

Invesco California Value Municipal Income Trust
Form N-CSR
May 09, 2013

OMB APPROVAL
OMB Number: 3235-0570
Expires: January 31, 2014
Estimated average burden
hours per response: 20.6

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED
MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number 811-07404

Invesco California Value Municipal Income Trust

(Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

1555 Peachtree Street, N.E., Atlanta, Georgia 30309

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

Colin Meadows 1555 Peachtree Street, N.E., Atlanta, Georgia 30309

(Name and address of agent for service)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (713) 626-1919

Date of fiscal year end: 2/28

Date of reporting period: 2/28/13

Item 1. Report to Stockholders.

Management's Discussion of Trust Performance

Performance summary

The Trust's return can be calculated based on either the market price or the net asset value (NAV) of its shares. NAV per share is determined by dividing the value of the Trust's portfolio securities, cash and other assets, less all liabilities and preferred shares, by the total number of common shares outstanding. Market price reflects the supply and demand for Trust shares. As a result, the two returns can differ, as they did during the reporting period. A main contributor to the Trust's return on an NAV basis was its exposure to intermediate and longer-maturity bonds.

Performance

Total returns, 2/29/12 to 2/28/13

Trust at NAV	11.43%
Trust at Market Value	5.53
Barclays California Municipal Index	6.01
Market Price Premium to NAV as of 2/28/13	0.29
Source(s): Barclays via FactSet Research Systems Inc.	

The performance data quoted represent past performance and cannot guarantee comparable future results; current performance may be lower or higher. Investment return, NAV and common share market price will fluctuate so that you may have a gain or loss when you sell shares. Please visit invesco.com/us for the most recent month-end performance. Performance figures reflect Trust expenses, the reinvestment of distributions (if any) and changes in NAV for performance based on NAV and changes in market price for performance based on market price.

Since the Trust is a closed-end management investment company, shares of the Trust may trade at a discount or premium from the NAV. This characteristic is separate and distinct from the risk that NAV could decrease as a result of investment activities and may be a greater risk to investors expecting to sell their shares after a short time. The Trust cannot predict whether shares will trade at, above or below NAV. The Trust should not be viewed as a vehicle for trading purposes. It is designed primarily for risk-tolerant long-term investors.

How we invest

We seek to provide investors with a high level of current income exempt from federal and California income tax.

We seek to achieve the Trust's investment objective by investing primarily in California municipal securities rated investment grade by at least one nationally recognized statistical rating organization and that are exempt from federal taxes. Municipal obligations include municipal bonds, municipal notes, municipal commercial paper and lease obligations. The Trust may also invest up to 20% of its net assets in non-investment-grade and unrated securities that we determine to be of comparable quality. From time to time, we may invest in California municipal securities that pay interest that is subject to the federal alternative minimum tax.

Edgar Filing: Invesco California Value Municipal Income Trust - Form N-CSR

We employ a bottom-up, research-driven

approach to identify securities that have attractive risk-reward characteristics for the sectors in which we invest. We also integrate macroeconomic analysis and forecasting into our evaluation and ranking of various sectors and individual securities. Finally, we employ leverage in an effort to enhance the Trust's income and total return. Sell decisions are generally based on:

- n A deterioration or likely deterioration of an individual issuer's capacity to meet its debt obligations on a timely basis.
- n A deterioration or likely deterioration of the broader fundamentals of a particular industry or sector.
- n Opportunities in the secondary or primary market to exchange into a security with better relative value.

Market conditions and your Trust

For the fiscal year ended February 28,

2013, the municipal market produced yet another year of positive performance. The Barclays Municipal Bond Index returned 5.01%, outperforming other fixed income indexes such as the Barclays U.S. Aggregate Index, which returned 3.12%; the Barclays Asset-Backed Securities Index, which returned 2.64%; the Barclays U.S. Mortgage-Backed Securities Index, which returned 1.92%; and the Barclays U.S. Agency Index, which returned 1.88%.¹

During the reporting period, municipal issuance, or lack thereof, coupled with strong net inflows into municipal bond funds, resulted in a favorable environment for municipal performance. Municipal bond issuance ended 2012 with \$379 billion in gross new issues.² While the gross figure reflects a 29% increase from the 2011 level, it also marks the second consecutive year of net negative supply.² Concerns regarding tax reform and general economic uncertainty led investors to flock into municipal bond funds, and net inflows for calendar year 2012 were over \$50 billion³, a stark contrast from the \$12 billion in net outflows that the category experienced in calendar year 2011.³

The state of California benefits from a large, diverse economy, high wealth levels, a moderate debt burden, and a well-funded pension system. Its gross state product is roughly 13% of the United States' gross domestic product (GDP).⁴ California's financial performance is volatile relative to most states with revenues sensitive to both US GDP and equity market valuations, stemming from the high percentage of state revenues collected from a small population of California's highest income earners via personal income taxes. Passage of temporary tax increases under Propositions 30 and 39 in November 2012 provided the state with a margin of cash and short-term financial standing, while exposing the state to even sharper revenue volatility. The state's budget and revenue-raising flexibility remains limited due to legislative restrictions. While the state's economy continues to recover and housing values slowly improve, ongoing structural

Portfolio Composition

By credit sector, based on total investments

Revenue Bonds	76.8%
General Obligation Bonds	20.0
Pre-Refunded Bonds	3.2

Total Net Assets

Applicable to Common Shares \$662.4 million

Total Number of Holdings 336

Top Five Fixed Income Holdings

	% of Total		
	Coupon	Maturity	Net Assets
1. Yosemite Community College District (Election of 2004)	5.00%	08/01/32	2.7%
2. Foothill-De Anza Community College District	5.00	08/01/40	2.3
3. Long Beach Unified School District	5.00	08/01/31	2.1
4. California (State of) Health Facilities Financing Authority (Lucile Packard Children's Hospital)	5.00	08/15/51	2.0
5. California (State of) Educational Facilities Authority (University of Southern California)	5.25	10/01/39	1.8

Edgar Filing: Invesco California Value Municipal Income Trust - Form N-CSR

The Trust's holdings are subject to change, and there is no assurance that the Trust will continue to hold any particular security.

2 Invesco California Value Municipal Income Trust

uncertainties and sustained high unemployment continue to challenge the state's financial profile.

As we approached the end of the reporting period, we expected political, headline and event risk to remain elevated in 2013 as lawmakers consider various options to close the federal spending gap. We recognize that difficult budget and policy decisions are needed, and as a result we are avoiding investments that are highly dependent on federal aid.

Trust performance was also driven by BBB-AAA⁵ credit quality spread tightening for most of the reporting period, largely a result of continued declining yields, strong demand and low tax-exempt issuance. As a result, BBB-rated and lower credit quality sectors outperformed higher-rated credits and contributed to performance as we held overweight exposure to these market segments. The Trust's non-rated allocation also contributed to relative performance. Additionally, strong security selection among AA bonds added to performance for the period.

At the sector level, our exposure to special tax, hospital and education bonds contributed to Trust returns for the reporting period. Our allocation to state government obligation bonds detracted from returns.

The Trust's exposure to the intermediate (15- to 20-year) and the long end (20+ years) of the curve added to returns as yields declined during most of the reporting period. Some of our yield curve and duration positioning was implemented through the use of structural leverage.

One important factor affecting the return of the Trust relative to the Barclays California Municipal Index was the Trust's use of structural leverage. The Trust uses leverage because we believe that, over time, leveraging can provide opportunities for additional income and total return for common shareholders. However, the use of leverage also can expose common shareholders to additional volatility. For example, if the prices of securities held by a trust decline, the negative effect of these valuation changes on common share net asset value and common shareholder total return is magnified by the use of leverage. Conversely, leverage may enhance common share returns during periods when the prices of securities held by a trust generally are rising.

During the reporting period, the Trust achieved a leveraged position through the use of inverse floating rate securities and Variable Rate Muni Term Preferred (VMTP) shares. Inverse floating rate securities are instruments that have an inverse relationship to a referenced interest rate. VMTPs are a variable rate form of preferred stock with a mandatory

redemption date. Inverse floating rate securities and VMTPs can be a more efficient means by which to manage duration, yield curve exposure and credit exposure and potentially can enhance yield. As of the close of the reporting period, leverage accounted for 36% of the Trust's total assets. During the reporting period, the use of leverage added to returns. For more information about the Trust's use of leverage, see the Notes to Financial Statements later in this report.

As stated earlier, the Trust trades at a market price that may be at a premium or discount to NAV. The market price of the Trust traded at a premium for the first half of the reporting period and fluctuated from trading at a slight discount to a slight premium starting September of 2012 until the end of the reporting period.

Thank you for investing in Invesco California Value Municipal Income Trust and for sharing our long-term investment horizon.

1 Source: Barclays

2 Source: Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association

3 Source: Morningstar

4 US Bureau of Economic Analysis

5 Standard & Poor's. A credit rating is an assessment provided by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization (NRSRO) of the creditworthiness of an issuer with respect to debt obligations, including specific securities, money market instruments or other debts. Ratings are measured on a scale that generally ranges from AAA (highest) to D (lowest); ratings are subject to change without notice. Non-Rated indicates the debtor was not rated, and should not be interpreted as indicating low quality. For more information on Standard & Poor's rating methodology, please visit standardandpoors.com and select "Understanding Ratings" under Rating Resources on the homepage.

The views and opinions expressed in management's discussion of Trust performance are those of Invesco Advisers, Inc. These views and opinions are subject to change at any time based on factors such as market and economic conditions. These views and opinions may not be relied upon as investment advice or recommendations, or as an offer for a particular security. The information is not a complete analysis of every aspect of any market, country, industry, security or the Trust. Statements of fact are from sources considered reliable, but Invesco Advisers, Inc. makes no representation or warranty as to their completeness or accuracy. Although historical performance is no guarantee of future results, these insights may help you understand our investment management philosophy.

See important Trust and, if applicable, index disclosures later in this report.

Thomas Byron

Edgar Filing: Invesco California Value Municipal Income Trust - Form N-CSR

Portfolio manager, is manager of Invesco California Value Municipal Income

Trust. He joined

Invesco in 2010. Mr. Byron was associated with the Trust's previous investment adviser or its investment advisory affiliates in an investment management capacity from 1981 to 2010 and began managing the Trust in 2009. He earned a BS in finance from Marquette University and an MBA in finance from DePaul University.

Robert Stryker

Chartered Financial Analyst, portfolio manager, is manager of Invesco California Value Municipal

Income Trust. He joined Invesco in 2010. Mr. Stryker was associated with the Trust's previous investment adviser or its investment advisory affiliates in an investment management capacity from 1994 to 2010 and began managing the Trust in 2009. He earned a BS in finance from the University of Illinois at Chicago.

Julius Williams

Portfolio manager, is manager of Invesco California Value Municipal Income Trust. He joined

Invesco in 2010. Mr. Williams was associated with the Trust's previous investment adviser or its investment advisory affiliates in an investment management capacity from 2000 to 2010 and began managing the Trust in 2011. He earned a BA in economics and sociology and a Master of Education degree in educational psychology from the University of Virginia.

Robert Wimmel

Portfolio manager, is manager of Invesco California Value Municipal Income Trust. He joined

Invesco in 2010. Mr. Wimmel was associated with the Trust's previous investment adviser or its investment advisory affiliates in an investment management capacity from 1996 to 2010 and began managing the Trust in 2001. He earned a BA in anthropology from the University of Cincinnati and an MA in economics from the University of Illinois at Chicago.

Supplemental Information

Invesco California Value Municipal Income Trust's investment objective is to seek to provide common shareholders with a high level of current income exempt from federal and California income taxes, consistent with preservation of capital.

- n Unless otherwise stated, information presented in this report is as of February 28, 2013, and is based on total net assets applicable to common shares.
- n Unless otherwise noted, all data provided by Invesco.
- n To access your Trust's reports, visit invesco.com/fundreports.

About indexes used in this report

- n The **Barclays California Municipal Index** is an unmanaged index considered representative of California investment-grade municipal bonds.
- n The **Barclays Municipal Bond Index** is an unmanaged index considered representative of the tax-exempt bond market.
- n The **Barclays U.S. Aggregate Index** is an unmanaged index considered representative of the US investment-grade, fixed-rate bond market.
- n The **Barclays Asset-Backed Securities Index** tracks the performance of debt securities backed by assets including credit card, home equity and auto loans that are rated investment grade or higher.
- n The **Barclays U.S. Mortgage-Backed Securities Index** represents mortgage-backed pass-through securities of Ginnie Mae, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac.
- n The **Barclays U.S. Agency Index** measures the performance of the agency sector of the US government bond market and is composed of investment-grade US dollar-denominated debentures issued by government and government-related agencies, including FNMA and FHLMC.
- n The Trust is not managed to track the performance of any particular index, including the index(es) described here, and consequently, the performance of the Trust may deviate significantly from the performance of the index(es).
- n A direct investment cannot be made in an index. Unless otherwise indicated, index results include reinvested dividends, and they do not reflect sales charges. Performance of the peer group, if applicable, reflects trust expenses; performance of a market index does not.

Other information

- n The returns shown in management's discussion of Trust performance are based on net asset values calculated for shareholder transactions. Generally accepted accounting principles require adjustments to be made to the net assets of the Trust at period end for financial reporting purposes, and as such, the net asset values for shareholder transactions and the returns based on those net asset values may differ from the net asset values and returns reported in the Financial Highlights.

NOT FDIC INSURED | MAY LOSE VALUE | NO BANK GUARANTEE

NYSE Symbol

VCV

Dividend Reinvestment Plan

The dividend reinvestment plan (the Plan) offers you a prompt and simple way to reinvest your dividends and capital gains distributions (Distributions) into additional shares of your Trust. Under the Plan, the money you earn from Distributions will be reinvested automatically in more shares of your Trust, allowing you to potentially increase your investment over time.

Plan benefits

n Add to your account:

You may increase the amount of shares in your Trust easily and automatically with the Plan.

n Low transaction costs:

Shareholders who participate in the Plan are able to buy shares at below-market prices when the Trust is trading at a premium to its net asset value (NAV). In addition, transaction costs are low because when new shares are issued by a Trust, there is no fee, and when shares are bought in blocks on the open market, the per share fee is shared among all Participants.

n Convenience:

You will receive a detailed account statement from Computershare Trust Company, N.A. (the Agent) which administers the Plan. The statement shows your total Distributions, date of investment, shares acquired, and price per share, as well as the total number of shares in your reinvestment account. You can also access your account via the Internet. To do this, please go to invesco.com/us.

n Safekeeping:

The Agent will hold the shares it has acquired for you in safekeeping.

How to participate in the Plan

If you own shares in your own name, you can participate directly in the Plan. If your shares are held in street name in the name of your brokerage firm, bank, or other financial institution you must instruct that entity to participate on your behalf. If they are unable to participate on your behalf, you may request that they reregister your shares in your own name so that you may enroll in the Plan.

How to enroll

To enroll in the Plan, please read the Terms and Conditions in the Plan Brochure. You can enroll in the Plan by visiting invesco.com/us, calling toll-free 800 341 2929 or notifying us in writing at Invesco Closed-End Funds, Computershare Trust Company, N.A., P.O. Box 43078, Providence, RI 02940-3078. Please include your Trust name and account number and ensure that all shareholders listed on the account sign these written instructions. Your participation in the Plan will begin with the next Distribution payable after the Agent receives your authorization, as long as they receive it before the record date, which is generally 10 business days before such Distributions are paid. If your authorization arrives after such record date, your participation in the Plan will begin with the following Distributions.

Edgar Filing: Invesco California Value Municipal Income Trust - Form N-CSR

How the Plan works

If you choose to participate in the Plan, your Distributions will be promptly reinvested for you, automatically increasing your reinvestment shares. If the Trust is trading at a share price that is equal to its NAV, you'll pay that amount for your reinvested shares. However, if the Trust is trading above or below NAV, the price is determined by one of two ways:

1. Premium: If the Trust is trading at a premium (a market price that is higher than its NAV) you'll pay either the NAV or 95 percent of the market price, whichever is greater. When the Trust trades at a premium, you'll pay less for your reinvested shares than an investor purchasing shares on the stock exchange. Keep in mind, a portion of your price reduction may be taxable because you are receiving shares at less than market price.
2. Discount: If the Trust is trading at a discount (a market price that is lower than its NAV) you'll pay the market price for your reinvested shares.

Costs of the Plan

There is no direct charge to you for reinvesting Distributions because the Plan's fees are paid by your Trust. If your Trust is trading at or above its NAV, your new shares are issued directly by the Trust and there are no brokerage charges or fees. However, if your Trust is trading at a discount, the shares are purchased on the open market, and you will pay your portion of per share fees. These per share fees are typically less than the standard brokerage charges for individual transactions because shares are purchased for all Participants in blocks, resulting in lower fees for each individual Participant. Any service or per share fees are added to the purchase price. Per share fees include any applicable brokerage commissions the Agent is required to pay.

Tax implications

The automatic reinvestment of Distributions does not relieve you of any income tax that may be due on Distributions. You will receive tax information annually to help you prepare your federal income tax return.

Invesco does not offer tax advice. The tax information contained herein is general and is not exhaustive by nature. It was not intended or written to be used, and it cannot be used, by any taxpayer for avoiding penalties that may be imposed on the taxpayer under U.S. federal tax laws. Federal and state tax laws are complex and constantly changing. Shareholders should always consult a legal or tax adviser for information concerning their individual situation.

How to withdraw from the Plan

You may withdraw from the Plan at any time by calling 800 341 2929, visiting invesco.com/us or by writing to Invesco Closed-End Funds, Computershare Trust Company, N.A., P.O. Box 43078, Providence, RI 02940-3078. Simply indicate that you would like to withdraw from the Plan, and be sure to include your Trust name and account number. Also, ensure that all shareholders listed on the account have signed these written instructions. If you withdraw, you have three options with regard to the shares held in the Plan:

1. If you opt to continue to hold your non-certificated whole shares (Investment Plan Book Shares), they will be held by the Agent electronically as Direct Registration Book-Shares (Book-Entry Shares) and fractional shares will be sold at the then-current market price. Proceeds will be sent via check to your address of record after deducting applicable fees.
2. If you opt to sell your shares through the Agent, we will sell all full and fractional shares and send the proceeds via check to your address of record after deducting a \$2.50 service fee and applicable per share fees. Per share fees include any applicable brokerage commissions the Agent is required to pay.
3. You may sell your shares through your financial adviser through the Direct Registration System (DRS). DRS is a service within the securities industry that allows Trust shares to be held in your name in electronic format. You retain full ownership of your shares, without having to hold a share certificate. You should contact your financial adviser to learn more about any restrictions or fees that may apply.

Note that the Plan may be amended or supplemented by the Trust at any time upon 30 days' written notice to Plan participants.

Edgar Filing: Invesco California Value Municipal Income Trust - Form N-CSR

To obtain a complete copy of the current Dividend Reinvestment Plan, please call our Client Services department at 800 341 2929 or visit invesco.com/us.

5 Invesco California Value Municipal Income Trust

Schedule of Investments

February 28, 2013

	Interest Rate	Maturity Date	Principal Amount (000)	Value
Municipal Obligations 155.34%				
California 146.69%				
ABAG Finance Authority for Non-profit Corps. (Episcopal Senior Communities); Series 2012, Ref. RB	5.00%	07/01/42	\$ 2,000	\$ 2,156,940
Adelanto (City of) Public Utility Authority (Utility System); Series 2009 A, Ref. RB	6.75%	07/01/39	3,000	3,309,450
Alameda (County of) Joint Powers Authority (Juvenile Justice Refunding); Series 2008 A, Lease RB (INS-AGM) ^(a)	5.00%	12/01/24	235	273,159
Series 2008 A, Lease RB (INS-AGM) ^(a)	5.00%	12/01/25	750	869,168
Alhambra (City of) (Atherton Baptist Homes); Series 2010 A, RB	7.50%	01/01/30	1,725	1,915,181
Series 2010 A, RB	7.63%	01/01/40	750	830,100
Alhambra Unified School District (Election of 2004); Series 2009 B, Unlimited Tax CAB GO Bonds (INS-AGC) ^{(a)(b)}	0.00%	08/01/35	1,120	387,285
Series 2009 B, Unlimited Tax CAB GO Bonds (INS-AGC) ^{(a)(b)}	0.00%	08/01/36	1,805	561,824
Anaheim (City of) Public Financing Authority (Electric System Distribution Facilities); Series 2007 A, RB (INS-NATL) ^{(a)(c)}	4.50%	10/01/37	4,000	4,192,360
Anaheim (City of) Redevelopment Agency (Anaheim Merged Redevelopment Area); Series 2007 A, Ref. Tax Allocation RB (INS-AGM) ^{(a)(c)}	5.00%	02/01/31	4,250	4,444,905
Anaheim City School District (Election of 2002); Series 2007, Unlimited Tax CAB GO Bonds (INS-NATL) ^{(a)(b)}	0.00%	08/01/24	4,970	3,145,960
Arcadia Unified School District (Election of 2006); Series 2007 A, Unlimited Tax GO Bonds (INS-AGM) ^(a)	5.00%	08/01/37	1,000	1,073,700
Bakersfield (City of); Series 2007 A, Wastewater RB (INS-AGM) ^(a)	5.00%	09/15/32	2,500	2,810,625
Bay Area Toll Authority (San Francisco Bay Area); Series 2007 F, Toll Bridge RB ^{(c)(d)(e)}	5.00%	04/01/17	6,000	7,078,260
Series 2009 F-1, Toll Bridge RB ^(c)	5.25%	04/01/26	4,315	5,236,209
Series 2009 F-1, Toll Bridge RB ^(c)	5.25%	04/01/29	4,795	5,791,449
Series 2009 F-1, Toll Bridge RB ^(c)	5.13%	04/01/39	4,500	5,122,620
Beverly Hills Unified School District (Election of 2008); Series 2009, Unlimited Tax CAB GO Bonds ^(b)	0.00%	08/01/26	1,245	825,423
Series 2009, Unlimited Tax CAB GO Bonds ^(b)	0.00%	08/01/28	3,000	1,790,250
Series 2009, Unlimited Tax CAB GO Bonds ^(b)	0.00%	08/01/31	2,010	1,031,251
Series 2009, Unlimited Tax CAB GO Bonds ^(b)	0.00%	08/01/32	430	208,752

Edgar Filing: Invesco California Value Municipal Income Trust - Form N-CSR

Brea (City of) Redevelopment Agency (Redevelopment Project AB); Series 2001 A, Ref. Tax Allocation RB (INS-AMBAC) ^(a)	5.50%	08/01/20	3,535	3,540,161
Brea Olinda Unified School District; Series 2002 A, Ref. COP (INS-AGM) ^(a)	5.50%	08/01/20	1,510	1,516,720
California (State of) Department of Veterans Affairs; Series 2007 A, Home Purchase RB ^{(c)(f)}	4.95%	12/01/37	9,000	9,264,960
California (State of) Department of Water Resources (Central Valley); Series 2003 Y, Water System RB (INS-NATL) ^(a)	5.00%	12/01/25	2,000	2,023,840
Series 2008 AE, Water System RB	5.00%	12/01/29	2,000	2,359,720
California (State of) Department of Water Resources; Subseries 2005 F-5, Power Supply RB	5.00%	05/01/22	2,000	2,352,380
California (State of) Educational Facilities Authority (California College of Arts); Series 2012, RB	5.25%	06/01/30	1,125	1,229,198
California (State of) Educational Facilities Authority (Chapman University); Series 2011, RB	5.00%	04/01/31	1,750	1,984,290
California (State of) Educational Facilities Authority (Claremont McKenna College); Series 2007, RB ^(c)	5.00%	01/01/38	7,480	8,154,472
California (State of) Educational Facilities Authority (OTIS College of Art and Design Goldsmith Campus); Series 1999 B, RB	5.25%	04/01/24	580	580,539
California (State of) Educational Facilities Authority (Pitzer College); Series 2009, RB	5.38%	04/01/34	2,000	2,255,720
Series 2009, RB	6.00%	04/01/40	1,000	1,191,390
California (State of) Educational Facilities Authority (University of Southern California); Series 2009 B, RB ^(c)	5.25%	10/01/39	10,200	11,971,842
California (State of) Health Facilities Financing Authority (Adventist Health System West); Series 2009 A, RB	5.75%	09/01/39	2,500	2,927,100

See accompanying Notes to Financial Statements which are an integral part of the financial statements.

