JORGENSEN EARLE M CO /DE/ Form S-1/A April 14, 2005 Table of Contents

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 14, 2005

Registration No. 333-119434

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

AMENDMENT NO. 3 TO FORM S-1 REGISTRATION STATEMENT

Under

THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

EARLE M. JORGENSEN COMPANY

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

5051 (Primary Standard Industrial Classification Code No.) 95-0886610 (I.R.S. Employer

Identification No.)

10650 Alameda Street, Lynwood, California 90262, (323) 567-1122

(Address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of registrant s principal executive offices)

WILLIAM S. JOHNSON

Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary

 $10650\ Alameda\ Street, Lynwood, California\ 90262, (323)\ 567-1122$

(Name, address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of agent for service)

	·
	Copies to:
Mark A. Conley, Esq.	Mark C. Smith, Esq.
Adam R. Klein, Esq.	Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP
Katten Muchin Zavis Rosenman	4 Times Square
2029 Century Park East, Suite 2600	New York, New York 10036
Los Angeles, California 90067	(212) 735-3000
(310) 788-4400	
	;
Approximate date of commencement of proposed sale to Statement.	the public: As soon as practicable after the effective date of this Registration
If any of the securities being registered on this form are to be Act of 1933, check the following box: ".	e offered on a delayed or continuous basis pursuant to Rule 415 under the Securities
If this Form is filed to register additional securities for an off list the Securities Act registration statement number of earlie	fering pursuant to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act, check the following box and er effective registration statement for the same offering: ".
If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to l Act registration statement number of the earlier effective reg	Rule 462(c) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities distration statement for the same offering: ".
If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to l Act registration statement number of the earlier effective reg	Rule 462(d) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities istration statement for the same offering: ".
If delivery of the prospectus is expected to be made pursuant	t to Rule 434, please check the following box: ".
The Registrant hereby amends this Registration Statemen	nt on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective date until the

Table of Contents 2

Registrant shall file a further amendment which specifically states that this Registration Statement shall thereafter become effective in accordance with Section 8(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 or until the Registration Statement shall become effective on such date as the

Commission, acting pursuant to Section 8(a), may determine.

The information in this preliminary prospectus is not complete and may be changed. We may not sell these securities until the registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission is effective. This prospectus is not an offer to sell these securities and it is not soliciting an offer to buy these securities in any state where the offer or sale is not permitted.

Subject to completion. Dated April 14, 2005

20,000,000 Shares

Earle M. Jorgensen Company

Common Stock

This is an initial public offering of shares of common stock of Earle M. Jorgensen Company. All of the stock are being sold by the company.

shares of common

Prior to this offering, there has been no public market for our common stock. It is currently estimated that the initial public offering price per share will be between \$11.00 and \$13.00. Our common stock has been approved for listing on The New York Stock Exchange under the symbol JOR.

To the extent that the underwriters sell more than 20,000,000 shares of common stock, the underwriters have the option to purchase up to an additional 3,000,000 shares from us at the initial public offering price less the underwriting discount.

Investing in our common stock involves risks. See Risk Factors on page 12.

Proceeds to
Underwriting Earle M.
Price to Discounts and Jorgensen
Public Commissions Company

Per Share \$ \$ \$ \$ Total

The underwriters expect to deliver the shares against payment in New York, New York on , 2005.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of these securities or determined if this prospectus is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

Credit Suisse First Boston

Goldman, Sachs & Co.

Citigroup

ABN AMRO Rothschild LLC

William Blair & Company

CIBC World Markets

The date of this prospectus is , 2005.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
	
Prospectus Summary	
Risk Factors	13
CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS	23
Use of Proceeds	2:
DIVIDEND POLICY	24
Capitalization	2:
SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL AND OTHER DATA	20
MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSIONAND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	29
Business	4′
MANAGEMENT	58
	Page
CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS	69
Principal Stockholders	73
Description of Capital Stock	75
Shares Eligible for Future Sale	78
U.S. Federal Tax Considerations for Non-U.S. Holders	80
Underwriting	83
Notice to Canadian Residents	87
LEGAL MATTERS	88
Experts	88
WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION	88
Index to Financial Statements	F-1

You should rely only on the information contained in this document. We have not authorized anyone to provide you with information that is different. This document may only be used where it is legal to sell these securities. The information in this document may only be accurate on the date of this document.

No action is being taken in any jurisdiction outside the United States to permit a public offering of the common stock or possession or distribution of this prospectus in that jurisdiction. Persons who come into possession of this prospectus in jurisdictions outside the United States are required to inform themselves about and to observe any restrictions as to this offering and the distribution of this prospectus applicable to that jurisdiction.

Until , 2005 (the 25th day after commencement of this offering), all dealers that buy, sell or trade in our common stock, whether or not participating in this offering, may be required to deliver a prospectus. This is in addition to the dealers obligation to deliver a prospectus when acting as underwriters and with respect to their unsold allotments or subscriptions.

This prospectus includes market share and industry data that we have obtained from internal company surveys, market research, consultant surveys, publicly available information and various industry publications. Industry surveys, publications and consultant surveys generally state

that the information contained therein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable, but there can be no assurance as to the accuracy and completeness of such information. We have not independently verified any of the data from third-party sources nor have we ascertained the underlying economic assumptions relied upon therein. Similarly, internal company surveys, industry forecasts and market research, which we believe to be reliable based upon management sknowledge of the industry, have not been verified by any independent sources. Except where otherwise noted, statements regarding our position relative to our competitors or as to market share refer to recently available data.

PROSPECTUS SUMMARY

This summary highlights selected information contained elsewhere in this prospectus. This summary may not contain all of the information that you should consider before investing in our common stock. You should read the entire prospectus carefully, including the Risk Factors section and our consolidated financial statements and the notes to those financial statements, before making an investment decision. In this prospectus, unless the context requires otherwise, (1) the terms EMJ, Earle M. Jorgensen, we, us and our refer to Earle M. Jorgensen Company and its subsidiaries, and (2) the term Holding refers to Earle M. Jorgensen Holding Company, Inc. EBITDA is defined and discussed in footnote 7 under the heading Summary Consolidated Financial and Other Data. Our fiscal year ends March 31 of each applicable year.

Earle M. Jorgensen Company

Overview

We are a leading distributor of metal bar and tubular products used by North American manufacturing companies and have been in business for over 80 years. We purchase over 25,000 different metal products in large quantities from primary producers, including a broad mix of carbon, alloy and stainless steel and aluminum bar, tubular and plate products. We sell these metal products in smaller quantities to over 35,000 customers spanning various industries, including machine tools, industrial equipment, transportation, fluid power, oil, gas and energy, fabricated metal, and construction and agricultural equipment. We distribute our broad range of metal products and provide our customers value-added metal processing and inventory management services from our distribution network of 35 strategically located service and processing centers in the United States and Canada.

Our metal processing services consist of cutting to length, burning, sawing, honing, shearing, grinding, polishing and performing other similar services on most of the metal products we sell, all to customer specifications. As part of our inventory management services, we schedule deliveries in the quantities and at the times required by just-in-time manufacturing processes employed by a growing number of leading manufacturing companies and provide our customers with an on-time product delivery guarantee.

In the 12 months ended December 31, 2004, we had revenues of \$1,474.7 million, net income of \$62.9 million and EBITDA of \$139.9 million. During fiscal 2004 and the first nine months of fiscal 2005, we handled approximately 7,900 and 8,100 sales transactions per business day, respectively, at an average sale price of approximately \$520 and \$750 per transaction, respectively.

During the past several years, we have focused our management efforts on automating and reconfiguring our facilities to increase workflow, enhancing our information management systems to improve customer service, and streamlining our management structure, reducing headcount and decreasing corporate overhead to reduce costs. We believe that our efficient operating structure enables us to achieve gross profit per employee levels that are considerably higher than those of our major competitors. From the end of fiscal 1998 to December 31, 2004, we reduced our total headcount by approximately 23% to 1,693 employees. Comparing fiscal 1998 to the 12 months ended December 31, 2004, we increased our tons shipped per employee by approximately 89% to 467 in the 12 months ended December 31, 2004 and EBITDA per employee by approximately 190% to \$84,824 in the 12 months ended December 31, 2004, based on the average number of employees in the applicable period.

Industry Overview

Metals service centers function as key intermediaries between the metals producers that desire to sell large volumes to few customers and the end-users that need specific products in smaller quantities. The metals

1

distribution industry is fragmented, with approximately 1,300 participants throughout North America, the largest of which represented less than 5% of estimated industry sales in North America of \$50 billion in 2003. The industry includes both general-line distributors, like us, that handle a wide range of metal products and specialty distributors that specialize in particular categories of metal products. The bar and tubular products that are our core products tend to be sold in smaller quantities, with shorter lead times and at higher gross margins than flat products, such as sheet and plate. We believe that a low-cost position coupled with excellent customer service, including breadth and availability of product offerings, timely and reliable delivery and responsiveness to customer needs, are the critical success factors that differentiate between various service centers. For example, metals end-users are increasingly moving to lean manufacturing models that require metal products to be delivered to them on an as-needed basis. This has increased these end-users need for service centers that can meet their delivery requirements by maintaining an extensive inventory of available metal products.

Competitive Strengths

Excellent Product Selection and Service. We are a recognized leader in the metals service center industry, with an excellent reputation for quality and service built over our 80 years of operation. We have an extensive inventory of core products, including one of the most extensive lines of bar and tubular products in North America. Over the last several years, we have further enhanced our reputation by implementing a program for our customers in which we guarantee on-time delivery of our products or they are free. This program, which we believe is unique among our major competitors in North America, has been very successful, with on-time performance of approximately 99% since its inception in 1999. Our broad network of service and processing centers and our proprietary information management systems have been critical to our ability to guarantee our service.

Excellent Supplier Relationships. We believe we are one of the largest purchasers of steel bars and tubing in North America and one of the leading distribution customers in our core products for each of our major suppliers. These supplier relationships enable us to better meet our customers demands for metals during periods of tight supply, such as the one our industry is currently experiencing.

Broad Network of Strategically Located Facilities and Diverse Customer Base. Our 35 service and processing centers are strategically located throughout North America, generally within one day s delivery time to almost all U.S. manufacturing centers. Our broad service network enables us to provide services to national customers with multiple locations, as well as to smaller single-site customers. We serve more than 35,000 customers across a broad range of industries, with no single customer accounting for more than 2% of our revenues in fiscal 2004 or the first nine months of fiscal 2005. Our ten largest customers represented approximately 10% of our revenues in fiscal 2004, and the average length of these customer relationships was approximately 14 years.

Focus on Information Management Systems. Through our proprietary information management systems, we track and allocate inventory among all of our locations, maintain high levels of customer service through better order and product reference data and monitor our operating results. We track our entire inventory on a real-time basis through our information management systems, which allows our salespeople and operating employees to have visibility into in-process orders and enables us to meet our on-time delivery guarantee.

Warehouse Automation. We completed the installation of an automated inventory storage and retrieval system in our largest facility, which is located in Chicago, in the third quarter of fiscal 2004. This fully operational system, which we refer to as the Kasto system, allows us to streamline order filling and improve employee productivity, resulting in reduced material handling and processing costs and increased order fill rates. For example, warehouse cost per ton at our Chicago facility, which services regional customers and also supplies products to all of our other service centers, has decreased approximately 17.1% from \$96.33 in the second

2

quarter of fiscal 2004, the quarter immediately preceding the completion of the automated warehouse system s installation, to \$79.86 in the first nine months of fiscal 2005.

Experienced Management Team. Our senior management team has an average of 29 years of industry experience. Our chief executive officer, Maurice S. Nelson, Jr., has spent over 42 years in the metals industry with us and at Inland Steel Company and Alcoa Inc. Mr. Nelson was named the Service Center Executive of the Year for 2001 by Metal Center News and served as chairman of the Metal Service Center Institute during the 2002-2003 term.

Operating and Growth Strategy

Focus on Core Products. We believe our purchasing volumes for our core bar and tubular products enable us to achieve among the lowest available product acquisition costs for these products among metals service centers in North America. We believe we can grow our market share and increase profitability by continuing to focus our marketing efforts on our core products and capitalizing on our procurement advantage. We intend to leverage our strength in our core products to enable us to establish competitive advantages in our local markets as well as to allow us to successfully compete for larger national programs with customers.

Focus on Timely and Reliable Delivery and Value-Added Services. We believe our guarantee to provide on-time delivery service will continue to differentiate us from our competition and enable us to increase our market share. In addition, we seek to increase our margins and grow our market share by complementing our metal product sales efforts with value-added services, such as inventory management and processing activities, including our special stocking programs and cutting and honing operations.

Expand Satellite Operations. We believe a key aspect of serving our current customers and acquiring new customers is having a physical presence in markets that require our products and services. Accordingly, we have been implementing a strategy to target those geographic areas where we can justify opening a satellite location. These locations are managed locally by warehouse and delivery personnel, stock a limited inventory of core products and require minimal initial and maintenance capital expenditures, resulting in a low-cost opportunity to serve select markets. Each satellite operation is supported by inventory, inside salespeople and the general management of one of our larger service centers. During the past 18 months, we have opened satellite facilities in Orlando, Florida and northern Ontario and have recently relocated our successful Chattanooga, Tennessee satellite facility to a larger new satellite facility in Birmingham, Alabama. We continue to evaluate additional satellite locations and expect to open a satellite facility in Spokane, Washington in May 2005.

Maintain Technology Leadership. We have made and will continue to make investments in technology in order to differentiate our capabilities from those of our competitors. We intend to continue to enhance our information management systems by upgrading software and hardware to improve the connectivity, stability and reliability of these systems, which will help us continue to improve our customer service. To further improve our productivity and efficiency, we are expanding the automated warehouse system in our Chicago facility and also evaluating the implementation of the system in our other facilities.

General Corporate Information

We were formed on May 3, 1990, when affiliates of Kelso & Company, L.P., a private investment firm, acquired control of and combined two leading metals distributors, Earle M. Jorgensen Company (founded in 1921) and Kilsby-Roberts Holding Co. (successor to C.A. Roberts

Company, founded in 1915). In connection with the combination of these two companies, we became a wholly owned subsidiary of Earle M. Jorgensen Holding Company, Inc., or Holding. Holding has no operations and no significant assets other than our common stock. After the consummation of the financial restructuring transaction described below under Transaction Related to this Offering, Holding will cease to exist and the noteholder and stockholders of Holding will become stockholders of EMJ. However, we have included the consolidated financial statements of Holding in

this prospectus because the financial restructuring will be accounted for in a manner similar to a pooling-of-interests because it constitutes a transfer of assets and exchange of shares between entities, EMJ and Holding, under common control and, therefore, the financial position and results of operations of Holding will be included in our consolidated financial statements on a historical basis.

Our principal executive offices are located at 10650 Alameda Street, Lynwood, California 90262, and our telephone number there is (323) 567-1122. Our web site is located at www.emjmetals.com. The information on our web site is not a part of this prospectus.

Transaction Related to this Offering

This offering is related to a financial restructuring transaction, which we refer to as the financial restructuring, pursuant to which Holding will be merged into a wholly owned subsidiary of EMJ, resulting in the following:

the exchange of all of Holding s senior notes, or the Holding notes, including interest accrued through September 29, 2004, for cash and shares of EMJ common stock;

the conversion of all outstanding shares of Holding series A preferred stock, including dividends accrued through September 29, 2004, into cash and shares of EMJ common stock;

the conversion of all outstanding shares of Holding series B preferred stock (all accumulated dividends have been paid in-kind through September 29, 2004) into cash and shares of EMJ common stock;

the conversion of all outstanding shares of Holding common stock into an equal number of shares of EMJ common stock;

the exchange of all outstanding warrants to purchase shares of Holding common stock for shares of EMJ common stock; and

our assumption of the obligations of Holding to issue 3,053,668 shares of Holding common stock under all outstanding Holding stock options. These options will become exercisable for an equal number of shares of EMJ common stock at the same exercise prices and on the same terms and conditions as provided in the Holding stock option agreements and stock option plan.

Completion of the financial restructuring is conditioned upon, among other things, (1) consummation of this offering at an initial public offering price that is not less than \$7.00 per share and (2) the offering resulting in at least \$100 million of net proceeds to EMJ. The consummation of this offering is conditioned upon and will occur on the same day as the completion of the financial restructuring.

Using an exchange or conversion ratio based on a value of \$12.00 for each share of EMJ common stock, which is the assumed initial public offering price per share based on the mid-point of the range shown on the cover of this prospectus, and assuming net proceeds of this offering of \$223,800,000 (before taking into account estimated offering expenses payable by us, as set forth under Use of Proceeds), we will issue the following amounts of EMJ common stock and pay the following amounts of cash to holders of Holding notes, Holding series A preferred stock and Holding series B preferred stock upon consummation of the financial restructuring:

6,980,351 shares of EMJ common stock and \$173.3 million in cash based on the \$257.1 million aggregate amount of Holding notes outstanding as of the date of this prospectus (including interest accrued through September 29, 2004);

4

1,276,733 shares of EMJ common stock and \$31.7 million in cash based on 57,573 outstanding shares of Holding series A preferred stock valued at approximately \$47.0 million as of the date of this prospectus (including dividends accrued through September 29, 2004);

757,091 shares of EMJ common stock and \$18.8 million in cash based on 27,882 outstanding shares of Holding series B preferred stock valued at approximately \$27.9 million as of the date of this prospectus (all accumulated dividends have been paid in-kind through September 29, 2004);

11,197,122 shares of EMJ common stock based on 11,197,122 shares of Holding common stock outstanding as of the date of this prospectus; and

2,935,467 shares of EMJ common stock based on warrants to purchase 2,937,915 shares of Holding common stock outstanding as of the date of this prospectus.

If the initial public offering price per share is higher than \$12.00 or the net proceeds of this offering, before taking into account estimated offering expenses payable by us, are greater than \$223,800,000, we will issue fewer shares of our common stock to the Holding stockholders and noteholder upon consummation of the financial restructuring. If the initial public offering price per share is less than \$12.00 or the net proceeds of this offering, before taking into account estimated offering expenses payable by us, are less than \$223,800,000, we will issue more shares of our common stock to the Holding stockholders and noteholder upon consummation of the financial restructuring. If the offering price per share is \$7.00 and the net proceeds of this offering are \$100 million, which are the minimum conditions to closing the financial restructuring, we would issue 47,269,426 shares of EMJ common stock to the Holding stockholders and noteholder upon consummation of the financial restructuring.

All of the shares of EMJ common stock issued in connection with the financial restructuring have been registered under the Securities Act on a registration statement on Form S-4 filed by EMJ. This means the shares issued pursuant to the financial restructuring will be freely tradable without restriction or further registration under the Securities Act, unless held by an affiliate as that term is defined in Rule 144 under the Securities Act or subject to the terms of the lock-up agreements, transfer restriction agreements or restrictions on transfer contained in the stock bonus plan described under the heading Shares Eligible for Future Sale.

To effect the financial restructuring, we have entered into (1) an agreement and plan of merger and reorganization, as amended, with Holding and a wholly owned subsidiary of EMJ and (2) an exchange agreement with Holding and Kelso Investment Associates, L.P., or KIA I, Kelso Equity Partners II, L.P., or KEP II, KIA III-Earle M. Jorgensen, L.P., or KIA III-EMJ, and Kelso Investment Associates IV, L.P., or KIA IV (collectively known as the Kelso funds). The Holding stockholders approved and adopted the merger agreement and approved the financial restructuring at a special meeting of stockholders held on April 13, 2005.

As of the date of this prospectus, the Kelso funds and other Kelso affiliates, including one of our directors, hold 8,259,799 shares of Holding common stock, which represents 73.8% of the issued and outstanding shares of Holding common stock, and 24,519 shares of Holding series A preferred stock, which represents 42.6% of the issued and outstanding shares of Holding series A preferred stock. Pursuant to the exchange agreement, the Kelso funds voted all of the Holding capital stock owned by them in favor of the merger agreement and the financial restructuring. As of the date of this prospectus, KIA IV also holds approximately \$257.1 million of the Holding notes (including accrued but unpaid interest) and warrants to purchase 2,937,915 shares of Holding common stock, which represent all of the outstanding Holding notes and all of the outstanding Holding warrants. Upon consummation of the financial restructuring and this offering, the Kelso funds and other Kelso affiliates will own 18,719,350 shares of our common stock, representing 43.4% of our issued and outstanding common stock, and receive \$186,804,677 in cash, assuming an initial public offering price of \$12.00 per share, the mid-point of the range shown on the cover of this prospectus, and net proceeds of this offering of \$223,800,000 (before taking into account estimated offering expenses payable by us, as set forth under Use of Proceeds).

In connection with the consummation of the financial restructuring and this public offering, we (1) have agreed to contribute up to 2,461,547 shares of our common stock to our stock bonus plan and pay cash bonuses of \$1,056,465 in the aggregate to participants who are no longer employed by us in connection with the amended United States Department of Labor consent order for our stock bonus plan that was entered by the court on January 3, 2005 and (2) have committed to pay a taxable public offering bonus to our employees on the closing date who are also participants in our stock bonus plan in an aggregate amount of \$8.5 million, as described under the headings Business Legal Proceedings U.S. Department of Labor and Management Public Offering Bonus Plan.

6

The Offering

Common stock offered by us 20,000,000 shares

Common stock to be outstanding after this

offering

43,146,764 shares

Use of proceeds We intend to use the net proceeds from this offering to pay the cash portion of the

consideration for the Holding notes, the Holding series A preferred stock and the Holding

series B preferred stock.

Dividend policy We do not anticipate paying cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future.

Proposed New York Stock Exchange symbol JOR

Risk factors See Risk Factors and other information included in this prospectus for a discussion of factors

that you should carefully consider before investing in our common stock.

The number of shares of common stock to be outstanding after this offering is based on the number of shares outstanding as of the date of this prospectus. This number and, unless otherwise indicated, the information in this prospectus:

excludes 3,053,668 shares of common stock issuable upon the exercise of stock options outstanding under the Holding stock option plan that will become exercisable for our common stock upon consummation of the financial restructuring, at a weighted average exercise price of \$3.35 per share;

excludes 2,157,338 shares of common stock reserved and available for issuance under our stock incentive plan as of the date of this prospectus, which equals 5% of the aggregate number of shares of our common stock that will be outstanding upon completion of the financial restructuring and this offering and which includes the 50,000 shares issuable upon exercise of options to be granted to certain of our non-officer directors on the date of this prospectus;

reflects the exchange of the Holding notes for 6,980,351 shares of common stock and cash upon consummation of the financial restructuring;

reflects the conversion of the Holding series A preferred stock into 1,276,733 shares of common stock and cash upon consummation of the financial restructuring;

reflects the conversion of the Holding series B preferred stock into 757,091 shares of common stock and cash upon consummation of the financial restructuring;

reflects the conversion of the Holding common stock into 11,197,122 shares of common stock upon consummation of the financial restructuring;

reflects the exchange of the Holding warrants for 2,935,467 shares of common stock upon consummation of the financial restructuring;

assumes, for purposes of determining the number of shares of common stock issuable upon consummation of the financial restructuring as set forth above, an initial public offering price of \$12.00 per share, the mid-point of the range shown on the cover of this prospectus, and net cash proceeds

7

Table of Contents

of \$223,800,000 in the aggregate (before taking into account estimated expenses payable by us, as set forth under Use of Proceeds) being paid to the holders of the Holding notes, the Holding series A preferred stock and the Holding series B preferred stock;

does not reflect the 2,461,547 shares of common stock reserved for issuance to our stock bonus plan pursuant to our obligation to make a special contribution to the stock bonus plan in connection with the amended United States Department of Labor consent order entered on January 3, 2005, as described under Business Legal Proceedings U.S. Department of Labor; and

assumes that the underwriters do not exercise their over-allotment option.

In the event that the underwriters over-allotment is not exercised in full upon closing of this offering, up to an aggregate of 396,227, 234,959 and 2,166,314 shares of common stock will be withheld from the holders of the Holding series A preferred stock, Holding series B preferred stock and Holding notes, respectively, until the earlier of (1) the exercise in full of the over-allotment and (2) the expiration of the 30 day period during which the over-allotment may be exercised. If the over-allotment is exercised in full during this period, each holder of the Holding series A preferred stock, Holding series B preferred stock and Holding notes, in lieu of receiving shares of common stock, will be paid \$12.00 in cash per share of common stock it otherwise would have received. If the over-allotment is not exercised during this period or is exercised in part, the appropriate portion of common stock will be delivered to such holders promptly after the expiration of the 30 day period during which the over-allotment option may be exercised if they have returned their transmittal letter to the exchange agent.

8

Summary Consolidated Financial and Other Data

The following table presents our summary consolidated financial and other data for the periods and as of the date presented below. We derived the data for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2002, 2003 and 2004 from our audited consolidated financial statements for those periods that are included in this prospectus. We derived the data for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2000 and 2001 from our audited consolidated financial statements for those periods that are not included in this prospectus. We derived the data for the nine months ended January 1, 2004 and December 31, 2004 and the data as of December 31, 2004 from our unaudited consolidated financial statements for those periods and as of that date that are included in this prospectus and, in the opinion of management, reflect all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring accruals, necessary for a fair presentation of our results of operations and financial position for those periods and as of that date. Results for the nine months ended December 31, 2004 are not necessarily indicative of results for the full fiscal year. We derived the data for the 12 months ended December 31, 2004 from our audited consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2004 and our unaudited consolidated financial statements for the nine months ended January 1, 2004 and December 31, 2004 that are included in this prospectus. You should read the following information along with Selected Consolidated Financial and Other Data, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and our consolidated financial statements and the related notes, each of which is included elsewhere in this prospectus.

									Nine Months Ended				Twelve Months Ended		
	Fiscal Year Ended March 31,														
										Ja	nuary 1,	De	ecember 31,	December 31,	
	_:	2000		2001	2002		2003		2004		2004		2004		2004
					(doll:	ars	in thousan	ıds.	except pe	r sł	nare data)			
Statement of Operations Data:					(,					lited)	(unaudited)
Revenues	\$ 9	38,252	\$	1,059,681	\$ 895,058	3	\$ 919,927	\$:	1,040,367	\$	718,301	\$	1,152,589	\$	1,474,655
Costs of sales	6	62,803		767,263	641,991		658,562		754,266		518,394		828,735		1,064,607
Gross profit	2	75,449		292,418	253,067	7	261,365		286,101		199,907		323,854		410,048
Expenses(1)	2	08,058		228,542	204,713	3	210,277		216,629		154,970		220,091		281,750
Income from operations		67,391		63,876	48,354	ļ	51,088		69,472		44,937		103,763		128,298
Net interest expense(2)		41,595		44,855	42,545		47,206		51,093		38,205		40,534		53,422
Income before income taxes		25,796		19,021	5,809)	3,882		18,379		6,732		63,229		74,876
Net income		23,987		17,798	5,354	ļ	2,382		15,252		5,284		52,932		62,900
Pro Forma Data:															
Unaudited pro forma net income (loss) available to															
common stockholders(3)	\$	(5,174)	\$	(14,270)	\$ (33,263	3) 3	\$ (42,389)	\$	(34,190)	\$	(28,035)	\$	25,672	\$	19,517
Unaudited pro forma net income (loss) available to common stockholders per share(3)(4)															
Basic	\$	(0.41)	\$	(1.14)	\$ (2.69)) :	\$ (3.59)	\$	(2.96)	\$	(2.42)	\$	2.26	\$	1.71
Diluted	\$	(0.41)		(1.14)					(2.96)	-	(2.42)		1.67	\$	1.35
Unaudited pro forma weighted average shares outstanding(4)							. ()		()						
Basic		12,519		12,548	12,365	5	11,820		11,555		11,593		11,365		11,381
Diluted		12,519		12,548	12,365		11,820		11,555		11,593		15,417		14,420
Unaudited pro forma net income available to common stockholders per share as adjusted(3)(5)		,		,, ,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		,				, -
Basic								\$	0.64			\$	2.25		
Diluted								\$	0.61			\$	2.15		
Unaudited pro forma weighted average shares outstanding as adjusted(5)															
Basic									23,732				23,542		
Diluted									25,159				24,660		
Cash Flow Data:									,>				,		
Capital expenditures	\$	9,525	\$	14,475	\$ 24,531		\$ 15,335	\$	10,530	\$	6,781	\$	19,606	\$	23,355
Dividends paid(6)		13,372		5,514	14,963		35,587		5,781		4,762		9,866		10,885

Edgar Filing: JORGENSEN EARLE M CO /DE/ - Form S-1/A

Other Data (unaudited):								
EBITDA(7)	\$ 77,342	\$ 74,911	\$ 59,803	\$ 62,457	\$ 80,756	\$ 53,425	\$ 112,543	\$ 139,874
COLI effect(8)	(717)	(2,374)	(1,738)	(1,752)	(561)	(829)	(3,804)	(3,536)
Revenues per employee(9)	484	517	496	539	639	440	693	894
EBITDA per employee(7)(9)	40	37	33	37	50	33	68	85
Average number of employees	1,938	2,051	1,805	1,706	1,628	1,634	1,663	1,649
Tons shipped	601,532	679,610	581,243	603,310	662,213	463,986	571,065	769,292

	December 31, 2004	
Actual	Pro Forma(3) (in thousands) (unaudited)	Pro Forma As Adjusted(10)
	` '	

	Actual	Pro	Pro Forma(3)		As Adjusted(10)	
						
Balance Sheet Data:						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 5,760	\$	6,133	\$	4,974	
Total working capital	226,147		222,691		224,866	
Total assets	628,051		629,107		627,948	
Long-term debt	344,428		590,310		344,428	
Total debt	346,748		592,630		346,748	
Total stockholders equity (deficit)	8,605		(241,014)		6,193	

- (1) Expenses include restructuring charges aggregating \$2,432, \$3,320, and \$1,861 for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2000, 2001 and 2002 in connection with workforce reductions and consolidations and losses from the sale of significant assets in those fiscal years and a special compensation charge of \$2,000 in connection with a payment to our chief executive officer in fiscal 2001.
- Net interest expense includes amortization and write-off of debt issue costs aggregating \$1,482, \$1,482, \$1,792, \$1,416, \$1,323, \$992, \$989 and \$1,320 for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003 and 2004, the nine months ended January 1, 2004 and December 31, 2004 and the twelve months ended December 31, 2004, respectively, net of interest income of \$636, \$1,179, \$164, \$83, \$81, \$67, \$25 and \$39 for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003 and 2004, the nine months ended January 1, 2004 and December 31, 2004 and the twelve months ended December 31, 2004, respectively.
- (3) The total pro forma adjustments to net income (loss) are approximately \$(29,161), \$(32,068), \$(38,617), \$(44,771), \$(49,442), \$(33,319), \$(27,260) and \$(43,383) for the fiscal years 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003 and 2004, the nine months ended January 1, 2004 and December 31, 2004 and the twelve months ended December 31, 2004, respectively. The adjustments consist of net interest expense for Holding, dividends accrued for the Holding series A preferred stock, dividends declared and paid in-kind for the Holding series B preferred stock and certain management fees charged to EMJ by Holding that are not eliminated in consolidation. The following table presents each of these adjustments for the applicable period.

