

Edgar Filing: New Mountain Finance Corp - Form 10-K

New Mountain Finance Corp
Form 10-K
February 29, 2016
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ý Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015

o Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

| Commission File Number | Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter, addresses of principal executive offices, telephone numbers and states or other jurisdictions of incorporation or organization | I.R.S. Employer Identification Number |
|------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| 814-00832 | New Mountain Finance Corporation 787 Seventh Avenue, 48th Floor New York, New York 10019 Telephone: (212) 720-0300 State of Incorporation: Delaware | 27-2978010 |

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class

Name of each exchange on which registered

Common stock, par value \$0.01 per share

The New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Title of each class

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller

Edgar Filing: New Mountain Finance Corp - Form 10-K

reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act:

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).
Yes No

The aggregate market value of common stock held by non-affiliates of New Mountain Finance Corporation on June 30, 2015, based on the closing price on that date of \$14.49, on the New York Stock Exchange was \$786.8 million. For the purposes of calculating this amount only, all directors and executive officers of the registrant have been treated as affiliates.

| Description | Shares as of February 26, 2016 |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Common stock, par value \$0.01 per share | 63,880,437 |

Portions of the Registrants' Proxy Statement for its 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed not later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report on this Form 10-K are incorporated by reference into Part III on this Form 10-K.

Table of ContentsFORM 10-K FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015
TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | PAGE |
|-----------------|--|
| | <u>PART I</u> |
| <u>Item 1.</u> | <u>Business</u> 1 |
| <u>Item 1A.</u> | <u>Risk Factors</u> 23 |
| <u>Item 1B.</u> | <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> 47 |
| <u>Item 2.</u> | <u>Properties</u> 47 |
| <u>Item 3.</u> | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> 47 |
| <u>Item 4.</u> | <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u> 47 |
| | <u>PART II</u> |
| <u>Item 5.</u> | <u>Market for Registrants' Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> 48 |
| <u>Item 6.</u> | <u>Selected Financial Data</u> 52 |
| <u>Item 7.</u> | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> 57 |
| <u>Item 7A.</u> | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> 86 |
| <u>Item 8.</u> | <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> 87 |
| <u>Item 9.</u> | <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> 152 |
| <u>Item 9A.</u> | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> 152 |
| <u>Item 9B.</u> | <u>Other Information</u> 154 |
| | <u>PART III</u> |
| <u>Item 10.</u> | <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> 155 |
| <u>Item 11.</u> | <u>Executive Compensation</u> 155 |
| <u>Item 12.</u> | <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> 155 |
| <u>Item 13.</u> | <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u> 155 |
| <u>Item 14.</u> | <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u> 155 |
| | <u>PART IV</u> |
| <u>Item 15.</u> | <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u> 156 |

Table of Contents

PART I

Item 1. Business

New Mountain Finance Corporation

New Mountain Finance Corporation ("NMFC", the "Company", "we", "us" or "our") is a Delaware corporation that was originally incorporated on June 29, 2010. We are a closed-end, non-diversified management investment company that has elected to be regulated as a business development company ("BDC") under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the "1940 Act"). As such, we are obligated to comply with certain regulatory requirements. We have elected to be treated, and intend to comply with the requirements to continue to qualify annually, as a regulated investment company ("RIC") under Subchapter M of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, (the "Code"). NMFC is also registered as an investment adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, as amended (the "Advisers Act").

On May 19, 2011, we priced our initial public offering (the "IPO") of 7,272,727 shares of common stock at a public offering price of \$13.75 per share. Concurrently with the closing of the IPO and at the public offering price of \$13.75 per share, we sold an additional 2,172,000 shares of our common stock to certain executives and employees of, and other individuals affiliated with, New Mountain Capital (defined as New Mountain Capital Group, L.L.C. and its affiliates) in a concurrent private placement (the "Concurrent Private Placement"). Additionally, 1,252,964 shares were issued to the partners of New Mountain Guardian Partners, L.P. at that time for their ownership interest in the Predecessor Entities (as defined below). In connection with our IPO and through a series of transactions, New Mountain Finance Holdings, L.L.C. ("NMF Holdings" or the "Predecessor Operating Company") acquired all of the operations of the Predecessor Entities, including all of the assets and liabilities related to such operations.

New Mountain Finance Holdings, L.L.C.

NMF Holdings is a Delaware limited liability company. Until May 8, 2014, NMF Holdings was externally managed and was regulated as a BDC under the 1940 Act. As such, NMF Holdings was obligated to comply with certain regulatory requirements. NMF Holdings was treated as a partnership for United States ("U.S.") federal income tax purposes for so long as it had at least two members. With the completion of the underwritten secondary offering on February 3, 2014, NMF Holdings' existence as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes terminated and NMF Holdings became an entity that is disregarded as a separate entity from its owner for U.S. federal tax purposes. For additional information on our organizational structure prior to May 8, 2014, see "—Restructuring".

Until May 8, 2014, NMF Holdings was externally managed by New Mountain Finance Advisers BDC, L.L.C. (the "Investment Adviser"). As of May 8, 2014, the Investment Adviser serves as the external investment adviser to NMFC. New Mountain Finance Administration, L.L.C. (the "Administrator") provides the administrative services necessary for operations. The Investment Adviser and Administrator are wholly-owned subsidiaries of New Mountain Capital. New Mountain Capital is a firm with a track record of investing in the middle market and with assets under management totaling more than \$15.0 billion(1), which includes total assets held by us. New Mountain Capital focuses on investing in defensive growth companies across its private equity, public equity and credit investment vehicles. NMF Holdings, formerly known as New Mountain Guardian (Leveraged), L.L.C., was originally formed as a subsidiary of New Mountain Guardian AIV, L.P. ("Guardian AIV") by New Mountain Capital in October 2008. Guardian AIV was formed through an allocation of approximately \$300.0 million of the \$5.1 billion of commitments supporting New Mountain Partners III, L.P., a private equity fund managed by New Mountain Capital. In February 2009, New Mountain Capital formed a co-investment vehicle, New Mountain Guardian Partners, L.P., comprising \$20.4 million of commitments. New Mountain Guardian (Leveraged), L.L.C. and New Mountain Guardian Partners, L.P., together with their respective direct and indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries, are defined as the "Predecessor Entities".

Prior to December 18, 2014, New Mountain Finance SPV Funding, L.L.C. ("NMF SLF") was a Delaware limited liability company. NMF SLF was a wholly-owned subsidiary of NMF Holdings and thus our wholly-owned indirect subsidiary. NMF SLF was bankruptcy-remote and non-recourse to us. As part of an amendment to our existing credit facilities with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, NMF SLF merged with and into NMF Holdings on December 18, 2014. See Item 8.—Financial Statements and Supplementary Data—Note 7, Borrowings for additional

information on our credit facilities.

(1) Includes amounts committed, not all of which have been drawn down and invested to date, as of December 31, 2015.

1

Table of Contents

New Mountain Finance AIV Holdings Corporation

Until April 25, 2014, New Mountain Finance AIV Holdings Corporation ("AIV Holdings") was a Delaware corporation that was originally incorporated on March 11, 2011. AIV Holdings was dissolved on April 25, 2014. Guardian AIV, a Delaware limited partnership, was AIV Holdings' sole stockholder. AIV Holdings was a closed-end, non-diversified management investment company that was regulated as a BDC under the 1940 Act. As such, AIV Holdings was obligated to comply with certain regulatory requirements. AIV Holdings was treated, and complied with the requirements to qualify annually, as a RIC under the Code.

Structure

Prior to the Restructuring (as defined below) on May 8, 2014, NMFC and AIV Holdings were holding companies with no direct operations of their own, and their sole asset was their ownership in NMF Holdings. In connection with the IPO, NMFC and AIV Holdings each entered into a joinder agreement with respect to the Limited Liability Company Agreement, as amended and restated (the "Operating Agreement"), of NMF Holdings, pursuant to which NMFC and AIV Holdings were admitted as members of NMF Holdings. NMFC acquired from NMF Holdings, with the gross proceeds of the IPO and the Concurrent Private Placement, common membership units ("units") of NMF Holdings (the number of units were equal to the number of shares of NMFC's common stock sold in the IPO and the Concurrent Private Placement). Additionally, NMFC received units of NMF Holdings equal to the number of shares of common stock of NMFC issued to the partners of New Mountain Guardian Partners, L.P. Guardian AIV was the parent of NMF Holdings prior to the IPO and, as a result of the transactions completed in connection with the IPO, obtained units in NMF Holdings. Guardian AIV contributed its units in NMF Holdings to AIV Holdings in exchange for common stock of AIV Holdings. AIV Holdings had the right to exchange all or any portion of its units in NMF Holdings for shares of NMFC's common stock on a one-for-one basis at any time.

The original structure was designed to generally prevent NMFC and its stockholders from being allocated taxable income with respect to unrecognized gains that existed at the time of the IPO in the Predecessor Entities' assets, and rather such amounts would be allocated generally to AIV Holdings. The result was that any distributions made to NMFC's stockholders that were attributable to such gains generally were not treated as taxable dividends but rather as return of capital.

Since our IPO, and through December 31, 2015, we raised approximately \$454.0 million in net proceeds from additional offerings of common stock and issued shares of common stock valued at approximately \$288.4 million on behalf of AIV Holdings for exchanged units. We acquired from NMF Holdings units of NMF Holdings equal to the number of shares of our common stock sold in additional offerings. With the completion of the final secondary offering on February 3, 2014, we owned 100.0% of the units of NMF Holdings, which became our wholly-owned subsidiary.

Restructuring

As a BDC, AIV Holdings had been subject to the 1940 Act, including certain provisions applicable only to BDCs. Accordingly, and after careful consideration of the 1940 Act requirements applicable to BDCs, the cost of 1940 Act compliance and a thorough assessment of AIV Holdings' business model, AIV Holdings' board of directors determined that continuation as a BDC was not in the best interests of AIV Holdings and Guardian AIV. Specifically, given that AIV Holdings was formed for the sole purpose of holding units of NMF Holdings and AIV Holdings had disposed of all of the units of NMF Holdings that it was holding as of February 3, 2014, the board of directors of AIV Holdings approved and declared advisable at an in-person meeting held on March 25, 2014 the withdrawal of AIV Holdings' election to be regulated as a BDC under the 1940 Act. In addition, the board of directors of AIV Holdings approved and declared advisable for AIV Holdings to terminate its registration under Section 12(g) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act") and to dissolve AIV Holdings under the laws of the State of Delaware.

Upon receipt of the necessary stockholder consent to authorize the board of directors of AIV Holdings to withdraw AIV Holdings' election to be regulated as a BDC, the withdrawal was filed and became effective upon receipt by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") of AIV Holdings' notification of withdrawal on Form N-54C on April 15, 2014. The board of directors of AIV Holdings believed that AIV Holdings met the requirements for filing

the notification to withdraw its election to be regulated as a BDC, upon the receipt of the necessary stockholder consent. After the notification of withdrawal of AIV Holdings' BDC election was filed with the SEC, AIV Holdings was no longer subject to the regulatory provisions of the 1940 Act applicable to BDCs generally, including regulations related to insurance, custody, composition of its board of directors, affiliated transactions and any compensation arrangements.

In addition, on April 15, 2014, AIV Holdings filed a Form 15 with the SEC to terminate AIV Holdings' registration under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act. After these SEC filings and any other federal or state regulatory or tax filings were made, AIV Holdings proceeded to dissolve under Delaware law by filing a certificate of dissolution in Delaware on April 25, 2014.

Table of Contents

Until May 8, 2014, as a BDC, NMF Holdings had been subject to the 1940 Act, including certain provisions applicable only to BDCs. Accordingly, and after careful consideration of the 1940 Act requirements applicable to BDCs, the cost of 1940 Act compliance and a thorough assessment of NMF Holdings' current business model, NMF Holdings' board of directors determined at an in-person meeting held on March 25, 2014 that continuation as a BDC was not in the best interests of NMF Holdings.

At the 2014 joint annual meeting of the stockholders of NMFC and the sole unit holder of NMF Holdings held on May 6, 2014, the stockholders of NMFC and the sole unit holder of NMF Holdings approved a proposal which authorized the board of directors of NMF Holdings to withdraw NMF Holdings' election to be regulated as a BDC. Additionally, the stockholders of NMFC approved a new investment advisory and management agreement between NMFC and the Investment Adviser. Upon receipt of the necessary stockholder/unit holder approval to authorize the board of directors of NMF Holdings to withdraw NMF Holdings' election to be regulated as a BDC, the withdrawal was filed and became effective upon receipt by the SEC of NMF Holdings' notification of withdrawal on Form N-54C on May 8, 2014.

Effective May 8, 2014, NMF Holdings amended and restated its Operating Agreement such that the board of directors of NMF Holdings was dissolved and NMF Holdings remained a wholly-owned subsidiary of NMFC with the sole purpose of serving as a special purpose vehicle for NMF Holdings' credit facility, and NMFC assumed all other operating activities previously undertaken by NMF Holdings under the management of the Investment Adviser (collectively, the "Restructuring"). After the Restructuring, all wholly-owned direct and indirect subsidiaries of NMFC are consolidated with NMFC for both 1940 Act and financial statement reporting purposes, subject to any financial statement adjustments required in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). NMFC continues to remain a BDC regulated under the 1940 Act.

Also, on May 8, 2014, NMF Holdings filed Form 15 with the SEC to terminate NMF Holdings' registration under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act. As a special purpose entity, NMF Holdings is bankruptcy-remote and non-recourse to NMFC. In addition, the assets held at NMF Holdings will continue to be used to secure NMF Holdings' credit facility.

Current Organization

During the year ended December 31, 2015, we established a wholly-owned subsidiary, NMF QID NGL Holdings, Inc. ("NMF QID"). Our wholly-owned subsidiaries, NMF Ancora Holdings Inc. ("NMF Ancora"), NMF QID and NMF YP Holdings Inc. ("NMF YP"), are structured as Delaware entities that serve as tax blocker corporations which hold equity or equity-like investments in portfolio companies organized as limited liability companies (or other forms of pass-through entities). We consolidate our tax blocker corporations for accounting purposes. The tax blocker corporations are not consolidated for income tax purposes and may incur income tax expense as a result of their ownership of the portfolio companies. Additionally, our wholly-owned subsidiary, New Mountain Finance Servicing, L.L.C. ("NMF Servicing") serves as the administrative agent on certain investment transactions. New Mountain Finance SBIC, L.P. ("SBIC LP"), and its general partner, New Mountain Finance SBIC G.P., L.L.C. ("SBIC GP"), were organized in Delaware as a limited partnership and limited liability company, respectively. SBIC LP and SBIC GP are our consolidated wholly-owned direct and indirect subsidiaries. SBIC LP received a license from the U.S. Small Business Administration (the "SBA") to operate as a small business investment company ("SBIC") under Section 301(c) of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, as amended (the "1958 Act").

Table of Contents

The diagram below depicts our organizational structure as of December 31, 2015.

*Includes partners of New Mountain Guardian Partners, L.P.

** NMFC is the sole limited partner of SBIC LP. NMFC, directly or indirectly through SBIC GP, wholly-owns SBIC LP. NMFC owns 100.0% of SBIC GP which owns 1.0% of SBIC LP. NMFC owns 99.0% of SBIC LP.

New Mountain Finance Advisers BDC, L.L.C.

The Investment Adviser manages our day-to-day operations and provides us with investment advisory and management services. In particular, the Investment Adviser is responsible for identifying attractive investment opportunities, conducting research and due diligence on prospective investments, structuring our investments and monitoring and servicing our investments. The Investment Adviser is managed by a five member investment committee, which is responsible for approving purchases and sales of our investments above \$10.0 million in aggregate by issuer. For additional information on the investment committee, see "Investment Committee" section. New Mountain Finance Administration, L.L.C.

The Administrator provides the administrative services necessary to conduct our day-to-day operations. The Administrator also performs, or oversees the performance of, our financial records, our reports to stockholders and reports filed with the SEC. The Administrator performs the calculation and publication of our net asset values, the payment of our expenses and oversees the performance of various third-party service providers and the preparation and filing of our tax returns. The Administrator may also provide, on our behalf, managerial assistance to its portfolio companies.

Competition

We compete for investments with a number of BDCs and investment funds (including private equity and hedge funds), as well as traditional financial services companies such as commercial banks and other sources of financing. Many of these entities have greater financial and managerial resources than we do. We believe we are able to be competitive with these entities primarily on the basis of the experience and contacts of our management team, our responsive and efficient investment analysis and decision-making processes, the investment terms we offer, the model that we employ to perform our due diligence with the broader New Mountain Capital team and our model of investing in companies and industries we know well.

We believe that some of our competitors may make investments with interest rates and returns that are comparable to or lower than the rates and returns that we target. Therefore, we do not seek to compete solely on the interest rates and returns that we offer to potential portfolio companies. For additional information concerning the competitive risks we face, see Item 1A.—Risk Factors.

Table of Contents**Investment Objective and Portfolio**

Our investment objective is to generate current income and capital appreciation through the sourcing and origination of debt securities at all levels of the capital structure, including first and second lien debt, notes, bonds and mezzanine securities. In some cases, our investments may also include equity interests such as preferred stock, common stock, warrants or options received in connection with our debt investments or may include a direct investment in the equity of private companies.

We make investments through both primary originations and open-market secondary purchases. We primarily target loans to, and invest in, the U.S. middle market businesses, a market segment we believe continues to be underserved by other lenders. We define middle market businesses as those businesses with annual earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization ("EBITDA") between \$20.0 million and \$200.0 million. Our primary focus is in the debt of defensive growth companies, which are defined as generally exhibiting the following characteristics: (i) sustainable secular growth drivers, (ii) high barriers to competitive entry, (iii) high free cash flow after capital expenditure and working capital needs, (iv) high returns on assets and (v) niche market dominance. Similar to us, SBIC LP's investment objective is to generate current income and capital appreciation under our investment criteria. However, SBIC LP's investments must be in SBA eligible companies. Our portfolio may be concentrated in a limited number of industries. As of December 31, 2015, our top five industry concentrations were software, business services, education, distribution & logistics and federal services. Our targeted investments typically have maturities of between five and ten years and generally range in size between \$10.0 million and \$50.0 million. This investment size may vary proportionately as the size of our capital base changes. At December 31, 2015, our portfolio consisted of 75 portfolio companies and was invested 44.3% in first lien loans, 41.8% in second lien loans, 5.8% in subordinated debt and 8.1% in equity and other, as measured at fair value versus 71 portfolio companies invested 47.6% in first lien loans, 42.4% in second lien loans, 4.3% in subordinated debt and 5.7% in equity and other at December 31, 2014.

The fair value of our investments was approximately \$1,512.2 million in 75 portfolio companies at December 31, 2015 and approximately \$1,424.7 million in 71 portfolio companies at December 31, 2014. At December 31, 2013, our only investment was our investment in the Predecessor Operating Company. The fair value of the Predecessor Operating Company's investments was approximately \$1,115.7 million in 59 portfolio companies at December 31, 2013.

The following table shows our portfolio and investment activity for the years ended December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 and the Predecessor Operating Company's portfolio and investment activity for the year ended December 31, 2013:

| (in millions) | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------|---------|---------|
| | 2015 | 2014(1) | 2013 |
| New investments in 36, 43 and 34 portfolio companies, respectively | \$612.7 | \$720.9 | \$529.3 |
| Debt repayments in existing portfolio companies | 400.8 | 267.5 | 395.4 |
| Sales of securities in 15, 14 and 12 portfolio companies, respectively | 83.1 | 117.0 | 31.2 |
| Change in unrealized appreciation on 23, 20 and 45 portfolio companies, respectively | 44.7 | 21.2 | 27.9 |
| Change in unrealized depreciation on 70, 60 and 29 portfolio companies, respectively | (79.9 |) (63.9 |) (19.9 |

For the year ended December 31, 2014, amounts represent the investment activity of the Predecessor Operating (1) Company through and including May 7, 2014 and our investment activity from May 8, 2014 through December 31, 2014.

At December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, our weighted average Yield to Maturity at Cost was approximately 10.7% and 10.7%, respectively. The Yield to Maturity at Cost ("Yield to Maturity at Cost") calculation assumes that all investments, including secured collateralized agreements, not on non-accrual are purchased at the adjusted cost on the quarter end date and held until their respective maturities with no prepayments or losses and exited at par at maturity. Adjusted cost reflects the GAAP cost for post-IPO investments and a stepped up cost basis of pre-IPO

investments (assuming a step-up to fair market value occurred on the IPO date). This calculation excludes the impact of existing leverage. Yield to Maturity at Cost uses the London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") curves at each quarter's end date. The actual yield to maturity may be higher or lower due to the future selection of the LIBOR contracts by the individual companies in our portfolio or other factors.

Table of Contents

The following summarizes our ten largest portfolio company investments and top ten industries in which we were invested as of December 31, 2015, calculated as a percentage of total assets as of December 31, 2015.

| Portfolio Company | Percent of Total Assets | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|---|
| Crowley Holdings Preferred, LLC | 3.2 | % |
| UniTek Global Services, Inc. | 3.0 | % |
| Tenawa Resource Holdings LLC | 2.7 | % |
| Deltek, Inc. | 2.6 | % |
| TIBCO Software Inc. | 2.5 | % |
| AssuredPartners, Inc. | 2.4 | % |
| Kronos Incorporated | 2.3 | % |
| Hill International, Inc. | 2.3 | % |
| ProQuest LLC | 2.1 | % |
| Navex Global, Inc. | 2.1 | % |
| Total | 25.2 | % |
| Industry Type | Percent of Total Assets | |
| Software | 23.1 | % |
| Business Services | 23.0 | % |
| Education | 10.4 | % |
| Distribution & Logistics | 7.3 | % |
| Federal Services | 6.0 | % |
| Consumer Services | 4.3 | % |
| Energy | 4.1 | % |
| Healthcare Services | 3.9 | % |
| Media | 3.0 | % |
| Healthcare Products | 2.3 | % |
| Total | 87.4 | % |

Investment Criteria

The Investment Adviser has identified the following investment criteria and guidelines for use in evaluating prospective portfolio companies. However, not all of these criteria and guidelines were, or will be, met in connection with each of our investments.

Defensive growth industries. We seek to invest in industries that can succeed in both robust and weak economic environments but which are also sufficiently large and growing to achieve high valuations providing enterprise value cushion for our targeted debt securities.

High barriers to competitive entry. We target industries and companies that have well defined industries and well established, understandable barriers to competitive entry.

Recurring revenue. Where possible, we focus on companies that have a high degree of predictability in future revenue.

Flexible cost structure. We seek to invest in businesses that have limited fixed costs and therefore modest operating leverage.

Strong free cash flow and high return on assets. We focus on businesses with a demonstrated ability to produce meaningful free cash flow from operations. We typically target companies that are not asset intensive and that have minimal capital expenditure and minimal working capital growth needs.

Sustainable business and niche market dominance. We seek to invest in businesses that exert niche market dominance in their industry and that have a demonstrated history of sustaining market leadership over time.

Table of Contents

Established companies. We seek to invest in established companies with sound historical financial performance. We do not intend to invest in start-up companies or companies with speculative business plans.

Private equity sponsorship. We generally seek to invest in companies in conjunction with private equity sponsors who we know and trust and who have proven capabilities in building value.

Seasoned management team. We generally require that its portfolio companies have a seasoned management team with strong corporate governance. Oftentimes we have a historical relationship with or direct knowledge of key managers from previous investment experience.

Investment Selection and Process

The Investment Adviser believes it has developed a proven, consistent and replicable investment process to execute our investment strategy. The Investment Adviser seeks to identify the most attractive investment sectors from the top down and then works to become the most advantaged investor in these sectors. The steps in the Investment Adviser's process include:

- 1. Identifying attractive investment sectors top down;
- 2. Creating competitive advantages in the selected industry sectors; and
- 3. Targeting companies with leading market share and attractive business models in its chosen sectors.

Investment Committee

The Investment Adviser's investment committee (the "Investment Committee") currently consists of Steven B. Klinsky, Robert A. Hamwee, Adam B. Weinstein and John R. Kline. The fifth and final member of the Investment Committee will consist of a New Mountain Capital Managing Director who will hold the position on the Investment Committee on an annual rotating basis. Beginning in August 2015, Matthew S. Holt was appointed to the Investment Committee for a one year term. In addition, our executive officers and certain investment professionals of the Investment Adviser are invited to all Investment Committee meetings. The Investment Committee is responsible for approving purchases and sales of our investments above \$10.0 million in aggregate by issuer. Purchases and dispositions below \$10.0 million may be approved by our chief executive officer. These approval thresholds are subject to change over time. We expect to benefit from the extensive and varied relevant experience of the investment professionals serving on the Investment Committee, which includes expertise in private equity, primary and secondary leveraged credit, private mezzanine finance and distressed debt.

The purpose of the Investment Committee is to evaluate and approve, as deemed appropriate, all investments by the Investment Adviser, subject to certain thresholds. The Investment Committee process is intended to bring the diverse experience and perspectives of the Investment Committee's members to the analysis and consideration of every investment. The Investment Committee also serves to provide investment consistency and adherence to the Investment Adviser's investment philosophies and policies. The Investment Committee also determines appropriate investment sizing and suggests ongoing monitoring requirements.

In addition to reviewing investments, the Investment Committee meetings serve as a forum to discuss credit views and outlooks. Potential transactions and investment opportunities are also reviewed on a regular basis. Members of our investment team are encouraged to share information and views on credits with the Investment Committee early in their analysis. This process improves the quality of the analysis and assists the deal team members to work more efficiently.

Investment Structure

We target debt investments that will yield meaningful current income and occasionally provide the opportunity for capital appreciation through equity securities. Our debt investments are typically structured with the maximum seniority and collateral that we can reasonably obtain while seeking to achieve our total return target.

Debt Investments

The terms of our debt investments are tailored to the facts and circumstances of the transaction and prospective portfolio company and structured to protect its rights and manage its risk while creating incentives for the portfolio company to achieve its business plan. A substantial source of return is the cash interest that we collect on our debt investments.

•

First Lien Loans and Bonds. First lien loans and bonds generally have terms of four to seven years, provide for a variable or fixed interest rate, may contain prepayment penalties and are secured by a first priority security interest in all existing and future assets of the borrower. These first lien loans and bonds may include payment-in-kind ("PIK") interest, which represents contractual interest accrued and added to the principal that generally becomes due at maturity.

7

Table of Contents

Second Lien Loans and Bonds. Second lien loans and bonds generally have terms of five to eight years, provide for a variable or fixed interest rate, may contain prepayment penalties and are secured by a second priority security interest in all existing and future assets of the borrower. These second lien loans and bonds may include PIK interest.

Unsecured Senior, Subordinated and "Mezzanine" Loans and Bonds. Any unsecured investments are generally expected to have terms of five to ten years and provide for a fixed interest rate. Unsecured investments may include PIK interest and may have an equity component, such as warrants to purchase common stock in the portfolio company.

In addition, from time to time we may also enter into revolving credit facilities, bridge financing commitments, delayed draw commitments or other commitments which can result in providing future financing to a portfolio company.

Equity Investments

When we make a debt investment, we may be granted equity in the portfolio company in the same class of security as the sponsor receives upon funding. In addition, we may from time to time make non-control, equity co-investments in conjunction with private equity sponsors. We generally seek to structure our equity investments, such as direct equity co-investments, to provide us with minority rights provisions and event-driven put rights. We also seek to obtain limited registration rights in connection with these investments, which may include "piggyback" registration rights.

Portfolio Company Monitoring

We monitor the performance and financial trends of our portfolio companies on at least a quarterly basis. We attempt to identify any developments within the portfolio company, the industry or the macroeconomic environment that may alter any material element of our original investment strategy. We use several methods of evaluating and monitoring the performance of our investments, including but not limited to, the following:

• review of monthly and/or quarterly financial statements and financial projections for portfolio companies provided by its management;

• ongoing dialogue with and review of original diligence sources;

• periodic contact with portfolio company management (and, if appropriate, the private equity sponsor) to discuss financial position, requirements and accomplishments; and

• assessment of business development success, including product development, profitability and the portfolio company's overall adherence to its business plan.

We use an investment rating system to characterize and monitor the credit profile and expected level of returns on each investment in the portfolio. We use a four-level numeric rating scale as follows:

• Investment Rating 1—Investment is performing materially above expectations;

• Investment Rating 2—Investment is performing materially in-line with expectations. All new loans are rated 2 at initial purchase;

• Investment Rating 3—Investment is performing materially below expectations and risk has increased materially since the original investment; and

• Investment Rating 4—Investment is performing substantially below expectations and risks have increased substantially since the original investment. Payments may be delinquent. There is meaningful possibility that we will not recoup our original cost basis in the investment and may realize a substantial loss upon exit.

Table of Contents

The following table shows the distribution of our investments on the 1 to 4 investment rating scale at fair value as of December 31, 2015:

| (in millions) | As of December 31, 2015 | | Fair Value | Percent | |
|---------------------|-------------------------|---------|-------------|---------|---|
| | Par Value(1) | Percent | | | |
| Investment Rating 1 | \$189.7 | 12.6 | % \$247.6 | 16.4 | % |
| Investment Rating 2 | 1,251.5 | 83.0 | % 1,231.9 | 81.5 | % |
| Investment Rating 3 | 65.3 | 4.3 | % 32.3 | 2.1 | % |
| Investment Rating 4 | 1.8 | 0.1 | % 0.4 | — | % |
| | \$1,508.3 | 100.0 | % \$1,512.2 | 100.0 | % |

(1) Excludes shares and warrants.

Exit Strategies/Refinancing

We exit our investments typically through one of four scenarios: (i) the sale of the portfolio company itself resulting in repayment of all outstanding debt, (ii) the recapitalization of the portfolio company in which our loan is replaced with debt or equity from a third party or parties (in some cases, we may choose to participate in the newly issued loan(s)), (iii) the repayment of the initial or remaining principal amount of our loan then outstanding at maturity or (iv) the sale of the debt investment by us. In some investments, there may be scheduled amortization of some portion of our loan which would result in a partial exit of our investment prior to the maturity of the loan.

Valuation

At all times consistent with GAAP and the 1940 Act, we conduct a valuation of assets, which impacts our net asset value.

We value our assets on a quarterly basis, or more frequently if required under the 1940 Act. In all cases, our board of directors is ultimately and solely responsible for determining the fair value of our portfolio investments on a quarterly basis in good faith, including investments that are not publicly traded, those whose market prices are not readily available and any other situation where our portfolio investments require a fair value determination. Security transactions are accounted for on a trade date basis. Our quarterly valuation procedures are set forth in more detail below:

(1) Investments for which market quotations are readily available on an exchange are valued at such market quotations based on the closing price indicated from independent pricing services.

Investments for which indicative prices are obtained from various pricing services and/or brokers or dealers are (2) valued through a multi-step valuation process, as described below, to determine whether the quote(s) obtained is representative of fair value in accordance with GAAP.

Bond quotes are obtained through independent pricing services. Internal reviews are performed by the investment professionals of the Investment Adviser to ensure that the quote obtained is representative of fair value in accordance with GAAP and if so, the quote is used. If the Investment Adviser is unable to sufficiently validate the quote(s) internally and if the investment's par value or its fair value exceeds the materiality threshold, the investment is valued similarly to those assets with no readily available quotes (see (3) below); and

b. For investments other than bonds, the investment professionals of the Investment Adviser look at the number of quotes readily available and perform the following:

i. Investments for which two or more quotes are received from a pricing service are valued using the mean of the mean of the bid and ask of the quotes obtained;

ii. Investments for which one quote is received from a pricing service are validated internally. The investment professionals of the Investment Adviser analyze the market quotes obtained using an array of valuation methods (further described below) to validate the fair value. If the Investment Adviser is unable to sufficiently validate the quote internally and if the investment's par value or its fair value exceeds the materiality threshold, the investment is valued similarly to those assets with no readily available quotes (see (3) below).

Table of Contents

(3) Investments for which quotations are not readily available through exchanges, pricing services, brokers, or dealers are valued through a multi-step valuation process:

a. Each portfolio company or investment is initially valued by the investment professionals of the Investment Adviser responsible for the credit monitoring;

b. Preliminary valuation conclusions will then be documented and discussed with our senior management;

c. If an investment falls into (3) above for four consecutive quarters and if the investment's par value or its fair value exceeds the materiality threshold, then at least once each fiscal year, the valuation for each portfolio investment for which the investment professionals of the Investment Adviser do not have a readily available market quotation will be reviewed by an independent valuation firm engaged by our board of directors; and

d. When deemed appropriate by our management, an independent valuation firm may be engaged to review and value investment(s) of a portfolio company, without any preliminary valuation being performed by the Investment Adviser. The investment professionals of the Investment Adviser will review and validate the value provided.

For investments in revolving credit facilities and delayed draw commitments, the cost basis of the funded investments purchased is offset by any costs/netbacks received for any unfunded portion on the total balance committed. The fair value is also adjusted for the price appreciation or depreciation on the unfunded portion. As a result, the purchase of commitments not completely funded may result in a negative fair value until it is called and funded.

The values assigned to investments are based upon available information and do not necessarily represent amounts which might ultimately be realized, since such amounts depend on future circumstances and cannot be reasonably determined until the individual positions are liquidated. Due to the inherent uncertainty of determining the fair value of investments that do not have a readily available market value, the fair value of certain investments may fluctuate from period to period and the fluctuations could be material.

Operating and Regulatory Environment

As with other companies regulated by the 1940 Act, a BDC must adhere to certain regulatory requirements. The 1940 Act contains prohibitions and restrictions relating to investments by a BDC in another investment company as well as transactions between BDCs and their affiliates, principal underwriters and affiliates of those affiliates or underwriters. A BDC must be organized in the U.S. for the purpose of investing in or lending to primarily private companies and making significant managerial assistance available to them. A BDC may use capital provided by public stockholders and from other sources to make long-term, private investments in businesses. A BDC provides stockholders the ability to retain the liquidity of a publicly traded stock while sharing in the possible benefits, if any, of investing in primarily privately owned companies.

We have a board of directors. A majority of our board of directors must be persons who are not interested persons, as that term is defined in the 1940 Act. As a BDC, we are prohibited from protecting any director or officer against any liability to us or our stockholders arising from willful misfeasance, bad faith, gross negligence or reckless disregard of the duties involved in the conduct of such person's office. Additionally, we are required to provide and maintain a bond issued by a reputable fidelity insurance company to protect the BDC.

As a BDC, we are required to meet a coverage ratio of the value of total assets to total senior securities, which include all of our borrowings, excluding SBA-guaranteed debentures, and any preferred stock we may issue in the future, of at least 200.0% (i.e., the amount of debt may not exceed 50.0% of the value of our total assets or we may borrow an amount equal to 100.0% of net assets). We monitor our compliance with this coverage ratio on a regular basis.

We may, to the extent permitted under the 1940 Act, issue additional equity or debt capital. We will generally not be able to issue and sell our common stock at a price below net asset value per share. We may, however, sell our common stock, or warrants, options or rights to acquire our common stock, at a price below the then-current net asset value of our common stock if our board of directors determines that such sale is in our best interests and the best interests of our stockholders, and our stockholders approve such sale. In addition, we may generally issue new shares of our common stock at a price below net asset value in rights offerings to existing stockholders, in payment of dividends and in certain other limited circumstances.

As a BDC, we will not generally be permitted to invest in any portfolio company in which the Investment Adviser or any of its affiliates currently have an investment or to make any co-investments with the Investment Adviser or its

affiliates without an exemptive order from the SEC.

Table of Contents

We may not change the nature of our business so as to cease to be, or withdraw our election as, a BDC unless authorized by vote of a majority of the outstanding voting securities, as required by the 1940 Act. A majority of the outstanding voting securities of a company is defined under the 1940 Act as the lesser of: (a) 67.0% or more of such company's voting securities present at a meeting if more than 50.0% of the outstanding voting securities of such company are present or represented by proxy, or (b) more than 50.0% of the outstanding voting securities of such company. We do not anticipate any substantial change in the nature of our business.

In addition, as a BDC, we are not permitted to issue stock in consideration for services.

Taxation as a Regulated Investment Company

We have elected to be treated, and intend to comply with the requirements to continue to qualify annually, as a RIC under Subchapter M of the Code. As a RIC, we generally will not be subject to corporate-level U.S. federal income taxes on any net ordinary income or capital gains that we timely distribute (or are deemed to distribute) to our stockholders as dividends. Rather, dividends distributed (or deemed distributed) by us generally will be taxable to our stockholders, and any net operating losses, foreign tax credits and other tax attributes of ours generally will not pass through to our stockholders, subject to special rules for certain items such as net capital gains and qualified dividend income recognized by us.

To qualify as a RIC, we must, among other things, meet certain source-of-income and asset diversification requirements. In addition, to qualify as a RIC, we must distribute to our stockholders, for each taxable year, at least 90.0% of our "investment company taxable income", which is generally our net ordinary income plus the excess of realized net short-term capital gains over realized net long-term capital losses (the "Annual Distribution Requirement").

We will be subject to a 4.0% nondeductible federal excise tax on certain undistributed income unless we distribute in a timely manner an amount at least equal to the sum of (1) 98.0% of our net ordinary income for each calendar year, (2) 98.2% of our capital gain net income for the one-year period ending October 31 in that calendar year and (3) any income recognized, but not distributed and on which we did not pay corporate-level U.S. federal income tax, in preceding years (the "Excise Tax Avoidance Requirement"). While we intend to make distributions to our stockholders in each taxable year that will be sufficient to avoid any federal excise tax on our earnings, there can be no assurance that we will be successful in entirely avoiding this tax.

In order to qualify as a RIC for U.S. federal income tax purposes, we must, among other things:

- continue to qualify as a BDC under the 1940 Act at all times during each taxable year;
- derive in each taxable year at least 90.0% of our gross income from dividends, interest, payments with respect to loans of certain securities, gains from the sale of stock or other securities or foreign currencies, net income from certain "qualified publicly traded partnerships", or other income derived with respect to our business of investing in such stock or securities (the "90.0% Income Test"); and
- diversify our holdings so that at the end of each quarter of the taxable year:
 - at least 50.0% of the value of our assets consists of cash, cash equivalents, U.S. government securities, securities of other RICs, and other securities if such other securities of any one issuer do not represent more than 5.0% of the value of our assets or more than 10.0% of the outstanding voting securities of the issuer; and
 - no more than 25.0% of the value of our assets are invested in the securities, other than U.S. government securities or securities of other RICs, of: (1) one issuer, (2) two or more issuers that are controlled, as determined under applicable Code rules, by us and that are engaged in the same or similar or related trades, or (3) businesses or of certain "qualified publicly traded partnerships" (the "Diversification Tests").

A RIC is limited in its ability to deduct expenses in excess of its "investment company taxable income". If our expenses in a given year exceed our investment company taxable income, we would experience a net operating loss for that year. However, a RIC is not permitted to carry forward net operating losses to subsequent years and such net operating losses do not pass through to its stockholders. In addition, expenses can be used only to offset investment company taxable income, not net capital gain. A RIC may not use any net capital losses (that is, realized capital losses in excess of realized capital gains) to offset the RIC's investment company taxable income, but may carry forward such losses, and use them to offset capital gains, indefinitely. Due to these limits on the deductibility of expenses and

net capital losses, we may for tax purposes have aggregate taxable income for several years that we are required to distribute and that is taxable to our stockholders even if such income is greater than the aggregate net income we actually earned during those years.

Table of Contents

Failure to Qualify as a Regulated Investment Company

If we fail to satisfy the 90.0% Income Test or the Diversification Tests for any taxable year or quarter of such taxable year, we may nevertheless continue to qualify as a RIC for such year if certain relief provisions of the Code apply (which may, among other things, require us to pay certain corporate-level U.S. federal income taxes or to dispose of certain assets). If we fail to qualify for treatment as a RIC and such relief provisions do not apply to us, we will be subject to U.S. federal income tax on all of our taxable income at regular corporate rates (and also will be subject to any applicable state and local taxes), regardless of whether we make any distributions to our stockholders. Distributions would not be required. However, if distributions were made, any such distributions would be taxable to our stockholders as ordinary dividend income and, subject to certain limitations under the Code, any such distributions may be eligible for the 20.0% maximum rate applicable to non-corporate taxpayers to the extent of our current or accumulated earnings and profits. Subject to certain limitations under the Code, corporate distributees would be eligible for the dividends-received deduction. Distributions in excess of our current and accumulated earnings and profits would be treated first as a return of capital to the extent of the stockholder's tax basis, and any remaining distributions would be treated as a capital gain.

Subject to a limited exception applicable to RICs that qualified as such under Subchapter M of the Code for at least one year prior to disqualification and that requalify as a RIC no later than the second year following the non-qualifying year, we could be subject to tax on any unrealized net built-in gains in the assets held by us during the period in which we failed to qualify as a RIC that are recognized during the ten-year period (or five-year period for taxable years beginning during 2013) after our requalification as a RIC, unless we made a special election to pay corporate-level U.S. federal income tax on such built-in gain at the time of our requalification as a RIC. We may decide to be taxed as a regular corporation even if we would otherwise qualify as a RIC if we determine that treatment as a corporation for a particular year would be in our best interests.

SBA Regulation

On August 1, 2014, our wholly-owned direct and indirect subsidiary, SBIC LP received a license from the SBA to operate as an SBIC under Section 301(c) of the 1958 Act. SBIC LP has an investment strategy and philosophy substantially similar to ours and makes similar types of investments in accordance with SBA regulations.

A license allows SBIC LP to incur leverage by issuing SBA-guaranteed debentures, subject to the issuance of a capital commitment and certain approvals by the SBA and customary procedures. SBA-guaranteed debentures carry long-term fixed rates that are generally lower than rates on comparable bank and other debt. Under the regulations applicable to SBICs, a standard debenture licensed SBIC is eligible for two tiers of leverage capped at \$150.0 million, where each tier is equivalent to the SBIC's regulatory capital, which generally equates to the amount of equity capital in the SBIC. Debentures guaranteed by the SBA have a maturity of ten years, require semi-annual payments of interest and do not require any principal payments prior to maturity. As of December 31, 2015, SBIC LP had \$117.7 million of outstanding SBA-guaranteed debentures. SBIC LP is subject to regulation and oversight by the SBA, including requirements with respect to reporting financial information, such as the extent of capital impairment if applicable, on a regular basis and annual examinations conducted by the SBIC. The SBA, as a creditor, will have a superior claim to SBIC LP's assets over our stockholders in the event SBIC LP is liquidated or the SBA exercises its remedies under the SBA-guaranteed debentures issued by SBIC LP upon an event of default.

On November 5, 2014, we received exemptive relief from the SEC to permit us to exclude the SBA-guaranteed debentures of SBIC LP from our 200.0% asset coverage test under the 1940 Act. As such, our ratio of total consolidated assets to outstanding indebtedness may be less than 200.0%. This provides us with increased investment flexibility but also increases our risks related to leverage.

SBICs are designed to stimulate the flow of private investor capital to eligible small businesses as defined by the SBA. Under SBA regulations, SBICs may make loans to eligible small businesses, invest in the equity securities of such businesses and provide them with consulting and advisory services. Under present SBA regulations, eligible small businesses generally include businesses that (together with their affiliates) have a tangible net worth not exceeding \$19.5 million for the most recent fiscal year and have average annual net income after U.S. federal income taxes not exceeding \$6.5 million (average net income to be computed without benefit of any carryover loss) for the

two most recent fiscal years. In addition, an SBIC must invest 25.0% of its investment capital to "smaller business", as defined by the SBA. The definition of a smaller business generally includes businesses that have a tangible net worth not exceeding \$6.0 million for the most recent fiscal year and have average annual net income after U.S. federal income taxes not exceeding \$2.0 million (average net income to be computed without benefit of any net carryover loss) for the two most recent fiscal years. SBA regulations also provide alternative size standard criteria to determine eligibility for designation as an eligible small business or smaller concern, which criteria depend on the primary industry in which the business is engaged and is based on such factors as the number of employees and gross revenue. However, once an SBIC has invested in an eligible small business, it may continue to make follow on investments in the company, regardless of the size of the company at the time of the follow on investment.

Table of Contents

The SBA prohibits an SBIC from providing funds to small businesses with certain characteristics, such as businesses with the majority of their employees located outside the U.S., or from investing in project finance, real estate, farmland, financial intermediaries or "passive" (i.e. non-operating) businesses. Without prior SBA approval, an SBIC may not invest an amount equal to more than approximately 30.0% of the SBIC's regulatory capital in any one company and its affiliates.

The SBA places certain limitations on the financing terms of investments by SBICs in portfolio companies (such as limiting the permissible interest rate on debt securities held by an SBIC in a portfolio company). An SBIC may exercise control over a small business for a period of up to seven years from the date on which the SBIC initially acquires its control position. This control period may be extended for an additional period of time with the SBA's prior written approval.

The SBA restricts the ability of an SBIC to lend money to any of its officers, directors and employees or to invest in associates thereof. The SBA also prohibits, without prior SBA approval, a "change of control" of an SBIC or transfers that would result in any person (or a group of persons acting in concert) owning 10.0% or more of a class of capital stock of a licensed SBIC. A "change of control" is any event which would result in the transfer of the power, direct or indirect, to direct the management and policies of an SBIC, whether through ownership, contractual arrangements or otherwise.

The SBA regulations require, among other things, an annual periodic examination of a licensed SBIC by an SBA examiner to determine the SBIC's compliance with the relevant SBA regulations, and the performance of a financial audit by an independent auditor.

In December 2015, the 2016 omnibus spending bill approved by the U.S. Congress and signed into law by the President increased the amount of SBA-guaranteed debentures that affiliated SBIC funds can have outstanding from \$225.0 million to \$350.0 million, subject to SBA approval.

Investment Management Agreement

We are a closed-end, non-diversified management investment company that has elected to be regulated as a BDC under the 1940 Act. We are externally managed by our Investment Adviser and pay our Investment Adviser a fee for its services. The following summarizes our arrangements with the Investment Adviser pursuant to an investment advisory and management agreement (the "Investment Management Agreement").

Management Services

The Investment Adviser is registered as an Investment Adviser under the Advisers Act. The Investment Adviser serves pursuant to the Investment Management Agreement in accordance with the 1940 Act. Subject to the overall supervision of our board of directors, the Investment Adviser manages our day-to-day operations and provides us with investment advisory and management services. Under the terms of the Investment Management Agreement, the Investment Adviser:

- determines the composition of our portfolio, the nature and timing of the changes to its portfolio and the manner of implementing such changes;
- determines the securities and other assets that we will purchase, retain or sell;
- identifies, evaluates and negotiates the structure of our investments that we make;
- executes, monitors and services the investments that we make;
- performs due diligence on prospective portfolio companies;
- votes, exercises consents and exercises all other rights appertaining to such securities and other assets on our behalf;
- and
- provides us with such other investment advisory, research and related services as we may, from time to time, reasonably require.

The Investment Adviser's services under the Investment Management Agreement are not exclusive, and the Investment Adviser (so long as its services to us are not impaired) and/or other entities affiliated with New Mountain Capital are permitted to furnish similar services to other entities.

Management Fees

Pursuant to the Investment Management Agreement, we have agreed to pay the Investment Adviser a fee for investment advisory and management services consisting of two components—a base management fee and an incentive fee. The cost of both the base management fee payable to the Investment Adviser and any incentive fees paid in cash to the Investment Adviser are borne by us and, as a result, are indirectly borne by our common stockholders.

