

MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC  
Form 20-F  
April 21, 2015

Use these links to rapidly review the document

[Table of Contents](#)

[PART III](#)

[TABLE OF CONTENTS](#)

[Table of Contents](#)

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

---

**Form 20-F**

o **Registration Statement pursuant to Section 12(b) or (g) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**

or

ý **Annual report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014**

or

o **Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**

or

o **Shell company report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**  
**Date of event requiring this shell company report**  
**Commission file number 333-12032**

**MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC**

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

**Not Applicable**

(Translation of Registrant's name into English)

**RUSSIAN FEDERATION**

(Jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

4 Marksistskaya Street, Moscow 109147 Russian Federation  
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

Joshua B. Tulgan  
Director, Corporate Finance and Investor Relations  
Mobile TeleSystems OJSC

5 Vorontsovskaya Street, bldg. 2, 109147 Moscow Russian Federation  
Phone: +7 495 223 20 25, Fax: +7 495 911 65 67  
E-mail: ir@mts.ru

(Name, Telephone, E-mail and/or Facsimile number and Address of Company Contact Person)

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class	Name of Each Exchange on which Registered
AMERICAN DEPOSITARY SHARES, EACH REPRESENTING 2 SHARES OF COMMON STOCK COMMON STOCK, PAR VALUE 0.10 RUSSIAN RUBLES PER SHARE	NEW YORK STOCK EXCHANGE  NEW YORK STOCK EXCHANGE <sup>(1)</sup>

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

NONE  
(Title of Class)

Securities for which there is a reporting obligation pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Act:

NONE  
(Title of Class)

Indicate the number of outstanding shares of each of the issuer's classes of capital or common stock as of the close of the period covered by the annual report 1,211,515,626 ordinary shares, par value 0.10 Russian rubles each and 388,698,252 American Depositary Shares as of December 31, 2014.

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.  Yes  No

If this report is an annual or transition report, indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.  Yes  No

Note: Checking the box above will not relieve any registrant required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 from their obligations under those Sections.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.  Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes:  No:

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check One):

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated Filer  Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark which basis of accounting the registrant has used to prepare the financial statements included in this filing:

U.S. GAAP  International Financial Reporting Standards as issued  Other

by  
the International Accounting Standards Board

If "Other" has been checked in response to the previous question indicate by check mark which financial statement item the registrant has elected to follow.  Item 17  Item 18

If this is an annual report, indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).  Yes  No

- (1) Listed, not for trading or quotation purposes, but only in connection with the registration of ADSs pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission.
-

Table of Contents**Table of Contents**

<u>Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Item 1. Identity of Directors, Senior Management and Advisors</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Item 2. Offer Statistics and Expected Timetable</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Item 3. Key Information</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>A. Selected Financial Data</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>B. Capitalization and Indebtedness</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>C. Reasons for the Offer and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>D. Risk Factors</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>Item 4. Information on Our Company</u>	<u>74</u>
<u>A. History and Development</u>	<u>74</u>
<u>B. Business Overview</u>	<u>78</u>
<u>C. Organizational Structure</u>	<u>148</u>
<u>D. Property, Plant and Equipment</u>	<u>148</u>
<u>Item 4A. Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	<u>149</u>
<u>Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects</u>	<u>149</u>
<u>A. Operating Results</u>	<u>149</u>
<u>B. Liquidity and Capital Resources</u>	<u>175</u>
<u>C. Research and Development, Patents and Licenses, etc.</u>	<u>185</u>
<u>D. Trend Information</u>	<u>185</u>
<u>E. Off-balance Sheet Arrangements</u>	<u>187</u>
<u>F. Tabular Disclosure of Contractual Obligations</u>	<u>187</u>
<u>Item 6. Directors, Senior Management and Employees</u>	<u>188</u>
<u>A. Directors and Senior Management</u>	<u>188</u>
<u>B. Compensation of Directors and Senior Management</u>	<u>192</u>
<u>C. Board Practices</u>	<u>193</u>
<u>D. Employees</u>	<u>195</u>
<u>E. Share Ownership</u>	<u>195</u>
<u>Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions</u>	<u>198</u>
<u>A. Major Shareholders</u>	<u>198</u>
<u>B. Related Party Transactions</u>	<u>198</u>
<u>C. Interests of Experts and Counsel</u>	<u>201</u>
<u>Item 8. Financial Information</u>	<u>201</u>
<u>A. Consolidated Statements and Other Financial Information</u>	<u>201</u>
<u>B. Significant Changes</u>	<u>205</u>
<u>Item 9. Offer and Listing Details</u>	<u>206</u>
<u>A.4. Market Price Information</u>	<u>206</u>
<u>C. Markets</u>	<u>207</u>
<u>Item 10. Additional Information</u>	<u>207</u>
<u>A. Share Capital</u>	<u>207</u>
<u>B. Charter and Certain Requirements of Russian Legislation</u>	<u>207</u>
<u>C. Material Contracts</u>	<u>223</u>
<u>D. Exchange Controls</u>	<u>223</u>
<u>E. Taxation</u>	<u>224</u>
<u>F. Dividends and Paying Agents</u>	<u>233</u>
<u>G. Statement by Experts</u>	<u>233</u>
<u>H. Documents on Display</u>	<u>233</u>
<u>L. Subsidiary Information</u>	<u>233</u>
<u>Item 11. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	<u>233</u>

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

### Table of Contents

<u>Item 12.</u>	<u>Description of Securities Other Than Equity Securities</u>	<u>239</u>
<u>D.</u>	<u>American Depositary Shares</u>	<u>239</u>
<u>Item 13.</u>	<u>Defaults, Dividend Arrearages and Delinquencies</u>	<u>242</u>
<u>Item 14.</u>	<u>Material Modifications to the Rights of Security Holders and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>242</u>
<u>Item 15.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>242</u>
<u>Item 16A.</u>	<u>Audit Committee Financial Expert</u>	<u>244</u>
<u>Item 16B.</u>	<u>Code of Ethics</u>	<u>244</u>
<u>Item 16C.</u>	<u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	<u>244</u>
<u>Item 16D.</u>	<u>Exemption from the Listing Standards for Audit Committees</u>	<u>245</u>
<u>Item 16E.</u>	<u>Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers</u>	<u>246</u>
<u>Item 16F.</u>	<u>Change in Registrant's Certifying Accountant</u>	<u>246</u>
<u>Item 16G.</u>	<u>Corporate Governance</u>	<u>246</u>
<u>Item 17.</u>	<u>Financial Statements</u>	<u>248</u>
<u>Item 18.</u>	<u>Financial Statements</u>	<u>248</u>
<u>Item 19.</u>	<u>Exhibits</u>	<u>249</u>

Unless otherwise indicated or unless the context requires otherwise, references in this document to (i) "MTS," "the Group," "we," "us," or "our" refer to Mobile TeleSystems OJSC and its subsidiaries; (ii) "MTS Ukraine" is to MTS Ukraine Private Joint Stock Company (formerly CJSC Ukrainian Mobile Communications), our Ukrainian subsidiary; (iii) "Uzdunrobita" is to our former subsidiary in Uzbekistan, which was deconsolidated in 2013; (iv) "MTS-Turkmenistan" and "BCTI" are to Barash Communication Technologies, Inc., our Turkmenistan subsidiary; (v) "Comstar" or "Comstar-UTS" are to COMSTAR United TeleSystems, our fixed line subsidiary, which was merged into Mobile TeleSystems OJSC in 2011; (vi) "MGTS" is to Moscow City Telephone Network, our Moscow public switched telephone network ("PSTN") fixed line subsidiary; and (vii) "K-Telecom" or "VivaCell-MTS" are to K-Telecom CJSC, our Armenian subsidiary; and (viii) "UMS" is to Universal Mobile Systems LLC, our newly established subsidiary in Uzbekistan; and (ix) "Sistema" is to Sistema Joint-Stock Financial Corporation, our majority shareholder. We refer to Mobile TeleSystems LLC, our 49% owned equity investee in Belarus, as "MTS Belarus." We refer to MTS Bank PJSC, our 27% owned equity investee as "MTS Bank." As MTS Belarus and MTS Bank are equity investees, our revenues and subscriber data do not include MTS Belarus and MTS Bank.

In 2013, we changed our reporting currency to the Russian Ruble. Previously, we have presented our consolidated financial statements in the U.S. Dollar. The change in the reporting currency is to allow a greater transparency of our financial and operating performance as it more closely reflects the profile of our revenue and operating income, a major portion of which are generated in Russian rubles. In accordance with authoritative guidance, comparative information was restated in Russian rubles.

In this document, references to "U.S. dollars," "dollars," "\$" or "USD" are to the lawful currency of the United States, "Russian rubles," "rubles" or "RUB" are to the lawful currency of the Russian Federation, "hryvnias" are to the lawful currency of Ukraine, "soms" are to the lawful currency of Uzbekistan, "manats" are to the lawful currency of Turkmenistan, "dram" are to the lawful currency of Armenia and "€," "euro" or "EUR" are to the lawful currency of the member states of the European Union that adopted a single currency in accordance with the Treaty of Rome establishing the European Economic Community, as amended by the treaty on the European Union, signed at Maastricht on February 7, 1992. References in this document to "shares" or "ordinary shares" refers to our ordinary shares, "ADSs" refers to our American depositary shares, each of which represents two ordinary shares, and "ADRs" refers to the American depositary receipts that evidence our ADSs. Prior to May 3, 2010, each ADS represented five ordinary shares of our common stock. "CIS" refers to the Commonwealth of Independent States. "CBR" refers to the Central Bank of Russia.

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

### Table of Contents

The following tables show, for the periods indicated, certain information regarding the exchange rate between the ruble and the U.S. dollar, based on data published by the CBR. These rates may differ from the actual rates used in preparation of our financial statements and other financial information provided herein.

Years ended December 31,	Rubles per U.S. dollar			Period End
	High	Low	Average <sup>(1)</sup>	
2010	31.78	28.93	30.37	30.48
2011	32.68	27.26	29.38	32.20
2012	34.04	28.95	30.97	30.37
2013	33.47	29.93	31.98	32.73
2014	67.79	32.66	39.34	56.26

(1) The average of the exchange rates on the last business day of each full month during the relevant period.

	Rubles per U.S. dollar	
	High	Low
July 2014	35.73	33.84
August 2014	36.93	35.44
September 2014	39.39	36.80
October 2014	43.39	39.38
November 2014	49.32	41.96
December 2014	67.79	49.32
January 2015	68.93	56.24
February 2015	69.66	60.71
March 2015	62.68	56.43

Source: CBR.

The exchange rate between the ruble and the U.S. dollar quoted by the CBR for April 18, 2015 was 50.52 rubles per U.S. dollar.

Table of Contents

**CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS**

Matters discussed in this document may constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the U.S. Securities Act of 1933 (the "U.S. Securities Act"), and Section 21E of the U.S. Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "U.S. Exchange Act"). The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 provides safe harbor protections for forward-looking statements in order to encourage companies to provide prospective information about their businesses. Forward-looking statements include statements concerning plans, objectives, goals, strategies, future events or performance, and underlying assumptions and other statements, which are other than statements of historical facts.

MTS desires to take advantage of the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and is including this cautionary statement in connection with this safe harbor legislation and other relevant law. This document and any other written or oral statements made by us or on our behalf may include forward-looking statements. We have based these forward-looking statements largely on our current expectations and projections about future events and financial trends that we believe may affect our financial condition, results of operations, business strategy and financial needs. The words "believe," "expect," "anticipate," "intend," "estimate," "forecast," "project," "predict," "plan," "may," "should," "could" and similar expressions identify forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements appear in a number of places including, without limitation, "Item 3. Key Information D. Risk Factors," "Item 4. Information on Our Company B. Business Overview," "Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects," and "Item 11. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk" and include statements regarding:

our strategies, future plans, economic outlook, industry trends and potential for future growth;

our liquidity, capital resources and capital expenditures;

our payment of dividends;

our capital structure, including our indebtedness amounts;

our ability to generate sufficient cash flow to meet our debt service obligations;

our ability to achieve the anticipated levels of profitability;

our ability to timely develop and introduce new products and services;

our ability to obtain and maintain interconnect agreements;

our ability to secure the necessary spectrum and network infrastructure equipment;

our ability to meet license requirements and to obtain and maintain licenses and regulatory approvals;

our ability to maintain adequate customer care and to manage our churn rate; and

our ability to manage our rapid growth and train additional personnel.

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

The forward-looking statements in this document are based upon various assumptions, many of which are based, in turn, upon further assumptions, including without limitation, management's examination of historical operating trends, data contained in our records and other data available from third parties. Although we believe that these assumptions were reasonable when made, because these assumptions are inherently subject to significant uncertainties and contingencies which are difficult or impossible to predict and are beyond our control, we cannot assure you that we will achieve or accomplish these expectations, beliefs or projections. In addition to these important factors and matters



Table of Contents

discussed elsewhere herein, important factors that, in our view, could cause actual results to differ materially from those discussed in the forward-looking statements include:

growth in demand for our services;

changes in consumer preferences or demand for our products;

availability of external financing on commercially acceptable terms;

the developments of our markets;

the highly competitive nature of our industry and changes to our business resulting from increased competition;

the impact of regulatory initiatives;

the rapid technological changes in our industry;

cost and synergy of our recent acquisitions;

the acceptance of new products and services by customers;

the condition of the economies of Russia, Ukraine and certain other countries of the CIS;

risks relating to legislation, regulation and taxation in Russia, Ukraine and certain other CIS countries, including laws, regulations, decrees and decisions governing each of the telecommunications industries in the countries where we operate, currency and exchange controls relating to entities in Russia, Ukraine and other countries where we operate and taxation legislation relating to entities in Russia, Ukraine and other countries where we operate, and their official interpretation by governmental and other regulatory bodies and by the courts of Russia, Ukraine and the CIS;

political stability in Russia, Ukraine and certain other CIS countries; and

the impact of general business and global economic conditions and other important factors described herein and from time to time in the reports filed by us with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC").

All future written and verbal forward-looking statements attributable to us or any person acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements contained or referred to in this section. New risks and uncertainties arise from time to time, and it is impossible for us to predict these events or how they may affect us. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. Except to the extent required by law, neither we, nor any of our respective agents, employees or advisors intends or has any duty or obligation to supplement, amend, update or revise any of the forward-looking statements contained or incorporated by reference in this document.

Table of Contents**PART I****Item 1. Identity of Directors, Senior Management and Advisors**

Not applicable.

**Item 2. Offer Statistics and Expected Timetable**

Not applicable.

**Item 3. Key Information****A. Selected Financial Data**

The selected consolidated financial data for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2013 and 2014, and as of December 31, 2013 and 2014, are derived from the audited consolidated financial statements, prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP included elsewhere in this document. The numbers presented in the following table for the year ended December 31, 2010 and as of December 31, 2010 and 2011 were derived from our audited consolidated financial statements presented in U.S. dollars and restated in Russian rubles using average monthly exchange rates and period end exchange rates between the U.S. dollars and the Russian rubles based on data published by the CBR, except for data derived from the statements of cash flows. Cash flows data for the year ended 2010 were restated in Russian rubles using average annual rates between the U.S. dollar and the Russian ruble based on data published by the CBR. Our results of operations for all periods presented in the following table exclude financial data of Uzdurobita, our former subsidiary in Uzbekistan. The results of operations of Uzdurobita are reported as discontinued operations in our audited consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2012 and 2013, and as of December 31, 2012 and 2013.

The selected financial data should be read in conjunction with our audited consolidated financial statements, included elsewhere in this document, "Item 3. Key Information D. Risk Factors" and "Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects." Certain industry and operating data are also provided below.

	2010	Years Ended December 31,			2014
		2011	2012	2013	
		(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, except share and per share amounts, industry and operating data and ratios)			
<b>Consolidated statements of operations data:</b>					
Services revenue and connection fees	308,007	322,546	349,338	371,950	381,822
Sales of handsets and accessories	21,542	26,025	28,902	26,493	28,936
Total net operating revenues	329,549	348,571	378,240	398,443	410,758
<b>Operating expenses:</b>					
Cost of services, excluding depreciation and amortization shown separately below	66,067	74,753	83,051	83,777	89,589
Cost of handsets and accessories	22,001	26,286	25,042	22,636	25,093
Sales and marketing expenses	25,143	24,800	21,667	22,861	21,908
Depreciation and amortization expense	57,197	63,932	67,910	73,253	74,710
Sundry operating expenses <sup>(1)</sup>	75,972	78,505	86,776	94,158	97,109
Net operating income	83,169	80,295	93,794	101,758	102,349
Currency exchange and transaction loss/(gain)	(877)	4,403	(3,952)	5,473	18,024
<b>Other (income) expenses:</b>					
Interest income	(2,554)	(1,850)	(2,588)	(2,793)	(4,519)
Interest expense, net of capitalized interest	23,578	19,333	17,673	15,498	16,453
Equity in net (income)/loss of associates	(2,147)	(1,430)	(869)	(2,472)	2,880
Other expenses/(income), net	1,983	180	688	(10,636)	771



Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

Table of Contents

	Years Ended December 31,				
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
	(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, except share and per share amounts, industry and operating data and ratios)				
Total other expenses/(income), net	20,860	16,233	14,904	(403)	15,585
Income from continuing operations before provision for income taxes	58,934	59,659	82,842	96,688	68,740
Provision for income taxes	15,660	15,526	19,384	19,633	16,347
Net income from continuing operations	43,243	44,133	63,458	77,055	52,393
Net income/(loss) from discontinued operations	3,580	1,806	(32,846)	3,733	
Net income attributable to the noncontrolling interest	5,080	3,624	970	949	571
Net income attributable to the Group	41,773	42,315	29,642	79,839	51,822
Dividends declared <sup>(2)</sup>	30,697	30,046	30,397	40,956	51,247
Earnings per share, basic and diluted, RUB	21.79	21.5	14.9	40.1	26.1
Earnings per share from continuing operations, basic and diluted, RUB	19.92	20.6	31.4	38.3	26.1
Earnings/(loss) per share from discontinued operations, basic and diluted, RUB	1.87	0.9	(16.5)	1.9	
Annual Dividends declared per share, rubles	15.40	14.54	14.71	14.6	18.6
Semi annual Dividends declared per share, rubles				5.2	6.2
Number of common shares outstanding	1,916,869,262	1,988,916,837	1,988,919,177	1,988,831,184	1,988,912,130
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding basic	1,916,869,262	1,970,953,129	1,988,918,528	1,988,849,281	1,988,757,022
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding diluted	1,916,869,262	1,970,953,129	1,988,918,528	1,988,849,281	1,988,757,022
<b>Consolidated statement of cash flows data:</b>					
Cash provided by operating activities	109,851	113,562	132,123	159,377	159,518
Cash used in investing activities	(66,254)	(77,210)	(93,367)	(96,671)	(105,588)
(of which capital expenditures) <sup>(3)</sup>	(80,391)	(72,802)	(87,783)	(81,575)	(92,599)
Cash used in financing activities	(92,214)	(5,630)	(75,346)	(55,145)	(33,171)
<b>Consolidated statement of financial position (end of period):</b>					
Cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments	38,440	62,366	26,048	45,245	71,259
Property, plant and equipment, net	242,957	265,376	271,781	270,660	299,479
Total assets	441,246	493,474	454,978	485,524	608,927
Total debt (long-term and short-term) <sup>(4)</sup>	218,233	280,596	232,105	219,148	292,391
Total shareholders' equity	126,686	114,960	113,991	156,053	175,925
Common stock less treasury stock	(25,884)	(24,255)	(24,255)	(24,275)	(24,257)
<b>Financial ratios (end of period):</b>					
Total debt/total capitalization <sup>(5)</sup>	63.3%	70.9%	67.1%	58.4%	62.4%
<b>Mobile industry and operating data:<sup>(6)</sup></b>					
Mobile penetration in Russia (end of period)	151%	157%	161%	166%	168%
Mobile penetration in Ukraine (end of period)	118%	118%	126%	124%	132%
Mobile subscribers in Russia (end of period, thousands) <sup>(7)</sup>	71,442	69,954	71,227	69,351	74,562
Mobile subscribers in Ukraine (end of period, thousands) <sup>(7)</sup>	18,240	19,223	20,709	21,487	20,221

Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

Overall market share in Russia (end of period)	33%	31%	31%	29%	31%
--	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

---

4

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

### Table of Contents

	Years Ended December 31,				
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
	(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, except share and per share amounts, industry and operating data and ratios)				
Overall market share in Ukraine (end of period)	34%	36%	36%	38%	34%
Average monthly usage per subscriber in Russia (minutes) <sup>(8)</sup>	234	269	304	359	372
Average monthly usage per subscriber in Ukraine (minutes) <sup>(8)</sup>	535	580	597	608	554
Average monthly service revenue per subscriber in Russia <sup>(9)</sup> , rubles	253	273	297	339	339
Average monthly service revenue per subscriber in Ukraine <sup>(9)</sup> , rubles	146	143	153	158	129
Subscriber acquisition costs in Ukraine <sup>(10)</sup> , rubles	244	241	236	218	185
Churn in Russia <sup>(11)</sup>	45.9%	47.6%	42.4%	38.1%	41.0%
Churn in Ukraine <sup>(11)</sup>	31.0%	30.7%	30.5%	27.2%	34.2%

- (1) "Sundry operating expenses" consist of general and administrative expenses, allowance for doubtful accounts, impairment of long-lived assets and goodwill, other operating (expenses)/income as well as gain from reentrance into Uzbekistan and provision for investments in DeltaBank in Ukraine.
- (2) Dividends declared in each of the years ended December 31, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014 were, in each case, in respect of the prior fiscal year (*i.e.*, in respect of each of the years ended December 31, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013, respectively). In addition, in 2013 and 2014 the Group declared semi-annual dividends in amount of RUB 10,786 million and RUB 12,812 million, respectively. Amounts include dividends on treasury shares of RUB 1,086 million, RUB 1,127 million, RUB 1,140 million, RUB 1,133 million, RUB 1,846 million and RUB 481 million in respect of the years ended December 31, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 and 2014, respectively. At a meeting held on April 14, 2015, the Board recommended that an annual general meeting of shareholders approve annual dividends of RUB 19.56 per ordinary MTS share (RUB 39.12 per ADS), or a total of approximately RUB 40.4 billion, based on the full-year 2014 financial results.
- (3) Capital expenditures include purchases of property, plant and equipment and intangible assets.
- (4) Includes notes payable, bank loans, capital lease obligations and other debt.
- (5) Calculated as book value of total debt divided by the sum of the book values of total shareholders' equity and total debt at the end of the relevant period. See footnote 4 above for the definition of "total debt."
- (6) Source: AC&M-Consulting and our data. Operating data is presented for mobile operations only. None of this data is derived from our audited consolidated financial statements.
- (7) For the years ended December 31, 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 we defined a subscriber as an individual or organization whose account shows chargeable activity within 61 days (or 183 days in the case of Prepaid tariffs) or whose account does not have a negative balance for more than this period. Starting from 2014, we define a subscriber as an organization or individual, whose SIM-card shows traffic-generating activity or accrues a balance for services rendered or is replenished or topped off over the course of any three-month period, inclusive within the reporting period, and was not blocked at the end of the period. The number of subscribers was restated based on subscriber definition introduced in 2014 only for the year ended December 31, 2013.
- (8) Average monthly minutes of usage per subscriber is calculated by dividing the total number of minutes of usage during a given period by the average number of our subscribers during the period and dividing by the number of months in that period. The number of average monthly minutes of usage per subscriber was restated based on subscriber definition introduced in 2014 only for the year ended December 31, 2013.
- (9) We calculate average monthly service revenue per subscriber by dividing our service revenues for a given period, including interconnect, guest roaming fees and connection fees, by the average number of our subscribers during that period and dividing by the number of months in that period. We restated the number of average monthly service revenue per subscriber in accordance with new definition of subscriber introduced.

(10)

In Ukraine, subscriber acquisition costs are calculated as total sales and marketing expenses, handset subsidies and cost of SIM cards and vouchers for a given period divided by the total number of gross subscribers added during that period. In Russia, it is impracticable to calculate subscriber acquisition costs for the period as we now have the mobile and fixed line parts of the business combined in one reportable segment, "Russia."

Table of Contents

(11)

We define our churn as the total number of subscribers who cease to be a subscriber (see footnote 7 above for the definition of a "subscriber") during the period (whether involuntarily due to non-payment or voluntarily, at such subscriber's request), expressed as a percentage of the average number of our subscribers during that period. Churn number was restated based on subscriber definition introduced in 2014 only for the year ended December 31, 2013.

**B. Capitalization and Indebtedness**

Not applicable.

**C. Reasons for the Offer and Use of Proceeds**

Not applicable.

**D. Risk Factors**

*An investment in our securities involves a certain degree of risk. In our securities involves a certain degree of risk. You should carefully consider the following information about these risks, together with other information contained in this document, before you decide to buy our securities. If any of the following risks actually occur, our business, prospects, financial condition or results of operations could be materially adversely affected. In that case, the value of our securities could also decline and you could lose all or part of your investment. In addition, please read "Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward Looking Statements" where we describe additional uncertainties associated with our business and the forward looking statements included in this document.*

**Risks Relating to Business Operations in Emerging Markets**

*Emerging markets such as the Russian Federation, Ukraine and other CIS countries are subject to greater risks than more developed markets, including significant legal, economic, tax and political risks.*

Investors in emerging markets such as the Russian Federation, Armenia, Ukraine, Turkmenistan, Kyrgyzstan, Uzbekistan and other CIS countries should be aware that these markets are subject to greater risk than more developed markets, including in some cases, significant legal, economic, tax and political risks. Investors should also note that emerging economies such as the economies of the Russian Federation and Ukraine are subject to rapid change and that the information set out herein may become outdated relatively quickly.

Global financial or economic crises or even financial turmoil in any large emerging market country tend to adversely affect prices in equity markets of most or all emerging market countries as investors move their money to more stable, developed markets. Beginning in the second half of 2008, the Russian equity markets have been highly volatile, principally due to the impact of the global financial and economic crisis on the Russian economy as well as the current crisis in Ukraine. Such volatility has caused market regulators to temporarily suspend trading on the Moscow Interbank Currency Exchange ("MICEX") and the Russian Trading System ("RTS") multiple times. MICEX and RTS stock market indices have experienced significant overall declines since their peaks in May 2008, including a significant fall during 2014 (MICEX decreased by 7.15% and the dollar-denominated RTS index decreased by 45.19%) in response to the current crisis in Ukraine and deepening concerns over the strength of the Russian economy. As has happened in the past, financial problems or an increase in the perceived risks associated with investing in emerging economies due to, *inter alia*, geopolitical disputes such as the current crisis in Ukraine, could dampen foreign investment in Russia and adversely affect the Russian economy. In addition, during such times, businesses that operate in emerging markets can face severe liquidity constraints as funding sources are withdrawn. Furthermore, in doing business in various countries of the CIS, we face risks similar to (and sometimes more significant than) those that we face in Russia and Ukraine. For example, see " Legal Risks and Uncertainties The inability of MTS-Turkmenistan to sustain its operations in Turkmenistan on commercially acceptable terms or at all may adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations," and " The inability of our subsidiaries in the



Table of Contents

countries in which we are present to maintain control over their operations and assets may adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations." Accordingly, investors should exercise particular care in evaluating the risks involved and must decide for themselves whether, in light of those risks, their investment is appropriate. Generally, investment in emerging markets is suitable for sophisticated investors who fully appreciate the significance of the risks involved and investors are urged to consult with their own legal and financial advisors before making an investment in our securities.

