

SUNOCO INC
Form 10-Q
August 06, 2009
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

x **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**
For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009

OR

.. **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**
For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 1-6841

SUNOCO, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

PENNSYLVANIA
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation)

23-1743282
(I.R.S. Employer

or organization)
1735 MARKET STREET, SUITE LL, PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-7583

Identification No.)

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

(215) 977-3000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Edgar Filing: SUNOCO INC - Form 10-Q

NOT APPLICABLE

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

YES ☒ NO ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

YES ☐ NO ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer ☒ Accelerated filer ☐ Non-accelerated filer ☐ Smaller reporting company ☐

(do not check if a smaller

reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

YES ☐ NO ☒

At June 30, 2009, there were 116,899,706 shares of Common Stock, \$1 par value outstanding.

Table of Contents

SUNOCO, INC.

INDEX

	Page No.
PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)	
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 and 2008</u>	1
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three Months Ended June 30, 2009 and 2008</u>	2
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 and 2008</u>	4
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	5
Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	24
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	39
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	39
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	40
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	42
Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	42
Item 4. <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	42
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	43
<u>SIGNATURE</u>	45

Table of Contents

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

Sunoco, Inc. and Subsidiaries

(Millions of Dollars and Shares, Except Per-Share Amounts)

	For the Six Months Ended June 30 20092008* (UNAUDITED)	
REVENUES		
Sales and other operating revenue (including consumer excise taxes) (Note 12)	\$ 13,610	\$ 27,244
Interest income	4	12
Other income, net	30	27
	13,644	27,283
COSTS AND EXPENSES		
Cost of products sold and operating expenses	11,612	25,329
Consumer excise taxes	1,174	1,195
Selling, general and administrative expenses	361	364
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	269	244
Payroll, property and other taxes	76	74
Provision for asset write-downs and other matters (Notes 3 and 6)	148	(18)
Interest cost and debt expense	70	56
Interest capitalized	(22)	(17)
	13,688	27,227
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax benefit	(44)	56
Income tax benefit (Note 4)	(54)	(9)
Income from continuing operations	10	65
Income from discontinued operations, net of income tax expense (Note 2)	20	5
Net income (Note 1)	30	70
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling (minority) interests	73	47
Net income (loss) attributable to Sunoco, Inc. shareholders	\$ (43)	\$ 23
Earnings (loss) attributable to Sunoco, Inc. shareholders per share of common stock:		
Basic:		
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ (.54)	\$.16
Income from discontinued operations	.17	.04
Net income (loss)	\$ (.37)	\$.20

Edgar Filing: SUNOCO INC - Form 10-Q

Diluted:

Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$	(.54)	\$	.16
Income from discontinued operations		.17		.04
Net income (loss)	\$	(.37)	\$	.20

Weighted-average number of shares outstanding (Notes 5 and 10):

Basic		116.9		117.0
Diluted		116.9		117.2
Cash dividends paid per share of common stock (Note 5)	\$	.60	\$	.575

* Restated to conform to 2009 presentation (Notes 1 and 2).

(See Accompanying Notes)

Table of Contents

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

Sunoco, Inc. and Subsidiaries

(Millions of Dollars and Shares, Except Per-Share Amounts)

	For the Three Months Ended June 30 20092008* (UNAUDITED)	
REVENUES		
Sales and other operating revenue (including consumer excise taxes) (Note 12)	\$ 7,482	\$ 15,157
Interest income	3	3
Other income, net	24	19
	7,509	15,179
COSTS AND EXPENSES		
Cost of products sold and operating expenses	6,534	14,077
Consumer excise taxes	605	621
Selling, general and administrative expenses	174	192
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	144	120
Payroll, property and other taxes	35	33
Provision for asset write-downs and other matters (Notes 3 and 6)	75	(18)
Interest cost and debt expense	39	28
Interest capitalized	(12)	(8)
	7,594	15,045
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense (benefit)	(85)	134
Income tax expense (benefit) (Note 4)	(50)	31
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(35)	103
Income from discontinued operations, net of income tax expense (Note 2)	14	5
Net income (loss) (Note 1)	(21)	108
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling (minority) interests	34	26
Net income (loss) attributable to Sunoco, Inc. shareholders	\$ (55)	\$ 82
Earnings (loss) attributable to Sunoco, Inc. shareholders per share of common stock:		
Basic:		
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ (.59)	\$.66
Income from discontinued operations	.12	.04
Net income (loss)	\$ (.47)	\$.70
Diluted:		
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ (.59)	\$.66
Income from discontinued operations	.12	.04
Net income (loss)	\$ (.47)	\$.70

Edgar Filing: SUNOCO INC - Form 10-Q

Weighted-average number of shares outstanding (Notes 5 and 10):

Basic	116.9	116.9
Diluted	116.9	117.0
Cash dividends paid per share of common stock (Note 5)	\$.30	\$.30

* Restated to conform to 2009 presentation (Notes 1 and 2).

(See Accompanying Notes)

Table of Contents

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

Sunoco, Inc. and Subsidiaries

(Millions of Dollars)

	At June 30 2009 (UNAUDITED)	At December 31 2008*
ASSETS		
Current Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 67	\$ 240
Accounts and notes receivable, net	2,356	1,636
Inventories:		
Crude oil	443	303
Petroleum and chemical products	412	327
Materials, supplies and other	198	191
Deferred income taxes	168	138
Total Current Assets	3,644	2,835
Investments and long-term receivables	180	173
Properties, plants and equipment	11,995	12,013
Less accumulated depreciation, depletion and amortization	4,061	4,214
Properties, plants and equipment, net	7,934	7,799
Deferred charges and other assets	345	343
Total Assets	\$ 12,103	\$ 11,150
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Current Liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ 3,354	\$ 2,409
Accrued liabilities (Note 6)	555	731
Short-term borrowings (Note 7)	148	310
Current portion of long-term debt	145	148
Taxes payable	135	339
Total Current Liabilities	4,337	3,937
Long-term debt (Note 7)	2,067	1,705
Retirement benefit liabilities (Note 8)	839	836
Deferred income taxes	972	859
Other deferred credits and liabilities (Note 6)	511	533
Commitments and contingent liabilities (Note 6)		
Equity (Note 1):		
Sunoco, Inc. shareholders' equity	2,821	2,842
Noncontrolling (minority) interests	556	438
Total Equity	3,377	3,280
Total Liabilities and Equity	\$ 12,103	\$ 11,150

Edgar Filing: SUNOCO INC - Form 10-Q

* Restated to conform to 2009 presentation (Note 1).

(See Accompanying Notes)

Table of Contents

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

Sunoco, Inc. and Subsidiaries

(Millions of Dollars)

	For the Six Months Ended June 30	
	2009	2008*
	(UNAUDITED)	
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net income	\$ 30	\$ 70
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Gain on divestment of discontinued Tulsa operations	(34)	
Provision for asset write-downs and other matters	154	(18)
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	269	252
Deferred income tax expense (benefit)	20	(135)
Payments less than expense for retirement plans	12	8
Changes in working capital pertaining to operating activities:		
Accounts and notes receivable	(784)	(1,749)
Inventories	(325)	(297)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	768	1,918
Taxes payable	(203)	136
Other	2	(32)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	(91)	153
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Capital expenditures	(457)	(561)
Proceeds from divestment of Tulsa refinery and related inventory	157	
Proceeds from other divestments	29	8
Other		35
Net cash used in investing activities	(271)	(518)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Net proceeds from (repayments of) short-term borrowings	(162)	100
Net proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	778	85
Repayments of long-term debt	(422)	(87)
Net proceeds from issuance of Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P. limited partnership units	110	
Cash distributions to investors in cokemaking operations	(8)	(20)
Cash distributions to investors in Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P.	(36)	(29)
Cash dividend payments	(70)	(67)
Purchases of common stock for treasury		(49)
Other	(1)	(2)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	189	(69)
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(173)	(434)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	240	648
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 67	\$ 214

* Restated to conform to 2009 presentation (Note 1).

(See Accompanying Notes)

Table of Contents**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)****1. General.**

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements are presented in accordance with the requirements of Form 10-Q and U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial reporting. They do not include all disclosures normally made in financial statements contained in Form 10-K. In management's opinion, all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the results of operations, financial position and cash flows for the periods shown have been made. All such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature, except for the gain on divestment of the Tulsa refinery and related inventory and the provision for asset write-downs and other matters (Notes 2 and 3). Results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 are not necessarily indicative of results for the full-year 2009. Subsequent events have been evaluated through August 6, 2009, the date the condensed consolidated financial statements were issued.

Effective January 1, 2009, the Company adopted the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements (SFAS No. 160). Among other things, SFAS No. 160 amended Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51, Consolidated Financial Statements, to establish standards for the accounting and reporting of noncontrolling (minority) interests in the consolidated financial statements. The new standard requires that minority interests be reported as a component of equity and that consolidated net income include amounts attributable to the minority interests with such amounts separately disclosed on the face of the income statement. SFAS No. 160 also requires that all changes in minority interest that do not result in a loss of control of the subsidiary be accounted for as equity transactions (Note 10).

2. Discontinued Operations.

In December 2008, Sunoco announced its intention to sell the Tulsa refinery or convert it to a terminal by the end of 2009 because it did not expect to achieve an acceptable return on investment on a capital project to comply with the new off-road diesel fuel requirements at this facility. On June 1, 2009, Sunoco completed the sale of its Tulsa refinery to Holly Corporation. The transaction also included the sale of inventory attributable to the refinery which was valued at market prices at closing. Sunoco received a total of \$157 million in cash proceeds from this divestment, comprised of \$64 million from the sale of the refinery and \$93 million from the sale of the related inventory.

As a result of the sale of the Tulsa refinery, such refinery has been classified as a discontinued operation for all periods presented in the condensed consolidated statements of operations and related footnotes. The following is a summary of income from discontinued operations for the six-month and three-month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 (in millions of dollars):

	Six Months		Three Months	
	Ended		Ended	
	June 30		June 30	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Income before income tax expense	\$ 33	\$ 8	\$ 24	\$ 8
Income tax expense	13	3	10	3
Income from discontinued operations*	\$ 20	\$ 5	\$ 14	\$ 5

* Attributable to Sunoco, Inc. shareholders.

