

HORIZON BANCORP /IN/  
Form DEF 14A  
March 22, 2013

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

(Amendment No. \_\_\_\_\_)

Filed by the Registrant   
Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material Pursuant to § 240.14a-12

HORIZON BANCORP  
(Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (Set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

1) Amount Previously Paid:

2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

3) Filing Party:

4) Date Filed:

---

March 22, 2013

Dear Shareholder:

You are cordially invited to attend the 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders of Horizon Bancorp to be held at the Clarion Inn, 5820 South Franklin Street, Michigan City, Indiana on Thursday, May 2, 2013, at 10:00 a.m. (local time; registration will begin at 9:30 a.m.). To ensure that a quorum will be represented at the meeting, we encourage you to vote promptly using one of the methods described in the Proxy Statement. Voting early will not limit your right to attend the meeting and vote in person.

As in recent years, we are taking advantage of the Securities and Exchange Commission rules that allow us to furnish proxy materials to our shareholders by posting the materials on the Internet, and which allow us to provide our shareholders with the information they need, while lowering the cost of delivery and reducing the environmental impact of our Annual Meeting. Our proxy materials are posted at <http://www.cfpproxy.com/5257>. On March 22, 2013, we mailed a notice to our shareholders containing instructions on how to access our proxy materials online and on how to vote.

The Notice of Annual Meeting and the Proxy Statement cover the business to come before the meeting, which will be the election of directors, an advisory (non-binding) vote to approve executive compensation and the ratification of the independent auditors. We urge you to read these materials carefully.

The Annual Report for the year ending December 31, 2012 is posted on the Internet, and if you request printed versions of the proxy materials, a copy of the Annual Report will be enclosed with the Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement.

We look forward to meeting our shareholders, and welcome the opportunity to discuss the business of your company with you.

Craig M. Dwight  
President and Chief Executive Officer

Robert C. Dabagia  
Chairman of the Board

HORIZON BANCORP  
515 Franklin Square  
Michigan City, Indiana 46360

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

To Be Held on May 2, 2013

To Our Shareholders:

The Annual Meeting of Shareholders of Horizon Bancorp (“Horizon”) will be held on Thursday, May 2, 2013, 10:00 a.m. (local time; registration will begin at 9:30 a.m.), at the Clarion Inn, 5820 South Franklin Street, Michigan City, Indiana.

The Annual Meeting will be held for the following purposes:

1. Election of Directors: To elect four directors to serve three-year terms expiring in 2016.
2. Advisory Vote to Approve Executive Compensation: To vote on a non-binding, advisory proposal to approve the compensation of Horizon’s executive officers described in this Proxy Statement.
3. Ratification of Independent Auditors: To ratify the appointment of BKD, LLP, as independent auditors for 2013.
4. Other Business: To transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment of the meeting.

You can vote at the meeting or any adjournment of the meeting if you are a shareholder of record at the close of business on March 1, 2013.

We urge you to read the Proxy Statement carefully so that you may be informed about the business to come before the meeting or any adjournment.

This Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement are posted on the Internet at <http://www.cfpproxy.com/5257>. A copy of our Annual Report for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, also is posted on the Internet, and, if you request printed versions of the proxy materials, the Annual Report will be enclosed with this Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement.

By Order of the Board of Directors

James D. Neff  
Secretary  
Michigan City, Indiana  
March 22, 2013

As shareholders of Horizon, your vote is important. Whether or not you plan to be present in person at the Annual Meeting, it is important that your shares are represented. Please vote as soon as possible.



HORIZON BANCORP  
PROXY STATEMENT  
ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

May 2, 2013

General Information

Information About Proxy Materials

Why am I receiving these proxy materials?

The Board of Directors of Horizon Bancorp, an Indiana corporation, is soliciting proxies to be voted at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on Thursday, May 2, 2013, at 10:00 a.m. (local time). The meeting will be held at the Clarion Inn, 5820 South Franklin Street, Michigan City, Indiana. Our Board of Directors has made these materials available to you on the Internet, or, upon your request, has delivered printed versions of these materials to you by mail. We mailed our Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials to our shareholders on March 22, 2013.

What is included in these materials?

These materials include:

- Our Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting; and
- Our 2012 Annual Report, which includes our audited consolidated financial statements.

If you requested a paper copy of these materials by mail, a proxy card also was included.

Why did I receive a one-page notice in the mail regarding the Internet availability of proxy materials instead of a full set of proxy materials?

Pursuant to rules adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), we have elected to provide access to our proxy materials over the Internet. Accordingly, we sent our shareholders a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials. All shareholders receiving the notice have the ability to access the proxy materials over the Internet and to request a paper copy of the proxy materials. Instructions on how to access the proxy materials over the Internet or to request a paper copy may be found in the notice. In addition, the notice contains instructions on how shareholders may request to receive proxy materials in printed form by mail or electronically by email on an ongoing basis.

How can I get electronic access to the proxy materials?

The notice provides you with instructions regarding how to view our proxy materials for the Annual Meeting on the Internet.

You also may choose to receive your future proxy materials by email by following the instructions in the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials that was sent to you. Receiving materials by email will save us the cost of printing and mailing documents to you and will reduce the impact of our annual shareholders' meetings on the environment. If you elect to receive future proxy materials by email, you will receive an email next year with instructions containing a link to those materials and a link to the proxy voting site. Your election to receive proxy materials by email will remain in effect until you terminate it.

#### Items of Business

What will the shareholders vote on at the Annual Meeting?

Shareholders will vote on the following three proposals:

- The election of four directors to serve three-year terms;
-

- An advisory proposal on the compensation of Horizon's executive officers described in this Proxy Statement; and
  - The ratification of the appointment of BKD, LLP, as independent auditors for 2013.

Will there be any other items of business on which to vote?

Management is not aware of any other matters to be presented at the meeting other than those mentioned above and has not received notice from any shareholders requesting that other matters be considered.

### Voting Information

Who can vote at the Annual Meeting?

Shareholders of record of Horizon Common Shares as of the close of business on March 1, 2013, the record date, may vote at the Annual Meeting. On the record date, 8,693,471 Horizon Common Shares were outstanding, and, in addition, 12,500 shares of Series B Senior Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock were outstanding. Each Common Share is entitled to one vote on each matter to be voted on at the Annual Meeting.

How do I vote my shares?

There are three ways to vote by proxy prior to the Annual Meeting:

- By Telephone: Shareholders located in the United States can vote by telephone by calling 1-866-273-7544 and following the instructions in the notice;
- By Internet: You can vote over the Internet at [www.rtcoproxy.com/hbnc](http://www.rtcoproxy.com/hbnc) by following the instructions in the notice; or
- By Mail: You can vote by signing, dating and mailing the proxy card sent to you by mail.

We encourage you to vote over the Internet, by telephone or by mailing the proxy card even if you plan to attend the meeting.

All proxies properly submitted in time to be counted at the Annual Meeting will be voted in accordance with the instructions contained in the proxy. If you submit a proxy without voting instructions, the proxies named in the proxy will vote on your behalf for each matter described below in accordance with the recommendations of the Board of Directors on Proposals 1, 2 and 3 as set forth in this Proxy Statement and on any other matters in accordance with their best judgment.

If you have shares held by a broker or other nominee, you may instruct the broker or other nominee to vote your shares by following the instructions the broker or other nominee provides to you.

Proxies solicited by this Proxy Statement may be exercised only at the Annual Meeting and any adjournment and will not be used for any other meeting.

Can I vote my shares in person at the meeting?



If you are a shareholder of record as of March 1, 2013, you may vote your shares in person at the meeting.

If your shares are held by a broker or other nominee, you must obtain a proxy from the broker or nominee giving you the right to vote the shares at the meeting.

Can I change my vote after I have voted by telephone, online or mailed my proxy card?

You may change your vote at any time prior to the vote at the Annual Meeting. If you are the shareholder of record, you may change your vote by granting a new proxy bearing a later date (which automatically revokes the earlier proxy), by providing a written notice of revocation to Horizon's Secretary (James D. Neff, 515 Franklin Square, Michigan City, Indiana 46360), or by voting in person at the Annual Meeting.

What constitutes a quorum?

A majority of the outstanding Common Shares, present or represented by proxy, constitutes a quorum for the Annual Meeting. As of March 1, 2013, the record date, 8,693,471 Common Shares were issued and outstanding.

How many votes are required for the election of directors and the other proposals?

The following votes will be required to approve the proposals:

- Directors will be elected by a plurality of the votes cast (Proposal 1).
- The advisory vote to approve executive compensation (Proposal 2) and the ratification of the independent auditors (Proposal 3) require for approval that more votes be cast in favor of the proposal than against the proposal.

Abstentions and “broker non-votes” (described below) are counted for purposes of determining the presence or absence of a quorum but are not considered votes cast. Instructions to withhold authority will result in a nominee for director in Proposal 1 (Election of Directors) receiving fewer votes but will not count as votes “against” the nominee. Neither abstentions nor broker non-votes will affect whether more votes have been cast for than against Proposal 2 (Advisory Vote to Approve Executive Compensation) and Proposal 3 (Ratification of Independent Auditors).

What is a “broker non-vote”?

A “broker non-vote” occurs when a broker submits a proxy that does not indicate a vote on a proposal because the broker has not received instructions from the beneficial owners on how to vote on such proposal and the broker does not have discretionary authority to vote in the absence of instructions. Brokers generally have the authority to vote, even though they have not received instructions, on matters that are considered “routine,” such as the ratification of auditors. To avoid a broker non-vote of your shares on the election of directors and the advisory vote on executive compensation, both of which are non-routine matters, you must provide voting instructions to your broker or other nominee.

Who pays the cost of this proxy solicitation?

Horizon pays the cost of soliciting proxies. Upon request, Horizon will reimburse brokers, dealers, banks, trustees and other fiduciaries for the reasonable expenses they incur in forwarding proxy materials to beneficial owners of the Common Shares. In addition to sending the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials and requested proxy materials by mail, proxies may be solicited personally or by telephone or facsimile or electronic mail, by certain directors, officers and employees of Horizon, Horizon Bank, N.A., and their subsidiaries, who will not be specially compensated for such solicitation.

## Proposal 1

### Election of Directors

The first matter to be acted upon at the Annual Meeting is the election of directors. Horizon’s Board of Directors currently consists of eleven members. As required by Horizon’s Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation, the

Board is divided into three classes of equal or near-equal size and the members of one class of directors are elected to serve three-year terms at each Annual Meeting.

#### Director Qualifications and Diversity

Horizon is a community bank that operates in a heavily regulated industry and relies on its Board of Directors for local knowledge and business acumen. Horizon believes our Board should be composed of individuals with business or academic experience has an impact on our business and the local community. In addition, Horizon's directors are expected to meet the standards outlined below. We believe that all of our current Board members possess the professional and personal qualifications necessary for effective

board service, and we have highlighted particularly noteworthy attributes for each Board member in the individual biographies below. In addition, several of our Board members have numerous years of service on our Board and have served through multiple economic cycles. We believe this experience has provided them with significant and valuable understanding of our business, the regulatory requirements and the industry in which we compete.

Horizon's directors have considerable professional and business acumen, are well educated and are engaged in the local communities served by Horizon. Five members of Horizon's Board of Directors qualify as "audit committee financial experts," which is a considerable number for a company of our size.

Horizon's directors actively participate in continuing education, with each director completing 100% of their 2012 assigned educational programs. In addition, several directors attended outside training programs in the areas of audit, compensation, lending, fraud and regulatory compliance.

Horizon's Board of Directors believes that the Board, as a whole, should have a diverse range of characteristics and skills to function at an optimal level in exercising its oversight. The Board's Nominating Committee is authorized by Horizon's Bylaws to select Horizon's nominees to serve as directors. The Nominating Committee Charter requires the Committee, before it selects a nominee for election or re-election or recommends a director to fill a vacancy, to review and evaluate:

- the nominee's qualifications, including judgment, skill, capability, ability to serve, conflicts of interest, business experience, the interplay of the candidate's experience with that of the other Board members, the extent to which a candidate would be a desirable addition to the Board and any committee of the Board;
- if applicable to the nominee, whether the nominee would be deemed "independent" under marketplace rules of the NASDAQ Stock Market and SEC regulations;
  - whether the nominee is qualified and likely to remain qualified to serve under Horizon's Bylaws; and
  - such other factors the Committee deems relevant.

The Nominating Committee Charter also provides that in determining whether to select incumbent directors for re-election to the Board, the Committee must consider the director's past participation and contribution to the Board.

The Nominating Committee applies a broad concept of diversity, which includes all of the criteria listed in the Nominating Committee Charter together with other factors such as the nominee's age, leadership abilities, continuous learning and the location of the nominee's residence and place of business. Although Horizon does not have a diversity policy, when the Nominating Committee seeks new director candidates to add to the Board or to replace directors who have resigned or recommends the re-election of incumbent directors, the Nominating Committee selects director nominees on the basis of all of these criteria with the goal of finding the best qualified person to meet Horizon's needs.

With respect to geographic diversity, the Nominating Committee considers whether current directors and nominees reside and/or have a place of business in the cities and counties in which Horizon Bank, N.A. (the "Bank"), Horizon's wholly owned subsidiary, has branches and in which the Bank is considering to locate future branches. Each of Horizon's current directors lives and works (unless retired) in the markets served by Horizon. With respect to skill set diversity, the Nominating Committee seeks to have directors and nominees with not only experience and expertise related to banking but also in a broad range of other professions. The Board currently consists of members with expertise in manufacturing, academia, accounting, law, finance, collections, receivable management, real estate sales, real estate development, construction management and architecture.

The Nominating Committee also considers the age of director nominees and current directors. Horizon's Bylaws provide that a nominee who is not currently serving on the Board must not have reached his or

her sixtieth birthday as of the date of the shareholder meeting at which the nomination will be considered or as of the date the nominee is elected to fill a board vacancy. The Bylaws also specify that directors may continue to serve until the end of the year in which they reach their seventy-fifth birthday.

Nominees

The terms of Susan D. Aaron, Charley E. Gillispie, Larry N. Middleton and Robert E. Swinehart will end at the Annual Meeting. The Board of Directors has nominated each of them to serve additional three-year terms as members of the Class of 2016. Information on the nominees and the other members of the Board of Directors is provided below.

The Board of Directors unanimously recommends that the shareholders vote “FOR” the election of the four nominees

(Item 1 on the Proxy Card)

Members of the Board of Directors

The following table presents biographical information on all of the directors, including the four nominees, and information regarding the director’s experiences, qualifications, attributes or skills that have caused the Nominating Committee and the Board to determine that the director should continue to serve on Horizon’s Board. All of the directors of Horizon also serve as directors of the Bank.

Name	Age	Business Experience and Service as Director
Class of 2016		
Susan D. Aaron	58	<p>Ms. Aaron is the President and Chief Executive Officer of Vision Financial Services, Inc., LaPorte, Indiana, an accounts receivable management business in which she has more than thirty years experience. She has served on Horizon’s Board of Directors since 1995 and on the Board of Directors of the Bank since 1993. Ms. Aaron has a degree in finance and an M.B.A. If Ms. Aaron were serving on the Audit Committee, she would qualify as an audit committee financial expert under SEC rules.</p> <p>Ms. Aaron possesses particular knowledge and experience in accounts receivable management, collection services and their related rules and regulations, finance, accounting, management and local market knowledge as it relates to the small business community and not-for-profit organizations. Ms. Aaron’s extensive experience provides significant insight and expertise to our Board, particularly as they apply to commercial lending, accounts receivable management and knowledge of the local community.</p>
Charley E. Gillispie	65	<p>Mr. Gillispie is Senior Vice President of Administration and Finance at Valparaiso University. He is a registered CPA with over thirty-five years of accounting experience. He has an</p>

undergraduate degree in business administration and an M.B.A. with an emphasis in accounting. He has served on Horizon's Board of Directors since 2001 and on the Board of Directors of the Bank since 2000. Mr. Gillispie qualifies as an audit committee financial expert under SEC rules.

