

SunCoke Energy, Inc.
Form 10-Q
October 30, 2013
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2013

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____
Commission File Number 001-35243

SUNCOKE ENERGY, INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)
1011 Warrenville Road, Suite 600
Lisle, Illinois 60532
(630) 824-1000
(Registrant’s telephone number, including area code)

90-0640593
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of “large accelerated filer,” “accelerated filer” and “smaller reporting company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

Table of Contents

As of October 25, 2013, there were 69,580,319 shares of the Registrant's \$0.01 par value Common Stock outstanding.

Table of Contents

SUNCOKE ENERGY, INC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

| | |
|--|-----------|
| <u>Item 1. Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | <u>1</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Income (Unaudited) For the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012</u> | <u>1</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Unaudited) For the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012</u> | <u>2</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u> <u>At September 30, 2013 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2012</u> | <u>3</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)</u> <u>For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012</u> | <u>4</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Equity (Unaudited)</u> <u>For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012</u> | <u>5</u> |
| <u>Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | <u>6</u> |
| <u>Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | <u>32</u> |
| <u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | <u>50</u> |
| <u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u> | <u>50</u> |
| <u>PART II – OTHER INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u> | <u>51</u> |
| <u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u> | <u>51</u> |
| <u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | <u>52</u> |
| <u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | <u>53</u> |
| <u>Item 6. Exhibits</u> | <u>54</u> |
| <u>SIGNATURE</u> | <u>55</u> |

Table of Contents

PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

SunCoke Energy, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Income

(Unaudited)

Item 1. Consolidated Financial Statements

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|---|---|---------|-------------------|-----------|
| | September 30, | | September 30, | |
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Dollars and shares in millions, except per share amounts) | | | |
| Revenues | | | | |
| Sales and other operating revenue | \$389.9 | \$480.1 | \$1,245.0 | \$1,421.4 |
| Other income, net | 0.6 | 0.4 | 3.1 | 1.3 |
| Total revenues | 390.5 | 480.5 | 1,248.1 | 1,422.7 |
| Costs and operating expenses | | | | |
| Cost of products sold and operating expenses | 316.5 | 388.9 | 1,031.3 | 1,174.6 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 23.5 | 20.0 | 65.9 | 61.2 |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization | 23.2 | 18.9 | 70.5 | 57.5 |
| Total costs and operating expenses | 363.2 | 427.8 | 1,167.7 | 1,293.3 |
| Operating income | 27.3 | 52.7 | 80.4 | 129.4 |
| Interest expense, net | 12.1 | 12.2 | 40.0 | 36.0 |
| Income before income tax expense and loss from equity method investment | 15.2 | 40.5 | 40.4 | 93.4 |
| Income tax expense | 0.6 | 7.6 | 6.5 | 19.9 |
| Loss from equity method investment | 2.3 | — | 2.5 | — |
| Net income | 12.3 | 32.9 | 31.4 | 73.5 |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | 6.1 | 1.3 | 17.4 | 2.3 |
| Net income attributable to SunCoke Energy, Inc. | \$6.2 | \$31.6 | \$14.0 | \$71.2 |
| Earnings attributable to SunCoke Energy, Inc. per common share: | | | | |
| Basic | \$0.09 | \$0.45 | \$0.20 | \$1.02 |
| Diluted | \$0.09 | \$0.45 | \$0.20 | \$1.01 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding: | | | | |
| Basic | 69.8 | 70.0 | 69.9 | 70.0 |
| Diluted | 70.0 | 70.3 | 70.2 | 70.3 |

(See Accompanying Notes)

Table of Contents

SunCoke Energy, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income
(Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|--|--------|------------------------------------|--------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | |
| Net income | \$12.3 | \$32.9 | \$31.4 | \$73.5 |
| Other comprehensive loss: | | | | |
| Reclassifications of prior service benefit and actuarial loss amortization to earnings (net of related tax expense of \$0.2 million and \$0.9 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, and \$0.3 million and \$0.9 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively) | (0.5) | (0.6) | (1.5) | (1.5) |
| Currency translation adjustment | (10.1) | — | (13.5) | (0.9) |
| Comprehensive income | 1.7 | 32.3 | 16.4 | 71.1 |
| Less: Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests | 6.1 | 1.3 | 17.4 | 2.3 |
| Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to SunCoke Energy, Inc. (See Accompanying Notes) | \$(4.4) | \$31.0 | \$(1.0) | \$68.8 |

Table of ContentsSunCoke Energy, Inc.
Consolidated Balance Sheets

| | September 30, 2013 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2012 |
|--|--|----------------------|
| | (Dollars in millions, except per share amounts) | |
| Assets | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$268.8 | \$ 239.2 |
| Receivables | 65.9 | 70.0 |
| Inventories | 134.5 | 160.1 |
| Income tax receivable | 3.7 | — |
| Deferred income taxes | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| Total current assets | 475.5 | 471.9 |
| Investment in Brazil cokemaking operations | 41.0 | 41.0 |
| Equity method investment in VISA SunCoke Limited | 52.5 | — |
| Properties, plants and equipment, net | 1,451.2 | 1,396.6 |
| Lease and mineral rights, net | 52.2 | 52.5 |
| Goodwill | 9.4 | 9.4 |
| Deferred charges and other assets | 40.9 | 39.6 |
| Total assets | \$2,122.7 | \$ 2,011.0 |
| Liabilities and Equity | | |
| Accounts payable | \$125.8 | \$ 132.9 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | 0.8 | 3.3 |
| Accrued liabilities | 60.9 | 91.2 |
| Interest payable | 7.8 | 15.7 |
| Income taxes payable | — | 3.9 |
| Total current liabilities | 195.3 | 247.0 |
| Long-term debt | 648.3 | 720.1 |
| Obligation for black lung benefits | 34.1 | 34.8 |
| Retirement benefit liabilities | 40.9 | 42.5 |
| Deferred income taxes | 362.4 | 361.5 |
| Asset retirement obligations | 16.7 | 13.5 |
| Other deferred credits and liabilities | 17.9 | 16.7 |
| Total liabilities | 1,315.6 | 1,436.1 |
| Equity | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value. Authorized 50,000,000 shares; no issued and outstanding shares at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 | — | — |
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value. Authorized 300,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 69,524,424 and 69,988,728 shares at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Treasury stock, 1,255,355 shares at September 30, 2013 and 603,528 at December 31, 2012 | (19.9) | (9.4) |
| Additional paid-in capital | 443.4 | 436.9 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (22.9) | (7.9) |
| Retained earnings | 132.8 | 118.8 |
| Total SunCoke Energy, Inc. stockholders' equity | 534.1 | 539.1 |

Edgar Filing: SunCoke Energy, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|-----------|------------|
| Noncontrolling interests | 273.0 | 35.8 |
| Total equity | 807.1 | 574.9 |
| Total liabilities and equity (See Accompanying Notes) | \$2,122.7 | \$ 2,011.0 |

3

Table of Contents

SunCoke Energy, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(Unaudited)

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|------------------------------------|---------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Dollars in millions) | |
| Cash Flows from Operating Activities: | | |
| Net income | \$31.4 | \$73.5 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization | 70.5 | 57.5 |
| Deferred income tax expense | 1.2 | 39.2 |
| Payments in excess of expense for retirement plans | (1.6) | (6.2) |
| Share-based compensation expense | 5.5 | 5.1 |
| Loss from equity method investment | 2.5 | — |
| Changes in working capital pertaining to operating activities, net of acquisition: | | |
| Receivables | 4.1 | (24.9) |
| Inventories | 28.3 | 27.0 |
| Accounts payable | (7.1) | (60.9) |
| Accrued liabilities | (30.3) | 10.2 |
| Interest payable | (7.9) | (7.8) |
| Income taxes | (7.3) | (23.6) |
| Other | (1.7) | (11.3) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 87.6 | 77.8 |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities: | | |
| Capital expenditures | (95.6) | (40.6) |
| Acquisition of business | (28.6) | — |
| Equity method investment in VISA SunCoke Limited | (67.7) | — |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (191.9) | (40.6) |
| Cash Flows from Financing Activities: | | |
| Proceeds from issuance of common units of SunCoke Energy Partners, L.P., net of offering costs | 237.8 | — |
| Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt | 150.0 | — |
| Debt issuance costs | (6.9) | — |
| Repayment of long-term debt | (225.0) | (2.5) |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 0.9 | 4.7 |
| Repurchase of common stock | (10.9) | (9.1) |
| Cash distribution to noncontrolling interest | (12.0) | — |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 133.9 | (6.9) |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 29.6 | 30.3 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 239.2 | 127.5 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$268.8 | \$157.8 |
| (See Accompanying Notes) | | |

Table of ContentsSunCoke Energy, Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Equity
(Unaudited)

| | Common Stock | | Treasury Stock | | Additional Paid-In Capital | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss | Retained Earnings | Total SunCoke Energy, Inc. Equity | Noncontrol Interests | Total Equity |
|--|-----------------------|--------|----------------|---------|----------------------------------|---|----------------------|--|-------------------------|-----------------|
| | Shares | Amount | Shares | Amount | | | | | | |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | | | | | | | |
| At December 31, 2011 | 70,012,702 | \$0.7 | — | \$— | \$511.3 | \$(6.5) | \$20.0 | \$525.5 | \$34.4 | \$559.9 |
| Net income | — | — | — | — | — | — | 71.2 | 71.2 | 2.3 | 73.5 |
| Reclassifications of prior service benefit and actuarial loss amortization to earnings (net of related tax benefit of \$0.6 million) | — | — | — | — | — | (1.5) | — | (1.5) | — | (1.5) |
| Currency translation adjustment | — | — | — | — | — | (0.9) | — | (0.9) | — | (0.9) |
| Noncash distribution to Sunoco under Tax Sharing Agreement | — | — | — | — | (88.2) | — | — | (88.2) | — | (88.2) |
| Share-based compensation expense | — | — | — | — | 5.1 | — | — | 5.1 | — | 5.1 |
| Stock options exercised and RSUs vested | 535,143 | — | — | — | 4.7 | — | — | 4.7 | — | 4.7 |
| Shares repurchased (592,197) | — | — | 592,197 | (9.1) | — | — | — | (9.1) | — | (9.1) |
| Shares issued to directors | 10,140 | — | — | — | 0.1 | — | — | 0.1 | — | 0.1 |
| At September 30, 2012 | 69,965,788 | \$0.7 | 592,197 | \$(9.1) | \$433.0 | \$(8.9) | \$91.2 | \$506.9 | \$36.7 | \$543.6 |
| At December 31, 2012 | 69,988,728 | \$0.7 | 603,528 | \$(9.4) | \$436.9 | \$(7.9) | \$118.8 | \$539.1 | \$35.8 | \$574.9 |
| Net income | — | — | — | — | — | — | 14.0 | 14.0 | 17.4 | 31.4 |
| Reclassifications of prior service benefit and actuarial loss amortization to earnings (net of related tax benefit of \$0.9 million) | — | — | — | — | — | (1.5) | — | (1.5) | — | (1.5) |
| Currency translation adjustment | — | — | — | — | — | (13.5) | — | (13.5) | — | (13.5) |

Edgar Filing: SunCoke Energy, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------|--------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Net proceeds from issuance of SunCoke Energy Partners, L.P. units | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 231.8 | 231.8 |
| Cash distribution to noncontrolling interests | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | (12.0) | (12.0) |
| Share-based compensation expense | — | — | — | — | 5.5 | — | — | 5.5 | — | 5.5 |
| Stock options exercised and RSUs vested | 163,859 | — | — | — | 1.3 | — | — | 1.3 | — | 1.3 |
| Shares repurchased | (651,827) | — | 651,827 | (10.5) | (0.4) | — | — | (10.9) | — | (10.9) |
| Shares issued to directors | 23,664 | — | — | — | 0.1 | — | — | 0.1 | — | 0.1 |
| At September 30, 2013 | 69,524,424 | \$ 0.7 | 1,255,355 | \$(19.9) | \$ 443.4 | \$(22.9) | \$ 132.8 | \$ 534.1 | \$ 273.0 | \$ 807.1 |

(See Accompanying Notes)

Table of Contents

SunCoke Energy, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

1. General

Description of Business

SunCoke Energy, Inc. ("SunCoke Energy", "Company", "we", "our" and "us") is an independent owner and operator of five cokemaking facilities in the eastern and midwestern regions of the United States ("U.S.") and operator of a cokemaking facility for a project company in Brazil in which it has a preferred stock investment. The cokemaking operations include blast furnace coke manufacturing at the Company's Jewell Coke Company, L.P. ("Jewell") facility in Vansant, Virginia; Indiana Harbor Coke Company, L.P. ("Indiana Harbor") facility in East Chicago, Indiana; Haverhill Coke Company ("Haverhill") facility in Franklin Furnace, Ohio; Gateway Energy & Coke Company, LLC ("Granite City") facility in Granite City, Illinois; and Middletown Coke Company, Inc. ("Middletown") facility in Middletown, Ohio.

Our Consolidated Financial Statements include SunCoke Energy Partners, L.P. (the "Partnership"), a publicly-traded partnership and variable interest entity. We are considered to be the primary beneficiary of the Partnership for accounting purposes as we have the sole ability to direct the activities of the Partnership that most significantly impact its economic performance. See Note 3.

On August 30, 2013, the Partnership completed its acquisition of the assets and business operations of Lakeshore Coal Handling Corporation, a coal handling and blending service provider. See Note 5.

On March 18, 2013, we completed the transaction to form a cokemaking joint venture with VISA Steel Limited ("VISA Steel") in India called VISA SunCoke Limited ("VISA SunCoke"). VISA SunCoke is comprised of a 440 thousand ton heat recovery cokemaking facility and the facility's associated steam generation units in Odisha, India. See Note 4.

On January 17, 2012 (the "Distribution Date"), we became an independent, publicly-traded company following our separation (the "Separation") from Sunoco, Inc. ("Sunoco"). The Separation occurred in two steps:

We were formed as a wholly-owned subsidiary of Sunoco in 2010. On July 18, 2011 (the "Separation Date"), Sunoco contributed the subsidiaries, assets and liabilities that were primarily related to its cokemaking and coal mining operations to us in exchange for shares of our common stock. As of such date, Sunoco owned 100 percent of our common stock. On July 26, 2011, we completed an initial public offering ("IPO") of 13,340,000 shares of our common stock, or 19.1 percent of our outstanding common stock. Following the IPO, Sunoco continued to own 56,660,000 shares of our common stock, or 80.9 percent of our outstanding common stock.

On the Distribution Date, Sunoco made a pro-rata, tax free distribution (the "Distribution") of the remaining shares of our common stock that it owned in the form of a special stock dividend to Sunoco shareholders. Sunoco shareholders received 0.53046456 of a share of common stock for every share of Sunoco common stock held as of the close of business on January 5, 2012, the record date for the Distribution. After the Distribution, Sunoco ceased to own any shares of our common stock.

Quarterly Reporting

The accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements included herein have been prepared in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") for interim reporting. Certain information and disclosures normally included in financial statements have been omitted pursuant to the rules and regulation of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). In management's opinion, all adjustments (which include only normal recurring adjustments) necessary for a fair presentation of the results of operations, financial position and cash flows for the periods presented have been made. The results of operations for the period ended September 30, 2013 are not necessarily indicative of the operating results for the full year.

Reclassifications

Certain amounts in the prior period Consolidated Financial Statements have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

Table of Contents

New Accounting Standards

On January 1, 2013, we adopted Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2013-02, Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income. This ASU requires the disclosure of changes to accumulated other comprehensive income to be presented by component on the face of the financial statements or in a separate note to the financial statements. This ASU also requires the disclosure of significant items reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income to net income during the period either on the face of the financial statements or in a separate note to the financial statements. This standard is effective prospectively for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2012. See Note 17.

2. Arrangements Between Sunoco and SunCoke Energy, Inc.

In connection with the IPO, SunCoke Energy and Sunoco entered into certain agreements that effected the separation of SunCoke Energy's business from Sunoco, provided a framework for its relationship with Sunoco after the separation and provided for the allocation between SunCoke Energy and Sunoco of Sunoco's assets, employees, liabilities and obligations attributable to periods prior to, at and after the Separation.

Tax Sharing Agreement. On the Separation Date, SunCoke Energy and Sunoco entered into a tax sharing agreement that governs the parties' respective rights, responsibilities and obligations with respect to tax liabilities and benefits, tax attributes, the preparation and filing of tax returns, the control of audits and other tax proceedings and other matters regarding taxes. The tax sharing agreement expires on January 17, 2014. Upon and subsequent to the Separation, SunCoke Energy made noncash distributions of \$88.2 million related to the settlement of tax attributes under the tax sharing agreement with Sunoco during the nine months ended September 30, 2012. A corresponding reduction was made to SunCoke Energy's equity accounts. See Note 6.

Transition Services Agreement. On the Separation Date, SunCoke Energy and Sunoco entered into a transition services agreement. The services provided under this agreement generally terminated upon completion of the Distribution on January 17, 2012. The fees paid to Sunoco under this agreement were not material to the financial statements for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012. We expect any remaining services under this agreement will be terminated by the end of 2013.

Guaranty, Keep Well, and Indemnification Agreement. On the Separation Date, SunCoke Energy and Sunoco entered into a guaranty, keep well, and indemnification agreement. Under this agreement, SunCoke Energy: (1) guarantees the performance of certain obligations of its subsidiaries, prior to the date that Sunoco or its affiliates may become obligated to pay or perform such obligations, including the repayment of a loan from Indiana Harbor Coke Company L.P.; (2) indemnifies, defends, and holds Sunoco and its affiliates harmless against all liabilities relating to these obligations; and (3) restricts the assets, debts, liabilities and business activities of one of its wholly-owned subsidiaries, so long as certain obligations of such subsidiary remain unpaid or unperformed. In addition, SunCoke Energy released Sunoco from its guaranty of payment of a promissory note owed by one of its subsidiaries to another of its subsidiaries.

3. Formation of a Master Limited Partnership

On January 24, 2013, we completed the initial public offering of the Partnership through the sale of 13,500,000 common units, representing limited partner interests in the Partnership in exchange for \$231.8 million of proceeds, net of \$24.7 million of offering costs, \$6.0 million of which were paid during 2012 (the "Partnership offering"). Of these net proceeds, \$67.0 million was retained by the Partnership for environmental remediation capital expenditures and \$12.4 million for sales discounts related to tax credits owed to our customers. Upon the closing of the Partnership offering, we own the general partner of the Partnership, which consists of a 2.0 percent ownership interest and incentive distribution rights, and a 55.9 percent limited partner interest in the Partnership. The key assets of the Partnership are a 65 percent interest in each of our Haverhill and Middletown cokemaking and heat recovery facilities. We are also party to an omnibus agreement pursuant to which we will provide the Partnership with: (1) remarketing efforts upon the occurrence of certain potential adverse events under our coke sales agreements; (2) indemnification of certain environmental costs; and (3) preferential rights for growth opportunities.

In connection with the closing of the Partnership offering, we entered into an amendment to our Credit Agreement and the Partnership repaid \$225.0 million of our Term Loan and issued \$150.0 million of senior notes ("Partnership Notes"). See Note 10.

As the general partner of the Partnership, we have the sole ability to direct the activities of the Partnership that most significantly impact its economic performance. We are also considered to be the primary beneficiary of the Partnership for accounting purposes and consolidate the results of the Partnership in our results. The Partnership's Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, as presented below, are included in the Consolidated Balance Sheets of SunCoke Energy.

7

Table of Contents

| | SunCoke Energy Partners, L.P. | SunCoke Energy Partners, L.P. Predecessor |
|---|--------------------------------------|---|
| | September 30, 2013 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2012 |
| | (Dollars in millions) | |
| Assets | | |
| Cash | \$78.5 | \$— |
| Receivables | 26.0 | 27.4 |
| Inventories | 57.9 | 63.2 |
| Total current assets | 162.4 | 90.6 |
| Properties, plants and equipment, net | 792.5 | 768.7 |
| Deferred income taxes | — | 21.4 |
| Deferred charges and other assets | 7.9 | 4.8 |
| Total assets | \$962.8 | \$885.5 |
| Liabilities and Equity | | |
| Accounts payable | 41.5 | 41.5 |
| Accrued liabilities | 3.5 | 17.0 |
| Interest payable | 1.8 | — |
| Payable to affiliate | 0.7 | — |
| Total current liabilities | 47.5 | 58.5 |
| Long-term debt | 149.7 | 225.0 |
| Deferred income taxes | 1.7 | — |
| Other deferred credits and liabilities | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Total liabilities | 199.2 | 283.8 |
| Parent Net Equity | | |
| Total equity | 763.6 | 601.7 |
| Total liabilities and parent net equity | \$962.8 | \$885.5 |

During 2013, the Partnership paid two quarterly cash distributions totaling \$23.3 million, of which \$9.8 million was paid to public unitholders of the Partnership. On October 22, 2013, the Partnership declared a quarterly cash distribution totaling \$13.9 million, of which \$5.8 million will be paid to public unitholders of the Partnership. The distribution will be paid on November 29, 2013 to unitholders of record on November 15, 2013.