**Risks Relating to Our Business**

*The telecommunications services market is characterized by rapid technological change, which could render our services obsolete or non-competitive and result in the loss of our market share and a decrease in our revenues.*

The telecommunications industry is subject to rapid and significant changes in technology and is characterized by the continuous introduction of new products and services. The mobile telecommunications industry in Russia is also experiencing significant technological change, as evidenced by the introduction in recent years of new standards for radio telecommunications, such as Wi-Fi, Worldwide Inter-operability for Microwave Access ("Wi-Max"), Enhanced Data Rates for Global Evolution ("EDGE"), Universal Mobile Telecommunications System ("UMTS"), and Long Term Evolution ("LTE"), as well as ongoing improvements in the capacity and quality of communications, shorter development cycles for new products and enhancements and changes in customer requirements and preferences. Such continuing technological advances make it difficult to predict the extent of the future competition we may face and it is possible that existing, proposed or as yet undeveloped technologies will become dominant in the future and render the technologies we use less profitable or even obsolete. New products and services that are more commercially effective than our products and services may also be developed. Furthermore, we may not be successful in responding in a timely and cost-effective way to keep up with these developments. Changing our products or services in response to market demand may require the adoption of new technologies that could render many of the technologies that we are currently implementing less competitive or obsolete. To respond successfully to technological advances and emerging industry standards, we may require substantial capital expenditures and access to related or enabling technologies in order to integrate the new technology with our existing technology.

*We face increasing competition in the markets where we operate, which may result in reduced operating margins and loss of market share, as well as different pricing, service or marketing policies.*

The wireless telecommunications services markets in which we operate are highly competitive, particularly in Russia and Ukraine, where mobile penetration exceeds 100%. We also face increased competition in our cable TV and fixed line business, where the market for fixed line communications services in Russia is rapidly evolving and becoming increasingly competitive. Competition is generally based on price, product functionality, range of service offerings and customer service.

Our principal wireless competitors in Russia are Open Joint Stock Company "Vimpel Communications," or "VimpelCom," and Open Joint Stock Company MegaFon ("MegaFon"). We also face competition from several regional operators as well as from the fourth federal cellular operator established on the basis of Tele2 Russia and mobile assets of Open Joint Stock Company Long-Distance and International Telecommunications "Rostelecom" ("Rostelecom").

In addition, on April 1, 2011, the Russian government completed the reorganization of state-controlled telecommunications companies Svyazinvest Telecommunications Investment Joint Stock Company ("Svyazinvest"), and Rostelecom. As a result, Rostelecom is currently the largest fixed-line operator.

In October 2010, Sistema and Svyazinvest entered into an exchange transaction, upon completion of which, Svyazinvest obtained control over 100% of the share capital in Sky Link, Sistema acquired the

Table of Contents

23.33% stake in MGTS controlled by Svyazinvest and Comstar transferred 25% plus 1 share in Svyazinvest to Rostelecom for cash consideration of 26 billion rubles. Sky Link is a Moscow-based code division multiple access ("CDMA") operator holding GSM licenses for a majority of Russian regions. In July 2012, Rostelecom acquired 100% of Sky Link which at the time of this acquisition held licenses in 76 Russian regions covering more than 90% of the total Russian population. In addition, Rostelecom won tenders for 39 out of 40 licenses to provide fourth-generation ("4G") wireless services within the 2.3-2.4 GHz frequency band and in November 2011 received permission from the Ministry of Defense to use the allotted frequencies for the creation of a 4G network.

On April 4, 2013, the Federal Antimonopoly Service approved a transaction for Airport Alliance, a member of VTB Group, to acquire 100% of Tele2 Russia, which was later completed. Subsequently, VTB Bank sold 50% of Tele2 Russia to a consortium of private investors, including affiliates of Bank "Rossiya," whose main shareholder is Mr. Yury Kovalchuk, and also entities linked to Mr. Alexey Mordashov. Both of these individuals are reputedly among Russia's most successful and influential businessmen. On December, 30, 2013 shareholders of Rostelecom approved a reorganization plan which led to the spin-out of its mobile business into RT-Mobile Ltd. RT-Mobile Ltd's business was contributed as capital for a new joint venture concluded between Rostelecom and Tele2 Russia, under the name of "T2 Rus Holding," later reorganized into "T2 RTK Holding." On February 6, 2014, Tele2 Russia and Rostelecom signed an agreement on integration of mobile assets on the basis of LLC "T2 RTK Holding." At the end of March 2014, Tele2 Russia and Rostelecom closed the first stage of the deal consisting of integration of Rostelecom's mobile assets and establishment of a new joint venture. On March 28, 2014, Tele2 Russia received the following seven mobile subsidiaries of Rostelecom under its operational and financial control: SkyLink, Nizhniy Novgorod Mobile Networks, Baykalvestkom, BIT, Volgograd GSM, Enisey Telecom and AKOS. Tele2 Russia also gained control over Rostelecom's assets in the regions where the network development is in progress, including Moscow and Saint Petersburg. During the integration process, Tele2 Russia changed its mobile coverage macro regional structure by creating nine macro regions instead of seven that existed before, with more than 60 regions out of which the company has frequency allocations. Following closing of the deal's first stage, Rostelecom acquired 45% of the voting shares, as well as 26% of the economic share in the joint venture.

On August 6, 2014, it was declared that Tele2 Russia and Rostelecom closed the second stage of the deal on integration of mobile assets. Therefore, the companies ended legal procedures on setting up a new federal mobile operator on the basis of Tele 2 Russia that currently provides telecommunication services to over 38 million subscribers in more than 60 regions. The new mobile operator earlier also gained control over 2G/3G licenses in all federal districts and a license for 4G spectrum on the entire Russian territory.

Joint venture, LLC "T2 RTK Holding" may therefore become one of the key players in the mobile telecommunications market in Russia, which may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, at the end of January 2015, mass media reported that the Moscow Arbitrazh Court upheld the claim filed by Antares Group against the Ministry of Communications and the State Commission for Radio Frequencies and ruled to grant extra bands to Antares Group for development of a fourth generation network (LTE) in the 1900 MHz range. This may lead to the establishment of a new LTE operator in Russia and increased competition in the data transmission services market.

According to Direct INFO, Rostelecom controls 75% of all fixed line telecommunications services in Russia. The emergence of Rostelecom as an integrated nationwide provider of fixed line local and long distance communications services as well as its reorganized business holdings in mobile communications services may significantly increase competition in our markets. In particular, a new mobile operator involving this state-controlled group may receive favorable pricing terms to interconnect from the regional fixed line operators within its group, putting us at a competitive disadvantage. See also " If we cannot

Table of Contents

interconnect cost-effectively with other telecommunications operators, we may be unable to provide services at competitive prices and therefore lose market share and revenues."

Of the telecommunication services we provide, broadband Internet access is among the most competitive. While the Moscow and St. Petersburg markets have become mature in recent years, regional markets are the fastest growing markets, and it is expected that regional markets will follow the same trend as the Moscow and St. Petersburg markets in the coming years, with competition in such markets becoming extremely intense. If we fail to obtain and maintain a substantial share of the broadband Internet access market, our business, financial condition, results of operations or prospects or the value of the ADSs may be materially adversely affected.

In addition, we believe that Rostelecom, as a state-controlled company, is currently able to influence telecommunications policy and regulation in Russia and may cause substantial increases in interconnect rates for access to fixed line operators' networks by mobile cellular operators. Similarly, Rostelecom may cause substantial decreases in interconnect rates for access to mobile cellular operators' networks by fixed line operators, which could cause our revenues to decrease and may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Competition in the Ukrainian wireless telecommunications market has significantly intensified over the last several years and may further intensify as a result of the current political crisis. See " Political and Social Risks Political instability in Ukraine could have a material adverse effect on our operations in Ukraine and on our business, financial condition and results of operations," and " A deterioration in relations between Russia and other former Soviet republics and/or the United States and the European Union could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects and the value of our shares and ADSs."

In October 2010, the Antimonopoly Committee of Ukraine (the "AMC"), approved the merger of Kyivstar, our primary mobile competitor in Ukraine, with URS and Golden Telecom Ukraine, a Ukrainian mobile operator controlled by Vimpelcom, in connection with Vimpelcom's restructuring. On September 4, 2013, Golden Telecom ceased to provide mobile telecommunication services in Ukraine, and its subscribers were invited to re-connect to Kyivstar. Aggressive pricing by our competitors in Ukraine, driven primarily by Astelit, has driven down the price per minute levels in recent years for mobile communication, which in conjunction with the economic crisis in Ukraine has contributed to the slowdown in the growth rate of the Ukrainian wireless telecommunications market. Presently, the controlled rise of prices, monetization of services, the customer experience of our services and value for money are the most important drivers for the competitive situation in Ukraine.

The economic part of the Association Agreement with the European Union signed by Ukraine on June 27, 2014, may adversely affect our own business, financial condition and results of operations due to a possible increase in competition. The implementation of the Association Agreement requires harmonization of Ukrainian legislation and adoption of certain EU regulations on telecommunications services. In this regards, no assurance can be given as to the exact nature of the intended changes, their potential implementation and possible impact on our business.

The competitive situation for our services in Ukraine may be influenced by the expected introduction of a mobile number portability ("MNP") service (however the terms of MNP implementation are still unknown), and also after the issue of 3G licenses (the auction for 3G licenses was held on February 23, 2015 and, as a result, MTS Ukraine won the lot for use of 1950-1965/2140-2155 MHz frequency bands). Similar provisions regarding MNP are already in force in Russia, see also " Legal Risks and Uncertainties Regulatory changes in Russia, including the reduction of settlement rate, regulation of other inter-carrier and subscriber tariffs, the mobile number portability principle and others, as well as regulatory changes at the international level may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations."

Table of Contents

In Belarus, we face increasing competition and aggressive pricing from Best CJSC, a subsidiary of System Capital Management and Turkcell Iletisim Hizmetleri A.S. ("Turkcell") operating in Belarus under the "life:")" brand. Additionally, in 2011, the government of Belarus announced its intention to hold a public tender to privatize a 51% ownership interest in MTS Belarus with an opening price of \$1.0 billion (RUB 29.4 billion). The public tender was scheduled to be held on December 23, 2011, but was cancelled due to a lack of bidders. The latest attempt to find an investor for the 51% state-owned stake in MTS Belarus took place in February 2014 (the state-owned stake was priced at \$863 million). However, it did not proceed due to the same reason, lack of bidders. A date for the next tender has not yet been specified. The terms of share disposal have not yet been determined, although it may be conducted either through a public tender or by entering into a direct contract with a particular purchaser. If we are unable to acquire this ownership interest at a commercially reasonable price, or if it is acquired by one of our competitors, it may impact our competitive position and results of operations in Belarus.

We also face competition in Armenia. In 2009, France Telecom operating under the Orange brand entered the Armenian telecommunications market and began offering voice and data transmission services, as well as mobile phones at highly competitive prices. By the end of 2014, Orange had a market share of 17.8% and continued to pursue its strategy of providing telecommunication services (voice and internet) at highly competitive prices.

Following the resumption of our operations in Turkmenistan we continue to face price competition from our main competitor Altyn Asyr on international roaming rates and also capacity restrictions that impact on the development of our third-generation "3G" mobile data network in Turkmenistan. MTS-Turkmenistan is required to route both international traffic signals as well as domestic traffic signals entirely through the telecommunications network infrastructure of the state owned telecom operator Turkmentelekom. However, in spite of our further requests for additional network capacity in accordance with our interconnection agreements with Turkmentelekom, there has not been a corresponding increase in capacity made available to us to accommodate the volume of MTS-Turkmenistan's traffic signals. In addition, Turkmentelekom may also refuse to lease communication lines needed for the day-to-day operation of MTS-Turkmenistan's 3G network. As a result, the data service of MTS-Turkmenistan could become less attractive for the subscribers, which could adversely affect the results of our operations in Turkmenistan.

Generally, increased levels of competition, including from the potential entry of new mobile operators, government-backed operators, mobile virtual network operators and alternative fixed line operators in the markets where we operate, as well as the strengthening of existing operators and increased use of Internet protocol telephony, may adversely affect our ability to increase the number of subscribers. This in turn could result in reduced operating margins and a loss of market share, as well as necessitating different pricing, service or marketing policies, which may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of our operations.

***We are subject to anti-corruption laws in the jurisdictions in which we operate, including anti-corruption laws of Russia and the US Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (the "FCPA"), and we may be subject to the UK Bribery Act of 2010 (the "UK Bribery Act"). Our failure to comply therewith could result in penalties which could harm our reputation and have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.***

We are subject to the FCPA, which generally prohibits companies and their intermediaries from making improper payments to foreign officials for the purpose of obtaining or keeping business and/or other benefits, along with various other anti-corruption laws. We may also be subject to the UK Bribery Act. The UK Bribery Act is broader in scope than the FCPA in that it directly addresses commercial bribery in addition to bribery of public officials and it does not recognize certain exceptions, notably facilitation payments that are permitted by the FCPA.

Table of Contents

Although we regularly review and update our policies and procedures designed to ensure that we, our employees, distributors and other intermediaries comply with the anti-corruption laws to which we are subject, there is no assurance that such policies or procedures will work effectively all of the time or protect us against liability under these or other laws for actions taken by our employees, distributors and other intermediaries with respect to our business or any businesses that we may acquire. We operate primarily in Russia and other countries of the former Soviet Union, many of which pose elevated risks of corruption violations. We and certain of our subsidiaries are in frequent contact with persons who may be considered "foreign public officials" under the FCPA and UK Bribery Act, and therefore, are subject to an increased risk of potential FCPA and UK Bribery Act violations. If we are not in compliance with the FCPA, the UK Bribery Act and other laws governing the conduct of business with government entities (including local laws), we may be subject to criminal and civil penalties and other remedial measures, which could have an adverse impact on our business, results of operations, financial condition and liquidity.

As disclosed in our public filings, in March 2014, we received requests for the provision of information from the United States Securities and Exchange Commission and the United States Department of Justice relating to an investigation of the Group's former subsidiary in Uzbekistan. See also Note 30 to our audited consolidated financial statements. MTS is cooperating with those agencies and has provided and is continuing to provide information in response to their requests. We cannot predict the outcome of the investigations, including any fines or penalties that may be imposed, and such fines or penalties could be significant. Any investigation of any potential violations of the FCPA, the UK Bribery Act or other anti-corruption laws by US, UK or foreign authorities could have an adverse impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

***Our controlling shareholder has the ability to take actions that may conflict with the interests of other holders of our securities.***

We are controlled by Sistema, which owns 51.46% of our total charter capital (53.46% excluding treasury shares). If not otherwise required by Russian law and/or our charter, resolutions at a shareholders' meeting are adopted by a simple majority in a meeting at which shareholders holding more than half of the issued share capital are present or represented. Accordingly, Sistema has the power to control the outcome of most matters to be decided by vote at a shareholders' meeting and, as long as it holds, either directly or indirectly, a majority of our shares, Sistema will control the appointment of a majority of directors and removal of all directors. Sistema is also able to control or significantly influence the outcome of any vote on matters which require three-quarters majority vote of a shareholders' meeting, such as amendments to the charter, proposed reorganizations, substantial asset sales, and other major corporate transactions, among other things. Thus, Sistema can take actions that may conflict with the interests of other security holders. In addition, under certain circumstances, a disposition by Sistema of its controlling stake in our company could harm our business. See also "Risks Relating to Our Financial Condition" If a change in control occurs, our noteholders and other debt holders may require us to redeem notes or other debt, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations."

Sistema has a significant amount of outstanding debt. As of December 31, 2014, Sistema had consolidated indebtedness of approximately \$129.9 million (RUB 7,310 million) of short-term debt, \$1,598.8 million (RUB 89,944 million) comprising the short-term portion of its long-term debt, and \$6,541.2 million (RUB 368 billion) of long-term debt (net of the short-term portion). At the corporate level, Sistema had \$6.06 million (RUB 341 million) of short-term debt, \$260.4 million (RUB 14,649 million) comprising the short-term portion of its long-term debt, and \$956.6 million (RUB 53,818 million) of long-term debt (net of the short-term portion). Therefore, Sistema will require significant funds to meet its obligations, which may come in part from dividends paid by its subsidiaries, including us.

Table of Contents

Sistema voted in favor of declaring dividends of RUB 39,405 million in 2009 for 2008, RUB 30,697 million in 2010 for 2009, RUB 30,046 million in 2011 for 2010, RUB 30,397 million in 2012 for 2011, RUB 30,168 million in 2013 for 2012. In 2013, MTS started to pay out dividends on a semi-annual basis using interim 6 months and full-year financial results as a foundation, and the amount of semi-annual dividends for 2013 approved by our shareholders was RUB 10,786 million. Our shareholders approved annual cash dividends for the year 2013 in the amount of RUB 38,435 million, semi-annual dividends for 2014 in the amount of RUB 12,812 million.

Annual dividends are calculated at the exchange rate on the date when dividends are declared at the Annual General Meeting of Shareholders. The indentures relating to our outstanding notes and other debt do not restrict our ability to pay dividends. As a result of paying dividends, our reliance on external sources of financing may increase, our credit rating may decrease, and our cash flow and ability to repay our debt obligations, or make capital expenditures, investments and acquisitions could be materially adversely affected. Furthermore, our credit ratings can be and have been affected in the past by Sistema's activity and credit ratings.

***Failure to effectively implement our geographic expansion strategy as well as difficulties with operational management of the acquired businesses could hamper our continued growth and profitability.***

Our continued growth depends, in part, on our ability to identify attractive opportunities in markets that will grow and on our ability to manage the operations of acquired or newly established businesses. Our strategy contemplates the acquisition of additional operations within the CIS in both the mobile and fixed broadband segments. These acquisitions may occur in countries that represent new operating environments for us and, in many instances, may be located a great distance from our corporate headquarters in Russia. We therefore may have less control over their activities. We may also face uncertainties with respect to the operational and financial needs of these businesses, and may, in the course of our acquisitions, incur additional debt to finance the acquisitions and/or take on substantial existing debt of the acquired companies. In addition, we anticipate that the countries into which we may expand will be emerging markets and, as with countries of our current presence, subject to greater political, economic, social and legal risks than more developed markets.

For example, see " Legal Risks and Uncertainties The inability of MTS-Turkmenistan to sustain its operations in Turkmenistan on commercially acceptable terms or at all may adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations," and " The inability of our subsidiaries in the countries in which we are present to maintain control over their operations and assets may adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations."

Our failure to identify attractive opportunities for expansion into new markets and to manage the operations of acquired or newly established businesses in these markets could hamper our continued growth and profitability, and have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

***Acquisitions and mergers may pose significant risks to our business.***

We have expanded our business through several acquisitions. As part of our growth strategy, we will continue to evaluate opportunities to acquire, invest in or merge with other existing operators or license holders in the CIS and in growing markets outside the CIS, as well as other complementary businesses.

Prior to 2009, most of our acquisitions were of regional operators with a focus on expanding our network and subscriber footprint. In 2010, we acquired Sistema Telecom in order to obtain full control over our logos. In 2011 and 2012, our acquisitions focus shifted to acquiring a minority stake in a subsidiary company of Multiregion JSC and other regional cable TV and broadband providers in furtherance of our strategy to become a provider of integrated telecommunications services. In 2014, our

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

### Table of Contents

acquisition focus also covered regional mobile operators. In addition, we started to roll-out a hybrid TV service. In the beginning of 2015, we started Satellite TV project based on hybrid TV solution.

These and other business combinations entail a number of risks that could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects, including the following:

incorrect assessment of the value of any acquired target;

assumption of the acquired target's liabilities and contingencies;

failure to realize any of the anticipated benefits or synergies from any acquisitions or investments we complete;

problems integrating the acquired businesses, technologies or products into our operations;

incurrence of debt to finance acquisitions and higher debt service costs related thereto;

difficulties in retaining business relationships with suppliers and customers of the acquired company;

risks associated with businesses and markets in which we lack experience, including political, economic, social, legal and regulatory risks and uncertainties;

competition risks;

more onerous government regulation;

potential loss of key employees of the acquired company;

potential write-offs of acquired assets; and

lawsuits arising out of disputes over ownership of acquired assets and/or the enforcement of indemnities relating to the title to such assets.

For example, in 2014, an impairment charge of RUB 3,225 million related to equity investment in MTS Bank was recognized as an element of equity in net loss / (income) of associates in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income. See also Note 15 to our audited consolidated financial statements.

See also " Legal Risks and Uncertainties The inability of our subsidiaries in the countries in which we are present to maintain control over their operations and assets may adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations" and " Risks Relating to our Financial Condition We may be adversely affected by the current economic environment."

In addition, companies that we acquire may not have internal policies, including accounting policies and internal control procedures that are compatible, compliant or easily integrated with ours.

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

If any of our future business combinations is structured as a merger with another company, or we merge with or absorb a company subsequent to its acquisition by us, such a merger would be considered a corporate reorganization under Russian law. In turn, this would provide our creditors with a statutory-based right to file a claim seeking to accelerate their claims or terminate the respective obligations, as well as seek damages. To prevail, the creditors would need to prove in court that we will not perform our obligations in due course and the amount of damages suffered. Secured creditors would be required to further prove that the security provided by us, our shareholders or third parties is not sufficient to secure our obligations. Creditors whose claims are secured by pledges do not have the right to claim additional security.

In April 2013, we acquired a 25.095% stake in MTS Bank. In 2013, we also signed a profit-sharing agreement whereby we and MTS Bank would realize 70% and 30% of the proceeds from the MTS Dengi (MTS Money) project respectively. The MTS Dengi project launched by us and MTS Bank is



Table of Contents

aimed at providing customers throughout Russia with payment tools, including credit cards, near-field communications-enabled SIM cards and PoS (point-of-sale) credit. If the risks associated with participating in the banking sector lead to our inability to receive the expected profits from MTS Dengi project it could have an adverse effect on our financial statements and results of operations. Within the last quarter of 2014, the Group increased its interest in MTS Bank to 27.0% through participation in an additional share issue of MTS Bank, and paid RUB 3,639 million for shares acquired. See Note 15 to our audited consolidated financial statements.

In April 2014, we acquired a 10.82% stake in OZON Holdings Limited ("OZON"), the Russian e-commerce company, through an additional share issuance for RUB 2,702 million (\$ 75 million). The acquisition is aimed to provide exclusive access to OZON's sales channels for MTS's products and services as well as to further enhance our online presence. If we are not successful in expanding our distribution network and developing more effective customer touch points and services, this may have an adverse impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

In May and December 2014, we acquired from third-party investors 35.59% and 3.52% stakes, respectively, in Teleservice OJSC ("Teleservice"), a broadband and Pay-TV provider in Voronezh. Upon completion of the transactions, MTS Group's stake in Teleservice increased to 93.4%.

In the fourth quarter of 2014, our subsidiary LLC "Telecom Povolzhye" acquired three regional assets of Smarts Group aiming to strengthen leadership in Bashkortostan market as well as to expand our operations in Penza and Ivanovo regions.

On January 22, 2015, our subsidiary MGTS closed deals on sale of 49.95% stake in Joint Stock Company "Intellect Telecom" and acquisition of the controlling stake in Public Joint Stock Company "Navigation-information systems" comprising of 89.536% of the charter capital. On one hand, the acquisition will enable us to develop our proprietary technological platform for machine-to-machine (M2M) solutions and enhance our presence in the areas of telematics solutions for transport, insurance and security systems, on the other hand, additional risks relating to the acquired company's liabilities might arise.

We may also be involved in various litigation to protect our title or other rights related to acquired businesses and incur some unpredicted loss. For example, in December 2005, we acquired a 51% stake in Tarino Limited from Nomihold Securities Inc. for \$150.0 million (RUB 4,322 million) in cash and entered into a put and call option agreement for the remaining 49% interest for a price of \$170 million (RUB 4,898 million) as we believed, that at that time it was the indirect owner of Bitel, a Kyrgyz company holding GSM 900/1800 license for the entire territory of Kyrgyzstan. In the same year, following a decision of the Kyrgyz Supreme Court, Bitel's offices were seized by a third party and we lost operational control over Bitel. In 2007, Nomihold Securities Inc. exercised the put option for the remaining stake in Bitel. During 2005-2013 we wrote down more than \$320 million (RUB 8,798 million) relating to the loss of Bitel and other litigation with Nomihold Securities Inc. During the same period we also had other litigation in various jurisdictions to defend our rights relating to Bitel and its assets. In June 2013, an agreement was reached between Nomihold and other associated parties to settle all the claims arising in relation to Bitel and its assets, pursuant to which all proceedings between all the parties involved in such litigation were discontinued and waived and we received a total payment in cash in the amount of \$150 million (RUB 4,909 million). The settlement also fully discharged all our outstanding obligations to Nomihold Securities Inc. As a result of the settlement, we released a provision relating to the exercise the put option for acquisition of the remaining stake in Bitel plus damages, interest and other cost that have been provided for in relation to the dispute with Nomihold. See also Note 30 to our audited consolidated financial statements. In addition, a merger, as well as any corporate reorganization and any business combination that constitutes a "major transaction" under Russian law, would trigger the right of our shareholders who abstain from voting on or vote against such reorganization or transaction to sell, and our obligation to buy, their shares in an amount representing up to 10% of our net assets as

Table of Contents

calculated under Russian Accounting Standards. See " Legal Risks and Uncertainties Shareholder rights provisions under Russian law could impose additional obligations and costs on us, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects."

***If our purchase of Ukrainian Mobile Communications ("UMC") is found to have violated Ukrainian law or the purchase is unwound, our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects would be materially adversely affected.***

On June 7, 2004, the General Prosecutor of Ukraine filed a claim against us and others in the Kiev Commercial Court seeking to unwind the sale made to us by Joint Stock Company Ukrtelecom ("Ukrtelecom") of its 51% stake in UMC. The complaint also sought an order prohibiting us from disposing of our 51% stake in UMC until the claim was resolved on the merits. The claim was based on a provision of the Ukrainian privatization law that included Ukrtelecom among a list of "strategic" state holdings prohibited from alienating or encumbering its assets during the course of its privatization. Although the Cabinet of Ministers of Ukraine had issued a decree in May 2001 specifically authorizing the sale by Ukrtelecom of its entire stake in UMC, the General Prosecutor asserted that the decree contradicted the privatization law and that the sale by Ukrtelecom was therefore illegal and should be unwound. On August 12, 2004, the Kiev Commercial Court rejected the General Prosecutor's claim.

On August 26, 2004, the General Prosecutor's Office requested the Constitutional Court of Ukraine to review whether certain provisions of the Ukrainian privatization law limiting the alienation of assets by privatized companies were applicable to the sale by Ukrtelecom of UMC shares to us. On January 13, 2005, the Constitutional Court of Ukraine refused to initiate the constitutional proceedings arising from the request of the General Prosecutor's Office on the grounds that the request was incompatible with the requirements of Ukrainian constitutional law, and that the issue as it was raised in the request, did not fall within the jurisdiction of the Constitutional Court of Ukraine. The Constitutional Court of Ukraine's decision does not prevent other persons having the right to apply to the Constitutional Court of Ukraine from challenging the constitutionality of provisions of the Ukrainian privatization law applicable to the sale by Ukrtelecom of the UMC shares, and also does not preclude the future challenge of such sale in the commercial courts of Ukraine.

If the Constitutional Court of Ukraine rules that the provisions of the Ukrainian privatization legislation applicable to Ukrtelecom's sale of its stake in UMC are unconstitutional, the Kiev Commercial Court could be requested to re-open the case based on new circumstances and could potentially include additional persons that were not parties to the original proceeding and/or admit additional claims.

In addition, as UMC was formed at a time when Ukraine's legislative framework was developing in an uncertain legal environment, its formation and capital structure may also be subject to challenges. In the event that our purchase of UMC is found to have violated Ukrainian law or the purchase is subject to repeated challenge, or unwound, in whole or in part, our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects would be materially adversely affected.

***If we cannot successfully develop our network, we will be unable to expand our subscriber base and maintain our profitability.***

Our ability to increase our subscriber base depends upon the success of our network expansion. We have expended considerable amounts of resources to enable both organic expansion and expansion through acquisitions and plan to continue to do so. Limited information regarding the markets into which we have or are considering expanding, either through acquisitions or new licenses, complicates accurate forecasts of future revenues from those regions, increasing the risk that we may overestimate these revenues. In addition, we may not be able to integrate previous or future acquisitions successfully or operate them profitably. Any difficulties encountered in the transition and integration process and in the operation of acquired companies could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Table of Contents

The build-out of our network is also subject to risks and uncertainties, which could delay the introduction of services in some areas and increase the cost of network construction, including difficulty in obtaining base station sites on commercially attractive terms. In addition, telecommunications equipment used in Russia, Ukraine and other CIS countries is subject to governmental certification, and periodic renewals of the same. We are also required to receive permits for the operation of telecommunications equipment as well as governmental certification and/or permission for the import and export of certain network equipment, which can result in procurement delays and slow network development. The failure of any equipment we use to receive timely certification or re-certification could hinder our expansion plans.

