AMERICAN NATIONAL BANKSHARES INC. Form 10-Q August 09, 2013

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF x 1934 FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED June 30, 2013.

..TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM \_\_\_\_\_ TO\_\_\_\_.

Commission file number: 0-12820

AMERICAN NATIONAL BANKSHARES INC. (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

VIRGINIA	54-1284688
(State or other jurisdiction of	(I.R.S. Employer
incorporation or organization)	Identification No.)

628 Main StreetDanville, Virginia24541(Address of principal executive offices)(Zip Code)

(434) 792-5111 (Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

YesxNo"

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months.

YesxNo"

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer o Accelerated filer x Non-accelerated filer o Smaller reporting company o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act)

Yes"Nox

At August 6, 2013, the Company had 7,875,250 shares of Common Stock outstanding, \$1 par value.

# AMERICAN NATIONAL BANKSHARES INC.

Index		Page
Part I.	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1	. Financial Statements	
	Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2013 (unaudited) and December 31, 2012	3
	Consolidated Statements of Income for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 (unaudited)	4
	Consolidated Statements of Income for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 (unaudited)	5
	Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 (unaudited)	6
	Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 (unaudited)	7
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 (unaudited)	8
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)	9
Item 2.	. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	36
Item 3	. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	56
Item 4	. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	57
Part II.	OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1	. Legal Proceedings	58
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	58
Item 2.	. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	58
Item 3	. Defaults Upon Senior Securities	58
Item 4	. Mine Safety Disclosures	58
Item 5.	. Other Information	58
Item 6	. <u>Exhibits</u>	58
SIGNATUR	ES	59

#### Index Part I. Financial Information Item 1. Financial Statements

## American National Bankshares Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Balance Sheets (Dollars in thousands, except share data)

Assets Cash and due from banks Interest-bearing deposits in other banks	(Unaudited) June 30, 2013 \$18,994 37,720	(Audited) December 31, 2012 \$ 20,435 27,007
Securities available for sale, at fair value	340,135	335,246
Restricted stock, at cost	4,880	5,287
Loans held for sale	4,098	13,852
Loans, net of unearned income	794,045	788,705
Less allowance for loan losses	(12,676)	(12,118)
Net loans	781,369	776,587
Premises and equipment, net	24,269	24,543
Other real estate owned, net	5,569	6,193
Goodwill	39,043	39,043
Core deposit intangibles, net	3,819	4,660
Bank owned life insurance	14,495	14,289
Accrued interest receivable and other assets	19,626	16,545
Total assets	\$1,294,017	\$ 1,283,687
Liabilities Liabilities: Demand deposits noninterest bearing Demand deposits interest bearing Money market deposits Savings deposits Time deposits Total deposits	\$213,123 169,204 173,696 84,489 405,882 1,046,394	\$ 217,275 153,578 166,111 81,135 409,568 1,027,667
Customer repurchase agreements	41,972	49,942
Long-term borrowings	10,015	10,079
Trust preferred capital notes	27,368	27,317
Accrued interest payable and other liabilities	5,332	5,436
Total liabilities	1,131,081	1,120,441
Shareholders' equity Preferred stock, \$5 par, 2,000,000 shares authorized, none outstanding Common stock, \$1 par, 20,000,000 shares authorized, 7,872,250 shares outstanding at June 30, 2013 and 7,846,912 shares outstanding at December 31, 2012 Capital in excess of par value Retained earnings	- 7,872 57,581 95,333	- 7,847 57,211 90,591

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN NATIONAL BANKSHARES INC Form 10-Q
--

Accumulated other comprehensive income, net	2,150	7,597
Total shareholders' equity	162,936	163,246
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$1,294,017	\$ 1,283,687

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements. 3

# American National Bankshares Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Income

(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data) (Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended June 30		
	2013	2012	
Interest and Dividend Income:			
Interest and fees on loans	\$11,358	\$12,683	
Interest and dividends on securities:			
Taxable	851	1,056	
Tax-exempt	1,045	1,077	
Dividends	54	52	
Other interest income	39	18	
Total interest and dividend income	13,347	14,886	
Interest Expense:			
Interest on deposits	1,369	1,729	
Interest on short-term borrowings	14	51	
Interest on long-term borrowings	82	84	
Interest on trust preferred capital notes	189	206	
Total interest expense	1,654	2,070	
Net Interest Income	11,693	12,816	
Provision for Loan Losses	-	733	
Net Interest Income After Provision for Loan Losses	11,693	12,083	
Noninterest Income:			
Trust fees	944	966	
Service charges on deposit accounts	429	413	
Other fees and commissions	463	445	
Mortgage banking income	531	519	
Securities gains, net	1	160	
Other	318	297	
Total noninterest income	2,686	2,800	
Noninterest Expense:			
Salaries	3,503	3,809	
Employee benefits	867	799	
Occupancy and equipment	872	1,048	
FDIC assessment	161	213	
Bank franchise tax	185	182	
Core deposit intangible amortization	421	546	
Foreclosed real estate, net	193	171	
Merger related expenses	-	(202	)
Other	2,226	2,267	
Total noninterest expense	8,428	8,833	
Income Before Income Taxes	5,951	6,050	
Income Taxes	1,741	1,776	

Net Income	\$4,210	\$4,274
Net Income Per Common Share:		
Basic	\$0.54	\$0.55
Diluted	\$0.53	\$0.54
Average Common Shares Outstanding:		
Basic	7,867,222	7,832,162
Diluted	7,876,969	7,849,142

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

# American National Bankshares Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Income

(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data) (Unaudited)

	Six Month June 30	s Ended
	2013	2012
Interest and Dividend Income:	*	* • • • • • •
Interest and fees on loans	\$22,753	\$25,803
Interest and dividends on securities: Taxable	1,729	2,135
Tax-exempt	2,097	2,155
Dividends	109	103
Other interest income	68	28
Total interest and dividend income	26,756	30,228
Interest Expense:		
Interest on deposits	2,805	3,566
Interest on short-term borrowings	35	94
Interest on long-term borrowings	164	168
Interest on trust preferred capital notes	377	412
Total interest expense	3,381	4,240
Net Interest Income	23,375	25,988
Provision for Loan Losses	294	1,466
Net Interest Income After Provision for Loan Losses	23,081	24,522
Noninterest Income:		
Trust fees	1,532	1,848
Service charges on deposit accounts	838	901
Other fees and commissions	922	902
Mortgage banking income	1,249	1,050
Securities gains, net	199	160
Other	716	1,173
Total noninterest income	5,456	6,034
Noninterest Expense:	6 0 1 <b>0</b>	
Salaries	6,942	7,920
Employee benefits	1,766	1,877
Occupancy and equipment	1,788	2,013
FDIC assessment	322	446
Bank franchise tax	372	365
Core deposit intangible amortization	841 426	1,093
Foreclosed real estate, net	436	18 49
Merger related expenses Other	- 4,279	49 4,979
Total noninterest expense	4,279 16,746	
Income Before Income Taxes	10,740	18,760 11,796
Income Taxes	3,430	3,347
пеоне талез	5,750	5,547

Net Income	\$8,361	\$8,449
Net Income Per Common Share:		
Basic	\$1.06	\$1.08
Diluted	\$1.06	\$1.08
Average Common Shares Outstanding:		
Basic	7,862,719	7,827,195
Diluted	7,872,351	7,839,364

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

## American National Bankshares Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) (Dollars in thousands) (Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended June 30	
	2013 2012	
Net income	\$ 4,210 \$ 4,274	
Other comprehensive income (loss):		
Unrealized gains (losses) on securities available for sale Income tax benefit (expense)	(7,299) 1,285 2,554 (450)	
Reclassification adjustment for (gains) on securities Income tax expense	$\begin{array}{ccc} (1 & ) & (160 & ) \\ 1 & 56 \end{array}$	
Other comprehensive income (loss)	(4,745 ) 731	
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ (535 ) \$ 5,005	
	Six Months Ended June 30 2013 2012	
Net income	June 30	
Net income Other comprehensive income (loss):	June 30 2013 2012	
	June 30 2013 2012	
Other comprehensive income (loss): Unrealized gains (losses) on securities available for sale	June 30 2013 2012 \$ 8,361 \$ 8,449 (8,181 ) 608	
Other comprehensive income (loss): Unrealized gains (losses) on securities available for sale Income tax benefit (expense) Reclassification adjustment for (gains) on securities	June 30 2013 2012 \$ 8,361 \$ 8,449 (8,181 ) 608 2,863 (213 ) (199 ) (160 )	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements. 6

# Index

American National Bankshares Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 (Dollars in thousands) (Unaudited)

	Common Stock	Capital in Excess of Par Value	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Total Shareholders' Equity	
Balance, December 31, 2011	\$ 7,807	\$ 56,395	\$81,797	\$ 6,830	\$ 152,829	
Net income	-	-	8,449	-	8,449	
Other comprehensive income	-	-	-	291	291	
Stock options exercised	3	42	-	-	45	
Equity based compensation	26	388	-	-	414	
Cash dividends declared, \$0.46 per share	-	-	(3,604)	-	(3,604)	
Balance, June 30, 2012	\$ 7,836	\$ 56,825	\$86,642	\$ 7,121	\$ 158,424	
Balance, December 31, 2012	\$ 7,847	\$ 57,211	\$90,591	\$ 7,597	\$ 163,246	
Net income	-	-	8,361	-	8,361	
Other comprehensive loss	-	-	-	(5,447	) (5,447 )	
Stock options exercised	6	96	-	-	102	
Equity based compensation	19	274	-	-	293	
Cash dividends declared, \$0.46 per share	-	-	(3,619)	-	(3,619)	
Balance, June 30, 2013	\$ 7,872	\$ 57,581	\$95,333	\$ 2,150	\$ 162,936	
The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.						

American National Bankshares Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 (Dollars in thousands) (Unaudited)

	2013			2012		
Cash Flows from						
Operating Activities:	¢	9.261		¢	9.440	
Net income	\$	8,361		\$	8,449	
Adjustments to reconcile net income						
to net cash provided						
by operating						
activities:						
Provision for loan						
losses		294			1,466	
Depreciation		854			865	
Net accretion of		001			000	
purchase accounting						
adjustments		(4,050	)		(5,306	)
Core deposit		()	,		(-)	,
intangible						
amortization		841			1,093	
Net amortization						
(accretion) of						
securities		1,617			1,667	
Net gain on sale or						
call of securities		(199	)		(160	)
Gain on sale of loans						
held for sale		(1,099	)		(926	)
Proceeds from sales					44.000	
of loans held for sale		58,268			41,030	
Originations of loans		(17 115	``		(42.090	`
held for sale		(47,415	)		(42,989	)
Net gain on foreclosed real estate		(139	)		(381	)
Valuation allowance		(139	)		(381	)
on foreclosed real						
estate		294			219	
Net gain on sale of					21)	
premises and						
equipment		-			(495	)
Equity based						-
compensation						
expense		293			414	
Deferred income tax						
expense (benefit)		(1,874	)		2,238	
Net change in interest		(2.2				
receivable		(99	)		(12	)
		1,619			(4,596	)

Net change in other assets Net change in interest				
payable Net change in other	(51	)	(71	)
liabilities Net cash provided by	(53	)	(597	)
operating activities	17,462		1,908	
Cash Flows from Investing Activities: Proceeds from sales of securities available for sale Proceeds from maturities, calls and	2,627		4,209	
paydowns of securities available for sale Purchases of	32,216		33,574	
securities available for sale	(49,530	)	(39,999	)
Net change in restricted stock Net (increase)	407		(249	)
decrease in loans Proceeds from sale of premises and	(2,222	)	19,186	
equipment Purchases of premises	-		563	
and equipment Proceeds from sales	(580	)	(573	)
of foreclosed real estate Net cash (used in)	1,518		3,489	
provided by investing activities	(15,564	)	20,200	
Cash Flows from Financing Activities: Net change in demand, money market, and savings				
deposits Net change in time	22,413		(29,597	)
deposits Net change in customer repurchase	(3,477	)	13,602	
agreements Net change in other short-term	(7,970 -	)	538 (3,000	)

borrowings						
Net change in						
long-term borrowings		(75	)		(76	)
Common stock						
dividends paid		(3,619	)		(3,604	)
Proceeds from						
exercise of stock						
options		102			45	
Net cash provided by						
(used in) financing						
activities		7,374			(22,092	)
Net Increase in Cash						
and Cash Equivalents		9,272			16	
~						
Cash and Cash						
Equivalents at					20.002	
Beginning of Period		47,442			28,893	
Cash and Cash						
Equivalents at End of	¢	56714		¢	28.000	
Period	\$	56,714		\$	28,909	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

#### Index AMERICAN NATIONAL BANKSHARES INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### Note 1 - Basis of Presentation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of American National Bankshares Inc. (the "Company") and its wholly owned subsidiary, American National Bank and Trust Company (the "Bank"). The Bank offers a wide variety of retail, commercial, secondary market mortgage lending, and trust and investment services which also include non-deposit products such as mutual funds and insurance policies.

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change in the near term relate to the determination of the allowance for loan losses, pension obligations, the valuation of foreclosed real estate, goodwill and intangible assets, the valuation of deferred tax assets, other-than-temporary impairments of securities, acquired loans with specific credit-related deterioration, and the fair value of financial instruments.

In April 2006, AMNB Statutory Trust I, a Delaware statutory trust (the "AMNB Trust") and a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, was formed for the purpose of issuing preferred securities (the "Trust Preferred Securities") in a private placement pursuant to an applicable exemption from registration. Proceeds from the securities were used to fund the acquisition of Community First Financial Corporation ("Community First") which occurred in April 2006.

On July 1, 2011, the Company completed its merger with MidCarolina Financial Corporation ("MidCarolina"). MidCarolina was headquartered in Burlington, North Carolina, and engaged in banking operations through its subsidiary bank, MidCarolina Bank.

In July 2011, and in connection with its acquisition of MidCarolina, the Company assumed the liabilities of the MidCarolina I and MidCarolina Trust II, two separate Delaware statutory trusts (the "MidCarolina Trusts"), which were also formed for the purpose of issuing preferred securities. Refer to Note 9 for further details concerning these entities.

All significant inter-company transactions and accounts are eliminated in consolidation, with the exception of the AMNB Trust and the MidCarolina Trusts, as detailed in Note 9.

In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements contain all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) necessary to present fairly the Company's financial position as of June 30, 2013; the consolidated statements of income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012; the consolidated statements of comprehensive income (loss) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012; the consolidated statements of changes in shareholders' equity for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012; and the consolidated statements of cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012; and there and six month periods ended June 30, 2013 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may occur for the year ending December 31, 2013. Certain reclassifications have been made to prior period balances to conform to the current period presentation. These statements should be read in conjunction with the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Note 2 - Merger with MidCarolina

On July 1, 2011, the Company completed its merger with MidCarolina Financial Corporation pursuant to the Agreement and Plan of Reorganization, dated December 15, 2010, between the Company and MidCarolina (the "merger agreement"). MidCarolina was headquartered in Burlington, North Carolina, and engaged in banking operations through its subsidiary bank, MidCarolina Bank. The transaction has significantly expanded the Company's footprint in North Carolina, adding eight branches in Alamance and Guilford Counties. 9

#### Index

Pursuant to the terms of the merger agreement, as a result of the merger, the holders of shares of MidCarolina common stock received 0.33 shares of the Company's common stock for each share of MidCarolina common stock held immediately prior to the effective date of the merger. Each option to purchase a share of MidCarolina common stock outstanding immediately prior to the effective date of the merger was converted into an option to purchase shares of Company common stock, adjusted for the 0.33 exchange ratio. Additionally, the holders of shares of noncumulative perpetual Series A preferred stock of MidCarolina received one share of a newly authorized noncumulative perpetual Series A preferred stock of the Company for each MidCarolina preferred share held immediately before the merger. The Company's Series A preferred stock was issued with terms, preferences, rights and limitations that are identical in all material respects to the MidCarolina Series A preferred stock.

The Company issued 1,626,157 shares of common stock in connection with the MidCarolina merger. MidCarolina Bank was merged with and into the Bank on July 1, 2011.