4. Equity Method Investment

On March 18, 2013, we completed the transaction to form a joint venture, VISA SunCoke, with VISA Steel. VISA SunCoke is comprised of a 440 thousand ton heat recovery cokemaking facility and the facility's associated steam generation units in Odisha, India. We invested \$67.7 million to acquire a 49 percent interest in VISA SunCoke with VISA Steel holding the remaining 51 percent interest. This investment is accounted for under the equity method under which investments are initially recorded at cost. We recognize our share of earnings in VISA SunCoke on a one-month lag and began recognizing such earnings in the second quarter of 2013. We intend to permanently reinvest the earnings of VISA SunCoke, and accordingly, no provision for U.S. income taxes has been recorded on such earnings. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, we recognized \$2.3 million and \$2.5 million of equity losses in VISA SunCoke, respectively.

5. Acquisition

On August 30, 2013, the Partnership completed its acquisition of the assets and business operations of Lakeshore Coal Handling Corporation ("Lakeshore"), now called SunCoke Lake Terminal LLC ("Lake Terminal") for \$28.6 million. Prior to the acquisition, the entity that owns SunCoke's Indiana Harbor cokemaking operations was a customer of Lakeshore and held the purchase rights to Lakeshore. Concurrent with the closing of the transaction, the Partnership

paid \$1.8 million to DTE Energy Company, the third party investor owning a 15 percent interest in the entity that owns Indiana Harbor, in consideration for assigning its share of the Lake Terminal buyout rights to the Partnership. The Partnership recognized this payment in selling, general, and administrative expenses on the Consolidated Statement of Income during the period.

8

Table of Contents

Located in East Chicago, Indiana, Lake Terminal does not take possession of coal but instead derives its revenue by providing coal handling and blending services to its customers on a per ton basis. Lake Terminal has and will continue to provide coal handling and blending services to SunCoke's Indiana Harbor cokemaking operations. In September 2013, Lake Terminal and Indiana Harbor entered into a new 10 year contract with terms equivalent to those of an arm's-length transaction. The results of Lake Terminal have been included in the Consolidated Financial Statements since the acquisition date, and are included in the new Coal Logistics segment. The Partnership recognized plant, property, and equipment at the fair value of \$25.9 million and inventory of \$2.7 million in exchange for the \$28.6 million of consideration paid. No goodwill was recorded as a result of this acquisition.

Inclusive of intersegment sales, the acquisition of Lake Terminal increased revenues by \$1.1 million and operating income by \$0.5 million for both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013. The acquisition of Lake Terminal is not material to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements. Therefore, pro forma information has not been presented.

6. Income Taxes

Prior to the Distribution Date, SunCoke Energy and certain subsidiaries of Sunoco were included in the consolidated federal and certain consolidated, combined or unitary state income tax returns filed by Sunoco. However, SunCoke Energy's provision for income taxes and the deferred income tax amounts reflected in the Consolidated Financial Statements have been determined on a theoretical separate-return basis through the Distribution Date. Prior to the Separation Date, any current federal and state income tax amounts were settled with Sunoco under a previous tax sharing arrangement. Under this previous tax sharing arrangement, net operating losses and tax credit carryforwards generated on a theoretical separate-return basis could be used to offset future taxable income determined on a similar basis. Such benefits were reflected in the Company's deferred tax assets, notwithstanding the fact that such net operating losses and tax credits may actually have been realized on Sunoco's consolidated income tax returns, or may be realized in future consolidated income tax returns covering the period through the Distribution Date.

On the Separation Date, SunCoke Energy and Sunoco entered into a new tax sharing agreement that governs the parties' respective rights, responsibilities and obligations with respect to tax liabilities and benefits, tax attributes, the preparation and filing of tax returns, the control of audits and other tax proceedings and other matters regarding taxes. In general, under the tax sharing agreement:

With respect to any periods ending at or prior to the Distribution, SunCoke Energy is responsible for any U.S. federal income taxes and any U.S. state or local income taxes reportable on a consolidated, combined or unitary return, in each case, as would be applicable to SunCoke Energy as if it filed tax returns on a stand-alone basis. With respect to any periods beginning after the Distribution, SunCoke Energy is responsible for any U.S. federal, state or local income taxes of it or any of its subsidiaries.

Sunoco is responsible for any income taxes reportable on returns that include only Sunoco and its subsidiaries (excluding SunCoke Energy and its subsidiaries), and SunCoke Energy is responsible for any income taxes filed on returns that include only it and its subsidiaries.

Sunoco is responsible for any non-income taxes reportable on returns that include only Sunoco and its subsidiaries (excluding SunCoke Energy and its subsidiaries), and SunCoke Energy is responsible for any non-income taxes filed on returns that include only it and its subsidiaries.

SunCoke Energy is generally not entitled to receive payment from Sunoco in respect of any of SunCoke Energy's tax attributes or tax benefits or any reduction of taxes of Sunoco. Moreover, Sunoco is generally entitled to refunds of income taxes with respect to periods ending at or prior to the Distribution. If SunCoke Energy realizes any refund, credit or other reduction in otherwise required tax payments in any period beginning after the Distribution Date as a result of an audit adjustment resulting in taxes for which Sunoco would otherwise be responsible, then, subject to certain exceptions, SunCoke Energy must pay Sunoco the amount of any such taxes for which Sunoco would otherwise be responsible. Further, if any taxes result to Sunoco as a result of a reduction in SunCoke Energy's tax attributes for a period ending at or prior to the Distribution Date pursuant to an audit adjustment (relative to the amount of such tax attribute reflected on Sunoco's tax return as originally filed), then, subject to certain exceptions, SunCoke Energy is generally responsible to pay Sunoco the amount of any such taxes.

SunCoke Energy has also agreed to certain restrictions that are intended to preserve the tax-free status of the contribution and the Distribution. These covenants include restrictions on SunCoke Energy's issuance or sale of stock or other securities (including securities convertible into our stock but excluding certain compensatory arrangements), and sales of assets outside the ordinary course of business and entering into any other corporate transaction which would cause SunCoke Energy to undergo a 50 percent or greater change in its stock ownership.

SunCoke Energy has generally agreed to indemnify Sunoco and its affiliates against any and all tax-related liabilities incurred by them relating to the contribution or the Distribution to the extent caused by an acquisition of SunCoke Energy's

Table of Contents

stock or assets, or other of its actions. This indemnification applies even if Sunoco has permitted SunCoke Energy to take an action that would otherwise have been prohibited under the tax-related covenants as described above. Under the tax sharing agreement, certain deferred tax assets attributable to net operating losses and tax credit carryforwards, which had been reflected in SunCoke Energy's Consolidated Balance Sheets prior to the Separation Date on a theoretical separate-return basis, were no longer realizable by SunCoke Energy. Accordingly, after the Separation Date, current and deferred tax benefits totaling \$229.2 million were eliminated from the Consolidated Balance Sheets, \$88.2 million of which were eliminated in the nine months ended September 30, 2012, with a corresponding reduction to SunCoke Energy's equity accounts.

SunCoke Energy's tax provision was computed on a theoretical separate-return basis through the Distribution Date. To the extent any tax assets or liabilities computed on that basis differ from amounts actually payable or realizable under the provisions of the tax sharing agreement, adjustments to the tax assets and liabilities will be reflected as an income tax expense or benefit with a corresponding payable due to Sunoco, if necessary, when such amounts have been effectively settled under the terms of the tax sharing agreement. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, SunCoke recorded income tax expense of \$1.7 million to settle potential obligations under the provisions of the tax sharing agreement. SunCoke Energy will continue to monitor the utilization of all tax attributes subject to the tax sharing agreement as applicable tax returns are filed or as tax examinations progress and will record additional adjustments when necessary, consistent with the terms of the tax sharing agreement.

At the end of each interim period, we make our best estimate of the effective tax rate expected to be applicable for the full fiscal year and the impact of discrete items, if any, and adjust the rate as necessary.

The Company's income tax provision for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 is lower than the U.S. federal statutory income tax rate primarily due to the impact of earnings that are attributable to noncontrolling ownership interests in partnerships and nonconventional fuel tax credits. The income tax provision for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 includes \$0.4 million related to prior period adjustments associated with local income taxes due for the Company's Middletown operations, a \$1.7 million favorable provision-to-return adjustment as a result of filing our 2012 federal income tax returns, \$1.4 million of additional valuation allowances associated with state and local taxes and \$1.7 million to settle potential obligations under the provisions of our tax sharing agreement with Sunoco. The Company's income tax provision for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 is lower than the U.S. federal statutory income tax rate principally due to the impact of nonconventional fuel tax credits.

We have not provided U.S. income taxes on our share of earnings from our joint venture with VISA Steel as we intend to permanently reinvest the earnings of VISA SunCoke.

7. Inventories

The Company's inventory consists of metallurgical coal, which is the principal raw material for the Company's cokemaking operations, coke, which is the finished good sold by the Company to its customers, and materials, supplies and other.

These components of inventories were as follows:

| | September 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | (Dollars in millions) | |
| Coal | \$90.5 | \$108.0 |
| Coke | 8.2 | 11.8 |
| Materials, supplies and other | 35.8 | 32.0 |
| Consigned coke inventory ⁽¹⁾ | — | 8.3 |
| | \$134.5 | \$160.1 |

(1) During 2011, we estimated that Indiana Harbor would fall short of its 2011 annual minimum coke production requirements by approximately 122 thousand tons. Accordingly, we entered into contracts to procure approximately 133 thousand tons of coke from third parties. The Company then entered into an agreement to sell approximately 95 thousand tons of this purchased coke to a customer on a consignment basis. During 2012, the customer consumed 73 thousand tons of consigned coke and the remaining 22 thousand tons of consigned coke

were consumed during the first quarter of 2013.

Table of Contents

8. Retirement Benefits Plans

Defined Benefit Pension Plan and Postretirement Health Care and Life Insurance Plans

The Company has a noncontributory defined benefit pension plan (“defined benefit plan”), which provides retirement benefits for certain of its employees. The Company also has plans which provide health care and life insurance benefits for many of its retirees (“postretirement benefit plans”). The postretirement benefit plans are unfunded and the costs are borne by the Company.

Effective January 1, 2011, pension benefits under the Company’s defined benefit plan were frozen for all participants in this plan. The Company also amended its postretirement benefit plans during the first quarter of 2010.

Postretirement medical benefits for future retirees were phased out or eliminated, effective January 1, 2011, for non-mining employees with less than ten years of service and employer costs for all those still eligible for such benefits were capped. As a result of these changes, the Company’s postretirement benefit liability declined \$36.7 million during 2010. Most of the benefit of this liability reduction is being amortized into income through 2016.

Defined benefit plan expense consisted of the following components:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2013 | | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 | |
|--------------------------------------|---|--------|--|--------|
| | 2012 | | 2012 | |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | |
| Interest cost on benefit obligations | 0.3 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (0.6) | (0.5) | (1.8) | (1.4) |
| Amortization of actuarial losses | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.7 |
| | \$— | \$0.1 | \$— | \$0.4 |

Postretirement benefit plans benefit consisted of the following components:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2013 | | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 | |
|--------------------------------------|---|----------|--|----------|
| | 2012 | | 2012 | |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | |
| Service cost | \$0.1 | \$0.1 | \$0.2 | \$0.2 |
| Interest cost on benefit obligations | 0.3 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 1.4 |
| Amortization of: | | | | |
| Actuarial losses | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| Prior service benefit | (1.4) | (1.4) | (4.3) | (4.2) |
| | \$(0.6) | \$(0.5) | \$(2.0) | \$(1.5) |

9. Accrued Liabilities

Accrued liabilities consisted of the following:

| | September 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | (Dollars in millions) | |
| Accrued sales discounts ⁽¹⁾ | \$12.5 | \$36.2 |
| Accrued benefits | 18.3 | 21.5 |
| Other taxes payable | 11.8 | 10.9 |
| Other | 18.3 | 22.6 |
| Total | \$60.9 | \$91.2 |

At December 31, 2012, we had \$12.4 million accrued related to sales discounts to be paid to our customer at our Haverhill facility. During the first quarter of 2013, we settled this obligation for \$11.8 million which resulted in a gain of \$0.6 million. This gain is recorded in sales and other operating revenue on our Consolidated Statement of Income.

Table of Contents

10. Debt

Total debt, including the current portion of long-term debt, consisted of the following:

| | September 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | (Dollars in millions) | |
| Term loans, bearing interest at variable rates, due 2018, net of original issue discount of \$1.0 million and \$1.7 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively ⁽¹⁾ | \$99.1 | \$323.4 |
| 7.625% senior notes, due 2019 ("Senior Notes") | 400.0 | 400.0 |
| 7.375% senior notes, due 2020 ("Partnership Notes") | 150.0 | — |
| Total debt | \$649.1 | \$723.4 |
| Less: current portion of long-term debt | 0.8 | 3.3 |
| Total long-term debt | \$648.3 | \$720.1 |

(1) Borrowed under the Company's Credit Agreement on July 26, 2011, as amended ("Credit Agreement").

Concurrent with the IPO, SunCoke Energy entered into a Credit Agreement that provides for a seven-year term loan ("Term Loan") in a principal amount of \$300.0 million. The Credit Agreement provides for up to \$75.0 million in uncommitted incremental facility term loans ("Incremental Facilities") that are available subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions. SunCoke Energy also issued \$400.0 million aggregate principal amount of senior notes ("Senior Notes") that bear interest at a rate of 7.625 percent per annum and will mature in 2019 with all principal paid at maturity.

As of September 30, 2013, there was \$45.0 million of capacity under the Incremental Facilities. The Credit Agreement also provides for a \$150.0 million revolving facility ("Revolving Facility") that can be used to finance capital expenditures, acquisitions, working capital needs and for other general corporate purposes. As of September 30, 2013, the Revolving Facility had letters of credit outstanding of \$2.1 million, leaving \$147.9 million available subject to the terms of the Credit Agreement.

In connection with the closing of the Partnership offering, the Partnership repaid \$225.0 million of our Term Loan and we entered into an amendment to our Credit Agreement. In conjunction with the repayment, we incurred a charge of approximately \$2.9 million, which is included in interest expense, net on the Consolidated Statement of Income, representing the write-off of unamortized debt issuance costs and original issue discount related to the portion of the Term Loan extinguished.

The amendment to our Credit Agreement, among other things, modified provisions to reflect the Partnership offering including (i) changing the definition of "Consolidated Net Income" to include cash distributions received by the Company or a Restricted Subsidiary from an Unrestricted Subsidiary that is controlled directly or indirectly by the Company when calculating "Consolidated Net Income", (ii) clarifying that obligations incurred by certain subsidiaries of the Company at or about the timing of the closing of the Partnership offering shall not be included in the definition of "Indebtedness," and (iii) permitting an allowance for investments in Middletown Coke Company, LLC and Haverhill Coke Company LLC and certain other subsidiaries of the Company. In addition, we also designated Middletown Coke Company, LLC and Haverhill Coke Company LLC as unrestricted subsidiaries. Furthermore, the term of the Credit Agreement was extended to January 2018. We incurred debt issuance costs of \$0.7 million in conjunction with this amendment which will be amortized through January 2018.

In addition, with the closing of the Partnership offering, the Partnership issued \$150.0 million of Partnership Notes. The Partnership Notes have an interest rate of 7.375 percent and mature on February 1, 2020. The Partnership may redeem some or all of the Partnership Notes prior to February 1, 2016 by paying a "make-whole" premium. The Partnership also may redeem some or all of the Partnership Notes on or after February 1, 2016 at specified redemption prices. In addition, prior to February 1, 2016, the Partnership may redeem up to 35 percent of the Partnership Notes using the proceeds of certain equity offerings. If the Partnership sells certain of its assets or experiences specific kinds of changes in control, subject to certain exceptions, the Partnership must offer to purchase the Partnership Notes. In

conjunction with the closing of the Partnership offering, the Partnership also entered into a \$100.0 million revolving credit facility with a term extending through January 2018. In conjunction with these transactions, the Partnership incurred debt issuance costs of \$5.9 million, \$0.8 million of which were immediately expensed and are included in interest expense, net on the Consolidated Statement of Income, as they were related to the portion of the issuance that was considered a modification of the existing Term Loan discussed above. Approximately \$0.6 million of these costs were paid in 2012. This credit facility was amended on August 28, 2013, increasing the total aggregate commitments from lenders to \$150.0 million and now also providing for up to \$100.0 million uncommitted incremental revolving capacity, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions. We paid \$0.9 million in fees related to the

Table of Contents

credit facility amendment. The fees have been included in deferred charges and other assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheet, which will be amortized over the life of the facility. As of September 30, 2013, this credit facility had letters of credit outstanding of \$0.7 million, leaving \$149.3 million available.

11. Commitments and Contingent Liabilities

The Company is subject to indemnity agreements with current and former third-party investors of Indiana Harbor and Jewell related to certain tax benefits that they earned as limited partners. Based on the applicable statute of limitations, as well as published filings of the limited partners, the Company believes that tax audits for years 2006 and 2007, relating to tax credits of approximately \$51 million, may still be open for the limited partners and subject to examination. As of September 30, 2013, the Company has not been notified by the limited partners that any items subject to the indemnification are under examination and further believes that the potential for any claims under the indemnity agreements is remote.

SunCoke is also party to an omnibus agreement pursuant to which we will provide remarketing efforts to the Partnership upon the occurrence of certain potential adverse events under our coke sales agreements, indemnification of certain environmental costs and preferential rights for growth opportunities.

In June 2013, AK Steel experienced a blast furnace outage at their Middletown plant. Due to this outage, we agreed to manage production at our Haverhill cokemaking facility to be consistent with annual contract maximums and to temporarily scale back coke production at our Middletown facility to name plate capacity levels in the second half of 2013. Pursuant to the omnibus agreement, the Company remitted a make-whole payment to the Partnership of \$0.6 million in the third quarter 2013, which was based on lower production levels at our Middletown cokemaking facility. We recorded this payment as a capital contribution to the Partnership. The Company expects to make additional payments of approximately \$0.4 million in the fourth quarter 2013 due to the anticipated lower coke production levels at the Middletown facility. In addition, the Partnership plans to provide AK Steel extended payment terms on December 2013 coke production and pursuant to our omnibus agreement, the Company will remit to the Partnership the amounts due under normal contract terms and hold the extended-term receivables with AK Steel, resulting in a shift of approximately \$20 million in expected operating cash flow from 2013 to early 2014.

The United States Environmental Protection Agency (the "EPA") has issued Notices of Violations ("NOVs") for our Haverhill and Granite City cokemaking facilities which stem from alleged violations of our air emission operating permits for these facilities. We are working in a cooperative manner with the EPA, the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency and the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency to address the allegations, and a Consent Decree among the parties was lodged in federal court in June 2013. The settlement includes payment of a civil penalty, and we estimate our reasonably probable loss to be approximately \$2.2 million. Further, the settlement consists of capital projects to improve reliability of the energy recovery systems and enhance environmental performance at the Haverhill and Granite City facilities. As a result of discussions with the EPA, we spent approximately \$5 million related to these projects in 2012 and expect to spend approximately \$23 million in 2013. We also plan to spend an additional \$72 million in the 2014 to 2016 time frame. A portion of the proceeds from the Partnership offering is being used to fund \$67 million of the total spending on this project.

The Company has received NOVs from the EPA related to our Indiana Harbor cokemaking facility. The Company is working in a cooperative manner to address the allegations with the EPA, the Indiana Department of Environmental Management ("IDEM") and Cokenergy, Inc., an independent power producer that owns and operates an energy facility, including heat recovery equipment, a flue gas desulfurization system and a power generation plant that processes hot flue gas from our Indiana Harbor cokemaking facility to produce steam and electricity and to reduce the sulfur and particulate content of such flue gas. Settlement may require payment of a penalty for alleged past violations as well as undertaking capital projects to enhance environmental performance. In conjunction with the contract renewal with our customer, we are undertaking an estimated \$85 million refurbishment project to improve the reliability and condition of the facility. We spent \$14 million related to this project in 2012 and anticipate spending

\$58 million in 2013. We believe that the scope of the project will address items that may be required in connection with the settlement of the NOV's at our Indiana Harbor cokemaking facility. At this time, the Company cannot yet assess any future injunctive relief or potential monetary penalty and any potential future citations. The Company is unable to estimate a range of probable or reasonably possible loss.

The Southwest Ohio Air Quality Agency ("SWOAQA") also issued a NOV to our Middletown facility in November 2012. We responded to the NOV by providing a carbon injection plan requested by SWOAQA. At present, the Company cannot assess whether there will be a monetary penalty or any future citations, but we do not expect such a penalty or citations to be material to the financial position, results of operations or cash flows of the Company at September 30, 2013.

Other legal and administrative proceedings are pending or may be brought against the Company arising out of its current and past operations, including matters related to commercial and tax disputes, product liability, antitrust, employment claims, premises-liability claims, allegations of exposures of third parties to toxic substances and general environmental claims.

