

Horizon Pharma plc  
Form 10-Q  
November 06, 2017

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(MARK ONE)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2017

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number 001-35238

HORIZON PHARMA PUBLIC LIMITED COMPANY

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Ireland  
(State or other jurisdiction

of incorporation or organization)

Connaught House, 1st Floor

1 Burlington Road, Dublin 4, D04 C5Y6, Ireland

Not Applicable  
(I.R.S. Employer

Identification No.)

Not Applicable

Edgar Filing: Horizon Pharma plc - Form 10-Q

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

011 353 1 772 2100

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Not applicable

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, smaller reporting company, or an emerging growth company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," "smaller reporting company," and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Emerging growth company

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Number of registrant's ordinary shares, nominal value \$0.0001, outstanding as of October 27, 2017: 163,885,803.

HORIZON PHARMA PLC

INDEX

	Page No.
<b><u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u></b>	
Item 1. <u>Financial Statements</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2017 and as of December 31, 2016 (Unaudited)</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2017 and 2016 (Unaudited)</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2017 and 2016 (Unaudited)</u>	5
<u>Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	7
Item 2. <u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	45
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	63
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	64
<b><u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u></b>	
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	65
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	65
Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	108
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	109
<u>Signatures</u>	111

## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## HORIZON PHARMA PLC

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(UNAUDITED)

(In thousands, except share data)

	As of September 30, 2017	As of December 31, 2016
<b>ASSETS</b>		
<b>CURRENT ASSETS:</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$624,960	\$509,055
Restricted cash	6,530	7,095
Accounts receivable, net	390,683	305,725
Inventories, net	86,527	174,788
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	52,925	49,619
Total current assets	1,161,625	1,046,282
Property and equipment, net	21,700	23,484
Developed technology, net	2,512,412	2,767,184
Other intangible assets, net	5,643	6,251
Goodwill	426,441	445,579
Deferred tax assets, net	5,399	911
Other assets	36,234	2,368
Total assets	\$4,169,454	\$4,292,059
<b>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
<b>CURRENT LIABILITIES:</b>		
Long-term debt—current portion	\$8,500	\$7,750
Accounts payable	32,825	52,479
Accrued expenses	162,701	182,765
Accrued trade discounts and rebates	435,714	297,556
Accrued royalties—current portion	62,273	61,981
Deferred revenues—current portion	5,938	3,321
Total current liabilities	707,951	605,852
<b>LONG-TERM LIABILITIES:</b>		
Exchangeable notes, net	310,130	298,002
Long-term debt, net, net of current	1,578,947	1,501,741
Accrued royalties, net of current	268,672	272,293
Deferred revenues, net of current	9,842	7,763
Deferred tax liabilities, net	226,113	296,568
Other long-term liabilities	67,976	46,061
Total long-term liabilities	2,461,680	2,422,428
<b>COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES</b>		

SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY:

Ordinary shares, \$0.0001 nominal value; 300,000,000 shares authorized;

164,242,005 and 162,004,956 shares issued at September 30, 2017 and December

31, 2016, respectively, and 163,857,639 and 161,620,590 shares outstanding at

September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively	16	16
Treasury stock, 384,366 ordinary shares at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016	(4,585 )	(4,585 )
Additional paid-in capital	2,212,613	2,119,455
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(2,341 )	(3,086 )
Accumulated deficit	(1,205,880)	(848,021 )
Total shareholders' equity	999,823	1,263,779
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$4,169,454	\$4,292,059

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

## HORIZON PHARMA PLC

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

(UNAUDITED)

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,		For the Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
Net sales	\$271,646	\$208,702	\$782,012	\$670,770
Cost of goods sold	125,517	85,161	394,783	243,520
Gross profit	146,129	123,541	387,229	427,250
<b>OPERATING EXPENSES:</b>				
Research and development	17,928	12,814	194,090	36,746
Selling, general and administrative	153,952	132,049	509,940	407,563
Total operating expenses	171,880	144,863	704,030	444,309
Operating loss	(25,751 )	(21,322 )	(316,801 )	(17,059 )
<b>OTHER EXPENSE, NET:</b>				
Interest expense, net	(31,706 )	(19,066 )	(95,297 )	(57,752 )
Foreign exchange gain (loss)	275	(108 )	167	(266 )
Gain on divestiture	112	—	5,968	—
Loss on debt extinguishment	—	—	(533 )	—
Other income, net	280	6,879	280	6,839
Total other expense, net	(31,039 )	(12,295 )	(89,415 )	(51,179 )
Loss before expense (benefit) for income taxes	(56,790 )	(33,617 )	(406,216 )	(68,238 )
Expense (benefit) for income taxes	7,181	(27,747 )	(42,138 )	(31,946 )
Net loss	\$(63,971 )	\$(5,870 )	\$(364,078 )	\$(36,292 )
Net loss per ordinary share—basic and diluted	\$(0.39 )	\$(0.04 )	\$(2.24 )	\$(0.23 )
Weighted average shares outstanding—basic and diluted	163,447,208	161,038,827	162,810,551	160,472,530
<b>OTHER COMPREHENSIVE (LOSS) INCOME, NET OF TAX</b>				
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(209 )	(110 )	745	(196 )
Other comprehensive (loss) income	(209 )	(110 )	745	(196 )
Comprehensive loss	\$(64,180 )	\$(5,980 )	\$(363,333 )	\$(36,488 )

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

## HORIZON PHARMA PLC

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(UNAUDITED)

(In thousands)

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2017	2016
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Net loss	\$(364,078)	\$(36,292 )
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by		
operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization expense	213,155	154,465
Equity-settled share-based compensation	91,391	84,011
Royalty accretion	38,415	28,762
Royalty liability remeasurement	(2,944 )	—
Acquired in-process research and development expense	148,769	—
Impairment of non-current asset	22,270	—
Loss on debt extinguishment	388	—
Payments related to term loan refinancing	(3,940 )	—
Amortization of debt discount and deferred financing costs	15,863	13,469
Gain on divestiture	(2,635 )	—
Deferred income taxes	(62,989 )	(35,158 )
Foreign exchange and other adjustments	(1,521 )	268
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(85,161 )	(142,448)
Inventories	83,482	23,842
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(4,435 )	(20,838 )
Accounts payable	(18,414 )	49,695
Accrued trade discounts and rebates	139,461	83,009
Accrued expenses and accrued royalties	(59,293 )	29,582
Deferred revenues	3,770	(443 )
Other non-current assets and liabilities	(14,559 )	(1,653 )
Net cash provided by operating activities	136,995	230,271
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Payments for acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(168,818)	(520,405)
Proceeds from divestiture, net of cash divested	69,072	—
Change in restricted cash	568	(3,411 )
Purchases of property and equipment	(4,031 )	(14,616 )
Net cash used in investing activities	(103,209)	(538,432)
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Net proceeds from term loans	847,768	—
Repayment of term loans	(770,790)	(3,000 )
Proceeds from the issuance of ordinary shares in connection with warrant exercises	1,789	—
Proceeds from the issuance of ordinary shares through an employee stock purchase plan	3,856	3,235

Edgar Filing: Horizon Pharma plc - Form 10-Q

Proceeds from the issuance of ordinary shares in connection with stock option exercises	1,762	3,384
Payment of employee withholding taxes related to share-based awards	(5,640 )	(5,309 )
Repurchase of ordinary shares	(992 )	—
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	77,753	(1,690 )
Effect of foreign exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	4,366	(462 )
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	115,905	(310,313)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of the period	509,055	859,616
Cash and cash equivalents, end of the period	\$624,960	\$549,303



	For the Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2017	2016
<b>SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION:</b>		
Cash paid for interest	\$74,378	\$39,542
Net cash payments for income taxes	2,054	18,538
Cash paid for debt extinguishment	145	—
<b>SUPPLEMENTAL NON-CASH FLOW INFORMATION:</b>		
Purchases of property and equipment included in accounts payable and accrued expenses	45	1,101

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

HORIZON PHARMA PLC

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 – BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND BUSINESS OVERVIEW

Basis of Presentation

The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements presented herein have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (“GAAP”) for interim financial information and in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, the financial statements do not include all of the information and notes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments, including normal recurring adjustments, considered necessary for a fair statement of the financial statements have been included. Operating results for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2017. The December 31, 2016 condensed consolidated balance sheet was derived from audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by GAAP.

Unless otherwise indicated or the context otherwise requires, references to the “Company”, “we”, “us” and “our” refer to Horizon Pharma plc and its consolidated subsidiaries. The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements presented herein include the accounts of the Company and its wholly owned subsidiaries. All inter-company transactions and balances have been eliminated.

On January 13, 2016, the Company completed its acquisition of Crealta Holdings LLC (“Crealta”) for approximately \$539.7 million, including \$24.9 million of cash acquired and \$70.9 million paid to settle Crealta’s outstanding debt. Following completion of the acquisition, Crealta became a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company and was renamed as Horizon Pharma Rheumatology LLC.

On October 25, 2016, the Company completed its acquisition of Raptor Pharmaceutical Corp. (“Raptor”) in which the Company acquired all of the issued and outstanding shares of Raptor’s common stock for \$9.00 per share in cash. The total consideration was \$860.8 million, including \$24.9 million of cash acquired and \$56.0 million paid to settle Raptor’s outstanding debt. Following completion of the acquisition, Raptor became a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company and converted to a limited liability company, changing its name to Horizon Pharmaceutical LLC.

On May 8, 2017, the Company acquired River Vision Development Corp. (“River Vision”) for upfront cash payments totaling \$151.9 million, including \$6.3 million of cash acquired, and subject to other customary purchase price adjustments for working capital, and potential future milestone and royalty payments contingent on the satisfaction of certain regulatory milestones and sales thresholds. Following completion of the acquisition, River Vision became a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company and was renamed as Horizon Pharma Tepro, Inc.

On June 23, 2017, the Company sold its European subsidiary that owned the marketing rights to PROCYSBI® (cysteamine bitartrate) delayed-release capsules and QUINSAIR™ (levofloxacin inhalation solution) in Europe, the Middle East and Africa (“EMEA”) regions (the “Chiesi divestiture”) to Chiesi Farmaceutici S.p.A. (“Chiesi”) for an upfront payment of \$72.2 million, which reflects \$3.1 million of cash divested, and subject to other customary purchase price adjustments for working capital, with additional potential milestone payments based on sales thresholds.

On June 30, 2017, the Company completed its acquisition of certain rights to interferon gamma-1b from Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH (“Boehringer Ingelheim International”) in all territories outside of the United States, Canada and Japan, as the Company previously held marketing rights to interferon gamma-1b in these territories. Boehringer Ingelheim International commercialized interferon gamma-1b under the trade names IMUKIN<sup>®</sup>, IMUKINE<sup>®</sup>, IMMUKIN<sup>®</sup> and IMMUKINE<sup>®</sup> (“IMUKIN”) in an estimated thirty countries, primarily in Europe and the Middle East. In May 2016, the Company paid Boehringer Ingelheim International €5.0 million (\$5.6 million when converted using a Euro-to-Dollar exchange rate at date of payment of 1.1132) for such rights and upon closing in June 2017, the Company paid Boehringer Ingelheim International an additional €19.5 million (\$22.3 million when converted using a Euro-to-Dollar exchange rate at date of payment of 1.1406). The Company markets interferon gamma-1b as ACTIMMUNE<sup>®</sup> in the United States.

The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements presented herein include the results of operations of the acquired Crealta and Raptor businesses from the applicable dates of acquisition. See Note 3 for further details of acquisitions and divestitures.

Beginning in the first quarter of 2017, the Company modified its presentation of certain operating expenses. Previously, the Company presented “general and administrative” expenses as one line item in its condensed consolidated statement of comprehensive loss, and “selling and marketing” expenses as another. For current-period presentation and prior-period comparisons, the Company now combines these two line items into one line item, titled “selling, general and administrative” expenses.

## Business Overview

The Company is a biopharmaceutical company focused on improving patients' lives by identifying, developing, acquiring and commercializing differentiated and accessible medicines that address unmet medical needs. The Company markets eleven medicines through its orphan, rheumatology and primary care business units.

The Company's marketed medicines are:

### Orphan Business Unit

ACTIMMUNE® (interferon gamma-1b); marketed as IMUKIN® outside the United States

BUPHENYL® (sodium phenylbutyrate) Tablets and Powder; marketed as AMMONAPS® in certain European countries and Japan

PROCYSBI® (cysteamine bitartrate) delayed-release capsules

QUINSAIR™ (levofloxacin inhalation solution)

RAVICTI® (glycerol phenylbutyrate) Oral Liquid

### Rheumatology Business Unit

KRYSTEXXA® (pegloticase)

RAYOS® (prednisone) delayed-release tablets; marketed as LODOTRA® outside the United States

### Primary Care Business Unit

DUEXIS® (ibuprofen/famotidine)

MIGERGOT® (ergotamine tartrate & caffeine suppositories)

PENNSAID® (diclofenac sodium topical solution) 2% w/w ("PENNSAID 2%")

VIMOVO® (naproxen/esomeprazole magnesium)

## Recent Accounting Pronouncements

From time to time, the Company adopts new accounting pronouncements issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") or other standard-setting bodies.

Effective January 1, 2017, the Company elected to early adopt ASU No. 2017-01, Business Combinations (Topic 805): Clarifying the Definition of a Business ("ASU No. 2017-01"). The amendments in ASU No. 2017-01 clarify the definition of a business with the objective of adding guidance to assist entities with evaluating whether transactions should be accounted for as acquisitions (or disposals) of assets or businesses. The definition of a business affects many areas of accounting including acquisitions, disposals, goodwill and consolidation. The adoption did not have a material impact on the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

Effective January 1, 2017, the Company adopted ASU No. 2016-09, Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting ("ASU No. 2016-09"). The update requires excess tax benefits and tax deficiencies, which arise due to differences between the measure of compensation expense and the amount deductible for tax purposes, to be recorded directly through earnings as a component of income tax expense. Previously, these differences were generally recorded in additional paid-in capital and thus had no impact on net income. The change in treatment of excess tax benefits and tax deficiencies also impacts the computation of diluted earnings per share, and the cash flows associated with those items are classified as operating activities on the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows. Additionally, ASU No. 2016-09 permits entities to make an accounting policy election for the impact of forfeitures on the recognition of expense for share-based payment awards. Forfeitures can be estimated, as allowed under previous standards, or recognized when they occur. As a result of the adoption, \$7.2 million of excess tax

benefits that had not previously been recognized, as the related tax deduction had not reduced current taxes payable, were recorded on a modified retrospective basis through a cumulative effect adjustment to its accumulated deficit as of January 1, 2017. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017, the Company recognized an excess tax deficiency of \$0.5 million and \$0.9 million, respectively. The Company elected not to change its policy on accounting for forfeitures and continues to estimate a requisite forfeiture rate. Additional amendments to the accounting for income taxes and minimum statutory withholding requirements had no impact on the Company's results of operations and related disclosures.

In May 2017, the FASB issued ASU No. 2017-09, Compensation-Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Scope of Modification Accounting ("ASU No. 2017-09"). The amendment amends the scope of modification accounting for share-based payment arrangements, provides guidance on the types of changes to the terms or conditions of share-based payment awards to which an entity would be required to apply modification accounting under ASC 718. The ASU is effective for annual reporting periods, including interim periods within those annual reporting periods, beginning after December 15, 2017. Early adoption is permitted, including adoption in any interim period. The Company does not expect the adoption of ASU No. 2017-09 to have a material impact on the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

In February 2017, the FASB issued ASU No. 2017-05, (“Subtopic 610-20”), Other Income - Gains and Losses from the Derecognition of Nonfinancial Assets (“ASU No. 2017-05”) which provides clarification regarding the scope of the asset derecognition guidance and accounting for partial sales of nonfinancial assets. The update defines an in-substance nonfinancial asset and clarifies that an entity should identify each distinct nonfinancial asset or in-substance nonfinancial asset promised to a counterparty and derecognize each asset when a counterparty obtains control of it. All businesses and nonprofit activities within the scope of Subtopic 610-20 are excluded from the amendments in this update. This guidance will be effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2017 and is required to be applied at the same time as ASU No. 2014-09 (described below) is applied. The guidance can be applied using one of two methods: retrospectively to each prior reporting period presented or modified retrospectively with the cumulative effect of initially applying the guidance recognized against retained earnings as of the beginning of the fiscal year of adoption. The Company does not expect the adoption of ASU No. 2017-05 to have a material impact on the Company’s condensed consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

In January 2017, the FASB issued ASU No. 2017-04, Intangibles - Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Simplifying the Test for Goodwill Impairment (“ASU No. 2017-04”), to eliminate the second step of the goodwill impairment test. ASU No. 2017-04 requires an entity to measure a goodwill impairment loss as the amount by which the carrying value of a reporting unit exceeds its fair value. Additionally, an entity should include the income tax effects from any tax deductible goodwill on the carrying value of the reporting unit when measuring a goodwill impairment loss, if applicable. ASU No. 2017-04 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019 and interim periods within those years. Early adoption is permitted for interim or annual goodwill impairment tests performed on testing dates after January 1, 2017. The Company does not expect the adoption of ASU No. 2017-04 to have a material impact on the Company’s condensed consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

In November 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-18, Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230): Restricted Cash (“ASU No. 2016-18”), which addresses diversity in practice related to the classification and presentation of changes in restricted cash on the statement of cash flows. ASU No. 2016-18 will require that a statement of cash flows explain the change during the period in the total of cash, cash equivalents and amounts generally described as restricted cash or restricted cash equivalents. Therefore, amounts generally described as restricted cash and restricted cash equivalents should be included with cash and cash equivalents when reconciling the beginning-of-period and end-of-period total amounts shown on the statement of cash flows. ASU No. 2016-18 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2017. Early adoption is permitted. The Company does not expect the adoption of ASU No. 2016-18 to have a material impact on the Company’s condensed consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

In October 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-16, Income Taxes (Topic 740): Intra-Entity Transfers of Assets Other Than Inventory (“ASU No. 2016-16”). ASU No. 2016-16 was issued to improve the accounting for the income tax consequences of intra-entity transfers of assets other than inventory. Current GAAP prohibits the recognition of current and deferred income taxes for an intra-entity asset transfer until the asset has been sold to an outside party which has resulted in diversity in practice and increased complexity within financial reporting. ASU No. 2016-16 would require an entity to recognize the income tax consequences of an intra-entity transfer of an asset other than inventory when the transfer occurs and does not require new disclosures. ASU No. 2016-16 is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, and interim periods within those annual periods. Early adoption is permitted and the adoption of ASU No. 2016-16 should be applied on a modified retrospective basis through a cumulative-effect adjustment directly to retained earnings as of the beginning of the period of adoption. The Company is currently in the process of evaluating the impact of adoption of ASU No. 2016-16 on its condensed consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

In August 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-15, Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230): Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments (“ASU No. 2016-15”). The amendments in this ASU provide guidance on the following eight specific cash flow classification issues: (1) debt prepayment or debt extinguishment costs; (2)

settlement of zero-coupon debt instruments or other debt instruments with coupon interest rates that are insignificant in relation to the effective interest rate of the borrowing; (3) contingent consideration payments made after a business combination; (4) proceeds from the settlement of insurance claims; (5) proceeds from the settlement of corporate-owned life insurance policies, including bank-owned life insurance policies; (6) distributions received from equity method investees; (7) beneficial interests in securitization transactions; and (8) separately identifiable cash flows and application of the predominance principle. Current GAAP does not include specific guidance on these eight cash flow classification issues. The amendments in ASU No. 2016-15 are effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, with early adoption permitted. The Company does not expect the adoption of ASU No. 2016-15 to have a material impact on the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-02, Leases (Topic 842) ("ASU No. 2016-02"). Under ASU No. 2016-02, an entity will be required to recognize right-of-use assets and lease liabilities on its balance sheet and disclose key information about leasing arrangements. ASU No. 2016-02 offers specific accounting guidance for a lessee, a lessor and sale and leaseback transactions. Lessees and lessors are required to disclose qualitative and quantitative information about leasing arrangements to enable a user of the financial statements to assess the amount, timing and uncertainty of cash flows arising from leases. ASU No. 2016-02 is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2018, including interim periods within that reporting period, with early adoption permitted. At adoption, this update will be applied using a modified retrospective approach. The Company is currently in the process of evaluating the impact of adoption of ASU No. 2016-02 on its condensed consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (“ASU No. 2014-09”). The new standard aims to achieve a consistent application of revenue recognition within the United States, resulting in a single revenue model to be applied by reporting companies under GAAP. Under the new model, recognition of revenue occurs when a customer obtains control of promised goods or services in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. In addition, the new standard requires that reporting companies disclose the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from contracts with customers. The new standard is required to be applied retrospectively to each prior reporting period presented or retrospectively with the cumulative effect of initially applying it recognized at the date of initial application. In March 2016, April 2016, December 2016 and September 2017, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-08, Revenue From Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Principal Versus Agent Considerations, ASU No. 2016-10, Revenue From Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Identifying Performance Obligations and Licensing, ASU No. 2016-20, Technical Corrections and Improvements to Topic 606, Revenue From Contracts with Customers, and ASU No. 2017-13, Revenue Recognition (Topic 605), Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606), Leases (Topic 840) and Leases (Topic 842), respectively, which further clarify the implementation guidance on principal versus agent considerations contained in ASU No. 2014-09. In May 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-12, narrow-scope improvements and practical expedients which provides clarification on assessing the collectability criterion, presentation of sales taxes, measurement date for non-cash consideration and completed contracts at transition. These standards will be effective for the Company beginning in the first quarter of 2018. The Company expects to elect the modified retrospective method and expects to identify similar performance obligations under ASU No. 2014-09 as compared with deliverables and separate units of account previously identified. The Company has made substantial progress in its review of the new standard and will complete its assessment by December 31, 2017. Based on its review of current customer contracts, the Company does not expect the implementation of this guidance to have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements as the timing of revenue recognition for product sales is not expected to significantly change, which is the Company’s primary revenue stream. Certain of the Company’s contracts for sales outside the United States include contingent amounts of variable consideration that the Company was precluded from recognizing because of the requirement for amounts to be “fixed or determinable”. The company expects that the new standard will require a cumulative-effect adjustment of certain deferred revenues that were originally expected to be recognized in the future. Under the new standard, on January 1, 2018 the Company currently expects to reclassify approximately \$10.0 million of deferred revenue directly to retained earnings, resulting in a decrease to the Company’s accumulated deficit.

Other recent authoritative guidance issued by the FASB (including technical corrections to the Accounting Standards Codification), the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, and the Securities and Exchange Commission did not, or are not expected to, have a material impact on the Company’s condensed consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

#### NOTE 2 – NET LOSS PER SHARE

The following table presents basic and diluted net loss per share for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016 (in thousands, except share and per share data):

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,		For the Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
Basic and diluted net loss per share calculation:				



Edgar Filing: Horizon Pharma plc - Form 10-Q

Net loss	\$ (63,971	) \$ (5,870	) \$ (364,078	) \$ (36,292	)
Weighted average ordinary shares outstanding	163,447,208	161,038,827	162,810,551	160,472,530	
Basic and diluted net loss per share	\$ (0.39	) \$ (0.04	) \$ (2.24	) \$ (0.23	)

Basic net loss per share is computed by dividing net loss by the weighted-average number of ordinary shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net loss per share reflects the potential dilution beyond shares for basic net loss per share that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue ordinary shares were exercised, converted into ordinary shares, or resulted in the issuance of ordinary shares that would have shared in the Company's earnings.

The computation of diluted net loss per share excluded 18.7 million and 18.2 million shares subject to equity awards and warrants for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017, respectively, and 11.6 million and 14.2 million shares subject to equity awards for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016, respectively, because their inclusion would have had an anti-dilutive effect on diluted net loss per share.

The potentially dilutive impact of the March 2015 private placement of \$400.0 million aggregate principal amount of 2.50% Exchangeable Senior Notes due 2022 (the “Exchangeable Senior Notes”) by Horizon Pharma Investment Limited (“Horizon Investment”), a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, is determined using a method similar to the treasury stock method. Under this method, no numerator or denominator adjustments arise from the principal and interest components of the Exchangeable Senior Notes because the Company has the intent and ability to settle the Exchangeable Senior Notes’ principal and interest in cash. Instead, the Company is required to increase the diluted net (loss) income per share denominator by the variable number of shares that would be issued upon conversion if it settled the conversion spread obligation with shares. For diluted net (loss) income per share purposes, the conversion spread obligation is calculated based on whether the average market price of the Company’s ordinary shares over the reporting period is in excess of the exchange price of the Exchangeable Senior Notes. There was no calculated spread added to the denominator for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016.

### NOTE 3 –DIVESTITURES, ACQUISITIONS AND OTHER ARRANGEMENTS

#### Divestiture of PROCYSBI and QUINSAIR rights in EMEA Regions

On June 23, 2017, the Company completed the Chiesi divestiture for an upfront payment of \$72.2 million, which reflects \$3.1 million of cash divested, and subject to other customary purchase price adjustments for working capital, with additional potential milestone payments based on sales thresholds.

Pursuant to ASU No. 2017-01, the Company accounted for the Chiesi divestiture as a sale of a business. The Company determined that the sale of the business and its assets in connection with the Chiesi divestiture did not constitute a strategic shift and that it did not and will not have a major effect on its operations and financial results. Accordingly, the operations associated with the Chiesi divestiture are not reported in discontinued operations.

The gain on divestiture was determined as follows (in thousands):

	For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2017	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2017
Cash proceeds	\$ —	\$ 72,163
Add reimbursement of royalties	—	27,101
Less net assets sold:		
Developed technology	—	(47,261 )
Goodwill	—	(16,285 )
Other	—	(24,482 )
Transaction and other costs	112	(5,268 )
Gain on divestiture	\$ 112	\$ 5,968

Under the terms of its agreement with Chiesi, the Company will continue to pay third parties for the royalties on sales of PROCYSBI and QUINSAIR in EMEA, and Chiesi will reimburse the Company for those royalties. At the date of divestiture, the Company recorded an asset of \$27.1 million to “other assets”, which represented the estimated amounts that are expected to be reimbursed from Chiesi for the PROCYSBI and QUINSAIR royalties. These estimated royalties are accrued in “accrued expenses” and “other long-term liabilities”.

Transaction and other costs primarily relate to professional and license fees attributable to the divestiture.

## Acquisitions

### Acquisition of River Vision

On May 8, 2017, the Company acquired 100% of the equity interests in River Vision for upfront cash payments totaling \$151.9 million, including \$6.3 million of cash acquired, and subject to other customary purchase price adjustments for working capital, potential future milestone and royalty payments contingent on the satisfaction of certain regulatory milestones and sales thresholds. Pursuant to ASC 805 (as amended by ASU No. 2017-01), the Company accounted for the River Vision acquisition as the purchase of an in-process research and development (“IPR&D”) asset and, pursuant to ASC 730, recorded the purchase price as research and development expense during the nine months ended September 30, 2017. Further, the Company recognized approximately \$13.1 million of federal net operating losses, \$2.8 million of state net operating losses and \$5.8 million of federal tax credits. The acquired tax attributes were set up as deferred tax assets which were further netted within the net deferred tax liabilities of the U.S. group, offset by a deferred credit recorded in long-term liabilities.

### Acquisition of Additional Rights to Interferon Gamma-1b

On June 30, 2017, the Company completed its acquisition of certain rights to interferon gamma-1b from Boehringer Ingelheim International in all territories outside of the United States, Canada and Japan, as the Company previously held marketing rights to interferon gamma-1b in these territories. Boehringer Ingelheim International commercialized interferon gamma-1b as IMUKIN in an estimated thirty countries, primarily in Europe and the Middle East. In May 2016, the Company paid Boehringer Ingelheim International €5.0 million (\$5.6 million when converted using a Euro-to-Dollar exchange rate at date of payment of 1.1132) for such rights and upon closing in June 2017, the Company paid Boehringer Ingelheim International an additional €19.5 million (\$22.3 million when converted using a Euro-to-Dollar exchange rate at date of payment of 1.1406). The Company currently markets interferon gamma-1b as ACTIMMUNE in the United States. The €5.0 million upfront amount paid in May 2016 had initially been included in “other assets” in the Company’s condensed consolidated balance sheet. Following the discontinuation of the development of ACTIMMUNE in Friedreich’s ataxia (“FA”) in December 2016, the Company recorded an impairment charge of €5.0 million (\$5.3 million when converted using a Euro-to-Dollar exchange rate at date of impairment of 1.052) to fully write off the asset in its condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive loss during the year ended December 31, 2016 as projections for future net sales of IMUKIN in these territories did not exceed the related costs. Upon closing, during the nine months ended September 30, 2017, the Company recorded the additional €19.5 million payment (\$22.3 million when converted using a Euro-to-Dollar exchange rate at date of payment of 1.1406) as a “selling, general and administrative” expense in its condensed consolidated statement of comprehensive loss.

### Raptor Acquisition

On October 25, 2016, the Company completed its acquisition of Raptor in which the Company acquired all of the issued and outstanding shares of Raptor’s common stock for \$9.00 per share. The acquisition added two medicines, PROCYSBI and QUINSAIR, to the Company’s medicine portfolio. Through the acquisition, the Company leveraged and expanded the infrastructure of its orphan disease business. Following completion of the acquisition, Raptor became a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company and converted to a limited liability company, changing its name to Horizon Pharmaceutical LLC. The Company financed the transaction through \$300.0 million of aggregate principal amount of 8.75% Senior Notes due 2024 (the “2024 Senior Notes”), \$375.0 million aggregate principal amount of loans pursuant to an amendment to the Company’s existing credit agreement, as described in Note 14, and cash on hand. The total consideration for the acquisition was approximately \$860.8 million, including \$24.9 million of cash acquired and \$56.0 million paid to settle Raptor’s outstanding debt, and was composed of the following (in thousands):

Cash	\$841,494
------	-----------

Edgar Filing: Horizon Pharma plc - Form 10-Q

Net settlements on the exercise of stock options and restricted stock units	19,268
Total consideration	\$860,762

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017, the Company incurred \$3.1 million and \$14.6 million, respectively, in Raptor acquisition-related costs including advisory, legal, accounting, severance, retention bonuses and other professional and consulting fees. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017, \$3.0 million and \$13.8 million, respectively, were accounted for as “selling, general and administrative” expenses, \$0.1 million and \$0.7 million, respectively, were accounted for as “research and development” expenses, and zero and \$0.1 million, were accounted for as “cost of goods sold”, respectively, in the condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive loss. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016, the Company incurred \$4.2 million in Raptor acquisition-related costs including advisory, legal and other professional and consulting costs which were accounted for as “selling, general and administrative” expenses in the condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive loss.

Pursuant to ASC 805, the Company accounted for the Raptor acquisition as a business combination using the acquisition method of accounting. Identifiable assets and liabilities of Raptor, including identifiable intangible assets, were recorded based on their estimated fair values as of the date of the closing of the acquisition. The excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the net assets acquired was recorded as goodwill. Significant judgment was required in determining the estimated fair values of developed technology intangible assets, inventories and certain other assets and liabilities. Such preliminary valuation required estimates and assumptions including, but not limited to, estimating future cash flows and direct costs in addition to developing the appropriate discount rates and current market profit margins. The Company's management believes the fair values recognized for the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed are based on reasonable estimates and assumptions. The Company is currently completing its evaluation of information, assumptions and valuation methodologies it used in its preliminary fair value of the purchase price consideration. The purchase price allocation is preliminary pending final determination of the fair values of certain assets and liabilities. During the nine months ended September 30, 2017, the Company recorded measurement period adjustments related to accrued expenses, accrued trade discounts and rebates and deferred tax liabilities as a result of new information regarding facts existing at the acquisition date, which resulted in a net decrease to goodwill of \$2.9 million. As of September 30, 2017, certain items related to current and deferred taxes have not been finalized and may be subject to change as additional information is received. The finalization of these matters and any additional information received that existed as of the acquisition date may result in changes to the underlying assets, liabilities and goodwill. These changes may be material. The final determination of these fair values will be completed no later than during the fourth quarter of 2017.

The following table summarizes the preliminary fair values assigned to the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed by the Company, along with the resulting goodwill before and after the measurement period adjustment (in thousands):

(Liabilities assumed) and assets acquired:	Before	Adjustment	After
Accounts payable	\$(4,572 )	\$ —	\$(4,572 )
Accrued expenses	(23,773 )	(240 )	(24,013 )
Accrued trade discounts and rebates	(6,377 )	1,350	(5,027 )
Deferred tax liabilities	(237,166)	1,743	(235,423)
Contingent royalty liability	(102,000)	—	(102,000)
Accrued royalties	(2,705 )	—	(2,705 )
Other non-current liability	(25,500 )	—	(25,500 )
Cash and cash equivalents	24,897	—	24,897
Restricted cash	1,350	—	1,350
Accounts receivable, net	17,767	—	17,767
Inventories	74,463	—	74,463
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	4,194	—	4,194
Property and equipment	3,373	—	3,373
Developed technology	946,000	—	946,000
Other non-current assets	1,765	—	1,765
Goodwill	189,046	(2,853 )	186,193
Fair value of consideration paid	\$860,762	\$ —	\$860,762

Inventories acquired included raw materials, work-in-process and finished goods for PROCYSBI and QUINSAIR. Inventories were recorded at their preliminary estimated fair values. The fair value of finished goods has been determined based on the estimated selling price, net of selling costs and a margin on the selling costs. The fair value of work-in-process has been determined based on estimated selling price, net of selling costs and costs to complete the manufacturing, and a margin on the selling and manufacturing costs. The fair value of raw materials was estimated to

equal the replacement cost. A step-up in the value of inventory of \$67.0 million was recorded in connection with the acquisition. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017, the Company recorded inventory step-up expense of zero and \$44.0 million, respectively, related to PROCYSBI and QUINSAIR, of which \$3.2 million was recorded to “gain on divestiture” in the condensed consolidated statement of comprehensive loss during the nine months ended September 30, 2017.

Other tangible assets and liabilities were valued at their respective carrying amounts as management believes that these amounts approximated their acquisition date fair values.

Other non-current liability of \$25.5 million represents the fair value of an assumed contingent liability, arising from contingent payments associated with development, regulatory and commercial milestones following Raptor’s acquisition of QUINSAIR.

Identifiable intangible assets and liabilities acquired include developed technology and contingent royalties. The preliminary estimated fair values of the developed technology and contingent royalties represent preliminary valuations performed with the assistance of an independent appraisal firm based on management’s estimates, forecasted financial information and reasonable and supportable assumptions.

Developed technology intangible assets reflect the estimated fair value of Raptor's rights to PROCYSBI. The preliminary fair value of developed technology was determined using an income approach. The income approach explicitly recognizes that the fair value of an asset is premised upon the expected receipt of future economic benefits such as earnings and cash inflows based on current sales projections and estimated direct costs for Raptor's medicines. Indications of value were developed by discounting these benefits to their acquisition-date worth at a discount rate of 12.5%. The fair value of the PROCYSBI developed technology was capitalized as of the Raptor acquisition date and is subsequently being amortized over approximately thirteen years and nine years for the U.S. rights and ex-U.S. rights, respectively, which are the periods in which over 90% of the estimated cash flows are expected to be realized. The Company assigned no preliminary fair value to QUINSAIR developed technology as projections of future net sales do not exceed the related costs. See Note 7 for details of developed technology sold in the Chiesi divestiture.

The Company has assigned a preliminary fair value of \$102.0 million to a contingent liability for royalties potentially payable under previously existing agreements related to PROCYSBI. The royalties for PROCYSBI are payable under the terms of an amended and restated license agreement with The Regents of the University of California, San Diego ("UCSD"). See Note 16 for details of the percentages of royalties payable under this agreement. The initial fair value of this liability was determined using a discounted cash flow analysis incorporating the estimated future cash flows of royalty payments resulting from future sales. The discount rate used was the same as for the fair value of the developed technology.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities arise from acquisition accounting adjustments where book values of certain assets and liabilities differ from their tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recorded at the currently enacted rates which will be in effect at the time when the temporary differences are expected to reverse in the country where the underlying assets and liabilities are located. Raptor's developed technology as of the acquisition date was located primarily in the United States where an estimated U.S. tax rate of 36.6% is being utilized and a significant deferred tax liability is recorded. Goodwill represents the excess of the preliminary acquisition consideration over the estimated fair value of net assets acquired and was recorded in the condensed consolidated balance sheet as of the acquisition date. The Company does not expect any portion of this goodwill to be deductible for tax purposes.

#### Crealta Acquisition

On January 13, 2016, the Company completed its acquisition of all the membership interests of Crealta. The acquisition added two medicines, KRYSTEXXA and MIGERGOT, to the Company's medicine portfolio. The Crealta acquisition further diversified the Company's portfolio of medicines and aligned with its focus of acquiring value-enhancing, clinically differentiated, long-life medicines that treat orphan diseases. The total consideration for the acquisition was approximately \$539.7 million, including \$24.9 million of cash acquired and \$70.9 million paid to settle Crealta's outstanding debt, and was composed of the following (in thousands):

Cash	\$536,206
Net settlements on the exercise of stock options and restricted stock units	3,526
Total consideration	\$539,732

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017, the Company incurred \$0.1 million and \$0.6 million, respectively, in Crealta acquisition-related costs including legal, retention bonuses and other professional and consulting fees, which were accounted for as "selling, general and administrative" expenses. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016, the Company incurred \$0.4 million and \$12.1 million, respectively, in Crealta acquisition-related costs including advisory, legal, accounting, valuation, severance, retention bonuses and other professional and consulting fees, of which \$0.5 million and \$11.5 million were accounted for as "selling, general and administrative", respectively, a net expense reduction of \$0.1 million and a net expense of \$0.2 million were accounted



for as “research and development”, respectively, and zero and \$0.4 million were accounted for as “costs of goods sold”, respectively, in the condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive loss.

Pursuant to ASC 805, the Company accounted for the Crealta acquisition as a business combination using the acquisition method of accounting. Identifiable assets and liabilities of Crealta, including identifiable intangible assets, were recorded based on their estimated fair values as of the date of the closing of the acquisition. The excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the net assets acquired was recorded as goodwill. Significant judgment was required in determining the estimated fair values of developed technology intangible assets, inventories and certain other assets and liabilities. Such valuation required estimates and assumptions including, but not limited to, estimating future cash flows and direct costs in addition to developing the appropriate discount rates and current market profit margins. The Company’s management believes the fair values recognized for the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed were based on reasonable estimates and assumptions.

## Edgar Filing: Horizon Pharma plc - Form 10-Q

The following table summarizes the final fair values assigned to the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed by the Company (in thousands):

(Liabilities assumed) and assets acquired:	Allocation
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$(4,543 )
Accrued trade discounts and rebates	(1,424 )
Deferred tax liabilities	(20,141 )
Other non-current liabilities	(6,900 )
Contingent royalty liabilities	(51,300 )
Cash and cash equivalents	24,893
Accounts receivable	10,014
Inventories	149,363
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	1,382
Developed technology	428,200
Other non-current assets	275
Goodwill	9,913
Fair value of consideration paid	\$ 539,732

Inventories acquired included raw materials, work-in-process and finished goods for KRYSTEXXA and MIGERGOT. Inventories were recorded at their estimated fair values. The fair value of finished goods has been determined based on the estimated selling price, net of selling costs and a margin on the selling costs. The fair value of work-in-process has been determined based on estimated selling price, net of selling costs and costs to complete the manufacturing, and a margin on the selling and manufacturing costs. The fair value of raw materials was estimated to equal the replacement cost. A step-up in the value of inventory of \$144.3 million was recorded in connection with the acquisition. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017, the Company recorded inventory step-up expense of \$21.2 million and \$54.9 million, respectively, related to KRYSTEXXA.

Other tangible assets and liabilities were valued at their respective carrying amounts as management believes that these amounts approximated their acquisition date fair values.

Other non-current liabilities represented an assumed \$6.9 million probable contingent liability which was released to “other income (expense)” in the condensed consolidated statement of comprehensive loss during the year ended December 31, 2016.

Identifiable intangible assets and liabilities acquired include developed technology and contingent royalties. The estimated fair values of the developed technology and contingent royalties represent valuations performed with the assistance of an independent appraisal firm based on management’s estimates, forecasted financial information and reasonable and supportable assumptions.

Developed technology intangible assets reflect the estimated fair value of Crealta’s rights to KRYSTEXXA and MIGERGOT. The fair value of developed technology was determined using an income approach. The income approach explicitly recognizes that the fair value of an asset is premised upon the expected receipt of future economic benefits such as earnings and cash inflows based on current sales projections and estimated direct costs for Crealta’s medicines. Indications of value were developed by discounting these benefits to their acquisition-date worth at a discount rate of 27% for KRYSTEXXA and 23% for MIGERGOT. The fair value of the KRYSTEXXA and MIGERGOT developed technologies were capitalized as of the Crealta acquisition date and are subsequently being amortized over approximately twelve and ten years, respectively, which are the periods in which over 90% of the estimated cash flows are expected to be realized.

The Company has assigned a fair value of \$51.3 million to a contingent liability for royalties potentially payable under previously existing agreements related to KRYSTEXXA and MIGERGOT. The royalties for KRYSTEXXA are payable under the terms of a license agreement with Duke University (“Duke”) and Mountain View Pharmaceuticals (“MVP”). See Note 16 for details of the percentages of royalties payable under such agreements. The initial fair value of this liability was determined using a discounted cash flow analysis incorporating the estimated future cash flows of royalty payments resulting from future sales. The discount rate used was the same as for the fair value of the developed technology.

The deferred tax liability recorded represents deferred tax liabilities assumed as part of the acquisition, net of deferred tax assets, related to net operating tax loss carryforwards of Crealta.

Goodwill represents the excess of the acquisition consideration over the estimated fair value of net assets acquired and was recorded in the condensed consolidated balance sheet as of the acquisition date. The Company does not expect any portion of this goodwill to be deductible for tax purposes.

## Other Arrangements

## Collaboration and option agreement

On November 8, 2016, the Company entered into a collaboration and option agreement with a privately held life-science entity. Under the terms of the agreement, the privately held life-science entity will conduct certain research and pre-clinical and clinical development activities. Upon execution of the agreement, the Company paid \$0.1 million for the option to acquire certain assets of the privately held life-science entity for \$25.0 million, which is exercisable on specified key dates. Under the collaboration and option agreement, the Company is required to pay up to \$9.8 million upon the attainment of various milestones, primarily to fund clinical development costs for the medicine candidate. The Company paid \$0.2 million in the fourth quarter of 2016, \$0.9 million in the first quarter of 2017 and \$1.5 million in the third quarter of 2017 related to milestones. The initial upfront amount paid of \$0.1 million has been included in “other assets” in the Company’s condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2016 and September 30, 2017. The aggregate \$1.1 million amount paid in the fourth quarter of 2016 and the first quarter of 2017 were recorded as “research and development” expenses in the condensed consolidated statement of comprehensive loss during the year ended December 31, 2016, and the \$1.5 million paid in the third quarter of 2017 was recorded as “research and development expense” during the three months ended September 30, 2017. The Company has determined that the privately held life-science entity is a variable interest entity (“VIE”) as it does not have enough equity to finance its activities without additional financial support. As the Company does not have the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly affect its economic performance, it is not the primary beneficiary of, and does not consolidate the financial results of the VIE. The Company will reassess the appropriate accounting treatment for this arrangement throughout the life of the agreement and modify these accounting conclusions accordingly.

## Pro Forma Information

The table below represents the condensed consolidated financial information for the Company for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 on a pro forma basis, assuming that the Crealta and Raptor acquisitions occurred as of January 1, 2016. The historical financial information has been adjusted to give effect to pro forma items that are directly attributable to the Crealta and Raptor acquisitions, and are expected to have a continuing impact on the consolidated results. These items include, among others, adjustments to record the amortization of definite-lived intangible assets, interest expense, debt discount and deferred financing costs associated with the debt in connection with the acquisitions.

Additionally, the following table sets forth financial information and has been compiled from historical financial statements and other information, but is not necessarily indicative of the results that actually would have been achieved had the transactions occurred on the dates indicated or that may be achieved in the future (in thousands):

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016		
	As reported	Pro forma adjustments	Pro forma
Net sales	\$ 670,770	\$ 99,571	\$ 770,341
Net loss	(36,292 )	(112,274 )	(148,566 )

The Company’s condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive loss for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 include KRYSTEXXA and MIGERGOT net sales as a result of the acquisition of Crealta of \$61.6 million and \$3.2 million, respectively.

Crealta and Raptor have been integrated into the Company's business and as a result of these integration efforts, the Company cannot distinguish between these operations and those of the Company's legacy business.

NOTE 4 – INVENTORIES

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market value. Inventories consist of raw materials, work-in-process and finished goods. The Company has entered into manufacturing and supply agreements for the manufacture of finished goods or the purchase of raw materials and production supplies. The Company's inventories include the direct purchase cost of materials and supplies and manufacturing overhead costs.

The components of inventories as of September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016 consisted of the following (in thousands):

	September 30,	December 31,
	2017	2016
Raw materials	\$ 17,412	\$ 10,233
Work-in-process	16,230	85,022
Finished goods	52,885	79,533
Inventories, net	\$ 86,527	\$ 174,788

Because inventory step-up expense is acquisition-related, will not continue indefinitely and has a significant effect on the Company's gross profit, gross margin percentage and net income (loss) for all affected periods, the Company discloses balance sheet and income statement amounts related to inventory step-up within the notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

Finished goods at September 30, 2017 included \$40.4 million of stepped-up KRYSTEXXA inventory. Finished goods at December 31, 2016 included \$27.7 million of stepped-up KRYSTEXXA inventory. Work-in-process at December 31, 2016 included \$67.6 million of stepped-up KRYSTEXXA inventory.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017 the Company recorded \$21.2 million and \$54.9 million, respectively, of KRYSTEXXA inventory step-up expense. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016, the Company recorded \$11.3 million and \$27.9 million, respectively, of KRYSTEXXA and MIGERGOT inventory step-up expense.

The Company expects that the KRYSTEXXA inventory step-up will be fully expensed by the end of the first quarter of 2018. Following that period, the Company expects the costs of goods sold related to KRYSTEXXA to decrease significantly to levels consistent with the historical cost of goods sold of Crealta.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017, the Company recorded zero and \$40.8 million, respectively, of PROCYSBI and QUINSAIR inventory step-up expense. In addition, during the nine months ended September 30, 2017, the Company recorded \$3.2 million of inventory step-up expense to "gain on divestiture" relating to PROCYSBI and QUINSAIR in connection with the Chiesi divestiture in June 2017. Finished goods at December 31, 2016 included \$38.1 million of stepped-up PROCYSBI and QUINSAIR inventory. Work-in-process at December 31, 2016 included \$5.9 million of stepped-up PROCYSBI and QUINSAIR inventory.

#### NOTE 5- PREPAID EXPENSES AND OTHER CURRENT ASSETS

Prepaid expenses and other current assets as of September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016 consisted of the following (in thousands):

	September 30,	December 31,
	2017	2016
Medicine samples inventory	\$ 13,374	\$ 10,192
Prepaid income taxes	10,559	9,155
Rabbi trust assets	5,717	3,073
Other prepaid expenses and other current assets	23,275	27,199
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	\$ 52,925	\$ 49,619

#### NOTE 6 – PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

Property and equipment as of September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016 consisted of the following (in thousands):

	September 30,	December 31,
	2017	2016
Software	\$ 14,786	\$ 10,876
Leasehold improvements	9,397	9,184
Machinery and equipment	4,931	4,566
Computer equipment	2,260	3,069
Other	2,689	2,664
	34,063	30,359
Less accumulated depreciation	(12,458 )	(8,319 )
Construction in process	95	17
Software implementation in process	—	1,427
Property and equipment, net	\$ 21,700	\$ 23,484

The Company capitalizes development costs associated with internal use software, including external direct costs of materials and services and payroll costs for employees devoting time to a software project. Costs incurred during the preliminary project stage, as well as costs for maintenance and training, are expensed as incurred.

Software implementation in process as of December 31, 2016 was related to new enterprise resource planning software being implemented by the Company. The software was being implemented on a phased basis starting January 2016 and depreciation was not recorded on capitalized costs relating to a phase which had not yet entered service. Once a particular phase of the project entered service, associated capitalized costs were moved from “software implementation in process” to “software” in the table above, and depreciation commenced.

Depreciation expense was \$1.5 million and \$1.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively, and was \$5.0 million and \$3.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

## NOTE 7 – GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS

### Goodwill

The gross carrying amount of goodwill as of September 30, 2017 was as follows (in thousands):

Balance at December 31, 2016	\$445,579
Divestiture during the period	(16,285 )
Measurement period adjustments	(2,853 )
Balance at September 30, 2017	\$426,441

During the nine months ended September 30, 2017, in connection with the Chiesi divestiture, the Company recorded a reduction to goodwill of \$16.3 million. In addition, the Company recorded measurement period adjustments to goodwill of \$2.9 million related to the Raptor acquisition during the nine months ended September 30, 2017.

During the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company recognized goodwill of \$9.9 million and \$189.1 million in connection with the Crealta and Raptor acquisitions, respectively.

As of September 30, 2017, there were no accumulated goodwill impairment losses. See Note 3 for further details of goodwill acquired and disposed of in business acquisitions and divestitures.

### Intangible Assets

As of September 30, 2017, the Company's intangible assets consisted of developed technology related to ACTIMMUNE, BUPHENYL, KRYSTEXXA, MIGERGOT, PENNSAID 2%, PROCYSBI, RAVICTI, RAYOS and VIMOVO in the United States, and AMMONAPS, BUPHENYL, LODOTRA and PROCYSBI outside the United States, as well as customer relationships for ACTIMMUNE.

During the year ended December 31, 2016, in connection with the acquisition of Crealta, the Company capitalized \$402.2 million of developed technology related to KRYSTEXXA and \$26.0 million of developed technology related to MIGERGOT.

During the year ended December 31, 2016, in connection with the acquisition of Raptor, the Company capitalized \$946.0 million of developed technology related to PROCYSBI.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2017, in connection with the Chiesi divestiture, the Company recorded a reduction in the net book value of developed technology related to PROCYSBI of \$47.3 million.

See Note 3 for further details of intangible assets acquired in business acquisitions and disposed of in business divestitures.



Prior to the fourth quarter of 2016, the Company had IPR&D of \$66.0 million related to one research and development project to evaluate ACTIMMUNE in the treatment of FA. The fair value of the IPR&D was recorded as an indefinite-lived intangible asset and was being tested for impairment at least annually until completion or abandonment of the research and development efforts associated with the project. On December 8, 2016, the Company announced that the Phase 3 trial, STEADFAST, evaluating ACTIMMUNE for the treatment of FA did not meet its primary endpoint of a statistically significant change from baseline in the modified Friedreich's Ataxia Rating Scale at twenty-six weeks versus treatment with placebo. In addition, the secondary endpoints did not meet statistical significance. No new safety findings were identified on initial review of data other than those already noted in the ACTIMMUNE prescribing information for approved indications. The Company, in conjunction with the independent Data Safety Monitoring Board, the principal investigator and the Friedreich's Ataxia Research Alliance Collaborative Clinical Research Network in FA, determined that, based on the trial results, the STEADFAST program would be discontinued, including the twenty-six week extension study and the long-term safety study. The IPR&D had no alternative use or economic value as a result of the cancellation of the project, and the Company recorded an impairment charge of \$66.0 million to "impairment of in-process research and development" in its condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive loss during the year ended December 31, 2016 to fully write off the value of the asset on its condensed consolidated balance sheet.

The Company tests its intangible assets for impairment when events or circumstances may indicate that the carrying value of these assets exceeds their fair value. The Company does not believe there have been any circumstances or events that would indicate that the carrying value of any of its intangible assets, except for IPR&D as described above, was impaired at September 30, 2017 or December 31, 2016.

Edgar Filing: Horizon Pharma plc - Form 10-Q

Intangible assets as of September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016 consisted of the following (in thousands):

	September 30, 2017			December 31, 2016		
	Cost Basis	Accumulated	Net Book Value	Cost Basis	Accumulated	Net Book Value
		Amortization			Amortization	
Developed technology	\$3,115,695	\$ (603,283 )	\$2,512,412	\$3,166,695	\$ (399,511 )	\$2,767,184
Customer relationships	8,100	(2,457 )	5,643	8,100	(1,849 )	6,251
Total intangible assets	\$3,123,795	\$ (605,740 )	\$2,518,055	\$3,174,795	\$ (401,360 )	\$2,773,435

Amortization expense for the three months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016 was \$68.7 million and \$50.8 million, respectively, and was \$208.1 million and \$151.2 million for the nine months ended September 2017 and 2016, respectively. As of September 30, 2017 estimated future amortization expense was as follows (in thousands):

2017 (October to December)	\$68,666
2018	274,084
2019	261,092
2020	261,068
2021	253,373
Thereafter	1,399,772
Total	\$2,518,055

NOTE 8 - OTHER ASSETS

Included in other assets at September 30, 2017 is \$24.8 million which represents the long-term portion of the estimated amounts that are expected to be reimbursed from Chiesi for PROCYSBI and QUINSAIR royalties.

NOTE 9 – ACCRUED EXPENSES

Accrued expenses as of September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016 consisted of the following (in thousands):

	September 30,	December 31,
	2017	2016
Payroll-related expenses	\$ 47,730	\$66,417
Consulting and professional services	35,660	33,614

Edgar Filing: Horizon Pharma plc - Form 10-Q

Accrued interest	26,496	18,938
Contingent consideration liability	20,000	—
Accrued other	32,815	31,296
Litigation settlement	—	32,500
Accrued expenses	\$ 162,701	\$ 182,765

Accrued payroll-related expenses at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016 included \$2.3 million and \$15.0 million, respectively, of severance and employee costs as a result of the Raptor acquisition. The Company anticipates that a significant amount of the Raptor acquisition-related cash payments will be complete by the fourth quarter of 2017.

Accrued litigation settlement at December 31, 2016 included \$32.5 million in relation to a litigation settlement with Express Scripts, Inc., which was paid in two equal installments in January 2017 and April 2017.

