REALTY INCOME CORP Form 10-Q July 26, 2012 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, DC 20549

FORM 10-Q

x Quarterly report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2012, or

 σ Transition report pursuant to section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Commission File Number 1-13374

REALTY INCOME CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland 33-0580106

(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)

(IRS Employer Identification Number)

600 La Terraza Boulevard, Escondido, California 92025-3873

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (760) 741-2111

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES x NO o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). YES x NO o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer x Accelerated filer o Non-accelerated filer o Smaller reporting company o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). YES o NO x

There were 133,443,628 shares of common stock outstanding as of July 19, 2012.

Table of Contents

REALTY INCOME CORPORATION

Form 10-Q

June 30, 2012

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I.	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	<u>Page</u>
ltem 1:	Financial Statements	
	Consolidated Balance Sheets Consolidated Statements of Income Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	2 3 4 5
Item 2:	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	
	Forward-Looking Statements The Company Recent Developments Liquidity and Capital Resources Results of Operations Funds from Operations Available to Common Stockholders (FFO) Adjusted Funds from Operations Available to Common Stockholders (AFFO) Property Portfolio Information Impact of Inflation Impact of Recent Accounting Pronouncements Other Information	18 19 22 26 31 38 40 41 47 47
Item 3:	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	47
Item 4:	Controls and Procedures	48
PART II.	OTHER INFORMATION	
ltem 1A:	Risk Factors	49
ltem 2:	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	49
Item 6:	<u>Exhibits</u>	49
<u>SIGNATURE</u>		52

Table of Contents

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

REALTY INCOME CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

	2012	2011
ASSETS	(unaudited)	
Real estate, at cost:	, ,	
Land	\$ 1,807,891	\$ 1,749,378
Buildings and improvements	3,347,490	3,222,603
Total real estate, at cost	5,155,381	4,971,981
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	(870,165)	(814,126)
Net real estate held for investment	4,285,216	4,157,855
Real estate held for sale, net	18,965	2,153
Net real estate	4,304,181	4,160,008
Cash and cash equivalents	6,064	4,165
Accounts receivable, net	17,600	15,375
Goodwill	17,094	17,206
Other assets, net	231,620	222,635
Total assets	\$ 4,576,559	\$ 4,419,389
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Distributions payable	\$ 22,993	\$ 21,405
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	59,497	58,770
Other liabilities	30,925	29,179
Lines of credit payable	183,600	237,400
Mortgages payable, net	56,661	67,781
Notes payable	1,750,000	1,750,000
Total liabilities	2,103,676	2,164,535
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders equity:		
Preferred stock and paid in capital, par value \$0.01 per share, 69,900,000 shares authorized and 25,150,000 shares issued and outstanding as of June 30, 2012, and	609,363	337,790
20,000,000 shares authorized and 13,900,000 shares issued and outstanding as of		

December 31, 2011

December 31, 2011		
Common stock and paid in capital, par value \$0.01 per share, 370,100,000 shares		
authorized and 133,439,028 shares issued and outstanding as of June 30, 2012, and		
200,000,000 shares authorized and 133,223,338 shares issued and outstanding as of		
December 31, 2011	2,567,148	2,563,048
Distributions in excess of net income	(703,628)	(645,984)
Total stockholders equity	2,472,883	2,254,854
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 4,576,559	\$ 4,419,389

The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents

REALTY INCOME CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

(unaudited)

	Three Mont	Three Months Ended Six Months En		s Ended
	June 30,	June 30,	June 30,	June 30,
	2012	2011	2012	2011
REVENUE				
Rental	\$ 115,038	\$ 101,361	\$ 229,060	\$ 197,934
Other	φ 115,605 605	259	Ψ 223,000 858	398
Total revenue	115,643	101,620	229,918	198,332
EXPENSES				
Depreciation and amortization	35,475	28,673	70,560	55,104
Interest	28,806	25,647	57,758	50,769
General and administrative	9,273	7,987	18,441	15,857
Property	2,090	1,597	4,536	3,281
Income taxes	405	368	810	735
Total expenses	76,049	64,272	152,105	125,746
Income from continuing operations	39,594	37,348	77,813	72,586
Income from discontinued operations	3,813	1,900	4,858	2,661
Net income	43,407	39,248	82,671	75,247
Preferred stock dividends	(10,457)	(6,063)	(19,953)	(12,127)
Excess of redemption value over carrying value				
of preferred shares redeemed (see note 8)			(3,696)	
Net income available to common stockholders	\$ 32,950	\$ 33,185	\$ 59,022	\$ 63,120
Amounts available to common stockholders per				
common share:				
Income from continuing operations:				
Basic	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.41	\$ 0.49
Diluted	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.41	\$ 0.49
Net income:				
Basic	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.44	\$ 0.52
Diluted	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.44	\$ 0.51
Weighted average common shares outstanding:				
Basic	132,592,939	125,999,323	132,643,698	122,547,027
Diluted	132,828,540	126,202,047	132,785,213	122,691,418

The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents

REALTY INCOME CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

For the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

(dollars in thousands)(unaudited)

		2012		2011
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES				
Net income	\$	82,671	\$	75,247
Adjustments to net income:	Ť	0_,07.	Ψ	7 0,2 17
Depreciation and amortization		70,560		55,104
Income from discontinued operations		(4,858)		(2,661)
Gain on sale of real estate				(155)
Amortization of share-based compensation		5,550		4,347
Amortization of net premiums on mortgages payable		(168)		´
Other non-cash adjustments		(301)		
Cash provided by discontinued operations:		,		
Real estate		1,274		2,454
Collection of notes receivable by Crest		44		72
Change in assets and liabilities:				
Accounts receivable and other assets		1,820		7,826
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities		(659)		(5,266)
Net cash provided by operating activities		155,933		136,968
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES				
Acquisition of and improvements to investment properties		(221,959)		(303,764)
Proceeds from the sales of real estate:				
Continuing operations				675
Discontinued operations		18,549		4,372
Loans receivable		(3,795)		
Restricted escrow deposits		(6,449)		(5,999)
Net cash used in investing activities		(213,654)		(304,716)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES				
Cash distributions to common stockholders		(116,552)		(106, 131)
Cash dividends to preferred stockholders		(18,480)		(12,127)
Borrowings from lines of credit		377,400		38,600
Payments on lines of credit		(431,200)		(38,600)
Principal payments on mortgages		(10,952)		
Proceeds from preferred stock offerings, net		395,377		
Redemption of preferred stock		(127,500)		
Proceeds from common stock offering, net				285,605
Proceeds from bonds issued				150,000
Debt issuance costs		(7,058)		(9,915)
Proceeds from dividend reinvestment and stock purchase plan		1,548		716
Other items		(2,963)		(2,336)
Net cash provided by financing activities		59,620		305,812

Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	1,899	138,064
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	4,165	17,607
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 6,064	\$ 155,671

For supplemental disclosures, see note 15.

The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents

REALTY INCOME CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

June 30, 2012

(unaudited)

1. Management Statement

The consolidated financial statements of Realty Income Corporation (Realty Income, the Company, we, our or us) were prepare from our books and records without audit and include all adjustments (consisting of only normal recurring accruals) necessary to present a fair statement of results for the interim periods presented. Readers of this quarterly report should refer to our audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2011, which are included in our 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K, as certain disclosures that would substantially duplicate those contained in the audited financial statements have not been included in this report.

We report, in discontinued operations, the results of operations of properties that have either been disposed of or are classified as held for sale. As a result of these discontinued operations, certain of the 2011 balances have been reclassified to conform to the 2012 presentation.

At June 30, 2012, we owned 2,762 properties, located in 49 states, containing over 28.7 million leasable square feet, along with three properties owned by our wholly-owned taxable REIT subsidiary, Crest Net Lease, Inc., or Crest.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Procedures

A. The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Realty Income, Crest, and other entities for which we make operating and financial decisions (i.e., control), after elimination of all material intercompany balances and transactions. We have no unconsolidated or off-balance sheet investments in variable interest entities.

B. We have elected to be taxed as a real estate investment trust, or REIT, under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the Code. We believe we have qualified and continue to qualify as a REIT. Under the REIT operating structure, we are permitted to deduct dividends paid to our stockholders in determining our taxable income. Assuming our distributions equal or exceed our net income, we generally will not be required to pay federal corporate income taxes on such income. Accordingly, no provision has been made for federal income taxes in the accompanying consolidated financial statements, except for the federal income taxes of Crest, which are included in discontinued operations. The income taxes recorded on our consolidated statements of income

represent amounts paid by Realty Income for city and state income and franchise taxes.

- C. We recognize an allowance for doubtful accounts relating to accounts receivable for amounts deemed uncollectible. We consider tenant specific issues, such as financial stability and ability to pay rent, when determining collectability of accounts receivable and appropriate allowances to record. The allowance for doubtful accounts was \$582,000 at June 30, 2012 and \$507,000 at December 31, 2011.
- D. We assign a portion of goodwill to our property sales, which results in a reduction of the carrying amount of our goodwill. In order to allocate goodwill to the carrying amount of properties that we sell, we utilize a relative fair value approach based on the original methodology for assigning goodwill. As we sell properties, our goodwill will likely continue to gradually decrease over time.

Table of Contents

E. Under the amendments issued in conjunction with ASU No. 2011-08, Intangibles Goodwill and Other (Topic 350), an entity, through an assessment of qualitative factors, is not required to calculate the estimated fair value of a reporting unit, in connection with the two-step goodwill impairment test, unless the entity determines that it is more likely than not that its fair value is less than its carrying amount. Despite the issuance of ASU No. 2011-08, we elected to continue testing goodwill for impairment during the second quarter of each year as well as when events or circumstances occur, indicating that our goodwill might be impaired. During our tests for impairment of goodwill during the second quarters of 2012 and 2011, we determined that the estimated fair values of our reporting units exceeded their carrying values. We did not record any impairment on our existing goodwill in 2012 or 2011.

3. Supplemental Detail for Certain Components of Consolidated Balance Sheets

	June 30,	December 31,
A. Other assets, net, consist of the following (dollars in thousands) at:	2012	2011
Value of in-place leases, net of accumulated amortization	\$ 118,150	\$ 123,255
Value of above-market leases, net of accumulated amortization	29,749	30,081
Deferred bond financing costs, net	21,104	22,209
Notes receivable issued in connection with property sales	18,981	19,025
Note receivable acquired in connection with an acquisition	8,780	8,780
Loans receivable	7,341	2,554
Credit facility origination costs, net	9,444	3,141
Prepaid expenses	9,010	9,833
Restricted escrow deposits	6,449	50
Corporate assets, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization	864	849
Deferred financing costs on mortgages payable, net	593	751
Other items	1,155	2,107
	\$ 231,620	\$ 222,635
B. Distributions payable consist of the following declared	June 30,	December 31,
distributions (dollars in thousands) at:	2012	2011
Common stock distributions	\$ 19,499	\$ 19,384
Preferred stock dividends	3,494	2,021
	\$ 22,993	\$ 21,405
C. Accounts payable and accrued expenses consist of the	June 30,	December 31,
following (dollars in thousands) at:	2012	2011
Bond interest payable	\$ 35,195	\$ 35,195
Accrued costs on properties under development	6,711	4,766
Other items	17,591	18,809
	\$ 59,497	\$ 58,770
	June 30,	December 31,
D. Other liabilities consist of the following (dollars in thousands) at:	2012	2011
Rent received in advance	\$ 16,579	\$ 18,149
Value of in-place below-market leases, net of accumulated amortization	6,309	6,423
Security deposits	4,908	4,607
Other items	3,129	
	\$ 30,925	\$ 29,179
	Ψ 00,020	Ψ 20,170

4. Investments in Real Estate

We acquire the land, buildings and improvements that are necessary for the successful operations of retail and other commercial enterprises.

Table of Contents

A. During the first six months of 2012, we invested \$221.5 million in 147 new properties and properties under development, with an initial weighted average contractual lease rate of 7.2%. These 147 new properties are located in 28 states, will contain over 1.4 million leasable square feet, and are 100% leased with an average lease term of 15.0 years. The tenants of the 147 properties acquired, operate in six industries: automotive collision services, crafts and novelties, drug store, general merchandise, restaurants quick service, and transportation services. The investments to any one tenant were less than 10% of our total assets at December 31, 2011. The initial weighted average contractual lease rate is computed by dividing the estimated aggregate base rent for the first year of each lease by the estimated total cost of the properties. Acquisition transaction costs of \$634,000 were recorded to general and administrative expense, on our consolidated statement of income, for the six months ended June 30, 2012.

In April 2012 we announced the signing of purchase agreements to acquire properties with an aggregate value of approximately \$514 million, which were anticipated to close during the second quarter. Included in the \$221.5 million invested during the first six months of 2012, is \$198 million invested in 139 properties of the previously announced acquisitions. Agreements to acquire properties for approximately \$316 million have been terminated and will not be acquired. However, transaction flow remains strong, and we continue to believe we will meet or exceed our previously stated 2012 target of \$650 million in new property acquisitions. While the total amount of acquisitions for 2012 will likely be higher than previously anticipated, the timing of the remaining acquisitions will be weighted more heavily towards the end of the year.

In comparison, during the first six months of 2011, we invested \$364.2 million in 36 properties and properties under development, with an initial weighted average contractual lease rate of 7.6%. These 36 properties and properties under development, are located in 19 states, contain over 3.4 million leasable square feet, and are 100% leased with an average lease term of 15.5 years. Acquisition transaction costs of \$913,000 were recorded to general and administrative expense, on our consolidated statement of income, for the six months ended June 30, 2011.

During the first six months of 2012, we capitalized costs of \$2.4 million on existing properties in our portfolio, consisting of \$698,000 for re-leasing costs and \$1.7 million for building and tenant improvements. In comparison, during the first six months of 2011, we capitalized costs of \$1.9 million on existing properties in our portfolio, consisting of \$649,000 for re-leasing costs and \$1.2 million for building and tenant improvements.

B. Of the \$221.5 million invested by us in the first six months of 2012, approximately \$20.9 million was used to acquire three properties with existing leases. Associated with these three properties, we recorded \$1.6 million as the intangible value of the in-place leases, \$991,000 as the intangible value of above-market leases and \$244,000 as the intangible value of below-market leases. Of the \$364.2 million invested by us in the first six months of 2011, approximately \$336.2 million was used to acquire 22 properties with existing leases. Associated with these 22 properties, we recorded \$64.5 million as the intangible value of the in-place leases, \$18.6 million as the intangible value of below-market leases.

The value of the in-place and above-market leases is recorded to other assets on our consolidated balance sheet, and the value of the below-market leases is recorded to other liabilities on our consolidated balance sheet. The value of the in-place leases is amortized as depreciation and amortization expense, while the value of the above-market and below-market leases is amortized as rental revenue on our consolidated statements of income. All of these amounts are amortized over the life of the respective leases.