Mr. Gillispie possesses particular knowledge and experience in finance, audit, accounting, administration, investment management and local market knowledge as it relates to small business and not-for-profit organizations. Mr. Gillispie's extensive bank audit, accounting, investment and administration experience provides Horizon considerable expertise and insight into these areas. In addition, Mr. Gillispie has attended outside continuing director educational programs with a focus on audit. Mr. Gillispie has used his professional experiences and knowledge to provide good oversight of Horizon's audit and asset liability committees.

Name	Age	Business Experience and Service as Director
Larry N. Middleton	60	Mr. Middleton is a real estate broker and the President of Century 21 Middleton Co., Inc. in Michigan City, Indiana, a company he has owned and led for the past thirty-five years. He has a background in marketing and sales. He has served on Horizon's Board of Directors since 1995 and on the Board of Directors of the Bank since 1993.

Mr. Middleton possesses particular knowledge and experience in sales management, the local real estate market and real estate rules and regulations that strengthen the Board's collective qualifications, skills and experiences as it relates to real estate. Given the current distressed real estate market, Mr. Middleton's local knowledge and insight is extremely valuable to Horizon.

Robert E. Swinehart	70	Mr. Swinehart is the retired President and Chief Operating Officer of Emerson Power Transmission Corp. His business responsibilities included long-range strategic planning, budgeting, financial reporting and supply chain management, and he has held leadership roles in an industry trade association and in a number of community organizations. He has served on Horizon's Board of Directors since 1998 and on the Board of Directors of the Bank since 1996. Mr. Swinehart has an M.B.A. and qualifies as an audit committee financial expert under SEC rules.
---------------------	----	---

Mr. Swinehart's extensive knowledge and experience in manufacturing, supply chain management, finance and accounting has provided considerable strength to Horizon's Board of Directors. Mr. Swinehart's management experience in a large and complex organization has provided Horizon with important professional contacts and insight into managing larger organizations. In addition, Mr. Swinehart has attended outside director continuing education and has shared his educational experience with the other board members.

Name	Age	Business Experience and Service as a Director
Class of 2015		
Lawrence E. Burnell	58	Mr. Burnell is the Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer of White Lodging Services Corporation, a national hotel management and development company. He has over thirty-six years of financial management experience. He has served on Horizon's Board of Directors since 2009 and on the Board of Directors of the Bank since September 2007. Mr. Burnell has a B.S. in accounting, has passed the CPA exam and has ten years of experience serving with a national public accounting firm. If Mr. Burnell were serving on the Audit Committee, he would qualify as an audit committee financial expert under SEC rules.



Mr. Burnell has extensive experience and knowledge in real estate development, trends in commercial real estate values, management of a large and complex service organization, finance and accounting. Mr. Burnell's extensive commercial real estate background provides Horizon's Loan Committee with important insight into this industry, which is especially valuable during the current recession. In addition, Mr. Burnell's extensive accounting, management and service industry experience provides an important perspective to Horizon's Board of Directors.

Name	Age	Business Experience and Service as Director
Robert C. Dabagia	74	<p>Mr. Dabagia has served as the Chairman of Horizon since 1998. He has over fifty years of banking experience, including thirty-three years as an executive officer. He served as Chief Executive Officer of Horizon and the Bank until July 1, 2001. He has served on Horizon's Board of Directors since 1980.</p> <p>Mr. Dabagia has extensive knowledge and experience in banking, trust and investment management, audit, balance sheet management, credit underwriting, bank regulations, and finance. Mr. Dabagia's extensive banking, trust and executive management experience provides the Board an important historical perspective on the industry and economic cycles. In addition, Mr. Dabagia provides considerable insight on the importance of shareholder value and regulatory compliance.</p>
Peter L. Pairitz	57	<p>Mr. Pairitz is a business developer who focuses on consulting with small business owners regarding all aspects of business ownership, including financing alternatives, and he has management responsibilities for several types of businesses. He is a CPA with public accounting firm experience in auditing and managing audits of financial institutions. He has served on Horizon's Board of Directors since 2001 and on the Board of Directors of the Bank since 2000. If Mr. Pairitz were serving on the Audit Committee, he would qualify as an audit committee financial expert under SEC rules.</p> <p>Mr. Pairitz has extensive knowledge and experience in finance, accounting, audit, manufacturing, real estate development and of the local business community. Mr. Pairitz's business experiences, local knowledge and attention to detail are very important to Horizon's Board of Directors. In addition, Mr. Pairitz has continued his outside board education in the areas of credit and compensation trends and has shared his knowledge and experience with the Loan and Compensation Committees of the Board.</p>
Spero W. Valavanis	60	<p>Mr. Valavanis is an architect and has thirty-six years experience in planning, design, business management and marketing as the President of Design Organization, Inc., an architecture, engineering and interior design firm. He has served on Horizon's Board of Directors since 2000 and on the Board of Directors of the Bank since 1998.</p> <p>Mr. Valavanis has extensive knowledge and experience in architecture, design, construction management and of the local business, municipal and not-for-profit communities. Mr.</p>

Valavanis has continued his director education with a focus on asset and liability management and on trust matters. Mr. Valavanis's professional background, local market knowledge and community involvement are important contributions to Horizon's Board of Directors.

Name Class of 2014	Age	Business Experience and Service as Director
Craig M. Dwight	56	<p>Mr. Dwight has served as the Chief Executive Officer of Horizon and the Bank since July 1, 2001, and as the President and Chief Administrative Officer of Horizon and as the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Bank since December 1998. He has served on Horizon's Board of Directors and the Board of Directors of the Bank since 1998. He has thirty-three years of banking experience, including experience as a senior commercial loan officer, branch manager, human resources director, senior credit officer and chief executive officer. He has a business degree with a concentration in accounting.</p> <p>Mr. Dwight has extensive knowledge and experience in banking, credit underwriting, balance sheet management, liquidity management, finance, accounting and banking rules and regulations. In addition, Mr. Dwight has considerable knowledge of the local business, municipal and not-for-profit communities. Mr. Dwight has served in leadership roles with a considerable number of local not-for-profit organizations, including leading several fund raising campaigns. Mr. Dwight's intimate knowledge of Horizon's business and his leadership during this recent economic recession and ability to look for new opportunities for Horizon makes him a valuable member of Horizon's Board of Directors.</p>
James B. Dworkin	64	<p>Mr. Dworkin is the Chancellor of Purdue University North Central. He has over thirty years of experience in education and has a business school background and a Ph.D. in Industrial Relations. He has served on Horizon's Board of Directors since 2003 and on the Board of Directors of the Bank since 2002.</p> <p>Mr. Dworkin has extensive knowledge and experience in academia, negotiations, business administration, and management of a large organization. In addition, Mr. Dworkin has considerable knowledge of local business and not-for-profit organizations. Mr. Dworkin regularly shares his local and national insights with the Board and Senior Management. In addition, due to his extensive knowledge of the local community, he provides considerable insight into current local events. Mr. Dworkin's community knowledge, ability to work with others and consensus building abilities are valuable contributions to Horizon's Board of Directors.</p>
Daniel F. Hopp	65	<p>Mr. Hopp retired in June 2011 as Senior Vice President, Corporate Affairs, and General Counsel of Whirlpool Corporation, a Fortune 500 company located within Horizon's market area. He has a law degree and has over twenty-five years</p>

experience working with a publicly traded corporation. He has served on Horizon's Board of Directors since 2005 and on the Board of Directors of the Bank since 2004.

Mr. Hopp has extensive knowledge and experience in manufacturing, management of a large and complex organization, corporate law and the rules and regulations applicable to large publicly traded companies. Mr. Hopp's educational and professional background is rarely found on a community bank board. In addition, Mr. Hopp is very active in the local not-for-profit community. At Horizon's Board meetings, Mr. Hopp regularly provides invaluable insights based on his professional and educational experiences, and he has the ability to look at complex problems from a different perspective. Mr. Hopp is a valuable member of Horizon's Board of Directors.

Each of the nominees has agreed to serve for the term for which he or she has been nominated. It is intended that the proxies solicited by the Board of Directors will be voted for the nominees named above. If any nominee is unable to stand for election, the Board of Directors may designate a substitute nominee or adopt a resolution reducing the number of members on the Board. If a substitute nominee is designated, Common Shares represented by proxy will be voted for the substituted nominee.

## Corporate Governance

### Director Independence

Annually Horizon's Board of Directors considers the independence of each of the directors under the listing standards of the NASDAQ Stock Exchange. In determining independence, the Board considers, among other things, current or previous employment relationships as well as material transactions and relationships between Horizon or the Bank and the directors, members of their immediate family and entities in which the directors have a significant interest. The purpose of this review is to determine whether any relationships or transactions exist or have occurred that are inconsistent with a determination that the director is independent.

The Board of Directors has determined that nine of the eleven members of the Board, including all four nominees, qualify as independent directors under SEC rules and the NASDAQ Listing Standards. The independent directors on the Board are Susan D. Aaron, Lawrence E. Burnell, James B. Dworkin, Charley E. Gillispie, Daniel F. Hopp, Larry N. Middleton, Peter L. Pairitz, Robert E. Swinehart and Spero W. Valavanis. Mr. Dwight, the Chief Executive Officer, and Mr. Dabagia, Chairman of the Board, do not qualify as independent directors.

Members of the Audit, Compensation and Nominating Committee must meet all applicable independence tests of The NASDAQ Stock Exchange and the SEC.

### Board Leadership Structure

Horizon's Board of Directors believes that each business is unique, and therefore, Board leadership structure should vary depending upon each company's circumstances and needs as they evolve over time. The positions of Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board currently are held by different individuals: Mr. Dwight serves as Chief Executive Officer and President, and Mr. Dabagia serves as Chairman. The Chief Executive Officer is responsible for determining the strategic direction and the day-to-day leadership of Horizon. The Chairman approves the agenda for, and presides over, Board meetings.

Mr. Dabagia, who served as Horizon's Chief Executive Officer until June 30, 2001, understands the responsibilities of the Chief Executive Officer position. He also understands the role of the Board, having served as a director since 1980. He has not been active in the day-to-day operations of Horizon since 2001 and receives total compensation of less than \$100,000 in lieu of the retainer that is received by the independent directors. He receives no health and welfare benefits. This compensation arrangement, combined with his stock ownership, has provided the Chairman with a unique perspective that we view as independent, even though he does not meet the NASDAQ definitions for independence.

All of the directors on the Board, other than the Chief Executive Officer and Chairman, qualify as independent under the NASDAQ rules. The key standing committees – the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee and the Nominating Committee – are comprised entirely of independent directors and provide independent oversight of management. In addition, the Board meets in executive session without the presence of the Chief Executive Officer and the Board's key standing committees regularly meet in executive sessions without the presence of either the Chief Executive Officer or Chairman. The separation of the roles of Chief Executive Officer and Chairman and the independence of nine of the eleven board members has helped ensure significant independent oversight of management.

Horizon's Board believes its current leadership structure has served the Company well because it has divided certain oversight and decision making duties between a separate Chief Executive Officer and Chairman and among various

Board committees. The Nominating Committee of the Board of Directors is investigating whether it would be advisable to establish the position of lead director for Horizon's Board of Directors. The Committee's current view is that a lead director should be appointed whenever the same individual holds the positions of both Chief Executive Officer and Chairman. If and when that situation arises and the Board of Directors appoints a lead director, the Committee currently assumes that the lead

director would have responsibility for presiding over executive sessions of the Board, and acting as the primary liaison between the Board and the Chief Executive Officer, including the review of and input regarding Board agendas, as well as serving other functions often performed by a lead director. The primary reason for the Board's consideration of a lead director position is that Mr. Dabagia will reach the mandatory retirement age in 2013. Therefore, in accordance with Horizon's Bylaws, he will be required to retire from the Board by the end of 2013.

#### Communications with Directors

Shareholders may communicate directly with the Board of Directors or individual members of the Board of Directors in writing by sending a letter to the Board at: Horizon Bancorp Board of Directors, 515 Franklin Square, Michigan City, Indiana 46360. All communications directed to the Board of Directors will be transmitted to the Chairman of the Board of Directors or other director identified in the communication without any editing or screening.

Shareholders also may communicate concerns, suggestions or questions to any member of the Board of Directors or member of senior management by logging onto the [www.ethicspoint.com](http://www.ethicspoint.com) website from any computer at any time or by calling the toll-free hotline number, 866-294-4694. Ethicspoint is a worldwide, confidential and anonymous web and telephone reporting system that allows shareholders, customers, vendors and employees the ability to report concerns, as well as pose questions and suggestions confidentially and anonymously. Ethicspoint is fully compliant with reporting requirements such as those mandated by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, Section 301. All communications received through Ethicspoint, either by web or telephone, are transmitted directly to the Chairperson of the Board's Audit Committee and designated members of senior management, without editing or screening.

#### Code of Ethics

Horizon's Code of Ethics for Executive Officers and Directors supplements the Horizon Bancorp and Horizon Bank, N.A. Advisor Code of Conduct and Ethics applicable to all employees, including officers. Horizon's Code of Ethics for Executive Officers and Directors is available on our website at [www.accesshorizon.com](http://www.accesshorizon.com) in the section headed "Investor Relations" under the caption "Corporate Governance."

#### Director Nomination Procedures

Horizon's Bylaws provide that any of the following may nominate director candidates: the Board of Directors, a nominating committee of the Board, any person appointed and authorized by the Board to make nominations, or any shareholder entitled to vote for the election of directors who has complied with the notice procedures specified in the Bylaws.

Horizon's Bylaws provide that nominations by shareholders must be made in writing and must be received at Horizon's principal executive office not fewer than 120 days in advance of the date the Proxy Statement was released to shareholders in connection with the previous year's Annual Meeting. Shareholder nominations must include the detailed information about the nominee required by the Bylaws and also must comply with the other requirements set forth in the Bylaws. The Nominating Committee does not have a separate policy for considering director candidates recommended by shareholders because the director nomination procedures are set forth in Horizon's Bylaws.

Horizon's Bylaws provide that the chair of the Annual Meeting may, in his or her discretion, disregard nominations that are not made in accordance with the Bylaws and may instruct the tellers to disregard all votes cast for any such nominee. A complete copy of the applicable provisions of Horizon's Bylaws is available to shareholders without



charge upon request to the Secretary.

#### Meetings of the Board of Directors and Committees

Horizon's Board of Directors held fifteen meetings during 2012, and each director attended 75% or more of the total number of meetings of the Board and the committees upon which he or she served. Horizon

and its subsidiaries have joint standing committees. These committees include the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee and the Nominating Committee. Executive sessions of the independent directors are held at least four times a year.

Although Horizon does not have a policy regarding the attendance of directors at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders, Horizon encourages directors to attend the Annual Meeting. Ten of the eleven members of the Board of Directors attended the 2012 Annual Meeting.

#### Nominating Committee

The members of the Nominating Committee are appointed by the Board of Directors in May of each year. The members of the Nominating Committee for 2012/13 are Mr. Hopp, who serves as Chairperson, and Mr. Pairitz and Mr. Swinehart. In addition, Ms. Aaron serves as an alternate member of the Nominating Committee to provide additional expertise and support as needed. All of the members of the Nominating Committee qualify as independent directors under the rules applicable to NASDAQ-listed companies. The Nominating Committee met four times during 2012. The responsibilities of the Nominating Committee of the Board of Directors include selecting the individuals to be nominated for membership on the Board of Directors and overseeing the annual self-evaluations by the Board and its committees.