The contingent consideration liability as of September 30, 2017 relates to an assumed contingent liability, arising from contingent payments associated with development, regulatory and commercial milestones following Raptor's acquisition of QUINSAIR. This liability was presented in other long-term liabilities as of December 31, 2016 and was reclassified to accrued expenses as of September 30, 2017 to reflect the revised expectation that the payment would be made during the fourth quarter of 2017. The Company subsequently made this payment in November 2017.

Accrued other as of September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016 included \$8.5 million and \$9.5 million, respectively, related to a loss on inventory purchase commitments. During the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company committed to purchase additional units of ACTIMMUNE from Boehringer Ingelheim RCV GmbH & Co KG (“Boehringer Ingelheim”). These additional units of ACTIMMUNE were intended to cover anticipated demand if the results of the STEADFAST study of ACTIMMUNE for the treatment of FA had been successful. Following the discontinuation of the STEADFAST program during the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company recorded a loss of \$14.3 million in “cost of goods sold” in the condensed consolidated statement of comprehensive loss for firm, non-cancellable and unconditional purchase commitments for quantities in excess of the Company’s current forecasts for future demand. During the nine months ended September 30, 2017, the Company renegotiated its purchase commitments with Boehringer Ingelheim and recorded a reduction of \$2.7 million to the loss on inventory purchase commitments in “cost of goods sold”. “Other long-term liabilities” as of September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016 included \$2.7 million and \$4.8 million, respectively, related to this loss on inventory purchase commitments. During the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company recorded \$4.0 million related to costs to be incurred to discontinue the clinical trial. Accrued other as of September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016 included \$0.2 million and \$4.0 million, respectively, related to these costs. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017, the Company recorded a reduction of \$1.5 million to “research and development” expenses reflecting lower costs to discontinue the clinical trial than previously estimated.

#### NOTE 10 – ACCRUED TRADE DISCOUNTS AND REBATES

Accrued trade discounts and rebates as of September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016 consisted of the following (in thousands):

	September 30, 2017	December 31, 2016
Accrued wholesaler fees and commercial rebates	\$ 183,133	\$47,460
Accrued co-pay and other patient assistance	175,991	188,504
Accrued government rebates and chargebacks	76,590	61,592
Accrued trade discounts and rebates	435,714	297,556
Invoiced wholesaler fees and commercial rebates, co-pay and other patient assistance, and government rebates and chargebacks in accounts payable	13,283	16,830
Total customer-related accruals and allowances	\$ 448,997	\$ 314,386

The following table summarizes changes in the Company’s customer-related accruals and allowances from December 31, 2016 to September 30, 2017 (in thousands):

Wholesaler Fees	Co-Pay and	Government
-----------------	------------	------------

Edgar Filing: Horizon Pharma plc - Form 10-Q

	and Commercial Rebates	Other Patient Assistance	Rebates and Chargebacks	Total
Balance at December 31, 2016	\$ 47,651	\$ 205,143	\$ 61,592	\$ 314,386
Measurement period adjustment	—	—	(1,350 )	(1,350 )
Current provisions relating to sales during the nine months ended September 30, 2017	472,118	1,406,614	245,547	2,124,279
Adjustments relating to prior-year sales	5,580	(59 )	(4,904 )	617
Payments relating to sales during the nine months ended September 30, 2017	(288,749 )	(1,230,603 )	(156,118 )	(1,675,470)
Payments relating to prior-year sales	(53,043 )	(205,084 )	(55,338 )	(313,465 )
Balance at September 30, 2017	\$ 183,557	\$ 176,011	\$ 89,429	\$ 448,997

NOTE 11 – ACCRUED ROYALTIES

During the nine months ended September 30, 2017, changes to the liability for royalties for medicines acquired through business combinations consisted of the following (in thousands):

Balance as of December 31, 2016	\$334,274
Reclassification to other long-term liabilities	(5,233 )
Remeasurement of royalty liabilities	(2,944 )
Royalty payments	(33,796 )
Accretion expense	38,347
Other royalty expense	297
Balance as of September 30, 2017	330,945
Accrued royalties - current portion as of September 30, 2017	62,273
Accrued royalties, net of current as of September 30, 2017	\$268,672

The reclassification to other long-term liabilities in the table above relates to the reclassification of a contingent royalty liability for PROCYSBI to other long-term liabilities as a result of the Chiesi divestiture.

#### NOTE 12 – SEGMENT AND OTHER INFORMATION

The following table presents the amount and percentage of gross sales from customers that represented more than 10% of the Company's gross sales included in its single operating segment, and all other customers as a group (in thousands, except percentages):

	For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2017		2016		
	Amount	% of Gross Sales	Amount	% of Gross Sales	
Customer A	\$314,077	31	% \$365,807	42	%
Customer B	267,818	27	% 212,392	25	%
Customer C	139,981	14	% 106,360	12	%
Other Customers	280,241	28	% 181,291	21	%
Gross Sales	\$1,002,117	100	% \$865,850	100	%

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2017		2016		
	Amount	% of Gross Sales	Amount	% of Gross Sales	
Customer A	\$941,268	31	% \$1,067,720	45	%
Customer B	856,677	29	% 458,951	20	%
Customer C	423,446	14	% 260,514	11	%
Other Customers	780,983	26	% 563,300	24	%
Gross Sales	\$3,002,374	100	% \$2,350,485	100	%

#### NOTE 13 – FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The following tables and paragraphs set forth the Company's financial instruments that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis within the fair value hierarchy. Assets and liabilities measured at fair value are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The Company's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires management to make judgments and consider factors specific to the asset or liability. The following describes three levels of inputs that may

be used to measure fair value:

Level 1—Observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;

Level 2—Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities, quoted prices in markets that are not active, or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities; and

Level 3—Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

The Company utilizes the market approach to measure fair value for its money market funds. The market approach uses prices and other relevant information generated by market transactions involving identical or comparable assets or liabilities.

As of September 30, 2017, the Company's restricted cash included bank time deposits which were measured at fair value using Level 2 inputs and their carrying values were approximately equal to their fair values. Level 2 inputs, obtained from various third-party data providers, represent quoted prices for similar assets in active markets, or these inputs were derived from observable market data, or if not directly observable, were derived from or corroborated by other observable market data.

Other current assets and other long-term liabilities recorded at fair value on a recurring basis are composed of investments held in a rabbi trust and the related deferred liability for deferred compensation arrangements. Quoted prices for this investment, primarily in mutual funds, are available in active markets. Thus, the Company's investments related to deferred compensation arrangements and the related long-term liability are classified as Level 1 measurements in the fair value hierarchy.

The Company transfers its financial assets and liabilities between the fair value hierarchies at the end of each reporting period. There were no transfers between the different levels of the fair value hierarchy during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016.

Edgar Filing: Horizon Pharma plc - Form 10-Q

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis

The following tables set forth the Company's financial assets and liabilities at fair value on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016 (in thousands):

	September 30, 2017			Total
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
<b>Assets:</b>				
Bank time deposits	\$—	\$3,000	\$ —	\$3,000
Money market funds	552,000	—	—	552,000
Other current assets	5,717	—	—	5,717
<b>Total assets at fair value</b>	<b>\$557,717</b>	<b>\$3,000</b>	<b>\$ —</b>	<b>\$560,717</b>
<b>Liabilities:</b>				
Other long-term liabilities	(5,717 )	—	—	(5,717 )
<b>Total liabilities at fair value</b>	<b>\$(5,717 )</b>	<b>\$—</b>	<b>\$ —</b>	<b>\$(5,717 )</b>

	December 31, 2016			Total
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
<b>Assets:</b>				
Bank time deposits	\$—	\$3,000	\$ —	\$3,000
Money market funds	170,000	—	—	170,000
Other current assets	3,038	—	—	3,038
<b>Total assets at fair value</b>	<b>\$173,038</b>	<b>\$3,000</b>	<b>\$ —</b>	<b>\$176,038</b>
<b>Liabilities:</b>				
Other long-term liabilities	(3,038 )	—	—	(3,038 )
<b>Total liabilities at fair value</b>	<b>\$(3,038 )</b>	<b>\$—</b>	<b>\$ —</b>	<b>\$(3,038 )</b>

NOTE 14 – DEBT AGREEMENTS

The Company's outstanding debt balances as of September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016 consisted of the following (in thousands):

	September 30, 2017	December 31, 2016
2017 Term Loan Facility	\$847,875	—
2015 Term Loan Facility	—	394,000
2016 Incremental Loan Facility	—	375,000
2023 Senior Notes	475,000	475,000
2024 Senior Notes	300,000	300,000



Edgar Filing: Horizon Pharma plc - Form 10-Q

Exchangeable Senior Notes	400,000	400,000
Total face value	2,022,875	1,944,000
Debt discount	(113,508 )	(126,352 )
Deferred financing fees	(11,790 )	(10,155 )
Total long-term debt	1,897,577	1,807,493
Less: current maturities	8,500	7,750
Long-term debt, net of current maturities	\$ 1,889,077	\$ 1,799,743

2017 Term Loan Facility

On March 29, 2017, HPI and Horizon Pharma USA, Inc. (“HPUSA” and, together with HPI, in such capacity, the “Borrowers”), wholly-owned subsidiaries of the Company, borrowed \$850.0 million aggregate principal amount of loans (the “March 2017 Refinancing Loans”) pursuant to an amendment (the “March 2017 Refinancing Amendment”) to the credit agreement, dated as of May 7, 2015 (as amended by the 2016 Amendment described below, the “2016 Credit Agreement” and, the 2016 Credit Agreement as amended by the March 2017 Refinancing Amendment, the “March 2017 Credit Agreement”), by and among the Borrowers, the Company and certain of its subsidiaries as guarantors, the lenders party thereto from time to time and Citibank, N.A., as administrative agent and collateral agent (the “2017 Term Loan Facility”). The March 2017 Credit Agreement provided for (i) the March 2017 Refinancing Loans, (ii) one or more uncommitted additional incremental loan facilities subject to the satisfaction of certain financial and other conditions, and (iii) one or more uncommitted refinancing loan facilities with respect to loans thereunder. The March 2017 Credit Agreement allowed for the Company and certain of its subsidiaries to become borrowers under incremental or refinancing facilities. The March 2017 Credit Agreement was amended pursuant to the October 2017 Refinancing Amendment described in Note 21 below (the March 2017 Credit Agreement as amended by the October 2017 Refinancing Amendment , the “Credit Agreement”).

The March 2017 Refinancing Loans were incurred as a separate new class of term loans under the March 2017 Credit Agreement with substantially the same terms as the previously outstanding senior secured term loans incurred on May 7, 2015 (the “2015 Loans”) and the outstanding senior secured term loans incurred on October 25, 2016 (the “2016 Loans” and, together with the 2015 Loans, the “March 2017 Refinanced Loans”), except as described below. The March 2017 Refinancing Loans bore interest, at the Borrowers’ option, at a rate equal to either the London Inter-Bank Offer Rate (“LIBOR”), plus an applicable margin of 3.75% per year (subject to a LIBOR floor of 1.00%), or the adjusted base rate plus 2.75%. The adjusted base rate was defined as the greater of (a) LIBOR (using one-month interest period) plus 1.00%, (b) prime rate, (c) fed funds plus 0.5%, and (d) 2.00%. The Borrowers used a portion of the proceeds of the March 2017 Refinancing Loans to repay the March 2017 Refinanced Loans, which totaled \$769.0 million.

The Company elected to exercise its reinvestment rights under the mandatory prepayment provisions of the March 2017 Credit Agreement with respect to the net proceeds from the Chiesi divestiture. To the extent the Company did not apply such net proceeds to permitted acquisitions (including the acquisition of rights to products and products lines) and/or the acquisition of capital assets within 365 days of the receipt thereof (or commit to so apply and then apply within 180 days after the end of such 365-day period), the Borrowers under the March 2017 Credit Agreement would have had to make a mandatory prepayment under the March 2017 Credit Agreement in an amount equal to the unapplied net proceeds. Until such time, the net proceeds were not legally restricted for use. As of September 30, 2017, the Company had applied a portion of such net proceeds to the acquisition of additional rights to interferon gamma-1b. See Note 3 for further details of this acquisition.

The obligations under the March 2017 Credit Agreement (including obligations in respect of the March 2017 Refinancing Loans) and any swap obligations and cash management obligations owing to a lender (or an affiliate of a lender) thereunder were guaranteed by the Company and each of the Company’s existing and subsequently acquired or formed direct and indirect subsidiaries (other than certain immaterial subsidiaries, subsidiaries whose guarantee would result in material adverse tax consequences and subsidiaries whose guarantee is prohibited by applicable law). The obligations under the March 2017 Credit Agreement (including obligations in respect of the March 2017 Refinancing Loans) and any such swap and cash management obligations were secured, subject to customary permitted liens and other agreed upon exceptions, by a perfected security interest in (i) all tangible and intangible assets of the Borrowers and the guarantors, except for certain customary excluded assets, and (ii) all of the capital stock owned by the Borrowers and guarantors thereunder (limited, in the case of the stock of certain non-U.S. subsidiaries of the Borrowers, to 65% of the capital stock of such subsidiaries). The Borrowers and the guarantors under the March 2017 Credit Agreement and/or Credit Agreement, as applicable, are individually and collectively referred to herein as a “Loan Party” and the “Loan Parties,” as applicable.

Borrowers under the March 2017 Credit Agreement were permitted to make voluntary prepayments of the loans under the March 2017 Credit Agreement at any time without payment of a premium, except that with respect to the March 2017 Refinancing Loans, a 1.00% premium would have applied to a repayment of the March 2017 Refinancing Loans in connection with a repricing of, or any amendment to the March 2017 Credit Agreement in a repricing of, such loans effected on or prior to the date that is six months following March 29, 2017. The Borrowers were required to make mandatory prepayments of loans under the March 2017 Credit Agreement (without payment of a premium) with (a) net cash proceeds from certain non-ordinary course asset sales (subject to reinvestment rights and other exceptions), (b) casualty proceeds and condemnation awards (subject to reinvestment rights and other exceptions), (c) net cash proceeds from issuances of debt (other than certain permitted debt), and (d) 50% of the Company’s excess cash flow (subject to decrease to 25% or 0% if the Company’s first lien leverage ratio is less than 2.25:1 or 1.75:1, respectively). The March 2017 Refinancing Loans amortized in equal quarterly installments beginning on June 30, 2017 in an aggregate annual amount equal to 1.00% of the original principal amount thereof, with any remaining balance payable on March 29, 2024, the final maturity date of the March 2017 Refinancing Loans.

The March 2017 Credit Agreement contained customary representations and warranties and customary affirmative and negative covenants, including, among other things, restrictions on indebtedness, liens, investments, mergers, dispositions, prepayment of other indebtedness and dividends and other distributions.

Events of default under the March 2017 Credit Agreement included: (i) the failure by any Borrower to timely make payments due under the March 2017 Credit Agreement; (ii) material misrepresentations or misstatements in any representation or warranty by any Loan Party when made; (iii) failure by any Loan Party to comply with the covenants under the March 2017 Credit Agreement and other related agreements; (iv) certain defaults under a specified amount of other indebtedness of the Company or its subsidiaries; (v) insolvency or bankruptcy-related events with respect to the Company or any of its material subsidiaries; (vi) certain undischarged judgments against the Company or any of its restricted subsidiaries; (vii) certain ERISA-related events reasonably expected to have a material adverse effect on the Company and its restricted subsidiaries taken as a whole; (viii) certain security interests or liens under the loan documents ceasing to be, or being asserted by the Company or its restricted subsidiaries not to be, in full force and effect; (ix) any loan document or material provision thereof ceasing to be, or any challenge or assertion by any Loan Party that such loan document or material provision is not, in full force and effect; and (x) the occurrence of a change of control. If one or more events of default occurred and continued beyond any applicable cure period, the administrative agent would have been able to, with the consent of the lenders holding a majority of the loans and commitments under the facilities, or would have been able to, at the request of such lenders, terminate the commitments of the lenders to make further loans and declare all of the obligations of the Loan Parties under the March 2017 Credit Agreement to be immediately due and payable.

The Company was, as of September 30, 2017, in compliance with the March 2017 Credit Agreement and is currently in compliance with the Credit Agreement.

As of September 30, 2017, the fair value of the 2017 Term Loan Facility was approximately \$847.9 million, categorized as a Level 2 instrument, as defined in Note 13.

#### 2016 Incremental Loan Facility and 2015 Term Loan Facility

On May 7, 2015, HPI, as borrower, and the Company and certain of its subsidiaries, as guarantors, entered into a credit agreement (the “2015 Credit Agreement”) with Citibank, N.A., as administrative and collateral agent, and the lenders from time to time party thereto providing for (i) a six-year \$400.0 million term loan facility (the “2015 Term Loan Facility”); (ii) an uncommitted accordion facility subject to the satisfaction of certain financial and other conditions; and (iii) one or more uncommitted refinancing loan facilities with respect to loans thereunder. The 2015 Loans under the 2015 Term Loan Facility bore interest, at each borrower’s option, at a rate equal to either the LIBOR, plus an applicable margin of 3.50% per year (subject to a 1.00% LIBOR floor), or the adjusted base rate plus 2.50%. The adjusted base rate was defined as the greater of (a) LIBOR (using one-month interest period) plus 1.00%, (b) prime rate, (c) fed funds plus 0.5%, and (d) 2.00%. HPI borrowed the full \$400.0 million available on the 2015 Term Loan Facility on May 7, 2015 as a LIBOR-based borrowing.

On October 25, 2016 and in connection with the financing for the acquisition of Raptor, HPI and HPUSA (together, in such capacity, the “Incremental Borrowers”) entered into an amendment to the 2015 Credit Agreement (the “2016 Amendment”) with Citibank, N.A., as administrative and collateral agent, and Bank of America, N.A., as the incremental B-1 lender thereunder, pursuant to which the Incremental Borrowers borrowed \$375.0 million aggregate principal amount of loans (the “2016 Incremental Loan Facility”). The 2016 Incremental Loan Facility was incurred as a separate class of term loans under the 2015 Credit Agreement with the same terms as the loans under the 2015 Term Loan Facility, except as described below.

The 2016 Loans under the 2016 Incremental Loan Facility bore interest, at the Incremental Borrowers’ option, at a rate equal to either LIBOR plus an applicable margin of 4.50% per year (subject to a LIBOR floor of 1.00%), or the adjusted base rate plus 3.50%. The terms of the 2015 Loans provided for an amendment such that the effective yield of the 2015 Loans would not be less than the effective yield of the 2016 Loans minus 0.50%. Consequently, the issuance of the 2016 Loans resulted in an increase of the interest rate applicable to the 2015 Loans, as of October 25, 2016, to LIBOR plus 4.00%, subject to a LIBOR floor of 1.00% (an initial interest rate of 5.00%).

On March 29, 2017, the Borrowers used the proceeds of the March 2017 Refinancing Loans under the 2017 Term Loan Facility to repay the 2015 Loans and 2016 Loans, which collectively totaled \$769.0 million.

The 2015 Loans and the 2016 Loans were repaid, and a portion of the repayment was accounted for as a debt modification and a portion was accounted for as a debt extinguishment. Under debt extinguishment accounting, the Company recorded a charge of \$0.5 million to “loss on debt extinguishment” in the condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive loss, which reflected the write-off of the unamortized portion of debt discount and deferred financing costs previously incurred and a one percent prepayment penalty fee. Under debt modification accounting, the Company capitalized an incremental \$5.8 million of debt discount and deferred financing fees.

#### 2024 Senior Notes

On October 25, 2016, HPI and HPUSA (together, in such capacity, the “2024 Issuers”), completed a private placement of \$300.0 million aggregate principal amount of the 2024 Senior Notes to certain investment banks acting as initial purchasers who subsequently resold the 2024 Senior Notes to qualified institutional buyers as defined in Rule 144A under the Securities Act. The net proceeds from the offering of the 2024 Senior Notes were approximately \$291.9 million, after deducting the initial purchasers’ discount and offering expenses payable by the 2024 Issuers.

The obligations under the 2024 Senior Notes are the 2024 Issuers' general unsecured senior obligations and are fully and unconditionally guaranteed on a senior unsecured basis by the Company and all of the Company's direct and indirect subsidiaries that are guarantors from time to time under the Credit Agreement.

The Company used the net proceeds from the offering of the 2024 Senior Notes as well as \$375.0 million principal amount of 2016 Loans under the 2016 Incremental Loan Facility to fund a portion of the acquisition of Raptor, repay Raptor's outstanding debt, and pay any prepayment premiums, fees and expenses in connection with the foregoing.

The 2024 Senior Notes accrue interest at an annual rate of 8.750% payable semiannually in arrears on May 1 and November 1 of each year, beginning on May 1, 2017. The 2024 Senior Notes will mature on November 1, 2024, unless earlier repurchased or redeemed.

Except as described below, the 2024 Senior Notes may not be redeemed before November 1, 2019. Thereafter, some or all of the 2024 Senior Notes may be redeemed at any time at specified redemption prices, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date. At any time prior to November 1, 2019, some or all of the 2024 Senior Notes may be redeemed at a price equal to 100% of the aggregate principal amount thereof, plus a make-whole premium and accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date. Also prior to November 1, 2019, up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the 2024 Senior Notes may be redeemed at a redemption price of 108.75% of the aggregate principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest, with the net proceeds of certain equity offerings. In addition, the 2024 Senior Notes may be redeemed in whole but not in part at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest and additional amounts, if any, to, but excluding, the redemption date, if on the next date on which any amount would be payable in respect of the 2024 Senior Notes, the 2024 Issuers or any guarantor is or would be required to pay additional amounts as a result of certain tax-related events.

If the Company undergoes a change of control, the 2024 Issuers will be required to make an offer to purchase all of the 2024 Senior Notes at a price in cash equal to 101% of the aggregate principal amount thereof plus accrued and unpaid interest to, but not including, the repurchase date. If the Company or certain of its subsidiaries engages in certain asset sales, the 2024 Issuers will be required under certain circumstances to make an offer to purchase the 2024 Senior Notes at 100% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the repurchase date.

The indenture governing the 2024 Senior Notes contains covenants that limit the ability of the Company and its restricted subsidiaries to, among other things, pay dividends or distributions, repurchase equity, prepay junior debt and make certain investments, incur additional debt and issue certain preferred stock, incur liens on assets, engage in certain asset sales, merge, consolidate with or merge or sell all or substantially all of their assets, enter into transactions with affiliates, designate subsidiaries as unrestricted subsidiaries, and allow to exist certain restrictions on the ability of restricted subsidiaries to pay dividends or make other payments to the Company. Certain of the covenants will be suspended during any period in which the notes receive investment grade ratings. The indenture also includes customary events of default.

The Company was, as of September 30, 2017, and is currently in compliance with the indenture governing the 2024 Senior Notes.

As of September 30, 2017, the fair value of the 2024 Senior Notes was approximately \$313.5 million, categorized as a Level 2 instrument, as defined in Note 13.

#### 2023 Senior Notes

On April 29, 2015, Horizon Pharma Financing Inc. (“Horizon Financing”), a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, completed a private placement of \$475.0 million aggregate principal amount of 6.625% Senior Notes due 2023 (the “2023 Senior Notes”) to certain investment banks acting as initial purchasers who subsequently resold the 2023 Senior Notes to qualified institutional buyers as defined in Rule 144A under the Securities Act, and in offshore transactions to non-U.S. persons in reliance on Regulation S under the Securities Act. The net proceeds from the offering of the 2023 Senior Notes were approximately \$462.3 million, after deducting the initial purchasers’ discount and offering expenses payable by Horizon Financing.

In connection with the closing of the Hyperion acquisition on May 7, 2015, Horizon Financing merged with and into HPI and, as a result, the 2023 Senior Notes became HPI’s general unsecured senior obligations. The obligations under the 2023 Senior Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed on a senior unsecured basis by the Company and all of the Company’s direct and indirect subsidiaries that are guarantors from time to time under the Credit Agreement.

The 2023 Senior Notes accrue interest at an annual rate of 6.625% payable semiannually in arrears on May 1 and November 1 of each year, beginning on November 1, 2015. The 2023 Senior Notes will mature on May 1, 2023, unless earlier repurchased or redeemed.

Except as described below, the 2023 Senior Notes may not be redeemed before May 1, 2018. Thereafter, some or all of the 2023 Senior Notes may be redeemed at any time at specified redemption prices, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date. At any time prior to May 1, 2018, some or all of the 2023 Senior Notes may be redeemed at a price equal to 100% of the aggregate principal amount thereof, plus a make-whole premium and accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date. Also prior to May 1, 2018, up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the 2023 Senior Notes may be redeemed at a redemption price of 106.625% of the aggregate principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest, with the net proceeds of certain equity offerings. In addition, the 2023 Senior Notes may be redeemed in whole but not in part at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest and additional amounts, if any, to, but excluding, the redemption date, if on the next date on which any amount would be payable in respect of the 2023 Senior Notes, HPI or any guarantor is or would be required to pay additional amounts as a result of certain tax-related events.

If the Company undergoes a change of control, HPI will be required to make an offer to purchase all of the 2023 Senior Notes at a price in cash equal to 101% of the aggregate principal amount thereof plus accrued and unpaid interest to, but not including, the repurchase date. If the Company or certain of its subsidiaries engages in certain asset sales, HPI will be required under certain circumstances to make an offer to purchase the 2023 Senior Notes at 100% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the repurchase date.

The indenture governing the 2023 Senior Notes contains covenants that limit the ability of the Company and its restricted subsidiaries to, among other things, pay dividends or distributions, repurchase equity, prepay junior debt and make certain investments, incur additional debt and issue certain preferred stock, incur liens on assets, engage in certain asset sales, merge, consolidate with or merge or sell all or substantially all of their assets, enter into transactions with affiliates, designate subsidiaries as unrestricted subsidiaries, and allow to exist certain restrictions on the ability of restricted subsidiaries to pay dividends or make other payments to the Company. Certain of the covenants will be suspended during any period in which the notes receive investment grade ratings. The indenture governing the 2023 Senior Notes also includes customary events of default.

The Company was, as of September 30, 2017, and is currently in compliance with the indenture governing the 2023 Senior Notes.

As of September 30, 2017, the fair value of the 2023 Senior Notes was approximately \$464.3 million, categorized as a Level 2 instrument, as defined in Note 13.

#### Exchangeable Senior Notes

On March 13, 2015, Horizon Investment completed a private placement of \$400.0 million aggregate principal amount of Exchangeable Senior Notes to certain investment banks acting as initial purchasers who subsequently resold the Exchangeable Senior Notes to qualified institutional buyers as defined in Rule 144A under the Securities Act. The net proceeds from the offering of the Exchangeable Senior Notes were approximately \$387.2 million, after deducting the initial purchasers' discount and offering expenses payable by Horizon Investment.

The Exchangeable Senior Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed, on a senior unsecured basis, by the Company (the "Guarantee"). The Exchangeable Senior Notes and the Guarantee are Horizon Investment's and the Company's senior unsecured obligations. The Exchangeable Senior Notes accrue interest at an annual rate of 2.50% payable semiannually in arrears on March 15 and September 15 of each year, beginning on September 15, 2015. The Exchangeable Senior Notes will mature on March 15, 2022, unless earlier exchanged, repurchased or redeemed. The initial exchange rate is 34.8979 ordinary shares of the Company per \$1,000 principal amount of the Exchangeable Senior Notes (equivalent to an initial exchange price of approximately \$28.66 per ordinary share). The exchange rate will be subject to adjustment in some events but will not be adjusted for any accrued and unpaid interest. In addition, following certain corporate events that occur prior to the maturity date or upon a tax redemption, Horizon Investment will increase the exchange rate for a holder who elects to exchange its Exchangeable Senior Notes in connection with such a corporate event or a tax redemption in certain circumstances.

Other than as described below, the Exchangeable Senior Notes may not be redeemed by the Company.

#### Issuer Redemptions:

**Optional Redemption for Changes in the Tax Laws of a Relevant Taxing Jurisdiction:** Horizon Investment may redeem the Exchangeable Senior Notes at its option, prior to March 15, 2022, in whole but not in part, in connection with certain tax-related events.

**Provisional Redemption on or After March 20, 2019:** On or after March 20, 2019, Horizon Investment may redeem for cash all or a portion of the Exchangeable Senior Notes if the last reported sale price of ordinary shares of the



Company has been at least 130% of the exchange price then in effect for at least twenty trading days whether or not consecutive) during any thirty consecutive trading day period ending on, and including, the trading day immediately preceding the date on which Horizon Investment provide written notice of redemption. The redemption price will be equal to 100% of the principal amount of the Exchangeable Senior Notes to be redeemed, plus accrued and unpaid interest to, but not including, the redemption date; provided that if the redemption date occurs after a regular record date and on or prior to the corresponding interest payment date, Horizon Investment will pay the full amount of accrued and unpaid interest due on such interest payment date to the record holder of the Exchangeable Senior Notes on the regular record date corresponding to such interest payment date, and the redemption price payable to the holder who presents an Exchangeable Senior Note for redemption will be equal to 100% of the principal amount of such Exchangeable Senior Note.

Holder Exchange Rights:

Holders may exchange all or any portion of their Exchangeable Senior Notes at their option at any time prior to the close of business on the business day immediately preceding December 15, 2021 only upon satisfaction of one or more of the following conditions:

1. Exchange upon Satisfaction of Sale Price Condition – During any calendar quarter commencing after the calendar quarter ended June 30, 2015 (and only during such calendar quarter), if the last reported sale price of ordinary shares of the Company for at least twenty trading days (whether or not consecutive) during the period of thirty consecutive trading days ending on the last trading day of the immediately preceding calendar quarter is greater than or equal to 130% of the applicable exchange price on each applicable trading day.
2. Exchange upon Satisfaction of Trading Price Condition – During the five business day period after any ten consecutive trading day period in which the trading price per \$1,000 principal amount of Exchangeable Senior Notes for each trading day of such period was less than 98% of the product of the last reported sale price of ordinary shares of the Company and the applicable exchange rate on such trading day.
3. Exchange upon Notice of Redemption – Prior to the close of business on the business day immediately preceding December 15, 2021, if Horizon Investment provides a notice of redemption, at any time prior to the close of business on the second scheduled trading day immediately preceding the redemption date.

As of September 30, 2017, none of the above conditions had been satisfied and no exchange of Exchangeable Senior Notes had been triggered.

On or after December 15, 2021, a holder may exchange all or any portion of its Exchangeable Senior Notes at any time prior to the close of business on the second scheduled trading day immediately preceding the maturity date regardless of the foregoing conditions.

Upon exchange, Horizon Investment will settle exchanges of the Exchangeable Senior Notes by paying or causing to be delivered, as the case may be, cash, ordinary shares or a combination of cash and ordinary shares, at its election.

The Company recorded the Exchangeable Senior Notes under the guidance in Topic ASC 470-20, Debt with Conversion and Other Options, and separated them into a liability component and equity component. The carrying amount of the liability component of \$268.9 million was determined by measuring the fair value of a similar liability that does not have an associated equity component. The carrying amount of the equity component of \$119.1 million represented by the embedded conversion option was determined by deducting the fair value of the liability component of \$268.9 million from the initial proceeds of \$387.2 million ascribed to the convertible debt instrument as a whole. The initial debt discount of \$131.1 million is being charged to interest expense over the life of the Exchangeable Senior Notes using the effective interest rate method.

As of September 30, 2017, the fair value of the Exchangeable Senior Notes was approximately \$361.0 million, categorized as a Level 2 instrument, as defined in Note 13.

NOTE 15 – OTHER LONG-TERM LIABILITIES

Included in other long-term liabilities at September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, is \$26.6 million and \$25.5 million, respectively, representing the fair value of the long-term portion of the contingent liability for royalties potentially payable under agreements related to PROCYSBI and QUINSAIR.

## NOTE 16 – COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

## Lease Obligations

The Company has the following office space lease agreements in place for real properties:

Location	Approximate Square Feet	Lease Expiry Date
Dublin, Ireland	18,900	November 3, 2029
Lake Forest, Illinois (1)	160,000	March 31, 2024
Novato, California (2)	61,000	August 31, 2021
Deerfield, Illinois (3)	32,300	June 30, 2018
Brisbane, California	20,100	November 30, 2019
Mannheim, Germany	14,300	December 31, 2018
Chicago, Illinois	6,500	December 31, 2018
Reinach, Switzerland	3,500	May 31, 2020
Washington, D.C.	1,000	December 31, 2018

(1) In connection with the Lake Forest, Illinois lease, the Company has provided a \$2.0 million letter of credit to the landlord, through a commercial bank.

- (2) During March 2017, the Company vacated an area of the office space in Novato, California. During March and April 2017, the Company entered into sublease arrangements for this space with third parties.
- (3) During January 2016, the Company vacated the premises in Deerfield, Illinois and began occupying the premises in Lake Forest, Illinois. During April 2017, the Company entered into a sublease arrangement for a portion of this space with a third party. During June 2017, the Company terminated a portion of the lease, resulting in 32,300 square feet remaining.

Following the Chiesi divestiture in June 2017, the Company ceased to hold a lease obligation in Utrecht in the Netherlands.

#### Purchase Commitments

In August 2007, the Company entered into a manufacturing and supply agreement with Jagotec AG (“Jagotec”), which was amended in March 2011 and in January 2017. Under the agreement, Jagotec or its affiliates are required to manufacture and supply RAYOS/LODOTRA exclusively to the Company in bulk. The earliest the agreement can expire is December 31, 2023, and the minimum purchase commitment is in force until December 2023. At September 30, 2017, the minimum purchase commitment based on tablet pricing in effect under the agreement was \$6.7 million through December 2023. Additionally, purchase orders relating to the manufacture of RAYOS/LODOTRA of \$1.2 million were outstanding at September 30, 2017.

In May 2011, the Company entered into a manufacturing and supply agreement with Sanofi-Aventis U.S. LLC (“Sanofi-Aventis U.S.”), and amended the agreement effective as of September 25, 2013. Pursuant to the agreement, as amended, Sanofi-Aventis U.S. is obligated to manufacture and supply DUEXIS to the Company in final, packaged form, and the Company is obligated to purchase DUEXIS exclusively from Sanofi-Aventis U.S. for the commercial requirements of DUEXIS in North America, South America and certain countries and territories in Europe, including the European Union (“EU”) member states and Scandinavia. The agreement term extends until May 2019, and automatically renews for successive two-year terms unless terminated by either party upon two years prior written notice. At September 30, 2017, the Company had a binding purchase commitment to Sanofi-Aventis U.S. for DUEXIS of \$6.3 million, which is to be delivered through March 2018.

In July 2013, Vidara Therapeutics International Public Limited Company (“Vidara”) and Boehringer Ingelheim entered into an exclusive supply agreement, which the Company assumed in September 2014 and amended effective as of September 5, 2014 and June 1, 2015. That supply agreement was replaced with an exclusive global supply agreement between the Company and Boehringer Ingelheim Biopharmaceuticals GmbH (“Boehringer Ingelheim Biopharmaceuticals”) effective June 30, 2017. Under the agreement, Boehringer Ingelheim Biopharmaceuticals is required to manufacture and supply ACTIMMUNE and IMUKIN to the Company. The Company is required to purchase minimum quantities of finished medicine per annum through July 2024. During the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company committed to purchase additional amounts of ACTIMMUNE from Boehringer Ingelheim. These additional amounts were intended to cover anticipated demand if the results of the STEADFAST study of ACTIMMUNE for the treatment of FA had been successful. As of September 30, 2017, the minimum binding purchase commitment to Boehringer Ingelheim Biopharmaceuticals was \$22.5 million (converted using a Dollar-to-Euro exchange rate of 1.1814) through July 2024. Following the discontinuation of the STEADFAST program, the Company recorded a loss of \$14.3 million in “cost of goods sold” in the condensed consolidated statement of comprehensive loss during the year ended December 31, 2016 for a portion of this commitment which represented firm, non-cancellable and unconditional purchase commitments for quantities in excess of the Company’s current forecasts for future demand. During the nine months ended September 30, 2017, the Company renegotiated the purchase commitment due to Boehringer Ingelheim and recorded \$2.7 million as a reduction to “cost of goods sold”. During the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company also committed to incur an additional \$14.9 million for the harmonization of the drug substance manufacturing process with Boehringer Ingelheim. These additional costs will be incurred during the years 2017 through 2021. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017, the Company recorded \$5.7 million and \$12.2 million, respectively, in its condensed consolidated statement of comprehensive loss related to the harmonization of the drug substance manufacturing process.

In November 2013, the Company entered into a long-term master manufacturing services and product agreement with Patheon Pharmaceuticals Inc. (“Patheon”) pursuant to which Patheon is obligated to manufacture VIMOVO for the Company through December 31, 2019. The Company agreed to purchase a specified percentage of VIMOVO requirements for the United States from Patheon. The Company must pay an agreed price for final, packaged VIMOVO supplied by Patheon as set forth in the Patheon manufacturing agreement, subject to adjustments, including certain unilateral adjustments by Patheon, such as annual adjustments for inflation and adjustments to account for certain increases in the cost of components of VIMOVO other than active materials. The Company issues twelve-month forecasts of the volume of VIMOVO that the Company expects to order. The first six months of the forecast are considered binding firm orders. At September 30, 2017, the Company had a binding purchase commitment with Patheon for VIMOVO of \$1.5 million which is to be delivered through December 2017.

In October 2014, in connection with the acquisition of the U.S. rights to PENNSAID 2% from Nuvo Research Inc., (“Nuvo”), the Company and Nuvo entered into an exclusive supply agreement. Under the supply agreement, which was amended in February 2016, Nuvo is obligated to manufacture and supply PENNSAID 2% to the Company. The term of the supply agreement is through December 31, 2029, but the agreement may be terminated earlier by either party for any uncured material breach by the other party of its obligations under the supply agreement or upon the bankruptcy or similar proceeding of the other party. At least ninety days prior to the first day of each calendar month during the term of the supply agreement, the Company submits a binding written purchase order to Nuvo for PENNSAID 2% in minimum batch quantities. At September 30, 2017, the Company had a binding purchase commitment with Nuvo for PENNSAID 2% of \$4.3 million through January 2018.

In November 2010, Raptor and Patheon entered into a manufacturing services agreement, which the Company assumed as a result of its acquisition of Raptor. Under the agreement, which was amended in April 2012 and June 2013, Patheon is obligated to manufacture PROCYSBI for the Company through December 31, 2019. The Company must provide Patheon with rolling, non-binding forecasts of PROCYSBI, with a portion of the forecast being a firm written order. In November 2010, Raptor and Cambrex Profarmaco Milano (“Cambrex”) entered into an active pharmaceutical ingredient (“API”) supply agreement, which the Company assumed as a result of its acquisition of Raptor. Under the agreement, which was amended in April 2013 and August 2016, Cambrex is obligated to manufacture PROCYSBI API for the Company through November 30, 2020. The Company must provide Cambrex with rolling, non-binding forecasts, with a portion of the forecast being the minimum floor of the firm order that must be placed. At September 30, 2017, the Company had a binding purchase commitment with Patheon for PROCYSBI of \$1.4 million through January 2018 and with Cambrex for PROCYSBI API of \$2.8 million through December 2020.

In March 2007, Savient Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (as predecessor in interest to Crealta), entered into a commercial supply agreement with Bio-Technology General (Israel) Ltd (“BTG Israel”) for the production of the bulk KRYSTEXXA medicine (“bulk product”). The Company assumed this agreement as part of the Crealta acquisition and amended the agreement in September 2016. Under this agreement, the Company has agreed to purchase certain minimum annual order quantities and is obligated to purchase at least eighty percent of its annual world-wide bulk product requirements from BTG Israel. The term of the agreement runs until December 31, 2030, and will automatically renew for successive three year periods unless earlier terminated by either party upon three years prior written notice. The agreement may be terminated earlier by either party in the event of a force majeure, liquidation, dissolution, bankruptcy or insolvency of the other party, uncured material breach by the other party or after January 1, 2024, upon three years prior written notice. Under the agreement if the manufacture of the bulk product is moved out of Israel, the Company may be required to obtain the approval of the Israeli Office of the Chief Scientist (“OCS”) because certain KRYSTEXXA intellectual property was initially developed with a grant funded by the OCS. The Company issues eighteen-month forecasts of the volume of KRYSTEXXA that the Company expects to order. The first six months of the forecast are considered binding firm orders. At September 30, 2017, the Company had a binding purchase commitment with BTG Israel for KRYSTEXXA of \$52.0 million through December 31, 2026. Additionally, other binding commitments relating to the manufacture of KRYSTEXXA of \$1.8 million were outstanding at September 30, 2017.

Excluding the above, additional purchase orders and other commitments relating to the manufacture of BUPHENYL, MIGERGOT, QUINSAIR and RAVICTI and VIMOVO of \$9.6 million were outstanding at September 30, 2017.

#### Royalty Agreements

##### RAYOS/LODOTRA

In connection with an August 2004 development and license agreement with SkyePharma AG, who subsequently entered into a business combination with Vectura Group plc (“Vectura”), and Jagotec, a wholly owned subsidiary of Vectura, regarding certain proprietary technology and know-how owned by Vectura, Jagotec is entitled to receive a single digit percentage royalty on net sales of RAYOS/LODOTRA and on any sub-licensing income, which includes

any payments not calculated based on the net sales of RAYOS/LODOTRA, such as license fees, lump sums and milestone payments.

#### VIMOVO

The Company entered into a license agreement with Pozen Inc. who subsequently entered into a business combination with Tribute Pharmaceuticals Canada Inc. to become known as Aralez Pharmaceuticals Inc. (“Aralez”). Under this agreement, the Company is required to pay Aralez a flat 10% royalty on net sales of VIMOVO and other medicines sold by the Company, its affiliates or sublicensees during the royalty term that contain gastroprotective agents in a single fixed combination oral solid dosage form with nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, subject to minimum annual royalty obligations of \$7.5 million. These minimum royalty obligations will continue for each year during which one of Aralez’s patents covers such medicines in the United States and there are no competing medicines in the United States. The royalty rate may be reduced to a mid-single digit royalty rate as a result of loss of market share to competing medicines. The Company’s obligation to pay royalties to Aralez will expire upon the later of (a) expiration of the last-to-expire of certain patents covering such medicines in the United States, and (b) ten years after the first commercial sale of such medicines in the United States.

In November 2013, the Company, AstraZeneca AB (“AstraZeneca”) and Aralez entered into a letter agreement. Under the letter agreement, the Company and AstraZeneca agreed to pay Aralez milestone payments upon the achievement by the Company and AstraZeneca, collectively, of certain annual aggregate global net sales thresholds ranging from \$550.0 million to \$1.25 billion with respect to VIMOVO. The aggregate milestone payment amount that may be owed by AstraZeneca and the Company, collectively, under the letter agreement is \$260.0 million, with the amount payable by each of the Company and AstraZeneca with respect to each milestone to be based upon the proportional sales achieved by each of the Company and AstraZeneca, respectively, in the applicable year.

#### ACTIMMUNE

Under a license agreement, as amended, with Genentech Inc. (“Genentech”), who was the original developer of ACTIMMUNE, the Company is or was obligated to pay royalties to Genentech on its net sales of ACTIMMUNE as follows:

For the period from November 26, 2014 through May 5, 2018, a royalty in the 20% to 30% range for the first \$3.7 million in net sales achieved in any calendar year and in the 1% to 9% range for all additional net sales in any year; and

- From May 6, 2018 and for so long as the Company continues to commercially sell ACTIMMUNE, an annual royalty in the low single digits as a percentage of annual net sales.

Under the terms of an assignment and option agreement with Connetics Corporation (which was the predecessor parent company to InterMune Pharmaceuticals Inc. and is now part of GlaxoSmithKline), (“Connetics”), the Company is obligated to pay low single-digit royalties to Connetics on the Company’s net sales of ACTIMMUNE in the United States.

#### RAVICTI

Under the terms of an asset purchase agreement with Ucyclid Pharma, Inc. (“Ucyclid”), the Company is obligated to pay to Ucyclid tiered mid to high single-digit royalties on its global net sales of RAVICTI. Under the terms of a license agreement with Brusilow, the Company is obligated to pay low single-digit royalties to Brusilow on net sales of RAVICTI that are covered by a valid claim of a licensed patent.

#### BUPHENYL

Under the terms of an amended and restated collaboration agreement with Ucyclid, the Company is obligated to pay to Ucyclid tiered mid to high single-digit royalties on its net sales in the United States of BUPHENYL to urea cycle disorder patients outside of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (“FDA”) approved labeled age range for RAVICTI.

#### KRYSTEXXA

Under the terms of a license agreement with Duke and MVP, the Company is obligated to pay Duke a mid single-digit royalty on its global net sales of KRYSTEXXA and a royalty of between 5% and 15% on any global sublicense revenue. The Company is also obligated to pay MVP a mid single-digit royalty on its net sales of KRYSTEXXA outside of the United States and a royalty of between 5% and 15% on any sublicense revenue outside of the United States.

#### PROCYSBI

Under the terms of an amended and restated license agreement with UCSD, the Company is obligated to pay to UCSD tiered low to mid single-digit royalties on its net sales of PROCYSBI.



The royalty obligations described above are included in accrued royalties on the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets.

For all of the royalty agreements entered into by the Company, a total expense of \$15.0 million and \$42.1 million was recorded during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017, respectively, of which \$14.9 million and \$42.0 million was recorded in cost of goods sold, respectively, and \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million was recorded in selling, general and administrative expenses, respectively, in the condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive loss. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016, \$11.2 million and \$32.6 million was recorded in cost of goods sold, respectively, in the condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive loss.

## Other Agreements

On November 8, 2016, the Company entered into a collaboration and option agreement with a privately held life-science entity. Under the terms of the agreement, the privately held life-science entity will conduct certain research and pre-clinical and clinical development activities. Upon execution of the agreement, the Company paid \$0.1 million for the option to acquire certain of the privately held life-science entity's assets for \$25.0 million, which is exercisable on specified key dates. Under the collaboration and option agreement, the Company is required to pay up to \$9.8 million upon the attainment of various milestones, primarily to fund clinical development costs for the medicine candidate. The Company paid \$0.2 million in the fourth quarter of 2016, \$0.9 million during the first quarter of 2017 and \$1.5 million in the third quarter of 2017 related to milestones.

On May 8, 2017, the Company acquired River Vision for upfront cash payments totaling \$151.9 million, including \$6.3 million of cash acquired, and subject to other customary purchase price adjustments for working capital, and potential future milestone and royalty payments contingent on the satisfaction of certain regulatory milestones and sales thresholds. Under the agreement, the Company is required to pay up to \$325.0 million upon the attainment of various milestones related to FDA approval and net sales thresholds. The agreement also includes a royalty payment of three percent of the portion of annual worldwide net sales exceeding \$300.0 million (if any). Under a separate agreement, the Company is also required to pay up to CHF103.0 million upon the attainment of various milestones related to approval, filing and net sales thresholds. The agreement also includes a royalty payment of between nine percent and twelve percent of the portion of annual worldwide net sales.

## Contingencies

The Company is subject to claims and assessments from time to time in the ordinary course of business. The Company's management does not believe that any such matters, individually or in the aggregate, will have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. In addition, the Company from time to time has billing disputes with vendors in which amounts invoiced are not in accordance with the terms of their contracts.

In November 2015, the Company received a subpoena from the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York requesting documents and information related to its patient access programs and other aspects of its marketing and commercialization activities. The Company is unable to predict how long this investigation will continue or its outcome, but it anticipates that it will continue to incur significant costs in connection with the investigation, regardless of the outcome. The Company may also become subject to similar investigations by other governmental agencies. The investigation by the U.S. Attorney's Office and any additional investigations of the Company's patient access programs and sales and marketing activities may result in damages, fines, penalties or other administrative sanctions against the Company.

## Indemnification

In the normal course of business, the Company enters into contracts and agreements that contain a variety of representations and warranties and provide for general indemnifications. The Company's exposure under these agreements is unknown because it involves claims that may be made against the Company in the future, but have not yet been made. In connection with the federal securities class action litigation (described in Note 17 below), the Company has received notice from the Underwriter Defendants (as defined below) of their intention to seek indemnification and has received and paid several invoices from the Underwriter Defendants. The Company may record charges in the future as a result of these indemnification obligations.

In accordance with its memorandum and articles of association, the Company has indemnification obligations to its officers and directors for certain events or occurrences, subject to certain limits, while they are serving at the Company's request in such capacity. Additionally, the Company has entered into, and intends to continue to enter into,

separate indemnification agreements with its directors and executive officers. These agreements, among other things, require the Company to indemnify its directors and executive officers for certain expenses, including attorneys' fees, judgments, fines and settlement amounts incurred by a director or executive officer in any action or proceeding arising out of their services as one of the Company's directors or executive officers, or any of the Company's subsidiaries or any other company or enterprise to which the person provides services at the Company's request. In connection with the federal securities class action litigation (described in Note 17 below), the Company has paid legal fees and costs on behalf of itself and the current and former officers and directors of the Company who are named as defendants in that litigation. The Company also has a director and officer insurance policy that enables it to recover a portion of any amounts paid for current and future potential claims. All of the Company's officers and directors have also entered into separate indemnification agreements with Horizon Pharma, Inc. ("HPI").

#### NOTE 17 - LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

##### RAYOS

On July 15, 2013, the Company received a Paragraph IV Patent Certification from Watson Laboratories, Inc.—Florida, known as Actavis Laboratories FL, Inc. ("Actavis FL"), advising that Actavis FL had filed an Abbreviated New Drug Application ("ANDA") with the FDA for a generic version of RAYOS, containing up to 5 mg of prednisone. On August 26, 2013, the Company, together with Jagotec, filed suit in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against Actavis FL, Actavis Pharma, Inc., Andrx Corp., and Actavis, Inc. seeking an injunction to prevent the approval of the ANDA.

On October 1, 2015, the Company's subsidiary Horizon Pharma Switzerland GmbH, as well as Jagotec, entered into a license and settlement agreement (the "Actavis settlement agreement") with Actavis FL relating to the Company's and Jagotec's patent infringement litigation against Actavis FL. The court entered the stipulation of dismissal and closed the case on December 4, 2015. The Actavis settlement agreement provides for a full settlement and release by each party of all claims that relate to the litigation or under the patents with respect to Actavis FL's generic version of RAYOS tablets.

Under the Actavis settlement agreement, the Company and Jagotec granted Actavis FL a non-exclusive license to manufacture and commercialize Actavis FL's generic version of RAYOS tablets in the United States after the generic entry date (as defined below) and to take steps necessary to develop inventory of, and prepare to commercialize, Actavis FL's generic version of RAYOS tablets during certain limited periods prior to the generic entry date. The Company and Jagotec also agreed that during the 180 days after the generic entry date, the license granted to Actavis FL would be exclusive with respect to any third-party generic version of RAYOS tablets.

Under the Actavis settlement agreement, the generic entry date is December 23, 2022; however, Actavis FL may be able to enter the market earlier under certain circumstances. Such events relate to the resolution of any other third-party RAYOS patent litigation, the entry of other generic versions of RAYOS tablets or certain substantial reductions in RAYOS prescriptions over specified periods of time.

#### PENNSAID 2%

On November 13, 2014, the Company received a Paragraph IV Patent Certification from Watson Laboratories, Inc. ("Watson Laboratories") advising that Watson Laboratories had filed an ANDA with the FDA for a generic version of PENNSAID 2%. On December 23, 2014, the Company filed suit in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against Actavis Laboratories UT, Inc., and Actavis plc (collectively "Actavis") seeking an injunction to prevent the approval of the ANDA. Since then, Watson Laboratories, Inc. changed its name to Actavis Laboratories UT, Inc., and is the current owner of the ANDA. The lawsuit alleged that Actavis has infringed U.S. Patents 8,217,078, 8,252,838, 8,546,450, 8,563,613, 8,618,164, and 8,871,809 by filing an ANDA seeking approval from the FDA to market a generic version of PENNSAID 2% prior to the expiration of certain of the Company's patents listed in the FDA's Orange Book ("Orange Book").

On June 30, 2015, the Company filed suit in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against Actavis for patent infringement of U.S. Patent 9,066,913. On August 11, 2015, the Company filed suit in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against Actavis for patent infringement of U.S. Patent 9,101,591. On September 17, 2015, the Company filed suit in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against Actavis for patent infringement of U.S. Patent 9,132,110. All three patents, U.S. Patents 9,066,913, 9,101,591 and 9,132,110 are listed in the Orange Book and have claims that cover PENNSAID 2%. These three cases were consolidated with the case filed against Actavis on December 23, 2014.

On August 17, 2016, the district court issued a Markman opinion holding certain of the asserted claims of U.S. Patents 8,252,838, 8,563,613, 9,066,913 and 9,101,591 invalid as indefinite. On March 16, 2017, the court granted Actavis' motion for summary judgment of non-infringement of the asserted claims of U.S. Patents 8,546,450, 8,217,078 and 9,132,110. In view of the Markman and summary judgment decisions, a bench trial was held on March 21-30, 2017, regarding claim 12 of U.S. Patent 9,066,913. On May 14, 2017, the court issued its opinion upholding the validity of claim 12 of the '913 patent, which Actavis had previously admitted its proposed generic diclofenac sodium topical solution product would infringe. Actavis filed its Notice of Appeal on June 16, 2017. The Company filed its Notice of Appeal of the district court's rulings on certain claims of the '450, '078, '838, '613, '591, '304, '784, '913, and '110 patents on June 9, 2017. The Company's opening brief was filed on August 14, 2017. Actavis's opening brief, challenging the district court's judgment on the '913 patent, was filed on October 10, 2017. The Company's brief defending the judgment on the '913 patent is due on November 20, 2017.

On October 27, 2015, the Company filed suit in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against Actavis for patent infringement of U.S. Patents 9,168,304 and 9,168,305. On February 5, 2016, the Company filed suit in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against Actavis for patent infringement of U.S. Patent No. 9,220,784. All three patents, U.S. Patent Nos. 9,168,304, 9,168,305, and 9,220,784, are listed in the Orange Book and have claims that cover PENNSAID 2%. All claims from U.S. Patents 9,168,304, 9,168,305 and 9,220,784 asserted against Actavis were held invalid as indefinite by way of the court's August 17, 2016 Markman opinion. The court's rulings are currently on appeal to the Federal Circuit.