Table of Contents**Base Management Fees**

Pursuant to the Investment Management Agreement, the base management fee is calculated at an annual rate of 1.75% of our gross assets, which equals our total assets on the Consolidated Statements of Assets and Liabilities, less (i) the borrowings under the senior loan fund's Loan and Security Agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, dated October 27, 2010, as amended (the "SLF Credit Facility"), and (ii) cash and cash equivalents. The base management fee is payable quarterly in arrears, and is calculated based on the average value of our gross assets, which equals our total assets, as determined in accordance with GAAP, less the borrowings under the SLF Credit Facility and cash and cash equivalents, at the end of each of the two most recently completed calendar quarters, and appropriately adjusted on a pro rata basis for any equity capital raises or repurchases during the current calendar quarter. We have not invested, and currently do not invest, in derivatives. To the extent we invest in derivatives in the future, we will use the actual value of the derivatives, as reported on our Consolidated Statements of Assets and Liabilities, for purposes of calculating our base management fee.

Since our IPO, the base management fee calculation has deducted the borrowings under the SLF Credit Facility. The SLF Credit Facility had historically consisted of primarily lower yielding assets at higher advance rates. As part of an amendment to our existing credit facilities with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, the SLF Credit Facility merged with the NMF Holdings' Loan and Security Agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, dated May 19, 2011, as amended and restated (the "Predecessor Holdings Credit Facility"), and into the Second Amended and Restated Loan and Security Agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (the "Holdings Credit Facility") on December 18, 2014. See Item 8.—Financial Statements and Supplementary Data—Note 7, Borrowings for additional information on our credit facilities. Post credit facility merger and to be consistent with the methodology since our IPO, the Investment Adviser will continue to waive management fees on the leverage associated with those assets that share the same underlying yield characteristics with investments leveraged under the legacy SLF Credit Facility, which approximated \$304.9 million as of December 31, 2015. The Investment Adviser cannot recoup management fees that the Investment Adviser has previously waived. For the year ended December 31, 2015, total management fees waived was approximately \$5.2 million.

Incentive Fees

The incentive fee consists of two parts. The first part is calculated and payable quarterly in arrears and equals 20.0% of our "Pre-Incentive Fee Adjusted Net Investment Income" for the immediately preceding quarter, subject to a "preferred return", or "hurdle", and a "catch-up" feature. "Pre-Incentive Fee Net Investment Income" means interest income, dividend income and any other income (including any other fees (other than fees for providing managerial assistance), such as commitment, origination, structuring, diligence and consulting fees or other fees that we receive from portfolio companies) accrued during the calendar quarter, minus our operating expenses for the quarter (including the base management fee, expenses payable under an administration agreement, as amended and restated (the "Administration Agreement"), with the Administrator, and any interest expense and distributions paid on any issued and outstanding preferred stock (of which there are none as of December 31, 2015), but excluding the incentive fee). Pre-Incentive Fee Net Investment Income includes, in the case of investments with a deferred interest feature (such as original issue discount, debt instruments with PIK interest and zero coupon securities), accrued income that we have not yet received in cash. Pre-Incentive Fee Net Investment Income does not include any realized capital gains, realized capital losses or unrealized capital appreciation or depreciation.

Under GAAP, our IPO did not step-up the cost basis of the Predecessor Operating Company's existing investments to fair market value at the IPO date. Since the total value of the Predecessor Operating Company's investments at the time of the IPO was greater than the investments' cost basis, a larger amount of amortization of purchase or original issue discount, as well as different amounts in realized gain and unrealized appreciation, may be recognized under GAAP in each period than if the step-up had occurred. This will remain until such predecessor investments are sold or mature in the future. We track the transferred (or fair market) value of each of our investments as of the time of the IPO and, for purposes of the incentive fee calculation, adjust Pre-Incentive Fee Net Investment Income to reflect the amortization of purchase or original issue discount on our investments as if each investment was purchased at the date of our IPO, or stepped up to fair market value. This is defined as "Pre-Incentive Fee Adjusted Net Investment

Income". We also use the transferred (or fair market) value of each of its investments as of the time of the IPO to adjust capital gains ("Adjusted Realized Capital Gains") or losses ("Adjusted Realized Capital Losses") and unrealized capital appreciation ("Adjusted Unrealized Capital Appreciation") and unrealized capital depreciation ("Adjusted Unrealized Capital Depreciation").

Pre-Incentive Fee Adjusted Net Investment Income, expressed as a rate of return on the value of our net assets at the end of the immediately preceding calendar quarter, will be compared to a "hurdle rate" of 2.0% per quarter (8.0% annualized), subject to a "catch-up" provision measured as of the end of each calendar quarter. The hurdle rate is appropriately pro-rated for any partial periods. The calculation of our incentive fee with respect to the Pre-Incentive Fee Adjusted Net Investment Income for each quarter is as follows:

Table of Contents

No incentive fee is payable to the Investment Adviser in any calendar quarter in which our Pre-Incentive Fee Adjusted Net Investment Income does not exceed the hurdle rate of 2.0% (the "preferred return" or "hurdle").

100.0% of our Pre-Incentive Fee Adjusted Net Investment Income with respect to that portion of such Pre-Incentive Fee Adjusted Net Investment Income, if any, that exceeds the hurdle rate but is less than or equal to 2.5% in any calendar quarter (10.0% annualized) is payable to the Investment Adviser. This portion of our Pre-Incentive Fee Adjusted Net Investment Income (which exceeds the hurdle rate but is less than or equal to 2.5%) is referred to as the "catch-up". The catch-up provision is intended to provide the Investment Adviser with an incentive fee of 20.0% on all of our Pre-Incentive Fee Adjusted Net Investment Income as if a hurdle rate did not apply when our Pre-Incentive Fee Adjusted Net Investment Income exceeds 2.5% in any calendar quarter.

20.0% of the amount of our Pre-Incentive Fee Adjusted Net Investment Income, if any, that exceeds 2.5% in any calendar quarter (10.0% annualized) is payable to the Investment Adviser once the hurdle is reached and the catch-up is achieved.

The second part will be determined and payable in arrears as of the end of each calendar year (or upon termination of the Investment Management Agreement) and will equal 20.0% of our Adjusted Realized Capital Gains, if any, on a cumulative basis from inception through the end of each calendar year, computed net of all Adjusted Realized Capital Losses and Adjusted Unrealized Capital Depreciation on a cumulative basis, less the aggregate amount of any previously paid capital gain incentive fee.

In accordance with GAAP, we accrue a hypothetical capital gains incentive fee based upon the cumulative net Adjusted Realized Capital Gains and Adjusted Realized Capital Losses and the cumulative net Adjusted Unrealized Capital Appreciation and Adjusted Unrealized Capital Depreciation on investments held at the end of each period. Actual amounts paid to the Investment Adviser are consistent with the Investment Management Agreement and are based only on actual Adjusted Realized Capital Gains computed net of all Adjusted Realized Capital Losses and Adjusted Unrealized Capital Depreciation on a cumulative basis from inception through the end of each calendar year as if the entire portfolio was sold at fair value.

Example 1: Income Related Portion of Incentive Fee for Each Calendar Quarter*:

Alternative 1

Assumptions

Investment income (including interest, dividends, fees, etc.) = 1.25%

Hurdle rate(1) = 2.00%

Management fee(2) = 0.44%

Other expenses (legal, accounting, safekeeping agent, transfer agent, etc.)(3) = 0.20%

Pre-Incentive Fee Adjusted Net Investment Income

(investment income – (management fee + other expenses)) = 0.61%

Pre-Incentive Fee Adjusted Net Investment Income does not exceed the hurdle rate, therefore there is no income related incentive fee.

Alternative 2

Assumptions

Investment income (including interest, dividends, fees, etc.) = 2.90%

Hurdle rate(1) = 2.00%

Management fee(2) = 0.44%

Other expenses (legal, accounting, safekeeping agent, transfer agent, etc.)(3) = 0.20%

Pre-Incentive Fee Adjusted Net Investment Income

(investment income – (management fee + other expenses)) = 2.26%

Incentive fee = 100.00% × Pre-Incentive Fee Adjusted Net Investment Income (subject to "catch-up")(4)

= 100.00% × (2.26% – 2.00%)

= 0.26%

Pre-Incentive Fee Adjusted Net Investment Income exceeds the hurdle rate, but does not fully satisfy the "catch-up" provision, therefore the income related portion of the incentive fee is 0.26%.

Table of Contents

Alternative 3

Assumptions

Investment income (including interest, dividends, fees, etc.) = 3.50%

Hurdle rate(1) = 2.00%

Management fee(2) = 0.44%

Other expenses (legal, accounting, safekeeping agent, transfer agent, etc.)(3) = 0.20%

Pre-Incentive Fee Adjusted Net Investment Income

(investment income – (management fee + other expenses)) = 2.86%

Incentive fee = 100.00% × Pre-Incentive Fee Adjusted Net Investment Income (subject to "catch-up")(4)

Incentive fee = 100.00% × "catch-up" + (20.00% × (Pre-Incentive Fee Adjusted Net Investment Income 2.50%))

Catch-up = 2.50% – 2.00%

= 0.50%

Incentive fee = (100.00% × 0.50%) + (20.00% × (2.86% – 2.50%))

= 0.50% + (20.00% × 0.36%)

= 0.50% + 0.07%

= 0.57%

Pre-Incentive Fee Adjusted Net Investment Income exceeds the hurdle rate, and fully satisfies the "catch-up" provision, therefore the income related portion of the incentive fee is 0.57%.

The hypothetical amount of pre-incentive fee net investment income shown is based on a percentage of total net * assets and assumes, for our investments held prior to the IPO, interest income has been adjusted to reflect the amortization of purchase or original issue discount as if each investment was purchased at the date of the IPO, or stepped up to fair market value.

(1) Represents 8.00% annualized hurdle rate.

(2) Assumes 1.75% annualized base management fee.

(3) Excludes organizational and offering expenses.

The "catch-up" provision is intended to provide the Investment Adviser with an incentive fee of 20.00% on all

(4) Pre-Incentive Fee Adjusted Net Investment Income as if a hurdle rate did not apply when our net investment income exceeds 2.50% in any calendar quarter.

Example 2: Capital Gains Portion of Incentive Fee*:

Alternative 1:

Assumptions

Year 1: \$20.0 million investment made in Company A ("Investment A"), and \$30.0 million investment made in Company B ("Investment B")

Year 2: Investment A sold for \$50.0 million and fair market value ("FMV") of Investment B determined to be \$32.0 million

Year 3: FMV of Investment B determined to be \$25.0 million

Year 4: Investment B sold for \$31.0 million

The capital gains portion of the incentive fee would be:

Year 1: None

Year 2: Capital gains incentive fee of \$6.0 million—(\$30.0 million realized capital gains on sale of Investment A multiplied by 20.0%)

Table of Contents

Year 3: None—\$5.0 million (20.0% multiplied by (\$30.0 million cumulative capital gains less \$5.0 million cumulative capital depreciation)) less \$6.0 million (previous capital gains fee paid in Year 2)

Year 4: Capital gains incentive fee of \$0.2 million—\$6.2 million (\$31.0 million cumulative realized capital gains multiplied by 20.0%) less \$6.0 million (capital gains incentive fee taken in Year 2)

Alternative 2

Assumptions

Year 1: \$20.0 million investment made in Company A ("Investment A"), \$30.0 million investment made in Company B ("Investment B") and \$25.0 million investment made in Company C ("Investment C")

Year 2: Investment A sold for \$50.0 million, FMV of Investment B determined to be \$25.0 million and FMV of Investment C determined to be \$25.0 million

Year 3: FMV of Investment B determined to be \$27.0 million and Investment C sold for \$30.0 million

Year 4: FMV of Investment B determined to be \$35.0 million

Year 5: Investment B sold for \$20.0 million

The capital gains incentive fee, if any, would be:

Year 1: None

Year 2: \$5.0 million capital gains incentive fee—20.0% multiplied by \$25.0 million (\$30.0 million realized capital gains on Investment A less \$5.0 million unrealized capital depreciation on Investment B)

Year 3: \$1.4 million capital gains incentive fee—\$6.4 million (20.0% multiplied by \$32.0 million (\$35.0 million cumulative realized capital gains less \$3.0 million unrealized capital depreciation)) less \$5.0 million capital gains incentive fee received in Year 2

Year 4: \$0.6 million capital gains incentive fee—\$7.0 million (20.0% multiplied by \$35.0 million cumulative realized capital gains) less cumulative \$6.4 million capital gains incentive fee received in Year 2 and Year 3

Year 5: None—\$5.0 million (20.0% multiplied by \$25.0 million (cumulative realized capital gains of \$35.0 million less realized capital losses of \$10.0 million)) less \$7.0 million cumulative capital gains incentive fee paid in Year 2, Year 3 and Year 4(1)

The hypothetical amounts of returns shown are based on a percentage of our total net assets and assume no leverage. There is no guarantee that positive returns will be realized and actual returns may vary from those shown in this *example. The capital gains incentive fees are calculated on an "adjusted" basis for our investments held prior to the IPO and assumes those investments have been adjusted to reflect the amortization of purchase or original issue discount as if each investment was purchased at the date of the IPO, or stepped up to fair market value.

As noted above, it is possible that the cumulative aggregate capital gains fee received by the Investment Adviser (1)(\$7.0 million) is effectively greater than \$5.0 million (20.0% of cumulative aggregate realized capital gains less net realized capital losses or net unrealized depreciation (\$25.0 million)).

Payment of Expenses

Our primary operating expenses are the payment of a base management fee and any incentive fees under the Investment Management Agreement and the allocable portion of overhead and other expenses incurred by the Administrator in performing its obligations to us under the Administration Agreement. We bear all other expenses of our operations and transactions, including (without limitation) fees and expenses relating to:

- organizational and offering expenses;
- the investigation and monitoring of our investments;
- the cost of calculating net asset value;
- interest payable on debt, if any, to finance our investments;

Table of Contents

the cost of effecting sales and repurchases of shares of our common stock and other securities;

management and incentive fees payable pursuant to the Investment Management Agreement;

fees payable to third parties relating to, or associated with, making investments and valuing investments (including third-party valuation firms);

transfer agent and custodial fees;

fees and expenses associated with marketing efforts (including attendance at investment conferences and similar events);

federal and state registration fees;

any exchange listing fees;

federal, state, local and foreign taxes;

independent directors' fees and expenses;

brokerage commissions;

costs of proxy statements, stockholders' reports and notices;

costs of preparing government filings, including periodic and current reports with the SEC;

fees and expenses associated with independent audits and outside legal costs;

costs associated with reporting and compliance obligations under the 1940 Act and applicable federal and state securities laws;

fidelity bond, liability insurance and other insurance premiums; and

printing, mailing and all other direct expenses incurred by either the Investment Adviser or us in connection with administering our business, including payments under the Administration Agreement that is based upon our allocable portion of overhead and other expenses incurred by the Administrator in performing its obligations to us under the Administration Agreement, including the allocable portion of the compensation of our chief financial officer and chief compliance officer and their respective staffs.

Board Consideration of the Investment Management Agreement

Our board of directors determined at an in-person meeting held on February 3, 2016, to re-approve our Investment Management Agreement with the Investment Adviser. In the consideration of the re-approval of the Investment Management Agreement, our board of directors focused on information they had received relating to, among other things:

the nature, quality and extent of the advisory and other services to be provided to us by the Investment Adviser;

comparative data with respect to advisory fees or similar expenses paid by other BDCs with similar investment objectives;

our projected operating expenses and expense ratio compared to BDCs with similar investment objectives;

any existing and potential sources of indirect income to the Investment Adviser or the Administrator from their relationships with us and the profitability of those relationships, including through the Investment Management Agreement and the Administration Agreement;

information about the services to be performed and the personnel performing such services under the Investment Management Agreement;

the organizational capability and financial condition of the Investment Adviser and its affiliates;

the Investment Adviser's practices regarding the selection and compensation of brokers that may execute our portfolio transactions and the brokers' provision of brokerage and research services to the Investment Adviser; and

the possibility of obtaining similar services from other third party service providers or through an internally managed structure.

Table of Contents

Based on the information reviewed and the discussions, our board of directors, including a majority of the non-interested directors, concluded that fees payable to the Investment Adviser pursuant to the Investment Management Agreement were reasonable in relation to the services to be provided. Our board of directors did not assign relative weights to the above factors or the other factors considered by them. In addition, our board of directors did not reach any specific conclusion on each factor considered, but conducted an overall analysis of these factors. Individual members of our board of directors may have given different weights to different factors.

Qualifying Assets

Under the 1940 Act, a BDC may not acquire any asset other than assets of the type listed in Section 55(a) of the 1940 Act, which are referred to as qualifying assets, unless, at the time the acquisition is made, qualifying assets represent at least 70.0% of the BDC's total assets. The principal categories of qualifying assets relevant to our business are any of the following:

- Securities purchased in transactions not involving any public offering from the issuer of such securities, which issuer (subject to certain limited exceptions) is an eligible portfolio company, or from any person who is, or has
 - 1) been during the preceding 13 months, an affiliated person of an eligible portfolio company, or from any other person, subject to such rules as may be prescribed by the SEC. An eligible portfolio company is defined in the 1940 Act as any issuer which:
 - (a) is organized under the laws of, and has its principal place of business in, the U.S.;
 - (b) is not an investment company (other than a small business investment company wholly-owned by the BDC) or a company that would be an investment company but for certain exclusions under the 1940 Act; and
 - (c) satisfies any of the following:
 - (i) does not have any class of securities that is traded on a national securities exchange;
 - (ii) has a class of securities listed on a national securities exchange, but has an aggregate market value of outstanding voting and non-voting common equity of less than \$250.0 million;
 - (iii) is controlled by a BDC or a group of companies including a BDC and the BDC has an affiliated person who is a director of the eligible portfolio company; or
 - (iv) is a small and solvent company having total assets of not more than \$4.0 million and capital and surplus of not less than \$2.0 million.
 - 2) Securities of any eligible portfolio company that a BDC controls.

Securities purchased in a private transaction from a U.S. issuer that is not an investment company or from an affiliated person of the issuer, or in transactions incident thereto, if the issuer is in bankruptcy and subject to reorganization or if the issuer, immediately prior to the purchase of its securities was unable to meet its obligations as they came prior to the purchase of its securities was unable to meet its obligations as they came due without material assistance other than conventional lending or financing arrangements.

Securities of an eligible portfolio company purchased from any person in a private transaction if there is no ready market for such securities and a BDC already owns 60.0% of the outstanding equity of the eligible portfolio company.
 - 3) Securities received in exchange for or distributed on or with respect to securities described in (1) through (4) above, or pursuant to the exercise of warrants or rights relating to such securities.
 - 4) Cash, cash equivalents, U.S. government securities or high-quality debt securities maturing in one year or less from the time of investment.

In addition, a BDC must have been organized and have its principal place of business in the U.S. and must be operated for the purpose of making investments in the types of securities described in (1), (2) or (3) above.

As of December 31, 2015, 6.8% of our total assets were non-qualifying assets.

Table of Contents

Managerial Assistance to Portfolio Companies

BDCs generally must offer to make available to the issuer of its securities significant managerial assistance, except in circumstances where either (i) the BDC controls such issuer of securities or (ii) the BDC purchases such securities in conjunction with one or more other persons acting together and one of the other persons in the group makes available such managerial assistance. Making available managerial assistance means, among other things, any arrangement whereby the BDC offers to provide, and, if accepted, does so provide, significant guidance and counsel concerning the management, operations or business objectives and policies of a portfolio company. The Administrator or its affiliate provides such managerial assistance on our behalf to portfolio companies that request this assistance.

Temporary Investments

Pending investments in other types of qualifying assets, our investments may consist of cash, cash equivalents, U.S. government securities or high-quality debt securities maturing in one year or less from the time of investment (collectively, as "temporary investments"), so that 70.0% of our assets are qualifying assets. Typically, we will invest in U.S. Treasury bills or in repurchase agreements, provided that such agreements are fully collateralized by cash or securities issued by the U.S. government or its agencies. A repurchase agreement involves the purchase by an investor, such as us, of a specified security and the simultaneous agreement by the seller to repurchase it at an agreed-upon future date and at a price that is greater than the purchase price by an amount that reflects an agreed-upon interest rate. There is no percentage restriction on the proportion of our assets that may be invested in such repurchase agreements. However, if more than 25.0% of our total assets constitute repurchase agreements from a single counterparty, we would not meet the Diversification Tests in order to qualify as a RIC for U.S. federal income tax purposes. Thus, we do not intend to enter into repurchase agreements with a single counterparty in excess of this limit. The Investment Adviser will monitor the creditworthiness of the counterparties with which we enter into repurchase agreement transactions.

Senior Securities

We are permitted, under specified conditions, to issue multiple classes of debt if our asset coverage, as defined in the 1940 Act, is at least equal to 200.0% immediately after each such issuance. In addition, while any senior securities remain outstanding (other than any indebtedness issued in consideration of a privately arranged loan, such as any indebtedness outstanding under the Holdings Credit Facility, or the Senior Secured Revolving Credit Agreement with Goldman Sachs Bank USA, Morgan Stanley Bank, N.A. and Stifel Bank & Trust, dated June 4, 2014, as amended (together with the related guarantee and security agreement, the "NMFC Credit Facility"), or the convertible notes issued on June 3, 2014 under our indenture with U.S. Bank National Association (the "Convertible Notes")), we must make provisions to prohibit any distribution to our stockholders or the repurchase of its equity securities unless we meet the applicable asset coverage ratios at the time of the distribution or repurchase. We may also borrow amounts up to 5.0% of the value of our total assets for temporary or emergency purposes without regard to our asset coverage. We will include our assets and liabilities and all of our wholly-owned direct and indirect subsidiaries for purposes of calculating the asset coverage ratio. We received exemptive relief from the SEC on November 5, 2014, allowing us to modify the asset coverage requirement to exclude SBA-guaranteed debentures from this calculation. For a discussion of the risks associated with leverage, see Item 1A.—Risk Factors.

Code of Ethics

We have adopted a code of ethics pursuant to Rule 17j-1 under the 1940 Act that establishes procedures for personal investments and restricts certain personal securities transactions. Personnel subject to the code may invest in securities for their personal investment accounts, including securities that may be purchased or held by us so long as such investments are made in accordance with the code's requirements. You may read and copy the code of ethics at the SEC's Public Reference Room located at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, District of Columbia 20549. You may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330, and a copy of the code of ethics may be obtained, after paying a duplication fee, by electronic request at the following e-mail address: publicinfo@sec.gov. In addition, the code of ethics is available on the SEC's Internet site at <http://www.sec.gov>.

Compliance Policies and Procedures

We and the Investment Adviser have adopted and implemented written policies and procedures reasonably designed to prevent violation of the federal securities laws and we are required to review these compliance policies and procedures annually for their adequacy and the effectiveness of their implementation. Our chief compliance officer is responsible for administering these policies and procedures.

Table of Contents

Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures

We have delegated our proxy voting responsibility to the Investment Adviser. The proxy voting policies and procedures of the Investment Adviser are set forth below. The guidelines will be reviewed periodically by the Investment Adviser and our non-interested directors, and, accordingly, are subject to change.

Introduction

As an investment adviser registered under the Advisers Act, the Investment Adviser has a fiduciary duty to act solely in the best interests of its clients. As part of this duty, it recognizes that it must vote our securities in a timely manner free of conflicts of interest and in our best interests.

The policies and procedures for voting proxies for the investment advisory clients of the Investment Adviser are intended to comply with Section 206 of, and Rule 206(4)-6 under, the Advisers Act.

Proxy policies

The Investment Adviser will vote proxies relating to our securities in our best interest. It will review on a case-by-case basis each proposal submitted for a stockholder vote to determine its impact on the portfolio securities held by us.

Although the Investment Adviser will generally vote against proposals that may have a negative impact on its clients' portfolio securities, it may vote for such a proposal if there exists compelling long-term reasons to do so.

The proxy voting decisions of the Investment Adviser are made by the senior officers who are responsible for monitoring each of its clients' investments. To ensure that its vote is not the product of a conflict of interest, it will require that: (a) anyone involved in the decision making process disclose to the chief compliance officer any potential conflict that he or she is aware of and any contact that he or she has had with any interested party regarding a proxy vote; and (b) employees involved in the decision making process or vote administration are prohibited from revealing how the Investment Adviser intends to vote on a proposal in order to reduce any attempted influence from interested parties.

Proxy voting records

You may obtain, without charge, information regarding how we voted proxies with respect to our portfolio securities by making a written request for proxy voting information to: Chief Compliance Officer, 787 Seventh Avenue, 48th Floor, New York, New York 10019.

Staffing

We do not have any employees. Day-to-day investment operations that are conducted by us are managed by the Investment Adviser. See “—Investment Management Agreement”. We reimburse the Administrator for the allocable portion of overhead and other expenses incurred by it in performing its obligations to us under the Administration Agreement, including the compensation of our chief financial officer and chief compliance officer, and their respective staffs. For a more detailed discussion of the Administration Agreement, see Item 8.—Financial Statements and Supplementary Data—Note 5, Agreements.

Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 imposes a variety of regulatory requirements on publicly-held companies and their insiders. Many of these requirements affect us. For example:

- pursuant to Rule 13a-14 of the Exchange Act, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer are required to certify the accuracy of the financial statements contained in our periodic reports;
- pursuant to Item 307 of Regulation S-K, our periodic reports are required to disclose our conclusions about the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures;
- pursuant to Rule 13a-15 of the Exchange Act, management is required to prepare a report regarding their assessment of their internal control over financial reporting and are required to obtain an audit of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting performed by our independent registered public accounting firm; and
- pursuant to Item 308 of Regulation S-K and Rule 13a-15 of the Exchange Act, our periodic reports are required to disclose whether there were significant changes in our internal controls over financial reporting or in other factors that could significantly affect these controls subsequent to the date of the evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Table of Contents

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 requires us to review our current policies and procedures to determine whether we comply with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and the regulations promulgated thereunder. We intend to monitor our compliance with all regulations that are adopted under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and will take actions necessary to ensure that we are in compliance therewith.

Available Information

We file with or submit to the SEC annual, quarterly and current periodic reports, proxy statements and other information as required by the 1940 Act. You may inspect and copy any materials we file with the SEC at the Public Reference Room of the SEC at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549 or by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC maintains an internet site that contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information filed electronically by us with the SEC at <http://www.sec.gov>.

We make available free of charge on our website, <http://www.newmountainfinance.com>, our reports, proxies and information statements and other information as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such materials with, or furnish to, the SEC. Information contained on our website or on the SEC's website about us is not incorporated into this annual report and should not be considered to be a part of this annual report.

Privacy Notice

Your privacy is very important to us. This Privacy Notice sets forth our policies with respect to non-public personal information about our stockholders and prospective and former stockholders. These policies apply to our stockholders and may be changed at any time, provided a notice of such change is given to you. This notice supersedes any other privacy notice you may have received from us.

We will safeguard, according to strict standards of security and confidentiality, all information we receive about you. The only information we collect from you is your name, address, number of shares you hold and your social security number. This information is used only so that we can send you annual reports and other information about us, and send you proxy statements or other information required by law.

We do not share this information with any non-affiliated third party except as described below.

Authorized Employees of our Investment Adviser. It is our policy that only authorized employees of our investment adviser who need to know your personal information will have access to it.

Service Providers. We may disclose your personal information to companies that provide services on our behalf, such as recordkeeping, processing your trades, and mailing you information. These companies are required to protect your information and use it solely for the purpose for which they received it.

Courts and Government Officials. If required by law, we may disclose your personal information in accordance with a court order or at the request of government regulators. Only that information required by law, subpoena, or court order will be disclosed.

We seek to carefully safeguard your private information and, to that end, restrict access to non-public personal information about you to those employees and other persons who need to know the information to enable us to provide services to you. We maintain physical, electronic and procedural safeguards to protect your non-public personal information.

If you have any questions regarding this policy or the treatment of your non-public personal information, please contact our Chief Compliance Officer at (212) 655-0083.

Table of Contents

Item 1A. Risk Factors

You should carefully consider the significant risks described below, together with all of the other information included in this Form 10-K, including our consolidated financial statements and the related notes, before making an investment decision in us. The risks set forth below are not the only risks that we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial may materially affect our business, our structure, our financial condition, our investments and/or operating results. If any of the following events occur, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected. In such case, our net asset value and the trading price of our common stock could decline. There can be no assurance that we will achieve our investment objective and you may lose all or part of your investment.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR BUSINESS AND STRUCTURE

Global capital markets could enter a period of severe disruption and instability. These market conditions have historically and could again have a materially adverse effect on debt and equity capital markets in the U.S., which could have, a materially negative impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The U.S. and global capital markets have experienced periods of disruption characterized by the freezing of available credit, a lack of liquidity in the debt capital markets, significant losses in the principal value of investments, the re-pricing of credit risk in the broadly syndicated credit market, the failure of certain major financial institutions and general volatility in the financial markets. During these periods of disruption, general economic conditions deteriorated with material and adverse consequences for the broader financial and credit markets, and the availability of debt and equity capital for the market as a whole, and financial services firms in particular, was reduced significantly. These conditions may reoccur for a prolonged period of time or materially worsen in the future. In addition, signs of deteriorating sovereign debt conditions in Europe and concerns of economic slowdown in China create uncertainty that could lead to further disruptions and instability. We may in the future have difficulty accessing debt and equity capital, and a severe disruption in the global financial markets, deterioration in credit and financing conditions or uncertainty regarding U.S. Government spending and deficit levels, European sovereign debt, Chinese economic slowdown or other global economic conditions could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Further downgrades of the U.S. credit rating, impending automatic spending cuts or another government shutdown could negatively impact our liquidity, financial condition and earnings.

Recent U.S. debt ceiling and budget deficit concerns have increased the possibility of additional credit-rating downgrades and economic slowdowns, or a recession in the U.S. Although U.S. lawmakers passed legislation to raise the federal debt ceiling on multiple occasions, ratings agencies have lowered or threatened to lower the long-term sovereign credit rating on the U.S. The impact of this or any further downgrades to the U.S. government's sovereign credit rating or its perceived creditworthiness could adversely affect the U.S. and global financial markets and economic conditions. Absent further quantitative easing by the Federal Reserve, these developments could cause interest rates and borrowing costs to rise, which may negatively impact our ability to access the debt markets on favorable terms. In addition, disagreement over the federal budget has caused the U.S. federal government to shut down for periods of time. Continued adverse political and economic conditions could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Global economic, political and market conditions may adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition, including our revenue growth and profitability.

The current worldwide financial market situation, as well as various social and political tensions in the U.S. and around the world, may contribute to increased market volatility, may have long-term effects on the U.S. and worldwide financial markets, and may cause economic uncertainties or deterioration in the U.S. and worldwide. Since 2010, several European Union ("EU") countries, including Greece, Ireland, Italy, Spain, and Portugal, have faced budget issues, some of which may have negative long-term effects for the economies of those countries and other EU countries. There is continued concern about national-level support for the Euro and the accompanying coordination of fiscal and wage policy among European Economic and Monetary Union member countries. In addition, the fiscal policy of foreign nations, such as Russia and China, may have a severe impact on the worldwide and U.S. financial

markets. We cannot predict the effects of these or similar events in the future on the U.S. economy and securities markets or on our investments. We monitor developments and seek to manage our investments in a manner consistent with achieving our investment objective, but there can be no assurance that we will be successful in doing so.

We may suffer credit losses.

Investments in small and middle market businesses are highly speculative and involve a high degree of risk of credit loss. These risks are likely to increase during volatile economic periods, such as the U.S. and many other economies have recently been experiencing.

Table of Contents

We do not expect to replicate the Predecessor Entities' historical performance or the historical performance of other entities managed or supported by New Mountain Capital.

We do not expect to replicate the Predecessor Entities' historical performance or the historical performance of New Mountain Capital's investments. Our investment returns may be substantially lower than the returns achieved by the Predecessor Entities. Although the Predecessor Entities commenced operations during otherwise unfavorable economic conditions, this was a favorable environment in which the Predecessor Operating Company could conduct its business in light of its investment objectives and strategy. In addition, our investment strategies may differ from those of New Mountain Capital or its affiliates. We, as a BDC and as a RIC, are subject to certain regulatory restrictions that do not apply to New Mountain Capital or its affiliates.

We are generally not permitted to invest in any portfolio company in which New Mountain Capital or any of its affiliates currently have an investment or to make any co-investments with New Mountain Capital or its affiliates, except to the extent permitted by the 1940 Act. This may adversely affect the pace at which we make investments. Moreover, we may operate with a different leverage profile than the Predecessor Entities. Furthermore, none of the prior results from the Predecessor Entities were from public reporting companies, and all or a portion of these results were achieved in particularly favorable market conditions for the Predecessor Operating Company's investment strategy which may never be repeated. Finally, we can offer no assurance that our investment team will be able to continue to implement our investment objective with the same degree of success as it has had in the past.

There is uncertainty as to the value of our portfolio investments because most of our investments are, and may continue to be in private companies and recorded at fair value. In addition, the fair values of our investments are determined by our board of directors in accordance with our valuation policy.

Some of our investments are and may be in the form of securities or loans that are not publicly traded. The fair value of these investments may not be readily determinable. Under the 1940 Act, we are required to carry our portfolio investments at market value or, if there is no readily available market value, at fair value as determined in good faith by our board of directors, including to reflect significant events affecting the value of our securities. We value our investments for which we do not have readily available market quotations quarterly, or more frequently as circumstances require, at fair value as determined in good faith by our board of directors in accordance with our valuation policy, which is at all times consistent with GAAP. See Item 8.—Financial Statements and Supplementary Data—Note 2, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies or Note 4, Fair Value for additional information on valuations.

Our board of directors utilizes the services of one or more independent third-party valuation firms to aid it in determining the fair value with respect to our material unquoted assets in accordance with our valuation policy. The inputs into the determination of fair value of these investments may require significant management judgment or estimation. Even if observable market data is available, such information may be the result of consensus pricing information or broker quotes, which include a disclaimer that the broker would not be held to such a price in an actual transaction. The non-binding nature of consensus pricing and/or quotes accompanied by disclaimers materially reduces the reliability of such information.

The types of factors that the board of directors takes into account in determining the fair value of our investments generally include, as appropriate: available market data, including relevant and applicable market trading and transaction comparables, applicable market yields and multiples, security covenants, call protection provisions, information rights, the nature and realizable value of any collateral, the portfolio company's ability to make payments, its earnings and discounted cash flows and the markets in which it does business, comparisons of financial ratios of peer companies that are public, comparable merger and acquisition transactions and the principal market and enterprise values. Since these valuations, and particularly valuations of private securities and private companies, are inherently uncertain, may fluctuate over short periods of time and may be based on estimates, our determinations of fair value may differ materially from the values that would have been used if a ready market for these securities existed.

Due to this uncertainty, our fair value determinations may cause our net asset value, on any given date, to be materially understated or overstated. In addition, investors purchasing our common stock based on an overstated net

asset value would pay a higher price than the realizable value that our investments might warrant. We may adjust quarterly the valuation of our portfolio to reflect our board of directors' determination of the fair value of each investment in our portfolio. Any changes in fair value are recorded in our statement of operations as net change in unrealized appreciation or depreciation.

Table of Contents

Our ability to achieve our investment objective depends on key investment personnel of the Investment Adviser. If the Investment Adviser were to lose any of its key investment personnel, our ability to achieve our investment objective could be significantly harmed.

We depend on the investment judgment, skill and relationships of the investment professionals of the Investment Adviser, particularly Steven B. Klinsky and Robert A. Hamwee, as well as other key personnel to identify, evaluate, negotiate, structure, execute, monitor and service our investments. The Investment Adviser, as an affiliate of New Mountain Capital, is supported by New Mountain Capital's team, which as of December 31, 2015 consisted of approximately 100 staff members of New Mountain Capital and its affiliates to fulfill its obligations to us under the Investment Management Agreement. The Investment Adviser may also depend upon New Mountain Capital to obtain access to investment opportunities originated by the professionals of New Mountain Capital and its affiliates. Our future success depends to a significant extent on the continued service and coordination of the key investment personnel of the Investment Adviser. The departure of any of these individuals could have a material adverse effect on our ability to achieve our investment objective.

The Investment Committee, which provides oversight over our investment activities, is provided by the Investment Adviser. The Investment Committee currently consists of five members. The loss of any member of the Investment Committee or of other senior professionals of the Investment Adviser and its affiliates without suitable replacement could limit our ability to achieve our investment objective and operate as we anticipate. This could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operation and cash flows. To achieve our investment objective, the Investment Adviser may hire, train, supervise and manage new investment professionals to participate in its investment selection and monitoring process. If the Investment Adviser is unable to find investment professionals or do so in a timely manner, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected. The Investment Adviser has limited experience managing a BDC or a RIC, which could adversely affect our business. Other than us, the Investment Adviser has not previously managed a BDC or a RIC. The 1940 Act and the Code impose numerous constraints on the operations of BDCs and RICs that do not apply to the other investment vehicles previously managed by the investment professionals of the Investment Adviser. For example, under the 1940 Act, BDCs are required to invest at least 70.0% of their total assets primarily in securities of qualifying U.S. private or thinly traded companies, cash, cash equivalents, U.S. government securities and other high quality debt investments that mature in one year or less. Moreover, qualification for taxation as a RIC under subchapter M of the Code requires satisfaction of source-of-income, asset diversification and annual distribution requirements. The failure to comply with these provisions in a timely manner could prevent us from qualifying as a BDC or as a RIC and could force us to pay unexpected taxes and penalties, which would have a material adverse effect on our performance. The Investment Adviser's lack of experience in managing a portfolio of assets under the constraints applicable to BDCs and RICs may hinder its ability to take advantage of attractive investment opportunities and, as a result, achieve our investment objective. If we fail to maintain our status as a BDC or as a RIC, our operating flexibility could be significantly reduced.

We operate in a highly competitive market for investment opportunities and may not be able to compete effectively. We compete for investments with other BDCs and investment funds (including private equity and hedge funds), as well as traditional financial services companies such as commercial banks and other sources of funding. Many of our competitors are substantially larger and have considerably greater financial, technical and marketing resources than we do. For example, some competitors may have a lower cost of capital and access to funding sources that are not available to us. In addition, some of our competitors may have higher risk tolerances or different risk assessments than us. Furthermore, many of our competitors have greater experience operating under, or are not subject to, the regulatory restrictions that the 1940 Act imposes on us as a BDC or the source-of-income, asset diversification and distribution requirements that we must satisfy to maintain our RIC status. These characteristics could allow our competitors to consider a wider variety of investments, establish more relationships and offer better pricing and more flexible structuring than we are able to do.

We may lose investment opportunities if our pricing, terms and structure do not match those of our competitors. With respect to the investments that we make, we do not seek to compete based primarily on the interest rates we may offer,

and we believe that some of our competitors may make loans with interest rates that may be lower than the rates we offer. In the secondary market for acquiring existing loans, we expect to compete generally on the basis of pricing terms. If we match our competitors' pricing, terms and structure, we may experience decreased net interest income, lower yields and increased risk of credit loss. If we are forced to match our competitors' pricing, terms and structure, we may not be able to achieve acceptable returns on our investments or may bear substantial risk of capital loss. Part of our competitive advantage stems from the fact that we believe the market for middle-market lending is underserved by traditional bank lenders and other financial sources. A significant increase in the number and/or the size of our competitors in this target market could force us to accept less attractive investment terms. We may also compete for investment opportunities with accounts managed by the Investment Adviser or its affiliates. Although the Investment Adviser allocates opportunities in accordance with its policies and procedures, allocations to

Table of Contents

such other accounts reduces the amount and frequency of opportunities available to us and may not be in our best interests and, consequently, our stockholders. Moreover, the performance of investment opportunities is not known at the time of allocation. If we are not able to compete effectively, our business, financial condition and results of operations may be adversely affected, thus affecting our business, financial condition and results of operations. Because of this competition, there can be no assurance that we will be able to identify and take advantage of attractive investment opportunities that we identify or that we will be able to fully invest our available capital.

Our business, results of operations and financial condition depend on our ability to manage future growth effectively. Our ability to achieve our investment objective and to grow depends on the Investment Adviser's ability to identify, invest in and monitor companies that meet our investment criteria. Accomplishing this result on a cost-effective basis is largely a function of the Investment Adviser's structuring of the investment process, its ability to provide competent, attentive and efficient services to us and its ability to access financing on acceptable terms. The Investment Adviser has substantial responsibilities under the Investment Management Agreement and may also be called upon to provide managerial assistance to our portfolio companies. These demands on the time of the Investment Adviser and its investment professionals may distract them or slow our rate of investment. In order to grow, we and the Investment Adviser may need to retain, train, supervise and manage new investment professionals. However, these investment professionals may not be able to contribute effectively to the work of the Investment Adviser. If we are unable to manage our future growth effectively, our business, results of operations and financial condition could be materially adversely affected.

The incentive fee may induce the Investment Adviser to make speculative investments.

The incentive fee payable to the Investment Adviser may create an incentive for the Investment Adviser to pursue investments that are risky or more speculative than would be the case in the absence of such compensation arrangement, which could result in higher investment losses, particularly during cyclical economic downturns. The incentive fee payable to the Investment Adviser is calculated based on a percentage of our return on investment capital. This may encourage the Investment Adviser to use leverage to increase the return on our investments. In addition, because the base management fee is payable based upon our gross assets, which includes any borrowings for investment purposes, but excludes borrowings under the SLF Credit Facility and cash and cash equivalents for investment purposes, the Investment Adviser may be further encouraged to use leverage to make additional investments. Under certain circumstances, the use of leverage may increase the likelihood of default, which would impair the value of our common stock.

The incentive fee payable to the Investment Adviser also may create an incentive for the Investment Adviser to invest in instruments that have a deferred interest feature, even if such deferred payments would not provide the cash necessary to pay current distributions to our stockholders. Under these investments, we would accrue the interest over the life of the investment but would not receive the cash income from the investment until the end of the investment's term, if at all. Our net investment income used to calculate the income portion of the incentive fee, however, includes accrued interest. Thus, a portion of the incentive fee would be based on income that we have not yet received in cash and may never receive in cash if the portfolio company is unable to satisfy such interest payment obligations. In addition, the "catch-up" portion of the incentive fee may encourage the Investment Adviser to accelerate or defer interest payable by portfolio companies from one calendar quarter to another, potentially resulting in fluctuations in timing and dividend amounts.

We may be obligated to pay the Investment Adviser incentive compensation even if we incur a loss.

The Investment Adviser is entitled to incentive compensation for each fiscal quarter in an amount equal to a percentage of the excess of our Pre-Incentive Fee Adjusted Net Investment Income for that quarter (before deducting incentive compensation) above a performance threshold for that quarter. Accordingly, since the performance threshold is based on a percentage of our net asset value, decreases in our net asset value make it easier to achieve the performance threshold. Our Pre-Incentive Fee Adjusted Net Investment Income for incentive compensation purposes excludes realized and unrealized capital losses or depreciation that it may incur in the fiscal quarter, even if such capital losses or depreciation result in a net loss on our statement of operations for that quarter. Thus, we may be

required to pay the Investment Adviser incentive compensation for a fiscal quarter even if there is a decline in the value of our portfolio or we incur a net loss for that quarter.

The incentive fee we pay to the Investment Adviser with respect to capital gains may be effectively greater than 20.0%.

As a result of the operation of the cumulative method of calculating the capital gains portion of the incentive fee we pay to the Investment Adviser, the cumulative aggregate capital gains fee received by the Investment Adviser could be effectively greater than 20.0%, depending on the timing and extent of subsequent net realized capital losses or net unrealized

Table of Contents

depreciation. We cannot predict whether, or to what extent, this payment calculation would affect your investment in our common stock.

We borrow money, which could magnify the potential for gain or loss on amounts invested in us and increase the risk of investing in us.

We borrow money as part of our business plan. Borrowings, also known as leverage, magnify the potential for gain or loss on invested equity capital and may, consequently, increase the risk of investing in us. We expect to continue to use leverage to finance our investments, through senior securities issued by banks and other lenders. Lenders of these senior securities have fixed dollar claims on our assets that are superior to claims of our common stockholders. If the value of our assets decreases, leveraging would cause our net asset value to decline more sharply than it otherwise would have had it not leveraged. Similarly, any decrease in our income would cause our net income to decline more sharply than it would have had it not borrowed. Such a decline could adversely affect our ability to make common stock dividend payments. In addition, because our investments may be illiquid, we may be unable to dispose of them or to do so at a favorable price in the event we need to do so if we are unable to refinance any indebtedness upon maturity and, as a result, we may suffer losses. Leverage is generally considered a speculative investment technique. Our ability to service any debt that we incur depends largely on our financial performance and is subject to prevailing economic conditions and competitive pressures. Moreover, as the Investment Adviser's management fee is payable to the Investment Adviser based on gross assets, including those assets acquired through the use of leverage, the Investment Adviser may have a financial incentive to incur leverage which may not be consistent with our interests and the interests of our common stockholders. In addition, holders of our common stock will, indirectly, bear the burden of any increase in our expenses as a result of leverage, including any increase in the management fee payable to the Investment Adviser.

At December 31, 2015, we had \$419.3 million, \$90.0 million, \$115.0 million and \$117.7 million of indebtedness outstanding under the Holdings Credit Facility, the NMFC Credit Facility, the Convertible Notes and the SBA-guaranteed debentures, respectively. The Holdings Credit Facility had a weighted average interest rate of 2.6% for the year ended December 31, 2015, the NMFC Credit Facility had a weighted average interest rate of 2.7% for the year ended December 31, 2015 and the SBA-guaranteed debentures had a weighted average interest rate of 2.4% for the year ended December 31, 2015. The interest rate on the Convertible Notes is 5.0% per annum.

If we are unable to comply with the covenants or restrictions in our borrowings, our business could be materially adversely affected.

The Holdings Credit Facility includes covenants that, subject to exceptions, restrict our ability to pay distributions, create liens on assets, make investments, make acquisitions and engage in mergers or consolidations. The Holdings Credit Facility also includes a change of control provision that accelerates the indebtedness under the facility in the event of certain change of control events. Complying with these restrictions may prevent us from taking actions that we believe would help us grow our business or are otherwise consistent with our investment objective. These restrictions could also limit our ability to plan for or react to market conditions or meet extraordinary capital needs or otherwise restrict corporate activities. In addition, the restrictions contained in the Holdings Credit Facility could limit our ability to make distributions to our stockholders in certain circumstances, which could result in us failing to qualify as a RIC and thus becoming subject to corporate-level U.S. federal income tax (and any applicable state and local taxes).

The NMFC Credit Facility includes customary covenants, including certain financial covenants related to asset coverage and liquidity and other maintenance covenants, as well as customary events of default.

Our Convertible Notes are subject to certain covenants, including covenants requiring us to provide financial information to the holders of the Convertible Notes and the trustee if we cease to be subject to the reporting requirements of the Exchange Act. These covenants are subject to limitations and exceptions.

The breach of any of the covenants or restrictions, unless cured within the applicable grace period, would result in a default under the applicable credit facility that would permit the lenders thereunder to declare all amounts outstanding to be due and payable. In such an event, we may not have sufficient assets to repay such indebtedness. As a result, any

default could have serious consequences to our financial condition. An event of default or an acceleration under the credit facilities could also cause a cross-default or cross-acceleration of another debt instrument or contractual obligation, which would adversely impact our liquidity. We may not be granted waivers or amendments to the credit facilities if for any reason we are unable to comply with it, and we may not be able to refinance the credit facilities on terms acceptable to us, or at all.

