SANFILIPPO JOHN B & SON INC Form DEF 14A September 15, 2014

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

(Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant x

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant "

Check the appropriate box:

- " Preliminary Proxy Statement
- " Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- x Definitive Proxy Statement
- " Definitive Additional Materials
- " Soliciting Material pursuant to § 240.14a-12

JOHN B. SANFILIPPO & SON, INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- x No fee required.
- " Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which the transaction applies:

- (2) Aggregate number of securities to which the transaction applies:
- (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of the transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
- (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of the transaction:
- (5) Total fee paid:
- " Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
- " Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
 - (1) Amount Previously Paid:
 - (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
 - (3) Filing Party:
 - (4) Date Filed:

JOHN B. SANFILIPPO & SON, INC.

1703 N. Randall Road

Elgin, Illinois 60123

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

to be held

October 29, 2014

TO THE STOCKHOLDERS:

The Annual Meeting of stockholders of John B. Sanfilippo & Son, Inc. will be held on Wednesday, October 29, 2014, at 10:00 A.M., Central Time, at 1707 N. Randall Road, Elgin, Illinois 60123, for the following purposes:

- 1. Election of directors;
- 2. Ratification of the Audit Committee s appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for the 2015 fiscal year;
- 3. Conduct an advisory vote to approve executive compensation;
- 4. Approval of the John B. Sanfilippo & Son, Inc. 2014 Omnibus Incentive Plan; and
- 5. To transact such other business as may properly be brought before the Annual Meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof.

The Annual Meeting may be postponed or adjourned from time to time without any notice other than announcement at the meeting and any and all business for which notice is hereby given may be transacted at any such postponed or adjourned meeting.

The Board of Directors has fixed the close of business on September 2, 2014, as the record date for determination of stockholders entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting. A list of these stockholders will be available for inspection for 10 days preceding the meeting at 1707 N. Randall Road, Elgin, Illinois 60123 and will also be available for inspection at the Annual Meeting.

A Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (the Internet Notice) will be mailed to stockholders who were not mailed the printed proxy materials. The Internet Notice provides details regarding the availability of our full proxy materials, including this Proxy Statement and Annual Report, at the website address http://www.proxydocs.com/JBSS. All stockholders were either mailed the Internet Notice or mailed the printed proxy materials which include a proxy card. If a stockholder wishes to vote electronically or by telephone, the stockholder should follow the instructions on how to vote electronically or by telephone that are included on the stockholder s proxy card or Internet Notice. The internet availability of our proxy materials gives our stockholders fast and convenient access to our proxy materials, reduces the impact on the environment and reduces printing and mailing costs.

Whether or not a stockholder plans to attend the Annual Meeting and vote in person, we request that the stockholder read our proxy materials and submit the stockholder s proxy vote. A stockholder submitting a proxy vote will not affect the stockholder s right to attend the Annual Meeting and vote in person.

By Order of the Board of Directors

MICHAEL J. VALENTINE

Secretary

Elgin, Illinois

September 15, 2014

John B. Sanfilippo & Son, Inc.

PROXY STATEMENT

ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

October 29, 2014

This Proxy Statement is furnished in connection with the solicitation by the Board of Directors of John B. Sanfilippo & Son, Inc., a Delaware corporation, of proxies for use at the annual meeting of our stockholders to be held on Wednesday, October 29, 2014, at 10:00 A.M., Central Time, at 1707 N. Randall Road, Elgin, Illinois 60123-7820, and at any postponement or adjournment thereof (the Annual Meeting). All shares of our Common Stock, \$.01 par value (the Common Stock) and our Class A Common Stock, \$.01 par value (the Class A Stock) entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting which are represented by properly submitted proxies will, unless such proxies have been revoked, be voted in accordance with the instructions given in such proxies.

Any stockholder who has submitted a proxy may revoke it by: (a) delivering a written notice of revocation to our Secretary prior to the exercise of the proxy at the Annual Meeting; (b) duly submitting a subsequent properly executed proxy (by Internet, telephone or mail) so that it is received by 5:00 P.M. Eastern Time on October 28, 2014 or (c) attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person. Any written notice of revocation should be received by our Secretary at 1703 N. Randall Road, Elgin, Illinois 60123-7820, Attention: Secretary, or hand delivered to the Secretary, before the closing of the polls at the Annual Meeting.

Unless the context otherwise requires, references herein to we, us, our, the company or our company refer to John B. Sanfilippo & Son, Inc. mailing address of our principal executive offices is 1703 N. Randall Road, Elgin, Illinois 60123-7820.

A Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (the Internet Notice) will be mailed to stockholders who were not mailed the printed proxy materials. The Internet Notice provides details regarding the availability of our full proxy materials, including this Proxy Statement and our annual report, at the Internet website address <u>http://www.proxydocs.com/JBSS</u>. All stockholders holding shares of Common Stock on the record date were either mailed the Internet Notice, or mailed the printed proxy materials which include a proxy card. If a stockholder wishes to vote electronically or by telephone, the stockholder should follow the instructions on how to vote electronically or by telephone that are included on the stockholder s proxy card or Internet Notice.

This Proxy Statement was filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC or the Commission) on September 15, 2014, and we expect to first send the Internet Notice to stockholders on or around September 17, 2014.

Record Date and Shares Outstanding

We had outstanding on September 2, 2014, the record date for determination of stockholders entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting, 8,452,205 shares of Common Stock (excluding 117,900 treasury shares, which are neither outstanding nor entitled to vote) and 2,597,426 shares of Class A Stock. The Common Stock is traded on the Nasdaq Global Select Market under the ticker JBSS. There is no established public trading market for the Class A Stock.

Voting and Quorum

Pursuant to our Restated Certificate of Incorporation (as amended, the Restated Certificate), so long as the total number of shares of Class A Stock outstanding is greater than or equal to $12^{1}/_{2}$ % of the total number of shares of Class A Stock and Common Stock outstanding, the holders of Common Stock voting as a class are entitled to elect such number (rounded to the next highest number in the case of a fraction) of directors as equals 25% of the total number of directors constituting the full Board of Directors. The holders of Class A Stock voting as a class are entitled to elect the remaining directors. With respect to all matters other than the election of directors or any matters for which class voting is required by

law, the holders of Common Stock and the holders of Class A Stock will vote together as a single class, and the holders of Common Stock will be entitled to one vote per share of Common Stock and the holders of Class A Stock will be entitled to 10 votes per share of Class A Stock.

Our Restated Certificate does not entitle holders of Common Stock to cumulative voting. However, solely with respect to the election of directors, the Restated Certificate entitles, but does not require, each holder of Class A Stock, in person or by proxy, to either (a) vote the number of shares of Class A Stock owned by such holder for as many persons as there are directors to be elected by holders of Class A Stock (Class A Directors), or (b) cumulate said votes (by multiplying the number of shares of Class A Stock owned by such holder or candidates for election as a Class A Director) and either (i) give one candidate all of the cumulated votes, or (ii) distribute the cumulated votes among such candidates as the holder sees fit.

