

HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP INC/DE
Form 10-K
February 28, 2014

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-K
(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013

or
 TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 001-13958

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

13-3317783

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or
organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

One Hartford Plaza, Hartford, Connecticut 06155

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(860) 547-5000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12 (b) OF THE ACT

(ALL OF WHICH ARE LISTED ON THE NEW YORK STOCK EXCHANGE INC.):

Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share

Warrants (expiring June 26, 2019)

6.10% Notes due October 1, 2041

7.875% Fixed-to-Floating Rate Junior Subordinated Debentures due 2042

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12 (g) OF THE ACT:

None

Indicate by check mark:

- | | Yes | No |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| • if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| • if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| • whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| • whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| • if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| • | | |

whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of “large accelerated filer,” “accelerated filer” and “smaller reporting company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

- whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.)

The aggregate market value of the shares of Common Stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of June 28, 2013 was approximately \$14 billion, based on the closing price of \$30.92 per share of the Common Stock on the New York Stock Exchange on June 28, 2013.

As of February 25, 2014, there were outstanding 446,342,284 shares of Common Stock, \$0.01 par value per share, of the registrant.

Documents Incorporated by Reference

Portions of the registrant’s definitive proxy statement for its 2014 annual meeting of shareholders are incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K.

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.
 ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K
 FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013
 TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Item | Description | Page |
|------|---|-------------|
| | <u>Part I</u> | |
| 1 | <u>Business</u> | <u>5</u> |
| 1A. | <u>Risk Factors</u> | <u>14</u> |
| 1B. | <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | <u>28</u> |
| 2 | <u>Properties</u> | <u>28</u> |
| 3 | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | <u>29</u> |
| 4 | <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | <u>29</u> |
| | <u>Part II</u> | |
| 5 | <u>Market for The Hartford's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | <u>30</u> |
| 6 | <u>Selected Financial Data</u> | <u>32</u> |
| 7 | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | <u>33</u> |
| 7A. | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | <u>132</u> |
| 8 | <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | <u>132</u> |
| 9 | <u>Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | <u>132</u> |
| 9A. | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | <u>133</u> |
| 9B. | <u>Other Information</u> | <u>135</u> |
| | <u>Part III</u> | |
| 10 | <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance of The Hartford</u> | <u>135</u> |
| 11 | <u>Executive Compensation</u> | <u>136</u> |
| 12 | <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | <u>136</u> |
| 13 | <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u> | <u>136</u> |
| 14 | <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u> | <u>136</u> |
| | <u>Part IV</u> | |
| 15 | <u>Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u> | <u>136</u> |
| | <u>Signatures</u> | <u>II-1</u> |
| | <u>Exhibits Index</u> | <u>II-3</u> |

Forward-Looking Statements

Certain of the statements contained herein are forward-looking statements made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements can be identified by words such as “anticipates,” “intends,” “plans,” “seeks,” “believes,” “estimates,” “expects,” “projects,” and similar references to future performance.

Forward-looking statements are based on our current expectations and assumptions regarding economic, competitive, legislative and other developments. Because forward-looking statements relate to the future, they are subject to inherent uncertainties, risks and changes in circumstances that are difficult to predict. They have been made based upon management’s expectations and beliefs concerning future developments and their potential effect upon The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries (collectively, the “Company” or “The Hartford”). Future developments may not be in line with management’s expectations or may have unanticipated effects. Actual results could differ materially from expectations, depending on the evolution of various factors, including those set forth in Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors, in Part II, Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, and those identified from time to time in our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. These important risks and uncertainties include:

- challenges related to the Company’s current operating environment, including continuing uncertainty about the strength and speed of the recovery in the United States and other key economies and the impact of any governmental stimulus or austerity initiatives, sovereign credit concerns, a sustained low interest rate environment, higher tax rates, and other potentially adverse developments on financial, commodity and credit markets and consumer and business spending and investment and the effect of these events on our returns in investment portfolios and our hedging costs associated with our variable annuities business;

- the risks, challenges and uncertainties associated with the realignment of our business to focus on our property and casualty, group benefits and mutual fund businesses, and our decision to place our Individual Annuity business into run-off and sell the Individual Life and Retirement Plans businesses;

- the risks, challenges and uncertainties associated with our capital management plan, expense reduction initiatives and other actions, which may include acquisitions, divestitures or restructurings;
- execution risk related to the continued reinvestment of our investment portfolios and refinement of our hedge program for our run-off annuity block;

- market risks associated with our business, including changes in interest rates, credit spreads, equity prices, market volatility and foreign exchange rates, and implied volatility levels, as well as continuing uncertainty in key sectors such as the global real estate market;

- the possibility of unfavorable loss development including with respect to long-tailed exposures;

- the possibility of a pandemic, earthquake, or other natural or man-made disaster that may adversely affect our businesses;

- weather and other natural physical events, including the severity and frequency of storms, hail, winter storms, hurricanes and tropical storms, as well as climate change and its potential impact on weather patterns;

- risk associated with the use of analytical models in making decisions in key areas such as underwriting, capital, hedging, reserving, and catastrophe risk management;

- the uncertain effects of emerging claim and coverage issues;

- the Company’s ability to effectively price its property and casualty policies, including its ability to obtain regulatory consents to pricing actions or to non-renewal or withdrawal of certain product lines;

- the impact on our statutory capital of various factors, including many that are outside the Company’s control, which can in turn affect our credit and financial strength ratings, cost of capital, regulatory compliance and other aspects of our business and results;

- risks to our business, financial position, prospects and results associated with negative rating actions or downgrades in the Company’s financial strength and credit ratings or negative rating actions or downgrades relating to our investments;

- the impact on our investment portfolio if our investment portfolio is concentrated in any particular segment of the economy;

-

volatility in our statutory and U.S. GAAP earnings and potential material changes to our results resulting from our adjustment of our risk management program to emphasize protection of economic value;

- the potential for differing interpretations of the methodologies, estimations and assumptions that underlie the valuation of the Company's financial instruments that could result in changes to investment valuations;
- the subjective determinations that underlie the Company's evaluation of other-than-temporary impairments on available-for-sale securities;

3

- losses due to nonperformance or defaults by others;
- the potential for further acceleration of deferred policy acquisition cost amortization;
- the potential for further impairments of our goodwill or the potential for changes in valuation allowances against deferred tax assets;
- the possible occurrence of terrorist attacks and the Company's ability to contain its exposure, including the effect of the absence or insufficiency of applicable terrorism legislation on coverage;
- the difficulty in predicting the Company's potential exposure for asbestos and environmental claims;
- the response of reinsurance companies under reinsurance contracts and the availability, pricing and adequacy of reinsurance to protect the Company against losses;
- actions by our competitors, many of which are larger or have greater financial resources than we do;
- the Company's ability to distribute its products through distribution channels, both current and future;
- the cost and other effects of increased regulation as a result of the enactment of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010, which, among other effects, vests a Financial Stability Oversight Council with the power to designate "systemically important" institutions, requires central clearing of and imposes margin requirements on certain derivatives transactions, and created a new "Federal Insurance Office" within the U.S. Department of the Treasury;
- unfavorable judicial or legislative developments;
- the potential effect of other domestic and foreign regulatory developments, including those that could adversely impact the demand for the Company's products, operating costs and required capital levels;
- regulatory limitations on the ability of the Company and certain of its subsidiaries to declare and pay dividends;
- the Company's ability to maintain the availability of its systems and safeguard the security of its data in the event of a disaster, cyber or other information security incident or other unanticipated event;
- the risk that our framework for managing operational risks may not be effective in mitigating material risk and loss to the Company;
- the potential for difficulties arising from outsourcing and similar third-party relationships;
- the impact of changes in federal or state tax laws;
- regulatory requirements that could delay, deter or prevent a takeover attempt that shareholders might consider in their best interests;
- the impact of potential changes in accounting principles and related financial reporting requirements;
- the Company's ability to protect its intellectual property and defend against claims of infringement; and
- other factors described in such forward-looking statements.

Any forward-looking statement made by the Company in this document speaks only as of the date of the filing of this Form 10-K. Factors or events that could cause the Company's actual results to differ may emerge from time to time, and it is not possible for the Company to predict all of them. The Company undertakes no obligation to publicly update any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future developments or otherwise.

PART I

Item 1. BUSINESS

(Dollar amounts in millions, except for per share data, unless otherwise stated)

General

The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc. (together with its subsidiaries, “The Hartford”, the “Company”, “we”, or “our”) is an insurance and financial services company. The Hartford, headquartered in Connecticut, is among the largest providers of property and casualty insurance and investment products to both individual and business customers in the United States of America. Also, The Hartford continues to manage life and annuity products previously sold. Hartford Fire Insurance Company, founded in 1810, is the oldest of The Hartford’s subsidiaries. At December 31, 2013, total assets and total stockholders’ equity of The Hartford were \$277.9 billion and \$18.9 billion, respectively.

Organization

The Hartford strives to maintain and enhance its position as a market leader within the financial services industry. The Company sells diverse and innovative products through multiple distribution channels to consumers and businesses. The Company seeks on an ongoing basis to develop and expand its distribution channels, achieving cost efficiencies through economies of scale and improved technology, and capitalizes on its brand name and The Hartford Stag Logo, one of the most recognized symbols in the financial services industry.

In 2012, The Hartford concluded an evaluation of its strategy and business portfolio. The Company is currently focusing on its Property & Casualty, Group Benefits and Mutual Fund businesses. The objective of this realignment is to position the organization for higher returns on equity, reduced sensitivity to capital markets, a lower cost of capital and increased financial flexibility. As a result, the Company completed sales of its Retirement Plans, Individual Life and U.K. annuity businesses in 2013. In addition, the Company sold Woodbury Financial Services, Inc. (“Woodbury Financial Services”, “WFS”) an indirect wholly-owned broker-dealer subsidiary, and placed its annuity businesses into runoff in 2012. For further discussion of these transactions, see Note 2 - Business Dispositions and Note 20 - Discontinued Operations of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

As a holding company that is separate and distinct from its subsidiaries, The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc. has no significant business operations of its own. Therefore, it relies on the dividends from its insurance companies and other subsidiaries as the principal source of cash flow to meet its obligations. Additional information regarding the cash flow and liquidity needs of The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc. may be found in the Capital Resources and Liquidity section of Part II, Item 7, Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (“MD&A”).

Reporting Segments

The Hartford currently conducts business principally in six reporting segments including Property & Casualty Commercial, Consumer Markets, Property & Casualty Other Operations, Group Benefits, Mutual Funds and Talcott Resolution as well as a Corporate category. The Hartford includes in its Corporate category the Company’s debt financing and related interest expense, as well as other capital raising activities; and purchase accounting adjustments related to goodwill and other expenses not allocated to the reporting segments.

The following discussion describes the principal products and services, marketing and distribution, and competition of The Hartford’s reporting segments. For further discussion on the reporting segments, including financial disclosures of revenues by product line, geographical revenue, net income (loss), and assets for each reporting segment, see Note 4 - Segment Information of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Property & Casualty Commercial Principal Products and Services

Property & Casualty Commercial provides businesses with workers' compensation, property, automobile, liability, umbrella and marine coverages under several different products, primarily throughout the United States, within its standard commercial lines, which consists of The Hartford's small commercial and middle market lines of business. Additionally, a variety of customized insurance products and risk management services including workers' compensation, automobile, general liability, professional liability, livestock and specialty casualty coverages are offered through the segment's specialty lines.

Standard commercial lines seeks to offer products with coverage options and customized pricing based on the policyholder's individualized risk characteristics. For small businesses, those businesses whose annual payroll is under \$5 and whose revenue and property values are less than \$15 each, property and liability coverages are bundled as part of a single multi-peril package policy marketed under the Spectrum name. Medium-sized businesses, companies whose payroll, revenue and property values exceed the small business definition, are served within middle market. The middle market line of business provides workers' compensation, property, automobile, liability, umbrella, fidelity, surety and marine coverages.

Within the specialty lines, a significant portion of the specialty casualty business, including workers' compensation business, is written through large deductible programs where the insured typically provides collateral to support loss payments made within their deductible. The specialty casualty business also provides retrospectively-rated programs where the premiums are adjustable based on loss experience. The captive and specialty programs business provides tailored property and casualty programs primarily to customers with common risk characteristics and those seeking a loss sensitive solution. The financial products business provides a suite of management and professional liability insurance products including D&O (directors and officers) and E&O (errors and omissions) liability products.

Marketing and Distribution

Standard commercial lines provide insurance products and services through the Company's home office located in Hartford, Connecticut, and multiple domestic regional office locations and insurance centers. The products are marketed nationwide utilizing brokers and independent agents. The current pace of consolidation within the independent agent and broker distribution channel will likely continue such that, in the future a larger proportion of written premium will likely be concentrated among fewer agents and brokers. Additionally the Company offers insurance products to customers of payroll service providers through its relationships with major national payroll companies.

Specialty lines also provide insurance products and services through its home office located in Hartford, Connecticut and multiple domestic office locations. Specialty lines markets its products nationwide utilizing a variety of distribution networks including independent retail agents, brokers and wholesalers.

Competition

In the small commercial marketplace, The Hartford competes against a number of large national carriers, as well as regional carriers in certain territories. Competitors include other stock companies, mutual companies and other underwriting organizations. The small commercial market has become increasingly competitive as carriers seek to differentiate themselves through product expansion, price reduction, enhanced service and cutting-edge technology. Larger carriers such as The Hartford have improved their pricing sophistication and ease of doing business with agents through the use of predictive modeling tools and automation which speeds up the process of evaluating a risk and quoting new business.

Written premium growth rates in the small commercial market have slowed in recent years due to the economy and underwriting margins have been pressured by increased competition. A number of companies have sought to grow their business by increasing their underwriting appetite, appointing new agents and expanding business with existing agents. Also, carriers serving middle market-sized accounts are more aggressively competing for small commercial accounts as small commercial business has generally been less price-sensitive.

Middle market business is characterized as "high touch" and involves case-by-case underwriting and pricing decisions. The pricing of middle market accounts is prone to significant variation or cyclicity over time, with sensitivity to legislative and macro-economic forces. Additionally, various state legislative reforms in recent years designed to

control workers compensation indemnity costs have led to rate reductions in many states. These factors, characterized by highly competitive pricing on new business, have resulted in more new business opportunities in the marketplace as customers shop their policies for a lower price. In the face of this competitive environment, The Hartford continues to maintain a disciplined underwriting approach. To gain a competitive advantage in this environment, carriers are improving automation with agents and brokers, increasing pricing sophistication, and enhancing their product offerings. These enhancements include industry specialization, with The Hartford and other national carriers tailoring products and services to specific industry verticals such as technology, health care and renewable energy.

In the surety business, favorable underwriting results over the past couple of years have led to increased competition for market share, setting the stage for potential written price decreases. Driven by the upheaval in the credit markets, new public construction activity remains at a historically low level, resulting in lower demand for contract surety business

Specialty lines is comprised of a diverse group of businesses that operate independently within their specific industries. These businesses, while somewhat interrelated, have different business models and operating cycles. Specialty lines competes on an account- by-account basis due to the complex nature of each transaction. Competition in this market includes other stock companies, mutual companies, alternative risk sharing groups and other underwriting organizations. The relatively large size and underwriting capacity of The Hartford provides opportunities not available to smaller companies. Disciplined underwriting and targeted returns are the objectives of specialty lines since premium writings may fluctuate based on the segment's view of perceived market opportunity.

For specialty casualty businesses, written pricing competition continues to be significant, particularly for the larger individual accounts. Carriers are aggressively negotiating renewals with customers by initiating the renewal process well in advance of the policy renewal date, to improve retention, reducing new business opportunities within the marketplace. Within the national account business, as written pricing increases, more insureds may opt for loss-sensitive products in lieu of guaranteed cost policies.

Carriers writing professional liability business are increasingly focused on profitable private, middle market companies. This trend has continued as the downturn in the economy has led to a significant drop in the number of initial public offerings, and volatility for all public companies. Also, carriers' new business opportunities in the marketplace for directors & officers and errors & omissions insurance have been significantly influenced by customer perceptions of financial strength, as investment portfolio losses have had a negative effect on the financial strength ratings of some insurers.

In the commercial marketplace, the weak economy has prompted carriers to offer differentiated products and services as a means of gaining a competitive advantage. In addition to the initiatives specific to each of The Hartford's Property & Casualty Commercial lines of business noted above, the Company is leveraging its diverse product, service and distribution capabilities to deliver differentiated value in the market, while simultaneously increasing its ability to access its own diverse customer base for new product sales.

Consumer Markets

Principal Products and Services

Consumer Markets provides automobile, homeowners and personal umbrella coverages to individuals across the United States, including a special program designed exclusively for members of AARP ("AARP Program"). The Hartford's auto and homeowners products provide coverage options and customized pricing tailored to a customer's individual risk. The Hartford has individual customer relationships with AARP Program policyholders and, as a group, these customers represent a significant portion of the total Consumer Markets' business. Business sold to AARP members, either direct or through independent agents, amounted to earned premiums of \$2.9 billion, \$2.8 billion and \$2.8 billion in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Consumer Markets previously operated a member contact center for health insurance products offered through the AARP Health program (Catalyst 360). For further information regarding the sale of Catalyst 360 in 2013, see Note 2 - Business Dispositions of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Marketing and Distribution

Consumer Markets reaches diverse customers through multiple distribution channels including direct sales to the consumer, brokers and independent agents. In direct sales to the consumer, the Company markets its products through a mix of media, including direct mail and e-commerce marketing, television and advertising, both digitally and in publications. Most of Consumer Markets' direct sales to the consumer are associated with its exclusive licensing arrangement with AARP, with the current agreement in place through January 1, 2023, to market automobile, homeowners and home-based business insurance products to AARP's approximately 38 million members. This agreement provides Consumer Markets with an important competitive advantage given the number of "baby boomers" over age 50, many of whom become AARP members.

During 2013, the Company had affinity agreements with the American Kennel Club, Sierra Club, the National Wildlife Federation and Direct Selling Association. In addition to selling product through its relationship with AARP and other affinities, the Company markets direct to the consumer within select underwriting markets, acquired through partnerships or list acquisitions, and to consumers in geographies where we think we have a competitive advantage. The agency channel provides products and services to customers through a network of independent agents in the standard personal lines market. These independent agents are not employees of The Hartford.

7

Competition

The personal lines automobile and homeowners businesses are highly competitive. Personal lines insurance is written by insurance companies of varying sizes that compete on the basis of price, product, service (including claims handling), stability of the insurer and brand recognition. Companies with recognized brands, direct sales capability and economies of scale will have a competitive advantage. In recent years, a number of carriers have increased their advertising in an effort to gain new business and retain profitable business. This has been particularly true of carriers that sell directly to the consumer. Industry sales of personal lines insurance direct to the consumer have been growing faster than sales through agents, particularly for auto insurance.

Carriers that distribute products mainly through agents compete by offering agents commissions and additional incentives to attract new business. To distinguish themselves in the marketplace, top tier carriers are offering on-line and self service capabilities to agents and consumers. More agents have been using “comparative rater” tools that allow the agent to compare premium quotes among several insurance companies. The use of comparative rater tools has further increased price competition. Carriers with more efficient cost structures will have an advantage in competing for new business through price.

The use of data mining and predictive modeling is used by more and more carriers to target the most profitable business and carriers have further segmented their pricing plans to expand market share in what they believe to be the most profitable segments. Some companies, including The Hartford, have written a greater percentage of their new business in preferred market segments which tend to have better loss experience but also lower average premiums. In addition, a number of companies have invested in telematics — the use of devices in insured vehicles to transmit information about driving behavior such as miles driven, speed, acceleration, deceleration — and are using that information to price the risk. Companies that are the first to introduce telematics may enjoy a competitive advantage through favorable risk selection.

Group Benefits

Principal Products and Services

Group Benefits provides group life, accident and disability coverage, group retiree health and voluntary benefits to individual members of employer groups, associations, affinity groups and financial institutions. Group Benefits offers disability underwriting, administration, claims processing and reinsurance to other insurers and self-funded employer plans. Policies sold in this segment are generally term insurance, allowing Group Benefits to adjust the rates or terms of its policies in order to minimize the adverse effect of market trends, declining interest rates, and other factors. Policies are typically sold with one, two or three-year rate guarantees depending upon the product and market segment.

In addition to the products and services traditionally offered within each of its lines of business, Group Benefits offers The Hartford Productivity Advantage (“THPA”), a single-company solution for leave management, integrating work absence data from the insurer’s short-term and long-term group disability and workers’ compensation insurance with its leave management administration services.

Marketing and Distribution

The Group Benefits distribution network includes an experienced group of Company employees, managed through a regional sales office system, to distribute its group insurance products and services through a variety of distribution outlets including brokers, consultants, third-party administrators and trade associations.

Competition

Group Benefits competes with numerous other insurance companies and other financial intermediaries marketing insurance products. This line of business focuses on both its risk management expertise and economies of scale to derive a competitive advantage. Competitive factors affecting Group Benefits include the variety and quality of products and services offered, the price quoted for coverage and services, the Company’s relationships with its third-party distributors, and the quality of customer service. In addition, active price competition continues in the marketplace resulting in multi-year rate guarantees being offered to customers. Top tier carriers in the marketplace also offer on-line and self service capabilities to agents and consumers. The relatively large size and underwriting capacity of the Group Benefits business provides opportunities not available to smaller companies.

Mutual Funds

Principal Products and Services

Mutual Funds offers mutual funds for retail and retirement accounts and provides investment-management and administrative services such as product design, implementation and oversight. This business also includes the runoff of the mutual funds supporting the Company's variable annuity products. Wellington Management Company, LLP ("Wellington Management") serves as the primary sub-advisor for The Hartford's 96 mutual funds, including equity, fixed-income and asset-allocation funds.

Marketing and Distribution

Mutual Fund sales professionals are segmented into two teams; a retail team and an institutional team. The retail team distributes The Hartford's open-end funds and markets 529 college savings plans to national and regional broker-dealer organizations, banks and other financial institutions, independent financial advisors and registered investment advisors. The institutional team distributes The Hartford's funds to professional buyers, such as broker-dealers, consultants, record keepers, and bank trust groups.

Competition

Mutual Funds compete with other mutual fund companies along with investment brokerage companies and differentiate themselves through product solutions, performance, and service. In this non-proprietary broker sold market, the Company and its competitors compete aggressively for net sales.

Talcott Resolution

Talcott Resolution's business is comprised of runoff business from the Company's U.S. annuity, international annuity (in Japan), and institutional and private-placement life insurance businesses. Talcott Resolution's mission is to pursue opportunities to reduce the size and risk of the annuity book of business while honoring the Company's obligations to its annuity contractholders. Talcott Resolution manages approximately 1.4 million annuity contracts with account values of approximately \$150 billion as of December 31, 2013.

In 2013, the Company completed the sale of its U.K. annuity business. The Talcott Resolution business segment includes our Retirement Plans and Individual Life businesses sold in 2013 through reinsurance agreements with the respective buyers. In 2012, the Company completed the sale of its U.S. individual annuities new business capabilities and the sale of the administration and operating assets of its private placement life insurance business. For further discussion of these transactions, see Note 2 - Business Dispositions and Note 20 - Discontinued Operations of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Reserves

The Hartford establishes and carries as liabilities reserves for its insurance products to estimate for the following:

- a liability for unpaid losses, including those that have been incurred but not yet reported, as well as estimates of all expenses associated with processing and settling these claims;
- a liability equal to the balance that accrues to the benefit of the life insurance policyholder as of the consolidated financial statement date, otherwise known as the account value;
- a liability for future policy benefits, representing the present value of future benefits to be paid to or on behalf of policyholders less the present value of future net premiums;
- fair value reserves for living benefits embedded derivative guarantees; and
- death and living benefit reserves which are computed based on a percentage of revenues less actual claim costs.

Further discussion of The Hartford's property and casualty insurance product reserves, including asbestos and environmental claims reserves, may be found in Part II, Item 7, MD&A — Critical Accounting Estimates — Property and Casualty Insurance Product Reserves, Net of Reinsurance. Additional discussion may be found in the Company's accounting policies for insurance product reserves within Note 1 - Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Reinsurance

The Hartford cedes insurance to affiliated and unaffiliated insurers for both its property and casualty and life insurance products. Such arrangements do not relieve The Hartford of its primary liability to policyholders. Failure of reinsurers to honor their obligations could result in losses to The Hartford.

For property and casualty insurance products, reinsurance arrangements are intended to provide greater diversification of business and limit The Hartford's maximum net loss arising from large risks or catastrophes. A major portion of The Hartford's property and casualty insurance product reinsurance is effected under general reinsurance contracts known as treaties, or, in some instances, is negotiated on an individual risk basis, known as facultative reinsurance. The Hartford also has in-force excess of loss contracts with reinsurers that protect it against a specified part or all of a layer of losses over stipulated amounts.

For life insurance products, The Hartford is involved in both the cession and assumption of insurance with other insurance and reinsurance companies. The Company entered into two reinsurance transactions to cede risks upon completion of the sales of its Retirement Plans and Individual Life businesses in January 2013. For further discussion of these transactions, see Note 2 - Business Dispositions of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. In addition, the Company has reinsured to third parties a portion of the risk associated with U.S. variable annuities and the associated guaranteed minimum death benefit ("GMDB") and guaranteed minimum withdrawal benefit ("GMWB") riders, and of the risks associated with variable annuity contract and rider benefits issued by Hartford Life Insurance KK ("HLIKK"), an indirect wholly owned subsidiary.

For further discussion of reinsurance, see Part II, Item 7, MD&A — Enterprise Risk Management. Additional discussion of reinsurance may also be found in Note 1 - Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Investment Operations

The majority of the Company's investment portfolios are managed by Hartford Investment Management Company ("HIMCO"). HIMCO manages the portfolios to maximize economic value, while attempting to generate the income necessary to support the Company's various product obligations, within internally established objectives, guidelines and risk tolerances. The portfolio objectives and guidelines are developed based upon the asset/liability profile, including duration, convexity and other characteristics within specified risk tolerances. The risk tolerances considered include, for example, asset sector, credit issuer allocation limits, maximum portfolio limits for below investment grade holdings and foreign currency exposure limits. The Company attempts to minimize adverse impacts to the portfolio and the Company's results of operations from changes in economic conditions through asset diversification, asset allocation limits, asset/liability duration matching and through the use of derivatives. For further discussion of HIMCO's portfolio management approach, see Part II, Item 7, MD&A — Enterprise Risk Management.

In addition to managing the general account assets of the Company, HIMCO is also a SEC registered investment adviser for third party institutional clients, a sub-advisor for certain mutual funds and serves as the sponsor and collateral manager for capital markets transactions. HIMCO specializes in investment management that incorporates proprietary research and active portfolio management within a disciplined risk framework that seeks to provide value added returns versus peers and benchmarks. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the fair value of HIMCO's total assets under management was approximately \$112.6 billion and \$144.1 billion, respectively, of which \$6.1 billion and \$7.6 billion, respectively, were held in HIMCO managed third party accounts.

Enterprise Risk Management

The Company has an enterprise risk management function ("ERM") that is charged with providing analysis of the Company's risks on an individual and aggregated basis and with ensuring that the Company's risks remain within its risk appetite and tolerances. ERM plays an integral role at The Hartford by fostering a strong risk management culture and discipline. The mission of ERM is to support the Company in achieving its strategic priorities by:

- Providing a comprehensive view of the risks facing the Company, including risk concentrations and correlations;
- Helping management define the Company's overall capacity and appetite for risk by evaluating the risk return profile of the business relative to the Company's strategic intent and financial underpinning;
- Assisting management in setting specific risk tolerances and limits that are measurable, actionable, and comply with the Company's overall risk philosophy;

Communicating and monitoring the Company's risk exposures relative to set limits and recommending, or implementing as appropriate, mitigating strategies; and
Providing valuable insight to assist leaders in growing the businesses and achieving optimal risk-adjusted returns within established guidelines.

Enterprise Risk Management Structure and Governance

At The Hartford, the Board of Directors (“the Board”) has ultimate responsibility for risk oversight. It exercises its oversight function through its standing committees, each of which has primary risk oversight responsibility with respect to all matters within the scope of its duties as contemplated by its charter. In addition, the Finance, Investment and Risk Management Committee (“FIRMCo”), which is comprised of all members of the Board, has responsibility for the oversight of the investment, financial, and risk management activities of the Company, and oversight of all risk exposures, including those that do not fall within the responsibility of any other standing committee. The Audit Committee is responsible for, among other things, discussing with management policies with respect to risk assessment and risk management.

At the corporate level, the Company's Enterprise Chief Risk Officer (“Chief Risk Officer”) leads ERM. The Chief Risk Officer reports directly to the Company's Chief Executive Officer (“CEO”). The Company has established the Enterprise Risk and Capital Committee (“ERCC”) that includes the Company's CEO, Chief Financial Officer, Chief Investment Officer, Chief Risk Officer, the Presidents and Chief Operating Officers of each business segment, and the General Counsel. The ERCC is responsible for managing the Company's risks and overseeing the enterprise risk management program. The ERCC also manages the capital structure of the enterprise and is responsible for the attribution of capital to the lines of business. The ERCC reports to the Board primarily through FIRMCo and through interactions with the Audit Committee.

The Company also has committees that manage specific risks and recommend risk mitigation strategies to the ERCC. These committees include, but are not limited to, the Company and Division Asset Liability Committees, Catastrophe Risk Committee, Emerging Risk Committees, Model Oversight Committees and Operational Risk Committee.

Risk Management Framework

At the Company, risk is managed at multiple levels. The Hartford utilizes three lines of defense in risk management to integrate its risk management strategy and appetite into all functional areas of the Company, the Board and senior level risk committees. The first line of defense in risk management is generally the responsibility of the lines of business. Senior business leaders are responsible for managing risks specific to their business objectives and business environment. The second line of defense in risk management is generally owned by ERM. ERM has the responsibility to ensure that the Company has insight into its aggregate risk and that risks are managed within the firm's overall risk appetite. Legal and Compliance also commonly perform second line of defense risk management. The third line of defense in risk management is owned by Internal Audit. Internal Audit provides independent assurance services to evaluate the effectiveness of management's controls, informs the risk identification process and provides audit and consultative support to the Company.

The Company's Risk Management Framework consists of five core elements:

1. **Risk Culture and Governance:** The Company has established policies for its major risks and a formal governance structure with leadership oversight and an assignment of accountability and authority. The governance structure starts at the Board and cascades to the ERCC and then to individual risk committees across the Company. In addition, the Company promotes a strong risk management culture and high expectations around ethical behavior.
2. **Risk Identification and Assessment:** Through its ERM organization, the Company has developed processes for the identification, assessment, and, when appropriate, response to internal and external risks to the Company's operations and business objectives. Risk identification and prioritization has been established within each area, including processes around emerging risks.
3. **Risk Appetite, Tolerances, and Limits:** The Company has a formal enterprise risk appetite framework that is approved by the ERCC and reviewed by the Board. The risk appetite framework includes an enterprise risk appetite statement, risk preferences, risk tolerances and an associated limit structure for each of the Company's major risks. These limits, which are encapsulated in formal risk policies, are reviewed by the appropriate governing risk committee.
4. **Risk Management and Controls:** While the Company utilizes the committee structure to elevate risk discussions and decision-making, there are a variety of working groups that provide decisioning and management of risk within determined tolerances and limits.
- 5.

Risk Reporting and Communication: The Company monitors its major risks at the enterprise level through a number of enterprise reports, including but not limited to, a monthly risk dashboard, tracking the return on risk-capital across products, and regular stress testing. ERM communicates the Company's risk exposures to senior and executive management and the Board, and reviews key business performance metrics, risk indicators, audit reports, risk/control self-assessments and risk event data.

Risk Exposures and Quantification

The Company quantifies its enterprise insurance and financial risk exposures using multiple lenses including statutory, economic and, where appropriate, U.S. GAAP. ERM leverages various modeling techniques and metrics to provide a view of the Company's risk exposure in both normal and stressed environments. ERM regularly monitors the Company's risk exposure as compared to defined statutory limits and provides regular reporting to the ERCC. In order to quantify group capital levels the Company uses an Economic Capital Model ("ECM") to quantify the value of risk management across the business lines and to advance its risk-based decision-making and optimization across risk and business. The Company also uses the ECM to inform the attribution of risk capital to each line of business. The Company categorizes its main risks as follows in order to achieve a consistent and disciplined approach to quantifying, evaluating, and managing risk:

Insurance Risk

Operational Risk

Financial Risk

Additionally, the Company manages its business risk, categorized as strategic risk and management risk, at all levels of the organization. Strategic risk is defined as the risk to the defined company objectives from adverse developments in the Company's strategy vis-à-vis changing market conditions and competitor actions. Management risk is defined as the risk to defined company objectives from the ineffective or inefficient execution of the Company's strategic and business decisions. Enterprise strategic and management risks are assessed through strategic, business and operating plan reviews, as well as through management self-assessment processes and benchmarking and is inherently included in the Company's evaluation of insurance, operational, and financial risk.

Insurance Risk

The Company defines insurance risk as its exposure to loss due to property, liability, mortality, morbidity, disability, longevity and other perils and risks covered under its policies, including adverse development on loss reserves supporting its products and geographic accumulations of loss over time due to property or casualty catastrophes.

Operational Risk

The Company defines operational risk as the risk of loss resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people and systems, or from external events.

Financial Risk

Financial risk is broadly defined by the Company to include liquidity, interest rate, equity, foreign exchange, and credit risks, all of which have the potential to materially impact the Company's financial condition. Financial risk also includes exposure to events that may cause correlated movement in the above risk factors.

For further discussion on risk management, see Part II, Item 7, MD&A - Enterprise Risk Management.

Regulation

Insurance companies are subject to comprehensive and detailed regulation and supervision throughout the United States. The extent of such regulation varies, but generally has its source in statutes which delegate regulatory, supervisory and administrative powers to state insurance departments. Such powers relate to, among other things, the standards of solvency that must be met and maintained; the licensing of insurers and their agents; the nature of and limitations on investments; establishing premium rates; claim handling and trade practices; restrictions on the size of risks which may be insured under a single policy; deposits of securities for the benefit of policyholders; approval of policy forms; periodic examinations of the affairs of companies; annual and other reports required to be filed on the financial condition of companies or for other purposes; and minimum rates for accumulation of surrender values; and the adequacy of reserves and other necessary provisions for unearned premiums, unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses and other liabilities, both reported and unreported.

Most states have enacted legislation that regulates insurance holding company systems such as The Hartford. This legislation provides that each insurance company in the system is required to register with the insurance department of its state of domicile and furnish information concerning the operations of companies within the holding company system that may materially affect the operations, management or financial condition of the insurers within the system. All transactions within a holding company system affecting insurers must be fair and equitable. Notice to the

insurance departments is required prior to the consummation of transactions affecting the ownership or control of an insurer and of certain material transactions between an insurer and any entity in its holding company system. In addition, certain of such transactions cannot be consummated without the applicable insurance department's prior approval. In the jurisdictions in which the Company's insurance company subsidiaries are domiciled, the acquisition of more than 10% of The Hartford's outstanding common stock would require the acquiring party to make various regulatory filings.

Certain of the Company's life insurance subsidiaries sold variable life insurance, variable annuity, and some fixed guaranteed products that are "securities" registered with the SEC under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. Some of the products have separate accounts that are registered as investment companies under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the "1940 Act"), and/or are regulated by state law. Separate account investment products are also subject to state insurance regulation. Moreover, each separate account is generally divided into sub-accounts, each of which invests in an underlying mutual fund that is also registered as an investment company under the 1940 Act ("Underlying Funds"). The Company offers these Underlying Funds and retail mutual funds that are registered with and regulated by the SEC.

In addition, other subsidiaries of the Company sold and distributed the Company's variable insurance products, Underlying Funds and retail mutual funds as broker-dealers and are subject to regulation promulgated and enforced by the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority ("FINRA"), the SEC and/or in, some instances, state securities administrators. Other entities operate as investment advisers registered with the SEC under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 and are registered as investment advisers under certain state laws, as applicable. One subsidiary is an investment company registered under the 1940 Act. Because federal and state laws and regulations are primarily intended to protect investors in securities markets, they generally grant regulators broad rulemaking and enforcement authority. Some of these regulations include, among other things, regulations impacting sales methods, trading practices, suitability of investments, use and safekeeping of customers' funds, corporate governance, capital, record keeping, and reporting requirements.

The extent of insurance regulation on business outside the United States varies significantly among the countries in which The Hartford operates. Some countries have minimal regulatory requirements, while others regulate insurers extensively. Foreign insurers in certain countries are faced with greater restrictions than domestic competitors domiciled in that particular jurisdiction. The Hartford's international operations are comprised of insurers licensed in their respective countries.

In addition, as described under "Legislative Developments," we are subject to a number of Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (the "Dodd-Frank Act") provisions. Failure to comply with federal and state laws and regulations may result in censure, fines, the issuance of cease-and-desist orders or suspension, termination or limitation of the activities of our operations and/or our employees. We cannot predict the impact of these actions on our businesses, results of operations or financial condition.

Intellectual Property

We rely on a combination of contractual rights and copyright, trademark, patent and trade secret laws to establish and protect our intellectual property.

We have a trademark portfolio that we consider important in the marketing of our products and services, including, among others, the trademarks of The Hartford name, the Stag Logo and the combination of these two marks. The duration of trademark registrations may be renewed indefinitely subject to country-specific use and registration requirements. We regard our trademarks as extremely valuable assets in marketing our products and services and vigorously seek to protect them against infringement. In addition, we own a number of patents and patent applications relating to on-line quoting, insurance related processing, insurance telematics, proprietary interface platforms, and other matters, some of which may be important to our business operations. Patents are of varying duration depending on filing date, and will typically expire at the end of their natural term.

Employees

The Hartford has approximately 18,800 employees as of December 31, 2013.

Available Information

The Company's Internet address is www.thehartford.com. Our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports are available, without charge, on the investor relations section of our website, <http://ir.thehartford.com>, as soon as reasonably practicable after they are filed electronically with the SEC. Reports filed with the SEC may be viewed at www.sec.gov or obtained at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington D.C. Information regarding the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. References in this report to our website address are provided only as a convenience and do not constitute, and should not be viewed as, an incorporation by

reference of the information contained on, or available through, the website. Therefore, such information should not be considered part of this report.

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

Investing in The Hartford involves risk. In deciding whether to invest in The Hartford, you should carefully consider the following risk factors, any of which could have an adverse effect on the business, financial condition, results of operations, or liquidity of The Hartford and could also impact the trading price of our securities. The Hartford may also be subject to other risks and uncertainties that are not specifically described below, which may have an adverse effect on the business, financial condition, results of operations, or liquidity of The Hartford. This information should be considered carefully together with the other information contained in this report and the other reports and materials filed by The Hartford with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”). The following risk factors have been organized by category for ease of use, however many of the risks may have impacts in more than one category. These categories, therefore, should be viewed as a starting point for understanding the significant risks facing us and not as a limitation on the potential impact of the matters discussed. Risk factors are not necessarily listed in order of importance.

Risks Relating to Economic, Market and Political Conditions

Unfavorable conditions in our operating environment, including general economic and global capital market conditions, and financial and capital markets risks, including changes in interest rates, credit spreads, equity prices, market volatility, foreign exchange rates and real estate market deterioration, may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations, and liquidity.

Despite the rise in U.S. equity markets in 2013, there continues to be uncertainty regarding the timing and strength of an economic recovery, which may adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity in 2014. Weak economic conditions, such as continued high unemployment, low labor force participation, lower family income, higher tax rates, including on small business owners, lower business investment and lower consumer spending have adversely affected or may in the future adversely affect the demand for financial and insurance products, as well as their profitability in some cases. These weak economic conditions are also likely to result in the persistence of a sustained low interest rate environment as well as volatility in other capital market conditions, which will continue to pressure our investment results.

One important exposure to equity risk relates to the potential for lower earnings associated with our operations in Mutual Funds and Talcott Resolution, such as U.S. and Japan variable annuities, where fee income is earned based upon the fair value of the assets under management. Should global equity markets decline from current levels, assets under management and related fee income will be reduced. Certain of our products have guaranteed benefits that increase our potential obligation and statutory capital exposure should equity markets decline. Sustained declines in equity markets may result in the need to utilize significant additional capital to support these products and adversely affect our ability to support our other businesses.

While interest rates in recent periods continue to be near historically low levels, recent increases in market rates have marginally reduced our reinvestment risk. However, further reductions in market rates or a sustained low interest rate environment would pressure our net investment income and could result in lower margins and lower estimated gross profits on certain products. In addition, due to the long-term nature of the liabilities within our Talcott Resolution operations, such as structured settlements and guaranteed benefits on variable annuities, sustained declines in long-term interest rates subjects us to reinvestment risks, increased hedging costs, spread compression and capital volatility. A rise in interest rates, in the absence of other countervailing changes, will reduce the market value of our investment portfolio and, if long-term interest rates were to rise dramatically within a six-to-twelve month time period, certain products within our Talcott Resolution division might be exposed to disintermediation risk.

Disintermediation risk refers to the risk that our policyholders may surrender their contracts in a rising interest rate environment, requiring us to liquidate in an unrealized loss position. An increase in interest rates can also impact our tax planning strategies and in particular our ability to utilize tax benefits to offset certain previously recognized realized capital losses. Assets supporting the liabilities within our property & casualty and group benefits businesses are largely invested in fixed income securities. Changes in interest rates will affect the value of these assets and expose the company to reinvestment risk and disintermediation risk if cash flows differ materially from our projections. Additionally, new and renewal business for these products is priced based on prevailing interest rates. As interest rates decline, pricing targets will increase to offset the lower anticipated investment income earned on

invested premiums. Conversely, as interest rates rise, pricing targets will decrease to reflect higher anticipated investment income. Such changes in pricing may affect our competitiveness in the marketplace, and in turn, written premium and earnings margin achieved.

Our exposure to credit spreads primarily relates to market price and cash flow variability associated with changes in credit spreads. If issuer credit spreads widen significantly and retain historically wide levels over an extended period of time, other-than-temporary impairments and decreases in the market value of our investment portfolio will likely result. In addition, losses may also occur due to the volatility in credit spreads. When credit spreads widen, we incur losses associated with the credit derivatives where the Company assumes exposure. When credit spreads tighten, we incur losses associated with derivatives where the Company has purchased credit protection. If credit spreads tighten significantly, the Company's net investment income associated with new purchases of fixed maturities may be reduced. In addition, a reduction in market liquidity can make it difficult to value certain of our securities when trading becomes less frequent. As such, valuations may include assumptions or estimates that may be more susceptible to significant period-to-period changes, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

Our statutory surplus is also affected by widening credit spreads as a result of the accounting for the assets and liabilities on our fixed market value adjusted ("MVA") annuities. Statutory separate account assets supporting the fixed MVA annuities are recorded at fair value. In determining the statutory reserve for the fixed MVA annuities we are required to use current crediting rates in the U.S. and Japanese LIBOR in Japan. In many capital market scenarios, current crediting rates in the U.S. are highly correlated with market rates implicit in the fair value of statutory separate account assets. As a result, the change in the statutory reserve from period to period will likely substantially offset the change in the fair value of the statutory separate account assets. However, in periods of volatile credit markets, actual credit spreads on investment assets may increase sharply for certain sub-sectors of the overall credit market, resulting in statutory separate account asset market value losses. As actual credit spreads are not fully reflected in current crediting rates in the U.S. or Japanese LIBOR in Japan, the calculation of statutory reserves will not substantially offset the change in fair value of the statutory separate account assets resulting in reductions in statutory surplus. This has resulted in the past and may result in the future in the need to devote significant additional capital to support the fixed MVA product.

Our primary foreign currency exchange risk is related to certain guaranteed benefits associated with the Japan variable annuities. The strengthening of the yen compared with other currencies would substantially increase our exposure to pay yen-denominated obligations. In addition, the weakening of foreign currencies versus the U.S. dollar will unfavorably affect net income from foreign operations, the value of non-U.S. dollar denominated investments, investments in foreign subsidiaries and realized gains or losses from the hedging of yen denominated individual fixed annuity products.

Our real estate market exposure includes investments in commercial mortgage-backed securities, residential mortgage-backed securities, commercial real estate collateralized debt obligations, mortgage and real estate partnerships, and mortgage loans. Deterioration in the real estate market has adversely affected our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity in the past. While the real estate market has shown signs of improvement, deteriorating fundamentals (including increases in property vacancy rates, delinquencies and foreclosures) could cause a decline in market values, which would have a negative impact on sources of refinancing, resulting in reduced market liquidity and higher risk premiums. This could result in reductions in market value and impairments of real estate backed securities, a reduction in net investment income associated with real estate partnerships, and increases in our valuation allowance for mortgage loans.

Significant declines in global equity prices, changes in U.S. interest rates, changes in credit spreads, inflation, the strengthening or weakening of foreign currencies against the U.S. dollar and Euro, or real estate market deterioration, individually or in combination, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or liquidity. Our hedging assets seek to reduce the net economic sensitivity of our potential obligations from guaranteed benefits to equity market, interest rate, and foreign exchange fluctuations. Because of the accounting asymmetries between our economic targets and statutory and GAAP accounting, rising equity markets, rising interest rates, or a strengthening of the U.S. dollar may result in statutory or GAAP losses.

Concentration of our investment portfolio in any particular segment of the economy may have adverse effects on our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

The concentration of our investment portfolios in any particular industry, collateral type, group of related industries or geographic sector could have an adverse effect on our investment portfolios and consequently on our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity. Events or developments that have a negative impact on any particular industry, group of related industries or geographic region may have a greater adverse effect on our investment portfolio to the extent that the portfolio is concentrated rather than diversified.

Risks Relating to Estimates, Assumptions and Valuations

Actual results could materially differ from the analytical models we use to assist our decision making in key areas such as underwriting, capital, hedging, reserving, and catastrophe risks, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

We employ various modeling techniques (e.g., scenarios, predictive, stochastic and/or forecasting) to analyze and estimate exposures, loss trends and other risks associated with our assets and liabilities. We use the modeled outputs and related analyses to assist us in decision-making related to underwriting, pricing, capital allocation, reserving, hedging, reinsurance, and catastrophe risk. Both proprietary and third party models we use incorporate numerous assumptions and forecasts about the future level and variability of interest rates, capital requirements, loss frequency and severity, currency exchange rates, policyholder behavior, equity markets and inflation, among others. The modeled outputs and related analyses are subject to the inherent limitations of any statistical analysis, including the use of historical internal and industry data and assumptions, which may be stale, incomplete or erroneous.

Consequently, actual results may differ materially from our modeled results. The profitability and financial condition of the Company substantially depends on the extent to which our actual experience is consistent with assumptions we use in our models and ultimate model outputs. If, based upon these models or other factors, we misprice our products or our estimates of the risks we are exposed to prove to be materially inaccurate, our business, financial condition, results of operations or liquidity may be adversely affected.

Our valuations of many of our financial instruments include methodologies, estimations and assumptions that are subject to differing interpretations and could result in changes to investment valuations that may materially adversely affect our business, results of operations, financial condition and liquidity.

The following financial instruments are carried at fair value in the Company's consolidated financial statements: fixed maturities, equity securities, freestanding and embedded derivatives, certain hedge fund investments, and separate account assets. The determination of fair values is made at a specific point in time, based on available market information and judgments about financial instruments, including estimates of the timing and amounts of expected future cash flows and the credit standing of the issuer or counterparty. The use of different methodologies and assumptions may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts.

During periods of market disruption, including periods of significantly increasing/decreasing interest rates, rapidly widening/narrowing credit spreads or illiquidity, it may be difficult to value certain of our securities if trading becomes less frequent and/or market data becomes less observable. There may be certain asset classes that were in active markets with significant observable data that become illiquid due to the financial environment. In such cases, securities may require more subjectivity and management judgment in determining their fair values and those fair values may differ materially from the value at which the investments may be ultimately sold. Further, rapidly changing or unprecedented credit and equity market conditions could materially impact the valuation of securities and the period-to-period changes in value could vary significantly. Decreases in value could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition and liquidity.

Evaluation of available-for-sale securities for other-than-temporary impairment involves subjective determinations and could materially impact our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

The evaluation of impairments is a quantitative and qualitative process, which is subject to risks and uncertainties and is intended to determine whether a credit and/or non-credit impairment exists and whether an impairment should be recognized in current period earnings or in other comprehensive income. The risks and uncertainties include changes in general economic conditions, the issuer's financial condition or future recovery prospects, the effects of changes in interest rates or credit spreads and the expected recovery period. For securitized financial assets with contractual cash flows, the Company currently uses its best estimate of cash flows over the life of the security. In addition, estimating future cash flows involves incorporating information received from third-party sources and making internal assumptions and judgments regarding the future performance of the underlying collateral and assessing the probability that an adverse change in future cash flows has occurred. The determination of the amount of other-than-temporary impairments is based upon our quarterly evaluation and assessment of known and inherent risks associated with the respective asset class. Such evaluations and assessments are revised as conditions change and new information becomes available.

Additionally, our management considers a wide range of factors about the security issuer and uses their best judgment in evaluating the cause of the decline in the estimated fair value of the security and in assessing the prospects for recovery. Inherent in management's evaluation of the security are assumptions and estimates about the operations of the issuer and its future earnings potential.

Impairment losses in earnings could materially adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

If assumptions used in estimating future gross profits differ from actual experience, we may be required to accelerate the amortization of DAC and increase reserves for guaranteed minimum death and income benefits, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

The Company deferred acquisition costs associated with the prior sales of its variable annuity products. While deferred acquisition costs related to the Japan block of business were written off in the first quarter of 2013 when the Company executed on its hedging strategy, deferred acquisition costs for the U.S. block are amortized over the expected life of the contracts. The remaining deferred but not yet amortized cost is referred to as the Deferred Acquisition Cost ("DAC") asset. We amortize these costs in proportion to the present value of estimated gross profits ("EGPs"). The Company evaluates the EGPs compared to the DAC asset to determine if an impairment exists. The Company also establishes reserves for GMDB and guaranteed minimum income benefit ("GMIB") using components of EGPs. The projection of EGPs, or components of EGPs, requires the use of certain assumptions, principally related to separate account fund returns, surrender and lapse rates, interest margin (including impairments), mortality, benefit utilization, annuitization and hedging costs. Of these factors, we anticipate that changes in investment returns are most likely to impact the rate of amortization of such costs. However, other factors such as those the Company might employ to reduce risk, such as the cost of hedging or other risk mitigating techniques, as well as the effect of increased surrenders, could also significantly reduce estimates of future gross profits. Estimating future gross profits is a complex process requiring considerable judgment and the forecasting of events well into the future. If our assumptions regarding policyholder behavior, including lapse rates, benefit utilization, surrenders, and annuitization, hedging costs or costs to employ other risk mitigating techniques prove to be inaccurate or if significant or sustained equity market declines occur, we could be required to accelerate the amortization of DAC related to variable annuity contracts, and increase reserves for GMDB and GMIB, which would result in a charge to net income. Such adjustments could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

If our businesses do not perform well, we may be required to establish a valuation allowance against the deferred income tax asset or to recognize an impairment of our goodwill, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

Deferred income tax represents the tax effect of the differences between the book and tax basis of assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets are assessed periodically by management to determine if they are realizable. Factors in management's determination include the performance of the business including the ability to generate capital gains, to offset previously recognized capital losses, from a variety of sources and tax planning strategies. If based on available information, it is more likely than not that we are unable to recognize a full tax benefit on realized capital losses, then a valuation allowance will be established with a corresponding charge to net income (loss). Charges to increase our valuation allowance could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

Goodwill represents the excess of the amounts we paid to acquire subsidiaries and other businesses over the fair value of their net assets at the date of acquisition. We test goodwill at least annually for impairment. Impairment testing is performed based upon estimates of the fair value of the "reporting unit" to which the goodwill relates. The reporting unit is the operating segment or a business one level below that operating segment if discrete financial information is prepared and regularly reviewed by management at that level. The fair value of the reporting unit is impacted by the performance of the business and could be adversely impacted by any efforts made by the Company to limit risk. If it is determined that the goodwill has been impaired, the Company must write down the goodwill by the amount of the impairment, with a corresponding charge to net income (loss). These write downs could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition.

It is difficult for us to predict our potential exposure for asbestos and environmental claims, and our ultimate liability may exceed our currently recorded reserves, which may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

We continue to receive asbestos and environmental claims. Significant uncertainty limits the ability of insurers and reinsurers to estimate the ultimate reserves necessary for unpaid losses and related expenses for both environmental and particularly asbestos claims. For some asbestos and environmental claims, we believe that the actuarial tools and other techniques we employ to estimate the ultimate cost of claims for more traditional kinds of insurance exposure are less precise in estimating reserves for our asbestos and environmental exposures. Accordingly, the degree of

variability of reserve estimates for these longer-tailed exposures is significantly greater than for other more traditional exposures. It is also not possible to predict changes in the legal and legislative environment and their effect on the future development of asbestos and environmental claims. Because of the significant uncertainties that limit the ability of insurers and reinsurers to estimate the ultimate reserves necessary for unpaid losses and related expenses for both environmental and particularly asbestos claims, the ultimate liabilities may exceed the currently recorded reserves. Increases in reserves would be recognized as an expense during the periods in which these determinations are made, thereby adversely affecting our results of operations for the related periods. Any such additional liability cannot be reasonably estimated now, but could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

Financial Strength, Credit and Counterparty Risks

The amount of statutory capital that we have, and the amount of statutory capital that we must hold to maintain our financial strength and credit ratings and meet other requirements, can vary significantly from time to time and is sensitive to a number of factors outside of our control, including equity market, credit market, interest rate and foreign currency conditions, changes in policyholder behavior, changes in rating agency models, and changes in regulations. We conduct the vast majority of our business through licensed insurance company subsidiaries. Accounting standards and statutory capital and reserve requirements for these entities are prescribed by the applicable insurance regulators and the National Association of Insurance Commissioners (“NAIC”). Insurance regulators have established regulations that provide minimum capitalization requirements based on risk-based capital (“RBC”) formulas for both life and property and casualty companies. The RBC formula for life companies establishes capital requirements relating to insurance, business, asset and interest rate risks, including equity, interest rate and expense recovery risks associated with variable annuities and group annuities that contain death benefits or certain living benefits. The RBC formula for property and casualty companies adjusts statutory surplus levels for certain underwriting, asset, credit and off-balance sheet risks. Our international operations are subject to regulation in the relevant jurisdiction in which they operate, which in many ways is similar to the state regulation outlined above, with similar related restrictions and obligations. In any particular year, statutory surplus amounts and RBC ratios may increase or decrease depending on a variety of factors, including the amount of statutory income or losses generated by our insurance subsidiaries (which itself is sensitive to equity market and credit market conditions), the amount of additional capital our insurance subsidiaries must hold to support business growth, changes in equity market levels, the value of certain fixed-income and equity securities in our investment portfolio, the value of certain derivative instruments, changes in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates, the impact of internal reinsurance arrangements, and changes to the NAIC RBC formulas. Most of these factors are outside of the Company's control. The Company's financial strength and credit ratings are significantly influenced by the statutory surplus amounts and RBC ratios of our insurance company subsidiaries. In addition, rating agencies may implement changes to their internal models that have the effect of increasing the amount of statutory capital we must hold in order to maintain our current ratings. Also, in extreme scenarios of equity market declines and other capital market volatility, the amount of additional statutory reserves that we are required to hold for our variable annuity guarantees increases at a greater than linear rate. This reduces the statutory surplus used in calculating our RBC ratios. When equity markets increase, surplus levels and RBC ratios would generally be expected to increase. However, as a result of a number of factors and market conditions, including the level of hedging costs and other risk transfer activities, reserve requirements for death and living benefit guarantees and increases in RBC requirements, surplus and RBC ratios may not increase when equity markets increase. Due to these factors, projecting statutory capital and the related RBC ratios is complex. If our statutory capital resources are insufficient to maintain a particular rating by one or more rating agencies, we may seek to raise capital through public or private equity or debt financing. If we were not to raise additional capital, either at our discretion or because we were unable to do so, our financial strength and credit ratings might be downgraded by one or more rating agencies.

Downgrades in our financial strength or credit ratings, which may make our products less attractive, could increase our cost of capital and inhibit our ability to refinance our debt, which would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

Financial strength and credit ratings are important in establishing the competitive position of insurance companies. Rating agencies assign ratings based upon several factors. While most of the factors relate to the rated company, some of the factors relate to the views of the rating agency (including its assessment of the strategic importance of the rated company to the insurance group), general economic conditions, and circumstances outside the rated company's control. In addition, rating agencies may employ different models and formulas to assess the financial strength of a rated company, and from time to time rating agencies have, at their discretion, altered these models. Changes to the models, general economic conditions, or circumstances outside our control could impact a rating agency's judgment of its rating and the rating it assigns us. We cannot predict what actions rating agencies may take, or what actions we may take in response to the actions of rating agencies, which may adversely affect us.

Our financial strength ratings, which are intended to measure our ability to meet policyholder obligations, are an important factor affecting public confidence in most of our products and, as a result, our competitiveness. A

downgrade or a potential downgrade in the rating of our financial strength or of one of our principal insurance subsidiaries could affect our competitive position and reduce future sales of our products.

Our credit ratings also affect our cost of capital. A downgrade or a potential downgrade of our credit ratings could make it more difficult or costly to refinance maturing debt obligations, to support business growth at our insurance subsidiaries and to maintain or improve the financial strength ratings of our principal insurance subsidiaries.

Downgrades could begin to trigger potentially material collateral calls on certain of our derivative instruments and counterparty rights to terminate derivative relationships, both of which could limit our ability to purchase additional derivative instruments. These events could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity. For a further discussion of potential impacts of ratings downgrades on derivative instruments, including potential collateral calls, see the "Capital Resources and Liquidity - Derivative Commitments" section of Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Losses due to nonperformance or defaults by others, including issuers of investment securities mortgage loans or reinsurance and derivative instrument counterparties, could have a material adverse effect on the value of our investments, business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

Issuers or borrowers whose securities or loans we hold, customers, trading counterparties, counterparties under swaps and other derivative contracts, reinsurers, clearing agents, exchanges, clearing houses and other financial intermediaries and guarantors may default on their obligations to us due to bankruptcy, insolvency, lack of liquidity, adverse economic conditions, operational failure, fraud, government intervention or other reasons. Such defaults could have a material adverse effect on the value of our investments, business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity. Additionally, the underlying assets supporting our structured securities or loans may deteriorate causing these securities or loans to incur losses.

Our investment portfolio includes securities backed by real estate assets, the value of which may be adversely impacted if conditions in the real estate market significantly deteriorate, including declines in property values and increases in vacancy rates, delinquencies and foreclosures, ultimately resulting in a reduction in expected future cash flows for certain securities.

The Company also has exposure to foreign-based issuers of securities and providers of reinsurance, as well as indirect European exposure resulting from the variable annuity products that it has sold in Japan. These foreign issuers include European issuers as well as certain emerging market issuers. Despite the recent stabilization in the European market, there are still fundamental structural issues that remain and may result in the re-emergence of fiscal and economic issues. In addition, there has been recent volatility within certain emerging market countries spurred by concerns over the U.S. Federal Reserve tapering its monetary stimulus, an economic slowdown in China, and the devaluation of certain currencies. Further details of the European and certain emerging market private and sovereign issuers held within the investment portfolio and indirect variable annuity exposures can be found in Part II, Item 7, MD&A - Enterprise Risk Management - Investment Portfolio Risks and Risk Management. The Company's European based reinsurance arrangements are further described in Part II, Item 7, MD&A - Enterprise Risk Management - Investment Portfolio Risks and Risk Management.

Property value declines and loss rates that exceed our current estimates, as outlined in Part II, Item 7, MD&A - Enterprise Risk Management - Other-Than-Temporary Impairments, or a worsening of global economic conditions could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

To the extent the investment portfolio is not adequately diversified, concentrations of credit risk may exist which could negatively impact the Company if significant adverse events or developments occur in any particular industry, group of related industries or geographic regions. The Company's investment portfolio is not exposed to any credit concentration risk of a single issuer greater than 10% of the Company's stockholders' equity other than U.S. government and U.S. government agencies backed by the full faith and credit of the U.S. government, and the Government of Japan. However, if issuers of securities or loans we hold are acquired, merge or otherwise consolidate with other issuers of securities or loans held by the Company, our investment portfolio's credit concentration risk to issuers could increase above the 10% threshold, for a period of time, until the Company is able to sell securities to get back in compliance with the established investment credit policies. For discussion of the Company's exposure to credit concentration risk of reinsurers, see the risk factor, "We may incur losses due to our reinsurers' unwillingness or inability to meet their obligations under reinsurance contracts and the availability, pricing and adequacy of reinsurance may not be sufficient to protect us against losses."

We may incur losses due to our reinsurers' unwillingness or inability to meet their obligations under reinsurance contracts and the availability, pricing and adequacy of reinsurance may not be sufficient to protect us against losses. As an insurer, we frequently use reinsurance to reduce the effect of losses that may arise from catastrophes, transfer other risks that can cause unfavorable results of operations, or effect the sale of one line of business to an independent company. Under these reinsurance arrangements, other insurers assume a portion of our losses and related expenses; however, we remain liable as the direct insurer on all risks reinsured. Consequently, ceded reinsurance arrangements do not eliminate our obligation to pay claims, and we are subject to our reinsurers' credit risk with respect to our ability to recover amounts due from them. Although we regularly evaluate the financial condition of our reinsurers to minimize our exposure to significant losses from reinsurer insolvencies, our reinsurers may become financially unsound or dispute their contractual obligations. The inability or unwillingness of any reinsurer to meet its financial obligations to us could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. This risk may be magnified by a concentration of reinsurance-related credit risk resulting from the sale of the Company's Individual Life business. Further details of such concentration can be found in Part II, Item 7, MD&A - Reinsurance as a Risk Management Strategy - Life Insurance Product Reinsurance Recoverable.

In addition, market conditions beyond our control determine the availability and cost of the reinsurance we are able to purchase. Reinsurance pricing changes significantly over time, and no assurances can be made that reinsurance will remain continuously available to us to the same extent and on the same terms as are currently available. If we were unable to maintain our current level of reinsurance or purchase new reinsurance protection in amounts that we consider sufficient and at prices that we consider acceptable, we would have to either accept an increase in our net liability exposure, reduce the amount of business we write, or develop to the extent possible other alternatives to reinsurance. Further, due to the inherent uncertainties as to collection and the length of time before reinsurance recoverables will be due, it is possible that future adjustments to the Company's reinsurance recoverables, net of the allowance, could be required, which could have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated results of operations or cash flows in a particular quarterly or annual period.

Our ability to declare and pay dividends is subject to limitations.

The payment of future dividends on our capital stock is subject to the discretion of our board of directors, which considers, among other factors, our operating results, overall financial condition, credit-risk considerations and capital requirements, as well as general business and market conditions.

Moreover, as a holding company that is separate and distinct from our insurance subsidiaries, we have no significant business operations of our own. Therefore, we rely on dividends from our insurance company subsidiaries and other subsidiaries as the principal source of cash flow to meet our obligations. These obligations include payments on our debt securities and the payment of dividends on our capital stock. The Connecticut insurance holding company laws limit the payment of dividends by Connecticut-domiciled insurers and require notice to and approval by the state insurance commissioner for the declaration or payment of dividends above certain levels. The insurance holding company laws of the other jurisdictions in which our insurance subsidiaries are incorporated, or deemed commercially domiciled, including foreign jurisdictions with respect to our international operations, generally contain similar, and in some instances more restrictive, limitations on the payment of dividends. Dividends paid to us by our insurance subsidiaries are further dependent on their cash requirements. For further discussion on dividends from insurance subsidiaries, see Part II, Item 7, MD&A - Capital Resources & Liquidity.

Our rights to participate in any distribution of the assets of any of our subsidiaries, for example, upon their liquidation or reorganization, and the ability of holders of our common stock to benefit indirectly from a distribution, are subject to the prior claims of creditors of the applicable subsidiary, except to the extent that we may be a creditor of that subsidiary. Holders of our capital stock are only entitled to receive such dividends as our board of directors may declare out of funds legally available for such payments. Moreover, our common stockholders are subject to the prior dividend rights of any holders of our preferred stock or depositary shares representing such preferred stock then outstanding. The terms of our outstanding junior subordinated debt securities prohibit us from declaring or paying any dividends or distributions on our capital stock or purchasing, acquiring, or making a liquidation payment on such stock, if we have given notice of our election to defer interest payments but the related deferral period has not yet

commenced or a deferral period is continuing.

20

Insurance and Product-Related Risks

Our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity may be materially adversely affected by unfavorable loss development.

Our success, in part, depends upon our ability to accurately assess the risks associated with the policyholders that we insure. We establish loss reserves to cover our estimated liability for the payment of all unpaid losses and loss expenses incurred with respect to premiums earned on the policies that we write. Loss reserves do not represent an exact calculation of liability. Rather, loss reserves are estimates of what we expect the ultimate settlement and administration of claims will cost, less what has been paid to date. These estimates are based upon actuarial and statistical projections and on our assessment of currently available data, as well as estimates of claims severity and frequency, legal theories of liability and other factors. Loss reserve estimates are refined periodically as experience develops and claims are reported and settled. Establishing an appropriate level of loss reserves is an inherently uncertain process. Because of this uncertainty, it is possible that our reserves at any given time will prove inadequate. Furthermore, since estimates of aggregate loss costs for prior accident years are used in pricing our insurance products, we could later determine that our products were not priced adequately to cover actual losses and related loss expenses in order to generate a profit. To the extent we determine that losses and related loss expenses are emerging unfavorably to our initial expectations, we will be required to increase reserves. Increases in reserves would be recognized as an expense during the period or periods in which these determinations are made, thereby adversely affecting our results of operations for the related period or periods. Depending on the severity and timing of any changes in these estimated losses, such determinations could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

We are particularly vulnerable to losses from catastrophes, both natural and man-made, which could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

Our insurance operations expose us to claims arising out of catastrophes. Catastrophes can be caused by various unpredictable natural events, including, among others, earthquakes, hurricanes, hailstorms, severe winter weather, wind storms, fires, tornadoes, and pandemics. Catastrophes can also be man-made, such as terrorist attacks, cyber-attacks, explosions or infrastructure failures.

The geographic distribution of our business subjects us to catastrophe exposure for events occurring in a number of areas, including, but not limited to, hurricanes in Florida, the Gulf Coast, the Northeast and the Atlantic coast regions of the United States, tornadoes in the Midwest and Southeast, earthquakes in California and the New Madrid region of the United States, and the spread of disease in metropolitan areas. We expect that increases in the values and concentrations of insured property in these areas will continue to increase the severity of catastrophic events in the future. Third-party catastrophe loss models for hurricane loss events have incorporated medium-term forecasts of increased hurricane frequency and severity - reflecting the potential influence of multi-decadal climate patterns within the Atlantic. In addition, changing climate conditions across longer time scales, including the potential risk of broader climate change, may be increasing, or may in the future increase, the severity of certain natural catastrophe losses across various geographic regions. In addition, changing climate conditions, primarily rising global temperatures, may be increasing, or may in the future increase, the frequency and severity of natural catastrophes and increase the potency of viral pathogens and bacterial outbreaks that can cause pandemics or adverse mortality trends. Potential examples of the impact of climate change on catastrophe exposure include, but are not limited to the following: an increase in the frequency or severity of wind and thunderstorm and tornado/hailstorm events due to increased convection in the atmosphere, more frequent brush fires in certain geographies due to prolonged periods of drought, higher incidence of deluge flooding, and the potential for an increase in severity of the largest hurricane events due to higher sea surface temperatures. Additionally, due to such catastrophes, policyholders may be unable to meet their obligations to pay premiums on our insurance policies.

Our liquidity could be constrained by a catastrophe, or multiple catastrophes, which could result in extraordinary losses. In addition, in part because accounting rules do not permit insurers to reserve for such catastrophic events until they occur, claims from catastrophic events could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or liquidity. To the extent that loss experience unfolds or models improve, we will seek to reflect any of these changes in the design and pricing of our products. However, the Company may be exposed to regulatory

or legislative actions that prevent a full accounting of loss expectations in the design or pricing of our products or result in additional risk-shifting to the insurance industry.

Our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity may be adversely affected by the emergence of unexpected and unintended claim and coverage issues.

As industry practices and legal, judicial, social and other environmental conditions change, unexpected and unintended issues related to claims and coverage may emerge. These issues may either extend coverage beyond our underwriting intent or increase the frequency or severity of claims. In some instances, these changes may not become apparent until some time after we have issued insurance contracts that are affected by the changes. As a result, the full extent of liability under our insurance contracts may not be known for many years after a contract is issued, and this liability may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity at the time it becomes known.

As a property and casualty insurer, the premium rates we are able to charge and the profits we are able to obtain are affected by the actions of state insurance departments that regulate our business, the cyclical nature of the business in which we compete and our ability to adequately price the risks we underwrite, which may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

Pricing adequacy depends on a number of factors, including the ability to obtain regulatory approval for rate changes, proper evaluation of underwriting risks, the ability to project future loss cost frequency and severity based on historical loss experience adjusted for known trends, our response to rate actions taken by competitors, and expectations about regulatory and legal developments and expense levels. We seek to price our property and casualty insurance policies such that insurance premiums and future net investment income earned on premiums received will provide for an acceptable profit in excess of underwriting expenses and the cost of paying claims.

State insurance departments that regulate us often propose premium rate changes for the benefit of the consumer at the expense of the insurer and may not allow us to reach targeted levels of profitability. In addition to regulating rates, certain states have enacted laws that require a property and casualty insurer conducting business in that state to participate in assigned risk plans, reinsurance facilities, joint underwriting associations and other residual market plans, or to offer coverage to all consumers and often restrict an insurer's ability to charge the price it might otherwise charge or restrict an insurer's ability to offer or enforce specific policy deductibles. In these markets, we may be compelled to underwrite significant amounts of business at lower than desired rates or accept additional risk not contemplated in our existing rates, participate in the operating losses of residual market plans or pay assessments to fund operating deficits of state-sponsored funds, possibly leading to unacceptable returns on equity. The laws and regulations of many states also limit an insurer's ability to withdraw from one or more lines of insurance in the state, except pursuant to a plan that is approved by the state's insurance department. Additionally, certain states require insurers to participate in guaranty funds for impaired or insolvent insurance companies. These funds periodically assess losses against all insurance companies doing business in the state. Any of these factors could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

Additionally, the property and casualty insurance market is historically cyclical, experiencing periods characterized by relatively high levels of price competition, less restrictive underwriting standards and relatively low premium rates, followed by periods of relatively low levels of competition, more selective underwriting standards and relatively high premium rates. Prices tend to increase for a particular line of business when insurance carriers have incurred significant losses in that line of business in the recent past or when the industry as a whole commits less of its capital to writing exposures in that line of business. Prices tend to decrease when recent loss experience has been favorable or when competition among insurance carriers increases. In all of our property and casualty insurance product lines and states, there is a risk that the premium we charge may ultimately prove to be inadequate as reported losses emerge. In addition, there is a risk that regulatory constraints, price competition or incorrect pricing assumptions could prevent us from achieving targeted returns. Inadequate pricing could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. Our adjustment of our risk management program relating to products we offered with guaranteed benefits to emphasize protection of economic value will likely result in greater statutory and U.S. GAAP volatility in our earnings and potentially material charges to net income (loss).

Some of the in-force business within our Talcott Resolution operations, especially variable annuities, offer guaranteed benefits which, in the event of a decline in equity markets, would not only result in lower earnings, but will also increase our exposure to liability for benefit claims. We are also subject to equity market volatility related to these benefits, including the guaranteed minimum withdrawal GMWB, guaranteed minimum accumulation benefit ("GMAB"), guaranteed minimum death benefit ("GMDB") and GMIB associated with in-force variable annuities. We use reinsurance structures and have modified benefit features to mitigate the exposure associated with GMDB. We also use reinsurance in combination with a modification of benefit features and derivative instruments to attempt to minimize the claim exposure and to reduce the volatility of net income associated with the GMWB liability. However, due to the severe economic conditions experienced in recent years, we adjusted our risk management program to place greater relative emphasis on the protection of economic value. This shift in relative emphasis has resulted in greater statutory and U.S. GAAP earnings volatility and, based upon the types of hedging instruments used, can result in potentially material charges to net income (loss) in periods of rising equity market pricing levels, higher interest rates,

declines in volatility and weakening of the yen against other currencies. While we believe that these actions have improved the efficiency of our risk management related to these benefits, we remain liable for the guaranteed benefits in the event that reinsurers or derivative counterparties are unable or unwilling to pay and, in turn, may need additional capital to support in-force business. We are also subject to the risk that these management procedures prove ineffective or that unanticipated policyholder behavior, combined with adverse market events, produces economic losses beyond the scope of the risk management techniques employed, which individually or collectively may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

The occurrence of one or more terrorist attacks in the geographic areas we serve or the threat of terrorism in general may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity. The occurrence of one or more terrorist attacks in the geographic areas we serve could result in substantially higher claims under our insurance policies than we have anticipated. Private sector catastrophe reinsurance is extremely limited and generally unavailable for terrorism losses caused by attacks with nuclear, biological, chemical or radiological weapons. Reinsurance coverage from the federal government under the Terrorism Risk Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2007 (“TRIPRA”) is also limited. Although TRIPRA provides benefits in the event of certain acts of terrorism, those benefits are subject to a deductible and other limitations. Under TRIPRA, once our losses exceed 20% of our subject commercial property and casualty insurance premium for the preceding calendar year, the federal government will reimburse us for 85% of our losses attributable to certain acts of terrorism which exceed this deductible up to a total industry program cap of \$100 billion. Our estimated deductible under the program is \$1.2 billion for 2014. In addition, because the interpretation of this law is untested, there is substantial uncertainty as to how it will be applied to specific circumstances. Moreover, TRIPRA is due to expire at the end of 2014 unless Congress takes legislative action to reauthorize it. Because many of the insurance policies we write in 2014 have terms that extend beyond the current expiration of TRIPRA, if Congress fails to reauthorize TRIPRA, the Company may be exposed to substantially higher losses from a terrorist attack than it anticipated. The Company may also be required to take actions to reduce its exposure to terrorism risks in the future, which could negatively impact its business. Even if Congress extends TRIPRA beyond 2014, it could make changes that would negatively impact the Company. For example, past reauthorizations have narrowed the insurance lines that are covered under the program. Accordingly, the effects of a terrorist attack in the geographic areas we serve may result in claims and related losses for which we do not have adequate reinsurance. This would likely cause us to increase our reserves, adversely affect our results during the period or periods affected and, could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity. Further, the continued threat of terrorism and the occurrence of terrorist attacks, as well as heightened security measures and military action in response to these threats and attacks or other geopolitical or military crises, may cause significant volatility in global financial markets, disruptions to commerce and reduced economic activity. These consequences could have an adverse effect on the value of the assets in our investment portfolio as well as those in our separate accounts. Terrorist attacks also could disrupt our operations centers in the U.S. or abroad. As a result, it is possible that any, or a combination of all, of these factors may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

Regulatory and Legal Risks

The impact of regulatory initiatives and legislative developments, including the enactment of the Dodd-Frank Act of 2010, could have a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity. Regulatory initiatives and legislative developments may significantly affect our operations and prospects in ways that we cannot predict. U.S. and overseas governmental and regulatory authorities, including the SEC, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the “Federal Reserve”), the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (“FDIC”), the NYSE and the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. (“FINRA”) are considering enhanced or new regulatory requirements intended to prevent future financial crises or otherwise stabilize the institutions under their supervision. Such measures are likely to lead to stricter regulation of financial institutions generally, and heightened prudential requirements for systemically important companies in particular. Such measures could include taxation of financial transactions and restrictions on employee compensation.

The Dodd-Frank Act was enacted on July 21, 2010, mandating changes to the regulation of the financial services industry. Implementation of the Dodd-Frank Act is ongoing and may affect our operations and governance in ways that could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations. The Dodd-Frank Act requires central clearing of, and imposes new margin requirements on, certain derivatives transactions, which increases the costs of our hedging program. Other provisions in the Dodd-Frank Act that may impact us include: the new “Federal Insurance Office” within Treasury; the possible adverse impact on the pricing and liquidity of the securities in which we invest resulting from the proprietary trading and market making limitation of the Volcker Rule; the possible adverse impact on the market for insurance-linked securities, including catastrophe bonds, resulting from the limitations of banking entity involvement in and ownership of certain asset-backed securities transactions; and enhancements to corporate

governance, especially regarding risk management.

23

The Dodd-Frank Act vests the Financial Stability Oversight Council (“FSOC”) with the power to designate “systemically important” institutions, which will be subject to special regulatory supervision and other provisions intended to prevent, or mitigate the impact of, future disruptions in the U.S. financial system. Based on its most current financial data, The Hartford is below the quantitative thresholds used by the FSOC to determine which nonbank companies merit consideration. However, the FSOC has indicated it will review on a quarterly basis whether nonbank financial institutions meet the metrics for further review. If we were to be designated as a systemically important institution, we could be subject to heightened regulation under the Federal Reserve, which could impact requirements regarding our capital, liquidity and leverage as well as our business and investment conduct. In addition, we could be subject to assessments to pay for the orderly liquidation of other systemically important financial institutions that have become insolvent. As a result of these requirements, we could incur substantial costs and suffer other negative consequences, all of which may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

We may experience unfavorable judicial or legislative developments involving claim litigation that could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

The Hartford is involved in claims litigation arising in the ordinary course of business, both as a liability insurer defending or providing indemnity for third-party claims brought against insureds and as an insurer defending coverage claims brought against it. The Hartford accounts for such activity through the establishment of unpaid loss and loss adjustment expense reserves. The Company is also involved in legal actions that do not arise in the ordinary course of business, some of which assert claims for substantial amounts. Pervasive or significant changes in the judicial environment relating to matters such as trends in the size of jury awards, developments in the law relating to the liability of insurers or tort defendants, and rulings concerning the availability or amount of certain types of damages could cause our ultimate liabilities to change from our current expectations. Changes in federal or state tort litigation laws or other applicable law could have a similar effect. It is not possible to predict changes in the judicial and legislative environment and their impact on the future development of the adequacy of our loss reserves, particularly reserves for longer-tailed lines of business, including asbestos and environmental reserves, and how those changes might adversely affect our ability to price our products appropriately. Our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity could also be adversely affected if judicial or legislative developments cause our ultimate liabilities to increase from current expectations.

Potential changes in domestic and foreign regulation may increase our business costs and required capital levels, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity. We are subject to extensive U.S. and non-U.S. laws and regulations that are complex, subject to change and often conflicting in their approach or intended outcomes. Compliance with these laws and regulations is costly and can affect our strategy, as well as the demand for and profitability of the products we offer.

State insurance laws regulate most aspects of our U.S. insurance businesses, and our insurance subsidiaries are regulated by the insurance departments of the states in which they are domiciled, licensed or authorized to conduct business. These regulatory regimes are generally designed to protect the interests of policyholders rather than insurers, their shareholders and other investors. U.S. state laws grant insurance regulatory authorities broad administrative powers with respect to, among other things, licensing and authorization for lines of business, statutory capital and reserve requirements, limitations on the types and amounts of certain investments, underwriting limitations, transactions with affiliates, dividend limitations, changes in control, premium rates and a variety of other financial and non-financial components of an insurer's business.

In addition, future regulatory initiatives could be adopted at the federal or state level that could impact the profitability of our businesses. For example, the NAIC and state insurance regulators are continually reexamining existing laws and regulations, specifically focusing on modifications to statutory accounting principles, interpretations of existing laws and the development of new laws and regulations. The NAIC has undertaken a Solvency Modernization Initiative focused on updating the U.S. insurance solvency regulation framework, including capital requirements, governance and risk management, group supervision, accounting and financial reporting and reinsurance. Any proposed or future legislation or NAIC initiatives, if adopted, may be more restrictive on our ability to conduct business than current regulatory requirements or may result in higher costs or increased statutory capital and reserve

requirements.

Further, because these laws and regulations are complex and sometimes inexact, there is also a risk that our business may not fully comply with a particular regulator's or enforcement authority's interpretation of a legal, accounting, or reserving issue or that such regulator's or enforcement authority's interpretation may change over time to our detriment, or expose us to different or additional regulatory risks. The application of these regulations and guidelines by insurers involves interpretations and judgments that may not be consistent with the opinion of state insurance departments. We cannot provide assurance that such differences of opinion will not result in regulatory, tax or other challenges to the actions we have taken to date. The result of those potential challenges could require us to increase levels of statutory capital and reserves or incur higher operating and/or tax costs.

In addition, our international operations are subject to regulation in the relevant jurisdictions in which they operate (primarily the Japan Financial Services Agency), which in many ways is similar to the state regulation outlined above, with similar related restrictions and obligations. Our asset management businesses are also subject to extensive regulation in the various jurisdictions where they operate.

These laws and regulations are primarily intended to protect investors in the securities markets or investment advisory clients and generally grant supervisory authorities broad administrative powers. Compliance with these laws and regulations is costly, time consuming and personnel intensive, and may have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity. See the risk factor, “The impact of regulatory initiatives, including the enactment of The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010, could have a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.”

Changes in federal or state tax laws could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

Changes in federal or state tax laws could have a material adverse effect on our profitability and financial condition, and could result in our incurring materially higher corporate taxes. Higher tax rates may cause small businesses to hire fewer workers and decrease investment in their businesses, including purchasing vehicles, property and equipment, which could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity. Conversely, if income tax rates decline it could adversely affect the Company's ability to realize the benefits of its deferred tax assets.

In addition, the Company's tax return reflects certain items, including but not limited to, tax-exempt bond interest, dividends received deductions, tax credits (such as foreign tax credits), and insurance reserve deductions. There is an increasing risk that, in the context of deficit reduction or overall tax reform, federal and/or state tax legislation could modify or eliminate these items, impacting the Company, its investments, investment strategies, and/or its policyholders. Although the specific form of any such legislation is uncertain, changes to the taxation of municipal bond interest could materially and adversely impact the value of those bonds, limit our investment choices and depress portfolio yield. Elimination of the dividends received deduction or changes to the taxation of reserving methodologies for P&C companies could increase the Company's actual tax rate, thereby reducing earnings.

Moreover, many of the products that the Company previously sold benefit from one or more forms of tax-favored status under current federal and state income tax regimes. For example, the Company previously sold annuity contracts that allowed policyholders to defer the recognition of taxable income earned within the contract. Because the Company no longer sells these products, changes in the future taxation of life insurance and/or annuity contracts will not adversely impact future sales. If, however, the treatment of earnings accrued inside an annuity contract was changed prospectively, and the tax-favored status of existing contracts was grandfathered, holders of existing contracts would be less likely to surrender, which would make running off our existing annuity business more difficult. Regulatory requirements could delay, deter or prevent a takeover attempt that shareholders might consider in their best interests.

Before a person can acquire control of a U.S. insurance company, prior written approval must be obtained from the insurance commissioner of the state where the domestic insurer is domiciled. Prior to granting approval of an application to acquire control of a domestic insurer, the state insurance commissioner will consider such factors as the financial strength of the applicant, the acquirer's plans for the future operations of the domestic insurer, and any such additional information as the insurance commissioner may deem necessary or appropriate for the protection of policyholders or in the public interest. Generally, state statutes provide that control over a domestic insurer is presumed to exist if any person, directly or indirectly, owns, controls, holds with the power to vote, or holds proxies representing 10 percent or more of the voting securities of the domestic insurer or its parent company. Because a person acquiring 10 percent or more of our Common Stock would indirectly control the same percentage of the stock of our U.S. insurance subsidiaries, the insurance change of control laws of various U.S. jurisdictions would likely apply to such a transaction. Other laws or required approvals pertaining to one or more of our existing subsidiaries, or a future subsidiary, may contain similar or additional restrictions on the acquisition of control of the Company. These laws may discourage potential acquisition proposals and may delay, deter, or prevent a change of control, including transactions that our Board of Directors and some or all of our shareholders might consider to be desirable.

Changes in accounting principles and financial reporting requirements could result in material changes to our reported results of operations and financial condition.

U.S. GAAP and related financial reporting requirements are complex, continually evolving and may be subject to varied interpretation by the relevant authoritative bodies. Such varied interpretations could result from differing views

related to specific facts and circumstances. Changes in U.S. GAAP and financial reporting requirements, or in the interpretation of U.S. GAAP or those requirements, could result in material changes to our reported results and financial condition. Moreover, the SEC is currently evaluating International Financial Reporting Standards (“IFRS”) to determine whether IFRS should be incorporated into the financial reporting system for U.S. issuers. Certain of these standards could result in material changes to our reported results of operations.

Other Operational Risks

The success of the realignment of our businesses, our capital management plan, expense reduction initiatives and other actions, which may include acquisitions, divestitures or restructurings, are subject to material challenges, uncertainties and risks which could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

The success of the realignment of our businesses and our capital management plan remain subject to material challenges, uncertainties and risks. We may not achieve all of the benefits we expect to derive from our plan to repurchase \$2 billion of our equity and reduce our debt by \$656 million over the course of 2014 and 2015 and our decision to focus on our Property and Casualty, Group Benefits and Mutual Fund businesses, place our Individual Annuity business into runoff and sell the Individual Life and Retirement Plans businesses. Our capital management plan is subject to execution risks, including, among others, risks related to market fluctuations and investor interest and potential legal constraints that could delay execution at an otherwise optimal time. There can be no assurance that we will in fact complete our capital management plan over the planned time frame or at all. Further, while the Company continues to actively consider alternatives for reducing the size and risk of the variable annuity book, opportunities to do so may be limited and any initiatives pursued, which may include divestitures, may not achieve the anticipated benefits and may negatively impact our statutory capital, net income, core earnings or shareholders' equity. Initiatives to reduce expenses so that our ongoing businesses remain or become cost efficient may not be successful and we may not be able to reduce Corporate and shared services expenses in the manner and on the schedule we currently anticipate. We may take further actions beyond the capital management plan and business realignment, which may include acquisitions, divestitures or restructurings that may involve additional uncertainties and risks that negatively impact our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

Competitive activity may adversely affect our market share and financial results, which could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

The industries in which we operate are highly competitive. Our principal competitors are other property and casualty insurers, group benefits providers and mutual funds. Larger competitors may have lower operating costs and an ability to absorb greater risk while maintaining their financial strength ratings, thereby allowing them to price their products more competitively. These highly competitive pressures could result in increased pricing pressures on a number of our products and services and may harm our ability to maintain or increase our profitability. Because of the highly competitive nature of these industries, there can be no assurance that we will continue to compete effectively with our industry rivals, or that competitive pressure will not have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

We may experience difficulty in marketing, distributing and providing investment advisory services in relation to our products through current and future distribution channels and advisory firms.