On August 18, 2016, the Company filed suit in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against Actavis for patent infringement of U.S. Patents 9,339,551, 9,339,552, 9,370,501 and 9,375,412. All four patents, U.S. Patents 9,339,551, 9,339,552, 9,370,501 and 9,375,412, are listed in the Orange Book and have claims that cover PENNSAID 2%.

The Company received from Actavis a Paragraph IV Patent Certification Notice Letter dated September 27, 2016, against Orange Book listed U.S. Patent No. 9,415,029, advising that Actavis had filed an ANDA with the FDA for a generic version of PENNSAID 2%.

On December 2, 2014, the Company received a Paragraph IV Patent Certification against Orange Book listed U.S. Patents 8,217,078, 8,252,838, 8,546,450, 8,563,613, 8,618,164 and 8,741,956 from Paddock Laboratories, LLC (“Paddock”) advising that Paddock had filed an ANDA with the FDA for a generic version of PENNSAID 2%. On January 9, 2015, the Company received from Paddock another Paragraph IV Patent Certification against newly Orange Book listed U.S. Patent No. 8,871,809. On January 13, 2015 and January 14, 2015, the Company filed suits in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey and the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, respectively, against Paddock seeking an injunction to prevent the approval of the ANDA. The lawsuits alleged that Paddock has infringed U.S. Patents 8,217,078, 8,252,838, 8,546,450, 8,563,613, 8,618,164 and 8,871,809 by filing an ANDA seeking approval from the FDA to market generic versions of PENNSAID 2% prior to the expiration of certain of the Company’s patents listed in the Orange Book.

On May 6, 2015, the Company entered into a settlement and license agreement (the “Perrigo settlement agreement”) with Perrigo Company plc and its subsidiary Paddock (collectively, “Perrigo”), relating to the Company’s patent infringement litigation against Perrigo. The Perrigo settlement agreement provides for a full settlement and release by both the Company and Perrigo of all claims that were or could have been asserted in the litigation and that arise out of the issues that were the subject of the litigation or Perrigo’s generic version of PENNSAID 2%. A stipulation of dismissal was entered by the district court on May 13, 2015.

Under the Perrigo settlement agreement, the license effective date is January 10, 2029; however, Perrigo may be able to enter the market earlier under certain circumstances. Such events relate to the resolution of any other third-party PENNSAID 2% patent litigation, the entry of other third-party generic versions of PENNSAID 2% or certain substantial reductions in the Company’s PENNSAID 2% shipments over specified periods of time.

Under the Perrigo settlement agreement, the Company also agreed not to sue or assert any claim against Perrigo for infringement of any patent or patent application owned or controlled by the Company during the term of the license granted in the Perrigo settlement agreement based on the manufacture, use, sale, offer for sale, or importation of Perrigo’s generic version of PENNSAID 2% in the United States.

In certain circumstances following the entry of other third-party generic versions of PENNSAID 2%, the Company may be required to supply Perrigo PENNSAID 2% as its authorized distributor of generic PENNSAID 2%, with the Company receiving specified percentages of any net sales by Perrigo.

On February 2, 2015, the Company received a Paragraph IV Patent Certification against Orange Book listed U.S. Patents 8,217,078, 8,252,838, 8,546,450, 8,563,613, 8,618,164, 8,741,956 and 8,871,809 from Taro Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc. and Taro Pharmaceutical Industries, Ltd. (collectively, “Taro”) advising that Taro had filed an ANDA with the FDA for a generic version of PENNSAID 2%. On March 13, 2015, the Company filed suit in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against Taro seeking an injunction to prevent the approval of the ANDA.

On September 9, 2015, certain subsidiaries of the Company (the “Horizon Subsidiaries”) entered into a settlement and license agreement with Taro (the “Taro settlement agreement”) relating to the Horizon Subsidiaries’ patent infringement litigation against Taro. The Taro settlement agreement provides for a full settlement and release by the Horizon Subsidiaries and Taro of all claims that were or could have been asserted in the litigation and that arise out of the issues that were subject of the litigation or Taro’s generic version of PENNSAID 2%. A stipulation of dismissal was entered by the district court on November 3, 2015.

Under the Taro settlement agreement, the Horizon Subsidiaries granted Taro a non-exclusive license to manufacture and commercialize Taro’s generic version of PENNSAID 2% in the United States after the license effective date (as defined below) and to take steps necessary to develop inventory of, and prepare to commercialize, Taro’s generic version of PENNSAID 2% during certain limited periods prior to the license effective date.

Under the Taro settlement agreement, the license effective date is January 10, 2029; however, Taro may be able to enter the market earlier under certain circumstances. Such events relate to the resolution of any other third-party PENNSAID 2% patent litigation, the entry of other third-party generic versions of PENNSAID 2% or certain substantial reductions in the Company's PENNSAID 2% shipments over specified periods of time.

On March 18, 2015, the Company received a Paragraph IV Patent Certification against Orange Book listed U.S. Patents 8,217,078, 8,252,838, 8,546,450, 8,563,613, 8,618,164, 8,741,956 and 8,871,809 from Lupin Limited advising that Lupin Limited had filed an ANDA with the FDA for generic version of PENNSAID 2%. On April 30, 2015, the Company filed suit in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against Lupin Limited and Lupin Pharmaceuticals Inc. (collectively, "Lupin"), seeking an injunction to prevent the approval of the ANDA. The lawsuit alleges that Lupin has infringed U.S. Patents 8,217,078, 8,252,838, 8,546,450, 8,563,613, 8,618,164 and 8,871,809 by filing an ANDA seeking approval from the FDA to market generic versions of PENNSAID 2% prior to the expiration of certain of the Company's patents listed in the Orange Book. The commencement of the patent infringement lawsuit stays, or bars, FDA approval of Lupin's ANDA for 30 months or until an earlier district court decision which finds that the subject patents are not infringed or are invalid.

On June 30, 2015, the Company filed suit in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against Lupin for patent infringement of U.S. Patent No. 9,066,913. On August 11, 2015, the Company filed an amended complaint in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against Lupin that added U.S. Patent No. 9,101,591 to the litigation concerning U.S. Patent 9,066,913. On September 17, 2015, the Company filed suit in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against Lupin for patent infringement of U.S. Patent 9,132,110. All three patents, U.S. Patents 9,066,913, 9,101,591 and 9,132,110 are listed in the Orange Book and have claims that cover PENNSAID 2%.

On October 27, 2015, the Company filed suit in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against Lupin for patent infringement of U.S. Patents 9,168,304 and 9,168,305. On February 5, 2016, the Company filed suit in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against Lupin for patent infringement of U.S. Patent No. 9,220,784. On August 18, 2016, the Company filed suit in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against Lupin for patent infringement of U.S. Patents 9,339,551, 9,339,552, 9,370,501 and 9,375,412. All seven patents, U.S. Patents 9,168,304, 9,168,305, 9,220,784, 9,339,551, 9,339,552, 9,370,501 and 9,375,412, are listed in the Orange Book and have claims that cover PENNSAID 2%. All of the infringement actions brought against Lupin remain pending, with certain claims of the '809, '913, '450, '110, '551, '552, '412 and '501 patents being asserted. The decisions reached by the court in the related Actavis actions regarding the '809, '913, '450, '110, '551, '552, '412 and '501 patents as described above, are expected to apply to the same claims asserted against Lupin in these actions. The court has not yet set a trial date for the Lupin actions.

The Company received from Teligent, Inc., formerly known as IGI Laboratories, Inc. ("Teligent"), a Paragraph IV Patent Certification dated March 24, 2015 against Orange Book listed U.S. Patents 8,217,078, 8,252,838, 8,546,450, 8,563,613, 8,618,164, 8,741,956 and 8,871,809 advising that Teligent had filed an ANDA with the FDA for a generic version of PENNSAID 2%. On May 21, 2015, the Company filed suit in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against Teligent seeking an injunction to prevent the approval of the ANDA. The lawsuit alleged that Teligent has infringed U.S. Patents 8,217,078, 8,252,838, 8,546,450, 8,563,613, 8,618,164 and 8,871,809 by filing an ANDA seeking approval from the FDA to market generic versions of PENNSAID 2% prior to the expiration of certain of the Company's patents listed in the Orange Book.

On June 30, 2015, the Company filed suit in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against Teligent for patent infringement of U.S. Patent 9,066,913. On August 11, 2015, the Company filed suit in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against Teligent for patent infringement of U.S. Patent 9,101,591. On September 17, 2015, the Company filed suit in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against Teligent for patent infringement of U.S. Patent No. 9,132,110. All three patents, U.S. Patents 9,066,913, 9,101,591 and 9,132,110 are listed in the Orange Book and have claims that cover PENNSAID 2%.

On October 27, 2015, the Company filed suit in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against Teligent for patent infringement of U.S. Patents 9,168,304 and 9,168,305. On February 5, 2016, the Company filed suit in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against Teligent for patent infringement of U.S. Patent 9,220,784. All three patents, U.S. Patents 9,168,304, 9,168,305 and 9,220,784 are listed in the Orange Book and have claims that cover PENNSAID 2%.

The Company entered into a settlement and license agreement with Teligent (the "Teligent settlement agreement"), effective May 9, 2016, relating to the patent infringement litigation against Teligent. The Teligent settlement agreement provides for a full settlement and release by both the Company and Teligent of all claims that were or could have been asserted in the litigation and that arise out of the issues that were subject of the litigation or Teligent's generic version of PENNSAID 2%. A stipulation of dismissal was entered by the district court on May 2, 2016.

Under the Teligent settlement agreement, the Company granted Teligent a non-exclusive license to manufacture and commercialize Teligent's generic version of PENNSAID 2% in the United States after the license effective date (as defined below) and to take steps necessary to develop inventory of, and prepare to commercialize, Teligent's generic



version of PENNSAID 2% during certain limited periods prior to the license effective date.

Under the Teligent settlement agreement, the license effective date is January 10, 2029; however, Teligent may be able to enter the market earlier under certain circumstances. Such events relate to the resolution of any other third-party PENNSAID 2% patent litigation, the entry of other third-party generic versions of PENNSAID 2% or certain substantial reductions in the Company's PENNSAID 2% shipments over specified periods of time. In certain circumstances following the entry of other third-party generic versions of PENNSAID 2%, the Company may be required to supply Teligent PENNSAID 2% as an authorized distributor of generic PENNSAID 2%, with the Company receiving specified percentages of any net sales by Teligent.

The Company received from Amneal Pharmaceuticals LLC ("Amneal") a Paragraph IV Patent Certification dated April 2, 2015 against Orange Book listed U.S. Patents 8,217,078, 8,252,838, 8,546,450, 8,563,613, 8,618,164, 8,741,956 and 8,871,809 advising that Amneal had filed an ANDA with the FDA for a generic version of PENNSAID 2%. On May 15, 2015, the Company filed suit in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against Amneal seeking an injunction to prevent the approval of the ANDA. The lawsuit alleged that Amneal has infringed U.S. Patents 8,217,078, 8,252,838, 8,546,450, 8,563,613, 8,618,164 and 8,871,809 by filing an ANDA seeking approval from the FDA to market generic versions of PENNSAID 2% prior to the expiration of certain of the Company's patents listed in the Orange Book.

On June 30, 2015, the Company filed suit in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against Amneal for patent infringement of U.S. Patent 9,066,913. On August 11, 2015, the Company filed suit in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against Amneal for patent infringement of U.S. Patent 9,101,591. On September 17, 2015, the Company filed suit in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against Amneal for patent infringement of U.S. Patent 9,132,110. All three patents, U.S. Patents 9,066,913, 9,101,591 and 9,132,110, are listed in the Orange Book and have claims that cover PENNSAID 2%.

On October 27, 2015, the Company filed suit in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against Amneal for patent infringement of U.S. Patents 9,168,304 and 9,168,305. On February 5, 2016, the Company filed suit in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against Amneal for patent infringement of U.S. Patent 9,220,784. All three patents, U.S. Patents 9,168,304, 9,168,305, and 9,220,784, are listed in the Orange Book and have claims that cover PENNSAID 2%.

On April 18, 2016, the Company entered into a settlement and license agreement (the “Amneal settlement agreement”) with Amneal relating to the Company’s patent infringement litigation against Amneal. The Amneal settlement agreement provides for a full settlement and release by both the Company and Amneal of all claims that were or could have been asserted in the litigation and that arise out of the issues that were the subject of the litigation or Amneal’s generic version of PENNSAID 2%. A stipulation of dismissal was entered by the district court.

Under the Amneal settlement agreement, the Company granted Amneal a non-exclusive license to manufacture and commercialize Amneal’s generic version of PENNSAID 2% in the United States after the license effective date (as defined below) and to take steps necessary to develop inventory of, and prepare to commercialize, Amneal’s generic version of PENNSAID 2% during certain limited periods prior to the license effective date.

Under the Amneal settlement agreement, the license effective date is January 10, 2029; however, Amneal may be able to enter the market earlier under certain circumstances. Such events relate to the resolution of any other third-party PENNSAID 2% patent litigation or the entry of other third-party generic versions of PENNSAID 2%.

In certain circumstances following the entry of other third-party generic versions of PENNSAID 2%, the Company may be required to supply Amneal with PENNSAID 2% as a non-exclusive, authorized distributor of generic PENNSAID 2%, with the Company receiving specified percentages of any net sales by Amneal.

The Company received from Apotex Inc. (“Apotex”) a Paragraph IV Patent Certification Notice Letter dated April 1, 2016, against Orange Book listed U.S. Patents 8,217,078, 8,252,838, 8,546,450, 8,563,613, 8,618,164, 8,741,956, 8,871,809, 9,066,913, 9,101,591, 9,132,110, 9,168,304, 9,168,305 and 9,220,784 advising that Apotex had filed an ANDA with the FDA for a generic version of PENNSAID 2%. The Company also received from Apotex a second Paragraph IV Patent Certification Notice Letter dated June 30, 2016, against Orange Book listed U.S. Patents 9,339,551 and 9,339,552, advising that Apotex had filed an ANDA with the FDA for a generic version of PENNSAID 2%. The Company also received from Apotex a third Paragraph IV Patent Certification Notice Letter dated September 21, 2016, against Orange Book listed U.S. Patent 9,415,029, advising that Apotex had filed an ANDA with the FDA for a generic version of PENNSAID 2%. The Company also received from Apotex additional Paragraph IV Patent Certification Notice Letters dated April 20, 2017 and April 27, 2017 against Orange Book listed U.S. Patent 9,539,335 and 9,370,501.

## VIMOVO

Currently, patent litigation is pending in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey and the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit against three generic companies intending to market VIMOVO prior to the expiration of certain of the Company’s patents listed in the Orange Book. These cases are in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey. They are collectively known as the VIMOVO cases, and involve the following sets of defendants: (i) Dr. Reddy’s Laboratories Inc. and Dr. Reddy’s Laboratories Ltd. (collectively, “Dr. Reddy’s”);

(ii) Lupin Ltd. and Lupin Pharmaceuticals Inc. (collectively, “Lupin”); and (iii) Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc., Mylan Laboratories Limited, and Mylan Inc. (collectively, “Mylan”). Patent litigation in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against a fourth generic company, Actavis Laboratories FL., Inc. and Actavis Pharma, Inc. (collectively, “Actavis Pharma”), was dismissed on January 10, 2017 after the court granted Actavis’ motion to compel enforcement of a settlement agreement. On February 3, 2017, the Company appealed this dismissal decision to the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. Patent litigation in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against a fifth generic company, Anchen Pharmaceuticals Inc. (“Anchen”), was dismissed on June 9, 2014 after Anchen recertified under Paragraph III. The Company understands that Dr. Reddy’s has entered into a settlement with AstraZeneca with respect to patent rights directed to Nexium for the commercialization of VIMOVO. The settlement agreement, however, has no effect on the Aralez VIMOVO patents, which are still the subject of patent litigations. As part of the Company’s acquisition of the U.S. rights to VIMOVO, the Company has taken over and is responsible for the patent litigation that includes the Aralez patents licensed to the Company under the amended and restated collaboration and license agreement for the United States with Aralez.

The VIMOVO cases were filed on April 21, 2011, July 25, 2011, October 28, 2011, January 4, 2013, May 10, 2013, June 28, 2013, October 23, 2013, May 13, 2015 and November 24, 2015 and collectively include allegations of infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,926,907, 8,557,285, 8,852,636, and 8,858,996 (the “’996 patent”). On June 18, 2015, the Company amended the complaints to add a charge of infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8,865,190 (the “’190 patent”). On January 7, 2016, Actavis Pharma asserted a counterclaim for declaratory judgment of invalidity and non-infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8,945,621 (the “’621 patent”). On January 25, 2016, the Company filed a new case against Actavis Pharma including allegations of infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 9,161,920 and 9,198,888. This case was subsequently consolidated with the Actavis Pharma case involving the ’996 patent, the ’190 patent and U.S. Patent No. 8,852,636. On February 10, 2016, the Company amended the complaints against Dr. Reddy’s, Lupin, and Mylan to add charges of infringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 9,161,920 and 9,198,888. On February 19, 2016, Mylan asserted a counterclaim for declaratory judgment of invalidity and non-infringement of U.S. Patent No. 9,220,698. On August 11, 2016, the Company filed new complaints asserting the ’621 patent and U.S. Patent Nos. 9,220,698, and 9,345,695 against the defendants. On December 6, 2016, the Company asserted U.S. Patent No. 9,393,208 (the “’208 patent”) against Lupin, Mylan, and Actavis in amended complaints, and against Dr. Reddy’s in a new complaint.

“Case I” consists of the cases asserting U.S. Patent Nos. 8,557,285 and 6,926,907. “Case II” consists of the cases asserting the ’996 patent, the ’190 patent and U.S. Patent Nos. 8,852,636, 9,161,920, and 9,198,888. “Case III” consists of the cases asserting U.S. Patent Nos. 8,945,621, 9,220,698, 9,345,695, and the ’208 patent against Lupin and Mylan, and the case asserting U.S. Patent Nos. 8,945,621, 9,220,698, and 9,345,695 against Dr. Reddy’s. “Case IV” consisted of the case asserting the ’208 patent against Dr. Reddy’s, but has been consolidated with Case III.

The Case I cases were consolidated for discovery. The court issued a claim construction order for Case I and conducted trial beginning on January 12, 2017. On May 12, 2016, the court granted Dr. Reddy’s motion for summary judgment of non-infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,926,907 with respect to one of Dr. Reddy’s two ANDAs.

On December 19, 2016, defendant Actavis filed a motion to compel enforcement of settlement agreement related to Cases I, II, and III. On December 22, 2016, Magistrate Judge Arpert entered a report and recommendation that Actavis’ motion to compel the enforcement of settlement be granted. On December 30, 2016, the Honorable Judge Mary Cooper ordered the adoption of the report and recommendation. On January 10, 2017, an order of dismissal was entered for all claims in Cases I, II and III. The Company appealed the district court’s order enforcing the settlement with Actavis to the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. Briefing before the Federal Circuit has been completed.

On January 12, 2017, a six-day bench trial commenced against defendants Dr. Reddy’s and Mylan before Honorable Judge Mary Cooper in the District of New Jersey for Case I. The patents at issue in this trial included two Orange Book listed patents: U.S. Patent Nos. 6,926,907 and 8,557,285. Defendant Lupin formerly entered into a stay pending the entry of judgment in Case I. On June 26, 2017, the court issued its opinion upholding the validity of the ’285 and ’907 patents and finding that Dr. Reddy’s, Mylan’s, and Lupin’s proposed generic naproxen/esomeprazole magnesium products would all infringe at least one of the two patents. The court entered the final judgment on July 21, 2017. Dr. Reddy’s, Mylan and Lupin have appealed the District Court’s judgment to the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit.

The Case II and Case III cases have been consolidated for discovery. On January 19, 2017, the court entered a scheduling order for Case II and Case III, which was subsequently updated. The court’s scheduling order requires, inter alia, filing and serving of the opening claim construction submissions by May 26, 2017. The court has not issued a claim construction order in Case II. A trial date for Cases II and III has not yet been set. On December 20, 2016, Mylan filed a motion to dismiss the Company’s first amended complaint for patent infringement in Case III. On August 18, 2017, the District Court granted Dr. Reddy’s and Mylan’s motions to dismiss the Company’s claims relating to the ’621 patent.

The Company understands the cases arise from Paragraph IV Patent Certification notice letters providing notice of the filing of ANDAs with the FDA seeking regulatory approval to market generic versions of VIMOVO before the expiration of the patents-in-suit. The Company understands the Dr. Reddy’s notice letters were dated March 11,

2011, November 20, 2012 and April 20, 2015; the Lupin notice letters were dated June 10, 2011, March 12, 2014 and July 26, 2016; the Mylan notice letters were dated May 16, 2013, February 9, 2015, January 26, 2016, February 26, 2016, July 19, 2016 and September 22, 2016; the Actavis Pharma notice letters were dated March 29, 2013, November 5, 2013, May 29, 2015, October 9, 2015, December 10, 2015, March 1, 2016, April 6, 2016, July 22, 2016 and September 8, 2016; and the Anchen notice letter was dated September 16, 2011.

On June 5, 2015, the Coalition for Affordable Drugs VII LLC (“Coalition for Affordable Drugs”) filed a Petition for inter partes review (“IPR”) of the ’996 patent, one of the patents in litigation in the above referenced VIMOVO cases. On December 17, 2015, the United States Patent and Trademark Office (the “U.S. PTO”) denied such Petition for IPR.

On August 7, 2015, the Coalition for Affordable Drugs filed another Petition for IPR of U.S. Patent No. 8,852,636, one of the patents in litigation in the above referenced VIMOVO cases. On February 11, 2016, the U.S. PTO denied such Petition for IPR.

On August 12, 2015, the Coalition for Affordable Drugs filed another Petition for IPR of the '621 patent, one of the patents in litigation in the above referenced VIMOVO cases. On February 22, 2016, the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (the "PTAB") issued a decision to institute the IPR. The PTAB hearing for the '621 patent was held on November 16, 2016. The PTAB issued a final written decision finding the '621 patent valid on February 21, 2017.

On August 19, 2015, Lupin filed Petitions for IPR of the '996 patent, the '190 patent and U.S. Patent No. 8,852,636, all patents in litigation in the above referenced VIMOVO cases. On March 1, 2016, the PTAB issued decisions to institute the IPRs for the '996 patent" and the '190 patent. On March 1, 2016, the PTAB denied the Petition for IPR for U.S. Patent No. 8,852,636. The PTAB hearings for the '996 patent and '190 patent were both held on November 29, 2016. On February 28, 2017, the PTAB issued final written decisions on the IPRs of the '996 and '190 patents, upholding the validity of both patents.

## RAVICTI

On March 17, 2014, Hyperion Therapeutics, Inc. ("Hyperion") received notice from Par Pharmaceutical, Inc. ("Par Pharmaceutical") that it had filed an ANDA with the FDA seeking approval for a generic version of the Company's medicine RAVICTI. The ANDA contained a Paragraph IV Patent Certification alleging that two of the patents covering RAVICTI, U.S. Patent No. 8,404,215, titled "Methods of therapeutic monitoring of nitrogen scavenging drugs," which expires in March 2032 (the "'215 patent"), and U.S. Patent No. 8,642,012, titled "Methods of treatment using ammonia scavenging drugs," which expires in September 2030 (the "'012 patent"), are invalid and/or will not be infringed by Par Pharmaceutical's manufacture, use or sale of the medicine for which the ANDA was submitted. Par Pharmaceutical did not challenge the validity, enforceability, or infringement of the Company's primary composition of matter patent for RAVICTI, U.S. Patent No. 5,968,979 titled "Triglycerides and ethyl esters of phenylalkanoic acid and phenylalkanoic acid useful in treatment of various disorders," which would have expired on February 7, 2015, but as to which Hyperion was granted an interim term of extension until February 7, 2016 and to which the U.S. PTO has granted a final term extension of 1,267 days, which extends the expiration date to July 28, 2018. Hyperion filed suit in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, Marshall Division, against Par Pharmaceutical on April 23, 2014 seeking an injunction to prevent the approval of Par Pharmaceutical's ANDA and/or to prevent Par Pharmaceutical from selling a generic version of RAVICTI, and the Company has taken over and is responsible for this patent litigation. On September 15, 2015, the Company received notice from Par Pharmaceutical that it had filed a Paragraph IV Patent Certification alleging that U.S. Patent No. 9,095,559 (the "'559 patent") is invalid and/or will not be infringed by Par Pharmaceutical's manufacture, use or sale of the medicine for which the ANDA was submitted. On March 14, 2016, the Company received notice from Par Pharmaceutical that it had filed a Paragraph IV Patent Certification alleging that U.S. Patent No. 9,254,278 (the "'278 patent") is invalid and/or will not be infringed by Par Pharmaceutical's manufacture, use or sale of the medicine for which the ANDA was submitted. On June 3, 2016, the Company received notice from Par Pharmaceutical that it had filed a Paragraph IV Patent Certification alleging that U.S. Patent No. 9,326,966 (the "'966 patent") is invalid and/or will not be infringed by Par Pharmaceutical's manufacture, use or sale of the medicine for which the ANDA was submitted. The Company filed suit in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against Par Pharmaceutical on June 30, 2016 (the "Par New Jersey action"), seeking an injunction to prevent the approval of Par Pharmaceutical's ANDA and/or to prevent Par Pharmaceutical from selling a generic version of RAVICTI. The lawsuit alleges that Par Pharmaceutical has infringed the '559 patent, the '278 patent and the '966 patent by filing an ANDA seeking approval from the FDA to market generic versions of RAVICTI prior to the expiration of the patents. The subject patents are listed in the Orange Book. The Par New Jersey action has been stayed pending the resolution of the PTAB's IPR of the '559 patent.

On April 29, 2015, Par Pharmaceutical filed Petitions for IPR of U.S. Patent 8,404,215 and U.S. Patent 8,642,012, two of the patents involved in the above mentioned RAVICTI cases. On November 4, 2015, the PTAB issued decisions instituting such IPRs. On September 29, 2016, the PTAB found all of the claims in U.S. Patent 8,404,215 to be unpatentable. The Company did not appeal the PTAB's final written decision with respect to U.S. Patent 8,404,215. On November 3, 2016, the PTAB issued a final written decision holding all of the claims of U.S. Patent 8,642,012 patentable. On December 29, 2016, Par Pharmaceutical filed a notice of appeal with the Federal Circuit to appeal the

final written decision of the PTAB concerning the patentability of U.S. Patent 8,642,012. On September 4, 2015, the Company received notice from Lupin of Lupin's Paragraph IV Patent Certification against the '215 patent and the '012 patent, advising that Lupin had filed an ANDA with the FDA for a generic version of RAVICTI. On November 6, 2015, the Company also received Notice of Lupin's Paragraph IV Patent Certification against the '559 patent. Lupin has not advised the Company as to the timing or status of the FDA's review of its filing. On October 19, 2015 the Company filed suit in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against Lupin seeking an injunction to prevent the approval of the ANDA. The lawsuit alleges that Lupin has infringed the '215 patent, the '012 patent and the '559 patent by filing an ANDA seeking approval from the FDA to market generic versions of RAVICTI prior to the expiration of the patents. The subject patents are listed in the Orange Book. On April 6, 2016, the Company filed an amended complaint in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against Lupin alleging that Lupin has infringed the '559 patent by filing an ANDA seeking approval from the FDA to market generic versions of RAVICTI prior to expiration of the '559 patent. The commencement of the patent infringement lawsuit stays, or bars, FDA approval of Lupin's ANDA for 30 months or until an earlier district court decision that the subject patents are not infringed or are invalid. On April 18, 2016, the Company received notice from Lupin of Lupin's Paragraph IV Patent Certification against the '278 patent. On July 6, 2016, the Company received notice from Lupin of Lupin's Paragraph IV Patent Certification against the '966 patent. The Company filed suit in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against Lupin on July 21, 2016, seeking an injunction to prevent the approval of Lupin's ANDA and/or to prevent Lupin from selling a generic version of RAVICTI. The lawsuit alleges that Lupin has infringed the '278 patent and the '966 patent by filing an ANDA seeking approval from the FDA to market generic versions of RAVICTI prior to the expiration of the patents. The subject patents are listed in the Orange Book. The Lupin New Jersey actions have been stayed pending the resolution of the PTAB's IPR of the '559 patent.

## Edgar Filing: Horizon Pharma plc - Form 10-Q

On April 1, 2016, Lupin filed a Petition for IPR of U.S. Patent 9,095,559, a patent currently at issue in the Lupin RAVICTI case. On September 30, 2016, the PTAB issued a decision instituting the IPR. On September 26, 2017, the PTAB issued its final written decision, ruling that the challenged claims of the '559 patent are unpatentable. The Company's Notice of Appeal is due by November 28, 2017. On March 27, 2017, Lupin filed a Petition to request an IPR of the '278 patent and a Petition to request an IPR of the '966 patent. The Company filed its response on the '966 patent on July 6, 2017. The Company's preliminary patent owner response for the '278 patent was filed on July 24, 2017. On September 28, 2017, the PTAB issued its orders granting Lupin's petitions to institute an IPR of the '278 and the '966 patents. The PTAB must issue a final written decision on the IPRs no later than September 28, 2018.

On July 13, 2017, Par Pharmaceutical filed Petitions for IPR of the '559, '278, and '966 patents. The Company's opposition to Par Pharmaceutical's requested IPRs is due by November 6, 2017.

On August 8, 2017, the Company filed suit against Par Pharmaceutical and Lupin in the United States District Court for New Jersey. Par Pharmaceutical and Lupin have answered and counterclaimed. The district court has not yet issued a scheduling order for either action.

### Other

Beginning on March 8, 2016, two federal securities class action lawsuits (captioned Schaffer v. Horizon Pharma plc, et al., Case No. 16-cv-01763-JMF and Banie v. Horizon Pharma plc, et al., Case No. 16-cv-01789-JMF) were filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York against the Company and certain of the Company's current and former officers (the "Officer Defendants"). On March 24, 2016, the court consolidated the two actions under Schaffer v. Horizon Pharma plc, et al. On June 3, 2016, the court appointed Locals 302 and 612 of the International Union of Operating Engineers-Employers Construction Industry Retirement Trust and the Carpenters Pension Trust Fund for Northern California as lead plaintiffs and Labaton Sucharow LLP as lead counsel. On July 25, 2016, lead plaintiffs and additional named plaintiff Automotive Industries Pension Trust Fund filed their consolidated complaint, which they subsequently amended on October 7, 2016, including additional current and former officers, the Company's Board of Directors (the "Director Defendants"), and underwriters involved with the Company's April 2015 public offering (the "Underwriter Defendants") as defendants. The plaintiffs allege that certain of the Company and the Officer Defendants violated sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, by making false and/or misleading statements about, among other things: (a) the Company's financial performance, (b) the Company's business prospects and drug-pricing practices, (c) the Company's sales and promotional practices, and (d) the Company's design, implementation, performance, and risks associated with the Company's Prescriptions-Made-Easy program. The plaintiffs allege that certain of the Company, the Director Defendants and the Underwriter Defendants violated sections 11, 12(a)(2) and 15 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), in connection with the Company's April 2015 public offering. The plaintiffs seek, among other things, an award of damages allegedly sustained by plaintiffs and the putative class, including a reasonable allowance for costs and attorneys' fees. On November 14, 2016, all defendants moved to dismiss the plaintiffs' amended complaint. Plaintiffs' filed their opposition to the motion to dismiss on December 21, 2016. Briefing on the motion to dismiss was completed on January 27, 2017 and the parties are awaiting the court's ruling.

### NOTE 18 – SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

During the nine months ended September 30, 2017, the Company issued an aggregate of:

- 270,427 ordinary shares in connection with the exercise of stock options and received \$1.8 million in proceeds;
- 660,092 ordinary shares in net settlement of vested restricted stock units;



25,000 ordinary shares in net settlement of vested performance stock units; and

462,472 ordinary shares pursuant to employee stock purchase plans and received \$3.9 million in proceeds.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2017, warrants to purchase an aggregate of 391,500 ordinary shares of the Company were exercised and proceeds of \$1.8 million were received. In addition, warrants to purchase an aggregate of 704,285 ordinary shares of the Company were exercised in cashless exercises, resulting in the issuance of 523,520 ordinary shares. As of September 30, 2017, there were no outstanding warrants to purchase ordinary shares of the Company.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2017, the Company made payments of \$5.6 million for employee withholding taxes relating to share-based awards.

On January 1, 2017, the Company adopted ASU No. 2016-09. As a result of the adoption, \$7.2 million of excess tax benefits that had not previously been recognized, as the related tax deduction had not reduced current taxes payable, were recorded on a modified retrospective basis through a cumulative effect adjustment to its accumulated deficit as of January 1, 2017.

In May 2016, the Company's board of directors authorized a share repurchase program pursuant to which the Company may repurchase up to 5,000,000 of its ordinary shares. In May 2017, the Company's board of directors reauthorized a share repurchase program pursuant to which the Company may repurchase up to 16,000,000 of its ordinary shares. As of September 30, 2017, the Company had repurchased 100,000 of its ordinary shares under this repurchase program, for total consideration of \$1.0 million. The timing and amount of future repurchases, if any, will depend on a variety of factors, including the price of the Company's ordinary shares, alternative investment opportunities, the Company's cash resources, restrictions under the Credit Agreement and market conditions.

#### NOTE 19 – SHARE-BASED INCENTIVE PLANS

##### Employee Stock Purchase Plan

2014 Employee Stock Purchase Plan. On May 17, 2014, HPI's board of directors adopted the 2014 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the "2014 ESPP"). On September 18, 2014, at a special meeting of the stockholders of HPI (the "Special Meeting"), HPI's stockholders approved the 2014 ESPP. Upon consummation of the Company's merger transaction with Vidara (the "Vidara Merger"), the Company assumed the 2014 ESPP. As described below, effective as of May 3, 2016, the number of ordinary shares authorized for issuance under the 2014 ESPP was reduced by 5,000,000 shares.

As of September 30, 2017, an aggregate of 3,361,928 ordinary shares were authorized and available for future issuance under the 2014 ESPP.

##### Share-Based Compensation Plans

2005 Stock Plan. In October 2005, HPI adopted the 2005 Stock Plan (the "2005 Plan"). Upon the signing of the underwriting agreement related to HPI's initial public offering, on July 28, 2011, no further option grants were made under the 2005 Plan. All stock awards granted under the 2005 Plan prior to July 28, 2011 continue to be governed by the terms of the 2005 Plan. Upon consummation of the Vidara Merger, the Company assumed the 2005 Plan.

2011 Equity Incentive Plan. In July 2010, HPI's board of directors adopted the 2011 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2011 EIP"). In June 2011, HPI's stockholders approved the 2011 EIP, and it became effective upon the signing of the underwriting agreement related to HPI's initial public offering on July 28, 2011. Upon consummation of the Vidara Merger, the Company assumed the 2011 EIP, and upon the effectiveness of the Horizon Pharma Public Limited Company 2014 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2014 EIP"), no additional stock awards were or will be made under the 2011 Plan, although all outstanding stock awards granted under the 2011 Plan continue to be governed by the terms of the 2011 Plan.

2014 Equity Incentive Plan and 2014 Non-Employee Equity Plan. On May 17, 2014, HPI's board of directors adopted the 2014 EIP and the Horizon Pharma Public Limited Company 2014 Non-Employee Equity Plan (the "2014 Non-Employee Equity Plan"). At the Special Meeting, HPI's stockholders approved the 2014 EIP and 2014 Non-Employee Equity Plan. Upon consummation of the Vidara Merger, the Company assumed the 2014 EIP and 2014 Non-Employee Equity Plan, which serve as successors to the 2011 EIP.

The 2014 EIP provides for the grant of incentive and nonstatutory stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock awards, restricted stock unit awards, performance awards and other stock awards that may be settled in cash, shares or other property to the employees of the Company (or a subsidiary company). The number of ordinary shares

of the Company that were initially authorized for issuance under the 2014 EIP was no more than 22,052,130, which number consisted of (i) 15,500,000 ordinary shares of the Company; plus (ii) the number of shares available for issuance pursuant to the grant of future awards under the 2011 EIP; plus (iii) any shares subject to outstanding stock awards granted under the 2011 EIP and the 2005 Plan that expire or terminate for any reason prior to exercise or settlement or are forfeited, redeemed or repurchased because of the failure to meet a contingency or condition required to vest such shares; less (iv) 10,000,000 shares, which is the additional number of shares which were previously approved as an increase to the share reserve of the 2011 EIP. On March 23, 2015, the compensation committee of the Company's board of directors approved amending the 2014 EIP subject to shareholder approval to, among other things, increase the aggregate number of shares authorized for issuance under the 2014 EIP by an additional 14,000,000 shares. On May 6, 2015, the shareholders of the Company approved such amendment to the 2014 EIP. On February 25, 2016, the compensation committee of the Company's board of directors approved, subject to shareholder approval, amending the 2014 EIP to, among other things, increase the aggregate number of shares authorized for issuance under the 2014 EIP beyond those remaining available for future grant under the 2014 EIP by an additional 6,000,000 shares and also approved a reduction in the number of shares authorized under our 2014 Non-Employee Equity Plan and 2014 ESPP by 1,000,000 shares and 5,000,000 shares, respectively, contingent on shareholder approval of the amendment to the 2014 EIP. On May 3, 2016, the shareholders of the Company approved the amendment to the 2014 EIP. On August 29, 2017, the compensation committee of the Company's board of directors approved an amendment to the 2014 EIP, to reserve an additional 1,200,000 shares to be used exclusively for grants of awards to individuals who were not previously employees or non-employee directors of the Company (or following a bona fide period of non-employment with the Company) (the "2017 Inducement Pool"), as an inducement material to the individual's entry into employment with the Company within the meaning of Rule 5635(c)(4) of the NASDAQ Listing Rules, ("Rule 5635(c)(4)"). The 2014 EIP was amended by the compensation committee of the Company's board of directors without shareholder approval pursuant to Rule 5635(c)(4).

The 2014 Non-Employee Equity Plan provides for the grant of nonstatutory stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock awards, restricted stock unit awards and other forms of stock awards that may be settled in cash, shares or other property to the non-employee directors and consultants of the Company (or a subsidiary company). The total number of ordinary shares of the Company that were initially authorized for issuance under the 2014 Non-Employee Equity Plan was 2,500,000. As described above, effective as of May 3, 2016, the number of ordinary shares authorized for issuance under the 2014 Non-Employee Equity Plan was reduced by 1,000,000 shares. The Company's board of directors has authority to suspend or terminate the 2014 Non-Employee Equity Plan at any time.

As of September 30, 2017, an aggregate of 3,819,449 ordinary shares were authorized and available for future grants under the 2014 EIP, of which 726,041 shares relate to the 2017 Inducement Pool. As of September 30, 2017, 499,913 ordinary shares were authorized and available for future grants under the 2014 Non-Employee Equity Plan.

### Stock Options

The following table summarizes stock option activity during the nine months ended September 30, 2017:

		Weighted Average Contractual	Weighted Term	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
	Options	Exercise Price	(in years)	(in thousands)
Outstanding as of December 31, 2016	13,627,519	\$ 18.17	7.60	\$ 35,157
Granted	2,020,017	16.46		
Exercised	(270,427 )	6.35		
Forfeited	(550,871 )	18.54		
Expired	(255,653 )	20.70		
Outstanding as of September 30, 2017	14,570,585	18.10	7.14	18,333
Exercisable as of September 30, 2017	8,885,001	\$ 16.84	6.39	\$ 17,699

Stock options typically have a contractual term of ten years from grant date.

The fair value of each stock option award is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The determination of the fair value of each stock option is affected by the Company's share price on the date of grant, as well as assumptions regarding a number of highly complex and subjective variables. These variables include, but are not limited to, the Company's expected share price volatility over the expected life of the awards and actual and projected stock option exercise behavior. The weighted average fair value per share of stock option awards granted during the nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016, and assumptions used to value stock options, are as follows:

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2017	2016
Dividend yield	—	—
Risk-free interest rate	1.8% - 2.0%	1.3% - 1.8%
Weighted average expected volatility	49.1%	73.8%
Expected life (in years)	6.0	6.1
Weighted average grant-date fair value per share of options granted	\$ 7.99	\$ 11.78

#### Dividend yield

The Company has never paid dividends and does not anticipate paying any dividends in the near future. Additionally, the Credit Agreement (described in Note 14 above and Note 21 below), as well as the indentures governing the 2024 Senior Notes and the 2023 Senior Notes (each as described in Note 14 above), contain covenants that restrict the Company from issuing dividends.

#### Risk-Free Interest Rate

The Company determined the risk-free interest rate by using a weighted average assumption equivalent to the expected term based on the U.S. Treasury constant maturity rate as of the date of grant.

#### Volatility

The Company used an average historical share price volatility of comparable companies to be representative of future share price volatility.

### Expected Term

Given the Company’s limited historical exercise behavior, the expected term of options granted was determined using the “simplified” method since the Company does not have sufficient historical exercise data to provide a reasonable basis upon which to estimate the expected term. Under this approach, the expected term is presumed to be the average of the vesting term and the contractual life of the option.

### Forfeitures

As share-based compensation expense recognized in the condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive loss is based on awards ultimately expected to vest, it has been reduced for estimated forfeitures based on actual forfeiture experience, analysis of employee turnover and other factors. The Company adopted ASU No. 2016-09 on January 1, 2017 and has elected to retain a forfeiture rate after adoption.

### Restricted Stock Units

The following table summarizes restricted stock unit activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2017:

	Number of Units	Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value Per Unit
Outstanding as of December 31, 2016	3,367,871	\$ 18.45
Granted	3,454,579	12.34
Vested	(1,040,529 )	16.86
Forfeited	(440,874 )	17.46
Outstanding as of September 30, 2017	5,341,047	\$ 14.89

The grant-date fair value of restricted stock units is the closing price of the Company’s ordinary shares on the date of grant.

### Performance Stock Units

The following table summarizes performance stock unit awards (“PSUs”) activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2017:

	Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value Per Unit	Recorded Weighted Average Fair Value Per Unit
Number of Units	Illiquidity Discount	

Edgar Filing: Horizon Pharma plc - Form 10-Q

Outstanding as of December 31, 2016	12,045,656				
Vested	(25,000 )	\$ 12.36	0.0%		\$ 13.30
Forfeited	(238,336 )	10.86	7.5	%	10.04
Outstanding as of September 30, 2017	11,782,320				

All outstanding PSUs were granted in 2015 and 2016 and may vest if the Company's total compounded annual shareholder rate of return ("TSR") over three performance measurement periods summarized below equals or exceeds a minimum of 15%.

Vesting Tranche	Award	Percent of Total PSU	Beginning of Performance Measurement Period	End of Performance Measurement Period	Length of
					Performance Measurement Period (Years)
Tranche One	33.3	%	March 23, 2015	December 22, 2017	2.75
Tranche Two	33.3	%	March 23, 2015	March 22, 2018	3.00
Tranche Three	33.3	%	March 23, 2015	June 22, 2018	3.25

These outstanding PSUs granted in 2015 and 2016 will vest in amounts ranging from 25% to 100% based on the achievement of the following TSR over the three performance periods:

TSR Achieved	Vesting Amount	
15%	25	%
30%	50	%
45%	75	%
60%	100	%

## Edgar Filing: Horizon Pharma plc - Form 10-Q

The TSR will be based on the volume weighted average trading price (“VWAP”) of the Company’s ordinary shares over the 20 trading days ending on the last day of each of the three performance measurement periods versus the VWAP of the Company’s ordinary shares over the twenty trading days ended March 23, 2015 of \$21.50. These PSUs are subject to a post vesting holding period of one year for 50% of the PSUs and two years for 50% of the PSUs for those who were members of the executive committee at the date of grant, and one year for 50% of the PSUs for all others who were not executive committee members at the date of grant.

The Company accounts for the PSUs as equity-settled awards in accordance with ASC 718. Because the value of the outstanding PSUs granted in 2015 and 2016 is dependent upon the attainment of a level of TSR, it requires the impact of the market condition to be considered when estimating the fair value of the PSUs. As a result, the Monte Carlo model is applied and the most significant valuation assumptions used include:

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2017	2016
Valuation date stock price	N/A	\$17.72 - \$21.07
Expected volatility	N/A	76.8% - 77.6%
Risk free rate	N/A	1.0% - 1.2%

The average estimated fair value of each outstanding PSU is as follows (allocated between groupings based on grant-date classification):

	Number	Value Per	Illiquidity	Recorded
	of Units	Unit	Discount	Weighted
				Average
				Fair Value
				Per Unit
Executive committee members	8,889,656	\$ 15.15	18.9 %	\$ 12.29
Non-executive committee members	2,892,664	13.78	7.3 %	12.77
	11,782,320	\$ 14.82	16.3 %	\$ 12.41

During the nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016, the Company recorded an expense of \$37.0 million and \$36.1 million, respectively, related to PSUs.

### Cash Long-Term Incentive Program

On November 5, 2014, the compensation committee of the Company’s board of directors approved a performance cash long-term incentive program for the members of the Company’s executive committee and executive leadership team, including its executive officers (the “Cash Bonus Program”). Participants in the Cash Bonus Program were eligible for a specified cash bonus. The Cash Bonus Program pool funding of approximately \$15.8 million was determined based on the Company’s actual TSR over the period from November 5, 2014 to May 6, 2015, and the bonus could be earned



and payable only if the TSR for the period from November 5, 2014 to November 4, 2017 was greater than 15%. The portion of the total bonus pool payable to individual participants was based on allocations established by the Company's compensation committee. Participants must have remained employed by the Company through November 4, 2017 unless a participant's earlier departure from employment was due to death, disability, termination without cause or a change in control transaction. Bonus payments under the Cash Bonus Program, if any, were to be made after November 4, 2017. Subsequent to September 30, 2017, the TSR did not exceed the minimum target requirement of 15% and the Cash Bonus Program expired without payment.

The Company accounted for the Cash Bonus Program under the liability method in accordance with ASC 718. Because vesting of the bonus pool was dependent upon the attainment of a VWAP of \$18.37 or higher over the twenty trading days ending November 4, 2017, the Cash Bonus Program was considered to be subject to a "market condition" for the purposes of ASC 718. ASC 718 required the impact of the market condition to be considered when estimating the fair value of the bonus pool. As a result, the Monte Carlo simulation model was applied and the fair value was revalued at each reporting period. As of September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, the estimated fair value was zero and \$4.8 million, respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2017, the Company recorded a reduction in the expense of \$3.5 million to the condensed consolidated statement of comprehensive loss as a result of the valuation of the Cash Bonus Program. The most significant valuation assumptions used as of September 30, 2017 include:

• Valuation Date Stock Price - \$12.68

• Expected Volatility - The expected volatility assumption of 38.0% is based on the Company's historical volatility over the 0.10 year period ended September 30, 2017, based upon daily stock price observations.

• Risk Free Rate - 1.07%, which is based upon the yield on U.S. Treasury Separate Trading of Registered Interest and Principal Securities with a remaining term of 0.10 years as of September 30, 2017.

42

---

## Share-Based Compensation Expense

The following table summarizes share-based compensation expense included in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016 (in thousands):

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2017	2016
Cost of goods sold	\$ 1,696	\$ —
Research and development	6,613	6,845
Selling, general and administrative	79,626	78,076
Total share-based compensation expense	\$ 87,935	\$ 84,921

During the year ended December 31, 2016, no material income tax benefit was recognized relating to share-based compensation expense and no tax benefits were realized from exercised stock options and vested restricted stock units, due to the Company's net loss position prior to the adoption of ASU No. 2016-09. After the adoption of ASU No. 2016-09, during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017, no material income tax benefit or detriment was recognized related to share-based compensation resulting from the current share prices in effect at the time of the exercise of stock options and vesting of restricted stock units. As of September 30, 2017, the Company estimates that pre-tax unrecognized compensation expense of \$156.5 million for all unvested share-based awards, including stock options, restricted stock units and PSUs, will be recognized through the third quarter of 2021. The Company expects to satisfy the exercise of stock options and future distribution of shares for restricted stock units and PSUs by issuing new ordinary shares which have been reserved under the 2014 EIP.

## NOTE 20 – INCOME TAXES

The Company accounts for income taxes based upon an asset and liability approach. Deferred tax assets and liabilities represent the future tax consequences of the differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of assets and liabilities versus the tax basis of assets and liabilities. Under this method, deferred tax assets are recognized for deductible temporary differences, and operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Deferred tax liabilities are recognized for taxable temporary differences. Deferred tax assets are reduced by valuation allowances when, in the opinion of management, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The impact of tax rate changes on deferred tax assets and liabilities is recognized in the period in which the change is enacted.

The following table presents the expense (benefit) for income taxes for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016 (in thousands):

	For the Three Months Ended		For the Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2017	September 30, 2016	September 30, 2017	September 30, 2016
Loss before expense (benefit) for income taxes	\$ (56,790 )	\$ (33,617 )	\$ (406,216 )	\$ (68,238 )
Expense (benefit) for income taxes	7,181	(27,747 )	(42,138 )	(31,946 )

Net loss	\$ (63,971 )	\$ (5,870 )	\$ (364,078 )	\$ (36,292 )
----------	--------------	-------------	---------------	--------------

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017, the Company recorded an expense of \$7.2 million and a benefit for income taxes of \$42.1 million, respectively, compared to a benefit of \$27.7 million and \$31.9 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016, respectively. The expense for income taxes during the three months ended September 30, 2017 resulted from a change in the mix of pre-tax losses being incurred between high and low tax rate jurisdictions, which decreased the Company's expected benefit for the full-year 2017, and resulted in a tax expense being recorded during the three months ended September 30, 2017. The increase in benefit for income taxes for the nine months ended September 30, 2017 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2016 resulted from an increase in pre-tax losses.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities arise from acquisition accounting adjustments where book values of certain assets and liabilities differ from their tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recorded at the currently enacted rates which will be in effect at the time when the temporary differences are expected to reverse in the country where the underlying assets and liabilities are located.

## NOTE 21 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

### Amendment to March 2017 Credit Agreement

On October 23, 2017, the Borrowers borrowed approximately \$845.8 million aggregate principal amount of loans (the “October 2017 Refinancing Loans”) pursuant to an amendment (the “October 2017 Refinancing Amendment”) to the March 2017 Credit Agreement. The Credit Agreement provides for (i) the October 2017 Refinancing Loans, (ii) one or more uncommitted additional incremental loan facilities subject to the satisfaction of certain financial and other conditions, and (iii) one or more uncommitted refinancing loan facilities with respect to loans thereunder. The Credit Agreement allows for the Company and certain of its subsidiaries to become borrowers under incremental or refinancing facilities.

The October 2017 Refinancing Loans were incurred as a separate new class of term loans under the Credit Agreement with substantially the same terms as the March 2017 Refinancing Loans to effectuate a repricing of the March 2017 Refinancing Loans. The October 2017 Refinancing Loans bear interest, at the Borrowers’ option, at a rate equal to either LIBOR plus an applicable margin of 3.25% per year (subject to a LIBOR floor of 1.00%), or the adjusted base rate plus 2.25%. The adjusted base rate is defined as the greater of (a) LIBOR (using one-month interest period) plus 1.00%, (b) prime rate, (c) fed funds plus 0.5%, and (d) 2.00%. The Borrowers used the proceeds of the October 2017 Refinancing Loans to repay the March 2017 Refinancing Loans, which totaled approximately \$845.8 million.

The obligations under the Credit Agreement (including obligations in respect of the October 2017 Refinancing Loans) and any swap obligations and cash management obligations owing to a lender (or an affiliate of a lender) thereunder are guaranteed by the Company and each of the Company’s existing and subsequently acquired or formed direct and indirect subsidiaries (other than certain immaterial subsidiaries, subsidiaries whose guarantee would result in material adverse tax consequences and subsidiaries whose guarantee is prohibited by applicable law). The obligations under the Credit Agreement (including obligations in respect of the October 2017 Refinancing Loans) and any such swap and cash management obligations are secured, subject to customary permitted liens and other agreed upon exceptions, by a perfected security interest in (i) all tangible and intangible assets of the Borrowers and the guarantors, except for certain customary excluded assets, and (ii) all of the capital stock owned by the Borrowers and guarantors thereunder (limited, in the case of the stock of certain non-U.S. subsidiaries of the Borrowers, to 65% of the capital stock of such subsidiaries).

Borrowers under the Credit Agreement are permitted to make voluntary prepayments of the loans under the Credit Agreement at any time without payment of a premium, except that with respect to the October 2017 Refinancing Loans, a 1.00% premium will apply to a repayment of the October 2017 Refinancing Loans in connection with a repricing of, or any amendment to the Credit Agreement in a repricing of, such loans effected on or prior to the date that is six months following October 23, 2017. The Borrowers are required to make mandatory prepayments of loans under the Credit Agreement (without payment of a premium) with (a) net cash proceeds from certain non-ordinary course asset sales (subject to reinvestment rights and other exceptions), (b) casualty proceeds and condemnation awards (subject to reinvestment rights and other exceptions), (c) net cash proceeds from issuances of debt (other than certain permitted debt), and (d) 50% of the Company’s excess cash flow (subject to decrease to 25% or 0% if the Company’s first lien leverage ratio is less than 2.25:1 or 1.75:1, respectively). The October 2017 Refinancing Loans will amortize in equal quarterly installments beginning on December 31, 2017 in an aggregate annual amount equal to 1.00% of the original principal amount of the March 2017 Refinancing Loans (i.e. \$850.0 million), with any remaining balance payable on March 29, 2024, the final maturity date of the October 2017 Refinancing Loans.

The Credit Agreement contains customary representations and warranties and customary affirmative and negative covenants, including, among other things, restrictions on indebtedness, liens, investments, mergers, dispositions, prepayment of other indebtedness and dividends and other distributions.