The holders of Common Stock representing a majority of the votes entitled to be cast by stockholders entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting, present in person or represented by proxy, shall constitute a quorum for such meeting in order to transact any business. Where a separate vote by a class is required, a majority of the outstanding shares of such class, present in person or represented by proxy, shall constitute a quorum entitled to take action with respect to that vote on that matter.

Proposals to be Voted Upon and the Board of Directors Recommendations

Four proposals are scheduled for stockholder consideration at the Annual Meeting, each of which is described more fully herein:

Election of directors (Proposal 1);

Ratification of the Audit Committee s appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for the 2015 fiscal year (Proposal 2);

Advisory vote to approve executive compensation (Proposal 3); and

Approval of the John B. Sanfilippo & Son, Inc. 2014 Omnibus Incentive Plan (Proposal 4). The vote required and related matters for each of these proposals is as follows:

Proposal 1: Election of Directors

At the Annual Meeting, the holders of Common Stock voting as a class will be entitled to elect three of the nine directors. The holders of Class A Stock voting as a class will be entitled to elect the remaining six directors. Directors elected by holders of both Common Stock and Class A Stock are elected by a plurality of the votes cast for each such class.

The Board of Directors recommends a FOR vote for all of the director nominees listed herein. If a properly submitted, unrevoked proxy does not specifically direct the voting of the shares covered by such proxy, the proxy will be voted FOR the election of all director nominees to be elected by holders of the class of shares covered by such proxy as listed herein.

If any nominee is unable to act as director because of an unexpected occurrence, the proxy holders may vote the proxies for another person or the Board of Directors may reduce the number of directors to be elected.

Proposal 2: Ratification of the Audit Committee s appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for the 2015 Fiscal Year

Approval of the ratification of the Audit Committee s appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the company s Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for the 2015 fiscal year requires the affirmative vote of the holders of shares representing a majority of the votes present or represented by proxy and entitled to vote by the holders of Common Stock and Class A Stock, voting together as one class.

The Board of Directors recommends a FOR vote for the ratification of the Audit Committee s appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for the 2015 fiscal year. If a properly submitted, unrevoked proxy does not specifically direct the voting of the shares covered by such proxy, the proxy will be voted FOR Proposal 2.

Proposal 3: Advisory Vote to Approve Executive Compensation

Pursuant to SEC rules, we are providing our stockholders with an advisory, nonbinding vote to approve the compensation paid to our named executive officers, as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis and Summary Compensation Table of this Proxy Statement.

The Board of Directors recommends a FOR vote for the advisory vote to approve executive compensation. If a properly submitted, unrevoked proxy does not specifically direct the voting of the shares covered by such proxy, the proxy will be voted FOR Proposal 3.

Proposal 4: Approval of the John B. Sanfilippo & Son, Inc. 2014 Omnibus Incentive Plan

Approval of the John B. Sanfilippo & Son, Inc. 2014 Omnibus Incentive Plan requires the affirmative vote of the holders of shares representing a majority of the votes present or represented by proxy and entitled to vote by the holders of Common Stock and Class A Stock, voting together as one class.

The Board of Directors recommends a FOR vote for the approval of the John B. Sanfilippo & Son, Inc. 2014 Omnibus Incentive Plan. If a properly submitted, unrevoked proxy does not specifically direct the voting of the shares covered by such proxy, the proxy will be voted FOR Proposal 4.

Effect of Abstentions

While the Board of Directors recommends that our stockholders vote in accordance with the recommendations set forth above, we also recognize that abstain votes are an option for proposals 2, 3, and 4. Please note, however, that any shares voting abstain are treated as shares present or represented and voting. Therefore, an abstain vote for proposal 2, 3, or 4 has the same effect as a vote against each respective proposal. For purposes of determining whether a quorum exists, abstentions will be counted as present.

Effect of Broker Non-Votes

Under applicable stock exchange rules, brokers and banks have discretionary authority to vote shares without instructions from beneficial owners only on matters considered routine, such as the vote to ratify the appointment of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm (Proposal 2). On non-routine matters, such as the election of directors (Proposal 1), the advisory vote to approve executive compensation (Proposal 3) and the approval of the John B. Sanfilippo & Son, Inc. 2014 Omnibus Incentive Plan (Proposal 4), these brokers and banks do not have discretion to vote uninstructed shares and thus are not entitled to vote on such proposals, resulting in a broker non-vote for those shares. Broker non-votes will not be counted for determining whether stockholders have approved a specific proposal; however, they will be counted as present for purposes of determining whether a quorum exists. We encourage all stockholders that hold shares through a broker or bank to provide voting instructions to such parties to ensure that their shares are voted at the Annual Meeting.

Other Proposals

If other matters are properly presented for a vote at the Annual Meeting, the persons named as proxies will vote on such matters in accordance with their best judgment. We have not received notice of other matters that may be properly presented for a vote at the Annual Meeting.



PROPOSAL 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Nine directors are to be elected to serve until the next annual meeting of stockholders and until their respective successors shall be elected and qualified. Three directors are to be elected by the holders of Common Stock voting as a class and the remaining six directors are to be elected by the holders of Class A Stock voting as a class. While the Board of Directors does not contemplate that any nominee for election as a director will not be able to serve, if any of the nominees for election shall be unable or shall fail to serve as a director, the holders of proxies shall vote such proxies for such other person or persons as shall be determined by such holders in their discretion or, so long as such action does not conflict with the provisions of our Restated Certificate relating to the proportion of directors to be elected by the holders of Common Stock, the Board of Directors may, in its discretion, reduce the number of directors to be elected.

The Board of Directors recommends that the stockholders vote FOR each of the nominees listed herein.

We believe that each nominee listed below has the qualifications, skills and experience that are consistent with our requirements for the selection of directors and that, as a group, the Board of Directors functions collegially, constructively and effectively together in overseeing our business. Below in each nominee s individual biography we identify and describe some of the specific qualifications, skills and experience that each nominee provides to the Board of Directors. However, the fact that we do not list a particular qualification, skill or experience for a nominee does not mean that the nominee does not possess that particular qualification, skill or experience.

NOMINEES FOR ELECTION BY THE HOLDERS OF COMMON STOCK

The name of and certain information regarding each nominee for election to our Board of Directors by the holders of Common Stock, as reported to us, is set forth below.

Governor Jim Edgar, Director, age 68 Gov. Edgar served as a Distinguished Fellow at the University of Illinois Institute of Government and Public Affairs where he lectured from January 1999 to June 2014. He was also a Resident Fellow at the John F. Kennedy School of Government at Harvard University during the 1999 fall semester. Gov. Edgar served as Governor of the State of Illinois from January 14, 1991 through January 11, 1999. Prior to his election, Gov. Edgar served as the Illinois Secretary of State from 1981 to 1991. Gov. Edgar s retirement from public office marked 30 years of state government service. Gov. Edgar currently serves on the board of directors of Horizon Group Properties, Inc. (since 2000), and previously served on the board of directors of Youbet.com, Inc. (from 2002 until June 2010) and Alberto Culver Company (from 2002 to 2011). Gov. Edgar has been a member of our Board of Directors since October 1999 and is a member of our Audit Committee and our Compensation Committee and is the Chairperson of our Corporate Governance Committee (the Governance Committee).

