

Edgar Filing: IMP INC - Form 10-Q

IMP INC
Form 10-Q
February 21, 2003

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20549
FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

Quarterly report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the quarterly period ended December 31, 2002

or

Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 0-15858

IMP, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

94-2722142
(IRS Employer
Identification No.)

2830 North First Street, San Jose, CA
(Address of principal executive offices)

95134
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code (408) 432-9100

(Former name, former address and former fiscal
year if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Common Stock, \$0.01 par value outstanding at January 31, 2003: 7,790,688

1

IMP, Inc.
FORM 10-Q

Edgar Filing: IMP INC - Form 10-Q

Three Months and Nine Months Ended December 31, 2002

INDEX

| | PAGE ----- |
|---|---------------|
| Part I: Financial Information (un-audited) | |
| Condensed Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2002 and March 31, 2002 | 3 |
| Condensed Statements of Income for the three months and nine months ended December 31, 2002 and December 31, 2001 | 4 |
| Condensed Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended December 31, 2002 and December 31, 2001 | 5 |
| Notes to condensed financial statements | 6 |
| Management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations | 11 |
| Part II: Other Information | |
| Item 1. Legal Proceedings | 20 |
| Item 2. Defaults by the Company on its Senior Securities | 20 |
| Item 3. Reports on Form 8-K and attached document | 20 |
| Item 4. Controls and Procedures | 20 |
| Signatures | 21 |
| Certification | 22 |
| Certification | 23 |
| Certification | 24 |
| Certification | 25 |

2

IMP, Inc.
CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS
(In thousands, except per share data)
(unaudited)

| | Decem 20 ----- |
|--|----------------------|
| ASSETS | |
| Current Assets: | |
| Cash and cash equivalents..... | \$ 3 |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowances of \$150 and \$100..... | 5 |
| Inventories..... | 1 |
| Other current assets..... | ----- |
| Total current assets..... | 10 |
| Property and equipment: | |
| Leasehold improvements | 1 |
| Machinery and equipment | 7 |
| | ----- |
| | 9 |
| Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization..... | 3 |
| | ----- |
| Net property and equipment..... | 5 |

Edgar Filing: IMP INC - Form 10-Q

Deposits and other long term assets.....

\$ 16

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Current Liabilities:

Short-term debt.....
 Convertible debentures due to related party, net.....
 Current portion of capital lease obligations.....
 Trade accounts payable.....
 Accrued payroll and related expenses.....
 Other current liabilities.....

\$ 2
1
4
2
1

Total current liabilities.....
 Deferred income-joint venture.....

11

Total liabilities.....

\$ 11

Commitments and contingencies

Stockholders' equity :

Convertible preferred stock, \$0.001 par value; 1,000 shares authorized;
 no shares issued and outstanding.....
 Common stock, \$0.01 par value; 20,000 shares authorized; 7,790 and 7,291
 shares issued and outstanding.....
 Additional paid in capital.....
 Obligations to issue common stock.....
 Treasury stock; at cost, 40 shares.....
 Treasury stock subscribed.....
 Accumulated deficit.....

86
(3)

(77)

Total stockholders' equity.....

4

\$ 16

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed financial statements

3

IMP, Inc.
 CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
 (In thousands, except per share amounts)
 (unaudited)

| | THREE MONTHS ENDED | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------|
| | December 31, 2002 | December 31, 2001 | |
| | ----- | ----- | ----- |
| Net revenues: | | | |
| Component | \$ 3,665 | \$ 5,454 | \$ |
| Design and engineering services | -- | -- | |
| | ----- | ----- | ----- |
| | | 3,665 | |

Edgar Filing: IMP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|---|---------|---------|-------|
| Cost of revenues | | | |
| Component | 2,571 | 4,423 | |
| Design and engineering services | -- | -- | |
| | ----- | ----- | ----- |
| | 2,571 | 4,423 | |
| Gross profit | 1,094 | 1,031 | |
| Operating expenses: | | | |
| Research and development | 402 | 625 | |
| Selling, general and administrative .. | 619 | 479 | |
| Loss/(gain) on disposal of fixed | | | |
| assets | 9 | (578) | |
| | ----- | ----- | ----- |
| Total operating expenses | 1,030 | 525 | |
| Income from operations | 64 | 506 | |
| Interest expense | (25) | (160) | |
| Amortization of debt discount | -- | (412) | |
| Other income, net | 13 | 83 | |
| | ----- | ----- | ----- |
| Net income | \$ 52 | \$ 17 | \$ |
| | ----- | ----- | ----- |
| Basic Earnings Per Share | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.00 | \$ |
| | ----- | ----- | ----- |
| Diluted Earnings Per Share | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.00 | \$ |
| | ----- | ----- | ----- |
| Weighted-average shares outstanding | 7,790 | 7,033 | |
| | ----- | ----- | ----- |
| Diluted weighted-average shares outstanding | 10,371 | 7,033 | |
| | ----- | ----- | ----- |

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed financial statements.

4

IMP, Inc.
CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(In thousands)
(unaudited)

NINE MONTHS ENDE

December 31, Decemb
2002 20

Edgar Filing: IMP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|---------|-------|
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net income | \$ 176 | \$ |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 1,086 | 1, |
| Gain on disposal of fixed assets | (20) | (|
| Amortization of debt discount | 170 | 1, |
| Gain on settlement of lease obligations | (282) | |
| Provision for doubtful accounts | 100 | 1, |
| Non-cash settlement of other liabilities | -- | (1, |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Accounts receivable | (142) | (3, |
| Accounts receivable from related party | -- | |
| Inventories | 1,571 | |
| Other current assets | (804) | |
| Deposits and other long term assets | 29 | |
| Trade accounts payable | (38) | (2, |
| Other current liabilities | (481) | (|
| Accrued payroll and related expenses | 622 | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | 1,987 | (2, |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Purchase of property and equipment | (146) | (|
| Proceeds from sale of property and equipment | 21 | |
| Cash received from joint venture | 637 | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | 512 | (|
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Repayments of convertible debentures | (290) | |
| Net repayments of short-term advance from related parties | (63) | (|
| Repayments of revolving credit facility | -- | (1, |
| (Repayments of) Proceeds from equipment notes payable | (268) | |
| Payments under capital lease obligations | (1,033) | (|
| Bank overdraft | (30) | |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock | -- | 6, |
| Payments made for treasury stock purchases | (809) | |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | (2,493) | 3, |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents | 6 | |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 31 | |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 37 | \$ |
| Supplemental information: | | |
| Cash paid during the period for interest | \$ 52 | \$ |
| Acquisition of equipment under capital lease obligations | \$ 622 | \$ |
| Issuance of common stock for settlement of liabilities | \$ 367 | \$ |
| Return of equipment and reduction of accounts payable | \$ 8 | \$ 1, |

Edgar Filing: IMP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| Discount on convertible debentures | ----- \$ 170 ===== | ----- \$ 1, ===== |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------|

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed financial statements.

5

IMP, Inc. NOTES TO CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

NOTE 1--THE COMPANY AND ITS SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Business. IMP, Inc. (the "Company"), a Delaware corporation founded in 1981, develops and manufactures analog CMOS integrated circuit solutions for communications, computer and control applications.

