

THERAVANCE INC
Form 4
January 31, 2008

FORM 4

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

OMB APPROVAL

OMB Number: 3235-0287
Expires: January 31, 2005
Estimated average burden hours per response... 0.5

Check this box if no longer subject to Section 16. Form 4 or Form 5 obligations may continue. See Instruction 1(b).

STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF SECURITIES

Filed pursuant to Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Section 17(a) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 or Section 30(h) of the Investment Company Act of 1940

(Print or Type Responses)

1. Name and Address of Reporting Person *
Mammen Mathai

(Last) (First) (Middle)
THERAVANCE, INC., 901
GATEWAY BLVD.
(Street)

SOUTH SAN
FRANCISCO, CA 94080

(City) (State) (Zip)

2. Issuer Name and Ticker or Trading Symbol
THERAVANCE INC [THRX]

3. Date of Earliest Transaction
(Month/Day/Year)
01/29/2008

4. If Amendment, Date Original Filed(Month/Day/Year)

5. Relationship of Reporting Person(s) to Issuer

(Check all applicable)

____ Director _____ 10% Owner
 Officer (give title below) _____ Other (specify below)
Senior VP, Research

6. Individual or Joint/Group Filing(Check Applicable Line)
 Form filed by One Reporting Person
____ Form filed by More than One Reporting Person

Table I - Non-Derivative Securities Acquired, Disposed of, or Beneficially Owned

1. Title of Security (Instr. 3)	2. Transaction Date (Month/Day/Year)	2A. Deemed Execution Date, if any (Month/Day/Year)	3. Transaction Code (Instr. 8)	4. Securities Acquired (A) or Disposed of (D) (Instr. 3, 4 and 5)	5. Amount of Securities Beneficially Owned Following Reported Transaction(s) (Instr. 3 and 4)	6. Ownership Form: Direct (D) or Indirect (I) (Instr. 4)	7. Nature of Ownership (Instr. 4)
			Code	V	Amount	(D)	Price
Common Stock	01/29/2008		A		11,000	A	\$ 0 69,069

Reminder: Report on a separate line for each class of securities beneficially owned directly or indirectly.

Persons who respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB control number.

SEC 1474
(9-02)

Table II - Derivative Securities Acquired, Disposed of, or Beneficially Owned (e.g., puts, calls, warrants, options, convertible securities)

Edgar Filing: THERAVANCE INC - Form 4

1. Title of Derivative Security (Instr. 3)	2. Conversion or Exercise Price of Derivative Security	3. Transaction Date (Month/Day/Year)	3A. Deemed Execution Date, if any (Month/Day/Year)	4. Transaction Code (Instr. 8)	5. Number of Derivative Securities Acquired (A) or Disposed of (D) (Instr. 3, 4, and 5)	6. Date Exercisable and Expiration Date (Month/Day/Year)	7. Title and Amount of Underlying Securities (Instr. 3 and 4)	Amount of Shares
Stock Option (Right to Buy)	\$ 19.8	01/29/2008		A	100,000	(1) 01/28/2018	Common Stock	100,000

Reporting Owners

Reporting Owner Name / Address	Relationships			
	Director	10% Owner	Officer	Other
Mammen Mathai THERAVANCE, INC. 901 GATEWAY BLVD. SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94080			Senior VP, Research	

Signatures

Mathai
Mammen

01/31/2008

**Signature of Reporting Person Date

Explanation of Responses:

- * If the form is filed by more than one reporting person, see Instruction 4(b)(v).
 - ** Intentional misstatements or omissions of facts constitute Federal Criminal Violations. See 18 U.S.C. 1001 and 15 U.S.C. 78ff(a).
- (1) The option becomes exercisable for the shares in a series of 48 equal installments. The option shall be fully vested and exercisable on the 4-year anniversary of the grant date provided optionee remains in continuous service through such date.

Note: File three copies of this Form, one of which must be manually signed. If space is insufficient, see Instruction 6 for procedure. Potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB number. AS No. 141 in the 2001 quarter with its acquisition of SFC.

Also in July 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*. The provisions of SFAS No. 142 require that goodwill no longer be amortized, and that goodwill and other intangible assets be tested for impairment upon adoption of the standard, and at least annually thereafter. The Company will be required to adopt the provisions of SFAS No. 142 with its fiscal year beginning December 30, 2001, except for goodwill and any intangible assets acquired in purchase business combinations completed after June 30, 2001, for which the provisions of this standard were effective beginning July 1, 2001. Therefore, the Company adopted SFAS No. 142 for its acquisition of SFC in the 2001 quarter. After adoption of the provisions of this standard beginning December 30, 2001, the Company will no longer record amortization expense for goodwill. The Company will also be required to perform an assessment of whether there is an indication that goodwill and other intangible assets are impaired as of the date of adoption. If applicable, any such transitional impairment loss would be recognized as a cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle in the Company's consolidated statement of earnings.

