

GENERAL GEOPHYSICS CO

Form 6-K

September 05, 2002

Table of Contents

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 6-K

**REPORT OF FOREIGN PRIVATE ISSUER PURSUANT TO RULE 13a-16 OR 15d-16 OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the month of September, 2002

General Company of Geophysics

(Translation of Registrant's Name Into English)

1, rue Léon Migaux
91341 Massy
France
(33) 1 64 47 3000

(Address of principal executive offices)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant files or will file annual reports under cover of Form 20-F or Form 40-F.

Form 20-F ☒ Form 40-F ☐

(Indicate by check mark whether the registrant by furnishing the information contained in this form is also thereby furnishing the information to the Commission pursuant to Rule 12g3-2(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.)

Yes ☐ No ☒

(If "Yes" is marked, indicate below the file number assigned to the registrant in connection with Rule 12g3-2(b): 82-____.)

-1-

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

PART I

Item 1: FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

ITEM 2: MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITIONS

Item 3: QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE ABOUT MARKET RISK

PART II

Item 1: LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Item 2: CHANGES IN SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

Item 3: DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

Item 4: SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

Item 5: OTHER INFORMATION

Item 6: EXHIBITS AND CURRENT REPORTS ON FORM 6-K

SIGNATURES

Articles of Association

Proposed Resolutions to the Gen Meeting of S/Hldr

2002 Stock Option Plan

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|---|----|
| FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS | 3 |
| PART I | |
| Item 1: FINANCIAL STATEMENTS | 5 |
| Unaudited Interim Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2002 and December 31, 2001 | 5 |
| Unaudited Interim Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months ended June 30, 2002 and 2001 and for the six months ended June 30, 2002 and 2001 | 6 |
| Unaudited Interim Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2002 and 2001 | 7 |
| Unaudited Interim Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity during the year ended December 31, 2001 and the six months ended June 30, 2002 | 8 |
| Notes to Unaudited Interim Consolidated Financial Statements | 9 |
| Item 2: MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS | 26 |
| Item 3: QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE ABOUT MARKET RISK | 38 |
| PART II | |
| Item 1: LEGAL PROCEEDINGS | 39 |
| Item 2: CHANGES IN SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS | 39 |
| Item 3: DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES | 39 |
| Item 4: SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS | 39 |
| Item 5: OTHER INFORMATION | 40 |
| Item 6: EXHIBITS AND CURRENT REPORTS ON FORM 6-K | 41 |

Table of Contents

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This document includes forward-looking statements. We have based these forward-looking statements on our current views and assumptions about future events.

These forward-looking statements are subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions we have made, including, among other things:

changes in international economic and political conditions, and in particular in oil and gas prices;

our ability to reduce costs;

our ability to finance our operations on acceptable terms;

the timely development and acceptance of our new products and services;

the effects of competition;

political, legal and other developments in foreign countries;

the timing and extent of changes in exchange rates for non-U.S. currencies and interest rates;

the accuracy of our assessment of risks related to acquisitions, projects and contracts, and whether these risks materialize;

our ability to integrate successfully the businesses or assets we acquire;

our ability to sell our seismic data library;

our ability to access the debt and equity markets during the periods covered by the forward-looking statements, which will depend on general market conditions and on our credit ratings for our debt obligations; and

our success at managing the risks of the foregoing.

We undertake no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. In light of these risks, uncertainties and assumptions, the forward-looking events discussed in this document might not occur.

Table of Contents

BARBIER FRINAULT & AUTRES

41, rue Ybry
92576 Neuilly-sur-Seine Cedex

ERNST & YOUNG AUDIT

4, rue Auber
75009 Paris

Compagnie Générale de Géophysique

As of and for the six months period ended June 30, 2002

Statutory Auditors Review Report on the Consolidated Interim Financial Statements

(Free translation of the French original)

In our capacity as statutory auditors of Compagnie Générale de Géophysique and in accordance with Article L.232-7 of French Company Law (Code de Commerce), we have performed the following procedures:

a review of the accompanying summary of operations and income statement as they appear in the consolidated interim financial statements of Compagnie Générale de Géophysique (the Company) as of and for the six-month period ended June 30, 2002;

an examination of the information provided in the Company's interim report.

These interim consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Board of Directors. Our responsibility is to issue a report on these financial statements based on our review.

We conducted our review in accordance with professional standards accepted in France. These standards require that we plan and perform the review to obtain moderate assurance, lesser than that which would result from an audit, as to whether the consolidated interim financial statements are free from material misstatement. The review excluded certain audit procedures and was limited to performing analytical procedures and to obtaining information from Company management and other appropriate sources.

Based on our review, nothing has come to our attention that causes us to believe that the accompanying interim consolidated financial statements, prepared in accordance with French accounting principles, do not present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Group and its operations for the period then ended.

We have also examined, in accordance with French professional standards, the information contained in the interim report on the consolidated interim financial statements that were the subject of our review.

We have nothing to report with respect to the fairness of such information and its consistency with the consolidated interim financial statements.

September 5, 2002

BARBIER FRINAULT & AUTRES

ERNST & YOUNG AUDIT

Represented by
Pascal Macioce

Represented by
François Hilly

Table of Contents**PART I****Item 1: FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****COMPAGNIE GÉNÉRALE DE GÉOPHYSIQUE, S.A.****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

| | | June 30, 2002 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2001 |
|--|--------------|--|------------------------------|
| | Notes | U.S.\$ | |
| | | | (in millions) |
| ASSETS | | | |
| Current assets | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | | 64.1 | 65.1 |
| Trade accounts and notes receivable | | 219.1 | 222.3 |
| Inventories and work-in-progress | | 76.6 | 77.7 |
| Other current assets | | 70.7 | 71.7 |
| Total current assets | | 430.5 | 436.8 |
| Long term receivable and other investments | | 13.0 | 13.2 |
| Investments in and advances to companies under the equity method | 3 | 41.2 | 41.8 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | | 305.0 | 309.5 |
| Goodwill and intangible assets, net | 4 | 185.3 | 188.0 |
| Total assets | | 975.0 | 989.3 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | | |
| Bank overdrafts | | 13.4 | 13.6 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | 5 | 28.5 | 28.9 |
| Trade accounts and notes payable | | 78.6 | 79.8 |
| Accrued payroll costs | | 50.9 | 51.6 |
| Income taxes payable | | 18.8 | 19.1 |
| Advance billings to customers | | 12.1 | 12.3 |
| Other current liabilities | | 36.5 | 37.0 |
| Total current liabilities | | 238.8 | 242.3 |
| Long-term debt | 5 | 263.9 | 267.8 |
| Other long-term liabilities | | 36.5 | 37.0 |
| Total long-term liabilities | | 300.4 | 304.8 |
| Minority interest | | 8.8 | 8.9 |
| Common stock, 24,498,368 shares authorized; 11,680,718 shares with a nominal value issued and outstanding as of June 30, 2002; 11,680,718 at December 31, 2001 | 6 | 23.1 | 23.4 |
| Additional paid-in capital | | 306.1 | 310.6 |
| Retained earnings | | 105.6 | 107.2 |
| Net income (loss) for the period | | 0.3 | 0.3 |

Edgar Filing: GENERAL GEOPHYSICS CO - Form 6-K

| | | | |
|---|--------------|--------------|----------------|
| Cumulative translation adjustment | (8.1) | (8.2) | 21.6 |
| Total shareholders' equity | 427.0 | 433.3 | 462.8 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | 975.0 | 989.3 | 1,014.4 |

See notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The financial information expressed in U.S. dollars is presented solely for the convenience of the reader and is translated from Euros at the Noon Buying Rate in New York City on June 28, 2002, which was U.S.\$0.9856 per Euro.