For example, the import and export of products containing cryptographic hardware is subject to special documentation requirements and approvals. As telecommunication networks comprise various components with cryptographic hardware, we must comply with these requirements in order to import such components. Moreover, where imported equipment does not contain cryptographic hardware, the federal customs service requires manufacturers to provide written confirmation regarding the absence of such hardware. The range of goods requiring the provision of "certificates of conformance" by suppliers and manufactures prior to their import into Russia has also been expanded to cover most of our key network components, and imported radioelectronic equipment is required to be licensed by the Russian Ministry of Industry and Trade. Similar requirements regarding the import and export of cryptographic hardware exist in Ukraine.

Furthermore, as a result of the downturn in the global financial markets, certain banks have curtailed their lending programs, which may limit our ability to obtain external financing and, in turn, result in the reduction of our capital expenditure program. To the extent we fail to expand our network on a timely basis, we could experience difficulty in expanding our subscriber base. See also " Risks Relating to Our Financial Condition If we are unable to obtain adequate capital, we may have to limit our operations substantially, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects."

***Our inability to develop additional sources of revenue could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.***

Mobile penetration in Russia and Ukraine reached 167.6% and 137.2%, respectively, as of September 30, 2014, according to AC&M-Consulting. Until recently, customer growth has been the principal source of revenue growth. Currently, however, increasing competition, market saturation and technological development lead to the increased importance of data services in the Russian market and, to a lesser extent, the markets of other CIS countries. As a result, data services became the key driver of our revenue growth and, therefore, we will need to continue to develop new competitive services, including value-added, 3G, LTE, and others, as well as consider vertical integration opportunities through the development or acquisition of dealers in order to provide us with sources of revenue in addition to standard voice services. Our inability to develop additional sources of revenue could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

***Our failure to further develop and sustain our distribution network as well as the reduction, consolidation or acquisition of independent dealers may lead to a decrease in our subscriber growth rate, market share and revenues.***

Following the restructuring of the Euroset Group, the largest mobile handset retailer and leading dealer for major mobile network operators in Russia, as a result of which MegaFon and Vimpelcom acquired equal shares of 50%, we have been working on developing our proprietary distribution network, have signed an additional agreement with Svyaznoy and have been working to increase our relationship with small regional dealers. In February 2015, press reports announced that there was a change of the major shareholder of Svyaznoy and in April 2015 it was further announced that Megafon and Svyaznoy

Table of Contents

agreed to resume collaboration. If we are not successful in expanding and sustaining our proprietary network and maintaining and further developing our distribution network of national, regional and local retailers, our subscriber growth rate, market share and revenues may decrease, which would have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects. In addition, our ability to attract new customers through Euroset outlets is limited. If competitors continue to expand their footprint in Russia through the acquisition of Svyaznoy's operations, our opportunities for marketing our services through its outlets may be restricted. See "Item 4. Information on Our Company B. Business Overview Mobile Operations Sales and Marketing Sales and Distribution."

***If we cannot interconnect cost-effectively with other telecommunications operators, we may be unable to provide services at competitive prices and therefore lose market share and revenues.***

Our ability to provide commercially viable services depends on our ability to continue to interconnect cost-effectively with zonal, intercity and international fixed line and mobile operators in Russia, Ukraine and other countries in which we operate. Fees for interconnecting are established by agreements with network operators and vary depending on the network used, the nature of the call and the call destination.

In Ukraine, the government completed the privatization of Ukrtelecom. The auction to privatize Ukrtelecom was held by the State Property Fund of Ukraine in December 2010. On March 11, 2011, following the completion of an independent appraisal required by Ukrainian law, the State Property Fund of Ukraine and ESU LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of European Privatization & Investment Corporation ("EPIC"), signed an agreement for the sale of a 92.8% stake in Ukrtelecom to ESU LLC. On May 11, 2011, the ownership stake was transferred to ESU LLC upon the payment of a purchase price amounting to 10,575.1 million hryvnia (RUB 36,979.8 million as of May 11, 2011) and the fulfillment of certain requirements under Ukrainian law. It is currently unclear how the privatizations of Ukrtelecom will affect our interconnect arrangements and costs, but there is a chance that our ability to interconnect cost-effectively with other telecommunications operators could be hampered.

At the end of 2014, NCCIR approved a plan on preparation of regulatory Acts for 2015-2016, according to which NCCIR is planning to substantially lower interconnect rates for the termination of traffic on the networks of SMP operators in three stages: starting from July 1, 2015, January 1, 2016 and July 1, 2016. Such actions of NCCIR may adversely affect MTS Ukraine revenues.

Although Russian legislation requires that operators of public switched telephone networks that are deemed to be "substantial position" operators who cannot refuse to provide interconnects or discriminate against one operator over another, we believe that in practice, some operators attempt to impede wireless operators by delaying interconnect applications and establishing technical conditions for interconnecting that can be met only by certain operators.

Any difficulties or delays in interconnecting cost-effectively with other networks could hinder our ability to provide services at competitive prices or at all, causing us to lose market share and revenues, which would have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations. See also " If we or any of our mobile operator subsidiaries operating in Russia are identified as an operator occupying a "substantial position," the regulator may reduce our interconnect tariffs which, in turn, may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations."

In addition, as part of the restructuring of Svyazinvest, the fourth national mobile operator in Russia was established on the basis of "Tele2 Russia" and mobile assets of Rostelecom. As Svyazinvest controlled regional fixed line operators in all regions of Russia (other than Moscow), a mobile operator established as part of the Svyazinvest group may receive preferential terms for interconnecting with these operators, which would allow it greater flexibility in setting tariffs and put us at a competitive disadvantage. It is currently unclear how the establishment of the fourth federal mobile operator may influence our interconnect agreements and our expenses. See also " We face increasing competition in

Table of Contents

the markets where we operate, which may result in reduced operating margins and loss of market share, as well as different pricing, service or marketing policies."

***Governmental regulation of SMP operators in Ukraine could adversely affect our results of operations.***

On June 24, 2010, MTS Ukraine and its competitors, including Kyivstar, Golden Telecom Ukraine, URS, Ukrtelecom, Astelit, Intertelecom and PEOPLENet, were declared by the AMC to have a dominant position on the network interconnect market. As a result, the interconnect fees charged by us and our competitors for terminating calls connecting to any of our respective networks became subject to regulation by the National Commission for the Regulation on Communications (the "NCRC"), which since November 23, 2011 has been succeeded by the National Commission for the State Regulation of Communications and Informatization (the "NCCIR"). See "Item 4. Information on Our Company B. Business Overview Regulation of Telecommunications in the Russian Federation and Ukraine Regulation in Ukraine Competition" for additional information.

In 2011, the NCRC announced its intent to change the telecommunications regulations in Ukraine to regulate the interconnect rates of only those operators deemed by the AMC to have "significant market power." Kyivstar and MTS Ukraine are the largest mobile cellular operators in Ukraine with market shares of 42% and 37%, as of September 30, 2014 respectively, according to AC&M-Consulting.

In December 2011, the Telecommunications Law was amended to introduce the term "significant market power operator on traffic termination market" (SMP). An operator qualifies as a SMP in a particular market if its share of gross revenue from the provision of traffic transfer services on fixed or mobile telecommunications networks during the last 12 months exceeded 25% of total gross revenues of all telecommunications operators for the same services during the same period. Thus, on October 20, 2011, the NCRC recognized all telecommunications operators on the Ukrainian market as SMPs in the market of call termination on their respective networks.

On September 22, 2011, the NCRC proposed a draft law on regulating SMP operators which called for, among other things, non-discriminatory access to their infrastructure for the wholesale market and for regulating the retail market. Under the proposed law, the NCRC may place an obligation on SMP operators to separate the accounting of revenues and costs for different services, to calculate the cost of their services according to NCRC rules and to price the services in accordance with NCRC's rules. In February 2015, NCCIR published on its web site draft law "On electronic communications" that includes provisions mentioned above. The draft law is currently being discussed. The NCCIR has assumed the NCRC's powers to consider interconnect rates and may reduce the interconnect rates that we charge, which, in turn, may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

In June 2012, the definition of SMP was changed by an amendment to the Telecommunications Law which came into effect on January 8, 2013. From this date qualification as a SMP has been assessed with reference to the market as defined by NCCIR (and not only by reference to the traffic termination market). Criteria *i.e.* the SMP market share remained the same as in previous version of the Telecommunications Law.

See also " Legal Risks and Uncertainties Changes in Ukrainian telecommunications legislation have caused uncertainty in relation to the regulation of the Ukrainian telecommunications industry and may adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations."

Table of Contents

*We may not realize the benefits we expect to receive from our investments in 3G and 4G wireless services, which could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.*

In May 2007, the Federal Service for Supervision in the Area of Communications and Mass Media awarded MegaFon, Vimpelcom and us a license to provide 3G services in the Russian Federation. In July 2012 these three companies and Rostelecom were awarded licenses to provide 4G services. The 3G license allows us to provide mobile radio telephone services using the International Mobile Telecommunications-2000 ("IMT-2000/UMTS") standard. The 4G license allows us to provide services using the LTE standard. 4G wireless services are expected to provide faster, higher quality data transfer and streaming capabilities as compared to 2G and 3G and may pose additional competition for 3G providers. Historically, mobile operators that have developed 3G and 4G networks have experienced various difficulties and challenges, including a limited supply of compatible handsets, limited international roaming capabilities, as well as 3G and 4G software and network-related problems. We may experience similar problems or encounter new difficulties when developing our 3G and 4G networks and may be unable to fully resolve them. For example, we cannot be certain that:

we will be able to build-out our 4G network in a timely manner or within the time frame stipulated by the license terms;

our 3G and 4G network and services will deliver the quality and level of service that our customers demand or prefer;

we will be able to provide all contemplated 3G and 4G services at reasonable prices and within a reasonable timeframe;

manufacturers and content providers will develop and offer products and services for our 3G and 4G network on a timely basis;

there will be sufficient demand for 3G and 4G services in the markets where we operate;

our 3G and 4G network will be commercially viable in all of the locations we are required to operate pursuant to our 3G and 4G licenses;

our competitors will not offer similar services at lower prices; and

changes in governmental policies, rules, regulations or practices will not affect our network rollout or our business operations.

See also " If we cannot successfully develop our network, we will be unable to expand our subscriber base and maintain our profitability."

In addition, Russian military authorities also use frequencies in the 3G and 4G spectrum, which may limit the availability of 3G and 4G frequencies for commercial use in certain areas. During the construction of our 3G and 4G network, there is also a risk that the frequencies assigned to us for commercial use may overlap with frequencies used by the Russian military. For example, conflicts over the availability of frequency reserved for military use in Moscow caused delay in the commercial launch of 3G services in Moscow by all 3G license holders, although some of these frequencies were cleared for commercial use in 2009. If additional overlap were to occur, it could cause problems or delays in the development and operation of our 3G and 4G network in Russia.

We may also face competition from operators using second generation ("2G") or other forms of 3G technology. For example, licenses for the use of CDMA technology have already been granted for the provision of fixed wireless services in a number of regions throughout Russia. CDMA is a 2G digital cellular telephony technology that can be used for the provision of both wireless and fixed services. If CDMA operators were able to develop widespread networks throughout Russia, we would face increased competition.

Table of Contents

Potential competition from other 3G, CDMA and 4G providers, together with any substantial problem with the rollout of our 3G and 4G network and provision of 3G and 4G services in the future, could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

In December 2013 and in July 2014, the State Commission for Radio frequencies introduced several modifications to the conditions of using the frequency band for 3G and 4G. These changes resulted in implementation of the principle of technological neutrality for frequency bands 900 MHz (UMTS and LTE) and 1800 MHz (LTE), and also included the imposition of certain additional obligations on network operators. Pursuant to these modifications, 3G and 4G operators are now able to use bands in the frequency range as supplementary frequencies for GSM, UMTS and LTE coverage. However, in the event that we receive new bandwidth allocation, and also as a result of the renewal of the current decisions of the State Commission for Radio Frequencies, we are obliged to provide network coverage to settlements with lower subscriber numbers, where the commercial rationale for doing so may otherwise be limited. Such changes lead to additional costs for the construction of our 3G and 4G wireless network and consequently may adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

***If we are unable to successfully develop and/or deploy 4G wireless services in the countries in which we operate or one of the operators in the market obtains significant technological and/or commercial advantage over us in 4G wireless services, it may have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations in the long term.***

The next step in the development of telecommunications in the countries where we operate is the deployment of 4G/LTE networks. The cost of 4G/LTE network development and quality of services (data speed, quality of coverage) depends on the band and the width of frequency range given to an operator.

In September 2011, the Russian government announced its intention to auction frequencies for LTE use on a national level in 2012. Additionally, outside of the auction process, the State Radio Frequencies Commission granted Scartel (operating the Yota retail brand) a paired range of LTE frequencies (2x30 MHz), in the 2.5-2.7 GHz band for use on the whole territory of Russia in exchange for 4G frequencies held by Scartel for Wi-Max technology with a total width of 70MHz. Four sets of frequencies in the 791-862 MHz band were planned to be sold during the auction in 2012, after which the winners of the frequencies would receive frequencies in the 2.5-2.7 GHz band. The remaining frequencies 40 MHz of the 2.5-2.7 GHz band were allocated evenly during the tender among four major market participants (us, Vimpelcom, MegaFon and Rostelecom).

Initially it was planned that all operators would receive equal access to the Scartel infrastructure, which would allow each operator to reduce its 4G/LTE network development costs. In March 2011, MTS, MegaFon, Vimpelcom and Rostelecom signed a non-binding memorandum of understanding with Scartel, according to which MTS, MegaFon, Vimpelcom and Rostelecom were to receive access to Scartel's 4G network infrastructure (which was yet to be built) and were to receive options to purchase shares in Scartel in 2014 at a price determined by an independent appraisal. MTS considered a preliminary value assessment of Scartel to be unduly high.

In July 2012, Alisher Usmanov and Scartel shareholders (Telconet Capital and Rostechnologyi) formed a telecommunications holding company, Garsdale. In exchange for an 82% interest in Garsdale, AF Telecom, which is controlled by Alisher Usmanov, contributed 50% of Megafon's shares into Garsdale's share capital. Rostechnologyi and Telconet Capital, which held 25.1% and 74.9% stakes in Scartel, respectively, contributed 100% of Scartel into Garsdale's share capital, in return for which they received an 18% stake in Garsdale, which was split equally between Rostechnologyi and Telconet Capital. On 12 July 2012, the Federal Service for Supervision in the Area of Communications and Mass

Table of Contents

Media awarded each of MegaFon, Vimpelcom, Rostelecom and us a license to provide 4G services using LTE and its subsequent modifications in the frequency range of 791-862 MHz.

On October 1, 2013, MegaFon acquired Maxiten Co Limited, which in turn owned 100% of the shares in Scartel and Yota Ltd. from Garsdale. The transaction was approved by the general shareholders meeting of MegaFon and by the Federal Antimonopoly Service ("FAS"). At present, MegaFon holds 4G/LTE network through Scartel as well as controlling the continuous spectrum of frequencies 2 x 40 MHz in the band of LTE FDD 2600 MHz. As a result of this transaction, MegaFon obtained a competitive advantage in terms of LTE network development costs and may also obtain an advantage in LTE network performance. For example, in February, 2014, MegaFon launched LTE Advanced network in Moscow using LTE FDD 2600 MHz band and announced plans of further roll-out of its LTE Advanced network in the 15 largest cities in Russia. According to Megafon's public disclosure, LTE Advanced network was launched in Saint Petersburg in September 2014. In addition, as a result of the deal, MegaFon consolidated financial and operational indicators of Scartel/Yota which increased its formal market share in the mobile communications market.

According to the decision of State Commission for Radio Frequencies as of March 16, 2012 all telecommunication operators excluding MegaFon and Rostelecom are not permitted to get LTE frequencies in the Krasnodarsky Region until the end of 2016. On April 11, 2013, we filed an application with the State Commission for Radio Frequencies to amend this decision and requested a postponement of the introduction of such restrictions until the end of 2014. The consideration of our application was postponed for the duration of the Olympic Games and on April 16, 2014, the State Commission for Radio Frequencies amended its own decision as of March 16, 2012, which made it possible for communication operators to submit applications for LTE frequencies in the Krasnodarsky region. However, expiration date of the decision of the State Commission for Radio Frequencies which previously allocated LTE frequencies to Rostelecom and Megafon, remained unchanged (until December 31, 2016). At the end of June 2014, Megafon appealed the decision of the State Commission for Radio Frequencies by filing a lawsuit against the State Commission for Radio Frequencies and the Ministry of Communications. On January 26, 2015, the Moscow Arbitrazh Court upheld Megafon's claim and invalidated the decision of the State Commission for Radio Frequencies dated April 16, 2014.

Currently we are appealing the decision of the Moscow Arbitrazh Court. The court hearing is scheduled for the end of April, 2015. Though relying on the technological neutrality principle we have launched 4G network in the frequency range of 1800 MHz in the Krasnodarsky Region since March 2015, our inability to develop an LTE network in the region using 800 and 2600 MHz bands until the end of 2016 may have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

On September 18, 2013, the mobile operator Altyn Asyr, our major competitor in Turkmenistan, brought into operation a 4G network using LTE technology. At the moment MTS-Turkmenistan does not have a 4G-license which may lead to the loss of revenues from its data service which could have a material adverse effect on the results of our operations.

Furthermore, the limited number of available frequencies may prevent us from realizing the full benefits we expect to receive from the development of a 4G network, because our network capacity would be constrained and our ability to expand limited. Moreover, if we cannot develop a commercially viable 4G network, and one of our competitors does, that competitor would have an advantage over us, which in turn may have a material adverse effect on our business.

***Service disruptions on our networks could lead to a loss of subscribers, damage to our reputation, violations of the terms of our licenses and subscriber contracts and penalties.***

We are able to deliver services only to the extent that we can protect our network systems against damage from communications failures, computer viruses, power failures, natural disasters and



Table of Contents

unauthorized access. Any system failure, accident or security breach that causes interruptions in our operations could impair our ability to provide services to our customers and materially adversely affect our business and results of operations. In addition, to the extent that any disruption or security breach results in a loss of or damage to customers' data or applications, or inappropriate disclosure of confidential information, we may incur liability as a result, including costs to remedy the damage caused by these disruptions or security breaches.

While we maintain back-up systems for our telecommunications equipment, network management, operations and maintenance systems, these systems may not ensure recovery in the event of a network failure. In particular, in the event of extensive software and/or hardware failures, significant disruptions to our systems could occur, leading to our inability to provide services. The quality of our services in roaming (including roaming between networks) also depends, *inter alia*, on the network quality of our roaming partners which is out of our control. Disruptions in our provision of services could lead to a loss of subscribers, damage to our reputation, violations of the terms of our licenses and subscriber contracts and penalties.

Our computer and communications hardware is protected through physical and software safeguards. However, it is still vulnerable to fire, storm, flood, loss of power, telecommunications failures, interconnect failures, physical or software break-ins, viruses and similar events. Although our computer and communications hardware is insured against fires, storms and floods, we do not carry business interruption insurance to protect us in the event of a catastrophe, even though such an event could have a material adverse effect on our business.

***Failure to fulfill the terms of our licenses could result in their suspension or termination, which could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.***

Each of our mobile licenses requires service to be offered by a specific date and some contain further requirements as to network capacity and territorial coverage to be reached by specified dates. In addition, all of our mobile licenses require us to comply with various telecommunications regulations relating to the use of radio frequencies and numbering capacity allocated to us, network construction, interconnect rules and technical requirements relating to compliance with law enforcement authorities' requests, among others. The license requirements applicable to our fixed line businesses include participation in a federal communications network, adherence to technical standards, investment in network infrastructure, employment of Russian technical personnel and the provision of certain services to the federal government and PSTN subscribers at regulated tariffs, among others. If we fail to comply with the requirements of Russian, Ukrainian or other applicable legislation or we fail to meet any terms of our licenses, our licenses and other authorizations necessary for our operations may be suspended or terminated which could significantly limit our operations. In addition to the impact on our operations, the suspension or loss of certain licenses could also cause an event of default under certain of our debt obligations and certain of our debt to be accelerated. A suspension or termination of our licenses or other necessary governmental authorizations could therefore have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

For example, in February 2015, MTS Ukraine won the lot for the use of 1950-1965/2140-2155 MHz frequency bands in a tender allocating 3G licenses. See "Item 4. Information on Our Company B. Business Overview Regulation of Telecommunications in the Russian Federation and Ukraine Regulation in Ukraine 3G/UMTS License."

On March 24, 2015, MTS Ukraine paid the entire amount due for the license and received the UMTS license that is valid for a period of 15 years.

According to the tender terms, all regional centers of Ukraine have to be covered with 3G communication (within the bands granted to the successful bidder) within 18 months from the date of the license issuance, all settlements with a population of over 10,000 have to be covered with 3G

Table of Contents

communication within the period of 6 years. Successful bidder's portion of radio frequency conversion costs are to be financed under the Plan on Conversion of Radio Frequency Bands approved by NCCIR. Successful bidders are obliged to obtain the approval of NCCIR, "special users," such as military bodies and the Ministry of Internal Affairs of Ukraine, and other 3G licenses purchasers on requirements specification, including description of conversion terms and conditions. After signing a contract on conversion, MTS Ukraine will be able to start the process of obtaining permissive documents on exploitation of 3G network (base stations) equipment. However, the mechanism of conversion is not yet clearly defined, which may have a substantial impact on the terms of service deployment.

Our inability to comply with the license conditions may negatively affect our business. In addition, supplementary investment might be required for conversion of radio frequencies and deployment of 3G services. Either of the foregoing may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

***Failure to renew our licenses or receive renewed or new licenses with similar terms to our existing licenses could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.***

Our telecommunications licenses have their expiration dates in various years from 2015 to 2030. These licenses may be renewed upon application to the relevant governmental authorities. Government officials in Russia and the other CIS countries in which we operate consider the compliance with license requirements as well as the conditions of using the allocated frequency range when deciding whether to renew a license. License renewals may be subject to additional conditions, such as payment obligations or the mandatory modernization of our network.

In addition, we may be subject to penalties or our licenses may be suspended or terminated for non-compliance with the new license requirements. The suspension or loss of certain licenses could significantly limit our operations and cause certain of our debt to be accelerated.

The current license to construct and maintain the telecommunication network and provide services with them was granted to MTS Ukraine on July 20, 2010 and terminated on December 3, 2013. On October 15, 2013, NCCIR refused to renew the current license and recommended that MTS Ukraine receive a new license to provide operations in telecommunications sphere. Receiving a new license involves additional costs in comparison with the renewal of the current one and MTS Ukraine filed a lawsuit against NCCIR seeking to declare the failure to renew the license as unlawful. On November 19, 2013, the claims of MTS Ukraine were satisfied and on January 28, 2014, NCCIR prolonged the terms of MTS Ukraine's license for 5 years. At the same time, on January 27, 2014 NCCIR filed an appeal against the decision of the District Administrative Court of Kiev.

Taking into account possible long-term lawsuits on extension of the license for rendering cellular network services in 2G standard, MTS Ukraine asked NCCIR to provide a new license with no restrictions in special conditions (technologically neutral), which may be used for different technologies GSM, UMTS and LTE. On January 27, 2015, NCCIR made a decision on granting the license for a 15-year period. Obtaining such license will make it possible for MTS Ukraine to reduce expenses and simplify the process of introduction of new technologies.

On January 22, 2015, the Supreme Court of Ukraine considered the appeal submitted by NCCIR and cancelled decisions of the Court of Appeal and the District Administrative Court and issued a new court decision rejecting the claim of MTS Ukraine. On March 13, 2015, the District Administrative Court of Kiev accepted the application of NCCIR to overturn the execution of the decision on prolongation of our license for rendering cellular network services in 2G standard. At the hearing, it was decided to postpone the case till April 27, 2015. The outcome of the investigation is currently unclear, including any liabilities that might be imposed on us.

Table of Contents

Failure to renew our telecommunications licenses or receive renewed or new licenses with similar terms to existing licenses could significantly limit our operations, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Until March 2014, telecommunications operators carried out activities and received licenses in Crimea in compliance with Ukrainian legislation. However, following the referendum in Crimea on March 16, 2014 in favor of joining the Russian Federation and consequent developments in the region, various countries recognized Crimean secession whereas others did not, therefore, our licensing status in Crimea, as well as the ability to receive continuous cash flow was subject to uncertainty. In addition, due to technical issues that have curtailed our ability to provide telecommunication services to our customers, we suspended our operations in Crimea on August 6, 2014. In October 2014, MTS Ukraine sold base stations, network infrastructure, IT and telecom equipment and certain other assets located in Crimea through an open tender procedure. See also Note 10 to our audited consolidated financial statements.

See also " Political and Social Risks Political instability in Ukraine could have a material adverse effect on our operations in Ukraine and on our business, financial condition and results of operations," and " A deterioration in relations between Russia and other former Soviet republics and/or the United States and the European Union could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects and the value of our shares and ADSs."

***If frequencies currently assigned to us are reassigned to other users or if we fail to obtain renewals of our frequency allocations, our network capacity will be constrained and our ability to expand limited, resulting in a loss of market share and lower revenues.***

There is a limited number of frequencies available for wireless operators in each of the regions in which we operate or hold licenses to operate. We are dependent on access to adequate spectrum allocation in each market in which we operate in order to maintain and expand our subscriber base. If frequencies are not allocated to us in the future in the required quantities, as well as with the geographic span and for time periods that would allow us to provide wireless services on a commercially feasible basis throughout all of our license areas, our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects may be materially adversely affected.

A loss of allocated spectrum, which is not replaced by other adequate allocations, could also have a substantial adverse impact on our network capacity. In addition, frequency allocations are often issued for periods that are shorter than the terms of the licenses, and such allocations may not be renewed in a timely manner or at all. If our frequencies are revoked or we are unable to renew our frequency allocations, our network capacity would be constrained and our ability to expand limited, resulting in a loss of market share and lower revenues.

***An increase in the fees for frequency spectrum usage could have a negative effect on our financial results.***

The terms of our licenses in Russia and the CIS require that we make payments for frequency spectrum usage. Any significant increase in the fees payable for the frequency channels that we use or additional frequency channels that we need in Russia or the CIS could have a negative effect on our financial results.

On January 1, 2015, a new procedure of payment for frequency spectrum usage came into force. According to the newly adopted amendments, the fees for frequency spectrum usage are to be calculated based on the total frequency band allocated to each operator in each region with such frequency spectrum usage determined with reference to the decision of the State Commission for Radio Frequencies, frequency allocation decisions or to the license conditions. To implement this principle, on November 13, 2013, Governmental Regulation No. 1017 was adopted, which amends the Regulation of the Government of the Russian Federation No. 171 dated March 16, 2011 "On

Table of Contents

establishing of a single fee and an annual fee for the use of radio frequency spectrum of the Russian Federation and on collection of such fees."

Furthermore, the order of the Ministry of Communications No. 279 dated September 4, 2014 introduced corresponding amendments into the "Methodology of calculation of a single fee and annual fee for the use of the radio spectrum of the Russian Federation," approved by the order of the Ministry of Communications dated June 30, 2011 No. 164. Fees are directly calculated according to this methodology. Under the new order, rates and coefficients are subject to revision at least once every two years.

Similarly, in April 2010, the Cabinet of Ministers of Ukraine significantly increased the fees for frequency spectrum usage in Ukraine for cellular communications. Furthermore, according to the Tax Code of Ukraine, the fees payable for frequency usage were to be determined based in part on the rate of inflation and reviewed annually effective January 1, 2011. Accordingly, the fees for frequency usage were increased by 8.9% in 2012 as compared to 2011, by 8% in 2013 as compared to 2012, and were doubled from April 1, 2014 as compared to 2013. Commencing January 1, 2015 fee for radio spectrum usage has been transformed into rent payment (fee amount remained unchanged).