As of the date of adoption, the Company expects to have unamortized goodwill, excluding acquisitions not yet consummated, in the amount of \$360.9 million, which will be subject to the transition provisions of SFAS No. 142. Amortization expense related to goodwill was \$2.0 million and \$732,000 for the 2001 and 2000 quarters, respectively, and \$5.7 million and \$2.1 million for the 2001 and 2000 periods, respectively. The Company has not yet completed its analysis of the impact adopting SFAS No. 142 will have on its financial condition and results of operations.

8. Subsequent Events

On October 16, 2001, the Company completed the offering of 5,750,000 shares of its common stock at an offering price of \$26.36 per share and issued approximately \$201.3 million aggregate principal amount of 5 1/2% convertible subordinated notes due in 2008 (the "Notes"). The Notes are non-callable for three years and are redeemable at declining premiums thereafter. The Notes have a conversion price of \$32.95 per share, subject to adjustment. Net proceeds from these offerings totaled approximately \$338.2 million, net of underwriting discounts and estimated offering expenses. The net proceeds were used to repay the Company's borrowings of \$22.0 million outstanding under its revolving credit facility and fund the acquisition of Fresh International Corp. ("Fresh Express") described below.

Simultaneously with the closing of the common stock and Notes offerings, the Company acquired all of the outstanding common stock of Fresh Express, a privately owned fresh-cut produce processor based in Salinas, California. Fresh Express processes, markets and distributes a diverse line of packaged salads to supermarkets and foodservice operators throughout the entire United States. Fresh Express operates five processing facilities located in Salinas, California; Greencastle, Pennsylvania; Colorado Springs, Colorado; Atlanta, Georgia; and Chicago, Illinois. The Company paid approximately \$302.6 million in cash for the acquisition of Fresh Express, which included the repayment of net debt outstanding, and the assumption of certain liabilities. The actual purchase price of Fresh Express is subject to adjustments, which are payable in cash, based upon, among other things, Fresh Express' net worth as of the closing date. In addition, the Company is obligated to pay the former shareholders of Fresh Express, as additional purchase price, up to \$10.0 million in cash if Fresh Express achieves certain operating targets during a three-year period following closing of the acquisition.

Also on October 16, 2001, the Company entered into a new \$200.0 million credit facility (the "New Credit Facility") with several financial institutions, which replaces the Company's \$85.0 million credit facility and \$5.0 million working capital line of credit. The New Credit Facility expires in 2006 and bears interest at a floating rate equal to, at the Company's election, the agent bank's prime rate or a spread over LIBOR, which varies based upon the Company's leverage ratio, as defined in the credit agreement. The New Credit Facility also requires the maintenance of certain financial ratios as defined in the credit agreement and contains customary events of default. The New Credit Facility allows for the issuance of up to \$40.0 million of standby letters of credit, which reduce borrowings available under the New Credit Facility. The New Credit Facility also requires that the Company's existing subsidiaries and, subject to limited exceptions, future subsidiaries, guarantee all of the Company's borrowings, letters of credit and other obligations under the New Credit Facility. At October 16, 2001, the Company had no borrowings outstanding under the New Credit Facility.

On October 24, 2001, the Company settled its litigation with Maxwell Chase Technologies, LLC. This settlement did not have a material effect on our financial conditions or results of operations.

Item

2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Unless this Form 10-Q/A indicates otherwise or the context otherwise requires, the terms "we," "our," "us," or "Performance Food Group" as used in this Form 10-Q/A refer to Performance Food Group Company and its

Edgar Filing: THERAVANCE INC - Form 4

subsidiaries. References in this Form 10-Q/A to the 2001 and 2000 quarters and periods refer to our restated fiscal quarters and our restated nine-month periods ended September 29, 2001 and September 30, 2000, respectively, unless otherwise expressly stated or the context otherwise requires.

On April 11, 2001, our Board of Directors declared a 2-for-1 stock split effected as a 100% stock dividend. The record date of the stock dividend was April 23, 2001, and the stock dividend was distributed to shareholders on April 30, 2001. All references to the number of common shares and per common share amounts in this Form 10-Q/A have been restated to give retroactive effect to the stock split for all periods presented.

