BLACKROCK MUNICIPAL 2018 TERM TRUST Form N-CSRS September 05, 2017

#### **UNITED STATES**

#### SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

#### **FORM N-CSR**

#### CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT

### **COMPANIES**

Investment Company Act file number: 811-10501

Name of Fund: BlackRock Municipal 2018 Term Trust (BPK)

Fund Address: 100 Bellevue Parkway, Wilmington, DE 19809

Name and address of agent for service: John M. Perlowski, Chief Executive Officer, BlackRock Municipal 2018 Term

Trust, 55 East 52<sup>nd</sup> Street, New York, NY 10055

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (800) 882-0052, Option 4

Date of fiscal year end: 12/31/2017

Date of reporting period: 06/30/2017

Item 1 Report to Stockholders

JUNE 30, 2017

## SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT (UNAUDITED)

BlackRock California Municipal 2018 Term Trust (BJZ)

BlackRock Municipal 2018 Term Trust (BPK)

BlackRock New York Municipal 2018 Term Trust (BLH)

Not FDIC Insured May Lose Value No Bank Guarantee

#### The Markets in Review

Dear Shareholder,

In the 12 months ended June 30, 2017, risk assets, such as stocks and high-yield bonds, delivered strong performance. These markets showed great resilience during a period with big surprises, including the aftermath of the U.K. s vote to leave the European Union and the outcome of the U.S. presidential election, which brought only brief spikes in equity market volatility. However, interest rates rose, which worked against high-quality assets with more interest rate sensitivity. Aside from the shortest-term Treasury bills, most U.S. Treasuries posted negative returns, as rising energy prices, modest wage increases and steady job growth led to expectations of higher inflation and anticipation of interest rate increases by the U.S. Federal Reserve (the Fed ).

The global reflationary theme—rising nominal growth, wages and inflation—was the dominant driver of asset returns during the period, outweighing significant political upheavals and economic uncertainty. Reflationary expectations accelerated after the U.S. election in November 2016 and continued into the beginning of 2017, stoked by expectations that the new administration—s policies would provide an extra boost to U.S. growth.

The Fed has responded to these positive developments by increasing interest rates three times in the last six months, setting expectations for additional interest rate increases and moving toward normalizing monetary policy. For its part, the European Central Bank also began to signal its intent to wind down asset purchases and begin the long move toward policy normalization, contingent upon further improvement in economic growth.

In recent months, growing skepticism about the near-term likelihood of significant U.S. tax reform and infrastructure spending has tempered enthusiasm around the reflation trade. Similarly, renewed concern about oversupply has weighed on energy prices. Nonetheless, financial markets and to an extent the Fed have adopted a wait-and-see approach to the economic data and potential fiscal stimulus. Although uncertainty has persisted, benign credit conditions, modest inflation and the outlook for economic growth have kept markets relatively tranquil.

In the fifth edition of our Global Investor Pulse Survey, we heard from 28,000 individuals across 18 countries, including more than 4,000 respondents from the United States. While retirement remains the single most important issue for American investors, only a third of respondents feel confident that they will have enough retirement income, and nearly 40% of respondents have yet to begin saving for retirement. We encourage you to talk with your financial advisor and visit blackrock.com for further insight about investing in today s markets.

Sincerely,

Rob Kapito

President, BlackRock Advisors, LLC

Rob Kapito

President, BlackRock Advisors, LLC

#### Total Returns as of June 30, 2017

Total Retains as of June 50, 2017		
	6-month	12-month
U.S. large cap equities	9.34%	17.90%
(S&P 500® Index)		
U.S. small cap equities	4.99	24.60
(Russell 2000® Index)		
International equities	13.81	20.27
(MSCI Europe, Australasia,		
Far East Index)		

Emerging market equities	18.43	23.75
(MSCI Emerging Markets Index)		
3-month Treasury bills	0.31	0.49
(BofA Merrill Lynch 3-Month		
U.S. Treasury Bill Index)		
U.S. Treasury securities	2.08	(5.58)
(BofA Merrill Lynch		
10-Year U.S. Treasury		
Index)		
U.S. investment grade bonds	2.27	(0.31)
(Bloomberg Barclays U.S.		
Aggregate Bond Index)		
Tax-exempt municipal	3.26	(0.28)
bonds (S&P Municipal		
Bond Index)		
U.S. high yield bonds	4.92	12.69
(Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Corporate High Yield 2% Issuer		
Capped Index)		

Capped Index)

2

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Index performance is shown for illustrative purposes only. You cannot invest directly in an index

THIS PAGE NOT PART OF YOUR FUND REPORT

# Table of Contents

		Page
The Markets in Review		2
Semi-Annual Report:		
Municipal Market Overview		4
The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging		5
Trust Summaries		6
Financial Statements:		
Schedules of Investments		12
Statements of Assets and Liabilities		21
Statements of Operations		22
Statements of Changes in Net Assets		23
Financial Highlights		25
Notes to Financial Statements		28
Disclosure of Investment Advisory Agreement and Sub-Advisory Agreement		35
Officers and Trustees		39
Additional Information		40
SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT	JUNE 30, 2017	3

## Municipal Market Overview

For the Reporting Period Ended June 30, 2017

#### **Municipal Market Conditions**

Municipal bonds experienced modestly negative performance for the period as a result of vastly rising interest rates spurring from generally stronger economic data, signs of inflation pressures, Federal Reserve (Fed) monetary policy normalization, and market expectations for pro-growth fiscal policy. However, ongoing reassurance from the Fed that rates would be increased gradually and would likely remain low overall resulted in continued demand for fixed income investments. More specifically, investors favored the income, attractive relative yield, and stability of municipal bonds amid bouts of interest rate volatility (bond prices rise as rates fall) resulting from geopolitical tensions, the contentious U.S. election, and continued global central bank divergence i.e., policy easing outside the United States while the Fed slowly engages in policy tightening. During the 12 months ended June 30, 2017, municipal bond funds garnered net inflows of approximately \$5 billion (based on data from the Investment Company Institute).

For the same 12-month period, total new issuance remained robust from a historical perspective at \$414 billion (above the \$397 billion issued in the prior 12-month period). A noteworthy portion of new supply during this period was attributable to refinancing activity (roughly 57%) as issuers continued to take advantage of low interest rates and a flat yield curve to reduce their borrowing costs.

S&P Municipal Bond Index Total Returns as of June 30, 2017

6 months: 3.26% 12 months: (0.28)%

#### A Closer Look at Yields

From June 30, 2016 to June 30, 2017, yields on AAA-rated 30-year municipal bonds increased by 77 basis points (bp) from 2.02% to 2.79%, while 10-year rates rose by 64 bps from 1.35% to 1.99% and 5-year rates increased 46 bps from 0.89% to 1.35% (as measured by Thomson Municipal Market Data). The municipal yield curve steepened over the 12-month period with the spread between 2- and 30-year maturities steepening by 29 bps.

During the same time period, on a relative basis, tax-exempt municipal bonds broadly outperformed U.S. Treasuries with the greatest outperformance experienced in the front and intermediate portions of the

yield curve. The relative positive performance of municipal bonds was driven largely by a supply/demand imbalance within the municipal market as investors sought income and incremental yield in an environment where opportunities became increasingly scarce. Municipal bonds came under pressure post the November U.S. presidential election as a result of uncertainty surrounding potential tax-reform, though growing expectation that tax reform is likely to be delayed or watered down quickly eased investor concerns. The asset class is known for its lower relative volatility and preservation of principal with an emphasis on income as tax rates rise.

#### Financial Conditions of Municipal Issuers

The majority of municipal credits remain strong, despite well-publicized distress among a few issuers. Four of the five states with the largest amount of debt outstanding California, New York, Texas and Florida have exhibited markedly improved credit fundamentals during the slow national recovery. However, several states with the largest unfunded pension liabilities have seen their bond prices decline noticeably and remain vulnerable to additional price deterioration. On the local level, Chicago s credit quality downgrade is an outlier relative to other cities due to its larger pension liability and inadequate funding remedies. BlackRock maintains the view that municipal bond defaults will remain minimal

and in the periphery while the overall market is fundamentally sound. We continue to advocate careful credit research and believe that a thoughtful approach to structure and security selection remains imperative amid uncertainty in a modestly improving economic environment.

The opinions expressed are those of BlackRock as of June 30, 2017, and are subject to change at any time due to changes in market or economic conditions. The comments should not be construed as a recommendation of any individual holdings or market sectors. Investing involves risk including loss of principal. Bond values fluctuate in price so the value of your investment can go down depending on market conditions. Fixed income risks include interest-rate and credit risk. Typically, when interest rates rise, there is a corresponding decline in bond values. Credit risk refers to the possibility that the bond issuer will not be able to make principal and interest payments. There may be less information on the financial condition of municipal issuers than for public corporations. The market for municipal bonds may be less liquid than for taxable bonds. Some investors may be subject to Alternative Minimum Tax (AMT). Capital gains distributions, if any, are taxable.

The Standard & Poor s Municipal Bond Index, a broad, market value-weighted index, seeks to measure the performance of the U.S. municipal bond market. All bonds in the index are exempt from U.S. federal income taxes or subject to the AMT. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Index performance is shown for illustrative purposes only. It is not possible to invest directly in an index.

4 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT JUNE 30, 2017

## The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging

The Trusts may utilize leverage to seek to enhance the distribution rate on, and net asset value ( NAV ) of, their common shares ( Common Shares ). However, these objectives cannot be achieved in all interest rate environments.

In general, the concept of leveraging is based on the premise that the financing cost of leverage, which is based on short-term interest rates, is normally lower than the income earned by a Trust on its longer-term portfolio investments purchased with the proceeds from leverage. To the extent that the total assets of the Trusts (including the assets obtained from leverage) are invested in higher-yielding portfolio investments, the Trusts shareholders benefit from the incremental net income. The interest earned on securities purchased with the proceeds from leverage is paid to shareholders in the form of dividends, and the value of these portfolio holdings is reflected in the per share NAV.

To illustrate these concepts, assume a Trust s capitalization is \$100 million and it utilizes leverage for an additional \$30 million, creating a total value of \$130 million available for investment in longer-term income securities. If prevailing short-term interest rates are 3% and longer-term interest rates are 6%, the yield curve has a strongly positive slope. In this case, a Trust s financing costs on the \$30 million of proceeds obtained from leverage are based on the lower short-term interest rates. At the same time, the securities purchased by a Trust with the proceeds from leverage earn income based on longer-term interest rates. In this case, a Trust s financing cost of leverage is significantly lower than the income earned on a Trust s longer-term investments acquired from such leverage proceeds, and therefore the holders of Common Shares (Common Shareholders) are the beneficiaries of the incremental net income.

However, in order to benefit shareholders, the return on assets purchased with leverage proceeds must exceed the ongoing costs associated with the leverage. If interest and other costs of leverage exceed the Trusts return on assets purchased with leverage proceeds, income to shareholders is lower than if the Trusts had not used leverage. Furthermore, the value of the Trusts portfolio investments generally varies inversely with the direction of long-term interest rates, although other factors can influence the value of portfolio investments. In contrast, the value of the Trusts

obligations under their respective leverage arrangements generally does not fluctuate in relation to interest rates. As a result, changes in interest rates can influence the Trusts NAVs positively or negatively. Changes in the future direction of interest rates are very difficult to predict accurately, and there is no assurance that the Trusts intended leveraging strategy will be successful.

The use of leverage also generally causes greater changes in each Trust s NAV, market price and dividend rates than comparable portfolios without leverage. In a declining market, leverage is likely to cause a greater decline in the NAV and market price of a Trust s shares than if the Trust were not leveraged. In addition, each Trust may be required to sell portfolio securities at inopportune times or at distressed values in order to comply with regulatory requirements applicable to the use of leverage or as required by the terms of leverage instruments, which may cause the Trusts to incur losses. The use of leverage may limit a Trust s ability to invest in certain types of securities or use certain types of hedging strategies. Each Trust incurs expenses in connection with the use of leverage, all of which are borne by shareholders and may reduce income to the shareholders. Moreover, to the extent the calculation of the Trusts investment advisory fees includes assets purchased with the proceeds of leverage, the investment advisory fees payable to the Trusts investment advisor will be higher than if the Trusts did not use leverage.

Each Trust may utilize leverage through TOB Trusts as described in the Notes to Financial Statements.

Under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act ), the Trusts are permitted to issue debt up to 33% of their total managed assets. A Trust may voluntarily elect to limit its leverage to less than the maximum amount permitted under the 1940 Act.

If a Trust segregates or designates on its books and records cash or liquid assets having a value not less than the value of a Trust sobligations under the TOB Trust (including accrued interest), then such transaction is not considered a senior security and is not subject to the foregoing limitations and requirements imposed by the 1940 Act.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT JUNE 30, 2017 5

## Trust Summary as of June 30, 2017

#### BlackRock California Municipal 2018 Term Trust

#### **Trust Overview**

BlackRock California Municipal 2018 Term Trust s (BJZ) (the Trust) investment objectives are to seek to provide current income exempt from regular U.S. federal and California income taxes and to return \$15 per common share to holders of common shares on or about December 31, 2018. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objectives by investing, under normal market conditions, at least 80% of its total assets in municipal bonds that at the time of investment are judged by the investment adviser to be of investment grade quality. There is no assurance that the Trust will achieve its investment objective of returning \$15 per common share.

#### **Trust Information**

Symbol on New York Stock Exchange (NYSE)

Initial Offering Date
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share<sup>3</sup>

Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share<sup>3</sup>

Economic Leverage as of June 30, 2017

BJZ
October 26, 2001
December 31, 2018
December 31, 2018
2.02%
4.12%
4.12%
5.03036
5.03036

- Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal U.S. federal and state tax rate of 50.93%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.
- <sup>3</sup> The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.

#### Performance

Returns for the six months ended June 30, 2017 were as follows:

	Returns Ba	Returns Based On	
	Market Price	NAV	
$BJZ^{1,2}$	0.97%	1.11%	
Lipper California Municipal Debt Funds <sup>3</sup>	6.58%	4.75%	

- 1 All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions at actual reinvestment prices.
- The Trust s premium to NAV narrowed during the period, which accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV.
- <sup>3</sup> Average return. Returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions at NAV on the ex-dividend date as calculated by Lipper.
  Performance results may include adjustments made for financial reporting purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

The following discussion relates to the Trust s absolute performance based on NAV:

The U.S. municipal bond market produced a positive return during the period. As optimism for meaningful fiscal reforms waned and economic data failed to experience a significant acceleration, the municipal market rallied off of the lows established immediately after the presidential election.

California municipal bonds performed slightly better than the national market. The state s 2017-2018 budget demonstrated spending restraint and higher reserves, and it included a forecast that projects structural balance through 2019. California s economy has grown at a healthy rate in recent years, with median household income and job gains outpacing U.S. growth rates.

Since the Trust is scheduled to terminate on or about December 31, 2018, it holds securities that mature close to that date. Short-term bonds which are the area of the market with the highest sensitivity to changes in monetary policy lagged longer-term issues as the Fed increased interest rates by a quarter point at both its March and June meetings. The Trust s short maturity was therefore a headwind to performance. Specifically, the Trust s positions in bonds with maturities of three years and less hindered results.

The Trust s more-seasoned holdings detracted from performance due to the premium amortization that occurred as the bonds approached their call and maturity dates. (When a bond s price trades at a premium over its face value, the difference is amortized over time. A bond premium occurs when the price of the bond has increased due to a decline in interest rates.)

Reinvestment was a drag on performance, as bonds were called or matured with yields materially higher than the prevailing market rates at which the proceeds were reinvested.

Positions in the utilities, transportation and education sectors contributed to performance.

The Trust maintained a fully invested portfolio, which helped maximize the income component of total return.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

6 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT JUNE 30, 2017

BlackRock California Municipal 2018 Term Trust

Market Price and Net Asset	Value Per Share Summary
----------------------------	-------------------------

	6/30/17	12/31/16	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$ 15.03	\$ 15.04	(0.07)%	\$ 15.19	\$ 15.01
Net Asset Value	\$ 14.95	\$ 14.94	0.07%	\$ 14.99	\$ 14.94

### Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

#### Overview of the Trust s Total Investments\*

Sector Allocation	6/30/17	12/31/16
County/City/Special District/School District	29%	25%
Utilities	28	28
Education	11	11
State	10	10
Transportation	9	9
Health	7	12
Corporate	4	4
Housing	1	1
Tobacco	1	

#### Call/Maturity Schedule<sup>3</sup>

Calendar Year Ended December 31,	
2017	11%
2018	67
2019	17
2020	
2021	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

\* Excludes short-term securities.

Credit Quality Allocation <sup>1</sup>	6/30/17	12/31/16
AAA/Aaa	5%	2%
AA/Aa	55	63
A	21	15
BBB/Baa	6	6
$N/R^2$	13	14

1

For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either Standard & Poor s ( S&P ) or Moody s Investors Service ( Moody s ) if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.

The investment adviser evaluates the credit quality of unrated investments based upon certain factors including, but not limited to, credit ratings for similar investments and financial analysis of sectors and individual investments. Using this approach, the investment adviser has deemed certain of these unrated securities as investment grade quality. As of June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, the market value of unrated securities deemed by the investment adviser to be investment grade represents 8% and 9%, respectively, of the Trust s total investments.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT JUNE 30, 2017 7

## Trust Summary as of June 30, 2017

BlackRock Municipal 2018 Term Trust

#### **Trust Overview**

BlackRock Municipal 2018 Term Trust s (BPK) (the Trust) investment objectives are to seek to provide current income exempt from regular U.S. federal income tax and to return \$15 per common share (the initial offering price per common share) to holders of common shares on or about December 31, 2018. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objectives by investing, under normal market conditions, its assets in municipal bonds that pay interest that is exempt from regular U.S. federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the U.S. federal AMT). The Trust invests at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds that are judged by the investment adviser to be of investment grade quality at the time of investment. There is no assurance that the Trust will achieve its investment objective of returning \$15 per common share.

#### **Trust Information**

Symbol on NYSE	BPK
Initial Offering Date	October 26, 2001
Termination Date (on or about)	December 31, 2018
Yield on Closing Market Price as of June 30, 2017 (\$15.03) <sup>1</sup>	1.76%
Tax Equivalent Yield <sup>2</sup>	3.11%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share <sup>3</sup>	\$0.0220
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share <sup>3</sup>	\$0.2640
Economic Leverage as of June 30, 2017 <sup>4</sup>	2%

- Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal U.S. federal tax rate of 43.4%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.
- The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- Represents TOB Trusts as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to TOB Trusts, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

#### Performance

Returns for the six months ended June 30, 2017 were as follows:

	Returns Ba	Returns Based On	
	Market Price	NAV	
BPK <sup>1,2</sup>	1.29%	1.23%	
Lipper Intermediate Municipal Debt Funds <sup>3</sup>	3.93%	4.22%	

All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions at actual reinvestment prices.