C. The amounts amortized as a net decrease to rental income, for capitalized above-market and below-market leases, was \$964,000 for the first six months of 2012 and was \$316,000 for the first six months of 2011. The value of in-place leases amortized to expense was \$6.7 million for the first six months of 2012 and was \$2.2 million for the first six months of 2011.

Table of Contents

5. Credit Facility

In May 2012, we entered into a new \$1 billion unsecured acquisition credit facility, which replaced our \$425 million acquisition credit facility that was scheduled to expire in March 2014. The initial term of the new credit facility expires in May 2016 and includes, at our option, a one-year extension. Under this new credit facility, our current investment grade credit ratings provide for financing at the London Interbank Offered Rate, commonly referred to as LIBOR, plus 1.075% with a facility commitment fee of 0.175%, for all-in drawn pricing of 1.25% over LIBOR. The borrowing rate is not subject to an interest rate floor or ceiling. We also have other interest rate options available to us. Our credit facility is unsecured and, accordingly, we have not pledged any assets as collateral for this obligation.

As a result of entering into our current credit facility, we incurred credit facility origination costs of \$7.1 million. At June 30, 2012, included in other assets on our consolidated balance sheet is \$6.8 million of the \$7.1 million, and \$2.5 million incurred as a result of entering into our previous credit facilities. These costs are being amortized over the remaining term of our current \$1 billion credit facility.

At June 30, 2012, we had a borrowing capacity of \$816.4 million available on our credit facility (subject to customary conditions of borrowing) and an outstanding balance of \$183.6 million, as compared to an outstanding balance of \$237.4 million at December 31, 2011.

The average interest rate on our outstanding borrowings under our credit facilities was 1.9% during the first six months of 2012, and was 2.1% during the first six months of 2011. At June 30, the effective interest rate was 1.3%. Our credit facility is subject to various leverage and interest coverage ratio limitations. At June 30, 2012, we remain in compliance with these covenants.

6. Mortgages Payable

During 2011, we assumed mortgages totaling \$67.4 million, payable to third-party lenders. These mortgages are secured by the properties on which the debt was placed and are non-recourse. We expect to pay off the mortgages as soon as prepayment penalties and costs make it economically feasible to do so. We intend to continue our policy of primarily identifying property acquisitions that are free from mortgage indebtedness. In the first six months of 2012, we repaid one mortgage in full for \$10.7 million.

In aggregate, net premiums totaling \$820,000 were recorded in 2011 upon assumption of the mortgages for above-market interest rates. Amortization of these net premiums is recorded as a reduction to interest expense over the remaining term of the respective notes, using a method that approximates the effective-interest method. These mortgages contain customary covenants, such as limiting our ability to further mortgage each applicable property or to discontinue insurance coverage, without the prior consent of the lender. At June 30, 2012, we remain in compliance with these covenants.

As a result of assuming these mortgages payable in 2011, we incurred deferred financing costs of \$917,000 that were classified as part of other assets on our consolidated balance sheet. The balance of these deferred financing costs was \$593,000 at June 30, 2012, and \$751,000 at December 31, 2011, which is being amortized over the remaining term of each mortgage.

The following is a summary of our mortgages payable at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 (principal balance, unamortized premiums (discounts) and mortgage payable balances in thousands):

8

Table of Contents

At June 30, 2012

Maturity Date(1)	Stated Interest Rate(2)	Effective Interest Rate	Remaining Principal Balance(1)	Amortized Premium (Discount) Balance	Mortgage Payable Balance
12/1/13(3)	6.25%	4.62%	\$ 12,202	\$ 266	\$ 12,468
9/1/14(3)	6.25%	5.12%	11,591	255	11,846
6/10/15	4.74%	4.84%	23,625	(58)	23,567
12/28/13(4)(5)	8.26%	8.26%	4,510		4,510
12/28/13(4)(5)	8.26%	8.26%	4,270		4,270
, , , ,			\$ 56,198	\$ 463	\$ 56.661

At December 31, 2011

Maturity Date(1)	Stated Interest Rate(2)	Effective Interest Rate	Remaining Principal Balance(1)	Amortized Premium (Discount) Balance	Mortgage Payable Balance
5/6/12	5.89%	5.19%	\$ 10,664	\$ 26	\$ 10,690
12/1/13(3)	6.25%	4.63%	12,410	314	12,724
9/1/14(3)	6.25%	5.09%	11,671	359	12,030
6/10/15	4.73%	4.84%	23,625	(68)	23,557
12/28/13(4)(5)	8.26%	8.26%	4,510		4,510
12/28/13(4)(5)	8.26%	8.26%	4,270		4,270
			\$ 67.150	\$ 631	\$ 67.781

- (1) The mortgages require monthly payments, with a principal payment due at maturity.
- (2) The mortgages are at fixed interest rates, except for the mortgage maturing on June 10, 2015 with a floating variable interest rate calculated as the sum of the current 1 month LIBOR plus 4.50%, not to exceed an all-in interest rate of 5.5%.
- (3) These are mortgages, with different maturity dates, associated with one property.
- (4) These are mortgages, with the same maturity date, associated with one property.
- (5) As part of the assumption of these mortgages payable related to our 2011 acquisitions, we also acquired an \$8.8 million note receivable, upon which we will receive interest income at a stated rate of 8.14% through December 28, 2013.

7. Notes Payable

A. Our senior unsecured notes and bonds consist of the following at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, sorted by maturity date (dollars in millions):

5.375% notes, issued in March 2003 and due in March 2013	\$	100
5.5% notes, issued in November 2003 and due in November 2015		150
5.95% notes, issued in September 2006 and due in September 2016		275
5.375% notes, issued in September 2005 and due in September 2017		175
6.75% notes, issued in September 2007 and due in August 2019		550
5.75% notes, issued in June 2010 and due in January 2021		250
5.875% bonds, \$100 issued in March 2005 and \$150 issued in June 2011, both due in		
March 2035		250
	\$ 1	,750

B. Re-opening of Unsecured Bonds due 2035

In June 2011, we re-opened our 5.875% senior unsecured bonds due 2035, or the 2035 Bonds, and issued \$150 million in aggregate principal amount of these 2035 Bonds. The public offering price for the additional 2035 Bonds was 94.578% of the principal amount for an effective yield of 6.318% per annum. Those 2035 Bonds constituted an additional issuance of, and a single series with, the \$100 million in aggregate principal amount of the 2035 Bonds that we issued in March 2005. The net proceeds of \$140.1 million were used to fund property acquisitions.

Table of Contents

Issuance and Redemption of Preferred Stock

A. In February 2012, we issued 14.95 million shares of our 6.625% Monthly Income Class F Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock at a price of \$25 per share, including 1.95 million shares purchased by the underwriters upon the exercise of their overallotment option. In April 2012, we issued an additional 1.4 million shares of our Class F preferred stock at a price of \$25.2863 per share. After aggregate underwriting discounts and other offering costs totaling \$13.8 million, we received total net proceeds of \$395.4 million for the February and April offerings combined, of which \$127.5 million were used to redeem all of our outstanding 7.375% Monthly Income Class D Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock and the balance was used to repay a portion of the borrowings under our credit facility. Beginning February 15, 2017, the Class F preferred shares are redeemable, at our option, for \$25 per share. The initial dividend of \$0.1702257 per share was paid on March 15, 2012 and covered 37 days. Thereafter, dividends of \$0.138021 per share will be paid monthly in arrears on the Class F preferred stock.

B. We redeemed all of the 5.1 million shares of our 7.375% Monthly Income Class D Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock in March 2012 for \$25 per share, plus accrued dividends. We incurred a charge of \$3.7 million, representing the Class D preferred stock original issuance costs that we paid in 2004.

Issuance of Common Stock

In March 2011, we issued 8,625,000 shares of common stock at a price of \$34.81 per share. After underwriting discounts and other offering costs of approximately \$14.6 million, the net proceeds of approximately \$285.6 million were used to fund property acquisitions.

10. Fair Value of Financial Assets and Liabilities

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received from the sale of an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The disclosure for assets and liabilities measured at fair value, requires allocation to a three-level valuation hierarchy. This valuation hierarchy is based upon the transparency of inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability as of the measurement date. Categorization within this hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

We believe that the carrying values reflected in our consolidated balance sheets reasonably approximate the fair values for cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, escrow deposits, loans receivable, and all liabilities, due to their short-term nature, except for our notes receivable issued in connection with property sales or acquired in connection with an acquisition, mortgages payable and our senior notes and bonds payable, which are disclosed below (dollars in millions).

> Carrying value per **Estimated fair** balance sheet

At June 30, 2012

value

Notes receivable issued in connection with property sales	\$ 19.0	\$ 20.2
Notes receivable acquired in connection with an acquisition	\$ 8.8	\$ 8.8
Mortgages payable assumed in connection with acquisitions	\$ 56.7	\$ 57.4
Notes payable	\$ 1,750.0	\$ 1,972.2

	Carrying value per		Estima	Estimated fair	
At December 31, 2011	balar	ce sheet		value	
Notes receivable issued in connection with property sales	\$	19.0	\$	19.6	
Note receivable acquired in connection with an acquisition	\$	8.8	\$	8.8	
Mortgages payable assumed in connection with acquisitions	\$	67.8	\$	68.2	
Notes payable	\$	1,750.0	\$	1,901.9	

Table of Contents

The estimated fair values of our notes receivable issued in connection with property sales or acquired in connection with an acquisition, and our mortgages payable have been calculated by discounting the future cash flows using an interest rate based upon the current 5-year or 7-year Treasury yield curve, plus an applicable credit-adjusted spread. The notes receivable were issued in connection with the sale of properties by Crest. Payments to us on these notes receivable are current and no allowance for doubtful accounts has been recorded for them. Because this methodology includes observable inputs that reflect our own internal assumptions and calculations, the measurement of estimated fair values related to our notes receivable and mortgages payable is categorized as level 3 on the three-level valuation hierarchy.

The estimated fair value of our senior notes and bonds payable is based upon indicative market prices and recent trading activity of our senior notes and bonds payable. Because this methodology includes inputs that are less observable by the public and are not necessarily reflected in active markets, the measurement of the estimated fair values related to our notes and bonds payable is categorized as level 2 on the three-level valuation hierarchy.

11. Gain on Sales of Investment Properties

During the second quarter of 2012, we sold 14 investment properties for \$15.0 million, which resulted in a gain of \$3.4 million. During the first six months of 2012, we sold 19 investment properties for \$18.6 million, which resulted in a gain of \$4.0 million. The results of operations for these properties have been reclassified as discontinued operations.

In comparison, during the second quarter of 2011, we sold six investment properties for \$3.3 million, which resulted in a gain of \$1.1 million. During the first six months of 2011, we sold nine investment properties for \$4.4 million, which resulted in a gain of \$1.2 million. The results of operations for these properties have been reclassified as discontinued operations. Additionally, during the second quarter of 2011, we sold excess land from three properties for \$675,000, which resulted in a gain of \$155,000. This gain is included in other revenue on our consolidated statement of income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, because this excess land was associated with properties that continue to be owned as part of our core operations.

12. Discontinued Operations

We review long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. An impairment is recorded if estimated future operating cash flows (undiscounted and without interest charges) plus estimated disposition proceeds (undiscounted) are less than the current book value of the property. Key factors that we use in this analysis include: projected rental rates, estimated holding periods, capital expenditures and property sales capitalization rates. Additionally, a property classified as held for sale is carried at the lower of carrying cost or estimated fair value, less estimated cost to sell.

For the first six months of 2012, we did not record any provisions for impairment. For the second quarter of 2011, we recorded a provision for impairment of \$10,000 on one property, which was sold in 2011. For the first six months of 2011, we recorded total provisions for impairment of \$210,000 on three properties; one in the automotive service industry, one in the motor vehicle dealerships industry and one in the pet supplies and services industry, all of which were sold in 2011.

Operations from 16 investment properties classified as held for sale at June 30, 2012, plus properties previously sold, are reported as discontinued operations. Their respective results of operations have been reclassified as income from discontinued operations on our consolidated statements of income. We do not depreciate properties that are classified as held for sale.

Table of Contents

No debt was assumed by buyers of our investment properties, or repaid as a result of our investment property sales, and we do not allocate interest expense to discontinued operations related to real estate held for investment. We allocate interest expense related to borrowings specifically attributable to Crest. The interest expense amounts allocated to Crest are included in income from discontinued operations.

The following is a summary of income from discontinued operations on our consolidated statements of income (dollars in thousands):

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	June 30,	June 30,	June 30,	June 30,
Income from discontinued operations	2012	2011	2012	2011
Gain on sales of investment properties	\$ 3,354	\$ 1,096	\$ 3,965	\$ 1,224
Rental revenue	518	1,119	1,164	2,361
Other revenue	7	6	21	27
Depreciation and amortization	(163)	(386)	(381)	(807)
Property expenses	(99)	(145)	(236)	(376)
Provisions for impairment		(10)		(210)
Crest s income from discontinued operations	196	220	325	442
Income from discontinued operations	\$ 3,813	\$ 1,900	\$ 4,858	\$ 2,661
Per common share, basic and diluted(1)	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.04	\$ 0.02

⁽¹⁾ The per share amounts for income from discontinued operations above and the income from continuing operations and net income reported on the consolidated statements of income have each been calculated independently.

13. Distributions Paid and Payable

A. Common Stock

We pay monthly distributions to our common stockholders. The following is a summary of the monthly distributions paid per common share for the first six months of 2012 and 2011:

Month	2012	2011
January	\$ 0.1455000	\$ 0.1442500
February	0.1455000	0.1442500
March	0.1455000	0.1442500
April	0.1458125	0.1445625
May	0.1458125	0.1445625
June	0.1458125	0.1445625
Total	\$ 0.8739375	\$ 0.8664375

At June 30, 2012, a distribution of \$0.146125 per common share was payable and was paid in July 2012.

B. Preferred Stock

In March 2012, we redeemed all of our 5.1 million shares of 7.375% Monthly Income Class D Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, which were issued in 2004. During the first six months of 2012, we paid dividends to holders of our Class D preferred stock totaling \$0.3841147 per share, or \$2.0 million. During the first six months of 2011, we paid six monthly dividends to holders of our Class D preferred stock totaling \$0.9218754 per share, or \$4.7 million.

Table of Contents

In 2006, we issued 8.8 million shares of 6.75% Monthly Income Class E Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock. Beginning December 7, 2011, the Class E preferred shares were redeemable, at our option, for \$25 per share, plus any accrued and unpaid dividends. During each of the first six months of 2012 and 2011, we paid six monthly dividends to holders of our Class E preferred stock totaling \$0.84375 per share, or \$7.4 million, and at June 30, 2012, a monthly dividend of \$0.140625 per share was payable and was paid in July 2012.

