

Community Bankers Trust Corp
Form 10-Q
May 16, 2011
[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D. C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

x **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2011

or

.. **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from to

Commission File Number: 001-32590

COMMUNITY BANKERS TRUST CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: Community Bankers Trust Corp - Form 10-Q

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)
4235 Innslake Drive, Suite 200
Glen Allen, Virginia
(Address of principal executive offices)

20-2652949
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)
23060
(Zip Code)

(804) 934-9999
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

n/a

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

At March 31, 2011, there were 21,468,455 shares of the Company's common stock outstanding.

Table of Contents

COMMUNITY BANKERS TRUST CORPORATION

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FORM 10-Q

March 31, 2011

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition (Unaudited)

3

Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited)

4

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity (Unaudited)

5

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

6

Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

7

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

30

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

44

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

46

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

48

Item 1A. Risk Factors

48

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

48

Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities

48

Item 4. (Removed and Reserved)

48

Item 5. Other Information

48

Item 6. Exhibits

48

SIGNATURES

49

Table of Contents**PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements**

COMMUNITY BANKERS TRUST CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION

AS OF MARCH 31, 2011 AND DECEMBER 31, 2010

(dollars in thousands)

	March 31, 2011 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2010 (Audited)
ASSETS		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 18,147	\$ 8,604
Interest-bearing bank deposits	13,600	22,777
Federal funds sold	5,000	2,000
Total cash and cash equivalents	36,747	33,381
Securities available for sale, at fair value	213,347	215,560
Securities held to maturity, at cost (fair value of \$81,857 and \$89,026, respectively)	77,793	84,771
Equity securities, restricted, at cost	7,119	7,170
Total securities	298,259	307,501
Loans not covered by FDIC shared loss agreement	514,276	525,548
Loans covered by FDIC shared loss agreement	108,329	115,537
Total loans	622,605	641,085
Allowance for loan losses (non-covered loans of \$21,542 and \$25,543, respectively; covered loans of \$829 and \$829, respectively)	(22,371)	(26,372)
Net loans	600,234	614,713
FDIC indemnification asset	55,535	58,369
Bank premises and equipment, net	35,206	35,587
Other real estate owned, covered by FDIC shared loss agreement	9,116	9,889
Other real estate owned, non-covered	7,332	5,928
Bank owned life insurance	6,895	6,829
FDIC receivable under shared loss agreement	1,398	7,250
Core deposit intangibles, net	14,254	14,819
Other assets	20,517	21,328
Total assets	\$ 1,085,493	\$ 1,115,594
LIABILITIES		
Deposits:		
Noninterest-bearing	\$ 64,128	\$ 62,359
Interest-bearing	865,413	899,366
Total deposits	929,541	961,725

Edgar Filing: Community Bankers Trust Corp - Form 10-Q

Federal Home Loan Bank advances	37,000	37,000
Trust preferred capital notes	4,124	4,124
Other liabilities	8,968	5,618
Total liabilities	979,633	1,008,467
STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Preferred stock (5,000,000 shares authorized, \$0.01 par value; 17,680 shares issued and outstanding)	17,680	17,680
Warrants on preferred stock	1,037	1,037
Discount on preferred stock	(609)	(660)
Common stock (200,000,000 shares authorized, \$0.01 par value; 21,468,455 shares issued and outstanding)	215	215
Additional paid in capital	143,999	143,999
Retained deficit	(56,244)	(54,999)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	(218)	(145)
Total stockholders equity	105,860	107,127
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 1,085,493	\$ 1,115,594

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

COMMUNITY BANKERS TRUST CORPORATION
UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2011 AND 2010
(dollars and shares in thousands, except per share data)

	March 31, 2011	March 31, 2010
Interest and dividend income		
Interest and fees on non-covered loans	\$ 7,234	\$ 8,723
Interest and fees on FDIC covered loans	3,820	3,593
Interest on federal funds sold	2	1
Interest on deposits in other banks	14	30
Interest and dividends on securities		
Taxable	1,912	2,005
Nontaxable	412	894
Total interest and dividend income	13,394	15,246
Interest expense		
Interest on deposits	2,979	4,857
Interest on other borrowed funds	332	331
Total interest expense	3,311	5,188
Net interest income	10,083	10,058
Provision for loan losses	1,498	5,042
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	8,585	5,016
Noninterest income		
Service charges on deposit accounts	576	565
FDIC indemnification asset amortization	(2,745)	(377)
Gain on securities transactions, net	661	354
Loss on sale of other real estate, net	(612)	(2,377)
Other	714	2,250
Total noninterest income	(1,406)	415
Noninterest expense		
Salaries and employee benefits	4,204	5,131
Occupancy expenses	814	739
Equipment expenses	330	412
Legal fees	105	46
Professional fees	191	334
FDIC assessment	872	605
Data processing fees	452	506
Amortization of intangibles	565	565
Other operating expenses	1,678	1,522
Total noninterest expense	9,211	9,860
Loss before income taxes	(2,032)	(4,429)

Edgar Filing: Community Bankers Trust Corp - Form 10-Q

Income tax benefit		838	1,665
Net loss		\$ (1,194)	\$ (2,764)
Dividends accrued on preferred stock			221
Accretion of discount on preferred stock		51	48
Accumulated preferred dividends		221	
Net loss available to common stockholders		\$ (1,466)	\$ (3,033)
Net loss per share basic		\$ (0.07)	\$ (0.14)
Net loss per share diluted		\$ (0.07)	\$ (0.14)
Weighted average number of shares outstanding			
basic		21,468	21,468
diluted		21,468	21,468
	See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements		

Table of Contents

COMMUNITY BANKERS TRUST CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY
FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2011 AND
THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010

(dollars and shares in thousands)

	Preferred Stock	Warrants	Discount on Preferred Stock	Common Stock Shares	Common Stock Amount	Additional Paid in Capital	Retained Deficit	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total
Balance January 1, 2010	\$ 17,680	\$ 1,037	\$ (854)	21,468	\$ 215	\$ 143,999	\$ (32,511)	\$ 1,536	\$ 131,102
Amortization of preferred stock warrants			194				(194)		
Dividends paid on preferred stock							(442)		(442)
Comprehensive income:									
Net loss							(20,993)		(20,993)
Change in unrealized gain/loss in equity securities								(6)	(6)
Change in unrealized gain/loss in investment securities, net of tax of \$2,338								(4,539)	(4,539)
Less: Reclassification adjustment for gain on securities sold, net of tax of \$1,064								2,065	2,065
Less: Reclassification adjustment for loss on securities available for sale related to other than temporary impairments, net of tax of \$156								303	303
Change in funded status of pension plan, net of tax of \$256								496	496
Total comprehensive loss									(22,674)
Dividends paid on common stock (\$0.04 per share)							(859)		(859)
Balance December 31, 2010 (Audited)	\$ 17,680	\$ 1,037	\$ (660)	21,468	\$ 215	\$ 143,999	\$ (54,999)	\$ (145)	\$ 107,127
Amortization of preferred stock warrants			51				(51)		
Comprehensive income:									
Net loss							(1,194)		(1,194)
Change in unrealized gain/loss in investment securities, net of tax of \$187								363	363
Less: Reclassification adjustment for gain on securities sold, net of tax of \$225								(436)	(436)
Total comprehensive loss									(1,267)

Edgar Filing: Community Bankers Trust Corp - Form 10-Q

Balance March 31, 2011
(Unaudited)

\$ 17,680 \$ 1,037 \$ (609) 21,468 \$ 215 \$ 143,999 \$ (56,244) \$ (218) \$ 105,860

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

COMMUNITY BANKERS TRUST CORPORATION
UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2011 AND 2010

(dollars in thousands)

	March 31, 2011	March 31, 2010
Operating activities:		
Net loss	\$ (1,194)	\$ (2,764)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Depreciation and intangibles amortization	1,023	1,090
Provision for loan losses	1,498	5,042
Amortization of security premiums and accretion of discounts, net	403	423
Net gain on sale of securities	(661)	(354)
Net loss on sale and valuation of other real estate	612	2,377
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Decrease (increase) in other assets	9,468	(2,576)
Increase (decrease) in accrued expenses and other liabilities	3,350	(3,316)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	14,499	(78)
Investing activities:		
Proceeds from securities sales, calls, maturities, and paydowns	43,188	31,340
Purchase of securities	(33,800)	(33,004)
Proceeds from sale of other real estate	927	1,212
Net decrease (increase) in loans, excluding covered loans	3,724	(4,573)
Net decrease in loans, covered by FDIC shared loss agreement	6,952	7,601
Principal recoveries of loans previously charged off	135	65
Purchase of premises and equipment, net	(76)	(90)
Net cash provided by investing activities	21,050	2,551
Financing activities:		
Net (decrease) increase in noninterest-bearing and interest-bearing demand deposits	(32,183)	12,886
Net decrease in federal funds purchased		(8,999)
Cash dividends paid		(1,080)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(32,183)	2,807
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	3,366	5,280
Cash and cash equivalents:		
Beginning of the period	\$ 33,381	\$ 32,235
End of the period	\$ 36,747	\$ 37,515
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:		
Interest paid	\$ 3,550	\$ 5,763
Income taxes paid		
Transfers of OREO property	2,170	40

Edgar Filing: Community Bankers Trust Corp - Form 10-Q

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statement

Table of Contents

COMMUNITY BANKERS TRUST CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

1. Nature of Banking Activities and Significant Accounting Policies

Organization

Community Bankers Trust Corporation (the Company) is a bank holding company that was incorporated under Delaware law on April 6, 2005. The Company is headquartered in Glen Allen, Virginia and is the holding company for Essex Bank (the Bank), a Virginia state bank with 24 full-service offices in Virginia, Maryland and Georgia, as of April 30, 2011.

The Company was initially formed as a special purpose acquisition company to effect a merger, capital stock exchange, asset acquisition or other similar business combination with an operating business in the banking industry. Prior to its acquisition of two bank holding companies in 2008, the Company's activities were limited to organizational matters, completing its initial public offering and seeking and evaluating possible business combination opportunities. On May 31, 2008, the Company acquired each of TransCommunity Financial Corporation, a Virginia corporation (TFC), and BOE Financial Services of Virginia, Inc., a Virginia corporation (BOE). The Company changed its corporate name in connection with the acquisitions. On November 21, 2008, the Bank acquired certain assets and assumed all deposit liabilities relating to four former branch offices of The Community Bank (TCB) in Georgia. On January 30, 2009, the Bank acquired substantially all assets and assumed all deposit and certain other liabilities relating to seven former branch offices of Suburban Federal Savings Bank (SFSB) in Maryland.

The Bank was established in 1926 and is headquartered in Tappahannock, Virginia. The Bank engages in a general commercial banking business and provides a wide range of financial services primarily to individuals and small businesses, including individual and commercial demand and time deposit accounts, commercial and consumer loans, travelers checks, safe deposit box facilities, investment services and fixed rate residential mortgages. Thirteen branches are located in Virginia, primarily from the Chesapeake Bay to just west of Richmond, seven are located in Maryland along the Baltimore-Washington corridor and four are located in the Atlanta, Georgia metropolitan market. The Bank closed its office in Rockbridge County, Virginia in April 2011.

Financial Statements

The consolidated statements presented include accounts of the Company and the Bank, its wholly-owned subsidiary. All material intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated. The statements should be read in conjunction with the Company's consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. In the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting of normal accruals, were made that are necessary to present fairly the financial position of the Company at March 31, 2011, and the results of its operations, changes in stockholders' equity, and its cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2011.

The accounting and reporting policies of the Company conform to GAAP and to the general practices within the banking industry. The interim financial statements have not been audited; however, in the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements have been included. Operating results for the three month period ended March 31, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ended December 31, 2011.

The financial information contained within the statements is, to a significant extent, financial information that is based on measures of the financial effects of transactions and events that have already occurred. A variety of factors could affect the ultimate value that is obtained either when earning income, recognizing an expense, recovering an asset or relieving a liability. The Company uses historical loss factors as one factor in determining the inherent loss that may be present in its loan portfolio. Actual losses could differ significantly from the historical factors that the Company uses. In addition, GAAP itself may change from one previously acceptable method to another method. Although the economics of the Company's transactions would be the same, the timing of events that would impact its transactions could change.

Certain reclassifications have been made to prior period balances to conform to the current period presentation.