2

The Trust s discount to NAV narrowed during the period, which accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV.

3 Average return. Returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions at NAV on the ex-dividend date as calculated by Lipper.

Performance results may include adjustments made for financial reporting purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The following discussion relates to the Trust s absolute performance based on NAV:

The U.S. municipal bond market produced a positive return during the period. As optimism for meaningful fiscal reforms waned and economic data failed to experience a significant acceleration, the municipal market rallied off of the lows established immediately after the presidential election.

Since the Trust is scheduled to terminate on or about December 31, 2018, it holds securities that mature close to that date. Short-term bonds which are the area of the market with the highest sensitivity to changes in monetary policy lagged longer-term issues as the Fed increased interest rates by a quarter point at both its March and June meetings. The Trust s short maturity was therefore a headwind to performance. Specifically, the Trust s positions in bonds with maturities of three years and less hindered results.

The Trust s more-seasoned holdings detracted from performance due to the premium amortization that occurred as the bonds approached their call and maturity dates. (When a bond s price trades at a premium over its face value, the difference is amortized over time. A bond premium occurs when the price of the bond has increased due to a decline in interest rates.)

Reinvestment was a drag on performance, as bonds were called or matured with yields materially higher than the prevailing market rates at which the proceeds were reinvested.

Positions in the corporate municipal, transportation and healthcare sectors contributed to performance.

The Trust maintained a fully invested portfolio, which helped maximize the income component of total return.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

8 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT JUNE 30, 2017

**BlackRock Municipal 2018 Term Trust** 

Market Price and Net Asset	Value Per Share Summary
----------------------------	-------------------------

	6/30/17	12/31/16	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$ 15.03	\$ 14.98	0.33%	\$ 15.12	\$ 14.98
Net Asset Value	\$ 15.08	\$ 15.04	0.27%	\$ 15.11	\$ 15.04

### Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

#### Overview of the Trust s Total Investments\*

Sector Allocation	6/30/17	12/31/16
County/City/Special District/School District	23%	22%
Transportation	20	19
Health	17	17
Utilities	11	11
Education	9	5
Corporate	8	10
State	8	12
Housing	2	3
Tobacco	2	1

#### Call/Maturity Schedule<sup>3</sup>

Calendar Year Ended December 31,	
2017	5%
2018	74
2019	11
2020	1
2021	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

### \* Excludes short-term securities.

6/30/17	12/31/16
7%	5%
27	29
37	39
19	16
1	1
	1
9	9
	7% 27 37 19

- <sup>1</sup> For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either S&P or Moody s if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.
- <sup>2</sup> The investment adviser evaluates the credit quality of unrated investments based upon certain factors including, but not limited to, credit ratings for similar investments and financial analysis of sectors and individual investments. Using this approach, the investment adviser has deemed certain of these unrated securities as investment grade quality. As of June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016, the market value of unrated securities deemed by the investment adviser to be investment grade represents 6% and 5%, respectively, of the Trust s total investments.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

JUNE 30, 2017

.

## Trust Summary as of June 30, 2017

#### BlackRock New York Municipal 2018 Term Trust

#### **Trust Overview**

BlackRock New York Municipal 2018 Term Trust s (BLH) (the Trust ) investment objectives are to seek to provide current income exempt from regular U.S. federal income tax and New York State and New York City personal income taxes and to return \$15 per common share (the initial offering price per common share) to holders of common shares on or about December 31, 2018. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objectives by investing at least 80% of its total assets in municipal bonds that at the time of investment are judged by the investment adviser to be of investment grade quality. There is no assurance that the Trust will achieve its investment objective of returning \$15 per common share.

#### **Trust Information**

Symbol on NYSE
Initial Offering Date
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share<sup>3</sup>
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share<sup>3</sup>
Economic Leverage as of June 30, 2017

BLH
October 26, 2001
December 31, 2018

1.33%
1.33%
1.33%
1.33%
1.33%
1.33%
1.33%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%
1.30%

- Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- <sup>2</sup> Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal U.S. federal and state tax rate of 50.59%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.
- The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.

#### Performance

Returns for the six months ended June 30, 2017 were as follows:

	Returns Ba	sed On
	Market Price	NAV
BLH <sup>1,2</sup>	2.12%	1.16%
Lipper New York Municipal Debt Funds <sup>3</sup>	5.77%	4.69%

- All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions at actual reinvestment prices.
- The Trust s discount to NAV narrowed during the period, which accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV.
- 3 Average return. Returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions at NAV on the ex-dividend date as calculated by Lipper.Performance results may include adjustments made for financial reporting purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

The following discussion relates to the Trust s absolute performance based on NAV:

The U.S. municipal bond market produced a positive return during the period. As optimism for meaningful fiscal reforms waned and economic data failed to experience a significant acceleration, the municipal market rallied off of the lows established immediately after the presidential election.

New York municipal bonds performed in line with the national tax-exempt market.

Since the Trust is scheduled to terminate on or about December 31, 2018, it holds securities that mature close to that date. Short-term bonds which are highly sensitive to changes in monetary policy—lagged longer-term issues as the Fed increased interest rates by a quarter point at both its March and June meetings. The Trust—s short maturity was therefore a headwind to performance. Specifically, the Trust—s positions in bonds with maturities of three years and less hindered results.

The Trust s more-seasoned holdings detracted from performance due to the premium amortization that occurred as the bonds approached their call and maturity dates. (When a bond s price trades at a premium over its face value, the difference is amortized over time. A bond premium occurs when the price of the bond has increased due to a decline in interest rates).

Reinvestment was a drag on performance, as bonds were called or matured with yields materially higher than the prevailing market rates at which the proceeds were reinvested.

Positions in the tax-backed (state), tax-backed (local) and transportation sectors contributed to performance, as did investments in pre-refunded bonds.

The Trust maintained a fully invested portfolio, which helped maximize the income component of total return.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

10 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT JUNE 30, 2017

BlackRock New York Municipal 2018 Term Trust

	6/30/17	12/31/16	Change	High	Low
Market Price	\$ 14.94	\$ 14.73	1.43%	\$ 15.00	\$ 14.73
Net Asset Value	\$ 14.95	\$ 14.88	0.47%	\$ 14.99	\$ 14.88

### Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years

#### Overview of the Trust s Total Investments\*

Sector Allocation	6/30/17	12/31/16
County/City/Special District/School District	38%	38%
Transportation	18	19
Education	13	13
Health	10	10
State	8	8
Utilities	7	7
Housing	3	3
Tobacco	2	1
Corporate	1	1

### Call/Maturity Schedule<sup>3</sup>

Calendar Year Ended December 31,	
2017	4%
2018	64
2019	11
2020	21
2021	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

\* Excludes short-term securities.

Credit Quality Allocation <sup>1</sup>	6/30/17	12/31/16
AAA/Aaa	15%	15%
AA/Aa	64	64
A	13	12
BBB/Baa	3	3
BB/Ba	1	1
N/R <sup>2</sup>	4	5

- <sup>1</sup> For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either S&P or Moody s if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.
- <sup>2</sup> The investment adviser evaluates the credit quality of unrated investments based upon certain factors including, but not limited to, credit ratings for similar investments and financial analysis of sectors and individual investments. Using this approach, the investment adviser has deemed certain of these unrated securities as investment grade quality. As of June 30, 2017 and December 31, 2016 the market value of unrated securities deemed by the investment adviser to be investment grade represents 4% and 5%, respectively, of the Trust s total investments.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT JUNE 30, 2017 11

# Schedule of Investments June 30, 2017 (Unaudited)

BlackRock California Municipal 2018 Term Trust (BJZ)

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

W ** 10 1	Par	<b>3</b> 7. <b>1</b>	
Municipal Bonds California 94.8%	(000)	Value	
Corporate 4.3%			
California Pollution Control Financing Authority, RB, Mandatory Put Bonds, Republic Services, Inc. Project, Series B, AMT, 5.25%, 6/01/23 (a)	\$ 2,020	\$ 2,049,371	
California Pollution Control Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Mandatory Put Bonds,	\$ 2,020	\$ 2,049,371	
Republic Services, Inc. Project, Series C, AMT, 5.25%, 6/01/23 (a)	2,030	2,059,516	
110public 50111005, 1101110505, 501105 C, 111111, 0120 /c, 0/01/25 (u)	2,000	2,000,010	
		4,108,887	
County/City/Special District/School District 27.9%		4,100,007	
Capistrano Unified School District Community Facilities District No. 90-2, Refunding,			
Special Tax Bonds (BAM), 1.15%, 9/01/18	1,490	1,485,992	
City & County of San Francisco California, GO, Refunding, Series R-1, 5.00%, 6/15/18	1,640	1,704,895	
County of Los Angeles California, GO, 5.00%, 6/29/18 (b)	2,250	2,340,900	
County of Los Angeles Redevelopment Refunding Authority, Refunding, Tax Allocation			
Bonds,		===	
Series D, 5.00%, 9/01/18	1,000	1,044,770	
County of Riverside California, RB, 2.00%, 6/29/18 (b)	5,000	5,054,950	
Fontana Public Finance Authority California, Refunding, Tax Allocation Bonds, North Fontana Redevelopment Project, Series A (AGM), 5.25%, 9/01/18	3,395	3,407,120	
Gilroy Unified School District, GO, 3.00%, 8/01/18	3,393 400	408,756	
Irvine Unified School District California, Refunding, Special Tax Bonds, Community	400	408,730	
Facilities District No. 86-1 (AGM), 5.25%, 9/01/18	5,000	5,237,350	
Jurupa Public Financing Authority, Refunding, Special Tax Bonds, Series A, 4.00%, 9/01/18	380	392,506	
Lodi Unified School District California, GO, Refunding, (AGM), 4.00%, 8/01/18	1,000	1,033,370	
Los Angeles Community College District California, GO, Election of 2001, Series E-1,			
4.00%, 8/01/18	200	206,796	
Los Angeles Unified School District California, GO, Election of 2004, Series H (AGM),			
5.00%, 7/01/17 (c)	300	300,036	
Los Banos Unified School District California, GO, Election of 2008 (AGM), 5.00%, 8/01/17			
(c)	475	481,488	
Oakland Unified School District/Alameda County, GO, Refunding, 5.00%, 8/01/18 Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School District, COP, Refunding Series A (AGM),	900	939,906	
4.00%, 10/01/18	160	165,662	
San Marcos Unified School District California, GO, CAB (d):	100	103,002	
0.00%, 8/01/17	385	384,673	
0.00%, 8/01/18	500	493,595	
	Par		
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value	
California (continued)			
County/City/Special District/School District (continued)			
Santa Clara Unified School District California, GO, Election of 2004, Series A, 5.00%,			
7/01/18	\$ 1,690	\$ 1,759,358	
		26,842,123	
Education 9.9%			
California Municipal Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Series A, 3.00%, 1/01/18	440	442,367	
University of California, Refunding RB:	2.500	2 604 425	
General, Series AB, 5.00%, 5/15/19	2,500	2,684,425 3,121,680	
Series A, 4.00%, 11/01/18 Series A, 5.00%, 11/01/18	3,000 900	948,429	
Series A, 5.00%, 11/01/18 Series AR, 3.00%, 5/15/18	230	234,250	
Series S, 5.00%, 5/15/18 (e)	5	5,181	
Series S, 5.00%, 5/15/18	1,995	2,066,561	
v v v v v	<i>,</i>	, <del>, e = -</del>	
		9,502,893	
Health 6.7%		7,302,073	
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, RB, Scripps Health, Series A, 5.00%,			
10/01/18	750	787,192	
		•	

California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Refunding RB:		
Adventist Health System/West, Series A, 4.00%, 3/01/18	1,000	1,019,320
Sutter Health, Series D, 5.00%, 8/15/18	515	538,057
California Statewide Communities Development Authority, Refunding RB:		
CHF Irvine LLC, 5.00%, 5/15/18	1,000	1,030,500
Episcopal Communities & Services, 5.00%, 5/15/18	300	309,837
Huntington Memorial Hospital, Series B, 5.00%, 7/01/18	500	518,880
Rady Children s Hospital, Series A, 4.00%, 8/15/18	1,000	1,034,530
County of Los Angeles California Redevelopment Authority, Refunding, Tax Allocation		
Bonds, South Gate Redevelopment Project No. 1 and Claremont Consolidated		
Redevelopment Project, Series A, 4.00%, 9/01/18	850	878,917
Union City Community Redevelopment Agency, Refunding, Tax Allocation Bonds, Series		
A, 4.00%, 10/01/18	350	362,989
		6,480,222
		0,400,222
Housing 0.9%		0,460,222
Housing 0.9% California HFA, RB, Series A (Fannie Mae):		0,480,222
8	275	280,671
California HFA, RB, Series A (Fannie Mae):	275 535	, ,
California HFA, RB, Series A (Fannie Mae): 3.20%, 8/01/18		280,671
California HFA, RB, Series A (Fannie Mae): 3.20%, 8/01/18		280,671 552,569
California HFA, RB, Series A (Fannie Mae): 3.20%, 8/01/18 3.50%, 2/01/19		280,671
California HFA, RB, Series A (Fannie Mae): 3.20%, 8/01/18 3.50%, 2/01/19  State 9.4%		280,671 552,569
California HFA, RB, Series A (Fannie Mae): 3.20%, 8/01/18 3.50%, 2/01/19  State 9.4% State of California, GO, Refunding:	535	280,671 552,569 833,240
California HFA, RB, Series A (Fannie Mae): 3.20%, 8/01/18 3.50%, 2/01/19  State 9.4% State of California, GO, Refunding: 5.00%, 9/01/18	535	280,671 552,569 833,240 6,179,719
California HFA, RB, Series A (Fannie Mae): 3.20%, 8/01/18 3.50%, 2/01/19  State 9.4% State of California, GO, Refunding: 5.00%, 9/01/18 5.00%, 11/01/20	5,900 20	280,671 552,569 833,240 6,179,719 20,070
California HFA, RB, Series A (Fannie Mae): 3.20%, 8/01/18 3.50%, 2/01/19  State 9.4% State of California, GO, Refunding: 5.00%, 9/01/18	535	280,671 552,569 833,240 6,179,719

#### Portfolio Abbreviations

AGC	Assured Guarantee Corp. Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp. American Municipal Bond Assurance Corp.	COP	Certificates of Participation	ISD	Independent School District
AGM		EDA	Economic Development Authority	LOC	Letter of Credit
AMBAC		GAN	Grant Anticipation Notes	LRB	Lease Revenue Bonds
AMT	Alternative Minimum Tax (subject to)	GO	General Obligation Bonds	NPFGC	National Public Finance Guarantee Corp.
ARB	Airport Revenue Bonds	GTD	Guaranteed	PSF	Permanent School Fund
BAM	Build America Mutual Assurance Co.	HFA	Housing Finance Agency	RB	Revenue Bonds
CAB	Capital Appreciation Bonds	IDA	Industrial Development Authority	S/F	Single-Family

See Notes to Financial Statements.

12 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT JUNE 30, 2017

# Schedule of Investments (continued)

BlackRock California Municipal 2018 Term Trust (BJZ)

	Par	•••	
Municipal Bonds California (continued)	(000)	Value	
State (continued)			
State of California, GO, Refunding (continued):  Veterans, Series BZ, AMT (NPFGC), 5.35%, 12/01/21  State of California Parklin Wester Board Refunding RD, California Community	\$ 10	\$ 10,028	
State of California Public Works Board, Refunding RB, California Community Colleges, Series A, 5.00%, 12/01/17	2,020	2,043,735	
Tohogo 060		9,003,101	
Tobacco 0.6% Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp., Refunding RB, Series A, 4.00%, 6/01/18 Transportation 8.7%	570	585,800	
City of Long Beach California, RB, Series A, 5.00%, 5/15/18	500	517,845	
City of Los Angeles Department of Airports, RB, Series B, AMT, 4.00%, 5/15/18 Port of Oakland California, Refunding RB, Series O, AMT:	180	184,624	
5.00%, 5/01/18 5.00%, 5/01/19	4,280 3,000	4,422,524 3,205,830	
3.00%, 3/01/19	3,000	3,203,830	
		8,330,823	
Utilities 26.4% California State Department of Water Resources, Refunding RB:			
Series H, 5.00%, 5/01/18 (c)	3,500	3,621,520	
Series L, 5.00%, 5/01/19	2,000	2,144,160	
Series N, 5.00%, 5/01/19	3,500	3,752,280	
City of Riverside California Sewer Revenue, Refunding RB, Series A, 4.00%, 8/01/18 City of San Francisco California Public Utilities Commission Water Revenue,	1,000	1,032,650	
Refunding RB, Series D, 3.00%, 11/01/18	2,000	2,054,640	
City of Tulare California Sewer Revenue, Refunding RB, (AGM), 4.00%, 11/15/18 County of Los Angeles California Sanitation Districts Financing Authority, Refunding	315	327,594	
RB, Series A, 3.00%, 10/01/18	3,000	3,076,200	
County of Orange California Water District, Refunding RB, Series A, 4.00%, 8/15/18	100 <b>Par</b>	103,442	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value	
California (continued)	(***)		
Utilities (continued)			
Cucamonga Valley California Water District, Refunding RB, Series A (AGM),	ф 225	¢ 244.900	
4.00%, 9/01/19 Los Angeles California Department of Water & Power, RB, Series B, 5.00%, 7/01/18 Los Angeles California Department of Water & Power, Refunding RB, Power System,	\$ 325 955	\$ 344,809 994,097	
Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/19	2,500	2,698,825	
Sacramento California Municipal Utility District, Refunding RB, Series X, 5.00%, 8/15/18 Southern California Public Power Authority, Refunding RB, Canyon Power, Series A,	400	418,348	
4.00%, 7/01/18 Stockton East Water District California, COP, Refunding, Series B (NPFGC),	685	706,221	
0.00%, 4/01/19 (d)	4,590	4,150,324	
Total Municipal Bonds in California		25,425,110 91,112,199	
Guam 0.3%			
Utilities 0.3%			
Guam Power Authority, Refunding RB, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 10/01/19 <b>Total Long-Term Investments</b>	240	258,060	
(Cost \$90,163,146) 95.1%		91,370,259	
Short-Term Securities	Shares		
Short Term Securities	11,470,812	11,474,253	

BlackRock Liquidity Funds, MuniCash,
Institutional Class, 0.72% (f)(g)

Total Short-Term Securities
(Cost \$11,473,084) 11.9%

Total Investments (Cost \$101,636,230) 107.0%
Liabilities in Excess of Other Assets (7.0)%

11,474,253 102,844,512

Liabilities in Excess of Other Assets (7.	0)%					(6,702,344)	
Net Assets 100.0%					\$	96,142,168	
Notes to Schedule of Investments							
(a) Variable rate security. Rate as of period	1 end.						
(b) When-issued security.							
(c) U.S. Government securities, held in esc premium to par.	crow, are used to pay	interest on this	security, as wel	ll as to retire the b	ond in full at t	he date indicated	, typically at a
(d) Zero-coupon bond.							
(e) Security is collateralized by municipal	bonds or U.S. Treas	ury obligations.					
(f) During the six months ended June 30, 2 Company Act of 1940, as amended, we		issuers consider	red to be an affil	liate of the Trust fo	or purposes of	Section 2(a)(3)	of the Investment
Affiliate BlackRock Liquidity Funds, MuniCash,	Shares Held at December 31, 2016	Net Activity	Shares Held at June 30, 2017	Value at June 30, 2017	Income	Net Realized Gain	Change in Unrealized Appreciation
Institutional Class	1,957,903	9,512,909	11,470,812	\$ 11,474,253	\$ 12,783	\$ 171	\$ 1,187
(g) Current yield as of period end.							
See Notes to Financial Statements.							

