SUNOCO INC Form DEF 14A March 17, 2009 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

	Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section	n 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)
File	ed by the Registrant x	
File	ed by a Party other than the Registrant "	
Ch	eck the appropriate box:	
	Preliminary Proxy Statement	" CONFIDENTIAL, FOR USE OF THE COMMISSION ONLY(AS PERMITTED BY RULE 14A-6(E)(2))
x 	Definitive Proxy Statement Definitive Additional Materials	ONET(ASTERMITTED DT ROLE 14A-0(E)(2))
	Soliciting Material Pursuant to Section 240.14a-11	(c) or Section 240.14a-12
		Sunoco, Inc.
		of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)
	(Name of Person(s)	Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)
Pay	rment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):	
X	No fee required	
	Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Ru	ules 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11.
	(1) Title of each class of securities to which trans	saction applies:
	(2) Aggregate number of securities to which tran	saction applies:

		•
(3)	Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (Set forth the arthefiling fee is calculated and state how it was determined):	mount on which
(4)	Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:	
(5)	Total fee paid:	
 Che	paid previously with preliminary materials. ck box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of	
(1)	Amount Previously Paid:	
 (2)	Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:	
(3)	Filing Party:	
(4)	Date Filed:	

Sunoco, Inc.

1735 Market Street,

Suite LL

Philadelphia, PA 19103-7583

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING

Dear Sunoco Shareholder:

On Thursday, May 7, 2009, Sunoco, Inc. will hold its 2009 Annual Meeting of Shareholders at the Moore College of Art & Design, Stewart Auditorium, 20th Street and the Parkway, Philadelphia, PA. The meeting will begin at 9:30 a.m.

Only shareholders who owned stock at the close of business on February 9, 2009 can vote at this meeting or any adjournments that may take place. At the meeting we will consider:

- 1. Election of a Board of Directors;
- 2. Approval of the amended and restated Sunoco, Inc. Retainer Stock Plan for Outside Directors;
- 3. Ratification of the appointment of our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year 2009; and
- 4. Any other business properly presented at the meeting.

At the meeting we will also report on Sunoco s 2008 business results and other matters of interest to shareholders.

Your Board of Directors recommends that you vote in favor of proposals 1 through 3 above, which are further described in this proxy statement. This proxy statement also outlines certain corporate governance practices at Sunoco, discusses our compensation philosophy and practices, and describes the Audit Committee s recommendation to the Board regarding our 2008 financial statements. We encourage you to read these materials carefully.

Your vote is important. Whether or not you expect to attend the meeting, we urge you to vote promptly.

The approximate date of mailing of the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials to our shareholders is March 17, 2009, and the attached proxy statement, together with the 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K, will be made available to our shareholders on that same date. At that time we will also begin mailing paper copies of our proxy materials to shareholders who requested them. For further information about Sunoco, please visit our web site at *www.Sunocolnc.com*.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

ANN C. MULÉ CHIEF GOVERNANCE OFFICER, ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL AND CORPORATE SECRETARY

MARCH 17, 2009

Important notice regarding availability of proxy materials for Sunoco s 2009 Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on May 7, 2009.

The proxy statement and 2008 Annual Report on

Form 10-K are also available for viewing at

http://www.edocumentview.com/SUN

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PROXY STATEMENT

Questions and Answers	4
1. Why did I receive a Notice in the mail regarding the Internet availability of proxy materials this year instead of a full set	
of proxy materials?	4
2. Who is entitled to vote?	4
3. How do I cast my vote?	4
4. How do I revoke or change my vote?	5
5. Who will count the vote?	5
_6. Is my vote confidential?	5
7. What does it mean if I get more than one proxy or vote instruction card?	5
8. How many shares can vote?	5
9. How is Sunoco common stock in SunCAP voted?	5
10. What is a quorum ? What are the voting requirements to elect directors and to approve any other proposals discusse	<u>:d</u> in
the proxy statement?	6
11. Who can attend the Annual Meeting and how do I get a ticket?	7
12. How will voting on any other business be conducted?	7
13. If I am receiving multiple copies of the proxy statement and the annual report at my residence, what do I need to do to receive only one copy?	7
14. What should I do if I would like additional copies of these materials?	7
15. Does any shareholder own 5% or more of Sunoco s common stock?	8
16. When are the shareholder proposals (other than a nomination for Election to the Board of Directors) for the 2010 Annual Meeting due?	9
17. What is Sunoco s process for nominating director candidates?	9
18. Can a shareholder nominate someone to be a director of Sunoco?	11
19. How can shareholders communicate with Sunoco s directors? How can interested persons with concerns communicate with Sunoco s directors?	<u>t</u> e 12
20. How much will this proxy solicitation cost?	12
21. Who is soliciting my vote?	12
Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance	12
GOVERNANCE OF THE COMPANY	
Governance Matters	13
<u>Director Independence</u>	13
Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	15
Annual Meetings	15
Code of Business Conduct and Ethics	16
Board and Committees	17

2

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Audit Committee Financial Expert	19
<u>Directors and Officers Ownership of Sunoco Sto</u> ck	20
Equity Compensation Plan Information	22
PROPOSALS ON WHICH YOU MAY VOTE	
<u>Item 1. Election of Directors</u> <u>Nominees for the Board of Directors</u>	23 23
Item 2. Approval of the amended and restated Sunoco. Inc. Retainer Stock Plan for Outside Directors	26
Item 3. Ratification of the Appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for the Fiscal Year 2009	27
Auditor Fees	27
AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT	28
EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	
Compensation Committee Report	29
Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation	29
Compensation Discussion and Analysis	30
<u>Governance</u>	47
Summary Compensation Table	49
Grants of Plan-Based Awards in 2008	54
Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End 2008	57
Option Exercises and Stock Vested in 2008	59
Pension Benefits	60
Nonqualified Deferred Compensation in 2008	65
Other Potential Post-Employment Payments	67
DIRECTORS COMPENSATION	
Director Compensation in 2008	71
<u>Directors & Officers Indemnification Agreements</u>	76
EXHIBITS	
Exhibit A: Amended and Restated Sunoco, Inc. Retainer Stock Plan for Outside Directors	EX-1

PROXY STATEMENT

Questions and Answers

- 1. Q: Why did I receive a Notice in the mail regarding the Internet availability of proxy materials this year instead of a full set of proxy materials?
 - A: Pursuant to new rules adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission, we have elected to furnish our proxy materials to the shareholders on the Internet rather than mailing paper copies to each shareholder. If you received a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials, or Notice, in the mail, you will not receive a paper copy of these materials unless you request to receive paper copies. All shareholders will have the ability to access the proxy materials. Instructions on how to do so or to request a printed copy may be found on the Notice. In addition, shareholders may request to receive proxy materials in printed form by mail on an ongoing basis. The Notice will also instruct you on how you may vote your shares, and how you may access your proxy card to vote over the Internet. We believe that this new process will reduce the environmental impact and lower the Company is costs of printing and distributing our proxy materials.
- 2. Q: Who is entitled to vote?
 - A: Shareholders as of the close of business on the record date, February 9, 2009, are entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting.
- 3. Q: How do I cast my vote?
 - A: There are four different ways you may cast your vote this year. You may vote by:
 - (1) telephone, using the toll-free number listed on each proxy card (if you are a shareholder of record) or vote instruction card (if your shares are held by a bank, broker or other nominee);
 - (2) the Internet, at the address provided on the Notice, or on each proxy or vote instruction card;
 - (3) marking, signing, dating and mailing each proxy or vote instruction card and returning it in the envelope provided. If you return your signed proxy or vote instruction card but do not mark the boxes showing how you wish to vote, your shares will be voted FOR proposals 1 through 3; or
 - (4) attending the meeting (if your shares are registered directly in your name on Sunoco s books and not held through a broker, bank or other nominee).

For voting procedures for shares held in the Sunoco, Inc. Capital Accumulation Plan, or SunCAP, Sunoco s 401(k) Plan for employees, see Question 9.

If you are the registered shareholder (that is, if you hold your stock in your name), you can vote by telephone or electronically through the Internet by following the instructions provided on the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials or on the proxy card.

If your shares are held in street name (that is, they are held in the name of a broker, bank or other nominee), you will receive instructions with your materials that you must follow in order to have your shares voted. Please review your proxy or vote instruction card to determine whether you will be able to vote by telephone or electronically.

The deadline for voting by telephone or electronically through the Internet is 11:59 p.m. Eastern U.S. Time, May 6, 2009. Voting instructions from SunCAP participants must be received no later than 9:30 a.m. Eastern U.S. Time on May 5, 2009.

4

- 4. Q: How do I revoke or change my vote?
 - A: You may revoke or change your vote by:
 - (1) notifying Sunoco s Chief Governance Officer, Assistant General Counsel and Corporate Secretary, or CGO, or Sunoco s designated agent, Computershare Investor Services, or Computershare, in writing at any time before the meeting at P.O. Box 43078, Providence, RI 02940-3078 (if by regular mail) or at 250 Royall Street, Canton, MA 02021 (if by overnight delivery);
 - (2) submitting a later-dated proxy by mail, telephone, or via the Internet; or
 - (3) voting in person at the meeting (if your shares are registered directly in your name on Sunoco s books and not held through a broker, bank, or other nominee).

The latest-dated, timely, properly completed proxy that you submit, whether by mail, telephone or the Internet, will count as your vote. If a vote has been recorded for your shares and you submit a proxy card that is not properly <u>signed</u> or <u>dated</u>, the previously recorded vote will stand.

- 5. Q: Who will count the vote?
 - A: Representatives of Computershare, an independent tabulator, will count the vote and act as the judge of election.
- 6. Q: Is my vote confidential?
 - A: Proxy cards, vote instruction cards, telephone and Internet voting reports, ballots and voting tabulations that identify individual shareholders are returned directly to Computershare and are handled in a manner designed to protect your voting privacy. As a registered shareholder, SunCAP participant or Non-Objecting Beneficial Owner, your vote will not be disclosed to Sunoco except: (1) as needed to permit Computershare to tabulate and certify the vote; (2) as required by law; or (3) in limited circumstances such as a proxy contest in opposition to the Board. Additionally, all comments written on the proxy or vote instruction card or elsewhere will be forwarded to Sunoco, but your identity will be kept confidential unless you specifically ask that your name be disclosed.
- 7. Q: What does it mean if I get more than one proxy or vote instruction card?
 - A: If your shares are registered in more than one name or in more than one account, you will receive more than one card. Please complete and return all of the proxy or vote instruction cards you receive (or vote by telephone or the Internet all of the shares on all of the proxy or vote instruction cards received) to ensure that all

of your shares are voted.

8. Q: How many shares can vote?

A:

A: As of the February 9, 2009 record date, 116,878,188 shares of Sunoco common stock were issued and outstanding. Every shareholder of common stock is entitled to one vote for each share held as of the record date.

9. Q: How is Sunoco common stock in SunCAP voted?

If your shares of Sunoco common stock are held in custody for your account by the Vanguard Fiduciary Trust Company, or Vanguard, as trustee for SunCAP, you may vote by instructing Vanguard how to vote your shares pursuant to the vote instruction card that is mailed to you with this proxy statement. If you do not provide voting instructions, or provide unclear voting instructions, then Vanguard will vote the shares in your SunCAP account in proportion to the way the shares of Sunoco common stock are voted by the other SunCAP participants. Voting instructions from SunCAP participants are maintained in the strictest confidence and will not be disclosed to Sunoco except as set forth in those limited circumstances discussed in the answer to Question 6, which apply to all shareholders.

5

- 10. Q: What is a quorum ? What are the voting requirements to elect directors and to approve any other proposals discussed in the proxy statement?
 - A: A quorum is the presence of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares entitled to vote either in person or represented by proxy at the meeting. There must be a quorum for the meeting to be held. If you submit a timely, properly executed proxy or vote instruction card, then you will be considered part of the quorum, even if you abstain from voting.

Abstentions: Abstentions and withhold votes are not counted in the tally of votes FOR or AGAINST a proposal. A WITHHOLD vote is the same as an abstention. Abstentions and withhold votes are counted as shares present and entitled to be voted.

Broker Non-Votes: Under the rules that govern brokers who have record ownership of shares that they hold in street name for their clients who are the beneficial owners of the shares, brokers have the discretion to vote such shares on routine matters, but not on non-routine matters. Broker non-votes occur when shares held by a broker are not voted with respect to a proposal because (1) the broker has not received voting instructions from the beneficial owner, and (2) the broker lacks the authority to vote the shares at his/her discretion. Brokers normally have discretion to vote on routine matters, such as uncontested director elections and ratification of independent public accounting firms, but not on non-routine matters, such as shareholder proposals. If a broker has authority to vote the shares at his/her discretion without voting instructions from the shareholder on a matter, and the broker votes the shares on the matter and the matter is not a procedural motion, the shares will be deemed present during the entire meeting for purposes of determining whether a quorum is present for consideration of any other matter. Broker non-votes will not affect the outcome of any of the matters being voted upon at this meeting, since they are not counted as shares present and entitled to be voted.

You may vote FOR or WITHHOLD with respect to the election of directors. Sunoco s Bylaws set forth the procedures if a director-nominee does not receive at least a majority of votes cast at a meeting for election of directors where a quorum is present. In an uncontested election, if an incumbent nominee for director does not receive at least a majority of the votes cast at a meeting at which a quorum is present and no successor has been elected, the director must tender his or her resignation to the Board. In an uncontested election, if a nominee who is not an incumbent does not receive at least a majority of the votes cast, the nominee will be deemed to have been elected and to have immediately resigned. For this purpose, Majority of Votes Cast means the number of shares FOR a director s election exceed 50% of the number of votes cast with respect to the director s election. Votes cast include votes to withhold authority and exclude abstentions with respect to that director s election (to the extent abstentions are permitted). For an incumbent director, the Governance Committee will evaluate the tendered resignation and make a recommendation to the Board. The Board will act on the tendered resignation and publicly disclose its decision within 90 days after the certification of the election results. If the incumbent director s resignation is not accepted by the Board, such director will continue to serve: (i) until the next annual meeting; (ii) until his successor is duly elected; or (iii) his earlier resignation or removal. If the director s resignation is accepted by the Board, the Board may fill the resulting vacancy pursuant to Sunoco s Bylaws. Full details of these procedures are set forth in Sunoco s Bylaws. Proposals 2 and 3 must receive more than 50% of the votes cast at the meeting to be adopted.

11. Q: Who can attend the Annual Meeting and how do I get a ticket?

A: All shareholders who owned shares on February 9, 2009 may attend. Just check the box on your proxy or vote instruction card, or as indicated on the Internet site, or press the appropriate key if voting by telephone. If your shares are held through a broker and you would like to attend, please write to the Chief Governance Officer, Assistant General Counsel and Corporate Secretary, Sunoco, Inc., 1735 Market Street, Suite LL, Philadelphia, PA 19103-7583. Include a copy of your brokerage account statement or an omnibus proxy (which you can get from your broker), and we will send you a ticket.

12. Q: How will voting on any other business be conducted?

A: Although we do not know of any business to be considered at the 2009 Annual Meeting other than the proposals described in this proxy statement, if any other business is presented at the Annual Meeting, your signed proxy or vote instruction card, or your authenticated Internet or telephone proxy, gives authority to Lynn L. Elsenhans, Sunoco s Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President, and Ann C. Mulé, Sunoco s Chief Governance Officer, Assistant General Counsel and Corporate Secretary, to vote on such matters at their discretion.

13. Q: If I am receiving multiple copies of the proxy statement and annual report at my residence, what do I need to do to receive only one copy?

With your consent and the consent of other shareholders in your household, we may send one set of the proxy statement and annual report to a household where two or more Sunoco shareholders reside if we believe they are members of the same family. Each consenting shareholder would continue to receive a separate notice of annual meeting and proxy card. This procedure, referred to as householding, would reduce the volume of duplicate information you receive, and would also reduce the Company s printing and mailing costs. If you are an eligible shareholder and would like to receive only one copy of the proxy statement and annual report, please indicate that on your proxy or vote instruction card, on the Internet site, or by telephone; or contact our transfer agent, Computershare Investor Services, by calling 800-888-8494 or by written request to P.O. Box 43078, Providence, RI 02940-3078. Your consent will remain in effect until Sunoco receives contrary instructions from you or other shareholders in your household. Should you revoke your consent, Sunoco will begin sending individual copies of the proxy statement and annual report to you within 30 days of your revocation. Also, if you would like to obtain a separate copy of the proxy statement or annual report, you may direct your request to the address above, or you may call 800-888-8494. If you hold your shares in street name, please contact your broker, bank or other nominee.

14. Q: I have Sunoco shares that are held in street name, as do others in my household. We received only one copy of the proxy statement and annual report. What should I do if I would like additional copies of these materials?

A:

A:

Some brokerage firms have instituted householding in connection with the delivery of annual reports and proxy statements (see the answer to Question 13). If your family holds Sunoco shares in multiple brokerage accounts, you may have previously received householding notification from your broker, bank or other nominee. If you wish to revoke your decision to household and thereby receive multiple proxy statements and annual reports, please contact your broker, bank or other nominee. If any shareholder residing at the same address would like additional copies of the proxy statement and annual report, please contact your broker, bank or other nominee.

7

15. Q: Does any shareholder own 5% or more of Sunoco s common stock?

A: FMR LLC, State Street Bank and Trust Company, T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc. and UBS AG (for the benefit of and on behalf of UBS Global Asset Management (Americas), Inc., a division of UBS AG) have reported the following ownership of Sunoco s common stock, as of December 31, 2008. The information below is based on the most recent Schedules 13G filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, except as otherwise known by the Company.