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our condensed consolidated financial statements and the related notes included elsewhere in this Form 10-Q/A.

Restatement of Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

In March 2002, we announced that we had identified certain accounting errors at one of our operating subsidiaries in our broadline segment. The errors resulted primarily from the failure of the accounting staff at this subsidiary to properly reconcile its accounting records to supporting detail and their failure to appropriately account for intercompany transactions with a division of this subsidiary, which resulted in an understatement of cost of goods sold for the subsidiary. The effect of the correction of the errors was to reduce previously reported net earnings by \$1.7 million and \$2.9 million for the 2001 quarter and period, respectively. The effect of the correction of the errors for the 2000 quarter and period reduced previously reported net earnings by approximately \$800,000 and \$1.0 million, respectively. The condensed consolidated balance sheets as of September 29, 2001 and December 30, 2000, the condensed consolidated statements of earnings for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 29, 2001 and September 30, 2000 and the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the nine-month periods ended September 29, 2001 and September 30, 2000, the notes thereto and this discussion have been restated to include the effects of the corrections of these errors. The impact of the corrections of these errors is presented in Note 2 to the condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Form 10-Q/A.

Introduction

Performance Food Group was founded in 1987 as a result of the combination of various foodservice businesses, and has grown both internally through increased sales to existing and new customers and through acquisitions of existing foodservice distributors. We market and distribute over 36,000 national and proprietary brand food and non-food products to approximately 29,000 customers in the foodservice, or "food-away-from-home," industry. Our extensive product line and distribution system allow us to service both of the major customer types in the foodservice industry: "street" foodservice customers, which include independent restaurants, hotels, cafeterias, schools, healthcare facilities and other institutional customers, and multi-unit, or "chain" customers, which include regional and national quick-service and casual-dining restaurants. The principal components of our expenses include cost of goods sold, which represents the amounts paid to manufacturers and growers for products sold, and operating expenses, which include primarily labor-related expenses, delivery costs and occupancy expenses related to our facilities.

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the components of our condensed consolidated statements of earnings expressed as a percentage of net sales:

Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
September 29, <u>2001</u>	September 30, <u>2000</u>	September 29, <u>2001</u>	September 30, <u>2000</u>

Edgar Filing: THERAVANCE INC - Form 4

	(restated)	(restated)	(restated)	(restated)
Net sales	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %
Cost of goods sold	<u>86.5</u>	<u>86.7</u>	<u>86.6</u>	<u>86.7</u>
Gross profit	13.5	13.3	13.4	13.3
Operating expenses	<u>11.0</u>	<u>11.3</u>	<u>11.2</u>	<u>11.6</u>
Operating profit	2.5	2.0	2.2	1.7
Other expense, net	<u>0.3</u>	<u>0.2</u>	<u>0.3</u>	<u>0.2</u>
Earnings before income taxes	2.2	1.8	1.9	1.5
Income tax expense	<u>0.8</u>	<u>0.7</u>	<u>0.7</u>	<u>0.6</u>
Net earnings	1.4 %	1.1 %	1.2 %	0.9 %

Comparison of the 2001 and 2000 Periods and Quarters

Net sales. Net sales increased 15.3% to \$798.8 million in the 2001 quarter from net sales of \$693.1 million in the 2000 quarter. Net sales increased 20.2% to \$2.32 billion in the 2001 period from net sales of \$1.93 billion in the 2000 period. Net sales in our existing operations for the 2001 quarter increased approximately 5.5% over the 2000 quarter and net sales in our existing operations for the 2001 period increased 10.6% over the 2000 period, while acquisitions contributed the remaining approximately 9.8% and 9.6% of our total sales growth for the 2001 quarter and period, respectively. We estimate that inflation contributed approximately 2.3% to the increase in net sales for both the 2001 quarter and period. The rate of growth in net sales in our existing operations for the 2001 quarter was not as great as the immediately preceding quarters, reflecting both general economic conditions and the effect of the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks.

Gross profit. Gross profit increased 17.7% to \$108.2 million in the 2001 quarter from \$91.9 million in the 2000 quarter. Gross profit increased 21.2% to \$310.3 million in the 2001 period from \$256.0 million in the 2000 period. Gross profit margin, which we define as gross profit as a percentage of net sales, increased to 13.5% in the 2001 quarter and 13.4% in the 2001 period, compared to 13.3% in both the 2000 quarter and period. The increase in gross profit margin was due primarily to increased contribution from our fresh-cut segment, mainly as a result of the acquisition of Redi-Cut in December 2000, which typically has had higher gross profit margins than many of our other operating companies. These gross profit percentages reflect higher cost of goods sold at the broadline subsidiary where the accounting errors discussed above occurred.