The Board of Directors has concluded that Gov. Edgar should serve as a director as a result of his demonstrated leadership and management skills as the former Governor of Illinois. In addition, as a result of his current and past service as a director on the boards of other companies, including a company that manufactures and markets consumer products, Gov. Edgar also provides our Board of Directors with significant expertise in the oversight of consumer-oriented companies and corporate governance procedures and practices.

Ellen C. Taaffe, Director, age 52 Ms. Taaffe is the President of Smith-Dahmer Associates LLC, a research and brand strategy consulting firm established in 1991. Ms. Taaffe joined Smith-Dahmer Associates LLC following her resignation as Vice President of Brand Marketing and Corporate Officer of Whirlpool Corporation in 2009. Prior to Whirlpool Corporation, Ms. Taaffe served as Senior Vice President of Marketing and Corporate Officer at Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd. from 2005 to 2007. Previously, Ms. Taaffe served as Vice President of Health and Wellness Strategy and Programming at PepsiCo from 2003 to 2005. She was Vice President of Marketing for the Convenience Foods Division of Frito-Lay, following PepsiCo s acquisition of the Quaker Oats Company in 2001, where she was Vice President of Marketing for Quaker Snacks and Side Dishes. At Quaker, Ms. Taaffe held numerous positions in Brand Management and Sales Management from 1984 to 2001. Ms. Taaffe was appointed to our Board of Directors in January 2011 and is a member of our Compensation Committee, our Governance Committee and our Audit Committee.

The Board of Directors has concluded that Ms. Taaffe should serve as a director because of her extensive and diverse background in sales and marketing. Specifically, Ms. Taaffe s experience in brand strategy for international consumer products companies provides us with a valuable resource as we continue to execute our corporate strategies and seek to expand the sales of our products.

Daniel M. Wright, Director, age 76 Mr. Wright previously worked for Arthur Andersen LLP for 37 years as an auditor, where his clients consisted of privately-held and registered public companies. Mr. Wright was a Partner with Arthur Andersen LLP from 1973 through August 1998, and became a certified public accountant in 1968. Mr. Wright served on the board of directors of RC2 Corporation from 2003 until May 2010, where he was a member of its Audit Committee. Throughout his career, and since his retirement in 1998, Mr. Wright has been active in numerous civic and philanthropic organizations. Mr. Wright has been a member of our Board of Directors since October 2005 and is a member of our Compensation Committee and our Governance Committee and is the Chairperson of our Audit Committee.

The Board of Directors has concluded that Mr. Wright should serve as a director because of the extensive accounting and financial experience that he gained while serving as an auditor for over three decades. Mr. Wright s in-depth knowledge of the audit and financial reporting requirements of public companies allows Mr. Wright to provide our company with a valuable perspective in accounting and other related matters.

NOMINEES FOR ELECTION BY THE HOLDERS OF CLASS A STOCK

The name of and certain information regarding each nominee for election to our Board of Directors by the holders of Class A Stock, as reported to us, is set forth below.

Jasper B. Sanfilippo, Jr., Chief Operating Officer, President, Assistant Secretary and Director, age 46 Mr. Sanfilippo was appointed as a member of the Board of Directors in December 2003 upon the recommendation of our senior management and the unanimous approval of the Board of Directors. Mr. Sanfilippo has been employed by us since 1991 and in 2001 was named Executive Vice President Operations, retaining his position as Assistant Secretary, which he assumed in December 1995. He became our Senior Vice President Operations in August 1999 and served as Vice President Operations between December 1995 and August 1999. Prior to that, Mr. Sanfilippo was the General Manager of our Gustine, California facility beginning in October 1995, and from June 1992 to October 1995 he served as Assistant Treasurer and worked in our Financial Relations department. On May 8, 2006 our Board of Directors approved a succession plan finalized and adopted at the Board of Directors meeting held on November 6, 2006. Pursuant to the succession plan, Mr. Sanfilippo was named as our Treasurer and held that position until January 2009. Mr. Sanfilippo has previously served on the Board of Directors of the National Pecan Shellers Association, an industry association of which our company is a member. Mr. Sanfilippo is the nephew of Mathias A. Valentine, a director of our company and the cousin of Michael J. Valentine, an executive officer and director of our company, and James A. Valentine, an executive officer of our company. Mr. Sanfilippo is also a first cousin by marriage of Timothy R. Donovan, a director of our company.

The Board of Directors has concluded that Mr. Sanfilippo should serve as a director as a result of his extensive knowledge of the nut industry, his operational and management experience and his leadership abilities. In addition, Mr. Sanfilippo brings to our Board of Directors an in-depth knowledge of our company due to his service as an employee since 1992 and his demonstrated leadership in managing the capital expenditures and increasing operational efficiencies of the company. Moreover, Mr. Sanfilippo is well-suited to serve as a director due to his continued engagement in new product development and branding efforts to provide our consumers with innovative product choices.

Jeffrey T. Sanfilippo, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Directors, age 51 Mr. Sanfilippo has been employed by us since 1991 and was named our Executive Vice President Sales and Marketing in January 2001. Mr. Sanfilippo became a director of our company in August 1999 and was elected as our Chairman of the Board of Directors on October 30, 2008. He served as Senior Vice President Sales and Marketing from August 1999 to January 2001 and as General Manager West Coast Operations from September 1991 to September 1993. He served as Vice President West Coast Operations and Sales from October 1993 to September 1995. He served as Vice President Sales and Marketing from October 1995 to August 1999. On May 8, 2006 our Board of Directors approved a succession plan finalized and adopted at the Board of Directors meeting held on November 6, 2006. Pursuant to the succession plan, Mr. Sanfilippo was elected as our Chief Executive Officer and he has since then continued to hold such position. Mr. Sanfilippo is the nephew of Mathias A. Valentine, a director of our company, the brother of Jasper B. Sanfilippo, Jr., an executive officer and director of our company, and the cousin of Michael J. Valentine, an executive officer and director of our company, and James A. Valentine, an executive officer of our company, and is an active member of the Chicago chapter of the Young Presidents Organization.

The Board of Directors has concluded that Mr. Sanfilippo should serve as a director because, as our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, he has demonstrated a deep understanding of our company, its operations and how to position the company for long-term growth through, among other things, the development and implementation of a comprehensive Strategic Plan. In addition, as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of our company, Mr. Sanfilippo has significant leadership, marketing, product development and financial experience and is well-suited to provide the company with effective guidance in managing our company s business.