The merger with MidCarolina was accounted for using the acquisition method of accounting and, accordingly, assets acquired, liabilities assumed and consideration paid were recorded at their estimated fair values as of the merger date. The excess of consideration paid over the fair value of net assets acquired was originally recorded as goodwill in the amount of approximately \$16.5 million, which will not be amortizable and is not deductible for tax purposes. The Company allocated the total balance of goodwill to its community banking segment. The Company also recorded \$6.6 million in core deposit intangibles which will be amortized over nine years using a declining balance method.

In connection with the merger, the consideration paid, and the fair value of identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the merger date are summarized in the following table.

(dollars in thousands)	
Consideration Paid:	
	\$29,905
Cash paid to Shareholders	12
Fair Value of Options	132
Preferred shares issued (5,000)	5,000
Value of consideration	35,049
Assets acquired:	
Cash and cash equivalents	34,783
Investment securities	51,442
Loans held for sale	113
Loans, net of unearned income	328,123
Premises and equipment, net	5,708
Deferred income taxes	15,310
Core deposit intangible	6,556
Other real estate owned	3,538
Other assets	13,535
Total assets	459,108
Liabilities assumed:	
Deposits	420,248
FHLB advances	9,858
Other borrowings	6,546
Other liabilities	3,982
Total labilities	440,634
Net assets acquired	18,474

#### Goodwill resulting from merger with MidCarolina \$16,575

In many cases, the fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed were determined by estimating the cash flows expected to result from those assets and liabilities and discounting them at appropriate market rates. The most significant category of assets for which this procedure was used was that of acquired loans. The Company acquired the \$367.4 million loan portfolio at a fair value discount of \$39.9 million. The estimated fair value of the performing portion of the portfolio was \$286.5 million. The excess of expected cash flows above the fair value of the performing portion of loans will be accreted to interest income over the remaining lives of the loans in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 310-20 (formerly SFAS 91). 10

#### Index

Certain loans, those for which specific credit-related deterioration since origination was identified, are recorded at fair value, reflecting the present value of the amounts expected to be collected. Income recognition on these loans is based on reasonable expectations about the timing and amount of cash flows to be collected. Acquired loans deemed impaired and considered collateral dependent, with the timing of the sale of loan collateral indeterminate, remain on non-accrual status and have no accretable yield.

The following table details the acquired loans that are accounted for in accordance with FASB ASC 310-30 (formerly Statement of Position ("SOP") 03-3) as of July 1, 2011 (in thousands).

Contractually required principal and interest at acquisition	\$56,681
Contractual cash flows not expected to be collected (nonaccretable difference)	17,472
Expected cash flows at acquisition	39,209
Interest component of expected cash flows (accretable discount)	1,663
Fair value of acquired loans accounted for under FASB ASC 310-30	\$37,546

In accordance with GAAP, there was no carryover of the allowance for loan losses that had been previously recorded by MidCarolina.

In connection with the merger with MidCarolina, the Company acquired an investment portfolio with a fair value of \$51.4 million. The fair value of the investment portfolio was determined by taking into account market prices obtained from independent valuation sources.

In connection with the merger with MidCarolina, the Company recorded a deferred income tax asset of \$15.3 million related to MidCarolina's valuation allowance on foreclosed real estate and bad debt expenses, as well as other tax attributes of the acquired company, along with the effects of fair value adjustments resulting from applying the acquisition method of accounting.

In connection with the merger with MidCarolina, the Company acquired other real estate owned with a fair value of \$3.5 million. Other real estate owned was measured at fair value less estimated cost to sell.

In connection with the merger with MidCarolina, the Company acquired premises and equipment with a fair value of \$5.7 million. Property appraisals for all owned locations were obtained. The fair value adjustment will be amortized as expense over the remaining lives of the properties. The Company also acquired several lease obligations in connection with the merger. The unfavorable lease position will be amortized over the remaining lives of the leases.

The fair value of savings and transaction deposit accounts acquired from MidCarolina was assumed to approximate their carrying value as these accounts have no stated maturity and are payable on demand. Certificates of deposit accounts were valued by comparing the contractual cost of the portfolio to an identical portfolio bearing current market rates. The portfolio was segregated into pools based on segments: retail, individual retirement accounts brokered, and Certificate of Deposit Account Registry Service<sup>®</sup> (often referred to as "CDARS"). For each segment, the projected cash flows from maturing certificates were then calculated based on contractual rates and prevailing market rates. The valuation adjustment for each segment is equal to the present value of the difference of these two cash flows, discounted at the assumed market rate for a certificate with a corresponding maturity. This valuation adjustment will be accreted to reduce interest expense over the remaining maturities of the respective pools.

The fair value of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta ("FHLB") advances was determined based on the discounted cash flows of future payments. This adjustment to the face value of the borrowings will be amortized to increase interest expense over the remaining lives of the respective borrowings.

The fair value of junior subordinated debentures (Other Borrowings) was determined based on the fair value of similar debt or equity instruments with reasonably comparable terms. This adjustment to the face value of the borrowings will be amortized to increase interest expense over the remaining lives of the respective borrowings.

#### Index

Direct costs related to the acquisition were expensed as incurred. During the entire year of 2011, the Company incurred \$1,600,000 in merger and acquisition expenses. During 2012, the Company incurred \$251,000 in merger related expenses. There were no merger related expenses in the three or six months ended June 30, 2013.

#### Note 3 – Securities

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of investments in debt and equity securities at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were as follows:

	June 30, 2	013		
(in thousands)	Amortized	Unrealized	Unrealized	Estimated Fair
	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value
Securities available for sale:				
Federal agencies and GSEs	\$54,965	\$ 172	\$ 496	\$54,641
Mortgage-backed and CMOs	74,299	1,074	446	74,927
State and municipal	193,223	7,092	486	199,829
Corporate	10,952	2	216	10,738
Total securities available for sale	\$333,439	\$ 8,340	\$ 1,644	\$340,135
	December	31, 2012		
(in thousands)		31, 2012 Unrealized	Unrealized	Estimated Fair
(in thousands)		,	Unrealized Losses	
(in thousands) Securities available for sale:	Amortized	Unrealized		Fair
	Amortized	Unrealized		Fair
Securities available for sale:	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gains	Losses	Fair Value
Securities available for sale: Federal agencies and GSE	Amortized Cost \$42,458	Gains \$ 306 1,829	Losses \$ 5	Fair Value \$42,759
Securities available for sale: Federal agencies and GSE Mortgage-backed and CMOs	Amortized Cost \$42,458 81,585	Gains \$ 306 1,829	Losses \$ 5 106	Fair Value \$42,759 83,308

**Temporarily Impaired Securities** 

The following table shows estimated fair value and gross unrealized losses, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, at June 30, 2013. The reference point for determining when securities are in an unrealized loss position is month-end. Therefore, it is possible that a security's market value exceeded its amortized cost on other days during the past twelve-month period.

Available for sale securities that have been in a continuous unrealized loss position are as follows:

	Total		Less than	12 Months	12 Mon	ths or More
	Estimated		Estimated		Estimate	ed
	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized
(in thousands)	Value	Loss	Value	Loss	Value	Loss
Federal agencies and GSEs	\$39,222	\$ 496	\$39,222	\$ 496	<b>\$</b> -	\$ -
Mortgage-backed and CMOs	28,184	446	23,012	374	5,172	72
State and municipal	29,771	486	29,771	486	-	-
Corporate	8,582	216	8,582	216	-	-
Total	\$105,759	\$ 1,644	\$100,587	\$ 1,572	\$5,172	\$ 72

GSE debt securities: The unrealized losses on the Company's investment in 17 government sponsored entities ("GSE") were caused by interest rate increases. The contractual terms of those investments do not permit the issuer to settle the securities at a price less than the amortized cost bases of the investments. Because the Company does not intend to sell the investments and it is not more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the investments before recovery of their amortized cost bases, which may be maturity, the Company does not consider those investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2013.

## Index

Mortgage-backed securities and CMOs: The unrealized losses on the Company's investment in 22 GSE mortgage-backed securities and CMOs were caused by interest rate increases. The contractual cash flows of those investments are guaranteed by an agency of the U.S. Government. Accordingly, it is expected that the securities would not be settled at a price less than the amortized cost basis of the Company's investments. Because the decline in market value is attributable to changes in interest rates and not credit quality, and because the Company does not intend to sell the investments and it is not more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the investments before recovery of their amortized cost basis, which may be maturity, the Company does not consider those investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2013.

State and municipal securities: The unrealized losses on 35 state and municipal securities were caused by interest rate increases. The contractual terms of those investments do not permit the issuer to settle the securities at a price less than the amortized cost basis of the investments. Because the Company does not intend to sell the investments and it is not more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the investments before recovery of their amortized cost basis, which may be maturity, the Company does not consider those investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2013.

Corporate securities: The unrealized losses on eight investments in corporate securities were caused by interest rate increases. The contractual terms of those investments do not permit the issuer to settle the securities at a price less than the amortized cost basis of the investments. Because the Company does not intend to sell the investments and it is not more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the investments before recovery of their amortized cost basis, which may be maturity, the Company does not consider those investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2013.

The Company's investment in FHLB stock totaled \$2,000,000 at June 30, 2013. FHLB stock is generally viewed as a long-term investment and as a restricted investment security, which is carried at cost, because there is no market for the stock, other than the FHLB or member institutions. Therefore, when evaluating FHLB stock for impairment, its value is based on the ultimate recoverability of the par value rather than by recognizing temporary declines in value. The Company does not consider this investment to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2013 and no impairment has been recognized. FHLB stock is shown in restricted stock on the balance sheet and is not a part of the available for sale securities portfolio.

The table below shows gross unrealized losses and fair value, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities had been in a continuous unrealized loss position, at December 31, 2012.

	Total Estimated	4	Less than Estimated	12 Months	12 Mon Estimat	
	Fair		Fair		Fair	Unrealized
(in thousands)	Value	Loss	Value	Loss	Value	Loss
Federal agencies and GSEs	\$5,501	\$ 5	\$5,501	\$5	\$-	\$ -
Mortgage-backed and CMOs	16,353	106	12,941	42	3,412	64
State and municipal	4,329	14	4,329	14	-	-
Total	\$26,183	\$ 125	\$22,771	\$ 61	\$3,412	\$ 64

Other-Than-Temporary-Impaired Securities

As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, there were no securities classified as having other-than-temporary impairment.

#### Index Note 4 - Loans

#### **Segments**

Loans, excluding loans held for sale, were comprised of the following:

	June 30,	December 31,
(in thousands)	2013	2012
Commercial	\$130,721	\$126,192
Commercial real estate:		
Construction and land development	44,029	48,812
Commercial real estate	354,323	355,433
Residential real estate:		
Residential	168,965	161,033
Home equity	89,688	91,313
Consumer	6,319	5,922
Total loans	\$794,045	\$788,705

#### Acquired Loans

Interest income, including accretion, on loans acquired from MidCarolina for the six months ended June 30, 2013 was approximately \$9.5 million. This included \$3.9 million in accretion income of which \$976,000 was related to loan pay-offs and renewals. The outstanding principal balance and the carrying amount of these loans included in the consolidated balance sheet at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 are as follows:

	June 30,	December
	Julie 30,	31,
(in thousands)	2013	2012
Oustanding principal balance	\$173,073	\$219,569
Carrying amount	160,672	203,981

The outstanding principal balance and related carrying amount of acquired impaired loans, for which the Company applies ASC 310-30 (formerly SOP 03-3), to account for interest earned, at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 are as follows:

	Juna 20	December
	June 30,	31,
(in thousands)	2013	2012
Oustanding principal balance	\$23,672	\$26,349
Carrying amount	17,999	20,182

The following table presents changes in the accretable discount on acquired impaired loans, for which the Company applies ASC 310-30 (formerly SOP 03-3), for the six months ended June 30, 2013. The accretion reflected below includes \$976,000 related to loan payoffs.

	Accretable
(in thousands)	Discount
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$ 2,165

Accretion	(1,222	)
Reclassification from nonaccretable difference	1,026	
Balance at June 30, 2013	\$ 1,969	

#### Index Past Due Loans

The following table shows an analysis by portfolio segment of the Company's past due loans at June 30, 2013.

At June 30, 2013

At June 30, 2013			90 Day +	ys				
			Past D	ue	Non-	Total		
	30- 59	60-89 Days						
	Days Past	Past	and St	ill	Accrual	Past		Total
(in thousands)	Due	Due	Accrui	ing	Loans	Due	Current	Loans
Commercial	\$78	\$ -	\$ -		\$19	\$97	\$130,624	\$130,721
Commercial real estate:								
Construction and land development	-	6	-		1,056	1,062	42,967	44,029
Commercial real estate	-	13	-		2,561	2,574	351,749	354,323
Residential:								
Residential	215	291	-		1,804	2,310	166,655	168,965
Home equity	103	36	-		379	518	89,170	89,688
Consumer	11	-	-		9	20	6,299	6,319
Total	\$407	\$346	\$ -		\$ 5,828	\$6,581	\$787,464	\$794,045

The following table shows an analysis by portfolio segment of the Company's past due loans at December 31, 2012.

			90 I +	Days				
				t Due	Non-	Total		
	30- 59 Days Past	60-89 Days Past	and	Still	Accrual	Past		Total
(in thousands)	Due	Due	Acc	cruing	Loans	Due	Current	Loans
Commercial	\$219	\$ -	\$	-	\$52	\$271	\$125,921	\$126,192
Commercial real estate:								
Construction and land development	417	-		-	1,208	1,625	47,187	48,812
Commercial real estate	1,120	-		-	1,526	2,646	352,787	355,433
Residential:								
Residential	672	168		-	2,130	2,970	158,063	161,033
Home equity	144	-		-	397	541	90,772	91,313
Consumer	33	-		-	3	36	5,886	5,922
Total	\$2,605	\$168	\$	-	\$5,316	\$8,089	\$780,616	\$788,705

## Index Impaired Loans

The following table presents the Company's impaired loan balances by portfolio segment, excluding loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality, at June 30, 2013.

(in thousands)	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized	
With no related allowance recorded:						
Commercial	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
Commercial real estate:						
Construction and land development	825	837	-	939	-	
Commercial real estate	333	334	-	327	7	
Residential:						
Residential	261	539	-	265	-	
Home equity	10	10	-	11	-	
Consumer	-	-	-	-	-	
	\$ 1,429	\$ 1,720	\$ -	\$ 1,542	\$ 7	
With a related allowance recorded:						
Commercial	10	10	7	10	-	
Commercial real estate:						
Construction and land development	890	929	82	988	-	
Commercial real estate	959	959	331	959	-	
Residential						
Residential	90	90	15	90	-	
Home equity	-	-	-	-	-	
Consumer	19	19	19	20	-	
	\$ 1,968	\$ 2,007	\$ 454	\$ 2,067	\$ -	
Total:	φ 1,900	φ 2,007	φ 151	\$ 2,007	Ψ	
Commercial	\$ 10	\$ 10	\$7	\$ 10	\$ -	
Commercial real estate:	ψ 10	ψ10	Ψ /	ψIU	Ψ	
Construction and land development	1,715	1,766	82	1,927	_	
Commercial real estate	1,713	1,700	331	1,286	7	
Residential:	1,272	1,275	551	1,200	,	
Residential	351	629	15	355		
	10	10	15	11	-	
Home equity Consumer	10 19	10 19	- 19	20	-	
Consumer					- \$ 7	
	\$ 3,397	\$ 3,727	\$ 454	\$ 3,609	\$ 7	

The following table presents the Company's impaired loan balances by portfolio segment, excluding loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality, at December 31, 2012.

