NuStar Energy L.P. Form 10-Q November 08, 2012

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)
 x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
 For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2012

OR TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

74-2956831

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_ Commission File Number 1-16417

NUSTAR ENERGY L.P. (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

19003 IH-10 West78257San Antonio, Texas78257(Address of principal executive offices)(Zip Code)Registrant's telephone number, including area code (210) 918-2000

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (\$232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No o Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule12b-2 of the Exchange Act: Large accelerated filer x Accelerated filer £

Non-accelerated filer o (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company £

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No x The number of common units outstanding as of October 31, 2012 was 77,886,078.

# Table of Contents

#### NUSTAR ENERGY L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES FORM 10-Q TABLE OF CONTENTS

### PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

# Item 1. Financial Statements:

	Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011	<u>3</u>
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive (Loss) Income for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011	<u>4</u>
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011	<u>5</u>
	Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	<u>6</u>
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>34</u>
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	<u>50</u>
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	<u>54</u>
<u>PART I</u>	<u>I – OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	<u>55</u>
Item 5.	Other Information	<u>56</u>
Item 6.	Exhibits	<u>57</u>
<u>SIGNA</u>	TURES	<u>58</u>
2		

# Table of Contents

#### PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

### Item 1. Financial Statements NUSTAR ENERGY L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Thousands of Dollars, Except Unit Data)

Assets	September 30, 2012 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2011
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$107,456	\$17,497
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$2,248 and \$2,147 as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively	453,137	547,808
and \$2,147 as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively Receivable from related party Inventories Income tax receivable Other current assets Total current assets Property, plant and equipment, at cost Accumulated depreciation and amortization Property, plant and equipment, net Intangible assets, net Goodwill Investment in joint ventures Deferred income tax asset Note receivable from related party Other long-term assets, net Total assets	2,358 240,694 995 59,600 864,240 4,171,269 (1,016,179) 3,155,090 26,518 822,911 116,139 2,080 170,711 206,195 \$5,363,884	
Liabilities and Partners' Equity	ψ5,505,004	\$5,001,170
Current liabilities:		
Current portion of long-term debt	\$517,863	\$364,959
Accounts payable	439,622	454,326
Payable to related party	13,717	6,735
Accrued interest payable	23,966	29,833
Accrued liabilities	97,441	71,270
Taxes other than income tax	15,020	13,455
Income tax payable	2,771	3,222
Total current liabilities	1,110,400	943,800
Long-term debt, less current portion	1,518,543	1,928,071
Long-term payable to related party	16,004	14,502
Deferred income tax liability	30,851	35,437
Other long-term liabilities	15,987	95,045
Commitments and contingencies (Note 6)		
Partners' equity: Limited partners (77,886,078 and 70,756,078 common units outstanding as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively)	2,679,791	2,817,069
General partner	60,375	62,539
<b>L</b> .	- ,	,

Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(80,981	) (27,407	)
Total NuStar Energy L.P. partners' equity	2,659,185	2,852,201	
Noncontrolling interest	12,914	12,134	
Total partners' equity	2,672,099	2,864,335	
Total liabilities and partners' equity	\$5,363,884	\$5,881,190	

See Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

# Table of Contents

### NUSTAR ENERGY L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE (LOSS) INCOME (Unaudited, Thousands of Dollars, Except Unit and Per Unit Data)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		er Nine Months Ended Septo 30,			nded Septemb	er	
	30, 2012		2011		30, 2012		2011	
Revenues:	2012		2011		2012		2011	
Service revenues:								
Third parties	\$221,108		\$210,395		\$634,350		\$607,866	
Related party	713		286		2,198		823	
Total service revenues	221,821		210,681		636,548		608,689	
Product sales	1,522,945		1,613,669		4,745,815		4,039,461	
Total revenues	1,744,766		1,824,350		5,382,363		4,648,150	
Costs and expenses:								
Cost of product sales	1,486,985		1,535,609		4,638,011		3,797,424	
Operating expenses:								
Third parties	103,387		98,464		288,283		281,419	
Related party	39,032		37,151		115,065		109,061	
Total operating expenses	142,419		135,615		403,348		390,480	
General and administrative expenses:								
Third parties	8,773		8,746		26,566		27,865	
Related party	16,181		8,985		48,710		41,968	
Total general and administrative expenses	24,954		17,731		75,276		69,833	
Depreciation and amortization expense	39,686		42,418		129,943		124,354	
Asset impairment loss					249,646			
Goodwill impairment loss					22,132			
Gain on legal settlement					(28,738	)	)	
Total costs and expenses	1,694,044		1,731,373		5,489,618		4,382,091	
Operating income (loss)	50,722		92,977		(107,255	)	266,059	
Equity in (loss) earnings of joint ventures	(951	)	2,599		3,816		6,997	
Interest expense, net	(24,867	)	(21,565	)	(71,037	)	(62,644	)
Other (expense) income, net	(19,940	)	767		(21,384	)	(5,699	)
Income (loss) before income tax expense	4,964		74,778		(195,860	)	204,713	
Income tax expense	622		4,497		20,354		13,311	
Net income (loss)	4,342		70,281		(216,214	)	191,402	
Less net (loss) income attributable to noncontrolling interest	(47	)	123		(217	)	143	
Net income (loss) attributable to NuStar Energy L.H	P.\$4,389		\$70,158		\$(215,997	)	\$191,259	
Net (loss) income per unit applicable to limited partners (Note 12)	\$(0.09	)	\$0.92		\$(3.40	)	\$2.49	
Weighted-average limited partner units outstanding	72,383,578		64,612,423		71,302,538		64,611,181	
Comprehensive (loss) income	\$(4,018	)	\$(55,969	)	\$(268,791	)	\$44,529	
Less comprehensive income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interest	66		(2,063	)	780		(2,318	)
Comprehensive (loss) income attributable to NuStar Energy L.P.	\$(4,084	)	\$(53,906	)	\$(269,571	)	\$46,847	

See Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

# Table of Contents

### NUSTAR ENERGY L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited, Thousands of Dollars)

(Unaudited, Thousands of Donars)		s Ended Septem	ber
	30, 2012	2011	
Cash Eleren form Onerstine Astisities	2012	2011	
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:	¢(01( 014	) ¢101 40 <b>2</b>	
Net (loss) income	\$(216,214	) \$191,402	
Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash provided by operating			
activities:	100.040	104054	
Depreciation and amortization expense	129,943	124,354	
Amortization of debt related items	(5,718	) (8,328	)
Loss (gain) from sale or disposition of assets	19,828	(117	)
Asset and goodwill impairment loss	271,778		
Gain on legal settlement	(28,738	) —	
Deferred income tax expense	1,403	4,130	
Equity in earnings of joint ventures	(3,816	) (6,997	)
Distributions of equity in earnings of joint venture	6,364	9,397	
Changes in current assets and current liabilities (Note 13)	108,750	(216,427	)
Other, net	(11,701	) 4,457	
Net cash provided by operating activities	271,879	101,871	
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:			
Reliability capital expenditures	(21,420	) (32,808	)
Strategic capital expenditures	(299,358	) (211,150	)
Acquisitions		(100,693	)
Investment in other long-term assets	(2,364	) (8,449	)
Proceeds from sale or disposition of assets	35,547	445	
Proceeds from sale of Asphalt Operations	436,276		
Increase in note receivable from related party	(170,711	) —	
Net cash used in investing activities	(22,030	) (352,655	)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:			
Proceeds from long-term debt borrowings	1,805,168	707,102	
Proceeds from short-term debt borrowings	71,880	31,600	
Proceeds from senior note offering, net of issuance costs	247,408		
Long-term debt repayments	(2,287,178	) (348,153	)
Short-term debt repayments	(71,880	) (31,600	)
Proceeds from issuance of common units, net of issuance costs	336,662	1,583	
Contributions from general partner	7,121	70	
Distributions to unitholders and general partner	(267,228	) (240,571	)
(Payments for) proceeds from termination of interest rate swaps	(5,678	) 12,632	
Other, net	363	(785	)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(163,362	) 131,878	,
Effect of foreign exchange rate changes on cash	3,472	(3,001	)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	89,959	(121,907	) )
Cash and cash equivalents as of the beginning of the period	17,497	181,121	,
Cash and cash equivalents as of the end of the period	\$107,456	\$59,214	
See Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.	Ψ107, <b>Τ</b> 30	Ψυν,41Τ	
see condensed roles to consolidated i manetal statements.			

### Table of Contents

### NUSTAR ENERGY L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

### 1. ORGANIZATION AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

### Organization and Operations

NuStar Energy L.P. (NuStar Energy) (NYSE: NS) is engaged in the terminalling and storage of petroleum products, the transportation of petroleum products and anhydrous ammonia, and petroleum refining and marketing. Unless otherwise indicated, the terms "NuStar Energy," "the Partnership," "we," "our" and "us" are used in this report to refer to NuSt Energy L.P., to one or more of our consolidated subsidiaries or to all of them taken as a whole. NuStar GP Holdings, LLC (NuStar GP Holdings) (NYSE: NSH) owns our general partner, Riverwalk Logistics, L.P., and owns a 15.1% total interest in us as of September 30, 2012.

We conduct our operations through our subsidiaries, primarily NuStar Logistics, L.P. (NuStar Logistics) and NuStar Pipeline Operating Partnership L.P. (NuPOP). We have three business segments: storage, transportation, and asphalt and fuels marketing.

#### Basis of Presentation

These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Partnership and subsidiaries in which the Partnership has a controlling interest. Noncontrolling interests are separately disclosed on the financial statements. Inter-partnership balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. We account for investments in 50% or less-owned entities using the equity method.

These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for interim financial information and with the instructions to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and notes required by GAAP for complete consolidated financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included, and all disclosures are adequate. All such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature unless disclosed otherwise. Financial information for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 included in these Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements is derived from our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements. Operating results for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2012. The consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2011 has been derived from the audited consolidated financial statements as of that date. These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

### 2. DISPOSITIONS

### Asphalt Operations

On September 28, 2012, we sold a 50% voting interest (the Asphalt Sale) in NuStar Asphalt LLC (Asphalt JV), previously a wholly owned subsidiary, to an affiliate of Lindsay Goldberg LLC (Lindsay Goldberg), a private investment firm. Asphalt JV owns and operates asphalt refining assets that were previously wholly owned by NuStar Energy, including the asphalt refineries located in Paulsboro, New Jersey and Savannah, Georgia (collectively, the Asphalt Operations). Lindsay Goldberg paid \$175.0 million for the Class A equity interests (Class A Interests) of Asphalt JV, while we retained the Class B equity interests with a fair value of \$52.0 million (Class B Interests). The Class A Interests have a distribution preference over the Class B Interests, as well as a liquidation preference.

NuStar Asphalt Refining, LLC and NuStar Marketing LLC are wholly owned subsidiaries of NuStar Asphalt LLC. Unless otherwise indicated, the term "Asphalt JV" is used in this report to refer to Asphalt JV, to one or more of its

consolidated subsidiaries or to all of them taken as a whole.

At closing, we received \$261.3 million from Asphalt JV for inventory related to the Asphalt Operations, pending a final inventory valuation. Asphalt JV funded the purchase of those inventories with proceeds from borrowings under a third-party asset-based revolving credit facility (the Third-Party Financing) and a \$250.0 million unsecured revolving credit facility provided by NuStar Energy (the NuStar JV Facility). In addition to the NuStar JV Facility, we entered into various other agreements with Asphalt JV. See Note 9. Related Party Transactions for additional discussion of our agreements with Asphalt JV.

### Table of Contents

Deconsolidation. We determined the equity of Asphalt JV is not sufficient to finance its activities without additional subordinated support, including support provided by us as described in Note 9. Related Party Transactions. Therefore, we determined the Asphalt JV is a variable interest entity (VIE). An entity is required to consolidate a VIE if the entity is considered the primary beneficiary of the VIE. We analyzed our relationship with Asphalt JV, including our representation on the board of members, our equity interests and our rights under the various agreements with Asphalt JV and determined that NuStar Energy does not have the power to direct the activities most significant to the economic performance of Asphalt JV. As a result, NuStar Energy is not the primary beneficiary of Asphalt JV. Upon closing, we deconsolidated Asphalt JV and started reporting our remaining investment in Asphalt JV using the equity method of accounting. Since the fair value of the consideration we received was less than the carrying amount of the assets of the Asphalt Operations, we recognized a loss of \$21.6 million in "Other (expense) income, net" in the condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012.

As of September 30, 2012, we included our 50% interest in Asphalt JV within "Investment in joint ventures" on the consolidated balance sheet. The condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income include the results of operations for Asphalt JV in "Equity in earnings of joint ventures" commencing on September 28, 2012. Because of our continued involvement with Asphalt JV, we have not presented the results of operations for the Asphalt Operations prior to closing as discontinued operations. Beginning on September 28, 2012, we have presented transactions between us and the Asphalt JV as related party transactions in the consolidated financial statements.

Our maximum exposure to loss as a result of our involvement with Asphalt JV is approximately \$448.7 million, which consists of (i) our investment in Asphalt JV of \$48.7 million as of September 30, 2012, (ii) up to \$250.0 million under the NuStar JV Facility and (iii) up to \$150.0 million for credit support, including guarantees.

### Other

On April 16, 2012, we sold five terminals in Georgia and Alabama with an aggregate storage capacity of 1.8 million barrels for total proceeds of \$30.8 million.

### 3. ASSET IMPAIRMENTS

In anticipation of the Asphalt Sale, we evaluated the goodwill and other long-lived assets associated with the Asphalt Operations for potential impairment. As of June 30, 2012, we estimated the fair value of the Asphalt Operations reporting unit as the sum of (i) the purchase price to be paid by Lindsay Goldberg for the Class A Interests of Asphalt JV, (ii) the fair value of the Class B Interests of Asphalt JV that we would retain and (iii) the fair value of the working capital, primarily inventory. We determined the fair value of the Class B Interests using a combination of estimated discounted future cash flows and a pricing model. The fair value of the working capital was based on estimated current market prices. The estimated fair value of the Asphalt Operations reporting unit was less than its carrying value, which resulted in the recognition of a goodwill impairment loss of \$22.1 million in the second quarter of 2012. In addition, in the second quarter of 2012, we recorded an asset impairment loss of \$244.3 million in order to write-down the carrying value of long-lived assets related to the Asphalt Operations, including fixed assets, intangible assets and other long-term assets to their estimated fair value. The goodwill impairment loss and the asset impairment loss related to the Asphalt Operations are reported in the asphalt and fuels marketing segment.

In the second quarter of 2012, we reduced the carrying value of the fixed assets of one of our refined product terminals to its estimated fair value and recorded an asset impairment loss of \$2.1 million. The impairment loss resulted from changing market conditions that reduced the estimated cash flows for that terminal. The impairment loss associated with this refined product terminal was reported in the storage segment. In addition, we recorded an asset impairment loss of \$3.3 million in the second quarter of 2012 in order to reduce the carrying value of certain corporate assets we

intend to sell to their estimated sales price of \$2.8 million. These corporate assets that are held for sale are included in "Other current assets" on the consolidated balance sheet as of September 30, 2012.