We distribute our insurance products and mutual funds through a variety of distribution channels, including brokers, independent agents, broker-dealers, banks, affinity partners, our own internal sales force and other third-party organizations. In some areas of our business, we generate a significant portion of our business through or in connection with individual third-party arrangements. For example, we market our Consumer Markets products in part through an exclusive licensing arrangement with AARP that continues through January 2023. Our ability to distribute products through affinity partners may be adversely impacted by membership levels and the pace of membership growth. We periodically negotiate provisions and renewals of these relationships, and there can be no assurance that such terms will remain acceptable to us or such third parties. An interruption in our continuing relationship with certain of these third parties, including potentially as a result of a strategic transaction or other Company initiatives, could materially affect our ability to market our products and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

If we are unable to maintain the availability of our systems and safeguard the security of our data due to the occurrence of disasters or a cyber or other information security incident, our ability to conduct business may be compromised, we may incur substantial costs and suffer other negative consequences, all of which may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

We use computer systems to process, store, retrieve, evaluate and utilize customer and company data and information. Our computer, information technology and telecommunications systems, in turn, interface with and rely upon third-party systems or maintenance. Our business is highly dependent on our ability, and the ability of certain third parties, to access our systems to perform necessary business functions, including, without limitation, conducting our financial reporting and analysis, providing insurance quotes, processing premium payments, making changes to existing policies, filing and paying claims, administering variable annuity products and mutual funds, providing customer support and managing our investment portfolios and hedging programs.

Systems failures or outages could compromise our ability to perform our business functions in a timely manner, which could harm our ability to conduct business and hurt our relationships with our business partners and customers. In the event of a disaster such as a natural catastrophe, a pandemic, an industrial accident, a blackout, a terrorist attack or war, systems upon which we rely may be inaccessible to our employees, customers or business partners for an extended period of time. Even if our employees and business partners are able to report to work, they may be unable to perform their duties for an extended period of time if our data or systems used to conduct our business are disabled or destroyed.

Moreover, our computer systems have been, and will likely continue to be, subject to computer viruses or other malicious codes, unauthorized access, cyber-attacks or other computer related penetrations. While, to date, The Hartford is not aware of having experienced a material breach of cybersecurity, administrative and technical controls as well as other preventive actions we take to reduce the risk of cyber incidents and protect our information technology may be insufficient to prevent physical and electronic break-ins, denial of service, cyber-attacks or other security breaches to our computer systems or those of third parties with whom we do business. Such an event could compromise our confidential information as well as that of our clients and third parties with whom we interact, impede or interrupt our business operations and may result in other negative consequences, including remediation costs, loss of revenue, additional regulatory scrutiny and litigation and reputational damage.

In addition, we routinely transmit, receive and store personal, confidential and proprietary information by email and other electronic means. Although we attempt to keep such information confidential, we may be unable to utilize such capabilities in all events, especially with clients, vendors, service providers, counterparties and other third parties who may not have or use appropriate controls to protect confidential information.

Furthermore, certain of our businesses are subject to compliance with regulations enacted by U.S. federal and state governments, the European Union, Japan or other jurisdictions or enacted by various regulatory organizations or exchanges relating to the privacy of the information of clients, employees or others. A misuse or mishandling of confidential or proprietary information being sent to or received from an employee or third party could result in legal liability, regulatory action and reputational harm.

Third parties to whom we outsource certain of our functions are also subject to the risks outlined above, any one of which may result in our incurring substantial costs and other negative consequences, including a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

While we maintain cyber liability insurance that provides both third party liability and first party insurance coverages, our insurance may not be sufficient to protect against all loss.

Our framework for managing operational risks may not be effective in mitigating risk and loss to us that could adversely affect our businesses.

Our business performance is highly dependent on our ability to manage operational risks that arise from a large number of day-to-day business activities, including insurance underwriting, claims processing, servicing, investment, financial and tax reporting, compliance with regulatory requirements and other activities, many of which are very complex and for some of which we rely on third parties. We seek to monitor and control our exposure to risks arising out of these activities through a risk control framework encompassing a variety of reporting systems, internal controls, management review processes and other mechanisms. We cannot be completely confident that these processes and procedures will effectively control all known risks or effectively identify unforeseen risks, or that our employees and third-party agents will effectively implement them. Management of operational risks can fail for a number of reasons, including design failure, systems failure, failures to perform, cyber security attacks, human error, or unlawful activities on the part of employees or third parties. In the event that our controls are not effective or not properly implemented, we could suffer financial or other loss, disruption of our businesses, regulatory sanctions or damage to our reputation. Losses resulting from these failures can vary significantly in size, scope and scale and may have material adverse effects on our financial condition or results of operations.

If we experience difficulties arising from outsourcing and similar third-party relationships, our ability to conduct business may be compromised, which may have an adverse effect on our business and results of operations. We outsource certain business and administrative functions and rely on third-party vendors to provide certain services on our behalf. As we continue to focus on reducing the expense necessary to support our operations, we have become increasingly committed to outsourcing strategies for certain technology and business functions. We may also seek to reduce coordination costs and take advantage of economies of scale by transitioning multiple functions and services to a small number of third-party providers. We periodically negotiate provisions and renewals of these relationships, and there can be no assurance that such terms will remain acceptable to us or such third parties. If our continuing relationship with certain third-party providers, particularly those on which we rely for multiple functions or services, is interrupted, or if such third-party providers experience disruptions or do not perform as anticipated, or we experience problems with a transition, we may experience operational difficulties, an inability to meet obligations (including, but not limited to, policyholder obligations), increased costs and a loss of business that may have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations. For other risks associated with our outsourcing of certain functions, see the risk factor, “If we are unable to maintain the availability of our systems and safeguard the security of our data due to the occurrence of disasters or a cyber or other information security incident, our ability to conduct business may be compromised, we may incur substantial costs and suffer other negative consequences, all of which may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.” We may not be able to protect our intellectual property and may be subject to infringement claims. We rely on a combination of contractual rights and copyright, trademark, patent and trade secret laws to establish and protect our intellectual property. Although we use a broad range of measures to protect our intellectual property rights, third parties may infringe or misappropriate our intellectual property. We may have to litigate to enforce and protect our copyrights, trademarks, patents, trade secrets and know-how or to determine their scope, validity or enforceability, which represents a diversion of resources that may be significant in amount and may not prove successful. The loss of intellectual property protection or the inability to secure or enforce the protection of our intellectual property assets could have a material adverse effect on our business and our ability to compete. We also may be subject to costly litigation in the event that another party alleges our operations or activities infringe upon their intellectual property rights. Third parties may have, or may eventually be issued, patents that could be infringed by our products, systems, methods, processes or services. Any party that holds such a patent could make a claim of infringement against us. We may be subject to patent claims from certain individuals and companies who have acquired patent portfolios for the sole purpose of asserting such claims against other companies. We may also be subject to claims by third parties for breach of copyright, trademark, trade secret or license usage rights. Any such claims and any resulting litigation could result in significant liability for damages. If we were found to have infringed a third-party patent or other intellectual property rights, we could incur substantial liability, and in some circumstances could be enjoined from providing certain products or services to our customers or utilizing and benefiting from certain methods, processes, systems, copyrights, trademarks, trade secrets or licenses, or alternatively could be required to enter into costly licensing arrangements with third parties, all of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Item 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

Item 2. PROPERTIES

As of December 31, 2013, The Hartford owned building space of approximately 2.8 million square feet, of which approximately 2.5 million square feet comprised its Hartford, Connecticut location and other properties within the greater Hartford, Connecticut area. In addition, as of December 31, 2013, The Hartford leased approximately 2.2 million square feet, throughout the United States of America, and approximately 56 thousand square feet, in other countries. All of the properties owned or leased are used by one or more of all six reporting segments, depending on the location. For more information on reporting segments, see Part I, Item 1, Business — Reporting Segments. The Company believes its properties and facilities are suitable and adequate for current operations.

Item 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Litigation

The Hartford is involved in claims litigation arising in the ordinary course of business, both as a liability insurer defending or providing indemnity for third-party claims brought against insureds and as an insurer defending coverage claims brought against it. The Hartford accounts for such activity through the establishment of unpaid loss and loss adjustment expense reserves. Subject to the uncertainties discussed below under the caption "Asbestos and Environmental Claims," management expects that the ultimate liability, if any, with respect to such ordinary-course claims litigation, after consideration of provisions made for potential losses and costs of defense, will not be material to the consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows of The Hartford.

The Hartford is also involved in other kinds of legal actions, some of which assert claims for substantial amounts. These actions include, among others, and in addition to the matters described below, putative state and federal class actions seeking certification of a state or national class. Such putative class actions have alleged, for example, underpayment of claims or improper underwriting practices in connection with various kinds of insurance policies, such as personal and commercial automobile, property, life and inland marine; improper sales practices in connection with the sale of life insurance and other investment products; and improper fee arrangements in connection with investment products. The Hartford also is involved in individual actions in which punitive damages are sought, such as claims alleging bad faith in the handling of insurance claims. Like many other insurers, The Hartford also has been joined in actions by asbestos plaintiffs asserting, among other things, that insurers had a duty to protect the public from the dangers of asbestos and that insurers committed unfair trade practices by asserting defenses on behalf of their policyholders in the underlying asbestos cases. Management expects that the ultimate liability, if any, with respect to such lawsuits, after consideration of provisions made for estimated losses, will not be material to the consolidated financial condition of The Hartford. Nonetheless, given the large or indeterminate amounts sought in certain of these actions, and the inherent unpredictability of litigation, the outcome in certain matters could, from time to time, have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations or cash flows in particular quarterly or annual periods. Apart from the inherent difficulty of predicting litigation outcomes, the Mutual Funds Litigation identified below purports to seek substantial damages for unsubstantiated conduct spanning a multi-year period based on novel applications of complex legal theories. The alleged damages are not quantified or factually supported in the complaint, and, in any event, the Company's experience shows that demands for damages often bear little relation to a reasonable estimate of potential loss. The matter is in the earliest stages of litigation, with no substantive legal decisions by the court defining the scope of the claims or the potentially available damages; fact discovery is also in its early stages. Accordingly, management cannot reasonably estimate the possible loss or range of loss, if any, or predict the timing of the eventual resolution of this matter.

Mutual Funds Litigation - In February 2011, a derivative action was brought on behalf of six Hartford retail mutual funds in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey, alleging that Hartford Investment Financial Services, LLC ("HIFSCO"), an indirect subsidiary of the Company, received excessive advisory and distribution fees in violation of its statutory fiduciary duty under Section 36(b) of the Investment Company Act of 1940. HIFSCO moved to dismiss and, in September 2011, the motion was granted in part and denied in part, with leave to amend the complaint. In November 2011, plaintiffs filed an amended complaint on behalf of The Hartford Global Health Fund, The Hartford Conservative Allocation Fund, The Hartford Growth Opportunities Fund, The Hartford Inflation Plus Fund, The Hartford Advisors Fund, and The Hartford Capital Appreciation Fund. Plaintiffs seek to rescind the investment management agreements and distribution plans between HIFSCO and these funds and to recover the total fees charged thereunder or, in the alternative, to recover any improper compensation HIFSCO received, in addition to lost earnings. HIFSCO filed a partial motion to dismiss the amended complaint and, in December 2012, the court dismissed without prejudice the claims regarding distribution fees and denied the motion with respect to the advisory fees claims. In February 2014, the plaintiffs expressed their intent to file a new complaint that would, among other things, add as new plaintiffs The Hartford Floating Rate Fund and The Hartford Small Company Fund and name as a defendant Hartford Funds Management Company, LLC ("HFMC"), which assumed the role as advisor to the funds as of January 2013. HFMC and HIFSCO dispute the allegations and intend to defend vigorously.

Asbestos and Environmental Claims - As discussed in Part II, Item 7, MD&A - Critical Accounting Estimates - Property and Casualty Insurance Product Reserves, Net of Reinsurance - Reserving for Asbestos and Environmental Claims within Property & Casualty Other Operations, The Hartford continues to receive asbestos and environmental claims that involve significant uncertainty regarding policy coverage issues. Regarding these claims, The Hartford continually reviews its overall reserve levels and reinsurance coverages, as well as the methodologies it uses to estimate its exposures. Because of the significant uncertainties that limit the ability of insurers and reinsurers to estimate the ultimate reserves necessary for unpaid losses and related expenses, particularly those related to asbestos, the ultimate liabilities may exceed the currently recorded reserves. Any such additional liability cannot be reasonably estimated now but could be material to The Hartford's consolidated operating results and liquidity.

Item 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

PART II

Item 5. MARKET FOR THE HARTFORD'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

The Hartford's common stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") under the trading symbol "HIG". The following table presents the high and low closing prices for the common stock of The Hartford on the NYSE for the periods indicated, and the quarterly dividends declared per share.

| | 1 st Qtr. | 2 nd Qtr. | 3 rd Qtr. | 4 th Qtr. |
|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 2013 | | | | |
| Common Stock Price | | | | |
| High | \$26.46 | \$31.43 | \$32.30 | \$36.62 |
| Low | \$23.05 | \$24.82 | \$29.60 | \$30.68 |
| Dividends Declared | \$0.10 | \$0.10 | \$0.15 | \$0.15 |
| 2012 | | | | |
| Common Stock Price | | | | |
| High | \$22.02 | \$21.95 | \$20.34 | \$22.88 |
| Low | \$16.37 | \$16.10 | \$15.93 | \$19.41 |
| Dividends Declared | \$0.10 | \$0.10 | \$0.10 | \$0.10 |

On February 27, 2014, The Hartford's Board of Directors declared a quarterly dividend of \$0.15 per common share payable on April 1, 2014 to common shareholders of record as of March 10, 2014.

As of February 24, 2014, the Company had approximately 239,200 shareholders. The closing price of The Hartford's common stock on the NYSE on February 24, 2014 was \$34.64.

The Company's Chief Executive Officer has certified to the NYSE that he is not aware of any violation by the Company of NYSE corporate governance listing standards, as required by Section 303A.12(a) of the NYSE's Listed Company Manual.

There are also various legal and regulatory limitations governing the extent to which The Hartford's insurance subsidiaries may extend credit, pay dividends or otherwise provide funds to The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc. as discussed in Part II, Item 7, MD&A — Capital Resources and Liquidity — Liquidity Requirements and Sources of Capital.

See Part III, Item 12, Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters, for information related to securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans.

Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer

The following table summarizes the Company's repurchases of its common stock for the three months ended December 31, 2013:

| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs | Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs [1] (in millions) |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|---|
| October 1, 2013 – October 31, 2013 | 2,331,663 | \$32.77 | 2,331,663 | \$766 |
| November 1, 2013 – November 30, 2013 | 1,787,466 | \$34.69 | 1,787,466 | \$704 |
| December 1, 2013 – December 31, 2013 | 2,597,635 | \$34.44 | 2,435,940 | \$617 |
| Total | 6,716,764 | \$33.93 | 6,555,069 | |

[1] On January 31, 2013 the Company's Board of Directors authorized a \$500 equity repurchase program. On June 26, 2013, the Board of Directors approved a \$750 increase in the Company's authorized equity repurchase program. In January 2014, the Board of Directors approved an increase in the Company's authorized equity repurchase program by an amount that, when combined with the amount remaining under the existing authorization, provides the

Company with the ability to repurchase \$2 billion in equity during the period commencing on January 1, 2014 and ending on December 31, 2015. The Company's repurchase authorization, permits purchases of common stock, as well as warrants or other derivative securities. Repurchases may be made in the open market, through derivative, accelerated share repurchase and other privately negotiated transactions, and through plans designed to comply with Rule 10b5-1(c) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. The timing of any future repurchases will be dependent upon several factors, including the market price of the Company's securities, the Company's capital position, consideration of the effect of any repurchases on the Company's financial strength or credit ratings, and other corporate considerations. The repurchase program may be modified, extended or terminated by the Board of Directors at any time.

Total Return to Shareholders

The following tables present The Hartford's annual percentage return and five-year total return on its common stock including reinvestment of dividends in comparison to the S&P 500 and the S&P Insurance Composite Index.

Annual Return Percentage

| Company/Index | For the years ended | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|---------|---------|----------|---------|---|
| | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | |
| The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc. | 43.91 | % 14.89 | %(37.55 |)% 41.01 | % 64.12 | % |
| S&P 500 Index | 26.46 | % 15.06 | % 2.11 | % 16.00 | % 32.39 | % |
| S&P Insurance Composite Index | 13.90 | % 15.80 | %(8.28 |)% 19.09 | % 46.71 | % |

Cumulative Five-Year Total Return

| Company/Index | Base Period | For the years ended | | | | |
|---|----------------|---------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc. | \$ 100 | 143.91 | 165.34 | 103.26 | 145.61 | 238.98 |
| S&P 500 Index | \$ 100 | 126.46 | 145.51 | 148.59 | 172.37 | 228.19 |
| S&P Insurance Composite Index | \$ 100 | 113.90 | 131.89 | 120.97 | 144.07 | 211.36 |

Item 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

(In millions, except for per share data)

The following table sets forth the Company's selected consolidated financial data at the dates and for the periods indicated below. The selected financial data should be read in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations ("MD&A") presented in Item 7. and the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements and the related Notes beginning on page F-1.

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Income Statement Data | | | | | |
| Total revenues | \$26,236 | \$26,122 | \$21,733 | \$21,770 | \$24,004 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes | 63 | (581) |)200 | 2,272 | (1,515) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations, net of tax | 310 | (100) |)573 | 1,704 | (710) |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | (134) |)62 | 139 | (68) | (59) |
| Net income (loss) | \$176 | \$(38) |)\$712 | \$1,636 | \$(769) |
| Preferred stock dividends and accretion of discount | 10 | 42 | 42 | 515 | 127 |
| Net income (loss) available to common shareholders | \$166 | \$(80) |)\$670 | \$1,121 | \$(896) |
| Balance Sheet Data | | | | | |
| Total assets | \$277,884 | \$298,513 | \$302,609 | \$316,789 | \$306,035 |
| Short-term debt | \$438 | \$320 | \$— | \$400 | \$343 |
| Total debt (including capital lease obligations) | \$6,544 | \$7,126 | \$6,216 | \$6,607 | \$5,839 |
| Preferred stock | \$— | \$556 | \$556 | \$556 | \$2,960 |
| Total stockholders' equity | \$18,905 | \$22,447 | \$21,486 | \$18,754 | \$16,184 |
| Net income (loss) available to common shareholders per common share | | | | | |
| Basic | \$0.37 | \$(0.18) |)\$1.51 | \$2.60 | \$(2.59) |
| Diluted | \$0.34 | \$(0.18) |)\$1.40 | \$2.40 | \$(2.59) |
| Cash dividends declared per common share | \$0.50 | \$0.40 | \$0.40 | \$0.20 | \$0.20 |

Item 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION
AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

(Dollar amounts in millions, except for per share data, unless otherwise stated)

The Hartford provides projections and other forward-looking information in the following discussions, which contain many forward-looking statements, particularly relating to the Company's future financial performance. These forward-looking statements are estimates based on information currently available to the Company, are made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and are subject to the cautionary statements set forth on pages 3 and 4 of this Form 10-K and the risk factors set forth under Item 1A and other similar information contained in this Form 10-K and in other filings made from time to time by the Company with the SEC. Actual results are likely to differ, and in the past have differed, materially from those forecast by the Company, depending on the outcome of various factors, including, but not limited to, those set forth in each discussion below and in Item 1A, Risk Factors. The Company undertakes no obligation to publicly update any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future developments or otherwise.

INDEX

| Description | Page |
|---|------------|
| The Hartford's Operations Overview | <u>34</u> |
| Consolidated Results of Operations | <u>35</u> |
| Investment Results | <u>38</u> |
| Critical Accounting Estimates | <u>42</u> |
| Key Performance Measures and Ratios | <u>68</u> |
| <u>Property & Casualty Commercial</u> | <u>72</u> |
| <u>Consumer Markets</u> | <u>75</u> |
| <u>Property & Casualty Other Operations</u> | <u>79</u> |
| Group Benefits | <u>80</u> |
| <u>Mutual Funds</u> | <u>82</u> |
| Talcott Resolution | <u>84</u> |
| <u>Corporate</u> | <u>87</u> |
| <u>Enterprise Risk Management</u> | <u>89</u> |
| <u>Capital Resources and Liquidity</u> | <u>121</u> |
| <u>Impact of New Accounting Standards</u> | <u>132</u> |

Certain reclassifications have been made to prior year financial information presented in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations ("MD&A") to conform to the current year presentation. The Hartford defines increases or decreases greater than or equal to 200% as "NM" or not meaningful.

THE HARTFORD'S OPERATIONS OVERVIEW

The Hartford is a financial holding company for a group of subsidiaries that provide property and casualty and investment products to both individual and business customers in the United States and continues to administer life and annuity products previously sold.

On January 1, 2013, the Company completed the sale of its Retirement Plans business to Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company ("MassMutual") and on January 2, 2013 the Company completed the sale of its Individual Life insurance business to The Prudential Insurance Company of America ("Prudential"), a subsidiary of Prudential Financial, Inc. On December 12, 2013, the Company completed the sale of Hartford Life International Limited ("HLIL"), which comprised the Company's U.K. variable annuity business, to Columbia Insurance Company, a Berkshire Hathaway company. For further discussion of these and other such transactions, see Note 2 - Business Dispositions, Note 7 - Reinsurance and Note 20 - Discontinued Operations of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Company derives its revenues principally from: (a) premiums earned for insurance coverages provided to insureds; (b) fee income, including asset management fees, on separate account and mutual fund assets and mortality and expense fees, as well as cost of insurance charges; (c) net investment income; (d) fees earned for services provided to third parties; and (e) net realized capital gains and losses. Premiums charged for insurance coverages are earned principally on a pro rata basis over the terms of the related policies in-force. Asset management fees and mortality and expense fees are primarily generated from separate account assets. Cost of insurance charges are assessed on the net amount at risk for investment-oriented life insurance products.

The profitability of the Company's property and casualty insurance businesses over time is greatly influenced by the Company's underwriting discipline, which seeks to manage exposure to loss through favorable risk selection and diversification, its management of claims, its use of reinsurance, the size of its in force block, actual mortality and morbidity experience, and its ability to manage its expense ratio which it accomplishes through economies of scale and its management of acquisition costs and other underwriting expenses. Pricing adequacy depends on a number of factors, including the ability to obtain regulatory approval for rate changes, proper evaluation of underwriting risks, the ability to project future loss cost frequency and severity based on historical loss experience adjusted for known trends, the Company's response to rate actions taken by competitors, and expectations about regulatory and legal developments and expense levels. The Company seeks to price its insurance policies such that insurance premiums and future net investment income earned on premiums received will cover underwriting expenses and the ultimate cost of paying claims reported on the policies and provide for a profit margin. For many of its insurance products, the Company is required to obtain approval for its premium rates from state insurance departments.

The financial results in the Company's variable annuity and mutual fund businesses depend largely on the amount of the contract holder or shareholder account value or assets under management on which it earns fees and the level of fees charged. Changes in account value or assets under management are driven by two main factors: net flows, which measure the success of the Company's asset gathering and retention efforts, and the market return of the funds, which is heavily influenced by the return realized in the equity markets. Net flows are comprised of deposits less surrenders, death benefits, policy charges and annuitizations of investment type contracts, such as variable annuity contracts. In the mutual fund business, net flows are known as net sales. Net sales are comprised of new sales less redemptions by mutual fund customers. The Company uses the average daily value of the S&P 500 Index as an indicator for evaluating market returns of the underlying account portfolios in the United States. Relative financial results of variable products are highly correlated to the growth in account values or assets under management since these products generally earn fee income on a daily basis. Equity market movements could also result in benefits for or charges against deferred acquisition costs.

The profitability of fixed annuities and other "spread-based" products depends largely on the Company's ability to earn target spreads between earned investment rates on its general account assets and interest credited to policyholders. In addition, the size and persistency of gross profits from these businesses is an important driver of earnings as it affects the rate of amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs.

The investment return, or yield, on invested assets is an important element of the Company's earnings since insurance products are priced with the assumption that premiums received can be invested for a period of time before benefits,

loss and loss adjustment expenses are paid. Due to the need to maintain sufficient liquidity to satisfy claim obligations, the majority of the Company's invested assets have been held in available-for-sale securities, including, among other asset classes, corporate bonds, municipal bonds, government debt, short-term debt, mortgage-backed securities and asset-backed securities.

The primary investment objective for the Company is to maximize economic value, consistent with acceptable risk parameters, including the management of credit risk and interest rate sensitivity of invested assets, while generating sufficient after-tax income to meet policyholder and corporate obligations. Investment strategies are developed based on a variety of factors including business needs, regulatory requirements and tax considerations.

CONSOLIDATED RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The Consolidated Results of Operations should be read in conjunction with the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements and the related Notes beginning on page F-1.

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | Increase (Decrease) From 2012 to 2013 | Increase (Decrease) From 2011 to 2012 |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|--|
| Earned premiums | \$ 13,226 | \$ 13,631 | \$ 14,088 | \$(405) | \$(457) |
| Fee income | 2,805 | 4,386 | 4,700 | (1,581) | (314) |
| Net investment income (loss): | | | | — | — |
| Securities available-for-sale and other | 3,362 | 4,227 | 4,263 | (865) | (36) |
| Equity securities, trading | 6,061 | 4,364 | (1,345) |)1,697 | 5,709 |
| Total net investment income | 9,423 | 8,591 | 2,918 | 832 | 5,673 |
| Net realized capital gains (losses): | | | | — | — |
| Total other-than-temporary impairment (“OTTI”) losses | (93) |)(389) |)(263) |)296 | (126) |
| OTTI losses recognized in other comprehensive income | 20 | 40 | 89 | (20) | (49) |
| Net OTTI losses recognized in earnings | (73) |)(349) |)(174) |)276 | (175) |
| Net realized capital gains on business dispositions | 1,575 | — | — | 1,575 | — |
| Net realized capital gains (losses), excluding net OTTI losses recognized in earnings | (995) |)(395) |)(52) |)(600) | (343) |
| Total net realized capital gains (losses) | 507 | (744) |)(226) |)1,251 | (518) |
| Other revenues | 275 | 258 | 253 | 17 | 5 |
| Total revenues | 26,236 | 26,122 | 21,733 | 114 | 4,389 |
| Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses | 10,948 | 13,248 | 14,627 | (2,300) | (1,379) |
| Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses — returns credited on international variable annuities | 6,060 | 4,363 | (1,345) |)1,697 | 5,708 |
| Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of future profits | 2,701 | 1,988 | 2,444 | 713 | (456) |
| Insurance operating costs and other expenses | 4,280 | 5,204 | 5,269 | (924) | (65) |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | 213 | 910 | — | (697) | 910 |
| Reinsurance loss on disposition, including reduction in goodwill of \$156 and \$342, respectively | 1,574 | 533 | — | 1,041 | 533 |
| Interest expense | 397 | 457 | 508 | (60) | (51) |
| Goodwill impairment | — | — | 30 | — | (30) |
| Total benefits, losses and expenses | 26,173 | 26,703 | 21,533 | (530) | 5,170 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes | 63 | (581) |)200 | 644 | (781) |
| Income tax benefit | (247) |)(481) |)(373) |)234 | (108) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations, net of tax | 310 | (100) |)573 | 410 | (673) |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | (134) |)62 | 139 | (196) | (77) |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 176 | \$(38) |)\$712 | \$214 | \$(750) |

Year ended December 31, 2013 compared to the year ended December 31, 2012

The increase in net income from 2012 to 2013 was primarily due to the following items:

Current accident year losses and loss adjustment expenses before catastrophes of \$6.3 billion, before tax, in 2013, decreased from \$6.6 billion, before tax, in 2012. The decrease was primarily driven by lower loss and loss adjustment expenses in Property and Casualty Commercial workers' compensation business due to favorable severity and frequency.

Current accident year catastrophe losses of \$312, before tax, in 2013, compared to \$706, before tax, in 2012. Losses in 2013 were primarily due to multiple thunderstorm, hail, and tornado events across various U.S. geographic regions. Losses in 2012 were primarily driven by \$350 related to Storm Sandy and multiple thunderstorm, hail, and tornado events across various U.S. geographic regions.

A loss on extinguishment of debt of \$213, before tax, in 2013, compared to \$910, before tax in 2012. The loss in 2013 related to the repurchase of approximately \$800 of senior notes at a premium to the face amount of the then outstanding debt. The resulting loss on extinguishment of debt consists of the repurchase premium, the write-off of the unamortized discount, and debt issuance and other costs related to the repurchase transaction. The loss in 2012 related to the repurchase of all outstanding 10% fixed-to-floating rate junior subordinated debentures due 2068 with a \$1.75 billion aggregate principal amount all held by Allianz. The loss in 2012 consisted of the premium associated with repurchasing the 10% Debentures at an amount greater than the face amount, the write-off of the unamortized discount and debt issuance costs related to the 10% Debentures and other costs related to the repurchase transaction. Reinsurance loss on disposition of \$533, before tax, in 2012 consisting of an impairment of goodwill and a loss accrual for premium deficiency related to the disposition of the Individual Life business, and losses in 2012 from the operations of the Retirement Plans and Individual Life businesses sold in 2013. For further discussion of the sale of these businesses, see Note 2 - Business Dispositions of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Partially offsetting the increase in net income were the following items:

An increase of \$853 in the Unlock charge, before tax, in 2013 compared to an Unlock benefit of \$47, before tax, in 2012. The Unlock charge in 2013 was primarily due to Japan hedge cost assumption changes associated with expanding the Japan variable annuity hedging program in 2013, partially offset by actual separate account returns being above our aggregated estimated returns during the period. The Unlock benefit in 2012 was driven primarily by actual separate account returns above our aggregated estimated return, partially offset by assumption changes in connection with the annual policyholder behavior assumption study. For further discussion of Unlocks, see MD&A - Critical Accounting Estimates, Estimated Gross Profits Used in the Valuation and Amortization of Assets and Liabilities Associated with Variable Annuity and Other Universal Life-Type Contracts and MD&A - Talcott Resolution.

Net realized capital gains (losses), excluding the realized capital gain on business dispositions and OTTI, increased to a loss of \$995, before tax, from a loss in the prior year of \$395, before tax, primarily due to losses on the international variable annuity hedge program in 2013. The losses in 2013 primarily resulted from the weakening of the yen and rising equity markets. Certain hedge assets generated realized capital losses on rising equity markets and weakening of the yen and are used to hedge liabilities that are not carried at fair value. For further discussion of investment results, see MD&A - Key Performance Measures and Ratios, Net Realized Capital Gains (Losses). For information on the related sensitivities of the variable annuity hedging program, see Enterprise Risk Management, Variable Product Guarantee Risks and Risk Management.

Net asbestos reserve strengthening of \$130, before tax in 2013, compared to \$48, before tax in 2012, resulting from the Company's annual review of its asbestos liabilities. For further information, see MD&A - Critical Accounting Estimates, Property & Casualty Other Operations Claims with the Property and Casualty Insurance Product Reserves, Net of Reinsurance.

The Company reported a loss from discontinued operations primarily due to the realized capital loss of \$102, after-tax, on the sale of Hartford Life International, Ltd. ("HLIL") in 2013.

Differences between the Company's effective income tax rate and the U.S. statutory rate of 35% are due primarily to tax-exempt interest earned on invested assets and the dividends received deduction ("DRD"). The \$234 decrease in the income tax benefit in 2013 compared with the higher income tax benefit in 2012 was primarily due to the \$644 increase in income (loss) from continuing operations, before tax. The income tax benefit of \$247 and \$481 in 2013 and 2012, respectively, includes separate account DRD benefits of \$139 and \$145, respectively.

Year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011

The decrease in net income from 2011 to 2012 was primarily due to the following items:

Net realized capital losses increased primarily due to losses in 2012 on the international variable annuity hedge program, compared to gains in 2011. The losses resulted from rising equity markets and weakening of the yen. Certain hedge assets generated realized capital losses on rising equity markets and weakening of the yen and are used to hedge liabilities that are not carried at fair value. In addition, 2012 includes intent-to-sell impairments relating to the sales of the Retirement Plans and Individual Life businesses.

A loss on extinguishment of debt of \$910, before tax in 2012 related to the repurchase of all outstanding 10% fixed-to-floating rate junior subordinated debentures due 2068 with a \$1.75 billion aggregate principal amount all held by Allianz. The loss consisted of the premium associated with repurchasing the 10% Debentures at an amount greater than the face amount, the write-off of the unamortized discount and debt issuance costs related to the 10% Debentures and other costs related to the repurchase transaction.

Reinsurance loss on disposition of \$533, before tax, in 2012 consisting of a goodwill impairment charge and loss accrual for premium deficiency related to the disposition of the Individual Life business.

Income (loss) from discontinued operations, after-tax, decreased due to a realized gain on the sale of Specialty Risk Services of \$150, after-tax, which was partially offset by a loss of \$74, after-tax, from the disposition of Federal Trust Corporation.

Partially offsetting these decreases in net income were the following items:

An Unlock benefit of \$47, before tax, in 2012 compared to an Unlock charge of \$734, before tax, in 2011. The benefit in 2012 was driven primarily by actual separate account returns above our aggregated estimated return, partially offset by policyholder assumption changes which reduced expected future gross profits including additional costs associated with the U.S. variable annuity macro hedge program. The Unlock charge in 2011 was primarily due to the impact of changes to the international variable annuity hedge program.

Differences between the Company's effective income tax rate and the U.S. statutory rate of 35% are due primarily to tax-exempt interest earned on invested assets and the dividends received deduction ("DRD"). The \$108 increase in the income tax benefit in 2012 compared with the income tax benefit in 2011 was primarily due to the \$781 decrease in income (loss) from continuing operations, before tax. The income tax benefit of \$481 and \$373 in 2012 and 2011, respectively, includes separate account DRD benefits of \$140 and \$201, respectively. The income tax benefit in 2011 includes a release of \$86, or 100%, of the valuation allowance associated with realized capital losses, as well as a tax benefit of \$52 as a result of a resolution of a tax matter with the IRS for the computation of DRD for years 1998, 2000 and 2001.

Current accident year catastrophe losses of \$706, before tax, in 2012 compared to \$745, before tax, in 2011. The losses in 2012 primarily include Storm Sandy, as well as multiple thunderstorm, hail, and tornado events across various U.S. geographic regions in both 2012 and 2011.

The Company recorded reserve releases of \$61, before tax, in 2012, compared to reserve strengthening of \$48, before tax, in 2011, in its property and casualty insurance prior accident years development, excluding asbestos and environmental reserves. For additional information regarding prior accident years development, see MD&A - Critical Accounting Estimates.

Net asbestos reserve strengthening of \$48, before tax, in 2012, compared to \$294, before tax, in 2011 resulting from the Company's annual review of its asbestos liabilities.

A \$112, before tax charge in 2011 related to the write-off of capitalized costs associated with a policy administration software project that was discontinued.

The following table presents net income (loss) for each reporting segment, as well as the Corporate category. For a discussion of the Company's operating results by segment, see the segment sections of MD&A.

| Net income (loss) by segment | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | Increase (Decrease) From 2012 to 2013 | Increase (Decrease) From 2011 to 2012 |
|--------------------------------------|-------|---------|--------|--|--|
| Property & Casualty Commercial | \$870 | \$547 | \$526 | \$323 | \$21 |
| Consumer Markets | 229 | 166 | 7 | 63 | 159 |
| Property & Casualty Other Operations | (2) |)57 | (117 |)(59 |)174 |
| Group Benefits | 192 | 129 | 92 | 63 | 37 |
| Mutual Funds | 76 | 71 | 98 | 5 | (27 |
| Talcott Resolution | (634 |)1 | 540 | (635 |)(539 |
| Corporate | (555 |)(1,009 |)(434 |)(454 | (575 |
| Net income (loss) | \$176 | \$(38 |)\$712 | \$214 | \$(750 |

Investment Results

Composition of Invested Assets

| | December 31, 2013 | | December 31, 2012 | | |
|---|-------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|---|
| | Amount | Percent | Amount | Percent | |
| Fixed maturities, available-for-sale ("AFS"), at fair value | \$62,357 | 79.2 | % \$85,922 | 81.6 | % |
| Fixed maturities, at fair value using the fair value option ("FVO") | 844 | 1.1 | % 1,087 | 1.0 | % |
| Equity securities, AFS, at fair value | 868 | 1.1 | % 890 | 0.8 | % |
| Mortgage loans | 5,598 | 7.1 | % 6,711 | 6.4 | % |
| Policy loans, at outstanding balance | 1,420 | 1.8 | % 1,997 | 1.9 | % |
| Limited partnerships and other alternative investments | 3,040 | 3.9 | % 3,015 | 2.9 | % |
| Other investments [1] | 521 | 0.7 | % 1,114 | 1.1 | % |
| Short-term investments | 4,008 | 5.1 | % 4,581 | 4.3 | % |
| Total investments excluding equity securities, trading | 78,656 | 100 | % 105,317 | 100 | % |
| Equity securities, trading, at fair value [2] | 19,745 | | 28,933 | | |
| Total investments | \$98,401 | | \$134,250 | | |

[1] Primarily relates to derivative instruments.

[2] As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, approximately \$19.7 billion and \$27.1 billion, respectively, of equity securities, trading, support Japan variable annuities. Those equity securities, trading, were invested in mutual funds, which, in turn, invested in the following asset classes as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively: Japan equity 22% and 20%, Japan fixed income (primarily government securities) 15% and 15%, global equity 22% and 21%, global government bonds 40% and 43%, and cash and other 1% and 1%.

Total investments decreased since December 31, 2012, principally due to the sale of the Retirement Plans and Individual Life businesses resulting in the transfer of fixed maturities, AFS, fixed maturities, FVO, equity securities, AFS, mortgage loans, and policy loans with a total carrying value of \$17.3 billion in January 2013. In addition, the sale of the U.K. variable annuity business, HLIL, in the fourth quarter of 2013 resulted in a decline in the carrying value of fixed maturities, AFS and equity securities, trading of \$469 and \$1.7 billion, respectively. Refer to Note 2 - Business Dispositions of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of these transactions. The remaining decrease in total invested assets is primarily due to a decrease in equity securities, trading, fixed maturities, AFS, other investments, and short-term investments. The decline in equity securities, trading was primarily due to variable annuity policy surrenders, the depreciation of the Japanese Yen as compared to the U.S. dollar, partially offset by equity market gains. The decrease in fixed maturities, AFS was due to a decline in valuations due to an increase in interest rates, a reduction in assets levels in Talcott Resolution associated with dollar rolls and repurchase agreements, and capital management actions, including debt repayments and share repurchases. The decline in other investments was largely due to a decline in derivative market value primarily resulting from an increase in interest rates and the depreciation of the Japanese yen in comparison to the euro and U.S dollar. The decrease in short-term investments is primarily attributable to a decline in derivative collateral held due to decreases in derivative market values.

Net Investment Income (Loss)

| | For the years ended December 31, | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|---|
| | 2013 | | 2012 | | 2011 | | |
| (Before tax) | Amount | Yield [1] | Amount | Yield [1] | Amount | Yield [1] | |
| Fixed maturities [2] | \$2,623 | 4.1 | %\$3,352 | 4.2 | %\$3,382 | 4.2 | % |
| Equity securities, AFS | 30 | 3.6 | %37 | 4.3 | %36 | 3.8 | % |
| Mortgage loans | 262 | 4.9 | %337 | 5.2 | %281 | 5.4 | % |
| Policy loans | 83 | 5.9 | %119 | 6.0 | %131 | 6.1 | % |
| Limited partnerships and other alternative investments | 287 | 9.5 | %196 | 7.1 | %243 | 12.0 | % |
| Other investments [3] | 200 | | 297 | | 305 | | |
| Investment expense | (123) | | (111) | | (115) | | |
| Total securities AFS and other | \$3,362 | 4.3 | %\$4,227 | 4.3 | %\$4,263 | 4.4 | % |
| Equity securities, trading | 6,061 | | 4,364 | | (1,345) | | |
| Total net investment income (loss) | \$9,423 | | \$8,591 | | \$2,918 | | |
| Total securities, AFS and other excluding limited partnerships and other alternative investments | 3,075 | 4.1 | %4,031 | 4.3 | %4,020 | 4.2 | % |

Yields calculated using annualized net investment income (excluding income related to equity securities, trading) divided by the monthly average invested assets at cost, or adjusted carrying value, as applicable, excluding equity securities, trading, repurchase agreement and dollar roll collateral, and consolidated variable interest entity [1] non-controlling interests. Yield calculations for the year ended December 31, 2013 exclude assets transferred due to the sale of the Retirement Plans and Individual Life businesses. Yield calculations for all periods exclude income and assets associated with the disposal of the HLIL business. Yields by asset type exclude investment expenses.

[2] Includes net investment income on short-term investments.

[3] Primarily includes income from derivatives that qualify for hedge accounting and hedge fixed maturities.

Year ended December 31, 2013 compared to the year ended December 31, 2012

Total net investment income increased primarily due to an increase in income from equity securities, trading, which is a result of broad global equity market appreciation, partially offset by the depreciation of the Japanese Yen as compared to the U.S. dollar; as well as an increase in income from limited partnerships, due to real estate and private equity funds selling underlying investments and continued valuation improvements. This increase was partially offset by a decrease in income due to lower asset levels as a result of the sale of the Retirement Plans and Individual Life businesses in January 2013, and a decline in yield. Refer to Note 2 - Business Dispositions of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of these transactions.

The annualized net investment income yield, excluding limited partnerships and other alternative investments, has declined to 4.1% in 2013 versus 4.3% in 2012. The decline was primarily attributable to the divestiture of Individual Life and Retirement Plans businesses. The invested assets transferred associated with the divested Individual Life and Retirement Plans businesses had a carrying value of \$17.3 billion and an average book yield, including the impact of associated derivatives, of approximately 5.0%. The average reinvestment rate for the year ended December 31, 2013 was approximately 3.8%, excluding treasury securities and mortgage backed securities related to dollar roll transactions, which is slightly higher than the average yield of sales and maturities for the same period. For further discussion on dollar roll transactions see Note 6 - Investments and Derivative Investments of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Based upon current reinvestment rates, we expect the annualized net investment income yield, excluding limited partnerships and other alternative investments, for 2014, to remain relatively consistent with the 2013 net investment income yield. The estimated impact on net investment income is subject to change as the composition of the portfolio changes through normal portfolio management and trading activities and changes in market conditions.

Year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011

Total net investment income increased largely due to equity securities, trading, resulting from market appreciation of the underlying investment funds supporting the Japanese variable annuity products. Total net investment income,

excluding equity securities, trading, declined primarily due to lower returns on limited partnerships and other alternative investments. This decline was partially offset by the Company reallocating assets from fixed maturities to higher yielding assets, such as mortgage loans and limited partnerships and other alternative investments.

Net Realized Capital Gains (Losses)

| | For the years ended December 31, | | |
|---|----------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| (Before tax) | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Gross gains on sales | \$2,387 | \$821 | \$687 |
| Gross losses on sales | (692) |) (440) |) (384) |
| Net OTTI losses recognized in earnings [1] | (73) |) (349) |) (174) |
| Valuation allowances on mortgage loans | (1) |) 14 | 24 |
| Japanese fixed annuity contract hedges, net [2] | 6 | (36) |) 3 |
| Periodic net coupon settlements on credit derivatives/Japan | (7) |) (10) |) (10) |
| Results of variable annuity hedge program | | | |
| U.S. GMWB derivatives, net | 262 | 519 | (397) |
| U.S. macro hedge program | (234) |) (340) |) (216) |
| Total U.S. program | 28 | 179 | (613) |
| International program | (1,586) |) (1,467) |) 691 |
| Total results of variable annuity hedge program | (1,558) |) (1,288) |) 78 |
| Other, net [3] | 445 | 544 | (450) |
| Net realized capital gains (losses) | \$507 | \$(744) | \$(226) |

[1] Includes \$177 of intent-to-sell impairments for the year ended December 31, 2012, relating to the sales of the Retirement Plans and Individual Life businesses in 2013.

[2] Relates to the Japanese fixed annuity products (adjustment of product liability for changes in spot currency exchange rates, related derivative hedging instruments excluding periodic net coupon settlements, and the change in value related to Japan government FVO securities).

[3] Primarily consists of transactional foreign currency re-valuation associated with the internal reinsurance of the Japan variable annuity business, which is primarily offset in AOCI, and gains and losses on non-qualifying derivatives.

Details on the Company's net realized capital gains and losses are as follows:

Gross gains and losses on sales

Gross gains on sales for the year ended December 31, 2013 were predominately from the sale of the Retirement Plans and Individual Life businesses resulting in a gain of \$1.5 billion. The remaining gains on sales were primarily due to the sales of corporate securities and tax-exempt municipals. Gross losses on sales were primarily the result of the sales of U.S. Treasuries and mortgage backed securities. The sales were predominantly due to management of duration and liquidity as well as progress towards sector allocation objectives.

Gross gains and losses on sales for the year ended December 31, 2012 were predominately from investment grade corporate securities, municipal bonds, mortgage backed securities and U.S. Treasuries. These sales were the result of tactical portfolio management as well as to maintain duration targets.

Gross gains and losses on sales for the year ended December 31, 2011 were predominately from investment grade corporate securities, U.S. Treasuries, municipal bonds and commercial real estate related securities. These sales were the result of reinvestment into spread product well-positioned for modest economic growth, as well as the purposeful reduction of certain exposures.

Net OTTI losses

See Other-Than-Temporary Impairments within the Investment Portfolio Risks and Risk Management section of the MD&A.

Valuation allowances on mortgage loans

See Valuation Allowances on Mortgage Loans within the Investment Portfolio Risks and Risk Management section of the MD&A.

Variable annuity hedge program

For the year ended December 31, 2013 the gain on U.S. GMWB related derivatives, net, was primarily related to gains of \$203 from revaluing the liability for living benefits largely driven by favorable policyholder behavior related to increased surrenders and gains of \$38 due to liability assumption updates for lapses and withdrawal rates. The loss on the U.S. macro hedge program for the year ended December 31, 2013 was primarily driven by losses of \$114 due to an improvement in domestic equity markets, losses of \$56 related to an increase in interest rates, and losses of \$31 related to a decrease in equity market volatility. The loss on the international program for the year ended December 31, 2013 was primarily driven by losses of \$1.1 billion related to an improvement in global equity markets and losses of \$608 largely related to depreciation of the Japanese yen in relation to the euro.

For the year ended December 31, 2012 the gain on U.S. GMWB related derivatives, net, was primarily driven by liability model assumption updates of \$274 largely related to a reduction in the reset assumptions to better align with actual experience, gains of \$106 related to outperformance of underlying actively managed funds compared to their respective indices, and gains of \$83 driven by a decline in equity market volatility. The loss on the U.S. macro hedge program for the year ended December 31, 2012 was primarily driven by losses of \$167 related to the passage of time, losses of \$118 due to an improvement in domestic equity markets, and losses of \$60 related to a decrease in equity market volatility. The loss on the international program for the year ended December 31, 2012 was primarily driven by losses of \$795 related to an improvement in global equity markets and losses of \$672 related to depreciation of the Japanese yen in relation to the euro and the U.S. dollar.

For the year ended December 31, 2011 the loss on U.S. GMWB related derivatives, net, was primarily due to a decrease in long-term interest rates that resulted in a loss of \$283 and higher interest rate volatility that resulted in a loss of \$84. The loss on the U.S. macro hedge program for the year ended December 31, 2011 was primarily driven by the passage of time and a decrease in equity market volatility since the purchase date of certain options during the fourth quarter. The gain associated with the international program for the year ended December 31, 2011 was primarily driven by the Japanese yen strengthening, a decline in global equity markets, and a decrease in interest rates. Other, net

Other, net gain for the year ended December 31, 2013 was partially related to gains of \$239 on transactional foreign currency re-valuation primarily associated with the internal reinsurance of the Japan variable annuity business, which is offset in AOCI, due to depreciation of the Japanese yen versus the U.S. dollar. Gains of \$71 on interest derivatives were primarily associated with fixed rate bonds sold as part of the Individual Life and Retirement Plans business dispositions. For further information on the business dispositions, see Note 2 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. Additional gains included \$69 on interest rate derivatives primarily due to an increase in U.S. interest rates and \$42 of gains on credit derivatives due to credit spreads tightening.

Other, net gain for the year ended December 31, 2012 was primarily related to gains of \$313 on credit derivatives due to credit spreads tightening, gains of \$251 on transactional foreign currency re-valuation primarily associated with the internal reinsurance of the Japan variable annuity business, which is offset in AOCI, and gains of \$96 on interest derivatives largely driven by the de-designation of the cash flow hedges associated with bonds included in the sale of Individual Life and Retirement Plans businesses. For further information on the business dispositions, see Note 2 of Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements. These gains were partially offset by losses of \$111 related to Japan 3Win foreign currency swaps primarily driven by the strengthening of the currency basis swap spread between the U.S. dollar and Japanese yen and the decline in U.S. interest rates.

Other, net loss for the year ended December 31, 2011 was primarily due to losses of \$148 on credit derivatives driven by credit spreads widening and losses of \$129 on transactional foreign currency re-valuation primarily associated with the internal reinsurance of the Japan variable annuity business, which is offset in AOCI. Additionally losses of \$94 for the year ended December 31, 2011 resulted from equity futures and options used to hedge equity market risk in the investment portfolio due to an increase in equity market during the hedged period. Also included were losses of \$69 on Japan 3Win foreign currency swaps primarily driven by a decrease in long-term U.S. interest rates.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“U.S. GAAP”), requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ, and in the past have differed, from those estimates.

The Company has identified the following estimates as critical in that they involve a higher degree of judgment and are subject to a significant degree of variability:

- property and casualty insurance product reserves, net of reinsurance;
- estimated gross profits used in the valuation and amortization of assets and liabilities associated with variable annuity and other universal life-type contracts;
- evaluation of other-than-temporary impairments on available-for-sale securities and valuation allowances on mortgage loans;
- living benefits required to be fair valued (in other policyholder funds and benefits payable);
- goodwill impairment;
- valuation of investments and derivative instruments;
- valuation allowance on deferred tax assets; and
- contingencies relating to corporate litigation and regulatory matters.

Certain of these estimates are particularly sensitive to market conditions, and deterioration and/or volatility in the worldwide debt or equity markets could have a material impact on the Consolidated Financial Statements. In developing these estimates management makes subjective and complex judgments that are inherently uncertain and subject to material change as facts and circumstances develop. Although variability is inherent in these estimates, management believes the amounts provided are appropriate based upon the facts available upon compilation of the financial statements.

Property and Casualty Insurance Product Reserves, Net of Reinsurance

The Hartford establishes reserves on its property and casualty insurance products to provide for the estimated costs of paying claims under insurance policies written by the Company. These reserves include estimates for both claims that have been reported and those that have not yet been reported, and include estimates of all expenses associated with processing and settling these claims. Incurred but not reported (“IBNR”) reserves represent the difference between the estimated ultimate cost of all claims and the actual reported loss and loss adjustment expenses (“reported losses”). Reported losses represent cumulative loss and loss adjustment expenses paid plus case reserves for outstanding reported claims. Company actuaries evaluate the total reserves (IBNR and case reserves) on an accident year basis. An accident year is the calendar year in which a loss is incurred, or, in the case of claims-made policies, the calendar year in which a loss is reported.

Reserve estimates can change over time because of unexpected changes in the external environment. Potential external factors include (1) changes in the inflation rate for goods and services related to covered damages such as medical care, hospital care, auto parts, wages and home repair; (2) changes in the general economic environment that could cause unanticipated changes in the claim frequency per unit insured; (3) changes in the litigation environment as evidenced by changes in claimant attorney representation in the claims negotiation and settlement process; (4) changes in the judicial environment regarding the interpretation of policy provisions relating to the determination of coverage and/or the amount of damages awarded for certain types of damages; (5) changes in the social environment regarding the general attitude of juries in the determination of liability and damages; (6) changes in the legislative environment regarding the definition of damages; and (7) new types of injuries caused by new types of injurious exposure: past examples include lead paint, construction defects and tainted Chinese-made drywall.

Reserve estimates can also change over time because of changes in internal Company operations. Potential internal factors include (1) periodic changes in claims handling procedures; (2) growth in new lines of business where exposure and loss development patterns are not well established; or (3) changes in the quality of risk selection in the underwriting process.

In the case of assumed reinsurance, all of the above risks apply. In addition, changes in ceding company case reserving and reporting patterns can create additional factors that need to be considered in estimating the reserves. Due to the inherent complexity of the assumptions used, final claim settlements may vary significantly from the present estimates, particularly when those settlements may not occur until well into the future.

Through both facultative and treaty reinsurance agreements, the Company cedes a share of the risks it has underwritten to other insurance companies. The Company's net reserves for loss and loss adjustment expenses include anticipated recovery from reinsurers on unpaid claims. The estimated amount of the anticipated recovery, or reinsurance recoverable, is net of an allowance for uncollectible reinsurance.

Reinsurance recoverables include an estimate of the amount of gross loss and loss adjustment expense reserves that may be ceded under the terms of the reinsurance agreements, including IBNR unpaid losses. The Company calculates its ceded reinsurance projection based on the terms of any applicable facultative and treaty reinsurance, often including an estimate by reinsurance agreement of how IBNR losses will ultimately be ceded.

The Company provides an allowance for uncollectible reinsurance, reflecting management's best estimate of reinsurance cessions that may be uncollectible in the future due to reinsurers' unwillingness or inability to pay. The Company analyzes recent developments in commutation activity between reinsurers and cedants, recent trends in arbitration and litigation outcomes in disputes between reinsurers and cedants and the overall credit quality of the Company's reinsurers. Where its contracts permit, the Company secures future claim obligations with various forms of collateral, including irrevocable letters of credit, secured trusts, funds held accounts and group-wide offsets. The allowance for uncollectible reinsurance was \$244 as of December 31, 2013, comprised of \$42 related to Property & Casualty Commercial and \$202 related to Property & Casualty Other Operations.

The Company's estimate of reinsurance recoverables, net of an allowance for uncollectible reinsurance, is subject to similar risks and uncertainties as the estimate of the gross reserve for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses.

The Hartford, like other insurance companies, categorizes and tracks its insurance reserves for its segments by "line of business". Furthermore, The Hartford regularly reviews the appropriateness of reserve levels at the line of business level, taking into consideration the variety of trends that impact the ultimate settlement of claims for the subsets of claims in each particular line of business. In addition, Property & Casualty Other Operations categorizes reserves as asbestos and environmental ("A&E"), whereby the Company reviews these reserve levels by type of event, rather than by line of business. Adjustments to previously established reserves, which may be material, are reflected in the operating results of the period in which the adjustment is determined to be necessary. In the judgment of management, information currently available has been properly considered in the reserves established for losses and loss adjustment expenses.

Loss and loss adjustment expense reserves by line of business as of December 31, 2013, net of reinsurance are as follows:

| | Property & Casualty Commercial | Consumer Markets | Property & Casualty Other Operations | Total Property & Casualty |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|---|------------------------------|
| Reserve Line of Business | | | | |
| Auto liability | \$691 | \$1,372 | \$— | \$2,063 |
| Auto physical damage | 18 | 25 | — | 43 |
| Homeowners' | — | 422 | — | 422 |
| Professional liability | 631 | — | — | 631 |
| Package business | 1,236 | — | — | 1,236 |
| General liability | 2,480 | 31 | — | 2,511 |
| Fidelity and surety | 180 | — | — | 180 |
| Commercial property | 130 | — | — | 130 |
| A&E | 22 | 1 | 1,985 | 2,008 |
| Workers' compensation | 8,463 | — | — | 8,463 |
| Assumed reinsurance | — | — | 265 | 265 |
| All other non-A&E | — | — | 724 | 724 |
| Total reserves-net | 13,851 | 1,851 | 2,974 | 18,676 |
| Reinsurance and other recoverables | 2,442 | 13 | 573 | 3,028 |
| Total reserves-gross | \$16,293 | \$1,864 | \$3,547 | \$21,704 |

Reserving Methodology

(See Reserving for Asbestos and Environmental Claims within Property & Casualty Other Operations for a discussion of how A&E reserves are set)

How reserves are set

Reserves are set by line of business within the various segments. A single line of business may be written in more than one segment. Case reserves are established by a claims handler on each individual claim and are adjusted as new information becomes known during the course of handling the claim. Lines of business for which loss data (e.g., paid losses and case reserves) emerge (i.e., is reported) over a long period of time are referred to as long-tail lines of business. Lines of business for which loss data emerge more quickly are referred to as short-tail lines of business. The Company's shortest-tail lines of business are property and auto physical damage. The longest tail lines of business include workers' compensation, general liability, professional liability and assumed reinsurance. For short-tail lines of business, emergence of paid loss and case reserves is credible and likely indicative of ultimate losses. For long-tail lines of business, emergence of paid losses and case reserves is less credible in the early periods and, accordingly, may not be indicative of ultimate losses.

The Company's reserving actuaries, who are independent of the business units, regularly review reserves for both current and prior accident years using the most current claim data. For most lines of business, these reserve reviews incorporate a variety of actuarial methods and judgments and involve rigorous analysis. These selections incorporate input, as judged by the reserving actuaries to be appropriate, from claims personnel, pricing actuaries and operating management on reported loss cost trends and other factors that could affect the reserve estimates. Most reserves are reviewed fully each quarter, including loss and loss adjustment expense reserves for property, auto physical damage, auto liability, package business, workers' compensation, most general liability, professional liability and fidelity and surety. Other reserves are reviewed semi-annually (twice per year) or annually. These include, but are not limited to, reserves for losses incurred in accident years older than twelve and twenty years, for Consumer Markets and Property & Casualty Commercial, respectively, assumed reinsurance, latent exposures, such as construction defects, and unallocated loss adjustment expense. For reserves that are reviewed semi-annually or annually, management monitors the emergence of paid and reported losses in the intervening quarters to either confirm that the estimate of ultimate losses should not change or, if necessary, perform a reserve review to determine whether the reserve estimate should change.

An expected loss ratio is used in initially recording the reserves for both short-tail and long-tail lines of business. This expected loss ratio is determined through a review of prior accident years' loss ratios and expected changes to earned pricing, loss costs, mix of business, ceded reinsurance and other factors that are expected to impact the loss ratio for the current accident year. For short-tail lines, IBNR for the current accident year is initially recorded as the product of the expected loss ratio for the period, earned premium for the period and the proportion of losses expected to be reported in future calendar periods for the current accident period. For long-tailed lines, IBNR reserves for the current accident year are initially recorded as the product of the expected loss ratio for the period and the earned premium for the period, less reported losses for the period.

In addition to the expected loss ratio, the actuarial techniques or methods used primarily include paid and reported loss development and frequency / severity techniques as well as the Bornhuetter-Ferguson method (a combination of the expected loss ratio and paid development or reported development method). Within any one line of business, the methods that are given more influence vary based primarily on the maturity of the accident year, the mix of business and the particular internal and external influences impacting the claims experience or the methods. The output of the reserve reviews are reserve estimates that are referred to herein as the "actuarial indication".

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, net property and casualty insurance product reserves for losses and loss adjustment expenses reported under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("U.S. GAAP") were approximately equal to net reserves reported on a statutory basis. Under U.S. GAAP, liabilities for unpaid losses for permanently disabled workers' compensation claimants are discounted at rates that are no higher than risk-free interest rates and which generally exceed the statutory discount rates set by regulators, such that workers' compensation reserves for statutory reporting are higher than the net reserves for U.S. GAAP reporting. Largely offsetting the effect of the difference in discounting is that a portion of the U.S. GAAP provision for uncollectible

reinsurance is not recognized under statutory accounting. Most of the Company's property and casualty insurance product reserves are not discounted. However, the Company has discounted liabilities funded through structured settlements and has discounted certain reserves for indemnity payments due to permanently disabled claimants under workers' compensation policies.

Provided below is a general discussion of which methods are preferred by line of business. Because the actuarial estimates are generated at a much finer level of detail than line of business (e.g., by distribution channel, coverage, accident period), this description should not be assumed to apply to each coverage and accident year within a line of business. Also, as circumstances change, the methods that are given more influence will change.

Property and Auto Physical Damage. These lines are fast-developing and paid and reported development techniques are used as these methods use historical data to develop paid and reported loss development patterns, which are then applied to current paid and reported losses by accident period to estimate ultimate losses. The Company relies primarily on reported development techniques although a review of frequency and severity and the initial loss expectation based on the expected loss ratio is used for the most immature accident months. The advantage of frequency / severity techniques is that frequency estimates are generally easier to predict and external information can be used to supplement internal data in making severity estimates.

Personal Auto Liability. For auto liability, and bodily injury in particular, the Company performs a greater number of techniques than it does for property and auto physical damage. In addition, because the paid development technique is affected by changes in claim closure patterns and the reported development method is affected by changes in case reserving practices, the Company uses Berquist-Sherman techniques which adjust these patterns to reflect current settlement rates and case reserving techniques. The Company generally uses the reported development method for older accident years as a higher percentage of ultimate losses are reflected in reported losses than in cumulative paid losses and the frequency/severity and Berquist-Sherman methods for more recent accident years. Recent periods are influenced by changes in case reserve practices and changing disposal rates; the frequency/severity techniques are not affected as much by these changes and the Berquist-Sherman techniques specifically adjust for these changes.

Auto Liability for Commercial Lines and Short-Tailed General Liability. The Company performs a variety of techniques, including the paid and reported development methods and frequency / severity techniques. For older, more mature accident years, the Company finds that reported development techniques are best. For more recent accident years, the Company typically prefers frequency / severity techniques that make separate assumptions about loss activity above and below a selected capping level.

Long-Tailed General Liability, Fidelity and Surety and Large Deductible Workers' Compensation. For these long-tailed lines of business, the Company generally relies on the expected loss ratio and reported development techniques. The Company generally weights these techniques together, relying more heavily on the expected loss ratio method at early ages of development and more on the reported development method as an accident year matures.

Workers' Compensation. Workers' compensation is the Company's single largest reserve line of business so a wide range of methods are reviewed in the reserve analysis. Methods performed include paid and reported development, variations on expected loss ratio methods, and an in-depth analysis on the largest states. Historically, paid development patterns in the Company's workers' compensation business have been stable, so paid techniques are preferred. Although paid techniques may be less predictive of the ultimate liability when a low percentage of ultimate losses are paid as in early periods of development, recent changes in the frequency of workers' compensation claims have caused the Company to place greater reliance on paid methods with continued consideration of the state-by-state analysis and the expected loss ratio approach.

Professional Liability. Reported and paid loss developments patterns for this line tend to be volatile. Therefore, the Company typically relies on frequency and severity techniques.

Assumed Reinsurance and All Other. For these lines, the Company tends to rely on the reported development techniques. In assumed reinsurance, assumptions are influenced by information gained from claim and underwriting audits.

Allocated Loss Adjustment Expenses (ALAE). For some lines of business (e.g., professional liability and assumed reinsurance), ALAE and losses are analyzed together. For most lines of business, however, ALAE is analyzed separately, using paid development techniques and an analysis of the relationship between ALAE and loss payments.

Unallocated Loss Adjustment Expense (ULAE). ULAE is analyzed separately from loss and ALAE. For most lines of business, incurred ULAE costs to be paid in the future are projected based on an expected cost per claim year and the anticipated claim closure pattern and the ratio of paid ULAE to paid loss.

The final step in the reserve review process involves a comprehensive review by senior reserving actuaries who apply their judgment and, in concert with senior management, determine the appropriate level of reserves based on the information that has been accumulated. Numerous factors are considered in this process including, but not limited to, the assessed reliability of key loss trends and assumptions that may be significantly influencing the current actuarial indications, pertinent trends observed over the recent past, the level of volatility within a particular line of business,

and the improvement or deterioration of actuarial indications in the current period as compared to the prior periods. Total recorded net reserves, excluding asbestos and environmental, were 2.6% higher than the actuarial indication of the reserves as of December 31, 2013.

For a discussion of changes to reserve estimates recorded in 2013, see Reserve Roll-forwards and Development included below in this section.

45

Current trends contributing to reserve uncertainty

The Hartford is a multi-line company in the property and casualty insurance business. The Hartford is therefore subject to reserve uncertainty stemming from a number of conditions, including but not limited to those noted above, any of which could be material at any point in time. Certain issues may become more or less important over time as conditions change. As various market conditions develop, management must assess whether those conditions constitute a long-term trend that should result in a reserving action (i.e., increasing or decreasing the reserve).

Within Property & Casualty Commercial and Property & Casualty Other Operations, the Company has exposure to claims asserted for bodily injury as a result of long-term or continuous exposure to harmful products or substances. Examples include, but are not limited to, pharmaceutical products, silica and lead paint. The Company also has exposure to claims from construction defects, where property damage or bodily injury from negligent construction is alleged. In addition, the Company has exposure to claims asserted against religious institutions and other organizations relating to molestation or abuse. Such exposures may involve potentially long latency periods and may implicate coverage in multiple policy periods. These factors make reserves for such claims more uncertain than other bodily injury or property damage claims. With regard to these exposures, the Company is monitoring trends in litigation, the external environment, the similarities to other mass torts and the potential impact on the Company's reserves.

In Consumer Markets, reserving estimates are generally less variable than for the Company's other property and casualty segments because of the coverages having relatively shorter periods of loss emergence. Estimates, however, can still vary due to a number of factors, including interpretations of frequency and severity trends and their impact on recorded reserve levels. Severity trends can be impacted by changes in internal claim handling and case reserving practices in addition to changes in the external environment. These changes in claim practices increase the uncertainty in the interpretation of case reserve data, which increases the uncertainty in recorded reserve levels. In addition, the introduction of new products has led to a different mix of business by type of insured than the Company experienced in the past. Such changes in mix increase the uncertainty of the reserve projections, since historical data and reporting patterns may not be applicable to the new business.

In standard commercial lines, workers' compensation is the Company's single biggest line of business and the line of business with the longest pattern of loss emergence. Medical costs make up more than 50% of workers' compensation payments. As such, reserve estimates for workers' compensation are particularly sensitive to changes in medical inflation, the changing use of medical care procedures and changes in state legislative and regulatory environments. In addition, a changing economic environment can affect the ability of an injured worker to return to work and the length of time a worker receives disability benefits.

In specialty lines, many lines of insurance are "long-tail", including large deductible workers' compensation insurance; as such, reserve estimates for these lines are more difficult to determine than reserve estimates for shorter-tail lines of insurance. Estimating required reserve levels for large deductible workers' compensation insurance is further complicated by the uncertainty of whether losses that are attributable to the deductible amount will be paid by the insured; if such losses are not paid by the insured due to financial difficulties, the Company would be contractually liable. Auto severity trends can be impacted by changes in internal claim handling and case reserving practices in addition to changes in the external environment. These changes in claim practices increase the uncertainty in the interpretation of case reserve data, which increases the uncertainty in recorded reserve levels. Another example of reserve variability relates to reserves for directors' and officers' insurance. There is potential volatility in the required level of reserves due to the continued uncertainty regarding the number and severity of class action suits, including uncertainty regarding the Company's exposure to losses arising from the collapse of the sub-prime mortgage market. Additionally, the Company's exposure to losses under directors' and officers' insurance policies is primarily in excess layers, making estimates of loss more complex. The financial market turmoil that began in 2008 and 2009 increased the number of shareholder class action lawsuits against our insureds or their directors and officers and this trend could continue for some period of time.

Impact of changes in key assumptions on reserve volatility

As stated above, the Company's practice is to estimate reserves using a variety of methods, assumptions and data elements. Within its reserve estimation process for reserves other than asbestos and environmental, the Company does not consistently use statistical loss distributions or confidence levels around its reserve estimate and, as a result, does not disclose reserve ranges.

The reserve estimation process includes assumptions about a number of factors in the internal and external environment. Across most lines of business, the most important assumptions are future loss development factors applied to paid or reported losses to date. The trend in loss costs is also a key assumption, particularly in the most recent accident years, where loss development factors are less credible.

The following discussion includes disclosure of possible variation from current estimates of loss reserves due to a change in certain key indicators of potential losses. Each of the impacts described below is estimated individually, without consideration for any correlation among key indicators or among lines of business. Therefore, it would be inappropriate to take each of the amounts described below and add them together in an attempt to estimate volatility for the Company's reserves in total. The estimated variation in reserves due to changes in key indicators is a reasonable estimate of possible variation that may occur in the future, likely over a period of several calendar years. It is important to note that the variation discussed is not meant to be a worst-case scenario, and therefore, it is possible that future variation may be more than the amounts discussed below.

Recorded reserves for auto liability, net of reinsurance, are \$2.1 billion across all lines, \$1.4 billion of which is in Consumer Markets. Personal auto liability reserves are shorter-tailed than other lines of business (such as workers' compensation) and, therefore, less volatile. However, the size of the reserve base means that future changes in estimates could be material to the Company's results of operations in any given period. The key indicator for Consumer Markets auto liability is the annual loss cost trend, particularly the severity trend component of loss costs. A 2.5 point change in annual severity for the two most recent accident years would change the estimated net reserve need by \$80, in either direction. A 2.5 point change in annual severity is within the Company's historical variation. Recorded reserves for workers' compensation, net of reinsurance, are \$8.5 billion. Loss development patterns are a key indicator for this line of business, particularly for more mature accident years. Historically, loss development patterns have been impacted by, among other things, medical cost inflation and other changes in loss cost trends. The Company has reviewed the historical variation in paid loss development patterns. If the paid loss development patterns change by 2%, the estimated net reserve need would change by \$400, in either direction. A 2% change in paid loss development patterns is within the Company's historical variation, as measured by the variation around the average development factors as reported in statutory accident year reports.

Recorded reserves for general liability, net of reinsurance, are \$2.5 billion. Loss development patterns are a key indicator for this line of business, particularly for more mature accident years. Historically, loss development patterns have been impacted by, among other things, emergence of new types of claims (e.g., construction defect claims) or a shift in the mixture between smaller, more routine claims and larger, more complex claims. The Company has reviewed the historical variation in reported loss development patterns. If the reported loss development patterns change by 8%, the estimated net reserve need would change by \$200, in either direction. A 8% change in reported loss development patterns is within the Company's historical variation, as measured by the variation around the average development factors as reported in statutory accident year reports.

Reserving for Asbestos and Environmental Claims within Property & Casualty Other Operations

How A&E reserves are set

In establishing reserves for asbestos claims, the Company evaluates its insureds' estimated liabilities for such claims using a ground-up approach. The Company considers a variety of factors, including the jurisdictions where underlying claims have been brought, past, pending and anticipated future claim activity, disease mix, past settlement values of similar claims, dismissal rates, allocated loss adjustment expense, and potential bankruptcy impact.

Similarly, a ground-up exposure review approach is used to establish environmental reserves. The Company's evaluation of its insureds' estimated liabilities for environmental claims involves consideration of several factors, including historical values of similar claims, the number of sites involved, the insureds' alleged activities at each site, the alleged environmental damage at each site, the respective shares of liability of potentially responsible parties at each site, the appropriateness and cost of remediation at each site, the nature of governmental enforcement activities at each site, and potential bankruptcy impact.

Having evaluated its insureds' probable liabilities for asbestos and/or environmental claims, the Company then evaluates its insureds' insurance coverage programs for such claims. The Company considers its insureds' total available insurance coverage, including the coverage issued by the Company. The Company also considers relevant judicial interpretations of policy language and applicable coverage defenses or determinations, if any.

Evaluation of both the insureds' estimated liabilities and the Company's exposure to the insureds depends heavily on an analysis of the relevant legal issues and litigation environment. This analysis is conducted by the Company's lawyers and is subject to applicable privileges.

For both asbestos and environmental reserves, the Company also compares its historical direct net loss and expense paid and reported experience, and net loss and expense paid and reported experience year by year, to assess any emerging trends, fluctuations or characteristics suggested by the aggregate paid and reported activity.

Once the gross ultimate exposure for indemnity and allocated loss adjustment expense is determined for its insureds by each policy year, the Company calculates its ceded reinsurance projection based on any applicable facultative and treaty reinsurance and the Company's experience with reinsurance collections.

Uncertainties Regarding Adequacy of Asbestos and Environmental Reserves

A number of factors affect the variability of estimates for asbestos and environmental reserves including assumptions with respect to the frequency of claims, the average severity of those claims settled with payment, the dismissal rate of claims with no payment and the expense to indemnity ratio. The uncertainty with respect to the underlying reserve assumptions for asbestos and environmental adds a greater degree of variability to these reserve estimates than reserve estimates for more traditional exposures. While this variability is reflected in part in the size of the range of reserves developed by the Company, that range may still not be indicative of the potential variance between the ultimate outcome and the recorded reserves. The recorded net reserves as of December 31, 2013 of approximately \$2.01 billion (\$1.73 billion and \$276 for asbestos and environmental, respectively) are within an estimated range, unadjusted for covariance, of \$1.6 billion to \$2.3 billion. The process of estimating asbestos and environmental reserves remains subject to a wide variety of uncertainties, which are detailed in Note 15 - Commitments and Contingencies of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. The Company believes that its current asbestos and environmental reserves are appropriate. However, analyses of future developments could cause the Company to change its estimates and ranges of its asbestos and environmental reserves, and the effect of these changes could be material to the Company's consolidated operating results or cash flows. Consistent with the Company's long-standing reserve practices, the Company will continue to review and monitor its reserves in Property & Casualty Other Operations regularly, including its annual reviews of asbestos liabilities, reinsurance recoverables and the allowance for uncollectible reinsurance, and environmental liabilities, and where future developments indicate, make appropriate adjustments to the reserves.

Total Property and Casualty Insurance Product Reserves, Net of Reinsurance, Results

In the opinion of management, based upon the known facts and current law, the reserves recorded for the Company's property and casualty insurance products at December 31, 2013 represent the Company's best estimate of its ultimate liability for losses and loss adjustment expenses related to losses covered by policies written by the Company.

However, because of the significant uncertainties surrounding reserves, and particularly asbestos and environmental

exposures, it is possible that management's estimate of the ultimate liabilities for these claims may change and that the required adjustment to recorded reserves could exceed the currently recorded reserves by an amount that could be material to the Company's results of operations or cash flows.

Reserve Roll-forwards and Development

Based on the results of the quarterly reserve review process, the Company determines the appropriate reserve adjustments, if any, to record. Recorded reserve estimates are changed after consideration of numerous factors, including but not limited to, the magnitude of the difference between the actuarial indication and the recorded reserves, improvement or deterioration of actuarial indications in the period, the maturity of the accident year, trends observed over the recent past and the level of volatility within a particular line of business. In general, adjustments are made more quickly to more mature accident years and less volatile lines of business. Such adjustments of reserves are referred to as “reserve development”. Reserve development that increases previous estimates of ultimate cost is called “reserve strengthening”. Reserve development that decreases previous estimates of ultimate cost is called “reserve releases”. Reserve development can influence the comparability of year over year underwriting results and is set forth in the paragraphs and tables that follow.

A roll-forward of property and casualty insurance product liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses follows:

For the year ended December 31, 2013

| | Property & Casualty Commercial | Consumer Markets | Property & Casualty Other Operations | Total Property & Casualty |
|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------|---|------------------------------|
| Beginning liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, gross | \$ 16,020 | \$ 1,926 | \$ 3,770 | \$ 21,716 |
| Reinsurance and other recoverables | 2,365 | 16 | 646 | 3,027 |
| Beginning liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, net | 13,655 | 1,910 | 3,124 | 18,689 |
| Provision for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses | | | | |
| Current accident year before catastrophes | 3,897 | 2,412 | — | 6,309 |
| Current accident year catastrophes [3] | 105 | 207 | — | 312 |
| Prior accident years | 83 | (39) |) 148 | 192 |
| Total provision for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses | 4,085 | 2,580 | 148 | 6,813 |
| Less: Payments | 3,889 | 2,639 | 298 | 6,826 |
| Ending liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, net | 13,851 | 1,851 | 2,974 | 18,676 |
| Reinsurance and other recoverables | 2,442 | 13 | 573 | 3,028 |
| Ending liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, gross | \$ 16,293 | \$ 1,864 | \$ 3,547 | \$ 21,704 |
| Earned premiums | \$ 6,203 | \$ 3,660 | | |
| Loss and loss expense paid ratio [1] | 62.7 | 72.1 | | |
| Loss and loss expense incurred ratio | 65.9 | 70.5 | | |
| Prior accident years development (pts) [2] | 1.3 | (1.1) |) | |

[1] The “loss and loss expense paid ratio” represents the ratio of paid losses and loss adjustment expenses to earned premiums.

[2] “Prior accident years development (pts)” represents the ratio of prior accident years development to earned premiums.

[3] Contributing to the current accident year catastrophes losses were the following events:

For the year ended December 31, 2013

| Category | Property & Casualty Commercial | Consumer Markets | Total Property and Casualty Insurance |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|--|
| Thunderstorms and hail [1] | \$ 65 | \$ 103 | \$ 168 |

| | | | |
|--------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Tornadoes [1] | 27 | 63 | 90 |
| Other [1] [2] | 13 | 41 | 54 |
| Total (before tax) | \$105 | \$207 | \$312 |

[1] Amounts represent an aggregation of multiple catastrophes across multiple U.S. geographic regions.

[2] Includes wildfire, winter storms and flooding.

Prior accident years development recorded in 2013

Included within prior accident years development for the year ended December 31, 2013 were the following loss and loss adjustment expense reserve strengthenings (releases):

For the year ended December 31, 2013

| | Property & Casualty Commercial | Consumer Markets | Property & Casualty Other Operations | Total Property & Casualty & Insurance |
|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--|---|
| Auto liability | \$ 141 | \$ 3 | \$— | \$ 144 |
| Homeowners | — | (6 |)— | (6) |
| Professional liability | (29 |)— | — | (29) |
| Package business | 2 | — | — | 2 |
| General liability | (75 |)— | — | (75) |
| Fidelity and surety | (8 |)— | — | (8) |
| Commercial property | (7 |)— | — | (7) |
| Net asbestos reserves | — | — | 130 | 130 |
| Net environmental reserves | — | — | 12 | 12 |
| Uncollectible reinsurance | (25 |)— | — | (25) |
| Workers' compensation | (2 |)— | — | (2) |
| Workers' compensation - NY 25a Fund for Reopened Cases | 80 | — | — | 80 |
| Change in workers' compensation discount, including accretion | 30 | — | — | 30 |
| Catastrophes | (24 |)(39 |)— | (63) |
| Other reserve re-estimates, net | — | 3 | 6 | 9 |
| Total prior accident years development | \$ 83 | \$(39 |)\$ 148 | \$ 192 |

During 2013, the Company's re-estimates of prior accident years reserves included the following significant reserve changes:

• Strengthened reserves in commercial auto liability, primarily related to specialty lines claims, arising from a higher frequency of large loss bodily injury claims in accident years 2010 through 2012.

• Released reserves in professional liability for accident years 2008 through 2012 due to lower than expected claim severity, primarily for large-sized accounts.

Released reserves in general liability in accident years 2006 through 2011. The emergence of claim severity as well as the frequency of late reported claims for these years was lower than expected and management has placed more weight on the emerged experience.

• Refer to the Property & Casualty Other Operations Claims section for further discussion on net asbestos and net environmental reserves.

• The Company reviewed its allowance for uncollectible reinsurance in the second quarter of 2013 and reduced its allowance as a result of favorable collections compared to expectations.

Release in workers' compensation is the net of releases for accident year 2009 and prior reflecting favorable development in average severity, the result of a speed up in settlements and the result of moving to an enhanced state-level analysis of loss experience, offset by strengthening workers' compensation for accident years 2010 through 2012 reflecting the emergence of a higher mix of more severe claims.

Reserve strengthening related to the closing of the New York Section 25A Fund for Reopened Cases (the "Fund").

• These claims were previously funded through assessments and paid by the Fund. The claims will become payable by the Company effective January 1, 2014.

• Released reserves for catastrophes primarily related to Storm Sandy.

Other reserve re-estimates, net includes an \$18 recovery related to a class action settlement with American International Group involving prior accident years involuntary workers compensation pool loss and loss adjustment expense.

A roll-forward follows of property and casualty insurance product liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses for the year ended December 31, 2012:

For the year ended December 31, 2012

| | Property & Casualty Commercial | Consumer Markets | Property & Casualty Other Operations | Total Property & Casualty & Insurance |
|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--|---|
| Beginning liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, gross | \$ 15,437 | \$ 2,061 | \$ 4,052 | \$ 21,550 |
| Reinsurance and other recoverables | 2,343 | 9 | 681 | 3,033 |
| Beginning liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, net | 13,094 | 2,052 | 3,371 | 18,517 |
| Provision for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses | | | | |
| Current accident year before catastrophes | 4,178 | 2,390 | — | 6,568 |
| Current accident year catastrophes [3] | 325 | 381 | — | 706 |
| Prior accident years | 72 | (141) |) 65 | (4) |
| Total provision for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses | 4,575 | 2,630 | 65 | 7,270 |
| Less: Payments | 4,014 | 2,772 | 312 | 7,098 |
| Ending liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, net | 13,655 | 1,910 | 3,124 | 18,689 |
| Reinsurance and other recoverables | 2,365 | 16 | 646 | 3,027 |
| Ending liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, gross | \$ 16,020 | \$ 1,926 | \$ 3,770 | \$ 21,716 |
| Earned premiums | \$ 6,259 | \$ 3,636 | | |
| Loss and loss expense paid ratio [1] | 64.1 | 76.2 | | |
| Loss and loss expense incurred ratio | 73.1 | 72.3 | | |
| Prior accident years development (pts) [2] | 1.2 | (3.9) | | |

[1] The “loss and loss expense paid ratio” represents the ratio of paid losses and loss adjustment expenses to earned premiums.

[2] “Prior accident years development (pts)” represents the ratio of prior accident years development to earned premiums.

[3] Contributing to the current accident year catastrophes losses were the following events:

For the year ended December 31, 2012

| Category | Property & Casualty Commercial | Consumer Markets | Total Property and Casualty Insurance |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Thunderstorms and hail [1] | \$ 84 | \$ 172 | \$ 256 |
| Tornadoes [1] | 30 | 40 | 70 |
| Wildfire | 1 | 18 | 19 |
| Storm Sandy | 207 | 143 | 350 |
| Other | 3 | 8 | 11 |
| Total (before tax) | \$ 325 | \$ 381 | \$ 706 |

[1] Amounts represent an aggregation of multiple catastrophes across multiple U.S. geographic regions.

Prior accident years development recorded in 2012

Included within prior accident years development for the year ended December 31, 2012 were the following loss and loss adjustment expense reserve strengthenings (releases):

For the year ended December 31, 2012

| | Property & Casualty Commercial | Consumer Markets | Property & Casualty Other Operations | Total Property & Casualty & Insurance |
|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--|---|
| Auto liability | \$56 | \$(81 |)\$— | \$(25) |
| Homeowners | — | (32 |)— | (32) |
| Professional liability | 40 | — | — | 40 |
| Package business | (20 |)— | — | (20) |
| General liability | (87 |)— | — | (87) |
| Fidelity and surety | (9 |)— | — | (9) |
| Commercial property | (8 |)— | — | (8) |
| Net asbestos reserves | — | — | 48 | 48 |
| Net environmental reserves | — | — | 10 | 10 |
| Workers' compensation | 78 | — | — | 78 |
| Change in workers' compensation discount, including accretion | 52 | — | — | 52 |
| Catastrophes | (37 |) (29 |)— | (66) |
| Other reserve re-estimates, net | 7 | 1 | 7 | 15 |
| Total prior accident years development | \$72 | \$(141 |)\$65 | \$(4) |

During 2012, the Company's re-estimates of prior accident years reserves included the following significant reserve changes:

Released reserves for personal auto liability claims, primarily for accident years 2008 through 2011. As these accident years matured, favorable bodily injury severity trends were observed and management placed more weight on the emerged experience. Management has adjusted trend assumptions accordingly.

Released reserves for homeowners claims, primarily for accident year 2011 as a result of favorable large loss frequency and lower than expected severity.

Strengthened reserves for commercial auto liability claims, primarily for accident year 2010 and 2011. Higher than expected bodily injury severity, driven by large loss activity, has been observed for these accident years.

Strengthened reserves for professional liability directors and officers claims for accident years 2011 and prior as a result of higher severity, primarily for mid- and large-sized accounts.

Released reserves in package business liability coverages and general liability, primarily for accident years 2006 through 2011. Claim severity emergence for these years was lower than expected and management has placed more weight on the emerged experience. In addition, older years have improved due to favorable emergence of larger claims.

Strengthened reserves in workers' compensation primarily due to the emergence of lost time claims from 2011.

The change in workers' compensation discount, including accretion, primarily reflects a decrease in the number of tabular claims, and to a lesser extent, the decrease in interest rates.

Reserve releases on certain prior year catastrophes, primarily related to 2001 World Trade Center worker's compensation claims.

Refer to the Property & Casualty Other Operations Claims section for further discussion on net asbestos and net environmental reserves.

A roll-forward follows of property and casualty insurance product liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses for the year ended December 31, 2011:

For the year ended December 31, 2011

| | Property & Casualty Commercial | Consumer Markets | Property & Casualty Other Operations | Total Property & Casualty & Insurance |
|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--|---|
| Beginning liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, gross | \$ 14,727 | \$ 2,177 | \$ 4,121 | \$ 21,025 |
| Reinsurance and other recoverables | 2,361 | 17 | 699 | 3,077 |
| Beginning liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, net | 12,366 | 2,160 | 3,422 | 17,948 |
| Provision for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses | | | | |
| Current accident year before catastrophes | 4,139 | 2,536 | — | 6,675 |
| Current accident year catastrophes [3] | 320 | 425 | — | 745 |
| Prior accident years | 125 | (75 |) 317 | 367 |
| Total provision for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses | 4,584 | 2,886 | 317 | 7,787 |
| Less: Payments | 3,856 | 2,994 | 368 | 7,218 |
| Ending liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, net | 13,094 | 2,052 | 3,371 | 18,517 |
| Reinsurance and other recoverables | 2,343 | 9 | 681 | 3,033 |
| Ending liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, gross | \$ 15,437 | \$ 2,061 | \$ 4,052 | \$ 21,550 |
| Earned premiums | \$ 6,127 | \$ 3,747 | | |
| Loss and loss expense paid ratio [1] | 62.9 | 79.9 | | |
| Loss and loss expense incurred ratio | 74.8 | 77.0 | | |
| Prior accident years development (pts) [2] | 2.0 | (2.0 |) | |

[1] The “loss and loss expense paid ratio” represents the ratio of paid losses and loss adjustment expenses to earned premiums.

[2] “Prior accident years development (pts)” represents the ratio of prior accident years development to earned premiums.

[3] Contributing to the current accident year catastrophes losses were the following events:

For the year ended December 31, 2011

| Category | Property & Casualty Commercial | Consumer Markets | Total Property and Casualty Insurance |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|--|
| Thunderstorms [1] | \$ 29 | \$ 87 | \$ 116 |
| Tornadoes [1] | 184 | 239 | 423 |
| Winter Storms [1] | 37 | 38 | 75 |
| Wildfire | — | 14 | 14 |
| Hurricane Irene | 60 | 43 | 103 |
| Tropical Storm Lee | 10 | 4 | 14 |
| Total (before tax) | \$ 320 | \$ 425 | \$ 745 |

[1] Amounts represent an aggregation of multiple catastrophes across multiple U.S. geographic regions.