Events of default under the Credit Agreement include: (i) the failure by any Borrower to timely make payments due under the Credit Agreement; (ii) material misrepresentations or misstatements in any representation or warranty by any Loan Party when made; (iii) failure by any Loan Party to comply with the covenants under the Credit Agreement and other related agreements; (iv) certain defaults under a specified amount of other indebtedness of the Company or its subsidiaries; (v) insolvency or bankruptcy-related events with respect to the Company or any of its material subsidiaries; (vi) certain undischarged judgments against the Company or any of its restricted subsidiaries; (vii) certain ERISA-related events reasonably expected to have a material adverse effect on the Company and its restricted subsidiaries taken as a whole; (viii) certain security interests or liens under the loan documents ceasing to be, or being asserted by the Company or its restricted subsidiaries not to be, in full force and effect; (ix) any loan document or material provision thereof ceasing to be, or any challenge or assertion by any Loan Party that such loan document or material provision is not, in full force and effect; and (x) the occurrence of a change of control. If one or more events of default occurs and continues beyond any applicable cure period, the administrative agent may, with the consent of the lenders holding a majority of the loans and commitments under the facilities, or will, at the request of such lenders, terminate the commitments of the lenders to make further loans and declare all of the obligations of the Loan Parties under the Credit Agreement to be immediately due and payable.

## ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our condensed consolidated financial statements and the related notes that appear elsewhere in this report. This discussion contains forward-looking statements reflecting our current expectations that involve risks and uncertainties which are subject to safe harbors under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Act, and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act. These forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements concerning our strategy and other aspects of our future operations, future financial position, future revenues, projected costs, expectations regarding demand and acceptance for our medicines, growth opportunities and trends in the market in which we operate, prospects and plans and objectives of management. The words "anticipates", "believes", "estimates", "expects", "intends", "may", "plans", "projects", "will", "would" and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements, although not all forward-looking statements contain these identifying words. We may not actually achieve the plans, intentions or expectations disclosed in our forward-looking statements and you should not place undue reliance on our forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements, including, without limitation, the risks set forth in Part II, Item 1A, "Risk Factors" in this report and in our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC. We do not assume any obligation to update any forward-looking statements.

### OVERVIEW

Unless otherwise indicated or the context otherwise requires, references to "we", "us" and "our" refer to Horizon Pharma plc and its consolidated subsidiaries.

Beginning in the first quarter of 2017, we modified our presentation of certain operating expenses. Previously, we presented "general and administrative" expenses as one line item in our condensed consolidated statement of comprehensive loss, and "selling and marketing" expenses as another. For current-period presentation and prior-period comparisons, we now combine these two line items into one line item, titled "selling, general and administrative" expenses.

### OUR BUSINESS

We are a biopharmaceutical company focused on improving patients' lives by identifying, developing, acquiring and commercializing differentiated and accessible medicines that address unmet medical needs. We market eleven medicines through our orphan, rheumatology and primary care business units.

Our marketed medicines are:

#### Orphan Business Unit

ACTIMMUNE® (interferon gamma-1b); marketed as IMUKIN® outside the United States

BUPHENYL® (sodium phenylbutyrate) Tablets and Powder; marketed as AMMONAPS® in certain European countries and Japan

PROCYSBI® (cysteamine bitartrate) delayed-release capsules

QUINSAIR™ (levofloxacin inhalation solution)

RAVICTI® (glycerol phenylbutyrate) Oral Liquid

#### Rheumatology Business Unit

KRYSTEXXA® (pegloticase)

RAYOS® (prednisone) delayed-release tablets; marketed as LODOTRA® outside the United States

Primary Care Business Unit

DUEXIS® (ibuprofen/famotidine)

MIGERGOT® (ergotamine tartrate & caffeine suppositories)

PENNSAID® (diclofenac sodium topical solution) 2% w/w, or PENNSAID 2%

VIMOVO® (naproxen/esomeprazole magnesium)

On January 13, 2016, we completed our acquisition of Crealta Holdings LLC, or Crealta, for approximately \$539.7 million, including \$24.9 million of cash acquired and \$70.9 million paid to settle Crealta's outstanding debt. Following completion of the acquisition, Crealta became our wholly owned subsidiary and was renamed as Horizon Pharma Rheumatology LLC.

On October 25, 2016, we completed our acquisition of Raptor Pharmaceutical Corp., or Raptor, in which we acquired all of the issued and outstanding shares of Raptor's common stock for \$9.00 per share in cash. The total consideration was \$860.8 million, including \$24.9 million of cash acquired and \$56.0 million paid to settle Raptor's outstanding debt. Following completion of the acquisition, Raptor became our wholly owned subsidiary and converted to a limited liability company, changing its name to Horizon Pharmaceutical LLC.

On May 8, 2017, we acquired River Vision Development Corp., or River Vision, for upfront cash payments totaling \$151.9 million, including \$6.3 million of cash acquired, and subject to other customary purchase price adjustments for working capital, and potential future milestone and royalty payments contingent on the satisfaction of certain regulatory milestones and sales thresholds. Following completion of the acquisition, River Vision became our wholly owned subsidiary and was renamed as Horizon Pharma Tepro, Inc.

On June 23, 2017, we sold our European subsidiary which owned the marketing rights to PROCYSBI and QUINSAIR in Europe, the Middle East and Africa, or EMEA, regions, or the Chiesi divestiture, to Chiesi Farmaceutici S.p.A., or Chiesi, for an upfront payment of \$72.2 million, which reflects \$3.1 million of cash divested, and subject to other customary purchase price adjustments for working capital, with additional potential milestone payments based on sales thresholds.

On June 30, 2017, we completed the acquisition of certain rights to interferon gamma-1b from Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH, or Boehringer Ingelheim International, in all territories outside of the United States, Canada and Japan, as we previously held marketing rights to interferon gamma-1b in these territories. Boehringer Ingelheim International commercialized interferon gamma-1b under the trade names IMUKIN<sup>®</sup>, IMUKINE<sup>®</sup>, IMMUKIN<sup>®</sup> and IMMUKINE<sup>®</sup>, or IMUKIN, in an estimated thirty countries, primarily in Europe and the Middle East. In May 2016, we paid Boehringer Ingelheim International €5.0 million (\$5.6 million when converted using a Euro-to-Dollar exchange rate at date of payment of 1.1132) for such rights and upon closing in June 2017, we paid Boehringer Ingelheim International an additional €19.5 million (\$22.3 million when converted using a Euro-to-Dollar exchange rate at date of payment of 1.1406). We market interferon gamma-1b as ACTIMMUNE<sup>®</sup> in the United States.

On December 8, 2016, we announced that the Phase 3 trial, Safety, Tolerability and Efficacy of ACTIMMUNE Dose Escalation in Friedreich's Ataxia study, or STEADFAST, evaluating ACTIMMUNE for the treatment of Friedreich's ataxia, or FA, did not meet its primary endpoint of a statistically significant change from baseline in the modified Friedreich's Ataxia Rating Scale at twenty-six weeks versus treatment with placebo and that the secondary endpoints did not meet statistical significance. No new safety findings were identified on initial review of data other than those already noted in the ACTIMMUNE prescribing information for approved indications. We, in conjunction with the independent Data Safety Monitoring Board, the principal investigator and the Friedreich's Ataxia Research Alliance Collaborative Clinical Research Network in FA, determined that, based on the trial results, the STEADFAST program would be discontinued, including the twenty-six week extension study and the long-term safety study.

## Strategy

Our strategy is to continue the transformation of Horizon Pharma plc into a balanced, diversified, sustainable-growth biopharmaceutical company predominantly focused on rare disease medicines. We are executing on our strategy by accelerating the growth of our rare disease medicine portfolio through differentiated commercial strategies, business development efforts, and the expansion of our pipeline with post-marketing and development-stage programs. We are strongly committed to helping ensure patient access to their medicines and support services, and by investing in the further development of medicines for patients with rare or underserved diseases.



### Orphan Business Unit

Our marketed rare disease medicines in our orphan business unit are ACTIMMUNE, BUPHENYL, PROCYSBI, QUINSAIR and RAVICTI. Our strategy for RAVICTI is to drive growth through increased awareness and diagnosis of urea cycle disorders and to drive conversion from older-generation nitrogen scavengers to RAVICTI, based on the medicine's differentiated benefits. With respect to PROCYSBI, our strategy is to drive conversion of patients from older-generation immediate-release capsules of cysteamine bitartrate, increase the uptake of diagnosed but untreated patients and to identify previously undiagnosed patients who are suitable for treatment. Although we no longer have EMEA marketing rights to PROCYSBI and QUINSAIR after the Chiesi divestiture, we retain marketing rights for both medicines in the United States, Canada, Latin America and Asia. Our strategy with respect to ACTIMMUNE includes driving growth by increasing awareness and diagnosis of chronic granulomatous disease, increasing the length of treatment, establishing ACTIMMUNE as a cornerstone for treatment for a broader range of patients and evaluating opportunities for combination therapy with PD-1 and PD-L1 inhibitors in treatment of certain cancers through investigator-initiated studies.

With our May 2017 acquisition of River Vision, we added the late-stage rare disease biologic medicine candidate teprotumumab to our pipeline. Teprotumumab, which successfully completed a Phase 2 clinical trial, targets the treatment of moderate-to-severe thyroid eye disease, a debilitating autoimmune condition that presents in patients with Graves' disease. Our strategy for teprotumumab is to support its continued clinical development and pursue regulatory approval. The River Vision acquisition further demonstrates our commitment to rare disease medicines and expands and diversifies our rare disease medicine pipeline to support sustainable longer-term growth. Our Phase 3 clinical trial evaluating teprotumumab for the treatment of moderate-to-severe active thyroid eye disease was initiated during the fourth quarter of 2017.

### Rheumatology Business Unit

Our marketed rare disease rheumatology medicine is KRYSTEXXA. We are focused on optimizing and maximizing KRYSTEXXA's peak sales potential by expanding our commercialization efforts, as well as investing in education, patient and physician outreach, and investigation programs that demonstrate KRYSTEXXA as an effective treatment of refractory chronic gout. In May 2017, we announced increased investment in KRYSTEXXA as part of the program to optimize its sales potential, with the goal of nearly doubling the commercial organization by the end of 2017. We believe that KRYSTEXXA represents a significant opportunity and potential growth driver for our rheumatology business unit. The rheumatology business unit also includes RAYOS.

### Primary Care Business Unit

Our strategy for the primary care business unit, which includes DUEXIS, VIMOVO and PENNSAID 2%, is to educate physicians about these clinically differentiated medicines and the benefits they offer. Patients are able to fill prescriptions for these medicines through pharmacies participating in our HorizonCares patient access program, as well as other pharmacies. In addition, we have evolved our commercial strategy to enter into business arrangements with pharmacy benefit managers, or PBMs, and other payers to secure formulary status and reimbursement of our medicines. The business arrangements with the PBMs generally require us to pay administrative fees and rebates to the PBMs and other payers for qualifying prescriptions. The primary care business unit also includes MIGERGOT.

We market our medicines in the United States through our field sales force, which numbered approximately 420 representatives across our three business units as of September 30, 2017.

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

## Comparison of Three Months Ended September 30, 2017 and 2016

The table below should be referenced in connection with a review of the following discussion of our results of operations for the three months ended September 30, 2017, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2016.

	For the Three Months Ended		
	September 30, 2017	2016	Change
	(in thousands)		
Net sales	\$271,646	\$208,702	\$62,944
Cost of goods sold	125,517	85,161	40,356
Gross profit	146,129	123,541	22,588
Operating expenses:			
Research and development	17,928	12,814	5,114
Selling, general and administrative	153,952	132,049	21,903
Total operating expenses	171,880	144,863	27,017
Operating loss	(25,751 )	(21,322 )	(4,429 )
Other expense, net:			
Interest expense, net	(31,706 )	(19,066 )	(12,640)
Foreign exchange gain (loss)	275	(108 )	383
Gain on divestiture	112	—	112
Other income, net	280	6,879	(6,599 )
Total other expense, net	(31,039 )	(12,295 )	(18,744)
Loss before expense (benefit) for income taxes	(56,790 )	(33,617 )	(23,173)
Expense (benefit) for income taxes	7,181	(27,747 )	34,928
Net loss	\$(63,971 )	\$(5,870 )	\$(58,101)

Net sales. Net sales increased \$62.9 million, or 30%, to \$271.6 million during the three months ended September 30, 2017, from \$208.7 million during the three months ended September 30, 2016.

The following table presents a summary of net sales attributed to geographic sources for the three months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2017		Three Months Ended September 30, 2016		
	Amount	% of Total Net Sales	Amount	% of Total Net Sales	
United States	\$ 267,906	99	% \$ 204,302	98	%
Rest of world	3,740	1	% 4,400	2	%
Net sales	\$ 271,646		\$ 208,702		

The following table reflects net sales by medicine for the three months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016:

Edgar Filing: Horizon Pharma plc - Form 10-Q

Three Months Ended

	September 30,		Change	Change	
	2017	2016	\$	%	
	(in thousands)				
RAVICTI	\$50,972	\$42,176	\$8,796	21	%
PENNSAID 2%	48,262	80,199	(31,937)	(40)	%
KRYSTEXXA	42,752	25,542	17,210	67	%
PROCYSBI	33,574	—	33,574	*	
DUEXIS	31,619	47,615	(15,996)	(34)	%
ACTIMMUNE	29,175	24,915	4,260	17	%
VIMOVO	15,056	32,839	(17,783)	(54)	%
RAYOS	14,591	13,419	1,172	9	%
BUPHENYL	3,650	4,341	(691)	(16)	%
MIGERGOT	1,142	1,154	(12)	(1)	%
LODOTRA	744	1,502	(758)	(50)	%
QUINSAIR	109	—	109	*	
Litigation settlement	—	(65,000)	65,000	*	
Net sales	\$271,646	\$208,702	\$62,944	30	%

\*Percentage change is not meaningful.

48

---

Net sales were higher during the three months ended September 30, 2017 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2016, primarily due to the \$65.0 million litigation settlement with Express Scripts, Inc., or Express Scripts, recorded during the three months ended September 30, 2016, the recognition of PROCYSBI sales following the acquisition of Raptor in October 2016 and higher net sales of KRYSTEXXA and RAVICTI, offset by lower net sales of PENNSAID 2%, VIMOVO and DUEXIS.

RAVICTI. Net sales increased \$8.8 million, or 21%, to \$51.0 million during the three months ended September 30, 2017, from \$42.2 million during the three months ended September 30, 2016. Net sales in the United States increased by approximately \$7.8 million, which was composed of \$5.0 million resulting from prescription volume growth and \$2.8 million due to higher net pricing. Net sales outside the United States increased by approximately \$1.0 million primarily due to higher sales volume.

PENNSAID 2%. Net sales decreased \$31.9 million, or 40%, to \$48.3 million during the three months ended September 30, 2017, from \$80.2 million during the three months ended September 30, 2016. Net sales decreased by approximately \$27.5 million due to lower net pricing and approximately \$4.4 million resulting from lower prescription volume.

KRYSTEXXA. Net sales increased \$17.2 million, or 67%, to \$42.8 million during the three months ended September 30, 2017, from \$25.6 million during the three months ended September 30, 2016. Net sales increased by approximately \$11.3 million resulting from prescription volume growth and approximately \$5.9 million due to higher net pricing.

PROCYSBI. Net sales were \$33.6 million during the three months ended September 30, 2017. We began recognizing PROCYSBI sales following our acquisition of Raptor in October 2016.

DUEXIS. Net sales decreased \$16.0 million, or 34%, to \$31.6 million during the three months ended September 30, 2017, from \$47.6 million during the three months ended September 30, 2016. Net sales decreased by approximately \$14.8 million due to lower net pricing and approximately \$1.2 million resulting from lower prescription volume.

ACTIMMUNE. Net sales increased \$4.3 million, or 17%, to \$29.2 million during the three months ended September 30, 2017, from \$24.9 million during the three months ended September 30, 2016. Net sales increased by approximately \$3.7 million due to higher net pricing and approximately \$0.6 million resulting from prescription volume growth.

VIMOVO. Net sales decreased \$17.8 million, or 54%, to \$15.1 million during the three months ended September 30, 2017, from \$32.9 million during the three months ended September 30, 2016. Net sales decreased by approximately \$11.9 million due to lower net pricing and approximately \$5.9 million resulting from lower prescription volume.

RAYOS. Net sales increased \$1.2 million, or 9%, to \$14.6 million during the three months ended September 30, 2017, from \$13.4 million during the three months ended September 30, 2016. Net sales increased by approximately \$3.4 million resulting from prescription volume growth, offset by a decrease of approximately \$2.2 million due to lower net pricing.

BUPHENYL. Net sales decreased \$0.7 million, or 16%, to \$3.7 million during the three months ended September 30, 2017, from \$4.4 million during the three months ended September 30, 2016. Net sales decreased by approximately \$1.4 million resulting from lower prescription volume, offset by an increase of \$0.7 million due to higher net pricing.

MIGERGOT. Net sales were \$1.1 million during the three months ended September 30, 2017 and during the three months ended September 30, 2016. Net sales decreased by approximately \$0.1 million resulting from lower prescription volume, offset by an increase of approximately \$0.1 million due to higher net pricing.

LODOTRA. Net sales decreased \$0.8 million, or 50%, to \$0.7 million during the three months ended September 30, 2017, from \$1.5 million during the three months ended September 30, 2016. The decrease was the result of decreased medicine shipments to our European distribution partner, Mundipharma International Corporation Limited, or Mundipharma. LODOTRA shipments to Mundipharma are not linear or directly tied to Mundipharma's in-market sales and can therefore fluctuate significantly from quarter to quarter.

QUINSAIR. Net sales were \$0.1 million during the three months ended September 30, 2017. We began recognizing QUINSAIR sales following our acquisition of Raptor in October 2016. Net sales during the three months ended September 30, 2017 relate to sales in Canada and Latin America, as our QUINSAIR sales in EMEA ceased following the Chiesi divestiture in June 2017.

In September 2016, we entered into a settlement agreement and mutual release with Express Scripts pursuant to which we and Express Scripts were released from any and all claims relating to our then ongoing litigation without admitting any fault or wrongdoing and we agreed to pay Express Scripts \$65.0 million. This settlement was accounted for as a reduction of net sales in the condensed consolidated statement of comprehensive loss for the three months ended September 30, 2016.

Edgar Filing: Horizon Pharma plc - Form 10-Q

The table below reconciles our gross to net sales for the three months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016 (in millions):

	Three Months Ended		Three Months Ended		
	September 30, 2017		September 30, 2016		
	Amount	% of Gross Sales	Amount	% of Gross Sales	
Gross sales	\$ 1,002.1	100.0	% \$ 865.9	100.0	%
Adjustments to gross sales:					
Prompt pay discounts	(19.9 )	(2.0 )%	(16.7 )	(1.9 )%	
Medicine returns	(10.0 )	(1.0 )%	(9.1 )	(1.1 )%	
Co-pay and other patient assistance	(449.2 )	(44.8 )%	(458.4 )	(52.9 )%	
Wholesaler fees and commercial rebates	(168.4 )	(16.8 )%	(30.2 )	(3.5 )%	
Government rebates and chargebacks	(83.0 )	(8.3 )%	(77.8 )	(9.0 )%	
Litigation settlement	—	—	(65.0 )	(7.5 )%	
Total adjustments	(730.5 )	(72.9 )%	(657.2 )	(75.9 )%	
Net sales	\$ 271.6	27.1	% \$ 208.7	24.1	%

During the three months ended September 30, 2017, wholesaler fees and commercial rebates, as a percentage of gross sales, increased to 16.8% from 3.5% during the three months ended September 30, 2016, and co-pay and other patient assistance, as a percentage of gross sales, decreased to 44.8% from 52.9% during the three months ended September 30, 2016. During the second half of 2016, we entered into business arrangements with PBMs and other payers in an effort to secure formulary status and reimbursement of our medicines, such as our arrangements with Express Scripts, CVS Caremark and Prime Therapeutics LLC, which resulted in lower co-pay and other patient assistance costs as a percentage of gross sales during the three months ended September 30, 2017. The mix of PBM healthcare plans that adopted our primary care medicines onto their formulary during 2017 was more heavily weighted towards those plans for which we pay a higher commercial rebate. In addition, we also experienced a higher rate of managed care control in our non-contracted business, which resulted in significantly lower net pricing during the three months ended September 30, 2017 when compared to the three months ended September 30, 2016.

Cost of Goods Sold. Cost of goods sold increased \$40.4 million to \$125.5 million during the three months ended September 30, 2017, from \$85.1 million during the three months ended September 30, 2016. As a percentage of net sales, cost of goods sold was 46.2% during the three months ended September 30, 2017, compared to 40.8% during the three months ended September 30, 2016. The increase in cost of goods sold was primarily attributable to a \$17.9 million increase in intangible amortization expense, a \$9.9 million increase in inventory step-up expense, a \$5.7 million increase in drug substance harmonization costs, a \$2.9 million increase in royalty accretion and a \$2.6 million increase in employee costs.

The increase in intangible amortization of \$17.9 million during the three months ended September 30, 2017 compared to the prior year period was primarily due to the amortization of developed technology of \$17.7 million related to PROCYSBI, which was acquired in October 2016.

Because inventory step-up expense is acquisition-related, will not continue indefinitely and has a significant effect on our gross profit, gross margin percentage and net income (loss) for all affected periods, we disclose balance sheet and income statement amounts related to inventory step-up within the notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements. The increase in inventory step-up expense of \$9.9 million recorded to cost of goods sold during the three months ended September 30, 2017 compared to the prior year period was due to KRYSTEXXA inventory step-up expense of \$21.2 million (acquired in January 2016) recorded during the three months ended September 30, 2017, compared to KRYSTEXXA and MIGERGOT inventory step-up expense of \$11.3 million, recorded during the three months ended September 30, 2016.

**Research and Development Expenses.** Research and development expenses increased \$5.1 million to \$17.9 million during the three months ended September 30, 2017, from \$12.8 million during the three months ended September 30, 2016. The increase was primarily attributable to an increase in costs relating to our research and development projects, including teprotumumab which we acquired with River Vision during the second quarter of 2017. We expect our research and development expenses to increase in future periods as we execute our business strategy of building a pipeline of rare disease medicine candidates and develop those medicine candidates, including the recent initiation of our Phase 3 clinical trial evaluating teprotumumab for the treatment of moderate-to-severe active thyroid eye disease.

**Selling, General and Administrative Expenses.** Selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$21.9 million to \$154.0 million during the three months ended September 30, 2017, from \$132.1 million during the three months ended September 30, 2016. The increase was primarily attributable to a \$14.8 million increase in employee costs related to our growth in headcount and costs following the Raptor acquisition during October 2016, a \$5.3 million increase in marketing program costs and a \$2.5 million increase in consulting expense.

## Edgar Filing: Horizon Pharma plc - Form 10-Q

Interest Expense, Net. Interest expense, net, increased \$12.6 million to \$31.7 million during the three months ended September 30, 2017, from \$19.1 million during the three months ended September 30, 2016. The increase was primarily due to higher borrowings, including our \$300.0 million aggregate principal amount of 8.75% Senior Notes due 2024, or the 2024 Senior Notes, in connection with our acquisition of Raptor, and our \$850.0 million principal amount of secured loans under our 2017 term loan facility, of which \$375.0 million was in connection with our acquisition of Raptor, compared to the \$397.0 million principal amount of secured loans from previous borrowings under our senior secured loan facility.

Expense (Benefit) for Income Taxes. During the three months ended September 30, 2017, we recorded an expense for income taxes of \$7.2 million compared to a benefit of \$27.7 million during the three months ended September 30, 2016. The expense for income taxes during the three months ended September 30, 2017 resulted from a change in the mix of pre-tax losses being incurred between high and low tax rate jurisdictions, which decreased our expected benefit for the full-year 2017 and resulted in a tax expense being recorded during the three months ended September 30, 2017.

In relation to all outstanding performance stock unit awards, or PSUs, if our share price is lower than \$31.58, \$32.70 and \$33.86 for the twenty trading days ending December 23, 2017, March 22, 2018 and June 22, 2018, respectively, approximately \$16.4 million, \$14.9 million and \$13.4 million, respectively, of deferred tax assets related to previously recognized share-based compensation expense related to these PSUs will be charged to income tax expense.

### Comparison of Nine Months Ended September 30, 2017 and 2016

The table below should be referenced in connection with a review of the following discussion of our results of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2017, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2016.

	For the Nine Months Ended		
	September 30, 2017	2016	Change
	(in thousands)		
Net sales	\$782,012	\$670,770	\$111,242
Cost of goods sold	394,783	243,520	151,263
Gross profit	387,229	427,250	(40,021 )
Operating expenses:			
Research and development	194,090	36,746	157,344
Selling, general and administrative	509,940	407,563	102,377
Total operating expenses	704,030	444,309	259,721
Operating loss	(316,801)	(17,059 )	(299,742)
Other expense, net:			
Interest expense, net	(95,297 )	(57,752 )	(37,545 )
Foreign exchange gain (loss)	167	(266 )	433
Gain on divestiture	5,968	—	5,968
Loss on debt extinguishment	(533 )	—	(533 )
Other income, net	280	6,839	(6,559 )
Total other expense, net	(89,415 )	(51,179 )	(38,236 )
Loss before benefit for income taxes	(406,216)	(68,238 )	(337,978)
Benefit for income taxes	(42,138 )	(31,946 )	(10,192 )



Edgar Filing: Horizon Pharma plc - Form 10-Q

Net loss \$(364,078) \$(36,292) \$(327,786)

Net sales. Net sales increased \$111.2 million, or 17%, to \$782.0 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2017, from \$670.8 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2016.

The following table presents a summary of net sales attributed to geographic sources for nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016 (in thousands):

	Nine Months Ended			Nine Months Ended		
	September 30, 2017			September 30, 2016		
	Amount	Net Sales	% of Total	Amount	Net Sales	% of Total
United States	\$757,805	97	%	\$660,608	98	%
Rest of world	24,207	3	%	10,162	2	%
Total Net Sales	\$782,012			\$670,770		

Edgar Filing: Horizon Pharma plc - Form 10-Q

The following table reflects the components of net sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016:

	Nine Months Ended		Change \$	Change %	
	September 30, 2017	September 30, 2016			
	(in thousands)				
RAVICTI	\$ 142,086	\$ 118,599	\$ 23,487	20	%
PENNSAID 2%	141,094	207,857	(66,763 )	(32	%)
KRYSTEXXA	112,667	61,570	51,097	83	%
PROCYSBI	104,533	—	104,533	*	
DUEXIS	92,951	122,780	(29,829 )	(24	%)
ACTIMMUNE	84,196	80,465	3,731	5	%
VIMOVO	41,069	89,710	(48,641 )	(54	%)
RAYOS	36,492	36,062	430	1	%
BUPHENYL	16,205	12,134	4,071	34	%
MIGERGOT	3,995	3,196	799	25	%
LODOTRA	3,417	3,397	20	1	%
QUINSAIR	3,307	—	3,307	*	
Litigation settlement	—	(65,000 )	65,000	*	
Total Net Sales	\$ 782,012	\$ 670,770	\$ 111,242	17	%

\*Percentage change is not meaningful.

Net sales were higher during the nine months ended September 30, 2017 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2016, primarily due to the \$65.0 million litigation settlement with Express Scripts recorded during the nine months ended September 30, 2016, the recognition of PROCYSBI sales following the acquisition of Raptor in October 2016 and higher net sales of KRYSTEXXA and RAVICTI, offset by lower net sales of PENNSAID 2%, VIMOVO and DUEXIS.

RAVICTI. Net sales increased \$23.5 million, or 20%, to \$142.1 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2017, from \$118.6 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2016. Net sales in the United States increased by approximately \$21.3 million, which was composed of \$16.6 million resulting from prescription volume growth and \$4.7 million due to higher net pricing. Net sales outside the United States increased by approximately \$2.2 million primarily due to higher sales volume.

PENNSAID 2%. Net sales decreased \$66.8 million, or 32%, to \$141.1 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2017, from \$207.9 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2016. Net sales decreased by approximately \$54.3 million due to lower net pricing and approximately \$12.5 million resulting from lower prescription volume.

KRYSTEXXA. Net sales increased \$51.1 million, or 83%, to \$112.7 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2017, from \$61.6 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2016. Net sales increased by approximately \$28.0 million due to prescription volume growth and approximately \$23.1 million due to higher net pricing.

PROCYSBI. Net sales were \$104.5 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2017. We began recognizing PROCYSBI sales following our acquisition of Raptor in October 2016.

DUEXIS. Net sales decreased \$29.8 million, or 24%, to \$93.0 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2017, from \$122.8 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2016. Net sales decreased by approximately \$29.3 million due to lower net pricing and approximately \$0.5 million resulting from lower prescription volume.

ACTIMMUNE. Net sales increased \$3.7 million, or 5%, to \$84.2 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2017, from \$80.5 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2016. Net sales increased by approximately \$7.9 million due to higher net pricing, offset by a decrease of approximately \$4.2 million resulting from lower prescription volume.

VIMOVO. Net sales decreased \$48.6 million, or 54%, to \$41.1 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2017, from \$89.7 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2016. Net sales decreased by approximately \$32.4 million due to lower net pricing and approximately \$16.2 million resulting from lower prescription volume.

RAYOS. Net sales increased \$0.4 million, or 1%, to \$36.5 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2017, from \$36.1 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2016. Net sales increased by approximately \$11.3 million resulting from prescription volume growth, offset by a decrease of approximately \$10.9 million due to lower net pricing.

BUPHENYL. Net sales increased \$4.1 million, or 34%, to \$16.2 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2017, from \$12.1 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2016. Net sales increased by approximately \$6.1 million due to higher net pricing, offset by a decrease of approximately \$2.0 million resulting from lower prescription volume.

MIGERGOT. Net sales increased \$0.8 million, or 25%, to \$4.0 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2017, from \$3.2 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2016. Net sales increased by approximately \$1.0 million due to higher net pricing, offset by a decrease of approximately \$0.2 million resulting from lower prescription volume.

LODOTRA. Net sales were \$3.4 million during each of the nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016.

QUINSAIR. Net sales were \$3.3 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2017. We began recognizing QUINSAIR sales following our acquisition of Raptor in October 2016. Our sales of QUINSAIR in EMEA ceased following the Chiesi divestiture in June 2017.

In September 2016, we entered into a settlement agreement and mutual release with Express Scripts pursuant to which we and Express Scripts were released from any and all claims relating to our then ongoing litigation without admitting any fault or wrongdoing and we agreed to pay Express Scripts \$65.0 million. This settlement was accounted for as a reduction of net sales in the condensed consolidated statement of comprehensive loss for the nine months ended September 30, 2016.

The table below reconciles our gross to net sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016 (in millions):

	Nine Months Ended			Nine Months Ended		
	September 30, 2017			September 30, 2016		
	Amount	% of Gross Sales		Amount	% of Gross Sales	
Gross sales	\$ 3,002.4	100.0	%	\$ 2,350.5	100.0	%
Adjustments to gross sales:						
Prompt pay discounts	(59.7 )	(2.0 )	%	(46.8 )	(2.0 )	%
Medicine returns	(35.8 )	(1.2 )	%	(9.3 )	(0.4 )	%
Co-pay and other patient assistance	(1,406.6 )	(46.9 )	%	(1,275.2 )	(54.3 )	%
Wholesaler fees and commercial rebates	(477.7 )	(15.9 )	%	(85.0 )	(3.6 )	%
Government rebates and chargebacks	(240.6 )	(8.0 )	%	(198.4 )	(8.4 )	%
Litigation settlement	—	—		(65.0 )	(2.8 )	%
Total adjustments	(2,220.4 )	(74.0 )	%	(1,679.7 )	(71.5 )	%
Net sales	\$ 782.0	26.0	%	\$ 670.8	28.5	%

During the nine months ended September 30, 2017, wholesaler fees and commercial rebates, as a percentage of gross sales, increased to 15.9% from 3.6% during the nine months ended September 30, 2016, and co-pay and other patient assistance, as a percentage of gross sales, decreased to 46.9% from 54.3% during the nine months ended September 30, 2016. During the second half of 2016, we entered into business arrangements with PBMs and other payers in an effort to secure formulary status and reimbursement of our medicines, such as our arrangements with Express Scripts, CVS Caremark and Prime Therapeutics LLC, which resulted in lower co-pay and other patient assistance costs as a percentage of gross sales during the nine months ended September 30, 2017. The mix of PBM healthcare plans that adopted our primary care medicines onto their formulary during 2017 was more heavily weighted towards those plans for which we pay a higher commercial rebate. In addition, we also experienced a higher rate of managed care control in our non-contracted business, which resulted in significantly lower net pricing during the nine months ended September 30, 2017 when compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2016.

Cost of Goods Sold. Cost of goods sold increased \$151.3 million to \$394.8 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2017, from \$243.5 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2016. As a percentage of net sales, cost of goods sold was 50.5% during the nine months ended September 30, 2017 compared to 36.3% during the nine months ended September 30, 2016. The increase in cost of goods sold was primarily attributable to a \$67.8 million increase in inventory step-up expense, an increase in intangible amortization expense of \$56.9 million, a \$10.7 million increase in drug substance harmonization costs, a higher royalty accretion expense of \$9.6 million and a \$7.0 million increase in employee costs, partially offset by a reduction of \$2.7 million in cost of goods sold following a reduction in our excess inventory purchase commitments with Boehringer Ingelheim.

Because inventory step-up expense is acquisition-related, will not continue indefinitely and has a significant effect on our gross profit, gross margin percentage and net income (loss) for all affected periods, we disclose balance sheet and income statement amounts related to inventory step-up within the notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements. The increase in inventory step-up expense of \$67.8 million recorded to cost of goods sold during the nine months ended September 30, 2017 compared to the prior year period was due to KRYSTEXXA inventory step-up expense of \$54.9 million (acquired in January 2016) and PROCYSBI and QUINSAIR inventory step-up expense of \$40.8 million (acquired in October 2016) recorded during the nine months ended September 30, 2017 compared to KRYSTEXXA and MIGERGOT inventory step-up expense of \$27.9 million recorded during the nine months ended September 30, 2016.

The increase in intangible amortization of \$56.9 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2017 compared to the prior year period was primarily due to the amortization of developed technology of \$55.3 million related to PROCYSBI (acquired in October 2016).

**Research and Development Expenses.** Research and development expenses increased \$157.3 million to \$194.1 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2017, from \$36.8 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2016. The increase was primarily attributable to \$147.7 million related to the acquisition of River Vision during the nine months ended September 30, 2017. Pursuant to ASC 805 (as amended by ASU No. 2017-01), we accounted for the River Vision acquisition as the purchase of an in-process research and development asset and, pursuant to ASC 730, recorded the purchase price as a research and development expense during the nine months ended September 30, 2017.

**Selling, General and Administrative Expenses.** Selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$102.4 million to \$509.9 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2017, from \$407.5 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2016. The increase was primarily attributable to an increase of \$30.2 million in employee costs related to our growth in headcount and costs following the Raptor acquisition during October 2016, an increase of \$24.0 million in marketing program costs, \$22.3 million paid to Boehringer Ingelheim International upon closing of the acquisition of certain rights to interferon gamma-1b during the nine months ended September 30, 2017 and an increase of \$15.2 million in consulting costs.

**Interest Expense, Net.** Interest expense, net, increased \$37.5 million to \$95.3 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2017, from \$57.8 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2016. The increase was primarily due to higher borrowings, including our \$300.0 million aggregate principal amount of 2024 Senior Notes, in connection with our acquisition of Raptor, and our \$850.0 million principal amount of secured loans under our 2017 term loan facility, of which \$375.0 million was in connection with our acquisition of Raptor, compared to the \$397.0 million principal amount of secured loans from previous borrowings under our senior secured loan facility.

**Gain on divestiture.** During the nine months ended September 30, 2017, we completed the Chiesi divestiture for an upfront payment of \$72.2 million, which reflects \$3.1 million of cash divested, and subject to other customary purchase price adjustments for working capital, with additional potential milestone payments based on sales thresholds, and we recorded a gain of \$6.0 million on the divestiture.

**Loss on Debt Extinguishment.** During the nine months ended September 30, 2017, we entered into a refinancing amendment for our term loans. We accounted for a portion of the repayment as a debt extinguishment and recorded a loss on debt extinguishment of \$0.5 million in the condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive loss, which reflected the write-off of the unamortized portion of debt discount and deferred financing costs previously incurred and a one percent prepayment penalty fee.

**Benefit for Income Taxes.** During the nine months ended September 30, 2017, we recorded a benefit for income taxes of \$42.1 million compared to \$31.9 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2016. The increase in benefit for income taxes during the nine months ended September 30, 2017 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2016 primarily resulted from an increase in pre-tax losses.

In relation to all outstanding PSUs, if our share price is lower than \$31.58, \$32.70 and \$33.86 for the twenty trading days ending December 23, 2017, March 22, 2018 and June 22, 2018, respectively, approximately \$16.4 million, \$14.9 million and \$13.4 million, respectively, of deferred tax assets related to previously recognized share-based compensation expense related to these PSUs will be charged to income tax expense.

## NON-GAAP FINANCIAL MEASURES

Non-GAAP adjusted net sales, EBITDA, or earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, adjusted EBITDA, non-GAAP net income and non-GAAP earnings per share are used and provided by us as non-GAAP financial measures. These non-GAAP financial measures are intended to provide additional information on our performance, operations and profitability. Adjustments to our GAAP figures as well as EBITDA exclude acquisition/divestiture-related costs, an upfront fee for a license of a patent, drug substance harmonization costs, fees related to term loan refinancing, Primary Care business unit realignment costs and the Express Scripts litigation settlement amount, as well as non-cash items such as share-based compensation, inventory step-up expense, depreciation and amortization, remeasurement of royalties for medicines acquired through business combinations, royalty accretion, non-cash interest expense, non-current asset impairment charges, gain on divestiture and other non-cash adjustments. Certain other special items or substantive events may also be included in the non-GAAP adjustments periodically when their magnitude is significant within the periods incurred. We maintain an established non-GAAP cost policy that guides the determination of what costs will be excluded in non-GAAP measures. We believe that these non-GAAP financial measures, when considered together with the GAAP figures, can enhance an overall understanding of our financial and operating performance. The non-GAAP financial measures are included with the intent of providing investors with a more complete understanding of our historical and expected 2017 financial results and trends and to facilitate comparisons between periods and with respect to projected information. In addition, these non-GAAP financial measures are among the indicators our management uses for planning and forecasting purposes and measuring our performance. For example, adjusted EBITDA is used by us as one measure of management performance under certain incentive compensation arrangements. These non-GAAP financial measures should be considered in addition to, and not as a substitute for, or superior to, financial measures calculated in accordance with GAAP. The non-GAAP financial measures used by us may be calculated differently from, and therefore may not be comparable to, non-GAAP financial measures used by other companies.

Reconciliations of reported GAAP net sales to non-GAAP adjusted net sales, reported GAAP net loss to EBITDA, adjusted EBITDA and non-GAAP net income, and the related per share amounts, are as follows (in thousands, except share and per share amounts):

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,		For the Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
GAAP Net Sales	\$ 271,646	\$ 208,702	\$ 782,012	\$ 670,770
Litigation settlement	—	65,000	—	65,000
Non-GAAP Adjusted Net Sales	\$ 271,646	\$ 273,702	\$ 782,012	\$ 735,770

	For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2017		For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
GAAP Net Loss	\$ (63,971 )	\$ (5,870 )	\$ (364,078 )	\$ (36,292 )
Depreciation	1,476	1,183	5,037	3,266
Amortization, accretion and step-up:				
Intangible amortization expense	68,666	50,757	208,118	151,199
Accretion of royalty liabilities	12,720	9,734	38,415	28,762
Amortization of deferred revenue	(225 )	(212 )	(636 )	(631 )
Inventory step-up expense	21,170	11,305	95,659	27,853
Interest expense, net (including amortization of debt discount and deferred financing costs)	31,706	19,066	95,297	57,752
Expense (benefit) for income taxes	7,181	(27,747 )	(42,138 )	(31,946 )
EBITDA	78,723	58,216	35,674	199,963
Other non-GAAP adjustments:				
Remeasurement of royalties for medicines acquired through business combinations	—	—	(2,944 )	—
Acquisition/divestiture-related costs	5,561	5,159	168,985	16,456
Upfront fee for license of global patent	—	—	—	2,000
Primary Care business unit realignment costs	(290 )	—	4,903	—
Gain on divestiture	(112 )	—	(5,968 )	—
Loss on debt extinguishment	—	—	533	—
Fees related to term loan refinancing	16	—	4,114	—
Share-based compensation	31,698	29,312	87,935	84,921
Charges relating to discontinuation of Friedreich's ataxia program (1)	(1,116 )	—	18,051	—
Drug substance harmonization costs (2)	5,654	—	10,698	—
Royalties for medicines acquired through business combinations	(12,031 )	(9,564 )	(34,970 )	(27,159 )
Reversal of pre-acquisition reserve upon signing of contract	—	(6,900 )	—	(6,900 )
Litigation settlement	—	65,000	—	65,000
Total of other non-GAAP adjustments	29,380	83,007	251,337	134,318
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 108,103	\$ 141,223	\$ 287,011	\$ 334,281



Edgar Filing: Horizon Pharma plc - Form 10-Q

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,		For the Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
GAAP Net Loss	\$ (63,971 )	\$ (5,870 )	\$ (364,078 )	\$ (36,292 )
Non-GAAP Adjustments:				
Remeasurement of royalties for medicines acquired through business combinations	—	—	(2,944 )	—
Acquisition/divestiture-related costs	5,561	5,159	168,985	16,456
Upfront fee for license of global patent	—	—	—	2,000
Fees related to term loan refinancing	16	—	4,114	—
Primary Care business unit realignment costs	(290 )	—	4,903	—
Gain on divestiture	(112 )	—	(5,968 )	—
Loss on debt extinguishment	—	—	533	—
Amortization, accretion and step-up:				
Intangible amortization expense	68,666	50,757	208,118	151,199
Amortization of debt discount and deferred financing costs	5,234	4,537	15,863	13,469
Accretion of royalty liabilities	12,720	9,734	38,415	28,762
Inventory step-up expense	21,170	11,305	95,659	27,853
Share-based compensation	31,698	29,312	87,935	84,921
Depreciation expense	1,476	1,183	5,037	3,266
Charges relating to discontinuation of Friedreich's ataxia program (1)	(1,116 )	—	18,051	—
Drug substance harmonization costs (2)	5,654	—	10,698	—
Litigation settlement	—	65,000	—	65,000
Royalties for medicines acquired through business combinations	(12,031 )	(9,564 )	(34,970 )	(27,159 )
Reversal of pre-acquisition reserve upon signing of contract	—	(6,900 )	—	(6,900 )
Total pre-tax non-GAAP adjustments	138,646	160,523	614,429	358,867
Income tax effect of pre-tax non-GAAP adjustments (3)	(31,548 )	(39,180 )	(103,923 )	(74,518 )
Total non-GAAP adjustments	107,098	121,343	510,506	284,349
Non-GAAP Net Income	\$ 43,127	\$ 115,473	\$ 146,428	\$ 248,057
Non-GAAP Earnings Per Share:				
Weighted average ordinary shares – Basic	163,447,208	161,038,827	162,810,551	160,472,530
Non-GAAP Earnings Per Share – Basic				
GAAP loss per share – Basic	\$ (0.39 )	\$ (0.04 )	\$ (2.24 )	\$ (0.23 )
Non-GAAP adjustments	0.65	0.76	3.14	1.78
Non-GAAP earnings per share – Basic	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.72	\$ 0.90	\$ 1.55
Weighted average ordinary shares – Diluted				
Weighted average ordinary shares – Basic	163,447,208	161,038,827	162,810,551	160,472,530
Ordinary share equivalents	2,346,684	3,868,212	2,510,909	3,763,984
Weighted average ordinary shares – Diluted	165,793,892	164,907,039	165,321,460	164,236,514

Non-GAAP Earnings Per Share – Diluted				
GAAP loss per share – Diluted	\$ (0.39	) \$ (0.04	) \$ (2.24	) \$ (0.23
Non-GAAP adjustments	0.65	0.75	3.14	1.77
Diluted earnings per share effect of				
ordinary share equivalents	—	(0.01	) (0.01	) (0.03
Non-GAAP earnings per share – Diluted	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.70	\$ 0.89	\$ 1.51

(1) Charges relating to discontinuation of Friedreich’s ataxia program of \$18.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2017, include \$22.3 million relating to the impairment of a non-current asset recorded following payment to Boehringer Ingelheim International for the acquisition of certain rights to interferon gamma-1b, a \$2.7 million reduction in “cost of goods sold”, relating to the renegotiation of a contract with Boehringer Ingelheim related to the purchase of additional units of ACTIMMUNE and a reduction of \$1.5 million to “research and development expenses” reflecting lower costs than previously estimated to be incurred to discontinue the STEADFAST study.

- (2) During the year ended December 31, 2016, we committed to spend \$14.9 million related to the harmonization of the manufacturing processes for ACTIMMUNE and IMUKIN drug substance. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2017, we incurred \$5.7 million and \$12.2 million, respectively, of this spend, including costs of \$5.7 million and \$10.7 million, respectively, that qualify for exclusion in our non-GAAP financial measures under our non-GAAP cost policy.
- (3) Adjustment to the GAAP tax benefit for the estimated tax impact of each non-GAAP adjustment is based on the statutory tax rate of the applicable jurisdictions for each non-GAAP adjustment.

## LIQUIDITY, FINANCIAL POSITION AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

We have incurred losses since our inception in June 2005 and, as of September 30, 2017, we had an accumulated deficit of \$1,205.9 million. We do not expect our current operations to achieve operating profitability in 2017 and expect to fund our operations for the remainder of 2017 primarily through net sales and available cash resources.

We have financed our operations to date through equity financings, debt financings and the issuance of convertible notes, along with cash flows from operations during the last several quarters. As of September 30, 2017, we had \$625.0 million in cash and cash equivalents and total debt with a book value of \$1,897.6 million and face value of \$2,022.9 million. Cash at September 30, 2017 reflects our use of cash on hand of approximately \$145.6 million, net of \$6.3 million of cash acquired, to fund our acquisition of River Vision on May 8, 2017 and \$32.5 million paid during the nine months ended September 30, 2017 in relation to the litigation settlement with Express Scripts, and includes \$69.1 million received following the Chiesi divestiture in June 2017, net of cash divested. We believe our existing cash and cash equivalents and our expected cash flows from our operations will be sufficient to fund our business needs for at least the next twelve months from the issuance of these financial statements. Part of our strategy is to expand and leverage our commercial capabilities and to develop a pipeline of rare disease medicine candidates by identifying, developing, acquiring and commercializing differentiated and accessible medicines that address unmet medical needs. To the extent we enter into transactions to acquire medicines or businesses in the future, we will most likely need to finance a significant portion of those acquisitions through additional debt, equity or convertible debt financings.

On October 23, 2017, Horizon Pharma, Inc., or HPI, our wholly owned subsidiary, and Horizon Pharma USA, Inc., our wholly owned subsidiary, or HPUSA, and together with HPI in such capacity, the Borrowers, borrowed approximately \$845.8 million aggregate principal amount of loans, or the October 2017 Refinancing Loans, pursuant to an amendment, or the October 2017 Refinancing Amendment, to the Credit Agreement, dated as of May 7, 2015, by and among the Borrowers, us and certain of our subsidiaries as guarantors, the lenders party thereto from time to time and Citibank, N.A., as administrative agent and collateral agent, as amended by Amendment No. 1, dated as of October 25, 2016, or the 2016 Credit Agreement, and Amendment No. 2, dated March 29, 2017, or the March 2017 Credit Agreement. As used herein, all references to the "Credit Agreement" are references to the March 2017 Credit Agreement, as amended by the October 2017 Refinancing Amendment.

The October 2017 Refinancing Loans were incurred as a separate new class of term loans under the Credit Agreement with substantially the same terms as the previously outstanding senior secured term loans incurred on March 29, 2017 under the March 2017 Credit Agreement, or the October 2017 Refinanced Loans, to effectuate a repricing of the October 2017 Refinanced Loans. The Borrowers used the proceeds of the October 2017 Refinancing Loans to repay the October 2017 Refinanced Loans, which totaled approximately \$845.8 million. The October 2017 Refinancing Loans bear interest, at the Borrowers' option, at a rate equal to either the London Inter-Bank Offer Rate, or LIBOR, plus an applicable margin of 3.25% per year (subject to a LIBOR floor of 1.0%), or the adjusted base rate plus 2.25%. The adjusted base rate is defined as the greater of (a) LIBOR (using one-month interest period) plus 1%, (b) prime rate, (c) fed funds plus 0.5%, and (d) 2%. The Credit Agreement provides for (i) the October 2017 Refinancing Loans,

(ii) one or more uncommitted additional incremental loan facilities subject to the satisfaction of certain financial and other conditions, and (iii) one or more uncommitted refinancing loan facilities with respect to loans thereunder. The Credit Agreement allows for us and certain of our subsidiaries to become borrowers under incremental or refinancing facilities.

The obligations under the Credit Agreement (including obligations in respect of the October 2017 Refinancing Loans) and any swap obligations and cash management obligations owing to a lender (or an affiliate of a lender) thereunder are guaranteed by us and each of our existing and subsequently acquired or formed direct and indirect subsidiaries (other than certain immaterial subsidiaries, subsidiaries whose guarantee would result in material adverse tax consequences and subsidiaries whose guarantee is prohibited by applicable law). The obligations under the Credit Agreement (including obligations in respect of the October 2017 Refinancing Loans) and any such swap and cash management obligations are secured, subject to customary permitted liens and other agreed upon exceptions, by a perfected security interest in (i) all tangible and intangible assets of the Borrowers and the guarantors, except for certain customary excluded assets, and (ii) all of the capital stock owned by the Borrowers and guarantors thereunder (limited, in the case of the stock of certain non-U.S. subsidiaries of the Borrowers, to 65% of the capital stock of such subsidiaries). The Borrowers and the guarantors under the Credit Agreement are individually and collectively referred to herein as a “Loan Party” and the “Loan Parties,” as applicable.

Borrowers under the Credit Agreement are permitted to make voluntary prepayments of the loans under the Credit Agreement at any time without payment of a premium, except that with respect to the October 2017 Refinancing Loans, a 1% premium will apply to a repayment of the October 2017 Refinancing Loans in connection with a repricing of, or any amendment to the Credit Agreement in a repricing of, such loans effected on or prior to the date that is six months following October 23, 2017. The Borrowers are required to make mandatory prepayments of loans under the Credit Agreement (without payment of a premium) with (a) net cash proceeds from certain non-ordinary course asset sales (subject to reinvestment rights and other exceptions), (b) casualty proceeds and condemnation awards (subject to reinvestment rights and other exceptions), (c) net cash proceeds from issuances of debt (other than certain permitted debt), and (d) 50% of our excess cash flow (subject to decrease to 25% or 0% if our first lien leverage ratio is less than 2.25:1 or 1.75:1, respectively). The October 2017 Refinancing Loans will amortize in equal quarterly installments beginning on December 31, 2017 in an aggregate annual amount equal to 1% of the original principal amount of the October 2017 Refinanced Loans (i.e. \$850.0 million), with any remaining balance payable on March 29, 2024, the final maturity date of the October 2017 Refinancing Loans.

We elected to exercise our reinvestment rights under the mandatory prepayment provisions of the March 2017 Credit Agreement with respect to the net proceeds from the Chiesi divestiture. To the extent we do not apply such net proceeds to permitted acquisitions (including the acquisition of rights to products and products lines) and/or the acquisition of capital assets within 365 days of the receipt thereof (or commit to so apply and then apply within 180 days after the end of such 365-day period), we would be required to make a mandatory prepayment under the March 2017 Credit Agreement in an amount equal to the unapplied net proceeds. Until such time, the net proceeds are not legally restricted for use. As of September 30, 2017, we had applied a portion of such net proceeds to the acquisition of additional rights to interferon gamma-1b.

On October 25, 2016, HPI and HPUSA, or the 2024 Issuers, completed a private placement of \$300.0 million aggregate principal amount of 2024 Senior Notes to certain investment banks acting as initial purchasers who subsequently resold the 2024 Senior Notes to qualified institutional buyers as defined in Rule 144A under the Securities Act.

The obligations under the 2024 Senior Notes are the 2024 Issuers' general unsecured senior obligations and are fully and unconditionally guaranteed on a senior unsecured basis by us and all of our direct and indirect subsidiaries that are guarantors from time to time under the Credit Agreement.

We used the net proceeds from the offering of the 2024 Senior Notes as well as \$375.0 million principal amount of senior secured term loans incurred in October 2016 under the 2016 Credit Agreement to fund a portion of the acquisition of Raptor, repay Raptor's outstanding debt, and pay any prepayment premiums, fees and expenses in connection with the foregoing.

The 2024 Senior Notes accrue interest at an annual rate of 8.75% payable semiannually in arrears on May 1 and November 1 of each year, beginning on May 1, 2017. The 2024 Senior Notes will mature on November 1, 2024, unless earlier repurchased or redeemed.

Except as described below, the 2024 Senior Notes may not be redeemed before November 1, 2019. Thereafter, some or all of the 2024 Senior Notes may be redeemed at any time at specified redemption prices, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date. At any time prior to November 1, 2019, some or all of the 2024 Senior Notes may be redeemed at a price equal to 100% of the aggregate principal amount thereof, plus a make-whole premium and accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date. Also prior to November 1, 2019, up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the 2024 Senior Notes may be redeemed at a redemption price of 108.75% of the aggregate principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest, with the net proceeds of certain equity offerings. In addition, the 2024 Senior Notes may be redeemed in whole but not in part at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest and additional amounts, if any, to, but excluding, the redemption date, if on the next date on which any amount would be payable in respect of the 2024 Senior Notes, the 2024 Issuers

or any guarantor is or would be required to pay additional amounts as a result of certain tax-related events.

If we undergo a change of control, the 2024 Issuers will be required to make an offer to purchase all of the 2024 Senior Notes at a price in cash equal to 101% of the aggregate principal amount thereof plus accrued and unpaid interest to, but not including, the repurchase date. If we or certain of our subsidiaries engage in certain asset sales, the 2024 Issuers will be required under certain circumstances to make an offer to purchase the 2024 Senior Notes at 100% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the repurchase date.