The Nominating Committee selects a slate of nominees and then recommends those nominees to the Board of Directors. The entire Board of Directors determines who the nominees will be. The Nominating Committee and the Board select nominees who meet the qualifications set forth in Horizon's Bylaws and the applicable independence requirements under the SEC and NASDAQ rules. The Nominating Committee Charter was amended on January 15, 2013, and is posted on our website at [www.accesshorizon.com](http://www.accesshorizon.com) in the section headed "Investor Relations" under the caption "Corporate Governance."

#### Audit Committee

Audit Committee members serve one-year terms and are appointed at the Annual Meeting of Directors in May of each year. The Audit Committee members for 2012/2013 are Mr. Gillispie, who serves as Chairperson, Mr. Dworkin, Mr. Middleton and Mr. Swinehart. The Audit Committee met four times in 2012. The purpose of the Audit Committee is to assist the Boards of Directors of Horizon and the Bank in fulfilling their statutory and fiduciary responsibilities with respect to examinations of Horizon, the Bank and their affiliates and the monitoring of accounting, auditing and financial reporting practices. The Audit Committee reviews the internal audit procedure of Horizon and the Bank and recommends to the Boards of Directors the engagement of outside and internal auditing firms.

Horizon's Board of Directors has determined that directors Charley E. Gillispie and Robert E. Swinehart qualify as "audit committee financial experts" as defined by the SEC rules. Mr. Gillispie has a Bachelor of Business Administration degree and an M.B.A. in accounting, and is a registered certified public accountant and has seventeen years of public accounting experience. Mr. Swinehart has an M.B.A. and his experience includes serving with companies in the positions of controller, president and chief operating officer and having responsibility for financial reporting and analysis.

All of the members of the Audit Committee, including Mr. Gillispie, qualify as independent directors as defined by the SEC rules and NASDAQ listing standards.

The Board of Directors adopted a written charter for the Audit Committee in 2001. The charter was most recently revised on November 18, 2011, and is posted on our website at [www.accesshorizon.com](http://www.accesshorizon.com) in the section headed "Investor Relations" under the caption "Corporate Governance."

#### Compensation Committee

Compensation Committee members serve one-year terms and are appointed at the Annual Meeting of Directors in May of each year. The members of the Compensation Committee for 2012/2013 are Mr. Hopp, who serves as Chairperson, and Mr. Pairitz and Mr. Swinehart. Ms. Aaron serves as an alternate to

the Committee to provide additional expertise and support as needed. All of the members of the Compensation Committee qualify as independent directors under the NASDAQ rules. The Compensation Committee met six times in 2012. The Committee reviews salary and employee benefit issues relating to employees and directors of Horizon, the Bank and their affiliates. The Compensation Committee has adopted a charter, which was amended on January 15, 2013, and which is posted on our website at [www.accesshorizon.com](http://www.accesshorizon.com) in the section headed "Investor Relations" under the caption "Corporate Governance."

#### Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

All of the members of the Compensation Committee are independent and no member of the Compensation Committee has served as an officer or employee of Horizon, the Bank or any of our other subsidiaries. None of the members of the Compensation Committee serves as an executive officer of another entity at which one of our executive officers serves as a member of the Board of Directors. No member of the Compensation Committee has had any relationship with Horizon requiring disclosure under Item 404 of SEC Regulation S-K, which requires the disclosure of certain related person transactions.

#### Compensation Consultants

The Compensation Committee has the authority under its charter to retain outside consultants to provide assistance. At least every three years, the Compensation Committee engages a compensation consultant to conduct a review of executive compensation. A primary function of the consultant is to provide market data to the Committee concerning compensation of comparable companies in order to assist the Committee in determining whether Horizon's compensation system in effect is a reasonable and appropriate means for achieving Horizon's business objectives.

In accordance with this authority, the Compensation Committee has engaged Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. ("Cook & Co.") in recent years. In December 2012, Cook & Co. reported to the Compensation Committee on the findings from its analysis of the competitiveness of Horizon's named executive officer compensation and its recommendations for a redesigned long-term incentive program. The Compensation Committee had also engaged Cook & Co. in 2011, 2010, 2009, 2007, 2005, 2003 and 2002 to conduct a review of executive compensation. To evaluate the reasonableness of Horizon's executive compensation, the Compensation Committee annually obtains surveys from accounting firms and other sources and augments that data with the Cook & Co. reviews, which are more extensive and include peer comparison of cash, short-term compensation and long-term compensation. Cook & Co.'s 2011, 2010 and 2009 reports provided the Compensation Committee with an updated competitive survey and the Compensation Committee relied primarily on these surveys in reaching its decisions on 2011 and 2012 compensation and to compare the reasonableness of 2012's total compensation for the named executive officers. Cook & Co. provides no other services to Horizon. In addition, Cook & Co.'s 2012, 2011, 2010 and 2009 reports reviewed long-term equity compensation awards to the named executive officers in comparison with peer data and acceptable banking practices.

#### Performance Reviews

The Compensation Committee conducts an annual review of the performance of Horizon's President, who also serves as the Chief Executive Officer. In addition, the Compensation Committee, with input from the Chief Executive Officer, reviews the performance of Horizon's other executive officers.

In conducting its review, the Compensation Committee considers a variety of performance factors in analyzing the compensation of each of these executive officers. These factors generally include traditional financial results,

positioning Horizon for future success and enterprise risk management.

The financial services business is complex and is undergoing changes that generate uncertainties about future events. The Chief Executive Officer must provide guidance and leadership in nearly all aspects of

this dynamic enterprise. In the process, however, he is not expected to work alone. The performance evaluation recognizes that programs initiated at the top level of an organization are not, and should not be expected to be, “quick fixes.” These programs are generally long-term in nature, bringing benefits to Horizon over many years. For those reasons, the Compensation Committee also focuses on the following issues in determining performance levels for the Chief Executive Officer:

- **Strategic Leadership:** Strategic leadership entails development of appropriate strategies for Horizon and the ability to gain support for those strategies.
- **Enterprise Guardianship:** Enterprise guardianship requires the Chief Executive Officer to set the tone in such matters as Horizon’s reputation, ethics, legal compliance, customer relations, employee relations and ensuring results.
  - **Risk Management:** Risk management requires the Chief Executive Officer to maintain a strong risk management culture, to provide oversight of key risks including financial reporting, reputation, asset quality, compliance with all banking rules and regulations and to assure proper maintenance of good internal controls and processes.
- **Board Relationship:** Board relationship requires the Chief Executive Officer to work collaboratively with Board members and committees, communicate information in a timely manner to ensure full and informed consent about matters of corporate governance and provide complete transparency to the Board.
- **Financial Results:** Financial results focus on the overall financial health of Horizon and ability to achieve financial goals.
- **Talent, Retention & Training:** The Chief Executive Officer is required to recruit, attract and retain an exceptional leadership team in order to effectively run the organization today and in the future. In addition, continuous organizational learning is a key focal point for the Chief Executive Officer and ongoing training is vital to Horizon’s continued success.

In conducting the Chief Executive Officer’s performance review for 2012, the Compensation Committee obtained input from all members of the Board. A significant portion of management compensation, including that of the Chief Executive Officer and the other executive officers, is performance related.

#### Risk Management and Compensation Policies and Practices

Horizon monitors its incentive and commission based compensation plans through an incentive compensation and commission plan matrix that provides a schedule of all plans, associated risks and how the risks are mitigated. This matrix is reviewed by the Compensation Committee in a private session with Horizon’s Senior Auditor. Horizon’s incentive compensation plans minimize undue risk taking through plan design, incentive compensation caps and Compensation Committee oversight. Plan design provides the Compensation Committee with the ability to change, modify or cancel any incentive compensation plan at the Committee’s sole discretion. In addition, all material incentive compensation payouts, excluding commissions paid to mortgage loan originators, are subject to Horizon’s achievement of minimum cash flow coverage to cover dividends and fixed costs at the holding company, and individual employee performance that is satisfactory to Horizon.

The compensation risk rules adopted by the SEC in 2010 provide that if a public company’s compensation policies and practices create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the company, then the company

must provide disclosures addressing the compensation policies and practices as they relate to risk management and risk-taking incentives with respect to all employees and to disclose in their proxy statements whether a company's compensation policies and practices create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the company. Horizon reviewed its compensation policies and practices for all employees on March 20, 2012, including executive officers, and has determined that those policies and practices are reasonable and unlikely to have a material

adverse effect on Horizon. Horizon believes that the design and oversight of its compensation plans help ensure that the plans do not encourage excessive risk taking.

### Enterprise Risk Management

In conjunction with Horizon's Enterprise Risk Management Policy, the Senior Auditor, who serves as Horizon's senior risk officer, and other members of senior management meet annually with all business units to discuss risks related to their areas and how risks are mitigated. The risks are then classified as follows:

- High – potential material threat to the enterprise
- Moderate – not a material threat to the enterprise, however could impact current year's performance
- Low – minimal threat to the enterprise

High level risks have established metrics and are reviewed quarterly with Horizon's Board or Board committees as discussed below.

As part of its oversight function, the Board and its committees monitor how management operates Horizon and maintains internal controls and processes. When granting authority to management, approving strategies and receiving management reports, the Board considers, among other things, the risks and vulnerabilities faced by Horizon. The Audit Committee considers risks associated with Horizon's overall financial reporting, the disclosure process, compliance with all rules and regulations and risk control policies and procedures. At its regularly scheduled quarterly meetings, the Audit Committee meets in executive session with Plante & Moran, PLLC, which serves as Horizon's internal auditor (the "Internal Auditor"), the Senior Auditor and Horizon's independent registered public accounting firm. High-level risks are reviewed with the Audit Committee at each meeting.

The Board committees review high-level risks associated in the area of their responsibilities. The Asset Liability Committee reviews risks related to liquidity, interest rates, quality of the investment portfolio, operations, facilities and information security. The Loan Committee reviews risks related to credit, loan concentrations, community reinvestment and compliance with lending rules and regulations. In 2012, the Compensation Committee met one time in executive session with the Senior Auditor to review Horizon's incentive compensation plans to be certain that employees are not incentivized to take undue risks, and the Compensation Committee anticipates that it will meet once during 2013 to conduct a similar review. All identified high-level risks have established metrics that are reviewed by Horizon's Board or its committees.

The matrices for the Executive Officer Bonus Plan have included "Enterprise Risk Management" as a category since 2009. For information about the Executive Officer Bonus Plan and matrices, see the discussion under the caption "Annual, Performance-Based Incentive Compensation" in the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" below.

### Stock Ownership Guidelines

On April 20, 2012, the Board adopted Ownership Guidelines (the "Guidelines"). The Guidelines include requirements that the members of the Boards of Directors of the Company and its wholly owned banking subsidiary and the Company's executive and senior officers attain and maintain a level of ownership of the Company's common stock having a value at least equal to the following ownership thresholds specified in the Guidelines:





Participant	Ownership Thresholds
Director	3 times amount of annual retainer
Chief Executive Officer	3 times base salary
Named Executive Officers (other than Chief Executive Officer)	2 times base salary
Senior Officers	1 time base salary

Until a participant meets the Ownership Threshold, or, if after meeting the Ownership Threshold, a participant's stock ownership falls below the Ownership Threshold due to stock price fluctuations, then the participant must retain, when acquiring additional shares of the Company's common stock, that number of shares having a value equal to the following:

Participant	Percentage of After-Tax Profit Associated with the Acquired Shares
Director and Chief Executive Officer	75%
Named Executive Officers (other than the Chief Executive Officer) and Senior Officers	50%

Shares are considered to be owned by a participant for the purposes of the Guidelines if those shares would be deemed to be beneficially owned according to the Securities and Exchange Commission's beneficial ownership rules applicable to determining ownership for the beneficial ownership table included annually in Horizon's proxy statement for its shareholders' meeting. Shares of restricted stock for which the restrictions have not yet lapsed, and vested and non-vested unexercised stock options, are not considered to be shares owned for the purposes of the Guidelines. Any exceptions or waivers to the Guidelines must be approved by the Compensation Committee.

### Compensation Committee Report

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed with management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis included below. Based on that review and discussion, the Compensation Committee has recommended to Horizon's Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Proxy Statement and incorporated by reference into Horizon's 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

This Report is respectfully submitted by the Compensation Committee of Horizon's Board of Directors:

Daniel F. Hopp, Chairperson  
 Peter L. Pairitz  
 Robert E. Swinehart  
 Susan D. Aaron, Alternate

### Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Executive Summary

The Compensation Discussion and Analysis describes and analyzes the compensation of Horizon's named executive officers. Our compensation program is designed to align executive officer compensation with Horizon's annual and long-term performance and with the interests of our shareholders. The development of compensation programs and benefit plans for senior executives, along with specific compensation decisions for the named executive officers, is the responsibility of the Compensation Committee of the

Board. The Compensation Committee is assisted from time to time by an independent compensation consultant, whose duties are detailed in this Proxy Statement. The Compensation Committee utilizes benchmark data obtained from industry publications and the compensation consultant to assist in determining the reasonableness of Horizon's pay programs, the direction of Horizon's total compensation as compared with Horizon's performance and in making compensation decisions on named executive officers.

The Compensation Committee, with input from the Board of Directors, annually evaluates the Chief Executive Officer's performance in comparison to corporate goals and objectives and determines and approves the Chief Executive Officer's compensation based on achievement of those goals and objectives. The Chief Executive Officer evaluates the performance of the other named executive officers in comparison to goals and recommends to the Compensation Committee a base salary change for each named executive officer based on achievement of their goals and objectives. The Compensation Committee makes the final decision on the other named executive officers' compensation.

#### Cook & Co. Compensation Reports

At least once every three years, the Compensation Committee requests Cook & Co. to prepare a report for purposes of evaluating executive compensation for Horizon's named executive officers. The most recent Cook & Co. report was in 2012 and compared overall executive compensation against 21 companies of comparable size. The following are highlights from the 2012 and 2011 Cook & Co. reports:

- Total annual compensation was directionally aligned with Horizon's size and performance in 2011.
- When Cook & Co. compared Horizon's performance for the last three completed fiscal years (2011, 2010 and 2009) to total direct compensation, they found:
  - o On average, Horizon Bancorp ranks in the median range of the comparison companies in terms of company size and above the median range in terms of financial and market performance.
    - o The competitive ranking indicates that the comparison group is a reasonable competitive benchmark.
  - o Horizon's top officers are positioned in the median range of the competitive consensus with the exception of Mr. Neff, an Executive Vice President, who is above the median range.
- Horizon's mix of base salary, target annual bonus and long-term incentive compensation for its top officers is generally representative of median competitive compensation.

In December 2012, at the Compensation Committee's direction, Cook & Co. presented the Compensation Committee with a report prepared for the purpose of the Compensation Committee's evaluation of potential changes to Horizon's current incentive compensation plan. The Compensation Committee is still in the process of considering what, if any actions, it will take in light of the findings of that report.

The Compensation Committee has considered the independence of Cook & Co. in light of SEC rules and NASDAQ listing standards. In connection with this process, the Committee has reviewed, among other items, a letter from Cook & Co. dated February 12, 2013, that addresses the independence of Cook & Co. and the members of the consulting team serving the Compensation Committee, including the following factors: (i) other services provided to us by Cook & Co., (ii) fees paid by us as a percentage of Cook & Co.'s total revenue, (iii) policies or procedures of Cook & Co. that are designed to prevent conflicts of interest, (iv) any business or personal relationships between the senior advisor

of the consulting team with a member of the Committee, (v) any Company stock owned by the senior advisor or any immediate family member, and (vi) any business or personal relationships between our executive officers and the

senior advisor. The Committee discussed these considerations and concluded that the work performed by Cook & Co. and its senior advisor involved in the engagement did not raise any conflicts of interest.

#### Overview of Compensation Program

The Compensation Committee sets the compensation of all named executive officers of Horizon, including that of the Chief Executive Officer. Compensation is composed of several segments, including base salary, short-term incentives and long-term incentives. The Compensation Committee compares all executive compensation, including that of the Chief Executive Officer, to the compensation paid to persons holding the same position in similar financial institutions.