Prior accident years development recorded in 2011

Included within prior accident years development for the year ended December 31, 2011 were the following loss and loss adjustment expense reserve strengthenings (releases):

For the year ended December 31, 2011

| | Property & Casualty Commercial | Consumer Markets | Property & Casualty Other Operations | Total Property & Casualty & Insurance |
|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--|---|
| Auto liability | \$(4 |) \$(93 |) \$— | \$(97) |
| Homeowners | — | (1 |)— | (1) |
| Professional liability | 29 | — | — | 29 |
| Package business | (76 |)— | — | (76) |
| General liability | (40 |)— | — | (40) |
| Fidelity and surety | (7 |)— | — | (7) |
| Commercial property | (4 |)— | — | (4) |
| Net asbestos reserves | — | — | 294 | 294 |
| Net environmental reserves | — | — | 26 | 26 |
| Workers' compensation | 171 | — | — | 171 |
| Change in workers' compensation discount, including accretion | 38 | — | — | 38 |
| Catastrophes | 12 | 25 | — | 37 |
| Other reserve re-estimates, net | 6 | (6 |) (3 |) (3) |
| Total prior accident years development | \$125 | \$(75 |) \$317 | \$367 |

During 2011, the Company's re-estimates of prior accident years reserves included the following significant reserve changes:

Released reserves for personal auto liability claims, primarily for accident years 2006 through 2010. Favorable trends in reported severity have persisted or improved over this time period.

Strengthened reserves in professional liability for accident years 2007 through 2008, primarily in the directors and officers ("D&O") line of business. Detailed reviews of claims involving the sub-prime mortgage market collapse, and shareholder class action lawsuits, resulted in a higher estimate of future claim costs for these exposures.

Released reserves in package business liability coverages and general liability, in accident years 2005 through 2009. As these accident years developed, claim severity has emerged lower than expected.

Strengthened reserves in workers' compensation in accident years 2008 through 2010. Accident year 2010 loss costs trends were higher than expected as an increase in frequency outpaced a moderation of severity trends. Strengthening in accident years 2009 and 2008 was the result of higher than expected loss emergence for these years. Strengthening in more recent years is partially offset by releases in accident years 2007 and prior.

Strengthened prior year catastrophe reserves, primarily related to a severe wind and hail storm in Arizona during the fourth quarter of 2010. Severity of property damage associated with this event increased more than expected.

Refer to the Property & Casualty Other Operations Claims section for discussion concerning the Company's annual evaluations of net environmental and net asbestos reserves, and related reinsurance.

Property & Casualty Other Operations Claims

Reserve Activity

Reserves and reserve activity in Property & Casualty Other Operations are categorized and reported as asbestos, environmental, or “all other”. The “all other” category of reserves covers a wide range of insurance and assumed reinsurance coverages, including, but not limited to, potential liability for construction defects, lead paint, silica, pharmaceutical products, molestation and other long-tail liabilities.

The following table presents reserve activity, inclusive of estimates for both reported and incurred but not reported claims, net of reinsurance, for Property & Casualty Other Operations, categorized by asbestos, environmental and all other claims, for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

Property & Casualty Other Operations Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses

| | Asbestos | Environmental | All Other [1] | Total |
|--|----------|---------------|---------------|---------|
| 2013 | | | | |
| Beginning liability — net [2] [3] | \$1,776 | \$290 | \$1,058 | \$3,124 |
| Losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred | 130 | 12 | 6 | 148 |
| Less: Losses and loss adjustment expenses paid | 192 | 32 | 74 | 298 |
| Ending liability — net [2] [3] | \$1,714 | [4] \$270 | \$990 | \$2,974 |
| 2012 | | | | |
| Beginning liability — net [2] [3] | \$1,892 | \$320 | \$1,159 | \$3,371 |
| Losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred | 48 | 10 | 7 | 65 |
| Less: Losses and loss adjustment expenses paid | 164 | 40 | 108 | 312 |
| Ending liability — net [2] [3] | \$1,776 | \$290 | \$1,058 | \$3,124 |
| 2011 | | | | |
| Beginning liability — net [2] [3] | \$1,787 | \$334 | \$1,302 | \$3,423 |
| Losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred | 294 | 26 | (3) |)317 |
| Less: Losses and loss adjustment expenses paid | 189 | 40 | 140 | 369 |
| Ending liability — net [2] [3] | \$1,892 | \$320 | \$1,159 | \$3,371 |

[1] “All Other” includes unallocated loss adjustment expense reserves. “All Other” also includes the Company’s allowance for uncollectible reinsurance. When the Company commutes a ceded reinsurance contract or settles a ceded reinsurance dispute, the portion of the allowance for uncollectible reinsurance attributable to that commutation or settlement, if any, is reclassified to the appropriate cause of loss.

[2] Excludes amounts reported in Property & Casualty Commercial and Consumer Markets reporting segments (collectively “Ongoing Operations”) for asbestos and environmental net liabilities of \$18 and \$5 respectively, as of December 31, 2013, \$15 and \$7, respectively, as of December 31, 2012, and \$15 and \$8, respectively, as of December 31, 2011; total net losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 of \$15, \$13 and \$27, respectively, related to asbestos and environmental claims; and total net losses and loss adjustment expenses paid for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 of \$14, \$15 and \$20, respectively, related to asbestos and environmental claims.

[3] Gross of reinsurance, asbestos and environmental reserves, including liabilities in Property & Casualty Commercial and Consumer Markets, were \$2,182 and \$311, respectively, as of December 31, 2013; \$2,294 and \$334, respectively, as of December 31, 2012; and \$2,442 and \$367, respectively, as of December 31, 2011.

[4] The one year and average three year net paid amounts for asbestos claims, including Ongoing Operations, were \$201 and \$191, respectively, resulting in a one year net survival ratio of 8.6 and a three year net survival ratio of 9.1. Net survival ratio is the quotient of the net carried reserves divided by the average annual payment amount and is an indication of the number of years that the net carried reserve would last (i.e., survive) if the future annual claim payments were consistent with the calculated historical average.

For paid and incurred losses and loss adjustment expenses reporting, the Company classifies its asbestos and environmental reserves into three categories: Direct, Assumed Reinsurance and London Market. Direct insurance includes primary and excess coverage. Assumed reinsurance includes both “treaty” reinsurance (covering broad

categories of claims or blocks of business) and “facultative” reinsurance (covering specific risks or individual policies of primary or excess insurance companies). London Market business includes the business written by one or more of the Company’s subsidiaries in the United Kingdom, which are no longer active in the insurance or reinsurance business. Such business includes both direct insurance and assumed reinsurance.

Of the three categories of claims (Direct, Assumed Reinsurance and London Market), direct policies tend to have the greatest factual development from which to estimate the Company's exposures.

Assumed reinsurance exposures are inherently less predictable than direct insurance exposures because the Company may not receive notice of a reinsurance claim until the underlying direct insurance claim is mature. This causes a delay in the receipt of information at the reinsurer level and adds to the uncertainty of estimating related reserves. London Market exposures are the most uncertain of the three categories of claims. As a participant in the London Market (comprised of both Lloyd's of London and London Market companies), certain subsidiaries of the Company wrote business on a subscription basis, with those subsidiaries' involvement being limited to a relatively small percentage of a total contract placement. Claims are reported, via a broker, to the "lead" underwriter and, once agreed to, are presented to the following markets for concurrence. This reporting and claim agreement process makes estimating liabilities for this business the most uncertain of the three categories of claims.

The following table sets forth, for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, paid and incurred loss activity by the three categories of claims for asbestos and environmental.

| | Paid and Incurred Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses ("LAE") Development — Asbestos and Environmental | | | |
|---------------------|--|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| | Asbestos [1] | | Environmental [1] | |
| | Paid Losses & LAE | Incurred Losses & LAE | Paid Losses & LAE | Incurred Losses & LAE |
| 2013 | | | | |
| Gross | | | | |
| Direct | \$ 159 | \$ 72 | \$ 23 | \$ 6 |
| Assumed Reinsurance | 68 | 50 | 4 | 6 |
| London Market | 16 | 8 | 6 | — |
| Total | 243 | 130 | 33 | 12 |
| Ceded | (51 |)— | (1 |)— |
| Net | \$ 192 | \$ 130 | \$ 32 | \$ 12 |
| 2012 | | | | |
| Gross | | | | |
| Direct | \$ 153 | \$ 55 | \$ 31 | \$ 9 |
| Assumed Reinsurance | 51 | 14 | 7 | — |
| London Market | 17 | 5 | 5 | 3 |
| Total | 221 | 74 | 43 | 12 |
| Ceded | (57 |)(26 |)(3 |)(2 |
| Net | \$ 164 | \$ 48 | \$ 40 | \$ 10 |
| 2011 | | | | |
| Gross | | | | |
| Direct | \$ 170 | \$ 350 | \$ 32 | \$ 25 |
| Assumed Reinsurance | 55 | 12 | 8 | — |
| London Market | 23 | 16 | 6 | 4 |
| Total | 248 | 378 | 46 | 29 |
| Ceded | (59 |)(84 |)(6 |)(3 |
| Net | \$ 189 | \$ 294 | \$ 40 | \$ 26 |

Excludes asbestos and environmental paid and incurred loss and LAE reported in Ongoing Operations. Total gross losses and LAE incurred in Ongoing Operations for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 includes [1] \$15, \$13 and \$30, respectively, related to asbestos and environmental claims. Total gross losses and LAE paid in Ongoing Operations for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 includes \$14, \$15 and \$22, respectively, related to asbestos and environmental claims.

In the fourth quarters of 2013, 2012 and 2011, the Company completed evaluations of certain of its non-asbestos and environmental reserves in Property & Casualty Other Operations, including its assumed reinsurance liabilities and in 2013, 2012, and 2011, the Company recognized no prior year development on these reserves.

During the second quarters of 2013 and 2012 and the third quarter of 2011, the Company completed its annual ground up environmental reserve evaluations. In each of these evaluations, the Company reviewed all of its open direct domestic insurance accounts exposed to environmental liability as well as assumed reinsurance accounts and its London Market exposures for both direct and assumed reinsurance. The Company found estimates for some individual account exposures increased based upon unfavorable litigation results and increased clean-up or expense costs, with the vast majority of this deterioration emanating from a limited number of insureds. The net effect of these account-specific changes as well as quarterly actuarial evaluations of new account emergence and historical loss and expense paid experience resulted in \$12, \$10 and \$19 increases in net environmental liabilities in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. In addition to the quarterly actuarial evaluations, the Company currently expects to continue to perform an evaluation of its environmental liabilities annually.

During the second quarters of 2013, 2012 and 2011, the Company completed its annual ground-up asbestos reserve evaluations. As part of these evaluations, the Company reviewed all of its open direct domestic insurance accounts exposed to asbestos liability, as well as assumed reinsurance accounts and its London Market exposures for both direct insurance and assumed reinsurance. During 2013, the Company found estimates for individual cases changed based upon the particular circumstances in such accounts. These cases were case specific and not as a result of any underlying change in current environment. The Company experienced moderate increases in claim frequency and severity as well as expense and costs associated with litigating asbestos coverage matters, particularly against certain smaller, more peripheral insureds. The Company also experienced unfavorable development on certain of its assumed reinsurance accounts driven largely by the same factors experience by the direct policyholders. Based on this evaluation, the Company strengthened its net asbestos reserves by \$130 in second quarter 2013. During 2012, the Company found estimates for individual cases changed based upon the particular circumstances of such accounts. These changes were case specific and not as a result of any underlying change in the current environment. The Company experienced moderate increases in claim severity, expense and costs associated with litigating asbestos coverage matters, particularly against certain smaller, more peripheral insureds. The Company also experienced unfavorable development on certain of its assumed reinsurance accounts driven largely by the same factors experienced by direct policy holders. Based on this evaluation, the Company strengthened its net asbestos reserves by \$48 in second quarter 2012. During 2011, for certain direct policyholders, the Company experienced increases in claim frequency, severity and expense which were driven by mesothelioma claims, particularly against certain smaller, more peripheral insureds. The Company also experienced unfavorable development on its assumed reinsurance accounts driven largely by the same factors experienced by the direct policyholders. Based on this evaluation, the Company strengthened its net asbestos reserves by \$290 in second quarter 2011. The Company currently expects to continue to perform an evaluation of its asbestos liabilities annually.

The Company divides its gross asbestos and environmental exposures into Direct, Assumed Reinsurance and London Market. Direct asbestos exposures include Major Asbestos Defendants, Non-Major Accounts, and Unallocated Direct Accounts.

Major Asbestos Defendants represent the "Top 70" accounts in Tillinghast's published Tiers 1 and 2 and Wellington accounts. Major Asbestos Defendants have the fewest number of asbestos accounts and include reserves related to PPG Industries, Inc. ("PPG"). In January 2009, the Company, along with approximately three dozen other insurers, entered into a modified agreement in principle with PPG to resolve the Company's coverage obligations for all its PPG asbestos liabilities. The agreement is contingent on the fulfillment of certain conditions. Major Asbestos Defendants gross asbestos reserves accounted for approximately 29% of the Company's total Direct gross asbestos reserves as of June 30, 2013.

Non-Major Accounts are all other open direct asbestos accounts and largely represent smaller and more peripheral defendants. These exposures represented 1,125 accounts and contained approximately 44% of the Company's total Direct gross asbestos reserves as of June 30, 2013.

Unallocated Direct Accounts includes an estimate of the reserves necessary for asbestos claims related to direct insureds that have not previously tendered asbestos claims to the Company and exposures related to liability claims that may not be subject to an aggregate limit under the applicable policies.

The following table displays gross asbestos and environmental reserves by category as of December 31, 2013:
Summary of Gross A&E Reserves

| | Asbestos [1] | Environmental [2] | Total A&E |
|---------------------|--------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Gross | | | |
| Direct | \$ 1,637 | \$ 229 | \$ 1,866 |
| Assumed Reinsurance | 291 | 34 | 325 |
| London Market | 254 | 48 | 302 |
| Total | 2,182 | 311 | 2,493 |
| Ceded | (450) | (36) | (486) |
| Net | \$ 1,732 | \$ 275 | \$ 2,007 |

The one year gross paid amount for total asbestos claims is \$253, resulting in a one year gross survival ratio of 8.6.

[1] The three year average gross paid amount for total asbestos claims is \$247, resulting in a three year gross survival ratio of 8.8.

The one year gross paid amount for total environmental claims is \$41, resulting in a one year gross survival ratio of [2]7.6. The three year average gross paid amount for total environmental claims is \$50, resulting in a three year gross survival ratio of 6.3.

The Company provides an allowance for uncollectible reinsurance, reflecting management's best estimate of reinsurance cessions that may be uncollectible in the future due to reinsurers' unwillingness or inability to pay. During the second quarters of 2013, 2012 and 2011, the Company completed its annual evaluations of the collectability of the reinsurance recoverables and the adequacy of the allowance for uncollectible reinsurance associated with older, long-term casualty liabilities reported in the Property & Casualty Other Operations. In conducting this evaluation, the Company used its most recent detailed evaluations of ceded liabilities reported in the segment. The Company analyzed the overall credit quality of the Company's reinsurers, recent trends in arbitration and litigation outcomes in disputes between cedants and reinsurers, and recent developments in commutation activity between reinsurers and cedants. The evaluation in the second quarters of 2013, 2012, and 2011 resulted in no adjustments to the allowance for uncollectible reinsurance. As of December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, the allowance for uncollectible reinsurance for Property & Casualty Other Operations totaled \$202, \$203, and \$207 and is included in the "All other" category of net loss and loss adjustment expense reserves. The Company currently expects to perform its regular comprehensive review of Property & Casualty Other Operations reinsurance recoverables annually. Due to the inherent uncertainties as to collection and the length of time before reinsurance recoverables become due, particularly for older, long-term casualty liabilities, it is possible that future adjustments to the Company's reinsurance recoverables, net of the allowance, could be required.

Consistent with the Company's long-standing reserving practices, the Company will continue to review and monitor its reserves in the Property & Casualty Other Operations segment regularly and, where future developments indicate, make appropriate adjustments to the reserves. The company will complete both its annual ground-up asbestos and environmental reserve studies during the second quarter of 2014.

Impact of Re-estimates

The establishment of property and casualty insurance product reserves is an estimation process, using a variety of methods, assumptions and data elements. Ultimate losses may vary materially from the current estimates. Many factors can contribute to these variations and the need to change the previous estimate of required reserve levels. Subsequent changes can generally be thought of as being the result of the emergence of additional facts that were not known or anticipated at the time of the prior reserve estimate and/or changes in interpretations of information and trends.

The table below shows the range of annual reserve re-estimates experienced by The Hartford over the past ten years. The amount of prior accident year development (as shown in the reserve rollforward) for a given calendar year is expressed as a percent of the beginning calendar year reserves, net of reinsurance. The percentage relationships presented are significantly influenced by the facts and circumstances of each particular year and by the fact that only the last ten years are included in the range. Accordingly, these percentages are not intended to be a prediction of the range of possible future variability. See “Impact of key assumptions on reserve volatility” within this section for further discussion of the potential for variability in recorded loss reserves.

| | Property & Casualty Commercial | Consumer Markets | Property & Casualty Other Operations | Total Property & Casualty [1] |
|--|--------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Range of prior accident year unfavorable (favorable) development for the ten years ended December 31, 2013 | (3.1)% - 1.5% | (6.9)% - 0.2% | 1.9% - 9.3% | (1.2)% - 2.6% |

[1] Excluding the reserve strengthening for asbestos and environmental reserves, over the past ten years reserve re-estimates for total property and casualty insurance ranged from (2.5)% to 1.0%.

The potential variability of the Company’s property and casualty insurance product reserves would normally be expected to vary by segment and the types of loss exposures insured by those segments. Illustrative factors influencing the potential reserve variability for each of the segments are discussed above.

A table depicting the historical development of the liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, net of reinsurance, follows:

Loss Development Table

Loss And Loss Adjustment Expense Liability Development — Net of Reinsurance

For the Years Ended December 31,

| | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, net of reinsurance | \$16,218 | \$16,191 | \$16,863 | \$17,604 | \$18,231 | \$18,347 | \$18,210 | \$17,948 | \$18,517 | \$18,689 | \$18,676 |
| Cumulative paid losses and loss expenses | | | | | | | | | | | |
| One year later | 4,415 | 3,594 | 3,702 | 3,727 | 3,703 | 3,771 | 3,882 | 4,037 | 4,216 | 4,274 | |
| Two years later | 6,779 | 6,035 | 6,122 | 5,980 | 5,980 | 6,273 | 6,401 | 6,664 | 6,897 | — | |
| Three years later | 8,686 | 7,825 | 7,755 | 7,544 | 7,752 | 8,074 | 8,241 | 8,503 | — | — | |
| Four years later | 10,075 | 9,045 | 8,889 | 8,833 | 9,048 | 9,411 | 9,538 | — | — | — | |
| Five years later | 11,063 | 9,928 | 9,903 | 9,778 | 10,061 | 10,395 | — | — | — | — | |
| Six years later | 11,821 | 10,798 | 10,674 | 10,564 | 10,845 | — | — | — | — | — | |
| Seven years later | 12,601 | 11,448 | 11,334 | 11,216 | — | — | — | — | — | — | |
| Eight years later | 13,193 | 12,023 | 11,895 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | |
| Nine years later | 13,718 | 12,526 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | |

Edgar Filing: HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP INC/DE - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------|---------|---------|--------|---------|---------|---------|--------|--------|--------|
| Ten years later | 14,186 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Liabilities re-estimated | | | | | | | | | | |
| One year later | 16,632 | 16,439 | 17,159 | 17,652 | 18,005 | 18,161 | 18,014 | 18,315 | 18,513 | 18,881 |
| Two years later | 17,232 | 16,838 | 17,347 | 17,475 | 17,858 | 18,004 | 18,136 | 18,275 | 18,686 | — |
| Three years later | 17,739 | 17,240 | 17,318 | 17,441 | 17,700 | 18,139 | 18,093 | 18,299 | — | — |
| Four years later | 18,367 | 17,344 | 17,497 | 17,439 | 17,866 | 18,120 | 18,056 | — | — | — |
| Five years later | 18,554 | 17,570 | 17,613 | 17,676 | 17,848 | 18,092 | — | — | — | — |
| Six years later | 18,836 | 17,777 | 17,895 | 17,673 | 17,857 | — | — | — | — | — |
| Seven years later | 19,063 | 18,064 | 17,899 | 17,749 | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Eight years later | 19,351 | 18,062 | 18,045 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Nine years later | 19,358 | 18,214 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Ten years later | 19,517 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Deficiency (redundancy), net of reinsurance | \$3,299 | \$2,023 | \$1,182 | \$145 | \$(374) | \$(255) | \$(154) | \$351 | \$169 | \$192 |

The previous table shows the cumulative deficiency (redundancy) of the Company's reserves, net of reinsurance, as now estimated with the benefit of additional information. Those amounts are comprised of changes in estimates of gross losses and changes in estimates of related reinsurance recoveries.

The following table, for the periods presented, reconciles the net reserves to the gross reserves, as initially estimated and recorded, and as currently estimated and recorded, and computes the cumulative deficiency (redundancy) of the Company's reserves before reinsurance.

Loss And Loss Adjustment Expense Liability Development — Gross

For the Years Ended December 31,

| | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Net reserve, as initially estimated | \$16,191 | \$16,863 | \$17,604 | \$18,231 | \$18,347 | \$18,210 | \$17,948 | \$18,517 | \$18,689 | \$18,676 |
| Reinsurance and other recoverables, as initially estimated | 5,138 | 5,403 | 4,387 | 3,922 | 3,586 | 3,441 | 3,077 | 3,033 | 3,027 | 3,028 |
| Gross reserve, as initially estimated | \$21,329 | \$22,266 | \$21,991 | \$22,153 | \$21,933 | \$21,651 | \$21,025 | \$21,550 | \$21,716 | \$21,704 |
| Net re-estimated reserve | \$18,214 | \$18,045 | \$17,749 | \$17,857 | \$18,092 | \$18,056 | \$18,299 | \$18,686 | \$18,881 | |
| Re-estimated and other recoverables | 5,647 | 5,971 | 4,362 | 4,103 | 3,777 | 3,288 | 2,988 | 2,805 | 2,620 | |
| Gross re-estimated reserve | \$23,861 | \$24,016 | \$22,111 | \$21,960 | \$21,869 | \$21,344 | \$21,287 | \$21,491 | \$21,501 | |
| Gross deficiency (redundancy) | \$2,532 | \$1,750 | \$120 | \$(193) | \$(64) | \$(307) | \$262 | \$(59) | \$(215) | |

The following table is derived from the Loss Development table and summarizes the effect of reserve re-estimates, net of reinsurance, on calendar year operations for the ten-year period ended December 31, 2013. The total of each column details the amount of reserve re-estimates made in the indicated calendar year and shows the accident years to which the re-estimates are applicable. The amounts in the total accident year column on the far right represent the cumulative reserve re-estimates during the ten year period ended December 31, 2013 for the indicated accident year(s).

Effect of Net Reserve Re-estimates on Calendar Year Operations

| | Calendar Year | | | | | | | | | | Total |
|-------------------------------|---------------|-------|-------|-------|---------|---------|---------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | |
| By Accident year | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2003 & Prior | \$414 | \$600 | \$507 | \$628 | \$187 | \$282 | \$227 | \$288 | \$7 | \$158 | \$3,298 |
| 2004 | — | (352) | (108) | (226) | (83) | (56) | (20) | (1) | (9) | (7) | (862) |
| 2005 | — | — | (103) | (214) | (133) | (47) | (91) | (5) | 6 | (6) | (593) |
| 2006 | — | — | — | (140) | (148) | (213) | (118) | (45) | (7) | (69) | (740) |
| 2007 | — | — | — | — | (49) | (113) | (156) | (71) | (15) | (67) | (471) |
| 2008 | — | — | — | — | — | (39) | 1 | (31) | (1) | (37) | (107) |
| 2009 | — | — | — | — | — | — | (39) | (13) | (24) | (8) | (84) |
| 2010 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 245 | 3 | 61 | 309 |
| 2011 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 36 | 148 | 184 |
| 2012 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 19 | 19 |
| Total strengthening (release) | \$414 | \$248 | \$296 | \$48 | \$(226) | \$(186) | \$(196) | \$367 | \$(4) | \$192 | \$953 |

Reserve changes for accident years 2003 & Prior

The largest impacts of net reserve re-estimates are shown in the “2003 & Prior” accident years. The reserve deterioration is driven, in part, by deterioration of reserves for asbestos, environmental, assumed casualty reinsurance, workers’ compensation, and general liability claims. Numerous actuarial assumptions on assumed casualty reinsurance turned out to be low, including loss cost trends, particularly on excess of loss business, and the impact of deteriorating terms and conditions.

The reserve re-estimates in calendar years 2004 through 2006 were largely attributable to reductions in the reinsurance recoverable asset associated with older, long-term casualty liabilities, and unexpected development on mature claims in both general liability and workers’ compensation.

During the 2007 calendar year, the Company refined its processes for allocating incurred but not reported (“IBNR”) reserves by accident year, resulting in a reclassification of \$347 of IBNR reserves from the 2003 to 2006 accident years to the 2002 and prior accident years. This reclassification of reserves by accident year had no effect on total recorded reserves within any segment or on total recorded reserves for any line of business within a segment.

The reserve re-estimates during calendar year 2008 were largely driven by increases in asbestos, environmental and general liability reserves. The reserve re-estimates in calendar years 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2013 were largely due to increases in asbestos and environmental reserves, resulting from the Company’s annual evaluations of these liabilities. These reserve evaluations reflect deterioration in the litigation environment surrounding asbestos and environmental liabilities during this period.

Reserve changes for accident years 2004 through 2008

During calendar year 2005 and 2006, favorable re-estimates occurred for both loss and allocated loss adjustment expenses. In addition, catastrophe reserves related to the 2004 and 2005 hurricanes developed favorably in 2006.

During calendar years 2005 through 2008, the Company recognized favorable re-estimates of both loss and allocated loss adjustment expenses on workers’ compensation claims, driven, in part, by state regulatory reforms in California and Florida, underwriting actions, and expense reduction initiatives that had a greater impact in controlling costs than originally estimated. Even after considering the reclassification of IBNR reserves, accident years 2004 through 2007 show favorable development in calendar years 2005 through 2011. A portion of the release comes from short-tail lines of business, where results emerge quickly. In 2007, the Company released reserves for package business claims as reported losses emerged favorably to previous expectations. In 2007 through 2009, the Company released reserves for general liability claims due to the favorable emergence of losses for high hazard and umbrella general liability claims. Reserves for professional liability claims were released in 2008 and 2009 related to the 2004 through 2007 accident years due to a lower estimate of claim severity on both directors’ and officers’ insurance claims and errors and omissions insurance claims. Reserves of auto liability claims, within Consumer Markets, were released in 2008 due largely to an improvement in emerged claim severity for the 2005 to 2007 accident years.

Reserve changes for accident years 2009 through 2010

Accident year 2009 remains reasonably close to original estimates. Modest favorable reserve re-estimates during calendar periods 2009 through 2013 are primarily related to liability lines of business. Unfavorable reserve re-estimates in calendar year 2011 on accident year 2010 are largely driven by workers’ compensation. Loss cost trends were higher than initially expected as an increase in frequency outpaced a moderation of severity trends. Unfavorable reserve re-estimates in calendar year 2013 on accident year 2010 are primarily related to workers’ compensation and commercial auto liability.

Reserve changes for accident year 2011

Unfavorable reserve re-estimates in calendar year 2013 are driven by commercial auto liability and workers’ compensation. Commercial auto liability was driven by higher frequency of large loss bodily injury claims. Workers’ compensation loss cost trends were higher than initially expected as an increase in frequency outpaced a moderation of severity trends.

Reserve changes for accident year 2012

Accident year 2012 remains reasonably close to the original estimate. Modest unfavorable reserve re-estimates during calendar year 2013 are primarily related to commercial auto liability driven by higher frequency of large loss bodily injury claims offset by reserve releases related to Storm Sandy.

Estimated Gross Profits Used in the Valuation and Amortization of Assets and Liabilities Associated with Variable Annuity and Other Universal Life-Type Contracts

Estimated gross profits are used in the amortization of: the deferred policy acquisition costs ("DAC") asset, which includes the present value of future profits; sales inducement assets ("SIA"); and unearned revenue reserves ("URR"). Portions of EGPs are also used in the valuation of reserves for death and other insurance benefit features on variable annuity and other universal life type contracts.

The most significant EGP based balances are as follows:

| | Talcott Resolution | |
|--|--------------------|---------|
| | As of December 31, | |
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| DAC [1] | \$1,552 | \$5,112 |
| SIA [1] | \$149 | \$325 |
| URR [2] | \$50 | \$1,880 |
| Death and Other Insurance Benefit Reserves, net of reinsurance [3] | \$565 | \$1,277 |

[1] For additional information on DAC and SIA, see Note 8 - Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs and Present Value of Future Profits and Note 10 - Sales Inducements, respectively, of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

[2] URR associated with the Individual Life business is no longer included in EGP based balances due to the sale of this business in 2013. As of December 31, 2012, URR included approximately \$1.8 billion related to the Individual Life business. For additional information regarding business dispositions, see Note 2 - Business Dispositions of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

[3] For additional information on death and other insurance benefit reserves, see Note 11 - Separate Accounts, Death Benefits and Other Insurance Benefit Features of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Unlocks

The (charge) benefit to net income (loss) by asset and liability as a result of the Unlocks is as follows:

| | Talcott Resolution | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|----------|----------|---|
| | For the years ended December 31, | | | |
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | |
| DAC | \$(1,086 |) \$(144 |) \$(419 |) |
| SIA | (72 |) (82 |) (22 |) |
| URR | 16 | 26 | 40 | |
| Death and Other Insurance Benefit Reserves | 336 | 247 | (333 |) |
| Total (before tax) | \$(806 |) \$47 | \$(734 |) |
| Income tax effect | (281 |) 16 | (261 |) |
| Total (after-tax) | \$(525 |) \$31 | \$(473 |) |

The Unlock charge for the year ended December 31, 2013 was primarily due to the Japan hedge cost assumption changes in the first quarter, partially offset by actual separate account returns above our aggregated estimated returns during the period. The hedge cost assumption changes for the year ended December 31, 2013 included a charge of \$887, before tax, related to the elimination of future estimated gross profits on the Japan variable annuity block based on increased costs associated with expanding the Japan variable annuity hedging program in 2013.

The Unlock benefit for the year ended December 31, 2012 was driven primarily by actual separate account returns above our aggregated estimated return, partially offset by policyholder assumption changes which reduced expected future gross profits including additional costs associated with the U.S. variable annuity macro hedge program.

The Unlock charge for the year ended December 31, 2011 was driven primarily by policyholder assumption changes which reduced expected future gross profits including additional costs associated with implementing the Japan hedging strategy and the U.S. variable annuity macro hedge program, as well as actual separate account returns below our aggregated estimated return.

For most annuity contracts, the Company estimates gross profits over 20 years as EGPs emerging subsequent to that timeframe are immaterial. Products sold in a particular year are aggregated into cohorts. Future gross profits for each cohort are projected over the estimated lives of the underlying contracts, based on future account value projections for variable annuity. The projection of future account values requires the use of certain assumptions including: separate account returns; separate account fund mix; fees assessed against the contract holder's account balance; surrender and lapse rates; interest margin; mortality; and the extent and duration of hedging activities and hedging costs. Changes in these assumptions and, in addition, changes to other policyholder behavior assumptions such as resets, partial surrenders, reaction to price increases, and asset allocations causes EGPs to fluctuate which impacts earnings. The Company determines EGPs from a single deterministic reversion to mean ("RTM") separate account return projection which is an estimation technique commonly used by insurance entities to project future separate account returns. Through this estimation technique, the Company's DAC model is adjusted to reflect actual account values at the end of each quarter. Through consideration of recent market returns, the Company will unlock, or adjust, projected returns over a future period so that the account value returns to the long-term expected rate of return, providing that those projected returns do not exceed certain caps or floors. This Unlock for future separate account returns is determined each quarter. Under RTM, the expected long term weighted average rate of return is 8.3% and 5.9% for the U.S. and Japan, respectively.

In the third quarter of each year, the Company completes a comprehensive non-market related policyholder behavior assumption study and incorporates the results of those studies into its projection of future gross profits. Additionally, throughout the year, the Company evaluates various aspects of policyholder behavior and periodically revises its policyholder assumptions as credible emerging data indicates that changes are warranted. The Company will continue to evaluate its assumptions related to policyholder behavior as initiatives to reduce the size of the variable annuity business are implemented by management. Upon completion of an annual assumption study or evaluation of credible new information, the Company will revise its assumptions to reflect its current best estimate. These assumption revisions will change the projected account values and the related EGPs in the DAC, SIA and URR amortization models, as well as the death and other insurance benefit reserving model.

All assumption changes that affect the estimate of future EGPs including the update of current account values, the use of the RTM estimation technique and policyholder behavior assumptions are considered an Unlock in the period of revision. An Unlock adjusts DAC, SIA, URR and death and other insurance benefit reserve balances in the Consolidated Balance Sheets with an offsetting benefit or charge in the Consolidated Statements of Operations in the period of the revision. An Unlock that results in an after-tax benefit generally occurs as a result of actual experience or future expectations of product profitability being favorable compared to previous estimates. An Unlock that results in an after-tax charge generally occurs as a result of actual experience or future expectations of product profitability being unfavorable compared to previous estimates.

EGPs are also used to determine the expected excess benefits and assessments included in the measurement of death and other insurance benefit reserves. These excess benefits and assessments are derived from a range of stochastic scenarios that have been calibrated to the Company's RTM separate account returns. The determination of death and other insurance benefit reserves is also impacted by discount rates, lapses, volatilities, mortality assumptions and benefit utilization, including assumptions around annuitization rates.

An Unlock revises EGPs, on a quarterly basis, to reflect market updates of policyholder account value and the Company's current best estimate assumptions. Modifications to the Company's hedging programs may impact EGPs, and correspondingly impact DAC recoverability. After each quarterly Unlock, the Company also tests the aggregate recoverability of DAC by comparing the DAC balance to the present value of future EGPs. The margin between the DAC balance and the present value of future EGPs for U.S. individual variable annuities was 38% as of December 31, 2013. If the margin between the DAC asset and the present value of future EGPs is exhausted, then further reductions in EGPs would cause portions of DAC to be unrecoverable and the DAC asset would be written down to equal future EGPs.

Evaluation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments on Available-for-Sale Securities and Valuation Allowances on Mortgage Loans

The Company has a monitoring process overseen by a committee of investment and accounting professionals that identifies investments that are subject to an enhanced evaluation on a quarterly basis to determine if an other-than-temporary impairment (“impairment”) is present for available-for-sale (“AFS”) securities or a valuation allowance is required for mortgage loans. This evaluation is a quantitative and qualitative process, which is subject to risks and uncertainties. For further discussion of the accounting policies, see the Significant Investment Accounting Policies Section in Note 1 - Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. For a discussion of impairments recorded, see the Other-Than-Temporary Impairments within the Investment Portfolio Risks and Risk Management section of the MD&A.

Living Benefits Required to be Fair Valued (in Other Policyholder Funds and Benefits Payable)

Fair values for GMWB and GMAB contracts are calculated using the income approach based upon internally developed models because active, observable markets do not exist for those items. The fair value of the Company's guaranteed benefit liabilities, classified as embedded derivatives, and the related reinsurance and customized freestanding derivatives is calculated as an aggregation of the following components: Best Estimate Claims Payments; Credit Standing Adjustment; and Margins. The resulting aggregation is reconciled or calibrated, if necessary, to market information that is, or may be, available to the Company, but may not be observable by other market participants, including reinsurance discussions and transactions. The Company believes the aggregation of these components, as necessary and as reconciled or calibrated to the market information available to the Company, results in an amount that the Company would be required to transfer, or receive, for an asset, to or from market participants in an active liquid market, if one existed, for those market participants to assume the risks associated with the guaranteed minimum benefits and the related reinsurance and customized derivatives. The fair value is likely to materially diverge from the ultimate settlement of the liability as the Company believes settlement will be based on our best estimate assumptions rather than those best estimate assumptions plus risk margins. In the absence of any transfer of the guaranteed benefit liability to a third party, the release of risk margins is likely to be reflected as realized gains in future periods' net income. For further discussion on the impact of fair value changes from living benefits see Note 5 - Fair Value Measurements of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements and for a discussion on the sensitivities of certain living benefits due to capital market factors see Variable Product Guarantee Risks and Risk Management section of the MD&A.

Goodwill Impairment

Goodwill balances are reviewed for impairment at least annually or more frequently if events occur or circumstances change that would indicate that a triggering event for a potential impairment has occurred. The goodwill impairment test follows a two-step process. In the first step, the fair value of a reporting unit is compared to its carrying value. If the carrying value of a reporting unit exceeds its fair value, the second step of the impairment test is performed for purposes of measuring the impairment. In the second step, the fair value of the reporting unit is allocated to all of the assets and liabilities of the reporting unit to determine an implied goodwill value. If the carrying amount of the reporting unit's goodwill exceeds the implied goodwill value, an impairment loss is recognized in an amount equal to that excess.

Management's determination of the fair value of each reporting unit incorporates multiple inputs into discounted cash flow calculations including assumptions that market participants would make in valuing the reporting unit. Assumptions include levels of economic capital, future business growth, earnings projections, assets under management for Mutual Funds, and the weighted average cost of capital used for purposes of discounting. Decreases in the amount of economic capital allocated to a reporting unit, decreases in business growth, decreases in earnings projections and increases in the weighted average cost of capital will all cause a reporting unit's fair value to decrease. A reporting unit is defined as an operating segment or one level below an operating segment. The Company's reporting units, for which goodwill has been allocated, are equivalent to the Company's operating segments as there is no discrete financial information available for the separate components of the operating segment, all of the components of the segment have similar economic characteristics, and it is the segment level that management reviews. The Group Benefits, Consumer Markets and Mutual Funds operating segments all have equivalent reporting units. Goodwill associated with the June 30, 2000 buyback of Hartford Life, Inc. was allocated to each of Hartford Life's reporting units based on the reporting unit's fair value of in-force business at the time of the buyback. Although this goodwill was allocated to each reporting unit, as shown in the table below, it is held in Corporate for segment reporting.

The carrying value of goodwill allocated to reporting units is as follows:

| | As of December 31, 2013 | | | As of December 31, 2012 | | |
|------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------|
| | Segment Goodwill | Goodwill in Corporate | Total | Segment Goodwill | Goodwill in Corporate | Total |
| Group Benefits | \$— | \$138 | \$138 | \$— | \$138 | \$138 |
| Consumer Markets | 119 | — | 119 | 119 | — | 119 |
| Mutual Funds | 149 | 92 | 241 | 149 | 92 | 241 |

Talcott Resolution:

| | | | | | | |
|----------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Retirement Plans [1] | — | — | — | 87 | 69 | 156 |
| Total | \$268 | \$230 | \$498 | \$355 | \$299 | \$654 |

[1] For further information, see Note 2 - Business Dispositions of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

In 2013, the Company completed the sale of its Retirement Plans business to Mass Mutual. Accordingly, the carrying value of the reporting unit's goodwill of \$156 was eliminated and included in reinsurance loss on disposition in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Operations.

The annual goodwill assessment for the Mutual Funds, Group Benefits, and Consumer Markets reporting units was completed during the fourth quarter of 2013, which resulted in no write-downs of goodwill for the year ended December 31, 2013. All reporting units passed the first step of their annual impairment test with a significant margin. For information on the results of goodwill impairment tests performed in 2012 and 2011 see Note 9 - Goodwill of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Valuation of Investments and Derivative Instruments

Available-for-Sale Securities, Fixed Maturities, FVO, Equity Securities, Trading, and Short-term Investments

The fair value of AFS securities, fixed maturities at fair value using the fair value option ("FVO"), equity securities, trading, and short-term investments in an active and orderly market (i.e., not distressed or forced liquidation) are determined by management after considering one of three primary sources of information: third-party pricing services, independent broker quotations or pricing matrices. Security pricing is applied using a "waterfall" approach whereby publicly available prices are first sought from third-party pricing services, the remaining unpriced securities are submitted to independent brokers for prices, or lastly, securities are priced using a pricing matrix. Typical inputs used by these pricing methods include, but are not limited to, reported trades, benchmark yields, issuer spreads, bids, offers, and/or estimated cash flows, prepayments speeds and default rates. Based on the typical trading volumes and the lack of quoted market prices for fixed maturities, third-party pricing services will normally derive the security prices through recent reported trades for identical or similar securities making adjustments through the reporting date based upon available market observable information as outlined above. If there are no recent reported trades, the third party pricing services and brokers may use matrix or model processes to develop a security price where future cash flow expectations are developed based upon collateral performance and discounted at an estimated market rate. Included in the pricing of asset-backed-securities ("ABS") and residential mortgage-backed securities ("RMBS") are estimates of the rate of future prepayments of principal over the remaining life of the securities. Such estimates are derived based on the characteristics of the underlying structure and prepayment speeds previously experienced at the interest rate levels projected for the underlying collateral. Actual prepayment experience may vary from these estimates. For further discussion, see the AFS Securities, Fixed Maturities, FVO, Equity Securities, Trading, and Short-Term Investments section in Note 5 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Company has analyzed the third-party pricing services' valuation methodologies and related inputs, and has also evaluated the various types of securities in its investment portfolio to determine an appropriate fair value hierarchy level based upon trading activity and the observability of market inputs. For further discussion of fair value measurement, see Note 5 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Derivative Instruments, including embedded derivatives within investments

The fair value of derivative instruments is determined using pricing valuation models for over-the-counter ("OTC") derivatives that utilize market data inputs, quoted market prices for exchanged-traded derivatives and transactions cleared through central clearing houses ("OTC-cleared"), or independent broker quotations. Excluding embedded and reinsurance related derivatives, as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, 97% of derivatives, based upon notional values, were priced by valuation models or quoted market prices. The remaining derivatives were priced by broker quotations. The derivatives are valued using mid-market level inputs that are predominantly observable in the market with the exception of the customized swap contracts that hedge GMWB liabilities. Inputs used to value derivatives include, but are not limited to, swap interest rates, foreign currency forward and spot rates, credit spreads and correlations, interest and equity volatility and equity index levels. For further discussion on derivative instrument valuation methodologies, see the Derivative Instruments, including embedded derivatives within the investments section in Note 5 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. For further discussion on GMWB and other guaranteed living benefits, valuation methodologies, see the Living Benefits Required to be Fair Valued section in Note 5 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Limited partnerships and other alternative investments

Limited partnerships and other alternative investments include hedge funds where investment company accounting has been applied to a wholly-owned fund of funds measured at fair value. These funds are fair valued using the net asset value per share or equivalent ("NAV"), as a practical expedient, calculated on a monthly basis and is the amount at which a unit or shareholder may redeem their investment, if redemption is allowed. Certain impediments to redemption include, but are not limited to the following: 1) redemption notice periods vary and may be as long as 90 days, 2) redemption may be restricted (e.g. only be allowed on a quarter-end), 3) a holding period referred to as a lock-up may be imposed whereby an investor must hold their investment for a specified period of time before they can make a notice for redemption, 4) gating provisions may limit all redemptions in a given period to a percentage of the entities' equity interests, or may only allow an investor to redeem a portion of their investment at one time and 5) early redemption penalties may be imposed that are expressed as a percentage of the amount redeemed. The Company assesses impediments to redemption and current market conditions that will restrict the redemption at the end of the notice period. For further discussion of fair value measurement, see Note 5 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. In addition, certain limited partnerships and other alternative investments are accounted for under the equity method of accounting. For further discussion, see the Investments - Overview section of Note 1 of Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Valuation Allowance on Deferred Tax Assets

Deferred tax assets represent the tax benefit of future deductible temporary differences and operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Deferred tax assets are measured using the enacted tax rates expected to be in effect when such benefits are realized if there is no change in tax law. Under U.S. GAAP, we test the value of deferred tax assets for impairment on a quarterly basis at the entity level within each tax jurisdiction, consistent with our filed tax returns. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance if, based on the weight of available evidence, it is more likely than not that some portion, or all, of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The determination of the valuation allowance for our deferred tax assets requires management to make certain judgments and assumptions. In evaluating the ability to recover deferred tax assets, we have considered all available evidence as of December 31, 2013, including past operating results, the existence of cumulative losses in the most recent years, forecasted earnings, future taxable income, and prudent and feasible tax planning strategies. In the event we determine it is not more likely than not that we will be able to realize all or part of our deferred tax assets in the future, an increase to the valuation allowance would be charged to earnings in the period such determination is made. Likewise, if it is later determined that it is more likely than not that those deferred tax assets would be realized, the previously provided valuation allowance would be reversed. Our judgments and assumptions are subject to change given the inherent uncertainty in predicting future performance and specific industry and investment market conditions.

The Company has recorded a deferred tax asset valuation allowance that is adequate to reduce the total deferred tax asset to an amount that will be more likely than not realized. The deferred tax asset valuation allowance was \$4, relating mostly to U.S. net operating losses, at December 31, 2013 and \$58, relating mostly to foreign net operating

losses, at December 31, 2012. In assessing the need for a valuation allowance, management considered future taxable temporary difference reversals, future taxable income exclusive of reversing temporary differences and carryforwards, taxable income in open carry back years, as well as other tax planning strategies. These tax planning strategies include holding a portion of debt securities with market value losses until recovery, altering the level of tax exempt securities held, selling appreciated securities to offset capital losses, business considerations such as asset-liability matching, and the sales of certain corporate assets. Management views such tax planning strategies as prudent and feasible, and would implement them, if necessary, to realize the deferred tax asset.

Contingencies Relating to Corporate Litigation and Regulatory Matters

Management evaluates each contingent matter separately. A loss is recorded if probable and reasonably estimable. Management establishes reserves for these contingencies at its “best estimate,” or, if no one number within the range of possible losses is more probable than any other, the Company records an estimated reserve at the low end of the range of losses.

The Company has a quarterly monitoring process involving legal and accounting professionals. Legal personnel first identify outstanding corporate litigation and regulatory matters posing a reasonable possibility of loss. These matters are then jointly reviewed by accounting and legal personnel to evaluate the facts and changes since the last review in order to determine if a provision for loss should be recorded or adjusted, the amount that should be recorded, and the appropriate disclosure. The outcomes of certain contingencies currently being evaluated by the Company, which relate to corporate litigation and regulatory matters, are inherently difficult to predict, and the reserves that have been established for the estimated settlement amounts are subject to significant changes. Management expects that the ultimate liability, if any, with respect to such lawsuits, after consideration of provisions made for estimated losses, will not be material to the consolidated financial condition of the Company. In view of the uncertainties regarding the outcome of these matters, as well as the uncertainties regarding tax-deductibility of payments, it is possible that the ultimate cost to the Company of these matters could exceed the reserve by an amount that would have a material adverse effect on the Company’s results of operations or liquidity in a particular quarterly or annual period.

KEY PERFORMANCE MEASURES AND RATIOS

The Company considers several measures and ratios to be the key performance indicators for its businesses. The following discussions include the more significant ratios and measures of profitability for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011. Management believes that these ratios and measures are useful in understanding the underlying trends in The Hartford's businesses. However, these key performance indicators should only be used in conjunction with, and not in lieu of, the results presented in the segment discussions that follow in this MD&A. These ratios and measures may not be comparable to other performance measures used by the Company's competitors.

Definitions of Non-GAAP and other measures and ratios

Account Value

Account value includes policyholders' balances for investment contracts and reserves for future policy benefits for insurance contracts. Account value is a measure used by the Company because a significant portion of the Company's fee income is based upon the level of account value. These revenues increase or decrease with a rise or fall in the amount of account value whether caused by changes in the market or through net flows.

After-tax Margin, excluding buyouts and realized gains (losses)

After-tax margin, excluding buyouts and realized gains (losses), is a non-GAAP financial measure that the Company uses to evaluate, and believes is an important measure of, the Group Benefits segment's operating performance.

After-tax margin is the most directly comparable U.S. GAAP measure. The Company believes that the measure after-tax margin, excluding buyouts and realized gains (losses), provides investors with a valuable measure of the performance of Group Benefits because it reveals trends in the business that may be obscured by the effect of buyouts and realized gains (losses). After-tax margin, excluding buyouts and realized gains (losses), should not be considered as a substitute for after-tax margin and does not reflect the overall profitability of Group Benefits. Therefore, the Company believes it is important for investors to evaluate both after-tax margin, excluding buyouts and realized gains (losses), and after-tax margin when reviewing performance. After-tax margin, excluding buyouts and realized gains (losses) is calculated by dividing core earnings excluding buyouts and realized gains (losses) by total core revenues excluding buyouts and realized gains (losses). A reconciliation of after-tax margin to after-tax margin, core earnings excluding buyouts and realized gains (losses) for the year ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 is set forth in the After-tax Margin section within MD&A - Group Benefits.

Assets Under Management

Assets under management ("AUM") include account values and mutual fund assets. AUM is a measure used by the Company because a significant portion of the Company's revenues are based upon asset values. These revenues increase or decrease with a rise or fall in the amount of account value whether caused by changes in the market or through net flows.

Catastrophe ratio

The catastrophe ratio (a component of the loss and loss adjustment expense ratio) represents the ratio of catastrophe losses incurred in the current calendar year (net of reinsurance) to earned premiums and includes catastrophe losses incurred for both the current and prior accident years. A catastrophe is an event that causes \$25 or more in industry insured property losses and affects a significant number of property and casualty policyholders and insurers. The catastrophe ratio includes the effect of catastrophe losses, but does not include the effect of reinstatement premiums.

Combined ratio

The combined ratio is the sum of the loss and loss adjustment expense ratio, the expense ratio and the policyholder dividend ratio. This ratio is a relative measurement that describes the related cost of losses and expenses for every \$100 of earned premiums. A combined ratio below 100 demonstrates underwriting profit; a combined ratio above 100 demonstrates underwriting losses.

Combined ratio before catastrophes and prior accident year development

The combined ratio before catastrophes and prior accident year development, a non-GAAP measure, represents the combined ratio for the current accident year, excluding the impact of catastrophes. Combined ratio is the most directly comparable U.S. GAAP measure. A reconciliation of combined ratio to combined ratio before prior accident year reserve development for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 is set forth in MD&A - Property & Casualty Commercial and Consumer Markets.

Core Earnings

Core earnings, a non-GAAP measure, is an important measure of the Company's operating performance. The Company believes that core earnings provides investors with a valuable measure of the performance of the Company's ongoing businesses because it reveals trends in our insurance and financial services businesses that may be obscured by including the net effect of certain realized capital gains and losses, discontinued operations, loss on extinguishment of debt, gains and losses on business disposition transactions, certain restructuring charges and the impact of Unlocks to DAC, SIA, URR and death and other insurance benefit reserve balances. Some realized capital gains and losses are primarily driven by investment decisions and external economic developments, the nature and timing of which are unrelated to the insurance and underwriting aspects of our business. Accordingly, core earnings excludes the effect of all realized gains and losses (net of tax and the effects of DAC) that tend to be highly variable from period to period based on capital market conditions. The Company believes, however, that some realized capital gains and losses are integrally related to our insurance operations, so core earnings includes net realized gains and losses such as net periodic settlements on credit derivatives and net periodic settlements on the Japan fixed annuity cross-currency swap. These net realized gains and losses are directly related to an offsetting item included in the income statement such as net investment income. Net income (loss) is the most directly comparable U.S. GAAP measure. Core earnings should not be considered as a substitute for net income (loss) and does not reflect the overall profitability of the Company's business. Therefore, the Company believes that it is useful for investors to evaluate both net income (loss) and core earnings when reviewing the Company's performance.

A reconciliation of net income to core earnings is set forth below:

| | For the years ended December 31, | | |
|--|----------------------------------|---------|---------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Net income (loss) | \$176 | \$(38) | \$712 |
| Less: Unlock impacts on net income (loss) | (525) | 28 | (481) |
| Less: Restructuring and other costs, net of tax | (44) | (129) | (16) |
| Less: Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | (134) | 62 | 139 |
| Less: Loss on extinguishment of debt, net of tax | (138) | (587) | — |
| Less: Reinsurance loss on business disposition, net of tax | (24) | (388) | — |
| Less: Net realized capital gains (losses), net of tax and DAC, excluded from core earnings | (701) | (410) | (38) |
| Core earnings | \$1,742 | \$1,386 | \$1,108 |

Current accident year loss and loss adjustment expense ratio before catastrophes

The current accident year loss and loss adjustment expense ratio before catastrophes is a measure of the cost of non-catastrophe claims incurred in the current accident year divided by earned premiums. Management believes that the current accident year loss and loss adjustment expense ratio before catastrophes is a performance measure that is useful to investors as it removes the impact of volatile and unpredictable catastrophe losses and prior accident year reserve development.

Expense ratio

The expense ratio for the underwriting segments of Property & Casualty Commercial and Consumer Markets is the ratio of underwriting expenses, excluding bad debt expense and certain corporate expenses, to earned premiums. Underwriting expenses include the amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and insurance operating costs and expenses. Deferred policy acquisition costs include commissions, taxes, licenses and fees and other underwriting expenses and are amortized over the policy term.

The expense ratio Group Benefits is expressed as a ratio of insurance operating costs and other expenses and amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs, to premiums and other considerations, excluding buyout premiums.

Fee Income

Fee income is largely driven from amounts collected as a result of contractually defined percentages of assets under management. These fees are generally collected on a daily basis. Therefore, the growth in assets under management either through positive net flows or net sales, or favorable equity market performance will have a favorable impact on fee income. Conversely, either negative net flows or net sales, or unfavorable equity market performance will reduce

fee income.

69

Loss and loss adjustment expense ratio

The loss and loss adjustment expense ratio is a measure of the cost of claims incurred in the calendar year divided by earned premium and includes losses incurred for both the current and prior accident years, as well as the costs of mortality and morbidity and other contractholder benefits to policyholders.

The loss and loss adjustment expense ratio is affected by claim frequency and claim severity, particularly for shorter-tail property lines of business, where the emergence of claim frequency and severity is credible and likely indicative of ultimate losses. Claim frequency represents the percentage change in the average number of reported claims per unit of exposure in the current accident year compared to that of the previous accident year. Claim severity represents the percentage change in the estimated average cost per claim in the current accident year compared to that of the previous accident year. As one of the factors used to determine pricing, the Company's practice is to first make an overall assumption about claim frequency and severity for a given line of business and then, as part of the ratemaking process, adjust the assumption as appropriate for the particular state, product or coverage. Among other factors, the loss and loss adjustment expense ratio needed for the Company to achieve its targeted return on equity fluctuates from year to year based on changes in the expected investment yield over the claim settlement period, the timing of expected claim settlements and the targeted returns set by management based on the competitive environment.

Loss ratio, excluding buyouts

The loss ratio is utilized for the Group Benefits segment and is expressed as a ratio of benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses to premiums and other considerations, excluding buyout premiums. Since Group Benefits occasionally buys a block of claims for a stated premium amount, the Company excludes this buyout from the loss ratio used for evaluating the underwriting results of the business as buyouts may distort the loss ratio. Buyout premiums represent takeover of open claim liabilities and other non-recurring premium amounts.

Mutual Fund Assets

Mutual fund assets are owned by the shareholders of those funds and not by the Company and therefore are not reflected in the Company's consolidated financial statements. Mutual fund assets are a measure used by the Company because a significant portion of the Company's revenues are based upon asset values. These revenues increase or decrease with a rise or fall in the amount of account value whether caused by changes in the market or through net flows.

New business written premium

New business written premium represents the amount of premiums charged for policies issues to customers who were not insured with the Company in the previous policy term. New business written premium plus renewal policy written premium equals total written premium.

Policies in force

Policies in force represent the number of policies with coverage in effect as of the end of the period. The number of policies in force is a growth measure used for Consumer Markets and standard commercial lines within Property & Casualty Commercial and is affected by both new business growth and premium renewal retention.

Policy count retention

Policy count retention represents the ratio of the number of policies renewed during the period divided by the number of policies from the previous policy term period. The number of policies available to renew from the previous policy term represents the number of policies written in the previous policy term net of any cancellations of those policies. Policy count retention is affected by a number of factors, including the percentage of renewal policy quotes accepted and decisions by the Company to non-renew policies because of specific policy underwriting concerns or because of a decision to reduce premium writings in certain classes of business or states. Policy count retention is also affected by advertising and rate actions taken by competitors.

Policyholder dividend ratio

The policyholder dividend ratio is the ratio of policyholder dividends to earned premium.

Prior accident year loss and loss adjustment expense ratio

The prior year loss and loss adjustment expense ratio represents the increase (decrease) in the estimated cost of settling catastrophe and non-catastrophe claims incurred in prior accident years as recorded in the current calendar

year divided by earned premiums.

Reinstatement premiums

Reinstatement premium represents additional ceded premium paid for the reinstatement of the amount of reinsurance coverage that was reduced as a result of a reinsurance loss payment.

70

Renewal earned price increase (decrease)

Written premiums are earned over the policy term, which is six months for certain personal lines auto business and 12 months for substantially all of the remainder of the Company's property and casualty business. Because the Company earns premiums over the 6 to 12 month term of the policies, renewal earned price increases (decreases) lag renewal written price increases (decreases) by 6 to 12 months.

Renewal written price increase (decrease)

Renewal written price increase (decrease) represents the combined effect of rate changes, amount of insurance and individual risk pricing decisions per unit of exposure since the prior year. The rate component represents the change in rate filings during the period and the amount of insurance represents the change in the value of the rating base, such as model year/vehicle symbol for auto, building replacement costs for property and wage inflation for workers' compensation. A number of factors affect renewal written price increases (decreases) including expected loss costs as projected by the Company's pricing actuaries, rate filings approved by state regulators, risk selection decisions made by the Company's underwriters and marketplace competition. Renewal written price changes reflect the property and casualty insurance market cycle. Prices tend to increase for a particular line of business when insurance carriers have incurred significant losses in that line of business in the recent past or the industry as a whole commits less of its capital to writing exposures in that line of business. Prices tend to decrease when recent loss experience has been favorable or when competition among insurance carriers increases. Renewal written price statistics are subject to change from period to period, based on a number of factors, including changes in actuarial estimates and the effect of subsequent cancellations and non-renewals on rate achieved, and modifications made to better reflect ultimate pricing achieved.

Return on Assets ("ROA"), core earnings

ROA, core earnings, is a non-GAAP financial measure that the Company uses to evaluate, and believes is an important measure of, certain of the segment's operating performance. ROA is the most directly comparable U.S. GAAP measure. The Company believes that the measure ROA, core earnings, provides investors with a valuable measure of the performance of certain of the Company's on-going businesses because it reveals trends in our businesses that may be obscured by the effect of realized gains (losses). ROA, core earnings, should not be considered as a substitute for ROA and does not reflect the overall profitability of our businesses. Therefore, the Company believes it is important for investors to evaluate both ROA, core earnings, and ROA when reviewing the Company's performance. ROA is calculated by dividing core earnings by a two-point average AUM. A reconciliation of ROA to ROA, core earnings for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 is set forth in the ROA section within MD&A - Mutual Funds.

Underwriting gain (loss)

The Company's management evaluates profitability of the P&C businesses primarily on the basis of underwriting gain (loss). Underwriting gain (loss) is a before-tax measure that represents earned premiums less incurred losses, loss adjustment expenses and underwriting expenses. Net income is the most directly comparable GAAP measure. Underwriting gain (loss) is influenced significantly by earned premium growth and the adequacy of the Company's pricing. Underwriting profitability over time is also greatly influenced by the Company's underwriting discipline, which seeks to manage exposure to loss through favorable risk selection and diversification, its management of claims, its use of reinsurance and its ability to manage its expense ratio, which it accomplishes through economies of scale and its management of acquisition costs and other underwriting expenses. The Company believes that underwriting gain (loss) provides investors with a valuable measure of before-tax profitability derived from underwriting activities, which are managed separately from the Company's investing activities. A reconciliation of underwriting gain (loss) to net income for Property & Casualty Commercial and Consumer Markets is set forth in their respective discussions herein.

Written and earned premiums

Written premium is a statutory accounting financial measure which represents the amount of premiums charged for policies issued, net of reinsurance, during a fiscal period. Earned premium is a U.S. GAAP and statutory measure. Premiums are considered earned and are included in the financial results on a pro rata basis over the policy period. Management believes that written premium is a performance measure that is useful to investors as it reflects current

trends in the Company's sale of property and casualty insurance products. Written and earned premium are recorded net of ceded reinsurance premium.

Traditional life insurance type products, such as those sold by Group Benefits, collect premiums from policyholders in exchange for financial protection for the policyholder from a specified insurable loss, such as death or disability.

These premiums together with net investment income earned from the overall investment strategy are used to pay the contractual obligations under these insurance contracts. Two major factors, new sales and persistency, impact premium growth. Sales can increase or decrease in a given year based on a number of factors, including but not limited to, customer demand for the Company's product offerings, pricing competition, distribution channels and the Company's reputation and ratings. Persistency refers to the percentage of policies remaining in-force from year-to-year.

PROPERTY & CASUALTY COMMERCIAL

Results of Operations

| Underwriting Summary | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---|
| Written premiums | \$6,208 | \$6,209 | \$6,176 | |
| Change in unearned premium reserve | 5 | (50) |)49 | |
| Earned premiums | 6,203 | 6,259 | 6,127 | |
| Losses and loss adjustment expenses | | | | |
| Current accident year before catastrophes | 3,897 | 4,178 | 4,139 | |
| Current accident year catastrophes | 105 | 325 | 320 | |
| Prior accident years | 83 | 72 | 125 | |
| Total losses and loss adjustment expenses | 4,085 | 4,575 | 4,584 | |
| Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs | 905 | 927 | 917 | |
| Underwriting expenses | 953 | 925 | 887 | |
| Dividends to policyholders | 16 | 14 | 18 | |
| Underwriting gain (loss) | 244 | (182) |)279 |) |
| Net servicing income [2] | 21 | 17 | 13 | |
| Net investment income | 984 | 924 | 910 | |
| Net realized capital gains (losses) | 72 | 67 | (50) |) |
| Goodwill impairment | — | — | (30) |) |
| Other expenses | (130) |)115 |)151 |) |
| Income from continuing operations before income taxes | 1,191 | 711 | 413 | |
| Income tax expense | 320 | 159 | 37 | |
| Income from continuing operations, net of tax | 871 | 552 | 376 | |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax [1] | (1) |)5 |)150 | |
| Net income | \$870 | \$547 | \$526 | |

[1] Represents the income from operations and sale of Specialty Risk Services (“SRS”). For additional information, see Note 20 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

[2] Includes servicing revenues of \$112, \$102, and \$97 for the years ended December 31, 2013, December 31, 2012, and December 31, 2011 respectively.

| Premium Measures [1] | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | |
|--|---------|-------|---------|---|
| New business premium | \$1,035 | \$968 | \$1,097 | |
| Standard commercial lines policy count retention | 81 | %83 | %82 | % |
| Standard commercial lines renewal written pricing increase | 8 | %7 | %4 | % |
| Standard commercial lines renewal earned pricing increase | 8 | %6 | %2 | % |
| Standard commercial lines policies in-force as of end of period (in thousands) | 1,250 | 1,263 | 1,254 | |

[1] Standard commercial lines represents the Company’s small commercial and middle market property and casualty lines.

| Ratios | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|------|-------|-------|
| Loss and loss adjustment expense ratio | | | |
| Current accident year before catastrophes | 62.8 | 66.8 | 67.6 |
| Current accident year catastrophes | 1.7 | 5.2 | 5.2 |
| Prior year development | 1.3 | 1.2 | 2.0 |
| Total loss and loss adjustment expense ratio | 65.9 | 73.1 | 74.8 |
| Expense ratio | 30.0 | 29.6 | 29.4 |
| Policyholder dividend ratio | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Combined ratio | 96.1 | 102.9 | 104.6 |
| Current accident year catastrophes and prior year development | 3.0 | 6.4 | 7.2 |

| | | | |
|---|------|------|------|
| Combined ratio before catastrophes and prior year development | 93.0 | 96.6 | 97.3 |
|---|------|------|------|

72

2014 Outlook

The Company expects market conditions to continue to improve slowly driving a modest increase in exposures, while pricing, which should remain favorable in the near-term, is anticipated to moderate due to increased competition. As such, the Company expects low single-digit written premiums growth in 2014, as compared to 2013, driven by small commercial and middle market where the Company continues to develop comprehensive product solutions, deeper relationships with distribution partners, differentiating customer experiences and ease of doing business processes and technologies. In specialty lines, the Company expects written premiums to decline as the Company continues to streamline its programs business and adjusts the mix within professional liability. The Company expects the combined ratio before catastrophes and prior accident year development will be between approximately 90.0 and 92.0 for 2014, compared to 93.0 in 2013, due to continued margin expansion across all lines of business as expected earned pricing increases outpace loss costs.

Year ended December 31, 2013 compared to the year ended December 31, 2012

Net income, as compared to the prior year period, increased in 2013 primarily due to improvements in underwriting results, driven by lower current accident year losses before catastrophes and lower current accident year catastrophe losses.

Earned premiums decreased in 2013, reflecting the impact of lower written premiums primarily in specialty lines and to a lesser extent in middle market, partially offset by written premium growth in small commercial. Written premium increases in small commercial, primarily in workers' compensation business, were driven by favorable audit premium as well as favorable renewal premium due to higher earned pricing, partially offset by lower policy count retention. Written premium decreases in middle market were driven primarily by lower renewal premium in workers' compensation business partially offset by new business premium growth in property, general liability and auto and favorable overall inforce policy retention. Written premium decreases in specialty lines were primarily the result of underwriting actions to reposition business and exit unprofitable programs partially offset by new business growth in national accounts. The Company ceased writing all transportation programs effective January 1, 2014.

Losses and loss adjustment expenses reflect favorable current accident year losses before catastrophes in all three businesses and a significant decline in current accident year catastrophes partially offset by unfavorable prior accident years development.

Favorable current accident year losses and loss adjustment expenses before catastrophes were primarily driven by lower loss and loss adjustment expenses in workers' compensation due to favorable severity and frequency. The current accident year loss and loss adjustment expense ratio before catastrophes decreased accordingly by 4.0 points to 62.8 in 2013 from 66.8 in 2012.

Current accident year catastrophe losses of \$105, before tax, in 2013, compared to \$325, before tax, in 2012. Losses in 2013 were primarily due to multiple thunderstorm, hail, and tornado events across various U.S. geographic regions. Losses in 2012 were primarily driven by \$207 related to Storm Sandy and multiple thunderstorm, hail, and tornado events across various U.S. geographic regions. For additional information, see MD&A - Critical Accounting Estimates, Property and Casualty Insurance Product Reserves, Net of Reinsurance.

Prior accident years reserve strengthening of \$83, before tax, in 2013, compared to \$72, before tax, in 2012.

Development in 2013 was primarily due to strengthening related to commercial auto liability and the closing of the New York Section 25A Fund for Reopened Cases partially offset by a release of general liability reserves.

Development in 2012 was primarily due to strengthening related to commercial auto liability claims, professional liability directors and officers claims and workers compensation partially offset by a release of general liability and catastrophe reserves. For additional information, see MD&A - Critical Accounting Estimates, Reserve Roll-forwards and Development.

The combined ratio, before catastrophes and prior year development, improved 3.6 points to 93.0 in 2013 from 96.6 in 2012. The decrease primarily reflects a favorable decrease in the current accident year before catastrophes ratio.

The effective tax rate, in both periods, differs from the U.S. Federal statutory rate primarily due to permanent differences related to investments in tax exempt securities. For further discussion of income taxes, see Note 14 - Income Taxes of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011

Net income, as compared to the prior year period, increased in 2012 primarily due to improvements in underwriting results, driven by an increase in earned premiums and lower unfavorable prior year development, and improvements in net realized capital gains (losses), mainly on derivatives. This was offset by the gain on sale of SRS which occurred in 2011.

Earned premiums increased in 2012 primarily due to improvements in workers' compensation, driven by renewal earned pricing increases, strong policy count retention and an increase in policies-in-force. The earned pricing changes were primarily a reflection of written pricing changes over the last year. Renewal written pricing increased across all standard commercial lines driven by improving market conditions.

Losses and loss adjustment expenses reflect less unfavorable prior accident years development partially offset by unfavorable current accident year losses before catastrophes.

Current accident year catastrophe losses of \$325, before tax, in 2012, compared to \$320, before tax, in 2011.

Losses in 2012 were primarily driven by \$207 related to Storm Sandy and multiple thunderstorm, hail, and tornado events across various U.S. geographic regions. Losses in 2011 were primarily driven by \$60 related to Hurricane Irene and multiple tornado, winter storm, and thunderstorm events across various U.S. geographic regions. For additional information, see MD&A - Critical Accounting Estimates, Property and Casualty Insurance Product Reserves, Net of Reinsurance.

Prior accident years reserve strengthening of \$72, before tax, in 2012, compared to \$125, before tax, in 2011. The decline in unfavorable prior year development was primarily due to lower strengthening on workers' compensation reserves. For additional information, see MD&A - Critical Accounting Estimates, Reserve Roll-forwards and Development.

The combined ratio, before catastrophes and prior year development, improved 0.7 points to 96.6 in 2012 from 97.3 in 2011. The improvement in the ratio primarily reflects lower non-catastrophe property losses. In addition, workers' compensation frequency improved in 2012, while severity moderated and earned pricing increased.

The effective tax rate, in both periods, differs from the U.S. Federal statutory rate primarily due to permanent differences related to investments in tax exempt securities. In addition, due to the availability of additional tax planning strategies, the Company released the valuation allowance associated with investment realized capital losses in 2011. For further discussion of income taxes, see Note 14 - Income Taxes of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

CONSUMER MARKETS

Results of Operations

| Operating Summary | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | |
|---|---------|---------|---------|---|
| Written premiums | \$3,719 | \$3,630 | \$3,675 | |
| Change in unearned premium reserve | 59 | (6 |)(72 |) |
| Earned premiums | 3,660 | 3,636 | 3,747 | |
| Losses and loss adjustment expenses | | | | |
| Current accident year before catastrophes | 2,412 | 2,390 | 2,536 | |
| Current accident year catastrophes | 207 | 381 | 425 | |
| Prior accident years | (39 |)(141 |)(75 |) |
| Total losses and loss adjustment expenses | 2,580 | 2,630 | 2,886 | |
| Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs | 332 | 332 | 337 | |
| Underwriting expenses | 571 | 581 | 572 | |
| Underwriting gain (loss) | 177 | 93 | (48 |) |
| Net servicing income [1] | 34 | 23 | 19 | |
| Net investment income | 145 | 159 | 187 | |
| Net realized capital gains (losses) | 34 | 12 | (11 |) |
| Other expenses | (61 |)(56 |)(162 |) |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 329 | 231 | (15 |) |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | 100 | 65 | (22 |) |
| Net income | \$229 | \$166 | \$7 | |

[1] Includes servicing revenues of \$163, \$155 and \$156 for years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 respectively.

| Written Premiums | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Product Line | | | |
| Automobile | \$2,562 | \$2,514 | \$2,562 |
| Homeowners | 1,157 | 1,116 | 1,113 |
| Total | \$3,719 | \$3,630 | \$3,675 |
| Earned Premiums | | | |
| Product Line | | | |
| Automobile | \$2,522 | \$2,526 | \$2,619 |
| Homeowners | 1,138 | 1,110 | 1,128 |
| Total | \$3,660 | \$3,636 | \$3,747 |

| | | | | |
|---|-------|-------|-------|---|
| Premium Measures | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | |
| Policies in force at year end | | | | |
| Automobile | 2,019 | 2,015 | 2,081 | |
| Homeowners | 1,319 | 1,319 | 1,339 | |
| Total policies in force at year end | 3,338 | 3,334 | 3,419 | |
| New business premium | | | | |
| Automobile | \$374 | \$332 | \$298 | |
| Homeowners | \$131 | \$117 | \$91 | |
| Policy count retention | | | | |
| Automobile | 86 | %85 | %83 | % |
| Homeowners | 87 | %86 | %84 | % |
| Renewal written pricing increase | | | | |
| Automobile | 5 | %4 | %5 | % |
| Homeowners | 7 | %6 | %8 | % |
| Renewal earned pricing increase | | | | |
| Automobile | 5 | %5 | %6 | % |
| Homeowners | 6 | %7 | %9 | % |
| Ratios and Supplemental Data | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | |
| Loss and loss adjustment expense ratio | | | | |
| Current accident year before catastrophes | 65.9 | 65.7 | 67.7 | |
| Current accident year catastrophes | 5.7 | 10.5 | 11.3 | |
| Prior year development | (1.1) | (3.9) | (2.0) |) |
| Total loss and loss adjustment expense ratio | 70.5 | 72.3 | 77.0 | |
| Expense ratio | 24.7 | 25.1 | 24.3 | |
| Combined ratio | 95.2 | 97.4 | 101.3 | |
| Current accident year catastrophes and prior year development | 4.6 | 6.6 | 9.3 | |
| Combined ratio before catastrophes and prior year development | 90.6 | 90.8 | 91.9 | |
| Product Combined Ratios | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | |
| Automobile | 97.3 | 97.6 | 95.3 | |
| Homeowners | 89.2 | 97.0 | 115.8 | |

2014 Outlook

The Company expects low single-digit written premiums growth driven by business sold through independent agents to AARP members and by AARP Direct. The Company expects the combined ratio before catastrophes and prior accident year development will be between approximately 87.0 and 90.0 for 2014 compared to 90.6 in 2013. For auto, the current accident year loss and loss adjustment expense ratio before catastrophes is expected to improve slightly for 2014, driven by earned pricing increases and lower claim frequency partially offset by higher average claim severity. For homeowners, the current accident year loss and loss adjustment expense ratio before catastrophes is expected to decline in 2014, driven by earned pricing increases in excess of increases in non-catastrophe loss cost trends.

Year ended December 31, 2013 compared to the year ended December 31, 2012

Net income, as compared to the prior year period, increased in 2013 primarily due to improvements in underwriting results, driven by lower current year catastrophes partially offset by lower favorable prior year development.

Earned premiums increased in 2013, reflecting new business written premium growth in auto and home, primarily from the AARP Direct and AARP through agents distribution channels and improved policy count retention in auto and home due to initiatives implemented over the last two years.

Losses and loss adjustment expenses reflect a decline in current accident year catastrophes partially offset by lower favorable prior accident years development.

Current accident year losses and loss adjustment expenses before catastrophes increased in 2013 compared to 2012 in line with the growth in earned premium and as reflected by the current accident year loss and loss adjustment expense ratio before catastrophes of 65.9 in 2013 as compared with 65.7 in 2012.

Current accident year catastrophe losses of \$207, before tax, in 2013 compared to \$381, before tax in 2012. Losses in 2013 were primarily due to multiple thunderstorm, hail and tornado events across various U.S. geographic regions.

Losses in 2012 were primarily driven by losses from Storm Sandy of \$143 along with other thunderstorm and hail events across various U.S. geographic regions. For additional information, see MD&A - Critical Accounting Estimates, Property and Casualty Insurance Product Reserves, Net of Reinsurance.

Prior accident years reserve releases of \$39, before tax, in 2013 compared to \$141, before tax, in 2012. Reserve releases in 2013 were primarily related to Storm Sandy. Reserve releases in 2012 were due to favorable emergence of losses in auto liability, homeowners and catastrophes. For additional information, see MD&A - Critical Accounting Estimates, Property and Casualty Insurance Product Reserves, Net of Reinsurance.

The combined ratio, before current accident year catastrophes and prior year development, improved slightly to 90.6 in 2013 from 90.8 in 2012.

The effective tax rates in 2013 and 2012 differ from the U.S. Federal statutory rate of 35% primarily due to permanent differences related to investments in tax exempt securities. For further discussion of income taxes, see Note 14 - Income Taxes of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011

Net income, as compared to the prior year period, increased in 2012 primarily due to more favorable prior accident year reserve development, lower current accident year catastrophes, and a \$73 after-tax charge in 2011, related to the write off of capitalized costs associated with a policy administration software project that was discontinued.

Earned premiums decreased in auto and were down modestly for homeowners, as a decline in renewal written premium more than offset an increase in new business written premium. Compared to 2011, the number of policies in-force decreased for both auto and home, driven by non-renewals. Policy count retention for auto and home increased as moderating renewal written price increases improved the Company's price competitiveness. Changes in underwriting practices and service operations have also contributed to the improvement in retention.

Auto and home new business written premium increased primarily due to more competitive new business pricing in AARP Direct and an increase in the sale of the AARP auto product through independent agents. The lower auto and homeowners renewal earned pricing in 2012 was primarily due to lower rate increases. For both auto and homeowners, an increase in earned pricing was partially offset by a shift in the mix of business by territory, class plan and pricing tier to policies with lower average earned premium, such that increases in average earned premium were less than the increases in earned pricing.

Losses and loss adjustment expenses reflect a decline in current accident year before catastrophes and current accident year catastrophes partially offset by favorable prior accident years development.

Current accident year losses and loss adjustment expenses before catastrophes decreased primarily due to lower earned premiums and a decrease in the overall current accident year loss and loss adjustment expense ratio before catastrophes. In 2012, the current accident year loss and loss adjustment expense ratio before catastrophes decreased primarily due to a decrease in home, partially offset by an increase in auto. The decrease for home was primarily due to earned pricing increases and a decrease in the frequency of non-catastrophe weather claims. The increase for auto was primarily due to higher loss cost severity for first party physical damage and third party property damage claims, largely offset by the effect of earned pricing increases.

Current accident year catastrophe losses of \$381, before tax, in 2012 compared to \$425, before tax in 2011. Losses in 2012 were primarily driven by \$143 related to Storm Sandy and multiple thunderstorm, hail, and tornado events across various U.S. geographic regions. Losses in 2011 were primarily driven by \$60 related to Hurricane Irene and multiple tornado, winter storm, and thunderstorm events across various U.S. geographic regions.

Favorable prior year development of \$141, pre-tax, in 2012 compared with \$75, pre-tax, in 2011, as a result of more favorable development in homeowners' and catastrophe losses. For additional information regarding prior accident years reserve development, see the Property and Casualty Insurance Product Reserves, Net of Reinsurance section within Critical Accounting Estimates.

The combined ratio, before current accident year catastrophes and prior year development, improved 1.1 points to 90.8 in 2012 from 91.9 in 2011. The improvement in the combined ratio reflects a 2 point decrease in the current accident year before catastrophes ratio, partially offset by a slight increase in the expense ratio.

The effective tax rate, in both periods, differs from the U.S. Federal statutory rate primarily due to permanent differences related to investments in tax exempt securities. For further discussion of income taxes, see Note 14 - Income Taxes of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

PROPERTY & CASUALTY OTHER OPERATIONS

Results of Operations

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | |
|---|------|-------|--------|---|
| Underwriting Summary | | | | |
| Written premiums | \$2 | \$8 | \$1 | |
| Change in unearned premium reserve | 1 | 10 | 1 | |
| Earned premiums | 1 | (2 |)— | |
| Losses and loss adjustment expenses | | | | |
| Prior accident years | 148 | 65 | 317 | |
| Total losses and loss adjustment expenses | 148 | 65 | 317 | |
| Underwriting expenses | 29 | 33 | 27 | |
| Underwriting losses | (176 |)(100 |)(344 |) |
| Net servicing expense | (1 |)— | — | |
| Net investment income | 141 | 149 | 151 | |
| Net realized capital gains (losses) | 12 | 17 | (1 |) |
| Other income | 2 | 5 | 3 | |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | (22 |)71 | (191 |) |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | (20 |)14 | (74 |) |
| Net income (loss) | \$(2 |)\$57 | \$(117 |) |

Year ended December 31, 2013 compared to the year ended December 31, 2012

Net income, as compared to the prior year period, declined in 2013 primarily due to net asbestos and environmental reserve strengthening. As part of its annual ground-up asbestos and environmental reserve evaluations in 2013, the Company strengthened its associated reserves by \$130 and \$10, before tax, respectively. In 2012, the Company strengthened its net asbestos and environmental reserves by \$48 and \$3, before tax, respectively.

For information on net asbestos and environmental reserves, see Property & Casualty Other Operations Claims within the Property and Casualty Insurance Product Reserves, Net of Reinsurance section in Critical Accounting Estimates.

Year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011

Net income, as compared to the prior year period, increased in 2012. As a result of annual reviews of asbestos and environmental liabilities, the company strengthened its net asbestos reserves by \$48, pre-tax, and environmental reserves by \$3, pre-tax, as compared to \$290, pre-tax, and \$19, pre-tax, respectively, in 2011.

For information on net asbestos and environmental reserves, see Property & Casualty Other Operations Claims within the Property and Casualty Insurance Product Reserves, Net of Reinsurance section in Critical Accounting Estimates.

GROUP BENEFITS

Results of Operations

| Operating Summary | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---|
| Premiums and other considerations [1] | \$3,330 | \$3,810 | \$4,147 | |
| Net investment income | 390 | 405 | 411 | |
| Net realized capital gains (losses) | 50 | 40 | (3 |) |
| Total revenues | 3,770 | 4,255 | 4,555 | |
| Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses | 2,518 | 3,029 | 3,306 | |
| Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs | 33 | 33 | 35 | |
| Insurance operating costs and other expenses | 964 | 1,033 | 1,121 | |
| Total benefits, losses and expenses | 3,515 | 4,095 | 4,462 | |
| Income before income taxes | 255 | 160 | 93 | |
| Income tax expense | 63 | 31 | 1 | |
| Net income [1] | \$192 | \$129 | \$92 | |
| Premiums and other considerations | | | | |
| Fully insured — ongoing premiums | \$3,272 | \$3,745 | \$4,036 | |
| Buyout premiums | 1 | 3 | 49 | |
| Other | 57 | 62 | 62 | |
| Total premiums and other considerations | 3,330 | 3,810 | 4,147 | |
| Fully insured ongoing sales, excluding buyouts | \$393 | \$405 | \$505 | |
| Ratios, excluding buyouts | | | | |
| Loss ratio | 75.6 | % 79.5 | % 79.5 | % |
| Loss ratio, excluding financial institutions | 79.3 | % 84.1 | % 84.5 | % |
| Expense ratio | 29.9 | % 28.0 | % 28.2 | % |
| Expense ratio, excluding financial institutions | 26.8 | % 24.1 | % 23.7 | % |
| After-tax margin | | | | |
| After-tax margin (excluding buyouts) | 5.1 | % 3.0 | % 2.0 | % |
| Effect of net realized gains, net of tax on after-tax margin | 0.8 | % 0.6 | % 0.1 | % |
| After-tax margin (excluding buyouts), excluding realized gains | 4.3 | % 2.4 | % 1.9 | % |

Group Benefits has a block of Association - Financial Institution business ("association business") that is subject to [1] a profit sharing arrangement with third parties. The association business represented \$277, \$321 and \$367 of premiums and other considerations, and \$1, \$2 and \$(6) of net income (loss) in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

2014 Outlook

The Company expects premiums to decline for 2014 as compared to 2013 reflecting management actions to reduce the association business. Overall, the reduction in association business premiums is not expected to significantly impact the profitability of the Group Benefits segment. The Company expects Group Benefits' disability results to improve as a result of continued pricing actions, continued favorable claim recoveries and lower incidence. The Company expects Group Benefits' after-tax margin (excluding buyouts and realized gains) will be between approximately 4.5% and 5.0% for 2014 as compared to 4.3% in 2013.

Year ended December 31, 2013 compared to the year ended December 31, 2012

Net income, as compared to the prior year period, increased in 2013 driven primarily by an improvement in the loss ratio and lower insurance operating costs and other expenses, partially offset by a decrease in premiums and other considerations.

The decrease in premiums was driven by continued pricing discipline, our decision not to renew our largest account effective January 1, 2013 due to pricing and other considerations and management actions to reduce the association business. Insurance operating costs and other expenses decreased in 2013 as compared to the prior year due to lower commission payments as a result of overall lower premiums.

The improvement in the loss ratio in 2013 was primarily attributable to the long-term disability product driven by favorable claim recoveries from claims incurred in 2013 and prior years, lower incidence trends and improved renewal pricing. Additionally, the 2012 loss ratio reflected unfavorable long-term disability severity. The increase in after-tax margin, excluding buyouts and net realized capital gains (losses), was primarily due to an improved loss ratio.

The effective tax rate, in both periods, differs from the U.S. Federal statutory rate primarily due to permanent differences related to investments in tax exempt securities. For further discussion of income taxes, see Note 14 - Income Taxes of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011

Net income, as compared to the prior year period, increased in 2012. While realized capital gains improved in current year, this was partially offset by a decrease in fully insured ongoing premiums, due to the lower sales and persistency resulting from the Company's pricing initiatives as well as the competitive market environment.

The change in insurance operating costs and other expenses is due to lower commission payments as a result of lower sales and a onetime payment to a third party administrator in the first quarter of 2011.

The loss ratio remained flat for both years 2012 and 2011. Loss experience in 2012 reflects stable incidence trends during the year, although elevated when compared to historical levels, and a continuation of the slightly improving claim recoveries in group long-term disability that emerged in mid-2012. Group life claims experience deteriorated modestly compared with 2011 but remained more favorable than group long-term disability.

The increase in after-tax margin (excluding buyouts), excluding realized gains (losses), was primarily due to lower insurance operating costs and other expenses, partially offset by the impact of lower premiums and other considerations due to lower sales and persistency.

The effective tax rate, in both periods, differs from the U.S. Federal statutory rate primarily due to permanent differences related to investments in tax exempt securities. For further discussion of income taxes, see Note 14 - Income Taxes of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

MUTUAL FUNDS

Results of Operations

| Operating Summary | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | |
|--|-------|-------|-------|---|
| Fee income and other revenue | \$678 | \$599 | \$649 | |
| Net investment loss | — | (3 |)(3 |) |
| Net realized capital losses | — | — | 1 | |
| Total revenues | 678 | 596 | 647 | |
| Amortization of DAC | 39 | 35 | 47 | |
| Insurance operating costs and other expenses | 521 | 452 | 448 | |
| Total benefits, losses and expenses | 560 | 487 | 495 | |
| Income before income taxes | 118 | 109 | 152 | |
| Income tax expense | 42 | 38 | 54 | |
| Net income | \$76 | \$71 | \$98 | |

MUTUAL FUNDS AUM by DISTRIBUTION CHANNEL

Retail Mutual Funds [1]

| | | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|---|
| AUM, beginning of period | \$45,013 | \$41,785 | \$50,225 | |
| Sales | 11,303 | 8,810 | 11,145 | |
| Redemptions [3] | (12,721 |)(11,087 |)(16,560 |) |
| Net flows | (1,418 |)(2,277 |)(5,415 |) |
| Change in market value and other | 9,445 | 5,505 | (3,025 |) |
| AUM, end of period | \$53,040 | \$45,013 | \$41,785 | |

Retirement Mutual Funds [2]

| | | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|---|
| AUM, beginning of period | \$16,598 | \$16,140 | \$16,635 | |
| Sales | 3,869 | 3,031 | 5,486 | |
| Redemptions [3] | (6,975 |)(5,171 |)(4,893 |) |
| Net flows | (3,106 |)(2,140 |)(593 |) |
| Change in market value and other | 4,386 | 2,598 | (1,088 |) |
| AUM, end of period | \$17,878 | \$16,598 | \$16,140 | |

Total Mutual Funds

| | | | | |
|--|----------|----------|----------|---|
| AUM, beginning of period | \$61,611 | \$57,925 | \$66,860 | |
| Sales | 15,172 | 11,841 | 16,631 | |
| Redemptions [3] | (19,696 |)(16,258 |)(21,453 |) |
| Net flows | (4,524 |)(4,417 |)(4,822 |) |
| Change in market value and other | 13,831 | 8,103 | (4,113 |) |
| AUM, end of period | \$70,918 | \$61,611 | \$57,925 | |
| Average Mutual Funds Assets Under Management | \$66,265 | \$59,768 | \$62,392 | |
| Annuity Mutual Fund Assets [4] | \$25,817 | \$26,036 | \$27,613 | |
| Total Assets Under Management | \$96,735 | \$87,647 | \$85,538 | |
| Average Assets Under Management | \$92,191 | \$86,592 | \$93,013 | |

[1] Includes mutual funds offered within 529 college savings plans previously categorized as Other.