		Fiscal Y	ear Ended M	Nine Mo	Twelve Months					
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	January 1, 2004	January 1, December 31, 2004 2004		Ended December 31, 2004	
				(in	thousands)		124	. 1	(
Holding interest, net	\$ (22,718)	\$ (25,096)	\$ (29,888)	\$ (35,280)	\$ (38,834)	(una \$ (25,382)	iuait S	(21,442)	(ur \$	(34,894)
Preferred dividends	(6,478)	(7,016)	(8,758)	(9,518)	(10,628)	(7,958)	Ψ	(5,818)	Ψ	(8,488)
Management fees	35	44	29	27	20	21		(5,515)		(1)
- C							_			
Total	\$ (29,161)	\$ (32,068)	\$ (38,617)	\$ (44,771)	\$ (49,442)	\$ (33,319)	\$	(27,260)	\$	(43,383)
							_			

EMJ currently has 128 shares of common stock outstanding, all of which are held by Holding. For accounting purposes, our financial restructuring will be accounted for as a transfer of assets and exchange of shares between entities under common control. As such, the transaction will be accounted for in a manner similar to a pooling-of-interests. Accordingly, the financial position and results of operations and share data of Holding are included in the pro forma net income (loss) provided on a historical cost basis.

- (4) The pro forma basic and diluted per share information is computed based on the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding for each reported period. The computation of pro forma diluted per share information includes the dilutive effect of common stock equivalents for outstanding options and warrants exercisable for shares of common stock using the treasury stock method. Upon consummation of the financial restructuring, all shares of Holding common stock will be converted to shares of EMJ common stock. The inclusion of common stock equivalents for all periods presented prior to the periods ended December 31, 2004 was antidilutive.
- Pro forma net income (loss) available to common stockholders per share information reflects our operations as if the financial restructuring occurred at the beginning of the reported periods. Therefore, the pro forma as adjusted basic per share information reflects per share information as discussed in note 4 and shares of EMJ common stock issuable upon consummation of the financial restructuring as follows: 7,098,143 shares for the Holding notes; 1,350,576 shares for the Holding series A preferred stock; 792,945 shares for the Holding series B preferred stock; and 2,935,467 shares for the Holding warrants (assumes for the purpose of determining such number of shares an initial public offering price of \$12.00 per share, the mid-point of the range shown on the cover of this prospectus, and net proceeds, before taking into account estimated offering expenses payable by us, of \$223,800,000). See Certain Relationships and Related Transactions Financial Restructuring for further discussion. The computation of pro forma as adjusted diluted per share information includes the dilutive effect

- of common stock equivalents for outstanding options exercisable for shares of common stock.
- (6) Represents dividends paid to Holding in connection with the repurchase of its capital stock from terminated EMJ employees, as required by the terms of Holding s stockholders agreement and our stock bonus plan. In fiscal 2003, we also paid a dividend to Holding of \$25,000 to be used to repay a portion of the Holding notes.
- (7) EBITDA represents net income before net interest expense, provision for income taxes and depreciation and amortization. Consistent with Item 10(e) of Regulation S-K promulgated under the Securities Act, our EBITDA has not been adjusted to exclude any other non-cash charges or liabilities, such as LIFO (last-in-first-out) adjustments of \$(9,022), \$887, \$590, \$(3,354), \$14,343, \$500, \$42,505 and \$56,348 and accruals for stock bonus plan contributions and postretirement benefits aggregating \$2,862, \$11, \$249, \$498, \$619, \$563, \$611 and \$667 for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003 and 2004, the nine months ended January 1, 2004 and

10

December 31, 2004 and the twelve months ended December 31, 2004, respectively. In addition, our EBITDA has not been adjusted for the following items: provisions for workforce reductions and consolidations and losses from the sale of significant assets aggregating \$2,432, \$3,320 and \$1,861 for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2000, 2001 and 2002, respectively; special compensation of \$2,000 payable to our chief executive officer in fiscal 2001; excise tax of \$1,919 related to an IRS settlement in fiscal 2002; and a loss of \$12,278 related to early retirement of debt in fiscal 2003. We believe EBITDA is useful to investors because it is frequently used by securities analysts, investors and other interested parties in the evaluation of company performance in our industry. Our management believes that EBITDA is useful in evaluating our operating performance between periods and compared to that of our competitors because the calculation of EBITDA generally eliminates the effects of financing and income taxes and the accounting effects of capital spending and acquisitions, which items may vary between periods and for different companies for reasons unrelated to overall operating performance. As a result, our management uses EBITDA as a significant component when measuring our performance in connection with determining incentive compensation. EBITDA is not a recognized measure of operating income, financial performance or liquidity under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The items excluded from EBITDA are significant components in understanding and assessing financial performance. Therefore, while providing useful information, our EBITDA should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for consolidated statement of operations and cash flows data prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and should not be construed as an indication of a company s operating performance or as a measure of liquidity. In addition, it should be noted that companies calculate EBITDA differ

						Nin	e Months	Twelve Months
		Fiscal Ye	ar Ended I	March 31,]	Ended		
						January 1	, December 31,	December 31,
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2004	2004	2004
				(in	n thousand	ls)		
Reconciliation of EBITDA:								
Net income	\$ 23,987	\$ 17,798	\$ 5,354	\$ 2,382	\$ 15,252	\$ 5,284	\$ 52,932	\$ 62,900
Depreciation and amortization	9,951	11,035	11,449	11,369	11,284	8,488	8,780	11,576
Net interest expense	41,595	44,855	42,545	47,206	51,093	38,205	40,534	53,422
Provision for income taxes	1,809	1,223	455	1,500	3,127	1,448	10,297	11,976
EBITDA	\$ 77,342	\$ 74,911	\$ 59,803	\$ 62,457	\$ 80,756	\$ 53,425	\$ 112,543	\$ 139,874

(8) We are the owner and beneficiary of life insurance policies on (1) all former non-union employees of a predecessor company, including certain current employees of EMJ, and (2) key man life insurance policies on certain current and former executives of EMJ. The effect of these company owned life insurance policies (COLI) on our pre-tax income consists of premium expense, policy dividend growth, and proceeds (death benefits) (which are reported as general and administrative expense) and policy interest expense on policy borrowings (which is reported as a component of interest expense). Under current U.S. federal tax law, the policy dividend growth is not currently taxable, the premium is non-deductible, the proceeds (death benefits) are tax exempt and the interest is deductible up to 96% of the contract rate.

						Nine	Months	
		Fiscal Ye	ear Ended M	E	Twelve Months			
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	January 1, 2004	December 31, 2004	Ended December 31, 2004
G 1 1 1 4 G G V 1 20				(in t	housands)			
Calculation of COLI effect:								
Cash surrender value policy dividend growth	\$ 14,029	\$ 13,010	\$ 13,521	\$ 17,156	\$ 17,751	\$ 12,411	\$ 15,381	\$ 20,721
Cash surrender value insurance	, , , , ,	,	, .	,	, , , , , ,		, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
premium	(2,101)	(2,217)	(2,325)	(2,866)	(3,081)	(2,544)	(2,756)	(3,293)
Proceeds (death benefits)		1,230	3,062	1,754	4,851	4,359	318	810
	11,928	12,023	14,258	16,044	19,521	14,226	12,943	18,238

Total operating income impact of COLI								
Cash surrender value interest	(12,645)	(14,397)	(15,996)	(17,796)	(20,082)	(15,055)	(16,747)	(21,774)
Total pre-tax income impact of COLI	\$ (717)	\$ (2,374)	\$ (1,738)	\$ (1,752)	\$ (561)	\$ (829)	\$ (3,804)	\$ (3,536)

⁽⁹⁾ Calculated based on the average number of employees during the applicable period.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Gives effect to (1) the receipt by us of the net proceeds from the sale of 20,000,000 shares of common stock at an assumed initial public offering price of \$12.00 per share, the mid-point of the range shown on the cover of this prospectus, after deducting estimated underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses payable by us; (2) the intended applications of the net proceeds of this offering, as set forth in Use of Proceeds; and (3) the consummation of the financial restructuring.

RISK FACTORS

You should carefully consider the risks described below before making an investment decision. If any of the following circumstances described in these risk factors occurs, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially adversely affected. In that event, the trading price of our common stock could decline, and you may lose all or part of your investment.

Risks Relating to Our Business

The prices we pay for metals may fluctuate due to a number of factors beyond our control, which could adversely affect our operating results if we cannot pass on higher metal prices to our customers.

We purchase large quantities of carbon, alloy and stainless steel, aluminum and other metals, which we sell to a variety of end-users. The average price of metals that we purchase steadily increased during calendar 2004 at the rate of approximately 2.4% per month. These prices leveled off during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005. While we anticipate that metal prices for the products we purchase will remain steady during the remainder of calendar 2005, the prices we pay for these metals and the prices we charge for our products may change depending on many factors outside of our control, including general economic conditions (both domestic and international), competition, production levels, import duties and other trade restrictions, currency fluctuations and surcharges imposed by our suppliers. For example, in the past year our suppliers have added various surcharges to the price of metals related to increases in the costs of scrap, energy, raw material and other inputs. These surcharges may or may not continue in the future and could be increased, decreased or eliminated by the suppliers that have imposed them.

Our service centers maintain substantial inventories of metal to accommodate the short lead times and just-in-time delivery requirements of our customers. Accordingly, we purchase metal in quantities we believe to be appropriate to satisfy the anticipated needs of our customers based on information derived from customers, market conditions, historic usage and industry research. Our commitments for metal purchases are generally at prevailing market prices in effect at the time we place our orders or at the time of shipment. During periods of rising prices for metal, we may be negatively impacted by delays between the time of metal price increases and price increases in our products if we are unable to pass these increases on to our customers. In addition, when metal prices decline, customer demands for lower prices could result in lower sale prices for our products and, as we use existing inventory that we purchased at higher metal prices, lower margins. Consequently, during periods in which we use this existing inventory, the effects of changing metal prices could adversely affect our operating results.

We operate in an industry that is subject to cyclical fluctuations and any downturn in general economic conditions or our customers industries could negatively impact our revenues, gross profit and net income.

The metals service center industry is cyclical, impacted by both market demand and metals supply. Periods of economic slowdown or recession in the United States, Canada or other countries, or the public perception that these may occur, would decrease the demand for our products and adversely affect our pricing. For example, the general slowing of the economy in fiscal 2002 and fiscal 2003 adversely impacted our product sales and pricing. While we experienced significantly improved sales volumes and pricing in fiscal 2004 and in the first nine months of fiscal 2005, this trend may not continue. Changing economic conditions could depress or delay demand for our products, which could adversely affect our revenues, gross profit and net income.

We sell many products to industries that are cyclical, such as the industrial equipment, oil, gas and energy, construction and agricultural equipment, and transportation industries. The demand for our products is directly

12

related to, and quickly impacted by, demand for the finished goods manufactured by our customers in these industries, which may change as a result of the general U.S., Canadian or worldwide economy, domestic exchange rates, energy prices or other factors beyond our control. If we are unable to accurately project the product needs of our customers over varying lead times, we may not have sufficient inventory to be able to provide sought-after products on a timely basis. In addition, if we are not able to increase sales of products to customers in other industries when one or more of the cyclical industries that we serve is experiencing a decline, our revenues, gross profit and net income may be adversely affected.

The price of metals is subject to fluctuations in the supply and demand for metals worldwide and changes in the worldwide balance of supply and demand could negatively impact our revenues, gross profit and net income.

Metal prices are volatile due to, among other things, fluctuations in foreign and domestic production capacity, metals consumption and foreign currency rates. For example, in the past few years, China has significantly increased its consumption of metals and metal products. This large and growing demand for metals has significantly affected the metals industry, diverting supply to China and contributing to the recent increase in metal prices. If, in the future, China experiences a downturn in general economic conditions or increases its internal production of metals, its demand for metals produced outside of China could decrease. Such a decrease could cause a reduction in metal prices globally, which could adversely affect our revenues, gross profit and net income. Additionally, significant currency fluctuations in the United States or abroad could negatively impact our cost of metals and the pricing of our products. Recently, the decline in the dollar relative to foreign currencies resulted in increased prices for metals and metal products in the United States as imported metals became relatively more expensive. If, in the future, the dollar increases in value relative to foreign currencies, the domestic market may be more attractive to foreign producers, resulting in increased supply that could cause decreased metal prices and adversely affect our revenues, gross profit and net income.

We compete with a large number of companies in the metals service center industry, and if we are unable to compete effectively, our revenues, gross profit and net income may decline.

We compete with a large number of other general-line distributors and specialty distributors in the metals service center industry. Competition is based principally on price, inventory availability, timely delivery, customer service, quality and processing capabilities. Competition in the various markets in which we participate comes from companies of various sizes, some of which have greater financial resources than we do and some of which have more established brand names in the local markets we serve. Accordingly, these competitors may be better able to withstand changes in conditions within our customers—industries and may have greater operating and financial flexibility than we have. To compete for customer sales, we may lower our prices or offer increased services at a higher cost to us, which could reduce our revenues, gross profit and net income.

If our customers, which are primarily North American manufacturing and industrial companies, relocate operations or outsource functions overseas, we would lose their business.

Our customer base is located in the United States and Canada and consists primarily of manufacturing and industrial companies. We do not currently operate facilities outside of North America. Therefore, in the event our customers relocate production operations or outsource particular functions overseas, we would lose their business, which could have an adverse effect on our revenues, gross profit and net income.

If we were to lose any of our primary suppliers or otherwise be unable to obtain sufficient amounts of necessary metals on a timely basis, we may not be able to meet our customers needs and may suffer reduced sales.

Because we have no long-term contracts to purchase metals, our primary suppliers of carbon, alloy and stainless steel, aluminum or other metals could curtail or discontinue their delivery of these metals to us in the

13

quantities we need. Our ability to meet our customers needs and provide value-added inventory management services depends on our ability to maintain an uninterrupted supply of metal products from our suppliers. If our suppliers experience production problems, lack of capacity or transportation disruptions, the lead times for receiving our supply of metal products could be extended and the cost of our inventory may increase. If, in the future, we are unable to obtain sufficient amounts of the necessary metals at competitive prices and on a timely basis from our traditional suppliers, we may not be able to obtain these metals from acceptable alternative sources at competitive prices to meet our delivery schedules. Even if we do find acceptable alternative suppliers, the process of locating and securing these alternatives may be disruptive to our business, which could have an adverse impact on our ability to meet our customers needs and reduce our sales, gross profit and net income. In addition, if a significant domestic supply source is discontinued and we cannot find acceptable domestic alternatives, we may need to find a foreign source of supply. Dependence on foreign sources of supply could lead to longer lead times, increased price volatility, less favorable payment terms and certain tariffs and duties.

Our business may be adversely affected by our on-time or free delivery guarantee if we are unable to deliver our products on a timely basis.

We provide customers with an on-time or free delivery guarantee. Therefore, significant disruptions to timely deliveries of our products could lead to increased customer credits, harm to our reputation and a loss of market share.

As a decentralized business, we depend on both senior management and our key operating employees; if we are unable to attract and retain these individuals, our ability to operate and grow our business may be adversely affected.

Because of our decentralized operating style, we depend on the efforts of our senior management, including our chief executive officer, Maurice S. Nelson, Jr., and key operating employees. We may not be able to retain these individuals or attract and retain additional qualified personnel when needed. Other than a retention agreement with Mr. Nelson whereby we have agreed to pay Mr. Nelson a bonus of \$3.0 million if he continues to serve as our president and chief executive officer until March 31, 2007 or if his employment is terminated under certain circumstances, we do not have employment agreements with any of our officers or employees in the United States, which may mean they may have less of an incentive to stay with us when presented with alternative employment opportunities. In addition, our senior management and key operating employees hold stock options that have vested and common stock in our stock bonus plan. These individuals may, therefore, be more likely to leave us if the shares of our common stock significantly appreciate in value. The loss of any key officer or employee will require remaining officers and employees to direct immediate and substantial attention to seeking a replacement. Our inability to retain members of our senior management or key operating employees or to find adequate replacements for any departing key officer or employee on a timely basis could adversely affect our ability to operate and grow our business.

Damage to our computer infrastructure and software systems could harm our business.

The unavailability of any of our information management systems for any significant period of time could have a material adverse effect on our operations. In particular, our ability to deliver products to our customers when needed, collect our receivables and manage inventory levels successfully largely depends on the efficient operation of our computer hardware and software systems. Through our information management systems, we track and allocate inventory among all of our locations, improve customer service through better order and product reference data and monitor operating results. Difficulties associated with upgrades, installations of major software or hardware, and integration with new systems could lead to business interruptions that could harm our reputation, increase our operating costs and decrease our profitability. In addition, these systems are vulnerable to, among other things, damage or interruption from power loss, computer system and network failures, loss of telecommunications services, operator negligence, physical and electronic loss of data, or security breaches and computer viruses.

14

We have outsourced the maintenance and operation of our hardware to a third-party service provider that also provides us with backup systems in the event that our information management systems are damaged. It is possible that the backup facilities and other protective measures we take could prove to be inadequate. Moreover, it is possible that an event or disaster at our service provider s facilities could materially and adversely affect our ability to meet our customers needs and the ability of each of our locations to operate efficiently.

Our business could be adversely affected by a disruption to our Chicago facility s operations.

During the first nine months of fiscal 2005, our Chicago facility processed and shipped an average of 2,355 inventory line items per day, or approximately 23% of the line items shipped from all of our facilities during that period. Our Chicago facility serves its regional customers and also supplies products to all of our other service centers. Therefore, any disruption to our operations at this facility could adversely impact the performance of our other service centers and impair our ability to deliver products and services to our customers throughout the United States on a timely basis. Our operations at the Chicago facility could be disrupted in the event of:

damage to, or inoperability of, its automated warehouse system;
a hardware or software error, failure or crash;
a power or telecommunications failure; or

fire, flood or other natural disaster.

Any disruption could damage our reputation, cause customers to cease purchasing metals from us and cause customers to incur substantial losses. We could be subject to claims or litigation with respect to these losses. Our property and business interruption insurance may not adequately compensate us for all losses we may incur.

If we do not successfully implement our satellite operations growth strategy, our ability to grow our business could be impaired.

A key aspect of our growth strategy is the establishment of satellite operations, a physical presence in geographic markets (1) in which we have several significant customers or there are several potential customers that have a demand for our products and services and (2) that is supported by inventory, inside salespeople and the general management of one of our larger service centers. We may not be able to identify suitable locations for these operations or enter into agreements to purchase or lease locations upon satisfactory terms. In addition, we could fail to generate, or suffer a loss of, or a decrease in, purchases by one or more significant customers served by a satellite location, which could prevent us from realizing the expected benefits of such satellite location. Any of these occurrences could impair our ability to grow our business.

Labor disruptions could adversely affect our operations.

We have entered into collective bargaining agreements with union locals at our facilities that expire on staggered dates between 2005 and 2010. A work stoppage at one of our facilities that lasts for a significant period of time could cause us to lose sales, incur increased costs and adversely affect our ability to meet customers needs.

Our ability to consummate a change of control transaction could be hampered by the consequences it triggers under our debt instruments, including the required repurchase of our $9^3/4\%$ senior secured notes and the possible acceleration of repayment of our domestic credit facility.

If, in the future, we experience a change of control as defined under the indenture for our 1/9% senior secured notes, we are required to make a change of control offer to purchase all of our 1/9% senior secured notes issued and then outstanding at a purchase price equal to 101% of the principal amount thereof plus accrued interest thereon, as of the date of purchase. Any change of control under the indenture also would constitute a default under our domestic credit facility pursuant to which the lenders under our credit facility could accelerate and require immediate payment of all of the then outstanding obligations under our credit facility.

15

If, in the future, we experience a change of control, it is likely that we would be unable to repay all of our obligations under our domestic credit facility, our 9 3/4% senior secured notes and any other indebtedness that might become payable upon the occurrence of the change of control. Accordingly, it is likely that a prospective acquiror of all or substantially all of our assets or of more than 50% of our common stock would, in order to avoid the occurrence of a default under our indebtedness, either fund our purchase of the notes tendered in the required change of control offer or seek to refinance our notes, credit facility and other indebtedness. Such funding or refinancing may have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing such an acquisition.

If the financial restructuring and this offering result in an ownership change, the availability of certain tax benefits for us will be adversely affected and our tax liability may increase.

We had net operating loss carryforwards, or NOLs, available for U.S. federal income tax purposes of approximately \$20.4 million as of December 31, 2004. In addition, significant additional NOLs may be generated as a result of our operations and the repayment of the Holding notes with the proceeds of this offering. However, Holding will likely not be permitted an interest deduction for U.S. federal income tax purposes for accrued but previously non-deductible interest on the Holding notes to the extent that these notes are retired with our stock. We expect that the financial restructuring and this offering will result in an ownership change within the meaning of Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code. As a result of such ownership change, there will likely be specific limitations on our ability to use our NOLs from periods prior to the financial restructuring and this offering. This could result in an increase in our U.S. federal income tax liability in future taxable periods, which could adversely affect our cash flow from operations.

Holding may recognize cancellation of indebtedness income as a result of the financial restructuring and this offering, which may adversely affect the availability of certain tax benefits for us.

If the fair market value of the EMJ common stock and cash that is issued to retire the Holding notes is less than the amount of such indebtedness (including accrued interest) that is retired, Holding may recognize taxable—cancellation of indebtedness—income to the extent of this shortfall. Available NOLs may be utilized to offset such cancellation of indebtedness income. However, even if any cancellation of indebtedness income is fully offset by available NOLs, a tax liability may still result for alternative minimum tax purposes. Any NOLs used to offset cancellation of indebtedness income would be unavailable to offset our future operating income.

We are subject to various environmental and employee safety and health regulations, which could subject us to significant liabilities and compliance expenditures

We are subject to extensive federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations concerning air emissions, wastewater discharges, underground storage tanks and solid and hazardous waste disposal at or from our facilities. Our operations are also subject to various employee safety and health laws and regulations, including those concerning occupational injury and illness, employee exposure to hazardous materials and employee complaints. Environmental and employee safety and health regulations are comprehensive, complex and frequently changing. Some of these laws and regulations are subject to varying and conflicting interpretations. We may be subject from time to time to administrative and/or judicial proceedings or investigations brought by private parties or governmental agencies with respect to environmental matters and employee safety and health issues. For example, there are currently remediation and/or investigation activities at certain former facilities where soil and/or groundwater contamination is present, and we have been notified of a potential claim relating to possible off-site contamination of river sediments from one of these facilities, which is our former Forge facility located in Seattle, Washington. As of December 31, 2004, we accrued approximately \$0.3 million with respect to the Forge facility for expected environmental investigation and remediation costs in fiscal 2005 for compliance and sampling work in connection with an Administrative Order of Consent (AOC) that we executed at the request of the United States Environmental Protection Agency. We have also accrued \$0.5 million for clean-up and monitoring activities we have agreed to undertake at our former facility at Clinton Drive in Houston, Texas. These amounts may not be sufficient to cover our costs under the AOC or

our

16

agreement with the current owners of the Houston facility or other costs or liabilities that may arise in the future in connection with the Forge facility or the Houston facility.

We also entered into (1) a funding and participation agreement with the current owners of the Forge facility that requires us to fund 85% of the costs incurred in connection with the investigation activities to be performed under the AOC and (2) an agreement with the current owners of the Houston facility to indemnify the owners for pre-existing environmental issues at the Houston facility based on the Texas Commission for Environmental Quality industrial/commercial standards. We do not carry environmental insurance coverage. Proceedings and investigations with respect to environmental matters and any employee safety and health issues could result in substantial costs to us, divert our management s attention and result in significant liabilities, fines or the suspension or interruption of our service center activities. Some of our current properties are located in industrial areas with histories of heavy industrial use. The location of these properties may require us to incur expenditures and to establish environmental liabilities for costs that arise from causes other than our operations. Future events, such as changes in existing laws and regulations or their enforcement, new laws and regulations or the discovery of conditions not currently known to us, could create material compliance or remedial liabilities and costs which may constrain our operations or make such operations more costly.

Our operating results have fluctuated, and are expected to continue fluctuating, depending on the season, and such fluctuations may adversely affect our stock price.

Many of our customers are in seasonal businesses, including customers in the construction equipment and agricultural industries. In addition, our revenues in the months of July, November and December traditionally have been lower than in other months because of increased vacation days and holiday closures for various customers. Consequently, you should not rely on our results of operations during any particular quarter as an indication of our results for a full year or any other quarter. In addition, if analysts and investors inaccurately estimate our results of operations in one or more future quarters and our operating results fall below expectations, our stock price may decline.

The beneficial effects of our company owned life insurance policies will decline in future years as the insured employees die and the value of the remaining policies decreases.

EMJ currently benefits from the net after-tax cash flow effects of its company owned life insurance policies derived from borrowings against the insurance policies, death benefit proceeds and deductibility of interest payments on the insurance policy loans. Based on actuarial analysis, we expect the value of the net benefit to us will begin to decline in 2035 as the number of insured employees who have died increases and aggregate value of the remaining policies decreases.

Risks Relating to Our Indebtedness

Our substantial indebtedness could impair our financial condition and reduce the funds available to us for other purposes and our failure to comply with the covenants contained in our debt instruments could result in an event of default that could adversely affect our operating results.

We are, and after this offering, will continue to be, highly leveraged and have substantial debt service obligations. As of December 31, 2004, on a pro forma basis assuming consummation of the financial restructuring and this offering, our total consolidated debt would have been approximately \$346.7 million. Our substantial indebtedness could adversely affect us in the following ways:

our ability to obtain additional financing in the future for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions, general corporate purposes or other purposes may be impaired;

a significant portion of our cash flow from operations must be dedicated to the payment of interest and principal on our debt, which reduces the funds available to us for our operations or other purposes;

17

Table of Contents

some of our debt is, and will continue to be, at variable rates of interest, which may result in higher interest expense in the event of increases in interest rates;

because we may be more leveraged than some of our competitors, our debt may place us at a competitive disadvantage;

our leverage will increase our vulnerability to economic downturns and limit our ability to withstand adverse events in our business by limiting our financial alternatives; and

our ability to capitalize on significant business opportunities and to plan for, or respond to, competition and changes in our business may be limited.

Our debt agreements contain, and any agreements to refinance our debt likely will contain, financial and restrictive covenants that limit our ability to incur additional debt, including to finance future operations or other capital needs, and to engage in other activities that we may believe are in our long-term best interests, including to dispose of or acquire assets. Our failure to comply with these covenants may result in an event of default which, if not cured or waived, could accelerate the maturity of our indebtedness or prevent us from accessing availability under our domestic credit facility. If our indebtedness is accelerated, we may not have sufficient cash resources to satisfy our debt obligations and we may not be able to continue our operations as planned.

We may not be able to generate sufficient cash flow to meet our debt service obligations.

Our annual debt service obligations until March 3, 2010, when our amended and restated domestic credit facility is expected to mature, will be primarily limited to interest payments on our 9 3/4% senior secured notes, our domestic credit facility, our industrial revenue bonds and principal payments on our industrial revenue bonds. The principal payments on our outstanding industrial revenue bonds are approximately \$1.2 million in fiscal 2006, \$0.7 million in fiscal 2007 and \$2.2 million in the aggregate thereafter through fiscal 2010. Our ability to generate sufficient cash flow from operations to make scheduled payments on our debt obligations will depend on our future financial performance, which will be affected by a range of economic, competitive and business factors, many of which are outside of our control. For example, we may not generate sufficient cash flow from operations to repay our amended and restated domestic credit facility when it matures in fiscal 2010 or our 9 3/4% senior secured notes when they mature in fiscal 2013. If we do not generate sufficient cash flow from operations to satisfy our debt obligations, we expect to undertake alternative financing plans, such as refinancing or restructuring our debt, selling assets, reducing or delaying capital investments or seeking to raise additional capital. We may not be able to consummate any such transaction at all or on a timely basis or on terms, and for proceeds, that are acceptable to us. Furthermore, these transactions may not be permitted under the terms of our various debt instruments then in effect. Our inability to generate sufficient cash flow to satisfy our debt obligations, or to timely refinance our obligations on acceptable terms, could adversely affect our ability to serve our customers and could cause us to discontinue our operations as planned. Our amended and restated domestic credit facility is secured by a first priority lien on all of our domestic inventory and accounts receivable. Our ability to refinance our 9 3/4% senior se

Risks Associated with Purchasing Our Common Stock in this Offering

As a new investor, you will incur immediate and substantial dilution.

If you purchase shares of our common stock in this offering, you will experience an immediate and substantial dilution of \$11.86 in pro forma net tangible book value per share of your investment. This means that the price you pay for the shares you acquire in this offering will be

significantly higher than your net tangible book value per share. If we issue additional shares of common stock in the future, you may experience further dilution in the net tangible book value of your shares. Likewise, you will incur additional dilution if the holders of outstanding options to purchase shares of our common stock at prices below our net tangible book value per share exercise their options after this offering. Upon consummation of the financial restructuring, there will be

18

Table of Contents

3,053,668 shares of common stock that will be issuable upon the exercise of outstanding stock options, with a weighted average exercise price of \$3.35 per share, and an aggregate of 50,000 shares of common stock that will be issuable upon the exercise of options that will be granted to certain non-officer directors on the date of this prospectus at an exercise price equal to the initial public offering price. The contribution after consummation of this offering of up to 2,461,547 shares of common stock to our stock bonus plan in connection with the amended consent order for our stock bonus plan may cause you to incur further dilution.

Sales of a substantial number of shares of our common stock following this offering may adversely affect the market price of our common stock or our ability to raise additional capital.