In February 2012, we issued 14.95 million shares of 6.625% Monthly Income Class F Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock at a price of \$25 per share, including 1.95 million shares purchased by the underwriters upon the exercise of their overallotment option. In April 2012, we issued an additional 1.4 million shares of our Class F preferred stock at a price of \$25.2863 per share. Beginning February 15, 2017, shares of our Class F preferred shares are redeemable, at our option, for \$25 per share, plus any accrued and unpaid dividends. During the first six months of 2012, we paid four monthly dividends to holders of our Class F preferred stock totaling \$0.5842887 per share, or \$9.1 million, and at June 30, 2012, a monthly dividend of \$0.138021 per share was payable and was paid in July 2012. The initial March 2012 dividend on our Class F preferred stock covered 37 days.

We are current on our obligations to pay dividends on our Class E and Class F preferred stock.

14. Net Income Per Common Share

Basic net income per common share is computed by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during each period. Diluted net income per common share is computed by dividing net income available to common stockholders for the period by the weighted average number of common shares that would have been outstanding assuming the issuance of common shares for all potentially dilutive common shares outstanding during the reporting period.

The following is a reconciliation of the denominator of the basic net income per common share computation to the denominator of the diluted net income per common share computation:

	Three months ended		Six mon	ths ended
	June 30, 2012	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2012	June 30, 2011
Weighted average shares used for the basic net income per				
share computation	132,592,939	125,999,323	132,643,698	122,547,027
Incremental shares from share-based compensation	235,601	202,724	141,515	144,391
Adjusted weighted average shares used for diluted net income				
per share computation	132,828,540	126,202,047	132,785,213	122,691,418
Unvested shares from share-based compensation that were				
anti-dilutive	16,200	12,600	16,400	12,600

15. Supplemental Disclosures of Cash Flow Information

Interest paid was \$55.7 million in the first six months of 2012 and was \$49.0 million in the first six months of 2011.

Interest capitalized to properties under development was \$277,000 in the first six months of 2012 and was \$173,000 in the first six months of 2011.

Income taxes paid were \$818,000 in the first six months of 2012 and were \$946,000 in the first six months of 2011.

13

Table of Contents

The following non-cash investing and financing activities are included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements:
A. Share-based compensation expense was \$5.6 million for the first six months of 2012 and was \$4.3 million for the first six months of 2011.
B. Accrued costs on properties under development resulted in an increase in buildings and improvements and accounts payable of \$1.9 million at June 30, 2012, and \$3.6 million at June 30, 2011.
C. In 2011, we entered into loan agreements to fund development of real estate. Accrued loans receivable resulted in an increase in other assets of \$889,000 at June 30, 2012.
D. As part of the acquisition of three properties, during the first six months of 2011, we assumed \$58.6 million of mortgages payable to third-party lenders and recorded \$820,000 of net premiums. See note 6 for a discussion of these transactions.
E. See note 12 for a discussion of impairments recorded by Realty Income in discontinued operations for the first six months of 2011.
16. Segment Information
We evaluate performance and make resource allocation decisions on an industry by industry basis. For financial reporting purposes, we have grouped our tenants into 39 industry and activity segments. All of the properties are incorporated into one of the applicable segments. Because almost all of our leases require the tenant to pay operating expenses, revenue is the only component of segment profit and loss we measure.

14

Table of Contents

The following tables set forth certain information regarding the properties owned by us, classified according to the business of the respective tenants, as of June 30, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

Assets, as of:	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Segment net real estate:		
Automotive service	\$ 99,803	\$ 101,175
Automotive tire services	188,267	191,387
Beverages	312,694	314,832
Child care	64,151	66,474
Convenience stores	679,895	690,246
Drug stores	159,015	154,015
General merchandise	199,647	17,690
Grocery stores	218,857	221,678
Health and fitness	292,475	293,624
Restaurants - casual dining	463,378	471,842
Restaurants - quick service	268,347	277,648
Theaters	377,101	383,452
Transportation services	116,684	107,632
Wholesale club	152,934	154,964
25 non-reportable segments	710,933	713,349
Total segment net real estate	4,304,181	4,160,008
Intangible assets:		
Automotive tire services	500	529
Beverages	3,442	3,571
Drug stores	15,290	14,422
Grocery stores	5,467	5,655
Health and fitness	1,495	1,566
Restaurants - quick service	3,750	4,037
Theaters	28,812	31,162
Transportation services	28,624	28,944
Other non-reportable segments	60,519	63,450
Goodwill:		
Automotive service	472	472
Automotive tire services	866	866
Child care	5,312	5,353
Convenience stores	2,069	2,073
Restaurants - casual dining	2,450	2,461
Restaurants - quick service	1,262	1,318
Other non-reportable segments	4,663	4,663
Other corporate assets	107,385	88,839
Total assets	\$ 4,576,559	\$ 4,419,389

Table of Contents

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	June 30,	June 30,	June 30,	June 30,
Revenue	2012	2011	2012	2011
Segment rental revenue:				
Automotive service	\$ 3,744	\$ 3,859	\$ 7,558	\$ 7,855
Automotive tire services	5,656	6,595	11,290	12,224
Beverages	6,105	5,843	12,210	11,512
Child care	5,365	5,434	10,781	11,003
Convenience stores	19,368	19,262	38,664	38,490
Drug stores	4,092	4,035	8,124	7,759
General merchandise	1,765	679	2,434	1,329
Grocery stores	4,387	1,634	8,779	3,268
Health and fitness	7,955	6,242	15,932	12,474
Restaurants - casual dining	8,648	11,329	17,522	22,714
Restaurants - quick service	7,045	5,976	14,386	12,391
Theaters	11,127	7,980	22,259	15,936
Transportation services	2,918	2,157	5,627	2,848
Wholesale club	3,025	-	6,051	-
25 non-reportable segments	23,838	20,336	47,443	38,131
Total rental revenue	115,038	101,361	229,060	197,934
Other revenue	605	259	858	398
Total revenue	\$ 115,643	\$ 101,620	\$ 229,918	\$ 198,332

17. Common Stock Incentive Plans

In March 2012, our Board of Directors adopted, and in May 2012, stockholders approved, the Realty Income Corporation 2012 Incentive Award Plan, or the 2012 Plan, to enable us to attract and retain the services of directors, employees and consultants, considered essential to our long-term success. The 2012 Plan offers our directors, employees and consultants an opportunity to own stock in Realty Income and/or rights that will reflect our growth, development and financial success. Under the terms of this plan, the aggregate number of shares of our common stock subject to options, stock purchase rights, or SPR, stock appreciation rights, or SAR, and other awards, will be no more than 3,985,734 shares. The maximum number of shares that may be subject to options, SPR, SAR and other awards granted under the plan to any individual in any calendar year may not exceed 3,200,000. The 2012 Plan replaced the 2003 Incentive Award Plan of Realty Income Corporation, or the 2003 Plan, which was set to expire in March 2013. No further awards will be granted under the 2003 Plan. The disclosures below incorporate activity for both the 2003 Plan and the 2012 Plan.

The amount of share-based compensation costs recognized in general and administrative expense on our consolidated statements of income was \$2.6 million during the second quarter of 2012, was \$2.2 million during the second quarter of 2011, was \$5.6 million during the first six months of 2012, and was \$4.3 million during the first six months of 2011.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes our common stock grant activity under our 2003 Plan and 2012 Plan, or the Incentive Award Plans. Our common stock grants vest over periods ranging from immediately to 10 years.

	For the six months ended June 30, 2012 Weighted Number of average shares price (1)		For the ye December Number of shares	
Outstanding nonvested shares,				
beginning of year	925,526	\$ 20.21	924,294	\$ 19.69
Shares granted	260,411	35.03	247,214	33.94
Shares vested	(286,276)	27.39	(245,487)	25.26
Shares forfeited	(275)	26.02	(495)	31.37
Outstanding nonvested shares, end of each period	899,386	\$ 24.76	925,526	\$ 20.21

(1) Grant date fair value.

During the first six months of 2012, we issued 260,411 shares of common stock under our Incentive Award Plans. These shares vest over the following service periods: 26,484 vested immediately, 68,600 vest over a service period of two years, 16,000 vest over a service period of three years and 149,327 vest over a service period of five years.

As of June 30, 2012, the remaining unamortized share-based compensation expense totaled \$22.3 million, which is being amortized on a straight-line basis over the service period of each applicable award.

Due to a historically low turnover rate, we do not estimate a forfeiture rate for our nonvested shares. Accordingly, unexpected forfeitures will lower share-based compensation expense during the applicable period. Under the terms of our Incentive Award Plans, we pay non-refundable dividends to the holders of our nonvested shares. Applicable accounting guidance requires that the dividends paid to holders of these nonvested shares be charged as compensation expense to the extent that they relate to nonvested shares that do not or are not expected to vest. However, since we do not estimate forfeitures given our historical trends, we did not record any amount to compensation expense related to dividends paid in 2012 or 2011.

18. Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan

In March 2011, we established a Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan, or the DSPP, to provide our common stockholders, as well as new investors, with a convenient and economical method of purchasing our common stock and reinvesting their distributions. The DSPP also allows our current stockholders to buy additional shares of common stock by reinvesting all or a portion of their distributions. The DSPP authorizes up to 6,000,000 common shares to be issued. During the first six months of 2012, we issued 41,513 shares and raised approximately \$1.6 million under the DSPP. Since inception of the DSPP, we have

issued 101,118 shares and raised approximately \$3.6 million.

19. Commitments and Contingencies

In the ordinary course of business, we are party to various legal actions which we believe are routine in nature and incidental to the operation of our business. We believe that the outcome of the proceedings will not have a material adverse effect upon our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

17

Table of Contents

At June 30, 2012, we have contingent payments of \$2.8 million for tenant improvements and leasing costs. In addition, we have committed \$9.9 million under construction contracts, which is expected to be paid in the next six months.

20. Subsequent Events

In July 2012, we declared the following dividends, which will be paid in August 2012:

- \$0.146125 per share to our common stockholders;
- \$0.140625 per share to our Class E preferred stockholders; and
- \$0.138021 per share to our Class F preferred stockholders.

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This quarterly report on Form 10-Q, including the documents incorporated by reference, contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. When used in this quarterly report, the words estimated, anticipated, expect, believe, intend and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements include discussions of strategy, plans, or intentions of management. Forward-looking statements are subject to risks, uncertainties, and assumptions about Realty Income Corporation, including, among other things:

- Our anticipated growth strategies;
- Our intention to acquire additional properties and the timing of these acquisitions;
- Our intention to sell properties and the timing of these property sales;
- Our intention to re-lease vacant properties;
- Anticipated trends in our business, including trends in the market for long-term net-leases of freestanding, single-tenant properties; and
- Future expenditures for development projects.

Future events and actual results, financial and otherwise, may differ materially from the results discussed in the forward-looking statements. In particular, some of the factors that could cause actual results to differ materially are:

- Our continued qualification as a real estate investment trust;
- General business and economic conditions;
- Competition;
- Fluctuating interest rates;
- Access to debt and equity capital markets;
- Continued volatility and uncertainty in the credit markets and broader financial markets;
- Other risks inherent in the real estate business including tenant defaults, potential liability relating to environmental matters, illiquidity of real estate investments, and potential damages from natural disasters;
- Impairments in the value of our real estate assets;
- Changes in the tax laws of the United States of America;
- The outcome of any legal proceedings to which we are a party or which may occur in the future; and
- Acts of terrorism and war.

Table of Contents

Additional factors that may cause risks and uncertainties include those discussed in the sections entitled Business, Risk Factors, and Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011.

Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date that this quarterly report was filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC. While forward-looking statements reflect our good faith beliefs, they are not guarantees of future performance. We undertake no obligation to publicly release the results of any revisions to these forward-looking statements that may be made to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this quarterly report or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events. In light of these risks and uncertainties, the forward-looking events discussed in this quarterly report might not occur.

THE COMPANY

Realty Income Corporation, The Monthly Dividend Company®, is a Maryland corporation organized to operate as an equity real estate investment trust, or REIT. Our primary business objective is to generate dependable monthly cash distributions from a consistent and predictable level of funds from operations, or FFO, per share. Our monthly distributions are supported by the cash flow from our portfolio of properties leased to retail and other commercial enterprises. We have in-house acquisition, leasing, legal, credit research, real estate research, portfolio management, and capital markets expertise. Over the past 43 years, Realty Income and its predecessors have been acquiring and owning freestanding retail and other properties that generate rental revenue under long-term lease agreements (primarily 10 to 20 years).

In addition, we seek to increase distributions to stockholders and FFO per share through both active portfolio management and the acquisition of additional properties.

Generally, our portfolio management efforts seek to achieve:

- Contractual rent increases on existing leases;
- Rent increases at the termination of existing leases, when market conditions permit; and
- The active management of our property portfolio, including re-leasing vacant properties, and selectively selling properties, thereby mitigating our exposure to certain tenants and markets.

In acquiring additional properties, our strategy is primarily to acquire properties that are:

Freestanding, single-tenant locations;

- Leased to regional and national commercial enterprises; and
- Leased under long-term, net-lease agreements.

At June 30, 2012, we owned a diversified portfolio:

- Of 2,762 properties;
- With an occupancy rate of 97.3%, or 2,687 properties leased and only 75 properties available for lease;
- Leased to 136 different retail and other commercial enterprises doing business in 38 separate industries;
- Located in 49 states;
- With over 28.7 million square feet of leasable space; and
- With an average leasable space per property of approximately 10,400 square feet.

Of the 2,762 properties in the portfolio, 2,746, or 99.4%, are single-tenant properties, and the remaining 16 are multi-tenant properties. At June 30, 2012, of the 2,746 single-tenant properties, 2,672 were leased with a weighted average remaining lease term (excluding rights to extend a lease at the option of the tenant) of approximately 11.1 years.

Table of Contents

We typically acquire properties under long-term leases with regional and national retailers and other commercial enterprises. Our acquisition and investment activities generally focus on businesses providing goods and services that satisfy basic consumer and business needs. In general, our net-lease agreements:

- Are for initial terms of 10 to 20 years;
- Require the tenant to pay minimum monthly rent and property operating expenses (taxes, insurance, and maintenance);
- Provide for future rent increases based on increases in the consumer price index (typically subject to ceilings), additional rent calculated as a percentage of the tenants gross sales above a specified level, or fixed increases.

Investment Philosophy

We believe that owning an actively managed, diversified portfolio of commercial properties under long-term, net leases produces consistent and predictable income. Net leases typically require the tenant to be responsible for monthly rent and property operating expenses including property taxes, insurance, and maintenance. In addition, tenants are typically subject to future rent increases based on increases in the consumer price index (typically subject to ceilings), additional rent calculated as a percentage of the tenants gross sales above a specified level, or fixed increases. We believe that a portfolio of properties under long-term leases, coupled with the tenant s responsibility for property expenses, generally produces a more predictable income stream than many other types of real estate portfolios, while continuing to offer the potential for growth in rental income.

Investment Strategy

When identifying new properties for acquisition, our focus is generally on providing capital to owners and operators of retail and other commercial enterprises by acquiring, then leasing back, the real estate they consider important to the successful operation of their business.