[2] Includes mutual funds offered within employee directed retirement plans including on-going business related to the Company's Retirement Plans and Individual Life businesses sold in January 2013.

[3] Redemptions in the retail channel include a portfolio rebalance at a key distributor of \$1.1 billion, and in the defined contribution channel include an institutional redemption of \$1.4 billion, together totaling \$2.5 billion for the year ended December 30, 2013.

[4] Includes Company-sponsored mutual fund assets held in separate accounts supporting variable insurance and investment products.

| MUTUAL FUNDS AUM by ASSET CLASS | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|----------|----------|----------|
| Equity | 42,426 | 35,843 | 35,489 |
| Fixed Income | 14,632 | 14,524 | 13,064 |
| Multi-Strategy Investments | 13,860 | 11,244 | 9,372 |
| Total Mutual Funds AUM, end of period | \$70,918 | \$61,611 | \$57,925 |
| RETURN ON ASSETS | | | |
| ROA | 8.2 | 8.2 | 10.5 |
| Effect of restructuring, net of tax | (0.2 |) (0.3 |) — |
| Effect of net realized gains, net of tax and DAC | (0.1 |) — | — |
| ROA, core earnings | 8.5 | 8.5 | 10.5 |

2014 Outlook

The primary objective of Mutual Funds is to increase earnings by growing total assets under management. Assuming normal market conditions, the Company expects 2014 earnings growth of approximately 10%, driven by improved earnings in retail and retirement mutual funds, partially offset by the runoff of the mutual funds supporting the Company's variable annuity products. Fund performance, fluctuations in the financial markets, developing and maintaining client relationships and net flows are all factors that influence assets under management. The relationship with Wellington Management, our primary sub-advisor, provides retail and retirement clients with a diversified lineup of domestic and international equity, fixed income and asset allocation funds. These products, combined with our strong long-term fund performance and expanded key client relationships are important in order to drive improved net flows and earnings going forward.

Year ended December 31, 2013 compared to the year ended December 31, 2012

Net income, as compared to the prior year period, increased in 2013 primarily due to higher fee income driven by higher average AUM partially offset by increased variable expenses. The increase in net income was driven by growth in the retail and defined contribution mutual funds business, while earnings growth from the annuity mutual funds runoff business was flat. AUM increased reflecting strong sales growth and the solid performance of the Company's funds throughout the year, largely offset by negative net flows including outflows in mutual funds supporting the Company's variable annuity products. Redemptions in 2013 included a portfolio rebalance at a key distributor and an institutional redemption, together totaling \$2.5 billion.

Year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011

Net income, as compared to the prior year period, decreased in 2012 primarily due to lower fee income and other driven by lower average AUM and higher distribution and marketing expenses. AUM increased modestly reflecting the improving performance of the Company's mutual funds in the equity markets largely offset by negative net flows primarily in mutual funds supporting the Company's variable annuity products. Retail net outflows decreased in 2012 compared to 2011 as redemption rates continued to trend lower compared to 2011, although new business sales activity decreased in 2012 compared to 2011. Total AUM is expected to be impacted by a planned redemption of approximately \$1.5 billion in the second quarter of 2013.

TALCOTT RESOLUTION

Results of Operations

| Operating Summary | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Earned premiums [1] | \$89 | \$(10 |)\$129 |
| Fee income and other [1] | 2,059 | 3,558 | 3,780 |
| Net investment income (loss) | | | |
| Securities available-for-sale and other | 1,675 | 2,562 | 2,584 |
| Equity securities trading [2] | 6,061 | 4,364 | (1,345 |
| Total net investment income | 7,736 | 6,926 | 1,239 |
| Net realized capital gains (losses) | 428 | (1,005 |)(66 |
| Total revenues | 10,312 | 9,469 | 5,082 |
| Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses | 1,617 | 2,949 | 3,537 |
| Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses — returns credited on international variable annuities [2] | 6,060 | 4,363 | (1,345 |
| Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs | 1,392 | 661 | 1,108 |
| Insurance operating costs and other expenses | 739 | 1,418 | 1,463 |
| Reinsurance loss on disposition, including goodwill impairment of \$224 | 1,505 | 415 | — |
| Total benefits, losses and expenses | 11,313 | 9,806 | 4,763 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations, before income taxes | (1,001 |)(337 |)319 |
| Income tax benefit | (500 |)(271 |)(168 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | (501 |)(66 |)487 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax [3] | (133 |)67 | 53 |
| Net income (loss) [6] | \$(634 |)\$1 | \$540 |
| Assets Under Management | | | |
| Variable annuity account value | \$81,942 | \$94,371 | \$99,922 |
| Fixed Market Value Adjusted annuity and other account value | 13,203 | 14,755 | 16,417 |
| Institutional annuity account value [4] | 16,857 | 17,744 | 19,330 |
| Other account value [5] | 108,133 | 102,429 | 100,937 |
| Total account value [4] | \$219,127 | \$228,143 | \$235,310 |
| Variable Annuities - Account Value Roll Forward | | | |
| Account value, beginning of period | \$94,371 | \$99,922 | \$116,520 |
| Net flows | (22,740 |)(13,594 |)(13,400 |
| Change in market value and other | 15,230 | 11,303 | (4,831 |
| Effect of currency translation | (4,919 |)(3,260 |)1,633 |
| Account value, end of period | \$81,942 | \$94,371 | \$99,922 |

[1] Includes earned premiums, fee income and other related to the Retirement Plans business of \$38, \$368 and \$380 and the Individual Life business of \$2, \$866 and \$899, for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

[2] Includes investment income and mark-to-market effects of equity securities, trading, supporting the international variable annuity business, which are classified in net investment income with corresponding amounts credited to policyholders within benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses.

[3] Represents the loss from operations and sale of Hartford Life International Limited ("HLIL"). For additional information, see Note 20 Discontinued Operations of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

[4] Included in the balance is approximately \$(1.0) billion, \$(1.2) billion and \$(1.3) billion for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, related to a Talcott Resolution intra-segment funding agreement which is eliminated in consolidation.

[5] Other account value includes \$54.7 billion, \$14.7 billion, and \$38.7 billion as of December 31, 2013, and \$51.8 billion, \$13.2 billion, and \$37.4 billion as of December 31, 2012, for the Retirement Plans, Individual Life, and Private Placement Life Insurance businesses; respectively. Account values associated with the Retirement Plans,

and Individual Life businesses no longer generate asset-based fee income due to the sales of these businesses.

Includes net losses of \$39 and \$172, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2012 and net income of \$3 and [6] \$114, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2011 related to the Retirement Plans and Individual Life businesses sold in 2013, see Note 2 - Business Dispositions of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

2014 Outlook

The principal goal for Talcott Resolution is to reduce the size and risk associated with the Company's U.S. and international in-force variable annuities. As a result, the Company expects account values and consequently earnings to decline due to surrenders, policyholder initiatives or transactions with third parties that will reduce the size of this legacy book of business. Risk-reducing transactions may also cause a reduction in statutory capital and shareholders' equity. The Company's international variable annuity business will also continue to be a significant driver of earnings variability due to hedge programs which generate mark to market gains and losses while the underlying international liabilities being hedged are not marked to market. This can result in unpredictable earnings volatility period to period. As the Company's annuity book continues to run off, earnings will continue to decline. A key driver to the decline in earnings will be the pace at which customers surrender their contracts. In 2013, the Company experienced increased variable annuities surrender rates driven by strong market appreciation, continued aging of the block and in-force management initiatives. The increase in surrender rates was especially evident in Japan where full surrender rates increased from 3.4% in 2012 to 28.8% in 2013. Additionally, contract counts decreased 14% and 26% for U.S. and Japan variable annuities, respectively, in 2013. Looking forward, the Company expects this trend will continue in 2014. The decline in policy counts will likely result in unit cost increases and margin compression because expenses will not reduce at the same pace as the annuity block, further contributing to a decline in earnings over time.

Year ended December 31, 2013 compared to the year ended December 31, 2012

The net loss for the year ended December 31, 2013 was primarily driven by Unlock charges of \$806, before tax, during the current year period compared to an Unlock benefit of \$47, before tax, in the prior year period. The Unlock charge for the year ended 2013 includes a charge of \$887, before tax, for hedge cost assumption changes associated with expanding the Japan variable annuity hedging program in the first quarter of 2013. In addition, variable annuity hedge program losses for the year ended 2013 were \$1,558, before tax, including international losses of \$1,586, compared to losses of \$1,288 before tax, including international losses of \$1,467, for the prior year period. Lower fee income in 2013 due to the continued runoff of the variable annuity business, as well as costs associated with an enhanced surrender value program in the U.S., also contributed to the net loss for the year ended December 31, 2013. In addition, 2012 results of operations reflect the reinsurance loss on disposition related to the disposition of the Individual Life business, and losses in 2012 from the operations of the Retirement Plans and Individual Life businesses sold in 2013.

For further discussion of investment results and the results of the variable annuity hedge program, see MD&A – Investment Results, Net Investment Income (Loss) and Net Realized Capital Gains (Losses). For further discussion of Unlocks, see MD&A - Critical Accounting Estimates, Estimated Gross Profits Used in the Valuation and Amortization of Assets and Liabilities Associated with Variable Annuity and Other Universal Life-Type Contracts. The 2013 and 2012 effective tax rates differ from the U.S. Federal statutory rate of 35% primarily due to permanent differences related to investments in separate account DRD. For further discussion of income taxes, see Note 14 - Income Taxes of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Account value decreased to approximately \$219 billion at December 31, 2013 from approximately \$228 billion at December 31, 2012 due primarily to increased net outflows and negative currency translation impacts, partially offset by market value appreciation in variable annuities. In addition, the net decrease in account value reflects the disposition of \$1.8 billion of variable annuities related to the sold U.K. business. In 2013 variable annuity net outflows increased by approximately \$9.1 billion as compared to the prior year period driven by increased net outflows in the Japan variable annuities as a result of market appreciation and the expiration of the surrender charge period as the block of business ages.

The annualized full surrender rate on U.S. variable annuities rose to 16.7% for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to 11.1% for the prior year period. The annualized full surrender rate on Japan variable annuities rose to 28.8% for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to 3.4% for the prior year period. Surrender activity in Japan has increased significantly over the past nine months as market appreciation has resulted in an increased number of account values exceeding guaranteed amounts.

Year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011

Net income (loss), as compared to the prior year period, decreased for the year ended December 31, 2012 primarily due to net realized capital losses as compared to net realized capital gains in the prior period and the estimated reinsurance loss on disposition of the Individual Life business, including an after tax goodwill impairment charge of \$146. For related discussion of the goodwill impairment, see Note 9 - Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. Restructuring costs of \$44, after-tax in 2012 also contributed to the decrease in net income as compared to the prior year period as there were no restructuring costs in 2011. An Unlock benefit in 2012 partially offset the decrease in net income as compared to the prior year period. In addition, benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses, decreased as compared to the prior year period, reflecting an improvement in equity market performance.

The net increase in realized capital losses was primarily due to losses in the variable annuity hedge program. Variable annuity hedge program losses for the year ended December 31, 2012 were \$1,288 including international losses of \$1,467, compared to gains of \$78, including international gains of \$691 for the prior year period. Net realized capital losses in 2012 also include intent-to-sell impairment losses of \$177 related to the sales in January 2013 of the Retirement Plans and Individual Life businesses. Total net impairment losses, including intent-to-sell impairment losses, increased to \$247 in 2012 as compared to \$117 for the prior year period. For further discussion of investment results and the results of the variable annuity hedge program, see MD&A – Investment Results, Net Investment Income (Loss) and Net Realized Capital Gains (Losses) within Consolidated Results of Operations.

Account value decreased to approximately \$228 billion at December 31, 2012 from approximately \$235 billion at December 31, 2011, due primarily to surrenders of approximately \$10 billion in 2012 in the U.S. variable annuity block, largely offset by the impact of improved equity market performance.

CORPORATE

Results of Operations

| Operating Summary | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|--------|------------|------------|
| Earned premiums | \$— | \$— | \$— |
| Fee income [1] | 11 | 167 | 209 |
| Net investment income | 27 | 31 | 23 |
| Net realized capital gains (losses) | (89 |) 125 | (96) |
| Other revenue | 1 | 1 | — |
| Total revenues | (50 |) 324 | 136 |
| Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses | — | — | (3) |
| Insurance operating costs and other expenses | 78 | 365 | 202 |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | 213 | 910 | — |
| Reinsurance loss on disposition | 69 | 118 | — |
| Interest expense | 397 | 457 | 508 |
| Total benefits, losses and expenses | 757 | 1,850 | 707 |
| Loss from continuing operations before income taxes | (807 |) (1,526 |) (571) |
| Income tax benefit | (252 |) (517 |) (201) |
| Loss from continuing operations, net of tax | (555 |) (1,009 |) (370) |
| Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax [2] | — | — | (64) |
| Net loss | \$(555 |) \$(1,009 |) \$(434) |

Fee income includes the income associated with the sales of non-proprietary insurance products in the Company's [1] broker-dealer subsidiaries that has an offsetting commission expense in insurance operating costs and other expenses.

[2] Represents the loss from operations and sale of Federal Trust Corporation. For additional information, see Note 20 Discontinued Operations of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Year ended December 31, 2013 compared to the year ended December 31, 2012

Net loss, as compared to the prior year period, decreased in 2013 primarily due to decreases in insurance operating costs and other expenses, the reinsurance loss on disposition, the loss on extinguishment of debt and interest expense. The net loss in 2013 was partially driven by net realized capital losses due to higher long-term interest rates and global credit hedging losses due to increases in the equity market as compared with net realized capital gains in 2012. Insurance operating costs and other expenses decreased due to a benefit of \$57, before tax, for an insurance recovery from the Company's insurers for past legal expenses associated with closed litigation and a benefit of \$19, before tax, from the resolution of items under the Company's spin-off agreement with its former parent company. Restructuring costs, included in Corporate insurance operating costs and other expenses and related to the implementation of certain strategic initiatives, decreased to \$64 in 2013 from \$121 in 2012.

The reinsurance loss on disposition of \$69 in 2013 consisted of the write-off of all of the goodwill held in Corporate allocated to the Retirement Plans business sold in 2013. The reinsurance loss on disposition of \$118 in 2012 consisted of an impairment of goodwill related to the Individual Life business sold in 2013. For additional information regarding goodwill, see Note 9 - Goodwill of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

In 2013, the Company repurchased approximately \$800 of senior notes at a premium to the face amount of the then outstanding debt. In 2012, the Company repurchased all outstanding 10% fixed-to-floating rate junior subordinated debentures due 2068 with a \$1.75 billion aggregate principal amount held by Allianz. Loss on extinguishment of debt consists of the premium associated with repurchasing the debentures at an amount greater than the face amount, the write-off of the unamortized discount and debt issuance and other costs related to the repurchase transactions. For additional information regarding debt, see Note 13 - Debt of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

For a reconciliation of the tax provision at the U.S. Federal statutory rate to the provision (benefit) for income taxes, see Note 14 - Income Taxes of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011

Net loss, as compared to the prior year period, increased in 2012 primarily due to a loss on extinguishment of debt related to the repurchase of all outstanding 10% fixed-to-floating rate junior subordinated debentures due 2068 with a \$1.75 billion aggregate principal amount all held by Allianz. The loss consisted of the premium associated with repurchasing the 10% Debentures at an amount greater than the face amount, the write-off of the unamortized discount and debt issuance costs related to the 10% Debentures and other costs related to the repurchase transaction.

Also in 2012, the Company recorded a reinsurance loss on disposition consisting of an impairment of goodwill related to the disposition of the Individual Life business. See Note 9 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on the goodwill impairment.

In addition, insurance operating costs and other expenses increased as a result of restructuring and other costs related to the Company's implementation of its strategic initiatives. See Note 21 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on restructuring and other costs.

Partially offsetting the losses was an increase in net realized capital gains (losses), primarily due to gains on derivatives as a result of credit spreads tightening. For additional information on net realized capital gains, see the Investment Results section.

For a reconciliation of the tax provision at the U.S. Federal statutory rate to the provision (benefit) for income taxes, see Note 14 - Income Taxes of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

ENTERPRISE RISK MANAGEMENT

The Company has an enterprise risk management function (“ERM”) that is charged with providing analysis of the Company’s risks on an individual and aggregated basis and with ensuring that the Company’s risks remain within its risk appetite and tolerances. The Company has established the Enterprise Risk and Capital Committee (“ERCC”) that includes the Company’s CEO, Chief Financial Officer (“CFO”), Chief Investment Officer (“CIO”), Chief Risk Officer, the divisional Presidents and the General Counsel. The ERCC is responsible for managing the Company’s risks and overseeing the enterprise risk management program.

The Company categorizes its main risks as follows:

Insurance Risk

Operational Risk

Financial Risk

Insurance Risk Management

The Company categorizes its insurance risks across both property-casualty and life products. The Company’s insurance operations are vested in the ability to add value through the effective underwriting, pooling, and pricing of insurance risks. The Company has developed a disciplined approach to insurance risk management that is well integrated into the organization’s underwriting, pricing, reinsurance, claims, and capital management processes. At the same time, the Company has policies and procedures to manage concentrations or correlations of insurance risk, including ERM policies governing the risks related to natural and man-made property catastrophes such as hurricanes, earthquakes, tornado/hailstorms, winter storms, pandemics, terrorism, and casualty catastrophes. The Company establishes risk limits to control potential loss and actively monitors the risk exposures as a percent of statutory surplus. The Company also uses reinsurance to transfer insurance risk to well-established and financially secure reinsurers (see Reinsurance Section).

Non-Catastrophic Insurance Risks

Non-catastrophic insurance risks exist within each of the Company’s divisions and include, but are not limited to, the following:

Property: Risk of loss to personal or commercial property from automobile related accidents, weather, explosions, smoke, shaking, fire, theft, vandalism, inadequate installation, faulty equipment, collisions and falling objects, and/or machinery mechanical breakdown resulting in physical damage and other covered perils.

Liability: Risk of loss from automobile related accidents, uninsured and underinsured drivers, lawsuits from accidents, defective products, breach of warranty, negligent acts by professional practitioners, environmental claims, latent exposures, fraud, coercion, forgery, failure to fulfill obligations per contract surety, liability from errors and omissions, derivative lawsuits, and other securities actions and covered perils.

Mortality: Risk of loss from unexpected trends in insured deaths impacting timing of payouts from life insurance or annuity products, personal or commercial automobile related accidents, and death of employees or executives during the course of employment, while on disability, or while collecting workers compensation benefits.

Morbidity: Risk of loss to an insured from illness incurred during the course of employment or illness from other covered perils.

Disability: Risk of loss incurred from personal or commercial automobile related losses, accidents arising outside of the workplace, injuries or accidents incurred during the course of employment, or from equipment, each loss resulting short term or long term disability payments.

Longevity: Risk of loss from increased life expectancy trends among policyholders receiving long term benefit payments or annuity payouts.

The Company’s processes for managing these risks include disciplined underwriting protocols, exposure controls, sophisticated risk based pricing, risk modeling, risk transfer, and capital management strategies. The Company has established underwriting guidelines for both individual risks, including individual policy limits, and risks in the aggregate, including aggregate exposure limits by geographic zone and peril. Pricing indications for each line of business are set independently by the Company’s pricing actuaries and are integrated into the reserve review process to ensure consistency between pricing and reserving. Monthly reports track loss cost trends relative to pricing objectives within each state and product, and the Company’s reserving actuaries provide an independent report to the Board on

the Company's reserve position and loss cost trends.

89

Natural Catastrophe Risk

Natural catastrophe risk is defined as the exposure arising from natural phenomena (e.g., weather, earthquakes, wildfires, etc.) that create a concentration or aggregation of loss across the Company's insurance or asset portfolios. The Company uses both internal and third-party models to estimate the potential loss resulting from various catastrophe events and the potential financial impact those events would have on the Company's financial position and results of operations across the property-casualty, life, and asset management businesses. For natural catastrophe perils, the Company generally limits its estimated pre-tax loss as a result of natural catastrophes for property & casualty exposures from a single 250-year event to less than 30% of statutory surplus prior to reinsurance and to less than 15% of statutory surplus after reinsurance. The Company's modeled loss estimates are derived by averaging 21 modeled loss events representing a 250-year return period loss. For the peril of earthquake, the 21 events averaged to determine the modeled loss estimate include events occurring in California as well as the Northeastern, Southeastern, Northwestern, Midwestern and New Madrid regions of the United States with associated magnitudes ranging from 6.4 to 8.1 on the Moment Magnitude scale. For the peril of hurricane, the 21 events averaged to determine the modeled loss estimate include category 3, 4 and 5 events in Florida, as well as other Gulf, Mid Atlantic and Northeastern region landfalls.

While Enterprise Risk Management has a process to track and manage these limits, from time to time, the estimated loss to natural catastrophes from a single 250-year event prior to reinsurance may fluctuate above or below these limits due to changes in modeled loss estimates, exposures, or statutory surplus. Currently, the Company's estimated pre-tax loss to a single 250-year natural catastrophe event prior to reinsurance is less than 30% of the statutory surplus of the property and casualty insurance subsidiaries and the Company's estimated pre-tax loss net of reinsurance is less than 15% of statutory surplus of the property and casualty operations. The estimated 250 year pre-tax probable maximum losses from hurricane events are estimated to be \$1.5 billion and \$484, before and after reinsurance, respectively. The estimated 250 year pre-tax probable maximum loss from earthquake events is estimated to be \$734 before reinsurance and \$479 net of reinsurance. The loss estimates represent total property losses for hurricane events and property and workers compensation losses for earthquake events resulting from a single event. The estimates provided are based on 250-year return period loss estimates that have a 0.4% likelihood of being exceeded in any single year.

The net loss estimates provided above assume that the Company is able to recover all losses ceded to reinsurers under its reinsurance programs. There are various methodologies used in the industry to estimate the potential property and workers compensation losses that would arise from various catastrophe events and companies may use different models and assumptions in their estimates. Therefore, the Company's estimates of gross and net losses arising from a 250-year hurricane or earthquake event may not be comparable to estimates provided by other companies.

Furthermore, the Company's estimates are subject to significant uncertainty and could vary materially from the actual losses that would arise from these events and the loss estimates provided by other companies. The Company also manages natural catastrophe risk for group life and group disability, which in combination with property and workers compensation loss estimates are subject to separate enterprise risk management net aggregate loss limits as a percent of enterprise surplus.

Terrorism Risk

The Company defines terrorism risk as the risk of losses from terrorist attacks, including losses caused by single-site and multi-site conventional attacks, as well as the potential for attacks using nuclear, biological, chemical or radiological weapons ("NBCR"). The Company monitors aggregations of terrorism risk exposure around key landmarks primarily in major metropolitan areas that span the Company's insurance portfolio. Enterprise Risk Management limits for terrorism apply to aggregations of risk across property-casualty, group benefits and specific asset portfolios and are defined based on a deterministic, single-site conventional terrorism attack scenario. The Company manages its potential estimated loss from a conventional terrorism loss scenario to less than \$1.3 billion. In addition, the Company monitors exposures monthly and employs both internally developed and vendor-licensed loss modeling tools as part of its risk management discipline. While our modeled exposures to conventional terrorist attacks around landmark locations may fluctuate above and below \$1.3 billion, currently, all such terrorism exposures are within ERM limits. For a discussion on risks related to terrorist attacks, see the risk factor, "The occurrence of one or more terrorist

attacks in the geographic areas we serve or the threat of terrorism in general may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity."

90

Pandemic Risk

Pandemic risk is the exposure to loss arising from widespread influenza or other pathogens or bacterial infections that create an aggregation of loss across the Company's insurance or asset portfolios. Consistent with industry practice, the Company assesses exposure to pandemics by analyzing the potential impact from a variety of pandemic scenarios based on conditions consistent with historical outbreaks of flu-like viruses such as the "Severe" 1918 Spanish Flu, the Asian flu of 1957, the Hong Kong flu of 1968, and the 2009 outbreak of the swine flu. For pandemic risk, the Company generally limits its estimated pre-tax loss from a single 250 year event to less than 12.5% of the combined statutory surplus for the enterprise. In evaluating these scenarios, the Company assesses the impact on group life policies, short-term and long term disability, annuities, COLI, property & casualty claims, and losses in the investment portfolio associated with market declines in the event of a widespread pandemic. While Enterprise Risk Management has a process to track and manage these limits, from time to time, the estimated loss for pandemics may fluctuate above or below these limits due to changes in modeled loss estimates, exposures, or statutory surplus. Currently, the Company's estimated pre-tax loss for pandemic is less than 12.5% of enterprise statutory surplus.

Reinsurance as a Risk Management Strategy

The Hartford utilizes reinsurance to transfer risk to affiliated and unaffiliated insurers. Reinsurance is used to manage aggregation of risk as well as to transfer certain risk to reinsurance companies based on specific geographic or risk concentrations. All reinsurance processes are aligned under a single enterprise reinsurance risk management policy. Reinsurance purchasing is a centralized function across Commercial, Consumer Markets and Talcott Resolution to support a consistent strategy and to ensure that the reinsurance activities are fully integrated into the organization's risk management processes.

A variety of traditional reinsurance products are used as part of the Company's risk management strategy, including excess of loss occurrence-based products that protect property and workers compensation exposures, and individual risk or quota share arrangements, that protect specific classes or lines of business. The Company has no significant finite risk contracts in place and the statutory surplus benefit from all such prior year contracts is immaterial.

Facultative reinsurance is used by the Company to manage policy-specific risk exposures based on established underwriting guidelines. The Hartford also participates in governmentally administered reinsurance facilities such as the Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund ("FHCF"), the Terrorism Risk Insurance Program established under The Terrorism Risk Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2007 ("TRIPRA") and other reinsurance programs relating to particular risks or specific lines of business.

Reinsurance for Catastrophes

The Company has several catastrophe reinsurance programs, including reinsurance treaties that cover property and workers' compensation losses aggregating from single catastrophe events. The following table summarizes the primary catastrophe treaty reinsurance coverages that the Company has in place as of January 1, 2014:

| Coverage | Treaty term | % of layer(s) reinsurance | Per occurrence limit | Retention |
|---|----------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| Principal property catastrophe program covering property catastrophe losses from a single event | 1/1/2014 to 1/1/2015 | 90% | \$850 | \$350 |
| Reinsurance with the FHCF covering Florida Personal Lines property catastrophe losses from a single event | 6/1/2013 to 6/1/2014 | 90% | \$119 | [1] \$43 |
| Workers compensation losses arising from a single catastrophe event [2] | 7/1/2013 to 7/1/2014 | 80% | \$350 | \$100 |

The per occurrence limit on the FHCF treaty is \$119 for the 6/1/2013 to 6/1/2014 treaty year based on the [1] Company's election to purchase the required coverage from FHCF. Coverage is based on the best available information from FHCF, which was updated in January 2014.

[2] In addition, to the limit shown above, the workers compensation reinsurance includes a non-catastrophe, industrial accident layer, 80% of \$30 excess a \$20 retention.

In addition to the property catastrophe reinsurance coverage described in the above table, the Company has other catastrophe and working layer treaties and facultative reinsurance agreements that cover property catastrophe losses on an aggregate excess of loss and on a per risk basis. The principal property catastrophe reinsurance program and other reinsurance programs include a provision to reinstate limits in the event that a catastrophe loss exhausts limits on one or more layers under the treaties.

In addition to the reinsurance protection provided by The Hartford's traditional property catastrophe reinsurance program described above, the Hartford has fully collateralized reinsurance coverage from Foundation Re III for losses sustained from qualifying hurricane loss events. Under the terms of the treaty, the Company is reimbursed for losses from hurricanes using a customized industry index contract designed to replicate The Hartford's own catastrophe losses, with a provision that the actual losses incurred by the Company for covered events, net of reinsurance recoveries, cannot be less than zero.

The following table summarizes the terms of the reinsurance treaty with Foundation Re III that was in place as of February 4, 2014:

| Covered perils | Treaty term | Covered losses | Bond amount issued by Foundation Re III |
|---|------------------------|--|---|
| Hurricane loss events affecting the Gulf and Eastern Coast of the United States | 2/18/2011 to 2/18/2015 | At the time of the purchase, 67.5% of \$200 in losses in excess of an index loss trigger equating to approximately \$1.4 billion in losses to The Hartford | \$135 |

As of February 4, 2014, there have been no events that are expected to trigger a recovery under the Foundation Re III reinsurance program and, accordingly, the Company has not recorded any recoveries from the associated reinsurance treaty.

Reinsurance for Terrorism

For the risk of terrorism, private sector catastrophe reinsurance capacity is generally limited and largely unavailable for terrorism losses caused by nuclear, biological, chemical or radiological weapons attacks. As such, the Company's principal reinsurance protection against large-scale terrorist attacks is the coverage currently provided through the TRIPRA. On December 26, 2007, the President signed TRIPRA extending the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act of 2002 ("TRIA") through the end of 2014. TRIPRA provides a backstop for insurance-related losses resulting from any "act of terrorism" certified by the Secretary of the Treasury, in concurrence with the Secretary of State and Attorney General, that result in industry losses in excess of \$100. In addition, TRIPRA revised the TRIA definition of a certified "act of terrorism" by removing the requirement that an act be committed "on behalf of any foreign person or foreign interest." As a result, domestic acts of terrorism can now be certified as "acts of terrorism" under the program, subject to the other requirements of TRIPRA. Under the program, in any one calendar year, the federal government would pay 85% of covered losses from a certified act of terrorism after an insurer's losses exceed 20% of the Company's eligible direct commercial earned premiums of the prior calendar year up to a combined annual aggregate limit for the federal government and all insurers of \$100 billion. The Company's estimated deductible under the program is \$1.2 billion for 2014. If an act of terrorism or acts of terrorism result in covered losses exceeding the \$100 billion annual industry aggregate limit, a future Congress would be responsible for determining how additional losses in excess of \$100 billion will be paid.

Among other items, TRIPRA required that the President's Working Group on Financial Markets ("PWG") continue to perform an analysis regarding the long-term availability and affordability of insurance for terrorism risk. Among the findings detailed in the PWG's initial report, released October 2, 2006, were that the high level of uncertainty associated with predicting the frequency of terrorist attacks, coupled with the unwillingness of some insurance policyholders to purchase insurance coverage, makes predicting long-term development of the terrorism risk market difficult, and that there is likely little potential for future market development for NBCR coverage. The January 2011 PWG report notes some improvements in capacity and modeling, but also noted that take-up rates for terrorism coverage remained relatively flat over the past three years and that insurers remain uncertain about the ability of models to predict the frequency and severity of terrorist attacks. In 2013, the PWG requested comment on the long-term availability and affordability of insurance for terrorism risk but that report has not been released. With respect to NBCR coverage, a December 2008 study by the U.S. Government Accountability Office ("GAO") found that property and casualty insurers still generally seek to exclude NBCR coverage from their commercial policies when permitted. However, while nuclear, pollution and contamination exclusions are contained in many property and liability insurance policies, the GAO report concluded that such exclusions may be subject to challenges in court because they were not specifically drafted to address terrorist attacks. Furthermore, workers compensation policies

generally have no exclusion or limitations. The GAO found that commercial property and casualty policyholders, including companies that own high-value properties in large cities, generally reported that they could not obtain NBCR coverage. Commercial property and casualty insurers generally remain unwilling to offer NBCR coverage because of uncertainties about the risk and the potential for catastrophic losses.

Reinsurance Recoverables

Reinsurance Security

To manage reinsurer credit risk, a reinsurance security review committee evaluates the credit standing, financial performance, management and operational quality of each potential reinsurer. Through this process, the Company maintains a centralized list of reinsurers approved for participation in reinsurance transactions. Only reinsurers approved through this process are eligible to participate in new reinsurance transactions. The Company's approval designations reflect the differing credit exposure associated with various classes of business. Participation eligibility is categorized based upon the nature of the risk reinsured, including the expected liability payout duration. In addition to defining participation eligibility, the Company regularly monitors credit risk exposure to each reinsurance counterparty and has established limits tiered by counterparty credit rating. For further discussions on how the Company manages and mitigates third party credit risk, refer to the Credit Risk section.

Property and Casualty Insurance Product Reinsurance Recoverable

Property and casualty insurance product reinsurance recoverables represent loss and loss adjustment expense recoverables from a number of entities, including reinsurers and pools. The following table shows the components of the gross and net reinsurance recoverable as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

| | | |
|---|---------|---------|
| Reinsurance Recoverable | 2013 | 2012 |
| Paid loss and loss adjustment expenses | \$138 | \$170 |
| Unpaid loss and loss adjustment expenses | 2,841 | 2,852 |
| Gross reinsurance recoverable | 2,979 | 3,022 |
| Less: allowance for uncollectible reinsurance | (244 |)(268 |
| Net reinsurance recoverable | \$2,735 | \$2,754 |

As shown in the following table, a portion of the total gross reinsurance recoverable relates to the Company's mandatory participation in various involuntary assigned risk pools and the value of annuity contracts held under structured settlement agreements. Reinsurance recoverables due from mandatory pools are backed by the financial strength of the property and casualty insurance industry. Annuities purchased from third-party life insurers under structured settlements are recognized as reinsurance recoverables in cases where the Company has not obtained a release from the claimant. Of the remaining gross reinsurance recoverable as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the following table shows the portion of recoverables due from companies rated by A.M. Best:

| | | | | | |
|--|---------|------------|-----------|------------|---|
| Distribution of gross reinsurance recoverable | 2013 | 2012 | | | |
| Gross reinsurance recoverable | \$2,979 | \$3,022 | | | |
| Less: mandatory (assigned risk) pools and structured settlements | (569 |)(588 | | | |
| Gross reinsurance recoverable excluding mandatory pools and structured settlements | \$2,410 | \$2,434 | | | |
| | | % of Total | | % of Total | |
| Rated A- (Excellent) or better by A.M. Best [1] | \$1,558 | 64.6 | % \$1,691 | 69.5 | % |
| Other rated by A.M. Best | 4 | 0.2 | % 6 | 0.2 | % |
| Total rated companies | 1,562 | 64.8 | % 1,697 | 69.7 | % |
| Voluntary pools | 96 | 4.0 | % 95 | 3.9 | % |
| Captives | 499 | 20.7 | % 368 | 15.1 | % |
| Other not rated companies | 253 | 10.5 | % 274 | 11.3 | % |
| Total | \$2,410 | 100.0 | % \$2,434 | 100.0 | % |

[1] Based on A.M. Best ratings as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Where its contracts permit, the Company secures future claim obligations with various forms of collateral, including irrevocable letters of credit, secured trusts, funds held accounts and group wide offsets. As part of its reinsurance recoverable review, the Company analyzes recent developments in commutation activity between reinsurers and cedants, recent trends in arbitration and litigation outcomes in disputes between cedants and reinsurers and the overall credit quality of the Company's reinsurers. As indicated in the above table, 64.6% of the gross reinsurance recoverables due from reinsurers rated by A.M. Best were rated A- (excellent) or better as of December 31, 2013. Due

to the inherent uncertainties as to collection and the length of time before such amounts will be due, it is possible that future adjustments to the Company's reinsurance recoverables, net of the allowance, could be required, which could have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated results of operations or cash flows in a particular quarterly or annual period.

93

Annually, the Company completes evaluations of the reinsurance recoverable asset associated with older, long-term casualty liabilities reported in the Property & Casualty Other Operations reporting segment, and the allowance for uncollectible reinsurance reported in the Property & Casualty Commercial reporting segment. For a discussion regarding the results of these evaluations, see Property and Casualty Insurance Product Reserves, Net of Reinsurance within the Critical Accounting Estimates section of the MD&A.

Life Insurance Product Reinsurance Recoverable

Life insurance product reinsurance recoverables represent loss and loss adjustment expense recoverable from a number of reinsurers. The following table shows the components of the gross and net reinsurance recoverable as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

| | | |
|---|----------|---------|
| Reinsurance Recoverable | 2013 | 2012 |
| Unpaid loss and loss adjustment expenses | 20,595 | 1,912 |
| Gross reinsurance recoverable | 20,595 | 1,912 |
| Less: allowance for uncollectible reinsurance | — | — |
| Net reinsurance recoverable | \$20,595 | \$1,912 |

As of December 31, 2013, the Company has reinsurance recoverables from MassMutual and Prudential of \$9.5 billion and \$9.9 billion, respectively. These reinsurance recoverables are secured by invested assets held in trust for the benefit of the Company in the event of a default by the reinsurers. As of December 31, 2013, the fair value of assets held in trust securing the reinsurance recoverables from MassMutual and Prudential were \$9.5 billion and \$7.5 billion, respectively. As of December 31, 2013, the net reinsurance recoverable from Prudential represents approximately 13% of the Company's consolidated stockholders' equity. As of December 31, 2013, the Company has no other reinsurance-related concentrations of credit risk greater than 10% of the Company's stockholders' equity.

Guaranty Funds and Other Insurance Assessments

As part of its risk management strategy, the Company regularly monitors the financial wherewithal of other insurers and, in particular, activity by insurance regulators and various state guaranty associations relating to troubled insurers. In all states, insurers licensed to transact certain classes of insurance are required to become members of a guaranty fund. In most states, in the event of the insolvency of an insurer writing any such class of insurance in the state, members of the funds are assessed to pay certain claims of the insolvent insurer. A particular state's fund assesses its members based on their respective written premiums in the state for the classes of insurance in which the insolvent insurer was engaged. Assessments are generally limited for any year to one or two percent of the premiums written per year depending on the state. The amount and timing of assessments related to past insolvencies is unpredictable. Citizens Property Insurance Corporation in Florida ("Citizens"), a non-affiliate insurer, provides property insurance to Florida homeowners and businesses that are unable to obtain insurance from other carriers, including for properties deemed to be "high risk." Citizens maintains a Personal Lines account, a Commercial Lines account and a High Risk account. If Citizens incurs a deficit in any of these accounts, Citizens may impose a "regular assessment" on other insurance carriers in the state, such as the Company, to fund the deficits, subject to certain restrictions and subject to approval by the Florida Office of Insurance Regulation. Carriers are then permitted to surcharge policyholders to recover the assessments over the next few years. Citizens may also opt to finance a portion of the deficits through issuing bonds and may impose "emergency assessments" on other insurance carriers to fund the bond repayments. Unlike with regular assessments, however, insurance carriers only serve as a collection agent for emergency assessments and are not required to remit surcharges for emergency assessments to Citizens until they collect surcharges from policyholders. Under U.S. GAAP, the Company is required to accrue for regular assessments in the period the assessments become probable and estimable and the obligating event has occurred. Surcharges to recover the amount of regular assessments may not be recorded as an asset until the related premium is written. Emergency assessments that may be levied by Citizens are not recorded in the income statement.

Operational Risk Management

The Hartford has an Operational Risk Management (“ORM”) function whose responsibility is to provide a comprehensive and enterprise-wide view of the Company’s operational risk on an aggregate basis. The Company defines operational risk as the risk of loss resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people and systems, or from external events. Operational risk is inherent in our business and functional areas. It includes legal risk and considers reputational risk as an impact. The Company has developed a library of operational risks which have been classified into the following seven risk categories:

- Internal Fraud
- External Fraud
- Employment Practices & Workplace Safety
- Business Disruption & Systems Failures
- Clients, Products & Business Practices
- Damage to Physical Assets
- Execution, Delivery & Process Management

ORM is responsible for establishing, maintaining and communicating the framework, principles and guidelines of The Hartford’s operational risk management program. In addition, ORM also manages business continuity, model risk management, the ORM system, and risk assessments. Responsibility for day-to-day management of operational risk lies within each business unit and functional area.

ORM works closely with the Operational Risk Committee (“ORC”), an enterprise wide governance comprised of senior leaders from functional areas such as ORM, Enterprise Business Services, Claims, Legal, Compliance, Finance and Internal Audit. The ORC meets regularly and provides a forum for ensuring the effective management of operational risks across the enterprise. The ORC’s responsibilities include reviewing and approving: policies governing operational risk, key risk indicators and limits, and risk mitigation strategies. This group also identifies emerging operational risks, prioritizes them, and ensures appropriate action plans are in place. Individual committees, such as the Enterprise Privacy and Security Committee, and the Enterprise Health, Environment and Safety Committee focus on specific operational risk issues and report to the ORC. Model Oversight Committees have been established to oversee and govern model risk.

ORM has various tools and processes for identifying, monitoring, measuring, prioritizing, and reporting operational risks. ORM facilitates loss event collection and analysis, risk assessments, scenario analysis, and reporting of key risk indicators and aggregated risks. ORM uses a centralized Governance, Risk, and Compliance (GRC) system to help manage operational risk across the Company’s finance, legal, compliance, data security, and information technology functions.

The Company’s business risk assessment process is used to identify the top risks in the business and functional areas, evaluate controls to mitigate those risks, and monitor control improvements.

Financial Risk Management

The Company identifies the following categories of financial risk:

- Liquidity Risk
- Interest Rate Risk
- Equity Risk
- Foreign Currency Exchange Risk
- Credit Risk

Financial risks include direct and indirect risks to the Company’s financial objectives coming from events that impact market conditions or prices. Financial risk also includes exposure to events that may cause correlated movement in multiple risk factors. The primary source of financial risks are the Company’s general account assets and the liabilities which those assets back, together with the guarantees which the company has written over various liability products, particularly its portfolio of variable annuities. The Company assesses its financial risk on a U.S. GAAP, statutory and economic basis. The Hartford has developed a disciplined approach to financial risk management that is well

integrated into the Company's underwriting, pricing, hedging, claims, asset and liability management, new product, and capital management processes. Consistent with its risk appetite, the Company establishes financial risk limits to control potential loss. Exposures are actively monitored, and mitigated where appropriate. The Company uses various risk management strategies, including reinsurance and over-the-counter and exchange traded derivatives to transfer risk to well-established and financially secure counterparties.

Liquidity Risk

Liquidity risk is the risk to current or prospective earnings or capital arising from the company's inability or perceived inability to meet its contractual cash obligations at the legal entity level when they come due over given horizons without incurring unacceptable costs and without relying on uncommitted funding sources. Liquidity risk includes the inability to manage unplanned increases or accelerations in cash outflows, decreases or changes in funding sources, and changes in market conditions that affect the ability to liquidate assets quickly to meet obligations with minimal loss in value. Components of liquidity risk include funding risk, transaction risk and market liquidity risk. Funding risk is the gap between sources and uses of cash under normal and stressed conditions taking into consideration structural, regulatory and legal entity constraints. Changes in institution-specific conditions that affect the Company's ability to sell assets or otherwise transact business without incurring a significant loss in value is transaction risk. Changes in general market conditions that affect the institution's ability to sell assets or otherwise transact business without incurring a significant loss in value is market liquidity risk.

The Company has defined ongoing monitoring and reporting requirements to assess liquidity across the enterprise. The Company measures and manages liquidity risk exposures and funding needs within prescribed limits and across legal entities, business lines and currencies, taking into account legal, regulatory and operational limitations to the transferability of liquidity. The Company also monitors internal and external conditions, identifies material risk changes and emerging risks that may impact liquidity. The Company's CFO has primary responsibility for liquidity risk.

For further discussion on liquidity see the section on Capital Resources and Liquidity.

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk of financial loss due to adverse changes in the value of assets and liabilities arising from movements in interest rates. Interest rate risk encompasses exposures with respect to changes in the level of interest rates, the shape of the term structure of rates and the volatility of interest rates. Interest rate risk does not include exposure to changes in credit spreads. The Company has exposure to interest rates arising from its fixed maturity securities, interest sensitive liabilities and discount rate assumptions associated with the Company's pension and other post retirement benefit obligations.

An increase in interest rates from current levels is generally a favorable development for the Company. Interest rate increases are expected to provide additional net investment income, reduce the cost of the variable annuity hedging program, limit the potential risk of margin erosion due to minimum guaranteed crediting rates in certain Talcott Resolution products and, if sustained, could reduce the Company's prospective pension expense. Conversely, a rise in interest rates will reduce the fair value of the investment portfolio and if long-term interest rates rise dramatically within a six to twelve month time period, certain Talcott Resolution businesses may be exposed to disintermediation risk. Disintermediation risk refers to the risk that policyholders will surrender their contracts in a rising interest rate environment requiring the Company to liquidate assets in an unrealized loss position. In conjunction with the interest rate risk measurement and management techniques, certain of Talcott Resolution's fixed income product offerings have market value adjustment provisions at contract surrender. An increase in interest rates may also impact the Company's tax planning strategies and in particular its ability to utilize tax benefits to offset certain previously recognized realized capital losses.

A decline in interest rates results in certain mortgage-backed and municipal securities being more susceptible to paydowns and prepayments or calls. During such periods, the Company generally will not be able to reinvest the proceeds at comparable yields. Lower interest rates will also likely result in lower net investment income, increased hedging cost associated with variable annuities and, if declines are sustained for a long period of time, it may subject the Company to reinvestment risk, higher pension costs expense and possibly reduced profit margins associated with guaranteed crediting rates on certain Talcott Resolution products. Conversely, the fair value of the investment portfolio will increase when interest rates decline and the Company's interest expense will be lower on its variable rate debt obligations.

The Company manages its exposure to interest rate risk by constructing investment portfolios that maintain asset allocation limits and asset/liability duration matching targets which may include the use of derivatives. The Company analyzes interest rate risk using various models including parametric models and cash flow simulation under various

market scenarios of the liabilities and their supporting investment portfolios, which may include derivative instruments. Measures the Company uses to quantify its exposure to interest rate risk inherent in its invested assets and interest rate sensitive liabilities include duration, convexity and key rate duration. Duration is the price sensitivity of a financial instrument or series of cash flows to a parallel change in the underlying yield curve used to value the financial instrument or series of cash flows. For example, a duration of 5 means the price of the security will change by approximately 5% for a 100 basis point change in interest rates. Convexity is used to approximate how the duration of a security changes as interest rates change in a parallel manner. Key rate duration analysis measures the price sensitivity of a security or series of cash flows to each point along the yield curve and enables the Company to estimate the price change of a security assuming non-parallel interest rate movements.

To calculate duration, convexity, and key rate durations, projections of asset and liability cash flows are discounted to a present value using interest rate assumptions. These cash flows are then revalued at alternative interest rate levels to determine the percentage change in fair value due to an incremental change in the entire yield curve for duration and convexity, or a particular point on the yield curve for key rate duration. Cash flows from corporate obligations are assumed to be consistent with the contractual payment streams on a yield to worst basis. Yield to worst is a basis that represents the lowest potential yield that can be received without the issuer actually defaulting. The primary assumptions used in calculating cash flow projections include expected asset payment streams taking into account prepayment speeds, issuer call options and contract holder behavior. Mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities are modeled based on estimates of the rate of future prepayments of principal over the remaining life of the securities. These estimates are developed by incorporating collateral surveillance and anticipated future market dynamics. Actual prepayment experience may vary from these estimates.

The Company is also exposed to interest rate risk based upon the discount rate assumption associated with the Company's pension and other postretirement benefit obligations. The discount rate assumption is based upon an interest rate yield curve comprised of bonds rated AA with maturities primarily between zero and thirty years. For further discussion of discounting pension and other postretirement benefit obligations, refer to Note 18 - Employee Benefit Plans of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. In addition, management evaluates performance of certain Talcott Resolution products based on net investment spread which is, in part, influenced by changes in interest rates. For further discussion, see the Talcott Resolution section of the MD&A.

The investments and liabilities primarily associated with interest rate risk are included in the following discussion. Certain product liabilities, including those containing GMWB, GMIB, GMAB, or GMDB, expose the Company to interest rate risk but also have significant equity risk. These liabilities are discussed as part of the Equity Risk section below.

Fixed Maturity Investments

The Company's investment portfolios primarily consist of investment grade fixed maturity securities. The fair value of these investments was \$63.2 billion and \$87.0 billion at 2013 and 2012, respectively. The fair value of these and other invested assets fluctuates depending on the interest rate environment and other general economic conditions. The weighted average duration of the portfolio, including fixed maturities, commercial mortgage loans, derivatives, and cash equivalents, was approximately 5.3 and 5.6 years as of 2013 and 2012, respectively. As of December 31, 2012, the weighted average duration of the portfolio, excluding the Retirement Plans and Individual Life businesses, was approximately 5.4 years.

Liabilities

The Company's investment contracts and certain insurance product liabilities, other than non-guaranteed separate accounts, include asset accumulation vehicles such as fixed annuities, guaranteed investment contracts, other investment and universal life-type contracts and certain insurance products such as long-term disability.

Asset accumulation vehicles primarily require a fixed rate payment, often for a specified period of time, such as fixed rate annuities with a market value adjustment feature. The term to maturity of these contracts generally range from less than one year to ten years. In addition, certain products such as corporate owned life insurance contracts and the general account portion of Talcott Resolutions's variable annuity products, credit interest to policyholders subject to market conditions and minimum interest rate guarantees. The term to maturity of the asset portfolio supporting these products may range from short to intermediate.

While interest rate risk associated with many of these products has been reduced through the use of market value adjustment features and surrender charges, the primary risk associated with these products is that the spread between investment return and credited rate may not be sufficient to earn targeted returns.

The Company also manages the risk of certain insurance liabilities similarly to investment type products due to the relative predictability of the aggregate cash flow payment streams. Products in this category may contain significant reliance upon actuarial (including mortality and morbidity) pricing assumptions and do have some element of cash flow uncertainty. Product examples include structured settlement contracts, on-benefit annuities (i.e., the annuitant is currently receiving benefits thereon) and short-term and long-term disability contracts. The cash outflows associated with these policy liabilities are not interest rate sensitive but do vary based on the timing and amount of benefit

payments. The primary risks associated with these products are that the benefits will exceed expected actuarial pricing and/or that the actual timing of the cash flows will differ from those anticipated, or interest rate levels may deviate from those assumed in product pricing, ultimately resulting in an investment return lower than that assumed in pricing. The average duration of the liability cash flow payments can range from less than one year to in excess of fifteen years.

Derivatives

The Company utilizes a variety of derivative instruments to mitigate interest rate risk associated with its investment portfolio or hedge liabilities. Interest rate swaps are primarily used to convert interest receipts or payments to a fixed or variable rate. The use of such swaps enables the Company to customize contract terms and conditions to desired objectives and manage the interest rate risk profile within established tolerances. Interest rate swaps are also used to hedge the variability in the cash flow of a forecasted purchase or sale of fixed rate securities due to changes in interest rates. Interest rate caps, floors, swaps, swaptions, and futures may be used to manage portfolio duration.

At December 31, 2013 and 2012 notional amounts pertaining to derivatives utilized to manage interest rate risk totaled \$15.3 billion and \$23.9 billion, respectively (\$15.1 billion and \$23.7 billion, respectively, related to investments and \$0.2 billion and \$0.2 billion, respectively, related to Talcott Resolution liabilities). The fair value of these derivatives was \$(603) and \$(281) as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The decline in notional amounts related to investments of \$8.6 billion primarily relates to the termination of interest rate swaptions designed to hedge the interest rate risk of the securities that were transferred related to the sale of the Retirement Plan business segment. These amounts do not include derivatives associated with the Variable Annuity Hedging Program. For further information, see the Variable Product Guarantee Risks and Risk Management section.

Interest Rate Sensitivity

Included in the following table is the before-tax change in the net economic value of investment contracts (e.g., fixed annuity contracts) issued by the Company's Talcott Resolution segment, as well as certain insurance product liabilities (e.g., disability contracts) issued by the Company's Group Benefits segment, for which the payment rates are fixed at contract issuance and the investment experience is substantially absorbed by the Company's operations, along with the corresponding invested assets. Also included in this analysis are the interest rate sensitive derivatives used by the Company to hedge its exposure to interest rate risk in the investment portfolios supporting these contracts. This analysis does not include the assets and corresponding liabilities of certain insurance products such as auto, property, whole and term life insurance, and certain life contingent annuities. Certain financial instruments, such as limited partnerships and other alternative investments, have been omitted from the analysis due to the fact that the investments generally lack sensitivity to interest rate changes. Separate account assets and liabilities, equity securities, trading and the corresponding liabilities associated with the variable annuity products sold in Japan are excluded from the analysis because gains and losses in separate accounts accrue to policyholders. The calculation of the estimated hypothetical change in net economic value below assumes a 100 basis point upward and downward parallel shift in the yield curve.

| | Change in Net Economic Value as of December 31, | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|--------|--------|--------|
| | 2013 | | 2012 | |
| Basis point shift | --100 | +100 | -100 | +100 |
| Increase (decrease) in economic value | \$(245 |)\$128 | \$(294 |)\$135 |

The fixed liabilities included above represented approximately 41% and 43% of the Company's general account liabilities as of 2013 and 2012, respectively. The assets supporting the fixed liabilities are monitored and managed within set duration guidelines, and are evaluated on a daily basis, as well as annually using scenario simulation techniques in compliance with regulatory requirements.

The following table provides an analysis showing the estimated before-tax change in the fair value of the Company's fixed maturity investments and related derivatives, not included in the table above, assuming 100 basis point upward and downward parallel shifts in the yield curve as of 2013 and 2012. Certain financial instruments, such as limited partnerships and other alternative investments, have been omitted from the analysis due to the fact that the investments are accounted for under the equity method and generally lack sensitivity to interest rate changes. The decline in the estimated change in fair value assuming a 100 basis point shift since 2012 is due to the sales of the Retirement Plans and Individual Life businesses in January of 2013.

| | Change in Fair Value as of December 31, | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|----------|----------|----------|
| | 2013 | | 2012 | |
| Basis point shift | --100 | +100 | -100 | +100 |
| Increase (decrease) in fair value | \$2,297 | \$(2,190 |)\$3,406 | \$(3,357 |

The selection of the 100 basis point parallel shift in the yield curve was made only as an illustration of the potential hypothetical impact of such an event and should not be construed as a prediction of future market events. Actual results could differ materially from those illustrated above due to the nature of the estimates and assumptions used in the above analysis. The Company's sensitivity analysis calculation assumes that the composition of invested assets and liabilities remain materially consistent throughout the year and that the current relationship between short-term and long-term interest rates will remain constant over time. As a result, these calculations may not fully capture the impact of portfolio re-allocations, significant product sales or non-parallel changes in interest rates.

Equity Risk

Equity risk is defined as the risk of financial loss due to changes in the value of global equities or equity indices. The Company has exposure to equity risk from assets under management, embedded derivatives within the Company's variable annuities and assets that support the Company's pension plans. Equity Risk on the Company's Variable Annuity products is mitigated through various hedging programs. (See the Variable Annuity Hedge Program Section) The Company's exposure to equity risk includes the potential for lower earnings associated with certain businesses such as mutual funds and variable annuities where fee income is earned based upon the value of the assets under management. For further discussion of equity risk, see the Variable Product Guarantee Risks and Risk Management section below. In addition, Talcott Resolution includes certain guaranteed benefits, primarily associated with variable annuity products, which increase the Company's potential benefit exposure as the equity markets decline.

The Company is also subject to equity risk based upon the assets that support its pension plans. The asset allocation mix is reviewed on a periodic basis. In order to minimize risk, the pension plans maintain a listing of permissible and prohibited investments. In addition, the pension plans have certain concentration limits and investment quality requirements imposed on permissible investment options. For further discussion of equity risk associated with the pension plans, see the Critical Accounting Estimates section of the MD&A under "Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Obligations" and Note 18 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Variable Product Guarantee Risks and Risk Management

The Company's variable products are significantly influenced by the U.S., Japanese, and other equity markets. Increases or declines in equity markets impact certain assets and liabilities related to the Company's variable products and the Company's earnings derived from those products. The Company's variable products currently include variable annuity contracts and mutual funds.

Generally, declines in equity markets will:

- reduce the value of assets under management and the amount of fee income generated from those assets;
- reduce the value of equity securities trading supporting the international variable annuities, the related policyholder funds and benefits payable, and the amount of fee income generated from those variable annuities;
- increase the liability for GMWB benefits resulting in realized capital losses;
- increase the value of derivative assets used to hedge product guarantees resulting in realized capital gains;
- increase the costs of the hedging instruments we use in our hedging program;
- increase the Company's net amount at risk for GMDB, GMWB and GMIB benefits;
- decrease the Company's actual gross profits, resulting in increased DAC amortization;
- increase the amount of required assets to be held backing variable annuity guarantees to maintain required regulatory reserve levels and targeted risk based capital ratios; and

decrease the Company's estimated future gross profits. See Estimated Gross Profits Used in the Valuation and Amortization of Assets and Liabilities Associated with Variable Annuity and Other Universal Life-Type Contracts within the Critical Accounting Estimates section of the MD&A for further information.

Generally, increases in equity markets will reduce the value of the hedge derivative assets, resulting in realized capital losses, and will generally have the inverse impact of those listed above. For additional information, see Risk Hedging - Variable Annuity Hedging Program section.

Variable Annuity Guaranteed Benefits

The Company's U.S. and Japan variable annuities include guaranteed minimum death benefits and certain contracts include optional living benefit features. A majority of the Company's GMDB benefits, both direct and assumed, are reinsured with an affiliated captive reinsurer and an external reinsurer. The net amount at risk ("NAR") is generally defined as the guaranteed minimum benefit amount in excess of the contract holder's current account value. Variable annuity account values with guarantee features were \$81.9 billion and \$94.4 billion as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

The Company's U.S. variable annuities include a GMWB rider. Declines in the equity markets will increase the Company's liability for these benefits. A GMWB contract is 'in the money' if the contract holder's guaranteed remaining

benefit (“GRB”) becomes greater than the account value. The Company reinsures a majority of the GMWB benefits with an affiliated captive reinsurer.

The following tables summarize the account values of the Company's U.S. and Japan variable annuities with guarantee features and the NAR split between various guarantee features (retained net amount at risk does not take into consideration the effects of the variable annuity hedge programs in place as of each balance sheet date):

Total Variable Annuity Guarantees

As of December 31, 2013

| (\$ in billions) | Account Value | Gross Net Amount at Risk | Retained Net Amount at Risk | % of Contracts In the Money [4] | % In the Money [4] [5] | |
|----------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|---|
| U. S. Variable Annuity [1] | | | | | | |
| GMDB | \$61.8 | \$4.3 | \$1.0 | 16 | % 26 | % |
| GMWB | 30.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 5 | % 12 | % |
| Japan Variable Annuity [1] | | | | | | |
| GMDB | 20.1 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 31 | % 8 | % |
| GMIB [3] | 18.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 20 | % 3 | % |

Total Variable Annuity Guarantees

As of December 31, 2012

| (\$ in billions) | Account Value | Gross Net Amount at Risk | Retained Net Amount at Risk | % of Contracts In the Money [4] | % In the Money [4] [5] | |
|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|---|
| U. S Variable Annuity [1] | | | | | | |
| GMDB [2] | 64.8 | \$6.6 | \$2.2 | 48 | % 13 | % |
| GMWB | 34.2 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 23 | % 9 | % |
| Japan Variable Annuity [1] | | | | | | |
| GMDB | 27.7 | 5.7 | 4.8 | 98 | % 18 | % |
| GMIB [3] | 26.0 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 97 | % 12 | % |
| U.K. Variable Annuity [1] [6] | | | | | | |
| GMDB | 1.9 | — | — | 100 | % 2 | % |
| GMWB | 1.7 | — | — | 24 | % 7 | % |

[1] Policies with a guaranteed living benefit (a GMWB in the U.S. or a GMIB in Japan) also have a guaranteed death benefit. The net amount at risk ("NAR") for each benefit is shown; however these benefits are not additive. When a policy terminates due to death, any NAR related to GMWB or GMIB is released. Similarly, when a policy goes into benefit status on a GMWB or GMIB, its GMDB NAR is released.

[2] Excludes group annuity contracts with GMDB benefits previously sold by Retirement Plans business. For further discussion of the sale of the Retirement Plans business, see Note 2 - Business Dispositions.

[3] Includes small amount of GMWB and GMAB.

[4] Excludes contracts that are fully reinsured.

[5] For all contracts that are "in the money", this represents the percentage by which the average contract was in the money.

[6] On December 12, 2013, the Company completed the sale of the U.K variable annuity business of Hartford Life International Limited ("HLIL"), an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary. For further discussion of the sale of the U.K. variable annuity business, HLIL in 2013, see Note 2 - Business Dispositions and Note 20 - Discontinued Operations of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Many policyholders with a GMDB also have a GMWB in the U.S. or GMIB in Japan. Policyholders that have a product that offer both guarantees can only receive the GMDB or the GMIB benefit in Japan or the GMDB or GMWB in the U.S. The GMDB NAR disclosed in the tables above is a point in time measurement and assumes that all participants utilize the GMDB benefit on that measurement date. For additional information on the Company's GMDB liability, see Note 11 - Separate Accounts, Death Benefits and Other Insurance Benefit Features of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Company expects to incur GMDB payments in the future only if the policyholder has an "in the money" GMDB at their death. If the account value is reduced to a specified level, the contract holder will receive an annuity equal to the guaranteed remaining benefit ("GRB"). For the Company's "life-time" GMWB products, this annuity can exceed the GRB. As the account value fluctuates with equity market returns on a daily basis and the "life-time" GMWB payments may exceed the GRB, the ultimate amount to be paid by the Company, if any, is uncertain and could be significantly more or less than the Company's current carried liability. For additional information on the Company's GMWB liability, see Note 5 - Fair Value Measurements of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

For GMIB contracts, in general, the policyholder has the right to elect to annuitize benefits, beginning (for certain products) on the tenth or fifteenth anniversary year of contract commencement, receive lump sum payment of the then current account value, or remain in the variable sub-account. For GMIB contracts, if the policyholder makes the annuitization election, the policyholder is entitled to receive the original investment value over a 10- to 15- year annuitization period. If the policyholder defers this election, the policyholder has the right to revisit the election annually on the policy anniversary date. A small percentage of the contracts first became eligible to elect annuitization in the third and fourth quarter of 2013. The remainder of the contracts will first become eligible to elect annuitization from 2014 to 2022. Because policyholders have various contractual rights to defer their annuitization election, the period over which annuitization election can take place is subject to policyholder behavior and therefore indeterminate. In addition, upon annuitization the contractholder surrenders access to the account value and the account value is transferred to the Company's general account where it is invested and the additional investment proceeds are used towards payment of the original investment value. If the original investment value exceeds the account value upon annuitization then the contract is "in the money". As of December 31, 2013, approximately 80% of the Japan GMIB contracts were "out of the money". The Company reinsures a majority of the GMIB benefits with an affiliated captive reinsurer. For additional information on the Company's GMIB liability, see Note 11 - Separate Accounts, Death Benefits and Other Insurance Benefit Features of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. The following table represents the timing of account values eligible for annuitization under the Japan GMIB as well as the NAR. The account values reflect 100% annuitization at the earliest point allowed by the contract and no adjustments for future market returns and policyholder behaviors. Future market returns, changes in the value of the Japanese yen and policyholder behaviors will impact account values eligible for annuitization in the years presented.

| GMIB [1] (\$ in billions) | As of December 31, 2013 | |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
| | Account Value | Net Amount at Risk |
| 2014 | \$2.2 | \$— |
| 2015 | 4.4 | — |
| 2016 | 2.0 | — |
| 2017 | 2.4 | 0.1 |
| 2018 | 1.1 | — |
| 2019 & beyond [2] | 4.2 | — |
| Total | \$16.3 | \$0.1 |

[1] Excludes certain non-GMIB living benefits of \$2.2 billion of account value and \$0.0 billion of NAR.

[2] In 2019 & beyond, \$2.0 billion of the \$4.2 billion is associated with account value that is eligible in 2021.

Variable Annuity Market Risk Exposures

The following table summarizes the broad Variable Annuity Guarantees offered by the Company and the market risks to which the guarantee is most exposed from a U.S. GAAP accounting perspective.

| Variable Annuity Guarantees [1] | U.S. GAAP Treatment [1] | Primary Market Risk Exposures [1] |
|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| U.S. Variable Guarantees | | |
| GMDB | Accumulation of the portion of fees required to cover expected claims, less accumulation of actual claims paid | Equity Market Levels |
| GMWB | Fair Value | Equity Market Levels / Implied Volatility / Interest Rates |
| For Life Component of GMWB | Accumulation of the portion of fees required to cover expected claims, less accumulation of actual claims paid | Equity Market Levels |
| International Variable Guarantees | | |
| GMDB & GMIB | Accumulation of the portion of fees required to cover expected claims, less accumulation of actual claims paid | Equity Market Levels / Interest Rates / Foreign Currency |
| GMWB | Fair Value | Equity Market Levels / Implied Volatility / Interest Rates / Foreign Currency |
| GMAB | Fair Value | Equity Market Levels / Implied Volatility / Interest Rates / Foreign Currency |

[1] Each of these guarantees and the related U.S. GAAP accounting volatility will also be influenced by actual and estimated policyholder behavior.

Risk Hedging

Variable Annuity Hedging Program

The Company's variable annuity hedging is primarily focused on reducing the economic exposure to market risks associated with guaranteed benefits that are embedded in our global VA contracts through the use of reinsurance and capital market derivative instruments. The variable annuity hedging also considers the potential impacts on Statutory accounting results.

Reinsurance

The Company uses reinsurance for a portion of contracts with GMWB riders issued prior to the third quarter of 2003 and GMWB risks associated with a block of business sold between the third quarter of 2003 and the second quarter of 2006. The Company also uses reinsurance for a majority of the GMDB issued in the U.S. and a portion of the GMDB issued in Japan.

Capital Market Derivatives

GMWB Hedge Program

The Company enters into derivative contracts to hedge market risk exposures associated with the GMWB liabilities that are not reinsured. These derivative contracts include customized swaps, interest rate swaps and futures, and equity swaps, options, and futures, on certain indices including the S&P 500 index, EAFE index, and NASDAQ index. Additionally, the Company holds customized derivative contracts to provide protection from certain capital market risks for the remaining term of specified blocks of non-reinsured GMWB riders. These customized derivative contracts are based on policyholder behavior assumptions specified at the inception of the derivative contracts. The Company retains the risk for differences between assumed and actual policyholder behavior and between the performance of the actively managed funds underlying the separate accounts and their respective indices.

While the Company actively manages this dynamic hedging program, increased U.S. GAAP earnings volatility may result from factors including, but not limited to: policyholder behavior, capital markets, divergence between the

performance of the underlying funds and the hedging indices, changes in hedging positions and the relative emphasis placed on various risk management objectives.

Macro Hedge Program

The Company's macro hedging program uses derivative instruments such as options and futures on equities and interest rates to provide protection against the statutory tail scenario risk arising from U.S., GMWB and GMDB liabilities, on the Company's statutory surplus. These macro hedges cover some of the residual risks not otherwise covered by specific dynamic hedging programs. Management assesses this residual risk under various scenarios in designing and executing the macro hedge program. The macro hedge program will result in additional U.S. GAAP earnings volatility as changes in the value of the macro hedge derivatives, which are designed to reduce statutory reserve and capital volatility, may not be closely aligned to changes in U.S. GAAP liabilities.

International Hedge Programs

The Company enters into derivative contracts to hedge market risk exposures associated with the guaranteed benefits which are embedded in the international variable annuity contracts. These derivative contracts include foreign currency forwards and options, interest rate swaps, swaptions and futures, and equity swaps, options, and futures on certain broadly traded global equity indices including the S&P500 index, Nikkei 225 index, Topix index, FTSE 100 index, and Euro Stoxx 50. During 2013, the Company expanded its hedging program to substantially reduce equity and foreign currency exchange risk. The program is primarily focused on the risks that have been reinsured to the Company's U.S. legal entities although certain hedges, predominantly options, are also held directly in HLIKK. While the Company actively manages these dynamic hedging programs, increased U.S. GAAP earnings volatility may result from factors including, but not limited to: focus on reducing the economic exposure to market risks associated with guaranteed benefits, capital markets, changes in hedging positions and the relative emphasis placed on various risk management objectives.

Variable Annuity Hedging Program Sensitivities

The following table presents the accounting treatment of the underlying guaranteed living benefits and the related hedge assets by hedge program.

| U.S. Programs | | | | International Programs | |
|---------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| GMWB [1] | | Macro | | Japan | |
| Hedge Assets | Liabilities | Hedge Assets | Liabilities | Hedge Assets | Liabilities [2] |
| Fair Value | Fair Value | Fair Value | Not Fair Value | Fair Value | Not Fair Value |

[1] Excludes life contingent GMWB contracts.

The liabilities for international variable annuity are primarily not measured on a fair value basis. However there is [2] an immaterial portion of the international variable annuity with a GMWB or GMAB which is measured on a fair value basis.

The following table presents our estimates of the potential instantaneous impacts from sudden market stresses related to equity market prices, interest rates, implied market volatilities, and foreign currency exchange rates. The sensitivities below represent: (1) the net estimated difference between the change in the fair value of GMWB liabilities and the underlying hedge instruments and (2) the estimated change in fair value of the hedge instruments for the macro and international hedge programs, before the impacts of amortization of DAC, and taxes. As noted in the table above, certain hedge assets are used to hedge liabilities that are not carried at fair value and will not have a liability offset in the U.S. GAAP sensitivity analysis. All sensitivities are measured as of year end and are related to the fair value of liabilities and hedge instruments in place as of year end for the Company's variable annuity hedge programs. The impacts presented in the table below are estimated individually and measured without consideration of any correlation among market risk factors

U.S. GAAP

| Sensitivity Analysis (pre Tax/DAC) [1] | As of December 31, 2013 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|--------|-------|--------|--------|-------|--------------------------------|--------|--------|----|
| | U.S. Programs GMWB | | | Macro | | | International Program Japan | | | |
| Equity Market Return | (20 |)%(10 |)%10 | %(20 |)%(10 |)%10 | %(20 |)%(10 |)%10 | % |
| Potential Net Fair Value Impact | \$6 | \$(1 |) \$5 | \$62 | \$24 | \$(19 |) \$356 | \$172 | \$(167 |) |
| Interest Rates | -50bps | -25bps | 25bps | -50bps | -25bps | 25bps | -50bps | -25bps | 25bps | |
| Potential Net Fair Value Impact | \$2 | \$3 | \$(8 |) \$16 | \$8 | \$(8 |) \$(2 |) \$6 | \$(4 |) |
| Implied Volatilities | 10 | % 2 | %(10 |)%10 | % 2 | %(10 |)%10 | % 2 | %(10 |)% |
| Potential Net Fair Value Impact | \$36 | \$7 | \$(28 |) \$66 | \$14 | \$(75 |) \$56 | \$10 | \$(33 |) |

Edgar Filing: HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP INC/DE - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-----|------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|------|-------|----|
| Yen Strengthens +/ Weakens - | 20 | % 10 | % (10 |)% 20 | % 10 | % (10 |)% 20 | % 10 | % (10 |)% |
| Potential Net Fair Value Impact | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$28 | \$5 | \$(7 |) |

These sensitivities are based on the following key market levels as of December 31, 2013: 1) S&P of 1848; 2) 10yr [1]US swap rate of 3.25%; 3) S&P 10yr volatility of 25.14% and 4) FX rates of USDJPY @ 105.31 and EURJPY @ 144.73

The above sensitivity analysis is an estimate and should not be used to predict the future financial performance of the Company's variable annuity hedge programs. The actual net changes in the fair value liability and the hedging assets illustrated in the above table may vary materially depending on a variety of factors which include but are not limited to:

- The sensitivity analysis is only valid as of the measurement date and assumes instantaneous changes in the capital market factors and no ability to rebalance hedge positions prior to the market changes;
- Changes to the underlying hedging program, policyholder behavior, and variation in underlying fund performance relative to the hedged index, which could materially impact the liability; and
- The impact of elapsed time on liabilities or hedge assets, any non-parallel shifts in capital market factors, or correlated moves across the sensitivities.

Foreign Currency Exchange Risk

Foreign currency exchange risk is defined as the risk of financial loss due to changes in the relative value between currencies. The Company's foreign currency exchange risk is related to non-U.S. dollar denominated liability contracts, the investment in and net income of the Japanese operations, non-U.S. dollar denominated fixed maturity investments, and a yen denominated individual fixed annuity product. In addition, the Company's Talcott Resolution operations issued non-U.S. dollar denominated funding agreement liability contracts. A portion of the Company's foreign currency exposure is mitigated through the use of derivatives.

The company manages the market risk, including foreign currency exchange risk, associated with the guaranteed benefits related to the Japanese variable annuities through its comprehensive International Hedge Program. For more information on the International Hedge Program, including the foreign currency exchange risk sensitivity analysis, see the Variable Product Guarantee Risks and Risk Management section.

Liabilities

Talcott Resolution previously issued non-U.S. dollar denominated funding agreement liability contracts. The Company hedged the foreign currency risk associated with these liability contracts with currency rate swaps. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the derivatives used to hedge foreign currency exchange risk related to foreign denominated liability contracts had a total notional amount of \$94 and \$134 and a total fair value of \$(1) and less than \$1, respectively.

Hartford Life Insurance KK ("HLIKK"), a wholly-owned Japanese subsidiary of Hartford Life, Inc. ("HLI"), previously issued a yen-denominated fixed annuity product and subsequently reinsured it to Hartford Life Insurance Company, a U.S. dollar based wholly-owned indirect subsidiary of HLI. During 2009, the Company suspended new sales of the Japan business. The underlying investment strategy involves investing in the U.S. securities markets, which offer favorable credit spreads. The yen denominated fixed annuity product ("yen fixed annuities") is recorded in the consolidated balance sheets with invested assets denominated in dollars while policyholder liabilities are denominated in yen and converted to U.S. dollars based upon the December 31 yen to U.S. dollar spot rate. The difference between U.S. dollar denominated investments and yen denominated liabilities exposes the Company to currency risk. The Company manages the currency risk associated with the yen fixed annuities primarily with pay variable U.S. dollar and receive fixed yen currency swaps.

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the notional value of the currency swaps was \$1.4 billion and \$1.7 billion and the fair value was \$(6) and \$224, respectively. The currency swaps are recorded at fair value, incorporating changes in value due to changes in forward foreign exchange rates, interest rates and accrued income. Included in net realized capital gains and losses was a before-tax net gain of \$6, a net loss of \$(36) and net gain of \$3 for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, which include the changes in value of the currency swaps, excluding net periodic coupon settlements, and the yen fixed annuity contract remeasurement.

Fixed Maturity Investments

The risk associated with the non-U.S. dollar denominated fixed maturities relates to potential decreases in value and income resulting from unfavorable changes in foreign exchange rates. The fair value of the non-U.S. dollar denominated fixed maturities, which are primarily denominated in yen, at December 31, 2013 and 2012, were approximately \$2.6 billion and \$2.1 billion, respectively. Included in these amounts are \$2.4 billion and \$1.8 billion at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, related to non-U.S. dollar denominated fixed maturity securities that directly support liabilities denominated in the same currencies. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the derivatives used to hedge currency exchange risk related to the remaining non-U.S. dollar denominated fixed maturities had a total notional amount of \$194 and \$246, respectively, and total fair value of \$(13) and \$(17), respectively.

Based on the fair values of the Company's non-U.S. dollar denominated securities, including the associated yen denominated fixed annuity product liabilities, and derivative instruments as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, management estimates that a 10% unfavorable change in exchange rates would decrease the fair values by a before-tax total of approximately \$165 and \$114, respectively. The estimated impact was based upon a 10% change in December 31 spot rates. The selection of the 10% unfavorable change was made only for illustration of the potential hypothetical impact of such an event and should not be construed as a prediction of future market events. Actual results could differ materially from those illustrated above due to the nature of the estimates and assumptions used in

the above analysis.

104

Financial Risk on Statutory Capital

Statutory surplus amounts and risk-based capital (“RBC”) ratios may increase or decrease in any period depending upon a variety of factors and may be compounded in extreme scenarios or if multiple factors occur at the same time. At times the impact of changes in certain market factors or a combination of multiple factors on RBC ratios can be counterintuitive. Factors include:

In general, as equity market levels and interest rates decline, the amount and volatility of both our actual potential obligation, as well as the related statutory surplus and capital margin for death and living benefit guarantees associated with U.S. variable annuity contracts can be materially negatively affected, sometimes at a greater than linear rate. Other market factors that can impact statutory surplus, reserve levels and capital margin include differences in performance of variable subaccounts relative to indices and/or realized equity and interest rate volatilities. In addition, as equity market levels increase, generally surplus levels will increase. RBC ratios will also tend to increase when equity markets increase. However, as a result of a number of factors and market conditions, including the level of hedging costs and other risk transfer activities, reserve requirements for death and living benefit guarantees and RBC requirements could increase with rising equity markets, resulting in lower RBC ratios.

Non-market factors, which can also impact the amount and volatility of both our actual potential obligation, as well as the related statutory surplus and capital margin, include actual and estimated policyholder behavior experience as it pertains to lapsation, partial withdrawals, and mortality.

For guaranteed benefits (GMDB, GMIB, and GMWB) reinsured from our international operations to our U.S. insurance subsidiaries, or guaranteed by our U.S. insurance subsidiaries, the Company hedges its aggregate economic exposure to the various risks arising out of the product guarantees, with a focus on the underlying economics of the exposure to the entire Company, rather than the direct liability of the underlying issuer of the related products. The Company believes that hedging economic exposure in this manner is consistent with certain intercompany reinsurance agreements and guarantees, results in increased capital efficiency and results in a better risk profile than taking alternative approaches to hedging that might emphasize statutory or GAAP measures or considerations. The amount and volatility of both our actual potential obligation, as well as the related statutory surplus and capital margin can be materially affected by a variety of factors, both market and non-market. Market factors include declines in various equity market indices and interest rates, changes in value of the yen versus other global currencies, difference in the performance of variable subaccounts relative to indices, and increases in realized equity, interest rate, and currency volatilities. Non-market factors include actual and estimated policyholder behavior experience as it pertains to lapsation, withdrawals, mortality, and annuitization. Risk mitigation activities, such as hedging, may also result in material and sometimes counterintuitive impacts on statutory surplus and capital margin. Notably, as changes in these market and non-market factors occur, both our potential obligation and the related statutory reserves and/or required capital can increase or decrease at a greater than linear rate.

As the value of certain fixed-income and equity securities in our investment portfolio decreases, due in part to credit spread widening, statutory surplus and RBC ratios may decrease.

As the value of certain derivative instruments that do not qualify for hedge accounting decreases, statutory surplus and RBC ratios may decrease.

The life insurance subsidiaries’ exposure to foreign currency exchange risk exists with respect to non-U.S. dollar denominated assets and liabilities. Assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies are accounted for at their U.S. dollar equivalent values using exchange rates at the balance sheet date. As foreign currency exchange rates vary in comparison to the U.S. dollar, the remeasured value of those non-dollar denominated assets or liabilities will also vary, causing an increase or decrease to statutory surplus.

Our statutory surplus is also impacted by widening credit spreads as a result of the accounting for the assets and liabilities in our fixed MVA annuities. Statutory separate account assets supporting the fixed MVA annuities are recorded at fair value. In determining the statutory reserve for the fixed MVA annuities, we are required to use current crediting rates in the U.S. and Japanese LIBOR in Japan. In many capital market scenarios, current crediting rates in the U.S. are highly correlated with market rates implicit in the fair value of statutory separate account assets. As a result, the change in statutory reserve from period to period will likely substantially offset the change in the fair value of the statutory separate account assets. However, in periods of volatile credit markets, such as we have experienced,

actual credit spreads on investment assets may increase sharply for certain sub-sectors of the overall credit market, resulting in statutory separate account asset market value losses. As actual credit spreads are not fully reflected in the current crediting rates in the U.S. or Japanese LIBOR in Japan, the calculation of statutory reserves will not substantially offset the change in fair value of the statutory separate account assets resulting in reductions in statutory surplus. This has resulted and may continue to result in the need to devote significant additional capital to support the product.

- With respect to our fixed annuity business, sustained low interest rates may result in a reduction in statutory surplus and an increase in NAIC required capital.

Most of these factors are outside of the Company's control. The Company's financial strength and credit ratings are significantly influenced by the statutory surplus amounts and RBC ratios of our insurance company subsidiaries. In addition, rating agencies may implement changes to their internal models that have the effect of increasing or decreasing the amount of statutory capital we must hold in order to maintain our current ratings.

The Company has reinsured approximately 20% of its risk associated with U.S. GMWB and 76% of its risk associated with the aggregate U.S. GMDB exposure. These reinsurance agreements serve to reduce the Company's exposure to changes in the statutory reserves and the related capital and RBC ratios associated with changes in the capital markets. The Company also continues to explore other solutions for mitigating the capital market risk effect on surplus, such as external reinsurance solutions, modifications to our hedging program, changes in product design, increasing pricing and expense management.

Credit Risk

Credit risk is defined as the risk of financial loss due to uncertainty of an obligor's or counterparty's ability or willingness to meet its obligations in accordance with contractually agreed upon terms. The majority of the Company's credit risk is concentrated in its investment holdings but is also present in reinsurance and insurance portfolios. Credit risk is comprised of three major factors: the risk of change in credit quality, or credit migration risk; the risk of default; and the risk of a change in value of a financial instrument due to changes in credit spread that are unrelated to changes in obligor credit quality. A decline in creditworthiness is typically associated with an increase in an investment's credit spread, potentially resulting in an increase in other-than-temporary impairments and an increased probability of a realized loss upon sale.

The objective of the Company's enterprise credit risk management strategy is to identify, quantify, and manage credit risk on an aggregate portfolio basis and to limit potential losses in accordance with an established credit risk appetite.

The Company manages to its risk appetite by primarily holding a diversified mix of investment grade issuers and counterparties across its investment, reinsurance, and insurance portfolios. Potential losses are also limited within portfolios by diversifying across geographic regions, asset types, and sectors.

The Company manages a credit exposure from its inception to its maturity or sale. Both the investment and reinsurance areas have formulated procedures for counterparty approvals and authorizations. Although approval processes may vary by area and type of credit risk, approval processes establish minimum levels of creditworthiness and financial stability. Eligible credits are subjected to prudent and conservative underwriting reviews. Within the investment portfolio, private securities, such as commercial mortgages, and private placements, must be presented to their respective review committees for approval.

Credit risks are managed on an on-going basis through the use of various processes and analyses. At the investment, reinsurance, and insurance product levels, fundamental credit analyses are performed at the issuer/counterparty level on a regular basis. To provide a holistic review within the investment portfolio, fundamental analyses are supported by credit ratings, assigned by nationally recognized rating agencies or internally assigned, and by quantitative credit analyses. The Company utilizes a credit value at risk ("VaR") to measure default and migration risk on a monthly basis. Issuer and security level risk measures are also utilized. In the event of deterioration in credit quality, the Company maintains watch lists of problem counterparties within the investment and reinsurance portfolios. The watch lists are updated based on regular credit examinations and management reviews. The Company also performs quarterly assessments of probable expected losses in the investment portfolio. The process is conducted on a sector basis and is intended to promptly assess and identify potential problems in the portfolio and to recognize necessary impairments.

Credit risk policies at the enterprise and operation level ensure comprehensive and consistent approaches to quantifying, evaluating, and managing credit risk under expected and stressed conditions. These policies define the scope of the risk, authorities, accountabilities, terms, and limits, and are regularly reviewed and approved by senior management and ERM. Aggregate counterparty credit quality and exposure is monitored on a daily basis utilizing an enterprise-wide credit exposure information system that contains data on issuers, ratings, exposures, and credit limits. Exposures are tracked on a current and potential basis. Credit exposures are reported regularly to the ERCC and to the Finance, Investment and Risk Management Committee ("FIRMCo"). Exposures are aggregated by ultimate parent across investments, reinsurance receivables, insurance products with credit risk, and derivative counterparties. The credit database and reporting system are available to all key credit practitioners in the enterprise.

The Company exercises various and differing methods to mitigate its credit risk exposure within its investment and reinsurance portfolios. Some of the reasons for mitigating credit risk include financial instability or poor credit, avoidance of arbitration or litigation, future uncertainty, and exposure in excess of risk tolerances. Credit risk within the investment portfolio is most commonly mitigated through asset sales or the use of derivative instruments. Counterparty credit risk is mitigated through the practice of entering into contracts only with highly creditworthy institutions and through the practice of holding and posting of collateral. In addition, transactions cleared through a central clearing house reduce risk due to their ability to require daily variation margin, monitor the Company's ability to request additional collateral in the event of a counterparty downgrade, and be an independent valuation source. Systemic credit risk is mitigated through the construction of high-quality, diverse portfolios that are subject to regular underwriting of credit risks. For further discussion of the Company's investment and derivative instruments, see the Portfolio Risks and Risk Management section and Note 6 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. Further discussion on managing and mitigating credit risk from the use of reinsurance via an enterprise security review process, see the Reinsurance as a Risk Management Strategy within the Insurance Risk Management section. As of December 31, 2013, the Company's only exposure to any credit concentration risk of a single issuer or counterparty greater than 10% of the Company's stockholders' equity, other than the U.S. government and certain U.S. government securities, was the Government of Japan and Prudential. The Government of Japan securities represented \$2.6 billion, or 14% of stockholders' equity, and 3% of total invested assets. For further discussion of concentration of credit risk, see the Concentration of Credit Risk section in Note 6 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. The net unsecured reinsurance recoverable from Prudential of \$2.4 billion represented approximately 13% of stockholders' equity. For further discussion of reinsurance recoverables, see Note 7 - Reinsurance of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Derivative Instruments

The Company utilizes a variety of OTC, OTC-cleared and exchange-traded derivative instruments as a part of its overall risk management strategy, as well as to enter into replication transactions. Derivative instruments are used to manage risk associated with interest rate, equity market, credit spread, issuer default, price, and currency exchange rate risk or volatility. Replication transactions are used as an economical means to synthetically replicate the characteristics and performance of assets that would be permissible investments under the Company's investment policies. For further information on the Company's use of derivatives, see Note 6 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Derivative activities are monitored and evaluated by the Company's compliance and risk management teams and reviewed by senior management. Downgrades to the credit ratings of The Hartford's insurance operating companies may have adverse implications for its use of derivatives including those used to hedge benefit guarantees of variable annuities. In some cases, downgrades may give derivative counterparties for OTC derivatives the unilateral contractual right to cancel and settle outstanding derivative trades or require additional collateral to be posted. In addition, downgrades may result in counterparties becoming unwilling to engage in additional OTC derivatives or may require collateralization before entering into any new trades. This will restrict the supply of derivative instruments commonly used to hedge variable annuity guarantees, particularly long-dated equity derivatives and interest rate swaps. Under these circumstances, the Company's operating subsidiaries could conduct hedging activity using a combination of cash, OTC-cleared and exchange-traded instruments, in addition to using the available OTC derivatives.