Sales of a substantial number of shares of our common stock in the public market following this offering, or the perception that large sales could occur, could cause the market price of our common stock to decline or limit our future ability to raise capital through an offering of equity securities. All of the shares of our common stock outstanding upon consummation of this offering and the financial restructuring will be freely tradable without restriction or further registration under the federal securities laws, unless held by our affiliates within the meaning of Rule 144 under the Securities Act or subject to the lock-up agreements, limitations on the ability of participants to transfer shares of common stock held in our stock bonus plan or transfer restriction agreements described below. Shares held by our affiliates will be restricted securities and will be subject to the volume and manner of sale restrictions of Rule 144. In addition, our certificate of incorporation will permit the issuance of up to 80,000,000 shares of common stock. After this offering, we will have an aggregate of 36,853,236 shares of our common stock authorized but unissued. Thus, we have the ability to issue substantial amounts of common stock in the future, which would dilute the percentage ownership held by the investors who purchase our shares in this offering.

We, each of our directors, each of our executive officers, the Kelso funds and each of their affiliates that will own our common stock following the financial restructuring and this offering, our stock bonus plan and certain other stockholders have agreed for a period of 180 days after the date of this prospectus, subject to extensions in certain limited circumstances, to not, without the prior written consent of Credit Suisse First Boston LLC and Goldman, Sachs & Co., directly or indirectly, offer to sell, sell, pledge or otherwise dispose of any shares of our common stock, subject to certain permitted exceptions. Following the expiration of the lock-up period, all of the shares of common stock subject to these agreements will be available for sale in the public market, subject to the restrictions on sales by affiliates under the Securities Act, limitations on the ability of participants to transfer shares of common stock held in our stock bonus plan and transfer restriction agreements. Pursuant to transfer restriction agreements, our executive officers and district managers have agreed to limit their ability to sell their shares of our common stock for a period of two years after the consummation of this offering. The stock bonus plan limits the ability of participants to sell shares of our common stock in their accounts, including for a period of two years after the consummation of this offering.

Following the effectiveness of the registration statement of which this prospectus forms a part, we intend to file a registration statement on Form S-8 under the Securities Act covering (1) 3,053,668 shares of common stock that will be issuable upon exercise of options under the Holding stock option plan upon our assumption of those options in connection with the consummation of the financial restructuring, (2) 2,157,338 shares of common stock that will be issuable pursuant to our stock incentive plan, which equals 5% of the aggregate number of shares of our common stock that will be outstanding upon completion of the financial restructuring and this offering and (3) the 2,461,547 shares of common stock that we have reserved for issuance to our stock bonus plan pursuant to the special contribution in connection with the amended consent order for the plan. Accordingly, subject to applicable vesting requirements, exercise with respect to options, limitations on the ability of participants to transfer shares of common stock held in our stock bonus plan, the provisions of Rule 144 with respect to affiliates and, if applicable, expiration of the 180-day lock-up agreements and the transfer restriction agreements, shares registered under the registration statement on Form S-8 will be available for sale in the open market. In addition, we have granted the Kelso funds and Mr. Nelson registration rights with respect to their shares of our common stock, including the 18,719,350 shares of our common stock they will own upon consummation of this offering and the financial restructuring.

For a more detailed description of additional shares that may be sold in the future, see the sections of this prospectus captioned Shares Eligible for Future Sale and Underwriting.

Because the Kelso funds and other Kelso affiliates will continue to have the ability to significantly influence us after this offering and the financial restructuring, your influence as public stockholders over significant corporate actions will be limited and there may be circumstances in which the interests of the Kelso funds and other Kelso affiliates could be in conflict with us or your interests as public stockholders.

After the completion of this offering and the financial restructuring, the Kelso funds and other Kelso affiliates will control approximately 43.4% of our outstanding common stock, or approximately 37.8% if the underwriters exercise their over-allotment option in full. As a result, after this offering and the financial restructuring, the Kelso funds and other Kelso affiliates will continue to have the power to significantly influence all matters submitted to our stockholders, including the election of our directors and amendments to our certificate of incorporation. The Kelso funds and other Kelso affiliates also will have the ability to significantly influence the approval or disapproval of any transaction that requires the approval of stockholders regardless of whether or not other stockholders believe that any such transaction is in their own best interests. So long as the Kelso funds and other Kelso affiliates continue to own a significant amount of the outstanding shares of our common stock, they will continue to be able to strongly influence our decisions.

Further, in deciding how to vote on matters concerning us, the Kelso funds and other Kelso affiliates may be influenced by interests that conflict with our interests or your interests. These conflicts may not be resolved in our or your favor. For example, the Kelso funds and other Kelso affiliates, through their significant ownership in us, may seek to cause us to take actions that, in their judgment, enhances their investment in us, but which might involve risks to, or otherwise adversely affect, us or you. In addition, after this offering a number of our board members will continue to be affiliated with the Kelso funds. Furthermore, we have agreed with the Kelso funds that for so long as the Kelso funds own in excess of 20% of our issued and outstanding common stock, the Kelso funds will be entitled to designate two directors, and for so long as the Kelso funds own in excess of 10% of our issued and outstanding common stock, the Kelso funds will be entitled to designate one director, to be included in the slate of directors nominated by us for election to our board of directors in our annual proxy statement. These relationships could create or appear to create potential conflicts of interest when these individuals are faced with decisions that could have different implications for us, the Kelso funds and other Kelso affiliates.

Our common stock does not have a trading history, and you may not be able to trade our common stock if an active trading market does not develop.

Prior to this offering, there has been no public market for our common stock. Our common stock has been approved for listing on The New York Stock Exchange under the symbol JOR. Although the underwriters have informed us that they intend to make a market in our common stock, they are not obligated to do so, and any market-making may be discontinued at any time without prior notice. Therefore, an active trading market for our common stock may not develop or, if it does develop, may not continue. As a result, the market price of our common stock, as well as your ability to sell our common stock, could be adversely affected. You should not view the initial public offering price as any indication of prices that will prevail in the trading market.

The value of your investment may be subject to sudden decreases due to the potential volatility of the price of our common stock.

The market price of our common stock may be highly volatile and subject to wide fluctuations in response to various factors, which could also cause variations in our quarterly results of operations. These factors may include the factors discussed in other risk factors included in this

prospectus and the following factors:

press releases or publicity relating to us or our competitors or relating to trends in the metals service industry;

changes in the legal or regulatory environment affecting our business;

20

Table of Contents

changes in expectations as to our future financial performance, including financial estimates by securities analysts and investors;

the operating and stock performance of other companies that investors may deem comparable;

developments affecting us, our customers or our suppliers;

inability to meet securities analysts and investors quarterly or annual estimates or targets of our performance; and

general domestic or international economic, market and political conditions.

These factors may adversely affect the trading price of our common stock, regardless of our actual operating performance, and could prevent you from selling your common stock at or above the initial public offering price. In addition, the stock markets from time to time experience extreme price and volume fluctuations that may be unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of companies. In the past, some stockholders have brought securities class action lawsuits against companies following periods of volatility in the market price of their securities. We may in the future be the target of similar litigation. Securities litigation, regardless of whether we are ultimately successful, could result in substantial costs and divert management s attention and resources.

Because we do not anticipate paying dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future, you should not expect to receive dividends on shares of our common stock.

We currently anticipate that we will retain all future earnings, if any, to finance the growth and development of our business and do not anticipate paying cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. Any payment of cash dividends will depend upon our financial condition, capital requirements, earnings and other factors deemed relevant by our board of directors. In addition, our domestic credit facility prohibits us from paying, and the indenture for our 9 3/4% senior secured notes limits our ability to pay, dividends after the financial restructuring. We are restricted from paying cash dividends and making other distributions to our stockholders under the indenture unless 50% of our consolidated net income, as adjusted, exceeds prior distributions to stockholders, subject to certain other limitations. As a result of these limitations and our expectation that we will not pay dividends in the near future, our common stock may be less attractive to investors that seek dividend payments.

Provisions of our certificate of incorporation and our bylaws could delay or prevent a takeover of us by a third party.

Our certificate or incorporation and our bylaws could delay, defer or prevent a third party from acquiring us, despite the possible benefit to our stockholders, or otherwise adversely affect the price of our common stock and your rights as a holder of our common stock. For example, our certificate of incorporation and bylaws will (1) permit our board of directors to issue one or more series of preferred stock with rights and preferences designated by our board and (2) impose advance notice requirements for stockholder proposals and nominations of directors to be considered at stockholder meetings. These provisions may discourage potential takeover attempts, discourage bids for our common stock at a premium over market price or adversely affect the market price of, and the voting and other rights of the holders of, our common stock. These provisions could also discourage proxy contests and make it more difficult for you and other stockholders to elect directors other than the candidates nominated by our board. See Description of Capital Stock for additional information on the anti-takeover measures applicable to us. In addition, our domestic credit facility and the indenture for our 9 3/4% senior secured notes contain limitations on our ability to enter into change of control transactions.

CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This prospectus includes forward-looking statements that reflect our current expectations and projections about our future results, performance, prospects and opportunities. We have tried to identify these forward-looking statements by using words such as may, will, expect, anticipate, believe, intend, estimate and similar expressions. These forward-looking statements are based on information currently available to us and are subject to a number of risks, uncertainties and other factors that could cause our actual results, performance, prospects or opportunities to differ materially from those expressed in, or implied by, these forward-looking statements. We have described these risks, uncertainties and other factors in Risk Factors and elsewhere in this prospectus.

You should not place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements. Except as otherwise required by federal securities laws, we undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events, changed circumstances or any other reason after the date of this prospectus.

22

USE OF PROCEEDS

We estimate that we will receive net proceeds of \$223,800,000 from the sale of 20,000,000 shares of common stock (and an additional \$33,570,000 from the sale of 3,000,000 shares if the underwriters exercise their over-allotment option in full) at an assumed initial public offering price of \$12.00 per share, the mid-point of the range described on the cover of this prospectus, after deducting estimated underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses payable by us. The net proceeds, before taking into account \$2,009,000 of estimated offering expenses payable by us, will be applied pro rata to the payment of the cash consideration for the Holding notes, Holding series A preferred stock and Holding series B preferred stock upon consummation of the financial restructuring in the proportion that their respective amounts bear to the aggregate value of the Holding notes issued and outstanding as of the closing date (including interest accrued through September 29, 2004) and the Holding series A preferred stock and Holding series B preferred stock issued and outstanding as of the closing date (including dividends accrued through September 29, 2004). As of the date of this prospectus, the aggregate value of the Holding notes is \$257,069,318, the aggregate value of the Holding series A preferred stock is \$47,018,953 and the aggregate value of the Holding series B preferred stock is \$27,881,833. Accordingly, approximately 77.4% of the net proceeds will be applied to the Holding series B preferred stock.

The following table illustrates our estimated uses of the net proceeds of this offering, before taking into account \$2,009,000 of estimated offering expenses payable by us, in connection with the financial restructuring. If the net proceeds are less than or greater than the amount assumed for purposes of this offering, the cash consideration we will pay for the Holding notes, the Holding series A preferred stock and the Holding series B preferred stock upon consummation of the financial restructuring will decrease or increase, respectively. Net proceeds, if any, from the underwriters exercise of their over-allotment option will be applied pro rata to the payment of the Holding notes, Holding series A preferred stock and Holding series B preferred stock in lieu of the issuance of shares of EMJ common stock. See Prospectus Summary The Offering.

	Amount
Cash payable in exchange for the Holding notes(1)	\$ 173,305,104
Cash payable upon conversion of the Holding series A preferred stock(2)	31,698,161
Cash payable upon conversion of the Holding series B preferred stock(3)	18,796,735

- (1) The total amount of cash and stock consideration payable in connection with the repayment of the Holding notes is \$257,069,318, which is equal to the principal amount plus all accrued and unpaid interest through September 29, 2004. The Holding notes bear interest at the rate of 18% per annum and mature on June 30, 2013.
- (2) The total amount of cash and stock consideration payable in connection with the conversion of the Holding series A preferred stock is \$47,018,953, which is equal to the aggregate appraised value as of March 31, 2004, plus accumulated and unpaid dividends from April 1, 2004 through September 29, 2004 with respect to 57,573 shares of outstanding Holding series A preferred stock.
- (3) The total amount of cash and stock consideration payable in connection with the conversion of the Holding series B preferred stock is \$27,881,833, which is equal to the liquidation value of \$1,000 per share with respect to 27,882 shares of outstanding Holding series B preferred stock (all accumulated dividends have been paid in-kind through September 29, 2004).

23

DIVIDEND POLICY

For each of the fiscal years ended March 31, 2002, 2003 and 2004 and during the first nine months of fiscal 2005, we paid cash dividends totaling \$14,963,000, \$10,587,000, \$5,781,000 and \$9,866,000, respectively, to Holding in connection with the repurchase of its capital stock from employees of EMJ whose employment had terminated, which Holding is required to do under the terms of Holding s stockholders agreement and our stock bonus plan, and redemption of stock options from Mr. Nelson, our chief executive officer, and a terminated employee. These obligations will cease upon completion of this offering and the financial restructuring. In addition, we paid a cash dividend of \$25,000,000 to Holding in connection with our May 2002 refinancing of certain indebtedness.

We plan to retain all future earnings to finance the development and growth of our business. Therefore, we do not currently anticipate paying any cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. In addition, our domestic credit facility prohibits us from paying, and the indenture for our 9 3/4% senior secured notes limits our ability to pay, dividends after the financial restructuring. Any future determination as to the payment of dividends will be restricted by these limitations, will be at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend on our financial condition, capital requirements, earnings, any other contractual restrictions and other factors our board of directors considers relevant.

24

CAPITALIZATION

The following table shows our consolidated cash and cash equivalents and capitalization as of December 31, 2004:

on an actual basis;

on a pro forma basis to give effect to the financial restructuring to account for the transfer of assets and exchange of shares between entities, EMJ and Holding, under common control. As such, the financial restructuring will be accounted for in a manner similar to a pooling-of-interests. Accordingly, the financial position and results of operations of Holding will be included in our consolidated financial statements on a historical basis; and

on a pro forma as adjusted basis to give effect to (1) our issuance and sale of 20,000,000 shares of common stock at an assumed initial public offering price of \$12.00 per share, the mid-point of the range shown on the cover of this prospectus, after deducting the estimated underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses payable by us; (2) our intended application of the net proceeds of this offering and (3) the consummation of the financial restructuring.

You should read this table together with Use of Proceeds, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and our consolidated financial statements and the related notes included elsewhere in this prospectus.

			Decei	mber 31, 20	04	
	A	Actual	Pro	o Forma		o Forma Adjusted
			(u	inaudited)		
		(in th	ousand	ls, except sh	are da	ta)
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	5,760	\$	6,133	\$	4,974
	_				_	
Debt						
Short-term debt	\$	2,320	\$	2,320	\$	2,320
Long-term debt						
Domestic credit facility		91,558		91,558		91,558
9 3/4% senior secured notes due June 1, 2012	2	250,000		250,000		250,000
Industrial development bonds		2,870		2,870		2,870
Variable rate senior notes due June 30, 2013				245,882		
	_					
Total long-term debt	3	344,428		590,310		344,428
	_		_			
Total debt	2	346,748		592,630		346,748
Stockholders equity (deficit)		,		-,		- 10,110
Holding preferred and common stock subject to redemption in certain circumstances				75,494		
Holding series A preferred stock, not subject to redemption				21,217		
Holding series B preferred stock, not subject to redemption				2,316		
Holding common stock				107		
Reclassification to value preferred and common stock subject to redemption in certain circumstances to its						
redemption price				(16,641)		
Treasury stock				(65,441)		

 $Preferred\ stock, \$.01\ par\ value; 200\ shares\ authorized\ and\ no\ shares\ issued,\ actual,\ pro\ forma\ and\ pro$

c		11 . 1	
torma	as	adjusted	

forma as adjusted			
Common stock, \$.01 par value; 2,800 shares authorized and 128 shares issued and outstanding, actual and			
pro forma; 80,000,000 shares authorized and 43,426,441 shares (\$.001 par value) issued and outstanding,			
pro forma as adjusted			43
Capital in excess of par value	21,194	78,441	350,511
Accumulated other comprehensive loss			
Foreign currency translation adjustment	1,268	1,268	1,268
Additional minimum pension liability	(2,625)	(2,625)	(2,625)
Accumulated deficit	(11,232)	(335,150)	(343,004)
	-		
Total stockholders equity (deficit)	8,605	(241,014)	6,193
Total capitalization	\$ 355,353	\$ 351,616	\$ 352,941
-			

25

SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL AND OTHER DATA

The following table presents our selected consolidated financial and other data at the dates and for the periods presented below. We derived the data for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2002, 2003 and 2004 and the data as of March 31, 2003 and 2004 from our audited consolidated financial statements for those periods and as of those dates that are included in this prospectus. We derived the data for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2000 and 2001 and the data as of March 31, 2000, 2001 and 2002 from our audited consolidated financial statements for those periods and as of those dates that are not included in this prospectus. We derived the data for the nine months ended January 1, 2004 and December 31, 2004 and the data as of December 31, 2004 from our unaudited consolidated financial statements for those periods and as of that date that are included in this prospectus and, in the opinion of management, reflect all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring accruals, necessary for a fair presentation of our results of operations and financial position for such periods. Results for the nine months ended December 31, 2004 are not necessarily indicative of results for the full fiscal year. We derived the data for the 12 months ended December 31, 2004 from our audited consolidated financial statements for the nine months ended January 1, 2004 and December 31, 2004 that are included in this prospectus. You should read the following information along with Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and our consolidated financial statements and the related notes, which are included elsewhere in this prospectus.

												Nine	Mo	onths		Twelve Months Ended
				Fiscal Ye	ear E	Ended M	lar	ch 31,				E	nde	ed	De	ecember 31,
	2	2000		2001	2	2002		2003		2004	Ja	nuary 1, 2004	De	cember 31, 2004		2004
			_				_		_		_		_		_	
						(dollar	s in	thousan	ds,	except pe	r sh					
Statement of Operations Data:														lited)	,	unaudited)
Revenues		38,252	\$	1,059,681		95,058		919,927	\$ 1	1,040,367		718,301	\$	1,152,589	\$	1,474,655
Costs of sales		62,803		767,263		41,991		658,562		754,266		518,394		828,735		1,064,607
Gross profit		75,449		292,418		53,067		261,365		286,101		199,907		323,854		410,048
Expenses(1)		08,058		228,542	2	04,713	2	210,277		216,629		154,970		220,091		281,750
Income from operations		67,391		63,876		48,354		51,088		69,472		44,937		103,763		128,298
Net interest expense(2)		41,595		44,855		42,545		47,206		51,093		38,205		40,534		53,422
Income before income taxes		25,796		19,021		5,809		3,882		18,379		6,732		63,229		74,876
Net income		23,987		17,798		5,354		2,382		15,252		5,284		52,932		62,900
Pro Forma Data:																
Unaudited pro forma net income (loss) available to																
common stockholders(3)	\$	(5,174)	\$	(14,270)	\$ (33,263)	\$	(42,389)	\$	(34,190)	\$	(28,035)	\$	25,672	\$	19,517
Unaudited pro forma net income (loss) available to																
common stockholders per share(3)(4)																
Basic	\$	(0.41)	\$	(1.14)	\$	(2.69)	\$	(3.59)	\$	(2.96)	\$	(2.42)	\$	2.26	\$	1.71
Diluted	\$	(0.41)	\$	(1.14)	\$	(2.69)	\$	(3.59)	\$	(2.96)	\$	(2.42)	\$	1.67	\$	1.35
Unaudited pro forma weighted average shares outstanding(4)																
Basic		12,519		12,548		12,365		11,820		11,555		11,593		11,365		11,381
Diluted		12,519		12,548		12,365		11,820		11,555		11,593		15,417		14,420
Unaudited pro forma net income available to common stockholders per share as adjusted(3)(5)																
Basic									\$	0.64			\$	2.25		
Diluted									\$	0.61			\$	2.15		
Unaudited pro forma weighted average shares outstanding as adjusted(5)																
Basic										23,732				23,542		
Diluted										25,159				24,660		
Cash Flow Data:																
Capital expenditures	\$	9,525	\$	14,475	\$	24,531	\$	15,335	\$	10,530	\$	6,781	\$	19,606	\$	23,355
Dividends paid(6)		13,372		5,514		14,963		35,587		5,781		4,762		9,866		10,885

Edgar Filing: JORGENSEN EARLE M CO /DE/ - Form S-1/A

Other Data (unaudited):								
EBITDA(7)	\$ 77,342	74,911	\$ 59,803	\$ 62,457 \$	80,756	\$ 53,425 \$	112,543 \$	139,874
COLI effect(8)	(717)	(2,374)	(1,738)	(1,752)	(561)	(829)	(3,804)	(3,536)
Revenues per employee(9)	484	517	496	539	639	440	693	894
EBITDA per employee(7)(9)	40	37	33	37	50	33	68	85
Average number of employees	1,938	2,051	1,805	1,706	1,628	1,634	1,663	1,649
Tons shipped	601,532	679,610	581,243	603,310	662,213	463,986	571,065	769,292

		March 31,									
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2004					
			(in the	ousands)		(unaudited)					
Balance Sheet Data:						(
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 21,660	\$ 23,758	\$ 21,300	\$ 20,030	\$ 15,528	\$ 5,760					
Total working capital	165,148	156,309	154,936	150,205	139,463	226,147					
Total assets	464,374	484,264	443,998	490,741	536,480	628,051					
Long-term debt	282,943	266,539	289,300	328,207	305,762	344,428					
Total debt	285,547	270,184	292,895	330,537	309,738	346,748					
Total stockholders equity (deficit)	(14,365)	(3,151)	(15,786)	(48,016)	(37,359)	8,605					

- (1) Expenses include restructuring charges aggregating \$2,432, \$3,320, and \$1,861 for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2000, 2001 and 2002 in connection with workforce reductions and consolidations and losses from the sale of significant assets in those fiscal years and a special compensation charge of \$2,000 in connection with a payment to our chief executive officer in fiscal 2001.
- (2) Net interest expense includes amortization and write-off of debt issue costs aggregating \$1,482, \$1,482, \$1,792, \$1,416, \$1,323, \$992, \$989 and \$1,320 for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003 and 2004, the nine months ended January 1, 2004 and December 31, 2004 and the twelve months ended December 31, 2004, respectively, net of interest income of \$636, \$1,179, \$164, \$83, \$81, \$67, \$25 and \$39 for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003 and 2004, the nine months ended January 1, 2004 and December 31, 2004 and the twelve months ended December 31, 2004, respectively.
- (3) The total pro forma adjustments to net income (loss) are approximately \$(29,161), \$(32,068), \$(38,617), \$(44,771), \$(49,442), \$(33,319), \$(27,260) and \$(43,383) for the fiscal years 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003 and 2004, the nine months ended January 1, 2004 and December 31, 2004 and the twelve months ended December 31, 2004, respectively. The adjustments consist of net interest expense for Holding, dividends accrued for the Holding series A preferred stock, dividends declared and paid in-kind for the Holding series B preferred stock and certain management fees charged to EMJ by Holding that are not eliminated in consolidation. The following table presents each of these adjustments for the applicable period.

		Fiscal Ye	ear Ended M	larch 31,		Nine Mo	nths Ended	Twelve Months	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	January 1, 2004	December 31, 2004	Ended December 31, 2004	
				(in t	housands)	(una	(unaudited)		
Holding interest, net	\$ (22,718)	\$ (25,096)	\$ (29,888)	\$ (35,280)	\$ (38,834)	\$ (25,382)	\$ (21,442)	\$ (34,894)	
Preferred dividends	(6,478)	(7,016)	(8,758)	(9,518)	(10,628)	(7,958)	(5,818)	(8,488)	
Management fees	35	44	29	27	20	21		(1)	
Total	\$ (29,161)	\$ (32,068)	\$ (38,617)	\$ (44,771)	\$ (49,442)	\$ (33,319)	\$ (27,260)	\$ (43,383)	
Preferred dividends Management fees	(6,478)	(7,016)	(8,758)	\$ (35,280) (9,518) 27	\$ (38,834) (10,628) 20	\$ (25,382) (7,958) 21	(5,818)	(8,488)	

EMJ currently has 128 shares of common stock outstanding, all of which are held by Holding. For accounting purposes, our financial restructuring will be accounted for as a transfer of assets and exchange of shares between entities under common control. As such, the transaction will be accounted for in a manner similar to a pooling-of-interests. Accordingly, the financial position and results of operations and share data of Holding are included in the pro forma net income (loss) provided on a historical cost basis.

- (4) The proforma basic and diluted per share information is computed based on the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding for each reported period. The computation of proforma diluted per share information includes the dilutive effect of common stock equivalents for outstanding options and warrants exercisable for shares of common stock using the treasury stock method. Upon consummation of the financial restructuring all shares of Holding common stock will be converted to shares of EMJ common stock. The inclusion of common stock equivalents for all periods presented prior to the periods ended December 31, 2004 was antidilutive.
- (5) Pro forma net income (loss) available to common stockholders per share information reflects our operations as if the financial restructuring occurred at the beginning of the reported periods. Therefore, the pro forma as adjusted basic per share information reflect per share information as discussed in note 4 and shares of EMJ common stock issuable upon consummation of the financial restructuring as follows: 7,098,143 shares for the Holding notes; 1,350,576 shares for the Holding series A preferred stock; 792,945 shares for the Holding series B preferred stock; and 2,935,467 shares for the Holding warrants (assumes for the purpose of determining such number of shares an initial public offering price of \$12.00 per share, the mid-point of the range shown on the cover of this prospectus, and net proceeds, before taking into account estimated offering expenses payable by us, of \$223,800,000). See Certain Relationships and Related Transactions Financial Restructuring for further discussion. The computation of pro forma as adjusted diluted per share information includes the dilutive effect of common stock equivalents for outstanding options exercisable for shares of common stock.
- (6) Represents dividends paid to Holding in connection with the repurchase of its capital stock from terminated EMJ employees, as required by the terms of Holding s stockholders agreement and our stock bonus plan. In fiscal 2003, we also paid a dividend to Holding of \$25,000 to be used to repay a portion of the Holding notes.

(7) EBITDA represents net income before net interest expense, provision for income taxes and depreciation and amortization. Consistent with Item 10(e) of Regulation S-K promulgated under the Securities Act, our EBITDA has not been adjusted to exclude any other non-cash charges or liabilities, such as LIFO (last-in-first-out) adjustments of \$(9,022), \$887, \$590, \$(3,354), \$14,343, \$500, \$42,505 and \$56,348 and accruals for stock bonus plan contributions and postretirement benefits aggregating \$2,862, \$11, \$249, \$498, \$619, \$563,

27

\$611 and \$667 for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003 and 2004, the nine months ended January 1, 2004 and December 31, 2004 and the twelve months ended December 31, 2004, respectively. In addition, our EBITDA has not been adjusted for the following items: provisions for workforce reductions and consolidations and losses from the sale of significant assets aggregating \$2,432, \$3,320 and \$1,861 for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2000, 2001 and 2002, respectively; special compensation of \$2,000 payable to our chief executive officer in fiscal 2001; excise tax of \$1,919 related to an IRS settlement in fiscal 2002; and a loss of \$12,278 related to early retirement of debt in fiscal 2003. We believe EBITDA is useful to investors because it is frequently used by securities analysts, investors and other interested parties in the evaluation of company performance in our industry. Our management believes that EBITDA is useful in evaluating our operating performance between periods and compared to that of our competitors because the calculation of EBITDA generally eliminates the effects of financing and income taxes and the accounting effects of capital spending and acquisitions, which items may vary between periods and for different companies for reasons unrelated to overall operating performance. As a result, our management uses EBITDA as a significant component when measuring our performance in connection with determining incentive compensation. EBITDA is not a recognized measure of operating income, financial performance or liquidity under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The items excluded from EBITDA are significant components in understanding and assessing financial performance. Therefore, while providing useful information, our EBITDA should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for consolidated statement of operations and cash flows data prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and should not be construed as an indication of a company s operating performance or as a measure of liquidity. In addition, it should be noted that companies calculate EBITDA differently and, therefore, EBITDA as presented for us may not be comparable to EBITDA reported by other companies. A reconciliation of net income to EBITDA for each of the respective periods indicated is as follows:

						Nir	e Mo	onths		Twelve Months	
		Fiscal Ye	ar Ended I	March 31,		Ended				Ended	
				January 1	l, Dec	cember 31,	December 3				
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2004		2004		2004	
				(in	thousand	s)					
Reconciliation of EBITDA:											
Net income	\$ 23,987	\$ 17,798	\$ 5,354	\$ 2,382	\$ 15,252	\$ 5,284	\$	52,932	\$	62,900	
Depreciation and amortization	9,951	11,035	11,449	11,369	11,284	8,488		8,780		11,576	
Net interest expense	41,595	44,855	42,545	47,206	51,093	38,205		40,534		53,422	
Provision for income taxes	1,809	1,223	455	1,500	3,127	1,448		10,297		11,976	
						-					
EBITDA	\$ 77,342	\$ 74,911	\$ 59,803	\$ 62,457	\$ 80,756	\$ 53,425	\$	112,543	\$	139,874	

(8) We are the owner and beneficiary of life insurance policies on (1) all former non-union employees of a predecessor company, including certain current employees of EMJ, and (2) key man life insurance policies on certain current and former executives of EMJ. The effect of these company owned life insurance policies on our pre-tax income and consists of premium expense, policy dividend growth, and proceeds (death benefits) (which are reported as general and administrative expense) and policy interest expense on policy borrowings (which is reported as a component of interest expense). Under current U.S. federal tax law, the policy dividend growth is not currently taxable, the premium is non-deductible, the proceeds (death benefits) are tax exempt and the interest is deductible up to 96% of the contract rate.

						Nine	Months	
		Fiscal Ye	ear Ended M	Iarch 31,	E	Twelve Months		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	January 1, 2004	December 31, 2004	Ended December 31, 2004
				(in t	housands)			
Calculation of COLI effect:								
Cash surrender value policy dividend growth	\$ 14,029	\$ 13,010	\$ 13,521	\$ 17,156	\$ 17,751	\$ 12,411	\$ 15,381	\$ 20,721
Cash surrender value insurance								
premium	(2,101)	(2,217)	(2,325)	(2,866)	(3,081)	(2,544)	(2,756)	(3,293)
Proceeds (death benefits)		1,230	3,062	1,754	4,851	4,359	318	810

Edgar Filing: JORGENSEN EARLE M CO /DE/ - Form S-1/A

Total operating income impact of								
COLI	11,928	12,023	14,258	16,044	19,521	14,226	12,943	18,238
Cash surrender value interest	(12,645)	(14,397)	(15,996)	(17,796)	(20,082)	(15,055)	(16,747)	(21,774)
Total pre-tax income impact of COLI	\$ (717)	\$ (2,374)	\$ (1,738)	\$ (1,752)	\$ (561)	\$ (829)	\$ (3,804)	\$ (3,536)

⁽⁹⁾ Calculated based on the average number of employees during the applicable period.