Operating expenses. Operating expenses increased 12.6% to \$87.9 million in the 2001 quarter compared with \$78.1 million in the 2000 quarter. Operating expenses increased 16.8% to \$260.0 million in the 2001 period compared with \$222.5 million in the 2000 period. As a percentage of net sales, operating expenses decreased to 11.0% in the 2001 quarter from 11.3% in the 2000 quarter, and to 11.2% in the 2001 period from 11.6% in the 2000 period. We believe that the decrease in operating expenses as a percentage of net sales was due mainly to improved productivity related in part to reduced employee turnover, tighter controls over expenses and efficiencies related to newer, more efficient fresh-cut processing facilities, which we opened in mid-2000.

Operating profit. Operating profit increased 46.3% to \$20.3 million in the 2001 quarter from \$13.9 million in the 2000 quarter. Operating profit increased 50.3% to \$50.3 million in the 2001 period compared to \$33.5 million in the 2000 period. Operating profit margin, which we define as operating profit as a percentage of net sales, increased to

2.5% in the 2001 quarter from 2.0% in the 2000 quarter, and to 2.2% in the 2001 period from 1.7% in the 2000 period.

Other expense, net. Other expense, net, increased to \$2.1 million in the 2001 quarter from \$1.7 million in the 2000 quarter, and to \$6.4 million in the 2001 period from \$4.6 million in the 2000 period. Included in other expense, net, was interest expense of \$1.3 million in the 2001 quarter, compared with interest expense of \$1.7 million in the 2000 quarter and interest expense of \$5.1 million in the 2001 period, compared with interest expense of \$4.6 million in the 2000 period. Interest expense for both the 2001 quarter and period was reduced due to lower interest rates than the 2000 quarter and period, offset in part by higher average borrowing levels in the 2001 period. In the 2001 quarter and period, other expense, net, included a loss on the sale of undivided interests in receivables of approximately \$836,000, related to the receivables purchase facility, described in the "Liquidity and Capital Resources" section of this Form 10-Q/A.

Income tax expense. Income tax expense increased to \$6.9 million in the 2001 quarter from \$4.6 million in the 2000 quarter, and to \$16.7 million in the 2001 period from \$11.0 million in the 2000 period. As a percentage of earnings before income taxes, the provision for income taxes was 38.0% for the 2001 and 2000 quarters and periods.

Net earnings. Net earnings increased 49.5% to \$11.3 million in the 2001 quarter compared to \$7.5 million in the 2000 quarter. In the 2001 period, net earnings increased 52.0% to \$27.2 million from \$17.9 million in the 2000 period. As a percentage of net sales, net earnings increased to 1.4% in the 2001 quarter from 1.1% in the 2000 quarter, and to 1.2% in the 2001 period from 0.9% in the 2000 period.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We have historically financed our operations and growth primarily with cash flows from operations, borrowings under credit facilities, the issuance of long-term debt, operating leases, normal trade credit terms and the sale of our common stock. Despite our growth in net sales, we have reduced our working capital needs by financing our investment in inventory principally with accounts payable and outstanding checks in excess of deposits.

Cash flows from operating activities. Cash provided by operating activities was \$127.8 million for the 2001 period. In the 2001 period, the primary sources of cash from operating activities were net earnings, proceeds of \$78.0 million received under the receivables purchase facility discussed below, and increased levels of income taxes payable, partially offset by increased levels of inventories. Cash provided by operating activities was \$7.6 million for the 2000 period. In the 2000 period, the primary sources of cash from operations were net earnings and increased levels of trade payables, partially offset by increased levels of trade receivables and inventories.

On July 3, 2001, we entered into a receivables purchase facility, or the Receivables Facility, under which PFG Receivables Corporation, a wholly owned, special-purpose subsidiary of ours, sold an undivided interest in certain of our trade receivables. PFG Receivables Corporation was formed for the sole purpose of buying receivables generated by some of our operating units, and selling an undivided interest in those receivables to a financial institution. Under the Receivables Facility, our operating units transfer a portion of their accounts receivable to PFG Receivables Corporation, which in turn, subject to certain conditions, may from time to time sell an undivided interest in these receivables to a financial institution. Our operating units continue to service the receivables on behalf of the financial institution at estimated market rates. Accordingly, we have not recognized a servicing asset or liability. The amount of the undivided interest in the receivables owned by the financial institution cannot exceed \$90.0 million at any one time.