Table of Contents

Income from discontinued operations in the six-month and three-month periods ended June 30, 2009 includes a net gain on divestment consisting of the following components (in millions of dollars):

	Pretax Gain (Loss)	After-tax Gain (Loss)
Gain on sale of refinery	\$ 36	\$ 22
Gain on sale of related inventory	9	5
Retirement benefit plan settlement and curtailment losses	(11)	(7)
	\$ 34	\$ 20

Sales and other operating revenue (including consumer excise taxes) from discontinued operations totaled \$623 and \$1,878 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and \$275 and \$1,058 million, respectively, for the quarters then ended.

3. Asset Write-Downs and Other Matters.

The following table summarizes information regarding the provision for asset write-downs and other matters recognized during the first six months of 2009 and 2008 (in millions of dollars):

	Pretax Provisions	After-tax Provisions
2009		
Business improvement initiative	\$ 132	\$ 78
Bayport chemical plant	6	4
Other	10	6
	\$ 148	\$ 88
2008		
MTBE litigation insurance recovery	\$ (18)	\$ (11)

In March 2009, management approved the first phase of a previously announced business improvement initiative to reduce costs and improve business processes. Implementation of this phase of the initiative includes all business and operations support functions, as well as operations at the Philadelphia and Marcus Hook refineries. In connection with the first phase of the initiative, the Company established a pretax accrual of \$57 million in the first quarter of 2009. Of this amount, \$42 million pertains to employee severance and related cash costs, which are expected to be paid out over approximately one year, with the balance attributable to a noncash provision for pension and postretirement settlement and curtailment losses. During the second quarter of 2009, the Company established an additional \$75 million pretax accrual of which \$66 million was attributable to noncash settlement and curtailment losses with the balance due to employee severance and related cash costs associated with its hourly employees in certain identified areas. As a result of the workforce reduction, the Company will incur additional noncash settlement losses during the remainder of 2009 as additional lump sum pension payments are made. However, the amount and timing of any such additional losses cannot be estimated at this time.

In connection with the previously announced shutdown of Chemicals polypropylene plant in Bayport, TX, which was completed in March 2009, Sunoco established a pretax accrual of \$6 million for a take-or-pay contract

Table of Contents

loss, employee terminations and other exit costs in the first quarter of 2009. In addition, during the first quarter of 2009, Sunoco recorded a pretax provision of \$10 million to write down to estimated fair value certain assets primarily in the Refining and Supply business.

In the second quarter of 2008, the Company recognized an \$18 million pretax gain on an insurance recovery related to an MTBE litigation settlement which occurred in 2007 (Note 6).

The following table summarizes the changes in the accrual for employee terminations and other exit costs during the six months ended June 30, 2009 (in millions of dollars):

Balance at beginning of period	\$ 12
Additional accruals	59
Payments charged against the accruals	(21)
Balance at end of period	\$ 50

4. Income Taxes.

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of income tax expense (benefit) at the U.S. statutory rate to the income tax expense (benefit) attributable to continuing operations (in millions of dollars):

	Six Months Ended June 30		Three Months Ended June 30	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Income tax expense (benefit) at U.S. statutory rate of 35 percent	\$ (15)	\$ 20	\$ (30)	\$ 47
Reduction in income taxes resulting from:				
Income attributable to noncontrolling (minority) interests*	(26)	(16)	(12)	(9)
Other	(13)	(13)	(8)	(7)
	\$ (54)	\$ (9)	\$ (50)	\$ 31

* No income tax expense is reflected in the consolidated statements of operations for partnership income attributable to noncontrolling (minority) interests.

During the second quarter of 2008, Sunoco recorded a \$10 million after-tax gain due to the settlement of economic nexus issues pertaining to certain state corporate income tax returns.

Table of Contents

5. Earnings Per Share Data.

The following table sets forth the reconciliation of the weighted-average number of common shares used to compute basic earnings per share (EPS) to those used to compute diluted EPS (in millions):

	Six Months Ended June 30		Three Months Ended June 30	
	2009*	2008	2009*	2008
Weighted-average number of common shares outstanding basic	116.9	117.0	116.9	116.9
Add effect of dilutive stock incentive awards		.2		.1
Weighted-average number of shares diluted	116.9	117.2	116.9	117.0

* Since the assumed issuance of common stock under stock incentive awards would not have been dilutive, the weighted-average number of shares used to compute diluted EPS is equal to the weighted-average number of shares used in the basic EPS computation.

6. Commitments and Contingent Liabilities.

Commitments

Over the years, Sunoco has sold thousands of retail gasoline outlets as well as refineries, terminals, coal mines, oil and gas properties and various other assets. In connection with these sales, the Company has indemnified the purchasers for potential environmental and other contingent liabilities related to the periods prior to the transaction dates. In most cases, the effect of these arrangements was to afford protection for the purchasers with respect to obligations for which the Company was already primarily liable. While some of these indemnities have spending thresholds which must be exceeded before they become operative, or limits on Sunoco's maximum exposure, they generally are not limited. The Company recognizes the fair value of the obligations undertaken for all guarantees entered into or modified after January 1, 2003. In addition, the Company accrues for any obligations under these agreements when a loss is probable and reasonably estimable. The Company cannot reasonably estimate the maximum potential amount of future payments under these agreements.

Environmental Remediation Activities

Sunoco is subject to extensive and frequently changing federal, state and local laws and regulations, including, but not limited to, those relating to the discharge of materials into the environment or that otherwise relate to the protection of the environment, waste management and the characteristics and composition of fuels. As with the industry generally, compliance with existing and anticipated laws and regulations increases the overall cost of operating Sunoco's businesses, including remediation, operating costs and capital costs to construct, maintain and upgrade equipment and facilities.

Existing laws and regulations result in liabilities and loss contingencies for remediation at Sunoco's facilities and at formerly owned or third-party sites. The accrued liability for environmental remediation is classified in the condensed consolidated balance sheets as follows (in millions of dollars):

	At June 30 2009	At December 31 2008
Accrued liabilities	\$ 34	\$ 36
Other deferred credits and liabilities	81	87
	\$ 115	\$ 123

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the changes in the accrued liability for environmental remediation activities by category (in millions of dollars):

	Refineries	Retail Sites	Chemicals Facilities	Pipelines and Terminals	Hazardous Waste Sites	Other	Total
Balance at January 1, 2008	\$ 35	\$ 67	\$ 4	\$ 12	\$ 3	\$ 1	\$ 122
Accruals	3	10		1	1		15
Payments	(6)	(10)		(2)	(1)		(19)
Balance at June 30, 2008	\$ 32	\$ 67	\$ 4	\$ 11	\$ 3	\$ 1	\$ 118
Balance at January 1, 2009	\$ 32	\$ 69	\$ 4	\$ 13	\$ 4	\$ 1	\$ 123
Accruals		10		1			11
Payments	(6)	(11)		(2)	(1)		(20)
Other		1					1
Balance at June 30, 2009	\$ 26	\$ 69	\$ 4	\$ 12	\$ 3	\$ 1	\$ 115

Sunoco's accruals for environmental remediation activities reflect management's estimates of the most likely costs that will be incurred over an extended period to remediate identified conditions for which the costs are both probable and reasonably estimable. Engineering studies, historical experience and other factors are used to identify and evaluate remediation alternatives and their related costs in determining the estimated accruals for environmental remediation activities. Losses attributable to unasserted claims are also reflected in the accruals to the extent they are probable of occurrence and reasonably estimable.

Total future costs for the environmental remediation activities identified above will depend upon, among other things, the identification of any additional sites, the determination of the extent of the contamination at each site, the timing and nature of required remedial actions, the nature of operations at each site, the technology available and needed to meet the various existing legal requirements, the nature and terms of cost-sharing arrangements with other potentially responsible parties, the availability of insurance coverage, the nature and extent of future environmental laws and regulations, inflation rates, terms of consent agreements or remediation permits with regulatory agencies and the determination of Sunoco's liability at the sites, if any, in light of the number, participation level and financial viability of the other parties. Management believes it is reasonably possible (i.e., less than probable but greater than remote) that additional environmental remediation losses will be incurred. At June 30, 2009, the aggregate of the estimated maximum additional reasonably possible losses, which relate to numerous individual sites, totaled approximately \$85 million. However, the Company believes it is very unlikely that it will realize the maximum reasonably possible loss at every site. Furthermore, the recognition of additional losses, if and when they were to occur, would likely extend over many years and, therefore, likely would not have a material impact on the Company's financial position.

Table of Contents

Under various environmental laws, including the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) (which relates to solid and hazardous waste treatment, storage and disposal), Sunoco has initiated corrective remedial action at its facilities, formerly owned facilities and third-party sites. At the Company's major manufacturing facilities, Sunoco has consistently assumed continued industrial use and a containment/remediation strategy focused on eliminating unacceptable risks to human health or the environment. The remediation accruals for these sites reflect that strategy. Accruals include amounts to prevent off-site migration and to contain the impact on the facility property, as well as to address known, discrete areas requiring remediation within the plants. Activities include closure of RCRA solid waste management units, recovery of hydrocarbons, handling of impacted soil, mitigation of surface water impacts and prevention of off-site migration.

Many of Sunoco's current terminals are being addressed with the above containment/remediation strategy. At some smaller or less impacted facilities and some previously divested terminals, the focus is on remediating discrete interior areas to attain regulatory closure.

Sunoco owns or operates certain retail gasoline outlets where releases of petroleum products have occurred. Federal and state laws and regulations require that contamination caused by such releases at these sites and at formerly owned sites be assessed and remediated to meet the applicable standards. The obligation for Sunoco to remediate this type of contamination varies, depending on the extent of the release and the applicable laws and regulations. A portion of the remediation costs may be recoverable from the reimbursement fund of the applicable state, after any deductible has been met.

The accrued liability for hazardous waste sites is attributable to potential obligations to remove or mitigate the environmental effects of the disposal or release of certain pollutants at third-party sites pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA) (which relates to releases and remediation of hazardous substances) and similar state laws. Under CERCLA, Sunoco is potentially subject to joint and several liability for the costs of remediation at sites at which it has been identified as a potentially responsible party (PRP). As of June 30, 2009, Sunoco had been named as a PRP at 42 sites identified or potentially identifiable as Superfund sites under federal and state law. The Company is usually one of a number of companies identified as a PRP at a site. Sunoco has reviewed the nature and extent of its involvement at each site and other relevant circumstances and, based upon the other parties involved or Sunoco's level of participation therein, believes that its potential liability associated with such sites will not be significant.