(in thousands)	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized	
With no related allowance recorded:	¢ 20	<b>† 2</b> 0	¢	<b>•</b> • • • • •	¢	
Commercial	\$ 39	\$ 39	\$ -	\$ 276	\$	11
Commercial real estate:	• • • •					
Construction and land development	2,302	2,335	-	1,562		-
Commercial real estate	305	306	-	557		8
Residential:						
Residential	270	541	-	861		15
Consumer:						
Consumer	-	-	-	-		-
	\$ 2,916	\$ 3,221	\$ -	\$ 3,256	\$	34
With a related allowance recorded:						
Commercial	\$ 110	\$110	\$ 107	\$ 35	\$	-
Commercial real estate:						
Construction and land development						-
Commercial real estate						-
Residential:						
Residential						-
Home equity	-	-	-	-		-
Consumer	21	21	21	10		
Consumer	-	-	-	-		-
	\$ 131	\$131	\$ 128	\$ 45	\$	-
<u>Total:</u>						
Commercial	\$ 149	\$ 149	\$ 107	\$ 311	\$	11
Commercial real estate:						
Construction and land development	2,302	2,335	-	1,562		-
Commercial real estate	305	306	-	557		8
Residential:						
Residential	270	541	-	861		15
Home equity	-	-	-	-		-
Consumer:						
Consumer	21	21	21	10		-
	\$ 3,047	\$ 3,352	\$ 128	\$ 3,301	\$	34

There were no loans modified as a troubled debt restructuring ("TDR") for the three or six months ended June 30, 2013. The following table shows the detail of loans modified as TDRs during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 included in the impaired loan balances.

	Loans Modified as a TDR for the Three Months Ended June 30, 2012						
	Pre-Modification	Post-Modification					
	Nun Obertstanding	Oustanding					
	of Recorded	Recorded					
(dollars in thousands)	ConInacetstment	Investment					
Commercial	1 \$ 11	\$ 11					

Commercial real estate:				
Construction and land development	2	1,411	1,411	
Home Equity	-	-	-	
Commercial real estate	1	4	4	
Consumer	-	-	-	
Total	4 \$	5 1,426	\$ 1,426	
17				

	Loans Modified as a TDR for the							
	Six Months Ended June 30, 2012							
	Pre-Modification Post-Modification							
	Nu	nOb	enstanding	Oustanding				
	of Recorded				corded			
(dollars in thousands)	Con <b>Inacets</b> tment		Inv	vestment				
Commercial	1	\$	11	\$	11			
Commercial real estate:								
Construction and land development	7		2,188		2,081			
Home Equity	-		-		-			
Commercial real estate	1		4		4			
Consumer	-		-		-			
Total	9	\$	2,203	\$	2,096			

None of the loans modified as a TDR within the previous twelve months have subsequently defaulted during the three or six month periods ending June 30, 2013 and 2012.

## Risk Grades

The following table shows the Company's commercial loan portfolio broken down by internal risk grading as of June 30, 2013.

(in thousands) Commercial and Consumer Credit Exposure Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade

	Commercial	Commercial Real Estate Construction	Real Estate	Residential	Home Equity
Pass	\$ 129,226	\$ 35,940	\$ 341,474	\$155,507	\$86,850
Special Mention	1,414	1,635	6,349	10,192	1,777
Substandard	81	6,454	6,500	3,266	1,061
Doubtful	-	-	-	-	-
Total	\$ 130,721	\$ 44,029	\$ 354,323	\$ 168,965	\$89,688

Consumer Credit Exposure Credit Risk Profile Based on Payment Activity

Consumer

Performing \$ 6,307 Nonperforming 12 Total \$ 6,319

The following table shows the Company's commercial loan portfolio broken down by internal risk grading as of December 31, 2012.

#### (in thousands)

Commercial and Consumer Credit Exposure Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade

	Commercial	Commercial Real Estate Construction	Real Estate	Residential	Home Equity
Pass	\$ 125,072	\$ 39,417	\$ 340,094	\$146,875	\$89,066
Special Mention	922	2,287	10,321	10,731	1,060
Substandard	198	7,108	5,018	3,427	1,187
Doubtful	-	-	-	-	-
Total	\$ 126,192	\$ 48,812	\$ 355,433	\$ 161,033	\$91,313

Consumer Credit Exposure Credit Risk Profile Based on Payment Activity

Consumer

Performing \$ 5,856 Nonperforming 66 Total \$ 5,922

Loans classified in the Pass category typically are fundamentally sound and risk factors are reasonable and acceptable.

Loans classified in the Special Mention category typically have been criticized internally, by loan review or the loan officer, or by external regulators under the current credit policy regarding risk grades.

Loans classified in the Substandard category typically have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt; they are typically characterized by the distinct possibility that the Bank will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.

Loans classified in the Doubtful category typically have all the weaknesses inherent in loans classified as substandard, plus the added characteristic the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values highly questionable and improbable. However, these loans are not yet rated as loss because certain events may occur that may salvage the debt.

Consumer loans are classified as performing or nonperforming. A loan is nonperforming when payments of interest and principal are past due 90 days or more, or payments are less than 90 days past due, but there are other good reasons to doubt that payment will be made in full.

Note 5 – Allowance for Loan Losses and Reserve for Unfunded Lending Commitments

Changes in the allowance for loan losses and the reserve for unfunded lending commitments as of the indicated dates and periods are presented below:

The reserve for unfunded loan commitments is included in other liabilities.

(in thousands)	Six Months Ended June 30, 2013	Year Ended December 31, 2012	Six Months Ended June 30, 2012
Allowance for Loan Losses			
Balance, beginning of period	\$12,118	\$ 10,529	\$10,529
Provision for loan losses	294	2,133	1,466
Charge-offs	(467)	(2,086)	(1,068)
Recoveries	731	1,542	1,172
Balance, end of period	\$12,676	\$ 12,118	\$12,099
Reserve for Unfunded Lending Commitments			
Balance, beginning of period	\$201	\$ 200	\$200
Provision for loan losses	2	1	6
Charge-offs	-	-	-
Balance, end of period	\$203	\$ 201	\$206

The following table presents the Company's allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment and the related loan balance total by segment at June 30, 2013.

(in thousands)	Commercial	Commercial Real Estate	Residential Real Estate	Consumer	Total
Allowance for Loan Losses Balance as of December 31, 2012 Charge-offs Recoveries Provision for loan losses Balance as of June 30, 2013	\$ 1,450 (109) 241 35 \$ 1,617	\$ 6,822 (23 268 166 \$ 7,233	\$ 3,638 (230) 145 88 \$ 3,641	\$ 208 (105 77 5 \$ 185	\$12,118 ) (467 ) 731 294 \$12,676
Balance as of June 30, 2013 Allowance for Loan Losses					
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 7	\$ 413	\$15	\$ 19	\$454
Collectively evaluated for impairment	1,610	6,506	3,577	166	11,859
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	-	314	49	-	363
Total Loans Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 1,617 \$ 10	\$ 7,233 \$ 3,007	\$ 3,641 \$ 361	\$ 185 \$ 19	\$12,676 \$3,397

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN NATIONAL BANKSHARES INC Form 10-Q								
Collectively evaluated for impairment Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality Total 20	130,431 280 \$ 130,721	383,541 11,804 \$ 398,352	251,511 6,781 \$258,653	6,300 - \$ 6,319	771,783 18,865 \$794,045			

The following table presents the Company's allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment and the related loan balance total by segment at December 31, 2012.

(in thousands)	Commercial	Commercial Real Estate	Residential Real Estate	Consumer	Total
Allowance for Loan Losses Balance as of December 31, 2011 Charge-offs Recoveries Provision Balance as of December 31, 2012 Balance as of December 31, 2012:	\$ 1,236 (748 )) 707 255 \$ 1,450	\$ 5,719 (572) 475 1,200 \$ 6,822	\$ 3,412 (694 ) 279 641 \$ 3,638	\$ 162 (72 ) 81 37 \$ 208	\$10,529 (2,086) 1,542 2,133 \$12,118
<u>Allowance for Loan Losses</u> Individually evaluated for impairment Collectively evaluated for impairment Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality Total	\$ 107 1,343 - \$ 1,450	\$ - 6,376 446 \$ 6,822	\$ - 3,609 29 \$ 3,638	\$ 21 187 - \$ 208	\$128 11,515 475 \$12,118
Loans Individually evaluated for impairment Collectively evaluated for impairment Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality Total	\$ 149 125,707 336 \$ 126,192	\$ 2,607 388,495 13,143 \$ 404,245	\$ 270 245,373 6,703 \$ 252,346	\$ 21 5,901 - \$ 5,922	\$3,047 765,476 20,182 \$788,705
Balances at December 31, 2011:					
Allowance for Loan Losses Balance as of December 31, 2010 Charge-offs Recoveries Provision Balance as of December 31, 2011	\$ 751 (163) 373 275 \$ 1,236	\$ 4,631 (702) 306 1,484 \$ 5,719	\$ 2,921 (871) 50 1,312 \$ 3,412	\$ 117 (127) 73 99 \$ 162	\$8,420 (1,863) 802 3,170 \$10,529
<u>Allowance for Loan Losses</u> Individually evaluated for impairment Collectively evaluated for impairment Total	\$ - 1,236 \$ 1,236	\$ 129 5,590 \$ 5,719	\$ 1 3,411 \$ 3,412	\$ - 162 \$ 162	\$130 10,399 \$10,529
Loans Individually evaluated for impairment Collectively evaluated for impairment Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality Total	\$ - 131,755 2,411 \$ 134,166	\$ 1,894 381,175 23,325 \$ 406,394	\$ 1,295 266,421 8,291 \$ 276,007	\$ 49 8,142 - \$ 8,191	\$3,238 787,493 34,027 \$824,758

The allowance for loan losses is allocated to loan segments based upon historical loss factors, risk grades on individual loans, portfolio analyses of smaller balance, homogenous loans, and qualitative factors. Qualitative factors

include trends in delinquencies, nonaccrual loans, and loss rates; trends in volume and terms of loans, effects of changes in risk selection, underwriting standards, and lending policies; experience of lending officers and other lending staff; national, regional, and local economic trends and conditions; legal, regulatory and collateral factors; and concentrations of credit. A large percentage of the Bank's North Carolina loan portfolio is commercial real estate, with relatively short maturities, with balloon payments, and relatively long amortization periods. This structure results in more rapid accretion of the acquisition related credit mark than amortization of loan principal. While this is not a proximate cause in and of itself for additional provision, it does result in an increased volume of loans that must be evaluated for potential loss as they are renewed on current market terms and conditions and become part of the regular portfolio. Since the MidCarolina merger, approximately \$88 million of the acquired loan portfolio has renewed under such terms and is considered as part of the regular loan loss allowance analysis.

#### Note 6 - Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill is subject to at least an annual assessment for impairment by applying a fair value test. An annual fair value-based test was performed as of June 30, 2013 that determined the market value of the Company's shares exceeded the consolidated carrying value, including goodwill; therefore, there has been no impairment recognized in the value of goodwill.

In September 2011, the FASB published Accounting Statement Update ("ASU") 2011-08, "Testing Goodwill for Impairment." This amendment was an effort to reduce the complexity of the two step impairment test required by the original version of the ASU. Under this amendment, the reporting entity has the option to assess relevant "qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events or circumstances leads to a determination that it is more likely than not that the fair value of the reporting entity is less than the carrying amount."

Core deposit intangibles resulting from the Community First acquisition in April 2006 were \$3,112,000 and are being amortized over 99 months. Core deposit intangibles resulting from the MidCarolina acquisition in July 2011 were \$6,556,000 and are being amortized on an accelerated basis over 108 months. 21

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill and intangibles for the six months ended June 30, 2013, are as follows (in thousands):

	Goodwill	Intangibles
Balance as of December 31, 2012	\$39,043	\$ 4,660
Additions	-	-
Amortization	-	(841)
Impairment	-	-
Balance as of June 30, 2013	\$39,043	\$ 3,819

#### Note 7 - Short-term Borrowings

Short-term borrowings consist of customer repurchase agreements, overnight borrowings from the FHLB, and Federal Funds purchased. Customer repurchase agreements are collateralized by securities of the U.S. Government or its agencies or GSEs. They mature daily. The interest rates may be changed at the discretion of the Company. The securities underlying these agreements remain under the Company's control. FHLB overnight borrowings contain floating interest rates that may change daily at the discretion of the FHLB. Federal Funds purchased are unsecured overnight borrowings from other financial institutions. Short-term borrowings consisted of the following as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Customer repurchase agreements	\$41,972	\$49,942
FHLB overnight borrowings	- \$11 972	- \$ 49,942

Note 8 - Long-term Borrowings

Under the terms of its collateral agreement with the FHLB, the Company provides a blanket lien covering all of its residential first mortgage loans, second mortgage loans, home equity lines of credit, and commercial real estate loans. In addition, the Company pledges as collateral its capital stock in the FHLB and deposits with the FHLB. The Company has a line of credit with the FHLB equal to 30% of the Company's assets, subject to the amount of collateral pledged. As of June 30, 2013, \$392,781,000 in eligible collateral was pledged under the blanket floating lien agreement which covers both short-term and long-term borrowings. Long-term borrowings consisted of the following fixed rate, long-term advances as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

June 30, 2013			December 31, 20	012		
	Advance	Weighted Average		Advance	Weightee Average	1
Due by	Amount	Rate	Due by	Amount	Rate	
April 2014	\$113	3.78	% April 2014	\$188	3.78	%
November 2017	9,902 \$10,015	2.98 3.00	November 2017 %	9,891 \$10,079	2.98 3.01	%

The advance due in November 2017 is net of a valuation allowance of \$98,000. The original valuation allowance recorded on July 1, 2011, was a result of the merger with MidCarolina. The adjustment to the face value will be amortized into interest expense over the life of the borrowing.

In the regular course of conducting its business, the Company takes deposits from political subdivisions of the States of Virginia and North Carolina. At June 30, 2013, the Bank's public deposits totaled \$128,337,000. The Company is required to provide collateral to secure the deposits that exceed the insurance coverage provided by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. This collateral can be provided in the form of certain types of government or agency bonds or letters of credit from the FHLB. At June 30, 2013, the Company had \$72,000,000 in letters of credit with the FHLB outstanding, as well as \$96,292,000 in government and agency securities to provide collateral for such deposits.

#### Index

#### Note 9 - Trust Preferred Capital Notes

On April 7, 2006, the AMNB Trust, a Delaware statutory trust and a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, issued \$20,000,000 of preferred securities in a private placement pursuant to an applicable exemption from registration. The Trust Preferred Securities mature on September 30, 2036, but may be redeemed at the Company's option (which option became effective beginning on September 30, 2011). Initially, the securities required quarterly distributions by the AMNB Trust to the holder of the Trust Preferred Securities at a fixed rate of 6.66%. Effective September 30, 2011, the rate resets quarterly at the three-month LIBOR plus 1.35%. Distributions are cumulative and will accrue from the date of original issuance, but may be deferred by the Company from time to time for up to 20 consecutive quarterly periods. The Company has guaranteed the payment of all required distributions on the Trust Preferred Securities.

The proceeds of the Trust Preferred Securities received by the AMNB Trust, along with proceeds of \$619,000 received by the trust from the issuance of common securities by the trust to the Company, were used to purchase \$20,619,000 of the Company's junior subordinated debt securities (the "Trust Preferred Capital Notes"), issued pursuant to a junior subordinated debentures entered into between the Company and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee. The proceeds of the Trust Preferred Capital Notes were used to fund the cash portion of the merger consideration to the former shareholders of Community First in connection with the Company's acquisition of that company, and for general corporate purposes.

On July 1, 2011, in connection with the MidCarolina merger, the Company assumed \$8,764,000 in junior subordinated debentures to the MidCarolina Trusts, to fully and unconditionally guarantee the preferred securities issued by the MidCarolina Trusts. These long-term obligations, which currently qualify as Tier 1 capital, constitute a full and unconditional guarantee by the Company of the MidCarolina Trusts' obligations. The MidCarolina Trusts are not consolidated in the Company's financial statements.

In accordance with FASB ASC 810-10-15-14, the Company did not eliminate through consolidation the Company's \$619,000 equity investment in AMNB Statutory Trust I or the \$264,000 equity investment in the MidCarolina Trusts. Instead, the Company reflected this equity investment in the "Accrued interest receivable and other assets" line item in the consolidated balance sheets.