Table of Contents**COMMUNITY BANKERS TRUST CORPORATION****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

In preparing these financial statements, the Company has evaluated subsequent events and transactions for potential recognition or disclosure through the date the financial statements were issued.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In April 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-02, *Receivables (Topic 310): A Creditor's Determination of Whether a Restructuring Is a Troubled Debt Restructuring*. The FASB believes the guidance in this ASU will improve financial reporting by creating greater consistency in the way GAAP is applied for various types of debt restructurings. The ASU clarifies which loan modifications constitute troubled debt restructurings. It is intended to assist creditors in determining whether a modification of the terms of a receivable meets the criteria to be considered a troubled debt restructuring, both for purposes of recording an impairment loss and for disclosure of troubled debt restructurings. In evaluating whether a restructuring constitutes a troubled debt restructuring, a creditor must separately conclude that both of the following exist: (a) the restructuring constitutes a concession; and (b) the debtor is experiencing financial difficulties. The amendments to *FASB Accounting Standards Codification (Codification) Topic 310, Receivables*, clarify the guidance on a creditor's evaluation of whether it has granted a concession and whether a debtor is experiencing financial difficulties. The guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning on or after June 15, 2011, and applies retrospectively to restructurings occurring on or after the beginning of the fiscal year of adoption. The Company does not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

2. SECURITIES

Amortized costs and fair values of securities available for sale and held to maturity at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 were as follows:

(dollars in thousands)	Amortized Cost	March 31, 2011 Gross Unrealized		Fair Value
		Gains	Losses	
Securities Available for Sale				
U.S. Treasury issue and other U.S. Government agencies	\$ 78,933	\$ 195	\$ (1,397)	\$ 77,731
State, county and municipal	49,698	583	(624)	49,657
Corporate and other bonds	5,076	34	(16)	5,094
Mortgage backed securities	79,971	895	(1)	80,865
Total Securities Available for Sale	\$ 213,678	\$ 1,707	\$ (2,038)	\$ 213,347
Securities Held to Maturity				
State, county and municipal	\$ 13,063	\$ 745	\$	\$ 13,808
Mortgage backed securities	64,730	3,319		68,049
Total Securities Held to Maturity	\$ 77,793	\$ 4,064	\$	\$ 81,857
		December 31, 2010 Gross Unrealized		
	Amortized Cost	Gains	Losses	Fair Value
Securities Available for Sale				
U.S. Treasury issue and other U.S. Government agencies	\$ 90,849	\$ 246	\$ (1,521)	\$ 89,574
State, county and municipal	69,865	1,219	(749)	70,335

Edgar Filing: Community Bankers Trust Corp - Form 10-Q

Corporate and other bonds	3,576	14	(17)	3,573
Mortgage backed securities	51,489	610	(21)	52,078
Total Securities Available for Sale	\$ 215,779	\$ 2,089	\$ (2,308)	\$ 215,560
Securities Held to Maturity				
State, county and municipal	\$ 13,070	\$ 693	\$	\$ 13,763
Corporate and other bonds	1,002	3		1,005
Mortgage backed securities	70,699	3,559		74,258
Total Securities Held to Maturity	\$ 84,771	\$ 4,255	\$	\$ 89,026

Table of Contents**COMMUNITY BANKERS TRUST CORPORATION****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

Included in other U.S. Government agencies are U.S. Government sponsored agency securities of \$4.5 million with an amortized cost of \$4.5 million as of March 31, 2011 and \$5.8 million with an amortized cost of \$5.8 million as of December 31, 2010. U.S. Government sponsored agency securities included in mortgage backed securities available for sale totaled \$11.5 million with an amortized cost of \$11.5 million as of March 31, 2011 and \$3.9 million with an amortized cost of \$4.0 million as of December 31, 2010. U.S. Government sponsored agency securities included in mortgage backed securities held to maturity totaled \$49.4 million with a fair value of \$51.9 million as of March 31, 2011 and \$54.3 million with a fair value of \$57.0 million as of December 31, 2010.

The amortized cost and fair value of securities as of March 31, 2011 by contractual maturity are shown below. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations without any penalties.

(dollars in thousands)	Held to Maturity		Available for Sale	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
Due in one year or less	\$ 4,126	\$ 4,232	\$ 9,864	\$ 10,024
Due after one year through five years	43,053	45,109	121,305	120,319
Due after five years through ten years	29,142	30,945	68,827	69,481
Due after ten years	1,472	1,571	13,682	13,523
Total securities	\$ 77,793	\$ 81,857	\$ 213,678	\$ 213,347

Gains and losses on the sale of securities are recorded on the settlement date and are determined using the specific identification method. Gross realized gains and losses on sales of securities available for sale during the periods were as follows:

(dollars in thousands)	Three Months Ended March 31	
	2011	2010
Gross realized gains	\$ 661	\$ 358
Gross realized losses		(4)
Net securities gain (loss)	\$ 661	\$ 354

The fair value and gross unrealized losses for securities, segregated by the length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous gross unrealized loss position, at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 were as follows:

(dollars in thousands)	Less than 12 Months		March 31, 2011 12 Months or More		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss
U.S. Treasury issue and other U.S. Government agencies	\$ 73,586	\$ (1,397)	\$	\$	\$ 73,586	\$ (1,397)
State, county and municipal	17,890	(527)	824	(97)	18,714	(624)
Corporate and other bonds	1,342	(16)			1,342	(16)
Mortgage backed securities	3,362	(1)			3,362	(1)
Total	\$ 96,180	\$ (1,941)	\$ 824	\$ (97)	\$ 97,004	\$ (2,038)

Table of Contents**COMMUNITY BANKERS TRUST CORPORATION****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

	Less than 12 Months		December 31, 2010 12 Months or More		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss
U.S. Treasury issue and other						
U.S. Government agencies	\$ 83,989	\$ (1,521)	\$	\$	\$ 83,989	\$ (1,521)
State, county and municipal	19,103	(644)	818	(105)	19,921	(749)
Corporate and other bonds	3,059	(17)			3,059	(17)
Mortgage backed securities	3,695	(21)			3,695	(21)
Total	\$ 109,846	\$ (2,203)	\$ 818	\$ (105)	\$ 110,664	\$ (2,308)

The unrealized losses (impairments) in the investment portfolio as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 are generally a result of market fluctuations that occur daily. The unrealized losses are from 55 securities at March 31, 2011 that are all of investment grade, backed by insurance, U.S. government agency guarantees, or the full faith and credit of local municipalities throughout the United States. The Company considers the reason for impairment, length of impairment and ability to hold until the full value is recovered in determining if the impairment is temporary in nature. Based on this analysis, the Company has determined these impairments to be temporary in nature. The Company does not intend to sell and it is more likely than not that the Company will not be required to sell these securities until they recover in value.

Market prices are affected by conditions beyond the control of the Company. Investment decisions are made by the management group of the Company and reflect the overall liquidity and strategic asset/liability objectives of the Company. Management analyzes the securities portfolio frequently and manages the portfolio to provide an overall positive impact to the Company's income statement and balance sheet.

Securities with amortized costs of \$33.7 million and \$36.6 million at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, were pledged to secure deposits and for other purposes required or permitted by law.

3. LOANS NOT COVERED BY FDIC SHARED LOSS AGREEMENT (NON-COVERED LOANS)

The Company's non-covered loans at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 were comprised of the following:

(dollars in thousands)	March 31, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Amount	% of Non-Covered Loans	Amount	% of Non-Covered Loans
Mortgage loans on real estate:				
Residential 1-4 family	\$ 133,327	25.91%	\$ 137,552	26.15%
Commercial	201,017	39.07	205,034	38.99
Construction and land development	95,286	18.52	103,763	19.73
Second mortgages	8,429	1.64	9,680	1.84
Multifamily	15,356	2.98	9,831	1.87
Agriculture	3,020	0.59	3,820	0.73
Total real estate loans	456,435	88.71	469,650	89.31
Commercial loans	47,092	9.15	44,368	8.44
Consumer installment loans	8,706	1.69	9,811	1.87
All other loans	2,245	0.45	1,993	0.38
Gross loans	514,478	100.00%	525,822	100.00%

Edgar Filing: Community Bankers Trust Corp - Form 10-Q

Less unearned income on loans	(202)	(274)
Non-covered loans, net of unearned income	\$ 514,276	\$ 525,548

At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company's allowance for credit losses was comprised of the following: (i) specific valuation allowances calculated in accordance with FASB ASC 310, *Receivables*, (ii) general valuation allowances calculated in accordance with FASB ASC 450, *Contingencies*, based on economic conditions and other qualitative risk factors, and (iii) historical valuation allowances calculated using historical loan loss experience. Management identified loans subject to impairment in accordance with ASC 310.

Table of Contents

COMMUNITY BANKERS TRUST CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, a portion of the construction and land development loans presented above contain interest reserve provisions. The Company follows standard industry practice to include interest reserves and capitalized interest in a construction loan. This practice recognizes interest as an additional cost of the project and, as a result, requires the borrower to put additional equity into the project. In order to monitor the project throughout its life to make sure the property is moving along as planned to ensure appropriateness of continuing to capitalize interest, the Company coordinates an independent property inspection in connection with each disbursement of loan funds. Until completion, there is generally no cash flow from which to make the interest payment. The Company does not advance additional interest reserves to keep a loan from becoming nonperforming.

For the three months ended March 31, 2011, there were no interest reserves recognized as interest income on construction loans with interest reserves. There were no construction loans with interest reserves that were nonperforming at March 31, 2011.

Average investment in impaired loans was \$48.3 million and \$67.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, respectively. Interest income on nonaccrual loans, if recognized, is recorded using the cash basis method of accounting. There were no significant amounts recognized during the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010. For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, estimated interest income of \$996,000 and \$511,000, respectively, would have been recorded if all such loans had been accruing interest according to their original contractual terms.

Table of Contents**COMMUNITY BANKERS TRUST CORPORATION****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

The following table summarizes information related to impaired loans as of March 31, 2011 (dollars in thousands):

	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Interest Income Recognized
With an allowance recorded:				
Mortgage loans on real estate:				
Residential 1-4 family	\$ 5,035	\$ 5,032	\$ 1,535	\$ 3
Commercial	8,277	8,220	2,091	57
Construction and land development	11,468	11,454	2,313	14
Second mortgages	272	271	236	1
Multifamily				
Agriculture				
Total real estate loans	25,052	24,977	6,175	75
Commercial loans	1,740	1,740	852	
Consumer installment loans	141	141	75	
All other loans				
Subtotal impaired loans with valuation allowance	\$ 26,933	\$ 26,858	\$ 7,102	\$ 75
With no related allowance recorded:				
Mortgage loans on real estate:				
Residential 1-4 family	\$ 4,888	\$ 4,868	\$	\$ 20
Commercial	6,627	6,588		39
Construction and land development	13,152	13,144		8
Second mortgages	74	74		
Multifamily				
Agriculture	53	53		
Total real estate loans	24,794	24,727		67
Commercial loans	14	14		
Consumer installment loans	15	15		
All other loans				
Subtotal impaired loans without valuation allowance	\$ 24,823	\$ 24,756	\$	\$ 67
Total:				
Mortgage loans on real estate:				
Residential 1-4 family	\$ 9,923	\$ 9,900	\$ 1,535	\$ 23
Commercial	14,904	14,808	2,091	96
Construction and land development	24,620	24,598	2,313	22
Second mortgages	346	345	236	1
Multifamily				
Agriculture	53	53		
Total real estate loans	49,846	49,704	6,175	142
Commercial loans	1,754	1,754	852	

Edgar Filing: Community Bankers Trust Corp - Form 10-Q

Consumer installment loans	156	156	75	
All other loans				
Total impaired loans	\$ 51,756	\$ 51,614	\$ 7,102	\$ 142

Table of Contents**COMMUNITY BANKERS TRUST CORPORATION****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

The following table summarizes information related to impaired loans as of December 31, 2010 (dollars in thousands):

	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Interest Income Recognized
With an allowance recorded:				
Mortgage loans on real estate:				
Residential 1-4 family	\$ 5,886	\$ 5,858	\$ 1,558	\$ 28
Commercial	3,314	3,314	901	
Construction and land development	9,189	9,094	3,605	95
Second mortgages	165	161	161	4
Multifamily				
Agriculture	294	288	100	6
Total real estate loans	18,848	18,715	6,325	133
Commercial loans	1,741	1,741	1,341	
Consumer installment loans				
All other loans				
Subtotal impaired loans with valuation allowance	\$ 20,589	\$ 20,456	\$ 7,666	\$ 133
With no related allowance recorded:				
Mortgage loans on real estate:				
Residential 1-4 family	\$ 5,666	\$ 5,662	\$	\$ 4
Commercial	3,867	3,867		
Construction and land development	13,776	13,774		2
Second mortgages	218	218		
Multifamily				
Agriculture				
Total real estate loans	23,527	23,521		6
Commercial loans	909	907		2
Consumer installment loans	91	90		1
All other loans				
Subtotal impaired loans without valuation allowance	\$ 24,527	\$ 24,518	\$	\$ 9
Total:				
Mortgage loans on real estate:				
Residential 1-4 family	\$ 11,552	\$ 11,520	\$ 1,558	\$ 32
Commercial	7,181	7,181	901	
Construction and land development	22,965	22,868	3,605	97
Second mortgages	383	379	161	4
Multifamily				
Agriculture	294	288	100	6
Total real estate loans	42,375	42,236	6,325	139
Commercial loans	2,650	2,648	1,341	2

Edgar Filing: Community Bankers Trust Corp - Form 10-Q

Consumer installment loans	91	90	1
All other loans			
Total impaired loans	\$ 45,116	\$ 44,974	\$ 7,666 \$ 142

Table of Contents**COMMUNITY BANKERS TRUST CORPORATION****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

The following table represents non-covered nonaccruals by loan category as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 (dollars in thousands):

	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Mortgage loans on real estate:		
Residential 1-4 family	\$ 8,421	\$ 9,600
Commercial	8,589	7,181
Construction and land development	22,804	16,854
Second mortgages	289	218
Multifamily	0	
Agriculture	53	
 Total real estate loans	 40,156	 33,853
Commercial loans	1,734	2,619
Consumer installment loans	0	60
All other loans	139	
 Total loans	 \$ 42,029	 \$ 36,532

Substandard and doubtful loans still accruing interest are loans that management expects to ultimately collect all principal and interest due, but not under the terms of the original contract. A reconciliation of impaired loans to nonaccrual loans at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 is set forth in the table below (dollars in thousands):

	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Nonaccruals	\$ 42,029	\$ 36,532
Substandard and still accruing	9,585	8,088
Doubtful and still accruing		354
 Total impaired	 \$ 51,614	 \$ 44,974

The following table presents an age analysis of past due status of loans by category as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 (dollars in thousands):

	March 31, 2011				Total Loans Receivable	Recorded Investment > 90 Days and Accruing
	30-89 Days Past Due	Greater than 90 Days	Total Past Due	Current		
Mortgage loans on real estate:						
Residential 1-4 family	\$ 2,591	\$ 8,421	\$ 11,012	\$ 122,315	\$ 133,327	\$
Commercial	740	8,681	9,421	191,596	201,017	92
Construction and land development	116	22,804	22,920	72,366	95,286	
Second mortgages	138	289	427	8,002	8,429	

Edgar Filing: Community Bankers Trust Corp - Form 10-Q

Multifamily	603		603	14,753	15,356	
Agriculture		53	53	2,967	3,020	
Total real estate loans	4,188	40,248	44,436	411,999	456,435	92
Commercial loans	357	1,924	2,281	44,811	47,092	190
Consumer installment loans	49	139	188	8,518	8,706	
All other loans				2,245	2,245	
Total loans	\$ 4,594	\$ 42,311	\$ 46,905	\$ 467,573	\$ 514,478	\$ 282

Table of Contents**COMMUNITY BANKERS TRUST CORPORATION****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