Management believes that none of the current remediation locations, which are in various stages of ongoing remediation, is individually material to Sunoco as its largest accrual for any one Superfund site, operable unit or remediation area was less than \$7 million at June 30, 2009. As a result, Sunoco's exposure to adverse developments with respect to any individual site is not expected to be material. However, if changes in environmental laws or regulations occur, such changes could impact multiple Sunoco facilities, formerly owned facilities and third-party sites at the same time. As a result, from time to time, significant charges against income for environmental remediation may occur.

The Company maintains insurance programs that cover certain of its existing or potential environmental liabilities, which programs vary by year, type and extent of coverage. For underground storage tank remediations, the Company can also seek reimbursement through various state funds of certain

Table of Contents

remediation costs above a deductible amount. For certain acquired properties, the Company has entered into arrangements with the sellers or others that allocate environmental liabilities and provide indemnities to the Company for remediating contamination that occurred prior to the acquisition dates. Some of these environmental indemnifications are subject to caps and limits. No accruals have been recorded for any potential contingent liabilities that will be funded by the prior owners as management does not believe, based on current information that it is likely that any of the former owners will not perform under any of these agreements. Other than the preceding arrangements, the Company has not entered into any arrangements with third parties to mitigate its exposure to loss from environmental contamination. Claims for recovery of environmental liabilities that are probable of realization totaled \$14 million at June 30, 2009 and are included principally in deferred charges and other assets in the condensed consolidated balance sheets.

Regulatory Matters

In May 2004, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) adopted a rule which is phasing in limits on the allowable sulfur content in off-road diesel fuel that began in June 2007. In connection with the phase-in of these off-road diesel fuel rules, Sunoco had initiated an approximately \$400 million capital project at the Tulsa refinery, which included a new 24 thousand barrels-per-day hydrotreating unit, sulfur recovery unit and tail gas treater. In 2008, Sunoco elected not to proceed with this project because it was not expected to achieve an acceptable return on the investment. On June 1, 2009, Sunoco completed the sale of its Tulsa refinery to Holly Corporation (Note 2). This rule largely related to operations at this facility.

National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for ozone and fine particles promulgated by the EPA have resulted in identification of non-attainment areas throughout the country, including Texas, Pennsylvania, Ohio, New Jersey and West Virginia, where Sunoco operates facilities. Areas designated by EPA as moderate non-attainment for ozone, including Philadelphia and Houston, would be required to meet the ozone requirements by 2010, before currently mandated federal control programs would take effect. In January 2009, the EPA issued a finding that the Philadelphia and Houston State Implementation Plans (SIPS) failed to demonstrate attainment by the 2010 deadline. This finding is expected to result in more stringent offset requirements and could result in other negative consequences. Texas petitioned EPA to redesignate the Houston area as severe non-attainment for ozone and in 2009 the EPA granted the petition. Under this designation, Houston's SIP is due in 2010 and attainment must be achieved by 2019. In 2005, the EPA also identified numerous counties, including the county where the Toledo refinery is located, that now are in attainment of the fine particles standard. In September 2006, the EPA issued a final rule tightening the standard for fine particles. This standard is currently being challenged in federal court by various states and environmental groups. In March 2007, the EPA issued final rules to implement the 1997 fine particle matter (PM 2.5) standards. States had until April 2008 to submit plans to the EPA demonstrating attainment by 2010 or, at the latest, 2015. However, the March 2007 rule does not address attainment of the September 2006 standard. In March 2008, the EPA promulgated a new, more stringent ozone standard, which was challenged in a lawsuit in May 2008 by environmental organizations. Regulatory programs, when established to implement the EPA's air quality standards, could have an impact on Sunoco and its operations. However, the potential financial impact cannot be reasonably estimated until the lawsuit is resolved, the EPA promulgates regulatory programs to attain the standards, and the states, as necessary, develop and implement revised SIPs to respond to the new regulations.

Table of Contents

Through the operation of its refineries, chemical plants, marketing facilities and coke plants, Sunoco's operations emit greenhouse gases (GHG), including carbon dioxide. There are various legislative and regulatory measures to address GHG emissions which are in various stages of review, discussion or implementation. Current proposals being considered by Congress would require the Company to provide carbon emission allowances for emissions at its manufacturing facilities as well as emissions caused by the use of fuels it sells at the wholesale level. While the exact amount of the cost of the offsets would not be established until the future, the Company believes that the cost of these offsets could be material, and there is no assurance that the Company would be able to recover these costs in the sale of its products. Other federal and state actions to develop programs for the reduction of GHG emissions are also being considered. While it is currently not possible to predict the impact, if any, that these issues will have on the Company or the industry in general, they could result in increases in costs to operate and maintain the Company's facilities, as well as capital outlays for new emission control equipment at these facilities. In addition, regulations limiting GHG emissions or carbon content of products, which target specific industries such as petroleum refining or chemical or coke manufacturing could adversely affect the Company's ability to conduct its business and also may reduce demand for its products.

MTBE Litigation

Sunoco, along with other refiners, manufacturers and sellers of gasoline is a defendant in approximately 24 lawsuits in 5 states and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, which allege MTBE contamination in groundwater. Plaintiffs, who include water purveyors and municipalities responsible for supplying drinking water and private well owners, allege that refiners and suppliers of gasoline containing MTBE are responsible for manufacturing and distributing a defective product that contaminates groundwater. Plaintiffs are asserting primarily product liability claims and additional claims including nuisance, trespass, negligence, violation of environmental laws and deceptive business practices. In addition, several actions commenced by state authorities allege natural resource damages. Plaintiffs may seek to rely on a joint liability of industry theory at trial, although there has been no ruling as to whether the plaintiffs will be permitted to pursue this theory. Plaintiffs are seeking compensatory damages, and in some cases injunctive relief, punitive damages and attorneys' fees.

In December 2007, Sunoco, along with other refiners, entered into a settlement which covered 53 MTBE cases. The settlement required a cash payment by the group of settling refiner defendants of approximately \$422 million (which included attorneys' fees) plus an agreement in the future to fund costs of treating existing wells as to which MTBE has not currently been detected but which later is detected, over four consecutive quarters, above certain concentration levels. As MTBE is no longer used, and based on a generally declining trend in MTBE contamination, the Company does not anticipate substantial costs associated with the future treatment of existing wells. The Company established a \$28 million accrual (\$17 million after tax), representing its allocation percentage of the settlement, in the fourth quarter of 2007 and recognized an \$18 million gain (\$11 million after tax) in the second quarter of 2008 in connection with an insurance recovery. During 2008, Sunoco made a cash payment of approximately \$28 million and recovered the \$18 million of proceeds from the insurance settlement.

The majority of the remaining MTBE cases have been removed to federal court and consolidated for pretrial purposes in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York (MDL 1358). Discovery is proceeding in all of these cases. In 2008, Sunoco settled four cases relating to MTBE contamination in the Fort Montgomery, NY area which included two federal cases and two state cases. A case involving the City of New York was also recently settled. The impact of these settlements was not material.

Table of Contents

For the group of MTBE cases that are not covered by the December 2007 settlement, there has been insufficient information developed about the plaintiffs' legal theories or the facts that would be relevant to an analysis of the ultimate liability to Sunoco. Based on the current law and facts available at this time, no accrual has been established for any potential damages at June 30, 2009 and Sunoco believes that these cases will not have a material adverse effect on its consolidated financial position.

Conclusion

Many other legal and administrative proceedings are pending or may be brought against Sunoco arising out of its current and past operations, including matters related to commercial and tax disputes, product liability, antitrust, employment claims, leaks from pipelines and underground storage tanks, natural resource damage claims, premises-liability claims, allegations of exposures of third parties to toxic substances (such as benzene or asbestos) and general environmental claims. Although the ultimate outcome of these proceedings and other matters identified above cannot be ascertained at this time, it is reasonably possible that some of these matters could be resolved unfavorably to Sunoco. Management believes that these matters could have a significant impact on results of operations for any future quarter or year. However, management does not believe that any additional liabilities which may arise pertaining to such matters would be material in relation to the consolidated financial position of Sunoco at June 30, 2009.

7. New Borrowings.

In March 2009, Sunoco issued \$250 million of 9-5/8 percent notes due in 2015 under its shelf registration statement. In addition, in February 2009, Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P. (the "Partnership") issued \$175 million of 8-3/4 percent notes due in 2014.

During April 2009, Sunoco also issued \$103 million of floating-rate notes due in 2034, which replaced \$103 million of floating-rate notes that were redeemed during the first quarter of 2009. The Company remarketed the predecessor notes on a weekly basis and is remarketing the new notes weekly as well. As a result, the notes have been classified as short-term borrowings in the condensed consolidated balance sheet at June 30, 2009. The new notes are supported by letters of credit.

Table of Contents

8. Retirement Benefit Plans.

The following tables set forth the components of defined benefit plans and postretirement benefit plans expense (in millions of dollars):

	Defined Benefit Plans Six Months Ended June 30		Postretirement Benefit Plans Six Months Ended June 30	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Service cost (cost of benefits earned during the year)	\$ 23	\$ 24	\$ 4	\$ 4
Interest cost on benefit obligations	39	40	13	13
Expected return on plan assets	(34)	(53)		
Amortization of:				
Prior service cost (benefit)		1		(1)
Actuarial losses	29	8		1
Settlement losses	76*			
Curtailment losses	20*		4	
Total expense	\$ 153	\$ 20	\$ 21	\$ 17

	Defined Benefit Plans Three Months Ended June 30		Postretirement Benefit Plans Three Months Ended June 30	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Service cost (cost of benefits earned during the year)	\$ 10	\$ 12	\$ 2	\$ 2
Interest cost on benefit obligations	20	20	7	7
Expected return on plan assets	(16)	(27)		
Amortization of:				
Prior service cost (benefit)				(1)
Actuarial losses	15	5		
Settlement losses	70*			
Curtailment losses	7*		2	
Total expense	\$ 106	\$ 10	\$ 11	\$ 8

* Includes settlement and curtailment losses amounting to \$7 and \$4 million, respectively, recognized in the second quarter of 2009 attributable to discontinued Tulsa refining operations (Note 2).