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT JUNE 30, 2017 13

## Schedule of Investments (concluded)

BlackRock California Municipal 2018 Term Trust (BJZ)

For Trust compliance purposes, the Trust s sector classifications refer to one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment adviser. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

#### Fair Value Hierarchy as of Period End

Various inputs are used in determining the fair value of investments. For information about the Trust s policy regarding valuation of investments, refer to the Notes to Financial Statements.

The following table summarizes the Trust s investments categorized in the disclosure hierarchy:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets:				
Investments:				
Long Term Investments <sup>1</sup>		\$ 91,370,259		\$ 91,370,259
Short-Term Securities	\$ 11,474,253			11,474,253
Total	\$ 11,474,253	\$ 91,370,259		\$ 102,844,512

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See above Schedule of Investments for values in each sector.

During the six months ended June 30, 2017, there were no transfers between levels.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

14 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

JUNE 30, 2017

# Schedule of Investments June 30, 2017 (Unaudited)

### BlackRock Municipal 2018 Term Trust (BPK)

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

Municipal Bonds	Par (000)	Value
Alabama 2.9% Alabama 21st Century Authority, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.00%, 6/01/18	\$ 500	\$ 517,240
Alabama Federal Aid Highway Finance Authority, RB, GAN, Garvee, 5.00%, 9/01/18	6,000	6,274,380
Tuscaloosa City Board of Education, RB, Warrants, 4.00%, 8/01/18	125	128,930
Alaska 2.5%		6,920,550
City of Valdez Alaska, Refunding RB, 5.00%, 1/01/18  California 5.4%	6,000	6,110,880
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, RB, Sutter Health, Series B, 5.00%, 8/15/19 California Pollution Control Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Mandatory Put Bonds,	2,500	2,705,850
Republic Services, Inc. Project, Series C, AMT, 5.25%, 6/01/23 (a) Los Angeles Regional Airports Improvement Corp., Refunding RB, Facilities Lease, LAXFuel	4,055	4,113,960
Corp., AMT, 5.00%, 1/01/18	930	948,377
Los Angeles Unified School District California, GO, Series I, 5.00%, 7/01/20	3,750	4,043,587
Oakland Unified School District/Alameda County, GO, Refunding, 5.00%, 8/01/18	1,000	1,044,340
Colorado 2.4%		12,856,114
Adams & Arapahoe Joint School District 28J Aurora, GO, Refunding Series A,		
5.00%, 12/01/18	2,150	2,270,937
Colorado Educational & Cultural Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, Peak to Peak Charter	4.7.5	450.050
School, 4.00%, 8/15/18  Galaxa da Haalda Farallistan Aasthanisa Bafandina BB. Farana disal Lasthanan Good Samanisan	175	179,959
Colorado Health Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, Evangelical Lutheran Good Samaritan Society Project, 4.00%, 12/01/17	515	520,176
Denver Urban Renewal Authority, Refunding, Tax Allocation Bonds, Series A-1, 5.00%,		,
12/01/18 Park Creek Metropolitan District Colorado, Refunding RB, Senior Limited Property Tax,	1,675	1,763,323
4.00%, 12/01/18	1,000	1,030,990
		5,765,385
Connecticut 0.6%		
Connecticut State Health & Educational Facility Authority, RB, Fairfield University, Series N, 5.00%, 7/01/18	1,500	1,557,720
Delaware 0.3% Delaware State Economic Development Authority, RB, State University Project, 5.00%,		
10/01/18  Florida 5.1%	735	769,516
City of Miami Beach Florida, RB, 5.00%, 9/01/18	875	914,629
County of Broward Florida Airport System Revenue, Refunding RB, Series P-1, AMT,		,
5.00%, 10/01/18	3,930	4,115,299
County of Broward Florida School Board, COP, Series A (AGM), 5.25%, 7/01/18 (b)	1,250	1,303,513
County of Indian River Florida School Board, COP, Refunding, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/18	600	623,454
County of Miami-Dade Florida, Refunding RB, Series A, AMT, 5.00%, 10/01/18 Miami Beach Health Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, Mount Sinai Medical Center,	2,000	2,094,940
4.00%, 11/15/18	250	257,890
Municipal Bonds	Par (000)	Value
Florida (continued) Miami-Dade County School Board Foundation, Inc., COP, Refunding Series A, 5.00%, 5/01/18 Stevens Plantation Community Development District, Special Assessment Bonds, Series B,	\$ 1,500	\$ 1,549,095
6.38%, 5/01/13 (c)	1,920	1,343,040
0		12,201,860
Guam Power Authority, Refunding RB, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 10/01/19	620	666,655
Hawaii 0.2% Hawaii State Department of Budget & Finance, Refunding RB, Special Purpose Senior Living		
Revenue, 5.00%, 11/15/18	370	386,946
		,. 10

Illinois 6.5%		
Chicago Transit Authority, Refunding RB, 5.00%, 6/01/18	1,000	1,029,950
Counties of Du Page & Will Illinois Community School District No. 204 Indian Prairie, GO,		
Refunding Series B, 3.00%, 12/30/18	1,935	1,984,013
Fox Valley Park District, GO, Series A, 5.00%, 12/15/18	1,725	1,821,997
Illinois Finance Authority, Refunding RB:		
Central DuPage Health, Series B, 5.00%, 11/01/18	2,290	2,402,851
Peoples Gas Light and Coke Co. Project, Series B, 1.88%, 2/01/33 (a)	1,000	1,008,190
University Medical Center Rush, Series A, 5.00%, 11/15/18	1,000	1,050,040
Railsplitter Tobacco Settlement Authority, RB, 5.00%, 6/01/18	1,290	1,334,918
State of Illinois, GO:		
(AGM), 5.00%, 4/01/18	465	468,557
Refunding, 5.00%, 8/01/18	2,500	2,560,975
State of Illinois, RB, Build Illinois, Series B:		
5.00%, 6/15/18 (d)	355	368,696
Unrefunded Balance, 5.00%, 6/15/18	1,645	1,697,262
		15,727,449
Indiana 0.4%		13,727,449
	875	924,508
Indiana 0.4% Indiana State Municipal Power Agency, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.00%, 1/01/19 Iowa 0.3%	875	, ,
Indiana State Municipal Power Agency, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.00%, 1/01/19	875 655	, ,
Indiana State Municipal Power Agency, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.00%, 1/01/19 <b>Iowa 0.3</b> %		924,508
Indiana State Municipal Power Agency, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.00%, 1/01/19  Iowa 0.3%  University of Iowa, Refunding RB, Series S, 5.00%, 11/01/18		924,508
Indiana State Municipal Power Agency, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.00%, 1/01/19  Iowa 0.3%  University of Iowa, Refunding RB, Series S, 5.00%, 11/01/18  Kansas 1.1%	655	924,508 689,617
Indiana State Municipal Power Agency, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.00%, 1/01/19  Iowa 0.3%  University of Iowa, Refunding RB, Series S, 5.00%, 11/01/18  Kansas 1.1%  Kansas Development Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Adventist Health, 5.00%, 11/15/18	655	924,508 689,617
Indiana State Municipal Power Agency, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.00%, 1/01/19  Iowa 0.3%  University of Iowa, Refunding RB, Series S, 5.00%, 11/01/18  Kansas 1.1%  Kansas Development Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Adventist Health, 5.00%, 11/15/18  Kentucky 2.1%	655 2,500	924,508 689,617 2,630,450
Indiana State Municipal Power Agency, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.00%, 1/01/19  Iowa 0.3%  University of Iowa, Refunding RB, Series S, 5.00%, 11/01/18  Kansas 1.1%  Kansas Development Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Adventist Health, 5.00%, 11/15/18  Kentucky 2.1%  County of Kenton Kentucky School District Finance Corp., Refunding RB, 2.50%, 6/01/18	655 2,500	924,508 689,617 2,630,450
Indiana State Municipal Power Agency, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.00%, 1/01/19  Iowa 0.3%  University of Iowa, Refunding RB, Series S, 5.00%, 11/01/18  Kansas 1.1%  Kansas Development Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Adventist Health, 5.00%, 11/15/18  Kentucky 2.1%  County of Kenton Kentucky School District Finance Corp., Refunding RB, 2.50%, 6/01/18  County of Louisville & Jefferson Kentucky Metropolitan Government, Refunding RB, Catholic	655 2,500 3,210	924,508 689,617 2,630,450 3,252,212
Indiana State Municipal Power Agency, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.00%, 1/01/19  Iowa 0.3%  University of Iowa, Refunding RB, Series S, 5.00%, 11/01/18  Kansas 1.1%  Kansas Development Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Adventist Health, 5.00%, 11/15/18  Kentucky 2.1%  County of Kenton Kentucky School District Finance Corp., Refunding RB, 2.50%, 6/01/18  County of Louisville & Jefferson Kentucky Metropolitan Government, Refunding RB, Catholic	655 2,500 3,210	924,508 689,617 2,630,450 3,252,212 1,830,851
Indiana State Municipal Power Agency, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.00%, 1/01/19  Iowa 0.3%  University of Iowa, Refunding RB, Series S, 5.00%, 11/01/18  Kansas 1.1%  Kansas Development Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Adventist Health, 5.00%, 11/15/18  Kentucky 2.1%  County of Kenton Kentucky School District Finance Corp., Refunding RB, 2.50%, 6/01/18  County of Louisville & Jefferson Kentucky Metropolitan Government, Refunding RB, Catholic Health Initiatives, Series A, 5.00%, 12/01/18	655 2,500 3,210	924,508 689,617 2,630,450 3,252,212
Indiana State Municipal Power Agency, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.00%, 1/01/19  Iowa 0.3%  University of Iowa, Refunding RB, Series S, 5.00%, 11/01/18  Kansas 1.1%  Kansas Development Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Adventist Health, 5.00%, 11/15/18  Kentucky 2.1%  County of Kenton Kentucky School District Finance Corp., Refunding RB, 2.50%, 6/01/18  County of Louisville & Jefferson Kentucky Metropolitan Government, Refunding RB, Catholic Health Initiatives, Series A, 5.00%, 12/01/18  Maryland 3.6%	655 2,500 3,210	924,508 689,617 2,630,450 3,252,212 1,830,851
Indiana State Municipal Power Agency, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.00%, 1/01/19  Iowa 0.3%  University of Iowa, Refunding RB, Series S, 5.00%, 11/01/18  Kansas 1.1%  Kansas Development Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Adventist Health, 5.00%, 11/15/18  Kentucky 2.1%  County of Kenton Kentucky School District Finance Corp., Refunding RB, 2.50%, 6/01/18  County of Louisville & Jefferson Kentucky Metropolitan Government, Refunding RB, Catholic Health Initiatives, Series A, 5.00%, 12/01/18  Maryland 3.6%  Maryland Health & Higher Educational Facilities Authority, Refunding RB:	655 2,500 3,210 1,755	924,508 689,617 2,630,450 3,252,212 1,830,851 5,083,063
Indiana State Municipal Power Agency, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.00%, 1/01/19  Iowa 0.3%  University of Iowa, Refunding RB, Series S, 5.00%, 11/01/18  Kansas 1.1%  Kansas Development Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Adventist Health, 5.00%, 11/15/18  Kentucky 2.1%  County of Kenton Kentucky School District Finance Corp., Refunding RB, 2.50%, 6/01/18  County of Louisville & Jefferson Kentucky Metropolitan Government, Refunding RB, Catholic Health Initiatives, Series A, 5.00%, 12/01/18  Maryland 3.6%  Maryland Health & Higher Educational Facilities Authority, Refunding RB:  Charlestown Community, 5.00%, 1/01/19 (d)	655 2,500 3,210 1,755	924,508 689,617 2,630,450 3,252,212 1,830,851 5,083,063
Indiana State Municipal Power Agency, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.00%, 1/01/19  Iowa 0.3%  University of Iowa, Refunding RB, Series S, 5.00%, 11/01/18  Kansas 1.1%  Kansas Development Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Adventist Health, 5.00%, 11/15/18  Kentucky 2.1%  County of Kenton Kentucky School District Finance Corp., Refunding RB, 2.50%, 6/01/18  County of Louisville & Jefferson Kentucky Metropolitan Government, Refunding RB, Catholic Health Initiatives, Series A, 5.00%, 12/01/18  Maryland 3.6%  Maryland Health & Higher Educational Facilities Authority, Refunding RB:	655 2,500 3,210 1,755	924,508 689,617 2,630,450 3,252,212 1,830,851 5,083,063

See Notes to Financial Statements.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT JUNE 30, 2017 15

# Schedule of Investments (continued)

## BlackRock Municipal 2018 Term Trust (BPK)

Municipal Bonds Maryland (continued)	Par (000)	Value
Maryland (Continued) Maryland State Transportation Authority, Refunding RB, Baltimore/Washington Thurgood Marshall Airport Project, Series B, AMT, 5.00%, 3/01/19	\$ 5,000	\$ 5,314,200
M: 1: 4.76		8,551,954
Michigan 4.5% Kalamazoo Hospital Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Bronson Methodist Hospital (AGM),		
5.00%, 5/15/18	2,025	2,090,489
Michigan State Building Authority, Refunding RB, Facilities Program Series, 6.00%, 10/15/18 (b)	1,455	1,546,840
Michigan State Finance Authority, Refunding RB, AMT:	2.275	2 200 070
5.00%, 11/01/17 5.00%, 11/01/18	2,275 2,100	2,299,979 2,183,622
Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Oakwood Obligation Group, Series	2,100	2,103,022
A, 5.00%, 7/15/17 (b)	1,000	1,001,730
Michigan State Housing Development Authority, Refunding RB, Series B, 4.15%, 4/01/18	1,000	1,019,900
Saginaw Valley State University, RB, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/18	600	623,334
Missississis 0.40/		10,765,894
Mississippi 0.4% Mississippi Development Bank, Refunding RB, 5.00%, 3/01/18	1,000	1,024,000
Missouri 0.8% City of Kansas City Missouri, Refunding ARB, AMT, Series A, 5.00%, 9/01/18	1,750	1,827,928
Nebraska 1.0% Central Plains Energy Project, RB, Gas Project (Project No. 3), 5.00%, 9/01/17	2,330	2,344,096
Nevada 3.7%		
County of Clark Nevada, Refunding, Special Assessment Bonds, Improvement District	2 405	2 520 296
No. 142, Mountain s Edge, 4.00%, 8/01/18 Director of the State of Nevada Department of Business & Industry, RB, Mandatory Put Bonds,	3,495	3,529,286
Republic Services, Inc. Project, AMT, 5.63%, 12/01/26 (a)	5,120	5,294,336
		8,823,622
New Hampshire 2.1%		0,020,022
New Hampshire State Turnpike System, RB, Series A, 5.00%, 10/01/18 New Jersey 13.2%	4,740	4,969,274
County of Atlantic New Jersey, Refunding, 3.00%, 10/01/18	1,855	1,893,454
New Jersey EDA, Refunding RB, Cigarette Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 6/15/18	5,000	5,137,000
New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, RB, Seton Hall University, Series D, 5.00%, 7/01/18	320	331,955
New Jersey Educational Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, University of Medicine &	320	221,722
Dentistry, Series B, 6.25%, 12/01/18 (d)	2,500	2,686,475
New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, Refunding RB:	950	992.057
AHS Hospital Corp., 5.00%, 7/01/18 AtlantiCare Regional Medical Center, 5.00%, 7/01/17 (b)	850 1,500	883,057 1,500,180
Barnabas Health, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/18 (d)	2,000	2,081,260
Princeton HealthCare System, 5.00%, 7/01/18	1,620	1,678,693
	Par	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
New Jersey (continued)		
New Jersey Higher Education Student Assistance Authority, RB, Series 1A, AMT: 5.00%, 12/01/17	\$ 460	\$ 466,955
5.00%, 12/01/18	2,000	2,089,940
New Jersey State Housing & Mortgage Finance Agency, Refunding RB, S/F Housing, Series	_,	_,,,,,,,,
T, AMT, 4.55%, 10/01/22	1,660	1,663,220
New Jersey State Turnpike Authority, Refunding RB, Series G, 5.00%, 1/01/18 New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, RB:	1,350	1,377,014
Series B, 5.00%, 6/15/18	2,000	2,056,280
Transportation Program, Series AA, 5.00%, 6/15/18	2,000	2,056,280
Transportation System, Series A, 5.75%, 6/15/18 Transportation System, Series A (AMBAC), 5.75%, 6/15/18	1,320 2,070	1,366,490
Newark Housing Authority, RB, South Ward Police Facility (AGC), 4.50%, 12/01/18	2,070 1,895	2,138,393 1,943,322
Towark Housing Authority, KD, South Ward Folice Facility (AGC), 4.30%, 12/01/16	1,073	1,743,344

South Jersey Transportation Authority LLC, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.00%, 11/01/20	200	218,902
		31,568,870
New York 5.1%		
Metropolitan Transportation Authority, Refunding RB:	1.000	1.057.020
Series A, 5.00%, 11/15/18	1,000	1,057,030
Series F, 4.00%, 11/15/18	200	208,122
New York State Dormitory Authority, RB, General Purpose, Series A, 5.00%, 3/15/18	6,500	6,690,190
New York Transportation Development Corp., Refunding RB, American Airlines, Inc., AMT,	1.500	1.550.650
5.00%, 8/01/18	1,500	1,550,670
Port Authority of New York & New Jersey, ARB, JFK International Air Terminal LLC	1.200	1 21 6 020
Project, Series 8, 5.00%, 12/01/20	1,200	1,316,928
State of New York Dormitory Authority, Refunding RB, Orignal Regional Medical Center,	1.000	1.012.640
5.00%, 12/01/17 (e)	1,000	1,013,640
TSASC, Inc., Refunding RB, Series A, 3.00%, 6/01/18	500	507,440
		12,344,020
Oklahoma 0.2%		
Norman Regional Hospital Authority, Refunding RB, 4.00%, 9/01/18	420	432,314
Pennsylvania 12.8%		
Altoona City Authority, Refunding RB, 5.25%, 11/01/18	2,500	2,627,350
Chester County Health & Education Facilities Authority, Refunding RB:		
3.00%, 12/01/17	585	586,679
4.00%, 12/01/18	805	818,460
Cumberland County Municipal Authority, Refunding RB:		
4.00%, 1/01/18	1,380	1,397,291
5.75%, 1/01/19 (d)	2,135	2,283,895
5.75%, 1/01/19	240	254,215
Montgomery County Industrial Development Authority, Refunding RB, 5.00%, 1/15/18	1,000	1,016,930
Northampton County General Purpose Authority, RB, Series A, 5.38%, 8/15/18 (b)	4,000	4,195,000
Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, RB:	•	, ,
PA Bridges Finco LP, AMT, 5.00%, 12/31/18	2,100	2,199,645
University of Pennsylvania Medical Center, 5.00%, 3/15/18	750	771,240
Waste Management, Inc. Project, 1.55%, 12/01/33 (a)	5,000	4,989,750
	*	

See Notes to Financial Statements.

