

TRANSMONTAIGNE INC
Form 10-Q
November 09, 2005

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, DC 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2005

OR

Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Commission File Number 001-11763

TRANSMONTAIGNE INC.

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction
of incorporation or organization)

06-1052062

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

**1670 Broadway
Suite 3100
Denver, Colorado 80202**

(Address, including zip code, of principal executive offices)

(303) 626-8200

(Telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such report), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 12b-2) Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 12b-2) Yes No

As of October 24, 2005, there were 49,724,985 shares of the Registrant's Common Stock outstanding.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | Page No. | |
|----------------|--|----------|--|
| | Part I. Financial Information | | |
| <u>Item 1.</u> | <u>Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 5 | |
| | <u>Consolidated balance sheets as of September 30, 2005 and June 30, 2005</u> | 6 | |
| | <u>Consolidated statements of operations for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004</u> | 7 | |
| | <u>Consolidated statements of preferred stock and common stockholders' equity for the year ended June 30, 2005 and three months ended September 30, 2005</u> | 8 | |
| | <u>Consolidated statements of cash flows for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004</u> | 9 | |
| | <u>Notes to consolidated financial statements</u> | 10 | |
| <u>Item 2.</u> | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 32 | |
| <u>Item 3.</u> | <u>Qualitative and Quantitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | 54 | |
| <u>Item 4.</u> | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 58 | |
| | Part II. Other Information | | |
| <u>Item 6.</u> | <u>Exhibits</u> | 59 | |

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Quarterly Report contains certain forward-looking statements and information relating to TransMontaigne Inc., including the following:

- i. certain statements, including possible or assumed future results of operations, in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations;
- ii. any statements contained herein or therein regarding the prospects for our business or any of our services;
- iii. any statements preceded by, followed by or that include the words may, seeks, believes, expects, anticipates, intends, continues, estimates, plans, targets, predicts, attempts, is scheduled, or similar expressions; and
- iv. other statements contained herein or therein regarding matters that are not historical facts.

Our business and results of operations are subject to risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond our ability to control or predict. Because of these risks and uncertainties, actual results may differ materially from those expressed or implied by forward-looking statements, and investors are cautioned not to place undue reliance on such statements, which speak only as of the date thereof.

The following risk factors, discussed in more detail under the heading Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2005, filed on September 13, 2005, are important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from our expectations and may adversely affect our business and results of operations, include, but are not limited to:

- the availability of adequate supplies of and demand for petroleum products in the areas in which we operate;
- the effects of competition and our ability to renew customer contracts;
- the impact of petroleum product price fluctuations on our sales margins and the effect of changes in commodity prices on our liquidity;
- the success of our risk management activities;
- volumes of refined petroleum product throughput or stored in our terminal facilities;
- TransMontaigne Partners' inability to pay the minimum quarterly distribution on the subordinated units that we own;
- continued creditworthiness of, and performance by, contract counterparties;
- the tax and other effects of the exercise of TransMontaigne Partners' options to purchase our fixed assets;
- operational hazards and availability and cost of insurance on our assets and operations;
- the impact of any failure of our information technology systems;
- the availability of acquisition opportunities and successful integration and future performance of acquired assets;
- the threat of terrorist attacks or war;
- the impact of current and future laws and governmental regulations;

- the failure of TransMontaigne Partners to avoid federal income taxation as a corporation or the imposition of state level taxation;

3

- liability for environmental claims;
- the impact of the departure of any key officers; and
- general economic, market or business conditions.

We do not intend to update these forward-looking statements except as required by law.

4

Part I. Financial Information

ITEM 1. UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The interim unaudited consolidated financial statements of TransMontaigne Inc. as of and for the three months ended September 30, 2005, are included herein beginning on the following page. The accompanying unaudited interim consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with our annual consolidated financial statements and related notes for the year ended June 30, 2005, together with our discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations, included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on September 13, 2005.

TransMontaigne Inc. is a holding company with the following active subsidiaries during the three months ended September 30, 2005.

- TransMontaigne Product Services Inc. (TPSI)
- TransMontaigne Services Inc.
- TransMontaigne Transport Inc.
- Coastal Fuels Marketing, Inc.
- Coastal Tug and Barge, Inc.
- TransMontaigne Partners L.P.

We do not have off-balance-sheet arrangements (other than operating leases) or special-purpose entities.

TransMontaigne Inc. and subsidiaries
Consolidated balance sheets
(In thousands)

| | September 30, 2005 | June 30, 2005 |
|---|-----------------------|------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 5,168 | \$ 29,721 |
| Restricted cash held by commodity broker | 17,700 | 10,436 |
| Trade accounts receivable, net | 452,689 | 381,771 |
| Inventories discretionary volumes | 331,309 | 274,774 |
| Unrealized gains on derivative contracts | 39,068 | 7,620 |
| Deferred tax assets | 18,704 | 18,401 |
| Prepaid expenses and other | 5,814 | 6,767 |
| | 870,452 | 729,490 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 359,270 | 344,532 |
| Product linefill and tank bottom volumes | 24,702 | 24,325 |
| Unrealized gains on derivative contracts | 2 | |
| Investment in Lion Oil Company | 10,131 | 10,131 |
| Deferred debt issuance costs, net | 9,253 | 9,778 |
| Other assets, net | 42,874 | 23,725 |
| | \$ 1,316,684 | \$ 1,141,981 |
| LIABILITIES, PREFERRED STOCK, AND COMMON STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Commodity margin loan | \$ 10,000 | \$ |
| Working capital credit facility | 60,500 | |
| Trade accounts payable | 227,230 | 212,040 |
| Income taxes payable | 8,427 | 29,801 |
| Unrealized losses on derivative contracts | 100,203 | 47,215 |
| Inventory due to others under exchange agreements | 59,544 | 16,429 |
| Excise taxes payable | 59,420 | 79,597 |
| Other accrued liabilities | 27,024 | 20,791 |
| Deferred revenue supply chain management services | 2,731 | 3,981 |
| | 555,079 | 409,854 |
| Other liabilities: | | |
| Long-term debt | 231,000 | 228,307 |
| Deferred tax liabilities | 50,543 | 46,413 |
| Unrealized losses on derivative contracts | 225 | 234 |
| Total liabilities | 836,847 | 684,808 |
| Non-controlling interests in TransMontaigne Partners | 82,601 | 81,440 |
| Series B redeemable convertible preferred stock | 20,826 | 49,249 |
| Common stockholders equity: | | |
| Common stock | 498 | 456 |
| Capital in excess of par value | 321,959 | 299,681 |
| Deferred stock-based compensation | | (7,042) |
| Retained earnings | 53,953 | 33,389 |
| | 376,410 | 326,484 |
| | \$ 1,316,684 | \$ 1,141,981 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

TransMontaigne Inc. and subsidiaries
Consolidated statements of operations
(In thousands, except per share amounts)

| | Three months ended | |
|---|--------------------|--------------|
| | September 30, | |
| | 2005 | 2004 |
| Supply, distribution, and marketing: | | |
| Revenues | \$ 2,954,136 | \$ 2,029,252 |
| Cost of product sold and other direct costs and expenses | (2,905,517) | (2,005,096) |
| Net operating margins | 48,619 | 24,156 |
| Terminals, pipelines, and tugs and barges: | | |
| Revenues | 29,225 | 26,472 |
| Direct operating costs and expenses | (18,696) | (14,407) |
| Net operating margins | 10,529 | 12,065 |
| Total net operating margins | 59,148 | 36,221 |
| Costs and expenses: | | |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | (11,554) | (10,433) |
| Depreciation and amortization | (6,581) | (5,807) |
| Gain (loss) on disposition of assets, net | 1,118 | (3,599) |
| Total costs and expenses | (17,017) | (19,839) |
| Operating income | 42,131 | 16,382 |
| Other income (expenses): | | |
| Dividend income | 583 | 381 |
| Interest income | 326 | 39 |
| Interest expense | (5,918) | (6,323) |
| Other financing costs: | | |
| Amortization of deferred debt issuance costs | (525) | (706) |
| Write-off of debt issuance costs related to former bank credit facility | | (3,392) |
| Total other expenses | (5,534) | (10,001) |
| Earnings before income taxes | 36,597 | 6,381 |
| Income tax expense | (13,907) | (2,553) |
| Non-controlling interests share in earnings of TransMontaigne Partners | (1,807) | |
| Net earnings | 20,883 | 3,828 |
| Earnings allocable to preferred stock | (1,713) | (846) |
| Net earnings attributable to common stockholders | \$ 19,170 | \$ 2,982 |
| Earnings per share: | | |
| Basic net earnings per common share | \$ 0.41 | \$ 0.08 |
| Diluted net earnings per common share | \$ 0.38 | \$ 0.07 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding: | | |
| Basic | 46,943 | 39,493 |
| Diluted | 54,938 | 51,276 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

TransMontaigne Inc. and subsidiaries

Consolidated statements of preferred stock and common stockholders equity

Year ended June 30, 2005 and three months ended September 30, 2005

(In thousands)

| | Series B Preferred stock | Common stock | Capital in excess of par value | Deferred stock-based compensation | Retained earnings (accumulated deficit) | Total common stockholders equity |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|---|
| Balance at June 30, 2004 | \$ 77,719 | \$ 411 | \$ 251,775 | \$ (4,129) | \$ (19,768) | \$ 228,289 |
| Common stock issued for options exercised | | | 347 | | | 347 |
| Common stock repurchased from employees for withholding taxes | | (1) | (816) | | | (817) |
| Net tax effect arising from stock-based compensation | | | 272 | | | 272 |
| Forfeiture of restricted stock awards prior to vesting | | (2) | (1,222) | 1,224 | | |
| Deferred compensation related to restricted stock awards | | 7 | 4,163 | (4,170) | | |
| Amortization of deferred stock-based compensation | | | | 2,625 | | 2,625 |
| Warrant granted to MSCG in exchange for product supply agreements | | | 14,600 | | | 14,600 |
| Preferred stock dividends paid-in kind | 1,087 | | | | | |
| Preferred stock dividends | | | | | (4,207) | (4,207) |
| Amortization of premium on Series B Redeemable Convertible Preferred stock | (1,546) | | | | 1,546 | 1,546 |
| Conversion of Series B Redeemable Convertible Preferred stock into common stock | (28,011) | 41 | 27,970 | | | 28,011 |
| Deferred compensation related to restricted TransMontaigne Partners common unit awards | | | 2,592 | (2,592) | | |
| Net earnings | | | | | 55,818 | 55,818 |
| Balance at June 30, 2005 | \$ 49,249 | \$ 456 | \$ 299,681 | \$ (7,042) | \$ 33,389 | \$ 326,484 |
| Elimination of deferred stock-based compensation due to adoption of SFAS 123(R) | | | (7,042) | 7,042 | | |
| Common stock issued for options exercised | | | 194 | | | 194 |
| Amortization of deferred stock-based compensation | | | 894 | | | 894 |
| Preferred stock dividends | | | | | (468) | (468) |
| Amortization of premium on Series B redeemable convertible preferred stock | (149) | | | | 149 | 149 |
| Conversion of Series B redeemable convertible preferred stock into common stock | (28,274) | 42 | 28,232 | | | 28,274 |
| Net earnings | | | | | 20,883 | 20,883 |
| Balance at September 30, 2005 | \$ 20,826 | \$ 498 | \$ 321,959 | \$ | \$ 53,953 | \$ 376,410 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

TransMontaigne Inc. and subsidiaries
Consolidated statements of cash flows
(In thousands)

| | Three months ended | |
|---|--------------------|-----------|
| | September 30, | |
| | 2005 | 2004 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net earnings | \$ 20,883 | \$ 3,828 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash used in operating activities: | | |
| Amortization of deferred revenue | (1,572) | (1,048) |
| Depreciation and amortization | 6,581 | 5,807 |
| Deferred tax expense | | 2,723 |
| Amortization of deferred stock-based compensation | 894 | 630 |
| Amortization of deferred debt issuance costs | 525 | 706 |
| Write-off of debt issuance costs | | 3,392 |
| (Gain) loss on disposition of assets, net | (1,118) | 3,599 |
| Net change in unrealized (gains) losses on long-term derivative contracts | (12) | 2,571 |
| Non-controlling interests share in earnings of TransMontaigne Partners | 1,807 | |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects from acquisitions: | | |
| Trade accounts receivable, net | (50,272) | 1,037 |
| Inventories discretionary volumes | (52,652) | (6,682) |
| Prepaid expenses and other | 75 | 1,217 |
| Trade accounts payable | 12,217 | (14,472) |
| Income taxes payable | (21,374) | |
| Inventory due to others under exchange agreements | 43,115 | 310 |
| Unrealized (gains) losses on derivative contracts | 21,862 | 14,051 |
| Excise taxes payable and other accrued liabilities | (17,494) | (21,093) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | (36,535) | (3,424) |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Acquisition of Radcliff, net of cash acquired | (52,054) | |
| Acquisition of terminals, pipelines, tugs and barges | | (7,618) |
| Additions to property, plant and equipment expansion of facilities | (1,875) | (1,086) |
| Additions to property, plant and equipment maintain existing facilities | (318) | (1,010) |
| Increase in restricted cash held by commodity broker | (7,036) | (2,681) |
| Proceeds from disposition of assets | 1,118 | |
| Other | | 5 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | (60,165) | (12,390) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Net borrowings of debt | 63,193 | 22,000 |
| Net borrowings of commodity margin loan | 10,000 | 3,762 |
| Deferred debt issuance costs | | (3,082) |
| Common stock issued for options exercised | 194 | 78 |
| Distributions paid to non-controlling interests in TransMontaigne Partners | (646) | |
| Preferred stock dividends paid in cash | (594) | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 72,147 | 22,758 |
| Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | (24,553) | 6,944 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 29,721 | 6,158 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 5,168 | \$ 13,102 |
| Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information: | | |
| Cash paid for (refund of) income taxes | \$ 35,282 | \$ (170) |
| Cash paid for interest expense | \$ 1,255 | \$ 2,239 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

TransMontaigne Inc. and subsidiaries
Notes to consolidated financial statements
September 30, 2005 and June 30, 2005

(1) SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

(a) Principles of Consolidation and Use of Estimates

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Accordingly, these statements reflect adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring entries), which are, in our opinion, necessary for a fair presentation of the financial results for the interim periods presented. Certain information and notes normally included in annual financial statements have been condensed in or omitted from these interim financial statements pursuant to such rules and regulations. These consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes for the year ended June 30, 2005, together with our discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations, included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on September 13, 2005.

Our accounting and financial reporting policies conform to accounting principles and practices generally accepted in the United States of America. The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements include the accounts of TransMontaigne Inc., a Delaware corporation (TransMontaigne), and its majority-owned subsidiaries. All significant inter-company accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation, except for throughput fees, storage fees, pipeline transportation fees, tug and barge fees and other fees charged to our supply, distribution and marketing operations by our terminals, pipelines, and tugs and barges. The related inter-company revenues and costs offset within total net operating margins in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. The following estimates, in our opinion, are subjective in nature, require the exercise of judgment, and involve complex analysis: allowance for doubtful accounts; fair value of inventories discretionary volumes (used to evaluate the financial performance of our business segments); fair value of derivative contracts; and accrued environmental obligations. Changes in these estimates and assumptions will occur as a result of the passage of time and the occurrence of future events. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

(b) Nature of Business and Basis of Presentation

TransMontaigne Inc., a Delaware corporation based in Denver, Colorado, was formed in 1995 to create an independent refined petroleum products distribution and supply company. We are a holding company that conducts operations in the United States primarily in the Gulf Coast, Florida, East Coast, and Midwest regions. We provide integrated terminal, transportation, storage, supply, distribution, and marketing services to refiners, wholesalers, distributors, marketers, and industrial and commercial end-users of refined petroleum products. Our principal activities consist of (i) terminal, pipeline, and tug and barge operations, (ii) supply, distribution, and marketing, and (iii) managing the activities of TransMontaigne Partners L.P.