The Company uses various derivative counterparties in executing its derivative transactions. The use of counterparties creates credit risk that the counterparty may not perform in accordance with the contractual terms of the derivative transaction. The Company has derivative counterparty exposure policies which limit the Company's exposure to credit risk and monitors counterparty credit exposure on a monthly basis to ensure compliance with company policies and statutory limitations. The Company's policies with respect to derivative counterparty exposure establishes market-based credit limits, favors long-term financial stability and creditworthiness of the counterparty and typically requires credit enhancement/credit risk reducing agreements. The Company minimizes the credit risk of derivative instruments by entering into transactions with high quality counterparties primarily rated A or better, which are monitored and evaluated by the Company's risk management team and reviewed by senior management. The Company also generally requires that OTC derivative contracts, other than certain forward contracts, be governed by an International Swaps and Derivatives Association ("ISDA") Master Agreement, which is structured by legal entity and by counterparty and reduces risk by permitting the closeout and netting of transactions upon the occurrence of certain events.

107

The Company has developed credit exposure thresholds which are based upon counterparty ratings. Credit exposures are measured using the market value of the derivatives, resulting in amounts owed to the Company by its counterparties or potential payment obligations from the Company to its counterparties. The Company generally enters into credit support annexes in conjunction with the ISDA agreements, which require daily collateral settlement based upon agreed upon thresholds. For purposes of daily derivative collateral maintenance, credit exposures are generally quantified based on the prior business day's market value and collateral is pledged to and held by, or on behalf of, the Company to the extent the current value of the derivatives exceed the contractual thresholds. In accordance with industry standard and the contractual agreements, collateral is typically settled on the next business day. The Company has exposure to credit risk for amounts below the exposure thresholds which are uncollateralized, as well as for market fluctuations that may occur between contractual settlement periods of collateral movements. For the company's domestic derivative programs, the maximum uncollateralized threshold for a derivative counterparty for a single legal entity is \$10. The Company currently transacts OTC derivatives in five legal entities that have a threshold greater than zero and therefore the maximum combined threshold for a single counterparty across all legal entities that use derivatives is \$50. In addition, the Company may have exposure to multiple counterparties in a single corporate family due to a common credit support provider. As of December 31, 2013, for the company's domestic derivative programs, the maximum combined threshold for all counterparties under a single credit support provider across all legal entities that use derivatives is \$100. Based on the contractual terms of the collateral agreements, these thresholds may be immediately reduced due to a downgrade in either party's credit rating. The Company hedges a portion of its Japan exposures within the legal entity HLIKK. The counterparty credit exposures at HLIKK generally follow the maximum uncollateralized threshold of the domestic programs; however, for one counterparty, the maximum uncollateralized exposure is higher. This counterparty maintains credit ratings of A3 or better, and the Company actively monitors its credit standing. For further discussion, see the Derivative Commitments section of Note 13 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

For the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company has incurred no losses on derivative instruments due to counterparty default.

In addition to counterparty credit risk, the Company may also introduce credit risk through the use of credit default swaps that are entered into to manage credit exposure. Credit default swaps involve a transfer of credit risk of one or many referenced entities from one party to another in exchange for periodic payments. The party that purchases credit protection will make periodic payments based on an agreed upon rate and notional amount, and for certain transactions there will also be an upfront premium payment. The second party, who assumes credit risk, will typically only make a payment if there is a credit event as defined in the contract and such payment will be typically equal to the notional value of the swap contract less the value of the referenced security issuer's debt obligation. The notional amounts of derivative contracts represent the basis upon which pay or receive amounts are calculated and are not reflective of credit risk. A credit event is generally defined as default on contractually obligated interest or principal payments or bankruptcy of the referenced entity.

The Company uses credit derivatives to purchase credit protection and to assume credit risk with respect to a single entity, referenced index, or asset pool. The Company purchases credit protection through credit default swaps to economically hedge and manage credit risk of certain fixed maturity investments across multiple sectors of the investment portfolio. The Company also enters into credit default swaps that assume credit risk as part of replication transactions. Replication transactions are used as an economical means to synthetically replicate the characteristics and performance of assets that would be permissible investments under the Company's investment policies. These swaps reference investment grade single corporate issuers and baskets, which include customized diversified portfolios of corporate issuers, which are established within sector concentration limits and may be divided into tranches which possess different credit ratings.

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the notional amount related to credit derivatives that purchase credit protection was \$1.3 billion and \$2.2 billion, respectively, while the fair value was \$(10) and \$21, respectively. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the credit derivatives that purchase credit protection included notional of \$0.4 billion and fair value of \$5 and \$28, respectively, that are part of the international program hedging instruments. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the notional amount related to credit derivatives that assume credit risk was \$1.9 billion

and \$2.7 billion, respectively, while the fair value was \$33 and \$(29), respectively. For further information on credit derivatives, see Note 6 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Investment Portfolio Risks and Risk Management

Investment Portfolio Composition

The following table presents the Company's fixed maturities, AFS, by credit quality. The average credit ratings referenced below and throughout this section are based on availability and the midpoint of the applicable ratings among Moody's, S&P, Fitch and Morningstar. If no rating is available from a rating agency, then an internally developed rating is used.

Fixed Maturities by Credit Quality

| | December 31, 2013 | | | December 31, 2012 | | | |
|--|-------------------|------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|------------|-----------------------------|---|
| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Percent of Total Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Percent of Total Fair Value | |
| United States Government/Government agencies | \$8,231 | \$8,208 | 13.2 | % \$10,481 | \$10,975 | 12.8 | % |
| AAA | 6,215 | 6,376 | 10.2 | % 8,646 | 9,220 | 10.7 | % |
| AA | 12,054 | 12,273 | 19.7 | % 14,939 | 16,104 | 18.7 | % |
| A | 14,777 | 15,498 | 24.9 | % 20,396 | 22,650 | 26.4 | % |
| BBB | 15,555 | 16,087 | 25.7 | % 20,833 | 22,689 | 26.4 | % |
| BB & below | 3,809 | 3,915 | 6.3 | % 4,452 | 4,284 | 5.0 | % |
| Total fixed maturities, AFS | \$60,641 | 62,357 | 100 | % \$79,747 | 85,922 | 100 | % |

The movement in the overall credit quality of the Company's portfolio was primarily attributable to the sale of the Retirement Plans and Individual Life businesses in January 2013. Refer to Note 2 - Business Dispositions of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of these transactions. Excluding the impact of the sales, United States government and government agencies declined due to the sale of agency RMBS securities associated with the termination of repurchase agreements, see Note 6 - Investments and Derivative Instruments of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. Fixed maturities, FVO, are not included in the above table. For further discussion on fair value option securities, see Note 5 - Fair Value Measurements of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

The following table presents the Company's AFS securities by type, as well as fixed maturities, FVO.

Securities by Type

| | December 31, 2013 | | | | Percent of Total Fair Value | December 31, 2012 | | | | Percent of Total Fair Value |
|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------------|
| | Cost or Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | | Cost or Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | |
| ABS | | | | | | | | | | |
| Consumer loans | \$1,982 | \$ 11 | \$ (48) |) \$1,945 | 3.1 % | \$2,234 | \$ 29 | \$ (116) |) \$2,147 | 2.5 % |
| Small business | 194 | 3 | (16) |) 181 | 0.3 % | 336 | 7 | (67) |) 276 | 0.3 % |
| Other | 228 | 11 | — |) 239 | 0.4 % | 313 | 27 | — |) 340 | 0.4 % |
| Collateralized debt obligations ("CDOs") | | | | | | | | | | |
| Collateralized loan obligations ("CLOs") | 1,781 | 3 | (34) |) 1,750 | 2.8 % | 2,197 | — | (68) |) 2,129 | 2.5 % |
| Commercial real estate ("CREs") | 176 | 88 | (16) |) 248 | 0.4 % | 420 | 44 | (80) |) 384 | 0.4 % |
| Other [1] | 383 | 17 | (9) |) 389 | 0.6 % | 553 | 16 | (11) |) 527 | 0.6 % |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities ("CMBS") | | | | | | | | | | |
| Agency backed [2] | 1,068 | 20 | (12) |) 1,076 | 1.7 % | 962 | 79 | — |) 1,041 | 1.2 % |
| Bonds | 2,836 | 168 | (31) |) 2,973 | 4.8 % | 4,535 | 293 | (160) |) 4,668 | 5.4 % |
| Interest only ("IOs") | 384 | 28 | (15) |) 397 | 0.6 % | 586 | 45 | (19) |) 612 | 0.7 % |
| Corporate | | | | | | | | | | |
| Basic industry | 2,085 | 106 | (38) |) 2,153 | 3.5 % | 3,741 | 369 | (6) |) 4,104 | 4.8 % |
| Capital goods | 2,077 | 161 | (14) |) 2,224 | 3.6 % | 3,109 | 389 | (2) |) 3,496 | 4.1 % |
| Consumer cyclical | 1,801 | 119 | (17) |) 1,903 | 3.1 % | 2,423 | 266 | (5) |) 2,684 | 3.1 % |
| Consumer non-cyclical | 3,600 | 288 | (21) |) 3,867 | 6.2 % | 5,927 | 759 | (7) |) 6,679 | 7.8 % |
| Energy | 2,384 | 174 | (17) |) 2,541 | 4.1 % | 3,816 | 499 | (3) |) 4,312 | 5.0 % |
| Financial services | 5,044 | 287 | (145) |) 5,186 | 8.3 % | 7,230 | 604 | (211) |) 7,623 | 8.9 % |
| Tech./comm. | 3,223 | 223 | (28) |) 3,418 | 5.5 % | 3,971 | 526 | (16) |) 4,481 | 5.2 % |
| Transportation | 972 | 65 | (13) |) 1,024 | 1.6 % | 1,393 | 163 | (2) |) 1,554 | 1.8 % |
| Utilities | 5,605 | 386 | (51) |) 5,940 | 9.5 % | 7,792 | 1,017 | (24) |) 8,785 | 10.2 % |
| Other | 222 | 14 | (2) |) 234 | 0.4 % | 292 | 39 | — |) 331 | 0.4 % |
| Foreign govt./govt. agencies | 4,228 | 52 | (176) |) 4,104 | 6.6 % | 3,985 | 191 | (40) |) 4,136 | 4.8 % |
| Municipal | | | | | | | | | | |
| Taxable | 1,299 | 32 | (67) |) 1,264 | 2.0 % | 2,235 | 246 | (15) |) 2,466 | 2.9 % |
| Tax-exempt | 10,633 | 393 | (117) |) 10,909 | 17.5 % | 10,766 | 1,133 | (4) |) 11,895 | 13.9 % |
| RMBS | | | | | | | | | | |
| Agency | 3,366 | 59 | (38) |) 3,387 | 5.4 % | 5,906 | 259 | (3) |) 6,162 | 7.2 % |
| Non-agency | 86 | — | — |) 86 | 0.1 % | — | — | — |) — | — % |
| Alt-A | — | — | — |) — | — % | 38 | — | (1) |) 37 | — % |
| Sub-prime | 1,187 | 31 | (44) |) 1,174 | 1.9 % | 1,374 | 36 | (129) |) 1,281 | 1.5 % |
| U.S. Treasuries | 3,797 | 7 | (59) |) 3,745 | 6.0 % | 3,613 | 175 | (16) |) 3,772 | 4.4 % |
| Fixed maturities, AFS | 60,641 | 2,746 | (1,028) |) 62,357 | 100 % | 79,747 | 7,211 | (1,005) |) 85,922 | 100 % |
| Equity securities | | | | | | | | | | |
| Financial services | 233 | 11 | (29) |) 215 | 24.8 % | 331 | 15 | (42) |) 304 | 34.2 % |

Edgar Filing: HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP INC/DE - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|----------|----------|-----------|------------|--------|----------|----------|-----------|------------|--------|
| Other | 617 | 56 | (20 |) 653 | 75.2 % | 535 | 66 | (15 |) 586 | 65.8 % |
| Equity securities, AFS | 850 | 67 | (49 |) 868 | 100 % | 866 | 81 | (57 |) 890 | 100 % |
| Total AFS securities | \$61,491 | \$ 2,813 | \$ (1,077 |) \$63,225 | | \$80,613 | \$ 7,292 | \$ (1,062 |) \$86,812 | |
| Fixed maturities, FVO | | | | \$844 | | | | | \$1,087 | |

[1] Gross unrealized gains (losses) exclude the fair value of bifurcated embedded derivative features of certain securities. Changes in value are recorded in net realized capital gains (losses).

[2] Includes securities with pools of loans issued by the Small Business Administration which are backed by the full faith and credit of the U.S. government.

The overall decrease in AFS and FVO securities is attributed to the sales of the Retirement Plans and Individual Life businesses in January 2013. Refer to Note 2 - Business Dispositions of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of these transactions. The Company continues to invest in a diversified portfolio that is primarily comprised of investment grade securities. Due to the type of securities transferred in connection with the sold businesses, a higher percentage of securities held at December 31, 2013 were in tax-exempt municipals, U.S. Treasuries, and foreign and U.S. government agency securities and a lower percentage in corporates. Apart from the impact of the business sales, during 2013, the Company sold agency RMBS associated with repurchase agreements and modestly increased allocations to emerging market and high yield securities, which offer attractive risk-adjusted returns. For further information on repurchase agreements, see Note 6 - Investments and Derivative Instruments of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. The Company's AFS net unrealized gain position declined primarily due to an increase in interest rates and the disposition of the Retirement Plans and Individual Life businesses, as discussed above.

Fixed maturities, FVO, primarily represents Japan government securities supporting the Japan fixed annuity product, as well as securities containing an embedded credit derivative for which the Company elected the fair value option. The underlying credit risk of the securities containing credit derivatives are primarily investment grade CRE CDOs. For further discussion on fair value option securities, see Note 5 - Fair Value Measurements of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

European Exposure

Although there has been continued improvement in fundamental conditions in Europe, certain economies have experienced adverse economic conditions that were precipitated in part by elevated unemployment rates and government debt levels. As a result, in the past issuers in several European countries experienced credit deterioration and rating downgrades and a reduced ability to access capital markets and/or higher borrowing costs. Austerity measures aimed at reducing sovereign debt levels, along with steps taken by the European Central Bank to provide liquidity and credit support to certain countries issuing debt, have helped to stabilize markets. In addition, the European economy has experienced two consecutive quarters of positive, although weak, growth. In general, these economies continue to show signs of stabilization, including improved credit and reduced borrowing costs. Further contraction of gross domestic product along with elevated unemployment levels may continue to put pressure on sovereign debt.

The Company manages the credit risk associated with the European securities within the investment portfolio on an on-going basis using several processes which are supported by macroeconomic analysis and issuer credit analysis. For additional details regarding the Company's management of credit risk, see the Credit Risk section of this MD&A. The Company periodically considers alternate scenarios, including a base-case and both a positive and negative "tail" scenarios that includes a partial or full break-up of the Eurozone. The outlook for key factors is evaluated, including the economic prospects for key countries, the potential for the spread of sovereign debt contagion, and the likelihood that policymakers and politicians pursue sufficient fiscal discipline and introduce appropriate backstops. Given the inherent uncertainty in the outcome of developments in the Eurozone, however, the Company has been focused on controlling both absolute levels of exposure and the composition of that exposure through both bond and derivative transactions.

The Company has limited direct European exposure, totaling only 5% of total invested assets as of December 31, 2013. The following tables present the Company's European securities included in the Securities by Type table above. The Company identifies exposures with the issuers' ultimate parent country of domicile, which may not be the country of the security issuer. Certain European countries were separately listed below, specifically, Greece, Italy, Ireland, Portugal and Spain ("GIIPS"), because of the current significant economic strains persisting in these countries. The criteria used for identifying the countries separately listed includes countries on the iTraxx SovX Western Europe Series 6 index with credit default spreads that exceed their respective index level as of December 31, 2013, an S&P credit quality rating of BBB+ or below, and a gross domestic product ("GDP") greater than \$200 billion.

The following tables present the Company's European securities included in the Securities by Type table above.
December 31, 2013

| | Corporate & Equity, AFS Non-Finan. [1] | | Corporate & Equity, AFS Financials | | Foreign Govt./ Govt. Agencies | | Total | |
|--|---|---------|---------------------------------------|---------|----------------------------------|-------|-----------|---------|
| | Amortized | Fair | Amortized | Fair | Amortized | Fair | Amortized | Fair |
| | Cost | Value | Cost | Value | Cost | Value | Cost | Value |
| Italy | \$2 | \$2 | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$2 | \$2 |
| Spain [3] | 35 | 36 | 21 | 21 | — | — | 56 | 57 |
| Ireland | 47 | 48 | 3 | 3 | — | — | 50 | 51 |
| Portugal | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Greece | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Higher risk | 84 | 86 | 24 | 24 | — | — | 108 | 110 |
| Europe excluding higher risk | 3,083 | 3,304 | 1,015 | 1,074 | 634 | 634 | 4,732 | 5,012 |
| Total Europe | \$3,167 | \$3,390 | \$1,039 | \$1,098 | \$634 | \$634 | \$4,840 | \$5,122 |
| Europe exposure net of credit default swap protection [2] | | | | | | | \$4,650 | \$5,121 |

December 31, 2012

| | Corporate & Equity, AFS Non-Finan. [1] | | Corporate & Equity, AFS Financials | | Foreign Govt./ Govt. Agencies | | Total | |
|--|---|---------|---------------------------------------|---------|----------------------------------|-------|-----------|---------|
| | Amortized | Fair | Amortized | Fair | Amortized | Fair | Amortized | Fair |
| | Cost | Value | Cost | Value | Cost | Value | Cost | Value |
| Italy | \$4 | \$4 | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$4 | \$4 |
| Spain [3] | 53 | 52 | 20 | 20 | — | — | 73 | 72 |
| Ireland | 143 | 145 | — | — | — | — | 143 | 145 |
| Portugal | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Greece | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Higher risk | 200 | 201 | 20 | 20 | — | — | 220 | 221 |
| Europe excluding higher risk | 4,022 | 4,525 | 1,158 | 1,182 | 751 | 827 | 5,931 | 6,534 |
| Total Europe | \$4,222 | \$4,726 | \$1,178 | \$1,202 | \$751 | \$827 | \$6,151 | \$6,755 |
| Europe exposure net of credit default swap protection [2] | | | | | | | \$5,767 | \$6,752 |

[1] Includes amortized cost and fair value of \$34 as of December 31, 2013 and \$74 as of December 31, 2012 related to limited partnerships and other alternative investments, the majority of which is domiciled in the United Kingdom.

[2] Includes a notional amount and fair value of \$190 and \$(1), respectively, as of December 31, 2013 and \$384 and \$(3), respectively, as of December 31, 2012 related to credit default swap protection. This includes a notional amount of \$55 and \$56 as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, related to single name corporate issuers in the financial services sector.

[3] The Company has credit default swap protection with a notional amount of \$20 related to the Corporate and Equity, AFS Financial Services.

The Company's European investment exposure largely relates to corporate entities which are domiciled in or generated a significant portion of its revenue within the United Kingdom, Germany, the Netherlands and Switzerland. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, exposure to the United Kingdom totals less than 2% of total invested assets. The majority of the European investments are U.S. dollar-denominated, and those securities that are pound and euro-denominated are hedged to U.S. dollars or support foreign-denominated liabilities. For a discussion of foreign currency risks, see the Foreign Currency Exchange Risk section of this MD&A. The Company does not hold any sovereign exposure to the higher risk countries and does not hold any exposure to issuers in Greece. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company's unfunded commitments associated with its investment portfolio was immaterial, and the weighted average credit quality of European investments was A- and A-, respectively.

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company's total credit default swaps that provide credit protection on European issuers had a notional amount of \$190 and \$384, respectively, and a fair value of \$(1) and \$(3), respectively. Included in those notional amounts as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 were \$190 and \$384, respectively, on credit default swaps that reference single name corporate and financial European issuers, of which \$23 and \$23, respectively, related to the higher risk countries. The maturity dates of credit defaults swaps are primarily consistent with the hedged bonds. For further information on the use of the Company's credit derivatives and counterparty credit quality, see Derivative Instruments within the Credit Risk section of this MD&A.

In addition to the credit risk associated with the investment portfolio, the Company has \$236 of reinsurance recoverables due from legal entity counterparties domiciled within Europe. For a more detail discussion of the Company's reinsurance arrangements, see Note 7 of Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Included in the Company's equity securities, trading, portfolio are investments in World Government Bond Index Funds ("WGBI funds"). The fair value of the WGBI funds at December 31, 2013 and 2012 was \$7.6 billion and \$11.8 billion, respectively. Because several of these funds are managed by third party asset managers, the Company does not have access to detailed holdings; however, the WGBI funds' investment mandate follows the Citigroup non-Japan World Government Fund Index ("the index") and includes allocations to certain European sovereign debt. The estimated fair value of the European allocation based upon the index benchmark allocation was \$3.3 billion and \$5.0 billion as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Included in this estimated European exposure were investments in Ireland, Italy, Portugal and Spain with an estimated fair value of \$1.2 billion and \$1.6 billion as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The index guidelines allow investment in issuers rated BBB- or higher by Standard and Poor's or Baa3 or higher by Moody's. Should an issuer's credit rating fall below both of these rating levels they will be removed from the Index and the holdings will be liquidated. Because these assets support the international variable annuity business, changes in the value of these investments are reflected in the corresponding policyholder liabilities. The Company's indirect exposure to these holdings is through any guarantees issued on the underlying variable annuity policies. The Company has also entered into credit default swaps with a notional amount and fair value of \$350 and \$5, respectively, to hedge certain sovereign credit risks.

Emerging Market Exposure

Emerging market securities have been negatively impacted due to softer-than-expected economic growth as well as trade and budget deficits raising the potential for destabilizing capital outflows and rapid currency depreciation, causing bondholders to demand a higher yield which would depress the fair value of securities held. We expect continued sensitivity to the ongoing evolution of Fed policy and other economic and political factors, including contagion risk.

The Company has limited direct exposure within its investment portfolio to emerging market issuers, totaling only 2% of total invested assets as of December 31, 2013, and is primarily comprised of sovereign and corporate debt issued in US dollars. The Company identifies exposures with the issuers' ultimate parent country of domicile, which may not be the country of the security issuer. The following table presents the Company's exposure to securities within certain emerging markets currently under the greatest stress, defined as countries with a current account deficit and an inflation level greater than 5% or that have a sovereign S&P credit rating of B- or below.

| | December 31, 2013 | | December 31, 2012 | |
|--------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| Argentina | \$38 | \$40 | \$15 | \$15 |
| Brazil | 274 | 257 | 295 | 317 |
| India | 62 | 62 | 79 | 86 |
| Indonesia | 107 | 93 | 56 | 59 |
| Lebanon | 26 | 26 | 13 | 13 |
| South Africa | 65 | 60 | 47 | 47 |
| Turkey | 88 | 79 | 48 | 52 |
| Ukraine | 50 | 50 | 17 | 18 |
| Uruguay | 27 | 25 | 14 | 14 |
| Venezuela | 67 | 60 | 45 | 49 |
| Total | \$804 | \$752 | \$629 | \$670 |

The Company manages the credit risk associated with emerging market securities within the investment portfolio on an on-going basis using macroeconomic analysis and issuer credit analysis subject to diversification and individual credit risk management limits. For additional details regarding the Company's management of credit risk, see the Credit Risk section of this MD&A. Due to increased political tensions in Argentina, Ukraine, and Venezuela, the Company substantially reduced its exposure to these economies during February 2014.

Financial Services

The Company's exposure to the financial services sector is predominantly through banking and insurance institutions. The following table presents the Company's exposure to the financial services sector included in the Securities by Type table above.

| | December 31, 2013 | | | December 31, 2012 | | |
|------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Net Unrealized | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Net Unrealized |
| AAA | \$49 | \$52 | \$3 | \$47 | \$49 | \$2 |
| AA | 468 | 493 | 25 | 1,039 | 1,125 | 86 |
| A | 2,518 | 2,616 | 98 | 3,539 | 3,763 | 224 |
| BBB | 1,978 | 1,952 | (26) |)2,537 | 2,563 | 26 |
| BB & below | 264 | 288 | 24 | 399 | 427 | 28 |
| Total | \$5,277 | \$5,401 | \$124 | \$7,561 | \$7,927 | \$366 |

The overall decrease in securities in the financial services sector is primarily attributed to the sales of the Retirement Plans and Individual Life businesses in January 2013. Refer to Note 2 - Business Dispositions of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of these transactions. Excluding the impact of the disposed businesses, the decline in value of financial services securities resulted from sales as a result of portfolio management activities, and an increase in interest rates, partially offset by credit spread tightening. Credit spreads for corporate financial services securities have narrowed significantly during 2013 as the overall economy continues to improve.

Commercial Real Estate

The commercial real estate market continued to show signs of improving fundamentals such as firming property prices, increases in transaction volume and modestly easing financial conditions. While delinquencies still remain at elevated levels as compared to the previous cycle, they have improved since cycle highs. In addition, the availability of credit has increased and there is now less concern about the ability of borrowers to refinance as loans come due. In spite of improved fundamentals, credit spreads widened mid year due to concerns over potential tapering of quantitative easing. Credit spreads trended downward in the second half of the year and ended the year flat as compared to 2012.

The following table presents the Company's exposure to CMBS bonds by current credit quality and vintage year, included in the Securities by Type table above. Credit protection represents the current weighted average percentage of the outstanding capital structure subordinated to the Company's investment holding that is available to absorb losses before the security incurs the first dollar loss of principal and excludes any equity interest or property value in excess of outstanding debt.

CMBS — Bonds [1]

December 31, 2013

| | AAA | | AA | | A | | BBB | | BB and Below | | Total | |
|-------------------|-----------|---------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|--------------|-------|-----------|---------|
| | Amortized | Fair | Amortized | Fair | Amortized | Fair | Amortized | Fair | Amortized | Fair | Amortized | Fair |
| | Cost | Value | Cost | Value | Cost | Value | Cost | Value | Cost | Value | Cost | Value |
| 2003 & Prior | \$10 | \$10 | \$35 | \$36 | \$6 | \$6 | \$10 | \$10 | \$31 | \$33 | \$92 | \$95 |
| 2004 | 79 | 80 | 77 | 83 | 29 | 29 | 13 | 13 | 7 | 12 | 205 | 217 |
| 2005 | 307 | 324 | 79 | 82 | 101 | 104 | 71 | 71 | 68 | 75 | 626 | 656 |
| 2006 | 336 | 362 | 107 | 116 | 120 | 127 | 102 | 106 | 224 | 238 | 889 | 949 |
| 2007 | 188 | 202 | 211 | 218 | 112 | 127 | — | — | 130 | 125 | 641 | 672 |
| 2008 | 43 | 49 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 43 | 49 |
| 2009 | 11 | 11 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 11 | 11 |
| 2010 | 18 | 19 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 18 | 19 |
| 2011 | 63 | 66 | — | — | — | — | 6 | 5 | — | — | 69 | 71 |
| 2012 | 35 | 34 | — | — | 8 | 8 | 11 | 10 | — | — | 54 | 52 |
| 2013 | 30 | 29 | 89 | 86 | 59 | 58 | 10 | 9 | — | — | 188 | 182 |
| Total | \$1,120 | \$1,186 | \$598 | \$621 | \$435 | \$459 | \$223 | \$224 | \$460 | \$483 | \$2,836 | \$2,973 |
| Credit protection | 31.9% | | 25.9% | | 19.7% | | 19.8% | | 12.2% | | 24.6% | |

December 31, 2012

| | AAA | | AA | | A | | BBB | | BB and Below | | Total | |
|-------------------|-----------|---------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|--------------|-------|-----------|---------|
| | Amortized | Fair | Amortized | Fair | Amortized | Fair | Amortized | Fair | Amortized | Fair | Amortized | Fair |
| | Cost | Value | Cost | Value | Cost | Value | Cost | Value | Cost | Value | Cost | Value |
| 2003 & Prior | \$180 | \$184 | \$102 | \$103 | \$57 | \$56 | \$5 | \$5 | \$42 | \$43 | \$386 | \$391 |
| 2004 | 171 | 178 | 73 | 82 | 36 | 36 | 24 | 24 | 20 | 12 | 324 | 332 |
| 2005 | 446 | 485 | 105 | 107 | 121 | 122 | 152 | 139 | 100 | 82 | 924 | 935 |
| 2006 | 682 | 757 | 167 | 178 | 129 | 135 | 235 | 229 | 316 | 278 | 1,529 | 1,577 |
| 2007 | 371 | 409 | 289 | 301 | 150 | 154 | 31 | 31 | 188 | 160 | 1,029 | 1,055 |
| 2008 | 55 | 66 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 55 | 66 |
| 2009 | 28 | 30 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 28 | 30 |
| 2010 | 18 | 21 | — | — | 22 | 23 | — | — | — | — | 40 | 44 |
| 2011 | 121 | 135 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 121 | 135 |
| 2012 | 98 | 102 | — | — | — | — | 1 | 1 | — | — | 99 | 103 |
| Total | \$2,170 | \$2,367 | \$736 | \$771 | \$515 | \$526 | \$448 | \$429 | \$666 | \$575 | \$4,535 | \$4,668 |
| Credit protection | 29.7% | | 23.4% | | 23.3% | | 16.8% | | 9.2% | | 23.7% | |

[1] The vintage year represents the year the pool of loans was originated.

The Company also has AFS exposure to CRE CDOs with an amortized cost and fair value of \$176 and \$248, respectively, as of December 31, 2013 and \$420 and \$384, respectively, as of December 31, 2012. These securities are comprised of diversified pools of commercial mortgage loans or equity positions of other CMBS securitizations. We continue to monitor these investments as economic and market uncertainties regarding future performance impact market liquidity and security premiums.

In addition to CMBS bonds and CRE CDOs, the Company has exposure to commercial mortgage loans as presented in the following table. These loans are collateralized by a variety of commercial properties and are diversified both geographically throughout the United States and by property type. These loans are primarily in the form of a whole loan, where the Company is the sole lender, or may include a loan participation. Loan participations are loans where the Company has purchased or retained a portion of an outstanding loan or package of loans and participates on a pro-rata basis in collecting interest and principal pursuant to the terms of the participation agreement. In general, A-Note participations have senior payment priority, followed by B-Note participations and then mezzanine loan

participations. As of December 31, 2013, loans within the Company's mortgage loan portfolio that have had extensions or restructurings other than what is allowable under the original terms of the contract are immaterial.

Commercial Mortgage Loans

| | December 31, 2013 | | | December 31, 2012 | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| | Amortized Cost [1] | Valuation Allowance | Carrying Value | Amortized Cost [1] | Valuation Allowance | Carrying Value |
| Agricultural | \$132 | \$(7) |)\$125 | \$150 | \$(8) |)\$142 |
| Whole loans | 5,223 | (10) |)5,213 | 6,023 | (10) |)6,013 |
| A-Note participations | 192 | — | 192 | 255 | — | 255 |
| B-Note participations | 99 | (50) |)49 | 263 | (50) |)213 |
| Mezzanine loans | 19 | — | 19 | 88 | — | 88 |
| Total | \$5,665 | \$(67) |)\$5,598 | \$6,779 | \$(68) |)\$6,711 |

[1] Amortized cost represents carrying value prior to valuation allowances, if any.

The overall decrease in mortgage loans is attributed to the sales of the Retirement Plans and Individual Life businesses in January 2013. Refer to Note 2 - Business Dispositions of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of these transactions. Since December 31, 2012, the Company funded \$1.1 billion of commercial whole loans with a weighted average loan-to-value (“LTV”) ratio of 61% and a weighted average yield of 3.63%. The Company continues to originate commercial whole loans within primary markets, such as office, industrial and multi-family, focusing on loans with strong LTV ratios and high quality property collateral. As of December 31, 2013, the Company had mortgage loans held-for-sale with a carrying value and valuation allowance of \$61 and \$3, respectively, and \$47 and \$3, respectively, as of December 31, 2012.

Municipal Bonds

The following table summarizes the amortized cost, fair value, and weighted average credit quality of the Company's investments in securities backed by states, municipalities and political subdivisions (“municipal bonds”).

| | December 31, 2013 | | | December 31, 2012 | | |
|--------------------|-------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Market Value | Weighted Average Credit Quality | Amortized Cost | Market Value | Weighted Average Credit Quality |
| General Obligation | \$2,358 | \$2,455 | AA | \$2,947 | \$3,293 | AA |
| Pre-Refunded [1] | 567 | 605 | AAA | 629 | 678 | AAA |
| Revenue | | | | | | |
| Transportation | 1,880 | 1,879 | A | 1,652 | 1,799 | A+ |
| Water & Sewer | 1,455 | 1,476 | AA- | 1,380 | 1,531 | AA |
| Health Care | 1,305 | 1,335 | AA | 1,302 | 1,443 | AA- |
| Education | 1,077 | 1,105 | AA | 1,288 | 1,446 | AA |
| Leasing [2] | 877 | 897 | AA- | 1,028 | 1,133 | A+ |
| Sales Tax | 793 | 795 | AA- | 862 | 966 | AA |
| Power | 706 | 722 | A+ | 892 | 976 | A+ |
| Housing | 177 | 171 | AA | 333 | 344 | AA- |
| Other | 737 | 733 | A+ | 688 | 752 | AA- |
| Total Revenue | 9,007 | 9,113 | AA- | 9,425 | 10,390 | AA- |
| Total Municipal | \$11,932 | \$12,173 | AA- | \$13,001 | \$14,361 | AA- |

[1] Pre-refunded bonds are bonds for which an irrevocable trust containing sufficient U.S. treasury, agency, or other securities has been established to fund the remaining payment of principal and interest.

Leasing revenue bonds are generally the obligations of a financing authority established by the municipality that leases municipal facilities to a municipality. The notes are typically secured by lease payments made by the [2] municipality that is leasing the facilities financed by the issue. Lease payments may be subject to annual appropriation by the municipality or the municipality may be obligated to appropriate general tax revenues to make lease payments.

As of December 31, 2013, the largest issuer concentrations were the states of Illinois, California and Massachusetts, which each comprised less than 3% of the municipal bond portfolio and were primarily comprised of general

obligation and taxable bonds. As of December 31, 2012, the largest issuer concentrations were the states of California, Illinois and Massachusetts, which each comprised less than 4% of the municipal bond portfolio and were primarily comprised of general obligation and taxable bonds.

Limited Partnerships and Other Alternative Investments

The following table presents the Company's investments in limited partnerships and other alternative investments which include hedge funds, mortgage and real estate funds, mezzanine debt funds, and private equity and other funds. Hedge funds include investments in funds of funds and direct funds. These hedge funds invest in a variety of strategies including global macro and long/short credit and equity. Mortgage and real estate funds consist of investments in funds whose assets consist of mortgage loans, mortgage loan participations, mezzanine loans or other notes which may be below investment grade, as well as equity real estate and real estate joint ventures. Mezzanine debt funds include investments in funds whose assets consist of subordinated debt that often incorporate equity-based options such as warrants and a limited amount of direct equity investments. Private equity and other funds primarily consist of investments in funds whose assets typically consist of a diversified pool of investments in small to mid-sized non-public businesses with high growth potential.

| | December 31, 2013 | | December 31, 2012 | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|---|
| | Amount | Percent | Amount | Percent | |
| Hedge funds | \$1,341 | 44.1 | % \$1,309 | 43.4 | % |
| Mortgage and real estate funds | 534 | 17.6 | % 501 | 16.6 | % |
| Mezzanine debt funds | 82 | 2.7 | % 108 | 3.6 | % |
| Private equity and other funds | 1,083 | 35.6 | % 1,097 | 36.4 | % |
| Total | \$3,040 | 100 | % \$3,015 | 100 | % |

Available-for-Sale Securities — Unrealized Loss Aging

The total gross unrealized losses were \$1.1 billion as of December 31, 2013, which have increased \$15, or 1%, from December 31, 2012 due to an increase in interest rates, partially offset by tighter credit spreads.

As of December 31, 2013, \$826 of the gross unrealized losses were associated with securities depressed less than 20% of cost or amortized cost.

The remaining \$251 of gross unrealized losses were associated with securities depressed greater than 20%, which includes \$2 associated with securities depressed over 50% for twelve months or more. The securities depressed more than 20% are primarily foreign government securities, floating rate corporate financial securities, and securities with exposure to commercial real estate that have market spreads that continue to be wider than the spreads at the securities' respective purchase dates. Unrealized losses on foreign government securities are primarily due to depreciation of the Japanese yen in relation to the U.S. dollar. Corporate financial securities are primarily depressed because the securities have floating-rate coupons and/or long-dated maturities. Unrealized losses on securities with exposure to commercial and residential real estate are largely due to the continued market and economic uncertainties surrounding the performance of certain structures or vintages. Based on the Company's cash flow modeling and current market and collateral performance assumptions, these securities have sufficient credit protection levels to receive contractually obligated principal and interest payments.

As part of the Company's ongoing security monitoring process, the Company has reviewed its AFS securities in an unrealized loss position and concluded that these securities are temporarily depressed and are expected to recover in value as the securities approach maturity or as real estate related market spreads continue to improve. For these securities in an unrealized loss position where a credit impairment has not been recorded, the Company's best estimate of expected future cash flows are sufficient to recover the amortized cost basis of the security. Furthermore, the Company neither has an intention to sell nor does it expect to be required to sell these securities. For further information regarding the Company's impairment analysis, see Other-Than-Temporary Impairments in the Investment Portfolio Risks and Risk Management section of this MD&A.

The following table presents the Company's unrealized loss aging for AFS securities by length of time the security was in a continuous unrealized loss position.

| Consecutive Months | December 31, 2013 | | | | December 31, 2012 | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------------|------------|---------------------|
| | Items | Cost or Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Unrealized Loss [1] | Items | Cost or Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Unrealized Loss [1] |
| Three months or less | 1,184 | \$10,056 | \$9,939 | \$(117) | 771 | \$3,964 | \$3,893 | \$(71) |
| Greater than three to six months | 349 | 1,200 | 1,167 | (33) | 306 | 764 | 730 | (34) |
| Greater than six to nine months | 956 | 6,362 | 5,988 | (374) | 183 | 157 | 142 | (15) |
| Greater than nine to eleven months | 148 | 413 | 374 | (39) | 64 | 96 | 90 | (6) |
| Twelve months or more | 578 | 5,625 | 5,109 | (514) | 687 | 7,850 | 6,894 | (936) |
| Total | 3,215 | \$23,656 | \$22,577 | \$(1,077) | 2,011 | \$12,831 | \$11,749 | \$(1,062) |

[1] Unrealized losses exclude the fair value of bifurcated embedded derivative features of certain securities as changes in value are recorded in net realized capital gains (losses).

The following tables present the Company's unrealized loss aging for AFS securities continuously depressed over 20% by length of time (included in the table above).

| Consecutive Months | December 31, 2013 | | | | December 31, 2012 | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------------|------------|---------------------|
| | Items | Cost or Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Unrealized Loss [1] | Items | Cost or Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Unrealized Loss [1] |
| Three months or less | 63 | \$213 | \$162 | \$(51) | 68 | \$54 | \$36 | \$(18) |
| Greater than three to six months | 20 | 177 | 130 | (47) | 27 | 22 | 16 | (6) |
| Greater than six to nine months | 28 | 449 | 336 | (113) | 20 | 72 | 55 | (17) |
| Greater than nine to eleven months | 10 | 4 | 3 | (1) | 12 | 33 | 25 | (8) |
| Twelve months or more | 58 | 132 | 93 | (39) | 157 | 1,329 | 877 | (452) |
| Total | 179 | \$975 | \$724 | \$(251) | 284 | \$1,510 | \$1,009 | \$(501) |

[1] Unrealized losses exclude the fair value of bifurcated embedded derivatives features of certain securities as changes in value are recorded in net realized capital gains (losses).

The following tables present the Company's unrealized loss aging for AFS securities continuously depressed over 50% by length of time (included in the tables above).

| Consecutive Months | December 31, 2013 | | | | December 31, 2012 | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------------|------------|---------------------|
| | Items | Cost or Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Unrealized Loss [1] | Items | Cost or Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Unrealized Loss [1] |
| Three months or less | 8 | \$1 | \$— | \$(1) | 20 | \$48 | \$22 | \$(26) |
| Greater than three to six months | 4 | 2 | 1 | (1) | 4 | 1 | — | (1) |
| Greater than six to nine months | 3 | 1 | — | (1) | 4 | 2 | — | (2) |
| Greater than nine to eleven months | — | — | — | — | 7 | 1 | — | (1) |
| Twelve months or more | 18 | 2 | — | (2) | 27 | 147 | 57 | (90) |
| Total | 33 | \$6 | \$1 | \$(5) | 62 | \$199 | \$79 | \$(120) |

[1] Unrealized losses exclude the fair value of bifurcate embedded derivatives features of certain securities as changes in value are recorded in net realized capital gains (losses).

Other-Than-Temporary Impairments

The following table presents the Company's impairments recognized in earnings by security type excluding intent-to-sell impairment relating to the sales of Retirement Plans and Individual Life businesses.

| | For the years ended December 31, | | |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|----------|-------|
| | 2013 | 2012 [1] | 2011 |
| ABS | \$9 | \$29 | \$27 |
| CRE CDOs | 2 | 10 | 41 |
| CMBS | | | |
| Bonds | 17 | 24 | 16 |
| IOs | 4 | 3 | 5 |
| Corporate | 20 | 28 | 50 |
| Equity | 15 | 65 | 17 |
| RMBS Non-agency | — | — | — |
| RMBS Alt-A | — | 1 | 1 |
| RMBS sub-prime | 6 | 12 | 15 |
| Other | — | — | 2 |
| Total | \$73 | \$172 | \$174 |

[1] Excludes \$177 of intent-to-sell impairments related to the sales of the Retirement Plans and Individual Life businesses.

Year ended December 31, 2013

For the year ended December 31, 2013, impairments recognized in earnings were comprised of credit impairments of \$32, securities that the Company intends to sell of \$26 and impairments on equity securities of \$15.

Credit impairments were primarily concentrated in corporate and fixed-rate CMBS bonds. The corporate bonds were impaired due to two issuers that have experienced financial difficulty and either defaulted or are expected to default on contractually obligated principal and interest payments. The structured securities were impaired primarily due to actual performance or property-specific deterioration of the underlying collateral. The Company calculated these impairments utilizing both a top down modeling approach and a security-specific collateral review. The top down modeling approach used discounted cash flow models that considered losses under current and expected future economic conditions. Assumptions used over the period included current macroeconomic factors, such as the unemployment rate, as well as sector specific factors such as property values, delinquency levels, servicer behavior, and severity rates. The macroeconomic assumptions considered by the Company did not materially change during 2013 and, as such, the credit impairments recognized for the year ended December 31, 2013 were primarily driven by actual or expected collateral deterioration, largely as a result of the Company's security-specific collateral review. The security-specific collateral review is performed to estimate potential future losses. This review incorporates assumptions about expected future collateral cash flows, including projected default rates and severities. The results of the security-specific collateral review allowed the Company to estimate the expected timing of a security's first loss, if any, and the probability and severity of potential ultimate losses. The Company then discounted these anticipated future cash flows at the security's book yield prior to impairment.

Intent-to-sell impairments were primarily related to structured securities with exposure to commercial and residential real estate and corporate securities, as a result of the Company's desire to reduce exposure to certain higher risk securities that are currently trading at relatively attractive valuations. Impairments on equity securities were comprised of securities that have been in an unrealized loss position and the Company no longer believes the securities will recover within the foreseeable future.

In addition to the credit impairments recognized in earnings, the Company recognized non-credit impairments in other comprehensive income of \$20 for the year ended December 31, 2013, predominantly concentrated in CMBS and corporate securities. These non-credit impairments represent the difference between fair value and the Company's best estimate of expected future cash flows discounted at the security's effective yield prior to impairment, rather than at current market implied credit spreads. These non-credit impairments primarily represent increases in market liquidity premiums and credit spread widening that occurred after the securities were purchased, as well as a discount for

variable-rate coupons which are paying less than at purchase date. In general, larger liquidity premiums and wider credit spreads are the result of deterioration of the underlying collateral performance of the securities, as well as the risk premium required to reflect future uncertainty in the real estate market.

Future impairments may develop as the result of changes in intent to sell specific securities or if actual results underperform current modeling assumptions, which may be the result of, but are not limited to, macroeconomic factors and security-specific performance below current expectations. Ultimate loss formation will be a function of macroeconomic factors and idiosyncratic security-specific performance.

Year ended December 31, 2012

For the year ended December 31, 2012, impairments recognized in earnings were comprised of intent-to-sell impairments of \$238, which included \$177 related to the sale of the Retirement Plans and Individual Life businesses. Also included were impairments on equity securities of \$63 largely comprised of downgraded preferred equity securities of financial institutions. The Company's credit impairments totaled \$48, primarily concentrated in structured securities associated with residential and commercial real estate, as well as ABS small business.

Year ended December 31, 2011

For the year ended December 31, 2011, impairments recognized in earnings were comprised of credit impairments of \$125, primarily concentrated on structured securities associated with commercial real estate, as well as direct private investments. Also included were impairments on debt securities for which the Company intended to sell of \$32, mainly comprised of corporate bonds, certain ABS aircraft bonds and CMBS, as market pricing improved, as well as impairments on equity securities of \$17 primarily related to preferred stock associated with direct private investments.

Valuation Allowances on Mortgage Loans

The following table presents (additions)/reversals to valuation allowances on mortgage loans.

| | For the years ended December 31, | | |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|-------|------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Credit-related concerns | \$(2 |)\$14 | \$27 |
| Held for sale | | | |
| Agricultural loans | — | — | (3 |
| B-note participations | — | — | — |
| Mezzanine loans | — | — | — |
| Total | \$(2 |)\$14 | \$24 |

Year ended December 31, 2013

For the year ended December 31, 2013, the change in valuation allowances on mortgage loan additions of \$(2) was largely driven by individual property performance. Continued improvement in commercial real estate property valuations will positively impact future loss development, with future impairments driven by idiosyncratic loan-specific performance, as well as the necessity of risk reduction in the portfolio, rather than overall deteriorating market fundamentals.

Year ended December 31, 2012

For the year ended December 31, 2012, the change in valuation allowances on mortgage loan reversals of \$14 was largely driven by recovery of the property collateralizing a B-Note. The valuation allowance was reversed due to an increase in the valuation of the underlying collateral as a result of improved occupancy rates and performance of the property.

Year ended December 31, 2011

For the years ended December 31, 2011, the change in valuation allowances on mortgage loan reversals of \$24 was largely driven by the release of a reserve associated with the sale of a previously reserved for mezzanine loan. Excluded from the table above are valuation allowances associated with mortgage loans related to the divestiture of Federal Trust Corporation. For further information regarding the divestiture of Federal Trust Corporation, see Note 20 of Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

CAPITAL RESOURCES AND LIQUIDITY

The following section discusses the overall financial strength of The Hartford and its insurance operations including their ability to generate cash flows from each of their business segments, borrow funds at competitive rates and raise new capital to meet operating and growth needs over the next twelve months.

Liquidity Requirements and Sources of Capital

The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc.

The liquidity requirements of the holding company of The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc. ("HFSG Holding Company") have been and will continue to be met by HFSG Holding Company's fixed maturities, short-term investments and cash, dividends from its subsidiaries, principally its insurance operations, as well as the issuance of common stock, debt or other capital securities and borrowings from its credit facilities, as needed.

As of December 31, 2013, HFSG Holding Company held fixed maturities, short-term investments and cash of \$1.9 billion. On February 22, 2013, following extraordinary dividend approval from the State of Connecticut Insurance Department, \$1.2 billion was distributed to the HFSG Holding Company from its Connecticut domiciled life insurance subsidiaries. In addition, Champlain Life Reinsurance Company, the Company's Vermont life reinsurance captive, returned approximately \$340 of capital to the HFSG Holding Company.

The Hartford has an intercompany liquidity agreement that allows for short-term advances of funds among the HFSG Holding Company and certain affiliates of up to \$2.0 billion for liquidity and other general corporate purposes. The Connecticut Insurance Department granted approval for certain affiliated insurance companies that are parties to the agreement to treat receivables from a parent, including the HFSG Holding Company, as admitted assets for statutory accounting purposes. On April 29, 2013 Hartford Life Insurance Company ("HLIC"), an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, issued a Revolving Note (the "Note") in the principal amount of \$100 to Hartford Life and Accident Insurance Company ("HLA"), a subsidiary of the Company, under the intercompany liquidity agreement. The Note bears interest at 0.92% and matures on April 29, 2014. On May 29, 2013 Hartford Life and Annuity Insurance Company ("HLAI"), an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, issued a Note in the principal amount of \$225 to Hartford Life and Accident Insurance Company, under the intercompany liquidity agreement. The Note bears interest at 1.00% and matures on May 29, 2014. On February 28, 2014, the total outstanding balances on these notes were repaid in full.

HLAI cedes certain variable annuity contracts and their associated riders as well as certain payout annuities issued by HLAI or assumed by it to White River Life Reinsurance Company ("WRR"), an affiliate captive reinsurer. This arrangement provides the Company with a vehicle to provide more efficient financing of the risk associated with this business with internal funds. The reinsurance arrangement between HLAI and WRR does not impact the Company's reserving methodology or the amount of required regulatory capital associated with the reinsured business. The effects of this intercompany arrangement are eliminated in consolidation.

Pursuant to an intercompany note agreement between WRR and HFSG Holding Company, WRR may borrow up to \$1 billion from the HFSG Holding Company in order to maintain certain statutory capital levels required by its plan of operations and which can be used by WRR to settle outstanding intercompany payables with HLAI. WRR has borrowed \$655 under the intercompany note agreement as of December 31, 2013. The effects of this intercompany arrangement are eliminated in consolidation. In the first half of 2014, the Company expects to dissolve WRR and recapture all reinsured risks to HLAI. The Company will take appropriate action to ensure that its life insurance subsidiaries are adequately capitalized. This transaction is subject to regulatory approvals.

On January 31, 2013, the Board of Directors authorized a capital management plan which provided for a \$500 equity repurchase program to be completed by December 31, 2014 and for the reduction of approximately \$1.0 billion of debt including repayment of \$320 of 4.625% senior notes due in July 2013 and \$200 of 4.75% senior notes due in March 2014. In June 2013, the Board of Directors approved a \$750 increase in the Company's 2013-2014 equity repurchase program, bringing the total authorization to \$1.25 billion. On July 15, 2013, the Company repaid the 4.625% senior notes upon maturity. In January 2014, the Board of Directors approved an increase in the Company's authorized equity repurchase program by an amount that, when combined with the amount remaining under the existing authorization, provides the Company with the ability to repurchase \$2 billion, in equity during the period commencing on January 1, 2014 and ending on December 31, 2015.

Expected liquidity requirements of the HFSG Holding Company for the next twelve months include interest on debt of approximately \$380 and common stockholder dividends, subject to the discretion of the Board of Directors, of approximately \$270.

Equity

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company repurchased 19.2 million common shares for \$600, and 1.6 million warrants for \$33 under the equity repurchase program. In addition, the Company repurchased 7.7 million common shares, for \$262, from January 1, 2014 to February 25, 2014. For further information see Note 16 - Equity of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Debt

Senior Notes

On April 15, 2013, the Company issued \$300 aggregate principal amount of 4.3% Senior Notes (the "4.3% Notes") due April 15, 2043. For further information regarding debt, see Note 13 - Debt of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Dividends

On February 27, 2014, The Hartford's Board of Directors declared a quarterly dividend of \$0.15 per common share payable on April 1, 2014 to common shareholders of record as of March 10, 2014. There are no current restrictions on the HFSG Holding Company's ability to pay dividends to its shareholders. For a discussion of restrictions on dividends to the HFSG Holding Company from its insurance subsidiaries, see "Dividends from Insurance Subsidiaries" below. For a discussion of potential restrictions on the HFSG Holding Company's ability to pay dividends, see the risk factor "Our ability to declare and pay dividends is subject to limitations".

Pension Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits

While the Company has significant discretion in making voluntary contributions to the U. S. qualified defined benefit pension plan, the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended by the Pension Protection Act of 2006, the Worker, Retiree, and Employer Recovery Act of 2008, the Preservation of Access to Care for Medicare Beneficiaries and Pension Relief Act of 2010, the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act of 2012 (MAP-21) and Internal Revenue Code regulations mandate minimum contributions in certain circumstances. The Company made contributions to the U. S. qualified defined benefit pension plan of \$100, \$200 and \$200 in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. No contributions were made to the other postretirement plans in 2013, 2012 and 2011. The Company's 2013, 2012 and 2011 required minimum funding contributions were immaterial. The Company does not have a 2014 required minimum funding contribution for the U.S. qualified defined benefit pension plan and the funding requirements for all pension plans are expected to be immaterial. The Company has not determined whether, and to what extent, contributions may be made to the U. S. qualified defined benefit pension plan in 2014. The Company will monitor the funded status of the U.S. qualified defined benefit pension plan during 2014 to make this determination.

Dividends from Insurance Subsidiaries

Dividends to the HFSG Holding Company from its insurance subsidiaries are restricted by insurance regulation. The payment of dividends by Connecticut-domiciled insurers is limited under the insurance holding company laws of Connecticut. These laws require notice to and approval by the state insurance commissioner for the declaration or payment of any dividend, which, together with other dividends or distributions made within the preceding twelve months, exceeds the greater of (i) 10% of the insurer's policyholder surplus as of December 31 of the preceding year or (ii) net income (or net gain from operations, if such company is a life insurance company) for the twelve-month period ending on the thirty-first day of December last preceding, in each case determined under statutory insurance accounting principles. In addition, if any dividend of a Connecticut-domiciled insurer exceeds the insurer's earned surplus, it requires the prior approval of the Connecticut Insurance Commissioner. The insurance holding company laws of the other jurisdictions in which The Hartford's insurance subsidiaries are incorporated (or deemed commercially domiciled) generally contain similar (although in certain instances somewhat more restrictive) limitations on the payment of dividends. Dividends paid to HFSG Holding Company by its life insurance subsidiaries are further dependent on cash requirements of HLI and other factors. In addition to statutory limitations on paying dividends, the Company also takes other items into consideration when determining dividends from subsidiaries. These considerations include, but are not limited to expected earnings and capitalization of the subsidiary, regulatory capital requirements and liquidity requirements of the individual operating company.

The Company's property-casualty insurance subsidiaries are permitted to pay up to a maximum of approximately \$1.5 billion in dividends to HFSG Holding Company in 2014 without prior approval from the applicable insurance commissioner. Before considering the transactions discussed below, the domestic life insurance subsidiaries' dividend limitation under the holding company laws of Connecticut is \$560 in 2014. In 2014, HFSG Holding Company anticipates receiving approximately \$800 in dividends from its property-casualty insurance subsidiaries, net of dividends to fund interest payments on an intercompany note between Hartford Holdings, Inc. and Hartford Fire

Insurance Company and no ordinary dividends from the life insurance subsidiaries. During 2013, the Company initiated a plan to make HLA the single nationwide underwriting company for its Group Benefits business by capitalizing it to support the Group Benefits business and separating it from the legal entities supporting the Talcott Resolution operating segment. On January 30, 2014, the Company received approval from the State of Connecticut Insurance Department ("CTDOI") to dividend approximately \$800 of cash and invested assets from HLAI and HLIC to HLA and then distribute those subsidiaries to Hartford Life Inc. leaving HLA and HLIC with no remaining ordinary dividend capacity for the twelve months following this transaction. Any additional dividends from HLA and HLIC in 2014 would be extraordinary in nature and require prior approval from the CTDOI. The Company believes this initiative will allow for greater operational efficiencies and financial transparency to Group Benefits' customers. In addition, HFSG Holding Company is expecting to receive a dividend from HLIKK in the second half of 2014.

On February 5, 2013 the Company received approval from the State of Connecticut Insurance Department for a \$1.2 billion extraordinary dividend from its Connecticut domiciled life insurance subsidiaries. This dividend was paid on February 22, 2013. In 2013, HFSG Holding Company received \$950 in dividends from its property-casualty insurance subsidiaries. The amounts received from its property-casualty insurance subsidiaries included \$150 related to funding interest payments on an intercompany note between Hartford Holdings Inc. and Hartford Fire Insurance Company and \$800 used in conjunction with other resources at the HFSG Holding Company.

Other Sources of Capital for the HFSG Holding Company

The Hartford endeavors to maintain a capital structure that provides financial and operational flexibility to its insurance subsidiaries, ratings that support its competitive position in the financial services marketplace (see the “Ratings” section below for further discussion), and shareholder returns. As a result, the Company may from time to time raise capital from the issuance of equity, equity-related debt or other capital securities and is continuously evaluating strategic opportunities. The issuance of common equity, equity-related debt or other capital securities could result in the dilution of shareholder interests or reduced net income due to additional interest expense.

Shelf Registrations

On August 9, 2013, The Hartford filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) an automatic shelf registration statement (Registration No. 333-190506) for the potential offering and sale of debt and equity securities. The registration statement allows for the following types of securities to be offered: debt securities, junior subordinated debt securities, preferred stock, common stock, depositary shares, warrants, stock purchase contracts, and stock purchase units. In that The Hartford is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 under the Securities Act of 1933, the registration statement went effective immediately upon filing and The Hartford may offer and sell an unlimited amount of securities under the registration statement during the three-year life of the registration statement.

Contingent Capital Facility

The Hartford is party to a put option agreement that provides The Hartford with the right to require the Glen Meadow ABC Trust, a Delaware statutory trust, at any time and from time to time, to purchase The Hartford’s junior subordinated notes in a maximum aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$500. Under the Put Option Agreement, The Hartford will pay the Glen Meadow ABC Trust premiums on a periodic basis, calculated with respect to the aggregate principal amount of notes that The Hartford had the right to put to the Glen Meadow ABC Trust for such period. The Hartford has agreed to reimburse the Glen Meadow ABC Trust for certain fees and ordinary expenses. The Company holds a variable interest in the Glen Meadow ABC Trust where the Company is not the primary beneficiary. As a result, the Company did not consolidate the Glen Meadow ABC Trust. As of December 31, 2013, The Hartford has not exercised its right to require Glen Meadow ABC Trust to purchase the Notes. As a result, the notes remain a source of capital for the HFSG Holding Company.

Commercial Paper and Revolving Credit Facility

Commercial Paper

While The Hartford’s maximum borrowings available under its commercial paper program are \$2.0 billion, the Company is dependent upon market conditions to access short-term financing through the issuance of commercial paper to investors. As of December 31, 2013 there is no commercial paper outstanding.

Revolving Credit Facilities

The Company has a senior unsecured revolving credit facility (the “Credit Facility”) that provides for borrowing capacity up to \$1.75 billion (which is available in U.S. dollars, and in Euro, Sterling, Canadian dollars and Japanese Yen) through January 6, 2016. As of December 31, 2013 there were no borrowings outstanding under the Credit Facility. Of the total availability under the Credit Facility, up to \$250 is available to support letters of credit issued on behalf of the Company or subsidiaries of the Company. Under the Credit Facility, the Company must maintain a minimum level of consolidated net worth of \$14.9 billion. The definition of consolidated net worth under the terms of the Credit Facility, excludes AOCI and includes the Company’s outstanding junior subordinated debentures perpetual preferred securities, net of discount. In addition, the Company’s maximum ratio of consolidated total debt to consolidated total capitalization is limited to 35%, and the ratio of consolidated total debt of subsidiaries to consolidated total capitalization is limited to 10%. As of December 31, 2013, the Company was in compliance with all

financial covenants under the Credit Facility.

123

HLIKK has four revolving credit facilities in support of operations. Two of the credit facilities have no amounts drawn as of December 31, 2013 with borrowing limits of approximately ¥5 billion, or \$48 each, and individually have expiration dates of January 5, 2015 and September 30, 2014. In December 2013, HLIKK entered into two new revolving credit facility agreements with two Japanese banks in order to finance certain withholding taxes on mutual fund gains, that are subsequently credited when HLIKK files its' income tax returns. At December 31, 2013, HLIKK had drawn the total borrowing limits of ¥5 billion, or \$48, and ¥20 billion, or \$190 on these credit facilities. The ¥5 billion credit facility accrues interest at a variable rate based on the one month Tokyo Interbank Offering Rate (TIBOR) plus 3 bps, which as of December 31, 2013 the interest rate was 15 bps, and the ¥20 billion credit facility accrues interest at a variable rate based on TIBOR plus 3 bps, or the actual cost of funding, which as of December 31, 2013 the interest rate was 20 bps. Both of the credit facilities expire on September 30, 2014.

Derivative Commitments

Certain of the Company's derivative agreements contain provisions that are tied to the financial strength ratings of the individual legal entity that entered into the derivative agreement as set by nationally recognized statistical rating agencies. If the legal entity's financial strength were to fall below certain ratings, the counterparties to the derivative agreements could demand immediate and ongoing full collateralization and in certain instances demand immediate settlement of all outstanding derivative positions traded under each impacted bilateral agreement. The settlement amount is determined by netting the derivative positions transacted under each agreement. If the termination rights were to be exercised by the counterparties, it could impact the legal entity's ability to conduct hedging activities by increasing the associated costs and decreasing the willingness of counterparties to transact with the legal entity. The aggregate fair value of all derivative instruments with credit-risk-related contingent features that are in a net liability position as of December 31, 2013 was \$1.2 billion. Of this \$1.2 billion the legal entities have posted collateral of \$1.4 billion in the normal course of business. In addition, the Company has posted collateral of \$44 associated with a customized GMWB derivative. Based on derivative market values as of December 31, 2013, a downgrade of one level below the current financial strength ratings by either Moody's or S&P could require approximately an additional \$12 to be posted as collateral. Based on derivative market values as of December 31, 2013, a downgrade by either Moody's or S&P of two levels below the legal entities' current financial strength ratings could require approximately an additional \$33 of assets to be posted as collateral. These collateral amounts could change as derivative market values change, as a result of changes in our hedging activities or to the extent changes in contractual terms are negotiated. The nature of the collateral that we would post, if required, would be primarily in the form of U.S. Treasury bills, U.S. Treasury notes and government agency securities.

As of December 31, 2013, the aggregate notional amount and fair value of derivative relationships that could be subject to immediate termination in the event of rating agency downgrades to either BBB+ or Baa1 was \$536 and \$(17), respectively.

Insurance Operations

Current and expected patterns of claim frequency and severity or surrenders may change from period to period but continue to be within historical norms and, therefore, the Company's insurance operations' current liquidity position is considered to be sufficient to meet anticipated demands over the next twelve months, including any obligations related to the Company's restructuring activities. For a discussion and tabular presentation of the Company's current contractual obligations by period, refer to Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Aggregate Contractual Obligations within the Capital Resources and Liquidity section of the MD&A.

The principal sources of operating funds are premiums, fees earned from assets under management and investment income, while investing cash flows originate from maturities and sales of invested assets. The primary uses of funds are to pay claims, claim adjustment expenses, commissions and other underwriting expenses, to purchase new investments and to make dividend payments to the HFSG Holding Company.

The Company's insurance operations consist of property and casualty insurance products (collectively referred to as "Property & Casualty Operations") and life insurance and legacy annuity products (collectively referred to as "Life Operations").

Property & Casualty Operations

Property & Casualty Operations holds fixed maturity securities including a significant short-term investment position (securities with maturities of one year or less at the time of purchase) to meet liquidity needs.

As of December 31, 2013, Property & Casualty Operations' fixed maturities, short-term investments, and cash are summarized as follows:

| | |
|-----------------------------|----------|
| Fixed maturities | \$24,704 |
| Short-term investments | 984 |
| Cash | 189 |
| Less: Derivative collateral | 241 |
| Total | \$25,636 |

124

Liquidity requirements that are unable to be funded by Property & Casualty Operation's short-term investments would be satisfied with current operating funds, including premiums received or through the sale of invested assets. A sale of invested assets could result in realized losses.

Life Operations

Life Operations' total general account contractholder obligations are supported by \$49 billion of cash and total general account invested assets, excluding equity securities, trading, which includes a significant short-term investment position to meet liquidity needs.

As of December 31, 2013, Life Operations' fixed maturities, short-term investments, and cash are summarized as follows:

| | |
|---|----------|
| Fixed maturities | \$37,432 |
| Short-term investments | 2,211 |
| Cash | 1,237 |
| Less: Derivative collateral | 1,251 |
| Less: Cash associated with Japan variable annuities | 281 |
| Total | \$39,348 |

Capital resources available to fund liquidity, upon contractholder surrender, are a function of the legal entity in which the liquidity requirement resides. Generally, obligations of Group Benefits will be funded by Hartford Life and Accident Insurance Company. Obligations of Talcott Resolution will generally be funded by Hartford Life Insurance Company and Hartford Life and Annuity Insurance Company, while obligations of the Company's international annuity subsidiaries will generally be funded by Hartford Life Insurance KK. Contractholder obligations of the former Retirement Plans business were funded by Hartford Life Insurance Company and of the former Individual Life business were funded by both Hartford Life Insurance Company and Hartford Life and Annuity Insurance Company. See Note 2 - Business Dispositions of Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements as to the sale of the Retirement Plans and Individual Life businesses and related transfer of invested assets in January 2013.

Hartford Life Insurance Company ("HLIC"), an indirect wholly owned subsidiary, became a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston ("FHLBB") in May 2011. Membership allows HLIC access to collateralized advances, which may be used to support various spread-based business and enhance liquidity management. [The Connecticut Department of Insurance ("CTDOI") will permit HLIC to pledge up to \$1.25 billion in qualifying assets to secure FHLBB advances for 2014]. The amount of advances that can be taken are dependent on the asset types pledged to secure the advances. The pledge limit is recalculated annually based on statutory admitted assets and capital and surplus. HLIC would need to seek the prior approval of the CTDOI if there were a desire to exceed these limits. As of December 31, 2013, HLIC had no advances outstanding under the FHLBB facility.

| | |
|---|--------------|
| | As of |
| Contractholder Obligations | December 31, |
| | 2013 |
| Total Life contractholder obligations | \$219,402 |
| Less: Separate account assets [1] | 140,886 |
| Less: International statutory separate accounts [1] | 19,734 |
| General account contractholder obligations | \$58,782 |
| Composition of General Account Contractholder Obligations | |
| Contracts without a surrender provision and/or fixed payout dates [2] | \$24,625 |
| U.S. Fixed MVA annuities and Other [3] | 10,142 |
| International Fixed MVA annuities [3] | 1,514 |
| Guaranteed investment contracts ("GIC") [4] | 31 |
| Other [5] | 22,470 |
| General account contractholder obligations | \$58,782 |

[1] In the event customers elect to surrender separate account assets or international statutory separate accounts, Life Operations will use the proceeds from the sale of the assets to fund the surrender, and Life Operations' liquidity position will not be impacted. In many instances Life Operations will receive a percentage of the surrender amount

as compensation for early surrender (surrender charge), increasing Life Operations' liquidity position. In addition, a surrender of variable annuity separate account or general account assets (see below) will decrease Life Operations' obligation for payments on guaranteed living and death benefits.

Relates to contracts such as payout annuities or institutional notes, other than guaranteed investment products with [2] an MVA feature (discussed below) or surrenders of term life, group benefit contracts or death and living benefit reserves for which surrenders will have no current effect on Life Operations' liquidity requirements.

125

Relates to annuities that are recorded in the general account (under U.S. GAAP), although these annuities are held in a statutory separate account, as the contractholders are subject to the Company's credit risk. In the statutory separate account, Life Operations is required to maintain invested assets with a fair value equal to the MVA surrender value of the Fixed MVA contract. In the event assets decline in value at a greater rate than the MVA surrender value of the Fixed MVA contract, Life Operations is required to contribute additional capital to the [3] statutory separate account. Life Operations will fund these required contributions with operating cash flows or short-term investments. In the event that operating cash flows or short-term investments are not sufficient to fund required contributions, the Company may have to sell other invested assets at a loss, potentially resulting in a decrease in statutory surplus. As the fair value of invested assets in the statutory separate account are generally equal to the MVA surrender value of the Fixed MVA contract, surrender of Fixed MVA annuities will have an insignificant impact on the liquidity requirements of Life Operations.

GICs are subject to discontinuance provisions which allow the policyholders to terminate their contracts prior to scheduled maturity at the lesser of the book value or market value. Generally, the market value adjustment reflects [4] changes in interest rates and credit spreads. As a result, the market value adjustment feature in the GIC serves to protect the Company from interest rate risks and limit Life Operations' liquidity requirements in the event of a surrender.

Surrenders of, or policy loans taken from, as applicable, these general account liabilities, which include the general account option for Talcott Resolution's individual variable annuities and the variable life contracts of the former Individual Life business, the general account option for annuities of the former Retirement Plans business and universal life contracts sold by the former Individual Life business, may be funded through operating cash flows of Life Operations, available short-term investments, or Life Operations may be required to sell fixed maturity [5] investments to fund the surrender payment. Sales of fixed maturity investments could result in the recognition of realized losses and insufficient proceeds to fully fund the surrender amount. In this circumstance, Life Operations may need to take other actions, including enforcing certain contract provisions which could restrict surrenders and/or slow or defer payouts. See Note 2 - Business Dispositions of Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements as to the sale of the Retirement Plans and Individual Life businesses and related transfer of invested assets in January 2013.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Aggregate Contractual Obligations

The Company does not have any off-balance sheet arrangements that are reasonably likely to have a material effect on the financial condition, results of operations, liquidity, or capital resources of the Company, except for the contingent capital facility described above and the following:

The Company has unfunded commitments to purchase investments in limited partnerships, private placements and mortgage loans of approximately \$703 as disclosed in Note 15 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

The following table identifies the Company's aggregate contractual obligations as of December 31, 2013:

| | Payments due by period | | | | |
|--|------------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|
| | Total | Less than 1 year | 1-3 years | 3-5 years | More than 5 years |
| Property and casualty obligations [1] | \$22,257 | \$5,402 | \$5,359 | \$2,591 | \$8,905 |
| Life, annuity and disability obligations [2] | 320,661 | 30,529 | 45,442 | 34,993 | 209,697 |
| Operating lease obligations [3] | 231 | 59 | 91 | 53 | 28 |
| Revolving Credit Facilities [4] | 238 | 238 | — | — | — |
| Long-term debt obligations [5] | 12,639 | 577 | 1,445 | 1,634 | 8,983 |
| Consumer notes [6] | 90 | 16 | 53 | 21 | — |
| Purchase obligations [7] | 2,043 | 1,582 | 378 | 74 | 9 |
| Other long-term liabilities reflected on the balance sheet [8] | 289 | 208 | 81 | — | — |
| Total | \$358,448 | \$38,611 | \$52,849 | \$39,366 | \$227,622 |

[1] The following points are significant to understanding the cash flows estimated for obligations under property and casualty contracts:

Reserves for Property & Casualty unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses include IBNR and case reserves. While payments due on claim reserves are considered contractual obligations because they relate to insurance policies issued by the Company, the ultimate amount to be paid to settle both case reserves and IBNR is an estimate, subject to significant uncertainty. The actual amount to be paid is not finally determined until the Company reaches a settlement with the claimant. Final claim settlements may vary significantly from the present estimates, particularly since many claims will not be settled until well into the future.

In estimating the timing of future payments by year, the Company has assumed that its historical payment patterns will continue. However, the actual timing of future payments could vary materially from these estimates due to, among other things, changes in claim reporting and payment patterns and large unanticipated settlements. In particular, there is significant uncertainty over the claim payment patterns of asbestos and environmental claims. In addition, the table does not include future cash flows related to the receipt of premiums that may be used, in part, to fund loss payments.

Under U.S. GAAP, the Company is only permitted to discount reserves for losses and loss adjustment expenses in cases where the payment pattern and ultimate loss costs are fixed and determinable on an individual claim basis. For the Company, these include claim settlements with permanently disabled claimants. As of December 31, 2013, the total property and casualty reserves in the above table are gross of a reserve discount of \$553.

Estimated life, annuity and disability obligations include death and disability claims, policy surrenders, policyholder dividends and trail commissions offset by expected future deposits and premiums on in-force contracts. Estimated life, annuity and disability obligations are based on mortality, morbidity and lapse assumptions comparable with the Company's historical experience, modified for recent observed trends. The Company has also assumed market growth and interest crediting consistent with other assumptions. In contrast to [2] this table, the majority of the Company's obligations are recorded on the balance sheet at the current account values and do not incorporate an expectation of future market growth, interest crediting, or future deposits. Therefore, the estimated obligations presented in this table significantly exceed the liabilities recorded in reserve for future policy benefits and unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, other policyholder funds and benefits payable and separate account liabilities. Due to the significance of the assumptions used, the amounts presented could materially differ from actual results.

See Note 2 - Business Dispositions of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further information as to Retirement Plans and Individual Life reinsurance transactions.

[3] Includes future minimum lease payments on operating lease agreements. See Note 15 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional discussion on lease commitments.

[4] Represents revolving credit facility agreements in order to finance certain withholding taxes on mutual fund gains, that are subsequently refunded when HLIKK files its' income tax returns. Both of the credit facilities expire on September 30, 2014. See Note 13 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional discussion of revolving credit facilities.

[5] Includes contractual principal and interest payments. See Note 13 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional discussion of long-term debt obligations.

[6] Consumer notes include principal payments and contractual interest for fixed rate notes and interest based on current rates for floating rate notes. See Note 15 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional discussion of consumer notes.

[7] Includes \$703 in commitments to purchase investments including approximately \$531 of limited partnership, \$7 of private placements and \$165 of mortgage loans. Outstanding commitments under these limited partnerships and mortgage loans are included in payments due in less than 1 year since the timing of funding these commitments cannot be reliably estimated. The remaining commitments to purchase investments primarily represent payables for securities purchased which are reflected on the Company's consolidated balance sheet.

Also included in purchase obligations is \$757 relating to contractual commitments to purchase various goods and services such as maintenance, human resources, information technology, and transportation in the normal course of business. Purchase obligations exclude contracts that are cancelable without penalty or contracts that do not specify minimum levels of goods or services to be purchased.

[8] Includes cash collateral of \$180 which the Company has accepted in connection with the Company's derivative instruments. Since the timing of the return of the collateral is uncertain, the return of the collateral has been included in the payments due in less than 1 year.

Also included in other long term liabilities is \$48 of net unrecognized tax benefits.

Capitalization

The capital structure of The Hartford as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 consisted of debt and stockholders' equity, summarized as follows:

| | 2013 | 2012 | Change | |
|---|----------|----------|--------|----|
| Short-term debt (includes current maturities of long-term debt) | \$200 | \$320 | (38 |)% |
| Short-term due on revolving credit facility | 238 | — | NM | |
| Long-term debt | 6,106 | 6,806 | (10 |)% |
| Total debt [1] | 6,544 | 7,126 | (8 |)% |
| Stockholders' equity excluding accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax ("AOCI") | 18,984 | 19,604 | (3 |)% |
| AOCI, net of tax | (79 |) 2,843 | (103 |)% |
| Total stockholders' equity | \$18,905 | \$22,447 | (16 |)% |

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------|----------|-----|----|
| Total capitalization including AOCI | \$25,449 | \$29,573 | (14 |)% |
| Debt to stockholders' equity | 35 | % 32 | % | |
| Debt to capitalization | 26 | % 24 | % | |

[1] Total debt of the Company excludes \$84 and \$161 of consumer notes as of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

The Hartford's total capitalization decreased \$4.1 billion, or 14%, from December 31, 2013 to December 31, 2012 due to decreases in total debt and AOCI, net of tax, and decreases in stockholders' equity, excluding AOCI. The decrease in stockholders' equity, excluding AOCI, was primarily due to the repurchase of outstanding warrants for \$33, and common stocks for \$600.

Total debt decreased due to the repayment of \$320 of senior notes and repurchase of approximately \$800 of senior debt, partially offset by a \$300 senior note issuance and a \$238 outstanding revolving credit facility balance.

AOCI, net of tax, decreased from December 31, 2012 to December 31, 2013 primarily due to reclassification of realized capital gains associated with the business dispositions to retained earnings within stockholders' equity excluding AOCI, and a decrease in the value of fixed maturities AFS due to an increase in interest rates. The realized capital gains are offset within retained earnings by the reinsurance loss on the business dispositions.

For additional information on debt and the repurchase of warrants, see MD&A – Liquidity Requirements and Sources of Capital, and Note 13 - Debt of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. For additional information on the business dispositions and AOCI, net of tax, see Note 2 - Business Dispositions and Note 17 -Changes In and Reclassifications From Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, respectively.

Cash Flow

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$1,237 | \$2,681 | \$2,274 |
| Net provided by (cash used) for investing activities | \$3,745 | \$(2,557) | \$(1,182) |
| Net cash used for financing activities | \$(5,820) | \$(228) | \$(609) |
| Cash — end of year | \$1,428 | \$2,421 | \$2,581 |

Year ended December 31, 2013 compared to the year ended December 31, 2012

Cash provided by operating activities decreased primarily due to realized capital losses of \$1.5 billion in 2013, compared to an increase in income taxes received of \$486 in 2012.

Cash used for investing activities in 2013 primarily relates to net proceeds of available-for-sale securities of \$4.9 billion and proceeds from business sold of \$815 offset by net payments on derivatives of \$2.2 billion. Cash used for investing activities in 2012 primarily relates to net payments on derivatives of \$2.7 billion, purchases of mortgage loans of \$968 and net payments for the purchases of partnerships of \$695, partially offset by net proceeds of available-for-sale securities of \$1.7 billion and net receipts of fixed maturities, fair value option of \$101.

Cash used for financing activities in 2013 primarily consists of net outflows on investment and universal life-type contracts of \$2.1 billion, decrease in securities loaned or sold under agreements to repurchase of \$1.9 billion, repayment of long term debt of \$ 1.3 billion and treasury stock acquired of \$600. Cash used for financing activities in 2012 primarily consists of net outflows on investment and universal life-type contracts of \$1.4 billion, repurchase of warrants of \$300, as well as share repurchases and dividends paid on common and preferred stock. These were partially offset by net increases in securities loaned or sold of \$1.9 billion.

Year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011

Cash provided by operating activities increased primarily due to income taxes received of \$486 in 2012, compared to income taxes paid of \$179 in 2011.

Cash used for investing activities in 2012 primarily relates to net payments on derivatives of \$2.7 billion, purchases of mortgage loans of \$968 and net payments for the purchases of partnerships of \$695, partially offset by net proceeds of available-for-sale securities of \$1.7 billion and net receipts of fixed maturities, fair value option of \$101. Cash used for investing activities in 2011 primarily relates to net purchases of mortgage loans of \$1.3 billion and net purchases of fixed maturities, fair value option of \$627, partially offset by net receipts on derivatives of \$720 and net proceeds of available-for-sale securities of \$256.

Cash used for financing activities in 2012 primarily consists of net outflows on investment and universal life-type contracts of \$1.4 billion, repurchase of warrants of \$300, as well as share repurchases and dividends paid on common and preferred stock. These were partially offset by net increases in securities loaned or sold of \$1.9 billion. Cash used for financing activities in 2011 primarily consists of repayment of long-term debt and dividends paid on common and preferred stock, partially offset by net inflows on investment and universal life-type contracts.

Equity Markets

For a discussion of the potential impact of the equity markets on capital and liquidity, see the Financial Risk on Statutory Capital and Liquidity Risk section in this MD&A.

Ratings

Ratings impact the Company's cost of borrowing and its ability to access financing and are an important factor in establishing competitive position in the insurance and financial services marketplace. There can be no assurance that the Company's ratings will continue for any given period of time or that they will not be changed. In the event the Company's ratings are downgraded, the Company's cost of borrowing and ability to access financing, as well as the level of revenues or the persistency of its business may be adversely impacted.

The following table summarizes The Hartford's significant member companies' financial ratings from the major independent rating organizations as of February 25, 2014:

| Insurance Financial Strength Ratings: | A.M. Best | Fitch | Standard & Poor's | Moody's |
|--|-----------|-------|-------------------|---------|
| Hartford Fire Insurance Company | A | A+ | A | A2 |
| Hartford Life Insurance Company | A- | A- | BBB+ | A3 |
| Hartford Life and Accident Insurance Company | A- | A- | A- | A3 |
| Hartford Life and Annuity Insurance Company | A- | A- | BBB+ | Baa2 |

Other Ratings:

The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc.:

| | | | | |
|------------------|-------|-----|-----|------|
| Senior debt | bbb+ | BBB | BBB | Baa3 |
| Commercial paper | AMB-2 | F2 | A-2 | P-3 |

These ratings are not a recommendation to buy or hold any of The Hartford's securities and they may be revised or revoked at any time at the sole discretion of the rating organization.

The agencies consider many factors in determining the final rating of an insurance company. One consideration is the relative level of statutory surplus necessary to support the business written. Statutory surplus represents the capital of the insurance company reported in accordance with accounting practices prescribed by the applicable state insurance department. See Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors — "Downgrades in our financial strength or credit ratings, which may make our products less attractive, could increase our cost of capital and inhibit our ability to refinance our debt, which would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity."

Statutory Surplus

The table below sets forth statutory surplus for the Company's insurance companies as of December 31, 2013 and 2012:

| | 2013 | 2012 |
|--|----------|----------|
| U.S. life insurance subsidiaries, includes domestic captive insurance subsidiaries | \$6,639 | \$6,410 |
| Property and casualty insurance subsidiaries | 8,022 | 7,645 |
| Total | \$14,661 | \$14,055 |

Statutory capital and surplus for the U.S. life insurance subsidiaries, including domestic captive insurance subsidiaries, increased by \$229, primarily due to net income from non-variable annuity business of \$2 billion including statutory gains from the sales of the Retirement Plans and Individual Life businesses, change in affiliated subsidiaries carrying values of \$361, change in other invested assets carrying values of \$250, net deferred gain on inforce reinsurance of \$77, partially offset by decreases in other surplus changes of \$30, letter of credit decreases of \$269, deferred income tax of \$311, variable annuity surplus impacts of approximately \$349, and net returns of capital of \$1.5 billion.

Statutory capital and surplus for the property and casualty insurance subsidiaries increased by \$377, primarily due to statutory net income, after tax, of \$1,067, unrealized gains of \$100, and an decrease in statutory admitted deferred tax assets of \$63, capital contributions of \$73, partially offset by dividends to the HFSG Holding Company of \$800. Both net income and dividends are net of interest payments and dividends, respectively, on an intercompany note between Hartford Holdings, Inc. and Hartford Fire Insurance Company.

The Company also holds regulatory capital and surplus for its operations in Japan. Under the accounting practices and procedures governed by Japanese regulatory authorities, the Company's statutory capital and surplus was \$1.2 billion and \$1.1 billion as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Statutory Capital

The Company's stockholders' equity, as prepared using U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("U.S. GAAP") was \$18.9 billion as of December 31, 2013. The Company's estimated aggregate statutory capital and surplus, as prepared in accordance with the National Association of Insurance Commissioners' Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual ("U.S. STAT") was \$14.7 billion as of December 31, 2013. Significant differences between U.S. GAAP stockholders' equity and aggregate statutory capital and surplus prepared in accordance with U.S. STAT include the following:

- U.S. STAT excludes equity of non-insurance and foreign insurance subsidiaries not held by U.S. insurance subsidiaries.

- Costs incurred by the Company to acquire insurance policies are deferred under U.S. GAAP while those costs are expensed immediately under U.S. STAT.

- Temporary differences between the book and tax basis of an asset or liability which are recorded as deferred tax assets are evaluated for recoverability under U.S. GAAP while those amounts deferred are subject to limitations under U.S. STAT.

The assumptions used in the determination of Life benefit reserves is prescribed under U.S. STAT, while the assumptions used under U.S. GAAP are generally the Company's best estimates. The methodologies for determining life insurance reserve amounts may also be different. For example, reserving for living benefit reserves under U.S. STAT is generally addressed by the Commissioners' Annuity Reserving Valuation Methodology and the related Actuarial Guidelines, while under U.S. GAAP, those same living benefits may be considered embedded derivatives and recorded at fair value or they may be considered SOP 03-1 reserves. The sensitivity of these life insurance reserves to changes in equity markets, as applicable, will be different between U.S. GAAP and U.S. STAT.

- The difference between the amortized cost and fair value of fixed maturity and other investments, net of tax, is recorded as an increase or decrease to the carrying value of the related asset and to equity under U.S. GAAP, while U.S. STAT only records certain securities at fair value, such as equity securities and certain lower rated bonds required by the NAIC to be recorded at the lower of amortized cost or fair value.

- U.S. STAT for life insurance companies establishes a formula reserve for realized and unrealized losses due to default and equity risks associated with certain invested assets (the Asset Valuation Reserve), while U.S. GAAP does not.

- Also, for those realized gains and losses caused by changes in interest rates, U.S. STAT for life insurance companies defers and amortizes the gains and losses, caused by changes in interest rates, into income over the original life to maturity of the asset sold (the Interest Maintenance Reserve) while U.S. GAAP does not.

- Goodwill arising from the acquisition of a business is tested for recoverability on an annual basis (or more frequently, as necessary) for U.S. GAAP, while under U.S. STAT goodwill is amortized over a period not to exceed 10 years and the amount of goodwill is limited.

In addition, certain assets, including a portion of premiums receivable and fixed assets, are non-admitted (recorded at zero value and charged against surplus) under U.S. STAT. U.S. GAAP generally evaluates assets based on their recoverability.

Risk-Based Capital

The Hartford's U.S. insurance companies' states of domicile impose risk-based capital ("RBC") requirements. The requirements provide a means of measuring the minimum amount of statutory capital and surplus, referred to collectively as capital, appropriate for an insurance company to support its overall business operations based on its size and risk profile. Regulatory compliance is determined by a ratio of a company's total adjusted capital ("TAC") to its authorized control level RBC ("ACL RBC"). Companies below specific trigger points or ratios are classified within certain levels, each of which requires specified corrective action. The minimum level of TAC before corrective action commences is two times the ACL RBC ("Company Action Level"). The adequacy of a company's capital is determined by the ratio of a company's TAC to its Company Action Level (known as the RBC ratio). All of The Hartford's operating insurance subsidiaries had RBC ratios in excess of the minimum levels required by the applicable insurance regulations. On an aggregate basis, The Hartford's U.S. property and casualty insurance companies' RBC ratio was in excess of 200% of Company Action Level as of December 31, 2013 and 2012. The RBC ratios for The Hartford's principal life insurance operating subsidiaries were all in excess of 425% of Company Action Level as of December

31, 2013 and 2012. In addition, White River Life Reinsurance Company, comprising approximately 15% of the capital of the Company's U.S. life insurance subsidiaries, has an RBC ratio that exceeds the minimum level required by applicable insurance regulations. The reporting of RBC ratios is not intended for the purpose of ranking any insurance company or for use in connection with any marketing, advertising or promotional activities. Similar to the RBC ratios that are employed by U.S. insurance regulators, regulatory authorities in the international jurisdictions in which The Hartford operates generally establish minimum solvency requirements for insurance companies. All of The Hartford's international insurance subsidiaries have solvency margins in excess of the minimum levels required by the applicable regulatory authorities. The solvency margin ratio for The Hartford's insurance subsidiary in Japan as of its last fiscal year end, March 31, 2013, was in excess of three times the amount of capital that would require corrective action.