Table of Contents

Although the ultimate outcome of these claims cannot be ascertained at this time, it is reasonably possible that some portion of these claims could be resolved unfavorably to the Company. Management of the Company believes that any liability which may arise from such matters would not be material in relation to the financial position, results of operations or cash flows of the Company at September 30, 2013.

12. Restructuring

During the first quarter of 2013, we implemented a reduction in force at our Coal Mining segment. This reduction in force resulted in the termination of 52 employees eligible to receive certain payments. The Company incurred restructuring charges of \$0.9 million related to this reduction in force during the first quarter of 2013 and does not anticipate any further significant charges. At September 30, 2013, there was no liability remaining related to the restructuring.

13. Share-Based Compensation

During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we granted share-based compensation to eligible participants under the SunCoke Energy, Inc. Long-Term Performance Enhancement Plan (“SunCoke LTPEP”).

Stock Options

We granted stock options to purchase 446,948 shares of common stock during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 with an exercise price equal to the closing price of our common stock on the date of grant. The stock options become exercisable in three equal annual installments beginning one year from the date of grant. The stock options expire 10 years from the date of grant. All awards vest immediately upon a change in control and a qualifying termination of employment as defined by the SunCoke LTPEP.

The Company calculates the value of each employee stock option, estimated on the date of grant, using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The fair value of employee stock options granted during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 was \$6.00 using the following weighted-average assumptions:

| | | |
|---------------------------------|---|---|
| | Nine months ended September 30, 2013 | |
| Risk free interest rate | 0.93 | % |
| Expected term | 5 years | |
| Volatility | 44 | % |
| Dividend yield | — | % |
| Weighted-average exercise price | \$16.55 | |

The Company uses the average implied volatility of the Dow Jones U.S. Steel index coupled with the implied volatility of the S&P 600. Since the Company does not have a direct peer group and only has a limited trading history it believes this approach provides a reasonable implied volatility.

The risk-free interest rate assumption is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve at the date of grant for periods which approximate the expected life of the option. The dividend yield assumption is based on the Company’s future expectation of dividend payouts. The expected life of employee options represents the average contractual term adjusted by the average vesting period of each option tranche. The Company estimated a three percent forfeiture rate for these awards. This estimated forfeiture rate may be revised in subsequent periods if the actual forfeiture rate differs.

As of September 30, 2013, the Company had 2.3 million stock options outstanding excluding the stock options issued in conjunction with the award modifications discussed below. The Company recognized compensation cost of \$1.2 million and \$3.3 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, and compensation expense of \$1.0 million and \$2.9 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. As of September 30, 2013, there was \$6.1 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to these nonvested stock options. This compensation cost is expected to be recognized over the next 1.6 years.

Restricted Stock Units

The Company issued 277,918 restricted stock units (“RSU”) for shares of the Company’s common stock during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 that vest in three annual installments beginning one year from the grant date. The

weighted-average fair value of the RSUs granted during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 of \$16.54 was based on the closing

Table of Contents

price of our common stock on the date of grant. The Company estimated a three percent forfeiture rate for these awards. This estimated forfeiture rate may be revised in subsequent periods if the actual forfeiture rate differs. All awards vest immediately upon a change in control and a qualifying termination of employment as defined by the SunCoke LTPEP.

As of September 30, 2013, the Company had 489,951 RSUs outstanding excluding the RSUs issued in conjunction with the award modifications discussed below. The Company recognized \$0.7 million and \$1.7 million in compensation expense during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, and \$0.3 million and \$1.2 million of compensation expense during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. As of September 30, 2013, there was \$6.1 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to these nonvested RSUs. This compensation cost is expected to be recognized over the next 2.2 years.

Performance Share Units

The Company issued 96,073 performance share units ("PSU") for shares of the Company's common stock during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 that vest on December 31, 2015. All awards vest immediately upon a change in control and a qualifying termination of employment as defined by the SunCoke LTPEP. The weighted average fair value of the PSUs granted during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 is \$19.56 and is based on the closing price of our common stock on the date of grant as well as a Monte Carlo simulation for the portion of the award subject to a market condition. The Company estimated a three percent forfeiture rate for these awards. This estimated forfeiture rate may be revised in subsequent periods if the actual forfeiture rate differs.

The number of PSUs ultimately awarded will be adjusted based upon the following metrics: (1) 50 percent of the award will be determined by the Company's three year total shareholder return ("TSR") as compared to the TSR of the companies making up the S&P 600; and (2) 50 percent of the award will be determined by the Company's three year average pre-tax return on capital for the Company's coke business. Each portion of the award may be adjusted between zero and 200 percent of the original units granted.

As of September 30, 2013, the Company had 96,073 PSUs outstanding. The Company recognized \$0.2 million and \$0.4 million of compensation expense during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively. As of September 30, 2013, there was \$1.5 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to these nonvested PSUs. This compensation cost is expected to be recognized over the next 2.4 years.

Award Modifications

In connection with the Distribution, certain Sunoco common stock awards and stock options that were held by Sunoco employees, Sunoco directors and SunCoke Energy employees were modified and an anti-dilutive provision was added. In general, all Sunoco stock options held by Sunoco employees and Sunoco directors were converted into both Sunoco and SunCoke Energy stock options. Sunoco stock options held by SunCoke Energy employees were converted to SunCoke Energy stock options. All SunCoke Energy common stock issued as a result of option exercises or the vesting of common stock awards will be issued under the SunCoke LTPEP.

At the Distribution Date, 1,219,842 SunCoke Energy stock options were issued in connection with the conversion of the outstanding Sunoco stock options to Sunoco employees and directors, of which 336,781 were outstanding at September 30, 2013. The converted stock options for Sunoco employees and directors are fully vested and exercisable and any expense associated with the modification of these stock options was recognized by Sunoco. The exercise prices for these stock options range from \$4.77 to \$29.35 per share. The stock options expire 10 years from the date of the original grant. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, 79,561 options were exercised and 325,320 options were forfeited.

At the Distribution Date, 295,854 SunCoke Energy stock options were issued in connection with the conversion of the outstanding Sunoco stock options for SunCoke Energy employees, all of which are fully vested with 282,277 outstanding and exercisable as of September 30, 2013. The exercise prices for these stock options range from \$8.93 to \$22.31 per share. The stock options expire 10 years from the date of the original grant. In the first quarter of 2012, SunCoke Energy recorded a \$0.5 million charge in connection with the award modification and the addition of an anti-dilution provision. Compensation expense related to these awards during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 was not material.

Edgar Filing: SunCoke Energy, Inc. - Form 10-Q

At the Distribution Date, outstanding Sunoco common stock units held by SunCoke Energy employees were converted into 95,984 SunCoke Energy restricted stock units, all of which had vested as of September 30, 2013. Compensation expense related to these awards during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 was not material.

Table of Contents

14. Share Repurchase Program

In February 2012, the Company's Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to 3.5 million shares of the Company's common stock over a three year period in order to counter the dilutive impact of exercised stock options and the vesting of restricted stock grants ("Repurchase Program"). During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company repurchased 625,000 shares on the open-market at a cost of approximately \$10.1 million. As of September 30, 2013, the Company had approximately 2.3 million shares remaining available for repurchase under the Repurchase Program.

In addition to shares repurchased under the Repurchase Program discussed above, during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company withheld shares from LTPEP participants to satisfy \$0.8 million in minimum tax withholding obligations, pursuant to the terms of our LTPEP.

15. Earnings per Share

Basic earnings per share has been computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the period. Except where the result would be anti-dilutive, diluted earnings per share has been computed to give effect to share-based compensation awards using the treasury stock method.

The following table sets forth the reconciliation of the weighted-average number of common shares used to compute basic earnings per share ("EPS") to those used to compute diluted EPS:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2013 | | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 | |
|--|---|------|--|------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Shares in millions) | | | |
| Weighted-average number of common shares outstanding-basic | 69.8 | 70.0 | 69.9 | 70.0 |
| Add: Effect of dilutive share-based compensation awards | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Weighted-average number of shares-diluted | 70.0 | 70.3 | 70.2 | 70.3 |

The potential dilutive effect of 1.9 million and 2.4 million stock options was excluded from the computation of diluted weighted-average shares outstanding for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 respectively, as the shares would have been anti-dilutive. The potential dilutive effect of 2.6 million stock options was excluded from the computation of diluted weighted-average shares outstanding for the three months ended and 2.5 million stock options and 0.1 million restricted stock units was excluded from the computation of diluted weighted-average shares outstanding for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, as the shares would have been anti-dilutive.

16. Supplemental Cash Flow Information

Cash flows from operations reflected cash payments for interest and income taxes as follows:

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|-------------------|------------------------------------|--------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Dollars in millions) | |
| Interest paid | \$36.2 | \$40.7 |
| Income taxes paid | \$12.6 | \$3.9 |

17. Supplemental Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss Information

Changes in the balance of accumulated other comprehensive loss, by component, are presented below:

| | Defined Benefit Plans | Currency Translation Adjustments | Total |
|--|-----------------------------|--|-----------|
| | (Dollars in millions) | | |
| At December 31, 2012 | \$(6.6) | \$(1.3) | \$(7.9) |
| Other comprehensive loss before reclassifications | — | (13.5) | (13.5) |
| Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss | (1.5) | — | (1.5) |
| Net current period other comprehensive loss | (1.5) | (13.5) | (15.0) |
| At September 30, 2013 | \$(8.1) | \$(14.8) | \$(22.9) |

Table of Contents

The impact on net income of reclassification adjustments from accumulated other comprehensive loss were as follows:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2013 (Dollars in millions) | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 |
|---|---|---|
| Amortization of defined benefit plan items to net income: | | |
| Prior service benefit | \$1.4 | \$4.3 |
| Actuarial loss | (0.7 |) (1.9 |
| Total before taxes | 0.7 | 2.4 |
| Income tax expense | (0.2 |) (0.9 |
| Total, net of tax | \$0.5 | \$1.5 |

18. Fair Value Measurement

The Company measures certain financial and non-financial assets and liabilities at fair value on a recurring basis. Fair value is defined as the price that would be received from the sale of an asset or paid to transfer a liability in the principal or most advantageous market in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. Fair value disclosures are reflected in a three-level hierarchy, maximizing the use of observable inputs and minimizing the use of unobservable inputs.

The valuation hierarchy is based upon the transparency of inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability on the measurement date. The three levels are defined as follows:

• Level 1 – inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices (unadjusted) for an identical asset or liability in an active market.

• Level 2 – inputs to the valuation methodology include quoted prices for a similar asset or liability in an active market or model-derived valuations in which all significant inputs are observable for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.

• Level 3 – inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement of the asset or liability.

Financial Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

Certain assets and liabilities are measured at fair value on a recurring basis.

Foreign Currency Hedge

The Company occasionally utilizes foreign exchange derivatives to manage the risks associated with fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates and accounts for them under ASC 815-Derivatives and Hedging, which requires all derivatives to be marked to market (fair value). The Company does not purchase or hold any derivatives for trading purposes. On November 26, 2012, the Company entered into agreements to purchase 1.845 billion Indian rupees at a weighted average rate of 56.075 with a settlement date of January 31, 2013. During the first quarter of 2013, the settlement date for these agreements was extended to March 14, 2013. Additionally, on February 21, 2013, the Company entered into agreements to purchase an additional 1.830 billion Indian rupees at a weighted average rate of 54.810 with a settlement date of March 14, 2013, at which point our India joint venture investment was fully hedged. The Company did not elect hedge accounting treatment for these foreign exchange contracts and, therefore, the changes in the fair value of the derivative are recorded in other income, net on the Consolidated Statement of Income. The fair value of the foreign exchange contract at December 31, 2012 was an asset of approximately \$0.6 million. In estimating the fair market value of the foreign exchange contract, the Company utilized published exchange rates at December 31, 2012 between the U.S. dollar and Indian rupee. The contracts were cash settled on March 14, 2013 and the net mark to market impact of the foreign exchange contract was a gain of approximately \$0.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 which was recorded in other income, net on the Consolidated Statement of Income.

Interest Rate Swaps

The Company utilizes interest rate swaps to manage the risk associated with changing interest rates and accounts for them under ASC 815—Derivatives and Hedging, which requires all derivatives to be marked to market (fair value). The Company does not purchase or hold any derivatives for trading purposes. On August 15, 2011, the Company entered into interest rate swap agreements with an aggregate notional amount of \$125.0 million. During the first quarter of

2013, we settled

17

Table of Contents

one of the interest rate swaps having a notional amount of \$25.0 million. The impact of this transaction on the financial statements was not material. The remaining agreements expire three years from the forward effective date of October 11, 2011. Under the outstanding interest rate swap agreements, the Company will pay a weighted average fixed rate of 1.3175 percent in exchange for receiving floating rate payments based on the greater of 1.0 percent or three-month LIBOR. The Company did not elect hedge accounting treatment for these interest rate swaps and, therefore, the changes in the fair value of the interest rate swap agreements are recorded in interest expense. The counterparties of the interest rate swap agreements are large financial institutions which the Company believes are of high quality creditworthiness. While the Company may be exposed to potential losses due to the credit risk of nonperformance by these counterparties, such losses are not anticipated.

The fair value of the swap agreements at September 30, 2013 was a liability of approximately \$0.4 million. The mark to market impact of the swap arrangements was a reduction in interest expense of \$0.1 million and \$0.4 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively and an increase in interest expense of zero and \$0.3 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. In estimating the fair market value of interest rate swaps, the Company utilized a present value technique which discounts future cash flows against the underlying floating rate benchmark. Derivative valuations incorporate credit risk adjustments that are necessary to reflect the probability of default by the counterparty. These inputs are not observable in the market and are classified as Level 3 within the valuation hierarchy.

Non-Financial Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

Contingent consideration related to the acquisition of Harold Keene Coal Co., Inc. and affiliated companies ("HKCC") is measured at fair value and amounted to \$4.6 million at September 30, 2013. The estimated fair value is based on significant inputs that are not observable in the market, or Level 3 within the valuation hierarchy. Key assumptions at September 30, 2013 include (a) a risk-adjusted discount rate range of 1.314 percent to 8.225 percent, which reflects a credit spread adjustment for each period, and (b) production levels of HKCC operations between zero and 318 thousand tons per year. The fair value adjustments to contingent consideration decreased cost of products sold by \$0.2 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013. The fair value adjustments to contingent consideration decreased cost of products sold by \$3.2 million and \$3.9 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively.

Non-Financial Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis

Certain assets and liabilities are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis; that is, the assets and liabilities are not measured at fair value on an ongoing basis, but are subject to fair value adjustments in certain circumstances (e.g., when there is evidence of impairment). At September 30, 2013, no material fair value adjustments or fair value measurements were required for these non-financial assets or liabilities.

Certain Financial Assets and Liabilities not Measured at Fair Value

At September 30, 2013, the estimated fair value of the Company's debt was estimated to be \$682.6 million, compared to a carrying amount of \$649.1 million, which was net of original issue discount and mandatory pre-payments made since issuance. The fair value was estimated by management based upon estimates of debt pricing provided by financial institutions and are considered Level 3 inputs.

19. Business Segment Information

The Company is an independent owner and operator of five cokemaking facilities in the eastern and midwestern regions of the United States. The Company is also the operator of a cokemaking facility for a project company in Brazil in which it has a preferred stock investment and is a 49 percent joint venture partner in a cokemaking operation in India. In addition to its cokemaking operations, the Company has metallurgical coal mining operations in the eastern United States as well as coal handling and blending operations in the midwest.

The Domestic Coke segment includes the Jewell, Indiana Harbor, Haverhill, Granite City and Middletown cokemaking facilities. Each of these facilities produces coke and all facilities except Jewell recover waste heat which is converted to steam or electricity through a similar production process. The coke production for these facilities is sold directly to integrated steel producers under contracts which provide for the pass-through of coal costs subject to contractual coal-to-coke yields plus an operating cost component and fixed fee component received for each ton of coke sold.

Prior to 2011, the results of our Jewell cokemaking facility were not comparable to our other domestic cokemaking facilities due to a difference in pricing for the coal component of coke price. Beginning in January 2011, the coal component of coke price in the Jewell Coke sales agreement was changed, and as a result the economic characteristics of Jewell became similar to the Company's other domestic cokemaking facilities. Prior to 2013, the Company elected to continue to report Jewell separately due to the differences in comparability of Jewell's results caused by different coal pricing terms in pre 2011 periods versus post 2011 periods. Beginning in January 2013, Jewell has been reflected within the Domestic Coke segment as

Table of Contents

there is now consistency in Jewell's contract terms between all periods presented. Prior periods have been restated to reflect this change.

On March 18, 2013, we completed the transaction to form a cokemaking joint venture called VISA SunCoke with VISA Steel. VISA SunCoke is comprised of a 440 thousand ton heat recovery cokemaking facility and the facility's associated steam generation units in Odisha, India. We own a 49 percent interest in VISA SunCoke and account for this investment under the equity method. We recognize our share of earnings in VISA SunCoke on a one-month lag and began recognizing such earnings in the second quarter of 2013. The results of our joint venture are presented below in the India Coke segment.

With the addition of VISA SunCoke, our operations in Brazil are no longer our only foreign coke operations and have been renamed the Brazil Coke segment. The Brazil Coke segment operates a cokemaking facility located in Vitória, Brazil for a project company. The Brazil Coke segment earns income from the Brazilian facility through (1) licensing and operating fees payable to us under long-term contracts with the local project company that will run through 2023, subject, in the case of the licensing agreement, to the issuance prior to 2014 of certain patents in Brazil that have been granted in the United States and (2) an annual preferred dividend on our preferred stock investment from the project company guaranteed by the Brazil subsidiary of ArcelorMittal.

The Company's Coal Mining segment conducts coal mining operations near the Company's Jewell cokemaking facility with mines located in Virginia and West Virginia. Currently, a substantial portion of the coal production is sold to the Jewell cokemaking facility for conversion into coke. Some coal is also sold to other cokemaking facilities within the Domestic Coke segment. Intersegment coal revenues for sales to the Domestic Coke segment are reflective of the contract price that the facilities within the Domestic Coke segment charge their customers, which approximate the market prices for this quality of metallurgical coal.

On August 30, 2013, the Partnership completed its acquisition of Lake Terminal. Located in East Chicago, Indiana, Lake Terminal has and will provide coal handling and blending services to our Indiana Harbor cokemaking operations. The results of Lake Terminal are presented in the new Coal Logistics segment below.

Overhead expenses that can be identified with a segment have been included in determining segment results. The remainder is included in Corporate and Other. Interest expense, net is also excluded from segment results. Segment assets are those assets that are utilized within a specific segment.

