NUVEEN DIVIDEND ADVANTAGE MUNICIPAL FUND Form N-CSRS July 08, 2015

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number 811-09297

Nuveen Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

Nuveen Investments
333 West Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

Kevin J. McCarthy
Nuveen Investments
333 West Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606
(Name and address of agent for service)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (312) 917-7700

Date of fiscal year end: October 31

Date of reporting period: April 30, 2015

Form N-CSR is to be used by management investment companies to file reports with the Commission not later than 10 days after the transmission to stockholders of any report that is required to be transmitted to stockholders under Rule 30e-1 under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (17 CFR 270.30e-1). The Commission may use the information provided on Form N-CSR in its regulatory, disclosure review, inspection, and policymaking roles.

A registrant is required to disclose the information specified by Form N-CSR, and the Commission will make this information public. A registrant is not required to respond to the collection of information contained in Form N-CSR unless the Form displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") control number. Please direct comments concerning the accuracy of the information collection burden estimate and any suggestions for reducing the burden to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW, Washington, DC 20549-0609. The OMB has reviewed this collection of information under the clearance requirements of 44 U.S.C. ss. 3507.

ITEM 1. REPORTS TO STOCKHOLDERS.		

Life is Complex

Nuveen makes things e-simple.

It only takes a minute to sign up for e-Reports. Once enrolled, you'll receive an e-mail as soon as your Nuveen Investments Fund information is ready—no more waiting for delivery by regular mail. Just click on the link within the e-mail to see the report and save it on your computer if you wish.

Free e-Reports right to your e-mail!

www.investordelivery.com

If you receive your Nuveen Fund dividends and statements from your 3nancial advisor or brokerage account.

or

www.nuveen.com/accountaccess

If you receive your Nuveen Fund dividends and statements directly from Nuveen.

Table of Contents

Chairman's Letter to Shareholders	4
Portfolio Managers' Comments	5
Fund Leverage	8
Common Share Information	10
Risk Considerations	12
Performance Overview and Holding Summaries	13
Portfolios of Investments	25
Statement of Assets and Liabilities	95
Statement of Operations	97
Statement of Changes in Net Assets	98
Statement of Cash Flows	100
Financial Highlights	102
Notes to Financial Statements	111
Additional Fund Information	124
Glossary of Terms Used in this Report	125
Reinvest Automatically, Easily and Conveniently	127
Nuveen Investments	3

Chairman's Letter to Shareholders

Dear Shareholders,

A pattern of divergence has emerged in the past year. Steady and moderate growth in the U.S. economy helped sustain the stock market's bull run another year. U.S. bonds also performed well, amid subdued inflation, interest rates that remained unexpectedly low and concerns about the economic well-being of the rest of the world. The stronger domestic economy enabled the U.S. Federal Reserve (Fed) to gradually reduce its large scale bond purchases, known as quantitative easing (QE), without disruption to the markets, as well as beginning to set expectations for a transition into tightening mode.

The economic story outside the U.S. continues to improve. Despite the drama over Greece's debt negotiations, the European economy appears to be stabilizing. Japan is on a moderate recovery path as it emerged from recession late last quarter. China's economy decelerated and, despite running well above the rate of other major global economies, investors feared it looked slow by China's standards. Some areas of concern were a surprisingly steep decline in oil prices, the U.S. dollar's rally and an increase in geopolitical tensions, including the Russia-Ukraine crisis and terrorist attacks across the Middle East and Africa, as well as more recently in Europe.

While a backdrop of healthy economic growth in the U.S. and the continuation of accommodative monetary policy (with the central banks of Japan and Europe stepping in where the Fed has left off) bodes well for the markets, the global outlook has become more uncertain. Indeed, volatility is likely to feature more prominently in the investment landscape going forward. Such conditions underscore the importance of professional investment management. Experienced investment teams have weathered the market's ups and downs in the past and emerged with a better understanding of the sensitivities of their asset class and investment style, particularly in times of turbulence. We recognize the importance of maximizing gains, while striving to minimize volatility.