We received approximately \$78.0 million of proceeds for receivables sold under the Receivables Facility in the 2001 quarter. At September 29, 2001, accounts receivable included approximately \$35.4 million of residual interest, or Residual Interest, which represents our retained interest in receivables held by PFG Receivables Corporation. The Residual Interest was measured using the estimated discounted cash flows of the underlying accounts receivable based on estimated collections and a discount rate equivalent to our estimated borrowing rate. The loss on sale of receivables

of \$836,000 in the 2001 quarter and period is included in other expense, net, in the condensed consolidated statement of earnings in this Form 10-Q/A and represents our cost of securitizing those receivables with the financial institution.

We record the sale of accounts receivable to the financial institution in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards, or SFAS, No. 140, *Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities*. Accordingly, at the time the receivables are sold, the receivables are removed from our balance sheet. We record a loss on the sale of these receivables, which includes a discount based on the receivables' credit quality and a financing cost for the financial institution, based upon the 30-day commercial-paper rate. At September 29, 2001, the rate under the Receivables Facility was approximately 3.99%.

Cash used in investing activities.

Cash used in investing activities was \$121.2 million for the 2001 period. Investing activities included additions to and disposals of property, plant and equipment and the acquisition of businesses. Our capital expenditures, excluding acquisitions of other businesses, for the 2001 period were \$20.4 million. We anticipate that our total capital expenditures, excluding acquisitions, for fiscal 2001 will be approximately \$30.0 million. Cash used in investing activities in the 2001 period included a total of approximately \$98.4 million paid for the acquisitions of Springfield Foodservice Corporation, or SFC, and Empire Seafood Holding Corp. and to the former shareholders of Carroll County Foods, Inc., State Hotel Supply Company, Inc. and AFFLINK Incorporated (formerly Affiliated Paper Companies, Inc.), which were acquired in 2000, 1999 and 1998, respectively, as a result of certain contractual obligations under the purchase agreements relating to those acquisitions. In the 2000 period, cash used in investing activities was \$30.0 million. In the 2000 period, our total capital expenditures, excluding acquisitions of businesses, were \$22.1 million. Cash used in investing activities in the 2000 period also included approximately \$8.8 million paid for the acquisition of Carroll County and to the former shareholders of Dixon Tom-A-Toe Companies, Inc. and AFFLINK Inc., which were acquired in 1999 and 1998, respectively, as a result of certain contractual obligations in the purchase agreements relating to those acquisitions.

Cash used in financing activities. Cash used in financing activities was approximately \$9.1 million in the 2001 period. In the 2001 period, cash used in financing activities included net repayments of approximately \$27.0 million on our revolving credit facility and principal payments on long-term debt of approximately \$1.8 million. In the 2001 period, cash flows from financing activities included an increase in outstanding checks in excess of deposits of approximately \$12.3 million, and proceeds of \$6.5 million from the exercise of stock options. Cash provided by financing activities was approximately \$26.4 million in the 2000 period. In the 2000 period, cash flows from financing activities included an increase in outstanding checks in excess of deposits of \$16.1 million, net borrowings of \$15.0 million on our revolving credit facility, proceeds of \$3.5 million from industrial revenue bonds issued to finance the construction of a new produce-processing facility, and proceeds of \$4.4 million from the exercise of stock options. In the 2000 period, cash used in financing activities included \$11.9 million paid by us to repurchase shares of our common stock in the open market for use in connection with our employee benefit plans.

In March 1999, we entered into an \$85.0 million revolving credit facility with a group of commercial banks. In addition, we entered into a \$5.0 million working capital line of credit with one of those banks. Collectively, these two facilities are referred to as the Credit Facility. Approximately \$20.0 million was outstanding under the Credit Facility at September 29, 2001. The Credit Facility also allows the issuance of up to \$20.0 million of standby letters of credit, of which \$7.3 million were outstanding at September 29, 2001, that reduce borrowings available under the Credit Facility. At September 29, 2001, we had \$62.7 million available under the Credit Facility, subject to compliance with customary borrowing conditions. The Credit Facility bears interest at LIBOR plus a spread over LIBOR, which varies based on our ratio of funded debt to total capital. At September 29, 2001, borrowings under the Credit Facility bore interest at 3.84% per annum. Subsequent to the end of the 2001 quarter, we replaced the Credit Facility with a new \$200.0 million credit facility that we entered into with several financial institutions, referred to as the New Credit Facility. This New Credit Facility is described in the "Subsequent Events" section of this Form 10-Q/A.