***If we are unable to maintain our favorable brand image, we may be unable to attract new subscribers and retain existing subscribers, which may lead to loss of market share and revenues.***

Developing and maintaining awareness of our brands is critical to informing and educating the public about our current and future services and is an important element in attracting new subscribers. We believe that the importance of brand recognition is increasing as our markets become more competitive. Successful promotion of our brands will depend largely on the effectiveness of our marketing efforts and on our ability to provide reliable and useful products and services at competitive prices. Brand promotion activities may not yield increased operating revenues, and even if they do, such operating revenues may not offset the operating expenses we incur in building our brands.

Furthermore, our ability to attract new subscribers and retain existing subscribers depends, in part, on our ability to maintain what we believe to be our favorable brand image. Negative publicity or rumors regarding our company, our shareholders and affiliates or our services could negatively affect this brand image, which could lead to loss of market share and revenues. Our failure to successfully and efficiently promote and maintain our brands may limit our ability to attract new subscribers and retain our existing subscribers and materially adversely affect our business and results of operations.

***We engage in transactions with related parties, which may present conflicts of interest, potentially resulting in the conclusion of transactions on terms not determined by market forces.***

We have purchased interests in various telecommunications companies from Sistema and entered into agreements with subsidiaries and affiliates of Sistema for the provision of advertising services (Advertising Agency Maxima OJSC ("Maxima"), connectivity facilities and telephone numbering capacity (MGTS), IT services and hardware purchases (Sitronics Telecom Solutions CJSC, Sitronics Smart Technologies LLC, NVision Special Projects LLC and NVision Group JSC), banking services (MTS Bank, formerly Moscow Bank of Reconstruction and Development ("MBRD")), telecommunication services (Stream LLC), medical services (Medsi Group CJSC), the purchase of a billing system (Sitronics OJSC), maintenance of the residential and commercial real estate (City-Telecom CJSC) and other services. Related party transactions with Sistema and other companies within the Sistema group may present conflicts of interest, potentially resulting in the conclusion of transactions on terms not determined by market forces. See "Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions B. Related Party Transactions."

Table of Contents

***In the event that our minority shareholders or the minority shareholders of our subsidiaries were to successfully challenge past or future interested party transactions, or do not approve interested party transactions or other matters in the future, we could be limited in our operational flexibility and our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects could be materially adversely affected.***

We own less than 100% of the equity interests in some of our subsidiaries. In addition, certain of our wholly owned subsidiaries have had other shareholders in the past. We and our subsidiaries in the past have carried out, and continue to carry out, transactions that may be considered to be "interested party transactions" under Russian law, requiring approval by disinterested directors, disinterested independent directors or disinterested shareholders depending on the nature of the transaction and parties involved. The provisions of Russian law defining which transactions must be approved as "interested party transactions" are subject to different interpretations and, as a result, it is possible that our and our subsidiaries' interpretation and application of these provisions could be subject to challenge. Any such challenges, if successful, could result in the invalidation of transactions, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

In addition, Russian law requires a three-quarters majority vote of the holders of voting stock present at a shareholders' meeting to approve certain transactions and other matters, including, for example, charter amendments, major transactions involving assets in excess of 50% of the assets of the company, repurchase of shares by the company and certain share issuances. In some cases, minority shareholders may not approve interested party transactions requiring their approval or other matters requiring minority shareholder or supermajority approval. In the event that these minority shareholders were to successfully challenge past interested party transactions, or do not approve interested party transactions or other matters in the future, we could be limited in our operational flexibility and our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects could be materially adversely affected.

***Our competitive position and future prospects depend on our senior managers and other key personnel and our inability to attract, retain and motivate qualified key personnel could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.***

Our ability to maintain our competitive position and to implement our business strategy is dependent to a large degree on the services of our senior management team and other key personnel. Moreover, competition in Russia and in the other countries where we operate for personnel with relevant expertise is intense due to the relatively small number of qualified individuals. As a result, we attempt to structure our compensation packages in a manner consistent with the evolving standards of the labor markets in these countries. We are not insured against the detrimental effects to our business resulting from the loss or dismissal of our key personnel. In addition, it is not common practice in Russia and the other countries where we operate to purchase key-man insurance policies, and we do not carry such policies for our senior management and other key personnel. The loss or decline in services of members of our senior management team or an inability to attract, retain and motivate qualified key personnel could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

***The entry of mobile virtual network operators into the Russian mobile communications market could increase competition and subscriber churn, resulting in a loss of our market share and decreased revenue.***

On December 29, 2008, the Ministry of Communications and Mass Media adopted an order establishing the requirements for mobile virtual network operators ("MVNOs"). MVNOs are companies that provide mobile communications services but do not own the radio frequencies and, often, the network infrastructure required to do so. According to the order, MVNOs in Russia must be licensed, and their use of frequencies and infrastructure and rendering of services will be done pursuant to agreements entered into between MVNOs and existing frequency holders. There is no requirement

Table of Contents

that existing frequency holders transact with the MVNOs, and agreements between them will be entered into at their option.

The aim of the Ministry in establishing the legal framework for MVNOs to operate is to increase competition in the Russian mobile services market, which is currently dominated by us, Vimpelcom and MegaFon. While existing frequency holders, including us, may receive revenues from MVNOs for the use of our frequencies and network infrastructure, we expect these revenues to be lower than the revenues we would receive if providing services directly to subscribers. In addition, in the event we lose subscribers to MVNOs that lease their frequencies and infrastructure from an operator other than us, we will be deprived of the revenue streams from both the subscribers and the MVNOs. The MVNOs may also establish aggressive tariffs, which could result in increased subscriber churn and/or driving down the tariffs of all mobile operators.

In December 2011, Scartel reached an agreement with MegaFon and Rostelecom to allow them to provide LTE services through Scartel's network in exchange for permitting Scartel to use the two companies' network infrastructure. In February 2012, Scartel and MegaFon received the necessary licenses to allow MegaFon to provide such services over the Scartel LTE network.

In February 2014, the Russian Government approved a "Development of competition in telecommunications" roadmap, which provides for the preparation of a report on realization of the MVNO business model. Following Government Commission on Communication, which was held on June 6, 2014, regarding "development of "virtual operators" institute of mobile radio telephone communication in the Russian Federation," the deputy Minister on Communication noted that MNVO operators should be regulated by the market and that no additional restrictive regulation is required. At the same time, the Ministry of Communication of the Russian Federation proposed to develop and adopt an order stipulating requirements on rendering data transmission services and telematics communication services when using a business model of virtual data transmission networks. As of April 15, 2015, this order of the Ministry of Communications of the Russian Federation has not been adopted.

In April 2014, it was announced that Scartel launched the federal mobile operator (under the Yota brand), providing subscribers with 2G, 3G and 4G coverages. The operator provides voice and sms services on Megafon's network via MVNO. In November 2013, MTS together with Svyaznoy launched an MVNO operator (under the Svyaznoy Mobile brand) that provides services on the basis of our infrastructure. In September 2014, MGTS started to provide mobile services in Moscow on the basis of our infrastructure as well.

The emergence of any new MVNO operators in the market or any of the foregoing trends could increase market competition and subscriber churn and, as a result, have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

***A finding by FAS that we have acted in contravention of antimonopoly legislation could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operation.***

Our businesses have grown substantially through the acquisition and formation of companies, many of which required the prior approval of, or subsequent notification to, FAS or its predecessor agencies. In part, relevant legislation in certain cases restricts the acquisition or formation of companies by groups of companies or individuals acting in concert without such prior FAS approval. While we believe that we have complied with the applicable legislation for our acquisitions and formation of new companies, this legislation is sometimes vague and subject to varying interpretations. If FAS were to conclude that our acquisition or formation of a new company was done in contravention of applicable legislation, it could impose administrative sanctions and require the divestiture of such company or other assets, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

In October 2010, FAS found that we, Vimpelcom and MegaFon violated antimonopoly laws on competition relating to our pricing for roaming services. As a result, FAS imposed an administrative fine on us in the amount of RUB 21.9 million which represents 1.0% of the revenues we derived from roaming services in CIS countries in 2009. We paid the fine imposed on us by FAS on March 28, 2011.

In addition, in October 2011, FAS began an investigation of our and Vimpelcom's actions, suspecting violation of antimonopoly laws by coordinated pricing of iPhone 4 handsets. On April 26, 2012 we and Vimpelcom were found to be in violation of the Competition law through coordinating prices from September 2010 through April 2011, however, FAS also noted that these violations were voluntarily rectified, and terminated the proceedings as a result. On July 17, 2012, FAS imposed a turn-over based fine of RUB 16.9 million on us, which we complied with.

In November 2012, FAS began an investigation of the contractual relationship between operators and content providers and in December 2012 issued a warning to us and Vimpelcom requesting each of us to cease the violation of antimonopoly laws, particularly relating, to solicitation of services to the subscribers. We and Vimpelcom complied with the requirements and on February 7, 2013, FAS closed the case.

In October 2013, the FAS regional office in the Pskov Region began an investigation in relation to an alleged violation by us, Vimpelcom and Megafon of antimonopoly law by coordinating pricing of the mobile data services on the territory of Pskov Region. The investigation was terminated in December 2013 due to the absence of breach of antimonopoly law of mobile operators.

If FAS finds our actions insufficient to rectify past violations of antimonopoly laws or issues new warnings and requests in the future, *inter alia*, in other regions, this could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

***A finding by the AMC that we have acted in contravention of antimonopoly legislation could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.***

In December 2011, the AMC opened an investigation into whether MTS Ukraine violated antimonopoly legislation with its pricing of international roaming services. The AMC stated that the average price of international roaming services offered by MTS Ukraine and its roaming partners was higher than the corresponding prices in the European Union, which might demonstrate that the prices charged by MTS Ukraine were not economically justified. The investigation aimed to examine whether MTS Ukraine used its dominant position in the Ukrainian telecommunications market to establish prices that would not be possible if there was significant competition on the telecommunications market. Although we believe that we did not violate antimonopoly laws, we could be liable for up to 10% of MTS Ukraine revenues. In December, 2012 the AMC issued obligatory recommendations to MTS Ukraine and Kyivstar to lower the prices both for international roaming services and national mobile services. In December 2012 MTS Ukraine submitted a report discussing the implementation of these recommendations and in January 2013, both claims of AMC were dismissed and no penalties were imposed on us. However, the AMC may determine that we violated antimonopoly legislation in this or other matters, and may impose fines on us, which may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operation. In addition, we may be required to adjust the prices that we charge for international roaming services, which may adversely affect our revenues. See also " Governmental regulation of SMP operators in Ukraine could adversely affect our results of operations" and "Item 4. Information on Our Company B. Business Overview Regulation of Telecommunications in the Russian Federation and Ukraine Regulation in Ukraine Competition" for additional information.

Table of Contents

*If we are found to have a dominant position in the markets where we operate, the government may regulate our subscriber tariffs and restrict our operations.*

Under Russian legislation, a company controlling between 35%-50% or over 50% of a market or otherwise able to control market conditions may be found by FAS as dominant in such market. Moreover, under Russian antimonopoly regulations any three companies collectively holding a market share of over 50% or five companies collectively holding a market share of over 70%, and in each case over 8% individually, can be found to have a dominant position on a certain market. However, in some cases a company could be categorized as dominant even if its share of the corresponding market is less than 35%. Companies controlling over 35% or otherwise occupying a dominant position on the market are listed by FAS in a special register and may become subject to special monitoring and reporting requirements with respect to such markets. Current Russian legislation does not clearly define "market" in terms of the types of services or the geographic area. One of our subsidiaries, MGTS, is categorized by the Federal Tariff Service as a natural monopoly in the Moscow telecommunications market. As a result, MGTS' tariffs are subject to regulation by the Federal Tariff Service. Another of our subsidiaries, Comstar-regions, operating in Khanty Mansiysk Autonomous District-Yugra among others, is categorized as a natural monopoly in the public telecommunications market, at the same time, according to the Federal Tariff Service Order No. 897 dated May 30, 2014, price regulation in certain territories is not applied with respect to Comstar-regions. See " MGTS is subject to extensive regulation of tariffs, and these tariffs may not fully compensate us for the cost of providing required services."

We were also found by FAS to be a company with a market share exceeding 35% in the communications market in the Ivanovo Region, Kurgan Region, Magadan Region, Sakhalin Region, Nenets Autonomous District and Udmurt Republic. In the event that we are found in the future to have a dominant position on these or any additional markets, FAS would have the right to impose certain restrictions provided for under the antimonopoly laws, including a mandated reduction in our tariffs, and FAS would have the right to impose certain restrictions on our operations in such markets. See "Item 4. Information on Our Company B. Business Overview Regulation of Telecommunications in the Russian Federation and Ukraine Regulation in the Russian Federation Competition, Interconnect and Pricing" for additional information.

In case we are found to have dominant position, we can be subject to penalties and a turn-over based fine may be imposed on us in relation to certain violations of antimonopoly law. The level of fine is from 1% to 15% of revenue on the market where the violation was conducted, with 8% being the base level of the fine.

Additionally, MTS Ukraine, was found to be a company with a dominant position in the telecommunications market and is subject to certain government imposed restrictions, including limitations on the interconnect rates it can charge other operators. See " Governmental regulation of SMP operators in Ukraine could adversely affect our results of operations" and "Item 4. Information on Our Company B. Business Overview Regulation of Telecommunications in the Russian Federation and Ukraine Regulation in Ukraine Competition" for additional information.

If we or any of our subsidiaries were to be found by FAS (or the AMC with respect to our operations in Ukraine) to be economic subjects occupying a dominant market position, FAS and the Federal Tariff Service (or the AMC, as the case may be) would have the power to impose certain restrictions on our or their businesses. In particular, the authorities may impose on us tariffs at levels that could be competitively disadvantageous for us. Additionally, geographic restrictions on our expansion could reduce our subscriber base and prevent us from fully implementing our business strategy, which may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.



Table of Contents

***If we or any of our mobile operator subsidiaries operating in Russia are identified as an operator occupying a "substantial position," the regulator may reduce our interconnect tariffs which, in turn, may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.***

In addition to the regulation of dominant operators by FAS, the Federal Law on Communications provides for the special regulation of telecommunications operators occupying a "substantial position," i.e., operators which, together with their affiliates, have 25% or more of installed capacity or capacity to carry out transmission of not less than 25% of traffic in a geographically defined zone within the Russian Federation. These regulations provide for governmental regulation of the key terms of such operators' interconnect agreements, including the interconnect tariffs. In addition, such operators are required to develop standard key terms of interconnect agreements and publish them as a public offer made to all operators who intend to interconnect to the networks of those operators. For additional information, see "Item 4. Information on Our Company B. Business Overview Regulation of Telecommunications in the Russian Federation and Ukraine Regulation in the Russian Federation."

At present, the foregoing regulations apply only to fixed line operators in Russia and therefore apply to our fixed line business. Draft legislation was introduced in 2008 that would extend the law to apply to mobile operators. Although the proposed law was not adopted, the risk that similar legislation will be introduced and adopted in the future remains. If legislation which extends the foregoing regulations to apply to mobile operators is adopted, and we and any of our mobile operator subsidiaries operating in Russia are identified as operators occupying a "substantial position," regulators may reduce our interconnect tariffs which, in turn, may have a material adverse effect on our revenues, financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, MGTS is categorized as fixed line operator occupying a substantial position in the Moscow telecommunications market and therefore its interconnect tariffs are subject to state regulation. In January 2013, Comstar-UTS was excluded from the List of "substantial operators" in Moscow and MTS was not included therein. There is however a probability that we could be categorized as fixed line operator occupying a substantial position in Moscow due to our affiliation with MGTS and because of our integration with Comstar-UTS. As a result of the state regulation of the relevant interconnection rates, substantial operators may be unable to increase these in line with economic developments or any increases of our relevant costs, resulting in a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. See also " MGTS is subject to extensive regulation of tariffs, and these tariffs may not fully compensate us for the cost of providing required services."

***MGTS is subject to extensive regulation of tariffs, and these tariffs may not fully compensate us for the cost of providing required services.***

As the PSTN operator in Moscow, MGTS is considered to be a company holding a dominant position as well as a natural monopoly in the Moscow telecommunications market under Russian antimonopoly regulations. Consequently, the Federal Tariff Service regulates MGTS' tariffs for most services provided to its PSTN subscribers, including installation fees, fees for using customer lines, local call charges (flat-rate, time-based and combined payment systems), monthly subscription fees (for subscribers to the unlimited tariff plan) and local call charges (for subscribers who do not use the unlimited tariff plan). In addition, the Federal Law on Communications also provides for the special regulation of telecommunications operators occupying a "substantial position," i.e., operators which together with their affiliates have, in the Russian Federation generally or in a geographically defined specific numerical zone, 25% or more of installed capacity or capacity to carry out transmission of not less than 25% of traffic. MGTS was added to the register of telecommunications operators occupying a substantial position in 2006. Accordingly, MGTS tariffs for connection and transmission of traffic are subject to regulation by the Federal Agency on Communications. While we believe the tariffs currently set by the Federal Tariff Service and the Federal Agency on Communications are sufficient to compensate us for the costs of providing these services, future tariffs may increase in parallel with corresponding increases in our costs and/or inflation.

Table of Contents

Although MGTS is permitted to petition the Federal Tariff Service for increases in tariffs based on such criteria as inflation, increased costs and the need for network investments, it is possible that future requested increases may not be granted or that the Federal Tariff Service may not adequately take such factors into account in setting tariffs. If the permissible tariffs applicable to MGTS do not compensate MGTS for the cost of providing services, the business and results of operations could be materially adversely affected. See also " If we or any of our mobile operator subsidiaries operating in Russia are identified as an operator occupying a "substantial position," the regulator may reduce our interconnect tariffs which, in turn, may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations."

***Changes to the rules and regulations involving roaming charges in Russia may adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.***

The Russian government has stated its intention to monitor the pricing of roaming services and several draft laws have been submitted for consideration to the State Duma, which are intended to change the regulation of so-called "national" (between networks) and "intra-network" (within network) roaming in Russia by introducing a flat national roaming tariff and eliminating intra-network roaming tariffs for incoming calls. It is not clear whether this legislation will be adopted. However, if the new legislation is adopted, we believe that our revenues from the provision of roaming services would decline considerably, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

See also " A finding by FAS that we have acted in contravention of antimonopoly legislation could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operation."

***Compliance with the new regulations on International Mobile Equipment Identity ("IMEI") numbers may present us with technical difficulties and may lead to the expenditure of significant resources.***

A draft law that enable each mobile communications subscriber to register the user terminal free-of-charge on a database maintained by the operator, chiefly to prevent their unlawful use was previously considered in Ukraine. Aimed at discouraging theft, the draft law obligated operators to suspend or block the traffic transmission of the terminal upon the application of subscriber. On February 18, 2015, the draft law was sent for revision. A similar draft law was rejected by the Russian State Duma. If it is adopted in the future, we may be required to develop a system to monitor IMEI numbers, and we may need to establish and maintain a database of IMEI numbers, which would necessitate the expenditure of significant technical and financial resources.

***The accession of Russia into the World Trade Organization ("WTO") may lead to legislative and other changes which may adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operation.***

On December 16, 2011, Russia signed the accession protocol in order to enter into the WTO which was ratified by Federal Law on July 21, 2012 and became mandatory law in Russia. This may lead to potentially significant changes in Russian legislation including, among others, regulation of foreign investments in Russian companies, competition laws, telecommunications laws, changes in the taxation system and customs regulations in Russia. In addition, the implementation of the WTO rules may lead to the increase of competition on the markets we operate. It is unclear yet if and when these legislative developments may take place. However, if new legislation is implemented in Russia as a result of accession to the WTO and there is an increase in competition, this could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

***We may be required to make significant investments beyond those that are currently planned to preserve our competitive advantage in response to the rapid evolution of fixed network technology (inter alia our subsidiaries, for example MGTS).***

MGTS has completed its migration from analogue public switch telephone network to digital technologies. In 2011, MGTS commenced building an access network employing the Gigabit-capable Passive Optical Network ("GPON") technology which enabled MGTS to enlarge the range of services by introducing High definition television ("HDTV"), video monitoring and other interactive services. However, we could encounter certain difficulties in the process of installing fiber-optic equipment in the subscribers' apartments due to the necessity of conducting adjustment works which could result in fractional subscriber churn.

MGTS invested approximately RUB 9.232 billion in 2012, RUB 13.547 billion in 2013, RUB 11.935 billion in 2014 to upgrade its infrastructure. If MGTS is not able to upgrade its network in a timely manner or if it is required to make significant investments beyond those that are currently planned, our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects could be materially adversely affected.

***Our intellectual property rights are costly and difficult to protect.***

We regard our copyrights, trademarks, trade secrets and similar intellectual property, including our rights to certain domain names, as important to our continued success. We rely upon trademark and copyright law, trade secret protection and confidentiality or license agreements with our employees, customers, partners and others to protect our proprietary rights. Nonetheless, intellectual property rights are especially difficult to protect in the markets where we operate. In these markets, the regulatory agencies charged with protecting intellectual property rights are inadequately funded, legislation is underdeveloped, piracy is commonplace and enforcement of court decisions is difficult.

A special court for intellectual property began operating in July 2013 as a new body in the system of Arbitrazh court for dealing with cases relating to protection of intellectual property. It is too early to say how it will influence the quality of protection of intellectual property rights in Russia.

In addition, litigation may be necessary to enforce our intellectual property rights, to determine the validity and scope of the proprietary rights of others, or to defend against claims of infringement. Any such litigation may result in substantial costs and diversion of resources, and, if decided unfavorably to us, could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations. We also may incur substantial acquisition or settlement costs where doing so would strengthen or expand our intellectual property rights or limit our exposure to intellectual property claims of third parties.

Due to adoption of the Federal Law No. 35 dated March 12, 2014 which introduced significant amendments to the fourth part of the Civil Code of the Russian Federation, the rules of intellectual property rights regulation changed. Lack of law enforcement practice of the changed provisions of the Civil Code may cause difficulties in protection of our rights and legitimate interests.

***Changes that are being implemented in current sales and customer care processes of MTS, creation of new information technology services, migration of fixed B2B and B2C subscribers to a single information technology solution may destabilize our information technology solutions, which could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.***

Changes in current sales and customer care processes, creation of new information technology services, migration of fixed B2B and B2C subscribers to a single information technology solution may increase our operational risks and expenses and inconvenience subscribers. The failure or breakdown of key components of our infrastructure in the future, including our billing system and its susceptibility to fraud, could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

Table of Contents

***If leaks of confidential information, including information relating to our subscribers, occur it may negatively impact our reputation and our brand image and lead to a loss of market share, which could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.***

Although we make efforts to protect confidential information, breaches of security and leaks of confidential information, including information relating to our subscribers, may negatively impact our reputation and our brand image and result in a loss of market share or otherwise have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. For example, in January 2003, part of our database of subscribers, containing private subscriber information, was illegally copied and stolen. In addition, in May 2003, certain subscriber databases of several operators in the North-West Region, including those of us, MegaFon, Delta Telecom and two other operators, were stolen. In each case, the stolen databases were thereafter available for sale in Russia. Despite the measures taken, we cannot completely exclude the possibility of such incidents in the future. See also " Legal Risks and Uncertainties Our failure to comply with new personal data protection laws and with the regulations of state authorities regarding information security in the telecommunications networks in Russia may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations."

***Alleged medical risks of cellular technology may subject us to negative publicity or litigation, decrease our access to base station sites, diminish subscriber usage and hinder access to additional financing.***

Electromagnetic emissions from transmitter masts and mobile handsets may harm the health of individuals exposed for long periods of time to these emissions. The actual or perceived health risks of transmitter masts and mobile handsets could materially adversely affect us or our subsidiaries by reducing subscriber growth, reducing usage per subscriber, increasing the number of product liability lawsuits, increasing the difficulty in obtaining or maintaining sites for base stations and/or reducing the financing available to the wireless communications industry. Each of these potential circumstances may adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Under the draft law on "Defending against negative electromagnetic emissions from base stations of mobile network" which was proposed in Ukraine in December 2013, a mobile phone base station was classified as a potentially hazardous object. To date the draft law is excluded from the agenda. The installation of base stations was assumed to be made taking into account an environmental impact assessment at the expense of operators and a base station's operations could be terminated if a hazardous effect on health was established. If this draft law is adopted in the future it may lead to an increase in the costs of deploying base stations and increase the maintenance costs of MTS Ukraine.

**Risks Relating to our Financial Condition**

***We may be adversely affected by the current economic environment.***

As a result of the credit market crisis (including uncertainties with respect to financial institutions and the global capital markets), decreased prices for major export commodities (including oil and metals) and other macro-economic challenges currently affecting many of the economies in which we operate, our subscribers' disposable incomes and our vendors' cash flows may be adversely impacted. Consequently, subscribers may modify or decrease their usage of our services or fail to pay the outstanding balances on their accounts, and vendors may significantly increase their prices, eliminate vendor financing or reduce their output.

We may also experience increases in accounts receivable and bad debt among corporate subscribers, some of whom may face liquidity problems and potential bankruptcy, as well as the potential bankruptcy of our corporate partners. The deterioration of economies in the countries of our operation may lead, *inter alia*, to insolvency of financial institutions, which in turn may impact our business and financial condition.

Table of Contents

The strained political situation in Ukraine coupled with an economic downturn resulted in financial difficulties in the banking system, including notably liquidity risks. As we hold the bulk of excess hryvnia and foreign currency cash in Ukrainian banks, a banking crisis or the bankruptcy or insolvency of the banks from which we receive or with which we hold our funds could result in the loss of our deposits or affect our ability to complete banking transactions in Ukraine, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. For example, we incurred a charge to operating income for the fourth quarter 2014 due to losses stemming from the insolvency of DeltaBank in Ukraine. See Note 5 to our audited consolidated financial statements. On March 19, 2015 another Ukrainian bank, PJSC Kiyvska Rus, was declared insolvent by the National Bank of Ukraine. See also Note 31 to our audited consolidated financial statements.

At the end of 2011, inflation in Belarus increased by 108.7% followed by the local currency depreciation which resulted in a decline of purchasing power. At the end of 2012 and 2013, inflation amounted to 21.8% and 16.5%, correspondingly. At the end of 2014, inflation amounted to 16.2%. See also " Inflation could increase our costs and adversely affect our results of operations."

A decline in subscriber usage, an increase in bad debts, material changes in equipment pricing or financing terms or the potential bankruptcy of our corporate subscribers or partners may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

In addition, a deterioration in macroeconomic conditions could require us to reassess the value of goodwill on certain of our assets, recorded as a difference between the fair value of the assets of business acquired and its purchase price. This goodwill is subject to impairment tests on an ongoing basis. The weakening macroeconomic conditions in the countries in which we operate and/or a significant difference between the performance of an acquired company and the business case assumed at the time of acquisition could require us to write down the value of the goodwill or portion of such value. Future write downs relating to the value of the goodwill or portion of such value could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

***Continued turmoil in the credit markets could cause our business, financial condition, results of operations and the value of our shares and ADSs to suffer.***

Sanctions introduced by the United States and the European Union with respect to the Russian Federation coupled with an economic downturn caused significant capital outflow, ruble depreciation, rise of credit rates in the domestic market and lack of available financing. A majority of Russian companies continue to experience difficulties accessing their cash equivalents, trading investment securities, drawing on revolvers, issuing debt and raising capital generally. A continuation or repetition of this downturn in the global financial markets as well as toughening or extension of international sanctions against Russia and resulting volatility of the trading price of our shares and ADSs may negatively impact our ability to obtain financing on commercially reasonable terms either on foreign or domestic markets and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

***Our inability to generate sufficient free cash flow to satisfy our debt service obligations or to refinance debt on commercially reasonable terms, could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.***

We have a substantial amount of outstanding indebtedness, primarily consisting of the obligations we entered into in connection with our notes and bank loans. As of December 31, 2014, our consolidated total debt, including capital lease obligations, was RUB 292,391 million. Our interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2014 was RUB 16,453 million, net of amounts capitalized.