Shareholder Name and Address	Shares	Percent of Outstanding Shares
FMR LLC 82 Devonshire Street Boston, MA 02109	9,878,186 ¹	8.5%
State Street Bank and Trust Company One Lincoln Street Boston, MA 02111	9,115,1232	7.8%
T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc. 100 E. Pratt Street Baltimore, MD 21202	11,186,0603	9.6%
UBS AG (for the benefit of and on behalf of UB Asset Management (Americas) Inc., division of		
Bahnhofstrasse 45 P.O. Box CH-8021	7,489,7084	6.4%
Zurich, Switzerland		

NOTES TO TABLE:

According to a Schedule 13G dated February 16, 2009 filed with the SEC, FMR LLC is a parent holding company, and holds sole voting power over 439,628 shares and sole power to dispose or direct the disposition of 9,878,186 shares. Edward C. Johnson III serves as Chairman of FMR LLC. Members of the Edward C. Johnson III family own shares of common stock representing approximately 49% of the voting power of FMR LLC. Mr. Johnson and members of his family may be deemed to form a controlling group with respect to the common voting stock of FMR LLC. Of these 9,878,186 shares of common stock, 9,208,845 shares are beneficially owned by Fidelity Management & Research Company, an investment adviser and a wholly owned subsidiary of FMR LLC. Mr. Johnson and FMR LLC, through its control of Fidelity Management & Research Company, each has sole dispositive power with respect to these shares. Pyramis Global Advisors, LLC beneficially owns 591,021 shares. Mr. Johnson and FMR LLC through its control of Pyramis, each has sole dispositive power over 591,021 shares and sole power to vote or to direct the voting of 361,021 shares owned by the institutional accounts managed by Pyramis. Strategic Advisers, Inc. beneficially owns 1,090 shares; and FIL Limited 77,230 shares. FMR and FIL Limited are of the view that they are not acting as a group for purposes of Section 13(d) and that they are not otherwise required to attribute to each other the beneficial ownership of securities beneficially owned by the other corporation. The information contained in this footnote is derived from

information contained in the Section 13G filed by FMR LLC with the SEC referred to herein.

- According to a Schedule 13G dated February 17, 2009 filed with the SEC, State Street Bank and Trust Company, acting in various fiduciary capacities, holds sole voting power over 6,429,962 shares and shared dispositive power over 9,115,123 shares.
- According to a Schedule 13G dated February 13, 2009 filed with the SEC, T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc., as an investment advisor, holds sole voting power over 2,700,640 shares and sole dispositive power over 11,186,060 shares. These securities are owned by various individual and institutional investors which T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc. (Price Associates) serves as investment adviser with power to direct investments and/or sole power to vote the securities. For purposes of the reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Price Associates is deemed to be a beneficial owner of such securities.
- 4 According to a Schedule 13G dated February 6, 2009 filed with the SEC, UBS Global Asset Management (Americas) Inc., a division of UBS AG, and its subsidiaries and affiliates beneficially owns the shares on behalf of its clients, and holds sole voting power over 6,665,244 shares and shared dispositive power over 7,489,708 shares.

8

- 16. Q: When are the shareholder proposals (other than a nomination for Election to the Board of Directors) for the 2010 Annual Meeting due?
 - A: To be considered for inclusion in next year s proxy statement, all shareholder proposals (other than a nomination for election to the Board of Directors) must be submitted *in writing* to the Chief Governance Officer, Assistant General Counsel and Corporate Secretary, Sunoco, Inc., 1735 Market Street, Suite LL, Philadelphia, PA 19103-7583 by November 17, 2009.

Additionally, Sunoco s advance notice bylaw provisions require that if a shareholder proposal (other than a nomination for election to the Board of Directors) is not to be included in the proxy statement, but instead is to be presented from the floor of the 2010 Annual Meeting or otherwise, that proposal must be submitted *in writing* to the Chief Governance Officer, Assistant General Counsel and Corporate Secretary, at the above address, no earlier than December 1 and no later than December 31 prior to the annual meeting of shareholders at which the proposal is to be presented. However, if the date of the annual meeting is after April 30 of any year, such written notice must be delivered at least 90 days but not more than 120 days prior to the annual meeting. This written notice must be accompanied by:

- the name, residence and business addresses of the proposing shareholder;
- text of the proposal to be presented;
- a brief written statement of the reasons for the shareholder s support of the proposal;
- a representation that the shareholder is a record holder of Sunoco stock or holds Sunoco stock
 through a broker, bank or other nominee and the number of shares held as well as a description
 (including type, amount, and how held) of any other ownership interests in Sunoco held by such
 shareholder, including derivatives, hedged positions and other economic or voting interests;
- a representation that the shareholder is entitled to vote, and intends to appear in person or by proxy, at the 2010 Annual Meeting to present the proposal;
- a representation as to whether such shareholder intends to deliver a proxy statement regarding such proposal to be presented to the other shareholders of Sunoco; and
- a detailed description of any material interest of such shareholder in the proposal.

A proposal may be presented from the floor only after Sunoco s Chairman has determined that it is a proper matter for consideration under our bylaws. Additionally, if the Board of Directors, after affording the shareholder a reasonable opportunity to cure any deficiency which the Board of Directors identifies in the original notice, determines that notice of a proposal was not effected in accordance with the foregoing procedure, then such proposal shall not be eligible for consideration at the meeting and such determination shall be conclusive and binding upon Sunoco and its shareholders.

17. Q: What is Sunoco s process for nominating director candidates?

A:

All of Sunoco s directors are elected each year by its shareholders at the annual meeting of shareholders. The Board of Directors is responsible for filling vacancies on the Board at any time during the year, and for nominating director nominees to stand for election at the annual meeting of shareholders. The Governance Committee of the Board of Directors reviews all potential director candidates, and recommends potential director candidates to the full Board. Director candidates may be identified by current directors of the Company, as well as by shareholders. The Governance Committee is comprised entirely of independent directors, as defined in the New York Stock Exchange Listing Standards and Sunoco s Categorical Standards of Independence. The Governance Committee does not generally utilize the services of search firms or consultants to assist in identifying and screening potential candidates. The Governance Committee has an extensive diligence process for reviewing potential candidates, including an assessment of each candidate s education, experience, independence and other relevant factors, as described below and in the Governance Committee Charter which can be found on Sunoco s web site at www.Sunocolnc.com. The full Board reviews and has final approval authority on all potential director candidates being recommended to the shareholders for election. See the answer to Question 18 regarding the process for shareholder nominations of director candidates.

9

The Governance Committee and the full Board of Directors considers, at a minimum, the following factors in recommending potential new Board members or the continued service of existing members:

- A director is nominated based on his or her professional experience. He or she should be accomplished and have recognized achievements in his or her respective field.
- A director should have relevant education, expertise and experience, and be able to offer advice and guidance to the Chief Executive Officer based on that expertise and experience.
- A director should possess high personal and professional ethics, integrity and values.
- A director must be inquisitive and objective, have the ability to exercise practical and sound business judgment, and have an independent mind.
- A director must be willing to devote sufficient time and effort to carrying out his or her duties and responsibilities effectively.
- Except for the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, all of the directors should be independent, as outlined in Sunoco s Categorical Standards of Independence.
- A director should have the ability to work effectively with others.
- The Board generally seeks active or former chief executive officers or senior level executives of public companies, or leaders of major complex organizations, with experience at a strategy/policy setting level or with high level management experience.
- The Board seeks qualified individuals who, taken together, represent a diversity of skills, backgrounds and experience, including ethnic background, gender and professional experience.
- The Board, through the Governance Committee, assesses which functional skills or areas of expertise
 are needed to round out the existing collective strengths of the Board as part of its director selection
 process.

The Governance Committee, in order to identify and recommend to the full Board qualified individuals as potential director candidates, has developed the following process:

- The Governance Committee develops and maintains a list of potential candidates for board membership, which is periodically reviewed and updated by the Governance Committee.
- Governance Committee members and other directors, as well as shareholders, can recommend potential candidates.

- The qualifications and other criteria of all prospective candidates are reviewed to determine if a candidate is suitable for board membership.
- If a candidate is suitable, a more detailed review is performed, which includes a detailed diligence
 review, as well as a review of the individual seducational expertise, other current directorships and any
 other public information available.
- If a candidate receives unanimous approval by the Governance Committee, the candidate will be recommended to the Board for approval prior to any discussion with the proposed candidate.
- Depending on the current composition of the Board and its size, a potential candidate approved by the Board will be contacted and interviewed by the Chair of the Governance Committee, other directors, as well as the CEO. Depending on the results of the interview, a position on the Board may be extended.

10

18. Q: Can a shareholder nominate someone to be a director of Sunoco?

- As a shareholder, you may recommend any person as a nominee for director of Sunoco for consideration by the Governance Committee by submitting *in writing* the name and supporting information to the Governance Committee of the Board of Directors, c/o the Chief Governance Officer, Assistant General Counsel and Corporate Secretary, at Sunoco, Inc., 1735 Market Street, Suite LL, Philadelphia, PA 19103-7583. To be considered at the 2010 Annual Meeting, any shareholder recommendations of potential director nominees (whether the proposed nomination is intended to be included in Sunoco s proxy statement or presented from the floor of the annual meeting) must be received no earlier than December 1 and no later than December 31 prior to the annual meeting at which such nomination is intended to be considered. However, if the date of the annual meeting is after April 30 of any year, such proposal must be submitted in writing at least 90 days but not more than 120 days prior to the annual meeting. The proposal must be accompanied by:
 - the name, residence and business addresses of the nominating shareholder;
 - a representation that the shareholder is a record holder of Sunoco stock or holds Sunoco stock through a broker, bank or other nominee and the number of shares held, the length of time such shares have been held, and a representation that the shareholder intends to hold such shares through the date of the 2010 Annual Meeting;
 - a description (including type, amount, and how held) of any other ownership interests in Sunoco held by such shareholder, including derivatives, hedged positions and other economic or voting interests;
 - a representation that the shareholder intends to appear in person or by proxy at the 2010 Annual
 Meeting to nominate the individual(s), if the nominations are to be made at a meeting of shareholders;
 - a representation as to whether the shareholder intends to deliver a proxy statement to Sunoco s other shareholders;
 - information regarding each nominee which would be required to be included in a proxy statement;
 - a description of all arrangements or understandings between and among the shareholder and each and every nominee;
 - a representation by each nominee providing that such nominee does not and will not have any
 undisclosed voting commitments or other arrangements with respect to such nominee s actions as a
 director; and
 - the written consent of each nominee to serve as a director, if elected.

- 19. Q: How can shareholders communicate with Sunoco s directors? How can interested persons with concerns communicate with Sunoco s directors?
 - A: Sunoco s Board of Directors has established a process for shareholders to send communications to the Board. Shareholders may communicate with the Presiding Director, any committee chairperson, any of Sunoco s directors, or the Board of Directors by writing to the director, the Presiding Director, committee chairperson or the Board in care of Sunoco s Chief Governance Officer, Assistant General Counsel and Corporate Secretary, at Sunoco, Inc., 1735 Market Street, Suite LL, Philadelphia, PA 19103-7583. Communications received are forwarded directly to the director. If the communication is addressed to the Board and no particular director is named, the communication will be forwarded, depending on the subject matter, to the appropriate Committee chairperson or to all non-management directors.

There were no material actions taken by the Board of Directors during 2008 as a result of communications from shareholders.

Interested persons with concerns may communicate issues to Sunoco s non-management directors by calling Sunoco s toll-free, confidential Employee and Compliance Hotline at 1-800-228-5687. The hotline is available 24 hours a days, seven days a week.

- 20. Q: How much will this proxy solicitation cost?
 - A: Sunoco bears the cost of soliciting your vote. Morrow & Co., Inc. was hired by Sunoco to assist in the distribution of proxy materials and the solicitation of votes for a fee of \$10,000, plus estimated out-of-pocket expenses of \$8,500. We also reimburse brokerage houses and other custodians, nominees and fiduciaries for their reasonable out-of-pocket expenses for forwarding proxy and solicitation materials to shareholders.
- 21. Q: Who is soliciting my vote?
 - A: Your vote is being solicited by the Board of Directors of Sunoco, Inc. for the 2009 Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on Thursday, May 7, 2009 at 9:30 a.m.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires the Company s directors and executive officers, as well as persons who own more than 10% of Sunoco s common stock to file reports of ownership and changes of ownership on Forms 3, 4 and 5

with the SEC. Sunoco believes that during 2008 all SEC filings of its officers and directors complied with the requirements of Section 16 of the Securities Exchange Act, based on a review of forms filed, or written notice that no annual forms were required.

12

GOVERNANCE OF THE COMPANY

Governance Matters

Director Independence

Except for the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, or CEO, all of Sunoco s current directors are independent as defined by the New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE, Corporate Governance Listing Standards, as amended, and by the Company s Categorical Standards of Independence, or the Standards, which were recommended by the Governance Committee and adopted by the Board of Directors. The Standards specify the criteria by which the independence of Sunoco s directors will be determined.

The Standards are described in detail below. In addition, the full text of the Standards is included in Sunoco s Corporate Governance Guidelines, a copy of which can be found on Sunoco s web site at www.Sunocolnc.com. A printed copy of Sunoco s Corporate Governance Guidelines, including the Standards, is available upon request.

When making an independence determination, the Board endeavors to consider all relevant facts and circumstances. In accordance with the Standards, an independent director must be determined by the Board to have no material relationship with the Company other than as a director.

Sunoco s Categorical Standards of Independence: To be considered independent, a director must be determined by resolution of the full Board, after due deliberation, to have no direct or indirect material relationship with the Company. In making this determination, the Board, through its Governance Committee, considers all relevant facts and circumstances, and applies the following standards to assist the Board when assessing the independence of a director:

- a. A director will not be considered independent if:
 - the director is, or within the last three years was, employed by the Company or any of its subsidiaries;
 - an immediate family member of the director is, or has been within the last three years, employed by the Company as an executive officer;
 - the director has received, during any twelve-month period within the last three years, more than \$120,000 in direct compensation from the Company, other than director and committee fees, and pension or other forms of deferred compensation for prior service (provided it is not contingent on continued service);

- an immediate family member of the director has received, during any twelve-month period within the last three
 years, more than \$120,000 in direct compensation from the Company, other than director and committee fees, and
 pension or other forms of deferred compensation for prior service (provided it is not contingent on continued
 service);
- the director is a current partner or employee of a firm that is the Company s (or any of its subsidiaries) internal or
 external auditor; or the director was, within the last three years, a partner or employee of such firm and personally
 worked on the Company s audit within that time;
- an immediate family member of the director is a current partner of a firm that is the Company s (or any of its subsidiaries) internal or external auditor; or an immediate family member of the director is now, or was, within the last three years, a partner or employee of such firm and personally worked on the Company s audit within that time;
- the director is, or has been within the last three years, employed as an executive officer of another company where
 any of the Company s present executive officers at the same time serve or served on that company s compensation
 committee; or
- an immediate family member of the director is, or has been within the last three years, employed as an executive
 officer of another company where any of the Company s present executive officers at the same time serve or served
 on that company s compensation committee.

13

- b. The following commercial or charitable relationships will not be considered to be material relationships that would impair a director s independence:
 - if a director is a current employee, or an immediate family member is a current executive officer, of a company that has made payments to, or received payments from, the Company for property or services in an amount, which, in any of the last three fiscal years, did not exceed the greater of \$1 million or 2% of such other company s consolidated gross revenues:
 - if a director of the Company is an executive officer of another company which is indebted to the Company, or to which the Company is indebted, and the total amount of either company is indebtedness to the other is less than 2% of the total consolidated assets of either company; or
 - if a director is an executive officer of a tax-exempt organization, and the Company or The Sunoco Foundation made, within the preceding three years, discretionary contributions that in any single fiscal year were less than the greater of \$1 million or 2% of the tax-exempt organization s consolidated gross revenues, as determined from the tax-exempt organization s latest publicly available financial information.
- c. The following relationships are not material and do not impair a director s independence:
 - a director or his immediate family members purchasing gasoline, heating oil, or other products or services of the Company, all on terms and conditions similar to those available to Company employees or other third parties (including, but not limited to, prices and discounts).
- d. An Audit Committee member may not have a direct or indirect financial relationship with the Company or any of its subsidiaries (i.e., accept directly or indirectly any consulting, advisory or other compensatory fee) other than compensation for service as a director. Audit Committee members may receive directors fees (in the form of cash, stock, stock units or other in-kind consideration ordinarily available to directors, as well as regular benefits that other directors receive).
- e. An Audit Committee member may not be an affiliated person of the Company or any of its subsidiaries. Affiliated person is defined in Rule 10A-3 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 to mean a person that directly, or indirectly through one or more intermediaries, controls, or is controlled by, or is under common control with, the person specified.
- f. With respect to any relationship not covered by paragraphs a, b and c above, the determination of whether the relationship is material, and therefore whether the director would be independent, will be made by the directors who satisfy the independence criteria set forth in paragraphs a and b above. The Company will describe and explain in the next proxy statement the basis for any determination by the Board of Directors that a relationship is not material despite the fact that it does not meet the categorical standards set forth in paragraph b above. The Company will also disclose and explain the basis for any determination of independence for a director that does not meet the criteria in paragraphs a and b above.

In making these independence determinations, the Board considered that in the ordinary course of business, transactions may occur between the Company and its subsidiaries and companies at which some of our directors or their immediate family members are or have been officers (Messrs. Jones and Rowe), or at which our directors are current directors (Messrs. Darnall and Edwards, Ms. Fairbairn, Ms. Greco, Messrs. Gerrity, Jones, Kaiser, Ratcliffe, Rowe and Wulff). In each case, the amount of transactions, if any, with these companies in each of the last three years was under the thresholds set forth in the Standards. The Board also considered charitable contributions made by the Company or The Sunoco Foundation to not-for-profit organizations of which our directors are executive officers or directors, if any, and no contributions exceeded the thresholds set forth in the Standards.

