demutualization as a means of expanding its professional liability insurance operations. PICA provides professional liability insurance primarily to podiatric physicians, chiropractors and other healthcare providers throughout the United States and had gross written premium of approximately \$96 million in 2008. ProAssurance purchased all of PICA's outstanding stock created in the demutualization for \$120 million in cash and \$15 million in premium credits to eligible policyholders to be paid over a three year period beginning in 2010. Total purchase consideration transferred had a fair value of \$133.8 million on the acquisition date, April 1, 2009. As summarized in the table below, the purchase consideration was allocated, on a preliminary basis, to the acquired assets and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values on the acquisition date. Preliminary goodwill of \$29.0 million was recognized equal to the excess of the

Table of Contents

ProAssurance Corporation and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)
September 30, 2009

3. Acquisitions (continued)

purchase price over the net fair value of the identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed. None of the goodwill is expected to be tax deductible. ProAssurance incurred expenses related to the purchase of approximately \$2.5 million during 2009, primarily in the second quarter, and \$710,000 during 2008, primarily in the fourth quarter. These expenses have been included as a part of insurance expenses in the period incurred.

The fair value of identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the PICA acquisition by major category are as follows:

(In thousands)

Preliminary fair value of identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed:

Fixed maturities, available for sale	\$ 218,766
Equity securities, available for sale	1,193
Equity securities, trading	15,628
Short-term investments	14,114
Premiums receivable	19,426
Reinsurance recoverable	3,998
Intangible assets:	
Goodwill	29,034
Other intangibles	23,200
Real estate	20,178
Deferred tax assets	13,833
Other assets	15,635
Reserve for losses and loss adjustment expenses	(155,176)
Unearned premiums	(47,183)
Long-term debt	(16,803)
Other liabilities	(22,043)
 Fair value of net assets acquired	 \$ 133,800

ProAssurance believes that all contractual cash flows related to acquired receivables will be collected. The fair value of net assets acquired includes preliminary fair value adjustments to record real estate assets at appraised market values. Certain liabilities were also adjusted including long-term debt fair valued using average spreads for financial instruments with similar credit ratings and maturities and an interest rate swap valued by determining the present value of the future cash flows. The fair value of reserves for losses and loss adjustment expenses and related reinsurance recoverables were estimated based on the present value of the expected underlying net cash flows including a profit margin and a risk premium and were determined to be materially the same as the recorded cost basis acquired.

Intangible assets acquired include the following:

<i>(In millions)</i>	Estimated Fair Value	Estimated Useful Life
Trade names	\$ 2.0	7 years

Renewal rights	\$ 5.2	15 years
Non-compete agreements	\$ 0.7	2 years
Internally developed software	\$ 1.7	5 years
State license agreements	\$13.6	Indefinite

Intangibles with definite lives are being amortized over the estimated useful life of the asset. Intangibles with an indefinite life are not amortized.

The following table discloses the amount of PICA revenues and earnings since the acquisition on April 1, 2009 that are included in ProAssurance consolidated results for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The table also includes supplemental pro forma information reflecting the combined results of ProAssurance and PICA as if the acquisition had occurred at the beginning of the current and prior year annual reporting periods (on January 1, 2009 and January 1, 2008, respectively), adjusted to exclude transaction costs and include pro forma amortization of certain intangibles recognized in the purchase price allocation.

Table of Contents

ProAssurance Corporation and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)
September 30, 2009

3. Acquisitions (continued)

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Actual PICA Results Included in ProAssurance Consolidated Results Nine Months Ended September 30 2009	Supplemental Pro forma Combined Results Nine Months Ended September 30	
	2009	2009	2008
Revenue	\$ 58,329	\$513,728	\$511,017
Earnings	\$ 5,769	\$145,316	\$109,920

4. Investments

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of available-for-sale fixed maturities and equity securities are as follows:

<i>(In thousands)</i>	September 30, 2009			Estimated
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
Fixed maturities				
U.S. Treasury and agency obligations	\$ 221,709	\$ 7,193	\$ (649)	\$ 228,253
State and municipal bonds	1,414,438	73,288	(1,477)	1,486,249
Corporate bonds	970,257	41,341	(7,082)	1,004,516
Residential mortgage-backed securities	557,021	26,262	(12,412)	570,871
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	164,190	2,319	(4,354)	162,155
Other asset-backed securities	70,377	2,646	(663)	72,360
	3,397,992	153,049	(26,637)	3,524,404
Equity securities	2,755	1,115	(72)	3,798
	\$3,400,747	\$154,164	\$(26,709)	\$3,528,202

<i>(In thousands)</i>	December 31, 2008			Estimated
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
Fixed maturities				
U.S. Treasury and agency obligations	\$ 172,653	\$ 6,992	\$ (2,477)	\$ 177,168
State and municipal bonds	1,349,430	26,268	(19,492)	1,356,206
Corporate bonds	627,811	6,823	(40,852)	593,782

Edgar Filing: PROASSURANCE CORP - Form 10-Q

Residential mortgage-backed securities	576,537	17,932	(10,082)	584,387
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	193,737		(22,878)	170,859
Other asset-backed securities	84,653	120	(5,607)	79,166
	3,004,821	58,135	(101,388)	2,961,568
Equity securities	7,949	558	(1,526)	6,981
	\$3,012,770	\$58,693	\$(102,914)	\$2,968,549

ProAssurance maintains a direct beneficial interest in a private investment fund focused on managing high yield asset-backed bonds. The securities held in the fund are included in Other Investments, at fair value totaling \$9.6 million at September 30, 2009 (recorded cost basis of \$19.5 million). These securities are evaluated for other-than-temporary impairment quarterly. At September 30, 2009 unrealized losses reflect continued dislocations in the markets for these securities. Management's evaluation of expected future cash flows does not indicate additional credit loss related to the securities.

Proceeds from sales of fixed maturities and equity securities during the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008 are \$333.4 million and \$376.7 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

ProAssurance Corporation and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)
September 30, 2009

4. Investments (continued)

Net realized investment gains (losses) are comprised of the following:

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended September 30		Nine Months Ended September 30	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Gross realized gains	\$ 5,896	\$ 2,395	\$ 12,463	\$ 3,484
Gross realized (losses)	(2,304)	(5,495)	(3,746)	(6,438)
Other-than-temporary impairment (losses)	(88)	(29,862)	(10,400)	(36,169)
Trading portfolio net gains (losses)	4,723	(1,274)	7,366	(1,888)
Fair value adjustments, net	(952)		(861)	
Net realized investment gains (losses)	\$ 7,275	\$(34,236)	\$ 4,822	\$(41,011)

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, ProAssurance recorded other-than-temporary impairment losses as listed in the table below.

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended September 30		Nine Months Ended September 30	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Total other-than-temporary impairment losses (realized and unrealized):				
Residential mortgage-backed securities ⁽¹⁾	\$	\$ (788)	\$ (2,703)	\$ (5,930)
Corporate bonds	(16)	(19,606)	(3,749)	(20,119)
Equities	(72)	(9,468)	(494)	(9,767)
Other ⁽²⁾			(3,626)	(353)
Portion recognized in Other Comprehensive Income ⁽³⁾ :				
Residential mortgage-backed securities			172	
Net impairment losses recognized in earnings	\$ (88)	\$(29,862)	\$ (10,400)	\$(36,169)

(1) Includes unrealized impairment losses of approximately \$61,000 that were recognized in earnings in the first quarter of 2009 but reclassified

from retained
earnings to
other
comprehensive
income on
April 1, 2009

- (2) Includes \$3.1 million in the first quarter of 2009 related to a reduction of the amount expected to be received from the dissolution of the Reserve Primary Fund
- (3) In accordance with GAAP, prior to April 1, 2009 all OTTI losses were recognized in earnings

Table of Contents

ProAssurance Corporation and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)
September 30, 2009

4. Investments (continued)

The following table presents a roll forward of cumulative credit losses recorded in earnings related to impaired debt securities for which the non-credit portion of the other-than-temporary impairment is recorded in Other Comprehensive Income.

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended September 30, 2009	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009
Balance beginning of period	\$1,404	\$ 0
Credit losses recognized related to impaired securities held at April 1, 2009 for which a portion of the impairment is recorded in Other Comprehensive Income (see Note 1 regarding impairments)		
Additional credit losses recognized during the period, related to securities for which:		
No OTTI has been previously recognized		1,329
OTTI has been previously recognized		75
Reductions due to:		
Securities sold during the period (realized)		
Securities which will be sold in coming periods		
Securities for which it has become more likely than not that the security will be required to be sold prior to anticipated recovery of amortized cost basis		
Accretion recognized during the period related to cash flows that are expected to exceed the amortized cost basis of the security		
Balance September 30, 2009	\$1,404	\$ 1,404

Credit losses recognized in 2009 included residential mortgage backed securities and corporate bonds. ProAssurance estimates the portion of loss attributable to credit using a discounted cash flow model that relies on actual collateral performance measures (default rate, voluntary prepayment rate, and loss severity), if available, or sector based assumptions if not. These assumptions are applied throughout the remaining term of the security, based upon the underlying transactions structure, including payment priorities and performance triggers.

The following table provides summarized information with respect to available-for-sale securities held in an unrealized loss position at September 30, 2009, including the length of time the securities have been held in a continuous unrealized loss position.

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Total		September 30, 2009		More than 12 months	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss

Fixed maturities, available for sale						
U.S. Treasury and agency obligations	\$ 39,819	\$ (649)	\$ 32,785	\$ (581)	\$ 7,034	\$ (68)
State and municipal bonds	43,583	(1,477)	12,107	(353)	31,476	(1,124)
Corporate bonds	122,820	(7,082)	46,254	(1,320)	76,566	(5,762)
Residential mortgage-backed securities	44,113	(12,412)	17,813	(5,205)	26,300	(7,207)
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	73,121	(4,354)	8,574	(32)	64,547	(4,322)
Other asset-backed securities	7,549	(663)			7,549	(663)
	331,005	(26,637)	117,533	(7,491)	213,472	(19,146)
Common and preferred stocks	463	(72)	216	(17)	247	(55)
	\$331,468	\$ (26,709)	\$ 117,749	\$ (7,508)	\$ 213,719	\$ (19,201)

Table of Contents

ProAssurance Corporation and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)
September 30, 2009

4. Investments (continued)

As of September 30, 2009, there are 206 debt securities in an unrealized loss position representing 194 issuers. After an evaluation of each debt security, management concluded that these securities have not suffered an other-than-temporary impairment in value. The unrealized losses shown in the table are primarily from higher market yields relative to the book yields of the securities. Each fixed maturity security has paid all scheduled contractual payments and was assessed as to whether it would continue to do so. Asset-backed securities were modeled to determine if they would maintain assumed cash flows using nine-month historical collateral data. Management does not intend to sell and believes ProAssurance will not be required to sell any of the debt securities held in an unrealized loss position before its anticipated recovery.

Management believes each of the equity securities in an unrealized loss position, given the characteristics of the underlying company, industry, and price volatility of the security will be valued at or above book value in the near term. Management has the intent and believes ProAssurance has the ability, due to the duration of ProAssurance's overall portfolio and positive operating cash flows, to hold the securities (that are in an unrealized loss position) to recovery of book value.

The recorded cost basis and estimated fair value of available-for-sale securities at September 30, 2009, by contractual maturity, are shown below. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties. ProAssurance uses the call date as the contractual maturity for prerefunded state and municipal bonds which are 100% backed by U.S. Treasury obligations.

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Amortized Cost	Due in one year or less	Due after one year through five years	Due after five years through ten years	Due after ten years	Total Fair Value
Fixed maturities, available for sale						
U.S. Treasury and Agency obligations	\$ 221,709	\$ 31,542	\$ 95,056	\$ 97,875	\$ 3,780	\$ 228,253
State and municipal bonds	1,414,438	44,802	319,747	695,715	425,985	1,486,249
Corporate bonds	970,257	69,766	607,874	308,477	18,399	1,004,516
Residential mortgage-backed securities	557,021					570,871
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	164,190					162,155
Asset-backed securities	70,377					72,360
	3,397,992					3,524,404
Common and preferred stocks	2,755					3,798
	\$ 3,400,747					\$ 3,528,202

Table of Contents

ProAssurance Corporation and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)
September 30, 2009

5. Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes is different from that which would be obtained by applying the statutory Federal income tax rate to income before taxes primarily because a portion of ProAssurance's investment income is tax-exempt.

6. Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs

Policy acquisition costs, most significantly commissions, premium taxes, and underwriting salaries, that are primarily and directly related to the production of new and renewal premiums are capitalized as policy acquisition costs and amortized to expense as the related premium revenues are earned.

Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs are \$13.2 million and \$36.9 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, respectively, and \$11.7 million and \$36.0 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, respectively.