Table of Contents

9. Comprehensive Income (Loss).

The following tables set forth comprehensive income (loss) attributable to Sunoco, Inc. shareholders and the noncontrolling (minority) interests (in millions of dollars):

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2009			Six Months Ended June 30, 2008		
	Sunoco, Inc. Shareholders Equity	Non-controlling (Minority) Interests	Total	Sunoco, Inc. Shareholders Equity	Non-controlling (Minority) Interests	Total
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ (63)	\$ 73	\$ 10	\$ 18	\$ 47	\$ 65
Income from discontinued Tulsa refining operations	20		20	5		5
Net income (loss)	(43)	73	30	23	47	70
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of related income taxes:						
Reclassification to earnings of settlement and curtailment losses and prior service cost and actuarial loss amortization	64		64	5		5
Net hedging losses	(13)		(13)	(73)		(73)
Reclassification of net hedging losses to earnings	12		12	47		47
Net (increase) decrease in unrealized loss on available-for-sale securities				(5)		(5)
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 20	\$ 73	\$ 93	\$ (3)	\$ 47	\$ 44

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2009			Three Months Ended June 30, 2008		
	Sunoco, Inc. Shareholders Equity	Non-controlling (Minority) Interests	Total	Sunoco, Inc. Shareholders Equity	Non-controlling (Minority) Interests	Total
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ (69)	\$ 34	\$ (35)	\$ 77	\$ 26	\$ 103
Income from discontinued Tulsa refining operations	14		14	5		5
Net income (loss)	(55)	34	(21)	82	26	108
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of related income taxes:						
Reclassification to earnings of settlement and curtailment losses and prior service cost and actuarial loss amortization	51		51	2		2
Net hedging losses	(9)		(9)	(76)		(76)
Reclassification of net hedging losses to earnings	9		9	42		42
Net (increase) decrease in unrealized loss on available-for-sale securities	1		1	(3)		(3)
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ (3)	\$ 34	\$ 31	\$ 47	\$ 26	\$ 73

Table of Contents

10. Equity.

	At June 30 2009	At December 31 2008
	(Millions of Dollars)	
Sunoco, Inc. shareholders' equity:		
Common stock, par value \$1 per share	\$ 281	\$ 281
Capital in excess of par value	1,696	1,667
Retained earnings	5,897	6,010
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(414)	(477)
Common stock held in treasury, at cost	(4,639)	(4,639)
	2,821	2,842
Noncontrolling (minority) interests	556	438
Total equity	\$ 3,377	\$ 3,280

Sunoco, Inc. Shareholders' Equity

The Company increased the quarterly cash dividend paid on common stock from \$.275 per share (\$1.10 per year) to \$.30 per share (\$1.20 per year) beginning with the second quarter of 2008. In addition, the Company did not repurchase any of its common stock in the open market during the first six months of 2009. At June 30, 2009, the Company had a remaining authorization from its Board to repurchase up to \$600 million of Company common stock.

Noncontrolling (Minority) Interests

Cokemaking Operations

Sunoco received a total of \$415 million in exchange for interests in its Indiana Harbor cokemaking operations in two separate transactions in 1998 and 2002. Sunoco did not recognize any gain as of the dates of these transactions because the third-party investors were entitled to a preferential return on their respective investments. The returns of the investors were equal to 98 percent of the cash flows and tax benefits from such cokemaking operations during the preferential return period, which continued until the fourth quarter of 2007 (at which time the investor entitled to the preferential return recovered its investment and achieved a cumulative annual after-tax return of approximately 10 percent). Those investors are now entitled to a minority interest amounting to 34 percent of the partnership's net income, which declines to 10 percent by 2038.

The Company indemnifies the third-party investors (including a former investor in Sunoco's Jewell cokemaking operations) for certain tax benefits that were available to them during the preferential return period in the event the Internal Revenue Service disallows the tax deductions and benefits allocated to the third parties. These tax indemnifications are in effect until the applicable tax returns are no longer subject to Internal Revenue Service review. Although the Company believes the possibility is remote that it will be required to do so, at June 30, 2009, the maximum potential payment under these tax indemnifications would have been approximately \$185 million.

Table of Contents

Logistics Operations

In the second quarter of 2009, Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P. issued 2.25 million limited partnership units in a public offering, generating approximately \$110 million of net proceeds before underwriting expenses. Upon completion of this transaction, Sunoco's interest in the Partnership, including its 2 percent general partnership interest, decreased to 40 percent. Since the issuance of the limited partnership units did not result in a loss of control of the Partnership, it has been accounted for as an equity transaction in accordance with SFAS No. 160 with \$88 million of the net proceeds reflected as an increase in noncontrolling (minority) interests and the remaining \$22 million as an increase in capital in excess of par value within shareholders' equity. Sunoco's general partnership interest also includes incentive distribution rights, which provide Sunoco, as the general partner, up to 50 percent of the Partnership's incremental cash flow. The accounts of the Partnership continue to be included in Sunoco's consolidated financial statements.

The following tables set forth the noncontrolling (minority) interest balances and the changes to these balances (in millions of dollars):

	Cokemaking Operations	Logistics Operations	Total
At December 31, 2007	\$ 83	\$ 356	\$ 439
Noncontrolling (minority) interests share of income	8	39	47
Cash distributions	(20)	(29)	(49)
Other		1	1
At June 30, 2008	\$ 71	\$ 367	\$ 438
At December 31, 2008	\$ 71	\$ 367	\$ 438
Noncontrolling (minority) interests share of net proceeds from public equity offering		88	88
Noncontrolling (minority) interests share of income	10	63	73
Cash distributions	(8)	(36)	(44)
Other		1	1
At June 30, 2009	\$ 73	\$ 483	\$ 556

11. Fair Value Measurements.

Effective January 1, 2008, the Company adopted the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (SFAS No. 157), which pertain to certain balance sheet items measured at fair value on a recurring basis. SFAS No. 157 defines fair value and establishes a framework for measuring fair value. While SFAS No. 157 may change the method of calculating fair value, it does not require any new fair value measurements. Effective January 1, 2009, the Company adopted the remaining provisions of SFAS No. 157 pertaining to measurements of certain nonfinancial assets and liabilities. These provisions of SFAS No. 157 did not have a material impact on the condensed consolidated financial statements for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009.

Table of Contents

The following tables set forth the assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis, by input level, in the condensed consolidated balance sheets at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 (in millions of dollars):

	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets or Liabilities (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Total
At June 30, 2009				
Assets:				
Cash equivalents	\$ 48	\$	\$	\$ 48
Available-for-sale securities	10	7		17
Derivative contract gains	2	10		12
	\$ 60	\$ 17	\$	\$ 77
Liabilities:				
Derivative contract losses	\$ 3	\$ 23	\$	\$ 26
	\$ 3	\$ 23	\$	\$ 26
At December 31, 2008				
Assets:				
Cash equivalents	\$ 218	\$	\$	\$ 218
Available-for-sale securities	10	9		19
Derivative contract gains		77		77
	\$ 228	\$ 86	\$	\$ 314
Liabilities:				
Derivative contract losses	\$ 14	\$ 80	\$	\$ 94
	\$ 14	\$ 80	\$	\$ 94

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 107, "Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments," requires the disclosure of the estimated fair value of all financial instruments in the balance sheet (including those recorded on a cost basis) measured utilizing the criteria established in SFAS No. 157. Sunoco's current assets (other than inventories and deferred income taxes) and current liabilities (other than the current portion of retirement benefit liabilities) are financial instruments and most of these items are recorded at cost in the consolidated balance sheets. The estimated fair values of these financial instruments approximate their carrying amounts. At June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the estimated fair value of Sunoco's long term debt was \$2,153 and \$1,686 million, respectively, compared to carrying amounts of \$2,067 and \$1,705 million, respectively. Long-term debt that is publicly traded was valued based on quoted market prices while the fair value of other debt issues was estimated by management based upon current interest rates available to Sunoco at the respective balance sheet dates for similar issues.

From time to time, Sunoco uses swaps, options, futures, forwards and other derivative instruments to hedge a variety of price risks. Such derivative instruments are used to achieve ratable pricing of crude oil purchases, to convert certain expected refined product sales to fixed or floating prices, to lock in what Sunoco considers to be acceptable margins for various refined products and to lock in the price of a portion of the Company's electricity and natural gas purchases or sales and transportation costs. Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P. uses interest rate swaps to manage interest costs and minimize the effects of interest rate fluctuation on cash flows associated with its credit facilities.

While all of these derivative instruments represent economic hedges, certain of these derivatives are not designated as hedges for accounting purposes. Such derivatives include certain contracts that were entered into and closed during the same quarter and contracts for which there is

not sufficient correlation to the related items being economically hedged.

Table of Contents

All of these derivatives are recognized in the consolidated balance sheets at their fair value. Changes in fair value of derivative instruments that have not been designated as hedges for accounting purposes are recognized in income as they occur. If the derivative instruments are designated as hedges for accounting purposes, depending on their nature, the effective portions of changes in their fair values are either offset in income against the changes in the fair values of the items being hedged or reflected initially as a separate component of shareholders' equity and subsequently recognized in income when the hedged items are recognized in income. The ineffective portions of changes in the fair values of derivative instruments designated as hedges, if any, are immediately recognized in income. The amount of hedge ineffectiveness on derivative contracts during the first six months of 2009 was not material. Sunoco does not hold or issue derivative instruments for trading purposes.

Sunoco is exposed to credit risk in the event of nonperformance by counterparties on its derivative instruments. Management believes this risk is not significant as the Company has established credit limits with such counterparties which require the settlement of net positions when these credit limits are reached.

The Company had open derivative contracts pertaining to 2.5 million barrels of crude oil and refined products, 160 thousand MM BTUs of natural gas and 480 thousand metric tons of shipping capacity at June 30, 2009. These open derivative positions vary in duration but generally do not extend beyond June 30, 2010. In addition, the Partnership is a party to a \$50 million floating to fixed interest rate swap agreement, maturing in January 2010.