A description of the junior subordinated debt securities outstanding payable to the trusts is shown below:

	Date	Interest	Maturity	(Amount thousand Principal	s)
Issuing Entity	Issued	Rate	Date	-	December 31, 2012
AMNB Trust I	04-07-06	Libor plus 1.35 %	06-30-36	\$20,619	\$ 20,619
MidCarolina I	10-29-02	Libor plus 3.45 %	11-07-32	4,070	4,042
MidCarolina II	12-03-03	Libor plus 2.95 %	10-07-33	2,679	2,656

#### \$27,368 \$27,317

The principal amounts reflected for the MidCarolina Trusts are net of valuation allowances of \$1,085,000 and \$930,000, respectively. The original valuation allowances of \$1,197,000 and \$1,021,000 were recorded as a result of the merger with MidCarolina on July 1, 2011, and are being amortized into interest expense over the remaining lives of the respective borrowings.

#### Index

#### Note 10 - Stock Based Compensation

The Company's 2008 Stock Incentive Plan ("2008 Plan") was adopted by the Board of Directors of the Company on February 19, 2008, and approved by shareholders on April 22, 2008, at the Company's 2008 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. The 2008 Plan provides for the granting of restricted stock awards and incentive and non-statutory options to employees and directors on a periodic basis, at the discretion of the Board of Directors or a Board designated committee. The 2008 Plan authorizes the issuance of up to 500,000 shares of common stock. The 2008 Plan replaced the Company's stock option plan that was approved by the shareholders at the 1997 Annual Meeting, which plan terminated in 2006.

#### Stock Options

Accounting guidance requires that compensation cost relating to share-based payment transactions be recognized in the financial statements with measurement based upon the fair value of the equity or liability instruments issued.

A summary of stock option transactions for the six months ended June 30, 2013 is as follows:

	Option Shares	Average	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (\$000)
Outstanding at December 31, 2012	240,517	\$ 24.28		
Granted	-	-		
Exercised	6,000	17.00		
Forfeited	11,795	27.64		
Expired	4,000	24.00		
Outstanding at June 30, 2013	218,722	\$ 24.31	3.45 years	\$ 329
Exercisable at June 30, 2013	218,722	\$ 24.31	3.45 years	\$ 329

The fair value of options is estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model and expensed over the options' vesting period. As of June 30, 2013, there was no unrecognized compensation expenses related to nonvested stock option grants.

#### Restricted Stock

The Company from time-to-time grants shares of restricted stock to key employees and non-employee directors. These awards help align the interests of these employees and directors with the interests of the shareholders of the Company by providing economic value directly related to increases in the value of the Company's common stock. The value of the stock awarded is established as the fair market value of the stock at the time of the grant. The Company recognizes expense, equal to the total value of such awards, ratably over the vesting period of the stock grants. Restricted stock granted cliff vests over 24 to 36 months based on the term of the award.

Nonvested restricted stock activity for the six months ended June 30, 2013 is summarized in the following table.

		Weighted
		Average
		Grant
		Date
Restricted Stock	Shares	Value

Nonvested at January 1, 2013	39,327	\$ 20.17
Granted	12,121	20.47
Vested	12,830	22.77
Forfeited	-	-
Nonvested at June 30, 2013	38,618	\$ 19.40

As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, there was 431,000 and \$525,000 in unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested restricted stock granted under the 2008 Plan. The weighted average period over which this cost is expected to be recognized is 1.24 years. The share based compensation expense for nonvested restricted stock was \$140,000 and \$174,000 during the first six months of 2013 and 2012, respectively. 24

#### Index

Starting in 2010, the Company began offering its outside directors alternatives with respect to director compensation. For 2012, the regular quarterly board retainer could be received in the form of either (i) \$3,000 in cash or (ii) shares of immediately vested, but restricted stock with a market value of \$4,688. Monthly meeting fees can also be received as \$600 per meeting in cash or \$750 in immediately vested, but restricted stock. For 2013, all 11 outside directors have elected to receive stock in lieu of cash for their monthly retainer board meeting fees. Only outside directors receive board fees. The Company issued 7,217 and 11,136 shares and recognized share based compensation expense of \$153,000 and \$240,000 during the first six months of 2013 and 2012, respectively.

#### Note 11 - Earnings Per Share

The following shows the weighted average number of shares used in computing earnings per share and the effect on weighted average number of shares of potentially dilutive common stock. Potentially dilutive common stock had no effect on income available to common shareholders.

	Three Months Ended			
	June 30,			
	2013		2012	
		Per		Per
		Share		Share
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount
Basic	7,867,222	\$ 0.54	7,832,162	\$ 0.55
Effect of dilutive securities - stock options	9,747	(0.01)	16,980	(0.01)
Diluted	7,876,969	\$ 0.53	7,849,142	\$ 0.54
	Six Months	Ended		
	Six Months	Ended		
	June 30,	Ended	2012	
			2012	Dar
	June 30,	Per	2012	Per
	June 30, 2013	Per Share		Share
D. i	June 30, 2013 Shares	Per Share Amount	Shares	Share Amount
Basic	June 30, 2013 Shares 7,862,719	Per Share Amount	Shares 7,827,195	Share
Basic Effect of dilutive securities - stock options Diluted	June 30, 2013 Shares	Per Share Amount	Shares	Share Amount

Stock options on common stock which were not included in computing diluted earnings per share for the six month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, because their effects were antidilutive, averaged 182,620 and 196,394 shares, respectively.

Note 12 - Employee Benefit Plans

The following is information pertaining to the Company's non-contributory defined benefit pension plan. The plan was frozen in 2009.

	Three Months		Six Mo	nths
Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost	Ended		Ended	
(in thousands)	June 30,		June 30	),
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Service cost	\$-	<b>\$</b> -	<b>\$</b> -	<b>\$</b> -
Interest cost	72	97	144	194
Expected return on plan assets	(128)	(135)	(256)	(270)

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN NATIONAL BANKSHARES INC Form 10-Q									
Recognized net actuaria	l loss	69	83	138	166				
Net periodic benefit cos	t §	\$13	\$45	\$26	\$90				
25									

#### Index

Note 13 - Segment and Related Information

The Company has two reportable segments, community banking and trust and investment services.

Community banking involves making loans to and generating deposits from individuals and businesses. All assets and liabilities of the Company are allocated to community banking. Investment income from securities is also allocated to the community banking segment. Loan fee income, service charges from deposit accounts, and non-deposit fees such as automated teller machine fees and insurance commissions generate additional income for the community banking segment.

Trust and investment services include estate planning, trust account administration, investment management, and retail brokerage. Investment management services include purchasing equity, fixed income, and mutual fund investments for customer accounts. The trust and investment services segment receives fees for investment and administrative services.

Amounts shown in the "Other" column includes activities of the Company which are primarily debt service on trust preferred securities and corporate items. Intersegment eliminations primarily consist of the Company's interest income on deposits held by the Bank.

Segment information as of and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, is shown in the following table.

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2013							
		Trust and						
(in thousands)	Community	Investment		Interse	egment			
	Banking	Services	Other	Elimir	nations	Total		
Interest income	\$13,347	\$ -	<b>\$</b> -	\$	-	\$13,347		
Interest expense	1,465	-	189		-	1,654		
Noninterest income	1,608	1,073	5		-	2,686		
Income before income taxes	5,558	682	(289)		-	5,951		
Net income	3,918	483	(191)		-	4,210		
Depreciation and amortization	847	4	-		-	851		
Total assets	1,292,099	-	1,918		-	1,294,017		
Capital expenditures	402	-	-		-	402		

#### Three Months Ended June 30, 2012

		Trust and					
	Community	Investment		Inte	rsegmen	t	
	Banking	Services	Other	Elin	ninations	S	Total
Interest income	\$14,886	\$ -	\$2	\$	(2	)	\$14,886
Interest expense	1,865	-	207		(2	)	2,070
Noninterest income	1,715	1,079	6		-		2,800
Income before income taxes	5,704	650	(304)		-		6,050
Net income	4,014	461	(201)		-		4,274
Depreciation and amortization	984	5	-		-		989
Total assets	1,289,971	-	921		-		1,290,892
Capital expenditures	-	-	-		-		-

#### Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 Trust and

		Trust and			
	Community	Investment		Intersegment	
	Banking	Services	Other	Eliminations	Total
Interest income	\$26,756	\$ -	\$-	\$ -	\$26,756
Interest expense	3,004	-	377	-	3,381
Noninterest income	3,686	1,760	10	-	5,456
Income before income taxes	11,329	978	(516)	-	11,791
Net income	8,009	693	(341)		8,361
Depreciation and amortization	1,687	8	0	-	1,695
Total assets	1,292,099	-	1,918	-	1,294,017
Capital expenditures	580	-	-	-	580

#### Six Months Ended June 30, 2012

		Trust and					
	Community	y Investment Intersegment					
	Banking	Services	Other	Elin	ninatio	ıs	Total
Interest income	\$30,228	\$ -	\$5	\$	(5	)	\$30,228
Interest expense	3,832	-	413		(5	)	4,240
Noninterest income	3,961	2,062	11		-		6,034
Operating income before income taxes	11,187	1,208	(599)		-		11,796

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN NATIONAL BANKSHARES INC Form 10-Q								
Net income	7,984	865	(400)		8,449			
Depreciation and amortization	1,948	10	0	-	1,958			
Total assets	1,289,971	-	921	-	1,290,892			
Capital expenditures	573	-	-	-	573			
27								

# <u>Index</u>

Note 14 - Fair Value of Financial Instruments

### Determination of Fair Value

The Company uses fair value measurements to record fair value adjustments to certain assets and liabilities and to determine fair value disclosures. In accordance with the fair value measurements and disclosures topic of FASB ASC, the fair value of a financial instrument is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Fair value is best determined based upon quoted market prices. However, in many instances, there are no quoted market prices for the Company's various financial instruments. In cases where quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on estimates using present value or other valuation techniques. Those techniques are significantly affected by the assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows. Accordingly, the fair value estimates may not be realized in an immediate settlement of the instrument.

The fair value guidance provides a consistent definition of fair value, which focuses on exit price in an orderly transaction (that is, not a forced liquidation or distressed sale) between market participants at the measurement date under current market conditions. If there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability, a change in valuation technique or the use of multiple valuation techniques may be appropriate. In such instances, determining the price at which willing market participants would transact at the measurement date under current market conditions depends on the facts and circumstances and requires the use of significant judgment. The fair value is a reasonable point within the range that is most representative of fair value under current market conditions.

### Fair Value Hierarchy

In accordance with this guidance, the Company groups its financial assets and financial liabilities generally measured at fair value in three levels, based on the markets in which the assets and liabilities are traded and the reliability of the assumptions used to determine fair value.

Level 1 -Valuation is based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities.

Valuation is based on observable inputs including quoted prices in active markets for similar assets and
 Level liabilities, quoted prices for identical or similar assets and liabilities in less active markets, and model-based
 valuation techniques for which significant assumptions can be derived primarily from or corroborated by observable data in the market.

Level 3 Valuation is based on model-based techniques that use one or more significant inputs or assumptions that are unobservable in the market.

The following describes the valuation techniques used by the Company to measure certain financial assets and liabilities recorded at fair value on a recurring basis in the financial statements:

<u>Securities available for sale</u>: Securities available for sale are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. Fair value measurement is based upon quoted market prices, when available (Level 1). If quoted market prices are not available, fair values are measured utilizing independent valuation techniques of identical or similar securities for which significant assumptions are derived primarily from or corroborated by observable market data. Third party vendors compile prices from various sources and may determine the fair value of identical or similar securities by using pricing models that consider observable market data (Level 2). Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond and FHLB stocks are carried at cost since no ready market exists and there is no quoted market value. The Company is required to own stock in these entities as long as it is a member. Therefore, they have been excluded from the table below.

The following table presents the balances of financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis during the period (in thousands):

		Fair Value Measurements at June 30, 2013 Using Quoted Prices				at	
	Balance as of June 30,	for Ide	Othe rkets Obse Inpu ntical sets	ervable	Significant Unobservable Inputs		
Description	2013	1	Leve	el 2	Lev	el 3	
Assets:							
Securities available for sale: Federal agencies and GSEs	\$54,641	<b>\$</b> -	\$ 54	,641	\$		_
Mortgage-backed and CMOs	74,927	-	74	,927			-
State and municipal	199,829	-	19	9,829			-
Corporate	10,738	-	10	,738			-
Total	\$340,135	\$-	\$ 34	0,135	\$		-
	Balance as of	De Qu Pri in Ac		ue Meas er 31, 20 Signific Other Observa	)12 U ant	sing Sig	
	December 31,	As	entica sets vel	Innute	aure		outs
Description	2012	1	vei	Level 2		Le	vel 3
Assets:							
Securities available for sale:							
Federal agencies and GSEs	\$42,759	\$-		\$ 42,75	9	\$	-
Mortgage-backed and CMOs	83,308	-		83,30			-
State and municipal	202,731	2	,110	200,6	21		-
Corporate	6,448	_		6,097			351
Total	\$335,246	\$2	,110	\$ 332,7	85	\$	351

Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) Total Realized / Unrealized Gains (Losses) Included in

	BalanceNet as of Incom January 1, 2013	Other e Comprehensive Income	Purchases, Sales, Issuances and Settlements, Net	Transfer In (Out) of Level 3	
Securities available for sale:					
Corporate	\$351 \$136	\$ -	\$ (487	)\$-	\$-
Total assets	\$351 \$136	\$ -	\$ (487	)\$-	\$ -

Certain assets are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis in accordance with GAAP. Adjustments to the fair value of these assets usually result from the application of lower-of-cost-or-market accounting or write-downs of individual assets.

The following describes the valuation techniques used by the Company to measure certain assets recorded at fair value on a nonrecurring basis in the financial statements:

Loans held for sale: Loans held for sale are carried at estimated fair value. These loans currently consist of one-to-four family residential loans originated for sale in the secondary market. Fair value is based on the price secondary markets are currently offering for similar loans using observable market data which is not materially different than cost due to the short duration between origination and sale (Level 2). As such, the Company records any fair value adjustments on a nonrecurring basis. No nonrecurring fair value adjustments were recorded on loans held for sale during the six month period ended June 30, 2013 or the year ended December 31, 2012. Gains and losses on the sale of loans are recorded within income from mortgage banking on the Consolidated Statements of Income. 29

#### Index

Impaired loans: Loans are designated as impaired when, in the judgment of management based on current information and events, it is probable that all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreements will not be collected. The measurement of loss associated with impaired loans can be based on either the observable market price of the loan or the fair value of the collateral. Collateral may be in the form of real estate or business assets including equipment, inventory, and accounts receivable. The vast majority of the Company's collateral is real estate. The value of real estate collateral is determined utilizing a market valuation approach based on an appraisal, of one year or less, conducted by an independent, licensed appraiser using observable market data (Level 2). However, if the collateral is a house or building in the process of construction or if an appraisal of the property is more than one year old and not solely based on observable market comparables or management determines the fair value of the collateral is further impaired below the appraised value, then a Level 3 valuation is considered to measure the fair value. The value of business equipment is based upon an outside appraisal, of one year or less, if deemed significant, or the net book value on the applicable business's financial statements if not considered significant using observable market data. Likewise, values for inventory and accounts receivables collateral are based on financial statement balances or aging reports (Level 3). Impaired loans allocated to the allowance for loan losses are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. Any fair value adjustments are recorded in the period incurred as provision for loan losses on the Consolidated Statements of Income.

<u>Other real estate owned</u>: Measurement for fair values for other real estate owned are the same as real estate collateral discussed with impaired loans.