In September 2001, Subba Mok LLC ("Subba Mok"), a limited liability company headquartered in the United States of America, acquired approximately 72% of the common stock of the Company. As a result of the shares issued in connection with this transaction, the ownership of Teamasia Semiconductors (India) Ltd., ("Teamasia"), a private corporation headquartered in India, was reduced from approximately 51% to 14% of the Company.

In 2001, the Company changed its year-end to March 31 of each year. Prior to the year ended March 31, 2001, the Company's fiscal year ended on the Sunday nearest to March 31.

NOTE 2--BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The balance sheet as of December 31, 2002, the statements of income for the three months and nine months ended December 31, 2002 and 2001, and the statements of cash flows for the nine months ended December 31, 2002 and 2001 have been prepared by the Company, with out audit and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Regulation S-K. In the opinion of management, all adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three-month and nine-month period ended December 31, 2002 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending March 31, 2003. Certain information and footnote disclosure normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America have been condensed or omitted. The Company believes that the disclosures provided are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements and related notes included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2002.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates. On an on-going basis, the Company evaluates its estimates, including those related to customer programs and incentives, product returns, bad debts,

Edgar Filing: IMP INC - Form 10-Q

inventory values, financing operations, warranty obligations, order cancellation costs, restructuring, and contingencies and litigation. The Company bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

The Company is organized as a single operating segment for purposes of making operating decisions and assessing performance. The chief operating decision maker evaluates performance, makes operating decisions and allocates resources based on financial data consistent with the presentation in the accompanying financial statements.

Reverse stock split. Effective September 2001, the Company effected a one-for-five reverse split of its common stock. All shares and per share amounts in these financial statements have been retrospectively restated to reflect such reverse split.

Earnings per share. Basic earnings per share are calculated based on the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share is computed using the weighted-average number of common and dilutive potential common shares outstanding during the period, using the as-if-converted method for convertible debentures and the treasury stock method for options and warrants. The effect of including options and warrants would have been antidilutive during the three and nine months ended December 31 2002. As a result, such effect has been excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share during those antidilutive periods.

6

Going concern. The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. The Company continues to experience severe liquidity problems and absorb cash in its operating activities and, as of December 31, 2002, the Company has a working capital deficiency of \$785,000, is in default under the terms of certain financing agreements, is delinquent in the payment of its federal unemployment taxes, is delinquent and on a C.O.D. basis with key suppliers, and has limited financial resources available to meet its immediate cash requirements. These matters raise substantial doubt about the ability of the Company to continue as a going concern. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

The Company has failed to make various scheduled payments due under its prior credit facility, equipment notes payable and its capital lease obligations. As a result the Company is in default with respect to these agreements. The Company has been successful in renegotiating the payments with all lease vendors and is on schedule in making payments per the new terms and continues to negotiate with others to settle the payment plans.

The indebtedness related to agreements in which the Company is in default is classified as current on the Company's balance sheets as of December 31, 2002 and March 31, 2002 because such creditors and lessors continue to have the right to effectively declare the principal amount of the Company's indebtedness to be immediately due and payable (or to exercise an equivalent remedy with respect to a capitalized lease).

In November 2000, Teamasia loaned the Company \$1.2 million under a convertible debenture due on May 28, 2001. The debenture was non-interest bearing and

Edgar Filing: IMP INC - Form 10-Q

convertible into 137,143 shares of common stock at Teamasia's option, representing a conversion ratio equal to \$8.75 per share.

In December 2000, Teamasia loaned the Company an additional \$2.3 million under a convertible debenture due on June 18, 2001. The debenture was non-interest bearing and convertible into 262,857 shares of common stock, at Teamasia's option, representing a conversion ratio equal to \$8.75 per share.

In the year 2001 the convertible debentures were renegotiated whereby the notes became interest bearing at prime rate and the due dates were extended to June 2002 and subsequently extended further until August 15, 2002. In consideration for the extension, the Company has issued warrants to Teamasia to purchase 319,800 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$1.10. The convertible debentures are convertible into common stock, at Teamasia's option at a conversion ratio of \$3.45 per share. At December 31, 2002 \$2.8 million was due to Teamasia under the convertible debentures. The Company has been successful in renegotiating the payments with Teamasia with monthly payment of \$50,000 starting from first of April 2003. These matters are discussed more fully below.

Certain Events Have Occurred Which May Have An Impact On The Company's Ability To Continue As A Going Concern, Including:

In June 2001, International Rectifier Corporation ("IR") notified the Company that it would be canceling future orders. IR continues to do business with the Company at reduced revenues.

On July 10, 2001, CIT gave notice of termination and acceleration and demand for repayment for both the revolving credit facility and the term loan. This cancellation results from defaults under both the CIT agreement and related forbearance letters. CIT declared all obligations due and payable as of July 11, 2001. All amounts due to CIT were repaid on August 13, 2001. No new financing has been secured.

At December 31, 2002, the Company owed to the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") approximately \$1.5 million in federal unemployment taxes, including delinquent taxes, penalties and interest. The Company has submitted a proposal for the payment of the past due amount to the IRS and the IRS has accepted the payment plan. The Company has agreed to pay the \$1.5 million balance in full in two payments to be made in February and March 2003.

In October 2002 Teamasia refused to further extend the repayment date for the convertible debenture. As a result the Company is in default. The company has been in negotiation with Teamasia to agree on a mutually acceptable repayment plan for the loan. Teamasia has accepted the latest re-payment plan and the first payment of \$50,000 is due to Teamasia by April 30, 2003.

7

According to the repayment plan the company will continue to pay \$50,000 per month and at the end of the first year increase the payment to \$100,000 per month. The payment will continue until the balance is paid off.

At December 31, 2002, the Company recorded removal of fixed assets from the fixed assets register for those assets which have been fully depreciated and the corresponding accumulated depreciation. The assets have been valued at a nominal value of \$ 1 for each individual asset. There is no impact on the financial position and performance of the reporting entity .The recording of complete removal of the assets which are obsolete, discarded will be made in due course through March 31, 2003 or further.

Edgar Filing: IMP INC - Form 10-Q

As at December 31, 2002, the Company recorded the transactions of repurchasing shares of its common stock from an unhappy investor. In this regard, that \$808,731 has been distributed to this shareholder as at December 31, 2002. Accordingly an amount of \$ 808,731 is reduced from the stockholders equity. On January 22, 2003, an agreement was entered into with the investor whereby in substance the Company agreed to use its best efforts to repurchase an additional \$2.2 million from this investor through April 30, 2003. Accordingly, shareholder's equity will be reduced to the payout made to Investor after the purchase is completed.

During the quarter ended December 31, 2002 the Company entered into a joint venture arrangement with Minghua Microelectronic Investment Company Ltd. All activities of the joint venture shall be governed by the laws and pertinent rules of the Peoples Republic of China. The amount of investment of the joint venture is anticipated to be \$31.0 million. The joint venture company is owned 50% by IMP and 50% by Minghua Microelectronics. Minghua Microelectronic is responsible for providing the land, building and clean room facility and IMP is providing equipment for wafer fabrication from its present facility in San Jose, technology and business to the Joint Venture. The joint venture shall have 10 board of directors, five will be appointed by Minghua Microelectronics and five by IMP. IMP will manage the joint venture for the first three years. Upon achieving certain goals and in addition to all of the above IMP will receive \$4.0 million in cash from Minghua Microelectronic. As at December 31, 2002, the Company received income of \$637,000 (net) from the joint venture arrangement in China and treated this amount as deferred income.