	Interest Rate	Maturity Date	Principal Amount (000)	Value
California (continued)				
California (State of) Health Facilities Financing Authority (Catholic Healthcare West); Series 2004 G, RB	5.25%	07/01/23	\$ 2,555	\$ 2,691,922
California (State of) Health Facilities Financing Authority (Catholic Healthcare West); Series 2009 A, RB	6.00%	07/01/39	2,500	2,973,775
California (State of) Health Facilities Financing Authority (Cedars-Sinai Medical Center); Series 2009, RB	5.00%	08/15/39	4,000	4,413,680
California (State of) Health Facilities Financing Authority (Children's Hospital Los Angeles); Series 2010, RB (INS-AGM) ^(a)	5.25%	07/01/38	5,050	5,452,889
California (State of) Health Facilities Financing Authority (Chinese Hospital Association); Series 2012, RB (INS-Cal-Mortgage) ^(a)	5.00%	06/01/37	2,000	2,216,200
California (State of) Health Facilities Financing Authority (City of Hope); Series 2012 A, RB	5.00%	11/15/35	3,000	3,457,140
California (State of) Health Facilities Financing Authority (Kaiser Permanente); Series 2006 A, RB	5.25%	04/01/39	1,000	1,101,380
California (State of) Health Facilities Financing Authority (Lucile Packard Children's Hospital); Series 2012, RB ^(c)	5.00%	08/15/51	12,000	13,423,920
California (State of) Health Facilities Financing Authority (Providence Health & Services); Series 2008 C, RB	6.50%	10/01/33	3,000	3,642,570
California (State of) Health Facilities Financing Authority (Scripps Health); Series 2010 A, RB ^(c)	5.00%	11/15/36	6,250	6,859,250
California (State of) Health Facilities Financing Authority (Stanford Hospital); Series 2008 A-2, Ref. RB	5.25%	11/15/40	4,000	4,594,480
California (State of) Health Facilities Financing Authority (Sutter Health); Series 2011 B, RB	5.50%	08/15/26	3,500	4,197,410
California (State of) Health Facilities Financing Authority (Sutter Health); Series 2011 D, Ref. RB ^(c)	5.25%	08/15/31	10,000	11,838,900
California (State of) Housing Finance Agency; Series 1997 A, MFH RB (INS-NATL) ^{(a)(f)}	5.85%	08/01/17	2,005	2,037,020
California (State of) Municipal Finance Authority (American Heritage Education Foundation); Series 2006 A, Education RB	5.25%	06/01/26	500	487,380
California (State of) Municipal Finance Authority (Community Hospitals of Central California Obligated Group); Series 2007, COP	5.00%	02/01/19	1,215	1,343,875
California (State of) Municipal Finance Authority (Community Hospitals of Central California Obligated Group); Series 2007, COP	5.25%	02/01/37	6,500	6,848,985
California (State of) Municipal Finance Authority (Eisenhower Medical Center); Series 2010 A, RB	5.75%	07/01/40	3,850	4,263,143
California (State of) Municipal Finance Authority (High Tech High Chula Vista); Series 2008 B, Educational Facility RB ^(g)	6.00%	07/01/28	1,000	1,038,700
	5.00%	07/01/37	5,000	5,223,600

Edgar Filing: Invesco California Value Municipal Income Trust - Form N-CSR

California (State of) Pollution Control Finance Authority; Series 2012, Water Furnishing RB ^(f)				
California (State of) Pollution Control Financing Authority (San Diego Gas & Electric); Series 1996 A, Ref. PCR (INS-NATL) ^(a)	5.90%	06/01/14	1,000	1,070,460
California (State of) Pollution Control Financing Authority (San Jose Water Co.); Series 2010 A, RB	5.10%	06/01/40	5,000	5,440,050
California (State of) Pollution Control Financing Authority (Waste Management Inc.); Series 2005 C, Solid Waste Disposal RB ^{(f)(h)}	5.13%	11/01/23	2,000	2,141,820
California (State of) Public Works Board (Department of Mental Health Coalinga State Hospital); Series 2004 A, Lease RB	5.00%	06/01/24	2,000	2,091,560
California (State of) Rural Home Mortgage Finance Authority (Mortgage-Backed Securities Program); Series 1998 A, Single Family Mortgage RB (CEP-GNMA) ^(f)	6.35%	12/01/29	5	5,111
California (State of) Statewide Communities Development Authority (Adventist Health System/West); Series 2005 A, Health Facility RB	5.00%	03/01/19	1,825	1,955,196
Series 2005 A, Health Facility RB	5.00%	03/01/35	2,020	2,146,573
California (State of) Statewide Communities Development Authority (Alliance for College-Ready Public Schools); Series 2012 A, School Facility RB	6.38%	07/01/47	2,060	2,225,583
California (State of) Statewide Communities Development Authority (American Baptist Homes of the West); Series 2010, RB	6.25%	10/01/39	4,000	4,557,800
California (State of) Statewide Communities Development Authority (California Baptist University); Series 2007 A, RB	5.50%	11/01/38	1,250	1,341,600
California (State of) Statewide Communities Development Authority (Collegiate Housing Foundation Irvine, LLC University of California-Irvine East Campus Apartments, Phase II); Series 2008, Student Housing RB	5.75%	05/15/32	2,500	2,721,050
California (State of) Statewide Communities Development Authority (Cottage Health System Obligated Group); Series 2010, RB	5.25%	11/01/30	4,325	5,018,081
California (State of) Statewide Communities Development Authority (Enloe Medical Center); Series 2008, RB (INS-Cal-Mortgage) ^(a)	6.25%	08/15/28	2,750	3,308,470

See accompanying Notes to Financial Statements which are an integral part of the financial statements.

	Interest Rate	Maturity Date	Principal Amount (000)	Value
California (continued)				
California (State of) Statewide Communities Development Authority (Front Porch Communities & Services); Series 2007 A, RB ^(g)	5.13%	04/01/37	\$ 1,500	\$ 1,572,585
California (State of) Statewide Communities Development Authority (John Muir Health); Series 2006 A, RB	5.00%	08/15/28	3,000	3,325,650
California (State of) Statewide Communities Development Authority (Kaiser Permanente); Series 2012 A, RB	5.00%	04/01/42	5,000	5,648,150
California (State of) Statewide Communities Development Authority (Methodist Hospital); Series 2009, RB (CEP-FHA)	6.75%	02/01/38	1,785	2,169,400
California (State of) Statewide Communities Development Authority (Southern California Presbyterian Homes); Series 2009, Senior Living RB	7.25%	11/15/41	2,000	2,301,100
California (State of) Statewide Communities Development Authority (St. Joseph Health System); Series 2000, RB (INS-NATL) ^(a)	5.13%	07/01/24	1,950	2,263,014
California (State of) Statewide Communities Development Authority (Trinity Health Credit Group); Series 2011, Ref. RB ^(c)	5.00%	12/01/41	10,090	11,364,569
California (State of) Statewide Communities Development Authority; Series 2011, School Facilities RB	6.75%	07/01/31	1,425	1,622,975
California (State of); Series 2002, Unlimited Tax GO Bonds	6.00%	04/01/19	2,500	3,195,425
Series 2009, Various Purpose Unlimited Tax GO Bonds	5.75%	04/01/31	1,150	1,376,102
Series 2009, Various Purpose Unlimited Tax GO Bonds	6.00%	11/01/35	2,750	3,394,380
Series 2009, Various Purpose Unlimited Tax GO Bonds	6.00%	04/01/38	2,215	2,677,603
Series 2009 A, Ref. Economic Recovery Unlimited Tax GO Bonds	5.25%	07/01/21	3,000	3,687,240
Series 2010, Unlimited Tax GO Bonds	5.25%	11/01/40	3,500	4,113,480
Series 2010, Various Purpose Unlimited Tax GO Bonds	5.50%	03/01/40	5,955	7,052,804
Series 2011, Various Purpose Unlimited Tax GO Bonds	5.00%	09/01/32	3,050	3,528,606
Series 2011, Various Purpose Unlimited Tax GO Bonds	5.00%	10/01/41	3,500	3,932,110
Series 2012, Ref. Unlimited Tax GO Bonds	5.25%	02/01/30	2,210	2,641,679
Series 2012, Ref. Unlimited Tax GO Bonds	5.00%	02/01/38	5,000	5,658,550
Series 2012, Various Purpose Unlimited Tax GO Bonds	5.00%	09/01/36	7,500	8,642,400
Series 2012, Various Purpose Unlimited Tax GO Bonds	5.00%	04/01/42	5,355	6,044,670
California Infrastructure & Economic Development Bank (The Scripps Research Institute); Series 2005 A, RB	5.00%	07/01/29	2,000	2,178,100
California Infrastructure & Economic Development Bank; Series 2003 A, First Lien Bay Area Toll Bridges Seismic Retrofit RB ^{(d)(e)}	5.00%	01/01/28	1,500	2,012,355
California State University; Series 2005 A, Systemwide RB (INS-AMBAC) ^(a)	5.00%	11/01/35	2,000	2,154,800

Edgar Filing: Invesco California Value Municipal Income Trust - Form N-CSR

Series 2009 A, Systemwide RB	5.25%	11/01/38	5,000	5,640,150
Camarillo (City of) Public Finance Authority; Series 2005, Wastewater RB (INS-AMBAC) ^(a)	5.00%	06/01/36	1,000	1,098,370
Campbell Union High School District; Series 2008, Unlimited Tax GO Bonds (INS-AGC) ^(a)	5.00%	08/01/35	1,090	1,195,185
Series 2008, Unlimited Tax GO Bonds (INS-AGC) ^(a)	5.00%	08/01/38	1,620	1,765,735
Capistrano Unified School District (Community Facilities District); Series 2005, Ref. Special Tax RB (INS-NATL) ^(a)	5.00%	09/01/29	1,000	1,026,040
Carson (City of) Redevelopment Agency; Series 2003 A, Ref. Tax Allocation RB (INS-NATL) ^(a)	5.00%	10/01/23	2,000	2,063,380
Chino Basin Regional Financing Authority (Inland Empire Utilities Agency); Series 2008 A, RB (INS-AMBAC) ^(a)	5.00%	11/01/33	1,250	1,396,038
Chula Vista (City of) (San Diego Gas & Electric Co.); Series 2004, Ref. IDR ^(f)	4.00%	05/01/39	6,200	6,299,076
Chula Vista (City of) (San Diego Gas); Series 1996 A, IDR	5.30%	07/01/21	2,000	2,149,520
Clovis Unified School District (Election of 2004); Series 2004 A, Unlimited Tax CAB GO Bonds (INS-NATL) ^{(a)(b)}	0.00%	08/01/29	625	335,975
Colton (City of) Redevelopment Agency (Mount Vernon Corridor); Series 1999, Tax Allocation RB	6.30%	09/01/36	2,000	2,001,280
Compton (City of); Series 2009, Water RB	6.00%	08/01/39	1,750	1,776,880
Culver City (City of) Redevelopment Agency; Series 2005 A, Ref. Tax Allocation RB (INS-AMBAC) ^(a)	5.00%	11/01/25	2,000	2,111,860
Daly City (City of) Housing Development Finance Agency (Franciscan Mobile Home Park Acquisition); Series 2007 C, Ref. Third Tier Mobile Home Park RB	6.50%	12/15/47	1,935	1,989,180

See accompanying Notes to Financial Statements which are an integral part of the financial statements.

8 Invesco California Value Municipal Income Trust

	Interest Rate	Maturity Date	Principal Amount (000)	Value
California (continued)				
Desert Community College District (Election of 2004); Series 2007 C, Unlimited Tax GO Bonds (INS-AGM) ^(a)	5.00%	08/01/37	\$ 2,045	\$ 2,223,426
Dry Creek Joint Elementary School District (Election of 2008 Measure E); Series 2009, Unlimited Tax CAB GO Bonds ^(b)	0.00%	08/01/39	4,420	1,212,583
Series 2009, Unlimited Tax CAB GO Bonds ^(b)	0.00%	08/01/48	2,860	478,049
East Bay Municipal Utility District; Series 2005 A, Sub. Water System RB (INS-NATL) ^(a)	5.00%	06/01/35	3,205	3,481,335
Series 2010 A, Ref. Sub. Water System RB ^(c)	5.00%	06/01/36	2,745	3,210,744
Eastern Municipal Water District; Series 2006 A, Water & Sewer Revenue COP (INS-NATL) ^(a)	5.00%	07/01/32	1,000	1,108,290
Eden (Township of) Healthcare District; Series 2010, COP	6.00%	06/01/30	1,500	1,671,780
Series 2010, COP	6.13%	06/01/34	500	557,160
El Cerrito (City of) Redevelopment Agency; Series 1998 B, Ref. Tax Allocation RB (INS-NATL) ^{(a)(f)}	5.25%	07/01/15	610	630,075
El Segundo Unified School District (Election of 2008); Series 2009 A, Unlimited Tax CAB GO Bonds ^(b)	0.00%	08/01/31	2,735	1,190,628
Series 2009 A, Unlimited Tax CAB GO Bonds ^(b)	0.00%	08/01/33	615	238,356
Emeryville (City of) Public Financing Authority (Shellmound Park Redevelopment & Housing); Series 1998 B, RB (INS-NATL) ^(a)	5.00%	09/01/19	1,000	1,001,760
Florin Resource Conservation District (Elk Grove Water Service); Series 2003 A, Capital Improvement COP (INS-NATL) ^(a)	5.00%	09/01/33	4,580	4,626,395
Folsom (City of) Public Financing Authority; Series 2007 A, Special Tax RB (INS-AMBAC) ^(a)	5.00%	09/01/28	3,000	3,107,610
Fontana Unified School District (Election of 2006); Series 2008 B, Unlimited Tax CAB GO Bonds (INS-AGM) ^{(a)(b)}	0.00%	08/01/29	2,560	1,247,488
Foothill-De Anza Community College District; Series 2011 C, Unlimited Tax GO Bonds ^(c)	5.00%	08/01/40	13,500	15,512,850
Foothill-Eastern Transportation Corridor Agency; Series 1995 A, Sr. Lien Toll Road CAB RB ^{(b)(i)}	0.00%	01/01/27	2,950	2,047,713
Garden Grove (City of) Agency for Community Development; Series 2008, Sub. RN (Acquired 05/27/08; Cost \$1,533,048) ^(g)	6.00%	10/01/27	1,760	1,672,950
Gilroy Unified School District (Election of 2008); Series 2009 A, Unlimited Tax CAB GO Bonds (INS-AGC) ^{(a)(b)}	0.00%	08/01/29	750	365,475
Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp.; Series 2005 A, Enhanced Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed RB (INS-FGIC) ^(a)	5.00%	06/01/35	965	1,013,597
Grossmont Union High School District (Election of 2004); Series 2006, Unlimited Tax CAB GO Bonds (INS-NATL) ^{(a)(b)}	0.00%	08/01/24	3,000	1,977,150
Huntington Beach Union High School District (Election of 2004);				

Edgar Filing: Invesco California Value Municipal Income Trust - Form N-CSR

Series 2004, Unlimited Tax GO Bonds ^{(d)(e)}	5.00%	08/01/14	1,280	1,354,624
Series 2004, Unlimited Tax GO Bonds ^{(d)(e)}	5.00%	08/01/14	1,250	1,323,238
Independent Cities Lease Finance Authority (San Juan Mobile Estates); Series 2006 A, Mobile Home Park RB	5.00%	05/15/31	1,000	1,026,480
Independent Cities Lease Finance Authority (Westlake Mobilehome Park); Series 2007 A, Ref. Mobile Home Park RB	5.00%	04/15/47	1,500	1,545,300
Irvine (City of) (Reassessment District No. 12-1); Series 2012, Limited Obligation Improvement Bonds	5.00%	09/02/24	1,145	1,340,291
Series 2012, Limited Obligation Improvement Bonds	5.00%	09/02/25	500	579,565
Kern (County of) Board of Education; Series 2006 A, Ref. COP (INS-NATL) ^(a)	5.00%	06/01/31	3,110	3,190,082
Kern (County of) Water Agency Improvement District No. 4; Series 2008 A, COP (INS-AGC) ^(a)	5.00%	05/01/28	1,015	1,125,716
La Quinta (City of) Financing Authority; Series 2004 A, Local Agency Tax Allocation RB (INS-AMBAC) ^(a)	5.25%	09/01/24	4,100	4,323,286
La Quinta (City of) Redevelopment Agency (Redevelopment Area No. 1); Series 2002, Tax Allocation RB (INS-AMBAC) ^(a)	5.00%	09/01/22	3,420	3,496,129
Series 2002, Tax Allocation RB (INS-AMBAC) ^(a)	5.13%	09/01/32	1,500	1,528,755
Lancaster (City of) Redevelopment Agency (Combined Redevelopment Areas); Series 2009, Tax Allocation RB	6.88%	08/01/39	1,000	1,151,290
Lathrop (City of) Mossdale Village Assessment District No. 03-1; Series 2005, Special Assessment Improvement RB	5.00%	09/02/25	1,000	1,002,640
Long Beach (City of) (Long Beach Towne Center); Series 2008, Special Tax RB	5.75%	10/01/25	2,000	2,165,400

See accompanying Notes to Financial Statements which are an integral part of the financial statements.