The following table summarizes the Company's assets that were measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis at the dates indicated, (in thousands):

	Balance as of June 30,	Fair Value Measu June 30, 2013 Us Quoted Prices in Active Markets Markets for Inputs Identical Assets Level	
Description	2013	1 Level 2	Level 3
Assets: Loans held for sale	\$ 4 008	¢ ¢ 4 009	\$ -
Impaired loans, net of valuation allowance	\$4,098 1,514		\$ - 1,514
Other real estate owned	5,569		5,569
	Balance as of December 31,	Fair Value Mea December 31, Quoted Pric&ignificant in Other Acti@bservable Markepsuts er for Identical	asurements at 2012 Using t Significant

		Assets	
		Level	
Description	2012	1 Level 2	Level 3
Assets:			
Loans held for sale	\$ 13,852	\$- \$ 13,852	\$ -
Impaired loans, net of valuation allowance	3		3
Other real estate owned	6,193		6,193

#### Index

The following tables present quantitative information about Level 3 Fair Value Measurements as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

Quantitative Information About Level 3 Fair Value Measurements for June 30, 2013

Assets	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Input	Weighte Rate	ed
Impaired loans	Discounted appraised value	Selling cost	6	%
Other real estate owned	l Discounted appraised value	e	6	%
Other real estate owned	d Discounted appraised value	Discount for lack of marketability and age of appraisal	9	%

Quantitative Information About Level 3 Fair Value Measurements for December 31, 2012

Assets	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Input	Weighte Rate	ed
Securities available for sale	Discounted cash flow analysis	Discount rate	38	%
Impaired loans	Discounted appraised value	Selling cost	6	%
Other real estate owned	Discounted appraised value	Selling cost Discount for lack of marketability and age of	6	%
Other real estate owned	Discounted appraised value	appraisal	9	%

The carrying values and estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments at June 30, 2013 are as follows (in thousands):

		Fair Value Measurements at June 30, 2013 using					
		Quoted					
		Prices in					
		Active					
		Markets	Significant				
		for	Other	Significant			
		Identical	Observable	Unobservable	Fair		
		Assets	Inputs	Inputs	Value		
	Carrying Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Balance		
Financial Assets:							
Cash and cash equivalents	\$56,714	\$56,714	\$ -	\$ -	\$56,714		
Securities available for sale	340,135	-	340,135	-	340,135		
Loans held for sale	4,098	-	4,098	-	4,098		
Loans, net of allowance	781,369	-	-	779,287	779,287		
Bank owned life insurance	14,495	14,495	-	-	14,495		
Accrued interest receivable	4,810	4,810	-	-	4,810		

Financial Liabilities:

Deposits	\$1,046,394	\$579,833	\$ -	\$ 409,501	\$989,334
Repurchase agreements	41,972	41,972	-	-	41,972
Other borrowings	10,015	-	-	10,633	10,633
Trust preferred capital notes	27,368	-	-	19,089	19,089
Accrued interest payable	704	704	-	-	704

The carrying values and estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments at December 31, 2012 are as follows (in thousands):

		Fair Value using Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets	Measuremen Significant Other Observable Inputs	ts at December 3 Significant Unobservable Inputs	31, 2012 Fair Value
	Carrying Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Balance
Financial Assets:	value				Dalance
Cash and cash equivalents	\$47,442	\$47,442	\$ -	\$ -	\$47,442
Securities available for sale	335,246	2,110	332,785	351	335,246
Loans held for sale	13,852	-	13,852	-	13,852
Loans, net of allowance	776,587	-	-	777,761	777,761
Bank owned life insurance	14,289	14,289	-	-	14,289
Accrued interest receivable	4,711	4,711	-	-	4,711
Financial Liabilities:					
Deposits	\$1,027,667	\$582,633	\$ -	\$ 424,378	\$1,007,011
Repurchase agreements	49,942	49,942	-	-	49,942
Other borrowings	10,079	-	-	11,062	11,062
Trust preferred capital notes	27,317	-	-	22,524	22,524
Accrued interest payable	755	755	-	-	755

The following methods and assumptions were used by the Company in estimating fair value disclosures for financial instruments:

<u>Cash and cash equivalents</u>. The carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

Securities. Fair values are based on quoted market prices or dealer quotes.

Loans held for sale. The carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

<u>Loans</u>. For variable-rate loans that reprice frequently and with no significant change in credit risk, fair values are based on carrying values. Fair values for fixed-rate loans are estimated based upon discounted cash flow analyses, using interest rates currently being offered for loans with similar terms to borrowers of similar credit quality. Fair values for nonperforming loans are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses or underlying collateral values, where applicable.

<u>Bank owned life insurance</u>. Bank owned life insurance represents insurance policies on officers, directors, and past directors of the Company. The cash values of the policies are estimates using information provided by insurance carriers. These policies are carried at their cash surrender value, which approximates the fair value.

Accrued interest receivable. The carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

<u>Deposits</u>. The fair value of demand deposits, savings deposits, and money market deposits equals the carrying value. The fair value of fixed-rate certificates of deposit is estimated by discounting the future cash flows using the current rates at which similar deposit instruments would be offered to depositors for the same remaining maturities.

<u>Repurchase agreements</u>. The carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

<u>Other borrowings</u>. The fair values of other borrowings are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses based on the interest rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements.

<u>Trust preferred capital notes</u>. Fair value is calculated by discounting the future cash flows using the estimated current interest rates at which similar securities would be issued. 32

#### Index

Accrued interest payable. The carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

<u>Off-balance sheet instruments</u>. The fair value of letters of credit is based on fees currently charged for similar agreements or on the estimated cost to terminate them or otherwise settle the obligations with the counterparties at the reporting date. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the fair value of off balance sheet instruments was deemed immaterial, and therefore was not included in the previous table.

The Company assumes interest rate risk (the risk that interest rates will change) in its normal operations. As a result, the fair values of the Company's financial instruments will change when interest rates change and that change may be either favorable or unfavorable to the Company.

Note 15 - Supplemental Cash Flow Information

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2013	2012
Supplemental Schedule of Cash and Cash Equivalents:		
Cash and due from banks	\$18,994	\$18,529
Interest-bearing deposits in other banks	37,720	10,380
	\$56,714	\$28,909
Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information:		
Cash paid for:		
Interest on deposits and borrowed funds	\$3,432	\$4,547
Income taxes	3,190	-
Noncash investing and financing activities:		
Transfer of loans to other real estate owned	1,049	2,705
Unrealized loss on securities available for sale	(8,380)	448
33		

## Note 16 - Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

Changes in each component of accumulated other comprehensive income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 were as follows (in thousands):

For the Three Month Period	Net Unrealized Gains (Losses) on Securities	Related to	s Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income
Balance at March 31, 2012	\$ 8,392	\$ (2,002	) \$ 6,390
Net unrealized gains on securities available for sale, net of tax, \$450	835	-	835
Reclassification adjustment for gains on securities, net of tax, \$(56)	(104 )	-	(104)
Balance at June 30, 2012	\$ 9,123	\$ (2,002	) \$ 7,121
Balance at March 31, 2013	\$ 9,098	\$ (2,203	) \$ 6,895
Net unrealized losses on securities available for sale, net of tax, \$(2,554)	) (4,745 )	-	(4,745)
Balance at June 30, 2013	\$ 4,353	\$ (2,203	) \$ 2,150
	Net Unrealized Gains	Adjustments Related to	s Accumulated Other
For the Six Month Period	(Losses) on Securities		Comprehensive Income
For the Six Month Period Balance at December 31, 2011		Pension	Comprehensive
	Securities	Pension Benefits	Comprehensive Income
Balance at December 31, 2011	Securities \$ 8,832	Pension Benefits	Comprehensive Income ) \$ 6,830
Balance at December 31, 2011 Net unrealized gains on securities available for sale, net of tax, \$213	Securities \$ 8,832 395	Pension Benefits \$ (2,002 - -	Comprehensive Income ) \$ 6,830 395
Balance at December 31, 2011 Net unrealized gains on securities available for sale, net of tax, \$213 Reclassification adjustment for gains on securities, net of tax, \$(56)	Securities \$ 8,832 395 (104)	Pension Benefits \$ (2,002 - -	Comprehensive Income ) \$ 6,830 395 (104)
Balance at December 31, 2011 Net unrealized gains on securities available for sale, net of tax, \$213 Reclassification adjustment for gains on securities, net of tax, \$(56) Balance at June 30, 2012	Securities \$ 8,832 395 (104 ) \$ 9,123 \$ 9,800	Pension Benefits \$ (2,002 - - \$ (2,002	Comprehensive Income ) \$ 6,830 395 (104)) ) \$ 7,121
<ul> <li>Balance at December 31, 2011</li> <li>Net unrealized gains on securities available for sale, net of tax, \$213</li> <li>Reclassification adjustment for gains on securities, net of tax, \$(56)</li> <li>Balance at June 30, 2012</li> <li>Balance at December 31, 2012</li> </ul>	Securities \$ 8,832 395 (104 ) \$ 9,123 \$ 9,800	Pension Benefits \$ (2,002 - - \$ (2,002	Comprehensive Income ) \$ 6,830 395 (104)) ) \$ 7,121 ) \$ 7,597

Reclassifications Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income For the Three and Six Month Periods Ending June 30, 2013 (in thousands)

For the Three Month Period Details about AOCI Components	AmountAffected Line Item inReclassifiedthe Statement of Wherefrom AOCINet Income is Presented
Available for sale securities: Realized gain on sale of securities	<ul> <li>\$ 1 Securities gains, net</li> <li>(1) Income tax expense</li> </ul>
Total reclassifications	\$ - Net of tax
For the Six Month Period	Amount Affected Line Item in Reclassified the Statement of Where
Details about AOCI Components	from AOCI Net Income is Presented
Available for sale securities:	
Realized gain on sale of securities	\$ 199Securities gains, net(70)Income tax expense
Total reclassifications	\$ 129 Net of tax

Note 17 - Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-11, "Balance Sheet (Topic 210) – Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities." This ASU requires entities to disclose both gross information and net information about both instruments and transactions eligible for offset in the balance sheet and instruments and transactions subject to an agreement similar to a master netting arrangement. An entity is required to apply the amendments for annual reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, and interim periods within those annual periods. An entity should provide the disclosures required by those amendments retrospectively for all comparative periods presented. The adoption of the new guidance did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In July 2012, the FASB issued ASU 2012-02, "Intangibles – Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment." The amendments in this ASU apply to all entities that have indefinite-lived intangible assets, other than goodwill, reported in their financial statements. The amendments in this ASU provide an entity with the option to make a qualitative assessment about the likelihood that an indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired to determine whether it should perform a quantitative impairment test. The amendments also enhance the consistency of impairment testing guidance among long-lived asset categories by permitting an entity to assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is necessary to calculate the asset's fair value when testing an indefinite-lived intangible asset for impairment. The amendments are effective for annual and interim impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012. Early adoption is permitted. The adoption of the new guidance did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In January 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-01, "Balance Sheet (Topic 210): Clarifying the Scope of Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities." The amendments in this ASU clarify the scope for derivatives accounted for in accordance with Topic 815, Derivatives and Hedging, including bifurcated embedded derivatives, repurchase agreements and reverse repurchase agreements and securities borrowing and securities lending transactions that are either offset or subject to netting arrangements. An entity is required to apply the amendments for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning on or after January 1, 2013. The adoption of the new guidance did not

have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-02, "Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income." The amendments in this ASU require an entity to present (either on the face of the statement where net income is presented or in the notes) the effects on the line items of net income of significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income. In addition, the amendments require a cross-reference to other disclosures currently required for other reclassification items to be reclassified directly to net income in their entirety in the same reporting period. Companies should apply these amendments for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning on or after December 15, 2012. The Company has included the required disclosures from ASU 2013-02 in the consolidated financial statements.

Refer to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 for previously announced accounting pronouncements.

#### Index ITEM 2 - MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The purpose of this discussion is to focus on important factors affecting the financial condition and results of operations of the Company. The discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements.

### Forward-Looking Statements

This report contains forward-looking statements with respect to the financial condition, results of operations and business of American National Bankshares Inc. (the "Company") and its wholly owned subsidiary, American National Bank and Trust Company (the "Bank"). These forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties and are based on the beliefs and assumptions of management of the Company and on information available to management at the time these statements and disclosures were prepared. Forward-looking statements are subject to numerous assumptions, estimates, risks, and uncertainties that could cause actual conditions, events, or results to differ materially from those stated or implied by such forward-looking statements.

A variety of factors, some of which are discussed in more detail in Item 1A – Risk Factors of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, may affect the operations, performance, business strategy, and results of the Company. Those factors include but are not limited to the following:

Financial market volatility including the level of interest rates could affect the values of financial instruments and the amount of net interest income earned;

General economic or business conditions, either nationally or in the market areas in which the Company does

• business, may be less favorable than expected, resulting in deteriorating credit quality, reduced demand for credit, or a weakened ability to generate deposits;

Competition among financial institutions may increase and competitors may have greater financial resources and develop products and technology that enable those competitors to compete more successfully than the Company; Businesses that the Company is engaged in may be adversely affected by legislative or regulatory changes, including changes in accounting standards;

•The ability to retain key personnel;

- •The failure of assumptions underlying the allowance for loan losses; and
- ·Risks associated with mergers and other acquisitions and other expansion activities.

### **Reclassification**

In certain circumstances, reclassifications have been made to prior period information to conform to the 2012 presentation.

### CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accounting and reporting policies followed by the Company conform with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") and they conform to general practices within the banking industry. The Company's critical accounting policies, which are summarized below, relate to (1) the allowance for loan losses, (2) mergers and acquisitions, (3) acquired loans with specific credit-related deterioration and (4) goodwill impairment. A summary of the Company's significant accounting policies is set forth in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements of the 10-K for December 31, 2012.

#### Index

The financial information contained within the Company's financial statements is, to a significant extent, financial information that is based on measures of the financial effects of transactions and events that have already occurred. A variety of factors could affect the ultimate value that is obtained when earning income, recognizing an expense, recovering an asset, or relieving a liability. In addition, GAAP itself may change from one previously acceptable method to another method.

#### Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is an estimate of the losses inherent in the loan portfolio at the balance sheet date. The allowance is based on two basic principles of accounting: Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Topic 450-25 Contingencies - Recognition which requires that losses be accrued when they are probable of occurring and estimable and FASB Topic 310-10 Receivables – Overall – Subsequent Measurement which requires that losses on impaired loans be accrued based on the differences between the value of collateral, present value of future cash flows, or values observable in the secondary market, and the loan balance.

The Company's allowance for loan losses has two basic components: the general allowance and the specific allowance. Each component is determined based upon estimates. With regard to commercial loans, the general allowance uses historical loss experience as an indicator of future losses, along with various qualitative factors, including levels and trends in delinquencies, nonaccrual loans, charge-offs and recoveries, trends in volume and terms of loans, effects of changes in underwriting standards, experience of lending staff, economic conditions, and portfolio concentrations. In the general allowance, the migrated historical loss rate is combined with the qualitative factors, resulting in an adjusted loss factor for each risk-grade category of loans. With regard to consumer loans, the allowance is calculated based on historical losses for each product category without regard to risk grade. This loss rate is combined with qualitative factors resulting in an adjusted loss factor. The general allowance is calculated for a range of outcomes. The specific allowance uses various techniques to arrive at an estimate of loss for specifically identified impaired loans. The use of these computed values is inherently subjective and actual losses could be greater or less than the estimates.

The reserve for unfunded loan commitments is an estimate of the losses inherent in off-balance-sheet loan commitments at the balance sheet date. It is calculated by multiplying an estimated loss factor by an estimated probability of funding, and then by the period-end amounts for unfunded commitments. The reserve for unfunded loan commitments is included in other liabilities.

#### Mergers and Acquisitions

Business combinations are accounted for under Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 805, Business Combinations, using the acquisition method of accounting. The acquisition method of accounting requires an acquirer to recognize the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed at the acquisition date measured at their fair values as of that date. To determine the fair values, the Company will rely on third party valuations, such as appraisals, or internal valuations based on discounted cash flow analyses or other valuation techniques. Under the acquisition method of accounting, the Company will identify the acquirer and the closing date and apply applicable recognition principles and conditions.