-5-

Table of Contents

COMPAGNIE GÉNÉRALE DE GÉOPHYSIQUE, S.A.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(UNAUDITED)

| | Notes | Six months ended June 30, | | | Three months ended June 30, | |
|--|-------|--------------------------------------|------------|------------|-----------------------------|------------|
| | | 2002 | 2002 | 2001 | 2002 | 2001 |
| | | U.S.\$ | | | | |
| | | (in millions, except per share data) | | | | |
| Operating revenues | 9 | 331.5 | 336.3 | 378.1 | 178.5 | 195.9 |
| Cost of operations | | (255.9) | (259.6) | (309.7) | (139.1) | (155.9) |
| Gross profit | | 75.6 | 76.7 | 68.4 | 39.4 | 40.0 |
| Research and development expenses net | | (13.9) | (14.1) | (16.5) | (7.2) | (7.9) |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | | (42.3) | (42.9) | (39.2) | (20.1) | (20.1) |
| Other revenues (expenses) net | 10 | (2.7) | (2.8) | 1.6 | (2.3) | 0.7 |
| Operating income (loss) | 9 | 16.7 | 16.9 | 14.3 | 9.8 | 12.7 |
| Interest and other financial income and expense net | | (14.1) | (14.3) | (11.7) | (7.6) | (5.8) |
| Exchange gains (losses) net | | 4.6 | 4.7 | (2.4) | 6.2 | (2.6) |
| Income (loss) from consolidated companies before income taxes | | 7.2 | 7.3 | 0.2 | 8.4 | 4.3 |
| Income taxes | 11 | (4.7) | (4.8) | (6.6) | (1.9) | (4.6) |
| Net income (loss) from consolidated companies | | 2.5 | 2.5 | (6.4) | 6.5 | (0.3) |
| Equity in income (losses) of investees | | 1.2 | 1.2 | 4.6 | 1.0 | 3.1 |
| Goodwill amortization | | (3.3) | (3.3) | (3.3) | (1.6) | (1.8) |
| Net income (loss) before minority interest | | 0.4 | 0.4 | (5.1) | 5.9 | 1.0 |
| Minority interest | | (0.1) | (0.1) | | | |
| Net income (loss) | | 0.3 | 0.3 | (5.1) | 5.9 | 1.0 |
| Weighted average number of shares outstanding | | | 11,680,718 | 11,511,118 | 11,680,718 | 11,678,886 |
| Dilutive potential shares from stock-options | | | (a) | 83,095 | (a) | 95,372 |
| Dilutive weighted average number of shares outstanding | | | 11,680,718 | 11,594,213 | 11,680,718 | 11,774,258 |
| Net income (loss) per share | | | | | | |
| Basic | | | 0.03 | (0.44) | 0.51 | 0.09 |
| Diluted | | | 0.03 | (0.44) | 0.51 | 0.08 |

(a) Effects of stock option anti-dilutive

See notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The financial information expressed in U.S. dollars is presented solely for the convenience of the reader and is translated from Euros at the Noon Buying Rate in New York City on June 28, 2002, which was U.S.\$0.9856 per Euro.

-6-

Table of Contents**COMPAGNIE GÉNÉRALE DE GÉOPHYSIQUE, S.A.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(UNAUDITED)**

| | Six months ended June 30, | | |
|--|---------------------------|----------------|---------------|
| | 2002 | 2002 | 2001 |
| | U.S.\$ | (in millions) | |
| Cash flows from operating activities | | | |
| Net income (loss) | 0.3 | 0.3 | (5.1) |
| Depreciation and amortization | 34.0 | 34.5 | 38.2 |
| Multi-client surveys amortization | 38.6 | 39.2 | 29.1 |
| Net loss (gain) on sale of assets | 0.8 | 0.8 | (0.2) |
| Deferred income taxes | 0.1 | 0.1 | (0.3) |
| Minority interest | 0.1 | 0.1 | |
| Equity in income of investees, net of dividends | 1.7 | 1.7 | (3.7) |
| Increase (decrease) in other long-term liabilities | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.3 |
| Other non-cash items | (0.2) | (0.2) | 6.8 |
| Increase/decrease in operating assets and liabilities: | | | |
| (Increase) decrease in trade accounts and notes receivable | 31.5 | 32.0 | 3.2 |
| (Increase) decrease in inventories and work in progress | 4.1 | 4.2 | (9.1) |
| (Increase) decrease in other current assets | (14.1) | (14.3) | (3.9) |
| Increase (decrease) in trade accounts and notes payable | (13.7) | (13.9) | (9.4) |
| Increase (decrease) in other current liabilities | (11.8) | (12.0) | 12.9 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 72.9 | 74.0 | 58.8 |
| Cash flows from investing activities | | | |
| Purchases of property, plant and equipment (a) | (61.2) | (62.1) | (21.5) |
| Investments in multi-client surveys | (59.6) | (60.4) | (31.0) |
| Proceeds from sale of assets | 1.9 | 1.9 | 0.7 |
| Cash paid for acquired businesses, net of cash acquired | | | (26.6) |
| Investments in and advances to companies under the equity method | 0.1 | 0.1 | |
| Decrease (increase) in other investments | (0.1) | (0.1) | (0.8) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (118.9) | (120.6) | (79.2) |
| Cash flows from financing activities | | | |
| Repayment of long-term debt | (48.4) | (49.1) | (59.1) |
| Issuance of long-term debt | 96.4 | 97.8 | 95.4 |
| Repayment of capital lease obligations | (6.6) | (6.7) | (6.7) |
| Government research grants received | | | |
| Government research grants repaid | (0.2) | (0.2) | (0.2) |
| Increase (decrease) in bank overdrafts | 8.5 | 8.6 | (5.2) |
| Net proceeds from capital increase | | | 0.1 |
| Contribution from minority shareholders | | | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 49.7 | 50.4 | 24.3 |
| Effects of exchange rate changes on cash | 4.5 | 4.6 | 2.6 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 8.2 | 8.4 | 6.5 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 55.9 | 56.7 | 60.0 |

Edgar Filing: GENERAL GEOPHYSICS CO - Form 6-K

| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | 64.1 | 65.1 | 66.5 |
|---|------|------|------|
| (a) not including equipment acquired under capital leases | 5.0 | 5.1 | 1.8 |

See notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The financial information expressed in U.S. dollars is presented solely for the convenience of the reader and is translated from Euros at the Noon Buying Rate in New York City on June 28, 2002, which was U.S.\$0.9856 per Euro.

-7-

Table of Contents

COMPAGNIE GÉNÉRALE DE GÉOPHYSIQUE, S.A.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

| | Number of shares | Share capital | Additional paid-in capital | Retained Earnings | Cumulative translation adjustment | Total shareholders' equity |
|---|---|------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| | (in million, except for number of shares and U.S. dollar amounts) | | | | | |
| Balance at January 1, 2001 | 10,086,389 | 15.4 | 329.0 | (30.3) | 6.6 | 320.7 |
| Capital increase | 1,594,329 | 8.0 | 100.5 | | | 108.5 |
| Net income | | | | 15.7 | | 15.7 |
| Foreign currency translation | | | | | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Other | | | (82.0) | 84.9 | | 2.9 |
| Balance at December 31, 2001 | 11,680,718 | 23.4 | 347.5 | 70.3 | 21.6 | 462.8 |
| Capital increase | | | | | | |
| Net income | | | | 0.3 | | 0.3 |
| Foreign currency translation | | | | | (29.8) | (29.8) |
| Other | | | (36.9) | 36.9 | | |
| Balance at June 30, 2002 (Unaudited) | 11,680,718 | 23.4 | 310.6 | 107.5 | (8.2) | 433.3 |
| Balance at June 30, 2002 (in millions of U.S. \$, Unaudited) | | 23.1 | 306.1 | 105.9 | (8.1) | 427.0 |

See notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The financial information expressed in U.S. dollars is presented solely for the convenience of the reader and is translated from Euros at the Noon Buying Rate in New York City on June 28, 2002, which was U.S.\$0.9856 per Euro.

Table of Contents**COMPAGNIE GÉNÉRALE DE GÉOPHYSIQUE, S.A.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(UNAUDITED)****Note 1 Summary of significant accounting policies**

Compagnie Générale de Géophysique, S.A. (the Company) and its subsidiaries (together, the Group) is a global participant in the geophysical services industry, providing a wide range of seismic data acquisition, processing and interpretation services as well as related processing and interpretation software to clients in the oil and gas exploration and production business. It is also a global manufacturer of geophysical equipment.

The accounting principles applied by the Group in the preparation of the accompanying financial statements are in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in France (French GAAP) and comply with the regulation Number 99-02 approved by the decree date June 22, 1999 of the French Comité de la Réglementation Comptable .

The accompanying interim financial statements comply with the recommendations of the *Conseil National de la Comptabilité* dated March 1999 on interim consolidated statements. The accompanying interim financial statements are also in conformity with the accounting principles applied to the Company's annual consolidated statements, as set forth in the Company's Annual Report on Form 20-F for the year ended December 31, 2001.