In March 1999, one of our subsidiaries issued \$9.0 million of tax-exempt industrial revenue bonds to finance the construction of a produce-processing facility. In January 2001, these bonds were refinanced with the proceeds of \$9.0 million taxable revenue bonds in order to free us from certain restrictive covenants applicable to the subsidiary that issued the tax-exempt bonds. Like the tax-exempt bonds, these taxable bonds bear interest at a rate determined weekly by the remarketing agent for the bonds. The interest rate for these bonds was approximately 3.15% per annum at September 29, 2001. The bonds are secured by a letter of credit issued by a commercial bank and are due in March 2019.

In February 2001, we increased one of our master operating lease facilities from \$47.0 million to \$55.0 million. This facility was used to construct four distribution centers. Two of these distribution centers became operational in early 1999, one became operational in the second quarter of 2000, and the remaining property became operational in the second quarter of 2001. Under this facility, the lessor owns the distribution centers, incurs the related debt to construct the properties and thereafter leases each property to us. We have entered into leases for each of the properties. All of these leases end on September 12, 2002, including extensions. Upon the expiration of the leases, we may seek to renew the leases. If we are unable or choose not to renew the leases, we have the option of selling the properties to third parties or purchasing the properties at their original cost. If the properties are sold to third parties for less than 88% of their aggregate original cost, we are obligated, under a residual value guarantee, to pay the lessor an amount equal to the shortfall. There can be no assurance that we will be able to renew the leases or sell the properties to third parties, and we will require substantial additional financing if we are required to purchase the properties upon the expiration of the master operating lease facility. Because of the location and condition of each of the four properties referred to above, we believe that the anticipated fair value of these properties could eliminate or substantially reduce our exposure under the residual value guarantee, although there can be no assurance that we will not be required to make payments to satisfy this guarantee. Through September 29, 2001, construction expenditures by the lessor under this facility were approximately \$50.1 million.

In June 2000, we entered into a \$60.0 million master operating lease facility to construct or purchase various office buildings and distribution centers. As of September 29, 2001, two distribution centers had been purchased, one office building had been completed, and construction on one distribution center had begun under this facility. Under this facility, the lessor owns the properties, incurs the related debt to construct or purchase the properties and thereafter leases each property to us. We have entered into leases for three of these properties and have entered into a commitment to lease the fourth property for a period beginning upon the completion of construction of that property. The leases relating to the four properties referred to above, as well as any other leases we may enter into under this facility in the future, end on June 9, 2005. Upon the expiration of the leases, we may seek to renew the leases. If we are unable or choose not to renew the leases, we have the option of selling the properties to third parties or purchasing the properties at their original cost. If the properties are sold to third parties for less than 85% of their aggregate original cost, we are obligated, under a residual value guarantee, to pay the lessor an amount equal to the shortfall. There can be no assurance that we will be able to renew the leases or sell the properties to third parties, and we will require substantial additional financing if we are required to purchase the properties upon the expiration of the master operating lease facility. Because of the location and condition of each of the four properties referred to above, we believe that the anticipated fair value of these properties could eliminate or substantially reduce our exposure under the residual value guarantee with respect to these four properties, although there can be no assurance that we will not be required to make payments to satisfy this guarantee either with respect to these four properties or any other properties which may be constructed or purchased in the future under the facility. Through September 29, 2001, construction and acquisition expenditures by the lessor under this facility were approximately \$30.7 million.

Subsequent to the end of the 2001 quarter, we completed offerings of common stock and convertible notes and entered into the New Credit Facility. These offerings and the New Credit Facility are described in the "Subsequent Events" section of this Form 10-Q/A. We believe that our cash flows from operations, borrowings under our New Credit Facility and our master operating lease facilities, the sale of undivided interests in trade receivables under the Receivables Facility and proceeds from the stock and notes offerings described above will be sufficient to fund our operations and currently anticipated capital expenditures for the next 18 months. However, we may require additional

sources of financing depending upon our future acquisition plans.

Business Combinations

On September 10, 2001, we acquired all the outstanding common stock of SFC, a privately owned broadline foodservice distributor based in Springfield, Massachusetts. SFC provides products and services to traditional foodservice accounts in a region covering New England and portions of New York State.

On April 2, 2001, we acquired all of the outstanding common stock of Empire, a privately owned distributor and processor of seafood. Empire markets and distributes a broad array of seafood directly to independent restaurants and other foodservice operators, primarily in Florida.