	Interest Rate	Maturity Date	Principal Amount (000)	Value
California (continued)				
Long Beach (City of);				
Series 2005 A, Ref. Harbor RB (INS-NATL) ^{(a)(f)}	5.00%	05/15/24	\$ 2,750	\$ 3,004,127
Series 2010 A, Sr. Airport RB	5.00%	06/01/40	1,590	1,730,461
Long Beach Unified School District; Series 2012, Ref. Unlimited Tax GO Bonds ^(c)				
	5.00%	08/01/31	11,625	13,651,586
Los Angeles (City of) (Sonnenblick Del Rio); Series 2000, Sr. COP (INS-AMBAC) ^(a)				
	6.00%	11/01/19	3,000	3,015,090
Los Angeles (City of) Community Redevelopment Agency (Grand Central Square Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority); Series 2007 B, Ref. MFH RB (INS-AMBAC) ^{(a)(f)}				
	4.75%	12/01/26	2,215	2,147,376
Los Angeles (City of) Department of Airports (Los Angeles International Airport);				
Series 2008 C, Sub. RB	5.13%	05/15/33	1,230	1,365,829
Series 2010 A, Sr. RB ^(c)	5.00%	05/15/35	6,000	6,837,660
Series 2010 D, Sr. RB ^(c)	5.25%	05/15/33	10,000	11,700,200
Los Angeles (City of) Department of Water & Power;				
Series 2009 A, Water System RB	5.38%	07/01/38	2,000	2,313,600
Series 2011 A, Power System RB ^(c)	5.00%	07/01/22	9,200	11,515,088
Series 2011 A, Water System RB	5.25%	07/01/39	2,000	2,335,860
Series 2012 A, Water System RB ^(c)	5.00%	07/01/43	5,250	6,060,390
Subseries 2003 A-2, Power System RB ^{(d)(e)}	5.00%	07/01/13	5,000	5,070,450
Subseries 2006 A-1, Water System RB (INS-AMBAC) ^(a)	5.00%	07/01/36	1,000	1,122,520
Subseries 2007 A-1, Power System RB (INS-AMBAC) ^(a)	5.00%	07/01/39	1,500	1,691,730
Subseries 2008 A-1, Power System RB ^(c)	5.25%	07/01/38	8,200	9,536,764
Los Angeles (City of);				
Series 2003 B, Ref. Wastewater System RB (INS-AGM) ^(a)	5.00%	06/01/22	3,825	3,872,736
Series 2004 A, Unlimited Tax GO Bonds ^{(d)(e)}	5.00%	09/01/14	1,030	1,103,686
Series 2012 B, Ref. Sub. Wastewater System RB ^(c)	5.00%	06/01/32	10,000	11,760,600
Los Angeles (County of) Metropolitan Transportation Authority; Series 2005 A, Proposition A First Tier Sr. Sales Tax RB (INS-AMBAC) ^(a)				
	5.00%	07/01/35	2,450	2,670,255
Los Angeles Community College District (Election of 2003);				
Series 2008 F-1, Unlimited Tax GO Bonds ^(c)	5.00%	08/01/33	8,000	9,192,880
Los Angeles County Schools Regionalized Business Services Corp. (Los Angeles County Schools Pooled Financing Program);				
Series 1999 A, CAB COP (INS-AMBAC) ^{(a)(b)}	0.00%	08/01/26	1,200	607,932
Series 2003 A, COP ^{(d)(e)}	5.00%	09/01/13	1,250	1,279,938
Los Angeles Unified School District (Election of 2002);				
Series 2009 D, Unlimited Tax GO Bonds	5.00%	01/01/34	2,000	2,256,560
Los Angeles Unified School District (Election of 2004);				
Series 2005 E, Unlimited Tax GO Bonds (INS-AMBAC) ^(a)	5.00%	07/01/30	620	671,379
Series 2006 F, Unlimited Tax GO Bonds (INS-FGIC) ^(a)	5.00%	07/01/30	2,000	2,221,400
Series 2009-I, Unlimited Tax GO Bonds (INS-AGC) ^(a)	5.00%	01/01/34	5,950	6,695,594

Edgar Filing: Invesco California Value Municipal Income Trust - Form N-CSR

Marin (County of) Water District Financing Authority; Series 2012 A, Sub. Lien RB	5.00%	07/01/44	4,495	5,157,383
Menifee Union School District (Election of 2008); Series 2009 C, Unlimited Tax CAB GO Bonds (INS-AGC) ^{(a)(b)}	0.00%	08/01/34	850	299,090
Milpitas (City of) Redevelopment Agency (Redevelopment Area No. 1); Series 2003, Tax Allocation RB (INS-NATL) ^(a)	5.00%	09/01/22	5,000	5,101,250
Modesto (City of) (Community Center Refinancing); Series 1993 A, COP (INS-AMBAC) ^(a)	5.00%	11/01/23	5,000	5,270,500
Montebello Unified School District (Election of 2004); Series 2009 A-1, Unlimited Tax GO Bonds (INS-AGC) ^(a)	5.25%	08/01/34	1,000	1,114,180
Moorpark Unified School District (Election of 2008); Series 2009 A, Unlimited Tax CAB GO Bonds (INS-AGC) ^{(a)(b)}	0.00%	08/01/31	2,000	833,840
Moreland School District (Crossover); Series 2006 C, Ref. Unlimited Tax CAB GO Bonds (INS-AMBAC) ^{(a)(b)}	0.00%	08/01/29	1,250	615,025
Morongo Band of Mission Indians (The) (Enterprise Casino); Series 2008 B, RB ^(g)	5.50%	03/01/18	1,650	1,752,861
Series 2008 B, RB ^(g)	6.50%	03/01/28	2,175	2,387,519
Murrieta (City of) Public Financing Authority; Series 2012, Ref. Special Tax RB	5.00%	09/01/25	975	1,096,446
Series 2012, Ref. Special Tax RB	5.00%	09/01/26	1,000	1,114,610
Series 2012, Ref. Special Tax RB	5.00%	09/01/31	3,470	3,780,877

See accompanying Notes to Financial Statements which are an integral part of the financial statements.

	Interest Rate	Maturity Date	Principal Amount (000)	Value
California (continued)				
Murrieta Valley Unified School District Public Financing Authority (Election of 2006); Series 2008, Unlimited Tax CAB GO Bonds (INS-AGM) ^{(a)(b)}	0.00%	09/01/31	\$ 6,670	\$ 2,820,409
Series 2008, Unlimited Tax CAB GO Bonds (INS-AGM) ^{(a)(b)}	0.00%	09/01/33	820	309,058
National City (City of) Community Development Commission (National City Redevelopment); Series 2004 A, Tax Allocation RB (INS-AMBAC) ^(a)	5.50%	08/01/32	1,730	1,782,869
Series 2011, Tax Allocation RB	7.00%	08/01/32	750	954,218
Needles (City of) Public Utility Authority (Utility System Acquisition); Series 1997 A, RB	6.50%	02/01/22	1,850	1,850,962
Northern California Power Agency (Hydroelectric No. 1); Series 2012, Ref. RB	5.00%	07/01/32	1,700	1,971,388
Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District; Series 2005 B, Unlimited Tax CAB GO Bonds (INS-AGM) ^{(a)(b)}	0.00%	08/01/29	6,000	2,923,800
Oak Grove School District (Election of 2008); Series 2009 A, Unlimited Tax CAB GO Bonds ^(b)	0.00%	08/01/28	670	363,442
Oakland (City of); Series 2004 A, Sewer RB (INS-AGM) ^(a)	5.00%	06/15/25	2,355	2,481,675
Oakland (Port of); Series 2012 P, Ref. Sr. Lien RB ^(f)	5.00%	05/01/26	5,000	5,778,450
Series 2012 P, Ref. Sr. Lien RB ^(f)	5.00%	05/01/31	5,000	5,602,750
Orange (County of) Sanitation District; Series 2007 B, COP (INS-AGM) ^(a)	5.00%	02/01/31	1,000	1,124,890
Orange (County of) Water District; Series 2003 B, COP (INS-NATL) ^(a)	5.00%	08/15/34	1,000	1,018,560
Orange (County); Series 2009 A, Airport RB	5.00%	07/01/31	1,000	1,126,060
Oxnard (City of) Finance Authority (Redwood Trunk Sewer & Headworks); Series 2004 A, Wastewater RB (INS-NATL) ^(a)	5.00%	06/01/29	1,000	1,024,030
Oxnard Union High School District; Series 2001 A, Ref. Unlimited Tax GO Bonds (INS-NATL) ^(a)	6.20%	08/01/30	1,000	1,130,310
Palm Springs (City of) (Palm Springs International Airport); Series 2006, Ref. Sub. Airport Passenger Facility Charge RB ^(f)	5.45%	07/01/20	250	252,485
Series 2006, Ref. Sub. Airport Passenger Facility Charge RB ^(f)	5.55%	07/01/28	475	476,986
Series 2008, Ref. Sub. Airport Passenger Facility Charge RB ^(f)	6.00%	07/01/18	250	259,455
Series 2008, Ref. Sub. Airport Passenger Facility Charge RB ^(f)	6.40%	07/01/23	250	256,608
Series 2008, Ref. Sub. Airport Passenger Facility Charge RB ^(f)	6.50%	07/01/27	530	541,554
Palomar Pomerado Health; Series 2009, COP	6.75%	11/01/39	3,000	3,395,370
	6.63%	09/01/38	1,925	2,022,617

Edgar Filing: Invesco California Value Municipal Income Trust - Form N-CSR

Perris (City of) Public Financing Authority (Perris Valley Vistas IA 3); Series 2008 B, Local Agency Special Tax RB				
Perris (City of) Public Financing Authority;				
Series 2002 A, Tax Allocation RB (INS-NATL) ^(a)	5.00%	10/01/31	1,000	1,019,180
Series 2006, Tax Allocation RB	5.35%	10/01/36	4,350	4,366,747
Pico Rivera (City of) Water Authority (Water System);				
Series 1999 A, RB (INS-NATL) ^(a)	5.50%	05/01/19	1,000	1,076,990
Planada Elementary School District (Election of 2008);				
Series 2009 B, Unlimited Tax CAB GO Bonds (INS-AGC) ^{(a)(b)}	0.00%	07/01/49	8,440	1,238,064
Poway (City of) Redevelopment Agency (Paguay Redevelopment); Series 2003 A, Tax Allocation RB (INS-NATL) ^(a)	5.25%	06/15/23	7,390	7,476,020
Rancho Cordova (City of) Community Facilities District No. 2003-1 (Sunridge Anatolia);				
Series 2012, Ref. Special Tax RB	5.00%	09/01/32	1,425	1,476,272
Series 2012, Ref. Special Tax RB	5.00%	09/01/37	1,500	1,522,680
Rancho Cucamonga (City of) Redevelopment Agency (Rancho Redevelopment); Series 1999, Ref. Tax Allocation RB (INS-AGM) ^(a)	5.25%	09/01/20	1,000	1,003,000
Rancho Mirage (City of) Redevelopment Agency (Redevelopment Plan 1984);				
Series 2001 A-1, Tax Allocation RB (INS-NATL) ^(a)	5.00%	04/01/26	1,220	1,221,781
Series 2001 A-E, Tax Allocation RB (INS-NATL) ^(a)	5.25%	04/01/33	2,540	2,542,972
Rancho Mirage (City of) Redevelopment Agency; Series 2003 A, Housing Tax Allocation RB (INS-NATL) ^(a)	5.00%	04/01/33	1,000	1,000,500
Redding (City of); Series 2008 A, Electric System Revenue COP (INS-AGM) ^(a)	5.00%	06/01/27	725	833,105
Redlands (City of) Redevelopment Agency; Series 1998 A, Ref. Tax Allocation RB (INS-NATL) ^(a)	4.75%	08/01/21	3,800	3,803,458
Regents of the University of California (UCLA Medical Center); Series 2004 A, RB (INS-AMBAC) ^(a)	5.25%	05/15/30	1,225	1,250,051

See accompanying Notes to Financial Statements which are an integral part of the financial statements.

	Interest Rate	Maturity Date	Principal Amount (000)	Value
California (continued)				
Regents of the University of California;				
Series 2003 A, General RB (INS-AMBAC) ^(a)	5.00%	05/15/33	\$ 5,000	\$ 5,047,600
Series 2003 B, General RB (INS-AMBAC) ^(a)	5.00%	05/15/22	2,000	2,017,860
Series 2005 B, Limited Project RB ^{(d)(e)}	5.00%	05/15/13	1,000	1,020,440
Series 2007 J, General RB (INS-AGM) ^{(a)(c)}	4.50%	05/15/31	4,415	4,631,467
Series 2007 J, General RB (INS-AGM) ^{(a)(c)}	4.50%	05/15/35	3,585	3,745,751
Series 2009 E, Medical Center Pooled RB	5.50%	05/15/27	2,500	2,896,525
Series 2009 O, General RB ^(c)	5.25%	05/15/39	7,500	8,663,550
Series 2009 O, General RB	5.25%	05/15/39	1,500	1,732,710
Series 2009 Q, General RB ^{(c)(j)}	5.00%	05/15/34	9,080	10,451,262
Richmond (City of) Joint Powers Financing Authority (Point Potrero); Series 2009 A, Lease RB	6.25%	07/01/24	2,000	2,361,980
Riverside (City of);				
Series 2008 B, Water RB (INS-AGM) ^(a)	5.00%	10/01/33	1,000	1,111,750
Series 2008 D, Electric RB (INS-AGM) ^(a)	5.00%	10/01/28	2,085	2,325,984
Riverside (County of) Transportation Commission; Series 2010 A, Limited Sales Tax RB	5.00%	06/01/32	2,000	2,256,980
Riverside Community College District (Election 2004);				
Series 2007 C, Unlimited Tax GO Bonds (INS-AGM) ^{(a)(c)}	5.00%	08/01/32	5,000	5,691,950
RNR School Financing Authority (Community Facilities District No. 92-1); Series 2006 A, Special Tax RB (INS-AMBAC) ^(a)				
	5.00%	09/01/36	2,000	2,048,080
Roseville Joint Union High School District; Series 1995 B, Unlimited Tax CAB GO Bonds (INS-NATL) ^{(a)(b)}				
	0.00%	06/01/20	1,650	1,267,745
Sacramento (City of) Financing Authority (Solid Waste & Redevelopment); Series 1999, Unrefunded Balance Capital Improvement RB (INS-AMBAC) ^(a)				
	5.75%	12/01/22	180	180,232
Sacramento (City of) Municipal Utility District;				
Series 2008 U, Electric RB (INS-AGM) ^{(a)(c)}	5.00%	08/15/24	4,960	5,802,406
Series 2008 U, Electric RB (INS-AGM) ^(a)	5.00%	08/15/24	1,000	1,169,840
Series 2008 U, Electric RB (INS-AGM) ^{(a)(c)}	5.00%	08/15/26	10,000	11,508,500
Series 2011 X, Ref. Electric RB	5.00%	08/15/27	2,850	3,386,398
Sacramento (City of) Regional Transit District; Series 2012, Farebox RB				
	5.00%	03/01/36	1,500	1,656,285
Sacramento (County of) Sanitation Districts Financing Authority (Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District);				
Series 2011 A, Ref. RB	5.00%	12/01/26	1,500	1,812,555
Sacramento (County of);				
Series 2008 A, Sr. Airport System RB (INS-AGM) ^(a)	5.00%	07/01/32	1,000	1,103,660
Series 2010, Sr. Airport System RB	5.00%	07/01/40	3,800	4,188,018
Salinas Valley Solid Waste Authority;				
Series 2002, RB (INS-AMBAC) ^{(a)(f)}	5.25%	08/01/27	1,000	1,003,600
Series 2002, RB (INS-AMBAC) ^{(a)(f)}	5.25%	08/01/31	2,000	2,006,960
	5.00%	08/01/31	2,225	2,470,862

Edgar Filing: Invesco California Value Municipal Income Trust - Form N-CSR

San Bernardino Community College District (Election of 2002); Series 2006 C, Unlimited Tax GO Bonds (INS-AGM) ^(a)				
San Diego (City of) Public Facilities Financing Authority (Capital Improvement); Series 2012 A, Lease RB	5.00%	04/15/37	3,000	3,254,940
San Diego (City of) Public Facilities Financing Authority;				
Series 2009 B, Water RB	5.38%	08/01/34	5,000	5,918,600
Subseries 2012 A, Ref. Water RB	5.00%	08/01/32	5,000	5,916,950
San Diego (City of) Redevelopment Agency (Centre City Redevelopment); Series 1999 A, RB				
	6.40%	09/01/25	2,500	2,504,125
San Diego (County of) Regional Airport Authority;				
Series 2010 A, Sub. RB	5.00%	07/01/34	3,000	3,370,530
Series 2010 A, Sub. RB	5.00%	07/01/40	2,500	2,786,200
Series 2013 B, Sr. RB ^(f)	5.00%	07/01/29	2,500	2,892,250
Series 2013 B, Sr. RB ^(f)	5.00%	07/01/38	3,000	3,372,270
San Diego (County of) Water Authority;				
Series 2004 A, COP (INS-AGM) ^{(a)(c)}	5.00%	05/01/29	6,000	6,468,000
Series 2004 A, COP (INS-AGM) ^(a)	5.00%	05/01/29	700	754,600
Series 2008 A, COP (INS-AGM) ^(a)	5.00%	05/01/28	2,080	2,399,530

See accompanying Notes to Financial Statements which are an integral part of the financial statements.

	Interest Rate	Maturity Date	Principal Amount (000)	Value
California (continued)				
San Diego Community College District (Election of 2002); Series 2009, Unlimited Tax GO Bonds ^(c)	5.25%	08/01/33	\$ 5,000	\$ 6,087,850
San Dimas (City of) Redevelopment Agency (Creative Growth); Series 1998 A, Tax Allocation RB (INS-AGM) ^(a)	5.00%	09/01/16	455	456,825
San Francisco (City & County of) (Laguna Honda Hospital); Series 2008 R3, Ref. Unlimited Tax GO Bonds (INS-AGC) ^{(a)(c)}	5.00%	06/15/28	9,500	10,306,360
San Francisco (City & County of) Airport Commission (San Francisco International Airport); Series 2008 R3, Ref. Unlimited Tax GO Bonds (INS-AGC) ^(a)	5.00%	06/15/28	355	385,132
San Francisco (City & County of) Airport Commission (San Francisco International Airport); Series 2010 F, Second Series RB	5.00%	05/01/40	4,000	4,435,160
Series 2011 C, Ref. Second Series RB ^(f)	5.00%	05/01/23	3,000	3,555,960
Series 2011 F, Ref. Second Series RB ^(f)	5.00%	05/01/25	5,000	5,779,600
Series 2011 G, Second Series RB	5.25%	05/01/28	3,000	3,561,330
San Francisco (City & County of) Airport Commission (San Francisco International Airport SFO Fuel Co. LLC); Series 2000 A, Special Facilities Lease RB (INS-AGM) ^{(a)(f)}	6.13%	01/01/27	1,660	1,667,188
San Francisco (City & County of) Public Utilities Commission (Water System Improvement Program); Subseries 2011 A, Water RB ^(c)	5.00%	11/01/36	6,300	7,384,482
San Francisco (City & County of) Redevelopment Financing Authority (Mission Bay North Redevelopment); Series 2011 C, Tax Allocation RB	6.50%	08/01/27	400	489,016
Series 2011 C, Tax Allocation RB	6.75%	08/01/33	500	610,630
San Francisco (City & County of) Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency Community Facilities District No. 6 (Mission Bay South Public Improvements); Series 2013 A, Ref. Special Tax RB	5.00%	08/01/33	1,000	1,071,360
San Francisco (City of) Bay Area Rapid Transit District; Series 2010, Ref. RB	5.00%	07/01/28	1,000	1,180,300
Series 2012 A, RB	5.00%	07/01/36	2,000	2,345,940
San Francisco (City of) Municipal Transportation Agency; Series 2012 A, RB	5.00%	03/01/32	1,140	1,303,807
Series 2012 B, RB	5.00%	03/01/42	3,355	3,775,449
San Jose (City of) Financing Authority (Civic Center); Series 2002 B, Lease RB (INS-AMBAC) ^(a)	5.00%	06/01/37	10,000	10,058,200
San Jose Evergreen Community College District (Election of 2004); Series 2008 B, Unlimited Tax CAB GO Bonds (INS-AGM) ^{(a)(b)}	0.00%	09/01/32	1,000	440,670
San Luis Obispo (County of) Financing Authority (Lopez Dam Improvement); Series 2011 A, Ref. RB (INS-AGM) ^(a)	5.00%	08/01/30	2,500	2,846,775
San Mateo (City of) Community Facilities District No. 2008-1 (Bay Meadows); Series 2013, Special Tax RB	5.00%	09/01/42	1,000	1,017,410
Sanger Unified School District; Series 1999, Ref. Unlimited Tax GO Bonds (INS-NATL) ^(a)	5.60%	08/01/23	2,000	2,361,480

Edgar Filing: Invesco California Value Municipal Income Trust - Form N-CSR

Santa Ana Unified School District (Financing Project); Series 1999, CAB COP (INS-AGM) ^{(a)(b)}	0.00%	04/01/36	1,000	311,520
Santa Clara (City of); Series 2003 A, Sub. Electric RB (INS-NATL) ^(a)	5.00%	07/01/23	2,610	2,650,716
Series 2003 A, Sub. Electric RB (INS-NATL) ^(a)	5.00%	07/01/24	2,735	2,777,201
Santa Clara (County of) Financing Authority (Multiple Facilities); Series 2008 L, Ref. Lease RB ^(c)	5.25%	05/15/36	10,000	11,382,800
Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority (2000 Measure A); Series 2007 A, Ref. Sales Tax RB (INS-AMBAC) ^(a)	5.00%	04/01/32	1,680	1,908,194
Santa Margarita/Dana Point Authority (Santa Margarita Water District Improvement Districts No. 2, 3 & 4); Series 2009 A, RB	5.13%	08/01/38	1,500	1,744,695
Semitropic Improvement District; Series 2012 A, Ref. Water Storage District RB	5.00%	12/01/35	2,000	2,241,700
Sierra View Local Health Care District; Series 2007, RB	5.25%	07/01/32	2,000	2,090,100
Simi Valley (City of) (Capital Improvement); Series 2004, COP (INS-AMBAC) ^(a)	5.00%	09/01/30	3,000	3,058,230
Simi Valley Unified School District (Election of 2004); Series 2007 C, Unlimited Tax CAB GO Bonds (INS-AGM) ^{(a)(b)}	0.00%	08/01/28	1,520	824,524
Series 2007 C, Unlimited Tax CAB GO Bonds (INS-AGM) ^{(a)(b)}	0.00%	08/01/29	5,000	2,508,000
Series 2007 C, Unlimited Tax CAB GO Bonds (INS-AGM) ^{(a)(b)}	0.00%	08/01/30	1,210	573,104
South Orange (County of) Public Financing Authority; Series 1999, Reassessment RB (INS-AGM) ^(a)	5.80%	09/02/18	3,305	3,390,897
South Tahoe Joint Powers Financing Authority (South Tahoe Redevelopment Project Area No. 1); Series 2004 A, Ref. RB (INS-AGM) ^(a)	5.00%	10/01/29	1,640	1,681,426
Series 2005 A, Ref. RB (INS-AMBAC) ^(a)	5.00%	10/01/28	2,250	2,289,262

See accompanying Notes to Financial Statements which are an integral part of the financial statements.