Since January 1st, 2002, the Group has applied the regulation Number 00-06 on liabilities adopted by the French Comité de la Réglementation Comptable . This application had no impact on the shareholder's equity at the opening fiscal year of 2002.

French GAAP differ in certain significant respects from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (U.S. GAAP). Notes 17, 18 and 19 describe the principal differences between French GAAP and U.S. GAAP as they relate to the Group, and reconcile net income and shareholders' equity to U.S. GAAP as of and for the period ended June 30, 2002.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

The financial information expressed in U.S. dollars is presented solely for the convenience of the reader and is translated from Euro at the Noon Buying Rate in New York City on June 28, 2002: 1.00 = US\$ 0.9856.

Note 2 Acquisitions and divestitures

There was no acquisition or divestiture during the first half of 2002.

Note 3 Investments in and advances to companies under the equity method

| (in millions of euro) | June 30, 2002 | December 31, 2001 |
|--|------------------|----------------------|
| Balance at beginning of year | 51.4 | 49.2 |
| Investments made during the year | | |
| Net variation in advances and loans to equity investees | (0.7) | |
| Equity in income including amortization of goodwill (a) | 1.2 | 8.8 |
| Dividends received during the year, reduction in share capital | (2.9) | (1.5) |
| Changes in exchange rates | (4.9) | 2.4 |
| Other (b) | (2.3) | (7.5) |
| Balance at end of year | 41.8 | 51.4 |

Edgar Filing: GENERAL GEOPHYSICS CO - Form 6-K

- (a) includes goodwill amortization related to Paradigm for 1.3 million in 2001 and 0.7 million for the first half of 2002.
- (b) relates primarily to equity affiliates now fully consolidated, divestiture and valuation allowances recorded against receivables from affiliates with a negative net worth.

-9-

Table of Contents

Investments in and advances to companies under the equity method are comprised of:

| (in millions of euro) | June 30, 2002 | December 31, 2001 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
| Argas | 16.3 | 19.4 |
| Geomar | 5.6 | 5.6 |
| Zhong Hai | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| JV XPEIC / Sercel Limited | 4.0 | 4.6 |
| Paradigm | 8.0 | 10.6 |
| Other ^(a) | 7.8 | 11.1 |
| Investments in companies under the equity method | 41.8 | 51.4 |

^(a) includes loans and advances to companies accounted for under the equity method at June 30, 2002 and December 31, 2001 for 7.8 million and 8.8 million respectively.

The net contribution to equity of affiliates accounted for under the equity method is as follows:

| (in millions of euro) | June 30, 2002 | December 31, 2001 |
|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Argas | 12.0 | 15.1 |
| Geomar | | |
| Zhong Hai | (0.4) | (0.4) |
| JV XPEIC / Sercel Limited | 2.4 | 3.1 |
| Paradigm | 0.3 | (1.4) |
| Total | 14.3 | 16.4 |

Note 4 Goodwill and Intangible assets

| (in millions of euro) | June 30, 2002 | December 31, 2001 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
| Goodwill of consolidated subsidiaries | 94.2 | 103.5 |
| Less: accumulated amortization | (16.4) | (14.8) |
| Goodwill net | 77.8 | 88.7 |
| Multi-client surveys | 367.7 | 323.9 |
| Less: accumulated amortization | (260.2) | (232.0) |
| Multi-client surveys net | 107.5 | 91.9 |
| Patents, trademarks and other intangible assets | 5.9 | 6.7 |
| Less: accumulated amortization | (3.2) | (3.3) |
| Other intangible assets net | 2.7 | 3.4 |

| | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----|-------------------|-------------------|
| Total Goodwill and Intangible assets | net | 188.0 | 184.0 |
| | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |

Note 5 Long-term debt

-10-

Table of Contents

Analysis of long-term debt by type is as follows:

| (in millions of euro) | June 30, 2002 | | | December 31, 2001 | | |
|---------------------------|---------------|-----------|-------|-------------------|-----------|-------|
| | Current | Long-term | Total | Current | Long-term | Total |
| Outstanding bonds | | 225.6 | 225.6 | | 192.9 | 192.9 |
| Bank loans | 13.6 | 13.7 | 27.3 | 9.7 | 34.9 | 44.6 |
| Capital lease obligations | 12.1 | 28.5 | 40.6 | 11.8 | 27.3 | 39.1 |
| Sub-total | 25.7 | 267.8 | 293.5 | 21.5 | 255.1 | 276.6 |
| Accrued interest | 3.2 | | | 2.9 | | |
| Total | 28.9 | | | 24.4 | | |

At June 30, 2002 57.5 million of bank loans were secured by tangible assets and receivables.

Analysis of long-term debt (including amounts due within one year) by currency is as follows:

| (in millions of euro) | June 30, 2002 | December 31, 2001 |
|-----------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Euro | 47.7 | 65.5 |
| U.S. dollar | 242.1 | 205.5 |
| Other currencies | 3.7 | 5.6 |
| Total | 293.5 | 276.6 |

Analysis of long-term debt (including amounts due within one year) by interest rate is as follows:

| (in millions of euro) | June 30, 2002 | December 31, 2001 |
|--|---------------|-------------------|
| Variable rates (effective rate June 30, 2002 : 3.89% ; December 31, 2001: 5.59%) | 27.6 | 44.2 |
| Fixed rates (effective rate June 30, 2002 : 9.99% ; December 31, 2001: 9.92%) | 265.9 | 232.4 |
| Total | 293.5 | 276.6 |

Variable interest rates generally are based on inter-bank offered rates of the related currency. The weighted average interest rate on bank overdrafts was 9.83% and 9.92% at June 30, 2002 and December 31, 2001 respectively. The impact of hedging instruments has not been considered in the above two tables.

The annual maturities of long-term debt, excluding capital leases (see Note 8), are as follows:

| (in millions of euro) | June 30, 2002 |
|-----------------------|---------------|
|-----------------------|---------------|

Edgar Filing: GENERAL GEOPHYSICS CO - Form 6-K

| | |
|---------------------------|--------------|
| July 2002 - June 2003 | 13.6 |
| July 2003 - December 2003 | 2.5 |
| 2004 | 4.0 |
| 2005 | 2.6 |
| 2006 | 1.5 |
| 2007 and thereafter | 228.7 |
| Total | 252.9 |

A standard covenant package is attached to the bond, with a main incurrence test of coverage of interest by EBITDA. The Group was in compliance with the bond covenants on the date of issue and at June 30, 2002.

The bulk of the financial resources of the Group consists of, in addition to the U.S.\$225 million bonds, a syndicated bank line of U.S.

Table of Contents

\$90 million, amortizing by half-yearly instalments or cancellations over two years starting in September 2002.

In addition to the covenants pertaining to this syndicated line of credit, the terms of certain other bank loan agreements contain, among other provisions, requirements for maintaining certain financial ratios, primarily debt to equity ratios. At June 30, 2002, the Group was in full compliance with all of these provisions. In the event the Group does not comply with one of these financial ratios, the banks could request immediate repayment of the loans.

At June 30, 2002 the Group had 8.1 million available in unused short-term credit lines and overdraft facilities and 96.6 million in unused long-term credit lines.

Note 6 Common stock and stock option plans

At June 30, 2002, the Company's share capital consisted of 11,680,718 shares, each with a nominal value of 2.

Dividend rights

Dividends may be distributed from the statutory retained earnings, subject to the requirements of French law and the Company's articles of incorporation. Retained earnings available for distribution totaled 6.9 million at June 30, 2002.

Stock options

Pursuant to various resolutions adopted by the Board of Directors, the Group has granted options to purchase Ordinary Shares to certain employees, executive officers and directors of the Group.

Pursuant to a resolution adopted by the Board of Directors, the Company has instituted a new stock option on May 15, 2002. Options granted under this new plan, which expires eight years from the date of grant, are vested by one fifth each year from May 2002 and cannot be generally exercised before 2005; options to subscribe 1,000 shares or more cannot be sold before May 15, 2006. Twenty percent of options granted in 1997 can be exercised in every twelve month period and expire eight years from the date of grant. Options granted under the provisions of the 2000 option plan, which expires eight years from the date of grant, cannot be generally exercised before 2003; options to subscribe 1,000 shares or more cannot be sold before January 18, 2005. Options granted under the provisions of the 2001 option plan, which expires eight years from the date of grant, are vested by one fifth each year from March 2001 and cannot be generally exercised before 2004; options to subscribe 1,000 shares or more cannot be sold before January 18, 2005.