Acquisition-related costs are costs the Company incurs to effect a business combination. Those costs include advisory, legal, accounting, valuation, and other professional or consulting fees. Some other examples of costs to the Company include systems conversions, integration planning consultants and advertising costs. The Company will account for acquisition-related costs as expenses in the periods in which the costs are incurred and the services are received, with one exception. The costs to issue debt or equity securities will be recognized in accordance with other applicable GAAP. These acquisition-related costs have been and will be included within the Consolidated Statements of Income

classified within the noninterest expense caption.

Acquired Loans with Specific Credit-Related Deterioration

Acquired loans with specific credit deterioration are accounted for by the Company in accordance with FASB ASC 310-30, Receivables - Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality. Certain acquired loans, those for which specific credit-related deterioration, since origination, is identified, are recorded at fair value reflecting the present value of the amounts expected to be collected. Income recognition on these loans is based on a reasonable expectation about the timing and amount of cash flows to be collected. Acquired loans deemed impaired and considered collateral dependent, with the timing of the sale of loan collateral indeterminate, remain on non-accrual status and have no accretable yield.

# <u>Index</u>

### Goodwill Impairment

Goodwill is subject to at least an annual assessment for impairment by applying a fair value test. An annual fair value-based test was performed as of June 30, 2013 that determined the market value of the Company's shares exceeded the consolidated carrying value, including goodwill; therefore, there has been no impairment recognized in the value of goodwill.

In September 2011, the FASB published Accounting Statement Update ("ASU") 2011-08, Testing Goodwill for Impairment. This amendment was an effort to reduce the complexity of the two step impairment test required by the original version of the ASU. Under this amendment, the reporting entity has the option to assess relevant "qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events or circumstances leads to a determination that it is more likely than not that the fair value of the reporting entity is less than the carrying amount."

### Non-GAAP Presentations

The analysis of net interest income in this document is performed on a taxable equivalent basis to facilitate performance comparisons among various taxable and tax-exempt assets.

### Internet Access to Corporate Documents

The Company provides access to its Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") filings through a link on the Investors Relations page of the Company's web site at www.amnb.com. Reports available include the annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and all amendments to those reports as soon as reasonably practicable after the reports are filed electronically with the SEC. The information on the Company's website is not incorporated into this report or any other filing the Company makes with the SEC. The SEC maintains an Internet site that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC at www.sec.gov.

### ACQUISITION OF MIDCAROLINA FINANCIAL CORPORATION

On July 1, 2011, the Company completed its merger with MidCarolina Financial Corporation ("MidCarolina") pursuant to the Agreement and Plan of Reorganization, dated December 15, 2010, between the Company and MidCarolina. MidCarolina was headquartered in Burlington, North Carolina, and engaged in banking operations through its subsidiary bank, MidCarolina Bank. The transaction has significantly expanded the Company's footprint in North Carolina, adding eight branches in Alamance and Guilford Counties. Details of the transaction are discussed in Note 2 in the Consolidated Financial Statements included in the report.

### **RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

Earnings Performance

Three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

For the quarter ended June 30, 2013, the Company reported net income of \$4,210,000 compared to \$4,274,000 for the comparable quarter in 2012. The \$64,000 or 1.5% decrease in earnings was primarily due to declines in yields on earning assets and lower levels of earnings assets. It was partially mitigated by lower cost of funds. Earnings were also positively impacted by lower provision expense and lower levels of noninterest expense. 38

#### Index SUMMARY INCOME STATEMENT (Dollars in thousands)

For the three months ended June 30,	2013	2012	\$ Change	% Change
Interest income	\$13,347	\$14,886	\$(1,539)	-10.3 %
Interest expense	(1,654)	(2,070)	416	-20.1 %
Net interest income	11,693	12,816	(1,123)	-8.8 %
Provision for loan losses	-	(733)	733	-100.0 %
Noninterest income	2,686	2,800	(114)	-4.1 %
Noninterest expense	(8,428)	(8,833)	405	-4.6 %
Income tax expense	(1,741)	(1,776)	35	-2.0 %
Net income	\$4,210	\$4,274	\$(64)	-1.5 %

Six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

For the six month period ended June 30, 2013, the Company reported net income of \$8,361,000 compared to \$8,449,000 for the comparable period in 2012. The \$88,000 or 1.0% decrease was primarily due to declines in yields on earning assets and lower levels of earnings assets. It was partially mitigated by lower cost of funds. Earnings were also positively impacted by lower provision expense and lower levels of noninterest expense.

#### SUMMARY INCOME STATEMENT

(Dollars in thousands)

For the six months ended June 30,	2013	2012	\$ Change	% Change
Interest income	\$26,756	\$30,228	\$(3,472)	-11.5 %
Interest expense	(3,381)	(4,240	) 859	-20.3 %
Net interest income	23,375	25,988	(2,613)	-10.1 %
Provision for loan losses	(294)	(1,466	) 1,172	-79.9 %
Noninterest income	5,456	6,034	(578)	-9.6 %
Noninterest expense	(16,746)	(18,760)	) 2,014	-10.7 %
Income tax expense	(3,430)	(3,347	) (83 )	2.5 %
Net income	\$8,361	\$8,449	\$(88)	-1.0 %

#### Net Interest Income

Net interest income is the difference between interest income on earning assets, primarily loans and securities, and interest expense on interest bearing liabilities, primarily deposits and other funding sources. Fluctuations in interest rates as well as volume and mix changes in earning assets and interest bearing liabilities can materially impact net interest income. The following discussion of net interest income is presented on a taxable equivalent basis to facilitate performance comparisons among various taxable and tax-exempt assets, such as certain state and municipal securities. A tax rate of 35% was used in adjusting interest on tax-exempt assets to a fully taxable equivalent basis. Net interest income divided by average earning assets is referred to as the net interest margin. The net interest spread represents the difference between the average rate earned on earning assets and the average rate paid on interest bearing

liabilities.

Three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

Net interest income on a taxable equivalent basis decreased \$1,146,000 or 8.5%, for the second quarter of 2013 compared to the same quarter of 2012. This was primarily due to declines in yields on earning assets, impacted by lower market interest rates and lower accretion income. It was partially mitigated by reductions in the cost of interest bearing liabilities.

#### Index

For the second quarter of 2013, the Company's yield on interest-earning assets was 4.73%, compared to 5.28% for the second quarter of 2012. The cost of interest-bearing liabilities was 0.73% compared to 0.88%. The interest rate spread was 4.00% compared to 4.40%. The net interest margin, on a fully taxable equivalent basis, was 4.16% compared to 4.57%, a reduction of 41 basis points (0.41%).

The following presentation is an analysis of net interest income and related yields and rates, on a taxable equivalent basis, for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012. Nonaccrual loans are included in average balances. Interest income on nonaccrual loans, if recognized, is recorded on a cash basis or when the loan returns to accrual status. 40

### <u>Index</u> Net Interest Income Analysis For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands, except rates)

	Average Balance		Interest Income/Expense		Yield/Rate	
	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012
Loans: Commercial Real estate Consumer Total loans	\$132,381 656,995 6,097 795,473	\$130,697 681,870 7,294 819,861	\$1,605 9,682 102 11,389	\$1,727 10,859 139 12,725	4.86% 5.89 6.71 5.73	5.30% 6.37 7.64 6.21
Securities: Federal agencies & GSEs Mortgage-backed & CMOs State and municipal Other Total securities Deposits in other banks Total interest-earning assets	53,349 76,999 191,536 13,362 335,246 46,817 1,177,536	41,517 99,391 182,499 12,589 335,996 17,630 1,173,487	126 338 1,927 95 2,486 39 13,914	155 486 1,975 117 2,733 18 15,476	0.94 1.76 4.02 2.84 2.97 0.33 4.73	1.49 1.96 4.33 3.72 3.25 0.41 5.28
Non-earning assets	124,955	134,897				
Total assets	\$1,302,491	\$1,308,384				
Deposits: Demand Money market Savings Time Total deposits	\$164,094 169,417 84,471 410,862 828,844	\$155,550 172,439 78,608 448,076 854,673	28 78 17 1,246 1,369	54 127 30 1,518 1,729	0.07 0.18 0.08 1.22 0.66	0.14 0.30 0.15 1.36 0.81
Customer repurchase agreements Other short-term borrowings Long-term borrowings Total interest-bearing liabilities	47,081 - 37,393 913,318	48,742 1,878 37,419 942,712	14 - 271 1,654	49 2 290 2,070	0.12 - 2.90 0.73	0.40 0.43 3.10 0.88
Noninterest bearing demand deposits Other liabilities Shareholders' equity Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	216,600 6,423 166,150 \$1,302,491	199,754 8,528 157,390 \$1,308,384				
Interest rate spread Net interest margin						4.40 <i>%</i> 4.57 <i>%</i>
Net interest income (taxable equivalent basis)			12.260	13.406		

Net interest income (taxable equivalent basis)

Less: Taxable equivalent adjustment Net interest income 41 567 590 \$11,693 \$12,816

Changes in Net Interest Income (Rate/Volume Analysis) (in thousands)

	Three Months Ended June 30			
	30 2013 vs. 2012			
	Interest Change			
	Increase Attributat	le to		
Interest income	(Decrease Rate Volume			
Loans:	Decrease Kate	Volume		
Commercial	\$(122) \$(144)	\$ 22		
Real Estate	(1,177) (791)			
Consumer	(1,177) $(751)(37)$ $(16)$			
Total loans	(1,336) (951)	(21) (385)		
Securities:	(1,550) (751)	(305)		
Federal agencies	(29) (66)	37		
Mortgage-backed	(148) (46)			
State and municipal	(140) $(40)$ $(40)$ $(43)$	95		
Other securities		7 7		
Total securities	$(22^{-})$ $(29^{-})$ $(247^{-})$ $(284^{-})$			
Deposits in other banks	(2+7) (20+ ) 21 (4 )	25		
Total interest income	(1,562) $(1,239)$	(323)		
Total interest income	(1,502) $(1,257)$	(323)		
Interest expense				
Deposits:				
Demand	(26) (29)	3		
Money market	(49) $(47)$			
Savings	(13) $(15)$	2		
Time	(272) $(152)$	(120)		
Total deposits	(360) $(243)$	(117)		
		(11))		
Customer repurchase agreements	(35) (33)	(2)		
Other borrowings	(21)(7)	(14)		
Total interest expense	(416) (283)	(133 )		
Net interest income	\$(1,146) \$(956 )	\$(190)		

Six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012

Net interest income on a taxable equivalent basis decreased \$2,650,000 or 9.8%, for the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the comparable period in 2012. This was primarily due to declines in yields on earning assets, impacted by lower market interest rates and lower accretion income. It was partially mitigated by reductions in the cost of interest-bearing liabilities.

For the first six months of 2013, the Company's yield on interest-earnings assets was 4.75% compared to 5.35% for the first six months of 2012. The cost of interest-bearing liabilities was 0.75% compared to 0.89%. The interest rate spread was 4.00% compared to 4.46%. The net interest margin, on a fully taxable equivalent basis, was 4.18% compared to 4.63%, for a reduction of 45 basis points (0.45%).

The following presentation is an analysis of net interest income and related yields and rates, on a taxable equivalent basis, for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012. Nonaccrual loans are included in average balances. Interest

income on nonaccrual loans, if recognized, is recorded on a cash basis or when the loan returns to accrual status. 42

### <u>Index</u> Net Interest Income Analysis For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands, except rates)

	Average Balance Income/Expense		Expense	Yield/Rate		
	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012
Loans: Commercial Real estate Consumer Total loans	\$128,022 659,254 6,100 793,376	\$137,057 674,964 10,181 822,202	\$3,195 19,410 212 22,817	\$3,538 21,977 366 25,881	5.03% 5.89 7.01 5.76	5.18% 6.51 7.21 6.30
Securities: Federal agencies & GSEs Mortgage-backed & CMOs State and municipal Other Total securities	49,698 78,208 190,303 12,612 330,821	36,178 99,255 181,683 10,462 327,578	242 718 3,859 190 5,009	317 1,015 3,951 211 5,494	0.97 1.84 4.06 3.01 3.03	1.75 2.05 4.35 4.03 3.35
Deposits in other banks	50,299	24,624	68	28	0.27	0.23
Total interest-earning assets	1,174,496	1,174,404	27,894	31,403	4.75	5.35
Non-earning assets	124,892	135,949				
Total assets	\$1,299,388	\$1,310,353				
Deposits: Demand Money market Savings Time Total deposits	\$159,351 170,940 83,589 412,467 826,347	\$163,014 179,180 77,557 447,720 867,471	60 171 37 2,537 2,805	110 288 59 3,109 3,566	0.08 0.20 0.09 1.24 0.68	0.14 0.32 0.15 1.39 0.82
Customer repurchase agreements Other short-term borrowings Long-term borrowings Total interest-bearing liabilities	48,679 - 37,396 912,422	47,986 997 37,420 953,874	35 - 541 3,381	92 2 580 4,240	0.14 - 2.89 0.75	0.38 0.40 3.10 0.89
Noninterest bearing demand deposits Other liabilities Shareholders' equity Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	215,463 6,164 165,339 \$1,299,388	191,517 8,925 156,037 \$1,310,353				
Interest rate spread Net interest margin						4.46 <i>%</i> 4.63 <i>%</i>
Net interest income (taxable equivalent basis)			24,513	27,163		

Less: Taxable equivalent adjustment Net interest income 43 1,138 1,175 \$23,375 \$25,988

Changes in Net Interest Income (Rate/Volume Analysis) (in thousands)

Six Months Ended June 30 2013 vs. 2012 Interest Change Increase Attributable to					
Interest income	(Decrease	eRate	I	/olum	e
Loans:					
Commercial	\$(343)	\$(115	) \$	6 (228	)
Real Estate	(2,567)	(2,065	5)	(502	)
Consumer	(154)	(12	)	(142	)
Total loans	(3,064)	(2,192	2)	(872	)
Securities:					
Federal agencies	(75)	(169	)	94	
Mortgage-backed	(297)	(97	)	(200	)
State and municipal	(92)	(274	)	182	
Other securities	(21)	(59	)	38	
Total securities	(485)	(599	)	114	
Deposits in other banks	40	6		34	
Total interest income	(3,509)	(2,785	5)	(724	)
Interest expense					
Deposits:					
Demand	(50)	(48	)	(2	)
Money market	(117)	(104	)	(13	)
Savings	(22)	(26	)	4	
Time	(572)	(339	)	(233	)
Total deposits	(761)	(517	)	(244	)
Repurchase agreements	(57)	(58	)	1	
Other borrowings	(31) (41)	(26	)	-	)
Total interest expense	(859)		)	(258	Ś
Net interest income	\$(2,650)		/		)
	(_,== 0)	. (=,=0	, 4		,

#### Noninterest Income

All comparisons discussed below are between the second quarter of 2013 and the second quarter of 2012.

Noninterest income decreased to \$2,686,000 in 2013 from \$2,800,000 in 2012, a decrease of \$114,000 or 4.1%. The major factors impacting that change are discussed below.

Fees from the management of trusts, estates, and asset management accounts decreased to \$944,000 in 2013 from \$966,000 in 2012, a decrease of \$22,000 or 2.3%. A substantial portion of trust fees are earned based on account market values, so change in the equity markets may have a large impact on income.

Service charges on deposit accounts were \$429,000 in 2013 compared to \$413,000 in 2012, an increase of \$16,000 or 3.9%.

Other fees and commissions were \$463,000 in 2013 compared to \$445,000 in 2012, an increase of \$18,000 or 4.0%.

#### Index

Mortgage banking income was \$531,000 in 2013 compared to \$519,000 in 2012, an increase of \$12,000 or 2.3%. Mortgage banking has benefited from the historically low interest rates. Loan volume is up approximately 11% year over year. Management does not expect this volume of business to continue indefinitely.

Securities gains were \$1,000 in 2013 compared to \$160,000 gains 2012.

Other income was \$318,000 in 2013 compared to \$297,000 in 2012, an increase of \$21,000 or 7.1%.

Noninterest Expense

All comparisons discussed below are between the second quarter of 2013 and the second quarter of 2012.

Noninterest expense was \$8,428,000 in 2013 compared to \$8,833,000 in 2012, a decrease of \$405,000 or 4.6%. The major factors impacting that change are discussed below.