The total asset impairment loss consisted of the following:

	Nine Months
	Ended
	September 30,
	2012
	(Thousands of
	Dollars)
Asphalt Operations:	
Property, plant and equipment, net	\$232,759
Intangible assets, net	6,564
Other long-term assets, net	4,902
Asset impairment loss	244,225
Other:	
Property, plant and equipment, net	5,421
Total asset impairment loss	\$249,646

#### 4. INVENTORIES

Inventories consisted of the following:

September 50,	December 51,
2012	2011
(Thousands of	Dollars)
\$11,267	\$157,297
221,113	421,288
8,314	9,200
\$240,694	\$587,785
	2012 (Thousands of \$ \$11,267 221,113 8,314

#### 5. DEBT

#### Revolving Credit Agreement

On May 2, 2012, NuStar Logistics replaced its \$1.2 billion five-year revolving credit agreement (the 2007 Revolving Credit Agreement) with a new \$1.5 billion five-year revolving credit agreement (the 2012 Revolving Credit Agreement), which includes the ability to borrow up to the equivalent of \$250.0 million in Euros. NuStar Logistics used borrowings of \$588.6 million under the 2012 Revolving Credit Agreement and cash on hand to repay in full the balance on the 2007 Revolving Credit Agreement. Obligations under the 2012 Revolving Credit Agreement are guaranteed by NuStar Energy and NuPOP. NuPOP will be released from its guarantee of the 2012 Revolving Credit Agreement when it no longer guarantees NuStar Logistics public debt instruments.

The 2012 Revolving Credit Agreement contains customary restrictive covenants, including requiring us to maintain, as of the end of each rolling period, which consists of any period of four consecutive fiscal quarters, a consolidated debt coverage ratio (consolidated indebtedness to consolidated EBITDA, as defined in the 2012 Revolving Credit Agreement) not to exceed 5.00-to-1.00; provided, for the rolling period ending June 30th of each year, the maximum consolidated debt coverage ratio will increase to 5.50-to-1.00. Moreover, if we consummate an acquisition for an aggregate net consideration of at least \$50.0 million, the maximum consolidated debt coverage ratio will increase to

September 30 December 31

5.50-to-1.00 for two rolling periods.

On June 29, 2012, we amended the 2012 Revolving Credit Agreement to permit unlimited investments in joint ventures and unconsolidated subsidiaries, provided that no default exists, and to limit the amount of cash distributions for such joint ventures and unconsolidated subsidiaries included in the calculation of the consolidated debt coverage ratio to 20% of consolidated EBITDA (the Amendment). In addition, the Amendment provides that we will be in compliance with the consolidated debt coverage ratio as long as it does not exceed 6.50-to-1.00 for the rolling period ending September 30, 2012. The Amendment further stipulates that if the Asphalt Operations were owned by an unconsolidated joint venture, the maximum allowed consolidated debt coverage would revert to 5.00-to-1.00. Therefore, as of September 30, 2012, our consolidated debt coverage ratio could not exceed 5.00-to-1.00. The requirement not to exceed a

### <u>Table of Contents</u> NUSTAR ENERGY L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

maximum consolidated debt coverage ratio may limit the amount we can borrow under the 2012 Revolving Credit Agreement to an amount less than the total amount available for borrowing. As of September 30, 2012, our consolidated debt coverage ratio was 4.3x, and we had \$1,152.9 million available for borrowing.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we borrowed an aggregate \$1,772.0 million under our revolving credit agreements to fund working capital requirements, our capital expenditures and distributions. Additionally, we repaid \$1,937.2 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 under our revolving credit agreements. These borrowings and repayments include borrowings under the 2012 Revolving Credit Agreement to pay down the 2007 Revolving Credit Agreement and the \$100.0 million of 6.875% senior notes due July 15, 2012.

The 2012 Revolving Credit Agreement bears interest, at our option, based on either an alternative base rate or a LIBOR-based rate. The interest rate on the 2012 Revolving Credit Agreement is subject to adjustment if our debt rating is downgraded (or subsequently upgraded) by certain credit rating agencies. As of September 30, 2012, our weighted average interest rate was 1.7%.

#### UK Term Loan

On June 29, 2012, our UK subsidiary, NuStar Terminals Limited, amended the £21.0 million amended and restated term loan agreement (the UK Term Loan) to be consistent with the covenant terms of the 2012 Revolving Credit Agreement. As a result of this amendment to the UK Term Loan, the covenants and ratios of the UK Term Loan are substantially the same as the 2012 Revolving Credit Agreement, as amended.

#### NuStar Logistics' 6.875% Senior Notes

In July 2012, we repaid the \$100.0 million of 6.875% senior notes due July 15, 2012 with borrowings under our 2012 Revolving Credit Agreement.

#### NuStar Logistics' 4.75% Senior Notes

On February 2, 2012, NuStar Logistics issued \$250.0 million of 4.75% senior notes under our May 13, 2010 shelf registration statement. The net proceeds of \$247.4 million were used to repay the outstanding principal amount of NuPOP's 7.75% senior notes due February 15, 2012. The interest on the 4.75% senior notes is payable semi-annually in arrears on February 1 and August 1 of each year beginning on August 1, 2012. The notes will mature on February 1, 2022. The 4.75% senior notes do not have sinking fund requirements. These notes rank equally with existing senior unsecured indebtedness of NuStar Logistics and contain restrictions on NuStar Logistics' ability to incur secured indebtedness unless the same security is also provided for the benefit of holders of the senior notes. In addition, the senior notes limit NuStar Logistics' ability to incur indebtedness secured by certain liens and to engage in certain sale-leaseback transactions. At the option of NuStar Logistics, the 4.75% senior notes may be redeemed in whole or in part at any time at a redemption price, which includes a make-whole premium, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date. The 4.75% senior notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by NuStar Energy and NuPOP.

### Gulf Opportunity Zone Revenue Bonds

In 2008, 2010 and 2011, the Parish of St. James, Louisiana issued, pursuant to the Gulf Opportunity Zone Act of 2005, tax-exempt revenue bonds (GoZone Bonds) associated with our St. James terminal expansions. The GoZone Bonds bear interest based on a weekly tax-exempt bond market interest rate, and we pay interest monthly. The interest rate was 0.2% as of September 30, 2012. The proceeds are deposited with a trustee and disbursed to us upon our request for reimbursement of expenditures related to our St. James terminal expansions. We include the amount remaining in trust related to the GoZone Bonds in "Other long-term assets, net," and the amount of bonds issued in

"Long-term debt, less current portion" on the consolidated balance sheets. For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we received \$38.8 million from the trustee. As of September 30, 2012, the amount remaining in trust totaled \$134.6 million.

### Line of Credit

On July 2, 2012, our short-term line of credit that had an uncommitted borrowing capacity of up to \$20.0 million was terminated. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we borrowed and repaid \$71.9 million related to this line of credit.

### Credit Ratings

The interest rates on the 2012 Revolving Credit Agreement and NuStar Logistics' \$350.0 million of 7.65% senior notes are subject to adjustment if our debt rating is downgraded (or subsequently upgraded) by certain credit rating agencies. In July 2012, Standard & Poor's lowered our credit rating to BB+ from BBB- and revised the outlook to Stable. In August 2012, Fitch Ratings also lowered our credit rating to BB+ from BBB- and revised the outlook to Stable. The interest rates applicable to the

2012 Revolving Credit Agreement do not adjust unless both Moody's and Standard & Poor's change their ratings. However, the downgrade by Standard & Poor's caused the interest rate on NuStar Logistics' \$350.0 million of 7.65% senior notes to increase by 0.25%. These downgrades may also require us to provide additional credit support for certain contracts.

### 6. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

#### Contingencies

We have contingent liabilities resulting from various litigation, claims and commitments, as discussed below. We record accruals for loss contingencies when losses are considered probable and can be reasonably estimated. Legal fees associated with defending the Partnership in legal matters are expensed as incurred. As of September 30, 2012, we have accrued \$0.3 million for contingent losses. The amount that will ultimately be paid related to these matters may differ from the recorded accruals, and the timing of such payments is uncertain.

Grace Energy Corporation Matter. In 1997, Grace Energy Corporation (Grace Energy) sued subsidiaries of Kaneb Pipeline Partners, L.P. (KPP) and Kaneb Services LLC (KSL and collectively with KPP and their respective subsidiaries, Kaneb) in Texas state court. We acquired Kaneb on July 1, 2005. The complaint sought recovery of the cost of remediation of fuel leaks in the 1970s from a pipeline that had once connected a former Grace Energy terminal with Otis Air Force Base in Massachusetts (Otis AFB). Grace Energy alleges the Otis AFB pipeline and related environmental liabilities had been transferred in 1978 to an entity that was part of Kaneb's acquisition of Support Terminal Services, Inc. and its subsidiaries from Grace Energy in 1993. Kaneb contends that it did not acquire the Otis AFB pipeline and never assumed any responsibility for any associated environmental damage.

In 2000, the court entered final judgment that: (i) Grace Energy could not recover its own remediation costs of \$3.5 million, (ii) Kaneb owned the Otis AFB pipeline and its related environmental liabilities and (iii) Grace Energy was awarded \$1.8 million in attorney costs. Both Kaneb and Grace Energy appealed the final judgment of the trial court to the Texas Court of Appeals in Dallas. In 2001, Grace Energy filed a petition in bankruptcy, which created an automatic stay of actions against Grace Energy. In September 2008, Grace Energy filed its Joint Plan of Reorganization and Disclosure Statement.

The Otis AFB is a part of a Superfund Site pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA). The site contains a number of groundwater contamination plumes, two of which are allegedly associated with the Otis AFB pipeline. Relying on the final judgment of the Texas state court assigning ownership of the Otis AFB pipeline to Kaneb, the United States Department of Justice (the DOJ) advised Kaneb in 2001 that it intends to seek reimbursement from Kaneb for the remediation costs associated with the two plumes. In November 2008, the DOJ forwarded information to us indicating that the past and estimated future remediation expenses associated with one plume are \$71.9 million. We reached an agreement to settle the claims of the United States government with respect to the Otis AFB pipeline and to resolve the underlying dispute between Kaneb and Grace. The settlement was approved by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware and a consent decree was entered by the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts. Pursuant to the terms of the settlement, we paid approximately \$13.1 million to the United States government in July 2012 and received releases of claims from various private parties and a covenant not to sue from the United States government. In connection with the settlement, we recognized a gain of \$28.7 million during the second quarter of 2012.

#### Other

We are a party to additional claims and legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business. Due to the inherent uncertainty of litigation, there can be no assurance that the resolution of any particular claim or proceeding would not have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial position or liquidity.

### Commitments

On November 17, 2010, we entered into a crude purchase commitment with Statoil Brasil Oleo E Gas Limitada (Statoil) to purchase an average of 10,000 barrels per day of crude oil over a three-year period, which began in December 2011. Pending receipt of and payment for one final shipment from Statoil in the fourth quarter of 2012, this agreement will be terminated.

In connection with the deconsolidation of the Asphalt Operations, our future minimum rental payments applicable to noncancellable operating leases were reduced by certain storage and railcar lease obligations.

#### <u>Table of Contents</u> NUSTAR ENERGY L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

#### 7. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

We segregate the inputs used in measuring fair value into three levels: Level 1, defined as observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets; Level 2, defined as inputs other than quoted prices in active markets that are either directly or indirectly observable; and Level 3, defined as unobservable inputs in which little or no market data exists. We consider counterparty credit risk and our own credit risk in the determination of all estimated fair values.

**Recurring Fair Value Measurements** 

Product Imbalances. We value our assets and liabilities related to product imbalances using quoted market prices in active markets as of the reporting date.

Interest Rate Swaps. We estimate the fair value of both our fixed-to-floating and forward-starting interest rate swaps using discounted cash flows, which use observable inputs such as time to maturity and market interest rates.

Commodity Derivatives. We base the fair value of certain of our commodity derivative instruments on quoted prices on an exchange; accordingly, we include these in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. We also have derivative instruments for which we determine fair value using industry pricing services and other observable inputs, such as quoted prices on an exchange for similar derivative instruments. Therefore, we include these derivative instruments in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. We have consistently applied these valuation techniques in all periods presented. See Note 8. Derivatives and Risk Management Activities for a discussion of our derivative instruments. The following assets and liabilities are measured at fair value:

C	September (	30, 2012			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total	
	(Thousands	of Dollars)			
Other current assets:					
Product imbalances	\$898	\$—	\$—	\$898	
Commodity derivatives	19,013	2,365		21,378	
Other long-term assets, net:					
Commodity derivatives	_	6,675		6,675	
Accrued liabilities:					
Product imbalances	(577	) —	_	(577	)
Commodity derivatives	(5,929	) (20,861	) —	(26,790	)
Interest rate swaps		(41,117	) —	(41,117	)
Other long-term liabilities:			,	× -	
Commodity derivatives	_	(1,265	) —	(1,265	)
Total	\$13,405	\$(54,203	) \$—	\$(40,798	)
	. ,				

	December 31, Level 1 (Thousands of	Level 2	Level 3	Total	
Other current assets:					
Product imbalances	\$2,117	\$—	\$—	\$2,117	
Commodity derivatives	10,282	1,830		12,112	
Other long-term assets, net:					
Commodity derivatives		27,084		27,084	
Interest rate swaps		2,335		2,335	
Accrued liabilities:					
Product imbalances	(1,469	) —		(1,469	)
Commodity derivatives	(5,424	) —		(5,424	)
Interest rate swaps		(22,009	) —	(22,009	)
Other long-term liabilities:					
Interest rate swaps		(27,190	) —	(27,190	)
Total	\$5,506	\$(17,950	) \$—	\$(12,444	)

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

We recognize cash equivalents, receivables, payables and debt in our consolidated balance sheets at their carrying amount.

The fair values of these financial instruments, except for debt, approximate their carrying amounts. The estimated fair value and carrying amount of our debt was as follows:

	September 30, Dece			
	2012	2011		
	(Thousands of	(Thousands of Dollars)		
Fair value	\$2,018,993	\$2,377,565		
Carrying amount	\$2,036,406	\$2,293,030		

We estimated the fair value of our publicly-traded senior notes based upon quoted prices in active markets; therefore, we determined the fair value of our publicly traded senior notes falls in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. For our other debt, for which a quoted market price is not available, we estimated the fair value using a discounted cash flow analysis using current incremental borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements and determined the fair value falls in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

As of September 30, 2012, we also had a note receivable from related party of \$170.7 million under the NuStar JV Facility. The note receivable related to the NuStar JV Facility was recorded at face value, and the fair value of the note receivable approximates its carrying amount as of September 30, 2012. See Note 9. Related Party Transactions for additional information on the NuStar JV Facility.

### 8. DERIVATIVES AND RISK MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES

We utilize various derivative instruments to: (i) manage our exposure to commodity price risk; (ii) manage our exposure to interest rate risk; and (iii) attempt to profit from market fluctuations. Our risk management policies and procedures are designed to monitor interest rates, futures and swap positions and over-the-counter positions, as well as physical volumes, grades, locations and delivery schedules, to help ensure that our hedging activities address our market risks. Our risk management committee oversees our trading controls and procedures and certain aspects of our

commodity and trading risk management. Our risk management committee also reviews all new commodity and trading risk management strategies in accordance with our risk management policy, which was approved by our board of directors.