Sensitivity

In any particular year, statutory surplus amounts and RBC ratios may increase or decrease depending upon a variety of factors. The amount of change in the statutory surplus or RBC ratios can vary based on individual factors and may be compounded in extreme scenarios or if multiple factors occur at the same time. At times the impact of changes in certain market factors or a combination of multiple factors on RBC ratios can be counterintuitive. For further discussion on these factors and the potential impacts to the life insurance subsidiaries, see the Financial Risk on Statutory Capital section within Enterprise Risk Management.

Statutory capital at the property and casualty subsidiaries has historically been maintained at or above the capital level required to meet “AA level” ratings from rating agencies. Statutory capital generated by the property and casualty subsidiaries in excess of the capital level required to meet “AA level” ratings is available for use by the enterprise or for corporate purposes. The amount of statutory capital can increase or decrease depending on a number of factors affecting property and casualty results including, among other factors, the level of catastrophe claims incurred, the amount of reserve development, the effect of changes in interest rates on investment income and the discounting of loss reserves, and the effect of realized gains and losses on investments.

In addition, the Company can access the \$500 Glen Meadow trust contingent capital facility and maintains the ability to access \$1.75 billion of capacity under its revolving credit facility.

Contingencies

Legal Proceedings — For a discussion regarding contingencies related to The Hartford’s legal proceedings, please see the information contained under “Litigation” and “Asbestos and Environmental Claims,” in Note 13 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, which is incorporated herein by reference.

For a discussion of terrorism reinsurance legislation and how it affects The Hartford, see “Terrorism” under the Insurance Risk Management section of the MD&A.

Tax proposals and regulatory initiatives which have been or are being considered by Congress and/or the United States Treasury Department could have a material effect on the insurance business. These proposals and initiatives include, or could include, new taxes or assessments on large financial institutions, changes pertaining to the income tax treatment of insurance companies and life insurance products and annuities, repeal or reform of the estate tax and comprehensive federal tax reform, and changes to the regulatory structure for financial institutions. The nature and timing of any Congressional or regulatory action with respect to any such efforts is unclear.

Legislative Developments

Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (the “Dodd-Frank Act”)

The Dodd-Frank Act was enacted on July 21, 2010, mandating changes to the regulation of the financial services industry. Implementation of the Dodd-Frank Act is ongoing and may affect our operations and governance in ways that could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations. The Dodd-Frank Act requires central clearing of, and imposes new margin requirements on, certain derivatives transactions, which increases the costs of our hedging program. Other provisions in the Dodd-Frank Act that may impact us include: the new “Federal Insurance Office” within Treasury; the possible adverse impact on the pricing and liquidity of the securities in which we invest resulting from the proprietary trading and market making limitation of the Volcker Rule; the possible adverse impact on the market for insurance-linked securities, including catastrophe bonds, resulting from the limitations of banking entity involvement in and ownership of certain asset-backed securities transactions; and enhancements to corporate governance, especially regarding risk management.

The Dodd-Frank Act vests the Financial Stability Oversight Council (“FSOC”) with the power to designate “systemically important” institutions, which will be subject to special regulatory supervision and other provisions intended to prevent, or mitigate the impact of, future disruptions in the U.S. financial system. Based on its most current financial data, The Hartford is below the quantitative thresholds used by the FSOC to determine which nonbank companies merit consideration. However, the FSOC has indicated it will review on a quarterly basis whether nonbank financial institutions meet the metrics for further review. If we were to be designated as a systemically important institution, we could be subject to heightened regulation under the Federal Reserve, which could impact requirements regarding our capital, liquidity and leverage as well as our business and investment conduct. In addition, we could be subject to assessments to pay for the orderly liquidation of other systemically important financial institutions that have become

insolvent.

131

Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010 (the "Affordable Care Act")

On March 23, 2010, the President signed the Affordable Care Act. Implementation of the Affordable Care Act will impact The Hartford in the same way it impacts other large employers. The Hartford's core business does not involve the issuance of health insurance. We do not issue any products that insure customers under the Affordable Care Act's individual mandate. It is too early to tell how the Affordable Care Act will impact The Hartford's businesses as key aspects of the law are still not fully implemented. For example, private exchanges may provide The Hartford additional opportunities to market our group benefit products and services. Similarly, access to medical care and medical costs are a substantial component of both disability and workers compensation products offered by The Hartford. We are currently analyzing how the Affordable Care Act may impact consumer, broker and medical provider behavior.

Terrorism Risk Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2007 ("TRIPRA")

On December 26, 2007, the President signed TRIPRA extending the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act of 2002 ("TRIA") through the end of 2014. The Company's principal reinsurance protection against large-scale terrorist attacks is the coverage currently provided through TRIPRA, as private sector catastrophe reinsurance is extremely limited and generally unavailable for terrorism losses caused by attacks with nuclear, biological, chemical or radiological weapons. TRIPRA is due to expire at the end of 2014 unless Congress takes legislative action to reauthorize it. If Congress fails to act, the Company may be required to take actions to reduce its exposure to terrorism risks, which could negatively impact its business. Even if Congress extends TRIPRA beyond 2014, it could make changes that would negatively impact the Company. For example, past reauthorizations of TRIA have narrowed the insurance lines that are covered under the program. For additional information on TRIPRA see "Terrorism" under the Insurance Risk Management section of the MD&A.

Budget of the United States Government

The Obama Administration has not yet released its proposed federal budget for fiscal year ("FY") 2015. Last year's proposal, entitled "FY 2014, Budget of the United States Government" (the "Budget") included proposals that if enacted, would have affected the taxation of life insurance companies and certain life insurance products. In particular, the proposals would have changed the method used to determine the amount of dividend income received by a life insurance company on assets held in separate accounts used to support products, including variable life insurance and variable annuity contracts, which are eligible for the dividends received deduction ("DRD"). The DRD reduces the amount of dividend income subject to tax and is a significant component of the difference between the Company's actual tax expense and expected amount determined using the federal statutory tax rate of 35%. The proposal was not enacted. If this proposal is included in the proposed federal budget for FY 2015 and subsequently enacted, the Company's actual tax expense could increase, reducing earnings.

Guaranty Fund and Other Insurance-related Assessments

For a discussion regarding Guaranty Fund and Other Insurance-related Assessments, see Note 13 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

IMPACT OF NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

For a discussion of accounting standards, see Note 1 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Item 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The information required by this item is set forth in the Enterprise Risk Management section of Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

See Index to Consolidated Financial Statements and Schedules elsewhere herein.

Item 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

Item 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures

The Company's principal executive officer and its principal financial officer, based on their evaluation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e)) have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective for the purposes set forth in the definition thereof in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e) as of December 31, 2013.

Management's annual report on internal control over financial reporting

The management of The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries ("The Hartford") is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting for The Hartford as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

The Hartford's management assessed its internal controls over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013 in relation to criteria for effective internal control over financial reporting described in "Internal Control-Integrated Framework (1992)" issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this assessment under those criteria, The Hartford's management concluded that its internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2013.

Changes in internal control over financial reporting

There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Company's fourth fiscal quarter of 2013 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Attestation report of the Company's registered public accounting firm

The Hartford's independent registered public accounting firm, Deloitte & Touche LLP, has issued their attestation report on the Company's internal control over financial reporting which is set forth below.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc.
Hartford, Connecticut

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries (collectively, the "Company") as of December 31, 2013, based on the criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Item 9A. Controls and Procedures. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of consolidated financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of consolidated financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on the criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedules as of and for the year ended December 31, 2013 of the Company and our report, dated February 28, 2014, expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedules.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP
Hartford, Connecticut

February 28, 2014

134

Item 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III

Item 10. DIRECTORS, AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE OF THE HARTFORD

Certain of the information called for by Item 10 will be set forth in the definitive proxy statement for the 2014 annual meeting of shareholders (the "Proxy Statement") to be filed by The Hartford with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Form 10-K under the captions "Nominee for Directorships", "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance", "Corporate Governance" and "Board of Directors" and is incorporated herein by reference.

The Company has adopted a Code of Ethics and Business Conduct, which is applicable to all employees of the Company, including the principal executive officer, the principal financial officer and the principal accounting officer. The Code of Ethics and Business Conduct is available on the investor relations section of the Company's website at: <http://ir.thehartford.com>. Any waiver of, or material amendment to, the Code of Ethics and Business Conduct will be posted promptly to our web site in accordance with applicable NYSE and SEC rules.

Executive Officers of The Hartford

Information about the executive officers of The Hartford who are also nominees for election as directors will be set forth in The Hartford's Proxy Statement. Set forth below is information about the other executive officers of the Company:

| Name | Age | Position with The Hartford and Business Experience During the Past Five Years |
|-----------------|-----|--|
| Scott R. Lewis | 51 | Senior Vice President and Controller (May 2013-Present); Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, Consumer Markets (2009-May 2013); Vice President, P&C Financial Reporting and Analysis (2003-2009) |
| Beth A. Bombara | 46 | Executive Vice President, President of Talcott Resolution (July 2012-Present); Senior Vice President and Controller (June 2007-July 2012); Vice President (2004-June 2007) |
| James E. Davey | 49 | Executive Vice President and President of The Hartford Mutual Funds (2010-Present); Executive Vice President, Retirement Division (2009-2010); Executive Vice President, Employer Markets Group (2008-2009); Senior Vice President, Retirement Plans (2006-2008) |
| Douglas Elliot | 53 | Executive Vice President and President of Commercial Markets (April 2011-Present); President and Chief Executive Officer, HSB Group (July 2007-March 2011); President and Chief Operating Officer, HSB Group (January 2007-June 2007); Senior Advisor, Aspen Insurance Holdings (2006); Chief Executive Officer of General Commercial and Personal Lines, St. Paul Travelers Companies (2004-2007) |
| Martha Gervasi | 52 | Executive Vice President, Human Resources (May 2012-present); Senior Vice President, Human Resources (November 2010-May 2012); General Manager Human Resources, SABIC Innovative Plastics & SABIC Americas (January 2010-October 2010); Global Human Resource Leader, SABIC Innovative Plastics (September 2007-January 2010) |
| Brion Johnson | 54 | Executive Vice President, Chief Investment Officer (May 2012-Present); Chief Financial Officer, Hartford Investment Management Company [1] (October 2011-May 2012); Managing Member, Shoreline Arts & Publishing, LLC (2009-2010); Executive Vice President, PPM America, Inc. (2001-2008) |
| Alan J. Kreczko | 62 | Executive Vice President and General Counsel (June 2007-Present); Senior Vice President and Deputy General Counsel (2002-June 2007) |
| André A. Napoli | 49 | Executive Vice President and President of Consumer Markets (August 2010-Present); Executive Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer, CUNA Mutual Group |

(July 2009-August 2010); Senior Vice President, Consumer Products, CUNA Mutual Group (August 2007-July 2009); Vice President, Standard Auto Product and Pricing, Nationwide (October 2006-August 2007); Vice President, Personal Lines Pricing and Research, Nationwide (July 2005-October 2006)

Robert Rupp 61 Executive Vice President and Chief Risk Officer (October 2011-Present); Executive Vice President, Head of Enterprise-Wide Market Risk, BONY Mellon (September 2008-October 2011); Managing Director, Risk Management, JP Morgan Chase (2004-2008)

Christopher J. Swift 53 Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (March 2010-Present); Vice Chairman and CFO, American Life Insurance Company (March 2009-March 2010); Vice President and CFO, AIG's Global Life Insurance and Retirement Services Division (July 2005-March 2009)

[1]Denotes a subsidiary of The Hartford.

Item 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information called for by Item 11 will be set forth in the Proxy Statement under the captions “Compensation Discussion and Analysis”, “Executive Compensation”, “Director Compensation”, “Report of the Compensation and Management Development Committee”, and “Compensation and Management Development Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation” and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Certain of the information called for by Item 12 will be set forth in the Proxy Statement under the caption “Information on Stock Ownership” and is incorporated herein by reference.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table provides information as of December 31, 2013 about the securities authorized for issuance under the Company’s equity compensation plans. The Company maintains The Hartford Incentive Stock Plan (the “2000 Stock Plan”), The Hartford 2005 Incentive Stock Plan (the “2005 Stock Plan”), The Hartford 2010 Incentive Stock Plan (the “2010 Stock Plan”), and The Hartford Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the “ESPP”). On May 19, 2010, the shareholders of the Company approved the 2010 Stock Plan, which superseded the 2005 Stock Plan. Pursuant to the provisions of the 2010 Stock Plan, no additional shares may be issued from the 2005 Stock Plan. To the extent that any awards under the 2005 Stock Plan are forfeited, terminated, expire unexercised or are settled in cash in lieu of stock, the shares subject to such awards (or the relevant portion thereof) shall be available for award under the 2010 Stock Plan and such shares shall be added to the total number of shares available under the 2010 Stock Plan. See Note 19 - Stock Compensation Plans of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for a description of the 2010 Stock Plan and the ESPP.

| | (a) Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights | (b) Weighted-average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights | (c) Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column (a)) | |
|--|--|--|--|-----|
| Equity compensation plans approved by stockholders | 4,533,869 | \$36.34 | 13,619,296 | [1] |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by stockholders | — | — | — | |
| Total | 4,533,869 | \$36.34 | 13,619,296 | |

[1] Of these shares, 5,476,032 shares remain available for purchase under the ESPP.

Item 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Any information called for by Item 13 will be set forth in the Proxy Statement under the caption “Corporate Governance” and “Board of Directors” and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

The information called for by Item 14 will be set forth in the Proxy Statement under the caption “Report of the Audit Committee” and is incorporated herein by reference.

Part IV**Item 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES**

(a) Documents filed as a part of this report:

- (1) Consolidated Financial Statements. See Index to Consolidated Financial Statements and Schedules elsewhere herein.
- (2) Consolidated Financial Statement Schedules. See Index to Consolidated Financial Statement and Schedules elsewhere herein.
- (3) Exhibits. See Exhibit Index elsewhere herein.

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.
INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SCHEDULES

| | Page(s) |
|--|------------|
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | <u>F-2</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations —</u> <u>For the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011</u> | <u>F-3</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) —</u> <u>For the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011</u> | <u>F-4</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets —</u> <u>As of December 31, 2013 and 2012</u> | <u>F-5</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity —</u> <u>For the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011</u> | <u>F-6</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows —</u> <u>For the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011</u> | <u>F-7</u> |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | <u>F-8</u> |
| <u>Schedule I — Summary of Investments — Other Than Investments in Affiliates</u> | <u>S-1</u> |
| <u>Schedule II — Condensed Financial Information of The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc</u> | <u>S-2</u> |
| <u>Schedule III — Supplementary Insurance Information</u> | <u>S-4</u> |
| <u>Schedule IV — Reinsurance</u> | <u>S-6</u> |
| <u>Schedule V — Valuation and Qualifying Accounts</u> | <u>S-7</u> |
| <u>Schedule VI — Supplemental Information Concerning Property and Casualty Insurance Operations</u> | <u>S-7</u> |

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc.
Hartford, Connecticut

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries (collectively, the "Company") as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income, changes in stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013. Our audits also included the consolidated financial statement schedules listed in the Index at Item 15. These consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedules are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedules based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall consolidated financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, such consolidated financial statement schedules, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on the criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 28, 2014 expressed an unqualified opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP
Hartford, Connecticut
February 28, 2014

F-2

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

Consolidated Statements of Operations

| (In millions, except for per share data) | For the years ended December 31, | | |
|--|----------------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Revenues | | | |
| Earned premiums | \$13,226 | \$13,631 | \$14,088 |
| Fee income | 2,805 | 4,386 | 4,700 |
| Net investment income: | | | |
| Securities available-for-sale and other | 3,362 | 4,227 | 4,263 |
| Equity securities, trading | 6,061 | 4,364 | (1,345) |
| Total net investment income | 9,423 | 8,591 | 2,918 |
| Net realized capital gains (losses): | | | |
| Total other-than-temporary impairment (“OTTI”) losses | (93) | (389) | (263) |
| OTTI losses recognized in other comprehensive income (“OCI”) | 20 | 40 | 89 |
| Net OTTI losses recognized in earnings | (73) | (349) | (174) |
| Net realized capital gains on business dispositions | 1,575 | — | — |
| Net realized capital gains (losses), excluding net OTTI losses recognized in earnings | (995) | (395) | (52) |
| Total net realized capital gains (losses) | 507 | (744) | (226) |
| Other revenues | 275 | 258 | 253 |
| Total revenues | 26,236 | 26,122 | 21,733 |
| Benefits, losses and expenses | | | |
| Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses | 10,948 | 13,248 | 14,627 |
| Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses — returns credited on international variable annuities | 6,060 | 4,363 | (1,345) |
| Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of future profits | 2,701 | 1,988 | 2,444 |
| Insurance operating costs and other expenses | 4,280 | 5,204 | 5,269 |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | 213 | 910 | — |
| Reinsurance loss on disposition, including reduction in goodwill of \$156 and \$342, respectively | 1,574 | 533 | — |
| Interest expense | 397 | 457 | 508 |
| Goodwill impairment | — | — | 30 |
| Total benefits, losses and expenses | 26,173 | 26,703 | 21,533 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes | 63 | (581) | 200 |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | (247) | (481) | (373) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations, net of tax | 310 | (100) | 573 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | (134) | 62 | 139 |
| Net income (loss) | \$176 | \$(38) | \$(712) |
| Preferred stock dividends | 10 | 42 | 42 |
| Net income (loss) available to common shareholders | 166 | (80) | 670 |
| Income from continuing operations, net of tax, available to common shareholders per common share | | | |
| Basic | \$0.67 | \$(0.32) | \$(1.19) |
| Diluted | \$0.62 | \$(0.32) | \$(1.11) |
| Net income (loss) available to common shareholders per common share | | | |
| Basic | \$0.37 | \$(0.18) | \$(1.51) |
| Diluted | \$0.34 | \$(0.18) | \$(1.40) |

| | | | |
|--|--------|--------|--------|
| Cash dividends declared per common share | \$0.50 | \$0.40 | \$0.40 |
|--|--------|--------|--------|

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

F-3

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

| (In millions) | For the years ended December 31, | | |
|--|----------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Comprehensive Income | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 176 | \$(38) |)\$712 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | | | |
| Change in net unrealized gain/loss on securities | (2,431 |) 1,907 | 2,067 |
| Change in OTTI losses recognized in other comprehensive income | 35 | 52 | 9 |
| Change in net gain/loss on cash-flow hedging instruments | (320 |) (88 |) 131 |
| Change in foreign currency translation adjustments | (315 |) (168 |) 107 |
| Change in pension and other postretirement plan adjustments | 109 | (111 |) (73) |
| Total other comprehensive income (loss) | (2,922 |) 1,592 | 2,241 |
| Total comprehensive income (loss) | \$(2,746 |) \$1,554 | \$2,953 |
| See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. | | | |

F-4

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

Consolidated Balance Sheets

| (In millions, except for share and per share data) | As of December 31, | |
|--|--------------------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Assets | | |
| Investments: | | |
| Fixed maturities, available-for-sale, at fair value (amortized cost of \$60,641 and \$79,747) (includes variable interest entity assets, at fair value, of \$31 and \$89) | \$62,357 | \$85,922 |
| Fixed maturities, at fair value using the fair value option (includes variable interest entity assets, at fair value, of \$161 and \$163) | 844 | 1,087 |
| Equity securities, trading, at fair value (cost of \$14,504 and \$26,820) | 19,745 | 28,933 |
| Equity securities, available-for-sale, at fair value (cost of \$850 and \$866) | 868 | 890 |
| Mortgage loans (net of allowances for loan losses of \$67 and \$68) | 5,598 | 6,711 |
| Policy loans, at outstanding balance | 1,420 | 1,997 |
| Limited partnerships and other alternative investments (includes variable interest entity assets of \$4 and \$6) | 3,040 | 3,015 |
| Other investments | 521 | 1,114 |
| Short-term investments (includes variable interest entity assets, at fair value, of \$3 as of December 31, 2013) | 4,008 | 4,581 |
| Total investments | 98,401 | 134,250 |
| Cash | 1,428 | 2,421 |
| Premiums receivable and agents' balances, net | 3,465 | 3,542 |
| Reinsurance recoverables, net | 23,330 | 4,666 |
| Deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of future profits | 2,161 | 5,725 |
| Deferred income taxes, net | 3,840 | 1,942 |
| Goodwill | 498 | 654 |
| Property and equipment, net | 877 | 977 |
| Other assets | 2,998 | 2,767 |
| Separate account assets | 140,886 | 141,569 |
| Total assets | \$277,884 | \$298,513 |
| Liabilities | | |
| Reserve for future policy benefits and unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses | \$41,373 | \$40,992 |
| Other policyholder funds and benefits payable | 39,029 | 41,979 |
| Other policyholder funds and benefits payable — international variable annuities | 19,734 | 28,922 |
| Unearned premiums | 5,225 | 5,145 |
| Short-term debt | 438 | 320 |
| Long-term debt | 6,106 | 6,806 |
| Consumer notes | 84 | 161 |
| Other liabilities (includes variable interest entity liabilities of \$33 and \$89) | 6,104 | 10,172 |
| Separate account liabilities | 140,886 | 141,569 |
| Total liabilities | 258,979 | 276,066 |
| Commitments and Contingencies (Note 13) | | |
| Stockholders' Equity | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value — 50,000,000 shares authorized, 575,000 shares issued as of December 31, 2012, liquidation preference \$1,000 per share | — | 556 |
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value — 1,500,000,000 shares authorized, 490,923,222 and 469,744,822 shares issued | 5 | 5 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 9,894 | 10,038 |

Edgar Filing: HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP INC/DE - Form 10-K

| | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Retained earnings | 10,683 | 10,745 |
| Treasury stock, at cost — 37,632,782 and 33,439,044 shares | (1,598 |)(1,740) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax | (79 |)2,843 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 18,905 | 22,447 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$277,884 | \$298,513 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

F-5

Table of ContentsTHE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity

| (In millions, except for share data) | For the years ended December 31, | | |
|---|----------------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Preferred Stock | | | |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$556 | \$556 | \$556 |
| Conversion of shares to common stock | (556) |)— | — |
| Balance, end of period | — | 556 | 556 |
| Common Stock | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Additional Paid-in Capital, beginning of period | 10,038 | 10,391 | 10,448 |
| Repurchase of warrants | (33) |)(300) |)— |
| Issuance of shares under incentive and stock compensation plans | (36) |)(52) |)(50) |
| Tax benefits (expense) on employee stock options and awards | 3 | (1) |)(7) |
| Conversion of mandatory convertible preferred stock | 556 | — | — |
| Issuance of shares for warrant exercise | (634) |)— | — |
| Additional Paid-in Capital, end of period | 9,894 | 10,038 | 10,391 |
| Retained Earnings, beginning of period | 10,745 | 11,001 | 10,509 |
| Net income (loss) | 176 | (38) |)712 |
| Dividends on preferred stock | (10) |)(42) |)(42) |
| Dividends declared on common stock | (228) |)(176) |)(178) |
| Retained Earnings, end of period | 10,683 | 10,745 | 11,001 |
| Treasury Stock, at cost, beginning of period | (1,740) |)(1,718) |)(1,774) |
| Treasury stock acquired | (600) |)(149) |)(51) |
| Issuance of shares under incentive and stock compensation plans from treasury stock | 125 | 134 | 115 |
| Return of shares under incentive and stock compensation plans to treasury stock | (17) |)(7) |)(8) |
| Issuance of shares for warrant exercise | 634 | — | — |
| Treasury Stock, at cost, end of period | (1,598) |)(1,740) |)(1,718) |
| Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss), net of tax, beginning of period | 2,843 | 1,251 | (990) |
| Total other comprehensive income (loss) | (2,922) |)1,592 | 2,241 |
| Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss), net of tax, end of period | (79) |)2,843 | 1,251 |
| Total Stockholders' Equity | \$18,905 | \$22,447 | \$21,486 |
| Preferred Shares Outstanding (in thousands) | — | 575 | 575 |
| Common Shares Outstanding, beginning of period (in thousands) | 436,306 | 442,539 | 444,549 |
| Treasury stock acquired | (19,235) |)(8,045) |)(3,225) |
| Issuance of shares under incentive and stock compensation plans | 2,136 | 2,156 | 1,476 |
| Return of shares under incentive and stock compensation plans and other to treasury stock | (592) |)(344) |)(261) |
| Conversion of mandatory convertible preferred shares | 21,178 | — | — |
| Issuance of shares for warrant exercise | 13,497 | — | — |
| Common Shares Outstanding, end of period | 453,290 | 436,306 | 442,539 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

| (In millions) | For the years ended December 31, | | |
|---|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Operating Activities | | | |
| Net income (loss) | 176 | \$(38) |)\$712 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities | | | |
| Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of future profits | 2,701 | 1,988 | 2,444 |
| Additions to deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of future profits | (1,330) |)(1,639) |)(1,696) |
| Change in reserve for future policy benefits and unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses and unearned premiums | (308) |)(226) |)1,451 |
| Change in reinsurance recoverables | (561) |)(351) |)(31) |
| Change in receivables and other assets | (409) |)(257) |)(211) |
| Change in payables and accruals | 497 | 874 | (491) |
| Change in accrued and deferred income taxes | (745) |)(386) |)(82) |
| Net realized capital (gains) losses | (828) |)711 | 24 |
| Net disbursements from investment contracts related to policyholder funds — international variable annuities | (9,189) |)(1,539) |)(2,332) |
| Net decrease in equity securities, trading | 9,188 | 1,566 | 2,321 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 189 | 467 | 668 |
| Goodwill impairment | — | — | 30 |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | 213 | 910 | — |
| Reinsurance loss on disposition | 1,574 | 533 | — |
| Other operating activities, net | 69 | 68 | (533) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 1,237 | 2,681 | 2,274 |
| Investing Activities | | | |
| Proceeds from the sale/maturity/prepayment of: | | | |
| Fixed maturities, available-for-sale | 40,266 | 42,716 | 38,260 |
| Fixed maturities, fair value option | 322 | 283 | 37 |
| Equity securities, available-for-sale | 274 | 295 | 239 |
| Mortgage loans | 468 | 515 | 515 |
| Partnerships | 368 | 208 | 237 |
| Payments for the purchase of: | | | |
| Fixed maturities, available-for-sale | (35,446) |)(42,578) |)(37,627) |
| Fixed maturities, fair value option | (150) |)(182) |)(664) |
| Equity securities, available-for-sale | (212) |)(144) |)(270) |
| Mortgage loans | (718) |)(1,483) |)(1,800) |
| Partnerships | (353) |)(903) |)(784) |
| Proceeds from business sold | 815 | 58 | 278 |
| Derivatives, net | (2,208) |)(2,665) |)720 |
| Change in policy loans, net | (5) |)4 | 180 |
| Change in short-term investments, net | 318 | 1,400 | (346) |
| Other investing activities, net | 6 | (81) |)(157) |
| Net cash provided by (used for) investing activities | 3,745 | (2,557) |)(1,182) |
| Financing Activities | | | |
| Deposits and other additions to investment and universal life-type contracts | 5,942 | 10,951 | 11,531 |
| Withdrawals and other deductions from investment and universal life-type contracts | (25,034) |)(25,543) |)(21,022) |
| | 16,978 | 13,196 | 9,843 |

| | | | |
|---|---------|---------|---------|
| Net transfers from separate accounts related to investment and universal life-type contracts | | | |
| Repayments at maturity or settlement of consumer notes | (77 |)(153 |)(68) |
| Net increase (decrease) in securities loaned or sold under agreements to repurchase | (1,988 |)1,988 | — |
| Repurchase of Warrants | (33 |)(300 |)— |
| Repayment of long-term and short-term debt | (1,338 |)(2,133 |)(405) |
| Proceeds from the issuance of long-term and short-term debt | 533 | 2,123 | — |
| Proceeds from net issuance of shares under incentive and stock compensation plans, excess tax benefit and other | 20 | 14 | 10 |
| Treasury stock acquired | (600 |)(154 |)(46) |
| Dividends paid on preferred stock | (21 |)(42 |)(42) |
| Dividends paid on common stock | (202 |)(175 |)(153) |
| Changes in bank deposits and payments on bank advances | — | — | (257) |
| Net cash used for financing activities | (5,820 |)(228 |)(609) |
| Foreign exchange rate effect on cash | (155 |)(56 |)36 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash | (993 |)(160 |)519 |
| Cash — beginning of period | 2,421 | 2,581 | 2,062 |
| Cash — end of period | \$1,428 | \$2,421 | \$2,581 |
| Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information | | | |
| Income taxes paid (received) | \$69 | \$(486 |)\$179 |
| Interest paid | \$402 | \$461 | \$501 |
| Supplemental Disclosure of Non-Cash Investing Activity | | | |
| Conversion of fixed maturities, available-for-sale to equity securities, available-for-sale | \$— | \$67 | \$— |
| See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. | | | |

F-7

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollar amounts in millions, except for per share data, unless otherwise stated)

1. Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc. is a holding company for insurance and financial services subsidiaries that provide investment products and life and property and casualty insurance to both individual and business customers in the United States (collectively, "The Hartford", the "Company", "we" or "our"). In 2012, The Hartford concluded an evaluation of its strategy and business portfolio. The Company is currently focusing on its Property & Casualty, Group Benefits and Mutual Fund businesses. Also, the Company continues to administer life and annuity products previously sold.

On January 1, 2013, the Company completed the sale of its Retirement Plans business to Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company ("MassMutual") and on January 2, 2013 the Company completed the sale of its Individual Life insurance business to The Prudential Insurance Company of America ("Prudential"), a subsidiary of Prudential Financial, Inc. For further discussion of these and other transactions, see Note 2 - Business Dispositions of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

On December 12, 2013, the Company completed the sale of Hartford Life International Limited ("HLIL"), an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary. For further discussion of this transaction, see Note 2 - Business Dispositions and Note 20 - Discontinued Operations of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared on the basis of accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("U.S. GAAP"), which differ materially from the accounting practices prescribed by various insurance regulatory authorities.

Consolidation

The Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc., companies in which the Company directly or indirectly has a controlling financial interest and those variable interest entities ("VIEs") in which the Company is required to consolidate. Entities in which the Company has significant influence over the operating and financing decisions but are not required to consolidate are reported using the equity method. For further discussions on VIEs see Note 6 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. All intercompany transactions and balances between The Hartford and its subsidiaries and affiliates have been eliminated.

Discontinued Operations

The results of operations of a component of the Company that either has been disposed of or is classified as held-for-sale are reported in discontinued operations if the operations and cash flows of the component have been or will be eliminated from the ongoing operations of the Company as a result of the disposal transaction and the Company will not have any significant continuing involvement in the operations of the component after the disposal transaction.

The Company is presenting as discontinued operations certain businesses that meet the criteria for reporting as discontinued operations. Amounts for prior periods have been retrospectively reclassified. See Note 20 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for information on the specific subsidiaries and related impacts.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements, in conformity with U.S. GAAP, requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

The most significant estimates include those used in determining property and casualty insurance product reserves, net of reinsurance; estimated gross profits used in the valuation and amortization of assets and liabilities associated with variable annuity and other universal life-type contracts; evaluation of other-than-temporary impairments on available-for-sale securities and valuation allowances on investments; living benefits on annuity contracts required to be fair valued; goodwill impairment; valuation of investments and derivative instruments; pension and other

postretirement benefit obligations (see Note 18); valuation allowance on deferred tax assets; and contingencies relating to corporate litigation and regulatory matters (see Note 13). The related accounting policies are summarized in the Significant Accounting Policies section of this footnote unless indicated otherwise herein. Certain of these estimates are particularly sensitive to market conditions, and deterioration and/or volatility in the worldwide debt or equity markets could have a material impact on the Consolidated Financial Statements.

F-8

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

1. Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Mutual Funds

The Company maintains a mutual fund operation whereby the Company provides investment management, administrative and distribution services to The Hartford-sponsored mutual funds (collectively, "mutual funds"). These mutual funds are registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") under the Investment Company Act of 1940. The mutual funds are owned by the shareholders of those funds and not by the Company. As such, the mutual fund assets and liabilities and related investment returns are not reflected in the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements since they are not assets, liabilities and operations of the Company.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to prior year financial information to conform to the current year presentation.

Significant Accounting Policies

The Company's significant accounting policies are as follows:

Revenue Recognition

Property and casualty insurance premiums are earned on a pro rata basis over the lives of the policies and include accruals for ultimate premium revenue anticipated under auditable and retrospectively rated policies. Unearned premiums represent the premiums applicable to the unexpired terms of policies in force. An estimated allowance for doubtful accounts is recorded on the basis of periodic evaluations of balances due from insureds, management's experience and current economic conditions. The Company charges off any balances that are determined to be uncollectible. The allowance for doubtful accounts included in premiums receivable and agents' balances in the Consolidated Balance Sheets was \$125 and \$117 as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Traditional life products premiums are recognized as revenue when due from policyholders. Group life, disability and accident premiums are generally both due from policyholders and recognized as revenue on a pro rata basis over the period of the contracts.

Fee income for universal life-type contracts consists of policy charges for policy administration, cost of insurance charges and surrender charges assessed against policyholders' account balances and are recognized in the period in which services are provided. The amounts collected from policyholders for investment and universal life-type contracts are considered deposits and are not included in revenue. Unearned revenue reserves, representing amounts assessed as consideration for policy origination of a universal life-type contract, are deferred and recognized in income over the period benefited, generally in proportion to estimated gross profits.

The Company provides investment management, administrative and distribution services to mutual funds. The Company charges fees to these mutual funds which are primarily based on the average daily net asset values of the mutual funds and recorded as fee income in the period in which the services are provided. Commission fees are based on the sale proceeds and recognized at the time of the transaction. Transfer agent fees are assessed as a charge per account and recognized as fee income in the period in which the services are provided.

Other revenues primarily consists of servicing revenues which are recognized as services are performed.

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

1. Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Dividends to Policyholders

Policyholder dividends are paid to certain property and casualty and life insurance policyholders. Policies that receive dividends are referred to as participating policies. Such dividends are accrued using an estimate of the amount to be paid based on underlying contractual obligations under policies and applicable state laws.

Net written premiums for participating property and casualty insurance policies represented 10%, 10% and 9% of total net written premiums for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Participating dividends to policyholders were \$16, \$14 and \$18 for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Total participating policies in-force represented 1% of the total life insurance policies in-force as of December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011. Dividends to policyholders were \$18, \$20 and \$17 for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. There were no additional amounts of income allocated to participating policyholders. If limitations exist on the amount of net income from participating life insurance contracts that may be distributed to stockholder's, the policyholder's share of net income on those contracts that cannot be distributed is excluded from stockholder's equity by a charge to operations and a credit to a liability.

Fair Value

The following financial instruments are carried at fair value in the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements: fixed maturity and equity securities, available-for-sale ("AFS"); fixed maturities at fair value using fair value option ("FVO"); equity securities, trading; short-term investments; freestanding and embedded derivatives; certain limited partnerships and other alternative investments; separate account assets and certain other liabilities. For further discussion of fair value, see Note 5 - Fair Value Measurements of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Investments

Overview

The Company's investments in fixed maturities include bonds, structured securities, redeemable preferred stock and commercial paper. These investments, along with certain equity securities, which include common and non-redeemable preferred stocks, are classified as AFS and are carried at fair value. The after-tax difference from cost or amortized cost is reflected in stockholders' equity as a component of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) ("AOCI"), after adjustments for the effect of deducting the life and pension policyholders' share of the immediate participation guaranteed contracts and certain life and annuity deferred policy acquisition costs and reserve adjustments. Fixed maturities for which the Company elected the fair value option are classified as FVO and are carried at fair value with changes in value recorded in realized capital gains and losses on the Company's Consolidated Statements of Operations. The equity investments associated with the variable annuity products offered in Japan are recorded at fair value and are classified as trading with changes in fair value recorded in net investment income. Policy loans are carried at outstanding balance. Mortgage loans are recorded at the outstanding principal balance adjusted for amortization of premiums or discounts and net of valuation allowances. Short-term investments are carried at amortized cost, which approximates fair value. Limited partnerships and other alternative investments are reported at their carrying value with the change in carrying value primarily accounted for under the equity method and accordingly the Company's share of earnings are included in net investment income. In addition, for investments in a wholly-owned fund of funds, the Company recognizes changes in the fair value of the underlying funds in net investment income, which is consistent with accounting requirements for investment companies. Recognition of income related to limited partnerships and other alternative investments is delayed due to the availability of the related financial information, as private equity and other funds are generally on a three-month delay and hedge funds are on a one-month delay. Accordingly, income for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 may not include the full impact of current year changes in valuation of the underlying assets and liabilities of the funds, which are generally obtained from the limited partnerships and other alternative investments' general partners. Other investments primarily consist of derivatives instruments which are carried at fair value.

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

1. Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments

The Company deems bonds and certain equity securities with debt-like characteristics (collectively “debt securities”) to be other-than-temporarily impaired (“impaired”) if a security meets the following conditions: a) the Company intends to sell or it is more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the security before a recovery in value, or b) the Company does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security. If the Company intends to sell or it is more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the security before a recovery in value, a charge is recorded in net realized capital losses equal to the difference between the fair value and amortized cost basis of the security. For those impaired debt securities which do not meet the first condition and for which the Company does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis, the difference between the security’s amortized cost basis and the fair value is separated into the portion representing a credit other-than-temporary impairment (“impairment”), which is recorded in net realized capital losses, and the remaining impairment, which is recorded in OCI. Generally, the Company determines a security’s credit impairment as the difference between its amortized cost basis and its best estimate of expected future cash flows discounted at the security’s effective yield prior to impairment. The remaining non-credit impairment, which is recorded in OCI, is the difference between the security’s fair value and the Company’s best estimate of expected future cash flows discounted at the security’s effective yield prior to the impairment, which typically represents current market liquidity and risk premiums. The previous amortized cost basis less the impairment recognized in net realized capital losses becomes the security’s new cost basis. The Company accretes the new cost basis to the estimated future cash flows over the expected remaining life of the security by prospectively adjusting the security’s yield, if necessary.

The Company’s evaluation of whether a credit impairment exists for debt securities includes but is not limited to, the following factors: (a) changes in the financial condition of the security’s underlying collateral, (b) whether the issuer is current on contractually obligated interest and principal payments, (c) changes in the financial condition, credit rating and near-term prospects of the issuer, (d) the extent to which the fair value has been less than the amortized cost of the security and (e) the payment structure of the security. The Company’s best estimate of expected future cash flows used to determine the credit loss amount is a quantitative and qualitative process that incorporates information received from third-party sources along with certain internal assumptions and judgments regarding the future performance of the security. The Company’s best estimate of future cash flows involves assumptions including, but not limited to, various performance indicators, such as historical and projected default and recovery rates, credit ratings, current and projected delinquency rates, and loan-to-value (“LTV”) ratios. In addition, for structured securities, the Company considers factors including, but not limited to, average cumulative collateral loss rates that vary by vintage year, commercial and residential property value declines that vary by property type and location and commercial real estate delinquency levels. These assumptions require the use of significant management judgment and include the probability of issuer default and estimates regarding timing and amount of expected recoveries which may include estimating the underlying collateral value. In addition, projections of expected future debt security cash flows may change based upon new information regarding the performance of the issuer and/or underlying collateral such as changes in the projections of the underlying property value estimates.

For equity securities where the decline in the fair value is deemed to be other-than-temporary, a charge is recorded in net realized capital losses equal to the difference between the fair value and cost basis of the security. The previous cost basis less the impairment becomes the security’s new cost basis. The Company asserts its intent and ability to retain those equity securities deemed to be temporarily impaired until the price recovers. Once identified, these securities are systematically restricted from trading unless approved by investment and accounting professionals. The investment and accounting professionals will only authorize the sale of these securities based on predefined criteria that relate to events that could not have been reasonably foreseen. Examples of the criteria include, but are not limited to, the deterioration in the issuer’s financial condition, security price declines, a change in regulatory requirements or a major business combination or major disposition.

The primary factors considered in evaluating whether an impairment exists for an equity security include, but are not limited to: (a) the length of time and extent to which the fair value has been less than the cost of the security, (b) changes in the financial condition, credit rating and near-term prospects of the issuer, (c) whether the issuer is current on preferred stock dividends and (d) the intent and ability of the Company to retain the investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for recovery.

Mortgage Loan Valuation Allowances

The Company's security monitoring process reviews mortgage loans on a quarterly basis to identify potential credit losses. Commercial mortgage loans are considered to be impaired when management estimates that, based upon current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Criteria used to determine if an impairment exists include, but are not limited to: current and projected macroeconomic factors, such as unemployment rates, and property-specific factors such as rental rates, occupancy levels, LTV ratios and debt service coverage ratios ("DSCR"). In addition, the Company considers historic, current and projected delinquency rates and property values. These assumptions require the use of significant management judgment and include the probability and timing of borrower default and loss severity estimates. In addition, projections of expected future cash flows may change based upon new information regarding the performance of the borrower and/or underlying collateral such as changes in the projections of the underlying property value estimates.

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

1. Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

For mortgage loans that are deemed impaired, a valuation allowance is established for the difference between the carrying amount and the Company's share of either (a) the present value of the expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, (b) the loan's observable market price or, most frequently, (c) the fair value of the collateral. A valuation allowance has been established for either individual loans or as a projected loss contingency for loans with an LTV ratio of 90% or greater and consideration of other credit quality factors, including DSCR. Changes in valuation allowances are recorded in net realized capital gains and losses. Interest income on impaired loans is accrued to the extent it is deemed collectible and the loans continue to perform under the original or restructured terms. Interest income ceases to accrue for loans when it is probable that the Company will not receive interest and principal payments according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Loans may resume accrual status when it is determined that sufficient collateral exists to satisfy the full amount of the loan and interest payments, as well as when it is probable cash will be received in the foreseeable future. Interest income on defaulted loans is recognized when received.

Net Realized Capital Gains and Losses

Net realized capital gains and losses from investment sales are reported as a component of revenues and are determined on a specific identification basis, as well as changes in value associated with fixed maturities for which the fair value option was elected. Net realized capital gains and losses also result from fair value changes in derivatives contracts (both free-standing and embedded) that do not qualify, or are not designated, as a hedge for accounting purposes, ineffectiveness on derivatives that qualify for hedge accounting treatment, and the change in value of derivatives in certain fair-value hedge relationships and their associated hedged asset. Impairments and mortgage loan valuation allowances are recognized as net realized capital losses in accordance with the Company's impairment and mortgage loan valuation allowance policies previously discussed above. Foreign currency transaction remeasurements are also included in net realized capital gains and losses.

Net Investment Income

Interest income from fixed maturities and mortgage loans is recognized when earned on the constant effective yield method based on estimated timing of cash flows. The amortization of premium and accretion of discount for fixed maturities also takes into consideration call and maturity dates that produce the lowest yield. For securitized financial assets subject to prepayment risk, yields are recalculated and adjusted periodically to reflect historical and/or estimated future repayments using the retrospective method; however, if these investments are impaired, any yield adjustments are made using the prospective method. Prepayment fees on fixed maturities and mortgage loans are recorded in net investment income when earned. For equity securities, available-for-sale, dividends will be recognized as investment income on the ex-dividend date. Limited partnerships and other alternative investments primarily use the equity method of accounting to recognize the Company's share of earnings; however, the Company also uses investment fund accounting applied to a wholly-owned fund of funds. For impaired debt securities, the Company accretes the new cost basis to the estimated future cash flows over the expected remaining life of the security by prospectively adjusting the security's yield, if necessary. The Company's non-income producing investments were not material for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

Net investment income on equity securities, trading, includes dividend income and the changes in market value of the securities associated with the variable annuity products previously sold in Japan. The returns on these policyholder-directed investments inure to the benefit of the variable annuity policyholders but the underlying funds do not meet the criteria for separate account reporting. Accordingly, these assets are reflected in the Company's general account and the returns credited to the policyholders are reflected in interest credited, a component of benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses.

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

1. Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Derivative Instruments

Overview

The Company utilizes a variety of over-the-counter ("OTC") derivatives, including transactions cleared through a central clearing house ("OTC-cleared"), and exchange-traded derivative instruments as part of its overall risk management strategy. The types of instruments may include swaps, caps, floors, forwards, futures and options to achieve one of four Company-approved objectives: to hedge risk arising from interest rate, equity market, credit spread and issuer default, price or currency exchange rate risk or volatility; to manage liquidity; to control transaction costs; or to enter into replication transactions.

Interest rate, volatility, dividend, credit default and index swaps involve the periodic exchange of cash flows with other parties, at specified intervals, calculated using agreed upon rates or other financial variables and notional principal amounts. Generally, no cash or principal payments are exchanged at the inception of the contract. Typically, at the time a swap is entered into, the cash flow streams exchanged by the counterparties are equal in value.

Interest rate cap and floor contracts entitle the purchaser to receive from the issuer at specified dates, the amount, if any, by which a specified market rate exceeds the cap strike interest rate or falls below the floor strike interest rate, applied to a notional principal amount. A premium payment is made by the purchaser of the contract at its inception and no principal payments are exchanged.

Forward contracts are customized commitments that specify a rate of interest or currency exchange rate to be paid or received on an obligation beginning on a future start date and are typically settled in cash.

Financial futures are standardized commitments to either purchase or sell designated financial instruments, at a future date, for a specified price and may be settled in cash or through delivery of the underlying instrument. Futures contracts trade on organized exchanges. Margin requirements for futures are met by pledging securities or cash, and changes in the futures' contract values are settled daily in cash.

Option contracts grant the purchaser, for a premium payment, the right to either purchase from or sell to the issuer a financial instrument at a specified price, within a specified period or on a stated date.

Foreign currency swaps exchange an initial principal amount in two currencies, agreeing to re-exchange the currencies at a future date, at an agreed upon exchange rate. There may also be a periodic exchange of payments at specified intervals calculated using the agreed upon rates and exchanged principal amounts.

The Company's derivative transactions are used in strategies permitted under the derivative use plans required by the State of Connecticut, the State of Illinois and the State of New York insurance departments.

Accounting and Financial Statement Presentation of Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

Derivative instruments are recognized on the Consolidated Balance Sheets at fair value and are reported in Other Investments and Other Liabilities. For balance sheet presentation purposes, the Company has elected to offset the fair value amounts, income accruals, and related cash collateral receivables and payables of OTC derivative instruments executed in a legal entity and with the same counterparty or under a master netting agreement, which provides the Company with the legal right of offset.

During 2013, the Company began clearing interest rate swap and certain credit default swap derivative transactions through central clearing houses. OTC-cleared derivatives require initial collateral at the inception of the trade in the form of cash or highly liquid collateral, such as U.S. Treasuries and government agency investments. Central clearing houses also require additional cash collateral as variation margin based on daily market value movements. For information on collateral, see the derivative collateral arrangements section in Note 6 - Investments and Derivative Instruments. In addition, OTC-cleared transactions include price alignment interest either received or paid on the variation margin, which is reflected in net investment income. The Company has also elected to offset the fair value amounts, income accruals and related cash collateral receivables and payables of OTC-cleared derivative instruments based on clearing house agreements.

On the date the derivative contract is entered into, the Company designates the derivative as (1) a hedge of the fair value of a recognized asset or liability ("fair value" hedge), (2) a hedge of the variability in cash flows of a forecasted

transaction or of amounts to be received or paid related to a recognized asset or liability (“cash flow” hedge), (3) a hedge of a net investment in a foreign operation (“net investment” hedge) or (4) held for other investment and/or risk management purposes, which primarily involve managing asset or liability related risks and do not qualify for hedge accounting.

Fair Value Hedges

Changes in the fair value of a derivative that is designated and qualifies as a fair value hedge, including foreign-currency fair value hedges, along with the changes in the fair value of the hedged asset or liability that is attributable to the hedged risk, are recorded in current period earnings with any differences between the net change in fair value of the derivative and the hedged item representing the hedge ineffectiveness. Periodic cash flows and accruals of income/expense (“periodic derivative net coupon settlements”) are recorded in the line item of the consolidated statements of operations in which the cash flows of the hedged item are recorded.

F-13

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

1. Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Cash Flow Hedges

Changes in the fair value of a derivative that is designated and qualifies as a cash flow hedge, including foreign-currency cash flow hedges, are recorded in AOCI and are reclassified into earnings when the variability of the cash flow of the hedged item impacts earnings. Gains and losses on derivative contracts that are reclassified from AOCI to current period earnings are included in the line item in the consolidated statements of operations in which the cash flows of the hedged item are recorded. Any hedge ineffectiveness is recorded immediately in current period earnings as net realized capital gains and losses. Periodic derivative net coupon settlements are recorded in the line item of the consolidated statements of operations in which the cash flows of the hedged item are recorded.

Net Investment in a Foreign Operation Hedges

Changes in fair value of a derivative used as a hedge of a net investment in a foreign operation, to the extent effective as a hedge, are recorded in the foreign currency translation adjustments account within AOCI. Cumulative changes in fair value recorded in AOCI are reclassified into earnings upon the sale or complete, or substantially complete, liquidation of the foreign entity. Any hedge ineffectiveness is recorded immediately in current period earnings as net realized capital gains and losses. Periodic derivative net coupon settlements are recorded in the line item of the consolidated statements of operations in which the cash flows of the hedged item are recorded.

Other Investment and/or Risk Management Activities

The Company's other investment and/or risk management activities primarily relate to strategies used to reduce economic risk or replicate permitted investments and do not receive hedge accounting treatment. Changes in the fair value, including periodic derivative net coupon settlements, of derivative instruments held for other investment and/or risk management purposes are reported in current period earnings as net realized capital gains and losses.

Hedge Documentation and Effectiveness Testing

To qualify for hedge accounting treatment, a derivative must be highly effective in mitigating the designated changes in fair value or cash flow of the hedged item. At hedge inception, the Company formally documents all relationships between hedging instruments and hedged items, as well as its risk-management objective and strategy for undertaking each hedge transaction. The documentation process includes linking derivatives that are designated as fair value, cash flow, or net investment hedges to specific assets or liabilities on the balance sheet or to specific forecasted transactions and defining the effectiveness and ineffectiveness testing methods to be used. The Company also formally assesses both at the hedge's inception and ongoing on a quarterly basis, whether the derivatives that are used in hedging transactions have been and are expected to continue to be highly effective in offsetting changes in fair values or cash flows of hedged items. Hedge effectiveness is assessed primarily using quantitative methods as well as using qualitative methods. Quantitative methods include regression or other statistical analysis of changes in fair value or cash flows associated with the hedge relationship. Qualitative methods may include comparison of critical terms of the derivative to the hedged item. Hedge ineffectiveness of the hedge relationships are measured each reporting period using the "Change in Variable Cash Flows Method", the "Change in Fair Value Method", the "Hypothetical Derivative Method", or the "Dollar Offset Method".

Discontinuance of Hedge Accounting

The Company discontinues hedge accounting prospectively when (1) it is determined that the derivative is no longer highly effective in offsetting changes in the fair value or cash flows of a hedged item; (2) the derivative is de-designated as a hedging instrument; or (3) the derivative expires or is sold, terminated or exercised.

When hedge accounting is discontinued because it is determined that the derivative no longer qualifies as an effective fair-value hedge, the derivative continues to be carried at fair value on the balance sheet with changes in its fair value recognized in current period earnings. Changes in the fair value of the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk is no longer adjusted through current period earnings and the existing basis adjustment is amortized to earnings over the remaining life of the hedge item through the applicable earnings component associated with the hedged item.

When hedge accounting is discontinued because the Company becomes aware that it is not probable that the forecasted transaction will occur, the derivative continues to be carried on the balance sheet at its fair value, and gains

and losses that were accumulated in AOCI are recognized immediately in earnings.

In other situations in which hedge accounting is discontinued on a cash-flow hedge, including those where the derivative is sold, terminated or exercised, amounts previously deferred in AOCI are reclassified into earnings when earnings are impacted by the variability of the cash flow of the hedged item.

F-14

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

1. Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Embedded Derivatives

The Company purchases and issues financial instruments and products that contain embedded derivative instruments. When it is determined that (1) the embedded derivative possesses economic characteristics that are not clearly and closely related to the economic characteristics of the host contract, and (2) a separate instrument with the same terms would qualify as a derivative instrument, the embedded derivative is bifurcated from the host for measurement purposes. The embedded derivative, which is reported with the host instrument in the consolidated balance sheets, is carried at fair value with changes in fair value reported in net realized capital gains and losses.

Credit Risk

Credit risk is defined as the risk of financial loss due to uncertainty of an obligor's or counterparty's ability or willingness to meet its obligations in accordance with agreed upon terms. Credit exposures are measured using the market value of the derivatives, resulting in amounts owed to the Company by its counterparties or potential payment obligations from the Company to its counterparties. The Company generally requires that OTC derivative contracts, other than certain forward contracts, be governed by International Swaps and Derivatives Association ("ISDA") agreements which are structured by legal entity and by counterparty, and permit right of offset. These agreements require daily collateral settlement based upon agreed upon thresholds. For purposes of daily derivative collateral maintenance, credit exposures are generally quantified based on the prior business day's market value and collateral is pledged to and held by, or on behalf of, the Company to the extent the current value of the derivatives exceed the contractual thresholds. For the Company's domestic derivative programs, the maximum uncollateralized threshold for a derivative counterparty for a single legal entity is \$10. The Company also minimizes the credit risk of derivative instruments by entering into transactions with high quality counterparties rated A or better, which are monitored and evaluated by the Company's risk management team and reviewed by senior management. OTC-cleared derivatives are governed by clearing house rules. Transactions cleared through a central clearing house reduce risk due to their ability to require daily variation margin, monitor the Company's ability to request additional collateral in the event of a counterparty downgrade, and act as an independent valuation source. In addition, the Company monitors counterparty credit exposure on a monthly basis to ensure compliance with Company policies and statutory limitations.

Cash

Cash represents cash on hand and demand deposits with banks or other financial institutions.

Reinsurance

The Company cedes insurance to affiliated and unaffiliated insurers in order to limit its maximum losses and to diversify its exposures and provide statutory surplus relief. Such arrangements do not relieve the Company of its primary liability to policyholders. Failure of reinsurers to honor their obligations could result in losses to the Company. The Company also assumes reinsurance from other insurers and is a member of and participates in reinsurance pools and associations. Assumed reinsurance refers to the Company's acceptance of certain insurance risks that other insurance companies have underwritten.

Reinsurance accounting is followed for ceded and assumed transactions that provide indemnification against loss or liability relating to insurance risk (i.e. risk transfer). To meet risk transfer requirements, a reinsurance agreement must include insurance risk, consisting of underwriting, investment, and timing risk, and a reasonable possibility of a significant loss to the reinsurer. If the ceded and assumed transactions do not meet risk transfer requirements, the Company accounts for these transactions as financing transactions.

Premiums, benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses reflect the net effects of ceded and assumed reinsurance transactions. Included in other assets are prepaid reinsurance premiums, which represent the portion of premiums ceded to reinsurers applicable to the unexpired terms of the reinsurance contracts. Included in reinsurance recoverables are balances due from reinsurance companies for paid and unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses and are presented net of an allowance for uncollectible reinsurance.

The Company also is a member of and participates in several reinsurance pools and associations. The Company evaluates the financial condition of its reinsurers and concentrations of credit risk. Reinsurance is placed with

reinsurers that meet strict financial criteria established by the Company. The Company entered into two reinsurance transactions upon completion of the sales of its Retirement Plans and Individual Life businesses in January 2013. For further discussion of these transactions, see Note 2 - Business Dispositions and Note 7 - Reinsurance of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

F-15

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

1. Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs and Present Value of Future Profits

Deferred policy acquisition costs ("DAC") represent costs that are directly related to the acquisition of new and renewal insurance contracts and incremental direct costs of contract acquisition that are incurred in transactions with either independent third parties or employees. Such costs primarily include commissions, premium taxes, costs of policy issuance and underwriting, and certain other expenses that are directly related to successfully issued contracts. For property and casualty insurance products and group life, disability and accident contracts, costs are deferred and amortized ratably over the period the related premiums are earned. Deferred acquisition costs are reviewed to determine if they are recoverable from future income, and if not, are charged to expense. Anticipated investment income is considered in the determination of the recoverability of DAC. For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, no amount of DAC was charged to expense based on the determination of recoverability.

For life insurance products, the DAC asset, which includes the present value of future profits, related to most universal life-type contracts (including variable annuities) is amortized over the estimated life of the contracts acquired in proportion to the present value of estimated gross profits ("EGPs"). EGPs are also used to amortize other assets and liabilities in the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets, such as, sales inducement assets ("SIA") and unearned revenue reserves ("URR"). Components of EGPs are used to determine reserves for universal life-type contracts (including variable annuities) with death or other insurance benefits such as guaranteed minimum death, guaranteed minimum income and universal life secondary guarantee benefits. These benefits are accounted for and collectively referred to as death and other insurance benefit reserves and are held in addition to the account value liability representing policyholder funds.

For most life insurance product contracts, the Company estimates gross profits over 20 years as EGPs emerging subsequent to that timeframe are immaterial. Products sold in a particular year are aggregated into cohorts. Future gross profits for each cohort are projected over the estimated lives of the underlying contracts, based on future account value projections for variable annuity and variable universal life products. The projection of future account values requires the use of certain assumptions including: separate account returns; separate account fund mix; fees assessed against the contract holder's account balance; surrender and lapse rates; interest margin; mortality; and the extent and duration of hedging activities and hedging costs.

The Company determines EGPs from a single deterministic reversion to mean ("RTM") separate account return projection which is an estimation technique commonly used by insurance entities to project future separate account returns. Through this estimation technique, the Company's DAC model is adjusted to reflect actual account values at the end of each quarter. Through consideration of recent market returns, the Company will unlock, or adjust, projected returns over a future period so that the account value returns to the long-term expected rate of return, providing that those projected returns do not exceed certain caps or floors. This Unlock for future separate account returns is determined each quarter.

In the third quarter of each year, the Company completes a comprehensive non-market related policyholder behavior assumption study and incorporates the results of those studies into its projection of future gross profits. Additionally, throughout the year, the Company evaluates various aspects of policyholder behavior and periodically revises its policyholder assumptions as credible emerging data indicates that changes are warranted. The Company will continue to evaluate its assumptions related to policyholder behavior as initiatives to reduce the size of the variable annuity business are implemented by management. Upon completion of an annual assumption study or evaluation of credible new information, the Company will revise its assumptions to reflect its current best estimate. These assumption revisions will change the projected account values and the related EGPs in the DAC, SIA and URR amortization models, as well as, the death and other insurance benefit reserving models.

All assumption changes that affect the estimate of future EGPs including the update of current account values, the use of the RTM estimation technique and policyholder behavior assumptions are considered an Unlock in the period of revision. An Unlock adjusts the DAC, SIA, URR and death and other insurance benefit reserve balances in the Consolidated Balance Sheets with an offsetting benefit or charge in the Consolidated Statements of Operations in the

period of the revision. An Unlock revises EGPs to reflect the Company's current best estimate assumptions. The Company also tests the aggregate recoverability of DAC by comparing the existing DAC balance to the present value of future EGPs. An Unlock that results in an after-tax benefit generally occurs as a result of actual experience or future expectations of product profitability being favorable compared to previous estimates. An Unlock that results in an after-tax charge generally occurs as a result of actual experience or future expectations of product profitability being unfavorable compared to previous estimates.

Income Taxes

The Company recognizes taxes payable or refundable for the current year and deferred taxes for the tax consequences of differences between the financial reporting and tax basis of assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years the temporary differences are expected to reverse.

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

1. Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Goodwill

Goodwill represents the excess of costs over the fair value of net assets acquired. Goodwill is not amortized but is reviewed for impairment at least annually or more frequently if events occur or circumstances change that would indicate that a triggering event for a potential impairment has occurred. During the fourth quarter of 2011, the Company changed the date of its annual impairment test for all reporting units to October 31st. As a result, all reporting units performed an impairment test on October 31, 2011 in addition to the annual impairment tests performed on January 1st or October 1st, 2011, as applicable. The change was made to be consistent across all reporting units and to more closely align the impairment testing date with the long-range planning and forecasting process. The Company has determined that this change in accounting principle is preferable under the circumstances and does not result in any delay, acceleration or avoidance of impairment. As it was impracticable to objectively determine projected cash flows and related valuation estimates as of each October 31 for periods prior to October 31, 2011, without applying information that has been learned since those periods, the Company has prospectively applied the change in the annual goodwill impairment testing date from October 31, 2011.

The goodwill impairment test follows a two-step process. In the first step, the fair value of a reporting unit is compared to its carrying value. If the carrying value of a reporting unit exceeds its fair value, the second step of the impairment test is performed for purposes of measuring the impairment. In the second step, the fair value of the reporting unit is allocated to all of the assets and liabilities of the reporting unit to determine an implied goodwill value. If the carrying amount of the reporting unit's goodwill exceeds the implied goodwill value, an impairment loss is recognized in an amount equal to that excess.

Management's determination of the fair value of each reporting unit incorporates multiple inputs into discounted cash flow calculations, including assumptions that market participants would make in valuing the reporting unit. Assumptions include levels of economic capital, future business growth, earnings projections and assets under management for certain reporting units and the weighted average cost of capital used for purposes of discounting. Decreases in the amount of economic capital allocated to a reporting unit, decreases in business growth, decreases in earnings projections and increases in the weighted average cost of capital will all cause a reporting unit's fair value to decrease.

Goodwill within Corporate is primarily attributed to the Company's "buy-back" of Hartford Life, Inc. in 2000 and was allocated to each of Hartford Life's reporting units based on the reporting unit's fair value of in-force business at the buy-back date. Although this goodwill was allocated to each reporting unit, it is held in Corporate for segment reporting.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment is carried at cost net of accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is based on the estimated useful lives of the various classes of property and equipment and is determined principally on the straight-line method. Accumulated depreciation was \$2.2 billion and \$2.0 billion as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Depreciation expense was \$174, \$183, and \$216 for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Separate Accounts, Death Benefits and Other Insurance Benefit Features

The Company records the variable account value portion of variable annuity and variable life insurance products and institutional and governmental investment contracts within separate accounts. Separate account assets are reported at fair value and separate account liabilities are reported at amounts consistent with separate account assets. Investment income and gains and losses from those separate account assets accrue directly to the policyholder, who assumes the related investment risk, and are offset by the related liability changes reported in the same line item in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. The Company earns fees for investment management, certain administrative expenses, and mortality and expense risks assumed which are reported in fee income.

Certain contracts classified as universal life-type include death and other insurance benefit features including GMDB and GMIB, offered with variable annuity contracts. GMDBs and GMIBs have been written in various forms. These death and other insurance benefit features require an additional liability be held above the account value liability

representing the policyholders' funds. This liability is reported in reserve for future policy benefits in the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets. Changes in the death and other insurance benefit reserves are recorded in benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Operations.

The death and other insurance benefit liability is determined by estimating the expected present value of the benefits in excess of the policyholder's expected account value in proportion to the present value of total expected fees. The liability is accrued as actual fees are earned. The expected present value of benefits and fees are generally derived from a set of stochastic scenarios, that have been calibrated to our RTM separate account returns, and assumptions including market rates of return, volatility, discount rates, lapse rates and mortality experience. Consistent with the Company's policy on the Unlock, the Company regularly evaluates estimates used and adjusts the additional liability balance, with a related charge or credit to benefits, losses and loss adjustment expense. For further information on the Unlock, see the Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs and Present Value of Future Benefits accounting policy section within this footnote.

F-17

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

1. Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

The Company reinsures a portion of its in-force GMDB and all of its UL secondary guarantees. The death and other insurance benefit reserves, net of reinsurance, are established by estimating the expected value of net reinsurance costs and death and other insurance benefits in excess of the projected account balance. The additional death and other insurance benefits and net reinsurance costs are recognized ratably over the accumulation period based on total expected assessments.

Reserve for Future Policy Benefits and Unpaid Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses

Property and Casualty Insurance Products

The Hartford establishes property and casualty insurance products reserves to provide for the estimated costs of paying claims under insurance policies written by the Company. These reserves include estimates for both claims that have been reported and those that have been incurred but not reported, and include estimates of all losses and loss adjustment expenses associated with processing and settling these claims. Estimating the ultimate cost of future losses and loss adjustment expenses is an uncertain and complex process. This estimation process is based significantly on the assumption that past developments are an appropriate predictor of future events, and involves a variety of actuarial techniques that analyze experience, trends and other relevant factors. The uncertainties involved with the reserving process have become increasingly difficult due to a number of complex factors including social and economic trends and changes in the concepts of legal liability and damage awards. Accordingly, final claim settlements may vary from the present estimates, particularly when those payments may not occur until well into the future.

The Hartford regularly reviews the adequacy of its estimated losses and loss adjustment expense reserves by line of business within the various reporting segments. Adjustments to previously established reserves are reflected in the operating results of the period in which the adjustment is determined to be necessary. Such adjustments could possibly be significant, reflecting any variety of new and adverse or favorable trends.

Most of the Company's property and casualty insurance products reserves are not discounted. However, the Company has discounted liabilities funded through structured settlements and has discounted certain reserves for indemnity payments due to permanently disabled claimants under workers' compensation policies. Structured settlements are agreements that provide fixed periodic payments to claimants and include annuities purchased to fund unpaid losses for permanently disabled claimants and, prior to 2008, agreements that funded loss run-offs for unrelated parties. Most of the annuities have been issued by the Company and these structured settlements are recorded at present value as annuity obligations, either within the reserve for future policy benefits if the annuity benefits are life-contingent or within other policyholder funds and benefits payable if the annuity benefits are not life-contingent. If not funded through an annuity, reserves for certain indemnity payments due to permanently disabled claimants under workers' compensation policies are recorded as property and casualty insurance products reserves and were discounted to present value at an average interest rate of 3.5% in 2013 and 4.0% in 2012.

Life Insurance Products

Liabilities for future policy benefits are calculated by the net level premium method using interest, withdrawal and mortality assumptions appropriate at the time the policies were issued. The methods used in determining the liability for unpaid losses and future policy benefits are standard actuarial methods recognized by the American Academy of Actuaries. For the tabular reserves, discount rates are based on the Company's earned investment yield and the morbidity/mortality tables used are standard industry tables modified to reflect the Company's actual experience when appropriate. In particular, for the Company's group disability known claim reserves, the morbidity table for the early durations of claim is based exclusively on the Company's experience, incorporating factors such as gender, elimination period and diagnosis. These reserves are computed such that they are expected to meet the Company's future policy obligations. Future policy benefits are computed at amounts that, with additions from estimated premiums to be received and with interest on such reserves compounded annually at certain assumed rates, are expected to be sufficient to meet the Company's policy obligations at their maturities or in the event of an insured's death. Changes in or deviations from the assumptions used for mortality, morbidity, expected future premiums and interest can significantly affect the Company's reserve levels and related future operations.

Liabilities for the Company's group life and disability contracts, as well as its individual term life insurance policies, include amounts for unpaid losses and future policy benefits. Liabilities for unpaid losses include estimates of amounts to fully settle known reported claims, as well as claims related to insured events that the Company estimates have been incurred but have not yet been reported. These reserve estimates are based on known facts and interpretations of circumstances, and consideration of various internal factors including The Hartford's experience with similar cases, historical trends involving claim payment patterns, loss payments, pending levels of unpaid claims, loss control programs and product mix. In addition, the reserve estimates are influenced by consideration of various external factors including court decisions, economic conditions and public attitudes. The effects of inflation are implicitly considered in the reserving process. Group life and disability contracts with long tail claim liabilities are discounted because the payment pattern and the ultimate costs are reasonably fixed and determinable on an individual claim basis. These reserves were discounted to present value using a weighted average interest rate of 4.71% in 2013 and 4.86% in 2012.

F-18

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

1. Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Other Policyholder Funds and Benefits Payable

Other policyholder funds and benefits payable consist of non-variable account values associated with universal life-type contracts and investment contracts.

Universal life-type contracts consist of fixed and variable annuities and universal life insurance. The liability for universal life-type contracts is equal to the balance that accrues to the benefit of the policyholders as of the financial statement date, including credited interest, amounts that have been assessed to compensate the Company for services to be performed over future periods, and any amounts previously assessed against policyholders that are refundable on termination of the contract.

Investment contracts consist of institutional and governmental products, without life contingencies, including funding agreements, certain structured settlements and guaranteed investment contracts. The liability for investment contracts is equal to the balance that accrues to the benefit of the contract holder as of the financial statement date, which includes the accumulation of deposits plus credited interest, less withdrawals and amounts assessed through the financial statement date. Contract holder funds include funding agreements held by Variable Interest Entities issuing medium-term notes.

Foreign Currency Translation

Foreign currency translation gains and losses are reflected in stockholders' equity as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). The Company's foreign subsidiaries' balance sheet accounts are translated at the exchange rates in effect at each year end and income statement accounts are translated at the average rates of exchange prevailing during the year. The national currencies of the international operations are generally their functional currencies.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

2. Business Dispositions

Sale of Hartford Life International Limited ("HLIL")

On December 12, 2013, the Company completed the sale of HLIL, an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary, in a cash transaction to Columbia Insurance Company, a Berkshire Hathaway company, for approximately \$285. At closing, HLIL's sole asset was its subsidiary, Hartford Life Limited, a Dublin-based company that sold variable annuities in the U.K. from 2005 to 2009. The sale transaction resulted in an after-tax loss of \$102 upon disposition in the year ended December 31, 2013. The operations of the Company's U.K. variable annuity business meet the criteria for reporting as discontinued operations. For further information regarding discontinued operations, see Note 20 - Discontinued Operations of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. The Company's U.K. variable annuities business is included in the Talcott Resolution reporting segment.

Sale of Retirement Plans

On January 1, 2013, the Company completed the sale of its Retirement Plans business to MassMutual for a ceding commission of \$355. The business sold included products and services provided to corporations pursuant to Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), and products and services provided to municipalities and not-for-profit organizations under Sections 457 and 403(b) of the Code, collectively referred to as government plans. The sale was structured as a reinsurance transaction and resulted in an after-tax loss of \$24 for the year ended December 31, 2013. The after-tax loss is primarily driven by the reduction in goodwill that is non-deductible for income tax purposes. The Company recognized \$634 in reinsurance loss on disposition offset by \$634 in net realized capital gains for a \$0 impact on income, pre-tax.

Upon closing, the Company reinsured \$9.2 billion of policyholder liabilities and \$26.3 billion of separate account liabilities under an indemnity reinsurance arrangement. The reinsurance transaction does not extinguish the Company's primary liability on the insurance policies issued under the Retirement Plans business. The Company also transferred invested assets with a carrying value of \$9.3 billion, net of the ceding commission, to MassMutual and recognized other non-cash decreases in assets totaling \$200 relating to deferred acquisition costs, deferred income taxes, goodwill, property and equipment and other assets associated with the disposition. The Company will continue to sell retirement plans during a transition period of 18-24 months and MassMutual will assume all expenses and risk for these sales through the reinsurance agreement.

The Retirement Plans business is included in the Talcott Resolution reporting segment. Retirement Plans total revenues were \$706 and \$766 and net income (loss) was (\$39) and \$3, for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Sale of Individual Life

On January 2, 2013 the Company completed the sale of its Individual Life insurance business to Prudential for consideration of \$615 consisting primarily of a ceding commission. The business sold included variable universal life, universal life, and term life insurance. The sale was structured as a reinsurance transaction and resulted in a loss on business disposition consisting of a reinsurance loss partially offset by realized capital gains. The Company recognized a reinsurance loss on business disposition of \$533, pre-tax, which included a goodwill impairment charge of \$342 and a loss accrual for premium deficiency of \$191 for year ended December 31, 2012. The loss accrual of \$191 is included in other liabilities as of December 31, 2012. For additional information, Note 9 - Goodwill. Upon closing the Company recognized an additional \$940 in reinsurance loss on disposition offset by \$940 in realized capital gains for a \$0 impact on income, pre-tax.

Upon closing, the Company reinsured \$8.7 billion of policyholder liabilities and \$5.3 billion of separate account liabilities under indemnity reinsurance arrangements. The reinsurance transaction does not extinguish the Company's primary liability on the insurance policies issued under the Individual Life business. The Company also transferred invested assets with a carrying value of \$8.0 billion, exclusive of \$1.4 billion of assets supporting the modified coinsurance agreement, net of cash transferred in place of short-term investments, to Prudential and recognized other non-cash decreases in assets totaling \$1.8 billion relating to deferred acquisition costs, deferred income taxes, property

and equipment and other assets and other non-cash decreases in liabilities totaling \$1.5 billion relating to other liabilities including the \$191 loss accrual for premium deficiency, associated with the disposition. The Company will continue to sell life insurance products and riders during a transition period of 18-24 months and Prudential will assume all expenses and risk for these sales through the reinsurance agreement.

The Individual Life business is included in the Talcott Resolution reporting segment. Individual Life total revenues were \$1,381 and \$1,385, respectively and net income (loss) was \$(172) and \$114, for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Composition of Invested Assets Transferred

The following table presents invested assets transferred by the Company in connection with the sale of the Retirement Plans and Individual Life businesses in January 2013. In December 2012, the Company recognized intent-to-sell impairments of \$177 and gains on derivatives hedging of \$108 associated with the sale of these assets.

F-20

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

2. Business Dispositions (continued)

| | As of December 31, 2012 Carrying Value |
|--|---|
| Total fixed maturities, AFS at fair value (amortized cost of \$13,916) [1] | 15,349 |
| Equity securities, AFS, at fair value (cost of \$35) [2] | 37 |
| Fixed maturities, at fair value using the FVO [3] | 16 |
| Mortgage loans (net of allowances for loan losses of \$1) | 1,364 |
| Policy loans, at outstanding balance | 582 |
| Total invested assets transferred | \$ 17,348 |

[1] Includes \$14.7 billion and \$670 of securities in level 2 and 3 of the fair value hierarchy, respectively.

[2] All equity securities transferred are included in level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

[3] All FVO securities transferred are included in level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Sale of Catalyst 360

On December 31, 2013, the Company completed the sale of its member contact center for health insurance products offered through the AARP Health Program ("Catalyst 360") to Optum, Inc., a division of UnitedHealth Group. The impact of this transaction was not material to the Company's results of operations, financial position or liquidity. The Company will provide limited transition services for 18-24 months. Catalyst 360 is included in the Consumer Markets reporting segment.

Purchase Agreement with Forethought Financial Group, Inc.

On December 31, 2012, the Company completed the sale of its U.S. individual annuity new business capabilities to Forethought Financial Group. Effective May 1, 2012, all new U.S. annuity policies sold by the Company were reinsured to Forethought Life Insurance Company. The Company ceased the sale of such annuity policies and the reinsurance agreement terminated as to new business in the second quarter of 2013. The reinsurance agreement has no impact on in-force policies issued on or before April 27, 2012 and the impact of this transaction was not material to the Company's results of operations, financial position or liquidity. The Individual Annuity business is included in the Talcott Resolution reporting segment.

Sale of Woodbury Financial Services, Inc.

On November 30, 2012, the Company completed the sale of Woodbury Financial Services, Inc. ("Woodbury Financial Services", "WFS"), an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary, to AIG Advisor Group, Inc, a subsidiary of American International Group, Inc. The impact of the disposition of this business was not material to the Company's results of operations, financial position or liquidity. The WFS broker-dealer business is included in the Corporate reporting category.

Servicing Agreement of Hartford Life Private Placement LLC

On July 13, 2012, the Company closed a sale transaction with Philadelphia Financial Group, Inc. ("Philadelphia Financial") whereby Philadelphia Financial acquired certain assets used to administer the Company's private placement life insurance ("PPLI") businesses and will service the PPLI businesses. The Company retained certain corporate functions associated with this business as well as the mortality risk on the insurance policies. Upon closing, the Company recorded a deferred gain of \$61 after-tax, which will be amortized over the estimated life of the underlying insurance policies. The PPLI business is included in the Talcott Resolution reporting segment.

See Note 20 - Discontinued Operations of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for the sale of subsidiaries that are being reported as discontinued operations.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

3. Earnings (Loss) per Share

The following table presents a reconciliation of net income (loss) and shares used in calculating basic earnings (loss) per common share to those used in calculating diluted earnings (loss) per common share.

| (In millions, except for per share data) | For the years ended December 31, | | |
|--|----------------------------------|----------|---------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Earnings | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations, net of tax | \$310 | \$(100) |)\$573 |
| Less: Preferred stock dividends | 10 | 42 | 42 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations, net of tax, available to common shareholders | 300 | (142) |)531 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | (134) |)62 | 139 |
| Net income (loss) | | | |
| Net income (loss) | 176 | (38) |)712 |
| Less: Preferred stock dividends | 10 | 42 | 42 |
| Net income (loss) available to common shareholders | \$166 | \$(80) |)\$670 |
| Shares | | | |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding, basic | 447.7 | 437.7 | 445.0 |
| Dilutive effect of warrants | 32.2 | — | 31.9 |
| Dilutive effect of stock compensation plans | 4.5 | — | 1.1 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding and dilutive potential common shares | 484.4 | 437.7 | 478.0 |
| Earnings (loss) per common share | | | |
| Basic | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations, net of tax, available to common shareholders | \$0.67 | \$(0.32) |)\$1.19 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | (0.30) |)0.14 | 0.32 |
| Net income (loss) available to common shareholders | \$0.37 | \$(0.18) |)\$1.51 |
| Diluted | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations, net of tax, available to common shareholders | \$0.62 | \$(0.32) |)\$1.11 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | (0.28) |)0.14 | 0.29 |
| Net income (loss) available to common shareholders | \$0.34 | \$(0.18) |)\$1.40 |

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

3. Earnings (Loss) per Share (continued)

Basic earnings per share is computed based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the year. Diluted earnings per share includes the dilutive effect of assumed exercise or issuance of warrants and stock-based awards under compensation plans, and assumed conversion of preferred shares to common using the treasury stock method.

Under the treasury stock method, for warrants and stock-based awards, shares are assumed to be issued and then reduced for the number of shares repurchaseable with theoretical proceeds at the average market price for the period. Contingently issuable shares are included for the number of shares issuable assuming the end of the reporting period was the end of the contingency period, if dilutive.

As a result of the losses available to common shareholders for the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company was required to use basic weighted average common shares outstanding in the calculation of diluted loss per share, since the inclusion of shares for warrants of 26.0 million, stock compensation plans of 2.2 million and mandatory convertible preferred shares, along with the related dividend adjustment, of 20.9 million, would have been antidilutive to the earnings (loss) per share calculations. Had there been income available to common shareholders in 2012, weighted average common shares outstanding and dilutive potential common shares would have totaled 486.8 million. Under the if-converted method for mandatory convertible preferred stock (see Note 16 - Equity) the conversion to common shares is assumed if the inclusion of these shares and the related dividend adjustment are dilutive to the earnings per share calculation. For the year ended December 31, 2011, 20.7 million shares for mandatory convertible preferred shares, along with the related dividend adjustment, would have been antidilutive to the earnings per share calculations. Assuming the impact of the mandatory convertible preferred shares was not antidilutive, weighted average common shares outstanding and dilutive potential common shares would have totaled 498.7 million, for the year ended December 31, 2011.

On March 30, 2012 the Company entered into an agreement with Allianz and repurchased the outstanding Series B and Series C warrants (see Note 16 - Equity). As a result, Allianz no longer holds potentially dilutive outstanding warrants.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

4. Segment Information

The Company currently conducts business principally in six reporting segments, as well as a Corporate category. The Company's reporting segments, as well as the Corporate category, are as follows:

Property & Casualty Commercial

Property & Casualty Commercial provides workers' compensation, property, automobile, marine, livestock, liability and umbrella coverages primarily throughout the United States ("U.S."), along with a variety of customized insurance products and risk management services including professional liability, fidelity, surety, and specialty casualty coverages.

Consumer Markets

Consumer Markets provides standard automobile, homeowners and personal umbrella coverages to individuals across the U.S., including a special program designed exclusively for members of AARP. Consumer Markets previously operated a member contact center for health insurance products offered through the AARP Health program ("Catalyst 360"). For further information regarding the sale of Catalyst 360 in 2013, see Note 2 - Business Dispositions of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Property & Casualty Other Operations

Property & Casualty Other Operations includes certain property and casualty operations, currently managed by the Company, that have discontinued writing new business and substantially all of the Company's asbestos and environmental exposures.

Group Benefits

Group Benefits provides employers, associations, affinity groups and financial institutions with group life, accident and disability coverage, along with other products and services, including voluntary benefits, and group retiree health.

Mutual Funds

Mutual Funds offers mutual funds for retail and retirement accounts and provides investment-management and administrative services such as product design, implementation and oversight. This business also includes the runoff of the mutual funds supporting the Company's variable annuity products.

Talcott Resolution

Talcott Resolution is comprised of runoff business from the Company's U.S. annuity, international (primarily in Japan) annuity, and institutional and private-placement life insurance businesses, as well as the Retirement Plans and Individual Life businesses that were sold in January 2013 and the Company's discontinued U.K. variable annuity business. For further information regarding the sale of these businesses, see Note 2 - Business Dispositions and Note 20 - Discontinued Operations of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Corporate

The Company includes in the Corporate category the Company's debt financing and related interest expense, as well as other capital raising activities and purchase accounting adjustments related to goodwill and other charges not allocated to the segments.

Financial Measures and Other Segment Information

Certain transactions between segments occur during the year that primarily relate to tax settlements, insurance coverage, expense reimbursements, services provided, security transfers and capital contributions. Also, one segment may purchase group annuity contracts from another to fund pension costs and annuities to settle casualty claims. In addition, certain inter-segment transactions occur that relate to interest income on allocated surplus. Consolidated net investment income is unaffected by such transactions.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

4. Segment Information (continued)

The following table presents revenues by product line for each reporting segment, as well as the Corporate category.

| Revenues | For the years ended December 31, | | |
|---|----------------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Earned premiums, fees, and other considerations | | | |
| Property & Casualty Commercial | | | |
| Workers' compensation | \$2,975 | \$2,987 | \$2,809 |
| Property | 521 | 505 | 528 |
| Automobile | 579 | 587 | 583 |
| Package business | 1,139 | 1,160 | 1,145 |
| Liability | 566 | 562 | 540 |
| Fidelity and surety | 201 | 205 | 215 |
| Professional liability | 222 | 253 | 307 |
| Total Property & Casualty Commercial | 6,203 | 6,259 | 6,127 |
| Consumer Markets | | | |
| Automobile | 2,522 | 2,526 | 2,619 |
| Homeowners | 1,138 | 1,110 | 1,128 |
| Total Consumer Markets [1] | 3,660 | 3,636 | 3,747 |
| Property & Casualty Other Operations | 1 | (2 |)— |
| Group Benefits | | | |
| Group disability | 1,452 | 1,735 | 1,929 |
| Group life | 1,717 | 1,881 | 2,024 |
| Other | 161 | 194 | 194 |
| Total Group Benefits | 3,330 | 3,810 | 4,147 |
| Mutual Funds | | | |
| Retail | 526 | 487 | 541 |
| Annuity and other | 152 | 112 | 108 |
| Total Mutual Funds | 678 | 599 | 649 |
| Talcott Resolution | 2,148 | 3,548 | 3,909 |
| Corporate | 11 | 167 | 209 |
| Total earned premiums, fees, and other considerations | 16,031 | 18,017 | 18,788 |
| Net investment income (loss): | | | |
| Securities available-for-sale and other | 3,362 | 4,227 | 4,263 |
| Equity securities, trading | 6,061 | 4,364 | (1,345 |
| Total net investment income | 9,423 | 8,591 | 2,918 |
| Net realized capital gains (losses) | 507 | (744 |)(226 |
| Other revenues | 275 | 258 | 253 |
| Total revenues | \$26,236 | \$26,122 | \$21,733 |

[1] For 2013, 2012 and 2011, AARP members accounted for earned premiums of \$2.9 billion, \$2.8 billion and \$2.8 billion, respectively.

Geographical Revenue Information

| Revenues | For the years ended December 31, | | |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| United States of America | \$20,688 | \$21,814 | \$21,561 |
| Japan | 5,548 | 4,363 | 135 |
| Other | — | (55 |)37 |
| Total revenues | \$26,236 | \$26,122 | \$21,733 |

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

4. Segment Information (continued)

| | For the years ended December 31, | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|-----------|---------|---|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | |
| Net income (loss) | | | | |
| Property & Casualty Commercial | \$870 | \$547 | \$526 | |
| Consumer Markets | 229 | 166 | 7 | |
| Property & Casualty Other Operations | (2 |)57 | (117 |) |
| Group Benefits | 192 | 129 | 92 | |
| Mutual Funds | 76 | 71 | 98 | |
| Talcott Resolution | (634 |)1 | 540 | |
| Corporate | (555 |)(1,009 |)(434 |) |
| Net income (loss) | \$176 | \$(38 |)\$712 | |
| | For the years ended December 31, | | | |
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | |
| Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of future profits | | | | |
| Property & Casualty Commercial | \$905 | \$927 | \$917 | |
| Consumer Markets | 332 | 332 | 337 | |
| Group Benefits | 33 | 33 | 35 | |
| Mutual Funds | 39 | 35 | 47 | |
| Talcott Resolution | 1,392 | 661 | 1,108 | |
| Total amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and present value of future profits | \$2,701 | \$1,988 | \$2,444 | |
| | For the years ended December 31, | | | |
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | | | | |
| Property & Casualty Commercial | \$320 | \$159 | \$37 | |
| Consumer Markets | 100 | 65 | (22 |) |
| Property & Casualty Other Operations | (20 |)14 | (74 |) |
| Group Benefits | 63 | 31 | 1 | |
| Mutual Funds | 42 | 38 | 54 | |
| Talcott Resolution | (500 |)(271 |)(168 |) |
| Corporate | (252 |)(517 |)(201 |) |
| Total income tax benefit | \$(247 |)\$(481 |)\$(373 |) |
| | As of December 31, | | | |
| | 2013 | 2012 | | |
| Assets | | | | |
| Property & Casualty Commercial | \$27,119 | \$25,595 | | |
| Consumer Markets | 5,873 | 6,024 | | |
| Property & Casualty Other Operations | 4,331 | 4,509 | | |
| Group Benefits | 8,882 | 9,545 | | |
| Mutual Funds | 307 | 325 | | |
| Talcott Resolution | 222,269 | 243,836 | | |
| Corporate | 9,103 | 8,679 | | |
| Total assets | \$277,884 | \$298,513 | | |

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Fair Value Measurements

The following section applies the fair value hierarchy and disclosure requirements for the Company's financial instruments that are carried at fair value. The fair value hierarchy prioritizes the inputs in the valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three broad Levels (Level 1, 2 or 3).

Observable inputs that reflect quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the Company Level has the ability to access at the measurement date. Level 1 securities include highly liquid U.S. Treasuries, 1 money market funds and exchange traded equity securities, open-ended mutual funds reported in separate account assets and exchange-traded derivative securities.

Observable inputs, other than quoted prices included in Level 1, for the asset or liability or prices for similar assets and liabilities. Most fixed maturities and preferred stocks, including those reported in separate account Level assets, are model priced by vendors using observable inputs and are classified within Level 2. Also included are 2 limited partnerships and other alternative assets measured at fair value where an investment can be redeemed, or substantially redeemed, at the NAV at the measurement date or in the near-term, not to exceed 90 days; as well as, derivative instruments.

Valuations that are derived from techniques in which one or more of the significant inputs are unobservable (including assumptions about risk). Level 3 securities include less liquid securities, guaranteed product embedded and reinsurance derivatives and other complex derivative securities, as well as limited partnerships Level and other alternative investments carried at fair value that cannot be redeemed in the near-term at the NAV.