The following table includes Adjusted EBITDA, which is the measure of segment profit or loss reported to the chief operating decision maker for purposes of allocating resources to the segments and assessing their performance:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2013 (Dollars in millions) | | | | | | |
|--|--|----------------|----------------|-------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------|
| | Domestic Coke | Brazil Coke | India Coke | Coal Mining | Coal Logistics | Corporate and Other | Consolidated |
| Sales and other operating revenue | \$364.8 | \$8.2 | \$— | \$16.8 | \$0.1 | \$— | \$389.9 |
| Intersegment sales | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$35.7 | \$1.0 | \$— | \$— |
| Adjusted EBITDA | \$64.3 | \$1.5 | \$(2.1) | \$(2.6) | \$0.7 | \$(11.1) | \$50.7 |
| Loss from equity method investment | \$— | \$— | \$2.3 | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$2.3 |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization | \$16.8 | \$0.1 | \$— | \$5.6 | \$0.2 | \$0.5 | \$23.2 |
| Capital expenditures | \$29.5 | \$(0.2) | \$— | \$4.0 | \$— | \$0.9 | \$34.2 |
| Total segment assets | \$1,525.8 | \$51.6 | \$52.8 | \$176.0 | \$30.0 | \$286.5 | \$2,122.7 |
| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 (Dollars in millions) | | | | | | |
| | Domestic Coke | Brazil Coke | Coal Mining | Coal Logistics | Corporate and Other | Consolidated | |
| Sales and other operating revenue | \$462.9 | \$8.3 | \$8.9 | \$— | \$— | \$480.1 | |
| Intersegment sales | \$— | \$— | \$56.2 | \$— | \$— | \$— | |
| Adjusted EBITDA | \$69.8 | \$0.9 | \$10.7 | \$(7.7) | \$— | \$73.7 | |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization | \$14.1 | \$— | \$4.2 | \$0.6 | \$— | \$18.9 | |

Edgar Filing: SunCoke Energy, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|----------------------|-----------|--------|---------|---------|-----------|
| Capital expenditures | \$10.9 | \$0.3 | \$7.7 | \$1.0 | \$19.9 |
| Total segment assets | \$1,533.7 | \$52.8 | \$190.1 | \$183.8 | \$1,960.4 |

19

Table of Contents

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------|
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | | | | |
| | Domestic Coke | Brazil Coke | India Coke | Coal Mining | Coal Logistics | Corporate and Other | Consolidated |
| Sales and other operating revenue | \$ 1,168.8 | \$ 25.9 | \$ — | \$ 50.2 | \$ 0.1 | \$ — | \$ 1,245.0 |
| Intersegment sales | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 100.8 | \$ 1.0 | \$ — | \$ — |
| Adjusted EBITDA | \$ 186.7 | \$ 4.7 | \$ (1.3) | \$ (9.8) | \$ 0.7 | \$ (25.6) | \$ 155.4 |
| Loss from equity method investment | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 2.5 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 2.5 |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization | \$ 52.4 | \$ 0.3 | \$ — | \$ 15.9 | \$ 0.2 | \$ 1.7 | \$ 70.5 |
| Capital expenditures | \$ 77.8 | \$ 0.6 | \$ — | \$ 14.3 | \$ — | \$ 2.9 | \$ 95.6 |
| Total segment assets | \$ 1,525.8 | \$ 51.6 | \$ 52.8 | \$ 176.0 | \$ 30.0 | \$ 286.5 | \$ 2,122.7 |
| | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 | | | | | | |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | | | | |
| | Domestic Coke | Brazil Coke | Coal Mining | Coal Logistics | Corporate and Other | Consolidated | |
| Sales and other operating revenue | | \$ 1,356.6 | \$ 27.3 | \$ 37.5 | \$ — | \$ 1,421.4 | |
| Intersegment sales | | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 152.5 | \$ — | \$ — | |
| Adjusted EBITDA | | \$ 187.0 | \$ 1.7 | \$ 27.4 | \$ (20.1) | \$ 196.0 | |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization | | \$ 43.0 | \$ 0.2 | \$ 12.6 | \$ 1.7 | \$ 57.5 | |
| Capital expenditures | | \$ 20.6 | \$ 1.2 | \$ 16.7 | \$ 2.1 | \$ 40.6 | |
| Total segment assets | | \$ 1,533.7 | \$ 52.8 | \$ 190.1 | \$ 183.8 | \$ 1,960.4 | |

The Company evaluates the performance of its segments based on segment Adjusted EBITDA, which is defined as earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, depletion and amortization (“EBITDA”) adjusted for sales discounts and the interest, taxes, depreciation, depletion and amortization attributable to our equity method investment. EBITDA reflects sales discounts included as a reduction in sales and other operating revenue. The sales discounts represent the sharing with customers of a portion of nonconventional fuels tax credits, which reduce our income tax expense. However, we believe our Adjusted EBITDA would be inappropriately penalized if these discounts were treated as a reduction of EBITDA since they represent sharing of a tax benefit that is not included in EBITDA. Accordingly, in computing Adjusted EBITDA, we have added back these sales discounts. Our Adjusted EBITDA also includes EBITDA attributable to our equity method investment. EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA do not represent and should not be considered alternatives to net income or operating income under GAAP and may not be comparable to other similarly titled measures in other businesses. Management believes Adjusted EBITDA is an important measure of the operating performance of the Company’s net assets.

We believe Adjusted EBITDA is an important measure of operating performance and provides useful information to investors because it highlights trends in our business that may not otherwise be apparent when relying solely on GAAP measures and because it eliminates items that have less bearing on our operating performance. Adjusted EBITDA is a measure of operating performance that is not defined by GAAP, does not represent and should not be considered a substitute for net income as determined in accordance with GAAP. Calculations of Adjusted EBITDA may not be comparable to those reported by other companies.

Set forth below is additional detail as to how we use Adjusted EBITDA as a measure of operating performance, as well as a discussion of the limitations of Adjusted EBITDA as an analytical tool.

Operating Performance. Our management uses Adjusted EBITDA in a number of ways to assess our consolidated financial and operating performance, and we believe this measure is helpful to management in identifying trends in our performance. Adjusted EBITDA helps management identify controllable expenses and make decisions designed to help us meet our current financial goals and optimize our financial performance while neutralizing the impact of capital structure on financial results. Accordingly, we believe this metric measures our financial performance based on

operational factors that management can impact in the short-term, namely our cost structure and expenses.

Table of Contents

Limitations. Other companies may calculate Adjusted EBITDA differently than we do, limiting its usefulness as a comparative measure. Adjusted EBITDA also has limitations as an analytical tool and should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for an analysis of our results as reported under GAAP. Some of these limitations include that Adjusted EBITDA:

- does not reflect our cash expenditures, or future requirements, for capital expenditures or contractual commitments;
- does not reflect changes in, or cash requirement for, working capital needs;
- does not reflect our interest expense, or the cash requirements necessary to service interest on or principal payments of our debt;
- does not reflect certain other non-cash income and expenses;
- excludes income taxes that may represent a reduction in available cash; and
- includes net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests.

Below is a reconciliation of Adjusted EBITDA (unaudited) to net income, which is its most directly comparable financial measure calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP:

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|--|-----------------------|--------|-------------------|---------|
| | September 30, | | September 30, | |
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | |
| Adjusted EBITDA attributable to SunCoke Energy, Inc. | \$40.8 | \$72.6 | \$126.4 | \$194.5 |
| Add: Adjusted EBITDA attributable to noncontrolling interests ⁽¹⁾ | 9.9 | 1.1 | 29.0 | 1.5 |
| Adjusted EBITDA | 50.7 | 73.7 | 155.4 | 196.0 |
| Subtract: | | | | |
| Adjustments to unconsolidated affiliate earnings | 0.3 | — | 1.3 | — |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization | 23.2 | 18.9 | 70.5 | 57.5 |
| Interest expense, net | 12.1 | 12.2 | 40.0 | 36.0 |
| Income tax expense | 0.6 | 7.6 | 6.5 | 19.9 |
| Sales discounts provided to customers due to sharing of nonconventional fuel tax credits | 2.2 | 2.1 | 5.7 | 9.1 |
| Net income | \$12.3 | \$32.9 | \$31.4 | \$73.5 |

⁽¹⁾ Reflects net income attributable to noncontrolling interest adjusted for the noncontrolling interest share of interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization.

The following table sets forth the Company's total sales and other operating revenue by product or service:

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|---------|-------------------|-----------|
| | September 30, | | September 30, | |
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | |
| Coke sales | \$348.3 | \$447.0 | \$1,120.0 | \$1,309.3 |
| Steam and electricity sales | 16.6 | 16.0 | 49.0 | 47.5 |
| Operating and licensing fees | 8.2 | 8.4 | 25.9 | 27.4 |
| Metallurgical coal sales | 16.7 | 8.7 | 50.0 | 37.2 |
| Coal logistics | 0.1 | — | 0.1 | — |
| Sales and other operating revenue | \$389.9 | \$480.1 | \$1,245.0 | \$1,421.4 |

Table of Contents

20. Supplemental Condensed Consolidating Financial Information

Certain 100 percent owned subsidiaries of the Company serve as guarantors of the obligations under the Credit Agreement and \$400 million Notes ("Guarantor Subsidiaries"). These guarantees are full and unconditional (subject, in the case of the Guarantor Subsidiaries, to customary release provisions as described below) and joint and several. For purposes of the following footnote, SunCoke Energy, Inc. is referred to as "Issuer." The indenture dated July 26, 2011 among the Company, the guarantors party thereto and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., governs subsidiaries designated as "Guarantor Subsidiaries." All other consolidated subsidiaries of the Company are collectively referred to as "Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries."

In connection with the closing of the Partnership offering, we entered into an amendment to our Credit Agreement (see Note 10 for additional information). In conjunction with the amendment, we designated Middletown Coke Company, LLC and Haverhill Coke Company LLC as unrestricted subsidiaries. As such, they are presented as "Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries." Prior periods have been restated to reflect this change.

The ability of the Partnership and Indiana Harbor to pay dividends and make loans to the Company is restricted under the

partnership agreements of the Partnership and Indiana Harbor, respectively. The credit agreement governing the Partnership's

credit facility and the indenture governing the Partnership Notes contain customary provisions which would potentially restrict

the Partnership's ability to make distributions or loans to the Company under certain circumstances.

The guarantee of a Guarantor Subsidiary will terminate upon:

• a sale or other disposition of the Guarantor Subsidiary or of all or substantially all of its assets:

• a sale of a majority of the Capital Stock of a Guarantor Subsidiary to a third party, after which the Guarantor Subsidiary is no longer a "Restricted Subsidiary" in accordance with the indenture governing the Notes

• the liquidation or dissolution of a Guarantor Subsidiary so long as no "Default" or "Event of Default," as defined under the indenture governing the Notes, has occurred as a result thereof

• the designation of a Guarantor Subsidiary as an "unrestricted subsidiary" in accordance with the indenture governing the Notes

• the requirements for defeasance or discharge of the indentures governing the Notes having been satisfied.

• the release, other than the discharge through payments by a Guarantor Subsidiary, from its guarantee under the Credit Agreement or other indebtedness that resulted in the obligation of the Guarantor Subsidiary under the indenture governing the Notes

The following supplemental condensed combining and consolidating financial information reflects the Issuer's separate accounts, the combined accounts of the Guarantor Subsidiaries, the combined accounts of the Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries, the combining and consolidating adjustments and eliminations and the Issuer's consolidated accounts for the dates and periods indicated. For purposes of the following condensed combining and consolidating information, the Issuer's investments in its subsidiaries and the Guarantor and Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries' investments in its subsidiaries are accounted for under the equity method of accounting.

Table of Contents

SunCoke Energy, Inc.
Condensed Consolidating Statement of Income
Three Months Ended September 30, 2013
(Dollars in millions)

| | Issuer | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Combining and Consolidating Adjustments | Total |
|---|----------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|----------|
| Revenues | | | | | |
| Sales and other operating revenue | \$— | \$131.1 | \$258.8 | \$— | \$389.9 |
| Equity in earnings of subsidiaries | 14.4 | 25.7 | — | (40.1) | — |
| Other income, net | — | 0.6 | — | — | 0.6 |
| Total revenues | 14.4 | 157.4 | 258.8 | (40.1) | 390.5 |
| Costs and operating expenses | | | | | |
| Cost of products sold and operating expenses | — | 107.1 | 209.4 | — | 316.5 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 3.1 | 11.6 | 8.8 | — | 23.5 |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization | — | 10.8 | 12.4 | — | 23.2 |
| Total costs and operating expenses | 3.1 | 129.5 | 230.6 | — | 363.2 |
| Operating income | 11.3 | 27.9 | 28.2 | (40.1) | 27.3 |
| Interest income—affiliate | — | (1.9) | — | 1.9 | — |
| Interest cost—affiliate | — | — | 1.9 | (1.9) | — |
| Interest expense (income), net | 9.5 | 3.4 | (0.8) | — | 12.1 |
| Total financing expense, net | 9.5 | 1.5 | 1.1 | — | 12.1 |
| Income before income tax expense and loss from equity method investment | 1.8 | 26.4 | 27.1 | (40.1) | 15.2 |
| Income tax (benefit) expense | (4.4) | 5.9 | (0.9) | — | 0.6 |
| Loss from equity method investment | | | 2.3 | | 2.3 |
| Net income | 6.2 | 20.5 | 25.7 | (40.1) | 12.3 |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | — | — | 6.1 | — | 6.1 |
| Net income attributable to SunCoke Energy, Inc. | \$6.2 | \$20.5 | \$19.6 | \$(40.1) | \$6.2 |
| Comprehensive income | \$(4.4) | \$20.3 | \$15.4 | \$(29.6) | \$1.7 |
| Less: Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests | — | — | 6.1 | — | 6.1 |
| Comprehensive income attributable to SunCoke Energy, Inc. | \$(4.4) | \$20.3 | \$9.3 | \$(29.6) | \$(4.4) |

Table of Contents

SunCoke Energy, Inc.
Condensed Consolidating Statement of Income
Three Months Ended September 30, 2012
(Dollars in millions)

| | Issuer | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Combining and Consolidating Adjustments | Total |
|---|--------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|----------|
| Revenues | | | | | |
| Sales and other operating revenue | \$— | \$153.7 | \$326.4 | \$— | \$480.1 |
| Equity in earnings of subsidiaries | 41.6 | 26.0 | — | (67.6 |) — |
| Other income, net | — | 0.4 | — | — | 0.4 |
| Total revenues | 41.6 | 180.1 | 326.4 | (67.6 |) 480.5 |
| Costs and operating expenses | | | | | |
| Cost of products sold and operating expenses | — | 112.6 | 276.3 | — | 388.9 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 2.5 | 11.8 | 5.7 | — | 20.0 |
| Depreciation, depletion, and amortization | — | 9.3 | 9.6 | — | 18.9 |
| Total costs and operating expenses | 2.5 | 133.7 | 291.6 | — | 427.8 |
| Operating income | 39.1 | 46.4 | 34.8 | (67.6 |) 52.7 |
| Interest income—affiliate | — | (1.9 |) — | 1.9 | — |
| Interest expense—affiliate | — | — | 1.9 | (1.9 |) — |
| Interest expense (income), net | 12.1 | (1.8 |) 1.9 | — | 12.2 |
| Total financing expense (income), net | 12.1 | (3.7 |) 3.8 | — | 12.2 |
| Income before income tax expense | 27.0 | 50.1 | 31.0 | (67.6 |) 40.5 |
| Income tax (benefit) expense | (4.6 |) 4.7 | 7.5 | — | 7.6 |
| Net income | 31.6 | 45.4 | 23.5 | (67.6 |) 32.9 |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | — | — | 1.3 | — | 1.3 |
| Net income attributable to SunCoke Energy, Inc. | \$31.6 | \$45.4 | \$22.2 | \$(67.6 |) \$31.6 |
| Comprehensive income | \$31.0 | \$44.8 | \$23.5 | \$(67.0 |) \$32.3 |
| Less: Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests | — | — | 1.3 | — | 1.3 |
| Comprehensive income attributable to SunCoke Energy, Inc. | \$31.0 | \$44.8 | \$22.2 | \$(67.0 |) \$31.0 |

Table of Contents

SunCoke Energy, Inc.
Condensed Consolidating Statement of Income
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013
(Dollars in millions)

| | Issuer | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Combining and Consolidating Adjustments | Total |
|---|----------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|-----------|
| Revenues | | | | | |
| Sales and other operating revenue | \$— | \$400.9 | \$844.1 | \$— | \$1,245.0 |
| Equity in earnings of subsidiaries | 42.0 | 64.2 | — | (106.2) | — |
| Other income, net | — | 3.0 | 0.1 | — | 3.1 |
| Total revenues | 42.0 | 468.1 | 844.2 | (106.2) | 1,248.1 |
| Costs and operating expenses | | | | | |
| Cost of products sold and operating expenses | — | 333.5 | 697.8 | — | 1,031.3 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 8.6 | 36.2 | 21.1 | — | 65.9 |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization | — | 31.4 | 39.1 | — | 70.5 |
| Total costs and operating expenses | 8.6 | 401.1 | 758.0 | — | 1,167.7 |
| Operating income | 33.4 | 67.0 | 86.2 | (106.2) | 80.4 |
| Interest income—affiliate | — | (5.5) | — | 5.5 | — |
| Interest cost—affiliate | — | — | 5.5 | (5.5) | — |
| Interest expense (income), net | 28.6 | (0.5) | 11.9 | — | 40.0 |
| Total financing expense, net | 28.6 | (6.0) | 17.4 | — | 40.0 |
| Income before income tax expense and loss from equity method investment | 4.8 | 73.0 | 68.8 | (106.2) | 40.4 |
| Income tax (benefit) expense | (9.2) | 15.8 | (0.1) | — | 6.5 |
| Loss from equity method investment | — | — | 2.5 | — | 2.5 |
| Net income | 14.0 | 57.2 | 66.4 | (106.2) | 31.4 |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | — | — | 17.4 | — | 17.4 |
| Net income attributable to SunCoke Energy, Inc. | \$14.0 | \$57.2 | \$49.0 | \$(106.2) | \$14.0 |
| Comprehensive income | \$(1.0) | \$55.9 | \$52.7 | \$(91.2) | \$16.4 |
| Less: Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests | — | — | 17.4 | — | 17.4 |
| Comprehensive income attributable to SunCoke Energy, Inc. | \$(1.0) | \$55.9 | \$35.3 | \$(91.2) | \$(1.0) |

Table of Contents

SunCoke Energy, Inc.
Condensed Consolidating Statement of Income
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012
(Dollars in millions)

| | Issuer | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Combining and Consolidating Adjustments | Total |
|---|---------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|-----------|
| Revenues | | | | | |
| Sales and other operating revenue | \$— | \$463.2 | \$958.2 | \$— | \$1,421.4 |
| Equity in earnings of subsidiaries | 104.2 | 61.1 | — | (165.3) | — |
| Other income, net | — | 1.3 | — | — | 1.3 |
| Total revenues | 104.2 | 525.6 | 958.2 | (165.3) | 1,422.7 |
| Costs and operating expenses | | | | | |
| Cost of products sold and operating expenses | — | 343.0 | 831.6 | — | 1,174.6 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 8.0 | 33.1 | 20.1 | — | 61.2 |
| Depreciation, depletion, and amortization | — | 27.8 | 29.7 | — | 57.5 |
| Total costs and operating expenses | 8.0 | 403.9 | 881.4 | — | 1,293.3 |
| Operating income | 96.2 | 121.7 | 76.8 | (165.3) | 129.4 |
| Interest income—affiliate | — | (5.5) | — | 5.5 | — |
| Interest expense—affiliate | — | — | 5.5 | (5.5) | — |
| Interest expense (income), net | 36.2 | (5.5) | 5.3 | — | 36.0 |
| Total financing expense (income), net | 36.2 | (11.0) | 10.8 | — | 36.0 |
| Income before income tax expense | 60.0 | 132.7 | 66.0 | (165.3) | 93.4 |
| Income tax (benefit) expense | (11.2) | 12.8 | 18.3 | — | 19.9 |
| Net income | 71.2 | 119.9 | 47.7 | (165.3) | 73.5 |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | — | — | 2.3 | — | 2.3 |
| Net income attributable to SunCoke Energy, Inc. | \$71.2 | \$119.9 | \$45.4 | \$(165.3) | \$71.2 |
| Comprehensive income | \$68.8 | \$118.4 | \$46.8 | \$(162.9) | \$71.1 |
| Less: Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests | — | — | 2.3 | — | 2.3 |
| Comprehensive income attributable to SunCoke Energy, Inc. | \$68.8 | \$118.4 | \$44.5 | \$(162.9) | \$68.8 |

Table of Contents

SunCoke Energy, Inc.
Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet
September 30, 2013
(Dollars in millions, except per share amounts)

| | Issuer | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Combining and Consolidating Adjustments | Total |
|---|-----------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|-----------|
| Assets | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$— | \$188.9 | \$79.9 | \$— | \$268.8 |
| Receivables | 0.1 | 32.8 | 33.0 | — | 65.9 |
| Inventories | — | 44.8 | 89.7 | — | 134.5 |
| Deferred income taxes | — | 2.6 | — | — | 2.6 |
| Advances from affiliate | 50.3 | 22.8 | — | (73.1) | — |
| Interest receivable from affiliate | — | 5.5 | — | (5.5) | — |
| Income taxes receivable | 30.8 | — | 10.9 | (38.0) | 3.7 |
| Total current assets | 81.2 | 297.4 | 213.5 | (116.6) | 475.5 |
| Notes receivable from affiliate | — | 89.0 | 300.0 | (389.0) | — |
| Investment in Brazil cokemaking operations | — | — | 41.0 | — | 41.0 |
| Equity method investment | — | — | 52.5 | — | 52.5 |
| Properties, plants and equipment, net | — | 500.5 | 950.7 | — | 1,451.2 |
| Lease and mineral rights, net | — | 52.2 | — | — | 52.2 |
| Goodwill | — | 9.4 | — | — | 9.4 |
| Deferred income taxes | 7.3 | — | — | (7.3) | — |
| Deferred charges and other assets | 12.2 | 17.5 | 11.2 | — | 40.9 |
| Investment in subsidiaries | 940.4 | 756.5 | — | (1,696.9) | — |
| Total assets | \$1,041.1 | \$1,722.5 | \$1,568.9 | \$(2,209.8) | \$2,122.7 |
| Liabilities and Equity | | | | | |
| Advances from affiliate | \$— | \$50.3 | \$22.8 | \$(73.1) | \$— |
| Accounts payable | — | 41.6 | 84.2 | — | 125.8 |
| Current portion of long term debt | 0.8 | — | — | — | 0.8 |
| Accrued liabilities | 0.5 | 46.1 | 14.3 | — | 60.9 |
| Interest payable | 6.0 | — | 1.8 | — | 7.8 |
| Interest payable to affiliate | — | — | 5.5 | (5.5) | — |
| Income taxes payable | — | 38.0 | — | (38.0) | — |
| Total current liabilities | 7.3 | 176.0 | 128.6 | (116.6) | 195.3 |
| Long term debt | 498.6 | — | 149.7 | — | 648.3 |
| Payable to affiliate | — | 300.0 | 89.0 | (389.0) | — |
| Obligation for black lung benefits | — | 34.1 | — | — | 34.1 |
| Retirement benefit liabilities | — | 40.9 | — | — | 40.9 |
| Deferred income taxes | — | 368.8 | 0.9 | (7.3) | 362.4 |
| Asset retirement obligations | — | 14.4 | 2.3 | — | 16.7 |
| Other deferred credits and liabilities | 1.1 | 16.4 | 0.4 | — | 17.9 |
| Total liabilities | 507.0 | 950.6 | 370.9 | (512.9) | 1,315.6 |
| Equity | | | | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value. Authorized 50,000,000 shares; no issued and outstanding | — | — | — | — | — |

Edgar Filing: SunCoke Energy, Inc. - Form 10-Q

shares at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012

| | | | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value. Authorized 300,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 69,524,424 shares at September 30, 2013 | 0.7 | — | — | — | 0.7 |
| Treasury Stock, 1,255,356 shares at September 30, 2013 | (19.9 |) — | — | — | (19.9) |
| Additional paid-in capital | 443.4 | 385.7 | 857.3 | (1,243.0 |) 443.4 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | (22.9 |) (8.0 |) (14.9 |) 22.9 | (22.9) |
| Retained earnings | 132.8 | 394.2 | 82.6 | (476.8 |) 132.8 |
| Total SunCoke Energy, Inc. stockholders' equity | 534.1 | 771.9 | 925.0 | (1,696.9 |) 534.1 |
| Noncontrolling interests | — | — | 273.0 | — | 273.0 |
| Total equity | 534.1 | 771.9 | 1,198.0 | (1,696.9 |) 807.1 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$1,041.1 | \$1,722.5 | \$1,568.9 | \$ (2,209.8 |) \$2,122.7 |

27

Table of Contents

SunCoke Energy, Inc.