We primarily focus on acquiring properties leased to retail and other commercial enterprises based on the following guidelines:

- Tenants with reliable and sustainable cash flow;
- Tenants with revenue and cash flow from multiple sources;
- Large owners and users of real estate;
- Real estate that is critical to the tenant s ability to generate revenue (i.e. they need the property in which they operate in order to conduct their business);
- Real estate and tenants that are willing to sign a long-term lease (10 or more years); and

Property transactions where we can achieve an attractive spread over our cost of capital.

Historically, our investment focus has primarily been on retail and other commercial enterprises that have a service component because we believe the lease revenue from these types of businesses is more stable. Because of this investment focus, for the quarter ended June 30, 2012, approximately 77% of our retail revenue was derived from tenants with a service component in their business. We believe these service-oriented businesses would generally be difficult to duplicate over the Internet and that our properties continue to perform well relative to competition from Internet-based businesses.

Credit Strategy

We typically acquire and lease properties to regional and national commercial enterprises and believe that within this market we can achieve an attractive risk-adjusted return. Since 1970, our occupancy rate at the end of each year has never been below 96%.

Table of Contents

We believe the principal financial obligations of most commercial enterprises typically include their bank and other debt, payment obligations to suppliers and real estate lease obligations. Because we typically own the land and building in which a tenant conducts its business, we believe the risk of default on a tenant s lease obligations is less than the tenant s unsecured general obligations. It has been our experience that since tenants must retain their profitable locations in order to survive, in the event of reorganization they are less likely to reject a lease for a profitable location because this would terminate their right to use the property. Thus, as the property owner, we believe we will fare better than unsecured creditors of the same tenant in the event of reorganization. If a property is rejected by the tenant during reorganization, we own the property and can either lease it to a new tenant or sell the property. In addition, we believe that the risk of default on the real estate leases can be further mitigated by monitoring the performance of the tenants individual locations and considering whether to sell locations that are weaker performers.

In order to qualify for inclusion in our portfolio, new property acquisitions must meet stringent investment and credit requirements. The properties must generate attractive current yields and the tenant must meet our credit profile. We have established a four-part analysis that examines each potential investment based on:

- Industry, company, market conditions and credit profile;
- For retail locations, store profitability, if profitability data is available;
- The importance of the location of the real estate to the operations of the company s business; and
- Overall real estate characteristics, including property value and comparative rental rates.

Acquisition Strategy

We seek to invest in industries in which several, well-organized, regional and national retailers and other commercial enterprises are capturing market share through service, quality control, economies of scale, strong consumer brands, advertising, and the selection of prime locations. Our acquisition strategy is to act as a source of capital to regional and national commercial enterprises by acquiring and leasing back their real estate locations. We undertake thorough research and analysis to identify what we consider to be appropriate industries, tenants, and property locations for investment. Our research expertise is instrumental to uncovering net-lease opportunities in markets where our real estate financing program adds value. In selecting potential investments, we generally seek to acquire real estate that has the following characteristics:

- Properties that are freestanding, commercially-zoned with a single tenant;
- Properties that are important locations for regional and national commercial enterprises;
- Properties that we deem to be profitable for the tenants and/or can generally be characterized as important to the operations of the company s business;
- Properties that are located within attractive demographic areas, relative to the business of our tenants, with high visibility and easy access to major thoroughfares; and

• Properties that can be purchased with the simultaneous execution or assumption of long-term, net-lease agreements, offering both current income and the potential for rent increases.

Portfolio Management Strategy

The active management of the property portfolio is an essential component of our long-term strategy. We continually monitor our portfolio for any changes that could affect the performance of the industries, tenants, and locations in which we have invested. We also regularly analyze our portfolio with a view toward optimizing its returns and enhancing our credit quality.

Our executives regularly review and analyze:

- The performance of the various industries of our tenants; and
- The operation, management, business planning and financial condition of our tenants.

21

Table of Contents

We have an active portfolio management program that incorporates the sale of assets when we believe the reinvestment of the sale proceeds will:

- Generate higher returns;
- Enhance the credit quality of our real estate portfolio;
- Extend our average remaining lease term; or
- Decrease tenant or industry concentration.

At June 30, 2012, we classified real estate with a carrying amount of \$19.0 million as held for sale on our balance sheet. For the remainder of 2012, we intend to employ more active disposition efforts to further enhance the credit quality of our real estate portfolio. As a result, we anticipate selling investment properties from our portfolio that have not yet been specifically identified, from which we anticipate receiving between \$25 million and \$70 million in proceeds during the next 12 months. We intend to invest these proceeds into new property acquisitions, if there are attractive opportunities available. However, we cannot guarantee that we will sell properties during the next 12 months or be able to invest the proceeds from the sales of any properties in new properties.

Impact of Real Estate and Credit Markets

In the commercial real estate market, property prices have continued or generally continue to fluctuate. Likewise, during certain periods, the U.S. credit markets have experienced significant price volatility, dislocations, and liquidity disruptions, which may impact our access to and cost of capital. We continue to monitor the commercial real estate and U.S. credit markets carefully and, if required, will make decisions to adjust our business strategy accordingly. See our discussion of Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

Increases in Monthly Dividends to Common Stockholders

We have continued our 43-year policy of paying monthly dividends. Monthly dividends per common share increased by \$0.0003125 in April 2012 to \$0.1458125, and increased by \$0.0003125 in July 2012 to \$0.146125. The increase in July 2012 was our 59th consecutive quarterly increase and the 66th increase in the amount of our dividend since our listing on the New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE, in 1994. In the first six months of 2012, we paid three monthly cash dividends per share in the amount of \$0.1458 and three in the amount of \$0.1458125, totaling \$0.8739375. In June 2012 and July 2012, we declared dividends of \$0.146125 per share, which were paid in July 2012 and will be paid in August 2012, respectively.

The monthly dividends of \$0.146125 per share represents current annualized dividends of \$1.7535 per share, and an annualized dividend yield of approximately 4.2% based on the last reported sale price of our common stock on the NYSE of \$41.77 on June 30, 2012. Although we expect to continue our policy of paying monthly dividends, we cannot guarantee that we will maintain

our current level of dividends, that we will continue our pattern of increasing dividends per share, or what our actual dividend yield will be in any future period.

Acquisitions during the Second Quarter of 2012

During the second quarter of 2012, Realty Income invested \$210.8 million in 145 new properties and properties under development, with an initial weighted average contractual lease rate of 7.1%. These 145 new properties are located in 28 states, will contain over 1.4 million leasable square feet, and are 100% leased with an average lease term of 15.0 years.

Table of Contents

Acquisitions during the First Six Months of 2012

During the first six months of 2012, Realty Income invested \$221.5 million in 147 new properties and properties under development, with an initial weighted average contractual lease rate of 7.2%. These 147 new properties are located in 28 states, will contain over 1.4 million leasable square feet, and are 100% leased with an average lease term of 15.0 years. The tenants of the 147 properties acquired, operate in six industries: automotive collision services, crafts and novelties, drug store, general merchandise, restaurants quick service, and transportation services.

The initial weighted average contractual lease rate is computed as estimated contractual net operating income (in a net-leased property that is equal to the aggregate base rent or, in the case of properties under development, the estimated aggregate base rent) for the first year of each lease, divided by the estimated total cost of the properties. Since it is possible that a tenant could default on the payment of contractual rent, we cannot assure you that the actual return on the funds invested will remain at the percentages listed above.

In April 2012 we announced the signing of purchase agreements to acquire properties with an aggregate value of approximately \$514 million, which were anticipated to close during the second quarter. Included in the \$221.5 million invested during the first six months of 2012, is \$198 million invested in 139 properties of the previously announced acquisitions. Agreements to acquire properties for approximately \$316 million have been terminated and will not be acquired. However, transaction flow remains strong, and we continue to believe we will meet or exceed our previously stated 2012 target of \$650 million in new property acquisitions. While the total amount of acquisitions for 2012 will likely be higher than previously anticipated, the timing of the remaining acquisitions will be weighted more heavily towards the end of the year.

Portfolio Discussion

Leasing Results

At June 30, 2012, we had 75 properties available for lease out of 2,762 properties in our portfolio, which represents a 97.3% occupancy rate. Since December 31, 2011, when we reported 87 properties available for lease and a 96.7% occupancy rate, we:

- Leased 24 properties;
- Sold ten properties available for lease; and
- Have 22 new properties available for lease.

During the first six months of 2012, 62 properties with expiring leases were leased to either existing or new tenants. The rent on these leases was \$4.7 million, as compared to the previous rent charged on these same properties of \$4.8 million. At June 30, 2012, our average annualized rental revenue was approximately \$16.99 per square foot on the 2,687 leased properties in our portfolio.

Investments in Existing Properties

In the second quarter of 2012, we capitalized costs of \$1.3 million on existing properties in our portfolio, consisting of \$431,000 for re-leasing costs and \$914,000 for building and tenant improvements.

In the first six months of 2012, we capitalized costs of \$2.4 million on existing properties in our portfolio, consisting of \$698,000 for re-leasing costs and \$1.7 million for building and tenant improvements.

As part of our re-leasing costs, we pay leasing commissions and sometimes provide tenant rent concessions. Leasing commissions are paid based on the commercial real estate industry standard and any rent concessions provided are minimal. We do not consider the collective impact of the leasing commissions or tenant rent concessions to be material to our financial position or results of operations.

Table of Contents

The majority of our building and tenant improvements are related to roof repairs, HVAC improvements, and parking lot resurfacing and replacements. It is not customary for us to offer significant tenant improvements on our properties as tenant incentives. The amounts of our capital expenditures can vary significantly, depending on the rental market, credit worthiness, and the willingness of tenants to pay higher rents over the terms of the leases.

\$1 Billion Acquisition Credit Facility

In May 2012, we entered into a new \$1 billion unsecured acquisition credit facility, which replaced our \$425 million acquisition credit facility that was scheduled to expire in March 2014. The initial term of the new credit facility expires in May 2016 and includes, at our option, a one-year extension. Under this new credit facility, our current investment grade credit ratings provide for financing at the London Interbank Offered Rate, commonly referred to as LIBOR, plus 1.075% with a facility commitment fee of 0.175%, for all-in drawn pricing of 1.25% over LIBOR. The borrowing rate is not subject to an interest rate floor or ceiling. We also have other interest rate options available to us. Our credit facility is unsecured and, accordingly, we have not pledged any assets as collateral for this obligation.

Issuances and Redemption of Preferred Stock

In February 2012, we issued 14.95 million shares of our 6.625% Monthly Income Class F Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock at a price of \$25 per share, including 1.95 million shares purchased by the underwriters upon the exercise of their overallotment option. In April 2012, we issued an additional 1.4 million shares of our Class F preferred stock at a price \$25.2863 per share. Of the aggregate net proceeds of approximately \$395.4 million from these issuances, \$127.5 million were used to redeem all of our outstanding 7.375% Monthly Income Class D Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock and the balance was used to repay borrowings under our credit facility. The dividend rate difference of 0.75% between the Class D and Class F preferred stock provides us dividend savings of \$956,000 annually on the Class D redemption amount of \$127.5 million. Beginning February 15, 2017, the Class F preferred shares are redeemable, at our option, for \$25 per share. The initial dividend of \$0.1702257 per share was paid on March 15, 2012, and covered 37 days. Thereafter, dividends of \$0.138021 per share will be paid monthly, in arrears.

We redeemed all of the 5.1 million shares of our 7.375% Monthly Income Class D Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock in March 2012 for \$25 per share, plus accrued dividends. We incurred a charge of \$3.7 million, representing the Class D preferred stock original issuance costs that we paid in 2004.

Universal Shelf Registration

In March 2012, we filed a shelf registration statement with the SEC, which is effective for a term of three years and will expire in March 2015. This replaces our prior shelf registration statement, which expired in March 2012. In accordance with SEC rules, the amount of securities to be issued pursuant to this shelf registration statement was not specified when it was filed and there is no specific dollar limit. The securities covered by this registration statement include (1) common stock, (2) preferred stock, (3) debt securities, (4) depositary shares representing fractional interests in shares of preferred stock, (5) warrants to purchase debt securities, common stock, preferred stock or depositary shares, and (6) any combination of these securities. We may periodically offer one or more of these securities in amounts, prices and on terms to be announced when and if the securities are offered. The specifics of any future offerings, along with the use of proceeds of any securities offered, will be described in detail in a prospectus supplement, or other offering materials, at the time of any offering.

In March 2012, our Board of Directors adopted, and in May 2012, stockholders approved, the Realty Income Corporation 2012 Incentive Award Plan, or the 2012 Plan, to enable us to attract and retain the services of directors, employees and consultants, considered essential to our long-term success. The 2012 Plan offers our directors, employees and consultants an opportunity to own stock in Realty Income and/or rights that will reflect our growth, development and financial success. The 2012 Plan replaced the 2003 Incentive Award Plan of Realty Income Corporation, which was set to expire in March 2013.

Table of Contents

Net Income Available to Common Stockholders

Net income available to common stockholders was \$33.0 million in the second quarter of 2012, versus \$33.2 million in the second quarter of 2011, a decrease of \$235,000. On a diluted per common share basis, net income was \$0.25 in the second quarter of 2012, compared to \$0.26 in the second quarter of 2011.

Net income available to common stockholders was \$59.0 million in the first six months of 2012, versus \$63.1 million in the same period of 2011, a decrease of \$4.1 million. On a diluted per common share basis, net income was \$0.44 in the first six months of 2012, compared to \$0.51 in the first six months of 2011. Net income available to common stockholders in the first six months of 2012 includes a \$3.7 million charge for the excess of redemption value over carrying value of the Class D preferred shares, which represents \$0.03 on a diluted per common share basis.

The calculation to determine net income available to common stockholders includes gains from the sale of properties. The amount of gains varies from period to period based on the timing of property sales and can significantly impact net income available to common stockholders.

The gain from the sale of properties during the second quarter of 2012 was \$3.4 million, as compared to the gain from the sale of properties and excess land of \$1.3 million during the second quarter of 2011. The gain from the sale of properties during the first six months of 2012 was \$4.0 million, as compared to the gain from the sale of properties and excess land of \$1.4 million during the first six months of 2011.

Funds from Operations Available to Common Stockholders (FFO)

In the second quarter of 2012, our FFO increased by \$4.3 million, or 7.1%, to \$65.2 million, versus \$60.9 million in the second quarter of 2011. On a diluted per common share basis, FFO was \$0.49 in the second quarter of 2012, compared to \$0.48 in the second quarter of 2011, an increase of \$0.01, or 2.1%.

In the first six months of 2012, our FFO increased by \$8.2 million, or 7.0%, to \$125.9 million, versus \$117.7 million in the first six months of 2011. On a diluted per common share basis, FFO was \$0.95 in the first six months of 2012, compared to \$0.96 in the first six months of 2011, a decrease of \$0.01, or 1.0%. FFO in the first six months of 2012 includes a \$3.7 million charge for the excess of redemption value over carrying value of the Class D preferred shares, which represents \$0.03 on a diluted per common share basis.

See our discussion of FFO (which is not a financial measure under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP) later in this Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, which includes a reconciliation of net income available to common stockholders to FFO.