Table of Contents

We may enter into reverse repurchase agreements, which are another form of leverage.

We may enter into reverse repurchase agreements as part of our management of our investment portfolio. Under a reverse repurchase agreement, we will effectively pledge our assets as collateral to secure a short-term loan.

Generally, the other party to the agreement makes the loan in an amount equal to a percentage of the fair value of the pledged collateral. At the maturity of the reverse repurchase agreement, the payor will be required to repay the loan and correspondingly receive back its collateral. While used as collateral, the assets continue to pay principal and interest which are for our benefit.

Our use of reverse repurchase agreements, if any, involves many of the same risks involved in our use of leverage, as the proceeds from reverse repurchase agreements generally will be invested in additional securities. There is a risk that the market value of the securities acquired with the proceeds of a reverse repurchase agreement may decline below the price of the securities that we have sold but remain obligated to repurchase under the reverse repurchase agreement. In addition, there is a risk that the market value of the securities effectively pledged by us may decline. If a buyer of securities under a reverse repurchase agreement were to file for bankruptcy or experience insolvency, we may be adversely affected. Also, in entering into reverse repurchase agreements, we would bear the risk of loss to the extent that the proceeds of such agreements at settlement are more than the fair value of the underlying securities being pledged. In addition, due to the interest costs associated with reverse repurchase agreements transactions, our net asset value would decline, and, in some cases, we may be worse off than if such instruments had not been used.

If we are unable to obtain additional debt financing, or if our borrowing capacity is materially reduced, our business could be materially adversely affected.

We may want to obtain additional debt financing, or need to do so upon maturity of our credit facilities, in order to obtain funds which may be made available for investments. The revolving period under the Holdings Credit Facility ends on December 18, 2017, and the Holdings Credit Facility matures on December 18, 2019. The NMFC Credit Facility and the Convertible Notes mature on June 4, 2019 and June 15, 2019, respectively. The SBA-guaranteed debentures have ten year maturities and will begin to mature on March 1, 2025. If we are unable to increase, renew or replace any such facilities and enter into new debt financing facilities or other debt financing on commercially reasonable terms, our liquidity may be reduced significantly. In addition, if we are unable to repay amounts outstanding under any such facilities and are declared in default or are unable to renew or refinance these facilities, we may not be able to make new investments or operate our business in the normal course. These situations may arise due to circumstances that we may be unable to control, such as lack of access to the credit markets, a severe decline in the value of the U.S. dollar, a further economic downturn or an operational problem that affects us or third parties, and could materially damage our business operations, results of operations and financial condition.

We may need to raise additional capital to grow.

We may need additional capital to fund new investments and grow. We may access the capital markets periodically to issue equity securities. In addition, we may also issue debt securities or borrow from financial institutions in order to obtain such additional capital. Unfavorable economic conditions could increase our funding costs and limit our access to the capital markets or result in a decision by lenders not to extend credit to us. A reduction in the availability of new capital could limit our ability to grow. In addition, we are required to distribute at least 90.0% of our net ordinary income and net short-term capital gains in excess of net long-term capital losses, if any, to our stockholders to maintain our RIC status. As a result, these earnings will not be available to fund new investments. If we are unable to access the capital markets or if we are unable to borrow from financial institutions, we may be unable to grow our business and execute our business strategy fully, and our earnings, if any, could decrease, which could have an adverse effect on the value of our securities.

A renewed disruption in the capital markets and the credit markets could adversely affect our business.

As a BDC, we must maintain our ability to raise additional capital for investment purposes. If we are unable to access the capital markets or credit markets, we may be forced to curtail our business operations and may be unable to pursue new investment opportunities. The capital markets and the credit markets have experienced extreme volatility in recent periods, and, as a result, there have been and will likely continue to be uncertainty in the financial markets in

general. Disruptions in the capital markets in recent years increased the spread between the yields realized on risk-free and higher risk securities, resulting in illiquidity in parts of the capital markets. In addition, a prolonged period of market illiquidity may cause us to reduce the volume of loans that we originate and/or fund and adversely affect the value of our portfolio investments. Unfavorable economic conditions could also increase our funding costs, limit our access to the capital markets or result in a decision by lenders not to extend credit to us. These events could limit our investment originations, limit our ability to grow and negatively impact our operating results. Ongoing disruptive conditions in the financial industry and the impact of new legislation in

Table of Contents

response to those conditions could restrict our business operations and, consequently, could adversely impact our business, results of operations and financial condition.

If the fair value of our assets declines substantially, we may fail to maintain the asset coverage ratios imposed upon us by the 1940 Act and contained in the Holdings Credit Facility and NMFC Credit Facility. Any such failure would affect our ability to issue senior securities, borrow under the Holdings Credit Facility and NMFC Credit Facility and pay distributions, which could materially impair our business operations. Our liquidity could be impaired further by our inability to access the capital or credit markets. For example, we cannot be certain that we will be able to renew our credit facilities as they mature or to consummate new borrowing facilities to provide capital for normal operations, including new originations, or reapply for SBIC licenses. In recent years, reflecting concern about the stability of the financial markets, many lenders and institutional investors have reduced or ceased providing funding to borrowers. This market turmoil and tightening of credit have led to increased market volatility and widespread reduction of business activity generally in recent years. In addition, adverse economic conditions due to these disruptive conditions could materially impact our ability to comply with the financial and other covenants in any existing or future credit facilities. If we are unable to comply with these covenants, this could materially adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Changes in interest rates may affect our cost of capital and net investment income.

To the extent we borrow money to make investments, our net investment income depends, in part, upon the difference between the rate at which we borrow funds and the rate at which we invest those funds. As a result, a significant change in market interest rates may have a material adverse effect on our net investment income in the event we use debt to finance our investments. In periods of rising interest rates, our cost of funds would increase, which could reduce our net investment income. We may use interest rate risk management techniques in an effort to limit our exposure to interest rate fluctuations. These techniques may include various interest rate hedging activities to the extent permitted by the 1940 Act.

SBIC LP is licensed by the SBA and is subject to SBA regulations.

On August 1, 2014, our wholly-owned direct and indirect subsidiary, SBIC LP, received its license to operate as an SBIC under the 1958 Act and is regulated by the SBA. The SBA places certain limitations on the financing terms of investments by SBICs in portfolio companies, regulates the types of financings, prohibits investing in small businesses with certain characteristics or in certain industries and requires capitalization thresholds that limit distributions to us. Compliance with SBIC requirements may cause SBIC LP to invest at less competitive rates in order to find investments that qualify under the SBA regulations.

The SBA regulations require, among other things, an annual periodic examination of a licensed SBIC by an SBA examiner to determine the SBIC's compliance with the relevant SBA regulations, and the performance of a financial audit by an independent auditor. If SBIC LP fails to comply with applicable regulations, the SBA could, depending on the severity of the violation, limit or prohibit SBIC LP's use of the debentures, declare outstanding debentures immediately due and payable, and/or limit SBIC LP from making new investments. In addition, the SBA could revoke or suspend SBIC LP's license for willful or repeated violation of, or willful or repeated failure to observe, any provision of the 1958 Act or any rule or regulation promulgated thereunder. These actions by the SBA would, in turn, negatively affect us because SBIC LP is our wholly-owned direct and indirect subsidiary.

SBA-guaranteed debentures are non-recourse to us, have a ten year maturity, and may be prepaid at any time without penalty. Pooling of issued SBA-guaranteed debentures occurs in March and September of each year. The interest rate of SBA-guaranteed debentures is fixed at the time of pooling at a market-driven spread over ten year U.S. Treasury Notes. The interest rate on debentures issued prior to the next pooling date is LIBOR plus 30 basis points. Leverage through SBA-guaranteed debentures is subject to required capitalization thresholds. Current SBA regulations limit the amount that any single SBIC may borrow to two tiers of leverage capped at \$150.0 million, where each tier is equivalent to the SBIC's regulatory capital, which generally equates to the amount of equity capital in the SBIC. In December 2015, the 2016 omnibus spending bill approved by the U.S. Congress and signed into law by the President increased the amount of SBA-guaranteed debentures that affiliated SBIC funds can have outstanding from \$225.0 million to \$350.0 million, subject to SBA approval.

Table of Contents

RISKS RELATED TO OUR OPERATIONS

Because we intend to distribute substantially all of our income to our stockholders to obtain and maintain our status as a RIC, we will continue to need additional capital to finance our growth. If additional funds are unavailable or not available on favorable terms, our ability to grow may be impaired.

In order for us to qualify for the tax benefits available to RICs and to avoid payment of excise taxes, we intend to distribute to our stockholders substantially all of our annual taxable income. As a result of these requirements, we may need to raise capital from other sources to grow our business.

As a BDC, we are required to meet a coverage ratio of total assets, less liabilities and indebtedness not represented by senior securities and excluding SBA-guaranteed debentures as permitted by exemptive relief obtained from the SEC, to total senior securities, which includes all of our borrowings with the exception of SBA-guaranteed debentures, of at least 200.0%. This requirement limits the amount that we may borrow. Since we continue to need capital to grow our investment portfolio, these limitations may prevent us from incurring debt and require us to raise additional equity at a time when it may be disadvantageous to do so. While we expect that we will be able to borrow and to issue additional debt securities and expect that we will be able to issue additional equity securities, which would in turn increase the equity capital available to us, we cannot assure you that debt and equity financing will be available to us on favorable terms, or at all. In addition, as a BDC, we generally are not permitted to issue equity securities priced below net asset value without stockholder approval. If additional funds are not available us, we may be forced to curtail or cease new investment activities, and our net asset value could decline.

SBIC LP may be unable to make distributions to us that will enable us to meet or maintain our RIC status.

In order for us to continue to qualify for tax benefits available to RICs and to minimize corporate-level U.S. federal income tax, we must distribute to our stockholders, for each taxable year, at least 90.0% of our “investment company taxable income”, which is generally our net ordinary income plus the excess of realized net short-term capital gains over realized net long-term capital losses, including investment company taxable income from SBIC LP. We will be partially dependent on SBIC LP for cash distributions to enable us to meet the RIC distribution requirements.

SBIC LP may be limited by SBA regulations governing SBICs from making certain distributions to us that may be necessary to maintain our status as a RIC. We may have to request a waiver of the SBA’s restrictions for SBIC LP to make certain distributions to maintain our RIC status. We cannot assure you that the SBA will grant such waiver and if SBIC LP is unable to obtain a waiver, compliance with the SBA regulations may result in corporate-level U.S. federal income tax.

Our ability to enter into transactions with our affiliates is restricted.

As a BDC, we are prohibited under the 1940 Act from participating in certain transactions with our affiliates without the prior approval of our independent directors and, in some cases, the SEC. Any person that owns, directly or indirectly, 5.0% or more of our outstanding voting securities is an affiliate of ours for purposes of the 1940 Act. We are generally prohibited from buying or selling any securities (other than our securities) from or to an affiliate. The 1940 Act also prohibits certain “joint” transactions with an affiliate, which could include investments in the same portfolio company (whether at the same or different times), without prior approval of independent directors and, in some cases, the SEC. If a person acquires more than 25.0% of our voting securities, we are prohibited from buying or selling any security (other than our securities) from or to such person or certain of that person’s affiliates, or entering into prohibited joint transactions with such persons, absent the prior approval of the SEC. Similar restrictions limit our ability to transact business with our officers or directors or their affiliates. As a result of these restrictions, we may be prohibited from buying or selling any security from or to any portfolio company of a private equity fund managed by any affiliate of the Investment Adviser without the prior approval of the SEC, which may limit the scope of investment opportunities that would otherwise be available to us.

The Investment Adviser has significant potential conflicts of interest with us and, consequently, your interests as stockholders which could adversely impact our investment returns.

Our executive officers and directors, as well as the current or future investment professionals of the Investment Adviser, serve or may serve as officers, directors or principals of entities that operate in the same or a related line of business as we do or of investment funds managed by our affiliates. Accordingly, they may have obligations to

investors in those entities, the fulfillment of which might not be in your interests as stockholders. Although we are currently New Mountain Capital's only vehicle focused primarily on investing in the investments that we target, in the future, the investment professionals of the Investment Adviser and/or New Mountain Capital employees that provide services pursuant to the Investment Management Agreement may manage other funds which may from time to time have overlapping investment objectives with our own and, accordingly, may invest in, whether principally or secondarily, asset classes similar to those targeted by us. If this occurs, the

Table of Contents

Investment Adviser may face conflicts of interest in allocating investment opportunities to us and such other funds. Although the investment professionals endeavor to allocate investment opportunities in a fair and equitable manner, it is possible that we may not be given the opportunity to participate in certain investments made by the Investment Adviser or persons affiliated with the Investment Adviser or that certain of these investment funds may be favored over us. When these investment professionals identify an investment, they may be forced to choose which investment fund should make the investment.

If the Investment Adviser forms other affiliates in the future, we may co-invest on a concurrent basis with such other affiliate, subject to compliance with applicable regulations and regulatory guidance or an exemptive order from the SEC and our allocation procedures. In addition, we pay management and incentive fees to the Investment Adviser and reimburse the Investment Adviser for certain expenses it incurs. As a result, investors in our common stock invest in us on a “gross” basis and receive distributions on a “net” basis after our expenses. Also, the incentive fee payable to the Investment Adviser may create an incentive for the Investment Adviser to pursue investments that are riskier or more speculative than would be the case in the absence of such compensation arrangements. Any potential conflict of interest arising as a result of the arrangements with the Investment Adviser could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

The Investment Committee, the Investment Adviser or its affiliates may, from time to time, possess material non-public information, limiting our investment discretion.

The Investment Adviser’s investment professionals, Investment Committee or their respective affiliates may serve as directors of, or in a similar capacity with, companies in which we invest. In the event that material non-public information is obtained with respect to such companies, or we become subject to trading restrictions under the internal trading policies of those companies or as a result of applicable law or regulations, we could be prohibited for a period of time from purchasing or selling the securities of such companies, and this prohibition may have an adverse effect on us and our stockholders.

The valuation process for certain of our portfolio holdings creates a conflict of interest.

Some of our portfolio investments are made in the form of securities that are not publicly traded. As a result, our board of directors determines the fair value of these securities in good faith. In connection with this determination, investment professionals from the Investment Adviser may provide our board of directors with portfolio company valuations based upon the most recent portfolio company financial statements available and projected financial results of each portfolio company. In addition, Steven B. Klinsky, a member of our board of directors, has an indirect pecuniary interest in the Investment Adviser. The participation of the Investment Adviser’s investment professionals in our valuation process, and the indirect pecuniary interest in the Investment Adviser by a member of our board of directors, could result in a conflict of interest as the Investment Adviser’s management fee is based, in part, on our gross assets and incentive fees are based, in part, on unrealized gains and losses.

Conflicts of interest may exist related to other arrangements with the Investment Adviser or its affiliates.

We have entered into a royalty-free license agreement with New Mountain Capital under which New Mountain Capital has agreed to grant us a non-exclusive, royalty-free license to use the name “New Mountain”. In addition, we reimburse the Administrator for the allocable portion of overhead and other expenses incurred by the Administrator in performing its obligations to us under the Administration Agreement, such as, but not limited to, the allocable portion of the cost of our chief financial officer and chief compliance officer and their respective staffs. This could create conflicts of interest that our board of directors must monitor.

The Investment Management Agreement with the Investment Adviser and the Administration Agreement with the Administrator were not negotiated on an arm’s length basis.

The Investment Management Agreement and the Administration Agreement were negotiated between related parties. In addition, we may choose not to enforce, or to enforce less vigorously, our respective rights and remedies under these agreements because of our desire to maintain our ongoing relationship with the Investment Adviser, the Administrator and their respective affiliates. Any such decision, however, could cause us to breach our fiduciary obligations to our stockholders.

Table of Contents

The Investment Adviser's liability is limited under the Investment Management Agreement, and we have agreed to indemnify the Investment Adviser against certain liabilities, which may lead the Investment Adviser to act in a riskier manner than it would when acting for its own account.

Under the Investment Management Agreement, the Investment Adviser does not assume any responsibility other than to render the services called for under that agreement, and it is not responsible for any action of our board of directors in following or declining to follow the Investment Adviser's advice or recommendations. Under the terms of the Investment Management Agreement, the Investment Adviser, its officers, members, personnel, any person controlling or controlled by the Investment Adviser are not liable for acts or omissions performed in accordance with and pursuant to the Investment Management Agreement, except those resulting from acts constituting gross negligence, willful misconduct, bad faith or reckless disregard of the Investment Adviser's duties under the Investment Management Agreement. In addition, we have agreed to indemnify the Investment Adviser and each of its officers, directors, members, managers and employees from and against any claims or liabilities, including reasonable legal fees and other expenses reasonably incurred, arising out of or in connection with our business and operations or any action taken or omitted pursuant to authority granted by the Investment Management Agreement, except where attributable to gross negligence, willful misconduct, bad faith or reckless disregard of such person's duties under the Investment Management Agreement. These protections may lead the Investment Adviser to act in a riskier manner than it would when acting for its own account.

The Investment Adviser can resign upon 60 days' notice, and a suitable replacement may not be found within that time, resulting in disruptions in our operations that could adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Under the Investment Management Agreement, the Investment Adviser has the right to resign at any time upon 60 days' written notice, whether a replacement has been found or not. If the Investment Adviser resigns, we may not be able to find a new investment adviser or hire internal management with similar expertise and ability to provide the same or equivalent services on acceptable terms within 60 days, or at all. If a replacement is not able to be found on a timely basis, our business, results of operations and financial condition and our ability to pay distributions are likely to be materially adversely affected and the market price of our common stock may decline. In addition, if we are unable to identify and reach an agreement with a single institution or group of executives having the expertise possessed by the Investment Adviser and its affiliates, the coordination of its internal management and investment activities is likely to suffer. Even if we are able to retain comparable management, whether internal or external, their integration into our business and lack of familiarity with our investment objective may result in additional costs and time delays that may materially adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

The Administrator can resign upon 60 days' notice from its role as Administrator under the Administration Agreement, and a suitable replacement may not be found, resulting in disruptions that could adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

The Administrator has the right to resign under the Administration Agreement upon 60 days' written notice, whether a replacement has been found or not. If the Administrator resigns, it may be difficult to find a new administrator or hire internal management with similar expertise and ability to provide the same or equivalent services on acceptable terms, or at all. If a replacement is not found quickly, our business, results of operations and financial condition, as well as our ability to pay distributions, are likely to be adversely affected, and the market price of our common stock may decline. In addition, the coordination of our internal management and administrative activities is likely to suffer if we are unable to identify and reach an agreement with a service provider or individuals with the expertise possessed by the Administrator. Even if a comparable service provider or individuals to perform such services are retained, whether internal or external, their integration into our business and lack of familiarity with our investment objective may result in additional costs and time delays that may materially adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

If we fail to maintain our status as a BDC, our business and operating flexibility could be significantly reduced.

We qualify as a BDC under the 1940 Act. The 1940 Act imposes numerous constraints on the operations of BDCs.

For example, BDCs are required to invest at least 70.0% of their total assets in specified types of securities, primarily

in private companies or thinly-traded U.S. public companies, cash, cash equivalents, U.S. government securities and other high quality debt investments that mature in one year or less. Failure to comply with the requirements imposed on BDCs by the 1940 Act could cause the SEC to bring an enforcement action against us and/or expose us to claims of private litigants. In addition, upon approval of a majority of our stockholders, we may elect to withdraw their respective election as a BDC. If we decide to withdraw our election, or if we otherwise fail to qualify, or maintain our qualification, as a BDC, we may be subject to substantially greater regulation under the 1940 Act as a closed-end investment company. Compliance with these regulations would significantly decrease our operating flexibility and could significantly increase our cost of doing business.

Table of Contents

If we do not invest a sufficient portion of our assets in qualifying assets, we could be precluded from investing in certain assets or could be required to dispose of certain assets, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

As a BDC, we are prohibited from acquiring any assets other than “qualifying assets” unless, at the time of and after giving effect to such acquisition, at least 70.0% of our total assets are qualifying assets. We may acquire in the future other investments that are not “qualifying assets” to the extent permitted by the 1940 Act. If we do not invest a sufficient portion of our assets in qualifying assets, we would be prohibited from investing in additional assets, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Similarly, these rules could prevent us from making follow-on investments in existing portfolio companies (which could result in the dilution of our position) or could require us to dispose of investments at inopportune times in order to come into compliance with the 1940 Act. If we need to dispose of these investments quickly, it may be difficult to dispose of such investments on favorable terms. For example, we may have difficulty in finding a buyer and, even if a buyer is found, it may have to sell the investments at a substantial loss.

Our ability to invest in public companies may be limited in certain circumstances.

To maintain our status as a BDC, we are not permitted to acquire any assets other than “qualifying assets” specified in the 1940 Act unless, at the time the acquisition is made, at least 70.0% of our total assets are qualifying assets (with certain limited exceptions). Subject to certain exceptions for follow-on investments and distressed companies, an investment in an issuer that has outstanding securities listed on a national securities exchange may be treated as qualifying assets only if such issuer has a common equity market capitalization that is less than \$250.0 million at the time of such investment.

Regulations governing the operations of BDCs will affect our ability to raise additional equity capital as well as our ability to issue senior securities or borrow for investment purposes, any or all of which could have a negative effect on our investment objectives and strategies.

Our business requires a substantial amount of capital. We may acquire additional capital from the issuance of senior securities, including borrowing under a credit facility or other indebtedness. In addition, we may also issue additional equity capital, which would in turn increase the equity capital available to us. However, we may not be able to raise additional capital in the future on favorable terms or at all.

We may issue debt securities, preferred stock, and we may borrow money from banks or other financial institutions, which we refer to collectively as “senior securities”, up to the maximum amount permitted by the 1940 Act. The 1940 Act permits us to issue senior securities in amounts such that our asset coverage, as defined in the 1940 Act, equals at least 200.0% after each issuance of senior securities. As a result of our SEC exemptive relief, we are permitted to exclude our SBA-guaranteed debentures from the definition of senior securities in the 200.0% asset coverage ratio we are required to maintain under the 1940 Act. If our asset coverage ratio is not at least 200.0%, we would be unable to issue senior securities, and if we had senior securities outstanding (other than any indebtedness issued in consideration of a privately arranged loan, such as any indebtedness outstanding under the Holdings Credit Facility and NMFC Credit Facility), we would be unable to make distributions to our stockholders. However, at December 31, 2015, our only senior securities outstanding were indebtedness under the Holdings Credit Facility, NMFC Credit Facility and Convertible Notes and therefore at December 31, 2015, we would not have been precluded from paying distributions. If the value of our assets declines, we may be unable to satisfy this test. If that happens, we may be required to liquidate a portion of our investments and repay a portion of our indebtedness at a time when such sales may be disadvantageous.

The Holdings Credit Facility matures on December 18, 2019 and permits borrowings of \$495.0 million as of December 31, 2015. The Holdings Credit Facility had \$419.3 million in debt outstanding as of December 31, 2015. The NMFC Credit Facility matures on June 4, 2019 and permits borrowings of \$95.0 million as of December 31, 2015. The NMFC Credit Facility had \$90.0 million in debt outstanding as of December 31, 2015. The Convertible Notes mature on June 15, 2019. The Convertible Notes had \$115.0 million in debt outstanding as of December 31, 2015. The SBA-guaranteed debentures have ten year maturities and will begin to mature on March 1, 2025. As of December 31, 2015, \$117.7 million of SBA-guaranteed debentures were outstanding.

In addition, we may in the future seek to securitize other portfolio securities to generate cash for funding new investments. To securitize loans, we would likely create a wholly-owned subsidiary and contribute a pool of loans to the subsidiary. We would then sell interests in the subsidiary on a non-recourse basis to purchasers and we would retain all or a portion of the equity in the subsidiary. If we are unable to successfully securitize its loan portfolio, which must be done in compliance with the relevant restrictions in the Holdings Credit Facility, our ability to grow our business or fully execute our business strategy could be impaired and our earnings, if any, could decrease. The securitization market is subject to changing market conditions, and we may not be able to access this market when it would otherwise be deemed appropriate. Moreover, the successful securitization of our portfolio might expose us to losses as the residual investments in which we do not sell

Table of Contents

interests will tend to be those that are riskier and more apt to generate losses. The 1940 Act also may impose restrictions on the structure of any securitization.

We may also obtain capital through the issuance of additional equity capital. As a BDC, we generally are not able to issue or sell our common stock at a price below net asset value per share. If our common stock trades at a discount to our net asset value per share, this restriction could adversely affect our ability to raise equity capital. We may, however, sell our common stock, or warrants, options or rights to acquire our common stock, at a price below our net asset value per share of the common stock if our board of directors and independent directors determine that such sale is in our best interests and the best interests of our stockholders, and our stockholders approve such sale. In any such case, the price at which our securities are to be issued and sold may not be less than a price that, in the determination of our board of directors, closely approximates the market value of such securities (less any underwriting commission or discount). If we raise additional funds by issuing more shares of our common stock, or if we issue senior securities convertible into, or exchangeable for, our common stock, the percentage ownership of our stockholders may decline and you may experience dilution.

Our business model in the future may depend to an extent upon our referral relationships with private equity sponsors, and the inability of the investment professionals of the Investment Adviser to maintain or develop these relationships, or the failure of these relationships to generate investment opportunities, could adversely affect our business strategy. If the investment professionals of the Investment Adviser fail to maintain existing relationships or develop new relationships with other sponsors or sources of investment opportunities, we may not be able to grow our investment portfolio. In addition, individuals with whom the investment professionals of the Investment Adviser have relationships are not obligated to provide us with investment opportunities, and, therefore, there is no assurance that any relationships they currently or may in the future have will generate investment opportunities for us.

We may experience fluctuations in our annual and quarterly results due to the nature of our business.

We could experience fluctuations in our annual and quarterly operating results due to a number of factors, some of which are beyond our control, including the ability or inability of us to make investments in companies that meet our investment criteria, the interest rate payable on the debt securities acquired and the default rate on such securities, the level of our expenses, variations in and the timing of the recognition of realized and unrealized gains or losses, the degree to which we encounter competition in the markets in which we operate and general economic conditions. As a result of these factors, results for any period should not be relied upon as being indicative of performance in future periods.

Our board of directors may change our investment objective, operating policies and strategies without prior notice or stockholder approval, the effects of which may be adverse to your interests as stockholders.

Our board of directors has the authority, except as otherwise provided in the 1940 Act, to modify or waive certain of our operating policies and strategies without prior notice and without stockholder approval. As a result, our board of directors may be able to change our investment policies and objectives without any input from our stockholders.

However, absent stockholder approval, we may not change the nature of our business so as to cease to be, or withdraw our election as, a BDC. Under Delaware law, we also cannot be dissolved without prior stockholder approval. We cannot predict the effect any changes to our current operating policies and strategies would have on our business, operating results and the market price of our common stock. Nevertheless, any such changes could adversely affect our business and impair our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

We will be subject to corporate-level U.S. federal income tax on all of our income if we are unable to maintain RIC status under Subchapter M of the Code, which would have a material adverse effect on our financial performance.

Although we intend to continue to qualify annually as a RIC under Subchapter M of the Code, no assurance can be given that we will be able to maintain our RIC status. To maintain RIC status and be relieved of U.S. federal income taxes on income and gains distributed to our stockholders, we must meet the annual distribution, source-of-income and asset diversification requirements described below.

¶The annual distribution requirement for a RIC will be satisfied if we distribute (or are deemed to distribute) to our stockholders on an annual basis at least 90.0% of our net ordinary income plus the excess of realized net short-term

capital gains over realized net long-term capital losses, if any. Because we use debt financing, we are subject to an asset coverage ratio requirement under the 1940 Act, and we are subject to certain financial covenants contained in the Holdings Credit Facility and other debt financing agreements (as applicable). This asset coverage ratio requirement and these financial covenants could, under certain circumstances, restrict us from making distributions to our stockholders, which distributions are necessary for us to satisfy the distribution requirement. If

Table of Contents

we are unable to obtain cash from other sources, and thus are unable to make sufficient distributions to our stockholders, we could fail to qualify for RIC tax treatment and thus become subject to certain corporate-level U.S. federal income tax (and any applicable state and local taxes).

The source-of-income requirement will be satisfied if at least 90.0% of our allocable share of our gross income for each year is derived from dividends, interest payments with respect to loans of certain securities, gains from the sale of stock or other securities, net income from certain “qualified publicly traded partnerships” or other income derived with respect to our business of investing in such stock or securities.

The asset diversification requirement will be satisfied if we meet certain asset diversification requirements at the end of each quarter of our taxable year. To satisfy this requirement, at least 50.0% of the value of our assets must consist of cash, cash equivalents, U.S. government securities, securities of other RICs, and other such securities if such other securities of any one issuer do not represent more than 5.0% of the value of our assets or more than 10.0% of the outstanding voting securities of the issuer; and no more than 25.0% of the value of our assets can be invested in the securities, other than U.S. government securities or securities of other RICs, of one issuer, of two or more issuers that are controlled, as determined under applicable Code rules, by it and that are engaged in the same or similar or related trades or businesses or of certain “qualified publicly traded partnerships”. Failure to meet these requirements may result in us having to dispose of certain investments quickly in order to prevent the loss of our RIC status. Because most of our investments are intended to be in private companies, and therefore may be relatively illiquid, any such dispositions could be made at disadvantageous prices and could result in substantial losses.

If we fail to qualify for or maintain our RIC status for any reason, and we do not qualify for certain relief provisions under the Code, we would be subject to corporate-level U.S. federal income tax (and any applicable state and local taxes). In this event, the resulting taxes could substantially reduce our net assets, the amount of income available for distribution and the amount of our distributions, which would have a material adverse effect on our financial performance.

You may have current tax liabilities on distributions you reinvest in our common stock.

Under the dividend reinvestment plan, if you own shares of our common stock registered in your own name, you will have all cash distributions automatically reinvested in additional shares of our common stock unless you opt out of the dividend reinvestment plan by delivering notice by phone, internet or in writing to the plan administrator at least three days prior to the payment date of the next dividend or distribution. If you have not “opted out” of the dividend reinvestment plan, you will be deemed to have received, and for U.S. federal income tax purposes will be taxed on, the amount reinvested in our common stock to the extent the amount reinvested was not a tax-free return of capital. As a result, you may have to use funds from other sources to pay your U.S. federal income tax liability on the value of the common stock received.

We may not be able to pay you distributions on our common stock, our distributions to you may not grow over time and a portion of our distributions to you may be a return of capital for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

We intend to pay quarterly distributions to our stockholders out of assets legally available for distribution. We cannot assure you that we will continue to achieve investment results that will allow us to make a specified level of cash distributions or year-to-year increases in cash distributions. If we are unable to satisfy the asset coverage test applicable to us as a BDC, or if we violate certain covenants under the Holdings Credit Facility and the NMFC Credit Facility, our ability to pay distributions to our stockholders could be limited. All distributions are paid at the discretion of our board of directors and depend on our earnings, financial condition, maintenance of our RIC status, compliance with applicable BDC regulations, compliance with covenants under the Holdings Credit Facility and the NMFC Credit Facility, and such other factors as our board of directors may deem relevant from time to time. The distributions that we pay to our stockholders in a year may exceed our taxable income for that year and, accordingly, a portion of such distributions may constitute a return of capital for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

We may have difficulty paying our required distributions if we recognize taxable income before or without receiving cash representing such income.

For U.S. federal income tax purposes, we include in our taxable income our allocable share of certain amounts that we have not yet received in cash, such as original issue discount or accruals on a contingent payment debt instrument, which may occur if we receive warrants in connection with the origination of a loan or possibly in other circumstances or contracted payment-in-kind (“PIK”) interest, which generally represents contractual interest added to the loan balance and due at the end of the loan term. Our allocable share of such original issue discount and PIK interest are included in our taxable income before

35

Table of Contents

we receive any corresponding cash payments. We also may be required to include in our taxable income our allocable share of certain other amounts that we will not receive in cash.

Because in certain cases we may recognize taxable income before or without receiving cash representing such income, we may have difficulty making distributions to our stockholders that will be sufficient to enable us to meet the annual distribution requirement necessary for us to qualify as a RIC. Accordingly, we may need to sell some of our assets at times and/or at prices that we would not consider advantageous. We may need to raise additional equity or debt capital, or we may need to forego new investment opportunities or otherwise take actions that are disadvantageous to our business (or be unable to take actions that are advantageous to our business) to enable us to make distributions to our stockholders that will be sufficient to enable us to meet the annual distribution requirement. If we are unable to obtain cash from other sources to enable us to meet the annual distribution requirement, we may fail to qualify for the U.S. federal income tax benefits allowable to RICs and, thus, become subject to a corporate-level U.S. federal income tax (and any applicable state and local taxes).

Changes in laws or regulations governing our operations may adversely affect our business or cause us to alter our business strategy.

Changes in the laws or regulations or the interpretations of the laws and regulations that govern BDCs, RICs or non-depository commercial lenders could significantly affect our operations and our cost of doing business. Our portfolio companies are subject to U.S. federal, state and local laws and regulations. New legislation may be enacted or new interpretations, rulings or regulations could be adopted, any of which could materially adversely affect our business, including with respect to the types of investments we are permitted to make, and your interests as stockholders potentially with retroactive effect. In addition, any changes to the laws and regulations governing our operations relating to permitted investments may cause us to alter our investment strategy in order to avail ourselves of new or different opportunities. These changes could result in material changes to our strategies which may result in our investment focus shifting from the areas of expertise of the Investment Adviser to other types of investments in which the Investment Adviser may have less expertise or little or no experience. Any such changes, if they occur, could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition and, consequently, the value of your investment in us.

On July 21, 2010, the Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, or Dodd-Frank Act, was signed into law. Although passage of the Dodd-Frank Act has resulted in extensive rulemaking and regulatory changes that affect us and the financial industry as a whole, many of its provisions remain subject to extended implementation periods and delayed effective dates and will require extensive rulemaking by regulatory authorities. While the full impact of the Dodd-Frank Act on us and our portfolio companies may not be known for an extended period of time, the Dodd-Frank Act, including future rules implementing its provisions and the interpretation of those rules, along with other legislative and regulatory proposals directed at the financial services industry or affecting taxation that are proposed or pending in the U.S. Congress, may negatively impact our or our portfolio companies' operations, cash flows or financial condition, impose additional costs on us or our portfolio companies, intensify the regulatory supervision of us or our portfolio companies or otherwise adversely affect our business or the business of our portfolio companies. Over the last several years, there has been an increase in regulatory attention to the extension of credit outside of the traditional banking sector, raising the possibility that some portion of the non-bank financial sector will be subject to new regulation. While it cannot be known at this time whether these regulations will be implemented or what form they will take, increased regulation of non-bank credit extension could negatively impact our operations, cash flows or financial condition, impose additional costs on us, intensify the regulatory supervision of us or otherwise adversely affect our business.

Our business and operation could be negatively affected if we become subject to any securities litigation or shareholder activism, which could cause us to incur significant expense, hinder execution of investment strategy and impact our stock price.

In the past, following periods of volatility in the market price of a company's securities, securities class action litigation has often been brought against that company. Shareholder activism, which could take many forms or arise in

a variety of situations, has been increasing in the BDC space recently. While we are currently not subject to any securities litigation or shareholder activism, due to the potential volatility of our stock price and for a variety of other reasons, we may in the future become the target of securities litigation or shareholder activism. Securities litigation and shareholder activism, including potential proxy contests, could result in substantial costs and divert management's and our board of directors' attention and resources from our business. Additionally, such securities litigation and shareholder activism could give rise to perceived uncertainties as to our future, adversely affect our relationships with service providers and make it more difficult to attract and retain qualified personnel. Also, we may be required to incur significant legal fees and other expenses related to any securities litigation and activist shareholder matters. Further, our stock price could be subject to significant fluctuation or otherwise be adversely affected by the events, risks and uncertainties of any securities litigation and shareholder activism.

Table of Contents

The effect of global climate change may impact the operations of our portfolio companies.

There may be evidence of global climate change. Climate change creates physical and financial risk and some of our portfolio companies may be adversely affected by climate change. For example, the needs of customers of energy companies vary with weather conditions, primarily temperature and humidity. To the extent weather conditions are affected by climate change, energy use could increase or decrease depending on the duration and magnitude of any changes. Increases in the cost of energy could adversely affect the cost of operations of our portfolio companies if the use of energy products or services is material to their business. A decrease in energy use due to weather changes may affect some of our portfolio companies' financial condition, through decreased revenues. Extreme weather conditions in general require more system backup, adding to costs, and can contribute to increased system stresses, including service interruptions.

In December 2015 the United Nations, of which the U.S. is a member, adopted a climate accord with the long-term goal of limiting global warming and the short-term goal of significantly reducing greenhouse gas emissions. As a result, our portfolio companies, particularly those operating in the energy sector, may be subject to new or strengthened regulations or legislation which could increase their operating costs and/or decrease their revenues. Pending legislation may allow us to incur additional leverage.

As a BDC, under the 1940 Act we generally are not permitted to incur indebtedness unless immediately after such borrowing we have an asset coverage for total borrowings of at least 200.0% (i.e., the amount of debt may not exceed 50.0% of the value of our total assets or we may borrow an amount equal to 100.0% of net assets). Legislation introduced in the U.S. House of Representatives would modify this section of the 1940 Act and increase the amount of debt that BDCs may incur by modifying the asset coverage percentage from 200.0% to 150.0%. As a result, we may be able to incur additional indebtedness in the future and therefore your risk of an investment in us may increase.

In addition, in December 2015, the 2016 omnibus spending bill approved by the U.S. Congress and signed into law by the President increased the amount of SBA-guaranteed debentures that affiliated SBIC funds can have outstanding from \$225.0 million to \$350.0 million, subject to SBA approval. This new legislation may allow us to issue additional SBIC debentures above the \$225.0 million of SBA-guaranteed debentures previously permitted pending application for and receipt of additional SBIC licenses. If we incur this additional indebtedness in the future, your risk of an investment in our securities may increase.

We incur significant costs as a result of being a publicly traded company.

As a publicly traded company, we incur legal, accounting and other expenses, which are paid by us, including costs associated with the periodic reporting requirements applicable to a company whose securities are registered under the Exchange Act, as well as additional corporate governance requirements, including requirements under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, or the "Sarbanes-Oxley Act," and other rules implemented by the SEC.

Efforts to comply with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act involve significant expenditures, and non-compliance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act may adversely affect us and the market price of our common stock.

We are subject to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, and the related rules and regulations promulgated by the SEC. Under current SEC rules since our fiscal year ending December 31, 2012, our management has been required to report on our internal control over financial reporting pursuant to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, and rules and regulations of the SEC thereunder. We are required to review on an annual basis our internal control over financial reporting, and on a quarterly and annual basis to evaluate and disclose changes in our internal control over financial reporting. As a result, we expect to continue to incur additional expenses, which may negatively impact our financial performance and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders. This process also may result in a diversion of management's time and attention. We cannot be certain as to the timing of completion of any evaluation, testing and remediation actions or the impact of the same on our operations, and we are not able to ensure that the process is effective or that our internal control over financial reporting is or will continue to be effective in a timely manner. In the event that we are unable to maintain or achieve compliance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and related rules, we and, consequently, the market price of our common stock may be adversely affected.

Our business is highly dependent on information systems and systems failures could significantly disrupt our business, which may, in turn, negatively affect the market price of our common stock and our ability to pay dividends.

Our business is highly dependent on the communications and information systems of the Investment Adviser and its affiliates. Any failure or interruption of such systems could cause delays or other problems in our activities. This, in turn, could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and, consequently, negatively affect the market price of our common stock and our ability to pay dividends to our stockholders. In addition, because many of our portfolio companies operate and rely on network infrastructure and enterprise applications and internal technology systems for development, marketing,

Table of Contents

operational, support and other business activities, a disruption or failure of any or all of these systems in the event of a major telecommunications failure, cyber-attack, fire, earthquake, severe weather conditions or other catastrophic event could cause system interruptions, delays in product development and loss of critical data and could otherwise disrupt their business operations.

The failure in cyber security systems, as well as the occurrence of events unanticipated in our disaster recovery systems and management continuity planning could impair our ability to conduct business effectively.

The occurrence of a disaster such as a cyber attack, a natural catastrophe, an industrial accident, a terrorist attack or war, events unanticipated in our disaster recovery systems, or a support failure from external providers, could have an adverse effect on our ability to conduct business and on our results of operations and financial condition, particularly if those events affect our computer-based data processing, transmission, storage, and retrieval systems or destroy data. If a significant number of our managers were unavailable in the event of a disaster, our ability to effectively conduct our business could be severely compromised.

We depend heavily upon computer systems to perform necessary business functions. Despite our implementation of a variety of security measures, our computer systems could be subject to cyber attacks and unauthorized access, such as physical and electronic break-ins or unauthorized tampering. Like other companies, we may experience threats to our data and systems, including malware and computer virus attacks, unauthorized access, system failures and disruptions. If one or more of these events occurs, it could potentially jeopardize the confidential, proprietary and other information processed and stored in, and transmitted through, our computer systems and networks, or otherwise cause interruptions or malfunctions in our operations, which could result in damage to our reputation, financial losses, litigation, increased costs, regulatory penalties and/or customer dissatisfaction or loss.

RISKS RELATING TO OUR INVESTMENTS

Our investments in portfolio companies may be risky, and we could lose all or part of any of our investments.

Investments in small and middle market businesses are highly speculative and involve a high degree of risk of credit loss. These risks are likely to increase during volatile economic periods, such as the U.S. and many other economies have recently experienced. Among other things, these companies:

may have limited financial resources and may be unable to meet their obligations under their debt instruments that we hold, which may be accompanied by a deterioration in the value of any collateral and a reduction in the likelihood of us realizing any guarantees from subsidiaries or affiliates of our portfolio companies that we may have obtained in connection with our investment, as well as a corresponding decrease in the value of any equity components of our investments;

may have shorter operating histories, narrower product lines, smaller market shares and/or more significant customer concentrations than larger businesses, which tend to render them more vulnerable to competitors' actions and market conditions, as well as general economic downturns;

are more likely to depend on the management talents and efforts of a small group of persons; therefore, the death, disability, resignation or termination of one or more of these persons could have a material adverse impact on our portfolio company and, in turn, on us;

- generally have less predictable operating results, may from time to time be parties to litigation, may be engaged in rapidly changing businesses with products subject to a substantial risk of obsolescence;
- may require substantial additional capital to support their operations, finance expansion or maintain their competitive position; and
- generally have less publicly available information about their businesses, operations and financial condition.

In addition, in the course of providing significant managerial assistance to certain of our portfolio companies, certain of our officers and directors may serve as directors on the boards of such companies. To the extent that litigation arises out of our investments in these companies, our officers and directors may be named as defendants in such litigation, which could result in an expenditure of funds (through our indemnification of such officers and directors) and the diversion of management time and resources.

Table of Contents

Our investment strategy, which is focused primarily on privately held companies, presents certain challenges, including the lack of available information about these companies.

We invest primarily in privately held companies. There is generally little public information about these companies, and, as a result, we must rely on the ability of the Investment Adviser to obtain adequate information to evaluate the potential returns from, and risks related to, investing in these companies. If we are unable to uncover all material information about these companies, we may not make a fully informed investment decision, and we may lose money on our investments. Also, privately held companies frequently have less diverse product lines and smaller market presence than larger competitors. They are, thus, generally more vulnerable to economic downturns and may experience substantial variations in operating results. These factors could adversely affect our investment returns. Our investments in securities rated below investment grade are speculative in nature and are subject to additional risk factors such as increased possibility of default, illiquidity of the security and changes in value based on changes in interest rates.

The investments that we invest in are typically rated below investment grade. Securities rated below investment grade are often referred to as “leveraged loans,” “high yield” or “junk” securities, and may be considered “high risk” compared to debt instruments that are rated investment grade. High yield securities are regarded as having predominantly speculative characteristics with respect to the issuer’s capacity to pay interest and repay principal in accordance with the terms of the obligations and involve major risk exposure to adverse conditions. In addition, high yield securities generally offer a higher current yield than that available from higher grade issues, but typically involve greater risk. These securities are especially sensitive to adverse changes in general economic conditions, to changes in the financial condition of their issuers and to price fluctuation in response to changes in interest rates. During periods of economic downturn or rising interest rates, issuers of below investment grade instruments may experience financial stress that could adversely affect their ability to make payments of principal and interest and increase the possibility of default. Our portfolio may be concentrated in a limited number of industries, which may subject us to a risk of significant loss if there is a downturn in a particular industry in which a number of our investments are concentrated.

Our portfolio may be concentrated in a limited number of industries. For example, as of December 31, 2015, our investments in the software, the business services and the education industries represented approximately 24.5%, 24.4% and 11.0%, respectively, of the fair value of our portfolio. A downturn in any particular industry in which we are invested could significantly impact the portfolio companies operating in that industry, and accordingly, the aggregate returns that we realize from our investment in such portfolio companies.

Specifically, companies in the software industry often have narrow product lines and small market shares. Because of rapid technological change, the average selling prices of products and some services provided by software companies have historically decreased over their productive lives. As a result, the average selling prices of products and services offered by software companies in which we invest may decrease over time. In addition, companies in the business services industry are subject to general economic downturns and business cycles, and will often suffer reduced revenues and rate pressures during periods of economic uncertainty. Likewise, companies in the education industry are required to comply with extensive regulatory and accreditation requirements, which could be subject to change by Congress, and which can limit their access to federal aid or similar loan programs, or otherwise increase their compliance costs. If an industry in which we have significant investments suffers from adverse business or economic conditions, as these industries have to varying degrees, a material portion of our investment portfolio could be affected adversely, which, in turn, could adversely affect our financial position and results of operations.

Continuation of the current decline in oil and natural gas prices for a prolonged period of time could have a material adverse effect.

As of December 31, 2015, approximately 4.3% of our portfolio at fair value is invested in energy-related businesses. A decline in oil and natural gas prices would adversely affect the credit quality of these investments. A decrease in credit quality would, in turn, negatively affect the fair value of these investments, which would consequently negatively affect our financial position and results of operations. Should the current decline in oil and natural gas prices persist, it is likely that our energy-related portfolio companies’ abilities to satisfy our financial or operating covenants or other lenders’ will be adversely affected, thereby negatively impacting our financial condition and their

ability to satisfy their debt service and other obligations to us.

39

Table of Contents

If we make unsecured investments, those investments might not generate sufficient cash flow to service their debt obligations to us.

We may make unsecured investments. Unsecured investments may be subordinated to other obligations of the obligor. Unsecured investments often reflect a greater possibility that adverse changes in the financial condition of the obligor or general economic conditions (including, for example, a substantial period of rising interest rates or declining earnings) or both may impair the ability of the obligor to make payment of principal and interest. If we make an unsecured investment in a portfolio company, that portfolio company may be highly leveraged, and its relatively high debt-to-equity ratio may increase the risk that its operations might not generate sufficient cash to service its debt obligations.

If we invest in the securities and obligations of distressed and bankrupt issuers, we might not receive interest or other payments.

From time to time, we may invest in other types of investments which are not our primary focus, including investments in the securities and obligations of distressed and bankrupt issuers, including debt obligations that are in covenant or payment default. Such investments generally are considered speculative. The repayment of defaulted obligations is subject to significant uncertainties. Defaulted obligations might be repaid only after lengthy workout or bankruptcy proceedings, during which the issuer of those obligations might not make any interest or other payments. Defaults by our portfolio companies may harm our operating results.