The following table sets forth fair value amounts of the Company's derivatives included in the condensed consolidated balance sheet at June 30, 2009 (in millions of dollars):

	Assets*	Liabilities**
Derivatives designated as cash flow hedging instruments:		
Commodity contracts	\$ 12	\$ 20
Interest rate contracts		1
	12	21
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:		
Commodity contracts		
Transportation contracts		5
		5
	\$ 12	\$ 26

* Included in accounts and notes receivable, net, in the condensed consolidated balance sheet.

** Included in accrued liabilities in the condensed consolidated balance sheet.

Table of Contents

The following tables set forth the impact of derivatives on the Company's financial performance (in millions of dollars):

Six Months Ended	Pretax Gains (Losses) Recognized in Other Comprehensive Income	Pretax Gains (Losses) Recognized in Earnings
June 30, 2009		
Derivatives designated as cash flow hedging instruments:		
Commodity contracts	\$ (22)	\$ 14*
Commodity contracts		(35)**
Interest rate contracts		***
	\$ (22)	\$ (21)
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:		
Commodity contracts		\$ (1)*
Commodity contracts		(22)**
Transportation contracts		**
		\$ (23)
Three Months Ended		
June 30, 2009		
Derivatives designated as cash flow hedging instruments:		
Commodity contracts	\$ (15)	\$ (8)*
Commodity contracts		(7)**
Interest rate contracts		***
	\$ (15)	\$ (15)
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:		
Commodity contracts		\$ *
Commodity contracts		(14)**
Transportation contracts		1**
		\$ (13)

* Included in sales and other operating revenue in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

** Included in cost of products sold and operating expenses in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

*** Included in interest cost and debt expense in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Table of Contents

12. Business Segment Information.

The following tables set forth certain statement of operations information concerning Sunoco's business segments (in millions of dollars):

Six Months Ended	Sales and Other Operating Revenue		Income (Loss) (after tax)
	Unaffiliated Customers	Inter-Segment	
June 30, 2009			
Refining and Supply*	\$ 5,319	\$ 4,044	\$ (63)
Retail Marketing	5,225		16
Chemicals	650		(4)
Logistics	1,904	415	56
Coke	512	4	67
Corporate and Other			(135)**
Consolidated	\$ 13,610		
Loss from continuing operations attributable to Sunoco, Inc. shareholders			(63)
Income from discontinued Tulsa refining operations			20
Loss attributable to Sunoco, Inc. shareholders			\$ (43)
June 30, 2008			
Refining and Supply*	\$ 12,389	\$ 7,693	\$ (96)
Retail Marketing	8,612		26
Chemicals	1,572		21
Logistics	4,317	1,390	36
Coke	354	6	48
Corporate and Other			(17)***
Consolidated	\$ 27,244		
Income from continuing operations attributable to Sunoco, Inc. shareholders			18
Income from discontinued Tulsa refining operations			5
Income attributable to Sunoco, Inc. shareholders			\$ 23

* Excludes amounts attributable to discontinued Tulsa refining operations (Note 2).

** Consists of \$26 million of after-tax corporate expenses, \$21 million of after-tax net financing expenses and other and an \$88 million after-tax provision for asset write-downs and other matters related to continuing operations (Note 3).

*** Consists of \$28 million of after-tax corporate expenses, \$10 million of after-tax net financing expenses and other, an \$11 million after-tax gain related to an insurance recovery and a \$10 million after-tax gain related to income tax matters (Notes 4 and 6).

Table of Contents

Three Months Ended	Sales and Other Operating Revenue		Income (Loss) (after tax)
	Unaffiliated Customers	Inter-Segment	
June 30, 2009			
Refining and Supply*	\$ 2,862	\$ 2,380	\$ (77)
Retail Marketing	2,923		10
Chemicals	356		
Logistics	1,065	217	26
Coke	276	2	42
Corporate and Other			(70)**
Consolidated	\$ 7,482		
Loss from continuing operations attributable to Sunoco, Inc. shareholders			(69)
Income from discontinued Tulsa refining operations			14
Loss attributable to Sunoco, Inc. shareholders			\$ (55)
Three Months Ended			
June 30, 2008			
Refining and Supply*	\$ 6,761	\$ 4,394	\$ 27
Retail Marketing	4,836		
Chemicals	819		3
Logistics	2,559	756	21
Coke	182	3	23
Corporate and Other			3***
Consolidated	\$ 15,157		
Income from continuing operations attributable to Sunoco, Inc. shareholders			77
Income from discontinued Tulsa refining operations			5
Income attributable to Sunoco, Inc. shareholders			\$ 82

* Excludes amounts attributable to discontinued Tulsa refining operations (Note 2).

** Consists of \$15 million of after-tax corporate expenses, \$11 million of after-tax net financing expenses and other and a \$44 million after-tax provision for asset write-downs and other matters related to continuing operations (Note 3).

*** Consists of \$11 million of after-tax corporate expenses, \$7 million of after-tax net financing expenses and other, an \$11 million after-tax gain related to an insurance recovery and a \$10 million after-tax gain related to income tax matters (Notes 4 and 6).

Table of Contents

13. New Accounting Pronouncements.

In June 2009, Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 166, *Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets* an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140 (SFAS No. 166), was issued. Among other things, SFAS No. 166 clarifies when the transfer of financial assets should result in derecognition in the financial statements. SFAS 166 also eliminates the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity and enhances the disclosures required in connection with a transfer of financial assets.

In June 2009, Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 167, *Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R)* (SFAS No. 167), was issued. SFAS No. 167 retains the fundamental requirement of FASB Interpretation No. 46(R) that a variable interest entity (VIE) be consolidated by a company if that company is the primary beneficiary. The new guidance clarifies when a company is to be deemed the primary beneficiary and also requires an analysis to be performed to make this determination. In addition, SFAS No. 167 requires ongoing reassessments of whether an entity is the primary beneficiary of a VIE.

SFAS No. 166 and SFAS No. 167 must be implemented effective January 1, 2010. Sunoco is evaluating the impact of these new accounting pronouncements on its financial statements.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations****RESULTS OF OPERATIONS SIX MONTHS****Earnings Profile of Sunoco Businesses (after tax)**

	Six Months Ended June 30		
	2009	2008	Variance
	(Millions of Dollars)		
Refining and Supply:			
Continuing operations	\$ (63)	\$ (96)	\$ 33
Discontinued Tulsa operations	3	5	(2)
Retail Marketing	16	26	(10)
Chemicals	(4)	21	(25)
Logistics	56	36	20
Coke	67	48	19
Corporate and Other:			
Corporate expenses	(26)	(28)	2
Net financing expenses and other	(21)	(10)	(11)
Asset write-downs and other matters:			
Continuing operations	(88)	11	(99)
Discontinued Tulsa operations	(3)		(3)
Sale of discontinued Tulsa operations	20		20
Income tax matters		10	(10)
Net income (loss) attributable to Sunoco, Inc. shareholders	\$ (43)	\$ 23	\$ (66)

Analysis of Earnings Profile of Sunoco Businesses

In the six-month period ended June 30, 2009, the net loss attributable to Sunoco Inc. shareholders was \$43 million, or \$.37 per share of common stock on a diluted basis versus net income attributable to Sunoco, Inc. shareholders of \$23 million, or \$.20 per share, in the first six months of 2008.

The \$66 million decrease in results attributable to Sunoco, Inc. shareholders in the first half of 2009 was primarily due to higher provisions for asset write-downs and other matters (\$102 million), lower production of refined products (\$36 million), lower average retail gasoline margins (\$33 million), lower results attributable to Sunoco's Chemicals business (\$25 million), the impact of the write-off of the ethylene complex at the Marcus Hook refinery (\$14 million), higher net financing expenses (\$11 million) and the absence of a gain that was recognized in the second quarter of 2008 related to certain income tax matters (\$10 million). Partially offsetting these negative factors were lower expenses (\$109 million), a net gain recognized in connection with the divestment of the discontinued Tulsa operations (\$20 million) and higher income attributable to the Logistics (\$20 million) and Coke (\$19 million) businesses.

Table of Contents

Included in the provision for asset write-downs and other matters in the first six months of 2009 is a \$78 million after-tax charge attributable to a previously announced business improvement initiative to reduce costs and improve business processes that was approved by management in March 2009. The goal of the business improvement initiative is to reduce pretax costs by more than \$300 million on an annualized basis by year-end 2009. The reduced costs are attributable to a workforce reduction of approximately 750 positions, or approximately 20 percent of the salaried workforce, as well as expected savings in energy costs, and the use of materials, equipment and contract services. This phase of the review included all business and operations support functions, operations at the Philadelphia and Marcus Hook refineries and hourly employees in certain identified areas. (See Note 3 to the condensed consolidated financial statements.)

*Refining and Supply Continuing Operations**

	For the Six Months Ended June 30	
	2009	2008
Loss (millions of dollars)	\$ (63)	\$ (96)
Wholesale margin** (per barrel)	\$ 4.95	\$ 5.06
Crude inputs as percent of crude unit rated capacity	77%	84%
Throughputs (thousands of barrels daily):		
Crude oil	635.6	692.9
Other feedstocks	74.7	80.2
Total throughputs	710.3	773.1
Products manufactured (thousands of barrels daily):		
Gasoline	360.2	376.2
Middle distillates	231.5	277.5
Residual fuel	61.5	53.6
Petrochemicals	28.6	34.3
Other	58.1	63.0
Total production	739.9	804.6
Less: Production used as fuel in refinery operations	35.2	37.1
Total production available for sale	704.7	767.5

* The financial and operating data presented in the table excludes amounts attributable to the Tulsa refinery, which was sold to Holly Corporation on June 1, 2009.

** Wholesale sales revenue less related cost of crude oil, other feedstocks, product purchases and terminalling and transportation divided by production available for sale.

Refining and Supply's loss from continuing operations totaled \$63 million in the first six months of 2009 versus a loss of \$96 million in the first half of 2008. The \$33 million improvement in results was due to lower expenses (\$90 million), partially offset by lower production volumes (\$36 million), the write-off of certain assets in connection with the shutdown of the ethylene complex at the Marcus Hook refinery (\$14 million) and lower realized margins (\$9 million). Production volumes decreased in the first half of 2009 compared to the year-ago period, as market-driven rate reductions reduced production throughout the refining system. During the first six months of 2009, Sunoco continued its efforts to optimize its production slate and run a broader mix of lower-cost crude oil grades resulting in an overall crude utilization rate of 77 percent for this period.