7. Reserves for Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses

The reserve for losses is established based on estimates of individual claims and actuarially determined estimates of future losses based on ProAssurance's past loss experience, available industry data and projections as to future claims frequency, severity, inflationary trends and settlement patterns. Estimating reserves, and particularly liability reserves, is a complex process. Claims may be resolved over an extended period of time, often five years or more, and may be subject to litigation. Estimating losses for liability claims requires ProAssurance to make and revise judgments and assessments regarding multiple uncertainties over an extended period of time. As a result, reserve estimates may vary significantly from the eventual outcome. The assumptions used in establishing ProAssurance's reserves are regularly reviewed and updated by management as new data becomes available. Changes to estimates of previously established reserves are included in earnings in the period in which the estimate is changed.

ProAssurance recognized favorable net loss development of \$42.5 million related to previously established reserves for the three months ended September 30, 2009, and recognized \$98.0 million of favorable net loss development for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The favorable net loss development reflects reductions in the Company's estimates of claim severity, principally for the 2004 through 2007 accident years.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, ProAssurance recognized favorable net loss development of \$30.0 million and \$81.3 million, respectively, to reflect reductions in estimated claim severity principally for accident years 2004 through 2007, including \$3.7 million recognized in the second quarter of 2008 related to prior year reinsurance contracts that were commuted during the period.

Table of Contents

ProAssurance Corporation and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)
September 30, 2009

8. Long-term Debt

ProAssurance's outstanding long-term debt consists of the following as of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008. All ProAssurance long-term debt is currently repayable or redeemable, with proper notice, at a date no later than the next quarterly or semi-annual interest payment date. Insurance department approval is required for redemption of surplus notes.

	<i>(In thousands)</i>	
	2009	2008
Trust Preferred Securities/Debentures due 2034, unsecured, bearing interest at a variable rate of LIBOR plus 3.85%, adjusted quarterly (4.3% at September 30, 2009). Estimated fair value at September 30, 2009 is \$21.7 million*.	\$22,992	\$22,992
Surplus Notes due May 2034, unsecured, principal of \$12 million, net of unamortized discount of \$62,000 at December 31, 2008, bearing interest at a variable rate of LIBOR plus 3.85%, adjusted quarterly (4.3% at September 30, 2009). Estimated fair value at September 30, 2009 is \$11.3 million*.	12,000	11,938
Note Payable due February 2019, carried at fair value, principal of \$17.8 million. Bearing a variable rate of LIBOR plus 0.7%, see information below regarding the associated interest rate swap, secured by available-for-sale securities having a fair value at September 30, 2009 of approximately \$26.5 million.	14,264	
Surplus Note due February 2012, unsecured, principal of \$517,000, net of discount of \$48,000 at September 30, 2009, bearing interest at the U.S. prime rate, paid and adjusted quarterly (3.25% at September 30, 2009). Estimated fair value at September 30, 2009 is \$514,000*.	469	
	\$49,725	\$34,930

* Fair values given are based on the present value of expected underlying cash flows of the debt, discounted at rates available at September 30, 2009 for similar debt issued by entities with a

similar credit standing to ProAssurance or, if issued by an insurance subsidiary, the subsidiary issuing the debt.

Debt Assumed in Acquisitions

The Note Payable due February 2019 (the 2019 Note Payable) was assumed in ProAssurance's acquisition of PICA and is a secured obligation of PICA. Principal and interest payable are paid monthly with the principal amortizing over the life of the loan. The entire remaining principal shall be due and payable on February 1, 2019. PICA is required to maintain collateral security for the loan in an amount at least equal to the outstanding principal balance. The 2019 Note Payable is not guaranteed by ProAssurance or any of its subsidiaries other than PICA. The 2019 Note Payable has been recorded at its acquisition date fair value; and additionally, ProAssurance has elected to account for the 2019 Note Payable at fair value on a recurring basis.

Future maturities of the 2019 Note Payable as of September 30, 2009 are as follows:

2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	Thereafter
\$71,100	\$303,100	\$324,600	\$344,000	\$370,900	\$16,396,700

Table of Contents

ProAssurance Corporation and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)
September 30, 2009

8. Long-term Debt (continued)

PICA is subject to certain debt covenants related to the 2019 Note Payable. The covenants are of the nature routinely associated with loans of this type and include the following:

a requirement that PICA maintain a debt service coverage ratio of 1:1, measured annually. The ratio is computed as net income (as defined by GAAP) plus depreciation, interest, amortization and income taxes divided by aggregate principal and interest payments on all of PICA's debt.

a requirement that PICA maintain a A.M. Best insurance rating of B++ Good or better.

a restriction on the sale, lease or transfer of a substantial, material portion of PICA's assets without the approval of the bank

PICA is currently in compliance with all covenants.

PICA is party to an interest rate swap agreement (the swap) with the 2019 Note Payable issuing bank, the purpose of which is to reduce the market risk from changes in future interest rates relative to the 2019 Note Payable. The swap fixes the interest rate related to the Note Payable at 6.6%. The swap will terminate February 1, 2019. The notional amount of the swap corresponds directly to the unamortized portion of the debt being hedged each month. Under the swap agreement, PICA agrees to exchange, at monthly intervals, the difference between the fixed-rate and LIBOR variable rate by reference to the notional principal amount. The fair value of the interest rate swap at September 30, 2009 is \$3.7 million and is classified within Other Liabilities.

ProAssurance's PICA subsidiary has a revolving credit facility with a bank in the amount of \$3.0 million. The expiration date of the line of credit is August 1, 2010 and the line bears an interest rate of LIBOR plus 1.25%. Outstanding balances under the facility must be collateralized by securities of an equal or greater value. There was no outstanding balance as of September 30, 2009.

As a result of the acquisition, ProAssurance also assumed liability for PICA's outstanding Surplus Notes due May 2033 (the 2033 Surplus Notes) having an outstanding principal balance of \$7.0 million. ProAssurance redeemed the 2033 Surplus Notes at par, for cash, on August 24, 2009. Because the 2033 Surplus Notes were valued at fair value on the date of acquisition, but were redeemed at par, a pre-tax loss of approximately \$2.8 million (\$1.8 million, net of tax) was incurred in the third quarter of 2009 related to the redemption.

In connection with the acquisition of Georgia Lawyers, ProAssurance issued a surplus note (the 2012 Surplus Note) due February 2012. The 2012 Surplus Note is the unsecured obligation of ProAssurance. Under the agreement ProAssurance may repay the note, plus any accrued and unpaid interest, at any time without penalty or fee.

The 2019 Note Payable and the 2012 Surplus Note were recorded at fair value on the acquisition date as required by GAAP. The resulting discount to the 2012 Surplus Note is being amortized over the remaining life of the debt using the effective interest method. Such amortization is recorded in the financial statements as additional interest expense. The purchase adjustment related to the 2019 Note Payable is not being amortized since ProAssurance has elected fair value treatment for this debt.

Additional Information

For additional information regarding the terms of ProAssurance's outstanding long-term debt see Note 11 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in ProAssurance's December 31, 2008 Annual Report on Form 10K.

Table of Contents

ProAssurance Corporation and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)
September 30, 2009

9. Stockholders Equity

At September 30, 2009 ProAssurance had 100 million shares of authorized common stock and 50 million shares of authorized preferred stock. The Board of Directors of ProAssurance Corporation (the Board) has the authority to determine the provisions for the issuance of preferred shares, including the number of shares to be issued, the designations, powers, preferences and rights, and the qualifications, limitations or restrictions of such shares. As of September 30, 2009 the Board of Directors has not approved the issuance of preferred stock.

ProAssurance repurchased approximately 881,000 common shares, having a total cost of \$38.1 million, during the nine months ended September 30, 2009 (including approximately 41,000 shares at a total cost of \$2.1 million during the three months ended September 30, 2009). ProAssurance repurchased approximately 1.6 million common shares, having a total cost of \$80.3 million, during the nine months ended September 30, 2008 (including approximately 360,000 shares at a total cost of \$17.3 million during the three months ended September 30, 2008). ProAssurance reissued 100,533 treasury shares, having a cost basis of approximately \$5.0 million, during the first quarter of 2009 as a part of the consideration for acquisitions completed in the quarter.

The Board of Directors of ProAssurance authorized \$150 million in April 2007; an additional \$100 million in August 2008, and an additional \$100 million in September 2009, for the repurchase of common shares or the retirement of outstanding debt. Approximately \$129.3 million of the amounts authorized by the Board remain available for use at September 30, 2009.

Share-based compensation expense is approximately \$1.7 million and \$4.9 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, respectively (the related tax benefit is approximately \$592,000 and \$1.7 million, respectively). Share-based compensation expense is approximately \$1.8 million and \$6.4 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, respectively (the related tax benefit is approximately \$621,000 and \$2.2 million, respectively).

ProAssurance granted approximately 29,000 shares of restricted stock to certain employees on February 26, 2009. The awards 100% vest on February 26, 2012 based on a service requirement. The fair value of each restricted share was estimated at \$47.70, equal to the market value of a ProAssurance common share on the date of grant.

In February 2009, ProAssurance issued approximately 44,000 common shares related to performance share awards granted in 2006. The awards were issued at the maximum level (125% of target) based on performance levels achieved. Cash was given in lieu of shares sufficient to satisfy required tax withholdings. ProAssurance granted approximately 71,000 (target) Performance Shares awards to employees during the first quarter of 2009. The Performance Shares 100% vest at the end of a three year period based upon requirements for continued service and achievement of specified performance goals. The number of shares ultimately awarded can vary from 75% to 125% of the target award depending upon the degree to which goals are achieved. The fair value of each Performance Share was estimated at \$47.70, equal to the market value of a ProAssurance common share on the date of grant.

Table of Contents

ProAssurance Corporation and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)
September 30, 2009

10. Commitments and Contingencies

As a result of the acquisition of ProAssurance National Capital Insurance Company (PRA National), formerly known as NCRIC, Inc., in 2005, ProAssurance assumed the risk of loss for a judgment (the Judgment) entered against PRA National on February 20, 2004 by a District of Columbia Superior Court in favor of Columbia Hospital for Women Medical Center, Inc. (CHW). The Judgment was appealed to the District of Columbia Court of Appeals, which affirmed the Judgment in October 2008 and denied PRA National's petition for rehearing in January 2009. ProAssurance included a liability of \$19.5 million related to the Judgment and post trial interest as a component of the fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the purchase price allocation in 2005, and continued to accrue post trial interest thereafter. In April 2009, PRA National paid approximately \$20.8 million to CHW which represents a full settlement of the Judgment, except with regard to a pending settlement setoff of less than \$250,000.

ProAssurance is involved in various other legal actions arising primarily from claims against ProAssurance related to insurance policies and claims handling, including but not limited to claims asserted by policyholders. Such legal actions have been considered by ProAssurance in establishing its loss and loss adjustment expense reserves. The outcome of such legal actions is not presently determinable for a number of reasons. For example, in the event that ProAssurance or its insureds receive adverse verdicts, post-trial motions may be denied, in whole or in part; any appeals that may be undertaken may be unsuccessful; ProAssurance may be unsuccessful in legal efforts to limit the scope of coverage available to its insureds, and ProAssurance may become a party to bad faith litigation over the amount of the judgment above an insured's policy limits. ProAssurance's management is of the opinion, based on consultation with legal counsel, that the resolution of these actions will not have a material adverse effect on ProAssurance's financial position. However, the ultimate cost of resolving these legal actions may differ from the reserves established; the resulting difference could have a material effect on ProAssurance's results of operations for the period in which any such action is resolved.

Table of Contents

ProAssurance Corporation and Subsidiaries
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)
September 30, 2009

11. Earnings Per Share

The following table provides detailed information regarding the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per share for each period presented:

<i>(In thousands, except per share data)</i>	Three Months Ended September 30		Nine Months Ended September 30	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
<i>Basic earnings per share calculation:</i>				
<i>Numerator:</i>				
Net income	\$55,201	\$22,247	\$137,449	\$101,433
 <i>Denominator:</i>				
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding	32,701	33,496	32,988	32,519
 <i>Basic earnings per share</i>	 \$ 1.69	 \$ 0.66	 \$ 4.17	 \$ 3.12
 <i>Diluted earnings per share calculation:</i>				
<i>Numerator:</i>				
Net income	\$55,201	\$22,247	\$137,449	\$101,433
Effect of assumed conversion of contingently convertible debt instruments				1,484
Net income diluted computation	\$55,201	\$22,247	\$137,449	\$102,917
 <i>Denominator:</i>				
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding	32,701	33,496	32,988	32,519
Assumed exercise of dilutive stock options and issuance of performance shares	322	342	279	318
Assumed conversion of contingently convertible debt Instruments		28		1,724
Diluted weighted average equivalent shares	33,023	33,866	33,267	34,561
 <i>Diluted earnings per share</i>	 \$ 1.67	 \$ 0.66	 \$ 4.13	 \$ 2.98

In accordance with GAAP guidance regarding the computation of earnings per share, the diluted weighted average number of shares outstanding includes an incremental adjustment for the assumed exercise of dilutive stock options. Stock options are considered dilutive stock options if the assumed exercise of the options, using the treasury stock

method, produces an increased number of shares. Approximately 489,000 and 354,000 of ProAssurance's outstanding options, on average, were not considered to be dilutive during the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes to those statements which accompany this report as well as our Annual Report on Form 10K for the year ended December 31, 2008, which includes a glossary of insurance terms and phrases. Throughout the discussion, references to ProAssurance, PRA, we, us and our refers to ProAssurance Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries. The discussion contains certain forward-looking information that involves risks and uncertainties. As discussed under Forward-Looking Statements, our actual financial condition and operating results could differ significantly from these forward-looking statements.