	December 31, 2010					Recorded Investment > 90 Days and Accruing
	30-89 Days Past Due	Greater than 90 Days	Total Past Due	Current	Total Loans Receivable	
Mortgage loans on real estate:						
Residential 1-4 family	\$ 3,444	\$ 9,989	\$ 13,433	\$ 124,089	\$ 137,522	\$ 389
Commercial	1,711	7,181	8,892	196,142	205,034	
Construction and land development	8,241	16,854	25,095	78,668	103,763	
Second mortgages	194	218	412	9,268	9,680	
Multifamily				9,831	9,831	
Agriculture	288		288	3,532	3,820	
Total real estate loans	13,878	34,242	48,120	421,530	469,650	389
Commercial loans	610	2,619	3,229	41,139	44,368	
Consumer installment loans	121	60	181	9,630	9,811	
All other loans				1,993	1,993	
Total loans	\$ 14,609	\$ 36,921	\$ 51,530	\$ 474,292	\$ 525,822	\$ 389

Activity in the allowance for loan losses on non-covered loans for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and the year ended December 31, 2010 was comprised of the following (dollars in thousands):

	Three months ended March 31, 2011	Year ended December 31, 2010
Beginning allowance	\$ 25,543	\$ 18,169
Provision for loan losses	1,498	26,483
Recoveries of loans charged off	135	951
Loans charged off	(5,634)	(20,060)
Allowance at end of period	\$ 21,542	\$ 25,543

The following table presents activity in the allowance for loan losses on non-covered loans by loan category for the three months ended March 31, 2011 (dollars in thousands):

	Year ended December 31, 2010	Provision Allocation	Charge offs	Recoveries	Three months ended March 31, 2011
Mortgage loans on real estate:					
Residential 1-4 family	\$ 6,262	\$ (2,251)	\$ (322)	\$ 16	\$ 3,705
Commercial	5,287	2,107	(1,489)	4	5,909
Construction and land development	10,039	37	(1,767)		8,309
Second mortgages	406	(9)		1	398
Multifamily	260	12			272
Agriculture	266	(195)			71

Edgar Filing: Community Bankers Trust Corp - Form 10-Q

Total real estate loans	22,520	(299)	(3,578)	21	18,664
Commercial loans	2,691	1,920	(2,003)	19	2,627
Consumer installment loans	257	(102)	(7)	63	211
All other loans	75	(21)	(46)	32	40
Total non-covered loans	\$ 25,543	\$ 1,498	\$ (5,634)	\$ 135	\$ 21,542

Table of Contents**COMMUNITY BANKERS TRUST CORPORATION****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

The following table presents charge-offs and recoveries for non-covered loans by loan category for the year ended December 31, 2010 (dollars in thousands):

	Year ended December 31, 2010		
	Charge-offs	Recoveries	Net Charge-offs
Mortgage loans on real estate:			
Residential 1-4 family	\$ 2,461	\$ (1)	\$ 2,460
Commercial	1,352	(508)	844
Construction and land development	12,759	(103)	12,656
Second mortgages	360	(79)	281
Multifamily	375		375
Agriculture			
Total real estate loans	17,307	(691)	16,616
Commercial loans	2,125	(178)	1,947
Consumer installment loans	497	(19)	478
All other loans	131	(63)	68
Total non-covered loans	\$ 20,060	\$ (951)	\$ 19,109

The following table presents information on the non-covered loans evaluated for impairment in the allowance for loan losses as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 (dollars in thousands):

	Allowance for Loan Losses			Recorded Investment in Loans		
	Individually Evaluated for Impairment	Collectively Evaluated for Impairment	Total	Individually Evaluated for Impairment	Collectively Evaluated for Impairment	Total
Mortgage loans on real estate:						
Residential 1-4 family	\$ 1,802	\$ 1,903	\$ 3,705	\$ 13,582	\$ 119,745	\$ 133,327
Commercial	3,016	2,893	5,909	48,371	152,646	201,017
Construction and land development	4,957	3,352	8,309	40,961	54,325	95,286
Second mortgages	249	149	398	498	7,931	8,429
Multifamily		272	272		15,356	15,356
Agriculture	18	53	71	251	2,769	3,020
Total real estate loans	10,042	8,622	18,664	103,663	352,772	456,435
Commercial loans	1,068	1,559	2,627	4,098	42,994	47,092
Consumer installment loans	85	126	211	296	8,410	8,706
All other loans		40	40		2,245	2,245
Total loans	\$ 11,195	\$ 10,347	\$ 21,542	\$ 108,057	\$ 406,421	\$ 514,478

Table of Contents**COMMUNITY BANKERS TRUST CORPORATION****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

	December 31, 2010					
	Allowance for Loan Losses			Recorded Investment in Loans		
	Individually Evaluated for Impairment	Collectively Evaluated for Impairment	Total	Individually Evaluated for Impairment	Collectively Evaluated for Impairment	Total
Mortgage loans on real estate:						
Residential 1-4 family	\$ 2,753	\$ 3,509	\$ 6,262	\$ 14,347	\$ 123,175	\$ 137,522
Commercial	2,967	2,320	5,287	48,552	156,482	205,034
Construction and land development	5,392	4,647	10,039	39,712	64,051	103,763
Second mortgages	179	227	406	339	9,341	9,680
Multifamily		260	260		9,831	9,831
Agriculture	174	92	266	1,027	2,793	3,820
Total real estate loans	11,465	11,055	22,520	103,977	365,673	469,650
Commercial loans	1,347	1,344	2,691	4,975	39,393	44,368
Consumer installment loans	30	227	257	209	9,602	9,811
All other loans		75	75		1,993	1,993
Total loans	\$ 12,842	\$ 12,701	\$ 25,543	\$ 109,161	\$ 416,661	\$ 525,822

Loans individually evaluated for impairment include all loans reviewed regardless of whether or not they were deemed impaired.

Non-covered loans are monitored for credit quality on a recurring basis. These credit quality indicators are defined as follows:

Pass - A pass related loan is not adversely classified, as it does not display any of the characteristics for adverse classification.

Special Mention - A special mention loan has potential weaknesses that deserve management's close attention. If left uncorrected, such potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects or collateral position at some future date. Special mention loans are not adversely classified and do not warrant adverse classification.

Substandard - A substandard loan is inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans classified as substandard generally have a well-defined weakness, or weaknesses, that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. These loans are characterized by the distinct possibility of loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.

Doubtful - A doubtful loan has all the weaknesses inherent in a loan classified as substandard with the added characteristics that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full highly questionable and improbable, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values.

Table of Contents**COMMUNITY BANKERS TRUST CORPORATION****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

The following tables present the composition of non-covered loans by credit quality indicator at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 (dollars in thousands):

	March 31, 2011				
	Pass	Special Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Total
Mortgage loans on real estate:					
Residential 1-4 family	\$ 109,298	\$ 10,568	\$ 11,194	\$ 2,267	\$ 133,327
Commercial	133,932	18,714	47,871	500	201,017
Construction and land development	40,746	13,580	40,480	480	95,286
Second mortgages	7,195	735	336	163	8,429
Multifamily	11,768	3,588			15,356
Agriculture	2,429	340	251		3,020
Total real estate loans	305,368	47,525	100,132	3,410	456,435
Commercial loans	41,055	1,944	3,161	932	47,092
Consumer installment loans	7,794	639	262	11	8,706
All other loans	1,888	357			2,245
Total loans	\$ 356,105	\$ 50,465	\$ 103,555	\$ 4,353	\$ 514,478

	December 31, 2010				
	Pass	Special Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Total
Mortgage loans on real estate:					
Residential 1-4 family	\$ 112,595	\$ 8,444	\$ 13,839	\$ 2,644	\$ 137,522
Commercial	140,064	15,619	48,816	535	205,034
Construction and land development	45,448	17,156	39,183	1,976	103,763
Second mortgages	8,615	550	352	163	9,680
Multifamily	6,726	3,105			9,831
Agriculture	2,440	345	1,035		3,820
Total real estate loans	315,888	45,219	103,225	5,318	469,650
Commercial loans	36,452	1,506	4,604	1,806	44,368
Consumer installment loans	9,028	471	278	34	9,811
All other loans	1,993				1,993
Total loans	\$ 363,361	\$ 47,196	\$ 108,107	\$ 7,158	\$ 525,822

At March 31, 2011, the Company had 1-4 family mortgages in the amount \$183.4 million pledged as collateral to the FHLB for a total borrowing capacity of \$129.7 million.

4. LOANS COVERED BY FDIC SHARED LOSS AGREEMENT (COVERED LOANS)

The Company is applying the provisions of FASB ASC 310-30, Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality, to all loans acquired in the SFSB acquisition (the covered loans). Of the total \$198.3 million in loans acquired, \$49.1 million met the criteria of ASC 310-30. These loans, consisting mainly of construction loans, were deemed impaired at the acquisition date. The remaining \$149.1 million of

Edgar Filing: Community Bankers Trust Corp - Form 10-Q

loans acquired, comprised mainly of residential 1-4 family, were analogized to meet the criteria of ASC 310-30. Analysis of this portfolio revealed that SFSB utilized weak underwriting and documentation standards, which led the Company to believe that significant losses were probable given the economic environment at the time.

Table of Contents**COMMUNITY BANKERS TRUST CORPORATION****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

As of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the outstanding balance of the covered loans was \$182.3 million and \$191.5 million, respectively. The carrying amount, by loan type, as of these dates is as follows (dollars in thousands):

	March 31, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Amount	% of Covered Loans	Amount	% of Covered Loans
Mortgage loans on real estate:				
Residential 1-4 family	\$ 93,726	86.52%	\$ 99,313	85.96%
Commercial	2,700	2.49	2,800	2.42
Construction and land development	4,756	4.39	5,751	4.98
Second mortgages	6,946	6.41	7,541	6.53
Multifamily	32	0.03	38	0.03
Agriculture				
Total real estate loans	108,160	99.84	115,443	99.92
Commercial loans				
Consumer installment loans	169	0.16	94	0.08
All other loans				
Gross covered loans	\$ 108,329	100.00%	\$ 115,537	100.00%

Activity in the allowance for loan losses on covered loans for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and the year ended December 31, 2010 was comprised of the following (dollars in thousands):

	Three months ended	Year ended
	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Beginning allowance	\$ 829	\$ 880
Provision for loan losses		880
Recoveries of loans charged off		205
Loans charged off		(256)
Allowance at end of period	\$ 829	\$ 829

The following table presents information on the covered loans collectively evaluated for impairment in the allowance for loan losses at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 (dollars in thousands):

	March 31, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Allowance for loan losses	Recorded investment in loans	Allowance for loan losses	Recorded investment in loans
Mortgage loans on real estate:				
Residential 1-4 family	\$ 526	\$ 93,726	\$ 526	\$ 99,313

Edgar Filing: Community Bankers Trust Corp - Form 10-Q

Commercial	303	2,700	303	2,800
Construction and land development		4,756		5,751
Second mortgages		6,946		7,541
Multifamily		32		38
Agriculture				
Total real estate loans	829	108,160	829	115,443
Commercial loans				
Consumer installment loans		169		94
All other loans				
Gross covered loans	\$ 829	\$ 108,329	\$ 829	\$ 115,537

Table of Contents**COMMUNITY BANKERS TRUST CORPORATION****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

The change in the accretable yield balance for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and the year ended December 31, 2010 is as follows (dollars in thousands):

Balance, January 1, 2010	\$ 56,792
Accretion	(13,759)
Reclassification from (to) Non-accretable Yield	32,685
Balance, December 31, 2010	75,718
Accretion	(3,820)
Reclassification from (to) Non-accretable Yield	(102)
Balance, March 31, 2011	\$ 71,796

The covered loans are not classified as nonperforming assets as of March 31, 2011, as the loans are accounted for on a pooled basis, and interest income, through accretion of the difference between the carrying amount of the loans and the expected cash flows, is being recognized on all purchased loans. As of March 31, 2011, there was an allowance for loan losses recorded on covered loans of \$829,000. This allowance is the result of a change in the timing of expected cash flows for one of the covered loan pools.

At December 31, 2010, the acquisition, construction and development (ADC) pool originally purchased from the FDIC in 2009 had a carrying value of \$410,000 in accordance with FASB Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 310-30 (originally issued as AICPA Statement of Position No. 03-3, *Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality*). The amount and timing of future cash flows on the ADC pool, based on an analysis of the loans in the pool, were determined to be not reasonably estimatable. As a result, during the quarter ended March 31, 2011, management applied the cost recovery method to the ADC loan pool, which requires that all cash payments first be applied to principal. During the first quarter of 2011, sufficient cash payments were received on the ADC pool to lower the carrying value to \$0, with excess payments being applied to interest income. Any subsequent payments will now be recognized as interest income.

5. FDIC AGREEMENTS AND FDIC INDEMNIFICATION ASSET

On January 30, 2009, the Company entered into a Purchase and Assumption Agreement with the FDIC to assume all of the deposits and certain other liabilities and acquire substantially all of the assets of SFSB. Under the shared loss agreements that are part of that agreement, the FDIC will reimburse the Bank for 80% of losses arising from covered loans and foreclosed real estate assets on the first \$118 million in losses of such covered loans and foreclosed real estate assets and for 95% of losses on covered loans and foreclosed real estate assets thereafter. Under the shared loss agreements, a loss on a covered loan or foreclosed real estate is defined generally as a realized loss incurred through a permitted disposition, foreclosure, short-sale or restructuring of the covered loan or foreclosed real estate. The reimbursements for losses on single family one-to-four residential mortgage loans are to be made monthly until the end of the month in which the tenth anniversary of the closing of the SFSB transaction occurs, and the reimbursements for losses on other covered assets are to be made quarterly until the end of the quarter in which the eighth anniversary of the closing of the SFSB transaction occurs. The shared loss agreements provide for indemnification from the first dollar of losses without any threshold requirement. The reimbursable losses from the FDIC are based on the book value of the relevant loan as determined by the FDIC at the date of the SFSB transaction. New loans made after that date are not covered by the shared loss agreements. The carrying value of the shared loss agreements is detailed below.