Interest Rate Risk

We are a party to certain interest rate swap agreements that we use to manage our exposure to changes in interest rates. We entered into fixed-to-floating interest rate swap agreements associated with a portion of our fixed-rate senior notes. During the six months ended June 30, 2012, we entered into and terminated fixed-to-floating interest rate swap agreements with an

aggregate notional amount of \$200.0 million related to the 4.75% senior notes issued on February 2, 2012. Under the terms of these interest rate swap agreements, we received a fixed rate of 4.75% and paid a variable rate based on one month USD LIBOR plus a percentage that varied with each agreement. We also terminated fixed-to-floating interest rate swap agreements with an aggregate notional amount of \$270.0 million associated with our 4.80% senior notes. We received \$19.7 million in connection with the terminations, which we are amortizing into "Interest expense, net" over the remaining lives of the 4.80% and 4.75% senior notes. The termination payments are included in cash flows from financing activities on the consolidated statements of cash flows. We had no fixed-to-floating interest rate swaps as of September 30, 2012, and the total aggregate notional amount of the fixed-to-floating interest rate swaps was \$270.0 million as of December 31, 2011.

We are also a party to forward-starting interest rate swap agreements related to forecasted probable debt issuances. We entered into these swaps in order to hedge the risk of changes in the interest payments attributable to changes in the benchmark interest rate during the period from the effective date of the swap to the issuance of the forecasted debt. These swaps are designated and qualify as cash flow hedges. In connection with the issuance of the 4.75% senior notes on February 2, 2012, we terminated forward-starting interest rate swap agreements with an aggregate notional amount of \$225.0 million. We paid \$25.4 million in connection with the terminations, which is being amortized into "Interest expense, net" over the life of the 4.75% senior notes. The termination payment is included in cash flows from financing activities on the consolidated statements of cash flows. As of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the total aggregate notional amount of the forward-starting interest rate swaps was \$275.0 million and \$500.0 million, respectively.

### Commodity Price Risk

We are exposed to market risks related to the volatility of crude oil and refined product prices. In order to attempt to reduce the risk of commodity price fluctuations with respect to our crude oil and finished product inventories and related firm commitments to purchase and/or sell such inventories, we utilize commodity futures and swap contracts, which qualify, and we designate them as, fair value hedges.

We also enter into commodity swap contracts to attempt to hedge the price risk associated with the San Antonio refinery. These contracts fix the purchase price of crude oil and sales prices of refined products for a portion of the expected production of the San Antonio refinery, thereby attempting to mitigate the risk of volatility of future cash flows associated with hedged volumes. These contracts qualify, and we designate them, as cash flow hedges. During the second quarter of 2012, we reduced the hedged volumes of the expected production of the San Antonio refinery, thereby exposing us to additional price risk.

Derivatives that are intended to hedge our commodity price risk, but fail to qualify as fair value or cash flow hedges, are considered economic hedges, and we record associated gains and losses from such derivatives in net income. We also entered into commodity derivatives in order to attempt to profit from market fluctuations. These derivative instruments were financial positions entered into without underlying physical inventory and were not considered hedges. Changes in the fair values were recorded in net income.

The volume of commodity contracts is based on open derivative positions and represents the combined volume of our long and short positions on an absolute basis, which totaled 23.0 million barrels and 27.8 million barrels as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

### <u>Table of Contents</u> NUSTAR ENERGY L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

The fair values of our derivative instruments included in our consolidated balance sheets were as follows: Asset Derivatives Liability Derivatives						
	Balance Sheet Location	September 30, 2012 (Thousands)	December 31, 2011	September 30, 2012		
Derivatives Designated as						
Hedging Instruments:						
Commodity contracts	Other current assets	\$4,182	\$36,116	\$(783	) \$(33,616 )	1
Commodity contracts	Other long-term assets, net	22,943	86,052	(20,761	) (66,175 )	(
Interest rate swaps	Other long-term assets, net	_	2,335		_	
Commodity contracts	Accrued liabilities	15,132		(40,708	) —	
Interest rate swaps	Accrued liabilities	—		(41,117	) (22,009 )	1
Commodity contracts	Other long-term liabilities	9,861		(9,171	) —	
Interest rate swaps	Other long-term liabilities				(27,190)	1
Total		52,118	124,503	(112,540	) (148,990 )	1
Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments:						
Commodity contracts	Other current assets	26,484	15,568	(8,505	) (5,956 )	)
Commodity contracts	Other long-term assets, net	13,726	7,207	(9,233	) —	
Commodity contracts	Accrued liabilities	21,035	519	(22,249	) (5,943 )	1
Commodity contracts	Other long-term liabilities	—	—	(1,955	) —	
Total		61,245	23,294	(41,942	) (11,899 )	1
Total Derivatives		\$113,363	\$147,797	\$(154,482	) \$(160,889 )	1

### <u>Table of Contents</u> NUSTAR ENERGY L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

The earnings impact of our derivative activity was as follows:

The earnings impact of our derivative a	curvity was as follows:					
Derivatives Designated as Fair Value Hedging Instruments	Income Statement Location	(Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative Income on Income on		Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffective Portion)		
		(Thousands of I	Dollars)			
Three months ended September 30, 2012:		X	,			
Interest rate swaps	Interest expense, net	\$—	\$—		\$ —	
Commodity contracts	Cost of product sales	(23,131	) 22,505		(626	)
Total	1	\$(23,131	\$22,505		\$ (626	)
		1 ( - ) - )	, , , ,		1 (	/
Three months ended September 30, 2011:						
Interest rate swaps	Interest expense, net	\$45,963	\$(46,320	)	\$ (357	)
Commodity contracts	Cost of product sales	3,772	(4,508	)	(736	)
Total		\$49,735	\$(50,828	)	\$ (1,093	)
				,		<i>,</i>
Nine months ended September 30, 2012:						
Interest rate swaps	Interest expense, net	\$(17,345	\$17,345		\$ —	
Commodity contracts	Cost of product sales	(20,496	) 19,058		(1,438	)
Total	1	\$(37,841	\$36,403		\$ (1,438	)
		+ ( ,	, += -,=		+ (-,	/
Nine months ended September 30, 2011:						
Interest rate swaps	Interest expense, net	\$54,577	\$(55,172	)	\$ (595	)
Commodity contracts	Cost of product sales		6,212	,	(1,080	)
Total	1	\$47,285	\$(48,960	)	\$ (1,675	ý
		, ,		,		,

### <u>Table of Contents</u> NUSTAR ENERGY L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

Derivatives Designated as Cash Flow Hedging Instruments	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recogniz in OCI on Derivative (Effective Portion (Thousands of Dollars)	ed	Location (a)	(Loss from Accui into (Effec	mulated Income ctive Por	sified (Loss) Recogn OC Derivative (Ineffective Po	
Three months ended September 30, 2012:	\$ (3,825	)	Interest expanse not	\$ (6/4	t	λ¢	
Interest rate swaps Commodity contracts Total	\$ (3,823 (20,629 \$ (24,454	) ) )	Interest expense, net Cost of product sales		8	) \$ — ) 277 ) \$ 277	
Three months ended September 30, 2011:							
Interest rate swaps	\$ (63,100	)	Interest expense, net			\$ —	
Commodity contracts	(46,532	)	Cost of product sales	· ·		) 3,594	
Total	\$ (109,632	)		\$(7,7	33	) \$ 3,594	
Nine months ended September 30, 2012:							
Interest rate swaps	\$ (17,276	)	Interest expense, net			) \$ —	
Commodity contracts	(73,289	)	Cost of product sales	-		) 4,287	
Total	\$ (90,565	)		\$(26,	287	) \$ 4,287	
Nine months ended September 30, 2011:							
Interest rate swaps	\$ (75,930	)	Interest expense, net			\$ —	
Commodity contracts	(62,986	)	Cost of product sales			) 3,594	
Total	\$ (138,916	) ha	anim (loss) manlassified	\$(8,9		) \$ 3,594	
Amounts are included in specified a (a) comprehensive income (OCI) into a derivative (ineffective portion).							
Derivatives Not Designated as Hedgin	g Instruments		Income Statement Location		Recogn	t of Gain (Loss) nized in Income ands of Dollars)	
Three months ended September 30, 20	012:				(Thous		
Commodity contracts		(	Cost of product sales		\$(846		)
Three months ended September 30, 20	)11:						
Commodity contracts		(	Cost of product sales		\$5,482		
Nine months ended September 30, 201 Commodity contracts Commodity contracts	12:		Revenues Cost of product sales		\$(7,654 23,091	1	)
			r		- , - , -		

\$15,437

Nine months ended September 30, 2011:			
Commodity contracts	Revenues	\$235	
Commodity contracts	Cost of product sales	(5,685	)
Commodity contracts	Operating expenses	46	
		\$(5,404	)
16			

For derivatives designated as cash flow hedging instruments, once a hedged transaction occurs, we reclassify the effective portion from accumulated OCI to "Cost of product sales" or "Interest expense, net." As of September 30, 2012, we expect to reclassify a loss of \$25.6 million to "Cost of product sales" and a loss of \$4.2 million to "Interest expense, net" within the next twelve months. The maximum length of time over which we are hedging our exposure to the variability in future cash flows is approximately three years for our commodity contracts and less than one year for our forward-starting interest rate swaps.

### 9. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The following table summarizes information pertaining to related party transactions:

	Three Months Ended September		Nine Months	Ended September
	30,		30,	
	2012 2011		2012	2011
	(Thousands o	of Dollars)		
Revenues	\$713	\$286	\$2,198	\$823
Operating expenses	\$39,032	\$37,151	\$115,065	\$109,061
General and administrative expenses	\$16,181	\$8,985	\$48,710	\$41,968

### NuStar GP, LLC

Our operations are managed by NuStar GP, LLC, the general partner of our general partner. Under a services agreement between NuStar Energy and NuStar GP, LLC, employees of NuStar GP, LLC perform services for our United States operations. Certain of our wholly owned subsidiaries employ persons who perform services for our international operations. Employees of NuStar GP, LLC provide services to both NuStar Energy and NuStar GP Holdings; therefore, we reimburse NuStar GP, LLC for all costs related to its employees, other than costs associated with NuStar GP Holdings.

We had a payable to NuStar GP, LLC of \$13.7 million and \$6.7 million as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, with both amounts representing payroll, employee benefit plan expenses and unit-based compensation. We also had a long-term payable to NuStar GP, LLC as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 of \$16.0 million and \$14.5 million, respectively, related to amounts payable for retiree medical benefits and other post-employment benefits.

Asphalt JV

As of September 30, 2012, we had a receivable from Asphalt JV of \$2.1 million associated with the Asphalt Sale.

Financing Agreements and Credit Support. The NuStar JV Facility is an unsecured revolving credit facility provided by NuStar Energy that will be available to fund working capital needs and for general purposes of Asphalt JV in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$250.0 million for a term of seven years. The NuStar JV Facility matures on September 28, 2019 and bears interest based on either an alternative base rate or a LIBOR-based rate. We recognize interest income over the term of the facility in "Interest expense, net" on the consolidated statements of comprehensive income. As of September 30, 2012, the interest rate was 4.875%. In the event NuStar JV Facility is reduced to a maximum of \$167.0 million after two years and \$83.0 million after three years. On September 28, 2012, Asphalt JV borrowed \$170.7 million from us under the NuStar JV Facility.

In addition, during the term of the NuStar JV Facility, NuStar Energy will provide credit support, such as guarantees, letters of credit and cash collateral, as applicable, of up to \$150.0 million. As of September 30, 2012, NuStar Energy has provided guarantees for commodity purchases, lease obligations and certain utilities for Asphalt JV with a maximum potential exposure of \$141.8 million. In addition, NuStar has provided three guarantees to suppliers that do not specify a maximum amount. All guarantees were in existence prior to the Asphalt Sale, and the majority of these guarantees have no expiration date. In the event NuStar Energy must fund its obligation under these guarantees, that amount will be added to borrowings under the NuStar JV Facility.

Terminal Service Agreements. Simultaneously with the Asphalt Sale, we entered into four terminal service agreements with Asphalt JV for our terminals in Wilmington, NC, Rosario, NM, Catoosa, OK and Houston, TX. Pursuant to the terms of the agreements, we will provide aggregate storage capacity of 0.8 million barrels and blending services to Asphalt JV for a service charge of \$1.5 million per year. The storage charge will be adjusted annually based on the percentage increase in the consumer price index. The terminal service agreements each have a term of ten years, with Asphalt JV's option to extend for an additional five years. Asphalt JV also has the option to terminate any terminal service agreement with 90 days written notice. If any of the terminal service agreements are extended, the storage charge will be based on the then-current fair market storage rates for

#### <u>Table of Contents</u> NUSTAR ENERGY L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

comparable storage services charged by us to third parties.

Crude Oil Supply Agreements. In connection with the Asphalt Sale, NuStar Marketing LLC assigned the crude oil supply agreement (the PDVSA Crude Oil Supply Agreement) with an affiliate of Petróleos de Venezuela S. A. (PDVSA) to NuStar Logistics.

Simultaneously with the Asphalt Sale, we entered into a crude oil supply agreement with Asphalt JV (the Asphalt JV Crude Oil Supply Agreement) that commits Asphalt JV to purchase from us in a given year the lesser of (i) the number of barrels of crude oil required to be purchased by us from PDVSA under the PDVSA Crude Oil Supply Agreement for such year or (ii) 35,000 barrels per day of crude oil multiplied by the number of days in such year. The price for the crude oil under this agreement will be the actual price paid by us to PDVSA under the PDVSA Crude Oil Supply Agreement and will include any credits received or adjustments made. The Asphalt JV Crude Oil Supply Agreement is effective for the term of the PDVSA Crude Oil Supply Agreement.

Services Agreements Between Asphalt JV and NuStar GP,LLC. In conjunction with the Asphalt Sale, NuStar GP, LLC entered into a services agreement with Asphalt JV, effective September 28, 2012 (the Asphalt JV Services Agreement). The Asphalt JV Services Agreement provides that NuStar GP, LLC will furnish certain administrative and other operating services necessary to conduct the business of Asphalt JV. Asphalt JV will compensate NuStar GP, LLC for these services through an annual fee totaling \$10.0 million, subject to adjustment based on the annual merit increase percentage applicable to NuStar GP, LLC employees for the most recently completed contract year. The Asphalt JV Services Agreement will terminate on December 31, 2017 and will automatically renew for successive two-year terms. Asphalt JV may terminate the Asphalt JV Services Agreement at any time, with 180 days prior written notice or reduce the level of service with 45 days prior written notice.

In addition, NuStar GP, LLC entered into an employee services agreement with Asphalt JV, effective September 28, 2012 (the Asphalt JV Employee Services Agreement). The Asphalt JV Employee Services Agreement provides that certain of NuStar GP, LLC employees will provide employee-services to Asphalt JV. In exchange, Asphalt JV will reimburse NuStar GP, LLC for the compensation expense of those employees at the same rates that were in effect at the effective date of the Asphalt JV Employee Services Agreement, including an annual bonus amount that does not exceed NuStar GP, LLC's target bonus plan. The employees covered under the Asphalt JV Employee Services Agreement will not be entitled to any new unit-based compensation grants from NuStar GP, LLC, and Asphalt JV will not be responsible for unit-based compensation costs prior to the effective date. The Asphalt JV Employee Services Agreement will terminate on December 31, 2012.

Other

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, the majority of related party revenues resulted from storage agreements between our Turkey subsidiary and the noncontrolling shareholder. We had a receivable of \$0.3 million as of September 30, 2012 related to these revenues.