During the year ended March 31, 2002, management has put in place plans designed to reduce the costs of operating the business, improve the operating efficiency of the Company's manufacturing facility and obtain new customers. As a result of this effort, the Company generated operating income, reduced capital absorbed in operating activities and improved its working capital position during the year ended March 31, 2002. The Company continues to focus on its effort to reduce costs further. If the Company is unable to successfully continue to meet its obligations under the renegotiated payment terms on its borrowings, or if management's operating plans do not materialize, this could significantly and adversely impact the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

NOTE 3--REVENUE RECOGNITION

Component revenues are recognized as products are shipped, as sales are FOB IMP's shipment location and the title of the products sold passes from the seller to the buyer at the FOB shipping point. Design and engineering service revenues are recognized under design and engineering contracts once defined development phases are completed by the Company and accepted by the customers.

In December 1999, the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") issued Staff Accounting Bulletin ("SAB") No. 101, "Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements." SAB No. 101 summarizes certain of the SEC's views in applying accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America to revenue recognition in financial statements. Under SAB No. 101, no revenue can be recognized unless there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement, the fee is fixed or determinable, delivery has occurred and collectibility is probable. The Company adopted SAB No. 101 in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2001, effective January 1, 2001. The adoption of SAB No. 101 did not have an impact on the Company's financial statements.

Sales return allowance. The Company reduces sales for estimated returns of products. The sales return allowance is based on the Company's historical experience.

NOTE 4--CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

The Company considers all highly liquid financial instruments purchased with maturity of three months or less at the date of purchase to be cash and cash equivalents. The fair market value of these highly liquid instruments approximates cost at December 31, 2002 and March 31, 2002.

Carrying amounts of certain of the Company's financial instruments including cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, the revolving credit facility, accounts payable and other accrued liabilities approximate fair value due to their short maturities. Based on borrowing rates currently available to the Company for loans with similar terms, the carrying values of the note payable and capital lease obligations approximate fair value.

NOTE 5--INVENTORIES

Inventories are stated at the lower of standard cost (which approximates actual cost on a first-in first-out basis) or market.

Inventories consist of the following (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2002 | March 31, 2002 |
|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| | ----- | ----- |
| Raw materials..... | \$ 319 | \$ 363 |
| Work-in-process..... | \$ 4,258 | 5,313 |
| Finished goods..... | \$ 1,335 | 1,807 |
| | ----- | ----- |
| | \$ 5,912 | \$ 7,483 |

During the year ended March 31, 2000, the Company re-evaluated the practical production capacity of its fabrication facility in the light of measures taken to reduce the costs and improve the efficiency of the manufacturing process, and considering the steep decline in the semiconductor market during the first six months of fiscal 2001. Prior to the quarter ended June 30, 2001, the practical capacity of the Company's fabrication facility was estimated to be approximately 3,000 wafers per week. After re-evaluating the production capacity of the fabrications facility in light of changes made to the production process, reductions in headcount and other considerations, management estimates the current production capacity to be approximately 1,200 wafers per week. Accordingly, to the extent actual production is at or near 1,200 per week, overhead absorption is higher than it would have been using the estimated production capacity of 3,000 wafers per week. The practical capacity of the fabrication has been re-evaluated in light of the 50% of the fabrication equipment shipment to the joint venture company in Ningbo, China. The new estimated capacity is at or near 600 wafers completion per week.

NOTE 6--BORROWINGS

The Company has entered into various borrowing arrangements to finance operations and equipment purchases. Borrowings consist of the following (in thousands):

| December, 31, 2002 | March 31, 2002 |
|-----------------------|-------------------|
| ----- | ----- |

Edgar Filing: IMP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|-------|-------|
| Bank overdraft..... | \$ -- | \$ 93 |
| Equipment notes..... | 144 | 349 |
| Short term advance from related parties | -- | 63 |
| Convertible debentures from related party..... | 2,771 | 2,891 |
| | ----- | ----- |
| Total borrowings..... | 2,915 | 3,396 |
| Less current portion..... | 2,915 | 3,396 |
| | ----- | ----- |
| Long term borrowings..... | \$ -- | \$ -- |
| | ===== | ===== |

Convertible debentures from related party. In November 2000, Teamasia loaned the Company \$1.2 million under a convertible debenture due on May 28, 2001. The debenture was non-interest bearing and convertible into 137,143 shares of common stock at Teamasia's option, representing a conversion ratio equal to \$8.75 per share.

9

In December 2000, Teamasia loaned the Company an additional \$2.3 million under a convertible debenture due on June 18, 2001. The debenture was non-interest bearing and convertible into 262,857 shares of common stock, at Teamasia's option, representing a conversion ratio equal to \$8.75 per share.

In May 2001, the convertible debentures were renegotiated whereby the notes became interest bearing at prime rate and the due dates were extended to June 2002. In consideration for the extension, the Company issued warrants to Teamasia to purchase 319,800 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$1.10 and subsequently further extended to August 15, 2002. The convertible debentures are convertible into common stock, at Teamasia's option at a conversion ratio of \$3.45 per share. The Company has been successful in renegotiating the payments with Teamasia with monthly payment of \$50,000 starting from first of April 2003.

As the terms of the warrants became fixed in May 2001, when the parties entered into a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU), the issuance of the warrants was recorded at that time. The convertible debentures and warrants have been recorded based on their relative fair values. The fair value of the warrants of \$821,000 was determined by using the Black Scholes pricing model using the following assumptions: 221% volatility, zero dividend, 6.4% risk free interest rate, and 3 year term.

The Company also determined that the convertible debentures contained a beneficial conversion feature after allocating value to the warrants as described above. The beneficial conversion feature was calculated as the difference between the effective conversion price per share and the fair value of the common stock on the effective date of the MOU multiplied by the number of shares in to which the convertible debentures are convertible at the stated ratio of \$3.45 per share.

The Company has recorded this transaction as follows:

| | |
|------------------------------------|--------------|
| Convertible debentures..... | \$ 1,867,000 |
| Warrants..... | 821,000 |
| Beneficial conversion feature..... | 812,000 |
| | ----- |

Edgar Filing: IMP INC - Form 10-Q

\$ 3,500,000

The combined warrants and beneficial conversion feature totaling \$1.6 million has been recorded as a discount to the convertible debenture and is being amortized into interest expense over 12 months. During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2002 and quarter ended June 30, 2002, approximately \$1,463,000 and \$170,000 was amortized into interest expense. The combined warrants and beneficial conversion feature totaling \$1.6 million has been fully amortized into interest expenses and no amortization was recognized during the quarter ending December 31, 2002.

During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2002, and in the quarter ended June 30, 2002, the Company offset \$438,000 and \$290,000, respectively, in receivables due from Teamasia against the carrying value of the convertible debentures.

At December 31, 2002 \$2.8 million was due to Teamasia under the convertible debentures. Following further negotiations with Teamasia, the due date for the convertible debentures has not been extended, and the Company is currently in default, however the Company has been successful in renegotiating the payments with Teamasia with monthly payment of \$50,000 starting from first of April 2003, until April 2004, at which time the monthly payment is increased to \$100,000 and will continue until the balance is paid off.