On April 29, 2015, Horizon Pharma Financing Inc., our wholly owned subsidiary, or Horizon Financing, completed a private placement of \$475.0 million aggregate principal amount of 6.625% Senior Notes due 2023, or the 2023 Senior Notes, to certain investment banks acting as initial purchasers who subsequently resold the 2023 Senior Notes to qualified institutional buyers as defined in Rule 144A under the Securities Act, and in offshore transactions to non-U.S. persons in reliance on Regulation S under the Securities Act.

In connection with the closing of the Hyperion acquisition on May 7, 2015, Horizon Financing merged with and into HPI and, as a result, the 2023 Senior Notes became HPI's general unsecured senior obligations. The obligations under the 2023 Senior Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by on a senior unsecured basis us and all of our direct and indirect subsidiaries that are guarantors from time to time under the Credit Agreement.

The 2023 Senior Notes accrue interest at an annual rate of 6.625% payable semiannually in arrears on May 1 and November 1 of each year, beginning on November 1, 2015. The 2023 Senior Notes will mature on May 1, 2023, unless earlier repurchased or redeemed.

Except as described below, the 2023 Senior Notes may not be redeemed before May 1, 2018. Thereafter, some or all of the 2023 Senior Notes may be redeemed at any time at specified redemption prices, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date. At any time prior to May 1, 2018, some or all of the 2023 Senior Notes may be redeemed at a price equal to 100% of the aggregate principal amount thereof, plus a make-whole premium and accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date. Also prior to May 1, 2018, up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the 2023 Senior Notes may be redeemed at a redemption price of 106.625% of the aggregate principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest, with the net proceeds of certain equity offerings. In addition, the 2023 Senior Notes may be redeemed in whole but not in part at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest and additional amounts, if any, to, but excluding, the redemption date, if on the next date on which any amount would be payable in respect of the 2023 Senior Notes, HPI or any guarantor is or would be required to pay additional amounts as a result of certain tax-related events.

If we undergo a change of control, HPI will be required to make an offer to purchase all of the 2023 Senior Notes at a price in cash equal to 101% of the aggregate principal amount thereof plus accrued and unpaid interest to, but not including, the repurchase date. If we or certain of our subsidiaries engage in certain asset sales, HPI will be required under certain circumstances to make an offer to purchase the 2023 Senior Notes at 100% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the repurchase date.

On March 13, 2015, Horizon Pharma Investment Limited, our wholly owned subsidiary, or Horizon Investment, completed a private placement of \$400.0 million aggregate principal amount of 2.50% Exchangeable Senior Notes due 2022, or the Exchangeable Senior Notes, to several investment banks acting as initial purchasers who subsequently resold the Exchangeable Senior Notes to qualified institutional buyers as defined in Rule 144A under the Securities Act. The net proceeds from the offering of the Exchangeable Senior Notes were approximately \$387.2 million, after deducting the initial purchasers' discount and offering expenses payable by Horizon Investment.

We have fully and unconditionally guaranteed the Exchangeable Senior Notes on a senior unsecured basis, or the Guarantee. The Exchangeable Senior Notes and the Guarantee are Horizon Investment's and our senior unsecured obligations. The Exchangeable Senior Notes accrue interest at an annual rate of 2.50% payable semiannually in arrears on March 15 and September 15 of each year, beginning on September 15, 2015. The Exchangeable Senior Notes will mature on March 15, 2022, unless earlier exchanged, repurchased or redeemed. The initial exchange rate is 34.8979 of our ordinary shares per \$1,000 principal amount of the Exchangeable Senior Notes (equivalent to an initial exchange price of approximately \$28.66 per ordinary share).

We have a significant amount of debt outstanding on a consolidated basis. This substantial level of debt could have important consequences to our business, including, but not limited to: making it more difficult for us to satisfy our obligations; requiring a substantial portion of our cash flows from operations to be dedicated to the payment of principal and interest on our indebtedness, therefore reducing our ability to use our cash flows to fund acquisitions, capital expenditures, and future business opportunities; limiting our ability to obtain additional financing, including borrowing additional funds; increasing our vulnerability to, and reducing our flexibility to respond to, general adverse economic and industry conditions; limiting our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry in which we operate; and placing us at a disadvantage as compared to our competitors, to the extent that they are not as highly leveraged. We may not be able to generate sufficient cash to service all of our indebtedness and may be forced to take other actions to satisfy our obligations under our indebtedness.

In addition, the indentures governing the 2024 Senior Notes and 2023 Senior Notes and the Credit Agreement impose various covenants that limit our ability and/or our restricted subsidiaries' ability to, among other things, pay dividends or distributions, repurchase equity, prepay junior debt and make certain investments, incur additional debt and issue

certain preferred stock, incur liens on assets, engage in certain asset sales or merger transactions, enter into transactions with affiliates, designate subsidiaries as unrestricted subsidiaries; and allow to exist certain restrictions on the ability of restricted subsidiaries to pay dividends or make other payments to us.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2017, we issued an aggregate of:

- 270,427 ordinary shares in connection with the exercise of stock options and received \$1.8 million in proceeds;
- 660,092 ordinary shares in net settlement of vested restricted stock units;
- 25,000 ordinary shares in net settlement of vested performance stock units; and
- 462,472 ordinary shares pursuant to employee stock purchase plans and received \$3.9 million in proceeds.



During the nine months ended September 30, 2017, warrants to purchase an aggregate of 391,500 ordinary shares were exercised and proceeds of \$1.8 million were received. In addition, warrants to purchase an aggregate of 704,285 ordinary shares were exercised in cashless exercises, resulting in the issuance of 523,520 ordinary shares. As of September 30, 2017, there were no outstanding warrants to purchase ordinary shares.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2017, we made payments of \$5.6 million for employee withholding taxes relating to share-based awards.

In May 2016, our board of directors authorized a share repurchase program pursuant to which we may repurchase up to 5,000,000 of our ordinary shares. In May 2017, our board of directors reauthorized a share repurchase program pursuant to which we may repurchase up to 16,000,000 of our ordinary shares. As of September 30, 2017, we had repurchased 100,000 of our ordinary shares under this repurchase program for total consideration of \$1.0 million. The timing and amount of future repurchases, if any, will depend on a variety of factors, including the price of our ordinary shares, alternative investment opportunities, our cash resources, restrictions under the Credit Agreement and market conditions.

#### Sources and Uses of Cash

The following table provides a summary of our cash position and cash flows as of and for the nine months ended September 30, 2017 and 2016 (in thousands):

	2017	2016
Cash and cash equivalents	\$624,960	\$549,303
Cash provided by (used in):		
Operating activities	136,995	230,271
Investing activities	(103,209)	(538,432)
Financing activities	77,753	(1,690)

#### Operating Cash Flows

During the nine months ended September 30, 2017, net cash provided by operating activities was \$137.0 million compared to \$230.3 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2016. The decrease in net cash provided by operating activities was primarily attributable to cash payments of \$74.4 million for interest during the nine months ended September 30, 2017 compared to \$39.5 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2016, and \$32.5 million paid during the nine months ended September 30, 2017 in relation to the litigation settlement with Express Scripts.

#### Investing Cash Flows

During the nine months ended September 30, 2017, net cash used in investing activities was \$103.2 million compared to \$538.4 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2016. The net cash used in investing activities during the nine months ended September 30, 2017 was primarily associated with \$146.5 million of payments for the acquisition of River Vision, net of cash acquired, and \$22.3 million relating to the payment for certain rights for interferon gamma-1b. This was partially offset by \$69.1 million of proceeds received from the Chiesi divestiture, net of cash divested.

Net cash used in investing activities during the nine months ended September 30, 2016 was primarily associated with \$514.8 million of payments for the acquisition of Crealta, net of cash acquired, a \$5.6 million (€5.0 million) initial payment for rights to interferon gamma-1b and \$14.6 million of payments for purchases of property and equipment.

## Financing Cash Flows

During the nine months ended September 30, 2017, net cash provided by financing activities was \$77.8 million compared to net cash used in financing activities of \$1.7 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2016. Net cash provided by financing activities during the nine months ended September 30, 2017 was primarily attributable to the net proceeds of \$847.8 million from term loans, offset in part by repayment of term loans of \$770.8 million.

## Financial Condition as of September 30, 2017 compared to December 31, 2016

Accounts receivable, net. Accounts receivable, net, increased \$85.0 million, from \$305.7 million as of December 31, 2016 to \$390.7 million as of September 30, 2017. The increase was due to growth in gross sales of our medicines.

Inventories, net. Inventories, net, decreased \$88.3 million, from \$174.8 million as of December 31, 2016 to \$86.5 million as of September 30, 2017. The decrease was primarily due to \$95.7 million of inventory step-up expense recorded during the nine months ended September 30, 2017 (\$54.9 million related to KRYSTEXXA and \$40.8 million related to PROCYSBI and QUINSAIR). Additionally, during the nine months ended September 30, 2017, we recorded \$3.2 million of inventory step-up expense to gain on divestiture following the sale of inventory to Chiesi in connection with the Chiesi divestiture.

Developed technology, net. Developed technology, net, decreased \$254.8 million, from \$2,767.2 million as of December 31, 2016 to \$2,512.4 million as of September 30, 2017. The decrease was due to the amortization of developed technology of \$207.5 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2017 and developed technology with a net book value of \$47.3 million disposed of in the Chiesi divestiture.

Goodwill. Goodwill decreased \$19.2 million from \$445.6 million as of December 31, 2016 to \$426.4 million as of September 30, 2017. The decrease was due to \$16.3 million written off in connection with the Chiesi divestiture and \$2.9 million in measurement period adjustments related to the Raptor acquisition, which were recorded during the nine months ended September 30, 2017.

Other assets. Other assets increased \$33.9 million from \$2.4 million as of December 31, 2016 to \$36.2 million as of September 30, 2017. The increase was primarily due to a royalty reimbursement asset recorded in connection with the Chiesi divestiture during the nine months ended September 30, 2017, which represents the future estimated amount receivable from Chiesi in respect of PROCYSBI and QUINSAIR contingent royalty liabilities.

In relation to all outstanding PSUs, if our share price is lower than \$31.58, \$32.70 and \$33.86 for the twenty trading days ending December 23, 2017, March 22, 2018 and June 22, 2018, respectively, approximately \$16.4 million, \$14.9 million and \$13.4 million, respectively, of deferred tax assets related to previously recognized share-based compensation expense related to these PSUs will be charged to income tax expense.

Accounts payable. Accounts payable decreased \$19.7 million, from \$52.5 million as of December 31, 2016 to \$32.8 million as of September 30, 2017. This decrease was primarily due to timing of invoices.

Accrued expenses. Accrued expenses decreased \$20.1 million, from \$182.8 million as of December 31, 2016 to \$162.7 million as of September 30, 2017. This was primarily due to the payment of \$32.5 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2017 pursuant to our settlement agreement with Express Scripts and a decrease of \$18.7 million in payroll-related expenses, partially offset by an increase of \$20.0 million relating to a contingent consideration liability that was reclassified from other long-term liabilities and an increase of \$7.6 million in accrued interest.

Accrued trade discounts and rebates. Accrued trade discounts and rebates increased \$138.2 million, from \$297.5 million as of December 31, 2016 to \$435.7 million as of September 30, 2017. This was primarily due to a \$135.7 million increase in accrued wholesaler fees and commercial rebates and a \$15.0 million increase in accrued government rebates and chargebacks, partially offset by a \$12.5 million decrease in co-pay and other patient assistance costs.

Deferred tax liabilities, net. Deferred tax liabilities, net, decreased \$70.5 million, from \$296.6 million as of December 31, 2016 to \$226.1 million as of September 30, 2017. This was primarily due to the benefit for income taxes of \$42.1 million recorded during the nine months ended September 30, 2017 and deferred tax assets of \$21.6 million acquired in the River Vision acquisition. Additionally, we prospectively adopted ASU No. 2016-09 on January 1, 2017 and recorded a decrease of \$7.2 million in net deferred tax liabilities and a corresponding decrease in accumulated deficit during the nine months ended September 30, 2017.

Other long-term liabilities. Other long-term liabilities increased \$21.9 million, from \$46.1 million as of December 31, 2016 to \$68.0 million as of September 30, 2017. This was primarily due to \$26.6 million recorded during the nine months ended September 30, 2017 which represents the fair value of the long-term portion of the contingent liability for royalties potentially payable under previously existing agreements related to PROCYSBI and QUINSAIR on EMEA sales and \$21.6 million recorded during the nine months ended September 30, 2017 which represents a deferred credit offsetting the deferred tax assets recorded following the River Vision acquisition, partially offset by a decrease of \$20.0 million in relation to a contingent consideration liability that was reclassified to accrued expenses during the nine months ended September 30, 2017.

Long-term debt, net, net of current. Long-term debt, net, net of current increased \$77.2 million from \$1,501.7 million as of December 31, 2016 to \$1,578.9 million as of September 30, 2017. The increase was primarily related to the \$850.0 million aggregate principal amount of refinancing loans incurred in March 2017, which replaced the \$394.0 million 2015 term loan facility and the \$375.0 million 2016 incremental loan facility, resulting in an increase of \$81.0 million of principal amount of our outstanding debt. This increase was offset in part by certain charges related to the refinancing loans and amortization of debt discount and deferred financing fees and a repayment of \$2.1 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2017.

## Contractual Obligations

During the three months ended September 30, 2017, there were no material changes outside of the ordinary course of business to our contractual obligations as previously disclosed in Part II, Item 7 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016, except as disclosed below.

On October 23, 2017, the Borrowers borrowed approximately \$845.8 million aggregate principal amount of loans pursuant to the October 2017 Refinancing Amendment. The October 2017 Refinancing Loans were incurred as a separate new class of term loans under the Credit Agreement with substantially the same terms as the October 2017 Refinanced Loans to effectuate a repricing of the October 2017 Refinanced Loans. The Borrowers used the proceeds of the October 2017 Refinancing Loans to repay the October 2017 Refinanced Loans, which totaled approximately \$845.8 million. The October 2017 Refinancing Loans bear interest, at the Borrowers' option, at a rate equal to either LIBOR plus an applicable margin of 3.25% per year (subject to a LIBOR floor of 1.0%), or the adjusted base rate plus 2.25%. The adjusted base rate is defined as the greater of (a) LIBOR (using one-month interest period) plus 1%, (b) prime rate, (c) fed funds plus 0.5%, and (d) 2%. The Credit Agreement provides for (i) the October 2017 Refinancing Loans, (ii) one or more uncommitted additional incremental loan facilities subject to the satisfaction of certain financial and other conditions, and (iii) one or more uncommitted refinancing loan facilities with respect to loans thereunder. The Credit Agreement allows for us and certain of our subsidiaries to become borrowers under incremental or refinancing facilities.

The obligations under the Credit Agreement (including obligations in respect of the October 2017 Refinancing Loans) and any swap obligations and cash management obligations owing to a lender (or an affiliate of a lender) thereunder are guaranteed by us and each of our existing and subsequently acquired or formed direct and indirect subsidiaries (other than certain immaterial subsidiaries, subsidiaries whose guarantee would result in material adverse tax consequences and subsidiaries whose guarantee is prohibited by applicable law). The obligations under the Credit Agreement (including obligations in respect of the October 2017 Refinancing Loans) and any such swap and cash management obligations are secured, subject to customary permitted liens and other agreed upon exceptions, by a perfected security interest in (i) all tangible and intangible assets of the Borrowers and the guarantors, except for certain customary excluded assets, and (ii) all of the capital stock owned by the Borrowers and guarantors thereunder (limited, in the case of the stock of certain non-U.S. subsidiaries of the Borrowers, to 65% of the capital stock of such subsidiaries).

Borrowers under the Credit Agreement are permitted to make voluntary prepayments of the loans under the Credit Agreement at any time without payment of a premium, except that with respect to the October 2017 Refinancing Loans, a 1% premium will apply to a repayment of the October 2017 Refinancing Loans in connection with a repricing of, or any amendment to the Credit Agreement in a repricing of, such loans effected on or prior to the date that is six months following October 23, 2017. The Borrowers are required to make mandatory prepayments of loans under the Credit Agreement (without payment of a premium) with (a) net cash proceeds from certain non-ordinary course asset sales (subject to reinvestment rights and other exceptions), (b) casualty proceeds and condemnation awards (subject to reinvestment rights and other exceptions), (c) net cash proceeds from issuances of debt (other than certain permitted debt), and (d) 50% of our excess cash flow (subject to decrease to 25% or 0% if our first lien leverage ratio is less than 2.25:1 or 1.75:1, respectively). The October 2017 Refinancing Loans will amortize in equal quarterly installments beginning on December 31, 2017 in an aggregate annual amount equal to 1% of the original principal amount of the October 2017 Refinanced Loans (i.e. \$850.0 million), with any remaining balance payable on March 29, 2024, the final maturity date of the October 2017 Refinancing Loans.

## CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP principles requires the use of estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses. Certain of these policies are considered critical as these most significantly impact a company's financial

condition and results of operations and require the most difficult, subjective or complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. Actual results may vary from these estimates. A summary of our significant accounting policies is included in Note 2 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016. There have been no significant changes in our application of our critical accounting policies during the nine months ended September 30, 2017.

#### OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

Since our inception, we have not engaged in any off-balance sheet arrangements, including the use of structured finance, special purpose entities or variable interest entities, other than the indemnification agreements discussed in Note 16, "Commitments and Contingencies" in the notes to our condensed consolidated financial statements included in this report.

#### ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We are exposed to various market risks, which include potential losses arising from adverse changes in market rates and prices, such as interest rates and foreign exchange fluctuations. We do not enter into derivatives or other financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

**Interest Rate Risk.** We are subject to interest rate fluctuation exposure through our borrowings under the Credit Agreement and our investment in money market accounts which bear a variable interest rate. Loans under the Credit Agreement bear interest, at our option, at a rate equal to either the LIBOR rate, plus an applicable margin of 3.25% per annum (subject to a 1.00% LIBOR floor), or the adjusted base rate plus 2.25%. The adjusted base rate is defined as the greater of (a) LIBOR (using one-month interest period) plus 1%, (b) prime rate, (c) fed funds plus 0.5% and (d) 2%. Our approximately \$845.8 million of October 2017 Refinancing Loans are based on LIBOR. The current LIBOR rate is 1.25%, and as a result, the interest rate on our borrowings are currently 4.50% per annum.

An increase in the LIBOR of 100 basis points above the current LIBOR rate would increase our interest expense related to the Credit Agreement by \$8.5 million per year.

The goals of our investment policy are associated with the preservation of capital, fulfillment of liquidity needs and fiduciary control of cash. To achieve our goal of maximizing income without assuming significant market risk, we maintain our excess cash and cash equivalents in money market funds. Because of the short-term maturities of our cash equivalents, we do not believe that a decrease in interest rates would have any material negative impact on the fair value of our cash equivalents.

**Foreign Currency Risk.** Our purchase cost of ACTIMMUNE under our contract with Boehringer Ingelheim Biopharmaceuticals GmbH and our sales contracts relating to LODOTRA are principally denominated in Euros and are subject to foreign currency risk. We also incur certain operating expenses in currencies other than the U.S. dollar in relation to our Irish operations and foreign subsidiaries, including Horizon Pharma Switzerland GmbH; therefore, we are subject to volatility in cash flows due to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates, particularly changes in the Euro.

**Inflation Risk.** We do not believe that inflation has had a material impact on our business or results of operations during the periods for which the condensed consolidated financial statements are presented in this report.

**Credit Risk.** Historically, our accounts receivable balances have been highly concentrated with a select number of customers consisting primarily of large wholesale pharmaceutical distributors who, in turn, sell the medicines to pharmacies, hospitals and other customers. As of September 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, our top three customers accounted for approximately 79% and 78%, respectively, of our total outstanding accounts receivable balances.

#### ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

**Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures.** As required by paragraph (b) of Rules 13a-15 and 15d-15 promulgated under the Exchange Act, our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, conducted an evaluation as of the end of the period covered by this report of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e). Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of September 30, 2017, the end of the period covered by this report.

**Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting.** During the three months ended September 30, 2017, as part of the ongoing implementation of our new enterprise resource planning software, we implemented the order-to-cash function. As the implementation occurs, we are changing certain processes and procedures which result in material changes to our internal controls over financial reporting. While we expect the order-to-cash function and these changes to strengthen our internal controls, management will continue to evaluate and monitor our internal controls as

processes and procedures in the affected areas evolve.

During the three months ended September 30, 2017, other than continuing changes to our new enterprise resource planning software, as discussed above, there have been no material changes to our internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f), that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.



## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

### ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

For a description of our legal proceedings, see Note 17, Legal Proceedings, of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, included in Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

### ITEM 1A: RISK FACTORS

You should consider carefully the risks described below, together with all of the other information included in this report, and in our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, before deciding whether to invest in or continue to hold our ordinary shares. The risks described below are all material risks currently known, expected or reasonably foreseeable by us. If any of these risks actually occurs, our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flow could be seriously harmed. This could cause the trading price of our ordinary shares to decline, resulting in a loss of all or part of your investment.

The risk factors set forth below with an asterisk (\*) next to the title are new risk factors or risk factors containing changes, including any material changes, from the risk factors previously disclosed in Item 1A of our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016, as filed with the SEC.

#### Risks Related to Our Business and Industry

Our ability to generate revenues from our medicines is subject to attaining significant market acceptance among physicians, patients and healthcare payers.\*

Our current medicines, and other medicines or medicine candidates that we may develop or acquire, may not attain market acceptance among physicians, patients, healthcare payers or the medical community. We have a limited history of commercializing medicines and most of our medicines have not been on the market for an extensive period of time, which subjects us to numerous risks as we attempt to increase our market share. We believe that the degree of market acceptance and our ability to generate revenues from our medicines will depend on a number of factors, including:

- timing of market introduction of our medicines as well as competitive medicines;
- efficacy and safety of our medicines;
- continued projected growth of the markets in which our medicines compete;
- prevalence and severity of any side effects;
- if and when we are able to obtain regulatory approvals for additional indications for our medicines;
- acceptance by patients, primary care physicians and key specialists;
- availability of coverage and adequate reimbursement and pricing from government and other third-party payers;
- potential or perceived advantages or disadvantages of our medicines over alternative treatments, including cost of treatment and relative convenience and ease of administration;

- strength of sales, marketing and distribution support;
- the price of our medicines, both in absolute terms and relative to alternative treatments;
- impact of past and limitation of future medicine price increases;
- our ability to maintain a continuous supply of medicine for commercial sale;
- the effect of current and future healthcare laws;
- the performance of third-party distribution partners, over which we have limited control; and
- medicine labeling or medicine insert requirements of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, or FDA, or other regulatory authorities.

With respect to DUEXIS and VIMOVO, studies indicate that physicians do not commonly co-prescribe gastrointestinal, or GI, protective agents to high-risk patients taking nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, or NSAIDs. We believe this is due in part to a lack of awareness among physicians prescribing NSAIDs regarding the risk of NSAID-induced upper GI ulcers, in addition to the inconvenience of prescribing two separate medications and patient compliance issues associated with multiple prescriptions. If physicians remain unaware of, or do not otherwise believe in, the benefits of combining GI protective agents with NSAIDs, our market opportunity for DUEXIS and VIMOVO will be limited. Some physicians may also be reluctant to prescribe DUEXIS or VIMOVO due to the inability to vary the dose of ibuprofen and naproxen, respectively, or if they believe treatment with NSAIDs or GI protective agents other than those contained in DUEXIS and VIMOVO, including those of its competitors, would be more effective for their patients. With respect to each of DUEXIS, PENNSAID 2% w/w, or PENNSAID 2%, RAYOS/LODOTRA, VIMOVO and BUPHENYL, their higher cost compared to the generic or branded forms of their active ingredients alone may limit adoption by physicians, patients and healthcare payers. With respect to ACTIMMUNE, while it is the only FDA-approved treatment for chronic granulomatous disease, or CGD, and severe, malignant osteopetrosis, or SMO, they are very rare conditions and, as a result, our ability to grow ACTIMMUNE sales will depend on our ability to further penetrate this limited market, obtain marketing approval for additional indications and encourage patients and physicians to continue treatment once initiated. With respect to RAVICTI, which is also approved to treat a very limited patient population, our ability to grow sales will depend in large part on our ability to transition urea cycle disorder, or UCD, patients from BUPHENYL or generic equivalents, which are comparatively much less expensive, to RAVICTI and to encourage patients and physicians to continue RAVICTI therapy once initiated. With respect to KRYSTEXXA, our ability to grow sales will be affected by the success of our sales and marketing strategies and life cycle management, including studies designed to test reduction of immunogenicity in KRYSTEXXA, our proposed label update submission to the FDA relating to additional data based on post-marketing studies and our investigator-initiated trial evaluating new approaches to the clinical use of KRYSTEXXA that is expected to begin enrolling patients by the end of 2017, which could expand the patient population and usage of KRYSTEXXA. With respect to MIGERGOT, our ability to sustain sales will depend on the management of inventory levels and the continued awareness of its benefits among physicians. With respect to PROCYSBI, which is approved to treat a very limited patient population, our ability to grow sales will depend in large part on our ability to transition patients from the first-generation immediate-release cysteamine therapy to PROCYSBI, to identify additional patients with nephropathic cystinosis and to encourage patients and physicians to continue therapy once initiated. Unless QUINSAIR is approved for marketing in additional countries, our ability to drive growth of this medicine will largely depend on expanding its use in Canada. If our current medicines or any other medicine that we may seek approval for or acquire fail to attain market acceptance, we may not be able to generate significant revenue to achieve or sustain profitability, which would have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition and prospects (including, possibly, the value of our ordinary shares).

Our future prospects are highly dependent on our ability to successfully formulate and execute commercialization strategies for each of our medicines. Failure to do so would adversely impact our financial condition and prospects.\*

A substantial majority of our resources are focused on the commercialization of our current medicines. Our ability to generate significant medicine revenues and to achieve commercial success in the near-term will initially depend almost entirely on our ability to successfully commercialize these medicines in the United States.

With respect to our orphan business unit medicines, ACTIMMUNE, BUPHENYL, PROCYSBI, QUINSAIR and RAVICTI, and with respect to our rheumatology business unit medicine, KRYSTEXXA, our commercialization strategy includes efforts to increase awareness of the rare conditions that each medicine is designed to treat, enhancing efforts to identify target patients and in certain cases pursue opportunities for label expansion and more effective use through clinical trials. In addition, our strategy with respect to ACTIMMUNE includes pursuing label expansion for additional indications, such as for advanced urothelial carcinoma and renal cell carcinoma, and price increases but we cannot be certain that our pricing strategy will not result in downward pressure on sales or that we or others will be able to successfully complete clinical trials and obtain regulatory approvals in additional indications. With respect to PROCYSBI and RAVICTI, our strategy includes accelerating the transition of patients from first-generation therapies,

and increasing the diagnosis of the associated rare conditions through patient and physician outreach. Our strategy with respect to KRYSTEXXA includes the continued enhancement of the marketing campaign with improved immunogenicity data, continued volume growth and pricing optimization.

With respect to our primary care medicines DUEXIS, PENNSAID 2% and VIMOVO, our strategy has more recently included entering into rebate agreements with pharmacy benefit managers, or PBMs, for certain of our primary care medicines where we believe the rebates and costs justify expanded formulary access for patients. However, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to secure additional rebate agreements on commercially reasonable terms or that expected volume growth will sufficiently offset the rebates and fees paid to PBMs or that our existing agreements with PBMs will have the intended impact on formulary access. Net pricing for DUEXIS, VIMOVO and PENNSAID 2% was significantly below expectations during the nine months ended September 30, 2017 as a result of higher patient assistance costs, which were due to lower-than-anticipated adoption rates of our primary care medicines onto certain healthcare plan formularies, and higher commercial rebate levels compared to our expectations. In addition, the mix of PBM healthcare plans that adopted our primary care medicines onto their formulary was more heavily weighted towards those plans for which we pay a higher commercial rebate, which resulted in higher commercial rebate costs to us than we anticipated. If we are unable to realize the expected benefits of our contractual arrangements with the PBMs we may continue to experience reductions in net sales from our primary care business unit. Also, we experienced a higher rate of managed care control in our non-contracted business during the nine months ended September 30, 2017, which resulted in significantly lower net pricing. For each of our primary care medicines, we expect that our commercial success will depend on our sales and marketing efforts in the United States.

Our strategy for RAYOS in the United States is to focus on the rheumatology indications approved for RAYOS, including our collaboration with the Alliance for Lupus Research, or ALR, to study the effect of RAYOS on the fatigue experienced by systemic lupus erythematosus, or SLE, patients.

Our overall commercialization strategy also includes plans to expand sales in Europe and other countries outside the United States directly or through distributors for certain of our orphan and rheumatology medicines. In November 2015, we received approval of the Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use of the European Medicines Agency, or EMA, for RAVICTI for use as an adjunctive therapy for chronic management of adult and pediatric UCD patients greater than two months of age. This authorizes us to market RAVICTI in all 28 Member States of the European Union, or EU, and will form the basis for recognition by the Member States of the European Economic Area, or EEA, namely Norway, Iceland and Liechtenstein, for the medicine to be placed on the market. While we expect RAVICTI to be available in Europe in the fourth quarter of 2017 through an exclusive distribution agreement with Swedish Orphan Biovitrum AB, or SOBI, we cannot guarantee we will be able to successfully implement our commercial plans for RAVICTI in Europe. Although LODOTRA is approved for marketing in countries outside the United States, to date it has only been marketed in a limited number of countries.

If any of our commercial strategies are unsuccessful or we fail to successfully modify our strategies over time due to changing market conditions, our ability to increase market share for our medicines, grow revenues and to achieve and sustain profitability will be harmed.

In order to increase adoption and sales of our medicines, we will need to continue developing our commercial organization as well as recruit and retain qualified sales representatives.\*

Part of our strategy is to continue to build a biopharmaceutical company to successfully execute the commercialization of our medicines in the U.S. market, and in selected markets outside the United States where we have commercial rights. We may not be able to successfully commercialize our medicines in the United States or in any other territories where we have commercial rights. In order to commercialize any approved medicines, we must continue to build our sales, marketing, distribution, managerial and other non-technical capabilities. During the second quarter of 2017, we effected a workforce reduction in the primary care business unit. As of September 30, 2017, we had approximately 420 sales representatives in the field, consisting of approximately 25 orphan disease sales representatives, 135 rheumatology sales specialists and 260 primary care sales representatives. We cannot be certain that we will be able to adequately market our primary care medicines following the reduction in our sales force or that we will be able to continue retaining the current members of our primary care sales force. We currently have limited resources compared to some of our competitors, and the continued development of our own commercial organization to market our medicines and any additional medicines we may acquire will be expensive and time-consuming. We also cannot be certain that we will be able to continue to successfully develop this capability.

As a result of the evolving role of various constituents in the prescription decision making process, we focus on hiring sales representatives for our primary care and rheumatology business units with successful business to business experience. For example, we have faced challenges due to pharmacists increasingly switching a patient's intended prescription from DUEXIS and VIMOVO to a generic or over-the-counter brand of their active ingredients. We have faced similar challenges for RAYOS, BUPHENYL and PENNSAID 2% with respect to generic brands. While we believe the profile of our representatives is better suited for this evolving environment, we cannot be certain that our representatives will be able to successfully protect our market for DUEXIS, PENNSAID 2%, RAYOS, BUPHENYL and VIMOVO or that we will be able to continue attracting and retaining sales representatives with our desired profile and skills. We will also have to compete with other pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies to recruit, hire, train and retain commercial personnel. To the extent we rely on additional third parties to commercialize any approved medicines, we may receive less revenue than if we commercialized these medicines ourselves. In addition, we may have little or no control over the sales efforts of any third parties involved in our commercialization efforts. In the event we are unable to successfully develop and maintain our own commercial organization or collaborate with a third-party sales and marketing organization, we may not be able to commercialize our medicines and medicine

candidates and execute on our business plan.

If we are unable to effectively train and equip our sales force, our ability to successfully commercialize our medicines will be harmed.

As we continue to acquire additional medicines through acquisition transactions, the members of our sales force may have limited experience promoting certain of our medicines. To the extent we employ an acquired entity's original sales forces to promote acquired medicines, we may not be successful in continuing to retain these employees and we otherwise will have limited experience marketing these medicines under our commercial organization. As a result, we are required to expend significant time and resources to train our sales force to be credible and persuasive in convincing physicians to prescribe and pharmacists to dispense our medicines. In addition, we must train our sales force to ensure that a consistent and appropriate message about our medicines is being delivered to our potential customers. Our sales representatives may also experience challenges promoting multiple medicines when we call on physicians and their office staff. We have experienced, and may continue to experience, turnover of the sales representatives that we hired or will hire, requiring us to train new sales representatives. If we are unable to effectively train our sales force and equip them with effective materials, including medical and sales literature to help them inform and educate physicians about the benefits of our medicines and their proper administration and label indication, as well as our patient access programs, our efforts to successfully commercialize our medicines could be put in jeopardy, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, share price and operations.

If we cannot successfully implement our patient access programs or increase formulary access and reimbursement for our medicines in the face of increasing pressure to reduce the price of medications, the adoption of our medicines by physicians, patients and payers may decline.\*

There continues to be immense pressure from healthcare payers and PBMs to use less expensive generics or over-the-counter brands instead of branded medicines. For example, some of the largest PBMs previously placed DUEXIS and VIMOVO on their formulary exclusion lists. Additional healthcare plans, including those that contract with these PBMs but use different formularies, may also choose to exclude our medicines from their formularies or restrict coverage to situations where a generic or over-the-counter medicine has been tried first. Many payers and PBMs also require patients to make co-payments for branded medicines, including many of our medicines, in order to incentivize the use of generic or other lower-priced alternatives instead. Legislation enacted in most states in the United States allows, or in some instances mandates, that a pharmacist dispenses an available generic equivalent when filling a prescription for a branded medicine, in the absence of specific instructions from the prescribing physician. Because our medicines (other than BUPHENYL) do not currently have FDA-approved generic equivalents in the United States, we do not believe our medicines should be subject to mandatory generic substitution laws. However, we understand that some pharmacies may attempt to obtain physician authorization to switch prescriptions for DUEXIS or VIMOVO to prescriptions for multiple generic medicines with similar active pharmaceutical ingredients, or APIs, to ensure payment for the medicine if the physician's prescription for the branded medicine is not immediately covered by the payer, despite such substitution being off-label in the case of DUEXIS and VIMOVO. If these limitations in coverage and other incentives result in patients refusing to fill prescriptions or being dissatisfied with the out-of-pocket costs of their medications, or if pharmacies otherwise seek and receive physician authorization to switch prescriptions, not only would we lose sales on prescriptions that are ultimately not filled, but physicians may be dissuaded from writing prescriptions for our medicines in the first place in order to avoid potential patient non-compliance or dissatisfaction over medication costs, or to avoid spending the time and effort of responding to pharmacy requests to switch prescriptions.

Part of our commercial strategy to increase adoption and access to our medicines in the face of these incentives to use generic alternatives is to offer physicians the opportunity to have patients fill prescriptions through independent pharmacies participating in our HorizonCares patient access program. Through HorizonCares, financial assistance may be available to reduce eligible patients' out-of-pocket costs for prescriptions filled. Because of this assistance, eligible patients' out-of-pocket cost for our medicines when dispensed through HorizonCares may be significantly lower than such costs when our medicines are dispensed outside of the HorizonCares program. However, to the extent physicians do not direct prescriptions currently filled through traditional pharmacies, including those associated with or controlled by PBMs, to pharmacies participating in our HorizonCares program, we may experience a significant decline in DUEXIS, VIMOVO and PENNSAID 2% prescriptions as a result of formulary exclusions, co-payment requirements or other incentives to use lower-priced alternatives to our medicines. Our ability to increase utilization of our patient access programs will depend on physician and patient awareness and comfort with the programs, and we have limited ability to influence whether physicians use our patient access programs to prescribe our medicines or whether patients will agree to receive our medicines through our HorizonCares program. In addition, the HorizonCares program is not available to federal health care program (such as Medicare and Medicaid) beneficiaries. We have also contracted with certain PBMs and other payers to secure formulary status and reimbursement for certain of our primary care medicines, which generally require us to pay administrative fees and rebates to the PBMs and other payers for qualifying prescriptions. While we have business relationships with two of the largest PBMs, Express Scripts and CVS Caremark, that have resulted in DUEXIS and VIMOVO being removed from the Express Scripts and CVS Caremark 2017 exclusion lists, as well as a rebate agreement with another PBM, Prime Therapeutics LLC, and we believe these agreements will secure formulary status for certain of our medicines, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to agree to terms with other PBMs and other payers, or that such terms will be commercially reasonable to us. Despite our agreements with PBMs, the extent of formulary status and reimbursement will ultimately depend to a large extent upon individual healthcare plan formulary decisions. If healthcare plans that contract with PBMs with which we have agreements do not adopt formulary changes recommended by the PBMs with respect to our medicines, we may not realize the expected access and reimbursement benefits from these agreements. In addition, we generally

pay higher rebates for prescriptions covered under plans that adopt a PBM-chosen formulary than for plans that adopt custom formularies. Consequently, the success of our PBM contracting strategy will depend not only on our ability to expand formulary adoption among healthcare plans, but also upon the relative mix of healthcare plans that have PBM-chosen formularies versus custom formularies. During the nine months ended September 30, 2017, the adoption rates of our primary care medicines onto certain healthcare plan formularies were lower than we had anticipated and as a result, we incurred higher patient assistance costs than we expected, and the mix of healthcare plans adopting our primary care medicines onto their formularies was more heavily weighted towards plans that use PBM-chosen formularies, which resulted in higher rebate costs than we expected. If we are unable to realize the expected benefits of our contractual arrangements with the PBMs we may continue to experience reductions in net sales from our primary care business unit. If we are unable to increase adoption of HorizonCares for filling prescriptions of our medicines or to secure formulary status and reimbursement through arrangements with PBMs and other payers, particularly with healthcare plans that use custom formularies, our ability to achieve net sales growth for our primary care business unit would be impaired.



There has been negative publicity and inquiries from Congress and enforcement authorities regarding the use of specialty pharmacies and drug pricing. Our patient access programs are not involved in the prescribing of medicines and are solely to assist in ensuring that when a physician determines one of our medicines offers a potential clinical benefit to their patients and they prescribe one for an eligible patient, financial assistance may be available to reduce the patient's out-of-pocket costs. In addition, all pharmacies that fill prescriptions for our medicines are fully independent, including those that participate in HorizonCares. We do not own or possess any option to purchase an ownership stake in any pharmacy that distributes our medicines, and our relationship with each pharmacy is non-exclusive and arm's length. All of our sales are processed through pharmacies independent of us. Despite this, the negative publicity and interest from Congress and enforcement authorities regarding specialty pharmacies may result in physicians being less willing to participate in our patient access programs and thereby limit our ability to increase patient access and adoption of our medicines.

We may also encounter difficulty in forming and maintaining relationships with pharmacies that participate in our patient access programs. We currently depend on a limited number of pharmacies participating in HorizonCares to fulfill patient prescriptions under the HorizonCares program. If these HorizonCares participating pharmacies are unable to process and fulfill the volume of patient prescriptions directed to them under the HorizonCares program, our ability to maintain or increase prescriptions for our medicines will be impaired. The commercialization of our medicines and our operating results could be affected should any of the HorizonCares participating pharmacies choose not to continue participation in our HorizonCares program or by any adverse events at any of those HorizonCares participating pharmacies. For example, pharmacies that dispense our medicines could lose contracts that they currently maintain with managed care organizations, or MCOs, including PBMs. Pharmacies often enter into agreements with MCOs. They may be required to abide by certain terms and conditions to maintain access to MCO networks, including terms and conditions that could limit their ability to participate in patient access programs like ours. Failure to comply with the terms of their agreements with MCOs could result in a variety of penalties, including termination of their agreement, which could negatively impact the ability of those pharmacies to dispense our medicines and collect reimbursement from MCOs for such medicines.

The HorizonCares program may implicate certain state laws related to, among other things, unlawful schemes to defraud, excessive fees for services, tortious interference with patient contracts and statutory or common law fraud. We have a comprehensive compliance program in place to address adherence with various laws and regulations relating to the selling, marketing and manufacturing of our medicines, as well as certain third-party relationships, including pharmacies. Specifically with respect to pharmacies, the compliance program utilizes a variety of methods and tools to monitor and audit pharmacies, including those that participate in the HorizonCares program, to confirm their activities, adjudication and practices are consistent with our compliance policies and guidance. Despite our compliance efforts, to the extent the HorizonCares program is found to be inconsistent with applicable laws or the pharmacies that participate in our patient access programs do not comply with applicable laws, we may be required to restructure or discontinue such programs, terminate our relationship with certain pharmacies, or be subject to other significant penalties. In November 2015, we received a subpoena from the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York requesting documents and information related to our patient access programs and other aspects of our marketing and commercialization activities. We are unable to predict how long this investigation will continue or its outcome, but we have incurred and anticipate that we may continue to incur significant costs in connection with the investigation, regardless of the outcome. We may also become subject to similar investigations by other governmental agencies or Congress. The investigation by the U.S. Attorney's Office and any additional investigations of our patient access programs and sales and marketing activities may result in damages, fines, penalties, exclusion, additional reporting requirements and/or oversight or other administrative sanctions against us.

If the cost of maintaining our patient access programs increases relative to our sales revenues, we could be forced to reduce the amount of patient financial assistance that we offer or otherwise scale back or eliminate such programs, which could in turn have a negative impact on physicians' willingness to prescribe and patients' willingness to fill prescriptions of our medicines. While we believe that our arrangements with PBMs will result in broader inclusion of certain of our primary care medicines on healthcare plan formularies, and therefore increase payer reimbursement and

lower our cost of providing patient access programs, these arrangements generally require us to pay administrative and rebate payments to the PBMs and/or other payers and their effectiveness will ultimately depend to a large extent upon individual healthcare plan formulary decisions that are beyond the control of the PBMs. If our arrangements with PBMs and other payers do not result in increased prescriptions and reductions in our costs to provide our patient access programs that are sufficient to offset the administrative fees and rebate payments to the PBMs and/or other payers, our financial results may continue to be harmed.

If we are unable to successfully implement our commercial plans and facilitate adoption by patients and physicians of any approved medicines through our sales, marketing and commercialization efforts, then we will not be able to generate sustainable revenues from medicine sales which will have a material adverse effect on our business and prospects.

We are solely dependent on third parties to commercialize certain of our medicines outside the United States. Failure of these third parties or any other third parties to successfully commercialize our medicines and medicine candidates in the applicable jurisdictions could have a material adverse effect on our business.\*

Mundipharma International Corporation Limited, or Mundipharma, is our exclusive distributor for LODOTRA in Europe, Asia and Latin America. Innomar Strategies Inc., or Innomar, is our exclusive distributor for PROCYSBI and QUINSAIR in Canada. We rely on other third-party distributors for commercialization of BUPHENYL (known as AMMONAPS in certain European countries) in certain territories outside the United States for which we currently have rights. We expect RAVICTI to be available in Europe in the fourth quarter of 2017 through an exclusive distribution agreement with SOBI. We have limited contractual rights to force these third parties to invest significantly in commercialization of these medicines in our markets. In the event that Mundipharma, Innomar, our current ex-U.S. distributors for BUPHENYL, SOBI or any other third-party with any future commercialization rights to any of our medicines or medicine candidates fail to adequately commercialize those medicines or medicine candidates because they lack adequate financial or other resources, decide to focus on other initiatives or otherwise, our ability to successfully commercialize our medicines or medicine candidates in the applicable jurisdictions would be limited, which would adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects. We have had disagreements with Mundipharma under our European agreements and may continue to have disagreements, which could harm commercialization of LODOTRA in Europe or result in the termination of our agreements with Mundipharma. In addition, our agreements with Mundipharma, Innomar, our current ex-U.S. distributors for BUPHENYL and SOBI may be terminated by either party in the event of a bankruptcy of the other party or upon an uncured material breach by the other party. If these third parties terminated their agreements, we may not be able to secure an alternative distributor in the applicable territory on a timely basis or at all, in which case our ability to generate revenues from the sale of LODOTRA, PROCYSBI, BUPHENYL, QUINSAIR or RAVICTI outside the United States would be materially harmed.

Our medicines are subject to extensive regulation, and we may not obtain additional regulatory approvals for our medicines.\*

The clinical development, manufacturing, labeling, packaging, storage, recordkeeping, advertising, promotion, export, marketing and distribution and other possible activities relating to our medicines and our medicine candidates are, and will be, subject to extensive regulation by the FDA and other regulatory agencies. Failure to comply with FDA and other applicable regulatory requirements may, either before or after medicine approval, subject us to administrative or judicially imposed sanctions.

To market any drugs or biologics outside of the United States, we and current or future collaborators must comply with numerous and varying regulatory and compliance related requirements of other countries. Approval procedures vary among countries and can involve additional medicine testing and additional administrative review periods, including obtaining reimbursement and pricing approval in select markets. The time required to obtain approval in other countries might differ from that required to obtain FDA approval. The regulatory approval process in other countries may include all of the risks associated with FDA approval as well as additional, presently unanticipated, risks. Regulatory approval in one country does not ensure regulatory approval in another, but a failure or delay in obtaining regulatory approval in one country may negatively impact the regulatory process in others.

Applications for regulatory approval, including a marketing authorization application, or MAA, for marketing new drugs in Europe, must be supported by extensive clinical and preclinical data, as well as extensive information regarding chemistry, manufacturing and controls, or CMC, to demonstrate the safety and effectiveness of the applicable medicine candidate. The number and types of preclinical studies and clinical trials that will be required for regulatory approval varies depending on the medicine candidate, the disease or the condition that the medicine candidate is designed to target and the regulations applicable to any particular medicine candidate. Despite the time and expense associated with preclinical and clinical studies, failure can occur at any stage, and we could encounter problems that cause us to repeat or perform additional preclinical studies, CMC studies or clinical trials. Regulatory

authorities could delay, limit or deny approval of a medicine candidate for many reasons, including because they:

- may not deem a medicine candidate to be adequately safe and effective;
  - may not find the data from preclinical studies, CMC studies and clinical trials to be sufficient to support a claim of safety and efficacy;
- may interpret data from preclinical studies, CMC studies and clinical trials significantly differently than we do;
- may not approve the manufacturing processes or facilities associated with our medicine candidates;
- may conclude that we have not sufficiently demonstrated long-term stability of the formulation for which we are seeking marketing approval;

70

---

- may change approval policies (including with respect to our medicine candidates' class of drugs) or adopt new regulations; or

- may not accept a submission due to, among other reasons, the content or formatting of the submission.

Even if we believe that data collected from our preclinical studies, CMC studies and clinical trials of our medicine candidates are promising and that our information and procedures regarding CMC are sufficient, our data may not be sufficient to support marketing approval by regulatory authorities, or regulatory interpretation of these data and procedures may be unfavorable. Even if approved, medicine candidates may not be approved for all indications requested and such approval may be subject to limitations on the indicated uses for which the medicine may be marketed, restricted distribution methods or other limitations. Our business and reputation may be harmed by any failure or significant delay in obtaining regulatory approval for the sale of any of our medicine candidates. We cannot predict when or whether regulatory approval will be obtained for any medicine candidate we develop.

If we are unable to obtain any further approvals for RAVICTI outside the United States, Canada and Europe, or determine that commercializing RAVICTI outside the United States, Canada and Europe is not economically viable, the market potential of RAVICTI may be limited.

On June 19, 2017, we received a notice of compliance, from Health Canada, or HC, for PROCYSBI for the treatment of nephropathic cystinosis in adults and children two years of age and older. PROCYSBI is the only cystine-depleting agent approved in Canada for the treatment of nephropathic cystinosis.

If we are unable to obtain any further approvals for PROCYSBI in the United States, Canada, Latin America and Asia-Pacific region, or determine that commercializing PROCYSBI outside the United States, Canada, Latin America and Asia-Pacific region is not economically viable, the market potential of PROCYSBI may be limited.

With respect to QUINSAIR, the FDA has indicated in previous written and verbal communications with Raptor Pharmaceutical Corp., or Raptor, and with the drug's previous sponsor that it believes the data submitted in connection with EMA's subsequent approval of QUINSAIR for the management of chronic pulmonary infections due to *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in adults with cystic fibrosis does not provide substantial evidence of efficacy and safety to support FDA approval of QUINSAIR for treatment of patients with cystic fibrosis. On October 27, 2016, the FDA expressed its recommendation that an additional clinical trial should be conducted, and noted that if Raptor submits a new drug application, or NDA, without conducting an additional clinical trial, the FDA will review the submission to determine whether it is acceptable for filing. Based upon the FDA's feedback, we have made the decision not to pursue an NDA for U.S. approval of QUINSAIR as a treatment of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in adults with cystic fibrosis at this time.

Prior to our acquisition of Raptor, Raptor planned to pursue the development of QUINSAIR for use in the indication of bronchiectasis, or BE, not associated with cystic fibrosis. Raptor submitted a protocol to FDA on August 18, 2016 for a Phase 2, placebo-controlled study of QUINSAIR in adults with BE. Feedback from FDA was received on October 17, 2016 requesting additional information and changes to the proposed study protocol. Raptor was also exploring further clinical development of QUINSAIR for the treatment of pulmonary nontuberculous mycobacteria, or NTM infection, based on third-party data generated pertaining to the susceptibility of certain pathogens to treatment with levofloxacin and other fluoroquinolone molecules. No clinical data has been generated with QUINSAIR in patients with BE or with NTM infections, either by Raptor, subsequently by Horizon or by other parties. This creates uncertainty regarding the potential efficacy of QUINSAIR in these indications.

We will evaluate all development opportunities, including all obligations to use commercial reasonable efforts to further develop QUINSAIR. However, we may determine not to pursue such further development.

The ultimate approval and commercial marketing of any of our medicines in additional indications or geographies is subject to substantial uncertainty. Failure to gain additional regulatory approvals would limit the potential revenues and value of our medicines and could cause our share price to decline.

The amount of our medicine sales in the EEA is dependent in part upon the pricing and reimbursement decisions adopted in each of the EEA countries, which may not be at acceptable levels to us.\*

One or more EEA countries may not support pricing within our target pricing and reimbursement range for our medicines due to budgetary decisions made by regional, national and local health authorities and third-party payers in the EEA, which would negatively affect our revenues. The pricing and reimbursement process in EEA countries can be lengthy, involved and difficult to predict. Failure to timely complete the pricing and reimbursement process in the EEA countries will delay our ability to market our medicines in the EEA and to derive revenues from those countries.

We may be subject to penalties and litigation and large incremental expenses if we fail to comply with regulatory requirements or experience problems with our medicines.

Even after we achieve regulatory approvals, we are subject to ongoing obligations and continued regulatory review with respect to many operational aspects including our manufacturing processes, labeling, packaging, distribution, storage, adverse event monitoring and reporting, dispensation, advertising, promotion and recordkeeping. These requirements include submissions of safety and other post-marketing information and reports, ongoing maintenance of medicine registration and continued compliance with current good manufacturing practices, or cGMPs, good clinical practices, or GCPs, good pharmacovigilance practice, good distribution practices and good laboratory practices, or GLPs. If we, our medicines or medicine candidates, or the third-party manufacturing facilities for our medicines or medicine candidates fail to comply with applicable regulatory requirements, a regulatory agency may:

- impose injunctions or restrictions on the marketing, manufacturing or distribution of a medicine, suspend or withdraw medicine approvals, revoke necessary licenses or suspend medicine reimbursement;
- issue warning letters, show cause notices or untitled letters describing alleged violations, which may be publicly available;
- suspend any ongoing clinical trials or delay or prevent the initiation of clinical trials;
- delay or refuse to approve pending applications or supplements to approved applications we have filed;
- refuse to permit drugs or precursor or intermediary chemicals to be imported or exported to or from the United States;
- suspend or impose restrictions or additional requirements on operations, including costly new manufacturing quality or pharmacovigilance requirements;
- seize or detain medicines or require us to initiate a medicine recall; and/or
- commence criminal investigations and prosecutions.

Moreover, existing regulatory approvals and any future regulatory approvals that we obtain will be subject to limitations on the approved indicated uses and patient populations for which our medicines may be marketed, the conditions of approval, requirements for potentially costly, post-market testing and requirements for surveillance to monitor the safety and efficacy of the medicines. In the EEA, the advertising and promotion of pharmaceuticals is strictly regulated. The direct-to-consumer promotion of prescription pharmaceuticals is not permitted, and some countries in the EEA require the notification and/or prior authorization of promotional or advertising materials directed at healthcare professionals. The FDA, EMA and other authorities in the EEA countries strictly regulate the promotional claims that may be made about prescription medicines, and our medicine labeling, advertising and promotion are subject to continuing regulatory review. Physicians nevertheless may prescribe our medicines to their patients in a manner that is inconsistent with the approved label or that is off-label. Positive clinical trial results in any of our medicine development programs increase the risk that approved pharmaceutical forms of the same APIs may be used off-label in those indications. Our investigational medicine candidate RP103 is comprised of the same API as PROCYSBI. If we are found to have improperly promoted off-label uses of approved medicines, we may be subject to significant sanctions, civil and criminal fines and injunctions prohibiting us from engaging in specified promotional conduct.

In addition, engaging in improper promotion of our medicines for off-label uses in the United States can subject us to false claims litigation under federal and state statutes. These false claims statutes in the United States include the federal False Claims Act, which allows any individual to bring a lawsuit against a pharmaceutical company on behalf of the federal government alleging submission of false or fraudulent claims or causing to present such false or fraudulent claims for payment by a federal program such as Medicare or Medicaid. Growth in false claims litigation has increased the risk that a pharmaceutical company will have to defend a false claim action, pay civil money penalties, settlement fines or restitution, agree to comply with burdensome reporting and compliance obligations and be excluded from Medicare, Medicaid and other federal and state healthcare programs.