A portfolio company's failure to satisfy financial or operating covenants imposed by us or other lenders could lead to defaults and, potentially, termination of its loans and foreclosure on its secured assets, which could trigger cross-defaults under other agreements and jeopardize a portfolio company's ability to meet its obligations under the debt or equity securities that we hold.

We may incur expenses to the extent necessary to seek recovery upon default or to negotiate new terms, which may include the waiver of certain financial covenants, with a defaulting portfolio company. In addition, lenders in certain cases can be subject to lender liability claims for actions taken by them when they become too involved in the borrower's business or exercise control over a borrower. It is possible that we could become subject to a lender's liability claim, including as a result of actions taken if we render significant managerial assistance to the borrower. Furthermore, if one of our portfolio companies were to file for bankruptcy protection, even though we may have structured our investment as senior secured debt, depending on the facts and circumstances, including the extent to which we provided managerial assistance to that portfolio company, a bankruptcy court might re-characterize our debt holding and subordinate all or a portion of our claim to claims of other creditors.

The lack of liquidity in our investments may adversely affect our business.

We invest, and will continue to invest, in companies whose securities are not publicly traded and whose securities will be subject to legal and other restrictions on resale or will otherwise be less liquid than publicly traded securities. The illiquidity of these investments may make it difficult for us to sell these investments when desired. In addition, if we are required or otherwise choose to liquidate all or a portion of our portfolio quickly, we may realize significantly less than the value at which we had previously recorded these investments. Our investments are usually subject to contractual or legal restrictions on resale or are otherwise illiquid because there is usually no established trading market for such investments. Because most of our investments are illiquid, we may be unable to dispose of them in which case we could fail to qualify as a RIC and/or a BDC, or we may be unable to do so at a favorable price, and, as a result, we may suffer losses.

Price declines and illiquidity in the corporate debt markets may adversely affect the fair value of our portfolio investments, reducing our net asset value through increased net unrealized depreciation.

As a BDC, we are required to carry our investments at market value or, if no market value is ascertainable, at fair value as determined in good faith by our board of directors. As part of the valuation process, we may take into account the following types of factors, if relevant, in determining the fair value of our investments:

- a comparison of the portfolio company's securities to publicly traded securities;
- the enterprise value of a portfolio company;
- the nature and realizable value of any collateral;

Table of Contents

the portfolio company's ability to make payments and its earnings and discounted cash flow;
the markets in which the portfolio company does business; and
changes in the interest rate environment and the credit markets generally that may affect the price at which similar investments may be made in the future and other relevant factors.

When an external event such as a purchase transaction, public offering or subsequent sale occurs, we will use the pricing indicated by the external event to corroborate our valuation. We will record decreases in the market values or fair values of our investments as unrealized depreciation. Declines in prices and liquidity in the corporate debt markets may result in significant net unrealized depreciation in our portfolio. The effect of all of these factors on our portfolio may reduce our net asset value by increasing net unrealized depreciation in our portfolio. Depending on market conditions, we could incur substantial realized losses and may suffer additional unrealized losses in future periods, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. If we are unable to make follow-on investments in our portfolio companies, the value of our investment portfolio could be adversely affected.

Following an initial investment in a portfolio company, we may make additional investments in that portfolio company as "follow-on" investments, in order to (i) increase or maintain in whole or in part our equity ownership percentage, (ii) exercise warrants, options or convertible securities that were acquired in the original or subsequent financing or (iii) attempt to preserve or enhance the value of our investment. We may elect not to make follow-on investments or may otherwise lack sufficient funds to make these investments. We have the discretion to make follow-on investments, subject to the availability of capital resources. If we fail to make follow-on investments, the continued viability of a portfolio company and our investment may, in some circumstances, be jeopardized and we could miss an opportunity for us to increase our participation in a successful operation. Even if we have sufficient capital to make a desired follow-on investment, we may elect not to make a follow-on investment because we may not want to increase our concentration of risk, either because we prefer other opportunities or because we are subject to BDC requirements that would prevent such follow-on investments or such follow-on investments would adversely impact our ability to maintain our RIC status.

Our portfolio companies may incur debt that ranks equally with, or senior to, our investments in such companies. We invest in portfolio companies at all levels of the capital structure. Our portfolio companies may have, or may be permitted to incur, other debt that ranks equally with, or senior to, the debt in which we invest. By their terms, these debt instruments may entitle the holders to receive payment of interest or principal on or before the dates on which we are entitled to receive payments with respect to the debt instruments in which we invest. In addition, in the event of insolvency, liquidation, dissolution, reorganization or bankruptcy of a portfolio company, holders of debt instruments ranking senior to our investment in that portfolio company would typically be entitled to receive payment in full before we receive any distribution. After repaying the senior creditors, the portfolio company may not have any remaining assets to use for repaying its obligation to us. In the case of debt ranking equally with debt instruments in which we invest, we would have to share on an equal basis any distributions with other creditors holding such debt in the event of an insolvency, liquidation, dissolution, reorganization or bankruptcy of the relevant portfolio company. The disposition of our investments may result in contingent liabilities.

Most of our investments will involve private securities. In connection with the disposition of an investment in private securities, we may be required to make representations about the business and financial affairs of the portfolio company typical of those made in connection with the sale of a business. We may also be required to indemnify the purchasers of such investment to the extent that any such representations turn out to be inaccurate or with respect to certain potential liabilities. These arrangements may result in contingent liabilities that ultimately yield funding obligations that must be satisfied through our return of certain distributions previously made to us.

There may be circumstances where our debt investments could be subordinated to claims of other creditors or we could be subject to lender liability claims.

Even though we may have structured certain of our investments as senior loans, if one of our portfolio companies were to go bankrupt, depending on the facts and circumstances, including the extent to which we actually provided managerial assistance to that portfolio company, a bankruptcy court might re-characterize our debt investment and

subordinate all or a portion of our claim to that of other creditors. We may also be subject to lender liability claims for actions taken by us with

41

Table of Contents

respect to a borrower's business or instances where we exercise control over the borrower. It is possible that we could become subject to a lender's liability claim, including as a result of actions taken in rendering significant managerial assistance.

Second priority liens on collateral securing loans that we make to our portfolio companies may be subject to control by senior creditors with first priority liens. If there is a default, the value of the collateral may not be sufficient to repay in full both the first priority creditors and us.

Certain loans to portfolio companies will be secured on a second priority basis by the same collateral securing senior secured debt of such companies. The first priority liens on the collateral will secure the portfolio company's obligations under any outstanding senior debt and may secure certain other future debt that may be permitted to be incurred by the portfolio company under the agreements governing the loans. The holders of obligations secured by the first priority liens on the collateral will generally control the liquidation of and be entitled to receive proceeds from any realization of the collateral to repay their obligations in full before us. In addition, the value of the collateral in the event of liquidation will depend on market and economic conditions, the availability of buyers and other factors.

There can be no assurance that the proceeds, if any, from the sale or sales of all of the collateral would be sufficient to satisfy the loan obligations secured by the second priority liens after payment in full of all obligations secured by the first priority liens on the collateral. If such proceeds are not sufficient to repay amounts outstanding under the loan obligations secured by the second priority liens, then we, to the extent not repaid from the proceeds of the sale of the collateral, will only have an unsecured claim against the portfolio company's remaining assets, if any.

The rights we may have with respect to the collateral securing the loans we make to our portfolio companies with senior debt outstanding may also be limited pursuant to the terms of one or more intercreditor agreements entered into with the holders of first priority senior debt. Under an intercreditor agreement, at any time that obligations that have the benefit of the first priority liens are outstanding, any of the following actions that may be taken in respect of the collateral will be at the direction of the holders of the obligations secured by the first priority liens: the ability to cause the commencement of enforcement proceedings against the collateral, the ability to control the conduct of such proceedings, the approval of amendments to collateral documents; releases of liens on the collateral and waivers of past defaults under collateral documents. We may not have the ability to control or direct these actions, even if our rights are adversely affected.

We generally do not control our portfolio companies.

Although we have taken and may in the future take controlling equity positions in our portfolio companies from time to time, we generally do not control most of our portfolio companies, even though we may have board representation or board observation rights, and our debt agreements may contain certain restrictive covenants that limit the business and operations of our portfolio companies. As a result, we are subject to the risk that a portfolio company may make business decisions with which we disagree and the management of such company may take risks or otherwise act in ways that do not serve our interests as debt investors. Due to the lack of liquidity of the investments that we typically hold in our portfolio companies, we may not be able to dispose of our investments in the event that we disagree with the actions of a portfolio company as readily as we would otherwise like to or at favorable prices which could decrease the value of our investments.

Economic recessions, downturns or government spending cuts could impair our portfolio companies and harm our operating results.

Many of our portfolio companies may be susceptible to economic slowdowns or recessions and may be unable to repay its debt investments during these periods. Therefore, our non-performing assets are likely to increase, and the value of our portfolio is likely to decrease during these periods. Adverse economic conditions also may decrease the value of collateral securing some of our debt investments and the value of our equity investments. Economic slowdowns or recessions could lead to financial losses in our portfolio and a decrease in revenues, net income and assets. Unfavorable economic conditions also could increase our funding costs, limit our access to the capital markets or result in a decision by lenders not to extend credit to us. These events could prevent us from increasing investments and harm our operating results.

A number of our portfolio companies provide services to the U.S. government. Changes in the U.S. government's priorities and spending, or significant delays or reductions in appropriations of the U.S. government's funds, could have a material adverse effect on the financial position, results of operations and cash flows of such portfolio companies.

A number of our portfolio companies derive a substantial portion of their revenue from the U.S. government. Levels of the U.S. government's spending in future periods are very difficult to predict and subject to significant risks. In addition, significant budgetary constraints may result in further reductions to projected spending levels. In particular, U.S. government expenditures are subject to the potential for automatic reductions, generally referred to as "sequestration." Sequestration

Table of Contents

occurred during 2013, and may occur again in the future, resulting in significant additional reductions to spending by the U.S. government on both existing and new contracts as well as disruption of ongoing programs. Even if sequestration does not occur again in the future, we expect that budgetary constraints and ongoing concerns regarding the U.S. national debt will continue to place downward pressure on U.S. government spending levels. Due to these and other factors, overall U.S. government spending could decline, which could result in significant reductions to the revenues, cash flow and profits of our portfolio companies that provide services to the U.S. government. Prepayments of our debt investments by our portfolio companies could adversely impact our results of operations and reduce our return on equity.

We are subject to the risk that the investments we make in our portfolio companies may be repaid prior to maturity. When this occurs, subject to maintenance of our RIC status, we will generally reinvest these proceeds in temporary investments, pending our future investment in new portfolio companies. These temporary investments will typically have substantially lower yields than the debt being repaid and we could experience significant delays in reinvesting these amounts. Any future investment in a new portfolio company may also be at lower yields than the debt that was repaid. As a result, our results of operations could be materially adversely affected if one or more of our portfolio companies elect to prepay amounts owed to us. Additionally, prepayments could negatively impact our return on equity, which could result in a decline in the market price of our common stock.

We may not realize gains from our equity investments.

When we invest in portfolio companies, we may acquire warrants or other equity securities of portfolio companies as well. We may also invest in equity securities directly. To the extent we hold equity investments, we will attempt to dispose of them and realize gains upon our disposition of them. However, the equity interests we receive may not appreciate in value and, in fact, may decline in value. As a result, we may not be able to realize gains from our equity interests, and any gains that we do realize on the disposition of any equity interests may not be sufficient to offset any other losses we experience. We also may be unable to realize any value if a portfolio company does not have a liquidity event, such as a sale of the business, recapitalization or public offering, which would allow us to sell the underlying equity interests.

Our performance may differ from our historical performance as our current investment strategy includes significantly more primary originations in addition to secondary market purchases.

Historically, our investment strategy consisted primarily of secondary market purchases in debt securities. We adjusted that investment strategy to also include significantly more primary originations. While loans that we originate and loans we purchase in the secondary market face many of the same risks associated with the financing of leveraged companies, we may be exposed to different risks depending on specific business considerations for secondary market purchases or origination of loans. Primary originations require substantially more time and resources for sourcing, diligencing and monitoring investments, which may consume a significant portion of our resources. Further, the valuation process for primary originations may be more cumbersome and uncertain due to the lack of comparable market quotes for the investment and would likely require more frequent review by a third-party valuation firm. This may result in greater costs for us and fluctuations in the quarterly valuations of investments that are primary originations. As a result, this strategy may result in different returns from these investments than the types of returns historically experienced from secondary market purchases of debt securities.

We may be subject to additional risks if we invest in foreign securities and/or engage in hedging transactions.

The 1940 Act generally requires that 70.0% of our investments be in issuers each of whom is organized under the laws of, and has its principal place of business in, any state of the U.S., the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands or any other possession of the U.S. Our investment strategy does not presently contemplate significant investments in securities of non-U.S. companies. However, we may desire to make such investments in the future, to the extent that such transactions and investments are permitted under the 1940 Act. We expect that these investments would focus on the same types of investments that we make in U.S. middle market companies and accordingly would be complementary to our overall strategy and enhance the diversity of our holdings. Investing in foreign companies could expose us to additional risks not typically associated with investing in U.S. companies. These risks include changes in exchange control regulations, political and social instability, expropriation, imposition of foreign taxes,

less liquid markets and less available information than is generally the case in the U.S., higher transaction costs, less government supervision of exchanges, brokers and issuers, less developed bankruptcy laws, difficulty in enforcing contractual obligations, lack of uniform accounting and auditing standards and greater price volatility. Investments denominated in foreign currencies would be subject to the risk that the value of a particular currency will change in relation to one or more other currencies. Among the factors that may affect currency values are trade balances, the level of short-term interest rates, differences in relative values of similar assets in different currencies, long-term opportunities for

Table of Contents

investment and capital appreciation and political developments. We may employ hedging techniques to minimize these risks, but we can offer no assurance that we will, in fact, hedge currency risk, or that if we do, such strategies will be effective.

Engaging in hedging transactions would also, indirectly, entail additional risks to our stockholders. Although it is not currently anticipated that we would engage in hedging transactions as a principal investment strategy, if we determined to engage in hedging transactions, we generally would seek to hedge against fluctuations of the relative values of our portfolio positions from changes in market interest rates or currency exchange rates. Hedging against a decline in the values of our portfolio positions would not eliminate the possibility of fluctuations in the values of such positions or prevent losses if the values of the positions declined. However, such hedging could establish other positions designed to gain from those same developments, thereby offsetting the decline in the value of such portfolio positions.

These hedging transactions could also limit the opportunity for gain if the values of the underlying portfolio positions increased. Moreover, it might not be possible to hedge against an exchange rate or interest rate fluctuation that was so generally anticipated that we would not be able to enter into a hedging transaction at an acceptable price. If we choose to engage in hedging transactions, there can be no assurances that we will achieve the intended benefits of such transactions and, depending on the degree of exposure such transactions could create, such transactions may expose us to risk of loss.

While we may enter into these types of transactions to seek to reduce currency exchange rate and interest rate risks, unanticipated changes in currency exchange rates or interest rates could result in poorer overall investment performance than if we had not engaged in any such hedging transactions. In addition, the degree of correlation between price movements of the instruments used in a hedging strategy and price movements in the portfolio positions being hedged could vary. Moreover, for a variety of reasons, we might not seek to establish a perfect correlation between the hedging instruments and the portfolio holdings being hedged. Any imperfect correlation could prevent us from achieving the intended hedge and expose us to risk of loss. In addition, it might not be possible to hedge fully or perfectly against currency fluctuations affecting the value of securities denominated in non-U.S. currencies because the value of those securities would likely fluctuate as a result of factors not related to currency fluctuations.

Uncertainty relating to the LIBOR calculation process may adversely affect the value of our portfolio of LIBOR-indexed, floating-rate debt securities.

Concerns have been publicized that some of the member banks surveyed by the British Bankers' Association ("BBA") in connection with the calculation of LIBOR across a range of maturities and currencies may have been under-reporting or otherwise manipulating the inter-bank lending rate applicable to them in order to profit on their derivatives positions or to avoid an appearance of capital insufficiency or adverse reputational or other consequences that may have resulted from reporting inter-bank lending rates higher than those they actually submitted. A number of BBA member banks have entered into settlements with their regulators and law enforcement agencies with respect to alleged manipulation of LIBOR, and investigations by regulators and governmental authorities in various jurisdictions are ongoing.

Actions by the BBA, regulators or law enforcement agencies may result in changes to the manner in which LIBOR is determined. Uncertainty as to the nature of such potential changes may adversely affect the market for LIBOR-based securities, including our portfolio of LIBOR-indexed, floating-rate debt securities. In addition, any further changes or reforms to the determination or supervision of LIBOR may result in a sudden or prolonged increase or decrease in reported LIBOR, which could have an adverse impact on the market for LIBOR-based securities or the value of our portfolio of LIBOR-indexed, floating-rate debt securities.

RISKS RELATING TO OUR SECURITIES

The market price of our common stock may fluctuate significantly.

The market price and liquidity of the market for shares of our common stock may be significantly affected by numerous factors, some of which are beyond our control and may not be directly related to our operating performance. These factors include:

-

price and volume fluctuations in the overall stock market or in the market for BDCs from time to time;

• investor demand for shares of our common stock;

• significant volatility in the market price and trading volume of securities of registered closed-end management

• investment companies, BDCs or other financial services companies, which is not necessarily related to the operating performance of these companies;

Table of Contents

the inability to raise equity capital;
our inability to borrow money or deploy or invest our capital;
fluctuations in interest rates;
any shortfall in revenue or net income or any increase in losses from levels expected by investors or securities analysts;
operating performance of companies comparable to us;
changes in regulatory policies or tax guidelines with respect to RICs or BDCs;
our loss of status as or ability to operate as a BDC;
our failure to qualify as a RIC, loss of RIC status or ability to operate as a RIC;
actual or anticipated changes in our earnings or fluctuations in our operating results;
changes in the value of our portfolio of investments;
general economic conditions, trends and other external factors;
departures of key personnel; or
loss of a major source of funding.

In addition, we are required to continue to meet certain listing standards in order for our common stock to remain listed on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE"). If we were to be delisted by the NYSE, the liquidity of our common stock would be materially impaired.

Investing in our common stock may involve an above average degree of risk.

The investments we may make may result in a higher amount of risk, volatility or loss of principal than alternative investment options. These investments in portfolio companies may be highly speculative and aggressive, and therefore, an investment in our common stock may not be suitable for investors with lower risk tolerance.

Sales of substantial amounts of our common stock in the public market may have an adverse effect on the market price of our common stock.

Sales of substantial amounts of our common stock could materially adversely affect the prevailing market prices for our common stock. If substantial amounts of our common stock were sold, this could impair our ability to raise additional capital through the sale of securities should we desire to do so.

Our stockholders may experience dilution upon the repurchase of common stock.

On February 3, 2016, our board of directors authorized a stock repurchase plan permitting us to repurchase up to \$50.0 million of our common stock. We may repurchase shares of our common stock in the open market, including block purchases, at prices that may be above or below the net asset value as reported in the most recently published financial statements. We expect that the share repurchase program will be in effect until December 31, 2016, or until the approved dollar amount has been used to repurchase shares. If we were to repurchase shares at a price above net asset value, such repurchases would result in an immediate dilution to existing common stockholders due to a reduction in our earnings and assets due to the repurchase that is greater than the reduction in total shares outstanding. Certain provisions of our certificate of incorporation and bylaws, as well as aspects of the Delaware General Corporation Law could deter takeover attempts and have an adverse impact on the price of our common stock.

Our certificate of incorporation and bylaws as well as the Delaware General Corporation Law contain provisions that may have the effect of discouraging a third party from making an acquisition proposal for us. Among other things, our certificate of incorporation and bylaws:

provide for a classified board of directors, which may delay the ability of our stockholders to change the membership of a majority of our board of directors;
authorize the issuance of "blank check" preferred stock that could be issued by our board of directors to thwart a takeover attempt;

Table of Contents

do not provide for cumulative voting;
provide that vacancies on the board of directors, including newly created directorships, may be filled only by a majority vote of directors then in office;
provide that our directors may be removed only for cause;
require supermajority voting to effect certain amendments to our certificate of incorporation and bylaws; and
require stockholders to provide advance notice of new business proposals and director nominations under specific procedures.

These anti-takeover provisions may inhibit a change in control in circumstances that could give the holders of our common stock the opportunity to realize a premium over the market price for our common stock. The Holdings Credit Facility and NMFC Credit Facility also include covenants that, among other things, restrict our ability to dispose of assets, incur additional indebtedness, make restricted payments, create liens on assets, make investments, make acquisitions and engage in mergers or consolidations. The Holdings Credit Facility and NMFC Credit Facility also include change of control provisions that accelerate the indebtedness under these facilities in the event of certain change of control events.

Shares of our common stock have traded at a discount from net asset value and may do so in the future.

Shares of closed-end investment companies have frequently traded at a market price that is less than the net asset value that is attributable to those shares. In part as a result of adverse economic conditions and increasing pressure within the financial sector of which we are a part, our common stock has at times traded below our net asset value per share since our IPO on May 19, 2011. Our shares could once again trade at a discount to net asset value. The possibility that our shares of common stock may trade at a discount from net asset value over the long term is separate and distinct from the risk that our net asset value will decrease. We cannot predict whether shares of our common stock will trade above, at or below our net asset value. If our common stock trades below our net asset value, we will generally not be able to issue additional shares of our common stock without first obtaining the approval for such issuance from our stockholders and our independent directors. If additional funds are not available to us, we could be forced to curtail or cease our new lending and investment activities, and our net asset value could decrease and our level of distributions could be impacted.

You may not receive dividends or our dividends may decline or may not grow over time.

We cannot assure you that we will achieve investment results or maintain a tax status that will allow or require any specified level of cash distributions or year-to-year increases in cash distributions. In particular, our future dividends are dependent upon the investment income we receive on our portfolio investments. To the extent such investment income declines, our ability to pay future dividends may be harmed.

If we issue preferred stock, the net asset value and market value of our common stock will likely become more volatile.

We cannot assure you that the issuance of preferred stock would result in a higher yield or return to the holders of our common stock. The issuance of preferred stock would likely cause the net asset value and market value of the common stock to become more volatile. If the dividend rate on the preferred stock were to approach the net rate of return on our investment portfolio, the benefit of leverage to the holders of the common stock would be reduced. If the dividend rate on the preferred stock were to exceed the net rate of return on our portfolio, the leverage would result in a lower rate of return to the holders of common stock than if we had not issued preferred stock. Any decline in the net asset value of our investments would be borne entirely by the holders of common stock. Therefore, if the market value of our portfolio were to decline, the leverage would result in a greater decrease in net asset value to the holders of common stock than if we were not leveraged through the issuance of preferred stock. This greater net asset value decrease would also tend to cause a greater decline in the market price for the common stock.

We might be in danger of failing to maintain the required asset coverage of the preferred stock or of losing our ratings, if any, on the preferred stock or, in an extreme case, our current investment income might not be sufficient to meet the dividend requirements on the preferred stock. In order to counteract such an event, we might need to liquidate investments in order to fund a redemption of some or all of the preferred stock. In addition, we would pay (and the holders of common stock would bear) all costs and expenses relating to the issuance and ongoing maintenance of the

preferred stock, including higher advisory fees if our total return exceeds the dividend rate on the preferred stock. Holders of preferred stock may have different interests than holders of common stock and may at times have disproportionate influence over our affairs.

Table of Contents

Holders of any preferred stock we might issue would have the right to elect members of our board of directors and class voting rights on certain matters.

Holders of any preferred stock we might issue, voting separately as a single class, would have the right to elect two members of our board of directors at all times and in the event dividends become two full years in arrears would have the right to elect a majority of the directors until such arrearage is completely eliminated. In addition, preferred stockholders have class voting rights on certain matters, including changes in fundamental investment restrictions and conversion to open-end status, and accordingly can veto any such changes. Restrictions imposed on the declarations and payment of dividends or other distributions to the holders of our common stock and preferred stock, both by the 1940 Act and by requirements imposed by rating agencies, if any, or the terms of our credit facilities, if any, might impair our ability to maintain our qualification as a RIC for U.S. federal income tax purposes. While we would intend to redeem our preferred stock to the extent necessary to enable us to distribute our income as required to maintain our qualification as a RIC, there can be no assurance that such actions could be effected in time to meet the tax requirements.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

Not applicable.

Item 2. Properties

We do not own any real estate or other physical properties materially important to our operations. Our principal executive offices are located at 787 Seventh Avenue, 48th Floor, New York, New York 10019, where we occupy our office space pursuant to our Administration Agreement with the Administrator. The office space is shared with our Investment Adviser, our Administrator and New Mountain Capital. We believe that our current office facilities are suitable and adequate for our business as currently conducted.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

We, and our consolidated subsidiaries, the Investment Adviser and the Administrator are not currently subject to any material pending legal proceedings threatened against us as of December 31, 2015. From time to time, we may be a party to certain legal proceedings incidental to the normal course of our business including the enforcement of our rights under contracts with our portfolio companies. While the outcome of these legal proceedings cannot be predicted with certainty, we do not expect that these proceedings will have a material effect upon our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Price Range of Common Stock and Distributions

New Mountain Finance Corporation's ("NMFC", the "Company", "we", "us" or "our") common stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") under the symbol "NMFC". The following table sets forth the net asset value ("NAV") per share of our common stock, the high and low closing sale price for our common stock, the closing sale price as a percentage of NAV and the quarterly dividend distributions per share for each fiscal quarter for the years ended December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014.

| Fiscal Year Ended | NAV Per Share(1) | Closing Sales Price(2) | | Premium or Discount of High Closing Sales to NAV(3) | Premium or Discount of Low Closing Sales to NAV(3) | Declared Dividends Per Share(4) |
|-------------------|------------------|------------------------|---------|---|--|---------------------------------|
| | | High | Low | | | |
| December 31, 2015 | | | | | | |
| Fourth Quarter | \$13.08 | \$14.17 | \$12.15 | 8.33 | % (7.11) |)% \$0.34 |
| Third Quarter | \$13.73 | \$14.94 | \$13.34 | 8.81 | % (2.84) |)% \$0.34 |
| Second Quarter | \$13.90 | \$15.14 | \$14.49 | 8.92 | % 4.24 | % \$0.34 |
| First Quarter | \$13.89 | \$15.06 | \$14.30 | 8.42 | % 2.95 | % \$0.34 |
| December 31, 2014 | | | | | | |
| Fourth Quarter | \$13.83 | \$15.09 | \$14.14 | 9.11 | % 2.24 | % \$0.34 |
| Third Quarter | \$14.33 | \$15.39 | \$14.48 | 7.40 | % 1.05 | % \$0.46 |
| Second Quarter | \$14.65 | \$14.89 | \$13.91 | 1.64 | % (5.05) |)% \$0.34 |
| First Quarter | \$14.53 | \$15.19 | \$14.46 | 4.54 | % (0.48) |)% \$0.34 |

NAV is determined as of the last date in the relevant quarter and therefore may not reflect the NAV per share on (1) the date of the high and low sales prices. The NAVs shown are based on outstanding shares at the end of each period.

(2) Closing sales price is determined as the high or low closing sales price noted within the respective quarter, not adjusted for dividends.

(3) Calculated as of the respective high or low closing sales price divided by the quarter end NAV.

(4) Represents the dividend declared or paid for the specified quarter.

(5) Includes a special dividend of \$0.12 per share paid on September 3, 2014 and a third quarter dividend of \$0.34 per share paid on September 30, 2014.

On February 26, 2016, the last reported sales price of our common stock was \$12.40 per share. As of February 26, 2016, we had approximately 27 stockholders of record and one beneficial owner whose shares are held in the names of brokers, dealers, funds, trusts and clearing agencies.

Dividends

We intend to pay quarterly distributions to our stockholders in amounts sufficient to maintain our status as a regulated investment company ("RIC"). We intend to distribute approximately our entire Adjusted Net Investment Income (defined as net investment income adjusted to reflect income as if the cost basis of investments held at the IPO date had stepped-up to fair market value as of the IPO date) on a quarterly basis and substantially all of our taxable income on an annual basis, except that we may retain certain net capital gains for reinvestment.

We maintain an "opt out" dividend reinvestment plan on behalf of our stockholders, pursuant to which each of our stockholders' cash distributions will be automatically reinvested in additional shares of our common stock, unless the stockholder elects to receive cash.

Table of Contents

We apply the following in implementing the dividend reinvestment plan. If the price at which newly issued shares are to be credited to stockholders' accounts is equal to or greater than 110.0% of the last determined NAV of the shares, we will use only newly issued shares to implement the dividend reinvestment plan. Under such circumstances, the number of shares to be issued to a stockholder is determined by dividing the total dollar amount of the distribution payable to such stockholder by the market price per share of our common stock on the NYSE on the distribution payment date. Market price per share on that date will be the closing price for such shares on the NYSE or, if no sale is reported for such day, the average of their electronically reported bid and ask prices.

If the price at which newly issued shares are to be credited to stockholders' accounts is less than 110.0% of the last determined NAV of the shares, we will either issue new shares or instruct the plan administrator to purchase shares in the open market to satisfy the additional shares required. Shares purchased in open market transactions by the plan administrator will be allocated to a stockholder based on the average purchase price, excluding any brokerage charges or other charges, of all shares of common stock purchased in the open market. The number of shares of our common stock to be outstanding after giving effect to payment of the distribution cannot be established until the value per share at which additional shares will be issued has been determined and elections of our stockholders have been tabulated. See Item 8.—Financial Statements and Supplementary Data—Note 2, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies for additional information.

The following table reflects cash distributions, including dividends and returns of capital, if any, per share that have been declared by our board of directors for the years ended December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014:

| Date Declared | Record Date | Payment Date | Per Share Amount | |
|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|-----|
| November 3, 2015 | December 16, 2015 | December 30, 2015 | \$0.34 | |
| August 4, 2015 | September 16, 2015 | September 30, 2015 | 0.34 | |
| May 5, 2015 | June 16, 2015 | June 30, 2015 | 0.34 | |
| February 23, 2015 | March 17, 2015 | March 31, 2015 | 0.34 | |
| | | | \$1.36 | |
| November 4, 2014 | December 16, 2014 | December 30, 2014 | \$0.34 | |
| August 5, 2014 | September 16, 2014 | September 30, 2014 | 0.34 | |
| July 30, 2014 | August 20, 2014 | September 3, 2014 | 0.12 | (1) |
| May 6, 2014 | June 16, 2014 | June 30, 2014 | 0.34 | |
| March 4, 2014 | March 17, 2014 | March 31, 2014 | 0.34 | |
| | | | \$1.48 | |

Special dividend related to estimated realized capital gains attributable to New Mountain Finance Holdings, (1)L.L.C.'s ("NMF Holdings" or the "Predecessor Operating Company") warrant investments in Learning Care Group (US), Inc.

Tax characteristics of all dividends paid by us are reported to stockholders on Form 1099 after the end of the calendar year. Our future quarterly dividends, if any, will be determined by our board of directors.

Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities

We did not engage in unregistered sales of securities during the year ended December 31, 2015.

Table of Contents

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

During the quarter ended December 31, 2015, as a part of our dividend reinvestment plan for our common stockholders, our transfer agent purchased 94,000 shares of our common stock for \$1.2 million in the open market in order to satisfy the reinvestment portion of our dividends. The following chart outlines purchases of our common stock during the quarter ended December 31, 2015.

| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased | Weighted Average Price Paid Per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs | Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs |
|---------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| October 2015 | — | \$— | — | \$— |
| November 2015 | — | — | — | — |
| December 2015 | 94,000 | 13.02 | — | — |
| Total | 94,000 | \$13.02 | — | \$— |

Table of Contents

Stock Performance Graph

This graph compares the return on our common stock with that of the Standard & Poor's 500 Total Return Index ("S&P 500 TR") and the Russell 2000 Index Total Return ("Russell 2000 TR") as we do not believe that there is an appropriate index of companies with an investment strategy similar to our own with which to compare the return on our common stock, for the period May 19, 2011 (commencement of operations) to December 31, 2015. The graph assumes that, on May 19, 2011, a person invested \$100 in each of our common stock, the S&P 500 TR and the Russell 2000 TR. The graph measures total stockholder return, which takes into account both changes in stock price and dividends. It assumes that dividends paid are invested in like securities.

The graph and other information furnished under this Part II Item 5 of this Form 10-K shall not be deemed to be "soliciting material" or to be filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission or subject to Regulation 14A or 14C, or to the liabilities of Section 18 of the "1934" Act. The stock price performance included in the above graph is not necessarily indicative of future stock performance.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The selected financial data should be read in conjunction with the respective consolidated financial statements and related consolidated notes thereto and Item 7.—Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in this report. Financial information for the years ended December 31, 2015, December 31, 2014, December 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, has been derived from the Predecessor Operating Company and our financial statements and related notes thereto that were audited by Deloitte & Touche LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm.

Table of Contents

The below selected financial and other data is for NMFC.
(in thousands except shares and per share data)

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | | Period from May 19, 2011 (commencement of operations) to December 31, 2011 |
|--|--------------------------|--------------|------------|------------|--|
| New Mountain Finance Corporation | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | |
| Statement of Operations Data: | | | | | |
| Investment income | \$ 153,855 | \$ 91,923 | \$— | \$— | \$— |
| Investment income allocated from NMF Holdings | — | 43,678 | 90,876 | 37,511 | 13,669 |
| Net expenses | 71,360 | 34,727 | — | — | — |
| Net expenses allocated from NMF Holdings | — | 20,808 | 40,355 | 17,719 | 5,324 |
| Net investment income | 82,495 | 80,066 | 50,521 | 19,792 | 8,345 |
| Net realized (losses) gains on investments | (12,789) | 357 | — | — | — |
| Net realized and unrealized gains (losses) allocated from NMF Holdings | — | 9,508 | 11,443 | 12,087 | (4,235) |
| Net change in unrealized (depreciation) appreciation of investments | (35,272) | (43,863) | — | — | — |
| Net change in unrealized (depreciation) appreciation of securities purchased under collateralized agreements to resell | (296) | — | — | — | — |
| Net change in unrealized (depreciation) appreciation of investment in NMF Holdings | — | — | (44) | (95) | 6,221 |
| Provision for taxes | (1,183) | (493) | — | — | — |
| Net increase in net assets resulting from operations | 32,955 | 45,575 | 61,920 | 31,784 | 10,331 |
| Per share data: | | | | | |
| Net asset value | \$ 13.08 | \$ 13.83 | \$ 14.38 | \$ 14.06 | \$ 13.60 |
| Net increase in net assets resulting from operations (basic) | 0.55 | 0.88 | 1.76 | 2.14 | 0.97 |
| Net increase in net assets resulting from operations (diluted)(1) | 0.55 | 0.86 | 1.76 | 2.14 | 0.38 |
| Dividends declared(2) | 1.36 | 1.48 | 1.48 | 1.71 | 0.86 |
| Balance sheet data: | | | | | |
| Total assets | \$ 1,602,138 | \$ 1,514,920 | \$ 650,107 | \$ 345,331 | \$ 145,487 |
| Holdings Credit Facility | 419,313 | 468,108 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| SBA-guaranteed debentures | 117,745 | 37,500 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Convertible Notes | 115,000 | 115,000 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| NMFC Credit Facility | 90,000 | 50,000 | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Edgar Filing: New Mountain Finance Corp - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|---|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|---|
| Total net assets | 836,908 | 802,170 | 650,107 | 341,926 | 145,487 | |
| Other data: | | | | | | |
| Total return based on market value(3) | (4.00 |)% 9.66 | % 11.62 | % 24.84 | % 4.16 | % |
| Total return based on net asset value(4) | 4.32 | % 6.56 | % 13.27 | % 16.61 | % 2.82 | % |
| Number of portfolio companies at period end | 75 | 71 | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| Total new investments for the period(5) | \$612,737 | \$720,871 | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| Investment sales and repayments for the period(5) | \$483,936 | \$384,568 | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| Weighted average Yield to Maturity at Cost on debt portfolio at period end (unaudited)(6) | 10.7 | % 10.7 | % N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| Weighted average shares outstanding for the period (basic) | 59,715,290 | 51,846,164 | 35,092,722 | 14,860,838 | 10,697,691 | |
| Weighted average shares outstanding for the period (diluted) | 66,968,089 | 56,157,835 | 35,092,722 | 14,860,838 | 10,697,691 | |
| Portfolio turnover(5) | 33.93 | % 29.51 | % N/A | N/A | N/A | |

Table of Contents

-
- In applying the if-converted method, conversion is not assumed for purposes of computing diluted earnings per share if the effect would be anti-dilutive. For the year ended December 31, 2015, there was anti-dilution. For the year ended December 31, 2014, there was no anti-dilution. For the years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, due to reflecting earnings for the full year of operations of the Predecessor Operating Company assuming 100.0% NMFC ownership of Predecessor Operating Company and assuming all of New Mountain Finance AIV Holdings Corporation's ("AIV Holdings") units in the Predecessor Operating Company were exchanged for public shares of NMFC during the years then ended, the earnings per share would be \$1.79 and \$2.18, respectively.
- (1) Dividends declared in the year ended December 31, 2014 include a \$0.12 per share special dividend related to realized capital gains attributable to NMF Holdings' warrant investments in Learning Care Group (US), Inc. Dividends declared in the year ended December 31, 2013 include a \$0.12 per share special dividend related to a distribution received attributable to NMF Holdings' investment in YP Equity Investors LLC. Dividends declared in the year ended December 31, 2012 include a \$0.23 per share special dividend related to estimated realized capital gains attributable to NMF Holdings' investments in Lawson Software, Inc. and Infor Lux Bond Company and a \$0.14 per share special dividend intended to minimize to the greatest extent possible NMFC's U.S. federal income or excise tax liability.
- (2) For the years ended December 31, 2015, December 31, 2014, December 31, 2013, December 31, 2012 and for the period May 19, 2011 to December 31, 2011, total return is calculated assuming a purchase of common stock at the opening of the first day of the period and assuming a purchase of common stock at our initial purchase offering ("IPO"), respectively, and a sale on the closing of the last day of the respective period ends. Dividends and distributions, if any, are assumed for purposes of this calculation, to be reinvested at prices obtained under our dividend reinvestment plan.
- (3) Total return is calculated assuming a purchase at net asset value on the opening of the first day of the period and a sale at net asset value on the last day of the period. Dividends and distributions, if any, are assumed for purposes of this calculation, to be reinvested at the net asset value on the last day of the respective quarter.
- (4) For the year ended December 31, 2014, amounts include our investment activity and the investment activity of the Predecessor Operating Company.
- (5) The weighted average Yield to Maturity at Cost calculation assumes that all investments, including secured collateralized agreements, not on non-accrual are purchased at the adjusted cost on the respective period ends and held until their respective maturities with no prepayments or losses and exited at par at maturity. Adjusted cost reflects the cost for post-IPO investments in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") and a stepped up cost basis of pre-IPO investments (assuming a step-up to fair market value occurred on the IPO date).
- (6)

Table of Contents

As of May 8, 2014, NMFC assumed all operating activities previously undertaken by NMF Holdings. The following table sets forth selected financial and other data for NMF Holdings when it was the Predecessor Operating Company. (in thousands except units and per unit data)

| New Mountain Finance Holdings, L.L.C. | Years Ended December 31, | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|-----|--------------|-----|------------|-----|
| | 2013 | | 2012 | | 2011 | |
| Statement of Operations Data: | | | | | | |
| Total investment income | \$ 114,912 | | \$ 85,786 | | \$ 56,523 | |
| Net expenses | 51,235 | | 40,569 | | 17,998 | |
| Net investment income | 63,677 | | 45,217 | | 38,525 | |
| Net realized and unrealized gains (losses) | 15,247 | | 28,779 | | (6,848) |) |
| Net increase in net assets resulting from operations | 78,924 | | 73,996 | | 31,677 | |
| Per unit data: | | | | | | |
| Net asset value | \$ 14.38 | | \$ 14.06 | | \$ 13.60 | |
| Net increase in net assets resulting from operations (basic and diluted) | 1.79 | | 2.18 | | 1.02 | |
| Dividends declared(1) | 1.48 | | 1.71 | | 0.86 | |
| Balance sheet data: | | | | | | |
| Total assets | \$ 1,147,841 | | \$ 1,025,564 | | \$ 730,579 | |
| Holdings Credit Facility | 221,849 | | 206,938 | | 129,038 | |
| SLF Credit Facility | 214,668 | | 214,262 | | 165,928 | |
| Total net assets | 688,516 | | 569,939 | | 420,502 | |
| Other data: | | | | | | |
| Total return at net asset value(2) | 13.27 | % | 16.61 | % | 10.09 | % |
| Number of portfolio companies at period end | 59 | | 63 | | 55 | |
| Total new investments for the period | \$ 529,307 | | \$ 673,218 | | \$ 493,331 | |
| Investment sales and repayments for the period | \$ 426,561 | | \$ 423,874 | | \$ 231,962 | |
| Weighted average Yield to Maturity at Cost on debt portfolio at period end (unaudited)(3) | 11.0 | % | 10.3 | % | 10.3 | % |
| Weighted average Yield to Maturity on debt portfolio at period end (unaudited)(4) | 10.6 | % | 10.1 | % | 10.7 | % |
| Weighted average Adjusted Yield to Maturity on debt portfolio at period end (unaudited) | — | (5) | — | (5) | 13.1 | % |
| Weighted average common membership units outstanding for the period | 44,021,920 | | 34,011,738 | | 30,919,629 | (6) |
| Portfolio turnover | 40.52 | % | 52.02 | % | 42.13 | % |

Dividends declared in the year ended December 31, 2013 include a \$0.12 per unit special dividend related to a distribution received attributable to NMF Holdings' investment in YP Equity Investors LLC. Dividends declared in the year ended December 31, 2012 include a \$0.23 per unit special dividend related to estimated realized capital gains attributable to NMF Holdings' investments in Lawson Software, Inc. and Infor Lux Bond Company and a (1) \$0.14 per unit special dividend intended to minimize to the greatest extent possible NMFC's U.S. federal income or excise tax liability. Actual cash payments on the dividends declared to AIV Holdings only, for the quarters ended March 31, 2012, June 30, 2012, December 31, 2012 and March 31, 2013, were made on April 4, 2012, July 9, 2012, January 7, 2013 and April 5, 2013 respectively.

(2) For the years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, total return is calculated assuming a purchase at net asset value on the opening of the first day of the year and a sale at net asset value on the last day of the respective period ends. Dividends and distributions, if any, are assumed for purposes of this calculation, to be reinvested at the net asset value on the last day of the respective quarter. For the year ended December 31, 2011,

total return is calculated in two parts: (1) from the opening of the first day of the year to NMFC's IPO date, total return is calculated based on net income over weighted average net assets and (2) from NMFC's IPO date to the last day of the year, total return is calculated assuming a purchase at net asset value on NMFC's IPO date and a sale at net asset value on the last day of the year. Dividends and distributions, if any, are assumed for purposes of this calculation, to be reinvested at the net asset value on the last day of the respective quarter.

Table of Contents

The weighted average Yield to Maturity at Cost calculation assumes that all investments not on non-accrual are purchased at the adjusted cost on the respective period ends and held until their respective maturities with no (3) prepayments or losses and exited at par at maturity. Adjusted cost reflects the GAAP cost for post-IPO investments and a stepped up cost basis of pre-IPO investments (assuming a step-up to fair market value occurred on the IPO date). The weighted average Yield to Maturity at Cost was not calculated prior to NMFC's IPO.

The weighted average Yield to Maturity calculation assumes that all investments not on non-accrual are purchased (4) at fair value on the respective period ends and held until their respective maturities with no prepayments or losses and exited at par at maturity. The weighted average Yield to Maturity was not calculated subsequent to December 31, 2013.

"Adjusted Yield to Maturity" assumes that the investments in NMF Holdings' portfolio are purchased at fair value on the respective period ends and held until their respective maturities with no prepayments or losses and exited at (5) par at maturity. This calculation excludes the impact of existing leverage, except for the non-recourse debt of NMF SLF. NMF SLF is treated as a fully levered asset of NMF Holdings, with NMF SLF's net asset value being included for yield calculation purposes.

(6) Weighted average common membership units outstanding presented from May 19, 2011 to December 31, 2011, as the fund became unitized on May 19, 2011, the IPO date.

Table of Contents

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The information in management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations relates to New Mountain Finance Corporation, including its wholly-owned direct and indirect subsidiaries (collectively, "we", "us", "our", "NMFC" or the "Company").

The following analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our financial data and our financial statements and the notes thereto contained in Item 8.—Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, in this report. See Item 1A.—Risk Factors for a discussion of the uncertainties, risks and assumptions associated with these statements.

Forward-Looking Statements

The information contained in this section should be read in conjunction with the financial data and consolidated financial statements and notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this report. Some of the statements in this report (including in the following discussion) constitute forward-looking statements, which relate to future events or our future performance or our financial condition. The forward-looking statements contained in this section involve a number of risks and uncertainties, including:

- statements concerning the impact of a protracted decline in the liquidity of credit markets;
- the general economy, including interest and inflation rates, and its impact on the industries in which we invest;
- the ability of our portfolio companies to achieve their objectives;
- our ability to make investments consistent with our investment objectives, including with respect to the size, nature and terms of our investments;
- the ability of New Mountain Finance Advisers BDC, L.L.C. (the "Investment Adviser") or its affiliates to attract and retain highly talented professionals;
- actual and potential conflicts of interest with the Investment Adviser and other affiliates of New Mountain Capital Group, L.L.C.; and
- the risk factors set forth in Item 1A.—Risk Factors.

Forward-looking statements are identified by their use of such terms and phrases such as "anticipate", "believe", "continue", "could", "estimate", "expect", "intend", "may", "plan", "potential", "project", "seek", "should", "target", "will", "would" or similar expressions. Actual results could differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements for any reason, including the factors set forth in Item 1A.—Risk Factors contained in this annual report.

We have based the forward-looking statements included in this report on information available to us on the date of this report. We assume no obligation to update or revise publicly any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as required by law. Although we undertake no obligation to revise or update any forward-looking statements, you are advised to consult any additional disclosures that we may make directly to you or through reports that we have filed or in the future may file with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"), including annual reports on Form 10-K, registration statements on Form N-2, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and current reports on Form 8-K.

Overview

New Mountain Finance Corporation

We are a Delaware corporation that was originally incorporated on June 29, 2010. We are a closed-end, non-diversified management investment company that has elected to be regulated as a business development company ("BDC") under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the "1940 Act"). As such, we are obligated to comply with certain regulatory requirements. We have elected to be treated, and intend to comply with the requirements to continue to qualify annually, as a regulated investment company ("RIC") under Subchapter M of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, (the "Code"). NMFC is also registered as an investment adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, as amended (the "Advisers Act").

Table of Contents

On May 19, 2011, we priced our initial public offering (the "IPO") of 7,272,727 shares of common stock at a public offering price of \$13.75 per share. Concurrently with the closing of the IPO and at the public offering price of \$13.75 per share, we sold an additional 2,172,000 shares of our common stock to certain executives and employees of, and other individuals affiliated with, New Mountain Capital (defined as New Mountain Capital Group, L.L.C. and its affiliates) in a concurrent private placement (the "Concurrent Private Placement"). Additionally, 1,252,964 shares were issued to the partners of New Mountain Guardian Partners, L.P. at that time for their ownership interest in the Predecessor Entities (as defined below). In connection with our IPO and through a series of transactions, New Mountain Finance Holdings, L.L.C. ("NMF Holdings" or the "Predecessor Operating Company") acquired all of the operations of the Predecessor Entities, including all of the assets and liabilities related to such operations.