James J. Sanfilippo, Director, age 52 Mr. Sanfilippo is the President and CEO of Clear Lam Packaging, Inc. (Clear Lam), a commercial manufacturer of packaging for the food and medical industries. Mr. Sanfilippo became a director our company in October 2013. He has served in the role of President and CEO since 1999. Before Clear Lam, Mr. Sanfilippo served as the founder of MAP Systems LLC, a thermoforming packaging business. From 1995 to 1999, Mr. Sanfilippo served as a Vice President and Treasurer of our company where he was responsible for our Illinois operations and contract manufacturing. From 1992 to 1994, Mr. Sanfilippo served as Director of Contract Manufacturing for our company and from 1985 to 1991 served as a Product Manager for our company. Mr. Sanfilippo is the nephew of Mathias A. Valentine, a director of our company, the brother of Jeffrey T. Sanfilippo and Jasper B. Sanfilippo, Jr., both executive officers and directors of our company, the cousin of Michael J. Valentine, an executive officer and director of our company, and James A. Valentine, an executive officer of our company. Mr. Sanfilippo is also a first cousin by marriage of Timothy R. Donovan, a director of our company. Mr. Sanfilippo is an active member of the Chicago chapter of the Young Presidents Organization and has been responsible for a number of patents in the packaging industry.

The Board of Directors has determined that Mr. Sanfilippo should serve as a director because of his leadership and management experience as the President and CEO of a major packaging company servicing the food and medical industries. Mr. Sanfilippo also provides our Board with important experience in the contract packaging area and with insight into product offerings and presentations in order to execute our Strategic Plan. In addition, Mr. Sanfilippo offers our Board significant operational and supply chain expertise as well as an appreciation for our company s operations over the last 25 years.

Mathias A. Valentine, Director, age 81 Mr. Valentine was employed by us from 1960 until his retirement in January 2006. He was named our President in December 1995. He served as our Secretary from 1969 to December 1995, as our Executive Vice President from 1987 to October 1991 and as our Senior Executive Vice President and Treasurer from October 1991 to December 1995. He has been a member of our Board of Directors since 1969. Mr. Valentine was also a member of our Compensation Committee until April 28, 2004 and was a member of the Stock Option Committee until February 27, 1997 (when that Committee was disbanded). Mr. Valentine retired from our company on January 3, 2006. Mr. Valentine is the father of Michael J. Valentine, a director and an executive officer of our company, and James A. Valentine, an executive officer of our company. Mr. Valentine is the uncle of Jasper B. Sanfilippo, Jr. and Jeffrey T. Sanfilippo, both of whom are executive officers and directors of our company and James J. Sanfilippo, a director of our company. Mr. Valentine is also the uncle by marriage of Timothy R. Donovan, a director of our company.

The Board of Directors has concluded that Mr. Valentine should serve as a director as a result of his in-depth knowledge of our company and the nut industry and deep appreciation of our company s values and mission due to his service as an employee from 1960 until 2006, including as our former President. The Board of Directors believes that he provides a unique perspective regarding our company s history and operations and is well-suited to provide guidance to the company as to the best methods to build our brands and provide long-term stockholder value.

Michael J. Valentine, Chief Financial Officer, Group President, Secretary and Director, age 55 Mr. Valentine has been employed by us since 1987 and in January 2001 was named Executive Vice President Finance, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary. Mr. Valentine was elected as a director of our company in April 1997. Mr. Valentine served as our Senior Vice President and Secretary from August 1999 to January 2001. He served as Vice President and Secretary from December 1995 to August 1999. He served as our Assistant Secretary and General Manager of External Operations from June 1987 and 1990, respectively, to December 1995. On May 8, 2006 our Board of Directors approved a succession plan, which was finalized and adopted at the Board of Directors meeting held on November 6, 2006. Pursuant to the succession plan, Mr. Valentine was elected as our company s Chief Financial Officer and Group President and he has since then continued to hold such positions. In February 2007, Mr. Valentine was appointed as Secretary of our company. Since 1999 and 2009 Mr. Valentine has served on the Board of Directors of the Peanut and Tree Nut Processors Association and the Board of Directors of the American Peanut Council, respectively, both of which are nut industry associations of which our company is a member. Mr. Valentine is the son of Mathias A. Valentine, a director of our company, the brother of James A. Valentine, an executive officer of our company, and James J. Sanfilippo, a director of our company. Mr. Valentine is also a first cousin by marriage of Timothy R. Donovan, a director of our company.

The Board of Directors has concluded that Mr. Valentine should serve as a director because of his extensive accounting and financial experience demonstrated through, among other things, obtaining two attractive credit facility amendment arrangements for our company in recent years. He also provides our Board of Directors with valuable knowledge of our company from his service as an employee since 1987 and our Chief Financial Officer since 2001, and an in-depth knowledge of our industry from his service as a member of the board of directors of two industry associations related to our core business. In addition, his position as Group President provides the Board of Directors with critical leadership and management experience.

Timothy R. Donovan, Director, age 58 Mr. Donovan is the Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Chief Regulatory and Compliance Officer of Caesars Entertainment Corporation, the world s largest gaming and resort company. Mr. Donovan joined Caesars in April 2009 upon his resignation as Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary for Republic Services, Inc. which merged with Allied Waste Industries where Mr. Donovan held similar positions since April 2007. Mr. Donovan served in various senior positions with Tenneco Inc. (formerly known as Tenneco Automotive Inc.) from July 1999 until his resignation in February 2007, most recently as Executive Vice President, Strategy and Business Development, and General Counsel. In addition to his duties as General Counsel, Mr. Donovan also served as Managing Director of portions of Tenneco s international operations from May 2001 through July 2005, including Asia (2001 through 2005), Australia (2004 through 2005) and South America (2001 through 2004), as a member of Tenneco s board of directors from March 2004 until February 2007 and as a member of Tenneco s Office of the Chief Executive from July 2006 until January 2007. Mr. Donovan was a partner in the law firm of Jenner & Block LLP from 1989 until his resignation in September 1999, and from approximately 1997 through 1999 served as the Chairman of the firm s Corporate and Securities Department and as a member of its Executive Committee. Mr. Donovan joined Jenner & Block LLP in 1982 after serving as a staff trial attorney at the Chicago District Counsel s Office of the Internal Revenue Service. Mr. Donovan was elected as a member of our Board of Directors in October 1999 and serves as a member of our Audit Committee, a member of our Governance Committee and the Chairperson of our Compensation Committee. Mr. Donovan is a nephew by marriage of Mr. Mathias A. Valentine, a director of our company, and the first cousin by marriage of Jasper B. Sanfilippo, Jr., Jeffrey T. Sanfilippo, Michael J. Valentine and James A. Valentine, each of whom is an executive officer and certain of whom are also directors of our company and James J. Sanfilippo, a director of our company.