In accordance with the Standards, the Board undertook its annual review of director independence. As provided in the Standards, the purpose of this review was to determine whether any such relationships or transactions existed that were inconsistent with a determination that the director is independent. Pursuant to the Standards and a review of relevant facts and circumstances, the Board has affirmatively determined that all the directors, with the exception of Lynn L. Elsenhans, Sunoco s Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President, are independent. The directors determined to be independent are: Robert J. Darnall, Gary W. Edwards, Ursula O. Fairbairn, Thomas P. Gerrity, Rosemarie B. Greco, John P. Jones, III, James G. Kaiser, R. Anderson Pew, G. Jackson Ratcliffe, John W. Rowe and John K. Wulff.

The NYSE Corporate Governance Listing Standards require that the Audit, Compensation and Governance Committees of the Board of Directors must be composed entirely of independent directors. The members of each of these committees are identified in the table in Board and Committees on page 19 of this proxy statement. As noted above, all of these directors have been determined to be independent as defined by the NYSE Corporate Governance Listing Standards and Sunoco s Categorical Standards of Independence. The Audit Committee members are also independent as defined in the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

The Board approved a written policy and procedures for the review, approval or ratification of related person transactions, the Related Person Transactions Policy. The policy applies to Interested Transactions with a Related Person. For purposes of the policy, Interested Transaction includes a transaction, arrangement or relationship, or series of similar transactions, with an aggregate amount involved exceeding \$100,000, in which the Company is a participant and a Related Person has a direct or indirect interest. Related Person includes a director, director nominee, executive officer, greater than 5% beneficial owner, or an immediate family member of the preceding group. The policy provides that the Governance Committee will review the material facts of Interested Transactions that require the Committee is approval and will approve, disapprove or ratify the entry into the transaction.

Under the policy, certain Interested Transactions have standing pre-approval. These include: employment of executive officers if the compensation is disclosed in the proxy statement or approved by the Compensation Committee; employment of an immediate family member with compensation less than \$120,000; director compensation that is disclosed in the proxy statement; transactions with companies where the business is less than the greater of \$1 million or 2% of the other company s total revenues; certain charitable contributions; regulated transactions; certain banking services; and certain transactions available to all employees or third parties generally.

The Board, through its Governance Committee, in accordance with the Related Person Transaction Policy, has carefully reviewed certain business or other relationships that Sunoco maintains with entities with which certain officers, directors, and immediate family members are affiliated, and has concluded that they relate to activities conducted in the normal course of business or that they are not material, and that none requires additional disclosure other than the following: an immediate family member (not living in the same household) of Vincent J. Kelley, an executive officer, was employed by Sunoco in a non-executive position at an annual compensation (salary and bonus) in 2008 of \$122,900. This compensation is commensurate with other jobs in that grade level. This employment relationship existed prior to the time that Mr. Kelley became an executive officer of Sunoco, and this position does not report into Mr. Kelley s business unit.

An affiliate of Sunoco s SunCoke Energy, Inc. subsidiary entered into a transaction with United States Steel, whereby United States Steel will purchase furnace coke for its Granite City Works steel plant. It is anticipated that the supply and purchase obligations will become effective upon completion of a new cokemaking facility being built by the SunCoke affiliate adjacent to the Granite City Works steel plant. Mr. Drosdick, retired Chief Executive Officer and retired non-executive Chairman and Director, and Mr. Darnall serve on the Board of United States Steel. Sunoco s Board determined that Mr. Drosdick and Mr. Darnall do not have any direct or indirect material interest in this transaction. In addition, the amount of payments to be made by United States Steel in connection with this transaction are expected to be significantly less than 2% of United States Steel s gross annual revenues.

Annual Meetings

For the last eleven years, Sunoco s annual meetings of shareholders have been held in Philadelphia, PA where Sunoco s corporate headquarters and several major operating facilities are located. The meetings are always live, in-person meetings. The polls remain open during the meetings until shareholders have had the opportunity to ask questions about the items being voted on. In addition, after the business portion of the meeting, a question-and-answer period is held during which shareholders can ask questions on any matters. It is the Company s policy that the directors who are up for election at the annual meeting attend the annual meeting. All nominees for election at the 2009 Annual Meeting of Shareholders attended the 2008 Annual Meeting, except for Ms. Elsenhans since she did not join Sunoco and become a director until August 2008.

Code of Business Conduct and Ethics

Sunoco, Inc. has a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, or Code of Ethics, which applies to all officers, directors and employees including the chief executive officer, the principal financial officer, the principal accounting officer and persons performing similar functions. Sunoco intends to disclose on its web site the nature of any future amendments to and waivers of the Code of Ethics that apply to the chief executive officer, the principal financial officer, the principal accounting officer and persons performing similar functions.

A copy of the Code of Ethics can be found on Sunoco s web site (www.Sunocolnc.com), and a printed copy is available upon request.

16

Board and Committees

The Board has established five standing committees: the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee, the Governance Committee, the Corporate Responsibility Committee, and the Executive Committee. Each Committee has the authority to, as it deems appropriate, independently engage outside legal, accounting or other advisors or consultants at the expense of Sunoco. Copies of the committee charters can be found on Sunoco s web site at www.Sunocolnc.com. Printed copies are also available upon request.

- Audit Committee. The Audit Committee assists the Board in its oversight of the integrity of Sunoco s financial statements and disclosures and other internal control processes and Sunoco s compliance with ethics policies and legal and regulatory requirements. This Committee prepares a report that is included in Sunoco s proxy statement. The Committee appoints, retains, compensates, terminates and oversees the work of, and evaluates the independence and ability of, the independent registered public accounting firm, as well as selects and evaluates Sunoco s General Auditor. The Committee also provides oversight on Sunoco s guidelines and policies with respect to business risk matters and other matters deemed appropriate by the Committee. The Committee establishes procedures for handling complaints, including the anonymous, confidential treatment of complaints regarding Sunoco s accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters.
- Ompensation Committee. The Compensation Committee reviews and approves Sunoco s compensation philosophy, reviews and recommends Board approval of Sunoco s short- and long-term incentive plans, and reviews and approves the executive compensation programs and awards. The Committee determines and approves CEO compensation, and reviews and approves the compensation of the other senior executive officers. The Committee reviews and discusses with management the Compensation Discussion & Analysis, or CD&A, required by the Securities and Exchange Commission and makes recommendations to the Board that the CD&A be included in the Company s proxy statement. The Committee produces an annual compensation committee report for inclusion in Sunoco s proxy statement. The Committee also reviews the general employee pension and employee welfare benefit plans, as appropriate.
- Ø Governance Committee. The Governance Committee reviews the role, composition and structure of the Board and its committees. The Committee reviews and evaluates individual Board members each year prior to recommending the annual directors slate for election by shareholders at the Annual Meeting. The Committee identifies and reviews qualified individuals as potential new director candidates. The Committee reviews and approves related person transactions in accordance with Sunoco s Related Person Transactions Policy. The Committee sets and administers policies governing the level and form of directors compensation. The Committee monitors and reviews corporate governance issues, emerging trends and best practices and has specifically been charged with recommending to the Board, on an on-going basis, a set of corporate governance guidelines.
- Ocrporate Responsibility Committee. The Corporate Responsibility Committee has oversight responsibility for, and makes recommendations to the Board, as appropriate, regarding the Company s: (1) internal policies, practices, positions and performance in the areas of (a) health, environmental impact and safety, (b) equal employment opportunity and diversity, (c) government relations and (d) corporate philanthropy; and (2) external performance as a responsible corporate citizen, keeping the Board apprised of the integrity and propriety of the Company s positions with those individuals, organizations and institutions over which the Company does not have direct control, but whose influence or actions are important to the success of the Company, such as shareholders, communities in which the Company does business, state, local and federal governments, special interest groups, and others.

Ø

Executive Committee. The Executive Committee exercises the authority of the Board during the intervals between meetings of the Board except for Board actions specifically excluded by law and except that no action will be taken by this Committee if any member of the Committee has voted in opposition.

Each committee of the Board, except for the Executive Committee, is composed entirely of independent directors, as defined in the New York Stock Exchange Listing Standards and Sunoco s Categorical Standards of Independence. Additionally, the individual qualifications of committee members are reviewed annually for compliance with the various regulatory requirements mandated for the members of each particular committee. The Governance Committee recommends the members and chairs of the Committees to the Board.

The Governance Committee reviews the strengths and expertise of each director, as well as the current and anticipated needs for each committee and rotates members based on committee needs. Recognizing that the committee chair position is extremely important and requires subject matter expertise, the Board has implemented a practice of designating future committee chairs in advance of a planned rotation or director retirement, working to ensure that there is an adequate knowledge transfer from the current chair to the future chair.

Committee agendas are prepared by the Committee Secretary and management liaison in consultation with the Committee Chair. Annual recurring events for each committee are circulated each year and used as preliminary agenda items. All committee members are free to include additional items on an agenda. Each Committee Chair reports to the full Board on committee actions in a timely manner, but in no event later than the next Board meeting.

Presiding Director. The Board has adopted a non-rotating Presiding Director position to be effective after the 2009 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. The Chairman of the Governance Committee will be the Presiding Director. The responsibilities of the Presiding Director include: presiding over the executive sessions of the independent directors and providing feedback from such sessions to the Chairman and CEO; providing input on meeting agendas; advising the Chairman and CEO as to the quantity, quality and timeliness of information and materials provided to the Board; leading the Board and Committee self-evaluation process and the individual director evaluation process; and leading the independent directors in the annual CEO evaluation and providing feedback to the CEO. The Board previously had a rotating Presiding Director model for many years.

Mandatory Retirement Policy. Sunoco s directors retire from the Board at the annual meeting following their 72nd birthday, unless the Governance Committee recommends to the Board in limited and special circumstances an exception to this requirement and it is approved by the independent directors of the Board.

Executive Sessions and Independent Director Only Meetings. Executive sessions of only independent directors are regularly scheduled at the conclusion of each board meeting. The Presiding Director leads each executive session. In addition to the executive sessions, at least two separate meetings of independent directors are held each year. The Presiding Director leads these meetings and is responsible for agenda preparation.

Performance Evaluations. Sunoco s Board has a three-tier performance evaluation process. The Board, through the Governance Committee, conducts an annual evaluation of how it is functioning as a whole. Sunoco s individual committees conduct annual self-evaluations. Individual director evaluations are conducted annually by the Governance Committee with the Presiding Director meeting confidentially with the directors, as appropriate, to provide feedback.

Orientation and Continuing Education. Sunoco s new directors are required to attend orientation sessions which include reviewing extensive materials relating to Sunoco s business and operations, visiting Sunoco facilities and meeting key personnel. The orientation also includes an overview of Sunoco s strategic plan, goals and objectives, governance practices, disclosure procedures and practices, compensation philosophy and an overview of Sunoco s investor relations program. New committee members attend committee orientation sessions. These sessions are designed to educate new committee members in helping them understand the substantive responsibilities of the committee.

Sunoco also conducts ongoing training or continuing director education for its Board members. In addition to plant and site visits, Sunoco has an ongoing program of continuing director education on emerging issues and topics designed to educate and inform

directors in discharging their duties. Sunoco is supportive of, and reimburses its directors for, attending qualified third-party director education programs.

18

During 2008, the Board of Directors held nine meetings, and had five standing committees. All directors attended at least 75% of the total number of Board meetings and committee meetings during the period that they served on the Board and committees in 2008.

The table below provides Board committee membership as of March 5, 2009. The table also indicates the number of meetings held by each of the Board committees in 2008.

	Corporate				
Name	Audit	Compensation	Responsibility	Executive	Governance
R. J. Darnall	X ₁		X		х
G. W. Edwards	Х		X		
L. L. Elsenhans				X1	
U. O. Fairbairn		X1	X	X	
T. P. Gerrity	X				Х
R. B. Greco	X			Х	Х
J. P. Jones, III		X			Х
J. G. Kaiser		Х	X1	X	
R. A. Pew	X		X	X	
G. J. Ratcliffe	X	Х		Х	X ₁
J. W. Rowe		Х	X		
J. K. Wulff	Х		X		
Number of Meetings in 2008	9	8	3	2	6

NOTE TO TABLE:

Audit Committee Financial Expert

The Board has determined that John K. Wulff qualifies as an audit committee financial expert, as defined by the applicable rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, based on his financial and accounting education and experience, and has designated Mr. Wulff as the audit committee financial expert. Mr. Wulff is the retired Chairman of Hercules Incorporated. He was with KPMG and predecessor certified public accounting firms from 1971 until 1987 where he served as an audit partner for ten years. He served as Chief Financial Officer of Union Carbide for five years until its merger with Dow Chemical Company, and he was a member of the Financial Accounting Standards Board for two years.

Table of Contents 36

19

Committee Chairperson.

Directors and Officers Ownership of Sunoco Stock

The following table shows how much Sunoco common stock and other share equivalents each director, Named Executive Officer¹, and all directors, executive officers and certain former executive officers as a group, beneficially owned as of December 31, 2008.

Directors and Officers Stock Ownership

Shares of

Common

Stock

	O.OO.			
Name	Beneficially Owned ²	Other Share Equivalents ³	Total	Percent of Class Outstanding ⁴
R. J. Darnall**	4,783	6,360	11,143	*
T. P. Delaney**5	24,177	0	24,177	*
J. G. Drosdick**5	308,475	0	308,475	*
G. W. Edwards	1,000	3,781	4,781	*
L. L. Elsenhans**6	18,000	0	18,000	*
U. O. Fairbairn ⁵	10,085	22,307	32,392	*
T. P. Gerrity ⁶	4,611	27,535	32,146	*
R. B. Greco**	5,703	37,224	42,927	*
T. W. Hofmann**5	146,537	0	146,537	*
J. P. Jones III	500	9,311	9,811	*
J. G. Kaiser** ^{5,7}	27,528	21,574	49,102	*
V. J. Kelley** ⁵	48,826	1,252	50,078	*
R. D. Naku** ⁵	48,559	3	48,562	*
R. W. Owens ⁵	71,184	7,558	78,742	*

Edgar Filing: SUNOCO INC - Form DEF 14A

R. A. Pew ⁶	163,693	1,187	164,880	*
G. J. Ratcliffe**6	2,783	8,216	10,999	*
J. W. Rowe	1,000	20,078	21,078	*
M. J. Thomson ⁶	10,105	114	10,219	*
J. K. Wulff	2,000	15,752	17,752	*
All directors, executive officers and certain former executive officers as a group including those named above**5.6	1,134,822	190,256	1,325,078	1.1%

NOTES TO TABLE:

- * Represents holdings of less than 1% of Sunoco s outstanding common stock.
- ** Certain of the directors and executive officers own common units representing limited partnership interests of Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P., a master limited partnership in which Sunoco has a 43% ownership interest. The number of such common units beneficially owned by individuals listed in the Directors and Officers Ownership of Sunoco Stock Table as of December 31, 2008 are as follows: R. J. Darnall (4,000); T. P. Delaney (2,563); J. G. Drosdick (30,000); L.L. Elsenhans (1,000); R. B. Greco (1,500); T. W. Hofmann (2,500); J. G. Kaiser (2,500); V. J. Kelley (500); R. D. Naku (4,500); and G. J. Ratcliffe (20,000). The total number of such common units owned by directors, executive officers and certain former executive officers included in the table as a group (24 persons) is 81,063. The number of common units of Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P. held by each individual and by the group is less than 1% of the outstanding common units as of December 31, 2008. These amounts are not included in the table.
- 1 The current and retired Chief Executive Officer, the interim and retired Chief Financial Officer, the next three most highly compensated executive officers during the last fiscal year and one former executive officer for whom disclosure is required.
- This column includes shares of Sunoco common stock held by directors and officers, including certain former officers, or by certain members of their families (for which the directors and officers have sole or shared voting or investment power), shares of Sunoco common stock they hold in SunCAP and the Computershare Investment Plan (a dividend reinvestment plan), and shares of Sunoco common stock that directors and officers had the right to acquire within 60 days of December 31, 2008.
- Includes share unit balances held under the Directors Deferred Compensation Plan I, the Directors Deferred Compensation Plan II and the Deferred Compensation Plan for executives, and share equivalent balances held by executives under Sunoco s Savings Restoration Plan. Although ultimately paid in cash, the value of share units and share equivalents mirrors the value of Sunoco common stock. Thus, the amounts ultimately realized by the directors and executive officers will reflect all changes in the market value of Sunoco common stock from the date of deferral and/or accrual until the date of payout. The share units and share equivalents do not have voting rights, but are credited with dividend equivalents in the form of additional share units or share equivalents.
- Percentage based on the number of shares of common stock outstanding at December 31, 2008.
- The amounts of shares of common stock beneficially owned include shares of Sunoco common stock which the following persons have the right to acquire as a result of the exercise of stock options within 60 days after December 31, 2008 under certain Sunoco, Inc. plans:

	Name Share	es.
T. P. Delaney	11,2	200
J. G. Drosdick	238,4	175
U. O. Fairbairn	3,3	332
T. W. Hofmann	116,2	250
J. G. Kaiser	6,4	192
V. J. Kelley	25,9	980
R. D. Naku	25,1	00

R. W. Owens All directors, executive officers and certain former executive	50,050
officers as a group (including those named above)	619.749

The individuals and group named in the table have sole voting power and investment power with respect to shares of Sunoco common stock beneficially owned, except that voting and/or investment power is shared with respect to the number of shares noted below:

Name	Shares
L. L. Elsenhans	18,000
T. P. Gerrity	2,814
R. A. Pew	32,100
G. J. Ratcliffe	2,000
M. J. Thomson	10,000
All directors, executive officers and certain former executive officers as a group (including those named above)	64,914

Under the transition rules of Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code, Mr. Kaiser made a one-time election in December 2008 to take a distribution of 33% of his deferred accounts under the Directors Deferred Compensation Plans I and II in June 2009.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table provides information as of December 31, 2008 with respect to Sunoco common stock that may be issued upon the exercise of options, warrants and rights under Sunoco s existing equity compensation plans, including the Long-Term Performance Enhancement Plan, and the Retainer Stock Plan for Outside Directors.