And, the same is true for investors like you. Maintaining an appropriate time horizon, diversification and relying on practiced investment teams are among your best strategies for achieving your long-term investment objectives. Additionally, I encourage you to communicate with your financial consultant if you have questions about your investment in a Nuveen Fund. On behalf of the other members of the Nuveen Fund Board, we look forward to continuing to earn your trust in the months and years ahead.

William J. Schneider Chairman of the Board June 22, 2015

Portfolio Managers' Comments

Nuveen Performance Plus Municipal Fund, Inc. (NPP)

Nuveen Municipal Advantage Fund, Inc. (NMA)

Nuveen Municipal Market Opportunity Fund, Inc. (NMO)

Nuveen Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (NAD)

Nuveen Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (NXZ)

Nuveen Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3 (NZF)

These Funds feature portfolio management by Nuveen Asset Management, LLC, an affiliate of Nuveen Investments, Inc. Portfolio managers Thomas C. Spalding, CFA, and Paul L. Brennan, CFA, review key investment strategies and the six-month performance of these six Funds. Tom has managed NXZ since its inception in 2001 and NPP, NMA, NMO and NAD since 2003. Paul assumed portfolio management responsibility for NZF in 2006.

What key strategies were used to manage these Funds during the six-month reporting period ended April 30, 2015?

A backdrop of supportive technical and fundamental factors helped the municipal market rally in the first half of the reporting period. However, conditions turned more volatile in the second three months. Disappointing economic data, uncertainty about the timeline for the Federal Reserve's first rate increase, an oversupply of new issuance and seasonal weakness due to tax loss selling led to greater price fluctuations within the municipal market in early 2015. In this environment, interest rates fell through January then plodded upward, ending the reporting period at nearly the same level where they began. Municipal bond prices were up modestly for the overall six-month reporting period. We continued to take a bottom-up approach to identifying sectors that appeared undervalued as well as individual credits that had the potential to perform well over the long term and helped keep the Funds fully invested.

Much of our trading activity during the reporting period was focused on reinvesting the cash from called bonds. The decline in municipal yields and the flattening of the municipal yield curve relative to the Treasury curve helped to make refunding deals more attractive and we saw an increase in this activity during the reporting period, as bond issuers sought to lower costs through refinancings.

In NPP, NMA, NMO, NAD and NXZ, buying activity was relatively muted early in the reporting period then accelerated in the latter half. The five Funds purchased new issues for Atlanta Water and Sewer Authority, and all except NMA bought Indiana Finance Authority for Indiana University Hospital bonds. We also emphasized other shorter duration credits with ample liquidity to help keep these five Funds within their specified duration ranges.

NZF maintained its overall positioning strategy throughout the course of the reporting period, namely a bias toward longer maturity and lower credit quality bonds. Additions to NZF's portfolio were primarily in the transportation and health care sectors. We bought

Certain statements in this report are forward-looking statements. Discussions of specific investments are for illustration only and are not intended as recommendations of individual investments. The forward-looking statements and other views expressed herein are those of the portfolio managers as of the date of this report. Actual future results or occurrences may differ significantly from those anticipated in any forward-looking statements, and the views expressed herein are subject to change at any time, due to numerous market and other factors. The Funds disclaim any obligation to update publicly or revise any forward-looking statements or views expressed herein.

Ratings shown are the highest rating given by one of the following national rating agencies: Standard & Poor's (S&P), Moody's Investors Service, Inc. (Moody's) or Fitch, Inc. (Fitch) Credit ratings are subject to change. AAA, AA, A and BBB are investment grade ratings; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D are below investment grade ratings. Certain bonds

backed by U.S. government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by these national rating agencies.

Bond insurance guarantees only the payment of principal and interest on the bond when due, and not the value of the bonds themselves, which will fluctuate with the bond market and the financial success of the issuer and the insurer. Insurance relates specifically to the bonds in the portfolio and not to the share prices of a Fund. No representation is made as to the insurers' ability to meet their commitments.