The Board of Directors has concluded that Mr. Donovan should serve as a director because of the vast experience he has acquired serving as the general counsel for four publicly traded companies. In addition, Mr. Donovan s experiences while holding executive officer positions for an automobile parts manufacturer have given him numerous insights into manufacturing and supply operations, as well as international operations, that make him an invaluable advisor to our company. Furthermore, as a former senior partner of a national law firm, Mr. Donovan also provides a key perspective as to legal issues facing companies of our size and complexity.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Independence of the Board of Directors

On June 21, 2004, Jasper B. Sanfilippo, his spouse Marian Sanfilippo and their five children (two of whom are directors and executive officers of our company) and one of whom is a director of our company) jointly filed a Schedule 13D indicating their intention to act together as a group. Amendments to the Schedule 13D were filed on March 21, 2007, January 16, 2008, September 10, 2009 and April 27, 2012. The Sanfilippo Group (as defined below) beneficially owns shares entitled to cast 51.5% of votes eligible to be cast on matters submitted to stockholders generally (other than the election of directors which are elected as described above). In addition, Mathias A. Valentine and Michael J. Valentine (both directors and current or former executive officers of the company) filed a Schedule 13D on April 27, 2012 restating their intention to continue to act together as a group. The Valentine Group (as defined below) beneficially owns shares entitled to cast 24.1% of votes eligible to be cast on matters submitted to stockholders generally (other than the election of directors which are elected as described above). On account of (a) the share ownership as described above and (b) the oral understanding between the members of the Sanfilippo Group and the Valentine Group not to cumulate their votes for the election of Class A Directors and to vote in a reciprocal manner for each other s nominees, under Nasdaq Listing Rule 5615(c)(1), we qualify as a controlled company. Pursuant to the provisions of the Nasdaq rules applicable to controlled companies, we are not required to have (a) a majority of independent directors on our Board of Directors, (b) a nominations committee comprised solely of independent directors. Nevertheless, four of our nominees for election to the Board of Directors are independent, and our Compensation Committee and Governance Committee are comprised solely of independent directors.

Mathias A. Valentine is an uncle by marriage of Timothy R. Donovan and the Management Team (as defined below) members and James A. Valentine are cousins by marriage of Timothy R. Donovan. However, because Timothy R. Donovan is not considered a family member pursuant to the Nasdaq Listing Rules, and, for the reasons discussed below, he qualifies as an independent director pursuant to Nasdaq Listing Rule 5605(a)(2).

Director Independence

The Board of Directors has determined that Timothy R. Donovan, Gov. Jim Edgar, Ellen C. Taaffe and Daniel M. Wright are independent under Nasdaq Listing Rule 5605(a)(2) and that such directors have no material relationships with our company that would compromise their independence. At the Board of Directors meeting held on October 30, 2013, our Board of Directors reviewed the independence of the non-management independent directors in accordance with Nasdaq Listing Rule 5605(a)(2). In carrying out that review, our Board of Directors sought to determine whether there are or have been any relationships which would interfere with Timothy R. Donovan s, Gov. Jim Edgar s, Ellen C. Taaffe s and Daniel M. Wright s exercise of independent judgment in carrying out their responsibilities as directors. Specifically, our Board of Directors focused on their relationships with employees of our company and whether they, their family members or entities in which they have a significant interest, paid or received payments for property or services to or from our company. In particular, our Board of Directors considered Timothy R. Donovan s familial relationships with the members of the Management Team, James A. Valentine, Jasper B. Sanfilippo, James J. Sanfilippo, Mathias A. Valentine and Roseanne Christman (our Director of Creative Services), and unanimously concluded that such relationships did not impact Timothy R. Donovan s independence because, among other reasons, (a) his relationships with the Management Team, James A. Valentine, Jasper B. Sanfilippo, James J. Sanfilippo, Mathias A. Valentine, Jasper B. Sanfilippo, James J. Sanfilippo, Mathias A. Valentine and Roseanne Christman are sufficiently distant due to the fact that such relationships to those individuals are all based upon marriage and (b) in his role and experience as general counsel of multiple public companies, he has a full understanding of his responsibilities with respect to being an independent director.

Independence of the Compensation Committee and the Governance Committee

As a controlled company, under applicable Nasdaq Listing Rules, we are not required to maintain independent committees overseeing our compensation and nominating policies and practices. However, as a matter of good corporate governance, the Board of Directors has nevertheless determined that the best interests of our company and its stockholders are served by adopting such practices.

The Compensation Committee is comprised of Timothy R. Donovan, Chairperson, Gov. Jim Edgar, Ellen C. Taaffe and Daniel M. Wright. The Governance Committee is comprised of Gov. Jim Edgar, Chairperson, Timothy R. Donovan, Ellen C. Taaffe and Daniel M. Wright. Each member of the Compensation Committee and Governance Committee is an independent director as defined in Section 5605(a)(2) of the Nasdaq Listing Rules. In addition, each member of our Compensation Committee qualifies as (a) independent under Rule 10C-1 of the Exchange Act, (b) a non-employee director under Rule 16b-3 of the Exchange Act and (c) an outside director under 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code (the Code).

Independence of the Audit Committee

The Board of Directors has determined that (a) each member of the Audit Committee is an independent director as defined in Section 5605(a)(2) of the Nasdaq Listing Rules and (b) each member of the Audit Committee is independent for purposes of Section 10A and Rule 10A-3 of the Exchange Act.

Board of Directors Leadership Structure

The Board of Directors believes it is important to retain its flexibility to allocate the responsibilities of the offices of the Chairman of the Board of Directors (Chairman) and the Chief Executive Officer in any way that is in the best interests of our company and stockholders at any given point in time. The Board of Directors believes that the decision as to who should serve as Chairman and as Chief Executive Officer, and whether the offices should be combined or separate, should be assessed periodically by the Board of Directors, and that the Board of Directors should not be constrained by a rigid policy mandating that such positions be separate. The Board of Directors has determined that, in light of the current size of our company, the most efficient leadership structure is to combine the roles of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and have Jeffrey T. Sanfilippo serve as such. Combining the roles of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer helps the Board of Directors make efficient and expeditious decisions, allows our company to tap fully Mr. Sanfilippo s extensive knowledge of our industry and company and enables him to effectively exercise his proven leadership skills.

Furthermore, we believe that the combined office of the Chairman and the Chief Executive Officer puts an individual in the best position to focus the directors attention on the issues of greatest importance to the company and its stockholders, including issues related to strategy (including implementation of our company s Strategic Plan) and risk management.

The relatively small size of our Board of Directors allows all directors, including the independent directors, to play a very active role in all Board of Directors functions. We have not identified any independent director as the lead independent director. Three out of our four independent directors serve as the chairperson of one of the three committees of the Board of Directors and all four independent directors are the only members on these committees. The independent directors, through their committee meetings, hold regular executive sessions without the attendance of management at which discussions are facilitated by the chairperson of the respective committees regarding the committee s work and responsibilities, as well as any other matters which require further deliberation or consideration. The Board of Directors feels that it is unnecessary to distinguish one of the independent directors as a lead independent director at this time, although the Board of Directors will periodically assess, if appropriate, whether a lead independent director or presiding director should be appointed.