Adjusted Funds from Operations Available to Common Stockholders (AFFO)

In the second quarter of 2012, our AFFO increased by \$4.1 million, or 6.6%, to \$66.5 million, versus \$62.4 million in the second quarter of 2011. On a diluted per common share basis, AFFO was \$0.50 in the second quarter of 2012, as compared to \$0.49 in the second quarter of 2011, an increase of \$0.01, or 2.0%. In the first six months of 2012, our AFFO increased by \$12.2 million, or 10.1%, to \$132.8 million, versus \$120.6 million in the first six months of 2011. On a diluted per common share basis, AFFO was \$1.00 in the first six months of 2012, as compared to \$0.98 in the first six months of 2011, an increase of \$0.02, or 2.0%.

See our discussion of AFFO (which is not a financial measure under GAAP) later in this Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, which includes a reconciliation of net income available to common stockholders to FFO and AFFO.

Table of Contents

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Capital Philosophy

Historically, we have met our long-term capital needs by issuing common stock, preferred stock and long-term unsecured notes and bonds. Over the long term, we believe that common stock should be the majority of our capital structure. However, we may issue additional preferred stock or debt securities from time to time. We may issue common stock when we believe that our share price is at a level that allows for the proceeds of any offering to be accretively invested into additional properties. In addition, we may issue common stock to permanently finance properties that were financed by our credit facility or debt securities. However, we cannot assure you that we will have access to the capital markets at times and at terms that are acceptable to us.

Our primary cash obligations, for the current year and subsequent years, are included in the Table of Obligations, which is presented later in this section. We expect to fund our operating expenses and other short-term liquidity requirements, including property acquisitions and development costs, payment of principal and interest on our outstanding indebtedness, property improvements, re-leasing costs and cash distributions to common and preferred stockholders, primarily through cash provided by operating activities, borrowing on our \$1 billion credit facility, and occasionally through public securities offerings.

Conservative Capital Structure

We believe that our stockholders are best served by a conservative capital structure. Therefore, we seek to maintain a conservative debt level on our balance sheet and solid interest and fixed charge coverage ratios. At June 30, 2012, our total outstanding borrowings of senior unsecured notes, mortgages payable and credit facility borrowings were \$1.99 billion, or approximately 24.3% of our total market capitalization of \$8.19 billion.

We define our total market capitalization at June 30, 2012 as the sum of:

- Shares of our common stock outstanding of 133,439,028 multiplied by the last reported sales price of our common stock on the NYSE of \$41.77 per share on June 30, 2012, or \$5.57 billion;
- Aggregate liquidation value (par value of \$25 per share) of the Class E preferred stock of \$220 million;
- Aggregate liquidation value (par value of \$25 per share) of the Class F preferred stock of \$408.8 million;
- Outstanding borrowings of \$183.6 million on our credit facility;
- Outstanding mortgages payable of \$56.7 million; and
- Outstanding senior unsecured notes and bonds of \$1.75 billion.

Mortgage Debt

As of June 30, 2012, we had \$56.2 million of mortgages payable, which were assumed in connection with our property acquisitions in 2011. Additionally, at June 30, 2012, we have net premiums totaling \$463,000 on these mortgages. During the first six months of 2012, we paid \$11 million in principal payments, which includes \$10.7 million to pay off one mortgage in March.

Our mortgages payable are secured by the properties on which the debt was placed and are non-recourse. We expect to pay off the mortgages payable as soon as prepayment penalties and costs make it economically feasible to do so. We intend to continue our policy of primarily identifying property acquisitions that are free from mortgage indebtedness.

Table of Contents

\$1 Billion Acquisition Credit Facility

In May 2012, we entered into a new \$1 billion unsecured acquisition credit facility, which replaced our \$425 million acquisition credit facility that was scheduled to expire in March 2014. The initial term of the credit facility expires in May 2016 and includes, at our option, a one-year extension. Under this new credit facility, our current investment grade credit ratings provide for financing at LIBOR, plus 1.075% with a facility commitment fee of 0.175%, for all-in drawn pricing of 1.25% over LIBOR, as compared to our previous credit facility where the interest rate was LIBOR, plus 1.85% with a facility commitment fee of 0.35%, for all-in drawn pricing of 2.20% over LIBOR. The borrowing rate is not subject to an interest rate floor or ceiling. We also have other interest rate options available to us. At June 30, 2012, we had a borrowing capacity of \$816.4 million available on our credit facility (subject to customary conditions to borrowing) and an outstanding balance of \$183.6 million. The interest rate on borrowings outstanding under our credit facility, at June 30, 2012, was 1.3% per annum. We must comply with various financial and other covenants in our credit facility. At June 30, 2012, we remain in compliance with these covenants.

We expect to use our credit facility to acquire additional properties and for other corporate purposes. Any additional borrowings will increase our exposure to interest rate risk. We have the right to request an increase in the borrowing capacity of the credit facility, up to \$500 million, to a total borrowing capacity of \$1.5 billion. Any increase in the borrowing capacity is subject to approval by the lending banks participating in our credit facility.

We generally use our credit facility for the short-term financing of new property acquisitions. Thereafter, when capital is available on acceptable terms, we generally seek to refinance those borrowings with the net proceeds of long-term or permanent financing, which may include the issuance of common stock, preferred stock or debt securities. We cannot assure you, however, that we will be able to obtain any such refinancing, or that market conditions prevailing at the time of the refinancing will enable us to issue equity or debt securities upon acceptable terms.

Authorized Shares

In June 2012, our stockholders approved an increase in the number of authorized shares of our common stock to 370,100,000 and the number of authorized shares of our preferred stock to 69,900,000.

Cash Reserves

We acquire and lease properties and distribute to stockholders, in the form of monthly cash dividends, a substantial portion of our net cash flow generated from leases on our properties. We intend to retain an appropriate amount of cash as working capital. At June 30, 2012, we had cash and cash equivalents totaling \$6.1 million.

We believe that our cash and cash equivalents on hand, cash provided from operating activities, and borrowing capacity is sufficient to meet our liquidity needs for the foreseeable future. We intend, however, to use additional sources of capital to fund property acquisitions and to repay future borrowings under our credit facility.

Credit Agency Ratings

The borrowing rates under our credit facility are based upon our credit ratings. We are currently assigned the following investment grade corporate credit ratings on our senior unsecured notes and bonds: Fitch Ratings has assigned a rating of BBB+, Moody s Investors Service has assigned a rating of Baa1 and Standard & Poor s Ratings Group has assigned a rating of BBB to our senior notes. All of these ratings have stable outlooks.

Based on our current ratings, the current facility interest rate is LIBOR plus 1.075% with a facility commitment fee of 0.175%, for all-in drawn pricing of 1.25% over LIBOR. The credit facility provides that the interest rate can range between: (i) LIBOR plus 1.85% if our credit facility is lower than BBB-/Baa3 and (ii) LIBOR plus 1.00% if our credit rating is A-/A3 or higher.

Table of Contents

In addition, our credit facility provides for a facility commitment fee based on our credit ratings, which ranges from (i) 0.45% for a rating lower than BBB-/Baa3, and (ii) 0.15% for a credit rating of A-/A3 or higher.

We also issue senior debt securities from time to time and our credit ratings can impact the interest rates charged in those transactions. If our credit ratings or ratings outlook change, our cost to obtain debt financing could increase or decrease.

The credit ratings assigned to us could change based upon, among other things, our results of operations and financial condition. These ratings are subject to ongoing evaluation by credit rating agencies and we cannot assure you that our ratings will not be changed or withdrawn by a rating agency in the future if, in its judgment, circumstances warrant. Moreover, a rating is not a recommendation to buy, sell or hold our debt securities, preferred stock or common stock.

Notes Outstanding

Our senior unsecured note and bond obligations consist of the following as of June 30, 2012, sorted by maturity date (dollars in millions):

5.375% notes, issued in March 2003 and due in March 2013	\$ 100
5.5% notes, issued in November 2003 and due in November 2015	150
5.95% notes, issued in September 2006 and due in September 2016	275
5.375% notes, issued in September 2005 and due in September 2017	175
6.75% notes, issued in September 2007 and due in August 2019	550
5.75% notes, issued in June 2010 and due in January 2021	250
5.875% bonds, \$100 issued in March 2005 and \$150 issued in June 2011, both due in March 2035	250
	\$ 1.750

All of our outstanding unsecured notes and bonds have fixed interest rates. Interest on all of our senior note and bond obligations is paid semiannually. All of these notes and bonds contain various covenants, including: (i) a limitation on incurrence of any debt which would cause our debt to total adjusted assets ratio to exceed 60%; (ii) a limitation on incurrence of any secured debt which would cause our secured debt to total adjusted assets ratio to exceed 40%; (iii) a limitation on incurrence of any debt which would cause our debt service coverage ratio to be less than 1.5 times; and (iv) the maintenance at all times of total unencumbered assets not less than 150% of our outstanding unsecured debt. At June 30, 2012, we remain in compliance with these covenants.

The following is a summary of the key financial covenants for our senior unsecured notes, as defined and calculated per the terms of our notes. These calculations, which are not based on GAAP measurements, are presented to investors to show our ability to incur additional debt under the terms of our notes only and are not measures of our liquidity or performance. The actual amounts as of June 30, 2012 are:

Note Covenants	Required	Actual
Limitation on incurrence of total debt	≤ 60% of adjusted assets	37.8%
Limitation on incurrence of secured debt	≤ 40% of adjusted assets	1.1%
Debt service coverage (trailing 12 months)	> 1.5 x	3.7x

Maintenance of total unencumbered assets

≥ 150% of unsecured debt

265.8%

28

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the maturity of each of our obligations as of June 30, 2012 (dollars in millions):

Table of Obligations

Year of Maturity	Credit Facility(1)	Notes and Bonds	Mortgages Payable(2)	Interest (3)	Ground Leases Paid by Realty Income(4)	Ground Leases Paid by Our Tenants(5)	Other (6)	Totals
2012	\$ 	\$ 	\$ 0.3	\$ 55.7	\$ 0.1	\$ 2.1	\$ 12.7	\$ 70.9
2013		100.0	20.9	107.1	0.1	4.1		232.2
2014			11.4	102.5	0.1	3.9		117.9
2015		150.0	23.6	99.7	0.1	3.9		277.3
2016	183.6	275.0		87.2	0.1	3.8		549.7
Thereafter		1,225.0		429.3	0.2	49.3		1,703.8
Totals	\$ 183.6	\$ 1,750.0	\$ 56.2	\$ 881.5	\$ 0.7	\$ 67.1	\$ 12.7	\$ 2,951.8

- (1) The initial term of the credit facility expires in May 2016 and includes, at our option, a one-year extension.
- (2) Excludes net premiums recorded on the mortgages payable. The balance of these net premiums at June 30, 2012, is \$463,000.
- (3) Interest on the credit facility, notes, bonds and mortgages payable has been calculated based on outstanding balances as of June 30, 2012 through their respective maturity dates.
- (4) Realty Income currently pays the ground lessors directly for the rent under the ground leases.
- (5) Our tenants, who are generally sub-tenants under ground leases, are responsible for paying the rent under these ground leases. In the event a tenant fails to pay the ground lease rent, we are primarily responsible.
- (6) Other consists of \$9.9 million of commitments under construction contracts and \$2.8 million of contingent payments for tenant improvements and leasing costs.

Our credit facility and notes payable obligations are unsecured. Accordingly, we have not pledged any assets as collateral for these obligations. Our mortgages payable are secured by the properties on which the debt was placed and are non-recourse.

Preferred Stock Outstanding

In 2006, we issued 8.8 million shares of our 6.75% Monthly Income Class E Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock. Beginning December 7, 2011, shares of Class E preferred stock were redeemable at our option for \$25 per share, plus any accrued and unpaid dividends. Dividends on shares of Class E preferred stock are paid monthly in arrears.

In February 2012, we issued 14.95 million shares of our 6.625% Monthly Income Class F Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock at \$25 per share, including 1.95 million shares purchased by the underwriters upon the exercise of their overallotment option. In April 2012, we issued an additional 1.4 million shares of Class F Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock at \$25.2863 per share.

Beginning February 15, 2017, shares of our Class F preferred stock are redeemable at our option for \$25 per share, plus any accrued and unpaid dividends. Dividends on the shares of Class F preferred shares will be paid monthly in arrears.

We are current on our obligations to pay dividends on our Class E and Class F preferred stock.

No Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements or Unconsolidated Investments

We have no unconsolidated or off-balance sheet investments in variable interest entities or off-balance sheet financing, nor do we engage in trading activities involving energy or commodity contracts or other derivative instruments. Additionally, we have no joint ventures or mandatorily redeemable preferred stock. As such, our financial position and results of operations are not affected by accounting regulations regarding the consolidation of off-balance sheet entities and classification of financial instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity.

29

Table of Contents

Dividend Policy

Distributions are paid monthly to our common, Class E preferred and Class F preferred stockholders if, and when, declared by our Board of Directors.

In order to maintain our status as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, we generally are required to distribute dividends to our stockholders aggregating annually at least 90% of our taxable income (excluding net capital gains), and we are subject to income tax to the extent we distribute less than 100% of our taxable income (including net capital gains). In 2011, our cash distributions totaled \$243.6 million, or approximately 127.7% of our estimated taxable income of \$190.8 million. Our estimated taxable income reflects non-cash deductions for depreciation and amortization. Our estimated taxable income is presented to show our compliance with REIT dividend requirements and is not a measure of our liquidity or performance.

We intend to continue to make distributions to our stockholders that are sufficient to meet this dividend requirement and that will reduce or eliminate our exposure to income taxes. Furthermore, we believe our funds from operations are more than sufficient to support our current level of cash distributions to our stockholders. Our cash distributions to common stockholders, for the first six months of 2012, totaled \$116.6 million, representing 87.8% of our adjusted funds from operations available to common stockholders of \$132.8 million. In comparison, our 2011 cash distributions to common stockholders totaled \$219.3 million, representing 86.5% of our adjusted funds from operations available to common stockholders of \$253.4 million.

The Class E preferred stockholders receive cumulative distributions at a rate of 6.75% per annum on the \$25 per share liquidation preference (equivalent to \$1.6875 per annum per share). The Class F preferred stockholders receive cumulative distributions at a rate of 6.625% per annum on the \$25 per share liquidation preference (equivalent to \$1.65625 per annum per share). Dividends on our Class E and Class F preferred stock are current.

Future distributions will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend on, among other things, our results of operations, FFO, AFFO, cash flow from operations, financial condition and capital requirements, the annual dividend requirements under the REIT provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, our debt service requirements and any other factors the Board of Directors may deem relevant. In addition, our credit facility contains financial covenants that could limit the amount of distributions paid by us in the event of a default, and which prohibit the payment of distributions on the common or preferred stock in the event that we fail to pay when due (subject to any applicable grace period) any principal or interest on borrowings under our credit facility.