On May 27, 2005, TransMontaigne Partners L.P. (TransMontaigne Partners), a consolidated subsidiary of ours, completed its initial public offering of common units. TransMontaigne Partners

received net proceeds of approximately \$73.0 million for the issuance and sale of 3,852,500 common units, after giving effect to the exercise of the underwriters' over-allotment option, at the initial public offering price of \$21.40 per common unit, and the payment of the underwriting discount, structuring fee and other offering costs of approximately \$9.5 million. TransMontaigne Partners also received approximately \$7.9 million for the issuance and sale of 450,000 subordinated units to an affiliate of Morgan Stanley Capital Group, Inc. in a separate private placement at a price of \$17.65 per subordinated unit. We contributed seven refined products terminals located in Florida, the Razorback Pipeline, and two refined products terminals located in Mt. Vernon, Missouri and Rogers, Arkansas to TransMontaigne Partners in exchange for a 2% general partner interest, 2,872,266 subordinated units, and a distribution of \$111.5 million. We also entered into an omnibus agreement and terminaling and transportation services agreement with TransMontaigne Partners. The omnibus agreement sets forth the terms on which we will provide TransMontaigne Partners with certain general and administrative services, insurance coverage and environmental and other indemnification, among other terms. We also have agreed to provide TransMontaigne Partners with certain options and rights of first refusal to purchase additional refined petroleum product terminal assets, and TransMontaigne Partners has agreed to provide us certain rights of first refusal with respect to its assets and additional terminal capacity added by TransMontaigne Partners in the future. Pursuant to the terminaling and transportation services agreement, we agreed to transport on TransMontaigne Partners' Razorback Pipeline and to throughput in TransMontaigne Partners' terminals a volume of refined product that will result in minimum revenues to TransMontaigne Partners of \$5.0 million per calendar quarter.

(c) Accounting for Terminal, Pipeline, and Tug and Barge Activities

In connection with our terminal, pipeline, and tug and barge operations, we utilize the accrual method of accounting for revenues and expenses. We generate revenues in our terminal, pipeline, and tug and barge operations from throughput fees, storage fees, transportation fees, ship-assist fees, management fees and cost reimbursements, and fees from other ancillary services. Throughput revenues are recognized when the product is delivered to the customer; storage revenues are recognized ratably over the term of the storage contract; transportation revenues are recognized when the product has been delivered to the customer at the specified delivery location; ship-assist revenues are recognized when docking and other services are provided to marine vessels; management fees and cost reimbursements are recognized as the services are performed; and other service revenues are recognized as the services are performed.

Shipping and handling costs attributable to our terminal, pipeline, and tug and barge operations are included in direct operating costs and expenses in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations.

(d) Accounting for Supply, Distribution, and Marketing Activities

In our supply, distribution and marketing operations, we purchase refined petroleum products, schedule them for delivery to our terminals, as well as terminals owned by third parties, and then sell those products to our customers through rack spot sales, contract sales, and bulk sales. Revenues from our sales of physical inventory are recognized pursuant to the accrual method of accounting (i.e., when cash becomes due and payable to us pursuant to the terms of the sales contracts). Revenues from rack spot sales and contract sales are recognized when the product is delivered to the customer through a truck loading rack or marine fueling equipment. Revenues from bulk sales are recognized when the title to the product is transferred to the customer, which generally occurs upon confirmation of the terms of the sale.

Shipping and handling costs attributable to our supply, distribution, and marketing operations are included in cost of product sold in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations.

(e) Accounting for Supply Chain Management Services Activities

We provide supply chain management services to companies and governmental entities that desire to outsource their fuel supply function and to reduce the price volatility associated with their fuel supplies. We offer three types of supply chain management services: delivered fuel price management, retail price management, and logistical supply chain management services.

Delivered fuel price management contracts involve the sales of committed quantities of specific motor fuels delivered to our customer's proprietary fleet refueling locations at fixed prices for terms up to three years. Under retail price management contracts, customers commit for terms up to 18 months to a specific monthly quantity of product within one or more metropolitan areas and agree to a net settlement with us for the difference between a stipulated retail price index and our fixed contract price. Our logistical supply chain management arrangements permit our customers to use our proprietary web-based inventory management system for a fee, which typically is charged on a per gallon basis.

Revenue from sales made pursuant to delivered fuel price management contracts are recognized when title to the product is transferred to the customer, which generally occurs upon delivery of the product to the customer's proprietary fleet refueling location. Revenue from sales made pursuant to retail price management contracts are recognized when title to the product is transferred to the customer, which generally occurs upon lifting of the product by the customer at the retail gasoline station. Revenue from logistical supply chain management services fees is recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the contract.

(f) Accounting for Risk Management Activities

We enter into risk management contracts, principally NYMEX futures contracts, to manage our exposure to changes in commodity prices. We evaluate our market risk exposure from an overall portfolio basis that considers changes in physical inventories' discretionary volumes held for immediate sale or exchange, inventory due to others under exchange agreements, open positions in derivative contracts, and open positions in risk management contracts. We enter into risk management contracts that offset the changes in the values of our inventories' discretionary volumes held for immediate sale or exchange and derivative contracts. At September 30, 2005 and June 30, 2005, our open positions in risk management contracts were NYMEX futures contracts (purchases and sales) and NYMEX options (calls and puts).

(g) Accounting for Derivative Contracts

Our contract sales, bulk sales, delivered fuel price management, retail price management, risk management contracts and product supply contracts qualify as derivative instruments pursuant to the requirements of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*. All derivative contracts are required to be reported as assets and liabilities at fair value in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet in accordance with SFAS No. 133. The fair value of our derivative contracts is included in

Unrealized gains or losses on derivative contracts in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. Changes in the fair value of our derivative contracts are included in net operating margins attributable to our supply, distribution and marketing operations.

The estimated fair value of our delivered fuel price management and retail price management contracts at origination is deferred because our estimate of the fair value is not evidenced by quoted market prices or current market transactions for the contracts in their entirety. The deferred revenue is amortized into income over the respective terms of the contracts as the products are delivered to the ground fleet customers. Subsequent changes in the fair value of our delivered fuel price management and retail price management contracts are included in net operating margins attributable to our supply, distribution, and marketing operations.

(h) Presentation of Revenues

We present revenue from our rack spot sales, contract sales, certain bulk sales, and delivered fuel price management contracts on a gross basis in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations because our obligations under these arrangements are settled via transfer of title and risk of loss of the product to the customer. Revenue from our retail price management contracts and risk management contracts are presented on a net basis (i.e., product costs are required to be netted directly against gross revenues to arrive at net revenues) in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations because our obligations under these arrangements are settled on a net cash basis. The logistical supply chain management services fees do not involve the sale of inventory and, therefore, only the service fee is presented in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations.

We have presented bulk transactions that were booked out on a net basis in the consolidated statement of operations (i.e., product costs are netted directly against gross revenues to arrive at net revenues). A book out occurs when one party appears more than once for the sale and purchase of a specific grade of refined product for a specific scheduling date to transport product on a particular common carrier pipeline. In that instance, we and other pipeline shippers agree not to schedule or deliver the refined product that originates and ends with the same counterparty, but rather settle in cash the amounts due to or from each intervening counterparty, thus booking out the transaction. For the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, we booked out bulk transactions of approximately \$332.0 million and \$975.3 million, respectively. The booking out of a bulk transaction has no effect on our net operating margins or net earnings.

(i) Accounting for Inventories Discretionary Volumes

Our inventories discretionary volumes consist of refined petroleum products, primarily gasolines, distillates, and No. 6 oil. Inventories discretionary volumes are presented in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as current assets and are carried at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out) or market (replacement cost). Inventories discretionary volumes are as follows (in thousands):

| | September 30, 2005 | | June 30, 2005 | |
|---|--------------------|-------|---------------|-------|
| | Amount | Bbls | Amount | Bbls |
| Volumes held for immediate sale or exchange | \$ 170,866 | 2,006 | \$ 153,123 | 2,415 |
| Volumes held for base operations | 160,443 | 2,011 | 121,651 | 2,011 |
| Inventories discretionary volumes | \$ 331,309 | 4,017 | \$ 274,774 | 4,426 |

At September 30, 2005 and June 30, 2005, the market value of our volumes held for immediate sale or exchange exceeded their cost basis by approximately \$10.6 million and \$2.1 million, respectively. At September 30, 2005 and June 30, 2005, the market value of our volumes held for base operations exceeded their cost basis by approximately \$7.9 million and \$0.2 million, respectively.

During the year ended June 30, 2005, we decreased our volumes held for base operations by approximately 2.0 million barrels as a result of our product supply agreement with Morgan Stanley Capital Group, Inc.

(j) Inventory Due to Others Under Exchange Agreements

We enter into exchange agreements generally with major oil companies. Exchange agreements generally are fixed-term agreements that involve our receipt of a specified volume of product at one location in exchange for delivery by us of product at a different location. At September 30, 2005 and June 30, 2005, current liabilities include inventory due to others under exchange agreements of approximately 676,000 barrels and 296,000 barrels, respectively, with a fair value of approximately

\$59.5 million and \$16.4 million, respectively. The amount recorded represents the fair value of inventory due to others under exchange agreements at the respective balance sheet date.

(k) Accounting for Product Linefill and Tank Bottom Volumes

Our product linefill and tank bottom volumes are required to be held for operating balances in the conduct of our overall operating activities. We do not intend to sell or exchange these inventories in the ordinary course of business and, therefore, we generally do not manage the commodity price risks associated with these volumes.

At September 30, 2005 and June 30, 2005, our product linefill and tank bottom volumes are presented in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as non-current assets and are carried at the lower of cost (weighted average) or market (replacement cost). The replacement cost of our product linefill and tank bottom volumes is based on the nearest quoted wholesale market price. At September 30, 2005 and June 30, 2005, we had approximately 931,000 barrels and 925,000 barrels, respectively, of product reflecting tank bottoms and linefill in our propriety terminal connections with an adjusted cost basis of approximately \$24.7 million and \$24.3 million, respectively. At September 30, 2005 and June 30, 2005, the market value of our product linefill and tank bottom volumes exceeded their cost basis by approximately \$58.1 million and \$34.8 million, respectively.

(l) Restricted Cash Held by Commodity Broker

Restricted cash represents cash deposits held by our commodity broker to cover initial margin requirements related to open NYMEX futures contracts.

(m) Deferred Debt Issuance Costs

Deferred debt issuance costs are as follows (in thousands):

| | June 30, 2005 | Additions | Amortization | September 30, 2005 |
|--|------------------|-----------|--------------|-----------------------|
| Senior secured working capital credit facility | \$ 3,422 | \$ | \$ (202) | \$ 3,220 |
| Senior subordinated notes | 5,455 | | (277) | 5,178 |
| TransMontaigne Partners credit facility | 901 | | (46) | 855 |
| | \$ 9,778 | \$ | \$ (525) | \$ 9,253 |

(n) Environmental Obligations

At September 30, 2005 and June 30, 2005, we had accrued environmental obligations of approximately \$5.7 million and \$6.1 million, respectively, representing our best estimate of our remediation obligations (see Note 9 of Notes to consolidated financial statements). During the three months ended September 30, 2005, we made payments of approximately \$1.2 million towards our environmental remediation obligations. During the three months ended September 30, 2005, we charged to income approximately \$0.2 million to increase our estimate of our future environmental remediation obligations. During the three months ended September 30, 2005, we assumed approximately \$0.6 million of environmental remediation obligations in connection with our acquisition of Radcliff (see Note 2 of Notes to consolidated financial statements). During the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, we received insurance recoveries of approximately \$0.1 million and \$1.2 million, respectively, which have been recognized as a reduction of direct operating costs and expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

(o) Equity-Based Compensation Plans

For periods ending prior to July 1, 2005, we accounted for our employee stock option plans and restricted stock awards using the intrinsic value method pursuant to APB Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*. If compensation cost for our stock-based compensation plans had been determined based on the fair value at the grant dates for awards under those plans pursuant to Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, our net earnings and earnings per common share would have been reduced to the pro forma amounts indicated below (in thousands, except for per share amounts):

| | Three months ended September 30, 2004 |
|--|--|
| Net earnings (loss) attributable to common stockholders: | |
| As reported | \$ 2,982 |
| Amortization of the fair value of stock options granted to employees | (26) |
| Pro forma | \$ 2,956 |
| Earnings (loss) per common share | |
| As reported | |
| Basic | \$ 0.08 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.07 |
| Pro forma | |
| Basic | \$ 0.07 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.07 |

There were no options granted during the years ended June 30, 2005, 2004 and 2003. The weighted average fair value at grant dates for options granted during the year ended June 30, 2002 was \$3.08. The primary assumptions used to estimate the fair value of options granted on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model during the year ended June 30, 2002 were as follows: no dividend yield, expected volatility of 79%, risk-free rate of 4.49%, and expected life of 4 years.

Effective July 1, 2005, we adopted the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (R), *Share-Based Payment*. This Statement requires us to measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of the award. That cost will be recognized over the period during which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award. We are required to estimate the number of equity instruments that are expected to vest in measuring the total compensation cost to be recognized over the related service period.

For awards granted prior to July 1, 2005, we are required to measure compensation cost for the portion of outstanding awards for which the requisite service has not yet been rendered (i.e., the unvested portion of the award as of July 1, 2005). The compensation cost for these awards is based on their relative grant-date fair values.

For awards granted on or after July 1, 2005, compensation cost will be recognized over the service period on a straight-line basis. For awards granted before July 1, 2005, compensation cost is recognized over the related service period on an accelerated basis pursuant to FASB Interpretation No. 28.

(p) Earnings (Loss) Per Common Share

Basic earnings (loss) per common share is calculated based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period, excluding restricted common stock subject to continuing vesting requirements. Diluted earnings (loss) per share is calculated based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period and, when dilutive, potential common shares from the exercise of stock options and warrants to purchase common stock and restricted common stock

subject to continuing vesting requirements pursuant to the treasury stock method. Diluted earnings (loss) per share also gives effect, when dilutive, to the conversion of the preferred stock pursuant to the if-converted method.

In the event dividends on a per share equivalent basis are declared on our common stock in excess of the dividends declared on the Series B redeemable convertible preferred stock, the Series B redeemable convertible preferred stock will participate as if the Series B redeemable convertible preferred stock was converted into common stock. Accordingly, the Series B redeemable convertible preferred stock has been determined to be a participating security for purposes of computing earnings per share.

(q) Reclassifications

Certain amounts in the prior period have been reclassified to conform to the current period's presentation. Net earnings and stockholders' equity have not been affected by these reclassifications.

(2) ACQUISITIONS

On August 1, 2005, we acquired all the outstanding shares of capital stock of Radcliff/Economy Marine Services, Inc. (Radcliff) for a purchase price of approximately \$52.1 million, net of cash acquired of approximately \$2.1 million. The purchase price is composed of approximately \$41.8 million paid in cash plus the assumption of Radcliff's existing outstanding debt of approximately \$12.4 million. The acquisition includes three petroleum products terminals, two in Mobile, Alabama and one in Pensacola, Florida, with combined aggregate storage capacity of approximately 350,000 barrels, two tugboats, 6 barges, and 12 tractors and associated trailers. The consolidated financial statements include the results of operations of the Radcliff facilities from the closing date of the transaction (August 1, 2005). The purchase price was allocated to the assets and liabilities acquired based upon the estimated fair value of the assets and liabilities as of the acquisition date. The purchase price was allocated as follows (in thousands):

| | Radcliff |
|---|-----------------|
| Trade accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$47 | \$ 20,097 |
| Discretionary inventory, product linefill and tank bottom volumes | 4,259 |
| Property, plant and equipment | 16,779 |
| Deferred tax assets | 303 |
| Goodwill | 19,384 |
| Other assets | 521 |
| Trade accounts payable | (3,304) |
| Accrued environmental obligations | (605) |
| Deferred tax liabilities | (4,130) |
| Due to former Radcliff stockholders | (1,000) |
| Other assumed liabilities | (250) |
| Cash paid, net of cash acquired | \$ 52,054 |

The unaudited pro forma combined results of operations as if the acquisition of the Radcliff facilities had occurred on July 1, 2004 are as follows (in thousands, except per share data):

| | Three months ended September 30, 2005 | Three months ended September 30, 2004 |
|--------------------------|--|--|
| Revenue | \$ 3,004,866 | \$ 2,099,643 |
| Net earnings | \$ 20,848 | \$ 5,226 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ 0.41 | \$ 0.10 |

(3) DISPOSITION OF ASSETS

Gain on disposition of assets, net for the three months ended September 30, 2005, reflects the final insurance recovery of approximately \$1.1 million on the involuntary conversion of our historical Pensacola terminal facilities. Loss on disposition of assets, net for the three months ended September 30, 2004, consists principally of an approximately \$3.6 million loss on the involuntary conversion of our historical Pensacola terminal facilities due to the damage caused by Hurricane Ivan.