16 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT JUNE 30, 2017

# Schedule of Investments (continued)

## BlackRock Municipal 2018 Term Trust (BPK)

	Par	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value
Pennsylvania (continued) Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, Refunding RB, Amtrak Project,		
Series A, AMT, 3.00%, 11/01/18	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,021,130
Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority, RB, Shippensburg University Student	, ,,,,,	, ,, ,, ,
Services, Inc., Student Housing Project:		
4.00%, 10/01/17	140	140,619
4.00%, 10/01/18	560	570,696
Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority, Refunding RB: Drexel University, Series A, 5.00%, 5/01/18	1,000	1,031,140
University Propertise, Inc. Student Housing Project at East, 4.00%, 7/01/18	315	321,067
Pennsylvania IDA, Refunding RB, Economic Development, 5.00%, 7/01/18	1,500	1,558,635
Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, RB, Sub-Series A (AGC), 5.00%, 6/01/19 (b)	1,000	1,074,660
Philadelphia Gas Works Co., Refunding RB, 4.00%, 8/01/18	1,790	1,842,035
State Public School Building Authority, RB, Community College of Allegheny County Project	000	000.006
(AGM), 5.00%, 7/15/18 West Chester Area School District, GO, Refunding, Series AA, 5.00%, 5/15/18	900 1,000	933,336 1,035,560
west Chester Area School District, GO, Retuilding, Series AA, 5.00%, 5/15/18	1,000	1,033,300
		30,669,333
Rhode Island 1.1%		20,007,222
Rhode Island Health & Educational Building Corp., Refunding RB, Hospital Financing		
Revenue, Lifespan Obligated Group Issue, 5.00%, 5/15/18	1,040	1,070,150
Tobacco Settlement Financing Corp., Refunding RB, Series A, 4.00%, 6/01/18	1,500	1,533,660
		2 (02 010
Tennessee 1.2%		2,603,810
Metropolitan Gov t Nashville & Davidson County Health & Educational Facilities Board,		
Refunding RB, Lipscomb University Project, Series A, 4.00%, 10/01/18	260	266,820
Tennessee Energy Acquisition Corp., RB:		
Series A, 5.25%, 9/01/18	1,040	1,084,397
Series C, 5.00%, 2/01/18	1,500	1,529,520
Ti 10.60/		2,880,737
Texas 10.6% Birdville ISD Texas, GO, Refunding, CAB (PSF-GTD), 0.00%, 2/15/18 (f)	1,615	1,603,291
Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority, Refunding RB, Senior Lien, 5.75%, 1/01/18 (d)	750	768,052
City of Dallas Texas, Refunding RB, Civic Center Convention Complex (AGC), 5.00%,	750	, 00,002
8/15/21	2,500	2,680,675
City of Houston Texas, Refunding RB, Sub Lien, Series B, 5.00%, 7/01/18	1,000	1,039,090
Houston ISD Public Facilities Authority, RB, 5.00%, 9/15/18	5,000	5,239,600
Lower Colorado River Authority, Refunding RB, LCRA Transmission, Series B, 5.00%, 5/15/18	5,000	5 172 450
New Hope Cultural Education Facilities Corp., RB, Stephenville LLC Tarleton State University	5,000	5,173,450
Project, Series A:		
4.00%, 4/01/18	405	410,585
4.00%, 4/01/18	280	284,155
4.00%, 4/01/20	165	173,996
Municipal Bonds	Par (000)	Value
Texas (continued)	(000)	value
North Texas Tollway Authority, Refunding RB, Series C, 5.00%, 1/01/19	\$ 2,215	\$ 2,340,325
Tarrant County Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corp., Refunding RB, 5.00%, 11/15/18	1,195	1,239,550
Texas Municipal Gas Acquisition & Supply Corp. III, RB, 5.00%, 12/15/18	4,360	4,581,880
Virginia 1.6%		25,534,649
City of Norfolk Virginia, Refunding RB, Water Revenue, 5.00%, 11/01/18	1,230	1,295,166
Virginia College Building Authority, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/18 (e)	785	805,967
Virginia Port Authority, Refunding RB, Series B, AMT, 5.00%, 7/01/18	1,790	1,858,879
		3,960,012

Washington 1.4%		
Energy Northwest, Refunding RB, Wind Project Revenue, 5.00%, 7/01/18	2,865	2,972,323
Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, Providence Health & Services, Series B, 5.00%, 10/01/18	500	524,540
Genes B, 5.00 %, 19/01/10	300	324,340
		3,496,863
Wisconsin 1.0% State of Wisconsin Defunding DD Series A (4):		
State of Wisconsin, Refunding RB, Series A (d): 5.00%, 5/01/18	800	827,048
5.00%, 5/01/18	200	206,762
Wisconsin Health & Educational Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, Froedtert & Community	1,265	1,348,224
Health, Inc., 5.00%, 4/01/19	1,203	1,346,224
		2,382,034
Total Municipal Bonds 94.4%		226,470,123
Municipal Bonds Transferred to Tender Option Bond Trusts (g) 2.2%		
Illinois 2.2%		
City of Chicago Illinois Waterworks Revenue, Refunding RB, 2nd Lien (AGM),	5,000	5 241 050
5.00%, 11/01/20 Total Long-Term Investments	5,000	5,241,850
(Cost \$229,550,790) 96.6%		231,711,973
Short-Term Securities	Shares	
BlackRock Liquidity Funds, MuniCash, Institutional Class, 0.72% (h)(i)  Total Short-Term Securities	9,655,786	9,658,682
(Cost \$9,657,015) 4.0%		9,658,682
Total Investments (Cost \$239,207,805) 100.6%		241,370,655
Other Assets Less Liabilities 1.0%		2,245,708
Liability for TOB Trust Certificates, Including Interest Expense and Fees Payable (1.6)%		(3,756,248)
Net Assets 100.0%		\$ 239,860,115

See Notes to Financial Statements.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT JUNE 30, 2017 17

## Schedule of Investments (concluded)

BlackRock Municipal 2018 Term Trust (BPK)

#### Notes to Schedule of investments

- (a) Variable rate security. Rate as of period end.
- (b) U.S. Government securities, held in escrow, are used to pay interest on this security, as well as to retire the bond in full at the date indicated, typically at a premium to par.
- (c) Issuer filed for bankruptcy and/or is in default.
- (d) Security is collateralized by municipal bonds or U.S. Treasury obligations.
- (e) Security exempt from registration pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. These securities may be resold in transactions exempt from registration to qualified institutional investors.
- (f) Zero-coupon bond.
- (g) Represent bonds transferred to a TOB Trust in exchange of cash and residual certificates received by the Trust. These bonds serve as collateral in a secured borrowing. See Note 4 of the Notes to Financial Statements for details.
- (h) During the six months ended June 30, 2017, investments in issuers considered to be an affiliate of the Trust for purposes of Section 2(a)(3) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, were as follows:

	Shares		Shares						
	Held at		Held at	Value at				Cha	ange in
	December 31,	Net	June 30,	June 30,		Net Re	ealized	Unr	ealized
Affiliate	2016	Activity	2017	2017	Income	Ga	in	Appr	reciation
BlackRock Liquidity Funds, MuniCash,									
Institutional Class	784,632	8,871,154	9,655,786	\$ 9,658,682	\$ 17,736	\$	543	\$	1,667

(i) Current yield as of period end.

#### Fair Value Hierarchy as of Period End

Various inputs are used in determining the fair value of investments. For information about the Trust s policy regarding valuation of investments, refer to the Notes to Financial Statements.

The following table summarizes the Trust s investments categorized in the disclosure hierarchy:

Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Total

Assets:

Investments:

 Long-Term Investments<sup>1</sup>
 \$ 231,711,973
 \$ 231,711,973

 Short-Term Securities
 \$ 9,658,682
 9,658,682

**Total** \$9,658,682 \$231,711,973 \$241,370,655

The Trust may hold liabilities in which the fair value approximates the carrying amount for financial reporting purposes. As of period end, TOB Trust Certificates of \$3,750,000 are categorized as Level 2 within the disclosure hierarchy.

During the six months ended June 30, 2017, there were no transfers between levels.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

18 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

JUNE 30, 2017

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See above Schedule of Investments for values in each state or political subdivision.

# Schedule of Investments June 30, 2017 (Unaudited)

### BlackRock New York Municipal 2018 Term Trust (BLH)

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

W	Par	*7.1	
Municipal Bonds New York 96.3%	(000)	Value	
Corporate 0.9%			
New York Transportation Development Corp., Refunding RB, American Airlines, Inc., AMT,	¢ 500	¢ 516,000	
5.00%, 8/01/18 County/City/Chariel District/Calcal District 27.0%	\$ 500	\$ 516,890	
County/City/Special District/School District 37.0%  Brooklyn Arena Local Development Corp., Refunding RB, Barclays Center Project, Series A,			
5.00%, 7/15/17	400	400,652	
City of New York New York, GO, Refunding, Series A, 5.00%, 8/01/18	500	521,370	
City of New York New York, GO:	200	521,576	
Sub-Series G-1, 5.00%, 4/01/18 (a)	5,000	5,154,350	
Sub-Series H-2, 5.00%, 6/01/20	3,470	3,842,574	
City of New York New York Transitional Finance Authority, RB, Fiscal 2008, Series S-1,			
5.00%, 1/15/23	1,400	1,431,066	
City of Rochester New York, GO, Refunding, Series I, 4.00%, 8/15/18	2,000	2,067,800	
County of Erie New York Industrial Development Agency, GO:			
Series A, 5.00%, 9/15/18	350	366,867	
Series B, 5.00%, 6/01/18	1,000	1,037,150	
Haverstraw-Stony Point Central School District, GO, Refunding, 4.00%, 10/15/18	1,000	1,038,310	
New York State Dormitory Authority, RB: General Purpose, Series E, 5.00%, 8/15/19	1,500	1,624,845	
School Districts Financing Program, Series C, 4.00%, 10/01/18	535	555,469	
New York State Dormitory Authority, Refunding RB, 3rd General Resolution, State University	555	333,409	
Educational Facilities, 4.00%, 5/15/18	1,000	1,026,710	
Owego Apalachin Central School District, GO, Refunding, (AGM), 4.00%, 6/15/18	1,015	1,041,603	
	,	, , , , , , , ,	
		20,108,766	
Education 12.5%		20,108,700	
City of New York New York Transitional Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Subordinate,			
Future Tax Secured, Series B, 5.00%, 2/01/20	2,000	2,195,260	
County of Tompkins New York Development Corp., Refunding RB, Ithaca College, 4.00%,	,	, ,	
7/01/18	500	514,220	
New York State Dormitory Authority, LRB, State University Dormitory Facilities, Series			
ALRB, State University Dormitory Facilities, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/20 (b)	250	278,407	
New York State Dormitory Authority, RB, Pratt Institute, Series C (AGC), 5.00%, 7/01/19 (a)	600	647,100	
New York State Dormitory Authority, Refunding RB:			
Mental Health Service, 5.00%, 8/15/18 (a)	5	5,228	
Pratt Institute, Series A, 4.00%, 7/01/18	310	318,720	
Series A, 3.00%, 7/01/18  Taraham Callers Spring A, 5.00%, 7/01/17	1,300	1,325,220	
Teachers College, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/17	200	200,024	
Teachers College, Series A, 5.00%, 7/01/18 Yonkers Industrial Development Agency New York, RB, Sarah Lawrence College Project,	250	259,903	
Series A (a):			
5.00%, 6/01/18	600	622,272	
5.00%, 6/01/19	400	429,876	
		. ,	
		6,796,230	
Health 9.4%		0,790,230	
Nassau Health Care Corp., Refunding RB, Sub-Series B-1 (TD Bank NA LOC),			
0.89%, 8/01/29 (c)	1,600	1,600,000	
	Par	, ,	
Municipal Bonds	(000)	Value	
New York (continued)			
Health (continued)			
New York State Dormitory Authority, Refunding RB:			
Miriam Osborn Memorial Home, 2.50%, 7/01/18	\$ 1,890	\$ 1,905,536	
North Shore Long Island Jewish, Series A, 5.00%, 5/01/18	615	635,178	
North Shore Long Island Jewish, Series A, 4.00%, 5/01/19	250	262,470	
North Shore Long Island Jewish, Series A, 5.00%, 5/01/19	650	694,180	

		5,097,364
Housing 2.4%		
New York State Urban Development Corp., RB, State Personal Income Tax, Series A-1, 5.00%, 12/15/18 (b)	500	529,140
New York State Urban Development Corp., Refunding RB, Series D, 5.50%, 1/01/19	750	799,170
New 10tk State Orban Development Corp., Retunding RB, Series B, 5.50%, 1/01/19	730	799,170
		1,328,310
State 8.1%		
New York State Dormitory Authority, RB, General Purpose, Series A, 5.00%, 3/15/18	1,500	1,543,890
New York State Dormitory Authority, Refunding LRB, Municipal Health Facilities, 4.00%,		
5/15/18	550	564,328
State of New York, GO, Series E, 5.00%, 12/15/20	2,000	2,267,140
		4,375,358
Tobacco 1.6%		
Chautauqua Tobacco Asset Securitization Corp., Refunding RB, 4.00%, 6/01/18	350	359,100
TSASC, Inc., Refunding RB, Series A, 3.00%, 6/01/18	500	507,440
		966 540
Transportation 17.8%		866,540
Metropolitan Transportation Authority, Refunding RB:		
Series C, 5.00%, 11/15/18	1,965	2,072,878
Series F, 4.00%, 11/15/18	300	312,183
New York State Thruway Authority, RB, Transportation, Series A, 5.00%, 9/15/18 (b)	1,750	1,835,785
Port Authority of New York & New Jersey, ARB, JFK International Air Terminal LLC Project,	,	,,
Series 8, 5.00%, 12/01/20	300	329,232
Port Authority of New York & New Jersey, Refunding RB, AMT:		
178th Series, 5.00%, 12/01/18	900	948,699
193rd Series, 4.00%, 10/15/18	1,000	1,036,790
Triborough Bridge & Tunnel Authority, Refunding RB, General, Series B, 4.00%, 11/15/18	3,000	3,125,850
		9,661,417
Utilities 6.6%		2,001,117
Long Island Power Authority, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.25%, 4/01/21	1,000	1,063,760
New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Water & Sewer System,	,	,,.
2nd General Resolution, Fiscal 2012, Series FFResolution, Fiscal 2012, Series FF, 5.00%,		
6/15/20	2,000	2,222,300
Upper Mohawk Valley Regional Water Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Water System,		
Series 2012, 4.00%, 4/01/18	300	306,765
		3,592,825
Total Municipal Bonds in New York		52,343,700
		22,313,790

See Notes to Financial Statements.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT JUNE 30, 2017 19

## Schedule of Investments (concluded)

BlackRock New York Municipal 2018 Term Trust (BLH)

Municipal Bonds	Par (000)	V	alue				
Guam 0.3%	(000)	·	aiut				
Utilities 0.3% Guam Power Authority, Refunding RB, Series A (AGM), 5.00%, 10/01/19 Total Long-Term Investments (Cost \$51,662,458) 96.6%	\$ 140	\$ 140           \$					
Short-Term Securities BlackRock Liquidity Funds, MuniCash, Institutional Class, 0.72% (d)(e) Total Short-Term Securities (Cost \$1,330,474) 2.5% Total Investments (Cost \$52,992,932) 99.1% Other Assets Less Liabilities 0.9%	<b>Shares</b> 1,330,252	\$	Value 1,330,651 1,330,651 53,824,886 504,518				
Net Assets 100.0%		\$	54,329,404				

#### Notes to Schedule of Investments

- (a) Security is collateralized by municipal bonds or U.S. Treasury obligations.
- (b) U.S. Government securities, held in escrow, are used to pay interest on this security, as well as to retire the bond in full at the date indicated, typically at a premium to par.
- (c) Variable rate security. Rate as of period end.
- (d) During the six months ended June 30, 2017, investments in issuers considered to be an affiliate of the Trust for purposes of Section 2(a)(3) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, were as follows:

Affiliate	Shares Held at December 31, 2016	Net Activity	Shares Held at June 30, 2017	Value at June 30, 2017	Income	ealized ain	Unr	inge in ealized eciation	
BlackRock Liquidity Funds, MuniCash,									
Institutional Class	703.225	627.027	1.330.252	\$ 1.330.651	\$ 1,844	\$ 62	\$	177	

#### (e) Current yield as of period end.

For Trust compliance purposes, the Trust s sector classifications refer to one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment adviser. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

#### Fair Value Hierarchy as of Period End

Various inputs are used in determining the fair value of investments. For information about the Trust spolicy regarding valuation of investments, refer to the Notes to Financial Statements

The following table summarizes the Trust s investments categorized in the disclosure hierarchy:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets: Investments: Long Term Investments <sup>1</sup>		\$ 52,494,235		\$ 52,494,235
Short-Term Securities	\$ 1,330,651			1,330,651
Total	\$ 1,330,651	\$ 52,494,235		\$ 53,824,886

<sup>1</sup> See above Schedule of Investments for values in each sector.