Critical Accounting Estimates

Our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements are prepared in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). Preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts we report on those statements. We evaluate these estimates and assumptions on an ongoing basis based on current and historical developments, market conditions, industry trends and other information that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. There can be no assurance that actual results will conform to our estimates and assumptions; reported results of operations may be materially affected by changes in these estimates and assumptions.

Management considers the following accounting estimates to be critical because they involve significant judgment by management and the effect of those judgments could result in a material effect on our financial statements.

Reserve for Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses (reserve for losses or reserve)

The largest component of our liabilities is our reserve for losses and the largest component of expense for our operations is incurred losses. Net losses in any period reflect our estimate of net losses incurred related to the premiums earned in that period as well as any changes to our estimates of the reserve established for net losses of prior periods.

The estimation of professional liability losses is inherently difficult. Ultimate loss costs, even for claims with similar characteristics, vary significantly depending upon many factors, including but not limited to, the nature of the claim and the personal situation of the claimant or the claimant's family, the outcome of jury trials, the legislative and judicial climate where the insured event occurred, general economic conditions and, for medical professional liability, the trend of health care costs. Professional liability claims are typically resolved over an extended period of time, often five years or more. The combination of changing conditions and the extended time required for claim resolution results in a loss cost estimation process that requires actuarial skill and the application of judgment, and such estimates require periodic revision.

In establishing our reserve for losses, management considers a variety of factors including claims frequency, historical paid and incurred loss development trends, the effect of inflation, general economic trends and the legal and political environment. We perform an in-depth review of our reserve for losses on a semi-annual basis. Additionally, during each reporting period we update and review the data underlying the estimation of our reserve for losses and make adjustments that we believe best reflect emerging data. Any adjustments are reflected in the then-current operations. Due to the size of our reserve for losses, even a small percentage adjustment to these estimates could have a material effect on our results of operations for the period in which the adjustment is made.

Reinsurance

We use insurance and reinsurance (collectively, reinsurance) to provide capacity to write larger limits of liability, to provide protection against losses in excess of policy limits, and to stabilize underwriting results in years in which higher losses occur. The purchase of reinsurance does not relieve us from the ultimate risk on our policies, but it does provide reimbursement for certain losses we pay.

Table of Contents

We evaluate each of our ceded reinsurance contracts at inception to determine if there is sufficient risk transfer to allow the contract to be accounted for as reinsurance under current accounting guidance. At September 30, 2009 all ceded contracts are accounted for as risk transferring contracts.

Our receivable from reinsurers on unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses represents our estimate of the amount of our reserve for losses that will be recoverable under our reinsurance programs. We base our estimate of funds recoverable upon our expectation of ultimate losses and the portion of those losses that we estimate to be allocable to reinsurers based upon the terms of our reinsurance agreements. Our assessment of the collectability of the recorded amounts receivable from reinsurers considers the payment history of the reinsurer, publicly available financial and rating agency data, our interpretation of the underlying contracts and policies, and responses by reinsurers. Appropriate reserves are established for any balances we believe may not be collected.

Given the uncertainty of the ultimate amounts of our losses, our estimates of losses and related amounts recoverable may vary significantly from the eventual outcome. Also, we estimate premiums ceded under reinsurance agreements wherein the premium due to the reinsurer, subject to certain maximums and minimums, is based in part on losses reimbursed or to be reimbursed under the agreement. Any adjustments are reflected in then-current operations. Due to the size of our reinsurance balances, an adjustment to these estimates could have a material effect on our results of operations for the period in which the adjustment is made.

Investment Valuations

Virtually all of our financial assets are comprised of investments recorded at fair value. Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Assets and liabilities valued at fair value are classified as Level 1, 2, or 3 based on how transparent (observable) the inputs are that are used to determine the fair value with Level 1 being the most observable and Level 3 the least or not observable.

Of the Company's investments recorded at fair value totaling \$3.7 billion, approximately 99% of our investments are based on observable market prices or observable market parameters (i.e. broker quotes, benchmark yield curves, issuer spreads, bids, etc.). The availability of observable market prices and pricing parameters (referred to as observable inputs) can vary from investment to investment. We utilize observable inputs, when such inputs are available and relate to normal active markets. In many cases, we obtain multiple observable inputs for an investment to derive the fair value without requiring significant judgments.

We use a pricing service, Interactive Data Corporation (IDC), to value our investments that have an exchange traded price or multiple observable inputs related to comparable securities. Because most fixed income securities do not trade daily, values provided by IDC are generally based on evaluated pricing models. Such models vary by asset class and utilize data based on trade, bid and other market information as well as cash flow and available loan performance data for securities considered comparable to the security being valued. IDC has indicated that trade and bid data are included in its valuation models only after it has been scrutinized for consistency with other market information obtained or developed by IDC. We do not utilize IDC to price investments that do not have multiple observable inputs (Level 3). IDC discloses the inputs used for each asset class that it prices. We review the inputs for the asset classes we own in order to make the appropriate level designation.

All securities priced by IDC using an exchange traded price are designated by us as Level 1. Level 1 investments are currently limited to exchange traded common and preferred equity securities, and money market funds with quoted Net Asset Values (NAVs).

We designate as Level 2 those securities not actively traded on an exchange for which IDC uses multiple verifiable observable inputs including last reported trade, non-binding broker quotes, benchmark yield curves, issuer spreads, two sided markets, benchmark securities, bids, offers, and assumed prepayment speeds.

IDC provides a single price per instrument quoted. We review the pricing for reasonableness each quarter by comparing market yields generated by the supplied price versus market yields observed

Table of Contents

in the market place. If a supplied price is deemed unreasonable, we will challenge the price with IDC and make adjustments if deemed necessary. To date, we have not adjusted any prices supplied by IDC.

For securities that do not have multiple observable inputs (Level 3), we do not rely on a price from IDC. Our Level 3 assets are primarily non-publicly traded investments which are valued by management either using non-binding broker quotes or pricing models that utilize market based assumptions which have limited observable inputs including treasury yield levels, issuer spreads and non-binding broker quotes. The valuation techniques involve some degree of judgment. Approximately \$46.0 million of our investments (1% of investments recorded at fair value) are valued in this manner.

Most of our investments recorded at fair value are considered available-for-sale although the majority of our equity securities are classified as trading. For investments considered available-for-sale, changes in the fair value are recognized as unrealized gains and losses and are included, net of related tax effects, in stockholders' equity as a component of other comprehensive income (loss). Gains or losses on these investments are recognized in earnings in the period the investment is sold or when an other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) is deemed to have occurred. Changes in the fair value of investments considered as trading are recorded in realized investment gains and losses in the current period.

We also have other investments, primarily comprised of equity interests in private investment funds (non-public investment partnerships and limited liability companies), \$47.4 million of which are accounted for using the equity method and \$31.1 million of which are carried at cost. We evaluate these investments for OTTI by considering any declines in fair value below the recorded value. Determining whether there has been a decline in fair value involves assumptions and estimates as there are typically no observable inputs to determine the fair value of these investments.

We evaluate all our investments on at least a quarterly basis for declines in fair value that represent OTTI. Some of the factors we consider in the evaluation of our investments are:

- the extent to which the fair value of an investment is less than its recorded basis;

- the length of time for which the fair value of the investment has been less than its recorded basis;

- the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer underlying the investment, taking into consideration the economic prospects of the issuer's industry and geographical region, to the extent that information is publicly available;

- third party research and credit rating reports;

- the extent to which the decline in fair value is attributable to credit risk specifically associated with an investment or its issuer;

- the extent to which we believe market assessments of credit risk for a specific investment or category of investments are either well founded or are speculative;

- our internal assessments and those of our external portfolio managers regarding specific circumstances surrounding an investment, which can cause us to believe the investment is more or less likely to recover its value than other investments with a similar structure;

- for asset-backed securities: the origination date of the underlying loans, the remaining average life, the probability that credit performance of the underlying loans will deteriorate in the future, and our assessment of the quality of the collateral underlying the loan;

- for equity securities, our ability and intent to hold the investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value;

for debt securities, our intent to sell the security and whether or not we are more likely than not to be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis;

the historical and implied volatility of the fair value of the security;

the payment structure of the debt security (for example, nontraditional loan terms) and the likelihood of the issuer being able to make payments that increase in the future;

failure of the issuer of the security to make scheduled interest or principal payments;

Table of Contents

any changes to the rating of the security by a rating agency;

recoveries or additional declines in fair value subsequent to the balance sheet date.

Determining whether a decline in the fair value of investments is an OTTI may also involve a variety of assumptions and estimates, particularly for investments that are not actively traded in established markets or during periods of market dislocation. For example, assessing the value of certain investments requires us to perform an analysis of expected future cash flows or prepayments. For investments in tranches of structured transactions, we are required to assess the credit worthiness of the underlying investments of the structured transaction.

When we judge a decline in fair value of debt securities to be other-than-temporary, we recognize in earnings the portion of the impairment loss that is due to credit loss (the excess of the current amortized cost basis of the security and the present value of expected future cash flows). We recognize the portion that is due to non-credit factors in other comprehensive income, provided that we have no intent to sell the security and it is not more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security prior to recovery of its amortized cost basis. In subsequent periods, we base any measurement of gain or loss or impairment on the revised amortized basis of the security.

Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs

Policy acquisition costs, primarily commissions, premium taxes and underwriting salaries, which are directly related to the acquisition of new and renewal premiums, are capitalized as deferred policy acquisition costs and charged to expense as the related premium revenue is recognized. We evaluate the recoverability of our deferred policy acquisition costs each reporting period and any amounts estimated to be unrecoverable are charged to expense in the current period.

Deferred Taxes

Deferred federal income taxes arise from the recognition of temporary differences between the basis of assets and liabilities determined for financial reporting purposes and the basis determined for income tax purposes. Our temporary differences principally relate to loss reserves, unearned premiums, deferred policy acquisition costs, unrealized investment gains (losses) and investment impairments. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using the enacted tax rates expected to be in effect when such benefits are realized. We review our deferred tax assets quarterly for impairment. If we determine that it is more likely than not that some or all of a deferred tax asset will not be realized, a valuation allowance is recorded to reduce the carrying value of the asset. In assessing the need for a valuation allowance, management is required to make certain judgments and assumptions about our future operations based on historical experience and information as of the measurement period regarding reversal of existing temporary differences, carryback capacity, future taxable income, including its capital and operating characteristics, and tax planning strategies.

Goodwill

We make at least an annual assessment as to whether the value of our goodwill assets is impaired. Management evaluates the carrying value of goodwill annually during the fourth quarter and before the annual evaluation if events occur or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value below the carrying value. In assessing goodwill, management estimates the fair value of each reporting unit and compares that estimate to the carrying value of the reporting unit. We did not record any impairment of goodwill as of our last evaluation date, October 1, 2008, and do not believe there has been any change of events or circumstances that would indicate that a re-evaluation of goodwill is required as of September 30, 2009.

Table of Contents**Accounting Changes Adopted***FASB Accounting Standards Codification*

On July 1, 2009, the FASB published the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (the Codification) as the single source of authoritative nongovernmental GAAP, effective for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. The Codification is not intended to change current GAAP, but rather to provide all the authoritative literature related to a particular topic in one place. Upon the effective date, all pre-existing accounting standard documents were superseded and accounting literature not included in the Codification became non-authoritative. We have adopted use of the Codification as of the quarter ending September 30, 2009; adoption had no effect on our results of operations or financial position.

Subsequent Events

Effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, ending on or after June 15, 2009, GAAP guidance was revised to more clearly set forth the period after the balance sheet date during which management should evaluate events or transactions for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements, the circumstances under which events or transactions after the balance sheet date should be recognized and the disclosures that should be made regarding such events. We adopted the revised guidance as of the quarter ended June 30, 2009; adoption had no effect on our results of operations or financial position.