#### 10. OTHER (EXPENSE) INCOME

Other (expense) income, net consisted of the following:

Three Month	ns Ended September	Nine Mont	hs Ended September
30,		30,	
2012	2011	2012	2011
(Thousands	of Dollars)		

(Loss) gain from sale or disposition of assets	\$(19,880	) \$(119	) \$(19,828	) \$117	
Contingent loss adjustment		(3,250	) —	(3,250	)
Storage agreement early termination costs			—	(5,000	)
Foreign exchange (losses) gains	(1,069	) 3,059	(3,568	) 2,483	
Other, net	1,009	1,077	2,012	(49	)
Other (expense) income, net	\$(19,940	) \$767	\$(21,384	) \$(5,699	)

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, the (loss) gain on sale or disposition of assets included a \$21.6 million loss in connection with the deconsolidation of Asphalt JV. See Note 2. Dispositions for additional discussion on the Asphalt Sale.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, "Other (expense) income, net" included \$5.0 million in costs associated with the early termination of a third-party storage agreement at our Paulsboro, New Jersey asphalt refinery.

### 11. PARTNERS' EQUITY

#### Issuance of Common Units

On September 10, 2012, we issued 7,130,000 common units representing limited partner interests at a price of \$48.94 per unit. We used the net proceeds from this offering of \$344.1 million, including a contribution of \$7.1 million from our general partner to maintain its 2% general partner interest, for general partnership purposes, including repayments of outstanding borrowings under our 2012 Revolving Credit Agreement and working capital purposes.

#### Partners' Equity Activity

The following table summarizes changes in the carrying amount of equity attributable to NuStar Energy L.P. partners and noncontrolling interest:

	-					Three Months Ended September 30, 2011 NuStar						
	Energy L.P. Partners' Equity (Thousands of	Noncontrollin Interest	ng	Total Partner Equity	rs'	Energy L.P. Partners' Equity		Noncontroll Interest	ing	Total Partne Equity	rs'	
Beginning balance Net (loss) income Other comprehensive (loss) income: Foreign currency	\$2,408,269 4,389	\$12,848	)	\$2,421,117 4,342		\$2,644,221 70,158		\$ 14,745 123		\$2,658,966 70,281		
translation adjustment	6,608	113		6,721		(22,165	)	(2,186	)	(24,351	)	
Net unrealized loss on cash flow hedges Net loss reclassified into	(24,454 )	_		(24,454	)	(109,632	)	_		(109,632	)	
income on cash flow hedges	9,373	_		9,373		7,733		_		7,733		
Total other comprehensive (loss) income	(8,473)	113		(8,360	)	(124,064	)	(2,186	)	(126,250	)	
Cash distributions to partners Issuance of common	(89,076)	_		(89,076	)	(81,339	)			(81,339	)	
units, including contribution from general partner	344,076	_		344,076		3,391		_		3,391		
Ending balance	\$2,659,185	\$ 12,914		\$2,672,099		\$2,512,367		\$12,682		\$2,525,049		

							Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 NuStar					
	Energy L.P. Partners' Equity			Noncontrolling Total Partner Interest Equity					Noncontrolling Interest		g Total Partners' Equity	
Beginning balance Acquisition	(Thousands o \$2,852,201 —		\$ 12,134 —		\$2,864,335 —		\$2,702,700 —		\$ <i>—</i> 15,000		\$2,702,700 15,000	
Net (loss) income Other comprehensive	(215,997	)	(217	)	(216,214	)	191,259		143		191,402	
(loss) income:												
Foreign currency	10 704		007		11 701		(14 45 4	`	(2.461)	)	(16.015	`
translation adjustment	10,704		997		11,701		(14,454	)	(2,461	)	(16,915	)
Net unrealized loss on cash flow hedges	(90,565	)	_		(90,565	)	(138,916	)	_		(138,916	)
Net loss reclassified into income on cash flow hedges	26,287				26,287		8,958		_		8,958	
Total other	(5) 574	\ \	007		(5) 577	`	(144 412	`	() (()	`	(146.072	`
comprehensive (loss) income	(53,574	)	997		(52,577	)	(144,412	)	(2,461	)	(146,873	)
Cash distributions to partners	(267,228	)	_		(267,228	)	(240,571	)	_		(240,571	)
Issuance of common units, including contribution	344,076				344,076		3,391		_		3,391	
from general partner Other Ending balance	(293 \$2,659,185	)	 \$ 12,914		(293 \$2,672,099	)						

#### Allocations of Net Income

Our partnership agreement, as amended, sets forth the calculation to be used to determine the amount and priority of cash distributions that the common unitholders and the general partner will receive. The partnership agreement also contains provisions for the allocation of net income and loss to the unitholders and the general partner. For purposes of maintaining partner capital accounts, the partnership agreement specifies that items of income and loss shall be allocated among the partners in accordance with their respective percentage interests. Normal allocations according to percentage interests are made after giving effect to priority income allocations, if any, in an amount equal to incentive cash distributions allocated 100% to the general partner. The following table details the calculation of net income applicable to the general partner:

	Three Months E	Inded September	Nine Months I	Ended September	
	30,		30,		
	2012	2011	2012	2011	
	(Thousands of I	Dollars)			
Net (loss) income attributable to NuStar Energy L.P.	\$4,389	\$70,158	\$(215,997	) \$191,259	

Less general partner incentive distribution	10,805		8,972		30,437		26,503	
Net (loss) income after general partner incentive distribution	(6,416	)	61,186		(246,434	)	164,756	
General partner interest	2	%	2	%	2	%	2	%
General partner allocation of net (loss) income after	(128	)	1,223		(4,928	)	3,294	
general partner incentive distribution General partner incentive distribution Net income applicable to general partner	10,805 \$10,677		8,972 \$10,195		30,437 \$25,509		26,503 \$29,797	

**Cash Distributions** 

On August 10, 2012, we paid a quarterly cash distribution totaling \$89.1 million, or \$1.095 per unit, related to the second quarter of 2012. On October 25, 2012, we announced a quarterly cash distribution of \$1.095 per unit related to the third quarter of 2012. This distribution will be paid on November 14, 2012 to unitholders of record on November 9, 2012 and will total \$98.1 million.

The following table reflects the allocation of total cash distributions to the general and limited partners applicable to the period in which the distributions were earned:

_	Three Months E	nded September	Nine Months En	ded September
	30,		30,	
	2012 2011		2012	2011
	(Thousands of E	Oollars, Except Per	Unit Data)	
General partner interest	\$1,961	\$1,628	\$5,525	\$4,847
General partner incentive distribution	10,805	8,972	30,437	26,503
Total general partner distribution	12,766	10,600	35,962	31,350
Limited partners' distribution	85,285	70,814	240,241	211,019
Total cash distributions	\$98,051	\$81,414	\$276,203	\$242,369
Cash distributions per unit applicable to limited partners	\$1.095	\$1.095	\$3.285	\$3.265

### 12. NET INCOME PER UNIT

We have identified the general partner interest and incentive distribution rights (IDR) as participating securities and use the two-class method when calculating the net income per unit applicable to limited partners, which is based on the weighted-average number of common units outstanding during the period. Basic and diluted net income per unit applicable to limited partners are the same because we have no potentially dilutive securities outstanding.

The following table details the calculation of earnings per unit:

C	Three Months	s E	nded Septemb	er	Nine Months	Er	nded Septembe	er
	30,		-		30,		-	
	2012		2011		2012		2011	
	(Thousands of	f E	Oollars, Except	U	nit and Per U	nit	Data)	
Net (loss) income attributable to NuStar Energy L.P	2.\$4,389		\$70,158		\$(215,997	)	\$191,259	
Less general partner distribution (including IDR)	12,766		10,600		35,962		31,350	
Less limited partner distribution	85,285		70,814		240,241		211,019	
Distributions (greater than) less than earnings	\$(93,662	)	\$(11,256	)	\$(492,200	)	\$(51,110	)
General partner earnings:								
Distributions	\$12,766		\$10,600		\$35,962		\$31,350	
Allocation of distributions (greater than) less than earnings (2%)	(1,874	)	(225	)	(9,846	)	(1,023	)
Total	\$10,892		\$10,375		\$26,116		\$30,327	
Limited partner earnings:								
Distributions	\$85,285		\$70,814		\$240,241		\$211,019	
Allocation of distributions (greater than) less than earnings (98%)	(91,788	)	(11,031	)	(482,354	)	(50,087	)
Total	\$(6,503	)	\$59,783		\$(242,113	)	\$160,932	
Weighted-average limited partner units outstanding	72,383,578		64,612,423		71,302,538		64,611,181	

Net (loss) income per unit applicable to limited partners	\$(0.09	) \$0.92	\$(3.40	) \$2.49
21				

#### <u>Table of Contents</u> NUSTAR ENERGY L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

#### 13. STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

Changes in current assets and current liabilities were as follows:

	Nine Months Ended September				
	30,				
	2012	2011			
	(Thousands	of Dollars)			
Decrease (increase) in current assets:					
Accounts receivable	\$95,213	\$(148,814	)		
Receivable from related party	(3,149	) —			
Inventories	60,878	(176,936	)		
Income tax receivable	3,190				
Other current assets	(17,571	) (25,838	)		
Increase (decrease) in current liabilities:					
Accounts payable	(11,854	) 153,626			
Payable to related party	6,976	2,023			
Accrued interest payable	(5,867	) (6,092	)		
Accrued liabilities	(21,253	) (21,471	)		
Taxes other than income tax	2,662	5,607			
Income tax payable	(475	) 1,468			
Changes in current assets and current liabilities	\$108,750	\$(216,427	)		

The above changes in current assets and current liabilities differ from changes between amounts reflected in the applicable balance sheets due to our deconsolidation of the Asphalt Operations in connection with the Asphalt Sale and the effect of foreign currency translation.

Cash flows related to interest and income taxes were as follows:

	Nine Months Ended September		
	30,		
	2012	2011	
	(Thousands of	Dollars)	
Cash paid for interest, net of amount capitalized	\$85,583	\$87,576	
Cash paid for income taxes, net of tax refunds received	\$18,308	\$11,974	

#### <u>Table of Contents</u> NUSTAR ENERGY L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

#### 14. INCOME TAXES

The tax effects of significant temporary differences representing deferred income tax assets and liabilities were as follows:

	September 30, 2012 (Thousands of I	December 31, 2011 Dollars)	
Deferred income tax assets:	<b>* ~</b>	<b>• 1 =</b> 000	
Net operating losses	\$24,552	\$17,089	
Environmental and legal reserves	216	14,822	
Capital loss	1,712	1,044	
Valuation allowance	(71	) (1,161	)
Total deferred income tax assets	26,409	31,794	
Deferred income tax liabilities:			
Property, plant and equipment	(54,184	) (57,392	)
Other	(996	) (698	)
Total deferred income tax liabilities	(55,180	) (58,090	)
Net deferred income tax liability	\$(28,771	) \$(26,296	)
Reported on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as:			
Deferred income tax asset	\$2,080	\$9,141	
Deferred income tax liability	(30,851	) (35,437	)
Net deferred income tax liability	\$(28,771	) \$(26,296	)
5			

#### Grace Energy Corporation Matter

In connection with the settlement of the Grace Energy Corporation matter, we recognized a pre-tax gain of \$28.7 million within one of our taxable subsidiaries. As a result, we recorded related income tax expense of \$10.1 million, resulting from the reduction of the related deferred income tax asset. See Note 6. Commitments and Contingencies for a discussion on the Grace Energy Corporation matter.

#### Canadian Income Tax Audit

During the second quarter of 2012, we recorded \$1.0 million of additional income tax liability and \$2.2 million of interest and penalties associated with an ongoing Canadian income tax audit for the years 2006 through 2011. We also recorded \$1.3 million of Canadian withholding tax and \$0.7 million of interest and penalties associated with the withholding tax liability related to interest payments made from our Canadian subsidiaries to a United States entity from 2003 to 2009. We believe that adequate provisions for uncertainties related to the Canadian audits have been reflected in the financial statements.

#### **15. SEGMENT INFORMATION**

Our reportable business segments consist of storage, transportation, and asphalt and fuels marketing. Our segments represent strategic business units that offer different services and products. We evaluate the performance of each segment based on its respective operating income, before general and administrative expenses and certain non-segmental depreciation and amortization expense. General and administrative expenses are not allocated to the

operating segments since those expenses relate primarily to the overall management at the entity level. Our principal operations include terminalling and storage of petroleum products, the transportation of petroleum products and anhydrous ammonia, and petroleum refining and marketing. Intersegment revenues result from storage and throughput agreements with related parties at lease rates consistent with rates charged to third parties for storage and at pipeline tariff rates based upon the applicable published tariff.

## <u>Table of Contents</u> NUSTAR ENERGY L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

Results of operations for the reportable segments were as follows:

	Three Months 30,	s Ended September	Nine Months E 30,	Ended September
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(Thousands o	f Dollars)		
Revenues:				
Storage:				
Third parties	\$128,538	\$128,561	\$389,412	\$381,460
Intersegment	19,679	13,042	55,542	35,925
Related party	713	286	2,198	823
Total storage	148,930	141,889	447,152	418,208
Transportation:				
Third parties	92,570	81,834	244,938	226,406
Intersegment	1,160	65	2,171	65
Total transportation	93,730	81,899	247,109	226,471
Asphalt and fuels marketing:				
Third parties	1,522,945	1,613,669	4,745,815	4,039,461
Intersegment	99	5,024	406	9,618
Total asphalt and fuels marketing	1,523,044	1,618,693	4,746,221	4,049,079
Consolidation and intersegment eliminations	(20,938	) (18,131 )	(58,119	) (45,608 )
Total revenues	\$1,744,766	\$1,824,350	\$5,382,363	\$4,648,150
Operating income:				
Storage	\$50,422	\$48,778	\$160,696	\$140,322
Transportation	42,597	38,248	111,418	102,808
Asphalt and fuels marketing	(15,682	) 25,418	(323,996	97,689
Consolidation and intersegment eliminations	(22	) 29	(48	) (16 )
Total segment operating (loss) income	77,315	112,473	(51,930	) 340,803
General and administrative expenses	(24,954	) (17,731 )	(75,276	) (69,833 )
Other depreciation and amortization expense	(1,639	) (1,765 )	(5,492	) (4,911 )
Other asset impairment loss	—		(3,295	) —
Gain on legal settlement	—	—	28,738	—
Total operating (loss) income	\$50,722	\$92,977	\$(107,255	\$266,059

Total assets by reportable segment were as follows:

rour absols of repetition segment were as renows.		
	September 30,	December 31,
	2012	2011
	(Thousands of Dol	llars)
Storage	\$2,609,293	\$2,597,904
Transportation	1,355,492	1,251,474
Asphalt and fuels marketing	996,154	1,717,960
Total segment assets	4,960,939	5,567,338
Other partnership assets	402,945	313,852
Total consolidated assets	\$5,363,884	\$5,881,190

#### <u>Table of Contents</u> NUSTAR ENERGY L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

#### 16. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NuStar Energy has no operations and its assets consist mainly of its investments in NuStar Logistics and NuPOP, both wholly owned subsidiaries. The senior notes issued by NuStar Logistics and NuPOP are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by NuStar Energy, and each of NuStar Logistics and NuPOP fully and unconditionally guarantee the outstanding senior notes of the other. As a result, the following condensed consolidating financial statements are presented as an alternative to providing separate financial statements for NuStar Logistics and NuPOP.