Table of Contents

In June 2009, Sunoco acquired Northeast Biofuels, LP, the owner of a 100 million gallon-per-year ethanol manufacturing facility in New York, for \$9 million. The plant is expected to require approximately \$15-\$20 million in additional capital and is anticipated to start up in the first half of 2010.

Refining and Supply Discontinued Tulsa Operations

In December 2008, Sunoco announced its intention to sell the Tulsa refinery or convert it to a terminal by the end of 2009 because it did not expect to achieve an acceptable return on investment on a capital project to comply with the new off-road diesel fuel requirements at this facility. On June 1, 2009, Sunoco completed the sale of its Tulsa refinery to Holly Corporation. The transaction also included the sale of inventory attributable to the refinery which was valued at market prices at closing. Sunoco recognized a \$20 million net after-tax gain on divestment of this business, which is reported separately in Corporate and Other in the Earnings Profile of Sunoco Businesses. Sunoco received a total of \$157 million in cash proceeds from this divestment, comprised of \$64 million from the sale of the refinery and \$93 million from the sale of the related inventory. As a result of the sale, the Tulsa refinery has been classified as a discontinued operation for all periods presented in the condensed consolidated financial statements herein.

Discontinued Tulsa refining operations had income of \$3 million in the first half of 2009 versus \$5 million in the first half of 2008. The \$2 million decrease in earnings from operations was primarily attributable to lower production volumes, partially offset by higher realized margins and lower expenses.

Retail Marketing

	For the Six Months Ended June 30	
	2009	2008
Income (millions of dollars)	\$ 16	\$ 26
Retail margin* (per barrel):		
Gasoline	\$ 2.82	\$ 3.87
Middle distillates	\$ 7.89	\$ 5.95
Sales (thousands of barrels daily):		
Gasoline	291.1	289.2
Middle distillates	33.5	37.5
	324.6	326.7
Retail gasoline outlets	4,708	4,714

* Retail sales price less related wholesale price, terminalling and transportation costs and consumer excise taxes per barrel. The retail sales price is the weighted-average price received through the various branded marketing distribution channels.

Retail Marketing earned \$16 million in the first half of 2009 versus \$26 million in the first half of 2008. The \$10 million decrease in earnings was primarily due to lower average retail gasoline margins (\$33 million), partially offset by higher distillate margins (\$8 million) and lower expenses (\$17 million). Retail gasoline margins were negatively affected by periods of rising wholesale prices and a weak demand environment.

Table of Contents*Chemicals*

	For the Six Months Ended June 30	
	2009	2008
Income (loss) (millions of dollars)	\$ (4)	\$ 21
Margin* (cents per pound):		
All products**	8.2¢	9.9¢
Phenol and related products	7.4¢	8.3¢
Polypropylene**	9.0¢	11.9¢
Sales (millions of pounds):		
Phenol and related products	834	1,190
Polypropylene	1,006	1,131
Other	8	43
	1,848	2,364

* Wholesale sales revenue less the cost of feedstocks, product purchases and related terminalling and transportation divided by sales volumes.

** The polypropylene and all products margins include the impact of a long-term supply contract with Equistar Chemicals, L.P. which is priced on a cost-based formula that includes a fixed discount. These margins exclude a favorable lower of cost or market inventory adjustment totaling \$20 million (\$12 million after tax) for the six months ended June 30, 2009.

Chemicals had a loss of \$4 million in the first half of 2009 versus income of \$21 million in the first half of 2008. The \$25 million decrease in results was due primarily to lower margins (\$25 million) and sales volumes (\$26 million), partially offset by lower expenses (\$13 million) and the reversal of a lower of cost or market adjustment to its polypropylene inventory that had been previously recorded in the fourth quarter of 2008 (\$12 million). Sunoco permanently shut down its Bayport polypropylene facility in March 2009. Sunoco also intends to sell its Chemicals business if it can obtain an appropriate value.

Logistics

Logistics earned \$56 million in the first six months of 2009 versus \$36 million in the first half of 2008. The \$20 million increase was due to significantly higher lease acquisition results, increased crude oil pipeline and storage revenues, and earnings from a refined products pipeline and terminal system acquired in November 2008.

Coke

Coke earned \$67 million in the first half of 2009, versus \$48 million in the first six months of 2008. The \$19 million increase in earnings was due primarily to increased price realizations from coke production at Jewell and the receipt of a \$6 million after-tax dividend from the Brazilian cokemaking operations. Partially offsetting these positive factors were higher operating costs at Haverhill and at Jewell coal operations and higher depreciation expense.

Beginning in January 2008, the price of coke from Jewell changed from a fixed price to an amount equal to the sum of (i) the cost of delivered coal to the Haverhill facility multiplied by an adjustment factor, (ii) actual transportation costs, (iii) an operating cost component indexed for inflation, and (iv) a fixed-price component. In July 2009, ArcelorMittal filed a lawsuit challenging the prices charged to ArcelorMittal under the coke purchase agreement. SunCoke Energy believes that the prices have been determined in accordance with the agreement and intends to vigorously defend its rights under the contract.

Edgar Filing: SUNOCO INC - Form 10-Q

In February 2007, SunCoke Energy entered into an agreement with two affiliates of OAO Severstal under which a local affiliate of SunCoke Energy would build, own and operate an expansion of the Haverhill plant (that would double its cokemaking capacity to 1.1 million tons of coke per year) and a cogeneration power plant. Operations from this cokemaking facility commenced in July 2008 with the expansion essentially completed in the second quarter of 2009. Capital outlays for the project totaled \$266 million through June 30, 2009. In connection with this agreement, two affiliates of OAO Severstal agreed to purchase on a take-or-pay basis, over a 15-year period, 550 thousand tons per year of coke from the cokemaking facility.

Table of Contents

In February 2008, SunCoke Energy entered into an agreement with U.S. Steel under which SunCoke Energy will build, own and operate a 650 thousand tons-per-year cokemaking facility adjacent to U.S. Steel's steelmaking facility in Granite City, Illinois. Construction of this facility, which is estimated to cost approximately \$315 million, is currently underway and is expected to be completed in the fourth quarter of 2009. Expenditures through June 30, 2009 totaled \$263 million. In connection with this agreement, U.S. Steel has agreed to purchase on a take-or-pay basis, over a 15-year period, such coke production as well as the steam generated from the heat recovery cokemaking process at this facility.

In March 2008, SunCoke Energy entered into an agreement with AK Steel under which SunCoke Energy will build, own and operate a cokemaking facility and associated cogeneration power plant adjacent to AK Steel's Middletown, Ohio steelmaking facility subject to resolution of all contingencies, including necessary permits. These facilities are expected to cost in aggregate approximately \$350 million and be completed 15 to 18 months after resolution of the contingencies, which may move the targeted completion date beyond the previously announced 2010. The plant is expected to produce approximately 550 thousand tons of coke per year and provide, on average, 46 megawatts of power into the regional power market. In connection with this agreement, AK Steel has agreed to purchase, over a 20-year period, all of the coke and available electrical power from these facilities. Expenditures through June 30, 2009 totaled \$70 million. In the event contingencies (including permit issues) to constructing the project cannot be resolved, AK Steel is obligated to reimburse substantially all of this amount to Sunoco.

SunCoke Energy is currently discussing other opportunities for developing new heat recovery cokemaking facilities with domestic and international steel companies. Such cokemaking facilities could be either wholly owned or developed through other business structures. As applicable, the steel company customers would be expected to purchase coke production under long-term contracts. The facilities would also generate steam, which would typically be sold to the steel customer, or electrical power, which could be sold to the steel customer or into the local power market. SunCoke Energy's ability to enter into additional arrangements is dependent upon market conditions in the steel industry.

Corporate and Other

Corporate Expenses Corporate administrative expenses were \$26 million after tax in the first half of 2009 versus \$28 million after tax in the first half of 2008. The \$2 million decrease was primarily due to a lower unfavorable income tax consolidation adjustment, partially offset by higher accruals for performance-related incentive compensation. Corporate expenses included income tax consolidation adjustments amounting to \$5 and \$11 million in the first six months of 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Net Financing Expenses and Other Net financing expenses and other were \$21 million after tax in the first half of 2009 versus \$10 million after tax in the first six months of 2008. The \$11 million increase was primarily due to lower interest income (\$5 million) and higher interest expense (\$7 million).

Asset Write-Downs and Other Matters During the first six months of 2009, Sunoco established a \$78 million after-tax accrual for employee terminations and related costs in connection with a business improvement initiative, of which \$48 million after tax was attributable to a noncash provision for pension and postretirement settlement and curtailment losses; established a \$4 million after-tax accrual for a take-or-pay contract loss, employee terminations and other exit costs in connection with the shutdown of the Bayport, TX polypropylene plant; and recorded a \$9 million after-tax provision to write down to estimated fair value certain

Table of Contents

assets primarily in the Refining and Supply business, including \$3 million after tax attributable to discontinued Tulsa operations. During the second quarter of 2008, Sunoco recognized an \$11 million after-tax gain on an insurance recovery related to an MTBE litigation settlement. (See Notes 3 and 6 to the condensed consolidated financial statements.)

Sale of Discontinued Tulsa Operations During the second quarter of 2009, Sunoco recognized a \$20 million net after-tax gain related to the divestment of the discontinued Tulsa operations (see Note 2 to the condensed consolidated financial statements).

Income Tax Matters During the second quarter of 2008, Sunoco recognized a \$10 million after-tax gain related to the settlement of economic nexus issues pertaining to certain state corporate income tax returns filed for prior years (see Note 4 to the condensed consolidated financial statements).

Analysis of Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations

Revenues Total revenues were \$13.64 billion in the first half of 2009 compared to \$27.28 billion in the first half of 2008. The 50 percent decrease was primarily due to lower refined product prices and sales volumes. Also contributing to the decline were lower crude oil sales in connection with the crude oil gathering and marketing activities of the Company's Logistics operations.

Costs and Expenses Total pretax costs and expenses were \$13.69 billion in the first six months of 2009 compared to \$27.23 billion in the first half of 2008. The 50 percent decrease was primarily due to lower crude oil and refined product acquisition costs resulting from price declines and lower crude oil throughputs. Also contributing to the decline were lower crude oil costs in connection with the crude oil gathering and marketing activities of the Company's Logistics operations.