In determining the 2012 compensation for the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and other top officers, the Compensation Committee placed its greatest reliance on the Cook & Co. 2011 report. The Compensation Committee also relied on the report to compare the reasonableness of the 2012 compensation of Horizon's Chief Executive Officer and the other named executive officers. The Compensation Committee's review included a study of base pay, bonus and long-term compensation. The 2011 Cook & Co. report made comparisons against the group of 21 Midwestern regional banks indicated in the list below with assets in the range of \$1.052 billion to \$2.769 billion. The peer group was selected by Cook & Co. and approved by the Compensation Committee.

The following list includes all peer group companies included in Cook & Co.'s 2010, 2011 and 2012 reports and indicates next to each company's name the years in which that company was included in the reports

- Baylake (Sturgeon Bay, WI) (2011 & 2012)
- CFS Bancorp (Munster, IN) (2010 & 2012)
- Community Bank Shares (New Albany, IN) (2010)
- Enterprise Financial Services (Clayton, MO) (2010)
- First Financial Corp. (Terre Haute, IN) (2011 & 2012)
  - Firstbank (Alma, MI) (2010, 2011 & 2012)
- German American Bancorp (Jasper, IN) (2011 & 2012)
- Hawthorn Bancshares (Lees Summit, MO) (2010 & 2011)
  - Indiana Community Bancorp (Columbus, IN) (2010)
- Lakeland Financial (Warsaw, IN) (2010, 2011 & 2012)
  - Macatawa Bank (Holland, MI) (2011 & 2012)
  - MBT Financial (Monroe, MI) (2011 & 2012)
- Mercantile Bank (Grand Rapids, MI) (2010, 2011 & 2012)

- MutualFirst Financial (Muncie, IN) (2010, 2011 & 2012)
  - Princeton National Bancorp (Princeton, IL) (2010)
  - QCR Holdings (Moline, IL) (2010, 2011 & 2012)
    - United Bancorp (Tecumseh, MI) (2010)
- West Bancorporation (West Des Moines, IA) (2010, 2011 & 2012)
- Bank of Kentucky Financial Corp. (Crestville Hills, KY) (2011 & 2012)
  - BankFinancial, FSB (Burr Ridge, IL) (2011 & 2012)
  - First Defiance Financial (Defiance, OH) (2011 & 2012)
  - Isabella Bank (Mount Pleasant, NY) (2011 & 2012)
    - LNB Bancorp (Lorain, OH) (2011 & 2012)

- MainSource Financial (Greensburg, IN) (2011 & 2012)
- MidWestOne Financial (Iowa City, IA) (2011 & 2012)
- S.Y. Bancorp (Louisville, KY) (2011 & 2012)

The Compensation Committee intends to continue to employ an independent third party consultant to review executive compensation, including long-term benefits, at least every three years.

The following discussion of compensation focuses on the compensation of the four executive officers who are named in the Summary Compensation Table below because of their positions and levels of compensation. The named executive officers and their positions with Horizon and the Bank are as follows:

Name	Position
Craig M. Dwight	President and Chief Executive Officer of Horizon; Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Bank
Mark E. Secor	Chief Financial Officer of Horizon
Thomas H. Edwards	Executive Vice President of Horizon; President and Chief Operating Officer of the Bank
James D. Neff	Secretary of Horizon; Executive Vice President – Mortgage Banking of the Bank

#### 2012 Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation

At the 2012 Annual Meeting, Horizon provided shareholders with a separate, advisory shareholder “say-on-pay” vote to approve the compensation of the named executive officers. At that meeting, 92.3% of the Common Shares that were voted on the proposal were voted in favor of Horizon’s compensation of those executive officers as disclosed in the proxy statement. Following that vote, the Board of Directors considered whether any changes should be implemented in connection with our compensation policies and decisions. The Board believes that the high percentage of shares voting in support of the 2012 say-on-pay proposal indicated that shareholders approve the work of Horizon’s Compensation Committee and that shareholders consider Horizon’s executive compensation programs to be aligned with shareholders’ interests. Given the significant shareholder support, the Board and Compensation Committee concluded that Horizon’s executive compensation is aligned with shareholders’ interests and, therefore, no additional action was taken in response to the outcome of 2012 advisory vote on executive compensation. At the 2013 Annual Meeting, shareholders will again have the opportunity to vote, in an advisory capacity, on Horizon’s named executive officer compensation (see Proposal 2 below).

Also at the 2012 Annual Meeting, shareholders voted in an advisory vote to recommend the frequency at which Horizon should present shareholders with the opportunity to participate in an advisory say-on-pay vote on Horizon’s executive compensation. Horizon’s Board of Directors recommended an annual vote. Shareholders voted on whether the say-on-pay votes should be held every one, two or three years. Of the Common Shares voted last year on that frequency proposal, 95.6% of those Common Shares were voted in favor of holding future say-on-pay votes on an annual basis. In light of that result and other factors that the Board has considered, the Board has determined that Horizon will hold say-on-pay votes on an annual basis until the next advisory vote on the frequency of say-on-pay votes, which is required to occur no later than at Horizon’s 2018 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.



### Compensation Risk

As discussed above under the caption “Risk Management and Compensation Policies and Practices” in the “Corporate Governance” section of this Proxy Statement, Horizon’s Senior Auditor, who serves as the senior risk officer, meets with the Board of Directors and the Audit and Compensation Committees to review Horizon’s compensation and other risks and to address how to mitigate and monitor such risks.

Our long-term business objectives require that we increase revenues year-over-year, maintain profitability in each year, increase market share and demonstrate sound enterprise risk management. We believe that if we are successful in achieving these objectives, the results will inure to the financial benefit of our shareholders. Accordingly, we have designed our executive compensation program to reward our executives for achieving annual and long-term financial and business results that meet these objectives. Specifically, the amount of incentive compensation received by our executive officers is directly related to Horizon's and to an individual executive's performance results. We recognize that the pursuit of these objectives may lead to behaviors that focus executives on their individual enrichment rather than Horizon's long-term welfare and if this were to occur, it could weaken the link between pay and performance and result in less of a correlation between the compensation delivered to our executives and the return realized by our shareholders. Accordingly, we have designed our executive compensation program to limit and mitigate these possibilities and ensure that our compensation practices and decisions are consistent with Horizon's risk profile.

The Compensation Committee has had in place since 2003 certain rules that provide it with considerable latitude in determining whether or not bonuses should be paid. The Compensation Committee believes these rules protect the shareholders and help mitigate the possibility that executive officers will take any undue risks. The rules are as follows:

- (a) The Compensation Committee may unilaterally amend, modify or cancel the plans at any time at its sole discretion.
- (b) Named executive officer bonuses will only be paid if Horizon achieves a minimum net income level that is more than sufficient to cover fixed costs and dividends at the holding company level. This minimum net income level supports the concept that the shareholders are paid first and ahead of executive officer bonuses.
  - (c) Executive officers will be paid bonuses only if they are in good standing with Horizon and are not under a performance warning, suspension or individual regulatory sanction.
- (d) The Compensation Committee or its designee is to review and approve all executive officer bonuses prior to payment.
- (e) Bonuses are subject to receipt of an unqualified opinion by Horizon's independent accountants on its most current year-end financial statements.
  - (f) The Bank has a policy that allows it to "claw back" incentive compensation as discussed below under the heading "Recovery of Incentive Compensation under the Dodd-Frank Act."

#### Overview of Compensation Elements and Mix

Horizon's compensation plan for the Chief Executive Officer and other named executive officers includes the following elements:

- Salary
  - Annual performance-based incentive compensation
  - Long-term equity and/or cash incentive compensation
- Stock awards

- Retirement and other benefits
- Perquisites and other personal benefits

To encourage appropriate decision-making and facilitate the alignment of the interests of our executives with those of Horizon and its shareholders, our executive compensation program includes “at risk” compensation, as discussed below in the “Detailed Discussion of Compensation Elements.” We believe

that the allocation of at risk compensation for annual cash incentives is reasonable for Horizon given our business objectives and is comparable to that of our peer group.

When setting the total compensation for each named executive officer, the Compensation Committee reviews tally sheets indicating the historical amounts paid for each of the elements listed above. Although the Compensation Committee reviewed tally sheets, it did not take any specific action based on that review.

#### Base Salary

Base salary is the only fixed element of compensation that we provide to our executives and, as described below, is designed to provide a reasonable level of predictable income commensurate with a named executive officer's responsibilities, experience and demonstrated performance and competitive with the levels of compensation paid by our peers. Our incentive compensation arrangements are intended to reward performance if, and only to the extent that, Horizon and our shareholders also benefit financially from the officers' stewardship. Based on Cook & Co.'s 2012 report, Horizon's base compensation for Mr. Neff, Mr. Edwards and Mr. Secor were below peer averages, and base compensation for Mr. Dwight was above peer average. Mr. Dwight's base salary moved above peer average in 2012 due to the adjustment made to his base pay in 2012 to better align his base pay to reflect Horizon's performance, which exceeded peer averages. The average peer salaries for Messrs Neff, Edwards and Secor increased in 2012 over 2011 averages.

#### Annual Incentive Compensation

The annual incentive component of our executive compensation program involves cash-based plan awards that are payable if, and only to the extent that, pre-established corporate financial and individual performance objectives are achieved. We believe that the design of our Executive Officer Bonus Plan furthers our long-term business plan and ensures that the interests of our executives have been aligned with the interests of our shareholders:

- Bonus payouts are not based solely on corporate performance, but also require achievement of one or more individual performance objectives;
- The corporate financial performance objectives are consistent with the corporate financial performance objectives required under Horizon's long-term incentive compensation plan;
  - Bonus payouts are based on short-term and long-term corporate and individual performance metrics;
- Actual performance results for the corporate financial and individual performance objectives, while separately evaluated, are aggregated for purposes of determining the amount of bonus payouts;
- Amounts payable are subject to recovery by Horizon in the event that they were paid based on financial statements or other criteria that are later proven to be materially inaccurate; and
- Horizon's Executive Officer Bonus Plan provides additional shareholder protection by providing that bonuses are paid only if Horizon achieves a certain minimum earnings threshold, and the executive officer is in good standing with Horizon and is not under any individual regulatory sanction.

In addition, the Compensation Committee has not paid discretionary bonuses to the named executive officers at any time during the past five years and does not presently intend to pay discretionary bonuses in the event that the actual

performance results for the corporate financial and individual performance objectives do not meet or exceed the threshold level for payout under the Executive Officer Bonus Plan.

During the period that Horizon was a participant in the Treasury's Capital Purchase Program, Horizon paid incentive compensation to its five most highly compensated employees in the form of long-term restricted stock in lieu of cash awards. Beginning with Horizon's termination of its Capital Purchase Program participation on August 25, 2011, Horizon resumed making cash incentive awards.

### Long-Term Incentive Compensation

As discussed in detail below, the long-term incentive component of our executive compensation program consists of grants of stock options and restricted stock and other awards that may be granted pursuant to the 2003 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan. Grants of stock options and restricted stock are subject to vesting requirements. We set the amount of these awards relative to the overall value of our long-term compensation program that we believe is appropriate for accomplishing these purposes, while still providing our executives with the incentive to focus their efforts on earning their long-term incentive awards. Allocating a significant portion of each executive's long-term equity compensation to restricted stock rather than stock options helps to reduce the likelihood that the options will create an incentive for the executives to engage in risky behavior that would drive up the price of our Common Shares and maximize exercise proceeds. We believe that the attributes of these awards and our compensation plans further our long-term business plan and ensure that the interests of our executives have been aligned with the interests of our shareholders.

### Detailed Discussion of Compensation Elements

#### Base Salary

Salaries of all executive officers, including the Chief Executive Officer, are governed by Horizon's formal salary administration program, which is updated each year. The salary administration program involves consideration of an executive officer's position and responsibility and performance as determined in the detailed annual performance reviews discussed above.

Horizon and the Bank entered into employment agreements with Mr. Dwight on December 1, 2006, with Mr. Edwards on July 16, 2007, and with Mr. Neff on December 14, 2011. The agreements provide that Messrs. Dwight, Edwards and Neff will continue to receive an annual base salary equal to the amount being paid to each of them on the date of their agreements, subject to adjustment based on the annual review of Horizon's Board of Directors or the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. However, the employment agreements provide that the adjusted base salary amount may not be less than each of the executive's base salary on the date of the agreement, which base salary amount was \$280,000 for Mr. Dwight, \$179,220 for Mr. Edwards and \$245,193 for Mr. Neff. The agreements replaced the change-of-control agreements that the Bank had entered into with Messrs. Dwight and Edwards on October 7, 1999 and with Mr. Neff on October 18, 1999. Other provisions of the agreements are discussed below following the Summary Compensation Table and in the discussion of Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control.

The Compensation Committee compares the salary of each executive officer to those salaries being paid to executive officers in similar positions in organizations of comparable size in the Midwest. Salary ranges are then computed from that data for each Horizon executive officer position. Salary increases are calculated based on individual performance rating, where the executive officer's base salary falls within his respective salary range, benchmark data, total compensation in comparison to peer, compensation mix and Horizon's salary matrix. According to the 2012 and 2011 Cook Reports, which are the most recent reports that have been prepared:

- Horizon's base salaries were generally competitive and not subject to performance risk;
- Horizon's incentive plans were appropriately weighted between short-term and long-term performance and cash and equity compensation;
- There was a strong linkage between Horizon's executive pay and Horizon's performance;

- Horizon's compensation peer group and benchmarking methodology were appropriate;
- There was no significant pay disparity among top executives, except with respect to Mr. Neff;
  - Horizon's severance and/or change-in-control provisions were reasonable; and
    - Horizon's special benefits and perquisites were minimal.

Cook & Co.'s 2012 report, based on 2011 peer data and adjusted for 2012, reported that the average and highest base cash compensation for a Chief Executive Officer were \$368,000 and \$603,000, respectively. For Mr. Dwight's services as Chief Executive Officer and President, he was paid a base salary in 2012 of \$400,000, which represented a 19.4% increase over his 2011 salary of \$335,000. His 2011 base salary represented a 3.50% increase over his 2010 base salary. The primary basis for the Compensation Committee recommendation to the Board that Mr. Dwight's 2012 base salary be increased was the fact that the Company's historical performance is above median range in terms of financial and market performance, which justified an increase that moved Mr. Dwight's base salary above peer average.

The salary increases for 2012 for the other named executive officers ranged from 2.75% to 22.19%. Mr. Secor's salary was increased to \$215,000 from \$175,950 (22.19%); Mr. Edwards' salary was increased to \$265,000 from \$245,193 (8.08%); and Mr. Neff's salary was increased to \$251,936 from \$245,193 (2.75%). The larger than usual increase for Mr. Secor was designed to bring his base salary closer to the 2011 peer group average of \$219,000 for chief financial officers. The salary increases followed Horizon's standard salary administration program as outlined above, pursuant to which the Compensation Committee takes into consideration the individual performance rating, where the executive officer's base salary falls within their respective salary range, benchmark data, total compensation in comparison to peer, compensation mix and Horizon's salary matrix. The salary matrix takes into account both the performance review rating and the employee's current salary, with respect to the salary range, in determining the percentage increase.

#### Annual, Performance-Based Incentive Compensation

After consultations with compensation consultant Cook & Co. in 2003, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors of Horizon adopted an Executive Officer Bonus Plan. The Bonus Plan permits executive officers to earn, as a cash bonus, a percentage of their salary based on the achievement of corporate and individual goals in the relevant year. Three of the named executive officers, Messrs. Dwight, Edwards and Secor, currently participate in the Bonus Plan. Participants in the Bonus Plan are not eligible to participate in any other short-term cash incentive plan offered by Horizon.