The exercise price for each option is the average fair market value for the common stock during the 20 trading days ending on the trading day next preceding the date the option is granted.

Information relating to options outstanding at June 30, 2002 is summarized below:

| Date of Board of Directors' Resolution | Options | | Exercise price per share () | Expiration date |
|--|-----------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| | Options granted | outstanding at June 30, 2002 | | |
| May 5, 1997 | 100,000 | 58,135 | 61.0 | May 4, 2005 |
| January 18, 2000 | 231,000 | 217,250 | 49.9 | January 17, 2008 |
| March 14, 2001 | 256,000 | 249,500 | 71.2 | March 13, 2009 |
| May 15, 2002 | 138,100 | 138,100 | 43.5 | May 14, 2010 |
| Total | 725,100 | 662,985 | | |

Table of Contents

A summary of the Company's stock option activity, and related information follows:

| | June 30, 2002 | | June 30, 2001 | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | Number of options | Weighted average exercise price | Number of options | Weighted average exercise price |
| Outstanding-beginning of period | 532,381 | 61.3 | 292,922 | 52.3 |
| Granted | 138,100 | 43.5 | 256,000 | 71.2 |
| Exercised | | | (2,061) | 55.7 |
| Forfeited | (7,496) | 62.1 | (1,727) | 54.6 |
| Outstanding-end of period | 662,985 | 57.6 | 545,134 | 61.2 |
| Exercisable-end of period | 58,135 | 61.0 | 53,176 | 60.2 |

(a) including options granted following the capital increase pursuant to French anti-dilution law.

In December 1996, the French Parliament adopted a law that requires French companies to pay French social contributions and certain salary-based taxes, which may represent, for the Company, up to 50% of the taxable salary, on the difference between the exercise price of a stock option and the fair market value of the underlying shares on the exercise date if the beneficiary disposes of the shares before a five year period following the grant of option.

The new law is consistent with personal income tax law that requires individuals to pay income tax on the difference between the option exercise price and the fair value of the shares at the grant date if the shares are sold or otherwise disposed of within five years of the option grant. The law applies to all options exercised after January 1, 1997.

The Group has not recorded a liability for social charges which may be assessed for options granted as of December 31, 2000, 2001 and as of June 30, 2002 as the liability, being dependent on future trading values of the Company's shares and the timing of employees' decisions to exercise options and sell the related shares cannot be estimated. The Group also does not consider that the liability is probable due to the income tax disincentives to employees of exercising options and selling the shares in less than a five-year period or four year for the plan dated March 2001.

Note 7 Financial Instruments*Foreign currency exposure management*

The reporting currency for the Group's consolidated financial statements is the Euro. However, as a result of having primarily customers which operate in the oil and gas industry, more than 90% of the Group's operating revenues are denominated in currencies other than the Euro, primarily the U.S. dollar.

As a result, the Group's sales and operating income are exposed to the effects of fluctuations in the value of the Euro versus the U.S. dollar. A strengthening of the Euro compared to the U.S. dollar has a negative effect on the Group's net sales and operating income denominated in U.S. dollars when translated to Euro, while a weakening of the Euro has a positive effect. In addition, the Group's exposure to fluctuations in the Euro / U.S. dollar exchange rate has considerably increased over the last few years due to increased sales outside of Europe.

In order to improve the balance of its net position of receivables and payables denominated in foreign currencies, the Group maintains a portion of its financing in U.S. dollars. At June 30, 2002 and at December 31, 2001, the Group's long-term debt denominated in U.S. dollars amounted to U.S.\$ 241.5 million and U.S.\$ 181.1, respectively. The Group also attempts to improve this balance by entering into forward exchange contracts.

In addition, to protect against the reduction in the value of future foreign currency cash flows, the Group follows a policy of selling U.S. dollars forward at average contract maturity dates which the Group attempts to match with future net U.S. dollar cash flows (revenues less costs in U.S. dollars) to be generated by firm contract commitments in its backlog generally over the ensuing six months. A similar policy, to a lesser extent, is carried out with respect to contracts denominated in British pounds. This foreign currency risk management strategy has enabled the Group to

reduce, however, not eliminate the positive or negative effects of exchange movements with respect to these currencies.

Table of Contents

Details of forward exchange contracts are as follows:

| | June 30, 2002 | December 31, 2001 |
|--|------------------|----------------------|
| Notional amount (in millions of U.S.\$) | 107.85 | 89.0 |
| Weighted average maturity | 71 days | 59 days |
| Weighted average forward Euro/U.S.\$ exchange rate | 0.8871 | 0.8848 |
| Unrealized exchange gains (losses) (in millions of \$) (a) | 13.4 | (0.4) |

(a) 10.9 million of unrealized exchange profit was designated as a hedge of foreign currency commitments at June 30, 2002 and deferred in the future period. Equivalent amount was a profit of 0.2 million at December 31, 2001.

Interest rate risk management

Since 1996, the Group maintains interest rate cap agreements to reduce the sensitivity of interest expense on its variable-rate debt to increases in interest rates.

The terms of these agreements as of June 30, 2002 are as follows:

| | June 30, 2002 |
|---|------------------|
| Interest rate cap agreements | |
| Notional amount (in millions) | 8.4 million |
| Interest rate cap | 4.0% |
| Maturity date | July 01, 2002 |
| Underlying variable rate debt instruments | |
| Type of debt | Lease |
| Amount outstanding (in millions) | 9.7 million |
| Interest rate index | Euribor 6 months |
| Weighted average interest rate | 3.43% |
| Weighted average maturity | 42.7 months |

The carrying amounts and fair values of these agreements were not significant at June 30, 2002.

Fair value information

The carrying amounts and fair values of the Group's financial instruments are as follows:

| (in millions of euro) | June 30, 2002 | | December 31, 2001 | |
|---|--------------------|---------------|--------------------|---------------|
| | Carrying Amount | Fair Value | Carrying Amount | Fair Value |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 65.1 | 65.1 | 56.7 | 56.7 |
| Bank overdraft facilities | 13.6 | 13.6 | 6.2 | 6.2 |
| Bank loans, vendor equipment financing and shareholder loans: | | | | |
| Variable rate | 27.6 | 27.6 | 44.2 | 44.2 |
| Fixed rate | 265.9 | 307.9 | 232.4 | 265.6 |
| Foreign currency exchange contracts | 13.4 | 13.1 | (0.4) | (0.6) |

Edgar Filing: GENERAL GEOPHYSICS CO - Form 6-K

The Group considers the carrying value for loan receivable and other investments, trade accounts and notes receivable, other receivables, trade accounts and notes payable and other current liabilities to be the most representative estimate of fair value.

For bank loans with fixed interest rates, the fair values have been estimated using discounted cash flow analyses based on the Group's incremental borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements. For variable-rate bank loans, vendor equipment financing and the shareholder loans, fair values approximate carrying values.

The fair values of foreign currency exchange contracts are estimated based on current forward exchange rates for contracts with comparable maturities.

-14-

Table of Contents**Note 8 Commitments and contingencies***Leases*

The Group leases primarily land, buildings and geophysical equipment under capital lease agreements expiring at various dates during the next five years. These capital lease commitments include the sale-leaseback agreement with respect to the Group's head office in Massy.

The Group also operates presently seismic vessels under long-term charter agreements with ship-owners that expire at various dates over the next 20 to 60 months. Since April 1999, the Group has been operating a new seismic vessel under a long-term charter agreement, already signed at December 31, 1998, valid for a period of 8 years.

Other lease agreements relate primarily to operating leases for offices, computer equipment and other items of personal property.

Rental expense was 40.2 million at June 30, 2002 and 31.6 million at June 30, 2001.