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet

December 31, 2012

(Dollars in millions, except per share amounts)

| | Issuer | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Combining and Consolidating Adjustments | Total |
|--|-----------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|-----------|
| Assets | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$— | \$206.9 | \$32.3 | \$— | \$239.2 |
| Receivables | — | 28.3 | 41.7 | — | 70.0 |
| Inventories | — | 57.2 | 102.9 | — | 160.1 |
| Deferred income taxes | — | 2.0 | 0.6 | — | 2.6 |
| Income taxes receivable | 16.1 | — | 0.4 | (16.5) | — |
| Advances from affiliate | 65.8 | — | 70.5 | (136.3) | — |
| Total current assets | 81.9 | 294.4 | 248.4 | (152.8) | 471.9 |
| Notes receivable from affiliate | — | 89.0 | 300.0 | (389.0) | — |
| Investment in Brazil cokemaking operations | — | — | 41.0 | — | 41.0 |
| Properties, plants and equipment, net | — | 508.5 | 888.1 | — | 1,396.6 |
| Lease and mineral rights, net | — | 52.5 | — | — | 52.5 |
| Goodwill | — | 9.4 | — | — | 9.4 |
| Deferred charges and other assets | 23.0 | 13.2 | 3.4 | — | 39.6 |
| Investment in subsidiaries | 1,173.4 | 992.7 | — | (2,166.1) | — |
| Total assets | \$1,278.3 | \$1,959.7 | \$1,480.9 | \$(2,707.9) | \$2,011.0 |
| Liabilities and Equity | | | | | |
| Advances from affiliate | \$— | \$136.3 | \$— | \$(136.3) | \$— |
| Accounts payable | 0.5 | 49.0 | 83.4 | — | 132.9 |
| Current portion of long term debt | 3.3 | — | — | — | 3.3 |
| Accrued liabilities | 0.6 | 60.7 | 29.9 | — | 91.2 |
| Interest payable | 15.7 | — | — | — | 15.7 |
| Income taxes payable | — | 20.4 | — | (16.5) | 3.9 |
| Total current liabilities | 20.1 | 266.4 | 113.3 | (152.8) | 247.0 |
| Long term debt | 720.1 | — | — | — | 720.1 |
| Payable to affiliate | — | 300.0 | 89.0 | (389.0) | — |
| Obligation for black lung benefits | — | 34.8 | — | — | 34.8 |
| Retirement benefit liabilities | — | 42.4 | 0.1 | — | 42.5 |
| Deferred income taxes | (1.9) | 180.0 | 183.4 | — | 361.5 |
| Asset retirement obligations | — | 11.3 | 2.2 | — | 13.5 |
| Other deferred credits and liabilities | 0.9 | 15.5 | 0.3 | — | 16.7 |
| Total liabilities | 739.2 | 850.4 | 388.3 | (541.8) | 1,436.1 |
| Equity | | | | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value. Authorized 50,000,000 shares; no issued and outstanding shares at December 31, 2012 | — | — | — | — | — |
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value. Authorized 300,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 69,988,728 shares at December 31, 2012 | 0.7 | — | — | — | 0.7 |
| | (9.4) | — | — | — | (9.4) |

Edgar Filing: SunCoke Energy, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Treasury stock, 603,528 shares at December 31, 2012

| | | | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|-----------|
| Additional paid-in capital | 436.9 | 778.9 | 938.4 | (1,717.3) | 436.9 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | (7.9) | (6.7) | (1.2) | 7.9 | (7.9) |
| Retained earnings | 118.8 | 337.1 | 119.6 | (456.7) | 118.8 |
| Total SunCoke Energy, Inc. stockholders' equity | 539.1 | 1,109.3 | 1,056.8 | (2,166.1) | 539.1 |
| Noncontrolling interests | — | — | 35.8 | — | 35.8 |
| Total equity | 539.1 | 1,109.3 | 1,092.6 | (2,166.1) | 574.9 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$1,278.3 | \$1,959.7 | \$1,480.9 | \$(2,707.9) | \$2,011.0 |

28

Table of Contents

SunCoke Energy, Inc.
Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013
(Dollars in millions)

| | Issuer | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Combining and Consolidating Adjustments | Total |
|--|---------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|----------|
| Cash Flows from Operating Activities: | | | | | |
| Net income | \$ 14.0 | \$ 57.2 | \$ 66.4 | \$ (106.2) | \$ 31.4 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities: | | | | | |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization | — | 31.4 | 39.1 | — | 70.5 |
| Deferred income tax expense | — | 1.2 | — | — | 1.2 |
| Payments in excess of expense for retirement plans | — | (1.5) | (0.1) | — | (1.6) |
| Share-based compensation expense | 5.5 | — | — | — | 5.5 |
| Equity in earnings of subsidiaries | (42.0) | (64.2) | — | 106.2 | — |
| Loss from equity method investment | — | — | 2.5 | — | 2.5 |
| Changes in working capital pertaining to operating activities: | | | | | |
| Receivables | (0.1) | (4.5) | 8.7 | — | 4.1 |
| Inventories | — | 12.4 | 15.9 | — | 28.3 |
| Accounts payable | (0.5) | (7.4) | 0.8 | — | (7.1) |
| Accrued liabilities | (0.1) | (14.6) | (15.6) | — | (30.3) |
| Interest payable | (9.7) | (5.5) | 7.3 | — | (7.9) |
| Income taxes payable | (14.4) | 17.6 | (10.5) | — | (7.3) |
| Other | 8.4 | (1.3) | (8.8) | — | (1.7) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities | (38.9) | 20.8 | 105.7 | — | 87.6 |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities: | | | | | |
| Capital expenditures | — | (20.4) | (75.2) | — | (95.6) |
| Aquisition of business | — | — | (28.6) | — | (28.6) |
| Equity method investment | — | — | (67.7) | — | (67.7) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | — | (20.4) | (171.5) | — | (191.9) |
| Cash Flows from Financing Activities: | | | | | |
| Proceeds from issuance of common units or SunCoke Energy Partners, L.P. | — | — | 237.8 | — | 237.8 |
| Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt | — | — | 150.0 | — | 150.0 |
| Debt issuance costs | (1.6) | — | (5.3) | — | (6.9) |
| Repayment of long-term debt | — | — | (225.0) | — | (225.0) |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 0.9 | — | — | — | 0.9 |
| Repurchase of common stock | (10.9) | — | — | — | (10.9) |
| Cash distributions to noncontrolling interests in cokemaking operations | — | — | (12.0) | — | (12.0) |
| Net increase (decrease) in advances from affiliate | 50.5 | (18.4) | (32.1) | — | — |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 38.9 | (18.4) | 113.4 | — | 133.9 |
| | — | (18.0) | 47.6 | — | 29.6 |

Edgar Filing: SunCoke Energy, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash
equivalents

| | | | | | |
|--|-----|---------|--------|-----|---------|
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | — | 206.9 | 32.3 | — | 239.2 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$— | \$188.9 | \$79.9 | \$— | \$268.8 |

29

Table of Contents

SunCoke Energy, Inc.
Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012
(Dollars in millions)

| | Issuer | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Combining and Consolidating Adjustments | Total |
|--|----------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|---------|
| Cash Flows from Operating Activities: | | | | | |
| Net income | \$71.2 | \$119.9 | \$47.7 | \$(165.3) | \$73.5 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities: | | | | | |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization | — | 27.8 | 29.7 | — | 57.5 |
| Deferred income tax (benefit) expense | — | 30.1 | 9.1 | — | 39.2 |
| Payments less than expense for retirement plans | — | (6.2) | — | — | (6.2) |
| Share-based compensation expense | 5.1 | — | — | — | 5.1 |
| Equity in earnings of subsidiaries | (104.2) | (61.1) | — | 165.3 | — |
| Changes in working capital pertaining to operating activities: | | | | | |
| Receivables | — | (14.8) | (10.1) | — | (24.9) |
| Inventories | — | 19.5 | 7.5 | — | 27.0 |
| Accounts payable | 1.0 | (34.9) | (27.0) | — | (60.9) |
| Accrued liabilities | — | 6.8 | 3.4 | — | 10.2 |
| Interest payable | (7.8) | (2.2) | 2.2 | — | (7.8) |
| Income taxes payable | (10.4) | (9.7) | (3.5) | — | (23.6) |
| Other | (2.6) | (12.7) | 4.0 | — | (11.3) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities | (47.7) | 62.5 | 63.0 | — | 77.8 |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities: | | | | | |
| Capital expenditures | — | (20.2) | (20.4) | — | (40.6) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | — | (20.2) | (20.4) | — | (40.6) |
| Cash Flows from Financing Activities: | | | | | |
| Repayment of long-term debt | (2.5) | — | — | — | (2.5) |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 4.7 | — | — | — | 4.7 |
| Repurchase of common stock | (9.1) | — | — | — | (9.1) |
| Net increase (decrease) in advances from affiliate | 54.6 | (26.5) | (28.1) | — | — |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 47.7 | (26.5) | (28.1) | — | (6.9) |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | — | 15.8 | 14.5 | — | 30.3 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | — | 109.4 | 18.1 | — | 127.5 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$— | \$125.2 | \$32.6 | \$— | \$157.8 |

Table of Contents

21. Subsequent Event

Coal Handling Transaction

On October 1, 2013, the Partnership completed its acquisition of 100 percent of the ownership interest in Kanawha River Terminals LLC ("KRT") for \$86.0 million utilizing \$46.0 million of available cash and \$40.0 million of borrowings under its existing revolving credit facility. KRT is a leading metallurgical and thermal coal blending and handling terminal service provider with the collective capacity to blend and transload more than 30 million tons of coal annually. Beginning in the fourth quarter, the results of the Partnership's acquisition of KRT will be included in the Coal Logistics segment. The acquisition of KRT is not anticipated to be material to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

ArcelorMittal contract extension

Effective October 1, 2013, the Company entered into a 10-year extension of its existing Indiana Harbor coke sales agreement to provide 1.22 million tons of metallurgical coke annually to ArcelorMittal. Key provisions of the extension agreement are substantially similar to the existing agreement, including continuing the pass-through of coal costs, reimbursement of operating and maintenance expenses subject to certain metrics, and an increased fixed fee per ton of coke produced to recognize the approximately \$85 million in new capital being deployed to refurbish and upgrade the Company's Indiana Harbor cokemaking facility.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains certain forward-looking statements of expected future developments, as defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. This discussion contains forward-looking statements about our business, operations and industry that involve risks and uncertainties, such as statements regarding our plans, objectives, expectations and intentions. Our future results and financial condition may differ materially from those we currently anticipate as a result of the factors we describe under "Cautionary Statement Concerning Forward-Looking Statements."

This "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" is based on financial data derived from the financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") and certain other financial data that is prepared using non-GAAP measures. For a reconciliation of these non-GAAP measures to the most comparable GAAP components, see "Non-GAAP Financial Measures" at the end of this Item.

Overview

We are the largest independent producer of high-quality coke in the Americas, as measured by tons of coke produced each year, and have more than 50 years of coke production experience. Coke is a principal raw material in the blast furnace steelmaking process. Coke is generally produced by heating metallurgical coal in a refractory oven, which releases certain volatile components from the coal, thus transforming the coal into coke. We have designed, developed and built, and own and operate five cokemaking facilities in the United States ("U.S.").

During 2012, we sold approximately 4.4 million tons of coke to our three primary customers in the U.S.:

ArcelorMittal, AK Steel and U.S. Steel. Our total U.S. cokemaking stated capacity is approximately 4.2 million tons of coke per year. We operate a cokemaking facility in Brazil under licensing and operating agreements on behalf of a Brazilian subsidiary of ArcelorMittal. The Brazilian facility is the largest cokemaking facility that we operate, with production capacity of approximately 1.7 million tons of coke per year. On March 18, 2013, we completed the transaction to form a cokemaking joint venture with VISA Steel Limited ("VISA Steel") in India called VISA SunCoke Limited ("VISA SunCoke"). VISA SunCoke is comprised of a 440 thousand ton heat recovery cokemaking facility and the facility's associated steam generation units in Odisha, India. VISA SunCoke will sell approximately one-third of its coke production and all of its steam production to VISA Steel with the remainder of the coke production being sold on the spot market.

All of our U.S. coke sales are made pursuant to long-term take-or-pay agreements. These coke sales agreements have an average remaining term of approximately 11 years and contain pass-through provisions for costs we incur in the cokemaking process, including coal procurement costs, subject to meeting contractual coal-to-coke yields, operating and maintenance expenses, costs related to the transportation of coke to our customers, taxes (other than income taxes) and costs associated with changes in regulation. The coke sales agreement and energy sales agreement with AK Steel at our Haverhill facility are subject to early termination by AK Steel beginning in January 2014 under limited circumstances and provided that AK Steel has given at least two years prior notice of its intention to terminate the agreements and certain other conditions are met. In addition, AK Steel is required to pay a significant termination payment to us if it exercises its termination right prior to 2018. No other coke sales contract has an early termination clause.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth information about our cokemaking facilities:

| Facility | Location | Customer | Year of Start Up | Contract Expiration | Number of Coke Ovens | Cokemaking Capacity (thousands of tons) | Use of Waste Heat |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---|--|
| Owned and Operated: | | | | | | | |
| Jewell | Vansant, Virginia | ArcelorMittal | 1962 | 2020 | 142 | 720 | Partially used for thermal coal drying |
| Indiana Harbor | East Chicago, Indiana | ArcelorMittal | 1998 | 2023 | 268 | 1,220 | Heat for power generation |
| Haverhill Phase I | Franklin Furnace, Ohio | ArcelorMittal | 2005 | 2020 | 100 | 550 | Process steam |
| Haverhill Phase II | Franklin Furnace, Ohio | AK Steel | 2008 | 2022 | 100 | 550 | Power generation |
| Granite City | Granite City, Illinois | U.S. Steel | 2009 | 2025 | 120 | 650 | Steam for power generation |
| Middletown ⁽¹⁾ | Middletown, Ohio | AK Steel | 2011 | 2032 | 100 | 550 | Power generation |
| | | | | | 830 | 4,240 | |
| Operated: | | | | | | | |
| Vitória | Vitória, Brazil | ArcelorMittal | 2007 | 2023 | 320 | 1,700 | Steam for power generation |
| | | | | | 1,150 | 5,940 | |
| Equity Method Investment: | | | | | | | |
| VISA Suncoke ⁽²⁾ | Odisha, India | Various | 2013 | NA | 88 | 440 | Steam for power generation |
| Total | | | | | 1,238 | 6,380 | |

Cokemaking capacity represents stated capacity for production of blast furnace coke. The Middletown coke sales (1) agreement provides for coke sales on a “run of oven” basis, which include both blast furnace coke and small coke.

Middletown capacity on a “run of oven” basis is 578 thousand tons per year.

(2) Cokemaking capacity represents 100 percent of VISA SunCoke, our 49 percent joint venture with VISA Steel. We also own and operate coal mining operations in Virginia and West Virginia that sold approximately 1.5 million tons of metallurgical coal (including internal sales to our cokemaking operations) in 2012. Our mining area consists of nine active underground mines, one active surface mines and one active highwall mine, as well as three preparation plants and three load-out facilities in Russell and Buchanan Counties in Virginia and McDowell County, West Virginia.

Our Separation from Sunoco

On January 17, 2012 (the “Distribution Date”), we became an independent, publicly-traded company following our separation from Sunoco, Inc. (“Sunoco”). The Separation occurred in two steps (the “Separation”):

We were formed as a wholly-owned subsidiary of Sunoco in 2010. On July 18, 2011 (the “Separation Date”), Sunoco contributed the subsidiaries, assets and liabilities that were primarily related to its cokemaking and coal mining operations to us in exchange for shares of our common stock. As of such date, Sunoco owned 100 percent of our common stock. On July 26, 2011, we completed an initial public offering (“IPO”) of 13,340,000 shares of our common stock, or 19.1 percent of our outstanding common stock. Following the IPO, Sunoco continued to own 56,660,000 shares of our common stock, or 80.9 percent of our outstanding common stock.

On the Distribution Date, Sunoco made a pro-rata, tax free distribution (the “Distribution”) of the remaining shares of our common stock that it owned in the form of a special stock dividend to Sunoco shareholders. Sunoco shareholders

received 0.53046456 of a share of common stock for every share of Sunoco common stock held as of the close of business on January 5, 2012, the record date for the Distribution. After the Distribution, Sunoco ceased to own any shares of our common stock.

Recent Developments

Formation of a Master Limited Partnership. On January 24, 2013, we completed the initial public offering of a master limited partnership ("the Partnership") through the sale of 13,500,000 common units of limited partner interests in the Partnership in exchange for \$231.8 million of net proceeds (the "Partnership offering"). Upon the closing of the Partnership offering, we own the general partner of the Partnership, which consists of a 2.0 percent

Table of Contents

ownership interest and incentive distribution rights, and own a 55.9 percent limited partner interest in the Partnership. The remaining 42.1 percent interest in the Partnership is held by public unitholders and reflected in noncontrolling interest on our Consolidated Statement of Income and Consolidated Balance Sheet beginning with the first quarter of 2013. The key assets of the Partnership are a 65 percent interest in each of our Haverhill and Middletown cokemaking and heat recovery facilities. As the general partner of the Partnership, we have the sole ability to direct the activities of the Partnership that most significantly impact its economic performance. We are also considered to be the primary beneficiary of the Partnership for accounting purposes and consolidate the results of the Partnership in our results. Income attributable to the noncontrolling interest in the Partnership was approximately \$5.8 million and \$17.4 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively.

International growth strategy. On March 18, 2013, we completed the transaction to form a joint venture with VISA Steel in India. VISA SunCoke is comprised of a 440 thousand ton heat recovery cokemaking facility and the facility's associated steam generation units in Odisha, India. We invested \$67.7 million to acquire a 49 percent interest in VISA SunCoke, with VISA Steel holding the remaining 51 percent. The investment is accounted for under the equity method under which investments are initially recorded at cost. We recognize our share of earnings in VISA SunCoke on a one-month lag. VISA SunCoke will sell approximately one-third of its production to VISA Steel with the remainder being sold on the spot market. The Company continues to pursue additional investment opportunities to grow our international footprint in India.

Coal handling transactions. On August 30, 2013, the Partnership completed its acquisition of the assets and business operations of Lakeshore Coal Handling Corporation ("Lakeshore"), now called SunCoke Lake Terminal LLC ("Lake Terminal") for \$28.6 million. Prior to the acquisition, the entity that owns SunCoke's Indiana Harbor cokemaking operations was a customer of Lakeshore and held the purchase rights to Lakeshore. Concurrent with the closing of the transaction, the Partnership paid \$1.8 million to DTE Energy Company, the third party investor owning a 15 percent interest in the entity that owns Indiana Harbor in consideration for assigning its share of the Lake Terminal buyout rights to the Partnership. The Partnership recognized this payment in selling, general, and administrative expenses on the Consolidated Statement of Income during the period. We expect Adjusted EBITDA of approximately \$1.3 million from Lake Terminal during the balance of 2013.

Located in East Chicago, Indiana, Lake Terminal does not take possession of coal but instead derives its revenue by providing coal handling and blending services to its customers on a per ton basis. Lake Terminal has and will continue to provide coal handling and blending services to the Company's Indiana Harbor cokemaking operations. In September 2013, Lake Terminal and Indiana Harbor entered into a new 10-year contract with terms equivalent to those of an arm's-length transaction. The Partnership intends to maintain Lake Terminal's current operations and retain existing staff. The results of Lake Terminal have been included in the Consolidated Financial Statements since the acquisition date and are included in the new Coal Logistics segment.