During the six months ended June 30, 2017, there were no transfers between levels.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

20 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

JUNE 30, 2017

## Statements of Assets and Liabilities

June 30, 2017 (Unaudited)	Cai Mu 201	ckRock lifornia inicipal 8 Term Frust BJZ)	BlackRock Municipal 2018 Term Trust (BPK)	BlackRock New York Municipal 2018 Term Trust (BLH)
Assets				
Investments at value unaffiliated Investments at value affiliated Receivables:		1,370,259 1,474,253	\$ 231,711,973 9,658,682	\$ 52,494,235 1,330,651
Investments sold	5	5,079,752		100,000
Interest unaffiliated		893,386	2,730,279	523,338
Dividends affiliated		6,154	5,285	678
Investment adviser		844	723	88
Prepaid expenses		5,592	6,844	5,238
Total assets	108	8,830,240	244,113,786	54,454,228
Accrued Liabilities				
Payables:				
Investments purchased	12	2,448,205		
Income dividends		162,756	349,977	60,308
Professional fees		27,006	34,029	26,753 10,293
Custody fees Officer s and Trustees fees		16,103 12,920	34,239 45,115	9,232
Printing fees		9,558	11,071	9,232
Interest expense and fees		7,550	6,248	7,102
Other accrued expenses		11,524	22,992	9,056
Total accrued liabilities	12	2,688,072	503,671	124,824
Other Liabilities				
TOB Trust Certificates			3,750,000	
Total liabilities	12	2,688,072	4,253,671	124,824
Net Assets	\$ 96	5,142,168	\$ 239,860,115	\$ 54,329,404
Net Assets Consist of				
Paid-in capital <sup>3</sup>	\$ 92	2,945,843	\$ 234,699,356	\$ 52,955,382
Undistributed net investment income		2,094,198	4,717,332	829,682
Accumulated net realized loss		(106,155)	(1,719,423)	(287,614)
Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	1	1,208,282	2,162,850	831,954
Net Assets	\$ 96	5,142,168	\$ 239,860,115	\$ 54,329,404
Net asset value	\$	14.95	\$ 15.08	\$ 14.95
1 Investments at cost unaffiliated		0,163,146	\$ 229,550,790	\$ 51,662,458
<ul> <li>Investments at cost affiliated</li> <li>Shares outstanding, unlimited number of shares authorized, par value \$0.001 per share</li> </ul>		1,473,084 5,433,028	\$ 9,657,015 15,908,028	\$ 1,330,474
- Shares outstanding, unnimited number of shares authorized, par value \$0.001 per share	,	J,+JJ,U28	13,700,028	3,633,028

See Notes to Financial Statements.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT JUNE 30, 2017 21

# Statements of Operations

Six Months Ended June 30, 2017 (Unaudited)	BlackRock California Municipal 2018 Term Trust (BJZ)	BlackRock Municipal 2018 Term Trust (BPK)	BlackRock New York Municipal 2018 Term Trust (BLH)
Investment Income			
Interest unaffiliated Dividends affiliated	\$ 1,258,068 12,783	\$ 2,877,490 17,736	\$ 555,456 1,844
Total investment income	1,270,851	2,895,226	557,300
Expenses			
Investment advisory	190,960	483,083	107,765
Professional	24,229	30,957	20,125
Transfer agent	8,884	13,057	8,123
Accounting services	8,729	18,620	5,595
Officer and Trustees	5,627	14,459	3,241
Registration	4,609	4,610	4,609
Printing	4,014	5,428	3,647
Custodian	2,586	6,154	1,600
Miscellaneous	2,773	5,006	3,654
Total expenses excluding interest expense and fees Interest expense and fees <sup>1</sup>	252,411	581,374 33,319	158,359
Total expenses	252,411	614,693	158,359
Less fees waived by the Manager	(190,960)	(483,083)	(107,765)
Total expenses after fees waived	61,451	131,610	50,594
Net investment income	1,209,400	2,763,616	506,706
Realized and Unrealized Gain (Loss)			
Net realized gain (loss) from:			
Investments unaffiliated	500	(1,640,222)	
Investments affiliated	171	543	62
	671	(1,639,679)	62
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on:			
Investments unaffiliated Investments affiliated	(181,344) 1,187	1,829,027 1,667	133,278 177
	(180,157)	1,830,694	133,455
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss)	(179,486)	191,015	133,517
Net Increase in Net Assets Resulting from Operations	\$ 1,029,914	\$ 2,954,631	\$ 640,223

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Related to TOB Trusts.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

22 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

JUNE 30, 2017

## Statements of Changes in Net Assets

	Municij	c California pal 2018 ust (BJZ)	BlackRock Municipal 2018 Term Trust (BPK)					
Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets:	Six Months Ended June 30, 2017 December 31, (Unaudited) 2016		Six Months Ended June 30, 2017 (Unaudited)	Year Ended  December 31, 2016				
Operations								
Net investment income Net realized gain (loss) Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	\$ 1,209,400 671 (180,157)	\$ 2,204,669 535,106 (1,916,618)	\$ 2,763,616 (1,639,679) 1,830,694	\$ 5,666,982 131,854 (4,665,638)				
Net increase in net assets resulting from operations	1,029,914	823,157	2,954,631	1,133,198				
Distributions to Shareholders <sup>1</sup>								
From net investment income: From net realized gain:	(1,007,412)	(2,138,338)	(2,284,393)	(5,917,786) (34,425)				
Decrease in net assets resulting from distributions to shareholders	(1,007,412)	(2,138,338)	(2,284,393)	(5,952,211)				
Net Assets								
Total increase (decrease) in net assets Beginning of period	22,502 96,119,666	(1,315,181) 97,434,847	670,238 239,189,877	(4,819,013) 244,008,890				
End of period	\$ 96,142,168	\$ 96,119,666	\$ 239,860,115	\$ 239,189,877				
Undistributed net investment income, end of period	\$ 2,094,198	\$ 1,892,210	\$ 4,717,332	\$ 4,238,109				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Distributions for annual periods determined in accordance with U.S. federal income tax regulations.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT JUNE 30, 2017 23

## Statements of Changes in Net Assets

BlackRock New York Municipal 2018

	Term Trust (BL)						
	Six Months Ended June 30,	Year Ended					
Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets:	2017 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2016					
Operations							
Net investment income Net realized gain	\$ 506,706 62	\$ 842,178 1,161					
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	133,455	(736,377)					
Net increase in net assets resulting from operations	640,223	106,962					
Distributions to Shareholders <sup>1</sup>							
From net investment income:	(369,842)	(829,057)					
Net Assets							
Total increase (decrease) in net assets	270,381	(722,095)					
Beginning of period	54,059,023	54,781,118					
End of period	\$ 54,329,404	\$ 54,059,023					
Undistributed net investment income, end of period	\$ 829,682	\$ 692,818					

 $<sup>^{\,1}\,</sup>$  Distributions for annual periods determined in accordance with U.S. federal income tax regulations.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

24 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

JUNE 30, 2017

# Financial Highlights

BlackRock California Municipal 2018 Term Trust (BJZ)

		nths Ended	Year Ended December 31,					
		30, 2017 nudited)	2016	2015	2014	2013	:	2012
Per Share Operating Performance Net asset value, beginning of period	\$	14.94	\$ 15.15	\$ 15.30	\$ 15.36	\$ 15.81	\$	15.60
Net investment income <sup>1</sup> Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) Distributions to AMPS Shareholders from net investment income		0.19 (0.02)	0.34 (0.22)	0.36 (0.13)	$0.40$ $0.08$ $(0.00)^2$	0.61 (0.42) (0.01)		0.71 0.28 (0.02)
Net increase from investment operations		0.17	0.12	0.23	0.48	0.18		0.97
Distributions to Common Shareholders from net investment income $^3$		(0.16)	(0.33)	(0.38)	(0.54)	(0.63)		(0.76)
Net asset value, end of period	\$	14.95	\$ 14.94	\$ 15.15	\$ 15.30	\$ 15.36	\$	15.81
Market price, end of period	\$	15.03	\$ 15.04	\$ 15.05	\$ 15.24	\$ 15.77	\$	16.21
Total Return Applicable to Common Shareholders <sup>4</sup>								
Based on net asset value		1.11%5	0.80%	1.52%	3.09%	1.07%		6.16%
Based on market price		0.97%5	2.14%	1.24%	0.01%	1.21%		3.92%
Ratios to Average Net Assets Applicable to Common Sharehold	lers							
Total expenses		0.53%6,7	0.53%	0.54%	0.57%8	0.82%8		0.93%8
Total expenses after fees waived		0.13%6,7	0.53%	0.54%	0.57%8,9	$0.82\%^{8,9}$		0.92%8
Net investment income		2.53%6,7	2.27%	2.39%	2.57%8	3.92%8		4.51%8
Distributions to AMPS Shareholders					$0.00\%^{10}$	0.07%		0.14%
Net investment income to Common Shareholders		2.53%6,7	2.27%	2.39%	2.57%	3.85%		4.37%
Supplemental Data								
Net assets applicable to Common Shareholders, end of period (000	) \$	96,142	\$ 96,120	\$ 97,435	\$ 98,439	\$ 98,842	\$ 1	01,729
AMPS outstanding at \$25,000 liquidation preference, end of period (000)	i					\$ 26,850	\$	55,525
Asset coverage per AMPS at \$25,000 liquidation preference, end of period	f					\$ 117,032	\$	70,803
Portfolio turnover rate		16%	13%	6%	12%			15%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Based on average Common Shares outstanding.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Amount is greater than \$(0.005) per share.

3	Distributions for annual periods determined in accordance with U.S. federal income tax regulations.	
4	Total returns based on market price, which can be significantly greater or less than the net asset value, may result in substantially different returns. Where applicable, excludes the effects of any sales charges and assumes the reinvestment of distributions at actual reinvestment prices.	
5	Aggregate total return.	
6	Annualized.	
7	Excludes 0.01% of expenses incurred indirectly as a result of investments in underlying funds.	
8	Does not reflect the effect of distributions to AMPS Shareholders.	
9	For the years ended December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the total expense ratio after fees waived and excluding remarketing fees was 0.57% and 0.75%, respectively.	
10	Amount is less than 0.005%.	
See	Notes to Financial Statements.	
	SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT JUNE 30, 2017	25

# Financial Highlights

## BlackRock Municipal 2018 Term Trust (BPK)

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2017				Year Ended December 31,								
		audited)		2016	2015		2014		2013		2	2012	
Per Share Operating Performance													
Net asset value, beginning of period	\$	15.04	\$	15.34	\$	15.76	\$	15.57	\$	16.07	\$	15.66	
Net investment income <sup>1</sup> Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) Distributions to AMPS Shareholders from net investment		0.17 0.01		0.36 (0.29)		0.41 (0.23)		0.61 0.26		0.72 (0.44)		0.87 0.50	
income								$(0.00)^2$		(0.01)		(0.02)	
Net increase from investment operations		0.18		0.07		0.18		0.87		0.27		1.35	
Distributions: From net investment income <sup>3</sup> From net realized gain		(0.14)		$(0.37)$ $(0.00)^2$		(0.60)		(0.68)		(0.77)		(0.94)	
Total distributions to Common Shareholders		(0.14)		(0.37)		(0.60)		(0.68)		(0.77)		(0.94)	
Net asset value, end of period	\$	15.08	\$	15.04	\$	15.34	\$	15.76	\$	15.57	\$	16.07	
Market price, end of period	\$	15.03	\$	14.98	\$	15.50	\$	16.13	\$	15.94	\$	16.56	
Total Return Applicable to Common Shareholders <sup>4</sup>													
Based on net asset value		1.23%5		0.46%		1.11%		5.53%		1.55%		8.42%	
Based on market price		1.29%5		(0.97)%	(	(0.18)%		5.50%		0.88%		5.46%	
Ratios to Average Net Assets Applicable to Common Share	holders												
Total expenses	11014015	0.52%6		0.51%		0.51%		0.64%7		0.73%7		0.86%7	
Total expenses after fees waived		0.11%6		0.51%		0.51%		0.64%7,8		0.73%7,8		0.86%7	
Total expenses after fees waived and excluding interest expens and fees	e	0.08%6		0.49%		0.50%		0.63%7		0.72%7		0.85%7	
Net investment income		2.32%6		2.33%		2.61%		3.89%		4.56%		5.51%	
Distributions to AMPS Shareholders								0.02%		0.06%		0.14%	
Net investment income to Common Shareholders		2.32%6		2.33%		2.61%		3.87%		4.50%		5.37%	
Supplemental Data													
Net assets applicable to Common Shareholders, end of period (000)	\$	239,860	\$ :	239,190	\$ 2	244,009	\$ 2	250,751	\$ 2	247,679	\$ 2	55,711	
AMPS outstanding at \$25,000 liquidation preference, end of period (000)									\$	69,250	\$ 1	33,850	
Asset coverage per AMPS at \$25,000 liquidation preference, end of period									\$ 1	114,415	\$	72,761	
Borrowings outstanding, end of period (000)	\$	3,750	\$	3,750	\$	3,750	\$	3,750	\$	3,750	\$	3,750	

Por	tfolio turnover rate	3%	16%	18%	14%	3%	239
1	Based on average Common Shares outstanding.						
2	Amount is greater than \$(0.005) per share.						
3	Distributions for annual periods determined in accordance with U.S. feder	al income tax	regulations.				
4	Total returns based on market price, which can be significantly greater or applicable, excludes the effects of any sales charges and assumes the reinv					ent returns. Wh	nere
5	Aggregate total return.						
6	Annualized.						
7	Does not reflect the effect of distributions to AMPS Shareholders.						
8	For the years ended December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the total 0.67%, respectively.	expense ratio	after fees waive	ed and excludi	ng remarketing fe	ees was 0.60% a	and
See	Notes to Financial Statements.						
26	SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT			JUNE 30, 2	2017		

# Financial Highlights

BlackRock New York Municipal 2018 Term Trust (BLH)

		nths Ended		Year Ended December 31,						
		30, 2017 nudited)	2016	2015	2014		2013		2	2012
Per Share Operating Performance	¢	14.00	¢ 15.00	¢ 15.21	ď	15.05	¢.	15 (7	¢	15.64
Net asset value, beginning of period	\$	14.88	\$ 15.08	\$ 15.21	\$	15.05	\$	15.67	\$	15.64
Net investment income <sup>1</sup> Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) Distributions to AMPS Shareholders from net investment income		0.14 0.03	0.23 (0.20)	$0.25 \\ (0.05) \\ (0.00)^2$		$0.35 \\ 0.18 \\ (0.00)^2$		0.44 (0.48) (0.01)		0.65 0.23 (0.02)
Net increase (decrease) from investment operations		0.17	0.03	0.20		0.53		(0.05)		0.86
Distributions to Common Shareholders from net investment income <sup>3</sup>		(0.10)	(0.23)	(0.33)		(0.37)		(0.57)		(0.83)
Net asset value, end of period	\$	14.95	\$ 14.88	\$ 15.08	\$	15.21	\$	15.05	\$	15.67
Market price, end of period	\$	14.94	\$ 14.73	\$ 14.94	\$	14.95	\$	15.23	\$	16.05
Total Return Applicable to Common Shareholders <sup>4</sup>										
Based on net asset value		1.16%5	0.18%	1.29%		3.58%	(	0.36)%		5.34%
Based on market price		2.12%5	0.10%	2.16%		0.61%	(	1.55)%	0.99%	
Ratios to Average Net Assets Applicable to Common Sharehold	lers									
Total expenses		0.59%6	0.59%	0.64%7		0.79%7		0.89%7		0.96%7
Total expenses after fees waived		0.19%6	0.59%	0.64%7,8		0.79%7,8		0.89%7,8		0.95%7
Net investment income		1.88%6	1.54%	1.64% <sup>7</sup>		2.29%7		2.89%7		4.11%7
Distributions to AMPS Shareholders				$0.00\%^{9}$		0.03%		0.07%		0.14%
Net investment income to Common Shareholders		1.88%6	1.54%	1.64%		2.26%		2.82%		3.97%
Supplemental Data Net assets applicable to Common Shareholders, end of period (000)	) \$	54,329	\$ 54,059	\$ 54,781	\$	55,275	\$	54,667	\$ :	56,921
AMPS outstanding at \$25,000 liquidation preference, end of period (000)					\$	12,050	\$	16,425	\$ 3	31,400
Asset coverage per AMPS at \$25,000 liquidation preference, end o period	f				\$ 1	139,678	\$ 1	108,207	\$ ′	70,319
Portfolio turnover rate		1%	5%	14%		4%		7%		48%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Based on average Common Shares outstanding.

2	Amount is greater than \$(0.005) per share.
3	Distributions for annual periods determined in accordance with U.S. federal income tax regulations.
4	Total returns based on market price, which can be significantly greater or less than the net asset value, may result in substantially different returns. Where applicable, excludes the effects of any sales charges and assumes the reinvestment of distributions at actual reinvestment prices.
5	Aggregate total return.
6	Annualized.
7	Does not reflect the effect of distributions to AMPS Shareholders.
8	For the years ended December 31, 2015, December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the total expense ratio after fees waived and excluding remarketing fee was 0.63%, 0.75% and 0.83%, respectively.
9	Amount is less than 0.005%.
See	Notes to Financial Statements.
	SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT JUNE 30, 2017 27

### Notes to Financial Statements (Unaudited)

#### 1. Organization:

The following are registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act ), as closed-end management investment companies and are referred to herein collectively as the Trusts , or individually, a Trust :

Trust Name	Herein Referred To As	Organized	<b>Diversification Classification</b>
BlackRock California Municipal 2018 Term Trust	BJZ	Delaware	Non-diversified
BlackRock Municipal 2018 Term Trust	BPK	Delaware	Diversified
BlackRock New York Municipal 2018 Term Trust	BLH	Delaware	Non-diversified

The Boards of Trustees of the Trusts are collectively referred to throughout this report as the Board of Trustees or the Board, and the trustees thereof are collectively referred to throughout this report as Trustees. The Trusts determine and make available for publication the NAVs of their Common Shares on a daily basis.

The Trusts, together with certain other registered investment companies advised by BlackRock Advisors, LLC (the Manager) or its affiliates, are included in a complex of closed-end funds referred to as the Closed-End Complex.

#### 2. Significant Accounting Policies:

The financial statements are prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP), which may require management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities in the financial statements, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of increases and decreases in net assets from operations during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Each Trust is considered an investment company under U.S. GAAP and follows the accounting and reporting guidance applicable to investment companies. Below is a summary of significant accounting policies:

Investment Transactions and Income Recognition: For financial reporting purposes, investment transactions are recorded on the dates the transactions are entered into (the trade dates). Realized gains and losses on investment transactions are determined on the identified cost basis. Interest income, including amortization and accretion of premiums and discounts on debt securities, is recognized on an accrual basis.

Segregation and Collateralization: In cases where a Trust enters into certain borrowings (e.g., TOB Trust transactions) that would be treated as senior securities for 1940 Act purposes, a Trust may segregate or designate on its books and records cash or liquid assets having a market value at least equal to the amount of its future obligations under such borrowings. Doing so allows the borrowing to be excluded from treatment as a senior security. Furthermore, if required by an exchange or counterparty agreement, the Trusts may be required to deliver/deposit cash and/or securities to/with an exchange, or broker-dealer or custodian as collateral for certain investments or obligations.

Distributions: Distributions from net investment income are declared and paid monthly. Distributions of capital gains are recorded on the ex-dividend date and made at least annually. The character and timing of distributions are determined in accordance with U.S. federal income tax regulations, which may differ from U.S. GAAP.