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF

FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the related notes included elsewhere in this prospectus. This discussion, including estimates and expectations under Overview regarding LIFO charges, quarterly revenues, gross margins, warehouse and delivery expenses and EBITDA (all of which are unaudited), contains forward-looking statements that are based on a number of expectations and assumptions with respect to industry performance, general business, general economic conditions and other matters and involve risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond our control. Our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in the forward-looking statements as a result of various factors, including the risks discussed in Risk Factors and elsewhere in this prospectus. See Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements. Our fiscal year ends March 31 of each applicable year.

Overview

We are a leading distributor of metal bar and tubular products used in North American manufacturing processes and have been in business for over 80 years. We purchase over 25,000 different metal products in large quantities from primary producers, including a broad mix of carbon, alloy and stainless steel and aluminum bar, tubular and plate products. We sell these metal products in smaller quantities to over 35,000 customers spanning various industries, including machine tools, industrial equipment, transportation, fluid power, oil, gas and energy, fabricated metal, and construction and agricultural equipment. We distribute our broad range of metal products and provide our customers value-added metal processing and inventory management services from our distribution network of 35 strategically located service and processing centers in the United States and Canada.

The metals service center industry is affected by market demand and metals supply. The most advantageous business conditions for the leading metals service centers in North America that have strong supply relationships with metals producers occur when domestic demand is strong and worldwide supply is limited. After many quarters of weak industrial economic conditions in the United States, the metals service center industry began to experience growth in sales volume and increases in prices during the last calendar quarter of 2003. This growth, which continued throughout 2004, has been due to growing demand for metal products in North America and emerging countries, led by China. This significant increase in demand has changed the balance of supply and demand for metal products, which has correspondingly increased prices and reduced the supply of metals. Another factor currently affecting metal prices and availability in North America is favorable exchange rates that are helping end-users that export their finished products and hurting the supply of traditionally less expensive foreign raw material coming to North America. During the first two quarters of fiscal 2005 our gross margin percentage increased as compared to the same period of fiscal 2004, mainly because of our ability to increase our selling prices to our customers due to the higher costs of most of our products. Improved customer demand, along with limited supplies of many metals and the efforts of our sales force, allowed us to pass through increased pricing to our customers. Our gross margin percentage increased because we passed those price increases on to our customers before we received higher cost material in our inventory. We account for inventory on a LIFO (last-in-first-out) basis and record a LIFO adjustment each quarter based on the anticipated reserve required at March 31 of each fiscal year. During the third and fourth quarters of fiscal 2005, we received higher cost material into our inventory and the rate of mill price increases, along with our selling prices, slowed, which caused our gross margins to decline in the third and fourth quarters of fiscal 2005. Due to fluctuations in the inflation of inventory average costs during fiscal 2005, our interim estimates of the LIFO charge for our inventory for fiscal 2005 did not fully account for the ultimate LIFO charge we expect for fiscal 2005 and thus we will make a LIFO adjustment in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005. See Cost of Sales for an explanation of our accounting for inventory on a LIFO basis. We estimate the LIFO charge for the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005 will be approximately \$32 million and the LIFO charge for the full fiscal year 2005 will be approximately \$74 million.

29

Our revenues for the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005 were approximately \$456 million, which exceeded revenues for the third quarter of fiscal 2005. We anticipate that the gross profit margins for the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005 will be lower than the gross profit margins we recorded in each quarter since April 1, 2000 because of the effect of the LIFO charge described above. We expect that our gross profit margins for the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005 will be approximately 28.9%, excluding LIFO reserve expenses. While we do not expect our warehouse and delivery expenses and selling expenses for the fourth fiscal quarter of fiscal 2005 to vary materially as a percentage of revenues from levels in the prior periods of fiscal 2005, we expect our operating expenses for this quarter will likely increase as a percentage of revenues from levels in the prior periods of fiscal 2005 due to the effect of expenses associated with the financial restructuring and the effect of a non-cash charge to mark to market the 2,461,547 shares of common stock representing the portion of the special contribution to our stock bonus plan that has not yet been contributed. The fair market value of such shares at December 31, 2004 was \$6.61 per share. At a fair market value of \$12.00 per share at March 31, 2005, which is the assumed initial public offering price per share based on the mid-point of the range shown on the cover of this prospectus, the charge for these uncontributed shares would be approximately \$13 million for the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005. We anticipate that customer demand in calendar 2005 will remain strong, but the greater availability of material and the leveling off of price increases could cause a decline in our gross profit margins and EBITDA (as defined and discussed in footnote 7 under the heading Selected Consolidated Financial and Other Data).

We believe that the metals service center industry will continue to increase its role as a valuable intermediary between primary metals producers and end-users, principally as a result of (1) the metals producers efforts to increase sales to larger volume purchasers in order to increase production efficiency and (2) increased demand by end-users for value-added services in order to reduce their costs and capital expenditures associated with the production process. We also believe that customer demand will continue to be strong and that the availability of certain steel and aluminum will remain tight in the near future. While the tight supply of steel and aluminum could disrupt our ability to meet our customers material requirements, we believe that our extensive inventory of core products, excellent customer service, excellent supplier relationships, broad network of facilities, proprietary technology, automated warehouse system and experienced management team have differentiated, and will continue to differentiate, us in the marketplace.

During the last several years, we have focused our management efforts on automating and reconfiguring our facilities to increase workflow, enhancing our information management systems to improve customer service, and streamlining our management structure, reducing headcount and decreasing corporate overhead to reduce costs. We believe our results reflect these improvements and increased demand for our metal products. For example:

during fiscal 2004 and the first nine months of fiscal 2005, we handled approximately 7,900 and 8,100 sales transactions per business day, respectively, at an average sale price of approximately \$520 and \$750 per transaction, respectively;

our tons shipped from stock inventory during the first nine months of fiscal 2005, which constituted 93.4% of our revenues from the sale of metal products for such period, was 23.1% higher compared to the first nine months of fiscal 2004;

in the first nine months of fiscal 2005, tons shipped from stock inventory per employee, based on the average number of employees during the first nine months, increased approximately 21% to 343 compared to the first nine months of fiscal 2004;

in the first nine months of fiscal 2005, our EBITDA (as defined and discussed in footnote 7 under the heading Selected Consolidated Financial and Other Data) per employee, based on the average number of employees in the period, increased 107.0% to \$67,675 from the first nine months of fiscal 2004; and

our operating margin for the first nine months of fiscal 2005 and fiscal 2004 was 9.0% and 6.3%, respectively.

Revenues

We derive substantially all of our revenues from the sale and processing of metal products. The pricing for most of our sales, which varies substantially across product lines, is set at the time of the sale. We do, however, make approximately 10% of our sales under arrangements that fix the price for a period of time. These arrangements are generally for no more than three months. When we enter into a fixed price arrangement, we typically enter into a corresponding supply arrangement with our supplier to cover the commitment to our customer. These corresponding supply arrangements limit the risk of fluctuating prices negatively impacting our margins on these fixed price arrangements.

Cost of Sales

Our cost of sales consists of the costs we pay for metals and related inbound freight charges. We account for inventory on a LIFO (last-in-first-out) basis. We calculate LIFO adjustments as of March 31 of each fiscal year. Interim estimates of the charge or credit are determined based on inflationary or deflationary purchase cost trends and inventory levels. During periods of extreme fluctuations in the inflation or deflation of inventory average costs, interim LIFO estimates may not fully account for the ultimate charge or credit for our fiscal years ended March 31.

Gross Profit

Historically, we have attempted to maintain our gross profit margins by increasing our prices as the cost of our materials increased. As a result, if prices increase and we are successful in maintaining the same gross profit margin, we generate more gross profit dollars. Conversely, if prices decline and we are not successful in maintaining the same gross profit margin, we will typically generate fewer gross profit dollars. Our average selling price in the first nine months of fiscal 2005 increased 39.2% from the first nine months of fiscal 2004.

Expenses

Our expenses primarily consist of (1) warehouse and delivery expenses, which include occupancy costs, compensation and employee benefits for warehouse personnel, processing, shipping and handling costs, (2) selling expenses, which include compensation and employee benefits for sales personnel, and (3) general and administrative expenses, which include compensation for executive officers and general management, expenses for professional services primarily attributable to accounting and legal advisory services, data communication and computer hardware and maintenance, partially offset by dividend income attributable to our company owned life insurance policies. The majority of our operating expenses are variable and fluctuate with changes in tons shipped. In addition, expenses in fiscal 2003 included a loss on early termination of debt, as described below.

Company Owned Life Insurance

We are the owner and beneficiary of life insurance policies on (1) all former non-union employees of a predecessor company, including certain current employees of EMJ, and (2) key man life insurance policies on certain current and former executives of EMJ and predecessor companies.

These policies, by providing payments to us upon the death of covered individuals and by permitting company borrowings against the cash surrender value of these policies, are designed to provide us cash to repurchase shares held by employees in our stock bonus plan and shares held individually by employees following the termination of their employment. Cash surrender value of the life insurance policies, which was \$235.7 million as of December 31, 2004 (against which we have borrowed \$203.9 million), increases by a portion of the amount of premiums paid and by dividend income earned under the policies. As specified in the terms of the insurance policies, the rates for dividends payable on the policies correspondingly increase when borrowings are outstanding under the policies. This increase in dividends is greater than the increase in the associated interest expense we incur in connection with borrowing against the cash surrender value of the policies. Dividend income earned under the policies was \$17.8 million in fiscal 2004, \$17.2 million in fiscal 2003, \$13.5 million in fiscal 2002, \$15.4 million in the first

Table of Contents

nine months of fiscal 2005 and \$12.4 million in the first nine months of fiscal 2004 and is reported as an offset to general and administrative expenses in our consolidated statements of operations. The effect of these company owned life insurance policies on our pre-tax income, which we refer to as COLI effect, is discussed in footnote 8 under the heading Selected Consolidated Financial and Other Data.

Segment

We operate in one reportable segment the metals service center industry through our network of 35 service and processing centers strategically located throughout North America, including four service centers in Canada.

Financial Restructuring

This offering is related to the financial restructuring pursuant to which Holding will be merged into a wholly owned subsidiary of EMJ and we will issue our common stock and cash to stockholders and the noteholder of Holding. Net proceeds from this offering will be used to pay the cash portion of such consideration. See Certain Relationships and Related Transactions for additional information about the financial restructuring.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of our consolidated financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates, assumptions and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. Management believes the critical accounting policies and areas that require the most significant estimates, assumptions and judgments to be used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements are revenue recognition, allowances for doubtful accounts, inventory reserves, accounting for stock options, pension and other postretirement benefits, insurance reserves, incentive compensation, contingencies and income tax accounting. Because of the uncertainty inherent in these matters, actual results could differ significantly from the estimates, assumptions and judgments we use in applying these critical accounting policies.

Revenue Recognition

We recognize revenue when products are shipped to our customers, title has passed and collectibility is reasonably assured. Revenues are shown net of returns and allowances, which historically have been less than 0.2% of annual revenues.

Allowances for Doubtful Accounts

Accounts receivable consist primarily of amounts due to us from our normal business activities. We establish allowances for doubtful accounts based on estimates of losses related to customer receivable balances. We develop estimates by using formulas or standard quantitative measures

based on accounts aging, historical losses (adjusted for current economic conditions) and, in some cases, evaluating specific customer accounts for risk of loss. We assess our allowances for doubtful accounts on a quarterly basis. Our provision for estimated losses and our write-offs for doubtful accounts recorded during each of the fiscal years ended March 31, 2002, 2003 and 2004 were less than 0.5% of each fiscal year s revenues. However, significant changes in conditions in specific markets that we serve that result in the deterioration of the financial condition of our customers in those markets and, therefore, negatively impact those customers ability to make payments to us, could require an increase in our allowances or cause our allowances to be insufficient to cover actual write-offs.

Inventory Reserves

Inventories largely consist of raw material purchased in bulk quantities from various mill suppliers to be sold to our customers. An allowance for excess inventory is maintained to reflect the expected unsaleability of

32

specific inventory items based on condition, recent sales activity and projected market demand. This allowance represented less than 2% of our total inventory as of March 31, 2002, 2003 and 2004.

Accounting for Stock Options.

The financial statements for the reporting periods prior to April 1, 2004 account for employee stock-based compensation plans under the recognition and measurement provisions of Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees and related Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) interpretations. Accordingly, compensation costs for employee stock options were measured as the excess, if any, of the estimated market price of Holding common stock at the date of grant over the appraised value, as of the latest valuation date, of the common stock on the date of grants.

During the third quarter ended December 31, 2004, we adopted the fair value recognition provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, under the modified-prospective transition method, for all employee awards granted, modified or settled after April 1, 2004, as permitted by SFAS No. 148, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure An Amendment of FASB Statement No. 123*. In accordance with SFAS No. 123, we estimated the fair value of options using an option-pricing model that takes into account assumptions such as the dividend yield, the risk-free interest rate, and the expected life of the options. Our common stock is not currently traded on a national securities exchange or an over-the-counter market, and therefore an effectively zero percent volatility was used. The dividend yield is excluded from the calculation, as it is our present intention to retain all future earnings. As a result of the adoption of SFAS No. 123, and in accordance with its provisions, we recorded a non-cash stock compensation charge of \$1,557,000 in the third quarter of fiscal 2005 for the modifications, as defined in SFAS No. 123, made to outstanding Holding options during the quarter. See Management Holding Stock Option Plan for a discussion of the modification to outstanding Holding options.

Pension and Other Postretirement Benefits

We develop our pension and other postretirement benefit costs and credits from actuarial valuations. Inherent in these valuations are key assumptions, including discount rates and expected return on plan assets. We are required to consider current market conditions, including changes in interest rates, in selecting these assumptions. Changes in the related pension and other postretirement benefit costs or credits may occur in the future due to changes in the assumptions, as well as due to fluctuations in our related headcount. In April 2004, we made an annual contribution of \$700,000 to our hourly employee pension plan as a result of changes in assumptions. We expect to make annual payments of \$700,000 over the next four years and \$400,000 thereafter to meet Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, or ERISA, minimum pension funding requirements.

Insurance Reserves

Our insurance for workers compensation, general liability, vehicle liability and, to a certain extent, health care are effectively self-insured. We use third-party administrators to process all such claims. Our third-party administrators use claims for worker s compensation, along with other factors, to establish reserves required to cover our worker s compensation liability. We also maintain reserves to cover expected medical claims to be paid subsequent to the end of a plan year or upon termination of the plan. Our management reviews our reserves associated with the exposure to these self-insured liabilities for adequacy at the end of each reporting period.

Incentive Compensation

Management incentive plans are tied to various financial performance metrics. Bonus accruals made throughout the year are based on management s best estimate of the achievement of the specific financial metrics. We make adjustments to the accruals on a quarterly basis as forecasts of financial performance are updated. At year-end, we adjust the accruals to reflect the actual results achieved.

33

Contingencies

We are subject to proceedings, lawsuits and other claims related to environmental, labor, product and other matters. We assess the likelihood of any adverse judgments or outcomes to these matters, as well as potential ranges of probable losses. We make a determination of the amount of reserves required, if any, for these contingencies after careful analysis of each individual issue. The required reserves may change in the future due to new developments in each matter or changes in approach such as a change in settlement strategy in dealing with these matters.

Income Taxes

We estimate our current tax liability after considering our temporary differences resulting from differing treatment of items, such as depreciation, for tax and accounting purposes. These temporary differences result in deferred tax assets and liabilities. We then assess the likelihood that our deferred tax assets will be recovered from future taxable income and to the extent we believe that recovery is not likely, we will establish a valuation allowance. To the extent we establish a valuation allowance or increase this allowance in a period, we will include and expense the allowance within the tax provision in our statement of operations. Significant management judgment is required in determining our provision for income taxes, our deferred tax assets and liabilities and any valuation allowance recorded against our net deferred tax assets.

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth selected operating results, including as a percentage of revenues, for the periods indicated:

		Fiscal Year Ended March 31,						ee Mon	ths Ended		Nine Months Ended				
							January 1,]	December 3	1,	January 1,		December 31	,	
	2002	%	2003	%	2004	%	2004	%	2004	%	2004	%	2004	%	
							dollars in the	ousands)						
Statement of	ř														
Operations I	Data:														
Revenues	\$ 895,058	100.0%	\$ 919,927	100.0%	\$ 1,040,367	100.0%	\$248,785	100.0%	\$ 401,683	100.0%	\$ 718,301	100.0%	\$ 1,152,589	100.0%	
Gross profit	253,067	28.3	261,365	28.4	286,101	27.5	68,805	27.7	107,751	26.8	199,907	27.8	323,854	28.1	
Expenses	204,713	22.9	210,277	22.9	216,629	20.8	54,102	21.7	91,347	22.7	154,970	21.6	220,091	19.1	
Income from															
operations	48,354	5.4	51,088	5.6	69,472	6.7	14,703	5.9	16,404	4.1	44,937	6.3	103,763	9.0	
Net interest															
expense	42,545	4.8	47,206	5.1	51,093	4.9	12,995	5.2	14,101	3.5	38,205	5.3	40,534	3.5	
Income															
before															
income taxes	5,809	0.6	3,882	0.4	18,379	1.8	1,708	0.7	2,303	0.6	6,732	0.9	63,229	5.5	
Net income	5,354	0.6	2,382	0.3	15,252	1.5	1,237	0.5	4,285	1.1	5,284	0.7	52,932	4.6	

Three Months Ended December 31, 2004 Compared to Three Months Ended January 1, 2004

Revenues. Revenues for the third quarter of fiscal 2005 increased 61.5% to \$401.7 million, from \$248.8 million for the same period in fiscal 2004, reflecting a 14.1% increase in tons shipped from stock inventory and a 51.1% increase in average selling prices when compared to the third quarter of fiscal 2004. Revenues from our domestic operations increased 60.8% to \$372.0 million in the third quarter of fiscal 2005, from \$231.4 million for the same period in fiscal 2004. The increase was due to stronger demand for products sold to customers in certain industries we serve, including fluid power, machine tools, metal service centers and wholesale trade and industrial equipment, partially offset by a decline in demand from customers in transportation. Revenues from our Canadian operations increased 70.7% to \$29.7 million in the third quarter of fiscal 2005, from \$17.4 million in the same period in fiscal 2004, due to increased capacity and efficiencies from newer facilities, effective marketing of core products and services and overall stronger economic conditions. Revenues for the third quarter were higher than

our second quarter, which is a departure from the seasonal slow down that we have historically experienced in the third quarter. While our tons shipped reflected the seasonal pattern, the higher price of materials that we passed on to customers in higher prices for our products caused revenues to increase over the second quarter of fiscal 2005.

Gross Profit. Gross profit for the third quarter of fiscal 2005 increased 56.7% to \$107.8 million, from \$68.8 million for the same period in fiscal 2004, while gross margins were 26.8% and 27.7%, respectively. Our gross profit increased due to improved customer demand and market conditions. Our gross margins decreased primarily due to industry wide price increases in the cost of metals and a slight increase in competitive conditions. Such price increases were reflected in our inventory purchases resulting in a charge of \$18.1 million in the third quarter of fiscal 2005 to cost of sales to value inventory on a last-in-first-out basis (LIFO), compared to \$0.5 million in the same period in fiscal 2004. Gross profit and gross margin from our domestic operations were \$99.7 million and 26.8%, respectively, during the third quarter of fiscal 2005, compared to \$64.5 million and 27.9%, respectively, for the same period in fiscal 2004. Gross profit and gross margin from our Canadian operations were \$8.1 million and 27.3%, respectively, during the third quarter of fiscal 2005, compared to \$4.3 million and 24.7%, respectively, for the same period in fiscal 2004.

Expenses. Total operating expenses for the third quarter of fiscal 2005 increased 68.8% to \$91.3 million, from \$54.1 million for the same period in fiscal 2004. As a percentage of revenues, operating expenses were 22.7% in the third quarter of fiscal 2005, compared to 21.7% for the same period in fiscal 2004. Operating expenses were impacted in the third quarter of fiscal 2005 by the \$17.3 million accrual for the special contribution to our stock bonus plan (this accrual will be adjusted to reflect the fair market value of the uncontributed shares, based on the market price of our common stock, at the end of each reporting period; the fair market value of these 2,461,547 uncontributed shares at December 31, 2004 was \$6.61 per share), the \$6.3 million termination fee paid to Kelso in connection with an amendment to our financial advisory agreement with Kelso, the \$3.5 million performance bonus paid to Mr. Nelson, our chief executive officer, and the non-cash charge of \$1.6 million in connection with the adoption of SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, and modification of terms of existing options. Additionally, increases in operating expenses generally reflects the changes in variable expenses as impacted by higher tons shipped, rising prices for freight and fuel, higher compensation and benefit costs, higher repair and maintenance costs for building and equipment and costs associated with the relocation and improvement of certain facilities. These higher expenses were partially offset by net gains from the sale of redundant properties in Houston and Tulsa and lower leased equipment expense. Fiscal 2004 third quarter expenses were partially offset by income attributable to our company owned life insurance programs.

Warehouse and delivery expenses for the third quarter of fiscal 2005 increased 18.3% to \$40.0 million, from \$33.8 million for the same period in fiscal 2004. As a percentage of revenues, warehouse and delivery expenses were 10.0% in the third quarter of fiscal 2005, compared to 13.6% for the same period in fiscal 2004. The increase in these expenses resulted primarily from higher freight and fuel prices due to higher tons shipped, higher compensation and benefits costs and higher equipment and building maintenance costs, partially offset by lower leased equipment expense.

Selling expenses for the third quarter of fiscal 2005 increased 15.5% to \$9.7 million, from \$8.4 million for the same period in fiscal 2004, and decreased as a percentage of revenues to 2.4% in the third quarter of fiscal 2005 from 3.4% in the third quarter of fiscal 2004. The increase in selling expenses resulted from higher incentive accruals for sales personnel due to record sales levels, overtime and vehicle lease expense. Selling incentives, however, have been declining quarterly since the first quarter of fiscal 2005 as the comparable growth levels used to calculate the incentives decrease. For example, selling incentives decreased by 54.0% for the third quarter of fiscal 2005 from the second quarter of fiscal 2005. Beginning the second quarter of fiscal 2005, selling incentives have been based on an inflation-adjusted growth for fiscal 2006 and are expected to return to more traditional levels.

General and administrative expenses for the third quarter of fiscal 2005 increased 250.4% to \$41.7 million, from \$11.9 million for the same period in fiscal 2004. These expenses were 10.4% of revenues in the third quarter

35

of fiscal 2005 and 4.8% of revenues in the same period in fiscal 2004. General and administrative expenses include the \$17.3 million accrual for the special contribution to our stock bonus plan (this accrual will be adjusted to reflect the fair market value of the uncontributed shares, based on the market price of our common stock, at the end of each reporting period; the fair market value of these 2,461,547 uncontributed shares at December 31, 2004 was \$6.61 per share), the \$6.3 million termination fee paid to Kelso in connection with an amendment to our financial advisory agreement with Kelso, the \$3.5 million performance bonus paid to Mr. Nelson, our chief executive officer, and the non-cash charge of \$1.6 million for our adoption of SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, and modification of terms of existing options. Additionally, increases in general and administrative expenses resulted from higher compensation and costs associated with the relocation and improvement of certain facilities, partially offset by a net gain from the sale of redundant properties. Fiscal 2004 expenses included costs associated with the corporate office move from Brea, California to Lynwood, California, partially offset by income attributable to our company owned life insurance programs.

As soon as practicable after, and conditioned upon consummation of the financial restructuring and this public offering, we have committed to pay a taxable public offering bonus of \$8.5 million to our employees on the closing date who are also participants in the stock bonus plan. Our expenses for the first quarter of fiscal 2006 will be adversely impacted by this charge.

Net Interest Expense. Net interest expense was \$14.1 million during the third quarter of fiscal 2005 and \$13.0 million during the same period in fiscal 2004. These amounts include interest related to our long-term debt and borrowings against the cash surrender value of company owned life insurance policies we maintain, and the amortization of debt issue costs (\$0.3 million in the third quarter of fiscal 2005 and \$0.3 million in the third quarter of fiscal 2004).

During the third quarter of fiscal 2005, the average outstanding indebtedness (excluding borrowings against the cash surrender value of our company owned life insurance policies) was \$369.7 million versus \$363.3 million during the same period in fiscal 2004. The weighted-average interest rate on such indebtedness during the third quarter of fiscal 2005 was 8.0% versus 7.7% during the same period in fiscal 2004. The average borrowings under our domestic credit facility in the third quarter of fiscal 2005 increased to \$115.1 million from \$107.1 million in the same period of fiscal 2004, and the average interest rate on such borrowings was 5.0% and 4.0%, respectively. The increase in average borrowings reflected the increased demand and pricing for the products we sell, which resulted in significant increases in inventory and accounts receivable.

The outstanding borrowings against the cash surrender value of our company owned life insurance policies were \$203.9 million at December 31, 2004 and \$183.0 million at January 1, 2004, and the total interest expense on these borrowings increased to \$6.0 million during the third quarter of fiscal 2005, compared to \$5.2 million for the same period in fiscal 2004. These increases resulted primarily from additional borrowings of \$21.6 million against the increased cash surrender value of our company owned life insurance policies in the first nine months of fiscal 2005 to pay annual premiums on the policies and to pay interest on previous borrowings, as described under

Liquidity and Capital Resources below.

Income Taxes. We realized an income tax benefit of \$2.0 million for the third quarter of fiscal 2005, compared to a tax expense of \$471,000 for the same period in fiscal 2004. Our tax benefit for the three months ended December 31, 2004 of \$2.0 million was due to the reduction in our estimated annual taxable income and the resultant reduction in our estimated effective tax rate for federal, state, and foreign income taxes. The reduction in our estimated effective annual tax rate required us to record a benefit so that our year-to-date effective tax rate approximates our estimated effective annual tax rate. The reduction in estimated annual income was primarily due to the \$17.3 million accrual for the special contribution to our stock bonus plan (this accrual will be adjusted to reflect the fair market value of the uncontributed shares, based on the market price of our common stock, at the end of each reporting period; the fair market value of these 2,461,547 uncontributed shares at December 31, 2004 was \$6.61 per share); the charge for the \$3.5 million performance bonus paid to Mr. Nelson, our chief executive officer; the non-recurring charge for the \$6.3 million termination fee paid to Kelso in

36

connection with an amendment to our financial advisory agreement with Kelso; and the non-cash charge of \$1.6 million in connection with our adoption of SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, and the modification of terms of existing options.

The tax expense for the third quarter of fiscal 2004 included provisions for state franchise and foreign income taxes only, as our federal tax provisions were offset by recognition of tax benefits associated with our net loss carryforwards.

Nine Months Ended December 31, 2004 Compared to Nine Months Ended January 1, 2004

Revenues. Revenues for the first nine months of fiscal 2005 increased 60.5 % to \$1,152.6 million, from \$718.3 million for the same period in fiscal 2004, reflecting a 23.1% increase in tons shipped from stock inventory and a 39.2% increase in average selling prices when compared to the first nine months of fiscal 2004. Revenues from our domestic operations increased 60.3% to \$1,075.2 million in the first nine months of fiscal 2005, from \$670.6 million for the same period in fiscal 2004. The increase was due to stronger demand for products sold to customers in certain industries we serve, including screw machine products, fluid power, machine tools and fabricated metal. Revenues from our Canadian operations increased 62.3% to \$77.4 million in the first nine months of fiscal 2005, from \$47.7 million in the same period in fiscal 2004, due to increased capacity and efficiencies from newer facilities, effective marketing of core products and services and overall stronger economic conditions.

Gross Profit. Gross profit for the first nine months of fiscal 2005 increased 62.0% to \$323.9 million, from \$199.9 million for the same period in fiscal 2004, while gross margins were 28.1% and 27.8%, respectively. Our gross profit and gross margins increased due to improved customer demand and market conditions, primarily in the first six months of fiscal 2005 when limited supplies of many of the products we sell allowed us to pass through increased pricing to our customers before we received higher cost metal products in our inventory. Our increased cost of metals resulted in a charge to cost of sales to record results on a last in first out basis (LIFO) of \$42.5 million in the first nine months of fiscal 2005, compared to \$0.5 million in the same period in fiscal 2004. Gross profit and gross margin from our domestic operations were \$302.4 million and 28.1%, respectively, during the first nine months of fiscal 2005, compared to \$188.3 million and 28.1%, respectively, for the same period in fiscal 2004. Gross profit and gross margin from our Canadian operations were \$21.5 million and 27.8%, respectively, during the first nine months of fiscal 2005, compared to \$11.6 million and 24.3%, respectively, for the same period in fiscal 2004.

Expenses. Total operating expenses for the first nine months of fiscal 2005 increased 42.0% to \$220.1 million, from \$155.0 million for the same period in fiscal 2004. As a percentage of revenues, operating expenses were 19.1% in the first nine months of fiscal 2005, compared to 21.6% for the same period in fiscal 2004. Operating expenses were impacted in the first nine months of fiscal 2005 by the \$17.3 million accrual for the special contribution to our stock bonus plan (this accrual will be adjusted to reflect the fair market value of the uncontributed shares, based on the market price of our common stock, at the end of each reporting period; the fair market value of these 2,461,547 uncontributed shares at December 31, 2004 was \$6.61 per share), the \$6.3 million termination fee paid to Kelso in connection with an amendment to our financial advisory agreement with Kelso, the \$3.5 million performance bonus paid to Mr. Nelson, our chief executive officer, and the non-cash charge of \$1.6 million in connection with our adoption of SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, and the modification of terms of existing options. Additionally, increases in operating expenses for the first nine months of fiscal 2005 generally reflect the changes in variable expenses impacted by higher tons shipped, rising prices for freight and fuel and higher compensation and benefit costs.