At June 30, 2002 minimum rental commitments under all capital leases and operating leases for future years are as follows:

| (in millions of euro) | <u>Capital leases</u> | <u>Operating Leases</u> |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
| July 2002 - June 2003 | 14.4 | 45.8 |
| July 2003 - December 2003 | 6.7 | 17.8 |
| 2004 | 8.9 | 21.6 |
| 2005 | 6.3 | 15.3 |
| 2006 | 8.9 | 14.9 |
| Thereafter | 0.4 | 9.2 |
| | <hr/> | <hr/> |
| Total minimum lease payments | 45.6 | 124.6 |
| | | <hr/> |
| Less: amounts representing interest | 5.0 | |
| | <hr/> | |
| Present value of net minimum lease payments | 40.6 | |
| | <hr/> | |

Legal proceedings, claims and other contingencies

The Group is a defendant in a number of legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business and has various unresolved claims pending. The outcome of these lawsuits and claims is not known at this time. The Group believes that the resulting liability, if any, net of amounts recoverable from insurance or other sources, will not have a material adverse effect on its consolidated results of operations, financial position, or cash flows.

The Company has been sued by Parexpro (Portugal), for termination without cause of employment agreements and solicitation of a significant number of highly qualified staff in the field of reservoir evaluation, misappropriation of confidential information and documentation, clients, and loss of profits resulting therefrom.

This latter claim should not have any material impact on the Group's results of operation, financial position, or cash flows.

Other commitments

Outstanding commitments are as follow:

June 30, December 31,

Edgar Filing: GENERAL GEOPHYSICS CO - Form 6-K

(in millions of euro)

| | 2002 | 2002 |
|---------------------------------------|------|------|
| Guarantees issued in favor of clients | 25.3 | 33.2 |
| Guarantees issued in favor of banks | 21.2 | 22.6 |
| Other guarantees (a) | 27.1 | 48.6 |

(a) Other guarantees relate primarily to guarantees issued by the Company on behalf of subsidiaries and affiliated companies in favor of customs or administrations

Compared to December 31, 2001, the decrease in guarantees issued in favor of clients from 33.2 million to 25.3 million was related to the decrease in return advance guarantees, from 5.6 million to 2.2 million and the decrease in guaranteed issued for performance bonds, from 22.1 million to 19.3 million.

-15-

Table of Contents

The decrease in other guarantees from 48.6 million at December 31, 2001 to 27.1 million at June 30, 2002 was mainly due to the decrease of the customs guarantee for vessels temporary admission in Brazil, from 29.7 million to 17.3 million.

There are no significant commitments for capital expenditures at June 30, 2002.

Note 9 Analysis by operating segment and geographic zone

The following tables present revenues, operating income and identifiable assets by operating segment, revenues by geographic zone (by origin) as well as net sales by geographic zone based on the location of the customer. The Group principally services the oil and gas exploration and production industry and currently operates in two industry segments:

Geophysical services, which consist of (i) land seismic acquisition, (ii) marine seismic acquisition, (iii) other geophysical acquisition, including activities not exclusively linked to oilfield services, and (iv) data processing, and data management;

Products, which consist of the manufacture and sale of equipment involved in seismic data acquisition, such as recording and transmission equipment and vibrators for use in land seismic acquisition, and software development and sales.

Inter-company sales between such industry segments are made at prices approximating market prices and relate primarily to equipment sales made by the geophysical products segment to the geophysical services segment. These inter-segment sales, the related operating income recognized by the geophysical products segment, and the related effect on capital expenditures and depreciation expense of the geophysical services segment are eliminated in consolidation and presented in the column Eliminations and Adjustments in the tables which follow.

Operating income represents operating revenues and other operating income less expenses of the industry segment. It includes non-recurring and unusual items, which are disclosed in the operating segment if material. General corporate expenses, which include Group management, financing, and legal activities, have been included in the column Eliminations and Adjustments in the tables which follow. The Group does not disclose financial expenses or revenues by operating segment considering these items are not followed by the operating management and financing and investing are mainly managed at a corporate level.

Identifiable assets are those used in the operations of each industry segment and geographic zone. Unallocated and corporate assets consist primarily of financial assets, including cash and cash equivalents, and the Group's Corporate headquarters in Massy.

Net sales originating in France include export sales of approximately 90.4 million at June 30, 2002 and 105.7 at June 30, 2001. For the first half of 2002, the Group's two most significant customers accounted for 11.3% and 7.7 %, respectively, of the Group's consolidated revenues compared with 12.7 % and 8.6 %, for the first half of 2001.

Table of Contents**COMPAGNIE GÉNÉRALE DE GÉOPHYSIQUE, S.A.****Analysis by industry segment**

| | Six months ended June 30, 2002 | | | | Six months ended June 30, 2001 | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | Services | Products | Eliminations and Adjustments | Consolidated Total | Services | Products | Eliminations and Adjustments | Consolidated Total |
| | | (in million) | | | | (in million) | | |
| Revenues from unaffiliated customers | 232.3 | 104.0 | | 336.3 | 245.7 | 132.4 | | 378.1 |
| Inter-segment revenues | 0.4 | 39.2 | (39.6) | | 1.3 | 16.0 | (17.3) | |
| Operating revenues | 232.7 | 143.2 | (39.6) | 336.3 | 247.0 | 148.4 | (17.3) | 378.1 |
| Operating income (loss) | 1.9 | 25.3 | (10.3) ^(a) | 16.9 | (11.6) | 32.9 | (7.0) | 14.3 |
| Equity income (loss) of investees | 2.0 | (0.8) | | 1.2 | 4.2 | 0.4 | | 4.6 |
| Capital expenditures ^(b) | 134.1 | 1.8 | (8.3) | 127.6 | 55.2 | 4.2 | (5.1) | 54.3 |
| Depreciation and amortization ^(c) | 69.6 | 6.6 | (2.7) | 73.5 | 61.0 | 8.9 | (2.8) | 67.1 |
| Corporate assets amortization | | | | 0.2 | | | | 0.2 |
| Investments in companies under equity method | 0.2 | | | 0.2 | | | | |
| Identifiable assets | 685.2 | 259.3 | (58.6) | 885.9 | 652.9 | 302.8 | (39.7) | 916.0 |
| Unallocated and corporate assets | | | | 103.4 | | | | 121.3 |
| Total assets | | | | 989.3 | | | | 1,037.3 |

(a) Includes general corporate expenses of 5.4 million for the six months of 2002 and 3.8 million for the comparable period in 2001.

(b) Includes investments in multi-client surveys of 60.4 million for the six months of 2002 and 31.0 million for the comparable period of 2001, and equipment acquired under capital leases, of which there was 5.1 million in the six months of 2002 and 1.8 million for the comparable period of 2001.

(c) Includes multi-client amortization of 39.2 million for the six months of 2002 and 29.1 million for the comparable period of 2001, and goodwill amortization for our Services and Products segments of 0.7 million and 2.6 million, respectively, for the six months of 2002 and 0.7 million and 2.6 million, respectively, for the comparable period of 2001.

Table of Contents

| | Three months ended June 30, 2002 | | | | Three months ended June 30, 2001 | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|---------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | Services | Products | Eliminations and Adjustments | Consolidated Total | Services | Products | Eliminations and Adjustments | Consolidated Total |
| | | (in millions) | | | | (in millions) | | |
| Revenues from unaffiliated customers | 125.3 | 53.2 | | 178.5 | 120.1 | 75.8 | | 195.9 |
| Inter-segment revenues | 0.2 | 28.2 | (28.4) | | 0.9 | 7.2 | (8.1) | |
| Operating revenues | 125.5 | 81.4 | (28.4) | 178.5 | 121.0 | 83.0 | (8.1) | 195.9 |
| Operating income (loss) | 1.7 | 13.5 | (5.4) ^(a) | 9.8 | (4.4) | 21.0 | (4.0) | 12.7 |
| Equity income (loss) of investees | 1.4 | (0.4) | | 1.0 | 2.4 | 0.7 | | 3.1 |
| Capital expenditures ^(b) | 82.0 | 1.2 | (4.2) | 79.0 | 30.3 | 2.3 | (2.1) | 30.5 |
| Depreciation and amortization ^(c) | 34.1 | 3.2 | (1.3) | 36.0 | 26.8 | 4.4 | (1.3) | 29.9 |
| Corporate assets amortization | | | | 0.1 | | | | 0.1 |
| Investments in companies under equity method | | | | | 0.3 | | | 0.3 |

- (a) Includes general corporate expenses of 2.9 million for the quarter and 2.1 million for the comparable period in 2001.
- (b) Includes investments in multi-client surveys of 28.9 million for the quarter of 2002 and 14.2 million for the comparable period of 2001, and equipment acquired under capital leases, of which there was 5.1 million in the quarter and 1.8 million for the comparable period of 2001.
- (c) Includes multi-client amortization of 18.9 million for the quarter of 2002 and 17.8 million for the comparable period of 2001, and goodwill amortization for our Services and Products segments of 0.4 million and 1.2 million, respectively, for the quarter and 0.4 million and 1.4 million, respectively, for the comparable period of 2001.