The Company is accounting for the shared loss agreements as an indemnification asset pursuant to the guidance in FASB ASC 805. The FDIC indemnification asset was measured at fair value at the time of acquisition, as it is required to be measured in the same manner as the asset or liability to which it relates. The FDIC indemnification asset is measured separately from the covered loans and other real estate owned assets because it is not contractually embedded in the covered loan and other real estate owned assets and is not transferable should the Company choose to dispose of them. Fair value at the acquisition date was estimated using projected cash flows available for loss sharing based on the credit adjustments estimated for each loan pool and other real estate owned and the loss sharing percentages outlined in the shared loss agreements. These cash flows were discounted to reflect the uncertainty of the timing and receipt of the loss sharing reimbursement from the

FDIC.

Table of Contents**COMMUNITY BANKERS TRUST CORPORATION****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

Because the acquired loans are subject to a shared loss agreement and the corresponding indemnification asset exists to represent the value of expected payments from the FDIC, increases and decreases in loan accretable yield due to changing loss expectations will also have an impact on the valuation of the FDIC indemnification asset. Improvement in loss expectations will typically increase loan accretable yield and decrease the value of the FDIC indemnification asset and, in some instances, result in an amortizable premium on the FDIC indemnification asset. Increases in loss expectations will typically be recognized as impairment in the current period through allowance for loan losses while resulting in additional noninterest income for the amount of the increase in the FDIC indemnification asset.

In addition to the premium amortization, the balance of the FDIC indemnification asset is affected by expected payments from the FDIC. Under the terms of the shared loss agreements, the FDIC will reimburse the Company for loss events incurred related to the covered loan portfolio. These events include such things as future writedowns due to decreases in the fair market value of other real estate owned (OREO), net loan charge-offs and recoveries, and net gains and losses on OREO sales.

The following table presents the balances of the FDIC indemnification asset related to the SFSB transaction at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 (dollars in thousands):

	Anticipated Expected Losses	Estimated Loss Sharing Value	Amortizable Premium (Discount) at PV	FDIC Indemnification Asset Total
January 1, 2010	\$ 88,943	\$ 71,090	\$ 5,017	\$ 76,107
Increases:				
Writedown of OREO property to FMV	3,028	2,422		2,422
Decreases:				
Net amortization of premium			(3,165)	(3,165)
Reclassifications to FDIC receivable:				
Net loan charge-offs and recoveries	(8,521)	(6,817)		(6,817)
OREO sales	(8,858)	(7,086)		(7,086)
Reimbursements requested from FDIC	(3,865)	(3,092)		(3,092)
Reforecasted Change in Anticipated Expected Losses	(24,477)	(19,517)	19,517	
December 31, 2010	46,250	37,000	21,369	58,369
Increases:				
Writedown of OREO property to FMV	875	700		700
Decreases:				
Net amortization of premium			(2,745)	(2,745)
Reclassifications to FDIC receivable:				
Net loan charge-offs and recoveries	(650)	(520)		(520)
OREO sales	(12)	(10)		(10)
Reimbursements requested from FDIC	(324)	(259)		(259)
Reforecasted Change in Anticipated Expected Losses	(4,693)	(3,754)	3,754	
March 31, 2011	\$ 41,446	\$ 33,157	\$ 22,378	\$ 55,535

6. OTHER INTANGIBLES

Core deposit intangible assets are amortized over the period of expected benefit, ranging from 2.6 to 9 years. Core deposit intangibles are recognized, amortized and evaluated for impairment as required by ASC 350. As a result of the mergers with TFC and BOE, the Company recorded \$15.0 million in core deposit intangible assets. Core deposit intangibles resulting from the Georgia and Maryland transactions equaled

Edgar Filing: Community Bankers Trust Corp - Form 10-Q

\$3.2 million and \$2.2 million, respectively, and will be amortized over approximately 9 years.

Table of Contents**COMMUNITY BANKERS TRUST CORPORATION****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

Other intangible assets are presented in the following table (dollars in thousands):

	Core Deposit Intangibles
Balance January 1, 2010	\$ 17,080
Amortization	(2,261)
Balance December 31, 2010	14,819
Amortization	(565)
Balance March 31, 2011	\$ 14,254

7. DEPOSITS

The following table provides interest-bearing deposit information, by type, as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 (dollars in thousands):

	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
NOW	\$ 105,870	\$ 106,248
MMDA	127,284	127,594
Savings	66,733	64,121
Time deposits less than \$100,000	346,018	367,333
Time deposits \$100,000 and over	219,508	234,070
Total interest-bearing deposits	\$ 865,413	\$ 899,366

8. FAIR VALUES OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

FASB ASC 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*, defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. ASC 820 requires that valuation techniques maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs and also establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the valuation inputs into three broad levels. The Company groups assets and liabilities at fair value in three levels, based on the markets in which the assets and liabilities are traded and the reliability of the assumptions used to determine fair value. These levels are:

Level 1 Valuation is based upon quoted prices for identical instruments traded in active markets.

Level 2 Valuation is based upon quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active, and model-based valuation techniques for which all significant assumptions are observable in the market or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

Edgar Filing: Community Bankers Trust Corp - Form 10-Q

Level 3 Valuation is determined using model-based techniques with significant assumptions not observable in the market. These unobservable assumptions reflect the Company's own estimates of assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. Valuation techniques include the use of third party pricing services, option pricing models, discounted cash flow models and similar techniques.

FASB ASC 825, *Financial Instruments*, allows an entity the irrevocable option to elect fair value for the initial and subsequent measurement for certain financial assets and liabilities on a contract-by-contract basis. The Company has not made any material ASC 825 elections as of March 31, 2011.

Table of Contents**COMMUNITY BANKERS TRUST CORPORATION****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements****Assets and Liabilities Recorded at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis**

The Company utilizes fair value measurements to record adjustments to certain assets to determine fair value disclosures. Securities available for sale are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. The table below presents the recorded amount of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis (dollars in thousands).

	March 31, 2011			
	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Investment securities available for sale				
U.S. Treasury issue and U.S. government agencies	\$ 77,731	\$ 2,580	\$ 75,151	\$
State, county, and municipal	49,657	282	49,375	
Corporate and other bonds	5,094		5,094	
Mortgage backed securities	80,865	30,921	49,944	
Total investment securities available for sale	213,347	33,783	179,564	
Total assets at fair value	\$ 213,347	\$ 33,783	\$ 179,564	\$
Total liabilities at fair value	\$	\$	\$	\$
	December 31, 2010			
	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Investment securities available for sale				
U.S. Treasury issue and U.S. government agencies	\$ 89,574	\$ 3,254	\$ 86,320	\$
State, county, and municipal	70,335		70,335	
Corporate and other bonds	3,573		3,573	
Mortgage backed securities	52,078		52,078	
Total investment securities available for sale	215,560	3,254	212,306	
Total assets at fair value	\$ 215,560	\$ 3,254	\$ 212,306	\$
Total liabilities at fair value	\$	\$	\$	\$

Investment securities available for sale are recorded at fair value each reporting period. Fair value measurement is based upon quoted prices, if available. If quoted prices are not available, fair values are measured using independent pricing models or other model-based valuation techniques such as the present value of future cash flows, adjusted for the security's credit rating, prepayment assumptions and other factors such as credit loss assumptions.

The Company utilizes a third party vendor to provide fair value data for purposes of determining the fair value of its available for sale securities portfolio. The third party vendor uses a reputable pricing company for security market data. The third party vendor has controls and edits in place for month-to-month market checks and zero pricing and an AICPA Statement on Auditing Standard Number 70 (SAS 70) report is obtained from the third party vendor on an annual basis. The Company makes no adjustments to the pricing service data received for its securities available for sale.

Edgar Filing: Community Bankers Trust Corp - Form 10-Q

Level 1 securities include those traded on an active exchange, such as the New York Stock Exchange, U.S. Treasury securities that are traded by dealers or brokers in active over-the-counter markets and money market funds. Level 2 securities include mortgage-backed securities issued by government sponsored entities, municipal bonds and corporate debt securities. Securities classified as Level 3 include asset-backed securities in less liquid markets.

Table of Contents**COMMUNITY BANKERS TRUST CORPORATION****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements****Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis**

The Company is also required to measure and recognize certain other financial assets at fair value on a nonrecurring basis on the consolidated balance sheet. For assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis in 2010 and still held on the consolidated balance sheet at March 31, 2011, the following table provides the fair value measures by level of valuation assumptions used for those assets.

	March 31, 2011			
	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Impaired loans, non-covered	\$ 30,294	\$	\$ 24,443	\$ 5,851
Other real estate owned (OREO), non-covered	7,332			7,332
Other real estate owned (OREO), covered	9,116		1,060	8,056
Total assets at fair value	\$ 46,742	\$	\$ 25,503	\$ 21,239
Total liabilities at fair value	\$	\$	\$	\$

	December 31, 2010			
	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Impaired loans, non-covered	\$ 14,083	\$	\$ 8,741	\$ 5,342
Other real estate owned (OREO), non-covered	5,928			5,928
Other real estate owned (OREO), covered	9,889		1,060	8,829
Total assets at fair value	\$ 29,900	\$	\$ 9,801	\$ 20,099
Total liabilities at fair value	\$	\$	\$	\$

Impaired loans, non-covered

Loans for which it is probable that payment of interest and principal will not be made in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan agreement are considered impaired. Once a loan is identified as individually impaired, management measures the impairment in accordance with FASB ASC 310, *Receivables*. The fair value of impaired loans is estimated using one of several methods, including collateral value, market value of similar debt, enterprise value, liquidation value and discounted cash flows. Those impaired loans not requiring an allowance represent loans for which the fair value of the expected repayments or collateral exceeds the recorded investments in such loans. The Bank frequently obtains appraisals prepared by external professional appraisers for classified loans greater than \$250,000 when the most recent appraisal is greater than 12 months old. The appraisal, based on the date of preparation, becomes only a part of the determination of the amount of any loan write-off, with current market conditions and the collateral's location being other determinants. When the fair value of the collateral is based on an observable market price or a current appraised value, the Company records the impaired loan within Level 2.

The Company may also identify collateral deterioration based on current market sales data, including price and absorption, as well as input from real estate sales professionals and developers, county or city tax assessments, market data and on-site inspections by Company personnel. Internally prepared estimates generally result from current market data and actual sales data related to the Company's collateral or where the collateral is located. When management determines that the fair value of the collateral is further impaired below the appraised value and there is no observable market price, the Company records the impaired loan as nonrecurring Level 3. In instances where an appraisal received subsequent to an internally prepared estimate reflects a higher collateral value, management does not revise the carrying amount. Reviews of classified loans are performed by management on a quarterly basis.

Edgar Filing: Community Bankers Trust Corp - Form 10-Q

Other real estate owned, covered and non-covered

Other real estate owned (OREO) assets are adjusted to fair value upon transfer of the related loans to OREO property. Subsequent to the transfer, valuations are periodically performed by management and the assets are carried at the lower of carrying value or fair value. Fair value is based upon independent market prices, appraised values of the collateral or management's estimation of the value of the collateral. When the fair value of the collateral is based on an observable market price or a current appraised value, the Company records the foreclosed asset within Level 2. When an appraised value is not available or management determines that the fair value of the collateral is further impaired below the appraised value and there is no observable market price, the Company records the foreclosed asset within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Table of Contents**COMMUNITY BANKERS TRUST CORPORATION****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements****Fair Value of Financial Instruments**

FASB ASC 825, *Financial Instruments*, requires disclosure of the fair value of financial assets and financial liabilities, including those financial assets and financial liabilities that are not measured and reported at fair value on a recurring or nonrecurring basis. FASB ASC 825 excludes certain financial instruments and all nonfinancial instruments from its disclosure requirements. Accordingly, the aggregate fair value amounts presented may not necessarily represent the underlying fair value of the Company.

The following reflects the fair value of financial instruments, whether or not recognized on the consolidated balance sheet, at fair value (dollars in thousands).

	March 31, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Carrying Value	Estimated Fair Value	Carrying Value	Estimated Fair Value
Financial assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 36,747	\$ 36,747	\$ 33,381	\$ 33,381
Securities available for sale	213,347	213,347	215,560	215,560
Securities held to maturity	77,793	81,857	84,771	89,027
Equity securities, restricted	7,119	7,119	7,170	7,170
Loans, non-covered	492,734	485,505	500,005	491,621
Loans, covered	107,500	127,389	114,708	139,952
FDIC indemnification asset	55,535	31,799	58,369	49,765
Accrued interest receivable	3,655	3,655	3,826	3,826
Financial liabilities:				
Noninterest-bearing deposits	64,128	64,128	62,359	62,359
Interest-bearing deposits	865,413	867,850	899,366	898,508
Borrowings	41,124	44,734	41,124	45,210
Accrued interest payable	1,318	1,318	1,557	1,557

The following methods were used to estimate the fair value of all other financial instruments recognized in the accompanying balance sheets at amounts other than fair value as of March 31, 2011. The Company applied the provisions of ASC 820 to the fair value measurements of financial instruments not recognized on the consolidated balance sheet at fair value, which include unimpaired non-covered loans, interest receivable, noninterest-bearing and interest-bearing deposits, other borrowings, and interest payable. The provisions requiring the Company to maximize the use of observable inputs and to measure fair value using a notion of exit price were factored into the Company's selection of inputs into its established valuation techniques.

Financial Assets***Cash and cash equivalents***

The carrying amounts of cash and due from banks, interest-bearing bank deposits, and federal funds sold approximate fair value.

Table of Contents

COMMUNITY BANKERS TRUST CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Securities held for investment

For securities held for investment, fair values are based on quoted market prices or dealer quotes.

Restricted securities

The carrying value of restricted securities approximates their fair value based on the redemption provisions of the respective issuer.

Loans not covered by FDIC shared loss agreement (non-covered loans)

For certain homogeneous categories of loans, such as some residential mortgages and other consumer loans, fair value is estimated using the quoted market prices for securities backed by similar loans, adjusted for differences in loan characteristics. The fair value of other types of loans is estimated by discounting the future cash flows using the current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same remaining maturities.

Loans covered by FDIC shared loss agreement (covered loans)

Fair values for covered loans are based on a discounted cash flow methodology that considers various factors including the type of loan and related collateral, classification status, term of loan and whether or not the loans are amortizing. Loans were pooled together according to similar characteristics and were treated in the aggregate when applying various valuation techniques. The discount rates used for loans are based on the rates used at acquisition (which were based on market rates for new originations of comparable loans) adjusted for any material changes in interest rates since acquisition. Increases in cash flow expectations since acquisition resulted in estimated fair value being higher than carrying value. The increase in cash flows is also reflected in a transfer from unaccretable yield to accretable yield as disclosed in Note 4.