Our ability to service, repay and refinance our indebtedness and to fund planned capital expenditures will depend on our ability to generate cash in the future. This, to a certain extent, is

Table of Contents

subject to general economic, financial, competitive, legislative, regulatory and other factors that are beyond our control. If we are unable to generate sufficient cash flow or otherwise obtain funds necessary to make required payments, we may default under the terms of our financial indebtedness, and the holders of our indebtedness would be able to accelerate the maturity of such indebtedness, potentially causing cross-defaults under and acceleration of our other indebtedness. The existing debt service is becoming more complicated due to our dependence on floating interest rates on the financial markets.

We may not be able to generate sufficient cash flow or access international or domestic capital markets or incur additional loans to enable us to service or repay our indebtedness or to fund our other liquidity needs. We may be required to refinance all or a portion of our indebtedness on or before maturity for a number of reasons; for example, the terms of some of our loan agreements may require us to prepay the loan in certain circumstances, such as a deterioration in our credit rating, we are delisted or our retained earnings drop below a certain level. This, in turn, may force us to sell assets, reduce or delay capital expenditures or seek additional capital. Refinancing or additional financing may not be available on commercially reasonable terms or at all, and we may not be able to sell our assets or, if sold, the proceeds therefrom may not be sufficient to meet our debt service obligations. Our inability to generate sufficient cash flow to satisfy our debt service obligations, or to refinance debt on commercially reasonable terms, would materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects. See "Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects B. Liquidity and Capital Resources."

***Ruble depreciation and regulatory changes in foreign currency regulation could increase our costs, decrease our available funds, or make it more difficult for us to comply with financial covenants and to repay our debts and would affect the value of dividends received by holders of ADSs.***

Since March 2014, the ruble has significantly depreciated against the U.S. dollar and has experienced high short-term volatility. Such dynamics are explained by external geopolitical factors, limited financial markets, decrease in oil prices, international ratings agencies' downgrades of Russia's sovereign rating, reduction in internal consumption and other factors that have directly or indirectly affected the ruble.

For example, on December 31, 2010, the official exchange rate published by the CBR was 30.47 rubles per U.S. dollar, whereas on December 31, 2012, the official exchange rate was 30.37 rubles per one U.S. dollar, as compared to 32.19 rubles per U.S. dollar on December 31, 2011. The ruble continued to depreciate against the U.S. dollar reaching 32.73 rubles per one U.S. dollar on December 31, 2013, whereas on December 31, 2014, it increased to 56.26 rubles per one U.S. dollar.

The ruble has also depreciated against the euro. On December 31, 2012, the official exchange rate was 40.23 rubles per one euro, as compared to 41.67 rubles per one euro on December 31, 2011. As of December 31, 2013 the exchange rate was 44.97 rubles per one euro whereas on December 31, 2014 it increased to 68.34 rubles per one euro. See also " Changes in the exchange rate of local currencies in the countries where we operate against the Russian ruble could adversely impact our revenues reported in Russian rubles as well as changes in the exchange rate of the Russian ruble and local currencies against the U.S. dollar and/or euro could adversely impact our costs in terms of the Russian ruble and local currencies."

Currently, the Russian foreign currency market is regulated by legislation, which is aimed at liberalization of currency regulation and lowering of administrative barriers. This legislation provides a general framework and a set of rules, within which both the Russian government and the CBR are authorized to propose various regulations, which result in uncertainty for us in carrying out importation of equipment. The CBR from time to time has imposed various currency-trading restrictions in attempts to support the ruble. On November 5, 2014, the CBR limited the daily volume of operations

Table of Contents

with foreign currency to 350 million U.S. dollars for the purpose of the ruble's stabilization. At the same time, in case threats to financial stability emerge, the CBR will have an option to carry out additional interventions on the domestic foreign exchange market. The stability of the ruble will depend on many political and economic factors. These include the ability of the government to finance the state budget without recourse to monetary emissions, to control the level of interest rates and inflation. Furthermore, changes in foreign currency regulation may affect our ability to fund payments denominated in foreign currency and result in us entering into supplementary agreements with our foreign counterparts.

A significant portion of our capital expenditure and liabilities and borrowings are either denominated in or tightly linked to the U.S. dollar. Conversely, a majority of our revenues are denominated in rubles. As a result, devaluation of the ruble against the U.S. dollar can adversely affect us by increasing our costs in rubles, both in absolute terms and relative to our revenues, and make it more difficult to comply with the financial ratios contained in our various loan agreements or fund cash payments on our indebtedness on time. It also reduces the U.S. dollar value of tax savings arising from tax incentives for capital investment and the depreciation of our property, plant and equipment, since their basis for tax purposes is denominated in rubles at the time of the investment. Increased tax liability would also increase total expenses, which would have an adverse impact on our results.

We also anticipate that any dividends we may pay in the future on the shares represented by the ADSs will be declared and paid to the depositary in rubles and will be converted into U.S. dollars by the depositary and distributed to holders of the ADSs. Accordingly, the value of dividends received by holders of ADSs will be subject to fluctuations in the exchange rate between the ruble and the U.S. dollar. Depreciation of the ruble against the U.S. dollar could therefore materially adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and prospects and the value of the ADSs. See also "Item 11. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk Foreign Currency Risk."

***Changes in the exchange rate of local currencies in the countries where we operate against the Russian ruble could adversely impact our revenues reported in Russian rubles as well as changes in the exchange rate of the Russian ruble and local currencies against the U.S. dollar and/or euro could adversely impact our costs in terms of the Russian ruble and local currencies.***

A significant portion of our expenditures and liabilities, including capital expenditures and borrowings (including our U.S. dollar denominated notes), are either denominated in, or closely linked to, the U.S. dollar and/or euro, while substantially all of our revenues are denominated in local currencies of the countries where we operate. As a result, the devaluation of local currencies against the Russian ruble can adversely affect our revenues reported in Russian rubles and increase our costs in terms of local currencies. At the same time if the Russian ruble and local currencies decline against the U.S. dollar and/or euro and price increases cannot keep pace, we could have difficulty repaying or refinancing our U.S. dollar and/or euro-denominated indebtedness, including our U.S. dollar denominated notes. In addition, local regulatory restrictions on the purchase of hard currency in the majority of CIS countries (for example, Ukraine, Uzbekistan or Turkmenistan) may delay our ability to purchase equipment and services necessary for network expansion which, in turn, may cause difficulty in expanding our subscriber base in that country. Further, a portion of our cash balances is held in jurisdictions outside Russia, and as a result of exchange controls in those jurisdictions, these cash balances may not always be readily available for our use.

The official exchange rate of the Ukrainian hryvnia as of December 31, 2010 was 7.96 hryvnias per U.S. dollar, whereas as of each of December 31, 2011 and 2012 the exchange rate was 7.99 hryvnias per U.S. dollar. During this period the exchange rate was supported actively by currency interventions of the National Bank. Since then, Ukraine's continued economic crisis combined with political unrest and events in Crimea has led to the weakening of the hryvnia, with it rising from 7.99 hryvnias per U.S. Dollar on December 31, 2013 to a high of 15.77 hryvnias per U.S. dollar on December 31, 2014. As of

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

### Table of Contents

April 15, 2015, the official exchange rate of the Ukrainian hryvnia amounted 22.93 hryvnias per one U.S. dollar, reflecting capital outflow in response to international ratings agencies' downgrade of Ukraine's sovereign rating and to the continuing political instability in Ukraine. In February 2015, Fitch Ratings lowered Ukraine's long-term foreign currency issuer default ratings to CC from CCC. In April 2015, Standard & Poor's lowered Ukrainian long-term sovereign credit rating in foreign currency to CC with a negative outlook. See also " Political and Social Risks Political instability in Ukraine could have a material adverse effect on our operations in Ukraine and on our business, financial condition and results of operations."

The Belarusian ruble experienced significant volatility in 2011, with the official exchange rate falling from 3,000 rubles per one U.S. dollar as of January 1, 2011 to 4,970 rubles per one U.S. dollar as of June 1, 2011 and to 8,570 rubles per one U.S. dollar as of December 31, 2012. On May 23, 2011, the National Bank of the Republic of Belarus announced the significant devaluation of the Belarusian ruble against major foreign currencies to stabilize the situation on the foreign currency exchange market. As of December 31, 2014 the official exchange rate of the Belarusian ruble amounted to 11,850 Belarusian rubles per one U.S. dollar.

The economy of the Republic of Belarus is considered to be highly inflationary. The continued devaluation of the Belarusian ruble and the highly inflationary economy may adversely affect our revenues from this market. See also " Inflation could increase our costs and adversely affect our results of operations," "Item 11. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk Foreign Currency Risk."

***If we are unable to obtain adequate capital, we may have to limit our operations substantially, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.***

We have to make significant capital expenditures, particularly in connection with the development, construction and maintenance of, and the purchasing of necessary software for our mobile and fixed line networks. We spent RUB 87,783 million in 2012, RUB 81,575 million in 2013 and RUB 92,599 million in 2014 for the fulfillment of our capital spending plans. In addition, the acquisition of 3G and 4G licenses and frequency allocations and the build-out of our 3G, 4G and broadband Internet networks will require additional capital expenditures. However, future financings and cash flow from our operations may not be sufficient to meet our planned needs in the event of various unanticipated potential developments, including the following:

- a lack of external financing sources;
- changes in the terms of existing financing arrangements;
- construction of the wireless networks at a faster rate or higher capital cost than anticipated;
- pursuit of new business opportunities or investing in existing businesses that require significant investment;
- acquisitions or development of any additional wireless licenses;
- slower than anticipated subscriber growth;
- slower than anticipated revenue growth;
- regulatory developments;
- changes in existing interconnect arrangements; or
- a deterioration in the economies of the countries where we operate.



Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

In 2014 the United States and European Union announced sanctions applying to a number of Russian and Ukrainian individuals and associated institutions which were considered to have

Table of Contents

contributed to the situation in Ukraine and Crimea. Sanctions may be extended and our ability to gain external funding may be affected. See also " Political and Social Risks Political instability in Ukraine could have a material adverse effect on our operations in Ukraine and on our business, financial condition and results of operations," and " A deterioration in relations between Russia and other former Soviet republics and/or the United States and the European Union could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects and the value of our shares and ADSs."

Our indebtedness and the limits imposed by covenants in our debt obligations could limit our ability to obtain additional financing and thereby constrain our ability to invest in our business and place us at a possible competitive disadvantage. Also, currently we are not able to raise equity financing through newly issued depositary receipts such as ADSs, due to Russian securities regulations providing that no more than 25% of a Russian company's shares may be circulated abroad through sponsored depositary receipt programs. Prior to December 31, 2005 and at the time of our initial public offering, this threshold was 40% and our current ADSs program is near its full capacity. If we cannot obtain adequate funds to satisfy our capital requirements, we may need to limit our operations significantly, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

***Inflation could increase our costs and adversely affect our results of operations.***

The Russian and Ukrainian economies have been characterized by high rates of inflation. According to the Federal Statistics Service, inflation reached 6.6% and 6.5% in Russia in 2012 and 2013, respectively. In 2014, Russian annual inflation rate increased to 11.4%, which was significantly higher than in recent years. The inflation increase was mainly driven by depreciation of the ruble, restrictions on foreign trade and acceleration in food prices by 15.4% for 2014. Growth rate of prices for nonfood commodities amounted to 8.1%. As we tend to experience inflation-driven increases in certain of our costs, which are sensitive to rises in the general price level in Russia and Ukraine, our costs will rise. In addition, inflation in Russia shows little sign of slowing, which may lead to higher marketing expenditures by us in order to remain competitive. In this situation, due to competitive pressures, we may not be able to raise the prices we charge for our products and services sufficiently to preserve operating margins.

In 2014, growth of consumer prices in Ukraine reached 24.9% compared to 0.5% in 2013, according to the "Inflation Report" of the National Bank of Ukraine as of March 2015. Among the key reasons of significant price growth are weakening of the national currency, increase in prices for energy carriers and utility payments. The strained political situation, low level of gold and foreign currency reserves and general deficit of foreign currency in the country may trigger a further weakening of the hryvnia and, as a result, lead to the growth of consumer prices. In March 2015, the National Bank of Ukraine predicted that by the end of 2015 Ukraine's GDP will have fallen overall by 7.5%, with annual inflation at the level of 30.1%.

Accordingly, high rates of inflation in Russia and Ukraine could increase our costs and decrease our operating margins. See also "Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects A. Operating Results Certain Factors Affecting our Financial Position and Results of Operations Inflation."

The economy of the Republic of Belarus is considered to be highly inflationary. Since most of our revenues in Belarus are denominated in local currency, the devaluation has resulted in lower revenues in Russian ruble terms. Additionally, since a significant portion of our operating costs are denominated or tied to foreign currency, the devaluation and high inflation have also resulted in higher operating costs in comparison to revenues. Accordingly, the devaluation and the highly inflationary economy in Belarus may materially adversely affect our revenues and results of operations in that country. See also " Changes in the exchange rate of local currencies in the countries where we operate against the

Table of Contents

Russian ruble could adversely impact our revenues reported in Russian rubles as well as changes in the exchange rate of the Russian ruble and local currencies against the U.S. dollar and/or euro could adversely impact our costs in terms of the Russian ruble and local currencies" and "Item 11. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk Foreign Currency Risk."

***If Apple Sales International lodges a claim against us as a result of our failure to fulfill our iPhone handset purchase commitment, this could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.***

In 2008, we entered into an unconditional purchase agreement with Apple Sales International to buy certain quantities of iPhone handsets at list prices at the dates of the respective purchases for the three year period. The purchase agreement terminated on September 30, 2012. Pursuant to the agreement, we were also to incur certain iPhone promotional costs. We did not fulfill our total purchase installment contemplated by the agreement. As a result of not having fulfilled our required purchase commitments under our agreement with Apple Sales International, it is possible that Apple Sales International may bring a claim against us, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. A reasonable estimate of any potential loss with respect to the remotely possible claim cannot be made.

The total amount paid for handsets purchased under the agreement for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, 2010, 2009 and 2008 amounted to \$81.8 million, \$140.8 million, \$79.4 million, \$3.4 million and \$65.4 million, respectively.

***Indentures relating to some of our notes contain, and some of our loan agreements and Sistema's loan agreements contain, restrictive covenants, which limit our ability to incur debt and to engage in various activities.***

Covenants in the agreement relating to our Eurobonds due 2020 limit our ability to create liens on our properties, merge or consolidate with another person or convey our properties and assets to another person. Additionally, the agreement contains covenants limiting our ability to incur debt, create liens on our properties, enter into sale and lease-back transactions, merge or consolidate with another person or convey our properties and assets to another person, as well as our ability to sell or transfer any of our or our subsidiaries' GSM licenses for the Moscow, St. Petersburg, Krasnodar and Ukraine license areas. Some of our loan agreements contain similar and other covenants, including, in relation to the incurrence of indebtedness, creation of liens and disposal of assets. We may also incur additional credit obligations providing for similar covenants. Failure to comply with these covenants may cause a default and result in the debt becoming immediately due and payable, which would materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, Sistema, which owns 51.46% of our total charter capital directly and through its subsidiaries (53.46% excluding treasury shares) and consolidates our results in its financial statements, is subject to various covenants in its credit facilities. These covenants impose restrictions on Sistema and its restricted subsidiaries (including us) with respect to, *inter alia*, incurrence of indebtedness, creation of liens and disposal of assets. In the indentures, Sistema undertakes that it will not, and will not permit its restricted subsidiaries (including us) to, incur indebtedness unless a certain indebtedness level/EBITDA (as defined therein) ratio is met. In addition to us, Sistema has various other businesses that require capital and, therefore, the consolidated Sistema group's capacity to incur indebtedness otherwise available to us could be diverted to its other businesses. Sistema may also enter into other agreements in the future that may further restrict it and its subsidiaries (including us) from engaging in these and other activities. We expect Sistema to exercise control over us in order for Sistema, as a consolidated group, to meet its obligations under its current and future financings and other agreements, which could materially limit our ability to obtain additional financing required for the

Table of Contents

implementation of our business strategy. The inability to implement our business strategy may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

***If a change in control occurs, our noteholders and other debt holders may require us to redeem notes or other debt, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.***

Under the terms of our outstanding notes, if a change in control occurs, our noteholders will have the right to require us to redeem notes not previously called for redemption. The price we will be required to pay upon such event will be 101% of the principal amount of the notes, plus interest accrued prior to the redemption date. A change in control will be deemed to have occurred in any of the following circumstances:

with respect to the notes due 2020, any person acquires beneficial or legal ownership of, or control over, more than 50% of our issued shares, ownership of or control over more than 50% of the voting interests in our share capital or obtains the power to elect not less than half of our directors, provided that the following transactions would not be deemed to result in a change of control:

any acquisition by Sistema or its subsidiaries that results in the 50% threshold being exceeded;

any acquisition by us, our subsidiary or our employee benefit plan; and

a contribution by Sistema of all or part of its ownership interest in us into a partnership, joint venture or other indirect holding vehicle as long as any other person who is an owner of or party interested in that partnership, joint venture or other indirect holding vehicle does not acquire beneficial ownership of or control over more than 50% of our issued shares, does not acquire ownership of or control over more than 50% of the voting interests in our share capital and does not obtain the power to elect not less than half of our directors.

Some of our loan agreements contain similar change of control provisions. If a change in control occurs, and our noteholders and other debt holders exercise their right to require us to redeem all of their notes or debt, such event could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, under certain of our debt agreements, an event of default may be deemed to have occurred and/or we may be required to make a prepayment if Sistema disposes of its stake in our company and a third party takes a controlling position in our company. The occurrence of any such event of default or failure to make any required prepayment which leads to an event of default could trigger cross default/cross acceleration provisions under certain of our other debt agreements. In such event, our obligations under one or more of these agreements could become immediately due and payable, which would have a material adverse effect on our business and our shareholders' equity. If Sistema were to dispose of its stake in us, our company may be deprived of the benefits and resources that it derives from Sistema, which could harm our business.

**Risks Relating to Our Countries of Operation Economic Risks**

***Economic instability in the countries where we operate could adversely affect our business.***

Since the dissolution of the Soviet Union in 1991, the economies of Russia and other CIS countries where we operate have experienced periods of considerable instability and have been subject to abrupt downturns. Most notably, following the Russian government's default on its ruble denominated securities in August 1998, the CBR stopped its support of the ruble and a temporary moratorium was imposed on certain hard currency payments. These actions resulted in the immediate and severe devaluation of the ruble and a sharp increase in the rate of inflation, a substantial decline in the prices of Russian debt and equity securities, and an inability of Russian issuers to raise funds in the

Table of Contents

international capital markets. These problems were aggravated by the subsequent near collapse of the Russian banking sector, with the termination of banking licenses of a number of major Russian banks. This crisis had a severe impact on the economies of Russia and the other CIS countries.

While the economies of Russia and the other CIS countries where we operate have experienced positive trends in recent years, there has been a slowdown in the growth of gross domestic product in Russia. In 2013 the growth of GDP was 1.3% in comparison with 3.4% in 2012 according to Federal State Statistics service.

According to the Federal Statistics Service, Russian GDP grew by 0.6% in 2014 compared to 1.3% in 2013. Russian GDP growth forecasts for 2015 were also revised towards the second half of 2014 and in January 2015, the Ministry of Economic Development of the Russian Federation forecasted GDP decline by 3% in 2015.

A financial downturn, as well as any future economic downturns or slowturns in Russia or the other CIS countries where we operate could lead to decreased demand for our services, decreased revenues and negatively affect our liquidity and ability to obtain debt financing, which would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

***The Russian banking system remains underdeveloped, the number of creditworthy banks in Russia is limited and another banking crisis could place severe liquidity constraints on our business.***

Russia's banking and other financial systems are less developed or regulated as compared to other countries, and Russian legislation relating to banks and bank accounts is subject to varying interpretations and inconsistent application. The August 1998 financial crisis resulted in the bankruptcy and liquidation of many Russian banks and almost entirely eliminated the developing market for commercial bank loans at that time. Many Russian banks currently do not meet international banking standards, and the transparency of the Russian banking sector in some respects still lags far behind internationally accepted norms. Aided by inadequate supervision by the regulators, certain banks do not follow existing CBR regulations with respect to lending criteria, credit quality, loan loss reserves or diversification of exposure. Furthermore, in Russia, bank deposits made by corporate entities generally are not insured.

In recent years, there has been a rapid increase in lending by Russian banks, which many believe has been accompanied by a deterioration in the credit quality of the borrowers. In addition, a robust domestic corporate debt market is leading Russian banks (including the banks with which we conduct banking transactions) to hold increasingly large amounts of Russian corporate ruble bonds in their portfolios, which is further deteriorating the risk profile of Russian bank assets. The serious deficiencies in the Russian banking sector, combined with the deterioration in the credit portfolios of Russian banks, may result in the banking sector being more susceptible to market downturns or economic slowdowns, including due to Russian corporate defaults that may occur during any such market downturn or economic slowdown. In addition, the CBR has from time to time revoked the licenses of certain Russian banks, which resulted in market rumors about additional bank closures and many depositors withdrawing their savings. Recently a number of banks and credit institutions have lost their licenses due to deficiency of capital and failure to meet the CBR requirements. If a banking crisis were to occur, Russian companies would be subject to severe liquidity constraints due to the limited supply of domestic savings and the withdrawal of foreign funding sources that would occur during such a crisis.

The recent disruptions in the global markets have generally led to reduced liquidity and increased cost of funding in Russia. Borrowers have generally experienced a reduction in available financing both in the inter-bank and short-term funding market, as well as in the longer term capital markets and bank finance instruments. The non-availability of funding to the banking sector in the Russian Federation has also negatively affected the anticipated growth rate of the Russian Federation. In December 2008, Standard & Poor's lowered Russia's long-term sovereign credit rating to BBB and

Table of Contents

maintained its negative outlook (as well as Fitch Ratings), citing the "rapid depletion" of Russia's financial reserves. In December 2009, Standard & Poor's changed its outlook on Russia's long-term sovereign credit rating to stable and the same was done by Fitch Ratings in January, 2010. During the course of 2014 and the first quarter of 2015, the credit rating of the Russian Federation has been placed for review and downgraded by each of Moody's, Fitch Ratings and Standard & Poor's several times. As of April 15, 2015, Russia has a Ba1 sovereign credit rating with a negative outlook from Moody's compared to Baa1 with a stable outlook as at January 1, 2014, BBB long-term sovereign rating with a negative outlook from Fitch Ratings compared to BBB with a stable outlook as at January 1, 2014 and BB+/B foreign currency sovereign credit rating with negative outlook from Standard & Poor's as compared to BBB/A-2 with stable outlook as at January 1, 2014. See also " Political and Social Risks Political instability in Ukraine could have a material adverse effect on our operations in Ukraine and on our business, financial condition and results of operations."

The Russian government and the CBR provide financial support only to a limited number of banks, which may result in the liquidation of other banks and financial institutions. In 2014, the CBR revoked the licenses of a number of Russian banks for reasons associated with implementing high-risk lending policies, loss of liquidity and non-compliance with anti-money laundering legislation. A combination of these factors may result in a significant deterioration in the financial fundamentals of Russian banks, notably liquidity, asset quality and profitability.

There is currently a limited number of sufficiently creditworthy Russian banks and few ruble-denominated financial instruments in which we can invest our excess ruble cash. We hold the bulk of our excess ruble and foreign currency cash in Russian banks, including subsidiaries of foreign banks. Another banking crisis or the bankruptcy or insolvency of the banks from which we receive or with which we hold our funds could result in the loss of our deposits or affect our ability to complete banking transactions in Russia, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

***The physical infrastructure in Russia, Ukraine and the other countries where we operate is in poor condition, which could disrupt our normal business activities and adversely impact our results.***

The physical infrastructure in Russia, Ukraine and the other countries where we operate largely dates back to Soviet times and has not been adequately funded and maintained over the past two decades. Particularly affected are the rail and road networks, power generation and transmission systems, communication systems and building stock. For example, in August 2009, a major accident occurred at Russia's largest power plant, the Sayano-Shushenskaya hydroelectric power station, resulting in flooding of the engine and turbine rooms, a transformer explosion and the death of 75 people. Power generation from the station ceased completely following the incident, which led to a major power outage in the nearby residential areas and at certain industrial facilities as well as pollution of the rivers and soil as a result of an oil spill from the transformer.

In addition, the road conditions throughout our countries of operation are poor with many roads not meeting minimum quality standards, causing disruptions and delays in the transportation of goods to and within these countries. The Russian and Ukrainian governments are actively considering plans to reorganize their national rail, electricity and communications systems. Any such reorganization may result in increased charges and tariffs while failing to generate the anticipated capital investment needed to repair, maintain and improve these systems. The deterioration of the physical infrastructure in Russia, Ukraine and the other countries where we operate harms the national economies, adds costs to doing business in these countries and generally disrupts normal business activities. These difficulties can impact us directly; for example, we keep portable electrical generators to help us maintain base station operations in the event of power outages. Further deterioration of the physical infrastructure in Russia and Ukraine, as well as the other countries where we operate, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. In addition, the increased charges

Table of Contents

and tariffs that may result from the government reorganization may also have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

***Fluctuations in the global economy may materially adversely affect the economies of the countries where we operate and our business in these countries.***

The economies of the countries where we operate are vulnerable to market downturns and economic slowdowns elsewhere in the world. As has happened in the past, financial problems or an increase in the perceived risks associated with investing in emerging economies could dampen foreign investment in Russia, Ukraine and elsewhere in the CIS, and businesses in these countries could face severe liquidity constraints, further adversely affecting their economies. Additionally, because Russia and Turkmenistan produce and export large amounts of oil and gas, the Russian and Turkmen economies are especially vulnerable to the price of oil and gas on the world market and a decline in the price of oil and gas could slow or disrupt the Russian and Turkmen economies. Recent military conflicts and international terrorist activity have also significantly impacted oil and gas prices, and pose additional risks to the Russian economy. Russia and Ukraine are also major producers and exporters of metal products and their economies are vulnerable to world commodity prices and the imposition of tariffs and/or antidumping measures by the United States, the European Union or by other principal export markets.

The disruptions recently experienced in the international and domestic capital markets have led to reduced liquidity and increased credit risk premiums for certain market participants and have resulted in a reduction of available financing. Companies located in emerging markets, including us, may be particularly susceptible to these disruptions and reductions in the availability of credit or increases in financing costs. To the extent that the current market downturn continues or worsens, it may lead to constraints on our liquidity and ability to obtain debt financing, which may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial conditions and results of operations.

**Political and Social Risks**

***Political and governmental instability in Russia and other countries of our operations could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects and the value of our shares and ADSs.***

Since 1991, Russia has sought to transform from a one-party state with a centrally planned economy to a democracy with a market economy. As a result of the sweeping nature of the reforms, and the failure of some of them, the Russian political system remains vulnerable to popular dissatisfaction, including dissatisfaction with the results of privatizations in the 1990s, as well as to demands for autonomy from particular regional and ethnic groups. Furthermore, recent parliamentary elections held in December 2011 and presidential elections held in March 2012 led to some political demonstrations in a few Russian cities. New protests may occur in the future. Other countries where we operate may pose similar challenges. For example, mass protests and armed conflicts in Ukraine from November 2013 as well as the referendum in Crimea in favor of joining the Russian Federation and consequent developments in the region contribute to political tension and uncertainty in Ukraine, see also " Political instability in Ukraine could have a material adverse effect on our operations in Ukraine and on our business, financial condition and results of operations." Current and future changes in the Russian and other CIS governments, major policy shifts or lack of consensus between various branches of the government and powerful economic groups could disrupt or reverse economic and regulatory reforms. Any disruption or reversal of reform policies could lead to political or governmental instability or the occurrence of conflicts among powerful economic groups, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects and the value of our shares and ADSs. A deterioration of the socio-political situation in Russia could also trigger an event of default under some of our loan agreements.