3 Because Level 3 fair values, by their nature, contain one or more significant unobservable inputs as there is little or no observable market for these assets and liabilities, considerable judgment is used to determine the Level 3 fair values. Level 3 fair values represent the Company's best estimate of an amount that could be realized in a current market exchange absent actual market exchanges.

In many situations, inputs used to measure the fair value of an asset or liability position may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In these situations, the Company will determine the level in which the fair value falls based upon the lowest level input that is significant to the determination of the fair value. Transfers of securities among the levels occur at the beginning of the reporting period. For the year ended December 31, 2013, transfers from Level 1 to Level 2 were \$1.3 billion, which represented previously on-the-run U.S. Treasury securities that are now off-the-run, and there were no transfers from Level 2 to Level 1. In most cases, both observable (e.g., changes in interest rates) and unobservable (e.g., changes in risk assumptions) inputs are used in the determination of fair values that the Company has classified within Level 3. Consequently, these values and the related gains and losses are based upon both observable and unobservable inputs. The Company's fixed maturities included in Level 3 are classified as such because these securities are primarily priced by independent brokers and/or are within illiquid markets.

The following tables present assets and (liabilities) carried at fair value by hierarchy level. These disclosures provide information as to the extent to which the Company uses fair value to measure financial instruments and information about the inputs used to value those financial instruments to allow users to assess the relative reliability of the measurements. The following table presents assets and (liabilities) carried at fair value by hierarchy level.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

| | December 31, 2013 | | | | |
|--|-------------------|---|--|--|---|
| | Total | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | |
| Assets accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis | | | | | |
| Fixed maturities, AFS | | | | | |
| ABS | \$2,365 | \$— | \$2,218 | \$147 | |
| CDOs | 2,387 | — | 1,723 | 664 | |
| CMBS | 4,446 | — | 3,783 | 663 | |
| Corporate | 28,490 | — | 27,216 | 1,274 | |
| Foreign government/government agencies States, municipalities and political subdivisions ("Municipal") | 4,104 | — | 4,039 | 65 | |
| RMBS | 12,173 | — | 12,104 | 69 | |
| U.S. Treasuries | 4,647 | — | 3,375 | 1,272 | |
| Total fixed maturities | 3,745 | 1,311 | 2,434 | — | |
| Fixed maturities, FVO | 62,357 | 1,311 | 56,892 | 4,154 | |
| Equity securities, trading | 844 | — | 651 | 193 | |
| Equity securities, AFS | 19,745 | 12 | 19,733 | — | |
| Derivative assets | 868 | 454 | 337 | 77 | |
| Credit derivatives | 25 | — | 20 | 5 | |
| Equity derivatives | — | — | — | — | |
| Foreign exchange derivatives | 14 | — | 14 | — | |
| Interest rate derivatives | (21 |) — | (63 |) 42 | |
| U.S. GMWB hedging instruments | 26 | — | (42 |) 68 | |
| U.S. macro hedge program | 109 | — | — | 109 | |
| International program hedging instruments | 272 | — | 241 | 31 | |
| Other derivative contracts | 17 | — | — | 17 | |
| Total derivative assets [1] | 442 | — | 170 | 272 | |
| Short-term investments | 4,008 | 427 | 3,581 | — | |
| Limited partnerships and other alternative investments [2] | 921 | — | 813 | 108 | |
| Reinsurance recoverable for U.S. GMWB | 29 | — | — | 29 | |
| Modified coinsurance reinsurance contracts | 67 | — | 67 | — | |
| Separate account assets [3] | 138,495 | 99,930 | 37,828 | 737 | |
| Total assets accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis | \$227,776 | \$102,134 | \$120,072 | \$5,570 | |
| Percentage of level to total | 100 | %45 | %53 | %2 | % |
| Liabilities accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis | | | | | |
| Other policyholder funds and benefits payable | | | | | |
| U.S. guaranteed withdrawal benefits | \$(36 |) \$— | \$— | \$(36 |) |
| International guaranteed withdrawal benefits | 3 | — | — | 3 | |

Edgar Filing: HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP INC/DE - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|--|-----------|--------|-----------|----------|---|
| International other guaranteed living benefits | 3 | — | — | 3 | |
| Equity linked notes | (18 |) — | — | (18 |) |
| Total other policyholder funds and benefits payable | (48 |) — | — | (48 |) |
| Derivative liabilities | | | | | |
| Credit derivatives | (12 |) — | (9 |) (3 |) |
| Equity derivatives | 19 | — | 16 | 3 | |
| Foreign exchange derivatives | (388 |) — | (388 |) — | |
| Interest rate derivatives | (582 |) — | (558 |) (24 |) |
| U.S. GMWB hedging instruments | 15 | — | (63 |) 78 | |
| U.S. macro hedge program | 30 | — | — | 30 | |
| International program hedging instruments | (305 |) — | (245 |) (60 |) |
| Total derivative liabilities [4] | (1,223 |) — | (1,247 |) 24 | |
| Consumer notes [5] | (2 |) — | — | (2 |) |
| Total liabilities accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis | \$ (1,273 |) \$ — | \$ (1,247 |) \$ (26 |) |

F-28

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

| | December 31, 2012 | | | |
|---|-------------------|---|--|--|
| | Total | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Assets accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis | | | | |
| Fixed maturities, AFS | | | | |
| ABS | \$2,763 | \$— | \$2,485 | \$278 |
| CDOs | 3,040 | — | 2,096 | 944 |
| CMBS | 6,321 | — | 5,462 | 859 |
| Corporate | 44,049 | — | 42,048 | 2,001 |
| Foreign government/government agencies | 4,136 | — | 4,080 | 56 |
| Municipal | 14,361 | — | 14,134 | 227 |
| RMBS | 7,480 | — | 6,107 | 1,373 |
| U.S. Treasuries | 3,772 | 115 | 3,657 | — |
| Total fixed maturities | 85,922 | 115 | 80,069 | 5,738 |
| Fixed maturities, FVO | 1,087 | 8 | 865 | 214 |
| Equity securities, trading | 28,933 | 1,847 | 27,086 | — |
| Equity securities, AFS | 890 | 337 | 469 | 84 |
| Derivative assets | | | | |
| Credit derivatives | (19 |) — | (8 |) (11 |
| Equity derivatives | 32 | — | — | 32 |
| Foreign exchange derivatives | 104 | — | 104 | — |
| Interest rate derivatives | 235 | — | 268 | (33 |
| U.S. GMWB hedging instruments | 36 | — | (53 |) 89 |
| U.S. macro hedge program | 186 | — | — | 186 |
| International program hedging instruments | 448 | — | 318 | 130 |
| Other derivative contracts | 23 | — | — | 23 |
| Total derivative assets [1] | 1,045 | — | 629 | 416 |
| Short-term investments | 4,581 | 342 | 4,239 | — |
| Limited partnerships and other alternative investments [2] | 907 | — | 593 | 314 |
| Reinsurance recoverable for U.S. GMWB | 191 | — | — | 191 |
| Separate account assets [3] | 138,509 | 97,988 | 39,938 | 583 |
| Total assets accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis | \$262,065 | \$100,637 | \$153,888 | \$7,540 |
| Percentage of level to total | 100 | %38 | %59 | %3 |

F-29

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

| | December 31, 2012 | | | |
|--|-------------------|--|--|--|
| | Total | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Liabilities accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis | | | | |
| Other policyholder funds and benefits payable | | | | |
| U.S guaranteed withdrawal benefits | \$(1,249) | \$— | \$— | \$(1,249) |
| International guaranteed withdrawal benefits | (50) | — | — | (50) |
| International other guaranteed living benefits | 2 | — | — | 2 |
| Equity linked notes | (7) | — | — | (7) |
| Total other policyholder funds and benefits payable | (1,304) | — | — | (1,304) |
| Derivative liabilities | | | | |
| Credit derivatives | (18) | — | (33) | 15 |
| Equity derivatives | 25 | — | — | 25 |
| Foreign exchange derivatives | (24) | — | (24) | — |
| Interest rate derivatives | (517) | — | (518) | 1 |
| U.S. GMWB hedging instruments | 536 | — | 106 | 430 |
| U.S Macro hedge program | 100 | — | — | 100 |
| International program hedging instruments | (279) | — | (217) | (62) |
| Total derivative liabilities [4] | (177) | — | (686) | 509 |
| Other liabilities | — | — | — | — |
| Consumer notes [5] | (2) | — | — | (2) |
| Total liabilities accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis | \$(1,483) | \$— | \$(686) | \$(797) |

Includes OTC and OTC-cleared derivative instruments in a net asset value position after consideration of the impact of collateral posting requirements, which may be imposed by agreements, clearinghouse rules, and [1] applicable law. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, \$128 and \$160, respectively, was netted against the derivative asset value in the Consolidated Balance Sheet and is excluded from the table above. See footnote 4 below for derivative liabilities.

[2] Represents hedge funds where investment company accounting has been applied to a wholly-owned fund of funds measured at fair value.

[3] Approximately \$2.4 billion and \$3.1 billion of investment sales receivable that are not subject to fair value accounting are excluded as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Includes OTC and OTC-cleared derivative instruments in a net negative market value position (derivative liability).

[4] In the Level 3 roll-forward table included below in this Note 5, the sum of the derivative asset and liability positions are referred to as “freestanding derivatives” and are presented on a net basis.

[5] Represents embedded derivatives associated with non-funding agreement-backed consumer equity linked notes.

Determination of Fair Values

The valuation methodologies used to determine the fair values of assets and liabilities under the “exit price” notion, reflect market-participant objectives and are based on the application of the fair value hierarchy that prioritizes relevant observable market inputs over unobservable inputs. The Company determines the fair values of certain financial assets and financial liabilities based on quoted market prices where available and where prices represent a reasonable estimate of fair value. The Company also determines fair value based on future cash flows discounted at the appropriate current market rate. Fair values reflect adjustments for counterparty credit quality, the Company’s

default spreads, liquidity and, where appropriate, risk margins on unobservable parameters. The following is a discussion of the methodologies used to determine fair values for the financial instruments listed in the above tables. The fair value process is monitored by the Valuation Committee, which is a cross-functional group of senior management within the Company that meets at least quarterly. The Valuation Committee is co-chaired by the Heads of Investment Operations and Accounting, and has representation from various investment sector professionals, accounting, operations, legal, compliance and risk management. The purpose of the committee is to oversee the pricing policy and procedures by ensuring objective and reliable valuation practices and pricing of financial instruments, as well as addressing fair valuation issues and approving changes to valuation methodologies and pricing sources. There are also two working groups, a Securities Fair Value Working Group ("Securities Working Group") and a Derivatives Fair Value Working Group ("Derivatives Working Group"), which include the Heads of Investment Operations and Accounting, as well as other investment, operations, accounting and risk management professionals that meet monthly to review market data trends, pricing and trading statistics and results, and any proposed pricing methodology changes described in more detail in the following paragraphs.

F-30

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

The Company also has an enterprise-wide Operational Risk Management function, led by the Chief Operational Risk Officer, which is responsible for establishing, maintaining and communicating the framework, principles and guidelines of the Company's operational risk management program. This includes model risk management which provides an independent review of the suitability, characteristics and reliability of model inputs; as well as, an analysis of significant changes to current models.

AFS Securities, Fixed Maturities, FVO, Equity Securities, Trading, and Short-term Investments

The fair value of AFS securities, fixed maturities, FVO, equity securities, trading, and short-term investments in an active and orderly market (e.g. not distressed or forced liquidation) are determined by management after considering one of three primary sources of information: third-party pricing services, independent broker quotations or pricing matrices. Security pricing is applied using a “waterfall” approach whereby publicly available prices are first sought from third-party pricing services, the remaining unpriced securities are submitted to independent brokers for prices, or lastly, securities are priced using a pricing matrix. If none of these pricing sources are available, the Company will estimate fair value utilizing an internal pricing model. Typical inputs used by these pricing methods include, but are not limited to, reported trades, benchmark yields, issuer spreads, bids, offers, and/or estimated cash flows, prepayments speeds and default rates. Based on the typical trading volumes and the lack of quoted market prices for fixed maturities, third-party pricing services will normally derive the security prices from recent reported trades for identical or similar securities making adjustments through the reporting date based upon available market observable information as outlined above. If there are no recently reported trades, the third-party pricing services and independent brokers may use matrix or model processes to develop a security price where future cash flow expectations are developed based upon collateral performance and discounted at an estimated market rate. Included in the pricing of ABS and RMBS are estimates of the rate of future prepayments of principal over the remaining life of the securities. Such estimates are derived based on the characteristics of the underlying structure and prepayment speeds previously experienced at the interest rate levels projected for the underlying collateral. Actual prepayment experience may vary from these estimates.

Prices from third-party pricing services are often unavailable for securities that are rarely traded or are traded only in privately negotiated transactions. As a result, certain securities are priced via independent broker quotations which utilize inputs that may be difficult to corroborate with observable market based data. Additionally, the majority of these independent broker quotations are non-binding.

A pricing matrix is used to price private placement securities for which the Company is unable to obtain a price from a third-party pricing service by discounting the expected future cash flows from the security by a developed market discount rate utilizing current credit spreads. Credit spreads are developed each month using market based data for public securities adjusted for credit spread differentials between public and private securities which are obtained from a survey of multiple private placement brokers. The appropriate credit spreads determined through this survey approach are based upon the issuer's financial strength and term to maturity, utilizing an independent public security index and trade information and adjusting for the non-public nature of the securities.

The Securities Working Group performs ongoing analysis of the prices and credit spreads received from third parties to ensure that the prices represent a reasonable estimate of the fair value. This process involves quantitative and qualitative analysis and is overseen by investment and accounting professionals. As a part of this analysis, the Company considers trading volume, new issuance activity and other factors to determine whether the market activity is significantly different than normal activity in an active market, and if so, whether transactions may not be orderly considering the weight of available evidence. If the available evidence indicates that pricing is based upon transactions that are stale or not orderly, the Company places little, if any, weight on the transaction price and will estimate fair value utilizing an internal pricing model. In addition, the Company ensures that prices received from independent brokers represent a reasonable estimate of fair value through the use of internal and external cash flow models developed based on spreads, and when available, market indices. As a result of this analysis, if the Company determines that there is a more appropriate fair value based upon the available market data, the price received from the

third party is adjusted accordingly and approved by the Valuation Committee. The Company's internal pricing model utilizes the Company's best estimate of expected future cash flows discounted at a rate of return that a market participant would require. The significant inputs to the model include, but are not limited to, current market inputs, such as credit loss assumptions, estimated prepayment speeds and market risk premiums.

The Company conducts other specific activities to monitor controls around pricing. Daily analyses identify price changes over 3-5%, sale trade prices that differ over 3% from the prior day's price and purchase trade prices that differ more than 3% from the current day's price. Weekly analyses identify prices that differ more than 5% from published bond prices of a corporate bond index. Monthly analyses identify price changes over 3%, prices that haven't changed, missing prices and second source validation on most sectors. Analyses are conducted by a dedicated pricing unit who follows up with trading and investment sector professionals and challenges prices with vendors when the estimated assumptions used differ from what the Company feels a market participant would use. Any changes from the identified pricing source are verified by further confirmation of assumptions used. Examples of other procedures performed include, but are not limited to, initial and on-going review of third-party pricing services' methodologies, review of pricing statistics and trends and back testing recent trades.

F-31

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

The Company has analyzed the third-party pricing services' valuation methodologies and related inputs, and has also evaluated the various types of securities in its investment portfolio to determine an appropriate fair value hierarchy level based upon trading activity and the observability of market inputs. Most prices provided by third-party pricing services are classified into Level 2 because the inputs used in pricing the securities are market observable. Due to a general lack of transparency in the process that brokers use to develop prices, most valuations that are based on brokers' prices are classified as Level 3. Some valuations may be classified as Level 2 if the price can be corroborated with observable market data.

Derivative Instruments, including embedded derivatives within investments

Derivative instruments are fair valued using pricing valuation models for OTC derivatives that utilize independent market data inputs, quoted market prices for exchange-traded and OTC-cleared derivatives, or independent broker quotations. Excluding embedded and reinsurance related derivatives, as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, 97% and 97%, respectively, of derivatives, based upon notional values, were priced by valuation models or quoted market prices. The remaining derivatives were priced by broker quotations.

The Derivatives Working Group performs ongoing analysis of the valuations, assumptions and methodologies used to ensure that the prices represent a reasonable estimate of the fair value. The Company performs various controls on derivative valuations which include both quantitative and qualitative analysis. Analyses are conducted by a dedicated derivative pricing team that works directly with investment sector professionals to analyze impacts of changes in the market environment and investigate variances. There is a monthly analysis to identify market value changes greater than pre-defined thresholds, stale prices, missing prices and zero prices. Also on a monthly basis, a second source validation, typically to broker quotations, is performed for certain of the more complex derivatives as well as for any existing deals with a market value greater than \$10 and all new deals during the month. A model validation review is performed on any new models, which typically includes detailed documentation and validation to a second source. The model validation documentation and results of validation are presented to the Valuation Committee for approval. There is a monthly control to review changes in pricing sources to ensure that new models are not moved to production until formally approved.

The Company utilizes derivative instruments to manage the risk associated with certain assets and liabilities.

However, the derivative instrument may not be classified with the same fair value hierarchy level as the associated assets and liabilities. Therefore the realized and unrealized gains and losses on derivatives reported in Level 3 may not reflect the offsetting impact of the realized and unrealized gains and losses of the associated assets and liabilities.

Limited partnerships and other alternative investments

Limited partnerships and other alternative investments include hedge funds where investment company accounting has been applied to a wholly-owned fund of funds measured at fair value. These funds are fair valued using the net asset value per share or equivalent ("NAV"), as a practical expedient, calculated on a monthly basis and is the amount at which a unit or shareholder may redeem their investment, if redemption is allowed. Certain impediments to redemption include, but are not limited to the following: 1) redemption notice periods vary and may be as long as 90 days, 2) redemption may be restricted (e.g. only be allowed on a quarter-end), 3) a holding period referred to as a lock-up may be imposed whereby an investor must hold their investment for a specified period of time before they can make a notice for redemption, 4) gating provisions may limit all redemptions in a given period to a percentage of the entities' equity interests, or may only allow an investor to redeem a portion of their investment at one time and 5) early redemption penalties may be imposed that are expressed as a percentage of the amount redeemed. The Company will assess impediments to redemption and current market conditions that will restrict the redemption at the end of the notice period. Any funds that are subject to significant liquidity restrictions are reported in Level 3; all others have been classified as Level 2.

Valuation Techniques and Inputs for Investments

Generally, the Company determines the estimated fair value of its AFS securities, fixed maturities, FVO, equity securities, trading, and short-term investments using the market approach. The income approach is used for securities

priced using a pricing matrix, as well as for derivative instruments. Certain limited partnerships and other alternative investments are measured at fair value using a NAV as a practical expedient. For Level 1 investments, which are comprised of on-the-run U.S. Treasuries, exchange-traded equity securities, short-term investments, and exchange traded futures and option contracts, valuations are based on observable inputs that reflect quoted prices for identical assets in active markets that the Company has the ability to access at the measurement date.

For most of the Company's debt securities, the following inputs are typically used in the Company's pricing methods: reported trades, benchmark yields, bids and/or estimated cash flows. For securities except U.S. Treasuries, inputs also include issuer spreads, which may consider credit default swaps. Derivative instruments are valued using mid-market inputs that are predominantly observable in the market.

F-32

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

A description of additional inputs used in the Company's Level 2 and Level 3 measurements is listed below:

The fair values of most of the Company's Level 2 investments are determined by management after considering Level prices received from third party pricing services. These investments include most fixed maturities and preferred stocks, including those reported in separate account assets; as well as, certain limited partnerships and other alternative investments and derivative instruments.

ABS, CDOs, CMBS and RMBS — Primary inputs also include monthly payment information, collateral performance, which varies by vintage year and includes delinquency rates, collateral valuation loss severity rates, collateral refinancing assumptions, credit default swap indices and, for ABS and RMBS, estimated prepayment rates.

Corporates, including investment grade private placements — Primary inputs also include observations of credit default swap curves related to the issuer.

Foreign government/government agencies - Primary inputs also include observations of credit default swap curves related to the issuer and political events in emerging markets.

Municipals — Primary inputs also include Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board reported trades and material event notices, and issuer financial statements.

Short-term investments — Primary inputs also include material event notices and new issue money market rates.

Equity securities, trading — Consist of investments in mutual funds. Primary inputs include net asset values obtained from third party pricing services.

Credit derivatives — Significant inputs primarily include the swap yield curve and credit curves.

Foreign exchange derivatives — Significant inputs primarily include the swap yield curve, currency spot and forward rates, and cross currency basis curves.

Interest rate derivatives — Significant input is primarily the swap yield curve.

Limited partnerships and other alternative investments — Primary inputs include a NAV for investment companies with no redemption restrictions as reported on their U.S. GAAP financial statements.

Most of the Company's securities classified as Level 3 include less liquid securities such as lower quality ABS, CMBS, commercial real estate ("CRE") CDOs and RMBS primarily backed by below-prime loans. Securities included in level 3 are primarily valued based on broker prices or broker spreads, without adjustments. Primary inputs for non-broker priced investments, including structured securities, are consistent with the typical inputs used in Level 2 measurements noted above, but are Level 3 due to their less liquid markets. Additionally, certain long-dated securities are priced based on third party pricing services, including municipal securities, foreign government/government agencies, bank loans and below investment grade private placement securities. Primary inputs for these long-dated securities are consistent with the typical inputs used in Level 1 and Level 2 measurements noted above, but include benchmark interest rate or credit spread assumptions that are not observable in the marketplace. Level 3 investments also include certain limited partnerships and other alternative investments measured at fair value where the Company does not have the ability to redeem the investment in the near-term at the NAV. Also included in Level 3 are certain derivative instruments that either have significant unobservable inputs or are valued based on broker quotations. Significant inputs for these derivative contracts primarily include the typical inputs used in the Level 1 and Level 2 measurements noted above, but also include equity and interest rate volatility and swap yield curves beyond observable limits.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

Significant Unobservable Inputs for Level 3 Assets Measured at Fair Values

The following tables present information about significant unobservable inputs used in Level 3 assets measured at fair value.

| As of December 31, 2013 | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|------------------------------|---|---------------------|-----------|----------------------|---|
| Securities Assets accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis | Fair Value | Predominant Valuation Method | Significant Unobservable Input | Unobservable Inputs | | Weighted Average [1] | Impact of Increase in Input on Fair Value [2] |
| | | | | Minimum | Maximum | | |
| CMBS | \$663 | Discounted cash flows | Spread (encompasses prepayment, default risk and loss severity) | 99 bps | 3,000 bps | 527 bps | Decrease |
| Corporate [3] | 665 | Discounted cash flows | Spread | 119 bps | 5,594 bps | 344 bps | Decrease |
| Municipal [3] | 29 | Discounted cash flows | Spread | 184 bps | 184 bps | 184 bps | Decrease |
| RMBS | 1,272 | Discounted cash flows | Spread | 62 bps | 1,748 bps | 232 bps | Decrease |
| | | | Constant prepayment rate | —% | 10.0% | 3.0% | Decrease [4] |
| | | | Constant default rate | 1.0% | 22.0% | 8.0% | Decrease |
| | | | Loss severity | —% | 100.0% | 80.0% | Decrease |
| As of December 31, 2012 | | | | | | | |
| Securities Assets accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis | Fair Value | Predominant Valuation Method | Significant Unobservable Input | Unobservable Inputs | | Weighted Average [1] | Impact of Increase in Input on Fair Value [2] |
| | | | | Minimum | Maximum | | |
| CMBS | \$859 | Discounted cash flows | Spread (encompasses prepayment, default risk and loss severity) | 320 bps | 3,615 bps | 1,031 bps | Decrease |
| Corporate [3] | 1,371 | Discounted cash flows | Spread | 106 bps | 900 bps | 328 bps | Decrease |
| Municipal | 227 | Discounted cash flows | Spread | 227 bps | 344 bps | 258 bps | Decrease |
| RMBS | 1,373 | Discounted cash flows | Spread | 54 bps | 1,689 bps | 367 bps | Decrease |
| | | | Constant prepayment rate | —% | 12.0% | 2.0% | Decrease [4] |
| | | | Constant default rate | 1.0% | 24.0% | 8.0% | Decrease |
| | | | Loss severity | —% | 100.0% | 80.0% | Decrease |

[1] The weighted average is determined based on the fair value of the securities.

[2] Conversely, the impact of a decrease in input would have the opposite impact to the fair value as that presented in the table above.

[3] Level 3 corporate and municipal securities excludes those for which the Company bases fair value on broker quotations as discussed below.

[4] Decrease for above market rate coupons and increase for below market rate coupons.

F-34

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

| As of December 31, 2013 | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|---------|---|
| Freestanding Derivatives | Fair Value | Predominant Valuation Method | Significant Unobservable Input | Unobservable Inputs | | Impact of Increase in Input on Fair Value [1] |
| | | | | Minimum | Maximum | |
| Interest rate derivative | | | | | | |
| Interest rate swaps | (24) | Discounted cash flows | Swap curve beyond 30 years | 4 | % 4 | % Increase |
| Long interest rate swaptions | 42 | Option model | Interest rate volatility | 1 | % 1 | % Increase |
| U.S. GMWB hedging instruments | | | | | | |
| Equity options | 72 | Option model | Equity volatility | 21 | % 29 | % Increase |
| Customized swaps | 74 | Discounted cash flows | Equity volatility | 10 | % 50 | % Increase |
| U.S. macro hedge program | | | | | | |
| Equity options | 139 | Option model | Equity volatility | 24 | % 31 | % Increase |
| International program hedging [2] | | | | | | |
| Equity options | (35) | Option model | Equity volatility | 24 | % 37 | % Increase |
| Short interest rate swaptions | (13) | Option model | Interest rate volatility | — | % 1 | % Decrease |
| Long interest rate swaptions | 50 | Option model | Interest rate volatility | 1 | % 1 | % Increase |
| As of December 31, 2012 | | | | | | |
| Freestanding Derivatives | Fair Value | Predominant Valuation Method | Significant Unobservable Input | Unobservable Inputs | | Impact of Increase in Input on Fair Value [1] |
| | | | | Minimum | Maximum | |
| Equity derivatives | | | | | | |
| Equity options | \$57 | Option model | Equity volatility | 13 | % 24 | % Increase |
| Interest rate derivative | | | | | | |
| Interest rate swaps | (55) | Discounted cash flows | Swap curve beyond 30 years | 2.8 | % 2.8 | % Increase |
| Long interest rate swaptions | 23 | Option model | Interest rate volatility | — | % 1 | % Increase |
| U.S. GMWB hedging instruments | | | | | | |
| Equity options | 281 | Option model | Equity volatility | 10 | % 31 | % Increase |
| Customized swaps | 238 | Discounted cash flows | Equity volatility | 10 | % 50 | % Increase |
| U.S. macro hedge program | | | | | | |
| Equity options | 286 | Option model | Equity volatility | 24 | % 43 | % Increase |
| International program hedging | | | | | | |
| Equity options | 26 | Option model | Equity volatility | 19 | % 27 | % Increase |

Long interest rate swaptions 42 Option model Interest rate volatility — %1 %Increase

Conversely, the impact of a decrease in input would have the opposite impact to the fair value as that presented in [1] the table. Changes are based on long positions, unless otherwise noted. Changes in fair value will be inversely impacted for short positions.

[2] Level 3 international program hedging instruments excludes those for which the Company bases fair value on broker quotations.

F-35

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

Securities and derivatives for which the Company bases fair value on broker quotations predominately include ABS, CDOs, corporate, fixed maturities, FVO and certain credit derivatives. Due to the lack of transparency in the process brokers use to develop prices for these investments, the Company does not have access to the significant unobservable inputs brokers use to price these securities and derivatives. The Company believes however, the types of inputs brokers may use would likely be similar to those used to price securities and derivatives for which inputs are available to the Company, and therefore may include, but not be limited to, loss severity rates, constant prepayment rates, constant default rates and credit spreads. Therefore, similar to non broker priced securities and derivatives, generally, increases in these inputs would cause fair values to decrease. For the year ended December 31, 2013, no significant adjustments were made by the Company to broker prices received.

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, excluded from the tables above are limited partnerships and other alternative investments which total \$108 and \$314, respectively, of Level 3 assets measured at fair value. The predominant valuation method uses a NAV calculated on a monthly basis and represents funds where the Company does not have the ability to redeem the investment in the near-term at that NAV, including an assessment of the investee's liquidity.

Product Derivatives

The Company formerly offered certain variable annuity products with GMWB riders in the U.S., and Japan. The GMWB provides the policyholder with a guaranteed remaining balance (“GRB”) which is generally equal to premiums less withdrawals. If the policyholder’s account value is reduced to the specified level through a combination of market declines and withdrawals but the GRB still has value, the Company is obligated to continue to make annuity payments to the policyholder until the GRB is exhausted. Certain contract provisions can increase the GRB at contractholder election or after the passage of time. The GMWB represents an embedded derivative in the variable annuity contract. When it is determined that (1) the embedded derivative possesses economic characteristics that are not clearly and closely related to the economic characteristics of the host contract, and (2) a separate instrument with the same terms would qualify as a derivative instrument, the embedded derivative is bifurcated from the host for measurement purposes. The embedded derivative is carried at fair value, with changes in fair value reported in net realized capital gains and losses. The Company’s GMWB liability is reported in other policyholder funds and benefits payable in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The notional value of the embedded derivative is the GRB.

In valuing the embedded derivative, the Company attributes to the derivative a portion of the expected fees to be collected over the expected life of the contract from the contract holder equal to the present value of future GMWB claims (the “Attributed Fees”). The excess of fees collected from the contract holder in the current period over the current period’s Attributed Fees are associated with the host variable annuity contract and reported in fee income.

U.S. GMWB Reinsurance Derivative

The Company has reinsurance arrangements in place to transfer a portion of its risk of loss due to GMWB. These arrangements are recognized as derivatives and carried at fair value in reinsurance recoverables. Changes in the fair value of the reinsurance agreements are reported in net realized capital gains and losses.

The fair value of the U.S. GMWB reinsurance derivative is calculated as an aggregation of the components described in the Living Benefits Required to be Fair Valued discussion below and is modeled using significant unobservable policyholder behavior inputs, identical to those used in calculating the underlying liability, such as lapses, fund selection, resets and withdrawal utilization and risk margins.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

Separate Account Assets

Separate account assets are primarily invested in mutual funds. Other separate account assets include fixed maturities, limited partnerships, equity securities, short-term investments and derivatives that are valued in the same manner, and using the same pricing sources and inputs, as those investments held by the Company. Separate account assets classified as Level 3 primarily include limited partnerships in which fair value represents the separate account's share of the fair value of the equity in the investment ("net asset value") and are classified in level 3 based on the Company's ability to redeem its investments.

Living Benefits Required to be Fair Valued (in Other Policyholder Funds and Benefits Payable)

Living benefits required to be fair valued include U.S. GMWB, international GMWB and international other guaranteed living benefits.

Fair values for GMWB and guaranteed minimum accumulation benefit ("GMAB") contracts are calculated using the income approach based upon internally developed models because active, observable markets do not exist for those items. The fair value of the Company's guaranteed benefit liabilities, classified as embedded derivatives, and the related reinsurance and customized freestanding derivatives are calculated as an aggregation of the following components: Best Estimate Claim Payments; Credit Standing Adjustment; and Margins. The resulting aggregation is reconciled or calibrated, if necessary, to market information that is, or may be, available to the Company, but may not be observable by other market participants, including reinsurance discussions and transactions. The Company believes the aggregation of these components, as necessary and as reconciled or calibrated to the market information available to the Company, results in an amount that the Company would be required to transfer or receive, for an asset, to or from market participants in an active liquid market, if one existed, for those market participants to assume the risks associated with the guaranteed minimum benefits and the related reinsurance and customized derivatives. The fair value is likely to materially diverge from the ultimate settlement of the liability as the Company believes settlement will be based on our best estimate assumptions rather than those best estimate assumptions plus risk margins. In the absence of any transfer of the guaranteed benefit liability to a third party, the release of risk margins is likely to be reflected as realized gains in future periods' net income. Each component described below is unobservable in the marketplace and require subjectivity by the Company in determining their value.

Oversight of the Company's valuation policies and processes for product and U.S. GMWB reinsurance derivatives is performed by a multidisciplinary group comprised of finance, actuarial and risk management professionals. This multidisciplinary group reviews and approves changes and enhancements to the Company's valuation model as well as associated controls.

Best Estimate

Claim Payments

The Best Estimate Claim Payments is calculated based on actuarial and capital market assumptions related to projected cash flows, including the present value of benefits and related contract charges, over the lives of the contracts, incorporating expectations concerning policyholder behavior such as lapses, fund selection, resets and withdrawal utilization. For the customized derivatives, policyholder behavior is prescribed in the derivative contract. Because of the dynamic and complex nature of these cash flows, best estimate assumptions and a Monte Carlo stochastic process is used in valuation. The Monte Carlo stochastic process involves the generation of thousands of scenarios that assume risk neutral returns consistent with swap rates and a blend of observable implied index volatility levels. Estimating these cash flows involves numerous estimates and subjective judgments regarding a number of variables—including expected market rates of return, market volatility, correlations of market index returns to funds, fund performance, discount rates and assumptions about policyholder behavior which emerge over time.

At each valuation date, the Company assumes expected returns based on:

- risk-free rates as represented by the euro dollar futures, LIBOR deposits and swap rates to derive forward curve rates;
- market implied volatility assumptions for each underlying index based primarily on a blend of observed market "implied volatility" data;

correlations of historical returns across underlying well known market indices based on actual observed returns over the ten years preceding the valuation date; and

three years of history for fund indexes compared to separate account fund regression.

On a daily basis, the Company updates capital market assumptions used in the GMWB liability model such as interest rates, equity indices and the blend of implied equity index volatilities. The Company monitors various aspects of policyholder behavior and may modify certain of its assumptions, including living benefit lapses and withdrawal rates, if credible emerging data indicates that changes are warranted. In addition, the Company will continue to evaluate policyholder behavior assumptions as we begin to implement initiatives to reduce the size of the variable annuity business. At a minimum, all policyholder behavior assumptions are reviewed and updated, as appropriate, in conjunction with the completion of the Company's comprehensive study to refine its estimate of future gross profits during the third quarter of each year.

F-37

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

Credit Standing Adjustment

This assumption makes an adjustment that market participants would make, in determining fair value, to reflect the risk that guaranteed benefit obligations or the GMWB reinsurance recoverables will not be fulfilled (“nonperformance risk”). The Company incorporates a blend of observable Company and reinsurer credit default spreads from capital markets, adjusted for market recoverability. The credit standing adjustment assumption, net of reinsurance, resulted in pre-tax realized gains (losses) of \$(13), \$(69) and \$55, for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012 the credit standing adjustment was \$(1) and \$12, respectively.

Margins

The behavior risk margin adds a margin that market participants would require, in determining fair value, for the risk that the Company’s assumptions about policyholder behavior could differ from actual experience. The behavior risk margin is calculated by taking the difference between adverse policyholder behavior assumptions and best estimate assumptions.

Assumption updates, including policyholder behavior assumptions, affected best estimates and margins for total pre-tax realized gains of \$75, \$274 and \$52 for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012 the behavior risk margin was \$108 and \$302, respectively.

In addition to the non-market-based updates described above, the Company recognized non-market-based updates driven by the relative outperformance (underperformance) of the underlying actively managed funds as compared to their respective indices resulting in pre-tax realized gains (losses) of approximately \$33, \$106 and \$(72) for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of living benefits required to be fair valued and the U.S. GMWB reinsurance derivative are withdrawal utilization and withdrawal rates, lapse rates, reset elections and equity volatility. The following table provides quantitative information about the significant unobservable inputs and is applicable to all of the Living Benefits Required to be Fair Valued and the U.S. GMWB Reinsurance Derivative. Significant increases in any of the significant unobservable inputs, in isolation, will generally have an increase or decrease correlation with the fair value measurement, as shown in the table.

| Significant Unobservable Input | Unobservable Inputs (Minimum) | Unobservable Inputs (Maximum) | Impact of Increase in Input on Fair Value Measurement [1] |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Withdrawal Utilization[2] | 20% | 100% | Increase |
| Withdrawal Rates [2] | —% | 8% | Increase |
| Lapse Rates [3] | —% | 75% | Decrease |
| Reset Elections [4] | 20% | 75% | Increase |
| Equity Volatility [5] | 10% | 50% | Increase |

[1] Conversely, the impact of a decrease in input would have the opposite impact to the fair value as that presented in the table.

[2] Ranges represent assumed cumulative percentages of policyholders taking withdrawals and the annual amounts withdrawn.

[3] Range represents assumed annual percentages of full surrender of the underlying variable annuity contracts across all policy durations for in force business.

[4] Range represents assumed cumulative percentages of policyholders that would elect to reset their guaranteed benefit base.

[5] Range represents implied market volatilities for equity indices based on multiple pricing sources.

Generally a change in withdrawal utilization assumptions would be accompanied by a directionally opposite change in lapse rate assumptions, as the behavior of policyholders that utilize GMWB or GMAB riders is typically different from policyholders that do not utilize these riders.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)

The tables below provide fair value roll-forwards for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, for the financial instruments classified as Level 3.

For the year ended December 31, 2013

| Assets | Fixed Maturities, AFS | | | | | | | | Fixed Maturities, FVO |
|--|-----------------------|-------|-------|-----------|-----------------------------------|-----------|---------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | ABS | CDOs | CMBS | Corporate | Foreign govt./govt agencies | Municipal | RMBS | Total Fixed Maturities, AFS | |
| Fair value as of January 1, 2013 | \$278 | \$944 | \$859 | \$2,001 | \$56 | \$227 | \$1,373 | \$5,738 | \$214 |
| Total realized/unrealized gains (losses) | | | | | | | | | |
| Included in net income [1], [2], [6] | (9 |)22 | (27 |)5 | (2 |)2 | 38 | 29 | 59 |
| Included in OCI [3] | 31 | 138 | 115 | (12 |)9 |)11 |)52 | 304 | — |
| Purchases | 96 | 92 | 50 | 180 | 45 | 21 | 371 | 855 | 19 |
| Settlements | (8 |)126 |)142 |)132 |)4 |)— | (186 |)598 |)3 |
| Sales | (139 |)365 |)208 |)403 |)15 |)126 |)375 |)1,631 |)94 |
| Transfers into Level 3 [4] | 3 | 32 | 65 | 149 | — | — | — | 249 | 2 |
| Transfers out of Level 3 [4] | (105 |)73 |)49 |)514 |)6 |)44 |)1 |)792 |)4 |
| Fair value as of December 31, 2013 | \$147 | \$664 | \$663 | \$1,274 | \$65 | \$69 | \$1,272 | \$4,154 | \$193 |
| Changes in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income related to financial instruments still held at December 31, 2013 [2] [7] | \$(7 |)\$— | \$(10 |)\$9 |)\$— | \$— | \$(1 |)\$27 |)\$43 |

| Assets (Liabilities) | Freestanding Derivatives [5] | | | | | | | | Total Free- Standing Derivatives [5] |
|---|------------------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|------|--|
| | Equity Securities, AFS | Credit Equity | Interest Rate | U.S. GMWB Hedging | U.S. Macro Hedge Program | Intl. Program Hedging | Other Contracts | | |
| Fair value as of January 1, 2013 | \$84 | \$4 | \$57 | \$(32) | \$519 | \$286 | \$68 | \$23 | \$925 |
| Total realized/unrealized gains (losses) | | | | | | | | | |
| Included in net income [1], [2], [6] | (15 |)— | (37 |)24 | (372 |)191 |)112 |)6 |)694 |
| Included in OCI [3] | 6 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Purchases | 14 | — | — | (3 |)— | 44 | (38 |)— | 3 |
| Settlements | — | (2 |)7 |)3 | (4 |)— | (1 |)— | (11 |
| Sales | (3 |)— | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Transfers into Level 3 [4] | — | — | — | — | — | — | (8 |)— | (8 |
| Transfers out of Level 3 [4] | (9 |)— | (10 |)26 | 3 | — | 62 | — | 81 |
| Fair value as of December 31, 2013 | \$77 | \$2 | \$3 | \$18 | \$146 | \$139 | \$(29) | \$17 | \$296 |
| Changes in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income | \$(15 |)\$1 |)\$22 |)\$9 | \$(390 |)\$187 |)\$382 |)\$6 |)\$979 |

related to financial instruments
still held at December 31, 2013
[2] [7]

F-39

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

| Assets | Limited Partnerships and Other Alternative Investments | Reinsurance Recoverable for U.S. GMWB | Separate Accounts |
|--|--|---------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Fair value as of January 1, 2013 | \$314 | \$191 | \$583 |
| Total realized/unrealized gains (losses) | | | |
| Included in net income [1], [2], [6] | (18 |)(192 |)23 |
| Included in OCI [3] | — | — | — |
| Purchases | 135 | — | 250 |
| Settlements | — | 30 | (2 |
| Sales | (22 |)— | (88 |
| Transfers into Level 3 [4] | — | — | 45 |
| Transfers out of Level 3 [4] | (301 |)— | (74 |
| Fair value as of December 31, 2013 | \$108 | \$29 | \$737 |
| Changes in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income related to financial instruments still held at December 31, 2013 [2] [7] | \$(18 |)\$ (192 |)\$21 |

| Liabilities | Other Policyholder Funds and Benefits Payable | | | | | |
|--|---|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------|---|----------------|
| | U.S. Guaranteed Withdrawal Benefits | International Guaranteed Living Benefits | International Other Living Benefits | Equity Linked Notes | Total Other Policyholder Funds and Benefits Payable | Consumer Notes |
| Fair value as of January 1, 2013 | \$(1,249 |)\$(50 |)\$2 | \$ (7 |)\$ (1,304 |)\$ (2 |
| Total realized/unrealized gains (losses) | | | | | | |
| Included in net income [1], [2], [6] | 1,306 | 13 | 3 | (10 |)1,312 | — |
| Included in OCI [3] | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Settlements | (93 |)40 | (2 |)(1 |)(56 |)— |
| Fair value as of December 31, 2013 | \$(36 |)\$3 | \$3 | \$ (18 |)\$ (48 |)\$ (2 |
| Changes in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income related to financial instruments still held at December 31, 2013 [2] [7] | \$1,306 | \$13 | \$3 | \$ (10 |)\$1,312 | \$— |

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

For the year ended December 31, 2012

| Assets | Fixed Maturities, AFS | | | | | | | | Fixed Maturities, FVO |
|--|-----------------------|-------|-------|-----------|-----------------------------------|-----------|---------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | ABS | CDOs | CMBS | Corporate | Foreign govt./govt agencies | Municipal | RMBS | Total Fixed Maturities, AFS | |
| Fair value as of January 1, 2012 | \$361 | \$368 | \$588 | \$2,255 | \$ 49 | \$437 | \$1,063 | \$5,121 | \$495 |
| Total realized/unrealized gains (losses) | | | | | | | | | |
| Included in net income [1], [2], [6] | (2 |)(20 |)(83 |)3 | — | (5 |)(55 |)(162 |)109 |
| Included in OCI [3] | 49 | 163 | 152 | (46 |)2 | 41 | 315 | 676 | — |
| Purchases | 36 | — | 21 | 264 | 23 | 293 | 446 | 1,083 | 1 |
| Settlements | (63 |)(47 |)(160 |)(157 |)(4 |)— | (151 |)(582 |)(1 |
| Sales | (37 |)(3 |)(210 |)(114 |)(19 |)(96 |)(207 |)(686 |)(391 |
| Transfers into Level 3 [4] | 13 | 483 | 666 | 775 | 5 | 25 | 1 | 1,968 | 1 |
| Transfers out of Level 3 [4] | (79 |)— | (115 |)(979 |)— | (468 |)(39 |)(1,680 |)— |
| Fair value as of December 31, 2012 | \$278 | \$944 | \$859 | \$2,001 | \$ 56 | \$227 | \$1,373 | \$5,738 | \$214 |
| Changes in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income related to financial instruments still held at December 31, 2012 [2] [7] | \$(5 |)(12 |)(46 |)(7 |)\$ — | \$(5 |)(12 |)(87 |)(4 |

| Assets (Liabilities) | Freestanding Derivatives [5] | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|------------------|--------|------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|--|
| | Equity Securities, AFS | Credit Equity | Equity | Interest Rate | U.S. GMWB Hedging | U.S. Macro Hedge Program | Intl. Program Hedging | Other Contracts | Total Free- Standing Derivatives [5] |
| Fair value as of January 1, 2012 | \$ 93 | \$(561) | \$40 | \$(58 |)\$883 | \$357 | \$35 | \$28 | \$ 724 |
| Total realized/unrealized gains (losses) | | | | | | | | | |
| Included in net income [1], [2], [6] | 8 | 195 | (40 |)(9 |)(429 |)(323 |)(21 |)(5 |)(632 |
| Included in OCI [3] | (5 |)— | — | 2 | — | — | — | — | 2 |
| Purchases | 21 | — | 76 | 1 | 55 | 252 | (58 |)— | 326 |
| Settlements | — | 371 | (19 |)— | (13 |)— | 104 | — | 443 |
| Sales | (33 |)— | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Transfers into Level 3 [4] | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Transfers out of Level 3 [4] | — | (1 |)— | 32 | 23 | — | 8 | — | 62 |
| Fair value as of December 31, 2012 | \$ 84 | \$ 4 | \$57 | \$(32 |)\$519 | \$286 | \$68 | \$23 | \$ 925 |
| Changes in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income related to financial instruments | \$ 5 | \$146 | \$(15 |)(12 |)(425 |)(322 |)(5 |)(4 |)(637 |

still held at December 31, 2012

[2] [7]

F-41

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

| Assets | Limited Partnerships and Other Alternative Investments | Reinsurance Recoverable for U.S. GMWB | Separate Accounts |
|--|---|--|-------------------|
| Fair value as of January 1, 2012 | \$— | \$ 443 | \$1,031 |
| Total realized/unrealized gains (losses) | | | |
| Included in net income [1], [2], [6] | (1 |)(280 |) 37 |
| Included in OCI [3] | — | — | — |
| Purchases | 55 | — | 252 |
| Settlements | — | 28 | (1) |
| Sales | — | — | (476) |
| Transfers into Level 3 [4] | 260 | — | 443 |
| Transfers out of Level 3 [4] | — | — | (703) |
| Fair value as of December 31, 2012 | \$314 | \$ 191 | \$583 |
| Changes in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income related to financial instruments still held at December 31, 2012 [2] [7] | \$(1 |)\$ (280 |) \$28 |

| Liabilities | Other Policyholder Funds and Benefits Payable | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|---|----------------------|-------------------|
| | U.S. Guaranteed Withdrawal Benefits | International Guaranteed Living Benefits | International Other Benefits | Equity Living Notes | Linked | Total Other Policyholder Funds and Benefits Payable | Other Liabilities | Consumer Notes |
| Fair value as of January 1, 2012 | \$(2,538 |)\$(66 |)\$(5 |)\$(9 |)\$(2,618 |)\$(9 |)\$(4 |) |
| Total realized/unrealized gains (losses) | | | | | | | | |
| Included in net income [1], [2], [6] | 1,430 | 26 | 11 | 2 | 1,469 | (34 |)2 | |
| Included in OCI [3] | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Settlements [8] | (141 |)(10 |)(4 |)— | (155 |)43 | — | |
| Fair value as of December 31, 2012 | \$(1,249 |)\$(50 |)\$2 | \$(7 |)\$(1,304 |)\$— | \$(2 |) |
| Changes in unrealized gains (losses) included in net income related to financial instruments still held at December 31, 2012 [2] [7] | \$1,430 | \$26 | \$11 | \$2 | \$1,469 | \$— | \$2 | |

[1] The Company classifies gains and losses on GMWB reinsurance derivatives and Guaranteed Living Benefit embedded derivatives as unrealized gains (losses) for purposes of disclosure in this table because it is impracticable to track on a contract-by-contract basis the realized gains (losses) for these derivatives and embedded derivatives.

[2] All amounts in these rows are reported in net realized capital gains/losses. The realized/unrealized gains (losses) included in net income for separate account assets are offset by an equal amount for separate account liabilities, which results in a net zero impact on net income for the Company. All amounts are before income taxes and amortization DAC.

[3] All amounts are before income taxes and amortization of DAC.

[4]

Transfers in and/or (out) of Level 3 are primarily attributable to the availability of market observable information and the re-evaluation of the observability of pricing inputs.

[5] Derivative instruments are reported in this table on a net basis for asset/(liability) positions and reported in the Consolidated Balance Sheet in other investments and other liabilities.

[6] Includes both market and non-market impacts in deriving realized and unrealized gains (losses).

[7] Amounts presented are for Level 3 only and therefore may not agree to other disclosures included herein.

[8] Settlements of other liabilities reflect the removal of liabilities carried at fair value upon the deconsolidation of a variable interest entity. See note 6, Investments and Derivative Instruments for additional information.

F-42

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

Fair Value Option

The Company holds fair value option investments that contain an embedded credit derivative with underlying credit risk primarily related to commercial real estate. Also included are foreign government securities for which the FVO was elected in order to align with the accounting for yen-based fixed annuity liabilities, which are adjusted for changes in spot rates through realized gains and losses. Similar to other fixed maturities, income earned from these securities is recorded in net investment income. Changes in the fair value of these securities are recorded in net realized capital gains and losses.

The Company also elected the fair value option for certain consolidated VIE investment funds. The Company elected the fair value option in order to report investments of consolidated investment companies at fair value with changes in the fair value of these securities recognized in net realized capital gains and losses, consistent with accounting requirement for investment companies. The investment funds primarily hold fixed income securities and the Company has management and control of the funds as well as a significant ownership interest.

The following table presents the changes in fair value of those assets and liabilities accounted for using the fair value option reported in net realized capital gains and losses in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Operations.

| | For the years ended December 31, | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|---|
| | 2013 | 2012 | |
| Assets | | | |
| Fixed maturities, FVO | | | |
| Corporate | (13 |) 13 | |
| CRE CDOs | 11 | 63 | |
| CMBS bonds | — | (2 |) |
| Foreign government | (115 |) (86 |) |
| RMBS | — | 5 | |
| Other liabilities | | | |
| Credit-linked notes | — | (34 |) |
| Total realized capital gains (losses) | \$(117 |) \$(41 |) |

The following table presents the fair value of assets and liabilities accounted for using the fair value option included in the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets.

| | As of December 31, | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|---------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Assets | | |
| Fixed maturities, FVO | | |
| ABS | \$3 | \$— |
| CRE CDOs | 183 | 205 |
| CMBS | 8 | 5 |
| Corporate | 92 | 140 |
| Foreign government | 518 | 730 |
| U.S. government | 24 | 2 |
| Municipals | 1 | 1 |
| RMBS | 15 | 4 |
| Total fixed maturities, FVO | \$844 | \$1,087 |

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

5. Fair Value Measurements (continued)

Financial Instruments Not Carried at Fair Value

The following table presents carrying amounts and fair values of The Hartford's financial instruments not carried at fair value and not included in the above fair value discussion as of December 31, 2013 and 2012.

| | Fair Value Hierarchy Level | December 31, 2013 | | December 31, 2012 | |
|---|----------------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| | | Carrying Amount | Fair Value | Carrying Amount | Fair Value |
| Assets | | | | | |
| Policy loans | Level 3 | \$1,420 | \$1,480 | \$1,997 | \$2,165 |
| Mortgage loans | Level 3 | 5,598 | 5,641 | 6,711 | 6,933 |
| Liabilities | | | | | |
| Other policyholder funds and benefits payable [1] | Level 3 | \$9,152 | \$9,352 | \$9,558 | \$9,910 |
| Senior notes [2] | Level 2 | 5,206 | 5,845 | 5,706 | 7,071 |
| Junior subordinated debentures [2] | Level 2 | 1,100 | 1,271 | 1,100 | 1,265 |
| Revolving Credit Facility | Level 2 | 238 | 238 | — | — |
| Consumer notes [3] | Level 3 | 82 | 82 | 159 | 159 |

[1] Excludes guarantees on variable annuities, group accident and health and universal life insurance contracts, including corporate owned life insurance.

[2] Included in long-term debt in the Consolidated Balance Sheets, except for current maturities, which are included in short-term debt.

[3] Excludes amounts carried at fair value and included in preceding disclosures.

The Company has not made any changes in its valuation methodologies for the following assets and liabilities during the years ended December 31, 2013 or December 31, 2012.

Fair value for policy loans and consumer notes were estimated using discounted cash flow calculations using current interest rates adjusted for estimated loan durations.

Fair values for mortgage loans were estimated using discounted cash flow calculations based on current lending rates for similar type loans. Current lending rates reflect changes in credit spreads and the remaining terms of the loans.

Fair values for other policyholder funds and benefits payable, not carried at fair value, are estimated based on the cash surrender values of the underlying policies or by estimating future cash flows discounted at current interest rates adjusted for credit risk.

Fair values for senior notes and junior subordinated debentures are determined using the market approach based on reported trades, benchmark interest rates and issuer spread for the Company which may consider credit default swaps.

Fair values for private placement junior subordinated debentures are based primarily on market quotations from independent third party brokers.

Table of ContentsTHE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

6. Investments and Derivative Instruments

Net Investment Income (Loss)

| | For the years ended December 31, | | |
|--|----------------------------------|---------|---------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| (Before-tax) | | | |
| Fixed maturities [1] | \$2,623 | \$3,352 | \$3,382 |
| Equity securities, AFS | 30 | 37 | 36 |
| Mortgage loans | 262 | 337 | 281 |
| Policy loans | 83 | 119 | 131 |
| Limited partnerships and other alternative investments | 287 | 196 | 243 |
| Other investments [2] | 200 | 297 | 305 |
| Investment expenses | (123) | (111) | (115) |
| Total securities AFS and other | 3,362 | 4,227 | 4,263 |
| Equity securities, trading | 6,061 | 4,364 | (1,345) |
| Total net investment income (loss) | \$9,423 | \$8,591 | \$2,918 |

[1] Includes net investment income on short-term investments.

[2] Includes income from derivatives that hedge fixed maturities and qualify for hedge accounting.

The net unrealized gain (loss) on equity securities, trading, included in net investment income during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, was \$4.1 billion, \$4.5 billion and \$(1.3) billion, respectively, substantially all of which have corresponding amounts credited to policyholders. These amounts were not included in net unrealized gains (losses) in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Net Realized Capital Gains (Losses)

| | For the years ended December 31, | | |
|---|----------------------------------|---------|---------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| (Before-tax) | | | |
| Gross gains on sales [1] | \$2,387 | \$821 | \$687 |
| Gross losses on sales | (692) | (440) | (384) |
| Net OTTI losses recognized in earnings [2] | (73) | (349) | (174) |
| Valuation allowances on mortgage loans | (1) | 14 | 24 |
| Japanese fixed annuity contract hedges, net [3] | 6 | (36) | 3 |
| Periodic net coupon settlements on credit derivatives/Japan | (7) | (10) | (10) |
| Results of variable annuity hedge program | | | |
| GMWB derivatives, net | 262 | 519 | (397) |
| U.S. macro hedge program | (234) | (340) | (216) |
| Total U.S. program | 28 | 179 | (613) |
| International program [4] | (1,586) | (1,467) | 691 |
| Total results of variable annuity hedge program | (1,558) | (1,288) | 78 |
| Other, net [5] | 445 | 544 | (450) |
| Net realized capital gains (losses) | \$507 | \$(744) | \$(226) |

[1] Includes \$1.5 billion of gains relating to the sales of the Retirement Plans and Individual Life businesses in the year ended December 31, 2013.

[2] Includes \$177 of intent-to-sell impairments relating to the Retirement Plans and Individual Life businesses sold for the year ended December 31, 2012.

[3] Includes for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, transactional foreign currency re-valuation related to the Japan fixed annuity product of \$324, \$245, and \$(129), respectively, as well as the change in value related to the derivative hedging instruments and the Japan government FVO securities of \$(318), \$(281), and \$132, respectively.

[4]

Includes \$(57), \$(72), and \$0 of transactional foreign currency re-valuation for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively.

[5] For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, other, net gains and losses includes \$240, \$273 and (\$129), respectively, of transactional foreign currency re-valuation associated with the internal reinsurance of the Japan GMIB variable annuity business, which is offset in AOCI. Also includes for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, \$248, \$167 and (\$101), respectively, of other transactional foreign currency re-valuation, primarily associated with the internal reinsurance of the Japan 3 wins variable annuity business, of which a portion is offset within realized gains and losses by the change in value of the associated hedging derivatives. Also includes \$71 and \$110 of gains relating to the Retirement Plans and Individual Life businesses sold for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, as well as changes in value of non-qualifying derivatives.

F-45

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

6. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

Net realized capital gains and losses from investment sales are reported as a component of revenues and are determined on a specific identification basis. Gross gains and losses on sales and impairments previously reported as unrealized gains or (losses) in AOCI were \$1.6 billion, \$32 and \$129 for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Sales of Available-for-Sale Securities

| | For the years ended December 31, | | |
|------------------------|----------------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Fixed maturities, AFS | | | |
| Sale proceeds | \$39,225 | \$41,442 | \$36,956 |
| Gross gains [1] | 2,214 | 845 | 617 |
| Gross losses | (669) |) (416 |) (381 |
| Equity securities, AFS | | | |
| Sale proceeds | \$274 | \$295 | \$239 |
| Gross gains | 96 | 34 | 59 |
| Gross losses | (6 |) (20 |) — |

[1] Includes \$1.5 billion of gross gains related to the sale of the Individual Life and Retirement Plans businesses for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Sales of AFS securities in 2013 were primarily as a result of management of duration and liquidity as well as progress towards sector allocation objectives.

Other-Than-Temporary Impairment Losses

The following table presents a roll-forward of the Company's cumulative credit impairments on debt securities held as of December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

| | For the years ended December 31, | | |
|---|----------------------------------|------------|------------|
| (Before-tax) | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Balance as of beginning of period | \$(1,013 |) \$(1,676 |) \$(2,072 |
| Additions for credit impairments recognized on [1]: | | | |
| Securities not previously impaired | (19 |) (28 |) (56 |
| Securities previously impaired | (13 |) (20 |) (69 |
| Reductions for credit impairments previously recognized on: | | | |
| Securities that matured or were sold during the period | 469 | 700 | 505 |
| Securities the Company made the decision to sell or more likely than not will be required to sell | 2 | — | — |
| Securities due to an increase in expected cash flows | 22 | 11 | 16 |
| Balance as of end of period | \$(552 |) \$(1,013 |) \$(1,676 |

[1] These additions are included in the net OTTI losses recognized in earnings in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

6. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

Available-for-Sale Securities

The following table presents the Company's AFS securities by type.

| | December 31, 2013 | | | | | December 31, 2012 | | | | |
|------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------|---------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------|---------------------|
| | Cost or Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Non-Credit OTTI [1] | Cost or Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Non-Credit OTTI [1] |
| ABS | \$2,404 | \$25 | \$(64) | \$2,365 | \$(2) | \$2,883 | \$63 | \$(183) | \$2,763 | \$(4) |
| CDOs [2] | 2,340 | 108 | (59) | 2,387 | — | 3,170 | 60 | (159) | 3,040 | (14) |
| CMBS | 4,288 | 216 | (58) | 4,446 | (6) | 6,083 | 417 | (179) | 6,321 | (11) |
| Corporate | 27,013 | 1,823 | (346) | 28,490 | (7) | 39,694 | 4,631 | (276) | 44,049 | (19) |
| Foreign govt./govt. agencies | 4,228 | 52 | (176) | 4,104 | — | 3,985 | 191 | (40) | 4,136 | — |
| Municipal | 11,932 | 425 | (184) | 12,173 | — | 13,001 | 1,379 | (19) | 14,361 | — |
| RMBS | 4,639 | 90 | (82) | 4,647 | (4) | 7,318 | 295 | (133) | 7,480 | (32) |
| U.S. Treasuries | 3,797 | 7 | (59) | 3,745 | — | 3,613 | 175 | (16) | 3,772 | — |
| Total fixed maturities, AFS | 60,641 | 2,746 | (1,028) | 62,357 | (19) | 79,747 | 7,211 | (1,005) | 85,922 | (80) |
| Equity securities, AFS | 850 | 67 | (49) | 868 | — | 866 | 81 | (57) | 890 | — |
| Total AFS securities [3] | \$61,491 | \$2,813 | \$(1,077) | \$63,225 | \$(19) | \$80,613 | \$7,292 | \$(1,062) | \$86,812 | \$(80) |

[1] Represents the amount of cumulative non-credit OTTI losses recognized in OCI on securities that also had credit impairments. These losses are included in gross unrealized losses as of December 31, 2013 and 2012.

[2] Gross unrealized gains (losses) exclude the fair value of bifurcated embedded derivative features of certain securities. Subsequent changes in value will be recorded in net realized capital gains (losses).

[3] As of December 31, 2012, includes fixed maturities, AFS and equity securities, AFS relating to the sales of the Retirement Plans and Individual Life businesses; see Note 2 - Business Dispositions of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of these transactions.

The following table presents the Company's fixed maturities, AFS, by contractual maturity year.

| Contractual Maturity | December 31, 2013 | |
|---|-------------------|------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| One year or less | \$2,195 | \$2,228 |
| Over one year through five years | 11,930 | 12,470 |
| Over five years through ten years | 10,814 | 11,183 |
| Over ten years | 22,031 | 22,631 |
| Subtotal | 46,970 | 48,512 |
| Mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities | 13,671 | 13,845 |
| Total fixed maturities, AFS | \$60,641 | \$62,357 |

Estimated maturities may differ from contractual maturities due to security call or prepayment provisions. Due to the potential for variability in payment spreads (i.e. prepayments or extensions), mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities are not categorized by contractual maturity.

Concentration of Credit Risk

The Company aims to maintain a diversified investment portfolio including issuer, sector and geographic stratification, where applicable, and has established certain exposure limits, diversification standards and review procedures to mitigate credit risk.

F-47

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

6. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

The Company's only exposure to any credit concentration risk of a single issuer greater than 10% of the Company's stockholders' equity, other than the U.S. government and certain U.S. government securities, was the Government of Japan, which represents \$2.6 billion and \$2.7 billion, or 14% and 12% of stockholders' equity, and 3% and 2% of total invested assets as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. As of December 31, 2013, other than U.S. government and certain U.S. government agencies, the Company's three largest exposures by issuer were the Government of Japan, Goldman Sachs Group Inc., and State of Illinois which each comprised less than 4% of total invested assets. As of December 31, 2012, other than U.S. government and certain U.S. government agencies, the Company's three largest exposures by issuer were the Government of Japan, State of California, and National Grid PLC which each comprised less than 3% of total invested assets. The Company's three largest exposures by sector as of December 31, 2013 were municipal securities, utilities, and financial services which comprised approximately 15%, 8% and 7%, respectively, of total invested assets. The Company's three largest exposures by sector as of December 31, 2012 were municipal investments, utilities, and financial services which comprised approximately 7%, 6% and 5%, respectively, of total invested assets.

Security Unrealized Loss Aging

The following tables present the Company's unrealized loss aging for AFS securities by type and length of time the security was in a continuous unrealized loss position.

| | December 31, 2013 | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------|---------------|----------------------|
| | Less Than 12 Months | | | 12 Months or More | | | Total | | |
| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| ABS | \$893 | \$888 | \$(5) | \$477 | \$418 | \$(59) | \$1,370 | \$1,306 | \$(64) |
| CDOs [1] | 137 | 135 | (2) | 1,933 | 1,874 | (57) | 2,070 | 2,009 | (59) |
| CMBS | 812 | 788 | (24) | 610 | 576 | (34) | 1,422 | 1,364 | (58) |
| Corporate | 4,922 | 4,737 | (185) | 1,225 | 1,064 | (161) | 6,147 | 5,801 | (346) |
| Foreign govt./govt. agencies | 2,961 | 2,868 | (93) | 343 | 260 | (83) | 3,304 | 3,128 | (176) |
| Municipal | 3,150 | 2,994 | (156) | 190 | 162 | (28) | 3,340 | 3,156 | (184) |
| RMBS | 2,046 | 2,008 | (38) | 591 | 547 | (44) | 2,637 | 2,555 | (82) |
| U.S. Treasuries | 2,914 | 2,862 | (52) | 33 | 26 | (7) | 2,947 | 2,888 | (59) |
| Total fixed maturities, AFS | 17,835 | 17,280 | (555) | 5,402 | 4,927 | (473) | 23,237 | 22,207 | (1,028) |
| Equity securities, AFS | 196 | 188 | (8) | 223 | 182 | (41) | 419 | 370 | (49) |
| Total securities in an unrealized loss position | \$18,031 | \$17,468 | \$(563) | \$5,625 | \$5,109 | \$(514) | \$23,656 | \$22,577 | \$(1,077) |
| | December 31, 2012 | | | | | | | | |
| | Less Than 12 Months | | | 12 Months or More | | | Total | | |
| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| ABS | \$163 | \$161 | \$(2) | \$886 | \$705 | \$(181) | \$1,049 | \$866 | \$(183) |
| CDOs [1] | 5 | 4 | (1) | 2,567 | 2,389 | (158) | 2,572 | 2,393 | (159) |
| CMBS | 339 | 322 | (17) | 1,248 | 1,086 | (162) | 1,587 | 1,408 | (179) |
| Corporate | 1,261 | 1,218 | (43) | 1,823 | 1,590 | (233) | 3,084 | 2,808 | (276) |
| Foreign govt./govt. agencies | 1,380 | 1,343 | (37) | 20 | 17 | (3) | 1,400 | 1,360 | (40) |
| Municipal | 271 | 265 | (6) | 157 | 144 | (13) | 428 | 409 | (19) |
| RMBS | 910 | 908 | (2) | 869 | 738 | (131) | 1,779 | 1,646 | (133) |

Edgar Filing: HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP INC/DE - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------|---------|--------|----------|---------|--------|-----------|----------|----------|---|
| U.S. Treasuries | 583 | 567 | (16 |)— | — | — | 583 | 567 | (16 |) |
| Total fixed maturities, AFS | 4,912 | 4,788 | (124 |)7,570 | 6,669 | (881 |)12,482 | 11,457 | (1,005 |) |
| Equity securities, AFS | 69 | 67 | (2 |)280 | 225 | (55 |)349 | 292 | (57 |) |
| Total securities in an unrealized loss position | \$4,981 | \$4,855 | \$(126 |)\$7,850 | \$6,894 | \$(936 |)\$12,831 | \$11,749 | \$(1,062 |) |

[1] Unrealized losses exclude the change in fair value of bifurcated embedded derivative features of certain securities. Subsequent changes in fair value are recorded in net realized capital gains (losses).

F-48

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

6. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

As of December 31, 2013, AFS securities in an unrealized loss position, consisted of 3,215 securities, primarily related to municipal securities, foreign government and government agencies, and corporate securities, which are depressed primarily due to an increase in interest rates since the securities were purchased and/or declines in the value of the currency in which the assets are denominated. As of December 31, 2013, 94% of these securities were depressed less than 20% of cost or amortized cost. The increase in unrealized losses during 2013 was primarily attributable to an increase in interest rates, partially offset by tighter credit spreads.

Most of the securities depressed for twelve months or more relate to certain floating rate corporate securities with greater than 10 years to maturity concentrated in the financial services sector, foreign government and government agencies, as well as structured securities with exposure to commercial and residential real estate. Although credit spreads have tightened during 2013, current market spreads continue to be wider than spreads at the securities' respective purchase dates for structured securities with exposure to commercial and residential real estate largely due to the economic and market uncertainties regarding future performance of certain commercial and residential real estate backed securities. The majority of these securities have a floating-rate coupon referenced to a market index that has declined substantially. In addition, equity securities include investment grade perpetual preferred securities that contain "debt-like" characteristics where the decline in fair value is not attributable to issuer-specific credit deterioration, none of which have, nor are expected to, miss a periodic dividend payment. These securities have been depressed due to the securities' floating-rate coupon in the current low interest rate environment, general market credit spread widening since the date of purchase and the long-dated nature of the securities. The Company neither has an intention to sell nor does it expect to be required to sell the securities outlined above.

Mortgage Loans

| | December 31, 2013 | | | December 31, 2012 | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| | Amortized Cost [1] | Valuation Allowance | Carrying Value | Amortized Cost [1] | Valuation Allowance | Carrying Value |
| Total commercial mortgage loans [2] | \$5,665 | \$(67) |)\$5,598 | \$6,779 | \$(68) |)\$6,711 |

[1] Amortized cost represents carrying value prior to valuation allowances, if any.

[2] As of December 31, 2012, includes commercial mortgage loans relating to the sales of the Retirement Plans and Individual Life businesses; see Note 2 - Business Dispositions of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of these transactions.

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the carrying value of mortgage loans associated with the valuation allowance was \$191 and \$291, respectively. Included in the table above are mortgage loans held-for-sale with a carrying value and valuation allowance of \$61 and \$3, respectively, as of December 31, 2013, and \$47 and \$3, respectively, as of December 31, 2012. The carrying value of these loans is included in mortgage loans in the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets. As of December 31, 2013, loans within the Company's mortgage loan portfolio that have had extensions or restructurings other than what is allowable under the original terms of the contract are immaterial. The following table presents the activity within the Company's valuation allowance for mortgage loans. These loans have been evaluated both individually and collectively for impairment. Loans evaluated collectively for impairment are immaterial.

| | For the years ended December 31, | | |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|---------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Balance as of January 1 | \$(68) | \$(102) | \$(155) |
| (Additions)/Reversals | (2) |)14 | (26) |
| Deductions | 3 | 20 | 79 |
| Balance as of December 31 | \$(67) | \$(68) | \$(102) |

The weighted-average LTV ratio of the Company's commercial mortgage loan portfolio was 59% as of December 31, 2013, while the weighted-average LTV ratio at origination of these loans was 63%. LTV ratios compare the loan amount to the value of the underlying property collateralizing the loan. The loan values are updated no less than

annually through property level reviews of the portfolio. Factors considered in the property valuation include, but are not limited to, actual and expected property cash flows, geographic market data and capitalization rates. DSCRs compare a property's net operating income to the borrower's principal and interest payments. The weighted average DSCR of the Company's commercial mortgage loan portfolio was 2.34x as of December 31, 2013. As of December 31, 2013, the Company held only one delinquent commercial mortgage loan past due by 90 days or more. The carrying value and valuation allowance of this loan totaled \$0 and \$50, respectively, and was not accruing income. As of December 31, 2012, the Company held two delinquent commercial mortgage loans past due by 90 days or more. These loans had a total carrying value and valuation allowance totaled \$32 and \$50, respectively, and were not accruing income.

F-49

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

6. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

The following table presents the carrying value of the Company's commercial mortgage loans by LTV and DSCR. Commercial Mortgage Loans Credit Quality

| Loan-to-value | December 31, 2013 | | December 31, 2012 | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Carrying Value | Avg. Debt-Service Coverage Ratio | Carrying Value | Avg. Debt-Service Coverage Ratio |
| Greater than 80% | \$101 | 0.99x | \$253 | 0.95x |
| 65% - 80% | 1,195 | 1.82x | 2,220 | 2.12x |
| Less than 65% | 4,302 | 2.53x | 4,238 | 2.40x |
| Total commercial mortgage loans | \$5,598 | 2.34x | \$6,711 | 2.24x |

The following tables present the carrying value of the Company's mortgage loans by region and property type. Mortgage Loans by Region

| | December 31, 2013 | | December 31, 2012 | | |
|----------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|---|
| | Carrying Value | Percent of Total | Carrying Value | Percent of Total | |
| East North Central | \$187 | 3.3 | % \$145 | 2.2 | % |
| Middle Atlantic | 409 | 7.3 | % 477 | 7.1 | % |
| Mountain | 104 | 1.9 | % 99 | 1.5 | % |
| New England | 353 | 6.3 | % 350 | 5.2 | % |
| Pacific | 1,587 | 28.3 | % 1,978 | 29.5 | % |
| South Atlantic | 899 | 16.1 | % 1,378 | 20.5 | % |
| West North Central | 47 | 0.8 | % 16 | 0.2 | % |
| West South Central | 338 | 6.0 | % 398 | 5.9 | % |
| Other [1] | 1,674 | 30.0 | % 1,870 | 27.9 | % |
| Total mortgage loans | \$5,598 | 100.0 | % \$6,711 | 100.0 | % |

[1] Primarily represents loans collateralized by multiple properties in various regions.

Mortgage Loans by Property Type

| | December 31, 2013 | | December 31, 2012 | | |
|----------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|---|
| | Carrying Value | Percent of Total | Carrying Value | Percent of Total | |
| Commercial | | | | | |
| Agricultural | \$125 | 2.2 | % \$142 | 2.1 | % |
| Industrial | 1,718 | 30.7 | % 2,079 | 30.9 | % |
| Lodging | 27 | 0.5 | % 81 | 1.2 | % |
| Multifamily | 1,155 | 20.6 | % 1,200 | 17.9 | % |
| Office | 1,278 | 22.8 | % 1,510 | 22.5 | % |
| Retail | 1,140 | 20.4 | % 1,460 | 21.8 | % |
| Other | 155 | 2.8 | % 239 | 3.6 | % |
| Total mortgage loans | \$5,598 | 100.0 | % \$6,711 | 100.0 | % |

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

6. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

Variable Interest Entities

The Company is involved with various special purpose entities and other entities that are deemed to be VIEs primarily as a collateral or investment manager and as an investor through normal investment activities, as well as a means of accessing capital through a contingent capital facility. For further information on the facility, see Note 13 - Debt of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

A VIE is an entity that either has investors that lack certain essential characteristics of a controlling financial interest or lacks sufficient funds to finance its own activities without financial support provided by other entities.

The Company performs ongoing qualitative assessments of its VIEs to determine whether the Company has a controlling financial interest in the VIE and therefore is the primary beneficiary. The Company is deemed to have a controlling financial interest when it has both the ability to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the VIE and the obligation to absorb losses or right to receive benefits from the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE. Based on the Company's assessment, if it determines it is the primary beneficiary, the Company consolidates the VIE in the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

Consolidated VIEs

The following table presents the carrying value of assets and liabilities, and the maximum exposure to loss relating to the VIEs for which the Company is the primary beneficiary. Creditors have no recourse against the Company in the event of default by these VIEs nor does the Company have any implied or unfunded commitments to these VIEs. The Company's financial or other support provided to these VIEs is limited to its collateral or investment management services and original investment.

| | December 31, 2013 | | | December 31, 2012 | | |
|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|
| | Total Assets | Total Liabilities [1] | Maximum Exposure to Loss [2] | Total Assets | Total Liabilities [1] | Maximum Exposure to Loss [2] |
| CDOs [3] | \$31 | \$33 | \$— | \$89 | \$88 | \$7 |
| Investment funds [4] | 164 | — | 173 | 163 | — | 162 |
| Limited partnerships | 4 | — | 4 | 6 | 1 | 5 |
| Total | \$199 | \$33 | \$177 | \$258 | \$89 | \$174 |

[1] Included in other liabilities in the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets.

[2] The maximum exposure to loss represents the maximum loss amount that the Company could recognize as a reduction in net investment income or as a realized capital loss and is the cost basis of the Company's investment.

[3] Total assets included in fixed maturities, AFS in the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets.

[4] Total assets included in fixed maturities, FVO, short-term investments, and equity, AFS in the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets.

CDOs represent structured investment vehicles for which the Company has a controlling financial interest as it provides collateral management services, earns a fee for those services and also holds investments in the securities issued by these vehicles. Investment funds represent wholly-owned fixed income funds for which the Company has management and control of the investments which is the activity that most significantly impacts its economic performance. Limited partnerships represent one hedge fund for which the Company holds a majority interest in the fund as an investment.

Non-Consolidated VIEs

The Company holds a significant variable interest for one VIE for which it is not the primary beneficiary and, therefore, was not consolidated on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets. This VIE represents a contingent capital facility ("facility") that has been held by the Company since February 2007 for which the Company has no implied or unfunded commitments. Assets and liabilities recorded for the facility were \$17 and \$19 as of December 31, 2013, respectively, and \$23 and \$23, respectively, as of December 31, 2012. Additionally, the Company has a maximum exposure to loss of \$3 and \$3, respectively, as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, which

represents the issuance costs that were incurred to establish the facility. The Company does not have a controlling financial interest as it does not manage the assets of the facility nor does it have the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits that could potentially be significant to the facility, as the asset manager has significant variable interest in the vehicle. The Company's financial or other support provided to the facility is limited to providing ongoing support to cover the facility's operating expenses. For further information on the facility, see Note 13 - Debt of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

In addition, the Company, through normal investment activities, makes passive investments in structured securities issued by VIEs for which the Company is not the manager which are included in ABS, CDOs, CMBS and RMBS in the Available-for-Sale Securities table and fixed maturities, FVO, in the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets. The Company has not provided financial or other support with respect to these investments other than its original investment. For these investments, the Company determined it is not the primary beneficiary due to the relative size of the Company's investment in comparison to the principal amount of the structured securities issued by the VIEs, the level of credit subordination which reduces the Company's obligation to absorb losses or right to receive benefits and the Company's inability to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the VIEs. The Company's maximum exposure to loss on these investments is limited to the amount of the Company's investment.

F-51

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

6. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

Repurchase and Dollar Roll Agreements and Other Collateral Transactions

The Company enters into repurchase agreements and dollar roll transactions to manage liquidity or to earn incremental spread income. A repurchase agreement is a transaction in which one party (transferor) agrees to sell securities to another party (transferee) in return for cash (or securities), with a simultaneous agreement to repurchase the same securities at a specified price at a later date. A dollar roll is a type of repurchase agreement where a mortgage backed security is sold with an agreement to repurchase substantially the same security at a specified time in the future. These transactions are generally short-term in nature, and therefore, the carrying amounts of these instruments approximate fair value.

As part of repurchase agreements and dollar roll transactions, the Company transfers collateral of U.S. government and government agency securities and receives cash. For the repurchase agreements, the Company obtains cash in an amount equal to at least 95% of the fair value of the securities transferred. The agreements contain contractual provisions that require additional collateral to be transferred when necessary and provide the counterparty the right to sell or re-pledge the securities transferred. The cash received from the repurchase program is typically invested in short-term investments or fixed maturities. Repurchase agreements include master netting provisions that provide the counterparties the right to set off claims and apply securities held by them in respect of their obligations in the event of a default. The Company accounts for the repurchase agreements and dollar roll transactions as collateralized borrowings. The securities transferred under repurchase agreements and dollar roll transactions are included in fixed maturities, AFS with the obligation to repurchase those securities recorded in other liabilities on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets.

As of December 31, 2013, the Company has no outstanding repurchase agreements or dollar roll transactions. As of December 31, 2012, the Company reported financial collateral pledged relating to repurchase agreements of \$923 in fixed maturities, AFS on the Consolidated Balance sheets. The Company reported a corresponding obligation to repurchase these securities of \$923 in other liabilities on the Consolidated Balance sheets. With respect to dollar roll transactions, the Company reported financial collateral pledged with a fair value of \$1.0 billion in fixed maturities, AFS with a corresponding obligation to repurchase \$1.0 billion reported in other liabilities, as of December 31, 2012. The Company is required by law to deposit securities with government agencies in states where it conducts business. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012 the fair value of securities on deposit was approximately \$1.9 billion and \$1.7 billion, respectively.

As of December 31, 2013, the Company has pledged as collateral \$272 in Japan government bonds reported in fixed maturities, AFS, associated with short-term debt of \$238.

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company has pledged as collateral \$34 and \$59, respectively, of U.S. government securities and government agency securities for letters of credit.

Refer to Derivative Collateral Arrangements section of this note for disclosure of collateral in support of derivative transactions.

Equity Method Investments

The majority of the Company's investments in limited partnerships and other alternative investments, including hedge funds, mortgage and real estate funds, mezzanine debt funds, and private equity and other funds (collectively, "limited partnerships"), are accounted for under the equity method of accounting. The Company's maximum exposure to loss as of December 31, 2013 is limited to the total carrying value of \$2.1 billion. In addition, the Company has outstanding commitments totaling \$531 to fund limited partnership and other alternative investments as of December 31, 2013. The Company's investments in limited partnerships are generally of a passive nature in that the Company does not take an active role in the management of the limited partnerships. In 2013, aggregate investment income from limited partnerships and other alternative investments exceeded 10% of the Company's pre-tax consolidated net income. Accordingly, the Company is disclosing aggregated summarized financial data for the Company's limited partnership investments. This aggregated summarized financial data does not represent the Company's proportionate share of

limited partnership assets or earnings. Aggregate total assets of the limited partnerships in which the Company invested totaled \$85.6 billion and \$90.5 billion as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Aggregate total liabilities of the limited partnerships in which the Company invested totaled \$11.4 billion and \$12.8 billion as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Aggregate net investment income of the limited partnerships in which the Company invested totaled \$1.8 billion, \$1.0 billion and \$1.3 billion for the periods ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Aggregate net income of the limited partnerships in which the Company invested totaled \$8.4 billion, \$7.2 billion and \$9.1 billion for the periods ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. As of, and for the period ended, December 31, 2013, the aggregated summarized financial data reflects the latest available financial information.

F-52

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

6. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

Derivative Instruments

The Company utilizes a variety of OTC, OTC-cleared and exchange traded derivative instruments as a part of its overall risk management strategy as well as to enter into replication transactions. Derivative instruments are used to manage risk associated with interest rate, equity market, credit spread, issuer default, price, and currency exchange rate risk or volatility. Replication transactions are used as an economical means to synthetically replicate the characteristics and performance of assets that would be permissible investments under the Company's investment policies. The Company also purchases and has previously issued financial instruments and products that either are accounted for as free-standing derivatives, such as certain reinsurance contracts, or may contain features that are deemed to be embedded derivative instruments, such as the GMWB rider included with certain variable annuity products.

Strategies that qualify for hedge accounting

Certain derivatives the Company enters into satisfy the hedge accounting requirements as outlined in Note 1 of these financial statements. Typically, these hedge relationships include interest rate and foreign currency swaps where the terms or expected cash flows of the securities closely match the terms of the swap. The swaps are typically used to manage interest rate duration of certain fixed maturity securities, or liability contracts, or convert securities, or liabilities, denominated in a foreign currency to US dollars. The hedge strategies by hedge accounting designation include:

Cash flow hedges

Interest rate swaps are predominantly used to manage portfolio duration and better match cash receipts from assets with cash disbursements required to fund liabilities. These derivatives convert interest receipts on floating-rate fixed maturity securities or interest payments on floating-rate guaranteed investment contracts to fixed rates. The Company also enters into forward starting swap agreements primarily to hedge interest rate risk inherent in the assumptions used to price certain liabilities. In addition, during the first quarter of 2013 the Company entered into a treasury lock contract to hedge the anticipated interest payments of a fixed rate debt issuance that was subsequently terminated upon the debt issuance.

Foreign currency swaps are used to convert foreign currency-denominated cash flows related to certain investment receipts and liability payments to U.S. dollars in order to reduce cash flow fluctuations due to changes in currency rates.

Fair value hedges

Interest rate swaps are used to hedge the changes in fair value of certain fixed rate liabilities and fixed maturity securities due to fluctuations in interest rates. Foreign currency swaps are used to hedge the changes in fair value of certain foreign currency-denominated fixed rate liabilities due to changes in foreign currency rates by swapping the fixed foreign payments to floating rate U.S. dollar denominated payments.

Non-qualifying strategies

Derivative relationships that do not qualify for hedge accounting or "non-qualifying strategies" primarily include the hedge programs for our U.S. and international variable annuity products as well as the hedging and replication strategies that utilize credit default swaps. In addition, hedges of interest rate and foreign currency risk of certain fixed maturities and liabilities do not qualify for hedge accounting. These non-qualifying strategies include:

Interest rate swaps, swaptions, caps, floors, and futures

The Company may use interest rate swaps, swaptions, caps, floors and futures to manage duration between assets and liabilities in certain investment portfolios. In addition, the Company enters into interest rate swaps to terminate existing swaps, thereby offsetting the changes in value of the original swap. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the notional amount of interest rate swaps in offsetting relationships was \$6.9 billion and \$7.5 billion, respectively.

Foreign currency swaps and forwards

The Company enters into foreign currency swaps and forwards to convert the foreign currency exposures of certain foreign currency-denominated fixed maturity investments to U.S. dollars.

Japan 3Win foreign currency swaps

The Company formerly offered certain variable annuity products with a guaranteed minimum income benefit ("GMIB") rider through a wholly-owned Japanese subsidiary. The GMIB rider is reinsured to a wholly-owned U.S. subsidiary which invests in U.S. dollar denominated assets to support the liability. The U.S. subsidiary entered into pay U.S. dollar, receive yen swap contracts to hedge the currency and yen interest rate exposure between the U.S. dollar denominated assets and the yen denominated fixed liability reinsurance payments.

F-53

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

6. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

Japanese fixed annuity hedging instruments

The Company formerly offered a yen denominated fixed annuity product through a wholly-owned Japanese subsidiary and reinsured to a wholly-owned U.S. subsidiary. The U.S. subsidiary invests in U.S. dollar denominated securities to support the yen denominated fixed liability payments and entered into currency rate swaps to hedge the foreign currency exchange rate and yen interest rate exposures that exist as a result of U.S. dollar assets backing the yen denominated liability.

Credit contracts

Credit default swaps are used to purchase credit protection on an individual entity or referenced index to economically hedge against default risk and credit-related changes in value on fixed maturity securities. Credit default swaps are also used to assume credit risk related to an individual entity, referenced index, or asset pool, as a part of replication transactions. These contracts require the Company to pay or receive a periodic fee in exchange for compensation from the counterparty should the referenced security issuers experience a credit event, as defined in the contract. The Company is also exposed to credit risk related to credit derivatives embedded within certain fixed maturity securities. These securities are primarily comprised of structured securities that contain credit derivatives that reference a standard index of corporate securities. In addition, the Company enters into credit default swaps to terminate existing credit default swaps, thereby offsetting the changes in value of the original swap going forward.

Equity index swaps and options

The Company formerly offered certain equity indexed products, which may contain an embedded derivative that requires bifurcation. The Company has entered into equity index swaps and options to economically hedge the equity volatility risk associated with these embedded derivatives. The Company also enters into equity index options and futures with the purpose of hedging the impact of an adverse equity market environment on the investment portfolio.

U.S. GMWB derivatives, net

The Company formerly offered certain variable annuity products with GMWB riders in the U.S. The GMWB product is a bifurcated embedded derivative ("U.S. GMWB product derivatives") that has a notional value equal to the guaranteed remaining balance ("GRB"). The Company uses reinsurance contracts to transfer a portion of its risk of loss due to U.S. GMWB. The reinsurance contracts covering U.S. GMWB ("U.S. GMWB reinsurance contracts") are accounted for as free-standing derivatives with a notional amount equal to the GRB amount.