Dividends of our current and accumulated earnings and profits for federal income tax purposes generally will be taxable to stockholders as ordinary income, except to the extent that we recognize capital gains and declare a capital gains dividend, or that such amounts constitute—qualified dividend income—subject to a reduced rate of tax. The maximum tax rate on non-corporate taxpayers for—qualified dividend income—is generally 15%. In general, dividends payable by REITs are not eligible for the reduced tax rate on qualified dividend income, except to the extent that certain holding requirements have been met with respect to the REIT—s stock and the REIT—s dividends are attributable to dividends received from certain taxable corporations (such as our taxable REIT subsidiary, Crest Net Lease, Inc., or Crest) or to income that was subject to tax at the corporate or REIT level (for example, if we distribute taxable income that we retained and paid tax on in the prior taxable year). For taxable years beginning after December 31, 2012, the 15% capital gains tax rate is currently scheduled to increase to 20%, and the rate applicable to dividends is currently scheduled to increase to the tax rate then applicable to ordinary income.

Table of Contents

Distributions in excess of earnings and profits generally will first be treated as a non-taxable reduction in the stockholders basis in their stock, but not below zero. Distributions in excess of that basis, generally, will be taxable as a capital gain to stockholders who hold their shares as a capital asset. Approximately 20.6% of the distributions to our common stockholders, made or deemed to have been made in 2011, were classified as a return of capital for federal income tax purposes. We estimate that in 2012, between 15% and 22% of the distributions may be classified as a return of capital.

Matters Pertaining To Certain Tenants

Friendly Ice Cream Corporation, or Friendly s, one of our tenants, emerged from bankruptcy on June 28, 2012. We continue to estimate that we will recover approximately 80% of the \$16.1 million of annualized rent that Friendly s was paying us before their bankruptcy filing. Buffets Holding, Inc., or Buffets, another one of our tenants, emerged from bankruptcy on July 18, 2012. We continue to estimate that we will recover approximately 65% of the \$18.2 million of annual rent that Buffets was paying us before their bankruptcy filing.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Critical Accounting Policies

Our consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with GAAP, and are the basis for our discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations. Preparing our consolidated financial statements requires us to make a number of estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. We believe that we have made these estimates and assumptions in an appropriate manner and in a way that accurately reflects our financial condition. We continually test and evaluate these estimates and assumptions using our historical knowledge of the business, as well as other factors, to ensure that they are reasonable for reporting purposes. However, actual results may differ from these estimates and assumptions. This summary should be read in conjunction with the more complete discussion of our accounting policies and procedures included in note 2 to our consolidated financial statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

In order to prepare our consolidated financial statements according to the rules and guidelines set forth by GAAP, many subjective judgments must be made with regard to critical accounting policies. One of these judgments is our estimate for useful lives in determining depreciation expense for our properties. Depreciation on a majority of our buildings and improvements is computed using the straight-line method over an estimated useful life of 25 years. If we use a shorter or longer estimated useful life, it could have a material impact on our results of operations. We believe that 25 years is an appropriate estimate of useful life.

When acquiring a property, we allocate the fair value of real estate acquired to: (1) land, (2) building and improvements, and (3) identified intangible assets and liabilities, based in each case on their estimated fair values. Intangible assets and liabilities consist of above-market or below-market lease value of in-place leases, the value of in-place leases, and tenant relationships, as applicable. In addition, any assumed mortgages payable are recorded at their estimated fair values.

Table of Contents

Another significant judgment must be made as to if, and when, impairment losses should be taken on our properties when events or a change in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the asset may not be recoverable. A provision is made for impairment if estimated future operating cash flows (undiscounted and without interest charges) plus estimated disposition proceeds (undiscounted) are less than the current book value of the property. Key inputs that we estimate in this analysis include projected rental rates, estimated holding periods, capital expenditures, and property sales capitalization rates. If a property is held for sale, it is carried at the lower of carrying cost or estimated fair value, less estimated cost to sell. The carrying value of our real estate is the largest component of our consolidated balance sheet. Our strategy of primarily holding properties, long-term, directly decreases the likelihood of their carrying values not being recoverable, thus requiring the recognition of an impairment. However, if our strategy, or one or more of the above assumptions were to change in the future, an impairment may need to be recognized. If events should occur that require us to reduce the carrying value of our real estate by recording provisions for impairment, it could have a material impact on our results of operations.

The following is a comparison of our results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 to the three and six months ended June 30, 2011.

Rental Revenue

Rental revenue was \$115.0 million for the second quarter of 2012, versus \$101.4 million for the second quarter of 2011, an increase of \$13.6 million, or 13.4%. The increase in rental revenue in the second quarter of 2012, compared to the second quarter of 2011, is primarily attributable to:

- The 147 properties (1.5 million square feet) acquired by Realty Income in 2012, which generated \$1.5 million of rent in the second quarter of 2012;
- The 164 properties (6.2 million square feet) acquired by Realty Income in 2011, which generated \$19.68 million of rent in the second quarter of 2012 compared to \$4.95 million of rent in the second quarter of 2011, for an increase of \$14.7 million;
- Same store rents generated on 2,287 properties (19.6 million square feet), during the second quarters of 2012 and 2011, decreased by \$1.0 million, or 1.1%, to \$92.14 million from \$93.18 million;
- A net decrease of \$1.7 million relating to the aggregate of (i) rental revenue from 130 properties (1.1 million square feet) that were available for lease during part of 2012 or 2011, (ii) rental revenue related to 45 properties sold during 2012 and 2011, and (iii) lease termination settlements, which, in aggregate, totaled \$1.2 million in the second quarter of 2012 compared to \$2.9 million in the second quarter of 2011; and
- A net increase in straight-line rent and other non-cash adjustments to rent of \$197,000, in the second quarter of 2012, as compared to the second quarter of 2011.

Rental revenue was \$229.1 million for the first six months of 2012, versus \$197.9 million for the first six months of 2011, an increase of \$31.2 million, or 15.8%. The increase in rental revenue in the first six months of 2012, compared to the first six months of 2011, is primarily attributable to:

- The 147 properties (1.5 million square feet) acquired by Realty Income in 2012, which generated \$1.5 million of rent in the first six months of 2012:
- The 164 properties (6.2 million square feet) acquired by Realty Income in 2011, which generated \$39.2 million of rent in the first six months of 2012 compared to \$5.6 million in the first six months of 2011, for an increase of \$33.6 million;

61

Same store rents generated on 2,287 properties (19.6 million square feet) during the first six months of 2012 and 2011 decreased by \$2.0 million, or 1.1%, to \$184.46 million from \$186.43 million;

• A net decrease of \$2.7 million relating to the aggregate of (i) rental revenue from 130 properties (1.1 million square feet) that were available for lease during part of 2012 or 2011, (ii) rental revenue related to 45 properties sold during 2012 and 2011, and (iii) lease termination settlements, which in aggregate, totaled \$2.7 million in the first six months of 2012 compared to \$5.4 million in the first six months of 2011; and

Table of Contents

• A net increase in straight-line rent and other non-cash adjustments to rent of \$547,000 in the first six months of 2012 as compared to the first six months of 2011.

Excluding 180 leases with Friendly s and Buffets, same store rents generated on 2,107 properties, during the second quarter of 2012, increased by \$908,000, or 1.1%, to \$86.45 million from \$85.54 million. Excluding 180 leases with Friendly s and Buffets, same store rents generated on 2,107 properties, during the first six months of 2012, increased by \$1.9 million, or 1.1%, to \$173.1 million from \$171.2 million.

For purposes of determining the same store rent property pool, we include all properties that were owned for the entire year-to-date period, as of both the current and prior year except for properties during the current or prior year that; (i) were available for lease at any time, (ii) were under development, (iii) we have made an additional investment in, (iv) were involved in eminent domain and rent was reduced and (v) were re-leased with rent-free periods. Each of the exclusions from the same store pool is separately addressed within the applicable sentences above explaining the changes in rental revenue for the period.

Of the 2,762 properties in the portfolio at June 30, 2012, 2,746, or 99.4%, are single-tenant properties, and the remaining 16 are multi-tenant properties. Of the 2,746 single-tenant properties, 2,672, or 97.3%, were net leased with a weighted average remaining lease term (excluding rights to extend a lease at the option of the tenant) of approximately 11.1 years at June 30, 2012. Of our 2,672 leased single-tenant properties, 2,458, or 92.0%, were under leases that provide for increases in rents through:

- Primarily base rent increases tied to a consumer price index (typically subject to ceilings);
- Percentage rent based on a percentage of the tenants gross sales;
- Fixed increases; or
- A combination of two or more of the above rent provisions.

Percentage rent, which is included in rental revenue, was \$274,000 in the second quarter of 2012, and \$235,000 in the second quarter of 2011. Percentage rent was \$852,000 in the first six months of 2012 and \$685,000 in the first six months of 2011. Percentage rent, in the second quarter and first six months of 2012, was less than 1% of rental revenue and we anticipate percentage rent to continue to be less than 1% of rental revenue for the remainder of 2012.

Our portfolio of real estate, leased primarily to regional and national commercial enterprises under net leases, continues to perform well and provides dependable lease revenue supporting the payment of monthly dividends to our stockholders. At June 30, 2012, our portfolio of 2,762 properties was 97.3% leased with 75 properties available for lease, as compared to 87 at December 31, 2011 and 68 at June 30, 2011. It has been our experience that approximately 2% to 4% of our property portfolio will be unleased at any given time; however, it is possible that the number of properties available for lease could exceed these levels in the future.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization was \$35.5 million for the second quarter of 2012, as compared to \$28.7 million in the second quarter of 2011. Depreciation and amortization was \$70.6 million for the first six months of 2012, as compared to \$55.1 million for the first six months of 2011. The increase in depreciation and amortization was primarily due to the acquisition of properties in 2012 and 2011, which was partially offset by property sales in those same years. As discussed in the section entitled Funds from Operations Available to Common Stockholders, depreciation and amortization is a non-cash item that is added back to net income available to common stockholders for our calculation of FFO and AFFO.

Table of Contents

Interest Expense

Interest expense was \$28.8 million for the second quarter of 2012, as compared to \$25.6 million for the second quarter of 2011. Interest expense was \$57.8 million for the first six months of 2012, as compared to \$50.8 million for the first six months of 2011. The increase in interest expense was primarily due to an increase in borrowings attributable to the \$150 million re-opening of our 5.875% senior unsecured bonds due 2035 in June 2011, interest on our mortgages payable, and higher credit facility borrowings, which were partially offset by lower average interest rates.

As a result of entering into our current credit facility, we incurred credit facility origination costs of \$7.1 million. At June 30, 2012, included in other assets on our consolidated balance sheet is \$6.8 million of the \$7.1 million, and \$2.5 million incurred as a result of entering into our previous credit facilities. These costs are being amortized over the remaining term of our current \$1 billion credit facility.

The following is a summary of the components of our interest expense (dollars in thousands):

	Three month	ns ended	Six months ended		
	June 30, 2012	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2012	June 30, 2011	
Interest on our credit facility, notes, bonds and mortgages	\$ 27,562	\$ 24,683	\$ 55,526	\$ 48,904	
Interest included in discontinued operations	(149)	(198)	(339)	(399)	
Credit facility commitment fees	415	377	792	754	
Amortization of credit facility origination costs, deferred financing					
costs and net mortgage premiums	1,110	887	2,056	1,683	
Interest capitalized	(132)	(102)	(277)	(173)	
	,	,	,		
Interest expense	\$ 28,806	\$ 25,647	\$ 57,758	\$ 50,769	

	Three months ended				Six mont	ded	
Credit facility, mortgages and notes	June 30,		June 30,		June 30,		June 30,
outstanding	2012		2011		2012		2011
Average outstanding balances (dollars in thousands)	\$ 1,883,041	\$	1,634,727	\$	1,902,648	\$	1,620,085
Average interest rates	5.85%		6.04%)	5.83%		6.04%

At June 30, 2012, the weighted average interest rate on our:

- Notes and bonds payable of \$1.75 billion was 6.03%;
- Mortgages payable of \$56.7 million was 5.38%;
- Credit facility outstanding borrowings of \$183.6 million was 1.32%; and
- Combined outstanding notes, bonds, mortgages and credit facility borrowings of \$1.99 billion was 5.58%.

EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA (earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization)

EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA are non-GAAP financial measures. Our EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA computation may not be comparable to EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA reported by other companies that interpret the definitions of EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA differently than we do. Management believes EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA to be meaningful measures of a REIT s performance because it is widely followed by industry analysts, lenders and investors and is used by management as one measure of performance. In addition, management utilizes Adjusted EBITDA because our \$1 billion credit facility uses a similar metric to measure our compliance with certain covenants. EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA should be considered along with, but not as an alternative to, net income as a measure of our operating performance.

Table of Contents

The following is a reconciliation of net income, our most directly comparable GAAP measure, to EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA (dollars in thousands):

	Three months ended			Six months ended			ded
	June 30, 2012		June 30, 2011		June 30, 2012		June 30, 2011
Net income	\$ 43,407	\$	39,248	\$	82,671	\$	75,247
Interest expense	28,806		25,647		57,758		50,769
Interest expense included in discontinued operations	149		198		339		399
Income taxes	405		368		810		735
Income tax benefit included in discontinued operations	(127)		(88)		(159)		(179)
Depreciation and amortization	35,475		28,673		70,560		55,104
Depreciation and amortization in discontinued operations	163		386		381		807
EBITDA	\$ 108,278	\$	94,432	\$	212,360	\$	182,882
Provisions for impairment			10				210
Amortization of net premiums on mortgages payable	(71)				(168)		
Gain on sale of land	`		(155)				(155)
Gain on property sales in discontinued operations	(3,354)		(1,096)		(3,965)		(1,224)
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 104,853	\$	93,191	\$	208,227	\$	181,713

Interest Coverage Ratio

Interest coverage ratio is calculated as: Adjusted EBITDA divided by interest expense, including interest recorded as discontinued operations. We consider interest coverage ratio to be an appropriate supplemental measure of a company s ability to meet its interest expense obligations. Our calculation of interest coverage ratio may be different from the calculation used by other companies and, therefore, comparability may be limited. This information should not be considered as an alternative to any GAAP liquidity measures.

	Three months ended			ended Six month			
Dollars in thousands	June 30, 2012		June 30, 2011		June 30, 2012		June 30, 2011
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 104,853	\$	93,191	\$	208,227	\$	181,713
Divided by interest expense(1)	\$ 28,955	\$	25,845	\$	58,097	\$	51,168
Interest coverage ratio	3.6		3.6		3.6		3.6

⁽¹⁾ Includes interest expense recorded to discontinued operations.

Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio

Fixed charge coverage ratio is calculated in exactly the same manner as interest coverage ratio, except that preferred stock dividends are also added to the denominator. We consider fixed charge coverage ratio to be an appropriate supplemental measure of a company s ability to make its interest and preferred stock dividend payments. Our calculation of the fixed charge coverage ratio may be different from the calculation used by other companies and, therefore, comparability may be limited. This information should not be considered as an alternative to any GAAP liquidity measures.