New Mountain Finance Holdings, L.L.C.

NMF Holdings is a Delaware limited liability company. Until May 8, 2014, NMF Holdings was externally managed and was regulated as a BDC under the 1940 Act. As such, NMF Holdings was obligated to comply with certain regulatory requirements. NMF Holdings was treated as a partnership for United States ("U.S.") federal income tax purposes for so long as it had at least two members. With the completion of the underwritten secondary offering on February 3, 2014, NMF Holdings' existence as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes terminated and NMF Holdings became an entity that is disregarded as a separate entity from its owner for U.S. federal tax purposes. For additional information on our organizational structure prior to May 8, 2014, see "—Restructuring".

Until May 8, 2014, NMF Holdings was externally managed by the Investment Adviser. As of May 8, 2014, the Investment Adviser serves as the external investment adviser to us. New Mountain Finance Administration, L.L.C. (the "Administrator") provides the administrative services necessary for operations. The Investment Adviser and Administrator are wholly-owned subsidiaries of New Mountain Capital. New Mountain Capital is a firm with a track record of investing in the middle market and with assets under management totaling more than \$15.0 billion⁽¹⁾, which includes total assets held by us. New Mountain Capital focuses on investing in defensive growth companies across its private equity, public equity and credit investment vehicles. NMF Holdings, formerly known as New Mountain Guardian (Leveraged), L.L.C., was originally formed as a subsidiary of New Mountain Guardian AIV, L.P. ("Guardian AIV") by New Mountain Capital in October 2008. Guardian AIV was formed through an allocation of approximately \$300.0 million of the \$5.1 billion of commitments supporting New Mountain Partners III, L.P., a private equity fund managed by New Mountain Capital. In February 2009, New Mountain Capital formed a co-investment vehicle, New Mountain Guardian Partners, L.P., comprising \$20.4 million of commitments. New Mountain Guardian (Leveraged), L.L.C. and New Mountain Guardian Partners, L.P., together with their respective direct and indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries, are defined as the "Predecessor Entities".

Prior to December 18, 2014, New Mountain Finance SPV Funding, L.L.C. ("NMF SLF") was a Delaware limited liability company. NMF SLF was a wholly-owned subsidiary of NMF Holdings and thus our wholly-owned indirect subsidiary. NMF SLF was bankruptcy-remote and non-recourse to us. As part of an amendment to our existing credit facilities with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, NMF SLF merged with and into NMF Holdings on December 18, 2014. See Item 8.—Financial Statements and Supplementary Data—Note 7, Borrowings for additional information on our credit facilities.

New Mountain Finance AIV Holdings Corporation

Until April 25, 2014, New Mountain Finance AIV Holdings Corporation ("AIV Holdings") was a Delaware corporation that was originally incorporated on March 11, 2011. AIV Holdings was dissolved on April 25, 2014. Guardian AIV, a Delaware limited partnership, was AIV Holdings' sole stockholder. AIV Holdings was a closed-end, non-diversified management investment company that was regulated as a BDC under the 1940 Act. As such, AIV Holdings was obligated to comply with certain regulatory requirements. AIV Holdings was treated, and complied with the requirements to qualify annually, as a RIC under the Code.

(1) Includes amounts committed, not all of which have been drawn down and invested to date, as of December 31, 2015.

Table of Contents

Structure

Prior to the Restructuring (as defined below) on May 8, 2014, NMFC and AIV Holdings were holding companies with no direct operations of their own, and their sole asset was their ownership in NMF Holdings. In connection with the IPO, NMFC and AIV Holdings each entered into a joinder agreement with respect to the Limited Liability Company Agreement, as amended and restated (the "Operating Agreement"), of NMF Holdings, pursuant to which NMFC and AIV Holdings were admitted as members of NMF Holdings. NMFC acquired from NMF Holdings, with the gross proceeds of the IPO and the Concurrent Private Placement, common membership units ("units") of NMF Holdings (the number of units were equal to the number of shares of NMFC's common stock sold in the IPO and the Concurrent Private Placement). Additionally, NMFC received units of NMF Holdings equal to the number of shares of common stock of NMFC issued to the partners of New Mountain Guardian Partners, L.P. Guardian AIV was the parent of NMF Holdings prior to the IPO and, as a result of the transactions completed in connection with the IPO, obtained units in NMF Holdings. Guardian AIV contributed its units in NMF Holdings to AIV Holdings in exchange for common stock of AIV Holdings. AIV Holdings had the right to exchange all or any portion of its units in NMF Holdings for shares of NMFC's common stock on a one-for-one basis at any time.

The original structure was designed to generally prevent NMFC and its stockholders from being allocated taxable income with respect to unrecognized gains that existed at the time of the IPO in the Predecessor Entities' assets, and rather such amounts would be allocated generally to AIV Holdings. The result was that any distributions made to NMFC's stockholders that were attributable to such gains generally were not treated as taxable dividends but rather as return of capital.

Since our IPO, and through December 31, 2015, we raised approximately \$454.0 million in net proceeds from additional offerings of common stock and issued shares of common stock valued at approximately \$288.4 million on behalf of AIV Holdings for exchanged units. We acquired from NMF Holdings units of NMF Holdings equal to the number of shares of our common stock sold in additional offerings. With the completion of the final secondary offering on February 3, 2014, we owned 100.0% of the units of NMF Holdings, which became our wholly-owned subsidiary.

Restructuring

As a BDC, AIV Holdings had been subject to the 1940 Act, including certain provisions applicable only to BDCs. Accordingly, and after careful consideration of the 1940 Act requirements applicable to BDCs, the cost of 1940 Act compliance and a thorough assessment of AIV Holdings' business model, AIV Holdings' board of directors determined that continuation as a BDC was not in the best interests of AIV Holdings and Guardian AIV. Specifically, given that AIV Holdings was formed for the sole purpose of holding units of NMF Holdings and AIV Holdings had disposed of all of the units of NMF Holdings that it was holding as of February 3, 2014, the board of directors of AIV Holdings approved and declared advisable at an in-person meeting held on March 25, 2014 the withdrawal of AIV Holdings' election to be regulated as a BDC under the 1940 Act. In addition, the board of directors of AIV Holdings approved and declared advisable for AIV Holdings to terminate its registration under Section 12(g) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act") and to dissolve AIV Holdings under the laws of the State of Delaware.

Upon receipt of the necessary stockholder consent to authorize the board of directors of AIV Holdings to withdraw AIV Holdings' election to be regulated as a BDC, the withdrawal was filed and became effective upon receipt by the SEC of AIV Holdings' notification of withdrawal on Form N-54C on April 15, 2014. The board of directors of AIV Holdings believed that AIV Holdings met the requirements for filing the notification to withdraw its election to be regulated as a BDC, upon the receipt of the necessary stockholder consent. After the notification of withdrawal of AIV Holdings' BDC election was filed with the SEC, AIV Holdings was no longer subject to the regulatory provisions of the 1940 Act applicable to BDCs generally, including regulations related to insurance, custody, composition of its board of directors, affiliated transactions and any compensation arrangements.

In addition, on April 15, 2014, AIV Holdings filed a Form 15 with the SEC to terminate AIV Holdings' registration under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act. After these SEC filings and any other federal or state regulatory or tax filings were made, AIV Holdings proceeded to dissolve under Delaware law by filing a certificate of dissolution in

Delaware on April 25, 2014.

Until May 8, 2014, as a BDC, NMF Holdings had been subject to the 1940 Act, including certain provisions applicable only to BDCs. Accordingly, and after careful consideration of the 1940 Act requirements applicable to BDCs, the cost of 1940 Act compliance and a thorough assessment of NMF Holdings' current business model, NMF Holdings' board of directors determined at an in-person meeting held on March 25, 2014 that continuation as a BDC was not in the best interests of NMF Holdings.

At the 2014 joint annual meeting of the stockholders of NMFC and the sole unit holder of NMF Holdings held on May 6, 2014, the stockholders of NMFC and the sole unit holder of NMF Holdings approved a proposal which authorized the board of directors of NMF Holdings to withdraw NMF Holdings' election to be regulated as a BDC. Additionally, the

Table of Contents

stockholders of NMFC approved a new investment advisory and management agreement between NMFC and the Investment Adviser. Upon receipt of the necessary stockholder/unit holder approval to authorize the board of directors of NMF Holdings to withdraw NMF Holdings' election to be regulated as a BDC, the withdrawal was filed and became effective upon receipt by the SEC of NMF Holdings' notification of withdrawal on Form N-54C on May 8, 2014.

Effective May 8, 2014, NMF Holdings amended and restated its Operating Agreement such that the board of directors of NMF Holdings was dissolved and NMF Holdings remained a wholly-owned subsidiary of NMFC with the sole purpose of serving as a special purpose vehicle for NMF Holdings' credit facility, and NMFC assumed all other operating activities previously undertaken by NMF Holdings under the management of the Investment Adviser (collectively, the "Restructuring"). After the Restructuring, all wholly-owned direct and indirect subsidiaries of NMFC are consolidated with NMFC for both 1940 Act and financial statement reporting purposes, subject to any financial statement adjustments required in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). NMFC continues to remain a BDC regulated under the 1940 Act.

Also, on May 8, 2014, NMF Holdings filed Form 15 with the SEC to terminate NMF Holdings' registration under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act. As a special purpose entity, NMF Holdings is bankruptcy-remote and non-recourse to NMFC. In addition, the assets held at NMF Holdings will continue to be used to secure NMF Holdings' credit facility.

Current Organization

During the year ended December 31, 2015, we established a wholly-owned subsidiary, NMF QID NGL Holdings, Inc. ("NMF QID"). Our wholly-owned subsidiaries, NMF Ancora Holdings Inc. ("NMF Ancora"), NMF QID and NMF YP Holdings Inc. ("NMF YP"), are structured as Delaware entities that serve as tax blocker corporations which hold equity or equity-like investments in portfolio companies organized as limited liability companies (or other forms of pass-through entities). We consolidate our tax blocker corporations for accounting purposes. The tax blocker corporations are not consolidated for income tax purposes and may incur income tax expense as a result of their ownership of the portfolio companies. Additionally, our wholly-owned subsidiary, New Mountain Finance Servicing, L.L.C. ("NMF Servicing") serves as the administrative agent on certain investment transactions. New Mountain Finance SBIC, L.P. ("SBIC LP"), and its general partner, New Mountain Finance SBIC G.P., L.L.C. ("SBIC GP"), were organized in Delaware as a limited partnership and limited liability company, respectively. SBIC LP and SBIC GP are our consolidated wholly-owned direct and indirect subsidiaries. SBIC LP received a license from the U.S. Small Business Administration (the "SBA") to operate as a small business investment company ("SBIC") under Section 301(c) of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, as amended (the "1958 Act").

The diagram below depicts our organizational structure as of December 31, 2015.

*Includes partners of New Mountain Guardian Partners, L.P.

** NMFC is the sole limited partner of SBIC LP. NMFC, directly or indirectly through SBIC GP, wholly-owns SBIC LP. NMFC owns 100.0% of SBIC GP which owns 1.0% of SBIC LP. NMFC owns 99.0% of SBIC LP.

Table of Contents

Our investment objective is to generate current income and capital appreciation through the sourcing and origination of debt securities at all levels of the capital structure, including first and second lien debt, notes, bonds and mezzanine securities. In some cases, our investments may also include equity interests. The primary focus is in the debt of defensive growth companies, which are defined as generally exhibiting the following characteristics: (i) sustainable secular growth drivers, (ii) high barriers to competitive entry, (iii) high free cash flow after capital expenditure and working capital needs, (iv) high returns on assets and (v) niche market dominance. Similar to us, SBIC LP's investment objective is to generate current income and capital appreciation under our investment criteria. However, SBIC LP's investments must be in SBA eligible companies. Our portfolio may be concentrated in a limited number of industries. As of December 31, 2015, our top five industry concentrations were software, business services, education, distribution & logistics and federal services.

As of December 31, 2015, our net asset value was \$836.9 million and our portfolio had a fair value of approximately \$1,512.2 million in 75 portfolio companies, with a weighted average Yield to Maturity at Cost of approximately 10.7%. This Yield to Maturity at Cost ("Yield to Maturity at Cost") calculation assumes that all investments, including secured collateralized agreements, not on non-accrual are purchased at the adjusted cost on the quarter end date and held until their respective maturities with no prepayments or losses and exited at par at maturity. Adjusted cost reflects the GAAP cost for post-IPO investments and a stepped up cost basis of pre-IPO investments (assuming a step-up to fair market value occurred on the IPO date). This calculation excludes the impact of existing leverage. Yield to Maturity at Cost uses the London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") curves at each quarter's end date. The actual yield to maturity may be higher or lower due to the future selection of the LIBOR contracts by the individual companies in our portfolio or other factors.

Recent Developments

On February 4, 2016, our board of directors authorized a program for the purpose of repurchasing up to \$50.0 million worth of our common stock. Under the repurchase program, we may, but are not obligated to, repurchase our outstanding common stock in the open market from time to time provided that we comply with our code of ethics and the guidelines specified in Rule 10b-18 of the Exchange Act, including certain price, market volume and timing constraints. In addition, any repurchases will be conducted in accordance with the 1940 Act. Unless amended or extended by our board of directors, we expect the repurchase program to be in place until the earlier of December 31, 2016 or until \$50.0 million of our outstanding shares of common stock have been repurchased.

Our board of directors authorized the repurchase program because it believes the sustained market volatility and uncertainty may cause our common stock to be undervalued from time to time. The timing and number of shares to be repurchased will depend on a number of factors, including market conditions. There are no assurances that we will engage in repurchases, but if market conditions warrant, we now have the ability to take advantage of situations where our management believes share repurchases would be advantageous to us and to our shareholders.

On February 22, 2016, our board of directors declared a first quarter 2016 distribution of \$0.34 per share payable on March 31, 2016 to holders of record as of March 17, 2016.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of financial statements and related disclosures in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and revenues and expenses during the periods reported. Actual results could materially differ from those estimates. We have identified the following items as critical accounting policies.

Basis of Accounting

We consolidate our wholly-owned direct and indirect subsidiaries: NMF Holdings, NMF Servicing, SBIC LP, SBIC GP, NMF Ancora, NMF QID and NMF YP. Previously, we consolidated our wholly-owned indirect subsidiary NMF SLF until it merged with and into NMF Holdings on December 18, 2014. See Item 8.—Financial Statements and Supplementary Data—Note 7, Borrowings for additional information on our credit facilities. We are an investment company following accounting and reporting guidance as described in Accounting Standards Codification Topic 946,

Financial Services—Investment Companies, ("ASC 946"). Prior to the Restructuring, the Predecessor Operating Company consolidated its wholly-owned subsidiary, NMF SLF. NMFC and AIV Holdings did not consolidate the Predecessor Operating Company. Prior to the Restructuring, NMFC and AIV Holdings applied investment company master-feeder financial statement presentation, as described in ASC 946 to their interest in the Predecessor Operating Company. NMFC and AIV Holdings observed that it is also industry practice to follow the presentation prescribed for a master fund-feeder fund structure in ASC 946 in instances in which a master fund is owned by more than one feeder fund and that such presentation provided stockholders of NMFC and AIV Holdings with a clearer depiction of their investment in the master fund.

Table of Contents

Valuation and Leveling of Portfolio Investments

At all times consistent with GAAP and the 1940 Act, we conduct a valuation of assets, which impacts our net asset value.

We value our assets on a quarterly basis, or more frequently if required under the 1940 Act. In all cases, our board of directors is ultimately and solely responsible for determining the fair value of our portfolio investments on a quarterly basis in good faith, including investments that are not publicly traded, those whose market prices are not readily available and any other situation where our portfolio investments require a fair value determination. Security transactions are accounted for on a trade date basis. Our quarterly valuation procedures are set forth in more detail below:

- (1) Investments for which market quotations are readily available on an exchange are valued at such market quotations based on the closing price indicated from independent pricing services.
Investments for which indicative prices are obtained from various pricing services and/or brokers or dealers are
- (2) valued through a multi-step valuation process, as described below, to determine whether the quote(s) obtained is representative of fair value in accordance with GAAP.
Bond quotes are obtained through independent pricing services. Internal reviews are performed by the investment professionals of the Investment Adviser to ensure that the quote obtained is representative of fair value in
a. accordance with GAAP and if so, the quote is used. If the Investment Adviser is unable to sufficiently validate the quote(s) internally and if the investment's par value or its fair value exceeds the materiality threshold, the investment is valued similarly to those assets with no readily available quotes (see (3) below); and
b. For investments other than bonds, we look at the number of quotes readily available and performs the following:
 - i. Investments for which two or more quotes are received from a pricing service are valued using the mean of the mean of the bid and ask of the quotes obtained;
Investments for which one quote is received from a pricing service are validated internally. The investment professionals of the Investment Adviser analyze the market quotes obtained using an array of valuation methods
 - ii. (further described below) to validate the fair value. If the Investment Adviser is unable to sufficiently validate the quote internally and if the investment's par value or its fair value exceeds the materiality threshold, the investment is valued similarly to those assets with no readily available quotes (see (3) below).
- (3) Investments for which quotations are not readily available through exchanges, pricing services, brokers, or dealers are valued through a multi-step valuation process:
 - a. Each portfolio company or investment is initially valued by the investment professionals of the Investment Adviser responsible for the credit monitoring;
 - b. Preliminary valuation conclusions will then be documented and discussed with our senior management;
If an investment falls into (3) above for four consecutive quarters and if the investment's par value or its fair value exceeds the materiality threshold, then at least once each fiscal year, the valuation for each portfolio investment for
 - c. which we do not have a readily available market quotation will be reviewed by an independent valuation firm engaged by our board of directors; and
When deemed appropriate by our management, an independent valuation firm may be engaged to review and value
 - d. investment(s) of a portfolio company, without any preliminary valuation being performed by the Investment Adviser. The investment professionals of the Investment Adviser will review and validate the value provided.
For investments in revolving credit facilities and delayed draw commitments, the cost basis of the funded investments purchased is offset by any costs/netbacks received for any unfunded portion on the total balance committed. The fair value is also adjusted for the price appreciation or depreciation on the unfunded portion. As a result, the purchase of commitments not completely funded may result in a negative fair value until it is called and funded.
The values assigned to investments are based upon available information and do not necessarily represent amounts which might ultimately be realized, since such amounts depend on future circumstances and cannot be reasonably determined until the individual positions are liquidated. Due to the inherent uncertainty of determining the fair value of investments that do not have a readily available market value, the fair value of our investments may fluctuate from period to period and the fluctuations could be material.

Table of Contents

GAAP fair value measurement guidance classifies the inputs used in measuring fair value into three levels as follows: Level I—Quoted prices (unadjusted) are available in active markets for identical investments and we have the ability to access such quotes as of the reporting date. The type of investments which would generally be included in Level I include active exchange-traded equity securities and exchange-traded derivatives. As required by Accounting Standards Codification Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures ("ASC 820"), we, to the extent that we hold such investments, do not adjust the quoted price for these investments, even in situations where we hold a large position and a sale could reasonably impact the quoted price.

Level II—Pricing inputs are observable for the investments, either directly or indirectly, as of the reporting date, but are not the same as those used in Level I. Level II inputs include the following:

- Quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets;

- Quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in non-active markets (examples include corporate and municipal bonds, which trade infrequently);

- Pricing models whose inputs are observable for substantially the full term of the asset or liability (examples include most over-the-counter derivatives, including foreign exchange forward contracts); and

- Pricing models whose inputs are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data through correlation or other means for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.

Level III—Pricing inputs are unobservable for the investment and include situations where there is little, if any, market activity for the investment.

The inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels. In all instances when the inputs fall within different levels of the hierarchy, the level within which the fair value measurement is categorized is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. As such, a Level III fair value measurement may include inputs that are both observable and unobservable. Gains and losses for such assets categorized within the Level III table below may include changes in fair value that are attributable to both observable inputs and unobservable inputs.

The inputs into the determination of fair value require significant judgment or estimation by management and consideration of factors specific to each investment. A review of the fair value hierarchy classifications is conducted on a quarterly basis. Changes in the observability of valuation inputs may result in the transfer of certain investments within the fair value hierarchy from period to period. Reclassifications impacting the fair value hierarchy are reported as transfers in/out of the respective leveling categories as of the beginning of the quarter in which the reclassifications occur.

The following table summarizes the levels in the fair value hierarchy that our portfolio investments fall into as of December 31, 2015:

| (in thousands) | Total | Level I | Level II | Level III |
|-------------------|-------------|---------|-----------|-----------|
| First lien | \$670,023 | \$— | \$329,133 | \$340,890 |
| Second lien | 631,985 | — | 449,227 | 182,758 |
| Subordinated | 87,005 | — | 33,546 | 53,459 |
| Equity and other | 123,211 | 316 | 15 | 122,880 |
| Total investments | \$1,512,224 | \$316 | \$811,921 | \$699,987 |

We generally use the following framework when determining the fair value of investments where there are little, if any, market activity or observable pricing inputs. We typically determine the fair value of our performing debt investments utilizing an income approach. Additional consideration is given using a market based approach, as well as reviewing the overall underlying portfolio company's performance and associated financial risks. The following outlines additional details on the approaches considered:

Company Performance, Financial Review, and Analysis: Prior to investment, as part of our due diligence process, we evaluate the overall performance and financial stability of the portfolio company. Post investment, we analyze each portfolio company's current operating performance and relevant financial trends versus prior year and budgeted results, including, but not limited to, factors affecting its revenue and earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization ("EBITDA") growth, margin trends, liquidity position, covenant compliance and changes to its capital

structure. We also attempt to identify and subsequently track any developments at the portfolio company, within its customer or vendor base or within the industry or the macroeconomic environment, generally, that may alter any material element of our original

Table of Contents

investment thesis. This analysis is specific to each portfolio company. We leverage the knowledge gained from our original due diligence process, augmented by this subsequent monitoring, to continually refine our outlook for each of our portfolio companies and ultimately form the valuation of our investment in each portfolio company. When an external event such as a purchase transaction, public offering or subsequent sale occurs, we will consider the pricing indicated by the external event to corroborate the private valuation.

For debt investments, we may employ the Market Based Approach (as described below) to assess the total enterprise value of the portfolio company, in order to evaluate the enterprise value coverage of our debt investment. For equity investments or in cases where the Market Based Approach implies a lack of enterprise value coverage for the debt investment, we may additionally employ a discounted cash flow analysis based on the free cash flows of the portfolio company to assess the total enterprise value.

After enterprise value coverage is demonstrated for our debt investments through the method(s) above, the Income Based Approach (as described below) may be employed to estimate the fair value of the investment.

Market Based Approach: We may estimate the total enterprise value of each portfolio company by utilizing market value cash flow (EBITDA) multiples of publicly traded comparable companies and comparable transactions. We consider numerous factors when selecting the appropriate companies whose trading multiples are used to value our portfolio companies. These factors include, but are not limited to, the type of organization, similarity to the business being valued, relevant risk factors, as well as size, profitability and growth expectations. We may apply an average of various relevant comparable company EBITDA multiples to the portfolio company's latest twelve month ("LTM") EBITDA or projected EBITDA to calculate the enterprise value of the portfolio company. Significant increases or decreases in the EBITDA multiple will result in an increase or decrease in enterprise value, which may result in an increase or decrease in the fair value estimate of the investment. In applying the market based approach as of December 31, 2015, we used the relevant EBITDA multiple ranges set forth in the table below to determine the enterprise value of our portfolio companies. We believe this was a reasonable range in light of current comparable company trading levels and the specific portfolio companies involved.

Income Based Approach: We also may use a discounted cash flow analysis to estimate the fair value of the investment. Projected cash flows represent the relevant security's contractual interest, fee and principal payments plus the assumption of full principal recovery at the investment's expected maturity date. These cash flows are discounted at a rate established utilizing a yield calibration approach, which incorporates changes in the credit quality (as measured by relevant statistics) of the portfolio company, as compared to changes in the yield associated with comparable credit quality market indices, between the date of origination and the valuation date. Significant increases or decreases in the discount rate would result in a decrease or increase in the fair value measurement. In applying the income based approach as of December 31, 2015, we used the discount ranges set forth in the table below to value investments in our portfolio companies.

Table of Contents

The unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of our Level III investments as of December 31, 2015 were as follows:

| Type | Fair Value | Approach | Unobservable Input | Range | | Weighted Average | | | |
|------------------|------------|--------------------------|------------------------|--------------|-------|------------------|---------|------|-----|
| | | | | Low | High | | | | |
| First lien | \$292,507 | Market & income approach | EBITDA multiple | 4.5x | 15.5x | | 10.0x | | |
| | 30,719 | | Discount rate | 7.3 | % | 13.9 | % | 11.0 | % |
| | 17,664 | Market quote | Broker quote | N/A | | N/A | | N/A | |
| Second lien | 88,977 | Market & income approach | EBITDA multiple | 6.5x | 16.0x | | 12.3x | | |
| | | | Discount rate | 10.0 | % | 14.2 | % | 12.7 | % |
| | | 41,544 | Market quote | Broker quote | N/A | | N/A | | N/A |
| Subordinated | 38,459 | Market & income approach | EBITDA multiple | 4.5x | 9.0x | | 7.6x | | |
| | | | Discount rate | 10.0 | % | 19.4 | % | 17.7 | % |
| | | 15,000 | Other | N/A(1) | N/A | (1) N/A | (1) N/A | (1) | |
| Equity and other | 121,453 | Market & income approach | EBITDA multiple | 2.5x | 12.0x | | 6.3x | | |
| | | | Discount rate | 8.0 | % | 21.3 | % | 14.6 | % |
| | 1,427 | Black Scholes analysis | Expected life in years | 9.8 | | 10.3 | | 10.0 | |
| | | | Volatility | 27.0 | % | 30.3 | % | 28.9 | % |
| | | | Discount rate | 2.1 | % | 2.1 | % | 2.1 | % |
| | \$699,987 | | | | | | | | |

(1) Fair value was determined based on transaction pricing or recent acquisition or sale as the best measure of fair value with no material changes in operations of the related portfolio company since the transaction date.

NMFC Senior Loan Program I, LLC

NMFC Senior Loan Program I, LLC ("SLP I") was formed as a Delaware limited liability company on May 27, 2014 and commenced operations on June 10, 2014. SLP I is a portfolio company held by us. SLP I is structured as a private investment fund, in which all of the investors are qualified purchasers, as such term is defined under the 1940 Act. Transfer of interests in SLP I is subject to restrictions, and as a result, such interests are not readily marketable. SLP I operates under a limited liability company agreement (the "Agreement") and will continue in existence until June 10, 2019, subject to earlier termination pursuant to certain terms of the Agreement. The term may be extended for up to one year pursuant to certain terms of the Agreement. SLP I has a three year re-investment period. SLP I invests in senior secured loans issued by companies within our core industry verticals. These investments are typically broadly syndicated first lien loans.

SLP I is capitalized with \$93.0 million of capital commitments, \$275.0 million of debt from a revolving credit facility and is managed by us. Our capital commitment is \$23.0 million, representing less than 25.0% ownership, with third party investors representing the remaining capital commitment. As of December 31, 2015, SLP I had total investments with an aggregate fair value of approximately \$349.7 million, debt outstanding of \$267.6 million and capital that had been called and funded of \$93.0 million. As of December 31, 2014, SLP I had total investments with an aggregate fair value of approximately \$369.2 million, debt outstanding of \$266.9 million and capital that had been called and funded of \$93.0 million. Our investment in SLP I is disclosed on our Consolidated Schedules of Investments as of December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014.

We, as an investment adviser registered under the Advisers Act, act as the collateral manager to SLP I and are entitled to receive a management fee for our investment management services provided to SLP I. As a result, SLP I is classified as our affiliate. No management fee is charged on our investment in SLP I in connection with the administrative services provided to SLP I. For the years ended December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, we earned approximately \$1.2 million and \$0.5 million, respectively, in management fees related to SLP I which is included in other income. As of December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, approximately \$0.3 million and \$0.5 million, respectively, of management fees related to SLP I was included in receivable from affiliates. For the years ended December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, we earned approximately \$3.6 million and \$1.1 million, respectively, of dividend income related to SLP I, which is included in dividend

Table of Contents

income. As of December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, approximately \$0.9 million and \$0.8 million, respectively, of dividend income related to SLP I was included in interest and dividend receivable. We did not earn management fees or dividend income for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Collateralized agreements or repurchase financings

We follow the guidance in Accounting Standards Codification Topic 860, Transfers and Servicing—Secured Borrowing and Collateral, ("ASC 860") when accounting for transactions involving the purchases of securities under collateralized agreements to resell (resale agreements). These transactions are treated as collateralized financing transactions and are recorded at their contracted resale or repurchase amounts, as specified in the respective agreements. Interest on collateralized agreements is accrued and recognized over the life of the transaction and included in interest income. As of December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, we held one collateralized agreement to resell with a cost basis of \$30.0 million and \$30.0 million, respectively, and a carrying value of \$29.7 million and \$30.0 million, respectively, collateralized by a second lien bond in Northstar GOM Holdings Group LLC with a fair value of \$29.7 million and \$30.0 million, respectively, and guaranteed by a private hedge fund with approximately \$716.6 million and \$769.4 million, respectively, of assets under management. Pursuant to the terms of the collateralized agreement, the private hedge fund is obligated to repurchase the collateral from us at the par value of the collateralized agreement once called upon by us or if the private hedge fund's total assets under management fall below the agreed upon thresholds. The collateralized agreement earned interest at a weighted average rate of 15.0% per annum as of December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014.

Revenue Recognition

Our revenue recognition policies are as follows:

Sales and paydowns of investments: Realized gains and losses on investments are determined on the specific identification method.

Interest and dividend income: Interest income, including amortization of premium and discount using the effective interest method, is recorded on the accrual basis and periodically assessed for collectability. Interest income also includes interest earned from cash on hand. Upon the prepayment of a loan or debt security, any prepayment penalties are recorded as part of interest income. We have loans and certain preferred equity investments in the portfolio that contain a payment-in-kind ("PIK") interest or dividend provision. PIK interest and dividends are accrued and recorded as income at the contractual rates, if deemed collectible. The PIK interest and dividends are added to the principal or share balances on the capitalization dates and generally due at maturity or when redeemed by the issuer.

Dividend income on common equity is recorded on the record date for private portfolio companies or on the ex-dividend date for publicly traded portfolio companies. Dividend income on preferred securities is recorded as dividend income on an accrual basis to the extent that such amounts are deemed collectible.

Non-accrual income: Investments are placed on non-accrual status when principal or interest payments are past due 30 days or more and when there is reasonable doubt that principal or interest will be collected. Accrued cash and un-capitalized PIK interest or dividends are reversed when an investment is placed on non-accrual status. Previously capitalized PIK interest or dividends are not reversed when an investment is placed on non-accrual status. Interest or dividend payments received on non-accrual investments may be recognized as income or applied to principal depending upon management's judgment of the ultimate outcome. Non-accrual investments are restored to accrual status when past due principal and interest is paid and, in management's judgment, are likely to remain current.

Other income: Other income represents delayed compensation, consent or amendment fees, revolver fees, structuring fees, upfront fees, management fees from a non-controlled/affiliated investment and other miscellaneous fees received and are typically non-recurring in nature. Delayed compensation is income earned from counterparties on trades that do not settle within a set number of business days after trade date. Other income may also include fees from bridge loans. We may from time to time enter into bridge financing commitments, an obligation to provide interim financing to a counterparty until permanent credit can be obtained. These commitments are short-term in nature and may expire unfunded. A fee is received for providing such commitments. Structuring fees and upfront fees are recognized as income when earned, usually when paid at the closing of the investment and are non-refundable.

Table of Contents

Prior to the Restructuring, our revenue recognition policies were as follows:

Revenue, expenses, and capital gains (losses): At each quarterly valuation date, the Predecessor Operating Company's investment income, expenses, net realized gains (losses), and net increase (decrease) in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) were allocated to us based on our pro-rata interest in the net assets of the Predecessor Operating Company. This was recorded on our Statements of Operations. Realized gains and losses are recorded upon sales of our investments in the Predecessor Operating Company. Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investment in New Mountain Finance Holdings, L.L.C. is the difference between the net asset value per share and the closing price per share for shares issued as part of the dividend reinvestment plan on the dividend payment date. This net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investment in New Mountain Finance Holdings, L.L.C. includes the unrealized appreciation (depreciation) from the IPO. We used the proceeds from our IPO and Concurrent Private Placement to purchase units in the Predecessor Operating Company at \$13.75 per unit (our IPO price per share). At the IPO date, \$13.75 per unit represented a discount to the actual net asset value per unit of the Predecessor Operating Company. As a result, we experienced immediate unrealized appreciation on our investment. All expenses were paid and recorded by the Predecessor Operating Company. Expenses were allocated to us based on pro-rata ownership interest. In addition, the Predecessor Operating Company paid all of the offering costs related to the IPO and subsequent offerings. We recorded our portion of the offering costs as a direct reduction to net assets and the cost of our investment in the Predecessor Operating Company.

Monitoring of Portfolio Investments

We monitor the performance and financial trends of our portfolio companies on at least a quarterly basis. We attempt to identify any developments within the portfolio company, the industry or the macroeconomic environment that may alter any material element of our original investment strategy.

We use an investment rating system to characterize and monitor the credit profile and expected level of returns on each investment in the portfolio. We use a four-level numeric rating scale as follows:

Investment Rating 1—Investment is performing materially above expectations;

Investment Rating 2—Investment is performing materially in-line with expectations. All new loans are rated 2 at initial purchase;

Investment Rating 3—Investment is performing materially below expectations and risk has increased materially since the original investment; and

Investment Rating 4—Investment is performing substantially below expectations and risks have increased substantially since the original investment. Payments may be delinquent. There is meaningful possibility that we will not recoup its original cost basis in the investment and may realize a substantial loss upon exit.

The following table shows the distribution of our investments on the 1 to 4 investment rating scale at fair value as of December 31, 2015:

| Investment Rating | As of December 31, 2015 | | Fair Value | Percent | |
|---------------------|-------------------------|---------|-------------|---------|---|
| | Par Value(1) | Percent | | | |
| Investment Rating 1 | \$189.7 | 12.6 | % \$247.6 | 16.4 | % |
| Investment Rating 2 | 1,251.5 | 83.0 | % 1,231.9 | 81.5 | % |
| Investment Rating 3 | 65.3 | 4.3 | % 32.3 | 2.1 | % |
| Investment Rating 4 | 1.8 | 0.1 | % 0.4 | — | |
| | \$1,508.3 | 100.0 | % \$1,512.2 | 100.0 | % |

(1)Excludes shares and warrants.

As of December 31, 2015, all investments in our portfolio had an Investment Rating of 1 or 2 with the exception of five portfolio company names; four portfolio companies with an Investment Rating of 3 and one portfolio company with an Investment Rating of 4.

Table of Contents

During the first quarter of 2015, we placed a portion of our second lien position in Edmentum, Inc. (“Edmentum”) on non-accrual status due to its ongoing restructuring. As of March 31, 2015, our investment in Edmentum had an aggregate cost basis of \$30.8 million, an aggregate fair value of \$15.6 million and total unearned interest income of \$0.4 million for the three months then ended. In June 2015, Edmentum completed a restructuring which resulted in a material modification of the original terms and an extinguishment of our original investment in Edmentum. Prior to the extinguishment in June 2015, our original investment in Edmentum had an aggregate cost of \$31.6 million, an aggregate fair value of \$16.4 million and total unearned interest income of \$0.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2015. The extinguishment resulted in a realized loss of \$15.2 million. Post restructuring, our investments in Edmentum have been restored to full accrual status. As of December 31, 2015, our investments in Edmentum have an aggregate cost basis of \$20.9 million and an aggregate fair value of \$22.8 million.

During the first quarter of 2015, our first lien position in Education Management LLC (“EDMC”) was non-income producing as a result of the portfolio company undergoing a restructuring. As of December 31, 2014, our investment in EDMC had an aggregate cost basis of \$3.0 million, an aggregate fair value of \$1.4 million and no unearned interest income for the three months then ended. In January 2015, EDMC completed a restructuring which resulted in a material modification of the original terms and an extinguishment of our original investment in EDMC. Prior to the extinguishment in January 2015, our original investment in EDMC had an aggregate cost of \$3.0 million, an aggregate fair value of \$1.4 million and no unearned interest income for the period then ended. The extinguishment resulted in a realized loss of \$1.6 million. Post restructuring, our investments in EDMC are income producing. As of December 31, 2015, our investments in EDMC have an aggregate cost basis of \$1.4 million and an aggregate fair value of \$0.5 million.

During the third quarter of 2014, we placed a portion of our first lien position in UniTek Global Services, Inc. (“UniTek”) on non-accrual status in anticipation of a voluntary petition for a “Pre-Packaged” Chapter 11 Bankruptcy in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware which was filed on November 3, 2014. As of December 31, 2014, our investment in UniTek had an aggregate cost basis of \$47.4 million, an aggregate fair value of \$35.2 million and total unearned interest income of \$1.0 million for the year then ended. In January 2015, UniTek emerged from “Pre-Packaged” Chapter 11 Bankruptcy and completed its restructuring. The restructuring resulted in a material modification of the original terms and an extinguishment of our original investments in UniTek. Prior to the extinguishment in January 2015, our original investments in UniTek had an aggregate cost of \$52.9 million, an aggregate fair value of \$40.1 million and total unearned interest income of \$0.1 million for the period then ended. The extinguishment resulted in a realized loss of \$12.8 million. Post restructuring, our investments in UniTek have been restored to full accrual status. As of December 31, 2015, our investments in UniTek have an aggregate cost basis of \$41.3 million and an aggregate fair value of \$47.4 million.

As of December 31, 2015, our two super priority first lien positions in ATI Acquisition Company and related equity positions in Ancora Acquisition LLC had an Investment Rating of 4 due to the underlying business encountering significant regulatory constraints which have led to the portfolio company's underperformance. As of December 31, 2015, our two super priority first lien positions in ATI Acquisition Company and its related preferred shares and warrants in Ancora Acquisition LLC remained on non-accrual status due to the inability of the portfolio company to service its interest payments for the year then ended and uncertainty about its ability to pay such amounts in the future. As of December 31, 2015, our investment had an aggregate cost basis of \$1.6 million, an aggregate fair value of \$0.4 million and total unearned interest income of \$0.1 million for the year then ended. As of December 31, 2014, our total investment in ATI Acquisition Company and Ancora Acquisition LLC had an aggregate cost basis of \$1.6 million, an aggregate fair value of \$0.4 million and total unearned interest income of \$0.3 million for the year then ended. As of December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, unrealized gains (losses) include a fee that we would recognize upon realization of the two super priority first lien debt investments.

Portfolio and Investment Activity

The fair value of our investments was approximately \$1,512.2 million in 75 portfolio companies at December 31, 2015 and approximately \$1,424.7 million in 71 portfolio companies at December 31, 2014. At December 31, 2013 our only investment was our investment in the Predecessor Operating Company. The fair value of the Predecessor

Operating Company's investments was approximately \$1,115.7 million in 59 portfolio companies at December 31, 2013.

Table of Contents

The following table shows our portfolio and investment activity for the years ended December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 and the Predecessor Operating Company's portfolio and investment activity for the year ended December 31, 2013:

| (in millions) | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------|---------|---------|
| | 2015 | 2014(1) | 2013 |
| New investments in 36, 43 and 34 portfolio companies, respectively | \$612.7 | \$720.9 | \$529.3 |
| Debt repayments in existing portfolio companies | 400.8 | 267.5 | 395.4 |
| Sales of securities in 15, 14 and 12 portfolio companies, respectively | 83.1 | 117.0 | 31.2 |
| Change in unrealized appreciation on 23, 20 and 45 portfolio companies, respectively | 44.7 | 21.2 | 27.9 |
| Change in unrealized depreciation on 70, 60 and 29 portfolio companies, respectively | (79.9 |) (63.9 |) (19.9 |

For the year ended December 31, 2014, amounts represent the investment activity of the Predecessor Operating (1)Company through and including May 7, 2014 and our investment activity from May 8, 2014 through December 31, 2014.

At December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, our weighted average Yield to Maturity at Cost was approximately 10.7% and 10.7%, respectively.

Recent Accounting Standards Updates

In June 2014, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2014-11, Transfers and Servicing Topic 860—Repurchase-to-Maturity Transactions, Repurchase Financings, and Disclosures (“ASU 2014-11”). ASU 2014-11 changes the accounting for repurchase- and resale-to-maturity agreements by requiring that such agreements be recognized as financing arrangements, and requires that a transfer of a financial asset and a repurchase agreement entered into contemporaneously be accounted for separately. ASU 2014-11 requires additional disclosures about certain transferred financial assets accounted for as sales and certain securities financing transactions. The accounting changes and additional disclosures about certain transferred financial assets accounted for as sales are effective for the first interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2014. The additional disclosures for securities financing transactions are required for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2014 and for interim reporting periods beginning after March 15, 2015. The adoption of ASU 2014-11 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements and disclosures.

In August 2014, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2014-15, Presentation of Financial Statements—Going Concern Subtopic 205-40—Disclosure of Uncertainties about an Entity’s Ability to Continue as a Going Concern (“ASU 2014-15”). ASU 2014-15 will explicitly require management to assess an entity’s ability to continue as a going concern, and to provide related footnote disclosure in certain circumstances. The new standard will be effective for all entities in the first annual period ending after December 15, 2016. Earlier adoption is permitted. The adoption of ASU 2014-15 is not expected to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements and disclosures.

In February 2015, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2015-02, Consolidation Topic 810—Amendments to the Consolidation Analysis (“ASU 2015-02”), which modifies the consolidation analysis in determining if limited partnerships or similar type entities fall under the variable interest model or voting interest model, particularly those that have fee arrangements and related party relationships. ASU 2015-02 will be effective for all public entities for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2015. Earlier adoption is permitted. We are in the process of evaluating the impact that this guidance will have on our consolidated financial statements and disclosures. In April 2015, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2015-03, Interest—Imputation of Interest Subtopic 835-30—Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs (“ASU 2015-03”), which changes the presentation of debt issuance costs in financial statements. Under ASU 2015-03, an entity presents such costs on the statement of assets and liabilities as a direct deduction from the related debt liability rather than as an asset. Amortization of the costs is reported as interest expense. The new standard will be effective for all public entities for interim and annual reporting

periods beginning after December 15, 2015. Earlier adoption is permitted. We are in the process of evaluating the impact that this guidance will have on our consolidated financial statements and disclosures.

In May 2015, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2015-07, Fair Value Measurement Topic 820—Disclosures for Investments in Certain Entities that Calculate Net Asset Value per Share (or Its Equivalent) (“ASU 2015-07”), which amends the presentation of investments measured at net asset value, as a practical expedient for fair value, from the fair

Table of Contents

value hierarchy. Under ASU 2015-07, an entity would remove investments measured using the practical expedient from the fair value hierarchy. ASU 2015-07 will be effective for annual and interim reporting periods after December 15, 2015. We are in the process of evaluating the impact that this guidance will have on our consolidated financial statements and disclosures.

Results of Operations

Under GAAP, our IPO did not step-up the cost basis of the Predecessor Operating Company's existing investments to fair market value at the IPO date. Since the total value of the Predecessor Operating Company's investments at the time of the IPO was greater than the investments' cost basis, a larger amount of amortization of purchase or original issue discount, and different amounts in realized gain and unrealized appreciation, may be recognized under GAAP in each period than if the step-up had occurred. This will remain until such predecessor investments are sold, repaid or mature in the future. We track the transferred (or fair market) value of each of the Predecessor Operating Company's investments as of the time of the IPO and, for purposes of the incentive fee calculation, adjusts income as if each investment was purchased at the date of the IPO (or stepped up to fair market value). The respective "Adjusted Net Investment Income" (defined as net investment income adjusted to reflect income as if the cost basis of investments held at the IPO date had stepped-up to fair market value as of the IPO date) is used in calculating both the incentive fee and dividend payments. See Item 8.—Financial Statements and Supplementary Data—Note 5, Agreements for additional details.

The following table for the year ended December 31, 2015 is adjusted to reflect the step-up to fair market value and the allocation of the incentive fees related to hypothetical capital gains out of the adjusted post-incentive fee net investment income.

| (in thousands) | Year Ended December 31, 2015 | Stepped-up Cost Basis Adjustments | Incentive Fee Adjustments(1) | Adjusted Year Ended December 31, 2015 |
|--|------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--|
| Investment income | | | | |
| Interest income | \$140,074 | \$(131) | \$— | \$139,943 |
| Dividend income | 5,771 | — | — | 5,771 |
| Other income | 8,010 | — | — | 8,010 |
| Total investment income(2) | 153,855 | (131) | — | 153,724 |
| Total expenses pre-incentive fee(3) | 50,769 | — | — | 50,769 |
| Pre-Incentive Fee Net Investment Income | 103,086 | (131) | — | 102,955 |
| Incentive fee | 20,591 | — | — | 20,591 |
| Post-Incentive Fee Net Investment Income | 82,495 | (131) | — | 82,364 |
| Net realized losses on investments(4) | (12,789) | (78) | — | (12,867) |
| Net change in unrealized (depreciation) appreciation of investments(4) | (35,272) | 209 | — | (35,063) |
| Net change in unrealized (depreciation) appreciation of securities purchased under collateralized agreements to resell | (296) | — | — | (296) |
| Provision for taxes | (1,183) | — | — | (1,183) |
| Capital gains incentive fees | — | — | — | — |
| Net increase in net assets resulting from operations | \$32,955 | | | \$32,955 |

(1) For the year ended December 31, 2015, we incurred total incentive fees of \$20.6 million, of which none was related to the capital gains incentive fee accrual on a hypothetical liquidation basis.

(2) Includes income from non-controlled/non-affiliated investments, non-controlled/affiliated investments and controlled investments.

(3) Includes expense waivers and reimbursements of \$0.7 million and management fee waivers of \$5.2 million.

Includes net realized gains and losses on investments and net change in unrealized (depreciation) appreciation of (4) investments from non-controlled/non-affiliated investments, non-controlled/affiliated investments and controlled investments.

For the year ended December 31, 2015, we had a \$0.1 million adjustment to interest income for amortization, a decrease of \$0.1 million to net realized losses and an increase of \$0.2 million to net change in unrealized depreciation to adjust for the stepped-up cost basis of the transferred investments as discussed above. For the year ended December 31, 2015, total

Table of Contents

adjusted investment income of \$153.7 million consisted of approximately \$130.0 million in cash interest from investments, approximately \$3.9 million in PIK interest from investments, approximately \$3.6 million in prepayment fees, net amortization of purchase premiums and discounts of approximately \$2.4 million, approximately \$3.2 million in cash dividends from investments, \$2.6 million in PIK dividends from investments and approximately \$8.0 million in other income. Our Adjusted Net Investment Income was \$82.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2015.

In accordance with GAAP, for the year ended December 31, 2015, we did not have an accrual for hypothetical capital gains incentive fee based upon the cumulative net Adjusted Realized Capital Gains and Adjusted Realized Capital Losses and the cumulative net Adjusted Unrealized Capital Appreciation and Adjusted Unrealized Capital Depreciation on investments held at the end of the period. Actual amounts paid to the Investment Adviser are consistent with the Investment Management Agreement and are based only on actual Adjusted Realized Capital Gains computed net of all Adjusted Realized Capital Losses and Adjusted Unrealized Capital Depreciation on a cumulative basis from inception through the end of each calendar year as if the entire portfolio was sold at fair value. As of December 31, 2015, no actual capital gains incentive fee was owed under the Investment Management Agreement, as cumulative net Adjusted Realized Gains did not exceed cumulative Adjusted Unrealized Depreciation.