NOTE 7--LEASING ARRANGEMENTS AND COMMITMENTS

The Company leases certain machinery and equipment under long-term lease agreements, which are reported as capital leases. The terms of the leases range from four to five years, with purchase options at the end of the respective lease terms. The Company leases its San Jose facility under a non-cancelable operating lease, which will expire in December 2006. The lease requires the Company to pay tax, insurance and maintenance expenses. Rental expense is recorded using the straight-line method.

10

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATION

The following discussion contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual future results could differ materially from those discussed here. Factors that would cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those discussed in this section, as well as in the section entitled "Business" in our Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2002 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

This information should also be read along with the unaudited condensed financial statements and notes thereto included in Item I of this Quarterly Report and the audited financial statements and notes thereto and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2002 contained in the Company's Annual Report filed in Form 10-K.

CURRENT EVENTS

During the month of August, 2002, the Company signed a contract with Minghua Microelectronic Investment Company Ltd., a company based in Ningbo, Republic of China (China) to form a joint venture company. All activities of the joint venture shall be governed by the laws and pertinent rules of the Peoples Republic of China. The amount of investment of the joint venture is anticipated

Edgar Filing: IMP INC - Form 10-Q

to be \$31.0 million. The joint venture company is owned 50% by IMP and 50% by Minghua Microelectronics. Minghua Microelectronic is responsible for providing the land, building and clean room facility and IMP is providing equipment for wafer fabrication from its present facility in San Jose, technology and business to the Joint Venture. The joint venture shall have 10 board of directors, five will be appointed by Minghua Microelectronics and five by IMP. IMP will manage the joint venture for the first three years. Upon achieving certain goals and in addition to all of the above IMP will receive \$4.0 million in cash from Minghua Microelectronic, out of which \$ 750,000 has been received to date.

The scope of the joint venture company is to manufacture semiconductor integrated circuits in the wafer foundry. The joint venture company will focus in the area of power management integrated circuits IMP with its increased presence in China, a fast growing market for semiconductor chips, expects to improve sales of its standard products.

The joint venture facility with clean room has been completed and 50 % of the fabrication equipment from the San Jose facility has been moved to the facility in Ningbo, China. IMP will continue its manufacturing operations with the remaining 50% of the equipment in San Jose, until the facility is operational in Ningbo, China. The installation of the equipment has been started and the facility is expected to be operational by the end of June 2003.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table sets forth, as a percentage of net revenues, certain consolidated statement of operations data for the periods indicated.

| | Three Months Ended | | Decem 20 |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| | December 31, 2002 | December 30, 2001 | |
| | ----- | ----- | ----- |
| Total revenues..... | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100 |
| Cost of revenue..... | 70.2 | 81.1 | 70 |
| | ----- | ----- | ----- |
| Gross margin..... | 29.8 | 18.9 | 29 |
| Operating expenses: | | | |
| Research and development..... | 11.0 | 11.5 | 11 |
| Selling, general and administrative..... | 16.9 | 8.8 | 17 |
| Loss/(gain) on disposal of fixed assets..... | 0.2 | (10.6) | (0 |
| | ----- | ----- | ----- |
| Operating income (loss)..... | 1.7 | 9.3 | (0 |
| Interest expense..... | (0.7) | (2.9) | (1 |
| Amortization of debt discount | (0.0) | (7.6) | (1 |
| Other income, net..... | 0.4 | 1.5 | 3 |
| | ----- | ----- | ----- |
| Net income..... | 1.4% | 0.3% | 1 |
| | ===== | ===== | ===== |

During the quarter ended December 31, 2002, the Company generated net revenues of \$3.67 million compared to \$5.45 million for the same period of the prior year. The decrease in net revenues was due to decreased demand and in realizations

for the Company's foundry products and strategic focus in standard products. Foundry product sales accounted for 20% of net revenues in the quarter ended December 31, 2002 and standard product sales accounted for 80% of net revenues in the quarter ended December 31, 2002. Among standard products, supervisor series of products accounted for approximately 56%, UART 17% and EL DRIVERS accounted for 7.5% of the net revenue for the quarter ended December 31, 2002. For the nine month ended December 31, 2002, the Company generated net revenue of \$11.2 million compared to \$19.5 million for the same period of the prior year. The decrease in the net revenue was due to the decreased demand for the foundry products.

For the three months ended December 31, 2002, the Company's largest foundry customers were Micro Beat, International Rectifier and Electronic Devices, which accounted for approximately 8.5%, 5.0% and 2% of net revenues and 0%, 1.6%, and 0% of net receivables at December 31, 2002, respectively. The largest foundry customers for the same period in the prior year were Linfinity Microelectronics, International Rectifier, and Tektronix, which accounted for approximately 33%, 15% and 4% of the net revenue and 4%, 6%, and 0% of net accounts receivable at December 31, 2001, respectively.

For the three months ended December 31, 2002, the company's largest standard products customers were Handle Electronics, SEMR, EIL which accounted for 32 %, 19% and 6.9 % of net revenue and 27.5 %, 19 % and 7.5% of receivables at December 31, 2002, respectively.

COST OF REVENUES. Cost of revenues in the three months ended December 31, 2002 was \$2.57 million, representing 70.2% of net revenues compared to \$4.42 million, representing 81.1% of net revenues for the same quarter in the prior fiscal year. Percentage decrease in cost of sales is due to cost cutting methods followed by the company. Cost of revenue for the nine months ended December 31, 2002 was \$7.86 million, representing 70.2% of the revenue compared to \$ 12.65 million, representing 64.6% of net revenues for the same period in the prior fiscal year.

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT EXPENSES. Research and development expenses were \$402,000 (11.0% of revenue) in the quarter ended December 31, 2002 compared to \$625,000 (11.5% of revenue) in the corresponding quarter of the prior fiscal year. For the nine months ended December 31, 2002 research and development expenses were \$1,333,000 (11.9% of revenue) compared to \$2,143,000 (10.9% of revenue).

SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES. Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$619,000 (16.9% of net revenues) in the quarter ended December 31, 2002, up from \$479,000 (8.8% of net revenues) in the same quarter of the prior year. The increase in expenses is due to the reclassification of certain cost center expenses of \$ 300,000 to cost of revenue in the quarter ended December 31, 2001 compared to same quarter in the current fiscal year. The expenses for the nine months ended December 31, 2002 were \$1,987,000 (17.7% of the revenue) as compared to \$3,533,000 (18.0% of the revenue) for same period last year. The net decrease in expenses is due to the cost cutting measures taken by the company.

INTEREST EXPENSES. Interest expense for the three months ended December 31, 2002 was \$25,000 as compared to \$160,000 for the same quarter ended December 31, 2001. Interest expense for the nine months ended December 31, 2002 was \$117,000 as compared to \$566,000 for the nine months ended December 31, 2001. The decrease in interest expense is due to less borrowing and a change in borrowing terms as a result of renegotiations made by the Company in extending the

Edgar Filing: IMP INC - Form 10-Q

convertible debentures. As a result of the renegotiations, the convertible debentures were non-interest bearing during the extension period.

AMORTIZATION OF DEBT DISCOUNT. During the quarter ended June 30, 2001, the Company granted warrants in connection with the issuance of convertible debentures to Teamasia. The transaction also contained a beneficial conversion feature. The value of the warrants and the beneficial conversion feature, totaling \$1.63 million, is reflected as a discount on the subordinated debentures and was amortized over the term of the subordinated debentures, which is one year. During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2002 and quarter ended June 30, 2002, approximately \$1,463,000 and \$170,000 were amortized into interest expense. The combined warrants and beneficial conversion feature totaling \$1.63 million has been fully amortized into interest expense and no amortization was recognized during the quarter ended December 31, 2002.