On December 13, 2000, we acquired the all of the outstanding common stock and membership interests of Redi-Cut Foods, Inc. and its affiliates, Kansas City Salad, L.L.C. and K. C. Salad Real Estate, L.L.C., collectively, "Redi-Cut," a privately owned processor of fresh-cut produce with facilities in Franklin Park, Illinois, a suburb of Chicago, and Kansas City, Missouri. Redi-Cut provides fresh-cut produce mainly to third-party distributors for resale primarily to quick-service restaurants such as McDonald's, KFC, Taco Bell, Pizza Hut and Burger King.

On August 4, 2000, we acquired all of the outstanding common stock of Carroll County, a privately owned broadline foodservice distributor based in New Windsor, Maryland. Carroll County provides products and services to traditional foodservice accounts in a region that includes Baltimore, Maryland and Washington, D.C.

The acquisitions of SFC, Empire, Redi-Cut and Carroll County have been accounted for using the purchase method; therefore, the acquired assets and liabilities have been recorded at their estimated fair values at the dates of acquisition. The excess of the total purchase price over the total fair value of tangible net assets acquired was approximately \$269.5 million and is being amortized on a straight-line basis over estimated lives ranging from 5 to 40 years. In accordance with SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, the goodwill related to the acquisition of SFC is not being amortized. The goodwill of Empire, Redi-Cut and Carroll County is being amortized until our fiscal year beginning December 30, 2001, at which time, in accordance with SFAS No. 142, we will no longer amortize goodwill. The preliminary allocations of the excess purchase price of the SFC and Empire acquisitions are subject to final adjustment.

In the 2001 period, we paid a total of approximately \$98.4 million in cash and issued a total of approximately 2.1 million shares of our common stock for the acquisitions of SFC and Empire and to the former shareholders of Carroll County, State Hotel and AFFLINK, which were acquired in 2000, 1999 and 1998, respectively, as a result of certain contractual obligations in the purchase agreements relating to those acquisitions. In the 2000 period, we paid a total of approximately \$8.8 million in cash and issued a total of approximately 470,000 shares of our common stock for the acquisition of Carroll County in 2000 and to the former shareholders of Dixon and AFFLINK, which were acquired in 1999 and 1998, respectively, as a result of certain contractual obligations in the purchase agreements relating to those acquisitions.

Subsequent to the end of the 2001 quarter, we acquired all the outstanding common stock of Fresh International Corp., referred to as Fresh Express. The acquisition of Fresh Express is described in the "Subsequent Events" section of this Form 10-Q/A.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, issued SFAS No. 141, *Business Combinations*. SFAS No. 141 requires that all business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001, be accounted for using the purchase method and also specifies criteria that intangible assets acquired in a business combination must meet to be recognized and reported apart from goodwill. We adopted SFAS No. 141 in the 2001 quarter with our acquisition of

SFC.

Also in June 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*. The provisions of SFAS No. 142 require that goodwill no longer be amortized, and that goodwill and other intangible assets be tested for impairment upon adoption of the standard, and at least annually thereafter. We will be required to adopt the provisions of SFAS No. 142 with our fiscal year beginning December 30, 2001, except for goodwill and any intangible assets acquired in purchase business combinations completed after June 30, 2001, for which the provisions of this standard were effective beginning July 1, 2001. Therefore, we adopted SFAS No. 142 for our acquisition of SFC in the 2001 quarter. After adoption of the provisions of this standard, beginning December 30, 2001, we will no longer record amortization expense for goodwill. We will also be required to perform an assessment of whether there is an indication that goodwill and other intangible assets are impaired as of the date of adoption. If applicable, any such transitional impairment loss would be recognized as a cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle in our consolidated statement of earnings.

As of the date of adoption, we expect to have unamortized goodwill, excluding acquisitions not yet consummated, in the amount of \$360.9 million, which will be subject to the transition provisions of SFAS No. 142. Amortization expense related to goodwill was \$2.0 million and \$732,000 for the 2001 and 2000 quarters, respectively, and \$5.7 million and \$2.1 million for the 2001 and 2000 periods, respectively. We have not yet completed our analysis of the impact adopting SFAS No. 142 will have on our financial condition and results of operations.

In June 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 143, *Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations*. We will be required to adopt the provisions of SFAS No. 143 with our fiscal year beginning December 29, 2002.