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets September 30, 2012 (Thousands of Dollars)

``````````````````````````````````````	NuStar Energy	NuStar Logistics	NuPOP	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries (a)		Consolidated
Assets Cash and cash equivalents Receivables, net Inventories Income tax receivable Other current assets Intercompany receivable Total current assets	\$7,281   7,281	\$12 38,215 2,585 	\$— 10,350 8,050 — 1,311 568,526 588,237	\$ 100,163 410,091 230,079 995 39,922 	\$— (3,161 ) (20 ) — (952,060 ) (955,241 )	\$107,456 455,495 240,694 995 59,600  864,240
Property, plant and equipment net		1,353,763	588,257 584,868	1,216,459	(933,241)	3,155,090
Intangible assets, net Goodwill	_	1,929 18,094	 170,652	24,589 634,165	_	26,518 822,911
Investment in wholly owned subsidiaries	3,248,470	67,390	1,228,601	2,322,860	(6,867,321)	_
Investment in joint ventures Deferred income tax asset		48,696 —		67,443 2,080		116,139 2,080
Note receivable from related party	_	170,711	_	_	_	170,711
Other long-term assets, net Total assets	498 \$3,256,249	157,006 \$2,260,302	26,328 \$2,598,686	22,363 \$ 5,071,209		206,195 \$5,363,884
Liabilities and Partners' Equit Current portion of long-term	•	<b>\$ 222 (2</b> )	¢ 2 5 1 5 2 5	<b>4 22</b> 000	¢	<b>4515</b> 062
debt	\$— 100	\$232,428	\$251,535 9,502	\$ 33,900	\$—	\$517,863
Payables Accrued interest payable Accrued liabilities Taxes other than income tax Income tax payable Intercompany payable Total current liabilities		54,642 19,049 54,972 6,339 230 	4,896 6,165 3,566 2	392,256 21 35,554 5,052 2,539 436,891 906,213	(3,161 ) 	453,339 23,966 97,441 15,020 2,771  1,110,400
Long-term debt, less current portion		367,660 1,518,543	275,666 —		(955,222 )	1,518,543

Long-term payable to related		10,562		5,442		16,004
party		10,302		5,442		10,004
Deferred income tax liability	—			30,851		30,851
Other long-term liabilities	_	2,821	165	13,001		15,987
Total partners' equity	2,740,166	360,716	2,322,855	4,115,702	(6,867,340)	2,672,099
Total liabilities and	\$3,256,249	¢ 2 260 202	\$2,598,686	\$ 5.071,209	¢(7 872 562)	\$ 5 262 001
partners' equity	\$5,230,249	\$2,260,302	\$2,398,080	\$ 3,071,209	\$(7,822,562)	\$3,303,884
	1 11		Mar En and N	C. T	N-DOD	

(a) Non-guarantor subsidiaries are wholly owned by NuStar Energy, NuStar Logistics or NuPOP.

### <u>Table of Contents</u> NUSTAR ENERGY L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets December 31, 2011 (Thousands of Dollars)

(Thousands of Donais)	NuStar Energy	NuStar Logistics	NuPOP	Non-Guaranto Subsidiaries (a)	r Eliminations	Consolidated
Assets Cash and cash equivalents Receivables, net Inventories Income tax receivable Other current assets Intercompany receivable Total current assets	\$139   139	\$14 27,533 2,311  9,796 893,268 932,922	\$— 6,877 6,370 — 2,423 780,066 795,736	\$ 17,344 514,477 579,152 4,148 31,466  1,146,587	\$— (1,079)) (48)) — (1,673,334) (1,674,461)	\$17,497 547,808 587,785 4,148 43,685  1,200,923
Property, plant and equipmen net	t,	1,150,318	596,229	1,683,921	—	3,430,468
Intangible assets, net Goodwill	_	1,966 18,094	 170,652	36,957 657,971		38,923 846,717
Investment in wholly owned subsidiaries	3,386,170	220,513	1,159,620	2,216,792	(6,983,095)	
Investment in joint venture Deferred income tax asset Other long-term assets, net Total assets Liabilities and Partners' Equit	 364 \$3,386,673 ty	 192,007 \$2,515,820	 26,329 \$2,748,566	66,687 9,141 69,631 \$ 5,887,687	 \$(8,657,556)	66,687 9,141 288,331 \$5,881,190
Current portion of long-term debt	\$—	\$331,317	\$1,060	\$ 32,582	\$—	\$364,959
Payables Accrued interest payable Accrued liabilities Taxes other than income tax Income tax payable Intercompany payable Total current liabilities Long-term debt, less current portion Long-term payable to related		32,590 21,332 42,788 5,661 352  434,040 1,424,891 8,027	11,512 8,489 4,661 2,678 7  28,407 503,180	418,038 12 22,992 4,991 2,863 1,167,223 1,648,701 	(1,079) 	461,061 29,833 71,270 13,455 3,222  943,800 1,928,071 14,502
party Deferred income tax liability Other long-term liabilities Total partners' equity Total liabilities and	 2,879,608 \$3,386,673	<ul> <li>8,027</li> <li>29,939</li> <li>618,923</li> <li>\$2,515,820</li> </ul>	 220 2,216,759 \$2,748,566	6,475 35,437 64,886 4,132,188 \$ 5,887,687	 (6,983,143 ) \$(8,657,556)	35,437 95,045 2,864,335
partners' equity	ψυ,υου,υτυ	ψ2,313,020	ψ2,740,300	ψ 3,007,007	$\psi(0,007,000)$	ψυ,001,190

(a)Non-guarantor subsidiaries are wholly owned by NuStar Energy, NuStar Logistics or NuPOP.

## <u>Table of Contents</u> NUSTAR ENERGY L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Comprehensive (Loss) Income For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 (Thousands of Dollars)

	NuStar Energy	NuStar Logistics		NuPOP	Non-Guaranto Subsidiaries (a)	or		ns	Consolidat	ed
Revenues Costs and expenses	\$— 460	\$98,529 58,001		\$48,486 36,977	\$ 1,606,734 1,607,594		\$(8,983 (8,988	)	\$1,744,760 1,694,044	5
Operating (loss) income	(460)	40,528		11,509	(860	)	5		50,722	
Equity in earnings (loss) of subsidiaries	4,849	(13,291	)	13,862	24,246	,	(29,666	)		
Equity in (loss) earnings of joint ventures	_	(3,304	)	_	2,353		_		(951	)
Interest expense, net		(21,777	)	(2,800)	(290	)			(24,867	)
Other (expense) income, net Income (loss) before income	—	(21,491	)	1,678	(127	)			(19,940	)
tax	4,389	(19,335	)	24,249	25,322		(29,661	)	4,964	
expense Income tax expense		97		1	524		_		622	
Net income (loss)	4,389	(19,432	)	24,248	24,798		(29,661	)	4,342	
Less net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest Net income (loss) attributable			,		(47	)		,	(47	)
to NuStar Energy L.P.	\$4,389	\$(19,432	)	\$24,248	\$ 24,845		\$(29,661	)	\$4,389	
Comprehensive income (loss) Less comprehensive income	\$4,389	\$(22,612	)	\$24,248	\$ 19,618		\$(29,661	)	\$(4,018	)
attributable to noncontrolling interest Comprehensive income (loss)	_			_	66		_		66	
attributable to NuStar Energy L.P.	\$4,389	\$(22,612	)	\$24,248	\$ 19,552		\$(29,661	)	\$(4,084	)

(a)Non-guarantor subsidiaries are wholly owned by NuStar Energy, NuStar Logistics or NuPOP.

## <u>Table of Contents</u> NUSTAR ENERGY L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Comprehensive Income For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2011 (Thousands of Dollars)

	NuStar Energy	NuStar Logistics		NuPOP	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries (a)	Eliminations	Consolidat	ted
Revenues	\$—	\$78,554		\$46,076	\$ 1,708,288	\$(8,568)	\$1,824,35	0
Costs and expenses	482	38,068		32,090	1,669,422	(8,689)	1,731,373	
Operating (loss) income	(482)	40,486		13,986	38,866	121	92,977	
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	70,641	7,285		29,828	51,102	(158,856)		
Equity in earnings of joint venture	_	—			2,599		2,599	
Interest expense, net		(15,210	)	(5,685)	(670)	_	(21,565	)
Other income, net		109		246	412	—	767	
Income (loss) before income								
tax	70,159	32,670		38,375	92,309	(158,735)	74,778	
expense								
Income tax expense	1	542			3,954		4,497	
Net income (loss)	70,158	32,128		38,375	88,355	(158,735)	70,281	
Less net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	)	—		_	123	—	123	
Net income (loss) attributable	¢70.150	¢ 22, 120		<b>\$ 20.075</b>	¢ 00 <b>222</b>	¢ (150 <b>705</b> )	ф <b>л</b> о 150	
to NuStar Energy L.P.	\$70,158	\$32,128		\$38,375	\$ 88,232	\$(158,735)	\$70,158	
Hubbal Lifergy L.I.								
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$70,158	\$(30,972	)	\$38,375	\$ 25,205	\$(158,735)	\$(55,969	)
Less comprehensive loss	·		,		·	,		
attributable to				_	(2,063)		(2,063	)
noncontrolling interest								
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to NuStar Energy L.P.	\$70,158	\$(30,972	)	\$38,375	\$ 27,268	\$(158,735)	\$(53,906	)

(a)Non-guarantor subsidiaries are wholly owned by NuStar Energy, NuStar Logistics or NuPOP.

## <u>Table of Contents</u> NUSTAR ENERGY L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Comprehensive (Loss) Income For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 (Thousands of Dollars)

	NuStar Energy		NuStar Logistics		NuPOP		Non-Guaran Subsidiaries (a)	tor	Eliminations	Consolidat	ed
Revenues Costs and expenses Operating (loss) income	\$— 1,280 (1,280	)	\$262,585 155,739 106,846		\$142,769 103,673 39,096		\$ 5,000,895 5,252,848 (251,953	)	\$(23,886) (23,922) 36	\$5,382,363 5,489,618 (107,255	3
Equity in (loss) earnings of subsidiaries	(214,717		(342,197	)	76,380		106,073	,	374,461		,
Equity in (loss) earnings of joint ventures	—		(3,304	)	—		7,120		_	3,816	
Interest expense, net			(60,540	)	(9,799	)	(698	)		(71,037	)
Other (expense) income, net (Loss) income before income			(21,199	)	1,751		(1,936	)		(21,384	)
tax	(215,997	)	(320,394	)	107,428		(141,394	)	374,497	(195,860	)
expense Income tax expense			238		1,331		18,785			20,354	
Net (loss) income	(215,997	)	(320,632	)	106,097		(160,179	)	374,497	(216,214	)
Less net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest Net (loss) income attributable		,		,			(217	)		(217	)
to NuStar Energy L.P.	\$(215,997	)	\$(320,632	)	\$106,097		\$ (159,962	)	\$374,497	\$(215,997	)
Comprehensive (loss) income Less comprehensive income	\$(215,997	)	\$(336,211	)	\$106,097		\$ (197,177	)	\$374,497	\$(268,791	)
attributable to noncontrolling interest	_		_		_		780		_	780	
Comprehensive (loss) income attributable to NuStar Energy L.P.	\$(215,997	)	\$(336,211	)	\$106,097		\$ (197,957	)	\$374,497	\$(269,571	)

(a)Non-guarantor subsidiaries are wholly owned by NuStar Energy, NuStar Logistics or NuPOP.

#### <u>Table of Contents</u> NUSTAR ENERGY L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

#### Condensed Consolidating Statements of Comprehensive Income For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 (Thousands of Dollars)

	NuStar Energy	NuStar Logistics	NuPOP	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries (a)		Consolidated
Revenues	\$—	\$212,483	\$136,525	\$ 4,325,226	\$(26,084)	\$4,648,150
Costs and expenses	1,283	124,399	98,669	4,184,028	(26,288)	4,382,091
Operating (loss) income	(1,283)	88,084	37,856	141,198	204	266,059
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries	192,543	41,827	86,491	146,940	(467,801)	_
Equity in earnings of joint venture		—	—	6,997		6,997
Interest expense, net		(43,234)	(17,236)	(2,174)		(62,644)
Other income (loss), net		292	265	(6,256)		(5,699)
Income (loss) before income						
tax	191,260	86,969	107,376	286,705	(467,597)	204,713
expense						
Income tax expense	1	1,569		11,741		13,311
Net income (loss)	191,259	85,400	107,376	274,964	(467,597)	191,402
Less net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	)		_	143	_	143
Net income (loss) attributable	¢ 101 <b>25</b> 0	¢ 95 400	¢ 107 276	¢ 074 001	¢ (4C7 507 )	¢ 101 250
to Nu Stor En arroy L. D.	\$191,259	\$85,400	\$107,376	\$ 274,821	\$(467,597)	\$191,259
NuStar Energy L.P.						
Comprehensive income (loss) Less comprehensive loss	\$191,259	\$9,470	\$107,376	\$ 204,021	\$(467,597)	\$44,529
attributable to noncontrolling interest	_	_	_	(2,318)	_	(2,318)
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to NuStar Energy L.P.	\$191,259	\$9,470	\$107,376	\$ 206,339	\$(467,597)	\$46,847

(a)Non-guarantor subsidiaries are wholly owned by NuStar Energy, NuStar Logistics or NuPOP.

#### <u>Table of Contents</u> NUSTAR ENERGY L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 (Thousands of Dollars)

	NuStar Energy		NuStar Logistics		NuPOP		Non-Guarant Subsidiaries (a)	or	Eliminations	Consolidate	ed
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities Cash flows from investing activities:	\$265,905		\$91,736		\$46,183		\$ 142,700		\$(274,645)	\$271,879	
Capital expenditures	_		(237,408	)	(11,816	)	(71,554	)	_	(320,778	)
Investment in other long-term assets	_				_		(2,364	)	_	(2,364	)
Proceeds from sale or											
disposition	_		150		4,531		30,866		_	35,547	
of assets Proceeds from sale of Asphalt Operations	_		436,276		_		_		_	436,276	
Increase in note receivable from			(170,711	)						(170,711	)
related party							(a.)	,			
Investment in subsidiaries Net cash (used in) provided by	(344,244	)	_				(34	)	344,278		
investing activities	(344,244	)	28,307		(7,285	)	(43,086	)	344,278	(22,030	)
Cash flows from financing											
activities: Debt borrowings			1,877,048							1,877,048	
Debt repayments	_		(2,109,058	)	(250,000	)	_		_	(2,359,058	)
Senior note offering, net			247,408				_		_	247,408	,
Issuance of common units, net of issuance costs	336,662		_		_		_		_	336,662	
General partner contribution	7,121						_		_	7,121	
Distributions to unitholders and general partner	(267,228	)	(267,228	)			(7,426	)	274,654	(267,228	)
Contributions from (distributions to) affiliates	_		344,244		_		34		(344,278 )	_	
Payments for termination of interest rate swaps	_		(5,678	)			_		_	(5,678	)
Net intercompany borrowings (repayments)	9,059		(206,846	)	211,102		(13,306	)	(9)	_	
Other, net	(133	)	496				_		_	363	
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	85,481		(119,614	)	(38,898	)	(20,698	)	(69,633)	(163,362	)
Effect of foreign exchange rate	_		(431	)	_		3,903		_	3,472	
changes on cash	7 142			`							
Net increase (decrease) in cash	1,142		(2	)	_		82,819		_	89,959	

17,497
\$107,456

(a)Non-guarantor subsidiaries are wholly owned by NuStar Energy, NuStar Logistics or NuPOP.

### <u>Table of Contents</u> NUSTAR ENERGY L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 (Thousands of Dollars)

	NuStar Energy	NuStar Logistics	NuPOP	Non-Guaranto Subsidiaries (a)		Consolidated
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities Cash flows from investing activities:	\$239,146	\$84,681	\$20,883	\$ (2,244 )	\$(240,595)	\$101,871
Capital expenditures Acquisition		(152,764	) (4,954	(86,240) (100,693)	_	(243,958) (100,693)
Investment in other long-term	_	_		(8,449)		(8,449)
assets Proceeds from sale or				· · · · · ·		
disposition of assets	_	57	79	309	_	445
Investment in subsidiaries	(57,300)	(47,820	) (56,727	(56,727)	218,574	
Net cash used in investing activities	(57,300)	(200,527	) (61,602	(251,800)	218,574	(352,655)
Cash flows from financing activities:						
Debt borrowings		738,702	—	—		738,702
Debt repayments		(379,753	) —			(379,753)
Issuance of common units, net	1 502					1 502
of issuance costs	1,583					1,583
General partner contribution	70					70
Distributions to unitholders and general partner		(240,571	) —	(24)	240,595	(240,571 )
Contributions from (distributions to) affiliates	57,300	(57,300	) 56,727	161,847	(218,574 )	_
Proceeds from termination of interest rate swaps	_	12,632	—	_	—	12,632
Net intercompany borrowings (repayments)	(159)	(66,833	) (16,008	83,000	_	
Other, net		181	_	(966)		(785)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(181,777 )	7,058	40,719	243,857	22,021	131,878
Effect of foreign exchange rate changes on cash		1,139		(4,140)	_	(3,001)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and	69	(107,649	) —	(14,327)	_	(121,907)
cash equivalents Cash and cash equivalents as of the	f 53	107,655	_	73,413	_	181,121

beginning of the period Cash and cash equivalents as of the \$122 \$6 \$--- \$59,086 \$--- \$59,214 end of the period

(a)Non-guarantor subsidiaries are wholly owned by NuStar Energy, NuStar Logistics or NuPOP.

#### <u>Table of Contents</u> NUSTAR ENERGY L.P. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

## **17. SUBSEQUENT EVENT**

#### TexStar Purchase Agreement

On November 2, 2012, NuStar Logistics entered into an Asset Purchase Agreement (the Purchase Agreement) with TexStar Crude Oil Services, LP, TexStar Crude Oil Pipeline, LP, TexStar Midstream Utility, LP, TexStar Midstream Transport, LP, TexStar Midstream Services, LP and Frio LaSalle Pipeline, LP (collectively, the Sellers), to purchase the following assets:

approximately 140 miles of crude oil pipelines and gathering lines that are currently under construction and upon completion will have throughput capacity of 100,000 barrels per day (the Crude Oil Pipelines); five terminals and storage facilities providing 643,400 barrels of storage capacity (the Crude Oil Terminals and Storage Facilities); and 38 miles of natural gas liquids (NGL) Y-grade pipeline and two fractionators with a combined capacity of 57,000 barrels per day (the NGL Assets); with the NGL Assets currently under construction.

The acquisition also includes a lease for a terminal and storage facility located near Corpus Christi providing 144,000 barrels of storage capacity (the Redfish Bay Lease). The Crude Oil Pipelines, the Crude Oil Terminals and Storage Facilities and the Redfish Bay Lease are collectively referred to herein as the "Crude Oil Assets." The Crude Oil Assets and the NGL Assets are collectively referred to herein as the "Assets."

The purchase price for the Assets is approximately \$425.0 million, subject to certain purchase price adjustments, and is expected to close in two separate transactions. The acquisition of the Crude Oil Assets is expected to close in December 2012 (the Initial Closing), subject to customary closing conditions such as approval under the Hart-Scott Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act. The acquisition of the NGL Assets is expected to close in the first quarter of 2013 (the Second Closing), subject to certain closing conditions such as completion of the Initial Closing and achieving certain milestones with respect to the development of the NGL Assets. If the Second Closing does not occur, it will have no impact on the Purchase Agreement with respect to the Crude Oil Assets. The Initial Closing and the Second Closing are collectively referred to herein as the "Transaction." Upon the Initial Closing, we will pay the Sellers approximately \$325.0 million and at the Second Closing, we will pay the Sellers approximately \$100.0 million. Both payments are subject to certain purchase price adjustments. We expect to fund the purchase price with a combination of borrowings under our 2012 Revolving Credit Agreement and potential equity or debt offerings, pending market conditions.