FDIC indemnification asset

Loss sharing assets are measured separately from the related covered assets as they are not contractually embedded in the covered assets and are not transferable with the assets should the Company choose to dispose of them. Fair value is estimated using projected cash flows related to the obligations under the shared loss agreements based on the expected reimbursements for losses and the applicable loss sharing percentages. These expected reimbursements do not include reimbursable amounts related to future covered expenditures. These cash flows were discounted to reflect the uncertainty of the timing and receipt of the loss sharing reimbursement from the FDIC. A reduction in loss expectations has resulted in the estimated fair value of the FDIC indemnification asset being lower than its carrying value. This creates a premium that is amortized over the life of the asset and is reflected in Note 5.

Accrued interest receivable

The carrying amounts of accrued interest receivable approximate fair value.

Financial Liabilities

Noninterest-bearing deposits

The carrying amount approximates fair value.

Interest-bearing deposits

The fair value of NOW accounts, savings accounts, and certain money market deposits is the amount payable on demand at the reporting date. The fair value of fixed-maturity certificates of deposit is estimated using the rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities.

Table of Contents

COMMUNITY BANKERS TRUST CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Long-term borrowings

The fair values of the Company's long-term borrowings, such as FHLB advances, are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses based on the Company's current incremental borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements.

Accrued interest payable

The carrying amounts of accrued interest payable approximate fair value.

Off-balance sheet financial instruments

The fair value of commitments to extend credit is estimated using the fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the present creditworthiness of the counterparties. For fixed-rate loan commitments, fair value also considers the difference between current levels of interest rates and the committed rates.

The fair value of stand-by letters of credit is based on fees currently charged for similar agreements or on the estimated cost to terminate them or otherwise settle the obligations with the counterparties at the reporting date.

The Company's off-balance sheet commitments are funded at current market rates at the date they are drawn upon. It is management's opinion that the fair value of these commitments would approximate their carrying value, if drawn upon.

The Company assumes interest rate risk (the risk that general interest rate levels will change) as a result of its normal operations. As a result, the fair values of the Company's financial instruments will change when interest rate levels change, and that change may be either favorable or unfavorable. Management attempts to match maturities of assets and liabilities to the extent believed necessary to minimize interest rate risk. However, borrowers with fixed rate obligations are less likely to prepay in a rising rate environment and more likely to prepay in a falling rate environment. Conversely, depositors who are receiving fixed rates are more likely to withdraw funds before maturity in a rising rate environment and less likely to do so in a falling rate environment. Management monitors rates and maturities of assets and liabilities and attempts to minimize interest rate risk by adjusting terms of new loans and deposits and by investing in securities with terms that mitigate the Company's overall interest rate risk.

Table of Contents**COMMUNITY BANKERS TRUST CORPORATION****Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements****9. EARNINGS PER SHARE**

Basic earnings per share (EPS) is computed by dividing net income or loss available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted EPS is computed using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period, including the effect of all potentially dilutive common shares outstanding attributable to stock instruments.

(dollars and shares in thousands, except per share data)	Income (Numerator)	Weighted Average Shares (Denominator)	Per Common Share Amount
For the three months ended March 31, 2011			
Basic EPS	\$ (1,466)	21,468	\$ (0.07)
Effect of dilutive stock awards			
Diluted EPS	\$ (1,466)	21,468	\$ (0.07)
For the three months ended March 31, 2010			
Basic EPS	\$ (3,033)	21,468	\$ (0.14)
Effect of dilutive stock awards			
Diluted EPS	\$ (3,033)	21,468	\$ (0.14)

Excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share were approximately 5.1 million and 5.2 million of awards, options or warrants, during the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, because their inclusion would be anti-dilutive.

The Company has deferred the August and November 2010 and February 2011 payments of its regular quarterly cash dividend with respect to its Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A, which the Company issued to the United States Department of Treasury in connection with the Company's participation in the Treasury's TARP Capital Purchase Program in December 2008. The Company will also defer the May 2011 dividend payment. The total amount of accumulated dividends was \$663,000 as of March 31, 2011, and that amount will increase to \$884,000 with the deferral of the May payment.

10. DEFINED BENEFIT PLAN

The Company adopted the Bank of Essex noncontributory, defined benefit pension plan for all full-time pre-merger Bank of Essex employees over 21 years of age. Benefits are generally based upon years of service and the employees' compensation. The Company funds pension costs in accordance with the funding provisions of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act. The Company has frozen the plan benefits for all participants effective December 31, 2010, resulting in a curtailment gain included in pension expense of \$210,000 in 2010.

Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost

(In thousands)	Three months ended	
	March 31, 2011	March 31, 2010
Service cost	\$	\$ 92

Edgar Filing: Community Bankers Trust Corp - Form 10-Q

Interest cost	65	91
Expected return on plan assets	(75)	(71)
Amortization of prior service cost		1
Amortization of net obligation at transition		(1)
Amortization of net loss		15
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ (10)	\$ 127

At March 31, 2011, employer contributions totalled \$105,000 for the plan year. The Company is considering terminating the pension plan in the future. No determination has been made and the Company has not determined the financial impact of the termination of the plan.

Table of Contents

COMMUNITY BANKERS TRUST CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

11. CONTINGENCIES

See the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2010 for information with respect to transaction-based bonus awards that the Company approved for the Company's then chief strategic officer in the first quarter of 2010 and paid in the first and second quarters of 2010. There have been no developments to the issues disclosed in the 2010 Form 10-K and, as of May 13, 2011, these issues remain open.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis of the financial condition at March 31, 2011 and results of operations of Community Bankers Trust Corporation (the Company) for the three months ended March 31, 2011 should be read in conjunction with the Company's consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements included in this report and in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

OVERVIEW

The Company is a bank holding company that was incorporated under Delaware law on April 6, 2005. The Company is headquartered in Glen Allen, Virginia and is the holding company for Essex Bank (the Bank), a Virginia state bank with 24 full-service offices in Virginia, Maryland and Georgia, as of April 30, 2011.

The Bank was established in 1926 and is headquartered in Tappahannock, Virginia. The Bank engages in a general commercial banking business and provides a wide range of financial services primarily to individuals and small businesses, including individual and commercial demand and time deposit accounts, commercial and consumer loans, travelers checks, safe deposit box facilities, investment services and fixed rate residential mortgages. Thirteen branches are located in Virginia, primarily from the Chesapeake Bay to just west of Richmond, seven are located in Maryland along the Baltimore-Washington corridor and four are located in the Atlanta, Georgia metropolitan market. The Bank closed its office in Rockbridge County, Virginia in April 2011.

The Company generates a significant amount of its income from the net interest income earned by the Bank. Net interest income is the difference between interest income and interest expense. Interest income depends on the amount of interest-earning assets outstanding during the period and the interest rates earned thereon. The Company's cost of funds is a function of the average amount of interest-bearing deposits and borrowed money outstanding during the period and the interest rates paid thereon. The quality of the assets further influences the amount of interest income lost on nonaccrual loans and the amount of additions to the allowance for loan losses. Additionally, the Bank earns noninterest income from service charges on deposit accounts and other fee or commission-based services and products. Other sources of noninterest income can include gains or losses on securities transactions, gains from loans sales, transactions involving bank-owned property, and income from Bank Owned Life Insurance (BOLI) policies. The Company's income is offset by noninterest expense, which consists of goodwill impairment and other charges, salaries and benefits, occupancy and equipment costs, professional fees, and other operational expenses. The provision for loan losses and income taxes materially affect income.

CAUTION ABOUT FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

The Company makes certain forward-looking statements in this Form 10-Q that are subject to risks and uncertainties. These forward-looking statements include statements regarding our profitability, liquidity, allowance for loan losses, interest rate sensitivity, market risk, growth strategy, and financial and other goals. These forward-looking statements are generally identified by phrases such as "the Company expects," "the Company believes" or words of similar import.

These forward-looking statements are subject to significant uncertainties because they are based upon or are affected by factors, including, without limitation, the effects of and changes in the following:

the quality or composition of the Company's loan or investment portfolios, including collateral values and the repayment abilities of borrowers and issuers;

assumptions that underlie the Company's allowance for loan losses;

general economic and market conditions, either nationally or in the Company's market areas;

the ability of the Company to comply with regulatory actions, and the costs associated with doing so;

Table of Contents

the interest rate environment;

competitive pressures among banks and financial institutions or from companies outside the banking industry;

real estate values;

the demand for deposit, loan, and investment products and other financial services;

the demand, development and acceptance of new products and services;

the Company's compliance with, and the timing of future reimbursements from the FDIC to the Company under, the shared loss agreements;

assumptions and estimates that underlie the accounting for loan pools under the shared loss agreements;

consumer profiles and spending and savings habits;

the securities and credit markets;

costs associated with the integration of banking and other internal operations;

management's evaluation of goodwill and other assets on a periodic basis, and any resulting impairment charges, under applicable accounting standards;

the soundness of other financial institutions with which the Company does business;

inflation;

technology; and

legislative and regulatory requirements.

These factors and additional risks and uncertainties are described in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 and other reports filed from time to time by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Although the Company believes that its expectations with respect to the forward-looking statements are based upon reliable assumptions within the bounds of its knowledge of its business and operations, there can be no assurance that actual results, performance or achievements of the Company will not differ materially from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The Company's financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP). The financial information contained within the statements is, to a significant extent, financial information that is based on measures of the financial effects of transactions and events that have already occurred. A variety of factors could affect the ultimate value that is obtained either when earning income, recognizing an expense, recovering an asset or relieving a liability. For example, the Company uses historical loss factors as one factor in determining the inherent loss that may be present in its loan portfolio. Actual losses could

Table of Contents

differ significantly from the historical factors that the Company uses. In addition, GAAP itself may change from one previously acceptable method to another method. Although the economics of the Company's transactions would be the same, the timing of events that would impact its transactions could change.

The following is a summary of the Company's critical accounting policies that are highly dependent on estimates, assumptions and judgments.

Allowance for Loan Losses on Non-covered Loans

The allowance for loan losses is established as losses are estimated to have occurred through a provision for loan losses charged to earnings. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when management believes the uncollectability of a loan balance is confirmed. Subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance.

The allowance is an amount that management believes is appropriate to absorb estimated losses relating to specifically identified loans, as well as probable credit losses inherent in the balance of the loan portfolio, based on an evaluation of the collectability of existing loans and prior loss experience. This quarterly evaluation also takes into consideration such factors as changes in the nature and volume of the loan portfolio, overall portfolio quality, review of specific problem loans, and current economic conditions that may affect the borrower's ability to pay. This evaluation does not include the effects of expected losses on specific loans or groups of loans that are related to future events or expected changes in economic conditions. While management uses the best information available to make its evaluation, future adjustments to the allowance may be necessary if there are significant changes in economic conditions. In addition, regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the Bank's allowance for loan losses and may require the Bank to make additions to the allowance based on their judgment about information available to them at the time of their examinations.

The allowance consists of specific, general and unallocated components. For loans that are also classified as impaired, an allowance is established when the discounted cash flows (or collateral value or observable market price) of the impaired loan is lower than the carrying value of that loan. The general component covers non-classified loans and is based on historical loss experience adjusted for qualitative factors. The unallocated component is maintained to cover uncertainties that could affect management's estimate of probable losses. The unallocated component of the allowance reflects the margin of imprecision inherent in the underlying assumptions used in the methodologies for estimating specific and general losses in the portfolio.

A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, management believes that it is more likely than not that the Bank will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value, availability of current financial information, and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays and payment shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired. Management determines the significance of payment delays and payment shortfalls on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration all of the circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower, including the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower's prior payment record, and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed. Impairment is measured on a loan by loan basis for commercial and construction loans by either the present value of the expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, the loan's obtainable market price, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent.

Allowance for Loan Losses on Covered Loans

The assets acquired in the Suburban Federal Savings Bank (SFSB) acquisition are covered by shared loss agreements with the FDIC. Under the shared loss agreements, the FDIC will reimburse the Bank for 80% of losses arising from covered loans and foreclosed real estate assets, on the first \$118 million in losses of such covered loans and foreclosed real estate assets, and for 95% of losses on covered loans and foreclosed real estate assets thereafter. Under the shared loss agreements, a loss on a covered loan or foreclosed real estate is defined generally as a realized loss incurred through a permitted disposition, foreclosure, short-sale or restructuring of the covered loan or foreclosed real estate. The reimbursements for losses on single family one-to-four residential mortgage loans are to be made monthly until the end of the month in which the tenth anniversary of the closing of the transaction occurs,

Table of Contents

and the reimbursements for losses on other covered assets are to be made quarterly until the end of the quarter in which the eighth anniversary of the closing of the transaction occurs. The shared loss agreements provide for indemnification from the first dollar of losses without any threshold requirement. The reimbursable losses from the FDIC are based on the book value of the relevant loan as determined by the FDIC at the date of the transaction, January 30, 2009. New loans made after that date are not covered by the shared loss agreements.

The Company evaluated the acquired covered loans and has elected to account for them under ASC 310-30.

The covered loans are subject to credit review standards described above for non-covered loans. If and when credit deterioration occurs subsequent to the date that the covered loans were acquired, a provision for credit loss for covered loans will be charged to earnings for the full amount without regard to the FDIC shared loss agreements. The Company makes an estimate of the total cash flows it expects to collect from a pool of covered loans, which includes undiscounted expected principal and interest. Over the life of the loan or pool, the Company continues to estimate cash flows expected to be collected. Subsequent decreases in cash flows expected to be collected over the life of the pool are recognized as impairments in the current period through allowance for loan losses. Subsequent increases in expected cash flows are first used to reverse any existing valuation allowance for that loan or pool. Any remaining increase in cash flows expected to be collected is recognized as an adjustment to the yield over the remaining life of the pool.

Large groups of smaller balance homogeneous loans are collectively evaluated for impairment. Accordingly, the Company does not separately identify individual consumer and residential covered loans for impairment disclosures.