(4) CONCENTRATION OF CREDIT RISK AND TRADE ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

Trade accounts receivable, net consists of the following (in thousands):

| | September 30, 2005 | June 30, 2005 |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| Trade accounts receivable | \$ 453,319 | \$ 382,324 |
| Less allowance for doubtful accounts | (630) | (553) |
| | \$ 452,689 | \$ 381,771 |

(5) UNREALIZED GAINS AND LOSSES ON DERIVATIVE CONTRACTS

Unrealized gains and losses on derivative contracts are as follows (in thousands):

| | September 30, 2005 | June 30, 2005 |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| Unrealized gains current | \$ 39,068 | \$ 7,620 |
| Unrealized gains non-current | 2 | |
| Unrealized gains asset | 39,070 | 7,620 |
| Unrealized losses current | (100,203) | (47,215) |
| Unrealized losses long-term | (225) | (234) |
| Unrealized losses liability | (100,428) | (47,449) |
| Net asset (liability) | \$ (61,358) | \$ (39,829) |

At September 30, 2005 and June 30, 2005, there were no unrealized gains or losses on NYMEX futures contracts because NYMEX futures contracts require daily settlement for changes in commodity prices on open futures contracts.

At September 30, 2005, included in unrealized gains current is an unrealized gain of approximately \$0.2 million related to certain short positions taken in the NYMEX options market. At June 30, 2005, included in unrealized losses current is an unrealized loss of approximately \$3.6 million related to short positions taken in the NYMEX options market.

(6) PREPAID EXPENSES AND OTHER CURRENT ASSETS

Prepaid expenses and other current assets are as follows (in thousands):

| | September 30, 2005 | June 30, 2005 |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| Prepaid insurance | \$ 1,566 | \$ 2,246 |
| Amounts due from insurance carrier | 2,072 | 954 |
| Asset held for sale | | 1,200 |
| Prepaid business taxes | 322 | 552 |
| Additive detergent | 908 | 985 |
| Prepaid software maintenance fees | 74 | 105 |
| Other | 872 | 725 |
| | \$ 5,814 | \$ 6,767 |

Amounts due from insurance carrier represents our remaining estimated proceeds to be received on insurance claims related to the involuntary conversion of our historical Pensacola terminal facilities due to the damage caused by Hurricane Ivan.

During the three months ended September 30, 2005, we decided to retain the land at our historical Pensacola terminal facilities to augment the Pensacola terminal facilities that we acquired from Radcliff (see Note 2 of Notes to consolidated financial statements). In prior periods, asset held for sale was carried at the lower of cost or fair value less costs of disposition and consisted of the land held for sale at our historical Pensacola terminal facilities.

(7) PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

Property, plant and equipment, net is as follows (in thousands):

| | September 30, 2005 | June 30, 2005 |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| Land | \$ 42,910 | \$ 38,710 |
| Terminals, pipelines and equipment | 387,036 | 374,213 |
| Technology and equipment | 14,754 | 14,751 |
| Tugs and barges | 30,278 | 27,277 |
| Furniture, fixtures and equipment | 6,784 | 6,784 |
| Construction in progress | 2,394 | 1,747 |
| | 484,156 | 463,482 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | (124,886) | (118,950) |
| | \$ 359,270 | \$ 344,532 |

(8) OTHER ASSETS

Other assets are as follows (in thousands):

| | September 30, 2005 | June 30, 2005 |
|--|-----------------------|------------------|
| Prepaid transportation | \$ 737 | \$ 326 |
| Goodwill | 26,237 | 6,853 |
| Product supply agreement, net of accumulated amortization of \$1,564 and \$1,043, respectively | 13,036 | 13,557 |
| Acquired intangible, net of accumulated amortization of \$1,292 and \$1,167, respectively | 1,208 | 1,333 |
| Commodity trading membership | 1,500 | 1,500 |
| Deposits and other assets | 156 | 156 |
| | \$ 42,874 | \$ 23,725 |

Prepaid transportation relates to our contractual transportation and deficiency agreement with an interstate product pipeline (see Note 16 of Notes to consolidated financial statements).

Goodwill represents the excess of the aggregate purchase price over the fair value of the identifiable assets acquired. Goodwill is not amortized, but instead tested for impairment on an annual basis during the three months ended June 30. We recognized approximately \$6.9 million of goodwill related to our November 1997 acquisition of the ITAPCO terminals and approximately \$19.4 million of goodwill related to our August 2005 acquisition of Radcliff.

On November 23, 2004, we granted to MSCG warrants to acquire 5.5 million shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$6.60 per share as partial consideration for agreeing to enter into a 7-year product supply agreement (see Note 15 of Notes to consolidated financial statements). The value ascribed to the product supply agreement is being amortized to income over the 7-year term of the agreement, commencing in January 2005.

Acquired intangible represents the right to use the Coastal Fuels trade name for a period of five years commencing February 28, 2003. The cost of the acquired intangible is being amortized on a straight-line basis over five years.

Commodity trading membership represents the purchase price we paid to acquire two seats on the NYMEX.

(9) OTHER ACCRUED LIABILITIES

Other accrued liabilities are as follows (in thousands):

| | September 30, 2005 | June 30, 2005 |
|---|-----------------------|------------------|
| Accrued environmental obligations | \$ 5,736 | \$ 6,148 |
| Accrued lease abandonment | 1,620 | 1,798 |
| Accrued indemnities NORCO | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| Accrued transportation and deficiency obligations | 254 | 640 |
| Accrued property taxes | 2,689 | 2,245 |
| Assumed litigation costs Coastal Fuels assets | 325 | 325 |
| Dividend payable preferred stock | 583 | 708 |
| Accrued interest payable | 6,152 | 1,521 |
| Customer advances and deposits | 1,462 | 1,773 |
| Accrued expenses and other | 7,203 | 4,633 |
| | \$ 27,024 | \$ 20,791 |

Accrued Lease Abandonment. We vacated certain office space in Denver, Colorado during June 2003 and we vacated certain excess space in Atlanta, Georgia during October 2002. In connection with our acquisition of the Coastal Fuels assets during February 2003, we vacated a sales office in Coral Gables, Florida. The accrual for the abandonment of the office leases represents the excess of the remaining lease payments subsequent to vacancy of the space by us over the estimated sublease rentals to be received based on current market conditions. At September 30, 2005 and June 30, 2005, the accrued liability for lease abandonment costs was approximately \$1.6 million and \$1.8 million, respectively.

| | Accrued liability at June 30, 2005 (in thousands) | Change in estimate charged to expense | Amounts paid during the period | Accrued liability at September 30, 2005 |
|---------------------------|--|---|--------------------------------------|--|
| Accrued lease abandonment | \$ 1,798 | \$ | \$ (178) | \$ 1,620 |

We expect to pay the accrued liability of approximately \$1.6 million, net of estimated sublease rentals, as follows (in thousands):

| Years ending June 30: | Lease payments | Estimated sublease rentals | Accrued liability |
|------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| 2006 (Remainder of the year) | \$ 789 | \$ (261) | \$ 528 |
| 2007 | 995 | (346) | 649 |
| 2008 | 306 | (159) | 147 |
| 2009 | 313 | (165) | 148 |
| 2010 | 318 | (170) | 148 |
| | \$ 2,721 | \$ (1,101) | \$ 1,620 |

(10) DEFERRED REVENUE SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT SERVICES

We enter into price management contracts with ground fleet customers and jobbers that permit them to fix the price of their fuel purchases. During the three months ended September 30, 2005, we originated retail and delivered fuel price management contracts with an estimated fair value of approximately \$0.3 million, representing the excess of the amounts we expect to receive from the ground fleet customers and jobbers over our estimate of the forward price curve of the underlying commodity adjusted for location

differentials. We have deferred the estimated fair value of these contracts at origination because our estimate of the fair value is not evidenced by quoted market prices or current market transactions for the contracts in their entirety. We amortize the deferred revenue into net revenues attributable to our supply, distribution, and marketing operations over the respective terms of the contracts as the products are delivered. During the three months ended September 30, 2005, we recognized approximately \$1.6 million in revenues attributable to our supply, distribution and marketing operations from the amortization of the deferred revenue from these contracts.

| | Deferred revenue at June 30, 2005 | Additions during the period | Amounts amortized during the period | Deferred revenue at September 30, 2005 |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| Retail price management contracts | \$ 968 | \$ | \$ (531) | \$ 437 |
| Delivered fuel price management contracts | 3,013 | 322 | (1,041) | 2,294 |
| | \$ 3,981 | \$ 322 | \$ (1,572) | \$ 2,731 |

(11) DEBT

Debt is as follows (in thousands):

| | September 30, 2005 | June 30, 2005 |
|--|-----------------------|------------------|
| Commodity margin loan | \$ 10,000 | \$ |
| Senior secured working capital credit facility | 60,500 | |
| Senior subordinated notes | 200,000 | 200,000 |
| | 270,500 | 200,000 |
| TransMontaigne Partners credit facility | 31,000 | 28,307 |
| | 301,500 | 228,307 |
| Less debt classified as current | (70,500) | |
| Long-term debt | \$ 231,000 | \$ 228,307 |

Commodity Margin Loan. We currently have a commodity margin loan agreement with our commodity broker that allows us to borrow up to \$10 million to fund certain initial and variation margin requirements in commodities accounts maintained by us with our commodity broker. The entire unpaid principal amount of the loan, together with accrued interest, is due and payable on demand. Outstanding loans bear interest at the average 90-day Treasury Bill rate plus 1.75% (5.3% at September 30, 2005).

Senior Secured Working Capital Credit Facility. The Senior Secured Working Capital Credit Facility provides for a maximum borrowing line of credit equal to the lesser of (i) \$400 million and (ii) the borrowing base (\$552 million at September 30, 2005), which is a function, among other things, of our cash, accounts receivable, inventory, exchanges, margin deposits and certain reserve adjustments as defined in the facility. Outstanding letters of credit (\$104 million at September 30, 2005) are counted against the maximum borrowing capacity available at any time. Borrowings under the Senior Secured Working Capital Credit Facility bear interest (at our option) based on a base rate plus an applicable margin, or LIBOR plus an applicable margin; the applicable margins are a function of the average excess borrowing base availability (as defined therein). Interest on loans under the Senior Secured Working Capital Credit Facility will be due and payable periodically, based on the applicable interest rate and related interest period, generally each one, two or three months. The weighted average interest rate on borrowings under the Senior Secured Working Capital Credit Facility was 4.9% during the three months ended September 30, 2005. In addition, we will pay a commitment fee ranging from 0.25% to 0.50% per annum on the total amount of the unused commitments. Borrowings under the Senior Secured Working Capital Credit Facility are secured by, among other things, our cash, accounts receivable, inventories, certain

terminal facilities with an orderly liquidation value of not less than \$100 million, and certain other current assets. The only financial covenant contained in the Senior Secured Working Capital Credit Facility is a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio test that is computed on a quarterly basis and becomes applicable whenever the average availability falls below \$50 million for the last month of any quarter (average availability was \$288 million for the month ended September 30, 2005). In that event, we must satisfy a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio requirement of 110%. The principal balance of loans and any accrued and unpaid interest will be due and payable in full on the maturity date, September 13, 2009.

TransMontaigne Partners Credit Facility. On May 9, 2005, TransMontaigne Partners entered into a \$75 million senior secured credit facility. The credit facility provides for a maximum borrowing line of credit equal to the lesser of (i) \$75 million and (ii) four times Consolidated EBITDA of TransMontaigne Partners (as defined; \$68.2 million at September 30, 2005). Borrowings under the credit facility bear interest (at TransMontaigne Partners option) based on a base rate plus an applicable margin, or LIBOR plus an applicable margin; the applicable margins are a function of the total leverage ratio (as defined). Interest on loans under the credit facility will be due and payable periodically, based on the applicable interest rate and related interest period, generally either one, two or three months. The weighted average interest rate on borrowings under the TransMontaigne Partners credit facility was 5.4% during the three months ended September 30, 2005. In addition, TransMontaigne Partners will pay a commitment fee ranging from 0.375% to 0.50% per annum on the total amount of the unused commitments. Borrowings under the TransMontaigne Partners credit facility are secured by a lien on TransMontaigne Partners assets, including cash, accounts receivable, inventory, general intangibles, investment property, contract rights and real property, except for TransMontaigne Partners real property located in Florida. The terms of the credit facility include covenants that restrict TransMontaigne Partners ability to make capital expenditures and cash distributions. The principal balance of loans and any accrued and unpaid interest will be due and payable in full on the maturity date, May 9, 2010.

The TransMontaigne Partners credit facility also contains customary representations and warranties (including those relating to organization and authorization, compliance with laws, absence of defaults, material agreements and litigation) and customary events of default (including those relating to monetary defaults, covenant defaults, cross defaults and bankruptcy events). The primary financial covenants contained in the TransMontaigne Partners credit facility are a total leverage ratio test (not to exceed four times) and an interest coverage ratio test (not to be less than three times).

Senior Subordinated Notes. On May 30, 2003, we consummated the sale and issuance of \$200 million aggregate principal amount of 9 $\frac{1}{8}$ % Senior Subordinated Notes due 2010 and received proceeds of \$194.5 million (net of underwriters discounts of \$5.5 million). The Senior Subordinated Notes mature on June 1, 2010 and interest is payable semi-annually in arrears on each June 1 and December 1 commencing on December 1, 2003. The Senior Subordinated Notes are unsecured and subordinated to all of our existing and future senior debt. Upon certain change of control events, each holder of the Senior Subordinated Notes may require us to repurchase all or a portion of its notes at a purchase price equal to 101% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued interest. The indenture governing the Senior Subordinated Notes contains covenants that, among other things, limit our ability to incur additional indebtedness, pay dividends on, redeem or repurchase our common stock, make investments, make certain dispositions of assets, engage in transactions with affiliates, create certain liens, and consolidate, merge, or transfer all or substantially all of our assets. The Senior Subordinated Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed on a joint and several basis by our subsidiaries other than (1) minor subsidiaries that are inactive and have no assets or operations and (2) since May 27, 2005, TransMontaigne Partners L.P. and its general partner and the wholly-owned subsidiaries of TransMontaigne Partners L.P.

We are a holding company for our subsidiaries, with no independent assets or operations. Accordingly, we are dependent upon the distribution of the earnings of our subsidiaries, whether in the form of dividends, advances or payments on account of inter-company obligations, to service our debt

Edgar Filing: TRANSMONTAIGNE INC - Form 10-Q

obligations. There are no restrictions on our ability to obtain funds from our subsidiaries other than TransMontaigne Partners L.P. TransMontaigne Partners L.P. is not a party to the indenture governing the Senior Subordinated Notes and, therefore, TransMontaigne Partners L.P. and its subsidiaries are not guarantors of the Senior Subordinated Notes.

Summarized consolidating financial information for TransMontaigne Inc. and the guarantor subsidiaries and TransMontaigne Partners and the non-guarantor subsidiaries as of and for the three months ended September 30, 2005 is as follows:

| | TransMontaigne Inc. and guarantor subsidiaries | TransMontaigne Partners and non- guarantor subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|---|--|--|--------------|--------------|
| Assets | | | | |
| Current assets | \$ 868,376 | \$ 6,008 | \$ (3,932) | \$ 870,452 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 244,100 | 115,170 | | 359,270 |
| Other assets | 91,909 | 2,072 | (7,019) | 86,962 |
| | \$ 1,204,385 | \$ 123,250 | \$ (10,951) | \$ 1,316,684 |
| Liabilities and Equity | | | | |
| Current liabilities | \$ 556,381 | \$ 2,630 | \$ (3,932) | \$ 555,079 |
| Long-term debt | 200,000 | 31,000 | | 231,000 |
| Other liabilities | 50,768 | | | 50,768 |
| Non-controlling interests | | | 82,601 | 82,601 |
| Preferred stock | 20,826 | | | 20,826 |
| Partners equity | | 89,620 | (89,620) | |
| Common stockholders equity | 376,410 | | | 376,410 |
| | \$ 1,204,385 | \$ 123,250 | \$ (10,951) | \$ 1,316,684 |
| Statement of Operations | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 2,972,961 | \$ 10,400 | \$ | \$ 2,983,361 |
| Cost of product sold and direct operating costs and expenses | (2,920,377) | (3,836) | | (2,924,213) |
| Costs and expenses | (14,088) | (2,929) | | (17,017) |
| Other income (expenses) | (3,706) | (509) | (1,319) | (5,534) |
| Income tax expense | (13,907) | | | (13,907) |
| Non-controlling interests share in earnings | | | (1,807) | (1,807) |
| Net earnings | \$ 20,883 | \$ 3,126 | \$ (3,126) | \$ 20,883 |
| Statement of Cash Flows | | | | |
| Net cash (used in) operating activities | \$ (36,043) | \$ (492) | \$ | \$ (36,535) |
| Net cash (used in) investing activities | (59,597) | (568) | | (60,165) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 70,553 | 1,594 | | 72,147 |
| Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | (25,087) | 534 | | (24,553) |
| Cash at beginning of period | 29,480 | 241 | | 29,721 |
| Cash at end of period | \$ 4,393 | \$ 775 | \$ | \$ 5,168 |

For all periods ended after the issuance of the Senior Subordinated Notes (May 30, 2003) and before the closing of TransMontaigne Partners initial public offering (May 27, 2005), we had no subsidiaries that had not guaranteed the Senior Subordinated Notes on a full and unconditional, joint and several basis, other than subsidiaries that were minor. Accordingly, we have not presented consolidating financial

Edgar Filing: TRANSMONTAIGNE INC - Form 10-Q

information as of and for the three months ended September 30, 2004, because such information would be substantially duplicative with the accompanying consolidated financial statements. TransMontaigne Partners completed its initial public offering and commenced operations on May 27, 2005.