OTHER INCOME NET. Other income was \$13,000 for the quarter ended December 31, 2002 compared to \$83,000 for the comparative quarter in the prior year. For nine months ended December 31, 2002 the other income was \$419,000 compared to \$140,000 for the comparative quarter in the prior year. Other income for the nine months ended December 31, 2002 primarily relates to gain from settlement of obligations.

12

NET INCOME. The Company had a net income \$52,000 for the three months ended December 31, 2002, or \$0.01 per share, compared to a net income of \$17,000 in the quarter ended December 31, 2001 or \$0.00 per share. The Company has taken a number of actions designed to enable the Company to show profitable results at much lower revenue levels compared to historical levels. Scaled down operations, cost control and improvements in the Company's manufacturing efficiency are the major drivers of the Company's return to profitability.

The Company had a net income \$419,000 for the nine months ended December 31, 2002, or \$0.02 per share, compared to a net income of \$176,000 in the nine months ended December 31, 2001 or \$0.09 per share. The decrease in net profits is due to lower volume of sales compared to the same period during the previous year.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Cash and cash equivalents increased to \$37,000 at December 31, 2002 from \$31,000 at March 31, 2002.

Net cash provided by operating activities in the nine months ended December 31, 2002 was \$1.99 million as compared to net cash used in operating activities in the nine months ended December 31, 2001 of \$2.82 million. The improvement is primarily attributable to cash flow changes in accounts receivables, which used \$3.17 million cash flow for the nine months ended December 31, 2001 and used \$0.14 million of cash flow for the nine months ended December 31, 2002. During the nine months ended December 31, 2002, the Company's cash flows were favorably effected by approximately \$1.46 million in receivables that were sold without recourse by the Company to an affiliated entity.

Net cash provided by investing activities were \$511,000 in nine months ended December 31, 2002 as compared to net cash used for investing activities of \$402,000 in nine months ended December 31, 2001.

Net cash used in financing activities was \$2.49 million in the nine months ended December 31, 2002 as compared to net cash provided by financing activities of

Edgar Filing: IMP INC - Form 10-Q

approximately \$3.26 million in nine months ended December 31, 2001. During the nine months ended December 31, 2001 the Company received proceeds from the issuance of common stock of approximately \$5.9 million, approximately \$1.9 million (net) was used to repay the Company's revolving credit facility.

The Company continues to experience severe liquidity problems and absorb cash in its operating activities and, as of December 31, 2002, the Company has a working capital deficiency of \$785,000, is in default under the terms of certain financing agreements, is delinquent in the payment of its federal unemployment taxes, is delinquent and on a C.O.D. basis with key suppliers, and has limited financial resources available to meet its immediate cash requirements. These matters raise substantial doubt about the ability of the Company to continue as a going concern. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

The Company made payments of \$1,033,000 on capital lease obligations and \$268,000 on equipment notes during the nine months ending December 31, 2002.

As of December 31, 2002, the Company's current portion of debt and capital lease obligations of \$1.28 million is comprised of (i) \$144,000 of equipment notes, and (ii) \$1.14 million of capital lease obligations, which comprises of four individual leases.

In the fiscal year ended March 31, 2002, the Company entered into an agreement with Teamasia whereby Teamasia agreed, among other provisions, to extend the due date of the convertible debentures, in the original amount of \$3.5 million, until August 15, 2002. In addition, under the agreement, Subba Mok LLC, an investor group led by the Chairman of the Board of Directors purchased stock representing 72% of the Company's equity for \$6.0 million. All proceeds were received by July 31, 2001. In the year 2001 the convertible debentures were renegotiated whereby the notes became interest bearing at prime rate and the due dates were extended to June 2002 and subsequently extended further until August 15, 2002. At December 31, 2002 \$2.8 million was due to Teamasia under the convertible debentures. The Company has been successful in renegotiating the payments with Teamasia with monthly payment of \$50,000 starting from first of April 2003, until April 2004, at which time the monthly payment is increased to \$100,000 and will continue until the balance is paid off.

13

At December 31, 2002, the Company owed to the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") approximately \$1.5 million in federal unemployment taxes, including delinquent taxes, penalties and interest. The Company has submitted a proposal for the payment of the past due amount to the IRS and the IRS has accepted the payment plan. The Company has agreed to pay the \$1.5 million balance in full in two payments to be made in February and March 2003.

As at December 31, 2002, the Company recorded the transactions of repurchasing shares of its common stock from an unhappy investor. In this regard, that \$808,731 has been distributed to this shareholder as at December 31, 2002. Accordingly an amount of \$ 808,731 is reduced from the stockholders equity. On January 22, 2003, an agreement was entered into with the investor whereby in substance the Company agreed to use its best efforts to repurchase an additional \$2.2 million from this investor through April 30, 2003. Accordingly, shareholder's equity will be reduced to the payout made to Investor after the purchase is completed.

FACTORS AFFECTING FUTURE RESULTS

Edgar Filing: IMP INC - Form 10-Q

The Company has minimal financial resources and its operating needs in fiscal 2002 were funded principally from the collection of accounts receivable and from the sale of common stock to Subba Mok LLC. In the event cash flow from accounts receivable is reduced or interrupted by slow collections, or by a decrease in revenue generation, the Company will be unable to meet its obligations. The Company's independent auditors stated in their auditors' report that the Company's severe liquidity problems raise substantial doubt about whether the Company can continue as a going concern. The Company continues to focus on restructuring its operations to conserve cash. The Company has reported operating income for the fiscal year 2002 and losses for the previous years starting from 1997. These losses continue to affect the cash flow.

Our cash balance has decreased over each of the last several quarters except in previous quarter. As of December 31, 2002, we had cash and cash equivalents of approximately \$37,000.

The Company sells its products to distributors and manufacturers in Southeast Asia, which is currently experiencing an economic downturn. Sales in this region accounted for more than 60 % of the Company's net revenues in the quarter ended December 31, 2002. The Company experienced a slight growth in revenues from this region during the fiscal year. However, should the region not be able to overcome its economic problems, there is no assurance that the Company's results of operations will not continue to be adversely affected.

As a result of the severe downturn in the semiconductor market, the Company experienced a significant drop off in sales in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2001 and subsequent quarters of fiscal year 2002 and the quarter ended on December 31, 2002. The reduced sales and corresponding reduction in cash flow has compounded the Company's liquidity issues. The downturn in the semiconductor market is expected to continue for the foreseeable future, though IMP's revenue has stabilized due to a slight increase in revenue of its standard products.

In June 2001, International Rectifier Corporation ("IR") notified the Company that it would be canceling future orders. IR continues to do business with the Company at reduced revenues

The semiconductor industry is extremely capital intensive. To remain competitive, the Company will have to continue investing in advanced design tools, manufacturing equipment and process technologies. The Company will be required to seek additional debt or equity financing to satisfy its cash needs and such financing may not be available on terms satisfactory to the Company. If such financing is not available on terms satisfactory to the Company, its operations would be materially adversely affected.

New products and process technologies require significant research and development expenditures. However, there can be no assurance that the Company will be able to develop and introduce new products in a timely manner that new products will gain market acceptance or that new process technologies can be successfully implemented. If the Company is unable to develop new products in a timely manner, and to sell them at gross margins comparable to the Company's current products, the future results of operations will be adversely impacted.