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this section.

Portfolio Managers' Comments (continued)

tollroad bonds issued for the Central Texas Turnpike and North Texas Tollway Authority and an airport bond for the New Orleans Aviation Board. The health care sector has been an attractive source of ideas for us and has continued to be an overweight position in the Fund. The advent of the Affordable Health Care Act has encouraged health care providers to increase the scale of their businesses through affiliations and consolidations. While NZF's general sector and credit quality positioning was largely unchanged during this reporting period, we have become more selective at the individual issue level. As investor demand for municipal securities has increased and created a slight supply-demand imbalance, we've started to see underwriters bring new issues to market that are structured with terms more favorable to the issuer and perhaps less advantageous to the investor than in the recent past. We believe this shift in the marketplace merits extra vigilance on our part to ensure that every credit considered for the portfolio offers adequate reward potential for the level of risk to the bondholder. In cases where our convictions have been less certain, we've sought compensation for the additional risk or have passed on the deal all together.

Cash for purchases was generated primarily by proceeds from called and matured bonds, which we worked to redeploy to keep the Funds fully invested and support their income streams. In addition, NMO trimmed some of its holding of American Airlines common stock, which performed well as the company has emerged from bankruptcy. The Fund received American Airlines stock when its holding of bonds issued by Puerto Rico Ports Authority for American Airlines was converted into equity as part of the merger with US Airways, which was completed in December 2013. Over time, we expect to sell these shares and reinvest the proceeds into municipal bonds.

As of April 30, 2015, all of these Funds continued to use inverse floating rate securities. We employ inverse floaters for a variety of reasons, including duration management, income enhancement and total return enhancement.

How did the Funds perform during the six-month reporting period ended April 30, 2015?

The tables in each Fund's Performance Overview and Holding Summaries section of this report provide the Funds' total returns for the six-month, one-year, five-year and ten-year periods ended April 30, 2015. Each Fund's total returns at net asset value (NAV) are compared with the performance of a corresponding market index and Lipper classification average.

For the six months ended April 30, 2015, the total returns on common share NAV for all of these Funds outperformed the return for the national S&P Municipal Bond Index. For the same period, NPP, NMA, NMO and NXZ outperformed the average return for the Lipper General & Insured Leveraged Municipal Debt Funds Classification Average, while NAD and NZF slightly underperformed this classification average.

Key management factors that influenced the Funds' returns included duration and yield curve positioning, credit exposure and sector allocation. Keeping the Funds fully invested throughout the reporting period also was beneficial for performance. In addition, the use of regulatory leverage was an important positive factor affecting the Funds' performance. Leverage is discussed in more detail later in the Fund Leverage section of this report.

In this reporting period, municipal bonds with intermediate and longer maturities generally outperformed those with shorter maturities. In general, the Funds' durations and yield curve positioning were positive for performance. Consistent with our long term strategy, these Funds tended to have longer durations than the municipal market in general, with overweightings in the longer parts of the yield curve that performed well and underweightings in the underperforming shorter end of the curve. This was especially true in NZF and NPP, where greater sensitivity to changes in interest rates benefited their performance. The positioning of NMA and NXZ, which had the shortest durations among these Funds, was slightly less advantageous and the two Funds received less benefit from duration. In addition, exposure to zero coupon bonds, which typically have higher durations, was particularly beneficial. Among

the six Funds, NPP and NAD had the largest weightings in zero coupon bonds and NZF had the smallest weighting.

During this reporting period, lower rated bonds generally outperformed higher quality bonds, as the municipal market rally continued and investors became more willing to accept risk. These Funds tended to have overweights in A rated and BBB rated bonds and underweights in the AAA rated and AA rated categories relative to their benchmark and credit exposure was generally positive for their performance. As with duration, differences in credit allocation accounted for some of the differences in performance. All of these Funds benefited from their lower rated holdings during this reporting period.