Table of Contents**COMPAGNIE GÉNÉRALE DE GÉOPHYSIQUE, S.A.****Analysis by geographic zone***Analysis of operating revenues by location of customers*

| (in millions of euro) | June 30, | |
|---------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| | 2002 | 2001 |
| France | 2.4 | 5.8 |
| Rest of Europe | 59.6 | 78.3 |
| Asia and Australia | 80.3 | 102.5 |
| Africa | 51.5 | 71.3 |
| Americas | 142.5 | 120.2 |
| Consolidated total | 336.3 | 378.1 |

Analysis of operating revenues by origin

| (in millions of euro) | June 30, | |
|---------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| | 2002 | 2001 |
| France | 92.8 | 111.5 |
| Rest of Europe | 33.6 | 38.1 |
| Asia and Australia | 39.7 | 68.8 |
| Africa | 38.4 | 56.5 |
| Americas | 131.8 | 103.2 |
| Consolidated total | 336.3 | 378.1 |

Due to the constant change in working locations the Group does not track its assets based on country of origin or ownership.

Note 10 OTHER REVENUES AND EXPENSES

| (in millions of euro) | Six months ended June 30, | | Three months ended June 30, | |
|---|------------------------------|------|--------------------------------|------|
| | 2002 | 2001 | 2002 | 2001 |
| Assets depreciation(a) | (2.0) | | (2.0) | |
| Restructuring costs | (0.1) | 0.5 | (0.1) | 0.7 |
| Variation of reserves for restructuration | 0.5 | | 0.2 | |
| Assets write-downs | | | | |
| Goodwill write-downs | | | | |

Edgar Filing: GENERAL GEOPHYSICS CO - Form 6-K

| | | | | |
|--|--------------|------------|--------------|------------|
| Other | (0.4) | 0.9 | (0.1) | (0.1) |
| Non-recurring revenues (expenses) net | (2.0) | 1.4 | (2.0) | 0.6 |
| Gains (losses) on sales of assets | (0.8) | 0.2 | (0.3) | 0.1 |
| Other revenues (expenses) net | (2.8) | 1.6 | (2.3) | 0.7 |

(a) Related to Paradigm shares (see Note 16)

-19-

Table of Contents**Note 11 Income taxes**

Income tax expense consists of:

| (in millions of euro) | Six months ended June 30, | | Three months ended June 30, | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------|------------|--------------------------------|------------|
| | 2002 | 2001 | 2002 | 2001 |
| France | | | | |
| current income taxes | (0.2) | (0.1) | | (0.1) |
| tax credits | | | | |
| deferred taxes and other (b) | 0.3 | | | |
| Foreign countries | | | | |
| current income taxes (a) | 4.9 | 6.9 | 1.2 | 4.4 |
| deferred taxes and other (b) | (0.2) | (0.2) | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| Total income tax expense | 4.8 | 6.6 | 1.8 | 4.6 |

(a) includes withholding taxes

(b) includes primarily deferred tax income related to elimination of inter-company margin and to loss carry forwards in Venezuela and United Kingdom

Undistributed earnings of subsidiaries and the Group's share of undistributed earnings of companies accounted for using the equity method amount to 181.4 million and to 191.6 million at June 30, 2002 and at December 31, 2001, respectively. No provision for French taxes on these earnings has been provided which the Group considers to be indefinitely reinvested or which would not be taxed when remitted.

Note 12 Personnel

The number of personnel employed by consolidated subsidiaries was 3,392 at June 30, 2002 and 3,493 at December 31, 2001.

The analysis of personnel is as follows:

| | June 30, 2002 | December 31, 2001 |
|---|------------------|-------------------------|
| Personnel employed under French contracts | | |
| Geophysical services | 916 | 943 |
| Products | 550 | 547 |
| Personnel employed under local contracts | 1,926 | 2,003 |
| Total | 3,392 | 3,493 |
| Including field staff of: | 787 | 782 |

The total cost of personnel employed by consolidated subsidiaries amounted to 112.2 million at June 30, 2002 and 120.2 at June 30, 2001.

Note 13 Related party transactions

Edgar Filing: GENERAL GEOPHYSICS CO - Form 6-K

Operating transactions

The Group manufactures equipment and provides geophysical services to oil and gas exploration and production subsidiaries of TotalFinaElf S.A. pursuant to contracts entered into at an arm's-length basis. TotalFinaElf S.A. holds controlling interests in Total Chimie, one of the major shareholders of the Company during the periods presented.

Operating sales to TotalFinaElf amounted to 12.4 million at June 30, 2002 and 48.1 million at the same period in 2001. As of June 30, 2002, TotalFinaElf owed 6.6 million to the Group.

-20-

Table of Contents

Louis Dreyfus Armateurs (LDA) provides ship management services for a portion of our fleet. Charter parties associated with these services are concluded at arm's length. Debt to LDA was 0.7 million as of June 30, 2002. Total net charges paid throughout the half-year for the providing of ship management services amounted to 5.1 million, and the future commitments for such services to LDA were 14.6 million.

LDA is an owner, together with the Group, of a company consolidated under equity method by the Group, Geomar, owner of the CGG Alizé seismic ship. LDA has a 51% stake in Geomar, and amounts paid to Geomar by the Group during the half-year were 5.5 million, while future charterparty amounts due to Geomar amounted to 52.9 million.

At June 30, 2002, the sales of geophysical products from Sercel to Argas, owned by the Group up to 49%, amounted to 4.3 million representing approximately 1.0% of the Group revenues.

Financing

No credit facility or loan was granted to the Company by shareholders during the three periods presented.

Note 14 Supplementary cash flow information

Cash paid for income taxes and interest was as follows:

| (in millions of euro) | June 30, 2002 | June 30, 2001 |
|-----------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Interest | 15.8 | 12.7 |
| Income taxes | 11.2 | 3.1 |

Non-cash investing and financing transactions that are excluded from the consolidated statements of cash flows consist of the following:

| (in millions of euro) | June 30, 2002 | June 30, 2001 |
|---|------------------|------------------|
| Equipment acquired under capital leases | 5.1 | 1.8 |

Note 15 Asset valuation allowances

Details of valuation allowances recorded against assets are as follows:

| (in millions of euro) | Half Year ended June 30, 2002 | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|--|-----------|---------------------------|
| | Balance at beginning of year | Additions/ Deductions charged to income | Other (a) | Balance at end of year |
| Trade accounts and notes receivable | 7.0 | 1.4 | (0.2) | 8.2 |
| Inventories and work-in-progress | 35.0 | 0.8 | (2.9) | 32.9 |
| Other current assets | 0.5 | (0.2) | | 0.3 |
| Loans receivable and other investments | 0.9 | 0.7 | | 1.6 |

(a) including the effects of exchange rate changes and acquisitions and divestitures

Note 16 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On May 21, 2002, Talamantes B.V., a Dutch company and Paradigm Geophysical Ltd (PGEO) entered into an Agreement of Merger providing for the merger of PGEO into Talamantes or one of its subsidiaries. Pursuant to the Merger Agreement, all PGEO outstanding ordinary shares will be

-21-

Table of Contents

converted into the right to receive \$5.15 in cash (the Merger Consideration), without interest thereon. In consideration of the execution of the Merger Agreement by PGEO, CGG entered into a voting agreement, dated as of May 21, 2002, with Talamantes, by which CGG agrees to vote in favor of the Merger. The Merger was completed on August 13, 2002 and the shares CGG held in PGEO were therefore converted into the right to receive the Merger Consideration payable upon surrender of the relevant share certificate.

On July 4, 2002, the Company acquired a 30% stake in the share capital of Teknosif Sdn Bhd with a value of 405,000 Malaysian Ringgit (108,000). Teknosif Sdn Bhd is engaged in data processing activities and is incorporated in Malaysia.

On July 29, 2002, the Company received a verification notice from the French taxation authorities requesting documentation with respect to corporate tax and value added tax. The corporate tax audit covers the 1991 through 2001 fiscal years, as required by French law for the use of net operating loss carryforwards. The tax audit procedure has not yet begun at this time.