Salaries were \$3,503,000 in 2013 compared to \$3,809,000 in 2012, a decrease of \$306,000 or 8.0%. This decrease was driven primarily by a reduction in the number of full time equivalent employees by 23 or 7.3%. This decrease was affected by a combination of retirements, normal attrition and more efficient hourly employee scheduling.

Employee benefits were \$867,000 in 2013 compared to \$799,000 in 2012, an increase of \$68,000 or 8.5%. This increase was primarily due to pension plan expense increases as a result of projected lump sum payouts.

Occupancy expense was \$872,000 in 2013 compared to \$1,048,000 in 2012, a decrease of \$176,000 or 16.8% mostly related to lower expenses in various accounts including maintenance and utilities.

The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") assessment for deposit insurance was \$161,000 in 2013 compared to \$213,000 in 2012, a decrease of \$52,000 or 24.4%. The deposit insurance premium is based on a rolling average of certain quality metrics and asset size, which results in some volatility in the aggregate expense amount.

Bank franchise tax expense was \$185,000 in 2013 compared to \$182,000 in 2012, an increase of \$3,000 or 1.6%.

Core deposit intangible amortization was \$421,000 in 2013 compared to \$546,000 in 2012, a decrease of \$125,000 or 22.9%. Core deposit amortization from the MidCarolina acquisition is computed on an accelerated basis and will continue to decrease year over year.

Foreclosed real estate, net, was \$193,000 for 2013 compared to \$171,000 for 2012, a \$22,000 or 12.9% increase.

There were no merger related expenses in 2013 compared to a credit of \$202,000 in 2012. The 2012 credit was the result of finalizing initial accruals to actual expense for the MidCarolina merger.

Other expenses were \$2,226,000 in 2013 compared to \$2,267,000 in 2012, a decrease of \$41,000 or 1.8%.

Income Taxes

The effective tax rate for the second quarter of 2013 was 29.3% compared to 29.4% for the second quarter of 2012. The effective tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2013 was 29.1% compared to 28.4% for the same period of 2012.

The effective tax rate is lower than the statutory rate of 35% due to income that is not taxable for Federal income tax purposes. The primary non-taxable income is that of state and municipal securities and industrial revenue bonds and

loans. 45

Fair Value Impact to net Income

The following table presents the impact for the three month and six month periods ended June 30, 2013 of the accretable and amortizable fair value adjustments attributable to the MidCarolina merger on July 1, 2011 on net interest income and net income:

			June 30	, 2013		
		Remaining				
(in thousands)	Income Statement Effect	Premium/ (Discount) Balance on December 31, 2012	three	For the Six months ended	Remainin Premium/ (Discount Balance	
Interest income/(expense):						
Loans	Income	\$ (9,631 )	\$1,078	\$2,681	\$ (6,939	)(1)
Accretable portion of loans acquired with						
deteriorated credit quality	Income	(2,165)	915	1,222	(1,969	)(2)
Time deposits - brokered	Income	(278)	104	209	(69	)
FHLB advances	Expense	109	(6)	(11)	98	
Trust preferred securities	Expense	2,066	(29)	(51)	2,015	
Net Interest Income			2,062	4,050		
Non-interest (expense)						
Amortization of core deposit intangible	Expense	\$ 4,094	(326)	(652)	\$ 3,442	
Net non-interest expense			(326)	(652)		
Change in pretax income			\$1,736	\$3,398		

(1) - Remaining discount balance includes \$11,000 in charge-offs against the mark

(2) - Remaining discount balance includes \$1,026,000 in reclassifications from the non-accretable difference

Accretion related to loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality includes \$976,000 in accretion income associated with loan payoffs during the six month period. 46

(in thousands)	Income Statement Effect	Remaining Premium/ (Discount) Balance on December 31, 2011	For the three months ended June 30, 2012	For the Six months ended June 30, 2012	Remaining Premium/ (Discount) Balance on June 30, 2012	
Interest income/(expense):						
Loans	Income	\$ (15,908 )	\$1,646	\$ 3,361	\$ (12,394	)(1)
Accretable portion of loans acquired with deteriorated						
credit quality	Income	(1,056)	804	1,737	(1,235	)(2)
Time deposits	Income	(110)	33	66	(44	)
Time deposits - brokered	Income	(694)	104	208	(486	)
FHLB advances	Expense	(131)	(6)	(12)	(119	)
Trust preferred securities	Expense	(2,171)	(29)	(54)	) (2,117	)
Net Interest Income			2,552	5,306		
Non-interest (expense) Amortization of core deposit intangible Net non-interest expense	Expense	\$ 5,652	(452 ) (452 )	(904 ) (904 )	) \$4,748	

Change in pretax income

Index

(1) - Remaining discount balance includes \$162,000 in charge-offs against the mark

(2) - Remaining discount balance includes \$2,130,000 in reclassifications from the non-accretable difference

Accretion related to loans purchased credit impaired loans includes \$1,725,000 in accretion income associated with loan payoffs during the six month period.

\$2,100

\$4,402

Impact of Inflation and Changing Prices

The majority of assets and liabilities of a financial institution are monetary in nature and therefore differ greatly from most commercial and industrial companies that have significant investments in fixed assets or inventories. The most significant effect of inflation is on noninterest expense, which tends to rise during periods of inflation. Changes in interest rates have a greater impact on a financial institution's profitability than do the effects of higher costs for goods and services. Through its balance sheet management practices, the Company has the ability to react to those changes and measure and monitor its interest rate and liquidity risk. During the reported periods, inflation and interest rates have been low.

47

#### Index CHANGES IN FINANCIAL POSITION

### BALANCE SHEET ANALYSIS

#### Securities

The securities portfolio generates income, plays a major role in the management of interest rate sensitivity, provides a source of liquidity, is used to meet collateral requirements for public deposits, and facilitates commercial customers' repurchase agreements. The portfolio consists primarily of high credit quality, very liquid securities. Federal agency and U. S. government sponsored enterprises, mortgage-backed securities, state and municipal securities, and corporates comprise the portfolio.

The available for sale securities portfolio was \$340,135,000 at June 30, 2013 compared to \$335,246,000 at December 31, 2012, an increase of \$4,889,000 or 1.5%. At June 30, 2013, the available for sale portfolio had an amortized cost of \$333,439,000, resulting in a net unrealized gain of \$6,696,000. At December 31, 2012, the available for sale portfolio had an amortized cost of \$320,170,000, resulting in a net unrealized gain of \$15,076,000. The reduction in the unrealized gain was the direct result of bond market rate changes during the second quarter 2013.

Even in light of recent changes in the bond market, the Company is aware of the relatively low current interest rate environment and has elected to maintain an investment strategy of purchasing high quality taxable securities of relatively short duration and longer term high quality, tax exempt securities, whose market values are not as volatile in rising rate environments as similarly termed taxable investments. The Company will attempt to deploy its cash to the maximum extent practical and prudent, consistent with its liquidity and asset liability strategy.

#### Loans

The loan portfolio consists primarily of commercial and residential real estate loans, commercial loans to small and medium-sized businesses, construction and land development loans, and home equity loans.

Total loans were \$794,045,000 at June 30, 2013, compared to \$788,705,000 at December 31, 2012, an increase of \$5,340,000 or 0.7%, with growth mostly evident in the North Carolina markets.

Loans held for sale totaled \$4,098,000 at June 30, 2013, and \$13,852,000 at December 31, 2012, a decrease of \$9,754,000 or 70.4%. This decrease in balance was related primarily to investor backlogs in processing during the first quarter, not declines in demand for mortgage loans. In fact, secondary mortgage loan volume is up about 11% year over year.

Management of the loan portfolio is organized around segments. Each segment is comprised of various loan types that are reflective of operational and regulatory management and reporting requirements. The following table presents the Company's loan portfolio by segment as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

	June 30,	December
	June 50,	31,
(in thousands)	2013	2012
Commercial	\$130,721	\$126,192
Commercial real estate:		
Construction and land development	44,029	48,812
Commercial real estate	354,323	355,433
Residential real estate:		

Residential	168,965	161,033
Home equity	89,688	91,313
Consumer	6,319	5,922
Total loans	\$794,045	\$788,705

#### Index Allowance for Loan Losses

The purpose of the allowance for loan losses is to provide for probable losses in the loan portfolio. The allowance is increased by the provision for loan losses and by recoveries of previously charged-off loans. Loan charge-offs decrease the allowance.

The Company uses certain practices to manage its credit risk. These practices include (a) appropriate lending limits for loan officers, (b) a loan approval process, (c) careful underwriting of loan requests, including analysis of borrowers, cash flows, collateral, and market risks, (d) regular monitoring of the portfolio, including diversification by type and geography, (e) review of loans by the Loan Review department, which operates independently of loan production, (f) regular meetings of the Credit Committees to discuss portfolio and policy changes and make decisions on large or unusual loan requests, and (g) regular meetings of the Asset Quality Committee which review the status of individual loans.

Risk grades are assigned as part of the origination process. From time to time, risk grades may be modified as warranted by the facts and circumstances surrounding the credit.

Calculations of the allowance for loan losses are prepared quarterly by the Loan Review department. The Company's Credit Committee, Audit Committee, and the Board of Directors review the allowance for adequacy. In determining the adequacy of the allowance, factors which are considered include, but are not limited to, historical loss experience, the size and composition of the loan portfolio, loan risk ratings, nonperforming loans, impaired loans, other problem credits, the value and adequacy of collateral and guarantors, trends in appraisal results relative to original loan to value estimates, national, regional, and local economic conditions and trends, and legal, regulatory, and collateral factors.

The Company's allowance for loan losses has two basic components: the general allowance and the specific allowance. Each component is determined based upon estimates. With regard to commercial loans, the general allowance uses historical loss experience as an indicator of future losses, along with various qualitative factors, including levels and trends in delinquencies, nonaccrual loans, charge-offs and recoveries, trends in volume and terms of loans, effects of changes in underwriting standards, experience of lending staff, economic conditions, and portfolio concentrations. In the general allowance, the migrated historical loss rate is combined with the qualitative factors, resulting in an adjusted loss factor for each risk-grade category of loans. With regard to consumer loans, the allowance is calculated based on historical losses for each product category without regard to risk grade. This loss rate is combined with qualitative factors resulting in an adjusted loss factor. The period-end balances for all other segments are analyzed by risk-grade category and multiplied by the adjusted loss factor. The general allowance is calculated for a range of outcomes. The specific allowance uses various techniques to arrive at an estimate of loss for specifically identified impaired loans. The use of these computed values is inherently subjective and actual losses could be greater or less than the estimates.

Since the financial crisis that began in 2008, the Company has increased its focus on national and regional economic factors, as well as the potential impact of fiscal and monetary policy on the conduct of business in our market areas. In addition, over the last several years, the Company has noted a trend in commercial real estate appraisals indicating an overall continuing decline in collateral values. It is difficult to objectively quantify the relationship between these factors and the need for an incrementally larger allowance for loan loss. But, the Company is convinced that until there is a palpable and consistent improvement in these trends, relatively larger reliance on these factors is warranted in the loss analysis process.

No single statistic, formula, or measurement determines the adequacy of the allowance. Management makes subjective and complex judgments about matters that are inherently uncertain, and different amounts would be reported under different conditions or using different assumptions. For analytical purposes, management allocates a portion of the allowance to specific loan categories and specific loans. However, the entire allowance is used to

absorb credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio, including identified and unidentified losses.

The relationships and ratios used in calculating the allowance, including the qualitative factors, may change from period to period. Furthermore, management cannot provide assurance that in any particular period the Company will not have sizeable credit losses in relation to the amount reserved. Management may find it necessary to significantly adjust the allowance, considering current factors at the time, including economic conditions, industry trends, and ongoing internal and external examination processes. The allowance is also subject to regular regulatory examinations and determinations as to adequacy, which may take into account such factors as the methodology used to calculate the allowance and the size of the allowance in comparison to peer banks.

#### <u>Index</u>

At June 30, 2013, the allowance for loan losses was \$12,676,000 compared to \$12,118,000 at December 31, 2012. The allowance for loan losses as a percentage of loans was 1.60% and 1.54%, respectively. During the first six months of 2013, the allowance for loan losses increased by \$558,000 or 4.6% and the loan portfolio increased by \$5,340,000 or 0.7%. As noted above, the Company considers numerous quantitative and qualitative factors in determining its allowance adequacy. Since the July 2011 merger with MidCarolina, the Bank must also evaluate the purchased acquired loans for impairment, especially the loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality. A large percentage of the Bank's acquired North Carolina loan portfolio is commercial real estate, with relatively short maturities, with balloon payments, and relatively long amortization periods. This structure results in more rapid accretion of the credit mark than amortization of loan principal. While this is not a proximate cause in and of itself for additional provision, it does result in an increased volume of loans that must be evaluated for potential loss as they are renewed on current market terms and conditions and become part of the regular portfolio. Since the MidCarolina merger, approximately \$88 million of the acquired performing loan portfolio has renewed under such terms and is considered as part of the regular loan loss allowance analysis. Management also reviews cash flows related to loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality in determining the aggregate amount of impaired loans for consideration in the reserve analysis. No provision expense was considered necessary for the second quarter 2013.

The following table presents the Company's loan loss and recovery experience for the periods indicated (in thousands).

C:--

Summary of Loan Loss Experience (in thousands)

	Six Months Ended	Year Ended
	June 30,	December 31,
	2013	2012
Balance at beginning of period	\$12,118	\$ 10,529
Charge-offs:		
Construction and land development	-	202
Commercial real estate	23	370
Residential real estate	109	579
Home equity	121	115
Total real estate	253	1,266
Commercial and industrial	109	748
Consumer	105	72
Total charge-offs	467	2,086
Recoveries:		
Construction and land development	216	87
Commercial real estate	52	388
Residential real estate	89	252
Home equity	56	27
Total real estate	413	754
Commercial and industrial	241	707
Consumer	77	81
Total recoveries	731	1,542

Net charge-offs (recoveries)	(264)	544
Provision for loan losses	294	2,133
Balance at end of period	\$12,676 \$	12,118

#### <u>Index</u>

The provision for loan losses for the six month period in 2013 was \$294,000 and the provision for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$2,133,000. The provision expense for 2013 has been positively impacted by continued improvement in asset quality metrics and by robust loan recoveries.

Net loan recoveries totaled \$264,000 for the six month period in 2013 and the net loan charge-offs totaled \$544,000 in 2012. Annualized net charge-offs (recoveries) to average loans during the same period's totaled (0.07%) and 0.07%, respectively.

#### Asset Quality Indicators

The following table provides qualitative indicators relevant to the Company's loan portfolio for the six-month period and year indicated below.

#### Asset Quality Ratios

	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Allowance to loans	1.60 %	1.54 %
Net charge-offs (recoveries) to allowance (1)	(4.17)	4.49
Net charge-offs (recoveries) to average loans (1)	(0.07)	0.07
Nonperforming assets to total assets	0.88	0.90
Nonperforming loans to loans	0.73	0.67
Provision to net charge-offs (recoveries) (1)	(55.68)	392.10
Provision to average loans (1)	0.04	0.26
Allowance to nonperforming loans	217.50	227.95

(1) - annualized

#### Nonperforming Assets (Loans and Other Real Estate Owned)

Nonperforming loans include loans on which interest is no longer accrued, accruing loans that are contractually past due 90 days or more as to principal and interest payments, and any loans classified as troubled debt restructurings that are not on nonaccrual. Nonperforming loans to total loans were 0.73% at June 30, 2013 and 0.67% at December 31, 2012.

Nonperforming assets include nonperforming loans and other real estate. Nonperforming assets represented 0.88% of total assets at June 30, 2013, down from 0.90% at December 31, 2012. Included in nonperforming assets were \$1,406,000 in troubled debt restructurings at June 30, 2013 and \$1,755,000 at December 31, 2012.