Scheduled maturities of debt at September 30, 2005 are as follows (in thousands):

| Years ending June 30: | |
|------------------------------|-------------------|
| 2005 | \$ 10,000 |
| 2006 | |
| 2007 | |
| 2008 | |
| 2009 | |
| Thereafter | 291,500 |
| | \$ 301,500 |

(12) PREFERRED STOCK

At September 30, 2005 and June 30, 2005, we have authorized the issuance of up to 2,000,000 shares of preferred stock. Preferred stock is as follows (in thousands, except share data):

| | September 30, 2005 | June 30, 2005 |
|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Series B redeemable convertible preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 100,000 shares authorized, 20,063 and 47,195 shares issued and outstanding, liquidation preference of \$20,063 and \$47,195 | \$ 20,826 | \$ 49,249 |

At September 30, 2005 and June 30, 2005, there are 20,063 and 47,195 shares, respectively, of Series B redeemable convertible preferred stock outstanding. During the three months ended September 30, 2005, 27,132 shares of Series B redeemable convertible preferred stock were converted into approximately 4.1 million shares of common stock at the request of the holder.

The Series B redeemable convertible preferred stock has a liquidation value of \$1,000 per share, bears dividends at the rate of 6% per annum of the liquidation value, and is mandatorily redeemable between June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2007 for shares of common stock and/or cash at our option, subject to limitations on the total number of shares of common stock permitted to be used in the exchange and issued to any stockholder. Dividends are cumulative and payable quarterly. The dividends are payable in cash, unless precluded by contract or the senior secured working capital credit facility, in which case dividends are payable in additional shares of Series B redeemable convertible preferred stock. The Series B redeemable convertible preferred stock may be put to us, at the option of the holder, for cash equal to the greater of its liquidation value or conversion value upon the future occurrence of a fundamental change (including those relating to sale of substantially all of the assets, delisting of our common stock from a national exchange, change in control, bankruptcy filing, and an event of default that accelerates the repayment of our debt). We may call the outstanding shares of Series B redeemable convertible preferred stock after June 30, 2005 if certain specified conditions are met. The Series B redeemable convertible preferred stock is convertible, at the option of the holder, into common stock at \$6.60 per share, subject to adjustment upon the occurrence of specified future events. The holders of the Series B redeemable convertible preferred stock have the right to vote on all matters (except the election of directors) with the holders of the common stock (voting collectively as a single class).

Preferred stock dividends on the Series B redeemable convertible preferred stock were \$0.3 million and \$0.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The amount of the Series B redeemable convertible preferred stock dividend recognized for financial reporting purposes for

the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, is composed of the amount of the dividend payable and paid to the holders of the Series B redeemable convertible preferred stock of \$0.5 million and \$1.1 million, respectively, offset by the amortization of the premium on the carrying amount of the Series B redeemable convertible preferred stock of \$0.2 million and \$0.4 million, respectively.

At its issuance date (June 28, 2002), the fair value of the Series B redeemable convertible preferred stock exceeded its liquidation value. The carrying amount of the Series B redeemable convertible preferred stock will be decreased ratably over its 5-year term until it equals its liquidation value with an equal reduction in the amount of preferred stock dividends recorded for financial reporting purposes.

(13) COMMON STOCK

At September 30, 2005 and June 30, 2005, we were authorized to issue up to 150,000,000 shares of common stock with a par value of \$0.01 per share. At September 30, 2005 and June 30, 2005, there were 49,753,938 shares and 45,586,475 shares issued and outstanding, respectively. Our senior secured working capital credit facility, senior subordinated notes and the certificate of designations of our Series B redeemable convertible preferred stock contain restrictions on the payment of dividends on our common stock.

We have a restricted stock plan that provides for awards of common stock to certain key employees, subject to forfeiture if employment terminates prior to the applicable vesting dates. Information about restricted common stock activity for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and the year ended June 30, 2005 is as follows:

| | Total shares | Vested shares | Unvested shares |
|--|--------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Outstanding at June 30, 2004 | 2,178,003 | 553,795 | 1,624,208 |
| Granted | 689,200 | | 689,200 |
| Cancelled | (229,350) | | (229,350) |
| Repurchased from employees for withholding taxes | (131,625) | (131,625) | |
| Vested | | 446,758 | (446,758) |
| Outstanding at June 30, 2005 | 2,506,228 | 868,928 | 1,637,300 |
| Granted | 20,000 | | 20,000 |
| Cancelled | (15,300) | | (15,300) |
| Outstanding at September 30, 2005 | 2,510,928 | 868,928 | 1,642,000 |

On October 25, 2004, we granted awards of 689,200 shares of restricted common stock to key employees. The deferred stock based compensation associated with those awards was approximately \$4.2 million, which is being amortized into income over their four-year vesting period.

On September 15, 2005, we granted awards of 20,000 shares of restricted common stock to new employees. The deferred stock based compensation associated with those awards was approximately \$0.2 million, which is being amortized into income over their four-year vesting period.

Amortization of deferred compensation of approximately \$0.9 million and \$0.6 million is included in selling, general and administrative expense for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

(14) STOCK OPTIONS

Information about stock option activity for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and the year ended June 30, 2005, is as follows:

| | Shares | Weighted average exercise price |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|--|
| Outstanding at June 30, 2004 | 885,500 | \$ 4.48 |
| Cancelled | (31,600) | 3.75 |
| Exercised | (85,998) | 4.05 |
| Outstanding at June 30, 2005 | 767,902 | 4.55 |
| Exercised | (51,800) | 3.75 |
| Outstanding at September 30, 2005 | 716,102 | \$ 4.61 |
| Exercisable at September 30, 2005 | 686,102 | \$ 4.59 |

Information about stock options outstanding under the 1997 Plan at September 30, 2005, is as follows:

| Range of exercise prices | Number outstanding | Weighted average remaining life in years | Weighted average exercise prices | Options exercisable | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|---|--|-----------------------|---|
| | | | | Number exercisable | Weighted average exercise prices |
| \$3.75 - 7.25 | 703,602 | 5.5 | \$ 4.48 | 673,602 | \$ 4.45 |
| \$11.00 - 13.50 | 11,500 | 3.3 | \$ 11.65 | 11,500 | \$ 11.65 |
| \$17.25 | 1,000 | 1.9 | \$ 17.25 | 1,000 | \$ 17.25 |
| | 716,102 | | | 686,102 | |

(15) WARRANTS

On November 23, 2004, we granted to MSCG warrants to acquire 5.5 million shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$6.60 per share as partial consideration for agreeing to enter into a 7-year product supply agreement. The fair value of the warrants at the grant date of approximately \$14.6 million was recorded as an increase to other assets (product supply agreement see Note 8 of Notes to consolidated financial statements) and additional paid-in capital. The primary assumptions used to estimate the fair value of the warrants using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model were as follows: no dividend yield, expected volatility of 41%, risk-free interest rate of 3.62%, and a contractual life of 5.3 years.

(16) COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Legal Proceedings. We have been named as a defendant in various lawsuits and a party to various other legal proceedings, in the ordinary course of business, some of which are covered in whole or in part by insurance. We believe that the outcome of such lawsuits and other proceedings will not individually or in the aggregate have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows. At September 30, 2005 and June 30, 2005, we have accrued obligations for legal settlements of approximately \$0.3 million and \$0.3 million, respectively, representing our best estimate of our loss contingencies that are probable of occurrence (see Note 9 of Notes to consolidated financial statements).

Transportation and Deficiency Agreements. In connection with our sale of two product distribution facilities in Little Rock, Arkansas, we are potentially liable for payments of up to approximately \$0.7 million per year for a five-year period through June 30, 2006. At June 30, 2005, we had an accrued

Edgar Filing: TRANSMONTAIGNE INC - Form 10-Q

liability of approximately \$0.6 million representing our estimate of the future amounts we expect to pay for the shortfall in volumes for the remainder of the term of the agreement. During the three months ended September 30, 2005, we paid approximately \$0.4 million as settlement for our shortfall in volumes for the year ended June 30, 2005.

At September 30, 2005 and June 30, 2005, we have recognized approximately \$0.7 million and \$0.3 million, respectively, of prepaid transportation in other assets since we have a contractual right, after the end of the term of the transportation agreement, to apply the amounts to charges for using the interstate pipeline in the future (see Note 8 of Notes to consolidated financial statements).

| | June 30, 2005 (in thousands) | Payments during the period | Amounts applied during the period | Change in estimate during the period | September 30, 2005 |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|---|-----------------------|
| Other assets prepaid transportation | \$ 326 | \$ 411 | \$ | \$ | \$ 737 |
| Accrued liability T&D obligations | \$ (640) | \$ 386 | \$ | \$ | \$ (254) |

Operating Leases. At September 30, 2005, future minimum lease payments under our non-cancelable operating leases are as follows (in thousands):

| Years ending June 30: | Office space | Vessel charters | Terminal and pipeline capacity | Property and equipment |
|------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 2006 (Remainder of the year) | \$ 1,128 | \$ 3,423 | \$ 1,619 | \$ 330 |
| 2007 | 1,490 | | 1,573 | 368 |
| 2008 | 1,648 | | 1,160 | 297 |
| 2009 | 1,630 | | 195 | 177 |
| 2010 | 1,695 | | 92 | 75 |
| Thereafter | 2,478 | | 5 | 194 |
| | \$ 10,069 | \$ 3,423 | \$ 4,644 | \$ 1,441 |

Rental expense under operating leases is as follows (in thousands):

| | Three months ended September 30, | |
|--------------------------------|--|----------|
| | 2005 | 2004 |
| Office space | \$ 342 | \$ 359 |
| Vessel charters | 1,108 | 3,133 |
| Terminal and pipeline capacity | 925 | 1,371 |
| Property and equipment | 213 | 135 |
| | \$ 2,588 | \$ 4,998 |

(17) EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following table reconciles the computation of basic and diluted weighted average shares (in thousands):

| | Three months ended September 30, | |
|--|----------------------------------|--------|
| | 2005 | 2004 |
| Basic weighted average shares | 46,943 | 39,493 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: | | |
| Restricted common stock subject to continuing vesting requirements | 1,282 | 312 |
| Stock options | 418 | 262 |
| Series B redeemable convertible preferred stock | 4,194 | 11,209 |
| MSCG warrants | 2,101 | |
| Diluted weighted average shares | 54,938 | 51,276 |

We exclude potentially dilutive securities from our computation of diluted earnings per share when their effect would be anti-dilutive. The following securities were excluded from the dilutive earnings per share computation for the three months ended September 30, 2005, as their inclusion would have been anti-dilutive (in thousands):

| | September 30, 2005 |
|--|-----------------------|
| Common stock issuable upon exercise of stock options | 13 |

For the three months ended September 30, 2005, the stock options had a weighted average exercise price of \$12.10 per share.

(18) BUSINESS SEGMENTS

We provide integrated terminal, transportation, storage, supply, distribution and marketing services to refiners, wholesalers, distributors, marketers, and industrial and commercial end-users of refined petroleum products. We conduct business in the following business segments:

- *Terminals, pipelines, and tugs and barges* consists of an extensive terminal and pipeline infrastructure that handles refined petroleum products with transportation connections via pipelines, barges, vessels, rail cars and trucks to our facilities or to TransMontaigne Partners facilities with an emphasis on transportation connections primarily through the Colonial, Plantation, TEPPCO, Explorer and Magellan pipeline systems.
- *Supply, distribution and marketing* consists of services for the supply and distribution of refined petroleum products through rack spot sales, contract sales, and bulk sales in the physical and derivative markets, with retail, wholesale, industrial and commercial customers using our terminal racks and marine refueling equipment, and providing related value-added fuel procurement and supply chain management services.

Our chief operating decision maker is our chief executive officer (CEO). Our CEO reviews the financial performance of our business segments using a financial performance measure that is referred to by us as margins and inventory management for purposes of making operating decisions and assessing financial performance. Accordingly, we present margins for each of our two business segments: (i) terminals, pipelines, and tugs and barges and (ii) supply, distribution and marketing.

Edgar Filing: TRANSMONTAIGNE INC - Form 10-Q

For the terminals, pipelines, and tugs and barges segment, margins is composed of revenues less direct operating costs and expenses. There are no differences between margins for our terminals, pipelines, and tugs and barges segment and the net operating margins reported for that segment in our accompanying historical financial statements.

Our CEO assesses the margins and inventory management of our supply, distribution, and marketing segment using financial information that is prepared pursuant to the mark-to-market method of accounting. Our presentation of margins and inventory procurement for the supply, distribution and marketing segment differs from net operating margins for that segment as presented in our accompanying historical consolidated statement of operations due to the treatment of our inventories discretionary volumes (which includes both volumes held for immediate sale or exchange and volumes held for base operating requirements). Inventories discretionary volumes are reflected at fair value, which matches the treatment of our derivative contracts (e.g., volumes due to others under exchange agreements, forward purchase and sale agreements) and risk management contracts (principally NYMEX futures contracts). Because our inventories discretionary volumes are composed of refined petroleum products, which are commodities with established trading markets and readily ascertainable market prices, we believe that the financial performance of our supply, distribution and marketing segment can be appropriately evaluated using the mark-to-market method. Our inventories discretionary volumes are carried at the lower of cost or market in the accompanying historical consolidated balance sheets, while our derivative and risk management contracts are carried at fair value. As a result, if refined petroleum product prices are increasing during the end of a quarter, we may report in the accompanying historical statement of operations significant losses on derivative and risk management contracts and significant deferred gains on discretionary inventory volumes at the end of that quarter and report significant gains on our beginning inventories discretionary volumes when they are sold in the following quarter. Therefore, the effects of changes in the fair value of our inventories discretionary volumes are included in margins and inventory management attributable to our supply, distribution and marketing segment in the period in which the fair value actually changes.

The differences between margins and inventory management used by our CEO in reviewing the financial performance of our business segments and the net operating margins reported in our accompanying historical financial statements are presented as Inventory adjustments in the accompanying Reconciliation to earnings before income taxes.