Note 17 SUMMARY OF DIFFERENCES BETWEEN ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES FOLLOWED BY THE GROUP AND U.S. GAAP

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with French GAAP, which differ in certain significant respects from U.S. GAAP. These differences relate mainly to the following items, and the necessary adjustments are shown in the tables in Note18.

Derivative instruments and hedging activity

Under French GAAP, as described in Note 7, derivative instruments used as hedges are not recognized in the balance sheet, and hedging gains and losses are recorded in the same period as the income or loss on the hedge transactions.

Under U.S. GAAP beginning January 1, 2001 with the adoption of SFAS No. 133, all derivative instruments are recorded in the balance sheet at fair value. Specifically,

hedge accounting may only be applied to hedges meeting strict criteria and SFAS No. 133 defines new requirement for designation and documentation of hedging relationships as well as ongoing effectiveness assessments in order to use hedge accounting;

for derivatives qualifying as hedges of future cash flows, the effective portion of changes in fair value is recorded temporarily in equity (Other Comprehensive Income), then recognized in earnings along with the related effects of the hedged items. Any ineffective portion of hedges is reported in earnings as it occurs;

for derivatives qualifying as fair value hedges, changes in fair value of both the derivative and the hedged item are recognized in earnings;

for embedded derivatives in long-term contracts in foreign currencies (primarily U.S. dollar), revenue and expenses with a non-U.S. client or supplier are recognized at the forward exchange rate negotiated at the beginning of the contract. The variation of fair market value of the embedded derivative foreign exchange contracts is recognized in earnings;

if hedge accounting is not applied, changes in the fair value of derivative instruments are recorded in earnings.

Goodwill

According to French GAAP, goodwill is amortized on a straight-line basis over its estimated useful life.

Under U.S. GAAP, applying SFAS No. 141 and No. 142 (Business Combinations and Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets), goodwill is no longer amortized on a straight-line basis over its estimated useful life; instead, an impairment test, based on fair value, is performed at least annually.

Table of Contents**Stock-based compensation**

Under French GAAP, no compensation cost is recognized for stock options.

For U.S. GAAP purposes, the stock-based compensation plans qualify as fixed plans under U.S. GAAP, compensation cost is recorded under APB25 equal to the excess, if any, of the market price over the exercise price of the underlying shares at the date of grant.

Note 18 RECONCILIATION TO U.S. GAAP**Consolidated Net Income**

| | June 30, 2002 | June 30, 2001 | December 31, 2001 |
|---|---------------|---------------|-------------------|
| | (unaudited) | (Unaudited) | |
| (in million, except per share data) | | | |
| Net income (loss) as reported in the Statement of operations | 0.3 | (5.1) | 15.7 |
| Goodwill amortization | 3.3 | | |
| Derivative instruments and hedging activities | (4.1) | | (6.4) |
| Net income (loss) according to U.S. GAAP | (0.5) | (5.1) | 9.3 |
| Weighted average number of shares outstanding | 11,680,718 | 11,511,118 | 11,609,393 |
| Dilutive potential shares from stock-options | 34,525 | 83,095 | 33,321 |
| Dilutive weighted average number of shares outstanding | 11,715,243 | 11,594,213 | 11,642,714 |
| Net income (loss) per share | | | |
| Basic | (0.04) | (0.44) | 0.80 |
| Diluted | (0.04) | (0.44) | 0.80 |

Shareholders' equity

| | June 30, 2002 | December 31, 2001 |
|---|---------------|-------------------|
| | (Unaudited) | |
| (in million) | | |
| Shareholders' equity as reported in the Consolidated Balance Sheets | 433.3 | 462.8 |
| Cumulative effect adjustment | (6.4) | |
| Goodwill amortization | 3.3 | |
| Derivative instruments and hedging activities | (4.1) | (6.4) |
| Other comprehensive income | 2.2 | |
| Shareholders' equity according to U.S. GAAP⁽¹⁾ | 428.3 | 456.4 |

⁽¹⁾ Including comprehensive income of (28.1) million for the half year of 2002 and 24.2 for the year 2001

Note 19 ADDITIONAL U.S. GAAP DISCLOSURES**U.S. Accounting Standards**

On January 1, 2002, the Group adopted SFAS No. 141, Business Combinations and SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets.

SFAS No. 142 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001, although goodwill on business combinations consummated after July 1, 2002 is not amortized.

Edgar Filing: GENERAL GEOPHYSICS CO - Form 6-K

As recommended by this Statement, the Group evaluated first the fair value for the reporting units and compared them to the book value. The Group concluded that the fair value of the reporting units was higher than the book value and did not need to perform the second step, which consists in determining the impairment.

-23-

Table of Contents

The impact of adoption of these statements on the Group's net income as of June 30, 2002 and 2001, and December 31, 2001 (pro forma) is as follows:

| (in euro million except per share data) | June 30, 2002 | June 30, 2001 | December 31, 2001 |
|---|------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Net income (loss) reported | 0.3 | (5.1) | 15.7 |
| Restatement: amortization of goodwill | 3.3 | 3.3 | 6.5 |
| Net income (loss) restated | 3.6 | (1.8) | 22.2 |
| Net income (loss) per share restated | | | |
| Basic | 0.3 | (0.2) | 1.9 |
| Diluted | 0.3 | (0.2) | 1.9 |

In August 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets". SFAS No. 144 establishes a single accounting model for long-lived assets to be disposed of by a sale consistent with the fundamental provisions of SFAS No. 121. While it supersedes portions of APB Opinion 30, "Reporting the Results of Operations—Reporting the Effects of Disposal of a Segment of a Business, and Extraordinary, Unusual and Infrequently Occurring Events and Transactions", it retains the discontinued operations presentation, yet it broadens that presentation to include a component of an entity (rather than a segment of a business). However, discontinued operations are no longer recorded as net realizable value, and future operating losses are no longer recognized before they occur. SFAS No. 144 also establishes criteria for determining when an asset should be treated as held for sale. SFAS No. 144 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001 and interim periods within those fiscal years, with an early application encouraged. The provisions of SFAS No. 144 are generally to be applied prospectively. The Group adopted SFAS No. 144 on January 1, 2002 and this adoption had no impact on the Group's net income.

Table of Contents**Stock option plans**

The fair value for these options was estimated at the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following average assumptions for the options granted in 2002, 2001, 2000 and 1997:

| | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1997 |
|---|-------|-------|-------|------|
| Risk-free interest rates | 3.3% | 4.87% | 5.77% | 4.1% |
| Dividend yields | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Volatility factor of the expected market price of the Company's ordinary shares | 0.429 | 0.436 | 0.528 | 0.43 |

The expected life of those options ranging from 3 to 8 years and the weighted average remaining contractual is 6.2 years as of June 30, 2002.

For purposes of pro forma disclosures, the estimated fair value of the options is amortized to expense over the options' vesting period. The Company's pro forma information follows (in millions of euro except for income (loss) per share information):

| | June 30, 2002 | June 30, 2001 | December 31, 2001 |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Pro forma net income (loss) | (2.7) | (7.0) | 11.6 |
| Pro forma income (loss) per share | (0.2) | (0.6) | 1.0 |

The weighted-average fair value of options granted during 2002, 2001, 2000 and 1997 was as follows:

| | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1997 |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Options whose price was lower than the market price of the underlying shares on the grant date | 43.47 | | 34.32 | 39.97 |
| Options whose price equaled the market price of the underlying shares on the grant date | | | | |
| Options whose price was greater than the market price of the underlying shares on the grant date | | 37.42 | | |

Table of Contents

Item 2: MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Factors Affecting Results of Operations

We divide our businesses into two segments, geophysical services and geophysical products. Operationally, the Services segment is organized into three strategic business units, or SBUs:

the Land SBU for land and shallow water seismic acquisition activities;

the Offshore SBU for marine seismic acquisition, multi-client library sales and borehole seismic; and

the Processing & Reservoir SBU for seismic data processing, data management and reservoir studies. We have organized our Services segment in this way since 1999.

Our Products segment includes primarily our equipment manufacturing subsidiaries comprising Sercel.