	Three months ended			Six mont	ded		
Dollars in thousands	June 30, 2012		June 30, 2011	June 30, 2012		June 30, 2011	
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 104,853	\$	93,191	\$ 208,227	\$	181,713	
Divided by interest expense plus preferred stock dividends(1)	\$ 39,412	\$	31,908	\$ 78,050	\$	63,295	
Fixed charge coverage ratio	2.7		2.9	2.7		2.9	

⁽¹⁾ Includes interest expense recorded to discontinued operations. Excludes the charge of \$3.7 million for the excess of redemption value over carrying value of the Class D preferred shares redeemed during the six months ended June 30, 2012.

Table of Contents

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses increased by \$1.3 million to \$9.3 million in the second quarter of 2012, as compared to \$8.0 million in the second quarter of 2011. Included in general and administrative expenses are one-time acquisition transaction costs of \$392,000, for the second quarter of 2012, as compared to \$542,000, for the second quarter of 2011.

General and administrative expenses increased by \$2.5 million to \$18.4 million in the first six months of 2012, as compared to \$15.9 million in the first six months of 2011. Included in general and administrative expenses are one-time acquisition transaction costs of \$634,000, for the first six months of 2012, as compared to \$913,000, for the first six months of 2011. General and administrative expenses increased primarily due to increases in employee and proxy costs. In July 2012, we had 89 employees, as compared to 78 employees in July 2011.

	Three month June 30,	ns ended	Six months	s ended
		June 30,	June 30,	June 30,
Dollars in thousands	2012	2011	2012	2011
General and administrative expenses	\$ 9,273	\$ 7,987	\$ 18,441	\$ 15,857
Total revenue	\$ 115,643	\$ 101,620	\$ 229,918	\$ 198,332
General and administrative expenses as a percentage of total				
revenue	8.0%	7.9%	8.0%	8.0%

Property Expenses

Property expenses consist of costs associated with unleased properties, non-net leased multi-tenant properties and general portfolio expenses. Expenses related to unleased properties and multi-tenant properties include, but are not limited to, property taxes, maintenance, insurance, utilities, property inspections, bad debt expense and legal fees. General portfolio costs include, but are not limited to, insurance, legal, property inspections, and title search fees. At June 30, 2012, 75 properties were available for lease, as compared to 87 at December 31, 2011 and 68 at June 30, 2011.

Property expenses were \$2.1 million in the second quarter of 2012, as compared to \$1.6 million in the second quarter of 2011. Property expenses were \$4.5 million in the first six months of 2012, as compared to \$3.3 million in the first six months of 2011. The increase in property expenses is primarily attributable to higher insurance costs, legal costs associated with properties available for lease, and an increase in bad debt expense.

Income Taxes

Income taxes were \$405,000 in the second quarter of 2012, as compared to \$368,000 in the second quarter of 2011. Income taxes were \$810,000 in the first six months of 2012, as compared to \$735,000 in the first six months of 2011. These amounts are for city and state income and franchise taxes paid by Realty Income.

Discontinued Operations

Operations from 16 investment properties classified as held for sale at June 30, 2012, plus properties previously sold, have been classified as discontinued operations. The following is a summary of income from discontinued operations on our consolidated statements of income (dollars in thousands):

Table of Contents

	Three months	s ended	Six months	ended	
Income from discontinued operations	June 30, 2012	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2012	June 30, 2011	
Gain on sales of investment properties	\$ 3,354	\$ 1,096	\$ 3,965	\$ 1,224	
Rental revenue	518	1,119	1,164	2,361	
Other revenue	7	6	21	27	
Depreciation and amortization	(163)	(386)	(381)	(807)	
Property expenses	(99)	(145)	(236)	(376)	
Provisions for impairment		(10)		(210)	
Crest s income from discontinued operations	196	220	325	442	
Income from discontinued operations	\$ 3,813	\$ 1,900	\$ 4,858	\$ 2,661	
Per common share, basic and diluted(1)	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.04	\$ 0.02	

⁽¹⁾ The per share amounts for income from discontinued operations above and the income from continuing operations and net income reported on the consolidated statements of income have each been calculated independently.

Crest s Assets and Property Sales

At June 30, 2012, our wholly-owned taxable REIT subsidiary, Crest Net Lease, Inc., or Crest, owned three properties, which are classified as held for investment. In addition to the three properties, Crest also holds notes receivable of \$19.0 million at June 30, 2012.

During the first six months of 2012 and 2011, Crest did not buy or sell any properties.

Gain on Sales of Investment Properties by Realty Income

During the second quarter of 2012, we sold 14 investment properties for \$15.0 million, which resulted in a gain of \$3.4 million. During the first six months of 2012, we sold 19 investment properties for \$18.6 million, which resulted in a gain of \$4.0 million. The results of operations for these properties have been reclassified as discontinued operations.

In comparison, during the second quarter of 2011, we sold six investment properties for \$3.3 million, which resulted in a gain of \$1.1 million. During the first six months of 2011, we sold nine investment properties for \$4.4 million, which resulted in a gain of \$1.2 million. The results of operations for these properties have been reclassified as discontinued operations. Additionally, during the second quarter of 2011, we sold excess land from three properties for \$675,000, which resulted in a gain of \$155,000. This gain is included in other revenue on our consolidated statement of income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 because this excess land was associated with properties that continue to be owned as part of our core operations.

Provisions for Impairment on Realty Income Investment Properties

For the first six months of 2012, we did not record any provisions for impairment. For the second quarter of 2011, we recorded a provision for impairment of \$10,000 on one property, which was sold in 2011. For the first six months of 2011, we recorded total provisions for impairment of \$210,000 on three properties; one in the automotive service industry, one in the motor vehicle dealerships industry and one in the pet supplies and services industry, all of which were sold in 2011.

Preferred Stock Dividends

Preferred stock dividends totaled \$10.5 million in the second quarter of 2012, \$6.1 million in the second quarter of 2011, \$20.0 million in the first six months of 2012, and \$12.1 million in the first six months of 2011.

When we redeemed our Class D preferred stock in March 2012, we incurred a charge of \$3.7 million for the excess of redemption value over the carrying value. This charge, representing the Class D preferred stock original issuance cost that was paid in 2004, was recorded as a reduction to net income available to common stockholders when the shares were redeemed during the first six months of 2012. On a diluted per common share basis, this charge was \$0.03.

Table of Contents

Net Income Available to Common Stockholders

Net income available to common stockholders was \$33.0 million in the second quarter of 2012, a decrease of \$235,000 as compared to \$33.2 million in the second quarter of 2011. Net income available to common stockholders was \$59.0 million in the first six months of 2012, a decrease of \$4.1 million, as compared to \$63.1 million in the first six months of 2011. Net income available to common stockholders, in the first six months of 2012, includes a \$3.7 million charge for the excess of redemption value over carrying value of the Class D preferred shares, which represents \$0.03 on a diluted per common share basis.

The calculation to determine net income available to common stockholders includes gains from the sale of properties. The amount of gains varies from period to period based on the timing of property sales and can significantly impact net income available to common stockholders.

The gain from the sale of investment properties recognized during the second quarter of 2012 was \$3.4 million, as compared to \$1.3 million during the second quarter of 2011. The gain from the sale of investment properties during the first six months of 2012 was \$4.0 million, as compared to \$1.4 million during the first six months of 2011.

FUNDS FROM OPERATIONS AVAILABLE TO COMMON STOCKHOLDERS (FFO)

FFO for the second quarter of 2012 increased by \$4.3 million, or 7.1%, to \$65.2 million, as compared to \$60.9 million for the second quarter of 2011. FFO for the first six months of 2012 increased by \$8.2 million, or 7.0%, to \$125.9 million, as compared to \$117.7 million for the first six months of 2011. FFO for the first six months of 2012 includes a \$3.7 million charge associated with the Class D preferred stock redemption. The following is a reconciliation of net income available to common stockholders (which we believe is the most comparable GAAP measure) to FFO. Also presented is information regarding distributions paid to common stockholders and the weighted average number of common shares used for the basic and diluted computation per share (dollars in thousands, except per share amounts):

Table of Contents

	Three month	s ended	Six months	ended
	June 30, 2012	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2012	June 30, 2011
Net income available to common stockholders	\$ 32,950	\$ 33,185	\$ 59,022	\$ 63,120
Depreciation and amortization:				
Continuing operations	35,475	28,673	70,560	55,104
Discontinued operations	163	386	381	807
Depreciation of furniture, fixtures, and equipment	(67)	(59)	(135)	(120)
Provisions for impairment on Realty Income				
investment properties		10		210
Gain on sales of land and investment properties:				
Continuing operations		(155)		(155)
Discontinued operations	(3,354)	(1,096)	(3,965)	(1,224)
FFO available to common stockholders	\$ 65,167	\$ 60,944	\$ 125,863	\$ 117,742
FFO per common share:				
Basic	\$ 0.49	\$ 0.48	\$ 0.95	\$ 0.96
Diluted	\$ 0.49	\$ 0.48	\$ 0.95	\$ 0.96
Distributions paid to common stockholders	\$ 58,360	\$ 55,008	\$ 116,552	\$ 106,131
FFO in excess of distributions paid to common				
stockholders	\$ 6,807	\$ 5,936	\$ 9,311	\$ 11,611
Weighted average number of common shares used for				
computation per share:				
Basic	132,592,939	125,999,323	132,643,698	122,547,027
Diluted	132,828,540	126,202,047	132,785,213	122,691,418

We define FFO, a non-GAAP measure, consistent with the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trust s definition, as net income available to common stockholders, plus depreciation and amortization of real estate assets, plus impairment of real estate assets, reduced by gains on sales of investment properties and extraordinary items.

We consider FFO to be an appropriate supplemental measure of a REIT s operating performance as it is based on a net income analysis of property portfolio performance that adds back items such as depreciation and impairments. The historical accounting convention used for real estate assets requires straight-line depreciation of buildings and improvements, which implies that the value of real estate assets diminishes predictably over time. Since real estate values historically rise and fall with market conditions, presentations of operating results for a REIT, using historical accounting for depreciation, could be less informative. The use of FFO is recommended by the REIT industry as a supplemental performance measure. In addition, FFO is used as a measure of our compliance with the financial covenants of our credit facility.

Presentation of this information is intended to assist the reader in comparing the operating performance of different REITs, although it should be noted that not all REITs calculate FFO the same way, so comparisons with other REITs may not be meaningful. Furthermore, FFO is not necessarily indicative of cash flow available to fund cash needs and should not be considered as an alternative to net income as an indication of our performance. In addition, FFO should not be considered as an alternative to reviewing our cash flows from operating, investing and financing activities as a measure of liquidity, of our ability to make cash distributions or of our ability to pay interest payments.

ADJUSTED FUNDS FROM OPERATIONS AVAILABLE TO COMMON STOCKHOLDERS (AFFO)

AFFO for the second quarter of 2012 increased by \$4.1 million, or 6.6%, to \$66.5 million, as compared to \$62.4 million for the second quarter of 2011. AFFO for the first six months of 2012 increased by \$12.2 million, or 10.1%, to \$132.8 million, as compared to \$120.6 million for the first six months of 2011. We consider AFFO to be an appropriate supplemental measure of our performance. Most companies in our industry use a similar measurement, but they may use the term CAD (for Cash Available for Distribution) or FAD (for Funds Available for Distribution) or other terms.

The following is a reconciliation of net income available to common stockholders (which we believe is the most comparable GAAP measure) to FFO and AFFO. Also presented is information regarding distributions paid to common stockholders and the weighted average number of common shares used for the basic and diluted computation per share (dollars in thousands, except per share amounts):

	Three month	ns ended	Six months ended		
	June 30, 2012	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2012	June 30, 2011	
Net income available to common stockholders	\$ 32,950	\$ 33,185	\$ 59,022	\$ 63,120	
Cumulative adjustments to calculate FFO(1)	32,217	27,759	66,841	54,622	
FFO available to common stockholders	65,167	60,944	125,863	117,742	
Excess of redemption value over carrying value of Class D preferred share redemption			3,696		
Amortization of share-based compensation	2,593	2,167	5,550	4,347	
Amortization of deferred financing costs(2)	578	474	1,111	850	
Capitalized leasing costs and commissions	(431)	(380)	(698)	(649)	
Capitalized building improvements	(914)	(535)	(1,707)	(1,209)	
Other adjustments(3)	(494)	(300)	(1,022)	(471)	
Total AFFO available to common stockholders	\$ 66,499	\$ 62,370	\$ 132,793	\$ 120,610	
AFFO per common share:		·			
Basic	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.50	\$ 1.00	\$ 0.98	
Diluted	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.49	\$ 1.00	\$ 0.98	
Distributions paid to common stockholders	\$ 58,360	\$ 55,008	\$ 116,552	\$ 106,131	
AFFO in excess of distributions paid to common					
stockholders	\$ 8,139	\$ 7,362	\$ 16,241	\$ 14,479	
Weighted average number of common shares used for					
computation per share:					
Basic	132,592,939	125,999,323	132,643,698	122,547,027	
Diluted	132,828,540	126,202,047	132,785,213	122,691,418	

⁽¹⁾ See reconciling items for FFO presented under Funds from Operations Available to Common Stockholders (FFO).

⁽²⁾ Includes the amortization of costs incurred and capitalized when our notes were issued in March 2003, November 2003, March 2005, September 2005, September 2006, September 2007, June 2010 and June 2011. Additionally, this includes the amortization of deferred financing costs incurred and capitalized in connection with our assumption of the mortgages payable in 2011. These costs are being amortized over the lives of the respective mortgages. No costs associated with our credit facility agreements or annual fees paid to credit rating agencies have been included.

⁽³⁾ Includes straight-line rent revenue and the amortization of above and below-market leases.

Table of Contents

We believe the non-GAAP financial measure AFFO provides useful information to investors because it is a widely accepted industry measure of the operating performance of real estate companies that is used by industry analysts and investors who look at and compare those companies. In particular, AFFO provides an additional measure to compare the operating performance of different REITs without having to account for differing depreciation assumptions and other unique revenue and expense items that are not pertinent to measuring a particular company son-going operating performance. Therefore, we believe that AFFO is an appropriate supplemental performance metric, and that the most appropriate GAAP performance metric to which AFFO should be reconciled is net income available to common stockholders.

Presentation of the information regarding FFO and AFFO is intended to assist the reader in comparing the operating performance of different REITs, although it should be noted that not all REITs calculate FFO and AFFO in the same way, so comparisons with other REITs may not be meaningful. Furthermore, FFO and AFFO are not necessarily indicative of cash flow available to fund cash needs and should not be considered as an alternative to net income as an indication of our performance. FFO and AFFO should not be considered as an alternative to reviewing our cash flows from operating, investing and financing activities. In addition, FFO and AFFO should not be considered as a measure of liquidity, of our ability to make cash distributions, or of our ability to pay interest payments.