Edgar Filing: TRANSMONTAIGNE INC - Form 10-Q

The financial performance of our business segments is as follows (in thousands):

| | Three months ended September 30, | |
|---|----------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2005 | 2004 |
| Terminals, pipelines, and tugs and barges: | | |
| TransMontaigne Partners L.P. facilities | \$ 6,564 | \$ 4,306 |
| Brownsville facilities | 1,397 | 850 |
| Southeast facilities | 3,292 | 5,011 |
| River facilities | 41 | 651 |
| Other | (765) | 1,247 |
| Margins | 10,529 | 12,065 |
| Supply, distribution and marketing: | | |
| Light oils marketing margins: | | |
| TransMontaigne Partners L.P. facilities | 7,030 | 2,700 |
| Brownsville facilities | | |
| Southeast facilities | (16,714) | 993 |
| River facilities | 1,024 | 759 |
| Other | 1,080 | 36 |
| | (7,580) | 4,488 |
| Heavy oils marketing margins | 3,460 | 2,570 |
| Supply chain management services margins | 1,180 | 3,040 |
| Margins | (2,940) | 10,098 |
| Inventory procurement and management: | | |
| Increase in value of light oil volumes nominated under the MSCG product supply agreement prior to receipt of the product at our terminals | 79,084 | |
| Increase in value of base operating inventory | 46,424 | 39,956 |
| Losses from risk management of base operating inventory and light oil volumes nominated under the MSCG product supply agreement | (28,755) | |
| Storage fees for light oil tank capacity | (457) | (2,245) |
| Other financial and costing variances, net | (28,654) | (2,204) |
| Trading activities, net | | (1,003) |
| Inventory management | 67,642 | 34,504 |
| Margins and inventory management | \$ 75,231 | \$ 56,667 |
| Reconciliation to earnings before income taxes: | | |
| Margins and inventory management | \$ 75,231 | \$ 56,667 |
| Inventory adjustments: | | |
| Gains recognized on beginning inventories discretionary volumes | 2,369 | 3,712 |
| Gains deferred on ending inventories discretionary volumes | (18,452) | (24,158) |
| Total net operating margins | 59,148 | 36,221 |
| Other items: | | |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | (11,554) | (10,433) |
| Depreciation and amortization | (6,581) | (5,807) |
| Gain (loss) on disposition of assets, net | 1,118 | (3,599) |
| Operating income | 42,131 | 16,382 |
| Other expense, net | (5,534) | (10,001) |
| Earnings before income taxes | \$ 36,597 | \$ 6,381 |

Supplemental information regarding our revenues for our business segments is summarized below (in thousands):

| | Three months ended September 30, | |
|---|----------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2005 | 2004 |
| Terminals, pipelines, and tugs and barges: | | |
| Revenues from external customers | \$ 14,025 | \$ 11,181 |
| Inter-segment revenues | 15,200 | 15,291 |
| Total revenues | \$ 29,225 | \$ 26,472 |
| Supply, distribution and marketing: | | |
| Revenues from external customers | \$ 2,954,136 | \$ 2,029,252 |
| Inter-segment revenues | | |
| Total revenues | \$ 2,954,136 | \$ 2,029,252 |

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis of the results of operations and financial condition should be read in conjunction with the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

A summary of the significant accounting policies that we have adopted and followed in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements is detailed in our consolidated financial statements for the year ended June 30, 2005, included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on September 13, 2005 (see Note 1 of Notes to consolidated financial statements). Certain of these accounting policies require the use of estimates. The following estimates, in our opinion, are subjective in nature, require the exercise of judgment, and involve complex analysis: allowance for doubtful accounts; fair value of inventories - discretionary volumes (used to evaluate the financial performance of our business segments); fair value of derivative contracts; and accrued environmental obligations. These estimates are based on our knowledge and understanding of current conditions and actions we may take in the future. Changes in these estimates will occur as a result of the passage of time and the occurrence of future events. Subsequent changes in these estimates may have a significant impact on our financial condition and results of operations.

SIGNIFICANT DEVELOPMENTS DURING THE THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2005

On July 20, 2005, TransMontaigne Partners announced that it declared a distribution of \$0.15 per unit payable on August 9, 2005 to the unitholders of record on July 29, 2005.

On August 1, 2005, we announced the closing of the acquisition of Radcliff/Economy Marine Services, Inc. (Radcliff) for a purchase price of approximately \$52.1 million, net of cash acquired of approximately \$2.1 million. The purchase price is composed of approximately \$41.8 million paid in cash plus the assumption of Radcliff's existing outstanding debt of approximately \$12.4 million. The acquisition includes three petroleum products terminals, two in Mobile, Alabama and one in Pensacola, Florida, with combined aggregate storage capacity of approximately 350,000 barrels. In addition, we acquired 2 tugboats, 6 barges, 12 tractors and associated trailers and approximately \$22 million in net working capital.

On August 16, 2005, we announced the signing of purchase agreements to acquire certain LPG assets and refined petroleum products tank capacity in Brownsville, Texas and Matamoros, Mexico from Rio Vista Energy Partners L.P. and Penn Octane Corporation for a total purchase price of approximately \$27.5 million. The closing of the acquisition is subject to our completion of additional due diligence.

During the three months ended September 30, 2005, approximately 27,132 shares of Series B redeemable convertible preferred stock were converted into approximately 4.1 million shares of common stock.

On August 29, 2005 and September 24, 2005, Hurricane Katrina and Hurricane Rita caused severe damage along the United States Gulf Coast and into the southern United States. We currently are not aware of any significant long-term damage to our facilities caused by these hurricanes.

SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On October 20, 2005, TransMontaigne Partners announced that it declared a distribution of \$0.40 per unit payable on November 8, 2005 to the unitholders of record on October 31, 2005.

On October 24, 2005, Hurricane Wilma struck Florida. Our facilities have returned to normal operations and are delivering product in their respective markets. We currently are not aware of any significant long-term damage to our facilities caused by Hurricane Wilma.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS MARKET CONDITIONS

During September and through October 10, 2005, we witnessed substantial price disparities and market disruptions in the wholesale markets. The major refiners were pricing their branded products substantially below the price at which they were offering unbranded products in the wholesale market. The price of product in the wholesale market generally reflects the cost of product at the tailgate of the refinery adjusted for the cost of transportation to the wholesale market. In effect, the major refiners were subsidizing the price of product in the wholesale markets; major refiners were charging their branded customers wholesale market prices that were below the cost of product in the bulk markets. For example, the low branded and unbranded price of unleaded gasoline in the Charlotte, North Carolina wholesale market from August 1, 2005 through October 30, 2005 are as follows (\$/gallon):

Through September 7, 2005, we sold product to our contract customers at our Southeast facilities based on the subsidized prices being offered by major refiners to their branded customers in the wholesale market, resulting in realized losses on our light oil marketing margins. We historically sell approximately 80,000 barrels per day of unbranded product at our Southeast facilities under contracts with OPIS indexes. On September 7, 2005, we informed our contract customers at our Southeast facilities that we were exercising our rights under our sales contracts to temporarily cease selling them product under the OPIS-based pricing provisions in their contracts with us. However, we offered to sell product to these customers under interim pricing provisions that would stay in effect until the pricing disparities in the wholesale market subsided. From September 8, 2005 to October 10, 2005, we averaged approximately 45,000 barrels per days under contracts with interim pricing provisions. The interim pricing provisions were intended to

reflect the cost of product in the bulk markets and the cost of transportation from the bulk markets to the wholesale markets. Numerous contract customers at our Southeast facilities have submitted to us notices of dispute claiming that we did not have the right to suspend the OPIS-pricing provisions in our sales contracts with these customers. To the extent that such disputes are not resolved directly with our customers, we will resolve such disputes through mediation and arbitration provisions of the applicable contracts. We continue to believe that we were within our rights under the contracts to impose interim pricing provisions during the period of price disparities and market disruptions in the wholesale markets. We believe that the outcome of the disputes with our customers will not individually or in the aggregate have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows. At September 30, 2005, we have not accrued any reserves for potential loss contingencies resulting from the disputes with our customers because such a loss, in our view, is not probable of occurrence or reasonably estimable.

During the three months ended September 30, 2005, we experienced a significant increase in refined petroleum product prices and the continued volatility of refined petroleum product prices.

Prices for refined petroleum products were higher during the three months ended September 30, 2005, as compared to the same period in 2004, resulting in higher per unit revenues from the sales of refined petroleum products. Prices for gasoline and heating oil for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004 are as follows (in \$/gallon):

| | Three months ended September 30, | | | |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|--------|------|--------|
| | 2005 | | 2004 | |
| Unleaded gasoline: | | | | |
| High | \$ | 3.1165 | \$ | 1.3338 |
| Low | \$ | 1.4485 | \$ | 1.0835 |
| Average | \$ | 1.9487 | \$ | 1.2101 |
| Heating oil: | | | | |
| High | \$ | 2.5675 | \$ | 1.3798 |
| Low | \$ | 1.5295 | \$ | 1.0253 |
| Average | \$ | 1.8223 | \$ | 1.1505 |

Edgar Filing: TRANSMONTAIGNE INC - Form 10-Q

Relative month-end commodity prices per gallon from June 30, 2004 to September 30, 2005 (near-month NYMEX close on the last day of the month) are as follows (\$/gallon):

Our light oil marketing margins are affected by the supply and demand for light oil products in the wholesale delivery locations (e.g., terminal truck racks). While demand for light oil products may be influenced by seasonality (e.g., higher demand for gasoline during the summer driving season and higher demand for heating oil during the winter heating season), we believe that the availability of supply of light oil products in the wholesale delivery markets has the most significant impact on our ability to generate favorable light oil marketing margins. The availability of supply of light oil products in the wholesale delivery markets is impacted by a variety of factors, including the availability of crude oil supplies, current utilization of refining capacity, the shape of the forward price curve in the futures market, refinery crack spreads, and availability of pipeline and vessel shipping capacity. For example, adequate crude oil supplies, high utilization of refining capacity, an increasing forward price curve, favorable refinery crack spreads and available shipping capacity would likely result in an abundance of light oil products in the wholesale delivery markets. An abundance of light oil products in the wholesale delivery locations generally produces lower marketing margins. Conversely, tight crude oil supplies, refinery outages, a decreasing forward price curve, moderate refinery crack spreads and limited shipping capacity would likely result in tight supply of light oil products in the wholesale delivery markets. A tight supply of light oil products in the wholesale delivery locations generally produces higher marketing margins.

During the three months ended September 30, 2005, the NYMEX futures market anticipated declining gasoline prices as the prices in the current month were slightly higher than the prices in the prompt month (i.e., the immediately succeeding month). The combination of declining future prices (referred to as a backwardated market) and refinery crack spreads at historic highs encouraged refiners to maximize production and sell their gasoline production in the bulk markets. A decline in gasoline

inventories in the wholesale delivery markets generally results in favorable margin opportunities on gasoline sales. During the three months ended September 30, 2005, the NYMEX futures market anticipated rising heating oil prices as the prices in the prompt month (i.e., the immediately succeeding month) were slightly higher than the prices in the current month. The combination of anticipated higher future prices (referred to as a contango market) and refinery crack spreads at historic highs encouraged refiners to maximize production and ship their distillate production to the wholesale delivery markets. An increase in distillate inventories in the wholesale delivery markets generally results in limited margin opportunities on distillate sales.

The value of petroleum products in any local delivery market is the sum of the commodity price as reflected on the NYMEX and the basis differential for that local delivery market. The basis differential for any local delivery market is the spread between the cash price in the physical market and the quoted price in the futures markets for the prompt month. For those physical and derivative positions as to which we choose to manage the associated commodity price risk, the primary objective of our risk management strategy is to minimize the financial impact on us from changes in petroleum commodity prices affected by world-wide crude oil and petroleum products supply and demand disruptions (e.g., the Iraq war, OPEC production quotas, disruptions due to hurricanes and other weather-related occurrences, foreign country work stoppages, and major refinery outages). We utilize NYMEX futures contracts to manage the financial impact on us from changes in commodity prices due to world-wide events. NYMEX futures contracts are obligations to purchase or sell a specific volume of inventory at a fixed price at a future date. We believe that the utilization of NYMEX futures contracts to manage commodity price risk minimizes the financial impact on us from changes in world-wide commodity prices. We generally do not manage the financial impact on us from changes in basis differentials affected by local market supply and demand disruptions (e.g., local pipeline delivery disruptions, local refinery outages, periodic change in local government specifications for gasolines and distillates, local seasonality in product demand, and disruptions due to local weather related occurrences). The impacts on us from changes in basis differentials are as follows:

| Basis Differential | Change in Basis Differential | Net Position | Financial Impact |
|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| Futures price in excess of physical market price (negative basis differential) | Increasing | Long | Loss |
| Futures price in excess of physical market price | Increasing | Short | Gain |
| Futures price in excess of physical market price | Decreasing | Long | Gain |
| Futures price in excess of physical market price | Decreasing | Short | Loss |
| Physical market price in excess of futures price (positive basis differential) | Increasing | Long | Gain |
| Physical market price in excess of futures price | Increasing | Short | Loss |
| Physical market price in excess of futures price | Decreasing | Long | Loss |
| Physical market price in excess of futures price | Decreasing | Short | Gain |

The spread between the month-end basis differential (quoted near-month NYMEX futures price and the cash price in the United States Gulf Coast market) and the monthly average basis differential from June 30, 2004 to September 30, 2005 are as follows (\$/gallon):

When we nominate refined petroleum products to be supplied by third parties at our terminals, we enter into futures contracts (i.e., short futures contracts) to sell a corresponding amount of product to protect against price fluctuations for the underlying commodity. When we ultimately sell the underlying inventory to a customer, we unwind the related risk management contract. In order to effectively manage commodity price risk, we must predict when we will sell the underlying product. When we enter into a forward sale commitment to deliver product to a customer in the future at a fixed price, we enter into a futures contract (i.e., a long futures contract) to purchase a corresponding amount of product to protect against price fluctuations for the underlying commodity. When we ultimately deliver the underlying product to a customer, we unwind the related risk management contract. We believe that the uncertainties of crude oil supply caused in part by the Iraq war, the possibility of unplanned refinery outages and the increased participation of hedge funds in the futures markets periodically results in a lack of correlation between the cash market and the futures market (i.e., the physical cash markets are driven by supply and demand, whereas, the futures markets are driven by geopolitical events and expectations). When there is a lack of correlation between the cash market and the futures market, the cost of managing our commodity price risk may increase. Because of the overall high level of commodity prices combined with the possibility of an increase in the cost of managing the commodity price risk associated with our discretionary inventories, we distributed and transported fewer barrels of discretionary inventories through our terminal infrastructure during the year ended June 30, 2005 and the three months ended September 30, 2005, which resulted in lower inventory volumes available for rack spot sales.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS BUSINESS SEGMENTS

We are required to report measures of profit and loss that are used by our chief operating decision maker (our Chief Executive Officer or CEO) in assessing the financial performance of our reportable segments. Our CEO assesses the financial performance of each of our reportable segments using a financial performance measure, which we refer to as margins and inventory management.

Terminals, pipelines, tugs and barges margins

Our margins for the terminal, pipelines, tugs and barges segment are identical to the net operating margins for such segment described under Results of Operations Historical Financial Statements. Selected quarterly margins for the terminal, pipelines, tugs and barges segment for each of the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004 are summarized below (in thousands):

| | Three months ended | |
|---|---------------------------|----------------------|
| | September 30, | September 30, |
| | 2005 | 2004 |
| Terminals, pipelines, tugs and barges: | | |
| TransMontaigne Partners L.P. facilities: | | |
| Revenues | \$ 10,400 | \$ 8,392 |
| Direct operating costs and expenses | (3,836) | (4,086) |
| Net operating margins | 6,564 | 4,306 |
| Brownsville facilities: | | |
| Revenues | 2,785 | 2,178 |
| Direct operating costs and expenses | (1,388) | (1,328) |
| Net operating margins | 1,397 | 850 |
| Southeast facilities: | | |
| Revenues | 8,589 | 9,331 |
| Direct operating costs and expenses | (5,297) | (4,320) |
| Net operating margins | 3,292 | 5,011 |
| River facilities: | | |
| Revenues | 2,015 | 2,234 |
| Direct operating costs and expenses | (1,974) | (1,583) |
| Net operating margins | 41 | 651 |
| Other: | | |
| Revenues | 5,436 | 4,337 |
| Direct operating costs and expenses | (6,201) | (3,090) |
| Net operating margins | (765) | 1,247 |
| Margins | \$ 10,529 | \$ 12,065 |

Supply, distribution and marketing margins and inventory management

Our presentation of margins and inventory management for the supply, distribution and marketing segment differs from net operating margins for that segment as presented in our accompanying historical consolidated statement of operations due to the treatment of our inventories discretionary volumes (which includes both volumes held for immediate sale or exchange and volumes held for base operating requirements). Inventories discretionary volumes are reflected at fair value, which matches the treatment of our derivative contracts (e.g., volumes due to others under exchange agreements, forward purchase and sale agreements) and risk management contracts (principally NYMEX futures contracts). Because our inventories discretionary volumes are composed of refined petroleum products, which are commodities with established trading markets and readily ascertainable market prices, we believe that the financial

performance of our supply, distribution and marketing segment can be appropriately evaluated using the mark-to-market method. Our inventories discretionary volumes are carried at the lower of cost or market in the accompanying historical consolidated balance sheets, while our derivative and risk management contracts are carried at fair value. As a result, if refined petroleum product prices are increasing during the end of a quarter, we may report in the accompanying historical statement of operations significant losses on derivative and risk management contracts and significant deferred gains on discretionary inventory volumes at the end of that quarter and report significant gains on our beginning inventories discretionary volumes when they are sold in the following quarter. Therefore, the effects of changes in the fair value of our inventories discretionary volumes are included in margins and inventory management attributable to our supply, distribution and marketing segment in the period in which the fair value actually changes.

Marketing margins. Light oil and heavy oil marketing margins are based on the actual selling price to the customer, the cost of product sold and the standard cost of transportation and throughput. For purposes of computing our light oil margins, the cost of product sold is based on the prior day's market value of the product as determined in the United States Gulf Coast bulk market for all facilities except TransMontaigne Partner L.P. facilities. For TransMontaigne Partners L.P. facilities, the cost of product sold is based on an OPIS index.