On October 1, 2013, the Partnership completed its acquisition of 100 percent of the ownership interest in Kanawha River Terminals LLC ("KRT") for \$86.0 million utilizing \$46.0 million of available cash and \$40.0 million of borrowings under its existing revolving credit facility. KRT is a leading metallurgical and thermal coal blending and handling terminal service provider with the collective capacity to blend and transload more than 30 million tons of coal annually. We expect Adjusted EBITDA of approximately \$2.9 million from KRT during the balance of 2013. Beginning in the fourth quarter, the results of the Partnership's acquisition of KRT will be included in the new Coal Logistics segment.

ArcelorMittal contract extension. Effective October 1, 2013, the Company entered into a 10-year extension of its existing Indiana Harbor coke sales agreement to provide 1.22 million tons of coke annually to ArcelorMittal. Key provisions of the extension agreement are substantially similar to the existing agreement, including continuing the pass-through of coal costs, reimbursement of operating and maintenance expenses subject to certain metrics, and an increased fixed fee per ton of coke produced to recognize the approximately \$85 million in new capital being deployed to refurbish and upgrade the Company's Indiana Harbor cokemaking facility. We expect an increase in Adjusted EBITDA of approximately \$4 million in the balance of 2013 related to the change in terms.

AK Steel Middletown outage. We cooperated with AK Steel on its projected second half of 2013 coke needs after a blast furnace outage at their Middletown plant in the second quarter of 2013. Specifically, due to this outage, we

agreed to manage production at our Haverhill cokemaking facility to be consistent with annual contract maximums and to temporarily scale back coke production at our Middletown facility to name plate capacity levels in the second half of 2013. In addition, we plan to provide AK Steel extended payment terms on December 2013 coke production of 50 thousand tons, resulting in a shift of approximately \$20 million in expected operating cash flow from 2013 to early 2014. The scale back in production had an estimated \$0.9 million effect on Adjusted EBITDA during the third quarter of 2013, and we expect a \$0.6 million effect on Adjusted EBITDA in the fourth quarter 2013.

Table of Contents

Third Quarter Key Financial Results

Revenues decreased 18.7 percent in the three months ended September 30, 2013 to \$390.5 million primarily due to the pass-through of lower coal prices in our cokemaking business and an approximate \$46 per ton decline in average coal sales price partly offset by higher coal sales volume.

Net income attributable to stockholders decreased \$25.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013, to \$6.2 million, or \$0.09 per share, compared with the three months ended September 30, 2012. This decrease is due to the following items:

weakness in our Coal Mining segment;

increased income attributable to noncontrolling interest due to the creation of the Partnership;

higher depreciation related to capital expenditures as well as accelerated depreciation taken on certain assets at our Indiana Harbor facility due to a change in their estimated useful lives as a major refurbishment is underway; and increased corporate expenses reflecting higher legal expenses and higher incentive stock compensation and public company costs associated with the Partnership.

Adjusted EBITDA was \$50.7 million in the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$73.7 million in the same period prior year, a decrease of \$23.0 million. This decrease was driven primarily by weakness in our Coal Mining segment.

Items Impacting Comparability

Middletown Cokemaking Operations. We commenced operations at our Middletown, Ohio cokemaking facility in October 2011 and reached full production during the first quarter of 2012. In the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Middletown cokemaking facility produced 463 thousand tons of coke and contributed \$198.9 million and \$58.3 million to revenues and Adjusted EBITDA, respectively. This compares to production of 449 thousand tons of coke and contributions of \$217.2 million and \$42.3 million to revenues and Adjusted EBITDA, respectively, in the nine months ended September 30, 2012. Middletown revenue and Adjusted EBITDA for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 benefited from increased operating cost recovery of \$4.9 million due to the change from a fixed operating fee per ton to a budgeted amount per ton based on the expected full recovery of operational and maintenance costs. Middletown Adjusted EBITDA for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 included higher costs and lower than expected coal-to-coke yield performance of \$7.0 million, of which \$4.0 million related to start up activities.

Interest Expense, net. In connection with the closing of the Partnership offering in the first quarter of 2013, the Partnership repaid \$225.0 million of our seven-year term loan ("Term Loan") and we entered into an amendment to our credit agreement ("Credit Agreement"). The weighted average interest rate for borrowings outstanding under the Term Loan during 2013 and 2012 was 4.07 percent. In conjunction with the repayment, we incurred a charge of approximately \$2.9 million representing the write-off of unamortized debt issuance costs and original issue discount related to the portion of the Term Loan that was extinguished.

In addition, with the closing of the Partnership offering, the Partnership issued \$150.0 million of senior notes ("Partnership Notes") that have an interest rate of 7.375 percent. The Partnership incurred costs of \$3.7 million related to the issuance of the Partnership Notes relating to fees paid to lenders and certain third parties, of which \$0.8 million were recognized immediately. Comparability of interest expense between periods was affected by higher interest rates partially offset by lower debt balances after the closing of the Partnership offering and related transactions.

Indiana Harbor Cokemaking Operations. In the fourth quarter of 2011, we clarified the interpretation of certain contract and billing items with our customer. In the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company recorded a \$2.8 million charge for a reduction in coke inventory in conjunction with work performed to address the contract and billing issues.

During 2011, in preparation for negotiation of the extension of the Company's existing coke sales agreement, we conducted an engineering study to identify major maintenance projects necessary to preserve the production capacity of the facility. We began the project work in July 2012 and spent approximately \$14 million in 2012. We expect to spend another \$58 million in 2013 and anticipate spending up to \$85 million in total on the project. Effective October 1, 2013, the Company entered into a 10-year extension of its existing Indiana Harbor coke sales agreement, which contains an increased fixed fee per ton of coke produced to recognize the additional capital being deployed.

Additionally, we revised the estimated useful life of certain assets being replaced as part of the project, which resulted in additional depreciation of \$2.5 million in 2012, or \$0.04 per common share. The full year impact on 2013 is estimated to be approximately \$10.9 million, or \$0.16 per common share, of which we recorded accelerated depreciation of approximately \$1.7 million and \$9.0 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively. While we expect to be substantially complete with oven repairs by the end of 2013, the installation of

Table of Contents

new equipment will be completed in the second half of 2014. Our customer has also notified us of a potential blast furnace outage in the first half of 2014. Accordingly, we expect to begin realizing the full benefits of the refurbishment in 2015.

Income Taxes. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we recorded a benefit of \$1.7 million in provision-to-return adjustments as well as recorded a charge of \$0.4 million associated with local income taxes due for our Middletown operations. We also recorded tax expense of \$1.4 million for an adjustment to our valuation allowance associated with deferred tax assets related to state and local taxes. Additionally, as part of provisions of our tax sharing agreement with Sunoco, we recognized \$1.7 million of income tax expense to settle potential obligations. We will continue to monitor obligations under the provisions of the tax sharing agreement with Sunoco and will record adjustments as an income tax expense with a corresponding payable due to Sunoco. Prior to December 31, 2012, amounts due to Sunoco were reflected as a reduction to SunCoke Energy's equity accounts. We previously estimated our effective tax rate for 2013 to be between 7 percent and 14 percent. As a result of these items, we estimate our full-year effective tax rate to be in the range of 14 percent to 20 percent.

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth amounts from the Consolidated Statements of Income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30 | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | |
| Revenues | | | | |
| Sales and other operating revenue | \$389.9 | \$480.1 | \$1,245.0 | \$1,421.4 |
| Other income, net | 0.6 | 0.4 | 3.1 | 1.3 |
| Total revenues | 390.5 | 480.5 | 1,248.1 | 1,422.7 |
| Costs and operating expenses | | | | |
| Cost of products sold and operating expenses | 316.5 | 388.9 | 1,031.3 | 1,174.6 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 23.5 | 20.0 | 65.9 | 61.2 |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization | 23.2 | 18.9 | 70.5 | 57.5 |
| Total costs and operating expenses | 363.2 | 427.8 | 1,167.7 | 1,293.3 |
| Operating income | 27.3 | 52.7 | 80.4 | 129.4 |
| Interest expense, net | 12.1 | 12.2 | 40.0 | 36.0 |
| Income before income tax expense and loss from equity method investment | 15.2 | 40.5 | 40.4 | 93.4 |
| Income tax expense | 0.6 | 7.6 | 6.5 | 19.9 |
| Loss from equity method investment | 2.3 | — | 2.5 | — |
| Net income | 12.3 | 32.9 | 31.4 | 73.5 |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | 6.1 | 1.3 | 17.4 | 2.3 |
| Net income attributable to SunCoke Energy, Inc. | \$6.2 | \$31.6 | \$14.0 | \$71.2 |

Revenues. Our total revenues, net of sales discounts, were \$390.5 million and \$480.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively and \$1,248.1 million and \$1,422.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The decreases were due primarily to the pass-through of lower coal prices within our Domestic Coke segment as well as an approximately \$46 per ton decrease in coal sales prices in our Coal Mining segment and lower volumes at Indiana Harbor. These decreases were partially offset by increased operating expense recovery in our Domestic Coke segment, specifically at our Middletown facility.

Costs and Operating Expenses. Total operating expenses were \$363.2 million and \$427.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively and were \$1,167.7 million and \$1,293.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The decreases in cost of products sold and operating expenses were

driven primarily by reduced coal costs in our Domestic Coke segment and reduced mining costs in our Coal Mining segment due to the benefit of prior years investment in mine planning, equipment, training, idling of certain mines and cost containment initiatives. These decreases were partially offset by public company costs of the Partnership and acquisition costs. Additionally, depreciation, depletion and amortization expense increased due primarily to increased capital expenditures as well as accelerated deprecation recorded in connection with the refurbishment of our Indiana Harbor facility.

Table of Contents

Interest Expense, Net. Interest expense, net was \$12.1 million and \$12.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively and \$40.0 million and \$36.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Concurrent with the IPO of the Partnership, we issued \$150.0 million in Partnership Notes with an interest rate of 7.375 percent and repaid \$225.0 million of the Term Loan. The weighted average interest rate for borrowings outstanding under the Term Loan was 4.07 percent during 2013 and 2012.

The increase of \$4.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 was due primarily to a \$2.9 million charge incurred in the nine months ended September 30, 2013 for the write-off of unamortized debt issuance costs and original issue discount related to the portion of the term loan extinguished in conjunction with the Partnership offering as well as \$0.8 million of debt issuance costs recognized immediately related to the issuance of \$150.0 million of Partnership Notes. The remaining increase of \$0.3 million was primarily due to higher interest rates partially offset by lower debt balances after the closing of the Partnership offering and related transactions.

Income Taxes. Income tax expense decreased \$7.0 million to \$0.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$7.6 million for the corresponding period of 2012, and decreased \$13.4 million to \$6.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$19.9 million for the corresponding period of 2012. The decrease was due primarily to lower overall earnings as well as higher earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests resulting from the Partnership offering in January 2013, partially offset by lower nonconventional fuel tax credits due to the expiration of the Haverhill credits. Also, for the three months ended September 30, 2013, we finalized our 2012 federal income tax returns and recorded a favorable provision-to-return adjustment of \$1.7 million as compared to a favorable adjustment of \$0.6 million in the prior year period. Additionally for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the decreases were partially offset by expense of \$1.4 million in additional valuation allowances associated with state and local taxes, \$1.7 million to settle potential obligations under the provisions of our tax sharing agreement with Sunoco and \$0.4 million related to prior period adjustments associated with local income taxes due for our Middletown operations, none of which impacted the prior year period.

Loss from Equity Method Investment. We recognize our share of earnings in VISA SunCoke on a one-month lag and began recognizing such earnings in the second quarter of 2013. Loss from equity method investment of \$2.3 million and \$2.5 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, represented our proportionate share of VISA SunCoke losses and included foreign exchange losses on coal purchases of \$2.4 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013. Performance in the period was further affected by several factors, including iron ore mining restrictions in India, which limited steel production, a weak coke pricing environment due to increased Chinese coke imports, and shipping delays and trade finance challenges related to securing our coal supply.

Noncontrolling Interest. Income attributable to noncontrolling interest was \$6.1 million and \$1.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively and was \$17.4 million and \$2.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The increases are primarily due to the IPO of the Partnership during the first quarter of 2013. Income attributable to the noncontrolling interest in the Partnership was approximately \$5.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and \$17.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013. These increases were partially offset by decreased performance at Indiana Harbor, which reduced noncontrolling interest by approximately \$0.9 million and \$2.2 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to the same period the prior year.

Results of Reportable Business Segments

We report our business results through five segments:

Domestic Coke consists of our Jewell, Indiana Harbor, Haverhill, Granite City and Middletown cokemaking and heat recovery operations located in Vansant, Virginia, East Chicago, Indiana, Franklin Furnace, Ohio, Granite City, Illinois, and Middletown, Ohio, respectively.

Brazil Coke consists of our operations in Vitória, Brazil, where we operate a cokemaking facility for a Brazilian subsidiary of ArcelorMittal;

India Coke consists of our cokemaking joint venture with Visa Steel in Odisha, India.

Coal Mining consists of our metallurgical coal mining activities conducted in Virginia and West Virginia.

Coal Logistics consists of our coal handling and blending services in East Chicago, Indiana and will include KRT which closed on October 1, 2013.

Management believes Adjusted EBITDA is an important measure of operating performance and is used as the primary basis for the Chief Operating Decision Maker (CODM) to evaluate the performance of each of our reportable segments. Adjusted EBITDA should not be considered a substitute for the reported results prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. See “Non-GAAP Financial Measures” near the end of this Item.

Table of Contents

Segment Financial and Operating Data

The following tables set forth the sales and other operating revenues and Adjusted EBITDA of our segments and operating data for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------|------------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | |
| Sales and other operating revenues: | | | | |
| Domestic Coke | \$364.8 | \$462.9 | \$1,168.8 | \$1,356.6 |
| Brazil Coke | 8.2 | 8.3 | 25.9 | 27.3 |
| Coal Mining | 16.8 | 8.9 | 50.2 | 37.5 |
| Coal Mining intersegment sales | 35.7 | 56.2 | 100.8 | 152.5 |
| Coal Logistics | 0.1 | — | 0.1 | — |
| Coal Logistics intersegment sales | 1.0 | — | 1.0 | — |
| Elimination of intersegment sales | (36.7) |) (56.2) |) (101.8) |) (152.5) |
| Total | \$389.9 | \$480.1 | \$1,245.0 | \$1,421.4 |
| Adjusted EBITDA ⁽¹⁾ : | | | | |
| Domestic Coke | \$64.3 | \$69.8 | \$186.7 | \$187.0 |
| Brazil Coke | 1.5 | 0.9 | 4.7 | 1.7 |
| India Coke | (2.1) |) — | (1.3) |) — |
| Coal Mining | (2.6) |) 10.7 | (9.8) |) 27.4 |
| Coal Logistics | 0.7 | — | 0.7 | — |
| Corporate and Other | (11.1) |) (7.7) |) (25.6) |) (20.1) |
| Total | \$50.7 | \$73.7 | \$155.4 | \$196.0 |
| Coke Operating Data: | | | | |
| Domestic Coke capacity utilization (%) | 101 | 103 | 101 | 103 |
| Domestic Coke production volumes (thousands of tons) ⁽²⁾ | 1,081 | 1,097 | 3,213 | 3,260 |
| Domestic Coke sales volumes (thousands of tons) | 1,084 | 1,116 | 3,216 | 3,268 |
| Domestic Coke Adjusted EBITDA per ton ⁽³⁾ | \$59.32 | \$62.54 | \$58.05 | \$57.22 |
| Brazilian Coke production—operated facility (thousands of tons) | 221 | 310 | 654 | 970 |
| Indian Coke sales (thousands of ton) ⁽⁴⁾ | 97 | — | 149 | — |
| Coal Operating Data ⁽⁵⁾ : | | | | |
| Coal sales volumes (thousands of tons): | | | | |
| Internal use | 302 | 322 | 860 | 862 |
| Third parties | 131 | 70 | 403 | 268 |
| Total | 433 | 392 | 1,263 | 1,130 |
| Coal production (thousands of tons) | 351 | 349 | 1,067 | 1,125 |
| Purchased coal (thousands of tons) | 110 | 10 | 219 | 33 |
| Coal sales price per ton (excludes transportation costs) ⁽⁶⁾ | \$119.64 | \$165.17 | \$118.12 | \$167.71 |
| Coal cash production cost per ton ⁽⁷⁾ | \$122.80 | \$142.56 | \$122.23 | \$143.12 |
| Purchased coal cost per ton ⁽⁸⁾ | \$109.52 | \$106.12 | \$108.43 | \$88.09 |
| Total coal production cost per ton ⁽⁹⁾ | \$135.61 | \$145.42 | \$134.13 | \$150.52 |

(1) See definition of Adjusted EBITDA and reconciliation to GAAP at the end of this Item.

Excludes 22 thousand tons of consigned coke sales in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, and 15

(2) thousand and 42 thousand tons of consigned coke sales in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively.

(3) Reflects Domestic Coke Adjusted EBITDA divided by Domestic Coke sales volumes.

(4) Represents 100% of VISA SunCoke sales volumes.

(5) Includes production from Company and contract-operated mines.

38

Table of Contents

(6) Includes sales to affiliates.

Mining and preparation costs, excluding depreciation, depletion and amortization, divided by coal production volume. Prior periods have been restated for a change in allocation methodology which resulted in additional costs being allocated to purchased coal.

(8) Costs of purchased raw coal divided by purchased coal volume. Prior periods have been restated for a change in allocation methodology which resulted in additional costs being allocated to purchased coal.

(9) Cost of mining and preparation costs, purchased raw coal costs, and depreciation, depletion and amortization divided by coal sales volume. Depreciation, depletion and amortization per ton were \$12.93 and \$10.94 for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively and \$12.59 and \$11.19 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Analysis of Segment Results

Three Months Ended September 30, 2013 compared to Three Months Ended September 30, 2012

Domestic Coke

Sales and Other Operating Revenue

Sales and other operating revenue decreased \$98.1 million, or 21.2 percent, to \$364.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$462.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012. The decrease was mainly attributable to the pass-through of lower coal prices, which contributed \$85.6 million to the decrease. Lower overall volumes at our domestic cokemaking facilities resulted in a \$13.3 million net decrease in revenues. Of this decrease approximately \$7.2 million was related to a decrease of 17 thousand tons at Indiana Harbor due in part to operational inefficiencies resulting from the ongoing refurbishment project. Lower breeze sales further decreased revenues \$2.7 million. These decreases were offset by revenue increases of \$3.5 million which were primarily due to improvement in operating cost recovery at Middletown related to the change from a fixed operating fee per ton to a budgeted amount per ton based on the full recovery of expected operation maintenance costs.

Adjusted EBITDA

Domestic Coke Adjusted EBITDA decreased \$5.5 million, or 7.9 percent, to \$64.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$69.8 million in the same period of 2012. Adjusted EBITDA was adversely impacted by a decrease in volumes of 33 thousand tons and lower coal-to-coke yields, which contributed \$1.8 million and \$1.1 million, respectively, to the decrease as compared to the prior period. Our Indiana Harbor facility, which is undergoing a significant refurbishment, was the primary driver in the volume and coal-to-coke yield decreases. Further decreasing Adjusted EBITDA by \$1.4 million was a decrease in the recovery of operating expenses at our Indiana Harbor and Granite City facilities due to higher repairs and maintenance expenses, offset partly by an improvement at our Middletown facility. In 2013, the recovery mechanism at our Middletown facility was changed from a fixed operating fee per ton to a budgeted amount per ton which was based on the anticipated full recovery of expected operating costs. The remaining decrease in Adjusted EBITDA of \$1.2 million was primarily related to lower breeze sales.

Depreciation expense, which was not included in segment profitability, increased \$2.7 million, from \$14.1 million in 2012 to \$16.8 million in 2013, primarily due to accelerated depreciation taken in conjunction with the refurbishment of our Indiana Harbor facility. We revised the estimated useful life of certain assets at our Indiana Harbor facility. The change in estimated useful life resulted in additional depreciation of \$1.7 million recorded during the three months ended September 30, 2013, or \$0.02 per common share. The impact on the full year 2013 is estimated to be approximately \$10.9 million, or \$0.16 per common share.

Brazil Coke

Sales and Other Operating Revenue

Sales and other operating revenue decreased \$0.1 million, or 1.2 percent, to \$8.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$8.3 million for the same period of 2012. This decrease is the effect of lower volumes of 89 thousand tons, resulting in decreased revenues of \$2.3 million, offset by an increase in price which contributed an additional \$2.2 million of revenue and was primarily driven by a minimum guarantee fee arrangement that we have with our customer.

Table of Contents

Adjusted EBITDA

Adjusted EBITDA in the Brazil Coke segment increased \$0.6 million, to \$1.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$0.9 million for the same period of 2012. The increase is primarily due to favorable comparison to the prior year period, which contained a higher allocation of corporate costs.

Depreciation expense, which was not included in segment profitability, was insignificant in both periods.