Board of Directors Role in Risk Oversight

Throughout the year, risk management is an integral part of the deliberations of the Board of Directors and its committees. Importantly, the Board of Directors reviews and periodically receives updates on and helps formulate our company s Strategic Plan, taking into account, among other considerations, our company s risk profile and potential exposures. In addition, the Board of Directors receives regular reports from management regarding specific risks that the Board of Directors or management has identified as important for the Board of Directors review and input. The Board of Directors risk oversight function is also implemented through its committees. Although the Board of Directors as a whole has the ultimate responsibility for risk oversight, its committees also help oversee the company s risk profile and exposures relating to matters within the scope of their authority and each committee reports to the Board of Directors about their deliberations and findings.

Specifically, the Compensation Committee reviews risks associated with our compensation programs, to ensure that incentive compensation programs do not encourage inappropriate risk-taking by management or employees. The Compensation Committee determined in fiscal 2014 that the company s compensation programs do not encourage inappropriate risk-taking and the company s compensation programs would be unlikely to have a material adverse effect upon the company. The Governance Committee considers risks related to our company s corporate governance. The Audit Committee considers risks relating to the company s accounting and finance functions, internal controls, related party transactions and disclosure and financial reporting.

The Board of Directors role in risk oversight of our company is consistent with our company s current leadership structure because the combined office of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer enables our current Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Jeffrey T. Sanfilippo, to more efficiently identify and effectively oversee our company s risks and report his conclusions and recommendations regarding such risks to the full Board of Directors.

In addition, our company has a Risk Assessment Committee, chaired by William R. Pokrajac, Vice President of Risk Management and Investor Relations, and consisting of James A. Valentine, Chief Information Officer, Christopher H. Gardier, Senior Vice President of Consumer Sales, Michael E. Norsen, Operations Manager, Michael J. Finn, Director of Financial Reporting and Taxation, Brenda Cannon, Vice President of Food Safety/Quality, Walter S. Kowal, Internal Audit Manager, Thomas J. Fordonski, Senior Vice President, Human Resources and John A. Accardo, Vice President of International Sales, to aid further the Board of Directors and its committees in reviewing the risks which face our company, including risks related to compensation policies and practices, food safety and quality, cybersecurity and information technology, international operations and general enterprise risks. The Risk Assessment Committee meets quarterly and delivers a report to the Board of Directors about their findings and general discussions. With respect to supply procurement risks, our Senior Vice President of Procurement and Commodity Risk Management, Walter R. Tankersley, provides regular updates to management and, from time to time, provides updates to the Board of Directors and the Audit Committee as appropriate.

Board Meetings and Committees

Board of Directors

It is expected that each member of the Board of Directors will be available to attend all regularly scheduled meetings of the Board of Directors and all regularly scheduled meetings of the committees on which a director serves, as well as our annual meeting of stockholders, after taking into consideration the director s other business and professional commitments. Each director is expected to make his or her best effort to attend all of the special meetings of the Board of Directors and of the committees on which a director serves.

Our Board of Directors held eight meetings during fiscal 2014. All directors attended at least 75% of the meetings of the Board of Directors. All directors attended at least 75% of the meetings of the committees of the Board of Directors on which they served. All directors attended the 2013 annual meeting of stockholders. The separately-designated standing committees of the Board of Directors include the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee and the Governance Committee. Each committee has adopted a charter which governs its activities. These committee charters are available on our website at *www.jbssinc.com*.

Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee is comprised of Timothy R. Donovan, Chairperson, Gov. Jim Edgar, Ellen C. Taaffe and Daniel M. Wright. The Compensation Committee held six meetings during fiscal 2014.

The Compensation Committee reviews and makes recommendations to the Board of Directors with respect to the salaries, equity grants, incentive compensation and other compensation of executive officers and non-management directors (management directors are not separately compensated for their service as directors) other than for certain related parties, the review and final ratification of which is handled by the Audit Committee. See Review of Related Party Transactions Compensation Arrangements below. The Compensation Committee may solicit recommendations as to compensation of non-management directors and executive officers from other members of the Board of Directors and executive officers. The Compensation Committee reviews market comparisons of the compensation of the Chief Executive Officer and other executive officers that are prepared by its independent compensation consultant and our company.

In carrying out its purposes, the Compensation Committee is authorized to take all actions that it deems necessary or appropriate, it may draw upon and direct such internal resources of our company as it deems necessary, and it may engage such compensation consultants and other advisors as it deems desirable, at the cost and expense of the company. The Compensation Committee has the sole authority to retain and terminate any such consultant or advisor, including the sole authority to determine fees and terms of retention. The Compensation Committee is also authorized to establish a subcommittee, delegate to it the responsibilities provided for under the Compensation Committee s charter, and grant to it as much authority, including the full authority of the Compensation Committee, as the Compensation Committee deems necessary or appropriate, so long as the member or members of such subcommittee are independent directors as contemplated by the Compensation Committee s charter.

In fiscal 2014, the Compensation Committee directly engaged Meridian Compensation Partners, LLC (Meridian), an independent compensation consultant, to, among other things, review the proposed fiscal 2014 base salaries, conduct certain market analysis with respect to the compensation of executive officers and non-employee directors, review the SVA Plan and its related targets, advise the Compensation Committee regarding the fiscal 2014 equity awards grants pursuant to the 2008 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended (the 2008 Plan) advise the compensation on the terms of the John B. Sanfilippo & Son, Inc. 2014 Omnibus Incentive Plan (as contained in Proposal 4 to this proxy statement) and generally advise the Compensation Committee on the compensation of our executive officers and our non-management directors.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

During fiscal 2014, Timothy R. Donovan (the Chairperson of the Compensation Committee), Gov. Jim Edgar, Ellen C. Taaffe and Daniel M. Wright served as the sole members of the Compensation Committee. Neither Gov. Jim Edgar, Daniel M. Wright, Ellen C. Taaffe nor Timothy R. Donovan (a) was, during the fiscal year, an officer or employee of the company, (b) was formerly an officer of the company or (c) had any related party transactions with the company other than those disclosed in Review of Related Party Transactions below.

No executive officer of our company served on the board of directors or the compensation committee of another company which had any of its officers or directors serving on our Compensation Committee or on our Board of Directors at any time during fiscal 2014.

Corporate Governance Committee

The Governance Committee was formed in order to, among other things, make director nominee recommendations to the Board of Directors and to assist our company in refining its corporate governance policies and procedures. The Governance Committee is comprised of Gov. Jim Edgar, Chairperson, Timothy R. Donovan, Ellen C. Taaffe and Daniel M. Wright. The Governance Committee held four meetings during fiscal 2014.

The Governance Committee reviews candidates considered for election to the Board of Directors. The Governance Committee reviews and makes recommendations on matters related to the practices, policies and procedures of the Board of Directors and the committees of the Board of Directors. The Governance Committee has the lead role in shaping our overall system of corporate governance. As part of its duties, the Governance Committee assesses the size, structure and composition of the Board of Directors and committees of the Board of Directors, including director qualifications, director tenure and director succession planning, and helps coordinate the performance evaluation of the Board of Directors.