Supply chain management services margins include margins from the sale of refined petroleum products under delivered fuel price management contracts, net gains and losses from the settlement of retail price management contracts and fees from logistical supply chain management services. Margins under delivered fuel price management contracts are based on the relationship of the spread between the futures price and the physical wholesale market price at the date the contract was executed with the customer (referred to as basis sold) and the spread between the futures price and the physical wholesale market price at the date the product was lifted by the customer (referred to as basis bought). Net gains and losses from the settlement of retail price management contracts are based on basis sold and basis bought in the retail market. Fees from logistical supply chain management services are charged on a per gallon basis for the use of our proprietary web-based inventory management system.

Inventory procurement and management. During the three months ended March 31, 2005, we commenced purchasing light oil product from MSCG for our Florida and Southeast marketing activities. Pursuant to the terms of the MSCG supply agreement, the unit cost of the products is determined prior to their actual delivery to our terminals. Consequently, during rising commodity prices, we will recognize gains between the date the product is priced and the date of its receipt because the MSCG supply agreement qualifies as a derivative contract (see Note 1(g) of Notes to consolidated financial statements). During declining commodity prices, we will recognize losses between the pricing date and the date of receipt. Because of the significant increase in commodity prices experienced during the three months ended September 30, 2005, we recognized approximately \$79.1 million of gains on approximately 3.0 million barrels, which represents the average volume of barrels priced under the terms of the MSCG supply agreement but not yet delivered to our terminals.

We maintain approximately 2.0 million barrels of base operating inventory in terminal facilities as safety stock to ensure an adequate supply of inventory to meet our delivery obligations to our customers. During periods of rising commodity prices, we will recognize increases in the value of these volumes, whereas during periods of declining commodity prices, we will recognize decreases in the value of these volumes. During the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, the value of our base operating inventory increased by approximately \$46.4 million and \$40.0 million, respectively, due to rising commodity prices.

At September 30, 2005, we were managing the commodity price risk associated with approximately 3.0 million barrels of undelivered in-transit volumes supplied to our terminals under the MSCG supply agreement. At September 30, 2005, the commodity price risk associated with the approximately 2.0 million

barrels of base operating inventory volumes was not managed. During the three months ended September 30, 2005, we recognized losses of approximately \$28.8 million from these risk management activities.

Storage fees for light oil tank capacity decreased during the three months ended September 30, 2005 as compared to 2004, due principally to the commencement of our terminaling services agreements with MSCG for tank capacity at our Southeast facilities that historically had been leased to our supply, distribution and marketing operations.

Other financial and costing variances, net include the financial variances (favorable and unfavorable) associated with the purchase price of our inventory volumes held for immediate sale or exchange, the correlation between the physical market and the futures market, the variance between our actual transportation and throughput charges and our standard costs, and the net margins generated from bulk transactions. During periods of strong correlation between the physical and futures markets, we will recognize nominal variances. During periods of expanding spreads between the cash price in the physical market and the quoted price in the futures markets for the prompt month, we will recognize gains (losses) if we are net short (long) in the physical market. During periods of contracting spreads between the cash price in the physical market and the quoted price in the futures markets for the prompt month, we will recognize gains (losses) if we are net long (short) in the physical market. Other financial and costing variances, net were unfavorably impacted by the delay in the injection date of products into the Colonial pipeline caused by Hurricanes Katrina and Rita. The cost of product purchased under the MSCG product supply agreement is based on the actual injection date of products into the Colonial pipeline. We enter into risk management contracts to manage the commodity price risk associated with product purchased under the MSCG product supply agreement based on the expected injection date of products into the Colonial pipeline. When the cost of product purchased under the MSCG product supply agreement is determined by reference to a period of time other than the period of time in which we entered into the associated risk management contracts, we will recognize unfavorable variances during rising prices. We also recognize financial and costing variances based on the relationship of the spread between the futures price and the physical wholesale market price at the date product is priced under the MSCG product supply agreement (referred to as basis bought) and the spread between the futures price and the physical wholesale market price at the date the product was lifted by the customer (referred to as basis sold). During the three months ended September 30, 2005, the basis bought was in excess of the basis sold resulting in unfavorable variances.

Edgar Filing: TRANSMONTAIGNE INC - Form 10-Q

For the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, the margins and inventory management attributable to our supply, distribution and marketing segment were \$64.7 million and \$44.6 million, respectively.

| | Three months ended September 30, 2005 | September 30, 2004 |
|---|---|-----------------------|
| Supply, distribution and marketing: | | |
| Light oils marketing margins: | | |
| TransMontaigne Partners L.P. facilities | \$ 7,030 | \$ 2,700 |
| Brownsville facilities | | |
| Southeast facilities | (16,714) | 993 |
| River facilities | 1,024 | 759 |
| Other | 1,080 | 36 |
| | (7,580) | 4,488 |
| Heavy oils marketing margins | 3,460 | 2,570 |
| Supply chain management services margins | 1,180 | 3,040 |
| Margins | (2,940) | 10,098 |
| Inventory procurement and management: | | |
| Increase in value of light oil volumes nominated under the MSCG product supply agreement prior to receipt of the product at our terminals | 79,084 | |
| Increase in value of base operating inventory | 46,424 | 39,956 |
| Losses from risk management of base operating inventory and light oil volumes nominated under the MSCG product supply agreement | (28,755) | |
| Storage fees for light oil tank capacity | (457) | (2,245) |
| Other financial and costing variances, net | (28,654) | (2,204) |
| Trading activities, net | | (1,003) |
| Inventory management | 67,642 | 34,504 |
| Margins and inventory management | \$ 64,702 | \$ 44,602 |

Our light oil marketing margins in points (\$0.0001) per gallon for three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004 are as follows:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2005 | September 30, 2004 |
|--------------------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| Light oils marketing margins: | | |
| TransMontaigne Partners facilities | 240 | 110 |
| Southeast facilities | (314) | 18 |
| River facilities | 250 | 200 |
| Other | 113 | 2 |
| All facilities weighted average | (79) | 46 |

Edgar Filing: TRANSMONTAIGNE INC - Form 10-Q

Our light oil marketing volumes in average barrels per day for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004 are as follows:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2005 | September 30, 2004 |
|--------------------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| Light oils marketing volumes: | | |
| TransMontaigne Partners facilities | 75,962 | 63,256 |
| Southeast facilities | 137,586 | 142,928 |
| River facilities | 10,592 | 9,800 |
| Other | 24,688 | 38,104 |
| | 248,828 | 254,088 |

The differences between margins and inventory management used by our CEO in reviewing the financial performance of our business segments and the net operating margins reported in our accompanying historical financial statements for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, are as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2005 | September 30, 2004 |
|---|---|-----------------------|
| Reconciliation to net operating margins: | | |
| Margins and inventory management | \$ 64,702 | \$ 44,602 |
| Gains recognized on beginning inventories discretionary volumes | 2,369 | 3,712 |
| Gains deferred on ending inventories discretionary volumes | (18,452) | (24,158) |
| Net operating margins historical financial statements | \$ 48,619 | \$ 24,156 |

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS HISTORICAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following selected historical financial statement measures are derived from our unaudited interim financial statements for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004 (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2005 | September 30, 2004 |
|---|---|-----------------------|
| Net operating margins(1): | | |
| Supply, distribution, and marketing | \$ 48,619 | \$ 24,156 |
| Terminals, pipelines, tugs and barges | \$ 10,529 | \$ 12,065 |
| Operating income | \$ 42,131 | \$ 16,382 |
| Earnings before income taxes | \$ 36,597 | \$ 6,381 |
| Net earnings | \$ 20,883 | \$ 3,828 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | \$ (36,535) | \$ (3,424) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | \$ (60,165) | \$ (12,390) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | \$ 72,147 | \$ 22,758 |

(1) Net operating margins represents revenues, less cost of product sold and other direct operating costs and expenses.

THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2005 AS COMPARED TO THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2004

We reported net earnings of \$20.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005, compared to net earnings of \$3.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2004. After earnings allocable to

preferred stock, the net earnings attributable to common stockholders was \$19.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005, compared to net earnings of \$3.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2004. Basic earnings per common share for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, was \$0.41 and \$0.08, respectively, based on 46.9 million and 39.5 million weighted average common shares outstanding, respectively. Diluted earnings per common share for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, was \$0.38 and \$0.07, respectively, based upon 54.9 million and 51.3 million weighted average diluted shares outstanding, respectively.

Terminals, pipelines, and tugs and barges

In our terminals, pipelines, and tugs and barges operations, we provide distribution related services to wholesalers, distributors, marketers, retail gasoline station operators, cruise-ship operators and industrial and commercial end-users of refined petroleum products and other commercial liquids. The net operating margins from our terminals, pipelines, and tugs and barges operations for the three months ended September 30, 2005 were \$10.5 million, compared to \$12.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2004. On August 1, 2005, we acquired Radcliff, which includes three petroleum products terminals, two tugboats, 6 barges, and 12 tractors and associated trailers. The results of operations of Radcliff are included from the closing date of the transaction (August 1, 2005). For the three months ended September 30, 2005, Radcliff generated approximately \$1.0 million in revenues and approximately \$0.7 million in direct operating costs and expenses. The net operating margins from our terminals, pipelines, and tugs and barges operations are as follows (in thousands):

| | Three months ended | |
|---|--------------------|-----------|
| | September 30, | |
| | 2005 | 2004 |
| Throughput and additive injection fees, net | \$ 13,581 | \$ 10,311 |
| Storage fees | 6,840 | 9,044 |
| Pipeline transportation fees | 789 | 718 |
| Tugs and barges | 3,635 | 3,300 |
| Management fees and cost reimbursements | 1,330 | 1,212 |
| Other | 3,050 | 1,887 |
| Revenues | 29,225 | 26,472 |
| Less direct operating costs and expenses | (18,696) | (14,407) |
| Net operating margins | \$ 10,529 | \$ 12,065 |

Throughput and additive injection fees, net. We own and operate a terminal infrastructure that handles products with transportation connections via pipelines, barges, rail cars and trucks. We earn throughput fees for each barrel of product that is distributed at our terminals through our supply and marketing efforts, through exchange agreements, or for third parties. Terminal throughput fees are based on the volume of products distributed at the facility's truck loading racks, generally at a standard rate per barrel of product. We provide injection services in connection with the delivery of product at our terminals. These fees generally are based on the volume of product injected and delivered over the rack at our terminals.

Exchange agreements provide for the exchange of product at one delivery location for product at a different location. We generally receive a terminal throughput fee based on the volume of the product exchanged, in addition to the cost of transportation from the receipt location to the exchange delivery location. For the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, we averaged approximately 52,000 and 47,000 barrels per day, respectively, of delivered volumes under exchange agreements.

Terminal throughput and additive injection fees, net were approximately \$13.6 million and \$10.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The increase of

approximately \$3.3 million in terminal throughput and additive injection fees, net is due principally to approximately \$1.8 million of throughput fees charged on heavy oil marketing volumes at the TransMontaigne Partners facilities, approximately \$1.0 million of throughput fees resulting from the acquisition of Radcliff and an increase of approximately \$0.5 million at our Brownsville facilities. For the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, we averaged approximately 376,000 barrels and 334,000 barrels per day, respectively, of throughput volumes at our terminals, including volumes under exchange agreements.

Included in the terminal throughput and additive injection fees, net for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, are fees charged to TransMontaigne's supply, distribution and marketing segment of approximately \$10.7 million and \$8.8 million, respectively.

Storage fees. We lease storage capacity at our terminals to third parties and our supply, distribution and marketing segment. Terminal storage fees generally are based on a per barrel of leased capacity per month rate and will vary with the duration of the storage agreement and the type of product stored.

Terminal storage fees were approximately \$6.8 million and \$9.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The decrease of \$2.2 million in storage fees was due principally to a decrease in storage fees of approximately \$1.9 million resulting from the conversion of the fees charged on heavy oil marketing volumes from a storage agreement to a throughput agreement and a decrease of approximately \$0.5 million in storage fees charged at our Southeast facilities resulting from the commencement of terminaling services agreements with MSCG.

Included in the terminal storage fees for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, are fees charged to TransMontaigne's supply, distribution and marketing segment of approximately \$nil and \$3.5 million, respectively.

Pipeline transportation fees. We own an interstate products pipeline operating from Mt. Vernon, Missouri to Rogers, Arkansas (the "Razorback Pipeline"), together with associated terminal facilities at Mt. Vernon and Rogers. We earn pipeline transportation fees at our Razorback Pipeline based on the volume of product transported and the distance from the origin point to the delivery point. We also earn transportation fees at our Port Everglades pipeline hydrant system based on the volume of product delivered to cruise ships and freight vessels.

For the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, we earned pipeline transportation fees of approximately \$0.8 million and \$0.7 million, respectively.

Included in the pipeline transportation fees for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, are fees charged to TransMontaigne's supply, distribution and marketing segment of approximately \$0.8 million and \$0.7 million, respectively.

Tugs and barges. We currently own and operate 14 tugboats and 20 barges that deliver product to cruise ships and other marine vessels for refueling and to transport third-party product from our storage tanks to our customers' facilities. Our tugboats earn fees for providing docking and other ship-assist services to cruise and cargo ships and other marine vessels. Bunkering fees are based on the volume and type of product sold, transportation fees are based on the volume of product that is shipped and the distance to the delivery point, and docking and other ship-assist services are based on a per docking per tugboat basis.

For the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, we earned bunkering fees, transportation fees, and other ship-assist services fees of approximately \$3.6 million and \$3.3 million, respectively.

Included in the tugs and barges fees for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, are fees charged to TransMontaigne's supply, distribution and marketing segment of approximately \$1.8 million and \$1.4 million, respectively.

Management fees and cost reimbursements. We manage and operate for a major oil company 17 terminals that are adjacent to our Southeast facilities and receive a reimbursement of costs. We manage and operate for another major oil company certain tank capacity at TransMontaigne Partners Port Everglades (South) terminal and receive a reimbursement of costs. We also manage and operate for a foreign oil company a bi-directional products pipeline connected to our Brownsville, Texas terminal facility.

For the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, we earned management fees and cost reimbursements from our terminal and pipeline operations of approximately \$1.3 million and \$1.2 million, respectively.

Other revenues. In addition to providing storage and distribution services at our terminal facilities, we also provide ancillary services including heating and mixing of stored products and product transfer services. We also recognize gains from the sale of product to our supply, distribution and marketing operation resulting from the excess of product deposited by third parties into our terminals over the amount of product that the customer is contractually permitted to withdraw from those terminals.

For the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, other revenues from our terminals, pipelines, and tugs and barges operations was approximately \$3.1 million and \$1.9 million, respectively.

Included in other revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, are fees charged to TransMontaigne's supply, distribution and marketing segment of approximately \$1.8 million and \$0.9 million, respectively.

Direct operating costs and expenses. The direct operating costs and expenses of the terminals, pipelines, and tugs and barges operations include the directly related wages and employee benefits, utilities, communications, maintenance and repairs, property taxes, rent, vehicle expenses, environmental compliance costs, materials and supplies. For the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, the direct operating costs and expenses of the terminals, pipelines, and tugs and barges were approximately \$18.7 million and \$14.4 million, respectively. The direct operating costs and expenses of our terminals, pipelines, and tugs and barges operations are as follows (in thousands):

| | Three months ended September 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2005 | 2004 |
| Wages and employee benefits | \$ 7,274 | \$ 6,271 |
| Utilities and communication charges | 1,240 | 1,004 |
| Repairs and maintenance | 5,044 | 4,315 |
| Property and casualty insurance costs | 1,005 | 775 |
| Office, rentals and property taxes | 1,522 | 1,403 |
| Vehicles and fuel costs | 1,075 | 653 |
| Environmental compliance costs | 1,262 | 981 |
| Other | 409 | 238 |
| Less property and environmental insurance recoveries | (135) | (1,233) |
| Direct operating costs and expenses | \$ 18,696 | \$ 14,407 |

Supply, distribution and marketing

The net operating margins from our supply, distribution and marketing operations for the three months ended September 30, 2005, were \$48.6 million, compared to \$24.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2004. For the three months ended September 30, 2005, Radcliff generated approximately \$44.4 million in revenues and approximately \$2.2 million in net operating margins. The net operating margins from our supply, distribution and marketing operations are as follows (in thousands):

| | Three months ended September 30, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2005 | 2004 |
| Rack spot sales | \$ 344,368 | \$ 235,425 |
| Contract sales | 1,447,655 | 994,578 |
| Bulk sales | 946,586 | 663,074 |
| Supply chain management services | 215,527 | 136,175 |
| Total revenues | 2,954,136 | 2,029,252 |
| Cost of product sold | (2,837,468) | (1,978,070) |
| Net margin before other direct costs and expenses | 116,668 | 51,182 |
| Other direct costs and expenses: | | |
| Net losses on risk management activities | (42,434) | (10,405) |
| Change in unrealized losses on derivative contracts | (25,615) | (16,621) |
| Net operating margins | \$ 48,619 | \$ 24,156 |

We sell our products to customers primarily through three types of arrangements: rack spot sales, contract sales and bulk sales.