The parties to the Purchase Agreement have made customary representations, warranties and covenants for a transaction of this type in this industry, including, among others, Sellers' agreement to continue to operate the Assets, during the period prior to the consummation of the Transaction, in the ordinary course of business, consistent with past practice or as otherwise set forth in the Purchase Agreement. The Sellers also agreed to continue construction of the Crude Oil Assets and NGL Assets. The Purchase Agreement also contains post-closing indemnification obligations for, among other matters, breaches of representations and warranties and certain retained and assumed obligations.

The Purchase Agreement contains certain termination rights prior to consummation of the Transaction by: (i) mutual written consent of NuStar Logistics and Sellers; (ii) NuStar Logistics if casualty losses result in damages in excess of \$17.0 million; (iii) NuStar Logistics or Sellers, as applicable, if the other party has breached a representation, warranty or covenant contained in the Purchase Agreement so that such party is incapable of fulfilling its obligations under a closing condition; (iv) by NuStar Logistics or Sellers if the Initial Closing has not occurred by December 15, 2012,

subject to extension as provided in the Purchase Agreement; or (v) by NuStar Logistics or Sellers if the Second Closing has not occurred by January 31, 2013, subject to extension as provided in the Purchase Agreement.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

## FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Form 10-Q contains certain estimates, predictions, projections, assumptions and other forward-looking statements that involve various risks and uncertainties. While these forward-looking statements, and any assumptions upon which they are based, are made in good faith and reflect our current judgment regarding the direction of our business, actual results will almost always vary, sometimes materially, from any estimates, predictions, projections, assumptions or other future performance suggested in this report. These forward-looking statements can generally be identified by the words "anticipates," "believes," "expects," "plans," "intends," "estimates," "forecasts," "budgets," "projects," "could," "should," "may" and similar expressions. These statements reflect our current views with regard to future events and are subject to various risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Please read our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, Part I, Item 1A "Risk Factors," as well as our subsequent current and quarterly reports, for a discussion of certain of those risks, uncertainties and assumptions.

If one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or if the underlying assumptions prove incorrect, our actual results may vary materially from those described in any forward-looking statement. Other unknown or unpredictable factors could also have material adverse effects on our future results. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on this forward-looking information, which is as of the date of this Form 10-Q. We do not intend to update these statements unless it is required by the securities laws to do so, and we undertake no obligation to publicly release the result of any revisions to any such forward-looking statements that may be made to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this report or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

#### **OVERVIEW**

NuStar Energy L.P. (NuStar Energy) is a publicly held Delaware limited partnership engaged in the terminalling and storage of petroleum products, the transportation of petroleum products and anhydrous ammonia, and petroleum refining and marketing. Unless otherwise indicated, the terms "NuStar Energy," "the Partnership," "we," "our" and "us" are us in this report to refer to NuStar Energy L.P., to one or more of our consolidated subsidiaries or to all of them taken as a whole. NuStar GP Holdings, LLC (NuStar GP Holdings) (NYSE: NSH) owns our general partner, Riverwalk Logistics, L.P., and owns a 15.1% total interest in us as of September 30, 2012. Our Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations is presented in six sections:

Overview Results of Operations Trends and Outlook Liquidity and Capital Resources Related Party Transactions Critical Accounting Policies

#### Operations

We conduct our operations through our subsidiaries, primarily NuStar Logistics, L.P. (NuStar Logistics) and NuStar Pipeline Operating Partnership L.P. (NuPOP). Our operations are divided into three reportable business segments: storage, transportation, and asphalt and fuels marketing.

Storage. We own terminals and storage facilities in the United States, Canada, Mexico, the Netherlands, including St. Eustatius in the Caribbean, the United Kingdom and Turkey providing approximately 83.0 million barrels of storage capacity. Our terminals and storage facilities provide storage and handling services on a fee basis for petroleum products, specialty chemicals and other liquids, including crude oil and other feedstocks.

Transportation. We own common carrier refined product pipelines in Texas, Oklahoma, Colorado, New Mexico, Kansas, Nebraska, Iowa, South Dakota, North Dakota and Minnesota covering approximately 5,480 miles, consisting of the Central West System, the East Pipeline and the North Pipeline. The East and North Pipelines also include 21 terminals providing storage capacity of 4.5 million barrels, and the East Pipeline includes two tank farms providing storage capacity of 1.2 million barrels. In addition, we own a 2,000 mile anhydrous Ammonia Pipeline located in Louisiana, Arkansas, Missouri, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa and Nebraska. We also own 953 miles of crude oil pipelines in Texas, Oklahoma, Kansas, Colorado and Illinois, as well as 1.9 million barrels of crude storage in Texas and Oklahoma located along those crude oil pipelines. We charge tariffs on a per barrel basis for transporting refined products, crude oil and other feedstocks in our refined product and crude oil pipelines and on a per ton basis for transporting anhydrous ammonia in our Ammonia Pipeline.

Asphalt and Fuels Marketing. Our asphalt and fuels marketing segment includes our asphalt operations, fuels marketing operations and our San Antonio refinery. Our asphalt operations include two asphalt refineries with a combined throughput capacity of 104,000 barrels per day at which we refine crude oil to produce asphalt and certain other refined products. Within our fuels marketing operations, we purchase crude oil and refined petroleum products for resale. Additionally, this segment includes a fuels refinery in San Antonio, Texas, with a throughput capacity of 14,500 barrels per day at which we refine crude oil to produce various refined petroleum products. The results of operations for the asphalt and fuels marketing segment depend largely on the margin between our cost and the sales prices of the products we market. Therefore, the results of operations for this segment are more sensitive to changes in commodity prices compared to the operations of the storage and transportation segments. We enter into derivative contracts to attempt to mitigate the effects of commodity price fluctuations.

On September 28, 2012, we sold a 50% voting interest (the Asphalt Sale) in NuStar Asphalt LLC (Asphalt JV), previously a wholly-owned subsidiary, to an affiliate of Lindsay Goldberg LLC (Lindsay Goldberg), a private investment firm. Asphalt JV owns and operates asphalt refining assets that were previously wholly owned by NuStar Energy, including the asphalt refineries located in Paulsboro, New Jersey and Savannah, Georgia (collectively, the Asphalt Operations). Lindsay Goldberg paid \$175.0 million for the Class A equity interests (Class A Interests) of Asphalt JV, while we retained the Class B equity interests with a fair value of \$52.0 million (Class B Interests). The Class A Interests have a distribution preference over the Class B Interests, as well as a liquidation preference. At closing, we received \$261.3 million from Asphalt JV for inventory related to the Asphalt Operations, pending a final inventory valuation. Since the fair value of the consideration we received was less than the carrying amount of the assets of the Asphalt Operations, we recognized a loss of \$21.6 million in "Other (expense) income, net" in the condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012.

Upon closing, we deconsolidated Asphalt JV and started reporting our remaining investment in Asphalt JV using the equity method of accounting. Therefore, as of September 30, 2012, we have presented our 50% interest in Asphalt JV as "Investment in joint ventures" on the consolidated balance sheet. The condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income include the results of operations for Asphalt JV in "Equity in earnings of joint ventures" commencing on September 28, 2012. Because of our continued involvement with Asphalt JV, we have not presented the results of operations for the Asphalt Operations prior to closing as discontinued operations.

In anticipation of the Asphalt Sale, we evaluated the goodwill and other long-lived assets associated with the Asphalt Operations for potential impairment. We determined the fair value of the Asphalt Operations reporting unit was less than its carrying value, which resulted in the recognition of a goodwill impairment loss of \$22.1 million in the second quarter of 2012. In addition, we recorded an impairment loss of \$244.3 million in the second quarter of 2012 to write-down the carrying value of long-lived assets related to the Asphalt Operations, including fixed assets, intangible assets and other long-term assets to their estimated fair value. The goodwill impairment loss and the asset impairment loss related to the Asphalt Operations is reported in the asphalt and fuels marketing segment. Please refer to Note 2 of the Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 1. "Financial Statements" for a discussion of the Asphalt Sale. Please refer to Note 3 of the Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements and the fair value measurements.

The following factors affect the results of our operations:

company-specific factors, such as facility integrity issues and maintenance requirements that impact the throughput rates of our assets;

seasonal factors that affect the demand for products transported by and/or stored in our assets and the demand for products we sell, particularly asphalt;

•

industry factors, such as changes in the prices of petroleum products, that affect demand and operations of our competitors;

factors such as commodity price volatility that impact our asphalt and fuels marketing segment; and other factors, such as refinery utilization rates and maintenance turnaround schedules, that impact our refineries as well as the operations of refineries served by our storage and transportation assets.

#### RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 Compared to Three Months Ended September 30, 2011 Financial Highlights (Unsudited, Theusende of Dollars, Except Unit and Per Unit Date)

(Unaudited, Thousands of Dollars, Except Unit and Per Unit Data)

	Three Months 30,	Change		
	2012	2011	entange	
Statement of Income Data:				
Revenues:				
Services revenues	\$221,821	\$210,681	\$11,140	
Product sales	1,522,945	1,613,669	(90,724	)
Total revenues	1,744,766	1,824,350	(79,584	)
Costs and expenses:				
Cost of product sales	1,486,985	1,535,609	(48,624	)
Operating expenses	142,419	135,615	6,804	
General and administrative expenses	24,954	17,731	7,223	
Depreciation and amortization expense	39,686	42,418	(2,732	)
Total costs and expenses	1,694,044	1,731,373	(37,329	)
Operating income	50,722	92,977	(42,255	)
Equity in (loss) earnings of joint ventures	(951	) 2,599	(3,550	)
Interest expense, net	(24,867	) (21,565 )	(3,302	)
Other (expense) income, net	(19,940	) 767	(20,707	)
Income before income tax expense	4,964	74,778	(69,814	)
Income tax expense	622	4,497	(3,875	)
Net income	\$4,342	\$70,281	\$(65,939	)
Net (loss) income per unit applicable to limited partners	\$(0.09	) \$0.92	\$(1.01	)
Weighted-average limited partner units outstanding	72,383,578	64,612,423	7,771,155	

#### Highlights

Net income decreased \$65.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2011, primarily due to a decrease in segment operating income and an increase in other expense and general and administrative expenses. Segment operating income decreased \$35.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2011, primarily due to an operating loss from the asphalt and fuels marketing segment. Other expense increased for the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2011, mainly due to a \$21.6 million loss related to the Asphalt Sale.

# Segment Operating Highlights

(Thousands of Dollars, Except Barrels/Day Information)

(Thousands of Dollars, Except Barrels/Day Information)				
	Three Months	r		
	30,		Change	
	2012	2011	C	
Storage:				
Throughput (barrels/day)	780,560	721,618	58,942	
Throughput revenues	\$23,222	\$21,743	\$1,479	
Storage lease revenues	125,708	120,146	5,562	
-				
Total revenues	148,930	141,889	7,041	
Operating expenses	75,210	71,386	3,824	
Depreciation and amortization expense	23,298	21,725	1,573	
Segment operating income	\$50,422	\$48,778	\$1,644	
Transportation:				
Refined products pipelines throughput (barrels/day)	521,255	523,279	(2,024	)
Crude oil pipelines throughput (barrels/day)	368,846	319,103	49,743	
Total throughput (barrels/day)	890,101	842,382	47,719	
Throughput revenues	\$93,730	\$81,899	\$11,831	
Operating expenses	37,788	30,796	6,992	
Depreciation and amortization expense	13,345	12,855	490	
	\$42,597	\$38,248	\$4,349	
Segment operating income	\$42,397	\$30,240	\$4,549	
Asphalt and Fuels Marketing:				
Product sales	\$1,523,044	\$1,618,693	\$(95,649	)
Cost of product sales	1,495,312	1,545,340	(50,028	)
Gross margin	27,732	73,353	(45,621	)
Operating expenses	42,010	41,862	148	
Depreciation and amortization expense	1,404	6,073	(4,669	)
Segment operating (loss) income	\$(15,682	) \$25,418	\$(41,100	)
	+ (,	) +,	+(,	)
Consolidation and Intersegment Eliminations:				
Revenues	\$(20,938	) \$(18,131	) \$(2,807	)
Cost of product sales	(8,327	) (9,731	) 1,404	
Operating expenses	(12,589	) (8,429	) (4,160	)
Total	\$(22	) \$29	\$(51	)
				,
Consolidated Information:				
Revenues	\$1,744,766	\$1,824,350	\$(79,584	)
Cost of product sales	1,486,985	1,535,609	(48,624	)
Operating expenses	142,419	135,615	6,804	
Depreciation and amortization expense	38,047	40,653	(2,606	)
Segment operating income	77,315	112,473	(35,158	ý
General and administrative expenses	(24,954		) (7,223	ì
Other depreciation and amortization expense	(1,639		) 126	,
Consolidated operating income	\$50,722	\$92,977	·	)
Consonuated operating income	\$JU,122	\$72,711	\$(42,255	)

## Storage

Throughputs increased 58,942 barrels per day and throughput revenues increased \$1.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2011, primarily due to new contracts at our Corpus Christi crude storage tanks that became effective July 1, 2012 associated with Eagle Ford Shale projects. Throughputs and revenues also increased due to increased demand in markets served by our Texas City crude storage tanks.

Storage lease revenues increased \$5.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2011, primarily due to an increase of \$12.1 million from completed expansion projects, including a new unit train offloading facility and tank expansion at our St. James terminal.

## These increases were partially offset by:

a decrease of \$2.3 million due to the sale of five refined product terminals in April 2012;
a decrease of \$1.9 million due to the conversion of some lease-based contracts to throughput-based contracts at our Corpus Christi crude storage tanks effective July 1, 2012 associated with Eagle Ford Shale projects; and
a decrease of \$1.5 million at our Point Tupper terminal, mainly due to a decrease in throughputs.

Operating expenses increased \$3.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2011, mainly due to increased salaries and wages and less capitalized overhead as a result of fewer capital projects.

Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$1.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2011, primarily due to the completion of the St. James terminal unit train and tank expansion projects.

## Transportation

Revenues increased \$11.8 million and throughputs increased 47,719 barrels per day, for the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2011, primarily due to:

an increase in revenues of \$6.7 million and an increase in throughputs of 48,912 barrels per day on crude oil pipelines that serve Eagle Ford Shale production in South Texas, two of which were placed in service in the second and third quarters of 2011. In addition, we entered into a new customer contract and increased fees on certain pipelines in the third quarter of 2012;

an increase in revenues of \$1.7 million and an increase in throughputs of 5,340 barrels per day on the North Pipeline mainly due to increased output following the completion of an expansion project at the Mandan refinery in June 2012; an increase in revenues of \$1.4 million and an increase in throughputs of 7,580 barrels per day on the East Pipeline mainly due to 2011 turnaround activity and operating issues at refineries served by the pipeline;