Although we are not currently a party to any material litigation relating to patents and other intellectual property rights, because of technological developments in the semiconductor industry, it may be possible that certain of our designs or

Edgar Filing: IMP INC - Form 10-Q

processes may involve infringement of existing patents. We also cannot be sure that any of our patents will not be invalidated, circumvented or challenged, that the rights granted there under will provide competitive advantages to us or that any of our pending or future patent applications will be issued. We have from time to time received, and may in the future receive, communications from third parties asserting patents, mask work rights, or copyrights on certain of our products and technologies. Although we are not currently a party to any material litigation, if a third party were to make a valid intellectual property claim and a license were not available on commercially reasonable terms, our operating results could be materially and adversely affected. Litigation, which could result in substantial cost and a drain on our limited resources, may also be necessary to enforce our patents or other intellectual property rights or to defend us against claimed infringement of the rights of others.

The Company is subject to a variety of federal, state, and local governmental regulations related to the use, storage, discharge and disposal of toxic, volatile or otherwise hazardous chemicals and gases used in its manufacturing process. Although the Company believes that its activities conform to presently applicable environmental regulations, the failure to comply with present or future regulations could result in penalties being imposed on the Company, suspension of production or a cessation of operations. There can be no assurance that regulatory changes or changes in regulatory interpretation or enforcement will not render compliance more difficult and costly. Any failure of the Company to control the use of, or adequately restrict the discharge of, hazardous substances, or otherwise comply with environmental regulations, could subject it to significant future liabilities.

Effective April 1999, our common stock was moved from the Nasdaq National Market to the Nasdaq Small Cap Market where it continues to trade under the symbol "IMPXC." Our common stock trading price remains below \$5.00 per share and could also be subject to the requirements of certain rules promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), which require additional disclosure by broker-dealers in connection with any trades involving a stock defined as a penny stock (generally, any non-Nasdaq equity security that has a market price of less than \$5.00 per share, subject to certain exceptions). The additional burdens imposed upon broker-dealers by such requirements could discourage broker-dealers from trading in our common stock. Additionally, future announcements concerning the Company, its competitors or its principal customers, including quarterly operating results, changes in earnings estimates by analysts, technological innovations, new product introductions, governmental regulations or litigation may cause the market price of the Company's Common Stock to continue to fluctuate substantially. Further, in recent years the stock market has experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations that have particularly affected the market prices of equity securities of many high technology companies and that often have been unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of such companies. These fluctuations, as well as general economic, political and market conditions such as recessions or international currency fluctuations may materially adversely affect the market price of the common stock.

On July 26, 2001, the Company attended a hearing with Nasdaq representatives to discuss the delisting of the Company's common stock from the exchange. The reasons for the delisting hearing include low stock price over a continued period of time, late filings of Forms 10-K and 10-Q and the financial weakness of the Company. As a result of this hearing, the Company agreed to meet certain filing deadlines in connection with its reporting obligations under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, maintain certain financial requirements and complete a reverse stock split. We are required to maintain a minimum bid price for our common stock by December 2002 which the Company was able to do and continue to maintain its listing. The Company does not know whether it will be able to maintain its Nasdaq listing in future.

Edgar Filing: IMP INC - Form 10-Q

The ability of the Company to transition from the fabrication of lower-margin products to higher-margin products, including both those developed by the Company and those for which it serves as a third-party foundry, is very important for the Company's future results of operations. Rapidly changing customer demands may result in the obsolescence of existing Company inventories. There can be no assurances that the Company will be successful in its efforts to keep pace with changing customer demands. In this regard, the ability of the Company to develop higher-margin products will be materially and adversely affected if it is unable to retain its engineering personnel due to the Company's current business climate.

Many of our competitors have substantially greater technical, manufacturing, financial, and marketing resources than we do. Our international sales are primarily denominated in U.S. currency. Consequently, changes in exchange rates that strengthen the U.S. dollar could increase the price in local currencies of our products in foreign markets and make our products relatively more expensive than our competitor's products that are denominated in local currency. We expect continued strong competition from existing suppliers and the entry of new competitors. Such competitive pressures could reduce the market

15

acceptance of our products and result in market price reductions and increases in expenses that could adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

The fabrication of integrated circuits is a highly complex and precise process. Minute impurities, contaminants in the manufacturing environment, difficulties in the fabrication process, defects in the masks used to print circuits on a wafer, manufacturing equipment failure, wafer breakage or other factors can cause a substantial percentage of wafers to be rejected or numerous die on each wafer to be nonfunctional. The majority of our costs of manufacturing are relatively fixed, and, consequently, the number of shippable die per wafer for a given product is critical to our results of operations. If we do not achieve acceptable manufacturing yields, or if we experience product shipment delays, or if we encounter capacity constraints, or issues related to volume production ramp-ups, our financial condition or results of operations would be materially and adversely affected. We have from time to time in the past experienced lower than expected production yields, which have delayed product shipments and adversely affected gross margins. Moreover, we cannot be sure that we will be able to maintain acceptable manufacturing yields in the future.

We manufacture all of our wafers at our fabrication facility in San Jose. Given the unique nature of our processes, it would be difficult to arrange for independent manufacturing facilities to supply such wafers in a short period of time. Any inability to utilize our manufacturing facility as a result of fire, natural disaster or utility interruption, otherwise, would have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations. Although we believe that we have adequate capacity to support our near term plans, we have in the past subcontracted the fabrication of a portion of our wafer production to outside foundries, and may need to do so again. At the present time, there are several wafer foundries that are capable of supplying certain of our needs. However, we cannot be sure that we will always be able to find the necessary foundry capacity.

Due to the relatively long manufacturing cycle for integrated circuits, we build some of our inventory before we receive orders from our customers. Because of inaccuracies inherent in forecasting the demand for such products, inventory imbalances periodically occur that result in surplus amounts of some of our

Edgar Filing: IMP INC - Form 10-Q

products and shortages of others. Such shortages can adversely affect customer relationships, and surpluses can result in larger than desired inventory levels. Our backlog consists of distributor and OEM customer orders required to be shipped within six months following the order date. Customers may generally cancel or reschedule orders to purchase products without penalty. As a result, to reflect changes in their needs, customers frequently revise the quantities of our products to be delivered and their delivery schedules. Because backlog can be canceled or rescheduled without significant penalty, we do not believe our backlog is a meaningful indicator of future revenue. In addition, our backlog includes our orders from domestic distributors as to which revenues are not recognized until the products are sold by the distributors. Such products when sold may result in revenue lower than the stated backlog amounts as a result of discounts that we authorize at the time of sale by the distributors.

The Company utilizes various external "silicon wafer service foundries" (for epitaxial growth) and assembly sites to assemble and package its products. Any product delivery delays, quality and manufacturing problems from these external operations could adversely affect the Company's operating results.