The following table for the year ended December 31, 2014 is adjusted to reflect the step-up to fair market value and the allocation of the incentive fees related to hypothetical capital gains out of the adjusted post-incentive fee net investment income.

| (in thousands) | Year Ended December 31, 2014 | Stepped-up Cost Basis Adjustments | Incentive Fee Adjustments(1) | Adjusted Year Ended December 31, 2014 |
|---|------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--|
| Investment income | | | | |
| Interest income | \$85,123 | \$(193) | \$— | \$84,930 |
| Dividend income | 2,309 | — | — | 2,309 |
| Other income | 4,491 | — | — | 4,491 |
| Investment income allocated from NMF Holdings | | | | |
| Interest income | 40,515 | — | — | 40,515 |
| Dividend income | 2,368 | — | — | 2,368 |
| Other income | 795 | — | — | 795 |
| Total investment income(2) | 135,601 | (193) | — | 135,408 |
| Total expenses pre-incentive fee(3) | 43,766 | — | — | 43,766 |
| Pre-Incentive Fee Net Investment Income | 91,835 | (193) | — | 91,642 |
| Incentive fee | 11,769 | — | 6,549 | 18,318 |
| Post-Incentive Fee Net Investment Income | 80,066 | (193) | (6,549) | 73,324 |
| Net realized gains (losses) on investments | 357 | (456) | — | (99) |
| Net realized gains on investments allocated from NMF Holdings | 8,568 | — | — | 8,568 |
| Net change in unrealized (depreciation) appreciation of investments(4) | (43,863) |) 649 | — | (43,214) |
| Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments allocated from NMF Holdings | 940 | — | — | 940 |
| Provision for taxes | (493) |) — | — | (493) |
| Capital gains incentive fees | — | — | 6,549 | 6,549 |
| Net increase in net assets resulting from operations | \$45,575 | | | \$45,575 |

(1) For the year ended December 31, 2014, we incurred total incentive fees of \$11.8 million, of which \$(6.5) million related to the reduction of the capital gains incentive fee accrual on a hypothetical liquidation basis.

- (2) Includes income from non-controlled/non-affiliated investments and non-controlled/affiliated investments.
- (3) Includes expense waivers and reimbursements of \$1.1 million and management fee waivers of \$0.7 million.
- (4) Includes net change in unrealized (depreciation) appreciation of investments from non-controlled/non-affiliated investments and non-controlled/affiliated investments.

Table of Contents

For the year ended December 31, 2014, we had a \$0.2 million adjustment to interest income for amortization, a decrease of \$0.5 million to net realized gains and an increase of \$0.7 million to net change in unrealized depreciation to adjust for the stepped-up cost basis of the transferred investments as discussed above. For the year ended December 31, 2014, total adjusted investment income of \$135.4 million consisted of approximately \$114.5 million in cash interest from investments, approximately \$4.6 million in PIK interest from investments, approximately \$3.9 million in prepayment fees, net amortization of purchase premiums and discounts of approximately \$2.5 million, approximately \$4.6 million in dividend income and approximately \$5.3 million in other income. Our Adjusted Net Investment Income was \$73.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2014.

In accordance with GAAP, for the year ended December 31, 2014, we decreased our hypothetical capital gains incentive fee accrual by \$6.5 million based upon the cumulative net Adjusted Realized Capital Gains and Adjusted Realized Capital Losses and the cumulative net Adjusted Unrealized Capital Appreciation and Adjusted Unrealized Capital Depreciation on investments held at the end of each period. Actual amounts paid to the Investment Adviser are consistent with the Investment Management Agreement and are based only on actual Adjusted Realized Capital Gains computed net of all Adjusted Realized Capital Losses and Adjusted Unrealized Capital Depreciation on a cumulative basis from inception through the end of each calendar year as if the entire portfolio was sold at fair value. As of December 31, 2014, no actual capital gains incentive fee was owed under the Investment Management Agreement, as cumulative net Adjusted Realized Gains did not exceed cumulative Adjusted Unrealized Depreciation.

At December 31, 2013, our only investment was our investment in the Predecessor Operating Company. The following table for the Predecessor Operating Company for the year ended December 31, 2013 is adjusted to reflect the step-up to fair market value and the allocation of the incentive fees related to hypothetical capital gains out of the adjusted post-incentive fee net investment income.

| (in thousands) | Year Ended December 31, 2013 | Stepped-up Cost Basis Adjustments | Incentive Fee Adjustments(1) | Adjusted Year Ended December 31, 2013 |
|--|------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--|
| Investment income | | | | |
| Interest income | \$107,027 | \$(896) | \$— | \$106,131 |
| Dividend income | 5,049 | — | — | 5,049 |
| Other income | 2,836 | — | — | 2,836 |
| Total investment income | 114,912 | (896) | — | 114,016 |
| Total expenses pre-incentive fee(2) | 31,504 | — | — | 31,504 |
| Pre-Incentive Fee Net Investment Income | 83,408 | (896) | — | 82,512 |
| Incentive fee | 19,731 | — | (3,229) | 16,502 |
| Post-Incentive Fee Net Investment Income | 63,677 | (896) | 3,229 | 66,010 |
| Net realized gains (losses) on investments | 7,253 | (3,158) | — | 4,095 |
| Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments | 7,994 | 4,054 | — | 12,048 |
| Capital gains incentive fees | — | — | (3,229) | (3,229) |
| Net increase in members' capital resulting from operations | \$78,924 | | | \$78,924 |

(1) For the year ended December 31, 2013, the Predecessor Operating Company incurred total incentive fees of \$19.7 million, of which \$3.2 million related to capital gains incentive fees on a hypothetical liquidation basis.

(2) Includes expense waivers and reimbursements of \$3.2 million.

For the year ended December 31, 2013, the Predecessor Operating Company had a \$0.9 million adjustment to interest income for amortization, a decrease of \$3.2 million to net realized gains and an increase of \$4.1 million to net change in unrealized appreciation to adjust for the stepped-up cost basis of the transferred investments as discussed above.

For the year ended December 31, 2013, total adjusted investment income of \$114.0 million consisted of

approximately \$94.5 million in cash interest from investments, approximately \$3.4 million in PIK interest from investments, approximately \$5.8 million in prepayment fees, net amortization of purchase premiums and discounts of approximately \$2.5 million, approximately \$5.0 million in dividend income and approximately \$2.8 million in other income. The Predecessor Operating Company's Adjusted Net Investment Income was \$66.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Table of Contents

In accordance with GAAP, for the year ended December 31, 2013, the Predecessor Operating Company accrued \$3.2 million of hypothetical capital gains incentive fee based upon the cumulative net Adjusted Realized Capital Gains and Adjusted Realized Capital Losses and the cumulative net Adjusted Unrealized Capital Appreciation and Adjusted Unrealized Capital Depreciation on investments held at the end of each period. Actual amounts paid to the Investment Adviser are consistent with the Investment Management Agreement and are based only on actual Adjusted Realized Capital Gains computed net of all Adjusted Realized Capital Losses and Adjusted Unrealized Capital Depreciation on a cumulative basis from inception through the end of each calendar year as if the entire portfolio was sold at fair value. As of December 31, 2013, approximately \$1.1 million of capital gains incentive fees was owed under the Investment Management Agreement by the Predecessor Operating Company, as cumulative net Adjusted Realized Gains exceeded cumulative Adjusted Unrealized Depreciation and was paid during the year ended December 31, 2014. Our Results of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 and the Predecessor Operating Company for the Year Ended December 31, 2013

Revenue

| (in thousands) | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Interest income | \$140,074 | \$85,123 | \$107,027 |
| Interest income allocated from the Predecessor Operating Company | — | 40,515 | — |
| Total interest income | 140,074 | 125,638 | 107,027 |
| Dividend income | 5,771 | 2,309 | 5,049 |
| Dividend income allocated from the Predecessor Operating Company | — | 2,368 | — |
| Total dividend income | 5,771 | 4,677 | 5,049 |
| Other income | 8,010 | 4,491 | 2,836 |
| Other income allocated from the Predecessor Operating Company | — | 795 | — |
| Total other income | 8,010 | 5,286 | 2,836 |
| Total investment income | \$153,855 | \$135,601 | \$114,912 |

Our total investment income increased by approximately \$18.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to total investment income for the year ended December 31, 2014. The 13% increase in total investment income primarily results from an increase in interest income of approximately \$14.4 million from the year ended December 31, 2014 to the year ended December 31, 2015, which is attributable to larger invested balances, driven by the proceeds from the September 2015 primary offering of our common stock, our use of leverage from our revolving credit facilities, SBA-guaranteed debentures and the deployment of the June 2014 proceeds from the issuance of \$115.0 million of convertible notes to originate new investments, and prepayment fees received associated with the early repayments or partial repayments of nine different portfolio companies held as of December 31, 2014. The increase in dividend income of approximately \$1.1 million during the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2014 was primarily attributable to distributions from our investment in SLP I and PIK dividends income from an equity position. The increase in other income, which represents fees that are generally non-recurring in nature, of approximately \$2.7 million during the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2014 was primarily attributable to structuring, upfront, amendment and consent fees received from 22 different portfolio companies, commitment fees received from three bridge facilities and management fees from a non-controlled/affiliated portfolio company.

Our total investment income increased by approximately \$20.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 as compared to the Predecessor Operating Company's total investment income for the year ended December 31, 2013. The 18% increase in total investment income primarily results from an increase in interest income of approximately \$18.6 million from the year ended December 31, 2013 to the year ended December 31, 2014, which is attributable to larger invested balances, driven by the proceeds from the October 2013, April 2014 and October 2014 primary offerings of our common stock and the June 2014 offering of our convertible notes, our use of leverage from our revolving credit facilities to originate new investments, and prepayment fees received associated with the early

repayments or partial repayments of ten different portfolio companies held by the Predecessor Operating Company as of December 31, 2013. The increase in other income of approximately \$2.5 million during the year ended December 31, 2014 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2013, which represents fees that are generally non-recurring in nature, was primarily attributable to structuring, amendment and consent fees received from 20 different portfolio companies and management fees from a non-controlled affiliated portfolio company. The decrease in dividend income during the year ended December 31, 2014 as compared to the year ended

Table of Contents

December 31, 2013 was primarily attributable to a large distribution from one of the Predecessor Operating Company's warrant investments in the prior year.

Operating Expenses

| (in thousands) | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Management fee | \$25,858 | \$13,593 | \$14,905 |
| Management fee allocated from Predecessor Operating Company | — | 5,983 | — |
| Less: management fee waiver | (5,219 |) (686 |) — |
| Total management fee | 20,639 | 18,890 | 14,905 |
| Incentive fee | 20,591 | 12,070 | 16,502 |
| Incentive fee allocated from Predecessor Operating Company | — | 6,248 | — |
| Total incentive fee | 20,591 | 18,318 | 16,502 |
| Capital gains incentive fee(1) | — | (8,573 |) 3,229 |
| Capital gains incentive fee allocated from Predecessor Operating Company(1) | — | 2,024 | — |
| Total capital gains incentive fee(1) | — | (6,549 |) 3,229 |
| Interest and other financing expenses | 23,374 | 13,269 | 12,470 |
| Interest and other financing expenses allocated from Predecessor Operating Company | — | 4,764 | — |
| Total interest and other financing expenses | 23,374 | 18,033 | 12,470 |
| Professional fees | 3,214 | 2,390 | 2,349 |
| Professional fees allocated from Predecessor Operating Company | — | 1,238 | — |
| Total professional fees | 3,214 | 3,628 | 2,349 |
| Administrative fees | 2,450 | 1,470 | 3,429 |
| Administrative expenses allocated from Predecessor Operating Company | — | 761 | — |
| Total administrative expenses | 2,450 | 2,231 | 3,429 |
| Other general and administrative expenses | 1,665 | 1,138 | 1,584 |
| Other general and administrative expenses allocated from Predecessor Operating Company | — | 555 | — |
| Total other general and administrative expenses | 1,665 | 1,693 | 1,584 |
| Total expenses | 71,933 | 56,244 | 54,468 |
| Less: expenses waived and reimbursed | (733 |) (1,145 |) (3,233 |
| Net expenses before income taxes | 71,200 | 55,099 | 51,235 |
| Income tax expense | 160 | 436 | — |
| Net expenses after income taxes | \$71,360 | \$55,535 | \$51,235 |

(1) Capital gains incentive fee accrual assumes a hypothetical liquidation basis.

Our total net operating expenses increased by approximately \$15.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2014. Our management fee increased by approximately \$1.7 million, net of a management fee waiver, and incentive fees increased by approximately \$2.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2014. The increase in management fee and incentive fee from the year ended December 31, 2014 to the year ended December 31, 2015 was attributable to larger invested balances, driven by the proceeds from the September 2015 primary offering of our common stock, our use of leverage from our revolving credit facilities, SBA-guaranteed debentures and the deployment of the June 2014 Proceeds from the issuance of \$115.0 million of convertible notes to originate new investments. No capital gains incentive fee was accrued for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Interest and other financing expenses increased by approximately \$5.3 million during the year ended December 31, 2015, primarily due to our issuance of \$115.0 million of convertible notes, the closing of the NMFC Credit Facility (as defined below) during the second quarter of 2014 and the drawing on SBA-guaranteed debentures beginning in the fourth quarter of

74

Table of Contents

2014. Our total professional fees, total administrative expenses and total other general and administrative expenses marginally decreased by approximately \$0.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2014. Our expenses waived and reimbursed decreased by approximately \$0.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2014 due to the expiration of the expense cap on March 31, 2014.

Our total net operating expenses increased by approximately \$4.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 as compared to the Predecessor Operating Company's year ended December 31, 2013. Our management fee increased by approximately \$4.0 million, net of a management fee waiver, and incentive fees increased by approximately \$1.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 as compared to the Predecessor Operating Company's year ended December 31, 2013. The increase in management fee and incentive fee from the Predecessor Operating Company's year ended December 31, 2013 to our year ended December 31, 2014 was attributable to larger invested balances, driven by the proceeds from the October 2013, April 2014 and October 2014 primary offerings of our common stock, the June 2014 offering of our convertible notes and our use of leverage from our revolving credit facilities to originate new investments. Our capital gains incentive fee accrual decreased by approximately \$9.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 as compared to the Predecessor Operating Company's year ended December 31, 2013, which was attributable to lower net Adjusted Realized Capital Gains (Losses) and net Adjusted Unrealized Capital Depreciation of investments during the period due to lower marks on the broader portfolio. As of December 31, 2014, no actual capital gains incentive fee was owed under the Investment Management Agreement, as cumulative net Adjusted Realized Gains did not exceed cumulative Adjusted Unrealized Depreciation.

Interest and other financing expenses increased by approximately \$5.6 million during the year ended December 31, 2014, primarily due to the increase of average debt outstanding from \$184.1 million to \$244.6 million for the Holdings Credit Facility (as defined below) for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to December 31, 2014. In addition, during the year ended December 31, 2014, we issued \$115.0 million of convertible notes, closed the NMFC Credit Facility (as defined below) and began to draw on SBA-guaranteed debentures. Our total professional fees, total administrative expenses and total other general and administrative expenses marginally increased by approximately \$0.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 as compared to the Predecessor Operating Company's year ended December 31, 2013. During the year ended December 31, 2014, we incurred \$10.9 thousand in other expenses that were not subject to the expense cap pursuant to the administration agreement, as amended and restated (the "Administration Agreement"), with the Administrator, and further restricted by us. For the year ended December 31, 2014, approximately \$1.4 million of indirect administrative expenses were included in administrative expenses, of which \$0.8 million were waived by the Administrator. Our expenses waived and reimbursed decreased by approximately \$2.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 as compared to the Predecessor Operating Company's year ended December 31, 2013 due to the expiration of the expense cap on March 31, 2014 and the decrease of waived indirect administrative expenses by the Administrator during the year ended December 31, 2014.

| (in thousands) | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------|-----------|---------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Net realized (losses) gains on investments | \$(12,789 |) \$357 | \$7,253 |
| Net realized gains on investments allocated from Predecessor Operating Company | — | 8,568 | — |
| Total realized (losses) gains on investments | (12,789 |) 8,925 | 7,253 |
| Net change in unrealized (depreciation) appreciation of investments | (35,272 |) (43,863 |) 7,994 |
| Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments allocated from Predecessor Operating Company | — | 940 | — |
| Total change in unrealized (depreciation) appreciation of investments | (35,272 |) (42,923 |) 7,994 |
| Net change in unrealized (depreciation) appreciation of securities purchased under collateralized agreements to resell | (296 |) — | — |

Edgar Filing: New Mountain Finance Corp - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|--|-----------|---|-----------|---|----------|
| Provision for taxes | (1,183 |) | (493 |) | — |
| Total net realized gains and net change in unrealized (depreciation) appreciation of investments | \$(49,540 |) | \$(34,491 |) | \$15,247 |

Our net realized and unrealized losses resulted in a net loss of approximately \$49.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to the net realized gain and unrealized losses resulting in a net loss of approximately \$34.5 million for the same period in 2014. We look at net realized and unrealized gains or losses together as movement in unrealized appreciation or depreciation can be the result of realizations. The net loss for the year ended December 31, 2015 was primarily driven by the overall decrease in the market prices of our investments during the period and \$29.7 million of realized losses on investments resulting from the modification of terms on three portfolio companies that were accounted for as extinguishments.

Table of Contents

These losses were partially offset by sales or repayments of investments with fair values in excess of December 31, 2014 valuations, resulting in net realized gains being greater than the reversal of the cumulative net unrealized gains for those investments which included the sale of two portfolio companies resulting in realized gains of approximately \$14.2 million. The provision for income taxes was primarily attributable to three equity investments that are held as of December 31, 2015 in three of our corporate subsidiaries.

The net realized and unrealized losses resulted in a net loss of approximately \$34.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to the Predecessor Operating Company's net realized and unrealized gains resulting in a net gain of approximately \$15.2 million for the same period in 2013. We look at net realized and unrealized gains or losses together as movement in unrealized appreciation or depreciation can be the result of realizations. The net loss for the year ended December 31, 2014 was primarily driven by the overall decrease in the market prices of our investments during the period and the partial write-down related to two portfolio companies. These losses were partially offset by a \$5.6 million gain from the sale of NMF Holdings' warrant investments in one portfolio company and sales or repayments of investments with fair values in excess of December 31, 2013 valuations resulting in net realized gains being greater than the reversal of the cumulative net unrealized gains for those investments. The provision for income taxes was attributable to one warrant investment that is held as of December 31, 2014 in one of our corporate subsidiaries.

The net gain for the year ended December 31, 2013 was primarily driven by sales or repayment of investments with fair values in excess of December 31, 2012 valuations, resulting in net realized gains being greater than the reversal of the cumulative net unrealized gains for those investments. Additionally, during the year ended December 31, 2013, a distribution from a warrant investment resulted in a realized gain of approximately \$1.1 million, the modification of terms on one debt investment that was accounted for as an extinguishment resulted in a realized gain of \$1.7 million and the sale of the first lien position in ATI Acquisition Company resulted in a realized loss of \$4.3 million.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The primary use of existing funds and any funds raised in the future is expected to be for repayment of indebtedness, investments in portfolio companies, cash distributions to our stockholders or for other general corporate purposes.

Since our IPO, and through December 31, 2015, we raised approximately \$454.0 million in net proceeds from additional offerings of common stock and issued shares of common stock valued at approximately \$288.4 million on behalf of AIV Holdings for exchanged units. We acquired from the Predecessor Operating Company units of the Predecessor Operating Company equal to the number of shares of our common stock sold in the additional offerings. On September 25, 2015, we completed a public offering of 5,750,000 shares of common stock (including 750,000 shares of common stock that were issued pursuant to the full exercise of the option granted to the underwriters to purchase additional shares) at a public offering price of \$14.14 per share, which resulted in net proceeds of \$79.4 million. Steven B. Klinsky, the Chairman of our board of directors, purchased 500,000 shares in this offering at the public offering price.

Our liquidity is generated and generally available through advances from the revolving credit facilities, from cash flows from operations, and, we expect, through periodic follow-on equity offerings. In addition, we may from time to time enter into additional debt facilities, increase the size of existing facilities or issue additional debt securities, including unsecured debt and/or debt securities convertible into common stock. Any such incurrence or issuance would be subject to prevailing market conditions, our liquidity requirements, contractual and regulatory restrictions and other factors. In accordance with the 1940 Act, with certain limited exceptions, we are only allowed to borrow amounts such that our asset coverage, calculated pursuant to the 1940 Act, is at least 200.0% after such borrowing. At December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, we had cash and cash equivalents of approximately \$30.1 million and \$23.4 million, respectively, and at December 31, 2013, the Predecessor Operating Company had cash and cash equivalents of approximately \$15.0 million. Our cash used in operating activities during the years ended December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, was approximately \$(63.3) million and \$(289.6) million, respectively, and cash used in operating activities for the Predecessor Operating Company for the year ended December 31, 2013 was approximately \$(40.4) million. Refer to the Predecessor Operating Company's Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the period January 1, 2014 to May 7, 2014 included in an exhibit attached hereto. We expect that all current

liquidity needs will be met with cash flows from operations and other activities.

Borrowings

Holdings Credit Facility—On December 18, 2014 we entered into the Second Amended and Restated Loan and Security Agreement (the "Holdings Credit Facility"), among us, as the Collateral Manager, NMF Holdings as the Borrower, Wells Fargo Securities, LLC as the Administrative Agent and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as the Lender and Collateral Custodian, which is structured as a revolving credit facility and matures on December 18, 2019.

76

Table of Contents

Immediately prior to amending the Holdings Credit Facility, NMF SLF merged with and into NMF Holdings. The Holdings Credit Facility effectively amended and restated the Predecessor Holdings Credit Facility (as defined below), merged with the SLF Credit Facility (as defined below), and combined the amount of borrowings previously available.

The maximum amount of revolving borrowings available under the Holdings Credit Facility is \$495.0 million, which is the aggregate of the \$280.0 million previously available under the Predecessor Holdings Credit Facility (as defined below) and the \$215.0 million previously available under the SLF Credit Facility (as defined below). Under the Holdings Credit Facility, NMF Holdings is permitted to borrow up to 25.0%, 45.0% or 70.0% of the purchase price of pledged assets, subject to approval by Wells Fargo Securities, LLC. The Holdings Credit Facility is non-recourse to us and is collateralized by all of the investments of NMF Holdings on an investment by investment basis. All fees associated with the origination or upsizing of the Holdings Credit Facility are capitalized on our Consolidated Statement of Assets and Liabilities and charged against income as other financing expenses over the life of the Holdings Credit Facility. The Holdings Credit Facility contains certain customary affirmative and negative covenants and events of default. In addition, the Holdings Credit Facility requires us to maintain a minimum asset coverage ratio. The covenants are generally not tied to mark to market fluctuations in the prices of NMF Holdings investments, but rather to the performance of the underlying portfolio companies.

The Holdings Credit Facility bears interest at a rate of the LIBOR plus 2.00% per annum for Broadly Syndicated Loans (as defined in the Loan and Security Agreement) and LIBOR plus 2.75% per annum for all other investments. The Holdings Credit Facility also charges a non-usage fee, based on the unused facility amount multiplied by the Non-Usage Fee Rate (as defined in the Loan and Security Agreement).

Prior to December 18, 2014, the Loan and Security Agreement, as amended and restated, dated May 19, 2011 (the "Predecessor Holdings Credit Facility") among NMF Holdings as the Borrower and Collateral Administrator, Wells Fargo Securities, LLC as the Administrative Agent, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as the Collateral Custodian, was structured as a revolving credit facility and would mature on October 27, 2016.

The maximum amount of revolving borrowings available under the Predecessor Holdings Credit Facility was \$280.0 million. Until December 18, 2014, NMF Holdings was permitted to borrow up to 45.0% or 25.0% of the purchase price of pledged first lien or non-first lien debt securities, and up to 70.0% and 45.0% of the purchase price of specified first lien debt securities and specified non-first lien debt securities, respectively, subject to approval by Wells Fargo Bank, National Association. The Predecessor Holdings Credit Facility was amended and restated on May 6, 2014 and as a result, it was non-recourse to us and was collateralized by all of the investments of NMF Holdings on an investment by investment basis. All fees associated with the origination or upsizing of the Predecessor Holdings Credit Facility were capitalized on our Consolidated Statement of Assets and Liabilities and charged against income as other financing expenses over the life of the Predecessor Holdings Credit Facility. The Predecessor Holdings Credit Facility contained certain customary affirmative and negative covenants and events of default, including the occurrence of a change in control. In addition, the Predecessor Holdings Credit Facility required us to maintain a minimum asset coverage ratio. However, the covenants were generally not tied to mark to market fluctuations in the prices of NMF Holdings' investments, but rather to the performance of the underlying portfolio companies.

The Predecessor Holdings Credit Facility bore interest at a rate of the LIBOR plus 2.75% per annum and charged a non-usage fee, based on the unused facility amount multiplied by the Non-Usage Fee Rate (as defined in the Loan and Security Agreement).

The following table summarizes the interest expense, non-usage fees and amortization of financing costs incurred, together, on the Holdings Credit Facility and the Predecessor Holdings Credit Facility for the years ended December 31, 2015, December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

| (in millions) | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|-------|-------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Interest expense | \$10.5 | \$7.1 | \$5.5 |
| Non-usage fee | \$0.5 | \$0.2 | \$0.4 |
| Amortization of financing costs | \$1.6 | \$0.9 | \$0.7 |

Edgar Filing: New Mountain Finance Corp - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---|
| Weighted average interest rate | 2.6 | % 2.9 | % 2.9 | % |
| Effective interest rate | 3.2 | % 3.4 | % 3.6 | % |
| Average debt outstanding | \$394.9 | \$244.6 | \$184.1 | |

77

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the outstanding balance on the Holdings Credit Facility was \$419.3 million and \$468.1 million, respectively, and as of December 31, 2013, the outstanding balance on the Predecessor Holdings Credit Facility was \$221.8 million, and NMF Holdings was in compliance with the applicable covenants in the Holdings Credit Facility and Predecessor Holdings Credit Facility on such dates.

SLF Credit Facility—NMF SLF's Loan and Security Agreement, as amended and restated, dated October 27, 2010 (the "SLF Credit Facility") among NMF SLF as the Borrower, NMF Holdings as the Collateral Administrator, Wells Fargo Securities, LLC as the Administrative Agent, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as the Collateral Custodian, was structured as a revolving credit facility and was set to mature on October 27, 2016. The maximum amount of revolving borrowings available under the SLF Credit Facility was \$215.0 million. The SLF Credit Facility was non-recourse to us and secured by all assets of NMF SLF on an investment by investment basis. All fees associated with the origination or upsizing of the SLF Credit Facility were capitalized on our Consolidated Statement of Assets and Liabilities and charged against income as other financing expenses over the life of the SLF Credit Facility. The SLF Credit Facility contained certain customary affirmative and negative covenants and events of default, including the occurrence of a change in control. The covenants were generally not tied to mark to market fluctuations in the prices of the NMF SLF's investments, but rather to the performance of the underlying portfolio companies. NMF SLF was not restricted from the purchase or sale of loans with an affiliate. Therefore, specified first lien loans could be moved as collateral between the Holdings Credit Facility and the SLF Credit Facility. The SLF Credit Facility merged with the Holdings Credit Facility on December 18, 2014.

Until December 18, 2014, the SLF Credit Facility permitted borrowings of up to 70.0% of the purchase price of pledged first lien debt securities and up to 25.0% of the purchase price of specified second lien loans, of which, up to 25.0% of the aggregate outstanding loan balance of all pledged debt securities in the SLF Credit Facility was allowed to be derived from second lien loans, subject to approval by Wells Fargo Bank, National Association.

The SLF Credit Facility bore interest at a rate of LIBOR plus 2.00% per annum for first lien loans and LIBOR plus 2.75% per annum for second lien loans, respectively, as amended on March 11, 2013. A non-usage fee was paid, based on the unused facility amount multiplied by the Non-Usage Fee Rate (as defined in the Loan and Security Agreement).

The following table summarizes the interest expense, non-usage fees and amortization of financing costs incurred on the SLF Credit Facility for the years ended December 31, 2015, December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

| (in millions) | Years Ended December 31, | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|---------|--|-----|
| | 2015(1) | 2014(2) | 2013 | | |
| Interest expense | \$— | \$4.5 | \$4.9 | | |
| Non-usage fee | \$— | \$— | (3) \$— | | (3) |
| Amortization of financing costs | \$— | \$0.8 | \$0.9 | | |
| Weighted average interest rate | — | % 2.2 | % 2.3 | | % |
| Effective interest rate | — | % 2.6 | % 2.7 | | % |
| Average debt outstanding | \$— | \$209.3 | \$214.3 | | |

(1) Not applicable, as the SLF Credit Facility merged into the Holdings Credit Facility on December 18, 2014.

(2) For the year ended December 31, 2014, amounts reported relate to the period from January 1, 2014 to December 17, 2014 (date of merger).

(3) For the years ended December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the total non-usage fee was less than \$50 thousand.

The SLF Credit Facility merged with the Holdings Credit Facility on December 18, 2014. The outstanding balance as of December 31, 2013 was \$214.7 million and NMF SLF was in compliance with the applicable covenants in the SLF Credit Facility on such date.

NMFC Credit Facility—The Senior Secured Revolving Credit Agreement, as amended, dated June 4, 2014 (together with the related guarantee and security agreement, the "NMFC Credit Facility"), among us as the Borrower, Goldman

Sachs Bank USA as the Administrative Agent and Collateral Agent, and Goldman Sachs Bank USA, Morgan Stanley Bank, N.A. and Stifel Bank & Trust as Lenders, is structured as a senior secured revolving credit facility and matures on June 4, 2019. The NMFC Credit Facility is guaranteed by certain of our domestic subsidiaries and proceeds from the NMFC Credit Facility may be used for general corporate purposes, including the funding of portfolio investments.

Table of Contents

The maximum amount of revolving borrowings available under the NMFC Credit Facility is \$95.0 million, as amended on June 26, 2015. We are permitted to borrow at various advance rates depending on the type of portfolio investment as outlined in the Senior Secured Revolving Credit Agreement. All fees associated with the origination of the NMFC Credit Facility are capitalized on our Consolidated Statement of Assets and Liabilities and charged against income as other financing expenses over the life of the NMFC Credit Facility. The NMFC Credit Facility contains certain customary affirmative and negative covenants and events of default, including certain financial covenants related to asset coverage and liquidity and other maintenance covenants.

The NMFC Credit Facility generally bears interest at a rate of LIBOR plus 2.50% per annum or the prime rate plus 1.50% per annum, and charges a commitment fee, based on the unused facility amount multiplied by 0.375% per annum (as defined in the Senior Secured Revolving Credit Agreement).

The following table summarizes the interest expense, non-usage fees and amortization of financing costs incurred on the NMFC Credit Facility for the years ended December 31, 2015, December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

| (in millions) | Years Ended December 31, | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|---------|---|
| | 2015 | 2014(1) | 2013(2) | |
| Interest expense | \$1.7 | \$0.2 | \$— | |
| Non-usage fee | \$0.1 | \$0.1 | \$— | |
| Amortization of financing costs | \$0.4 | \$0.1 | \$— | |
| Weighted average interest rate | 2.7 | % 2.7 | % — | % |
| Effective interest rate | 3.5 | % 3.4 | % — | % |
| Average debt outstanding | \$60.5 | \$11.2 | \$— | |

(1) For the year ended December 31, 2014, amounts reported relate to the period from June 4, 2014 (commencement of the NMFC Credit Facility) to December 31, 2014.

(2) Not applicable, as the NMFC Credit Facility commenced on June 4, 2014.

As of December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the outstanding balance on the NMFC Credit Facility was \$90.0 million and \$50.0 million, respectively, and NMFC was in compliance with the applicable covenants in the NMFC Credit Facility on such dates.

Convertible Notes—On June 3, 2014, we closed a private offering of \$115.0 million aggregate principal amount of senior unsecured convertible notes (the "Convertible Notes"), pursuant to an indenture, dated June 3, 2014 (the "Indenture"). The Convertible Notes were issued in a private placement only to qualified institutional buyers pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933. As of the first anniversary, June 3, 2015, of the Convertible Notes, the restrictions under Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933 were removed, allowing the Convertible Notes to be eligible and freely tradeable without restrictions for resale pursuant to Rule 144(b)(1) under the Securities Act of 1933. The Convertible Notes bear interest at an annual rate of 5.0%, payable semi-annually in arrears on June 15 and December 15 of each year, which commenced on December 15, 2014. The Convertible Notes will mature on June 15, 2019 unless earlier converted or repurchased at the holder's option.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes certain key terms related to the convertible features of our Convertible Notes as of December 31, 2015.

| | December 31, 2015 | |
|---|-------------------|---|
| Initial conversion premium | 12.5 | % |
| Initial conversion rate(1) | 62.7746 | |
| Initial conversion price | \$ 15.93 | |
| Conversion premium at December 31, 2015 | 11.7 | % |
| Conversion rate at December 31, 2015(1)(2) | 63.2794 | |
| Conversion price at December 31, 2015(2)(3) | \$ 15.80 | |
| Last conversion price calculation date | June 3, 2015 | |

(1) Conversion rates denominated in shares of common stock per \$1.0 thousand principal amount of the Convertible Notes converted.

(2) Represents conversion rate and conversion price, as applicable, taking into account certain de minimis adjustments that will be made on the conversion date.

The conversion price in effect at December 31, 2015 was calculated on the last anniversary of the issuance and will (3) be adjusted again on the next anniversary, unless the exercise price shall have changed by more than 1.0% before the anniversary.

The conversion rate will be subject to adjustment upon certain events, such as stock splits and combinations, mergers, spin-offs, increases in dividends in excess of \$0.34 per share per quarter and certain changes in control. Certain of these adjustments, including adjustments for increases in dividends, are subject to a conversion price floor of \$14.16 per share. In no event will the total number of shares of common stock issuable upon conversion exceed 70.6214 per \$1.0 thousand principal amount of the Convertible Notes. We have determined that the embedded conversion option in the Convertible Notes is not required to be separately accounted for as a derivative under GAAP.

The Convertible Notes are senior unsecured obligations and rank senior in right of payment to our existing and future indebtedness that is expressly subordinated in right of payment to the Convertible Notes; equal in right of payment to our existing and future unsecured indebtedness that is not so subordinated; effectively junior in right of payment to any of our secured indebtedness (including existing unsecured indebtedness that we later secure) to the extent of the value of the assets securing such indebtedness; and structurally junior to all existing and future indebtedness (including trade payables) incurred by our subsidiaries and financing vehicles. As reflected in Item 8.—Financial Statements and Supplementary Data—Note 12, Earnings Per Share, the issuance is considered part of the if-converted method for calculation of diluted earnings per share.

We may not redeem the Convertible Notes prior to maturity. No sinking fund is provided for the Convertible Notes. In addition, if certain corporate events, holders of the Convertible Notes may require us to repurchase for cash all or part of their Convertible Notes at a repurchase price equal to 100.0% of the principal amount of the Convertible Notes to be repurchased, plus accrued and unpaid interest through, but excluding, the repurchase date.

The Indenture contains certain covenants, including covenants requiring us to provide financial information to the holders of the Convertible Note and the Trustee if we cease to be subject to the reporting requirements of the Exchange Act. These covenants are subject to limitations and exceptions that are described in the Indenture.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the interest expense and amortization of financing costs incurred on the Convertible Notes for the years ended December 31, 2015, December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

| (in millions) | Years Ended December 31, | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|---------|--|---|
| | 2015 | 2014(1) | 2013(2) | | |
| Interest expense | \$5.8 | \$3.3 | \$— | | |
| Amortization of financing costs | \$0.7 | \$0.4 | \$— | | |
| Effective interest rate | 5.6 | % 5.6 | % — | | % |

(1) For the year ended December 31, 2014, amounts reported relate to the period from June 3, 2014 (commencement of the Convertible Notes) to December 31, 2014.

(2) Not applicable, as the Convertible Notes commenced on June 3, 2014.

As of December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the outstanding balance on the Convertible Notes was \$115.0 million and \$115.0 million, respectively, and NMFC was in compliance with the terms of the Indenture on such dates. SBA-guaranteed debentures—On August 1, 2014, SBIC LP received an SBIC license from the SBA.

The SBIC license allows SBIC LP to obtain leverage by issuing SBA-guaranteed debentures, subject to the issuance of a capital commitment by the SBA and other customary procedures. SBA-guaranteed debentures are non-recourse to us, interest only debentures with interest payable semi-annually and have a ten year maturity. The principal amount of SBA-guaranteed debentures is not required to be paid prior to maturity but may be prepaid at any time without penalty. The interest rate of SBA-guaranteed debentures is fixed on a semi-annual basis at a market-driven spread over U.S. Treasury Notes with ten year maturities. The SBA, as a creditor, will have a superior claim to the assets of SBIC LP over our stockholders in the event SBIC LP is liquidated or the SBA exercises remedies upon an event of default.

The maximum amount of borrowings available under current SBA regulations is \$150.0 million as long as the licensee has at least \$75.0 million in regulatory capital, receives a capital commitment from the SBA and has been through an examination by the SBA subsequent to licensing.

As of December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, SBIC LP had regulatory capital of approximately \$72.4 million and \$42.2 million, respectively, and SBA-guaranteed debentures outstanding of \$117.7 million and \$37.5 million, respectively. The SBA-guaranteed debentures incur upfront fees of 3.425%, which consists of a 1.00% commitment fee and a 2.425% issuance discount, which are amortized over the life of the SBA-guaranteed debentures. The following table summarizes our fixed-rate SBA-guaranteed debentures as of December 31, 2015.

(in millions)

| Issuance Date | Maturity Date | Debenture Amount | Interest Rate | SBA Annual Charge | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------|-------------------|---|
| Fixed SBA-guaranteed debentures: | | | | | |
| March 25, 2015 | March 1, 2025 | \$37.5 | 2.517 | % 0.355 | % |
| September 23, 2015 | September 1, 2025 | 37.5 | 2.829 | % 0.355 | % |
| September 23, 2015 | September 1, 2025 | 28.8 | 2.829 | % 0.742 | % |
| Interim SBA-guaranteed debentures: | | | | | |
| | March 1, 2026(1) | 7.0 | 0.760 | % 0.742 | % |
| | March 1, 2026(1) | 6.9 | 0.887 | % 0.742 | % |
| Total SBA-guaranteed debentures | | \$117.7 | | | |

(1) Estimated maturity date as interim SBA-guaranteed debentures are expected to pool in March 2016.

Prior to pooling, the SBA-guaranteed debentures bear interest at an interim floating rate of LIBOR plus 0.30%. Once pooled, which occurs in March and September each year, the SBA-guaranteed debentures bear interest at a fixed rate that is set to the current 10-year treasury rate plus a spread at each pooling date.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the interest expense and amortization of financing costs incurred on the SBA-guaranteed debentures for the years ended December 31, 2015, December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

| (in millions) | Years ended December 31, | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|-----|---------|---|
| | 2015 | 2014(1) | | 2013(2) | |
| Interest expense | \$1.7 | \$— | (3) | \$— | |
| Amortization of financing costs | \$0.2 | \$— | (3) | \$— | |
| Weighted average interest rate | 2.4 | % 0.9 | % | — | % |
| Effective interest rate | 2.7 | % 1.3 | % | — | % |
| Average debt outstanding | \$71.9 | \$29.2 | | \$— | |

For the year ended December 31, 2014, amounts reported relate to the period from August 1, 2014 (receipt of the (1) SBIC license) to December 31, 2014. The initial SBA-guaranteed debenture borrowing occurred on November 17, 2014.

(2) Not applicable, as the SBIC LP received an SBIC license from the SBA on August 1, 2014.

(3) For the year ended December 31, 2014, the total interest expense and amortization of financing costs were less than \$50 thousand.

The SBIC program is designed to stimulate the flow of private investor capital into eligible small businesses, as defined by the SBA. Under SBA regulations, SBIC LP is subject to regulatory requirements, including making investments in SBA-eligible businesses, investing at least 25.0% of its investment capital in eligible smaller businesses, as defined under the 1958 Act, placing certain limitations on the financing terms of investments, regulating the types of financing, prohibiting investments in small businesses with certain characteristics or in certain industries and requiring capitalization thresholds that limit distributions to us. SBIC LP is subject to an annual periodic examination by an SBA examiner to determine SBIC LP's compliance with the relevant SBA regulations and an annual financial audit of its financial statements that are prepared on a basis of accounting other than GAAP (such as ASC 820) by an independent auditor. As of December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, SBIC LP was in compliance with SBA regulatory requirements.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We may become a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financial needs of our portfolio companies. These instruments may include commitments to extend credit and involve, to varying degrees, elements of liquidity and credit risk in excess of the amount recognized in the balance sheet. As of December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, we had outstanding commitments to third parties to fund investments totaling \$26.3 million and \$27.4 million respectively, under various undrawn revolving credit facilities, delayed draw commitments or other future funding commitments.

We may from time to time enter into financing commitment letters or bridge financing commitments, which could require funding in the future. As of December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, we did not enter into any commitment letters to purchase debt investments. As of December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, we had not entered into any bridge financing commitments which could require funding in the future.

Table of Contents

Contractual Obligations

A summary of our significant contractual payment obligations as of December 31, 2015 is as follows:

| (in millions) | Contractual Obligations Payments Due by Period | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|---------------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------|
| | Total | Less than 1 Year | 1 - 3 Years | 3 - 5 Years | More than 5 Years |
| Holdings Credit Facility(1) | \$419.3 | \$— | \$— | \$419.3 | \$— |
| SBA-guaranteed debentures(2) | 117.7 | — | — | — | 117.7 |
| Convertible Notes(3) | 115.0 | — | — | 115.0 | — |
| NMFC Credit Facility(4) | 90.0 | — | — | 90.0 | — |
| Total Contractual Obligations | \$742.0 | \$— | \$— | \$624.3 | \$117.7 |

(1) Under the terms of the \$495.0 million Holdings Credit Facility, all outstanding borrowings under that facility (\$419.3 million as of December 31, 2015) must be repaid on or before December 18, 2019. As of December 31, 2015, there was approximately \$75.7 million of possible capacity remaining under the Holdings Credit Facility.

(2) Our SBA-guaranteed debentures will begin to mature on March 1, 2025.

(3) The \$115.0 million Convertible Notes will mature on June 15, 2019 unless earlier converted or repurchased at the holder's option.

(4) Under the terms of the \$95.0 million NMFC Credit Facility, all outstanding borrowings under that facility (\$90.0 million as of December 31, 2015) must be repaid on or before June 4, 2019. As of December 31, 2015, there was approximately \$5.0 million of possible capacity remaining under the NMFC Credit Facility.

We have certain contracts under which we have material future commitments. We have \$26.3 million of undrawn funding commitments as of December 31, 2015 related to our participation as a lender in revolving credit facilities, delayed draw commitments or other future funding commitments of our portfolio companies. As of December 31, 2015, we had not entered into any bridge financing commitments or commitment letters which could require funding in the future.

We have entered into the Investment Management Agreement with the Investment Adviser in accordance with the 1940 Act. Under the Investment Management Agreement, the Investment Adviser has agreed to provide us with investment advisory and management services. We have agreed to pay for these services (1) a management fee and (2) an incentive fee based on our performance.

We have also entered into an Administration Agreement with the Administrator. Under the Administration Agreement, the Administrator has agreed to arrange office space for us and provide office equipment and clerical, bookkeeping and record keeping services and other administrative services necessary to conduct our respective day-to-day operations. The Administrator has also agreed to perform, or oversee the performance of, our financial records, our reports to stockholders and reports filed with the SEC.

If any of the contractual obligations discussed above are terminated, our costs under any new agreements that are entered into may increase. In addition, we would likely incur significant time and expense in locating alternative parties to provide the services we expect to receive under the Investment Management Agreement and the Administration Agreement.

Table of Contents

Distributions and Dividends

Distributions declared and paid to stockholders for the year ended December 31, 2015 totaled \$81.0 million.

The following table reflects cash distributions, including dividends and returns of capital, if any, per share that have been declared by our board of directors for the years ended December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014:

| Fiscal Year Ended | Date Declared | Record Date | Payment Date | Per Share Amount | |
|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|-----|
| December 31, 2015 | | | | | |
| Fourth Quarter | November 3, 2015 | December 16, 2015 | December 30, 2015 | \$0.34 | |
| Third Quarter | August 4, 2015 | September 16, 2015 | September 30, 2015 | 0.34 | |
| Second Quarter | May 5, 2015 | June 16, 2015 | June 30, 2015 | 0.34 | |
| First Quarter | February 23, 2015 | March 17, 2015 | March 31, 2015 | 0.34 | |
| | | | | \$1.36 | |
| December 31, 2014 | | | | | |
| Fourth Quarter | November 4, 2014 | December 16, 2014 | December 30, 2014 | \$0.34 | |
| Third Quarter | August 5, 2014 | September 16, 2014 | September 30, 2014 | 0.34 | |
| Third Quarter | July 30, 2014 | August 20, 2014 | September 3, 2014 | 0.12 | (1) |
| Second Quarter | May 6, 2014 | June 16, 2014 | June 30, 2014 | 0.34 | |
| First Quarter | March 4, 2014 | March 17, 2014 | March 31, 2014 | 0.34 | |
| | | | | \$1.48 | |

(1) Special dividend related to estimated realized capital gains attributable to the Predecessor Operating Company's warrant investments in Learning Care Group (US), Inc.

Tax characteristics of all dividends paid are reported to stockholders on Form 1099 after the end of the calendar year. Future quarterly dividends, if any, will be determined by our board of directors.

We intend to pay quarterly distributions to our stockholders in amounts sufficient to maintain our status as a RIC. We intend to distribute approximately all of our Adjusted Net Investment Income on a quarterly basis and substantially all of our taxable income on an annual basis, except that we may retain certain net capital gains for reinvestment.

We maintain an "opt out" dividend reinvestment plan on behalf of our common stockholders, pursuant to which each of our stockholders' cash distributions will be automatically reinvested in additional shares of common stock, unless the stockholder elects to receive cash. See Item 8—Financial Statements and Supplementary Data—Note 2, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies for additional details regarding our dividend reinvestment plan.

Related Parties

We have entered into a number of business relationships with affiliated or related parties, including the following:

We have entered into the Investment Management Agreement with the Investment Adviser, a wholly-owned subsidiary of New Mountain Capital. Therefore, New Mountain Capital is entitled to any profits earned by the Investment Adviser, which includes any fees payable to the Investment Adviser under the terms of the Investment Management Agreement, less expenses incurred by the Investment Adviser in performing its services under the Investment Management Agreement.