The regulations, policies or guidance of regulatory agencies may change and new or additional statutes or government regulations may be enacted that could prevent or delay regulatory approval of our medicine candidates or further

restrict or regulate post-approval activities. For example, the Food and Drug Administration Safety and Innovation Act requires the FDA to issue new guidance describing its policy regarding internet and social media promotion of regulated medical products, and the FDA may soon specify new restrictions on this type of promotion. In January 2014, the FDA released draft guidance on how drug companies can fulfill their regulatory requirements for post-marketing submission of interactive promotional media, and though the guidance provided insight into how the FDA views a company's responsibility for certain types of social media promotion, there remains a substantial amount of uncertainty. We cannot predict the likelihood, nature or extent of adverse government regulation that may arise from pending or future legislation or administrative action, either in the United States or abroad. If we are unable to achieve and maintain regulatory compliance, we will not be permitted to market our drugs, which would materially adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.



Our limited history of commercial operations makes evaluating our business and future prospects difficult and may increase the risk of any investment in our ordinary shares.

We face considerable risks and difficulties as a company with limited commercial operating history, particularly as a global consolidated entity with operating subsidiaries that also have limited operating histories. If we do not successfully address these risks, our business, prospects, operating results and financial condition will be materially and adversely harmed. Our limited commercial operating history, including our limited history commercializing our current medicines, makes it particularly difficult for us to predict our future operating results and appropriately budget for our expenses. In the event that actual results differ from our estimates or we adjust our estimates in future periods, our operating results and financial position could be materially affected. For example, we may underestimate the resources we will require to successfully integrate recent or future medicine or company acquisitions, or to commercialize our medicines, or not realize the benefits we expect to derive from our recent or future acquisitions. In addition, we have a limited history implementing our commercialization strategy focused on patient access, and we cannot guarantee that we will be able to successfully implement this strategy or that it will represent a viable strategy over the long term.

We have rights to medicines in certain jurisdictions but have no control over third parties that have rights to commercialize those medicines in other jurisdictions, which could adversely affect our commercialization of these medicines.\*

Following our sale of the rights to PROCYSBI and QUINSAIR in the Europe, Middle East and Africa, or EMEA, regions to Chiesi Farmaceutici S.p.A, or Chiesi, in June 2017, or the Chiesi divestiture, Chiesi has marketing and distribution rights to PROCYSBI and QUINSAIR in EMEA. AstraZeneca AB, or AstraZeneca, has retained its existing rights to VIMOVO in territories outside of the United States, including the right to use the VIMOVO name and related trademark. Similarly, Nuvo Research Inc., or Nuvo, has retained its rights to PENNSAID 2% in territories outside of the United States and in March 2017, Nuvo announced that it had entered into an exclusive license agreement with Sayre Therapeutics PVT Ltd. to distribute, market and sell PENNSAID 2% in India, Sri Lanka, Bangladesh and Nepal. Nuvo also announced that it expects to complete PENNSAID 2% out-licensing agreements for other territories throughout 2017 and 2018. We have little or no control over Chiesi's activities with respect to PROCYSBI and QUINSAIR in the EMEA, over AstraZeneca's activities with respect to VIMOVO outside the United States or over Nuvo's or its existing and future commercial partners' activities with respect to PENNSAID 2% outside of the United States, even though those activities could impact our ability to successfully commercialize these medicines. For example, Chiesi or its assignees, AstraZeneca or its assignees or Nuvo or its assignees can make statements or use promotional materials with respect to PROCYSBI, QUINSAIR, VIMOVO or PENNSAID 2%, respectively, outside of the United States that are inconsistent with our positioning of the medicines in the United States, and could sell VIMOVO or PENNSAID 2%, respectively, in foreign countries, including Canada, at prices that are dramatically lower than the prices we charge in the United States. These activities and decisions, while occurring outside of the United States, could harm our commercialization strategy in the United States, in particular because AstraZeneca is continuing to market VIMOVO outside the United States under the same VIMOVO brand name that we are using in the United States. In addition, medicine recalls or safety issues with these medicines outside the United States, even if not related to the commercial medicine we sell in the United States, could result in serious damage to the brand in the United States and impair our ability to successfully market them. We also rely on Chiesi, AstraZeneca and Nuvo or their assignees to provide us with timely and accurate safety information regarding the use of these medicines outside of the United States, as we have or will have limited access to this information ourselves.

We rely on third parties to manufacture commercial supplies of all of our medicines, and we currently intend to rely on third parties to manufacture commercial supplies of any other approved medicines. The commercialization of any of our medicines could be stopped, delayed or made less profitable if those third parties fail to provide us with sufficient quantities of medicine or fail to do so at acceptable quality levels or prices or fail to maintain or achieve satisfactory regulatory compliance.\*

The facilities used by our third-party manufacturers to manufacture our medicines and medicine candidates must be approved by the applicable regulatory authorities. We do not control the manufacturing processes of third-party manufacturers and are currently completely dependent on our third-party manufacturing partners. In addition, we are required to obtain AstraZeneca's consent prior to engaging any third-party manufacturers for esomeprazole, one of the APIs in VIMOVO, other than the third-party manufacturer(s) used by AstraZeneca or its affiliates or licensees. To the extent such manufacturers are unwilling or unable to manufacture esomeprazole for us on commercially acceptable terms, we cannot guarantee that AstraZeneca would consent to our use of alternate sources of supply.

We rely on an exclusive supply agreement with Boehringer Ingelheim Biopharmaceuticals GmbH, or Boehringer Ingelheim Biopharmaceuticals, for manufacturing and supply of ACTIMMUNE. ACTIMMUNE is manufactured by starting with cells from working cell bank samples which are derived from a master cell bank. We and Boehringer Ingelheim Biopharmaceuticals separately store multiple vials of the master cell bank. In the event of catastrophic loss at our or Boehringer Ingelheim Biopharmaceuticals' storage facility, it is possible that we could lose multiple cell banks and have the manufacturing capacity of ACTIMMUNE severely impacted by the need to substitute or replace the cell banks. In addition, a key excipient used in PENNSAID 2% as a penetration enhancer is dimethyl sulfoxide, or DMSO. We and Nuvo, our exclusive supplier of PENNSAID 2%, rely on a sole proprietary form of DMSO for which we maintain a substantial safety stock. However, should this supply become inadequate, damaged, destroyed or unusable, we and Nuvo may not be able to qualify a second source. We rely on NOF Corporation, or NOF, as our exclusive supplier of the PEGylation agent that is a critical raw material in the manufacture of KRYSTEXXA. If NOF failed to supply such PEGylation agent, it may lead to KRYSTEXXA supply constraints.

If any of our third-party manufacturers cannot successfully manufacture material that conforms to our specifications and the applicable regulatory authorities' strict regulatory requirements, or pass regulatory inspection, they will not be able to secure or maintain regulatory approval for the manufacturing facilities. For example, Pharmaceutics International, Inc., or Pii, our manufacturer of BUPHENYL, was found to be non-compliant for cGMPs by the Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency, or the MHRA, which could restrict Pii from supplying BUPHENYL in the EU. However, BUPHENYL was considered to be critical to public health and as a result, the MHRA issued a certificate of cGMP compliance for Pii, which is valid until June 30, 2018. In addition, we have no control over the ability of third-party manufacturers to maintain adequate quality control, quality assurance and qualified personnel. If the FDA or any other applicable regulatory authorities do not approve these facilities for the manufacture of our medicines or if they withdraw any such approval in the future, or if our suppliers or third-party manufacturers decide they no longer want to supply our primary active ingredients or manufacture our medicines, we may need to find alternative manufacturing facilities, which would significantly impact our ability to develop, obtain regulatory approval for or market our medicines. To the extent any third-party manufacturers that we engage with respect to our medicines are different from those currently being used for commercial supply in the United States, the FDA will need to approve the facilities of those third-party manufacturers used in the manufacture of our medicines prior to our sale of any medicine using these facilities.

Although we have entered into supply agreements for the manufacture and packaging of our medicines, our manufacturers may not perform as agreed or may terminate their agreements with us. We currently rely on single source suppliers for certain of our medicines. If our manufacturers terminate their agreements with us, we may have to qualify new back-up manufacturers. We rely on safety stock to mitigate the risk of our current suppliers electing to cease producing bulk drug medicine or ceasing to do so at acceptable prices and quality. However, we can provide no assurance that such safety stocks would be sufficient to avoid supply shortfalls in the event we have to identify and qualify new contract manufacturers.

The manufacture of medicines requires significant expertise and capital investment, including the development of advanced manufacturing techniques and process controls. Manufacturers of medicines often encounter difficulties in production, particularly in scaling up and validating initial production. These problems include difficulties with production costs and yields, quality control, including stability of the medicine, quality assurance testing, shortages of qualified personnel, as well as compliance with strictly enforced federal, state and foreign regulations. Furthermore, if microbial, viral or other contaminations are discovered in the medicines or in the manufacturing facilities in which our medicines are made, such manufacturing facilities may need to be closed for an extended period of time to investigate and remedy the contamination. We cannot assure you that issues relating to the manufacture of any of our medicines will not occur in the future. Additionally, our manufacturers may experience manufacturing difficulties due to resource constraints or as a result of labor disputes or unstable political environments. If our manufacturers were to encounter any of these difficulties, or otherwise fail to comply with their contractual obligations, our ability to commercialize our medicines in the United States or provide any medicine candidates to patients in clinical trials would be jeopardized.

Any delay or interruption in our ability to meet commercial demand for our medicines will result in the loss of potential revenues and could adversely affect our ability to gain market acceptance for these medicines. In addition, any delay or interruption in the supply of clinical trial supplies could delay the completion of clinical trials, increase the costs associated with maintaining clinical trial programs and, depending upon the period of delay, require us to commence new clinical trials at additional expense or terminate clinical trials completely.

Failures or difficulties faced at any level of our supply chain could materially adversely affect our business and delay or impede the development and commercialization of any of our medicines or medicine candidates and could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition and prospects.

We have experienced recent growth and expanded the size of our organization substantially in connection with our recent acquisition transactions, and we may experience difficulties in managing this growth as well as potential additional growth in connection with future medicine, development program or company acquisitions.\*

As of December 31, 2010 and prior to the commercial launch of DUEXIS, we employed approximately 40 full-time employees as a consolidated entity. As of September 30, 2017, we employed approximately 980 full-time employees, including approximately 420 sales representatives, representing a substantial change to the size of our organization. We have also experienced, and may continue to experience, turnover of the sales representatives that we hired or will hire in connection with the commercialization of our medicines, requiring us to hire and train new sales representatives. Our management, personnel, systems and facilities currently in place may not be adequate to support this recent and anticipated growth, and we may not be able to retain or recruit qualified personnel in the future due to competition for personnel among pharmaceutical businesses.

As our commercialization plans and strategies continue to develop, we will need to continue to recruit and train sales and marketing personnel and expect to need to expand the size of our employee base for managerial, operational, financial and other resources as a result of our recent acquisitions. Our ability to manage any future growth effectively may require us to, among other things:

- continue to manage and expand the sales and marketing efforts for our existing medicines;
- enhance our operational, financial and management controls, reporting systems and procedures;
- expand our international resources;
- successfully identify, recruit, hire, train, maintain, motivate and integrate additional employees;
- establish and increase our access to commercial supplies of our medicines and medicine candidates;
- expand our facilities and equipment; and
- manage our internal development efforts effectively while complying with our contractual obligations to licensors, licensees, contractors, collaborators, distributors and other third parties.

Our recent acquisitions have resulted in many changes, including significant changes in the corporate business and legal entity structure, the integration of other companies and their personnel with us, and changes in systems. We are currently undertaking numerous complex transition activities associated with our recent acquisitions, and we may encounter unexpected difficulties or incur unexpected costs, including:

- difficulties in achieving growth prospects from combining third-party businesses with our business;
- difficulties in the integration of operations and systems;
- difficulties in the assimilation of employees and corporate cultures;
- challenges in preparing financial statements and reporting timely results at both a statutory level for multiple entities and jurisdictions and at a consolidated level for public reporting;
- challenges in keeping existing physician prescribers and patients and increasing adoption of acquired medicines;
- difficulties in achieving anticipated cost savings, synergies, business opportunities and growth prospects from the combination;
- potential unknown liabilities, adverse consequences and unforeseen increased expenses associated with the transaction; and
- challenges in attracting and retaining key personnel.

If any of these factors impair our ability to continue to integrate our operations with those of any companies or businesses we acquire, we may not be able to realize the business opportunities, growth prospects and anticipated tax synergies from combining the businesses. In addition, we may be required to spend additional time or money on integration that otherwise would be spent on the development and expansion of our business.

We may not be successful in growing our commercial operations outside the United States, and could encounter other challenges in growing our commercial presence in Europe, including due to risks associated with political and economic instability, operating under different legal requirements and tax complexities. If we are unable to manage our commercial growth outside of the United States, our opportunities to expand sales in other countries will be

limited or we may experience greater costs with respect to our ex-U.S. commercial operations.

75

---

We are also broadening our acquisition strategy to potentially include development-stage assets or programs, which entails additional risk to us. For example, if we are unable to identify programs that ultimately result in approved medicines, we may spend material amounts of our capital and other resources evaluating, acquiring and developing medicines that ultimately do not provide a return on our investment. We have less experience evaluating development-stage assets and may be at a disadvantage compared to other entities pursuing similar opportunities. Regardless, development-stage programs generally have a high rate of failure and we cannot guarantee that any such programs will ultimately be successful. We will also need to enhance our clinical development and regulatory functions to properly evaluate and develop earlier-stage opportunities, which may include recruiting personnel that are knowledgeable in therapeutic areas we have not yet pursued. If we are unable to acquire promising development-stage assets or eventually obtain marketing approval for them, we may not be able to create a meaningful pipeline of new medicines and eventually realize a return on our investments.

Our management may also have to divert a disproportionate amount of its attention away from day-to-day activities and toward managing these growth and integration activities. Our future financial performance and our ability to execute on our business plan will depend, in part, on our ability to effectively manage any future growth and our failure to effectively manage growth could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition and prospects.

We face significant competition from other biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies, including those marketing generic medicines and our operating results will suffer if we fail to compete effectively.\*

The biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries are intensely competitive. We have competitors both in the United States and international markets, including major multinational pharmaceutical companies, biotechnology companies and universities and other research institutions. Many of our competitors have substantially greater financial, technical and other resources, such as larger research and development staff, experienced marketing and manufacturing organizations and well-established sales forces. Additional consolidations in the biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries may result in even more resources being concentrated in our competitors and we will have to find new ways to compete and may have to potentially merge with or acquire other businesses to stay competitive. Competition may increase further as a result of advances in the commercial applicability of technologies and greater availability of capital for investment in these industries. Our competitors may succeed in developing, acquiring or in-licensing on an exclusive basis, medicines that are more effective and/or less costly than our medicines.

DUEXIS and VIMOVO face competition from other NSAIDs, including Celebrex<sup>®</sup>, which was marketed by Pfizer Inc., and is also a generic medicine known as celecoxib and marketed by other pharmaceutical companies. DUEXIS and VIMOVO also face significant competition from the separate use of NSAIDs for pain relief and GI protective medications to reduce the risk of NSAID-induced upper GI ulcers. Both NSAIDs and GI protective medications are available in generic form and may be less expensive to use separately than DUEXIS or VIMOVO. PENNSAID 2% faces competition from generic versions of diclofenac sodium topical solutions that are priced significantly less than the price we charge for PENNSAID 2%, and Voltaren Gel, marketed by Endo Pharmaceuticals Solutions Inc., which is the market leader in the topical NSAID category. Legislation enacted in most states in the United States allows, or in some instances mandates, that a pharmacist dispense an available generic equivalent when filling a prescription for a branded medicine, in the absence of specific instructions from the prescribing physician. Because pharmacists often have economic and other incentives to prescribe lower-cost generics, if physicians prescribe DUEXIS, PENNSAID 2% or VIMOVO, those prescriptions may not result in sales. If physicians do not complete prescriptions through our HorizonCares program or otherwise provide prescribing instructions prohibiting the substitution of generic ibuprofen and famotidine separately as a substitution for DUEXIS or generic naproxen and branded Nexium<sup>®</sup> (esomeprazole) as a substitute for VIMOVO or generic diclofenac sodium topical solutions as a substitute for PENNSAID 2%, sales of DUEXIS, PENNSAID 2% and VIMOVO may suffer despite any success we may have in promoting DUEXIS, PENNSAID 2% or VIMOVO to physicians. In addition, other medicine candidates that contain ibuprofen and famotidine in combination or naproxen and esomeprazole in combination, while not currently known or FDA approved, may be developed and compete with DUEXIS or VIMOVO, respectively, in the future. While

KRYSTEXXA faces limited direct competition, a number of competitors have drugs in Phase 1 or Phase 2 trials. On December 22, 2015, AstraZeneca secured approval from the FDA for ZURAMPIC (lesinurad) 200mg tablets in combination with a xanthine oxidase inhibitor, or XOI, for the treatment of hyperuricemia associated with gout in patients who have not achieved target serum uric acid (sUA) levels with an XOI alone. In April 2016, the U.S. rights to ZURAMPIC were licensed to Ironwood Pharmaceuticals Inc. Although ZURAMPIC is not a direct competitor because it has not been approved for refractory gout, this therapy could be used prior to use of KRYSTEXXA and if effective, could reduce the target patient population for KRYSTEXXA. PROCYSBI faces competition from Cystagon (immediate-release cysteamine bitartrate capsules) for the treatment of cystinosis and Cystaran (cysteamine ophthalmic solution) for treatment of corneal crystal accumulation in patients with cystinosis. QUINSAIR faces competition from Tobramycin solution, which is available as a generic medicine for treatment of chronic Pseudomonas aeruginosa lung infections in patients with cystic fibrosis, TOBI Podhaler, Cayston and colistimethate.



We have also entered into settlement and license agreements that may allow certain of our competitors to sell generic versions of certain of our medicines in the United States, subject to the terms of such agreements. We granted a non-exclusive license (that is only royalty-bearing in some circumstances), to manufacture and commercialize a generic version of DUEXIS in the United States after January 1, 2023, or earlier under certain circumstances. We granted non-exclusive licenses to manufacture and commercialize generic versions of PENNSAID 2% in the United States after January 10, 2029, or earlier under certain circumstances. We granted a non-exclusive license to manufacture and commercialize a generic version of RAYOS tablets in the United States after December 23, 2022, or earlier under certain circumstances.

Patent litigation is currently pending in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against several companies intending to market a generic version of PENNSAID 2% prior to the expiration of certain of our patents listed in the FDA's Orange Book, or the Orange Book. These cases are collectively known as the PENNSAID 2% cases, and involve the following sets of defendants: (i) Actavis Laboratories UT, Inc., formerly known as Watson Laboratories, Inc., Actavis, Inc. and Actavis plc, or collectively Actavis; and (ii) Lupin Limited and Lupin Pharmaceuticals, Inc., or collectively Lupin. These cases arise from Paragraph IV Patent Certification notice letters from each of Actavis and Lupin advising each had filed an Abbreviated New Drug Application, or ANDA, with the FDA seeking approval to market a generic version of PENNSAID 2% before the expiration of the patents-in-suit.

Patent litigation is currently pending in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey and the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit against several companies intending to market a generic version of VIMOVO before the expiration of certain of our patents listed in the Orange Book. These cases are collectively known as the VIMOVO cases, and involve the following sets of defendants: (i) Dr. Reddy's Laboratories Inc. and Dr. Reddy's Laboratories Ltd., or collectively Dr. Reddy's; (ii) Lupin; and (iii) Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc., Mylan Laboratories Limited, and Mylan Inc., or collectively Mylan. Patent litigation is currently pending before the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit against a fourth generic company, Actavis Laboratories FL., Inc. and Actavis Pharma, Inc., or collectively Actavis Pharma. The cases arise from Paragraph IV Patent Certification notice letters from each of Dr. Reddy's, Lupin, Mylan and Actavis Pharma advising each had filed an ANDA with the FDA seeking approval to market generic versions of VIMOVO before the expiration of the patents-in-suit.

Patent litigation is currently pending in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas against Par Pharmaceutical, Inc., or Par Pharmaceutical, and in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against Par Pharmaceutical and against Lupin, who are each intending to market generic versions of RAVICTI prior to the expiration of certain of our patents listed in the Orange Book. These cases are collectively known as the RAVICTI cases and arise from Paragraph IV Patent Certification notice letters from each of Par Pharmaceutical and Lupin advising each had filed an ANDA with the FDA seeking approval to market a generic version of RAVICTI before the expiration of the patents-in-suit.

If we are unsuccessful in any of the VIMOVO cases or PENNSAID 2% cases, we will likely face generic competition with respect to VIMOVO and/or PENNSAID 2% and sales of VIMOVO and/or PENNSAID 2% will be substantially harmed. If we are unsuccessful in any of the RAVICTI cases, RAVICTI would likely face generic competition in the United States when its orphan exclusivity expires (currently scheduled to occur in February 2020), and its sales would likely materially decline.

ACTIMMUNE is the only medicine currently approved by the FDA specifically for the treatment of CGD and SMO. While there are additional or alternative approaches used to treat patients with CGD and SMO, there are currently no medicines on the market that compete directly with ACTIMMUNE. A widely accepted protocol to treat CGD in the United States is the use of concomitant "triple prophylactic therapy" comprising ACTIMMUNE, an oral antibiotic agent and an oral antifungal agent. However, the FDA-approved labeling for ACTIMMUNE does not discuss this "triple prophylactic therapy," and physicians may choose to prescribe one or both of the other modalities in the absence of ACTIMMUNE. Because of the immediate and life-threatening nature of SMO, the preferred treatment option for SMO is often to have the patient undergo a bone marrow transplant which, if successful, will likely obviate the need

for further use of ACTIMMUNE in that patient. Likewise, the use of bone marrow transplants in the treatment of patients with CGD is becoming more prevalent, which could have a material adverse effect on sales of ACTIMMUNE and its profitability. We are aware of a number of research programs investigating the potential of gene therapy as a possible cure for CGD. Additionally, other companies may be pursuing the development of medicines and treatments that target the same diseases and conditions which ACTIMMUNE is currently approved to treat. As a result, it is possible that our competitors may develop new medicines that manage CGD or SMO more effectively, cost less or possibly even cure CGD or SMO. In addition, U.S. healthcare legislation passed in March 2010 authorized the FDA to approve biological products, known as biosimilars, that are similar to or interchangeable with previously approved biological products, like ACTIMMUNE, based upon potentially abbreviated data packages. Biosimilars are likely to be sold at substantially lower prices than branded medicines because the biosimilar manufacturer would not have to recoup the research and development and marketing costs associated with the branded medicine. Though we are not currently aware of any biosimilar under development, the development and commercialization of any competing medicines or the discovery of any new alternative treatment for CGD or SMO could have a material adverse effect on sales of ACTIMMUNE and its profitability.

BUPHENYL's composition of matter patent protection and orphan drug exclusivity have expired. Because BUPHENYL has no regulatory exclusivity or listed patents, there is nothing to prevent a competitor from submitting an ANDA for a generic version of BUPHENYL and receiving FDA approval. In November 2011, Ampolgen Pharmaceuticals, LLC received FDA approval for a generic version of NaPBA tablets, which may compete with RAVICTI and BUPHENYL in treating UCD. In March 2013, SigmaPharm Laboratories, LLC received FDA approval for a generic version of NaPBA powder, which competes with BUPHENYL and may compete with RAVICTI in treating UCD. In July 2013, Lucane Pharma, or Lucane, received marketing approval from the EMA for taste-masked NaPBA and has announced a distribution partnership in Canada. In January 2015, Lucane announced it had received marketing approval for its taste-masked NaPBA in Canada. We believe Lucane is also seeking approval via an ANDA in the United States. If this ANDA is approved, this formulation may compete with RAVICTI and BUPHENYL in treating UCD in the United States. Generic versions of BUPHENYL to date have been priced at a discount relative to BUPHENYL or RAVICTI, and physicians, patients, or payers may decide that this less expensive alternative is preferable to BUPHENYL and RAVICTI. If this occurs, sales of BUPHENYL and/or RAVICTI could be materially reduced, but we would nevertheless be required to make royalty payments to Ucylyd Pharma, Inc., or Ucylyd, and another external party, at the same royalty rates. While Ucylyd and its affiliates are generally contractually prohibited from developing or commercializing new medicines, anywhere in the world, for the treatment of UCD or hepatic encephalopathy, or HE, which are chemically similar to RAVICTI, they may still develop and commercialize medicines that compete with RAVICTI. For example, medicines approved for indications other than UCD and HE may still compete with RAVICTI if physicians prescribe such medicines off-label for UCD or HE. We are also aware that Orphan Europe SARL, or Orphan Europe, is conducting a clinical trial of carglumic acid to treat some of the UCD enzyme deficiencies for which RAVICTI was approved. Promethera Biosciences SA has successfully completed Phase I/II trials of its cell-based therapy for the treatment of UCD and plans to conduct a Phase IIb/III clinical trial. Carglumic acid is approved for maintenance therapy for chronic hyperammonemia and to treat hyperammonemic crises in N-acetylglutamate synthase deficiency, a rare UCD subtype, and is sold under the name Carbaglu. If the results of this trial are successful and Orphan Europe is able to complete development and obtain approval of Carbaglu to treat additional UCD enzyme deficiencies, RAVICTI would face additional competition from this compound.

The availability and price of our competitors' medicines could limit the demand, and the price we are able to charge, for our medicines. We will not successfully execute on our business objectives if the market acceptance of our medicines is inhibited by price competition, if physicians are reluctant to switch from existing medicines to our medicines, or if physicians switch to other new medicines or choose to reserve our medicines for use in limited patient populations.

In addition, established pharmaceutical companies may invest heavily to accelerate discovery and development of novel compounds or to acquire novel compounds that could make our medicines obsolete. Our ability to compete successfully with these companies and other potential competitors will depend largely on our ability to leverage our experience in clinical, regulatory and commercial development to:

- develop and acquire medicines that are superior to other medicines in the market;
- attract qualified clinical, regulatory, and sales and marketing personnel;
- obtain patent and/or other proprietary protection for our medicines and technologies;
- obtain required regulatory approvals; and
- successfully collaborate with pharmaceutical companies in the discovery, development and commercialization of new medicine candidates.

If we are unable to maintain or realize the benefits of orphan drug exclusivity, we may face increased competition with respect to certain of our medicines.\*

Under the Orphan Drug Act of 1983, the FDA may designate a medicine as an orphan drug if it is a drug intended to treat a rare disease or condition affecting fewer than 200,000 people in the United States. A company that first obtains FDA approval for a designated orphan drug for the specified rare disease or condition receives orphan drug marketing

exclusivity for that drug for a period of seven years from the date of its approval. RAVICTI and PROCYSBI have been granted orphan drug exclusivity by the FDA, which we expect will provide orphan drug marketing exclusivity in the United States until February 2020 and December 2020, respectively, with exclusivity for PROCYSBI extending to 2022 for patients ages two to six years. However, despite orphan drug exclusivity, the FDA can still approve another drug containing the same active ingredient and used for the same orphan indication if it determines that a subsequent drug is safer, more effective or makes a major contribution to patient care, and orphan exclusivity can be lost if the orphan drug manufacturer is unable to ensure that a sufficient quantity of the orphan drug is available to meet the needs of patients with the rare disease or condition. Orphan drug exclusivity may also be lost if the FDA later determines that the initial request for designation was materially defective. In addition, orphan drug exclusivity does not prevent the FDA from approving competing drugs for the same or similar indication containing a different active ingredient. If orphan drug exclusivity is lost and we were unable to successfully enforce any remaining patents covering RAVICTI or PROCYSBI, we could be subject to generic competition and revenues from RAVICTI or PROCYSBI could decrease materially. In addition, if a subsequent drug is approved for marketing for the same or a similar indication as RAVICTI or PROCYSBI despite orphan drug exclusivity, we may face increased competition and lose market share with respect to these medicines. RAVICTI will benefit from a period of 10 years of orphan market exclusivity in the EU, concurrently applied to each of the approved six sub-types of the UCDs. This will run concurrently with its marketing exclusivity status.

Our business operations may subject us to numerous commercial disputes, claims and/or lawsuits and such litigation may be costly and time-consuming and could materially and adversely impact our financial position and results of operations.\*

Operating in the pharmaceutical industry, particularly the commercialization of medicines, involves numerous commercial relationships, complex contractual arrangements, uncertain intellectual property rights, potential product liability and other aspects that create heightened risks of disputes, claims and lawsuits. In particular, we may face claims related to the safety of our medicines, intellectual property matters, employment matters, tax matters, commercial disputes, competition, sales and marketing practices, environmental matters, personal injury, insurance coverage and acquisition or divestiture-related matters. For example, the active ingredient in QUINSAIR, levofloxacin, is currently subject to product liability claims. Any commercial dispute, claim or lawsuit may divert management's attention away from our business, we may incur significant expenses in addressing or defending any commercial dispute, claim or lawsuit, and we may be required to pay damage awards or settlements or become subject to equitable remedies that could adversely affect our operations and financial results.

We are currently in litigation with multiple generic drug manufacturers regarding intellectual property infringement. For example, we are currently involved in Hatch Waxman litigation with generic drug manufacturers related to VIMOVO, PENNSAID 2% and RAVICTI.

Similarly, from time to time we are involved in disputes with distributors, PBMs and licensing partners regarding our rights and performance of obligations under contractual arrangements. For example, we were previously in litigation with Express Scripts, related to alleged breach of contract claims and in which Express Scripts was seeking payment for rebates relating to DUEXIS, RAYOS and VIMOVO. We counterclaimed against Express Scripts, contesting the amount owed and contending Express Scripts had breached the rebate agreement. In September 2016, we entered into a settlement agreement and mutual release with Express Scripts pursuant to which we and Express Scripts were released from any and all claims relating to the litigation without admitting any fault or wrongdoing and we paid Express Scripts \$65.0 million.

Litigation related to these disputes may be costly and time-consuming and could materially and adversely impact our financial position and results of operations if resolved against us.

A variety of risks associated with operating our business and marketing our medicines internationally could materially adversely affect our business.\*

In addition to our U.S. operations, we have operations in Ireland, Bermuda, the Grand Duchy of Luxembourg, or Luxembourg, Switzerland, Germany, Canada, the Grand Cayman Islands and in Israel (through Andromeda Biotech Ltd). Moreover, Grünenthal S.A. is continuing with the registration process for the commercialization of DUEXIS in Latin America. BUPHENYL is currently marketed in various territories outside the United States by third-party distributors. RAVICTI received marketing authorization from HC in March 2016 and marketing approval in the EU in November 2015. We launched RAVICTI in Canada in November 2016 and expect RAVICTI to be available in Europe in the fourth quarter of 2017 through our partnership with SOBI. QUINSAIR received marketing authorization from HC in June 2015 and we launched QUINSAIR in Canada in December 2016. PROCYSBI received marketing authorization from HC in June 2017 and we launched PROCYSBI in Canada in October 2017. We face risks associated with our international operations, including possible unfavorable regulatory, pricing and reimbursement, political, tax and labor conditions, which could harm our business. We are subject to numerous risks associated with international business activities, including:

- compliance with differing or unexpected regulatory requirements for our medicines;
- compliance with Irish laws and the maintenance of our Irish tax residency with respect to our overall corporate structure and administrative operations, including the need to generally hold meetings of our board of directors and make decisions in Ireland, which may make certain corporate actions more cumbersome, costly and time-consuming;

• difficulties in staffing and managing foreign operations;  
• in certain circumstances, including with respect to the commercialization of LODOTRA in Europe and certain Asian, Latin American, Middle Eastern and African countries, commercialization of BUPHENYL in select countries throughout Europe, the Middle East, and the Asia-Pacific region, commercialization of RAVICTI in select countries throughout Europe and commercialization of DUEXIS in Latin America, increased dependence on the commercialization efforts and regulatory compliance of third-party distributors or strategic partners;  
• compliance with German laws with respect to our Horizon Pharma GmbH subsidiary through which Horizon Pharma Switzerland GmbH conducts most of its European operations;  
• foreign government taxes, regulations and permit requirements;  
• U.S. and foreign government tariffs, trade restrictions, price and exchange controls and other regulatory requirements;  
    • anti-corruption laws, including the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, or the FCPA;  
• economic weakness, including inflation, natural disasters, war, events of terrorism or political instability in particular foreign countries;

- fluctuations in currency exchange rates, which could result in increased operating expenses and reduced revenues, and other obligations related to doing business in another country;
- compliance with tax, employment, immigration and labor laws, regulations and restrictions for employees living or traveling abroad;
- workforce uncertainty in countries where labor unrest is more common than in the United States;
- production shortages resulting from any events affecting raw material supply or manufacturing capabilities abroad;
- changes in diplomatic and trade relationships; and
- challenges in enforcing our contractual and intellectual property rights, especially in those foreign countries that do not respect and protect intellectual property rights to the same extent as the United States.

Our business activities outside of the United States are subject to the FCPA and similar anti-bribery or anti-corruption laws, regulations or rules of other countries in which we operate, including the United Kingdom's Bribery Act 2010, or the U.K. Bribery Act. The FCPA and similar anti-corruption laws generally prohibit offering, promising, giving, or authorizing others to give anything of value, either directly or indirectly, to non-U.S. government officials in order to improperly influence any act or decision, secure any other improper advantage, or obtain or retain business. The FCPA also requires public companies to make and keep books and records that accurately and fairly reflect the transactions of the company and to devise and maintain an adequate system of internal accounting controls. The U.K. Bribery Act prohibits giving, offering, or promising bribes to any person, including non-United Kingdom, or U.K., government officials and private persons, as well as requesting, agreeing to receive, or accepting bribes from any person. In addition, under the U.K. Bribery Act, companies which carry on a business or part of a business in the U.K. may be held liable for bribes given, offered or promised to any person, including non-U.K. government officials and private persons, by employees and persons associated with the company in order to obtain or retain business or a business advantage for the company. Liability is strict, with no element of a corrupt state of mind, but a defense of having in place adequate procedures designed to prevent bribery is available. Furthermore, under the U.K. Bribery Act there is no exception for facilitation payments. As described above, our business is heavily regulated and therefore involves significant interaction with public officials, including officials of non-U.S. governments. Additionally, in many other countries, the health care providers who prescribe pharmaceuticals are employed by their government, and the purchasers of pharmaceuticals are government entities; therefore, any dealings with these prescribers and purchasers may be subject to regulation under the FCPA. Recently the SEC and the U.S. Department of Justice have increased their FCPA enforcement activities with respect to pharmaceutical companies. In addition, under the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, private individuals who report to the SEC original information that leads to successful enforcement actions may be eligible for a monetary award. We are engaged in ongoing efforts that are designed to ensure our compliance with these laws, including due diligence, training, policies, procedures and internal controls. However, there is no certainty that all employees and third-party business partners (including our distributors, wholesalers, agents, contractors, and other partners) will comply with anti-bribery laws. In particular, we do not control the actions of manufacturers and other third-party agents, although we may be liable for their actions. Violation of these laws may result in civil or criminal sanctions, which could include monetary fines, criminal penalties, and disgorgement of past profits, which could have a material adverse impact on our business and financial condition.

These and other risks associated with our international operations may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

If we fail to develop or acquire other medicine candidates or medicines, our business and prospects would be limited.

A key element of our strategy is to develop or acquire and commercialize a portfolio of other medicines or medicine candidates in addition to our current medicines, through business or medicine acquisitions. Because we do not engage in proprietary drug discovery, the success of this strategy depends in large part upon the combination of our regulatory, development and commercial capabilities and expertise and our ability to identify, select and acquire approved or clinically enabled medicine candidates for therapeutic indications that complement or augment our current medicines, or that otherwise fit into our development or strategic plans on terms that are acceptable to us. Identifying, selecting and acquiring promising medicines or medicine candidates requires substantial technical,

financial and human resources expertise. Efforts to do so may not result in the actual acquisition or license of a particular medicine or medicine candidate, potentially resulting in a diversion of our management's time and the expenditure of our resources with no resulting benefit. If we are unable to identify, select and acquire suitable medicines or medicine candidates from third parties or acquire businesses at valuations and on other terms acceptable to us, or if we are unable to raise capital required to acquire businesses or new medicines, our business and prospects will be limited.

Moreover, any medicine candidate we acquire may require additional, time-consuming development or regulatory efforts prior to commercial sale or prior to expansion into other indications, including preclinical studies if applicable, and extensive clinical testing and approval by the FDA and applicable foreign regulatory authorities. All medicine candidates are prone to the risk of failure that is inherent in pharmaceutical medicine development, including the possibility that the medicine candidate will not be shown to be sufficiently safe and/or effective for approval by regulatory authorities. In addition, we cannot assure you that any such medicines that are approved will be manufactured or produced economically, successfully commercialized or widely accepted in the marketplace or be more effective or desired than other commercially available alternatives.



In addition, if we fail to successfully commercialize and further develop our medicines, there is a greater likelihood that we will fail to successfully develop a pipeline of other medicine candidates to follow our existing medicines or be able to acquire other medicines to expand our existing portfolio, and our business and prospects would be harmed.

Our recent medicine and company acquisitions and any other strategic transactions that we may pursue in the future could have a variety of negative consequences, and we may not realize the benefits of such transactions or attempts to engage in such transactions.\*

We have recently completed multiple medicine and company acquisitions and our strategy is to engage in additional strategic transactions with third parties, such as acquisitions of companies or divisions of companies and asset purchases of medicines, medicine candidates or technologies that we believe will complement or augment our existing business. We may also consider a variety of other business arrangements, including spin-offs, strategic partnerships, joint ventures, restructurings, divestitures, business combinations and other investments. Any such transaction may require us to incur non-recurring and other charges, increase our near and long-term expenditures, pose significant integration challenges, create additional tax, legal, accounting and operational complexities in our business, require additional expertise, result in dilution to our existing shareholders and disrupt our management and business, which could harm our operations and financial results. For example, in connection with our acquisition of the U.S. rights to VIMOVO, we assumed primary responsibility for the existing patent infringement litigation with respect to VIMOVO, and have also agreed to reimburse certain legal expenses of Pozen Inc., who subsequently entered into a business combination with Tribute Pharmaceuticals Canada Inc. to become known as Aralez Pharmaceuticals Inc., or Aralez, with respect to its continued involvement in such litigation. We also assumed responsibility for the existing patent infringement litigation with respect to RAVICTI upon the closing of our acquisition of Hyperion Therapeutics Inc., or Hyperion, and have assumed responsibility for completing post-marketing clinical trials of RAVICTI that are required by the FDA and are ongoing. We expect that the RAVICTI litigation will result in substantial on-going expenses and potential distractions to our management team.

In connection with our acquisition of Raptor, we assumed contractual obligations under agreements with Tripex Pharmaceuticals, LLC, or Tripex, and PARI Pharma GmbH, or PARI, related to QUINSAIR. Under the agreement with Tripex, we are required to pursue commercially reasonable efforts to initiate, and subsequently to complete, an additional clinical trial of QUINSAIR in a non-cystic fibrosis patient population within a specified period of time and an obligation to progress toward submitting an NDA for approval of QUINSAIR in the United States for use in all or part of the cystic fibrosis patient population. These obligations are subject to certain exceptions due to, for example, manufacturing delays not under our control, or delays caused by the FDA. If we fail to properly exercise such efforts to initiate and complete an appropriate clinical trial, or fail to submit an NDA for U.S. approval in the cystic fibrosis patient population, during the time periods specified in the agreement, we may be subject to various claims by Tripex and parties affiliated with Tripex. In addition, if we do not spend a minimum amount on QUINSAIR development in each of the three years following our acquisition of Raptor, we may also be obligated to pre-pay a milestone payment related to initiating a clinical trial for QUINSAIR in a non-cystic fibrosis indication. During October 2017, we triggered a milestone payment under this agreement, and we paid Tripex \$20.0 million in November 2017. Under the license agreement with PARI, we are required to comply with diligence milestones related to development and commercialization of QUINSAIR in the United States and to spend a specified minimum amount per year on development activities in the United States until submission of the NDA for QUINSAIR in the United States. If we do not comply with these obligations, our licenses to certain intellectual property related to QUINSAIR may become non-exclusive in the United States or could be terminated. We are also subject to contractual obligations under an amended and restated license agreement with the Regents of the University of California, San Diego, or UCSD, with respect to PROCYSBI, including obligations to consider engaging in the development of PROCYSBI for the treatment of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis, or NASH, and related diligence obligations if we undertake such development. Under the amended and restated license agreement with UCSD, we also are subject to diligence obligations to identify a third party to undertake development of PROCYSBI for the treatment of Huntington's disease. To the extent that we fail to perform the diligence obligations under the agreement, UCSD may, with respect to such indication, terminate the license or otherwise cause the license to become non-exclusive. If one or more of these

licenses was terminated, we would have no further right to use or exploit the related intellectual property, which would limit our ability to develop PROCYSBI or QUINSAIR in other indications, and could impact our ability to continue commercializing PROCYSBI or QUINSAIR in their approved indications.

We face significant competition in seeking appropriate strategic transaction opportunities and the negotiation process for any strategic transaction can be time-consuming and complex. In addition, we may not be successful in our efforts to engage in certain strategic transactions because our financial resources may be insufficient and/or third parties may not view our commercial and development capabilities as being adequate. We may not be able to expand our business or realize our strategic goals if we do not have sufficient funding or cannot borrow or raise additional capital. There is no assurance that following any of our recent acquisition transactions or any other strategic transaction, we will achieve the anticipated revenues, net income or other benefits that we believe justify such transactions. In addition, any failures or delays in entering into strategic transactions anticipated by analysts or the investment community could seriously harm our consolidated business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flow.

Our parent company may not be able to successfully maintain its current advantageous tax status and resulting tax rates, which could adversely affect our business and financial condition, results of operations and growth prospects.\*

Our parent company is incorporated in Ireland and maintains subsidiaries in multiple jurisdictions, including Ireland, the U.K, the United States, Switzerland, Luxembourg, Germany, Canada and Bermuda. Prior to our merger transaction with Vidara Therapeutics International Public Limited Company, or Vidara, and such transaction, the Vidara Merger, Vidara was able to achieve a favorable tax rate through the performance of certain functions and ownership of certain assets in tax-efficient jurisdictions, including Ireland and Bermuda, together with intra-group service and transfer pricing agreements, each on an arm's length basis. We are continuing a substantially similar structure and arrangements. Taxing authorities, such as the U.S. Internal Revenue Service, or IRS, actively audit and otherwise challenge these types of arrangements, and have done so in the pharmaceutical industry. We expect that these challenges will continue as a result of the recent increase in scrutiny and political attention on corporate tax structures. The IRS may challenge our structure and transfer pricing arrangements through an audit or lawsuit. Responding to or defending such a challenge could be expensive and consume time and other resources, and divert management's time and focus from operating our business. We cannot predict whether taxing authorities will conduct an audit or file a lawsuit challenging this structure, the cost involved in responding to any such audit or lawsuit, or the outcome. If we are unsuccessful in defending such a challenge, we may be required to pay taxes for prior periods, interest, fines or penalties, and may be obligated to pay increased taxes in the future, any of which could require us to reduce our operating expenses, decrease efforts in support of our medicines or seek to raise additional funds, all of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and growth prospects.

The IRS may not agree with our conclusion that our parent company should be treated as a foreign corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes following the combination of the businesses of Horizon Pharma, Inc., or HPI, and Vidara.\*

Although our parent company is incorporated in Ireland, the IRS may assert that it should be treated as a U.S. corporation (and, therefore, a U.S. tax resident) for U.S. federal income tax purposes pursuant to Section 7874 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the Code. A corporation is generally considered a tax resident in the jurisdiction of its organization or incorporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes. Because our parent company is an Irish incorporated entity, it would generally be classified as a foreign corporation (and, therefore, a non-U.S. tax resident) under these rules. Section 7874 of the Code provides an exception pursuant to which a foreign incorporated entity may, in certain circumstances, be treated as a U.S. corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

Under Section 7874 of the Code, a foreign corporation will be treated as a U.S. corporation for U.S. federal tax purposes if, due to an acquisition of a U.S. corporation, at least 80 percent of its stock (by vote or value) is held by former stockholders of the acquired U.S. corporation. We believe that we should be treated as a foreign corporation because the former stockholders of HPI owned (within the meaning of Section 7874 of the Code) less than 80 percent (by both vote and value) of the combined entity's stock immediately after the Vidara Merger. However, there can be no assurance that there will not exist in the future a subsequent change in the facts or in law which might cause our parent company to be treated as a domestic corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes, including with retroactive effect.

Further, there can be no assurance that the IRS will agree with the position that the ownership test was satisfied. If our parent company were unable to be treated as a foreign corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes, one of our significant strategic reasons for completing the Vidara Merger would be nullified and we may not be able to recoup the significant investment in completing the transaction.

Future changes to U.S. and non-U.S. tax laws could materially adversely affect our company.\*

Under current law, we expect our parent company to be treated as a foreign corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes. However, changes to the rules in Section 7874 of the Code or regulations promulgated thereunder or other guidance issued by the U.S. Department of the Treasury, or the U.S. Treasury, or the IRS could adversely affect our parent company's status as a foreign corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes, and any such changes could have prospective or retroactive application. If our parent company is treated as a domestic corporation, more of our income will be taxed by the United States which may substantially increase our effective tax rate.

On April 4, 2016, the U.S. Treasury and the IRS issued temporary regulations and in January 2017 issued final regulations that expand the scope of transactions subject to the rules designed to eliminate the U.S. tax benefits of so-called inversion transactions. Under the temporary regulations, the former stockholders of U.S. corporations acquired by a foreign corporation within 36 months of the signing date of the last such acquisition are aggregated for the purpose of determining whether the foreign corporation will be treated as a domestic corporation for U.S. federal tax purposes because at least 80 percent of the stock of the foreign corporation is held by former stockholders of a U.S. corporation. The requirement to aggregate the stockholders in such acquisitions for the purpose of determining whether the 80 percent threshold is met may limit our ability to use our stock to acquire U.S. corporations or their assets in the future. The Secretary of the United States Treasury recently announced that the U.S. Treasury will review every significant regulation issued over the past year and a half, including certain inversion regulations, but, at present, it is unclear what the outcome of Treasury's review will be or what impact it may have on us.

The U.S. Treasury and the IRS also issued proposed regulations on April 4, 2016 as well as final and temporary regulations in October 2016 that address whether an interest in a related corporation is debt or equity for United States federal income tax purposes. These regulations could result in recharacterization of inter-company debt to equity for certain of our inter-company debt and such a recharacterization could result in more of our future income being taxed by the United States and thereby increase our effective tax rate. We are continuing to evaluate the impact that these regulations may have and will reflect such impact on our financial statements as required.

In July 2015, the International Tax Bipartisan Tax Working Group of the United States Senate Committee on Finance, or the Finance Committee, issued its report on international tax reform. The Finance Committee's co-chairs concluded that it will be necessary to limit earnings stripping by foreign multinationals through interest deductions on inter-company debt in order to eliminate a competitive advantage that foreign multinationals would otherwise have over domestic multinational companies. The status of the recommendations from the International Tax Bipartisan Tax Working Group, including regulations aimed at curbing earnings stripping, as well as the status of United States tax reform in general, is subject to significant uncertainty as President Trump and both houses of Congress are considering several material tax reform proposals. These proposals include, among other items, a significant reduction to the U.S. corporate tax rate and a possible "border adjustment tax" that would effectively increase the economic cost of imports. Consideration of various tax reform proposals continues to evolve. In July 2017, the Speaker of the House, Majority Leader of the Senate, Chairmen of the House of Representatives Committee on Ways and Means, Finance Committee, Secretary of the Treasury and Director of the National Economic Council issued a joint statement setting aside the idea of a border adjustment tax and called for tax reform legislation that "reduces tax rates as much as possible, allows unprecedented capital expensing, places a priority on permanence, and creates a system that encourages American companies to bring back jobs and profits trapped overseas. On September 22, 2017, the Administration and Chairman of the House Committee on Ways and Means and Senate Finance Committee released the "Unified Framework" tax reform which among other items discussed "ending incentives to ship jobs, capital and tax revenue overseas." At this point in time it is not possible to determine all of the possible consequences to us of the various tax reform proposals that are under consideration. However, any tax reform could significantly impact our U.S. and worldwide tax liabilities.

In addition, the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development released its Base Erosion and Profit Shifting project final report on October 5, 2015. This report provides the basis for international standards for corporate taxation that are designed to prevent, among other things, the artificial shifting of income to tax havens and low-tax jurisdictions, the erosion of the tax base through interest deductions on inter-company debt and the artificial avoidance of permanent establishments (i.e., tax nexus with a jurisdiction). Legislation to adopt these standards has been enacted or is currently under consideration in a number of jurisdictions. On June 7, 2017, several countries, including many countries that we operate and have subsidiaries in, participated in the signing ceremony adopting the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development's Multilateral Convention to Implement Tax Treaty Related Measures to Prevent Base Erosion and Profit Shifting, commonly referred to as the MLI. The MLI is intended to provide countries with a tool through which they can amend their income tax treaties. Although not yet effective, the MLI may modify thousands of tax treaties making it more difficult for us to obtain advantageous tax-treaty benefits. As a result, our income may be taxed in jurisdictions where it is not currently taxed and at higher rates of tax than it is currently taxed, which may substantially increase our effective tax rate.

The U.S. federal government has called for substantial changes to U.S. tax policy and laws. Congress and President Trump have released the frameworks of proposed tax plans which would significantly alter the U.S. tax code if enacted. We do not currently have sufficient information that would allow us to predict what U.S. tax reform, if any, may be enacted in the future or what impact any such changes would have on our business. Changes to U.S. tax laws could significantly impact our business, financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

If we are not successful in attracting and retaining highly qualified personnel, we may not be able to successfully implement our business strategy.\*

Our ability to compete in the highly competitive biotechnology and pharmaceuticals industries depends upon our ability to attract and retain highly qualified managerial, scientific and medical personnel. We are highly dependent on our management, sales and marketing and scientific and medical personnel, including our executive officers composed of our Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, Timothy P. Walbert; our Executive Vice President, Chief Business Officer, Robert F. Carey; our Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, Paul W. Hoelscher; our Executive Vice President, Chief Administrative Officer, Barry J. Moze; our Executive Vice President, Research and Development and Chief Medical Officer, Jeffrey W. Sherman, M.D., FACP; our Executive Vice President, Chief Human Resources Officer, Irina Konstantinovskiy; our Executive Vice President, General Counsel, Brian K. Beeler; our Executive Vice President, Primary Care Business Unit, George Hampton; our Executive Vice President, Commercial Development and Strategy, Dave Happel; our Executive Vice President, Technical Operations, Michael A. DesJardin; our Senior Vice President, Orphan Business Unit, Eric Mosbrooker and our Senior Vice President, Rheumatology Business Unit, Vikram Karnani. In order to retain valuable employees at our company, in addition to salary and cash incentives, we provide performance stock units, or PSUs, and stock options and restricted stock units that vest over time. The value to employees of PSUs, stock options and restricted stock units will be significantly affected by movements in our share price that are beyond our control, and may at any time be insufficient to counteract more lucrative offers from other companies.

Despite our efforts to retain valuable employees, members of our management, sales and marketing, regulatory affairs, clinical development, medical affairs and development teams may terminate their employment with us on short notice. Although we have written employment arrangements with all of our employees, these employment arrangements generally provide for at-will employment, which means that our employees can leave our employment at any time, with or without notice. The loss of the services of any of our executive officers or other key employees and our inability to find suitable replacements could potentially harm our business, financial condition and prospects. We do not maintain “key man” insurance policies on the lives of these individuals or the lives of any of our other employees. Our success also depends on our ability to continue to attract, retain and motivate highly skilled junior, mid-level, and senior managers as well as junior, mid-level, and senior sales and marketing and scientific and medical personnel.

Many of the other biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies with whom we compete for qualified personnel have greater financial and other resources, different risk profiles and longer histories in the industry than we do. They also may provide more diverse opportunities and better chances for career advancement. Some of these characteristics may be more appealing to high quality candidates than that which we have to offer. If we are unable to continue to attract and retain high quality personnel, the rate and success at which we can develop and commercialize medicines and medicine candidates will be limited.

We are, with respect to our current medicines, and will be, with respect to any other medicine or medicine candidate for which we obtain FDA or EMA approval or which we acquire, subject to ongoing FDA or EMA obligations and continued regulatory review, which may result in significant additional expense. Additionally, any other medicine candidate, if approved by the FDA or the EMA, could be subject to labeling and other restrictions and market withdrawal, and we may be subject to penalties if we fail to comply with regulatory requirements or experience unanticipated problems with our medicines.\*

Any regulatory approvals that we obtain for our medicine candidates may also be subject to limitations on the approved indicated uses for which the medicine may be marketed or to the conditions of approval, or contain requirements for potentially costly post-marketing testing, including Phase 4 clinical trials and surveillance to monitor the safety and efficacy of the medicine candidate. In addition, with respect to our current FDA-approved medicines (and with respect to our medicine candidates, if approved), the manufacturing processes, labeling, packaging, distribution, adverse event reporting, storage, advertising, promotion and recordkeeping for the medicine are subject to extensive and ongoing regulatory requirements. These requirements include submissions of safety and other post-marketing information and reports, registration, as well as continued compliance with cGMPs, GCPs, international conference on harmonization regulations, or ICH regulations, and GLPs, which are regulations and guidelines enforced by the FDA for all of our medicines in clinical development, for any clinical trials that we conduct post-approval. With respect to RAVICTI, the FDA imposed several post-marketing requirements and a post-marketing commitment, which include remaining obligations to conduct studies in UCD patients during the first two months of life and from two months to two years of age, including a study of the pharmacokinetics in both age groups, and a randomized study to determine the safety and efficacy in UCD patients who are treatment naïve to phenylbutyrate treatment. Although we are committed to carrying out these commitments, there are challenges in conducting studies in pediatric patients including availability of study sites, patients, and obtaining parental informed consent. In May 2017, the FDA approved our supplemental new drug application, or sNDA, for RAVICTI to expand the age range for chronic management of UCDs from two years of age and older to two months of age and older. Subject to positive data from on-going studies, we have targeted an sNDA submission in the first quarter of 2018 in relation to UCD patients during the first two months of life. In connection with our acquisition of Crealta Holdings LLC, or Crealta, in January 2016, we assumed responsibility for an observational study related to KRYSTEXXA, which requirement was fulfilled in May 2017. We are in the process of closing out the study and drafting the final report.