Deferred Compensation Plan: Under the Deferred Compensation Plan (the Plan ) approved by each Trust s Board, the independent Trustees (Independent Trustees ) may defer a portion of their annual complex-wide compensation. Deferred amounts earn an approximate return as though equivalent dollar amounts had been invested in common shares of certain other BlackRock Closed-End Funds selected by the Independent Trustees. This has the same economic effect for the Independent Trustees as if the Independent Trustees had invested the deferred amounts directly in certain other BlackRock Closed-End Funds.

The Plan is not funded and obligations thereunder represent general unsecured claims against the general assets of each Trust, if applicable. Deferred compensation liabilities are included in the officer s and trustees fees payable in the Statements of Assets and Liabilities and will remain as a liability of the Trusts until such amounts are distributed in accordance with the Plan.

Recent Accounting Standards: In November 2016, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Accounting Standards Update Restricted Cash which will require entities to include the total of cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash, and restricted cash equivalents in the beginning and ending cash balances in the Statements of Cash Flows. The guidance will be applied retrospectively and is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, and interim periods within those years. Management is evaluating the impact, if any, of this guidance on the Trusts

presentation in the Statements of Cash Flows.

In March 2017, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Accounting Standards Update Premium Amortization of Purchased Callable Debt Securities which amends the amortization period for certain purchased callable debt securities. Under the new guidance, the premium amortization of purchased callable debt securities that have explicit, non-contingent call features and are callable at fixed prices will be amortized to the earliest call date. The guidance will be applied on a modified retrospective basis and is effective for fiscal years, and their interim periods, beginning after December 15, 2018. Management is currently evaluating the impact of this guidance to the Trusts.

28 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

JUNE 30, 2017

## Notes to Financial Statements (continued)

SEC Reporting Modernization: The U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) adopted new rules and forms and amended other rules to enhance the reporting and disclosure of information by registered investment companies. As part of these changes, the SEC amended Regulation S-X to standardize and enhance disclosures in investment company financial statements. The compliance date for implementing the new or amended rules is August 1, 2017.

Indemnifications: In the normal course of business, a Trust enters into contracts that contain a variety of representations that provide general indemnification. A Trust s maximum exposure under these arrangements is unknown because it involves future potential claims against a Trust, which cannot be predicted with any certainty.

Other: Expenses directly related to a Trust are charged to that Trust. Other operating expenses shared by several funds, including other funds managed by the Manager, are prorated among those funds on the basis of relative net assets or other appropriate methods.

Through May 31, 2016, the Trusts had an arrangement with their custodian whereby credits were earned on uninvested cash balances, which could be used to reduce custody fees and/or overdraft charges. Credits previously earned have been utilized until December 31, 2016. Under current arrangements effective June 1, 2016, the Trusts no longer earn credits on uninvested cash, and may incur charges on uninvested cash balances and overdrafts, subject to certain conditions.

#### 3. Investment Valuation and Fair Value Measurements:

Investment Valuation Policies: The Trusts investments are valued at fair value (also referred to as market value within the financial statements) as of the close of trading on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) (generally 4:00 p.m., Eastern time). U.S. GAAP defines fair value as the price the Trusts would receive to sell an asset or pay to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The Trusts determine the fair values of their financial instruments using various independent dealers or pricing services under policies approved by the Board. The BlackRock Global Valuation Methodologies Committee (the Global Valuation Committee) is the committee formed by management to develop global pricing policies and procedures and to oversee the pricing function for all financial instruments.

Fair Value Inputs and Methodologies: The following methods and inputs are used to establish the fair value of each Trust s assets and liabilities:

Municipal investments (including commitments to purchase such investments on a when-issued basis) are valued on the basis of prices provided by dealers or pricing services. In determining the value of a particular investment, pricing services may use certain information with respect to transactions in such investments, quotations from dealers, pricing matrixes, market transactions in comparable investments and information with respect to various relationships between investments.

Investments in open-end U.S. mutual funds are valued at NAV each business day.

If events (e.g., a company announcement, market volatility or a natural disaster) occur that are expected to materially affect the value of such investments, or in the event that the application of these methods of valuation results in a price for an investment that is deemed not to be representative of the market value of such investment, or if a price is not available, the investment will be valued by the Global Valuation Committee, or its delegate, in accordance with a policy approved by the Board as reflecting fair value (Fair Valued Investments). The fair valuation approaches that may be used by the Global Valuation Committee include Market approach, Income approach and Cost approach. Valuation techniques such as discounted cash flow, use of market comparables and matrix pricing are types of valuation approaches and typically used in determining fair value. When determining the price for Fair Valued Investments, the Global Valuation Committee, or its delegate, seeks to determine the price that each Trust might reasonably expect to receive or pay from the current sale or purchase of that asset or liability in an arm s-length transaction. Fair value determinations shall be based upon all available factors that the Global Valuation Committee, or its delegate, deems relevant and consistent with the principles of fair value measurement. The pricing of all Fair Valued Investments is subsequently reported to the Board or a committee thereof on a quarterly basis.

Fair Value Hierarchy: Various inputs are used in determining the fair value of investments. These inputs to valuation techniques are categorized into a fair value hierarchy consisting of three broad levels for financial statement purposes as follows:

Level 1 Unadjusted price quotations in active markets/exchanges for identical assets or liabilities that each Trust has the ability to access

Level 2 Other observable inputs (including, but not limited to, quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in markets that are active, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the assets or liabilities (such as interest rates, yield curves, volatilities, prepayment speeds, loss severities, credit risks and default rates) or other market corroborated inputs)

Level 3 Unobservable inputs based on the best information available in the circumstances, to the extent observable inputs are not available (including each Trust s own assumptions used in determining the fair value of investments)

The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). Accordingly, the degree of judgment exercised in determining fair value is greatest for instruments categorized in Level 3. The inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, for disclosure purposes, the fair value hierarchy classification is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT JUNE 30, 2017 29

## Notes to Financial Statements (continued)

entirety. Investments classified within Level 3 have significant unobservable inputs used by the Global Valuation Committee in determining the price for Fair Valued Investments. Level 3 investments include equity or debt issued by privately-held companies or funds. There may not be a secondary market, and/or there are a limited number of investors. Level 3 investments may also be adjusted to reflect illiquidity and/or non-transferability, with the amount of such discount estimated by the Global Valuation Committee in the absence of market information.

Changes in valuation techniques may result in transfers into or out of an assigned level within the hierarchy. In accordance with each Trust s policy, transfers between different levels of the fair value hierarchy are deemed to have occurred as of the beginning of the reporting period. The categorization of a value determined for investments is based on the pricing transparency of the investments and is not necessarily an indication of the risks associated with investing in those securities.

#### 4. Securities and Other Investments:

Zero-Coupon Bonds: Zero-coupon bonds are normally issued at a significant discount from face value and do not provide for periodic interest payments. These bonds may experience greater volatility in market value than other debt obligations of similar maturity which provide for regular interest payments.

Forward Commitments and When-Issued Delayed Delivery Securities: Certain Trusts may purchase securities on a when-issued basis and may purchase or sell securities on a forward commitment basis. Settlement of such transactions normally occurs within a month or more after the purchase or sale commitment is made. A Trust may purchase securities under such conditions with the intention of actually acquiring them, but may enter into a separate agreement to sell the securities before the settlement date. Since the value of securities purchased may fluctuate prior to settlement, a Trust may be required to pay more at settlement than the security is worth. In addition, a Trust is not entitled to any of the interest earned prior to settlement. When purchasing a security on a delayed delivery basis, a Trust assumes the rights and risks of ownership of the security, including the risk of price and yield fluctuations. In the event of default by the counterparty, a Trust s maximum amount of loss is the unrealized appreciation of unsettled when-issued transactions.

Municipal Bonds Transferred to TOB Trusts: Certain Trusts leverage their assets through the use of TOB Trust transactions. The Trusts transfer municipal bonds into a special purpose trust (a TOB Trust ). A TOB Trust generally issues two classes of beneficial interests: short-term floating rate interests ( TOB Trust Certificates ), which are sold to third party investors, and residual inverse floating rate interests ( TOB Residuals ), which are generally issued to the participating funds that contributed the municipal bonds to the TOB Trust. The TOB Trust Certificates have interest rates that generally reset weekly and their holders have the option to tender such certificates to the TOB Trust for redemption at par and any accrued interest at each reset date. The TOB Residuals held by a Trust generally provide the Trust with the right to cause the holders of a proportional share of the TOB Trust Certificates to tender their certificates to the TOB Trust at par plus accrued interest. The Trusts may withdraw a corresponding share of the municipal bonds from the TOB Trust. Other funds managed by the investment adviser may also contribute municipal bonds to a TOB Trust into which each Trust has contributed bonds. If multiple BlackRock advised funds participate in the same TOB Trust, the economic rights and obligations under the TOB Residuals will be shared among the funds ratably in proportion to their participation in the TOB Trust.

TOB Trusts are generally supported by a liquidity facility provided by a third party bank or other financial institution (the Liquidity Provider ) that allows the holders of the TOB Trust Certificates to tender their certificates in exchange for payment of par plus accrued interest on any business day. The tendered TOB Trust Certificates may be purchased by the Liquidity Provider and are usually remarketed by a Remarketing Agent, which is typically an affiliated entity of the Liquidity Provider. The Remarketing Agent may also purchase the tendered TOB Trust Certificates for its own account in the event of a failed remarketing.

The TOB Trust may be collapsed without the consent of a Trust, upon the occurrence of tender option termination events ( TOTEs ) or mandatory termination events ( MTEs ), as defined in the TOB Trust agreements. TOTEs include the bankruptcy or default of the issuer of the municipal bonds held in the TOB Trust, a substantial downgrade in the credit quality of the issuer of the municipal bonds held in the TOB Trust, failure of any scheduled payment of principal or interest on the municipal bonds, and/or a judgment or ruling that interest on the municipal bond is subject to U.S. federal income taxation. MTEs may include, among other things, a failed remarketing of the TOB Trust Certificates, the inability of the TOB Trust to obtain renewal of the liquidity support agreement and a substantial decline in the market value of the municipal bonds held in the TOB Trust. Upon the occurrence of a TOTE or an MTE, the TOB Trust would be liquidated with the proceeds applied first to any accrued fees owed to the trustee of the TOB Trust, the Remarketing Agent and the Liquidity Provider. In the case of an MTE, after the payment of fees, the TOB Trust Certificates holders would be paid before the TOB Residuals holders (i.e., the Trusts). In contrast, in the case of a TOTE, after payment of fees, the TOB Trust Certificates holders and the TOB Residuals holders would be paid pro rata in proportion to the respective face values of their certificates. During the six months ended June 30, 2017, no TOB Trusts in which a Trust participated were terminated without the consent of a Trust.

While a Trust s investment policies and restrictions expressly permit investments in inverse floating rate securities, such as TOB Residuals, they generally restrict the ability of a Trust to borrow money for purposes of making investments. Each Trust s transfer of the municipal bonds to a TOB Trust is considered a secured borrowing for financial reporting purposes. The cash received by the TOB Trust from the sale of the TOB Trust Certificates, less certain transaction expenses, is paid to a Trust. A Trust typically invests the cash received in additional municipal bonds. The municipal bonds deposited into a TOB Trust are presented in a Trust s Schedule of Investments and the TOB Trust Certificates are shown in Other Liabilities in the Statements of Assets and Liabilities. Any loans drawn by the TOB Trust pursuant to the liquidity facility to purchase tendered TOB Trust Certificates would be shown as Loan for TOB Trust Certificates.

30 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

JUNE 30, 2017

## Notes to Financial Statements (continued)

Volcker Rule Impact: On December 10, 2013, regulators published final rules implementing section 619 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Volcker Rule ), which precludes banking entities and their affiliates from sponsoring and investing in TOB Trusts. Banking entities subject to the Volcker Rule were required to fully comply by July 21, 2015, with respect to investments in and relationships with TOB Trusts established after December 31, 2013 ( Non-Legacy TOB Trusts ), and by July 21, 2017, with respect to investments in and relationships with TOB Trusts established prior to December 31, 2013 ( Legacy TOB Trusts ).

As a result, a new structure for TOB Trusts has been designed in which no banking entity would sponsor the TOB Trust. Specifically, a Trust establishes structures and sponsors the TOB Trusts in which it holds TOB Residuals. In such a structure, certain responsibilities that previously belonged to a third party bank are performed by, or on behalf of, the Trusts. The Trusts have restructured any Non-Legacy TOB Trusts and are in the process of restructuring Legacy TOB Trusts in conformity with regulatory guidelines. Until all restructurings are completed, a Trust may, for a period of time, hold TOB Residuals in both Legacy TOB Trusts and new or restructured non-bank sponsored TOB Trusts.

Under the new TOB Trust structure, the Liquidity Provider or Remarketing Agent will no longer purchase the tendered TOB Trust Certificates even in the event of failed remarketing. This may increase the likelihood that a TOB Trust will need to be collapsed and liquidated in order to purchase the tendered TOB Trust Certificates. The TOB Trust may draw upon a loan from the Liquidity Provider to purchase the tendered TOB Trust Certificates. Any loans made by the Liquidity Provider will be secured by the purchased TOB Trust Certificates held by the TOB Trust and will be subject to an increased interest rate based on the number of days the loan is outstanding.

Accounting for TOB Trusts: The municipal bonds deposited into a TOB Trust are presented in a Trust s Schedule of Investments and the TOB Trust Certificates are shown in Other Liabilities in the Statements of Assets and Liabilities. Any loans drawn by the TOB Trust pursuant to the liquidity facility to purchase tendered TOB Trust Certificates are shown as Loan for TOB Trust Certificates. The carrying amount of a Trust s payable to the holder of the TOB Trust Certificates, as reported in the Statements of Assets and Liabilities as TOB Trust Certificates, approximates its fair value.

Interest income, including amortization and accretion of premiums and discounts, from the underlying municipal bonds is recorded by a Trust on an accrual basis. Interest expense incurred on the TOB Trust transaction and other expenses related to remarketing, administration, trustee, liquidity and other services to a TOB Trust are shown as interest expense, fees and amortization of offering costs in the Statements of Operations. Fees paid upon creation of the TOB Trust are recorded as debt issuance costs and are amortized to interest expense, fees and amortization of offering costs in the Statements of Operations to the expected maturity of the TOB Trust. In connection with the restructurings of the TOB Trusts to non-bank sponsored TOB Trusts, a Trust incurred non-recurring, legal and restructuring fees, which are recorded as interest expense, fees and amortization of deferred offering costs in the Statements of Operations.

For the six months ended June 30, 2017, the following table is a summary of each Trust s TOB Trusts:

	Underlying				
	Municipal Bonds				Daily Weighted
			Interest Rate on		Average Rate of
	m 6 14		TOB Trust	Average	Interest and
	Transferred to	Liability for	Certificates	TOB Trust	Other
		TOB Trust	at	Certificates	Expenses on
	TOB Trusts <sup>1</sup>	Certificates <sup>2</sup>	Period End	Outstanding	TOB Trusts
BPK	\$ 5,241,850	\$ 3,750,000	1.09%	\$ 3,750,000	1.58%

The municipal bonds transferred to a TOB Trust are generally high grade municipal bonds. In certain cases, when municipal bonds transferred are lower grade municipal bonds, the TOB Trust transaction may include a credit enhancement feature that provides for the timely payment of principal and interest on the bonds to the TOB Trust by a credit enhancement provider in the event of default of the municipal bond. The TOB Trust would be responsible for the payment of the credit enhancement fee and the Trusts, as TOB Residuals holders, would be responsible for reimbursement of any payments of principal and interest made by the credit enhancement provider. The maximum potential amounts owed by the Trusts, for such reimbursements, as applicable, are included in the maximum potential amounts disclosed for recourse TOB Trusts.

The Trusts may invest in TOB Trusts that are structured on a non-recourse or recourse basis. When a Trust invests in TOB Trusts on a non-recourse basis, the Liquidity Provider may be required to make a payment under the liquidity facility. In such an event, the Liquidity Provider will typically either (i) fund the full amount owed under the liquidity facility and be subsequently reimbursed from only the proceeds of the liquidation of all or a portion of the municipal bonds held in the TOB Trust or the remarketing of the TOB Trust Certificates, or (ii) liquidate all or a portion of the municipal bonds held in the TOB Trust and then fund the balance, if any, of the amount owed under the liquidity facility over the liquidation proceeds (the Liquidation Shortfall ). If a Trust invests in a TOB Trust on a recourse basis, a Trust will usually enter into a reimbursement agreement with the Liquidity Provider where a Trust is required to reimburse the Liquidity Provider the amount of any Liquidation Shortfall. As a result, if a Trust invests in a recourse TOB Trust, a Trust will bear the risk of loss with respect to any Liquidation Shortfall. If multiple funds participate in any such TOB Trust, these losses will be shared ratably, including the maximum potential amounts owed by a Trust at June 30, 2017, in proportion to its participation in the TOB Trust. The recourse TOB Trusts are identified in the Schedules of Investments including the maximum potential amounts owed by a Trust at June 30, 2017.

#### 5. Investment Advisory Agreement and Other Transactions with Affiliates:

The PNC Financial Services Group, Inc. is the largest stockholder and an affiliate of BlackRock, Inc. (BlackRock) for 1940 Act purposes.

Investment Advisory: Each Trust entered into an Investment Advisory Agreement with the Manager, the Trusts investment adviser, an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of BlackRock, to provide investment advisory and administration services. The Manager is responsible for the management of each Trust s portfolio and provides the personnel, facilities, equipment and certain other services necessary to the operations of each Trust.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT JUNE 30, 2017 31

## Notes to Financial Statements (continued)

For such services, each Trust pays the Manager a monthly fee at an annual rate equal to 0.40% of the average weekly value of each Trust s managed assets.

For purposes of calculating this fee, managed assets mean the total assets of the Trust minus the sum of its accrued liabilities (other than the aggregate indebtedness constituting financial leverage).

Waivers: With respect to each Trust, effective January 1, 2017, the Manager voluntarily agreed to waive its investment advisory fees equal to the annual rate of 0.40% of the average weekly value of each Trust s managed assets. These voluntary waivers may be reduced or discontinued at any time without notice. For the six months ended June 30, 2017, the amounts waived in investment advisory fees pursuant to these arrangements were as follows:

 BJZ
 BPK
 BLH

 Amounts waived
 \$ 190,960
 \$ 483,083
 \$ 107,765

With respect to each Trust, the Manager voluntarily agreed to waive its investment advisory fees by the amount of investment advisory fees each Trust pays to the Manager indirectly through its investment in affiliated money market funds (the affiliated money market fund waiver). For the six months ended June 30, 2017, there were no fees waived by the Manager.

The Manager contractually agreed to waive its investment advisory fee with respect to any portion of each Trust s assets invested in affiliated equity and fixed-income mutual funds and affiliated exchange-traded funds that have a contractual management fee through June 30, 2018. The agreement can be renewed for annual periods thereafter, and may be terminated on 90 days notice, each subject to approval by a majority of the Trusts Independent Trustees. For the six months ended June 30, 2017, there were no fees waived by the Manager.