During 2000 and 2001, our operational capability has been enhanced by several strategic acquisitions, which have broadened the market presence of both our Products segment and our Offshore strategic business unit (SBU). We did not make any acquisitions or divestitures during the first six months of 2002. During 2000 and 2001, our shareholders' equity has increased by an aggregate of \$191 million, and shareholders' equity in the first six months of 2002 decreased by an aggregate of \$30 million. We issued U.S.\$170 million (\$191 million) of seven-year senior notes at the end of 2000, with an additional offering in February 2002 of U.S.\$55 million (\$62 million) of such notes.

Overall demand for geophysical services is dependent upon spending by oil and gas companies for exploration, production, development and field management activities. This spending depends in part on present and expected future oil and gas prices. Most of 1999 was characterized by depressed oil prices, which resulted in lower levels of cash flow for oil and gas companies and lower spending on exploration and production, including geophysical services. Oil and gas prices increased significantly from mid-1999 through 2000, resulting in a modest increase in spending on geophysical services by our clients. In early 2001, economic conditions in Europe and the United States began to deteriorate, and oil and gas prices declined. The events of September 11, 2001 compounded the worsening economic climate. These conditions, in turn, resulted in a reduction in energy demand and downward pressure on energy prices, particularly gas in North America, as well as oil worldwide. Nevertheless, the seismic industry market continued its recovery, albeit at a slower rate. We believe that this resilience resulted in particular from the necessity for the major oil and gas operators to replace reserves which otherwise are currently being depleted at a rate estimated by industry analysts at 5 to 10% per year.

Revenues in the first six months of 2002 decreased 11% compared with our revenues for the first six months of 2001. This decrease resulted primarily from a slowdown in our Land SBU that experienced a decrease in revenues of 22% in the first six months of 2002 compared to the same period in 2001 as well as for our Products segment. Revenues from our Offshore SBU were stable despite the sale of our radio positioning business and the upgrading of one of our vessels, and revenues from our Processing & Reservoir SBU increased 17%. Revenues for the first six months of 2002 for our Products segment decreased 21% compared to our revenues for the same period in 2001, in a weaker equipment market globally. Our backlog as of June 30, 2002 was \$290 million (U.S.\$289 million), compared to \$343 million (U.S.\$291 million) as of June 30, 2001, representing a 15% decrease, which was primarily attributable to our Products segment.

Our results of operations have also been affected by the shift in the offshore market towards a higher proportion of non-exclusive surveys, which have larger initial financing requirements. Non-exclusive surveys accounted for approximately 65% of our marine seismic activities in 2000. In 2001, our marine seismic activities were more evenly balanced between exclusive and non-exclusive surveys,

Table of Contents

with non-exclusive surveys accounting for approximately 43% of our marine seismic activities in 2001. For the first half of 2002, non-exclusive surveys accounted for approximately 68% of our marine seismic activities due to an important survey conducted during the year 2002 that was highly pre-committed by Petrobras in Brazil.

Acquisitions and Dispositions

Effective December 24, 2001, we sold the three companies comprising our radio positioning business to Fugro N.V. (Fugro), a Dutch corporation, for 7 million in cash.

On January 16, 2001, we acquired two marine seismic vessels and certain seismic data from an affiliate of Aker. As consideration for this acquisition, we paid U.S.\$25 million in cash, which we funded using a portion of the proceeds of our offering of senior notes in November 2000, and we issued 1,591,407 shares of our common stock at a value of approximately 69.27 per share, representing approximately 110 million, to Aker.

On December 21, 2000, we purchased from LDA its 40% interest in CGG Marine, acquired 50% of the capital stock of the entity that owns the *CGG Mistral*, and agreed to the subsequent upgrade of the *CGG Mistral* from six to ten streamers. In connection with these transactions and as consideration for these acquisitions, we issued to LDA 413,969 shares of our common stock having a value of approximately 29 million at closing.

As a result of the foregoing transactions, we significantly upgraded our fleet capacity and will further increase our capacity with the upgrade of the *CGG Mistral*, which was completed in July 2002. In addition, as result of the Aker acquisition, we acquired certain seismic data. We plan to continue developing our marine acquisition services to strengthen our position in this part of the market and to continue strengthening our position in the marine seismic market for non-exclusive data by further developing our non-exclusive data library. We believe that a strong position in these areas is vital to enhance further our global competitive position as it will permit us to adapt to current market demand and will provide opportunities for significant future sales. Our results of operations will depend on our continuing ability to take advantage of market demand and position ourselves to take advantage of future opportunities.

On October 4, 2000, we sold our interpretation software subsidiaries, Flagship S.A. and Flagship LLC, to Paradigm Geophysical Ltd. (Paradigm). In connection with the transaction, we received U.S.\$4 million in cash and 1,500,000 shares of Paradigm common stock, representing approximately 11% of Paradigm s outstanding capital stock and having a value of approximately U.S.\$10.5 million at closing.

On September 29, 2000, we acquired Mark Products, a leading manufacturer of geophones, hydrophones, cables and connectors used in land, marine and transition zone geophysical applications worldwide. As consideration for the acquisition, we issued 352,237 shares of our common stock, having a value of approximately 27 million at closing.

Corporate Restructuring Plan

In response to weak market conditions, in October 1998 we began a corporate restructuring plan in which we reduced our total headcount by 900, primarily through the reduction of 419 full-time jobs at our offices and data processing center at Massy, France and lay-offs in overseas locations. We anticipated the cost savings related to our restructuring plan to be approximately 46 million annually starting in 2001 and our experience in the year ended December 31, 2001 is in line with this estimate. For the year ended December 31, 2000, we estimate that we realized cost savings related to this plan of approximately 38 million. In 1998 and 1999, we recorded 35 million in charges to establish a reserve related to the costs of implementing the restructuring plan.

In addition, we continuously review our operating efficiency and cost structure and seek to improve them through productivity gains and the optimization of purchases and sub-contract services. For example, we recently renegotiated time charters of two ships with LDA, the shipowner. These optimization programs are established and implemented by each SBU through specific action plans.

Table of Contents

Critical Accounting Policies

Our significant accounting policies, which we have applied consistently in all material respect, are more fully described in Note 1 to our consolidated annual financial statements contained in our Annual Report for the year 2001 on Form 20-F. However, certain of our accounting policies are particularly important to the portrayal of our financial position and results of operations. As we must exercise significant judgment when we apply these policies, their application is subject to an inherent degree of uncertainty. We believe the following critical accounting policies require our more significant judgments and affect estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements.

Multi-client survey accounting

Within our Services segment, when we acquire and process data on a non-exclusive basis, we recognize revenue when services are performed and invoiced to the customer. We amortize multi-client survey data over the expected marketing period for the related survey (generally between three and seven years), on a pro-rata method based on recognized revenues as a percentage of total estimated revenues. We estimate total revenues based on a combination of factors, including our experience in the relevant geographical region of the survey and market conditions in that region. Generally, the revenues we have recognized have not differed materially from our estimates. Future adverse changes in market conditions in the applicable geographic area could, however, cause material differences and require a downward adjustment to the net book value of the survey.

Exclusive survey accounting

Within our Services segment, when we acquire or process seismic data on an exclusive contract basis, we recognize revenue using the percentage of completion method. Use the percentage of completion method requires us to make estimates of our future costs under the related contract.

Goodwill amortization and impairment of long-lived assets

We amortize goodwill on a straight-line basis over future periods of benefit, as estimated by management, which may range from five to twenty years. We select the period of benefit on the strategic significance of the asset acquired.

We assess the impairment of identifiable intangibles, long-lived assets and goodwill whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. Factors we consider important that could trigger an impairment review include the following:

significant underperformance relative to expected operating results based upon historical and/or projected data;

significant changes in the manner of our use of the acquired assets or the strategy for our overall business; and

significant negative industry or economic trends.

When we determine that the carrying value of intangibles, long-lived assets and goodwill may not be recoverable based upon the existence of one or more of the above indicators of impairment, we compare that the carrying value of each group of autonomous assets (independent operating units or subsidiaries) with the undiscounted cash flows that they are expected to generate based upon our expectations of future economic and operating conditions. Should this comparison indicate that an asset is impaired, the write-down recognized is equivalent to the difference between carrying value and either value or the sum of discounted future cash flows.

Table of Contents

Three months ended June 30, 2002 compared with three months ended June 30, 2001

Revenues by Activity

The following table sets forth our consolidated operating revenues by activity, and the percentage of total consolidated operating revenues represented thereby, during each of the periods stated:

| | Three months ended June 30, |
|--|------------------------------------|
| | <hr/> |
| | 2002 |