Warehouse and delivery expenses for the first nine months of fiscal 2005 increased 17.6% to \$116.1 million, from \$98.7 million for the same period in fiscal 2004. As a percentage of revenues, warehouse and delivery expenses were 10.1% in the first nine months of fiscal 2005, compared to 13.7% for the same period in fiscal 2004. The increase in these expenses resulted primarily from higher freight and fuel prices due to higher tons

37

shipped, higher overtime and temporary labor expense and higher equipment and building maintenance expenses, partially offset by lower leased equipment expense. In addition, we recorded a \$1.5 million charge in the first nine months of 2005 to reserve for specialized equipment operating lease obligations related to a parts fabrication contract that was terminated early by the customer.

Selling expenses for the first nine months of fiscal 2005 increased 40.0% to \$35.0 million, from \$25.0 million for the same period in fiscal 2004, and decreased as a percentage of revenues to 3.0% in the first nine months of fiscal 2005 from 3.5% in the first nine months of fiscal 2004. The increase in selling expenses resulted from higher incentive accruals for sales personnel due to record sales levels.

General and administrative expenses for the first nine months of fiscal 2005 increased 120.8% to \$69.1 million, from \$31.3 million for the same period in fiscal 2004. These expenses were 6.0% of revenues in the first nine months of fiscal 2005 and 4.4% of revenues in the same period of fiscal 2004. Operating expenses were impacted in the third quarter of fiscal 2005 by the \$17.3 million accrual for the special contribution to our stock bonus plan (this accrual will be adjusted to reflect the fair market value of the uncontributed shares, based on the market price of our common stock, at the end of each reporting period; the fair market value of these 2,461,547 uncontributed shares at December 31, 2004 was \$6.61 per share), the \$6.3 million termination fee paid to Kelso in connection with an amendment to our financial advisory agreement with Kelso, the \$3.5 million bonus paid to Mr. Nelson, our chief executive officer, and the non-cash charge of \$1.6 million in connection with our adoption of SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, and the modification of terms of existing options. Additionally, increases in these expenses resulted from higher compensation expense and costs associated with the relocation and improvement of certain facilities. Expenses for the first nine months of fiscal 2005 and fiscal 2004 were partially offset by a gain on sale of redundant real estate of \$2.2 million and \$1.1 million, respectively. Fiscal 2004 expenses were offset by income recognized in connection with our company owned life insurance programs.

Net Interest Expense. Net interest expense was \$40.5 million during the first nine months of fiscal 2005 and \$38.2 million during the same period in fiscal 2004. These amounts include interest related to our long-term debt and borrowings against the cash surrender value of company owned life insurance policies we maintain, and the amortization of debt issue costs (\$1.0 million in the first nine months of fiscal 2005 and 2004).

During the first nine months of fiscal 2005, the average outstanding indebtedness (excluding borrowings against the cash surrender value of our company owned life insurance policies) was \$369.3 million versus \$361.2 million during the same period in fiscal 2004. The weighted average interest rate on such indebtedness during the first nine months of fiscal 2005 was 8.0% versus 7.9% during the same period in fiscal 2004. The average borrowings under our domestic credit facility in the first nine months of fiscal 2005 increased to \$114.0 million from \$104.3 million in the same period of fiscal 2004, and the average interest rate was 4.5% for the first nine months of fiscal 2005 compared to 4.0% in the same period in fiscal 2004. The increase in average borrowings reflected the increased demand and pricing for the products we sell, which resulted in significant increases in inventory and accounts receivable.

The outstanding borrowings against the cash surrender value of our company owned life insurance policies were \$203.9 million at December 31, 2004 and \$183.0 million at January 1, 2004, and the total interest expense on these borrowings increased to \$16.7 million during the first nine months of fiscal 2005, compared to \$15.1 million for the same period in fiscal 2004. These increases resulted primarily from additional borrowings of \$21.6 million against the increased cash surrender value of our company owned life insurance policies in the first nine months of fiscal 2005 to pay annual premiums on the policies and to pay interest on previous borrowings, as described under Liquidity and Capital Resources below.

Income Taxes. Income tax expense for the first nine months of fiscal 2005 was \$10.3 million compared to \$1.4 million for the first nine months of fiscal 2004. Our effective tax rate for fiscal 2005 is estimated at 16.3%, and is based on our estimated annual taxable income tax rate for fiscal 2005, compared to an effective tax rate of

21.5% in 2004. Income tax expense for fiscal 2005 includes provisions for federal, state franchise, and foreign income taxes, and fiscal 2004 tax expense includes provisions for state franchise and foreign income taxes. The reduction in the effective tax rate in fiscal 2005 when compared to fiscal 2004, was due to our Canadian pretax income, which is taxed at a higher tax rate, making up a larger portion of consolidated pretax income in fiscal 2004. The federal tax rate for the first nine months of fiscal 2005 was 7.6% of domestic pretax income, and was lower than the U.S. federal statutory tax rate primarily due to recognition of tax benefits associated with the utilization of loss carryforwards.

Fiscal Year Ended March 31, 2004 Compared to Fiscal Year Ended March 31, 2003

Revenues. Revenues for fiscal 2004 increased 13.1% to \$1,040.4 million, compared to \$919.9 million in fiscal 2003. Revenues from our domestic operations increased 12.1% to \$970.4 million in fiscal 2004, compared to \$865.5 million in fiscal 2003, primarily as the result of an increase in tons shipped. The increase in tons shipped by our domestic operations was attributable to increased demand for our products sold to customers in certain industries we serve, including oil, gas and energy, fluid power and machine tools, particularly during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2004. Revenues also increased in fiscal 2004 as a result of an increase in prices for the products we sold during fiscal 2004 as compared to fiscal 2003. Revenues from our Canadian operations for fiscal 2004 increased 28.7% to \$70.0 million, compared to \$54.4 million in fiscal 2003, due to increased capacity and efficiencies from new facilities, effective marketing of core products and services, overall improved economic conditions and favorable currency conversion rates.

Gross Profit. Gross profit increased 9.4% to \$286.1 million in fiscal 2004, compared to \$261.4 million in fiscal 2003. Gross margin decreased to 27.5% in fiscal 2004, compared to 28.4% in fiscal 2003. The decrease in gross margin was due to increased pricing levels and surcharges imposed by metals producers that resulted in increased costs of metals during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2004. Our increased costs of metals resulted in a LIFO charge of \$14.3 million compared to a decrease in costs of metals for fiscal 2003 resulting in a \$3.4 million LIFO credit. Gross profit and gross margin from our domestic operations were \$268.2 million and 27.6%, respectively in fiscal 2004, compared to \$249.8 million and 28.9%, respectively, for fiscal 2003. Gross profit and gross margin from our Canadian operations were \$17.9 million and 25.6%, respectively, in fiscal 2004 compared to \$11.6 million and 21.3%, respectively, in fiscal 2003.

Expenses. Total operating expenses were \$216.6 million in fiscal 2004, compared to \$210.3 million in fiscal 2003. As a percentage of revenues, operating expenses were 20.8% in fiscal 2004, compared to 22.9% in fiscal 2003. The increase in operating expenses generally reflected the changes in variable expenses impacted by higher tons shipped and added capacity, partially offset by no loss resulting from early termination debt in fiscal 2004 compared to a loss of \$12.3 million resulting from early termination of debt in fiscal 2003. In addition, fiscal 2004 included higher costs for healthcare and workers compensation insurance, selling and management incentives and professional services, partially offset by income attributable to our company owned life insurance programs and gains on sale of surplus properties.

Warehouse and delivery expenses increased \$8.3 million to \$135.4 million in fiscal 2004, compared to \$127.1 million in fiscal 2003. As a percent of revenues, warehouse and delivery expenses were 13.0% in fiscal 2004, compared to 13.8% in fiscal 2003. The increase in these expenses was attributable to higher costs for healthcare and workers compensation insurance and increased expenses for utilities, maintenance, fuel and freight.

Selling expenses increased \$6.0 million or 18.6%, to \$38.3 million in fiscal 2004, compared to \$32.3 million in fiscal 2003, and increased as a percentage of revenues to 3.7% in fiscal 2004 from 3.5% in fiscal 2003. The increase in selling expenses was attributable to higher accruals for incentive compensation due to rapid growth in revenues and gross profit in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2004, and higher healthcare insurance.

General and administrative expenses decreased \$7.9 million, or 15.5%, to \$43.0 million in fiscal 2004, compared to \$50.9 million in fiscal 2003. These expenses were 4.1% of revenues in fiscal 2004 and 5.5% of

Table of Contents

revenues in fiscal 2003. The increase in these expenses reflected higher healthcare and casualty and liability insurance, an increase in professional services and higher accruals for management incentives, partially offset by higher income recognized in connection with our dividends earned under our company owned life insurance policies, currency exchange gains and gains on sale of surplus property. The increase in professional services was primarily attributable to accounting, legal and financial advisory fees in connection with our financial restructuring as initially proposed.

We recognized a loss on early retirement of debt of \$12.3 million in fiscal 2003 in connection with the early retirement of our $9^{1}/2\%$ senior notes and term loan, which consisted of the call premium paid, a payment to terminate an interest rate swap agreement, the write-off of deferred financing costs, and certain other expenses incurred in connection with the refinancing transaction. No tax benefit was recorded in connection with this loss due to the uncertainty in realizing the resulting deferred tax asset.

Net Interest Expense. Net interest expense was \$51.1 million in fiscal 2004 and \$47.2 million in fiscal 2003. These amounts include interest related to our long-term debt and borrowings against the cash surrender value of company owned life insurance policies we maintain, and the amortization of debt issue costs (\$1.3 million in fiscal 2004 and \$1.4 million in fiscal 2003).

During fiscal 2004, the average outstanding indebtedness (excluding borrowings against the cash surrender value of our company owned life insurance policies) was \$361.0 million versus \$355.5 million during fiscal 2003. The weighted-average interest rate on such indebtedness during both fiscal 2004 and fiscal 2003 was 8.0%. The average borrowings under our domestic credit facility in fiscal 2004 increased to \$104.3 million from \$96.4 million in fiscal 2003, and the average interest rate decreased to 4.2% from 4.7% in fiscal 2003. The increase in average borrowings reflected the increased demand and pricing for products we sell.

The outstanding borrowings against the cash surrender value of our company owned life insurance policies were \$182.6 million at March 31, 2004 and \$165.0 million at March 31, 2003, and the total interest expense on these borrowings increased to \$20.1 million in fiscal 2004, compared to \$17.8 million in fiscal 2003. These increases resulted primarily from additional borrowings of \$17.7 million against the increased cash surrender value of our company owned life insurance policies in November 2003 to pay annual premiums on the policies and to pay interest on previous borrowings.

Fiscal Year Ended March 31, 2003 Compared to Fiscal Year Ended March 31, 2002

Revenues. Revenues for fiscal 2003 increased 2.8% to \$919.9 million, compared to \$895.1 million in fiscal 2002. Revenues from our domestic operations increased 1.7% to \$865.5 million in fiscal 2003, compared to \$851.4 million in fiscal 2002, as the result of an increase in tons shipped. The increase in tons shipped by our domestic operations was attributable to increased demand for our products sold to customers in certain industries we serve, including machine tools and products, metal fabrication and wholesale, fluid power, and transportation, particularly during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2003. Revenues from our Canadian operations increased 24.5% to \$54.4 million, compared to \$43.7 million in fiscal 2002, due to increased capacity and efficiencies from new facilities, effective marketing of core products and services and overall improved economic conditions.

Gross Profit. Gross profit increased 3.3% to \$261.4 million in fiscal 2003, compared to \$253.1 million in fiscal 2002. Gross margin improved to 28.4%, compared to 28.3% in fiscal 2002. During fiscal 2002 and 2003, the metals service center industry was adversely impacted by weak economic conditions and weakened demand due to the uncertainty of an economic recovery. Costs for metal products were volatile due to competitive pressures resulting in decreased costs of metals. Our decreased costs of metals resulted in a LIFO credit of \$3.4 million in fiscal 2003 compared to a charge of \$0.6 million in fiscal 2002. Gross profit and gross margin from our domestic operations were \$249.8 million and

28.9%, respectively, in fiscal 2003, compared to \$243.6 million and 28.6%, respectively, for fiscal 2002. Gross profit and gross margin from our Canadian operations were \$11.6 million and 21.3%, respectively, in fiscal 2003, compared to \$9.5 million and 21.7%, respectively, in fiscal 2002.

40

Expenses. Total operating expenses were \$210.3 million in fiscal 2003, compared to \$204.7 million in fiscal 2002. As a percentage of revenues, operating expenses were 22.9% in both fiscal 2003 and in fiscal 2002. During fiscal 2003, expenses related to warehouse and delivery activities increased as a result of higher volumes shipped and from costs associated with added capacity. However, these costs were partially offset by income attributable to our company owned life insurance policies and adjustments to workers compensation reserves. Operating expenses in fiscal 2003 included a \$12.3 million loss on early retirement of debt, while operating expenses in fiscal 2002 included \$1.9 million of restructuring charges associated with workforce reductions and costs incurred in connection with facility consolidations.

Warehouse and delivery expenses increased \$2.6 million, or 2.1%, to \$127.1 million in fiscal 2003, compared to \$124.5 million in fiscal 2002. As a percentage of revenues, warehouse and delivery expenses were 13.8% in fiscal 2003, compared to 13.9% in fiscal 2002. The increase in these expenses was attributable to higher depreciation, property tax accruals and insurance costs, increased equipment lease and maintenance expenses, and higher freight and utilities costs. Some of the increase in these costs and expenses is attributable to the expansion and automation of our Chicago facility, which became operational in July 2002.

Selling expenses increased \$0.4 million, or 1.3%, to \$32.3 million in fiscal 2003, compared to \$31.9 million in fiscal 2002, and decreased as a percentage of revenues to 3.5% in fiscal 2003 from 3.6% in fiscal 2002. The increase in selling expenses was attributable to higher accruals for incentive compensation based on revenue and gross profit levels.

General and administrative expenses increased \$2.6 million, or 5.4%, to \$50.9 million in fiscal 2003, compared to \$48.3 million in fiscal 2002. These expenses were 5.5% of revenues in fiscal 2003 and 5.4% of revenues in fiscal 2002. The decrease in general and administrative expenses was attributable to higher income recognized in connection with our company owned life insurance policies, lower reserves required for workers compensation claims, and lower professional services and relocation expenses, partially offset by higher compensation expense, including accruals for management incentives, and higher provisions for bad debt. General and administrative expenses in fiscal 2002 also included a \$1.9 million restructuring charge associated with workforce reductions and costs incurred in connection with facility consolidations.

As disclosed above, we recognized loss on early retirement of debt of \$12.3 million in fiscal 2003 in connection with the early retirement of our $9^{1/2}\%$ senior notes and term loan.

Net Interest Expense. Net interest expense was \$47.2 million in fiscal 2003 and \$42.5 million in fiscal 2002. These amounts include interest related to our long-term debt and borrowings against the cash surrender value of company owned life insurance policies we maintain, and the amortization of debt issue costs (\$1.4 million in fiscal 2003 and \$1.8 million in fiscal 2002).

During fiscal 2003, the average outstanding indebtedness (excluding borrowings against the cash surrender value of our company owned life insurance policies) was \$355.5 million versus \$310.5 million in fiscal 2002. The weighted-average interest rate on such indebtedness during fiscal 2003 was 8.0% versus 7.1% during fiscal 2002. The average borrowings under our domestic credit facility in fiscal 2003 decreased to \$96.4 million from \$99.2 million in fiscal 2002, primarily due to decreased expenditures related to the automated warehouse system installation at our Chicago facility, and the average interest rate decreased to 4.7% in fiscal 2003 from 5.1% in fiscal 2002.

The outstanding borrowings against the cash surrender value of our company owned life insurance policies were \$165.0 million at March 31, 2003 and \$147.3 million at March 31, 2002, and the total interest expense on these borrowings increased to \$17.8 million in fiscal 2003, compared to \$16.0 million in fiscal 2002. These increases resulted primarily from additional borrowings of \$18.6 million against the increased cash surrender value of our company owned life insurance policies in November 2002 to pay annual premiums on the policies and to pay interest on previous borrowings.

Included in net interest expenses for fiscal 2002 was \$2.2 million of net interest paid in quarterly settlements pursuant to our interest rate swap agreement covering a notional amount of \$95.0 million under our term loan. Because the interest rate swap agreement was terminated in May 2002 in connection with the prepayment of the term loan as part of our senior debt offering, no quarterly settlements were required in fiscal 2003.

Quarterly Results of Operations

The following table presents our unaudited quarterly results of operations for the periods indicated. We derived these data from our unaudited consolidated interim financial statements, which, in the opinion of our management, include all adjustments necessary to present fairly the financial information for the periods presented. You should read this information along with our consolidated financial statements and their related notes in this prospectus. Seasonal fluctuations in our business generally occur in July, November and December, when many customers plants and production levels are impacted by retooling, vacations or holidays. Our operating results in any given quarter or series of quarters are not necessarily indicative of our results that may be expected for a full year or any future period.

Ouarter Ended

	Quantity Ended																
		un 27, 2002	Sep 27, 2002	Dec 31, 2002	Mar 200		Jun 30, 2003	_	29,	Jan 1, 2004	1	Mar 31, 2004	Jun 3		Sep 29, 2004		c 31,
	_				(:	41			-l	J-4-) (4-3)					
Ct. t t. CO t D.	4				(in	tnousa	ands, excep	ot per	snare	data) (un	audi	tea)					
Statement of Operations Da		205 100	A 225 221	# 222 5T2	A 2 4 5	000	# 220 200	d 22	1 216	A 240 70	- ф	222.07	# 261	(2)	A 200 270	Φ.40	1 (00
Revenues	\$ 2	225,133	\$ 225,231	\$ 223,573	\$ 245.		\$ 238,299		1,216	\$ 248,78		322,067			\$ 389,270		
Gross profit		63,266	63,612	63,719		,768	66,862		4,240	68,80		86,194	105,		110,542		7,751
Expenses		60,885	49,763	49,903		,726	50,621	5	0,249	54,10	2	61,657	65,	895	62,849		1,347
Income from operations		2,381	13,849	13,816	21.	,042	16,241	1:	3,991	14,70	3	24,537	39,	666	47,693	1	6,404
Net interest expense		10,368	12,189	12,529	12.	,120	12,628	1:	2,583	12,99	5	12,888	13,	112	13,321	1	4,101
Net income (loss)		(8,335)	1,556	1,153	8.	,008	2,794		1,250	1,23	7	9,970	21,	957	26,690		4,285
Pro forma net income (loss)																	
available to common																	
stockholders(1)		(18,503)	(9,700)	(10,326)	(3.	,860)	(9,423)	(1)	0,812)	(7,80	0)	(6,155)	9.	407	13,163		3,102
Pro forma net income (loss)		(-,,	(,,,,,,	(1,1 1)	ζ	, ,	(-, -,	`	-,- ,	(.,,		(-,,					
available to common																	
stockholders per share(1)(2)																	
Basic	\$	(1.55)	\$ (0.81)	\$ (0.88)	\$ (0.33)	\$ (0.81)	\$	(0.93)	\$ (0.6	8) \$	(0.54)	\$ (0.82	\$ 1.15	\$	0.27
Diluted	\$	(1.55)				0.33)			(0.93)		8) \$			0.61			0.20
Pro forma weighted average	φ	(1.55)	φ (0.61)	φ (0.66)	φ (0.55)	φ (0.61)	φ	(0.93)	φ (0.0	υ) φ	(0.54)	φ (J.01	φ 0.03	φ	0.20
shares outstanding(1)(2)		11.005	11.005	11.776	1.1	(01	11 (27	1	1 (22	11.51	_	11 422	1.1	105	11 402	- 1	1.206
Basic		11,905	11,905	11,776	11.	,691	11,637	I	1,632	11,51	b	11,433		405	11,403		1,286
Diluted													15,	467	15,465	1	5,314

- (1) For accounting purposes, the financial restructuring will be accounted for as a transfer of assets and exchange of shares between entities under common control. As such, the transaction will be accounted for in a manner similar to a pooling-of-interests. Accordingly, the financial position and results of operations and share data of Holding are included in the pro forma information on a historical cost basis. The total pro forma adjustments to net income (loss) for the quarterly periods reported consist of net interest expense for Holding, dividends accrued for the Holding series A preferred stock, dividends declared and paid-in-kind for the Holding series B preferred stock and certain management fees charged to EMJ by Holding that are not eliminated in consolidation.
- (2) The proforma basic and diluted per share information is computed based on the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding for each period. The computation of proforma diluted per share information includes the dilutive effect of common stock equivalents for outstanding options and warrants exercisable for common stock using the treasury stock method for the periods in which they are dilutive.

42

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Working Capital. Working capital increased to \$226.1 million at December 31, 2004, from \$139.5 million at March 31, 2004. The increase was attributable to an increase in inventories of \$98.6 million, or 43.3%, exclusive of our non-cash LIFO charge of \$42.5 million in fiscal 2005, due to higher prices for metal products, increased tons and changes in inventory mix and an increase in net accounts receivable of \$40.8 million, or 30.6%, due to the rapid growth of sales, partially offset by an increase in accounts payable and accrued liabilities. During the first nine months of fiscal 2005, our primary source of cash consisted of borrowings under our credit facilities, which increased by \$39.2 million to \$92.7 million at December 31, 2004 from \$53.5 million at March 31, 2004. Our primary uses of cash in the first nine months of fiscal 2005 included \$22.9 million to fund operating activities, compared to \$27.3 million in the same period of fiscal 2004, and \$19.6 million for capital expenditures, compared to \$6.8 million in the same period of fiscal 2004 when compared to fiscal 2005. The decrease in cash used in operating activities was primarily attributable to higher net income offset by increases in inventory to support sales growth, higher prices for metal products and higher accounts receivable due to increased sales.

Working capital decreased to \$139.5 million at March 31, 2004 when compared to \$150.2 million at March 31, 2003. The decrease was attributable to an increase in our accounts payable and accrued liabilities of \$55.2 million resulting from increased demand during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2004, partially offset by higher accounts receivable of \$35.8 million and an increase in our inventory of \$11.7 million. Our primary sources of cash in fiscal 2004 consisted of funds provided by operations of \$29.5 million and proceeds from sale of assets of \$1.5 million. Our primary uses of cash in fiscal 2004 consisted of (1) capital expenditures of \$10.5 million, (2) dividends to Holding of \$5.8 million for the redemption of stock from retiring and terminated EMJ employees and (3) net payments under our credit facilities of \$19.4 million. The redemption of \$5.8 million of Holding capital stock from retiring and terminated EMJ employees was required by the terms of our stock bonus plan and by the Holding s stockholders agreement. This amount was lower than the amount paid in fiscal 2003 and in fiscal 2002 due to the timing of distributions, the number and mix of shares being purchased and changes in stock prices. We expect that these redemptions for fiscal 2005 will be similar to those paid in fiscal 2004, although the amount or timing of these expenditures is not within our control and there can be no assurance in this regard. Effective upon the consummation of this offering and the financial restructuring, we will assume Holding s obligations under the stock bonus plan and participants will no longer have the right to put shares of Holding common stock to Holding or us upon termination of their employment.

Capital expenditures. Capital expenditures were \$10.5 million in fiscal 2004, \$15.3 million in fiscal 2003 and \$24.5 million in fiscal 2002. Capital expenditures in fiscal 2004 were primarily for routine replacement of machinery and equipment, facility improvements and expansions, including the expansion and automation of our facility in Chicago, and the purchase of computer hardware and software. For the first nine months of fiscal 2005, our capital expenditures totaled \$19.6 million, of which \$9.0 million was spent on the relocation of our facility within Houston, Texas, relocation of our Chattanooga, Tennessee satellite facility to a new satellite facility in Birmingham, Alabama and expansion of a facility in Wrightsville, Pennsylvania, \$6.0 million was spent on routine replacement of machinery and equipment, \$3.7 million was spent on facility improvements and \$0.9 million was spent on additions and enhancements to our information systems. We spent approximately \$2.9 million on additional capital expenditures during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2005. Our board of directors has approved spending of approximately \$25.3 million on capital expenditures during fiscal 2006, primarily for expanding the Kasto system in Chicago and other facility improvements and expansions, new satellite facilities, routine replacement of machinery and equipment and additions and enhancements to our information systems.

Sources of Liquidity. As of December 31, 2004, our primary sources of liquidity were available borrowings of \$99.0 million under our domestic credit facility, cash and cash equivalents of approximately \$5.8 million, available borrowings of approximately \$3.5 million against the company owned life insurance policies we maintain and internally generated funds.

Domestic Credit Facility. On March 4, 2005, our new amended and restated domestic credit facility became effective, providing for an increase in maximum borrowings, a reduction in the applicable interest rate and increased flexibility with respect to capital expenditures, restricted payments and investments. Our amended and restated domestic credit facility allows maximum borrowings of the lesser of \$300.0 million, including letters of

credit, and an amount equal to 85% of eligible trade receivables plus 60% of eligible inventories. At December 31, 2004, we had \$91.6 million of revolving loans and \$9.4 million of letters of credit outstanding under our domestic credit facility. Borrowings under our amended and restated domestic credit facility bear interest at a base rate (generally defined as the greater of Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas prime lending rate or 0.5% over the Federal Funds Rate) plus 1.00% or the adjusted Eurodollar rate plus 2.00%. The applicable margin will increase or decrease based on the leverage ratio (as defined in the amended and restated domestic credit facility). At December 31, 2004, the bank s prime lending rate was 5.25% and the Eurodollar rate was 2.13%. Borrowings under our amended and restated domestic credit facility are secured by our domestic inventory and accounts receivable. Under the amended and restated domestic credit facility, we are obligated to pay certain fees, including an unused commitment fee of 0.375%, payable monthly in arrears, and letter of credit fees of 2.00% per year of the maximum amount available to be drawn under each letter of credit, payable quarterly in arrears, plus other standard fees. The amended and restated domestic credit facility also contains a financial covenant concerning the maintenance of a fixed charge coverage ratio. The amended and restated domestic credit facility also limits, among other things, the incurrence of liens and other indebtedness, mergers, consolidations, the sale of assets, annual capital expenditures, advances, investments and loans by us and our subsidiaries, dividends and other restricted payments by us and our subsidiaries in respect of capital stock, and certain transactions with affiliates. As of December 31, 2004, we were in compliance with all covenants under our amended and restated domestic credit facility. In December 2004, prior to amending and restating our credit facility, we received an amendment to the credit facility and consents from our bank syndicate to cover planned expenditures exceeding the covenant limitations on capital expenditures for fiscal 2005, the financial restructuring, the payment of the termination fee to Kelso, the special contribution to our stock bonus plan, the redemption of stock options to purchase Holding common stock from Mr. Nelson, our chief executive officer, and the payment of the performance bonus to Mr. Nelson. Our credit facility will mature in March 2010.

Redemption of Mr. Nelson Stock Options. A cash payment was made to Maurice S. Nelson, Jr., president and chief executive officer of EMJ and Holding, for \$3,006,000 (equal to the difference between the appraised value of the Holding common stock as of March 31, 2004 of \$13.76 and the exercise price of \$5.41 per share) in consideration of the redemption of options to purchase 360,000 shares of Holding common stock held by Mr. Nelson.

Company Owned Life Insurance Policies. As of December 31, 2004, we had borrowed \$203.9 million against the cash surrender value of the life insurance policies that we own. These policies are non-recourse and bear interest at a rate 0.5% greater than the dividend income rate on the policies. As of December 31, 2004, there was approximately \$31.8 million of cash surrender value in all life insurance policies we maintain, net of borrowings.

Industrial Revenue Bonds. We have issued industrial revenue bonds in connection with significant facility improvements and construction projects. As of December 31, 2004, our outstanding industrial revenue bond indebtedness amounted to \$0.5 million payable in one remaining installment with interest at 9.0% and \$3.6 million payable in annual installments of \$0.7 million with interest at 5.25%. As of December 31, 2004, principal payments required by our outstanding industrial revenue bond indebtedness amounted to \$1.2 million in fiscal 2006, \$0.7 million in each of fiscal 2007, 2008 and 2009 and \$0.8 million in the aggregate thereafter through fiscal 2010.

Senior Secured Notes. As of December 31, 2004, we had \$250.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 9 3/4% senior secured notes outstanding, with interest payable semi-annually on June 1 and December 1. Our notes are secured by a first priority lien (subject to permitted liens) on substantially all of our current and future acquired unencumbered real property, plant and equipment. We will not be required to make any principal payments on our senior secured notes until their maturity in fiscal 2013. The indenture under which our senior secured notes are issued contains covenants that limit our ability to incur additional debt, pay dividends and make distributions, make certain investments, transfer and sell assets, create certain liens in favor of other entities, engage in sale-leaseback transactions, engage in certain transactions with affiliates, and consolidate or merge or sell all or substantially all of our assets.

Table of Contents 87

44

Canadian Subsidiary Liquidity. Our Canadian subsidiary has available its own credit facilities of up to C\$9.0 million, consisting of a revolving credit facility of C\$5.5 million, a term financial instruments facility of C\$3.0 million to be used for hedging foreign currency and rate fluctuations, and a special credit facility of C\$0.5 million for letters of guarantee in connection with a lease for our facility in Toronto, Ontario. As of December 31, 2004, C\$1.3 million (\$1.1 million) was outstanding under the revolving credit facility and a letter of guarantee for C\$0.5 million (\$0.4 million) was issued. There were no amounts outstanding under our term financial instrument facility as of December 31, 2004.

Future Needs. Our ongoing cash requirements for debt service and related obligations are expected to consist primarily of interest payments under our domestic credit facility, interest payments on our 9 3/4% senior secured notes, capital expenditures and principal and interest payments on our industrial revenue bonds.

We believe our sources of liquidity and capital resources are sufficient to meet all currently anticipated short-term and long-term operating cash requirements, including debt service payments on our credit facility and 9 3/4% senior secured notes prior to their scheduled maturities in fiscal 2010 and fiscal 2013, respectively. We may need to replace or to refinance all or a portion of our credit facility and/or the 9 3/4% senior secured notes prior to their maturity. If we are unable to satisfy our debt obligations or to timely refinance or replace our debt, we may need to sell assets, reduce or delay capital investments or raise additional capital to be able to effectively operate our business.

Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes our contractual cash obligations as of March 31, 2004. Certain of these contractual obligations are reflected on our consolidated balance sheet, while others are disclosed as future obligations.

	Payments Due By Period									
Contractual Obligations	Total	Fiscal Fiscal 2005 2006		Fiscal 2007	Fiscal 2008	Fiscal 2009	Thereafter			
			(i	n thousands)	· ·					
Long-term debt	\$ 309,738	\$ 3,976	\$ 1,215	\$ 52,392	\$ 715	\$ 715	\$ 250,725			
Capital lease obligations										
Operating leases(1)	100,054	18,422	14,315	10,323	7,337	5,718	43,939			
Purchase obligations										
Other long-term liabilities(2)	7,638	875	900	925	950	675	3,313			
Total	\$ 417,430	\$ 23,273	\$ 16,430	\$ 63,640	\$ 9,002	\$ 7,108	\$ 297,977			

⁽¹⁾ Excludes sublease income of \$7,960, \$711, \$816, \$828, \$840, \$845 and \$3,920 for the above periods, respectively.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

⁽²⁾ Includes estimated annual payments based on prior years trended amounts for postretirement benefits and estimated annual payments of \$700 over the next four years and \$400 thereafter to meet ERISA minimum pension funding requirements that began in fiscal 2004.

We do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements.

Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

In the ordinary course of business, we are exposed to various market risk factors caused by changes in commodity prices, interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates. We do not use financial instruments or enter into hedging transactions for speculative or trading purposes with respect to managing these market risks. However, we have used and will continue to evaluate the use of financial instruments to reduce our exposure to higher interest costs during periods of rising interest rates. At December 31, 2004 and March 31, 2004, no such financial instruments existed. Our exposure to changing interest rates is limited to our domestic revolving credit

45

facility such that our results of operations and cash flows during the first nine months of fiscal 2005 would have been impacted by approximately \$1.1 million for each 1% increase or decrease in the applicable interest rate.

The currency used by our foreign subsidiaries is the applicable local currency. Exchange adjustments resulting from foreign currency transactions are recognized in net earnings, and adjustments resulting from the translation of financial statements are included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) within our stockholder s equity (deficit). Our exposure to market risk for changes in foreign currency exchange rates is limited to our Canadian operations and the Canadian dollar. Changes in the exchange rate of the Canadian dollar have not had and are not expected to have a material impact on our results of operations and cash flows. We estimate that a 10% change in the average exchange rate of the Canadian dollar during the first nine months of fiscal 2005 would have impacted our net income by approximately \$0.8 million. We do not expect to hedge our exposure to foreign currency fluctuations in the foreseeable future.

Recent Accounting Developments

In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123 (Revised), *Share Based Payment*. SFAS No. 123(R), which replaces SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, supersedes APB Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees* and amends SFAS No. 95, *Statement of Cash Flows*. Generally, the approach in SFAS No. 123(R) is similar to the approach described in SFAS No. 123, which we adopted in December 2004. SFAS No. 123(R) requires all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the financial statements based on their fair values (i.e., pro forma disclosure is no longer an alternative to financial statement recognition). SFAS No. 123(R) is effective for public companies at the beginning of the first interim or annual period beginning after June 15, 2005.

In December 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 132 (revised December 2003), *Employers Disclosures about Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits* (SFAS No. 132(R)). This statement amends the disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 132 to require more details about retirement plan assets, benefit obligations, cash flows and other relevant information. SFAS No. 132(R) is effective for years ending after December 15, 2003, except certain benefit payment and international plan disclosures that are effective for fiscal years after June 15, 2004. The adoption of the disclosure provisions of SFAS No. 132(R) did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2003, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 46 (Revised), *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities* (FIN No. 46(R)). FIN No. 46(R) provides the principles to consider in determining when variable interest entities must be consolidated in the financial statements of the primary beneficiary. In general, a variable interest entity used for business purposes that either (1) does not have equity investors with voting rights or (2) has equity investors that are not required to provide sufficient financial resources for the entity to support its activities without additional subordinated financial support. FIN No. 46(R) requires a variable interest entity to be consolidated by a company if that company is subject to a majority of the risk of loss from the variable interest entity s activities or entitled to receive a majority of the entity s residual returns or both. This statement did not have an effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 150, *Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity*, which establishes standards for how an issuer classifies and measures certain financial instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equities. The provisions of SFAS No. 150 are effective for financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003. The adoption of SFAS No. 150 had no effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In April 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 149, Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Transactions, which amends and clarifies financial accounting and reporting for derivative instruments, including those embedded in other contracts, and for hedging

activities under SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*. The provisions of SFAS No. 149 are effective for contracts entered into or modified after June 30, 2003. As of December 31, 2004, we had no such instruments.

46

BUSINESS

We were formed on May 3, 1990, when affiliates of Kelso & Company, L.P., a private investment firm, acquired control of and combined two leading metals distributors, Earle M. Jorgensen Company (founded in 1921) and Kilsby-Roberts Holding Co. (successor to C.A. Roberts Company, founded in 1915). In connection with the combination of these two companies, we became a wholly owned subsidiary of Holding. After the consummation of the financial restructuring, Holding will cease to exist and the noteholder and stockholders of Holding will become stockholders of EMJ.

We are a leading distributor of metal bar and tubular products used by North American manufacturing companies and have been in business for over 80 years. We purchase over 25,000 different metal products in large quantities from primary producers, including a broad mix of carbon, alloy and stainless steel and aluminum bar, tubular and plate products. We sell these metal products in smaller quantities to over 35,000 customers spanning various industries, including machine tools, industrial equipment, transportation, fluid power, oil, gas and energy, fabricated metal, and construction and agricultural equipment. We distribute our broad range of metal products and provide our customers value-added metal processing and inventory management services from our distribution network of 35 strategically located service and processing centers in the United States and Canada.

Our metal processing services consist of cutting to length, burning, sawing, honing, shearing, grinding, polishing and performing other similar services on most of the metal products we sell, all to customer specifications. As part of our inventory management services, we schedule deliveries in the quantities and at the times required by just-in-time manufacturing processes employed by a growing number of leading manufacturing companies and provide our customers with an on-time product delivery guarantee.

During the past several years, we have focused our management efforts on automating and reconfiguring our facilities to increase workflow, enhancing our information management systems to improve customer service, and streamlining our management structure, reducing headcount, and decreasing corporate overhead to reduce costs. We believe that our efficient operating structure enables us to achieve gross profit per employee levels that are considerably higher than those of our major competitors.

Industry Overview

Metals service centers function as key intermediaries between the metals producers that desire to sell large volumes to few customers and the end-users that need specific products in smaller quantities. Primary metals producers, which manufacture and sell large volumes of steel, aluminum and specialty metals in standard sizes and configurations, generally sell only to large end-users and metals service centers that do not require processing of the products and who can order in large quantities and tolerate relatively long lead times. We believe that the role of the primary metals producers will not change in the foreseeable future and that these producers will continue to focus on providing efficient and volume-driven production of a limited number of standardized metal products. The metals distribution industry is fragmented, with approximately 1,300 participants throughout North America, the largest of which represented less than 5% of estimated industry sales in North America of \$50 billion in 2003. Based on 2003 data on the North American industry, approximately \$27.0 billion of sales were attributable to the 50 largest metals service centers in North America. The industry includes both general-line distributors, like us, that handle a wide range of metal products and specialty distributors that specialize in particular categories of metal products. Most of the companies in the metals distribution industry have a product mix more heavily weighted toward flat products, such as sheet and plate. The bar and tubular products that are our core products tend to be sold in smaller quantities, with shorter lead times and at higher gross margins than flat products, such as sheet and plate. Geographic coverage by metals service centers is influenced by their national, regional and local representation.

We believe that a low-cost position coupled with excellent customer service, including breadth and availability of product offerings, timely and reliable delivery and responsiveness to customer needs, are the

critical success factors that differentiate between various service centers. For example, metals end-users are increasingly moving to lean manufacturing models that require metal products to be delivered to them on an as-needed basis. This has increased these end-users need for service centers that can meet their delivery requirements by maintaining an extensive inventory of available metal products.

Competitive Strengths

We believe that the following factors contribute to our success in the metals service center industry:

Excellent Product Selection and Service. We are a recognized leader in the metals service center industry, with an excellent reputation for quality and service built over our 80 years of operation. We have an extensive inventory of core products, including one of the most extensive lines of bar and tubular products in North America. Over the last several years, we have further enhanced our reputation by implementing a program for our customers in which we guarantee on-time delivery of our products or they are free. This program, which we believe is unique among our major competitors in North America, has been very successful, with on-time performance of approximately 99% since its inception in 1999. Our broad network of service and processing centers and our proprietary information management systems have been critical to our ability to guarantee our service. Further, we have received numerous quality and service awards from our customers and have consistently demonstrated our commitment to improve our business in order to better satisfy our customers needs, including being among the first in our industry to receive ISO 9002 and QS 9000 certifications and providing electronic commerce capabilities.

Excellent Supplier Relationships. We believe we are one of the largest purchasers of steel bars and tubing in North America, and one of the leading distribution customers in our core products for each of our major suppliers. These supplier relationships enable us to better meet our customers demands for metals during periods of tight supply, such as the one our industry is currently experiencing.

Broad Network of Strategically Located Facilities and Diverse Customer Base. Our 35 service and processing centers are strategically located throughout North America, generally within one day s delivery time to almost all U.S. manufacturing centers. Our broad service network enables us to provide services to national customers with multiple locations, as well as to smaller single-site customers. We serve more than 35,000 customers across a broad range of industries, with no single customer accounting for more than 2% of our revenues in fiscal 2004 or the first nine months of fiscal 2005. Our ten largest customers represented approximately 10% of our revenues in fiscal 2004, and the average length of these customer relationships was approximately 14 years. During fiscal 2004 and the first nine months of fiscal 2005, we handled approximately 7,900 and 8,100 sales transactions per business day, respectively, at an average sales price of approximately \$520 and \$750 per transaction, respectively.

Focus on Information Management Systems. Through our proprietary information management systems, we track and allocate inventory among all of our locations, maintain high levels of customer service through better order and product reference data and monitor our operating results. We track our entire inventory on a real-time basis through our information management systems, which allows our salespeople and operating employees to have visibility into in-process orders and enables us to meet our on-time delivery guarantee. Our in-house information technology team interacts closely with our salesforce and operations personnel.

Warehouse Automation. We completed the installation of an automated inventory storage and retrieval system in our largest facility, which is located in Chicago, in the third quarter of fiscal 2004. This fully operational system, which we refer to as the Kasto system, allows us to streamline order filling and improve employee productivity, resulting in reduced material handling and processing costs and increased order fill rates. For example, warehouse cost per ton at our Chicago facility, which services regional customers and also supplies products to all of our other service centers, has decreased approximately 17.1% from \$96.33 in the second quarter of fiscal 2004, the quarter immediately preceding

the completion of the automated warehouse system s installation, to \$79.86 in the first nine months of fiscal 2005.

48

Experienced Management Team. Our senior management team has an average of 29 years of industry experience. Our chief executive officer, Maurice S. Nelson, Jr., has spent over 42 years in the metals industry with us and at Inland Steel Company and Alcoa Inc. Mr. Nelson was named the Service Center Executive of the Year for 2001 by Metal Center News and served as chairman of the Metal Service Center Institute during the 2002-2003 term.

Operating and Growth Strategy

Our primary business goals are to increase market share and to improve operating profits and cash flows. Our operating and growth strategies to accomplish these goals consist of the following elements:

Focus on Core Products. We believe our purchasing volumes for our core bar and tubular products enable us to achieve among the lowest available product acquisition costs for these products among metals service centers in North America. We believe we can grow our market share and increase profitability by continuing to focus our marketing efforts on our core products and capitalizing on our procurement advantage. We intend to leverage our strength in our core products to enable us to establish competitive advantages in our local markets as well as to allow us to successfully compete for larger national programs with customers.

Focus on Timely and Reliable Delivery and Value-Added Services. We believe our guarantee to provide on-time delivery service will continue to differentiate us from our competition and enable us to increase our market share. In addition, we seek to increase our margins and grow our market share by complementing our metal product sales efforts with value-added services, such as inventory management and processing activities, including our special stocking programs and cutting and honing operations.

Expand Satellite Operations. We believe a key aspect of serving our current customers and acquiring new customers is having a physical presence in markets that require our products and services. Accordingly, we have been implementing a strategy to target those geographic areas where we can justify opening a satellite location. These locations are managed locally by warehouse and delivery personnel, stock a limited inventory of core products and require minimal initial and maintenance capital expenditures, resulting in a low-cost opportunity to serve select markets. Each satellite operation is supported by inventory, inside salespeople and the general management of one of our larger service centers. During the past 18 months, we have opened satellite facilities in Orlando, Florida and northern Ontario and have recently relocated our successful Chattanooga, Tennessee satellite facility to a larger new satellite facility in Birmingham, Alabama. We continue to evaluate additional satellite locations and expect to open a satellite facility in Spokane, Washington in May 2005.

Maintain Technology Leadership. We have made and will continue to make investments in technology in order to differentiate our capabilities from those of our competitors. We intend to continue to enhance our information management systems by upgrading software and hardware to improve the connectivity, stability and reliability of these systems, which will help us continue to improve customer service. To further improve our productivity and efficiency, we are (1) expanding the warehouse automation system in our Chicago facility and evaluating the implementation of the warehouse automation systems in our other facilities, (2) creating a centralized data warehouse to facilitate greater access to transactional information, (3) developing new electronic commerce tools for customer and vendor interfaces to offer better compatibility with various systems and (4) implementing IP telephony to reduce costs and allow for greater customer service flexibility.

Products and Suppliers

We have designated certain carbon and alloy, stainless and aluminum products as core product offerings under our bar and tubular product lines. We also offer certain plate and other products. Each of our service centers stocks a broad range of shapes and sizes of each of these products, as dictated by market demand, in an effort to be a market leader in all of the core product lines in its geographic area. We process most of the metal products we sell by cutting to length, burning, sawing, honing, shearing, grinding, polishing, and performing similar services on them, all to customer specifications. These processing services save our customers time, labor and expense, which helps them better manage their manufacturing costs. As part of our inventory management

services, we schedule deliveries in the quantities and at the times required by just-in-time manufacturing processes employed by a growing number of leading manufacturing companies and provide our customers with an on-time product delivery guarantee. Our inventory management services also include special stocking programs and management of inventory levels for items specified by customers based on their forecasts.

Carbon steel bar products (hot-rolled and cold-finished) and carbon plate and sheet are used in a wide range of products and applications, including construction equipment, agricultural equipment, automotive and truck manufacturing and oil exploration. Stainless steel bar and plate are used widely in the chemical, petrochemical, and oil refining and biomedical industries where resistance to corrosion is important. Aluminum bar and plate are frequently used in aircraft and aerospace applications where weight is a factor. Different tubular products are appropriate for particular uses based on different characteristics of the tubular materials, including strength, weight, resistance to corrosion and cost. Carbon steel tubing and pipe are used in general manufacturing. Alloy tubing is used frequently in the manufacturing of oil field equipment and farm equipment. Stainless steel tubing and pipe are used in applications requiring a high resistance to corrosion, such as food processing. Aluminum tubing and pipe are used in applications that put a premium on lightweight, such as aerospace manufacturing.

The percentage of revenues generated from sales of material by product group for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2002, 2003 and 2004 and the nine months ended January 1, 2004 and December 31, 2004 is as follows.

	Fis	cal Year Ende March 31,	Nine Months Ended		
	2002	2003	2004	January 1, 2004	December 31, 2004
Bars:					
Carbon and Alloy	36.6%	37.4%	37.4%	36.8%	41.0%
Stainless	11.9	11.3	11.7	11.6	10.9
Aluminum	7.7	7.9	8.1	8.2	7.1
Brass	0.7	1.0	1.4	1.3	1.4
Total	56.9	57.6	58.6	57.9	60.4
Tubing:					
Carbon and Alloy	24.6	24.6	23.6	24.0	23.2
Stainless	3.0	2.9	2.8	2.8	2.3
Aluminum	3.6	3.2	2.7	2.8	2.1
Total	31.2	30.7	29.1	29.6	27.6
Plate:					
Carbon and Alloy	3.9	3.5	3.2	3.0	3.7
Stainless	1.5	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.1
Aluminum	2.3	2.4	2.0	2.1	2.1
Total	7.7	7.2	6.4	6.3	6.9
Other	4.2	4.5	5.9	6.2	5.1
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

The majority of our procurement activities are conducted by a centralized merchandising office in our Chicago facility. Specialists in major product lines make the majority of inventory purchases on behalf of our service centers. This merchandising group develops and evaluates the

working relationships with high-quality suppliers to ensure availability, quality and timely delivery of product. Because of this centralized coordination and the total volume of purchases we make, we often are a recognized distributor for major metals suppliers and believe that we are able to purchase our core products inventory at among the lowest unit cost available to North American metals service centers.

The majority of our inventory purchases are made by purchase order, and we have no significant supply contracts with periods in excess of one year. We are not dependent on any single supplier for any product or for a

50

significant portion of our purchases. In fiscal 2004 and the first nine months of fiscal 2005, no single supplier represented more than 10% of our total purchases. We purchased less than 15% of our inventory from foreign-based suppliers in fiscal 2004.

Customers and Markets

We provide metal products and value-added metal processing and inventory management services to over 35,000 customers throughout North America that do business in a wide variety of industries. No customer represented more than 2% of our revenues in fiscal 2004 or the first nine months of fiscal 2005. During fiscal 2004 and the first nine months of fiscal 2005, we processed approximately 7,900 and 8,100 sales transactions per business day, respectively, at an average sale price of approximately \$520 and \$750 per transaction, respectively. In addition, sales of material out of our stock inventory (referred to as stock sales) represented 89.7%, 90.8%, 91.7% and 93.4% of our revenues from the sale of metal products for fiscal 2002, 2003 and 2004 and the first nine months of fiscal 2005, respectively. We believe our ability to support this high proportion of stock sales is critical in growing market share and maintaining higher gross margins than would otherwise be possible. The balance of revenues represents special customer requirements that we meet by arranging mill-direct sales and by making buy-outs from other distributors of inventory items we do not maintain as stock inventory. These non-stock sales generally have lower gross margins than stock sales, but provide a valuable customer service. For information concerning the seasonal nature of our business, see Management s Discussion and Analysis Quarterly Results of Operations.

The following table provides the percentage of tons we sold to domestic customers in the largest industries we serve for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2002, 2003 and 2004 and the nine months ended January 1, 2004 and December 31, 2004.

	Fise	cal Year Ende March 31,	Nine Months Ended			
	2002	2003	2004	January 1, 2004	December 31, 2004	
Machine Tools	27.4%	27.0%	28.1%	27.9%	29.1%	
Industrial Equipment	9.5	8.7	7.7	8.9	8.8	
Transportation	8.5	8.1	7.5	8.1	7.2	
Metal Service Centers & Wholesale Trade	6.3	6.6	6.1	6.1	6.2	
Fluid Power	4.1	4.7	6.0	5.0	5.2	
Oil, Gas & Energy	4.3	4.2	5.8	4.2	3.7	
Fabricated Metal	6.3	6.6	5.7	6.3	6.5	
Construction/Agricultural Equipment	8.4	7.9	5.1	7.6	7.3	
Screw Machine Products	4.4	6.0	5.0	5.8	6.1	
Power Transmission Equipment	2.7	3.4	3.7	3.8	3.9	
All Other Industries	18.1	16.8	19.3	16.3	16.0	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Most of our sales originate from individual purchase orders, with the pricing set at the time of the sale, and are not subject to ongoing supply contracts. When we enter into a fixed price arrangement, we typically enter into a corresponding supply arrangement with our supplier to cover the commitment to our customer. These corresponding supply arrangements limit the risk of fluctuating prices negatively impacting our margins on these fixed price arrangements. These arrangements provide the customer with greater certainty as to timely delivery, price stability and continuity of supply, and sometimes satisfy particular processing or inventory management requirements. In addition, these arrangements have

resulted in new customer relationships and increased sales volumes, but can have a lower gross margin than our ordinary sales. These fixed price arrangements, in the aggregate, represented approximately 10% of our total revenues in fiscal 2004.

Competition

We compete with numerous national, regional and local service centers of various sizes and capabilities. Some of these service centers are general line service centers and some specialize in a narrow range of products (such as stainless bars). We compete based on inventory availability, timely delivery, price, customer service, quality and processing capabilities. We believe that our extensive inventory of core products, excellent customer service, excellent supplier relationships, broad network of facilities, proprietary technology, automated warehouse system and experienced management team enable us to compete effectively in our industry.

Information Management Systems

Our proprietary information management systems enable us to track and allocate inventory among all of our locations, improve customer service through better order and product reference data and monitor operating results. The ability to track our inventory system-wide on a real-time basis allows our salespeople to integrate ordering and scheduling, which enables us to meet our on-time delivery guarantee. Our proprietary systems have been and will continue to be upgraded, developed and implemented to improve efficiencies and customer service.

Intellectual Property and Licenses

EMJ® and E-METALS® are registered trademarks, and our name is a registered service mark, in the United States and in other countries where we do or expect to do business. Other service marks, including hallmarks, logos, taglines or mottos, used to conduct business are or will be registered as necessary to protect our proprietary rights. We also own our Internet domain name, emjmetals.com. We consider certain information owned by us to be trade secrets, and we take measures to protect the confidentiality and control the disclosure of such information. We believe that these safeguards adequately protect our proprietary rights. While we consider all of our intellectual property rights as a whole to be important, we do not consider any single right to be essential to our operations.

Employees

As of December 31, 2004, EMJ employed 1,693 persons, of whom 1,053 were employed in warehouse operations or shipping, 364 were employed in sales and 276 served in executive, administrative or district office capacities. Three different unions represent approximately 33% of our employees from 15 locations. Our collective bargaining agreements expire on staggered dates between 2005 and 2010. We believe we have a good overall relationship with our employees and do not expect any significant issues to arise in connection with collective bargaining agreements in the near future.

Properties

We currently maintain 35 strategically located service centers, including two plate processing centers, one cutting center and one tubular honing facility. Of these service centers, 31 are located in various cities throughout the United States and four are located in Canada. We are headquartered in Lynwood, California and have a sales office in Hartford, Connecticut and a merchandising office in Chicago, Illinois. Our

facilities generally are capable of being utilized at higher capacities than they are currently being utilized, if necessary. Most leased facilities have initial terms of more than one year and include renewal options. While some of the leases expire in the near term, we do not believe that we will have difficulty renewing such leases or finding alternative sites. Our 9 3/4% senior secured notes are secured by a first priority lien (subject to permitted liens) on substantially all of our current and future acquired unencumbered real property.

Set forth below is a table summarizing certain information with respect to our 35 service centers.

United States: Birmingham, Alabama(1) Owned Phoenix, Arizona Owned Little Rock, Arkansas Leased Hayward, California Leased Los Angeles, California (a distribution center and a plate processing center) Owned Denver, Colorado Leased Orlando, Florida(1) Leased Chicago, Illinois(2) Owned Indianapolis, Indiana Owned Eldridge, Iowa Leased Boston, Massachusetts Owned Detroit, Michigan(1) Leased Minneapolis, Minnesota Owned	Square Footage
Birmingham, Alabama(1) Phoenix, Arizona Owned Little Rock, Arkansas Leased Hayward, California Los Angeles, California (a distribution center and a plate processing center) Owned Denver, Colorado Orlando, Florida(1) Leased Chicago, Illinois(2) Indianapolis, Indiana Eldridge, Iowa Boston, Massachusetts Owned Detroit, Michigan(1) Leased Minneapolis, Minnesota Owned Owned	approximately)
Birmingham, Alabama(1) Phoenix, Arizona Owned Little Rock, Arkansas Leased Hayward, California Los Angeles, California (a distribution center and a plate processing center) Owned Denver, Colorado Orlando, Florida(1) Leased Chicago, Illinois(2) Indianapolis, Indiana Eldridge, Iowa Boston, Massachusetts Owned Detroit, Michigan(1) Leased Minneapolis, Minnesota Owned Owned	
Phoenix, ArizonaOwnedLittle Rock, ArkansasLeasedHayward, CaliforniaLeasedLos Angeles, California (a distribution center and a plate processing center)OwnedDenver, ColoradoLeasedOrlando, Florida(1)LeasedChicago, Illinois(2)OwnedIndianapolis, IndianaOwnedEldridge, IowaLeasedBoston, MassachusettsOwnedDetroit, Michigan(1)LeasedMinneapolis, MinnesotaOwned	80,000
Little Rock, ArkansasLeasedHayward, CaliforniaLeasedLos Angeles, California (a distribution center and a plate processing center)OwnedDenver, ColoradoLeasedOrlando, Florida(1)LeasedChicago, Illinois(2)OwnedIndianapolis, IndianaOwnedEldridge, IowaLeasedBoston, MassachusettsOwnedDetroit, Michigan(1)LeasedMinneapolis, MinnesotaOwned	72,200
Los Angeles, California (a distribution center and a plate processing center)OwnedDenver, ColoradoLeasedOrlando, Florida(1)LeasedChicago, Illinois(2)OwnedIndianapolis, IndianaOwnedEldridge, IowaLeasedBoston, MassachusettsOwnedDetroit, Michigan(1)LeasedMinneapolis, MinnesotaOwned	27,700
Denver, ColoradoLeasedOrlando, Florida(1)LeasedChicago, Illinois(2)OwnedIndianapolis, IndianaOwnedEldridge, IowaLeasedBoston, MassachusettsOwnedDetroit, Michigan(1)LeasedMinneapolis, MinnesotaOwned	91,000
Denver, ColoradoLeasedOrlando, Florida(1)LeasedChicago, Illinois(2)OwnedIndianapolis, IndianaOwnedEldridge, IowaLeasedBoston, MassachusettsOwnedDetroit, Michigan(1)LeasedMinneapolis, MinnesotaOwned	319,400
Chicago, Illinois(2)OwnedIndianapolis, IndianaOwnedEldridge, IowaLeasedBoston, MassachusettsOwnedDetroit, Michigan(1)LeasedMinneapolis, MinnesotaOwned	77,400
Chicago, Illinois(2)OwnedIndianapolis, IndianaOwnedEldridge, IowaLeasedBoston, MassachusettsOwnedDetroit, Michigan(1)LeasedMinneapolis, MinnesotaOwned	29,700
Eldridge, Iowa Boston, Massachusetts Owned Detroit, Michigan(1) Leased Minneapolis, Minnesota Owned	603,700
Boston, Massachusetts Detroit, Michigan(1) Leased Minneapolis, Minnesota Owned	225,000
Boston, Massachusetts Detroit, Michigan(1) Leased Minneapolis, Minnesota Owned	104,500
Minneapolis, Minnesota Owned	63,500
	28,700
	169,200
Kansas City, Missouri Leased	120,900
St. Louis, Missouri Leased	108,100
Rochester, New York(1) Leased	31,500
Charlotte, North Carolina Owned	175,300
Cincinnati, Ohio Leased	125,200
Cleveland, Ohio Owned	200,200
Cleveland, Ohio Owned	137,800
Tulsa, Oklahoma (a distribution center and tubular honing center) Owned	143,400
Portland, Oregon Leased	33,800
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania Leased	27,200
Wrightsville, Pennsylvania Leased	124,500
Memphis, Tennessee Leased	56,500
Dallas, Texas Owned	132,800
Houston, Texas Owned	95,200
Salt Lake City, Utah(1) Leased	25,400
Seattle, Washington Leased	83,600
Canada:	
Edmonton, Alberta Leased	25,800
North Bay, Ontario(1) Leased	10,000
Toronto, Ontario Leased	61,800
Montréal, Quebec Leased	82,700

⁽¹⁾ We consider these locations satellite operations.

Foreign Operations

Through our wholly owned subsidiary, Earle M. Jorgensen (Canada) Inc., a Canadian limited liability company, we operate four service centers located in Toronto, Montréal, Edmonton and North Bay. Revenues from our Canadian operations totaled \$43.7 million in fiscal 2002, \$54.4 million in fiscal 2003, \$70.0 million in fiscal 2004 and \$77.4 million in the first nine months of fiscal 2005. Our long-lived assets located in Canada, primarily warehouse equipment, totaled \$1.9 million, \$2.4 million, \$3.0 million and \$3.7 million as of March 31, 2002, 2003 and 2004 and December 31, 2004, respectively.

⁽²⁾ Our Chicago facility supplies products to all of our other service centers.

Health and Safety Regulations

Our operations are governed by many laws and regulations designed to promote workplace safety and to protect the welfare of employees, including the Occupational Safety and Health Act and regulations thereunder. We believe we are in material compliance with these laws and regulations.

Environmental Matters

We are subject to extensive and changing federal, state, local and foreign laws and regulations designed to protect the environment, including those relating to the use, handling, storage, discharge and disposal of hazardous substances and the remediation of environmental contamination. Although we believe we are in material compliance with laws and regulations, we are from time to time involved in administrative and judicial proceedings and inquiries relating to environmental matters.

During fiscal years 2002, 2003 and 2004, expenditures totaling approximately \$0.1 million, \$0.2 million and \$0.2 million, respectively, were made in connection with monitoring and investigation activities at sites with contaminated soil and/or groundwater. As of December 31, 2004, an accrual of \$0.8 million existed for future investigation activities related to the Forge and Clinton Drive sites, as discussed below. We do not consider any other pending environmental matters material.

Forge (Seattle/Kent, WA). In November 1998, we paid the purchasers of our former Forge facility and an off-site disposal site \$2.3 million as an arbitration award for liabilities related to the remediation of known contamination at the Forge facility. We continue to monitor the disposal site for environmental conditions in accordance with a consent decree issued by the Washington Department of Ecology. Annual costs associated with such monitoring are not significant, and we do not anticipate significant additional expenditures related to this matter.

The Forge property is located on the Lower Duwamish Waterway, which has been identified by the United States Environmental Protection Agency, or the EPA, as a Superfund Site. Under the federal Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, or CERCLA, owners or operators of facilities that have released hazardous substances to the environment may be liable for remediation costs and damages to natural resources caused by such releases. Courts have held that such liability may be joint and several; however, in many instances, the costs are allocated among the parties, primarily based on their estimated contribution to the contamination. The EPA has entered into an Administrative Order of Consent (AOC) with four major property owners with potential liability for cleanup of the Duwamish site that outline tasks required to be completed to further investigate the nature and extent of the contamination and cleanup alternatives. In November 2001, the current owners of the Forge property notified us of a potential claim for indemnification for any liability relating to contamination of the Duwamish site. The notification stated that the Forge facility, along with other businesses located along the Duwamish site, are expected be named as potentially responsible parties for contamination of the Duwamish site and requested that EMJ participate under a joint defense.

On July 10, 2003, pursuant to a request from the EPA, we executed an AOC under CERCLA to investigate certain areas of the Forge facility to determine whether it has contributed to certain contamination of the Lower Duwamish Waterway that is expected to be the subject of remedial action by a neighboring facility. The initial estimate of the cost of proposed work under the AOC ranged from \$0.4 million to \$0.5 million of which approximately \$0.2 million has been spent as of December 31, 2004. In addition, as of December 31, 2004, we accrued approximately \$0.3 million with respect to the Forge facility for environmental investigation and remediation costs for compliance and sampling work with respect to the AOC expected to be incurred during fiscal 2005. On April 15, 2003, we signed a funding and participation agreement with the current owners of the Forge property, which requires us to fund 85% of costs to be incurred in connection with the investigation activities to be performed under the AOC.

We are continuing to evaluate this matter and remedies we may have, including insurance recoveries for any monies to be spent as part of the investigation or cleanup of the Duwamish site. Because the Duwamish site is

54

still being investigated and cleanup alternatives and responsibility for cleanup are still being evaluated, we cannot determine what ultimate liability we may have relating to this matter.

Clinton Drive (Houston, TX). In connection with the sale of a surplus facility in Houston, Texas, an environmental investigation of the site detected benzene in the groundwater at the site that we believe came from previously removed diesel/gasoline underground storage tanks. Based on additional sampling and tests, traces of chlorinated solvents were also detected in the groundwater at the site. In connection with the sale of the property, on December 9, 2004, we entered into an agreement with the buyer that provides for us to do the following:

file a voluntary clean-up application with the Texas Commission for Environmental Quality, or TCEQ, that commits us to clean-up the soil and groundwater at the site for the benzene and chlorinated solvents to acceptable levels in accordance with TCEQ requirements;

fund an escrow account with \$0.5 million to be available to complete required clean-up and subsequent monitoring activities; and

indemnify the buyer for pre-existing environmental issues on the site based on applicable TCEQ industrial/commercial standards.

We have accrued \$0.5 million for the estimated liability from this issue.

Legal Proceedings

U.S. Department of Labor. On March 8, 2002, the U.S. Department of Labor sued us, Holding, our stock bonus plan and former members of Holding s benefits committee in the federal district court for the Central District of California. The Department of Labor claimed that the valuations of Holding common stock used to make annual contributions to our stock bonus plan in each of the years 1994 through 2000 contained significant errors that resulted in the Holding common stock being overvalued, and that the failure of the members of the benefits committee to detect and correct the errors was a breach of their fiduciary duty under ERISA. As a result of the alleged overvaluations, the Department of Labor contended that the contributions to our stock bonus plan were prohibited transactions under ERISA. We, Holding and members of the benefits committee defended the suit vigorously and ultimately settled the suit via a consent order and release executed with the Department of Labor on January 27, 2003. The key provisions of the agreement were as follows: (1) we were not required to make any payments to the stock bonus plan under the agreement; (2) we were prohibited from making further Holding common stock contributions to the stock bonus plan until the stock is publicly traded; (3) we were required to continue to obtain annual appraisals of Holding stock using methodology consistent with prior appraisals, and use that appraised value as the purchase price for repurchases of common stock as we have done in the past; and (4) if the annual appraisal of Holding common stock was less than \$4.25 per share, we were required to pay a floor price of \$4.25 per share in connection with the repurchase of common stock from departing employees for shares that were originally contributed to the stock bonus plan for the plan years 1994 through 2000. If we were required to pay the floor price in connection with the repurchase of common stock from departing employees, the settlement agreement also allowed the Department of Labor to assess a penalty to EMJ equal to 20% of the amount calculated by multiplying the difference between the appraised value and the floor price by the number of shares repurchased at the floor price.

In December 2003, we entered into agreements with Holding, a subsidiary of EMJ and the principal stockholders of Holding that provided for a financial restructuring pursuant to which Holding would become a wholly owned subsidiary of EMJ and the stockholders and noteholder of Holding would receive shares of our common stock based on a fixed exchange ratio. Prior to approval of the transaction, the Department of Labor initiated a review of the procedures followed by the benefits committee in connection with its evaluation of the proposed transaction and other strategic alternatives available to Holding, as well as an assessment of whether or not the proposed transaction complied with the terms of the consent order and the legal requirements applicable to the stock bonus plan. At that time, the Department of Labor urged the benefits committee to consider a financial restructuring that would eliminate on a going-forward basis the valuation methodology that was agreed upon in

the consent order. These discussions with

55

the Department of Labor resulted in delays that, combined with other transactional requirements, precluded the consummation of the financial restructuring on the terms originally proposed.

With regard to the financial restructuring, Holding concluded that it was preferable to amend the consent order to change the methodology used in preparing the annual valuations for our plan. In connection with the change in valuation methodology, Holding determined that it was in the best interests of the plan to make a special contribution of additional shares of Holding common stock with respect to the shares of Holding common stock contributed from 1994 through 2000. This contribution (which will be converted into a contribution of our common stock upon consummation of the financial restructuring) will offset the disadvantage plan participants will experience because the change in methodology will cause a significant decrease in the appraised value of the Holding common stock. We outlined the details of that proposal to representatives of the Department of Labor at a meeting in June 2004 and submitted a written explanation of the proposed amendment to the consent order and related documentation on August 18, 2004.

Various discussions between representatives of the special committee and the benefits committee and the Department of Labor followed and on December 17, 2004, Holding, the benefits committee and the Department of Labor executed an amended consent order. The amended consent order was entered on January 3, 2005 and provides that:

to the extent that the stock bonus plan is required to obtain appraisals after the date of the amended consent order, the appraisals will be prepared in accordance with generally accepted appraisal principles and the stock bonus plan will no longer be required to use the appraisal methodology that historically had been employed, which as of March 31, 2004 resulted in an appraised value of \$13.76 per share of Holding common stock;

after the date of the amended consent order, all repurchases of Holding common stock from the stock bonus plan participants who elect to put shares of Holding common stock to Holding or to sell shares of Holding common stock to Holding in connection with diversification of their account will be at an appraised value based on the new methodology, which as of March 31, 2004 resulted in an appraised value of \$7.80 per share of Holding common stock;

the floor price guaranty was reduced from \$4.25 to \$2.15 per share to reflect the changes in the appraisal methodology and the effect of the special contribution described below, and after the date of a reorganization or similar event, including the financial restructuring, the floor price guaranty will cease; and

upon completion of the financial restructuring and this offering, the shares of company stock held by the stock bonus plan will no longer be valued by appraisal and the participants will no longer have the right to put shares of company stock to Holding or EMJ upon termination of their employment.

To offset the loss of account value stock bonus plan participants who hold shares of Holding common stock will sustain as a result of the change in the appraisal methodology pursuant to the amended consent order, Holding will implement a program to make a special contribution of additional shares of Holding common stock or common stock equivalents to (1) the stock bonus plan, (2) a supplemental stock bonus plan, and (3) a cash bonus plan. Holding has committed to make a special contribution of 1.0817 shares of Holding common stock or its equivalent with respect to each share of Holding common stock held by the stock bonus plan. This amount is equal to (a) the difference between the appraised value as of March 31, 2004 using the old methodology and the appraised value as of March 31, 2004 using the new methodology, divided by (b) the appraised value as of March 31, 2004 using the new methodology, adjusted for the dilutive effect of such contribution. After giving effect to the special contribution, the stock bonus plan participants holding Holding common stock will continue to have shares of Holding common stock with the same aggregate value, notwithstanding the change in valuation methodology, which otherwise would have had the effect of reducing the aggregate value of their equity interests. As of January 12, 2005, there were 2,454,119 shares of Holding common stock in the stock bonus plan eligible for the special contribution. The special contribution will consist of 2,461,547 shares of Holding common stock or its equivalent and cash bonuses paid through the new cash bonus plan of \$1,056,465 in the

Table of Contents

aggregate to participants who are no longer employed by EMJ. We charged approximately \$17.3 million in the aggregate to expenses for the third quarter of fiscal 2005 with respect to our commitment in December 2004 to make the special contribution. After the consummation of the financial restructuring and this offering, we intend to file a registration statement on form S-8 covering the shares of common stock reserved for the special contribution.

To ensure compliance with the Internal Revenue Code and ERISA requirements, the first contribution will be made after the completion of the plan year ended March 31, 2005. Due to certain Internal Revenue Service rules limiting the amount of contributions that can be made in a single year, the contributions will be made over two years, and affected participants who are no longer employed by EMJ may have to receive at least part of the program benefits in cash payments outside the stock bonus plan. Holding has adopted an amendment to the stock bonus plan that provides for stock contributions for the plan years ending March 31, 2005 and March 31, 2006. To the extent legally permissible, each participant will receive 1.0817 additional shares of Holding common stock for each share of Holding common stock that remained in their account as of the date of the amended consent order. Other Internal Revenue Service rules limit contributions that discriminate in favor of highly compensated employees. To the extent participants do not receive their full allocation under the stock bonus plan over the two years, the program benefits will be provided under a cash bonus plan or through credits of units under a phantom stock plan called the supplemental stock bonus plan. The program has been designed to ensure that each participant will receive the equivalent value of their allocation of the special contribution determined as of the date of the amended consent order, whether or not they continue to be employed by EMJ or continue to be a plan participant.

Upon consummation of the financial restructuring and this offering, we will assume Holding s obligations under all aspects of the program and the stock contributions will be made in our common stock.

Champagne Metals. On April 22, 2002, Champagne Metals, a small metals service center distributing aluminum coil products in Oklahoma, brought suit against us and six other metal service centers in the federal District Court for the Western District of Oklahoma. Champagne Metals alleged that we had conspired with the other metal service centers to induce or coerce aluminum suppliers to refuse to designate Champagne Metals as a distributor in violation of federal and state antitrust laws and that such conspiracy further constituted tortious interference with business and contractual relations. Champagne Metals sought treble damages on its antitrust claims and punitive damages in addition to actual damages. EMJ and the other defendants filed motions for summary judgment, and on June 15, 2004, the federal District Court for the Western District of Oklahoma entered an order granting the motions for summary judgment of each of the defendants including EMJ and dismissed the case. Champagne Metals has appealed the judgment. The 10th Circuit Court of Appeals Mandatory Settlement and Procedural Matters Conference occurred on September 17, 2004, without anything substantive taking place. On November 5, 2004, the plaintiff filed and served its Appellant s Brief on Appeal. Defendants Responsive Brief on Appeal was filed on December 22, 2004, and plaintiff filed Appellant s Reply Brief on January 19, 2005.

Other. We are occasionally involved in ordinary, routine litigation incidental to our normal course of business, none of which we believe to be material to our financial condition or results of operations. We maintain various liability insurance coverages to protect our assets from losses arising out of or involving activities associated with ongoing and normal business operations. See also the discussion of environmental proceedings under Environmental Matters above.

57

MANAGEMENT

Executive Officers and Directors

The following table contains information with respect to our executive officers and directors, including their ages as of April 1, 2005. There are no family relationships between any of our executive officers or directors.

Name	Age	Position
Maurice S. Nelson, Jr.	67	President, Chief Executive Officer, Chief Operating Officer and Director
R. Neil McCaffery	55	Executive Vice President
William S. Johnson	47	Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary
Frank D. Travetto	52	Vice President, Merchandising
Kenneth L. Henry	58	Executive Vice President
James D. Hoffman	46	Vice President
David M. Roderick	80	Chairman of the Board
William A. Marquard	85	Director
Earl L. Mason	57	Director
Frank T. Nickell	57	Director
John Rutledge	56	Director
David I. Wahrhaftig	47	Director
Joseph T. O Donnell, Jr.	57	Director

Maurice S. Nelson, Jr. Mr. Nelson has been our President, Chief Executive Officer and Chief Operating Officer and a director of EMJ and Holding since February 1, 1997. Before that, Mr. Nelson served as President, Chief Executive Officer and Chief Operating Officer of Inland Steel Company, a steel manufacturing company, from 1992 until April 1996. Before that, Mr. Nelson was the President of the Aerospace and Commercial division of the Aluminum Company of America (Alcoa), an aluminum manufacturing company, from 1987 to 1992.

R. Neil McCaffery. Mr. McCaffery has been our Executive Vice President since March 2001. Before that, Mr. McCaffery was our Vice President Western Region since March 1997 and our Vice President Southern Region since April 1996.

William S. Johnson. Mr. Johnson has been our Vice President and Chief Financial Officer and Secretary since January 1999. Before that, Mr. Johnson was EMJ s Controller since February 1995 and was EMJ s Assistant Controller since February 1994. Prior to that, Mr. Johnson has held various financial and accounting management positions with several distribution-related companies. Mr. Johnson is a certified public accountant and began his career at Ernst & Ernst, a predecessor of Ernst & Young.

Frank D. Travetto. Mr. Travetto has been our Vice President Merchandising since March 1997. Before that, Mr. Travetto was EMJ s Vice President Western Region since 1996, EMJ s Vice President Eastern Region from 1992 to 1996, and EMJ s Division President, Canadian Operations from 1990 to 1992.

Kenneth L. Henry. Mr. Henry has been our Executive Vice President responsible for operating our Dallas, Houston and Tulsa facilities since July 2003. Before that, Mr. Henry was our Vice President responsible for operating our Chicago and Quad Cities facilities since January 1998. Before that, Mr. Henry was EMJ s Vice President Central Region since 1995. Before that, Mr. Henry was our Vice President Southern Region since 1992, and Vice President of the Kilsby-Roberts Division of EMJ from April 1990 to 1992.

58

Table of Contents

James D. Hoffman. Mr. Hoffman has been our Vice President since March 2001 and has been responsible for operations of our Cleveland and Boston facilities since March 2001. Before that, Mr. Hoffman was our Vice President Eastern Region since July 1996. Before that, Mr. Hoffman was District Manager for our Cleveland and Buffalo operations since June 1992.

David M. Roderick. Mr. Roderick has been chairman of the board of directors of EMJ and Holding since January 21, 1998 and a director of EMJ and Holding since January 1994. Mr. Roderick also serves as a director of Citation, a casting and forging company, and Kelso & Companies, Inc. Previously, Mr. Roderick served in various capacities at USX Corporation, a steel manufacturing company. Mr. Roderick joined USX in 1959, was Chairman of USX Finance Committee and a director from 1973 to 1975, was President and a director from 1975 until 1979 and was Chief Executive Officer and chairman from 1979 to 1989.

William A. Marquard. Mr. Marquard has been a director of EMJ since March 1990 and a director of Holding since May 1990. Mr. Marquard also serves as a director of Kelso & Companies, Inc., and InfraReDx, Inc., a photomedical technologies company, and served as a director and chairman of Arkansas Best Corporation, a transportation holding company, until July 2004.

Earl L. Mason. Mr. Mason has been a director of EMJ and Holding since January 2002. Mr. Mason retired in December 2000 from Alliant Exchange (formerly the distribution business of Kraft Foods), after serving as Chief Executive Officer and President since April 1999. Before that, Mr. Mason was Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Compaq Computer Corporation from May 1996 to April 1999 and held the position of Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Inland Steel Industries from June 1991 to May 1996. Mr. Mason also served as Group Executive of Digital Equipment Corporation from June 1990 to June 1991 and as Chief Financial Officer of its European subsidiary from October 1987 to June 1990, and held various positions over 15 years at AT&T Corporation. Mr. Mason is also chairman of Computer Horizons Corporation, an enterprise solutions and human capital management company.

Frank T. Nickell. Mr. Nickell has been a director of EMJ and Holding since August 1993. He has been President and a director of Kelso & Companies, Inc. since March 1989 and Chief Executive Officer of Kelso & Companies, Inc. since September 1997. He is also a director of The Bear Stearns Companies Inc., a financial services company, and BlackRock, Inc., a financial management company.

John Rutledge. Dr. Rutledge has been a director of EMJ and Holding since June 1992. Dr. Rutledge is the founder of Rutledge & Company, Inc., a merchant banking firm, and has been chairman since January 1991. He is the founder of Claremont Economics Institute and has been its chairman since January 1979. Dr. Rutledge is also a director of The Amerindo Investment Fund, a financial management company, and CROM Corporation, a designer and manufacturer of prestressed concrete tanks, and served as a director of Lazard Freres Funds, a financial management company, until January 2004.

David I. Wahrhaftig. Mr. Wahrhaftig has been a director of EMJ and Holding since July 2003. Mr. Wahrhaftig has been a Managing Director of Kelso & Company, L.P. and a director of Kelso & Companies, Inc. since April 1997, after joining the firm in 1987. Mr. Wahrhaftig is also a director of Endo Pharmaceuticals, Inc., a specialty pharmaceutical company, BWAY Corporation, a general line container manufacturing company, and Consolidated Vision Group, an operator of discount retail eyeglass and contact lens stores.

Joseph T. O Donnell, Jr. Mr. O Donnell has been a director of EMJ and Holding since January 1, 2005. Mr. O Donnell is a founding partner of Briscoe Capital Management, LLC, an investment advisory firm that manages a portfolio of senior secured debt. Mr. O Donnell is currently a director of Endo Pharmaceuticals Holdings, Inc., a director of Metzler North America Corp., the U.S.-based corporate finance affiliate of B. Metzler seel. Sohn & Co., Frankfurt, Germany, and President of Van Beuren Capital, L.L.C., a private merchant banking and advisory firm. Until December 31, 2002, Mr. O Donnell was President of Metzler Corporation. Prior to joining Metzler, Mr. O Donnell spent 26 years at various

affiliates of Bankers Trust Corporation. From 1986 to 2000, he was involved in the acquisition and leveraged finance business. Prior to 1986, he was involved

59

in Bankers Trust s global airline and aerospace division and in middle-market financing activities in the New York Metropolitan area.

Board of Directors

Upon consummation of this offering, our bylaws will authorize a board of directors consisting of at least three, but not more than nine, members and the initial board of directors will consist of eight members. Our bylaws provide that each member of our board of directors serves until the next annual meeting of our stockholders. Pursuant to Holding s stockholders agreement and the terms of the Holding series A preferred stock, Mr. Nelson was designated by the management stockholders as a director, Mr. Mason was designated by the holders of Holding series A preferred stock as a director and the remaining directors were designated by KIA IV, an affiliate of Kelso & Companies, Inc. Holding s stockholders agreement will terminate upon consummation of this offering and the Holding series A preferred stock will be converted into cash and our common stock pursuant to the financial restructuring. We have agreed with the Kelso funds that for so long as the Kelso funds own in excess of 20% of our issued and outstanding common stock, the Kelso funds will be entitled to designate two directors, and for so long as the Kelso funds own in excess of 10% of our issued and outstanding common stock, the Kelso funds will be entitled to designate one director, to be included in the slate of directors nominated by us for election to our board of directors in our annual proxy statement.

We believe that three of our directors, Messrs. Mason, Rutledge and O Donnell, are currently independent under the requirements of The New York Stock Exchange, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and the Securities and Exchange Commission s rules and regulations. We intend to have a board of directors comprised of a majority of independent directors within 12 months after the listing of our common stock on The New York Stock Exchange in accordance with the transition period provided by the rules of The New York Stock Exchange for issuers listing in conjunction with their initial public offering.

Committees of the Board of Directors

Our board of directors has established an audit committee, a compensation committee and a nominating and corporate governance committee. Our board may establish other committees from time to facilitate management of EMJ.

Audit Committee

The audit committee will oversee our accounting and financial reporting processes, as well as the audits of our financial statements, including retaining and discharging our auditors. The audit committee will be comprised of not fewer than three directors, each of whom will be independent under the requirements of The New York Stock Exchange, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and regulations promulgated thereunder. Upon the closing of this offering, the audit committee will be comprised of Messrs. Mason (Chairperson), Rutledge and O Donnell.

Compensation Committee

The compensation committee will oversee the administration of our equity-based compensation plans, review and administer all compensation arrangements for our executive officers and establish and review general policies relating to compensation and benefits for our officers and employees. The compensation committee will be comprised of not fewer than two directors, each of whom will be independent under the requirements of The New York Stock Exchange. Upon the closing of this offering, the compensation committee will be comprised of Messrs. Mason, Rutledge and O Donnell.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

The nominating and corporate governance committee will identify and recommend to the board of directors appropriate director nominee candidates and provide oversight with respect to corporate governance matters. The

60

nominating and corporate governance committee will be comprised of not fewer than two directors, each of whom will be independent under the requirements of The New York Stock Exchange. Upon the closing of this offering, the nominating and corporate governance committee will be comprised of Messrs. Mason, Rutledge and O Donnell.

Director Compensation

Holding granted an aggregate of 34,000 shares of Holding common stock to Mr. Roderick in consideration of his service as chairman of the board of Holding and EMJ for fiscal years 1995, 1996 and 1997. Effective April 1, 1997, April 1, 1998, April 1, 1999, April 1, 2000 and April 1, 2001, in consideration for his service as a director, chairman of the executive committee and chairman of the board, we granted Mr. Roderick options to purchase 20,000 shares of Holding common stock, in each case at exercise prices per share equal to the fair market value of the Holding common stock as established by the most recent appraisal available at the date of the grant. Each year, from 1998 through 2001, each other non-officer director, other than Messrs. Schuchert and Nickell, received options to purchase 10,000 shares of Holding common stock at exercise prices per share equal to the fair market value of the Holding common stock, as established by the most recent appraisal available, at the date of grant. These options are fully vested. On December 16, 2004, Holding amended its stock option plan to adjust the exercise price and the number of shares of Holding common stock that can be purchased pursuant to each stock option grant to offset the reduction in appraised value of the Holding common stock resulting from the change in valuation methodology required by the amended consent order for our stock bonus plan. Upon the consummation of the financial restructuring, the options held by Messrs. Roderick, Marquard and Rutledge will convert into options to purchase EMJ common stock and will be exercisable for an equal amount of our common stock at the same exercise price.

Since April 1, 2002, each of our non-officer directors has received an annual retainer of \$20,000, payable quarterly, except for (1) Mr. Roderick, who as chairman of the board has received an annual retainer of \$30,000, payable quarterly, (2) Messrs. Nickell and Wahrhaftig, who have not received compensation for their services as directors, and (3) Mr. Mason, who as chairman of the audit committee has received \$25,000, payable quarterly, since April 1, 2004. On December 21, 2004, Holding paid a bonus of \$202,640 to Mr. Roderick in consideration of his service as chairman of the board of Holding and EMJ.

Effective upon consummation of the financial restructuring and this public offering, each of our non-officer directors, except Messrs. Nickell and Wahrhaftig and directors designated by the Kelso funds pursuant to the nominating agreement who do not qualify as independent directors under our corporate governance guidelines, who will not receive compensation for their services as directors, will receive the following in consideration for their service as directors: (1) an annual retainer of \$32,000, payable quarterly, except for the chairman of the board, who will receive an annual retainer of \$42,000, payable quarterly, (2) \$2,000 per meeting of our board of directors that the director attends, whether in person or otherwise, payable quarterly, and (3) pursuant to our stock incentive plan, an annual grant of options to purchase 10,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price per share equal to the fair market value of our common stock on the grant date (the first of which will be granted on the date of this prospectus at an exercise price per share equal to the initial public offering price). The options granted to our non-officer directors will vest completely on the day following the six month anniversary of the grant date. Each member of the audit and compensation committees will also receive \$1,000 per committee meeting that the member attends, whether in person or otherwise. In addition, the chairmen of the audit and compensation committees will receive \$10,000 and \$5,000 per year, respectively. All non-officer directors are entitled to reimbursement of their reasonable out-of-pocket expenses in connection with their travel to, and attendance at, meetings of our board of directors or committees thereof.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

Upon consummation of the financial restructuring and this offering, our compensation committee will consist of Messrs. Mason, Rutledge and O Donnell. Prior to the financial restructuring and this offering, our executive committee, comprised of Messrs. Nelson, Nickell and Roderick, served as our compensation

committee. Mr. Nelson has served as our president, chief executive officer and chief operating officer since February 1, 1997. Mr. Nelson s compensation is described below under
Executive Compensation and his equity ownership is described below under
Holding Stock Option Plan, Stock Incentive Plan and Principal Stockholders. Certain arrangements between Mr. Nelson and Holding and EMJ concerning his equity ownership and compensation are described below under Performance Bonus, Retention Agreement and Certain Relationships and Related Transactions. Mr. Nickell is president, chief executive officer and a director of Kelso & Companies, Inc., the general partner of Kelso & Company, L.P. Mr. Nickell is also a general partner of Kelso Partners I, L.P., or KP I, Kelso Partners III, L.P., or KP III, and Kelso Partners IV, L.P., or KP IV, which are the general partners of KIA I, KIA III-EMJ and KIA IV, respectively. As of the date of this prospectus, the Kelso funds and other Kelso affiliates, including Mr. Nickell, hold 8,259,799 shares of Holding common stock and 24,519 shares of Holding series A preferred stock. As of the date of this prospectus, KIA IV also holds approximately \$257.1 million of the Holding notes (including accrued but unpaid interest) and warrants to purchase 2.937.915 shares of Holding common stock. Mr. Nickell shares investment power and voting power with respect to shares of Holding common stock that are, and, upon consummation of the financial restructuring and this offering, will share investment and voting power with respect to shares of our common stock that will be, held by KIA I, KIA III-EMJ and KIA IV. See Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Principal Stockholders for a description of (1) certain agreements entered into among us, Kelso and the Kelso funds, (2) the Kelso funds interest in the financial restructuring and (3) the Kelso funds ownership of our common stock. Mr. Roderick is a director of Kelso & Companies, Inc. As described above under Director Compensation, we have granted Mr. Roderick options, paid him an annual cash retainer and paid him a bonus in consideration for his service as a director, chairman of the executive committee and chairman of the board.

None of our executive officers serves as a member of the board of directors or compensation committee of any other company that has one or more executive officers serving as a member of our board of directors or compensation committee.

Executive Compensation

The following table sets forth compensation for the three fiscal years ended March 31, 2004 for our chief executive officer and our four most highly compensated executive officers as of March 31, 2004. Our officers received no additional compensation for their services as officers of Holding.

Summary Compensation Table

		Annual Compens	ation	Long-Term Compensation Awards	
Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary	Bonus(1)	Securities Underlying Stock Options(2)	ll Other pensation(3)
Maurice S. Nelson, Jr.	2004	\$ 551,326	\$ 452,504		\$ 55,500
President, Chief Executive Officer and Chief Operating Officer	2003 2002	555,762 555,762	374,388 270,738		52,049 47,150
Frank D. Travetto Vice President, Merchandising	2004 2003 2002	245,987 244,460 238,070	172,491 142,714 99,659	10,000 10,000	25,769 25,273 23,233
Kenneth L. Henry	2004 2003	245,171 235,442	177,187 136,161	10,000	28,714 27,355

Edgar Filing: JORGENSEN EARLE M CO /DE/ - Form S-1/A

Executive Vice President	2002	226,978	94,921	10,000	25,397
R. Neil McCaffery	2004	223,316	156,650		22,440
Executive Vice President	2003	220,960	129,608	10,000	20,418
Executive vice President	2002	212,600	90,182	10,000	18,220
James D. Hoffman	2004	224,975	156,650		21,552
	2003	222,497	129,608	10,000	19,588
Vice President	2002	214,137	90,182	10,000	17,313

- (1) Amounts reflect cash bonuses earned by executive officers in each of the fiscal years presented, including amounts received after fiscal year end or deferred at the election of those officers. Bonus amounts include a cash bonus payable pursuant to our management incentive compensation plan, which became effective April 1, 1997.
- (2) Holding has granted executive officers options to purchase shares of Holding common stock at their fair market value on the date of grant. The numbers shown do not reflect that, pursuant to the amendment to the Holding stock option plan described below under Holding Stock Option Plan, on December 16, 2004, the number of shares of common stock underlying these options was increased by a factor of 1.7641. These options will become exercisable for an equal number of shares of our common stock at the same exercise prices upon consummation of the financial restructuring.
- Amounts shown include allocations to the accounts of each of the named officers of contributions made by us to our stock bonus plan and to our 401(a)(17) Supplemental Contribution Plan (401(a)(17) Plan) and of premiums paid by us for long-term disability and life insurance policies. The following allocations were made in fiscal 2004 for Messrs. Nelson, Travetto, Henry, McCaffery and Hoffman, respectively: (1) 401(a)(17) Plan \$40,126, \$10,855, \$10,888, \$8,940 and \$8,940; (2) long-term disability \$4,668, \$3,745, \$6,779, \$3,006 and \$2,318; and (3) life insurance \$706, \$1,169, \$1,047, \$494 and \$294. The following allocations were made in fiscal 2003 for Messrs. Nelson, Travetto, Henry, McCaffery and Hoffman, respectively: (1) stock bonus plan \$10,000, \$10,000, \$10,000, \$10,000, and \$10,000; (2) 401(a)(17) Plan \$36,220, \$9,261, \$8,372, \$7,483 and \$7,483; (3) long-term disability \$4,509, \$3,745, \$6,779, \$2,133 and \$1,623; and (4) life insurance \$1,320, \$2,267, \$2,204, \$802 and \$482. The following allocations were made in fiscal 2002 for Messrs. Nelson, Travetto, Henry, McCaffery and Hoffman, respectively: (1) stock bonus plan \$8,500, \$8,500, \$8,500, and \$8,500; (2) 401(a)(17) Plan \$32,538, \$8.180, \$7.387, \$6.593 and \$6.593; (3) long-term disability \$4.509, \$3.745, \$6.779, \$2.133 and \$1.623; and (4) life insurance \$1.603. \$2,808, \$2,731, \$994 and \$597. The amounts in respect of life insurance represent the estimated value of the premiums paid by us on certain disability and life insurance policies covering each executive. Some of the policies are managed on a split-dollar basis and we will receive the premiums we paid from the proceeds of such insurance. In such cases, the amount of the other compensation attributed to the executive was calculated by treating the premiums paid by us as a demand loan, and the amount of compensation is equal to the imputed interest expense on the cumulative outstanding premiums paid by us, assuming an interest rate equal to the short-term federal funds rate, from time to time.

Option Grants in Fiscal 2004

Neither we nor Holding granted stock options to our chief executive officer or our next four most highly compensated executive officers during our fiscal year ended March 31, 2004.

Fiscal 2004 Option Values

The following table sets forth certain information concerning the value of unexercised stock options held as of March 31, 2004 by our chief executive officer and our next four most highly compensated executive officers. None of these individuals exercised stock options in fiscal 2004.

Fiscal Year-End Option Values

Name	Number of Securities Underlying Options	Value of Unexercised In-the-Money
	at Fiscal Year-End	Options at
	(all exercisable) (1)	Fiscal Year-End

(all exercisable) (1)(2)

		 _
Maurice S. Nelson, Jr.	1,320,000(3)	\$ 8,698,800(3)
Frank D. Travetto	95,000	496,900
Kenneth L. Henry	95,000	496,900
R. Neil McCaffery	95,000	496,900
James D. Hoffman	95.000	496,900

Table of Contents

- (1) The numbers shown do not reflect that, pursuant to the amendment to the Holding stock option plan described below under Holding Stock Option Plan, on December 16, 2004, the number of shares of common stock issuable underlying these options was increased by a factor of 1.7641 and the exercise price of these options was decreased by a factor of 0.5569.
- (2) The value of unexercised in-the-money options is based on an assumed initial public offering price of \$12.00 per share, the mid-point of the range shown on the cover of this prospectus.
- (3) We redeemed 360,000 of these options for a purchase price of \$3,006,000 on December 16, 2004.

Performance Bonus

In December 2004, EMJ paid a one-time bonus of \$3,500,000 to Mr. Nelson for his services to EMJ since April 1, 2004, including, without limitation, his efforts to improve the operating performance of EMJ and implement the financial restructuring and this offering.

Retention Agreement

On December 17, 2004, Mr. Nelson entered into a retention agreement with EMJ whereby we will pay Mr. Nelson a stay bonus of \$3,000,000 on March 31, 2007 if he continues to serve as our president and chief executive officer through such date. Mr. Nelson will also be paid this bonus if his employment with EMJ (1) terminates due to his death, (2) is terminated by us due to his permanent disability or without cause (as defined in the retention agreement and including a material breach of the transfer restriction described herein) or (3) is terminated by Mr. Nelson for good reason (as defined in the retention agreement). In addition, Mr. Nelson has agreed for a period of two years following the closing of this offering to not sell any shares of our common stock acquired by him upon conversion of Holding capital stock in the financial restructuring or by the exercise of Holding stock options that are converted into our stock options in the financial restructuring. In consideration of this transfer restriction, we have extended the exercise period of Mr. Nelson s outstanding stock options two years to January 31, 2009.

Holding Stock Option Plan

In fiscal 1997, the Holding stock option plan was adopted. Our board of directors and executive committee, which was comprised of Messrs. Roderick, Nelson and Nickell, has been authorized to grant options to purchase Holding common stock under the Holding stock option plan. As of the date of this prospectus, there are options outstanding to purchase an aggregate of 3,053,668 shares of Holding common stock at a weighted average exercise price of \$3.35 per share, all of which are fully vested, which reflects the amendment to the Holding stock option plan and redemption of options held by Mr. Nelson in December 2004, as described below. These options will be exercisable for an equal number of shares of our common stock at the same exercise prices upon consummation of the financial restructuring. The stock options were granted with exercise prices per share not less than the fair market value of Holding common stock as established by the most recent appraisal available on the date of grant and are generally exercisable for a period not exceeding ten years.

Concurrently with the amendment of the consent order for our stock bonus plan in December 2004, Holding amended the Holding stock option plan and outstanding options to offset the adverse effects caused by the change in the methodology used to determine the appraised value of the Holding common stock. Pursuant to the amendment, the number of shares of Holding common stock issuable upon the exercise of each option was increased by a factor of 1.7641 and the exercise price of each option was reduced by a factor of 0.5569. In addition, the number of shares available for grant under the Holding stock option plan was increased to 4,000,000. Prior to the amendment of the Holding stock option plan and outstanding options, Holding paid Mr. Nelson \$3,006,000 in consideration for the redemption of options to purchase 360,000 shares of Holding common stock. The consideration paid by Holding was equal to the difference between \$13.76, the appraised value of the Holding common stock as of March 31, 2004, and \$5.41, the exercise price for the 360,000 options that were redeemed.

64

Holding will not grant any more options under the Holding stock option plan after consummation of the financial restructuring. Our compensation committee will administer this plan with respect to the outstanding options following consummation of this offering.

Stock Incentive Plan

On December 16, 2004, we adopted our 2004 stock incentive plan. Our officers, employees, consultants and directors who are not employees are eligible to participate in this plan. The purpose of this plan is to attract and retain persons eligible to participate in the plan, motivate participants to achieve our long-term goals and further align the interests of participants with those of our stockholders. Our compensation committee will administer the stock incentive plan and has broad discretion to select the persons to whom awards may be granted, as well as the type, size and terms and conditions of each award, including when awards become exercisable or otherwise vest and the exercise price for options granted. Under this plan, new shares of our common stock are available for issuance as awards to participants. We have reserved 2,157,338 shares of our common stock for issuance under the stock incentive plan, which is equal to 5% of the total number of shares of our common stock that will be issued and outstanding immediately after giving effect to the consummation of the financial restructuring and this offering. The maximum aggregate number of shares of our common stock that may be covered by awards granted under the plan to any one participant during any calendar year is 750,000. The stock incentive plan permits grants of the following types of awards:

non-qualified and incentive stock options;
stock appreciation rights;
restricted stock; and
other stock-based awards.

The stock incentive plan is intended to permit the grant of performance-based compensation within the meaning of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, which generally limits the deduction that we may take for compensation of our five most senior executive officers. Under Section 162(m), certain compensation, including compensation based on the attainment of performance goals, will not be subject to this limitation if certain requirements are met. The vesting of awards that are intended to qualify as performance based compensation will be based upon business criteria as established by the compensation committee from time to time.

Except as otherwise set forth in an award agreement, in the event of a change in control (as defined in the plan), our compensation committee will have the discretion to accelerate the vesting of any stock option, stock appreciation right or restricted stock awards. The stock incentive plan requires an agreement of merger or reorganization effecting a change in control to provide for the continuation, assumption or substitution of awards granted under the plan, or for the settlement of such awards based on the change in control price (as defined in the plan); provided, that in the absence of an agreement effecting the change in control, all awards will be settled based on the change in control price. The stock incentive plan provides that, unless otherwise set forth in an agreement between a plan participant and EMJ or its affiliate (as defined in the plan), payments or rights under the plan will be reduced to the extent necessary to prevent payments or benefits to which the participant is otherwise entitled from being subject to the excise tax under the so-called golden parachute rules under the Code.

On the date of this prospectus, we will grant to Messrs. Roderick, Marquard, Mason, Rutledge and O Donnell, each of whom are non-officer directors, options exercisable for an aggregate of 50,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price per share equal to the initial public

offering price. To date, no other awards have been granted under the stock incentive plan. After the consummation of the financial restructuring and this offering, we intend to file a registration statement on form S-8 covering the shares of our common stock reserved for issuance under the stock incentive plan and under the options we assume under the Holding stock option plan. Unless the stock incentive plan is earlier terminated by our board of directors, the plan will automatically

terminate on December 17, 2014. Awards granted before the termination of the stock incentive plan may extend beyond that date in accordance with their terms.

Stock Bonus Plan

Holding maintains a stock bonus plan for our nonunion employees who meet certain service requirements. Since April 1, 1999 (when Holding s employee stock ownership plan was amended and became the stock bonus plan), the amount of annual contributions is calculated as a percentage (as determined by our board of directors based on the achievement of our performance objectives) of total cash compensation (as defined in the stock bonus plan) and may be made by us in cash or by Holding in shares of Holding capital stock. Participants become 20% vested in their account balances after one year of continuous service. Participants vest an additional 20% for each year of service thereafter and become fully vested at age 65 or upon completion of five years of service, retirement, disability or death. Following the occurrence of a participant s termination of service (as defined in the stock bonus plan), retirement, disability, or death, the stock bonus plan is required to either distribute the vested balance in stock or, in limited cases, cash depending upon the investment of the participant s plan account. If termination is due to retirement, disability, death, plant closure or job elimination, the distribution occurs in a single sum as soon as is practicable on or after the March 31st, June 30th, September 30th or December 31st that is either the date of termination or first follows the date of termination. If termination occurs for any other reason, the distribution occurs as soon as is practicable after March 31st if that is the date of termination or, if termination is any other date, after the next March 31st following such date of termination.

Upon consummation of the financial restructuring, shares distributed to a participant in Holding s stock bonus plan pursuant to the plan s terms will be transferable subject to the following limitations: (1) shares received by any affiliate within the meaning of Rule 144 under the Securities Act may be resold only in transactions permitted by Rule 144 under the Securities Act or as otherwise permitted under the Securities Act; (2) shares received by certain participants will be subject to restrictions on transfer contained in lock-up agreements entered into by such participants; and (3) shares received by certain participants will be subject to restrictions on transfer contained in transfer restriction agreements entered into by such participants. See Shares Eligible for Future Sale for a description of these restrictions.

With respect to a participant s diversification rights before benefits are distributed under the stock bonus plan, current plan provisions will be maintained and a participant will be able to diversify his or her account under the stock bonus plan after having attained age 55 and completed ten years of service. Generally, under such provisions, participants who meet these requirements can elect to diversify certain accounts invested in Holding common stock into other specified investments during an election period of six consecutive years beginning with the year in which they become first eligible for diversification. For each of the first five years in the election period, diversification may not exceed 25% of the relevant shares (less all shares previously diversified). Diversification in the last year of the election period may not exceed 50% of the relevant shares (less all shares previously diversified). Except for their diversification rights, participants may not currently sell shares in their accounts. Holding, however, has amended the stock bonus plan in connection with this offering to provide that shares held in all participant accounts invested in our common stock will become eligible for diversification, as follows: (1) up to 25% of such accounts at any time after the six month anniversary of the closing of this offering until the 12 month anniversary of the closing of this offering; (2) up to 50% of such accounts at any time after the 12 month anniversary of the closing of this offering until the 18 month anniversary of this offering; (3) up to 75% of such accounts at any time after the 18 month anniversary of this offering until the 24 month anniversary of the closing of this offering; and (4) up to 100% of such accounts at any time after the 24 month anniversary of the closing of this offering. The shares eligible for diversification as provided above will be those shares of our common stock allocated to such accounts as of the closing of this offering, plus additional shares contributed to the stock bonus plan pursuant to the special contribution, which will be eligible for diversification as provided above immediately following the special contribution.

As of the date of this prospectus, shares of Holding series A preferred stock, Holding series B preferred stock and Holding common stock owned by the plan total 32,889, 27,861 and 2,454,119 shares, respectively.

66

Table of Contents

Series B preferred stock shares include dividends declared and paid in-kind for each of the first two quarters of fiscal 2005. For the fiscal years ended March 31, 2002, 2003 and 2004 and the nine months ended December 31, 2004, contributions payable to the plan totaled \$2.8 million, \$2.8 million and \$2.9 million respectively. The contributions payable for fiscal years 2002 and 2003 have been paid in cash and the fiscal 2004 contribution was paid in cash in October 2004.

In 1984, 1985 and 1986, our predecessor purchased life insurance policies to provide, among other things, a separate source for funds to repurchase capital stock, including capital stock distributed by the plan, from departing employees. Certain of these policies allow us to borrow against the cash surrender value of such policies. As of December 31, 2004, we had borrowed \$203.9 million against the cash surrender value of such policies to fund renewal premiums, accrued interest on previous borrowings and working capital needs. The net cash surrender value available for future borrowings was approximately \$3.5 million as of December 31, 2004. Our domestic credit facility and other resources are also available, subject to certain limitations, to satisfy stock repurchase obligations as they arise.

On March 8, 2002, we were sued by the DOL for alleged breaches of fiduciary duty by former members of our benefits committee that administered our stock bonus plan in relying on the valuations of the Holding common stock prepared by our independent appraiser and allegedly resulting in prohibited transactions. This matter was settled in January 2003 and an amendment to the related consent order was entered into in January 2005. See Business Legal Proceedings U.S. Department of Labor for information concerning the amended consent order and release and a special contribution of additional shares of common stock to the stock bonus plan with respect to the shares of Holding common stock contributed from 1994 through 2000.

Pursuant to applicable provisions of ERISA, it is permissible to eliminate any investment alternative available under the stock bonus plan, if appropriate, and therefore the shares of our common stock held by the stock bonus plan may be sold at any time if such shares are eliminated as an investment alternative. In addition, although neither Holding nor EMJ has expressed any intent to terminate the stock bonus plan, they have the right to terminate or amend the provisions of the plan at any time. In the event a participant s employment is terminated, the participant becomes fully vested to the extent of the balance in the participant s separate account and receives a put option with respect to Holding stock allocated to the participant s account. Upon the closing of the financial restructuring and this offering, however, participants will no longer have the right to exercise their put options with respect to the common stock allocated to their accounts upon termination of their employment. Upon consummation of the financial restructuring, (1) the Holding common stock allocated to participants accounts will be converted into shares of our common stock, (2) the series A preferred stock allocated to participants accounts will be converted into shares of our common stock and cash and (3) the Holding series B preferred stock will be converted into shares of our common stock and cash.

401(a)(17) Supplemental Contribution Plan

In fiscal 1996, Holding adopted a supplemental contribution plan for contributions not allowed under our stock bonus plan pursuant to limitations of Sections 401(a)(17) and 415 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. Participants in the supplemental plan consist of certain highly compensated employees and other employees who are not eligible to participate in the stock bonus plan. Contributions payable, vesting and distributions under the supplemental plan are comparable with those under the stock bonus plan. Contributions under the supplemental plan are made in cash and are held in an irrevocable trust. For the fiscal years ended March 31, 2002, 2003 and 2004, contributions payable totaled \$0.1 million, \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million, respectively. Effective upon the consummation of this offering and the financial restructuring, we will assume Holding s obligations under the supplemental contribution plan.

Management Incentive Compensation Plan

Effective April 1, 1997, we adopted a management incentive compensation plan. The incentive plan provides for payment of cash bonuses to senior executives and other key management employees based on the

67

Table of Contents

achievement of certain operating profit and cash flow objectives determined by our board of directors or, after this offering, our compensation committee. Bonuses awarded are based on a sliding scale based on the percentage of the objectives achieved. No bonus is payable unless at least 80% of the objectives are achieved, and the maximum bonus would be awarded for achievement of 150% or more of the established objectives. In addition, our chief executive officer may award bonuses from a discretionary pool for exemplary service. The board of directors ratified bonuses pursuant to the incentive plan aggregating \$4.0 million in fiscal 2002, \$5.6 million in fiscal 2003 and \$6.7 million in fiscal 2004.

Public Offering Bonus Plan

As soon as practicable after, and conditioned upon consummation of, this offering, we will pay a taxable bonus (1) in the aggregate amount of \$7.5 million to our employees on the closing date who are also participants in the stock bonus plan, to be allocated to such employees in the proportion that the number of shares of Holding common stock allocated to an employee s stock bonus plan account on December 16, 2004 bears to the aggregate number of shares of Holding common stock allocated to the stock bonus plan accounts of all such employees, which bonus is expected to be approximately \$3.27 per share of Holding common stock held in the stock bonus plan, and (2) in the aggregate amount of \$1.0 million to participants who are not participants in our management incentive compensation plan or our sales bonus plan and are scheduled to receive the above-referenced employee bonus in an amount that is less than the maximum bonus that could be allocated to such participants (approximately \$3,337), to be allocated to such employees in an amount that is equal to the difference between such maximum and the amount of the bonus described in clause (1) except if the participant has been employed for less than one year, such person will receive a prorated amount based on actual days of service.

Supplemental Stock Bonus Plan

In connection with the amended consent order entered into with the DOL in January 2005, we adopted a supplemental stock bonus plan for purposes of making a special contribution of shares of common stock in excess of certain limits imposed on our stock bonus plan by Internal Revenue Service rules, which will be effective March 31, 2006. See Business Legal Proceedings Department of Labor for information concerning the amended consent order and release and the special contribution of additional shares of common stock to the stock bonus plan with respect to shares of Holding common stock contributed from 1994 through 2000. Applicable provisions of the stock bonus plan relating to vesting and distributions of benefits also apply to benefits accrued under the supplemental stock bonus plan. See Stock Bonus Plan above for further information.

Former Employees Cash Bonus Plan

In connection with the amended consent order entered into with the DOL in January 2005, we adopted a cash bonus plan to provide for that portion of the special contribution that is to be made in cash. Cash bonuses have been paid through the cash bonus plan in the amount of \$1,056,465 to participants who are no longer employed by EMJ. See Business Legal Proceedings U.S. Department of Labor for information concerning the amended consent order and release of a special contribution of additional shares of common stock to the stock bonus plan with respect to shares of Holding common stock contributed from 1994 through 2000.

68

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

Financial Restructuring

This offering is related to a financial restructuring transaction, which we refer to as the financial restructuring, pursuant to which Holding will be merged into a wholly owned subsidiary of EMJ, resulting in the following:

the exchange of all of Holding s senior notes, or the Holding notes, including interest accrued through September 29, 2004, for cash and shares of EMJ common stock;

the conversion of all outstanding shares of Holding series A preferred stock, including dividends accrued through September 29, 2004, into cash and shares of EMJ common stock;

the conversion of all outstanding shares of Holding series B preferred stock (all accumulated dividends have been paid in-kind through September 29, 2004) into cash and shares of EMJ common stock;

the conversion of all outstanding shares of Holding common stock into an equal number of shares of EMJ common stock;

the exchange of all outstanding warrants to purchase shares of Holding common stock for shares of EMJ common stock; and

our assumption of the obligations of Holding to issue 3,053,668 shares of Holding common stock under all outstanding Holding stock options. These options will become exercisable for an equal number of shares of EMJ common stock at the same exercise prices and on the same terms and conditions as provided in the Holding stock option agreements and stock option plan.

Completion of the financial restructuring is conditioned upon, among other things, (1) consummation of this offering at an initial public offering price that is not less than \$7.00 per share and (2) the offering resulting in at least \$100 million of net proceeds to EMJ. The consummation of this offering is conditioned upon and will occur on the same day as the completion of the financial restructuring.

Using an exchange or conversion ratio based on a value of \$12.00 for each share of EMJ common stock, which is the assumed initial public offering price per share based on the mid-point of the range shown on the cover of this prospectus, and assuming net proceeds of this offering of \$223,800,000 (before taking into account estimated offering expenses payable by us, as set forth under Use of Proceeds), we will issue the following amounts of EMJ common stock and pay the following amounts of cash to holders of Holding notes, Holding series A preferred stock and Holding series B preferred stock upon consummation of the financial restructuring:

6,980,351 shares of EMJ common stock and \$173.3 million in cash based on the \$257.1 million aggregate amount of Holding notes outstanding as of the date of this prospectus (including interest accrued through September 29, 2004);

1,276,733 shares of EMJ common stock and \$31.7 million in cash based on 57,573 outstanding shares of Holding series A preferred stock valued at approximately \$47.0 million as of the date of this prospectus (including dividends accrued through September 29, 2004);

757,091 shares of EMJ common stock and \$18.8 million in cash based on 27,882 outstanding shares of Holding series B preferred stock valued at approximately \$27.9 million as of the date of this prospectus (all accumulated dividends have been paid in-kind through September 29, 2004);

11,197,122 shares of EMJ common stock based on 11,197,122 shares of Holding common stock outstanding as of the date of this prospectus; and

2,935,467 shares of EMJ common stock based on warrants to purchase 2,937,915 shares of Holding common stock outstanding as of the date of this prospectus.

69

If the initial public offering price per share is higher than \$12.00 or the net proceeds of this offering, before taking into account estimated offering expenses payable by us, are greater than \$223,800,000, we will issue fewer shares of our common stock to the Holding stockholders and noteholder upon consummation of the financial restructuring. If the initial public offering price per share is less than \$12.00 or the net proceeds of this offering, before taking into account estimated offering expenses payable by us, are less than \$223,800,000, we will issue more shares of our common stock to the Holding stockholders and noteholder upon consummation of the financial restructuring. If the offering price per share is \$7.00 and the net proceeds of their offering are \$100 million, which are the minimum conditions to closing the financial restructuring, we would issue 47,269,426 shares of EMJ common stock to the Holding stockholders and noteholder upon consummation of the financial restructuring.

All of the shares of EMJ common stock issued in connection with the financial restructuring have been registered under the Securities Act on a registration statement on Form S-4 filed by EMJ. This means the shares issued pursuant to the financial restructuring will be freely tradable without restriction or further registration under the Securities Act, unless held by an affiliate as that term is defined in Rule 144 under the Securities Act or subject to the terms of the lock-up agreements, transfer restriction agreements or restrictions on transfer contained in the stock bonus plan described under the heading Shares Eligible for Future Sale.

To effect the financial restructuring, we have entered into (1) an agreement and plan of merger and reorganization, as amended, with Holding and a wholly owned subsidiary of EMJ and (2) an exchange agreement with Holding and Kelso Investment Associates, L.P., or KIA I, Kelso Equity Partners II, L.P., or KEP II, KIA III-Earle M. Jorgensen, L.P., or KIA III-EMJ, and Kelso Investment Associates IV, L.P., or KIA IV (collectively known as the Kelso funds). The Holding stockholders approved and adopted the merger agreement and approved the financial restructuring at a special meeting of stockholders held on April 13, 2005.

In connection with the consummation of the financial restructuring and this public offering, we (1) have agreed to contribute up to 2,461,547 shares of our common stock to our stock bonus plan and pay cash bonuses of \$1,056,465 in the aggregate to participants who are no longer employed by us in connection with the amended United States Department of Labor consent order for our stock bonus plan that was entered by the court on January 3, 2005 and (2) will pay a taxable bonus to our employees on the closing date who are also participants in our stock bonus plan in an aggregate amount of \$8.5 million, as described under the headings Business Legal Proceedings U.S. Department of Labor and Management Public Offering Bonus Plan.

Kelso

As of the date of this prospectus, the Kelso funds and other Kelso affiliates, including one of our directors, holds 8,259,799 shares of Holding common stock, which represents 73.8% of the issued and outstanding shares of Holding common stock, and 24,519 shares of Holding series A preferred stock, which represents 42.6% of the issued and outstanding shares of Holding series A preferred stock. Pursuant to the exchange agreement, the Kelso funds voted all of the Holding capital stock owned by them in favor of the merger agreement and the financial restructuring. As of the date of this prospectus, KIA IV also holds approximately \$257.1 million of the Holding notes (including accrued but unpaid interest) and warrants to purchase 2,937,915 shares of Holding common stock, which represents all of the outstanding Holding notes and all of the outstanding Holding warrants. Upon consummation of the financial restructuring and this offering, the Kelso funds and other Kelso affiliates will own 18,719,350 shares of our common stock, representing 43.4% of our issued and outstanding common stock, and receive \$186,804,677 in cash, assuming an initial public offering price of \$12.00 per share, the mid-point of the range shown on the cover of this prospectus, and net proceeds of this offering of \$223,800,000 (as set forth under Use of Proceeds).

Mr. Nickell is a general partner of KP I, KP III and KP IV. Mr. Nickell is also president, chief executive officer and a director of Kelso & Companies, Inc., which is the general partner of Kelso & Company, L.P. Mr. Wahrhaftig is a general partner of KP IV and a managing director and a member of the board of directors of

Kelso & Companies, Inc. KP I, KP III and KP IV are the general partners of KIA I, KIA III-EMJ and KIA IV, respectively. Mr. Nickell is a director of Holding and EMJ and will share investment and voting power with respect to shares of our common stock that will be held by KIA I, KEP II, KIA III-EMJ and KIA IV upon consummation of the financial restructuring and this offering. Mr. Wahrhaftig is a director of Holding and EMJ and will share investment and voting power with respect to shares of our common stock that will be held by KIA IV upon consummation of the financial restructuring and this offering. Each of Messrs. Roderick, Marquard and Rutledge are nominees of KIA IV on our board of directors. Messrs. Roderick and Marquard are members of the board of directors of Kelso & Companies, Inc.

Pursuant to Holding s stockholders agreement among Holding, KIA III-EMJ, KEP II, KIA IV, and certain other Holding stockholders and the terms of the Holding series A preferred stock, Mr. Nelson was designated by the management stockholders as a director, Mr. Mason was designated by the holders of Holding series A preferred stock and the remaining directors were designated by KIA IV. Holding s stockholders agreement also grants put rights to certain management stockholders whereby, in certain circumstances, select stockholders may sell their shares of common stock to Holding, or should Holding so determine, to our stock bonus plan, for fair market value. In addition, the agreement places certain restrictions on the sale of shares of Holding common stock to third parties by stockholders party to the agreement. Holding s stockholders agreement will terminate upon consummation of this offering and the Holding series A preferred stock will be exchanged for cash and our common stock pursuant to the financial restructuring.

Prior to the consummation of this offering, we will enter into an agreement with the Kelso funds, other Kelso affiliates and Mr. Nelson pursuant to which we will provide the Kelso funds, other Kelso affiliates and Mr. Nelson with certain demand and piggyback registration rights with respect to their shares of our common stock, including the 18,719,350 shares (16,384,292 shares if the underwriters over-allotment option is exercised in full) of our common stock held by them immediately following the consummation of this offering. These demand and piggyback registration rights will be exercisable upon the expiration of the lock-up agreements entered into by the Kelso funds, other Kelso affiliates and Mr. Nelson in connection with this offering. Pursuant to the demand registration rights, the Kelso funds and other Kelso affiliates may require us to prepare and file a registration statement under the Securities Act of 1933, at our expense, covering all or a portion of their shares.

We have also agreed with the Kelso funds that for so long as the Kelso funds own in excess of 20% of our issued and outstanding common stock, the Kelso funds will be entitled to designate two directors, and for so long as the Kelso funds own in excess of 10% of our issued and outstanding common stock, the Kelso funds will be entitled to designate one director, to be included in the slate of directors nominated by us for election to our board of directors in our annual proxy statement.

In connection with the formation of EMJ, we agreed to pay Kelso an annual fee of \$1,250,000 for financial advisory services and to reimburse it for out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with rendering these services. However, Kelso waived this annual fee for fiscal years 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003 and 2004 and has not received any of this annual fee in fiscal 2005. Amounts paid to Kelso in fiscal years 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004 and 2005 for reimbursement of expenses incurred by directors designated by KIA IV in attending our board meetings were not significant. On December 17, 2004, we and Kelso entered into an amendment to our financial advisory agreement pursuant to which on January 10, 2005 we paid Kelso a fee of \$6,250,000 to terminate our obligation to pay fees to Kelso under the agreement. The obligations of Kelso to provide financial advisory services and our obligations with respect to the reimbursement of expenses of and indemnification of Kelso are still in effect.

Maurice S. Nelson, Jr.

Maurice S. Nelson, Jr. is president, chief executive officer and a director of EMJ and Holding. On December 16, 2004, Holding paid Mr. Nelson a cash payment of \$3,006,000 (equal to the difference between the appraised value of the Holding common stock as of March 31, 2004 of \$13.76 and the exercise price of \$5.41 per

Table of Contents

share) in consideration of the redemption of options to purchase 360,000 shares of Holding common stock held by Mr. Nelson. Concurrently with the amendment of the consent order for our stock bonus plan and after the redemption of Mr. Nelson s options, Holding amended its stock option plan to adjust the exercise price and the number of shares of Holding common stock that can be purchased pursuant to each option grant to offset the reduction in the appraised value of the Holding common stock resulting from the change in valuation methodology required by the amended consent order. As a result of this change, Mr. Nelson s remaining options to purchase 960,000 shares of Holding common stock at an exercise price of \$5.41 per share were converted into options to purchase 1,693,538 shares of Holding common stock at an exercise price of \$3.07 per share. In connection with the financial restructuring, all of the issued and outstanding options to purchase shares of Holding common stock, including those held by Mr. Nelson, will be converted into options to purchase an equal number of shares of our common stock at the same exercise prices.

Messrs. Roderick, Marquard, Rutledge, Mason and O Donnell

After giving effect to the amendment to the Holding stock option plan, Messrs. Roderick, Marquard and Rutledge hold options to purchase 176,410, 70,564 and 70,564 shares of Holding common stock, respectively, at weighted-average exercise prices of \$3.32, \$3.39 and \$3.39, respectively. Upon consummation of the financial restructuring, the options held by Messrs. Roderick, Marquard and Rutledge will be converted into an equal number of options to purchase our common stock at the same exercise prices as the Holding stock options held by each of them. On the date of this prospectus, each of Messrs. Roderick, Marquard, Rutledge, Mason and O Donnell will receive a grant of options to purchase 10,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price equal to the initial public offering price. These options will vest in full on the day following the six month anniversary of the date of grant.

On December 21, 2004, Holding paid Mr. Roderick a bonus of \$202,640 as compensation for his service as chairman of the Holding and EMJ boards of directors.

Holding

We entered into a management agreement with Holding as of March 8, 1993 for Holding to provide us certain management, accounting, financial advisory and consulting services. We agreed to pay Holding a fee equal to the aggregate compensation costs incurred by Holding in providing these services plus 5% of the aggregate salaries of employees providing these services, and to reimburse Holding for its accounting, legal and other fees and expenses incurred in the ordinary course of business in providing these services. The total amount payable to Holding under the management agreement for the reimbursement of accounting, legal and other fees and expenses may not exceed \$200,000 per year. We allocated to Holding \$2,095,000 during fiscal 2004 and have not made any allocations to Holding in fiscal 2005 pursuant to the management agreement. This agreement will terminate upon consummation of the financial restructuring.

We entered into a tax allocation agreement with Holding as of March 8, 1993 to allocate between us and Holding the benefits and responsibilities of filing consolidated or combined federal, state and local income tax returns. This agreement will terminate upon consummation of the financial restructuring.

72

PRINCIPAL STOCKHOLDERS

The following table contains information regarding the beneficial ownership of our common stock as of the date of this prospectus, as adjusted to include the shares of common stock to be issued in exchange for the Holding common stock and Holding warrants and for part of the Holding notes, the Holding series A preferred stock and the Holding series B preferred stock pursuant to the financial restructuring (assuming an initial public offering price of \$12.00 per share, the mid-point of the range shown on the cover of this prospectus, and net proceeds of this offering of \$223,800,000 (before taking into account estimated offering expenses payable by us, as set forth under Use of Proceeds), and as adjusted to reflect the sale of our common stock in this offering, by:

each person or group of affiliated persons known by us to beneficially own more than 5% of the outstanding shares of our common stock;

each of our directors;