To receive a bonus under the Bonus Plan, the executive officer must be employed by Horizon or one of its subsidiaries on the date the annual bonus payment is made and must be in good standing with Horizon. The Compensation Committee may adjust or amend the Bonus Plan at any time in its sole discretion. All executive officers' bonuses are subject to final approval by the Compensation Committee or its designee, and bonus payments are subject to Horizon's receipt from its independent accountants of an unqualified audit opinion on Horizon's most current year-end financial statements. Mr. Dwight's, Mr. Edwards' and Mr. Neff's bonuses are paid in accordance with their employment agreements, which provide that they may participate in all incentive compensation plans and programs generally available to executive officers.

As approved by the Compensation Committee, Horizon's bonus matrices for executive officers are divided into short-term and long-term metrics with total bonus opportunities weighted fifty percent each. Short-term metrics place heavier weight on financial outcome in order to align bonus payouts with shareholders' interests for the given year.



Long-term metrics place heavier weight on positioning Horizon for future success and enterprise risk management to align with shareholders' long-term interests. Bonus calculations for financial outcomes are based on quantifiable targets and, for non-financial targets, on

observations by Horizon's Chief Executive Officer, the Compensation Committee and the Board of Directors in comparison to Horizon's strategic plan.

The weightings for Horizon's 2012 bonus matrix for each individual participant are as follows:

Named Executive Officer & Category	Short-Term Metric Weighting		Long-Term Metric Weighting	
<b>Chief Executive Officer</b>				
Financial Outcome of Horizon (Net Income & Efficiency)	70	%		
Positioning Horizon for Future Success			70	%
Enterprise Risk Management	30	%	30	%
<b>Chief Operating Officer and Chief Credit Officer</b>				
Financial Outcome of Horizon (Net Income, Efficiency, Business Unit Income & Asset Quality)				
	60	%		
Financial Outcomes for Areas of Direct Responsibility	20	%	30	%
Positioning Horizon for Future Success				
Enterprise Risk Management	20	%	70	%
<b>Chief Financial Officer</b>				
Financial Outcome of Horizon (Net Income & Efficiency)				
	60	%		
Positioning Horizon for Future Success			20	%
Enterprise Risk Management	40	%	60	%
Project Management			20	%

Horizon's 2013 bonus matrices for each named executive officer will follow substantially the same categories and metric weightings as above, subject to approval by the Compensation Committee and Board of Directors.

The Compensation Committee established a minimum earnings target for Horizon to achieve before any bonuses would be paid out under the Bonus Plan for 2012. The minimum earnings target is tied to earnings available to pay dividends and fixed costs at the holding company. In 2012 the minimum earnings threshold was \$7.2 million. If Horizon's net income for 2012 was below \$7.2 million, no bonuses would be paid to any executive officer. The Compensation Committee also approved a target bonus matrix for each executive officer to be used to calculate the executive officer's bonus (if any) for the year (assuming that the minimum earnings target has been met). The matrix for each executive officer specified the performance measures applicable to the executive officer, the targets for each performance measure and the weight to be assigned to each performance measure in calculating the bonus if the specified target levels are achieved.

The Compensation Committee sets the target awards to be challenging, but reasonably attainable. The maximum earnings goal was approximately \$2.6 million above the targets of \$13.2 million for 2012 and \$10.7 million for 2011, and the maximum efficiency ratio was approximately 500 basis points better than the target of 65% for each year. The minimum awards for 2012, as for 2011, placed the greatest weight for short-term goals to exceed 80% of Horizon's targeted financial goals, and for long-term goals the greatest weight was on enterprise risk management. In 2012 and 2011, the minimum earnings amount for payout was achieved, and all the participants were in good standing with Horizon. Any participant not in good standing with Horizon would not be eligible for incentive compensation.

The other non-financial measurements include the following: enterprise risk management, compliance with rules, regulations and good internal controls; positioning Horizon for long-term growth; organizational development, retention and attraction of good talent; and project management. The weightings for each measurement vary dependent upon the overall responsibilities and primary goals of each executive officer. Non-financial results are compared with Horizon's strategic plan and scored based

on the observations of the Chief Executive Officer, Compensation Committee and the Board of Directors. Scores range from meets, exceeds, or far exceeds expectations.

For 2012, the named executive officers who participated in the Bonus Plan could have earned as a maximum bonus the following percentages of their base salaries: Mr. Dwight, 54%; Mr. Secor, 50%; and Mr. Edwards, 55%. Each named executive officer had as a short-term performance goal the achievement of a specified level of financial outcomes for the year, with the weighting of such goals for 2012 being 35% for Mr. Dwight, 30% for Mr. Secor and 30% for Mr. Edwards. The financial outcome targets focused primarily on Horizon's earnings, efficiency improvements or business unit outcomes. The short-term performance goals for each executive officer also included one non-financial metric for enterprise risk management. Long-term performance goals for each executive officer were for enterprise risk management, positioning Horizon for long-term success or project management.

In order to earn a bonus award, the Bonus Plan's participants were required to achieve an aggregate weighted score of 80% or higher in 2012. If the participant achieves the goals for all categories, his aggregate weighted score would be 100%. In 2012, Mr. Dwight, Mr. Secor and Mr. Edwards all exceeded 80% in weighted average scores for both short- and long-term goals and earned a bonus award.

In considering Mr. Dwight's bonus, the Compensation Committee used established short- and long-term goals for 2012 and compared actual results with goals. The goals compared Horizon's net income compared to plan, Horizon's efficiency ratio compared to plan, enterprise risk management, compliance with all rules, laws, regulations, audit standards, reputation of Horizon, positioning Horizon for future growth and expansion, and organizational development including retention and attraction of good talent, efficiency improvement and continuous learning.

The amounts of the bonuses actually paid each year under the Bonus Plan are reported in the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column of the Summary Compensation Table included below in this Proxy Statement. The payouts that Messrs. Dwight, Secor and Edwards had an opportunity to earn under the Bonus Plan for 2012 are presented below in the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table.

The Compensation Committee has reviewed the Bonus Plan for 2012, and based on that review, the Compensation Committee has concluded that the plans, as designed for 2012, aligned the interests of the senior executive officers with those of the shareholders and that the plan designs provided several features to mitigate the senior executive officers from taking undue risk that may threaten the enterprise.

Mr. Neff does not participate in the Bonus Plan. The Compensation Committee, however, has a formula pursuant to which it has awarded Mr. Neff an incentive bonus equal to a percentage of his salary if the Mortgage Warehousing Division of the Bank meets or exceeds certain Return on Equity (ROE) goals for the year. The ROE goals and bonus percentage amounts are as follows: 12% ROE: 25%; 15% ROE: 40%; and 20% ROE or above: 50%. If the Mortgage Warehousing Division ROE exceeds the 20% ROE target for a year, Mr. Neff receives an additional bonus equal to 15% of the dollar amount of the net income that exceeds the amount necessary to reach the 20% ROE target. In addition, Mr. Neff's bonus award takes into consideration results under a performance score card for retail mortgage loan income before tax, asset quality as measured in net charge-offs and losses incurred on mortgage loan repurchases for loans under his supervision, and overall enterprise risk management. Mr. Neff's weighted average score for his score card was 1.25%, which equated to a 10% increase in his target bonus award. The amount of the bonus Mr. Neff received for 2012 is reported in the Summary Compensation Table.

#### Long-Term Incentive Program

In 2002, Horizon engaged compensation consultant Cook & Co. to review Horizon's compensation of its top officers and outside directors. Cook & Co. recommended that Horizon adopt an omnibus stock plan for the purpose of attracting and retaining key employees. Horizon's Board of Directors considered the recommendation and unanimously adopted the 2003 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan on January 21, 2003. The shareholders approved the Omnibus Plan at the Annual Meeting held on May 8, 2003. At the 2010

Annual Meeting, shareholders approved amendments to the Omnibus Plan, including an increase in the number of Common Shares available for awards. In December 2012, the Compensation Committee received the report it had requested from Cook & Co. evaluating Horizon's long-term incentive compensation and total executive compensation program. As of the date of this Proxy Statement, the Compensation Committee is still considering that report. However, based on the report, in the near future the Compensation Committee may decide to issue equity awards to Horizon's executives. If issued, these equity awards would be performance based. The Committee is working closely with Cook & Co. to determine how to best structure equity awards based on performance.

The Omnibus Plan was designed to satisfy the requirements of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, which generally denies a corporate-level income tax deduction for annual compensation in excess of \$1,000,000 paid to the chief executive officer and the four other most highly compensated officers of a public company. Certain types of compensation, including "performance-based compensation," which meet the requirements of Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m), are generally excluded from this deduction limit.

The Compensation Committee administers the Omnibus Plan and may grant the following types of awards:

- Incentive stock options
- Nonqualified stock options
- Stock appreciation rights
  - Restricted stock
  - Performance units
  - Performance shares
- Any combination of the above

Horizon's long-term incentive program is based on the grant of stock options and restricted stock. Stock options and restricted stock are granted to encourage and facilitate personal stock ownership by executive officers and thus strengthen their personal commitment to Horizon and to provide them with a longer-term perspective in their managerial responsibilities. This component of an executive officer's compensation directly aligns the officer's interests with those of Horizon's shareholders. Horizon also recognizes that stock options are a necessary element of a competitive compensation program. The program utilizes vesting periods to encourage key employees to continue in the employ of Horizon and thereby acts as a retention device for key employees.

As discussed above, all of the named executive officers must comply with the Guidelines that were adopted by the Board of Directors in April 2012. The Chief Executive Officer must maintain ownership of Common Shares having a value equal to at least three times his base salary, and each of the other named executive officers must maintain ownership of Common Shares having a value equal to at least twice his base salary. For additional details about the Guidelines, see above the "Stock Guidelines" section under "Corporate Governance."

In determining a reasonable level of long-term compensation to be granted executive officers, the Compensation Committee considers data it deems relevant, including the data in the independent reports prepared by Cook & Co., which are prepared at least once every three years, and other peer data. Cook & Co.'s 2011 report stated that Horizon's mix was generally representative of median competitive practice, but comparison companies weighted more heavily than Horizon toward bonus and long-term incentives. Cook & Co.'s report recommended an increase in weighting for

long-term equity compensation. At the time, only one of the four named executive officers had any outstanding long-term equity awards. In March 2012, the Compensation Committee recommended, and the Board of Directors approved, the grant of long-term equity awards. Each of the named executive officers was granted an award in the form of

25

---

restricted stock grants, and Mr. Dwight also was granted an award in the form of a stock option. The restricted stock grants covered the following number of restricted shares (as adjusted for the November 2012 three-for-two stock split): Mr. Dwight: 3,837; Mr. Secor: 4,582; Mr. Neff: 5,370; and Mr. Edwards: 5,649. The restricted shares cliff vest after four years from the date of the grant. Mr. Dwight was granted an option covering 15,698 shares (as adjusted for the stock split). The stock options vest in three equal installments, commencing on the first anniversary of the grant.

The stock options that are granted to executive officers are service based and vest in equal annual installments over a five-year period. Awards of restricted stock vest on the fifth anniversary of the date of grant if the executive officer remains employed by Horizon, the Bank or any of their affiliates.

#### Recovery of Incentive Compensation under the Dodd-Frank Act

Under the Dodd-Frank Act, companies listed on a national securities exchange must adopt a policy providing for the recovery of incentive-based compensation in the event of an accounting restatement based on erroneous data. Under such a policy, compensation would be recovered, or “clawed back,” from any current or former executive officer of the company who received the incentive-based compensation during the three years preceding the date on which the company is required to prepare the restatement. The amount to be recovered would be the excess of the amount that would have been paid to the executive officer under the restatement. Horizon Bank, N.A. adopted a “claw back” policy in 2009, which covers each exempt employee with the title of Vice President or above or who is a commissioned-based employee. This policy will be revised as necessary and appropriate in the future if and when the SEC and NASDAQ adopt compensation claw back rules to implement the Dodd-Frank Act requirements.

#### Qualified Retirement Plans

Horizon maintains two tax-qualified retirement plans, an Employee Stock Ownership Plan (the “ESOP”) and an Employees’ Thrift Plan (the “Thrift Plan”). Nearly all Horizon employees are eligible to participate in the ESOP. Horizon’s Board of Directors, in its discretion, determines Horizon’s contributions to the ESOP. The contributions may be made in the form of cash or shares of common stock. Shares are allocated among participants each December 31 on the basis of each participant’s eligible compensation to total eligible compensation (a maximum of \$245,000 per participant). Dividends on shares held by the plan, at the discretion of each participant, are either distributed to the participant or retained in the plan for the purchase of additional shares.

The Thrift Plan is a 401(k) plan in which all employees with the requisite hours of service are eligible to participate. The Thrift Plan permits voluntary employee contributions, and Horizon may make discretionary matching and profit sharing contributions. Each eligible employee is vested according to a schedule based upon years of service. Voluntary employee contributions are vested at all times, and Horizon’s discretionary contributions vest over a six-year period. Participants are eligible to receive matching contributions once they have attained age 21 and completed one year of service. Horizon, at its discretion, provides for matching contributions as follows: 100% for the first 2% of a participant’s deferral contribution and 50% for each additional percentage deferred up to a total deferral of 6% (a maximum of 4% matching contribution).

#### Post-Termination Compensation and Benefits

The employment agreements with Messrs. Dwight, Edwards and Neff provide for the payment of compensation upon a change in control. Mr. Secor is a party to a change-in-control agreement with the Bank. Mr. Neff also was a party to a separate change-in-control agreement with the Bank until December 14, 2011, when he entered into an employment



agreement with Horizon and the Bank. The agreements with the named executive officers are discussed in more detail below following the Summary Compensation Table and in the discussion of Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control.

The Horizon Bancorp Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (the “Frozen SERP”), a nonqualified deferred compensation plan, was originally effective January 1, 1993, and was frozen effective December 31, 2004. The Frozen SERP provides certain management or highly compensated employees of Horizon and its affiliates with supplemental retirement benefits to help recompense those employees for benefits reduced under the Thrift Plan due to benefit limits imposed by the Internal Revenue Code and to permit the deferral of additional compensation. The Frozen SERP is an unfunded arrangement designed and administered to comply with Title I of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 and to be exempt from the requirements of Internal Revenue Code Section 409A. The Frozen SERP is administered by the Compensation Committee. Prior to January 1, 2005, a participant in the Frozen SERP could elect each year to defer a percentage of the participant’s total cash compensation. Each year, the Compensation Committee, in its discretion, could elect to have Horizon match the amounts deferred by each participant under the Frozen SERP up to a maximum match of \$25,000. The Compensation Committee could also make supplemental contributions in any amount determined by the Compensation Committee in its discretion.

Interest is credited on a participant’s deferred account balance in the Frozen SERP at the five-year U.S. Treasury Bond rate published in the Wall Street Journal and in effect as of the first business day of each calendar month, plus 200 basis points, but not to exceed 120% of the Applicable Federal Long-Term Rate for monthly compounding. Amounts deferred by participants vest immediately. The Compensation Committee can require forfeiture of matching and supplemental contributions if the participant has not completed the number of years of service specified by the Compensation Committee, except when the participant dies while still employed, is determined to be disabled or retires after reaching age sixty-five. Participants or their designated beneficiaries will begin to receive payments under the Frozen SERP within thirty days after the participant’s separation from service. Participants may elect lump sum or installment payments, or a combination of the two, subject to the provisions of the Frozen SERP. No additional amounts, except earnings, accrued to the named executive officers under the Frozen SERP for 2012.

Horizon adopted the Horizon Bancorp 2005 Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (the “2005 SERP”) to replace the Frozen SERP effective January 1, 2005. As with its predecessor, the 2005 SERP provides certain management or highly compensated employees of Horizon Bancorp and its affiliates with supplemental retirement benefits to help recompense those employees for benefits reduced under the Thrift Plan due to benefit limits imposed by the Internal Revenue Code and to permit the deferral of additional compensation. The 2005 SERP is also an unfunded arrangement designed and administered to comply with Title I of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 and Internal Revenue Code Section 409A, and the 2005 SERP is administered by the Compensation Committee. A participant in the 2005 SERP may elect to defer a percentage of the participant’s total cash compensation each year. The 2005 SERP maximum deferral percentage is limited to 25%.