Officers and Trustees: Certain officers and/or Trustees of the Trusts are officers and/or trustees of BlackRock or its affiliates. The Trusts reimburse the Manager for a portion of the compensation paid to the Trusts Chief Compliance Officer, which is included in Officer and Trustees in the Statements of Operations.

#### 6. Purchases and Sales:

For the six months ended June 30, 2017, purchases and sales of investments, excluding short-term securities, were as follows:

	BJZ	BPK	BLH
Purchases	\$ 14,803,431	\$ 8,010,056	\$ 509,280
Sales	\$ 15,909,400	\$ 13,451,563	\$ 515,000

#### 7. Income Tax Information:

It is each Trust spolicy to comply with the requirements of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, applicable to regulated investment companies, and to distribute substantially all of their taxable income to their shareholders. Therefore, no U.S. federal income tax provision is required.

Each Trust files U.S. federal and various state and local tax returns. No income tax returns are currently under examination. The statute of limitations on each Trust s U.S. federal tax returns generally remains open for each of the four years ended December 31, 2016. The statutes of limitations on each Trust s state and local tax returns may remain open for an additional year depending upon the jurisdiction.

Management has analyzed tax laws and regulations and their application to the Trusts as of June 30, 2017, inclusive of the open tax return years, and does not believe that there are any uncertain tax positions that require recognition of a tax liability in the Trusts financial statements.

As of December 31, 2016, the Trusts had capital loss carryforwards available to offset future realized capital gains through the indicated expiration dates as follows:

Expires December 31, 2017	<b>BJZ</b> \$ 106,801	BLH
2018	φ 100,001	\$ 287,675
Total	\$ 106,801	\$ 287,675

As of June 30, 2017, gross unrealized appreciation and depreciation based on cost for U.S. federal income tax purposes were as follows:

Tax cost		<b>BJZ</b> \$ 101,636,255	\$ 2	<b>BPK</b> 235,472,329	\$ 5	<b>BLH</b> 2,992,932
Gross unrealized		1,227,131 (18,874)		2,848,048 (699,722)		837,000 (5,046)
Net unrealized a	appreciation (depreciation)	\$ 1,208,257	\$	2,148,326	\$	831,954
32	SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT	JUNE 30, 201	7			

## Notes to Financial Statements (continued)

#### 8. Principal Risks:

Many municipalities insure repayment of their bonds, which may reduce the potential for loss due to credit risk. The market value of these bonds may fluctuate for other reasons, including market perception of the value of such insurance, and there is no guarantee that the insurer will meet its obligation.

Inventories of municipal bonds held by brokers and dealers may decrease, which would lessen their ability to make a market in these securities. Such a reduction in market making capacity could potentially decrease a Trust sability to buy or sell bonds. As a result, a Trust may sell a security at a lower price, sell other securities to raise cash, or give up an investment opportunity, any of which could have a negative impact on performance. If a Trust needed to sell large blocks of bonds, those sales could further reduce the bonds prices and impact performance.

In the normal course of business, certain Trusts invest in securities and enter into transactions where risks exist due to fluctuations in the market (market risk) or failure of the issuer to meet all its obligations, including the ability to pay principal and interest when due (issuer credit risk). The value of securities held by the Trusts may decline in response to certain events, including those directly involving the issuers of securities owned by the Trusts. Changes arising from the general economy, the overall market and local, regional or global political and/or social instability, as well as currency, interest rate and price fluctuations, may also affect the securities—value.

Each Trust may be exposed to prepayment risk, which is the risk that borrowers may exercise their option to prepay principal earlier than scheduled during periods of declining interest rates, which would force each Trust to reinvest in lower yielding securities. Each Trust may also be exposed to reinvestment risk, which is the risk that income from each Trust s portfolio will decline if each Trust invests the proceeds from matured, traded or called fixed-income securities at market interest rates that are below each Trust portfolio s current earnings rate.

The Trusts may hold a significant amount of bonds subject to calls by the issuers at defined dates and prices. When bonds are called by issuers and the Trusts reinvest the proceeds received, such investments may be in securities with lower yields than the bonds originally held, and correspondingly, could adversely impact the yield and total return performance of a Trust.

There is no assurance that the Trusts will achieve their investment objective and the Trusts may return less than \$15.00 per share. As the Trusts approach their scheduled termination date, it is expected that the maturity of the Trusts portfolio securities will shorten, which is likely to reduce the Trusts income and distributions to shareholders.

It is possible that regulators could take positions that could limit the market for non-bank sponsored TOB Trust transactions or the Trusts ability to hold TOB Residuals. Under the new TOB Trust structure, the Trusts will have certain additional duties and responsibilities, which may give rise to certain additional risks including, but not limited to, compliance, securities law and operational risks.

There can be no assurance that the Trusts can successfully enter into restructured TOB Trust transactions in order to refinance their existing TOB Residuals holdings prior to the compliance date for the Volcker Rule, which may require that the Trusts unwind existing TOB Trusts. There can be no assurance that alternative forms of leverage will be available to the Trusts and any alternative forms of leverage may be more or less advantageous to the Trusts than existing TOB leverage.

Should short-term interest rates rise, the Trusts investments in TOB Trust transactions may adversely affect the Trusts net investment income and dividends to Common Shareholders. Also, fluctuations in the market value of municipal bonds deposited into the TOB Trust may adversely affect the Trusts NAVs per share.

The SEC and various U.S. federal banking and housing agencies have adopted credit risk retention rules for securitizations (the Risk Retention Rules ), which took effect in December 2016. The Risk Retention Rules would require the sponsor of a TOB Trust to retain at least 5% of the credit risk of the underlying assets supporting the TOB Trust s municipal bonds. The Risk Retention Rules may adversely affect the Trusts ability to engage in TOB Trust transactions or increase the costs of such transactions in certain circumstances.

TOB Trust transactions constitute an important component of the municipal bond market. Accordingly, implementation of the Volcker Rule and Risk Retention Rules may adversely impact the municipal market, including through reduced demand for and liquidity of municipal bonds and increased financing costs for municipal issuers. Any such developments could adversely affect the Trusts. The ultimate impact of these rules on the TOB Trust market and the overall municipal market is not yet certain.

Counterparty Credit Risk: Similar to issuer credit risk, the Trusts may be exposed to counterparty credit risk, or the risk that an entity may fail to or be unable to perform on its commitments related to unsettled or open transactions. The Trusts manage counterparty credit risk by entering into transactions only with counterparties that the Manager believes have the financial resources to honor their obligations and by monitoring the financial stability of those counterparties. Financial assets, which potentially expose the Trusts to market, issuer and counterparty credit risks, consist principally of financial instruments and receivables due from counterparties. The extent of the Trusts exposure to market, issuer and counterparty credit risks with respect to these financial assets is approximately their value recorded in the Statements of Assets and Liabilities, less any collateral held by the Trusts.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT JUNE 30, 2017 33

### Notes to Financial Statements (concluded)

Concentration Risk: BJZ and BLH invest a substantial amount of their assets in issuers located in a single state or limited number of states. This may subject each Trust to the risk that economic, political or social issues impacting a particular state or group of states could have an adverse and disproportionate impact on the income from, or the value or liquidity of, the Trusts respective portfolios. Investment percentages in specific states or U.S. territories are presented in the Schedules of Investments.

As of period end, BJZ invested a significant portion of its assets in securities in the utilities and county, city, special district, school district sectors. As of period end, BLH invested a significant portion of its assets in the county, city, special district, school district sectors. Changes in economic conditions affecting such sectors would have a greater impact on the Trusts and could affect the value, income and/or liquidity of positions in such securities.

Certain Trusts invest a significant portion of their assets in fixed-income securities and/or use derivatives tied to the fixed-income markets. Changes in market interest rates or economic conditions may affect the value and/or liquidity of such investments. Interest rate risk is the risk that prices of bonds and other fixed-income securities will increase as interest rates fall and decrease as interest rates rise. The Trusts may be subject to a greater risk of rising interest rates due to the current period of historically low rates.

#### 9. Capital Share Transactions:

Each Trust is authorized to issue an unlimited number of shares, all of which were initially classified as Common Shares. The par value of each Trust s Common Shares is \$0.001. The Board is authorized, however, to reclassify any unissued Common Shares to Preferred Shares without approval of Common Shareholders.

Common Shares

For the six months ended June 30, 2017 and the year ended December 31, 2016, shares issued and outstanding remained constant for all Trusts.

#### 10. Subsequent Events:

Management s evaluation of the impact of all subsequent events on the Trusts financial statements was completed through the date the financial statements were issued and the following items were noted:

	Common Divide	Common Dividend Per Share		
	$Paid^1$	Declared <sup>2</sup>		
BJZ	\$ 0.0253	\$ 0.0253		
BPK	\$ 0.0220	\$ 0.0220		
BLH	\$ 0.0166	\$ 0.0166		

Net investment income dividend paid on August 1, 2017 to Common Shareholders of record on July 14, 2017.

34 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT JUNE 30, 2017

Net investment income dividend declared on August 1, 2017 payable to Common Shareholders of record on August 15, 2017.

## Disclosure of Investment Advisory Agreement and Sub-Advisory Agreement

The Board of Trustees (the Board, the members of which are referred to as Board Members ) of BlackRock California Municipal 2018 Term Trust (BJZ), BlackRock Municipal 2018 Term Trust (BPK) and BlackRock New York Municipal 2018 Term Trust (BLH) and together with BJZ and BPK, each a Trust, and, collectively, the Trusts ) met in person on April 27, 2017 (the April Meeting ) and June 7-8, 2017 (the June Meeting to consider the approval of each Trust s investment advisory agreement (each an Agreement, and collectively, the Agreements ) with BlackRock Advisors, LLC (the Manager), each Trust s investment advisor. The Manager is also referred to herein as BlackRock .

#### **Activities and Composition of the Board**

On the date of the June Meeting, the Board of each Trust consisted of eleven individuals, nine of whom were not interested persons of the Trust as defined in the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act.) (the Independent Board Members.). The Board Members are responsible for the oversight of the operations of its Trust and perform the various duties imposed on the directors of investment companies by the 1940 Act. The Independent Board Members have retained independent legal counsel to assist them in connection with their duties. The Chair of each Board is an Independent Board Member. Each Board has established five standing committees: an Audit Committee, a Governance and Nominating Committee, a Compliance Committee, a Performance Oversight Committee, and an Executive Committee, each of which is chaired by an Independent Board Member and composed of Independent Board Members (except for the Executive Committee, which also has one interested Board Member).

#### The Agreements

Pursuant to the 1940 Act, each Board is required to consider the continuation of the Agreement for its Trust on an annual basis. Each Board has four quarterly meetings per year, each extending over two days, a fifth one-day meeting to consider specific information surrounding the consideration of renewing the Agreement for its Trust and additional in-person and telephonic meetings as needed. In connection with this year-long deliberative process, each Board assessed, among other things, the nature, extent and quality of the services provided to its Trust by BlackRock, BlackRock s personnel and affiliates, including, as applicable; investment management, administrative, and shareholder services; the oversight of fund service providers; marketing; risk oversight; compliance; and ability to meet applicable legal and regulatory requirements.

Each Board, acting directly and through its committees, considers at each of its meetings, and from time to time as appropriate, factors that are relevant to its annual consideration of the renewal of the Agreement for its Trust, including the services and support provided by BlackRock to the Trust and its shareholders. BlackRock also furnished additional information to each Board in response to specific questions from the Board. This additional information is discussed further below in the section titled Board Considerations in Approving the Agreements. Among the matters each Board considered were: (a) investment performance for one-year, three-year, five-year, ten-year, and/or since inception periods, as applicable, against peer funds, applicable benchmarks, and performance metrics, as applicable, as well as senior management s and portfolio managers analysis of the reasons for any over-performance or underperformance relative to its peers, benchmarks, and other performance metrics, as applicable; (b) fees, including advisory, administration, if applicable, paid to BlackRock and its affiliates by the Trust for services; (c) Trust operating expenses and how BlackRock allocates expenses to the Trust; (d) the resources devoted to, risk oversight of, and compliance reports relating to, implementation of the Trust s investment objective(s), policies and restrictions, and meeting regulatory requirements; (e) the Trust s adherence to its compliance policies and procedures; (f) the nature, cost and character of non-investment management services provided by BlackRock and its affiliates; (g) BlackRock s and other service providers internal controls and risk and compliance oversight mechanisms; (h) BlackRock s implementation of the proxy voting policies approved by the Board; (i) execution quality of portfolio transactions; (j) BlackRock s implementation of the Trust s valuation and liquidity procedures; (k) an analysis of management fees for products with similar investment mandates across the open-end fund, closed-end fund, sub-advised mutual fund, collective investment trust, and institutional separate account product channels, as applicable, and the similarities and differences between these products and the services provided as compared to the Trust; (1) BlackRock s compensation methodology for its investment professionals and the incentives and accountability it creates, along with investment professionals investments in the fund(s) they manage; and (m) periodic updates on BlackRock s business.

Each Board considered BlackRock s efforts during the past several years with regard to the redemption of outstanding auction rate preferred securities ( AMPS ). As of the date of this report, each Trust has redeemed all of its outstanding AMPS.

#### **Board Considerations in Approving the Agreements**

The Approval Process: Prior to the April Meeting, each Board requested and received materials specifically relating to the Agreement for its Trust. Each Board is continuously engaged in a process with its independent legal counsel and BlackRock to review the nature and scope of the information provided to better assist its deliberations. The materials provided to the Board of each Trust in connection with the April Meeting included (a) information independently compiled and prepared by Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc. ( Broadridge ) on Trust fees and expenses as compared with a peer group of funds as determined by Broadridge ( Expense Peers ) and the investment performance of the Trust as compared

with a peer group of funds as determined by Broadridge; <sup>1</sup> (b) information on the profits realized by BlackRock and its affiliates pursuant to the Trust s Agreement and a discussion of fall-out benefits to BlackRock and its affiliates; (c) a general analysis provided by BlackRock concerning investment management fees charged to other clients, such as institutional clients, sub-advised mutual funds, and open-end funds, under similar investment mandates, as applicable; (d) review of non-management fees; (e) the existence, impact and sharing of potential economies of scale; and (f) a summary of aggregate amounts paid by the Trust to BlackRock.

<sup>1</sup> Funds are ranked by Broadridge in quartiles, ranging from first to fourth, where first is the most desirable quartile position and fourth is the least desirable.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

JUNE 30, 2017

35

## Disclosure of Investment Advisory Agreement and Sub-Advisory Agreement (continued)

At the April Meeting, each Board reviewed materials relating to its consideration of the Agreement for its Trust. As a result of the discussions that occurred during the April Meeting, and as a culmination of each Board s year-long deliberative process, each Board presented BlackRock with questions and requests for additional information. BlackRock responded to these requests with additional written information in advance of the June Meeting. Topics covered included: (a) fund repositionings and portfolio management changes, including additional information about the portfolio managers, research teams, organization and methods and historical track records of the teams, and the potential impact of such changes on fund performance and the costs of such changes; (b) scientific active equity management; (c) BlackRock s option overwrite policy; (d) differences in services between closed-end funds and mutual funds; (d) market discount; and (e) adviser profitability.

At the June Meeting, each Board, including the Independent Board Members, unanimously approved the continuation of the Agreement between the Manager and its Trust for a one-year term ending June 30, 2018. In approving the continuation of the Agreement for its Trust, each Board considered: (a) the nature, extent and quality of the services provided by BlackRock; (b) the investment performance of the Trust; (c) the advisory fee and the cost of the services and profits to be realized by BlackRock and its affiliates from their relationship with the Trust; (d) the Trust s costs to investors compared to the costs of Expense Peers and performance compared to the relevant performance metrics as previously discussed; (e) the sharing of potential economies of scale; (f) fall-out benefits to BlackRock and its affiliates as a result of its relationship with the Trust; and (g) other factors deemed relevant by the Board Members.

Each Board also considered other matters it deemed important to the approval process, such as other payments made to BlackRock or its affiliates relating to securities lending and cash management, services related to the valuation and pricing of Trust portfolio holdings, and advice from independent legal counsel with respect to the review process and materials submitted for the Board s review. Each Board noted the willingness of BlackRock personnel to engage in open, candid discussions with the Board. Each Board did not identify any particular information as determinative, and each Board Member may have attributed different weights to the various items considered.

A. Nature, Extent and Quality of the Services Provided by BlackRock: Each Board, including the Independent Board Members, reviewed the nature, extent and quality of services provided by BlackRock, including the investment advisory services and the resulting performance of its Trust. Throughout the year, each Board compared its Trust s performance to the performance of a comparable group of closed-end funds, relevant benchmark, and performance metrics, as applicable. Each Board met with BlackRock s senior management personnel responsible for investment activities, including the senior investment officers. Each Board also reviewed the materials provided by its Trust s portfolio management team discussing the Trust s performance and the Trust s investment objective(s), strategies and outlook.

Each Board considered, among other factors, with respect to BlackRock: the number, education and experience of investment personnel generally and its Trust s portfolio management team; BlackRock s research capabilities; investments by portfolio managers in the funds they manage; portfolio trading capabilities; use of technology; commitment to compliance; credit analysis capabilities; risk analysis and oversight capabilities; and the approach to training and retaining portfolio managers and other research, advisory and management personnel. Each Board engaged in a review of BlackRock s compensation structure with respect to the Trust s portfolio management team and BlackRock s ability to attract and retain high-quality talent and create performance incentives.

In addition to investment advisory services, each Board considered the quality of the administrative and other non-investment advisory services provided to its Trust. BlackRock and its affiliates provide each Trust with certain administrative, shareholder, and other services (in addition to any such services provided to the Trust by third parties) and officers and other personnel as are necessary for the operations of the Trust. In particular, BlackRock and its affiliates provide each Trust with administrative services including, among others: (i) preparing disclosure documents, such as the prospectus and the statement of additional information in connection with the initial public offering and periodic shareholder reports; (ii) preparing communications with analysts to support secondary market trading of the Trust; (iii) oversight of daily accounting and pricing; (iv) preparing periodic filings with regulators and stock exchanges; (v) overseeing and coordinating the activities of other service providers; (vi) organizing Board meetings and preparing the materials for such Board meetings; (vii) providing legal and compliance support; (viii) furnishing analytical and other support to assist the Board in its consideration of strategic issues such as the merger, consolidation or repurposing of certain closed-end funds; and (ix) performing other administrative functions necessary for the operation of the Trust, such as tax reporting, fulfilling regulatory filing requirements and call center services. Each Board reviewed the structure and duties of BlackRock s fund administration, shareholder services, and legal & compliance departments and considered BlackRock s policies and procedures for assuring compliance with applicable laws and regulations.