We have entered into an Administration Agreement with the Administrator, a wholly-owned subsidiary of New Mountain Capital. The Administrator arranges our office space and provides office equipment and administrative services necessary to conduct our respective day-to-day operations pursuant to the Administration Agreement. We reimburse the Administrator for the allocable portion of overhead and other expenses incurred by it in performing its obligations to us under the Administration Agreement, which includes the fees and expenses associated with performing administrative, finance, and compliance functions, and the compensation of our chief financial officer and chief compliance officer and their respective staffs. Pursuant to the Administration Agreement and further restricted by us, expenses payable to the Administrator as well as other direct and indirect expenses (excluding

Table of Contents

interest, other financing expense, trading expenses and management and incentive fees) had been capped at \$3.5 million for the time period from April 1, 2012 to March 31, 2013 and capped at \$4.25 million for the time period from April 1, 2013 to March 31, 2014. The expense cap expired on March 31, 2014. Thereafter, the Administrator may, in its own discretion, submit to us for reimbursement some or all of the expenses that the Administrator has incurred on our behalf during any quarterly period. As a result, the amount of expenses for which we will have to reimburse the Administrator may fluctuate in future quarterly periods and there can be no assurance given as to when, or if, the Administrator may determine to limit the expenses that the Administrator submits to us for reimbursement in the future. However, it is expected that the Administrator will continue to support part of our expense burden in the near future and may decide to not calculate and charge through certain overhead related amounts as well as continue to cover some of the indirect costs. The Administrator cannot recoup any expenses that the Administrator has previously waived. For the year ended December 31, 2015, approximately \$1.4 million of indirect administrative expenses were included in administrative expenses, of which \$0.7 million were waived by the Administrator. As of December 31, 2015, approximately \$0.4 million were payable to the Administrator.

We, the Investment Adviser and the Administrator have entered into a royalty-free Trademark License Agreement, as amended, with New Mountain Capital, pursuant to which New Mountain Capital has agreed to grant us, the Investment Adviser and the Administrator, a non-exclusive, royalty-free license to use the name "New Mountain" and "New Mountain Finance".

In addition, we have adopted a formal code of ethics that governs the conduct of our respective officers and directors. These officers and directors also remain subject to the duties imposed by the 1940 Act, the Delaware General Corporation Law and the Delaware Limited Liability Company Act.

The Investment Adviser and its affiliates may also manage other funds in the future that may have investment mandates that are similar, in whole and in part, with our investment mandates. The Investment Adviser and its affiliates may determine that an investment is appropriate for us and for one or more of those other funds. In such event, depending on the availability of such investment and other appropriate factors, the Investment Adviser or its affiliates may determine that we should invest side-by-side with one or more other funds. Any such investments will be made only to the extent permitted by applicable law and interpretive positions of the SEC and its staff, and consistent with the Investment Adviser's allocation procedures.

Concurrently with the IPO, we sold an additional 2,172,000 shares of our common stock to certain executives and employees of, and other individuals affiliated with, New Mountain Capital in the Concurrent Private Placement.

Table of Contents

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We are subject to certain financial market risks, such as interest rate fluctuations. During the year ended December 31, 2015, certain of the loans held in our portfolio had floating interest rates. As of December 31, 2015, approximately 86.8% of investments at fair value (excluding investments on non-accrual, revolvers, delayed draws and non-interest bearing equity investments) represent floating-rate investments with a LIBOR floor (includes investments bearing prime interest rate contracts) and approximately 13.2% of investments at fair value represent fixed-rate investments. Additionally, our senior secured revolving credit facilities are also subject to floating interest rates and are currently paid based on one-month floating LIBOR rates.

The following table estimates the potential changes in net cash flow generated from interest income and expenses, should interest rates increase by 100, 200 or 300 basis points, or decrease by 25 basis points. Interest income is calculated as revenue from interest generated from our portfolio of investments held on December 31, 2015. Interest expense is calculated based on the terms of our outstanding revolving credit facilities and convertible notes. For our floating rate credit facilities, we use the outstanding balance as of December 31, 2015. Interest expense on our floating rate credit facilities are calculated using the interest rate as of December 31, 2015, adjusted for the hypothetical changes in rates, as shown below. The base interest rate case assumes the rates on our portfolio investments remain unchanged from the actual effective interest rates as of December 31, 2015. These hypothetical calculations are based on a model of the investments in our portfolio, held as of December 31, 2015, and are only adjusted for assumed changes in the underlying base interest rates.

Actual results could differ significantly from those estimated in the table.

| Change in Interest Rates | Estimated Percentage Change in Interest Income Net of Interest Expense (unaudited) | |
|--------------------------|---|------|
| -25 Basis Points | 1.06 | %(1) |
| Base Interest Rate | — | % |
| +100 Basis Points | 0.82 | % |
| +200 Basis Points | 7.27 | % |
| +300 Basis Points | 14.06 | % |

(1)Limited to the lesser of the December 31, 2015 LIBOR rates or a decrease of 25 basis points. We were not exposed to any foreign currency exchange risks as of December 31, 2015.

Table of Contents

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data
TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | PAGE |
|--|------|
| AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS | |
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> New Mountain Finance Corporation | 88 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Assets and Liabilities as of December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014</u> | 89 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2015, December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013</u> | 90 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Net Assets for the years ended December 31, 2015, December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013</u> | 91 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2015, December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013</u> | 92 |
| <u>Consolidated Schedule of Investments as of December 31, 2015</u> | 93 |
| <u>Consolidated Schedule of Investments as of December 31, 2014</u> | 104 |
| <u>Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of New Mountain Finance Corporation</u> | 112 |

Table of Contents

Deloitte & Touche LLP

30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, NY 10112
USA

Tel: + 1 212 492 4000
Fax: + 1 212 489 1687
www.deloitte.com

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
New Mountain Finance Corporation and subsidiaries
New York, New York

We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of assets and liabilities of New Mountain Finance Corporation and subsidiaries (the “Company”), including the consolidated schedules of investments, as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in net assets, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2015 and the consolidated financial highlights for the period from May 19, 2011 (commencement of operations) to December 31, 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, 2013 and 2012. These financial statements and financial highlights are the responsibility of the management of the Company. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial highlights based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements and consolidated financial highlights referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of New Mountain Finance Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the results of their operations, changes in their net assets, and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2015 and the financial highlights for the period from May 19, 2011 (commencement of operations) to December 31, 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, 2013 and 2012 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company’s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015, based on the criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February, 29, 2016, expressed an unqualified opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

New York, New York
February 29, 2016

Table of Contents

New Mountain Finance Corporation

Consolidated Statements of Assets and Liabilities

(in thousands, except shares and per share data)

| | December 31, 2015 | December 31, 2014 |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Investments at fair value | | |
| Non-controlled/non-affiliated investments (cost of \$1,438,415 and \$1,422,891, respectively) | \$1,377,515 | \$1,402,210 |
| Non-controlled/affiliated investments (cost \$89,047 and \$23,000, respectively) | 87,287 | 22,461 |
| Controlled investments (cost of \$41,254 and \$0, respectively) | 47,422 | — |
| Total investments at fair value (cost \$1,568,716 and \$1,445,891, respectively) | 1,512,224 | 1,424,671 |
| Securities purchased under collateralized agreements to resell (cost of \$30,000 and \$30,000, respectively) | 29,704 | 30,000 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 30,102 | 23,445 |
| Deferred financing costs (net of accumulated amortization of \$8,822 and \$5,867, respectively) | 13,992 | 14,052 |
| Interest and dividend receivable | 13,832 | 11,744 |
| Receivable from affiliates | 360 | 490 |
| Receivable from unsettled securities sold | — | 8,912 |
| Other assets | 1,924 | 1,606 |
| Total assets | \$1,602,138 | \$1,514,920 |
| Liabilities | | |
| Holdings Credit Facility | \$419,313 | \$468,108 |
| SBA-guaranteed debentures | 117,745 | 37,500 |
| Convertible Notes | 115,000 | 115,000 |
| NMFC Credit Facility | 90,000 | 50,000 |
| Incentive fee payable | 5,622 | 4,803 |
| Management fee payable | 5,466 | 5,144 |
| Payable for unsettled securities purchased | 5,441 | 26,460 |
| Interest payable | 2,343 | 1,352 |
| Deferred tax liability | 1,676 | 493 |
| Payable to affiliates | 564 | 822 |
| Other liabilities | 2,060 | 3,068 |
| Total liabilities | 765,230 | 712,750 |
| Commitments and contingencies (See Note 9) | | |
| Net assets | | |
| Preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 2,000,000 shares authorized, none issued | — | — |
| Common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 100,000,000 shares authorized, and 64,005,387 and 57,997,890 shares issued and outstanding, respectively | 640 | 580 |
| Paid in capital in excess of par | 899,713 | 817,129 |
| Accumulated undistributed net investment income | 4,164 | 2,530 |
| Accumulated undistributed net realized gains on investments | 1,342 | 14,131 |
| Net unrealized (depreciation) appreciation (net of provision for taxes of \$1,676 and \$493, respectively) | (68,951) | (32,200) |
| Total net assets | \$836,908 | \$802,170 |
| Total liabilities and net assets | \$1,602,138 | \$1,514,920 |

Edgar Filing: New Mountain Finance Corp - Form 10-K

| | | |
|------------------------------|------------|------------|
| Number of shares outstanding | 64,005,387 | 57,997,890 |
| Net asset value per share | \$13.08 | \$13.83 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

89

Table of Contents

New Mountain Finance Corporation
 Consolidated Statements of Operations
 (in thousands, except shares and per share data)

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------|-----------|--------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Investment income(1) | | | |
| From non-controlled/non-affiliated investments: | | | |
| Interest income | \$ 132,665 | \$ 85,123 | \$— |
| Dividend income | (407 |) 1,243 | — |
| Other income | 5,996 | 4,023 | — |
| From non-controlled/affiliated investments: | | | |
| Interest income | 5,402 | — | — |
| Dividend income | 3,619 | 1,066 | — |
| Other income | 1,965 | 468 | — |
| From controlled investments: | | | |
| Interest income | 2,007 | — | — |
| Dividend income | 2,559 | — | — |
| Other income | 49 | — | — |
| Investment income allocated from New Mountain Finance Holdings, L.L.C.(2) | | | |
| Interest income | — | 40,515 | 84,925 |
| Dividend income | — | 2,368 | 3,567 |
| Other income | — | 795 | 2,384 |
| Total investment income | 153,855 | 135,601 | 90,876 |
| Expenses | | | |
| Incentive fee(1) | 20,591 | 12,070 | — |
| Capital gains incentive fee(1) | — | (8,573 |) — |
| Total incentive fees(1) | 20,591 | 3,497 | — |
| Management fee(1) | 25,858 | 13,593 | — |
| Interest and other financing expenses(1) | 23,374 | 13,269 | — |
| Professional fees(1) | 3,214 | 2,390 | — |
| Administrative expenses(1) | 2,450 | 1,470 | — |
| Other general and administrative expenses(1) | 1,665 | 1,138 | — |
| Net expenses allocated from New Mountain Finance Holdings, L.L.C.(2) | — | 20,808 | 40,355 |
| Total expenses | 77,152 | 56,165 | 40,355 |
| Less: management fee waived (see Note 5)(1) | (5,219 |) (686 |) — |
| Less: expenses waived and reimbursed (see Note 5)(1) | (733 |) (380 |) — |
| Net expenses | 71,200 | 55,099 | 40,355 |
| Net investment income before income taxes | 82,655 | 80,502 | 50,521 |
| Income tax expense(1) | 160 | 436 | — |
| Net investment income | 82,495 | 80,066 | 50,521 |
| Net realized (losses) gains: | | | |
| Non-controlled/non-affiliated investments(1) | (12,789 |) 357 | — |
| Investments allocated from New Mountain Finance Holdings, L.L.C.(2) | — | 8,568 | 5,427 |
| Net change in unrealized (depreciation) appreciation: | | | |
| Non-controlled/non-affiliated investments(1) | (40,807 |) (43,324 |) — |

Edgar Filing: New Mountain Finance Corp - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|---|------------|------------|------------|---|
| Non-controlled/affiliated investments(1) | (633 |) (539 |) — | |
| Controlled investments(1) | 6,168 | — | — | |
| Securities purchased under collateralized agreements to resell(1) | (296 |) — | — | |
| Investments allocated from New Mountain Finance Holdings, L.L.C.(2) | — | 940 | 6,016 | |
| Investment in New Mountain Finance Holdings, L.L.C.(2) | — | — | (44 |) |
| Provision for taxes(1) | (1,183 |) (493 |) — | |
| Net realized and unrealized (losses) gains | (49,540 |) (34,491 |) 11,399 | |
| Net increase in net assets resulting from operations | \$32,955 | \$45,575 | \$61,920 | |
| Basic earnings per share | \$0.55 | \$0.88 | \$1.76 | |
| Weighted average shares of common stock outstanding—basic (See Note 12) | 59,715,290 | 51,846,164 | 35,092,722 | |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$0.55 | \$0.86 | \$1.76 | |
| Weighted average shares of common stock outstanding—diluted (See Note 12) | 66,968,089 | 56,157,835 | 35,092,722 | |
| Dividends declared and paid per share | \$1.36 | \$1.48 | \$1.48 | |

(1) For the year ended December 31, 2014, the amounts reported relate to the period from May 8, 2014 to December 31, 2014.

(2) For the year ended December 31, 2014, the amounts reported relate to the period from January 1, 2014 to May 7, 2014.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

New Mountain Finance Corporation
 Consolidated Statements of Changes in Net Assets
 (in thousands, except share data)

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | |
|---|--------------------------|------------|------------|---|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | |
| Increase (decrease) in net assets resulting from operations: | | | | |
| Net investment income(1) | \$82,495 | \$57,196 | \$— | |
| Net investment income allocated from New Mountain Finance Holdings, L.L.C.(2) | — | 22,870 | 50,521 | |
| Net realized (losses) gains on investments(1) | (12,789 |) 357 | — | |
| Net realized gains on investments allocated from New Mountain Finance Holdings, L.L.C.(2) | — | 8,568 | 5,427 | |
| Net change in unrealized (depreciation) appreciation of investments(1) | (35,272 |) (43,863 |) — | |
| Net change in unrealized (depreciation) appreciation of securities purchased under collateralized agreements to resell(1) | (296 |) — | — | |
| Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments allocated from New Mountain Finance Holdings, L.L.C.(2) | — | 940 | 6,016 | |
| Net change in unrealized (depreciation) appreciation of investment in New Mountain Finance Holdings, L.L.C.(2) | — | — | (44 |) |
| Provision for taxes(1) | (1,183 |) (493 |) — | |
| Net increase in net assets resulting from operations | 32,955 | 45,575 | 61,920 | |
| Capital transactions | | | | |
| Net proceeds from shares sold | 79,415 | 141,157 | 100,040 | |
| Deferred offering costs allocated from New Mountain Finance Holdings, L.L.C.(2) | — | (250 |) (281 |) |
| Deferred offering costs(1) | (285 |) (476 |) — | |
| Value of shares issued for exchanged units | — | 38,840 | 193,262 | |
| Dividends declared to stockholders from net investment income | (81,002 |) (71,365 |) (50,521 |) |
| Dividends declared to stockholders from net realized gains | — | (6,247 |) (1,323 |) |
| Reinvestment of dividends | 3,655 | 4,829 | 5,084 | |
| Total net increase in net assets resulting from capital transactions | 1,783 | 106,488 | 246,261 | |
| Net increase in net assets | 34,738 | 152,063 | 308,181 | |
| Net assets at the beginning of the period | 802,170 | 650,107 | 341,926 | |
| Net assets at the end of the period(3) | \$836,908 | \$802,170 | \$650,107 | |
| Capital share activity | | | | |
| Shares sold | 5,750,000 | 9,775,000 | 7,000,000 | |
| Shares issued for exchanged units | — | 2,671,938 | 13,550,000 | |
| Shares issued from reinvestment of dividends | 257,497 | 326,197 | 348,504 | |
| Net increase in shares outstanding | 6,007,497 | 12,773,135 | 20,898,504 | |

(1) For the year ended December 31, 2014, the amounts reported relate to the period from May 8, 2014 to December 31, 2014.

(2) For the year ended December 31, 2014, the amounts reported relate to the period from January 1, 2014 to May 7, 2014.

(3) For the years ended December 31, 2015, December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, includes accumulated undistributed net investment income of \$4,164, \$2,530 and \$0, respectively.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

91

Table of Contents

New Mountain Finance Corporation
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(in thousands)

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Cash flows from operating activities | | | |
| Net increase in net assets resulting from operations | \$32,955 | \$45,575 | \$61,920 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net (increase) decrease in net assets resulting from operations to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Net investment income allocated from New Mountain Finance Holdings, L.L.C.(2) | — | (22,870 |) (50,521 |
| Net realized losses (gains) on investments(1) | 12,789 | (357 |) — |
| Net realized gains on investments allocated from New Mountain Finance Holdings, L.L.C.(2) | — | (8,568 |) (5,427 |
| Net change in unrealized depreciation (appreciation) of investments(1) | 35,272 | 43,863 | — |
| Net change in unrealized depreciation (appreciation) of securities purchased under collateralized agreements to resell | 296 | — | — |
| Net change in unrealized (appreciation) depreciation of investments allocated from New Mountain Finance Holdings, L.L.C.(2) | — | (940 |) (6,016 |
| Net change in unrealized depreciation (appreciation) in New Mountain Finance Holdings, L.L.C.(2) | — | — | 44 |
| Amortization of purchase discount(1) | (2,511 |) (1,721 |) — |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs(1) | 2,955 | 1,713 | — |
| Non-cash investment income(1) | (5,978 |) (3,479 |) — |
| (Increase) decrease in operating assets: | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents from New Mountain Finance Holdings, L.L.C.(3) | — | 957 | — |
| Purchase of investments and delayed draw facilities(1) | (609,667 |) (529,540 |) — |
| Proceeds from sales and paydowns of investments(1) | 483,936 | 261,747 | — |
| Cash received for purchase of undrawn portion of revolving credit or delayed draw facilities(1) | 157 | 29 | — |
| Cash paid for purchase of drawn portion of revolving credit facilities(1) | (3,227 |) (2,548 |) — |
| Cash paid for drawn revolvers(1) | (4,376 |) — | — |
| Cash repayments on drawn revolvers(1) | 6,052 | 380 | — |
| Cash paid for securities purchased under collateralized agreements to resell(1) | — | (30,000 |) — |
| Interest and dividend receivable(1) | (2,088 |) (207 |) — |
| Receivable from affiliates(1) | 130 | (106 |) — |
| Receivable from unsettled securities sold(1) | 8,912 | (8,912 |) — |
| Other assets(1) | (156 |) 196 | — |
| Purchase of investment in New Mountain Finance Holdings, L.L.C.(2) | — | (58,644 |) (100,040 |
| Distributions from New Mountain Finance Holdings, L.L.C.(2) | — | 15,247 | 50,165 |
| Increase (decrease) in operating liabilities(1): | | | |

Edgar Filing: New Mountain Finance Corp - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|----------|------------|-----------|
| Incentive fee payable | 819 | (1,522 |) — |
| Management fee payable | 322 | (911 |) — |
| Payable for unsettled securities purchased | (21,019 |) 17,054 | — |
| Interest payable | 991 | 1,259 | — |
| Deferred tax liability | 1,183 | 493 | — |
| Payable to affiliates | (258 |) 589 | — |
| Capital gains incentive fee payable | — | (8,573 |) — |
| Other liabilities | (836 |) 225 | — |
| Net cash flows used in operating activities | (63,347 |) (289,571 |) (49,875 |
| Cash flows from financing activities | | | |
| Net proceeds from shares sold | 79,415 | 141,157 | 100,040 |
| Dividends paid | (77,347 |) (72,783 |) (50,165 |
| Offering costs paid(1) | (325 |) (478 |) — |
| Proceeds from Holdings Credit Facility(1) | 400,355 | 384,721 | — |
| Repayment of Holdings Credit Facility(1) | (449,150 |) (314,400 |) — |
| Proceeds from SBA-guaranteed debentures(1) | 80,245 | 37,500 | — |
| Proceeds from Convertible Notes(1) | — | 115,000 | — |
| Proceeds from NMFC Credit Facility(1) | 148,800 | 72,000 | — |
| Repayment of NMFC Credit Facility(1) | (108,800 |) (22,000 |) — |
| Proceeds from SLF Credit Facility(1) | — | 21,255 | — |
| Repayment of SLF Credit Facility(1) | — | (37,700 |) — |
| Deferred financing costs paid(1) | (3,189 |) (11,256 |) — |
| Net cash flows provided by financing activities | 70,004 | 313,016 | 49,875 |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 6,657 | 23,445 | — |
| Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the period | 23,445 | — | — |
| Cash and cash equivalents at the end of the period | \$30,102 | \$23,445 | \$— |
| Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information | | | |
| Cash interest paid | \$18,683 | \$9,924 | \$— |
| Income taxes paid | 217 | 437 | — |
| Non-cash operating activities: | | | |
| Non-cash activity on investments | \$60,652 | \$— | \$— |
| Non-cash financing activities: | | | |
| New Mountain Finance AIV Holdings Corporation exchange of New Mountain Finance Holdings, L.L.C. units for shares | \$— | \$38,840 | \$193,262 |
| Value of shares issued in connection with dividend reinvestment plan | 3,655 | 4,829 | 5,084 |
| Accrual for offering costs(1) | 638 | 516 | — |
| Accrual for deferred financing costs(1) | 81 | 375 | — |
| Deferred offering costs allocated from New Mountain Finance Holdings, L.L.C(2) | — | (250 |) (281 |
| SLF Credit Facility merger with the Holdings Credit Facility(1) | — | 198,555 | — |

(1) For the year ended December 31, 2014, the amounts reported relate to the period from May 8, 2014 to December 31, 2014.

(2) For the year ended December 31, 2014, the amounts reported relate to the period from January 1, 2014 to May 7, 2014.

(3) Represents the cash and cash equivalent balance of New Mountain Finance Holdings, L.L.C.'s at the date of restructuring. See Note 1, Formation and Business Purpose.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

92

Table of Contents

New Mountain Finance Corporation
Consolidated Schedule of Investments
December 31, 2015
(in thousands, except shares)

| Portfolio Company, Location and Industry(1) | Type of Investment | Interest Rate(10) | Maturity/Expiration Date | Principal Amount, Par Value or Shares | Cost | Fair Value | Percent of Net Assets |
|---|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|------------|-----------------------|
| Non-Controlled/Non-Affiliated Investments | | | | | | | |
| Funded Debt Investments - Australia | | | | | | | |
| Project Sunshine IV Pty Ltd** | | | | | | | |
| Media | First lien (2) | 8.00% (L + 7.00%/M) | 9/23/2019 | \$ 10,800 | \$ 10,752 | \$ 10,314 | 1.23 % |
| Total Funded Debt Investments - Australia | | | | \$ 10,800 | \$ 10,752 | \$ 10,314 | 1.23 % |
| Funded Debt Investments - Luxembourg | | | | | | | |
| Pinnacle Holdco S.à.r.l. / Pinnacle (US) Acquisition Co Limited** | | | | | | | |
| Software | Second lien (2) | 10.50% (L + 9.25%/Q) | 7/30/2020 | \$ 24,630 | \$ 24,339 | \$ 19,581 | |
| | Second lien (3) | 10.50% (L + 9.25%/Q) | 7/30/2020 | 8,204 | 8,324 | 6,522 | |
| Total Funded Debt Investments - Luxembourg | | | | \$ 32,834 | \$ 32,663 | \$ 26,103 | 3.12 % |
| Funded Debt Investments - Netherlands | | | | \$ 32,834 | \$ 32,663 | \$ 26,103 | 3.12 % |
| Eiger Acquisition B.V. (Eiger Co-Borrower, LLC)** | | | | | | | |
| Software | Second lien (3) | 10.13% (L + 9.13%/Q) | 2/17/2023 | \$ 10,000 | \$ 9,303 | \$ 9,049 | 1.08 % |
| Total Funded Debt Investments - Netherlands | | | | \$ 10,000 | \$ 9,303 | \$ 9,049 | 1.08 % |
| Funded Debt Investments - United Kingdom | | | | | | | |
| Air Newco LLC** | | | | | | | |
| Software | Second lien (3) | 10.50% (L + 9.50%/Q) | 1/31/2023 | \$ 32,500 | \$ 31,736 | \$ 31,363 | 3.75 % |
| Total Funded Debt Investments - United Kingdom | | | | \$ 32,500 | \$ 31,736 | \$ 31,363 | 3.75 % |

Edgar Filing: New Mountain Finance Corp - Form 10-K

Funded Debt Investments -
United States
Deltek, Inc.

| | | | | | | | |
|----------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|--------|
| Software | Second lien (3) | 9.50% (L + 8.50%/Q) | 6/26/2023 | \$21,000 | \$20,972 | \$20,948 | |
| | Second lien (2) | 9.50% (L + 8.50%/Q) | 6/26/2023 | 20,000 | 19,619 | 19,950 | |
| | | | | 41,000 | 40,591 | 40,898 | 4.89 % |

TIBCO Software Inc.

| | | | | | | | |
|----------|------------------|---------------------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Software | First lien (2) | 6.50% (L + 5.50%/M) | 12/4/2020 | 29,775 | 28,508 | 27,021 | |
| | Subordinated (3) | 11.38%/S | 12/1/2021 | 15,000 | 14,611 | 12,600 | |
| | | | | 44,775 | 43,119 | 39,621 | 4.73 % |

AssuredPartners, Inc.

| | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Business Services | Second lien (2) | 10.00% (L + 9.00%/Q) | 10/20/2023 | 20,000 | 19,212 | 19,600 | |
| | Second lien (3) | 10.00% (L + 9.00%/Q) | 10/20/2023 | 20,000 | 19,212 | 19,600 | |
| | | | | 40,000 | 38,424 | 39,200 | 4.68 % |

Kronos Incorporated

| | | | | | | | |
|----------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Software | Second lien (2) | 9.75% (L + 8.50%/Q) | 4/30/2020 | 32,641 | 32,443 | 32,546 | |
| | Second lien (3) | 9.75% (L + 8.50%/Q) | 4/30/2020 | 5,000 | 4,961 | 4,985 | |
| | | | | 37,641 | 37,404 | 37,531 | 4.48 % |

Hill International, Inc.

| | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|----------------|---------------------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Business Services | First lien (2) | 7.75% (L + 6.75%/Q) | 9/28/2020 | 37,056 | 36,752 | 36,779 | 4.39 % |
|-------------------|----------------|---------------------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|

ProQuest LLC

| | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Business Services | Second lien (3) | 10.00% (L + 9.00%/M) | 12/15/2022 | 35,000 | 34,302 | 34,300 | 4.10 % |
|-------------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

New Mountain Finance Corporation

Consolidated Schedule of Investments (Continued)

December 31, 2015

(in thousands, except shares)

| Portfolio Company, Location and Industry(1) | Type of Investment | Interest Rate(10) | Maturity/Expiration Date | Principal Amount, Par Value or Shares | Cost | Fair Value | Percent of Net Assets |
|---|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|--|---------|---------------|--------------------------------|
| Navex Global, Inc. | | | | | | | |
| Software | First lien (4) | 5.75% (L + 4.75%/Q) | 11/19/2021 | \$4,610 | \$4,570 | \$4,471 | |
| | First lien (2) | 5.75% (L + 4.75%/Q) | 11/19/2021 | 2,610 | 2,587 | 2,531 | |
| | Second lien (4) | 9.75% (L + 8.75%/Q) | 11/18/2022 | 17,879 | 17,683 | 17,343 | |
| | Second lien (3) | 9.75% (L + 8.75%/Q) | 11/18/2022 | 10,121 | 10,001 | 9,817 | |
| | | | | 35,220 | 34,841 | 34,162 | 4.08 % |
| Ascend Learning, LLC | | | | | | | |
| Education | Second lien (3) | 9.50% (L + 8.50%/Q) | 11/30/2020 | 34,727 | 34,352 | 33,077 | 3.95 % |
| CRGT Inc. | | | | | | | |
| Federal Services | First lien (2) | 7.50% (L + 6.50%/Q) | 12/19/2020 | 33,261 | 33,030 | 32,928 | 3.93 % |
| Physio-Control International, Inc. | | | | | | | |
| Healthcare Products | Second lien (2) | 10.00% (L + 9.00%/Q) | 6/5/2023 | 30,000 | 29,426 | 27,451 | |
| | Second lien (3) | 10.00% (L + 9.00%/Q) | 6/5/2023 | 4,000 | 3,703 | 3,660 | |
| | | | | 34,000 | 33,129 | 31,111 | 3.72 % |
| Valet Waste Holdings, Inc. | | | | | | | |
| Business Services | First lien (2) | 8.00% (L + 7.00%/Q) | 9/24/2021 | 29,925 | 29,564 | 29,505 | |
| | First lien (3)(11) - Drawn | 8.00% (L + 7.00%/Q) | 9/24/2021 | 1,500 | 1,481 | 1,479 | |
| | | | | 31,425 | 31,045 | 30,984 | 3.70 % |
| Rocket Software, Inc. | | | | | | | |
| Software | Second lien (2) | 10.25% (L + 8.75%/Q) | 2/8/2019 | 30,875 | 30,781 | 30,759 | 3.68 % |
| TASC, Inc. | | | | | | | |
| Federal Services | First lien (2) | 7.00% (L + 6.00%/Q) | 5/22/2020 | 28,314 | 28,001 | 28,396 | |

Edgar Filing: New Mountain Finance Corp - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|----------------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|------|---|
| | Second lien (3) | 12.00%/Q | 5/21/2021 | 2,000 | 1,964 | 2,062 | | |
| | | | | 30,314 | 29,965 | 30,458 | 3.64 | % |
| Pittsburgh Glass Works, LLC (24) | | | | | | | | |
| | Manufacturing | 10.13% (L + 9.13%/M) | 11/25/2021 | 30,000 | 29,852 | 29,850 | 3.57 | % |
| Integro Parent Inc. | | | | | | | | |
| | Business Services | 6.75% (L + 5.75%/Q) | 10/31/2022 | 17,370 | 17,029 | 16,980 | | |
| | First lien (2) | 6.75% (L + 5.75%/M) | 10/31/2022 | 2,630 | 2,578 | 2,570 | | |
| | Second lien (3) | 10.25% (L + 9.25%/Q) | 10/30/2023 | 10,000 | 9,901 | 9,625 | | |
| | | | | 30,000 | 29,508 | 29,175 | 3.49 | % |
| CompassLearning, Inc. (15) | | | | | | | | |
| | Education | 8.00% (L + 6.75%/Q) | 11/26/2018 | 30,000 | 29,531 | 28,471 | 3.40 | % |
| Ryan, LLC | | | | | | | | |
| | Business Services | 6.75% (L + 5.75%/M) | 8/7/2020 | 27,300 | 26,918 | 26,583 | 3.18 | % |
| McGraw-Hill Global Education Holdings, LLC | | | | | | | | |
| | Education | 9.75%/S | 4/1/2021 | 24,500 | 24,378 | 26,093 | 3.12 | % |
| KeyPoint Government Solutions, Inc. | | | | | | | | |
| | Federal Services | 7.75% (L + 6.50%/M) | 11/13/2017 | 25,876 | 25,636 | 25,747 | 3.08 | % |
| DigiCert Holdings, Inc. | | | | | | | | |
| | Software | 6.00% (L + 5.00%/Q) | 10/21/2021 | 25,000 | 24,268 | 24,375 | 2.91 | % |
| Pelican Products, Inc. | | | | | | | | |
| | Business Products | 9.25% (L + 8.25%/Q) | 4/9/2021 | 15,500 | 15,519 | 14,764 | | |
| | Second lien (2) | 9.25% (L + 8.25%/Q) | 4/9/2021 | 10,000 | 10,115 | 9,524 | | |
| | | | | 25,500 | 25,634 | 24,288 | 2.90 | % |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

New Mountain Finance Corporation

Consolidated Schedule of Investments (Continued)

December 31, 2015

(in thousands, except shares)

| Portfolio Company, Location and Industry(1) | Type of Investment | Interest Rate(10) | Maturity/Expiration Date | Principal Amount, Par Value or Shares | Cost | Fair Value | Percent of Net Assets |
|---|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|--|----------|---------------|--------------------------------|
| Confie Seguros Holding II Co. | | | | | | | |
| Consumer Services | Second lien (2) | 10.25% (L + 9.00%/M) | 5/8/2019 | \$18,886 | \$18,789 | \$18,673 | |
| | Second lien (3) | 10.25% (L + 9.00%/M) | 5/8/2019 | 5,571 | 5,648 | 5,508 | |
| | | | | 24,457 | 24,437 | 24,181 | 2.89 % |
| AAC Holding Corp. | | | | | | | |
| Education | First lien (2) | 8.25% (L + 7.25%/M) | 9/30/2020 | 25,000 | 24,640 | 24,110 | 2.88 % |
| Transtar Holding Company | | | | | | | |
| Distribution & Logistics | Second lien (2) | 10.00% (L + 8.75%/Q) | 10/9/2019 | 28,300 | 27,974 | 23,630 | 2.82 % |
| PetVet Care Centers LLC | | | | | | | |
| Consumer Services | Second lien (3) | 9.75% (L + 8.75%/Q) | 6/17/2021 | 24,000 | 23,789 | 23,149 | 2.77 % |
| EN Engineering, L.L.C. | | | | | | | |
| Business Services | First lien (2) | 7.00% (L + 6.00%/Q) | 6/30/2021 | 21,321 | 21,121 | 20,554 | |
| | First lien (2)(11) - Drawn | 8.50% (P + 5.00%/Q) | 6/30/2021 | 1,223 | 1,211 | 1,179 | |
| | | | | 22,544 | 22,332 | 21,733 | 2.60 % |
| Aricent Technologies | | | | | | | |
| Business Services | Second lien (2) | 9.50% (L + 8.50%/M) | 4/14/2022 | 20,000 | 19,881 | 19,133 | |
| | Second lien (3) | 9.50% (L + 8.50%/M) | 4/14/2022 | 2,550 | 2,558 | 2,440 | |
| | | | | 22,550 | 22,439 | 21,573 | 2.58 % |
| McGraw-Hill School Education Holdings, LLC | | | | | | | |
| Education | First lien (2) | 6.25% (L + 5.00%/M) | 12/18/2019 | 21,560 | 21,408 | 21,237 | 2.54 % |

Edgar Filing: New Mountain Finance Corp - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| VetCor Professional Practices LLC | | | | | | | |
| Consumer Services | First lien (4) | 7.00% (L + 6.00%/Q) | 4/20/2021 | 19,502 | 19,324 | 19,254 | |
| | First lien (4)(11) - Drawn | 7.00% (L + 6.00%/Q) | 4/20/2021 | 1,753 | 1,736 | 1,731 | |
| | | | | 21,255 | 21,060 | 20,985 | 2.51 % |
| IT'SUGAR LLC | | | | | | | |
| Retail | First lien (4) | 10.50% (L + 9.50%/Q) | 10/23/2019 | 21,000 | 20,215 | 20,183 | 2.41 % |
| Weston Solutions, Inc. | | | | | | | |
| Business Services | Subordinated (4) | 16.00%/Q | 7/3/2019 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 19,430 | 2.32 % |
| TWDiamondback Holdings Corp. (18) | | | | | | | |
| Diamondback Drugs of Delaware, L.L.C. (TWDiamondback II Holdings LLC) | | | | | | | |
| Distribution & Logistics | First lien (4) | 9.75% (L + 8.75%/Q) | 11/19/2019 | 19,895 | 19,895 | 19,117 | 2.28 % |
| Severin Acquisition, LLC | | | | | | | |
| Software | Second lien (4) | 9.25% (L + 8.25%/Q) | 7/29/2022 | 15,000 | 14,857 | 14,272 | |
| | Second lien (4) | 9.75% (L + 8.75%/Q) | 7/29/2022 | 4,154 | 4,113 | 4,112 | |
| | | | | 19,154 | 18,970 | 18,384 | 2.20 % |
| First American Payment Systems, L.P. | | | | | | | |
| Business Services | Second lien (2) | 10.75% (L + 9.50%/M) | 4/12/2019 | 18,643 | 18,423 | 18,362 | 2.20 % |
| DCA Investment Holding, LLC | | | | | | | |
| Healthcare Services | First lien (2) | 6.25% (L + 5.25%/Q) | 7/2/2021 | 17,811 | 17,645 | 17,632 | |
| | First lien (3)(11) - Drawn | 7.75% (P + 4.25%/Q) | 7/2/2021 | 53 | 52 | 52 | |
| | | | | 17,864 | 17,697 | 17,684 | 2.11 % |
| YP Holdings LLC / Print Media Holdings LLC (12) | | | | | | | |
| YP LLC / Print Media LLC | | | | | | | |
| Media | First lien (2) | 8.00% (L + 6.75%/M) | 6/4/2018 | 18,320 | 18,182 | 17,679 | 2.11 % |
| iPipeline, Inc. (Internet Pipeline, Inc.) | | | | | | | |
| Software | First lien (4) | 8.25% (L + 7.25%/Q) | 8/4/2022 | 17,955 | 17,783 | 17,550 | 2.10 % |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

95

Table of Contents

New Mountain Finance Corporation

Consolidated Schedule of Investments (Continued)

December 31, 2015

(in thousands, except shares)

| Portfolio Company, Location and Industry(1) | Type of Investment | Interest Rate(10) | Maturity/Expiration Date | Principal Amount, Par Value or Shares | Cost | Fair Value | Percent of Net Assets |
|---|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|--|----------|---------------|--------------------------------|
| AgKnowledge Holdings Company, Inc. | | | | | | | |
| Business Services | Second lien (2) | 9.25% (L + 8.25%/M) | 7/23/2020 | \$18,500 | \$18,352 | \$17,066 | 2.04 % |
| Vertafore, Inc. | | | | | | | |
| Software | Second lien (2) | 9.75% (L + 8.25%/M) | 10/27/2017 | 13,855 | 13,848 | 13,844 | |
| | Second lien (3) | 9.75% (L + 8.25%/M) | 10/27/2017 | 2,000 | 2,016 | 1,999 | |
| | | | | 15,855 | 15,864 | 15,843 | 1.89 % |
| GSDM Holdings Corp. | | | | | | | |
| Healthcare Services | Subordinated (4) | 10.00%/M | 6/23/2020 | 15,000 | 14,880 | 15,000 | 1.79 % |
| MailSouth, Inc. (d/b/a Mspark) | | | | | | | |
| Media | First lien (2) | 6.75% (L + 5.00%/Q) | 12/14/2016 | 14,998 | 14,736 | 14,586 | 1.74 % |
| TW-NHME Holdings Corp. (23) | | | | | | | |
| National HME, Inc. | | | | | | | |
| Healthcare Services | Second lien (4) | 10.25% (L + 9.25%/Q) | 7/14/2022 | 14,000 | 13,833 | 13,825 | 1.65 % |
| Sierra Hamilton LLC / Sierra Hamilton Finance, Inc. | | | | | | | |
| Energy | First lien (2) | 12.25%/S | 12/15/2018 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 12,251 | |
| | First lien (3) | 12.25%/S | 12/15/2018 | 2,660 | 2,064 | 1,302 | |
| | | | | 27,660 | 27,064 | 13,553 | 1.62 % |
| Vision Solutions, Inc. | | | | | | | |
| Software | Second lien (2) | 9.50% (L + 8.00%/M) | 7/23/2017 | 14,000 | 13,978 | 12,740 | 1.52 % |
| SW Holdings, LLC | | | | | | | |
| Business Services | Second lien (4) | 9.75% (L + 8.75%/Q) | 12/30/2021 | 13,500 | 13,373 | 12,701 | 1.52 % |

Edgar Filing: New Mountain Finance Corp - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|------|---|
| Poseidon Intermediate, LLC | | | | | | | | |
| Software | Second lien (2) | 9.50% (L + 8.50%/Q) | 8/15/2023 | 13,000 | 12,811 | 12,427 | 1.49 | % |
| American Tire Distributors, Inc. Distribution & Logistics | Subordinated (3) | 10.25%/S | 3/1/2022 | 13,000 | 12,798 | 11,960 | 1.43 | % |
| PowerPlan Holdings, Inc. | | | | | | | | |
| Software | Second lien (2) | 10.75% (L + 9.75%/M) | 2/23/2023 | 10,000 | 9,907 | 9,573 | 1.14 | % |
| Permian Tank & Manufacturing, Inc. Energy | First lien (2) | 10.50%/S | 1/15/2018 | 24,357 | 24,493 | 9,377 | 1.12 | % |
| TTM Technologies, Inc.** | | | | | | | | |
| Business Products | First lien (2) | 6.00% (L + 5.00%/Q) | 5/31/2021 | 9,980 | 9,554 | 9,132 | 1.09 | % |
| Smile Brands Group Inc. | | | | | | | | |
| Healthcare Services | First lien (2) | 9.00% (L + 6.25% + 1.50% PIK/Q)* | 8/16/2019 | 12,204 | 12,091 | 8,878 | 1.06 | % |
| Harley Marine Services, Inc. Distribution & Logistics | Second lien (2) | 10.50% (L + 9.25%/Q) | 12/20/2019 | 9,000 | 8,868 | 8,865 | 1.06 | % |
| QC McKissock Investment, LLC (17) McKissock, LLC | | | | | | | | |
| Education | First lien (2) | 7.50% (L + 6.50%/Q) | 8/5/2019 | 4,875 | 4,838 | 4,707 | | |
| | First lien (2) | 7.50% (L + 6.50%/Q) | 8/5/2019 | 3,148 | 3,124 | 3,039 | | |
| | First lien (2)(11) - Drawn | 7.50% (L + 6.50%/Q) | 8/5/2019 | 1,016 | 1,007 | 981 | | |
| | | | | 9,039 | 8,969 | 8,727 | 1.04 | % |
| Greenway Health, LLC (fka Vitera Healthcare Solutions, LLC) | | | | | | | | |
| Software | First lien (2) | 6.00% (L + 5.00%/Q) | 11/4/2020 | 1,960 | 1,946 | 1,877 | | |
| | Second lien (2) | 9.25% (L + 8.25%/Q) | 11/4/2021 | 7,000 | 6,917 | 6,720 | | |
| | | | | 8,960 | 8,863 | 8,597 | 1.03 | % |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

New Mountain Finance Corporation

Consolidated Schedule of Investments (Continued)

December 31, 2015

(in thousands, except shares)

| Portfolio Company, Location and Industry(1) | Type of Investment | Interest Rate(10) | Maturity/Expiration Date | Principal Amount, Par Value or Shares | Cost | Fair Value | Percent of Net Assets | |
|--|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|--|---------|------------|--------------------------------|---|
| Novitex Acquisition, LLC (fka ARSloane Acquisition, LLC) | | | | | | | | |
| Business Services | First lien (2) | 7.50% (L + 6.25%/Q) | 7/7/2020 | \$7,242 | \$7,064 | \$6,807 | 0.81 | % |
| Sotera Defense Solutions, Inc. (Global Defense Technology & Systems, Inc.) | | | | | | | | |
| Federal Services | First lien (2) | 9.00% (L + 7.50%/M) | 4/21/2017 | 6,859 | 6,828 | 6,344 | 0.76 | % |
| Brock Holdings III, Inc. | | | | | | | | |
| Industrial Services | Second lien (2) | 10.00% (L + 8.25%/Q) | 3/16/2018 | 7,000 | 6,953 | 5,443 | 0.65 | % |
| Packaging Coordinators, Inc. (13) | | | | | | | | |
| Healthcare Products | Second lien (3) | 9.00% (L + 8.00%/Q) | 8/1/2022 | 5,000 | 4,957 | 4,925 | 0.59 | % |
| Immucor, Inc. Healthcare Services | Subordinated (2)(9) | 11.13%/S | 8/15/2019 | 5,000 | 4,963 | 4,575 | 0.55 | % |
| GCA Services Group, Inc. | | | | | | | | |
| Business Services | Second lien (3) | 9.25% (L + 8.00%/Q) | 11/2/2020 | 4,000 | 3,973 | 3,950 | 0.47 | % |
| York Risk Services Holding Corp. | | | | | | | | |
| Business Services | Subordinated (3) | 8.50%/S | 10/1/2022 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 2,471 | 0.30 | % |
| Synarc-Biocore Holdings, LLC | | | | | | | | |
| Healthcare Services | Second lien (3) | 9.25% (L + 8.25%/Q) | 3/10/2022 | 2,500 | 2,479 | 2,313 | 0.28 | % |

Edgar Filing: New Mountain Finance Corp - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|--|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------|---------|--------|
| Ensemble S Merger Sub, Inc. | | | | | | | | | |
| Software | Subordinated (3) | 9.00%/S | 9/30/2023 | 2,000 | 1,933 | 1,940 | 0.23 | % | |
| Education Management Corporation (22) Education Management II LLC | | | | | | | | | |
| Education | First lien (2) | 5.50% (L + 4.50%/Q) | 7/2/2020 | 250 | 238 | 69 | | | |
| | First lien (3) | 5.50% (L + 4.50%/Q) | 7/2/2020 | 141 | 134 | 39 | | | |
| | First lien (2) | 8.50% (L + 1.00% + 6.50% | 7/2/2020 | 437 | 375 | 46 | | | |
| | First lien (3) | 8.50% (L + 1.00% + 6.50% PIK/Q)* | 7/2/2020 | 247 | 212 | 26 | | | |
| | | | | 1,075 | 959 | 180 | 0.02 | % | |
| ATI Acquisition Company (fka Ability Acquisition, Inc.) (14) | | | | | | | | | |
| Education | First lien (2) | 17.25% (P + 10.00% + 4.00% PIK/Q) (8)* | 6/30/2012 - Past Due | 1,665 | 1,434 | — | | | |
| | First lien (2) | 17.25% (P + 10.00% + 4.00% PIK/Q) (8)* | 6/30/2012 - Past Due | 103 | 94 | — | | | |
| | | | | 1,768 | 1,528 | — | — | % | |
| Total Funded Debt Investments - United States | | | | \$1,314,464 | \$1,297,775 | \$1,237,175 | 147.83 | % | |
| Total Funded Debt Investments Equity - United Kingdom Packaging Coordinators, Inc. (13) PCI Pharma Holdings UK Limited** | | | | \$1,400,598 | \$1,382,229 | \$1,314,004 | 157.01 | % | |
| | | | | — | — | 19,427 | \$578 | \$1,612 | 0.19 % |

Edgar Filing: New Mountain Finance Corp - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--------|----------|----------|------|---|--|
| Healthcare Products | Ordinary shares (2) | | | | | | | | |
| Total Shares - United Kingdom Equity - United States | | | | | \$578 | \$1,612 | 0.19 | % | |
| Crowley Holdings Preferred, LLC | | | | | | | | | |
| Distribution & Logistics | Preferred shares (3)(20) | 12.00% (10.00% + 2.00% PIK/Q)* | — | 52,058 | \$51,518 | \$51,911 | 6.20 | % | |
| TWDiamondback Holdings Corp. (18) | | | | | | | | | |
| Distribution & Logistics | Preferred shares (4) | — | — | 200 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 0.24 | % | |
| TW-NHME Holdings Corp. (23) | | | | | | | | | |
| Healthcare Services | Preferred shares (4) | — | — | 100 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 0.12 | % | |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

97

Table of Contents

New Mountain Finance Corporation

Consolidated Schedule of Investments (Continued)