The Company utilizes derivatives ("U.S. GMWB hedging derivatives") as part of an actively managed program designed to hedge a portion of the capital market risk exposures of the non-reinsured GMWB due to changes in interest rates, equity market levels, and equity volatility. These derivatives include customized swaps, interest rate swaps and futures, and equity swaps, options, and futures, on certain indices including the S&P 500 index, EAFE index, and NASDAQ index. The following table represents notional and fair value for U.S. GMWB hedging instruments.

| | Notional Amount | | Fair Value | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | December 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 | December 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
| Customized swaps | \$7,839 | \$7,787 | \$74 | \$238 |
| Equity swaps, options, and futures | 4,237 | 5,130 | 44 | 267 |
| Interest rate swaps and futures | 6,615 | 5,705 | (77) |)67 |
| Total | \$18,691 | \$18,622 | \$41 | \$572 |

U.S. macro hedge program

The Company utilizes equity options and swaps to partially hedge against a decline in the equity markets and the resulting statutory surplus and capital impact primarily arising from GMDB and GMWB obligations. The following table represents notional and fair value for the U.S. macro hedge program.

| | Notional Amount | Fair Value |
|--|-----------------|------------|
|--|-----------------|------------|

Edgar Filing: HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP INC/DE - Form 10-K

| | December 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 | December 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Equity options and swaps | 9,934 | 7,442 | 139 | 286 |
| Total | \$9,934 | \$7,442 | \$139 | \$286 |

F-54

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

6. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

International program

The Company formerly offered certain variable annuity products in Japan with GMWB or GMAB riders, which are bifurcated embedded derivatives (“International program product derivatives”). The GMWB provides the policyholder with a guaranteed remaining balance (“GRB”) which is generally equal to premiums less withdrawals. If the policyholder’s account value is reduced to the specified level through a combination of market declines and withdrawals but the GRB still has value, the Company is obligated to continue to make annuity payments to the policyholder until the GRB is exhausted. Certain contract provisions can increase the GRB at contractholder election or after the passage of time. The GMAB provides the policyholder with their initial deposit in a lump sum after a specified waiting period. The notional amount of the International program product derivatives are the foreign currency denominated GRBs converted to U.S. dollars at the current foreign spot exchange rate as of the reporting period date.

The Company enters into derivative contracts (“International program hedging instruments”) to hedge a portion of the capital market risk exposures associated with the guaranteed benefits associated with the international variable annuity contracts. During 2013, the Company expanded its hedging program to substantially reduce equity and foreign currency exchange risk. The program is primarily focused on the risks that have been reinsured to the Company’s U.S. legal entities although certain hedges, predominantly options, are also held directly in HLIKK . The hedging derivatives collectively held in these entities are comprised of equity futures, options, swaps and currency forwards and options to hedge against a decline in the debt and equity markets or changes in foreign currency exchange rates and the resulting statutory surplus and capital impact primarily arising from GMDB, GMIB and GMWB obligations issued in Japan. The Company also enters into foreign currency denominated interest rate swaps and swaptions to hedge the interest rate exposure related to the potential annuitization of certain benefit obligations.

The following table represents notional and fair value for the international program hedging instruments.

| | Notional Amount | | Fair Value | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | December 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 | December 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
| Credit derivatives | \$350 | \$350 | \$5 | \$28 |
| Currency forwards [1] | 13,410 | 9,327 | (60 |)(87 |
| Currency options | 12,066 | 10,342 | (54 |)(24 |
| Equity futures | 999 | 2,332 | — | — |
| Equity options | 3,051 | 3,952 | (30 |)47 |
| Equity swaps | 4,269 | 2,617 | (119 |)(12 |
| Customized swaps | — | 899 | — | (11 |
| Interest rate futures | 952 | 634 | — | — |
| Interest rate swaps and swaptions | 37,951 | 32,632 | 225 | 228 |
| Total | \$73,048 | \$63,085 | \$(33 |)\$169 |

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, net notional amounts are \$(1.8) billion and \$0.1 billion, respectively, which [1]include \$5.8 billion and \$4.7 billion, respectively, related to long positions and \$7.6 billion and \$4.6 billion, respectively, related to short positions.

Contingent capital facility put option

The Company entered into a put option agreement that provides the Company the right to require a third-party trust to purchase, at any time, The Hartford’s junior subordinated notes in a maximum aggregate principal amount of \$500. Under the put option agreement, The Hartford will pay premiums on a periodic basis and will reimburse the trust for certain fees and ordinary expenses.

Modified coinsurance reinsurance contracts

As of December 31, 2013 the Company had approximately \$1.3 billion of invested assets supporting other policyholder funds and benefits payable reinsured under a modified coinsurance arrangement in connection with the sale of the Individual Life business structured as a reinsurance transaction. The assets are held in a trust established by the Company. The Company pays or receives cash quarterly to settle the results of the reinsured business, including the investment results. As a result of this modified coinsurance arrangement, the Company has an embedded derivative that transfers to the reinsurer certain unrealized changes in fair value due to interest rate and credit risks of these assets. The notional amounts of the reinsurance contracts are the invested assets supporting the reinsured reserves and are carried at fair value.

F-55

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

6. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

Derivative Balance Sheet Classification

The following table summarizes the balance sheet classification of the Company's derivative related fair value amounts as well as the gross asset and liability fair value amounts. For reporting purposes, the Company has elected to offset the fair value amounts, income accruals, and related cash collateral receivables and payables of OTC derivative instruments executed in a legal entity and with the same counterparty or under a master netting agreement, which provides the Company with the legal right of offset. The Company has also elected to offset the fair value amounts, income accruals and related cash collateral receivables and payables of OTC-cleared derivative instruments based on clearing house agreements. The fair value amounts presented below do not include income accruals or related cash collateral receivables and payables, which are netted with derivative fair value amounts to determine balance sheet presentation. Derivative fair value reported as liabilities after taking into account the master netting agreements, is \$1.3 billion and \$1.5 billion as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Derivatives in the Company's separate accounts where the associated gains and losses accrue directly to policyholders are not included. The Company's derivative instruments are held for risk management purposes, unless otherwise noted in the following table. The notional amount of derivative contracts represents the basis upon which pay or receive amounts are calculated and is presented in the table to quantify the volume of the Company's derivative activity. Notional amounts are not necessarily reflective of credit risk. In addition, the tables below exclude investments that contain an embedded credit derivative for which the Company has elected the fair value option. For further discussion, see the Fair Value Option section in Note 5 - Fair Value Measurements.

F-56

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

6. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

| Hedge Designation/ Derivative Type | Net Derivatives | | | | Asset Derivatives | | Liability Derivatives | |
|--|-----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| | Notional Amount | | Fair Value | | Fair Value | | Fair Value | |
| | Dec 31, 2013 | Dec 31, 2012 | Dec 31, 2013 | Dec 31, 2012 | Dec 31, 2013 | Dec 31, 2012 | Dec 31, 2013 | Dec 31, 2012 |
| Cash flow hedges | | | | | | | | |
| Interest rate swaps | \$5,026 | \$6,063 | \$(92) | \$271 | \$50 | \$271 | \$(142) | \$— |
| Foreign currency swaps | 143 | 163 | (5) | (17) | 2 | 3 | (7) | (20) |
| Total cash flow hedges | 5,169 | 6,226 | (97) | 254 | 52 | 274 | (149) | (20) |
| Fair value hedges | | | | | | | | |
| Interest rate swaps | 1,799 | 753 | (24) | (55) | 3 | — | (27) | (55) |
| Foreign currency swaps | — | 40 | — | 16 | — | 16 | — | — |
| Total fair value hedges | 1,799 | 793 | (24) | (39) | 3 | 16 | (27) | (55) |
| Non-qualifying strategies | | | | | | | | |
| Interest rate contracts | | | | | | | | |
| Interest rate swaps, caps, floors, and futures | 8,453 | 17,117 | (487) | (497) | 171 | 556 | (658) | (1,053) |
| Foreign exchange contracts | | | | | | | | |
| Foreign currency swaps and forwards | 258 | 355 | (9) | (16) | 6 | 5 | (15) | (21) |
| Japan 3Win foreign currency swaps | 1,571 | 1,816 | (354) | (127) | — | — | (354) | (127) |
| Japanese fixed annuity hedging instruments | 1,436 | 1,652 | (6) | 224 | 88 | 228 | (94) | (4) |
| Credit contracts | | | | | | | | |
| Credit derivatives that purchase credit protection | 938 | 1,823 | (15) | (8) | 1 | 5 | (16) | (13) |
| Credit derivatives that assume credit risk [1] | 1,886 | 2,745 | 33 | (29) | 36 | 19 | (3) | (48) |
| Credit derivatives in offsetting positions | 7,764 | 9,497 | (7) | (32) | 76 | 94 | (83) | (126) |
| Equity contracts | | | | | | | | |
| Equity index swaps and options | 358 | 994 | (1) | 47 | 19 | 57 | (20) | (10) |
| Variable annuity hedge program | | | | | | | | |
| U.S. GMWB product derivative [2] | 21,512 | 28,868 | (36) | (1,249) | — | — | (36) | (1,249) |
| U.S. GMWB reinsurance contracts | 4,508 | 5,773 | 29 | 191 | 29 | 191 | — | — |
| U.S. GMWB hedging instruments | 18,691 | 18,622 | 41 | 572 | 333 | 743 | (292) | (171) |
| U.S. macro hedge program | 9,934 | 7,442 | 139 | 286 | 178 | 356 | (39) | (70) |
| International program product derivatives [2] | 366 | 2,454 | 6 | (48) | 6 | — | — | (48) |
| International program hedging instruments | 73,048 | 63,085 | (33) | 169 | 866 | 1,020 | (899) | (851) |
| Other | | | | | | | | |
| Contingent capital facility put option | 500 | 500 | 17 | 23 | 17 | 23 | — | — |
| Modified coinsurance reinsurance contracts | 1,250 | — | 67 | — | 67 | — | — | — |
| Total non-qualifying strategies | 152,473 | 162,743 | (616) | (494) | 1,893 | 3,297 | (2,509) | (3,791) |
| Total cash flow hedges, fair value hedges, and non-qualifying strategies | \$159,441 | \$169,762 | \$(737) | \$(279) | \$1,948 | \$3,587 | \$(2,685) | \$(3,866) |
| Balance Sheet Location | | | | | | | | |
| Fixed maturities, available-for-sale | \$473 | \$703 | \$(2) | \$(32) | \$1 | \$— | \$(3) | \$(32) |
| Other investments | 53,219 | 54,504 | 442 | 1,045 | 909 | 1,581 | (467) | (536) |
| Other liabilities | 78,055 | 77,384 | (1,223) | (177) | 936 | 1,815 | (2,159) | (1,992) |
| Consumer notes | 9 | 26 | (2) | (2) | — | — | (2) | (2) |

Edgar Filing: HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP INC/DE - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|
| Reinsurance recoverables | 5,758 | 5,773 | 96 | 191 | 96 | 191 | — | — |
| Other policyholder funds and benefits payable | 21,927 | 31,372 | (48) | (1,304) | 6 | — | (54) | (1,304) |
| Total derivatives | \$159,441 | \$169,762 | \$(737) | \$(279) | \$1,948 | \$3,587 | \$(2,685) | \$(3,866) |

[1] The derivative instruments related to this strategy are held for other investment purposes.

[2] These derivatives are embedded within liabilities and are not held for risk management purposes.

F-57

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

6. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

Change in Notional Amount

The net decrease in notional amount of derivatives since December 31, 2012 was primarily due to the following:

The decrease in notional amount of non-qualifying interest rate contracts primarily resulted from the termination of interest rate swaptions purchased during the third quarter of 2012 designed to hedge the interest rate risk of the securities being transferred related to the sale of the Retirement Plan business segment.

The decrease in notional amount related to the U.S. GMWB product derivatives was primarily driven by product lapses and partial withdrawals.

The decrease in notional amount related to the international program product derivatives was due to the GWMB embedded derivative disposed of as part of the sale of HLIL. For additional information on the sale agreement, refer to Note 2 - Business Dispositions of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

The increase in notional amount related to the international program hedging instruments resulted from the Company expanding its hedging program related to international product program guarantees in the first quarter of 2013.

Change in Fair Value

The net decrease in the total fair value of derivative instruments since December 31, 2012 was primarily related to the following:

The fair value associated with the international program hedging instruments decreased primarily due to an improvement in global equity markets and depreciation of the Japanese yen in relation to the euro and the U.S. dollar.

The fair value related to the Japanese fixed annuity hedging instruments and Japan 3Win foreign currency swaps decreased primarily due to a depreciation of the Japanese yen in relation to the U.S. dollar.

The fair value related to the cash flow hedging interest rate swaps decreased primarily due to an increase in U.S. interest rates.

The fair value associated with the U.S. macro hedge program decreased primarily due to an improvement in domestic equity markets, an increase in interest rates and a decline in equity volatility.

The increase in fair value related to the combined U.S. GMWB hedging program, which includes the U.S. GMWB product, reinsurance and hedging derivatives, was primarily driven by revaluing the liability for living benefits resulting from favorable policyholder behavior largely related to increased full surrenders and liability assumption updates for partial lapses and withdrawal rates.

Offsetting of Derivative Assets/Liabilities

The following tables present the gross fair value amounts, the amounts offset, and net position of derivative instruments eligible for offset in the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets. Amounts offset include fair value amounts, income accruals and related cash collateral receivables and payables associated with derivative instruments that are traded under a common master netting agreement, as described above. Also included in the tables are financial collateral receivables and payables, which is contractually permitted to be offset upon an event of default, although is disallowed for offsetting under U.S. GAAP.

As of December 31, 2013

| (i) | (ii) | (iii) = (i) - (ii) | | (iv) | (v) = (iii) - (iv) |
|---------------------|--------------------------------|--|-------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| | | Net Amounts Presented in the Statement of Financial Position | | Collateral Disallowed for Offset in the Statement of Financial Position | |
| Gross Amounts of | Gross Amounts Offset in the | Derivative Assets [1] | Accrued Interest and | Financial Collateral | Net Amount |

Edgar Filing: HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP INC/DE - Form 10-K

| Description | Recognized Assets | Statement of Financial Position | | Cash Collateral Received [2] | Received [4] | |
|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|--------|------------------------------------|--------------|--------|
| Other investments | \$ 1,845 | \$ 1,463 | \$ 442 | \$(60) | \$ 242 | \$ 140 |

F-58

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

6. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

| Description | Gross Amounts of Recognized Liabilities | Gross Amounts Offset in the Statement of Financial Position | Derivative Liabilities [3] | Accrued Interest and Cash Collateral Pledged [3] | Financial Collateral Pledged [4] | Net Amount |
|-------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|--|--|------------|
| Other liabilities | \$ (2,626) | \$ (1,496) | \$ (1,223) | \$ 93 | \$ (1,204) | \$ 74 |

As of December 31, 2012

| Description | (i) Gross Amounts of Recognized Assets | (ii) Gross Amounts Offset in the Statement of Financial Position | (iii) = (i) - (ii) Net Amounts Presented in the Statement of Financial Position | (iv) Accrued Interest and Cash Collateral Received [2] | (v) = (iii) - (iv) Collateral Disallowed for Offset in the Statement of Financial Position | Net Amount |
|-------------------|--|---|--|---|---|------------|
| Other investments | \$ 3,396 | \$ 2,503 | \$ 1,045 | \$ (152) | \$ 759 | \$ 134 |

| Description | Gross Amounts of Recognized Liabilities | Gross Amounts Offset in the Statement of Financial Position | Derivative Liabilities [3] | Accrued Interest and Cash Collateral Pledged [3] | Financial Collateral Pledged [4] | Net Amount |
|-------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|--|--|------------|
| Other liabilities | \$ (2,528) | \$ (1,895) | \$ (177) | \$ (456) | \$ (541) | \$ (92) |

[1] Included in other investments in the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets.

[2] Included in other assets in the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets and is limited to the net derivative receivable associated with each counterparty.

[3] Included in other liabilities in the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets and is limited to the net derivative payable associated with each counterparty.

[4] Excludes collateral associated with exchange-traded derivatives instruments.

Cash Flow Hedges

For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as cash flow hedges, the effective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative is reported as a component of OCI and reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. Gains and losses on the derivative representing hedge ineffectiveness are recognized in current period earnings. All components of each derivative's gain or loss were included in the assessment of hedge effectiveness.

The following table presents the components of the gain or loss on derivatives that qualify as cash flow hedges:
Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships

| | Gain (Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivative (Effective Portion) | | | Net Realized Capital Gains(Losses) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffective Portion) | | | |
|------------------------|--|--------|-------|---|------|------|---|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | |
| Interest rate swaps | \$(315 |)\$120 | \$337 | \$(3 |)\$— | \$(4 |) |
| Foreign currency swaps | 12 | (31 |)(3 |)— | — | — |) |
| Total | \$(303 |)\$89 | \$334 | \$(3 |)\$— | \$(4 |) |

F-59

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

6. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships

| | Location | Gain (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion) | | |
|------------------------|----------------------------------|--|-------|-------|
| | | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Interest rate swaps | Net realized capital gain/(loss) | \$91 | \$90 | \$9 |
| Interest rate swaps | Net investment income | 97 | 140 | 126 |
| Foreign currency swaps | Net realized capital gain/(loss) | 4 | (6 |)(3 |
| Total | | \$192 | \$224 | \$132 |

As of December 31, 2013, the before-tax deferred net gains on derivative instruments recorded in AOCI that are expected to be reclassified to earnings during the next twelve months are \$83. This expectation is based on the anticipated interest payments on hedged investments in fixed maturity securities that will occur over the next twelve months, at which time the Company will recognize the deferred net gains (losses) as an adjustment to interest income over the term of the investment cash flows. The maximum term over which the Company is hedging its exposure to the variability of future cash flows (for forecasted transactions, excluding interest payments on existing variable-rate financial instruments) is approximately two years.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company had no net reclassifications from AOCI to earnings resulting from the discontinuance of cash-flow hedges due to forecasted transactions that were no longer probable of occurring. For the year ended December 31, 2012, the before-tax deferred net gains on derivative instruments reclassified from AOCI to earnings totaled \$99 which primarily resulted from the discontinuance of cash flow hedges due to forecasted transactions no longer probable of occurring associated with variable rate bonds sold as part of the Individual Life and Retirement Plans business dispositions. For further information on the business dispositions, see Note 2. For the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company had no net reclassifications, from AOCI to earnings resulting from the discontinuance of cash-flow hedges due to forecasted transactions that were no longer probable of occurring.

Fair Value Hedges

For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as a fair value hedge, the gain or loss on the derivative; as well as, the offsetting loss or gain on the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk are recognized in current earnings. The Company includes the gain or loss on the derivative in the same line item as the offsetting loss or gain on the hedged item. All components of each derivative's gain or loss were included in the assessment of hedge effectiveness.

The Company recognized in income gains (losses) representing the ineffective portion of fair value hedges as follows:
Derivatives in Fair Value Hedging Relationships

| | Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income [1] | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|-------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | | 2012 | | 2011 | |
| | Derivative | Hedged Item | Derivative | Hedged Item | Derivative | Hedged Item |
| Interest rate swaps | | | | | | |
| Net realized capital gains (losses) | \$32 | \$(30 |)\$ (3 |)\$ (3 |)\$ (73 |)\$ 70 |
| Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses | — | — | — | — | (1 |)— |
| Foreign currency swaps | | | | | | |
| Net realized capital gains (losses) | 1 | (1 |)(7 |)7 | (1 |)1 |
| Benefits, losses and loss adjustment expenses | (2 |)2 | (6 |)6 | (22 |)22 |
| Total | \$31 | \$(29 |)\$ (16 |)\$ 10 | \$(97 |)\$ 93 |

The amounts presented do not include the periodic net coupon settlements of the derivative or the coupon income [1](expense) related to the hedged item. The net of the amounts presented represents the ineffective portion of the hedge.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

6. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

Non-qualifying Strategies

For non-qualifying strategies, including embedded derivatives that are required to be bifurcated from their host contracts and accounted for as derivatives, the gain or loss on the derivative is recognized currently in earnings within net realized capital gains (losses). The following table presents the gain or loss recognized in income on non-qualifying strategies:

Non-qualifying Strategies

Gain (Loss) Recognized within Net Realized Capital Gains (Losses)

| | December 31, | | |
|--|--------------|-----------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Interest rate contracts | | | |
| Interest rate swaps, caps, floors, and forwards | \$54 | \$21 | \$(22) |
| Foreign exchange contracts | | | |
| Foreign currency swaps and forwards | 2 | 19 | 3 |
| Japan 3Win foreign currency swaps [1] | (268 |)(300 |)31 |
| Japanese fixed annuity hedging instruments [2] | (207 |)(178 |)109 |
| Credit contracts | | | |
| Credit derivatives that purchase credit protection | (38 |)(64 |)(10) |
| Credit derivatives that assume credit risk | 72 | 293 | (174) |
| Equity contracts | | | |
| Equity index swaps and options | (33 |)(39 |)(89) |
| Variable annuity hedge program | | | |
| U.S. GMWB product derivative | 1,306 | 1,430 | (780) |
| U.S. GMWB reinsurance contracts | (192 |)(280 |)131 |
| U.S. GMWB hedging instruments | (852 |)(631 |)252 |
| U.S. macro hedge program | (234 |)(340 |)(216) |
| International program product derivatives | 16 | 42 | (13) |
| International program hedging instruments | (1,602 |)(1,509 |)704 |
| Other | | | |
| Contingent capital facility put option | (7 |)(6 |)(5) |
| Modified coinsurance reinsurance contracts | 67 | — | — |
| Total [3] | \$(1,916 |)\$(1,542 |)\$(79) |

[1] The associated liability is adjusted for changes in spot rates through realized capital gains and was \$250, \$189 and \$(100) for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

[2] The associated liability is adjusted for changes in spot rates through realized capital gains and losses and was \$324, \$245 and \$(129) for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

[3] Excludes investments that contain an embedded credit derivative for which the Company has elected the fair value option. For further discussion, see the Fair Value Option section in Note 5 - Fair Value Measurements.

For the year ended December 31, 2013 the net realized capital gain (loss) related to derivatives used in non-qualifying strategies was primarily comprised of the following:

• The net loss associated with the international program hedging instruments was primarily driven by an improvement in global equity markets and depreciation of the Japanese yen in relation to the euro and the U.S. dollar.

• The net loss related to the Japan 3Win foreign currency swaps and Japanese fixed annuity hedging instruments was primarily due to the depreciation of the Japanese yen in relation to the U.S. dollar.

• The net gain related to the combined GMWB hedging program, which includes the GMWB product, reinsurance, and hedging derivatives, was primarily driven by revaluing the liability for living benefits resulting from favorable policyholder behavior largely related to increased full surrenders and liability assumption updates for partial lapses

and withdrawal rates.

- The net loss on the U.S. macro hedge program was primarily due to an improvement in domestic equity markets, an increase in interest rates and a decline in equity volatility.

F-61

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

6. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

For the year ended December 31, 2012 the net realized capital gain (loss) related to derivatives used in non-qualifying strategies was primarily comprised of the following:

• The net loss associated with the international program hedging instruments was primarily driven by an improvement in global equity markets and depreciation of the Japanese yen in relation to the euro and the U.S. dollar.

• The net gain related to the combined GMWB hedging program, which includes the GMWB product, reinsurance, and hedging derivatives, was primarily driven by liability model assumption updates largely related to a reduction in the reset assumptions to better align with actual experience, outperformance of underlying actively managed funds compared to their respective indices, and lower equity volatility.

• The net loss on the U.S. macro hedge program was primarily due to the passage of time, an improvement in domestic equity markets, and a decrease in equity volatility.

• The net loss related to the Japan 3Win foreign currency swaps and Japanese fixed annuity hedging instruments was primarily due to the depreciation of the Japanese yen in relation to the U.S. dollar, the strengthening of the currency basis swap spread between the U.S. dollar and the Japanese yen, and a decline in U.S. interest rates.

• The gain on credit derivatives that assume credit risk as a part of replication transactions resulted from credit spread tightening.

For the year ended December 31, 2011 the net realized capital gain (loss) related to derivatives used in non-qualifying strategies was primarily due to the following:

• The net gain associated with the international program hedging instruments was primarily driven by strengthening of the Japanese yen, a decline in global equity markets, and a decrease in interest rates.

• The loss related to the combined GMWB hedging program, which includes the GMWB product, reinsurance, and hedging derivatives, was primarily a result of a decrease in long-term interest rates and higher interest rate volatility.

• The net loss on the U.S. macro hedge program was primarily driven by time decay and a decrease in equity market volatility since the purchase date of certain options during the fourth quarter.

• The loss on credit derivatives that assume credit risk as a part of replication transactions resulted from credit spread widening.

Refer to Note 13 for additional disclosures regarding contingent credit related features in derivative agreements.

Credit Risk Assumed through Credit Derivatives

The Company enters into credit default swaps that assume credit risk of a single entity, referenced index, or asset pool in order to synthetically replicate investment transactions. The Company will receive periodic payments based on an agreed upon rate and notional amount and will only make a payment if there is a credit event. A credit event payment will typically be equal to the notional value of the swap contract less the value of the referenced security issuer's debt obligation after the occurrence of the credit event. A credit event is generally defined as a default on contractually obligated interest or principal payments or bankruptcy of the referenced entity. The credit default swaps in which the Company assumes credit risk primarily reference investment grade single corporate issuers and baskets, which include standard and customized diversified portfolios of corporate issuers. The diversified portfolios of corporate issuers are established within sector concentration limits and may be divided into tranches that possess different credit ratings.

Table of ContentsTHE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

6. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

The following tables present the notional amount, fair value, weighted average years to maturity, underlying referenced credit obligation type and average credit ratings, and offsetting notional amounts and fair value for credit derivatives in which the Company is assuming credit risk as of December 31, 2013 and 2012.

As of December 31, 2013

| Credit Derivative type by derivative risk exposure | Notional Amount [2] | Fair Value | Weighted Average Years to Maturity | Underlying Referenced Credit Obligation(s) [1] | | Offsetting Notional Amount [3] | Offsetting Fair Value [3] |
|--|---------------------|------------|------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | | | Type | Average Credit Rating | | |
| Single name credit default swaps | | | | | | | |
| Investment grade risk exposure | \$1,259 | \$8 | 1 year | Corporate Credit/ Foreign Gov. | A | \$1,066 | \$(9) |
| Below investment grade risk exposure | 24 | — | 1 year | Corporate Credit | CCC | 24 | (1) |
| Basket credit default swaps [4] | | | | | | | |
| Investment grade risk exposure | 3,447 | 50 | 3 years | Corporate Credit | BBB | 2,270 | (35) |
| Below grade risk exposure | 166 | 15 | 5 years | Corporate Credit | BB- | — | — |
| Investment grade risk exposure | 327 | (7) | 3 years | CMBS Credit | A | 327 | 7 |
| Below investment grade risk exposure | 195 | (31) | 3 years | CMBS Credit | B- | 195 | 31 |
| Embedded credit derivatives | | | | | | | |
| Investment grade risk exposure | 350 | 339 | 3 years | Corporate Credit | BBB+ | — | — |
| Total [5] | \$5,768 | \$374 | | | | \$3,882 | \$(7) |

December 31, 2012

| Credit Derivative type by derivative risk exposure | Notional Amount [2] | Fair Value | Weighted Average Years to Maturity | Unifying Referenced Credit Obligation(s) [1] | | Offsetting Notional Amount [3] | Offsetting Fair Value [3] |
|--|---------------------|------------|------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | | | Type | Average Credit Rating | | |
| Single name credit default swaps | | | | | | | |
| Investment grade risk exposure | \$2,321 | \$7 | 3 years | Corporate Credit/ Foreign Gov. | A | \$1,367 | \$(26) |
| Below investment grade risk exposure | 145 | (1) | 1 year | Corporate Credit | B+ | 145 | (3) |
| Basket credit default swaps [4] | | | | | | | |
| Investment grade risk exposure | 3,978 | 7 | 3 years | Corporate Credit | BBB+ | 2,712 | (13) |
| Investment grade risk exposure | 330 | (17) | 4 years | CMBS Credit | A | 330 | 17 |
| Below investment grade risk exposure | 195 | (46) | 4 years | CMBS Credit | B+ | 195 | 46 |
| Embedded credit derivatives | | | | | | | |
| Investment grade risk exposure | 525 | 478 | 4 years | Corporate Credit | BBB- | — | — |
| Total [5] | \$7,494 | \$428 | | | | \$4,749 | \$21 |

[1] The average credit ratings are based on availability and the midpoint of the applicable ratings among Moody's, S&P, and Fitch. If no rating is available from a rating agency, then an internally developed rating is used.

[2] Notional amount is equal to the maximum potential future loss amount. These derivatives are governed by agreements and clearing house rules and applicable law which include collateral posting requirements. There is no additional specific collateral related to these contracts or recourse provisions included in the contracts to offset losses.

[3] The Company has entered into offsetting credit default swaps to terminate certain existing credit default swaps, thereby offsetting the future changes in value of, or losses paid related to, the original swap.

[4] Includes \$4.1 billion and \$4.5 billion as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, of standard market indices of diversified portfolios of corporate issuers referenced through credit default swaps. These swaps are subsequently valued based upon the observable standard market index.

[5] Excludes investments that contain an embedded credit derivative for which the Company has elected the fair value option. For further discussion, see the Fair Value Option section in Note 5 - Fair Value Measurements.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

6. Investments and Derivative Instruments (continued)

Derivative Collateral Arrangements

The Company enters into various collateral arrangements in connection with its derivative instruments, which require both the pledging and accepting of collateral. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company pledged securities collateral associated with derivative instruments with a fair value of \$1.3 billion and \$0.6 billion, respectively, which have been included in fixed maturities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The counterparties have the right to sell or re-pledge these securities. The Company also pledged cash collateral associated with derivative instruments with a fair value of \$347 and \$208, respectively, as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 which have been included in short-term investments on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company accepted cash collateral associated with derivative instruments with a fair value of \$180 and \$701, respectively, which was invested and recorded in the Consolidated Balance Sheets in fixed maturities and short-term investments with corresponding amounts recorded in other liabilities. The Company also accepted securities collateral as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 of \$243 and \$653, respectively, of which the Company has the ability to sell or repledge \$191 and \$525, respectively. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the fair value of repledged securities totaled \$39 and \$0, respectively, and the Company did not sell any securities. In addition, as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, non-cash collateral accepted was held in separate custodial accounts and was not included in the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets.

7. Reinsurance

The Company cedes insurance to affiliated and unaffiliated insurers to enable the Company to manage capital and risk exposure. Such arrangements do not relieve the Company of its primary liability to policyholders. Failure of reinsurers to honor their obligations could result in losses to the Company. The Company's procedures include careful initial selection of its reinsurers, structuring agreements to provide collateral funds where necessary, and regularly monitoring the financial condition and ratings of its reinsurers. The Company entered into two reinsurance transactions in connection with the sales of its Retirement Plans and Individual Life businesses in January 2013. For further discussion of these transactions, see Note 2 - Business Dispositions of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Reinsurance Recoverables

Reinsurance recoverables include balances due from reinsurance companies for paid and unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses and are presented net of an allowance for uncollectible reinsurance. Reinsurance recoverables include an estimate of the amount of gross losses and loss adjustment expense reserves that may be ceded under the terms of the reinsurance agreements, including incurred but not reported unpaid losses. The Company's estimate of losses and loss adjustment expense reserves ceded to reinsurers is based on assumptions that are consistent with those used in establishing the gross reserves for business ceded to the reinsurance contracts. The Company calculates its ceded reinsurance projection based on the terms of any applicable facultative and treaty reinsurance, including an estimate of how incurred but not reported losses will ultimately be ceded by reinsurance agreements. Accordingly, the Company's estimate of reinsurance recoverables is subject to similar risks and uncertainties as the estimate of the gross reserve for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses.

The Company's reinsurance recoverables are summarized as follows:

| | As of December 31, | |
|---|--------------------|---------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Property and Casualty Insurance Products: | | |
| Paid loss and loss adjustment expenses | \$138 | \$170 |
| Unpaid loss and loss adjustment expenses | 2,841 | 2,852 |
| Gross reinsurance recoverable | 2,979 | 3,022 |
| Allowance for uncollectible reinsurance | (244) | (268) |
| Net reinsurance recoverables | \$2,735 | \$2,754 |
| Life Insurance Products: | | |

Future policy benefits and unpaid loss and loss adjustment expenses:

| | | |
|---|----------|---------|
| Sold businesses (MassMutual and Prudential) | \$19,374 | \$— |
| Other reinsurers | 1,221 | 1,912 |
| Net reinsurance recoverables | \$20,595 | \$1,912 |
| Reinsurance recoverables, net | \$23,330 | \$4,666 |

As of December 31, 2013, the Company has reinsurance recoverables, net from MassMutual and Prudential of \$9.5 billion and \$9.9 billion, respectively. These reinsurance recoverables are secured by invested assets held in trust for the benefit of the Company in the event of a default by the reinsurers. As of December 31, 2013, the fair value of assets held in trust securing the reinsurance recoverables from MassMutual and Prudential were \$9.5 billion and \$7.5 billion, respectively. As of December 31, 2013, the reinsurance recoverables, net from Prudential represent approximately 13% of the Company's consolidated stockholders' equity. As of December 31, 2013, the Company has no other reinsurance-related concentrations of credit risk greater than 10% of the Company's consolidated stockholders' equity.

F-64

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

The allowance for uncollectible reinsurance reflects management's best estimate of reinsurance cessions that may be uncollectible in the future due to reinsurers' unwillingness or inability to pay. The Company analyzes recent developments in commutation activity between reinsurers and cedants, recent trends in arbitration and litigation outcomes in disputes between reinsurers and cedants and the overall credit quality of the Company's reinsurers. Based on this analysis, the Company may adjust the allowance for uncollectible reinsurance or charge off reinsurer balances that are determined to be uncollectible. Where its contracts permit, the Company secures future claim obligations with various forms of collateral, including irrevocable letters of credit, secured trusts, funds held accounts and group-wide offsets.

Due to the inherent uncertainties as to collection and the length of time before reinsurance recoverables become due, it is possible that future adjustments to the Company's reinsurance recoverables, net of the allowance, could be required, which could have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated results of operations or cash flows in a particular quarter or annual period.

Insurance Revenues

The effect of reinsurance on property and casualty premiums written and earned is as follows:

| | For the years ended December 31, | | |
|------------------|----------------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Premiums Written | | | |
| Direct | \$10,564 | \$10,405 | \$10,368 |
| Assumed | 247 | 230 | 226 |
| Ceded | (882) | (788) | (742) |
| Net | \$9,929 | \$9,847 | \$9,852 |
| Premiums Earned | | | |
| Direct | \$10,494 | \$10,484 | \$10,337 |
| Assumed | 241 | 205 | 225 |
| Ceded | (871) | (796) | (688) |
| Net | \$9,864 | \$9,893 | \$9,874 |

Ceded losses, which reduce losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred, were \$459, \$512, and \$385 for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively.

The effect of reinsurance on life insurance fees, earned premiums and other is as follows:

| | For the years ended December 31, | | |
|---|----------------------------------|---------|---------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Gross fee income, earned premiums and other | \$7,798 | \$8,500 | \$9,292 |
| Reinsurance assumed | 138 | 137 | 134 |
| Reinsurance ceded | (1,780) | (524) | (524) |
| Net fee income, earned premiums and other | \$6,156 | \$8,113 | \$8,902 |

The Company reinsures certain of its risks to other reinsurers under yearly renewable term, coinsurance, and modified coinsurance arrangements, and variations thereto. Yearly renewable term and coinsurance arrangements result in passing all or a portion of the risk to the reinsurer. Generally, the reinsurer receives a proportionate amount of the premiums less an allowance for commissions and expenses and is liable for a corresponding proportionate amount of all benefit payments. Modified coinsurance is similar to coinsurance except that the cash and investments that support the liabilities for contract benefits are not transferred to the assuming company, and settlements are made on a net basis between the companies. Coinsurance with funds withheld is a form of coinsurance except that the investment assets that support the liabilities are withheld by the ceding company.

The cost of reinsurance related to long-duration contracts is accounted for over the life of the underlying reinsured policies using assumptions consistent with those used to account for the underlying policies. Insurance recoveries on ceded reinsurance agreements, which reduce death and other benefits, were \$913, \$285 and \$224 for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively.

In addition, the Company has reinsured a portion of the risk associated with U.S. variable annuities and the associated GMDB and GMWB riders, and of the risks associated with variable annuity contract and rider benefits issued by Hartford Life Insurance KK (“HLIKK”), an indirect wholly owned subsidiary.

F-65

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

8. Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs and Present Value of Future Profits

Changes in the DAC balance are as follows:

| | For the years ended December 31, | | |
|---|----------------------------------|---------|---------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$5,725 | \$6,556 | \$7,473 |
| Deferred Costs | 1,330 | 1,639 | 1,696 |
| Amortization — DAC | (1,615 |)(1,844 |)(2,025 |
| Amortization — Unlock benefit (charge), pre-tax [1] | (1,086 |)(144 |)(419 |
| Amortization — DAC related to business dispositions [2] [3] | (2,229 |)— | — |
| Adjustments to unrealized gains and losses on securities available-for-sale and other [4] | 122 | (364 |)(240 |
| Effect of currency translation | (86 |)(118 |)(71 |
| Balance, end of period | \$2,161 | \$5,725 | \$6,556 |

Includes Unlock charge of \$887 in 2013 related to elimination of future estimated gross profits on the Japan [1] variable annuity block due to the increased costs associated with expanding the Japan variable annuity hedging program.

Includes accelerated amortization of \$352 and \$2,374 recognized upon the sale of the Retirement Plans and [2] Individual Life businesses, respectively, in 2013. For further information, see Note 2 - Business Dispositions of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

[3] Includes previously unrealized gains on securities AFS of \$148 and \$349 recognized upon the sale of the Retirement Plans and Individual Life businesses, respectively, in 2013.

Other includes a \$16 reduction of the DAC asset as a result of the sale of assets used to administer the Company's [4] PPLI business in 2012. The reduction is directly attributable to this transaction as it results in lower future estimated gross profits than originally estimated on these products.

As of December 31, 2013, estimated future net amortization expense of present value of future profits for the succeeding five years is \$8, \$7, \$6, \$5 and \$5 in 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018, respectively.

F-66

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

9. Goodwill

The carrying value of goodwill allocated to reporting units is as follows:

| | December 31, 2013 | | | December 31, 2012 | | | Carrying Value |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| | Gross | Accumulated Impairments | Business Dispositions [1] | Gross | Accumulated Impairments | Business Dispositions [2] | |
| Property & Casualty Commercial | \$30 | \$(30) |)\$— | \$— | \$30 | \$(30) |)\$— |
| Consumer Markets | 119 | — | — | 119 | 119 | — | — |
| Mutual Funds [4] | 149 | — | — | 149 | 159 | — | (10) |
| Talcott Resolution: | | | | | | | |
| Individual Life [4] | — | — | — | — | 224 | — | (224) |
| Retirement Plans [4] | 87 | — | (87) |)— | 87 | — | — |
| Total Talcott Resolution | 87 | — | (87) |)— | 311 | — | (224) |
| Corporate [3][4] | 654 | (355) | (69) |)230 | 772 | (355) | (118) |
| Total | \$1,039 | \$(385) | \$(156) |)\$498 | \$1,391 | \$(385) | \$(352) |

[1] Represents a reduction in goodwill recognized in connection with the sale of Retirement Plans.

[2] Represents a reduction in goodwill recognized in connection with the sale of WFS and a goodwill impairment recognized in connection with the sale of Individual Life.

Carrying value as of December 31, 2013 includes \$138 and \$92, respectively, for the Group Benefits and Mutual

[3] Funds reporting units. Carrying value as of December 31, 2012 includes \$138, \$92 and \$69, respectively, for the Group Benefits, Mutual Funds and Retirement Plans reporting units.

[4] For further information, see Note 2 - Business Dispositions of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Year ended December 31, 2013

During the first quarter of 2013, the Company completed the sale of its Retirement Plans business to Mass Mutual. Accordingly, the carrying value of the reporting unit's goodwill of \$156 was reduced and included in reinsurance loss on disposition in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Operations.

The annual goodwill assessment for the Mutual Funds, Group Benefits, and Consumer Markets reporting units was completed as of October 31, 2013, which resulted in no write-downs of goodwill for the year ended December 31, 2013. All reporting units passed the first step of their annual impairment test with a significant margin.

Year ended December 31, 2012

During the first quarter of 2012, the Company determined that a triggering event requiring an impairment assessment had occurred as a result of its decision to pursue sales or other strategic alternatives for the Individual Life and Retirement Plans reporting units.

The Company completed interim impairment tests during each of the first three quarters of 2012 for the Retirement Plans reporting unit which resulted in no impairment of goodwill. The annual goodwill assessment for Retirement Plans was completed as of October 31, 2012 and an additional impairment test was completed as of December 31, 2012 as a result of the anticipated sale of this business unit. No write-down of goodwill resulted for the year ended December 31, 2012. Retirement Plans passed step one of the goodwill impairment tests with a margin of less than 10% between fair value and book value of the reporting unit as of both dates. The fair value of the Retirement Plans reporting unit as of October 31, 2012 and December 31, 2012 was based on a negotiated transaction price.

The Company completed interim impairment tests during each of the first three quarters of 2012 for the Individual Life reporting unit which resulted in no impairment of goodwill in the first and second quarters of 2012. In the third quarter of 2012, the Individual Life reporting unit failed the goodwill impairment test as the carrying amount of the Individual Life reporting unit's goodwill exceeded the implied goodwill value. Accordingly, an impairment loss of

\$342 was recognized, representing the carrying value of the reporting unit's goodwill. The goodwill impairment loss is included in reinsurance loss on disposition in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Operations. The fair value of the Individual Life reporting unit as of September 30, 2012 was based on a negotiated transaction price.

F-67

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

9. Goodwill (continued)

The annual goodwill assessment for the Mutual Funds and Consumer Markets reporting units and the Group Benefits reporting unit within Corporate was completed as of October 31, 2012, which resulted in no write-downs of goodwill for the year ended December 31, 2012. The reporting units passed the first step of their annual impairment test with a significant margin with the exception of the Group Benefits reporting unit. Group Benefits passed the first step of its annual impairment test with less than a 10% margin. The fair value of the Group Benefits reporting unit is based on discounted cash flows using earnings projections on in force business and future business growth. There could be a positive or negative impact on the result of step one in future periods if assumptions change about the level of economic capital, future business growth, earnings projections or the weighted average cost of capital.

Year ended December 31, 2011

During the second quarter of 2011, the Company wrote off the remaining \$15 of goodwill associated with the Federal Trust Corporation (“FTC”) reporting unit within Corporate due to the announced divestiture of FTC. The write-off of the FTC reporting unit goodwill was recorded as a loss on disposal within discontinued operations.

The Consumer Markets reporting unit completed its annual goodwill assessment on October 1, 2011 and again on October 31, 2011, which resulted in no impairment of goodwill. In both tests, the Consumer Markets reporting unit passed the first step of the annual impairment tests with a significant margin. The annual goodwill assessment for the Property & Casualty Commercial reporting unit that was performed on October 1, 2011 resulted in a write-down of goodwill of \$30, pre-tax leaving no remaining goodwill. The results of the discounted cash flow calculations indicated that the fair value of the reporting unit was less than the carrying value; this was due primarily to a decrease in future expected underwriting cash flows. The decrease in future expected underwriting cash flows is driven by an expected reduction in written premium in the short term as the Company maintains pricing discipline in a downward market cycle, while retaining long term capabilities for future opportunities.

The Company completed its annual goodwill assessment for Mutual Funds, Individual Life, Retirement Plans and Group Benefits, including the goodwill within Corporate, on January 1, 2011 and October 31, 2011, which resulted in no impairment of goodwill. In both tests, the reporting units passed the first step of their annual impairment tests with a significant margin with the exception of the Individual Life reporting unit at the January 1, 2011 test. The Individual Life reporting unit had a margin of less than 10% between fair value and book value on January 1, 2011. As of the October 31, 2011 impairment test, the Individual Life reporting unit had a fair value in excess of book value of approximately 15%, a modest improvement from January 1, 2011 results due to improving cost of capital.

10. Sales Inducements

The Company offered enhanced crediting rates or bonus payments to contract holders on certain of its individual and group annuity products. The expense associated with offering a bonus is deferred and amortized over the life of the related contract in a pattern consistent with the amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs. Amortization expense associated with expenses previously deferred is recorded over the remaining life of the contract. Consistent with the Unlock, the Company unlocks the amortization of the sales inducement asset. For further information concerning the Unlock, see Note 8 - Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs and Present Value of Future Profits of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Changes in sales inducement activity are as follows:

| | For the years ended December 31, | | |
|---|----------------------------------|-------|--------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$325 | \$434 | \$459 |
| Sales inducements deferred | — | 7 | 20 |
| Amortization — Unlock charge [1] | (72) |)(82 |)(28) |
| Amortization charged to income | (33) |)(34 |)(17) |
| Amortization charged to business dispositions [2] | (71 |)— | — |

| | | | |
|------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Balance, end of period | \$ 149 | \$ 325 | \$ 434 |
|------------------------|--------|--------|--------|

[1] Includes Unlock charge of \$52 in the first quarter of 2013 related to elimination of future estimated gross profits on the Japan variable annuity block due to the increased costs associated with expanding Japan variable annuity hedging program.

[2] Represents accelerated amortization of \$22 and \$49 in the first quarter of 2013 recognized upon the sale of the Retirement Plans and Individual Life businesses, respectively. For further information, see Note 2 - Business Dispositions of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

F-68

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

11. Separate Accounts, Death Benefits and Other Insurance Benefit Features

U.S. GMDB, International GMDB/GMIB, and UL Secondary Guarantee Benefits

Changes in the gross U.S. GMDB, International GMDB/GMIB, and UL secondary guarantee benefits are as follows:

| | U.S. GMDB | International GMDB/GMIB | UL Secondary Guarantees |
|--|-----------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Liability balance as of January 1, 2013 | \$918 | \$661 | \$363 |
| Incurred | 182 | 82 | 292 |
| Paid | (135) |) (73 |) — |
| Unlock | (116) |) (301 |) 2 |
| Impact of reinsurance transaction | — | — | 1,145 |
| Currency translation adjustment | — | (97 |) — |
| Liability balance as of December 31, 2013 | \$849 | \$272 | \$1,802 |
| Reinsurance recoverable asset, as of January 1, 2013 | \$608 | \$36 | \$21 |
| Incurred | 104 | 9 | 296 |
| Paid | (98) |) (14 |) — |
| Unlock | (81) |) (2 |) — |
| Impact of reinsurance transaction | — | — | 1,485 |
| Currency translation adjustment | — | (6 |) — |
| Reinsurance recoverable asset, as of December 31, 2013 | \$533 | \$23 | \$1,802 |
| | U.S. GMDB | International GMDB/GMIB | UL Secondary Guarantees |
| Liability balance as of January 1, 2012 | \$1,104 | \$975 | \$228 |
| Incurred | 210 | 133 | 113 |
| Paid | (185) |) (189 |) — |
| Unlock | (211) |) (155 |) 22 |
| Currency translation adjustment | — | (103 |) — |
| Liability balance as of December 31, 2012 | \$918 | \$661 | \$363 |
| Reinsurance recoverable asset, as of January 1, 2012 | \$724 | \$40 | \$22 |
| Incurred | 121 | 9 | (1) |
| Paid | (121) |) (27 |) — |
| Unlock | (116) |) 18 | — |
| Currency translation adjustment | — | (4 |) — |
| Reinsurance recoverable asset, as of December 31, 2012 | \$608 | \$36 | \$21 |

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

11. Separate Accounts, Death Benefits and Other Insurance Benefit Features (continued)

The following table provides details concerning GMDB and GMIB exposure as of December 31, 2013:

Individual Variable Annuity Account Value by GMDB/GMIB Type

| Maximum anniversary value ("MAV") [1] | Account Value ("AV") [8] | Net Amount at Risk ("NAR") [10] | Retained Net Amount at Risk ("RNAR") [10] | Weighted Average Attained Age of Annuitant |
|---|--------------------------|---------------------------------|---|--|
| MAV only | \$19,638 | \$2,914 | \$519 | 69 |
| With 5% rollup [2] | 1,610 | 232 | 64 | 69 |
| With Earnings Protection Benefit Rider ("EPB") [3] | 4,862 | 629 | 86 | 67 |
| With 5% rollup & EPB | 588 | 119 | 26 | 70 |
| Total MAV | 26,698 | 3,894 | 695 | |
| Asset Protection Benefit ("APB") [4] | 18,579 | 277 | 186 | 68 |
| Lifetime Income Benefit ("LIB") – Death Benefit [5] | 773 | 9 | 9 | 66 |
| Reset [6] (5-7 years) | 3,286 | 74 | 74 | 69 |
| Return of Premium ("ROP") [7]/Other | 12,476 | 71 | 62 | 67 |
| Subtotal U.S. GMDB | 61,812 | 4,325 | 1,026 | 68 |
| Less: General Account Value with U.S. GMDB | 4,349 | | | |
| Subtotal Separate Account Liabilities with GMDB | 57,463 | | | |
| Separate Account Liabilities without U.S. GMDB | 83,423 | | | |
| Total Separate Account Liabilities | \$140,886 | | | |
| Japan GMDB [9], [11] | \$20,130 | \$779 | \$552 | 71 |
| Japan GMIB [9], [11] | \$18,483 | \$128 | \$128 | 71 |

[1] MAV GMDB is the greatest of current AV, net premiums paid and the highest AV on any anniversary before age 80 years (adjusted for withdrawals).

[2] Rollup GMDB is the greatest of the MAV, current AV, net premium paid and premiums (adjusted for withdrawals) accumulated at generally 5% simple interest up to the earlier of age 80 years or 100% of adjusted premiums.

EPB GMDB is the greatest of the MAV, current AV, or contract value plus a percentage of the contract's growth.

[3] The contract's growth is AV less premiums net of withdrawals, subject to a cap of 200% of premiums net of withdrawals.

[4] APB GMDB is the greater of current AV or MAV, not to exceed current AV plus 25% times the greater of net premiums and MAV (each adjusted for premiums in the past 12 months).

[5] LIB GMDB is the greatest of current AV, net premiums paid, or for certain contracts a benefit amount that ratchets over time, generally based on market performance.

[6] Reset GMDB is the greatest of current AV, net premiums paid and the most recent five to seven year anniversary AV before age 80 years (adjusted for withdrawals).

[7] ROP GMDB is the greater of current AV or net premiums paid.

[8] AV includes the contract holder's investment in the separate account and the general account.

GMDB includes a ROP and MAV (before age 80 years) paid in a single lump sum. GMIB is a guarantee to return initial investment, adjusted for earnings liquidity which allows for free withdrawal of earnings, paid through a fixed payout annuity, after a minimum deferral period of 10 years, 15 years or 20 years. The GRB related to the Japan GMIB was \$16.8 billion as of December 31, 2013. The GRB related to the Japan GMAB and GMWB was \$365 as of December 31, 2013. These liabilities are not included in the Separate Account as they are not legally insulated from the general account liabilities of the insurance enterprise. As of December 31, 2013, 30% of the GMDB RNAR and 80% of the GMIB NAR is reinsured to a Hartford affiliate; as a result, the effects of the reinsurance are not reflected in this disclosure.

[10]

NAR is defined as the guaranteed benefit in excess of the current AV. RNAR represents NAR reduced for reinsurance. NAR and RNAR are highly sensitive to equity markets movements and increase when equity markets decline. Additionally Japan's NAR and RNAR are highly sensitive to currency movements and increase when the Yen strengthens.

[11] Policies with a guaranteed living benefit (GMIB in Japan) also have a guaranteed death benefit. The NAR for each benefit is shown in the table above, however these benefits are not additive. When a policy terminates due to death, any NAR related to GMWB or GMIB is released. Similarly, when a policy goes into benefit status on a GMWB or GMIB, its GMDB NAR is released.

In the U.S., account balances of contracts with guarantees were invested in variable separate accounts as follows:

| Asset type | As of December 31, 2013 | As of December 31, 2012 |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Equity securities (including mutual funds) | \$52,858 | \$58,208 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 4,605 | 6,940 |
| Total | \$57,463 | \$65,148 |

As of December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, approximately 17% and 16%, respectively, of the equity securities above were invested in fixed income securities through these funds and approximately 83% and 84%, respectively, were invested in equity securities through these funds.

For further information on guaranteed living benefits that are accounted for at fair value, such as GMWB, see Note 5 - Fair Value Measurements of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

12. Reserves for Future Policy Benefits and Unpaid Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses

Property and Casualty Insurance Products Unpaid Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses

A rollforward of liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses follows:

| | For the years ended December 31, | | |
|---|----------------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Beginning liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, gross | \$21,716 | \$21,550 | \$21,025 |
| Reinsurance and other recoverables | 3,027 | 3,033 | 3,077 |
| Beginning liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, net | 18,689 | 18,517 | 17,948 |
| Add provision for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses | | | |
| Current year | 6,621 | 7,274 | 7,420 |
| Prior years | 192 | (4) |)367 |
| Total provision for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses | 6,813 | 7,270 | 7,787 |
| Less payments | | | |
| Current year | 2,552 | 2,882 | 3,181 |
| Prior years | 4,274 | 4,216 | 4,037 |
| Total payments | 6,826 | 7,098 | 7,218 |
| Ending liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, net | 18,676 | 18,689 | 18,517 |
| Reinsurance and other recoverables | 3,028 | 3,027 | 3,033 |
| Ending liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, gross | \$21,704 | \$21,716 | \$21,550 |

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, property and casualty insurance products reserves were discounted by a total of \$553 and \$538, respectively. The current accident year benefit from discounting property and casualty insurance products reserves was \$46 in 2013, \$48 in 2012 and \$58 in 2011. The slight reduction in the discount benefit in 2013 as compared to 2012 reflects smaller claim volume in 2013 partially offset by a higher discount rate in 2013. The reduction in discount benefit in 2012 as compared to 2011 is due to a reduction in the discount rate, reflecting a lower risk-free rate of return over this period. Accretion of discounts for prior accident years totaled \$31 in 2013, \$52 in 2012, and \$38 in 2011. For annuities issued by the Company to fund certain workers' compensation indemnity payments where the claimant has not released the Company of its obligation, the Company has recorded annuity obligations totaling \$805 as of December 31, 2013 and \$834 as of December 31, 2012.

In the opinion of management, based upon the known facts and current law, the reserves recorded for the Company's property and casualty insurance products at December 31, 2013 represent the Company's best estimate of its ultimate liability for losses and loss adjustment expenses related to losses covered by policies written by the Company. However, because of the significant uncertainties surrounding reserves, and particularly asbestos and environmental exposures, it is possible that management's estimate of the ultimate liabilities for these claims may change and that the required adjustment to recorded reserves could exceed the currently recorded reserves by an amount that could be material to the Company's results of operations or cash flows. For additional information, see Note 15 - Commitments and Contingencies, Guaranty Fund and Other Insurance-related Assessments.

Losses and loss adjustment expenses are also impacted by trends, frequency and severity. Examples of current trends affecting frequency and severity include increases in medical cost inflation rates, the changing use of medical care procedures, the introduction of new products and changes in internal claim practices. Other trends include changes in the legislative and regulatory environment over workers' compensation claims and evolving exposures to claims relating to molestation or abuse and other mass torts. In the case of the reserves for asbestos exposures, factors contributing to the high degree of uncertainty include inadequate loss development patterns, plaintiffs' expanding theories of liability, the risks inherent in major litigation, and inconsistent emerging legal doctrines. In the case of the reserves for environmental exposures, factors contributing to the high degree of uncertainty include expanding theories of liabilities and damages, the risks inherent in major litigation, inconsistent decisions concerning the existence and scope of coverage for environmental claims, and uncertainty as to the monetary amount being sought by

the claimant from the insured.

F-71

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

12. Reserves for Future Policy Benefits and Unpaid Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses (continued)

The following table presents (favorable) unfavorable prior accident years reserve development:

| | For the years ended December 31, | | |
|---|----------------------------------|--------|--------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Auto liability | \$144 | \$(25) | \$(97) |
| Homeowners | (6) |)(32) |)(1) |
| Professional liability | (29) |)40 | 29 |
| Package business | 2 | (20) |)(76) |
| General liability | (75) |)(87) |)(40) |
| Fidelity and surety | (8) |)(9) |)(7) |
| Commercial property | (7) |)(8) |)(4) |
| Net asbestos reserves | 130 | 48 | 294 |
| Net environmental reserves | 12 | 10 | 26 |
| Uncollectible reinsurance | (25) |)— | — |
| Workers' compensation | (2) |)78 | 171 |
| Workers' compensation - NY 25a Fund for Reopened Cases | 80 | — | — |
| Change in workers' compensation discount, including accretion | 30 | 52 | 38 |
| Catastrophes | (63) |)(66) |)37 |
| Other reserve re-estimates, net | \$9 | \$15 | \$(3) |
| Total prior accident years development | \$192 | \$(4) |)\$367 |

Net unfavorable reserve development in 2013 primarily included the following:

- strengthening in commercial auto liability reserves, for accident years 2010 to 2012;
- strengthening related to the closing of the New York Section 25A Fund for Reopened Cases (the "Fund");
- strengthening of net asbestos reserves driven by the annual ground-up asbestos reserve evaluation;
- partially offset by a release of general liability reserves, for accident years 2006 to 2011; and
- also offset by a release of professional liability reserves, for accident years 2008 to 2012; and
- also offset by a release of catastrophe reserves primarily related to Storm Sandy.

Net favorable reserve development in 2012 primarily included the following:

- release of general liability reserves, for accident years 2006 to 2008;
- release of catastrophes, primarily related to the 2001 World Trade Center worker's compensation claims;
- partially offset by a strengthening of reserves for workers' compensation reserves, for accident years 2009 to 2011; and
- also offset by a strengthening of asbestos and environmental reserves.

Net unfavorable reserve development in 2011 primarily included the following:

- strengthening of reserves for workers' compensation reserves, for accident years 2008 to 2010;
- strengthening of asbestos and environmental reserves;
- partially offset by a release of auto liability claims for accident years 2006 to 2010; and
- also offset by a release of package business liability coverages in accident years 2005 to 2009.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

12. Reserves for Future Policy Benefits and Unpaid Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses (continued)

Life Insurance Products Unpaid Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses

A rollforward of liabilities for group life, disability and accident, for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses follows:

| | For the years ended December 31, | | |
|---|----------------------------------|---------|---------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Beginning liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, gross | \$6,547 | \$6,547 | \$6,388 |
| Reinsurance recoverables | 252 | 233 | 209 |
| Beginning liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, net | 6,295 | 6,314 | 6,179 |
| Add provision for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses | | | |
| Current year | 2,534 | 2,989 | 3,196 |
| Prior years | (17) |)52 | 98 |
| Total provision for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses | 2,517 | 3,041 | 3,294 |
| Less payments | | | |
| Current year | 1,207 | 1,460 | 1,524 |
| Prior years | 1,564 | 1,600 | 1,635 |
| Total payments | 2,771 | 3,060 | 3,159 |
| Ending liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, net | 6,041 | 6,295 | 6,314 |
| Reinsurance recoverables | 267 | 252 | 233 |
| Ending liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, gross | \$6,308 | \$6,547 | \$6,547 |

The liability for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses for group life, disability and accident contracts was discounted to present value using rates based on the Company's earned investment yield estimated at the time the claims are incurred.

The decrease in the provision for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses is due to favorable claim recoveries, particularly on the long-term disability product.

The liability for future policy benefits and unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses is as follows:

| | 2013 | 2012 |
|---|----------|----------|
| Group life term, disability and accident unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses | \$6,308 | \$6,547 |
| Group life other unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses | 206 | 206 |
| Individual life unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses | 167 | 173 |
| Future policy benefits | 12,988 | 12,350 |
| Future policy benefits and unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses | \$19,669 | \$19,276 |

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

13. Debt

The Company's long-term debt securities are issued by either HFSG Holding Company or HLI, and are unsecured obligations of HFSG Holding Company or HLI, and rank on a parity with all other unsecured and unsubordinated indebtedness of HFSG Holding Company or HLI. The Company's revolving credit facility debt is secured by Japan government bonds and is drawn by HLIKK.

Debt is carried net of discount. Short-term and long-term debt by issuance are as follows:

| | As of December 31, | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|---------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Revolving Credit Facilities | \$238 | \$— |
| Senior Notes and Debentures | | |
| 4.625% Notes, due 2013 | — | 320 |
| 4.75% Notes, due 2014 | 200 | 200 |
| 4.0% Notes, due 2015 | 289 | 300 |
| 7.3% Notes, due 2015 | 167 | 200 |
| 5.5% Notes, due 2016 | 275 | 300 |
| 5.375% Notes, due 2017 | 415 | 499 |
| 4.0% Notes, due 2017 | 295 | 325 |
| 6.3% Notes, due 2018 | 320 | 500 |
| 6.0% Notes, due 2019 | 413 | 500 |
| 5.5% Notes, due 2020 | 499 | 499 |
| 5.125% Notes, due 2022 | 796 | 796 |
| 7.65% Notes, due 2027 | 79 | 149 |
| 7.375% Notes, due 2031 | 63 | 92 |
| 5.95% Notes, due 2036 | 298 | 298 |
| 6.625% Notes, due 2040 | 295 | 299 |
| 6.1% Notes, due 2041 | 326 | 325 |
| 6.625% Notes, due 2042 | 178 | 424 |
| 4.3% Notes, due 2043 | 298 | — |
| Junior Subordinated Debentures | | |
| 7.875% Notes, due 2042 | 600 | 600 |
| 8.125% Notes, due 2068 | 500 | 500 |
| Total Notes and Debentures | 6,306 | 7,126 |
| Less: Current maturities | 200 | 320 |
| Long-Term Debt | 6,106 | 6,806 |
| Total Debt | \$6,544 | \$7,126 |

The effective interest rate on the 6.1% senior notes due 2041 is 7.9%. The effective interest rate on the remaining notes does not differ materially from the stated rate. The Company incurred interest expense of \$397, \$457 and \$508 on long-term debt for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

13. Debt (continued)

Collateralized Advances

Hartford Life Insurance Company (“HLIC”), an indirect wholly owned subsidiary, became a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston (“FHLBB”) in May 2011. Membership allows HLIC access to collateralized advances, which may be used to support various spread-based businesses and enhance liquidity management. The Connecticut Department of Insurance (“CTDOI”) will permit HLIC to pledge up to \$1.25 billion in qualifying assets to secure FHLBB advances for 2014. The amount of advances that can be taken are dependent on the asset types pledged to secure the advances. The pledge limit is recalculated annually based on statutory admitted assets and capital and surplus. HLIC would need to seek the prior approval of the CTDOI if there were a desire to exceed these limits. As of December 31, 2013, HLIC had no advances outstanding under the FHLBB facility.

Senior Notes

On March 26, 2013, the Company repurchased principal amounts of approximately \$800, plus a payment for unpaid interest on senior notes due through the settlement date. The Company recognized a loss on extinguishment in 2013 of approximately \$213, before tax, representing the excess of the repurchase price over the principal repaid and the write-off of the unamortized discount and debt issuance costs.

On April 18, 2013, the Company issued \$300 aggregate principal amount of 4.3% Senior Notes (the “4.3% Notes”) due April 15, 2043 for net proceeds of approximately \$295, after deducting underwriting discounts and expenses from the offering. The 4.3% Notes bear interest at an annual fixed rate of 4.3% from the date of issuance to April 15, 2043, payable semi-annually in arrears on April 15 and October 15, commencing October 15, 2013. The Company, at its option, can redeem the 4.3% Notes at any time in whole, or from time to time in part, at a redemption price at a discount rate of US Treasury due November 15, 2042 plus 25 basis points, or if greater, 100% of the principal amount of notes to be redeemed, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of redemption.

Junior Subordinated Debentures

On April 17, 2012, the Company (i) repurchased all outstanding 10% fixed-to-floating rate junior subordinated debentures due 2068 with a \$1.75 billion aggregate principal amount held by Allianz SE (“Allianz”) (the “10% Debentures”) for \$2.125 billion (plus a payment by the Company of unpaid interest on the 10% Debentures) and (ii) settled the repurchase of the Series B and Series C warrants held by Allianz to purchase shares of the Company’s common stock, see Note 16. In addition, the 10% Debentures replacement capital covenant (the “10% Debentures RCC”) was terminated on April 12, 2012 with the consent of the holders of a majority in aggregate principal amount of the Company’s outstanding 6.1% senior notes due 2041. Upon closing, the Company recognized a loss on extinguishment in the second quarter of 2012 of \$587, after-tax, representing the premium associated with repurchasing the 10% Debentures at an amount greater than the face amount, the write-off of the unamortized discount and debt issuance costs related to the 10% Debentures and other costs related to the repurchase transaction. On April 5, 2012, the Company issued \$600 aggregate principal amount of 7.875% fixed-to-floating rate junior subordinated debentures due 2042 (the “Debentures”) for net proceeds of approximately \$586, after deducting underwriting discounts and offering expenses. The Company financed the repurchase of the 10% Debentures through the issuance of the Senior Notes and the Debentures.

The Debentures bear interest from the date of issuance to but excluding April 15, 2022 at an annual rate of 7.875%, payable quarterly in arrears on January 15, April 15, July 15 and October 15 of each year to and including April 15, 2022. Commencing on April 15, 2022 the Debentures bear interest at an annual rate equal to three-month LIBOR, reset quarterly, plus 5.596%, payable quarterly in arrears on January 15, April 15, July 15 and October 15 of each year, commencing on July 15, 2022. The Company has the right, on one or more occasions, to defer the payment of interest on the Debentures. The Company may defer interest for up to ten consecutive years without giving rise to an event of default. Deferred interest will accumulate additional interest at an annual rate equal to the annual interest rate then applicable to the Debentures. If the Company defers interest payments on the Debentures, the Company generally may not make payments on or redeem or purchase any shares of its capital stock or any of its debt securities or guarantees that rank upon liquidation, dissolution or winding up equally with or junior to the Debentures, subject to

certain limited exceptions.

The Company may elect to redeem the Debentures in whole at any time or in part from time to time on or after April 15, 2022, at a redemption price equal to the principal amount of the Debentures being redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest to but excluding the date of redemption. If the Debentures are not redeemed in whole, at least \$25 aggregate principal amount of the Debentures must remain outstanding after giving effect to such redemption. The Debentures may be redeemed in whole at any time prior to April 15, 2022, within 90 days of the occurrence of a tax event or rating agency event, at a redemption price equal to the greater of (i) the principal amount of the Debentures being redeemed, or (ii) the present value of the (a) outstanding principal and (b) remaining scheduled payments of interest that would have been payable from the redemption date to and including April 15, 2022 on the Debentures to be redeemed (not including any portion of such payments of interest accrued and unpaid to but excluding the redemption date), discounted from their respective interest payment dates to but excluding the redemption date at a discount rate equal to the Treasury Rate plus a spread of 0.7%, in each case, plus accrued and unpaid interest to but excluding the redemption date.

F-75

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

13. Debt (continued)

The Debentures are unsecured, subordinated and junior in right of payment and upon liquidation to all of the Company's existing and future senior indebtedness. In addition, the Debentures are effectively subordinated to all of the Company's subsidiaries' existing and future indebtedness and other liabilities, including obligations to policyholders. The Debentures do not limit the Company's or the Company's subsidiaries' ability to incur additional debt, including debt that ranks senior in right of payment and upon liquidation to the Debentures.

The Debentures rank equally in right of payment and upon liquidation with (i) any indebtedness the terms of which provide that such indebtedness ranks equally with the Debentures, including guarantees of such indebtedness, (ii) the Company's existing 8.125% fixed-to-floating rate junior subordinated debentures due 2068 (the "8.125% Debentures"), (iii) the Company's Income Capital Obligation Notes due 2067, issuable pursuant to the Junior Subordinated Indenture, dated as of February 12, 2007, between the Company and Wilmington Trust Company (the "ICON securities"), (iv) our trade accounts payable, and (v) any of our indebtedness owed to a person who is our subsidiary or employee.

Long-Term Debt Maturities

Long-term debt maturities (at par values), as of December 31, 2013 are summarized as follows:

| | |
|------------|-------|
| 2014 | \$200 |
| 2015 | 456 |
| 2016 | 275 |
| 2017 | 711 |
| 2018 | 320 |
| Thereafter | 4,438 |

Shelf Registrations

On August 9, 2013, the Company filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") an automatic shelf registration statement (Registration No. 333-190506) for the potential offering and sale of debt and equity securities. The registration statement allows for the following types of securities to be offered: debt securities, junior subordinated debt securities, preferred stock, common stock, depositary shares, warrants, stock purchase contracts, and stock purchase units. In that The Hartford is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 under the Securities Act of 1933, the registration statement went effective immediately upon filing and The Hartford may offer and sell an unlimited amount of securities under the registration statement during the three-year life of the registration statement.

Contingent Capital Facility

The Company is party to a put option agreement that provides The Hartford with the right to require the Glen Meadow ABC Trust, a Delaware statutory trust, at any time and from time to time, to purchase The Hartford's junior subordinated notes in a maximum aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$500. Under the Put Option Agreement, The Hartford will pay the Glen Meadow ABC Trust premiums on a periodic basis, calculated with respect to the aggregate principal amount of notes that The Hartford had the right to put to the Glen Meadow ABC Trust for such period. The Hartford has agreed to reimburse the Glen Meadow ABC Trust for certain fees and ordinary expenses. The Company holds a variable interest in the Glen Meadow ABC Trust where the Company is not the primary beneficiary. As a result, the Company did not consolidate the Glen Meadow ABC Trust. As of December 31, 2013, The Hartford has not exercised its right to require Glen Meadow ABC Trust to purchase the notes. As a result, the notes remain a source of capital for the HFSG Holding Company.

Revolving Credit Facilities

The Company has a senior unsecured revolving credit facility (the "Credit Facility") that provides for borrowing capacity up to \$1.75 billion (which is available in U.S. dollars, and in Euro, Sterling, Canadian dollars and Japanese Yen) through January 6, 2016. As of December 31, 2013, there were no borrowings outstanding under the Credit Facility. Of the total availability under the Credit Facility, up to \$250 is available to support letters of credit issued on behalf of the Company or subsidiaries of the Company. Under the Credit Facility, the Company must maintain a minimum level of consolidated net worth of \$14.9 billion. The definition of consolidated net worth under the terms of

the Credit Facility, excludes AOCI and includes the Company's outstanding junior subordinated debentures and, if any, perpetual preferred securities, net of discount. In addition, the Company's maximum ratio of consolidated total debt to consolidated total capitalization is limited to 35%, and the ratio of consolidated total debt of subsidiaries to consolidated total capitalization is limited to 10%. As of December 31, 2013, the Company was in compliance with all financial covenants under the Credit Facility.

F-76

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

13. Debt (continued)

HLIKK has four revolving credit facilities in support of operations. Two of the credit facilities have no amounts drawn as of December 31, 2013 with borrowing limits of approximately ¥5 billion, or \$48 each, and individually have expiration dates of January 5, 2015 and September 30, 2014. In December 2013, HLIKK entered into two new revolving credit facility agreements with two Japanese banks in order to finance certain withholding taxes on mutual fund gains, that are subsequently credited to HLIKK's tax liability when HLIKK files its' income tax returns. At December 31, 2013, HLIKK had drawn the total borrowing limits of ¥5 billion, or \$48, and ¥20 billion, or \$190 on these credit facilities. The ¥5 billion credit facility accrues interest at a variable rate based on the one month Tokyo Interbank Offering Rate (TIBOR) plus 3 bps, which as of December 31, 2013 the interest rate was 18 bps, and the ¥20 billion credit facility accrues interest at a variable rate based on TIBOR plus 3 bps, or the actual cost of funding, which as of December 31, 2013 the interest rate was 20 bps. Both of the credit facilities expire on September 30, 2014.

Commercial Paper

While The Hartford's maximum borrowings available under its commercial paper program are \$2.0 billion, the Company is dependent upon market conditions to access short-term financing through the issuance of commercial paper to investors. There is no commercial paper outstanding as of December 31, 2013.

Consumer Notes

The Company issued consumer notes through its Retail Investor Notes Program prior to 2009. A consumer note is an investment product distributed through broker-dealers directly to retail investors as medium-term, publicly traded fixed or floating rate, or a combination of fixed and floating rate notes. Consumer notes are part of the Company's spread-based business and proceeds are used to purchase investment products, primarily fixed rate bonds. Proceeds are not used for general operating purposes. Consumer notes maturities may extend up to 30 years and have contractual coupons based upon varying interest rates or indexes (e.g. consumer price index) and may include a call provision that allows the Company to extinguish the notes prior to its scheduled maturity date. Certain Consumer notes may be redeemed by the holder in the event of death. Redemptions are subject to certain limitations, including calendar year aggregate and individual limits. The aggregate limit is equal to the greater of \$1 or 1% of the aggregate principal amount of the notes as of the end of the prior year. The individual limit is \$250 thousand per individual. Derivative instruments are utilized to hedge the Company's exposure to market risks in accordance with Company policy. As of December 31, 2013, these consumer notes have interest rates ranging from 4% to 6% for fixed notes and, for variable notes, based on December 31, 2013 rates, either consumer price index plus 102 to 247 basis points, or indexed to the S&P 500, Dow Jones Industrials, or the Nikkei 225. The aggregate maturities of Consumer Notes are as follows: \$14 in 2014, \$32 in 2015, \$18 in 2016, \$12 in 2017, \$8 thereafter. For 2013, 2012 and 2011, interest credited to holders of consumer notes was \$6, \$10, and \$15, respectively.

14. Income Taxes

Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes included income (loss) from domestic operations of \$276, \$(1,267) and \$344 for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, and income (loss) from foreign operations of \$(213), \$686 and \$(144) for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011. Substantially all of the income (loss) from foreign operations is earned by a Japanese subsidiary.

The provision (benefit) for income taxes consists of the following:

| | For the years ended December 31, | | |
|--|----------------------------------|-------|---------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Income Tax Expense (Benefit) | | | |
| Current - U.S. Federal | \$219 | \$33 | \$(543) |
| International | 89 | 6 | 22 |
| Total current | 308 | 39 | (521) |
| Deferred - U.S. Federal Excluding NOL Carryforward | (233) | (377) | 921 |
| U.S. Net Operating Loss Carryforward | (86) | (301) | (652) |

Edgar Filing: HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP INC/DE - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------|----------|----------|---|
| International | (236 |) 158 | (121 |) |
| Total deferred | (555 |) (520 |) 148 |) |
| Total income tax benefit | \$(247 |) \$(481 |) \$(373 |) |

F-77

Table of ContentsTHE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Deferred tax assets (liabilities) include the following:

| | As of December 31, | |
|--|--------------------|---------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Deferred Tax Assets | | |
| Tax discount on loss reserves | \$632 | \$621 |
| Tax basis deferred policy acquisition costs | 207 | 481 |
| Unearned premium reserve and other underwriting related reserves | 434 | 414 |
| Investment-related items | 1,641 | 1,525 |
| Insurance product derivatives | 13 | 454 |
| Employee benefits | 523 | 599 |
| Minimum tax credit | 823 | 860 |
| Net operating loss carryover | 1,093 | 1,007 |
| Foreign tax credit carryover | 163 | 149 |
| Capital loss carryover | — | 5 |
| Other | 63 | 118 |
| Total Deferred Tax Assets | 5,592 | 6,233 |
| Valuation Allowance | (4 |)(58 |
| Deferred Tax Assets, Net of Valuation Allowance | 5,588 | 6,175 |
| Deferred Tax Liabilities | | |
| Financial statement deferred policy acquisition costs and reserves | (894 |)(1,694 |
| Net unrealized gains on investments | (669 |)(2,396 |
| Other depreciable and amortizable assets | (185 |)(143 |
| Total Deferred Tax Liabilities | (1,748 |)(4,233 |
| Net Deferred Tax Asset | \$3,840 | \$1,942 |

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the net deferred tax asset included the expected tax benefit attributable to net operating losses of \$3,123 and \$2,946, respectively, consisting of U.S. losses of \$3,123 and \$2,725, respectively, and foreign losses of \$0 and \$221. The U.S. losses expire as follows: \$12 from 2014-2020, \$3,111 from 2026-2032.

The Company has recorded a deferred tax asset valuation allowance that is adequate to reduce the total deferred tax asset to an amount that will be more likely than not realized.

The deferred tax asset valuation allowance was \$4 as of December 31, 2013 relating mostly to U.S. net operating losses and \$58 as of December 31, 2012 relating mostly to foreign net operating losses. The change in the valuation allowance is primarily related to the sale of the U.K. variable annuity business.

In assessing the need for a valuation allowance, management considered future taxable temporary difference reversals, future taxable income exclusive of reversing temporary differences and carryforwards, taxable income in open carry back years, as well as other tax planning strategies. These tax planning strategies include holding a portion of debt securities with market value losses until recovery, altering the level of tax exempt securities, selling appreciated securities to offset capital losses, business considerations such as asset-liability matching, and the sales of certain corporate assets. Management views such tax planning strategies as prudent and feasible, and would implement them, if necessary, to realize the deferred tax asset. In 2011, the Company released \$86, or 100% of the valuation allowance associated with realized capital losses based on the availability of additional tax planning strategies. Future economic conditions and debt market volatility, including increases in interest rates, can adversely impact the Company's tax planning strategies and in particular the Company's ability to utilize tax benefits on previously recognized realized capital losses.

Included in Other liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 are net deferred tax liabilities related to Japan of \$61 and \$376, respectively. The net deferred tax liability of \$61 as of December 31, 2013 was comprised of taxes on future taxable income related to owed reinsurance recoverables, loss reserves and foreign currency translation adjustments.

The December 31, 2012 net deferred tax liability is comprised of a gross deferred tax asset of \$274 related to tax discount on loss reserves and a gross deferred tax liability of \$650, comprised primarily of \$331 for deferred policy acquisition costs and \$197 for foreign currency translation adjustments.

As of December 31, 2013 the Company had a current income tax receivable of \$72, of which \$70 was a payable related to Japan and due to a foreign jurisdiction. As of December 31, 2012 the Company had a current income tax receivable of \$19, of which \$1 was related to Japan and due from a foreign jurisdiction.

F-78

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

14. Income Taxes (continued)

The Company and its subsidiaries file income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction, and various state and foreign jurisdictions, as applicable. The Company is no longer subject to U.S. federal, state and local, or non-U.S. income tax examinations for years prior to 2007. The audit of the years 2007-2011 are expected to conclude in 2015, with no material impact on the consolidated financial condition or results of operations. In addition, in 2011 the Company recorded a tax benefit of \$52 as a result of a resolution of a tax matter with the IRS for the computation of the dividends-received deduction (“DRD”) for years 1998, 2000 and 2001. Management believes that adequate provision has been made in the financial statements for any potential assessments that may result from tax examinations and other tax-related matters for all open tax years.

The Company’s unrecognized tax benefits were unchanged during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, remaining at \$48 as of December 31, 2013 and 2012. This entire amount, if it were recognized, would affect the effective tax rate in the period it is released.

The Company classifies interest and penalties (if applicable) as income tax expense in the consolidated financial statements. The Company recognized interest income (expense) of \$5, \$0, and \$5 during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The Company had approximately \$1 of interest payable and \$1 of interest receivable accrued as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The Company does not believe it would be subject to any penalties in any open tax years and, therefore, has not booked any accrual for penalties.

A reconciliation of the tax provision at the U.S. Federal statutory rate to the provision for income taxes is as follows:

| | For the years ended December 31, | | |
|--|----------------------------------|---------|---------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Tax provision (benefit) at U.S. Federal statutory rate | \$22 | \$(203) | \$(70) |
| Tax-exempt interest | (138) | (141) | (148) |
| Dividends received deduction | (139) | (145) | (206) |
| Valuation allowance | (2) | — | (85) |
| Other | 10 | 8 | (4) |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes | \$(247) | \$(481) | \$(373) |

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

15. Commitments and Contingencies

Contingencies Relating to Corporate Litigation and Regulatory Matters

Management evaluates each contingent matter separately. A loss is recorded if probable and reasonably estimable. Management establishes liabilities for these contingencies at its “best estimate,” or, if no one number within the range of possible losses is more probable than any other, the Company records an estimated liability at the low end of the range of losses.

Litigation

The Hartford is involved in claims litigation arising in the ordinary course of business, both as a liability insurer defending or providing indemnity for third-party claims brought against insureds and as an insurer defending coverage claims brought against it. The Hartford accounts for such activity through the establishment of unpaid loss and loss adjustment expense reserves. Subject to the uncertainties discussed below under the caption “Asbestos and Environmental Claims,” management expects that the ultimate liability, if any, with respect to such ordinary-course claims litigation, after consideration of provisions made for potential losses and costs of defense, will not be material to the consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows of The Hartford.

The Hartford is also involved in other kinds of legal actions, some of which assert claims for substantial amounts. These actions include, among others, and in addition to the matters described below, putative state and federal class actions seeking certification of a state or national class. Such putative class actions have alleged, for example, underpayment of claims or improper underwriting practices in connection with various kinds of insurance policies, such as personal and commercial automobile, property, life and inland marine; improper sales practices in connection with the sale of life insurance and other investment products; and improper fee arrangements in connection with investment products. The Hartford also is involved in individual actions in which punitive damages are sought, such as claims alleging bad faith in the handling of insurance claims. Like many other insurers, The Hartford also has been joined in actions by asbestos plaintiffs asserting, among other things, that insurers had a duty to protect the public from the dangers of asbestos and that insurers committed unfair trade practices by asserting defenses on behalf of their policyholders in the underlying asbestos cases. Management expects that the ultimate liability, if any, with respect to such lawsuits, after consideration of provisions made for estimated losses, will not be material to the consolidated financial condition of The Hartford. Nonetheless, given the large or indeterminate amounts sought in certain of these actions, and the inherent unpredictability of litigation, the outcome in certain matters could, from time to time, have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations or cash flows in particular quarterly or annual periods.

Apart from the inherent difficulty of predicting litigation outcomes, the Mutual Funds Litigation identified below purports to seek substantial damages for unsubstantiated conduct spanning a multi-year period based on novel applications of complex legal theories. The alleged damages are not quantified or factually supported in the complaint, and, in any event, the Company's experience shows that demands for damages often bear little relation to a reasonable estimate of potential loss. The matter is in the earliest stages of litigation, with no substantive legal decisions by the court defining the scope of the claims or the potentially available damages; fact discovery is also in its early stages. Accordingly, management cannot reasonably estimate the possible loss or range of loss, if any, or predict the timing of the eventual resolution of this matter.

Mutual Funds Litigation - In February 2011, a derivative action was brought on behalf of six Hartford retail mutual funds in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey, alleging that Hartford Investment Financial Services, LLC (“HIFSCO”), an indirect subsidiary of the Company, received excessive advisory and distribution fees in violation of its statutory fiduciary duty under Section 36(b) of the Investment Company Act of 1940. HIFSCO moved to dismiss and, in September 2011, the motion was granted in part and denied in part, with leave to amend the complaint. In November 2011, plaintiffs filed an amended complaint on behalf of The Hartford Global Health Fund,

The Hartford Conservative Allocation Fund, The Hartford Growth Opportunities Fund, The Hartford Inflation Plus Fund, The Hartford Advisors Fund, and The Hartford Capital Appreciation Fund. Plaintiffs seek to rescind the investment management agreements and distribution plans between HIFSCO and these funds and to recover the total fees charged thereunder or, in the alternative, to recover any improper compensation HIFSCO received, in addition to lost earnings. HIFSCO filed a partial motion to dismiss the amended complaint and, in December 2012, the court dismissed without prejudice the claims regarding distribution fees and denied the motion with respect to the advisory fees claims. In February 2014, the plaintiffs expressed their intent to file a new complaint that would, among other things, add as new plaintiffs The Hartford Floating Rate Fund and The Hartford Small Company Fund and name as a defendant Hartford Funds Management Company, LLC (“HFMC”), which assumed the role as advisor to the funds as of January 2013. HFMC and HIFSCO dispute the allegations and intend to defend vigorously.

Table of Contents

THE HARTFORD FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

15. Commitments and Contingencies (continued)

Asbestos and Environmental Claims

The Company continues to receive asbestos and environmental claims. Asbestos claims relate primarily to bodily injuries asserted by people who came in contact with asbestos or products containing asbestos. Environmental claims relate primarily to pollution and related clean-up costs.

The Company wrote several different categories of insurance contracts that may cover asbestos and environmental claims. First, the Company wrote primary policies providing the first layer of coverage in an insured's liability program. Second, the Company wrote excess policies providing higher layers of coverage for losses that exhaust the limits of underlying coverage. Third, the Company acted as a reinsurer assuming a portion of those risks assumed by other insurers writing primary, excess and reinsurance coverages. Fourth, subsidiaries of the Company participated in the London Market, writing both direct insurance and assumed reinsurance business.

Significant uncertainty limits the ability of insurers and reinsurers to estimate the ultimate reserves necessary for unpaid losses and expenses related to environmental and particularly asbestos claims. The degree of variability of reserve estimates for these exposures is significantly greater than for other more traditional exposures.

In the case of the reserves for asbestos exposures, factors contributing to the high degree of uncertainty include inadequate loss development patterns, plaintiffs' expanding theories of liability, the risks inherent in major litigation, and inconsistent emerging legal doctrines. Furthermore, over time, insurers, including the Company, have experienced significant changes in the rate at which asbestos claims are brought, the claims experience of particular insureds, and the value of claims, making predictions of future exposure from past experience uncertain. Plaintiffs and insureds also have sought to use bankruptcy proceedings, including "pre-packaged" bankruptcies, to accelerate and increase loss payments by insurers. In addition, some policyholders have asserted new classes of claims for coverages to which an aggregate limit of liability may not apply. Further uncertainties include insolvencies of other carriers and unanticipated developments pertaining to the Company's ability to recover reinsurance for asbestos and environmental claims. Management believes these issues are not likely to be resolved in the near future.

In the case of the reserves for environmental exposures, factors contributing to the high degree of uncertainty include expanding theories of liability and damages, the risks inherent in major litigation, inconsistent decisions concerning the existence and scope of coverage for environmental claims, and uncertainty as to the monetary amount being sought by the claimant from the insured.

The reporting pattern for assumed reinsurance claims, including those related to asbestos and environmental claims, is much longer than for direct claims. In many instances, it takes months or years to determine that the policyholder's own obligations have been met and how the reinsurance in question may apply to such claims. The delay in reporting reinsurance claims and exposures adds to the uncertainty of estimating the related reserves.

It is also not possible to predict changes in the legal and legislative environment and their effect on the future development of asbestos and environmental claims.

Given the factors described above, the Company believes the actuarial tools and other techniques it employs to estimate the ultimate cost of claims for more traditional kinds of insurance exposure are less precise in estimating reserves for certain of its asbestos and environmental exposures. For this reason, the Company principally relies on exposure-based analysis to estimate the ultimate costs of these claims and regularly evaluates new account information in assessing its potential asbestos and environmental exposures. The Company supplements this exposure-based analysis with evaluations of the Company's historical direct net loss and expense paid and reported experience, and net loss and expense paid and reported experience by calendar and/or report year, to assess any emerging trends, fluctuations or characteristics suggested by the aggregate paid and reported activity.

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company reported \$1.7 billion and \$1.8 billion of net asbestos reserves and \$276 and \$297 of net environmental reserves, respe