Table of Contents

***Potential conflict between central and regional authorities could create an uncertain operating environment hindering our long-term planning ability.***

The Russian Federation is a federation of sub-federal political units, consisting of republics, territories, regions, cities of federal importance and autonomous regions and districts. The delineation of authority and jurisdiction among the members of the Russian Federation and the federal government is, in many instances, unclear and remains contested. Lack of consensus between the federal government and local or regional authorities could result in the enactment of conflicting legislation at various levels and may lead to political instability. In particular, conflicting laws have been enacted in the areas of privatization, land legislation and licensing. Some of these laws and governmental and administrative decisions implementing them, as well as certain transactions consummated pursuant to them, have in the past been challenged in the courts, and such challenges may occur in the future. This lack of consensus may hinder our long-term planning efforts and create uncertainties in our operating environment, both of which may prevent us from effectively and efficiently implementing our business strategy.

Additionally, ethnic, religious, historical and other divisions have, on occasion, given rise to tensions and, in certain cases, military conflict, which can halt normal economic activity and disrupt the economies of neighboring regions. For example, violence and attacks relating to the Chechen conflict have spread to other parts of Russia and several terrorist attacks have been carried out in other parts of Russia, including Moscow. The further intensification of violence, including terrorist attacks and suicide bombings, or its spread to other parts of Russia, could have significant political consequences, including the imposition of a state of emergency in some or all of Russia. Moreover, any terrorist attacks and the resulting heightened security measures are likely to cause disruptions to domestic commerce and exports from Russia. These factors could materially adversely affect our business and the value of our shares and ADSs.

In Ukraine, tensions between certain regional authorities and the central government were ignited following the November 2004 presidential elections. Amid the mass demonstrations and strikes that took place throughout Ukraine to protest the election process and results, the conference of the representatives of the regional authorities in eastern Ukraine decided to conduct a referendum on creating an autonomous region, separate from Ukraine. Later the regional authorities ultimately backed down from this intention, and tensions in Ukraine subsided. The tensions in eastern Ukraine also took place in April 2014 due to political instability. See " Political instability in Ukraine could have a material adverse effect on our operations in Ukraine and on our business, financial condition and results of operations."

***A deterioration in relations between Russia and other former Soviet republics and/or the United States and the European Union could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects and the value of our shares and ADSs.***

Relations between Russia and certain other former Soviet republics are or have in the past been strained. For example, in August 2008, an armed conflict erupted between Russia and Georgia over the self-appointed republics South Ossetia and Abkhazia, culminating in Russia's recognition of their independence from Georgia. The political and economic relationships between Ukraine and Russia have also been strained in recent years, culminating in the current geopolitical crisis with respect to Crimea.



Table of Contents

See also " Political instability in Ukraine could have a material adverse effect on our operations in Ukraine and on our business, financial condition and results of operations," and " Risks Relating to our Financial Condition Changes in the exchange rate of local currencies in the countries where we operate against the Russian ruble could adversely impact our revenues reported in Russian rubles as well as changes in the exchange rate of the Russian ruble and local currencies against the U.S. dollar and/or euro could adversely impact our costs in terms of the Russian ruble and local currencies."

The conflicts between Russia and other former Soviet republics have, in some instances, also strained Russia's relationship with the United States and the European Union which, at times, has negatively impacted Russia's financial markets. For example, during 2014, a number of Russian, Ukrainian and Crimean governmental officials and individuals (including representatives of the Russian Parliament), several Russian businessmen and a Russian bank were designated as "Specially Designated Persons" by the U.S. Department of the Treasury, Office of Foreign Assets Control ("OFAC") pursuant to three executive orders signed by the President of the United States. The first and second executive orders (Nos. 13660 and 13661) targeted former Ukrainian officials and current Russian Federation officials, as well as persons who operate in the arms or related sectors in the Russian Federation. The third executive order (No. 13662) significantly expanded the scope of the prior two executive orders by providing OFAC the authority to block the property of designated persons who operate in certain sectors of Russia's economy, including financial services, energy, metals and mining, engineering, defense and related sectors, although no such persons have been designated as a Specially Designated Person pursuant to this third, and much more expansive, order. OFAC further introduced new "sectoral sanctions" against certain Russian economic sectors as potential targets for sanctions in executive order No. 13662. The companies targeted by these sectoral sanctions operate within the financial services and energy sectors of the Russian economy and are included by OFAC to the Sectoral Sanctions Identifications ("SSI") List. These sectoral sanctions prohibit U.S. persons, or other persons within the United States, from transacting in, providing financing for, or otherwise dealing in debt of longer than 90 days maturity and equity for the sanctioned banks and debt of longer than 90 days maturity for the sanctioned energy companies, in each case issued after the date of the relevant OFAC directive, including entities owned 50 per cent or more by these entities. Hence, the restrictions applicable to entities that are on the SSI List differ from the consequences of being included in the "Specially Designated Persons" List and their property and assets are not subject to blocking by U.S. persons. In addition to the sectoral sanctions, OFAC added further individuals and certain entities, including a Russian shipbuilding company and state defense firms, to the "Specially Designated Persons" List in July 2014 and five more state defense firms in September 2014 and also expanded its SSI List. In relation to state owned technology company and the banks included in the SSI List, in September 2014, OFAC lowered the debt maturity threshold to 30 days. Furthermore, OFAC prohibited the exportation of goods, services (except for financial services), or technology in support of exploration or production for deepwater, Arctic offshore or shale projects to five Russian energy companies. In December 2014, the U.S. President signed into law a bill passed by the U.S. Congress which will extensively widen the breadth of U.S. sanctions against Russian entities and persons.

The Council of the European Union has introduced its own list of persons that are subject to EU sanctions, as well as sanctions that target certain sectors of the Russian economy. In July 2014, the EU enacted Council Regulation (EU) No. 833/2014, that was amended in September 2014, that limits access to the EU capital markets for Russian state-owned financial institutions, imposes an embargo on trade in arms, establishes an export ban for dual use goods for military end users, and curtail Russian access to sensitive technologies particularly in the field of the oil sector.

The governments of the U.S. and certain European Union member states, as well as certain EU officials have indicated that they may consider additional sanctions should the armed conflict in Ukraine continue or escalate. On February 16, 2015, the EU expanded the list of sanctioned persons by including, among others, several Russian state deputies and government officials.

Table of Contents

The Ukrainian Cabinet of Ministers initiated adoption of the Law "On Sanctions" by the Ukrainian Parliament which came into force on September 12, 2014. The law provides for special economic and other restrictive measures (sanctions) against foreign states, foreign legal entities and individuals involved in activities threatening the national security, sovereignty and territorial integrity of Ukraine and the rights and freedoms of its citizens. The law stipulates 25 types of sanctions which include, among others:

asset freezing;

temporary limitation of a right to use or dispose of property;

cancellation or suspension of licenses and other permits, including special permits for subsoil use;

prohibition to use radio frequency resources in Ukraine; and

termination or suspension of rendering telecommunication services or utilizing telecommunications networks of general use.

In addition, on September 11, 2014, the Cabinet of Ministers of Ukraine issued the decree "Proposals on application of personal special economic and other restrictive measures." The list of individuals and legal entities who may fall under sanctions has not been specified. A number of issues (on terms of sanctions imposition, on the notification procedure, and others) are left to the discretion of the Council of National Security and Defense of Ukraine. On January 25, 2015, the Council of National Security and Defense adopted a decision on an undisclosed list of sanctions. The decision of the Council of National Security and Defense regarding the imposition of sanctions is subject to the approval by the Parliament or the President (depending on the nature of the sanctions). It is currently unclear how the measures might refer to MTS Ukraine but this may substantially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

There is still significant uncertainty regarding the extent or timing of any further political or economic sanctions, or the ultimate impact of the Ukrainian crisis on Russia's relationship with Ukraine, the United States or the European Union. Any further sanctions may have a negative effect on the Russian economy, the financial condition of our partners and suppliers, our ability to conduct trade and financial transactions, our ability to obtain financing on commercially reasonable terms, and the level and volatility of the trading price of our shares and ADSs. Any of the foregoing circumstances could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects and the value of our shares and ADSs.

See also " Legal Risks and Uncertainties The inability of MTS-Turkmenistan to sustain its operations in Turkmenistan on commercially acceptable terms or at all may adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations," and " The inability of our subsidiaries in the countries in which we are present to maintain control over their operations and assets may adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations" and " Political instability in Ukraine could have a material adverse effect on our operations in Ukraine and on our business, financial condition and results of operations."

***Political instability in Ukraine could have a material adverse effect on our operations in Ukraine and on our business, financial condition and results of operations.***

Economic crisis, deterioration of key aspects of the economy and the lack of investment into the social infrastructure, has, amongst other things, led to the instability of the political situation in Ukraine where we have significant operations. Furthermore, the refusal of the Ukrainian Government to enter into an association agreement with the European Union in November 2013, incited mass protests in Kiev and other regions of the country. These protests caused, amongst other things, a

Table of Contents

downgrade of Ukraine's international ratings and significant depreciation of the national currency, see " Risks Relating to our Financial Condition Changes in the exchange rate of local currencies in the countries where we operate against the Russian ruble could adversely impact our revenues reported in Russian rubles as well as changes in the exchange rate of the Russian ruble and local currencies against the U.S. dollar and/or euro could adversely impact our costs in terms of the Russian ruble and local currencies."

On March 16, 2014, a referendum in favor of joining the Russian Federation was held in Crimea with the consequent declaration of independence from Ukraine and accession to the Russian Federation by the parliament of Crimea.

The armed conflict in Eastern Ukraine that has taken place since April 2014 has destabilized the region and caused uncertainty in our operation in the region of the armed conflict. It has also led to damage of our network equipment in the region followed by related losses. Should the economic and political situation in Eastern Ukraine become further destabilized, this may adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations as well as cause regulatory uncertainties.

These events have resulted in heightened tensions between Ukraine and the Russian Federation and have strained relationships of the Russian Federation with the United States and the European Union, which may adversely impact our business. Furthermore, should tensions between the Russian Federation and Ukraine continue or increase, or should the economic and political situation in Ukraine become further destabilized, our business interests in Ukraine and other impacted regions may be adversely affected or targeted. The continued impact of these events and any continuing or escalating military action, public protests, unrest, political instability or further sanctions could have a further adverse effect on our business in Ukraine, our financial condition and reputation.

See also " A deterioration in relations between Russia and other former Soviet republics and/or the United States and the European Union could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects and the value of our shares and ADSs."

***Crime and corruption could disrupt our ability to conduct our business and thus materially adversely affect our operations.***

The political and economic changes in recent years in the countries where we operate have resulted in significant dislocations of authority. The local and international press have reported the existence of significant organized criminal activity, particularly in large metropolitan centers. Property crime in large cities has increased substantially. In addition, the local and international press have reported high levels of corruption, including the bribing of officials for the purpose of initiating investigations by government agencies. Press reports have also described instances in which government officials engaged in selective investigations and prosecutions to further the commercial interests of certain government officials or certain companies or individuals. Additionally, some members of the media in the countries we operate in regularly publish disparaging articles in return for payment. The depredations of organized or other crime, demands of corrupt officials or claims that we have been involved in official corruption could result in negative publicity, disrupt our ability to conduct our business and could thus materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

***Social instability could increase support for renewed centralized authority, nationalism or violence and thus materially adversely affect our operations.***

A decrease in the price of oil, as well as increased unemployment rates, the failure of the government and many private enterprises to pay full salaries on a regular basis and the failure of salaries and benefits generally to keep pace with the rapidly increasing cost of living have led in the past, and could lead in the future, to labor and social unrest. Labor and social unrest may have

Table of Contents

political, social and economic consequences, such as increased support for a renewal of centralized authority; increased nationalism, including restrictions on foreign involvement in the economies of the countries where we have operations; and increased violence. An occurrence of any of the foregoing events could restrict our operations and lead to the loss of revenues, materially adversely affecting our operations. See also " Political instability in Ukraine could have a material adverse effect on our operations in Ukraine and on our business, financial condition and results of operations."

**Legal Risks and Uncertainties**

***Weaknesses relating to the legal system and legislation in the countries where we operate create an uncertain environment for investment and business activity, which could have a material adverse effect on the value of our shares and ADSs.***

Each of the countries we operate in is still developing the legal framework required to support a market economy. The following risk factors relating to these legal systems create uncertainty with respect to the legal and business decisions that we make, many of which uncertainties do not exist in countries with more developed market economies:

inconsistencies between and among the constitution, federal and regional laws and subordinate legislation (presidential decrees and governmental, ministerial and local orders, decisions and resolutions) and other acts;

the lack of judicial and administrative guidance on interpreting certain legislation as well as conflicting interpretations of supreme general jurisdiction and arbitrazh courts;

the relative inexperience of judges and courts in interpreting certain aspects of legislation;

the lack of an independent judiciary;

a high degree of discretion on the part of governmental authorities, which could result in arbitrary actions such as suspension or termination of our licenses; and

poorly developed bankruptcy and liquidation procedures and court practice that create possibilities of abuse.

The recent nature of much of the legislation in the CIS countries, the lack of consensus about the scope, content and pace of economic and political reform and the rapid evolution of these legal systems in ways that may not always coincide with market developments place the enforceability and underlying constitutionality of laws in doubt and result in ambiguities, inconsistencies and anomalies. In addition, legislation in these countries often contemplates implementing regulations that have not yet been promulgated, leaving substantial gaps in the regulatory infrastructure. All of these weaknesses could affect our ability to enforce our rights under our licenses and contracts, or to defend ourselves against claims by others. Moreover, it is possible that regulators, judicial authorities or third parties may challenge our internal procedures and bylaws, as well as our compliance with applicable laws, decrees and regulations.

***The inability of our subsidiaries in the countries in which we are present to maintain control over their operations and assets may adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.***

If we are unable to protect our business entities in the countries in which we operate from the withdrawal or suspension or regulatory scrutiny, this may adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. For example, in June 2012, the authorities of the Republic of Uzbekistan began audits of the financial and operating activities of MTS' wholly-owned subsidiary Uzdurobita. Further various claims for violation of tax, antimonopoly and industry legislation were made against Uzdurobita, which resulted in significant amounts of fines and penalties and revocation of all licenses. Total amount of damages was calculated and determined on the basis of all the

Table of Contents

forementioned claims against Uzdurobita and amounted to \$587 million (RUB 18,375 million) payable in equal installments over eight months.

Uzdurobita then paid two scheduled installments in November and December 2012 totaling \$147.5 million (RUB 4,583.4 million). On January 14, 2013, further to its partial payment of the third installment due in January 2013 totaling \$15.9 million (RUB 481 million) and constituting the remaining amount of cash held in its bank accounts, Uzdurobita filed a petition for voluntary bankruptcy to the Tashkent Economic Court on the grounds of its inability to meet further obligations.

On April 22, 2013, the Tashkent Economic Court declared Uzdurobita bankrupt and initiated a liquidation period. Uzdurobita was later liquidated.

In 2012, we filed a claim against the Republic of Uzbekistan in the International Center for Settlement of Investment Disputes ("ICSID"), part of the World Bank Group, in Washington, D.C.

On July 31, 2014, we and the Republic of Uzbekistan signed a settlement agreement (the "Settlement Agreement") which motivated us to reenter Uzbekistan market through a joint venture with MTS holding a 50.01% in the charter capital of the joint venture, while the remaining 49.99% belongs to a state-owned unitary enterprise established and managed by the State Committee for Communications, Development of Information Systems and Telecommunications Technologies of the Republic of Uzbekistan. The Settlement Agreement is governed by English law and provides for resolution of any disputes arising out of the settlement agreement in the International Court of Arbitration under International Chamber of Commerce in Paris (ICC).

On September 24, 2014, in accordance with the Settlement Agreement, the authorities of the Republic of Uzbekistan granted the joint venture with 2G, 3G and LTE licenses, provided necessary frequencies and numbering capacity, fostered entrance into lease agreements for communication channels and issued all permissions required to the joint venture so it could operate and offer full telecommunications services throughout Uzbekistan. The joint venture has also received guaranties for investment protection and return of investments in accordance with the laws of the Republic of Uzbekistan.

On November 2014, ICSID has discontinued international arbitration proceedings between MTS and the Republic of Uzbekistan following the submission of a joint application by both parties.

On December 1, 2014, the joint venture, named UMS, launched sales of SIM cards through its proprietary network of 20 stores and through another 230 independent locations throughout Uzbekistan and started provision of 2G/3G telecommunication services on the entire territory of Uzbekistan.

See also " Political and Social Risks A deterioration in relations between Russia and other former Soviet republics and/or the United States and the European Union could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects and the value of our shares and ADSs" and " Political instability in Ukraine could have a material adverse effect on our operations in Ukraine and on our business, financial condition and results of operations."

***The inability of MTS-Turkmenistan to sustain its operations in Turkmenistan on commercially acceptable terms or at all may adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.***

In December 2010, the Group suspended its operations in Turkmenistan following notification by the Ministry of Communications of Turkmenistan of a decision to suspend licenses held by BCTI, the Group's wholly-owned subsidiary in Turkmenistan, for a period of one month starting from December 21, 2010. On January 21, 2011, the period of license suspension expired, however, permission to resume operations was not granted.

The Group operated in Turkmenistan under a trilateral agreement signed in November 2005 by BCTI, MTS and the Ministry of Communications of Turkmenistan valid for a period of five years with

Table of Contents

a possibility to extend its term. In accordance with certain provisions of this agreement, BCTI shared net profits derived from its operations in the country with the Ministry of Communications of Turkmenistan.

Following the decision to suspend BCTI's licenses, Turkmenistan government authorities took further steps, including unilateral termination of interconnect agreements between BCTI and state-owned telecom operators, to prevent the Group from providing services to its customers.

We initiated a number of proceedings against Turkmenistan government authorities and state-owned telecom operators to defend our legal rights. At this time, we were also negotiating to settle the disputes on an amicable basis. On May 24, 2012 we concluded an agreement with the state-owned telecom operator Turkmentelekom relating to our terms of operations in Turkmenistan which resulted from negotiations between the Turkmenistan government and ministries. The agreement has a five year term and can be extended for next five years provided certain terms and conditions are satisfied. Under this agreement we are obliged to pay Turkmentelekom a monthly amount calculated as 30% of our net profit in Turkmenistan based on accounting rules of Turkmenistan. We also received GSM and 3G licenses for a term of three years (with possibility of prolongation), signed several agreements with the state-owned telecom operators regarding the cooperation upon infrastructure that allows us to restart the network and started to provide services to subscribers.

On July 25, 2012, we, our subsidiary BCTI, the republic of Turkmenistan, the Ministry of Communications of Turkmenistan, the state-owned company Turkmentelecom and mobile operator Altyn Asyr signed a settlement agreement (including the dismissal of all international lawsuits) concerning the suspension of our operations in Turkmenistan in December 2010.

In August 2012, we restarted our mobile communication network in Turkmenistan and resumed providing services for subscribers who had not canceled their contracts. Since October 1, 2012 we resumed our operations in Turkmenistan entirely and started entering into contracts with new subscribers.

***Russian and Ukrainian companies can be forced into liquidation on the basis of formal non-compliance with certain legal requirements.***

Certain provisions of Russian law may allow government authorities to seek a court order for the liquidation of a Russian legal entity on the basis of its formal non-compliance with certain requirements during formation, reorganization or during its operation.

For example, under Russian corporate law, if the net assets of a Russian joint stock company calculated on the basis of Russian accounting standards are lower than its charter capital as at the end of its third or any subsequent financial year, the company must either decrease its charter capital or be placed in liquidation. If the company fails to comply with these requirements, governmental or local authorities can seek the involuntary liquidation of such company in court, and the company's creditors will have the right to accelerate their claims or demand early performance of the company's obligations as well as demand compensation of any damages.

The existence of negative assets may not accurately reflect the actual ability to pay debts as they fall due. Many Russian companies have negative net assets due to very low historical asset values reflected on their Russian accounting standards balance sheets; however, their solvency, *i.e.*, their ability to pay debts as they fall due, is not otherwise adversely affected by such negative net assets. Some Russian courts, in deciding whether or not to order the liquidation of a company for having negative net assets, have looked beyond the fact that the company failed to fully comply with all applicable legal requirements and have taken into account other factors, such as the financial standing of the company and its ability to meet its tax obligations, as well as the economic and social consequences of its

Table of Contents

liquidation. Nonetheless, creditors have the right to accelerate claims, and file damages claims, and governmental or local authorities may seek the liquidation of a company with negative net assets.

Courts have, on rare occasions, ordered the involuntary liquidation of a company for having net assets less than the minimum charter capital required by law, even if the company had continued to fulfill its obligations and had net assets in excess of the minimum charter capital at the time of liquidation.

The amount of net assets in accordance with the local accounting standards of some of our subsidiaries is negative. Although these subsidiaries continue to meet all of their obligations to creditors, there is a minimal risk of their liquidation while the net assets remain below the minimum legal requirements.

There have also been cases in the past in which formal deficiencies in the establishment process of a Russian legal entity or non-compliance with provisions of Russian law have been used as a basis to seek the liquidation of a legal entity. Weaknesses in the Russian legal system create an uncertain legal environment, which makes the decisions of a Russian court or a governmental authority difficult, if not impossible, to predict. If involuntary liquidation were to occur, such liquidation could lead to significant negative consequences for our group. Ukrainian law also contains provisions similar to Russian law, whereby a company's failure to comply with certain legal requirements concerning its formation, net assets or operation may be grounds for its liquidation.

***Insufficient adherence to the independence and competitiveness of the judicial process, the difficulty of enforcing court decisions and governmental discretion in enforcing claims could prevent us or holders of our securities from obtaining effective redress in a court proceeding.***

The judicial bodies in the countries where we operate are not always completely independent or immune from economic and political influences, and are often understaffed and underfunded. Judges and courts are often inexperienced in the area of business, corporate and industry (telecommunications) law. Judicial precedents generally have no binding effect on subsequent decisions, and not all court decisions are readily available to the public or organized in a manner that facilitates understanding. The judicial systems in these countries can also be slow or unjustifiably swift. Enforcement of court orders can, in practice, be very difficult to achieve. All of these factors make judicial decisions in these countries difficult to predict and effective redress uncertain. Additionally, court claims are often used in furtherance of political and commercial aims or infighting. We may be subject to such claims and may not be able to receive a fair hearing. Additionally, court orders are not always enforced or followed by law enforcement agencies. Furthermore, recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards in countries where we operate is subject to compliance with corresponding rules of civil procedure and applicable laws, and courts in countries where we operate may interpret applicable regulations in a manner which would result in denial of such recognition and enforcement.

These uncertainties also extend to property rights. For example, during Russia and Ukraine's transformation from centrally planned economies to market economies, legislation has been enacted in both countries to protect private property against uncompensated expropriation and nationalization. However, there is a risk that due to the lack of experience in enforcing these provisions and due to political factors, these protections would not be enforced in the event of an attempted expropriation or nationalization. Expropriation or nationalization of any of our entities, their assets or portions thereof, potentially without adequate compensation, would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Table of Contents

***Selective or arbitrary government action could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.***

Governmental authorities in the countries where we operate have a high degree of discretion and, at times, act selectively or arbitrarily, without hearing or prior notice, and sometimes in a manner that is inconsistent with legislation or influenced by political or commercial considerations.

Selective or arbitrary governmental actions have reportedly included the denial or withdrawal of licenses, sudden and unexpected tax audits and claims, criminal prosecutions and civil actions. Federal and local government entities have also used ordinary defects in matters surrounding share issuances and registration as pretexts for court claims and other demands to invalidate such issuances and registrations or to void transactions. Moreover, the government also has the power in certain circumstances, by regulation or government acts, to interfere with the performance of, nullify or terminate contracts. Standard & Poor's has expressed concerns that "Russian companies and their investors can be subjected to government pressure through selective implementation of regulations and legislation that is either politically motivated or triggered by competing business groups." In this environment, our competitors may receive preferential treatment from the government, potentially giving them a competitive advantage over us.

In Turkmenistan, we commenced operations in June 2005 through our wholly owned subsidiary, BCTI, and operated under a trilateral agreement by and among the Ministry of Communication of Turkmenistan, BCTI and us. However, when this agreement expired on December 21, 2010, the Ministry of Communication of Turkmenistan refused to prolong the agreement. After several international lawsuits and negotiations regarding adjustments of disputes we restarted our network in Turkmenistan on August 30, 2012 and resumed our operations on October 1, 2012. Similar actions in other countries where we operate could have a material adverse effect on results of our operations. See also " The inability of MTS-Turkmenistan to sustain its operations in Turkmenistan on commercially acceptable terms or at all may adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations," and " The inability of our subsidiaries in the countries in which we are present to maintain control over their operations and assets may adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations."

In addition, the Russian tax authorities have aggressively brought tax evasion claims relating to Russian companies' use of tax-optimization schemes, and press reports have speculated that these enforcement actions have been selective. Selective or arbitrary government action, if directed at us, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

***Failure to comply with existing laws and regulations or to obtain all approvals, authorizations and permits required to transmit television channels or operate telecommunications equipment, or the findings of government inspections or increased governmental regulation of our operations, could result in a disruption in our business and substantial additional compliance costs and sanctions.***

Our operations and properties are subject to regulation by various government entities and agencies in connection with obtaining and renewing various licenses, approvals, authorizations and permits, as well as with ongoing compliance with existing laws, regulations and standards. Regulatory authorities exercise considerable discretion in matters of enforcement and interpretation of applicable laws, regulations and standards, the issuance and renewal of licenses, approvals, authorizations and permits and in monitoring licensees' compliance with the terms thereof. Russian authorities have the right to, and frequently do, conduct periodic inspections of our operations and properties throughout the year. Any such future inspections may conclude that we or our subsidiaries have violated laws, decrees or regulations, and we may be unable to refute such conclusions or remedy the violations. See also " The regulatory environment for telecommunications in Russia, Ukraine and other countries



Table of Contents

where we operate or may operate in the future is uncertain and subject to political influence or manipulation, which may result in negative and arbitrary regulatory and other decisions against us on the basis of other than legal considerations and in preferential treatment for our competitors."

Primarily due to delays in the issuance of permits, approvals and authorizations by regulatory authorities, it is frequently not possible to procure all of the permits for each of our base stations or other aspects of our network before we put the base stations into commercial operation or to amend or maintain all of the permits when we make changes to the location or technical specifications of our base stations. At times, there can be a significant number of base stations or other communications facilities and other aspects of our networks for which we do not have final permits to operate and there can be delays in obtaining the final permits, approvals and authorizations for particular base stations or other communications facilities and other aspects of our networks.

In addition, we may be unable to transmit certain television channels if entities that provide television content to us do not possess the requisite licenses. In case such providers of television content do not obtain the required licenses, or have their existing licenses suspended or terminated, our selection of potential television channels for transmission could be significantly limited. Furthermore, we could be subject to fines and other penalties, including forced suspension of our cable network operators' activity for up to 90 days. In some cases of our service provision (for example, those employing GPON technology) power failures in subscribers' households may lead to non-compliance with rules regulating local telephony communication services. Any of these consequences could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our failure to comply with existing laws and regulations of the countries where we operate or to obtain all approvals, authorizations and permits required to operate telecommunications equipment, or the findings of government inspections including the State Labor Inspection Service may also result in the imposition of fines or penalties or more severe sanctions including the suspension, amendment or termination of our licenses, approvals, authorizations and permits, or in requirements that we cease certain of our business activities, or in criminal and administrative penalties applicable to our officers. Moreover, an agreement or transaction entered into in violation of law may be invalidated and/or unwound by a court decision. Any such decisions, requirements or sanctions, or any increase in governmental regulation of our operations, could result in a disruption of our business and substantial additional compliance costs and could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects. In addition, we may assume risks of potential claims from subscribers and regulating authorities regarding former activities of the acquired or merged businesses.