Plan Category	(a) Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	ex pr outs op wa	(b) ed average ercise ice of tanding tions, rrants I rights	(c) Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))
Equity compensation plans approved by shareholders:	_		_	2,333,3084
Stock options	2,236,7731	\$	55.19	, , ,
Common stock units	528,104 ₂		3	
Equity compensation plans not approved by shareholders	0			0
Total	2,764,877	\$	55.19	2,333,308

NOTES TO TABLE:

- 1 Consists of stock options granted under the following shareholder-approved plans: Long-Term Performance Enhancement Plan II and the Long-Term Performance Enhancement Plan. No additional awards may be granted under the Long-Term Performance Enhancement Plan.
- Consists of common stock units awarded under the Long-Term Performance Enhancement Plan II that are payable in stock. In addition, there are 272,150 common stock units that are payable in cash under this plan.
- 3 Common stock units do not have an exercise price. Payout is based on meeting certain targeted performance criteria or length of employment.
- Consists of 1,960,887 shares available for issuance under the Long-Term Performance Enhancement Plan II and 372,421 shares under the Retainer Stock Plan for Outside Directors.

22

PROPOSALS ON WHICH YOU MAY VOTE

Item 1. Election of Directors

There are 10 nominees for election this year. Detailed information on each nominee is provided on pages 23 to 25. All directors are elected annually, and serve a one-year term until the next Annual Meeting. For the vote requirements, see Question 10 on page 6 of this proxy statement. All of the director nominees have consented to serve if elected. However, if any of the nominees should be unable or unwilling to stand for election at the time of the Annual Meeting, the Board may reduce the number of directors to be elected at the Annual Meeting, or designate a substitute. If a substitute is designated, proxy votes in favor of the original director candidate will be counted for the substituted candidate. At this time, the Board of Directors knows no reason why any of the nominees may not be able to serve as a director if elected. Mr. Drosdick retired as non-executive Chairman and as a director effective December 31, 2008. Mr. Pew and Mr. Ratcliffe are not standing for re-election due to Sunoco s mandatory retirement policy for directors.

Your Board unanimously recommends a vote FOR each of these directors.

Nominees for the Board of Directors

ROBERT J. DARNALL

Director since 2000

Age 70

Mr. Darnall is the retired Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Inland Steel Industries, Inc. (a carbon steel manufacturer and processor/distributor of industrial materials), a position held from September 1992 to October 1998. Mr. Darnall is also a director of Cummins, Inc.; Pactiv Corporation; and United States Steel Corporation.

GARY W. EDWARDS Director since 2008

Age 67

Mr. Edwards has been a consultant in the energy field since December 2001. He was Senior Executive Vice President, Corporate Strategy & Development, of Conoco, Inc. (an integrated oil company, that merged with Phillips Petroleum Company in 2002), from November 1999 until his retirement in December 2001. He was Executive Vice President, Refining, Marketing, Supply & Transportation of Conoco from September 1991 until November 1999. From September 1991 to October 1998, Mr. Edwards was also a Senior Vice President of E. I. duPont de Nemours and Company (a chemical company that was Conoco s former parent company). Mr. Edwards is also a director of Entergy Corporation.

23

Nominees for the Board of Directors

LYNN L. ELSENHANS Director since 2008

Age 52

Ms. Elsenhans is Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President of Sunoco, Inc. and Chairman of the Board of Directors of Sunoco Partners LLC, a subsidiary of Sunoco, Inc. and general partner of Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P. Ms. Elsenhans was elected Chairman effective January 2009 and Chief Executive Officer, President and a director of Sunoco effective August 2008. She was elected Chairman of the Board of Sunoco Partners LLC in October 2008. Ms. Elsenhans was Executive Vice President, Global Manufacturing of Shell Downstream, Inc. (a subsidiary of Royal Dutch Shell plc) from January 2005 to August 2008. She was President of Shell Oil Company from June 2003 until March 2005 and President and Chief Executive Officer of Shell Oil Products US from June 2003 until January 2005. She was Director, Strategic Planning, Sustainable Development and External Affairs of Shell International Limited from June 2002 until May 2003. Ms. Elsenhans is also a director of International Paper Company.

URSULA O. FAIRBAIRN

Director since 2001

Age 66

Ms. Fairbairn is President and Chief Executive Officer of Fairbairn Group LLC (a human resources and executive management consulting company), a position she has held since April 2005. She served as Executive Vice President, Human Resources & Quality, American Express Co. (a diversified global travel and financial services company), from December 1996 until her retirement in March 2005. She is also a director of Air Products and Chemicals, Inc.; Centex Corporation; and VF Corporation.

THOMAS P. GERRITY Director since 1990

Age 67

Dr. Gerrity is a Professor of Management at The Wharton School (the business school) of the University of Pennsylvania, a position he has held since 1990. He also served as Dean of The Wharton School from July 1990 through June 1999, and since 1999 has been Dean Emeritus. He is also a director of Internet Capital Group, Inc. and PharMerica Corporation.

ROSEMARIE B. GRECO Director since 1998

Age 62

Ms. Greco is Senior Advisor to the Governor of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Health Care Reform, a position she has held since January 1, 2009. She was Director, Governor s Office of Health Care Reform for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania from January 2003 through December 2008. She was founding Principal of GRECOventures Ltd. (a business investment and consulting partnership), a position she held from January 1999 until January 2003. Ms. Greco was Co-Chair of the Private Industry Council of Philadelphia (a private non-profit organization that is a resource for workforce development and job training) from August 1998 to December 1998, and Interim President and Chief Executive Officer of the Council from April 1998 to August 1998. From January 1998 until April 1998, she did consulting work. Ms. Greco was President of CoreStates Financial Corp. (parent company of CoreStates Bank) from May 1996 until August 1997, and President and Chief Executive Officer of CoreStates Bank (a financial institution) from August 1994 until August 1997. She was a bank director from April 1992 to August 1997. Ms. Greco is also a director of Exelon Corp. and Pennsylvania Real Estate Investment Trust; and is a trustee of the SEI I Mutual Funds of SEI Investments.

Nominees for the Board of Directors

JOHN P. JONES, III Director since 2006

Age 58

Mr. Jones is the retired Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President of Air Products and Chemicals, Inc., (an industrial gas and related industrial process equipment business). Mr. Jones served as Chairman from October 2007 until April 2008; as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer from September 2006 until October 2007, and as Chairman, President, and Chief Executive Officer from December 2000 through September 2006. Mr. Jones is also a director of Automatic Data Processing, Inc.

JAMES G. KAISER Director since 1993

Age 66

Mr. Kaiser is Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and a director of Avenir Partners, Inc. (an automobile business), a position that he has held since December 1998, and President and a director of Kaiser Services, LLC (a business development company), a position that he has held since December 1997. Mr. Kaiser was engaged in developing businesses from January 1996 until December 1998. He retired as President, Chief Executive Officer and director of Quanterra Incorporated in January 1996, positions he had held since June 1994. Quanterra succeeded to businesses of the environmental analytical services division of International Technology Corporation and Enseco (a unit of Corning Incorporated) for which Mr. Kaiser had been President and Chief Executive Officer since June 1992. Mr. Kaiser is also a director of MeadWestvaco Corporation.

JOHN W. ROWE Director since 2003

Age 63

Mr. Rowe is Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and a director of Exelon Corporation (an electric utility company). He has served as a Director and Chief Executive Officer or Co-Chief Executive Officer of Exelon since its formation in October 2000. He has served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer since April 2002. At various times since 2000 he has also held the title of President of Exelon. He previously served as Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Unicom Corporation and Commonwealth Edison Company from March 1998 until October 2000. Mr. Rowe is also a director of Northern Trust Corporation and PECO Energy, an Exelon subsidiary.

JOHN K. WULFF Director since 2004

Age 60

Mr. Wulff is the retired Chairman of the Board of Hercules Incorporated (a manufacturer and supplier of specialty chemical products), a position he held from December 2003 until Ashland s acquisition of Hercules in November 2008. Mr. Wulff was first elected as a director of Hercules in July 2003 and served as Interim Chairman from October 2003 to December 2003. Mr. Wulff served as a Member of the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the private-sector organization responsible for establishing standards of financial accounting and reporting in the United States) from July 2001 until June 2003. From January 1996 until March 2001, Mr. Wulff was Chief Financial Officer of Union Carbide Corporation (a manufacturer of chemicals, plastics, industrial gases and carbon/graphite). Mr. Wulff is also a director of Celanese Corporation and Moody s Corporation.

25

Item 2. Approval of the amended and restated Sunoco, Inc. Retainer Stock Plan for Outside Directors

For a number of years, Sunoco has used its Retainer Stock Plan for Outside Directors, or the Plan, to pay a portion of the annual retainer for Sunoco s independent, or outside , directors in shares of common stock. Participation in this Plan is limited to outside directors.

In order to simplify its directors—compensation program, in March 2009, Sunoco—s Board of Directors approved a new—retainer-only program, to be effective following the May 2009 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. Directors will no longer receive meeting fees. The new director retainer will be \$230,000 annually, \$95,000 (41%) to be paid in cash and \$135,000 (59%) to be paid in the form of shares of Sunoco common stock. Additionally, the Presiding Director, all Committee Chairs and Audit Committee members will receive an annual retainer for their service (see page 75 of this proxy statement for a complete description of these retainers). The Board is of the view that delivering a significant portion of directors—compensation in the form of Sunoco common stock aligns directors—interests with those of our shareholders.

We are seeking shareholder approval of an amendment and restatement of the Plan, to allow outside directors to receive their entire stock-based retainer, and all or a portion of their cash retainers, in the form of common stock issued under the Plan. At present, there are 372,421 shares that remain available for issuance under the Plan. We are not seeking to increase the overall number of shares available for issuance under the Plan. In prior years, Sunoco was not able to pay directors the entire value of their stock-based retainer in the form of actual shares because of Plan limits on the amount of individual equity grants (capped at \$40,000 per year). As a result, a portion of the stock-based retainer had to be paid in the form of share units accrued under deferred compensation plans for directors. The amended and restated Plan would remove the cap on individual grants, and permit the entire retainer to be paid in the form of Sunoco common stock. The Plan requires shareholder approval to increase or remove the cap on individual grants.

Below is a brief summary of certain material terms of the amended and restated Plan. We urge you to read the complete text, included as Exhibit A to this proxy statement.

- Grants made under the Plan to each outside director will be paid quarterly, in the form of actual shares of common stock having an aggregate market value at the time of payment approximately equal to one-fourth of the annual stock-based retainer for that director. The overall number of shares reserved for issuance under the Plan is limited and fixed. However, to the extent shares are available, there is no individual limit on the number of shares payable to directors as a stock retainer. The Plan also provides that each outside director may elect to similarly receive payment of all or a portion of his or her cash retainer(s) in the form of common stock.
- In the event of a stock split or similar recapitalization event, the Board of Directors will make an appropriate anti-dilution adjustment that may include a change in the number of shares reserved for issuance and/or similar matters.
- Sunoco s Board of Directors administers the Plan, and may amend the Plan. With the exception of Sunoco s Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President, who also is a director, Sunoco s Board of Directors is comprised entirely of outside non-management directors.

The amount of future payments to be made under the Retainer Stock Plan for Outside Directors cannot be determined at this time, since the actual number of shares to be paid will be based on the stock price at the time of payment, which cannot be determined at this time, and the payments will be based on Sunoco s future needs and levels of director compensation. Grants under the Plan, reflecting payment of stock-based retainers for 2008 are included in the Director Compensation in 2008 table, under the heading Stock Awards , on page 71 of this proxy statement.

Your Board unanimously recommends a vote FOR the approval of the amended and restated Retainer Stock Plan for Outside Directors.

26

Item 3. Ratification of the Appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for the Fiscal Year 2009

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors has appointed Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year 2009 subject to your ratification. Ernst & Young has served as our independent registered public accounting firm since 1996. They have unrestricted access to the Audit Committee to discuss audit findings and other financial matters. Representatives of Ernst & Young will attend the Annual Meeting to answer appropriate questions. They also may make a statement, if they choose to do so. All the work performed for Sunoco, Inc. by Ernst & Young pertaining to 2008 and the related fees were pre-approved by Sunoco s Audit Committee. The work performed by Ernst & Young pertaining to 2008 for Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P., a master limited partnership in which Sunoco has a 43% ownership interest, and related fees were pre-approved by the Audit/Conflicts Committee of Sunoco Partners LLC, the general partner of Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P. The Ernst & Young fees for 2008 and 2007 pertaining to work performed for Sunoco, Inc. and Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P. are set forth below.

Auditor Fees

	2008	2007
Audit Fees ¹ Audit-Related Fees ² Tax Fees ³ All Other Fees	\$ 5,646,707 \$ 1,705,072 \$ 6,000 \$	\$ 5,466,315 \$ 567,000 \$ 6,000
Total	\$ 7,357,779	\$6,039,315

NOTES TO TABLE:

- Audit fees for 2008 and 2007 include fees related to the annual audit of Sunoco s and Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P. s consolidated financial statements and reviews of their financial statements included in quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, and other audit and attestation services related to statutory or regulatory filings. The 2008 and 2007 audit fees also include the audits of Sunoco s and Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P. s internal control over financial reporting, as required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- Audit-related fees consisted of fees for consultation on accounting and reporting matters, audits of separate financial statements of subsidiaries and affiliates and employee benefit plans, and agreed upon procedures reports.
- Tax fees for 2008 and 2007 include fees related to preparation and review of certain subsidiary tax returns.

Your Board unanimously recommends a vote FOR the ratification of Ernst & Young s appointment as independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year 2009.

Approval of Audit and Non-Audit Services by the Sunoco, Inc. Audit Committee

Under its pre-approval policy, concurrent with the appointment of the independent registered public accounting firm, the Audit Committee specifically pre-approves the recurring audit and audit-related services and estimated fees. In addition, the Audit Committee provides pre-approval of certain audit and audit-related services. This process provides the necessary flexibility to enable the Company to consult with the independent registered public accounting firm on routine audit and audit-related matters or to enable the independent registered public accounting firm to provide services that only they may provide. With regard to tax services, the Audit Committee provides pre-approval for recurring, routine tax compliance services, provided that the Audit Committee has reviewed and believes such services would not impair the independence of the auditor and are consistent with the Securities and Exchange Commission s and Public Company Accounting Oversight Board s independence rules. If circumstances arise during the year that require the engagement of the independent registered public accounting firm for additional services not contemplated in the original pre-approvals, the Audit Committee specifically reviews and pre-approves the services and estimated fees before the independent registered public accounting firm provides such services.

The Company has determined that it will not engage the independent registered public accounting firm for tax planning services for the Company or its executives. The Company will engage its independent registered public accounting firm for permitted non-audit services only if the Audit Committee determines that specific services are in the best interests of the Company and would not impair the independence of the independent registered public accounting firm.

Financial Counseling/Tax Services Policy. Sunoco prohibits any executive of the Company from utilizing, engaging, retaining or hiring the independent registered public accounting firm that has been appointed/engaged by Sunoco s Audit Committee for personal financial counseling, including tax services.

27

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors (the Committee) reviews Sunoco s financial reporting process on behalf of the Board of Directors. Management is responsible for the financial statements and the reporting process, including the internal control over financial reporting. The independent registered public accounting firm is responsible for expressing an opinion on the conformity of the audited financial statements with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, and an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting. The Committee monitors these processes. A copy of the Audit Committee Charter, which specifies the purpose and responsibilities of the Committee, is available on Sunoco s web site at www.Sunocolnc.com and is also available upon request.

The Committee discussed with the Company s internal audit department and independent registered public accounting firm the overall scope and plans for their respective audits. In addition, the Committee has reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements and management s and the independent registered public accounting firm s evaluations of the Company s system of internal control over financial reporting contained in the 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K. As part of this review, the Committee met with the General Auditor and the independent registered public accounting firm, with and without management present, to discuss the results of their audits and the overall quality of the Company s financial reporting.

As required by the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, the Committee has discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm (1) the matters specified in Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, Communication with Audit Committees, (Codification of Statements of Auditing Standards, August 2, 2007 AU 380), as amended, as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in Rule 3200T; and (2) the independence of the independent registered public accounting firm from Sunoco and management. The independent registered public accounting firm has provided the Committee the written disclosures and letter concerning independence, pursuant to applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board. The Committee also considered the compatibility of non-audit services with the independent registered public accounting firm s independence.

In reliance on the reviews and discussions referred to above, the Committee recommended to the Board of Directors, and the Board has approved, the inclusion of the audited financial statements and management s report on internal control over financial reporting in Sunoco s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008, for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Respectfully submitted on February 20, 2009 by the members of the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors:

Robert J. Darnall, Chair

Gary W. Edwards

Thomas P. Gerrity

Rosemarie B. Greco

R. Anderson Pew

G. Jackson Ratcliffe

John K. Wulff

28

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis (CD&A) (on pages 30 through 46 of this proxy statement) with management and with the Committee s independent compensation consultant.

Based upon the review and discussions referred to in the preceding paragraph, the Committee recommended to the Board of Directors, and the Board approved, that the CD&A be included in Sunoco s proxy statement and incorporated by reference into Sunoco s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008.