It is the policy of the Company that any loan that becomes 90 days past due will, in most cases, be placed on nonaccrual loan status, accrued interest reversed out of income, and further interest accrual ceased. Any payments received on such loans will be credited to principal. Loans will only be restored to full accrual status after six consecutive months of payments that were each less than 30 days delinquent. The \$5,828,000 in nonaccrual loans shown on the following table includes \$3,107,000 in impaired loans. The remaining \$2,721,000 in nonaccrual loans were not considered impaired because they constitute a pool of smaller balance, homogenous loans and purchased acquired impaired loans, which were collectively evaluated for impairment and determined to be not impaired. These loans are exclusive of \$18,865,000 in loans with deteriorated credit quality acquired from the MidCarolina merger. 51

The following table presents the Company's nonperforming assets.

Nonperforming Assets (in thousands)

	June 30,	December 31,
	2013	2012
Nonaccrual loans:		
Real estate	\$5,800	\$ 5,261
Commercial	19	52
Consumer	9	3
Total nonaccrual loans	5,828	5,316
Loans past due 90 days and accruing interest: Real estate Total past due loans	- -	- -
Total nonperforming loans	5,828	5,316
Foreclosed real estate	5,569	6,193
Total nonperforming assets	\$11,397	\$11,509

#### **Impaired Loans**

A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. The following table shows loans that were considered impaired.

Impaired Loans (in thousands)

	June	December
	30,	31,
	2013	2012
Accruing	\$290	\$ 499
Nonaccruing	3,107	2,548
Total impaired loans	\$3,397	\$ 3,047

Included in the impaired loan totals were \$1,406,000 in troubled debt restructured loans at June 30, 2013 and \$1,755,000 at December 31, 2012.

Other Real Estate Owned (Foreclosed Assets)

Foreclosed assets were carried on the consolidated balance sheets at \$5,569,000 and \$6,193,000 as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. Foreclosed assets are initially recorded at fair value, less estimated costs to sell, at the date of foreclosure. Loan losses resulting from foreclosure are charged against the allowance for loan losses at that time. Subsequent to foreclosure, valuations are periodically performed by management and the assets are carried

at the lower of the new cost basis or fair value, less estimated costs to sell with any additional write-downs charged against earnings. For significant assets, these valuations are typically outside annual appraisals. 52

The following table shows the Company's Other Real Estate Owned.

Other Real Estate Owned (in thousands)

	June	December
	30,	31,
	2013	2012
Construction and land development	\$2,528	\$ 3,290
Farmland	221	236
1-4 family residential	1,483	1,090
Multifamily (5 or more) residential	1,011	1,012
Commercial real estate	326	565
	\$5,569	\$ 6,193

#### Deposits

The Company's deposits consist primarily of checking, money market, savings, and consumer time deposits. Total deposits were \$1,046,394,000 at June 30, 2013 compared to \$1,027,667,000 at December 31, 2012, an increase of \$18,727,000 or 1.8%.

#### Shareholders' Equity

The Company's capital management strategy is to be classified as "well capitalized" under regulatory capital ratios and provide as high as possible total return to our shareholders.

Shareholders' equity was \$162,936,000 at June 30, 2013 compared to \$163,246,000 at December 31, 2012, a decrease of \$310,000 or 0.2%.

Accumulated other comprehensive income was the largest negative driver of this change. It was \$2,150,000 at June 30, 2013 compared to \$7,597,000 at December 31, 2012, a decrease of \$5,447,000 or 71.7%. This change was directly related to changes in bond market rates during the second quarter of 2013, and related adjustments to the fair value of the Company's available for sale portfolio.

The Company paid cash dividends of \$0.23 per share during the second quarter of 2013 while the aggregate basic and diluted earnings per share for the same period were \$0.54 and \$0.53 per share, respectively. The Company paid cash dividends of \$0.46 per share for the first half of 2013 while the basic and diluted earnings per share were \$1.06.

Banking regulators have defined minimum regulatory capital ratios that the Company and its banking subsidiary are required to maintain. These ratios take into account risk factors identified by those regulatory authorities associated with the assets and off-balance sheet activities of financial institutions. The guidelines require percentages, or "risk weights," be applied to those assets and off-balance sheet assets in relation to their perceived risk. Under the guidelines, capital strength is measured in two tiers. Tier I capital consists primarily of shareholders' equity and trust preferred capital notes, while Tier II capital consists of qualifying allowance for loan losses. "Total" capital is the combination of Tier I and Tier II capital. Another regulatory indicator of capital adequacy is the leverage ratio, which is computed by dividing Tier I capital by average quarterly assets less intangible assets.

The regulatory guidelines require that minimum total capital (Tier I plus Tier II) of 8% be held against total risk-adjusted assets, at least half of which (4%) must be Tier I capital. At June 30, 2013, the Company's Tier I and total capital ratios were 16.32% and 17.57%, respectively. At December 31, 2012, these ratios were 15.75% and 17.00%, respectively. The ratios for both periods were in excess of the regulatory requirements. The Company's leverage ratio was 11.67% and 11.27% at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The leverage ratio has a regulatory minimum of 4%, with most institutions required to maintain a ratio of 4-5%, depending upon risk profiles and other factors.

53

#### Index

As mandated by bank regulations, the following five capital categories are identified for insured depository institutions: "well capitalized," "adequately capitalized," "undercapitalized," "significantly undercapitalized," and "critically undercapitalized." These regulations require the federal banking regulators to take prompt corrective action with respect to insured depository institutions that do not meet minimum capital requirements. Under the regulations, well capitalized institutions must have Tier 1 risk-based capital ratios of at least 6%, total risk-based capital ratios of at least 10%, and leverage ratios of at least 5%, and not be subject to capital directive orders. Management believes, as of June 30, 2013, that the Company met the requirements to be considered "well capitalized."

### Basel III

In July, 2013, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System approved the final rules implementing the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision's ("BCBS") capital guidelines for U.S. banks. Shortly thereafter the rules were approved by the other federal banking regulatory agencies. Under the final rules, minimum requirements will increase for both the quantity and quality of capital held by the Company. The rules include a new common equity Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets ratio of 4.5% and a common equity Tier 1 capital conservation buffer of 2.5% of risk-weighted assets. Failure to maintain the capital conservation buffer can restrict, on a sliding scale, the Company's ability to make capital distributions without regulatory approval. The final rules also raise the minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets from 4.0% to 6.0% and require a minimum leverage ratio of 4.0%. The final rules implement strict eligibility criteria for regulatory capital instruments.

The phase-in period for the final rules will begin for the Company on January 1, 2015, with full compliance with all of the final rule's requirements phased in over a multi-year schedule. Management is currently evaluating the provisions of the final rules and their expected impact to the Company.

### Liquidity

Liquidity is the ability of the Company to convert assets into cash or cash equivalents without significant loss and to raise additional funds by increasing liabilities in a timely manner. Liquidity management involves maintaining the Company's ability to meet the daily cash flow requirements of its customers, whether they are borrowers requiring funds or depositors desiring to withdraw funds. Additionally, the Company requires cash for various operating needs including dividends to shareholders, the servicing of debt, and the payment of general corporate expenses. The Company manages its exposure to fluctuations in interest rates through policies approved by the Asset Liability Committee ("ALCO") and Board of Directors, both of which receive periodic reports of the Company's interest rate risk and liquidity position. The Company uses a computer simulation model to assist in the management of the future liquidity needs of the Company.

Liquidity sources include on balance sheet and off balance sheet sources.

Balance sheet liquidity sources include cash, amounts due from banks, loan repayments, and increases in deposits. The Company also maintains a large, high quality, very liquid bond portfolio, which is generally 50% to 60% unpledged and would, accordingly, be available for sale if necessary.

Off balance sheet sources include lines of credit from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta ("FHLB"), federal funds lines of credit, and access to the Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond's discount window

Management believes that these sources provide sufficient and timely liquidity, both on and off balance sheet.

The Company has a line of credit with the FHLB, equal to 30% of the Company's assets, subject to the amount of collateral pledged. Under the terms of its collateral agreement with the FHLB, the Company provides a blanket lien covering all of its residential first mortgage loans and home equity lines of credit. In addition, the Company pledges

as collateral its capital stock in and deposits with the FHLB. At June 30, 2013, principal advance obligations to the FHLB consisted of \$10,015,000 in fixed-rate, long-term advances compared to \$10,079,000 at December 31, 2012. The Company also had outstanding \$72,700,000 in letters of credit at June 30, 2013 and at December 31, 2012. The letters of credit provide the Bank with alternate collateral for securing public entity deposits above Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insurance levels, thereby providing less need for collateral pledging from the securities portfolio.

54

Short-term borrowing is discussed in Note 7 and long-term borrowing is discussed in Note 8 in the Consolidated Financial Statements included in this report.

The Company has federal funds lines of credit established with two correspondent banks in the amounts of \$15,000,000 and \$10,000,000, and has access to the Federal Reserve Bank's discount window. There were no amounts outstanding under these facilities at June 30, 2013.

As a result of the merger with MidCarolina, the Company acquired a relationship with Promontory Network, the sponsoring entity for the Certificate of Deposit Account Registry Service<sup>®</sup> ("CDARS"). Through CDARS, the Company is able to provide deposit customers with access to aggregate FDIC insurance in amounts exceeding \$250,000. This gives the Company the ability, as and when needed, to attract and retain large deposits from insurance and other safety conscious customers. CDARS are classified as brokered deposits, however they are generally derived from customers with whom our institution has or wishes to have a direct and ongoing relationship. As a result, management considers these deposits functionally, though not technically, in the same category as core deposits. With CDARS, the Company has the option to keep deposits on balance sheet or sell them to other members of the network. Additionally, subject to certain limits, the Bank can use CDARS purchase cost-effective funding without collateralization and in lieu of generating funds through traditional brokered CDs or the FHLB. In this manner, CDARS can provide the Company with another funding option. Thus, CDARS serves as a deposit-gathering tool and an additional liquidity management tool. Deposits through the CDARS program as of June 30, 2013 and December, 31, 2012, was \$23,669,000 and \$22,150,000, respectively.

### Off-Balance-Sheet Activities

The Company enters into certain financial transactions in the ordinary course of performing traditional banking services that result in off-balance sheet transactions. Other than subsidiaries to issue trust preferred securities, the Company does not have any off-balance sheet subsidiaries. Off-balance sheet transactions were as follows (in thousands):

	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Commitments to extend credit	\$171,561	\$170,202
Standby letters of credit	4,754	4,591
Mortgage loan rate-lock commitments	9,996	9,486

Commitments to extend credit to customers represent legally binding agreements with fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being funded, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future funding requirements. Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Company guaranteeing the performance of a customer to a third party. Those guarantees are primarily issued to support public and private borrowing arrangements. 55

### Index ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

#### Market Risk Management

Effectively managing market risk is essential to achieving the Company's financial objectives. Market risk reflects the risk of economic loss resulting from changes in interest rates and market prices. The Company is generally not subject to currency exchange risk or commodity price risk. The Company's primary market risk exposure is interest rate risk; however, market risk also includes liquidity risk. Both are discussed in the following sections.

#### Interest Rate Risk Management

Interest rate risk and its impact on net interest income is a primary market risk exposure. The Company manages its exposure to fluctuations in interest rates through policies approved by its ALCO and Board of Directors, both of which receive and review periodic reports of the Company's interest rate risk position.

The Company uses computer simulation analysis to measure the sensitivity of projected earnings to changes in interest rates. Simulation takes into account current balance sheet volumes and the scheduled repricing dates instrument level optionality, and maturities of assets and liabilities. It incorporates numerous assumptions including growth, changes in the mix of assets and liabilities, prepayments, and average rates earned and paid. Based on this information, management uses the model to project net interest income under multiple interest rate scenarios.

A balance sheet is considered asset sensitive when its earning assets (loans and securities) reprice faster or to a greater extent than its liabilities (deposits and borrowings). An asset sensitive balance sheet will produce relatively more net interest income when interest rates rise and less net interest income when they decline. Based on the Company's simulation analysis, management believes the Company's interest sensitivity position at June 30, 2013 is asset sensitive. Management has no expectation that market interest rates will materially decline in the near term, given the prevailing economy and apparent policy of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

#### **Earnings Simulation**

The following tables shows the estimated impact of changes in interest rates on net interest income as of June 30, 2013 assuming instantaneous rate shocks, and consistent levels of assets and liabilities. Net interest income for the following twelve months is projected to increase when interest rates are higher than current rates. Due to the current low interest rate environment, no measurement was considered necessary for a further decline in interest rates.

Estimated Changes in Net Interest Income (dollars in thousands)

### June 30, 2013 Change in Net Interest Income

#### Change in interest rates Amount Percent

Up 4%	\$8,457	19.5	%
Up 3%	6,325	14.6	%
Up 2%	4,101	9.5	%
Up 1%	1,870	4.3	%

Management cannot predict future interest rates or their exact effect on net interest income. Computations of future effects of hypothetical interest rate changes are based on numerous assumptions and should not be relied upon as indicative of actual results. Certain limitations are inherent in such computations. Assets and liabilities may react differently than projected to changes in market interest rates. The interest rates on certain types of assets and liabilities may fluctuate in advance of changes in market interest rates, while rates on other types of assets and liabilities may lag changes in market interest rates. Interest rate shifts may not be parallel.

56

#### Index

Changes in interest rates can cause substantial changes in the amount of prepayments of loans and mortgage-backed securities, which may in turn affect the Company's interest rate sensitivity position. Additionally, credit risk may rise if an interest rate increase adversely affects the ability of borrowers to service their debt.

#### Economic Value Simulation

Economic value simulation is used to calculate the estimated fair value of assets and liabilities over different interest rate environments. Economic values are calculated based on discounted cash flow analysis. The net economic value of equity is the economic value of all assets minus the economic value of all liabilities. The change in net economic value over different rate environments is an indication of the longer-term earnings capability of the balance sheet. The same assumptions are used in the economic value simulation as in the earnings simulation. The economic value simulation uses instantaneous rate shocks to the balance sheet.

The following table reflects the estimated change in net economic value over different rate environments using economic value simulation for the balances at the period ended June 30, 2013, (dollars in thousands):

Estimated Changes in Economic Value of Equity (dollars in thousands)

#### June 30, 2013

Change in interest rates	Amount	\$ Change	% Change	¢
Up 4%	\$214,477	\$31,765	17.4	%
Up 3%	209,958	27,246	14.9	%
Up 2%	203,958	21,246	11.6	%
Up 1%	196,431	13,719	7.5	%
Flat	182,712	-		

Due to the current low interest rate environment, no measurement was considered necessary for a further decline in interest rates.

There have been no material changes to market risk as disclosed in the Company's 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K. Refer to those disclosures for further information.

#### ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

**Disclosure Controls and Procedures** 

The Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934), as amended (the "Exchange Act"), as of June 30, 2013. Based on this evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that the information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms. There were no significant changes in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended June 30, 2013, that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect the Company's internal control over financial reporting. 57

### <u>Index</u> PART II

### OTHER INFORMATION

#### Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The nature of the business of the Company ordinarily results in a certain amount of litigation. The Company is involved in various legal proceedings, all of which are considered incidental to the normal conduct of business. Management believes that these proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial position or consolidated results of operations of the Company.

#### Item1A.Risk Factors

There have been no material changes to the risk factors disclosed in the Company's 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 14, 2013.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds None

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities None

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures Not applicable

Item 5. Other Information(a) Required 8-K disclosuresNone(b) Changes in Nominating ProcessNone

#### Item 6. Exhibits

- 11.0 Refer to EPS calculation in the Notes to Financial Statements
- 31.1 Section 302 Certification of Jeffrey V. Haley, President and Chief Executive Officer
- 31.2 Section 302 Certification of William W. Traynham, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
- 32.1 Section 906 Certification of Jeffrey V. Haley, President and Chief Executive Officer
- <u>32.2</u> Section 906 Certification of William W. Traynham, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

#### Index SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

### AMERICAN NATIONAL BANKSHARES INC.

/s/ Jeffrey V. Haley Jeffrey V. Haley Date – August 9, 2013President and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ William W. Traynham William W. Traynham Senior Vice President and Date – August 9, 2013Chief Financial Officer