Accounting for Certain Loans or Debt Securities Acquired in a Transfer

FASB ASC 310, *Receivables*, requires acquired loans to be recorded at fair value and prohibits carrying over valuation allowances in the initial accounting for acquired impaired loans. Loans carried at fair value, mortgage loans held for sale, and loans to borrowers in good standing under revolving credit arrangements are excluded from the scope of ASC 310, which limits the yield that may be accreted to the excess of the undiscounted expected cash flows over the investor's initial investment in the loan. The excess of the contractual cash flows over expected cash flows may not be recognized as an adjustment of yield. Subsequent increases in cash flows to be collected are recognized prospectively through an adjustment of the loan's yield over its remaining life. Decreases in expected cash flows are recognized as impairments through the allowance for loan losses.

In the Company's acquisition of TFC and BOE, the fair value of ASC 310 loans was determined based on assigned risk ratings, expected cash flows and the fair value of the collateral. The fair value of non ASC 310 loans was determined based on preliminary estimates of default probabilities. The Company determined which purchased loans were impaired at the time of the acquisition and considered those loans for ASC 310 application. Those loans that were not considered impaired at the time of acquisition were not considered for ASC 310.

As a result of the acquisitions of TFC and BOE, the Company had loans of \$5.0 million at December 31, 2008 that met the criteria of ASC 310. Due to the immateriality of these loans in relation to the overall financial condition of the Company, detailed disclosures have not been included in the financial statements.

The covered loans from the SFSB transaction, subject to FASB ASC Topic 805, *Business Combinations*, were recorded at fair value and no separate valuation allowance was recorded at the date of acquisition. FASB ASC 310-30, *Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality*, applies to loans acquired in a transfer with evidence of deterioration of credit quality for which it is probable, at acquisition, that the investor will be unable to collect all contractually required payments receivable. The Company is applying the provisions of ASC 310-30 to all loans acquired in the SFSB transaction. The Company has grouped loans together based on common risk characteristics including product type, delinquency status and loan documentation requirements among others.

The Company has made an estimate of the total cash flows it expects to collect from a pool of loans, which includes undiscounted expected principal and interest. The excess of that amount over the fair value of the pool is referred to as accretable yield. Accretable yield is recognized as interest income on a constant yield basis over the life of the pool. The Company also determines each pool's contractual principal and contractual interest payments.

Table of Contents

The excess of that amount over the total cash flows that it expects to collect from the pool is referred to as nonaccretable difference, which is not accreted into income. Judgmental prepayment assumptions are applied to both contractually required payments and cash flows expected to be collected at acquisition. Over the life of the loan or pool, the Company continues to estimate cash flows expected to be collected. Subsequent decreases in cash flows expected to be collected over the life of the pool are recognized as an impairment in the current period through the allowance for loan losses. Subsequent increases in expected or actual cash flows are first used to reverse any existing valuation allowance for that loan or pool. Any remaining increase in cash flows expected to be collected is recognized as an adjustment to the accretable yield with the amount of periodic accretion adjusted over the remaining life of the pool.

FDIC Indemnification Asset

The Company is accounting for the shared loss agreements as an indemnification asset pursuant to the guidance in FASB ASC 805. The FDIC indemnification asset is required to be measured in the same manner as the asset or liability to which it relates. The FDIC indemnification asset is measured separately from the covered loans and other real estate owned assets because it is not contractually embedded in the covered loan and other real estate owned assets and is not transferable should the Company choose to dispose of them. Fair value was estimated using projected cash flows available for loss sharing based on the credit adjustments estimated for each loan pool and other real estate owned and the loss sharing percentages outlined in the purchase and assumption agreements with the FDIC. These cash flows were discounted to reflect the uncertainty of the timing and receipt of the loss sharing reimbursement from the FDIC.

Because the acquired loans are subject to shared loss agreements and a corresponding indemnification asset exists to represent the value of expected payments from the FDIC, increases and decreases in loan accretable yield due to changing loss expectations will also have an impact to the valuation of the FDIC indemnification asset. Improvement in loss expectations will typically increase loan accretable yield and decrease the value of the FDIC indemnification asset and, in some instances, result in an amortizable premium on the FDIC indemnification asset. Increases in loss expectations will typically be recognized as impairment in the current period through allowance for loan losses while resulting in additional noninterest income for the amount of the increase in the FDIC indemnification asset.

Other Intangible Assets

FASB ASC 805, *Business Combinations*, requires that the purchase method of accounting be used for all business combinations after June 30, 2001. With purchase acquisitions, the Company is required to record assets acquired, including any intangible assets, and liabilities assumed at fair value, which involves relying on estimates based on third party valuations, such as appraisals, or internal valuations based on discounted cash flow analysis or other valuation methods. The Company records other intangibles per ASC 350, *Intangibles-Goodwill and Others.*, Under ASC 350, acquired intangible assets (such as core deposit intangibles) are separately recognized if the benefit of the assets can be sold, transferred, licensed, rented, or exchanged, and amortized over their useful lives. ASC 350 discontinues any amortization of goodwill and other intangible assets with indefinite lives, but requires an impairment review at least annually or more often if certain conditions exist. The Company followed ASC 350 and determined that any core deposit intangibles will be amortized over the estimated useful life.

Income Taxes

Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are determined using the liability (or balance sheet) method. Under this method, the net deferred tax asset or liability is determined based on the tax effects of the temporary differences between the book and tax bases of the various balance sheet assets and liabilities and gives current recognition to changes in tax rates and laws.

When tax returns are filed, it is highly certain that some positions taken would be sustained upon examination by the taxing authorities, while others are subject to uncertainty about the merits of the position taken or the amount of the position that would be ultimately sustained. The benefit of a tax position is recognized in the financial statements in the period during which, based on all available evidence, management believes it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained upon examination, including the resolution of appeals or litigation processes, if any. Tax positions taken are not offset or aggregated with other positions. Tax positions that meet the more-likely-

Table of Contents

than-not recognition threshold are measured as the largest amount of tax benefit that is more than 50 percent likely of being realized upon settlement with the applicable taxing authority. The portion of the benefits associated with tax positions taken that exceeds the amount measured as described above is reflected as a liability for unrecognized tax benefits in the accompanying balance sheet along with any associated interest and penalties that would be payable to the taxing authorities upon examination.

Interest and penalties associated with unrecognized tax benefits are classified as additional income taxes in the statement of income.

As of March 31, 2011, the Company did not have any tax benefit disallowed under FASB ASC 740, *Income Taxes*.

A valuation allowance is provided when it is more likely than not that some portion of the deferred tax asset will not be realized. In management's opinion, based on a three year taxable income projection, tax strategies which would result in potential securities gains and the effects of off-setting deferred tax liabilities, it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets are realizable. Included in deferred tax assets are the tax benefits derived from net operating loss carryforwards totaling \$6.6 million. Management expects to utilize all of these carryforward amounts prior to expiration.

The Company and its subsidiaries are subject to U. S. federal income tax as well as various state income taxes. All years from 2006 through 2010 are open to examination by the respective tax authorities.

Other Real Estate Owned

Real estate acquired through, or in lieu of, loan foreclosure is held for sale and is initially recorded at the fair value at the date of foreclosure net of estimated disposal costs, establishing a new cost basis. Subsequent to foreclosure, valuations are periodically performed by management and the assets are carried at the lower of the carrying amount or the fair value less costs to sell. Revenues and expenses from operations and changes in the valuation allowance are included in other operating expenses. Costs to bring a property to salable condition are capitalized up to the fair value of the property while costs to maintain a property in salable condition are expensed as incurred.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Net loss available to common stockholders was \$1.5 million, or \$0.07 per common share on a diluted basis, for the quarter ended March 31, 2011 compared with a net loss available to common stockholders of \$3.0 million, or \$0.14 per common share on a diluted basis, for the quarter ended March 31, 2010. The change in earnings performance was primarily driven by a reduction in the level of provision for loan losses. Provision for loan losses were \$5.0 million in the first quarter of 2010 compared to \$1.5 million in the first quarter of 2011. Also positively influencing the reduction in net loss for the first quarter of 2011 compared to the first quarter of 2010 was a \$649,000, or 6.6%, improvement in noninterest expenses. Noninterest expenses declined from \$9.9 million in the first quarter of 2010 to \$9.2 million in the first quarter of 2011. Offsetting these improvements was a decline in total noninterest income, from \$415,000 in the first quarter of 2010 to negative \$1.4 million in the first quarter of 2011. This was due to the recognition of \$2.7 million in FDIC indemnification asset amortization, which resulted in a reduction in the amount carried on the balance sheet that the Company anticipates it will collect from the FDIC on the loans covered by shared loss agreements.

Net Interest Income

The Company's operating results depend primarily on its net interest income, which is the difference between interest income on interest-earning assets, including securities and loans, and interest expense incurred on interest-bearing liabilities, including deposits and other borrowed funds. Net interest income is affected by changes in the amount and mix of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, referred to as a volume change. It is also affected by changes in yields earned on interest-earning assets and rates paid on interest-bearing deposits and other borrowed funds, referred to as a rate change.

Table of Contents

Net interest income was \$10.1 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2011, compared with \$10.1 million for the first quarter of 2010. The stable level of net interest income over these time frames is the result of a balance between decreases in total interest income of \$1.9 million offset by a like decrease of \$1.9 million in total interest expense. Loan interest income was adversely affected by declining loan balances as well as an increase in nonperforming loans. Continued aggressive deposit pricing strategies with respect to all deposit categories have resulted in the decreased interest expense.

The net interest margin on a tax equivalent basis for the quarter ended March 31, 2011 increased 29 basis points to 4.33% compared with 4.04% for the quarter ended March 31, 2010. The primary component influencing the net interest margin, was a lower overall interest expense relative to the deposit base. Management proactively lowered rates on virtually all deposits during 2010 in an effort to increase earnings. The average cost of time deposits declined 63 basis points, from 1.99% for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 to 1.36% for the quarter ended March 31, 2011.

An additional benefit to the net interest margin was the improved yield on FDIC covered loans. The yield on covered loans equaled 13.59% for the quarter ended March 31, 2011, an improvement of 378 basis points from the quarter ended March 31, 2010. This is primarily the result of better than expected performance on these loans since the forecast at the acquisition date. FDIC covered loans are held on the balance sheet at carrying value.

At December 31, 2010, the acquisition, construction and development (ADC) pool originally purchased from the FDIC in 2009 had a carrying value of \$410,000 in accordance with FASB Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 310-30 (originally issued as AICPA Statement of Position No. 03-3, *Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality*). The amount and timing of future cash flows on the ADC pool, based on an analysis of the loans in the pool, were determined to be not reasonably estimatable. As a result, during the quarter ended March 31, 2011, management applied the cost recovery method to the ADC loan pool, which requires that all cash payments first be applied to principal. During the first quarter of 2011, sufficient cash payments were received on the ADC pool to lower the carrying value to \$0, with excess payments being applied to interest income. Any subsequent payments will now be recognized as interest income.

The following table sets forth, for each category of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, the average amounts outstanding, the interest earned or paid on such amounts, and the average rate earned or paid for the quarters ended March 31, 2011 and 2010. The tables also set forth the average rate paid on total interest-bearing liabilities, and the net interest margin on average total interest-earning assets for the same periods. Except as indicated in the footnotes, no tax equivalent adjustments were made and all average balances are daily average balances. Any nonaccruing loans have been included in the table as loans carrying a zero yield.

Table of Contents**COMMUNITY BANKERS TRUST CORPORATION****NET INTEREST MARGIN ANALYSIS****AVERAGE BALANCE SHEETS**

(dollars in thousands)	Three months ended March 31, 2011			Three months ended March 31, 2010		
	Average Balance Sheet	Interest Income/ Expense	Average Rates Earned/Paid	Average Balance Sheet	Interest Income/ Expense	Average Rates Earned/Paid
ASSETS:						
Loans non covered, including fees	\$ 517,805	\$ 7,234	5.59%	\$ 577,715	\$ 8,723	6.04%
FDIC covered loans, including fees	112,463	3,820	13.59	146,460	3,593	9.81
Total loans	630,268	11,054	7.02	724,175	12,316	6.80
Interest-bearing bank balances	14,681	14	0.39	22,614	30	0.53
Federal funds sold	4,611	2	0.19	1,696	1	0.16
Securities (taxable)	257,244	1,912	2.97	201,166	2,005	3.99
Securities (tax exempt) ⁽¹⁾	43,874	624	5.69	92,355	1,355	5.87
Total earning assets	950,678	13,606	5.73	1,042,006	15,707	6.03
Allowance for loan losses	(24,918)			(18,647)		
Non-earning assets	169,080			200,668		
Total assets	\$ 1,094,840			\$ 1,224,027		
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY						
Demand - interest-bearing	\$ 232,483	\$ 346	0.60	\$ 211,845	\$ 400	0.76
Savings	64,958	85	0.52	60,339	93	0.62
Time deposits	580,509	2,548	1.76	705,658	4,364	2.47
Total deposits	877,950	2,979	1.36	977,842	4,857	1.99
Federal funds purchased	140	1	0.61	537		0.14
FHLB and other borrowings	41,124	331	3.22	41,124	331	3.22
Total interest-bearing liabilities	919,214	3,311	1.44	1,019,503	5,188	2.04
Noninterest-bearing deposits	62,459			60,746		
Other liabilities	5,548			11,817		
Total liabilities	987,221			1,092,066		
Stockholders equity	107,619			131,961		
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 1,094,840			\$ 1,224,027		
Net interest earnings		\$ 10,295			\$ 10,519	
Net interest spread			4.28%			3.99%
Net interest margin			4.33%			4.04%

Edgar Filing: Community Bankers Trust Corp - Form 10-Q

⁽¹⁾ Income and yields are reported on a tax equivalent basis assuming a federal tax rate of 34%.

Provision for Loan Losses

Management actively monitors the Company's asset quality and provides specific loss provisions when necessary. Provisions for loan losses are charged to income to bring the total allowance for loan losses to a level deemed appropriate by management of the Company based on such factors as historical credit loss experience, industry diversification of the commercial loan portfolio, the amount of nonperforming loans and related collateral, the volume growth and composition of the loan portfolio, current economic conditions that may affect the borrower's ability to pay and the value of collateral, the evaluation of the loan portfolio through the internal loan review function and other relevant factors. See *Allowance for Loan Losses on Non-covered loans* in the Critical Accounting Policies section above for further discussion.