Fair Value

Effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, ending on or after June 15, 2009, the FASB revised existing GAAP guidance regarding the valuation of assets or liabilities when the volume and level of market transactions for those assets or liabilities has significantly decreased. The revised guidance clarifies factors to be considered in determining whether there has been a significant decrease in market activity for an asset in relation to normal activity and provides additional guidance on when the use of multiple (or different) valuation techniques may be warranted and considerations for determining the weight that should be applied to the various techniques. The revisions also establish a requirement that conclusions about whether transactions are orderly be based on the weight of the evidence and require entities to disclose any changes to valuation techniques (and related inputs) that result from a conclusion that markets are not orderly and the effect of the change, if practicable. We adopted the revised guidance as of the quarter ended June 30, 2009; adoption had no significant effect on our results of operations or financial position.

Effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, ending on or after June 15, 2009, the FASB has revised previously existing guidance to require publicly traded companies to provide disclosures about fair values of financial instruments for interim reporting periods as well as in annual financial statements and in any summarized financial information issued at interim reporting periods. We adopted the revised guidance as of the quarter ended June 30, 2009; adoption had no effect on our results of operations or financial position.

Investments Disclosure Requirements; Other-than-temporary Impairments

Effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, ending on or after June 15, 2009, the FASB altered previously existing GAAP guidance for investments in debt and equity securities. The new guidance specifies that disclosures for debt and equity securities should be provided for interim as well as annual periods. GAAP guidance related to other-than-temporary impairments was also altered. Previous investment guidance required that an impairment of a debt security be considered as other-than-temporary unless management could assert both the intent and the ability to hold the impaired security until recovery of value. The revised impairment guidance specifies that an impairment be considered as other-than-temporary unless an entity can assert that it has no intent to sell the security and that it is not more likely than not that the entity will be required to sell the security before recovery of its anticipated amortized cost basis. The new guidance also establishes the concept of credit loss. Credit loss is defined as the difference between the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected from a debt security and the amortized cost basis of the security. The new guidance states that in instances in which a determination is made that a credit loss exists but the entity does not intend to sell the debt security and it is not more likely than not that the entity will be required to sell the debt security

Table of Contents

before the anticipated recovery of its remaining amortized cost basis an impairment is to be separated into (a) the amount of the total impairment related to the credit loss and (b) the amount of total impairment related to all other factors. The credit loss component of the impairment is to be recognized in income of the current period. The non-credit component is to be recognized as a part of other comprehensive income. Transition provisions require a cumulative effect adjustment to reclassify the noncredit component of a previously recognized other-than-temporary impairment from retained earnings to accumulated other comprehensive income if an entity does not intend to sell and it is not more likely than not that the entity will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis. We adopted this guidance as of the beginning of the quarter ended June 30, 2009. As of April 1, 2009, our debt securities included non-credit impairment losses previously recognized in earnings of approximately \$5.4 million. In accordance with the transition provisions of the revised guidance, we reclassified these credit losses, net of tax, from retained earnings to accumulated comprehensive income as of April 1, 2009 (a \$3.5 million increase to retained earnings; a \$3.5 million decrease to accumulated other comprehensive income).

Convertible Debentures

Effective January 1, 2009 previous GAAP guidance regarding the accounting for Convertible Debentures has been revised. The revised guidance requires issuers to account for convertible debt securities that allow for either mandatory or optional cash settlement (including partial cash settlement) by separating the liability and equity components in a manner that reflects the issuer's nonconvertible debt borrowing rate at the time of issuance and requires recognition of additional (non-cash) interest expense in subsequent periods based on the nonconvertible rate. Additionally, when such debt instruments are repaid or converted, any consideration transferred at settlement is to be allocated between the extinguishment of the liability component and the reacquisition of the equity component. The revised guidance is applicable to the Convertible Debentures which we converted in July 2008. We adopted the revised guidance as of its effective date January 1, 2009; adoption had no effect on 2009 operating results because no convertible debt has been outstanding during 2009. The cumulative effect of adoption, which would be an increase to additional paid-in capital of \$65,000 and an offsetting decrease to retained earnings of the same amount, has not been recorded because the effect is immaterial and would not change total stockholders' equity.

Non-controlling Interests in Subsidiaries

Effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2008, existing GAAP guidance was revised to establish accounting and reporting standards for the noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. We adopted this guidance as of its effective date, January 1, 2009. Adoption did not have an effect on our results of operations or financial position.

Business Combinations

Existing GAAP guidance related to business combinations has been revised effective prospectively for combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008. The revision retains the previous requirement that the acquisition method of accounting be used for all business combinations but provides new and additional guidance including: defining the acquirer in a transaction, the valuation of assets and liabilities when noncontrolling interests exist, the treatment of contingent consideration, the treatment of costs incurred to effect the acquisition, the treatment of reorganization costs, and the valuation of assets and liabilities when the purchase price is below the net fair value of assets acquired. We adopted the new guidance as of its effective date, January 1, 2009 and accounted for our acquisitions of Mid-Continent General Agency, Inc. (Mid-Continent), Georgia Lawyers Insurance Company (Georgia Lawyers) and Podiatry Insurance Company of America (PICA) during the first and second quarters of 2009 in accordance with the revised guidance (see Note 3).

Table of Contents

Accounting Changes Not Yet Adopted

Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities

In June 2009, the FASB issued new guidance (effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2009 and interim periods within those fiscal years) which changes how a reporting entity determines whether or not to consolidate its interest in an entity that is insufficiently capitalized or is not controlled through voting (or similar) rights. The determination of whether a reporting entity is required to consolidate another entity will now be based on, among other things, the other entity's purpose and design and the reporting entity's ability to direct the activities of the other entity that most significantly impact the other entity's economic performance. The revised guidance also requires the reporting entity to provide additional disclosures about its involvement with variable interest entities and any significant changes in risk exposure due to that involvement. A reporting entity will be required to disclose how its involvement with a variable interest entity affects the reporting entity's financial statements. Management is currently evaluating the new guidance and has not yet completed its determination of the impact on our results of operations or financial position.

Fair Value

In August 2009 the FASB issued updated guidance regarding the valuation of liabilities at fair value; the guidance is effective for the first reporting period that begins after issuance of the guidance. The updated guidance clarifies that when a quoted price in an active market for an identical liability is not available, fair value should be determined using quoted prices for identical or similar liabilities traded as assets or using another valuation technique described in existing GAAP guidance for determining fair values. Such techniques include present value techniques, and techniques based on the amount that a reporting entity would pay on the measurement date to transfer or enter into an identical liability. Adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a significant effect on the valuation of our liabilities.

Table of Contents

Liquidity and Capital Resources and Financial Condition

Overview

ProAssurance Corporation is a holding company and is a legal entity separate and distinct from its subsidiaries. Because it has no other business operations, dividends from its operating subsidiaries represent a significant source of funds for its obligations, including debt service. The ability of our insurance subsidiaries to pay dividends is subject to limitation by state insurance regulations. See our discussions under Regulation of Dividends and Other Payments from Our Operating Subsidiaries in Part I of our 2008 Form 10K, and in Note 16 of our Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included therein, for additional information regarding the ordinary dividends that can be paid by our insurance subsidiaries in 2009. At September 30, 2009 we held cash and investments of approximately \$185.1 million outside of our insurance subsidiaries that are available for use without regulatory approval.

Acquisitions

In the first quarter of 2009 we acquired 100% of the outstanding shares of Mid-Continent and Georgia Lawyers as a means of expanding our professional liability business. These acquisitions were not material to ProAssurance individually or in the aggregate.

On April 1, 2009 we acquired Podiatry Insurance Company of America and subsidiaries (PICA) through a cash sponsored demutualization as a means of expanding our professional liability insurance operations. PICA provides professional liability insurance primarily to podiatric physicians, chiropractors and other healthcare providers throughout the United States and had gross written premium of approximately \$96 million in 2008. We purchased all of PICA's outstanding stock created in the demutualization for \$135 million in cash, of which \$15 million was a surplus contribution to be used to provide renewal premium credits to eligible policyholders over a three year period beginning in 2010.

See Note 3 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for detailed information regarding the PICA transaction, including a summarized listing of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed.

Cash Flows

The principal components of our operating cash flows are the excess of net investment income and premiums collected over net losses paid and operating costs, including income taxes. Timing delays exist between the collection of premiums and the ultimate payment of losses. Premiums are generally collected within the twelve-month period after the policy is written while our claim payments are generally paid over a more extended period of time. Likewise, timing delays exist between the payment of claims and the collection of any associated reinsurance recoveries. Our operating activities, excluding PICA, provided positive cash flows of approximately \$8.3 million and \$144.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Cash from operating activities in 2009 reflects cash provided by PICA operations (since the date of acquisition, April 1, 2009) of \$7.6 million.

Table of Contents

As shown in the table below, operating cash flows declined during the first nine months of 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008:

<i>(In millions)</i>	Year-to-date Cash Flow Increase (Decrease) 2009 vs. 2008	
Change in operating cash flows not due to the PICA acquisition:		
Lower premium receipts	\$	(15)
Lower investment receipts		(13)
Increase in net premium payments to reinsurers		(13)
Decrease in losses paid		51
Decrease in reinsurance recoveries		(63)
2008 commutation receipts (no comparable receipts during 2009)		(24)
Increase in Federal income tax payments		(26)
Settlement of the CHW litigation		(21)
Other amounts not individually significant, net		(13)
PICA operating cash flows		8
Net decrease in operating cash flows	\$	(129)

Although our premiums written increased during 2009, we will not receive payment for the second term amount of our two-year policies until 2010. The increase in tax payments is related to the increase in taxable income in the fourth quarter of 2008 as compared to 2007.

Two ratios commonly used to analyze the operating cash flows of insurance companies are the net paid-to-incurred ratio and the net paid loss ratio. The net paid-to-incurred ratio is calculated as net paid losses divided by net incurred losses. The net paid loss ratio is calculated as net paid losses divided by net premiums earned. In calculating both of these ratios, net paid losses is defined as losses and loss adjustment expenses paid during the period, net of the anticipated reinsurance recoveries related to those losses.

	Three Months Ended September 30		Nine Months Ended September 30	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Paid-to-incurred ratio	127.5%	136.1%	126.8%	144.4%
Paid loss ratio	67.2%	78.2%	71.7%	69.5%

For a long-tailed business such as ProAssurance, fluctuations in the ratios over short periods of time are not unexpected and are not necessarily indicative of either positive or negative changes in loss experience. The timing of our indemnity payments is affected by many factors, including the nature and number of the claims in process during any one period and the speed at which cases work through the trial and appellate process. The ratios are affected not only by variations in net paid losses, but also by variations in premium volume and the recognition of reserve development.

We believe the current accident year net loss ratio (net incurred losses for the current accident year divided by net premiums earned) is a more meaningful indicator of the adequacy of our premium revenues.

	Three Months Ended September 30			Nine Months Ended September 30		
	2009	2008	<i>Change</i>	2009	2008	<i>Change</i>
	84.9%	83.9%	<i>1.0</i>	83.5%	84.0%	<i>(0.5)</i>

Current accident year net
loss ratio

36

Table of Contents*Investment Exposures*

The following table provides summarized information regarding our investments as of September 30, 2009:

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Carrying Value	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Average Rating	% Total Investments
Fixed Maturities					
Government					
U.S. Treasury	\$ 151,015	\$ 4,204	\$ (627)	AAA	4%
U.S. Agency	77,238	2,989	(22)	AAA	2%
Total government	228,253	7,193	(649)	AAA	6%
State and Municipal Bonds	1,486,249	73,288	(1,477)	AA	38%
Corporate Bonds					
Financial institutions	295,680	10,253	(3,982)	A+	8%
FDIC insured	80,146	981	(2)	AAA	2%
Communications	46,758	2,629	(25)	A	1%
Utilities	61,963	3,254	(1)	A	2%
Energy	24,899	2,210		A-	1%
Industrial	461,235	20,867	(2,853)	A-	12%
Transportation	16,735	871		A	0%
Other	17,100	276	(219)	AA-	0%
Total corporate bonds	1,004,516	41,341	(7,082)	A	26%
Asset-backed Securities					
Agency mortgage-backed securities	515,850	23,361	(13)	AAA	13%
Non-agency mortgage-backed securities	39,230	2,135	(4,192)	A-	1%
Subprime	6,717		(4,232)	AA	0%
Alt-A	9,074	766	(3,975)	BBB+	0%
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	162,155	2,319	(4,354)	AAA	4%
Credit card	34,317	1,535	(14)	AAA	1%
Automobile	27,534	648	(20)	AA	1%
Other	10,509	463	(629)	AA	1%
Total asset-backed securities	805,386	31,227	(17,429)	AAA	21%
Total Fixed Maturities	3,524,404	153,049	(26,637)	AA+	91%
Equities					
Equity-common					
Financial	7,303	229			0%

Edgar Filing: PROASSURANCE CORP - Form 10-Q

Energy	6,796	62		0%
Consumer cyclical	2,581	66	(15)	0%
Consumer non-cyclical	7,768	208	(7)	0%
Technology	4,033	176	(30)	0%
Industrial	3,274	286		0%
Communications	3,054	74	(9)	0%
All Other	8,342	14	(11)	0%
	43,151	1,115	(72)	1%
Equity Preferred				0%
Total equities	43,151	1,115	(72)	1%
Other Investments				
High yield asset-backed securities, held in a private investment fund	9,620		(9,920)	0%
Federal Home Loan Bank capital stock	5,190			0%
Private fund primarily invested in distressed debt	23,073			1%
Private fund primarily invested in long/short equities	6,010			0%
Other	2,051			0%
Total other investments	45,944		(9,920)	1%
BOLI	64,597			AA
Investment in Unconsolidated Subsidiaries				
Private fund primarily invested in high yield asset-backed securities	29,034			1%
Private fund primarily invested in long/short equities	13,056			0%
Private fund primarily invested in non-public equities	5,302			0%
Total investment in unconsolidated subsidiaries	47,392			1%
Short Term	137,908			4%
Total Investments	\$3,863,396	\$ 154,164	\$ (36,629)	100%

Table of Contents

A complete listing of our investment holdings as of September 30, 2009 is presented in an Investor Supplement we make available in the Investor Relations section of our website, www.ProAssurance.com or directly at www.proassurance.com/investorrelations/supplemental.aspx.