In addition, the FDA closely regulates the marketing and promotion of drugs and biologics. The FDA does not regulate the behavior of physicians in their choice of treatments. The FDA does, however, restrict manufacturers’ promotional communications. A significant number of pharmaceutical companies have been the target of inquiries and

investigations by various U.S. federal and state regulatory, investigative, prosecutorial and administrative entities in connection with the promotion of medicines for off-label uses and other sales practices. These investigations have alleged violations of various U.S. federal and state laws and regulations, including claims asserting antitrust violations, violations of the Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, false claims laws, the Prescription Drug Marketing Act, anti-kickback laws, and other alleged violations in connection with the promotion of medicines for unapproved uses, pricing and Medicare and/or Medicaid reimbursement. While Congress has recently considered legislation that would modify or eliminate restrictions for off-label promotion, we do not have sufficient information to anticipate if the current regulatory environment will change.

Later discovery of previously unknown problems with a medicine, including adverse events of unanticipated severity or frequency, or with our third-party manufacturers or manufacturing processes, or failure to comply with regulatory requirements, may result in, among other things:

- restrictions on the marketing or manufacturing of the medicine, withdrawal of the medicine from the market, or voluntary or mandatory medicine recalls;
- fines, warning letters or holds on clinical trials;

84

---



• refusal by the FDA to approve pending applications or supplements to approved applications filed by us or our strategic partners, or suspension or revocation of medicine license approvals;

• medicine seizure or detention, or refusal to permit the import or export of medicines; and

• injunctions, the imposition of civil or criminal penalties, or exclusion, debarment or suspension from government healthcare programs.

If we are not able to maintain regulatory compliance, we may lose any marketing approval that we may have obtained and we may not achieve or sustain profitability, which would have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition and prospects.

Coverage and reimbursement may not be available, or reimbursement may be available at only limited levels, for our medicines, which could make it difficult for us to sell our medicines profitably or to successfully execute planned medicine price increases.\*

Market acceptance and sales of our medicines will depend in large part on global coverage and reimbursement policies and may be affected by future healthcare reform measures, both in the United States and other key international markets. Successful commercialization of our medicines will depend in part on the availability of governmental and third-party payer reimbursement for the cost of our medicines. Government health administration authorities, private health insurers and other organizations generally provide reimbursement for healthcare. In particular, in the United States, private health insurers and other third-party payers often provide reimbursement for medicines and services based on the level at which the government (through the Medicare or Medicaid programs) provides reimbursement for such treatments. In the United States, the EU and other significant or potentially significant markets for our medicines and medicine candidates, government authorities and third-party payers are increasingly attempting to limit or regulate the price of medicines and services, particularly for new and innovative medicines and therapies, which has resulted in lower average selling prices. Further, the increased scrutiny of prescription drug pricing practices and emphasis on managed healthcare in the United States and on country and regional pricing and reimbursement controls in the EU will put additional pressure on medicine pricing, reimbursement and usage, which may adversely affect our medicine sales and results of operations. These pressures can arise from rules and practices of managed care groups, judicial decisions and governmental laws and regulations related to Medicare, Medicaid and healthcare reform, pharmaceutical reimbursement policies and pricing in general. These pressures may create negative reactions to any medicine price increases, or limit the amount by which we may be able to increase our medicine prices, which may adversely affect our medicine sales and results of operations.

Patients are unlikely to use our medicines unless coverage is provided and reimbursement is adequate to cover a significant portion of the cost of our medicines. Third-party payers may limit coverage to specific medicines on an approved list, also known as a formulary, which might not include all of the FDA-approved medicines for a particular indication. Moreover, a third-party payer's decision to provide coverage for a medicine does not imply that an adequate reimbursement rate will be approved. Additionally, one third-party payer's decision to cover a particular medicine does not ensure that other payers will also provide coverage for the medicine, or will provide coverage at an adequate reimbursement rate. Even though we have contracts with some PBMs in the United States, that does not guarantee that they will perform in accordance with the contracts, nor does that preclude them from taking adverse actions against us, which could materially adversely affect our operating results. In addition, the existence of such PBM contracts does not guarantee coverage by such PBM's contracted health plans or adequate reimbursement to their respective providers for our medicines. For example, two significant PBMs placed DUEXIS and VIMOVO on their exclusion lists beginning in 2015, which has resulted in a loss of coverage for patients whose healthcare plans have adopted these PBM lists. While DUEXIS and VIMOVO were removed from the Express Scripts and CVS Caremark 2017 exclusion lists, we cannot guarantee that Express Scripts or CVS Caremark will not later add these medicines back to their exclusion lists or that we will be able to otherwise expand formulary access for DUEXIS and VIMOVO under health plans that contract with Express Scripts and/or CVS Caremark. Additional healthcare plan formularies may also exclude our medicines from coverage due to the actions of certain PBMs, future price increases we may implement, our use of the HorizonCares program or any other co-pay programs, or other reasons. If our strategies to mitigate formulary exclusions are not effective, these events may reduce the likelihood that physicians prescribe our medicines

and increase the likelihood that prescriptions for our medicines are not filled.

85

---

Outside of the United States, the success of our medicines, including BUPHENYL, LODOTRA, PROCYSBI, QUINSAIR, RAVICTI and IMUKIN, will depend largely on obtaining and maintaining government coverage, because in many countries patients are unlikely to use prescription drugs that are not covered by their government healthcare programs. The majority of LODOTRA sales are in Germany and Italy where reimbursement has been approved. BUPHENYL is marketed in select countries throughout Europe, the Middle East and the Asia-Pacific region. We launched RAVICTI in Canada in November 2016 and we expect RAVICTI to be available in Europe in the fourth quarter of 2017 through our partnership with SOBI. QUINSAIR was launched in Canada in December 2016 and PROCYSBI was launched in Canada in October 2017. We cannot be certain that existing reimbursement in such countries will be maintained or that we will be able to secure reimbursement in additional countries. Negotiating coverage and reimbursement with governmental authorities can delay commercialization by 12 months or more. Coverage and reimbursement policies may adversely affect our ability to sell our medicines on a profitable basis. In many international markets, governments control the prices of prescription pharmaceuticals, including through the implementation of reference pricing, price cuts, rebates, revenue-related taxes and profit control, and we expect prices of prescription pharmaceuticals to decline over the life of the medicine or as volumes increase. Many countries in the EU have increased the amount of discounts required on medicines, and we expect these discounts to continue as countries attempt to manage healthcare expenditures, especially in light of current economic conditions. As a result of these pricing practices, it may become difficult to achieve or sustain profitability or expected rates of growth in revenue or results of operations. Any shortfalls in revenue could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

In light of such policies and the uncertainty surrounding proposed regulations and changes in the coverage and reimbursement policies of governments and third-party payers, we cannot be sure that coverage and reimbursement will be available for any of our medicines in any additional markets or for any other medicine candidates that we may develop. Also, we cannot be sure that reimbursement amounts will not reduce the demand for, or the price of, our medicines. If coverage and reimbursement are not available or are available only at limited levels, we may not be able to successfully commercialize our medicines.

We expect to experience pricing pressures in connection with the sale of our medicines due to the trend toward managed healthcare, the increasing influence of health maintenance organizations and additional legislative proposals relating to outcomes and quality. For example, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act, or collectively the ACA, increased the mandated Medicaid rebate from 15.1% to 23.1%, expanded the rebate to Medicaid managed care utilization and increased the types of entities eligible for the federal 340B drug discount program. On January 30, 2017, the White House Office of Management and Budget withdrew the draft August 2015 Omnibus Guidance document that was issued by the Department of Health and Human Services, or HHS, Health Resources and Services Administration, or HRSA, that addressed a broad range of topics including, among other items, the definition of a patient's eligibility for 340B drug pricing. However, as concerns continue to grow over the need for tighter oversight, there remains the possibility that HRSA or other agency under the HHS will propose a similar regulation or that Congress will explore changes to the 340B program through legislation. For example, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services has issued a final rule that would revise the Medicare hospital outpatient prospective payment system for calendar year 2018, including a new reimbursement methodology for drugs purchased under the 340B program for Medicare patients. In addition, HHS has currently set July 1, 2018 for implementation of the final rule setting forth the calculation of the ceiling price and application of civil monetary penalties under the 340B program. A material portion of KRYSEXXA prescriptions are written by healthcare providers that are eligible for 340B drug pricing and therefore any reduction in 340B pricing, whether in the form of the final rule or otherwise, or an expansion of healthcare providers eligible for 340B drug pricing, would likely have a negative impact on our net sales from KRYSTEXXA.

There may be additional pressure by payers, healthcare providers, state governments, federal regulators and Congress, to use generic drugs that contain the active ingredients found in our medicines or any other medicine candidates that we may develop or acquire. If we fail to successfully secure and maintain coverage and adequate reimbursement for our medicines or are significantly delayed in doing so, we will have difficulty achieving market acceptance of our

medicines and expected revenue and profitability which would have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition and prospects.

We may also experience pressure from payers concerning certain promotional approaches that we may implement such as our HorizonCares program or any other co-pay or free medicine programs whereby we assist qualified patients with certain out-of-pocket expenditures for our medicine. Certain enforcement authorities and members of Congress have initiated inquiries about co-pay assistance programs. Some state legislatures have been considering proposals that would restrict or ban co-pay coupons. For example, legislation was recently signed into law in California that would limit the use of co-pay coupons in cases where a lower cost generic drug is available and if individual ingredients in combination therapies are available over the counter at a lower cost. If we are unsuccessful with our HorizonCares program or any other co-pay initiatives or free medicine programs, or we alternatively are unable to secure expanded formulary access through additional arrangements with PBMs or other payers, we would be at a competitive disadvantage in terms of pricing versus preferred branded and generic competitors. We may also experience financial pressure in the future which would make it difficult to support investment levels in areas such as managed care contract rebates, HorizonCares and other access tools.

We are subject to federal, state and foreign healthcare laws and regulations and implementation or changes to such healthcare laws and regulations could adversely affect our business and results of operations.\*

The United States and some foreign jurisdictions are considering or have enacted a number of legislative and regulatory proposals to regulate and to change the healthcare system in ways that could affect our ability to sell our medicines profitably. In the United States and elsewhere, there is significant interest in promoting changes in healthcare systems with the stated goals of containing healthcare costs (including a number of proposals pertaining to prescription drugs, specifically), improving quality and/or expanding access. In the United States, the pharmaceutical industry has been a particular focus of these efforts and has been significantly affected by major legislative initiatives.

If we are found to be in violation of any of these laws or any other federal or state regulations, we may be subject to civil and/or criminal penalties, damages, fines, exclusion, additional reporting requirements and/or oversight from federal health care programs and the restructuring of our operations. Any of these could have a material adverse effect on our business and financial results. Since many of these laws have not been fully interpreted by the courts, there is an increased risk that we may be found in violation of one or more of their provisions. Any action against us for violation of these laws, even if we ultimately are successful in our defense, will cause us to incur significant legal expenses and divert our management's attention away from the operation of our business.

In January 2017, the United States House of Representatives and Senate passed legislation, the concurrent budget resolution for fiscal year 2017, which initiates actions that would repeal certain aspects of the ACA. Further, on January 20, 2017, President Trump signed an Executive Order directing federal agencies with authorities and responsibilities under the ACA, to waive, defer, grant exemptions from, or delay the implementation of any provision of the ACA that would impose a fiscal or regulatory burden on states, individuals, healthcare providers, health insurers, or manufacturers of pharmaceuticals or medical devices. In May 2017, following the passage of the budget resolution for fiscal year 2017, the U.S. House of Representatives passed legislation known as the American Health Care Act, which, if enacted, would have amended and repealed significant portions of the ACA. The U.S. Senate considered but did not adopt other legislation to amend and/or replace elements of the ACA and authority to act under the fiscal year 2017 budget resolution expired on September 30, 2017. However, on October 12, 2017, President Trump signed another Executive Order directing certain federal agencies to propose regulations or guidelines to permit small businesses to form association health plans, expand the availability of short-term, limited duration insurance, and expand the use of health reimbursement arrangements, which may circumvent some of the requirements for health insurance mandated by the ACA. In addition, citing legal guidance from the U.S. Department of Justice and the HHS, the Trump administration has concluded that cost-sharing reduction, or CSR, payments to insurance companies required under the ACA have not received necessary appropriations from Congress and announced that it will discontinue these payments immediately until such appropriations are made. The loss of the CSR payments is expected to increase premiums on certain policies issued by qualified health plans under the ACA. We continue to evaluate the effect that the ACA and its possible repeal and replacement has on our business.

In addition, drug pricing by pharmaceutical companies has recently come under increased scrutiny. Specifically, there have been several recent U.S. Congressional inquiries and proposed federal and state legislation designed to, among other things, bring more transparency to drug pricing by requiring drug companies to notify insurers and government regulators of price increases and provide an explanation of the reasons for the increase, reduce the out-of-pocket cost of prescription drugs, review the relationship between pricing and manufacturer patient programs, reduce the cost of drugs under Medicare, and reform government program reimbursement methodologies. Legislation was recently signed into law in California that requires drug manufacturers to provide advance notice and explanation to state regulators, health plans and insurers and PBMs for price increases of more than 16% over two years. Moreover, President Trump has discussed the need for federal legislation, regulation or Executive Order to regulate the prices of medicines. The majority of our medicines are purchased by private payers, and much of the focus of pending legislation is on government program reimbursement. However, we cannot know what form any such action may take, the likelihood it would be executed, enacted, effectuated or implemented or the market's perception of how such legislation would affect us. Any reduction in reimbursement from government programs may result in a similar

reduction in payments from private payers. The implementation of cost containment measures or other healthcare reforms may prevent us from being able to generate revenue, attain profitability, or commercialize our current medicines and/or those for which we may receive regulatory approval in the future.

We are subject, directly or indirectly, to federal and state healthcare fraud and abuse and false claims laws and regulations. Prosecutions under such laws have increased in recent years and we may become subject to such litigation. If we are unable to comply, or have not fully complied, with such laws, we could face substantial penalties.

In the United States, we are subject directly, or indirectly through our customers, to various state and federal fraud and abuse laws, including, without limitation, the federal Anti-Kickback Statute, the federal False Claims Act, civil monetary penalty statutes prohibiting beneficiary inducements, and similar state laws, federal and state privacy and security laws, sunshine laws, government price reporting laws, and other fraud laws. These laws may impact, among other things, our current and proposed sales, marketing and educational programs, as well as other possible relationships with customers, pharmacies, physicians, payers, and patients.

Compliance with these laws, including the development of a comprehensive compliance program, is difficult, costly and time consuming. Because of the breadth of these laws and the narrowness of available statutory and regulatory exemptions, it is possible that some of our business activities could be subject to challenge under one or more of such laws. These risks may be increased where there are evolving interpretations of applicable regulatory requirements, such as those applicable to manufacturer co-pay initiatives. Pharmaceutical manufacturer co-pay initiatives and free medicine programs are the subject of ongoing litigation (involving other manufacturers and to which we are not a party) and evolving interpretations of applicable regulatory requirements and certain state laws, and any change in the regulatory or enforcement environment regarding such programs could impact our ability to offer such programs. If we are unsuccessful with our HorizonCares programs, any other co-pay initiatives or free medicine programs, we would be at a competitive disadvantage in terms of pricing versus preferred branded and generic competitors, or be subject to significant penalties. We are engaged in various business arrangements with current and potential customers, and we can give no assurance that such arrangements would not be subject to scrutiny under such laws, despite our efforts to properly structure such arrangements. Even if we structure our programs with the intent of compliance with such laws, there can be no certainty that we would not need to defend our business activities against enforcement or litigation. Further, we cannot give any assurances that prior business activities or arrangements of other companies that we acquire will not be scrutinized or subject to enforcement or litigation.

There has also been a trend of increased federal and state regulation of payments made to physicians and other healthcare providers. The ACA, among other things, imposed reporting requirements on drug manufacturers for payments made by them to physicians and teaching hospitals, as well as ownership and investment interests held by physicians and their immediate family members. Failure to submit required information may result in significant civil monetary penalties.

We are unable to predict whether we could be subject to actions under any of these or other healthcare laws, or the impact of such actions. If we are found to be in violation of, or to encourage or assist the violation by third parties of any of the laws described above or other applicable state and federal fraud and abuse laws, we may be subject to penalties, including administrative, civil and criminal penalties, damages, fines, withdrawal of regulatory approval, imprisonment, exclusion from government healthcare reimbursement programs, contractual damages, reputational harm, diminished profits and future earnings, injunctions and other associated remedies, or private “qui tam” actions brought by individual whistleblowers in the name of the government, and the curtailment or restructuring of our operations, all of which could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations. Any action against us for violation of these laws, even if we successfully defend against it, could cause us to incur significant legal expenses and divert our management’s attention from the operation of our business.

Our medicines or any other medicine candidate that we develop may cause undesirable side effects or have other properties that could delay or prevent regulatory approval or commercialization, result in medicine re-labeling or withdrawal from the market or have a significant impact on customer demand.

Undesirable side effects caused by any medicine candidate that we develop could result in the denial of regulatory approval by the FDA or other regulatory authorities for any or all targeted indications, or cause us to evaluate the future of our development programs. In our two Phase 3 clinical trials with DUEXIS, the most commonly reported treatment-emergent adverse events were nausea, dyspepsia, diarrhea, constipation and upper respiratory tract infection. In Phase 3 endoscopic registration clinical trials with VIMOVO, the most commonly reported treatment-emergent adverse events were erosive gastritis, dyspepsia, gastritis, diarrhea, gastric ulcer, upper abdominal pain, nausea and upper respiratory tract infection. The most common side effects observed in pivotal trials for ACTIMMUNE were “flu-like” or constitutional symptoms such as fever, headache, chills, myalgia and fatigue. The most commonly reported treatment-emergent adverse events in the Phase 3 clinical trials with RAYOS/LODOTRA included flare in rheumatoid arthritis related symptoms, abdominal pain, nasopharyngitis, headache, flushing, upper respiratory tract infection, back pain and weight gain. The most common adverse events reported in a Phase 2 clinical trial of PENNSAID 2% were application site reactions, such as dryness, exfoliation, erythema, pruritus, pain, induration, rash and scabbing. With respect to BUPHENYL, the most common side effects are change in the

frequency of breathing, lack of or irregular menstruation, lower back, side, or stomach pain, mood or mental changes, muscle pain or twitching, nausea or vomiting, nervousness or restlessness, swelling of the feet or lower legs, unpleasant taste and unusual tiredness or weakness. With respect to RAVICTI, the most common side effects are diarrhea, nausea, decreased appetite, gas, vomiting, high blood levels of ammonia, headache, tiredness and dizziness. With respect to KRYSTEXXA, the most commonly reported serious adverse reactions in the pivotal trial were gout flares, infusion reactions, nausea, contusion or ecchymosis, nasopharyngitis, constipation, chest pain, anaphylaxis, exacerbation of pre-existing congestive heart failure and vomiting. With respect to MIGERGOT, the most commonly reported adverse reactions are ischemia, cyanosis, absence of pulse, cold extremities, gangrene, precordial distress and pain, electrocardiogram change, muscle pain, nausea and vomiting, rectal or anal ulcer, parathesias, numbness weakness, vertigo, localized edemas and itching. With respect to PROCYSBI, the most common side effects include vomiting, nausea, abdominal pain, breath odor, diarrhea, skin odor, fatigue, rash and headache. With respect to QUINSAIR, the most common side effects include itching, wheezing, hives, rash, swelling, pale skin color, fast heartbeat and faintness.

The FDA or other regulatory authorities may also require, or we may undertake, additional clinical trials to support the safety profile of our medicines or medicine candidates.



In addition, if we or others identify undesirable side effects caused by our medicines or any other medicine candidate that we may develop that receives marketing approval, or if there is a perception that the medicine is associated with undesirable side effects:

- regulatory authorities may require the addition of labeling statements, such as a “black box” warning or a contraindication;
- regulatory authorities may withdraw their approval of the medicine or place restrictions on the way it is prescribed;
- we may be required to change the way the medicine is administered, conduct additional clinical trials or change the labeling of the medicine or implement a risk evaluation and mitigation strategy; and
- we may be subject to increased exposure to product liability and/or personal injury claims.

If any of these events occurred with respect to our medicines, our ability to generate significant revenues from the sale of these medicines would be significantly harmed.

We rely on third parties to conduct our preclinical and clinical trials. If these third parties do not successfully carry out their contractual duties or meet expected deadlines or if they experience regulatory compliance issues, we may not be able to obtain regulatory approval for or commercialize our medicine candidates and our business could be substantially harmed.

We have agreements with third-party contract research organizations, or CROs, to conduct our clinical programs, including those required for post-marketing commitments, and we expect to continue to rely on CROs for the completion of on-going and planned clinical trials. We may also have the need to enter into other such agreements in the future if we were to develop other medicine candidates or conduct clinical trials in additional indications for our existing medicines. In connection with the investigator-initiated study to evaluate ACTIMMUNE in combination with PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors in various forms of cancer including advanced urothelial carcinoma (bladder cancer) and renal cell carcinoma, we are collaborating with Fox Chase Cancer Center. In connection with our ongoing study to evaluate RAYOS/LODOTRA on the fatigue experienced by SLE patients, we are collaborating with the ALR. We rely heavily on these parties for the execution of our clinical studies and control only certain aspects of their activities. Nevertheless, we are responsible for ensuring that each of our studies is conducted in accordance with the applicable protocol. We, our CROs and our academic research organizations are required to comply with current GCP or ICH regulations. The FDA enforces these GCP or ICH regulations through periodic inspections of trial sponsors, principal investigators and trial sites. If we or our CROs or collaborators fail to comply with applicable GCP or ICH regulations, the data generated in our clinical trials may be deemed unreliable and our submission of marketing applications may be delayed or the FDA may require us to perform additional clinical trials before approving our marketing applications. We cannot assure you that, upon inspection, the FDA will determine that any of our clinical trials comply or complied with GCP or ICH regulations. In addition, our clinical trials must be conducted with medicine produced under cGMP regulations, and may require a large number of test subjects. Our failure to comply with these regulations may require us to repeat clinical trials, which would delay the regulatory approval process. Moreover, our business may be implicated if any of our CROs or collaborators violates federal or state fraud and abuse or false claims laws and regulations or healthcare privacy and security laws. We must also obtain certain third-party institutional review board, or IRB, and ethics committee approvals in order to conduct our clinical trials. Delays by IRBs and ethics committees in providing such approvals may delay our clinical trials.

If any of our relationships with these third-party CROs or collaborators terminate, we may not be able to enter into similar arrangements on commercially reasonable terms, or at all. If CROs or collaborators do not successfully carry out their contractual duties or obligations or meet expected deadlines, if they need to be replaced or if the quality or accuracy of the clinical data they obtain is compromised due to the failure to adhere to our clinical protocols or regulatory requirements or for other reasons, our clinical trials may be extended, delayed or terminated and we may not be able to obtain regulatory approval for or successfully commercialize our medicines and medicine candidates. As a result, our results of operations and the commercial prospects for our medicines and medicine candidates would be harmed, our costs could increase and our ability to generate revenues could be delayed.

Switching or adding additional CROs or collaborators can involve substantial cost and require extensive management time and focus. In addition, there is a natural transition period when a new CRO or collaborator commences work. As a result, delays may occur, which can materially impact our ability to meet our desired clinical development timelines. Though we carefully manage our relationships with our CROs and collaborators, there can be no assurance that we will not encounter similar challenges or delays in the future or that these delays or challenges will not have a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition or prospects.

Clinical development of drugs and biologics involves a lengthy and expensive process with an uncertain outcome, and results of earlier studies and trials may not be predictive of future trial results.\*

Clinical testing is expensive and can take many years to complete, and its outcome is uncertain. Failure can occur at any time during the clinical trial process. The results of preclinical studies and early clinical trials of potential medicine candidates may not be predictive of the results of later-stage clinical trials. Medicine candidates in later stages of clinical trials may fail to show the desired safety and efficacy traits despite having progressed through preclinical studies and initial clinical testing. For example, Raptor announced in September 2015, based on information then available, that it would not advance its program for the treatment of pediatric NASH with PROCYSBI after a Phase 2b trial failed to achieve its primary endpoints. Also, on December 8, 2016, we announced that the Phase 3 trial, Safety, Tolerability and Efficacy of ACTIMMUNE Dose Escalation in Friedreich's Ataxia study evaluating ACTIMMUNE for the treatment of Friedreich's ataxia, or FA, did not meet its primary endpoint of a statistically significant change from baseline in the modified Friedreich's Ataxia Rating Scale at twenty-six weeks versus treatment with placebo. In addition, the secondary endpoints did not meet statistical significance. We, in conjunction with the independent Data Safety Monitoring Board, the principal investigator and the Friedreich's Ataxia Research Alliance Collaborative Clinical Research Network in FA, determined that, based on the trial results, the STEADFAST program would be discontinued, including the twenty-six week extension study and the long-term safety study.

With respect to the investigator-initiated study to evaluate ACTIMMUNE in combination with OPDIVO® (nivolumab) in advanced solid tumors and the Phase 3 pivotal clinical trial of teprotumumab in thyroid eye disease that we commenced in the fourth quarter of 2017, and to the extent that we are required to conduct additional clinical development of any of our existing or later acquired medicines or we conduct clinical development of earlier stage medicine candidates or for other additional indications for RAYOS/LODOTRA, we may experience delays in these clinical trials or investigator-initiated studies. We do not know whether any additional clinical trials will be initiated in the future, begin on time, need to be redesigned, enroll patients on time or be completed on schedule, if at all. Clinical trials can be delayed for a variety of reasons, including delays related to:

- obtaining regulatory approval to commence a trial;
- reaching agreement on acceptable terms with prospective CROs and clinical trial sites, the terms of which can be subject to extensive negotiation and may vary significantly among different CROs and trial sites;
- obtaining IRB or ethics committee approval at each site;
- recruiting suitable patients to participate in a trial;
- having patients complete a trial or return for post-treatment follow-up;
- clinical sites dropping out of a trial;
- adding new sites; or
- manufacturing sufficient quantities of medicine candidates for use in clinical trials.

Patient enrollment, a significant factor in the timing of clinical trials, is affected by many factors including the size and nature of the patient population, the proximity of patients to clinical sites, the eligibility criteria for the trial, the design of the clinical trial, competing clinical trials and clinicians' and patients' perceptions as to the potential advantages of the medicine candidate being studied in relation to other available therapies, including any new drugs or biologics that may be approved for the indications we are investigating. Furthermore, we rely and expect to rely on CROs and clinical trial sites to ensure the proper and timely conduct of our future clinical trials and while we have and intend to have agreements governing their committed activities, we will have limited influence over their actual performance.

We could encounter delays if prescribing physicians encounter unresolved ethical issues associated with enrolling patients in clinical trials of our medicine candidates in lieu of prescribing existing treatments that have established safety and efficacy profiles. Further, a clinical trial may be suspended or terminated by us, our collaborators, the FDA or other regulatory authorities due to a number of factors, including failure to conduct the clinical trial in accordance with regulatory requirements or our clinical protocols, inspection of the clinical trial operations or trial site by the

FDA or other regulatory authorities resulting in the imposition of a clinical hold, unforeseen safety issues or adverse side effects, failure to demonstrate a benefit from using a medicine candidate, changes in governmental regulations or administrative actions or lack of adequate funding to continue the clinical trial. If we experience delays in the completion of, or if we terminate, any clinical trial of our medicine candidates, the commercial prospects of our medicine candidates will be harmed, and our ability to generate medicine revenues from any of these medicine candidates will be delayed. In addition, any delays in completing our clinical trials will increase our costs, slow down our medicine development and approval process and jeopardize our ability to commence medicine sales and generate revenues.

Moreover, principal investigators for our clinical trials may serve as scientific advisors or consultants to us from time to time and receive compensation in connection with such services. Under certain circumstances, we may be required to report some of these relationships to the FDA. The FDA may conclude that a financial relationship between us and a principal investigator has created a conflict of interest or otherwise affected interpretation of the study. The FDA may therefore question the integrity of the data generated at the applicable clinical trial site and the utility of the clinical trial itself may be jeopardized. This could result in a delay in approval, or rejection, of our marketing applications by the FDA and may ultimately lead to the denial of marketing approval of one or more of our medicine candidates.

Any of these occurrences may harm our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects significantly. In addition, many of the factors that cause, or lead to, a delay in the commencement or completion of clinical trials may also ultimately lead to the denial of regulatory approval of our medicine candidates.

Business interruptions could seriously harm our future revenue and financial condition and increase our costs and expenses.

Our operations could be subject to earthquakes, power shortages, telecommunications failures, water shortages, floods, hurricanes, typhoons, fires, extreme weather conditions, medical epidemics and other natural or man-made disasters or business interruptions. While we carry insurance for certain of these events and have implemented disaster management plans and contingencies, the occurrence of any of these business interruptions could seriously harm our business and financial condition and increase our costs and expenses. We conduct significant management operations at both our global headquarters located in Dublin, Ireland and our U.S. office located in Lake Forest, Illinois. If our Dublin or Lake Forest offices were affected by a natural or man-made disaster or other business interruption, our ability to manage our domestic and foreign operations could be impaired, which could materially and adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition. We currently rely, and intend to rely in the future, on third-party manufacturers and suppliers to produce our medicines and third-party logistics partners to ship our medicines. Our ability to obtain commercial supplies of our medicines could be disrupted and our results of operations and financial condition could be materially and adversely affected if the operations of these third-party suppliers or logistics partners were affected by a man-made or natural disaster or other business interruption. The ultimate impact of such events on us, our significant suppliers and our general infrastructure is unknown.

We are dependent on information technology systems, infrastructure and data, which exposes us to data security risks.\*

We are dependent upon our own or third-party information technology systems, infrastructure and data, including mobile technologies, to operate our business. The multitude and complexity of our computer systems may make them vulnerable to service interruption or destruction, malicious intrusion, or random attack. Likewise, data privacy or security breaches by employees or others may pose a risk that sensitive data, including our intellectual property, trade secrets or personal information of our employees, patients, customers or other business partners may be exposed to unauthorized persons or to the public. Cyber-attacks are increasing in their frequency, sophistication and intensity. Cyber-attacks could include the deployment of harmful malware, denial-of-service, social engineering and other means to affect service reliability and threaten data confidentiality, integrity and availability. Our business partners face similar risks and any security breach of their systems could adversely affect our security posture. A security breach or privacy violation that leads to disclosure or modification of or prevents access to patient information, including personally identifiable information or protected health information, could harm our reputation, compel us to comply with federal and/or state breach notification laws and foreign law equivalents, subject us to mandatory corrective action, require us to verify the correctness of database contents and otherwise subject us to litigation or other liability under laws and regulations that protect personal data, any of which could disrupt our business and/or result in increased costs or loss of revenue. Moreover, the prevalent use of mobile devices that access confidential information increases the risk of data security breaches, which could lead to the loss of confidential information, trade secrets or other intellectual property. While we have invested, and continue to invest, in the protection of our data and

information technology infrastructure, there can be no assurance that our efforts will prevent service interruptions, or identify breaches in our systems, that could adversely affect our business and operations and/or result in the loss of critical or sensitive information, which could result in financial, legal, business or reputational harm to us. In addition, our liability insurance may not be sufficient in type or amount to cover us against claims related to security breaches, cyber-attacks and other related breaches.

If product liability lawsuits are brought against us, we may incur substantial liabilities and may be required to limit commercialization of our medicines.\*

We face an inherent risk of product liability claims as a result of the commercial sales of our medicines and the clinical testing of our medicine candidates. For example, we may be sued if any of our medicines or medicine candidates allegedly causes injury or is found to be otherwise unsuitable during clinical testing, manufacturing, marketing or sale. Any such product liability claims may include allegations of defects in manufacturing, defects in design, a failure to warn of dangers inherent in the medicine, negligence, strict liability or a breach of warranties. Claims could also be asserted under state consumer protection acts. If we cannot successfully defend ourselves against product liability claims, we may incur substantial liabilities or be required to limit commercialization of our medicines and medicine candidates. Even a successful defense would require significant financial and management resources. Regardless of the merits or eventual outcome, liability claims may result in:

- decreased demand for our medicines or medicine candidates that we may develop;

91

---

- injury to our reputation;
- withdrawal of clinical trial participants;
- initiation of investigations by regulators;
- costs to defend the related litigation;
- a diversion of management's time and resources;
- substantial monetary awards to trial participants or patients;
- medicine recalls, withdrawals or labeling, marketing or promotional restrictions;
- loss of revenue;
- exhaustion of any available insurance and our capital resources; and
- the inability to commercialize our medicines or medicine candidates.

Our inability to obtain and retain sufficient product liability insurance at an acceptable cost to protect against potential product liability claims could prevent or inhibit the commercialization of medicines we develop. We currently carry product liability insurance covering our clinical studies and commercial medicine sales in the amount of \$125 million in the aggregate. Although we maintain such insurance, any claim that may be brought against us could result in a court judgment or settlement in an amount that is not covered, in whole or in part, by our insurance or that is in excess of the limits of our insurance coverage. If we determine that it is prudent to increase our product liability coverage due to the on-going commercialization of our current medicines in the United States, and/or the potential commercial launches of any of our medicines in additional markets or for additional indications, we may be unable to obtain such increased coverage on acceptable terms or at all. Our insurance policies also have various exclusions, and we may be subject to a product liability claim for which we have no coverage. We will have to pay any amounts awarded by a court or negotiated in a settlement that exceed our coverage limitations or that are not covered by our insurance, and we may not have, or be able to obtain, sufficient capital to pay such amounts.

Our business involves the use of hazardous materials, and we and our third-party manufacturers must comply with environmental laws and regulations, which can be expensive and restrict how we do business.

Our third-party manufacturers' activities involve the controlled storage, use and disposal of hazardous materials owned by us, including the components of our medicine candidates and other hazardous compounds. We and our manufacturers are subject to federal, state and local as well as foreign laws and regulations governing the use, manufacture, storage, handling and disposal of these hazardous materials. Although we believe that the safety procedures utilized by our third-party manufacturers for handling and disposing of these materials comply with the standards prescribed by these laws and regulations, we cannot eliminate the risk of accidental contamination or injury from these materials. In the event of an accident, state, federal or foreign authorities may curtail the use of these materials and interrupt our business operations. We do not currently maintain hazardous materials insurance coverage. If we are subject to any liability as a result of our third-party manufacturers' activities involving hazardous materials, our business and financial condition may be adversely affected. In the future we may seek to establish longer-term third-party manufacturing arrangements, pursuant to which we would seek to obtain contractual indemnification protection from such third-party manufacturers potentially limiting this liability exposure.

Our employees, independent contractors, principal investigators, consultants, vendors, distributors and CROs may engage in misconduct or other improper activities, including noncompliance with regulatory standards and requirements.

We are exposed to the risk that our employees, independent contractors, principal investigators, consultants, vendors, distributors and CROs may engage in fraudulent or other illegal activity. Misconduct by these parties could include intentional, reckless and/or negligent conduct or unauthorized activities that violate FDA regulations, including those laws that require the reporting of true, complete and accurate information to the FDA, manufacturing standards, federal and state healthcare fraud and abuse laws and regulations, and laws that require the true, complete and accurate reporting of financial information or data. In particular, sales, marketing and business arrangements in the healthcare industry are subject to extensive laws and regulations intended to prevent fraud, misconduct, kickbacks, self-dealing and other abusive practices. These laws and regulations may restrict or prohibit a wide range of pricing, discounting,

marketing and promotion, sales commission, customer incentive programs and other business arrangements. Misconduct by our employees and other third parties may also include the improper use of information obtained in the course of clinical trials, which could result in regulatory sanctions and serious harm to our reputation. We have adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, but it is not always possible to identify and deter misconduct by our employees and other third parties, and the precautions we take to detect and prevent this activity may not be effective in controlling unknown or unmanaged risks or losses or in protecting us from governmental investigations or other actions or lawsuits stemming from a failure to be in compliance with such laws or regulations. If any such actions are instituted against us, and we are not successful in defending ourselves or asserting our rights, those actions could have a significant impact on our business, including the imposition of significant civil and criminal penalties, damages, fines, the curtailment or restructuring of our operations, the exclusion from participation in federal and state healthcare programs and imprisonment.



## Risks Related to our Financial Position and Capital Requirements

In the past we have incurred significant operating losses.\*

We have a limited operating history and even less history operating as a combined organization following the acquisitions of Vidara, Hyperion, Crealta and Raptor. We have financed our operations primarily through equity and debt financings and have incurred significant operating losses in the past. We had an operating loss of \$316.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2017, an operating loss of \$147.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, operating income of \$55.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 and an operating loss of \$8.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. We had a net loss of \$364.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2017, a net loss of \$166.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, net income of \$39.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 and a net loss of \$263.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. As of September 30, 2017, we had an accumulated deficit of \$1,205.9 million. Our prior losses have resulted principally from costs incurred in our development activities for our medicines and medicine candidates, commercialization activities related to our medicines, costs associated with our acquisition transactions and costs associated with derivative liability accounting. Our prior losses, combined with possible future losses, have had and will continue to have an adverse effect on our shareholders' deficit and working capital. While we anticipate that we will generate operating profits in the future, whether we can sustain this will depend on the revenues we generate from the sale of our medicines being sufficient to cover our operating expenses.

We have limited sources of revenues and significant expenses. We cannot be certain that we will achieve or sustain profitability, which would depress the market price of our ordinary shares and could cause our investors to lose all or a part of their investment.\*

Our ability to achieve and sustain profitability depends upon our ability to generate sales of our medicines. We have a limited history of commercializing our medicines as a company, and commercialization has been primarily in the United States. We may never be able to successfully commercialize our medicines or develop or commercialize other medicines in the United States or in the EU, which we believe represents our most significant commercial opportunity. Our ability to generate future revenues depends heavily on our success in:

- continued commercialization of our existing medicines and any other medicine candidates for which we obtain approval;
- obtaining FDA approvals for additional indications for ACTIMMUNE and RAVICTI;
- securing additional foreign regulatory approvals for our medicines in territories where we have commercial rights; and
- developing, acquiring and commercializing a portfolio of other medicines or medicine candidates in addition to our current medicines.

Even if we do generate additional medicine sales, we may not be able to achieve or sustain profitability on a quarterly or annual basis. Our failure to become and remain profitable would depress the market price of our ordinary shares and could impair our ability to raise capital, expand our business, diversify our medicine offerings or continue our operations.

We may need to obtain additional financing to fund additional acquisitions.

Our operations have consumed substantial amounts of cash since inception. We expect to continue to spend substantial amounts to:

- commercialize our existing medicines in the United States, including the substantial expansion of our sales force in recent years;
- complete the regulatory approval process, and any future required clinical development related thereto, for our medicines and medicine candidates;

potentially acquire other businesses or additional complementary medicines or medicines that augment our current medicine portfolio, including costs associated with refinancing debt of acquired companies; and  
conduct clinical trials with respect to potential additional indications, as well as conduct post-marketing requirements and commitments, with respect to our medicines and medicines we acquire.

While we believe that our existing cash and cash equivalents will be sufficient to fund our operations based on our current expectations of continued revenue growth, we may need to raise additional funds if we choose to expand our commercialization or development efforts more rapidly than presently anticipated, if we develop or acquire additional medicines or acquire companies, or if our revenue does not meet expectations.

We cannot be certain that additional funding will be available on acceptable terms, or at all. If we are unable to raise additional capital in sufficient amounts or on terms acceptable to us, we may have to significantly delay, scale back or discontinue the development or commercialization of one or more of our medicines or medicine candidates or one or more of our other research and development initiatives, or delay, cut back or abandon our plans to grow the business through acquisition. We also could be required to:

- seek collaborators for one or more of our current or future medicine candidates at an earlier stage than otherwise would be desirable or on terms that are less favorable than might otherwise be available; or
- relinquish or license on unfavorable terms our rights to technologies or medicine candidates that we would otherwise seek to develop or commercialize ourselves.

In addition, if we are unable to secure financing to support future acquisitions, our ability to execute on a key aspect of our overall growth strategy would be impaired.

Any of the above events could significantly harm our business, financial condition and prospects.

We have incurred a substantial amount of debt, which could adversely affect our business, including by restricting our ability to engage in additional transactions or incur additional indebtedness, and prevent us from meeting our debt obligations.\*

As of September 30, 2017, we had \$1,897.6 million book value, or \$2,022.9 million aggregate principal amount, of indebtedness, including \$847.9 million in secured indebtedness. In March 2017, we borrowed \$850.0 million in aggregate principal amount of secured loans pursuant to our credit agreement. In connection with the acquisition of Hyperion, we issued \$475.0 million aggregate principal amount of 6.625% Senior Notes due 2023, or the 2023 Senior Notes, in April 2015. In connection with the acquisition of Raptor, we issued \$300.0 million aggregate principal amount of 8.75% Senior Notes due 2024, or the 2024 Senior Notes, in October 2016. In March 2015, we issued \$400.0 million aggregate principal amount of 2.50% Exchangeable Senior Notes due 2022, or the Exchangeable Senior Notes. On October 23, 2017, we borrowed approximately \$845.8 million aggregate principal amount of loans under our credit agreement pursuant to an amendment to our credit agreement to refinance the then outstanding senior secured term loans incurred in March 2017 under our credit agreement, which totaled approximately \$845.8 million. Accordingly, we have a significant amount of debt outstanding on a consolidated basis.

This substantial level of debt could have important consequences to our business, including, but not limited to:

- reducing the benefits we expect to receive from our recent and any future acquisition transactions;
- making it more difficult for us to satisfy our obligations;
- requiring a substantial portion of our cash flows from operations to be dedicated to the payment of principal and interest on our indebtedness, therefore reducing our ability to use our cash flows to fund acquisitions, capital expenditures, and future business opportunities;
- exposing us to the risk of increased interest rates to the extent of any future borrowings, including borrowings under our credit agreement, at variable rates of interest;
- making it more difficult for us to satisfy our obligations with respect to our indebtedness, including our outstanding notes, our credit agreement, and any failure to comply with the obligations of any of our debt instruments, including restrictive covenants and borrowing conditions, could result in an event of default under the agreements governing such indebtedness;
- increasing our vulnerability to, and reducing our flexibility to respond to, changes in our business or general adverse economic and industry conditions;
- limiting our ability to obtain additional financing for working capital, capital expenditures, debt service requirements, acquisitions, and general corporate or other purposes and increasing the cost of any such financing;
- limiting our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry in which we operate; and placing us at a competitive disadvantage as compared to our competitors, to the extent they are not as highly leveraged and who, therefore, may be able to take advantage of opportunities that our leverage may prevent us from

exploiting; and

restricting us from pursuing certain business opportunities.

The credit agreement and the indentures governing the 2024 Senior Notes and the 2023 Senior Notes impose, and the terms of any future indebtedness may impose, various covenants that limit our ability and/or the ability of our restricted subsidiaries' (as designated under such agreements) to, among other things, pay dividends or distributions, repurchase equity, prepay junior debt and make certain investments, incur additional debt and issue certain preferred stock, incur liens on assets, engage in certain asset sales, consolidate with or merge or sell all or substantially all of our assets, enter into transactions with affiliates, designate subsidiaries as unrestricted subsidiaries, and allow to exist certain restrictions on the ability of restricted subsidiaries to pay dividends or make other payments to us.

Our ability to obtain future financing and engage in other transactions may be restricted by these covenants. In addition, any credit ratings will impact the cost and availability of future borrowings and our cost of capital. Our ratings at any time will reflect each rating organization's then opinion of our financial strength, operating performance and ability to meet our debt obligations. There can be no assurance that we will achieve a particular rating or maintain a particular rating in the future. A reduction in our credit ratings may limit our ability to borrow at acceptable interest rates. If our credit ratings were downgraded or put on watch for a potential downgrade, we may not be able to sell additional debt securities or borrow money in the amounts, at the times or interest rates or upon the more favorable terms and conditions that might otherwise be available. Any impairment of our ability to obtain future financing on favorable terms could have an adverse effect on our ability to refinance any of our then-existing debt and may severely restrict our ability to execute on our business strategy, which includes the continued acquisition of additional medicines or businesses.

We may not be able to generate sufficient cash to service all of our indebtedness and may be forced to take other actions to satisfy our obligations under our indebtedness, which may not be successful.

Our ability to make scheduled payments under or to refinance our debt obligations depends on our financial condition and operating performance, which is subject to prevailing economic, industry and competitive conditions and to certain financial, business and other factors beyond our control. Our ability to generate cash flow to meet our payment obligations under our debt may also depend on the successful implementation of our operating and growth strategies. Any refinancing of our debt could be at higher interest rates and may require us to comply with more onerous covenants, which could further restrict our business operations. We cannot assure you that we will maintain a level of cash flows from operating activities sufficient to pay the principal, premium, if any, and interest on our indebtedness.

If our cash flows and capital resources are insufficient to fund our debt service obligations, we may be forced to reduce or delay capital expenditures, sell assets or business operations, seek additional capital or restructure or refinance our indebtedness. We cannot ensure that we would be able to take any of these actions, that these actions would be successful and permit us to meet our scheduled debt service obligations or that these actions would be permitted under the terms of existing or future debt agreements, including the indentures that govern the 2024 Senior Notes and the 2023 Senior Notes and the credit agreement. In addition, any failure to make payments of interest and principal on our outstanding indebtedness on a timely basis would likely result in a reduction of our credit rating, which could harm our ability to incur additional indebtedness.

If we cannot make scheduled payments on our debt, we will be in default and, as a result:

- our debt holders could declare all outstanding principal and interest to be due and payable;
- the administrative agent and/or the lenders under the credit agreement could foreclose against the assets securing the borrowings then outstanding; and
- we could be forced into bankruptcy or liquidation, which could result in you losing your investment.

We generally have broad discretion in the use of our cash and may not use it effectively.

Our management has broad discretion in the application of our cash, and investors will be relying on the judgment of our management regarding the use of our cash. Our management may not apply our cash in ways that ultimately increase the value of any investment in our securities. We expect to use our existing cash to fund commercialization activities for our medicines, to potentially fund additional medicine or business acquisitions, to potentially fund additional regulatory approvals of certain of our medicines, to potentially fund development, life cycle management or manufacturing activities of our medicines for other indications, to potentially fund share repurchases, and for working capital, capital expenditures and general corporate purposes. We may also invest our cash in short-term, investment-grade, interest-bearing securities. These investments may not yield a favorable return to our shareholders. If we do not invest or apply our cash in ways that enhance shareholder value, we may fail to achieve expected financial results, which could cause the price of our ordinary shares to decline.

Our ability to use net operating loss carryforwards and certain other tax attributes to offset U.S. income taxes may be limited.\*

Under Sections 382 and 383 of the Code, if a corporation undergoes an “ownership change” (generally defined as a greater than 50 percent change (by value) in its equity ownership over a three-year period), the corporation’s ability to use pre-change net operating loss carryforwards and other pre-change tax attributes to offset post-change income may be limited. We continue to carry forward our annual limitation resulting from an ownership change date of August 2, 2012. The limitation on pre-change net operating losses incurred prior to the August 2, 2012 change date is approximately \$14.7 million for 2017 and \$7.7 million for 2018 through 2028. During the third quarter of 2016, we also recognized additional net operating losses and federal and state tax credits as a result of our acquisition of Raptor on October 25, 2016 in the amount of approximately \$97.3 million of federal net operating losses, state operating losses of approximately \$177.5 million and approximately \$22.4 million of federal and state tax credits. We continue to carry forward the annual limitation related to Hyperion of \$50 million resulting from the last ownership change date in 2014. In addition, in the second quarter of 2017, we recognized \$37.4 million of federal net operating losses, \$43.2 million of state net operating losses and \$5.8 million of federal tax credits following our acquisition of River Vision Development Corp. These acquired federal net operating losses and credits are subject to an annual limitation of \$8.1 million for the 2017 year and \$12.5 million from 2018 through 2021. The net operating loss carryforward limitation is cumulative such that any use of the carryforwards below the limitations in one year will result in a corresponding increase in the limitations for the subsequent tax year.

Following certain acquisitions of a U.S. corporation by a foreign corporation, Section 7874 of the Code limits the ability of the acquired U.S. corporation and its U.S. affiliates to utilize U.S. tax attributes such as net operating losses to offset U.S. taxable income resulting from certain transactions. Based on the limited guidance available, we expect this limitation is applicable following the Vidara Merger. As a result, it is not currently expected that we or our other U.S. affiliates will be able to utilize their U.S. tax attributes to offset their U.S. taxable income, if any, resulting from certain taxable transactions following the Vidara Merger. Notwithstanding this limitation, we expect that we will be able to fully use our U.S. net operating losses prior to their expiration. As a result of this limitation, however, it may take HPI longer to use its net operating losses. Moreover, contrary to these expectations, it is possible that the limitation under Section 7874 of the Code on the utilization of U.S. tax attributes could prevent us from fully utilizing our U.S. tax attributes prior to their expiration if we do not generate sufficient taxable income.

Any limitation on our ability to use our net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards, including the carryforwards of companies that we acquire, will likely increase the taxes we would otherwise pay in future years if we were not subject to such limitations.

Unstable market and economic conditions may have serious adverse consequences on our business, financial condition and share price.\*

From time to time, global credit and financial markets have experienced extreme disruptions, including severely diminished liquidity and credit availability, declines in consumer confidence, declines in economic growth, increases in unemployment rates, and uncertainty about economic stability. Our general business strategy may be adversely affected by any such economic downturn, volatile business environment and continued unpredictable and unstable market conditions. If the equity and credit markets deteriorate, it may make any necessary debt or equity financing more difficult to complete, more costly, and more dilutive. Failure to secure any necessary financing in a timely manner and on favorable terms could have a material adverse effect on our growth strategy, financial performance and share price and could require us to delay or abandon commercialization or development plans. There is a risk that one or more of our current service providers, manufacturers and other partners may not survive an economic down-turn, which could directly affect our ability to attain our operating goals on schedule and on budget.

The U.K.'s referendum to leave the EU or "Brexit," has and may continue to cause disruptions to capital and currency markets worldwide. The full impact of the Brexit decision, however, remains uncertain. A process of negotiation will determine the future terms of the U.K.'s relationship with the EU. During this period of negotiation, our results of operations and access to capital may be negatively affected by interest rate, exchange rate and other market and economic volatility, as well as regulatory and political uncertainty. The tax consequences of the U.K.'s withdrawal from the EU are uncertain as well. Brexit may also have a detrimental effect on our customers, distributors and suppliers, which would, in turn, adversely affect our revenues and financial condition.

At September 30, 2017, we had \$625.0 million of cash and cash equivalents consisting of cash and money market funds. While we are not aware of any downgrades, material losses, or other significant deterioration in the fair value of our cash equivalents since September 30, 2017, no assurance can be given that deterioration in conditions of the global credit and financial markets would not negatively impact our current portfolio of cash equivalents or our ability to meet our financing objectives. Dislocations in the credit market may adversely impact the value and/or liquidity of marketable securities owned by us.

Changes in accounting rules or policies may affect our financial position and results of operations.

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, or GAAP, and related implementation guidelines and interpretations can be highly complex and involve subjective judgments. Changes in these rules or their interpretation, the adoption of new guidance or the application of existing guidance to changes in our business could significantly affect our financial position and results of operations. In addition, our operation as an Irish company with multiple subsidiaries in different jurisdictions adds additional complexity to the application of GAAP and this complexity will

be exacerbated further if we complete additional strategic transactions. Changes in the application of existing rules or guidance applicable to us or our wholly owned subsidiaries could significantly affect our consolidated financial position and results of operations.

Covenants under the indentures governing our 2024 Senior Notes and 2023 Senior Notes and our credit agreement may restrict our business and operations in many ways, and if we do not effectively manage our covenants, our financial conditions and results of operations could be adversely affected.

The indentures governing the 2024 Senior Notes and the 2023 Senior Notes and the credit agreement impose various covenants that limit our ability and/or our restricted subsidiaries' ability to, among other things:

- pay dividends or distributions, repurchase equity, prepay, redeem or repurchase certain debt and make certain investments;
- incur additional debt and issue certain preferred stock;
- provide guarantees in respect of obligations of other persons;
- incur liens on assets;



engage in certain asset sales;  
merge, consolidate with or sell all or substantially all of our assets to another person;  
enter into transactions with affiliates;  
sell assets and capital stock of our subsidiaries;  
enter into agreements that restrict distributions from our subsidiaries;  
designate subsidiaries as unrestricted subsidiaries; and  
allow to exist certain restrictions on the ability of restricted subsidiaries to pay dividends or make other payments to us.

These covenants may:

- limit our ability to borrow additional funds for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions or other general business purposes;
- limit our ability to use our cash flow or obtain additional financing for future working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions or other general business purposes;
- require us to use a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to make debt service payments;
- limit our flexibility to plan for, or react to, changes in our business and industry;
- place us at a competitive disadvantage compared to less leveraged competitors; and
- increase our vulnerability to the impact of adverse economic and industry conditions.

If we are unable to successfully manage the limitations and decreased flexibility on our business due to our significant debt obligations, we may not be able to capitalize on strategic opportunities or grow our business to the extent we would be able to without these limitations.

Our failure to comply with any of the covenants could result in a default under the credit agreement or the indentures governing the 2024 Senior Notes or the 2023 Senior Notes, which could permit the administrative agent or the trustee, as applicable, or permit the lenders or the holders of the 2024 Senior Notes or the 2023 Senior Notes to cause the administrative agent or the trustee, as applicable, to declare all or part of any outstanding senior secured term loans, the 2023 Senior Notes or the 2024 Senior Notes to be immediately due and payable or to exercise any remedies provided to the administrative agent or the trustee, including, in the case of the credit agreement proceeding against the collateral granted to secure our obligations under the credit agreement. An event of default under the credit agreement or the indentures governing the 2024 Senior Notes or the 2023 Senior Notes could also lead to an event of default under the terms of the other agreements and the indenture governing our Exchangeable Senior Notes. Any such event of default or any exercise of rights and remedies by our creditors could seriously harm our business.