Respectfully submitted on March 4, 2009 by the members of the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors:

Ursula O. Fairbairn, Chair

John P. Jones, III

James G. Kaiser

G. Jackson Ratcliffe

John W. Rowe

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation: There are none.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Introduction: This Compensation Discussion and Analysis, or CD&A, describes the overall compensation philosophy and practices of Sunoco, and describes the compensation earned by the named executive officers, or NEOs (as identified on page 49 of this Proxy Statement).

Overview: In order to be able to compete effectively, successfully implement Sunoco s business strategy, and create shareholder value, Sunoco must employ talented, superior employees in key positions. Sunoco believes that the compensation program for the senior leadership team, including the NEOs, should be designed to provide a competitive level of total compensation necessary to attract and retain talented and experienced executives and to motivate them to contribute to Sunoco s success. The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors, or the Committee, has responsibility for providing Board oversight of Sunoco s executive compensation program.

Compensation Philosophy and Objectives: Sunoco s compensation program emphasizes performance-based compensation (pay-at-risk) that promotes the achievement of short-term and long-term business objectives which are aligned with the Company s business strategy and rewards performance when those objectives are met. The compensation program is structured so that actual compensation received is aligned with Company performance in certain key areas such as income, earnings per share, return on capital employed, health, environment and safety, or HES, and total shareholder return. Sunoco s compensation program focuses executives on exceeding the competition by including several objectives/measurements based on performance relative to peer companies. It also aligns executive compensation with the interests of Sunoco s shareholders by providing stock incentives and requiring significant shareholdings. The program targets a compensation package (base salary and performance-based annual and long-term incentives, i.e., total direct compensation) that at the time of approval and grant is generally at the competitive median (within approximately +/-20%) of the Market Data. A definition of Market Data and a description of the compensation methodology used by Sunoco is more fully described beginning on page 43 of this CD&A. Actual realized compensation, however, can be significantly higher or lower than the competitive median based on Sunoco s actual performance as well as changes in Sunoco s share price.

30

The following chart provides a brief summary of the elements of Sunoco s compensation program, their purpose/objective, and any associated performance goals, which are described in more detail later in this CD&A.

Compensation

Element	Form	Compensation Objective	Company Performance Goals
Base Salary	Fixed annual cash payments	Attract and retain executives	The percentage of increase in base salary reflects benchmarking against the Market Data and the Compensation Committee s subjective view of an individual s performance over the prior year
Annual Incentive	Cash	Attract and retain executives; motivate the executives to contribute to Sunoco s success in achieving short-term objectives, and align short-term compensation with the interests of shareholders	Focuses on Company short-term goals, which for 2008, were Operating Income After Tax (60%) and ROCE as measured against the peer companies (40%), the total of which is modified by performance against Health, Environment and Safety goals
Long-Term Incentives	Stock options and performance-based common stock units	Attract and retain executives; motivate the executives to contribute to Sunoco s success in achieving long-term objectives; align long-term compensation with the interests of the shareholders	Focuses on Sunoco Common Stock Price, and for the 2008 performance-based common stock unit awards, focuses on Total Shareholder Return as measured against the peer companies
	Retention/service-based common stock units	Attract and retain executives; provide incentive for long-term career with Sunoco. Typically granted on a selective basis	Not performance based
Other Benefits: Retirement, Savings Plans, Health and Other Benefits	Cash lump sum or annuity for retirement; cash for the savings plans; other benefits	Attract and retain executives; provide incentive for long-term career with Sunoco	Not performance-based
Post-Termination Compensation	Compensation contingent on potential termination events	Attract and retain executives	Not performance-based
Perquisites	Limited perquisites	Primarily for the safety/security and productivity of the NEO	Not performance-based

Compensation Mix: The following charts provide the mix of total direct compensation (i.e., base salary, targeted annual and long-term incentives) for the current CEO and the other NEOs who are current employees for 2008 represented by each major element and indicates the percentage of 2008 compensation that is at risk (i.e., is variable based on performance results). The percentages represent the 2008 target levels for each element at the time that they were approved by the Committee. The charts demonstrate Sunoco s and the Committee s belief that, as an executive achieves higher levels of responsibility, a greater percentage of total direct compensation should be at risk, with base salary representing a lower percentage.

Performance Goals and Link to Business Strategy:

- **Business Strategy:** Sunoco operates in a competitive, challenging and highly volatile industry environment. Sunoco is committed to improving performance and enhancing shareholder value, while maintaining financial strength and flexibility. To do this, Sunoco s business strategy is focused on:
 - Delivering excellence in health, safety and environmental performance;
 - Increasing reliability and realizing additional operational improvements of Sunoco assets in each of its businesses;
 - Reducing expenses;
 - Efficiently managing capital spending to minimize outlays during periods of weak profitability;
 - Diversifying, upgrading and growing Sunoco s asset base through strategic acquisitions and investments;
 - Divesting assets that do not meet Sunoco s return-on-investment criteria; and
 - Returning cash to Sunoco s shareholders through the payment of cash dividends.

32

• Performance Goals for the Incentive Plans: Sunoco and the Committee believe that it is important to have a compensation program with performance goals that are linked to Sunoco s business strategy. The 2008 annual and long-term incentive awards are designed to reward performance against well-recognized goals operating income after tax, return on capital employed, or ROCE, and total shareholder return, or TSR. The goals selected for the 2008 annual incentive plan and for the 2008 performance-based common stock unit portion of the long-term incentive awards are company-wide, versus business unit or individual measures. Most Sunoco salaried and hourly employees participated in an annual incentive plan with the same performance goals as those used for the NEOs and other executives. Multiple performance goals were selected for the 2008 annual incentive plan because of the belief that no one goal was sufficient to capture the total performance Sunoco was seeking to drive. Additionally, when establishing performance goals, an attempt was made to provide the appropriate balance between absolute Company performance and Company performance relative to peer companies.

The annual incentive plan utilizes three goals that are key in driving the successful implementation of Sunoco s annual operating plan. The largest weight is given to operating income after tax which is derived from the annual operating plan, budgeting process and market forecasts. The use of operating income after tax as a goal assures that management continues to be focused on operations excellence because when margins are good, it is imperative that the Company capture them. For purposes of the annual incentive plan, operating income after tax is net income adjusted to exclude certain extraordinary/special items. For the 2008 annual incentive, the special items that were excluded from Sunoco s net income included asset write-downs, goodwill write-off, adjustment on previously reported gains, tax adjustment, tax settlement and insurance recovery. ROCE, the other major goal in the annual incentive plan, keeps management focused on getting the most out of existing assets and pursuing only those strategic growth and investment opportunities which will provide desired returns. ROCE is calculated by taking operating income after tax plus after-tax interest expense and dividing it by capital employed (total debt plus shareholders equity). Similar adjustments for extraordinary/special items were made to the net income of Sunoco s peer companies to compute their respective amounts of operating income after tax used in calculating ROCE. A health, environment and safety, or HES, goal is used to reinforce that, along with financial success, management must be focused on excellence in HES performance.

During 2008, the Committee undertook a review of the goals for the performance-based common stock unit portion of the long-term incentive plan and approved one goal for the awards granted in December 2008 total shareholder return, or TSR. TSR reflects stock appreciation and dividends paid to compute the total return to shareholders. Sunoco s TSR measured against the peer companies reflects how Sunoco s stock performed during a specific interval in generating returns to the shareholders versus returns generated by the peer companies.

ROCE in the annual incentive plan and TSR in the long-term incentive plan are measured against Sunoco s peer companies, which mitigates the impact of industry-wide factors over which the NEOs have no control, and assures that management is rewarded only when they outperform the competition. For the 2008 annual incentive plan, the peer companies which were established in early 2008, were: ConocoPhillips, Frontier Oil, Hess, Marathon, Murphy Oil, Tesoro and Valero. During 2008, the Committee reviewed the peer group and decided that the group should be expanded to include additional oil companies with a significant refining presence. For purposes of the 2008 performance-based CSUs granted in December 2008, the Committee added Holly Oil Corporation and Western Refining, Inc. to the peer group because they are primarily refiners, and deleted ConocoPhillips because it is significantly larger than other companies in the peer group and has sizeable exploration and production businesses.

Since 2005, Sunoco has involved both the Compensation Committee and the Audit Committee in a review process in connection with its executive compensation program. The Compensation Committee reviews and approves the annual and long-term plan performance goals annually. As a part of this process, the Committee focuses on what executive behavior it is attempting to incent and the potential associated risks. The Committee periodically receives financial information from the Chief Financial Officer, or CFO, or Comptroller and any material changes in accounting methodology so that the Committee members may understand how the exercise of management judgment in accounting and financial decisions affects plan payouts. The Audit Committee members are educated about the goals and design of the executive compensation plans so that Audit Committee members will understand the impact of financial accounting decisions on management incentive payouts. In addition, one director serves on both the Compensation and Audit Committees so that there is continuity across the Committees.

CEO and Other NEO Compensation Decisions:

- CEO Compensation Decisions: Annually, the CEO s performance is evaluated by the full Board of Directors. Subjective criteria that the Board of Directors considers include: overall leadership, development and stewardship of Sunoco s long-term strategic plan and annual goals and objectives, development of an effective senior leadership team, provision for management development and succession, and effective communications with stakeholders. The Committee also utilizes the same methodology for determining the CEO s compensation as it does for the other NEOs, applying Sunoco s compensation policies consistently for the senior leadership team. The CEO s compensation is determined based on targets developed through the Market Data, and actual amounts are based upon the conclusions reached as a result of the assessment of the CEO and Company performance. The CEO s compensation is higher than the other NEOs due to the broader duties and responsibilities of the CEO position. The Committee, in a session of Committee members only, along with Semler Brossy Consulting Group, LLC, its independent compensation consultant, determines and approves the CEO s compensation. (See page 43 of this CD&A for a description of the Committee s independent compensation consultant.) The Committee reviews its decision with the other independent members of the Board of Directors.
- Ø **CEO 2008 Compensation Decisions:** Mr. Drosdick, the retired CEO, received total direct compensation for 2008 that was determined through both the CEO evaluation process and targeting of the median of CEO compensation using the Market Data (see pages 43 and 44 of the CD&A.). His salary and annual incentive compensation were prorated due to a partial year of service.

Ms. Elsenhans, the current CEO, joined Sunoco in August 2008. She was recruited from outside the Company following a nationwide search by the Board of Directors in anticipation of Mr. Drosdick's planned retirement. The total direct compensation that Ms. Elsenhans received was based on targeting the median of CEO compensation using the Market Data and through negotiations during the hiring process. As a result, upon hiring she received a compensation package that was comparable to the retiring CEO. Her salary and annual incentive compensation were prorated due to a partial year of service. In addition, she received retention-based CSUs having a grant date value of \$5,000,000 that begin vesting and paying out in one-third installments beginning on the third anniversary of the date of grant. A voluntary termination by Ms. Elsenhans or a termination by the Company for any reason, other than pursuant to a change in control, will result in forfeiture of any unvested retention-based CSUs. The Committee had previously provided a special enhanced SERP benefit to Mr. Drosdick in 2003. At that time, the Committee was of the view that Sunoco was at a critical stage of its long-range strategic plan, and wanted to incent Mr. Drosdick to stay with Sunoco to see the plan through. Ms. Elsenhans, the current CEO, does not have the enhanced SERP benefit and will receive standard benefits under the SERP. (See page 41 of this CD&A for additional information.)

• Other NEOs Compensation Decisions: Annually, the CEO provides to the Committee an assessment of the performance of the other members of the senior leadership team, together with other factors that the CEO believes that the Committee should consider. The differences in the compensation provided to each NEO is primarily due to their different roles in the organization, as well as how they compare to their job peers in the Market Data. The CEO, in consultation with Sunoco s Chief Human Resources Officer and utilizing the Market Data, makes compensation recommendations to the Committee. The Committee reviews and approves the compensation of the other members of the senior leadership team. The Committee may exercise its discretion in modifying any recommended compensation or award to any of the executives.

Elements of Compensation: The following explains in detail the elements of and rationale for the compensation paid to the NEOs in 2008. Sunoco targets the NEOs total direct compensation at the median of the Market Data (+/-20%). Of the NEOs, only Mr. Kelley s 2008 total direct compensation was outside of the targeted range of median of the Market Data (+/-20%). Mr. Kelley was below this targeted range because he was newly promoted into his current position. Mr. Delaney is serving in an interim capacity and did not receive a compensation adjustment for the interim position.

♦ Base Salary: Base salary is the only fixed portion of the NEOs total direct compensation. Base salary is designed to compensate executives for the scope and level of responsibility and sustained individual performance. The salaries of the NEOs are reviewed on an annual basis, as well as at the time of promotion and other change in responsibilities. The Committee targets base salaries for the NEOs generally at the median of base salaries in the Market Data (+/-20%). Base salaries are adjusted by factors such as individual performance (including experience in position, scope of responsibilities, results achieved and future potential). The base salaries of the NEOs that were

34

approved for 2008 or, in the case of the NEOs that were employed by Sunoco for a partial year, salaries actually earned in 2008, are included in the Summary Compensation Table on page 49.

♦ Annual Incentive: Sunoco s Executive Incentive Plan, or EIP, which was approved by the shareholders in 2006, is a performance-based annual cash incentive plan in which the approximately 200 top executives are eligible to participate. The annual incentive plan is designed to promote the achievement of Sunoco s short-term business objectives by providing competitive incentive opportunities to those executives who can significantly impact Company performance, and providing rewards based on the achievement of predetermined goals that are closely correlated with Sunoco s financial and HES performance. Most of Sunoco s salaried and hourly employees participate in an annual incentive plan with the same performance goals as those used for the NEOs and other executives.

An EIP participant s 2008 annual incentive was determined by the following formula:

Participant s base salary for the plan year multiplied by his/her incentive guideline percentage multiplied by the payout percentage, if any, and then adjusted up or down (up to 10%) to reflect HES performance.

Each EIP participant has a guideline incentive that is expressed as a percentage for his or her position level. The guideline incentives of the NEOs for 2008 were as follows:

2008 Guideline

Incentive

Name	(% of Base Salary)
L. L. Elsenhans	120%
J. G. Drosdick	120%
T. P. Delaney	45%
T. W. Hofmann	75%
R. W. Owens	70%
M. J. Thomson	65%
V. J. Kelley	65% and 75%
R. D. Naku	60%

These percentages are primarily determined based on Market Data; however, internal equity issues may be taken into consideration. With regard to Mr. Delaney, he was Sunoco s Vice President, Investor Relations and Planning prior to being elected Sunoco s Interim Chief Financial Officer in December 2008. His guideline reflects his previous position. With regard to Mr. Kelley, in light of his promotion to a new position in 2008, his guideline reflects the targets for his previous and current positions.

Ms. Elsenhans, Mr. Drosdick, Mr. Hofmann and Mr. Naku were with Sunoco for a portion of 2008. The annual incentive that was paid to them for 2008 was prorated based on the portion of the year they were employed by Sunoco.

For 2008, the Committee used operating income after tax, or OIAT, and return on capital employed, or ROCE, relative to the peers as the two performance goals. The performance goals for 2008 were based on meeting weighted objectives for the following principal measurements:

Ø Performance relative to Sunoco s targeted operating income after tax of \$850 million (weighted 60%):

OIAT	Performance Factor
≥ \$1,350 Million	200%
\$850 Million	100%

Applicable OIAT

< \$350 Million 0%

Performance between percentages was straight-line interpolated.

35

Ø Sunoco s performance relative to the peer group, as measured by ROCE (weighted 40%), with a maximum payout only if Sunoco ranked first among its peers:

Sunoco Percentile Rank	Payout Factor
Highest	200%
90th%	175%
60th%	100%
25th%	50%
Lowest	0%

Performance between percentages in the performance goals was straight-line interpolated.

The performance of these two measurements was modified (up or down) by certain HES performance goals.

Before the payout of the annual incentive, if any, the Committee reviews the year-end results and the performance data with management and with the compensation consultants (Semler Brossy Consulting Group, LLC, the Committee s independent compensation consultant, and Towers Perrin, management s compensation consultant), and determines the extent to which these goals have been achieved.

Despite challenging market conditions and significant volatility in commodity prices, Sunoco s financial performance in 2008 was strong, resulting from solid operating performance, strong margins for refined products in the second half of the year and a record contribution from the Company s non-refining businesses. For the annual incentive, the Company s 2008 operating income after tax was \$864 million and its ROCE was 21.0%. As a result of the Company s performance, the 2008 annual incentives that were paid out ranged between 127% and 134% of target for the NEOs, after applying the HES modifier. The annual incentives that were earned for 2008 are included in the Summary Compensation Table on page 49 under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation. The annual incentive that was paid to each NEO as compared to the targeted amount approved by the Committee is reflected in the table below.

	2008 Annual	2008 Annual	2008 Actual
	Incentive Award	Incentive Award	Incentive Award
	Targeted Amount	as a % of Target	Payment
Name	(\$)	(rounded to nearest percent)	(\$)

Edgar Filing: SUNOCO INC - Form DEF 14A

L. L. Elsenhans	1,488,000 ¹	130	794,0071
J. G. Drosdick	1,488,000 ¹	130	1,169,8361
T. P. Delaney	121,500	130	158,193
T. W. Hofmann	427,500 ¹	130	509,4611
R. W. Owens	348,600	127	443,419
M. J. Thomson	281,525 ²	134	377,8062
V. J. Kelley	325,645 ²	133	433,1072
R. D. Naku	237,000 ¹	130	282,4381

36

NOTES TO TABLE:

- The targeted amount is based on the NEO s approved annual base salary prior to proration as a result of being employed by Sunoco for a partial year. The actual award payment amount reflects the proration.
- As a result of a promotion in 2008, the targeted amount is based on the NEO s annual base salary in the prior position and the annual base salary in the current position. The actual award amount reflects a proration based on the prior and current positions.
- Long-Term Incentive Awards: Another key element of Sunoco s executive compensation program is the long-term incentive awards granted under Sunoco s Long-Term Performance Enhancement Plan II, or LTPEP II, which was approved by the shareholders in 2008. The purposes of the long-term incentive awards are: to align the executives compensation with the interests of shareholders by creating a direct linkage between the participants rewards and shareholders gains; provide management with the ability to increase equity ownership in the Company; provide competitive compensation opportunities that can be realized through attainment of performance goals; and provide a retention incentive for management.