Rack spot sales. Rack spot sales are sales to commercial and industrial end-users, independent retailers, cruise-ship operators and jobbers that do not involve continuing contractual obligations to purchase or deliver product. Rack spot sales are priced and delivered on a daily basis through truck loading racks or marine fueling equipment. Our selling price of a particular product on a particular day at a particular terminal is a function of our supply at that terminal, our estimate of the costs to replenish the product at that terminal, our desire to reduce inventory levels at that terminal that day, and other factors. Rack spot sales are recognized as revenues when the product is delivered to the customer through the truck loading rack or marine fueling equipment.

Rack spot sales were approximately \$344.4 million and \$235.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The increase of approximately \$109.0 million is due principally to higher commodity prices during 2005 offset by a slight decrease in volumes made available to our rack spot customers. The increase in commodity prices combined with the possibility of increased costs of executing our risk management strategies resulted in our distributing and transporting fewer barrels of discretionary inventories held for immediate sale or exchange through our terminal infrastructure during the three months ended September 30, 2005, resulting in lower inventory volumes available for rack spot sales. For the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, we averaged approximately 47,000 and 50,000 barrels per day, respectively, of delivered volumes under rack spot sales.

Contract sales. Contract sales are sales to commercial and industrial end users, independent retailers, cruise-ship operators, and jobbers that are made pursuant to negotiated contracts, generally ranging from one to six months in duration. Contract sales provide these customers with a specified volume of product during the agreement term. At the customer's option, the pricing of the product delivered under a contract sale may be fixed at a stipulated price per gallon, or it may vary based on changes in published indices. Contract sales are recognized as revenues when the product is delivered to the customer through the truck loading rack or marine fueling equipment.

Contract sales were approximately \$1,447.7 million and \$994.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The increase of approximately \$453.1 million is due principally to higher commodity prices offset by a slight decrease in volumes delivered to our contract customers. For the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, we averaged approximately 213,000 and 215,000 barrels per day, respectively, of delivered volumes under contract sales.

Bulk sales. Bulk sales are sales of large quantities of product to wholesalers, distributors, and marketers in major cash markets typically prior to the product being injected into the common carrier pipeline. We also make bulk sales of products prior to their scheduled delivery to us while the product is being transported in the common carrier pipelines or by barge or vessel.

Bulk sales were approximately \$946.6 million and \$663.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The increase of approximately \$283.5 million is due principally to higher commodity prices during 2005 offset by a decrease in volumes transferred to our bulk customers. We have decreased the volumes transferred to our bulk customers due principally to a reduction in the number of barrels we maintain in the bulk markets as a result of a change in business strategy caused in part by higher commodity prices and the cost of executing our risk management strategies. For the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, we averaged approximately 180,000 and 357,000 barrels per day, respectively, of delivered volumes under bulk sales.

Supply chain management services contracts. We provide supply chain management services to companies and governmental entities that desire to outsource their fuel supply function and to reduce the price volatility associated with their fuel supplies. We offer three types of supply chain management services: delivered fuel price management, retail price management and logistical supply chain management services.

Sales pursuant to supply chain management services contracts were approximately \$215.5 million and \$136.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The increase of approximately \$79.3 million is due principally to higher commodity prices and a slight increase in volumes delivered to our existing customer base. For the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, we averaged approximately 32,000 barrels and 31,000 barrels per day, respectively, of delivered volumes under supply chain management services contracts.

Cost of product sold. The cost of product sold includes the cost of the product inventory sold on a first-in, first-out basis, pipeline transportation and other freight costs, terminal throughput, additive and storage costs, and commissions. Cost of product sold is approximately \$2,837.5 million and \$1,978.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Cost of product sold is as follows (in thousands):

| | Three months ended September 30, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2005 | 2004 |
| Inventory product costs | \$ 2,799,811 | \$ 1,934,215 |
| Transportation and related charges | 24,462 | 28,054 |
| Throughput, storage and related charges | 12,378 | 15,680 |
| Other | 817 | 121 |
| Cost of product sold | \$ 2,837,468 | \$ 1,978,070 |

Net gains (losses) on risk management activities. Our risk management strategy generally is intended to maintain a balanced position of forward sale commitments against our discretionary inventories held for immediate sale or exchange, inventory volumes due to others under exchange agreements, open positions in derivative contracts, and open positions in risk management contracts, thereby reducing exposure to commodity price fluctuations. We evaluate our exposure to commodity price risk from an overall portfolio

basis and offset that position with risk management contracts, principally futures contracts on the NYMEX.

When we nominate refined petroleum products to be supplied by third parties at our terminals, we enter into futures contracts (i.e., short futures contracts) to sell a corresponding amount of product to protect against price fluctuations for the underlying commodity. When we ultimately sell the underlying inventory to a customer, we unwind the related risk management contract. In order to effectively manage commodity price risk, we must predict when we will sell the underlying product.

When we enter into a forward sale commitment to deliver product to a customer in the future at a fixed price, we enter into a futures contract (i.e., a long futures contract) to purchase a corresponding amount of product to protect against price fluctuations for the underlying commodity. When we ultimately deliver the underlying product to a customer, we unwind the related risk management contract.

During a period of rising prices, long (short) futures contracts will increase (decrease) in value resulting in a gain (loss). During a period of declining prices, our long (short) futures contracts will decrease (increase) in value resulting in a loss (gain).

Net gains (losses) on risk management activities were approximately \$(42.4) million and \$(10.4) million for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively, due principally to rising commodity prices during these periods.

Costs and expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses for the three months ended September 30, 2005, were \$11.6 million, compared to \$10.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2004. For the three months ended September 30, 2005, Radcliff incurred approximately \$0.5 million in selling, general and administrative expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses are as follows (in thousands):

| | Three months ended September 30, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2005 | 2004 |
| Wages and employee benefits | \$ 8,403 | \$ 8,306 |
| Office costs, utilities and communication charges | 1,153 | 1,073 |
| Accounting and legal expenses | 1,345 | 396 |
| Property and casualty insurance | 202 | 212 |
| Other | 451 | 446 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | \$ 11,554 | \$ 10,433 |

During the three months ended September 30, 2004, we awarded retention bonuses of approximately \$1.4 million to our employees (excluding officers of the Company) to retain our employees during the evaluation of our strategic alternatives.

Depreciation and amortization for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, was \$6.6 million and \$5.8 million, respectively. The increase of \$0.8 million in depreciation and amortization for the three months ended September 30, 2005 as compared to September 30, 2004 is due principally to the depreciation on current year additions and the amortization of the product supply agreement.

Gain (loss) on disposition of assets, net for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004 was approximately \$1.1 million and \$(3.6) million, respectively. During the three months ended September 30, 2005, we agreed upon the final insurance recovery of approximately \$1.1 million on the involuntary conversion of our historical Pensacola terminal facilities. During the three months ended September 30, 2004, we suffered an involuntary conversion of our historical Pensacola terminal facilities due to the damage caused by Hurricane Ivan.

Other income and expenses

Dividend income for the three months ended September 30, 2005, was \$0.6 million, as compared to \$0.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2004. During the three months ended September 30, 2005, we received approximately \$0.2 million in dividends from our commodity trading membership. During the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, we received approximately \$0.4 million and \$0.4 million, respectively, in dividends from Lion Oil Company.

Interest income for the three months ended September 30, 2005, was \$0.3 million, as compared to \$39,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2004. Pursuant to our cash management practices, excess cash balances are used to pay down our outstanding borrowings, if any, under our Senior Secured Working Capital Credit Facility and commodity margin loan and, then invested in short-term investments.

Interest expense for the three months ended September 30, 2005, was \$5.9 million, compared to \$6.3 million during the three months ended September 30, 2004. Interest expense is as follows (in thousands):

| | Three months ended September 30, | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------|------|-------|
| | 2005 | | 2004 | |
| TransMontaigne Partners credit facility | \$ | 464 | \$ | |
| Senior secured working capital credit facility | | 483 | | 189 |
| Senior subordinated notes | | 4,562 | | 4,562 |
| Former credit facility | | | | 1,331 |
| Letters of credit | | 378 | | 202 |
| Commodity margin loan | | 31 | | 39 |
| Interest expense | \$ | 5,918 | \$ | 6,323 |

Other financing costs, net for the three months ended September 30, 2005, were \$0.5 million, compared to \$4.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2004. During the three months ended September 30, 2004, we wrote-off the debt issuance costs of approximately \$3.4 million associated with our former credit facility. On September 13, 2004, we repaid our former credit facility with proceeds from our senior secured working capital credit facility.

Income taxes

Income tax expense was \$13.9 million and \$2.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively, which represents an effective combined federal and state income tax rate of 38% and 40%, respectively.

Preferred stock dividends

Preferred stock dividends on our Series B redeemable convertible preferred stock were \$0.3 million and \$0.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, respectively. At its issuance (June 28, 2002), the fair value of the Series B redeemable convertible preferred stock exceeded its liquidation value. The initial carrying amount of the Series B redeemable convertible preferred stock will be decreased ratably over its 5-year term until it equals its liquidation value with an equal reduction in the amount of preferred stock dividends recorded for financial reporting purposes. For the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, the amount of the dividend recognized for financial reporting purposes is composed of the amount of the dividend payable to the holders of the Series B redeemable convertible preferred stock of \$0.5 million and \$1.1 million, respectively, offset by the amortization of the premium on the carrying amount of the Series B redeemable convertible preferred stock of \$0.2 million and \$0.4 million, respectively.

LIQUIDITY, CAPITAL RESOURCES, AND COMMODITY PRICE RISK

At September 30, 2005, our current assets exceeded our current liabilities by \$315.4 million, as compared to \$319.6 million at June 30, 2005.

Our inventories discretionary volumes are presented in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as current assets and are carried at the lower of cost or market. Inventories discretionary volumes are as follows (in thousands):

| | September 30, 2005 | | June 30, 2005 | |
|---|--------------------|-------|---------------|-------|
| | Amount | Bbls | Amount | Bbls |
| Volumes held for immediate sale or exchange | \$ 170,866 | 2,006 | \$ 153,123 | 2,415 |
| Volumes held for base operations | 160,443 | 2,011 | 121,651 | 2,011 |
| Inventories discretionary volumes | \$ 331,309 | 4,017 | \$ 274,774 | 4,426 |

Our volumes held for immediate sale or exchange generally are subject to price risk management. Inventories discretionary volumes held for immediate sale or exchange are as follows (in thousands):

| | September 30, 2005 | | June 30, 2005 | |
|---|--------------------|-------|---------------|-------|
| | Amount | Bbls | Amount | Bbls |
| Gasolines | \$ 82,294 | 908 | \$ 77,524 | 1,185 |
| Distillates | 79,773 | 934 | 64,191 | 932 |
| No. 6 oil and other | 8,799 | 164 | 11,408 | 298 |
| Volumes held for immediate sale or exchange | \$ 170,866 | 2,006 | \$ 153,123 | 2,415 |

Our base operating inventory volumes generally are not subject to price risk management. Based on the current level of our operations, we have established our base operating inventory volumes, exclusive of product linefill and tank bottom volumes, at approximately 2.0 million barrels. Changes in our operation, such as the acquisition of additional terminals, increases in our contract sales volumes or entering into product supply agreements, may result in changes in the volume of our base operating inventory volumes. Inventories base operating inventory volumes are as follows (in thousands):

| | September 30, 2005 | | June 30, 2005 | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|-------|---------------|-------|
| | Amount | Bbls | Amount | Bbls |
| Gasolines | \$ 83,327 | 938 | \$ 58,723 | 938 |
| Distillates | 60,825 | 725 | 49,479 | 725 |
| No. 6 oil and other | 16,291 | 348 | 13,449 | 348 |
| Volumes held for base operations | \$ 160,443 | 2,011 | \$ 121,651 | 2,011 |

The activity in our base operating inventory volumes is summarized as follows (in thousands):

| | Amount | Barrels |
|---|------------|---------|
| As of June 30, 2004 | \$ 181,412 | 4,050 |
| Net reduction in base operating volumes | (99,471) | (2,039) |
| Change in FIFO cost basis | 43,978 | |
| Lower of cost or market write-down | (4,268) | |
| As of June 30, 2005 | 121,651 | 2,011 |
| Change in FIFO cost basis | 39,601 | |
| Lower of cost or market write-down | (809) | |
| As of September 30, 2005 | \$ 160,443 | 2,011 |

Edgar Filing: TRANSMONTAIGNE INC - Form 10-Q

Our product linefill and tank bottom volumes consist of refined products held in our proprietary terminal pipeline connections and tank bottoms. Our product linefill and tank bottom volumes are not held for sale or exchange in the ordinary course of business. Our product linefill and tank bottom volumes are presented in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as non-current assets and are carried at original cost adjusted for impairment write-downs to current market values. Product linefill and tank bottom volumes consist of the following (in thousands):

| | September 30, 2005 | | June 30, 2005 | |
|--|--------------------|------|---------------|------|
| | Amount | Bbls | Amount | Bbls |
| Gasolines | \$ 14,267 | 522 | \$ 14,267 | 522 |
| Distillates | 8,993 | 354 | 8,774 | 351 |
| No. 6 oil and other | 1,442 | 55 | 1,284 | 52 |
| Product linefill and tank bottom volumes | \$ 24,702 | 931 | \$ 24,325 | 925 |

The following table indicates the maturities of our derivative contracts, including the credit quality of our counterparties to those contracts with unrealized gains at September 30, 2005.

| | Fair value of contracts | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------|--------------|
| | Maturity less than 1 year | Maturity 1-3 years | Maturity in excess of 3 years | Total | |
| | (in thousands) | | | | |
| Unrealized gain asset | | | | | |
| Investment grade | \$ 17,334 | \$ 2 | \$ | | \$ 17,336 |
| Non-investment grade | 400 | | | | 400 |
| No external rating | 21,334 | | | | 21,334 |
| | 39,068 | 2 | | | 39,070 |
| Unrealized loss liability | (100,203) | (225) | | | (100,428) |
| Net unrealized (loss) (liability) | \$ (61,135) | \$ (223) | \$ | | \$ (61,358) |

At September 30, 2005, there were no unrealized gains or losses on NYMEX futures contracts because NYMEX futures contracts require daily settlement for changes in commodity prices on open futures contracts. At September 30, 2005, included in unrealized gain position current is an unrealized gain of approximately \$0.2 million related to certain short positions taken in the NYMEX options market.

The following table includes information about the changes in the fair value of our derivative contracts for the three months ended September 30, 2005 (in thousands):

| | |
|--|--------------|
| Fair value at June 30, 2005 | \$ (39,829) |
| Amounts realized or otherwise settled during the period | 28,471 |
| Fair value of contracts originated during the period, which are included in deferred revenue | 322 |
| Change in fair value attributable to change in commodity prices | (50,322) |
| Fair value at September 30, 2005 | \$ (61,358) |

Excluding acquisitions, capital expenditures for the three months ended September 30, 2005, were \$2.2 million for terminal and pipeline facilities and assets to support these facilities. Future capital expenditures will depend on numerous factors, including the availability, economics and cost of appropriate acquisitions which we identify and evaluate; the economics, cost and required regulatory approvals with respect to the expansion and enhancement of existing systems and facilities; customer

demand for the services we provide; local, state and federal governmental regulations; environmental compliance requirements; and the availability of debt financing and equity capital on acceptable terms.

Our senior secured working capital credit facility as in effect at September 30, 2005 provides for a maximum borrowing line of credit that was the lesser of (i) \$400 million and (ii) the borrowing base (as defined; \$552 million at September 30, 2005). The borrowing base is a function of our cash, accounts receivable, inventory, exchanges, margin deposits, and certain reserve adjustments as defined in the facility. The maximum borrowing amount is reduced by the amount of letters of credit that are outstanding. At September 30, 2005, we had borrowings of \$60.5 million outstanding and letters of credit of \$104 million outstanding under the senior secured working capital credit facility. We also had the ability to borrow an additional \$235 million under the facility based on the borrowing base computation at September 30, 2005. All outstanding borrowings under the senior secured working capital credit facility are due and payable on September 13, 2009.