B. The Investment Performance of the Trusts and BlackRock: Each Board, including the Independent Board Members, also reviewed and considered the performance history of its Trust. In preparation for the April Meeting, each Board was provided with reports independently prepared by Broadridge, which included a comprehensive analysis of its Trust s performance. Each Board also reviewed a narrative and statistical analysis of the Broadridge data that was prepared by BlackRock. In connection with its review, each Board received and reviewed information regarding the investment performance, based on net asset value (NAV), of its Trust as compared to other funds in its applicable Broadridge category. Each Board was provided with a description of the methodology used by Broadridge to select peer funds and periodically

meets with Broadridge representatives to review its methodology. Each Board was provided with information on the composition of the Broadridge performance universes and expense universes. Each Board and its Performance Oversight Committee regularly review, and meet with Trust management to discuss, the performance of its Trust throughout the year.

36 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

JUNE 30, 2017

## Disclosure of Investment Advisory Agreement and Sub-Advisory Agreement (continued)

In evaluating performance, each Board recognized that the performance data reflects a snapshot of a period as of a particular date and that selecting a different performance period could produce significantly different results. Further, each Board recognized that it is possible that long-term performance can be adversely affected by even one period of significant underperformance so that a single investment decision or theme has the ability to affect long-term performance disproportionately.

The Board of each of BJZ and BPK noted that for the one-, three- and five-year periods reported, its Trust ranked in the second, fourth and fourth quartiles, respectively, against its respective Performance Universe Composite. The Board of BLH noted that for each of the one-, three- and five year periods reported, the Trust ranked in the fourth quartile against its Performance Universe Composite. BlackRock believes that the Composite is an appropriate performance metric for each Trust. The Composite measures a blend of total return and yield. Each Board noted that its Trust has a targeted maturity, and as such, has managed to achieve the specific maturity goal. The peer funds within the Performance Universe generally do not have a similar specific maturity goal.

C. Consideration of the Advisory/Management Fees and the Cost of the Services and Profits to be Realized by BlackRock and its Affiliates from their Relationship with the Trusts: Each Board, including the Independent Board Members, reviewed its Trust s contractual management fee rate compared with the other funds in its Broadridge category. The contractual management fee rate represents a combination of the advisory fee and any administrative fees, before taking into account any reimbursements or fee waivers. Each Board also compared its Trust s total expense ratio, as well as its actual management fee rate as a percentage of total assets, to those of other funds in its Broadridge category. The total expense ratio represents a fund s total net operating expenses, excluding any investment related expenses. The total expense ratio gives effect to any expense reimbursements or fee waivers that benefit a fund, and the actual management fee rate gives effect to any management fee reimbursements or waivers that benefit a fund. Each Board considered the services provided and the fees charged by BlackRock and its affiliates to other types of clients with similar investment mandates, as applicable, including institutional accounts and sub-advised mutual funds (including mutual funds sponsored by third parties).

Each Board received and reviewed statements relating to BlackRock s financial condition. Each Board reviewed BlackRock s profitability methodology and was also provided with a profitability analysis that detailed the revenues earned and the expenses incurred by BlackRock for services provided to its Trust. Each Board reviewed BlackRock s profitability with respect to its Trust and other funds the Board currently oversees for the year ended December 31, 2016 compared to available aggregate profitability data provided for the prior two years. Each Board reviewed BlackRock s profitability with respect to certain other U.S. fund complexes managed by the Manager and/or its affiliates. Each Board reviewed BlackRock s assumptions and methodology of allocating expenses in the profitability analysis, noting the inherent limitations in allocating costs among various advisory products. Each Board recognized that profitability may be affected by numerous factors including, among other things, fee waivers and expense reimbursements by the Manager, the types of funds managed, precision of expense allocations and business mix. As a result, calculating and comparing profitability at individual fund levels is difficult.

Each Board noted that, in general, individual fund or product line profitability of other advisors is not publicly available. Each Board reviewed BlackRock s overall operating margin, in general, compared to that of certain other publicly-traded asset management firms. Each Board considered the differences between BlackRock and these other firms, including the contribution of technology at BlackRock, BlackRock s expense management, and the relative product mix.

In addition, each Board considered the cost of the services provided to its Trust by BlackRock, and BlackRock s and its affiliates profits relating to the management of its Trust and the other funds advised by BlackRock and its affiliates. As part of its analysis, each Board reviewed BlackRock s methodology in allocating its costs of managing its Trust, to the Trust. Each Board may receive and review information from independent third parties as part of its annual evaluation. Each Board considered whether BlackRock has the financial resources necessary to attract and retain high quality investment management personnel to perform its obligations under the Agreement for its Trust and to continue to provide the high quality of services that is expected by the Board. Each Board further considered factors including but not limited to BlackRock s commitment of time, assumption of risk, and liability profile in servicing its Trust in contrast to what is required of BlackRock with respect to other products with similar investment mandates across the open-end fund, closed-end fund, sub-advised mutual fund, collective investment trust, and institutional separate account product channels, as applicable.

Each Board noted that its Trust s contractual management fee rate ranked in the first quartile, and that the actual management fee rate and total expense ratio each ranked in the first quartile, relative to the Expense Peers. Each Board also noted that BlackRock proposed, and the Board agreed to, a voluntary advisory fee waiver. This waiver was implemented on January 1, 2017. This waiver may be discontinued at any time without notice.

D. Economies of Scale: Each Board, including the Independent Board Members, considered the extent to which economies of scale might be realized as the assets of its Trust increase. Each Board also considered the extent to which its Trust benefits from such economies in a variety of

ways, and whether there should be changes in the advisory fee rate or breakpoint structure in order to enable the Trust to more fully participate in these economies of scale. The Board considered the Trust s asset levels and whether the current fee was appropriate.

Based on each Board s review and consideration of the issue, each Board concluded that most closed-end funds do not have fund level breakpoints because closed-end funds generally do not experience substantial growth after the initial public offering. They are typically priced at scale at a fund s inception.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

JUNE 30, 2017

37

## Disclosure of Investment Advisory Agreement and Sub-Advisory Agreement (concluded)

E. Other Factors Deemed Relevant by the Board Members: Each Board, including the Independent Board Members, also took into account other ancillary or fall-out benefits that BlackRock or its affiliates may derive from their respective relationships with its Trust, both tangible and intangible, such as BlackRock s ability to leverage its investment professionals who manage other portfolios and risk management personnel, an increase in BlackRock s profile in the investment advisory community, and the engagement of BlackRock s affiliates as service providers to the Trust, including for administrative, securities lending and cash management services. Each Board also considered BlackRock s overall operations and its efforts to expand the scale of, and improve the quality of, its operations. Each Board also noted that BlackRock may use and benefit from third party research obtained by soft dollars generated by certain registered fund transactions to assist in managing all or a number of its other client accounts.

In connection with its consideration of the Agreement for its Trust, each Board also received information regarding BlackRock s brokerage and soft dollar practices. Each Board received reports from BlackRock which included information on brokerage commissions and trade execution practices throughout the year.

Each Board noted the competitive nature of the closed-end fund marketplace, and that shareholders are able to sell their Trust shares in the secondary market if they believe that the Trust stees and expenses are too high or if they are dissatisfied with the performance of the Trust.

Each Board also considered the various notable initiatives and projects BlackRock performed in connection with its closed-end fund product line. These initiatives included the redemption of AMPS for the BlackRock closed-end funds with AMPS outstanding, including the completion of the redemption of AMPS for the Trusts; developing equity shelf programs; efforts to eliminate product overlap with fund mergers; ongoing services to manage leverage that has become increasingly complex; periodic evaluation of share repurchases and other support initiatives for certain BlackRock funds; and continued communications efforts with shareholders, fund analysts and financial advisers. With respect to the latter, the Independent Board Members noted BlackRock s continued commitment to supporting the secondary market for the common shares of its closed-end funds through a comprehensive secondary market communication program designed to raise investor and analyst awareness and understanding of closed-end funds. BlackRock s support services included, among other things: continuing communications concerning the redemption efforts related to AMPS; sponsoring and participating in conferences; communicating with closed-end fund analysts covering the BlackRock funds throughout the year; providing marketing and product updates for the closed-end funds; and maintaining and enhancing its closed-end fund website.

#### Conclusion

Each Board, including the Independent Board Members, unanimously approved the continuation of the Agreement between the Manager and its Trust for a one-year term ending June 30, 2018. Based upon its evaluation of all of the aforementioned factors in their totality, as well as other information, each Board, including the Independent Board Members, was satisfied that the terms of the Agreement for its Trust were fair and reasonable and in the best interest of the Trust and its shareholders. In arriving at its decision to approve the Agreement for its Trust, each Board did not identify any single factor or group of factors as, all-important or controlling, but considered all factors together, and different Board Members may have attributed different weights to the various factors considered. The Independent Board Members were also assisted by the advice of independent legal counsel in making this determination. The contractual fee arrangements for each Trust reflect the results of several years of review by the Trust s Board Members and predecessor Board Members, and discussions between such Board Members (and predecessor Board Members) and BlackRock. As a result, the Board Members conclusions may be based in part on their consideration of these arrangements in prior years.

38 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT JUNE 30, 2017

## Officers and Trustees

Richard E. Cavanagh, Chair of the Board and Trustee

Karen P. Robards, Vice Chair of the Board and Trustee

Michael J. Castellano, Trustee

Cynthia L. Egan, Trustee

Frank J. Fabozzi, Trustee

Jerrold B. Harris, Trustee

R. Glenn Hubbard, Trustee

W. Carl Kester, Trustee

Catherine A. Lynch, Trustee

Barbara G. Novick, Trustee

John M. Perlowski, Trustee, President and Chief Executive Officer

Jonathan Diorio, Vice President

Neal J. Andrews, Chief Financial Officer

Jay M. Fife, Treasurer

Charles Park, Chief Compliance Officer

Janey Ahn, Secretary

Investment Adviser	Transfer Agent	Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
BlackRock Advisors, LLC	Computershare Trust Company, N.A.	Deloitte & Touche LLP
Wilmington, DE 19809	Canton, MA 02021	Boston, MA 02116
Accounting Agent and Custodian State Street Bank and Trust Company	Legal Counsel	Address of the Trusts
Boston, MA 02111	Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP	100 Bellevue Parkway
2000i, Mi 102111	Boston, MA 02116	Wilmington, DE 19809

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT JUNE 30, 2017 39

#### Additional Information

#### **Trust Certification**

The Trusts are listed for trading on the NYSE and have filed with the NYSE their annual chief executive officer certification regarding compliance with the NYSE s listing standards. The Trusts filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) the certification of its chief executive officer and chief financial officer required by section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.

#### **Dividend Policy**

Each Trust s dividend policy is to distribute all or a portion of its net investment income to its shareholders on a monthly basis. In order to provide shareholders with a more stable level of distributions, the Trusts may at times pay out less than the entire amount of net investment income earned in any particular month and may at times in any particular month pay out such accumulated but undistributed income in addition to net investment income earned in that month. As a result, the distributions paid by the Trusts for any particular month may be more or less than the amount of net investment income earned by the Trusts during such month. The Trusts current accumulated but undistributed net investment income, if any, is disclosed in the Statements of Assets and Liabilities, which comprises part of the financial information included in this report.

#### General Information

The Trusts do not make available copies of their Statements of Additional Information because the Trusts—shares are not continuously offered, which means that the Statement of Additional Information of each Trust has not been updated after completion of the respective Trust—s offerings and the information contained in each Trust—s Statement of Additional Information may have become outdated.

During the period, there were no material changes in the Trusts investment objectives or policies or to the Trusts charters or by-laws that would delay or prevent a change of control of the Trusts that were not approved by the shareholders or in the principal risk factors associated with investment in the Trusts. There have been no changes in the persons who are primarily responsible for the day-to-day management of the Trusts portfolios.

Effective September 26, 2016, BlackRock implemented a new methodology for calculating effective duration for BlackRock s municipal bond portfolios. The new methodology replaces the model previously used by BlackRock to evaluate municipal bond duration and is a common indicator of an investment s sensitivity to interest rate movements. The new methodology will be applied to each Trust s duration reported for periods after September 26, 2016.

Quarterly performance, semi-annual and annual reports, current net asset value and other information regarding the Trusts, may be found on BlackRock s website, which can be accessed at http://www.blackrock.com. Any reference to BlackRock s website in this report is intended to allow investors public access to information regarding the Trusts and does not, and is not intended to, incorporate BlackRock s website in this report.

Electronic Delivery

Shareholders can sign up for e-mail notifications of quarterly statements, annual and semi-annual shareholder reports by enrolling in the electronic delivery program. Electronic copies of shareholder reports are available on BlackRock s website.

To enroll in electronic delivery:

Shareholders Who Hold Accounts with Investment Advisers, Banks or Brokerages:

Please contact your financial advisor. Please note that not all investment advisers, banks or brokerages may offer this service.

Householding

The Trusts will mail only one copy of shareholder documents, including annual and semi-annual reports and proxy statements, to shareholders with multiple accounts at the same address. This practice is commonly called householding and is intended to reduce expenses and eliminate duplicate mailings of shareholder documents. Mailings of your shareholder documents may be householded indefinitely unless you instruct us otherwise. If you do not want the mailing of these documents to be combined with those for other members of your household, please call the

Trusts at (800) 882-0052.

40 SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

JUNE 30, 2017

## Additional Information (concluded)

#### **General Information (concluded)**

Availability of Quarterly Schedule of Investments

The Trusts file their complete schedule of portfolio holdings with the SEC for the first and third quarters of each fiscal year on Form N-Q. The Trusts Forms N-Q are available on the SEC s website at http://www.sec.gov and may also be reviewed and copied at the SEC s Public Reference Room in Washington, D.C. Information on the operation of the Public Reference Room or how to access documents on the SEC s website without charge may be obtained by calling (800) SEC-0330. The Trusts Forms N-Q may also be obtained upon request and without charge by calling (800) 882-0052.

Availability of Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures

A description of the policies and procedures that the Trusts use to determine how to vote proxies relating to portfolio securities is available upon request and without charge (1) by calling (800) 882-0052; (2) at http://www.blackrock.com; and (3) on the SEC s website at http://www.sec.gov.

Availability of Proxy Voting Record

Information about how the Trusts voted proxies relating to securities held in the Trusts portfolios during the most recent 12-month period ended June 30 is available upon request and without charge (1) at http://www.blackrock.com; or by calling (800) 882-0052; and (2) on the SEC s website at http://www.sec.gov.

Availability of Trust Updates

BlackRock will update performance and certain other data for the Trusts on a monthly basis on its website in the Closed-end Funds section of http://www.blackrock.com as well as certain other material information as necessary from time to time. Investors and others are advised to check the website for updated performance information and the release of other material information about the Trusts. This reference to BlackRock s website is intended to allow investors public access to information regarding the Trusts and does not, and is not intended to, incorporate BlackRock s website in this report.

#### **BlackRock Privacy Principles**

BlackRock is committed to maintaining the privacy of its current and former fund investors and individual clients (collectively, Clients) and to safeguarding their non-public personal information. The following information is provided to help you understand what personal information BlackRock collects, how we protect that information and why in certain cases we share such information with select parties.

If you are located in a jurisdiction where specific laws, rules or regulations require BlackRock to provide you with additional or different privacy-related rights beyond what is set forth below, then BlackRock will comply with those specific laws, rules or regulations.

BlackRock obtains or verifies personal non-public information from and about you from different sources, including the following:
(i) information we receive from you or, if applicable, your financial intermediary, on applications, forms or other documents; (ii) information about your transactions with us, our affiliates, or others; (iii) information we receive from a consumer reporting agency; and (iv) from visits to our websites.

BlackRock does not sell or disclose to non-affiliated third parties any non-public personal information about its Clients, except as permitted by law or as is necessary to respond to regulatory requests or to service Client accounts. These non-affiliated third parties are required to protect the confidentiality and security of this information and to use it only for its intended purpose.

We may share information with our affiliates to service your account or to provide you with information about other BlackRock products or services that may be of interest to you. In addition, BlackRock restricts access to non-public personal information about its Clients to those BlackRock employees with a legitimate business need for the information. BlackRock maintains physical, electronic and procedural safeguards that are designed to protect the non-public personal information of its Clients, including procedures relating to the proper storage and disposal of such information.

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT JUNE 30, 2017 41

This report is intended for current holders. It is not a prospectus. Past performance results shown in this report should not be considered a representation of future performance. Certain Trusts have leveraged their Common Shares, which creates risks for Common Shareholders, including the likelihood of greater volatility of net asset value and market price of the Common Shares, and the risk that fluctuations in short-term interest rates may reduce the Common Shares—yield. Statements and other information herein are as dated and are subject to change.

CEF-BK3-6/17-SAR

- Item 2 Code of Ethics Not Applicable to this semi-annual report
- Item 3 Audit Committee Financial Expert Not Applicable to this semi-annual report
- Item 4 Principal Accountant Fees and Services Not Applicable to this semi-annual report
- Item 5 Audit Committee of Listed Registrants Not Applicable to this semi-annual report

#### Item 6 Investments

- (a) The registrant s Schedule of Investments is included as part of the Report to Stockholders filed under Item 1 of this Form.
- (b) Not Applicable due to no such divestments during the semi-annual period covered since the previous Form N-CSR filing.
- Item 7 Disclosure of Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures for Closed-End Management Investment Companies Not Applicable to this semi-annual report
- Item 8 Portfolio Managers of Closed-End Management Investment Companies
  - (a) Not Applicable to this semi-annual report
  - (b) As of the date of this filing, there have been no changes in any of the portfolio managers identified in the most recent annual report on Form N-CSR.
- Item 9 Purchases of Equity Securities by Closed-End Management Investment Company and Affiliated Purchasers Not Applicable
- Item 10 Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders There have been no material changes to these procedures.

#### Item 11 Controls and Procedures

- (a) The registrant's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, have concluded that the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 30a-3(c) under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act)) are effective as of a date within 90 days of the filing of this report based on the evaluation of these controls and procedures required by Rule 30a-3(b) under the 1940 Act and Rule 13a-15(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
- (b) There were no changes in the registrant s internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 30a-3(d) under the 1940 Act) that occurred during the second fiscal quarter of the period covered by

this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant s internal control over financial reporting.

## Item 12 Exhibits attached hereto

- (a)(1) Code of Ethics Not Applicable to this semi-annual report
- (a)(2) Certifications Attached hereto
- (a)(3) Not Applicable
- (b) Certifications Attached hereto

2

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

#### BlackRock Municipal 2018 Term Trust

By: /s/ John M. Perlowski John M. Perlowski

Chief Executive Officer (principal executive officer) of

BlackRock Municipal 2018 Term Trust

Date: September 5, 2017

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By: /s/ John M. Perlowski

John M. Perlowski

Chief Executive Officer (principal executive officer) of

BlackRock Municipal 2018 Term Trust

Date: September 5, 2017

By: /s/ Neal J. Andrews

Neal J. Andrews

Chief Financial Officer (principal financial officer) of

BlackRock Municipal 2018 Term Trust

Date: September 5, 2017