PROPERTY PORTFOLIO INFORMATION

At June 30, 2012, we owned a diversified portfolio:

- Of 2,762 properties;
- With an occupancy rate of 97.3%, or 2,687 properties leased and only 75 properties available for lease;
- Leased to 136 different retail and other commercial enterprises doing business in 38 separate industries;
- Located in 49 states;
- With over 28.7 million square feet of leasable space; and
- With an average leasable space per property of approximately 10,400 square feet.

At June 30, 2012, of our 2,762 properties, 2,672 were leased under net-lease agreements. A net lease typically requires the tenant to be responsible for minimum monthly rent and property operating expenses including property taxes, insurance and maintenance. In addition, our tenants are typically subject to future rent increases based on increases in the consumer price index (typically subject to ceilings), additional rent calculated as a percentage of the tenants gross sales above a specified level, or fixed increases.

Industry Diversification

The following table sets forth certain information regarding Realty Income s property portfolio classified according to the business of the respective tenants, expressed as a percentage of our total revenue:

	For the Quarter Ended		Percentage	e of Rental Reve For the Ye	enue(1) ears Ended		
Industries	June 30, 2012	Dec 31, 2011	Dec 31, 2010	Dec 31, 2009	Dec 31, 2008	Dec 31, 2007	Dec 31, 2006
Apparel stores	1.3%	1.4%	1.2%	1.1%	1.1%	1.2%	1.7%
Automotive collision services	1.3%	0.9	1.2%	1.1%	1.1%	1.2%	1.7%
Automotive parts	1.0	1.2	1.4	1.5	1.6	2.1	2.8
Automotive parts Automotive service	3.2	3.7	4.7	4.8	4.8	5.2	6.9
Automotive tire services	3.2 4.9	5.6	6.4	4.8 6.9	4.6 6.7	5.2 7.3	6.9 6.1
Aviation	0.8	0.5	0.4	6.9	0.7	7.3	O. I
	5.3	5.6	3.0				
Beverages Book stores	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
	V. I *	V. I *	V. I *	U.∠ *	U.∠ *	0.2	0.2
Business services Child care	4.7	5.2	6.5	7.3	7.6	0.1 8.4	10.3
Consumer electronics	4.7 0.5	0.5	0.6	7.3 0.7	0.8	0.4	10.3
Convenience stores	16.9	18.5	17.1	16.9	15.8		16.1
Crafts and novelties	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	14.0 0.3	0.4
	3.5	3.8	4.1	4.3	0.3 4.1	2.7	2.9
Drug stores Education	3.5 0.7	0.7	0.8	4.3 0.9	0.8	0.8	2.9 0.8
Entertainment	0.7	1.0	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.4	1.6
	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
Equipment services Financial services	0.4	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
Food processing	1.2	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	U. I
General merchandise	1.5	0.6	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.6
Grocery stores	3.8	1.6	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.8
Health and fitness	6.9	6.4	6.9	5.9	5.6	5.1	4.3
Home furnishings	1.0	1.1	1.3	1.3	2.4	2.6	4.3 3.1
Home improvement	1.6	1.7	2.0	2.2	2.4	2.4	3.4
Motor vehicle dealerships	2.2	2.2	2.6	2.7	3.2	3.1	3.4
Office supplies	0.8	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.3
Packaging	0.6	0.4	0.9	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.3
0 0	0.6	0.4					
Paper Pet supplies and services	0.6	0.7	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.9	1.1
Restaurants - casual dining	7.5	10.9	13.4	13.7	14.3	14.9	7.0
Restaurants - quick service	6.3	6.6	7.7	8.3	8.2	6.6	4.9
Shoe stores	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.2		4.9
Sporting goods	2.6	2.7	2.7	2.6	2.3	2.6	2.9
Telecommunications	0.9	0.7	Z.1 	2.0	2.5	2.0	2.9
Theaters	9.6	8.8	8.9	9.2	9.0	9.0	9.6
Transportation services	2.5	1.8	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3
Video rental	2.5 0.0	0.0	0.2	1.0	1.1	1.7	0.3 2.1
Wholesale clubs	2.6	0.7	0.2	1.0	1.1 	1.7	Z. I
Other	2.6 1.3	1.4	1.7	1.8	1.9	2.3	2.7
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

^{*} Less than 0.1%

⁽¹⁾ Includes rental revenue for all properties owned by Realty Income at the end of each period presented, including revenue from properties reclassified as discontinued operations. Excludes revenue from properties owned by Crest.

Table of Contents

Property Type Diversification

The following table sets forth certain property type information regarding Realty Income s property portfolio as of June 30, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

Property Type	Number of Properties	Approximate Leasable Square Feet	Rental Revenue for the Quarter Ended June 30, 2012(1)	Percentage of Rental Revenue
Retail	2,704	23,337,700	\$ 99,344	86.1%
Agriculture	15	184,500	5,082	4.4
Distribution	14	2,196,200	4,003	3.5
Manufacturing	6	1,418,600	2,469	2.1
Office	8	778,500	2,934	2.6
Industrial	15	850,500	1,540	1.3
Totals	2,762	28,766,000	\$ 115,372	100.0%

⁽¹⁾ Includes rental revenue for all properties owned by Realty Income at June 30, 2012, including revenue from properties reclassified as discontinued operations of \$357. Excludes revenue of \$23 from properties owned by Crest.

Tenant Diversification

The largest tenants based on percentage of total portfolio rental revenue at June 30, 2012 include the following:

AMC Theatres	5.2%	Hometown Buffet	2.7%
L.A. Fitness	5.0%	NPC International/Pizza Hut	2.6%
Diageo	4.9%	BJ s Wholesale Club	2.5%
Northern Tier Energy/Super America	4.3%	Rite Aid	2.5%
Regal Cinemas	3.3%	Smart & Final	2.3%
Friendly s Ice Cream	3.1%	FreedomRoads/Camping World	2.2%
The Pantry	3.0%	TBC Corporation	2.2%
Family Dollar	2.7%		

Service Category Diversification for our Retail Properties

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the 2,704 retail properties, included in the 2,762 total properties, owned by Realty Income at June 30, 2012, classified according to the business types and the level of services they provide (dollars in thousands):

Retail Industries	Number of Retail Properties	Retail Rental Revenue for the Quarter Ended June 30, 2012(1)	Percentage of Retail Rental Revenue
Tenants Providing Services	rroperties	ounc 30, 2012(1)	Hevenue
Automotive collision services	20	\$ 1,315	1.3%
Automotive service	232	3,744	3.8
Child care	233	5,365	5.4
Education	14	812	0.8
Entertainment	8	1,074	1.1
Equipment services	2	150	0.1
Financial services	14	215	0.2
Health and fitness	45	7.954	8.0
Theaters	43	11,126	11.2
Transportation services	1	187	0.2
Other	14	157	0.2
Other	626	32,099	32.3
Tenants Selling Goods and Services	020	02,000	02.0
Automotive parts (with installation)	22	452	0.5
Automotive parts (with installation) Automotive tire services	158	5,656	5.7
Business services	1	5	*
Convenience stores	718	19,410	19.5
Motor vehicle dealerships	15	2,495	2.5
Pet supplies and services	14	666	0.7
Restaurants - casual dining	312	8,063	8.1
Restaurants - quick service	367	7,225	7.3
Video rental	3	7,223	* *
video rentar	1,610	43,973	44.3
Tenants Selling Goods	1,010	40,070	44.0
Apparel stores	10	1,527	1.5
Automotive parts	46	758	0.8
Book stores	1	83	0.1
Consumer electronics	9	587	0.6
Crafts and novelties	5	239	0.2
Drug stores	59	4,092	4.1
General merchandise	170	1,765	1.8
Grocery stores	57	4,387	4.4
Home furnishings	45	1,206	1.2
Home improvement	27	1,534	1.5
Office supplies	11	919	0.9
Shoe stores	1	168	0.2
Sporting goods	21	2,982	3.0
Wholesale clubs	6	3,025	3.1
TTTOICGUIG GIUDS	468	23,272	23.4
Totals	2,704	\$ 99,344	100.0%
Totalo	2,104	ψ 99,044	100.0 /0

Less than 0.1%

(1) Includes rental revenue for all retail properties owned by Realty Income at June 30, 2012, including revenue from properties reclassified as discontinued operations of \$357. Excludes revenue of \$23 from properties owned by Crest.

Lease Expirations

The following table sets forth certain information regarding Realty Income s property portfolio regarding the timing of the lease term expirations (excluding rights to extend a lease at the option of the tenant) on our 2,672 net leased, single-tenant properties as of June 30, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

		Total F	Portfolio Rental Revenue for the		Initi	al Expirations Rental Revenue for the	s(3)	Subse	quent Expirati Rental Revenue for the	ons(4)
Year	Number of Leases Expiring(1)	Approx. Leasable Sq. Feet	Quarter Ended June 30, 2012(2)	% of Total Rental Revenue	Number of Leases Expiring	Quarter Ended June 30, 2012	% of Total Rental Revenue	Number of Leases Expiring	Quarter Ended June 30, 2012	% of Total Rental Revenue
2012	117	906,000	\$ 2,445	2.2%	44	\$ 903	0.8%	73	\$ 1,542	1.4%
2013	151	1,162,200	3,867	3.4	45	1,566	1.4	106	2,301	2.0
2014	135	1,006,500	3,637	3.2	31	1,538	1.4	104	2,099	1.8
2015	155	832,800	3,561	3.1	69	1,809	1.6	86	1,752	1.5
2016	172	868,800	3,693	3.3	112	2,297	2.0	60	1,396	1.3
2017	118	1,122,000	3,850	3.4	41	2,341	2.1	77	1,509	1.3
2018	87	1,300,300	3,954	3.5	73	3,372	3.0	14	582	0.5
2019	142	1,527,200	7,342	6.5	132	6,882	6.1	10	460	0.4
2020	85	1,597,400	5,018	4.4	75	4,665	4.1	10	353	0.3
2021	178	1,903,600	8,175	7.2	170	7,665	6.8	8	510	0.4
2022	108	1,055,600	4,880	4.3	105	4,794	4.2	3	86	0.1
2023	254	2,174,900	10,497	9.3	251	10,147	9.0	3	350	0.3
2024	61	549,500	2,274	2.0	61	2,274	2.0			
2025	208	1,724,400	11,733	10.4	203	11,615	10.3	5	118	0.1
2026	110	1,876,500	7,629	6.7	107	7,547	6.6	3	82	0.1
2027-2043	591	7,579,800	30,746	27.1	582	30,557	27.0	9	189	0.1
Totals	2,672	27,187,500	\$113,301	100.0%	2,101	\$ 99,972	88.4%	571	\$ 13,329	11.6%

- (1) Excludes 15 multi-tenant properties and 75 vacant unleased properties, one of which is a multi-tenant property. The lease expirations for properties under construction are based on the estimated date of completion of those properties.
- (2) Includes rental revenue of \$357 from properties reclassified as discontinued operations and excludes revenue of \$2,071 from 15 multi-tenant properties and from 75 vacant and unleased properties at June 30, 2012. Excludes revenue of \$23 from three properties owned by Crest.
- (3) Represents leases to the initial tenant of the property that are expiring for the first time.
- (4) Represents lease expirations on properties in the portfolio, which have previously been renewed, extended or re-tenanted.

Geographic Diversification

The following table sets forth certain state-by-state information regarding Realty Income s property portfolio as of June 30, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

State	Number of Properties	Percent Leased	Approximate Leasable Square Feet	Rental Revenue for the Quarter Ended June 30, 2012(1)	Percentage of Rental Revenue
Alabama	65	95%	450,500	\$ 1,774	1.5%
Alaska	2	100	128,500	307	0.3
Arizona	96	98	699,100	3,133	2.7
Arkansas	17	94	105,100	273	0.2
California	123	99	2,670,100	15,318	13.3
Colorado	60	93	514,800	1,902	1.6
Connecticut	23	96	269,100	1,126	1.0
Delaware	17	100	33,300	433	0.4
Florida	191	96	1,998,100	7,878	6.8
Georgia	144	95	1,270,100	4,950	4.3
Hawaii			1,270,100		
Idaho	12	100	80,700	333	0.3
Illinois	104	99	1,367,300	5,983	5.2
Indiana	84	96	830,600	3,683	3.2
lowa	25	100	312,300	1,059	0.9
Kansas	38	95	650,900	1,366	1.2
Kentucky	24	96	142,600	655	0.6
Louisiana	39	100			1.1
	3	100	384,600	1,276 139	0.1
Maine	29	100	22,500 384,000	2,188	1.9
Maryland Massachusetts	64	92			2.0
	64	100	575,400	2,307	2.0
Michigan		100	374,700	1,282	5.8
Minnesota	150		1,003,600	6,756	
Mississippi	75 77	99 99	392,700	1,525	1.3
Missouri			1,047,300	3,754	3.3
Montana	2	100	30,000	89	0.1
Nebraska	20	95	204,100	509	0.4
Nevada	16	100	333,700	1,045	0.9
New Hampshire	15	93	217,200	947	0.8
New Jersey	32	94	258,000	1,922	1.7
New Mexico	17	100	139,000	266	0.2
New York	43	95	784,100	4,212	3.7
North Carolina	94	100	577,900	2,956	2.6
North Dakota	6	100	36,600	59	0.1
Ohio	142	96	1,350,500	4,241	3.7
Oklahoma	38	95	776,500	1,407	1.2
Oregon	20	100	384,200	1,238	1.1
Pennsylvania	103	98	907,200	4,103	3.5
Rhode Island	3	100	11,000	41	*
South Carolina	98	98	371,400	2,292	2.0
South Dakota	10	100	89,800	186	0.2
Tennessee	132	97	779,300	2,868	2.5
Texas	256	97	3,483,300	10,331	8.9
Utah	8	100	137,900	376	0.3
Vermont	4	100	12,700	136	0.1
Virginia	106	97	1,540,200	4,570	4.0
Washington	35	94	298,100	1,040	0.9
West Virginia	2	100	23,000	125	0.1
Wisconsin	31	94	291,300	992	0.9
Wyoming	3	100	21,100	21	*
Totals/Average	2,762	97%	28,766,000	\$ 115,372	100.0%

+1		41	_	40/	
^I	ess	than	()	1%	

(1) Includes rental revenue for all properties owned by Realty Income at June 30, 2012, including revenue from properties reclassified as discontinued operations of \$357. Excludes revenue of \$23 from properties owned by Crest.

46

IMPACT OF INFLATION

Tenant leases generally provide for limited increases in rent as a result of increases in the tenants—sales volumes, increases in the consumer price index (typically subject to ceilings), and/or fixed increases. We expect that inflation will cause these lease provisions to result in rent increases over time. During times when inflation is greater than increases in rent, as provided for in the leases, rent increases may not keep up with the rate of inflation.

Of our 2,762 properties in the portfolio, approximately 96.7% or 2,672 are leased to tenants under net leases where the tenant is responsible for property expenses. Net leases tend to reduce our exposure to rising property expenses due to inflation. Inflation and increased costs may have an adverse impact on our tenants if increases in their operating expenses exceed increases in revenue.