Each year, the Compensation Committee, in its discretion, may elect to have Horizon match the amounts deferred by each participant under the 2005 SERP up to a maximum match of \$25,000. The Compensation Committee may change the match limit prior to the beginning of any year. The Compensation Committee may also make supplemental contributions in any amount it determines in its discretion.

Interest is credited on a participant’s deferred account balance in the 2005 SERP at the five-year U.S. Treasury Bond rate published in the Wall Street Journal and in effect as of the first business day of each calendar month, plus 200 basis points, but not to exceed 120% of the Applicable Federal Long-Term Rate for monthly compounding. Amounts deferred by participants vest immediately. The Compensation Committee may require forfeiture of matching and supplemental contributions if the participant has not completed the number of years of service specified by the Compensation Committee, except when the participant dies while still employed, is determined to be disabled or retires after reaching age sixty-five. Participants may specify the date or event upon which they or their designated beneficiaries will begin to



receive payment under the 2005 SERP and may elect lump sum or installment payments, or a combination of the two, subject to the provisions of the 2005 SERP.

In December 2009, the Board of Directors approved a second SERP investment alternative in the form of Horizon Common Shares. SERP Participants may change their investment election option once a year.

Horizon's contributions allocated to the named executive officers under the 2005 SERP are included in the All Other Compensation column of the Summary Compensation Table appearing below.

#### Perquisites and Other Personal Benefits

Horizon provides minimal perquisites and other personal benefits to its executive officers. Messrs. Dwight and Edwards are provided with country club memberships and cellular telephone service. The cost of the memberships and/or telephone service is less than \$10,000 per executive officer. No other perquisites or personal benefits are provided to executive officers.

#### Executive Compensation Tables

The following tables provide information on the 2012 compensation for Horizon's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and the other two most highly compensated executive officers of Horizon and the Bank. These four individuals are referred to as the "named executive officers."

#### Summary Compensation Table for 2012

The table below provides information with respect to the total compensation earned by or paid to the named executive officers for 2012.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary	Bonus	Stock Awards	Option Awards	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation	All Other Compensation	Total
		(\$)(1)	(\$)(2)	(\$)(3)	(\$)(3)	(\$)(4)	(\$)(5)	(\$)
Craig M. Dwight President and Chief Executive Officer	2012	400,000	N/A	45,000	45,000	176,000	43,327	(6) 709,327
	2011	335,000	N/A	-	-	147,400	44,935	527,335
	2010	319,039	N/A	-	-	140,378	43,816	503,233
Mark E. Secor Chief Financial Officer	2012	215,000	N/A	53,750	-	91,375	25,362	(7) 385,487
	2011	175,950	N/A	-	-	58,064	20,427	254,441
	2010	170,000	N/A	-	-	56,100	19,136	245,236
Thomas H. Edwards	2012	265,000	N/A	66,250	-	79,500	36,023	(8) 446,773
	2011	245,193	N/A	-	-	55,168	29,414	329,775

Executive Vice President	2010	237,475	N/A	-	-	69,758	27,871	335,104
James. D. Neff	2012	251,936	N/A	62,984	-	220,000	38,604	(9) 573,524
Secretary	2011	245,193	N/A	-	-	160,000	29,804	434,997
	2010	237,475	N/A	-	-	120,000	26,106	383,581

1. Includes salary amounts paid and salary amounts deferred by the individual named pursuant to Horizon's Thrift Plan and Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan ("SERP").
2. Messrs. Dwight, Edwards, and Secor are eligible to receive annual bonuses under the Executive Officer Bonus Plan, and if such bonuses are received for a given year, the SEC rules provide that they are to be reported in the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column of this table.
3. The amounts in this column reflect the aggregate grant date fair value of option awards during the last three fiscal years in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. For a discussion on the assumptions used in the calculation of the option awards reported in this column, please see note 19 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Horizon's 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.
4. Messrs. Dwight, Edwards, and Secor received payments under Horizon's Executive Officer Bonus Plan. The bonus amount for Mr. Neff represents a bonus he receives based on the net profit of the

Mortgage Warehouse division. (For more information about the Bonus Plan and for Mr. Neff's bonus arrangement, see the discussion above in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis.)

5. The individuals named in the table also received certain perquisites, but the incremental costs of providing the perquisites did not exceed the \$10,000 disclosure threshold.
6. Includes Horizon's contribution of \$6,895 under Horizon's Employee Stock Ownership Plan and its matching contributions of \$10,000 under the Thrift Plan, \$25,000 under the SERP and \$1,432 in dividends on restricted stock.
7. Includes Horizon's contribution of \$6,895 under Horizon's Employee Stock Ownership Plan and its matching contributions of \$10,000 under the Thrift Plan, \$8,192 under the SERP and \$1,711 in dividends on restricted stock.
8. Includes Horizon's contribution of \$6,895 under Horizon's Employee Stock Ownership Plan, and its matching contributions of \$10,000 under the Thrift Plan, \$17,020 under the SERP and \$2,109 in dividends on restricted stock.
9. Includes Horizon's contribution of \$6,895 under Horizon's Employee Stock Ownership Plan and its matching contributions of \$10,000 under the Thrift Plan, \$19,704 under the SERP and \$2,005 in dividends on restricted stock.

As discussed above in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, Horizon and the Bank have entered into employment agreements with Mr. Dwight, Mr. Edwards and Mr. Neff. The agreements provide that Mr. Dwight will continue to serve as Horizon's President and Chief Executive Officer and the Bank's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer for a term of three years, that Mr. Edwards will continue to serve as Horizon's Executive Vice President and the Bank's President and Chief Operating Officer for a term of three years, and that Mr. Neff will continue to serve as Horizon's Executive Vice President of the Bank and Secretary of the Holding Company for a term of three years. The terms of each of the agreements will be extended for an additional one-year period beyond the then-effective expiration date on each annual anniversary of the date of the agreement until the year in which the executive officer reaches the age of sixty-three, unless Horizon delivers notice to the executive officer within sixty days prior to the expiration of any one-year period that the term will not be extended.

Each employment agreement also provides that Messrs. Dwight, Edwards and Neff will continue to receive an annual base salary equal to the amount being paid to them on the date of the agreement, subject to adjustment. Horizon may terminate Mr. Dwight's, Mr. Edwards' or Mr. Neff's employment immediately for "cause" and also may terminate their employment without cause upon not less than thirty days' prior notice. Messrs. Dwight, Edwards and Neff may terminate their employment for "good reason" or upon not less than thirty days' prior notice without good reason. (The definitions of "cause," "good reason" and "change in control" specified in the agreements are summarized below under "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control.")

If Horizon terminates Mr. Dwight's employment without cause, if Mr. Dwight terminates his employment with good reason, or if Mr. Dwight's employment is terminated upon a change in control of Horizon, his agreement provides for Horizon to pay Mr. Dwight an amount equal to two times his then-current annual base salary plus his bonus for the previous two calendar years and for Mr. Dwight to receive health and certain other benefits for a two-year period. If Horizon terminates Mr. Edwards' or Mr. Neff's employment without cause, or if either of Mr. Edwards or Mr. Neff terminates his employment for good reason, their agreement provides for Horizon to pay them an amount equal to their then-current annual base salary plus an amount equal to the average of their bonuses for the previous two calendar years. If Mr. Edwards' or Mr. Neff' employment is terminated upon a change in control, the agreement provides for Horizon to pay them an amount equal to twice their then-current salary plus an amount equal to the

average of their bonuses for the previous two calendar years. Mr. Edwards' and Mr. Neff's agreements also provide for them to receive health and certain benefits for a one-year period following their termination without cause, for good reason, or upon a change in control.

Messrs. Dwight's, Edwards' and Neff's agreements also include provisions that limit the aggregate amount of the payment to an amount that is otherwise deductible by Horizon for federal income tax purposes after application of Internal Revenue Code Section 280G and that protect Horizon's and the Bank's confidential business information and prohibit competition for specified periods. Mr. Dwight's agreement prohibits him from competing against Horizon for a two-year period following the date of his termination, and Mr. Edwards' and Mr. Neff's agreements prohibit them from competing against Horizon for a one-year period.

#### Grants of Plan-Based Awards

Three of the named executive officers had the opportunity to earn cash bonuses under the Executive Officer Bonus Plan if Horizon met the earnings targets the Compensation Committee had established for 2012. Mr. Neff had the opportunity to earn a cash bonus under a separate plan. A detailed description of the Executive Officer Bonus Plan and Mr. Neff's plan is provided above in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis.

The following table presents the possible payouts the named executive officers had the opportunity to receive for 2012.

Name	Estimated Possible Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards								
	Threshold (\$)			Target (\$)			Maximum (\$)		
	Short Term Goals	Long Term Goals	Total	Short Term Goals	Long Term Goals	Total	Short Term Goals	Long Term Goals	Total
Craig M. Dwight	\$17,000	\$17,000	\$34,000	\$68,000	\$68,000	\$136,000	\$108,000	\$108,000	\$216,000
Mark E. Secor	6,719	6,719	13,438	26,875	26,875	53,750	53,750	53,750	107,500
Thomas H. Edwards	6,625	6,625	13,250	39,750	39,750	79,500	72,875	72,875	145,750
James D. Neff (1)	-	-	40,000	-	-	200,000	-	-	240,000

1. Mr. Neff does not participate in the Executive Officer Bonus Plan.



## Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End for 2012

The following table presents information on stock options and restricted stock held by the named executive officers on December 31, 2012.

Name	Option Awards				Stock Awards				
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable (1)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable(2)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested (\$)
Craig M. - Dwight	-	15,698	N/A	\$11.73	March 20, 2022	3,837	\$75,397	N/A	N/A
Mark E. Secor	11,250	-	N/A	12.22	June 18, 2017	4,583	90,056	N/A	N/A
Thomas M. Edwards	-	-	N/A	-	-	5,649	111,003	N/A	N/A
James D. Neff	-	-	N/A	-	-	5,370	105,521	N/A	N/A

- All options have a ten-year life with pro-rata vesting over a five-year period from the grant date.
- The shares represented could not be acquired by the named executive officers as of December 31, 2012.

## Option Exercises and Stock Vested for 2012

The named executive officers did not exercise any options or have any shares of restricted stock vest during 2012. Therefore, no "Option Exercises and Stock Vested for 2012" table is included in this Proxy Statement.

## Nonqualified Deferred Compensation for 2012

The following table presents information on compensation deferred by and matching contributions for each of the named executive officers under the Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, which is discussed above in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis.

Name	Executive Contributions in Last Fiscal Year (\$)(1)	Registrant Contributions in Last Fiscal Year (\$)(1)	Aggregate Earnings in Last Fiscal Year(\$)	Aggregate Withdrawals/Distributions (\$)	Aggregate Balance at Last Fiscal Year End (\$)
Craig M. Dwight	\$50,000	\$25,000	\$62,607	\$ -	\$1,173,559
Mark E. Secor	16,384	8,192	2,075	-	88,180
Thomas H. Edwards(2)	28,264	17,020	168,897	-	457,235
James D. Neff(2)	28,836	19,704	26,127	-	567,008

1. Executive contributions are included in the “Salary” column of the Summary Compensation Table and Registrant contributions are included in the “All Other Compensation” column of the Summary Compensation Table.
2. Messrs. Edwards and Neff received a correction to their 2011 Registrant contribution during 2012. Therefore, the Registrant contribution exceeds 50% of the executive contribution.

#### Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control

Horizon and the Bank have agreements with the named executive officers and plans in which the named executive officers participate that provide for benefits upon the resignation, severance, retirement or other termination of the named executive officers.

## Employment and Change-in-Control Agreements

The employment agreement with Mr. Dwight discussed above provides that if Horizon terminates Mr. Dwight's employment without cause, if Mr. Dwight terminates his employment with good reason, or if Mr. Dwight's employment is terminated upon a change in control of Horizon, Horizon will pay Mr. Dwight an amount equal to two times his then-current annual base salary plus his bonus for the previous two calendar years and for Mr. Dwight to receive health and life insurance benefits for a two-year period, as well as reimbursement of up to \$30,000 for expenses in searching for a new position.

The employment agreements with Mr. Edwards and Mr. Neff discussed above provide that if Horizon terminates Mr. Edwards' or Mr. Neff's employment without cause, or if Mr. Edwards or Mr. Neff terminates his employment with good reason, Horizon will pay each an amount equal to his then-current annual base salary, plus an amount equal to the average of his bonus for the prior two years. If Mr. Edwards' or Mr. Neff's employment is terminated upon a change of control, Horizon will pay each an amount equal to twice his then-current annual base salary, plus an amount equal to the average of his bonus for the past two years. If Mr. Edwards' or Mr. Neff's employment were terminated for any of the preceding reasons, each would also be entitled to receive health and life insurance benefits for a one-year period as well as reimbursement of up to \$20,000 for expenses in searching for a new position, subject to certain restrictions.

The definitions of the terms "cause," "good reason" and "change in control" are central to an understanding of the potential payments to the executive officers pursuant to their agreements. The definitions in the agreements are summarized in the following paragraphs.

Under Messrs. Dwight's, Edwards' and Neff's employment agreements, Horizon has "cause" to terminate the executive officer if he breaches any provision of the agreement, is prohibited from participating in the conduct of the Bank's affairs pursuant to an order issued under specified provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, or if he has engaged in any of the specific activities listed in the agreement, including the following:

- an intentional act of fraud, embezzlement, theft or personal dishonesty;
- willful misconduct;
- breach of fiduciary duty involving personal profit in the course of the executive's employment;
- intentional wrongful damage to Horizon's business or property, causing material harm to Horizon; or
- gross negligence or insubordination in the performance of the executive's duties, or the executive's refusal or repeated failure to carry out lawful directives of the Board.

A termination by the executive officer is for "good reason" if Horizon takes any of the following actions without the executive's prior written consent:

- require the executive to move his office to a location more than 30 miles from his principal residence;
- reduce the executive's then-current annual base salary by 10% or more, unless the reduction is part of an institution-wide reduction and proportionate to the reduction in the base salaries of all other Horizon executive officers;
- remove the executive from participation in any incentive compensation or performance-based compensation plans, unless we terminate the participation of all of Horizon's other executive officers in the plans;



- reduce any material benefit plan or program or deprive the executive of any such benefit enjoyed by him, unless part of an institution-wide reduction and applied similarly to all of Horizon's other executive officers;
- assignment to the executive of duties and responsibilities materially different from those normally associated with his position as described in the agreement;
- materially reduce the executive's responsibilities or authority (including reporting responsibilities) in connection with his employment;
  - materially reduce the executive's secretarial or administrative support; or
  - breach any provision of the agreement.

A "change in control" would include any of the following events:

- A merger, consolidation or similar transaction involving Horizon or the Bank that results in the shareholders immediately prior to the transaction owning shares of the surviving or combined entity possessing voting rights equal to or less than 50 percent of the voting rights of all shareholders of such entity, determined on a fully diluted basis;
- A sale, lease, exchange, transfer or other disposition of all or any substantial part of the consolidated assets of Horizon or the Bank;
- A tender, exchange, sale or other disposition (other than a disposition of the stock in connection with bankruptcy, insolvency, foreclosure, receivership or other similar transactions) or purchase (other than by Horizon, an employee benefit plan of Horizon or the Bank, or members of Horizon's or the Bank's board of directors) of shares representing more than 25 percent of the voting power of Horizon or the Bank; or
- During any period of two consecutive years, the individuals who constituted the Board of Directors as of the date of the executive's agreement cease for any reason to constitute at least a majority of the Board's members, unless the election of each director at the beginning of the period has been approved by directors representing at least a majority of the directors then in office.