	Interest Rate	Maturity Date	Principal Amount (000)	Value
California (continued)				
Southern California Metropolitan Water District;				
Series 2003 B-1, RB ^{(d)(e)}	5.00%	10/01/13	\$ 175	\$ 180,033
Series 2003 B-1, RB ^{(d)(e)}	5.00%	10/01/13	3,825	3,935,007
Series 2003 B-1, RB ^{(d)(e)}	5.00%	10/01/13	210	216,040
Series 2003 B-1, RB ^{(d)(e)}	5.00%	10/01/13	1,790	1,841,480
Series 2003 B-2, RB ^{(d)(e)}	5.00%	10/01/13	1,900	1,954,644
Series 2009 B, Ref. RB ^(c)	5.00%	07/01/27	7,825	9,352,831
Southern California Public Power Authority (Milford Wind Corridor Phase II);				
Series 2011-1, RB ^(c)	5.25%	07/01/29	2,850	3,423,648
Series 2011-1, RB ^(c)	5.25%	07/01/31	2,850	3,400,022
Tejon Ranch Public Facilities Financing Authority Community Facilities District No. 2008-1 (Tejon Industrial Complex Public Improvements-East); Series 2012 B, Special Tax RB				
	5.25%	09/01/42	1,500	1,480,050
Turlock (City of) (Emanuel Medical Center, Inc.);				
Series 2007 A, Health Facility Revenue COP	5.13%	10/15/31	1,000	1,053,340
Series 2007 B, Health Facility Revenue COP	5.13%	10/15/37	1,000	1,045,140
Tustin (City of) Public Financing Authority; Series 2011 A, Water RB				
	5.00%	04/01/36	3,500	3,992,765
Tustin Unified School District (School Facilities Improvement District No. 2002-1 Election of 2002); Series 2008 C, Unlimited Tax GO Bonds (INS-AGM) ^(a)				
	5.00%	06/01/28	250	279,758
Twin Rivers Unified School District (Election of 2006); Series 2008, Unlimited Tax GO Bonds (INS-AGM) ^(a)				
	5.00%	08/01/23	1,000	1,149,220
Twin Rivers Unified School District (School Facility Bridge Funding Program); Series 2007, COP (INS-AGM) ^{(a)(e)}				
	3.50%	05/31/13	5,300	5,315,317
Twin Rivers Unified School District; Series 2009, Unlimited Tax CAB GO BAN ^(b)				
	0.00%	04/01/14	3,000	2,971,050
Upland Unified School District (Election of 2000); Series 2001 B, Unlimited Tax GO Bonds ^{(d)(e)}				
	5.13%	08/01/13	1,000	1,021,140
Val Verde Unified School District (Refunding & School Construction); Series 2005 B, COP (INS-NATL) ^(a)				
	5.00%	01/01/35	1,330	1,347,889
Vernon (City of); Series 2009 A, Electric System RB				
	5.13%	08/01/21	3,000	3,407,490
Vista Unified School District (Election of 2002); Series 2007 C, Unlimited Tax GO Bonds (INS-AGM) ^{(a)(c)}				
	5.00%	08/01/28	2,185	2,397,535
Washington Unified School District (Election of 2004); Series 2004 A, Unlimited Tax GO Bonds ^{(d)(e)}				
	5.00%	08/01/13	1,375	1,403,339
West Basin Municipal Water District; Series 2008 B, Ref. COP (INS-AGC) ^(a)				
	5.00%	08/01/27	1,640	1,886,804
West Contra Costa Unified School District; Series 2005, Unlimited Tax CAB GO Bonds (INS-NATL) ^{(a)(b)}				
	0.00%	08/01/25	5,000	2,986,100
	0.00%	08/01/32	9,370	3,753,810

Edgar Filing: Invesco California Value Municipal Income Trust - Form N-CSR

William S. Hart Union High School District (Election of 2008); Series 2009 A, Unlimited Tax CAB GO Bonds ^(b)				
Yosemite Community College District (Election of 2004); Series 2008 C, Unlimited Tax CAB GO Bonds (INS-AGM) ^{(a)(b)}	0.00%	08/01/22	2,655	1,976,648
Series 2008 C, Unlimited Tax GO Bonds (INS-AGM) ^{(a)(c)}	5.00%	08/01/32	16,000	18,191,200
Yucaipa Valley Water District; Series 2004 A, COP (INS-NATL) ^(a)	5.25%	09/01/24	1,000	1,054,460
				971,694,438
Puerto Rico 4.61%				
Puerto Rico (Commonwealth of) Aqueduct & Sewer Authority; Series 2012 A, Sr. Lien RB	6.00%	07/01/47	5,000	5,230,450
Puerto Rico (Commonwealth of) Electric Power Authority; Series 2010 AAA, RB	5.25%	07/01/29	2,000	2,055,620
Series 2010 XX, RB	5.25%	07/01/40	2,450	2,478,837
Series 2012 A, RB	5.00%	07/01/29	2,000	2,030,460
Puerto Rico (Commonwealth of) Public Buildings Authority; Series 2002 D, RB ^{(d)(e)}	5.45%	07/01/17	2,200	2,628,362
Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp.;				
First Subseries 2010 A, RB	5.38%	08/01/39	500	529,885
First Subseries 2010 C, RB	5.00%	08/01/35	2,000	2,095,240
First Subseries 2010 C, RB	5.25%	08/01/41	2,000	2,109,860
Series 2011 C, RB ^(c)	5.00%	08/01/40	3,930	4,227,540
Series 2011 C, RB ^(c)	5.25%	08/01/40	6,555	7,167,827
				30,554,081
Guam 2.54%				
Guam (Territory of) (Section 30);				
Series 2009 A, Limited Obligation RB	5.38%	12/01/24	3,150	3,492,342
Series 2009 A, Limited Obligation RB	5.63%	12/01/29	595	664,597

See accompanying Notes to Financial Statements which are an integral part of the financial statements.

	Interest Rate	Maturity Date	Principal Amount (000)	Value
Guam (continued)				
Guam (Territory of) Power Authority; Series 2012 A, Ref. RB (INS-AGM) ^(a)	5.00%	10/01/26	\$ 2,500	\$ 2,936,775
Series 2012 A, Ref. RB (INS-AGM) ^(a)	5.00%	10/01/27	1,500	1,747,050
Series 2012 A, Ref. RB (INS-AGM) ^(a)	5.00%	10/01/30	4,000	4,578,080
Guam (Territory of); Series 2011 A, Business Privilege Tax RB	5.25%	01/01/36	3,000	3,394,920
				16,813,764
Virgin Islands 1.50%				
Virgin Islands (Government of) Public Finance Authority (Matching Fund Loan Note Diageo); Series 2009 A, Sub. RB	6.63%	10/01/29	2,965	3,475,632
Virgin Islands (Government of) Public Finance Authority (Matching Fund Loan Note); Series 2010 A, Sr. Lien RB	5.00%	10/01/25	1,000	1,116,870
Series 2010 A, Sr. Lien RB	5.00%	10/01/29	3,000	3,296,580
Series 2012 A, RB ^(g)	4.00%	10/01/22	1,935	2,018,012
				9,907,094
TOTAL INVESTMENTS(k) 155.34% (Cost \$937,131,249)				1,028,969,377
FLOATING RATE NOTE OBLIGATIONS (28.57)%				
Notes with interest rates ranging from 0.10% to 0.26% at 02/28/13 and contractual maturities of collateral ranging from 07/01/22 to 08/15/51 (See Note 1I) ^(l)				(189,265,000)
VARIABLE RATE MUNI TERM PREFERRED SHARES (28.39)%				
				(188,052,766)
OTHER ASSETS LESS LIABILITIES 1.62%				
				10,738,100
NET ASSETS APPLICABLE TO COMMON SHARES 100.00%				
				\$ 662,396,711

Investment Abbreviations:

AGC	Assured Guaranty Corp.
AGM	Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.
AMBAC	American Municipal Bond Assurance Corp.
BAN	Bond Anticipation Notes
CAB	Capital Appreciation Bonds
CEP	Credit Enhancement Provider
COP	Certificates of Participation
FGIC	Financial Guaranty Insurance Co.
FHA	Federal Housing Administration
GNMA	Government National Mortgage Association
GO	General Obligation
IDR	Industrial Development Revenue Bonds

Edgar Filing: Invesco California Value Municipal Income Trust - Form N-CSR

INS Insurer
MFH Multi-Family Housing

NATL National Public Finance Guarantee Corp.
PCR Pollution Control Revenue Bonds
RB Revenue Bonds
Ref. Refunding
RN Revenue Notes
Sr. Senior
Sub. Subordinated

Notes to Schedule of Investments:

- (a) Principal and/or interest payments are secured by the bond insurance company listed.
- (b) Zero coupon bonds issued at a discount.
- (c) Underlying security related to Dealer Trusts entered into by the Trust. See Note 11.
- (d) Advance refunded; secured by an escrow fund of U.S. Government obligations or other highly rated collateral.
- (e) Security has an irrevocable call by the issuer or mandatory put by the holder. Maturity date reflects such call or put.
- (f) Security subject to the alternative minimum tax.
- (g) Security purchased or received in a transaction exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933, the (1933 Act) as amended. The security may be resold pursuant to an exemption from registration under the 1933 Act, typically to qualified institutional buyers. The aggregate value of these securities at February 28, 2013 was \$10,442,627, which represented 1.58% of the Trust's Net Assets.
- (h) Interest or dividend rate is redetermined periodically. Rate shown is the rate in effect on February 28, 2013.
- (i) Escrowed to maturity by U.S. Government obligations or other highly rated collateral.
- (j) Security is subject to a shortfall agreement which may require the Trust to pay amounts to a counterparty in the event of a significant decline in the market value of the security underlying the Dealer Trusts. In case of a shortfall, the maximum potential amount of payments the Trust could ultimately be required to make under the agreement is \$6,050,000. However, such shortfall payment would be reduced by the proceeds from the sale of the security underlying the Dealer Trusts.
- (k) This table provides a listing of those entities that have either issued, guaranteed, backed or otherwise enhanced the credit quality of more than 5% of the securities held in the portfolio. In instances where the entity has guaranteed, backed or otherwise enhanced the credit quality of a security, it is not primarily responsible for the issuer's obligations but may be called upon to satisfy the issuer's obligations.

Entities	Percentage
Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.	13.4%
National Public Finance Guarantee Corp.	7.6
American Municipal Bond Assurance Corp.	7.1

- (l) Floating rate note obligations related to securities held. The interest rates shown reflect the rates in effect at February 28, 2013. At February 28, 2013, the Trust's investments with a value of \$344,007,459 are held by Dealer

Trusts and serve as collateral for the \$189,265,000 in the floating rate note obligations outstanding at that date.

See accompanying Notes to Financial Statements which are an integral part of the financial statements.

15 **Invesco California Value Municipal Income Trust**

Statement of Assets and Liabilities

February 28, 2013

Assets:

Investments, at value (Cost \$937,131,249)	\$ 1,028,969,377
Receivable for:	
Investments sold	2,095,750
Interest	11,288,498
Fund expenses absorbed	165,920
Investment for trustee deferred compensation and retirement plans	22,272
Deferred offering costs	180,275
Total assets	1,042,722,092

Liabilities:

Floating rate note obligations	189,265,000
Variable rate muni term preferred shares, at liquidation value (\$0.01 par value, 1,883 shares issued with liquidation preference of \$100,000 per share)	188,052,766
Payable for:	
Investments purchased	1,155,232
Amount due custodian	1,433,863
Accrued interest expense	166,530
Accrued trustees and officers fees and benefits	4,378
Accrued other operating expenses	88,668
Trustee deferred compensation and retirement plans	158,944
Total liabilities	380,325,381
Net assets applicable to common shares	\$ 662,396,711

Net assets applicable to common shares consist of:

Shares of beneficial interest common shares	\$ 658,248,278
Undistributed net investment income	1,744,227
Undistributed net realized gain (loss)	(89,433,922)
Unrealized appreciation	91,838,128
	\$ 662,396,711

Shares outstanding, no par value, with an unlimited number of shares authorized:

Common shares outstanding	47,856,921
Net asset value per common share	\$ 13.84
Market value per common share	\$ 13.88

See accompanying Notes to Financial Statements which are an integral part of the financial statements.

Invesco California Value Municipal Income Trust

Statement of Operations*For the year ended February 28, 2013*

Investment income:	
Interest	\$ 34,628,858
Expenses:	
Advisory fees	4,230,210
Administrative services fees	123,448
Custodian fees	17,266
Interest, facilities and maintenance fees	2,974,941
Transfer agent fees	46,362
Trustees and officers fees and benefits	42,766
Other	513,981
Total expenses	7,948,974
Less: Fees waived	(1,600,513)
Net expenses	6,348,461
Net investment income	28,280,397
Realized and unrealized gain (loss) from:	
Net realized gain (loss) from investment securities	(2,053,645)
Change in net unrealized appreciation of investment securities	23,276,943
Net realized and unrealized gain	21,223,298
Net increase in net assets resulting from operations	49,503,695
Distributions to auction rate preferred shareholders from net investment income	(77,315)
Net increase in net assets resulting from operations applicable to common shares	\$ 49,426,380

See accompanying Notes to Financial Statements which are an integral part of the financial statements.

Statement of Changes in Net Assets*For the years ended February 28, 2013 and February 29, 2012*

	2013	2012
Operations:		
Net investment income	\$ 28,280,397	\$ 20,035,341
Net realized gain (loss)	(2,053,645)	(11,112,713)
Change in net unrealized appreciation	23,276,943	61,814,171
Net increase in net assets resulting from operations	49,503,695	70,736,799
Distributions to auction rate preferred shareholders from net investment income	(77,315)	(319,160)
Net increase in net assets resulting from operations applicable to common shares	49,426,380	70,417,639
Distributions to shareholders from net investment income	(29,526,928)	(20,176,124)
Increase from transactions in common shares of beneficial interest	349,485,233	434,858
Net increase in net assets applicable to common shares	369,384,685	50,676,373
Net assets applicable to common shares:		
Beginning of year	293,012,026	242,335,653
End of year (includes undistributed net investment income of \$1,744,227 and \$2,655,982, respectively)	\$ 662,396,711	\$ 293,012,026

See accompanying Notes to Financial Statements which are an integral part of the financial statements.

Statement of Cash Flows*For the year ended February 28, 2013***Cash provided by operating activities:**

Net increase in net assets resulting from operations applicable to common shares	\$ 49,426,380
--	---------------

Adjustments to reconcile the change in net assets applicable to common shares from operations to net cash provided by operating activities:

Purchases of investments	(116,808,296)
Net sales of short-term investments	31,245,000
Cash acquired from reorganization*	7,367,879
Proceeds from sales of investments	60,406,786
Amortization of premium	2,020,168
Accretion of discount	(1,801,221)
Increase in receivables and other assets	(1,458,024)
Decrease in accrued expenses and other payables	(8,171,221)
Net realized loss from investment securities	2,053,645
Net change in unrealized appreciation on investment securities	(23,276,943)
Net cash provided by operating activities	1,004,153

Cash provided by (used in) financing activities:

Dividends paid to common shareholders from net investment income	(28,930,518)
Decrease in payable for amount due custodian	(4,972,696)
Increase in VMTP Shares, at liquidation value	116,000,000
Cash payments for offering costs	(240,939)
Net payments for the redemption of auction rate preferred shares	(116,000,000)
Net proceeds from floating rate note obligations	33,140,000
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(1,004,153)
Cash at beginning of period	
Cash at end of period	\$

Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:

Cash paid during the period for interest, facilities and maintenance fees	\$ 2,881,594
---	--------------

*During the year ended February 28, 2013, net assets applicable to common shares of \$348,889,847 were acquired in the reorganization of Invesco California Municipal Income Trust, Invesco California Municipal Securities and Invesco California Quality Municipal Securities into the Trust (see Note 9), including \$7,367,879 in cash, \$4,539,144 in receivables and other assets, \$8,638,987 in accrued expenses and other payables, \$64,050,000 in Floating Rate Note Obligations and \$72,052,766 in VMTP Shares.

Notes to Financial Statements*February 28, 2013***NOTE 1 Significant Accounting Policies**

Invesco California Value Municipal Income Trust, formerly Invesco Van Kampen California Value Municipal Income Trust (the Trust) is a Delaware statutory trust registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the

Edgar Filing: Invesco California Value Municipal Income Trust - Form N-CSR

1940 Act), as a diversified, closed-end management investment company. Prior to August 27, 2012, the Trust was organized as a Massachusetts business trust.

The Trust's investment objective is to seek to provide common shareholders with a high level of current income exempt from federal and California income taxes, consistent with preservation of capital. The Trust will invest substantially all of its assets in California municipal securities rated investment grade at the time of investment.

The following is a summary of the significant accounting policies followed by the Trust in the preparation of its financial statements.

A. Security Valuations Securities, including restricted securities, are valued according to the following policy. Securities are fair valued using an evaluated quote provided by an independent pricing service approved by the Board of Trustees. Evaluated quotes provided by the pricing service may be determined without exclusive reliance on quoted prices, and may reflect appropriate factors such as institution-size trading in similar groups of securities, developments related to specific securities, dividend rate (for unlisted equities), yield (for debt obligations), quality, type of issue, coupon rate (for debt obligations), maturity (for debt obligations), individual trading characteristics and other market data. Debt obligations are subject to interest rate and credit risks. In addition, all debt obligations involve some risk of default with respect to interest and/or principal payments.

Securities for which market quotations either are not readily available or became unreliable are valued at fair value as determined in good faith by or under the supervision of the Trust's officers following procedures approved by the Board of Trustees. Some of the factors which may be considered in determining fair value are fundamental analytical data relating to the investment; the nature and duration of any restrictions on transferability or disposition; trading in similar securities by the same issuer or comparable companies; relevant political, economic or issuer specific news; and other relevant factors under the circumstances.

Valuations change in response to many factors including the historical and prospective earnings of the issuer, the value of the issuer's assets, general economic conditions, interest rates, investor perceptions and market liquidity. Because of the inherent uncertainties of valuation, the values reflected in the financial statements may materially differ from the value received upon actual sale of those investments.

B. Securities Transactions and Investment Income Securities transactions are accounted for on a trade date basis. Realized gains or losses on sales are computed on the basis of specific identification of the securities sold. Interest income is recorded on the accrual basis from settlement date. Dividend income (net of withholding tax, if any) is recorded on the ex-dividend date. Bond premiums and discounts are amortized and/or accreted for financial reporting purposes.

The Trust may periodically participate in litigation related to Trust investments. As such, the Trust may receive proceeds from litigation settlements. Any proceeds received are included in the Statement of Operations as realized gain (loss) for investments no longer held and as unrealized gain (loss) for investments still held.

Brokerage commissions and mark ups are considered transaction costs and are recorded as an increase to the cost basis of securities purchased and/or a reduction of proceeds on a sale of securities. Such transaction costs are included in the determination of net realized and unrealized gain (loss) from investment securities reported in the Statement of Operations and the Statement of Changes in Net Assets and the net realized and unrealized gains (losses) on securities per share in the Financial Highlights. Transaction costs are included in the calculation of the Trust's net asset value and, accordingly, they reduce the Trust's total returns. These transaction costs are not considered operating expenses and are not reflected in net investment income reported in the Statement of Operations and Statement of Changes in Net Assets, or the net investment income per share and ratios of expenses and net investment income reported in the Financial Highlights, nor are they limited by any expense limitation arrangements between the Trust and the investment adviser.

C. Country Determination For the purposes of making investment selection decisions and presentation in the Schedule of Investments, the investment adviser may determine the country in which an issuer is located and/or credit risk exposure based on various factors. These factors include the laws of the country under which the issuer is organized, where the issuer maintains a principal office, the country in which the issuer derives 50% or more of its total revenues and the country that has the primary market for the issuer's securities, as well as other criteria. Among the other criteria that may be evaluated for making this determination are the country in which the issuer maintains 50% or more of its assets, the type of security, financial guarantees and enhancements, the nature of the collateral and the sponsor organization. Country of issuer and/or credit risk exposure has been determined to be the United States of America, unless otherwise noted.

D. Distributions The Trust declares and pays monthly dividends from net investment income to common shareholders. Distributions from net realized capital gain, if any, are generally declared and paid annually and are distributed on a pro rata basis to common and preferred shareholders.

E. Federal Income Taxes The Trust intends to comply with the requirements of Subchapter M of the Internal Revenue Code necessary to qualify as a regulated investment company and to distribute substantially all of the Trust's taxable earnings to shareholders. As such, the Trust will not be subject to federal income taxes on otherwise taxable income (including net realized capital gain) that is distributed to shareholders. Therefore, no provision for federal income taxes is recorded in the financial statements.

In addition, the Trust intends to invest in such municipal securities to allow it to qualify to pay shareholders' exempt dividends, as defined in the Internal Revenue Code.

The Trust files tax returns in the U.S. Federal jurisdiction and certain other jurisdictions. Generally, the Trust is subject to examinations by such taxing authorities for up to three years after the filing of the return for the tax period.

F. Accounting Estimates The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that

affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period including estimates and assumptions related to taxation. Actual results could differ from those estimates by a significant amount. In addition, the Trust monitors for material events or transactions that may occur or become known after the period-end date and before the date the financial statements are released to print.

- G. Indemnifications** Under the Trust's organizational documents, each Trustee, officer, employee or other agent of the Trust is indemnified against certain liabilities that may arise out of the performance of their duties to the Trust. Additionally, in the normal course of business, the Trust enters into contracts, including the Trust's servicing agreements, that contain a variety of indemnification clauses. The Trust's maximum exposure under these arrangements is unknown as this would involve future claims that may be made against the Trust that have not yet occurred. The risk of material loss as a result of such indemnification claims is considered remote.
- H. Cash and Cash Equivalents** For the purposes of the Statement of Cash Flows the Trust defines Cash and Cash Equivalents as cash (including foreign currency), money market funds and other investments held in lieu of cash and excludes investments made with cash collateral received.
- I. Floating Rate Note Obligations** The Trust invests in inverse floating rate securities, such as Residual Interest Bonds (RIBs) or Tender Option Bonds (TOBs) for investment purposes and to enhance the yield of the Trust. Inverse floating rate investments tend to underperform the market for fixed rate bonds in a rising interest rate environment, but tend to outperform the market for fixed rate bonds when interest rates decline or remain relatively stable. Such transactions may be purchased in the secondary market without first owning the underlying bond or by the sale of fixed rate bonds by the Trust to special purpose trusts established by a broker dealer (Dealer Trusts) in exchange for cash and residual interests in the Dealer Trusts' assets and cash flows, which are in the form of inverse floating rate securities. The Dealer Trusts finance the purchases of the fixed rate bonds by issuing floating rate notes to third parties and allowing the Trust to retain residual interests in the bonds. The floating rate notes issued by the Dealer Trusts have interest rates that reset weekly and the floating rate note holders have the option to tender their notes to the Dealer Trusts for redemption at par at each reset date. The residual interests held by the Trust (inverse floating rate investments) include the right of the Trust (1) to cause the holders of the floating rate notes to tender their notes at par at the next interest rate reset date, and (2) to transfer the municipal bond from the Dealer Trusts to the Trust, thereby collapsing the Dealer Trusts.
- TOBs are presently classified as private placement securities. Private placement securities are subject to restrictions on resale because they have not been registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or are otherwise not readily marketable. As a result of the absence of a public trading market for these securities, they may be less liquid than publicly traded securities. Although these securities may be resold in privately negotiated transactions, the prices realized from these sales could be less than those originally paid by the Trust or less than what may be considered the fair value of such securities.