Table of Contents

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS THREE MONTHS

Earnings Profile of Sunoco Businesses (after tax)

	Three Months Ended June 30 2009 2008 Variance (Millions of Dollars)		
Refining and Supply:			
Continuing operations	\$ (77)	\$ 27	\$ (104)
Discontinued Tulsa operations	(6)	5	(11)
Retail Marketing	10		10
Chemicals		3	(3)
Logistics	26	21	5
Coke	42	23	19
Corporate and Other:			
Corporate expenses	(15)	(11)	(4)
Net financing expenses and other	(11)	(7)	(4)
Asset write-downs and other matters:			
Continuing operations	(44)	11	(55)
Discontinued Tulsa operations			
Sale of discontinued Tulsa operations	20		20
Income tax matters		10	(10)
Net income (loss) attributable to Sunoco, Inc. shareholders	\$ (55)	\$ 82	\$ (137)

Analysis of Earnings Profile of Sunoco Businesses

In the three-month period ended June 30, 2009, the net loss attributable to Sunoco Inc. shareholders was \$55 million, or \$.47 per share of common stock on a diluted basis versus net income attributable to Sunoco, Inc. shareholders of \$82 million, or \$.70 per share, in the second quarter of 2008.

The \$137 million decrease in results attributable to Sunoco, Inc. shareholders in the second quarter of 2009 was primarily due to lower margins from continuing operations in Sunoco's Refining and Supply business (\$141 million), higher provisions for asset write-downs and other matters (\$55 million), lower production of refined products (\$9 million), lower operating results attributable to discontinued Tulsa refining operations (\$11 million), the impact of the write-off of the ethylene complex at the Marcus Hook refinery (\$14 million) and the absence of a gain that was recognized in the second quarter of 2008 related to certain income tax matters (\$10 million). Partially offsetting these negative factors were lower expenses (\$64 million), higher income attributable to the Coke business (\$19 million) and a net gain recognized in connection with the divestment of the discontinued Tulsa operations (\$20 million).

Table of Contents*Refining and Supply Continuing Operations**

	For the Three Months Ended June 30	
	2009	2008
Income (loss) (millions of dollars)	\$ (77)	\$ 27
Wholesale margin** (per barrel)	\$ 3.65	\$ 6.98
Crude inputs as percent of crude unit rated capacity	78%	83%
Throughputs (thousands of barrels daily):		
Crude oil	644.2	687.0
Other feedstocks	81.7	82.2
Total throughputs	725.9	769.2
Products manufactured (thousands of barrels daily):		
Gasoline	370.3	376.6
Middle distillates	229.5	288.2
Residual fuel	61.9	51.0
Petrochemicals	31.5	35.8
Other	61.8	48.6
Total production	755.0	800.2
Less: Production used as fuel in refinery operations	34.8	36.5
Total production available for sale	720.2	763.7

* The financial and operating data presented in the table excludes amounts attributable to the Tulsa refinery, which was sold to Holly Corporation on June 1, 2009.

** Wholesale sales revenue less related cost of crude oil, other feedstocks, product purchases and terminalling and transportation divided by production available for sale.

Refining and Supply had a loss from continuing operations totaling \$77 million in the current quarter versus income of \$27 million in the second quarter of 2008. The \$104 million decrease in results was due to lower realized margins (\$141 million), the write-off of certain assets in connection with the shutdown of the ethylene complex at the Marcus Hook refinery (\$14 million) and lower production volumes (\$9 million), partially offset by lower expenses (\$56 million). Realized margins and the crude utilization rate were negatively affected by market weakness and rising crude prices during the quarter. The overall crude utilization rate was 78 percent for the quarter. The third quarter production will also be impacted by a planned turnaround at the Company's Toledo refinery which commenced in early August and will extend to mid-September. In addition, the Company is taking a one-month maintenance outage at a fluid catalytic cracking unit in its Philadelphia refinery for repairs that should improve the unit's operating performance.

Refining and Supply Discontinued Tulsa Operations

Discontinued Tulsa refining operations had a loss of \$6 million in the second quarter of 2009 versus income of \$5 million in the second quarter of 2008. The \$11 million decline in operating results was primarily attributable to lower realized margins and production volumes, partially offset by lower expenses.

Table of Contents*Retail Marketing*

	For the Three Months Ended June 30	
	2009	2008
Income (millions of dollars)	\$ 10	\$
Retail margin* (per barrel):		
Gasoline	\$ 2.94	\$ 3.11
Middle distillates	\$ 5.03	\$ 4.77
Sales (thousands of barrels daily):		
Gasoline	300.0	298.3
Middle distillates	30.4	37.4
	330.4	335.7
Retail gasoline outlets	4,708	4,714

* Retail sales price less related wholesale price, terminalling and transportation costs and consumer excise tax per barrel. The retail sales price is the weighted-average price received through the various branded marketing distribution channels.

Retail Marketing earned \$10 million in the current quarter versus break-even results in the second quarter of 2008. The \$10 million increase in earnings was primarily due to lower expenses (\$12 million), partially offset by lower average retail gasoline margins (\$3 million). Sales volumes were relatively flat versus the year-ago quarter, but retail gasoline margins were negatively affected by rising wholesale prices and a weak demand environment.

Chemicals

	For the Three Months Ended June 30	
	2009	2008
Income (millions of dollars)	\$	\$ 3
Margin* (cents per pound):		
All products**	8.7¢	9.1¢
Phenol and related products	8.2¢	7.5¢
Polypropylene**	9.3¢	11.2¢
Sales (millions of pounds):		
Phenol and related products	427	591
Polypropylene	492	562
Other	3	19
	922	1,172

* Wholesale sales revenue less the cost of feedstocks, product purchases and related terminalling and transportation divided by sales volumes.

Edgar Filing: SUNOCO INC - Form 10-Q

** The polypropylene and all products margins include the impact of a long-term supply contract with Equistar Chemicals, L.P. which is priced on a cost-based formula that includes a fixed discount. These margins exclude a favorable lower of cost or market inventory adjustment totaling \$3 million (\$2 million after tax) for the three months ended June 30, 2009.

Chemicals had break-even results in the second quarter of 2009 versus income of \$3 million in the second quarter of 2008. The \$3 million decrease in results was due primarily to lower margins (\$3 million) and sales volumes (\$13 million), partially offset by lower expenses (\$10 million) and a favorable lower of cost or market adjustment to its polypropylene inventory that had been previously written down in the fourth quarter of 2008 (\$2 million).

Table of Contents

Logistics

Logistics earned \$26 million in the second quarter of 2009 versus \$21 million in the second quarter of 2008. The \$5 million increase was due to higher lease acquisition results, increased crude oil pipeline and storage revenues, and earnings from a refined products pipeline and terminal system acquired in November 2008.

Coke

Coke earned \$42 million in the second quarter of 2009 compared to \$23 million in the second quarter of 2008. The \$19 million increase in earnings was primarily due to increased price realizations from coke production at Jewell and the receipt of a \$6 million after-tax dividend in the second quarter of 2009 from the Brazilian cokemaking operations.

Corporate and Other

Corporate Expenses Corporate administrative expenses were \$15 million after tax in the second quarter of 2009 versus \$11 million after tax in the second quarter of 2008. The \$4 million increase was primarily due to a higher unfavorable income tax consolidation adjustment. Corporate expenses included income tax consolidation adjustments amounting to \$5 and \$2 million in the second quarters of 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Net Financing Expenses and Other Net financing expenses and other were \$11 million after tax in the second quarter of 2009 versus \$7 million after tax in the second quarter of 2008. The \$4 million increase was primarily due to higher interest expense (\$5 million).

Asset Write-Downs and Other Matters During the second quarter of 2009, Sunoco established a \$44 million after-tax accrual for employee terminations and related costs in connection with the business improvement initiative, of which \$39 million after tax was attributable to a noncash provision for pension and postretirement settlement and curtailment losses. During the second quarter of 2008, Sunoco recognized an \$11 million after-tax gain on an insurance recovery related to an MTBE litigation settlement (see Notes 3 and 6 to the condensed consolidated financial statements).

Sale of Discontinued Tulsa Operations During the second quarter of 2009, Sunoco recognized a \$20 million net after-tax gain related to the divestment of the discontinued Tulsa operations (see Note 2 to the condensed consolidated financial statements).

Income Tax Matters During the second quarter of 2008, Sunoco recognized a \$10 million after-tax gain related to the settlement of economic nexus issues pertaining to certain state corporate income tax returns filed for prior years (see Note 4 to the condensed consolidated financial statements).

Analysis of Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations

Revenues Total revenues were \$7.51 billion in the second quarter of 2009 compared to \$15.18 billion in the second quarter of 2008. The 51 percent decrease was primarily due to lower refined product prices and sales volumes. Also contributing to the decline were lower crude oil sales in connection with the crude oil gathering and marketing activities of the Company's Logistics operations.

Table of Contents

Costs and Expenses Total pretax costs and expenses were \$7.59 billion in the current three-month period compared to \$15.05 billion in the second quarter of 2008. The 50 percent decrease was primarily due to lower crude oil and refined product acquisition costs resulting from price declines and lower crude oil throughputs. Also contributing to the decline were lower crude oil costs in connection with the crude oil gathering and marketing activities of the Company's Logistics operations.

FINANCIAL CONDITION

Cash and Working Capital

At June 30, 2009, Sunoco had cash and cash equivalents of \$67 million compared to \$240 million at December 31, 2008 and had a working capital deficit of \$693 million compared to a working capital deficit of \$1,102 million at December 31, 2008. The \$173 million decrease in cash and cash equivalents was due to a \$271 million net use of cash in investing activities and a \$91 million net use of cash in operating activities, partially offset by \$189 million of net cash provided by financing activities. The increase in working capital largely reflects an increase in inventories and a decrease in taxes payable. Refined product inventory increased during the second quarter of 2009 largely as a result of normal seasonal builds and in anticipation of planned maintenance turnaround activity in the third quarter. In addition, crude oil inventory increased in connection with crude storage opportunities at Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P. Management believes that the current levels of cash and working capital are adequate to support Sunoco's ongoing operations. Sunoco's working capital position is considerably stronger than indicated because of the relatively low historical costs assigned under the LIFO method of accounting for most of the inventories reflected in the condensed consolidated balance sheets. The current replacement cost of all such inventories exceeded their carrying value at June 30, 2009 by \$2,637 million. Inventories valued at LIFO, which consist of crude oil as well as petroleum and chemical products, are readily marketable at their current replacement values. Certain recent legislative and regulatory proposals effectively could limit, or even eliminate, use of the LIFO inventory method for financial and income tax purposes. Although the final outcome of these proposals cannot be ascertained at this time, the ultimate impact to Sunoco of the transition from LIFO to another inventory method could be material.