December 31, 2015

(in thousands, except shares)

| Portfolio Company, Location and Industry(1) | Type of Investment | Interest Rate(10) | Maturity/Expiration Date | Principal Amount, Par Value or Shares | Cost | Fair Value | Percent of Net Assets | |
|---|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|--|----------|------------|-----------------------------|---|
| Ancora Acquisition LLC (14) | | | | | | | | |
| Education | Preferred shares (6) | — | — | 372 | \$83 | \$393 | 0.05 | % |
| Education Management Corporation (22) | | | | | | | | |
| Education | Preferred shares (2) | — | — | 3,331 | 200 | 10 | | |
| | Preferred shares (3) | — | — | 1,879 | 113 | 5 | | |
| | Ordinary shares (2) | — | — | 2,994,065 | 100 | 202 | | |
| | Ordinary shares (3) | — | — | 1,688,976 | 56 | 114 | | |
| | | | | | 469 | 331 | 0.04 | % |
| Total Shares - United States | | | | | \$55,070 | \$55,635 | 6.65 | % |
| Total Shares | | | | | \$55,648 | \$57,247 | 6.84 | % |
| Warrants - United States | | | | | | | | |
| YP Holdings LLC / Print Media Holdings LLC (12) | | | | | | | | |
| YP Equity Investors, LLC | | | | | | | | |
| Media | Warrants (5) | — | 5/8/2022 | 5 | \$— | \$5,304 | 0.63 | % |
| IT'SUGAR LLC | | | | | | | | |
| Retail | Warrants (3) | — | 10/23/2025 | 94,672 | 817 | 817 | 0.10 | % |
| ASP LCG Holdings, Inc. | | | | | | | | |
| Education | Warrants (3) | — | 5/5/2026 | 622 | 37 | 610 | 0.07 | % |
| Ancora Acquisition LLC (14) | | | | | | | | |
| Education | Warrants (6) | — | 8/12/2020 | 20 | — | — | — | % |
| Total Warrants - United States | | | | | \$854 | \$6,731 | 0.80 | % |

Edgar Filing: New Mountain Finance Corp - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|---|------------|---------|-------------|-------------|----------|
| Total Funded Investments | | | | | \$1,438,731 | \$1,377,982 | 164.65 % |
| Unfunded Debt Investments - United States | | | | | | | |
| DCA Investment Holdings, LLC | | | | | | | |
| Healthcare Services | First lien (3)(11) - Undrawn | — | 7/2/2021 | \$2,047 | \$(20) | \$(20) | — % |
| iPipeline, Inc. (Internet Pipeline, Inc.) | | | | | | | |
| Software | First lien (3)(11) - Undrawn | — | 8/4/2021 | 1,000 | (10) | (23) | — % |
| Valet Waste Holdings, Inc. | | | | | | | |
| Business Services | First lien (3)(11) - Undrawn | — | 9/24/2021 | 3,000 | (38) | (42) | — % |
| VetCor Professional Practices LLC | | | | | | | |
| Consumer Services | First lien (3)(11) - Undrawn | — | 4/20/2021 | 2,700 | (27) | (34) | |
| | First lien (4)(11) - Undrawn | — | 4/20/2021 | 947 | (9) | (12) | |
| | | | | 3,647 | (36) | (46) | (0.01) % |
| QC McKissock Investment, LLC (17) | | | | | | | |
| McKissock, LLC | | | | | | | |
| Education | First lien (2)(11) - Undrawn | — | 12/31/2015 | 1,862 | (19) | (64) | (0.01) % |
| MailSouth, Inc. (d/b/a Mspark) | | | | | | | |
| Media | First lien (3)(11) - Undrawn | — | 12/14/2016 | 1,900 | (181) | (79) | (0.01) % |
| EN Engineering, L.L.C. | | | | | | | |
| Business Services | First lien (2)(11) - Undrawn | — | 12/30/2016 | 2,348 | (12) | (85) | (0.01) % |
| TWDiamondback Holdings Corp. (18) | | | | | | | |

Diamondback
 Drugs of Delaware,
 L.L.C.
 (TWDiamondback II
 Holdings LLC)

| | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|-----------|----------|------|------|--------|------------|
| Distribution & Logistics | First lien (3)(11) - Undrawn | — | 2/16/2016 | 2,158 | — | (84 |) | |
| | First lien (4)(11) - Undrawn | — | 2/16/2016 | 605 | — | (24 |) | |
| | | | | 2,763 | — | (108 |) | (0.01)% |
| Total Unfunded Debt Investments | | | | \$18,567 | (316 |) | \$(467 |) (0.05)% |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Edgar Filing: New Mountain Finance Corp - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

New Mountain Finance Corporation

Consolidated Schedule of Investments (Continued)

December 31, 2015

(in thousands, except shares)

| Portfolio Company, Location and Industry(1) | Type of Investment | Interest Rate(10) | Maturity/Expiration Date | Principal Amount, Par Value or Shares | Cost | Fair Value | Percent of Net Assets |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| Total | | | | | | | |
| Non-Controlled/Non-Affiliated Investments | | | | | \$1,438,415 | \$1,377,515 | 164.60 % |
| Non-Controlled/Affiliated Investments(25) | | | | | | | |
| Funded Debt Investments - United States | | | | | | | |
| Tenawa Resource Holdings LLC (16) | | | | | | | |
| Tenawa Resource Management LLC | | | | | | | |
| Energy | First lien (3) | 10.50% (Base + 8.00%/Q) | 5/12/2019 | \$40,000 | \$39,869 | \$38,813 | 4.64 % |
| Edmentum Ultimate Holdings, LLC (19) | | | | | | | |
| Education | Subordinated (3) | 8.50% PIK/Q* | 6/9/2020 | 3,786 | 3,778 | 3,622 | |
| | Subordinated (2) | 10.00% PIK/Q* | 6/9/2020 | 13,715 | 13,715 | 10,547 | |
| | Subordinated (3) | 10.00% PIK/Q* | 6/9/2020 | 3,374 | 3,374 | 2,595 | |
| Total Funded Debt Investments - United States | | | | 20,875 | 20,867 | 16,764 | 2.00 % |
| Equity - United States | | | | | | | |
| NMFC Senior Loan Program I LLC** | | | | | | | |
| Investment Fund | Membership interest (3) | — | — | — | \$23,000 | \$21,914 | 2.62 % |
| Edmentum Ultimate Holdings, LLC (19) | | | | | | | |
| Education | Ordinary shares (3) | — | — | 123,968 | 11 | 3,341 | |
| | Ordinary shares (2) | — | — | 107,143 | 9 | 2,888 | |
| | | | | | 20 | 6,229 | 0.74 % |

Edgar Filing: New Mountain Finance Corp - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Tenawa Resource Holdings LLC (16) | | | | | | | |
| QID NGL LLC | | | | | | | |
| Energy | Ordinary shares (7) | — | — | 5,290,997 | 5,291 | 3,778 | 0.45 % |
| Total Shares - United States | | | | \$28,311 | \$31,921 | 3.81 | % |
| Unfunded Debt Investments - United States | | | | | | | |
| Edmentum Ultimate Holdings, LLC (19) | | | | | | | |
| Edmentum, Inc. (fka Plato, Inc.) (Archipelago Learning, Inc.) | | | | | | | |
| Education | Second lien (3)(11) - Undrawn | — | 6/9/2020 | \$4,881 | \$— | \$(211) | (0.02) % |
| Total Unfunded Debt Investments | | | | \$4,881 | \$— | \$(211) | (0.02) % |
| Total Non-Controlled/Affiliated Investments | | | | \$89,047 | \$87,287 | 10.43 | % |
| Controlled Investments(26) | | | | | | | |
| Funded Debt Investments - United States | | | | | | | |
| UniTek Global Services, Inc. | | | | | | | |
| Business Services | First lien (2) | + | 8.50% (L | 1/13/2019 | \$6,786 | \$6,786 | \$6,640 |
| | | | 7.50%/Q) | | | | |
| | First lien (3) | + | 8.50% (L | 1/13/2019 | 4,060 | 4,060 | 3,973 |
| | | | 7.50%/Q) | | | | |
| | First lien (3) | + | 9.50% (L | 1/13/2019 | 7,323 | 7,323 | 7,257 |
| + 7.50% + 1.00% PIK/Q)* | | | | | | | |
| Subordinated (2) | | 15.00% PIK/Q* | 7/13/2019 | 1,487 | 1,487 | 1,417 | |
| Subordinated (3) | | 15.00% PIK/Q* | 7/13/2019 | 890 | 890 | 848 | |
| Total Funded Debt Investments - United States | | | | \$20,546 | \$20,546 | \$20,135 | 2.40 % |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

New Mountain Finance Corporation

Consolidated Schedule of Investments (Continued)

December 31, 2015

(in thousands, except shares)

| Portfolio Company, Location and Industry(1) | Type of Investment | Interest Rate(10) | Maturity/Expiration Date | Principal Amount, Par Value or Shares | Cost | Fair Value | Percent of Net Assets |
|---|------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| Equity - United States | | | | | | | |
| UniTek Global Services, Inc. | | | | | | | |
| Business Services | Preferred shares (2)(21) | — | — | 16,680,033 | \$ 14,299 | \$ 13,870 | |
| | Preferred shares (3)(21) | — | — | 4,609,569 | 3,952 | 3,833 | |
| | Ordinary shares (2) | — | — | 2,096,477 | 1,925 | 7,528 | |
| | Ordinary shares (3) | — | — | 579,366 | 532 | 2,081 | |
| | | | | | 20,708 | 27,312 | 3.26 % |
| Total Shares - United States | | | | | \$ 20,708 | \$ 27,312 | 3.26 % |
| Total Funded Investments | | | | | \$ 41,254 | \$ 47,447 | 5.66 % |
| Unfunded Debt Investments - United States | | | | | | | |
| UniTek Global Services, Inc. | | | | | | | |
| Business Services | First lien (3)(11) - Undrawn | — | 1/13/2019 | \$ 2,048 | \$— | \$(18) | |
| | First lien (3)(11) - Undrawn | — | 1/13/2019 | 758 | — | (7) | |
| | | | | 2,806 | — | (25) | — % |
| Total Unfunded Debt Investments | | | | \$ 2,806 | \$— | \$(25) | — % |
| Total Controlled Investments | | | | | \$ 41,254 | \$ 47,422 | 5.66 % |
| Total Investments | | | | | \$ 1,568,716 | \$ 1,512,224 | 180.69 % |

(1) New Mountain Finance Corporation (the "Company") generally acquires its investments in private transactions exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"). These investments

are generally subject to certain limitations on resale, and may be deemed to be "restricted securities" under the Securities Act.

(2) Investment is pledged as collateral for the Holdings Credit Facility, a revolving credit facility among the Company as Collateral Manager, New Mountain Finance Holdings, L.L.C. ("NMF Holdings") as the Borrower, Wells Fargo Securities, LLC as the Administrative Agent, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as the Lender and Collateral Custodian. See Note 7, Borrowings, for details.

(3) Investment is pledged as collateral for the NMFC Credit Facility, a revolving credit facility among the Company as the Borrower and Goldman Sachs Bank USA as the Administrative Agent and the Collateral Agent and Goldman Sachs Bank USA, Morgan Stanley Bank, N.A. and Stifel Bank & Trust as Lenders. See Note 7, Borrowings, for details.

(4) Investment is held in New Mountain Finance SBIC, L.P.

(5) Investment is held in NMF YP Holdings, Inc.

(6) Investment is held in NMF Ancora Holdings, Inc.

(7) Investment is held in NMF QID NGL Holdings, Inc.

(8) Investment or a portion of the investment is on non-accrual status. See Note 3, Investments, for details.

(9) Securities are registered under the Securities Act.

All interest is payable in cash unless otherwise indicated. A majority of the variable rate debt investments bear interest at a rate that may be determined by reference to the London Interbank Offered Rate (L), the Prime Rate (10)(P) and the alternative base rate (Base) and which resets monthly (M), quarterly (Q), semi-annually (S) or annually (A). For each investment the current interest rate provided reflects the rate in effect as of December 31, 2015.

(11) Par Value amounts represent the drawn or undrawn (as indicated in type of investment) portion of revolving credit facilities or delayed draws. Cost amounts represent the cash received at settlement date net the impact of paydowns and cash paid for drawn revolvers or delayed draws.

(12) The Company holds investments in three related entities of YP Holdings LLC/Print Media Holdings LLC. The Company directly holds warrants to purchase a 4.96% membership interest of YP Equity Investors, LLC (which at closing represented an indirect 1.0% equity interest in YP Holdings LLC) and holds an investment in the Term Loan B loans issued by YP LLC and Print Media LLC, wholly-owned subsidiaries of YP Holdings LLC and Print Media Holdings LLC, respectively.

(13) The Company holds investments in Packaging Coordinators, Inc. and one related entity of Packaging Coordinators, Inc. The Company has a debt investment in Packaging Coordinators, Inc. and holds ordinary equity in PCI Pharma Holdings UK Limited, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Packaging Coordinators, Inc.

(14) The Company holds investments in ATI Acquisition Company and Ancora Acquisition LLC. The Company has debt investments in ATI Acquisition Company and preferred equity and warrants to purchase units of common membership interests of Ancora Acquisition LLC. The Company received its investments in Ancora Acquisition LLC as a result of its investments in ATI Acquisition Company.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

New Mountain Finance Corporation

Consolidated Schedule of Investments (Continued)

December 31, 2015

(in thousands, except shares)

- (15) The Company holds an investment in Compass Learning, Inc. that is structured as a first lien last out term loan. The Company holds investments in two related entities of Tenawa Resource Holdings LLC. The Company holds 5.25% of the common units in QID NGL LLC (which at closing represented 98.1% of the ownership in the common units in Tenawa Resource Holdings LLC) and holds a first lien investment in Tenawa Resource Management LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Tenawa Resource Holdings LLC.
- (16) The Company holds investments in QC McKissock Investment, LLC and one related entity of QC McKissock Investment, LLC. The Company holds a first lien term loan in QC McKissock Investment, LLC (which at closing represented 71.1% of the ownership in the Series A common units of McKissock Investment Holdings, LLC) and holds a first lien term loan and a delayed draw term loan in McKissock, LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of McKissock Investment Holdings, LLC.
- (17) The Company holds investments in TWDiamondback Holdings Corp. and one related entity of TWDiamondback Holdings Corp. The Company holds preferred equity in TWDiamondback Holdings Corp. and holds a first lien last out term loan and a delayed draw term loan in Diamondback Drugs of Delaware LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of TWDiamondback Holdings Corp.
- (18) The Company holds investments in Edmentum Ultimate Holdings, LLC and its related entities. The Company holds subordinated notes and ordinary equity in Edmentum Ultimate Holdings, LLC and holds a second lien revolver in Edmentum, Inc. and Archipelago Learning, Inc., which are wholly-owned subsidiaries of Edmentum Ultimate Holdings, LLC.
- (19) Total shares reported assumes shares issued for the capitalization of PIK interest. Actual shares owned total 50,000 as of December 31, 2015.
- (20) The Company holds preferred equity in UniTek Global Services, Inc. that is entitled to receive cumulative preferential dividends at a rate of 13.5% per annum payable in additional shares.
- (21) The Company holds investments in Education Management Corporation and one related entity of Education Management Corporation. The Company holds series A-1 convertible preferred stock and common stock in Education Management Corporation and holds a tranche A first lien term loan and a tranche B first lien term loan in Education Management II LLC, which is an indirect subsidiary of Education Management Corporation.
- (22) The Company holds an equity investment in TW-NHME Holdings Corp., as well as a second lien term loan investment in National HME, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of TW-NHME Holdings Corp.
- (23) The Company holds an investment in Pittsburgh Glass Works, LLC that is structured as a first lien last out term loan.
- (24) Denotes investments in which the Company is an “Affiliated Person”, as defined in the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, due to owning or holding the power to vote 5.0% or more of the outstanding voting securities of the investment but not controlling the company. Fair value as of December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2015 along with transactions during the year ended December 31, 2015 in which the issuer was a non-controlled/affiliated investment is as follows:

| Portfolio Company (1) | Fair Value at December 31, 2014 | Gross Additions (A) | Gross Redemptions (B) | Net Realized Gains (Losses) | Net Change in Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation) | Fair Value at December 31, 2015 | Interest Income | Dividend Income | Other Income |
|---|---------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|--|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Edmentum Ultimate Holdings, LLC/Edmentum Inc. | \$ — | \$ 23,937 | \$ (3,050) | \$ — | \$ 1,895 | \$ 22,782 | \$ 1,171 | \$ — | \$ — |
| | 22,461 | — | — | — | (547) | 21,914 | — | 3,619 | 1,215 |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-------------|-----|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|
| NMFC Senior Loan Program I LLC Tenawa Resource Holdings LLC | — | 44,572 | — | — | (1,981) | 42,591 | 4,231 | — | 750 |
| Total | | | | | | | | | |
| Non-Controlled/Affiliated Investments | \$ 22,461 | \$ 68,509 | \$ (3,050) | \$— | \$ (633) | \$ 87,287 | \$5,402 | \$3,619 | \$1,965 |

(A) Gross additions include increases in the cost basis of investments resulting from new portfolio investments, payment-in-kind (“PIK”) interest or dividends, the amortization of discounts, reorganizations or restructurings and the movement at fair value of an existing portfolio company into this category from a different category.

(B) Gross reductions include decreases in the cost basis of investments resulting from principal collections related to investment repayments or sales, reorganizations or restructurings and the movement of an existing portfolio company out of this category into a different category.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

101

Table of Contents

New Mountain Finance Corporation

Consolidated Schedule of Investments (Continued)

December 31, 2015

(in thousands, except shares)

(26) Denotes investments in which the Company is in "Control", as defined in the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, due to owning or holding the power to vote 25.0% or more of the outstanding voting securities of the investment. Fair value as of December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2015 along with transactions during the year ended December 31, 2015 in which the issuer was a controlled investment is as follows:

| Portfolio Company (1) | Fair Value at December 31, 2014 | Gross Additions (A) | Gross Redemptions (B) | Net Realized Gains (Losses) | Net Change in Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation) | Fair Value at December 31, 2015 | Interest Income | Dividend Income | Other Income |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|--|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|
| UniTek Global Services, Inc. | \$ — | \$42,780 | \$ (1,526) | \$ — | \$ 6,168 | \$ 47,422 | \$2,007 | \$ 2,559 | \$49 |
| Total Controlled Investments | \$ — | \$42,780 | \$ (1,526) | \$ — | \$ 6,168 | \$ 47,422 | \$2,007 | \$ 2,559 | \$49 |

(A) Gross additions include increases in the cost basis of investments resulting from new portfolio investments, PIK interest or dividends, the amortization of discounts, reorganizations or restructurings and the movement at fair value of an existing portfolio company into this category from a different category.

(B) Gross reductions include decreases in the cost basis of investments resulting from principal collections related to investment repayments or sales, reorganizations or restructurings and the movement of an existing portfolio company out of this category into a different category.

* All or a portion of interest contains PIK interest.

Indicates assets that the Company deems to be "non-qualifying assets" under Section 55(a) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended. Qualifying assets must represent at least 70.00% of the Company's total assets at the time of acquisition of any additional non-qualifying assets. As of December 31, 2015, 6.8% of the Company's total assets were non-qualifying assets.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

102

Table of Contents

New Mountain Finance Corporation

Consolidated Schedule of Investments (Continued)
December 31, 2015

| Investment Type | December 31, 2015 | |
|-------------------|---------------------------|---|
| | Percent of Total | |
| | Investments at Fair Value | |
| First lien | 44.31 | % |
| Second lien | 41.79 | % |
| Subordinated | 5.75 | % |
| Equity and other | 8.15 | % |
| Total investments | 100.00 | % |

| Industry Type | December 31, 2015 | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|---|
| | Percent of Total | |
| | Investments at Fair Value | |
| Software | 24.53 | % |
| Business Services | 24.36 | % |
| Education | 10.97 | % |
| Distribution & Logistics | 7.76 | % |
| Federal Services | 6.31 | % |
| Consumer Services | 4.52 | % |
| Energy | 4.33 | % |
| Healthcare Services | 4.18 | % |
| Media | 3.16 | % |
| Healthcare Products | 2.49 | % |
| Business Products | 2.21 | % |
| Manufacturing | 1.98 | % |
| Investment Fund | 1.45 | % |
| Retail | 1.39 | % |
| Industrial Services | 0.36 | % |
| Total investments | 100.00 | % |

| Interest Rate Type | December 31, 2015 | |
|--------------------|---------------------------|---|
| | Percent of Total | |
| | Investments at Fair Value | |
| Floating rates | 86.26 | % |
| Fixed rates | 13.74 | % |
| Total investments | 100.00 | % |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

New Mountain Finance Corporation

Consolidated Schedule of Investments

December 31, 2014

(in thousands, except shares)

| Portfolio Company, Location and Industry(1) | Type of Investment | Interest Rate | Maturity Date | Principal Amount, Par Value or Shares | Cost | Fair Value | Percent of Net Assets |
|---|--------------------|----------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|----------|------------|-----------------------|
| Non-Controlled/Non-Affiliated Investments | | | | | | | |
| Funded Debt Investments - Australia | | | | | | | |
| Project Sunshine IV Pty Ltd** | | | | | | | |
| Media | First lien(2) | 8.00% (Base Rate + 7.00%) | 9/23/2019 | \$17,689 | \$17,594 | \$17,888 | 2.23 % |
| Total Funded Debt Investments - Australia | | | | \$17,689 | \$17,594 | \$17,888 | 2.23 % |
| Funded Debt Investments - Luxembourg | | | | | | | |
| Pinnacle Holdco S.à r.l. / Pinnacle (US) Acquisition Co Limited** | | | | | | | |
| Software | Second lien (2) | 10.50% (Base Rate + 9.25%) | 7/30/2020 | \$24,630 | \$24,319 | \$22,905 | |
| | Second lien (3) | 10.50% (Base Rate + 9.25%) | 7/30/2020 | 8,204 | 8,317 | 7,629 | |
| | | | | 32,834 | 32,636 | 30,534 | 3.80 % |
| Evergreen Skills Lux S.À R.L.** | | | | | | | |
| Education | Second lien(3) | 9.25% (Base Rate + 8.25%) | 4/28/2022 | 5,000 | 4,877 | 4,737 | 0.59 % |
| Total Funded Debt Investments - Luxembourg | | | | \$37,834 | \$37,513 | \$35,271 | 4.39 % |
| Funded Debt Investments - United States | | | | | | | |
| Ascend Learning, LLC | | | | | | | |
| Education | First lien(2) | 6.00% (Base Rate + 5.00%) | 7/31/2019 | \$14,888 | \$14,824 | \$14,813 | |
| | Second lien(3) | 9.50% (Base Rate + 8.50%) | 11/30/2020 | 29,000 | 28,881 | 28,855 | |
| | | | | 43,888 | 43,705 | 43,668 | 5.44 % |
| TIBCO Software Inc.** | | | | | | | |
| Software | First lien(2) | 6.50% (Base Rate + 5.50%) | 12/4/2020 | 30,000 | 28,512 | 29,100 | |
| | Subordinated(3) | 11.38% | 12/1/2021 | 15,000 | 14,567 | 14,550 | |

Edgar Filing: New Mountain Finance Corp - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------|-----------------------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | | | | 45,000 | 43,079 | 43,650 | 5.44 % |
| Global Knowledge Training LLC | | | | | | | |
| Education | Second lien(2) | 12.00% (Base Rate + 8.75%) | 10/21/2018 | 41,450 | 41,137 | 41,786 | 5.21 % |
| Deltek, Inc. | | | | | | | |
| Software | Second lien(2) | 10.00% (Base Rate + 8.75%) | 10/10/2019 | 40,000 | 39,989 | 40,300 | |
| | Second lien(3) | 10.00% (Base Rate + 8.75%) | 10/10/2019 | 1,000 | 990 | 1,008 | |
| | | | | 41,000 | 40,979 | 41,308 | 5.15 % |
| Tenawa Resource Holdings LLC (16) | | | | | | | |
| Tenawa Resource Management LLC | | | | | | | |
| Energy | First lien(3) | 10.50% (Base Rate + 8.00%) | 5/12/2019 | 40,000 | 39,838 | 39,820 | 4.96 % |
| Kronos Incorporated | | | | | | | |
| Software | Second lien(2) | 9.75% (Base Rate + 8.50%) | 4/30/2020 | 32,641 | 32,407 | 33,355 | |
| | Second lien(3) | 9.75% (Base Rate + 8.50%) | 4/30/2020 | 5,000 | 4,955 | 5,109 | |
| | | | | 37,641 | 37,362 | 38,464 | 4.80 % |
| McGraw-Hill Global Education Holdings, LLC | | | | | | | |
| Education | First lien(2)(9) | 9.75% | 4/1/2021 | 24,500 | 24,362 | 27,195 | |
| | First lien(2) | 5.75% (Base Rate + 4.75%) | 3/22/2019 | 9,863 | 9,641 | 9,830 | |
| | | | | 34,363 | 34,003 | 37,025 | 4.62 % |
| Tolt Solutions, Inc. (15) | | | | | | | |
| Business Services | First lien(2) | 7.00% (Base Rate + 6.00%) | 3/7/2019 | 18,537 | 18,538 | 18,075 | |
| | First lien(2) | 12.00% (Base Rate + 11.00%) | 3/7/2019 | 18,800 | 18,800 | 18,540 | |
| | | | | 37,337 | 37,338 | 36,615 | 4.56 % |
| Acrisure, LLC | | | | | | | |
| Business Services | Second lien(2) | 11.50% (Base Rate + 10.50%) | 3/31/2020 | 35,175 | 34,848 | 35,471 | 4.42 % |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

New Mountain Finance Corporation

Consolidated Schedule of Investments (Continued)

December 31, 2014

(in thousands, except shares)

| Portfolio Company, Location and Industry(1) | Type of Investment | Interest Rate | Maturity Date | Principal Amount, Par Value or Shares | Cost | Fair Value | Percent of Net Assets |
|---|----------------------------|--|------------------|--|----------|---------------|--------------------------------|
| UniTek Global Services, Inc. | | | | | | | |
| Business Services | First lien(2) | 15.00% PIK (Base Rate + 13.50% PIK)(7)* | 4/15/2018 | \$20,596 | \$20,104 | \$14,706 | |
| | First lien(3) | 15.00% PIK (Base Rate + 13.50% PIK)(7)* | 4/15/2018 | 7,772 | 7,552 | 5,550 | |
| | First lien(2) | 15.00% PIK (Base Rate + 13.50% PIK)(7)* | 4/15/2018 | 6,271 | 6,116 | 4,478 | |
| | First lien(3) | 15.00% PIK (Base Rate + 13.50% PIK)(7)* | 4/15/2018 | 597 | 580 | 426 | |
| | First lien(2) | 15.00% PIK (Base Rate + 13.50% PIK)(7)* | 4/15/2018 | 5,213 | 5,083 | 3,722 | |
| | First lien(3) | 15.00% PIK (Base Rate + 13.50% PIK)(7)* | 4/15/2018 | 496 | 482 | 354 | |
| | First lien(3)(11)—Drawn | 9.50% (Base Rate + 7.50% + 1.00% PIK)* | 1/21/2015 | 3,381 | 3,381 | 3,381 | |
| | First lien(3)(11)—Drawn | 10.25% (Base Rate + 4.00% + 5.25% PIK)* | 4/15/2016 | 2,610 | 2,610 | 2,610 | |
| | | | | 46,936 | 45,908 | 35,227 | 4.39 % |
| Envision Acquisition Company, LLC | | | | | | | |
| Healthcare Services | Second lien(2) | 9.75% (Base Rate + 8.75%) | 11/4/2021 | 26,000 | 25,603 | 25,772 | |
| | Second lien(3) | 9.75% (Base Rate + 8.75%) | 11/4/2021 | 9,250 | 9,305 | 9,169 | |
| | | | | 35,250 | 34,908 | 34,941 | 4.37 % |
| Hill International, Inc. | | | | | | | |
| Business Services | First lien(2) | 7.75% (Base Rate + 6.75%) | 9/26/2020 | 34,913 | 34,574 | 34,215 | 4.27 % |
| Meritas Schools Holdings, LLC | | | | | | | |
| Education | First lien(2) | 7.00% (Base Rate + 5.75%) | 6/25/2019 | 21,658 | 21,487 | 21,549 | |
| | Second lien(2) | 10.00% (Base Rate + 9.00%) | 1/23/2021 | 12,000 | 11,943 | 11,820 | |
| | | | | 33,658 | 33,430 | 33,369 | 4.16 % |
| TASC, Inc. | | | | | | | |
| Federal Services | First lien(2) | 6.50% (Base Rate + 5.50%) | 5/22/2020 | 30,860 | 30,454 | 30,108 | |
| | Second lien(3) | 12.00% | 5/21/2021 | 2,000 | 1,960 | 1,960 | |

Edgar Filing: New Mountain Finance Corp - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------|----------------------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | | | | 32,860 | 32,414 | 32,068 | 4.00 % |
| SRA International, Inc. Federal Services Navex Global, Inc. Software | First lien(2) | 6.50% (Base Rate + 5.25%) | 7/20/2018 | 31,765 | 31,059 | 31,805 | 3.96 % |
| | First lien(4) | 5.75% (Base Rate + 4.75%) | 11/19/2021 | 10,547 | 10,442 | 10,441 | |
| | First lien(2) | 5.75% (Base Rate + 4.75%) | 11/19/2021 | 4,453 | 4,409 | 4,409 | |
| | Second lien(4) | 9.75% (Base Rate + 8.75%) | 11/18/2022 | 11,953 | 11,834 | 11,775 | |
| | Second lien(3) | 9.75% (Base Rate + 8.75%) | 11/18/2022 | 5,047 | 4,997 | 4,970 | |
| | | | | 32,000 | 31,682 | 31,595 | 3.94 % |
| Rocket Software, Inc. Software KeyPoint Government Solutions, Inc. Federal Services CompassLearning, Inc. (14) Education Aderant North America, Inc. Software | Second lien(2) | 10.25% (Base Rate + 8.75%) | 2/8/2019 | 30,875 | 30,756 | 30,875 | 3.85 % |
| | First lien(2) | 7.75% (Base Rate + 6.50%) | 11/13/2017 | 29,342 | 28,937 | 29,359 | 3.66 % |
| | First lien(2) | 8.00% (Base Rate + 6.75%) | 11/26/2018 | 30,000 | 29,391 | 29,184 | 3.64 % |
| | Second lien(2) | 10.00% (Base Rate + 8.75%) | 6/20/2019 | 24,000 | 23,767 | 23,940 | |
| | Second lien(3) | 10.00% (Base Rate + 8.75%) | 6/20/2019 | 5,000 | 5,070 | 4,988 | |
| | | | | 29,000 | 28,837 | 28,928 | 3.61 % |
| Transtar Holding Company Distribution & Logistics | Second lien(2) | 10.00% (Base Rate + 8.75%) | 10/9/2019 | 28,300 | 27,906 | 27,946 | 3.48 % |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

New Mountain Finance Corporation

Consolidated Schedule of Investments (Continued)

December 31, 2014

(in thousands, except shares)

| Portfolio Company, Location and Industry(1) | Type of Investment | Interest Rate | Maturity Date | Principal Amount, Par Value or Shares | Cost | Fair Value | Percent of Net Assets |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------------|------------------|--|-----------|---------------|--------------------------------|
| Pelican Products, Inc. | | | | | | | |
| Business Products | Second lien(3) | 9.25% (Base Rate + 8.25%) | 4/9/2021 | \$ 15,500 | \$ 15,531 | \$ 15,306 | |
| | Second lien(2) | 9.25% (Base Rate + 8.25%) | 4/9/2021 | 10,000 | 10,123 | 9,875 | |
| | | | | 25,500 | 25,654 | 25,181 | 3.14 % |
| YP Holdings LLC (10) YP LLC | | | | | | | |
| Media | First lien(2) | 8.00% (Base Rate + 6.75%) | 6/4/2018 | 24,936 | 24,678 | 25,029 | 3.12 % |
| CRGT Inc. | | | | | | | |
| Federal Services | First lien(2) | 7.50% (Base Rate + 6.50%) | 12/19/2020 | 25,000 | 24,750 | 24,750 | 3.09 % |
| Confie Seguros Holding II Co. | | | | | | | |
| Consumer Services | Second lien(2) | 10.25% (Base Rate + 9.00%) | 5/8/2019 | 18,886 | 18,786 | 18,877 | |
| | Second lien(3) | 10.25% (Base Rate + 9.00%) | 5/8/2019 | 5,571 | 5,647 | 5,569 | |
| | | | | 24,457 | 24,433 | 24,446 | 3.05 % |
| PetVet Care Centers LLC | | | | | | | |
| Consumer Services | Second lien(3) | 9.75% (Base Rate + 8.75%) | 6/17/2021 | 24,000 | 23,761 | 23,760 | 2.96 % |
| Sierra Hamilton LLC / Sierra Hamilton Finance, Inc. | | | | | | | |
| Energy Aricent Technologies | First lien(2) | 12.25% | 12/15/2018 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 23,250 | 2.90 % |
| Business Services | Second lien(2) | 9.50% (Base Rate + 8.50%) | 4/14/2022 | 20,000 | 19,871 | 20,162 | |
| | Second lien(3) | 9.50% (Base Rate + 8.50%) | 4/14/2022 | 2,550 | 2,556 | 2,571 | |
| | | | | 22,550 | 22,427 | 22,733 | 2.83 % |
| McGraw-Hill School Education Holdings, LLC | | | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: New Mountain Finance Corp - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|------------------------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|------|---|
| Education Weston Solutions, Inc. | First lien(2) | 6.25% (Base Rate + 5.00%) | 12/18/2019 | 21,780 | 21,594 | 21,771 | 2.71 | % |
| Business Services Aspen Dental Management, Inc. | Subordinated(4) | 16.00% (11.50% + 4.50% PIK)* | 7/3/2019 | 20,458 | 20,458 | 20,828 | 2.60 | % |
| Healthcare Services TWDiamondback Holdings Corp. (18) Diamondback Drugs of Delaware, L.L.C. (TWDiamondback II Holdings LLC) | First lien(2) | 7.00% (Base Rate + 5.50%) | 10/6/2016 | 20,862 | 20,697 | 20,732 | 2.58 | % |
| Distribution & Logistics American Pacific Corporation** | First lien(4) | 9.75% (Base Rate + 8.75%) | 11/19/2019 | 19,895 | 19,895 | 19,895 | 2.48 | % |
| Specialty Chemicals and Materials Novitex Acquisition, LLC (fka ARSloane Acquisition, LLC) | First lien(2) | 7.00% (Base Rate + 6.00%) | 2/27/2019 | 19,850 | 19,722 | 19,825 | 2.47 | % |
| Business Services eResearchTechnology, Inc. | First lien(2) | 7.50% (Base Rate + 6.25%) | 7/7/2020 | 19,950 | 19,592 | 19,152 | 2.39 | % |
| Healthcare Services First American Payment Systems, L.P. | First lien(2) | 6.00% (Base Rate + 4.75%) | 5/2/2018 | 19,059 | 18,521 | 19,083 | 2.38 | % |
| Business Services Permian Tank & Manufacturing, Inc. | Second lien(2) | 10.75% (Base Rate + 9.50%) | 4/12/2019 | 18,643 | 18,369 | 18,457 | 2.30 | % |
| Energy AgKnowledge Holdings Company, Inc. | First lien(2) | 10.50% | 1/15/2018 | 24,357 | 24,555 | 18,390 | 2.29 | % |
| Business Services Vertafore, Inc. | Second lien(2) | 9.25% (Base Rate + 8.25%) | 7/23/2020 | 18,500 | 18,326 | 17,814 | 2.22 | % |
| Software | Second lien(2) | 9.75% (Base Rate + 8.25%) | 10/27/2017 | 13,855 | 13,852 | 13,959 | | |
| | Second lien(3) | 9.75% (Base Rate + 8.25%) | 10/27/2017 | 2,000 | 2,017 | 2,015 | | |
| | | | | 15,855 | 15,869 | 15,974 | 1.99 | % |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

New Mountain Finance Corporation

Consolidated Schedule of Investments (Continued)

December 31, 2014

(in thousands, except shares)

| Portfolio Company, Location and Industry(1) | Type of Investment | Interest Rate | Maturity Date | Principal Amount, Par Value or Shares | Cost | Fair Value | Percent of Net Assets |
|---|-----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|---|----------|---------------|--------------------------------|
| MailSouth, Inc. (d/b/a Mspark) | | | | | | | |
| Media | First lien(2) | 6.75% (Base Rate + 4.99%) | 12/14/2016 | \$16,778 | \$16,190 | \$15,771 | 1.97 % |
| Edmentum, Inc.(fka Plato, Inc.) | | | | | | | |
| Education | Second lien(2) | 11.25% (Base Rate + 9.75%) | 5/17/2019 | 25,000 | 24,713 | 12,500 | |
| | Second lien(3) | 11.25% (Base Rate + 9.75%) | 5/17/2019 | 6,150 | 6,040 | 3,075 | |
| | | | | 31,150 | 30,753 | 15,575 | 1.94 % |
| GSDM Holdings Corp. | | | | | | | |
| Healthcare Services Smile Brands Group Inc. | Subordinated(4) | 10.00% | 6/23/2020 | 15,000 | 14,860 | 14,642 | 1.83 % |
| Healthcare Services | First lien(2) | 7.50% (Base Rate + 6.25%) | 8/16/2019 | 14,319 | 14,154 | 13,746 | 1.71 % |
| Vision Solutions, Inc. | | | | | | | |
| Software | Second lien(2) | 9.50% (Base Rate + 8.00%) | 7/23/2017 | 14,000 | 13,966 | 13,580 | 1.69 % |
| Harley Marine Services, Inc. | | | | | | | |
| Distribution & Logistics | Second lien(2) | 10.50% (Base Rate + 9.25%) | 12/20/2019 | 9,000 | 8,843 | 8,910 | 1.11 % |
| Vitera Healthcare Solutions, LLC | | | | | | | |
| Software | First lien(2) | 6.00% (Base Rate + 5.00%) | 11/4/2020 | 1,980 | 1,964 | 1,970 | |
| | Second lien(2) | 9.25% (Base Rate + 8.25%) | 11/4/2021 | 7,000 | 6,906 | 6,825 | |
| | | | | 8,980 | 8,870 | 8,795 | 1.10 % |
| McKissock, LLC QC McKissock Investment, LLC | | | | | | | |
| Education | First lien(2) | 7.50% (Base Rate + 6.50%) | 8/5/2019 | 4,923 | 4,877 | 4,844 | |

Edgar Filing: New Mountain Finance Corp - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|----------------------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|------|---|
| | First lien(2) | 7.50% (Base Rate + 6.50%) | 8/5/2019 | 3,178 | 3,149 | 3,127 | | |
| | First lien(2)(11)—Drawn | 7.50% (Base Rate + 6.50%) | 8/5/2019 | 576 | 570 | 567 | | |
| | | | | 8,677 | 8,596 | 8,538 | 1.06 | % |
| Asurion, LLC (fka Asurion Corporation) | | | | | | | | |
| Business Services | Second lien(3) | 8.50% (Base Rate + 7.50%) | 3/3/2021 | 5,000 | 4,934 | 4,987 | | |
| | Second lien(2) | 8.50% (Base Rate + 7.50%) | 3/3/2021 | 3,000 | 2,957 | 2,993 | | |
| | | | | 8,000 | 7,891 | 7,980 | 0.99 | % |
| Physio-Control International, Inc. | | | | | | | | |
| Healthcare Products Sotera Defense Solutions, Inc. (Global Defense Technology & Systems, Inc.) | First lien(2) | 9.88% | 1/15/2019 | 6,651 | 6,651 | 7,083 | 0.88 | % |
| Federal Services | First lien(2) | 9.00% (Base Rate + 7.50%) | 4/21/2017 | 7,445 | 7,387 | 6,626 | 0.83 | % |
| Brock Holdings III, Inc. | | | | | | | | |
| Industrial Services | Second lien(2) | 10.00% (Base Rate + 8.25%) | 3/16/2018 | 7,000 | 6,934 | 5,548 | 0.69 | % |
| Immucor, Inc. | | | | | | | | |
| Healthcare Services Virtual Radiologic Corporation | Subordinated(2)(9) | 11.13% | 8/15/2019 | 5,000 | 4,957 | 5,425 | 0.68 | % |
| Healthcare Information Technology Packaging Coordinators, Inc. (12) | First lien(2) | 7.25% (Base Rate + 5.50%) | 12/22/2016 | 5,963 | 5,931 | 4,979 | 0.62 | % |
| Healthcare Products | Second lien(3) | 9.00% (Base Rate + 8.00%) | 8/1/2022 | 5,000 | 4,952 | 4,925 | 0.61 | % |
| LM U.S. Member LLC (and LM U.S. Corp Acquisition Inc.) | | | | | | | | |
| Business Services | Second lien(2) | 8.25% (Base Rate + 7.25%) | 1/25/2021 | 5,000 | 4,940 | 4,867 | 0.61 | % |
| Learning Care Group (US) Inc. (17) | | | | | | | | |
| Learning Care Group (US) No. 2 Inc. | First lien(2) | 5.50% (Base Rate + 4.50%) | 5/5/2021 | 4,465 | 4,424 | 4,476 | 0.56 | % |
| CRC Health Corporation | | | | | | | | |
| Healthcare Services | Second lien(3) | 9.00% (Base Rate + 8.00%) | 9/28/2021 | 4,000 | 3,925 | 4,098 | 0.51 | % |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.
107

Table of Contents

New Mountain Finance Corporation

Consolidated Schedule of Investments (Continued)

December 31, 2014

(in thousands, except shares)

| Portfolio Company, Location and Industry(1) | Type of Investment | Interest Rate | Maturity Date | Principal Amount, Par Value or Shares | Cost | Fair Value | Percent of Net Assets | |
|--|-----------------------|--|----------------------------|--|---------|------------|--------------------------------|---|
| GCA Services Group, Inc. | | | | | | | | |
| Business Services | Second lien(3) | 9.25% (Base Rate + 8.00%) | 11/1/2020 | \$4,000 | \$3,968 | \$3,955 | 0.49 | % |
| Sophia Holding Finance LP / Sophia Holding Finance Inc. | | | | | | | | |
| Software | Subordinated(3) | 9.63% | 12/1/2018 | 3,500 | 3,502 | 3,531 | 0.44 | % |
| York Risk Services Holding Corp. | | | | | | | | |
| Business Services | Subordinated(3) | 8.50% | 10/1/2022 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,011 | 0.38 | % |
| Winebow Holdings, Inc. (Vinter Group, Inc., The) | | | | | | | | |
| Distribution & Logistics | Second lien(3) | 8.50% (Base Rate + 7.50%) | 1/2/2022 | 3,000 | 2,979 | 2,910 | 0.36 | % |
| Synarc-Biocore Holdings, LLC | | | | | | | | |
| Healthcare Services | Second lien(3) | 9.25% (Base Rate + 8.25%) | 3/10/2022 | 2,500 | 2,477 | 2,250 | 0.28 | % |
| Education Management LLC** | | | | | | | | |
| Education | First lien(2) | 9.25% PIK (Base Rate + 8.00% PIK)* | 3/30/2018 | 1,944 | 1,902 | 880 | | |
| | First lien(3) | 9.25% PIK (Base Rate + 8.00% PIK)* | 3/30/2018 | 1,097 | 1,085 | 496 | | |
| | | | | 3,041 | 2,987 | 1,376 | 0.17 | % |
| ATI Acquisition Company (fka Ability Acquisition, Inc.) (13) | | | | | | | | |
| Education | First lien(2) | 17.25% (Base Rate + 10.00% + 4.00% PIK) (7)* | 6/30/2012 - Past Due | 1,665 | 1,434 | 216 | | |
| | First lien(2) | 17.25% (Base Rate + | 6/30/2012 - Past | 103 | 94 | 103 | | |

Edgar Filing: New Mountain Finance Corp - Form 10-K

| | | 10.00% + 4.00% PIK) (7)* | Due | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|-----|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------|---|--|
| Total Funded Debt | | | | 1,768 | 1,528 | 319 | 0.04 | % | |
| Investments - United States | | | | \$1,338,642 | \$1,325,057 | \$1,291,305 | 160.98 | % | |
| Total Funded Debt | | | | \$1,394,165 | \$1,380,164 | \$1,344,464 | 167.60 | % | |
| Investments | | | | | | | | | |
| Equity - United Kingdom | | | | | | | | | |
| Packaging Coordinators, Inc. (12) | | | | | | | | | |
| PCI Pharma Holdings UK Limited** | | | | | | | | | |
| Healthcare Products | Ordinary shares(2) | — | — | 19,427 | \$580 | \$1,193 | 0.15 | % | |
| Total Shares - United Kingdom | | | | | \$580 | \$1,193 | 0.15 | % | |
| Equity - United States | | | | | | | | | |
| Crowley Holdings Preferred, LLC | | | | | | | | | |
| Distribution & Logistics | Preferred shares(3) | 12.00% (10.00% + 2.00% PIK)* | — | 35,721 | \$35,721 | \$35,721 | 4.45 | % | |
| Global Knowledge Training LLC | | | | | | | | | |
| Education | Ordinary shares(2) | — | — | 2 | — | 8 | | | |
| | Preferred shares(2) | — | — | 2,423 | — | 9,739 | | | |
| | | | | | — | 9,747 | 1.22 | % | |
| Tenawa Resource Holdings LLC (16) | | | | | | | | | |
| QID NGL LLC | | | | | | | | | |
| Energy | Ordinary shares(3) | — | — | 3,000,000 | \$3,000 | \$2,430 | 0.30 | % | |
| TWDiamondback Holdings Corp. (18) | | | | | | | | | |
| Distribution & Logistics | Preferred shares(4) | — | — | 200 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 0.25 | % | |
| Ancora Acquisition LLC (13) | | | | | | | | | |
| Education | Preferred shares(6) | — | — | 372 | 83 | 83 | 0.01 | % | |
| Total Shares - United States | | | | | \$40,804 | \$49,981 | 6.23 | % | |
| Total Shares | | | | | \$41,384 | \$51,174 | 6.38 | % | |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

108

Table of Contents

New Mountain Finance Corporation

Consolidated Schedule of Investments (Continued)

December 31, 2014

(in thousands, except shares)

| Portfolio Company, Location and Industry(1) | Type of Investment | Interest Rate | Maturity Date | Principal Amount, Par Value or Shares | Cost | Fair Value | Percent of Net Assets | |
|--|-----------------------|---------------|------------------|--|-------------|-------------|--------------------------------|---|
| Warrants - United States Storapod Holding Company, Inc. Consumer Services YP Holdings LLC (10) YP Equity Investors, LLC Media Learning Care Group (US) Inc. (17) ASP LCG Holdings, Inc. Education UniTek Global Services, Inc. Business Services Alion Science and Technology Corporation Federal Services Ancora Acquisition LLC (13) Education | Warrants(3) | — | — | 360,129 | \$ 156 | \$4,142 | 0.51 | % |
| | Warrants(5) | — | — | 5 | — | 2,549 | 0.32 | % |
| | Warrants(3) | — | — | 622 | 37 | 299 | 0.04 | % |
| | Warrants(3) | — | — | 1,014,454 | 1,449 | — | — | % |
| | Warrants(3) | — | — | 6,000 | 293 | — | — | % |
| | Warrants(6) | — | — | 20 | — | — | — | % |
| Total Warrants - United States | | | | | \$1,935 | \$6,990 | 0.87 | % |
| Total Funded Investments | | | | | \$1,423,483 | \$1,402,628 | 174.85 | % |
| Unfunded Debt Investments - United States TWDiamondback Holdings Corp. (18) Diamondback Drugs of Delaware, L.L.C. | | | | | | | | |

(TWDiamondback II
Holdings LLC)
Distribution &
Logistics

First
lien(4)(11)—Undrawn — 5/19/2015 \$2,763 \$