The Trust accounts for the transfer of bonds to the Dealer Trusts as secured borrowings, with the securities transferred remaining in the Trust's investment assets, and the related floating rate notes reflected as Trust liabilities under the caption *Floating rate note obligations* on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities. The Trust records the interest income from the fixed rate bonds under the caption *Interest* and records the expenses related to floating rate obligations and any administrative expenses of the Dealer Trusts as a component of *Interest, facilities and maintenance fees* on the Statement of Operations.

The Trust generally invests in inverse floating rate securities that include embedded leverage, thus exposing the Trust to greater risks and increased costs. The primary risks associated with inverse floating rate securities are varying degrees of liquidity and the changes in the value of such securities in response to changes in market rates of interest to a greater extent than the value of an equal principal amount of a fixed rate security having similar credit quality, redemption provisions and maturity which may cause the Trust's net asset value to be more volatile than if it had not invested in inverse floating rate securities. In certain instances, the short-term floating rate interests created by the special purpose trust may not be able to be sold to third parties or, in the case of holders tendering (or putting) such interests for repayment of principal, may not be able to be remarketed to third parties. In such cases, the special purpose trust holding the long-term fixed rate bonds may be collapsed. In the case of RIBs or TOBs created by the contribution of long-term fixed income bonds by the Trust, the Trust will then be required to repay the principal amount of the tendered securities. During times of market volatility, illiquidity or uncertainty, the Trust could be required to sell other portfolio holdings at a disadvantageous time to raise cash to meet that obligation.

J. Interest, Facilities and Maintenance Fees Interest, Facilities and Maintenance Fees include interest and related borrowing costs such as commitment fees, rating and bank agent fees and other expenses associated with lines of credit and Variable Rate Muni Term Preferred Shares (VMTP Shares), and interest and administrative expenses related to establishing and maintaining Auction Rate Preferred Shares (ARPS) and floating rate note obligations, if any.

K. Other Risks The value of, payment of interest on, repayment of principal for and the ability to sell a municipal security may be affected by constitutional amendments, legislative enactments, executive orders, administrative regulations, voter initiatives and the economics of the regions in which the issuers are located.

Since many municipal securities are issued to finance similar projects, especially those relating to education, health care, transportation and utilities, conditions in those sectors can affect the overall municipal securities market and a Trust's investments in municipal securities.

There is some risk that a portion or all of the interest received from certain tax-free municipal securities could become taxable as a result of determinations by the Internal Revenue Service.

NOTE 2 Advisory Fees and Other Fees Paid to Affiliates

The Trust has entered into a master investment advisory agreement with Invesco Advisers, Inc. (the Adviser or Invesco). Under the terms of the investment advisory agreement, the Trust pays an advisory fee to the Adviser based on the annual rate of 0.55% of the Trust's average daily managed assets. Managed assets for this purpose means the Trust's net assets, plus assets attributable to outstanding preferred shares and the amount of any borrowings incurred for the purpose of leverage (whether or not such borrowed amounts are reflected in the Trust's financial statements for purposes of generally accepted accounting principles).

Under the terms of a master sub-advisory agreement between the Adviser and each of Invesco Asset Management Deutschland GmbH, Invesco Asset Management Limited, Invesco Asset Management (Japan) Limited, Invesco Australia Limited, Invesco Hong Kong Limited, Invesco Senior Secured Management, Inc. and Invesco Canada Ltd. (collectively, the Affiliated Sub-Advisers) the Adviser, not the Trust, may pay 40% of the fees paid to the Adviser to any such Affiliated Sub-Adviser(s) that provide(s) discretionary investment management services to the Trust based on the percentage of assets allocated to such Sub-Adviser(s).

Edgar Filing: Invesco California Value Municipal Income Trust - Form N-CSR

Effective August 27, 2012, the Adviser has contractually agreed, through at least August 31, 2014, to waive advisory fees and/or reimburse expenses to the extent necessary to limit the Trust's expenses (excluding certain items discussed below) to 0.52%. In determining the Adviser's obligation to waive advisory fees and/or reimburse expenses, the following expenses are not taken into account, and could cause the Trust's expenses to exceed the limit reflected above: (1) interest, facilities and maintenance fees; (2) taxes; (3) dividend expense on short sales; (4) extraordinary or non-routine items, including litigation expenses; and (5) expenses that the Trust has incurred but did not actually pay because of an expense offset arrangement. Unless the Board of Trustees and Invesco mutually agree to amend or continue the fee waiver agreement, it will terminate on August 31, 2014. For the period March 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012, the Adviser had contractually agreed to waive advisory fees and/or reimburse expenses to the extent necessary to limit the Trust's expenses to 1.05%.

For the year ended February 28, 2013, the Adviser waived advisory fees of \$1,600,513.

The Trust has entered into a master administrative services agreement with Invesco pursuant to which the Trust has agreed to pay Invesco for certain administrative costs incurred in providing accounting services to the Trust. For the year ended February 28, 2013, expenses incurred under this agreement are shown in the Statement of Operations as *Administrative services fees*.

Certain officers and trustees of the Trust are officers and directors of Invesco.

NOTE 3 Additional Valuation Information

GAAP defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date, under current market conditions. GAAP establishes a hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation methods giving the highest priority to readily available unadjusted quoted prices in an active market for identical assets (Level 1) and the lowest priority to significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) generally when market prices are not readily available or are unreliable. Based on the valuation inputs, the securities or other investments are tiered into one of three levels. Changes in valuation methods may result in transfers in or out of an investment's assigned level:

- Level 1 Prices are determined using quoted prices in an active market for identical assets.
- Level 2 Prices are determined using other significant observable inputs. Observable inputs are inputs that other market participants may use in pricing a security. These may include quoted prices for similar securities, interest rates, prepayment speeds, credit risk, yield curves, loss severities, default rates, discount rates, volatilities and others.

Level 3 Prices are determined using significant unobservable inputs. In situations where quoted prices or observable inputs are unavailable (for example, when there is little or no market activity for an investment at the end of the period), unobservable inputs may be used. Unobservable inputs reflect the Trust's own assumptions about the factors market participants would use in determining fair value of the securities or instruments and would be based on the best available information.

As of February 28, 2013, all of the securities in the Trust were valued based on Level 2 inputs (see the Schedule of Investments for security categories). The level assigned to the securities valuations may not be an indication of the risk or liquidity associated with investing in those securities. Because of the inherent uncertainties of valuation, the values reflected in the financial statements may materially differ from the value received upon actual sale of those investments.

NOTE 4 Trustees and Officers Fees and Benefits

Trustees and Officers Fees and Benefits include amounts accrued by the Trust to pay remuneration to certain Trustees and Officers of the Trust.

During the year ended February 28, 2013, the Trust paid legal fees of \$121,505 for services rendered by Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP as counsel to the Trust. A trustee of the Trust is of counsel of Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP.

NOTE 5 Cash Balances and Borrowings

The Trust is permitted to temporarily carry a negative or overdrawn balance in its account with State Street Bank and Trust Company, the custodian bank. Such balances, if any at period end, are shown in the Statement of Assets and Liabilities under the payable caption *Amount due custodian*. To compensate the custodian bank for such overdrafts, the overdrawn Trust may either (1) leave funds as a compensating balance in the account so the custodian bank can be compensated by earning the additional interest; or (2) compensate by paying the custodian bank at a rate agreed upon by the custodian bank and Invesco, not to exceed the contractually agreed upon rate.

Inverse floating rate note obligations resulting from the transfer of bonds to Dealer Trusts are accounted for as secured borrowings. The average floating rate notes outstanding and average annual interest and fees related to inverse floating rate note obligations during the year ended February 28, 2013 were \$141,720,000 and 0.92%, respectively.

NOTE 6 Distributions to Shareholders and Tax Components of Net Assets

Tax Character of Distributions to Shareholders Paid During the Years Ended February 28, 2013 and February 29, 2012:

	2013	2012
Ordinary income tax-exempt	\$ 31,151,821	\$ 20,495,284

Tax Components of Net Assets at Period-End:

	2013
Undistributed ordinary income	\$ 893,477
Net unrealized appreciation investments	88,847,498
Temporary book/tax differences	(156,045)

Capital loss carryforward	(85,436,497)
Shares of beneficial interest	658,248,278
Total net assets	\$ 662,396,711

The difference between book-basis and tax-basis unrealized appreciation (depreciation) is due to differences in the timing of recognition of gains and losses on investments for tax and book purposes. The Trust's net unrealized appreciation difference is attributable primarily to TOBS and book to tax accretion and amortization differences.

The temporary book/tax differences are a result of timing differences between book and tax recognition of income and/or expenses. The Trust's temporary book/tax differences are the result of the trustee deferral of compensation and retirement plan benefits.

Capital loss carryforward is calculated and reported as of a specific date. Results of transactions and other activity after that date may affect the amount of capital loss carryforward actually available for the Trust to utilize. The Regulated Investment Company Modernization Act of 2010 (the Act) eliminated the eight-year carryover period for capital losses that arise in taxable years beginning after its enactment date of December 22, 2010. Consequently, these capital losses can be carried forward for an unlimited period. However, capital losses with an expiration period may not be used to offset capital gains until all net capital losses without an expiration date have been utilized. Additionally, post-enactment capital loss carryovers will retain their character as either short-term or long-term capital losses instead of as short-term capital losses as under prior law. The ability to utilize capital loss carryforward in the future may be limited under the Internal Revenue Code and related regulations based on the results of future transactions.

The Trust utilized \$102,757 of capital loss carryforward in the current period to offset net realized capital gain for federal income tax purposes. The Trust has a capital loss carryforward as of February 28, 2013, which expires as follows:

Expiration	Capital Loss Carryforward*		
	Short-Term	Long-Term	Total
February 28, 2014	\$ 276,149	\$	\$ 276,149
February 28, 2015	3,180,940		3,180,940
February 29, 2016	28,598,088		28,598,088
February 28, 2017	33,297,240		33,297,240
February 28, 2018	6,860,536		6,860,536
February 28, 2019	3,798,339		3,798,339
Not subject to expiration		9,425,205	9,425,205
	\$ 76,011,292	\$ 9,425,205	\$ 85,436,497

*Capital loss carryforward as of the date listed above is reduced for limitations, if any, to the extent required by the Internal Revenue Code. To the extent that unrealized gains as of August 27, 2012, the date of the reorganization of Invesco California Municipal Income Trust, Invesco California Municipal Securities and Invesco California Quality Municipal Securities into the Trust, are realized on securities held in each trust at such date of the reorganization, the capital loss carryforward may be further limited for up to five years from the date of the reorganization.

NOTE 7 Investment Securities

The aggregate amount of investment securities (other than short-term securities, U.S. Treasury obligations and money market funds, if any) purchased and sold by the Trust during the year ended February 28, 2013 was \$115,267,580 and \$48,675,588, respectively. Cost of investments on a tax basis includes the adjustments for financial reporting purposes as of the most recently completed federal income tax reporting period-end.

Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation) of Investment Securities on a Tax Basis

Aggregate unrealized appreciation of investment securities	\$ 89,024,345
Aggregate unrealized (depreciation) of investment securities	(176,847)
Net unrealized appreciation of investment securities	\$ 88,847,498

Cost of investments for tax purposes is \$940,121,879.

NOTE 8 Reclassification of Permanent Differences

Primarily as a result of differing book/tax treatment of VMTP Shares and taxable income, on February 28, 2013, undistributed net investment income was increased by \$100,943, undistributed net realized gain (loss) was increased by \$14 and shares of beneficial interest was decreased by \$100,957. Further, as a result of tax deferrals acquired in the reorganization of Invesco California Municipal Income Trust, Invesco California Municipal Securities and Invesco California Quality Municipal Securities into the Trust, undistributed net investment income was increased by \$311,148, undistributed net realized gain (loss) was decreased by \$14,660,011 and shares of beneficial interest was increased by \$14,348,863. This reclassification had no effect on the net assets of the Trust.

Invesco California Value Municipal Income Trust

NOTE 9 Common Shares of Beneficial Interest

Transactions in common shares of beneficial interest were as follows:

	February 28, 2013	February 29, 2012
Beginning shares	22,142,812	22,106,471
Shares issued in connection with acquisition ^(a)	25,670,636	
Shares issued through dividend reinvestment	43,473	36,341
Ending shares	47,856,921	22,142,812

^(a) As of the opening of business on August 27, 2012, Invesco California Municipal Income Trust, Invesco California Municipal Securities and Invesco California Quality Municipal Securities (the Target Trusts) merged with and into the Trust pursuant to a plan of reorganization approved by the Trustees of the Trust on November 28, 2011 and by the shareholders of the Target Trusts on August 14, 2012. The reorganization was accomplished by a tax-free exchange of 25,670,636 shares of the Trust for 10,467,280 shares outstanding of Invesco California Municipal Income Trust, 3,399,954 shares outstanding of Invesco California Municipal Securities and 8,787,475 shares outstanding of Invesco California Quality Municipal Securities as of the close of business on August 24, 2012. Common shares of the Target Trusts were exchanged for common shares of the Trust, based on the relative net asset value of the Target Trusts to the net asset value of the Trust as of the close of business on August 24, 2012. Invesco California Municipal Income Trust's net assets as of the close of business on August 24, 2012 of \$166,017,589, including \$18,136,381 of unrealized appreciation, Invesco California Municipal Securities' net assets as of the close of business on August 24, 2012 of \$53,419,190, including \$5,382,534 of unrealized appreciation, and Invesco California Quality Municipal Securities' net assets as of the close of business on August 24, 2012 of \$129,453,068, including \$15,180,112 of unrealized appreciation, were combined with those of the Trust. The net assets of the Trust immediately before the reorganization were \$301,173,997 and \$650,063,844 immediately after the reorganization.

The pro forma results of operations for the year ended February 28, 2013 assuming the reorganization had been completed on March 1, 2012, the beginning of the annual reporting period are as follows:

Net investment income	\$ 37,522,170
Net realized/unrealized gains	27,418,584
Change in net assets resulting from operations	\$ 64,940,754

The combined investment portfolios have been managed as a single integrated portfolio since the reorganization was completed it is not practicable to separate the amounts of revenue and earnings of the Target Trusts that have been included in the Trust's Statement of Operations since August 27, 2012.

The Trust may, when appropriate, purchase shares in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions at a price not above market value or net asset value, whichever is lower at the time of purchase.

NOTE 10 Auction Rate Preferred Shares

The Trust is authorized to issue Auction Rate Preferred Shares (ARPS). From May 22, 2012 through June 21, 2012, the Trust redeemed all of its outstanding ARPS at their respective liquidation preference, including accrued and unpaid dividends, if any, through the redemption date. The redemptions were funded with proceeds received from the issuance of VMTP Shares.

Edgar Filing: Invesco California Value Municipal Income Trust - Form N-CSR

Historically, the Trust paid annual fees equivalent to 0.25% of the ARPS liquidation value for the remarketing efforts associated with the auction. Effective March 16, 2009, the Trust decreased this amount to 0.15% due to auction failures. These fees were terminated on June 21, 2012 when the last of the Trust's outstanding ARPS were redeemed. These fees are included as a component of *Interest, facilities and maintenance fees* expense on the Statement of Operations.

Dividends on the ARPS, which are cumulative, are reset through auction procedures.

Series	Range of Dividend Rates
A	0.198-0.396%
B	0.198-0.350
C	0.213-0.381
D	0.244-0.381

For the period March 1, 2012 through June 21, 2012.

The Trust was subject to certain restrictions relating to the ARPS. Failure to comply with these restrictions could have precluded the Trust from declaring any distributions to common shareholders or purchasing common shares and/or could trigger the mandatory redemption of ARPS at liquidation value.

Beginning February 13, 2008 and continuing through June 21, 2012, all series of ARPS of the Trust were not successfully remarketed. As a result, the dividend rates of these ARPS were reset to the maximum applicable rate.

Transactions in ARPS were as follows:

	Series A		Series B		Series C		Series D	
	Shares	Value	Shares	Value	Shares	Value	Shares	Value
Outstanding at February 29, 2012	1,392	\$ 34,800,000	1,740	\$ 43,500,000	1,044	\$ 26,100,000	464	\$ 11,600,000
Shares redeemed	(1,392)	(34,800,000)	(1,740)	(43,500,000)	(1,044)	(26,100,000)	(464)	(11,600,000)
Outstanding at February 28, 2013		\$		\$		\$		\$

NOTE 11 Variable Rate Muni Term Preferred Shares

On May 15, 2012, the Trust issued 1,160 Series 2015/6-VCV VMTP Shares, with a liquidation preference of \$100,000 per share pursuant to an offering exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933. Proceeds from the issuance of VMTP Shares on May 15, 2012 were used to redeem all of the Trust's outstanding ARPS. In addition, the Trust issued 723 Series 2015/6-VCV VMTP Shares in connection with the reorganization of the Invesco California Municipal Income Trust and Invesco California Quality Municipal Securities into the Trust with a liquidation preference of \$100,000 per share. VMTP Shares are a floating-rate form of preferred shares with a mandatory redemption date. The Trust is required to redeem all outstanding VMTP Shares on June 1, 2015, unless earlier redeemed, repurchased or extended. VMTP Shares are subject to optional and mandatory redemption in certain circumstances. The redemption price per share is equal to the sum of the liquidation value per share plus any accumulated but unpaid dividends and a redemption premium, if any. On or prior to the redemption date, the Trust will be required to segregate assets having a value equal to 110% of the redemption amount.

The Trust incurred costs in connection with the issuance of the VMTP Shares. These costs were recorded as a deferred charge and are being amortized over the 3 year life of the VMTP Shares. Amortization of these costs is included in *Interest, facilities and maintenance fees* on the Statement of Operations and the unamortized balance is included in *Deferred offering costs* on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities.

Dividends paid on the VMTP Shares (which are treated as interest expense for financial reporting purposes) are declared daily and paid monthly. The initial rate for dividends was equal to the sum of 1.05% per annum plus the Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association Municipal Swap Index (the SIFMA Index). Subsequent rates are determined based upon changes in the SIFMA Index and take into account a ratings spread of 1.05% to 4.00%, which is based on the long term preferred share ratings assigned to the VMTP Shares by a ratings agency. The average liquidation value outstanding and the average annualized dividend rate of the VMTP Shares during the year ended February 28, 2013 were \$162,371,724 and 1.20%, respectively.

The Trust is subject to certain restrictions relating to the VMTP Shares, such as maintaining certain asset coverage and leverage ratio requirements. Failure to comply with these restrictions could preclude the Trust from declaring any distributions to common shareholders or purchasing common shares and/or could trigger the mandatory redemption of VMTP Shares at liquidation value.

For financial reporting purposes, the liquidation value of VMTP Shares, which are considered a debt of the Trust, is recorded as a liability under the caption *Variable rate muni term preferred shares* on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities. Unpaid dividends on VMTP Shares are recognized as *Accrued interest expense* on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities. Dividends paid on VMTP Shares are recognized as a component of *Interest, facilities and maintenance fees* on the Statement of Operations.

NOTE 12 Dividends

The Trust declared the following dividends to common shareholders from net investment income subsequent to February 28, 2013:

Declaration Date	Amount per Share	Record Date	Payable Date
March 1, 2013	\$ 0.066	March 11, 2013	March 28, 2013
April 1, 2013	\$ 0.066	April 11, 2013	April 30, 2013

Invesco California Value Municipal Income Trust

NOTE 13 Financial Highlights

The following schedule presents financial highlights for a share of the Trust outstanding throughout the periods indicated.

	Four months ended February 28,			Years ended October 31,		
	February 28, 2011	February 29, 2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Net asset value per common share, beginning of period	\$ 13.23	\$ 10.96	\$ 12.72	\$ 11.78	\$ 10.11	\$ 15.38
Net investment income ^(a)	0.80	0.91	0.32	0.98	1.04	1.18
Net gains (losses) on securities (both realized and unrealized)	0.67	2.28	(1.77)	0.89	1.58	(5.28)
Dividends paid to preferred shareholders from net investment income	(0.00)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.02)	(0.06)	(0.32)
Total from investment operations	1.47	3.18	(1.46)	1.85	2.56	(4.42)
Dividends paid to common shareholders from net investment income	(0.86)	(0.91)	(0.30)	(0.91)	(0.89)	(0.85)
Net asset value per common share, end of period	\$ 13.84	\$ 13.23	\$ 10.96	\$ 12.72	\$ 11.78	\$ 10.11
Market value per common share, end of period	\$ 13.88	\$ 14.01	\$ 11.21	\$ 13.02	\$ 12.02	\$ 10.34
Total return at net asset value ^(b)	11.43%	30.26%	(11.47)%	16.33%		
Total return at market value ^(c)	5.53%	34.87%	(11.54)%	16.70%	26.67%	(28.65)%
Net assets applicable to common shares, end of period	\$ 662,397	\$ 293,012	\$ 242,336	\$ 280,950	\$ 259,740	\$ 222,299

Edgar Filing: Invesco California Value Municipal Income Trust - Form N-CSR

(000 s omitted)						
Portfolio turnover rate ^(d)	9%	20%	4%	12%	30%	33%
Ratios/supplemental data based on average net assets applicable to common shares:						
Ratio of expenses:						
With fee waivers and/or expense reimbursements	1.32% ^(e)	1.35% ^(f)	1.40% ^{(f)(g)(h)}	1.36% ^(f)	1.53% ^(f)	2.03% ^(f)
With fee waivers and/or expense reimbursements excluding interest, facilities and maintenance fees ⁽ⁱ⁾	0.70% ^(e)	1.05% ^(f)	1.05% ^{(f)(g)(h)}	1.12% ^(f)	1.19% ^(f)	0.97% ^(f)
Without fee waivers and/or expense reimbursements	1.65% ^(e)	1.41% ^(f)	1.43% ^{(f)(g)(h)}	1.46% ^(f)	1.72% ^(f)	2.19% ^(f)
Ratio of net investment income before preferred share dividends	5.88% ^(e)	7.60%	8.66% ^{(g)(h)}	8.03%	9.97%	8.52%
Preferred share dividends	0.02% ^(e)	0.12%	0.22% ^(h)			
Ratio of net investment income after preferred share dividends	5.86% ^(e)	7.48%	8.44% ^{(g)(h)}	7.83%	9.37%	6.23%
Senior securities:						
Total amount of preferred shares outstanding (000 s omitted) ^(j)	\$ 188,053	\$ 116,000	\$ 130,000	\$ 130,000	\$ 140,000	\$ 160,000
Asset coverage per preferred share ^{(j)(k)}	\$ 451,646	\$ 88,149	\$ 71,603	\$ 79,032	\$ 71,385	\$ 59,769
Liquidating preference per preferred share ^(j)	\$ 100,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000

(a) Calculated using average shares outstanding.