We manage our investments to ensure that we will have sufficient liquidity to meet our obligations, taking into consideration the timing of cash flows from our investments, including interest payments, dividends and principal payments, as well as the expected cash flows to be generated by our operations. We anticipate that between \$30 million and \$90 million of our investments will mature (or be paid down) each quarter of the next year and become available, if needed, to meet our cash flow requirements. At our insurance subsidiaries level, the primary outflow of cash is related to net paid losses and operating costs, including income taxes. The payment of individual claims cannot be predicted with certainty; therefore, we rely upon the history of paid claims in estimating the timing of future claims payments. To the extent that we have an unanticipated shortfall in cash we may either liquidate securities or borrow funds under previously established borrowing arrangements. However, given the relatively short duration of our investments, we do not foresee any such shortfall.

We held cash and short-term securities of \$158.7 million at September 30, 2009 as compared to \$445.5 million at December 31, 2008. During 2008 we held additional highly liquid assets in order to maximize liquidity in an unstable credit market. We began investing in additional fixed maturities as credit markets stabilized during the first and second quarters of 2009. Also, we utilized \$120 million to fund the PICA acquisition and approximately \$38 million to repurchase common shares. We acquired PICA cash and short-term balances of approximately \$14 million in the merger.

The weighted average effective duration of our fixed maturity securities at September 30, 2009 is 4.1 years; the weighted average effective duration of our fixed maturity securities combined with our short-term securities is 3.9 years. The securities acquired in the PICA transaction were, on average, longer in duration than the securities we already owned, which caused a small increase in the overall weighted average effective duration.

Our investment portfolio continues to be composed of high quality fixed income securities with approximately 97% of our fixed maturities being either United States government agency or investment grade securities as determined by national rating agencies.

At September 30, 2009 we hold fixed maturity securities in an unrealized gain position with pretax net unrealized gains of approximately \$126 million as compared to pretax net unrealized losses of \$43 million as of December 31, 2008. The improvement is primarily due to a reduction in credit spreads, particularly with respect to state and municipal securities and corporate bonds, offset somewhat by the impact of slightly higher interest rates. The fixed maturity securities acquired in the PICA transaction were valued at their fair value on the date of acquisition, April 1, 2009 see Notes 2 and 3 and overall have appreciated in value because of lower market interest rates at September 30, 2009.

At September 30, 2009 we held asset-backed securities with a fair value of \$805.4 million (recorded cost basis of \$791.6 million). During the first and second quarters of 2009, we realized \$2.5 million of losses on asset-backed securities primarily relating to mortgage-backed securities impacted by the deterioration of the housing market. No impairment losses related to asset-backed securities were recognized in the third quarter of 2009. In performing our OTTI assessment of mortgage-backed securities, management projects expected cash flows, making assumptions regarding expected foreclosure rates and the value of collateral available to recover losses. If estimated cash flows project a loss, an OTTI is realized for the difference between the book value and fair value of the security in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. In some cases, the impairment loss is greater than the projected loss because market values are depressed as a result of market uncertainty and an aversion to risk by market participants. If we continue to hold these securities, and our estimates of projected loss prove over time to be accurate, the economic loss that we ultimately realize will be less than the impairment loss that has been recorded. Conversely, because our judgments about future foreclosure rates, the timing of expected cash flows and the estimated value of collateral may not prove over time to be accurate, we may experience losses on asset-backed securities that we are not currently projecting.

Mortgage-backed securities are generally categorized according to the expected credit quality of underlying mortgage loans. Generally, subprime loans are issued to borrowers with lower credit ratings

Table of Contents

while Alt-A borrowers have better credit ratings but the mortgage loan is of a type regarded as having a higher risk profile. As of September 30, 2009, we directly hold securities with a fair value of approximately \$6.7 million (recorded cost basis of approximately \$10.9 million and rated: 3% AAA, 52% AA, 41% A, 4% BB or below) and a beneficial interest in securities with a fair value of approximately \$609,000 (recorded cost basis of approximately \$4.1 million and average rating of A- that are supported by collateral we classify as subprime. We also have subprime exposure of approximately \$8.9 million through our interests in private investment funds. We also hold securities with a fair value of approximately \$9.1 million (recorded cost basis of approximately \$12.3 million) that are supported by privately issued residential mortgage-backed securities we classify as Alt-A, of which approximately 19% are AAA rated, 34% are AA, 47% are BBB or below. Ratings given are as of September 30, 2009. For the nine-month period ended September 30, 2009, we evaluated our securities with subprime and Alt-A exposures and recognized impairment losses related to those securities of \$1.9 million. We did not recognize any impairments for subprime or Alt-A exposures during the three months ended September 30, 2009.

We continue to monitor our exposure to financial institutions. Our largest fixed maturity exposures (at fair value) at September 30, 2009 are as follows:

<i>(In millions)</i>	Non-Insured	FDIC Insured	Total
Bank of America	\$20.5	\$ 23.0	\$43.5
Morgan Stanley	\$21.3	\$ 6.2	\$27.5
BP Capital Market	\$25.5	\$	\$25.5
General Electric Corporation	\$17.4	\$ 7.8	\$25.2
JP Morgan	\$ 9.6	\$ 14.2	\$23.8
Citigroup	\$12.1	\$ 7.6	\$19.7

Reinsurance

We use reinsurance to provide capacity to write larger limits of liability, to provide protection against losses in excess of policy limits, and to stabilize underwriting results in years in which higher losses occur. The purchase of reinsurance does not relieve us from the ultimate risk on our policies, but it does provide reimbursement from the reinsurer for certain losses paid by us.

Our risk retention level is dependent upon numerous factors including our risk appetite and the capital we have to support it, the price and availability of reinsurance, volume of business, level of experience and our analysis of the potential underwriting results within each state. We purchase reinsurance from a number of companies to mitigate concentrations of credit risk. Our reinsurance broker assists us in the analysis of the credit quality of our reinsurers. We base our reinsurance buying decisions on an evaluation of the then-current financial strength, rating and stability of prospective reinsurers. However, the financial strength of our reinsurers, and their corresponding ability to pay us, may change in the future due to forces or events we cannot control or anticipate.

We have not experienced significant collection difficulties due to the financial condition of any reinsurer; however, periodically, reinsurers may dispute our claim for reimbursement from them. We have established appropriate reserves for any balances that we believe may not be ultimately collected. Should future events lead us to believe that any reinsurer will not meet its obligations to us, adjustments to the amounts recoverable would be reflected in the results of current operations. Such an adjustment has the potential to be significant to the results of operations in the period in which it is recorded; however, we would not expect such an adjustment to have a material effect on our capital position or our liquidity.

Table of Contents*Debt*

Our long-term debt as of September 30, 2009 is comprised of the following.

<i>(In thousands, except %)</i>	Contractual Rate	Outstanding Principal	Carrying Value September 30, 2009
2034 Trust Preferred Securities/Debentures	4.3% ⁽¹⁾	\$ 22,992	\$ 22,992
2034 Surplus Notes	4.3% ⁽¹⁾	12,000	12,000
2019 Notes Payable ⁽⁴⁾	6.6% ⁽²⁾	17,810	14,264
2012 Surplus Note	3.3% ⁽³⁾	517	469
			\$ 49,725

(1) *Adjusted quarterly based on LIBOR*

(2) *Fixed, see Note 8 regarding the related interest rate swap*

(3) *Adjusted quarterly based on the U.S. prime rate*

(4) *Valued at fair value, see Note 8*

All of our long-term debt is currently repayable or redeemable, with proper notice, at a date no later than the next quarterly or semi-annual interest payment date. Insurance department approval is required for redemption of surplus notes. A detailed description of our debt is provided in Note 8 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

As required by GAAP, we valued the 2033 Surplus Notes (acquired in the PICA transaction) at fair value on the measurement date of the transaction. GAAP defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date and does not provide for redemption plans to be considered in the fair value determination. The fair value determined for the 2033 Surplus Notes was below par; the 2033 Surplus Notes were subsequently redeemed at par in the third quarter of 2009. Consequently, we recorded a pre-tax loss of approximately \$2.8 million (\$1.8 million after tax) related to the redemption.

Treasury Stock

We repurchased approximately 881,000 common shares, having a total cost of \$38.1 million, during the nine months ended September 30, 2009 (including approximately 41,000 shares at a total cost of \$2.1 million during the three months ended September 30, 2009). We reissued 100,533 treasury shares as a part of the consideration for acquisitions during the first quarter of 2009. Our Board of Directors authorized \$150 million in April 2007, an additional \$100 million in August 2008, and an additional \$100 million in September 2009 for the repurchase of common shares or the retirement of outstanding debt. Approximately \$129.3 million of the amounts authorized by the

Board remains available for use at September 30, 2009. During October 2009, we repurchased approximately 250,000 additional common shares at a cost of approximately \$12.9 million.

Litigation

We are involved in various legal actions arising primarily from claims against us related to insurance policies and claims handling, including, but not limited to, claims asserted by our policyholders. Legal actions are generally divided into two categories: (1) those dealing with claims and claim-related activities which we consider in our evaluation of our reserve for losses, and (2) those falling outside of these areas which we evaluate and account for as a part of our other liabilities.

In accordance with GAAP for insurance entities, claim-related actions are considered as a part of our loss reserving process. We evaluate the likely outcomes from these actions giving consideration to the facts and laws applicable to each case, appellate issues, coverage issues, potential recoveries from our insurance and reinsurance programs, and settlement discussions as well as our historical claims resolution practices. This data is then given consideration in the overall evaluation of our reserve for losses.

There are risks, as outlined in our Risk Factors in Part 1 of our December 31, 2008 Form 10K, that any of these actions could cost us more than our estimates. In particular, we or our insureds may receive adverse verdicts; post-trial motions may be denied, in whole or in part; any appeals that may be undertaken may be unsuccessful; we may be unsuccessful in our legal efforts to limit the scope of

Table of Contents

coverage available to insureds; and we may become a party to bad faith litigation over the resolution of a claim. To the extent that the cost of resolving these actions exceeds our estimates, the legal actions could have a material effect on our results of operations in the period in which any such action is resolved.

For non-claim-related actions we evaluate each case separately and establish what we believe is an appropriate reserve based upon GAAP guidance related to contingent liabilities. As a result of the acquisition of ProAssurance National Capital Insurance Company (PRA National), formerly known as NCRIC, Inc., in 2005, we assumed the risk of loss for a judgment (the Judgment) by a District of Columbia Superior Court in favor of Columbia Hospital for Women Medical Center, Inc. (CHW). The Judgment was appealed to the District of Columbia Court of Appeals, which affirmed the Judgment in October 2008 and denied our petition for rehearing in January 2009. We included a liability of \$19.5 million related to the Judgment and post-trial interest as a component of the fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the purchase price allocation in 2005, and continued to accrue post-trial interest thereafter. In April 2009, PRA National paid approximately \$20.8 million to CHW which represents a full settlement of the Judgment, except with regard to a pending settlement setoff of less than \$250,000.

Table of Contents**Overview of Results Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009 and 2008**

Results for the third quarter improved, compared to the year-ago period, due to a reduction in realized investment losses from impairments, increased favorable loss development related to prior accident years, and positive results from our PICA subsidiaries, acquired April 1, 2009. The 2009 nine-month period reflects a smaller earnings improvement primarily because of impairment losses recognized in the first quarter of 2009.

Results from the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 compare to the same respective periods in 2008 as follows.