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee provides oversight on matters relating to accounting, financial reporting, internal control, auditing, and regulatory compliance. The Audit Committee also has the sole authority to: (a) retain and terminate the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm that audits our annual consolidated financial statements, (b) evaluate the independence of the auditors and (c) arrange with the auditors the scope of their audit. Additionally, the Audit Committee reviews our audited financial statements with management and the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, recommends whether such audited financial statements should be included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K and prepares a report to stockholders to be included in this Proxy Statement. Further, the Audit Committee reviews related party transactions as more specifically described under Review of Related Party Transactions below.

The Audit Committee is comprised of the independent directors Daniel M. Wright, Chairperson, Timothy R. Donovan, Gov. Jim Edgar and Ellen C. Taaffe. The Audit Committee held five meetings during fiscal 2014.

The Board of Directors has determined that Mr. Wright, the Chairperson of the Audit Committee, and Mr. Donovan, a member of the Audit Committee, are audit committee financial experts as defined by the SEC. With respect to its assessment of whether Messrs. Wright and Donovan are audit committee financial experts, the Board of Directors considered, among other things, Messrs. Wright and Donovan's experience as described under Nominees for Election by the Holders of Common Stock and Nominees for Election by the Holders of Class A Stock, respectively.

Stockholder Communication with Directors

We recognize the importance of providing our stockholders with the ability to communicate with members of the Board of Directors. Accordingly, we have established a policy for stockholder communications with directors. This policy is not intended to cover communications of complaints regarding accounting or auditing matters, or human resources complaints, with respect to which we have established the

Anonymous Incident Reporting System for Accounting and Auditing Matters , which is posted on our website at *www.jbssinc.com*. Stockholders wishing to communicate with the Board of Directors as a whole, or with certain directors individually, may do so by sending a written communication to the following address:

John B. Sanfilippo & Son, Inc.

Stockholder Communications with Directors

Attn: Corporate Secretary

1703 N. Randall Road

Elgin, Illinois 60123-7820

Each stockholder communication should include an indication of the submitting stockholder s status as a valid stockholder in order to submit such communication. Each such communication will be received for handling by our Secretary for the sole purpose of determining whether the contents represent a communication to the Board of Directors or to an individual director. The Secretary will maintain originals of each communication received and will provide copies to the addressee(s) and any appropriate committee(s) or director(s) based on the expressed desire of the communicating stockholder. The Board of Directors, the committee(s) or the applicable individual director(s) may elect to respond to the communication as each deems appropriate.

DIRECTOR NOMINATIONS

Director Qualifications

While there is no single set of characteristics required to be possessed by each member of the Board of Directors, the Governance Committee will consider whether to nominate a candidate for director based on a variety of criteria, including, but not limited to: (a) the candidate s personal integrity; (b) whether the candidate has demonstrated achievement in one or more forms of business, professional, governmental, communal, scientific or educational endeavors sufficient to enable the candidate to make a significant and immediate contribution to the Board of Directors discussion and decision-making regarding the array of complex issues facing our company; (c) the candidate s level of familiarity with our business and competitive environment; (d) the candidate s ability to function effectively in an oversight role; (e) the candidate is understanding of the issues affecting a public company of a size and complexity similar to our company; and (f) whether the candidate has, and is prepared to devote, adequate time to the Board of Directors and its committees. Under exceptional and limited circumstances, the Governance Committee may approve the candidate notwithstanding the foregoing criteria if the Governance Committee believes the service of such a nominee is in our best interests and those of our stockholders.

In selecting candidates, the Governance Committee and the Board of Directors take diversity into account, seeking to ensure a representation of varied perspectives and experience, although neither the Governance Committee nor the Board of Directors has prescribed specific standards for diversity or adopted a specific diversity policy.

However, the Governance Committee considers certain items to be minimum requirements for nomination to our Board of Directors. Those requirements are: (a) a commitment to the duties and responsibilities of a director; (b) the ability to contribute meaningfully to the Board of Directors supervisory management of the company and its officers; and (c) an outstanding record of integrity in prior professional activities.

In addition, the Governance Committee ensures that:

at least three of the directors serving at any time on the Board of Directors are independent, as defined under the rules of the principal stock market on which our common shares are listed for trading;

all members of the Audit Committee satisfy the financial literacy requirements required under the rules of the principal stock market on which our common shares are listed for trading;

at least one of the Audit Committee members qualifies as an audit committee financial expert under the rules of the Commission; and

at least one of the independent directors has experience as a senior executive at a public company or a substantially-large private company.

In selecting a nominee for our Board of Directors, the Governance Committee may receive suggestions from many different groups including, but not limited to, the company s current and former executive officers and directors, and such suggestions may or may not be in response to a request from the Governance Committee. As described below, the Governance Committee will also consider nominations from stockholders. From time to time, the Governance Committee may engage a third party for a fee to assist it in identifying potential director candidates.

After identifying a potential director nominee and deciding to further pursue the potential nominee, the Governance Committee will then evaluate the potential nominee by using information collected from a variety of sources. Those sources include, but are not limited to, publicly available information, information provided by knowledgeable members of the company and information provided by the potential candidate. The Governance Committee may contact the potential nominee to determine his or her interest and willingness to serve as a director and may conduct one or more in-person or telephonic interviews with the potential candidate. The Governance Committee may contact references of the potential candidate or other members of the professional community who may have relevant knowledge of the potential candidate s qualifications and successes. The Governance Committee may compare the potential candidate s information to all such information collected for other potential candidates.

Director Succession Planning

From time to time, the holders of Class A Stock have discussed with the Governance Committee director succession planning and processes with respect to the Class A Directors. In doing so, the holders of Class A Stock have identified potential director candidates, considered their characteristics with the criteria listed in Director Qualifications above and evaluated their specific skills among a number of factors the holders of Class A Stock consider important. As part of these discussions, the holders of Class A Stock determined that it would be in the best interest of stockholders to nominate the slate of Class A Directors as set forth under Proposal 1, which includes Jeffrey T. Sanfilippo, Jasper B. Sanfilippo, Jr., James J. Sanfilippo, Timothy R. Donovan, Mathias A. Valentine and Michael J. Valentine. The Governance Committee intends to continue these discussions and processes with the holders of Class A Stock on an ongoing basis, as necessary.

In addition, the Governance Committee has had discussions, from time to time, regarding director tenure and the overall skills possessed by each member of the Board of Directors to help ensure that the Board of Directors possesses the necessary perspectives to oversee management and effectively monitor the company s operations. Through the evaluation process conducted by the Board of Directors and each committee, each director is afforded the opportunity to provide their view regarding the Board of Directors and each committee s performance.

Nominations of Directors by Stockholders

The Governance Committee does not solicit, but will consider, nominees for director submitted by holders of our Common Stock and Class A Stock. The Governance Committee follows the same process and uses the same criteria for evaluating candidates proposed by stockholders as it uses for all other candidates, although the number of shares held by the proposing stockholder and the length of time such shares have been held may be considered by the Governance Committee.

Stockholders wishing to have the Governance Committee consider a director nominee may do so by sending notice of the nominee s name, biographical information and qualifications to:

Governance Committee

c/o Corporate Secretary

John B. Sanfilippo & Son, Inc.