Among the municipal market sectors, tobacco, health care (especially hospitals), industrial development revenue (IDR) and transportation (especially toll roads) were some of the top-performing groups during this reporting period. Tobacco bonds performed well due to their lower credit quality and the broader demand for higher yields. Health care, IDR and transportation bonds also benefited from investor demand for lower rated credits, as well as generally improving credit fundamentals across these sectors. Overweight positions in tobacco, health care and transportation bonds were the main positive drivers of performance for NPP, NMA, NMO, NAD and NXZ. NMO also benefited from its holding of strong-performing American Airlines common stock, as described earlier in the key strategies section. The performance of NZF was largely driven by the Fund's allocation to the tobacco settlement, health care, transportation, utilities and the IDR sectors. However, relative gains were somewhat offset by weak performance from the Fund's exposure to the pre-refunded and tax obligation sectors. Pre-refunded bonds generally underperformed the municipal market, owing to their short maturities and higher credit quality. Although the tax-supported sectors encompass a wide range of credit ratings, the underperformance of higher quality issues has been one of the main reasons the tax-supported sectors have tended to lag revenue sectors. Also, during this reporting period, Moody's upgraded Harris County-Houston Sports Authority bonds following a successful restructuring in November 2014. All six Funds held the bonds in their portfolios.

As noted in the previous Shareholder Fund Report we continued to monitor two situations in the broader municipal market for any impact on the Funds' holdings and performance: the ongoing economic problems of Puerto Rico and the City of Detroit's bankruptcy case. In terms of Puerto Rico holdings, shareholders should note that NPP, NMA, NMO, NAD and NXZ had limited exposure to Puerto Rico debt, 0.67%, 0.48%, 0.49%, 0.46% and 0.30%, respectively, while NZF did not hold any Puerto Rico bonds. The Puerto Rico credits offered higher yields, added diversification and triple exemption (i.e., exemption from most federal, state and local taxes). However, Puerto Rico's continued economic weakening, escalating debt service obligations, and long-standing inability to deliver a balanced budget led to multiple downgrades on its debt over the past two years. Puerto Rico general obligation debt is rated Caa2/CCC+/B (below investment grade) by Moody's, S&P and Fitch, respectively, with negative outlooks.

On February 6, 2015, a federal court found Puerto Rico's Recovery Act to be unconstitutional. Though the Commonwealth is pursuing an appeal of the ruling, the outcome is uncertain. Puerto Rico's non-voting Representative in Congress recently introduced legislation that would make chapter 9 bankruptcy available to the Commonwealth's public corporations. A congressional committee hearing was held on February 26, 2015, but the bill has not advanced out of committee.

In light of the evolving economic situation in Puerto Rico, Nuveen's credit analysis of the Commonwealth had previously considered the possibility of a default and restructuring of public corporations and we adjusted our portfolios to prepare for such an outcome, although no such default or restructuring has occurred to date. The Nuveen complex's entire exposure to obligations of the government of Puerto Rico and other Puerto Rico issuers totaled 0.33% of assets under management as of April 30, 2015. As of April 30, 2015, the Funds' limited exposure to Puerto Rico generally was invested in bonds that were insured, pre-refunded (and therefore backed by securities such as U.S. Treasuries), or tobacco settlement bonds. Overall, the small size of our exposures meant that our Puerto Rico holdings had a negligible impact on performance.

The second situation that we continued to monitor was the City of Detroit's filing for chapter 9 in federal bankruptcy court in July 2013. Burdened by decades of population loss, changes in the auto manufacturing industry and significant tax base deterioration, Detroit had been under severe financial stress for an extended period prior to the filing. Before Detroit could exit bankruptcy, issues surrounding the city's complex debt portfolio, numerous union contracts, significant legal questions and more than 100,000 creditors had to be resolved. By October 2014, all of the major creditors had reached an agreement on the city's plan to restructure its \$18.5 billion of debt and emerge from bankruptcy on November 7, 2014. The U.S. Bankruptcy Court approved the city's bankruptcy exit plan, thereby erasing approximately \$7 billion in debt. The settlement plan also provided for \$1.7 billion to be reinvested in the city

for improved public safety, blight removal and upgraded basic services.