Revenues Exclusive of PICA

Net premiums earned declined in 2009 by approximately \$5.1 million (4.5%) for the quarter and \$32.6 million (9.3%) for the nine-month period. The declines reflect the effects of a competitive market place and rate reductions resulting from improved loss trends.

Our 2009 net investment results (which include both net investment income and earnings from unconsolidated subsidiaries) increased by \$449,000 (1.2%) for the three-month period and declined \$9.1 million (7.7%) for the nine-month period. Both the three and the nine-month periods reflect lower average balances and lower yields on both short-term and fixed maturities. Improved results from our investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries largely offset the decline in net investment income for the three-month period, principally due to improved market conditions in the third quarter of 2009 versus the third quarter of 2008.

Net realized investment gains are \$4.4 million for the third quarter of 2009 as compared to net realized investment losses of \$34.2 million during the third quarter of 2008. Net realized losses are \$1.2 million and \$41 million for the comparative year-to-date periods. The improvement as compared to 2008 is principally the result of a reduction in impairment losses (\$29.8 million for the quarter period and \$25.8 million for the nine-month period) due to more favorable market conditions during the second and third quarters of 2009.

Revenues PICA

PICA contributed additional revenues to the three- and nine-month periods as follows:

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended September 30, 2009	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009
Net premiums earned	\$ 23,622	\$ 46,437
Net investment income	\$ 1,883	\$ 4,007
Net realized investment gains	\$ 2,827	\$ 6,033

Expenses Exclusive of PICA

Current accident year net losses decreased by \$3.9 million (4.1%) for the third quarter and \$28.2 million (9.6%) for the nine-month period, principally due to a decline in insured risks. We recognized favorable development in 2009 of \$42.5 million (a \$12.5 million increase) for the third quarter and \$98 million (a \$16.7 million increase) for the year-to-date period.

Expenses increased during the third quarter of 2009 by \$1.2 million (4.8%) as compared to the same period in 2008, primarily because of increased expenses relating to premium growth in our non-physician business. On a year-to-date basis expenses decreased by \$2.8 million (3.7%) primarily due to an expense reduction related to the CHW Judgment and reduced stock-based compensation costs.

Interest expense declined in 2009 (\$731,000 for the three-month period and \$4.3 million for the nine-month period) because we reduced the outstanding principal balance of our long-term debt during the latter half of 2008 by \$129 million.

Table of Contents*Expenses PICA*

The following PICA expenses are included in our 2009 operating results:

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended September 30, 2009	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009
Net losses	\$ 20,840	\$ 37,968
Underwriting, acquisition and insurance expenses	\$ 4,210	\$ 10,750
Interest expense	\$ 398	\$ 1,065
Loss on extinguishment of debt	\$ 2,839	\$ 2,839

Ratios (Including the effect of PICA results)

Our net loss ratio decreased by 4.8 points in 2009 for the three-month period and 4.2 points for the nine-month period, primarily because favorable prior year loss development had a more pronounced effect on the calendar year net loss ratio in 2009 (because 2009 earned premium was less than 2008 earned premium, and because favorable loss development was higher in 2009).

Our expense ratio increased by 0.4 points for the three-month period and 0.9 points for the nine-month period, primarily because expense reductions did not keep pace with the decline in earned premium. Our operating ratio increased by 1.4 points in 2009 for the three-month period and 0.5 points for the nine-month period, due to reductions in net investment income. Return on equity is 13.9% for the 2009 three-month period and 11.9% for the nine-month period on an annualized basis.

Table of ContentsResults of Operations Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009 Compared to Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008

Selected consolidated financial data for each period is summarized in the table below. We acquired PICA effective April 1, 2009 and our results for the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2009 include PICA results from the date of acquisition. Operating results for 2008 do not include PICA results.

(\$ in thousands, except share data)	Three Months Ended September 30			Nine Months Ended September 30		
	2009	2008	Change	2009	2008	Change
Revenues:						
Gross premiums written	\$168,559	\$126,122	\$42,437	\$434,714	\$374,393	\$ 60,321
Net premiums written	\$158,705	\$116,409	\$42,296	\$401,634	\$343,609	\$ 58,025
Premiums earned	\$143,477	\$123,733	\$19,744	\$398,212	\$382,158	\$ 16,054
Premiums ceded	(11,521)	(10,284)	(1,237)	(34,621)	(32,364)	(2,257)
Net premiums earned	131,956	113,449	18,507	363,591	349,794	13,797
Net investment income	38,573	39,845	(1,272)	112,839	122,218	(9,379)
Equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated subsidiaries	1,637	(1,967)	3,604	328	(3,916)	4,244
Net realized investment gains (losses)	7,275	(34,236)	41,511	4,822	(41,011)	45,833
Other income	3,153	997	2,156	7,224	3,694	3,530
Total revenues	182,594	118,088	64,506	488,804	430,779	58,025
Expenses:						
Losses and loss adjustment expenses	78,674	73,739	4,935	231,309	242,033	(10,724)
Reinsurance recoveries	(9,108)	(8,516)	(592)	(25,601)	(29,457)	3,856
Net losses and loss adjustment expenses	69,566	65,223	4,343	205,708	212,576	(6,868)
Underwriting, acquisition and insurance expenses	29,905	24,527	5,378	83,896	75,927	7,969
Interest expense	808	1,141	(333)	2,638	5,855	(3,217)
Loss on extinguishment of debt	2,839		2,839	2,839		2,839
Total expenses	103,118	90,891	12,227	295,081	294,358	723
Income before income taxes	79,476	27,197	52,279	193,723	136,421	57,302
Income taxes	24,275	4,950	19,325	56,274	34,988	21,286

Net income	\$ 55,201	\$ 22,247	\$32,954	\$137,449	\$101,433	\$ 36,016
Earnings per share:						
Basic	\$ 1.69	\$ 0.66	\$ 1.03	\$ 4.17	\$ 3.12	\$ 1.05
Diluted	\$ 1.67	\$ 0.66	\$ 1.01	\$ 4.13	\$ 2.98	\$ 1.15
Net loss ratio	52.7%	57.5%	(4.8)	56.6%	60.8%	(4.2)
Underwriting expense ratio	21.9%	21.5%	0.4	22.5%	21.6%	0.9
Combined ratio	74.6%	79.0%	(4.4)	79.1%	82.4%	(3.3)
Operating ratio	45.4%	43.9%	1.5	48.1%	47.5%	0.6
Return on equity ⁽¹⁾	13.9%	6.9%	7.0	11.9%	10.5%	1.4

(1) Annualized

PLEASE NOTE: The effects of the PICA acquisition are separately reported in the tables that follow. All variance discussions exclude the effects of the PICA acquisition unless specifically stated otherwise. In all tables the abbreviation nm indicates that the percent change is not meaningful, either because the prior year amount is zero or because the percent change exceeds 100%.

Table of Contents**Premiums**

(\$ in thousands)	Three Months Ended September 30				Nine Months Ended September 30			
	2009	2008	Change		2009	2008	Change	
Gross premiums written:								
PRA all other	\$125,425	\$126,122	\$ (697)	(0.6%)	\$377,793	\$374,393	\$ 3,400	0.9%
PICA Acquisition	43,134		43,134	nm	56,921		56,921	nm
	\$168,559	\$126,122	\$42,437	33.6%	\$434,714	\$374,393	\$ 60,321	16.1%
Net premiums written:								
PRA all other	\$116,287	\$116,409	\$ (122)	(0.1%)	\$346,269	\$343,609	\$ 2,660	0.8%
PICA Acquisition	42,418		42,418	nm	55,365		55,365	nm
	\$158,705	\$116,409	\$42,296	36.3%	\$401,634	\$343,609	\$ 58,025	16.9%
Premiums earned:								
PRA all other	\$119,003	\$123,733	\$ (4,730)	(3.8%)	\$349,973	\$382,158	\$(32,185)	(8.4%)
PICA Acquisition	24,474		24,474	nm	48,239		48,239	nm
	\$143,477	\$123,733	\$19,744	16.0%	\$398,212	\$382,158	\$ 16,054	4.2%
Premiums ceded:								
PRA all other	\$ 10,669	\$ 10,284	\$ 385	3.7%	\$ 32,819	\$ 32,364	\$ 455	1.4%
PICA Acquisition	852		852	nm	1,802		1,802	nm
	\$ 11,521	\$ 10,284	\$ 1,237	12.0%	\$ 34,621	\$ 32,364	\$ 2,257	7.0%
Net premiums earned:								
PRA all other	\$108,334	\$113,449	\$ (5,115)	(4.5%)	\$317,154	\$349,794	\$(32,640)	(9.3%)
PICA Acquisition	23,622		23,622	nm	46,437		46,437	nm
	\$131,956	\$113,449	\$18,507	16.3%	\$363,591	\$349,794	\$ 13,797	3.9%

Table of Contents

Gross premiums written by component are as follows:

(\$ in thousands)	Three Months Ended September 30				Nine Months Ended September 30			
	2009	2008	Change		2009	2008	Change	
Physician*:								
PRA all other	\$101,017	\$105,228	\$ (4,211)	(4.0%)	\$305,833	\$313,962	\$ (8,129)	(2.6%)
PICA Acquisition	38,336		38,336	nm	47,935		47,935	nm
	139,353	105,228	34,125	32.4%	353,768	313,962	39,806	12.7%
Non-physician*:								
Healthcare providers PRA all other								
	7,998	4,836	3,162	65.4%	21,911	11,935	9,976	83.6%
PICA Acquisition	3,125		3,125	nm	5,785		5,785	nm
	11,123	4,836	6,287	130.0%	27,696	11,935	15,761	132.1%
Hospital and facility								
	5,435	6,484	(1,049)	(16.2%)	21,716	22,031	(315)	(1.4%)
Other								
PRA all other	5,178	3,035	2,143	70.6%	13,647	8,518	5,129	60.2%
PICA Acquisition	1,443		1,443	nm	2,848		2,848	nm
	6,621	3,035	3,586	118.2%	16,495	8,518	7,977	93.6%
Non-physician total								
	23,179	14,355	8,824	61.5%	65,907	42,484	23,423	55.1%
Tail Premiums								
	6,027	6,539	(512)	(7.8%)	15,039	17,947	(2,908)	(16.2%)
Total Gross Premium Written								
	\$168,559	\$126,122	\$42,437	33.6%	\$434,714	\$374,393	\$60,321	16.1%

* Excludes tail premiums

Changes in our premium volume are driven by three primary factors: our retention of existing business, the amount of new business we are able to generate (including business that comes to PRA as a result of acquisitions), and the premium charged for business that is renewed, which is affected both by rates charged and by the amount and type of coverage an insured chooses to purchase. Physician premiums continue to be our primary revenue source and comprise over 80% of our gross premiums written in both 2009 and 2008. The professional liability market place continues to remain competitive with some competitors choosing to compete primarily on price.

Our physician retention rate is 89% for both the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2009; in 2008 our retention rate was 87% for the comparable respective periods. Retention rates are affected by a number of factors. Insureds may terminate coverage because they are leaving the practice of medicine through death, disability or retirement. Also, based on our underwriting evaluation, we may choose not to renew an insured. Finally, we may lose business to competitors or to self-insurance mechanisms due to pricing or other issues.

New business increased during 2009, for both the three-month and nine-month periods. We wrote approximately \$2 million and \$13 million of new physician business during the three-month and nine-month periods, respectively, that was not attributable to acquisitions, as compared to \$2 million and \$8 million for the same respective periods in 2008. The acquisitions of Georgia Lawyers and Mid-Continent contributed additional premiums of approximately \$5 million and \$14 million for the three-month and nine-month periods, respectively.

In the third quarter of 2008, we began writing a limited number of two-year policies for physicians in a selected jurisdiction. Written premium for the entire two-year policy term is recorded in the period the policy is renewed, while earned premium is recorded on a pro rata basis over the two-year policy term. As a result, our 2009 written premiums are higher than if only annual policies were issued. The gross written premiums attributable to two-year policies for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2009, are \$8.9 million and \$21.4 million, respectively, as compared to \$605,000 written in the third quarter of 2008.

As favorable loss trends have emerged we have lowered our rates where indicated. For our physician business, our charged rates on 2009 renewals decreased 3% and 4% on average for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, respectively, as compared to an average decrease of 7% for the same 2008 respective periods. Our charged rates include the effects of filed rates, surcharges and

Table of Contents

discounts. Despite competitive pressures, we remain committed to a rate structure that will allow us to fulfill our obligations to our insureds, while generating competitive returns for our stockholders.

Non-physician healthcare providers are primarily dentists and allied health professionals. The 2009 increase in this business is primarily attributable to business contributed by Mid-Continent. Non-physician other premiums are primarily legal professional liability premiums.

We separately report tail premiums because we offer extended reporting endorsement or tail policies to insureds that are discontinuing their claims-made coverage with us, but we do not market such coverages separately. The amount of tail premium written and earned can vary widely from period to period.