Generally, communication networks are vulnerable to physical or software break-ins, viruses, unauthorized interferences and similar events. Should such events occur with respect to our network elements, we may become subject for additional inspection by the regulatory authorities. Although we obtain all necessary permissions and certificates for the operation of our equipment and provide measures to protect confidential information, our failure to fully comply with all legislation requirements could result in the imposition of fines or penalties, additional government regulations, substantial additional compliance costs, disruption of our business including its suspension or termination, and other adverse effects.

There were two administrative investigations initiated by NCCIR against MTS Ukraine in 2014. Though both were closed with non-pecuniary fines, any breach of the Ukrainian Law "On Telecommunications" in the future may negatively influence our business. Article No. 55 of the Ukrainian law "On Telecommunications" states that a license may be terminated, *inter alia*, in case there is an act on repeated breach of the license terms. In addition, in 2015 the Prosecutor General's Office of Ukraine started criminal proceedings on the fact of "unauthorized intervention" in the operation of MTS Ukraine telecommunication network in Crimea on 15 and 16 March, 2014. The outcome of the investigation is currently unclear. Possible legal risks or risks concerning our license

Table of Contents

terms and conditions arising from the proceedings might adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

***The level of development of corporate and securities laws and regulations in Russia could limit our ability to attract future investment.***

The regulation and supervision of the securities market, financial intermediaries and issuers are less developed in Russia than, for example, in the United States and Western Europe. Securities laws, including those relating to corporate governance, insider trading, disclosure and reporting requirements, are relatively new, while other laws concerning anti-fraud and directors' and officers' liabilities remain underdeveloped. The Russian securities market is regulated by the CBR and FAS where the latter oversees anti-monopoly matters and advertisement relating to securities.

In addition, Russian corporate and securities rules and regulations can change rapidly, which may materially adversely affect our ability to conduct capital markets transactions. While some important areas are subject to virtually no oversight, the regulatory requirements imposed on Russian issuers in other areas result in delays in conducting securities offerings and in accessing the capital markets. It is often unclear whether or how regulations, decisions and letters issued by the various regulatory authorities apply to us. As a result, we may be subject to fines and/or other enforcement measures despite our best efforts at compliance, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

***There is little minority shareholder protection in Russia.***

Minority shareholder protection under Russian law principally derives from (a) supermajority shareholder approval requirements for certain corporate actions, (b) the ability of a shareholder to demand that the company purchase the shares held by that shareholder if that shareholder voted against or did not participate in voting on certain types of actions, and (c) shareholders' right to challenge decisions of the company's management bodies in certain circumstances. Companies are also required by Russian law to obtain the approval of disinterested shareholders for certain transactions with interested parties. In practice, enforcement of these protections has been poor. Shareholders of some companies have also suffered as a result of fraudulent bankruptcies initiated by hostile creditors.

The supermajority shareholder approval requirement is met by a vote of 75% of all voting shares that are present at a shareholders' meeting. Thus, controlling shareholders owning slightly less than 75% of outstanding shares of a company may have a 75% or more voting power if certain minority shareholders are not present at the meeting. In situations where controlling shareholders effectively have 75% or more of the voting power at a shareholders' meeting, they are in a position to approve amendments to the charter of the company or significant transactions including asset transfers, which could be prejudicial to the interests of minority shareholders. It is possible that our controlling shareholder in the future may not operate us and our subsidiaries for the benefit of minority shareholders, and this could have a material adverse effect on the value of our shares and ADSs.

While the Federal Law on Joint Stock Companies of December 26, 1995, (the "Joint Stock Companies Law") provides that shareholders owning not less than 1% of the company's stock may bring an action for damages caused to a company by its CEO, member of the Board of Directors or is Management Board and certain other officials, minority shareholders may have difficulties with proving such damages with the court and as a consequence may be denied their claims by the court. In 2009, new legislation was adopted which contemplates class action litigation. However, since the legislation is relatively new, Russian courts are not experienced in resolving such disputes and do not have a clear and consistent approach in regards to class action litigation. Accordingly, your ability to pursue legal redress against us may be limited, reducing the protections available to you as a holder of our shares and ADSs.

Table of Contents

***According to Russian legislation, shareholders/participants of Russian companies have an opportunity to demand either liquidation of a company in a judicial proceeding or exclusion of other shareholder/ participant (except for public joint stock companies) from the company.***

According to the amendments to the Civil Code of the Russian Federation which came into effect on September 1, 2014, shareholders and participants of Russian companies have, *inter alia*, the following rights which can be executed via judicial proceedings:

- a) to demand the liquidation of a company in case of failure to achieve targets for which it was created, including a case when an operation of a company becomes impossible or is substantially hampered; and
- b) to demand exclusion of a shareholder/participant (except for the public joint stock companies, including MTS) whose actions/inactivity either cause significant harm or hampers the company's operations.

In this regard, considering the lack of practice in applying these regulations, we cannot rule out the possibility of filing of such claims against us. Should such claims be brought, this may have a negative impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

***Shareholder liability under Russian legislation could cause us to become liable for the obligations of our subsidiaries.***

The Civil Code of the Russian Federation, the Joint Stock Companies Law and the Federal Law "On Limited Liability Companies" generally provide that shareholders in a Russian joint stock company or members of a limited liability company are not liable for the obligations of the company and bear only the risk of loss of their investment. This may not be the case, however, when one entity is capable of determining decisions made by another entity. The entity capable of determining such decisions is deemed an "effective parent." The entity whose decisions are capable of being so determined is deemed an "effective subsidiary." The effective parent bears joint and several responsibility for transactions concluded by the effective subsidiary in carrying out these decisions if:

this decision-making capability is provided for in the charter of the effective subsidiary or in a contract between the companies; and

the effective parent gives obligatory directions to the effective subsidiary.

In addition, an effective parent is secondarily liable for an effective subsidiary's debts if an effective subsidiary becomes insolvent or bankrupt resulting from the action or inaction of an effective parent. This is the case no matter how the effective parent's ability to determine decisions of the effective subsidiary arises. For example, this liability could arise through ownership of voting securities or by contract. In these instances, other shareholders of the effective subsidiary may claim compensation for the effective subsidiary's losses from the effective parent which caused the effective subsidiary to take action or fail to take action knowing that such action or failure to take action would result in losses. Accordingly, we could be liable in some cases for the debts of our subsidiaries. This liability could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

***Shareholder rights provisions under Russian law could impose additional obligations and costs on us, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.***

Russian law provides that shareholders that vote against or did not participate in voting on certain matters have the right to sell their shares to the company at market value in accordance with Russian law. The decisions that trigger this right to sell shares include:

decisions with respect to a reorganization;

Table of Contents

the approval by shareholders of a "major transaction," which, in general terms, is a transaction involving property worth more than 50% of the gross book value of our assets calculated according to Russian accounting standards, regardless of whether the transaction is actually consummated;

the amendment of our charter in a manner that limits shareholder rights; and

decisions on delisting shares or convertible securities of the company from a stock exchange.

For example, from 2004 through December 31, 2014, we merged over 56 of our wholly owned subsidiaries into MTS. Following the approval of the first of the series of mergers we repurchased shares from investors who voted against or abstained from voting on the merger in the amount of 11.1 billion rubles (\$446.3 million as of the date of repurchase). Also, on March 10, 2011, we completed a share buyback as part of the reorganization of MTS involving a merger with Comstar, Dagtelecom and Evrotel. Specifically, a total of 8,000 MTS ordinary shares representing 0.0004% of our issued share capital were repurchased for RUB 1.96 million (\$67,000 as of the date of repurchase). In addition, a total of 22,483,791 Comstar ordinary shares representing 5.3809% of issued share capital were repurchased for RUB 4.8 billion (\$161.3 million as of the date of repurchase). Also as a part of our reorganization during 2013 a total of 90,881 MTS ordinary shares representing 0.004% of our issued share capital were repurchased for RUB 19.7 million (approximately \$650,000 as of March 31, 2013) and during 2014 a total of 9,935 MTS ordinary shares representing 0.0005% of our issued share capital were repurchased for RUB 2.1 million (approximately \$57,000 as of August 13, 2014).

Our obligation to purchase shares in these circumstances, which is limited to 10% of the company's net assets calculated in accordance with Russian accounting standards at the time the matter at issue is voted upon, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects. Under Russian law, if we are unable to sell the repurchased shares at a price equal to or exceeding the market price within one year after the date of repurchase, we have to reduce our charter capital accordingly.

***The Strategic Foreign Investment Law imposes certain restrictions on us and our existing and potential foreign shareholders, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.***

On May 7, 2008, the Federal Law "On the Procedure for Foreign Investment in Commercial Organizations of Strategic Importance for the Defense and Security of the State," or the Strategic Foreign Investment Law, came into force in Russia. This law sets forth certain restrictions relating to foreign investments in Russian companies of "strategic importance." Among others, companies with a dominant position in the Russian telecommunications market are considered to be strategically important and foreign investments in such companies are subject to regulations and restrictions to these companies set out by the Strategic Foreign Investment Law. For purposes of the Strategic Foreign Investment Law, a mobile telecommunications provider is deemed to be dominant if its market share in the Russian market exceeds 25%, as may be determined by FAS. In addition, a company may be considered to be strategically important due to our offering of services involving the use of cryptographic technologies.

On April 8, 2009, MTS and two of our subsidiaries, Dagtelecom LLC (Dagtelecom LLC has since been merged into MTS) and Sibintertelecom CJSC, were added to the register of companies occupying a dominant position on the market with a market share exceeding 25% for the purpose of the Strategic Foreign Investment Law.

Starting from the effective date of the Strategic Foreign Investment Law, a foreign investor seeking to obtain direct or indirect control over a strategically important company is required to have the

Table of Contents

respective transaction pre-approved by an authorized governmental body, the Federal antimonopoly service of the Russian Federation.

On December 6, 2014, the amendments to the Strategic Foreign Investment Law came into effect. The law stipulates that foreign investors are obliged to obtain prior approval of transactions envisaging the acquisition of right of ownership, possession or use of property classified as the fixed production assets of a strategic company and the value of which represents 25% or more of the balance sheet value of the assets of such company as of the last reporting date, according to accounts. In addition, foreign investors are required to notify this authorized governmental body about any transactions undertaken by them resulting in the acquisition of 5% or more of the charter capital of strategically important companies. Within 180 days from the effective date of the Strategic Foreign Investment Law, foreign investors having 5% or more of the charter capital of strategically important companies were required to notify the authorized governmental body about their current shareholding in such companies. Commencing December 6, 2014, a foreign investor is obliged to notify the authorized governmental body about the fact of conducting above- mentioned transactions.

As we are classified as a strategically important company, our current and future foreign investors are subject to the notification requirements described above and our current and potential investors may be limited in their ability to acquire a controlling stake in, or otherwise gain control over, us. Such increase in governmental control or limitation on foreign investment could impair the value of your investment and could hinder our access to additional capital.

***Regulatory changes in Russia, including the reduction of settlement rate, regulation of other inter-carrier and subscriber tariffs, the mobile number portability principle and others, as well as regulatory changes at the international level may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.***

Following an amendment to the Federal Law on Communications, which became effective July 1, 2006, fixed line operators began charging their subscribers for calls to mobile phone users and started to transfer a percentage of the charge to mobile operators terminating such calls. The percentage transferred to mobile operators is established by the regulator and is known as the "settlement rate." The Ministry of Communications and Mass Media is considering altering the approach to inter-carrier settlements in Russia and the subsequent lowering of the settlement rate. Any reduction of the settlement rate by the regulator could have a negative impact on our average monthly service revenues per subscriber and margins. In September 2013, the Government commission on telecommunications supported the proposal of the Ministry of Communications and Mass Media to simplify the process of traffic transmission within one sub-federal region, as well as to simplify the process of traffic transmission within the voice and data networks while transmitting voice information within one sub-federal region of the Russian Federation. The exact changes to the current regulations may be significant, including the regulation of interconnect leading to operators' inability to determine the autonomous pricing of interconnect rates.

In November 2014, the Government of Russia considered a proposal on reconsideration of the interconnect regulation approach submitted by the Ministry of Communications. It is unclear yet how this proposal may be implemented, however it may potentially lead to reduction in traffic transmission revenues. The final decision on the implementation of this proposal has not been made yet.

The changes to the Federal Law "On Communications" regarding the ability of a subscriber to retain the telephone number after switching from one operator of mobile communications to another (mobile number portability ("MNP")) were signed by the President of the Russian Federation on December 25, 2012 and came into legal force on December 1, 2013. To enable subscribers to use MNP, a certain number of regulatory legal acts were passed. The introduction of the rules on number portability in mobile networks may lead to subscribers' churn, as well as to an increase in costs for attracting and retaining customers, which may have a significant adverse effect on our financial

Table of Contents

condition and results of operations. Moreover, the introduction of MNP imposed additional costs on the operators of mobile communications due to the necessity of implementing several complex and resource-intensive actions involving organizational and technical infrastructure, the requirement to improve the software of telecommunication facilities, and change certain business processes.

There are various difficulties that we face in implementing MNP principle including difficulties in applying established customer practices. The implementation of the MNP principle may therefore lead to the interference of antimonopoly authorities and legal actions. For example, MegaFon filed a claim against us after winning a contract on rendering services to the members of the Council of the Federation, which was partially satisfied by FAS.

From March 1, 2014, if an operator fails to pass a subscriber's number to another operator it is obliged to render the services free of charge. The duration of any free of charge services will start from the planned date of passing the number until the effective date of the transfer. This initiative came into force on March 1, 2014 and placed additional responsibility on the operators whilst exposing operators to the risk that certain subscribers may seek to improperly take advantage of this system by engineering delays in the MNP process. Starting from April 8, 2014, the operators are obliged to pass a subscriber's number to another operator no later than the eighth day from the individual subscriber's application date and on the twenty ninth day in case the subscriber is a legal entity, unless otherwise indicated in the subscriber's application.

On February 3, 2014, a plan of measures for "Development of competition in electronic communications" was approved by the Government Regulation No. 130-R. According to the plan, the Ministry of Communications of the Russian Federation and the Federal Tariff Service will have to submit to the Government of the Russian Federation a report on assessment of possibility to port subscribers' numbers within fixed line networks ("LNP"- local number portability) as well as within data transmission networks. At the end of January 2015, the press reported that the Ministry of Economic Development submitted to the Ministry of Communications, the Federal Tariff Service, the FAS and the Russian Federal Service for Surveillance on Consumer Rights Protection and Human Wellbeing a proposal to implement the service of retaining the telephone number after switching from one fixed line operator to another, however the concept of LNP is not yet developed. In March 2015, the press reported that FAS upheld the initiative and suggested to develop pilot projects on launching the service when the Ministry of Communications opposed the proposal. The changes, should they be introduced, may lead to additional costs and intensify competition. In addition, in case the regulator imposes requirements on granting the infrastructure obligatory for fixed line operators while implementing the service, this may affect our business.

In December 2013 and in July 2014, the State Commission for Radio frequencies introduced a number of modifications to the conditions of using the frequency band for 3G and 4G. These changes resulted in implementation of the principle of technological neutrality for frequency bands 900 MHz (UMTS and LTE) and 1800 MHz (LTE). The Government Regulation No. 480 dated May 24, 2014, requiring that tenders shall be held mainly in the form of auctions, came into force on June 6, 2014. These changes may strengthen competition in the market as well as add costs for development of the network infrastructure.

The new version of the Federal Law on Information, Information Technologies and Information Security may classify our information systems as critically important, which would involve the need to comply with additional information security requirements and could lead to considerable modernization costs. There is also a possible necessity of replacing foreign information security products with locally developed substitutes.

Table of Contents

Moreover, a draft law regulating the equipment used in telecommunications network was introduced for consideration by the State Duma of the Russian Federation. This draft law would require us to use telecommunications equipment produced by Russian companies (i.e. those which are tax residents of the Russian Federation). The software in such equipment should have open source code. The draft law permits the use of foreign equipment in case there is no similar equipment produced by Russian companies. It is not yet clear how the restrictions can be applied since there are no Russian producers of much of this equipment. If this draft law is adopted, we could encounter severe difficulties in our operations.

On December 9, 2014, Government Regulation No. 1342 adopted the rules of rendering the telephone communications services, that include several amendments. These include an obligation for operators to inform the subscribers of any changes in tariff plans via sms, the limited ability of operators to charge subscribers for switching to another tariff plan as well as the possibility of subscribers to demand back the advance payments made without termination of contract. Adoption of the rules could materially adversely affect our revenues.

According to press reports, a proposal to ban direct connection of regional and local networks to foreign networks is being considered. All traffic is to be carried through the networks of nationwide operators. The list of nationwide operators has not been specified yet. In case such initiative is implemented and MTS is not included in the list of nationwide operators, this may lead to an increase in traffic transmission costs, inability to develop the infrastructure outside the Russian Federation and/or inability to exchange traffic with our subsidiaries located outside the Russian territory. Furthermore, this may lead to greater subscriber churn.

According to Government Regulation No. 1240 dated November 24, 2014, starting from January 1, 2015, federal public bodies were vested with a right to make decisions on using data transmission network of government bodies, which is a part of infrastructure ensuring information and technological interaction with the information systems used for rendering state and municipal electronic services for the purposes of exercising public functions. To date, the single operator of infrastructure of electronic state services is Rostelecom. Adoption of the Regulation may adversely affect our revenues with regard to the B2G market segment due to competitive disadvantage.

On October 14, 2014, the Russian president signed a law incorporating amendments to the Federal Law On Mass Media dated December 27, 1991. The amendment which comes into force on January 1, 2016, introduces limitations on the abilities of foreign entities to own, control or run Russian media business. Since MTS is a company with both Russian and foreign shareholders and our web site is registered as mass media resource, this might cause additional operational expenses for re-registration of the web resource. If we are unable to comply with the law requirements, this may lead to suspension of our web site license.

Currently the Russian Civil Code is undergoing the process of substantial revisions with new provisions being introduced relating to a number of spheres including property, and a number of others. At present, the potential interpretation of these amendments by state authorities (including the courts), along with their impact on our activities are unknown.

Russian companies are obliged to pay various and significant taxes including income tax, VAT, real estate tax, excise tax, payroll tax and others. Along with tax liabilities there are different obligatory non-tax payments. These include payments into Universal Service Fund, which currently amounts to 1.2% of our annual revenue on telecommunications services. Furthermore, potential regulatory changes that may be enacted in the future, such as the introduction of new rules regulating MVNOs, new rules concerning our pricing policy and others, could weaken our competitive position in the mobile telecommunications market. Changes in tax laws and non-tax regulations may lead to the growth of our tax burden and may as a result, materially adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

***The failure of our subsidiaries that are subject to regulations as natural monopolies to comply with the requirements of the Federal Law No. 223 "On Procurement Process," inter alia, in case of collective tendering can lead to penalties on our subsidiaries.***

One of our subsidiaries, MGTS, is categorized by the Federal Tariff Service as a natural monopoly in the Moscow telecommunications market. Another our subsidiary, Comstar-regions, operating in Khanty-Mansiysk Autonomous District is also categorized as a natural monopoly in the public telecommunications market of this Region. According to the Federal Law No.223 "On Procurement Process" which came into legal force on July, 18, 2011 with recent amendments dated December 28, 2013, natural monopolies are obliged to conduct the procurement process in accordance with the principles of transparency and non-discrimination and unjustified limitation of competition. If our subsidiaries that are under additional regulations as natural monopolies are found failing to comply with the law on procurement process, *inter alia* in case of collective tendering with us, our subsidiaries can be subject to penalties.

***Our failure to comply with new personal data protection laws and with the regulations of state authorities regarding information security in the telecommunications networks in Russia may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.***

The Federal Law on Personal Data and certain regulations enacted thereunder require our information storage, processing and protection practices to be in compliance with the statutory standards, effective as of July 1, 2011. Additionally, various amendments to the current regulatory regime have been proposed by the State Duma, the Council of the Federation, the Ministry of Communications and Mass Media, the Federal Service for Supervision in the Area of Communications and Mass Media, the Federal Service for Technical and Export Control, and the Federal Security Service, in order to increase regulatory oversight over data protection.

As a result of these and other changes in personal data protection regulations, we are faced with significant technical, financial and managerial undertakings. For example, we are required to treat subscribers' personal data with the level of protection afforded to state secrets, obtain state certification of our installed information protection facilities from the Federal Service for Technical and Export Control and the Federal Security Service. We are also now directly liable for the actions of third parties to whom we forward personal data for processing. Moreover, we must now make public our data protection policies, which currently constitute a trade secret, and which may increase the risk of data protection violations if revealed. Furthermore, the modernization of our information protection systems and the optimization and reengineering of our personal data processing systems will require us to incur significant expenses. At the same time, the new regulations established by the Russian government on November 1, 2012 introduced onerous data protection requirements around data processing within the informational systems (for example, to ensure that our system and application software of foreign origin do not have any undeclared capabilities). If the resources required to develop and implement data protection systems meeting the new standards are greater than expected, or we fail to comply with the data protection laws despite our best efforts to do so, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

***Recent novelties concerning regulation on personal data such as, for example, ban on processing of Russian citizens' personal data in databases located outside Russia and obligation to provide information concerning all Russian citizens' personal data to "Roskomnadzor" may pose additional compliance risks for telecommunications operators.***

According to the Federal Law No. 242- dated July 21, 2014, operators are obliged to record, systemize, accumulate, store, clarify (update, modify) and retrieve Russian citizens' personal data using databases located only within Russia, as well as to provide Roskomnadzor with the information on location of databases containing all citizens' personal data.



Table of Contents

The adopted law may cause restrictions on the provision of information services as well as impose penalties on operators for failure to comply with the legal requirements for the following reasons:

Information transfer assumes that volume of information and period of its storage at the receiving party are limited. Therefore, the law, in fact, prohibits cross-border personal data transmission (processing) that may cause inability to provide information services for Russian citizens connected with cross-border personal data processing and/or involvement of foreign partners.

Telecommunication operator's activity while rendering communication services assumes exchange of personal data with foreign partners. Uncertainties with respect to the Russian legislation do not allow to unambiguously define legitimacy of such activity with the adoption of this law.

No standard definition of a database exists in the law. According to definitions of a database given in the Article 1260 of the Civil Code and in GOST 20886, different documents and virtual objects (for example, MS Office files) may be referred to as a database. Therefore, the combination of such objects and their location in a complex information structure may be prone to ambiguous interpretation.

The date of the entry into force of the Federal Law No. 242 was shifted from September 1, 2016 to September 1, 2015, which reduces time for operators to comply with the law requirements. Failure to comply with the legal requirements may lead to the imposition of penalties.

***Changes in Ukrainian telecommunications legislation have caused uncertainty in relation to the regulation of the Ukrainian telecommunications industry and may adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.***

The Ukrainian Law on Telecommunications came into force on December 23, 2003 (certain articles became effective in 2004 and 2005). The NCRC as the central regulatory body in the sphere of communications was established in August 2004.

On November 23, 2011, the NCRC was dissolved and the Ukrainian government created the NCCIR. As a result of the NCRC dissolution, the State Inspection of Communications has similarly been dissolved and there are currently no provisions in the legislation that would provide for a similar regulatory body or for its authority. The authority granted to the NCCIR is largely similar to the authority that was afforded to the NCRC.

In addition, the Ukrainian Law on Telecommunications may require, among other things, companies declared to have dominant position or SMP on the telecommunications market to develop public telecommunications services if directed to do so by the regulatory authorities. On June 24, 2010, MTS Ukraine (among other mobile operators) was found to have a dominant position on the interconnect market by the AMC. In 2012, there have been changes in legislation affecting telecommunications providers including: the Rules on Telecommunication Services making the operators responsible for the actions of content-providers, a law on state lotteries prohibiting all lotteries in Ukraine excluding those of state status and a law on telecommunications prohibiting serving new subscribers unless they provide a passport as identity confirmation.

In November 2012, NCCIR issued the statements regarding MNP, which enables the subscribers to retain their telephone number after switching from one operator of mobile communications to another. MNP law came into legal force on July 5, 2013 with the beginning of switching to other operators from December 20, 2013. On December 30, 2013 a resolution that postponed the beginning of service provision to July 2014 came into legal force, however, implementation of MNP service was cancelled later on by a court decision. Currently NCCIR is seeking for market participants' advice on introduction of MNP service. The working group of stakeholders was organized in order to develop the service rules. The date of the service introduction has not been specified yet.

Table of Contents

According to the Law of Ukraine No. 1166 "On prevention of financial disaster and creation of preconditions for the economic growth in Ukraine," the fee for use of radio frequency resource was doubled. The increase in fee for the use of radio frequency resource and similar legislative changes regulating telecommunications industry may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. See "Item 4. Information on Our Company B. Business Overview Regulation of Telecommunications in the Russian Federation and Ukraine Regulation in Ukraine Legislation."

***The Russian taxation system is underdeveloped and any imposition of significant additional tax liabilities could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.***

The discussion below provides general information regarding Russian taxes and is not intended to be inclusive of all issues. Investors should seek advice from their own tax advisors as to these tax matters before investing in our shares and ADSs. See also "Item 10. Additional Information E. Taxation."

In general, taxes payable by Russian companies are substantial and numerous. These taxes include, among others, corporate income tax, value added tax, property taxes, excise duties, payroll-related taxes and other taxes.

Russian tax laws, regulations and court practice are subject to frequent change, varying interpretation and inconsistent and selective enforcement. In some instances, although it may be viewed as contrary to Russian constitutional law, the Russian tax authorities have applied certain new tax laws retroactively, issued tax claims for periods for which the statute of limitations had expired and reviewed the same tax period multiple times.

On October 12, 2006, the Plenum of the High Arbitrazh Court of the Russian Federation issued Resolution No. 53 formulating the concept of "unjustified tax benefit," which is described in the Resolution by reference to circumstances, such as absence of business purpose or transactions where the form does not match the substance, and which could lead to the disallowance of tax benefits resulting from the transaction or the recharacterization of the transaction. There has been very little further guidance on the interpretation of this concept by the tax authorities or courts, but it is likely that the tax authorities will actively seek to apply this concept when challenging tax positions taken by taxpayers in Russian courts. While the intention of this Resolution might have been to combat abuse of tax laws, in practice, there is no assurance that the tax authorities will not seek to apply this concept in a broader sense.

Generally, tax returns in Russia remain open and subject to tax audit by the tax authorities for a period of three calendar years immediately preceding the year in which the decision to conduct a tax audit is taken. The fact that a year has been reviewed by the tax authorities does not prevent further review of that year, or any tax return applicable to that year, during the eligible three-year period by a superior tax authority or, in certain limited instances, by a tax authority which conducted an initial review.

On July 14, 2005, the Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation issued a decision that allows the statute of limitations for tax penalties to be extended beyond the three-year term set forth in the tax laws if a court determines that the taxpayer has obstructed or hindered a tax audit. Additionally, according to amendments to the Tax Code of the Russian Federation, effective January 1, 2007, the three-year statute of limitations may be extended if the actions of the taxpayer created insurmountable obstacles for the tax audit. Because none of the relevant terms is defined, tax authorities may have broad discretion to argue that a taxpayer has "obstructed" or "hindered" or "created insurmountable obstacles" in respect of a tax audit and to ultimately seek review and possibly apply penalties beyond the three-year terms. According to Presidium of High Arbitrazh Court Resolution No. 4134/11 of

Table of Contents

September 27, 2011, the statute of limitations for tax penalties is calculated starting from the day immediately following the expiration of the tax period when the violation was committed.

On March 17, 2009, the Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation issued a decision preventing the Russian tax authorities from carrying out a subsequent tax audit of a tax period if, following the initial audit of such tax period, a court decision was made concerning a tax dispute between the relevant taxpayer and the relevant tax authority arising out of such tax period, and such decision has not been revised or discharged. The Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation then issued Decision No. 138-O-P on January 28, 2010, which confirmed the above approach. Subsequently, the Presidium of High Arbitrazh Court held in several cases that under certain circumstances (in particular, when the case has not been considered in substance) a superior tax body is still entitled to conduct a tax audit with respect to re-opened tax periods and taxes already reviewed during the initial tax audit; however, the circumstances under which the audit is conducted should differ from the initial ones (No. 14585/09 of March 16, 2010, No. 17099/09 of May 25, 2010, No. 7278/10 of October 20, 2010).