an increase in revenues of \$1.3 million and an increase in throughputs of 7,878 barrels per day on refined product pipelines that serve the McKee refinery due to increased demand; and

an increase in revenues of \$1.2 million and an increase in throughputs of 11,782 barrels per day on the Elmendorf to San Antonio crude oil pipeline that was placed in service in April 2012.

These increases in revenues and throughputs were partially offset by a decrease in revenues of \$2.0 million and a decrease in throughputs of 25,298 barrels per day on the Houston pipeline as it is being converted to new service.

Operating expenses increased \$7.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2011, mainly due to temporary barge rental costs to move a customer's product associated with Eagle Ford Shale projects and increased regulatory expenses on the Ammonia Pipeline.

## Asphalt and Fuels Marketing

Sales and cost of product sales decreased \$95.6 million and \$50.0 million, respectively, resulting in a decrease in total gross margin of \$45.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2011. The gross margin from our fuels marketing operations decreased \$22.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2011, primarily due to hedge losses and a decrease in the gross margin per barrel and volumes sold associated with our crude oil sales. In addition, we reduced the scope of our bunker fuel operations this quarter by liquidating our inventory and exiting two markets where results had been weak, which contributed to the decrease in gross margin for our bunker fuel sales.

The gross margin from the San Antonio refinery decreased \$14.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2011, mainly due to hedge losses and a decrease in the gross margin per

barrel, which resulted from higher weighted-average inventory costs and sales prices that either decreased, or remained flat, compared to the same period in prior year.

The gross margin from our asphalt operations decreased \$8.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2011, mainly due to weak demand for asphalt, resulting in a 17% decrease in volumes sold and a decrease in gross margin per barrel. The gross margin per barrel decreased to \$5.55 for the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to \$5.96 for the three months ended September 30, 2011.

Operating expenses remained flat for the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2011. Operating expenses for our fuels marketing operations increased, mainly due to increased fuel and vessel costs associated with bunker fuel sales and increased railcar costs associated with fuel oil sales. Increases in operating expenses were offset by decreased costs associated with storage agreements that expired in 2012 related to our asphalt operations.

Depreciation and amortization expense decreased \$4.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2011, as a result of reclassifying depreciable assets related to our asphalt operations to "Assets held for sale" on the consolidated balance sheet and discontinuing depreciation of these assets as of June 30, 2012.

## Consolidation and Intersegment Eliminations

Revenue, cost of product sales and operating expense eliminations primarily relate to storage and transportation fees charged to the asphalt and fuels marketing segment by the transportation and storage segments.

## General

General and administrative expenses increased \$7.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2011, primarily due to higher compensation expense associated with our long-term incentive plans, which fluctuates with our unit price.

Equity in (loss) earnings of joint ventures changed by \$3.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2011, mainly due to a \$3.3 million loss from our investment in Asphalt JV.

Interest expense, net increased \$3.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2011, mainly due to higher interest rates and letter of credit fees on the new \$1.5 billion five-year revolving credit agreement. In addition, we had reduced benefits from interest rate swaps for the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2011, as we had fewer fixed-to-floating interest rate swaps in 2012 compared to 2011, and in February 2012, we began recognizing the interest expense related to terminated forward-starting interest rate swap agreements.

Other (expense) income, net changed by \$20.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2011, mainly due to a \$21.6 million loss associated with the Asphalt Sale.

Income tax expense decreased \$3.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2011, mainly due to lower taxable income.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 Financial Highlights (Unaudited, Thousands of Dollars, Except Unit and Per Unit Data)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,		Change	
	2012	2011	Change	
Statement of Income Data: Revenues:	-	-		
Services revenues	\$636,548	\$608,689	\$27,859	
Product sales	4,745,815	4,039,461	706,354	
Total revenues	5,382,363	4,648,150	734,213	
Costs and expenses:				
Cost of product sales	4,638,011	3,797,424	840,587	
Operating expenses	403,348	390,480	12,868	
General and administrative expenses	75,276	69,833	5,443	
Depreciation and amortization expense	129,943	124,354	5,589	
Asset impairment loss	249,646	_	249,646	
Goodwill impairment loss	22,132		22,132	
Gain on legal settlement	(28,738	) —	(28,738	)
Total costs and expenses	5,489,618	4,382,091	1,107,527	
Operating (loss) income	(107,255	) 266,059	(373,314	)
Equity in earnings of joint ventures	3,816	6,997	(3,181	)
Interest expense, net	(71,037	) (62,644	(8,393	)
Other expense, net	(21,384	) (5,699	(15,685	)
(Loss) income before income tax expense	(195,860	) 204,713	(400,573	)
Income tax expense	20,354	13,311	7,043	
Net (loss) income	\$(216,214	) \$191,402	\$(407,616	)
Net (loss) income per unit applicable to limited partners	\$(3.40	) \$2.49	\$(5.89	)
Weighted-average limited partner units outstanding	71,302,538	64,611,181	6,691,357	

## Highlights

For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we reported a net loss of \$216.2 million, compared to net income of \$191.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, primarily due to an operating loss of \$324.0 million in the asphalt and fuels marketing segment. The operating loss of the asphalt and fuels marketing segment mainly resulted from an asset impairment charge of \$266.4 million in the second quarter of 2012 related to the long-lived assets of our asphalt operations. In addition, the gross margin for the asphalt and fuels marketing segment decreased \$141.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

# Segment Operating Highlights

(Thousands of Dollars, Except Barrels/Day Information)

(	Nine Months			
	30,		Change	
	2012	2011		
Storage:				
Throughput (barrels/day)	755,893	679,031	76,862	
Throughput revenues	\$67,679	\$58,388	\$9,291	
Storage lease revenues	379,473	359,820	19,653	
Total revenues	447,152	418,208	28,944	
Operating expenses	214,605	213,230	1,375	
Depreciation and amortization expense	69,725	64,656	5,069	
Asset impairment loss	2,126		2,126	
Segment operating income	\$160,696	\$140,322	\$20,374	
Transportation:				
Refined products pipelines throughput (barrels/day)	490,775	509,354	(18,579	)
Crude oil pipelines throughput (barrels/day)	333,859	304,554	29,305	
Total throughput (barrels/day)	824,634	813,908	10,726	
Throughput revenues	\$247,109	\$226,471	\$20,638	
Operating expenses	96,084	85,381	10,703	
Depreciation and amortization expense	39,607	38,282	1,325	
Segment operating income	\$111,418	\$102,808	\$8,610	
Asphalt and Fuels Marketing:				
Product sales	\$4,746,221	\$4,049,079	\$697,142	
Cost of product sales	4,659,912	3,821,379	838,533	
Gross margin	86,309	227,700	(141,391	)
Operating expenses	128,829	113,506	15,323	
Depreciation and amortization expense	15,119	16,505	(1,386	)
Asset and goodwill impairment loss	266,357		266,357	
Segment operating (loss) income	\$(323,996	) \$97,689	\$(421,685	)
Consolidation and Intersegment Eliminations:				
Revenues	\$(58,119	) \$(45,608	) \$(12,511	)
Cost of product sales	(21,901	) (23,955	) 2,054	
Operating expenses	(36,170	) (21,637	) (14,533	)
Total	\$(48	) \$(16	) \$(32	)
Consolidated Information:				
Revenues	\$5,382,363	\$4,648,150	\$734,213	
Cost of product sales	4,638,011	3,797,424	840,587	
Operating expenses	403,348	390,480	12,868	
Depreciation and amortization expense	124,451	119,443	5,008	
Asset and goodwill impairment loss	268,483	_	268,483	
Segment operating (loss) income	(51,930	) 340,803	(392,733	)
General and administrative expenses	(75,276	) (69,833	) (5,443	)
Other depreciation and amortization expense	(5,492	) (4,911	) (581	)

Other asset impairment loss	(3,295	) —	(3,295	)
Gain on legal settlement	28,738		28,738	
Consolidated operating (loss) income	\$(107,255	) \$266,059	\$(373,314	)

# Storage

Throughput revenues increased \$9.3 million and throughputs increased 76,862 barrels per day for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2011, primarily due to a turnaround in the first quarter of 2011 at the refinery served by our Benicia crude oil storage tanks. In addition, throughputs and revenues increased at the Edinburg, Texas and Harlingen, Texas terminals due to ethanol blending services that started in the third quarter of 2011 and at certain terminals serving the McKee refinery as customers shifted volumes to our terminals in 2012 due to maintenance requirements.

Storage lease revenues increased \$19.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2011, primarily due to:

an increase of \$30.2 million at our St. James terminal resulting from completed tank expansion projects and the unit train offloading facility project, as well as new customer contracts and rate escalations; and an increase of \$4.5 million at our St. Eustatius terminal facility mainly due to rate escalations and increased reimbursable revenues.

These increases in revenues were partially offset by:

a decrease in revenues of \$6.9 million at our Point Tupper terminal facility, mainly due to decreased dockage and throughputs, which were partially offset by higher storage revenues;

• a decrease in revenues of \$4.8 million due to the sale of five refined product terminals in April 2012; and

a decrease in revenues of \$4.9 million at our UK, Amsterdam and Turkey terminals, mainly due to the effect of foreign exchange rates and a decrease in customer product movements.

Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$5.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2011, primarily due to the completion of the St. James terminal unit train and tank expansion projects.

The asset impairment loss of \$2.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 represents the write-down of the carrying value of one of our terminals due to changing market conditions that reduced the estimated cash flows for that terminal.

## Transportation

Revenues increased \$20.6 million and throughputs increased 10,726 barrels per day, for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2011, primarily due to:

an increase in revenues of \$9.5 million and an increase in throughputs of 31,641 barrels per day on pipelines that were placed in service in the second and third quarters of 2011 to serve Eagle Ford Shale production in South Texas; an increase in revenues of \$4.5 million on the Ammonia Pipeline, while throughputs remained flat, due to increased long-haul deliveries resulting in a higher average tariff. Fewer long-haul deliveries occurred in 2011 due to supply issues caused by flooding in the Midwest;

an increase in revenues of \$4.0 million on the East Pipeline, despite a decrease in throughputs of 4,914 barrels per day, due to higher average tariffs resulting from increased long-haul deliveries and an increase in the annual index adjustment;

an increase in revenues of \$2.2 million and an increase in throughputs of 7,405 barrels per day on the Elmendorf to San Antonio crude oil pipeline that was placed in service in April 2012;

an increase in revenues of \$2.1 million and an increase in throughputs of 1,308 barrels per day on the North Pipeline, mainly due to an increase in the annual index adjustment and the completion of an expansion project at the Mandan refinery in June 2012; and

an increase in revenues of \$1.8 million and an increase in throughputs of 6,237 barrels per day on refined product pipelines serving the Three Rivers refinery, mainly due to a turnaround and operational issues in 2011 at the refinery.

These increases in revenues and throughputs were partially offset by:

a decrease in revenues of \$3.4 million and a decrease in throughputs of 32,671 barrels per day on pipelines serving the McKee refinery, primarily due to a turnaround at the McKee refinery in April and May 2012. The decrease in revenues was partially offset by a throughput deficiency payment received in the second quarter of 2012 related to one of the pipelines serving the McKee refinery; and

a decrease in revenues of \$1.9 million and a decease in throughputs of 8,846 barrels per day on the Houston pipeline as it is being converted to new service.

Operating expenses increased \$10.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2011, mainly due to temporary barge rental costs to move a customer's product associated with Eagle Ford Shale projects and increased regulatory expenses on the Ammonia Pipeline.

## Asphalt and Fuels Marketing

Sales and cost of product sales increased \$697.1 million and \$838.5 million, respectively, resulting in a decrease in total gross margin of \$141.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The gross margin from our asphalt operations decreased \$79.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2011, mainly due to a decrease in gross margin per barrel, as well as a decrease in sales volumes. The gross margin per barrel decreased to \$4.79 for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to \$9.67 for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, while sales volumes decreased by approximately 15%.

The gross margin from the San Antonio refinery, acquired in the second quarter of 2011, decreased \$27.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2011, mainly due to hedge losses and falling sales prices coupled with higher weighted-average costs, which resulted in an overall negative gross margin.

The gross margin from our fuels marketing operations decreased \$34.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2011, mainly due to rising costs, which outpaced rising sales prices, and hedge losses associated with fuel oil sales. In addition, the gross margin from bunker fuel sales decreased as a result of a decrease in the gross margin per barrel, despite an increase in volumes sold. We reduced the scope of our bunker fuel operations this quarter by liquidating our inventory and exiting two markets where results had been weak, which contributed to the decrease in gross margin for bunker fuel sales. Furthermore, during the second quarter of 2012, crude oil prices fell sharply, causing a similar decline in prices for our fuel oil and bunker fuel. During this period of declining prices, we did not hedge our fuel oil and bunker fuel inventories, and the gross margin earned for sales of those products declined significantly.

Operating expenses increased \$15.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2011, primarily due to increased fuel and vessel costs associated with bunker fuel sales and increased railcar costs associated with fuel oil sales.

Depreciation and amortization expense decreased \$1.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2011, primarily as a result of reclassifying depreciable assets related to our asphalt operations to "Assets held for sale" on the consolidated balance sheet and discontinuing depreciation of these assets as of June 30, 2012.

The asset impairment loss of \$266.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 represents the write-down of the carrying value of our long-lived assets related to our asphalt operations, including fixed assets, goodwill, intangible assets and other long-term assets, in the second quarter of 2012.

## Consolidation and Intersegment Eliminations

Revenue, cost of product sales and operating expense eliminations primarily relate to storage and transportation fees charged to the asphalt and fuels marketing segment by the transportation and storage segments.

#### General

General and administrative expenses increased \$5.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2011, primarily due to higher compensation expense associated with our

long-term incentive plans, which fluctuates with our unit price.

The other asset impairment loss of \$3.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 represents the write-down of the carrying value of certain corporate assets we intend to sell to the estimated sales price.

The gain on legal settlement of \$28.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 represents the settlement of the Grace Energy Corporation matter in the second quarter of 2012. Please refer to Note 6 of the Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 1. "Financial Statements" for a detailed discussion of the Grace Energy Corporation matter.

Equity in earnings of joint ventures decreased \$3.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2011, primarily due to a \$3.3 million loss from our investment in Asphalt JV.