- (b) Includes adjustments in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and as such, the net asset value for financial reporting purposes and the returns based upon those net asset values may differ from the net asset value and returns for shareholder transactions. Not annualized for periods less than one year, if applicable.
- (c) Total return assumes an investment at the common share market price at the beginning of the period indicated, reinvestment of all distributions for the period in accordance with the Trust's dividend reinvestment plan, and sale of all shares at the closing common share market price at the end of the period indicated. Not annualized for periods less than one year, if applicable.
- (d) Portfolio turnover is calculated at the fund level and is not annualized for periods less than one year, if applicable. For the year ended February 28, 2013, the portfolio turnover calculation excludes the value of securities purchased and sold of \$366,840,986 and \$13,626,947, respectively, in the effort to realign the Trust's portfolio holdings after the reorganization of the Target Trusts into the Trust.
- (e) Ratios are based on average daily net assets applicable to common shares (000's omitted) of \$480,922.
- (f) Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.
- (g) Ratio includes an adjustment for a change in accounting estimate for professional fees during the period. Ratios excluding this adjustment would have been higher by 0.05%.
- (h) Annualized.
- (i) For the years ended October 31, 2010 and prior, ratio does not exclude facilities and maintenance fees.
- (j) For the years ended February 29, 2012 and prior, amounts are based on ARPS outstanding.
- (k) Calculated by subtracting the Trust's total liabilities (not including preferred shares) from the Trust's total assets and dividing this by preferred shares outstanding.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Trustees and Shareholders of Invesco California Value Municipal Income Trust:

In our opinion, the accompanying statement of assets and liabilities, including the schedule of investments, and the related statements of operations, of changes in net assets and of cash flows and the financial highlights present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Invesco California Value Municipal Income Trust (formerly known as Invesco Van Kampen California Value Municipal Income Trust; hereafter referred to as the Trust) at February 28, 2013, the results of its operations and cash flows for the year then ended, the changes in its net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended and the financial highlights for each of the two years in the period then ended, the period ended February 28, 2011 and the year ended October 31, 2010, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These financial statements and financial highlights (hereafter referred to as financial statements) are the responsibility of the Trust s management; our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these financial statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits, which included confirmation of securities at February 28, 2013 by correspondence with the custodian and brokers, provide a reasonable basis for our opinion. The financial highlights of the Trust for the periods ended October 31, 2009 and prior were audited by another independent registered public accounting firm whose report dated December 21, 2009 expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements.

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP

April 26, 2013

Houston, Texas

Tax Information

Form 1099-DIV, Form 1042-S and other year end tax information provide shareholders with actual calendar year amounts that should be included in their tax returns. Shareholders should consult their tax advisors.

The following distribution information is being provided as required by the Internal Revenue Code or to meet a specific state's requirement.

The Trust designates the following amounts or, if subsequently determined to be different, the maximum amount allowable for its fiscal year ended February 28, 2013:

Federal and State Income Tax

Qualified Dividend Income*	0%
Corporate Dividends Received Deduction*	0%
Tax-Exempt Interest Dividends*	100%

* The above percentages are based on ordinary income dividends paid to shareholders during the Trust's fiscal year.

Trustees and Officers

The address of each trustee and officer is 1555 Peachtree Street, N.E., Atlanta, Georgia 30309. Generally, each trustee serves for a three year term or until his or her successor has been duly elected and qualified, and each officer serves for a one year term or until his or her successor has been duly elected and qualified. Column two below includes length of time served with predecessor entities, if any.

Name, Year of Birth and Position(s) Held with the Trust Interested Persons	Trustee and/ or Officer Since	Principal Occupation(s) During Past 5 Years	Number of Funds in Fund Complex Overseen by Trustee	Other Directorship(s) Held by Trustee During Past 5 Years
Colin Meadows 1971 Trustee, President and Principal Executive Officer	2010	Chief Administrative Officer, Invesco Advisers, Inc., since 2006; Senior Managing Director and Chief Administrative Officer of Invesco, Ltd. Since 2006. Prior to 2006, Senior Vice President of business development and mergers and acquisitions at GE Consumer Finance; Prior to 2005, Senior Vice President of strategic planning and technology at Wells Fargo Bank; From 1996 to 2003, associate principal with McKinsey & Company, focusing on the financial services and venture capital industries, with emphasis in banking and asset management sectors	13	None
Wayne W. Whalen ¹ 1939 Trustee and Chair	1993	Of Counsel, and prior to 2010, partner in the law firm of Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP, legal counsel to funds in the Fund Complex	137	Director and Chairman of the Abraham Lincoln Presidential Library Foundation; Director of the Mutual Fund Directors Forum, a nonprofit membership

Independent Trustees

David C. Arch 1945

Trustee

1993

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Blistex Inc., (consumer health care products manufacturer)

137

organization for investment directors; Director of the Stevenson Center for Democracy; Trustee/Managing General Partner of funds in the Fund Complex

Trustee/Managing General Partner of funds in the Fund Complex. Board member of the Illinois Manufacturers Association; Member of the Board of Visitors, Institute for the Humanities, University of Michigan

Jerry D. Choate 1938

Trustee

2003

Formerly: Member of the Heartland Alliance Advisory Board, a nonprofit organization serving human needs based in Chicago
From 1995 to 1999, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Allstate Corporation (Allstate) and Allstate Insurance Company.
From 1994 to 1995, President and Chief Executive Officer of Allstate. Prior to 1994, various management positions at Allstate

13

Trustee/Managing General Partner of funds in the Fund Complex. Director since 1998 and member of the governance and nominating committee, executive committee, compensation and management development committee and equity award committee, of Amgen Inc., a biotechnological company. Director since 1999 and member of the nominating and governance committee and compensation and executive committee, of Valero Energy Corporation, a crude oil refining and marketing company. Previously, from 2006 to 2007, Director and member of the compensation committee and audit committee, of H&R



Linda Hutton Heagy Trustee	1948	2003	Retired. Prior to June 2008, Managing Partner of Heidrick & Struggles, the second largest global executive search firm, and from 2001-2004, Regional Managing Director of U.S. operations at Heidrick & Struggles. Prior to 1997, Managing Partner of Ray & Berndtson, Inc., an executive recruiting firm. Prior to 1995, Executive Vice President of ABN AMRO, N.A., a bank holding company, with oversight for treasury management operations including all non-credit product pricing. Prior to 1990, experience includes Executive Vice President of The Exchange National Bank with oversight of treasury management including capital markets operations, Vice President of Northern Trust Company and a trainee at Price Waterhouse	13	Block, a tax preparation services company Trustee/Managing General Partner of funds in the Fund Complex. Prior to 2010, Trustee on the University of Chicago Medical Center Board, Vice Chair of the Board of the YMCA of Metropolitan Chicago and a member of the Women s Board of the University of Chicago
-------------------------------	------	------	--	----	--

¹ Mr. Whalen is considered an interested person (within the meaning of Section 2(a)(19) of the 1940 Act) of certain Funds in the Invesco Fund Complex because he and his firm currently provide legal services as legal counsel to such Funds.

Trustees and Officers (continued)

Name, Year of Birth and Position(s) Held with the Trust	Trustee and/ or Officer Since	Principal Occupation(s) During Past 5 Years	Number of Funds in Fund Complex Overseen by Trustee	Other Directorship(s) Held by Trustee During Past 5 Years
Independent Trustees (continued) R. Craig Kennedy 1952 Trustee	2003	Director and President of the German Marshall Fund of the United States, an independent U.S. foundation created to deepen understanding, promote collaboration and stimulate exchanges of practical experience between Americans and Europeans. Formerly, advisor to the Dennis Trading Group Inc., a managed futures and option company that invests money for individuals and institutions. Prior to 1992, President and Chief Executive Officer, Director and member of the Investment Committee of the Joyce Foundation, a private foundation	13	Trustee/Managing General Partner of funds in the Fund Complex. Director of First Solar, Inc. Advisory Board, True North Ventures
Hugo F. Sonnenschein 1940 Trustee	1994	Distinguished Service Professor and President Emeritus of the University of Chicago and the Adam Smith Distinguished Service Professor in the Department of Economics at the University of Chicago	137	Trustee/Managing General Partner of funds in the Fund Complex. Trustee of the University of Rochester and a member of its investment committee. Member of the National Academy of Sciences, the American Philosophical Society and a fellow of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences

<p>Suzanne H. Woolsey, Ph.D. 1941</p> <p>Trustee</p>	<p>2003</p>	<p>Formerly: President of the University of Chicago Chief Executive Officer of Woolsey Partners LLC. Chief Communications Officer of the National Academy of Sciences and Engineering and Institute of Medicine/National Research Council, an independent, federally chartered policy institution, from 2001 to November 2003 and Chief Operating Officer from 1993 to 2001. Executive Director of the Commission on Behavioral and Social Sciences and Education at the National Academy of Sciences/National Research Council from 1989 to 1993. Prior to 1980, experience includes Partner of Coopers & Lybrand (from 1980 to 1989), Associate Director of the US Office of Management and Budget (from 1977 to 1980) and Program Director of the Urban Institute (from 1975 to 1977)</p>	<p>13</p>	<p>Trustee/Managing General Partner of funds in the Fund Complex. Independent Director and audit committee chairperson of Changing World Technologies, Inc., an energy manufacturing company, since July 2008. Independent Director and member of audit and governance committees of Fluor Corp., a global engineering, construction and management company, since January 2004. Director of Intelligent Medical Devices, Inc., a private company which develops symptom-based diagnostic tools for viral respiratory infections. Advisory Board member of ExactCost LLC, a private company providing activity-based costing for hospitals, laboratories, clinics, and physicians, since 2008. Chairperson of the Board of Trustees of the Institute for Defense Analyses, a federally funded research and development center, since 2000. Trustee from 1992 to 2000 and 2002 to present, current chairperson of the finance committee, current member of the audit committee, strategic growth committee and executive committee, and former Chairperson of the Board</p>
--	-------------	--	-----------	--



of Trustees (from 1997 to 1999), of the German Marshall Fund of the United States, a public foundation. Lead Independent Trustee of the Rocky Mountain Institute, a non-profit energy and environmental institute; Trustee since 2004. Chairperson of the Board of Trustees of the Colorado College; Trustee since 1995. Trustee of California Institute of Technology. Previously, Independent Director and member of audit committee and governance committee of Neurogen Corporation from 1998 to 2006; and Independent Director of Arbros Communications from 2000 to 2002

T-2

Invesco California Value Municipal Income Trust

Trustees and Officers (continued)

Name, Year of Birth and Position(s) Held with the Trust	Trustee and/ or Officer Since	Principal Occupation(s) During Past 5 Years	Number of Funds in Fund Complex Overseen by Trustee	Other Directorship(s) Held by Trustee During Past 5 Years
Other Officers John M. Zerr 1962 Senior Vice President, Chief Legal Officer and Secretary	2010	Director, Senior Vice President, Secretary and General Counsel, Invesco Management Group, Inc. (formerly known as Invesco Aim Management Group, Inc.) and Van Kampen Exchange Corp.; Senior Vice President, Invesco Advisers, Inc. (formerly known as Invesco Institutional (N.A.), Inc.) (registered investment adviser); Senior Vice President and Secretary, Invesco Distributors, Inc. (formerly known as Invesco Aim Distributors, Inc.); Director, Vice President and Secretary, Invesco Investment Services, Inc. (formerly known as Invesco Aim Investment Services, Inc.) and IVZ Distributors, Inc. (formerly known as INVESCO Distributors, Inc.); Director and Vice President, INVESCO Funds Group, Inc.; Senior Vice President, Chief Legal Officer and Secretary, The Invesco Funds; Manager, Invesco PowerShares Capital Management LLC; Director, Secretary and General Counsel,	N/A	N/A

Invesco Investment
Advisers LLC (formerly
known as Van Kampen
Asset Management);
Secretary and General
Counsel, Invesco Capital
Markets, Inc. (formerly
known as Van Kampen
Funds Inc.) and Chief
Legal Officer,
PowerShares
Exchange-Traded Fund
Trust, PowerShares
Exchange-Traded Fund
Trust II, PowerShares
India Exchange-Traded
Fund Trust and
PowerShares Actively
Managed
Exchange-Traded Fund
Trust

Formerly: Director and
Vice President, Van
Kampen Advisors Inc.;
Director, Vice President,
Secretary and General
Counsel Van Kampen
Investor Services Inc.;
Director, Invesco
Distributors, Inc.
(formerly known as
Invesco Aim
Distributors, Inc.);
Director, Senior Vice
President, General
Counsel and Secretary,
Invesco Aim Advisers,
Inc. and Van Kampen
Investments Inc.;
Director, Vice President
and Secretary, Fund
Management Company;
Director, Senior Vice
President, Secretary,
General Counsel and
Vice President, Invesco
Aim Capital
Management, Inc.; Chief

<p>Karen Dunn Kelley Vice President</p>	<p>1960</p>	<p>2010</p>	<p>Operating Officer and General Counsel, Liberty Ridge Capital, Inc. (an investment adviser); Vice President and Secretary, PBHG Funds (an investment company) and PBHG Insurance Series Fund (an investment company); Chief Operating Officer, General Counsel and Secretary, Old Mutual Investment Partners (a broker-dealer); General Counsel and Secretary, Old Mutual Fund Services (an administrator) and Old Mutual Shareholder Services (a shareholder servicing center); Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary, Old Mutual Capital, Inc. (an investment adviser); and Vice President and Secretary, Old Mutual Advisors Funds (an investment company); Head of Invesco's World Wide Fixed Income and Cash Management Group; Co-President, Co-Chief Executive Officer, and Co-Chairman, Invesco Advisers, Inc. (formerly known as Invesco Institutional (N.A.), Inc.) (registered investment adviser); Senior Vice President, Invesco Management Group, Inc. (formerly known as Invesco Aim Management Group, Inc.); Executive Vice President, Invesco Distributors, Inc.</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>N/A</p>
---	-------------	-------------	--	------------	------------

(formerly known as Invesco Aim Distributors, Inc.); Director, Invesco Mortgage Capital Inc., INVESCO Global Asset Management Limited, Invesco Management Company Limited and INVESCO Management S.A.; Vice President, The Invesco Funds (other than AIM Treasurer s Series Trust (Invesco Treasurer s Series Trust) and Short-Term Investments Trust); and President and Principal Executive Officer, The Invesco Funds (AIM Treasurer s Series Trust (Invesco Treasurer s Series Trust) and Short-Term Investments Trust only)

Formerly: Senior Vice President, Van Kampen Investments Inc. and Invesco Advisers, Inc. (formerly known as Invesco Institutional (N.A.), Inc.) (registered investment adviser); Vice President, Invesco Advisers, Inc. (formerly known as Invesco Institutional (N.A.), Inc.); Director of Cash Management and Senior Vice President, Invesco Advisers, Inc. and Invesco Aim Capital Management, Inc.; President and Principal Executive Officer, Tax-Free Investments Trust; Director and President, Fund

Management Company;
Chief Cash Management
Officer, Director of Cash
Management, Senior
Vice President, and
Managing Director,
Invesco Aim Capital
Management, Inc.;
Director of Cash
Management, Senior
Vice President, and Vice
President, Invesco
Advisers, Inc. and The
Invesco Funds (AIM
Treasurer's Series Trust
(Invesco Treasurer's
Series Trust),
Short-Term Investments
Trust and Tax-Free
Investments Trust only)

T-3

Invesco California Value Municipal Income Trust

Trustees and Officers (continued)

Name, Year of Birth and Position(s) Held with the Trust Other Officers (continued)	Trustee and/ or Officer Since	Principal Occupation(s) During Past 5 Years	Number of Funds in Fund Complex Overseen by Trustee	Other Directorship(s) Held by Trustee During Past 5 Years
Sheri Morris 1964 Vice President, Principal Financial Officer and Treasurer	2010	<p>Vice President, Treasurer and Principal Financial Officer, The Invesco Funds; Vice President, Invesco Advisers, Inc. (formerly known as Invesco Institutional (N.A.), Inc.) (registered investment adviser); and Vice President, PowerShares Exchange-Traded Fund Trust, PowerShares Exchange-Traded Fund Trust II, PowerShares India Exchange-Traded Fund Trust and PowerShares Actively Managed Exchange-Traded Fund Trust</p> <p>Formerly: Vice President, Invesco Aim Advisers, Inc., Invesco Aim Capital Management, Inc. and Invesco Aim Private Asset Management, Inc.; Assistant Vice President and Assistant Treasurer, The Invesco Funds and Assistant Vice President, Invesco Advisers, Inc., Invesco Aim Capital Management, Inc. and Invesco Aim Private Asset Management, Inc.;</p>	N/A	N/A

<p>Yinka Akinsola 1977 Anti-Money Laundering Compliance Officer</p>	<p>2012</p>	<p>and Treasurer, PowerShares Exchange-Traded Fund Trust, PowerShares Exchange-Traded Fund Trust II, PowerShares India Exchange-Traded Fund Trust and PowerShares Actively Managed Exchange-Traded Fund Trust Anti-Money Laundering Compliance Officer, Invesco Advisers, Inc. (formerly known as Invesco Institutional (N.A.), Inc.) (registered investment adviser); Invesco Distributors, Inc. (formerly known as Invesco Aim Distributors, Inc.), Invesco Investment Services, Inc. (formerly known as Invesco Aim Investment Services, Inc.), Invesco Management Group, Inc., The Invesco Funds, Invesco Van Kampen Closed-End Funds, Van Kampen Exchange Corp., Invesco Capital Markets, Inc. (formerly known as Van Kampen Funds Inc.), PowerShares Exchange-Traded Fund Trust, PowerShares Exchange-Traded Fund Trust II, PowerShares India Exchange-Traded Fund Trust, and PowerShares Actively Managed Exchange-Traded Fund Trust</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>N/A</p>
---	-------------	---	------------	------------

Edgar Filing: Invesco California Value Municipal Income Trust - Form N-CSR

Valinda J. Arnett-Patton	1959	2011	Formerly: Regulatory Analyst III, Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA) Chief Compliance Officer, The Invesco Van Kampen Closed-End Funds	N/A	N/A
Chief Compliance Officer					

Trust	Investment Adviser	Auditors	Custodian
tree Street, N.E. A 30309	Invesco Advisers, Inc. 1555 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, GA 30309	PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP 1201 Louisiana Street, Suite 2900 Houston, TX 77002-5678	State Street Bank and Trust 225 Franklin Street Boston, MA 02110-2801

Trust	Transfer Agent
rps, Slate, Meagher & Flom, LLP Square NY 10036	Computershare Trust Company, N.A. 250 Royall Street Canton, MA 02021

T-4 Invesco California Value Municipal Income Trust

Correspondence information

Send general correspondence to Computershare, P.O. Box 43078, Providence, RI 02940-3078.

Invesco privacy policy

You share personal and financial information with us that is necessary for your transactions and your account records. We take very seriously the obligation to keep that information confidential and private.

Invesco collects nonpublic personal information about you from account applications or other forms you complete and from your transactions with us or our affiliates. We do not disclose information about you or our former customers to service providers or other third parties except to the extent necessary to service your account and in other limited circumstances as permitted by law. For example, we use this information to facilitate the delivery of transaction confirmations, financial reports, prospectuses and tax forms.

Even within Invesco, only people involved in the servicing of your accounts and compliance monitoring have access to your information. To ensure the highest level of confidentiality and security, Invesco maintains physical, electronic and procedural safeguards that meet or exceed federal standards. Special measures, such as data encryption and authentication, apply to your communications with us on our website. More detail is available to you at invesco.com/privacy.

Trust holdings and proxy voting information

The Trust provides a complete list of its holdings four times in each fiscal year, at the quarter ends. For the second and fourth quarters, the lists appear in the Trust's semiannual and annual reports to shareholders. For the first and third quarters, the Trust files the lists with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) on Form N-Q. The most recent list of portfolio holdings is available at invesco.com/completeqtrholdings.

Shareholders can also look up the Trust's Forms N-Q on the SEC website at sec.gov. Copies of the Trust's Forms N-Q may be reviewed and copied at the SEC Public Reference Room in Washington, D.C. You can obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room, including information about duplicating fee charges, by calling 202 551 8090 or 800 732 0330, or by electronic request at the following email address: publicinfo@sec.gov. The SEC file number for the Trust is shown below.

A description of the policies and procedures that the Trust uses to determine how to vote proxies relating to portfolio securities is available without charge, upon request, from our Client Services department at 800 341 2929 or at invesco.com/proxyguidelines. The information is also available on the SEC website, sec.gov.