In August 2014, Detroit announced a tender offer for the city's water and sewer bonds, aimed at replacing some of the \$5.2 billion of existing debt with lower cost bonds. Approximately \$1.5 billion in existing water and sewer bonds were returned to the city by investors under the tender offer, which enabled Detroit to issue new water and sewer bonds, resulting in savings of \$250 million over the life of the bonds. The city also raised about \$150 million to finance sewer system improvements. As part of the deal, Detroit water and sewer bonds also were permanently removed from the city's bankruptcy case, which led to a rally in the bonds' price. The six Funds continued to hold Detroit water and sewer bonds, and the small position sizes had an insignificant impact on performance.

Nuveen Investments	<i>'</i>

Fund Leverage

IMPACT OF THE FUNDS' LEVERAGE STRATEGIES ON PERFORMANCE

One important factor impacting the returns of the Funds relative to their comparative benchmarks was the Funds' use of leverage through their issuance of preferred shares and/or investments in inverse floating rate securities, which represent leveraged investments in underlying bonds. The Funds use leverage because our research has shown that, over time, leveraging provides opportunities for additional income, particularly in the recent market environment where short-term market rates are at or near historical lows, meaning that the short-term rates the Fund has been paying on its leveraging instruments have been much lower than the interest the Fund has been earning on its portfolio of long-term bonds that it has bought with the proceeds of that leverage. However, use of leverage also can expose the Fund to additional price volatility. When a Fund uses leverage, the Fund will experience a greater increase in its net asset value if the municipal bonds acquired through the use of leverage increase in value, but it will also experience a correspondingly larger decline in its net asset value if the bonds acquired through leverage decline in value, which will make the Fund's net asset value more volatile, and its total return performance more variable over time. In addition, income in levered funds will typically decrease in comparison to unlevered funds when short-term interest rates increase and increase when short-term interest rates decrease. Leverage made a positive contribution to the performance of these Funds over this reporting period.

As of April 30, 2015, the Funds' percentages of leverage are as shown in the accompanying table.

	NPP	NMA	NMO	NAD	NXZ	NZF
Effective Leverage*	37.34%	34.44%	35.88%	34.50%	33.67%	34.90%
Regulatory Leverage*	35.42%	30.76%	33.44%	30.27%	29.60%	28.68%

^{*} Effective Leverage is a Fund's effective economic leverage, and includes both regulatory leverage and the leverage effects of certain derivative and other investments in a Fund's portfolio that increase the Fund's investment exposure. Currently, the leverage effects of Tender Option Bond (TOB) inverse floater holdings are included in effective leverage values, in addition to any regulatory leverage. Regulatory leverage consists of preferred shares issued or borrowings of a Fund. Both of these are part of a Fund's capital structure. Regulatory leverage is subject to asset coverage limits set forth in the Investment Company Act of 1940.

THE FUNDS' REGULATORY LEVERAGE

As of April 30, 2015, the Funds have issued and outstanding Institutional MuniFund Term Preferred (iMTP) Shares, Variable Rate MuniFund Term Preferred (VMTP) Shares and/or Variable Rate Demand Preferred (VRDP) Shares as shown in the accompanying table.

	iMTP Shar	es	VMTP Shar	res	VRDP Shar	es	
		Shares		Shares		Shares	
		Issued at		Issued at		Issued at	
		Liquidation	l	Liquidation		Liquidation	
Fund	Series	Value	Series	Value	Series	Value	Total
NPP	_	_	2015	\$535,000,000	_	_	\$535,000,000
NMA		_			1	\$268,800,000	\$268,800,000
NMO	_	_	_	_	1	\$350,900,000	\$350,900,000
NAD							