We depend on a number of subcontractors for certain of our manufacturing processes, such as epitaxial deposition services. If any of these subcontractors fails to perform these processes on a timely basis, there could be manufacturing delays, which would materially adversely affect our results of operations. Currently, we purchase certain materials, including silicon wafers, on a purchase order basis from a limited number of vendors. Any interruption or termination of supply from any of these suppliers would have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, or liquidity. Our products are packaged by a limited group of third party subcontractors in Southeast Asia. Certain of the raw materials included in such products are obtained from sole source suppliers. Although we are trying to reduce our dependence on our sole and limited source suppliers, disruption or termination of any of these sources could occur and such disruptions could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations. As is common in the industry, independent third party subcontractors in Asia currently assemble all of our products. In the event that any of our subcontractors were to experience financial, operational, production or quality assurance difficulties resulting in a reduction or interruption in our supply, our operating results would be adversely affected until alternate subcontractors, if any, became available.

The present and future success of the Company depends on its ability to continue to attract, retain and motivate qualified senior management, sales and technical personnel, particularly highly skilled semiconductor design and development personnel, and process engineers, for whom competition is intense. The Company is currently engaged in an executive search

16

to hire a chief financial officer and a controller. The loss of key executive officers, key design and development personnel, or process engineers, or the inability to hire and retain sufficient qualified personnel could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to retain these employees.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements.

In June 2000, SFAS No. 133 (SFAS 133) was amended by SFAS No. 138 (SFAS 138), Accounting for Certain Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, which

Edgar Filing: IMP INC - Form 10-Q

amended or modified certain issues discussed in SFAS 133. SFAS 138 is effective for all fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2000. SFAS 133 and SFAS 138 establish accounting and reporting standards requiring that every derivative instrument be recorded in the balance sheet as either an asset or liability measured at its fair value. The statements also require that changes in the derivative's fair value be recognized currently in earnings unless specific hedge accounting criteria are met. At this time, the Company does not engage in derivative instruments or hedging activities. Accordingly, there was no impact on the Company's financial statements from the adoption of SFAS 133 and SFAS 138.

In June 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board finalized SFAS No. 141 (SFAS 141), Business Combinations, and SFAS No. 142 (SFAS 142), Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. SFAS 141 requires the use of the purchase method of accounting and prohibits the use of the pooling-of-interests method of accounting for business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001. SFAS 141 also requires that the Company recognize acquired intangible assets apart from goodwill if the acquired intangible assets meet certain criteria. SFAS 141 applies to all business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001 and for purchase business combinations completed on or after July 1, 2001. It also requires, upon adoption of SFAS 142, that the Company reclassify the carrying amounts of intangible assets and goodwill base on the criteria in SFAS 141.

SFAS 142 requires, among other things, that companies no longer amortize goodwill, but instead test goodwill for impairment at least annually. In addition, SFAS 142 requires that the Company identify reporting units for the purposes of assessing potential future impairments of goodwill, reassess the useful lives of other existing recognized intangible assets, and cease amortization of intangible assets with an indefinite useful life. An intangible asset with an indefinite useful life should be tested for impairment in accordance with the guidance in SFAS 142. SFAS 142 is required to be applied in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001 to all goodwill and other intangible assets recognized at that date, regardless of when those assets were initially recognized. SFAS 142 requires the Company to complete a transitional goodwill impairment test six months from the date of adoption. The Company is also required to reassess the useful lives of other intangible assets within the first interim quarter after adoption of SFAS 142. The implementation of SFAS 142 did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In August 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 143 (SFAS 143) Accounting for Obligations Associated with the Retirement of Long-Lived Assets. SFAS 143 addresses financial accounting and reporting for the retirement obligation of an asset. SFAS 143 states that companies should recognize the asset retirement cost, at its fair value, as part of the cost asset and classify the accrued amount as a liability in the balance sheet. The asset retirement liability is then accreted to the ultimate payout as interest expense. The initial measurement of the liability would be subsequently updated for revised estimates of the discounted cash outflows. SFAS 143 will be effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2002. The implementation of SFAS 143 did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

In October 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 144 (SFAS 144) Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets. SFAS 144 supersedes the SFAS 121 by requiring that one accounting model to be used for long-lived assets to be disposed of by sale, whether previously held and used or newly acquired, and by broadening the presentation of discontinued operation to include more disposal transactions. SFAS 144 will be effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001. The implementation of SFAS 144 did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Edgar Filing: IMP INC - Form 10-Q

In April 2002, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 145 (SFAS 145), rescission of FASB Statements No. 4, 44, and 64, Amendment of FASB Statement No. 13, and Technical Corrections. SFAS 145 updates, clarifies and simplifies existing accounting pronouncements, by rescinding SFAS 4, which required all gains and losses from extinguishment of debt to be aggregated and, if material, classified as an extraordinary item, net of related income tax effect. As a result, the criteria in Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 30 will now be used to classify those gains and losses.

17

Additionally, SFAS 145 amends SFAS 13 to require that certain lease modifications that have economic effects similar to sale-leaseback transactions be accounted for in the same manner as sale-leaseback transactions. Finally, SFAS 145 also makes technical corrections to existing pronouncements. Management currently believes that the adoption of SFAS 145 will not have a material impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In June 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 146 (SFAS 146), Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit Activities, which addresses financial accounting and reporting for costs associated with exit activities and supersedes Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") 94-3, Liability Recognition for Certain Employee Termination Benefits and Other Costs to Exit an Activity (including Certain Costs Incurred in a Restructuring). SFAS 146 requires that a liability for a cost associated with an exit or disposal activity be recognized and measured initially at fair value only when the liability is incurred. This differs from EITF 94-3, which required that a liability for an exit cost be recognized at the date of an entity's commitment to an exit plan. However, under SFAS 146, a liability for one-time termination benefits is recognized when an entity has committed to a plan of termination, provided certain other requirements have been met. In addition, under SFAS 146, a liability for costs to terminate a contract is not recognized until the contract has been terminated, and a liability for costs that will continue to be incurred under a contract's remaining term without economic benefit to the entity is recognized when the entity ceases to use the right conveyed by the contract. SFAS 146 is effective for exit or disposal activities initiated after December 31, 2002. The Company does not expect the adoption of SFAS 146 will have a material impact on its consolidated results of operations or financial position.

Critical Accounting Policies:

Use of Estimates. The Company's discussion and analysis of its financial condition and results of operations are based upon our financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to customer programs and incentives, product returns, bad debts, inventory values, financing operations, warranty obligations, order cancellation costs, restructuring, and contingencies and litigation. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Basis of Presentation. The Company's financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. The Company

Edgar Filing: IMP INC - Form 10-Q

continues to experience severe liquidity problems and absorb cash in its operating activities and, as of December 31, 2002, the Company has a working capital deficiency of \$785,000, is in default under the terms of certain financing agreements, is delinquent in the payment of its federal unemployment taxes, is delinquent and on a C.O.D. basis with key suppliers, and has limited financial resources available to meet its immediate cash requirements. These matters raise substantial doubt about the ability of the Company to continue as a going concern. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

Revenue recognition. Component revenues are recognized as products are shipped except for sales through distributors, which are recognized on a sell-through basis. Sales to foreign distributors are FOB IMP's shipment location and the title of the products sold passes from the seller to the buyer at the FOB shipping point. Design and engineering service revenues are recognized under design and engineering contracts once defined development phases are completed by the Company and accepted by the customers.

In December 1999, the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) issued Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 101, Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements. SAB No. 101 summarizes certain of the SEC's views in applying accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America to revenue recognition in financial statements. Under SAB No. 101, no revenue can be recognized unless there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement, the fee is fixed or determinable, delivery has occurred and collectibility is probable. The Company adopted SAB No. 101 in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2001, effective beginning of fiscal year 2001. The adoption of SAB No. 101 did not have an impact on Company's financial statements.