A Change in Control will not occur, however, if Horizon issues stock in a public offering; in connection with a transaction approved by a majority of shareholders or in which a majority of the shareholders (other than shareholders subject to Exchange Act Section 16(b)) have tendered their shares; or due to stock ownership by any Horizon employee benefit plan.

If Mr. Dwight's, Mr. Edwards' or Mr. Neff's employment had been terminated in connection with a change in control as of December 31, 2012, each would have been entitled to a severance amount and other benefits under his employment agreement in the following amounts: Mr. Dwight \$1,180,341; Mr. Edwards \$631,105; and Mr. Neff \$727,462. If Mr. Dwight's, Mr. Edwards' or Mr. Neff's employment had been terminated by Horizon without cause, or by the executive with good reason as of December 31, 2012, each would have been entitled to a severance amount and other benefits under his employment agreement in the following amounts: Mr. Dwight \$ 1,180,341; Mr. Edwards \$352,334; and Mr. Neff \$461,936. If Mr. Dwight's, Mr. Edwards' or Mr. Neff's employment is terminated by Horizon with cause, by the executive without good reason or due to the executive's death or disability, each executive, or his estate in the event of death, would be entitled to the payment of vested or accrued amounts under incentive compensation and employee benefits plans and life insurance proceeds. None of the Messrs. Dwight, Edwards or Neff held any vested options or other plan awards or was entitled of any benefits other than pursuant to life insurance policies as of December 31,

2012. Therefore, if Messrs. Dwight's, Edwards' or Neff's employment had terminated on December 31, 2012, the only amounts payable would

have been life insurance proceeds to their estates as follows: \$800,000 for Mr. Dwight, \$752,000 for Mr. Edwards and \$765,000 for Mr. Neff.

One of the other named executive officers, Mr. Secor, is a party to a change of control agreement with the Bank. Mr. Secor's agreement was amended effective as of January 1, 2009, to reflect the change in his title and responsibilities as of that date. In Mr. Secor's agreement, the definition of "change in control" is the same as the definition described above in connection with the discussion of the employment agreements of Messrs. Dwight, Edwards and Neff.

Mr. Secor's agreement provides that upon a change of control, a new term of employment will commence for the executive officer at the same base salary that the executive officer was receiving at the time of the change of control and such salary may not be reduced for a period of one year following the change of control. If the employment of Mr. Secor had terminated in connection with a change in control as of December 31, 2012, the amount he would have been paid under their agreements would have been \$215,000. This amount excludes stock options that vest upon a change in control, which are discussed below.

In the event of death, Mr. Secor's estate would have been entitled to life insurance and salary continuation proceeds in the amount of \$645,000.

If any of Messrs. Dwight, Edwards, Neff or Secor qualifies as a "key employee" under Internal Revenue Code Section 409A at the time of their separation from service, Horizon may not make certain payments of nonqualified deferred compensation to them earlier than six months following the date of their separation from service (or, if earlier, the date of their death). Each of Messrs. Dwight, Edwards, Neff and Secor currently is considered to be a "key employee."

#### Other Benefits Upon Termination or Change in Control

In the event of a change in control of Horizon, the recipient of stock options and shares of restricted stock granted to executive officers under the Omnibus Plan that are then outstanding and that either are not then exercisable or are subject to any restrictions will become immediately exercisable, and all restrictions will be removed, as of the first date that the change in control has been deemed to have occurred. In addition, stock options granted to executive officers will be vested and fully exercisable as of the date of death, disability or retirement of the executive officer.

The Omnibus Plan provides that a "change in control" will be deemed to have occurred if any of the following conditions or events occurs: (1) any merger, consolidation or similar transaction which involves Horizon and in which persons who are the shareholders of Horizon immediately prior to the transaction own, immediately after the transaction, shares of the surviving or combined entity which possess voting rights equal to or less than 50% of the voting rights of all shareholders of such entity, determined on a fully diluted basis; (2) any sale, lease, exchange, transfer or other disposition of all or any substantial part of the consolidated assets of Horizon; (3) any tender, exchange, sale or other disposition (other than disposition of the stock of Horizon or the Bank in connection with bankruptcy, insolvency, foreclosure, receivership or other similar transactions) or purchase (other than purchases by Horizon or any Horizon sponsored employee benefit plan, or purchases by members of the Board of Directors of Horizon or any subsidiary) of shares which represent more than 25% of the voting power of Horizon or the Bank; or (4) during any period of two consecutive years individuals who at the date of the adoption of the Omnibus Plan constitute the Board cease for any reason to constitute at least a majority of the Board, unless the election of each director at the beginning of the period has been approved by directors representing at least a majority of the directors then in office.

The Omnibus Plan provides, however, that a change in control will not be deemed to have occurred (1) as a result of the issuance of stock by Horizon in connection with any public offering of its stock; (2) with respect to any transaction

unless such transaction has been approved or shares have been tendered by a majority of the shareholders who are not persons subject to liability under Section 16(b) of the Exchange



Act; or (3) due to stock ownership by the Horizon Bancorp Employees' Stock Ownership Plan Trust, which forms a part of the Horizon Bancorp Employees' Stock Ownership Plan or any other employee benefit plan.

If a change in control had occurred as of December 31, 2012, the stock options granted to executive officers that were not previously vested would have become fully vested as of that date. Mr. Secor is the only executive officer to whom options have been granted that have not vested, and if a change in control had occurred, or if Mr. Secor had terminated his employment due to death, disability or retirement as of December 31, 2012, he would not have realized any value upon the exercise of stock options. The outstanding stock options for the executive officers are discussed in more detail in the discussion of Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End for 2012. The Omnibus Plan is discussed in more detail above in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis.

#### Section 162(m)

Pursuant to Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, in certain circumstances, the deductibility of compensation paid to any individual executive officer of a public company, including stock-based compensation, is limited to \$1,000,000. Although Horizon retains the discretion to award compensation that exceeds the limits in Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, Horizon expects that the remuneration of its senior executive officers will remain under the applicable \$1,000,000 limit.

#### Compensation of Directors

The following table presents information about our compensation of members of the Board of Directors. Information on the compensation received by Mr. Dwight, who is a named executive officer, is included in the Summary Compensation Table above. Mr. Dwight does not receive any additional compensation for service on the Board of Directors.

#### Director Compensation for 2012

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	Option Awards (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings	All Other Compensation (\$)(1)	Total (\$)
Susan D. Aaron	\$22,834	\$12,999	N/A	N/A	\$ -	\$ -	\$35,833
Lawrence E. Burnell	21,168	12,999	N/A	N/A	-	-	34,167
Robert C. Dabagia	-	-	N/A	N/A	-	75,000 (1)	-
James B. Dworkin	21,834	12,999	N/A	N/A	-	-	34,833
Charley E. Gillispie	27,001	12,999	N/A	N/A	-	-	40,000

Edgar Filing: HORIZON BANCORP /IN/ - Form DEF 14A

Daniel F. Hopp	22,334	12,999	N/A	N/A	-	-	35,333
Larry N. Middleton	21,001	12,999	N/A	N/A	-	-	34,000
Peter L. Pairitz	24,001	12,999	N/A	N/A	-	-	37,000
Robert E. Swinehart	21,834	12,999	N/A	N/A	-	-	34,833
Spero W. Valavanis	22,001	12,999	N/A	N/A	-	-	35,000

1. Mr. Dabagia receives a salary of \$75,000 for his services to Horizon and receives no director fees.

The members of the Board of Directors receive an annual retainer consisting of cash and Common Shares. For 2012, Horizon paid each of its non-employee directors a cash retainer of \$20,001 and

Common Shares equal in value to \$12,999 for their services. Active employees of Horizon and/or the Bank receive no separate compensation for their services as directors. The Chairpersons of the Compensation Committee and Loan Committee receive an additional cash amount of \$4,000, the Chairperson of the Audit Committee receives an additional \$6,000 and the Chairpersons of the Asset Liability Committee, Long Range Planning Committee and Trust Committee receive an additional \$2,000. Chairperson terms expired in May 2012. Directors serving as Chairpersons from January through May received five-twelfths of the annual Chairperson fee. Directors serving as Chairpersons from June through December received seven-twelfths of the annual Chairperson fee. Directors do not receive additional compensation for attending meetings of committees of the Board or for special assignments or meetings.

In April 2012, the Board adopted Guidelines that require each independent director and Mr. Dabagia, who serves as Chairman of the Board, to maintain ownership of Common Shares having a value equal to least three times their annual retainer. The Guidelines are discussed above in the “Stock Ownership Guidelines” section under “Corporate Governance.” All of the members of the Horizon Board of Directors also serve as directors of the Bank, which is a national bank. Federal banking law requires that a national bank director maintain shares of a bank’s stock, or of the company that controls the bank, having a minimum aggregate fair market value of \$1,000. All of the directors satisfy this requirement.

Horizon sponsors a Directors’ Deferred Compensation Plan, which allows non-employee directors of Horizon and the Bank to elect to defer the receipt of fees for their services. Earnings on fees deferred under the plan are based on the five-year Treasury rate plus 200 basis points but not to exceed 120% of the Applicable Federal Long-Term Rate for monthly compounding. Payments of deferred fees are made to participants or their beneficiaries in a lump sum or annual installments upon death or disability of the participants or as designated by participants. Participants have no rights to amounts deferred other than rights as general creditors of Horizon.

#### Report of the Audit Committee

This report is being provided to inform shareholders of the Audit Committee’s oversight with respect to Horizon’s financial reporting.

#### Review with Management and Independent Auditors

The Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed with management the audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2012. In addition, the Audit Committee has discussed with BKD, LLP all communications required by generally accepted auditing standards, including the matters required to be discussed by the Statement of Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended (AICPA, Professional Standards, Vol. 1. AU section 380), as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in Rule 3200T.

The Audit Committee has received the written disclosures and the letter from BKD, LLP required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding BKD, LLP’s communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence, and has discussed with BKD, LLP their independence.

#### Conclusion

In reliance on the reviews and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited financial statements be included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Charley Gillispie, Chairperson  
James B. Dworkin  
Larry N. Middleton  
Robert E. Swinehart, Alternate

36

---

## Common Share Ownership of Management and Certain Beneficial Owners

The following table sets forth the number and percent of Common Shares beneficially owned by the directors, the executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table, and all directors and executive officers as a group as of January 1, 2013. On that date, 8,693,471 Common Shares were issued and outstanding.

Name	Shares Beneficially Owned(1)		Percentage	
Directors:				
Susan D. Aaron	20,590	(2)	*	
Lawrence E. Burnell	9,418	(3)	*	
Robert C. Dabagia	81,787	(4)	*	
Craig M. Dwight	186,943	(5)	2.2	%
James B. Dworkin	10,786	(6)	*	
Charley E. Gillispie	12,648	(7)	*	
Daniel F. Hopp	19,120	(8)	*	
Larry N. Middleton	26,145	(9)	*	
Peter L. Pairitz	74,033	(10)	*	
Robert E. Swinehart	28,803	(11)	*	
Spero W. Valavanis	22,931	(12)	*	
Other Executive Officers:				
Thomas H. Edwards	75,170	(13)	*	
James D. Neff	111,653	(14)	1.3	%
Mark E. Secor	21,137	(15)	*	
All Directors and Executive Officers as a Group (14 Persons):	701,164	(16)	8.1	%

\*Beneficial ownership is less than one percent.

- The information shown regarding shares beneficially owned is based upon information furnished to Horizon by the individuals listed. The nature of beneficial ownership, unless otherwise noted, represents sole voting or investment power. Stock options that vested on or before March 1, 2013, are included in the number of shares beneficially owned.
- All of the shares are owned directly by Ms. Aaron.
- Consists of 3,075 shares owned directly by Mr. Burnell and 6,343 shares held by a trust for which Mr. Burnell is the grantor and serves as trustee.
- Consists of 15,750 shares owned directly by Mr. Dabagia, 7,087 shares that are owned by Mr. Dabagia's spouse and 39,300 shares held by a trust for which Mr. Dabagia serves as trustee and is a beneficiary.
- Consists of 13,506 shares owned directly by Mr. Dwight, 99,262 shares owned jointly by Mr. Dwight and his spouse, 946 shares held in the 2005 SERP, 55,584 shares held by the ESOP, 13,808 shares held by the Thrift Plan, and 3,837 shares of restricted stock granted under the Omnibus Plan.
- Consists of 1,226 shares owned directly by Mr. Dworkin and 9,510 shares owned jointly by Mr. Dworkin and his spouse.

7. Consists of 400 shares owned directly by Mr. Gillispie and 12,248 shares owned jointly by Mr. Gillispie and his spouse.

8. All shares are owned jointly by Mr. Hopp and his spouse.

9. Consists of 2,645 shares owned directly by Mr. Middleton, 21,580 shares owned jointly by Mr. Middleton and his spouse and 1,920 shares owned by his spouse.

10. All of the shares are owned by Mr. Pairitz.

11. Consists of 150 shares owned directly by Mr. Swinehart, 14,730 shares owned jointly by Mr. Swinehart and his spouse and 13,923 shares held in a trust for which Mr. Swinehart serves as trustee and is a beneficiary.
12. All of the shares are owned directly by Mr. Valavanis.
13. Consists of 27,717 shares owned directly by Mr. Edwards, 2,250 shares owned by Mr. Edwards' spouse, 19,722 shares held in the 2005 SERP, 8,810 shares held by the ESOP, 11,022 shares held by the Thrift Plan, and 5,649 shares of restricted stock granted under the Omnibus Plan.
14. Consists of 87,170 shares owned directly by Mr. Neff, 1,422 shares held in the 2005 SERP, 7,380 shares held by the ESOP, 10,311 shares held by the Thrift Plan, and 5,370 shares of restricted stock granted under the Omnibus Plan.
15. Consists of 11,250 vested stock options granted under the Omnibus Plan, 1,842 shares held by the ESOP, 3,462 shares held by the Thrift Plan, and 4,583 shares of restricted stock granted under the Omnibus Plan.
16. Includes 11,250 shares covered by stock options and 266,923 shares as to which voting and investment powers are shared by members of the group with their spouses or other family members or held by family trusts.

The following table sets forth the number of Common Shares beneficially owned by each person known to Horizon to own beneficially more than five percent of the issued and outstanding Common Shares.

Name	Shares Beneficially Owned(1)	Percentage
Manulife Financial Corporation(3)	484,867	5.3%
PL Capital, LLC(4)	549,472	6.3%

(1) Based on 8,693,471 Common Shares that were outstanding at December 31, 2012.

(2) As reported in Amendment No. 1 to a joint Schedule 13G filed on February 13, 2013, with respect to beneficial ownership as of December 31, 2012, of Manulife Financial Corporation, Manulife Asset Management (North America) Limited and Manulife Asset Management (US) LLC. Total shares beneficially owned included 478,479 shares issuable upon the exercise of warrants.

(3) As reported in Amendment No. 1 to a joint Schedule 13G filed on February 12, 2013, with respect to beneficial ownership as of December 31, 2012, of John W. Palmer, Richard J. Lashley, PL Capital/Focused Fund, L.P., PL Capital, LLC, PL Capital Advisors, LLC, Goodbody/PL Capital, L.P. and Goodbody/PL Capital, LLC.

#### Certain Business Relationships and Transactions

In accordance with our Audit Committee Charter and NASDAQ requirements, the Audit Committee is responsible for reviewing and approving the terms and conditions of all related person transactions. Horizon's Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation provided the procedures for the Board to follow in approving or ratifying transactions with Horizon in which a director has a direct or indirect interest. The Articles provide that such transactions will be approved or ratified upon the affirmative vote of a majority of the directors on the Board or a Board committee who do not have a direct or indirect interest in the transaction or by a vote of the shareholders. Horizon's Code of Ethics for Executive Officers and Directors and the Advisor Code of Conduct for Horizon and the Bank provide the policies and procedures for the review and approval or ratification of conflict of interest transactions. Any situations involving

potential conflicts of interest involving an executive officer, director or member of his or her family, if material, are to be reported and discussed with the Code of Ethics contact person. For executive officers, the contact person is the Chief Executive Officer, or if the executive officer believes it more appropriate, the Chairman of the Audit Committee. For directors, the contact person is the Chairman of the Audit Committee.