Cash Flows from Operating Activities

In the first six months of 2009, Sunoco had a net use of cash in operating activities of \$91 million compared to net cash provided by operating activities of \$153 million in the first six months of 2008. This \$244 million decrease in cash generation was primarily due to an increase in working capital levels pertaining to operating activities, partially offset by an increase in noncash charges.

Other Cash Flow Information

In the second quarter of 2009, Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P. issued 2.25 million limited partnership units in a public offering, generating approximately \$110 million of net proceeds before underwriting expenses. The net proceeds from this offering were used to reduce outstanding borrowings under the Partnership's revolving credit facility. Upon completion of this transaction, Sunoco's interest in the Partnership, including its 2 percent general partnership interest, decreased to 40 percent.

Financial Capacity

Management currently believes that future cash generation is expected to be sufficient to satisfy Sunoco's ongoing capital requirements, to fund its pension obligations (see Pension Plan Funded Status below) and to pay the current level of cash dividends on Sunoco's common stock. However, from time to time, the Company's short-term cash requirements may exceed its cash generation due to various factors including reductions in margins for products sold and increases in the levels of capital spending (including acquisitions) and working capital. During those periods, the Company may supplement its cash generation with proceeds from financing activities.

Table of Contents

The Company has a \$1.3 billion revolving credit facility with a syndicate of 19 participating banks (the Facility), of which \$1.2245 billion matures in August 2012 with the balance to mature in August 2011. The Facility provides the Company with access to short-term financing and is intended to support the issuance of commercial paper, letters of credit and other debt. The Company also can borrow directly from the participating banks under the Facility. The Facility is subject to commitment fees, which are not material. Under the terms of the Facility, Sunoco is required to maintain tangible net worth (as defined in the Facility) in an amount greater than or equal to targeted tangible net worth (targeted tangible net worth being determined by adding \$1.125 billion and 50 percent of the excess of net income attributable to Sunoco, Inc. shareholders over share repurchases (as defined in the Facility) for each quarter ended after March 31, 2004). At June 30, 2009, the Company's tangible net worth was \$3.2 billion and its targeted tangible net worth was \$2.1 billion. The Facility also requires that Sunoco's ratio of consolidated net indebtedness, including borrowings of Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P., to consolidated capitalization (as those terms are defined in the Facility) not exceed .60 to 1. At June 30, 2009, this ratio was .41 to 1. At June 30, 2009, the Facility was being used to support \$45 million of commercial paper and \$103 million of floating-rate notes due in 2034, which are remarketed on a weekly basis. The Company intends to continue to remarket these notes. However, any inability to remarket them would have no impact on the Company's liquidity as they currently represent a reduction in available funds under the Facility which would be available for future borrowings if the notes were repaid.

Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P. has a \$400 million revolving credit facility with a syndicate of 11 participating banks, which expires in November 2012. This facility is available to fund the Partnership's working capital requirements, to finance acquisitions, and for general partnership purposes. Amounts outstanding under this facility totaled \$230 and \$323 million at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. In March 2009, the Partnership entered into an additional \$63 million revolving credit facility with two participating banks, which expires in September 2011. At June 30, 2009, there was \$31 million outstanding under this facility. The \$400 million facility contains a covenant requiring the Partnership to maintain a ratio not to exceed 4.75 to 1 of its consolidated total debt (including letters of credit) to its consolidated EBITDA (each as defined in the facility). The new \$63 million facility contains a similar covenant but the ratio in this covenant may not exceed 4 to 1. At June 30, 2009, the Partnership's ratio of its consolidated debt to its consolidated EBITDA was 2.3 to 1.

In August 2008, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, Sunoco Receivables Corporation, Inc. (SRC), entered into a 364-day accounts receivable securitization facility, which permits borrowings and supports the issuance of letters of credit by SRC up to a total of \$200 million. Under the receivables facility, certain subsidiaries of the Company will sell their accounts receivable from time to time to SRC. In turn, SRC may sell undivided ownership interests in such receivables to commercial paper conduits in exchange for cash or letters of credit. The Company has agreed to continue servicing the receivables for SRC. Upon the sale of the interests in the accounts receivable by SRC, the conduits have a first priority perfected security interest in such receivables and, as a result, the receivables will not be available to the creditors of the Company or its other subsidiaries. At June 30, 2009, there were no borrowings outstanding under the receivables facility. On August 5, 2009, Sunoco executed an agreement with the two participating banks which extended the facility for an additional 364 days and increased its size from \$200 million to \$300 million.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth Sunoco's outstanding debt (in millions of dollars):

	At June 30 2009	At December 31 2008
Short-term debt	\$ 148	\$ 310
Current portion of long-term debt	145	148
Long-term debt	2,067	1,705
Total debt*	\$ 2,360	\$ 2,163

* Includes \$860 and \$748 million at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively, attributable to Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P. In March 2009, Sunoco issued \$250 million of 9-5/8 percent notes due in 2015 under its shelf registration statement. In addition, in February 2009, Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P. issued \$175 million of 8-3/4 percent notes due in 2014.

During April 2009, Sunoco also issued \$103 million of floating-rate notes due in 2034, which replaced \$103 million of floating-rate notes that were redeemed during the first quarter of 2009. The Company remarketed the predecessor notes on a weekly basis and is remarketing the new notes weekly as well. As a result, the notes have been classified as short-term borrowings in the condensed consolidated balance sheet at June 30, 2009. The new notes are supported by letters of credit.

Management believes the Company can access the capital markets to pursue strategic opportunities as they arise. In addition, the Company has the option of selling an additional portion of its Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P. interests, and Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P. has the option of issuing additional common units.

PENSION PLAN FUNDED STATUS

The following table sets forth the components of the change in market value of the investments in Sunoco's defined benefit pension plans (in millions of dollars):

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2009	Year Ended December 31, 2008
Market value of investments at beginning of period	\$ 837	\$ 1,315
Increase (reduction) in market value of investments resulting from:		
Net investment income (loss)	39	(358)
Company contributions	20	46
Plan benefit payments	(151)	(166)
	\$ 745	\$ 837

As a result of the poor performance of the financial markets during 2008, the projected benefit obligation of the Company's funded defined benefit plans at December 31, 2008 exceeded the market value of the plan assets by \$358 million. In connection therewith, the Company was required to recognize a \$299 million unfavorable after-tax adjustment to the accumulated other comprehensive loss component of equity at December 31, 2008. In addition, the poor investment results for the plans during 2008 will result in an increase of approximately \$50 million after tax in pension expense for the full-year 2009 due to lower expected

Table of Contents

returns on plan assets and higher amortization of actuarial losses. As a result of the workforce reduction and the sale of the Tulsa refinery, the Company also incurred noncash settlement and curtailment losses in these plans during the first half of 2009 totaling approximately \$55 million after tax and will incur additional noncash settlement losses during the remainder of 2009 as additional lump sum pension payments are made. Further, the Company may make up to an additional \$30 million of contributions to its funded defined benefit plans during the remainder of 2009.

DIVIDENDS AND SHARE REPURCHASES

The Company increased the quarterly cash dividend paid on common stock from \$.275 per share (\$1.10 per year) to \$.30 per share (\$1.20 per year) beginning with the second quarter of 2008. In addition, the Company did not repurchase any of its common stock in the open market during the first six months of 2009. At June 30, 2009, the Company had a remaining authorization from its Board to repurchase up to \$600 million of Company common stock.

NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

For a discussion of the recently issued accounting pronouncements requiring adoption subsequent to June 30, 2009, see Note 13 to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Some of the information included in this report contains forward-looking statements (as defined in Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). These forward-looking statements discuss estimates, goals, intentions and expectations as to future trends, plans, events, results of operations or financial condition, or state other information relating to the Company, based on current beliefs of management as well as assumptions made by, and information currently available to, Sunoco. Forward-looking statements generally will be accompanied by words such as anticipate, believe, budget, could, estimate, expect, forecast, intend, may, plan, possibly, predict, project, scheduled, should, or other similar words, phrases or expressions that convey the uncertainty of future events or outcomes. Although management believes these forward-looking statements are reasonable, they are based upon a number of assumptions concerning future conditions, any or all of which may ultimately prove to be inaccurate. Forward-looking statements involve a number of risks and uncertainties. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements include, without limitation:

Changes in refining, marketing and chemical margins;

Changes in coal and coke prices;

Variation in crude oil and petroleum-based commodity prices and availability of crude oil and feedstock supply or transportation;

Effects of transportation disruptions;

Changes in the price differentials between light-sweet and heavy-sour crude oils;

Changes in the marketplace which may affect supply and demand for Sunoco's products;

Changes in competition and competitive practices, including the impact of foreign imports;

Table of Contents

Effects of weather conditions and natural disasters on the Company's operating facilities and on product supply and demand;

Age of, and changes in the reliability, efficiency and capacity of, the Company's operating facilities or those of third parties;

Changes in the level of capital expenditures or operating expenses;

Effects of adverse events relating to the operation of the Company's facilities and to the transportation and storage of hazardous materials (including equipment malfunction, explosions, fires, spills, and the effects of severe weather conditions);

Changes in the level of environmental capital, operating or remediation expenditures;

Delays and/or costs related to construction, improvements and/or repairs of facilities (including shortages of skilled labor, the issuance of applicable permits and inflation);

Changes in product specifications;

Availability and pricing of ethanol and related RINs (Renewable Identification Numbers) used to demonstrate compliance with the renewable fuels standard for credits and trading;

Political and economic conditions in the markets in which the Company, its suppliers or customers operate, including the impact of potential terrorist acts and international hostilities;

Military conflicts between, or internal instability in, one or more oil producing countries, governmental actions and other disruptions in the ability to obtain crude oil;

Ability to conduct bu