1703 N. Randall Road, Elgin, Illinois 60123-7820

Under our company s Bylaws and applicable law, all director nominations submitted by our stockholders must provide (a) all information relating to the nominee that is required to be disclosed in a solicitation of proxies for the election of directors in an election contest, or as is otherwise required, pursuant to and in accordance with Regulation 14A under the Exchange Act and (b) the nominee s written consent to being named in the proxy statement as a nominee and to serving as a director, if elected. In addition, such notice of a nominee shall include, among other matters, as to the stockholder giving the notice and the beneficial owner, if any, on whose behalf the nomination or proposal is made (a) the name and address of such stockholder, as they appear on our company s books, and of such beneficial owner, (b) the class and number of shares of stock of our company which are owned beneficially and of record by such stockholder and such beneficial owner, (c) a representation that the stockholder is a holder of record of the stock of our company entitled to vote at such meeting and intends to appear in person or by proxy at the meeting to propose the nominee, and (d) a representation of whether the stockholder or the beneficial owner, if any, intends to or is part of a group which intends to (i) deliver a proxy statement and/or form of proxy to holders of at least the percentage of our company s outstanding capital stock required to elect the nominee and/or (ii) otherwise solicit proxies from stockholders in support of the nominee s election. Our

company may require any proposed nominee to furnish such other information as it may reasonably require in order to determine the eligibility of such proposed nominee to serve as a director of our company and such other information as contained in our company s Bylaws.

Please see Stockholder Proposals for the 2015 Annual Meeting below for the notice deadlines for stockholder s director nominations to be considered for inclusion in our company s proxy materials and stockholder s director nominations to be presented at the 2015 annual meeting (but not to be included in our company s proxy materials).

PROPOSAL 2: RATIFY THE AUDIT COMMITTEE S APPOINTMENT OF PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

LLP AS OUR INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR THE 2015 FISCAL YEAR

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors has appointed PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm to examine our consolidated financial statements for the 2015 fiscal year, and to render other professional services as required, in accordance with our pre-approval policies and procedures described below. The Audit Committee and the Board of Directors, as a matter of company policy, are submitting the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP to stockholders for ratification.

If the stockholders do not vote on an advisory basis in favor of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our company s Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, the Audit Committee will reconsider whether to engage PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP but may ultimately determine to engage PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP or another audit firm without re-submitting the matter to stockholders. Even if the stockholders vote in favor of the selection of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, the Audit Committee may, in its sole discretion, terminate the engagement of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP and direct the appointment of another Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm at any time during the year.

Representatives of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP will be present at the Annual Meeting, will have the opportunity to make a statement if they so desire, and are expected to be available to respond to appropriate questions.

Aggregate fees billed by our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, for audit services related to the most recent two fiscal years, and for other professional services billed in the most recent two fiscal years, were as follows:

Type of Service	2014	2013
Audit Fees ⁽¹⁾	\$ 800,000	\$ 894,650
Audit Related Fees		
Tax Fees ⁽²⁾	90,000	15,000
All Other Fees ⁽³⁾	2,700	2,700
Total ⁽⁴⁾	\$ 892,700	\$ 912,350

- (1) Comprised of services for the audit of our annual financial statements, the audit of our internal control over financial reporting, reviewing of our quarterly financial statements, consents and reviewing documents to be filed with the SEC.
- (2) Comprised of fees billed for tax advice.
- (3) Comprised of the licensing of accounting technical research software.
- (4) The actual amount paid by us is different than the total amount as stated here due to the variations in the timing of the billing cycles between our company and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.

Reports on our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm s projects and services are presented to the Audit Committee on a regular basis. The Audit Committee is solely responsible for the engagement of our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm. The Audit Committee has established pre-approval policies and procedures in order for our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm to perform all audit services and permitted non-audit services. These pre-approval policies and procedures allow for pre-approval of certain designated services, depending on the type of service. All services not subject to general pre-approval must be specifically pre-approved by the Audit Committee. Under the pre-approval policies and procedures, the Audit Committee may delegate pre-approval responsibilities to its chairperson or any other member or members. All of the fees described above were approved by the Audit Committee pursuant to our pre-approval policies and procedures.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR ratification of the Audit Committee s appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for the 2015 fiscal year.

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

The Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed with management and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, the company s Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for fiscal 2014, the company s audited financial statements as of and for the year ended June 26, 2014. Management is responsible for the company s financial reporting process, including maintaining a system of internal controls, and is responsible for preparing the consolidated financial statements in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP is responsible for auditing those financial statements and for giving an opinion regarding the conformity of the financial statements with GAAP. Additionally, in accordance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the Audit Committee reviewed and discussed with management, the company s internal auditors and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, management s report on the operating effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, including PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP s related report.

The Audit Committee has also discussed with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP the matters required by revised Auditing Standard No. 16 *Communications with Audit Committees* and other relevant auditing standards adopted by the PCAOB. In addition, the Audit Committee has received and reviewed the written disclosures and letter from PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP regarding PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP s communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence, as required by Ethics and Independence Rule 3526, *Communication with Audit Committees Concerning Independence*, as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (such Ethics and Independence Rule superseded Independence Standard No. 1, *Independence Discussions with Audit Committees*). Also, the Audit Committee has discussed with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP the independence of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, including whether PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP s independence is compatible with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP providing non-audit services to the company. Based on the foregoing discussions and reviews, the Audit Committee is satisfied with the independence of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.

In reliance on the reviews and discussions described above and the report of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors, and the Board of Directors approved, the inclusion of the audited financial statements in the company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 26, 2014, for filing with the Commission.

Respectfully submitted by all of the members of the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors.

Daniel M. Wright, Chairperson

Timothy R. Donovan

Governor Jim Edgar

Ellen C. Taaffe

The information contained in the preceding report shall not be deemed to be soliciting material or to be filed with the Commission, nor shall such information be incorporated by reference into any future filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act), or the Exchange Act, except to the extent that we specifically incorporate it by reference in such filing.

PROPOSAL 3: ADVISORY VOTE TO APPROVE EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

As required by SEC rules, we are providing our stockholders with an advisory, nonbinding vote to approve the compensation paid to our named executive officers, as we have described it in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis and Summary Compensation Table of this Proxy Statement.

As described in detail in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section, the Compensation Committee oversees our executive compensation program. The Compensation Committee recommends changes to the executive compensation program and recommends the awards of executive compensation to be paid as appropriate to reflect our performance and to promote the main objectives of the program. These objectives include helping us attract, motivate, reward and retain superior leaders who are capable of creating sustained, lasting value for our stockholders, and to promote a performance-based culture that is intended to align the interests of our executives with those of our stockholders.

Highlights of our program include:

Our pay for performance orientation. As set forth more fully in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis and related Summary Compensation Table, the company exceeded targeted levels of financial performance and therefore incentive compensation was awarded to our named executive officers to reflect such performance for fiscal 2014;

Our named executive officers do not have employment agreements;

Our named executive officers have entered into clawback agreements entitling the company to recover payments made to executives who engage in certain types of misconduct;