Gross written premiums contributed by PICA consist primarily of coverages provided to podiatrists, who are categorized as physician premiums in the above table, and coverages provided to chiropractors, who are categorized as non-physician health-care providers in the above table. The increase in PICA premium for the third quarter of 2009 as compared to the second quarter of 2009 reflects a normal renewal pattern for PICA's podiatric business: 20% typically renews in the first quarter; 10% in the second quarter; 50% in the third quarter and 20% in the fourth quarter. Our retention rate for the core PICA business is approximately 94% for the quarter and 93% for the nine-month period.

Table of Contents*Premiums Earned*

(\$ in thousands)	2009	Three Months Ended September 30			2009	Nine Months Ended September 30		
		2008	Change			2008	Change	
Premiums earned:								
PRA all other	\$119,003	\$123,733	\$ (4,730)	(3.8%)	\$349,973	\$382,158	\$(32,185)	(8.4%)
PICA Acquisition	24,474		24,474	nm	48,239		48,239	nm
	\$143,477	\$123,733	\$19,744	16.0%	\$398,212	\$382,158	\$ 16,054	4.2%

Because premiums are generally earned pro rata over the entire policy period, fluctuations in premiums earned tend to lag those of premiums written. Generally, our policies carry a term of one year, but as discussed above, beginning in late 2008 we began to renew some policies with a two-year term. Tail premiums are 100% earned in the period written because the policies insure only incidents that occurred in prior periods and are not cancellable.

Exclusive of the effect of tail premiums and acquisitions, the decline in premiums earned for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 as compared to the same periods in 2008 reflects declines in gross premiums written during 2008 and 2009.

PICA subsidiaries contributed earned premiums of approximately \$25 million and \$48 million during the three-month and nine-month periods of 2009; approximately \$13.1 million and \$34.6 million of which relates to premiums written prior to the date of acquisition (and thus never reported in our written premiums). At September 30, 2009 approximately \$8.8 million of premium written prior to the acquisition is yet to be earned and will be added to our earned premium on a pro rata basis, principally during the fourth quarter of 2009.

Premiums Ceded

(\$ in thousands)	2009	Three Months Ended September 30			2009	Nine Months Ended September 30		
		2008	Change			2008	Change	
Premiums ceded:								
PRA all other	\$10,669	\$10,284	\$ 385	3.7%	\$32,819	\$32,364	\$ 455	1.4%
PICA Acquisition	852		852	nm	1,802		1,802	nm
	\$11,521	\$10,284	\$1,237	12.0%	\$34,621	\$32,364	\$2,257	7.0%

Reinsurance Expense Ratio:*	(points)			(points)		
PRA all other	9.0%	8.3%	0.7	9.4%	8.5%	0.9
PICA Acquisition	3.5%		nm	3.7%		nm
Consolidated	8.0%	8.3%	(0.3)	8.7%	8.5%	0.2

* Calculated as premiums ceded as a percentage of premiums earned

Premiums ceded represent the portion of earned premiums that we pay our reinsurers for their assumption of a portion of our losses. The premium that we cede to our reinsurers is determined, in part, by the loss experience (subject to minimums and maximums) of the business ceded to them. It takes a number of years before all losses are known, and in the intervening period, premiums due to the reinsurers are estimated.

The increase in our reinsurance expense ratio for both the third quarter and year-to-date periods of 2009 is due to an increase in premiums ceded along with a decrease in premiums earned and reflects shifts in the mix of our premiums. The increase in premiums ceded is principally related to legal professional liability premiums, which, generally speaking, are more heavily reinsured than are our physician premiums. The decline in premiums earned is principally attributable to physician premiums from policies with lower coverage limits for which we retain all of the risk of loss; consequently, there is no corresponding decrease to premiums ceded.

The PICA subsidiaries cede only a small portion of the risk on the policies they issue. Accordingly, the reinsurance expense ratio for these entities is minimal.

Table of ContentsNet Investment Income, Equity in Earnings (Loss) of Unconsolidated Subsidiaries, Net Realized Investment Gains (Losses)*Net Investment Income*

(\$ in thousands)	Three Months Ended September 30				Nine Months Ended September 30			
	2009	2008	Change		2009	2008	Change	
Net investment income								
PRA all other	\$36,690	\$39,845	\$(3,155)	(7.9%)	\$108,832	\$122,218	\$(13,386)	(11.0%)
PICA Acquisition	1,883		1,883	nm	4,007		4,007	nm
	\$38,573	\$39,845	\$(1,272)	(3.2%)	\$112,839	\$122,218	\$(9,379)	(7.7%)

Net investment income is primarily derived from the income earned by our fixed maturity securities and also includes income from our short-term, trading portfolio and cash equivalent investments, dividend income from equity securities, earnings from other investments and increases in the cash surrender value of business owned executive life insurance contracts. Investment fees and expenses are deducted from investment income.

Net investment income by investment category is as follows:

(In thousands)	Three Months Ended September 30		Nine Months Ended September 30	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
PRA all other				
Fixed maturities	\$36,697	\$37,513	\$107,704	\$115,378
Equities	142	689	497	1,037
Short-term investments	140	1,599	990	5,544
Other invested assets	632	874	2,096	2,260
Business owned life insurance	336	301	1,157	1,481
	37,947	40,976	112,444	125,700
PICA Acquisition				
Fixed maturities	2,083		4,303	
Equities	93		295	
Short-term investments	53		135	
	2,229		4,733	
Investment expenses*	(1,603)	(1,131)	(4,338)	(3,482)
Net investment income	\$38,573	\$39,845	\$112,839	\$122,218

*

*Includes PICA
investment
expenses*

Fixed Maturities. The reductions in 2009 income from fixed maturities, as compared to 2008, are primarily due to lower average balances. Yields on our securities have also declined, particularly so in the year-to-date period, as we reinvest maturing proceeds at lower rates. The 2009 year-to-date period also reflects lower earnings from TIPS (Treasury Inflation Protected Securities). Average yields for our available-for-sale fixed maturity securities during 2009 and 2008 are as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30		Nine Months Ended September 30	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Excluding securities held by PICA:				
Average income yield	4.7%	4.8%	4.7%	4.8%
Average tax equivalent income yield	5.5%	5.5%	5.4%	5.6%
Consolidated yield:				
Average income yield	4.6%	4.8%	4.6%	4.8%
Average tax equivalent income yield	5.3%	5.5%	5.3%	5.6%

Short-term Investments. The decrease in earnings from short-term investments for the three-month and nine-month periods reflects a decline in market interest rates (an average of 270 basis points for the year-to-date period) on lower average balances in 2009 as compared to 2008. Average balances for the year-

Table of Contents

to-date period are reduced as compared to 2008 because short term funds of approximately \$120 million were used to fund the acquisition of the PICA subsidiaries during the second quarter.

Equity in Earnings (Loss) of Unconsolidated Subsidiaries

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended September 30			Nine Months Ended September 30		
	2009	2008	<i>Change</i>	2009	2008	<i>Change</i>
Equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated subsidiaries	\$1,637	\$(1,967)	<i>\$3,604</i>	\$328	\$(3,916)	<i>\$4,244</i>

Equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated subsidiaries is derived from our investment interests in three private funds accounted for under the equity method. The funds primarily hold trading portfolios, and changes in the fair value of securities held by the fund are included in current earnings of the fund. The performance of all three funds is affected by the volatility of equity and credit markets. No unconsolidated subsidiaries were acquired in the PICA acquisition.

Net Realized Investment Gains (Losses) (including PICA)

The following table provides detailed information regarding our net realized investment gains (losses).

<i>(In thousands; PICA results are not shown separately)</i>	Three Months Ended September 30		Nine Months Ended September 30	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Total other-than-temporary impairment losses (realized and unrealized):				
Residential mortgage-backed securities ⁽¹⁾	\$	\$ (788)	\$ (2,703)	\$ (5,930)
Corporate bonds	(16)	(19,606)	(3,749)	(20,119)
Equities	(72)	(9,468)	(494)	(9,767)
Other ⁽²⁾			(3,626)	(353)
Portion recognized in Other Comprehensive Income ⁽³⁾ :				
Residential mortgage-backed securities			172	
Net impairment losses recognized in earnings	(88)	(29,862)	(10,400)	(36,169)
Net gains (losses) from sales	3,592	(3,100)	8,717	(2,954)
Trading portfolio gains (losses)	4,723	(1,274)	7,366	(1,888)
Fair value adjustments, net	(952)		(861)	
Net realized investment gains (losses)	\$7,275	\$ (34,236)	\$ 4,822	\$ (41,011)

(1) Includes unrealized impairment losses of approximately \$61,000 that were recognized in earnings in the first quarter

of 2009 but reclassified from retained earnings to other comprehensive income on April 1, 2009

- (2) Includes \$3.1 million in the first quarter of 2009 related to a reduction of the amount expected to be received from the dissolution of the Reserve Primary Fund
- (3) In accordance with GAAP all OTTI losses prior to April 1, 2009 were recognized in earnings

Trading portfolio gains are primarily attributable to improved market prices for equity securities in the second and third quarters of 2009. Fair value adjustments are attributable to our election of fair value treatment for both the 2019 Note Payable and related interest rate swap, as discussed in Note 8.

Table of ContentsLosses and Loss Adjustment Expenses

The determination of calendar year losses involves the actuarial evaluation of incurred losses for the current accident year and the actuarial re-evaluation of incurred losses for prior accident years, including an evaluation of the reserve amounts required for losses in excess of policy limits.

Accident year refers to the accounting period in which the insured event becomes a liability of the insurer. For occurrence policies the insured event becomes a liability when the event takes place; for claims-made policies, which represent the majority of the Company's business, the insured event generally becomes a liability when the event is first reported to the insurer. We believe that measuring losses on an accident year basis is the most indicative measure of the underlying profitability of the premiums earned in that period since it associates policy premiums earned with the estimate of the losses incurred related to those policy premiums.

The following table summarizes calendar year net losses and net loss ratios for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, by separating losses between the current accident year and all prior accident years.

<i>(In millions)</i>	Net Losses					
	Three Months Ended September 30			Nine Months Ended September 30		
	2009	2008	Change	2009	2008	Change
Current accident year:						
PRA all other	\$ 91.3	\$ 95.2	\$ (3.9)	\$ 265.7	\$ 293.9	\$(28.2)
PICA Acquisition	20.8		20.8	38.0		38.0
	\$ 112.1	\$ 95.2	\$ 16.9	\$ 303.7	\$ 293.9	\$ 9.8
Prior accident years:						
PRA all other	\$ (42.5)	\$(30.0)	\$(12.5)	\$ (98.0)	\$(81.3)	\$(16.7)
PICA Acquisition						
	\$ (42.5)	\$(30.0)	\$(12.5)	\$ (98.0)	\$(81.3)	\$(16.7)
Calendar year:						
PRA all other	\$ 48.8	\$ 65.2	\$(16.4)	\$ 167.7	\$ 212.6	\$(44.9)
PICA Acquisition	20.8		20.8	38.0		38.0
	\$ 69.6	\$ 65.2	\$ 4.4	\$ 205.7	\$ 212.6	\$ (6.9)

	Net Loss Ratios					
	Three Months Ended September 30			Nine Months Ended September 30		
	2009	2008	Change	2009	2008	Change
Current accident year:						
PRA all other	84.2%	83.9%	0.3	83.8%	84.0%	(0.2)
PICA Acquisition	88.2%		88.2	81.8%		81.8
Consolidated	84.9%	83.9%	1.0	83.5%	84.0%	(0.5)

Prior accident years:						
PRA all other	(39.2%)	(26.4%)	(12.8)	(30.9%)	(23.2%)	(7.7)
PICA Acquisition						--
Consolidated	(32.2%)	(26.4%)	(5.8)	(26.9%)	(23.2%)	(3.7)
Calendar year:						
PRA all other	45.0%	57.5%	(12.5)	52.9%	60.8%	(7.9)
PICA Acquisition	88.2%		88.2	81.8%		81.8
Consolidated	52.7%	57.5%	(4.8)	56.6%	60.8%	(4.2)

* *Net losses as specified divided by net premiums earned.*

Table of Contents

PRA Exclusive of PICA

The current accident year loss ratio for our business excluding PICA has been consistent during 2009 and has not changed significantly as compared to 2008.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, we recognized favorable loss development of \$42.5 million and \$98.0 million, on a net basis, related to reserves established in prior years. Principally this is due to favorable net loss development for the 2004 to 2007 accident years within our retained layers of coverage (\$1 million and below). The 2004-2007 favorable development is based upon observation of actual claims data which indicates that claims severity is below our initial expectations. Given both the long tailed nature of our business and the past volatility of claims, we are generally cautious in recognizing the impact of the underlying trends that lead to the recognition of favorable net loss development. As we conclude that sufficient data with respect to these trends exists to credibly impact our actuarial analysis we take appropriate actions. In the case of the claims severity trends for 2004-2007, we believe it is appropriate to recognize the impact of these trends in our actuarial evaluation of prior period loss estimates while also remaining cautious about the past volatility of claims severity.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, we recognized favorable loss development of \$30.0 million and \$81.3 million respectively, generally related to our previously established (prior accident year) reserves. In particular, we observed claims severity below our initial expectations, within the first \$1 million of coverage, for the 2004 through 2007 accident years. Favorable development also includes \$3.7 million recognized in the second quarter of 2008 related to prior year reinsurance contracts that were commuted during the period.

Assumptions used in establishing our reserve are regularly reviewed and updated by management as new data becomes available. Any adjustments necessary are reflected in the current operations. Due to the size of our reserve, even a small percentage adjustment to the assumptions can have a material effect on our results of operations for the period in which the change is made.

PICA

Based upon a review of claims data we have increased our estimate of expected PICA losses for the year-to-date period resulting in a loss ratio of 88.2% for the third quarter of 2009.

Table of ContentsUnderwriting, Acquisition and Insurance Expenses

(\$ in thousands)	Three Months Ended September 30				Nine Months Ended September 30			
	2009	2008	Change		2009	2008	Change	
Insurance related:								
PRA all other	\$25,212	\$24,414	\$ 798	3.3%	\$72,136	\$75,615	\$(3,479)	(4.6%)
PICA acquisition	3,661		3,661	nm	9,613		9,613	nm
	28,873	24,414	4,459	18.3%	81,749	75,615	6,134	8.1%
Non insurance related:								
PRA all other	483	113	370	nm	1,010	312	698	nm
PICA acquisition	549		549	nm	1,137		1,137	nm
	1,032	113	919	nm	2,147	312	1,835	nm
	\$29,905	\$24,527	\$5,378	21.9%	\$83,896	\$75,927	\$ 7,969	10.5%
Underwriting expense ratio*:			(points)				(points)	
PRA all other	23.3%	21.5%	1.8		22.7%	21.6%	1.1	
PICA acquisition	15.5%		nm		20.7%		nm	
Consolidated	21.9%	21.5%	0.4		22.5%	21.6%	0.9	

* Our 2009 expense ratio computations exclude the direct expenses of our internal agency and service-fee operations because these operations are not associated with the generation of premium revenues.

Expense Ratio

Our underwriting expense ratio (the expense ratio) has increased in 2009 for several reasons. Both the quarter and year-to-date periods reflect an increase in the ratio that is due to a decline in net earned premiums. In all periods of

2009, but to a greater extent in the third quarter, policy acquisition costs have increased as a percentage of premiums earned because we have shifted certain physician policies to outside agents and because our volume of non-physician premiums has increased. Our expenses per dollar of premium are higher for non-physician coverages than for physician coverages. On a year-to-date basis the ratio increase was partially offset by a benefit related to final settlement of the CHW litigation, as discussed below. Excluding this non-recurring item increases the ratio to 23.3%.

Insurance Related Expenses

Expenses during the third quarter of 2009 reflect higher acquisition costs offset somewhat by lower operating costs. Third quarter expenses include share-based compensation costs of approximately \$1.7 million in 2009 and \$1.8 million in 2008.

On a year-to-date basis 2009 expenses reflect lower stock-based compensation costs and a non-recurring expense reduction. Share-based compensation costs (\$4.9 million and \$6.4 million year-to-date in 2009 and 2008, respectively) are lower in 2009 because of changes in the structure of the awards. Expenses were reduced by approximately \$1.8 million recorded during the second quarter of 2009 because actual costs to pursue appeal of the CHW Judgment proved to be less than amounts previously estimated.

PICA

The PICA expense ratio increased during the third quarter of 2009 as compared to the second quarter of 2009 because the amortization of policy acquisition costs (DPAC expense) does not include any amounts for policies written prior to the merger, as required by GAAP. As time has elapsed, the volume of premium earned related to post acquisition policies has increased, as a result, DPAC expense in the third quarter increased as compared to the second quarter. DPAC expense will continue to increase over the next six months.

Year-to-date insurance related expenses includes non-recurring transaction related expense of approximately \$2.5 million recorded during the second quarter of 2009. Excluding this non-recurring item decreases the ratio to 15.4%.

Table of Contents*Guaranty Fund (including PICA)*

Insurance related expenses in the table above are reduced by net recoupments from guaranty fund assessments of approximately \$152,000 and \$630,000 during the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2009 as compared to \$356,000 and \$995,000 for the same respective periods in 2008.

Interest Expense

The decrease in interest expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 as compared to the same periods in 2008 is due to the extinguishment of approximately \$23 million of our 2034 Trust Preferred Securities/Debentures (TPS/Debentures) in mid-December 2008 and a decline in the average interest rate for our TPS/Debentures of approximately 200 basis points. We converted all our Convertible Debentures in July of 2008 (aggregate principal of \$107.6 million).

We assumed the 2019 Note Payable and the 2033 Surplus Notes in the PICA acquisition, as discussed in Note 3. We redeemed the 2033 Surplus Notes in August 2009, as discussed in Note 8.

Interest expense by debt obligation is provided in the following table:

<i>(In thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended September 30			Nine Months Ended September 30		
	2009	2008	<i>Change</i>	2009	2008	<i>Change</i>
Debt obligations held prior to PICA acquisition:						
Convertible Debentures	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$2,283	\$(2,283)
2034 Subordinated Debentures	270	856	(586)	910	2,710	(1,800)
2034 Surplus Notes	132	284	(152)	643	853	(210)
2012 Surplus Notes	8		8	20		20
Debt assumed in the PICA acquisition:						
2033 Surplus Notes	48		48	140		140
2019 Note Payable	301		301	600		600
Other (including PICA)	49	1	48	325	9	316
	\$808	\$1,141	\$(333)	\$2,638	\$5,855	\$(3,217)

Taxes

Our effective tax rate for each period is significantly lower than the 35% statutory rate because a considerable portion of our net investment income is tax-exempt. The effect of tax-exempt income on our effective tax rate is shown in the table below:

	Three Months Ended September 30		Nine Months Ended September 30	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Statutory rate	35.0%	35.0%	35.0%	35.0%
Tax-exempt income	(5.1%)	(15.6%)	(6.4%)	(9.6%)
Other	0.6%	(1.2%)	0.4%	0.2%
Effective tax rate	30.5%	18.2%	29.0%	25.6%

Tax exempt income had a less significant effect on our 2009 effective tax rate primarily because 2009 taxable income increased at a greater rate than tax-exempt income. Our 2009 taxable income reflected impairment losses of \$88,000 for the third quarter and \$10.4 million for the year-to-date period whereas 2008 taxable income reflected impairment losses of \$29.9 million for the third quarter and \$36.2 million for the year.

We expect to be able to realize the full benefit of deferred tax assets associated with impairment losses because capital gains were recognized during the statutory carryback period are sufficient to absorb the impairment losses.

A deferred tax asset valuation allowance of approximately \$900,000 has been established related to PICA capital loss carry forwards.

Table of Contents**ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

We believe that we are principally exposed to three types of market risk related to our investment operations. These risks are interest rate risk, credit risk and equity price risk.

Interest Rate Risk

Our fixed maturities portfolio is exposed to interest rate risk. Fluctuations in interest rates have a direct impact on the market valuation of these securities. As interest rates rise, market values of fixed income portfolios fall and vice versa. Certain of the securities are held in an unrealized loss position; we do not intend to sell and believe we will not be required to sell any of the debt securities held in an unrealized loss position before its anticipated recovery.

The following table summarizes estimated changes in the fair value of our available-for-sale fixed maturity securities for specific hypothetical changes in interest rates as of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008.

<i>(In millions, except duration)</i>	September 30, 2009			December 31, 2008	
	Portfolio Value	Change in Value	Effective Duration	Portfolio Value	Effective Duration
Interest Rates					
200 basis point rise	\$3,271	\$(253)	4.31	\$2,712	4.20
100 basis point rise	\$3,414	\$(110)	4.26	\$2,835	4.18
Current rate *	\$3,524	\$	4.05	\$2,962	3.98
100 basis point decline	\$3,664	\$ 140	3.81	\$3,069	3.19
200 basis point decline	\$3,785	\$ 261	3.58	\$3,137	2.44

* *Current rates are as of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008.*

Computations of prospective effects of hypothetical interest rate changes are based on numerous assumptions, including the maintenance of the existing level and composition of fixed income security assets, and should not be relied on as indicative of future results.

Certain shortcomings are inherent in the method of analysis presented in the computation of the fair value of fixed rate instruments. Actual values may differ from those projections presented should market conditions vary from assumptions used in the calculation of the fair value of individual securities, including non-parallel shifts in the term structure of interest rates and changing individual issuer credit spreads.

ProAssurance's cash and short-term investment portfolio at September 30, 2009 is on a cost basis which approximates its fair value. This portfolio lacks significant interest rate sensitivity due to its short duration.

Credit Risk

We have exposure to credit risk primarily as a holder of fixed income securities. We control this exposure by emphasizing investment grade credit quality in the fixed income securities we purchase.

As of September 30, 2009, 97% of our fixed maturity securities are rated investment grade as determined by Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organizations (NRSROs), such as Moody's, Standard & Poor's and Fitch. We believe that this concentration in investment grade securities reduces our exposure to credit risk on our fixed income investments to an acceptable level. However, investment grade securities, in spite of their rating, can rapidly deteriorate and result in significant losses. Ratings published by the NRSROs are one of the tools used to evaluate the credit worthiness of our securities. The ratings reflect the subjective opinion of the rating agencies as to the credit worthiness of the securities, and therefore, we may be subject to additional credit exposure should the rating prove to be unreliable.

We hold \$1.49 billion of municipal bonds, approximately \$1.02 billion (69%) of which are insured. Although these bonds may have enhanced credit ratings as a result of guarantees by an insurer, we require the bonds that we purchase to meet our credit criteria on a stand-alone basis. As of September 30, 2009, our municipal bonds have a weighted average rating of AA, even when the benefits of

Table of Contents

insurance protection are excluded. Although a number of the mono-line insurers have had their ratings downgraded, our municipal bonds continue to be investment grade quality.

Equity Price Risk

At September 30, 2009 the fair value of our investment in common stocks was \$43.2 million. These securities are subject to equity price risk, which is defined as the potential for loss in fair value due to a decline in equity prices. The weighted average Beta of this group of securities is 1.00. Beta measures the price sensitivity of an equity security or group of equity securities to a change in the broader equity market, in this case the S&P 500 Index. If the value of the S&P 500 Index increased by 10%, the fair value of these securities would be expected to increase by 10% to \$47.5 million. Conversely, a 10% decrease in the S&P 500 Index would imply a decrease of 10% in the fair value of these securities to \$38.8 million. The selected hypothetical changes of plus or minus 10% do not reflect what could be considered the best or worst case scenarios and are used for illustrative purposes only.

Table of Contents

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of the Company participated in management's evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in SEC Rule 13a-15(e)) as of September 30, 2009. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

There have been no significant changes in our internal controls over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, those controls during the quarter. Our management has concluded that it will exclude PICA's systems and processes from the scope of ProAssurance's assessment of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009 in reliance on the guidance set forth in Question 3 of a

Frequently Asked Questions interpretive release issued by the staff of the Securities and Exchange Commission's Office of the Chief Accountant and the Division of Corporation Finance in September 2004 (and revised on October 6, 2004). We are excluding PICA from that scope because we will not have completed our assessment of PICA's systems and processes by that date.

Table of Contents**PART II. OTHER INFORMATION****ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

See Note 10 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

There are no changes to the Risk Factors in Part 1, Item 1A of the 2008 Form 10K.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

(a) Not applicable.

(b) Not applicable.

(c) Information required by Item 703 of Regulation S-K.

ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
July 1 - July 31, 2009		\$		\$ 38,336,077
August 1 - August 31, 2009		\$		\$ 38,336,077
September 1 - 30, 2009	40,500	\$51.12	40,500	\$ 129,265,865
Total	40,500	\$51.12	40,500	

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

- 31.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer of ProAssurance as required under SEC rule 13a-14(a).
- 31.2 Certification of Principal Financial Officer of ProAssurance as required under SEC rule 13a-14(a).
- 32.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer of ProAssurance as required under SEC Rule 13a-14(b) and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code, as amended (18 U.S.C. 1350).
- 32.2 Certification of Principal Financial Officer of ProAssurance as required under SEC Rule 13a-14(b) and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code, as amended (18 U.S.C. 1350).

Table of Contents

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

November 2, 2009

PROASSURANCE CORPORATION

/s/ Edward L. Rand, Jr.
Edward L. Rand, Jr.
Chief Financial Officer
(Duly authorized officer and principal
financial officer)

60