Since 1996, Sunoco s long-term compensation program has consisted of a mix of stock options and performance-based CSUs. Each year, the Committee evaluates the appropriate value mix of stock options and performance-based CSUs, and reviews data from the peer companies and other oil companies regarding typical long-term incentive mix. For the awards granted in December 2008, the Committee reviewed the value mix and different vehicles used by the peer companies and other oil companies with the compensation consultants, and decided to continue the practice of splitting the target value of the long-term incentives equally between stock options and performance-based CSUs. As implemented at Sunoco, performance-based CSUs and stock options balance relative versus absolute shareholder return performance and intermediate-term (three years for CSUs) versus long-term (up to ten years for stock options) performance, respectively. While Sunoco sultimate objective is the creation of absolute long-term stock price appreciation and shareholder value, measurement of intermediate-term performance against the peer companies through the performance-based CSUs recognizes the cyclical nature of the industry in which Sunoco operates and reinforces the importance of exceeding peer performance on a sustained basis.

Each year in December, in connection with the review and approval of other elements of the NEOs compensation, the Committee reviews and approves all long-term incentive awards. In determining the appropriate long-term value for each executive, the Committee reviews the level of responsibility, experience, the total compensation of each member of the senior leadership team, relative to the Market Data, and also looks at internal equity among the executives. The long-term compensation for each position is targeted at the median (+/- 20%) of the Market Data, but the award may be adjusted (up or down) for internal equity. The value of the stock options and performance-based CSUs are converted to specific equity grants. The target value of stock options is determined by using the Binomial option pricing model, and the number of CSUs is determined by dividing the value by the closing price on the date of grant. The December awards have generally been granted in the aggregate at a rate of less than 1% of outstanding shares per year, and the December 2008 awards were 0.77% of outstanding shares. Additional information about these awards is included in the Grants of Plan-Based Awards in 2008 table on page 54.

Ø Stock Options: Stock options are designed to provide long-term equity-based compensation based on future appreciation of Sunoco s common stock price. For the stock options awarded in December 2008, the grant date was the date of the meeting at which the stock options were granted. The exercise price for these grants was equal to the closing price of a share of Sunoco common stock on that date. The Committee approved a vesting schedule of three years, with the stock options vesting and becoming exercisable annually in three equal installments, beginning on the first anniversary date of the date of grant. Prior to December 2008, stock options became vested and exercisable in full beginning two years from the date of grant. The Committee changed the vesting schedule to be more in line with Sunoco s peer companies and other oil companies. Options have a term of ten years from the date of grant. The plan prohibits the repricing of out-of-the-money stock options and does not provide for reload options. As noted below under Executive Stock Ownership Guidelines, executives who have not met their stock ownership guidelines are expected to retain all of their after-tax profit from option exercises in shares of stock. Further, senior executives who are at or above their respective ownership guideline are expected to retain at least 50% of the after-tax profit from option exercises

in shares of stock for at least one year.

Ø Performance-Based Common Stock Units: The performance-based CSUs provide intermediate-term incentive compensation that has been designed to pay out only if certain pre-established, objective performance measures have been met over the applicable three-year performance period. For the 2008 awards, the Committee

37

decided to use a single goal total shareholder return, or TSR, (over the three-year performance period), as measured against the peer companies. For the 2007 performance-based CSU awards, the performance goals were equally weighted between TSR and EPS growth, both relative to the peer companies. For 2008, TSR was selected as the sole performance goal because of its importance to shareholders. Although the Plan, as approved by shareholders, allows for a maximum payout of 200%, the Committee capped the maximum payout for the 2008 awards at 150%, similar to the cap imposed for the last few years. The Committee determined that this maximum payout can only occur if Sunoco ranks first among the current performance peer group. The actual payout of these awards may range from 0% to 150% based on actual results. The performance goal approved by the Committee for the performance-based CSUs awarded in December 2008 is the following:

• TSR measured relative to the peer group:

Sunoco TSR

Percentile Rank	Payout Factor
Highest	150%
75 th %	125%
50 th %	100%
35 th %	50%
<35 th %	0%

Performance between the 35th percentile and above will be straight-line interpolated.

The performance goal is designed with the intent that management will only be rewarded with above-median levels of compensation when Sunoco s TSR exceeds the median of the peer group. The value realized from performance-based CSU awards will be affected by any changes in Sunoco s stock price between the date of grant and the payment date. To the extent that performance-based CSUs granted in 2008 are earned, they will be paid out in shares of Sunoco common stock at the end of the performance period, with related dividends equivalents which are equal to the cash dividends that would have been paid to a holder of shares of Sunoco common stock during the performance period.

Payment of Performance-Based CSUs awarded in December 2005: In December 2005, the Committee granted performance-based CSU awards with a three-year performance period from 2006 through 2008. The performance goals that were approved in 2005 for these awards were two equally weighted performance goals:

• TSR measured relative to the peer companies (weighted 50%):

Edgar Filing: SUNOCO INC - Form DEF 14A

Sunoco TSR

Percentile Rank	Payout Factor
Highest	150%
75th%	125%
Median	100%
25th%	50%

38

• EPS growth measured relative to the peer companies (weighted 50%):

Sunoco EPS

Percentile Rank	Payout Factor
Highest	150%
75th%	125%
Median	100%
25th%	50%

With regard to the two performance measures, performance between points was straight-line interpolated. The peer group for the 2005 CSU awards consisted of: ConocoPhillips, Frontier, Hess, Marathon, Murphy Oil, Tesoro and Valero. Total shareholder return for the performance period was -43.9%, and the EPS growth was 60.0%. As a result, the 2005 CSUs paid out at 47.6%. The targeted value of the payout at the time the award was granted, and the actual value of the payout (including dividends) are presented in the table below. The CSUs were paid out in cash for all the NEOs as approved by the Committee on the date of grant.

	Targeted Value at the	Amount of Payout in
	2005 Grant Date	2009
Name	(\$)	(\$)
J. G. Drosdick	2,481,280	688,365
T. P. Delaney	110,882	30,761
T. W. Hofmann	531,924	147,568
R. W. Owens	500,908	138,964
V. J. Kelley	168,262	46,680
R. D. Naku	252,780	70,127

♦ Other Long-Term Incentive Awards:

Ø Retention or Service-Based Common Stock Unit Awards. Another key element of Sunoco s compensation program is retention or service-based common stock units, which may be granted under the LTPEP II. These awards are provided on a selective basis as an incentive to attract and retain executives, and are conditioned upon the recipient s continued employment with

Sunoco throughout a stated period, which may be from three to five years. For the awards granted in 2008 see the Grants of Plan-Based Awards in 2008 table on page 54.

♦ Policies:

Equity Awards Policy: The Committee has a written policy which codifies the equity award procedures. Under the policy, equity awards to employees must be approved at a meeting of the Committee, except that they may be approved by unanimous written consent of the Committee in exigent circumstances. Annual long-term incentive equity awards are granted at the Committee s meeting each December. The grant date is the date of the meeting. Sunoco s current practice is to generally set the dates for the regular Board and Committee meetings five years in advance of the meeting. For equity awards to new employees approved in advance by the Committee prior to the employee s employment starting date, the grant date is the last New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE, trading day of the calendar month in which the employment starting date falls. For all other equity awards, the grant date is the date of the Committee s meeting at which the award was approved. The exercise price for stock options is equal to the closing price of a share of Sunoco s common stock on the NYSE on the applicable grant date. The Committee may, in its discretion, refrain from approving grants of equity awards to employees if the meeting at which such approval is to

39

be considered occurs during a period in which management is in possession of material non-public information. Approval of such equity awards may be deferred to the next Committee meeting. No grants were deferred in 2008. When approving grants to the employees, the Committee considers information or recommendations provided by the CEO, except with respect to the CEO s own grants.

Ø Executive Stock Ownership Guidelines: The executive stock ownership guidelines were first adopted in 1997 to reinforce the commitment of management to Sunoco s success. Sunoco and the Committee consider stock ownership by executives to be an important means of linking executive interests directly to those of shareholders. Sunoco maintains stock ownership guidelines for its approximately 40 top executives. The amount of Sunoco stock required to be owned increases with the level of responsibility. The current guidelines are:

Position	Ownership Guideline
Chief Executive Officer	Six times base salary
Other Senior Executives (including the other NEOs)	Three times base salary
Other Executives	One times base salary

Shares that the executives have the right to acquire through the exercise of stock options or which may be earned at some time in the future through the potential payout of performance-based CSUs are not included in the calculation of stock ownership until any such rights result in the actual ownership of shares of common stock. New participants or those promoted to a new position are expected to reach their respective stock ownership goals by the end of a five-year period. In 2004, the Committee adopted a holding period requirement for stock option exercises for the CEO and other senior executives in addition to the stock ownership guidelines. Senior executives who are below their ownership guidelines are expected to retain shares equal to 100% of the after-tax gain on the option exercise, and senior executives who are above their stock ownership guidelines and who are exercising stock options are expected to retain shares equal to 50% of the after-tax gain on the option exercises for one year from the date of exercise. At January 1, 2009, the CEO and the other NEOs who are current employees were in compliance with the stock ownership guidelines, except for Mr. Owens, who had previously been in compliance until the Wall Street financial crisis and its impact on the stock market.

- Ø Insider Trading (including Hedging) Policy: Sunoco employees are subject to Sunoco s Insider Trading Policy, which, among other things, prohibits an employee from entering into short sales, or purchasing, selling, or exercising any puts, calls or similar instruments pertaining to Company securities. This does not include Sunoco stock options exercised in accordance with the terms of Sunoco s stock option plan.
- Ø Clawback/Recoupment Policy: In 2008, management recommended, and the Compensation Committee approved, amendments to Sunoco s Executive Incentive Plan, or EIP, and the Long-Term Performance Enhancement Plan II, or LTPEP II, to incorporate a clawback policy with regard to the annual incentive and long-term incentive equity awards in the case of a financial restatement that was the result of employee misconduct, or in the case of fraud, embezzlement or other serious misconduct that was materially detrimental to Sunoco. The policy applies to the top approximately 40 executives for the LTPEP II and the top approximately 200 executives for the EIP for the period from July 2, 2008 forward. The Compensation Committee retained discretion regarding application of the policy. The policy is incremental to other remedies that are available to the Company. In addition to Sunoco s clawback policy, if the Company is required to restate its earnings as a result of noncompliance with a financial reporting requirement due to misconduct, under Section 304 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, or SOX, the CEO and the CFO

would have to reimburse Sunoco for any bonus or other incentive-based or equity-based compensation received by them from Sunoco during the 12-month period following the first filing with the SEC of the financial document that embodied the financial reporting requirement, and any profits realized from the sale of Sunoco stock during that 12-month period, to the extent required by SOX.

• Retirement Benefits: Sunoco provides retirement benefits to most salaried and many hourly employees, which, under some plans, may vary depending on the employee s hire date. Retirement benefits are provided to attract and retain employees and reward long-term employment. The NEOs participate in three plans that provide for retirement benefits the Sunoco, Inc. Retirement Plan, or SCIRP, (a qualified plan under which benefits are subject to

40

IRS limits for pay and amount), the Pension Restoration Plan (a nonqualified, unfunded plan that provides retirement benefits that would otherwise be provided under SCIRP except for IRS limits) and the Sunoco, Inc. Executive Retirement Plan, or SERP, (a nonqualified, unfunded plan available to the NEOs which may provide to certain eligible executives supplemental pension benefits over and above an NEO s benefits under SCIRP and the Pension Restoration Plan). Under SCIRP, executives hired before January 1, 1987 participate in both a final average pay formula and a cash balance formula, and receive the higher benefit under the two formulas at retirement or termination of employment. Those executives hired on or after January 1, 1987 participate in a cash balance formula, which provides a benefit based on career pay rather than final average pay. The SERP was designed to attract mid-career senior executives by providing a competitive benefit, which includes accelerated benefit accruals to offset the loss in pension benefits due to a break in service when leaving a prior employer before retirement age. Since 1996, the ability to hire mid-career executives has been extremely important to Sunoco as Ms. Elsenhans, Mr. Drosdick and about 45% of the approximately 40 top executives, were mid-career hires. Any NEO s benefit under SERP is offset by benefits provided under SCIRP and the Pension Restoration Plan. In 2003, Sunoco amended the SERP in order to enhance the benefit for the then CEO, Mr. Drosdick, In 2003, the Committee determined that Sunoco was at a critical stage of its long-range strategic plan, and wanted to incent Mr. Drosdick to stay with Sunoco to see the plan through. The enhanced benefit provided Mr. Drosdick with a pension value similar to other oil industry CEOs, and provided an incentive for Mr. Drosdick to remain with Sunoco through age 65. Mr. Drosdick s benefit vested in November 2006, and increased his projected annual benefit at age 65 from approximately 40% of his final average pay to 60% of his final average pay. Mr. Drosdick retired from Sunoco in August 2008 at the age of 65. Ms. Elsenhans, the current CEO, does not have the enhanced SERP benefit and will receive standard benefits under the SERP. The present values of each NEO s accumulated pension benefit as of year-end 2008 are included in the Pension Benefits table on page 60. More detailed descriptions of SCIRP, the Pension Restoration Plan and SERP are included in the narrative accompanying the table.

Perquisites: Perquisites are reviewed each year by the Committee. Any perquisites that are outside Sunoco s policies must be pre-approved by the Committee. In 2006, several perquisites were eliminated. Mr. Drosdick had only one perquisite personal use of the corporate aircraft for safety/security reasons. Ms. Elsenhans, who joined Sunoco in August 2008, had only two perguisites in 2008 moving and relocation expenses, and limited personal use of the corporate aircraft, also for safety/security reasons. The moving and relocation benefit included a home purchase option that Ms. Elsenhans may use for a period up to two years until August 4, 2010. With regard to personal use of the corporate aircraft, Sunoco currently has plans to divest its aircraft, Until such time as the aircraft are divested. Ms. Elsenhans will continue to have limited personal use of the corporate aircraft. Both Mr. Drosdick and Ms. Elsenhans have had the ability to have a spouse and/or family member accompany him or her on business or personal trips. The other NEOs are permitted to have a spouse and/or family member accompany the NEO on a business trip. Neither Ms. Elsenhans nor Mr. Drosdick received a gross-up for taxes in connection with personal use of the corporate aircraft. The perguisites of the other NEOs consist of parking at corporate headquarters and limited home security monitoring, which are provided primarily for the safety/security and productivity of the NEO. A financial counseling allowance was discontinued beginning on January 1, 2007; however, any NEO who had a balance remaining that was accrued prior to 2005 is allowed to draw down the balance until depleted or, if the NEO leaves Sunoco, the balance is paid out to the NEO. The NEOs do not receive personal country club memberships, which were discontinued as a perquisite in 2006. Sunoco has several corporate country club memberships which are used primarily for business purposes. However, a corporate country club may be incidentally used for personal reasons, in which case the executive is required to reimburse Sunoco for all associated out of pocket expenses. When this incidental personal use occurs, the executive is imputed income for a prorated portion of the annual dues paid by Sunoco. This personal use also represents a perquisite. An executive does not receive a gross-up for taxes in connection with parking, home security monitoring, the imputed income based on the personal use of a corporate country club membership, or for a spousal flight on the corporate aircraft when accompanying the executive on business unless there is a specific business purpose. An executive may receive a gross-up on taxable expenses in connection with moving and relocation (which is provided to all employees under the Company s Moving and Relocation Policy). The dollar amount of the perguisites received by the NEOs in 2008 is included in the Summary Compensation Table on page 49, under All Other Compensation and in the table on page 55. Additional information regarding the remaining financial counseling balances is included in the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation in 2008 table on page 65.

Other Benefits: Sunoco offers its NEOs and other salaried employees a competitive benefits package. The NEOs participate in the same basic benefits package and on the same terms as other eligible Company employees. The

41

benefits package includes a savings program as well as medical and dental benefits (including the option of flexible spending accounts), disability benefits, insurance (life, travel accident), occupational death benefits, and vacations and holidays.

• Savings Plans: Sunoco offers to all employees the opportunity to participate in the Sunoco Capital Accumulation Plan, or SunCAP, Sunoco s 401(k) plan, which is a long-term investment (qualified defined contribution) plan designed primarily to help participating employees accumulate funds for retirement. SunCAP is a voluntary program. Employees that elect to participate may make contributions immediately. Sunoco matches up to 5% of base pay contributed to SunCAP dollar-for-dollar for all employees with at least one year of service with the Company. The employee elects how his/her contributions and the Company s matching contribution are invested. The NEOs may also participate in the Sunoco, Inc. Savings Restoration Plan, a nonqualified deferred compensation plan that is made available to employees who participate in SunCAP and who may be subject to a compensation limitation and/or a contributions limitation under SunCAP pursuant to the Internal Revenue Service, or IRS, limits. Under the Savings Restoration Plan, the participant may contribute to an account an amount in excess of the applicable IRS limits up to 5% of base salary. Matching contributions by Sunoco are credited to the NEOs accounts to the extent that they would otherwise be made under SunCAP (up to a maximum of 5% of base salary). The amounts of the Company matches under SunCAP and the Savings Restoration Plan that were made for the NEOs in 2008 are included in the Summary Compensation Table on page 49 under All Other Compensation and in the table on page 55. Additional information regarding the NEOs participation in the Savings Restoration Plan is included in the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation in 2008 table on page 65.

Severance and Change-in-Control Benefits: Every executive, including each NEO, is an employee at will. This means that Sunoco may terminate employment at any time, with or without notice, and with or without cause or reason. Each of the NEOs serves without an employment agreement. Any severance or similar agreements or provisions and payments to be made to an NEO must be reviewed and approved in advance by the Committee.

Upon certain types of termination of employment and in the event of a change in control of Sunoco, certain benefits may be paid or provided to the NEOs pursuant to plans that are described below. These plans were approved by the Committee or by the full Board. Sunoco believes that severance protections can play a role in attracting and retaining key executives, including the NEOs, particularly in light of the fact that there has been much consolidation in the energy industry in recent years.

- ♦ The Sunoco, Inc. Executive Involuntary Severance Plan, or the Involuntary Severance Plan, is maintained by Sunoco for the purpose of providing severance to executives whose employment is terminated by Sunoco other than for cause (for the definition, please refer to page 68 under Other Potential Post-Employment Payments). In recognition of their past service to Sunoco, the plan is intended to assist the executive in transition from employment at Sunoco. The amount or kind of benefit to be provided is based on the executive s position and compensation at the time of termination. The plans are more fully described in Other Potential Post-Employment Payments beginning on page 67.
- The Sunoco, Inc. Special Executive Severance Plan, or the CIC Plan, is maintained for the purpose of providing severance to executives whose employment is involuntarily terminated or if the executive resigns for good reason in connection with or following a change in control of Sunoco. The plan was adopted to retain executives in the event of a change in control, and to eliminate the uncertainty which such a transaction may raise among management, potentially resulting in the departure or distraction of key management personnel. A potential change in control can create uncertainty regarding continued employment since such transactions can result in significant organizational changes. The Board of Directors determined that appropriate steps should be taken to reinforce and encourage the continued attention and dedication of key management personnel to their assigned duties without distraction. Since Sunoco does not believe that the NEOs should be entitled to receive the severance benefits solely because of a change in control event, the severance benefits are triggered only if there is also an actual or constructive termination of employment. In the event of a change in control, the NEOs outstanding stock options become immediately exercisable and outstanding performance-based CSUs become immediately payable according to a formula without the requirement that the NEO also be actually or constructively terminated.

With regard to a change in control, excess parachute payments are subjected to an excise tax payable by the recipient under Section 280G of the Internal Revenue Code, or the IRC, which also disallows the deduction by Sunoco of certain payments made to disqualified individuals that are contingent on a change in control. Because the

42

excise tax can discriminate against those that do not exercise stock options versus those that do, among other things, Sunoco and the Committee believed that the provision of an excise tax gross-up in the CIC Plan was appropriate. In some cases, actual severance payments will be reduced to eliminate/reduce the Company s cost of the gross-up. See Accounting and Tax Consequences Change in Control Federal Tax Consequences below for information regarding this tax. Executives who joined and will join Sunoco after November 25, 2008 and who are eligible to participate in the CIC Plan, are not and will not be entitled to the 280G excise tax gross-up provided under the Plan. Executives who were entitled to receive the 280G excise tax gross-up prior to November 25, 2008 were grandfathered and will continue to be entitled to the 280G excise tax gross-up.

In the event of a change in control, a Deferred Compensation and Benefits Trust, or the Trust, may be funded to provide the source of funds for the Company to meet its liabilities under certain benefit plans and other arrangements, including the CIC Plan, the Pension Restoration Plan, Executive Retirement Plan, or SERP, and the Indemnification Agreements entered into with the NEOs (and other key executives and other management personnel) (see page 76). Assets held by the Trust are subject to the claims of the Company s general creditors under federal and state law in the event of insolvency.

Additional information regarding the CIC plans can be found under Other Potential Post-Employment Payments beginning on page 67. Estimated benefits that would be payable under certain scenarios should they have occurred at December 31, 2008, can also be found under that section.

The Compensation Process:

A description of the compensation that Sunoco pays its executives and **WHY** it pays that compensation is described above. The following information describes the methodology and process, together with the advisors that are used in determining the compensation that is paid (i.e., **HOW** the compensation process works).

♦ Compensation Methodology and Process:

External Advisors and Internal Support: The Committee reviews the effectiveness and competitiveness of the executive compensation structure in the context of the foregoing philosophy and objectives, with the assistance of external consultants and internal executive and staff support. Towers Perrin, management s compensation consultant, collects comparative executive compensation data with regard to the senior leadership team (including the CEO) for review by the Committee. Since 2007, the Committee has directly engaged Semler Brossy Consulting Group, LLC, or Semler Brossy, as its independent compensation consultant. Semler Brossy has responsibility for working with the Committee, and will not perform any work for nor receive any compensation from management. The scope of Semler Brossy s engagement includes counseling/advising the Committee regarding: the Company s executive compensation program; CEO compensation; proposed senior leadership team compensation; materials to be delivered to the Committee; and such other special projects assigned by the Committee. Towers Perrin continues to provide data and analysis for management, some of which may be presented to the Committee for review. Semler Brossy provides a separate, independent review of Towers Perrin s information for the Committee. Sunoco s Chief Human Resources Officer (who is management s liaison to the Committee) and its Chief Governance Officer provide additional counsel, data and analysis as requested by the Committee. The CEO, Chief Human Resources Officer and the Chief Governance Officer work with internal resources and the compensation consultants to design programs, implement Committee decisions, and recommend amendments to existing, or adoption of new, compensation and benefits programs applicable to the executive level employees, as well as prepare necessary briefing materials for the Committee s review as part of its decision-making process. The CEO is not a member of the Committee, but he/she does attend Committee meetings. He/she makes recommendations on the compensation of the other members of the senior leadership team. However, he/she is not in attendance when the Committee makes decisions with regard to his/her compensation. The CEO has input with regard to the setting of the goals or performance criteria for the incentive plans;

however, the Committee, with the assistance and input of Semler Brossy, ultimately makes all final decisions with regard to setting goals or performance criteria. The CEO does not meet with the Committee s independent compensation consultant regarding compensation other than attending the Committee meetings where they are also in attendance or at the request of the Committee.

Ø Comparator Group: Towers Perrin provides the Committee with information on compensation trends, and annually provides relevant Market Data (defined below) and alternatives for the Committee to consider when setting target compensation levels. They also provide information concerning practices of Sunoco s peer companies, other oil companies and companies in general industry in order to assure that Sunoco s programs are market-competitive, and

43

to determine target compensation. The peer companies reviewed for 2008 compensation consisted of ConocoPhillips, Frontier Oil, Hess, Marathon, Murphy Oil, Tesoro and Valero. Lyondell was excluded due to its merger with Basell Industries. For the December 2008 performance-based CSUs, the Committee added Holly and Western Refining because they are primarily refiners and dropped ConocoPhillips because it is significantly larger than other companies in the peer group and has sizeable exploration and production businesses. In an effort to find the most appropriate mix of peer companies, the Committee chose companies that include independent refiners and other companies with a significant refining presence. Because Sunoco and the Committee believe that the Company s direct competition for executive talent is broader than the peer group, the Committee generally reviews compensation practices of other companies in the oil industry (some or all of the companies to the extent that they have comparable job positions), and general industry (adjusted for relative revenues) (collectively Market Data). The oil industry and general industry data are obtained from the following surveys: Towers Perrin s Oil Industry Job Match Survey (which included twelve companies, including some of Sunoco speer companies; Anadarko Petroleum, British Petroleum, Chevron, ConocoPhillips, Devon Energy, ExxonMobil, Hess, Marathon, Occidental Petroleum, Shell Oil, Tesoro and Valero Energy), which was adjusted for asset size and complexity, and Towers Perrin's General Industry Executive Compensation Database (which included data from approximately 300 companies from the following industry groups: aerospace/defense and automotive and transportation vehicles; chemicals and gases; consumer products (durable); consumer products (non-durable); electronic, electrical and scientific equipment components; food and beverage producers and processors; metals and mining; oil and gas exploration and production; pharmaceutical and biotechnology, hardware, semiconductors, software products and services, and telecommunications products and services). For comparison purposes, due to the variance in size among the companies in the general industry group, regression analysis is used to determine the relationship between data. In this case, it is used to adjust the data for differences in company revenues to be comparable to Sunoco. The Market Data general industry comparisons are developed using a three-year average revenue scope for Sunoco, which has been less than Sunoco s actual revenues (excluding excise taxes). Towers Perrin, when compiling the Market Data, excludes any one-time awards given to executives of the other companies for special circumstances.

Ø Benchmarking: The Committee reviews the compensation data for each position in Sunoco s senior leadership team, including each individual NEO, compared to the compensation of executives in similar positions with similar responsibility levels in the Market Data by pay type (including base salary, annual incentive and long-term incentives, i.e., total direct compensation). In its review of the 2008 compensation of the NEOs, the Committee primarily reviewed the compensation practices of the following comparator groups:

Named Executive		Comparator Groups Other Oil						
Officer	Sunoco s Peer Companies	Companies	Industry					
L. L. Elsenhans	Х	X	Х					
J. G. Drosdick	X	X	X					
T. P. Delaney	Not benchmarked	since serving in an interi	m capacity.					
T. W. Hofmann	X	X	Χ					
R. W. Owens		X						
M. J. Thomson			X					
V. J. Kelley		X						

R. D. Naku X X

♦ **Use of Tally Sheets:** For the past five years, the Committee has been using tally sheets as a tool to assist in its review of executive compensation. The Committee has annually reviewed the tally sheets, which contain all components of the CEO s and the other NEOs current and historical (last 5 years) compensation, which helps place the compensation in context when the Committee is setting the current compensation. In addition, in consultation with

44

Semler Brossy, Towers Perrin, and management, the Committee has annually reviewed the estimated compensation that would be received by the CEO and the other NEOs under various scenarios, including normal retirement and voluntary termination, involuntary termination, and involuntary termination due to a change in control of Sunoco. The tally sheets and the scenario information provide the potential cost to Sunoco. The review of the post-employment scenarios allows the Committee to see if the post-employment programs are working as intended. The Committee has concluded that current and future performance and results for shareholders, not prior compensation nor amounts realized or realizable from prior awards, should be the governing factors in setting current NEO compensation. The Committee believes that using prior compensation or amounts realized or realizable from prior awards in setting current compensation would send the wrong message to executives that they may be penalized as a result of prior success.

Other Compensation Information:

Accounting and Tax Consequences: The following describes certain accounting and tax treatments that have an impact on or relate to the compensation philosophy or relate to certain forms of compensation.

Tax Deductibility of Compensation: Under Section 162(m) of the IRC, publicly held corporations may not take a deduction for compensation in excess of \$1 million paid to any individual employed as of the close of the taxable year who at that time is the CEO, or one of three highest paid officers (other than the CEO and CFO), during the fiscal year, unless certain requirements are met. While the Committee considers deductibility under Section 162(m) with respect to compensation arrangements for executive officers, the Committee does not have a policy that all compensation must be deductible. The Committee believes that it is in the best interest of Sunoco for the Committee to retain flexibility and discretion to make compensation awards in order to foster achievement of corporate goals, including performance goals established by the Committee, as well as other goals deemed important to Sunoco s success, such as encouraging employee retention, and rewarding achievement. Accordingly, the Committee reserves the right to pay non-deductible amounts in executive compensation if it determines that would be in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders. Sunoco believes that the annual incentive awards under the Executive Incentive Plan and the stock options and performance-based CSU grants under the LTPEP II qualify as performance-based compensation and are not subject to any deductibility limitations under Section 162(m). Base salary and perquisites do not qualify as performance-based compensation and are subject to Section 162(m) deduction restrictions. In 2008, as the base salary and perquisites of Ms. Elsenhans did not exceed \$1 million, her base salary and the costs of her perquisites (and any associated tax gross-ups), are deductible. However, the deductibility by Sunoco of personal use of the corporate aircraft has been limited pursuant to the American Jobs Creation Act.

♦ Long-Term Incentives:

Accounting: The long-term incentive compensation is all stock-based, although it may be payable in common stock or cash. The accounting treatment for the long-term incentive compensation is specified by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No.123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment (SFAS 123R). For accounting purposes, stock options are valued using the Black-Scholes pricing model, based on expected life expectancy of the option, risk-free interest rate, dividend yield and volatility. CSUs payable in cash are marked to market on a quarterly basis to reflect changes in the price of the Company's common stock and projected performance factors. For CSUs which have a performance condition related to the market value of such stock (e.g., TSR) and are payable in shares of Sunoco common stock, the awards are valued using a Monte Carlo simulation generally as of the date of grant. For other performance-based CSUs payable in Sunoco common stock, the market value of Sunoco common stock on the date of grant is used for determining the expense with an adjustment for the actual performance factors achieved. Accordingly, the expense for performance-based CSUs which are payable in stock are generally not adjusted for changes in the market price of Sunoco's common stock after the date of grant. The expenses for stock options and CSUs are recognized ratably over the vesting period. For awards made prior to December 3, 2008, expense recognition was accelerated for full vesting at retirement eligibility dates.

• **Deferred Compensation:** In October 2004, the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 was signed into law, changing the tax rules applicable to nonqualified deferred compensation arrangements. All of Sunoco s nonqualified deferred compensation plans (including the Sunoco, Inc. Executive Retirement Plan and the Pension Restoration Plan) have been amended to comply with the requirements of IRC Section 409A and the guidance and regulations promulgated under that section. A more detailed discussion of Sunoco s nonqualified deferred compensation arrangements is provided under Nonqualified Deferred Compensation in 2008 beginning on page 65.

45

♦ Change in Control:

Federal Tax Consequences: With regard to a change in control of the Company, Section 280G of the IRC disallows the deduction of certain payments made to disqualified individuals that are contingent on a change in control. A change in control is defined in Sunoco s plans as the occurrence of certain events, including the acquisition by a third party of 20% or more of Sunoco s outstanding voting securities, a change in the majority of the Board of Directors, consummation of a reorganization, merger, consolidation, sale of a substantial portion of corporate assets, or shareholder approval of a liquidation or dissolution of Sunoco, subject, in each case, to certain exceptions. Section 280G provides that where payments to a disqualified individual that are contingent on a change in control exceed three times the individual sannualized compensation for the previous five taxable years (the base amount), then the deduction of all such compensation contingent on a change in control is disallowed to the extent that it exceeds the base amount (excess parachute payment). Payments from the CIC Plan, SERP, Pension Restoration Plan, and LTPEP II may be made contingent on a change in control. Section 4999 of the IRC imposes a tax equal to 20% of the amount of any excess parachute payment on the recipient of the payment. Under the terms of the CIC Plan, the recipient of an excess parachute payment will receive an excise tax gross-up to offset the impact of the excise tax imposed pursuant to Section 4999 of the IRC, which will result in the receipt of the same after-tax payments as if the IRC Section 4999 tax did not apply. The CIC Plan provides that an individual will only be entitled to the excise tax gross up if parachute payments to the individual equal or exceed 110% of the safe harbor amount under Section 280G of the IRC. In the event that parachute payments to an individual do not exceed the threshold of 110% of the safe harbor, the CIC payments to the individual will be reduced to the safe harbor amount, so that no excise tax would be imposed. In addition, executives who joined and will join Sunoco after November 25, 2008 and who are eligible to participate in the CIC Plan, will not be entitled to the 280G excise tax gross-up provided under the Plan. Executives who were entitled to receive the 280G excise tax gross-up prior to November 25, 2008 were grandfathered and will continue to be entitled to the 280G excise tax gross-up.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Governance

The Compensation Committee, or the Committee, has responsibility for setting and administering the policies that govern Sunoco s executive compensation program. The Committee is composed of non-employee, independent directors. In addition to Committee processes that are discussed in the CD&A on pages 43 to 45, the Committee has the following additional processes in place.

The Committee annually reviews tally sheets that set forth the Company s total compensation obligations to the CEO and the senior executives under various scenarios, including voluntary termination, normal retirement, involuntary termination and involuntary termination due to a change-in-control of the Company. In connection with making the annual executive compensation awards, the Committee also reviews tally sheets setting forth each senior executive s total compensation, including the executive s realized compensation from the prior year, the targeted and projected compensation for the current year, and targeted compensation for the coming year. The Committee annually reviews the perquisites of the CEO and the other NEOs as well as their compliance with Sunoco s policies regarding perquisites. The Committee reviews and approves, in advance, employment, severance or similar arrangements or payments to be made to any senior executive. The Committee receives reports from the Company s Chief Governance Officer as to current governance trends and shareholder views and positions in the area of executive compensation, and may change its practices as it deems appropriate. There is a formal orientation program to help ensure that new Committee members understand the work of the Committee thoroughly and can contribute more fully.

The agendas for the Committee's meetings are determined by the Committee's Chair with the assistance of the Senior Vice President and Chief Human Resources Officer (the Committee's management liaison) and the Chief Governance Officer. The draft meeting materials are sent in advance to the Committee Chair, and a conference call is held with the Chair, the compensation consultants, the Senior Vice President and Chief Human Resources Officer and the Chief Governance Officer to review and provide comments on the draft agenda and materials. As a result, the agenda and materials are usually changed. The agenda and materials are mailed to the Committee members approximately one week before the meeting. After each regularly scheduled meeting, the Committee meets in two executive sessions. The first session consists only of Committee members and their independent compensation consultant. The second session consists of the Committee members only. The Committee Chair reports on Committee actions to the full Board of Directors at each regularly scheduled Board meeting. The Committee has authority to retain, approve fees and terminate advisors, consultants and agents as it deems necessary to assist in fulfillment of its responsibilities.

47

Named Executive Officers

Logistics Partners L.P., from October 2001 until October 2008.

LYNN L. ELSENHANS, 52

Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President, Sunoco. Inc., and Chairman of the Board of Directors, Sunoco Partners LLC

Ms. Elsenhans was elected Chairman of Sunoco, Inc. effective in January 2009 and had previously been elected Chief Executive Officer and President of Sunoco, Inc. effective in August 2008. She was Executive Vice President, Global Manufacturing, Shell Downstream, Inc., a subsidiary of Royal Dutch Shell plc, from January 2005 to August 2008. She was President of Shell Oil Company from June 2003 until March 2005, and President and Chief Executive Officer of Shell Oil Products U.S. from June 2003 until January 2005. Ms. Elsenhans was appointed Chairman of the Board of Sunoco Partners LLC, a subsidiary of Sunoco, Inc. and the general partner of Sunoco Logistics Partners L.P., in October 2008 and had previously been elected one of its directors effective in August 2008.

December 2008. He served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Sunoco, Inc. from May 2000 until August 2008. He had been President from December 1996 until August 2008, and a

director from December 1996 through December 2008. Mr. Drosdick was Chairman of the Board of Sunoco Partners LLC, a subsidiary of Sunoco, Inc. and the general partner of Sunoco

Mr. Delaney was elected to his present position in December 2008. He was Vice President,

Mr. Hofmann was Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer from January 2002 until

Investor Relations and Planning from January 2003 to December 2008.

Mr. Owens was elected to his present position in September 2001.

Mr. Drosdick was non-executive Chairman of the Board of Sunoco from August 2008 to

JOHN G. DROSDICK, 65

Retired Chairman,

Chief Executive

Officer and President,

Sunoco, Inc., and

Retired Chairman of the

Board of Directors,

Sunoco Partners LLC

TERENCE P. DELANEY, 53

Interim Chief Financial Officer

THOMAS W. HOFMANN, 57

Retired Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

December 2008.

ROBERT W. OWENS, 55

Senior Vice President, Marketing

MICHAEL J. THOMSON, 50

Senior Vice President, Sunoco, Inc. and President, SunCoke Energy, Inc.

Mr. Thomson was elected to his present position in May 2008. He was Vice President, Sunoco, Inc. and Executive Vice President, SunCoke Energy, Inc. from March 2007 to May 2008 and held

VINCENT J. KELLEY, 49

Senior Vice President, Refining and Supply

the additional position of Chief Operating Officer, SunCoke Energy, Inc. from January 2008 to May 2008. He was President, PSEG Fossil LLC from August 2003 to February 2007.

Mr. Kelley was elected to his present position in October 2008. He was Senior Vice President, Refining from February 2006 to October 2008 and Vice President, Northeast Refining from March 2001 to February 2006.

ROLF D. NAKU, 58

Mr. Naku was Senior Vice President, Human Resources and Public Affairs from January 2003 until December 2008.

Former Senior Vice President, Human Resources and Public Affairs

48

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Summary Compensation Table

The Summary Compensation Table summarizes the total compensation earned by the CEO, CFO, and the next three most highly compensated executives of Sunoco, as well as the retired CEO, retired CFO and one former executive (the NEOs) for 2008, and, for those NEOs previously in the table, for 2007 and 2006.

						Change in					
						Non-Equity	Pension Value				
						Incentive	And Nonqualified				
Name and				Stock	Option	Plan	Deferred	All Other			
Principal		Salary	Bonus	Awards ¹	Awards ²	Compen- sation ³	Compensation	Compen- sation ⁵	Total		
·		-					•				
Position	Year	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	Earnings ⁴ (\$)	(\$)	(\$)		
L. L. Elsenhans,											
Chairman, Chief	2008	515,077	-0-	333,332	62,943	794,007	62,081	173,2906	1,940,730		
Executive Officer	2006	515,077	-0-	000,002	02,040	794,007	02,001	173,2900	1,340,730		
and President											
J. G. Drosdick,	2008 2007	758,308 1,200,000	-0- -0-	(893,355) 6,433,935	-0- 3,564,766	1,169,836 1,826,496	899,324 ₉ 2,217,906 _{8,9}	608,091 ₇ 165,473 ₇	2,542,204 15,408,576		
Retired Chairman,		,,_00,,000	· ·	0, 100,000	0,00 1,1 00	1,020,100	_, , , , 0 0 00,0	100,1101	. 0, . 0 0, 0 . 0		
Chief Executive											
Officer and President	2006	1,140,000	-0-	6,484,720	4,920,751	1,840,781	8,414,3879	156,3717	22,957,010		
T. P. Delaney,											
Interim Chief Financial	2008	270,000	-0-	62,422	109,626	158,193	93,732	20,750	714,723		
Officer											
T. W. Hofmann,	2008 2007	523,962 525,000	-0- -0-	(238,261) 1,640,819	-0- 874,722	509,461 466,137	1,430,848 83,694 ₈	125,188 ₁₀ 48,574 ₁₀	2,351,198 3,638,946		
Retired Senior Vice	2006	500,000	-0-	1,504,700	1,197,951	470,960	1,576,119	54,576 ¹⁰	5,304,306		
President and Chief											

Financial Officer									
R. W. Owens,	2008 2007	498,000 482,000	-0- -0-	443,105 1,240,654	523,442 666,176	443,419 430,657	241,283 ₁₁ 0 ₁₁	31,659 31,918	2,180,908 2,851,405
Senior Vice President,									
Marketing	2006	465,750	-0-	900,991	579,512	438,699	884,958	34,549	3,304,459
M. J. Thomson,									
Senior Vice President,	2008	436,377	-0-	347,507	324,920	377,806	87,898	407,39012	1,981,898
Sunoco, Inc. and President,	2000	400,077	Ü	047,307	024,020	377,000	07,000	407,00012	1,501,050
SunCoke Energy, Inc.									
V. J. Kelley,									
Senior Vice President,	2008	483,846	-0-	290,676	335,805	433,107	88,931	30,525	1,662,890
Refining and Supply									
R. D. Naku,									
Former Senior Vice									
President, Human	2008	363,096	-0-	18,611	-0-	282,438	800,652	1,048,79813	2,513,595
Resources and									
Public Affairs									

NOTES TO TABLE:

The NEOs CSU awards in December 2008 are payable in stock; prior awards are generally payable in cash. In accordance with SFAS 123R, the awards payable in stock and cash are adjusted for projected performance factors, and awards payable generally cash are also marked to market until fully vested to reflect changes in the Company common stock prices. The amounts included in this column reflect the compensation costs associated with CSU awards recognized as expense in Sunoco s financial statements in accordance with SFAS 123R, including dividend equivalents related to their awards. The stock awards that are performance-based have a three-year performance period. The service/retention-based CSUs have vesting periods ranging from three to five years. No expense was recognized in 2008 for the December 2008 performance-based CSUs since the performance goals were not set for the January 1, 2009 December 31, 2011 performance period until January 2009. In addition, Messrs. Drosdick, Hofmann, Naku and Owens either retired or were retirement eligible, and were no longer employed by Sunoco at the time the awards were granted. Under SFAS 123R, the expense recognition for their December 2007 and 2006 awards, as applicable, has been fully recognized when they became retirement-eligible rather than over the three-year period. The expense for the CSU awards is based on the price of Sunoco s common stock at December 31, 2008 and 2007 and December 29, 2006, respectively. The following table summarizes the 2008, 2007 and 2006 expense amounts by grant date of the award:

2003-2008 CSU Awards 123R Expense

		2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	
		Awards	Awards	Awards	Awards	Awards	Awards	Total
Name	Year	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)(a)	(\$)
L. L. Elsenhans	2008						333,332	333,332
J. G. Drosdick	2008 2007 2006	1,972,360	3,755,144 1,967,529	182,701 249,597 188,247	(128,078) (967,518) 2,356,584	(947,978) 3,396,712		(893,355) 6,433,935 6,484,720
T. P. Delaney	2008			8,165	17,963	36,294		62,422
T. W. Hofmann	2008 2007 2006	373,202	951,840 498,722	39,168 53,506 40,356	(32,197) (243,224) 592,420	(245,232) 878,697		(238,261) 1,640,819 1,504,700
R. W. Owens	2008 2007 2006	364,983	897,246 470,117	36,884 50,387 38,002	53,708 187,017 27,889	352,513 106,004		443,105 1,240,654 900,991
M. J. Thomson	2008					316,832	30,675	347,507
V. J. Kelley	2008			12,391	57,910	220,375		290,676
R. D. Naku	2008			18,611				18,611

⁽a) The awards expense in this column is for retention-based awards made during 2008.

50

NOTES TO TABLE: (CONTINUED)

The amounts included in this column reflect the compensation costs associated with stock option awards recognized as expense in Sunoco s financial statements in accordance with SFAS 123R. Options granted prior to December 2008 were exercisable two years after the date of grant. The options awarded in December 2008 vest in one-third installments beginning on the first anniversary of the date of grant. In addition, Messrs. Drosdick, Hofmann, Naku and Owens either retired or were retirement eligible. Under SFAS 123R, the full grant date fair value of their December 2007 and 2006 awards was recognized when they became retirement-eligible rather than over a two-year vesting period. For awards granted in December 2008, there was no accelerated vesting for retirement-eligible employees. As noted in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 17, in the Sunoco, Inc. 2008, 2007 and 2006 Annual Reports on Form 10-K, the value used for recognition of expense for stock options was calculated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model based on weighted-average assumptions. The assumptions for the 2008, 2007 and 2006 awards are the following:

Expense Recognition Assumptions Stock Option Awards

	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Expected Life (years)	5	5	5	5	5
Risk Free Interest Rate	3.8%	4.5%	4.4%	3.5%	1.4%
Dividend Yield	1.5%	1.0%	1.5%	1.7%	3.4%
Expected Volatility	27.4%	27.7%	28.8%	29.0%	35.6%

The following table summarizes the 2008, 2007 and 2006 expense amounts by grant date of the award:

2004-2008 Stock Option Awards 123R Expense

		2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	
		Awards	Awards	Awards	Awards	Awards	Total
Name	Year	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
L. L. Elsenhans	2008					62,943	62,943
J. G. Drosdick	2008 2007 2006	1,184,966	1,189,210 1,297,320	2,438,465	2,375,556		3,564,766 4,920,751
T. P. Delaney	2008			53,872	52,983	2,771	109,626

Edgar Filing: SUNOCO INC - Form DEF 14A

	2008						
T. W. Hofmann	2007		260,792		613,930		874,722
	2006	301,263	284,500	612,188			1,197,951
	2008			144,476	371,442	7,524	523,442
R. W. Owens	2007		245,144	346,744	74,288		666,176
	2006	283,187	267,430	28,895			579,512
M. J. Thomson	2008				318,590	6,330	324,920
V. J. Kelley	2008			136,533	189,225	10,047	335,805
R. D. Naku	2008						

The amounts in this column are the annual incentive amounts. The performance factors for the NEOs ranged between 127.2% and 134.2%; for 2008, between 126.19% and 130.04% for 2007 and between 130.36% and 138.76% for 2006. The awards were paid in cash early in the year following the end of the performance period.

NOTES TO TABLE: (CONTINUED)

- The amounts in this column reflect the change in pension value. There were no above market deferred compensation earnings to be reported for 2006, 2007 or 2008.
- For the components of the amounts in this column, see the Tables on page 55.
- The amount includes the aggregate amount of \$137,454 for perquisites in 2008. The perquisites include \$5,478 representing incremental personal costs to Sunoco associated with use of the corporate aircraft, and \$131,976 for moving and relocation expenses in connection with Ms. Elsenhans relocation to Philadelphia, PA from Houston, TX (including temporary living and relocation-related travel expenses). The Board of Directors recommended that Ms. Elsenhans use the corporate aircraft for personal use for safety/security reasons. Sunoco currently has plans to divest its corporate aircraft.
- The amount shown for 2008 includes \$80,368 for perquisites in 2008 representing the incremental cost to Sunoco for personal use of the corporate aircraft. Also included is \$238,462 for unused vacation when Mr. Drosdick retired and \$237,097 in fees paid to him for serving as non-executive Chairman of the Board from August 8, 2008 to December 31, 2008. The amount shown for 2007 includes \$90,376 for perquisites in 2007 representing the incremental cost to Sunoco for personal use of the corporate aircraft. The amount shown for 2006 includes \$77,855 for perquisites in 2006. The perquisites include \$3,008 representing the cost to Sunoco for a company-provided leased car, which Mr. Drosdick returned in July 2006, and \$74,847 representing the incremental cost to Sunoco for personal use of the corporate aircraft. The Board of Directors recommended that Mr. Drosdick use the corporate aircraft for personal use for safety/security reasons.
- Beginning in 2008, the Pension Protection Act of 2006 changed the interest rate basis used to calculate the lump-sum distributions. The new basis is reflected in the change in pension value amounts in the table. The effect of the new basis was a slight increase in pension value for those assumed to retire in 2008 and a decrease for those assumed to retire after 2008.
- The calculation of Mr. Drosdick s enhanced SERP values in the Pension Benefits and Summary Compensation Tables apply the methodology and assumptions consistent with those used in the Company's financial statements. The amount for 2006 reflects Mr. Drosdick's enhanced SERP benefit, which vested after completion of ten years of service. When measuring the change in pension value for 2006, this benefit amount was assumed to accrue ratably during his first ten years of service at 10% per year. Mr. Drosdick became vested in this benefit in November 2006 having attained ten years of service with 100% of his expected retirement benefit taken into account in the present value at December 31, 2006. The change in pension value during 2006 was primarily comprised of a \$1,712,572 increase attributed to ratably accruing for the value of the enhanced benefit from 90% at year-end 2005 to 100% at year-end 2006 and a \$6,397,677 increase attributed to an increase in his consecutive three-year average earnings for compensation during 2006. The amounts were also influenced by changes in his three-year average earnings computation, retirement age, movement in interest rates and regulatory changes.
- The amount shown for 2008 includes \$81,115 for unused vacation paid upon Mr. Hofmann s retirement. The amount shown for 2007 includes \$14,740 for perquisites in 2007, of which \$10,900 related to financial counseling (discontinued in 2007, except for accrued balances), and \$3,840 for Company-provided parking at corporate headquarters. The amount shown for 2006 includes \$20,653 for perquisites in 2006, of which \$6,763 is related to allocation of corporate dues due to personal use of corporate club memberships (See narrative on p. 56), \$10,050 for financial counseling, and \$3,840 for Company-provided parking.
- The amount for 2008 is based on a recalculation of Mr. Owens pension benefit values at December 31, 2007 reflecting an assumed retirement age of 62 which resulted in a total present accumulated value at December 31, 2007 of \$3,459,222. For the amount for 2007, the proxy disclosure rules require the change in pension value to equal \$0 if the actual calculation of the change in pension value is less than \$0 (i.e., a decrease). The decrease in pension value for Mr. Owens was \$302,671.
- The amount shown includes \$342,310 for perquisites in 2008, of which \$342,065 is related to moving and relocation expenses in connection with his move to Knoxville, TN, including temporary living expenses, storage and closing costs.

13

The amount shown for 2008 includes \$15,141 for perquisites in 2008, of which \$10,000 related to the payment of Mr. Naku sunused financial counseling allowance balance, \$3,520 for Company-provided parking, \$297 for home security monitoring and \$1,324 for allocation of corporate dues due to personal use of a corporate club membership (see narrative on p. 56). Also included is \$948,000 of accrued severance and \$64,567 for unused vacation.

Salary: Salaries were generally approved by the Compensation Committee in December of the preceding year, except in connection with a promotion or new hire. The amounts reflected in this column for 2008 for Ms. Elsenhans, Messrs. Drosdick, Hofmann and Naku are the salaries earned in 2008 for the period that they were employed by Sunoco. Mr. Kelley s and Mr. Thomson s 2008 salaries reflect a promotion that each received during the year.

Bonus: The NEOs do not generally receive payments that would be characterized as Bonus payments. Sunoco s annual incentive award is performance-based. The annual incentive awards received by the NEOs for 2008, 2007 and 2006 are included under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation .

Stock Awards: The amounts reflected in this column are the amounts expensed pertaining to the NEOs in Sunoco s 2008, 2007 and 2006 financial statements in accordance with SFAS 123R. (See footnote 1 to the Summary Compensation Table above). The number of performance-based CSUs awarded to each NEO in 2008 is the target amount in the Grants of Plan-Based Awards in 2008 table below, under Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards. For the 2008 awards, payout will depend upon performance over the 2009-2011 performance

52

period based on total shareholder return during the three-year performance period relative to Sunoco speer group. (See page 38 of the CD&A for the specific performance payout levels.) Although the long-term incentive plan, as approved by shareholders, allows for a maximum payout of 200%, the Compensation Committee decided to cap the maximum payout of the 2006, 2007 and 2008 awards at 150%. The actual payout of these awards may range from 0% to 150% based on actual results. Maximum payout can only occur if Sunoco ranks first among the peer group for each performance measures for the 2006 and 2007 awards, and the single performance goal for the 2008 awards. At the end of the performance period, to the extent the performance-based CSUs are paid out, each holder of the CSUs receives an amount equal to the cash dividends that would have been paid to such holder over the performance period had he or she been the holder of shares of Sunoco common stock equal to the number of performance-based CSUs that are paid out. Performance-based CSU awards vest upon completion of the three-year performance period. Upon retirement, death or permanent disability, perf