Edgar Filing: Invesco California Value Municipal Income Trust - Form N-CSR

Information regarding how the Trust voted proxies related to its portfolio securities during the most recent 12-month period ended June 30, is available at invesco.com/proxysearch. In addition, this information is available on the SEC website at sec.gov.

SEC file number: 811-07404

VK-CE-CAVMI-AR-1

Edgar Filing: Invesco California Value Municipal Income Trust - Form N-CSR

ITEM 2. CODE OF ETHICS.

There were no amendments to the Code of Ethics (the Code) that applies to the Registrant's Principal Executive Officer (PEO) and Principal Financial Officer (PFO) during the period covered by the report. The Registrant did not grant any waivers, including implicit waivers, from any provisions of the Code to the PEO or PFO during the period covered by this report.

ITEM 3. AUDIT COMMITTEE FINANCIAL EXPERT.

The Board of Trustees has determined that the Registrant has at least one audit committee financial expert serving on its Audit Committee. The Audit Committee financial experts are Jerry D. Choate, Linda Hutton Heagy and R. Craig Kennedy. Jerry D. Choate, Linda Hutton Heagy and R. Craig Kennedy are independent within the meaning of that term as used in Form N-CSR.

ITEM 4. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES.

Fees Billed by PWC Related to the Registrant

PWC billed the Registrant aggregate fees for services rendered to the Registrant for the last two fiscal years as follows:

	Fees Billed for Services Rendered to the Registrant for fiscal year end 2/28/2013	Percentage of Fees Billed Applicable to Non-Audit Services Provided for fiscal year end 2/28/2013 Pursuant to Waiver of Pre-Approval Requirement ⁽¹⁾	Fees Billed for Services Rendered to the Registrant for fiscal year end 2/29/2012	Percentage of Fees Billed Applicable to Non-Audit Services Provided for fiscal year end 2/29/2012 Pursuant to Waiver of Pre-Approval Requirement ⁽¹⁾
Audit Fees	\$ 70,420	N/A	\$ 36,300	N/A
Audit-Related Fees ⁽²⁾	\$ 21,090	0%	\$ 5,000	0%
Tax Fees ⁽³⁾	\$ 20,550	0%	\$ 5,700	0%
All Other Fees ⁽⁴⁾	\$ 0	0%	\$ 0	0%
Total Fees	\$ 112,060	0%	\$ 47,000	0%

PWC billed the Registrant aggregate non-audit fees of \$41,640 for the fiscal year ended February 28, 2013, and \$10,700 for the fiscal year ended February 29, 2012, for non-audit services rendered to the Registrant.

- (1) With respect to the provision of non-audit services, the pre-approval requirement is waived pursuant to a de minimis exception if (i) such services were not recognized as non-audit services by the Registrant at the time of engagement, (ii) the aggregate amount of all such services provided is no more than 5% of the aggregate audit and non-audit fees paid by the Registrant to PWC during a fiscal year; and (iii) such services are promptly brought to the attention of the Registrant's Audit Committee and approved by the Registrant's Audit Committee prior to the completion of the audit.
- (2) Audit-Related fees for the fiscal year end February 28, 2013 includes fees billed for agreed upon procedures related to variable municipal term preferred shares. Audit-Related fees for the fiscal year end February 29, 2012 includes fees billed for agreed upon procedures related to auction rate preferred securities.
- (3) Tax fees for the fiscal year end February 28, 2013 includes fees billed for reviewing tax returns. Tax fees for the fiscal year end February 29, 2012 includes fees billed for reviewing tax returns.

Fees Billed by PWC Related to Invesco and Invesco Affiliates

PWC billed Invesco Advisers, Inc. (Invesco), the Registrant's adviser, and any entity controlling, controlled by or under common control with Invesco that provides ongoing services to the Registrant (Invesco Affiliates) aggregate fees for pre-approved non-audit services rendered to Invesco and Invesco Affiliates for the last two fiscal years as follows:

	Fees Billed for Non-Audit Services Rendered to Invesco and Invesco Affiliates for fiscal year end 2/28/2013 That Were Required to be Pre-Approved by the Registrant's Audit Committee	Percentage of Fees Billed Applicable to Non-Audit Services Provided for fiscal year end 2/28/2013 Pursuant to Waiver of Pre-Approval Requirement ⁽¹⁾	Fees Billed for Non-Audit Services Rendered to Invesco and Invesco Affiliates for fiscal year end 2/29/2012 That Were Required to be Pre-Approved by the Registrant's Audit Committee	Percentage of Fees Billed Applicable to Non-Audit Services Provided for fiscal year end 2/29/2012 Pursuant to Waiver of Pre-Approval Requirement ⁽¹⁾
Audit-Related Fees	\$ 0	0%	\$ 0	0%
Tax Fees	\$ 0	0%	\$ 0	0%
All Other Fees	\$ 0	0%	\$ 0	0%
Total Fees ⁽²⁾	\$ 0	0%	\$ 0	0%

- (1) With respect to the provision of non-audit services, the pre-approval requirement is waived pursuant to a de minimis exception if (i) such services were not recognized as non-audit services by the Registrant at the time of engagement, (ii) the aggregate amount of all such services provided is no more than 5% of the aggregate audit and non-audit fees paid by the Registrant, Invesco and Invesco Affiliates to PWC during a fiscal year; and (iii) such services are promptly brought to the attention of the Registrant's Audit Committee and approved by the Registrant's Audit Committee prior to the completion of the audit.
- (2) Including the fees for services not required to be pre-approved by the registrant's audit committee, PWC billed Invesco and Invesco Affiliates aggregate non-audit fees of \$0 for the fiscal year ended February 28, 2013, and \$0 for the fiscal year ended February 29, 2012, for non-audit services rendered to Invesco and Invesco Affiliates.

The Audit Committee also has considered whether the provision of non-audit services that were rendered to Invesco and Invesco Affiliates that were not required to be pre-approved pursuant to SEC regulations, if any, is compatible with maintaining PWC's independence. To the extent that such services were provided, the Audit Committee determined that the provision of such services is compatible with PWC maintaining independence with respect to the Registrant.

PRE-APPROVAL OF AUDIT AND NON-AUDIT SERVICES

POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

As adopted by the Audit Committees of

the Invesco Funds (the Funds)

Statement of Principles

Under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and rules adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) (Rules), the Audit Committees of the Funds (the Audit Committees) Board of Trustees (the Board) are responsible for the appointment, compensation and oversight of the work of independent accountants (an Auditor). As part of this responsibility and to assure that the Auditor 's independence is not impaired, the Audit Committees pre-approve the audit and non-audit services provided to the Funds by each Auditor, as well as all non-audit services provided by the Auditor to the Funds ' investment adviser and to affiliates of the adviser that provide ongoing services to the Funds (Service Affiliates) if the services directly impact the Funds ' operations or financial reporting. The SEC Rules also specify the types of services that an Auditor may not provide to its audit client. The following policies and procedures comply with the requirements for pre-approval and provide a mechanism by which management of the Funds may request and secure pre-approval of audit and non-audit services in an orderly manner with minimal disruption to normal business operations.

Proposed services either may be pre-approved without consideration of specific case-by-case services by the Audit Committees (general pre-approval) or require the specific pre-approval of the Audit Committees (specific pre-approval). As set forth in these policies and procedures, unless a type of service has received general pre-approval, it will require specific pre-approval by the Audit Committees. Additionally, any fees exceeding 110% of estimated pre-approved fee levels provided at the time the service was pre-approved will also require specific approval by the Audit Committees before payment is made. The Audit Committees will also consider the impact of additional fees on the Auditor 's independence when determining whether to approve any additional fees for previously pre-approved services.

The Audit Committees will annually review and generally pre-approve the services that may be provided by each Auditor without obtaining specific pre-approval from the Audit Committee generally on an annual basis. The term of any general pre-approval runs from the date of such pre-approval through September 30th of the following year, unless the Audit Committees consider a different period and state otherwise. The Audit Committees will add to or subtract from the list of general pre-approved services from time to time, based on subsequent determinations.

The purpose of these policies and procedures is to set forth the guidelines to assist the Audit Committees in fulfilling their responsibilities.

Delegation

The Audit Committees may from time to time delegate pre-approval authority to one or more of its members who are Independent Trustees. All decisions to pre-approve a service by a delegated member shall be reported to the Audit Committees at the next quarterly meeting.

Audit Services

The annual audit services engagement terms will be subject to specific pre-approval of the Audit Committees. Audit services include the annual financial statement audit and other procedures such as tax provision work that is required to be performed by the independent auditor to be able to form an opinion on the Funds ' financial statements. The Audit Committees will obtain, review and consider sufficient information concerning the proposed Auditor to make a reasonable evaluation of the Auditor 's qualifications and independence.

In addition to the annual Audit services engagement, the Audit Committees may grant either general or specific pre-approval of other audit services, which are those services that only the independent auditor reasonably can provide. Other Audit services may include services such as issuing consents for the inclusion of audited financial statements with SEC registration statements, periodic reports and other documents filed with the SEC or other documents issued in connection with securities offerings.

Non-Audit Services

The Audit Committees may provide either general or specific pre-approval of any non-audit services to the Funds and its Service Affiliates if the Audit Committees believe that the provision of the service will not impair the independence of the Auditor, is consistent with the SEC's Rules on auditor independence, and otherwise conforms to the Audit Committees' general principles and policies as set forth herein.

Audit-Related Services

Audit-related services are assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the Funds' financial statements or that are traditionally performed by the independent auditor. Audit-related services include, among others, accounting consultations related to accounting, financial reporting or disclosure matters not classified as Audit services; assistance with understanding and implementing new accounting and financial reporting guidance from rulemaking authorities; and agreed-upon procedures related to mergers, compliance with ratings agency requirements and interfund lending activities.

Tax Services

Tax services include, but are not limited to, the review and signing of the Funds' federal tax returns, the review of required distributions by the Funds and consultations regarding tax matters such as the tax treatment of new investments or the impact of new regulations. The Audit Committees will scrutinize carefully the retention of the Auditor in connection with a transaction initially recommended by the Auditor, the major business purpose of which may be tax avoidance or the tax treatment of which may not be supported in the Internal Revenue Code and related regulations. The Audit Committees will consult with the Funds' Treasurer (or his or her designee) and may consult with outside counsel or advisors as necessary to ensure the consistency of Tax services rendered by the Auditor with the foregoing policy.

No Auditor shall represent any Fund or any Service Affiliate before a tax court, district court or federal court of claims.

Under rules adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board and approved by the SEC, in connection with seeking Audit Committees' pre-approval of permissible Tax services, the Auditor shall:

1. Describe in writing to the Audit Committees, which writing may be in the form of the proposed engagement letter:
 - a. The scope of the service, the fee structure for the engagement, and any side letter or amendment to the engagement letter, or any other agreement between the Auditor and the Fund, relating to the service; and
 - b. Any compensation arrangement or other agreement, such as a referral agreement, a referral fee or fee-sharing arrangement, between the Auditor and any person (other than the Fund) with respect to the promoting, marketing, or recommending of a transaction covered by the service;
2. Discuss with the Audit Committees the potential effects of the services on the independence of the Auditor; and
3. Document the substance of its discussion with the Audit Committees.

All Other Auditor Services

The Audit Committees may pre-approve non-audit services classified as All other services that are not categorically prohibited by the SEC, as listed in Exhibit 1 to this policy.

Pre-Approval Fee Levels or Established Amounts

Pre-approval of estimated fees or established amounts for services to be provided by the Auditor under general or specific pre-approval policies will be set periodically by the Audit Committees. Any proposed fees exceeding 110% of the maximum estimated pre-approved fees or established amounts for pre-approved audit and non-audit services will be reported to the Audit Committees at the quarterly Audit Committees meeting and will require specific approval by the Audit Committees before payment is made. The Audit Committees will always factor in the overall relationship of fees for audit and non-audit services in determining whether to pre-approve any such services and in determining whether to approve any additional fees exceeding 110% of the maximum pre-approved fees or established amounts for previously pre-approved services.

Procedures

Generally on an annual basis, Invesco Advisers, Inc. (Invesco) will submit to the Audit Committees for general pre-approval, a list of non-audit services that the Funds or Service Affiliates of the Funds may request from the Auditor. The list will describe the non-audit services in reasonable detail and will include an estimated range of fees and such other information as the Audit Committee may request.

Each request for services to be provided by the Auditor under the general pre-approval of the Audit Committees will be submitted to the Funds Treasurer (or his or her designee) and must include a detailed description of the services to be rendered. The Treasurer or his or her designee will ensure that such services are included within the list of services that have received the general pre-approval of the Audit Committees. The Audit Committees will be informed at the next quarterly scheduled Audit Committees meeting of any such services for which the Auditor rendered an invoice and whether such services and fees had been pre-approved and if so, by what means.

Each request to provide services that require specific approval by the Audit Committees shall be submitted to the Audit Committees jointly by the Fund's Treasurer or his or her designee and the Auditor, and must include a joint statement that, in their view, such request is consistent with the policies and procedures and the SEC Rules.

Each request to provide tax services under either the general or specific pre-approval of the Audit Committees will describe in writing: (i) the scope of the service, the fee structure for the engagement, and any side letter or amendment to the engagement letter, or any other agreement between the Auditor and the audit client, relating to the service; and (ii) any compensation arrangement or other agreement between the Auditor and any person (other than the audit client) with respect to the promoting, marketing, or recommending of a transaction covered by the service. The Auditor will discuss with the Audit Committees the potential effects of the services on the Auditor's independence and will document the substance of the discussion.

Non-audit services pursuant to the *de minimis* exception provided by the SEC Rules will be promptly brought to the attention of the Audit Committees for approval, including documentation that each of the conditions for this exception, as set forth in the SEC Rules, has been satisfied.

On at least an annual basis, the Auditor will prepare a summary of all the services provided to any entity in the investment company complex as defined in section 2-01(f)(14) of Regulation S-X in sufficient detail as to the nature of the engagement and the fees associated with those services.

The Audit Committees have designated the Funds' Treasurer to monitor the performance of all services provided by the Auditor and to ensure such services are in compliance with these policies and procedures. The Funds' Treasurer will report to the Audit Committees on a periodic basis as to the results of such monitoring. Both the Funds' Treasurer and management of Invesco will immediately report to the chairman of the Audit Committees any breach of these policies and procedures that comes to the attention of the Funds' Treasurer or senior management of Invesco.

Exhibit 1 to Pre-Approval of Audit and Non-Audit Services Policies and Procedures

Conditionally Prohibited Non-Audit Services (not prohibited if the Fund can reasonably conclude that the results of the service would not be subject to audit procedures in connection with the audit of the Fund's financial statements)

Bookkeeping or other services related to the accounting records or financial statements of the audit client

Financial information systems design and implementation

Appraisal or valuation services, fairness opinions, or contribution-in-kind reports

Actuarial services

Internal audit outsourcing services

Categorically Prohibited Non-Audit Services

Management functions

Human resources

Broker-dealer, investment adviser, or investment banking services

Legal services

Expert services unrelated to the audit

Any service or product provided for a contingent fee or a commission

Services related to marketing, planning, or opining in favor of the tax treatment of confidential transactions or aggressive tax position transactions, a significant purpose of which is tax avoidance

Tax services for persons in financial reporting oversight roles at the Fund

Any other service that the Public Company Oversight Board determines by regulation is impermissible.

ITEM 5. AUDIT COMMITTEE OF LISTED REGISTRANTS.

Edgar Filing: Invesco California Value Municipal Income Trust - Form N-CSR

- (a) The registrant has a separately-designed standing audit committee established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Members of the audit committee are: Jerry D. Choate, Linda Hutton Heagy and R. Craig Kennedy.

- (b) Not applicable.

ITEM 6. SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS.

Investments in securities of unaffiliated issuers is included as part of the reports to stockholders filed under Item 1 of this Form.

ITEM 7. DISCLOSURE OF PROXY VOTING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES FOR CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES.

I.2. PROXY POLICIES AND PROCEDURES RETAIL

Applicable to	Retail Accounts
Risk Addressed by Policy	breach of fiduciary duty to client under Investment Advisers Act of 1940 by placing Invesco personal interests ahead of client best economic interests in voting proxies
Relevant Law and Other Sources	Investment Advisers Act of 1940
Last Tested Date	
Policy/Procedure Owner	Advisory Compliance
Policy Approver	Fund Board
Approved/Adopted Date	January 1, 2010

The following policies and procedures apply to certain funds and other accounts managed by Invesco Advisers, Inc. (Invesco).

A. POLICY STATEMENT

Introduction

Our Belief

The Invesco Funds Boards of Trustees and Invesco’s investment professionals expect a high standard of corporate governance from the companies in our portfolios so that Invesco may fulfill its fiduciary obligation to our fund shareholders and other account holders. Well governed companies are characterized by a primary focus on the interests of shareholders, accountable boards of directors, ample transparency in financial disclosure, performance-driven cultures and appropriate consideration of all stakeholders. Invesco believes well governed companies create greater shareholder wealth over the long term than poorly governed companies, so we endeavor to vote in a manner that increases the value of our investments and fosters good governance within our portfolio companies.

In determining how to vote proxy issues, Invesco considers the probable business consequences of each issue and votes in a manner designed to protect and enhance fund shareholders’ and other account holders’ interests. Our voting decisions are intended to enhance each company’s total shareholder value over Invesco’s typical investment horizon.

Proxy voting is an integral part of Invesco’s investment process. We believe that the right to vote proxies should be managed with the same care as all other elements of the investment process. The objective of Invesco’s proxy-voting activity is to promote good governance and advance the economic interests of our clients. At no time will Invesco exercise its voting power to advance its own

March 2012

I.2 - 1

commercial interests, to pursue a social or political cause that is unrelated to our clients' economic interests, or to favor a particular client or business relationship to the detriment of others.

B. OPERATING PROCEDURES AND RESPONSIBLE PARTIES

Proxy administration

The Invesco Retail Proxy Committee (the "Proxy Committee") consists of members representing Invesco's Investments, Legal and Compliance departments. Invesco's Proxy Voting Guidelines (the "Guidelines") are revised annually by the Proxy Committee, and are approved by the Invesco Funds Boards of Trustees. The Proxy Committee implements the Guidelines and oversees proxy voting.

The Proxy Committee has retained outside experts to assist with the analysis and voting of proxy issues. In addition to the advice offered by these experts, Invesco uses information gathered from our own research, company managements, Invesco's portfolio managers and outside shareholder groups to reach our voting decisions.

Generally speaking, Invesco's investment-research process leads us to invest in companies led by management teams we believe have the ability to conceive and execute strategies to outperform their competitors. We select companies for investment based in large part on our assessment of their management teams' ability to create shareholder wealth. Therefore, in formulating our proxy-voting decisions, Invesco gives proper consideration to the recommendations of a company's Board of Directors.

Important principles underlying the Invesco Proxy Voting Guidelines

I. Accountability

Management teams of companies are accountable to their boards of directors, and directors of publicly held companies are accountable to their shareholders. Invesco endeavors to vote the proxies of its portfolio companies in a manner that will reinforce the notion of a board's accountability to its shareholders. Consequently, Invesco votes against any actions that would impair the rights of shareholders or would reduce shareholders' influence over the board or over management.

The following are specific voting issues that illustrate how Invesco applies this principle of accountability.

Elections of directors. In uncontested director elections for companies that do not have a controlling shareholder, Invesco votes in favor of slates if they are comprised of at least a majority of independent directors and if the board's key committees are fully independent. Key committees include the Audit, Compensation and Governance or Nominating Committees. Invesco's standard of independence excludes directors who, in addition to the directorship, have any material business or family relationships with the companies they serve.

Contested director elections are evaluated on a case-by-case basis and are decided within the context of Invesco's investment thesis on a company.

Director performance. Invesco withholds votes from directors who exhibit a lack of accountability to shareholders, either through their level of attendance at meetings or by enacting egregious corporate-governance or other policies. In cases of material financial restatements, accounting fraud, habitually late filings, adopting shareholder rights plan (poison pills) without shareholder approval, or other areas of poor performance, Invesco may withhold votes from some or all of a company's directors. In situations where directors' performance is a concern, Invesco may also support shareholder proposals to take corrective actions such as so-called clawback provisions.

Auditors and Audit Committee members. Invesco believes a company's Audit Committee has a high degree of responsibility to shareholders in matters of financial disclosure, integrity of the financial statements and effectiveness of a company's internal controls. Independence, experience and financial expertise are critical elements of a well-functioning Audit Committee. When electing directors who are members of a company's Audit Committee, or when ratifying a company's auditors, Invesco considers the past performance of the Committee and holds its members accountable for the quality of the company's financial statements and reports.

Majority standard in director elections. The right to elect directors is the single most important mechanism shareholders have to promote accountability. Invesco supports the nascent effort to reform the U.S. convention of electing directors, and votes in favor of proposals to elect directors by a majority vote.

Classified boards. Invesco supports proposals to elect directors annually instead of electing them to staggered multi-year terms because annual elections increase a board's level of accountability to its shareholders.

Supermajority voting requirements. Unless proscribed by law in the state of incorporation, Invesco votes against actions that would impose any supermajority voting requirement, and supports actions to dismantle existing supermajority requirements.

Responsiveness. Invesco withholds votes from directors who do not adequately respond to shareholder proposals that were approved by a majority of votes cast the prior year.

Cumulative voting. The practice of cumulative voting can enable minority shareholders to have representation on a company's board. Invesco supports proposals to institute the practice of cumulative voting at companies whose overall corporate-governance standards indicate a particular need to protect the interests of minority shareholders.

Shareholder access. On business matters with potential financial consequences, Invesco votes in favor of proposals that would increase shareholders' opportunities to express their views to boards of directors, proposals that would lower barriers to shareholder action and proposals to promote the adoption of generally accepted best practices in corporate governance.

II. Incentives

Invesco believes properly constructed compensation plans that include equity ownership are effective in creating incentives that induce managements and employees of our portfolio companies to create greater shareholder wealth. Invesco supports equity compensation plans that promote the proper alignment of incentives, and votes against plans that are overly dilutive to existing shareholders, plans that contain objectionable structural features, and plans that appear likely to reduce the value of an account's investment.