Loans are charged-off against the allowance for loan losses when appropriate. Although management believes it uses the best information available to make determinations with respect to the provision for loan losses, future adjustments may be necessary if economic conditions differ from the assumptions used in making the initial determinations.

Table of Contents

Management also actively monitors its covered loan portfolio for impairment and necessary loan loss provisions. Provisions for covered loans may be necessary due to a change in expected cash flows or an increase in expected losses within a pool of loans.

The provision for loan losses for non-covered loans was \$1.5 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2011. This compares with a \$5.0 million provision for the quarter ended March 31, 2010. The ratio of the allowance for loan losses to nonperforming assets was 43.39% at March 31, 2011, compared to 59.61% at December 31, 2010. The ratio of allowance for loan losses to total non-covered loans was 4.19% at March 31, 2011 compared with 4.86% at December 31, 2010. The decrease in the allowance for loan losses to total non-covered loans from December to March was mainly the result of aggressive charge-offs for non-performing loans. Net charged-off loans of \$5.5 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2011 compared with net charged-off loans of \$8.7 million for the quarter ended December 31, 2010.

While the covered loan portfolio contains significant risk, it was considered in determining the initial fair value, which was reflected as the carrying value recorded at the time of the SFSB transaction, less the FDIC guaranteed portion of losses on covered assets. There was no provision expense related to this portfolio in the first quarter of 2011.

Noninterest Income

For the quarter ended March 31, 2011, noninterest income equaled negative \$1.4 million versus \$415,000 in the first quarter of 2010. This change was due primarily to the increase of the FDIC indemnification asset amortization of \$2.4 million and a reduction in other noninterest income of \$1.5 million, offset by a \$1.8 million reduction in net losses on sales and write-down on other real estate owned, a \$307,000 increase in gains on sales of securities and an increase of \$11,000 in service charges on deposit accounts.

The increased FDIC indemnification asset amortization is the result of improved forecasted performance from the loan pools acquired from the FDIC. Based on current forecasts, as both projected losses and FDIC reimbursements decline, the FDIC indemnification asset amortization increases to reduce the FDIC indemnification asset over the life of the FDIC shared loss agreements. During the first quarter of 2011, the Company's projected expected loan pool losses declined by \$4.8 million, requiring the Company to increase the rate of FDIC indemnification asset amortization. As a result, the Company's FDIC indemnification asset amortization totaled \$2.7 million for the quarter as compared to \$1.2 million for the fourth quarter of 2010. Although loss projections could change, this level of quarterly amortization could continue based on current forecasts. However, lower losses also improve future accretion interest income performance and reduce the losses that the Company must absorb under the FDIC shared loss agreements, which is currently twenty percent.

Noninterest Expense

Noninterest expenses were \$9.2 million for the first quarter of 2011 compared with \$9.9 million for the first quarter of 2010. This represents a \$649,000, or 6.6%, decrease. Salaries and employee benefits for the first quarter of 2011 were \$4.2 million or 45.6% of all noninterest expenses, compared with \$5.1 million or 52.0% of all noninterest expenses for the first quarter of 2010. This represents a decrease of \$927,000, or 18.1%. In late September 2010, the Company announced staffing and management changes that reduced the Company's work force by approximately ten percent. Professional fees declined \$143,000, or 42.8%, from \$334,000 in the first quarter of 2010 compared to \$191,000 in the first quarter of 2011. Equipment expenses declined \$82,000 from the first quarter of 2010 to the same period in 2011. Data processing expenses declined \$54,000 during this time frame.

Income Taxes

Income tax benefit was \$838,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared with an income tax benefit of \$1.7 million in the first quarter of 2010. This is reflective of the higher losses incurred in the first quarter of 2010.

Table of Contents

FINANCIAL CONDITION

At March 31, 2011, the Company had total assets of \$1.085 billion, a decrease of \$30.1 million, or 2.7%, from total assets of \$1.116 billion at December 31, 2010. Total loans, including loans covered by the FDIC shared loss agreements of \$108.3 million, were \$622.6 million at March 31, 2011, decreasing \$18.5 million, or 2.9%, from \$641.1 million at December 31, 2010. The carrying value of covered loans declined \$7.2 million, or 6.2%, from December 31, 2010. The reduction in the covered loan portfolio was due to the planned disposition of FDIC covered assets and declining balances of FDIC covered loans. Non-covered loans equaled \$514.3 million at March 31, 2011, declining \$11.3 million, or 2.1%, since December 31, 2010. The decline in loan volume within the non-covered loan portfolio was the direct result of \$5.5 million in net loan charge-offs coupled with loan run-off and an overall decrease in loan demand.

The Company's securities portfolio decreased \$9.2 million, or 3.0%, during the first quarter of 2011 to equal \$298.3 million. The Company had Federal funds sold of \$5.0 million at March 31, 2011 versus \$2.0 million at December 31, 2010. Securities balances declined during the first quarter of 2011 to offset declines in deposit balances as well as de-leverage the Company's balance sheet, which increases the tier 1 leverage capital ratio, and to shorten the duration of the Company's asset base and make it less vulnerable to an increase in interest rates. The sales also resulted in a gain of \$661,000, or \$436,000 net of tax effect.

The Company is required to account for the effect of market changes in the value of securities available-for-sale (AFS) under FASB ASC 320, *Investments - Debt and Equity Securities*. The market value of the AFS portfolio was \$213.3 million at March 31, 2011 and \$215.6 million at December 31, 2010. At March 31, 2011, the Company had a net unrealized loss on the AFS portfolio of \$331,000 compared with a net unrealized loss of \$219,000 at December 31, 2010.

Total deposits at March 31, 2011 were \$929.5 million, decreasing \$32.2 million from December 31, 2010. Time deposits declined \$35.9 million during the first quarter of 2011 as management continued to lower rates among all regions, as loan demand remained weak and covered loans continued to decline in volume. The Company is attempting to restructure the deposit mix away from higher priced time deposits and more into lower cost transactional accounts. The most notable change has been the increase in savings accounts, which increased \$2.6 million, or 4.1%, during the first quarter of 2011. The Company's total loan-to-deposit ratio was 67.0% at March 31, 2011 compared to 66.7% at December 31, 2010.

The Company had Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) advances of \$37.0 million at each of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

Stockholders' equity at March 31, 2010 was \$105.9 million and represented 9.8% of total assets. Stockholders' equity was \$107.1 million, or 9.6% of total assets, at December 31, 2010.

Asset Quality - non-covered assets

The allowance for loan losses represents management's estimate of the amount appropriate to provide for probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio.

Non-covered loan quality is continually monitored, and the Company's management has established an allowance for loan losses that it believes is appropriate for the risks inherent in the loan portfolio. Among other factors, management considers the Company's historical loss experience, the size and composition of the loan portfolio, the value and appropriateness of collateral and guarantors, non-performing loans and current and anticipated economic conditions. There are additional risks of future loan losses, which cannot be precisely quantified nor attributed to particular loans or classes of loans. Because those risks include general economic trends, as well as conditions affecting individual borrowers, the allowance for loan losses is an estimate. The allowance is also subject to regulatory examinations and determination as to appropriateness, which may take into account such factors as the methodology used to calculate the allowance and size of the allowance in comparison to peer companies identified by regulatory agencies. See *Allowance for Loan Losses on Non-covered loans* in the Critical Accounting Policies section above for further discussion.

Table of Contents

The Company maintains a list of non-covered loans that have potential weaknesses and thus may need special attention. This loan list is used to monitor such loans and is used in the determination of the appropriateness of the allowance for loan losses. Non-covered nonperforming assets totaled \$49.6 million at March 31, 2011 and net charge-offs were \$5.5 million for the three month period ended March 31, 2011. This compares with nonperforming assets of \$42.8 million and net charge-offs of \$19.1 million at and for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Nonperforming non-covered loans increased \$5.4 million during the first quarter of 2011. Additions to nonaccrual loans totaled \$14.4 million, primarily attributable to approximately four relationships relating to loans for construction and land development and income producing commercial property, totaling \$11.4 million, which are secured by real estate. The remaining increase related primarily to loans for residential property and commercial real estate, which are also secured by real estate. There were \$5.6 million in charge-offs taken during the quarter, of which three commercial loan customers aggregated \$1.7 million. The remaining charge-offs were centered in commercial real estate, construction and land development, and residential real estate loans. Foreclosures for the quarter totaled \$1.9 million and \$1.2 million were reinstated to accruing status.

In accordance with GAAP, an individual loan is impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that a creditor will be unable to collect all amounts due in accordance with contractual terms of the loan agreement. The Company has modified its application of the definition of impaired loans to include all troubled debt restructured and nonaccrual loans. In addition, the Company reviews all substandard and doubtful loans greater than \$100,000 that are not on nonaccrual status, as well as loans with other risk characteristics, pursuant to and specifically for compliance with the accounting definition of impairment as described above. These impaired loans have been determined through analysis, appraisals, or other methods used by management.

The Company has identified a material weakness related to its process of identifying impaired loans. See Item 4 of this report for further discussion.

See Note 3 to the Company's financial statements for information related to the allowance for loan losses. At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, total impaired non-covered loans equaled \$51.6 million and \$45.0 million, respectively. Management has adopted a nine point risk rating system for which credits are continually monitored for proper classification. The increase in impaired loans demonstrates weakening economic conditions specifically in the real estate market and management's determination that these credits warrant substandard or worse classification.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth selected asset quality data, excluding FDIC covered assets, and ratios for the dates indicated:

(dollars in thousands)	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Nonaccrual loans	\$ 42,029	\$ 36,532
Loans past due over 90 days and accruing interest	282	389
Total nonperforming non-covered loans	42,311	36,921
Other real estate owned (OREO) non-covered	7,332	5,928
Total nonperforming non-covered assets	\$ 49,643	\$ 42,849
Accruing troubled debt restructure loans	\$ 4,481	\$ 4,007
Balances		
Allowance for loan losses	\$ 21,542	\$ 25,543
Average loans during quarter, net of unearned income	517,805	539,503
Loans, net of unearned income	514,276	525,548
Ratios		
Allowance for loan losses to loans	4.19%	4.86%
Allowance for loan losses to nonperforming assets	43.39%	59.61%
Allowance for loan losses to nonaccrual loans	51.26%	69.92%
Nonperforming assets to loans and other real estate	9.52%	8.06%
Net charge-offs for quarter to average loans, annualized	4.25%	6.47%

The Company performs troubled debt restructures and other various loan workouts whereby an existing loan may be restructured into multiple new loans. At March 31, 2011, the Company had 24 loans that met the definition of a troubled debt restructure (TDR), which are loans that for reasons related to the debtor s financial difficulties have been restructured on terms and conditions that would otherwise not be offered or granted. Two of these loans were restructured using multiple new loans. The aggregated outstanding principal of TDR loans at March 31, 2011 was \$12.0 million, of which \$7.5 million were classified as nonaccrual.

The primary benefit of the restructured multiple loan workout strategy is to maximize the potential return by restructuring the loan into a good loan (the A loan) and a bad loan (the B loan). The impact on interest is positive because the Bank is collecting interest on the A loan rather than potentially foregoing interest on the entire original loan structure. The A loan is underwritten pursuant to the Bank s standard requirements and graded accordingly. The B loan is classified as either doubtful or loss . An impairment analysis is performed on the B loan and, based on its results, all or a portion of the B note is charged-off or a specific loan loss reserve is established.

The Company does not modify its nonaccrual policies in this arrangement, and the A loan and the B loan stand on their own terms. At the time of its inception, this structure meets the definition of a TDR. If the loan is on nonaccrual at the time of restructure, the A loan is held on nonaccrual until six consecutive payments have been received, at which time it may be put back on an accrual status. Once the A loan has received 12 consecutive payments, it may no longer be reported as a TDR. The B loan is placed on nonaccrual. Under the terms of each loan, the borrower s payment is contractually due.

Table of Contents

A further breakout of nonaccrual loans, excluding covered loans, at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 is below (dollars in thousands):

	March 31, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Amount of Nonaccrual	% of Non-Covered Loans	Amount of Nonaccrual	% of Non-Covered Loans
Mortgage loans on real estate:				
Residential 1-4 family	\$ 8,421	6.32%	\$ 9,600	6.98%
Commercial	8,589	4.27%	7,181	3.50%
Construction and land development	22,804	23.93%	16,854	16.24%
Second mortgages	289	3.43%	218	2.25%
Multifamily				
Agriculture	53	1.75%		
Total real estate loans	40,156	8.80%	33,853	7.21%
Commercial loans	1,734	3.68%	2,619	5.90%
Consumer installment loans	139	1.60%	60	0.61%
All other loans				
Gross loans	\$ 42,029	8.17%	\$ 36,532	6.95%

At March 31, 2011, the Company had 23 construction and land development credit relationships in nonaccrual status. The borrowers under 16 of these relationships are residential land developers, and the borrowers under the remaining seven are commercial land developers. All of the relationships are secured by the real estate to be developed, and almost all of such projects are in the Company's central Virginia market. The total amount of the credit exposure outstanding at March 31, 2011 was \$22.8 million. These loans have either been charged-down or sufficiently reserved against to equate to the current expected realizable value.

During the first quarter of 2011, the Company charged off \$1.8 million with respect to seven of these relationships. The total amount of the allowance for loan losses attributed to all 23 relationships was \$8.3 million at March 31, 2011, or 36.4% of the total credit exposure outstanding. The Company establishes its reserves as described above in *Allowance for Loan Losses on Non-covered loans* in the Critical Accounting Policies section. In conjunction with the impairment analysis the Company performs as part of its allowance methodology, the Company ordered appraisals for all loans with balances in excess of \$250,000 unless there existed an appraisal that was not older than 12 months. The Company orders an automated valuation for balances between \$100,000 and \$250,000 and uses a ratio analysis for balances less than \$100,000. The Company maintains detailed analysis and other information for its allowance methodology, both for internal purposes and for review by its regulators.