Interest expense, net increased \$8.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2011, mainly due to higher interest rates and letter of credit fees on the new \$1.5 billion five-year revolving

credit agreement. In addition, we had reduced benefits from interest rate swaps for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2011, as we had fewer fixed-to-floating interest rate swaps in 2012 compared to 2011, and in February 2012, we began recognizing the interest expense related to terminated forward-starting interest rate swap agreements.

Other expense, net decreased \$15.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2011, mainly due a \$21.6 million loss associated with the Asphalt Sale in September 2012. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, other expense, net included \$5.0 million in costs associated with the early termination of a third-party storage agreement at our Paulsboro, New Jersey asphalt refinery.

Income tax expense increased \$7.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2011, mainly due to tax expense of \$10.1 million related to the \$28.7 million gain on legal settlement recognized in the second quarter of 2012, which was partially offset by lower taxable income. Please refer to Note 14 of the Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 1. "Financial Statements" for a discussion on income taxes.

#### TRENDS AND OUTLOOK

#### Storage Segment

In the fourth quarter of 2012, we expect the storage segment to continue to benefit from internal growth projects completed in 2011 as well as those completed in 2012, mainly at our St. James, Louisiana terminal. The fourth quarter should also begin to benefit from a tank expansion project at our St. Eustatius terminal in the Caribbean, which we expect to complete in the fourth quarter. However, fourth quarter 2012 earnings are expected to be comparable to the fourth quarter of 2011 as the expected additional earnings from those completed projects should be partially offset by higher maintenance costs at several of our terminal facilities. Overall, we expect the full year 2012 earnings for the storage segment to exceed 2011.

#### **Transportation Segment**

We expect earnings of the transportation segment for the fourth quarter and the full year 2012 to be higher as compared to the same periods in 2011. Earnings for this segment should benefit from higher throughputs related to the pipeline expansion projects completed in 2011 and in July and October of 2012 that serve Eagle Ford Shale production. The fourth quarter will also benefit from the tariff increase in the second quarter of 2012 on our pipelines regulated by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

#### Asphalt and Fuels Marketing Segment

We completed the sale of 50% of the Asphalt Operations in the third quarter of 2012. Upon closing of the sale, we deconsolidated the Asphalt Operations and we will prospectively report our remaining investment using the equity method of accounting. Because of our ongoing involvement with the Asphalt Operations, we will not report its historic results of operations as discontinued operations. Therefore, our future results of operations for this segment, subsequent to deconsolidation, will not be comparable to the corresponding prior periods.

Although we expect fourth quarter 2012 results for our fuels marketing operations to exceed the fourth quarter of 2011, full year 2012 earnings for the fuels marketing operations are expected to be less than the full year results for the prior year, primarily due to lower earnings from heavy fuel oil and bunker fuel marketing.

Fourth quarter 2012 results for the asphalt and fuels marketing segment are expected to be higher than fourth quarter 2011 mainly due to losses sustained by the Asphalt Operations in 2011 that will no longer be reported as part of this segment.

Our outlook for the partnership overall could change depending on, among other things, crude oil prices, the state of the economy, changes to refinery maintenance schedules and other factors that affect overall demand for the products we store, transport and sell as well as changes in commodity prices for the products we market.

#### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

#### General

Our primary cash requirements are for distributions to partners, working capital, including inventory purchases, debt service, capital expenditures, acquisitions and operating expenses. On an annual basis, we attempt to fund our operating expenses, interest expense, reliability capital expenditures and distribution requirements with cash generated from our operations. If we do not generate sufficient cash from operations to meet those requirements, we utilize available borrowing capacity under our revolving credit agreement and, to the extent necessary, funds raised through equity or debt offerings under our shelf registration statements. Additionally, we typically fund our strategic capital expenditures from external sources, primarily borrowings under our revolving credit agreement or funds raised through equity or debt offerings. However, our ability to raise funds by issuing debt or equity depends on many factors beyond our control. The volatility of the capital and credit markets could restrict our ability to issue debt or equity or may increase our cost of capital beyond rates acceptable to us.

Cash Flows for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011

The following table summarizes our cash flows from operating, investing and financing activities:

	Nine Month 30,	ns Ended Septemb	ber
	2012	2011	
	(Thousands of Dollars)		
Net cash provided by (used in):			
Operating activities	\$271,879	\$101,871	
Investing activities	(22,030	) (352,655	)
Financing activities	(163,362	) 131,878	
Effect of foreign exchange rate changes on cash	3,472	(3,001	)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	\$89,959	\$(121,907	)

Net cash provided by operating activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 was \$271.9 million, compared to \$101.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we reported a net loss of \$216.2 million, compared to net income of \$191.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The net loss for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 included \$271.8 million for non-cash asset impairment charges. In addition, working capital decreased by \$108.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to an increase of \$216.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. Please refer to the Working Capital Requirements section below for a discussion of the changes in working capital. Cash flows from operating activities also include an adjustment to net loss for a pre-tax, non-cash gain on a legal settlement of \$28.8 million.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, net cash provided by operating activities, proceeds from long-term debt borrowings, net of repayments, and proceeds from our issuance of common units were used to fund our distributions to unitholders and our general partner and capital expenditures.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, net cash provided by operating activities, proceeds from long-term debt borrowings, net of repayments, combined with cash on hand, were used to fund our distributions to unitholders and our general partner, capital expenditures primarily related to various terminal projects and two acquisitions.

#### Revolving Credit Agreement

On May 2, 2012, NuStar Logistics replaced its \$1.2 billion five-year revolving credit agreement (the 2007 Revolving Credit Agreement) with a new \$1.5 billion five-year revolving credit agreement (the 2012 Revolving Credit

Agreement), which includes the ability to borrow up to the equivalent of \$250.0 million in Euros. NuStar Logistics used borrowings of \$588.6 million under the 2012 Revolving Credit Agreement and cash on hand to repay in full the balance on the 2007 Revolving Credit Agreement. Obligations under the 2012 Revolving Credit Agreement are guaranteed by NuStar Energy and NuPOP. NuPOP will be released from its guarantee of the 2012 Revolving Credit Agreement when it no longer guarantees NuStar Logistics public debt instruments. As of September 30, 2012, we had \$1,152.9 million available for borrowing under our 2012 Revolving Credit Agreement.

The 2012 Revolving Credit Agreement contains customary restrictive covenants, including requiring us to maintain, as of the end of each rolling period, which consists of any period of four consecutive fiscal quarters, a consolidated debt coverage ratio (consolidated indebtedness to consolidated EBITDA, as defined in the 2012 Revolving Credit Agreement) not to exceed 5.00-to-1.00; provided, for the rolling period ending June 30th of each year, the maximum consolidated debt coverage ratio will increase to 5.50-to-1.00. Moreover, if we consummate an acquisition for an aggregate net consideration of at least \$50.0

million, the maximum consolidated debt coverage ratio will increase to 5.50-to-1.00 for two rolling periods.

On June 29, 2012, we amended the 2012 Revolving Credit Agreement to permit unlimited investments in joint ventures and unconsolidated subsidiaries, provided that no default exists, and to limit the amount of cash distributions for such joint ventures and unconsolidated subsidiaries included in the calculation of the consolidated debt coverage ratio to 20% of consolidated EBITDA (the Amendment). In addition, the Amendment provides that we will be in compliance with the consolidated debt coverage ratio as long as it does not exceed 6.50-to-1.00 for the rolling period ended June 30, 2012 or 6.00-to-1.00 for the rolling period ending September 30, 2012. The Amendment further stipulates that if the Asphalt Operations were owned by an unconsolidated joint venture, the maximum allowed consolidated debt coverage would revert to 5.00-to-1.00. Therefore, as of September 30, 2012, our consolidated debt coverage ratio may limit the amount we can borrow under the 2012 Revolving Credit Agreement to an amount less than the total amount available for borrowing. Please refer to Note 5 of the Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" for a more detailed discussion on certain of our long-term debt agreements. As of September 30, 2012, the consolidated debt coverage ratio was 4.3x.

#### Shelf Registration Statements

Our two shelf registration statements on Form S-3 permit us to offer and sell various types of securities, including NuStar Energy common units and debt securities of NuStar Logistics and NuPOP. The shelf registration statement that became effective on April 29, 2011 permits us to sell securities having an aggregate value of up to \$200.0 million, while the shelf registration statement that became effective in May 2010 does not have a stated maximum dollar limit.

If the capital markets become more volatile, our access to the capital markets may be limited, or we could face increased costs. In addition, it is possible that our ability to access the capital markets may be limited at a time when we would like or need access, which could have an impact on our ability to refinance maturing debt and/or react to changing economic and business conditions.

Issuance of Common Units. On September 10, 2012, we issued 7,130,000 common units representing limited partner interests at a price of \$48.94 per unit. We used the net proceeds from this offering of \$344.1 million, including a contribution of \$7.1 million from our general partner to maintain its 2% general partner interest, for general partnership purposes, including repayments of outstanding borrowings under our 2012 Revolving Credit Agreement and working capital purposes.

NuStar Logistics' 4.75% Senior Notes. On February 2, 2012, NuStar Logistics issued \$250.0 million of 4.75% senior notes under our 2010 Shelf Registration Statement. The net proceeds of \$247.4 million were used to repay the outstanding principal amount of NuPOP's 7.75% senior notes due February 15, 2012. Please refer to Note 5 of the Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 1. "Financial Statements" for a more detailed discussion on certain of our long-term debt agreements.

## **Capital Requirements**

Our operations require significant investments to maintain, upgrade or enhance the operating capacity of our existing assets. Our capital expenditures consist of:

reliability capital expenditures, such as those required to maintain equipment reliability and safety; and strategic capital expenditures, such as those to expand and upgrade pipeline capacity, terminal facilities or refinery operations and to construct new pipelines, terminals and storage tanks. In addition, strategic capital expenditures may include acquisitions of pipelines, terminals or storage tank assets, as well as certain capital expenditures related to support functions.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, our reliability capital expenditures totaled \$23.8 million, consisting of \$21.4 million primarily related to maintenance upgrade projects at our terminals and the San Antonio refinery, which are classified as "Reliability capital expenditures" in the consolidated statements of cash flows, and \$2.4 million of turnaround expenditures at our San Antonio refinery, which are classified as "Investment in other long-term assets" in our consolidated statements of cash flows. Strategic capital expenditures for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 totaled \$299.4 million and were primarily related to projects associated with Eagle Ford shale production in South Texas, projects at our St. James, Louisiana and St. Eustatius terminals, the San Antonio refinery and our corporate office.

For the full year 2012, we expect our capital expenditures to total approximately \$445.0 million to \$450.0 million, including \$45.0 million to \$50.0 million for reliability capital projects and \$400.0 million for strategic capital projects, not including acquisitions. We continue to evaluate our capital budget and make changes as economic conditions warrant, and our actual capital expenditures for 2012 may increase or decrease from the budgeted amounts. We believe cash generated from operations, combined with other sources of liquidity previously described, will be sufficient to fund our capital expenditures in 2012, and

our internal growth projects can be accelerated or scaled back depending on the condition of the capital markets.

## Working Capital Requirements

The Asphalt Operations, which we deconsolidated as of September 28, 2012, required us to make substantial investments in working capital. Increases in the prices of the commodities we purchased caused our working capital requirements to increase, which reduced our liquidity. Our working capital requirements vary with fluctuations in commodity prices and the seasonal nature of asphalt demand as we built and stored asphalt inventories during periods of lower demand in order to sell it during periods of higher demand. This seasonal variance in demand also affected our accounts receivable and accounts payable balances, which vary depending on timing of payments.

Within working capital, accounts receivable decreased by \$95.2 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to an increase of \$148.8 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2011, mainly due to decreases in bunker fuel sales as we reduced the scope of our bunker fuel operations in certain markets. In addition, our inventory balances decreased by \$60.9 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to an increase of \$176.9 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to an increase of \$176.9 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The decrease in inventory for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 was mainly due to a decrease in crude oil purchases related to the Asphalt Operations.

Higher inventory balances would typically also result in higher amounts of accounts payable, offsetting the impact to working capital. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, accounts payable decreased \$11.9 million, consistent with the decrease in inventory during the period.

#### Distributions

On August 10, 2012, we paid a quarterly cash distribution totaling \$89.1 million, or \$1.095 per unit, related to the second quarter of 2012. On October 25, 2012, we announced a quarterly cash distribution of \$1.095 per unit related to the third quarter of 2012. This distribution will be paid on November 14, 2012 to unitholders of record on November 9, 2012 and will total \$98.1 million.

The following table reflects the allocation of total cash distributions to the general and limited partners applicable to the period in which the distributions were earned:

	I hree Months Ended Sentember 30		Nine Months Ended September			
			30,			
	2012	2011	2012	2011		
	(Thousands of Dollars, Except Per Unit Data)					
General partner interest	\$1,961	\$1,628	\$5,525	\$4,847		
General partner incentive distribution	10,805	8,972	30,437	26,503		
Total general partner distribution	12,766	10,600	35,962	31,350		
Limited partners' distribution	85,285	70,814	240,241	211,019		
Total cash distributions	\$98,051	\$81,414	\$276,203	\$242,369		
Cash distributions per unit applicable to limited partners	\$1.095	\$1.095	\$3.285	\$3.265		

Distributions declared for the quarter are paid within 45 days following the end of each quarter based on the partnership interests outstanding as of a record date that is set after the end of each quarter.