Following are specific voting issues that illustrate how Invesco evaluates incentive plans.

Executive compensation. Invesco evaluates compensation plans for executives within the context of the company's performance under the executives' tenure. Invesco believes independent compensation committees are best positioned to craft executive-compensation plans that are suitable for their company-specific circumstances. We view the election of those independent compensation committee members as the appropriate mechanism for shareholders to express their approval or disapproval of a company's compensation practices. Therefore, Invesco generally does not support shareholder proposals to limit or eliminate certain forms of executive compensation. In the interest of reinforcing the notion of a compensation committee's accountability to shareholders, Invesco supports proposals requesting that companies subject each year's compensation record to an advisory shareholder vote, or so-called "say on pay" proposals.

Equity-based compensation plans. When voting to approve or reject equity-based compensation plans, Invesco compares the total estimated cost of the plans, including stock options and restricted stock, against a carefully selected peer group and uses multiple performance metrics that help us determine whether the incentive structures in place are creating genuine shareholder wealth. Regardless of a plan's estimated cost relative to its peer group, Invesco votes against plans that contain structural features that would impair the alignment of incentives between shareholders and management. Such features include the ability to reprice or reload options without shareholder approval, the ability to issue options below the stock's current market price, or the ability to automatically replenish shares without shareholder approval.

Employee stock-purchase plans. Invesco supports employee stock-purchase plans that are reasonably designed to provide proper incentives to a broad base of employees, provided that the price at which employees may acquire stock is at most a 15 percent discount from the market price.

Severance agreements. Invesco generally votes in favor of proposals requiring advisory shareholder ratification of executives severance agreements. However, we oppose proposals requiring such agreements to be ratified by shareholders in advance of their adoption.

III. Capitalization

Examples of management proposals related to a company's capital structure include authorizing or issuing additional equity capital, repurchasing outstanding stock, or enacting a stock split or reverse stock split. On requests for additional capital stock, Invesco analyzes the company's stated reasons for the request. Except where the request could adversely affect the fund's ownership stake or voting rights, Invesco generally supports a board's decisions on its needs for additional capital stock. Some capitalization proposals require a case-by-case analysis within the context of Invesco's investment thesis on a company. Examples of such proposals include authorizing common or preferred stock with special voting rights, or issuing additional stock in connection with an acquisition.

IV. Mergers, Acquisitions and Other Corporate Actions

Issuers occasionally require shareholder approval to engage in certain corporate actions such as mergers, acquisitions, name changes, dissolutions, reorganizations, divestitures and reincorporations. Invesco analyzes these proposals within the context of our investment thesis on the company, and determines its vote on a case-by-case basis.

V. Anti-Takeover Measures

Practices designed to protect a company from unsolicited bids can adversely affect shareholder value and voting rights, and they create conflicts of interests among directors, management and shareholders. Except under special issuer-specific circumstances, Invesco votes to reduce or eliminate such measures. These measures include adopting or renewing poison pills, requiring supermajority voting on certain corporate actions, classifying the election of directors instead of electing each director to an annual term, or creating separate classes of common or preferred stock with special voting rights. Invesco generally votes against management proposals to impose these types of measures, and generally votes for shareholder proposals designed to reduce such measures. Invesco supports shareholder proposals directing companies to subject their anti-takeover provisions to a shareholder vote.

VI. Shareholder Proposals on Corporate Governance

Invesco generally votes for shareholder proposals that are designed to protect shareholder rights if a company's corporate-governance standards indicate that such additional protections are warranted.

VII. Shareholder Proposals on Social Responsibility

The potential costs and economic benefits of shareholder proposals seeking to amend a company's practices for social reasons are often difficult to assess. Analyzing the costs and economic benefits of these proposals is generally highly subjective and does not fit readily within our framework of voting to create greater shareholder wealth over Invesco's typical investment horizon. Therefore, Invesco generally abstains from voting on shareholder proposals deemed to be of a purely social, political or moral nature. However, there are instances when the costs and economic benefits of these proposals can be more readily assessed, in which case, Invesco votes such proposals on a case-by-case basis.

VIII. Routine Business Matters

Routine business matters rarely have a potentially material effect on the economic prospects of fund holdings, so we generally support the board's discretion on these items. However, Invesco votes against proposals where there is insufficient information to make a decision about the nature of the proposal. Similarly, Invesco votes against proposals to conduct other unidentified business at shareholder meetings.

Summary

These Guidelines provide an important framework for making proxy-voting decisions, and should give fund shareholders and other account holders insight into the factors driving Invesco's decisions. The Guidelines cannot address all potential proxy issues, however. Decisions on specific issues must be made within the context of these Guidelines and within the context of the investment thesis of the funds and other accounts that own the company's stock. Where a different investment thesis is held by portfolio managers who may hold stocks in common, Invesco may vote the shares held on a fund-by-fund or account-by-account basis.

Exceptions

In certain circumstances, Invesco may refrain from voting where the economic cost of voting a company's proxy exceeds any anticipated benefits of that proxy proposal.

Share-lending programs

One reason that some portion of Invesco's position in a particular security might not be voted is the securities lending program. When securities are out on loan and earning fees for the lending fund, they are transferred into the borrower's name. Any proxies during the period of the loan are voted by the borrower. The lending fund would have to terminate the loan to vote the company's proxy, an action that is not generally in the best economic interest of fund shareholders. However, whenever Invesco determines that the benefit to shareholders or other account holders of voting a particular proxy outweighs the revenue lost by terminating the loan, we recall the securities for the purpose of voting the fund's full position.

Share-blocking

Another example of a situation where Invesco may be unable to vote is in countries where the exercise of voting rights requires the fund to submit to short-term trading restrictions, a practice known as share-blocking. Invesco generally refrains from voting proxies in share-blocking countries unless the portfolio manager determines that the benefit to fund shareholders and other account holders of voting a specific proxy outweighs the fund's or other account's temporary inability to sell the security.

International constraints

An additional concern that sometimes precludes our voting non-U.S. proxies is our inability to receive proxy materials with enough time and enough information to make a voting decision. In the great majority of instances, however, we are able to vote non-U.S. proxies successfully. It is important to note that Invesco makes voting decisions for non-U.S. issuers using these Guidelines as our framework, but also takes into account the corporate-governance standards, regulatory environment and generally accepted best practices of the local market.

Exceptions to these Guidelines

Invesco retains the flexibility to accommodate company-specific situations where strictly adhering to the Guidelines would lead to a vote that the Proxy Committee deems not to be in the best interest of the fund's shareholders and other account holders. In these situations, the Proxy Committee will vote the proxy in the manner deemed to be in the best interest of the fund's shareholders and other account holders, and will promptly inform the fund's Boards of Trustees of such vote and the circumstances surrounding it.

Resolving potential conflicts of interest

A potential conflict of interest arises when Invesco votes a proxy for an issuer with which it also maintains a material business relationship. Examples could include issuers that are distributors of Invesco's products, or issuers that employ Invesco to manage portions of their retirement plans or treasury accounts. Invesco reviews each proxy proposal to assess the extent, if any, to which there may be a material conflict between the interests of the fund shareholders or other account holders and Invesco.

Invesco takes reasonable measures to determine whether a potential conflict may exist. A potential conflict is deemed to exist only if one or more of the Proxy Committee members actually knew or should have known of the potential conflict.

If a material potential conflict is deemed to exist, Invesco may resolve the potential conflict in one of the following ways: (1) if the proposal that gives rise to the potential conflict is specifically addressed by the Guidelines, Invesco may vote the proxy in accordance with the predetermined Guidelines; (2) Invesco may engage an independent third party to determine how the proxy should be voted; or (3) Invesco may establish an ethical wall or other informational barrier between the persons involved in the potential conflict and the persons making the proxy-voting decision in order to insulate the potential conflict from the decision makers.

Because the Guidelines are pre-determined and crafted to be in the best economic interest of shareholders and other account holders, applying the Guidelines to vote client proxies should, in most instances, adequately resolve any potential conflict of interest. As an additional safeguard against potential conflicts, persons from Invesco's marketing, distribution and other customer-facing functions are precluded from becoming members of the Proxy Committee.

On a quarterly basis, the Invesco Funds Boards of Trustees review a report from Invesco's Internal Compliance Controls Committee. The report contains a list of all known material business relationships that Invesco maintains with publicly traded issuers. That list is cross-referenced with the list of proxies voted over the period. If there are any instances where Invesco's voting pattern on the proxies of its material business partners is inconsistent with its voting pattern on all other issuers, they are brought before the Trustees and explained by the Chairman of the Proxy Committee.

Personal conflicts of interest. If any member of the Proxy Committee has a personal conflict of interest with respect to a company or an issue presented for voting, that Proxy Committee member will inform the Proxy Committee of such conflict and will abstain from voting on that company or issue.

Funds of funds. Some Invesco Funds offering diversified asset allocation within one investment vehicle own shares in other Invesco Funds. A potential conflict of interest could arise if an underlying Invesco Fund has a shareholder meeting with any proxy issues to be voted on, because Invesco's asset-allocation funds or target-maturity funds may be large shareholders of the underlying fund. In order to avoid any potential for a conflict, the asset-allocation funds and target maturity funds vote their shares in the same proportion as the votes of the external shareholders of the underlying fund.

C. RECORDKEEPING

Records are maintained in accordance with Invesco's Recordkeeping Policy.

Policies and Vote Disclosure

A copy of these Guidelines and the voting record of each Invesco Fund are available on our web site, www.invesco.com. In accordance with Securities and Exchange Commission regulations, all funds file a record of all proxy-voting activity for the prior 12 months ending June 30th. That filing is made on or before August 31st of each year.

ITEM 8. PORTFOLIO MANAGERS OF CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES.

The following individuals are jointly and primarily responsible for the day-to-day management of the Trust:

Thomas Byron, Portfolio Manager, who has been responsible for the Trust since 2009 and has been associated with Invesco and/or its affiliates since 2010. From 1981 to 2010, Mr. Byron was associated with Morgan Stanley Investment Advisors Inc. in an investment management capacity.

Robert Stryker, Portfolio Manager, who has been responsible for the Trust since 2009 and has been with Invesco and/or its affiliates since 2010. From 1994 to 2010, Mr. Stryker was associated with Morgan Stanley Investment Advisors Inc. in an investment management capacity.

Julius Williams, Portfolio Manager, who has been responsible for the Trust since 2011 and has been associated with Invesco and/or its affiliates since 2010. From 2000 to 2010, Mr. Williams was associated with Morgan Stanley Investment Advisors Inc. in an investment management capacity.

Robert Wimmel, Portfolio Manager, who has been responsible for the Trust since 2001 and has been associated with Invesco and/or its affiliates since 2010. From 1996 to 2010, Mr. Wimmel was associated with Morgan Stanley Investment Advisors Inc. in an investment management capacity.

Portfolio Manager Fund Holdings and Information on Other Managed Accounts

Invesco's portfolio managers develop investment models which are used in connection with the management of certain Invesco Funds as well as other mutual funds for which Invesco or an affiliate acts as sub-adviser, other pooled investment vehicles that are not registered mutual funds, and other accounts managed for organizations and individuals. The Investments chart reflects the portfolio managers' investments in the Funds that they manage. Accounts are grouped into three categories: (i) investments made directly in the Fund, (ii) investments made in an Invesco pooled investment vehicle with the same or similar objectives and strategies as the Fund, and (iii) any investments made in any Invesco Fund or Invesco pooled investment vehicle. The Assets Managed chart reflects information regarding accounts other than the Funds for which each portfolio manager has day-to-day management responsibilities. Accounts are grouped into three categories: (i) other registered investment companies, (ii) other pooled investment vehicles and (iii) other accounts. To the extent that any of these accounts pay advisory fees that are based on account performance (performance-based fees), information on those accounts is specifically broken out. In addition, any assets denominated in foreign currencies have been converted into U.S. Dollars using the exchange rates as of the applicable date.

Investments

The following information is as of February 28, 2013:

Portfolio Manager	Dollar Range of Investments in each Fund ¹	Dollar Range of Investments in Invesco pooled investment vehicles ²	Dollar Range of all Investments in Funds and Invesco pooled investment vehicles ³
	Invesco California Value Municipal Income Trust		
Thomas Byron	None	N/A	\$100,001-\$500,000
Robert Stryker	None	N/A	\$100,001-\$500,000
Julius Williams	None	N/A	\$50,001-\$100,000
Robert Wimmel	None	N/A	\$100,001-\$500,000

Assets Managed

The following information is as of February 28, 2013:

Portfolio Manager	Other Registered Investment Companies Managed (assets in millions)		Other Pooled Investment Vehicles Managed (assets in millions)		Other Accounts Managed (assets in millions) ⁴	
	Number of Accounts	Assets	Number of Accounts	Assets	Number of Accounts	Assets
	Invesco California Value Municipal Income Trust					
Thomas Byron	15	\$ 14,209.9	None	None	None	None
Robert Stryker	15	\$ 14,209.9	None	None	None	None
Julius Williams	5	\$ 1,825.1	None	None	None	None
Robert Wimmel	15	\$ 14,209.9	None	None	None	None

Potential Conflicts of Interest

Actual or apparent conflicts of interest may arise when a portfolio manager has day-to-day management responsibilities with respect to more than one Fund or other account. More specifically, portfolio managers who manage multiple Funds and/or other accounts may be presented with one or more of the following potential conflicts:

The management of multiple Funds and/or other accounts may result in a portfolio manager devoting unequal time and attention to the management of each Fund and/or other account. The Adviser and each Sub-Adviser seek to manage such competing interests for the time and attention of portfolio managers by having portfolio managers focus on a particular investment discipline. Most other accounts managed by a portfolio manager are managed using the same investment models that are used in connection with the management of the Funds.

¹ This column reflects investments in a Fund's shares beneficially owned by a portfolio manager (as determined in accordance with Rule 16a-1(a) (2) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended). Beneficial ownership includes ownership by a portfolio manager's immediate family members sharing the same household.

² This column reflects portfolio managers' investments made either directly or through a deferred compensation or a similar plan in Invesco pooled investment vehicles with the same or similar objectives and strategies as the Fund as of the most recent fiscal year end of the Fund.

³ This column reflects the combined holdings from both the Dollar Range of all Investments in Funds and Invesco pooled investment vehicles and the Dollar Range of Investments in each Fund columns.

⁴ These are accounts of individual investors for which Invesco provides investment advice. Invesco offers separately managed accounts that are managed according to the investment models developed by its portfolio managers and used in connection with the management of certain Invesco Funds. These accounts may be invested in accordance with one or more of those investment models and investments held

Edgar Filing: Invesco California Value Municipal Income Trust - Form N-CSR

in those accounts are traded in accordance with the applicable models.

If a portfolio manager identifies a limited investment opportunity which may be suitable for more than one Fund or other account, a Fund may not be able to take full advantage of that opportunity due to an allocation of filled purchase or sale orders across all eligible Funds and other accounts. To deal with these situations, the Adviser, each Sub-Adviser and the Funds have adopted procedures for allocating portfolio transactions across multiple accounts.

The Adviser and each Sub-Adviser determine which broker to use to execute each order for securities transactions for the Funds, consistent with its duty to seek best execution of the transaction. However, for certain other accounts (such as mutual funds for which Invesco or an affiliate acts as sub-adviser, other pooled investment vehicles that are not registered mutual funds, and other accounts managed for organizations and individuals), the Adviser and each Sub-Adviser may be limited by the client with respect to the selection of brokers or may be instructed to direct trades through a particular broker. In these cases, trades for a Fund in a particular security may be placed separately from, rather than aggregated with, such other accounts. Having separate transactions with respect to a security may temporarily affect the market price of the security or the execution of the transaction, or both, to the possible detriment of the Fund or other account(s) involved.

Finally, the appearance of a conflict of interest may arise where the Adviser or Sub-Adviser has an incentive, such as a performance-based management fee, which relates to the management of one Fund or account but not all Funds and accounts for which a portfolio manager has day-to-day management responsibilities.

The Adviser, each Sub-Adviser, and the Funds have adopted certain compliance procedures which are designed to address these types of conflicts. However, there is no guarantee that such procedures will detect each and every situation in which a conflict arises.

Description of Compensation Structure

For the Adviser and each affiliated Sub-Adviser

The Adviser and each Sub-Adviser seek to maintain a compensation program that is competitively positioned to attract and retain high-caliber investment professionals. Portfolio managers receive a base salary, an incentive bonus opportunity and an equity compensation opportunity. Portfolio manager compensation is reviewed and may be modified each year as appropriate to reflect changes in the market, as well as to adjust the factors used to determine bonuses to promote competitive Fund performance. The Adviser and each Sub-Adviser evaluate competitive market compensation by reviewing compensation survey results conducted by an independent third party of investment industry compensation. Each portfolio manager's compensation consists of the following three elements:

Base Salary. Each portfolio manager is paid a base salary. In setting the base salary, the Adviser and each Sub-Adviser's intention is to be competitive in light of the particular portfolio manager's experience and responsibilities.

Annual Bonus. The portfolio managers are eligible, along with other employees of the Adviser and each Sub-Adviser, to participate in a discretionary year-end bonus pool. The Compensation Committee of Invesco Ltd. reviews and approves the amount of the bonus pool available for the Adviser and each of the Sub-Adviser's investment centers. The Compensation Committee considers investment performance and financial results in its review. In addition, while having no direct impact on individual bonuses, assets under management are considered when determining the starting bonus funding levels. Each portfolio manager is eligible to receive an annual cash bonus which is based on quantitative (i.e. investment performance) and non-quantitative factors (which may include, but are not limited to, individual performance, risk management and teamwork).

Each portfolio manager's compensation is linked to the pre-tax investment performance of the Funds/accounts managed by the portfolio manager as described in Table 1 below.

Table 1

Sub-Adviser	Performance time period ⁵
Invesco ⁶	One-, Three- and Five-year performance against Fund peer group.
Invesco Australia	
Invesco Deutschland	
Invesco Hong Kong ⁶	
Invesco Asset Management	
Invesco- Invesco Real Estate ^{6,7}	Not applicable
Invesco Senior Secured ^{6,8}	
Invesco Canada ⁶	One-year performance against Fund peer group.
	Three- and Five-year performance against entire universe of Canadian funds.
Invesco Japan ⁹	One-, Three- and Five-year performance against the appropriate Micropol benchmark.

High investment performance (against applicable peer group and/or benchmarks) would deliver compensation generally associated with top pay in the industry (determined by reference to the third-party provided compensation survey information) and poor investment performance (versus applicable peer group) would result in low bonus compared to the applicable peer group or no bonus at all. These decisions are reviewed and approved collectively by senior leadership which has responsibility for executing the compensation approach across the organization.

Deferred / Long-Term Compensation. Portfolio managers may be granted an annual deferral award that allows them to select receipt of shares of certain Invesco Funds with a vesting period as well as common shares and/or restricted shares of Invesco Ltd. stock from pools determined from time to time by the Compensation Committee of Invesco Ltd.'s Board of Directors. Awards of deferred / long-term compensation typically vest over time, so as to create incentives to retain key talent.

Portfolio managers also participate in benefit plans and programs available generally to all employees.

ITEM 9. PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES BY CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANY AND AFFILIATED PURCHASERS.

Not applicable

ITEM 10. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS.

None

⁵ Rolling time periods based on calendar year-end.

⁶ Portfolio Managers may be granted an annual deferral award that vests on a pro-rata basis over a four year period and final payments are based on the performance of eligible Funds selected by the portfolio manager at the time the award is granted.

Edgar Filing: Invesco California Value Municipal Income Trust - Form N-CSR

- ⁷ Portfolio Managers for Invesco Global Real Estate Fund, Invesco Real Estate Fund, Invesco Global Real Estate Income Fund and Invesco V.I. Global Real Estate Fund base their bonus on new operating profits of the U.S. Real Estate Division of Invesco.
- ⁸ Invesco Senior Secured's bonus is based on annual measures of equity return and standard tests of collateralization performance.
- ⁹ Portfolio Managers for Invesco Pacific Growth Fund's compensation is based on the one-, three- and five-year performance against the appropriate Micropol benchmark.

ITEM 11. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

- (a) As of February 12, 2013, an evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of the officers of the Registrant, including the PEO and PFO, to assess the effectiveness of the Registrant's disclosure controls and procedures, as that term is defined in Rule 30a-3(c) under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the "Act"), as amended. Based on that evaluation, the Registrant's officers, including the PEO and PFO, concluded that, as of February 12, 2013, the Registrant's disclosure controls and procedures were reasonably designed to ensure: (1) that information required to be disclosed by the Registrant on Form N-CSR is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by the rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission; and (2) that material information relating to the Registrant is made known to the PEO and PFO as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.
- (b) There have been no changes in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 30a-3(d) under the Act) that occurred during the second fiscal quarter of the period covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 12. EXHIBITS.

- 12(a) (1) Code of Ethics.
- 12(a) (2) Certifications of principal executive officer and principal financial officer as required by Rule 30a-2(a) under the Investment Company Act of 1940.
- 12(a) (3) Not applicable.
- 12(b) Certifications of principal executive officer and principal financial officer as required by Rule 30a-2(b) under the Investment Company Act of 1940.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Registrant: Invesco California Value Municipal Income Trust

By: /s/ Colin Meadows
Colin Meadows
Principal Executive Officer
Date: May 9, 2013

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By: /s/ Colin Meadows
Colin Meadows
Principal Executive Officer
Date: May 9, 2013

By: /s/ Sheri Morris
Sheri Morris
Principal Financial Officer
Date: May 9, 2013

EXHIBIT INDEX

- 12(a) (1) Code of Ethics.
- 12(a) (2) Certifications of principal executive officer and principal financial officer as required by Rule 30a-2(a) under the Investment Company Act of 1940.
- 12(a) (3) Not applicable.
- 12(b) Certifications of principal executive officer and principal financial officer as required by Rule 30a-2(b) under the Investment Company Act of 1940.