India Coke

We recognize our 49 percent share of earnings in VISA SunCoke on a one-month lag and began recognizing such earnings in the second quarter of 2013. Our share of Adjusted EBITDA during the three months ended September 30, 2013 was a loss of \$2.1 million and included a negative foreign currency impact \$2.4 million on imported coal purchases. Adjusted EBITDA was a loss of \$45 per ton of which the negative foreign currency impact contributed a loss of \$51 per ton. Performance in the period was further affected by several factors including iron ore mining restrictions in India which limited steel production, a weak coke pricing environment due to increase Chinese coke imports, and shipping delays and trade finance challenges related to securing our coal supply. We anticipate these difficulties will continue through the fourth quarter and we expect break even Adjusted EBITDA for the full year. Our focus remains on stabilizing coal supply and managing the operations together with our partner.

Coal Mining

Sales and Other Operating Revenue

Total sales and other operating revenue, including intersegment sales, decreased by \$12.6 million, or 19.4 percent, to \$52.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$65.1 million for the same period of 2012. The decrease in sales and other operating revenue is due to a decrease in average coal sales price per ton of \$45.53 from \$165.17 for the three months ended September 30, 2012 to \$119.64 for the same period of 2013. The effect of the decrease in sales price was partially offset by the additional 41 thousand tons sold during the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012 as a result of increased sales of purchased coal.

Third party sales increased \$7.9 million from \$8.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012 to \$16.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013. The increase is primarily related to increased volumes of approximately 61 thousand tons.

Sales and other operating revenue is historically generated largely from sales of coal to the Jewell cokemaking facility and our other domestic cokemaking facilities. Intersegment sales decreased \$20.5 million, or 36.5 percent, to \$35.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$56.2 million for the same period of 2012. This decrease was primarily due to a decrease in coal sales price per ton of \$60.77 from \$179.30 for the three months ended September 30, 2012 to \$118.53 for the three months ended September 30, 2013 as well as a decrease in intersegment sales volume of 20 thousand tons from 322 thousand tons for the three months ended September 30, 2012 to 302 thousand for the same period of 2013.

Adjusted EBITDA

Adjusted EBITDA decreased \$13.3 million, to a loss of \$2.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 from income of \$10.7 million for the same period in 2012 and was unfavorably impacted by the decline in average coal sales price discussed above. This was partly offset by lower cash production costs of approximately \$20 per ton, reflecting the success of our coal action plan initiatives, which includes idling mines, reducing staff, upgrading equipment and installing a new cyclone system in our coal preparation plant.

The combined impact of these factors, partially offset by the absence of a \$3.2 million favorable fair value adjustment on the HKCC contingent consideration in the prior year period, resulted in coal production costs decreasing from \$145.42 per ton for the three months ended September 30, 2012 to \$135.61 per ton for the three months ended September 30, 2013 as well as coal cash production costs decreasing from \$142.56 per ton for the three months ended September 30, 2012 to \$122.80 per ton in the same periods of 2013.

Depreciation expense, which was not included in segment profitability, increased \$1.4 million, from \$4.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012 to \$5.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 due primarily to capital expenditures for mining equipment during 2012.

Table of Contents

Coal Logistics

Lake Terminal was acquired on August 30, 2013. Inclusive of intersegment sales, sales and other operating revenue on 136 thousand tons handled were \$1.1 million and Adjusted EBITDA was \$0.7 million during the three months ended September 30, 2013.

Corporate and Other

Corporate expenses increased \$3.4 million to \$11.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$7.7 million in the same period of 2012. The increase in corporate expenses was due to public company costs associated with our master limited partnership and acquisition related costs.

Depreciation expense, which was not included in segment profitability, was insignificant in both periods.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012

Domestic Coke

Sales and Other Operating Revenue

Sales and other operating revenue decreased \$187.8 million, or 13.8 percent, to \$1,168.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$1,356.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The decrease was mainly attributable to the pass-through of lower coal prices, which contributed \$175.2 million to the decrease.

Lower volumes at Indiana Harbor of approximately 68 thousand tons contributed \$29.2 million to the decrease and were due in part to operational inefficiencies resulting from the on-going refurbishment project. These volume decreases were partially offset by a 15 thousand ton increase in volumes at our remaining domestic cokemaking facilities, contributing approximately \$6.8 million to revenues as well as increased energy sales of \$1.6 million. The remaining increase of \$8.2 million was primarily due to increased operating cost recovery, nearly half of which was related to the change from a fixed operating fee per ton to a budgeted amount per ton based on the full recovery of expected operation maintenance costs at our Middletown facility.

Adjusted EBITDA

Domestic Coke Adjusted EBITDA decreased \$0.3 million, or 0.2 percent, to \$186.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$187.0 million in the same period of 2012. Adjusted EBITDA was adversely impacted by a lower recovery of operating expenses and a decrease in volumes, which contributed \$7.5 million and \$2.7 million, respectively, to the decrease as compared to the prior period. Our Indiana Harbor facility, which is undergoing a significant refurbishment, was the primary driver in the recovery and volume decreases. These decreases were partially offset by improved coal-to-coke yields and increased energy sales, which improved Adjusted EBITDA by \$8.3 million and \$1.6 million, respectively.

Depreciation expense, which was not included in segment profitability, increased \$9.4 million, from \$43.0 million in 2012 to \$52.4 million in 2013, primarily due to accelerated depreciation taken in conjunction with the refurbishment of our Indiana Harbor facility. We revised the estimated useful life of certain assets resulting in additional depreciation of \$9.0 million recorded during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, or \$0.13 per common share. The impact on the full year 2013 is estimated to be approximately \$10.9 million, or \$0.16 per common share. The prior year period also included accelerated depreciation of \$1.0 million, or \$0.02 per common share at our Haverhill facility.

Brazil Coke

Sales and Other Operating Revenue

Sales and other operating revenue decreased \$1.4 million, or 5.1 percent, to \$25.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$27.3 million for the same period of 2012 due primarily to the net effect of a decrease in volumes of 316 thousand tons, decreasing operating revenues by about \$8.9 million, offset by an increase in price of \$7.5 million which was driven by a minimum fee arrangement that we have with our customer.

Adjusted EBITDA

Adjusted EBITDA in the Brazil Coke segment increased \$3.0 million, to \$4.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$1.7 million for the same period of 2012. The increase is primarily due to favorable comparison to the prior year period, which contained a higher allocation of corporate costs.

Depreciation expense, which was not included in segment profitability, was insignificant in both periods.

Table of Contents

India Coke

We recognize our share of earnings in VISA SunCoke on a one-month lag and began recognizing such earnings in the second quarter of 2013. Our 49 percent share of Adjusted EBITDA during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 was a loss of \$1.3 million and included a negative foreign currency impact of \$2.4 million on imported coal purchases. Adjusted EBITDA was a loss of \$18 per ton of which the negative foreign currency impact contributed a loss of \$33 per ton. Performance in the period was affected by several factors including iron ore mining restrictions in India which limited steel production, a weak coke pricing environment due to increase Chinese coke imports, and shipping delays and trade finance challenges related to securing our coal supply. We anticipate these difficulties will continue through the fourth quarter and we expect break even Adjusted EBITDA for the full year. Our focus remains on stabilizing coal supply and managing the operations together with our partner.

Coal Mining

Sales and Other Operating Revenue

Total sales and other operating revenue, including intersegment sales, decreased by \$39.0 million, or 20.5 percent, to \$151.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$190.0 million for the same period of 2012. The decrease in sales and other operating revenue is due to a decrease in average coal sales price per ton of \$49.59 from \$167.71 for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 to \$118.12 for the same period of 2013, reflecting overall lower coal sales prices.

Third party sales increased \$12.7 million from \$37.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 to \$50.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The increase is primarily related to increased overall third party sales volumes of 135 thousand tons, or 50.4 percent offset by decreased sale prices for our hi-volatile and thermal coal. Sale prices decreased \$17.94 per ton from \$137.85 per ton for nine months ended September 30, 2012 to \$119.91 per ton for the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

Sales and other operating revenue is historically generated largely from sales of coal to the Jewell cokemaking facility and our other domestic cokemaking facilities. Intersegment sales decreased \$51.7 million, or 33.9 percent, to \$100.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$152.5 million for the same period of 2012 due primarily to a decrease in coal sales price per ton of \$62.02 from \$179.30 for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 to \$117.28 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

Adjusted EBITDA

Adjusted EBITDA decreased \$37.2 million, to a loss of \$9.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 from a gain of \$27.4 million for the same period of 2012. Adjusted EBITDA decreased for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 due primarily to the decline in average coal selling price discussed above and a charge of \$0.9 million related to a reduction in force. This decrease was partially offset by increased tons sold and lower cash production costs of approximately \$20 per ton, reflecting the success of our coal action plan initiatives, which includes idling mines, reducing staff, upgrading equipment and installing a new cyclone system in our coal preparation plant. The combined impact of these factors partially offset by the absence of a \$3.9 million favorable fair value adjustment on the HKCC contingent consideration in the prior year period resulted in coal production costs decreasing from \$150.52 per ton for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 to \$134.13 per ton for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and coal cash production costs decreasing from \$143.12 per ton in 2012 to \$122.23 per ton in 2013.

Depreciation expense, which was not included in segment profitability, increased \$3.3 million, from \$12.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 to \$15.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 due primarily to capital expenditures for mining equipment during 2012.

Coal Logistics

Lake Terminal was acquired on August 30, 2013. Inclusive of intersegment sales, sales and other operating revenue on 136 thousand tons handled were \$1.1 million and Adjusted EBITDA was \$0.7 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

Corporate and Other

Corporate expenses increased \$5.5 million to \$25.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$20.1 million in the same period of 2012. The increase in corporate expenses for the nine months ended September

30, 2013 was due to acquisition related costs and public company costs associated with our master limited partnership.

42

Table of Contents

Depreciation expense, which was not included in segment profitability, remained consistent at \$1.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Concurrent with the IPO, SunCoke Energy entered into a credit agreement ("Credit Agreement") that provides for a seven-year term loan ("Term Loan") in a principal amount of \$300.0 million. The Credit Agreement also provides for up to \$75.0 million in uncommitted incremental facility term loans ("Incremental Facilities") that are available subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions. Concurrent with the IPO, SunCoke Energy issued \$400.0 million aggregate principal amount of senior notes (the "Senior Notes") that bear interest at a rate of 7.625 percent per annum and will mature in 2019 with all principal paid at maturity.

In connection with the closing of the Partnership offering, we received net proceeds from the sale of common units of \$232.0 million and we repaid \$225.0 million of our Term Loan and amended our Credit Agreement. The term of the Credit Agreement was extended to January 2018 and we incurred debt issuance costs of \$0.7 million related to this transaction. As of September 30, 2013, there was \$45.0 million of capacity under the Incremental Facilities. The Credit Agreement also provides for a \$150.0 million revolving facility ("Revolving Facility") that can be used to finance capital expenditures, acquisitions, working capital needs and for other general corporate purposes. As of September 30, 2013, the Revolving Facility had no draws and letters of credit outstanding of \$2.1 million, leaving \$147.9 million available subject to the terms of the Credit Agreement.

In addition, with the closing of the Partnership offering, the Partnership issued \$150.0 million of senior notes ("Partnership Notes"). The Partnership Notes have an interest rate of 7.375 percent and mature on February 1, 2020. The Partnership may redeem some or all of the Partnership Notes prior to February 1, 2016 by paying a "make-whole" premium. The Partnership also may redeem some or all of the Partnership Notes on or after February 1, 2016 at specified redemption prices. In addition, prior to February 1, 2016, the Partnership may redeem up to 35 percent of the Partnership Notes using the proceeds of certain equity offerings. If the Partnership sells certain of its assets or experiences specific kinds of changes in control, subject to certain exceptions, the Partnership must offer to purchase the Partnership Notes. Net proceeds from the issuance of the Partnership Notes were \$146.3 million, which was net of debt issuance costs of \$3.7 million. In conjunction with the closing of the Partnership offering, we also entered into a \$100.0 million revolving credit facility. We incurred issuance costs of \$2.2 million in conjunction with entering into our new revolving credit facility. This credit facility was amended on August 28, 2013, increasing the total aggregate commitments from lenders to \$150.0 million and now also providing for up to \$100.0 million in uncommitted incremental revolving capacity, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions. We paid \$0.9 million in fees related to the credit facility amendment. The fees have been included in deferred charges and other assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheet, which will be amortized over the life of the facility. As of September 30, 2013, this credit facility had letters of credit outstanding of \$0.7 million, leaving \$149.3 million available. Of the total debt issuance costs associated with these facilities, approximately \$0.6 million were paid during 2012.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Partnership paid two quarterly cash distributions totaling \$23.3 million, of which \$9.8 million was paid to public unitholders of the Partnership. On October 22, 2013, the Partnership declared a quarterly cash distribution totaling \$13.9 million, of which \$5.8 million will be paid to public unitholders of the Partnership. The distribution will be paid on November 29, 2013, to unitholders of record on November 15, 2013.

Our primary sources of liquidity are cash on hand, cash from operations and borrowings under the debt financing arrangements described above. We believe these sources will be sufficient to fund our planned operations, including capital expenditures and stock repurchases.

The following table sets forth a summary of the net cash provided by (used in) operating, investing and financing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012:

| | | Nine Months Ended | |
|--|--|-----------------------|------|
| | | September 30, | |
| | | 2013 | 2012 |
| | | (Dollars in millions) | |

Edgar Filing: SunCoke Energy, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|--------|-----------|
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$87.6 | \$77.8 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (191.9 |) (40.6) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 133.9 | (6.9) |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | \$29.6 | \$30.3 |

43

Table of Contents**Cash Flows from Operating Activities**

For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, net cash provided by operating activities was \$87.6 million compared to net cash provided by operating activities of \$77.8 million in the corresponding period of 2012. The increase in operating cash flow was primarily attributable to a decrease in working capital largely due to timing of accounts payable. Additionally, the prior year period operating cash flows were lower by \$23 million due to the timing of a customer payment that was received one day subsequent to quarter end. These increases in cash flow over the prior year period were partially offset by remittance of \$29.9 million of accrued sales discounts to our customers during the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

Cash Flows from Investing Activities

Cash used in investing activities of \$191.9 million increased \$151.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, as compared to the corresponding period of 2012. The current year period includes expenditures of \$67.7 million for our investment in the Indian joint venture and \$28.6 million for the acquisition of Lake Terminal. Capital expenditures of approximately \$55.0 million related primarily to the refurbishment of our Indiana Harbor facility and environmental remediation projects further contributed to the increase. For a more detailed discussion of our capital expenditures, see “Capital Requirements and Expenditures” below.

Cash Flows from Financing Activities

For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, net cash provided by financing activities was \$133.9 million compared to net cash used in financing activities of \$6.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we received proceeds of \$237.8 million from the issuance of 13,500,000 common units in SunCoke Energy Partners, L.P., \$150.0 million from the issuance of the Partnership Notes, and \$0.9 million from stock option exercises. These increases were partially offset by the repayment of \$225.0 million of our Term Loan, debt issuance costs of \$6.9 million, the repurchase of shares for \$10.9 million and a cash distribution to noncontrolling interest of \$12.0 million.

Capital Requirements, Expenditures and Investments**Capital Requirements and Expenditures**

Our cokemaking and coal mining operations are capital intensive, requiring significant investment to upgrade or enhance existing operations and to meet environmental and operational regulations. The level of future capital expenditures will depend on various factors, including market conditions and customer requirements, and may differ from current or anticipated levels. Material changes in capital expenditure levels may impact financial results, including but not limited to the amount of depreciation, interest expense and repair and maintenance expense.

Our capital requirements have consisted, and are expected to consist, primarily of:

- ongoing capital expenditures required to maintain equipment reliability, the integrity and safety of our coke ovens, steam generators and coal mines and to comply with environmental regulations;
- environmental remediation capital expenditures required to implement design changes to ensure that our existing facilities operate in accordance with existing environmental permits; and
- expansion capital expenditures to acquire and/or construct complementary assets to grow our business and to expand existing facilities, such as projects that increase coal production from existing mines and increase coke production from existing facilities, as well as capital expenditures made to enable the renewal of a coke sales agreement and on which we expect to earn a reasonable return.

The following table summarizes ongoing, environmental remediation and expansion capital expenditures:

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 2012 (Dollars in millions) | |
|------------------------------------|---|--------|
| Ongoing capital | \$30.2 | \$39.7 |
| Environmental remediation capital | 14.7 | — |
| Expansion capital ⁽¹⁾ : | | |
| Indiana Harbor | 50.7 | — |
| Coal Mining | — | 0.9 |

| | | |
|--|--------|--------|
| Total | \$95.6 | \$40.6 |
| (1) Excludes the investment in VISA SunCoke and acquisition of Lake Terminal | | |

44

Table of Contents

Our capital expenditures for 2013 are now expected to be approximately \$130 million, of which ongoing capital expenditures are anticipated to be approximately \$49 million. Previously, we expected 2013 capital expenditures to be approximately \$133 million, of which ongoing capital expenditures were anticipated to be approximately \$58 million. Ongoing capital expenditures are capital expenditures made to replace partially or fully depreciated assets in order to maintain the existing operating capacity of the assets and/or to extend their useful lives. Ongoing capital expenditures also include new equipment that improves the efficiency, reliability or effectiveness of existing assets. Ongoing capital expenditures do not include normal repairs and maintenance expenses, which are expensed as incurred. We now anticipate spending \$58 million for the refurbishment of the Indiana Harbor facility in 2013 versus the previously disclosed \$68 million with the differential to be spent in 2014. Additionally in 2013, we anticipate spending \$23 million in environmental remediation capital to enhance the environmental performance at our Haverhill and Granite City cokemaking operations. Previously, we anticipated spending \$28 million in 2013 related to environmental remediation capital.

Investments

On March 18, 2013, we completed the transaction to form a joint venture, VISA SunCoke, with VISA Steel in India. We invested \$67.7 million to acquire a 49 percent interest in VISA SunCoke, with VISA Steel holding the remaining 51 percent.

On August 30, 2013, the Partnership completed its acquisition of the assets and business operations of Lake Terminal for an all cash purchase price of \$28.6 million.

On October 1, 2013, the Partnership completed its acquisition of KRT for \$86.0 million utilizing \$46.0 million of available cash and \$40.0 million of borrowings under its existing revolving credit facility. This investment was not contemplated in our prior cash flow expectations and projections.

Critical Accounting Policies

There have been no significant changes to our accounting policies during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. Please refer to SunCoke Energy, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K dated February 22, 2013 for a summary of these policies.

Recent Accounting Standards

On January 1, 2013, we adopted ASU 2013-02, Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income. This ASU requires the disclosure of changes to accumulated other comprehensive income to be presented by component on the face of the financial statements or in a separate note to the financial statements. This ASU also requires the disclosure of significant items reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income to net income during the period either on the face of the financial statements or in a separate note to the financial statements. This standard is effective prospectively for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2012. We have elected to provide the required disclosures in a separate note to the financial statements. See Note 17.

Table of Contents

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

In addition to the GAAP results provided in the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, we have provided a non-GAAP financial measure, Adjusted EBITDA. Reconciliation from GAAP to the non-GAAP measurement is presented below. Our management, as well as certain investors, use this non-GAAP measure to analyze our current and expected future financial performance. This measure is not in accordance with, or a substitute for, GAAP and may be different from, or inconsistent with, non-GAAP financial measures used by other companies.

Adjusted EBITDA. Adjusted EBITDA represents earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, depletion and amortization (“EBITDA”) adjusted for sales discounts and the interest, taxes, depreciation, depletion and amortization attributable to our equity method investment. EBITDA reflects sales discounts included as a reduction in sales and other operating revenue. The sales discounts represent the sharing with customers of a portion of nonconventional fuels tax credits, which reduce our income tax expense. However, we believe our Adjusted EBITDA would be inappropriately penalized if these discounts were treated as a reduction of EBITDA since they represent sharing of a tax benefit that is not included in EBITDA. Accordingly, in computing Adjusted EBITDA, we have added back these sales discounts. Our Adjusted EBITDA also includes EBITDA attributable to our equity method investment. EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA do not represent and should not be considered alternatives to net income or operating income under GAAP and may not be comparable to other similarly titled measures in other businesses. Management believes Adjusted EBITDA is an important measure of the operating performance of the Company's net assets.

We believe Adjusted EBITDA is an important measure of operating performance and provides useful information to investors because it highlights trends in our business that may not otherwise be apparent when relying solely on GAAP measures and because it eliminates items that have less bearing on our operating performance. Adjusted EBITDA is a measure of operating performance that is not defined by GAAP, does not represent and should not be considered a substitute for net income as determined in accordance with GAAP. Calculations of Adjusted EBITDA may not be comparable to those reported by other companies.

Set forth below is additional detail as to how we use Adjusted EBITDA as a measure of operating performance, as well as a discussion of the limitations of Adjusted EBITDA as an analytical tool.