Directors and executive officers of Horizon and their associates were customers of, and had transactions with, the Bank in the ordinary course of business during 2012. The Bank expects that comparable



transactions will occur in the future. These transactions were made in the ordinary course of business on substantially the same terms, including interest rates, collateral and repayment terms, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with unrelated third parties. In the opinion of Horizon's management, these transactions did not involve more than normal risk of collectibility or present other unfavorable features. Loans made to directors and executive officers are in compliance with federal banking regulations and are thereby exempt from insider loan prohibitions included in the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

## Proposal 2

### Advisory Vote to Approve Executive Compensation

#### Background of the Proposal

This proposal provides Horizon's shareholders with the opportunity to cast an advisory vote to approve Horizon's executive compensation. The past four years, we have provided you with an opportunity to vote, in an advisory capacity, on Horizon's executive compensation. This proposal is included in compliance with Section 14A of the Securities Exchange Act.

#### Executive Compensation

Horizon believes that its compensation is focused on principles that are strongly aligned with the long-term interests of its shareholders. We believe that both Horizon and our shareholders benefit from our compensation policies and practices. The proposal described below, commonly known as a "say-on-pay" proposal, gives you, as a shareholder, the opportunity to endorse or not endorse our executive compensation program for named executive officers described in this Proxy Statement. At the 2012 Annual Meeting, shareholders approved the compensation of Horizon's named executive officers, with 92.3% of the shares voted on the proposal being voted in favor of the compensation arrangements.

As described above in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this Proxy Statement, a main objective of our executive compensation program is to align a significant portion of each executive officer's total compensation with Horizon's annual and long-term performance and with the interests of our shareholders. A second, related objective of the executive compensation program is to attract and retain experienced, highly qualified executives so as to enhance Horizon's long-term success and shareholder value. The Board of Directors believes that Horizon's compensation policies and procedures achieve these objectives.

The following chart, prepared by the investment banking firm of Keefe, Bruyette and Woods, compares the change in market price of Horizon's stock to that of other publicly traded banks in Indiana and Michigan over the past five years.

Relative Price Performance without Dividend Reinvestment

Index	Period Ending					
	12/31/07	12/31/08	12/31/09	12/31/10	12/31/11	12/31/12
Horizon Bancorp	100.00	48.73	63.23	103.70	101.35	172.37
Indiana Banks	100.00	114.92	73.84	86.12	88.66	95.67
Michigan Banks	100.00	46.58	27.38	26.38	29.16	48.42

Source: SNL Financial LC, Charlottesville, VA  
© 2010

(434) 977-1600  
www.snl.com

During 2012, Horizon's Compensation Committee met with Horizon's Senior Auditor to review Horizon's executive officer incentive compensation program for any features that may incentivize undue risk taking. The participants in this meeting concluded that Horizon's incentive compensation plans have several features that help mitigate the possibility that executive officers will take undue risks. These features include the following:

- The Compensation Committee may unilaterally amend, modify or cancel the plans at any time at their sole discretion.
- Named executive officer bonuses will only be paid if Horizon achieves a minimum net income level that is more than sufficient to cover fixed costs and dividends at the holding company. This minimum net income level supports the concept that the shareholders are paid first and ahead of executive officer bonuses.
- Executive officers will only be paid bonuses if they are in good standing with Horizon and not under a performance warning, suspension or individual regulatory sanction.

- The Committee or its designee is to review and approve all executive officer bonuses prior to payment.
- Bonuses are subject to receipt of an unqualified opinion by Horizon’s independent accountants on its most current year-end financial statements.
- Incentive compensation may be “clawed back” pursuant to a Bank policy as discussed above under the heading “Recovery of Incentive Compensation under the Dodd-Frank Act.”

In addition, based on information from Cook & Co., Horizon’s compensation consultants, and other sources, we believe our compensation levels for our executive officers are within acceptable ranges based on our performance in the top quartile of our peer group.

Shareholders are encouraged to carefully review the “Compensation Discussion and Analysis” and “Executive Compensation Tables” sections of this Proxy Statement for a detailed discussion of Horizon’s executive compensation program.

This Proposal 2 gives our shareholders the opportunity to endorse or not endorse Horizon’s overall executive compensation program and policies as reflected in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the disclosures regarding named executive officer compensation provided in the various tables included in this Proxy Statement, the accompanying narrative disclosures and the other compensation information provided in this Proxy Statement. The vote is advisory, which means that the vote is not binding on Horizon, our Board of Directors or the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. However, the Board of Directors and the Compensation Committee value the opinion of our shareholders and will consider the outcome of this vote when considering executive compensation arrangements.

At the 2012 Annual Meeting, Horizon provided shareholders with the opportunity to vote on the frequency of future say-on-pay advisory votes. The Board of Directors recommended that the advisory say-on-pay vote be held on an annual basis, and 95.6% of the shares that were voted on the matter being cast in favor of an annual vote. Therefore, the Board of Directors has determined that the next advisory say-on-pay vote will be at the 2014 Annual Meeting.

Accordingly, we ask our shareholders to vote on the following resolution at the Annual Meeting:

“RESOLVED, that the shareholders of Horizon Bancorp approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the named executive officers, as disclosed in Horizon Bancorp’s Proxy Statement for the 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the 2012 Summary Compensation Table and the other related tables and disclosure.”

#### Vote Required and Effect

Approval of this Proposal 2 requires that the number of votes cast in favor of the proposal exceed the number of votes cast against the proposal. Because this shareholder vote is advisory, it will not be binding upon the Board of Directors.

The Board of Directors unanimously recommends a vote “For” approval of the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in this Proxy Statement

(Item 2 on the Proxy Card)

Proposal 3

Ratification of Appointment of Independent Auditors

BKD, LLP served as Horizon's independent auditors for 2012. Upon the recommendation of the Audit Committee, the Board of Directors has selected BKD, LLP as Horizon's independent auditors for 2013. BKD, LLP has served as Horizon's independent auditors since 1998. Shareholder ratification of the

appointment of the independent auditors is not required by law, but the Audit Committee has proposed and recommended the submission of the appointment of BKD, LLP to the shareholders to give the shareholders input into the designation of the auditors.

Ratification of the appointment of Horizon's independent auditor requires that more shares be voted in favor of the proposal than against the proposal. If the shareholders do not ratify the selection of BKD, LLP, the Audit Committee may reconsider its selection of BKD, LLP as independent auditors. Even if this proposal to ratify the auditors is approved, the Audit Committee, in its discretion, may direct the appointment of different independent auditors at any time during the year if it determines that such a change would be in the best interests of Horizon or its shareholders.

Representatives of BKD, LLP are expected to be present at the Annual Meeting to respond to appropriate questions and to make such statements as they may desire.

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors recommends that shareholders vote "For" the ratification of the appointment of BKD, LLP as Horizon's independent auditors for 2013

(Item 3 on the Proxy Card).

#### Auditor Fees and Services

BKD, LLP served as Horizon's independent auditors for 2012 and 2011. The services performed by BKD, LLP in this capacity included conducting an examination in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards of, and expressing an opinion on, Horizon's consolidated financial statements. The Board of Directors has selected BKD, LLP as the independent public accountants for 2013 and is seeking shareholder ratification at the Annual Meeting.

#### Audit Fees

BKD, LLP's fees for professional services rendered in connection with the audit and review of Forms 10-Q and all other SEC regulatory filings were \$229,229 for 2012 and \$195,150 for 2011.

#### Audit-Related Fees

No fees for audit-related services were paid to BKD, LLP for 2012 or 2011.

#### Tax Fees

BKD, LLP's fees for tax services were \$19,100 for 2012 and \$27,005 for 2011.

#### All Other Fees

BKD, LLP's other fees for 2012 were \$5,413 and for 2011 were \$4,815 and related to consent procedures performed in conjunction with the filing of various registration statements.

Board of Directors Pre-Approval

Horizon's Audit Committee formally adopted resolutions pre-approving the engagement of BKD LLP to act as our independent auditor for the two fiscal years ended December 31, 2012. The Audit Committee has not adopted pre-approval policies and procedures in accordance with paragraph (c)(7)(i) of Rule 2-01 of Regulation S-X, because it anticipates that, in the future, the engagement of BKD LLP will be pre-approved by the Audit Committee. All audit-related fees and fees for tax services for 2012 and 2011 were pre-approved by the Audit Committee. Horizon's independent auditors performed all work described above with their respective full-time, permanent employees.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Executive officers and directors of Horizon and owners of more than 10% of the Common Shares are required to file reports of their ownership and changes in their ownership of Common Shares with the SEC. Copies of these reports also must be furnished to Horizon. Based solely upon a review of copies furnished to Horizon through the date of this Proxy Statement or written representations that no reports

were required, Horizon believes that its executive officers, directors and 10% shareholders complied with the 2012 filing requirements, except that one transfer of Common Shares from Mr. Dwight's SERP account was reported late. That transaction, reportable on Form 4, was subsequently included in his Form 5 for 2012.

#### Shareholder Proposals for 2014 Annual Meeting

Any shareholder who wishes to have a proposal considered for inclusion in Horizon's Proxy Statement for the 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders must submit the proposal in writing so that Horizon receives it by November 22, 2013. Proposals should be addressed to Horizon's Secretary, 515 Franklin Square, Michigan City, Indiana 46360.

Horizon's Amended and Restated Bylaws also provide that a shareholder wishing to nominate a candidate for election as a director or to have any other matter considered by the shareholders at the Annual Meeting must give Horizon written notice of the nomination not fewer than 120 days in advance of the date that Horizon's Proxy Statement was released to shareholders in connection with the previous year's Annual Meeting, which release date for the 2013 Annual Meeting is expected to be on or about March 22, 2013. Shareholder nominations must include the detailed information about the nominee required by the Bylaws and also must comply with the other requirements set forth in the Bylaws. Proposals to bring other matters before the shareholders must include a brief description of the proposal and the other information required by the Bylaws.

Shareholders who wish to nominate candidates or to bring other proposals before the Annual Meeting must submit the proposals in writing to Horizon's Secretary no later than November 22, 2013. Copies of the Bylaws are available to shareholders from Horizon's Secretary free of charge upon request.

#### Other Matters

Management knows of no matters, other than those reported above, that are to be brought before the Annual Meeting. The enclosed proxy confers discretionary authority on the proxies to vote on any other business that may properly come before the Annual Meeting. It is the intention of the persons named in the proxy to vote in their discretion on any such matter.

To the extent information in this Proxy Statement rests peculiarly within the knowledge of persons other than Horizon, Horizon has relied upon information furnished by others for the accuracy and completeness of the information.

We urge you to complete, date and sign the proxy and return it promptly in enclosed envelope.

James D. Neff  
Secretary  
Michigan City, Indiana  
March 22, 2013

Availability of Form 10-K

A copy of Horizon's Annual Report on Form 10-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") is available to shareholders without charge, upon written request to Mary McColl, Shareholder Relations, at 515 Franklin Square, Michigan City, Indiana 46360. The Form 10-K, the other proxy materials and other SEC filings also are available on the Internet at [www.cfpproxy.com/5257](http://www.cfpproxy.com/5257) and online in the SEC's EDGAR database at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov).



HORIZON BANCORP — ANNUAL MEETING, MAY 2, 2013

YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT!

Annual Meeting Materials are available on-line at:

<http://www.cfpproxy.com/5257>

You can vote in one of three ways:

1. Call toll free 1-866-273-7544 on a Touch-Tone Phone. There is NO CHARGE to you for this call.

or

2. Via the Internet at <http://www.rtcoproxy.com/hbnc> and follow the instructions.

or

3. Mark, sign and date your proxy card and return it promptly in the enclosed envelope.

PLEASE SEE REVERSE SIDE FOR VOTING INSTRUCTIONS

(Continued, and to be marked, dated and signed, on the other side)

REVOCABLE PROXY

HORIZON BANCORP

ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

May 2, 2013

10:00 am.

THIS PROXY IS SOLICITED ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The undersigned hereby appoints Thomas H. Edwards, James D. Neff, or Mark E. Secor, or each of them, as Proxies, each with the power to appoint his substitute, and hereby authorizes them to represent and vote, as designated below, all shares of common stock of Horizon Bancorp that the undersigned is entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on Thursday, May 2, 2013, at 10:00 a.m. (local time), at the Clarion Inn, 5820 S. Franklin Street, Michigan City, Indiana, or any adjournment of the Annual Meeting, on the following matters:

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A “FOR” VOTE ON THE ELECTION OF THE DIRECTORS, THE APPROVAL OF THE ADVISORY VOTE TO APPROVE EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION AND THE RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF BKD, LLP.

PLEASE COMPLETE, DATE, SIGN, AND MAIL THIS PROXY CARD PROMPTLY IN THE ENCLOSED  
POSTAGE-PAID ENVELOPE OR PROVIDE YOUR INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTE VIA  
THE INTERNET OR BY TELEPHONE.

---

REVOCABLE PROXY

HORIZON BANCORP

YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT!  
PROXY VOTING INSTRUCTIONS

Shareholders of record have three ways to vote:

1. By Telephone (using a Touch-Tone Phone); or
2. By Internet; or
3. By Mail.

To Vote by Telephone :

Call 1-866-273-7544 Toll-Free on a Touch-Tone Phone anytime prior to 3:00 a.m., May 2, 2013.

To Vote by Internet:

Go to <https://www.rtcoproxy.com/hbnc> prior to 3:00 a.m., May 2, 2013.

Please note that the last vote received, whether by telephone, Internet or by mail, will be the vote counted

Mark here if you no longer wish to receive paper annual meeting materials and instead view them online.

Mark here if you plan to attend the meeting.

Mark here for address change.

Annual Meeting Materials are available at:  
<http://www.cfpproxy.com/5257>

Comments:

FOLD HERE IF YOU ARE VOTING BY MAIL

PLEASE DO NOT DETACH

x PLEASE MARK VOTES  
AS IN THIS EXAMPLE

1.	Election of Directors	For  o	With- hold  o	For All Except  ..	2. Advisory Vote to Approve Executive Compensation.	For  o	Against  o	Abstain  o
	(1) Susan D. Aaron (2) Charley E. Gillispie				3. Ratification of Appointment of BKD, LLP.	For  ..	Against  o	Abstain  o
	(3) Larry N. Middleton (4) Robert E. Swinehart				4. In their discretion, on such other business as may properly be brought before the Annual Meeting or any adjournment of the Annual Meeting.			

(INSTRUCTION: To withhold authority to vote for any individual, write the individual's name on the space provided below.)

ON ANY OTHER MATTERS THAT MAY PROPERLY COME BEFORE THE MEETING, THIS PROXY WILL BE VOTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE BEST JUDGMENT OF THE ABOVE-STATED PROXIES. THIS PROXY, WHEN PROPERLY EXECUTED, WILL BE VOTED AS DIRECTED, OR IF NO DIRECTION IS INDICATED, WILL BE VOTED FOR THE FOUR NOMINEES STATED ABOVE AND FOR PROPOSALS 2 AND 3.

Please sign exactly as name appears on this card. If there are two or more owners, both should sign. When signing as attorney, executor, administrator, trustee or guardian, please give full title as such. If a corporation, please sign in full corporate name by President or other authorized officer. If a partnership, please sign in partnership name by authorized person.

Please be sure to sign and date Date  
this Proxy in the box below.

Sign above

Co-holder (if any) sign  
above