If intangible assets that we have recorded in connection with our acquisition transactions become impaired, we could have to take significant charges against earnings.

In connection with the accounting for our various acquisition transactions, we have recorded significant amounts of intangible assets. Under GAAP, we must assess, at least annually and potentially more frequently, whether the value of goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets has been impaired. Amortizing intangible assets will be assessed for impairment in the event of an impairment indicator. Any reduction or impairment of the value of goodwill or other intangible assets will result in a charge against earnings, which could materially adversely affect our results of operations and shareholders' equity in future periods.

## Risks Related to Our Intellectual Property

If we are unable to obtain or protect intellectual property rights related to our medicines and medicine candidates, we may not be able to compete effectively in our markets.\*

We rely upon a combination of patents, trade secret protection and confidentiality agreements to protect the intellectual property related to our medicines and medicine candidates. The strength of patents in the biotechnology and pharmaceutical field involves complex legal and scientific questions and can be uncertain. The patent applications that we own may fail to result in issued patents with claims that cover our medicines in the United States or in other foreign countries. If this were to occur, early generic competition could be expected against our current medicines and other medicine candidates in development. There is no assurance that all potentially relevant prior art relating to our patents and patent applications has been found, which prior art can invalidate a patent or prevent a patent from issuing based on a pending patent application. In particular, because the APIs in DUEXIS, VIMOVO and RAYOS/LODOTRA have been on the market as separate medicines for many years, it is possible that these medicines have previously been used off-label in such a manner that such prior usage would affect the validity of our patents or our ability to obtain patents based on our patent applications. In addition, claims directed to dosing and dose adjustment may be substantially less likely to issue in light of the Supreme Court decision in *Mayo Collaborative Services v. Prometheus Laboratories, Inc.*, where the court held that claims directed to methods of determining whether to adjust drug dosing levels based on drug metabolite levels in the red blood cells were not patent eligible because they were directed to a law of nature. This decision may have wide-ranging implications on the validity and scope of pharmaceutical method claims.

Even if patents do successfully issue, third parties may challenge their validity, enforceability or scope, which may result in such patents being narrowed or invalidated.

Patent litigation is currently pending in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey and the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit against several companies intending to market a generic version of VIMOVO before the expiration of certain of our patents listed in the Orange Book. These cases are collectively known as the VIMOVO cases, and involve the following sets of defendants: (i) Dr. Reddy's; (ii) Lupin; and (iii) Mylan. Patent litigation against a fourth generic company, Actavis, is currently pending in the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. The cases arise from Paragraph IV Patent Certification notice letters from each of Dr. Reddy's, Lupin, Mylan and Actavis advising each had filed an ANDA with the FDA seeking approval to market generic versions of VIMOVO before the expiration of the patents-in-suit.

On January 12, 2017, a six-day bench trial for the cases asserting U.S. Patent Nos. 8,557,285 and 6,926,907, or Case I, commenced against defendants Dr. Reddy's and Mylan before Honorable Judge Mary Cooper in the District of New Jersey. Defendant Lupin formerly entered into a stay pending the entry of judgment in Case I. On June 26, 2017, the court issued its opinion upholding the validity of the '285 and '907 patents and finding that Dr. Reddy's, Mylan's and Lupin's proposed generic naproxen/esomeprazole magnesium products would all infringe at least one of the two patents. The court entered the final judgment on July 21, 2017. Dr. Reddy's, Mylan and Lupin have appealed the District Court's judgment to the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit.

On January 19, 2017, the court entered a scheduling order for the cases asserting U.S. Patent Nos. 8,858,996, 8,865,190, 8,852,636, 9,161,920, and 9,198,888, or Case II, and the cases asserting U.S. Patent Nos. 8,945,621, 9,220,698 and 9,345,695, the case asserting U.S. Patent No. 9,393,208 against Lupin and Mylan, and the case asserting U.S. Patent Nos. 8,945,621, 9,220,698 and 9,345,695 against Dr. Reddy's, or collectively Case III, which was subsequently updated. The court's scheduling order requires, inter alia, filing and serving of the opening claim construction submissions by May 26, 2017. The court has not issued a claim construction order in Case II. A trial date for Cases II and III has not yet been set. On December 20, 2016, Mylan filed a motion to dismiss our first amended complaint for patent infringement in Case III. On April 28, 2017, Dr. Reddy's filed a motion to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction in Case II. On August 18, 2017, the District Court granted Dr. Reddy's and Mylan's motions to dismiss our

claims relating to the '621 patent.

On August 19, 2015, Lupin filed Petitions for inter partes review, or IPR, of U.S. Patent No. 8,858,996, or the '996 patent, and U.S. Patent Nos. 8,852,636 and 8,865,190, or the '190 patent, all patents in litigation in the above referenced VIMOVO cases. On March 1, 2016, the Patent Trial and Appeal Board, or the PTAB, issued decisions to institute the IPRs for the '996 patent and the '190 patent. On March 1, 2017, the PTAB denied the Petition for IPR for U.S. Patent No. 8,852,636. The PTAB hearings for the '996 and '190 patents were both held on November 29, 2016. On February 28, 2017, the PTAB issued final written decisions on the IPRs of the '996 and '190 patents, upholding the validity of both patents.

Patent litigation is currently pending in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against two companies intending to market a generic version of PENNSAID 2% prior to the expiration of certain of our patents listed in the Orange Book. These cases are collectively known as the PENNSAID 2% cases, and involve the following sets of defendants: (i) Actavis and (ii) Lupin. These cases arise from Paragraph IV Patent Certification notice letters from each of Actavis and Lupin advising each had filed an ANDA with the FDA seeking approval to market a generic version of PENNSAID 2% before the expiration of Orange Book listed U.S. Patents 8,217,078, 8,252,838, 8,546,450, 8,563,613, 8,618,164, 8,741,956, 8,871,809, 9,066,913, 9,101,591, 9,132,110, 9,168,304, 9,168,305, 9,220,784, 9,339,551 and 9,339,552.

On August 17, 2016, the district court issued a Markman opinion holding certain of the asserted claims of U.S. Patents 8,252,838, 8,563,613, 9,066,913 and 9,101,591 invalid as indefinite. On August 30, 2016, we filed a motion for reconsideration of the court's August 17, 2016, opinion. On January 6, 2017, the court denied our motion for reconsideration. On March 16, 2017, the court granted Actavis' motion for summary judgment of non-infringement of the asserted claims of U.S. Patents 8,546,450, 8,217,078 and 9,132,110. In view of the Markman and summary judgment decisions, a bench trial was held on March 21-30, 2017, regarding claim 12 of U.S. Patent 9,066,913. On May 14, 2017, the court issued its opinion upholding the validity of claim 12 of the '913 patent, which Actavis had previously admitted its proposed generic diclofenac sodium topical solution product would infringe. Actavis filed its Notice of Appeal on June 16, 2017. We filed our Notice of Appeal of the district court's rulings on certain claims of the '450, '078, '838, '613, '591 '304, '305, '784, '913, and '110 patents on June 9, 2017. Our opening brief was filed on August 14, 2017. Actavis's opening brief, challenging the district court's judgment on the '913 patent, was filed on October 10, 2017. Our brief defending the judgment on the '913 patent is due on November 20, 2017.

On October 27, 2015, we filed suit in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against Actavis for patent infringement of U.S. Patents 9,168,304 and 9,168,305. On February 5, 2016, we filed suit in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against Actavis for patent infringement of U.S. Patent No. 9,220,784. All three patents, U.S. Patent Nos. 9,168,304, 9,168,305, and 9,220,784, are listed in the Orange Book and have claims that cover PENNSAID 2%. All claims from U.S. Patents 9,168,304, 9,168,305 and 9,220,784 asserted against Actavis were held invalid as indefinite by way of the court's August 17, 2016, Markman opinion and the court's January 6, 2017, order denying our motion for reconsideration. The court's rulings are currently on appeal to the Federal Circuit.

We received from Actavis a Paragraph IV Patent Certification Notice Letter dated September 27, 2016, against Orange Book listed U.S. Patent 9,415,029, advising that Actavis had filed an ANDA with the FDA for a generic version of PENNSAID 2%.

On March 18, 2015, we received a Paragraph IV Patent Certification against Orange Book listed U.S. Patents 8,217,078, 8,252,838, 8,546,450, 8,563,613, 8,618,164, 8,741,956, and 8,871,809 from Lupin Limited advising that Lupin Limited had filed an ANDA with the FDA for generic version of PENNSAID 2%. On April 30, 2015, we filed suit in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against Lupin Limited and Lupin Pharmaceuticals Inc., collectively referred to as Lupin, seeking an injunction to prevent the approval of the ANDA. The lawsuit alleges that Lupin has infringed U.S. Patents 8,217,078, 8,252,838, 8,546,450, 8,563,613, 8,618,164, and 8,871,809 by filing an ANDA seeking approval from the FDA to market generic versions of PENNSAID 2% prior to the expiration of certain of our patents listed in the Orange Book. The commencement of the patent infringement lawsuit stays, or bars, FDA approval of Lupin's ANDA for 30 months or until an earlier district court decision which finds that the subject patents are not infringed or are invalid.

On June 30, 2015, we filed suit in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against Lupin for patent infringement of U.S. Patent 9,066,913. On August 11, 2015, we filed an amended complaint in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against Lupin that added U.S. Patent 9,101,591 to the litigation pending on U.S. Patent 9,066,913. On September 17, 2015, we filed suit in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against Lupin for patent infringement of U.S. Patent 9,132,110. All three patents, U.S. Patents 9,066,913, 9,101,591, and 9,132,110, are listed in the Orange Book and have claims that cover PENNSAID 2%.

On October 27, 2015, we filed suit in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against Lupin for patent infringement of U.S. Patents 9,168,304 and 9,168,305. On February 5, 2016, we filed suit in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against Lupin for patent infringement of U.S. Patent 9,220,784. On August 18, 2016, we filed suit in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against Lupin for patent infringement of U.S. Patents 9,339,551, 9,339,552, 9,370,501 and 9,375,412. All seven patents, U.S. Patents 9,168,304, 9,168,305, 9,220,784, 9,339,551, 9,339,552, 9,370,501 and 9,375,412, are listed in the Orange Book and have claims that cover PENNSAID 2%. All of the infringement actions brought against Lupin remain pending, with

certain claims of the '809, '913, '450, '110, '551, '552, '412 and '501 patents being asserted. The decisions reached by the court in the related Actavis actions regarding the '809, '450, '110, '551, '552, '412 and '501 patents as described above, are expected to apply to the same claims asserted against Lupin in these actions. The court has not yet set a trial date for the Lupin actions.

We have received from Apotex Paragraph IV Patent Certification Notice Letters dated April 1, 2016, June 30, 2016, September 21, 2016, April 20, 2017 and April 27, 2017 against Orange Book listed U.S. Patents 8,217,078, 8,252,838, 8,546,450, 8,563,613, 8,618,164, 8,741,956, 8,871,809, 9,066,913, 9,101,591, 9,132,110, 9,168,304, 9,168,305, 9,220,784, 9,339,551, 9,339,552, 9,415,029, 9,539,335 and 9,370,501 advising that Apotex had filed an ANDA with the FDA for a generic version of PENNSAID 2%.

Patent litigation is currently pending in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas against Par Pharmaceutical and in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against Lupin and against Par Pharmaceutical, who are each intending to market generic versions of RAVICTI prior to the expiration of certain of our patents listed in the Orange Book. These cases are collectively known as the RAVICTI cases, and arise from Paragraph IV Patent Certification notice letters from each of Par Pharmaceutical and Lupin advising each had filed an ANDA with the FDA seeking approval to market a generic version of RAVICTI before the expiration of the patents-in-suit.

On April 29, 2015, Par Pharmaceutical filed Petitions for IPR of U.S. Patents 8,404,215 and 8,642,012, two of the patents involved in the above mentioned RAVICTI cases. On November 4, 2015, the PTAB issued decisions instituting such IPRs. On September 29, 2016, the PTAB found all of the claims in U.S. Patent 8,404,215 to be unpatentable. We did not appeal the PTAB's final written decision with respect to U.S. Patent 8,404,215. On November 3, 2016, the PTAB issued a final written decision holding all of the claims of U.S. Patent 8,642,012 patentable. On December 29, 2016, Par Pharmaceutical filed a notice of appeal with the Federal Circuit to appeal the final written decision of the PTAB concerning the patentability of U.S. Patent 8,642,012.

On September 4, 2015, we received notice from Lupin of Lupin's Paragraph IV Patent Certification against the '215 patent and the '012 patent, advising that Lupin had filed an ANDA with the FDA for a generic version of RAVICTI. On November 6, 2015, we also received notice of Lupin's Paragraph IV Patent Certification against the '559 patent. Lupin has not advised us as to the timing or status of the FDA's review of its filing. On October 19, 2015 we filed suit in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against Lupin seeking an injunction to prevent the approval of the ANDA. The lawsuit alleges that Lupin has infringed the '215 patent, the '012 patent and the '559 patent by filing an ANDA seeking approval from the FDA to market generic versions of RAVICTI prior to the expiration of the patents. The subject patents are listed in the Orange Book. On April 6, 2016, we filed an amended complaint in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against Lupin alleging that Lupin has infringed the '559 patent by filing an ANDA seeking approval from the FDA to market generic versions of RAVICTI prior to expiration of the '559 patent. The commencement of the patent infringement lawsuit stays, or bars, FDA approval of Lupin's ANDA for 30 months or until an earlier district court decision that the subject patents are not infringed or are invalid. On April 18, 2016, we received notice from Lupin of Lupin's Paragraph IV Patent Certification against the '278 patent. On July 6, 2016, we received notice from Lupin of Lupin's Paragraph IV Patent Certification against the '966 patent. We filed suit in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey against Lupin on July 21, 2016, seeking an injunction to prevent the approval of Lupin's ANDA and/or to prevent Lupin from selling a generic version of RAVICTI. The lawsuit alleges that Lupin has infringed the '278 patent and the '966 patent by filing an ANDA seeking approval from the FDA to market generic versions of RAVICTI prior to the expiration of the patents. The subject patents are listed in the Orange Book. The Lupin New Jersey actions have been stayed pending the resolution of the PTAB's IPR of the '559 patent.

On April 1, 2016, Lupin filed a Petition for IPR of U.S. Patent 9,095,559, a patent currently at issue in the Lupin RAVICTI case. On September 30, 2016, the PTAB issued a decision instituting the IPR. On September 26, 2017, the PTAB issued its final written decision, ruling that the challenged claims of the '559 patent are unpatentable. Our Notice of Appeal is due by November 28, 2017. On March 27, 2017, Lupin filed a Petition to request an IPR of the '278 patent and a Petition to request an IPR of the '966 patent. We filed our response on the '966 patent on July 6, 2017. Our preliminary patent owner response for the '278 patent was filed on July 24, 2017. On September 28, 2017, the PTAB issued its orders granting Lupin's petitions to institute an IPR of the '278 and the '966 patents. The PTAB must issue a final written decision on the IPRs no later than September 28, 2018.

On July 13, 2017, Par Pharmaceutical filed Petitions for IPR of the '559, '278, and '966 patents. Our opposition to Par Pharmaceutical's requested IPRs is due by November 6, 2017.

On August 8, 2017, we filed suit against Par Pharmaceutical and Lupin in the United States District Court for New Jersey. Par Pharmaceutical and Lupin have answered and counterclaimed. The district court has not yet issued a scheduling order for either action.

We intend to vigorously defend our intellectual property rights relating to our medicines, but we cannot predict the outcome of the VIMOVO cases, the PENNSAID 2% cases, the RAVICTI cases or the IPRs. Any adverse outcome in these matters or any new generic challenges that may arise could result in one or more generic versions of our medicines being launched before the expiration of the listed patents, which could adversely affect our ability to successfully execute our business strategy to increase sales of our medicines, and would negatively impact our financial condition and results of operations, including causing a significant decrease in our revenues and cash flows.

Furthermore, even if they are unchallenged, our patents and patent applications may not adequately protect our intellectual property or prevent others from designing around our claims. If the patent applications we hold with respect to our medicines fail to issue or if their breadth or strength of protection is threatened, it could dissuade companies from collaborating with us to develop them and threaten our ability to commercialize our medicines. We cannot offer any assurances about which, if any, patents will issue or whether any issued patents will be found not invalid and not unenforceable or will go unthreatened by third parties. Since patent applications in the United States and most other countries are confidential for a period of time after filing, and some remain so until issued, we cannot be certain that we were the first to file any patent application related to our medicines or any other medicine candidates. Furthermore, if third parties have filed such patent applications, an interference proceeding in the United States can be provoked by a third-party or instituted by us to determine which party was the first to invent any of the subject matter covered by the patent claims of our applications.

With respect to RAVICTI, the composition of matter patent we hold would have expired in the United States in February 2015 without term extension. However, Hyperion applied for a term extension for this patent under the Drug Price Competition and Patent Term Restoration Act and received notice that the United States Patent and Trademark Office, or the U.S. PTO, extended the expiration date of the patent to July 28, 2018. We cannot guarantee that pending patent applications related to RAVICTI will result in additional patents or that other existing and future patents related to RAVICTI will be held valid and enforceable or will be sufficient to deter generic competition in the United States. Therefore, it is possible that upon expiration of the RAVICTI composition of matter patent, we would need to rely on forms of regulatory exclusivity, to the extent available, to protect against generic competition.

In addition to the protection afforded by patents, we rely on trade secret protection and confidentiality agreements to protect proprietary know-how that is not patentable, processes for which patents are difficult to enforce and any other elements of our drug discovery and development processes that involve proprietary know-how, information or technology that is not covered by patents. Although we expect all of our employees to assign their inventions to us, and all of our employees, consultants, advisors and any third parties who have access to our proprietary know-how, information or technology to enter into confidentiality agreements, we cannot provide any assurances that all such agreements have been duly executed or that our trade secrets and other confidential proprietary information will not be disclosed or that competitors will not otherwise gain access to our trade secrets or independently develop substantially equivalent information and techniques.

Our ability to obtain patents is highly uncertain because, to date, some legal principles remain unresolved, there has not been a consistent policy regarding the breadth or interpretation of claims allowed in patents in the United States and the specific content of patents and patent applications that are necessary to support and interpret patent claims is highly uncertain due to the complex nature of the relevant legal, scientific and factual issues. Changes in either patent laws or interpretations of patent laws in the United States and other countries may diminish the value of our intellectual property or narrow the scope of our patent protection. For example, on September 16, 2011, the Leahy-Smith America Invents Act, or the Leahy-Smith Act, was signed into law. The Leahy-Smith Act includes a number of significant changes to U.S. patent law. These include provisions that affect the way patent applications will be prosecuted and may also affect patent litigation. The U.S. PTO has developed new and untested regulations and procedures to govern the full implementation of the Leahy-Smith Act, and many of the substantive changes to patent law associated with the Leahy-Smith Act, and in particular, the first to file provisions, only became effective in March 2013. The Leahy-Smith Act has also introduced procedures making it easier for third-parties to challenge issued patents, as well as to intervene in the prosecution of patent applications. Finally, the Leahy-Smith Act contains new statutory provisions that still require the U.S. PTO to issue new regulations for their implementation and it may take the courts years to interpret the provisions of the new statute. However, the Leahy-Smith Act and its implementation could increase the uncertainties and costs surrounding the prosecution of our patent applications and the enforcement or defense of our issued patents. In addition, the ACA allows applicants seeking approval of biosimilar or interchangeable versions of biological products such as ACTIMMUNE to initiate a process for challenging some or all of the patents covering the innovator biological product used as the reference product. This process is complicated and could result in the limitation or loss of certain patent rights. An inability to obtain, enforce and defend patents covering our proprietary technologies would materially and adversely affect our business prospects and financial condition.

Further, the laws of some foreign countries do not protect proprietary rights to the same extent or in the same manner as the laws of the United States. As a result, we may encounter significant problems in protecting and defending our intellectual property both in the United States and abroad. For example, if the issuance, in a given country, of a patent to us, covering an invention, is not followed by the issuance, in other countries, of patents covering the same invention, or if any judicial interpretation of the validity, enforceability, or scope of the claims in, or the written description or enablement in, a patent issued in one country is not similar to the interpretation given to the corresponding patent issued in another country, our ability to protect our intellectual property in those countries may be limited. Changes in either patent laws or in interpretations of patent laws in the United States and other countries may materially diminish the value of our intellectual property or narrow the scope of our patent protection. If we are



unable to prevent material disclosure of the non-patented intellectual property related to our technologies to third parties, and there is no guarantee that we will have any such enforceable trade secret protection, we may not be able to establish or maintain a competitive advantage in our market, which could materially adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Third-party claims of intellectual property infringement may prevent or delay our development and commercialization efforts.

Our commercial success depends in part on us avoiding infringement of the patents and proprietary rights of third parties. There is a substantial amount of litigation, both within and outside the United States, involving patent and other intellectual property rights in the biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries, including patent infringement lawsuits, interferences, oppositions and inter party reexamination proceedings before the U.S. PTO. Numerous U.S. and foreign issued patents and pending patent applications, which are owned by third parties, exist in the fields in which our collaborators are developing medicine candidates. As the biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries expand and more patents are issued, the risk increases that our medicine candidates may be subject to claims of infringement of the patent rights of third parties.

Third parties may assert that we are employing their proprietary technology without authorization. There may be third-party patents or patent applications with claims to materials, formulations, methods of manufacture or methods for treatment related to the use or manufacture of our medicines and/or any other medicine candidates. Because patent applications can take many years to issue, there may be currently pending patent applications, which may later result in issued patents that our medicine candidates may infringe. In addition, third parties may obtain patents in the future and claim that use of our technologies infringes upon these patents. If any third-party patents were held by a court of competent jurisdiction to cover the manufacturing process of any of our medicine candidates, any molecules formed during the manufacturing process or any final medicine itself, the holders of any such patents may be able to block our ability to commercialize such medicine candidate unless we obtained a license under the applicable patents, or until such patents expire. Similarly, if any third-party patent were held by a court of competent jurisdiction to cover aspects of our formulations, processes for manufacture or methods of use, including combination therapy, the holders of any such patent may be able to block our ability to develop and commercialize the applicable medicine candidate unless we obtained a license or until such patent expires. In either case, such a license may not be available on commercially reasonable terms or at all.

Parties making claims against us may obtain injunctive or other equitable relief, which could effectively block our ability to further develop and commercialize one or more of our medicine candidates. Defense of these claims, regardless of their merit, would involve substantial litigation expense and would be a substantial diversion of employee resources from our business. In the event of a successful claim of infringement against us, we may have to pay substantial damages, including treble damages and attorneys' fees for willful infringement, obtain one or more licenses from third parties, pay royalties or redesign our infringing medicines, which may be impossible or require substantial time and monetary expenditure. We cannot predict whether any such license would be available at all or whether it would be available on commercially reasonable terms. Furthermore, even in the absence of litigation, we may need to obtain licenses from third parties to advance our research or allow commercialization of our medicine candidates, and we have done so from time to time. We may fail to obtain any of these licenses at a reasonable cost or on reasonable terms, if at all. In that event, we would be unable to further develop and commercialize one or more of our medicine candidates, which could harm our business significantly. We cannot provide any assurances that third-party patents do not exist which might be enforced against our medicines, resulting in either an injunction prohibiting our sales, or, with respect to our sales, an obligation on our part to pay royalties and/or other forms of compensation to third parties.

If we fail to comply with our obligations in the agreements under which we license rights to technology from third parties, we could lose license rights that are important to our business.\*

We are party to a number of technology licenses that are important to our business and expect to enter into additional licenses in the future. For example, we hold an exclusive license to Vectura Group plc's, or Vectura, proprietary technology and know-how covering the delayed-release of corticosteroids relating to RAYOS/LODOTRA. If we fail to comply with our obligations under our agreement with Vectura or our other license agreements, or if we are subject to a bankruptcy, the licensor may have the right to terminate the license, in which event we would not be able to market medicines covered by the license, including RAYOS/ LODOTRA.

In connection with our November 2013 acquisition of the U.S. rights to VIMOVO, we (i) received the benefit of a covenant not to sue under AstraZeneca's patent portfolio with respect to Nexium (which shall automatically become a license under such patent portfolio if and when AstraZeneca reacquires control of such patent portfolio from Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp. and certain of its affiliates), (ii) were assigned AstraZeneca's amended and restated collaboration and license agreement for the United States with Aralez, under which AstraZeneca has in-licensed exclusive rights under certain of Aralez's patents with respect to VIMOVO, and (iii) acquired AstraZeneca's co-ownership rights with Aralez with respect to certain joint patents covering VIMOVO, all for the commercialization of VIMOVO in the United States. If we fail to comply with our obligations under our agreements with AstraZeneca or if we fail to comply with our obligations under our agreements with Aralez, our rights to commercialize VIMOVO in the United States may be adversely affected or terminated by AstraZeneca or Aralez.

We also license rights to patents, know-how and trademarks for ACTIMMUNE from Genentech Inc., or Genentech, under an agreement that remains in effect for so long as we continue to commercialize and sell ACTIMMUNE. However, Genentech may terminate the agreement upon our material default, if not cured within a specified period of time. Genentech may also terminate the agreement in the event of our bankruptcy or insolvency. Upon such a termination of the agreement, all intellectual property rights conveyed to us under the agreement, including the rights to the ACTIMMUNE trademark, revert to Genentech. If we fail to comply with our obligations under this agreement, we could lose the ability to market and distribute ACTIMMUNE, which would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We rely on a license from Ucyclyd with respect to technology developed by Ucyclyd in connection with the manufacturing of RAVICTI. The purchase agreement under which Hyperion purchased the worldwide rights to RAVICTI contains obligations to pay Ucyclyd regulatory and sales milestone payments relating to RAVICTI, as well as royalties on the net sales of RAVICTI. On May 31, 2013, when Hyperion acquired BUPHENYL under a restated collaboration agreement with Ucyclyd, Hyperion received a license to use some of the manufacturing technology developed by Ucyclyd in connection with the manufacturing of BUPHENYL. The restated collaboration agreement also contains obligations to pay Ucyclyd regulatory and sales milestone payments, as well as royalties on net sales of BUPHENYL. If we fail to make a required payment to Ucyclyd and do not cure the failure within the required time period, Ucyclyd may be able to terminate the license to use its manufacturing technology for RAVICTI and BUPHENYL. If we lose access to the Ucyclyd manufacturing technology, we cannot guarantee that an acceptable alternative method of manufacture could be developed or acquired. Even if alternative technology could be developed or acquired, the loss of the Ucyclyd technology could still result in substantial costs and potential periods where we would not be able to market and sell RAVICTI and/or BUPHENYL. We also license intellectual property necessary for commercialization of RAVICTI from an external party. This party may be entitled to terminate the license if we breach the agreement, including failure to pay required royalties on net sales of RAVICTI, or we do not meet specified diligence obligations in our development and commercialization of RAVICTI, and we do not cure the failure within the required time period. If the license is terminated, it may be difficult or impossible for us to continue to commercialize RAVICTI, which would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We also hold an exclusive license to patents and technology from Duke University, or Duke, and Mountain View Pharmaceuticals, Inc., or MVP, covering KRYSTEXXA. Duke and MVP may terminate the license if we commit fraud or for our willful misconduct or illegal conduct. Duke and MVP may also terminate the license upon our material breach of the agreement, if not cured within a specified period of time, or upon written notice if we have committed two or more material breaches under the agreement. Duke and MVP may also terminate the license in the event of our bankruptcy or insolvency. If the license is terminated, it may be impossible for us to continue to commercialize KRYSTEXXA, which would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, we are subject to contractual obligations under our agreements with Tripex and PARI related to QUINSAIR. Under the agreement with Tripex, we are required to pursue commercially reasonable efforts to initiate, and subsequently to complete, an additional clinical trial of QUINSAIR in a non-cystic fibrosis patient population within a specified period of time and an obligation to progress toward submitting an NDA for approval of QUINSAIR in the United States for use in all or part of the cystic fibrosis patient population. These obligations are subject to certain exceptions due to, for example, manufacturing delays not under our control, or delays caused by the FDA. If we fail to properly exercise such efforts to initiate and complete an appropriate clinical trial, or fail to submit an NDA for U.S. approval in the cystic fibrosis patient population, during the time periods specified in the agreement, we may be subject to various claims by Tripex and parties affiliated with Tripex. In addition, if we do not spend a minimum amount on QUINSAIR development in each of the three years following our acquisition of Raptor, we may also be obligated to pre-pay a milestone payment related to initiating a clinical trial for QUINSAIR in a non-cystic fibrosis indication. During October 2017, we triggered a milestone payment under this agreement, and we paid Tripex \$20.0 million in November 2017. Under the license agreement with PARI, we are required to comply with diligence milestones related to development and commercialization of QUINSAIR in the United States and to spend a specified minimum amount per year on development activities in the United States until submission of the NDA for QUINSAIR in the United States. If we do not comply with these obligations, our licenses to certain intellectual property related to QUINSAIR may become non-exclusive in the United States or could be terminated. We are also subject to contractual obligations under our amended and restated license agreement with UCSD, with respect to PROCYSBI, including obligations to consider engaging in the development of PROCYSBI for the treatment of NASH and related diligence obligations if we undertake such development. Under the amended and restated license agreement with UCSD, we also are subject to diligence obligations to identify a third party to undertake development of PROCYSBI for the treatment of Huntington's disease. To the extent that we fail to perform the diligence obligations under the agreement,

UCSD may, with respect to such indication, terminate the license or otherwise cause the license to become non-exclusive. If one or more of these licenses was terminated, we would have no further right to use or exploit the related intellectual property, which would limit our ability to develop PROCYSBI or QUINSAIR in other indications, and could impact our ability to continue commercializing PROCYSBI or QUINSAIR in their approved indications.

We may be involved in lawsuits to protect or enforce our patents or the patents of our licensors, which could be expensive, time consuming and unsuccessful.

Competitors may infringe our patents or the patents of our licensors. To counter infringement or unauthorized use, we may be required to file infringement claims, which can be expensive and time-consuming. In addition, in an infringement proceeding, a court may decide that one of our patents, or a patent of one of our licensors, is not valid or is unenforceable, or may refuse to stop the other party from using the technology at issue on the grounds that our patents do not cover the technology in question. An adverse result in any litigation or defense proceedings could put one or more of our patents at risk of being invalidated or interpreted narrowly and could put our patent applications at risk of not issuing.

There are numerous post grant review proceedings available at the U.S. PTO (including IPR, post-grant review and ex-parte reexamination) and similar proceedings in other countries of the world that could be initiated by a third-party that could potentially negatively impact our issued patents.

Interference proceedings provoked by third parties or brought by us may be necessary to determine the priority of inventions with respect to our patents or patent applications or those of our collaborators or licensors. An unfavorable outcome could require us to cease using the related technology or to attempt to license rights to it from the prevailing party. Our business could be harmed if the prevailing party does not offer us a license on commercially reasonable terms. Our defense of litigation or interference proceedings may fail and, even if successful, may result in substantial costs and distract our management and other employees. We may not be able to prevent, alone or with our licensors, misappropriation of our intellectual property rights, particularly in countries where the laws may not protect those rights as fully as in the United States.

Furthermore, because of the substantial amount of discovery required in connection with intellectual property litigation, there is a risk that some of our confidential information could be compromised by disclosure during this type of litigation. There could also be public announcements of the results of hearings, motions or other interim proceedings or developments. If securities analysts or investors perceive these results to be negative, it could have a material adverse effect on the price of our ordinary shares.

Obtaining and maintaining our patent protection depends on compliance with various procedural, document submission, fee payment and other requirements imposed by governmental patent agencies, and our patent protection could be reduced or eliminated for non-compliance with these requirements.

Periodic maintenance fees on any issued patent are due to be paid to the U.S. PTO and foreign patent agencies in several stages over the lifetime of the patent. The U.S. PTO and various foreign governmental patent agencies require compliance with a number of procedural, documentary, fee payment and other similar provisions during the patent application process. While an inadvertent lapse can in many cases be cured by payment of a late fee or by other means in accordance with the applicable rules, there are situations in which noncompliance can result in abandonment or lapse of the patent or patent application, resulting in partial or complete loss of patent rights in the relevant jurisdiction. Non-compliance events that could result in abandonment or lapse of a patent or patent application include, but are not limited to, failure to respond to official actions within prescribed time limits, non-payment of fees and failure to properly legalize and submit formal documents. If we or licensors that control the prosecution and maintenance of our licensed patents fail to maintain the patents and patent applications covering our medicine candidates, our competitors might be able to enter the market, which would have a material adverse effect on our business.

We may be subject to claims that our employees, consultants or independent contractors have wrongfully used or disclosed confidential information of third parties.

We employ individuals who were previously employed at other biotechnology or pharmaceutical companies. We may be subject to claims that we or our employees, consultants or independent contractors have inadvertently or otherwise used or disclosed confidential information of our employees' former employers or other third parties. We may also be subject to claims that former employers or other third parties have an ownership interest in our patents. Litigation may be necessary to defend against these claims. There is no guarantee of success in defending these claims, and even if we are successful, litigation could result in substantial cost and be a distraction to our management and other employees.

#### Risks Related to Ownership of Our Ordinary Shares

The market price of our ordinary shares historically has been volatile and is likely to continue to be volatile, and you could lose all or part of any investment in our ordinary shares.

The trading price of our ordinary shares has been volatile and could be subject to wide fluctuations in response to various factors, some of which are beyond our control. In addition to the factors discussed in this “Risk Factors” section and elsewhere in this report, these factors include:

- our failure to successfully execute our commercialization strategy with respect to our approved medicines, particularly our commercialization of our medicines in the United States;
- actions or announcements by third-party or government payers with respect to coverage and reimbursement of our medicines;
- disputes or other developments relating to intellectual property and other proprietary rights, including patents, litigation matters and our ability to obtain patent protection for our medicines and medicine candidates;
- unanticipated serious safety concerns related to the use of our medicines;
- adverse regulatory decisions;
- changes in laws or regulations applicable to our business, medicines or medicine candidates, including but not limited to clinical trial requirements for approvals or tax laws;
- inability to comply with our debt covenants and to make payments as they become due;
- inability to obtain adequate commercial supply for any approved medicine or inability to do so at acceptable prices;

developments concerning our commercial partners, including but not limited to those with our sources of manufacturing supply;

- our decision to initiate a clinical trial, not to initiate a clinical trial or to terminate an existing clinical trial;
- adverse results or delays in clinical trials;
- our failure to successfully develop and/or acquire additional medicine candidates or obtain approvals for additional indications for our existing medicine candidates;
- introduction of new medicines or services offered by us or our competitors;
- overall performance of the equity markets, including the pharmaceutical sector, and general political and economic conditions;
- failure to meet or exceed revenue and financial projections that we may provide to the public;
- actual or anticipated variations in quarterly operating results;
- failure to meet or exceed the estimates and projections of the investment community;
- inaccurate or significant adverse media coverage;
- publication of research reports about us or our industry or positive or negative recommendations or withdrawal of research coverage by securities analysts;
- our inability to successfully enter new markets;
- the termination of a collaboration or the inability to establish additional collaborations;
- announcements of significant acquisitions, strategic partnerships, joint ventures or capital commitments by us or our competitors;
- our inability to maintain an adequate rate of growth;
- ineffectiveness of our internal controls or our inability to otherwise comply with financial reporting requirements;
- adverse U.S. and foreign tax exposure;
- additions or departures of key management, commercial or regulatory personnel;
- issuances of debt or equity securities;
- significant lawsuits, including patent or shareholder litigation;
- changes in the market valuations of similar companies to us;
- sales of our ordinary shares by us or our shareholders in the future;
- trading volume of our ordinary shares;
- effects of natural or man-made catastrophic events or other business interruptions; and
- other events or factors, many of which are beyond our control.

In addition, the stock market in general, and The NASDAQ Global Select Market and the stock of biotechnology companies in particular, have experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations that have often been unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of these companies. Broad market and industry factors may adversely affect the market price of our ordinary shares, regardless of our actual operating performance.

We have never declared or paid dividends on our share capital and we do not anticipate paying dividends in the foreseeable future.\*

We have never declared or paid any cash dividends on our ordinary shares. We currently anticipate that we will retain future earnings for the development, operation and expansion of our business and do not anticipate declaring or paying any cash dividends for the foreseeable future, including due to limitations that are currently imposed by our credit agreement and the indentures governing the 2024 Senior Notes and the 2023 Senior Notes. Any return to shareholders will therefore be limited to the increase, if any, of our ordinary share price.



We have incurred and will continue to incur significant increased costs as a result of operating as a public company and our management will be required to devote substantial time to compliance initiatives.

As a public company, we have incurred and will continue to incur significant legal, accounting and other expenses that we did not incur as a private company. In particular, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2000, or the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, as well as rules subsequently implemented by the SEC and the NASDAQ Stock Market, Inc., or NASDAQ, impose significant requirements on public companies, including requiring establishment and maintenance of effective disclosure and financial controls and changes in corporate governance practices. These rules and regulations have substantially increased our legal and financial compliance costs and have made some activities more time-consuming and costly. These effects are exacerbated by our transition to an Irish company and the integration of numerous acquired businesses and operations into our historical business and operating structure. If these requirements divert the attention of our management and personnel from other business concerns, they could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. The increased costs will continue to decrease our net income or increase our net loss, and may require us to reduce costs in other areas of our business or increase the prices of our medicines or services. For example, these rules and regulations make it more difficult and more expensive for us to obtain and maintain director and officer liability insurance. We cannot predict or estimate the amount or timing of additional costs that we may incur to respond to these requirements. The impact of these requirements could also make it more difficult for us to attract and retain qualified persons to serve on our board of directors, our board committees or as executive officers. If we fail to comply with the continued listing requirements of NASDAQ, our ordinary shares could be delisted from The NASDAQ Global Select Market, which would adversely affect the liquidity of our ordinary shares and our ability to obtain future financing.

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act requires, among other things, that we maintain effective internal controls for financial reporting and disclosure controls and procedures. In particular, we are required to perform annual system and process evaluation and testing of our internal controls over financial reporting to allow management to report on the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting, as required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, or Section 404. Our independent registered public accounting firm is also required to deliver a report on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. Our testing, or the testing by our independent registered public accounting firm, may reveal deficiencies in our internal controls over financial reporting that are deemed to be material weaknesses. Our compliance with Section 404 requires that we incur substantial expense and expend significant management efforts, particularly because of our Irish parent company structure and international operations. If we are not able to comply with the requirements of Section 404 or if we or our independent registered public accounting firm identify deficiencies in our internal controls over financial reporting that are deemed to be material weaknesses, the market price of our ordinary shares could decline and we could be subject to sanctions or investigations by NASDAQ, the SEC or other regulatory authorities, which would require additional financial and management resources.

New laws and regulations as well as changes to existing laws and regulations affecting public companies, including the provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and rules adopted by the SEC and by NASDAQ, would likely result in increased costs as we respond to their requirements.

Sales of a substantial number of our ordinary shares in the public market could cause our share price to decline.\*

If our existing shareholders sell, or indicate an intention to sell, substantial amounts of our ordinary shares in the public market, the trading price of such ordinary shares could decline. In addition, our ordinary shares that are either subject to outstanding options or reserved for future issuance under our employee benefit plans are or may become eligible for sale in the public market to the extent permitted by the provisions of various vesting schedules and Rule 144 and Rule 701 under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Act. If these additional ordinary shares are sold, or if it is perceived that they will be sold, in the public market, the trading price of our ordinary shares could decline.

In addition, any conversion or exchange of our Exchangeable Senior Notes, whether pursuant to their terms or pursuant to privately negotiated transactions between the issuer and/or us and a holder of such securities, could depress the market price for our ordinary shares.

Future sales and issuances of our ordinary shares, securities convertible into our ordinary shares or rights to purchase ordinary shares or convertible securities could result in additional dilution of the percentage ownership of our shareholders and could cause our share price to decline.

Additional capital may be needed in the future to continue our planned operations. To the extent we raise additional capital by issuing equity securities or securities convertible into or exchangeable for ordinary shares, our shareholders may experience substantial dilution. We may sell ordinary shares, and we may sell convertible or exchangeable securities or other equity securities in one or more transactions at prices and in a manner we determine from time to time. If we sell such ordinary shares, convertible or exchangeable securities or other equity securities in subsequent transactions, existing shareholders may be materially diluted. New investors in such subsequent transactions could gain rights, preferences and privileges senior to those of holders of ordinary shares. We also maintain equity incentive plans, including our Amended and Restated 2014 Equity Incentive Plan, 2014 Non-Employee Equity Plan and 2014 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, and intend to grant additional ordinary share awards under these and future plans, which will result in additional dilution to our existing shareholders.

Irish law differs from the laws in effect in the United States and may afford less protection to holders of our securities.

It may not be possible to enforce court judgments obtained in the United States against us in Ireland based on the civil liability provisions of the U.S. federal or state securities laws. In addition, there is some uncertainty as to whether the courts of Ireland would recognize or enforce judgments of U.S. courts obtained against us or our directors or officers based on the civil liabilities provisions of the U.S. federal or state securities laws or hear actions against us or those persons based on those laws. We have been advised that the U.S. currently does not have a treaty with Ireland providing for the reciprocal recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters. Therefore, a final judgment for the payment of money rendered by any U.S. federal or state court based on civil liability, whether or not based solely on U.S. federal or state securities laws, would not automatically be enforceable in Ireland.

As an Irish company, we are governed by the Irish Companies Acts, which differ in some material respects from laws generally applicable to U.S. corporations and shareholders, including, among others, differences relating to interested director and officer transactions and shareholder lawsuits. Likewise, the duties of directors and officers of an Irish company generally are owed to the company only. Shareholders of Irish companies generally do not have a personal right of action against directors or officers of the company and may exercise such rights of action on behalf of the company only in limited circumstances. Accordingly, holders of our securities may have more difficulty protecting their interests than would holders of securities of a corporation incorporated in a jurisdiction of the United States.

Provisions of our articles of association could delay or prevent a takeover of us by a third-party.

Our articles of association could delay, defer or prevent a third-party from acquiring us, despite the possible benefit to our shareholders, or otherwise adversely affect the price of our ordinary shares. For example, our articles of association:

- impose advance notice requirements for shareholder proposals and nominations of directors to be considered at shareholder meetings;
- stagger the terms of our board of directors into three classes; and
- require the approval of a supermajority of the voting power of the shares of our share capital entitled to vote generally at a meeting of shareholders to amend or repeal our articles of association.

In addition, several mandatory provisions of Irish law could prevent or delay an acquisition of us. For example, Irish law does not permit shareholders of an Irish public limited company to take action by written consent with less than unanimous consent. We are also subject to various provisions of Irish law relating to mandatory bids, voluntary bids, requirements to make a cash offer and minimum price requirements, as well as substantial acquisition rules and rules requiring the disclosure of interests in our ordinary shares in certain circumstances.

These provisions may discourage potential takeover attempts, discourage bids for our ordinary shares at a premium over the market price or adversely affect the market price of, and the voting and other rights of the holders of, our ordinary shares. These provisions could also discourage proxy contests and make it more difficult for you and our other shareholders to elect directors other than the candidates nominated by our board of directors, and could depress the market price of our ordinary shares.

A transfer of our ordinary shares may be subject to Irish stamp duty.

In certain circumstances, the transfer of shares in an Irish incorporated company will be subject to Irish stamp duty, which is a legal obligation of the buyer. This duty is currently charged at the rate of 1.0 percent of the price paid or the market value of the shares acquired, if higher. Because our ordinary shares are traded on a recognized stock exchange in the United States, an exemption from this stamp duty is available to transfers by shareholders who hold ordinary shares beneficially through brokers which in turn hold those shares through the Depositary Trust Company, or DTC, to holders who also hold through DTC. However, a transfer by or to a record holder who holds ordinary shares directly in his, her or its own name could be subject to this stamp duty. We, in our absolute discretion and insofar as

the Companies Acts or any other applicable law permit, may, or may provide that one of our subsidiaries will pay Irish stamp duty arising on a transfer of our ordinary shares on behalf of the transferee of such ordinary shares. If stamp duty resulting from the transfer of ordinary shares which would otherwise be payable by the transferee is paid by us or any of our subsidiaries on behalf of the transferee, then in those circumstances, we will, on our behalf or on behalf of such subsidiary (as the case may be), be entitled to (i) seek reimbursement of the stamp duty from the transferee, (ii) set-off the stamp duty against any dividends payable to the transferee of those ordinary shares and (iii) claim a first and permanent lien on the ordinary shares on which stamp duty has been paid by us or such subsidiary for the amount of stamp duty paid. Our lien shall extend to all dividends paid on those ordinary shares.

Dividends paid by us may be subject to Irish dividend withholding tax.

In certain circumstances, as an Irish tax resident company, we will be required to deduct Irish dividend withholding tax (currently at the rate of 20%) from dividends paid to our shareholders. Shareholders that are resident in the United States, EU countries (other than Ireland) or other countries with which Ireland has signed a tax treaty (whether the treaty has been ratified or not) generally should not be subject to Irish withholding tax so long as the shareholder has provided its broker, for onward transmission to our qualifying intermediary or other designated agent (in the case of shares held beneficially), or our or its transfer agent (in the case of shares held directly), with all the necessary documentation by the appropriate due date prior to payment of the dividend. However, some shareholders may be subject to withholding tax, which could adversely affect the price of our ordinary shares.

If securities or industry analysts do not publish research or publish inaccurate or unfavorable research about our business, our share price and trading volume could decline.

The trading market for our ordinary shares will depend in part on the research and reports that securities or industry analysts publish about us or our business. If one or more of the analysts who cover us downgrade our rating or publish inaccurate or unfavorable research about our business, our share price could decline. If one or more of these analysts cease coverage of our company or fail to publish reports on our company regularly, demand for our ordinary shares could decrease, which might cause our share price and trading volume to decline.

Securities class action litigation could divert our management's attention and harm our business and could subject us to significant liabilities.

The stock markets have from time to time experienced significant price and volume fluctuations that have affected the market prices for the equity securities of pharmaceutical companies. These broad market fluctuations may cause the market price of our ordinary shares to decline. In the past, securities class action litigation has often been brought against a company following a decline in the market price of its securities. This risk is especially relevant for us because biotechnology and biopharmaceutical companies have experienced significant stock price volatility in recent years. For example, following declines in our stock price, two federal securities class action lawsuits were filed in March 2016 against us and certain of our current and former officers alleging violations of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Subsequently, the two actions were consolidated, and plaintiff added claims under the Securities Act and named additional defendants. This consolidated class action (captioned Schaffer v. Horizon Pharma plc, et al., Case No. 1:16-cv-01763) is currently pending in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. In November 2016, defendants filed motions to dismiss plaintiffs' consolidated amended complaint, which are fully briefed but have not yet been decided by the court. Even if we are successful in defending against this action or any similar claims that may be brought in the future, such litigation could result in substantial costs and may be a distraction to our management, and may lead to an unfavorable outcome that could adversely impact our financial condition and prospects.

## ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

### (a) Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

We completed the following issuances of unregistered securities during the three months ended September 30, 2017:

In July 2017, we issued an aggregate of 2,500 ordinary shares to Cougar Trading LLC upon the cash exercise of warrants and we received proceeds of \$11,425 representing the aggregate exercise price of such warrants.

In September 2017, we issued an aggregate of 375,000 ordinary shares to Millennium International Management LP upon the cash exercise of warrants and we received proceeds of \$1,713,750 representing the aggregate exercise price of such warrants.

In September 2017, we issued an aggregate of 11,500 ordinary shares to OTA LLC upon the cash exercise of warrants and we received proceeds of \$52,555 representing the aggregate exercise price of such warrants.

In September 2017, we issued an aggregate of 61 ordinary shares to Hudson Bay Master Fund upon the cashless exercise of a warrant to purchase an aggregate of 100 ordinary shares.

108

---

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibit

Number	Description of Document
2.1 <sup>(1)</sup>	<u>Transaction Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated March 18, 2014, by and among Horizon Pharma, Inc., Vidara Therapeutics Holdings LLC, Vidara Therapeutics International Ltd. (now known as Horizon Pharma Public Limited Company), Hamilton Holdings (USA), Inc. and Hamilton Merger Sub, Inc.</u> <sup>†</sup>
2.2 <sup>(2)</sup>	<u>First Amendment to Transaction Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated June 12, 2014, by and between Horizon Pharma, Inc. and Vidara Therapeutics Holdings LLC.</u>
2.3 <sup>(3)</sup>	<u>Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated March 29, 2015, by and among Horizon Pharma, Inc., Ghrian Acquisition Inc. and Hyperion Therapeutics, Inc.</u> <sup>†</sup>
2.4 <sup>(4)</sup> *	<u>Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated December 10, 2015, by and among Horizon Pharma USA, Inc., HZNP Limited, Criostail LLC, Crealta Holdings LLC and the other parties thereto.</u> <sup>††</sup>
2.5 <sup>(5)</sup>	<u>Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated September 12, 2016, by and among Horizon Pharma Public Limited Company, Misneach Corporation and Raptor Pharmaceutical Corp.</u> <sup>†</sup>
3.1 <sup>(6)</sup>	<u>Memorandum and Articles of Association of Horizon Pharma Public Limited Company, as amended.</u>
4.1 <sup>(7)</sup>	<u>Indenture, dated March 13, 2015, by and among Horizon Pharma Public Limited Company, Horizon Pharma Investment Limited and U.S. Bank National Association.</u>
4.2 <sup>(7)</sup>	<u>Form of 2.50% Exchangeable Senior Note due 2022 (included in Exhibit 4.1).</u>
4.3 <sup>(8)</sup>	<u>Indenture, dated April 29, 2015, by and between Horizon Pharma Financing Inc. and U.S. Bank National Association.</u>
4.4 <sup>(8)</sup>	<u>Form of 6.625% Senior Note due 2023 (included in Exhibit 4.3).</u>
4.5 <sup>(9)</sup>	<u>First Supplemental Indenture, dated May 7, 2015, by and among Horizon Pharma Public Limited Company, certain subsidiaries of Horizon Pharma Public Limited Company and U.S. Bank National Association.</u>
4.6 <sup>(10)</sup>	<u>Indenture, dated October 25, 2016, by and among Horizon Pharma, Inc., Horizon Pharma USA, Inc. and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee.</u>
4.7 <sup>(10)</sup>	<u>Form of 8.75% Senior Note due 2024 (included in Exhibit 4.6).</u>
10.1 <sup>(11)</sup>	<u>Horizon Pharma Public Limited Company 2014 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended, and Form of Option Agreement, Form of Stock Option Grant Notice, Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement and Form of Restricted Stock Unit Grant Notice thereunder.</u>
10.2+	<u>Executive Employment Agreement, effective as of September 11, 2017, by and among Horizon Pharma, Inc., Horizon Pharma USA, Inc. and Irina Konstantinovskiy.</u>

- 10.3+ Executive Employment Agreement, effective as of August 21, 2017, by and among Horizon Pharma, Inc., Horizon Pharma USA, Inc. and Eric Mosbrooker.
- 10.4<sup>(12)</sup> Amendment No. 3, dated October 23, 2017, to Credit Agreement, dated May 7, 2015 (as amended by Amendment No. 1, dated October 25, 2016, and Amendment No. 2, dated March 29, 2017), by and among Horizon Pharma, Inc., as Borrower, Horizon Pharma USA, Inc., as an Additional Borrower, Horizon Pharma Public Limited Company, as Irish Holdco and a guarantor, the subsidiary guarantors party thereto, as subsidiary guarantors, the lenders party thereto and Citibank, N.A., as administrative agent and collateral agent.
- 31.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) of the Exchange Act.
- 31.2 Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) of the Exchange Act.
- 32.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) or 15d-14(b) of the Exchange Act and 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
- 32.2 Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) or 15d-14(b) of the Exchange Act and 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
- 101.INS XBRL Instance Document
- 101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
- 101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
- 101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
- 101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
- 101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

+Indicates management contract or compensatory plan.



§Schedules have been omitted pursuant to Item 601(b)(2) of Regulation S-K. Horizon Pharma Public Limited Company undertakes to furnish supplemental copies of any of the omitted schedules upon request by the Securities and Exchange Commission.

§Schedules have been omitted pursuant to Item 601(b)(2) of Regulation S-K. Horizon Pharma Public Limited Company undertakes to furnish supplemental copies of any of the omitted schedules upon request by the Securities and Exchange Commission; provided, however, that Horizon Pharma Public Limited Company may request confidential treatment pursuant to Rule 24b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, for any schedule so furnished.

\*Confidential treatment has been granted with respect to certain portions of this exhibit. Omitted portions have been filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

- (1) Incorporated by reference to Horizon Pharma, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on March 20, 2014.
- (2) Incorporated by reference to Horizon Pharma, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on June 18, 2014.
- (3) Incorporated by reference to Horizon Pharma Public Limited Company's Amendment No. 1 to Current Report on Form 8-K/A, filed on April 9, 2015.
- (4) Incorporated by reference to Horizon Pharma Public Limited Company's Amendment No. 1 to Annual Report on Form 10-K/A, filed on May 26, 2017.
- (5) Incorporated by reference to Horizon Pharma Public Limited Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on September 12, 2016.
- (6) Incorporated by reference to Horizon Pharma Public Limited Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on May 4, 2017.
- (7) Incorporated by reference to Horizon Pharma Public Limited Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on March 13, 2015.
- (8) Incorporated by reference to Horizon Pharma Public Limited Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on April 29, 2015.
- (9) Incorporated by reference to Horizon Pharma Public Limited Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on May 11, 2015.
- (10) Incorporated by reference to Horizon Pharma Public Limited Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on October 25, 2016.
- (11) Incorporated by reference to Horizon Pharma Public Limited Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on September 1, 2017.
- (12) Incorporated by reference to Horizon Pharma Public Limited Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on October 23, 2017.



SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

HORIZON PHARMA PLC

Date: November 6, 2017 By: /s/ Timothy P. Walbert  
Timothy P. Walbert  
Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer

(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: November 6, 2017 By: /s/ Paul W. Hoelscher  
Paul W. Hoelscher  
Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial Officer)