18

Sales return allowance. The Company reduces sales for estimated returns of products. The sales return allowance is based on our historical experience.

Allowance for bad debts. The Company maintains an allowance for bad debts based on estimated unrealizable account receivables. Management estimates the allowance based on an analysis of specific customers, taking into consideration the age of the past due account and an assessment of the customer's ability to pay.

Inventory. The Company values its inventory at the lower of the actual cost to market and/or manufacture the inventory. The management regularly review inventory quantities on hand and record a provision for excess and obsolete inventory based primarily on the Company's estimated forecast of product demand and production requirements for the next twelve months. As demonstrated during 2002, demand for the Company's products can fluctuate significantly. A significant increase in the demand for the products could result in a short-term increase in the cost of inventory purchases while a significant decrease in demand could result in an increase in the amount of excess inventory quantities on hand. Additionally, the Company's estimates of future product demand may prove to be inaccurate, in which case the Company may have understated or overstated the provision required for excess and obsolete inventory. In the future, if management believes that inventory is determined to be overvalued, the Company would be required to recognize such costs in the cost of goods sold at the time of such determination. Likewise, if the inventory is determined to be undervalued, the Company may have over-reported the costs of goods sold in previous periods and would be required to recognize such additional operating income at the time of sale. Therefore, although the Company makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of the forecasts of future product demand, any

Edgar Filing: IMP INC - Form 10-Q

significant unanticipated changes in demand or technological developments could have a significant impact on the value of closing inventory and reported operating results.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The Company is exposed to market risk related to changes in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates. The Company does not use derivative financial instruments for speculative or trading purposes.

Interest Rate Sensitivity: The Company has debt instruments, which are interest free, and the Company is not subject to any interest rate risk.

Due to its international sales, the Company is exposed to risks associated with foreign exchange rate fluctuations. Those exposures may change over time as business practices evolve and could have a material adverse effect on the Company's operating results and financial condition. All of the Company's sales are denominated in U.S. dollars. An increase in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to foreign currencies could make the Company's products more expensive, reducing the demand for the Company's products. A decline in the demand of the Company's product could have a material adverse effect on the Company's operating results, financial position, or liquidity. European currency issues are not material due to the Company's minimal contact with European markets.

At December 31, 2002, the Company had approximately \$1.14 million of outstanding obligations under capital lease arrangements. As the lease payments associated with these arrangements do not have variable interest rates, an increase of 10 percent in short-term would not have material impact on the Company's net income or cash flows. The Company does not hedge any interest rate exposures.

Since the Company does not have any significant exposure to changing interest rates, the Company did not undertake any specific actions to cover exposure to interest rate risk and the Company is not a party to any interest rate risk management transactions. The Company did not purchase or hold any derivative financial instruments for trading purposes.

Foreign Currency Exchange Risk. All of the Company's financial transactions are conducted in US dollars.

19

IMP, Inc.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

The Company was named as one of 88 defendants in a legal action brought by the Lemelson Medical Foundation for patent violations. In December 2000, the Company settled all outstanding claims for \$150,000 due in three annual installments, the last of which was due in December 2002. The Company is party to litigation in the ordinary course of business. Any adverse outcome in any of these matters could have a material adverse affect on our business and results of operations.

Item 2. Defaults by the Company on its Senior Securities

On July 10, 2001, CIT gave notice of termination and acceleration and demand for repayment for both the revolving credit facility and the term loan. This

Edgar Filing: IMP INC - Form 10-Q

cancellation results from defaults under both the CIT agreement and related forbearance letters. CIT declared all obligations due and payable as of July 11, 2001. All amounts due to CIT were repaid on August 13, 2001. No new financing has been secured.

In October, 2002 Teamasia refused to further extend repayment date for the convertible debenture. As a result the Company is in default, however the Company is in negotiation with Teamasia to an agreeable payment plan or to convert the loan into equity. The Company has been successful in renegotiating the payments with Teamasia with monthly payment of \$50,000 starting from first of April 2003. Also company is in negotiations with several financial institutions to arrange financing for the Company to provide operating expenses including the repayment of convertible debentures.

Item 3. Reports on Form 8-K and attached Documents.

- The Company filed a report on Form 8-K on November 3, 2001 in connection with a change in control of the Company
- The Company filed a report on Form 8-K on May 15, 2001 in connection with a change in control of the Company
- The Company filed a report on Form 8-K on June 12, 2002 in connection with a change in control of the Company
- The Company attached the contract with Minghua Microelectronic Investment Company Ltd., a company based in Ningbo, Republic of China (China) to form a joint venture company

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

(a) Based on their evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures conducted within 90 days of the date of filing this report on Form 10-Q, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-14(c) and 15d-14(c) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) are effective.

(b) There have been no significant changes (including corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies or material weaknesses) in our internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect these controls subsequent to the date of the evaluation referenced in paragraph (a) above.

Limitations on the Effectiveness of Controls

The company's management, including the CEO and CFO, does not expect that our Disclosure Controls or our Internal Controls will prevent all errors and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all

20

control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple

Edgar Filing: IMP INC - Form 10-Q

error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented

by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the control. The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions; over time, control may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: February 20, 2003.

IMP Inc. Registrant

By: /s/ Subba Rao Pinamaneni

Name: Subba Rao Pinamaneni
Title: Chairman of the Board
and Chief Executive Officer

21

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of IMP Inc. for the period ended December 31, 2002 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Subbarao Pinamaneni, CEO and Chairman, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of IMP Inc.

By: /s/ Subba Rao Pinamaneni

Name: Subba Rao Pinamaneni
Chairman of the Board and
Chief Executive Officer

22

Edgar Filing: IMP INC - Form 10-Q

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of IMP Inc. for the period ended December 31, 2002 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Tarsaim Lal Batra, Chief Financial Officer, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of IMP Inc.

By: /s/ Tarsaim Lal Batra

Name: Tarsaim Lal Batra
Chief Financial Officer

23

SARBANES-OXLEY SECTION 302 (a)
CERTIFICATIONS

I, Subba Rao Pinamaneni, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of IMP, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this quarterly report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this quarterly report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the registrant and we have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly report is being prepared;
 - b) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this quarterly report (the "Evaluation Date"); and

Edgar Filing: IMP INC - Form 10-Q

c) Presented in this quarterly report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;

5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):

a) All significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the registrant's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and

b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls; and

6. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have indicated in this quarterly report whether or not there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Date: February 20, 2003

/s/ Subba Rao Pinamaneni

Name: Subba Rao Pinamaneni
Chairman of the Board and
Chief Executive Officer

24

CERTIFICATIONS (continued)

I, Tarsaim Lal Batra, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of IMP, Inc.;

2. Based on my knowledge, this quarterly report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this quarterly report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report;

4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the registrant and we have:

a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the

Edgar Filing: IMP INC - Form 10-Q

period in which this quarterly report is being prepared;

b) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this quarterly report (the "Evaluation Date"); and

c) Presented in this quarterly report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;

5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):

a) All significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the registrant's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and

b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal controls; and

6. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have indicated in this quarterly report whether or not there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Date: February 20, 2003

/s/ Tarsaim Lal Batra

Name: Tarsaim Lal Batra
Chief Financial Officer