Operating Performance. Our management uses Adjusted EBITDA to assess our combined financial and operating performance. Adjusted EBITDA helps management identify controllable expenses and make decisions designed to help us meet our current financial goals and optimize our financial performance while neutralizing the impact of capital structure on financial results. Accordingly, we believe this metric is helpful to management in identifying trends in our performance, as it measures financial performance based on operational factors that management can impact in the short-term, namely our cost structure and expenses.

Limitations. Other companies may calculate Adjusted EBITDA differently than we do, limiting its usefulness as a comparative measure. Adjusted EBITDA also has limitations as an analytical tool and should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for an analysis of our results as reported under GAAP. Some of these limitations include that Adjusted EBITDA:

- does not reflect our cash expenditures, or future requirements, for capital expenditures or contractual commitments;
- does not reflect changes in, or cash requirements for, working capital needs;
- does not reflect our interest expense, or the cash requirements necessary to service interest on or principal payments of our debt;
- does not reflect certain other non-cash income and expenses;
- excludes income taxes that may represent a reduction in available cash; and
- includes net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests.

We explain Adjusted EBITDA and reconcile this non-GAAP financial measure to our net income, which is its most directly comparable financial measure calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP.

Table of Contents

Below is a reconciliation of Adjusted EBITDA to its closest GAAP measure:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------|------------------------------------|---------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | |
| Adjusted EBITDA attributable to SunCoke Energy, Inc. | \$40.8 | \$72.6 | \$126.4 | \$194.5 |
| Add: Adjusted EBITDA attributable to noncontrolling interest ⁽¹⁾ | 9.9 | 1.1 | 29.0 | 1.5 |
| Adjusted EBITDA | \$50.7 | \$73.7 | \$155.4 | \$196.0 |
| Subtract: | | | | |
| Adjustments to loss from equity method investment | 0.3 | — | 1.3 | — |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization | 23.2 | 18.9 | 70.5 | 57.5 |
| Interest expense, net | 12.1 | 12.2 | 40.0 | 36.0 |
| Income tax expense | 0.6 | 7.6 | 6.5 | 19.9 |
| Sales discounts provided to customers due to sharing of nonconventional fuel tax credits | 2.2 | 2.1 | 5.7 | 9.1 |
| Net income | \$12.3 | \$32.9 | \$31.4 | \$73.5 |

(1) Reflects net income attributable to noncontrolling interest adjusted for the noncontrolling interest share of interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization.

Below is a reconciliation of 2013 Estimated Adjusted EBITDA to its closest GAAP measure:

| | 2013 | |
|---|-------|-------|
| | Low | High |
| Adjusted EBITDA attributable to SunCoke Energy, Inc. | \$175 | \$188 |
| Add: Adjusted EBITDA attributable to noncontrolling interest ⁽¹⁾ | 40 | 42 |
| Estimated 2013 Adjusted EBITDA | \$215 | \$230 |
| Subtract: | | |
| Sales discounts provided to customers due to sharing of nonconventional fuel tax credits | 7 | 7 |
| Adjustments to loss from equity method investment ⁽²⁾ | — | 3 |
| Estimated 2013 EBITDA | 208 | 220 |
| Subtract: | | |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization | 96 | 95 |
| Interest expense, net | 54 | 54 |
| Income tax expense | 7 | 14 |
| Net income | \$51 | \$57 |

(1) Reflects net income attributable to noncontrolling interest adjusted for the noncontrolling interest share of interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization.

(2) Reflects estimated pro-rata 2013 earnings related to our equity method investment in VISA SunCoke.

Table of Contents

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT CONCERNING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

We have made forward-looking statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, including, among others, in the section entitled “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.” Such forward-looking statements are based on management’s beliefs and assumptions and on information currently available. Forward-looking statements include the information concerning our possible or assumed future results of operations, business strategies, financing plans, competitive position, potential growth opportunities, potential operating performance improvements, benefits resulting from our separation from Sunoco, the effects of competition and the effects of future legislation or regulations. Forward-looking statements include all statements that are not historical facts and may be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as the words “believe,” “expect,” “plan,” “intend,” “anticipate,” “estimate,” “predict,” “potential,” “continue,” “may,” “will,” “should” or the negative of these or similar expressions.

Forward-looking statements involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Actual results may differ materially from those expressed in these forward-looking statements. You should not put undue reliance on any forward-looking statements. We do not have any intention or obligation to update any forward-looking statement (or its associated cautionary language), whether as a result of new information or future events, after the date of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, except as required by applicable law.

The risk factors discussed in the “Risk Factors” section of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 and in subsequent filings could cause our results to differ materially from those expressed in forward-looking statements. There may also be other risks that we are unable to predict at this time. Such risks and uncertainties include, without limitation:

- changes in levels of production, production capacity, pricing and/or margins for coal and coke;
- variation in availability, quality and supply of metallurgical coal used in the cokemaking process, including as a result of non-performance by our suppliers;
- changes in the marketplace that may affect supply and demand for our metallurgical coal and/or coke products, including increased exports of coke from foreign producers;
- competition from alternative steelmaking and cokemaking technologies that have the potential to reduce or eliminate the use of metallurgical coke;
- our dependence on, relationships with, and other conditions affecting, our customers;
- volatility, cyclical downturns and other change in the business climate and market for coal, affecting customers or potential customers for the Partnership's coal logistics business;
- severe financial hardship or bankruptcy of one or more of our major customers, or the occurrence of a customer default or other event affecting our ability to collect payments from our customers;
- our significant equity interest in the Partnership;
- volatility and cyclical downturns in the carbon steel industry and other industries in which our customers operate;
- our ability to enter into new, or renew existing, long-term agreements upon favorable terms for the supply of metallurgical coke to domestic and/or foreign steel producers;
- our ability to identify acquisitions, execute them under favorable terms, and integrate them into our existing business operations;
- our ability to develop, design, permit, construct, start up, or operate new cokemaking facilities in the United States;
- our ability to successfully implement our international growth strategy;
- our ability to realize expected benefits from investments and acquisitions, including our investment in the Indian joint venture;
- age of, and changes in the reliability, efficiency and capacity of the various equipment and operating facilities used in our coal mining and/or cokemaking operations, and in the operations of our subsidiaries major customers, business partners and/or suppliers;
- changes in the expected operating levels of our assets;
- our ability to meet minimum volume requirements, coal-to-coke yield standards and coke quality requirements in our coke sales agreements;
-

changes in the level of capital expenditures or operating expenses, including any changes in the level of environmental capital, operating or remediation expenditures;
our ability to service our outstanding indebtedness;

Table of Contents

- our ability to comply with the restrictions imposed by our financing arrangements;
- nonperformance or force majeure by, or disputes with, or changes in contract terms with, major customers, suppliers, dealers, distributors or other business partners;
- availability of skilled employees for our coal mining and/or cokemaking operations, and other workplace factors;
- effects of railroad, barge, truck and other transportation performance and costs, including any transportation disruptions;
- effects of adverse events relating to the operation of our facilities and to the transportation and storage of hazardous materials (including equipment malfunction, explosions, fires, spills, and the effects of severe weather conditions);
- our ability to enter into joint ventures and other similar arrangements under favorable terms;
- changes in the availability and cost of equity and debt financing;
- impact on our liquidity and ability to raise capital as a result of changes in the credit ratings assigned to our indebtedness;
- changes in credit terms required by our suppliers;
- risks related to labor relations and workplace safety;
- changes in, or new, statutes, regulations, governmental policies and taxes, or their interpretations, including those relating to environmental matters;
- the existence of hazardous substances or other environmental contamination on property owned or used by us;
- the availability of future permits authorizing the disposition of certain mining waste;
- claims of noncompliance with any statutory and regulatory requirements;
- changes in the status of, or initiation of new litigation, arbitration, or other proceedings to which we are a party or liability resulting from such litigation, arbitration, or other proceedings;
- historical combined and consolidated financial data may not be reliable indicator of future results;
- effects resulting from our separation from Sunoco, Inc.;
- public company costs;
- our indebtedness and certain covenants in our debt documents;
- our ability to secure new coal supply agreements or to renew existing coal supply agreements;
- our ability to acquire or develop coal reserves in an economically feasible manner;
- defects in title or the loss of one or more mineral leasehold interests;
- disruptions in the quantities of coal produced by our contract mine operators;

- our ability to obtain and renew mining permits, and the availability and cost of surety bonds needed in our coal mining operations;
 - changes in product specifications for either the coal or coke that we produce;
- changes in insurance markets impacting costs and the level and types of coverage available, and the financial ability of our insurers to meet their obligations;
- changes in accounting rules and/or tax laws or their interpretations, including the method of accounting for inventories, leases and/or pensions;
- volatility in foreign currency exchange rates affecting the markets and geographic regions in which we conduct business;
- changes in financial markets impacting pension expense and funding requirements;
- the accuracy of our estimates of reclamation and other mine closure obligations; and
- effects of geologic conditions, weather, or natural disasters.

The factors identified above are believed to be important factors, but not necessarily all of the important factors, that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in any forward-looking statement made by us. Other factors not discussed herein could also have material adverse effects on us. All forward-looking statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q are expressly qualified in their entirety by the foregoing cautionary statements.

Table of Contents

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Foreign Currency Risk. Because we operate outside the United States, we are subject to risk resulting from changes in currency exchange rates. Currency exchange rates are influenced by a variety of economic factors including local inflation, growth, interest rates and governmental actions, as well as other factors. Revenues and expenses of our foreign operations are translated at average exchange rates during the period and balance sheet accounts are translated at period-end exchange rates. Balance sheet translation adjustments are excluded from the results of operations and are recorded in stockholders' equity as a component of accumulated other comprehensive loss. From the date of acquisition, the movement of foreign currency rates had a negative impact of \$0.3 million on our net income. If the currency exchange rates had changed by 10 percent, we estimate the impact to our net income would have been approximately \$0.6 million.

Our India Coke segment purchases coal to be used in the production of coke. Coal, which is purchased in U.S. dollars, is subject to price fluctuations that may create price risk. Coke sales to customers are denominated in Indian rupees. Our ability to recover higher costs through price increases to customers may be limited due to the competitive pricing environment that exists in the market. Further, the purchase of coal at our India Coke segment is subject to foreign currency risk because the purchase of coal is denominated in a currency other than the segment's functional currency. If currency exchange rates change by 10 percent, we estimate that the impact on our annual net income would be approximately \$4 million. Beginning the fourth quarter of 2013, India Coke used derivative financial instruments to hedge currency fluctuations for anticipated purchases of coal used in the production of coke. We have policies governing the derivative instruments that may be used, including a policy not to enter into derivative contracts for speculative or trading purposes.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Management's Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As required by Rule 13a-15 under the Exchange Act, as of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures. This evaluation was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer.

Disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed in Company reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the Company reports filed under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance that financial information was processed, recorded and reported accurately based on criteria established in the 1992 Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended September 30, 2013 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II – OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The information presented in Note 11 entitled “Commitments and Contingent Liabilities” to our Consolidated Financial Statements within this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Updates to our risk factors disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 related to our new coal handling business are disclosed below.

Fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates could significantly and adversely affect results of operations or financial condition.

Our operations outside the United States have transactions and balances denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar, including the Indian rupee and the Brazilian real, among others. Because our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and are reported in U.S. dollars, we translate revenues, expenses and balance sheet accounts of our foreign operations into U.S. dollars at exchange rates in effect during or at the end of each reporting period. Currency exchange rates are influenced by local inflation, growth, interest rates, governmental actions and other events and circumstances beyond our control.

Increases or decreases in the value of the U.S. dollar against these other currencies will affect our net operating revenues, operating income and the value of balance sheet items denominated in such foreign currencies. Our India Coke business segment purchases metallurgical coal to be used in the production of coke. Since these purchases of coal are denominated in U.S. dollars, while the functional currency of this business segment is the Indian rupee, such transactions are subject to foreign currency risk. In addition, unexpected and dramatic fluctuations in currency exchange rates, such as the recent deterioration in value of the Indian rupee, could materially and adversely affect the value of our earnings from our India Coke business segment. Although our India Coke business segment uses derivative financial instruments to hedge currency fluctuations for anticipated purchases of coal used in the production of coke, we cannot assure you that fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates, particularly the strengthening of the U.S. dollar against the Indian rupee, or other currencies, would not materially affect our financial results.

The growth and success of our coal logistics business depends upon our ability to find and contract for adequate throughput volumes, and an extended decline in demand for coal could affect the customers for our coal logistics business adversely. As a consequence, the operating results and cash flows of our coal logistics business could be materially and adversely affected.

The financial results of our Coal Logistics business segment are significantly affected by the demand for both thermal coal and metallurgical coal. An extended decline in our customers’ demand for either thermal or metallurgical coals could result in a reduced need for the coal blending, terminalling and transloading services we offer, thus reducing throughput and utilization of our coal logistics assets. Demand for such coals may fluctuate due to factors beyond our control:

The demand for thermal coal can be impacted by changes in the energy consumption pattern of industrial consumers, electricity generators and residential users, as well as weather conditions. The amount of thermal coal consumed for electric power generation is affected primarily by the overall demand for electricity, the availability, quality and price of competing fuels for power generation, and governmental regulation. Natural gas-fueled generation has the potential to displace coal-fueled generation, particularly from older, less efficient coal-powered generators. State and federal mandates for increased use of electricity from renewable energy sources, or the retrofitting of existing coal-fired generators with pollution control systems, also could adversely impact the demand for thermal coal. Finally, unusually warm winter weather may reduce the commercial and residential needs for heat and electricity which, in turn, may reduce the demand for thermal coal; and

The demand for metallurgical coal for use in the steel industry may be impacted adversely by economic downturns resulting in decreased demand for steel and an overall decline in steel production. A decline in blast furnace production of steel may reduce the demand for furnace coke, an intermediate product made from metallurgical coal. Decreased demand for metallurgical coal also may result from increased steel industry utilization of processes that do not use, or reduce the need for, furnace coke, such as electric arc furnaces, or blast furnace injection of pulverized coal or natural gas.

Additionally, fluctuations in the market price of coal can greatly affect production rates and investments by third parties in the development of new and existing coal reserves. Mining activity may decrease as spot coal prices decrease. We have no control over the level of mining activity by coal producers, which may be affected by prevailing and projected coal prices, demand for hydrocarbons, the level of coal reserves, geological considerations, governmental regulation and the availability and cost of capital. A material decrease in coal mining production in the areas of operation for our coal logistics business,

Table of Contents

whether as a result of depressed commodity prices or otherwise, could result in a decline in the volume of coal processed through our coal logistics facilities, which would reduce our revenues and operating income. Decreased demand for thermal or metallurgical coals, and extended or substantial price declines for coal could adversely affect our operating results for future periods and our ability to generate cash flows necessary to improve productivity and expand operations. The cash flows associated with our coal logistics business may decline unless we are able to secure new volumes of coal by attracting additional customers to these operations. Future growth and profitability of our coal logistics business segment will depend, in part, upon whether we can contract for additional coal volumes at a rate greater than that of any decline in volumes from existing customers. Accordingly, decreased demand for coal, or a decrease in the market price of coal, could have a material adverse effect on the results of operations or financial condition of our coal logistics business.

Our failure to obtain or renew surety bonds on acceptable terms could materially and adversely affect our ability to secure our reclamation obligations and, therefore, our ability to operate our coal logistics business.

Federal and state laws require us to obtain surety bonds to secure performance or payment of certain long-term obligations, such as reclamation costs, federal and state workers' compensation costs and other obligations. Surety bond issuers and holders may not continue to renew the bonds or may demand higher fees, additional collateral, including letters of credit, or other terms less favorable to us upon renewals. We are also subject to increases in the amount of surety bonds required by Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act and other federal and state laws as these laws, or interpretations of these laws, change. Because we are required by state and federal law to have these bonds in place before activities at our coal logistics operations can commence or continue, our failure to maintain (or inability to acquire) these bonds would have a material and adverse impact on us. That failure could result from a variety of factors, including: lack of availability, higher expense or unfavorable market terms of new bonds; restrictions on availability of collateral for current and future third-party surety bond issuers under the terms of future indebtedness; our inability to meet certain financial tests with respect to a portion of the reclamation bonds; and the exercise by third-party surety bond issuers of their right to refuse to renew, or to issue, new bonds.

Our coal logistics business is subject to operating risks, some of which are beyond our control, that could result in a material increase in our operating expenses.

Factors beyond our control could disrupt our coal logistics operations, adversely affect our ability to service the needs of our customers, and increase our operating costs, all of which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. Such factors could include:

- geological, hydrologic, or other conditions that may cause damage to infrastructure or personnel;
- a major incident that causes all or part of the coal logistics operations at a site to cease for a period of time;
- processing and plant equipment failures and unexpected maintenance problems;
- adverse weather and natural disasters, such as heavy rains or snow, flooding and other natural events affecting coal logistics operations, transportation, or customers;

If any of these conditions or events occur, our coal logistics operations may be disrupted, operating costs could increase significantly, and we could incur substantial losses in this business segment. Disruptions in our coal logistics operations could seriously and adversely affect our financial condition, or results of operations.

Deterioration in the global economic conditions in any of the industries in which our customers operate, or sustained uncertainty in financial markets, may have adverse impacts on our business and financial condition that we currently cannot predict.

Economic conditions in a number of industries in which our customers operate, such as electric power generation and steel making, substantially deteriorated in recent years and reduced the demand for coal.

• demand for electricity in the United States is impacted by industrial production, which if weakened would negatively impact the revenues, margins and profitability of our coal logistics business;

- demand for metallurgical coal depends on steel demand in the United States and globally, which if weakened would negatively impact the revenues, margins and profitability of our coal logistics business;

• the tightening of credit or lack of credit availability to our customers could adversely affect our ability to collect our trade receivables; and

•

our ability to access the capital markets may be restricted at a time when we would like, or need, to raise capital for our business including for potential acquisitions, or other growth opportunities.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs ⁽¹⁾ | Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased under the Plans or Programs ⁽¹⁾ |
|--|----------------------------------|------------------------------|---|---|
| July 1 - 31, 2013 | 3,706 | \$13.91 | — | 2,800,383 |
| August 1 - 31, 2013 | 500,000 | \$16.04 | 500,000 | 2,300,383 |
| September 1 - 30, 2013 | — | \$— | — | 2,300,383 |
| For the quarter ended September 30, 2013 | 503,706 | (2) | | |

On February 29, 2012, we reported that our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to 3,500,000 shares (1) of the Company's common stock in order to counter the dilutive impact of exercised stock options and the vesting of restricted stock grants. Such authorization expires on December 31, 2015.

(2) Includes shares repurchased to satisfy participants' tax withholding obligations, pursuant to the terms of our Long-Term Performance Enhancement Plan.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

The information concerning mine safety violations and other regulatory matters that we are required to report in accordance with Section 1503(a) of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act is included in Exhibit 95.1 to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

See the Exhibit Index on page 56.

We are pleased to furnish this Form 10-Q to shareholders who request it by writing to:

SunCoke Energy, Inc.
Investor Relations
1011 Warrenville Road
Suite 600
Lisle, Illinois 60532

54

Table of Contents

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

SunCoke Energy, Inc.

Dated: October 30, 2013

By: /s/ Mark E. Newman
Mark E. Newman
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(As Principal Financial Officer and
Duly Authorized Officer of SunCoke Energy, Inc.)

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

The following exhibits are filed as part of, or incorporated by reference into, this Form 10-Q.

- 10.1*† Amended and Restated Coke Purchase Agreement, dated as of February 19, 1998, by and between Indiana Harbor Coke Company, L.P. and ArcelorMittal USA Inc. (f/k/a Inland Steel Company)
- 10.2*† Amendment No. 2 to Amended and Restated Coke Purchase Agreement, dated as of March 31, 2001, by and between Indiana Harbor Coke Company, L.P. and ArcelorMittal USA Inc. (f/k/a Inland Steel Company)
- 10.3*† Supplement to Amended and Restated Coke Purchase Agreement, dated as of February 3, 2011, by and between Indiana Harbor Coke Company, L.P. and ArcelorMittal USA Inc. (f/k/a Inland Steel Company)
- 10.4*† Extension Agreement, dated as of September 5, 2013, by and between Indiana Harbor Coke Company, L.P. and ArcelorMittal USA Inc.
- 31.1* Chief Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a), as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 31.2* Chief Financial Officer Certification Pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a), as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.1* Chief Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(b) or Rule 15d-14(b) and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code, as Adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.2* Chief Financial Officer Certification Pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(b) or Rule 15d-14(b) and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code, as Adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 95.1* Mine Safety Disclosures

101 The following financial statements from SunCoke Energy, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 30, 2013, formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language is attached to this report): (i) the Condensed and Consolidated Statements of Operations; (ii) the Condensed and Consolidated Balance Sheets; (iii) the Condensed and Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows; and, (iv) the Notes to Condensed and Consolidated Financial Statements. Users of this data are advised pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T that this interactive data file is deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, is deemed not filed for purposes of section 18 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

* Filed herewith.

† Certain portions have been omitted pursuant to a confidential treatment request filed October 30, 2013. The omitted information has been filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