The senior secured working capital credit facility is our primary means of short-term liquidity to finance working capital requirements. The senior secured working capital credit facility contains affirmative and negative covenants (including limitations on indebtedness, limitations on dividends and other distributions, limitations on certain inter-company transactions, limitations on mergers, consolidation and the disposition of assets, limitations on investments and acquisitions and limitations on liens) that are customary for a facility of this nature. The senior secured working capital credit facility also contains customary representations and warranties (including those relating to corporate organization and authorization, compliance with laws, absence of defaults, material agreements and litigation) and customary events of default (including those relating to monetary defaults, covenant defaults, cross defaults and bankruptcy events). The only financial covenant contained in the senior secured working capital credit facility is a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio test that is tested on a quarterly basis whenever the average availability falls below \$50 million for the last month of any quarter (average availability was approximately \$288 million for the month ended September 30, 2005). In that event, we must satisfy a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio requirement of 110%. The fixed charge coverage ratio is based on a defined financial performance measure within the senior secured working capital credit facility known as fixed charges EBITDA.

Edgar Filing: TRANSMONTAIGNE INC - Form 10-Q

The computation of the fixed charge coverage ratio for the twelve months ended September 30, 2005, is as follows:

| | Three Months Ended | | | Twelve Months Ended | |
|---|----------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| | December 31, 2004 | March 31, 2005 | June 30, 2005 | September 30, 2005 | September 30, 2005 |
| Financial performance debt covenant test: | | | | | |
| Consolidated adjusted EBITDA | \$ 42,705 | \$ 62,846 | \$ (980) | \$ 13,087 | \$ 117,658 |
| Capital expenditures | (1,896) | (1,616) | (3,361) | (2,193) | (9,066) |
| TransMontaigne Partners capital expenditures | | | 222 | 568 | 790 |
| Cash (paid for) refund of income taxes | 5 | (2) | (29,704) | (13,907) | (43,608) |
| Preferred stock dividends paid in cash | (1,110) | (1,110) | (1,281) | (301) | (3,802) |
| Fixed charges EBITDA | \$ 39,704 | \$ 60,118 | \$ (35,104) | \$ (2,746) | \$ 61,972 |
| Fixed charges for the period | \$ 6,556 | \$ 6,226 | \$ 5,009 | \$ 5,126 | \$ 22,917 |
| Fixed charge coverage ratio based on rolling four consecutive quarters | | | | | 270 % |
| Reconciliation of consolidated adjusted EBITDA to cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities: | | | | | |
| Consolidated adjusted EBITDA | \$ 42,705 | \$ 62,846 | \$ (980) | \$ 13,087 | \$ 117,658 |
| TransMontaigne Partners operating income | | | 1,364 | 3,635 | 4,999 |
| TransMontaigne Partners depreciation and amortization | | | 674 | 1,567 | 2,241 |
| Cash distributions from TransMontaigne Partners | | | | (453) | (453) |
| Gain on disposition of assets, net | | (2,993) | (735) | | (3,728) |
| Gains recognized on beginning inventories discretionary volumes held for immediate sale or exchange | 4,405 | 6,093 | 10,311 | 2,125 | 4,405 |
| Gains deferred on ending inventories discretionary volumes held for immediate sale or exchange | (6,093) | (10,311) | (2,125) | (10,576) | (10,576) |
| Increase in FIFO cost basis of base operating inventory volumes | (18,715) | 32,769 | 8,339 | 39,601 | 61,994 |
| Lower of cost or market write-downs on base operating inventory volumes | (2,496) | | (1,772) | (809) | (5,077) |
| Interest expense, net | (6,556) | (6,226) | (5,011) | (5,129) | (22,922) |
| TransMontaigne Partners interest expense, net | | | (167) | (463) | (630) |
| Cash (paid for) refund of income taxes | 5 | (2) | (29,704) | (13,907) | (43,608) |
| Amortization of deferred revenue | (1,641) | (2,376) | (1,841) | (1,572) | (7,430) |
| Amortization of deferred stock-based compensation | 646 | 697 | 652 | 894 | 2,889 |
| Net change in unrealized (gains) losses on long-term derivative contracts | (2,031) | (88) | 296 | (12) | (1,835) |
| Change in operating assets and liabilities | (123,311) | 174,692 | (67,173) | (64,523) | (80,315) |
| Cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities | \$ (113,082) | \$ 255,101 | \$ (87,872) | \$ (36,535) | \$ 17,612 |

If we were to fail the fixed charge ratio covenant, or any other covenant contained in the senior secured working capital credit facility, we would seek a waiver from our lenders under such facility. If we were unable to obtain a waiver from our lenders, we would be in breach of the senior secured working capital credit facility and the lenders would be entitled to declare all outstanding borrowings immediately due and payable. In addition, a default under the senior secured working capital credit facility would trigger a cross-default provision in the indenture covering our senior subordinated notes.

On May 30, 2003, we consummated the sale and issuance of \$200 million aggregate principal amount of 9¹/₈% senior subordinated notes due 2010 (Notes) and received proceeds of \$194.5 million (net of underwriters discounts of \$5.5 million). We used the net proceeds from the offering of the Notes to repay a \$200 million term loan. The Notes mature on June 1, 2010 and interest is payable semi-annually in arrears on each June 1 and December 1 commencing on December 1, 2003. The Notes are unsecured and subordinated to all of our existing and future senior debt. Upon certain change of control events, each holder of the Notes may require us to repurchase all or a portion of its notes at a purchase price equal to 101% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued interest.

We believe that our current working capital position; future cash expected to be provided by operating activities; available borrowing capacity under our senior secured working capital credit facility and commodity margin loan; and our relationship with institutional lenders and equity investors should enable us to meet our planned capital and liquidity requirements through at least the maturity date of our senior secured working capital credit facility (September 2009).

NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In March 2005, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 47 (FIN 47), Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations an interpretation of SFAS 143, which requires companies to recognize a liability for the fair value of a legal obligation to perform asset-retirement activities that are conditional on a future event, if the amount can be reasonably estimated. For TransMontaigne, FIN 47 is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2005. We are evaluating the requirements under FIN 47 and do not anticipate the adoption will have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

ITEM 3. QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The information contained in Item 3 updates, and should be read in conjunction with, information set forth in Part II, Item 7A in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2005, in addition to the interim unaudited consolidated financial statements, accompanying notes and management s discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations presented in Items 1 and 2 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. There are no material changes in the market risks faced by us from those reported in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2005.

Commodity risk

The value of petroleum products in any local delivery market is the sum of the commodity price as reflected on the NYMEX and the basis differential for that local delivery market. The basis differential for any local delivery market is the spread between the cash price in the physical market and the quoted price in the futures markets for the prompt month. For those physical and derivative positions as to which we choose to manage the associated commodity price risk, the primary objective of our risk management strategy is to minimize the financial impact on us from changes in petroleum commodity prices affected by world-wide crude oil and petroleum products supply and demand disruptions (e.g., the Iraq war, OPEC production quotas, disruptions due to hurricanes and other weather-related occurrences, foreign country work stoppages, and major refinery outages). We utilize NYMEX futures contracts to manage the financial impact on us from changes in commodity prices due to world-wide events. NYMEX futures contracts are obligations to purchase or sell a specific volume of inventory at a fixed price at a future date. We believe that the utilization of NYMEX futures contracts to manage commodity price risk minimizes the financial impact on us from changes in world-wide commodity prices. We generally do not manage the financial impact on us from changes in basis differentials affected by local market supply and demand disruptions (e.g., local pipeline delivery disruptions, local refinery outages, periodic change in local government specifications for gasolines and distillates, local seasonality in product demand, and disruptions due to local weather related occurrences). The impacts on us from changes in basis differentials are as follows:

| Basis Differential | Change in Basis Differential | Net Position | Financial Impact |
|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| Futures price in excess of physical market price (negative basis differential) | Increasing | Long | Loss |
| Futures price in excess of physical market price | Increasing | Short | Gain |
| Futures price in excess of physical market price | Decreasing | Long | Gain |
| Futures price in excess of physical market price | Decreasing | Short | Loss |
| Physical market price in excess of futures price (positive basis differential) | Increasing | Long | Gain |
| Physical market price in excess of futures price | Increasing | Short | Loss |
| Physical market price in excess of futures price | Decreasing | Long | Loss |
| Physical market price in excess of futures price | Decreasing | Short | Gain |

Edgar Filing: TRANSMONTAIGNE INC - Form 10-Q

The physical and derivative positions that expose us to commodity price risk and an indication of whether those positions were actively managed under the our risk management strategies during the three months ended September 30, 2005 are as follows:

| Position | Derivative Contract | Subject to Commodity Price Risk | Commodity Price Risk Actively Managed | Long (Short) Position at September 30, 2005 (in 000 s barrels) |
|--|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Fixed-price forward purchase commitments prior to receipt of the product at our terminal | Yes | Yes | Yes | 3,000 |
| Discretionary inventory held for immediate sale or exchange | No | Yes | Yes | 2,006 |
| Discretionary volumes held for base operations | No | Yes | No | 2,011 |
| Product linefill and tank bottom volumes | No | Yes | No | 925 |
| Fixed-price forward sale commitments | Yes | Yes | Yes | (1,062) |
| Inventory due to others under exchange agreements | Yes | Yes | Yes | (676) |
| Risk management contracts NYMEX futures contracts | Yes | Yes | | (1,142) |
| Risk management contracts NYMEX options | Yes | Yes | | (1,260) |

Our risk management strategies and practices currently do not qualify for hedge accounting for financial reporting purposes because we do not designate and associate the risk management contracts as hedges of specific physical and derivative positions and we do not document and test the effectiveness of the relationship between the risk management contracts and the physical and derivative positions.

We evaluate our exposure to commodity price risk from an overall portfolio basis. Our risk management strategies are intended to maintain a balanced position of discretionary inventories held for immediate sale or exchange, fixed-price forward purchase commitments, inventory due to others under exchange agreements, fixed-price forward sale commitments and risk management contracts, thereby reducing exposure to commodity price fluctuations. To the extent that we do not manage the commodity price risk relating to a position that is subject to commodity price risk and commodity prices move adversely, we could suffer losses on that position. If, however, prices move favorably, we would realize a gain that we would not realize if substantially all of our positions were managed.

Our risk management policy permits management the discretion to manage the commodity price risk relating to all discretionary volumes, including those volumes designated as base operating inventory volumes and the undelivered in-transit volumes supplied to our terminals under the product supply agreement with MSCG. At September 30, 2005, we were managing the commodity price risk associated with approximately 2.3 million barrels of undelivered in-transit volumes supplied to our terminals under the product supply agreement with MSCG. At September 30, 2005, we did not manage the commodity price risk on approximately 3.8 million barrels composed of approximately 0.2 million barrels of discretionary inventories held for immediate sale or exchange, approximately 2.0 million barrels of discretionary inventories held for base operations, approximately 0.7 million barrels of undelivered in-transit volumes supplied to our terminals under the product supply agreement with MSCG and approximately 0.9 million barrels of product linefill and tank bottoms.

Except for our discretionary volumes held for base operations, when we take title and accept risk of loss on refined petroleum products supplied by third parties at our terminals, we enter into futures contracts (i.e., short futures contracts) to sell a corresponding amount of product to protect against price fluctuations for the underlying commodity. In order to effectively manage commodity price risk, we must predict when we will sell the underlying product. When we ultimately sell the underlying inventory to a customer, we terminate the related futures contract. If there is correlation in price changes between the

forward price curve in the futures market and the value of physical products in the cash market, the net changes in our variation margin position should be offset by the net operating margins we receive when we sell the underlying discretionary inventory. Therefore, in order to effectively manage commodity price risk, we must predict when we will sell the underlying product. If we fail to accurately predict the timing of those future sales, and the product remains in our inventory longer than the expiration date of the futures contract, we must settle the old futures contract and enter into a new futures contract to sell the product to manage the commodity price risk against the same inventory. Furthermore, we may be unable to precisely match the underlying product in our futures contracts with the exact type of product in our physical inventory. To the extent that price fluctuations of the product covered by the NYMEX futures contract do not match the price fluctuations of the product in our physical inventory, our exposure may not be mitigated.

When we enter into a forward sale commitment to deliver product to a customer in the future at a fixed price, we enter into a futures contract (i.e., a long futures contract) to purchase a corresponding amount of product to protect against price fluctuations for the underlying commodity. When we ultimately deliver the underlying product to a customer, we unwind the related risk management contract. We may be unable to precisely match the underlying product in our futures contracts with the exact type of product in our fixed-price forward sale commitment. To the extent that price fluctuations of the product covered by the NYMEX futures contract do not match the price fluctuations of the product in our fixed-price forward sale commitment, our exposure may not be mitigated.

When our discretionary inventory volumes held for immediate sale or exchange exceeds our fixed-price forward sale commitments, we will maintain a net short futures position. When our fixed-price forward sale commitments exceed our discretionary inventory volumes held for immediate sale or exchange, we will maintain a net long futures position. During a period of rising prices, long (short) futures contracts will increase (decrease) in value resulting in a gain (loss). During a period of declining prices, our long (short) futures contracts will decrease (increase) in value resulting in a loss (gain). Therefore, if we are in a net short futures position during periods of rising commodity prices, we expect to recognize significant net margin before other direct costs and expenses from the sale of the physical product offset by significant net losses on risk management activities resulting in overall net operating margins that are in line with expectations. Conversely, if we are in a net short futures position during periods of declining commodity prices, we expect to recognize minimal, if any, net margin before other direct costs and expenses from the sale of the physical product offset by significant net gains on risk management activities resulting in overall net operating margins that are, again, in line with expectations.

For the three months ended September 30, 2005 and 2004, we recognized net gains (losses) on risk management activities of approximately \$(42.4) million and \$(10.4) million, respectively, due principally to rising commodity prices and a net short (long) futures position.

The NYMEX requires an initial margin deposit to open a futures contract. At September 30, 2005 and June 30, 2005, we had approximately \$17.7 million and \$10.4 million, respectively, on deposit to cover our initial margin requirements on open NYMEX futures contracts. NYMEX futures contracts also require daily settlements for changes in commodity prices. Unfavorable commodity price changes subject us to variation margin calls that require us to make cash payments to the NYMEX in amounts that may be material. At September 30, 2005, a \$0.05 per gallon unfavorable change in commodity prices would have required us to make a cash payment of approximately \$5.0 million to cover the variation margin. Conversely, a \$0.05 per gallon favorable change in commodity prices would have permitted us to receive approximately \$5.0 million. We use our available cash and credit lines to fund these margin calls, but such funding requirements could exceed our ability to access capital.

At September 30, 2005, a \$0.05 per gallon unfavorable change in commodity prices relative to our open positions in derivative contracts and risk management contracts would have resulted in the

recognition of a loss (realized and unrealized) of approximately \$8.6 million. However, the fair value of our discretionary inventory held for immediate sale or exchange would have increased by approximately \$4.1 million. The gain from the increase in the fair value of our discretionary inventory volumes held for immediate sale or exchange will not be recognized for financial reporting purposes until those volumes have been sold to customers, which may be in an accounting period subsequent to the accounting period in which the losses on derivative contracts and risk management contracts are recognized.

Interest rate risk

At September 30, 2005, we had outstanding borrowings of \$60.5 million under our Senior Secured Working Capital Credit Facility and \$10.0 million under our commodity margin loan. We are exposed to interest rate risk because our Senior Secured Working Capital Credit Facility and commodity margin loan are variable-rate-based credit facilities. Based on the outstanding balance of our variable-interest-rate debt at September 30, 2005, and assuming market interest rates increase or decrease by 100 basis points, the potential annual increase or decrease in interest expense is approximately \$0.7 million.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit to the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by the Commission's rules and forms, and that information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive and principal financial officers (whom we refer to as our Certifying Officers), as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Our management evaluated, with the participation of our Certifying Officers, the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of September 30, 2005, pursuant to Rule 13a-15(b) under the Exchange Act. Based upon that evaluation, our Certifying Officers concluded that, as of September 30, 2005, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2005 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Part II. Other Information

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibits:

- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

59

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Dated November 9, 2005

TRANSMONTAIGNE INC.

(Registrant)

By:

/s/ DONALD H. ANDERSON

Donald H. Anderson

President and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ RANDALL J. LARSON

Randall J. Larson

*Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer,
and Chief Accounting Officer*

EXHIBIT INDEX

| Exhibit Number | | | Description of Exhibits |
|----------------|--|--|--|
| 31.1 | | | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2 | | | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.1 | | | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.2 | | | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |