

TigerLogic CORP
Form 10-Q
February 11, 2009
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark one)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the quarterly period ended December 31, 2008

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 0-16449

TIGERLOGIC CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State of Incorporation)

94-3046892
(I.R.S. Employer ID. No.)

25A Technology Drive, Irvine, California

92618

Edgar Filing: TigerLogic CORP - Form 10-Q

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

(949) 442-4400

(Zip Code)

(Issuer's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer
(Do not check if a smaller

Smaller reporting company

reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). YES NO

As of January 31, 2009, the Registrant had 26,697,851 shares of its common stock outstanding.

Table of Contents

TIGERLOGIC CORPORATION

INDEX

PART I.	<u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	3
ITEM 1.	<u>FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u>	3
	<u>UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS DECEMBER 31, 2008 AND MARCH 31, 2008</u>	3
	<u>UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS THREE AND NINE MONTHS</u>	
	<u>ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2008 AND 2007</u>	4
	<u>UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS NINE MONTHS ENDED</u>	
	<u>DECEMBER 31, 2008 AND 2007</u>	5
	<u>NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u>	6
ITEM 2.	<u>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u>	10
ITEM 4.	<u>CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u>	17
PART II.	<u>OTHER INFORMATION</u>	18
ITEM 1.	<u>LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u>	18
ITEM 1A.	<u>RISK FACTORS</u>	18
ITEM 6.	<u>EXHIBITS</u>	22

Table of Contents**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****TIGERLOGIC CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

	December 31, 2008	March 31, 2008
	(In thousands)	
ASSETS		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 12,128	\$ 14,065
Trade accounts receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$162 and \$271, respectively	1,238	1,845
Other current assets	404	439
Total current assets	13,770	16,349
Property, furniture and equipment-net	951	1,053
Goodwill	26,388	26,388
Deferred tax assets	406	460
Other assets	164	142
Total assets	\$ 41,679	\$ 44,392
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ 240	\$ 424
Accrued liabilities	1,951	2,659
Deferred revenue	4,572	5,257
Total current liabilities	6,763	8,340
Long-term debt-net of discount		977
Total liabilities	6,763	9,317
Commitments and contingencies		
Subsequent events		
Stockholders' equity		
Preferred stock		
Common stock	2,670	2,625
Additional paid-in-capital	129,350	126,610
Accumulated other comprehensive income	2,833	1,367
Accumulated deficit	(99,937)	(95,527)
Total stockholders' equity	34,916	35,075
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 41,679	\$ 44,392

See accompanying notes to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**TIGERLOGIC CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

(In thousands, except per share data)

	Three Months Ended December 31,		Nine Months Ended December 31,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Net revenues				
Licenses	\$ 1,519	\$ 1,992	\$ 4,486	\$ 5,953
Services	2,659	2,913	8,397	8,871
Total net revenues	4,178	4,905	12,883	14,824
Operating expenses				
Cost of license revenues	8	8	20	29
Cost of service revenues	383	444	1,267	1,383
Selling and marketing	1,252	1,601	4,576	4,278
Research and development	1,829	1,861	6,004	5,780
General and administrative	1,086	1,115	3,873	3,486
Total operating expenses	4,558	5,029	15,740	14,956
Operating loss	(380)	(124)	(2,857)	(132)
Other income (expense)				
Interest income (expense)-net	32	124	138	(282)
Other income (expense)-net	(1,066)	(108)	(1,676)	275
Total other income (expense)	(1,034)	16	(1,538)	(7)
Loss before income taxes	(1,414)	(108)	(4,395)	(139)
Income tax provision	36	109	15	186
Net loss	\$ (1,450)	\$ (217)	\$ (4,410)	\$ (325)
Basic and diluted net loss per share	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.17)	\$ (0.01)
Shares used in computing basic and diluted net loss per share	26,697	25,909	26,562	22,785

See accompanying notes to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**TIGERLOGIC CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

	Nine Months Ended December 31, 2008 2007 (In thousands)	
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss	\$ (4,410)	\$ (325)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization of long-lived assets	321	203
Provision for bad debt	8	111
Note discount amortization		90
Stock-based compensation expense	1,138	888
Income tax expense	15	186
Foreign currency exchange (gain) loss	1,681	(226)
Change in assets and liabilities:		
Trade accounts receivable	417	(348)
Other current and non-current assets	16	(50)
Accounts payable	(141)	172
Accrued liabilities	(444)	233
Deferred revenue	(454)	(59)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	(1,853)	875
Cash flows used in investing activities-purchase of property, furniture and equipment	(570)	(118)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	585	478
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	86	52
Repayment of debt		(1)
Net cash provided by financing activities	671	529
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	(185)	114
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(1,937)	1,400
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	14,065	11,654
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 12,128	\$ 13,054
Non-cash financing activities:		
Accrued interest added to debt	\$	\$ 576
Conversion of debt to common stock	\$ 977	\$ 23,898

See accompanying notes to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**TIGERLOGIC CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****DECEMBER 31, 2008****1. INTERIM FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial information furnished herein reflects all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring items, which in the opinion of management are necessary to fairly state TigerLogic Corporation and its subsidiaries (collectively, the Company or we, us or our) results of operations and cash flows for the dates and periods presented and to make such information not misleading. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America have been omitted pursuant to rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC); nevertheless, management of the Company believes that the disclosures herein are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company's audited financial statements for the year ended March 31, 2008, contained in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB filed with the SEC on June 24, 2008. The results of operations for the three and nine month periods ended December 31, 2008, are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for any other interim period or the fiscal year ending March 31, 2009.

2. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Cost Reduction Initiatives. In early January 2009, the Company implemented a reduction-in-force to reduce costs due to the further deterioration of global economic conditions. The Company expects the reduction-in-force to reduce costs by approximately \$350,000 on a quarterly basis. The Company expects to incur one-time cash charges of approximately \$120,000 in severance pay expenses and related cash expenses in connection with the workforce reduction. Total charges are expected to include these cash costs and may also include charges or credits related to stock-based compensation expense.

3. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

The Company has a stock option plan that provides for the granting of stock options, restricted stock and restricted stock units to directors, employees and consultants. The Company also has an employee stock purchase plan which allows the employees to purchase the Company's common stock at a discount.

Total stock-based compensation expense included in the unaudited condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three and nine months ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, were as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended December 31,		Nine Months Ended December 31,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Cost of revenue	\$ 13	\$ 2	\$ 33	\$ 4
Operating expense:				
Selling and marketing	58	140	399	373
Research and development	125	94	379	236
General and administrative	95	96	327	275
Total stock-based compensation expense	291	332	1,138	888
Income tax benefit				
Net stock-based compensation expense	\$ 291	\$ 332	\$ 1,138	\$ 888

As of December 31, 2008, there was approximately \$2.08 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested share-based compensation arrangements granted under the plans. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.3 years.

4. RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In May 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) No. APB 14-1 (*APB14-1*), *Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May be Settled in Cash upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement)*. APB 14-1 specifies that issuers of such instruments should separately account for the liability and equity components in a manner that will reflect the entity's nonconvertible debt borrowing rate when interest cost is recognized in subsequent periods. APB 14-1 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and interim periods within those fiscal years, and must be applied retrospectively to all periods presented. Management of the Company is evaluating the impact of this statement on the Company's consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

Table of Contents

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), *Business Combinations* (SFAS No. 141(R)). SFAS No. 141(R) requires an acquirer to recognize the assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, and any non-controlling interest in the acquiree at the acquisition date, measured at their fair values as of that date. SFAS No. 141(R) also establishes disclosure requirements to enable the evaluation of the nature and financial effects of the business combination. SFAS No. 141(R) is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. Management of the Company cannot determine at this time what effect the adoption of SFAS No. 141(R) will have on its future consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (SFAS No. 157). This statement defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. It also applies under other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurement as a relevant attribute. SFAS No. 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and all interim periods within that fiscal year. For nonfinancial assets and liabilities that are recognized or disclosed at fair value on a nonrecurring basis, there is a one year deferral of the effective date. The implementation of SFAS No. 157 for financial assets and financial liabilities, effective December 31, 2007, did not have a material impact on the Company's interim unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements. Under Level 1 measurement, our cash equivalents, consisting of investments in money market mutual funds, were \$8.4 million at December 31, 2008 with no gain or loss (realized or unrealized) for the three and nine months ended December 31, 2008. Level 1 inputs are defined as quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date. Management of the Company is evaluating the impact of SFAS No. 157 for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities on the Company's consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

5. DEBT*Long-Term Debt*

Long-term debt of the Company as of March 31, 2008 consisted of (in thousands):

	March 31, 2008
Subordinated convertible notes payable	\$ 965
Plus accrued interest	12
Total notes payable	\$ 977

Astoria Capital Partners, L.P. (Astoria), GFAM Hedge Partnership (GFAM), and CAM Small Cap Fund L.P. (CAM) were each holders of a 5% Convertible Subordinated Note Due in May 2008 (each, a Convertible Subordinated Note). Astoria is a major stockholder of our company, holding a majority of our outstanding common stock. The interest rate of the Convertible Subordinated Notes was 5% per annum, payable quarterly, which had been refinanced through increases to the outstanding principal of the Convertible Subordinated Notes. The Convertible Subordinated Notes had a maturity date of May 30, 2008. The Convertible Subordinated Notes were convertible into common stock, at the option of the holder, at a price of \$5.00 per share, at any time through May 29, 2008.

On October 4, 2007, the Company received a conversion notice from Astoria and on October 5, 2007, the Company received a conversion notice from GFAM, each electing to convert its Convertible Subordinated Note in full. As a result, \$22,917,000 of outstanding principal owed to Astoria under its Convertible Subordinated Note was converted into 4,583,400 shares of the Company's common stock, and \$981,000 of outstanding principal owed to GFAM under its Convertible Subordinated Note was converted into 196,200 shares of the Company's common stock. Per the terms of the Convertible Subordinated Notes, the Company made a cash payment to Astoria and GFAM of approximately \$13,181 and \$1,264, respectively, for amounts outstanding under the Convertible Subordinated Notes that were not converted into shares of common stock. Following the conversion of such Convertible Subordinated Notes and the cash payment to Astoria and GFAM, the Convertible Subordinated Notes held by Astoria and GFAM were cancelled.

On April 10, 2008, the Company received a conversion notice from CAM electing to convert its Convertible Subordinated Note in full. As a result, \$977,000 of outstanding principal owed to CAM under its Convertible Subordinated Note was converted into 195,400 shares of the Company's common stock. Per the terms of the Convertible Subordinated Note, the Company made a cash payment to CAM of approximately \$1,531 for the amount outstanding under the Convertible Subordinated Note that was not converted into shares of common stock. Following the conversion of such Convertible Subordinated Note and the cash payment to CAM, the Convertible Subordinated Note held by CAM was

Edgar Filing: TigerLogic CORP - Form 10-Q

cancelled and there are no Convertible Subordinated Notes outstanding subsequent to April 10, 2008.

Table of Contents**6. STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY**

Basic loss per share is computed using the net loss and the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted loss per share is computed using the net loss and the weighted average number of common shares and dilutive potential common shares outstanding during the period when the potential common shares are not anti-dilutive. Potential dilutive common shares include outstanding stock options and convertible debt.

Weighted outstanding options to purchase 1,217,610 shares and 2,998,323 shares of the Company's common stock have been excluded from the computation of diluted net loss per share for the three month and nine month periods ended December 31, 2008, respectively. The effects of these items were not included in the computation of diluted loss per share because such effects would have been anti-dilutive.

Weighted outstanding options to purchase 3,724,403 shares of the Company's common stock, and outstanding convertible debt instruments, which were convertible into 192,787 shares, have been excluded from the computation of diluted net income per share for the three months ended December 31, 2007. Weighted outstanding options to purchase 2,332,542 shares of the Company's common stock were excluded from diluted net loss per share calculation for the nine months ended December 31, 2007. Outstanding convertible debt instruments, which were convertible into 192,787 shares of the Company's common stock was excluded from the computation of diluted net loss per share for the nine months ended December 31, 2007. The effects of these items were not included in the computation of diluted earnings (loss) per share because such effects would have been anti-dilutive.

The change in accumulated other comprehensive income during the three and nine month periods ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, is the result of the effect of foreign exchange rate changes. The following table reconciles net loss as reported with total comprehensive loss (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended December 31,		Nine Months Ended December 31,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Net loss reported	\$ (1,450)	\$ (217)	\$ (4,410)	\$ (325)
Translation adjustments net	991	99	1,466	(208)
Total comprehensive loss	\$ (459)	\$ (118)	\$ (2,944)	\$ (533)

7. BUSINESS SEGMENT

The Company operates in one reportable segment. International operations consist primarily of foreign sales offices selling software developed in the United States of America combined with local maintenance revenue. The following table summarizes consolidated financial information of the Company's operations by geographic location (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended December 31,		Nine Months Ended December 31,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Net revenue				
North America	\$ 2,784	\$ 3,194	\$ 8,611	\$ 9,886
Europe/Africa	1,394	1,711	4,272	4,938
Total	\$ 4,178	\$ 4,905	\$ 12,883	\$ 14,824

	December 31, 2008	March 31, 2008
Long-lived assets		

Edgar Filing: TigerLogic CORP - Form 10-Q

North America	\$ 27,429	\$ 27,420
Europe/Africa	480	623
Total	\$ 27,909	\$ 28,043

Table of Contents

The Company operates in one reportable segment and is engaged in the design, development, sale, and support of four software product lines: 1) ChunkIt!, 2) XML Data Management Servers (XDMS), 3) Multidimensional Database Management Systems (MDMS), and 4) Rapid Application Development (RAD) software tools. The ChunkIt! product was released for general availability in October 2008. To date, the Company has not recognized any revenue from the ChunkIt! product and XDMS revenue recognized has not been significant. The following table represents the net revenue from the Company's segment by its databases (XDMS and MDMS) and RAD product lines (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended December 31,		Nine Months Ended December 31,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Databases	\$ 2,965	\$ 3,530	\$ 9,357	\$ 10,930
RAD Tools	1,213	1,375	3,526	3,894
Total	\$ 4,178	\$ 4,905	\$ 12,883	\$ 14,824

8. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Company is subject from time to time to litigation, claims and suits arising in the ordinary course of business. As of December 31, 2008 the Company was a party to the following legal proceedings:

1. *Raining Data v. Intrametrix*. On or about January 19, 2007, the Company filed a complaint in Orange County Superior Court that sets forth allegations that Intrametrix threatens to and has misappropriated the Company's trade secrets. The Company's allegations in the Complaint include that Intrametrix has obtained the Company's source code in breach of a Distribution Agreement between the Parties as well as in violation of California's laws prohibiting the misappropriation of trade secrets. The Company seeks injunctive relief from Intrametrix, including, but not limited to, compelling Intrametrix to fully comply with all of the termination provisions set forth in the Distribution Agreement; and enjoining Intrametrix's former employees from using or disclosing the Company's trade secrets, from soliciting the Company's current or potential customers for 3 years, and from soliciting or employing certain of the Company's former employees for 3 years. Intrametrix filed a motion to quash the Complaint, which the Company opposed, but on the morning of the hearing, Intrametrix first notified the Company that it filed Chapter 11 bankruptcy, effectively staying the litigation in Orange County Superior Court. In March of 2008, the Company commenced discovery in the Bankruptcy matter. In April 2008, the Bankruptcy matter was converted to Chapter 7. The Company is pursuing further discovery and potential litigation in the Bankruptcy court in Houston, TX.

2. *Raining Data v. Soheil Raissi (formerly the Company's Vice President, Product Development and Professional Services), Mario Barrenechea (formerly the Company's Senior Vice President, Worldwide Sales and Marketing, Pick and Omnis Products), and Adevet*. On or about December 8, 2006, the Company filed a complaint in Orange County Superior Court that sets forth allegations that the defendants threaten to and have misappropriated the Company's trade secrets. The Company's allegations in the complaint include that the individual defendants have used or disclosed the Company's trade secrets (in the form of source code and licenses and other trade secret information) in violation of their agreements with the Company to maintain the confidentiality of such trade secret information, and in violation of California laws prohibiting the misappropriation of trade secrets. The Company seeks injunctive relief from the defendants, including, but not limited to, compelling the defendants to immediately return all of the Company's trade secrets and property; enjoining the defendants and their employees and agents from using or disclosing the Company's trade secrets, including the Company's source code; ordering the defendants to disclose all gains and profits they have derived from the misappropriation of the Company's trade secrets; enjoining the defendants from directly or indirectly supplying, selling or promoting any product that incorporates the Company's trade secrets. On June 27, 2008, the Court granted the Company's Motion to File a Second Amended Complaint, adding claims for Breach of the Duty of Loyalty and for Unjust Enrichment against the Defendants. The Company will continue to vigorously pursue its rights in this litigation.

3. *Cross-Complaint by Soheil Raissi, Mario Barrenechea and Adevet v. Raining Data*. On or about January 10, 2007, the defendants in the case above filed a cross-complaint, alleging several claims against the Company and Carlton H. Baab, the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer, unfair business practices, violation of civil rights, intentional interference with prospective economic advantage, negligent interference with prospective economic advantage, malicious prosecution, abuse of process, negligence, civil conspiracy, and injunctive relief. Cross-complainants allege general, compensatory, special, and punitive and exemplary damages in amounts according to proof, and seek to enjoin the Company from engaging in improper and unlawful conduct. The Company and Carlton H. Baab asserted that all of the claims in the Cross-Complaint are baseless, and filed a special motion to strike the entire Cross-Complaint. On June 27, 2008, the Court granted the special motion to strike, and struck the entire Cross-Complaint. The Court subsequently granted the Company's motion for its attorney fees incurred in bringing its motion to strike, awarding the Company in excess of \$112,000 in fees. Subsequent to December 31, 2008, the Defendants/Cross-Complainants paid the full attorney fee award plus accumulated, statutory interest. The Cross-Complainants have filed

appeals of the Court orders

Table of Contents

granting the Motion to Strike and the Motion for Attorney fees. The Company will continue to vigorously pursue its rights in this litigation and in these appeals. Management believes that the ultimate disposition of this matter will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements, results of operations, cash flows or liquidity.

Indemnification

The Company's standard customer license and software agreements contain indemnification and warranty provisions which are generally consistent with practice in the Company's industry. The duration of the Company's service warranties generally does not exceed 30 days following completion of its services. The Company has not incurred significant obligations under customer indemnification or warranty provisions historically and does not expect to incur significant obligations in the future. Accordingly, the Company does not maintain accruals for potential customer indemnification or warranty-related obligations. The maximum potential amount of future payments that the Company could be required to make is generally limited under the indemnification provisions in its customer license and service agreements.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This quarterly report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act). These statements may generally be identified by the use of such words as expect, anticipate, believe, intend, plan, will, or shall, or the negative of those terms. We have based these forward-looking statements on our current expectations and projections about future events. Forward-looking statements involve certain risks and uncertainties and actual results may differ materially from those discussed in any such statement. Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from such forward-looking statements include the risks described under the heading Risk Factors in Item 2 of this Form 10-Q, elsewhere in this Form 10-Q, and in our other SEC filings, including our annual report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended March 31, 2008 filed on June 24, 2008. The forward-looking statements contained in this Form 10-Q include, but are not limited to statements about the following: (1) our future success, (2) our research and development efforts, (3) our future operating results and cash flow, (4) our ability to compete, (5) the markets in which we operate, (6) our revenue, (7) cost of license revenue and cost of service revenue, (8) our selling and marketing costs, (9) our backlog, (10) our research and development expenses, (11) the effect of critical accounting policies, (12) our belief that our existing cash balances will be sufficient to meet our operating and capital expenditure requirements for the remainder of the fiscal year ending March 31, 2009 and through the foreseeable future, (13) our focus on the continued development and enhancement of the TigerLogic product line, and identification of new and emerging technology areas and discussions with channel partners for the sale and distribution of the TigerLogic product line and (14) the effect of recent changes in tax laws on our financial statements. All forward-looking statements in this document are made as of the date hereof, based on information available to us as of the date hereof, and we assume no obligation to update any forward-looking statement.

Overview

We were incorporated in the State of Delaware in August 1987. We were originally incorporated as Blyth Holdings, Inc. and our name was changed to Omnis Technology Corporation in September 1997. Effective December 1, 2000, we completed the acquisition of PickAx, Inc., a Delaware corporation (PickAx). Concurrent with the acquisition, we changed our name to Raining Data Corporation. On April 17, 2008, we changed our name to TigerLogic Corporation.

Products

Our principal business is the design, development, sale and support of software infrastructure. Our products allow customers to create and enhance flexible software applications for their own needs. Our software may be categorized into the following product lines: ChunkIt!, XDMS, MDMS and RAD software tools. All of our revenue to date has been derived from XDMS, MDMS and RAD software products.

Many of our products are based on the proprietary Pick Universal Data Model (Pick UDM) and are capable of handling data from many sources. The Pick UDM is a core component across the XDMS and MDMS product lines.

Beginning in 2001, we began an extensive effort to leverage our Pick UDM and core intellectual property to create the TigerLogic technology product line, which includes an internet browser-based search application called ChunkIt! designed to enhance the search experience and productivity of any Web user, and an enterprise class XML Database Management Server for the emerging XML market to address the growing need for managing and querying native XML data and the ability to handle structured and unstructured data. We are focused on the continued development and enhancement of this product line, identification of new and emerging technology areas and discussions with channel partners for the sale and distribution of the TigerLogic product line.

Table of Contents

In October 2008, we released ChunkIt!, an internet browser-based search tool, for general availability. This application is designed to enhance the search experience and productivity of any Web user. As an application that sits inside a Web browser, its function is to mine embedded hyperlinks that are commonly found on any Web page. ChunkIt! extracts the original content related to the user's keyword search terms from behind the links and brings the content to the surface for easy review. The content is presented in the form of easily digested chunks of information. To facilitate the review, each keyword in the chunk is highlighted with a unique color.

This capability is useful not only for extracting search engine results, but for viewing any Web page that contains hundreds, if not thousands of embedded hyperlinks. With a single click, ChunkIt! delivers users the benefit of being able to quickly determine and preview the links that contain information pertinent to their searches. ChunkIt! can save users the time of having to click through a multitude of irrelevant links, and from having to manage multiple windows and tabs.

Users can download ChunkIt! for free at www.getchunkit.com. To date, we have not recognized any revenue from the ChunkIt! product.

Competition

The application development tools software market is rapidly changing and intensely competitive. Our MDMS products compete with products developed by companies such as Oracle, Microsoft and IBM. Our RAD products currently encounter competition from several direct competitors, including Microsoft, and competing development environments, including JAVA. Competition is developing and evolving in the XML market for which our XDMS products are intended. Companies that do or are expected to compete in this market include Oracle, IBM, Microsoft and Sybase, as well as a number of smaller companies with products that directly and indirectly compete with our XDMS products. Our ChunkIt! search application will encounter competition from several direct competitors including Google, Yahoo, Microsoft, AOL and Ask, as well as a number of smaller companies with products that directly and indirectly compete with our ChunkIt! product. Most of our competitors have significantly more financial, technical, marketing and other resources than we do. As a result, these competitors may be able to respond more quickly to new or emerging technologies, evolving markets and changes in customer requirements, and may devote greater resources to the development, promotion and sale of their products.

We believe that our ability to compete in the various product markets depends on factors both within and outside our control, including the timing of release, performance and price of new products developed by both us and our competitors. Although we believe that we currently compete favorably with respect to most of these factors, we may not be able to maintain our competitive position against current and potential competitors, especially those with greater resources.

We continue to focus on preparing the company for growth in new market opportunities, such as the ChunkIt! and XDMS product lines, while also continuing to meet the needs of our loyal customer base by investing in the development of new updates and releases for our existing Pick and Omnis product lines. While the current adverse worldwide economic conditions have resulted in lower revenue, we believe that our relatively stable services revenue and lower expenditures will continue to result in sufficient working capital balances to fund growth initiatives aimed at increasing stockholder value.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based on our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses and disclosure of contingent liabilities.

On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to revenue recognition and accounting for goodwill. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

We have identified the accounting policies below as the policies critical to our business operations and the understanding of our results of operations. We believe the following critical accounting policies and the related judgments and estimates affect the preparation of our consolidated financial statements:

REVENUE RECOGNITION. We recognize revenue using the residual method pursuant to the requirements of Statement of Position No. 97-2, Software Revenue Recognition (SOP 97-2), as amended. Under the residual method, revenue is recognized in a multiple element arrangement when company-specific objective evidence of fair value exists for all of the undelivered elements in the arrangement, but does not exist for one or more of the delivered elements in the arrangement. At the outset of the arrangement with the customer, we defer revenue for the fair value of

Edgar Filing: TigerLogic CORP - Form 10-Q

our undelivered elements (e.g., maintenance) based on company-specific objective evidence of the amount such items are sold individually to our customers and recognize revenue for the remainder of the arrangement fee attributable to the elements initially delivered in the arrangement (e.g., software license) when the basic criteria in SOP 97-2 have been met.

Table of Contents

Under SOP 97-2, revenue attributable to an element in a customer arrangement is recognized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists and delivery has occurred, provided the fee is fixed or determinable, collectibility is probable and the arrangement does not require significant customization of the software. If, at the outset of the customer arrangement, we determine that the arrangement fee is not fixed or determinable, we defer the revenue and recognize the revenue when the arrangement fee becomes due and payable. Service revenue relates primarily to consulting services, maintenance and training. Maintenance revenue is initially deferred and then recognized ratably over the term of the maintenance contract, typically 12 months. Consulting and training revenue is recognized as the services are performed and is usually calculated on a time and materials basis. Such services primarily consist of implementation services related to the installation of our products and do not include significant customization to or development of the underlying software code. We do not have price protection programs, conditional acceptance agreements, and sales of our products are made without right of return. For contracts that require significant modification or customization to the software in accordance with customers' specifications, we recognize revenue using the completed-contract method pursuant to the requirements of Statement of Position No. 81-1, *Accounting for Performance of Construction-Type and Certain Production-Type Contracts*. Under this method, revenue and expenses are deferred until customer acceptance of the finished product occurs.

GOODWILL. We assess the impairment of goodwill whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable and at least annually. Factors we consider to be important which could trigger an impairment review include the following:

Significant underperformance relative to expected historical or projected future operating results;

Timing of our revenue, significant changes in the manner of use of the acquired assets or the strategy for the overall business;

Significant negative industry or economic trends;

Significant decline in our stock price for a sustained period; and

Our market capitalization relative to net book value.

In accordance with SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, we do not amortize goodwill, but test for goodwill impairment following a two-step process. The first step is used to identify potential impairment by comparing the fair value of a reporting unit with its net book value (or carrying amount), including goodwill. If the fair value exceeds the carrying amount, goodwill of the reporting unit is considered not impaired and the second step of the impairment test is unnecessary. If the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds its fair value, the second step of the goodwill impairment test is performed to measure the amount of impairment loss, if any. The second step of the goodwill impairment test compares the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill with the carrying amount of that goodwill. If the carrying amount of the reporting unit's goodwill exceeds the implied fair value of that goodwill, an impairment loss is recognized in an amount equal to that excess. The implied fair value of goodwill is determined in the same manner as the amount of goodwill recognized in a business combination. That is, the fair value of the reporting unit is allocated to all of the assets and liabilities of that unit (including any unrecognized intangible assets) as if the reporting unit had been acquired in a business combination and the fair value of the reporting unit was the purchase price paid to acquire the reporting unit. Currently, we have one reporting unit for goodwill impairment testing.

Determining the fair value of a reporting unit under the first step of the goodwill impairment test and determining the fair value of individual assets and liabilities of a reporting unit (including unrecognized intangible assets) under the second step of the goodwill impairment test is judgmental in nature and often involves the use of significant estimates and assumptions. These estimates and assumptions could have a significant impact on whether or not an impairment charge is recognized and the magnitude of any such charge. Estimates of enterprise fair value are currently based on our stock price as reported by Nasdaq given our single reporting unit structure. Due to the current adverse global economic conditions, we continue to monitor the fair value of our reporting unit to identify any potential goodwill impairment.

EMPLOYEE STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION. We account for stock-based compensation in accordance with SFAS No. 123(R), *Share-Based Payment*. Under the fair value recognition provisions of this statement, share-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date based on the value of the award and is recognized as expense over the requisite service period. We estimate the fair value of stock-based awards using a Black-Scholes valuation model. Determining the fair value of share-based awards at the grant date requires judgment, including estimating volatility, expected terms, and forfeitures. If actual results differ significantly from these estimates, stock-based compensation

Edgar Filing: TigerLogic CORP - Form 10-Q

expense and our results of operations could be materially impacted. See footnote 3 in the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations**

The following table sets forth certain unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations data in total dollars, as a percentage of total net revenues and as a percentage change from the same period in the prior year. Cost of license revenues and cost of service revenues are expressed as a percentage of the related revenues. This information should be read in conjunction with the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this Form 10-Q.

	Three Months Ended December 31, 2008			Three Months Ended December 31, 2007		Nine Months Ended December 31, 2008			Nine Months Ended December 31, 2007	
	Results (In thousands)	% of Net Revenues	Percent Change	Results (In thousands)	% of Net Revenues	Results (In thousands)	% of Net Revenues	Percent Change	Results (In thousands)	% of Net Revenues
Net revenues										
Licenses	\$ 1,519	36%	(24%)	\$ 1,992	41%	\$ 4,486	35%	(25%)	\$ 5,953	40%
Services	2,659	64%	(9%)	2,913	59%	8,397	65%	(5%)	8,871	60%
Total net revenues	4,178	100%	(15%)	4,905	100%	12,883	100%	(13%)	14,824	100%
Operating expenses										
Cost of revenues:										
Cost of license revenues (as a % of license revenues)	8	1%	0%	8	0%	20	0%	(31%)	29	0%
Cost of service revenues (as a % of service revenues)	383	14%	(14%)	444	15%	1,267	15%	(8%)	1,383	16%
Selling and marketing	1,252	30%	(22%)	1,601	33%	4,576	36%	7%	4,278	29%
Research and development	1,829	44%	(2%)	1,861	38%	6,004	47%	4%	5,780	39%
General and administrative	1,086	26%	(3%)	1,115	23%	3,873	30%	11%	3,486	24%
Total operating expenses	4,558	109%	(9%)	5,029	103%	15,740	122%	5%	14,956	101%
Operating loss	(380)	(9%)	206%	(124)	(3%)	(2,857)	(22%)	2064%	(132)	(1%)
Other income (expense)-net	(1,034)	(25%)	(6563%)	16	0%	(1,538)	(12%)	21871%	(7)	0%
Loss before income taxes	(1,414)	(34%)	1209%	(108)	(2%)	(4,395)	(34%)	3062%	(139)	(1%)
Income tax provision	36	1%	(67%)	109	2%	15	0%	(92%)	186	1%
Net loss	\$ (1,450)	(35%)	568%	\$ (217)	(4%)	\$ (4,410)	(34%)	1257%	\$ (325)	(2%)

REVENUE

NET REVENUE. Our revenue is derived principally from two sources: fees from software licensing and fees for post contract technical support. We license our software primarily on a per-CPU, per-server, per-port or per-user basis. Therefore, the addition of CPUs, servers, ports or users to existing systems increases our revenue from our installed base of licenses. The timing of orders and customer ordering patterns have resulted in fluctuations in license revenue between quarters and year-to-year. Total revenue decreased \$0.7 million or 15% and \$1.9 million or 13% for the three and nine month periods ended December 31, 2008, as compared to the same periods in the prior year, primarily due to lower orders of licenses and non-renewal of support services from our existing customer base as a result of the current adverse global economic conditions. We anticipate that revenue will continue to be adversely affected until global economic conditions improve.

We have been actively developing and marketing our TigerLogic product line. Should our development efforts and the adoption of these product lines be successful, we anticipate additional revenues in future periods related to these products. However, we can give no assurances as to customer acceptance of any new products or services, or the ability of the current or any new products and services to generate revenue. While we are committed to research and development efforts that are intended to allow us to penetrate new markets and generate new sources of revenue, such efforts may not result in additional products, services or revenue.

OPERATING EXPENSES

COST OF LICENSE REVENUE. Cost of license revenue is comprised of direct costs associated with software license sales including software packaging, documentation, physical media costs and royalties. Cost of license revenue for the three month period ended December 31, 2008 remained consistent with the three month period ended December 31, 2007. The slight decrease in absolute dollars for cost of license revenue for the nine month period ended December 31, 2008, as compared to the same period in the prior year, was due to decreased royalties.

COST OF SERVICE REVENUE. Cost of service revenue includes primarily personnel costs relating to providing consulting, technical support and training services. The slight decrease in cost of service revenue for the three and nine month periods ended December 31, 2008, when compared to the same periods in the prior year, was due to reduced headcount.

Table of Contents

SELLING AND MARKETING. Selling and marketing expense consists primarily of salaries, benefits, advertising, tradeshow, travel and overhead costs for our sales and marketing personnel. Selling and marketing expense for the three month period ended December 31, 2008 decreased \$0.3 million or 22% when compared to the same period in the prior year. This decrease was due to lower marketing expense this year as compared to prior year. Selling and marketing expense for the nine month period ended December 31, 2008 increased \$0.3 million or 7% when compared to the same period in the prior year. This increase was due to additional personnel and increased marketing expense relating to the introduction of our ChunkIt! product. We anticipate that selling and marketing costs related to the TigerLogic product line may increase as we further develop the sales channel for these products and if customer acceptance of these products increases. In addition, if our continued research and development efforts are successful, including with respect to our TigerLogic product line, and new products or services are created, we may incur increased sales and marketing expense to promote those new products in future periods.

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT. Research and development expense consists primarily of salaries and other personnel-related expenses and overhead costs for engineering personnel including employees in the US and the UK and contractors in the US. Research and development expense for the three month period ended December 31, 2008 remained consistent with the three month period ended December 31, 2007. Research and development expense for the nine month period ended December 31, 2008 increased \$0.2 million or 4% when compared to the same period in the prior year mainly due to higher consulting expense related to our ChunkIt! product and higher stock compensation expense due to additional options granted this year, offset by reduced headcount. We are committed to our research and development efforts and expect research and development expense will remain at the current level in future periods or increase if we believe that additional spending is warranted. Such efforts may not result in additional new products and any new products, including the TigerLogic product line, may not generate sufficient revenue, if any, to offset the research and development expense.

GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE. General and administrative expense consists primarily of costs associated with our finance, human resources, legal and other administrative functions. These costs consist principally of salaries and other personnel-related expenses, professional fees, depreciation and overhead costs. General and administrative expense for the three month period ended December 31, 2008 remained consistent with the three month period ended December 31, 2007. General and administrative expense for the nine month period ended December 31, 2008 increased \$0.4 million or 11% over the same period in the prior year. This increase in general and administrative expense was mainly due to costs incurred in legal services related to pending litigation matters and patents and trademarks registration for our ChunkIt! product, and higher stock expense due to additional options granted this year.

OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE). Other income (expense) consists primarily of net interest expense and gains and losses on foreign currency transactions. The change in other income (expense) during the three and nine month periods ended December 31, as compared to the same periods in the prior year, was mainly due to: 1) foreign exchange losses resulting from a significant intercompany balance outstanding denominated in British Pound, which has been deteriorating significantly against the U.S. Dollar in the current year; and 2) lower interest income due to the lower interest rates offered by the Company's conservative money market fund investments in Government-issued securities. Due to the uncertainty in exchange rates, we may experience transaction gains or losses in future periods, the effect of which cannot be predicted at this time.

PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES. Our effective tax rate was (2.5%) and (0.3%) for the three and nine month periods ended December 31, 2008, respectively, as compared to (100.9%) and (133.8%) for the three and nine month periods ended December 31, 2007, respectively. The provision for income taxes reflects tax on earnings and dividends from foreign subsidiaries, tax benefits related to certain state income tax filings, and the refundable research and development credit. Due to uncertainties surrounding the timing of realizing the benefits of the net operating loss carryforwards in the future, we carry a full valuation allowance against net deferred tax assets in domestic and foreign jurisdictions, except France. As a result of our analysis of all available evidence, both positive and negative as of the end of the fourth quarter of the fiscal year ended March 31, 2008, including sustained historical profitability in France, improved financial condition at the parent-level, and completion of a world-wide transfer pricing study, it was considered more likely than not that a full valuation allowance for deferred tax assets in France was not required, resulting in the release of the valuation allowance previously recorded against our net French deferred tax assets of \$460,000.

In July 2008, the Housing Assistance Act of 2008 introduced a refundable research and development credit refund that could be claimed in lieu of special bonus depreciation as enacted by the Economic Stimulus Act of 2008. Based on our analysis for the nine months ended December 31, 2008, we expect the adoption of the Housing Assistance Act of 2008 to result in a cash refund of approximately \$25,000. This amount has been included in the provision for income taxes for the nine month period ended December 31, 2008.

On October 3, 2008, as part of the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008, the U.S. federal research and development credit was retroactively extended to include qualifying expenditures paid or incurred from January 1, 2008 through December 31, 2009. As a result of this new legislation, we expect to generate U.S. federal research and development tax credits for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2009 resulting in additional tax credit carryovers. However, we do not expect this credit to have an impact on our financial statements for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2009 as we expect to maintain full valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets as of March 31, 2009.

Table of Contents**LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**

In connection with the acquisition of PickAx, we assumed a Secured Promissory Note issued to Astoria dated November 30, 2000, in the amount of \$18.5 million. In January 2003, we entered into a Note Exchange Agreement (the Exchange Agreement) with Astoria to replace the existing Secured Promissory Note, as amended, with a Convertible Subordinated Note. Under the terms of the Exchange Agreement, the Secured Promissory Note was exchanged and replaced with a Convertible Subordinated Note having a principal amount of \$22.1 million, which principal amount was equal to the outstanding principal and accrued interest payable on the Secured Promissory Note as of the date of the Exchange Agreement. In October 2005, Astoria assigned a portion of its common stock holdings totaling 870,536 shares and a portion of the Subordinated Convertible Note, totaling \$1,751,832, to two of its limited partners, GFAM and CAM. As such, we issued an Amended and Restated Convertible Subordinated Note to Astoria for \$20,749,581 and Convertible Subordinated Notes directly to GFAM and CAM for \$862,979 and \$888,853, respectively. The Convertible Subordinated Notes were convertible into common stock at any time, at the option of the holder, at a price of \$5.00 per share. The Convertible Subordinated Notes matured on May 30, 2008, extending the May 30, 2003 maturity date of the Secured Promissory Note.

The interest rate of the Convertible Subordinated Notes was 5% per annum as compared to an interest rate of 10% per annum under the Secured Promissory Note. The interest was payable quarterly at our option in cash or through increases to the outstanding principal of the Convertible Subordinated Notes.

On December 14, 2004, we entered into an Agreement Regarding Amended and Restated Common Stock Purchase Warrant and 5% Convertible Subordinated Note Due 2008 with Astoria whereby we could redeem, in part, the Convertible Subordinated Note in advance of January 30, 2005. On December 14, 2004, Astoria exercised its warrant in the amount of \$2,670,904. In lieu of a cash payment, we used the proceeds of the exercise to pay down a portion of the indebtedness to Astoria. The pay down consisted of \$247,129 for accrued and unpaid interest, and \$2,423,775 as a reduction of principal of the Convertible Subordinated Note.

On October 4, 2007, the Company received a conversion notice from Astoria and on October 5, 2007, the Company received a conversion notice from GFAM, each electing to convert its Convertible Subordinated Note in full. As a result, \$22,917,000 of outstanding principal owed to Astoria under its Convertible Subordinated Note was converted into 4,583,400 shares of the Company's common stock, and \$981,000 of outstanding principal owed to GFAM under its Convertible Subordinated Note was converted into 196,200 shares of the Company's common stock. Per the terms of the Convertible Subordinated Notes, the Company made a cash payment to Astoria and GFAM of approximately \$13,181 and \$1,264, respectively, for amounts outstanding under the Convertible Subordinated Notes that were not converted into shares of common stock. Following the conversion of such Convertible Subordinated Notes and the cash payment to Astoria and GFAM, the Convertible Subordinated Notes held by Astoria and GFAM were cancelled. After the conversion by Astoria and GFAM, only CAM's Convertible Subordinated Note remained outstanding.

On April 10, 2008, the Company received a conversion notice from CAM on April 10, 2008 electing to convert its Convertible Subordinated Note in full. As a result, \$977,000 of outstanding principal owed to CAM under its Convertible Subordinated Note was converted into 195,400 shares of the Company's common stock. Per the terms of the Convertible Subordinated Note, the Company made a cash payment to CAM of approximately \$1,531 for the amount outstanding under the Convertible Subordinated Note that was not converted into shares of common stock. Following the conversion of such Convertible Subordinated Note and the cash payment to CAM, the Convertible Subordinated Note held by CAM was cancelled and there are no Convertible Subordinated Notes outstanding subsequent to April 10, 2008.

Astoria is a major stockholder of ours, holding a majority of our outstanding common stock. Richard W. Koe, Chairman of our Board of Directors, serves as the Managing General Partner for Astoria. Carlton H. Baab, our President and Chief Executive Officer and a member of the Board of Directors, served as a Managing Principal of ACM, which is a general partner of Astoria, until taking a formal leave of absence to join us in August 2001. Gerald F. Chew, a member of our Board of Directors, is the cousin of Mr. Koe.

As of December 31, 2008, we had \$12.1 million in cash and cash equivalents. We believe that our existing cash balances will be sufficient to meet our operating and capital expenditure requirements for the remainder of the fiscal year ending March 31, 2009 and through the foreseeable future. We are committed to research and development and marketing efforts that are intended to allow us to penetrate new markets and generate new sources of revenue and improve operating results. However, our research and development and marketing efforts have required, and will continue to require, cash outlays without the immediate or short-term receipt of related revenue. Our ability to meet our expenditure requirements is dependent upon our future financial performance, which will be affected by, among other things, prevailing economic conditions, our ability to penetrate new markets and attract new customers, market acceptance of our new and existing products and services, the success of research and development efforts and other factors beyond our control.

On November 9, 2004, we entered into a lease agreement with The Irvine Company whereby we leased one building in Irvine, California, comprising approximately 29,000 square feet, to replace our then headquarters facility. The lease commenced in November 2005 and has a

Edgar Filing: TigerLogic CORP - Form 10-Q

five-year term. If certain conditions are met, we have the option to extend the term of the lease for an additional thirty-six months. The total basic rent over the five-year term is approximately \$2.6 million, which represents a lower per

Table of Contents

square foot cost than our prior property lease. The annual basic rent during the five-year term ranges from approximately \$475,000 during the first year to approximately \$545,000 during the fifth year. The rent expense is being recognized on a straight line basis over the lease term.

We had no material commitments for capital expenditures as of December 31, 2008.

Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities was (\$1.9) million and \$0.9 million for the nine month periods ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The increase in net cash used in operating activities for the nine month period ended December 31, 2008 as compared to the same period in prior year was primarily due to lower revenue coupled with higher operating expenses mainly related to the introduction of our ChunkIt! product. Net cash used in investing activities was \$0.6 million and \$0.1 million for the nine month periods ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The increase in cash used in investing activities was due to higher expenditures related to equipment purchased for the introduction of our ChunkIt! product. Net cash provided by financing activities was \$0.7 million and \$0.5 million for the nine month periods ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Net cash provided by financing activities was primarily due to proceeds derived from the exercise of stock options and corresponding issuance of common stock.

NON-GAAP FINANCIAL INFORMATION

EBITDA should not be construed as a substitute for net loss or as a better measure of liquidity than cash flow from operating activities, which are determined in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). EBITDA excludes components that are significant in understanding and assessing our results of operations and cash flows. EBITDA does not represent funds available for management's discretionary use and is not intended to represent cash flow from operations. In addition, EBITDA is not a term defined by GAAP and as a result our measure of EBITDA might not be comparable to similarly titled measures used by other companies.

However, EBITDA is used by management to evaluate, assess and benchmark our operational results and we believe that EBITDA is relevant and useful information, which is often reported and widely used by analysts, investors and other interested parties in our industry. Accordingly, we are disclosing this information to permit a more comprehensive analysis of our operating performance, to provide an additional measure of performance and liquidity and to provide additional information with respect to our ability to meet future debt service, capital expenditure and working capital requirements.

Our EBITDA was breakeven for the three month period ended December 31, 2008, and negative \$1.4 million, or negative 11% of total net revenue for the nine month period ended December 31, 2008. Our EBITDA was \$0.3 million, or 6% of total net revenue for the three month period ended December 31, 2007, and \$1.0 million or 6% of total net revenue for the nine month period ended December 31, 2007. The decrease in EBITDA for the three and nine month periods ended December 31, 2008, compared to the same periods in the prior year was a result of lower revenue and increased operating expenses relating to the introduction of our ChunkIt! product.

EBITDA is defined as net income (loss) with an add-back for depreciation and amortization, non-cash stock-based compensation expense, interest income (expense)-net, other income (expense)-net, and income taxes. The following table reconciles EBITDA to the GAAP reported net loss:

RECONCILIATION OF EBITDA TO NET LOSS

(In thousands)

	For the Three Months Ended December 31,		For the Nine Months Ended December 31,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Reported net loss	\$ (1,450)	\$ (217)	\$ (4,410)	\$ (325)
Depreciation and amortization	104	71	321	203
Stock-based compensation	291	332	1,138	888
Interest (income) expense-net	(32)	(124)	(138)	282
Other (income) expense-net	1,066	108	1,676	(275)
Income tax provision	36	109	15	186
EBITDA	\$ 15	\$ 279	\$ (1,398)	\$ 959

Table of Contents

Our EBITDA financial information can also be reconciled to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities as follows:

RECONCILIATION OF EBITDA TO NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN)**OPERATING ACTIVITIES**

(In thousands)

	For the Nine Months Ended December 31,	
	2008	2007
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ (1,853)	\$ 875
Interest (income) expense-net	(138)	282
Other (income) expense-net	1,676	(275)
Change in trade accounts receivable	(417)	348
Change in other current and non-current assets	(16)	50
Change in accounts payable	141	(172)
Change in accrued liabilities	444	(233)
Change in deferred revenue	454	59
Foreign currency exchange gain (loss)	(1,681)	226
Note payable discount amortization		(90)
Provision for bad debt	(8)	(111)
 EBITDA	 \$ (1,398)	 \$ 959

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

Our principal executive officer and principal financial officer evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report on Form 10-Q. Based on such evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) are effective, as of the end of the period covered by this report, to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Management necessarily applied its judgment in assessing the benefits of controls relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues, if any, within our company have been detected.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during our last fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents**PART II. OTHER INFORMATION****ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

1. *Raining Data v. Intrametrix*. On or about January 19, 2007, the Company filed a complaint in Orange County Superior Court that sets forth allegations that Intrametrix threatens to and has misappropriated the Company's trade secrets. The Company's allegations in the Complaint include that Intrametrix has obtained the Company's source code in breach of a Distribution Agreement between the Parties as well as in violation of California's laws prohibiting the misappropriation of trade secrets. The Company seeks injunctive relief from Intrametrix, including, but not limited to, compelling Intrametrix to fully comply with all of the termination provisions set forth in the Distribution Agreement; and enjoining Intrametrix's former employees from using or disclosing the Company's trade secrets, from soliciting the Company's current or potential customers for 3 years, and from soliciting or employing certain of the Company's former employees for 3 years. Intrametrix filed a motion to quash the Complaint, which the Company opposed, but on the morning of the hearing, Intrametrix first notified the Company that it filed Chapter 11 bankruptcy, effectively staying the litigation in Orange County Superior Court. In March of 2008, the Company commenced discovery in the Bankruptcy matter. In April 2008, the Bankruptcy matter was converted to Chapter 7. The Company is pursuing further discovery and potential litigation in the Bankruptcy court in Houston, TX.

2. *Raining Data v. Soheil Raissi (formerly the Company's Vice President, Product Development and Professional Services), Mario Barrenechea (formerly the Company's Senior Vice President, Worldwide Sales and Marketing, Pick and Omnis Products), and Adeynet*. On or about December 8, 2006, the Company filed a complaint in Orange County Superior Court that sets forth allegations that the defendants threaten to and have misappropriated the Company's trade secrets. The Company's allegations in the complaint include that the individual defendants have used or disclosed the Company's trade secrets (in the form of source code and licenses and other trade secret information) in violation of their agreements with the Company to maintain the confidentiality of such trade secret information, and in violation of California laws prohibiting the misappropriation of trade secrets. The Company seeks injunctive relief from the defendants, including, but not limited to, compelling the defendants to immediately return all of the Company's trade secrets and property; enjoining the defendants and their employees and agents from using or disclosing the Company's trade secrets, including the Company's source code; ordering the defendants to disclose all gains and profits they have derived from the misappropriation of the Company's trade secrets; enjoining the defendants from directly or indirectly supplying, selling or promoting any product that incorporates the Company's trade secrets. On June 27, 2008, the Court granted the Company's Motion to File a Second Amended Complaint, adding claims for Breach of the Duty of Loyalty and for Unjust Enrichment against the Defendants. The Company will continue to vigorously pursue its rights in this litigation.

3. *Cross-Complaint by Soheil Raissi, Mario Barrenechea and Adeynet v. Raining Data*. On or about January 10, 2007, the defendants in the case above filed a cross-complaint, alleging several claims against the Company and Carlton H. Baab, the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer, unfair business practices, violation of civil rights, intentional interference with prospective economic advantage, negligent interference with prospective economic advantage, malicious prosecution, abuse of process, negligence, civil conspiracy, and injunctive relief. Cross-complainants allege general, compensatory, special, and punitive and exemplary damages in amounts according to proof, and seek to enjoin the Company from engaging in improper and unlawful conduct. The Company and Carlton H. Baab asserted that all of the claims in the Cross-Complaint are baseless, and filed a special motion to strike the entire Cross-Complaint. On June 27, 2008, the Court granted the special motion to strike, and struck the entire Cross-Complaint. The Court subsequently granted the Company's motion for its attorney fees incurred in bringing its motion to strike, awarding the Company in excess of \$112,000 in fees. Subsequent to December 31, 2008, the Defendants/Cross-Complainants paid the full attorney fee award plus accumulated, statutory interest. The Cross-Complainants have filed appeals of the Court orders granting the Motion to Strike and the Motion for Attorney fees. The Company will continue to vigorously pursue its rights in this litigation and in these appeals. Management believes that the ultimate disposition of this matter will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements, results of operations, cash flows or liquidity.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

We operate in a rapidly changing environment that involves numerous risks and uncertainties. A description of the risks and uncertainties associated with our business is set forth below. This description includes any material changes to and supersedes the description of the risks and uncertainties associated with our business previously disclosed in Part II, Item 6 of our Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2008. You should carefully consider such risks and uncertainties, together with the other information contained in this report, our Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2008 and in our other public filings. If any of such risks and uncertainties actually occurs, our business, financial condition or operating results could differ materially from the plans, projections and other forward-looking statements included in the section titled "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and elsewhere in this report and in our other public filings. In addition, if any of the following risks and uncertainties, or if any other risks and uncertainties, actually occurs, our business, financial condition or operating results could be harmed substantially, which could

Edgar Filing: TigerLogic CORP - Form 10-Q

cause the market price of our stock to decline, perhaps significantly. The following section lists some, but not all, of these risks and uncertainties that may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operation.

Table of Contents

IF WE DO NOT DEVELOP NEW PRODUCTS AND ENHANCE EXISTING PRODUCTS TO KEEP PACE WITH RAPIDLY CHANGING TECHNOLOGY AND INDUSTRY STANDARDS, OUR REVENUE MAY DECLINE.

We have devoted significant resources to the research and development of products and technologies. We believe that our future success will depend in large part on a strong research and development effort with respect to both our existing and new products. Beginning in 2001, we began an extensive effort to leverage our time-proven Pick UDM and core intellectual property to create the TigerLogic product line, which includes an internet browser-based search application called ChunkIt! and an enterprise class XML database management server for the emerging XML market. While we intend for these efforts to improve our future operating results and increase cash flow, such new products may not be successful or generate significant revenue. The development of new or enhanced software products is a complex and uncertain process requiring high levels of innovation, as well as accurate anticipation of customer and technical trends. In developing new products and services, we may fail to develop and market products that respond to technological changes or evolving industry standards in a timely or cost-effective manner, or experience difficulties that could delay or prevent the successful development, introduction and marketing of these new products. The development and introduction of new or enhanced products also requires us to manage the transition from older products in order to minimize disruptions in customer ordering patterns and to ensure that adequate supplies of new products can be delivered to meet customer demand. Failure to develop and introduce new products, or enhancements to existing products, in a timely manner in response to changing market conditions or customer requirements, or lack of customer acceptance of our products, will materially and adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

OUR FAILURE TO COMPETE EFFECTIVELY MAY HAVE AN ADVERSE IMPACT ON OUR OPERATING RESULTS.

The market for our products is highly competitive, diverse and subject to rapid change. Our products and services compete on the basis of the following key characteristics: performance; inter-operability; scalability; functionality; reliability; pricing; post sale customer support; quality; compliance with industry standards; and overall total cost of ownership.

The application development tools software market is rapidly changing and intensely competitive. Our MDMS products compete with products developed by companies such as Oracle, Microsoft and IBM. Our RAD products currently encounter competition from several direct competitors, including Microsoft, and competing development environments, including JAVA. Competition is developing and evolving in the XML market for which our XDMS products are intended. Companies that do or are expected to compete in this market include Oracle, IBM, Microsoft and Sybase, as well as a number of smaller companies with products that directly and indirectly compete with our XDMS products. Our ChunkIt! search application will encounter competition from several direct competitors including Google, Yahoo, Microsoft, AOL and Ask, as well as a number of smaller companies with products that directly and indirectly compete with our ChunkIt! product. Additionally, as we expand our business, we expect to compete with a different group of companies, including smaller, highly focused companies offering single products.

Most of our competitors have significantly more financial, technical, marketing and other resources than we do. As a result, these competitors may be able to respond more quickly to new or emerging technologies, evolving markets and changes in customer requirements and may devote greater resources to the development, promotion and sale of their products. While we currently believe that our products and services compete favorably in the marketplace, our products and services could fall behind marketplace demands at any time. If we fail to address the competitive challenges, our business would suffer materially.

BECAUSE THE MARKET FOR OUR MDMS AND RAD PRODUCTS MAY BE DECLINING, OUR REVENUE MAY DECLINE IF WE CANNOT MAINTAIN OUR SALES TO EXISTING CUSTOMERS OR GENERATE SALES TO NEW CUSTOMERS.

We believe that the markets for our MDMS and RAD products may be declining as customers adopt other technologies. As a result, to maintain or grow our revenue in these markets, we will need to maintain or grow our sales to existing customers and to generate sales to new customers, including corporate development teams, commercial application developers, system integrators, independent software vendors and independent consultants. If we fail to attract new customers, if we lose our customers to competitors, or if the MDMS or RAD markets decline, our revenue may be adversely affected. In the longer term, it is expected that the MDMS and RAD markets will eventually decline as customers adopt newer technologies.

ADVERSE ECONOMIC CONDITIONS COULD HARM OUR BUSINESS.

Our operations and performance depend significantly on worldwide economic conditions, which have recently deteriorated significantly in many countries and regions, including without limitation the United States and Western Europe from which we derive a majority of our revenue. Unfavorable changes in economic conditions, including recession, rising inflation, diminished credit availability, declining valuation of investments or other changes in economic conditions may result in lower information technology spending and may adversely affect our

Edgar Filing: TigerLogic CORP - Form 10-Q

revenue. Adverse economic conditions also may impair the ability of our customers to pay for products and services they have purchased. As a result, reserves for doubtful accounts and write-offs of accounts receivable may increase. These and other economic factors could have a material adverse effect on demand for our products and services and on our financial results.

Table of Contents

OUR PRODUCTS HAVE A LONG SALES CYCLE WHICH COULD RESULT IN DELAYS IN THE RECEIPT OF REVENUE.

The sales cycle for our MDMS and RAD products typically ranges from three to nine months or longer and the sales cycle for our XDMS products is anticipated to be significantly longer since these markets are emerging and the products are still in the process of being adopted by the marketplace. The adoption cycle for our ChunkIt! product is anticipated to be long since the search market currently has much larger direct competitors such as Google, Yahoo, Microsoft, AOL and Ask. Our products are typically used by application developers, system integrators and value added resellers to develop applications that are critical to their corporate end user's business. Because our products are often part of an end user's larger business process, re-engineering initiative, or implementation of client/server or web-based computing, the end users frequently view the purchase of our products as part of a long-term strategic decision regarding the management of their workforce-related operations and expenditures. Thus, this sometimes results in end users taking a significant period of time to assess alternative solutions by competitors or to defer a purchase decision as a result of an unrelated strategic issue beyond our control. As a result, a significant period of time may elapse between our research and development efforts and recognition of revenue, if any.

THE CONCENTRATION OF OUR STOCK OWNERSHIP GIVES CERTAIN STOCKHOLDERS SIGNIFICANT CONTROL OVER OUR BUSINESS.

As of December 31, 2008, Astoria beneficially owned approximately 56% of our outstanding common stock. Richard W. Koe, Chairman of the Board of Directors, serves as the Managing General Partner for ACM, which is a general partner of Astoria. Carlton H. Baab, our President, Chief Executive Officer, and Director, served as a Managing Principal of ACM until taking a formal leave of absence to join us in August 2001. This concentration of stock ownership allows Astoria, acting alone, to block any actions that require approval of our stockholders, including the election of members to our Board of Directors and the approval of significant corporate transactions. Moreover, this concentration of ownership may delay or prevent a change in control.

WE MAY EXPERIENCE QUARTERLY FLUCTUATIONS IN OPERATING RESULTS, WHICH MAY RESULT IN VOLATILITY OF OUR STOCK PRICE.

We expect to continue to spend substantial amounts of money in the area of research and development, sales and marketing and operations in order to promote new product development and introduction. Because the expenses associated with these activities are relatively fixed in the short-term, we may be unable to timely adjust spending to offset any unexpected shortfall in revenue growth or any decrease in revenue levels. Operating results may also fluctuate due to factors such as:

the size and timing of customer orders;

changes in pricing policies by us or our competitors;

our ability to develop, introduce, and market new and enhanced versions of our products;

the number, timing, and significance of product enhancements and new product announcements by our competitors;

the demand for our products;

non-renewal of customer support agreements;

software defects and other product quality problems; and

personnel changes.

We operate without a significant backlog of orders. As a result, the quarterly sales and operating results in any given quarter are dependent, in large part, upon the volume and timing of orders booked and products shipped during that quarter. Accordingly, we may be unable to adjust spending in a timely manner to compensate for any unanticipated decrease in orders, sales or shipments. Therefore, any decline in demand for our products and services, in relation to the forecast for any given quarter, could materially and negatively impact the results of our operations. As a result, our quarterly operating results may fluctuate, which may cause our stock price to be volatile. In addition, we believe that period-to-period comparisons of our operating results should not be relied upon as indications of future performance.

THE SUCCESS OF OUR BUSINESS DEPENDS IN PART UPON OUR ABILITY TO RECRUIT AND RETAIN KEY PERSONNEL AND MANAGEMENT.

The majority of our executive officers joined us subsequent to the acquisition of PickAx, including our President, Chief Executive Officer, and Director, Carlton H. Baab, who joined us in August 2001. The loss of one or more of our executives could adversely affect our business. In addition, we believe that our future success will depend to a significant extent on our ability to recruit, hire and retain highly skilled management and employees with experience in engineering, product management, business development, sales, marketing and customer service. Competition for such personnel in the software industry can be intense, and there

Table of Contents

can be no assurance that we will be successful in attracting and retaining such personnel. If we are unable to do so, we may experience inadequate levels of staffing to develop and license our products and perform services for our customers, which could adversely affect our business.

THE INABILITY TO PROTECT OUR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY COULD HARM OUR ABILITY TO COMPETE.

Our ability to compete successfully will depend, in part, on our ability to protect our proprietary technology and operations without infringing upon the rights of others. We may fail to do so. In addition, the laws of certain countries in which our products are, or may be, licensed may not protect our proprietary rights to the same extent as the laws of the United States. We rely primarily on a combination of trade secret, copyright and trademark laws and contractual provisions to protect our intellectual property and proprietary rights. Our trademarks include TigerLogic, ChunkIt!, Pick, D3, Omnis, Omnis Studio, mvEnterprise, mvBase, and mvDesigner, among others. We also have twelve pending U.S. patent application as of December 31, 2008. In addition to trademark and copyright protections, we license our products to end users on a right to use basis pursuant to a perpetual license agreement that restricts use of products to a specified number of users.

We generally rely on click-wrap licenses that become effective when a customer downloads and installs software on its system. In order to retain exclusive ownership rights to our software and technology, we generally provide our software in object code only, with contractual restrictions on copying, disclosure and transferability. There can be no assurance that these protections will be adequate, or that our competitors will not independently develop technologies that are substantially equivalent or superior to our technology.

As further described in Part II, Item 1 of this Form 10-Q, we have filed complaints in Orange County Superior Court that sets forth allegations that certain parties threaten to and have misappropriated the Company's trade secrets, including the possible release of certain source code.

OUR PRODUCTS MAY CONTAIN SOFTWARE DEFECTS WHICH COULD HARM OUR BUSINESS.

Our enterprise applications software and internet browser-based search application may contain undetected errors or failures. This includes our XDMS and ChunkIt! products, which are at higher risk given these products are in the earliest stages of the product life cycle. This may result in loss of, or delay in, customer acceptance of our products and could harm our reputation and our business. Undetected errors or failures in computer software programs are not uncommon. While we make every effort to thoroughly test our software, in the event that we experience significant software errors, we could experience delays in release, customer dissatisfaction and lost revenue. Any of these errors or defects could harm our business.

IF ASTORIA OR OTHER SECURITIES HOLDERS REQUEST REGISTRATION OF THEIR RESTRICTED SECURITIES, OR THESE SECURITIES HOLDERS SELL A SUBSTANTIAL AMOUNT OF RESTRICTED SECURITIES IN THE OPEN MARKET, OUR STOCK PRICE MAY DECLINE.

As of December 31, 2008, we had 26,697,501 outstanding shares of common stock, of which approximately 15 million shares were restricted securities held by Astoria and other holders. Restricted securities may be sold in the public market only if they are registered or if they qualify for an exemption from registration promulgated under the Securities Act. At present, all of our outstanding restricted securities may be registered or are eligible for public sale under Rule 144, subject to volume limitations and other requirements of Rule 144.

Sales of a substantial number of shares of common stock by Astoria or other securities holders in the public market, or the perception that those sales may occur, could cause the market price of our common stock to decline. In addition, if we register shares of our common stock in connection with a public offering of securities, we may be required to include shares of restricted securities in the registration, which may have an adverse effect on our ability to raise capital.

OUR GLOBAL OPERATIONS EXPOSE US TO ADDITIONAL RISKS AND CHALLENGES ASSOCIATED WITH CONDUCTING BUSINESS INTERNATIONALLY.

We operate on a global basis with offices or distributors in Europe, Africa, Asia, Latin America, South America, Australia and North America and development efforts in North America and Europe. Approximately 33% of our revenue for the nine month period ended December 31, 2008 was generated from our international offices. We face several risks inherent in conducting business internationally, including but not limited to the following:

Edgar Filing: TigerLogic CORP - Form 10-Q

fluctuations in interest rates or currency exchange rates;

language and cultural differences;

local and governmental requirements;

difficulties and costs of staffing and managing international operations;

differences in intellectual property protections;

difficulties in collecting accounts receivable and longer collection periods;

Table of Contents

seasonal business activities in certain parts of the world; and

trade policies.

Any of these factors could harm our international operations and, consequently, affect the international growth or maintenance of our business. These factors or any combination of these factors may adversely affect our revenue or our overall financial performance.

THE FAILURE OF OUR PRODUCTS TO CONTINUE TO CONFORM TO INDUSTRY STANDARDS MAY HARM OUR OPERATING RESULTS.

A key factor in our future success will continue to be the ability of our products to operate and perform well with existing and future leading, industry-standard enterprise software applications intended to be used in connection with our MDMS, RAD, and TigerLogic products. Inter-operability may require third party licenses, which may not be available to us on favorable terms or at all. Failure to meet existing or future inter-operability and performance requirements of industry standard applications in a timely manner could adversely affect our business. Uncertainties relating to the timing and nature of new product announcements or introductions or modifications of third party software applications could delay our product development, increase our product development expense or cause customers to delay evaluation, purchase, and deployment of our products.

THIRD PARTIES COULD ASSERT THAT OUR SOFTWARE PRODUCTS AND SERVICES INFRINGE ON THEIR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS, WHICH COULD RESULT IN COSTLY LITIGATION, CAUSE PRODUCT SHIPMENT DELAYS, PROHIBIT PRODUCT LICENSING OR REQUIRE US TO ENTER INTO ROYALTY OR LICENSING AGREEMENTS.

There has been a substantial amount of litigation in the software industry regarding intellectual property rights. Third parties may claim that our current or potential future products and services infringe upon their intellectual property. We expect that software product developers and providers of software applications will increasingly be subject to infringement claims as the number of products and competitors in our industry segment grow and the functionality of products in different industry segments overlap. Any claims, with or without merit, could be time consuming, result in costly litigation, cause product shipment delays, prohibit product licensing or require us to enter into royalty or licensing agreements. Royalty or licensing agreements, if required, may not be available on terms acceptable to us or at all, which could seriously harm our business.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibits:

- 10.1(1) Amended Change of Control and Severance Agreement by and between the Company and Carlton H. Baab
- 10.2(1) Amendment to Offer Letter by and between the Company and Thomas G. Lim
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer.
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer.
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

(1) Incorporated by reference to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 19, 2008.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: February 11, 2009

TIGERLOGIC CORPORATION

/s/ Thomas Lim

Thomas Lim

Chief Financial Officer and Duly Authorized Officer

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

10.1(1)	Amended Change of Control and Severance Agreement by and between the Company and Carlton H. Baab
10.2(1)	Amendment to Offer Letter by and between the Company and Thomas G. Lim
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

(1) Incorporated by reference to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 19, 2008.