In August 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*. We will be required to adopt the provisions of SFAS No. 144 with our fiscal year beginning December 30, 2001. We do not expect the adoption of SFAS No. 143 and SFAS No. 144 to have a material effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

Subsequent Events

On October 16, 2001, we completed the offering of 5,750,000 shares of our common stock at an offering price of \$26.36 per share and issued approximately \$201.3 million aggregate principal amount of 5 1/2% convertible subordinated notes due in 2008, referred to as the Notes. The Notes are non-callable for three years and are redeemable at declining premiums thereafter. The Notes have a conversion price of \$32.95 per share, subject to adjustment. Net proceeds from these offerings totaled approximately \$338.2 million, net of underwriting discounts and estimated offering expenses. The net proceeds were used to repay our borrowings of \$22.0 million outstanding under our Credit Facility and fund the acquisition of Fresh Express, described below.

Simultaneously with the closing of the common stock and Notes offerings, we acquired all of the outstanding common stock of Fresh Express, a privately owned fresh-cut produce processor based in Salinas, California. Fresh Express processes, markets and distributes a diverse line of packaged salads to supermarkets and foodservice operators throughout the entire United States. Fresh Express operates five processing facilities located in Salinas, California; Greencastle, Pennsylvania; Colorado Springs, Colorado; Atlanta, Georgia; and Chicago, Illinois. We paid approximately \$302.6 million in cash for the acquisition of Fresh Express, including the repayment of net debt outstanding, and the assumption of certain liabilities. The actual purchase price of Fresh Express is subject to adjustments, which are payable in cash, based upon, among other things, Fresh Express' net worth as of the closing date. In addition, we are obligated to pay the former shareholders of Fresh Express, as additional purchase price, up to \$10.0 million in cash if Fresh Express achieves certain operating targets during a three-year period following closing of the acquisition.

Also on October 16, 2001, we entered into the New Credit Facility, which replaced our existing Credit Facility. The New Credit Facility expires in 2006 and bears interest at a floating rate equal to, at our election, the agent bank's prime rate or a spread over LIBOR, which spread varies based upon our leverage ratio, as defined in the credit agreement. The New Credit Facility also requires the maintenance of certain financial ratios as defined in the credit agreement and contains customary events of default. The New Credit Facility allows for the issuance of up to \$40.0 million of standby letters of credit, which reduce borrowings available under the New Credit Facility. The New Credit Facility also requires that our existing subsidiaries and, subject to limited exceptions, future subsidiaries, guarantee all of our borrowings, letters of credit and other obligations under the New Credit Facility. At October 16, 2001, we had no borrowings outstanding under the New Credit Facility.

Forward-Looking Statements

This Form 10-Q/A and the documents incorporated by reference herein contain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Forward-looking statements, which are based on assumptions and estimates and describe our future plans, strategies and expectations, are generally identifiable by the use of the words "anticipate," "will," "believe," "estimate," "expect," "intend," "seek" or similar expressions. These forward-looking statements may address, among other things, our anticipated earnings, capital expenditures, contributions to our net sales by acquired companies, sales momentum, customer and product sales mix, expected efficiencies in our business and our ability to realize expected synergies from acquisitions. These forward-looking statements are subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions.

If one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or if any underlying assumptions prove incorrect, our actual results, performance or achievements may vary materially from future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. All forward-looking statements attributable to us or persons acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements in this section. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements to reflect future events or developments.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risks

Our primary market risks are related to fluctuations in interest rates. Our primary interest rate risk is from changing interest rates related to our long-term debt. We currently manage this risk through a combination of fixed and floating rates on these obligations. For fixed-rate debt, interest rate changes affect the fair market value of the debt but do not impact earnings or cash flows. For floating-rate debt, interest rate changes generally do not affect the fair market value of the debt but impact earnings and cash flows, assuming other facts remain constant. As of September 29, 2001, our total debt consisted of fixed and floating rate debt of \$52.8 million and \$37.1 million, respectively. Substantially all of our floating rate debt is based on LIBOR.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

On October 24, 2001 subsequent to the end of the 2001 quarter, we settled our litigation with Maxwell Chase Technologies, LLC. This settlement did not have a material effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

In addition to the matter described above, we are also involved in other legal proceedings and litigation arising in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, the outcome of the other proceedings and litigation currently pending will not have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

Edgar Filing: THERAVANCE INC - Form 4

No matters were submitted to a vote of security holders during the 2001 quarter.

Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K

(a) Exhibits:

15

Letter regarding unaudited information from KPMG LLP

(b) We filed a report on Form 8-K dated September 10, 2001 (as amended by Forms 8-K/A dated September 13, 2001 and September 28, 2001) during the 2001 quarter to report our acquisition of Fresh Express.

Signature

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

PERFORMANCE FOOD GROUP COMPANY

By: /s/Roger S. Boeve

Roger L. Boeve

Executive Vice President &
Chief Financial Officer

Date: May 3, 2002