Asset Quality covered assets

Loans accounted for under ASC 310-30 are generally considered accruing and performing loans as the loans accrete interest income over the estimated life of the loan. Accordingly, acquired impaired loans that are contractually past due are still considered to be accruing and performing loans.

The Company makes an estimate of the total cash flows that it expects to collect from a pool of covered loans, which include undiscounted expected principal and interest. Over the life of the loan or pool, the Company continues to estimate cash flows expected to be collected. Subsequent decreases in cash flows expected to be collected over the life of the pool are recognized as impairment in the current period through the allowance for loan losses. Subsequent increases in expected cash flows are first used to reverse any existing valuation allowance for that loan or pool. Any remaining increase in cash flows expected to be collected is recognized as an adjustment to the yield over the remaining life of the pool.

Table of Contents

Covered assets that would normally be considered nonperforming except for the accounting requirements regarding purchased impaired loans and other real estate owned covered by the FDIC shared loss agreements at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 are as follows:

(dollars in thousands)	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Nonaccrual covered loans ⁽¹⁾	\$ 18,440	\$ 21,986
Fair value adjustment	(10,435)	(12,430)
Nonaccrual covered loans at fair value	8,005	9,556
Other real estate owned (OREO) - covered	9,116	9,889
Total nonperforming covered assets	\$ 17,121	\$ 19,445

⁽¹⁾ Amount is based on contractual book value. Contractual book value of total covered loans is \$182.3 million and \$191.5 million at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. In accordance with ASC 310, covered loans are recorded at carrying value of \$108.3 million and \$115.5 million at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Capital Requirements

The determination of capital adequacy depends upon a number of factors, such as asset quality, liquidity, earnings, growth trends and economic conditions. The Company seeks to maintain a strong capital base to support its growth and expansion plans, provide stability to current operations and promote public confidence in the Company.

The federal banking regulators have defined three tests for assessing the capital strength and adequacy of banks, based on two definitions of capital. Tier 1 capital is defined as common equity, retained earnings and qualifying perpetual preferred stock, less certain intangibles. Tier 2 capital is defined as specific subordinated debt, some hybrid capital instruments and other qualifying preferred stock and a limited amount of the loan loss allowance. Total capital is defined as tier 1 capital plus tier 2 capital. Three risk-based capital ratios are computed using the above capital definitions, total assets and risk-weighted assets and are measured against regulatory minimums to ascertain adequacy. All assets and off-balance sheet risk items are grouped into categories according to degree of risk and assigned a risk-weighting and the resulting total is risk-weighted assets. Tier 1 risk-based capital is tier 1 capital divided by risk-weighted assets. Total risk-based capital is total capital divided by risk-weighted assets. The leverage ratio is tier 1 capital divided by total average assets.

Total stockholders' equity was \$105.9 million at March 31, 2011. The Company's ratio of total risk-based capital was 15.8% at March 31, 2011 compared to 15.6% at December 31, 2010. The tier 1 risk-based capital ratio was 14.7% at March 31, 2011 and 14.4% at December 31, 2010. The Company's tier 1 leverage ratio was 8.5% at March 31, 2011 and 8.1% at December 31, 2010. All capital ratios exceed regulatory minimums. In the fourth quarter of 2003, BOE issued trust preferred subordinated debt that qualifies as regulatory capital. This trust preferred debt, which has been assumed by the Company, has a 30-year maturity with a 5-year call option and was issued at a rate of three month LIBOR plus 3.0%. The weighted average cost of this instrument was 3.3% during the quarter ended March 31, 2011.

The Company will defer the May 2011 payment of its regular quarterly cash dividend with respect to its Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A, which the Company issued to the United States Department of Treasury in connection with the Company's participation in the Treasury's TARP Capital Purchase Program in December 2008. The Company had previously deferred the August and November 2010 and February 2011 payments. The Company has also deferred, beginning in September 2010, the interest payments that it makes with respect to trust preferred subordinated debt.

Liquidity

Liquidity represents the Company's ability to meet present and future financial obligations through either the sale or maturity of existing assets or the acquisition of additional funds through liability management. Liquid assets

Table of Contents

include cash, interest-bearing deposits with banks, federal funds sold, and certain investment securities. As a result of the Company's management of liquid assets and the ability to generate liquidity through liability funding, management believes that the Company maintains overall liquidity sufficient to satisfy its depositors' requirements and meet its customers' credit needs.

The Company's results of operations are significantly affected by its ability to manage effectively the interest rate sensitivity and maturity of its interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. At each of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company's interest-earning assets exceeded its interest-bearing liabilities by approximately \$32.9 million.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Contractual Obligations

A summary of the contract amount of the Bank's exposure to off-balance sheet risk as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, is as follows (dollars in thousands):

	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Commitments to extend credit	\$ 56,191	\$ 63,659
Standby letters of credit	11,645	12,114
Total commitments with off-balance sheet risks	\$ 67,836	\$ 75,773

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The Company evaluates each customer's credit worthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary by the Bank upon extension of credit, is based on management's credit evaluation of the counterparty. Collateral held varies but may include accounts receivable, inventory, property and equipment, and income-producing commercial properties.

Unfunded commitments under lines of credit are commitments for possible future extensions of credit to existing customers. Those lines of credit may be drawn upon only to the total extent to which the Bank is committed.

Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Bank to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Those guarantees are primarily issued to support public and private borrowing arrangements, including commercial paper, bond financing, and similar transactions. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to clients. The Bank holds certificates of deposit, deposit accounts, and real estate as collateral supporting those commitments for which collateral is deemed necessary.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Market risk is the risk of loss in a financial instrument arising from adverse changes in market rates or prices such as interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, commodity prices and equity prices. The Company's primary market risk exposure is interest rate risk. The ongoing monitoring and management of interest rate risk is an important component of the Company's asset/liability management process, which is governed by policies established by its Board of Directors that are reviewed and approved annually. The Board of Directors delegates responsibility for carrying out asset/liability management policies to the Asset/Liability Committee (ALCO) of the Bank. In this capacity, ALCO develops guidelines and strategies that govern the Company's asset/liability management related activities, based upon estimated market risk sensitivity, policy limits and overall market interest rate levels and trends.

Table of Contents

Interest rate risk represents the sensitivity of earnings to changes in market interest rates. As interest rates change, the interest income and expense streams associated with the Company's financial instruments also change, affecting net interest income, the primary component of the Company's earnings. ALCO uses the results of a detailed and dynamic simulation model to quantify the estimated exposure of net interest income to sustained interest rate changes. While ALCO routinely monitors simulated net interest income sensitivity over various periods, it also employs additional tools to monitor potential longer-term interest rate risk.

The simulation model captures the impact of changing interest rates on the interest income received and interest expense paid on all assets and liabilities reflected on the Company's balance sheet. The simulation model is prepared and updated monthly. This sensitivity analysis is compared to ALCO policy limits, which specify a maximum tolerance level for net interest income exposure over a one-year horizon, assuming no balance sheet growth, given a 200 basis point upward shift and a 200 basis point downward shift in interest rates. A parallel shift in rates over a 12-month period is assumed. The following table represents the change to net interest income given interest rate shocks up and down 100 and 200 basis points at March 31, 2011:

Change in Yield curve	Change in net interest income	
	%	\$
+200 bp	(1.1)%	\$ (386)
+100 bp	(0.8)%	(283)
most likely	0%	
100 bp	3.6%	1,308
200 bp	3.0%	1,084

At March 31, 2011, the Company's interest rate risk model indicated that, in a rising rate environment of 200 basis points over a 12 month period, net interest income could decrease by 1.1%. For the same time period, the interest rate risk model indicated that in a declining rate environment of 200 basis points, net interest income could increase by 3.0%. While these percentages are subjective based upon assumptions used within the model, management believes the balance sheet is appropriately balanced with acceptable risk to changes in interest rates.

The preceding sensitivity analysis does not represent a forecast and should not be relied upon as being indicative of expected operating results. These hypothetical estimates are based upon numerous assumptions, including the nature and timing of interest rate levels such as yield curve shape, prepayments on loans and securities, deposit decay rates, pricing decisions on loans and deposits, reinvestment or replacement of asset and liability cash flows. While assumptions are developed based upon current economic and local market conditions, the Company cannot make any assurances about the predictive nature of these assumptions, including how customer preferences or competitor influences might change.

Also, as market conditions vary from those assumed in the sensitivity analysis, actual results will also differ due to factors such as prepayment and refinancing levels likely deviating from those assumed, the varying impact of interest rate change, caps or floors on adjustable rate assets, the potential effect of changing debt service levels on customers with adjustable rate loans, depositor early withdrawals and product preference changes, and other internal and external variables. Furthermore, the sensitivity analysis does not reflect actions that ALCO might take in response to, or in anticipation of, changes in interest rates.

Table of Contents

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this Form 10-Q, the Company's management, with the participation of the Company's recently selected chief executive officer and its chief financial officer (the Certifying Officers), conducted evaluations of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures. As defined under Section 13a-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), the term disclosure controls and procedures means controls and other procedures of an issuer that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the issuer in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by an issuer in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the issuer's management, including the Certifying Officers, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

Based on this evaluation, the Certifying Officers have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were not effective to ensure that material information is recorded, processed, summarized and reported by management of the Company on a timely basis in order to comply with the Company's disclosure obligations under the Exchange Act and the rules and regulations promulgated under it. The Certifying Officers based this conclusion on the fact that the Company had a material weakness with respect to its process for identifying impaired loans. Additional information with respect to this issue is included in the discussion below.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

The management of the Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. The Company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed under the supervision of the Certifying Officers to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of the Company's financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

In the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, management's assessment of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting cited a material weakness in the Company's internal controls relating to its process for identifying impaired loans, as described below. A material weakness is a significant deficiency (as defined in the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board's Auditing Standard No. 5), or combination of deficiencies, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement in the annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis by employees in the normal course of their work. While management is not required to re-assess the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting during the fiscal year, the Company has concluded that the material weakness continues to exist as of March 31, 2011, as described below.

In the fourth quarter of 2010, the Company determined that, as a result of credit downgrades reported in the second quarter of 2010 due to perceived credit weaknesses, the loans that the Company identified as impaired included all loans risk-rated substandard and doubtful and thus included some loans that were not impaired under generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). The GAAP definition states that an individual loan is impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that a creditor will be unable to collect all amounts due in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan agreement. As a consequence, the Company determined that it inadvertently overstated the total amount of impaired loans during the second and third quarters of 2010, as the substandard and doubtful loans included in the second and third quarters were rated as such due to collateral deficiencies or financial documentation weaknesses but did not necessarily indicate impairment under the GAAP definition. Notwithstanding this situation, the Company does not believe that this overstatement has had any material impact on the allowance for loan losses calculation for any period, as the portion of the allowance that was attributable to loans inaccurately designated as impaired would have nevertheless been incorporated in the general component of the allowance.

In the first quarter of 2011, the Company modified its application of the definition of impaired loans to include all troubled debt restructured and nonaccrual loans. In addition, the Company reviewed all substandard and doubtful loans greater than \$100,000 that were not on nonaccrual status, as well as loans with other risk characteristics, pursuant to and specifically for compliance with the accounting definition of impairment as described above. As described in the next section below, the Company has also taken a number of steps to improve its process for identifying impaired loans.

Table of Contents

As a result of the magnitude of the changes that the Company made to its level of impaired loans during 2010 and the Company's continued efforts to appropriately identify loans deemed impaired, the Company has determined that the material weakness continues to exist as of March 31, 2011.

Remediation Steps to Address Material Weakness

To address the issues described above, the Company has taken the remediation steps discussed below.

The Company has centralized key credit administration functions, and these efforts are resulting in a number of improvements in these functions, including the current remediation of the material weakness in the Company's internal controls relating to its process for identifying impaired loans. During 2010, the Company implemented an entity-wide credit processing program that includes a risk rating tool, requires detailed documentation for use in the underwriting process, enhances management's ability to measure risk and standardizes underwriting across the Bank. In the first quarter of 2011, the Company hired a new chief credit officer with nearly 40 years of credit experience in the banking industry and appointed a new senior credit officer with 22 years of credit experience in the banking industry. Also, during the first quarter of 2011, the Company enhanced its oversight of potential troubled assets by creating a new internal special assets committee, and oversight at the Board of Directors level has been enhanced by a new credit committee. These actions have resulted in the implementation of key processes and procedures for credit administration, including a clear definition for credits that are deemed to be impaired.

Management continues to develop the process and procedures for the actual evaluation of potentially impaired loans on an entity-wide basis, including clear documentation to ensure consistent and accurate assessments. The Company will also conduct appropriate training across the organization on this and related issues, as lenders in the organization must analyze and evaluate key credit issues on a consistent basis. Notwithstanding these additional necessary steps, the restructuring of the credit administration function now provides for the review of all evaluations of impaired loans for accuracy.

Table of Contents

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. *Legal Proceedings*

There are no material pending legal proceedings, other than ordinary routine litigation incidental to the Company's business, to which the Company, including its subsidiaries, is a party or of which the property of the Company is subject.

Item 1A. *Risk Factors*

As of the date of this report, there were no material changes to the risk factors previously disclosed in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Item 2. *Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds*

None.

Item 3. *Defaults upon Senior Securities*

None.

Item 4. *(Removed and Reserved)*

Item 5. *Other Information*

As previously reported, on September 30, 2009, the Company received a comment letter from the staff of the Division of Corporation Finance of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Staff") with respect to disclosures in certain of its periodic reports filed in 2009, and the Company received follow-up comment letters with respect to disclosures in periodic reports filed in 2009 and 2010. The Staff has notified the Company that it has completed its review of these filings and that it does not have any further comments at this time.

Item 6. *Exhibits*

Exhibit No.	Description
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification for Chief Executive Officer*
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification for Chief Financial Officer*
32.1	Section 1350 Certifications*

* Filed herewith.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

COMMUNITY BANKERS TRUST CORPORATION
(Registrant)

/s/ Rex L. Smith, III
Rex L. Smith, III
Executive Vice President

(principal executive officer)

Date: May 13, 2011

/s/ Bruce E. Thomas
Bruce E. Thomas
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

(principal financial officer)

Date: May 13, 2011