There is no guarantee that the tax authorities will not review our compliance with applicable tax law beyond the three-year limitation period. Any such review could, if it concluded that we had significant unpaid taxes relating to such periods, have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

As of January 1, 2012, changes to the Tax Code of the Russian Federation enable Russian taxpayers which are part of a group to consolidate their financial results for profit tax purposes. It is yet unclear how the new legislative provisions will be applied by the tax authorities as currently only limited regulatory guidance is available on this matter. In addition to imposing certain criteria that must be met in order to create a consolidated tax paying group, the law also limits certain transactions within the group (*e.g.* corporate restructurings). In 2014, we concluded an agreement with tax authorities, according to which the consolidated taxpaying group of taxpayers will start functioning from 2016.

In addition, intercompany dividends are subject to a withholding tax of 0% or 13% (depending on whether the recipient of dividends qualifies for Russian participation exemption rules), if being distributed to Russian companies, and 15% (or lower, subject to benefits provided by relevant double tax treaties), if being distributed to foreign companies. Amendments to the Tax code effective in 2014, introduced an increased withholding tax rate of 30% for dividends to be applied where particular information have not been provided to the custodian regarding the holders of securities held in a foreign nominee holder, foreign authorized holder or depositary program custody accounts. The amendments to the Russian Tax Code, which came into effect from January 1, 2015, exclude dividends from the scope of payments subject to 30% withholding tax rate. The above changes and potential difficulties they create related to varying interpretation of the Tax Code provisions concerning withholding tax rates may affect the investment prospects of the Russian companies.

In addition, it is currently unclear how draft amendments to the Tax Code of the Russian Federation planned for 2015 might affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

The Russian tax authorities may take a more assertive position in their interpretation of the legislation and assessments, and it is possible that transactions and activities that have not been challenged in the past may nonetheless be subject to challenges in the future. The foregoing factors raise the risk of the imposition of arbitrary or onerous taxes on us, which could adversely affect the value of our shares and ADSs.

Current Russian tax legislation is, in general, based upon the formal manner in which transactions are documented, looking to form rather than substance. However, the Russian tax authorities are

Table of Contents

increasingly taking a "substance and form" approach, which may cause additional tax exposures to arise in the future. Additional tax exposures could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

It is expected that Russian tax legislation will become more sophisticated, which may result in the introduction of additional revenue raising measures. Although it is unclear how any new measures would operate, any such introduction may affect our overall tax efficiency and may result in significant additional taxes becoming payable. Additional tax exposures could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

In addition to the usual tax burden imposed on Russian taxpayers, these conditions complicate tax planning and related business decisions. For example, tax laws are unclear with respect to deductibility of certain expenses. This uncertainty could possibly expose us to significant fines and penalties and to enforcement measures, despite our best efforts at compliance, and could result in a greater than expected tax burden.

Based on the results of their audit in August 2012, the tax authorities of Uzbekistan assessed \$669 million (RUB 21,390 million) in additional taxes, penalties and fines payable by Uzdurobita. Afterwards all tax disputes were closed, and the total amount of damages incurred by the state was calculated on the basis of all claims against Uzdurobita which amounted to \$587 million (RUB 18,375 million). After paying two scheduled installments totaling \$147.5 million (RUB 4,583.4 million) and making partial payment of the third installment amounting \$15.9 million (RUB 481 million) and constituting the remaining amount of cash held in its bank accounts, Uzdurobita filed a petition for voluntary bankruptcy to the Tashkent Economic Court on the grounds of its inability to meet further obligations. We also filed a claim against the Republic of Uzbekistan in the ICSID, part of the World Bank Group, in Washington, D.C. On July 31, 2014, MTS and the Republic of Uzbekistan signed the Settlement Agreement which resulted in MTS' reentrance into Uzbekistan and rendering mobile telecommunication services in the country. See also "The inability of our subsidiaries in the countries in which we are present to maintain control over their operations and assets may adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations," and "Item 8. Financial Information A. Consolidated Statements and Other Financial Information 7. Litigation Uzbekistan."

***Russian anti-offshore policy may have adverse impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations.***

In the past few years, the Russian Federation like a number of other countries in the world has been actively involved in a discussion of measures against tax evasion by the use of low tax jurisdictions as well as aggressive tax planning structures.

The new rules of controlled foreign companies (CFC) came into force on January 1, 2015. The rules oblige Russian taxpayers being controlling persons of a foreign company to submit to the tax authorities both standard notifications on participation in CFC and tax declarations. Profit generated commencing in 2015, including retained earnings, is subject to taxation in the Russian Federation. The innovations could impose additional tax on the undistributed profits of any foreign entity controlled by us (in proportion to such controlling stake) at the rate of 20%. These innovations caused amendments to the Tax Code providing for liability in case of non-disclosure or incomplete disclosure of information on CFCs and the non-payment or underpayment of relevant tax.

On November 4, 2014, the President of the Russian Federation signed the Federal law No. 325 "On ratification of the Convention on Mutual Administrative Assistance in Tax Matters."

Ratification of this Convention will enable the Russian Federation to receive tax information from all participating countries which include, among others, a number of offshore jurisdictions.

Table of Contents

Lack of law enforcement practice may cause difficulties in interpreting the above-mentioned laws by the Russian tax authorities. It is also currently unclear how the enacted laws could affect our counterparties, which may be registered in off shore jurisdictions.

In case the impact of legislative initiatives is significant for some of our counterparties it may lead to potential influence on our results of operations.

***The implications of the tax system in Ukraine are uncertain and various tax laws are subject to different interpretations.***

Besides the new Tax Code, which came into force on January 1, 2011, Ukraine currently has a number of laws related to various taxes imposed by both central and regional authorities. Applicable taxes include value added tax ("VAT"), corporate income tax (profits tax), customs duties, payroll (social) taxes and other taxes. These tax laws have not been in force for significant periods of time compared to more developed market economies and are constantly changed and amended. Accordingly, few precedents regarding tax issues are available.

Although the Ukrainian Constitution prohibits retroactive enforcement of any newly enacted tax laws and the Law on Taxation System specifically requires legislation to adopt new tax laws at least six months prior to them becoming effective, such rules have largely been ignored. In addition, tax laws are often vaguely drafted, making it difficult for us to determine what actions are required for compliance.

Furthermore, with the entry into force of the new Tax Code of Ukraine (the "TCU"), there is uncertainty in regards to tax accounting of payments for the use of computer software. As part of its business, MTS Ukraine purchases limited end-user rights for the use of computer software. Currently, there are no clear rules for the classification of the payments made by MTS Ukraine for these purchases. Under the TCU, these payments may be treated as payments for intangible assets or as payments for fixed assets. Tax authorities of different levels have provided inconsistent tax clarifications on this matter. The tax rate applicable to these payments will vary according to their classification.

Also, rules established by the TCU for recalculation of the input tax credit for non-current assets are unclear. Uncertain transfer pricing rules and their inconsistent application by the Ukrainian tax authorities and courts may also adversely affect MTS Ukraine's operations. MTS Ukraine's transactions with its related parties as well as certain transactions with non-Ukrainian entities that are not MTS Ukraine's related parties may be affected by the application of the transfer pricing rules. No "safe harbor" margin is provided under Ukrainian legislation if the sale price deviates from the arm's length price.

On December 28, 2014, the Act on Improvement of Tax Control on Transfer Pricing was approved. From January 1, 2015, business transactions that have an impact on taxable profits with related parties non-Ukrainian entities or non-related entities of states, where the Corporate Profits Tax rate is less than 13%, are controlled if the value of all transactions with the same counterparty exceeds 1 million hryvnias (net of VAT).

Due to the poor quality of the applicable tax legislation and its inconsistent interpretation, it is possible that MTS Ukraine's prices could be subject to challenge and adjustment for corporate income tax or VAT purposes. Profit repatriation arrangements, such as the level of royalties for trademarks or loan interest paid by MTS Ukraine from Ukraine abroad, may also be challenged for the same reasons. If such price adjustments are implemented, MTS Ukraine's effective tax rate may increase and its financial results may be adversely affected.

Differing opinions regarding the legal interpretation of tax laws often exist both among and within governmental ministries and organizations, including the tax administration, creating uncertainties and areas of conflict for taxpayers and investors.

Table of Contents

Tax declarations/returns, together with other legal compliance areas (e.g., customs and currency control matters), may be subject to review and investigation by various administrative divisions of the tax authorities, which are authorized by law to impose severe fines, penalties and interest charges. These circumstances create tax risks in Ukraine substantially more significant than typically found in countries with more developed tax systems. Generally, tax declarations/returns in Ukraine remain open and subject to inspection for a three-year period. However, this term may not be observed or may be extended under certain circumstances, including in the context of a criminal investigation.

The changes introduced into the new Tax Code of the Ukraine during 2012 created a duty to pay advance installments on tax on profits on a monthly basis and retained the duty to pay advance installments on dividend payments. Before 2013, tax on profits charged for the accounting period was reduced by the amount of advance installments made on dividend payments. In 2013 such reductions were not taken into account and we were obliged to pay the new monthly advance installment on the tax on profits as well as the advance installments on the dividend payments, which increased our tax expenses. However, following a new law issued on July 31, 2013 it became possible to reduce the tax on profits by the amount of advance installments on dividend payments but commencing March 1, 2014 when the 2013 and 2014 tax return is filed. But the form of tax return applied for 2013 and 2014 does not provide for such reduction.

On March 27, 2014, the Act on Finance Crisis Prevention was passed in Ukraine. Several provisions in the Tax Code have been changed by the act which may affect our business in Ukraine, in particular, doubling of the fees for frequency usage.

On December 28, 2014, the Act on tax reform was passed, that changes TCU by introducing the new VAT base and the electronic VAT administration system. From January 1, 2015 the VAT base for taxable supplies cannot be lower than the purchase price for purchased goods/services and/or the cost for produced services; and/or the net balance value of non-current assets. From February 1, 2015 till July 1, 2015 the electronic VAT administration system operates in a test regime: all VAT invoices are issued in electronic form and registered in the unified register. Failure to register a VAT invoice on time is subject to 20-50% fines depending on the overdue period. From July 1, 2015, the registration of VAT invoices will be available if the supplier has sufficient input VAT and/or has a sufficient balance in its State Treasury electronic VAT account accumulated with cash from supplier's bank account.

While we believe that we are currently materially in compliance with the tax laws affecting our operations in Ukraine, it is possible that relevant authorities may take differing positions with regard to interpretative issues, which may result in a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

***Vaguely drafted Russian transfer pricing rules, and lack of reliable pricing information may impact our business and results of operations.***

Russian transfer pricing legislation became effective in the Russian Federation on January 1, 1999. This legislation allowed the tax authorities to make transfer pricing adjustments and impose additional tax liabilities with respect to all "controlled" transactions, provided that the transaction price differed from the market price by more than 20%. "Controlled" transactions included transactions with related parties, barter transactions, foreign trade transactions and transactions with significant price fluctuations (i.e., if the price with respect to such transactions differs from the prices on similar transactions conducted within a short period of time by more than 20%). Special transfer pricing provisions were established for operations with securities and derivatives. Russian transfer pricing rules were vaguely drafted, generally leaving wide scope for interpretation by Russian tax authorities and courts. There has been very little guidance (although some court practice is available) as to how these rules should be applied. These transfer pricing rules apply with respect to transactions that occurred before January 1, 2012.

Table of Contents

New transfer pricing rules became effective on January 1, 2012. The implementation of these new rules should help to align domestic rules with OECD principles. The new rules are expected to considerably toughen the previously effective law by, among other things, effectively shifting the burden of proving market prices from the tax authorities to the taxpayer and obliging the taxpayer to keep in certain cases specific documentation. In addition, the amendments:

introduce the possibility for major taxpayers to enter into an advance pricing agreement with the tax authorities;

introduce the 'arm's length' principle as a fundamental principle of the Russian transfer pricing rules;

establish a new list of controlled transactions (which would cover cross-border transactions with certain commodities, cross-border transactions with related parties and tax haven residents, and certain intra-Russian transactions with related parties);

extend the list of related parties;

extend the list of transfer pricing methods (including the Transactional Net Margin Method and the Profit Split method) with the choice of method depending on the allocation of functions performed, risks assumed and assets used by the parties to a transaction (instead of a rigid priority of methods under prior legislation);

replace the existing permitted deviation threshold with the 'arm's length' range of market prices (profitability);

introduce double-side adjustments in relation to domestic transactions; and

introduce special transfer pricing audits by federal tax authorities and specific transfer pricing penalties (more severe than in case of other, non-transfer pricing related, tax assessments).

If the Russian tax authorities were to impose significant additional tax liabilities through the introduction of transfer pricing adjustments, they could have a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Adoption of the new transfer pricing rules may increase the risk of transfer pricing adjustments being made by the tax authorities. In addition to the usual tax risks and tax burden imposed on Russian taxpayers, the uncertainties of the new transfer pricing rules complicate tax planning and related business decisions. It will also require us to ensure compliance with the new transfer pricing documentation requirements proposed in such rules. Uncertainty of the new rules may also require us to expend significant additional time and material resources for implementation of our internal compliance procedures. Tax authorities could impose additional tax liability as well as 20% penalties on the underpaid tax in case the prices or profitability are outside the market range and if the required transfer pricing documentation has not been prepared, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

***The regulatory environment for telecommunications in Russia, Ukraine and other countries where we operate or may operate in the future is uncertain and subject to political influence or manipulation, which may result in negative and arbitrary regulatory and other decisions against us on the basis of other than legal considerations and in preferential treatment for our competitors.***

We operate in an uncertain regulatory environment. The legal framework with respect to the provision of telecommunications services in Russia and Ukraine and the other countries where we operate or may operate in the future is not well developed, and a number of conflicting laws, decrees and regulations apply to the telecommunications sector.

Moreover, regulation is conducted largely through the issuance of licenses and instructions, and governmental officials have a high degree of discretion. In this environment, political influence or

Table of Contents

manipulation could be used to affect regulatory, tax and other decisions against us on the basis of other than legal considerations. For example, Russian government authorities investigated Vimpelcom in late 2003 on grounds that it was illegally operating in Moscow pursuant to a license issued to its wholly owned subsidiary rather than to Vimpelcom itself. In addition, some of our competitors may receive preferential treatment from the government, potentially giving them a substantial advantage over us.

***An adverse change in the infrastructure regulation in Russia could result in additional costs on us.***

Starting from January 1, 2013, telecommunications operators are required to enter into agreements with owners of roads whose public access areas host telecommunication equipment. These agreements have to contain certain provisions prescribed by the Russian Ministry for Transportation and the Russian Ministry for Economic Development and Trade. To date, no list of such provisions has been adopted. There is a risk of imbalance in the commercial interests of the operators and road owners in case such list is adopted.

Consequently, any adverse changes in legislation relating to the regulation of interaction between the owners of roads and telecommunications companies could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Telecom operators will be obliged to sign agreements with both, owners of the roads and with owners of land located within road areas where telecommunication equipment is installed. On September 5, 2014, the Ministry of Transport adopted Order No. 240 "On approval of the procedure for determination of fees for public easements in respect of land plots within the boundaries of road rights-of-way (except for private roads) for laying, moving, conversion and operations of utility lines." The Order establishes annual payment in the amount of 0.12% of the cadastral cost of the land plot.

After the Ministry of Transport of the Russian Federation in coordination with the Ministry of Economic Development adopts the Order setting out key terms of agreements between telecommunications operators and owners of the roads, telecommunications operators will be obliged to enter into these agreements. Federal public bodies are currently considering a draft of the Order.

***Risks Relating to the Shares and ADSs and the Trading Market***

***Government regulations may limit the ability of investors to deposit shares into our ADS facility.***

The ability of investors to deposit shares into our ADS facility may be affected by current or future governmental regulations. For example, under Russian securities regulations, no more than 25% of a Russian company's shares may be circulated abroad through sponsored depository receipt programs. Prior to December 31, 2005, and at the time of our initial public offering, this threshold was 40%. Although we believe that the new lower threshold does not apply to our ADSs, in the future, we may be required to reduce the size of our ADS program or amend the depository agreement for the ADSs.

Because our ADS program is regularly at or near capacity, purchasers of our shares may not be able to deposit these shares into our ADS facility, and ADS holders who withdraw the underlying shares from the facility may not be able to re-deposit their shares in the future. As a result, effective arbitrage between our ADSs and our shares may not always be possible. Our shares are listed and trade on the Moscow Interbank Currency Exchange. Due to the limited public free float of our common stock, the public market for our shares is significantly less active and liquid than for our ADSs. The cumulative effect of these factors is that our shares may from time to time, and for extended periods of time, trade at a significant discount to our ADSs.



Table of Contents

***Recent Russian legislation changed the approach towards disclosure of information about ownership of the ADSs, including in some cases beneficial ownership of the ADSs, and a failure to provide such disclosure may restrict your ability to vote.***

Pursuant to recently enacted legislation, depositaries, and as a result, ADS holders, are not able to vote in connection with the shares underlying ADSs on behalf of the ADS holders unless they provide certain information to the issuer. At a minimum, this information includes the identity of the holder of the ADSs and the number of shares attributable to each ADS holder.

Nevertheless the legislation stipulates that the issuer, CBR, Russian courts and pretrial investigation agencies may request such lists of depositary receipt holders from the holder of depositary program depo account. The holder of depositary program depo account shall take all reasonable measures in order to provide such information. In case of non-compliance with the above requirements, the CBR may suspend, or impose limitations on, transactions with securities held in the relevant accounts of Russian custodians for a period of up to six months. As a result, the shares underlying the ADSs may be blocked and it may be impossible to deposit or withdraw the shares into or from the depositary program. Overall, there is lack of practice and official interpretation in relation to the new rules related to shares underlying ADSs as well as uncertainties with respect to exercise of certain rights attaching to shares underlying ADS holders in view of the new rules which could complicate the exercise of right to, and the ability to derive benefits from, the shares represented by ADSs.

***The market price of our ADSs has been and may continue to be volatile.***

The market price of our ADSs experienced, and may continue to experience, significant volatility. For information on the closing price of our ADSs on the New York Stock Exchange, see "Item 9. Offer and Listing Details - A.4. Market Price Information."

Numerous factors, including many over which we have no control, may have a significant impact on the market price of our ADSs, including, among other things:

periods of regional or global macroeconomic instability;

announcements of technological or competitive developments;

regulatory developments in our target markets affecting us, our customers or our competitors;

actual or anticipated fluctuations in our quarterly operating results;

changes in financial estimates or other material comments by securities analysts relating to us, our competitors or our industry in general;

announcements by other companies in our industry relating to their operations, strategic initiatives, financial condition or financial performance or to our industry in general;

announcements of acquisitions or consolidations involving industry competitors or industry suppliers;

sales or perceived sales of additional ordinary shares or ADSs by us or our significant shareholders; and

impact and development of any investigation or lawsuit, currently pending or threatened, or that may be instituted in the future.

For example, market price of our ADSs experienced significant volatility during 2014 due to an economic downturn coupled with legal proceedings relating to our beneficial owner, as disclosed in more detail by Sistema.



Table of Contents

In addition, the stock market in recent years has experienced extreme price and trading volume fluctuations that often have been unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of individual companies. These broad market fluctuations may adversely affect the price of our ADSs, regardless of our operating performance.

***Voting rights with respect to the shares represented by our ADSs are limited by the terms of the deposit agreement for our ADSs and relevant requirements of Russian law.***

ADS holders will have no direct voting rights with respect to the shares represented by the ADSs. They will be able to exercise voting rights with respect to the shares represented by ADSs only in accordance with the provisions of the deposit agreement relating to the ADSs and relevant requirements of Russian law. Therefore, there are practical limitations upon the ability of ADS holders to exercise their voting rights due to the additional procedural steps involved in communicating with them. For example, the Joint Stock Companies Law and our charter require us to notify shareholders no less than 30 days prior to the date of any meeting and at least 70 days prior to the date of an extraordinary meeting to elect our Board of Directors. Our ordinary shareholders will receive notice directly from us and will be able to exercise their voting rights by either attending the meeting in person or voting by power of attorney.

ADS holders by comparison, will not receive notice directly from us. Rather, in accordance with the deposit agreement, we will provide the notice to the depositary. The depositary has undertaken, in turn, as soon as practicable thereafter, to mail to you the notice of such meeting, voting instruction forms and a statement as to the manner in which instructions may be given by ADS holders. To exercise their voting rights, ADS holders must then instruct the depositary how to vote the shares represented by the ADSs they hold. Because of this additional procedural step involving the depositary, the process for exercising voting rights may take longer for ADS holders than for holders of the shares and we cannot assure ADS holders that they will receive voting materials in time to enable them to return voting instructions to the depositary in a timely manner. ADSs for which the depositary does not receive timely voting instructions will not be voted.

Given the above, we cannot provide any assurance that holders and beneficial owners of ADSs will (i) receive notice of shareholder meetings to enable the timely return of voting instructions to the depositary, (ii) receive notice to enable the timely cancellation of ADSs in respect of shareholder actions or (iii) be given the benefit of dissenting or minority shareholders' rights in respect of an event or action in which the holder or beneficial owner has voted against, abstained from voting or not given voting instructions.

See also " Recent Russian legislation changed the approach towards disclosure of information about ownership of the ADSs, including in some cases beneficial ownership of the ADSs, and a failure to provide such disclosure may restrict your ability to vote."

***ADS holders may be unable to repatriate distributions made on the shares and ADSs.***

We anticipate that any dividends we may pay in the future on the shares represented by the ADSs will be declared and paid to the depositary in rubles and will be converted into U.S. dollars by the depositary and distributed to holders of ADSs, net of the depositary's fees and expenses. The ability to convert rubles into U.S. dollars is subject to the availability of U.S. dollars in Russia's currency markets. Although there is an existing, albeit limited by size, market within Russia for the conversion of rubles into U.S. dollars, including the interbank currency exchange and over-the-counter and currency futures markets, the further development of this market is uncertain. At present, there is a limited market for the conversion of rubles into foreign currencies outside of Russia and limited market in which to hedge ruble and ruble-denominated investments.

Table of Contents

***ADS holders may be subject to Russian regulatory restrictions.***

Prior to the amendments to the Russian securities laws introduced in 2011, a depository bank could be considered the owner of the shares underlying the ADS, and as such could be subject to the mandatory public tender offer rules, anti-monopoly clearance rules, governmental consents or reporting requirements in respect of acquisition of shares and other limitations contemplated by Russian law. The amendments to the Russian securities laws introduced in 2011 provide that a depository bank is not an owner of underlying shares, and as such, these requirements should apply to ADS holders.

***ADS holders may be unable to benefit from the United States Russia income tax treaty.***

Under Russian law, dividends paid to a non-resident holder of the shares generally will be subject to Russian withholding tax at a rate of 15%. The tax burden may be reduced to 5% or 10% under the United States Russia income tax treaty for eligible U.S. holders; a 5% rate may potentially apply for U.S. holders who are legal entities owning 10% or more of the company's voting shares, and a 10% rate applies to dividends paid to eligible U.S. holders in other cases, including dividend payments to individuals and legal entities owning less than 10% of the company's voting shares. However, according to the recent amendments to the Tax Code, U.S. holders will only be able to utilize the 5% reduced rate through tax reimbursement procedures, as the tax agent is required to use the baseline tax rate established by the code or the applicable tax treaty, whichever is appropriate. See also "Item 10. Additional Information E. Taxation United States Russia Income Tax Treaty Procedures."

The Russian tax rules in relation to ADS holders (that would affect U.S. holders) are characterized by significant uncertainties and limited interpretive guidance. Recent amendments to the tax rules have clarified the status of the ADS holders as beneficial owners of the income from the underlying shares by establishing that the custodian holding the depo account with the shares underlying the ADSs acting as the tax agent and determines amounts of the withholding tax based on the information about the ADS holders and their tax residency status as provided by the program depository. However, the application of the baseline tax rate for ADS holders and any double tax treaty relief is available only if the tax treaty residence of the holder is provided to the custodian along with the other information prescribed by the Tax code. In relation to ADS holders such information is to be provided by the ADS holders to the depository, who relays it to the custodian, who acts as the tax agent and withholds the taxes when making transferring the dividends to the depository. It is currently unclear how the depository will collect the necessary information from ADS holders. Thus, while a U.S. holder may technically be entitled to benefit from the provisions of the United States Russia income tax treaty, in practice such relief may be difficult or impossible to obtain. See also "Item 10. Additional Information E. Taxation" for additional information.

***Capital gain from the sale of shares and ADSs may be subject to Russian income tax.***

Income received by a foreign company from the sale, exchange or other disposal (assuming that such income is not related to a permanent establishment of a foreign company in Russia) of shares (participation interest) in an organization in which over 50% of the assets consist of immovable property located in Russia, as well as financial instruments derived from such shares, is treated as income derived from a source in the Russian Federation and is subject to withholding tax at a rate of 20%. However, gains arising from the disposition of the securities which are traded on an organized stock exchange are not treated as Russian-source income, and should not be subject to taxation in Russia.

The amount of such income is typically determined as the sales price of shares (participation interest). However, if documentary support for the acquisition cost of the shares (participation interest) is available, the tax may instead be assessed on the basis of the difference between the sales price and the acquisition cost (including other related costs) if documentary evidence of such costs is submitted

Table of Contents

to the tax agent. The Russian Tax Code also establishes special rules for calculating the tax base for the purposes of transactions with securities. However, an exemption applies if immovable property located in Russia constitutes more than 50% of a company's assets and the securities are traded on a foreign stock exchange. The determination of whether more than 50% of our assets consist of immovable property located in Russia is inherently factual and is made on an on-going basis and the relevant Russian legislation and regulations in this respect are not entirely clear. Hence, there can be no assurance that immovable property owned by us and located in Russia does not currently and will not constitute more than 50% of our assets as at the date of the sale of ADSs by non-residents.

Where the ADSs are sold by legal entities or organizations to persons other than a Russian company or a foreign company or an organization with a registered permanent establishment in Russia, even if the resulting capital gain is considered taxable in Russia, there is currently no mechanism under which the purchaser will be able to withhold the tax and remit it to the Russian budget.

Under the United States Russia income tax treaty, capital gains from the sale of shares and/or ADSs by eligible U.S. holders should be relieved from taxation in Russia, unless 50% or more of our assets (the term "fixed assets" is used in the Russian version of the treaty) were to consist of immovable property located in Russia.

The taxation of income of non-resident individuals depends on whether this income is received from Russian or non-Russian sources. Russian tax law does not give a definition of how the "source of income" should be determined with respect to the sale of securities, other than that income from the sale of securities which takes place "in Russia" should be considered as Russian source income. As there is no further definition of what should be considered to be a sale "in Russia," the Russian tax authorities have a certain amount of freedom to conclude what transactions take place in or outside Russia, including looking at the place of the transaction, the place of the issuer of the shares, the location of the registrar recording the transfer of legal title to the relevant securities or other similar criteria.

Non-residents who are individuals are taxable on Russian-source income. Provided that gains arising from the disposition of the foregoing types of securities and derivatives outside of Russia by U.S. holders who are individuals not resident in Russia for tax purposes will not be considered Russian source income, then such income should not be taxable in Russia. However, gains arising from the disposition of the same securities and derivatives "in Russia" by U.S. holders who are individuals not resident in Russia for tax purposes may be subject to tax either at the source in Russia or based on an annual tax return, which they may be required to submit with the Russian tax authorities. See also "Item 10. Additional Information E. Taxation."

***The lack of a developed practice relating to share registration system in Russia and other countries where we operate may result in improper record ownership of our shares, including the shares underlying the ADSs, and other problems connected with the rights attributed to the relevant shares such as dividend payments.***

Ownership of Russian joint stock company shares (or, if the shares are held through a nominee or custodian, then the holding of such nominee or custodian) is determined by entries in a share register and is evidenced by extracts from that register. Currently, the central registration system in Russia is under development. Starting from October 1, 2014, share registers of all joint stock companies shall be maintained by independent licensed registrars. Regulations have been issued regarding the licensing conditions for such registrars, as well as the procedures to be followed by both companies maintaining their own registers and licensed registrars when performing the functions of registrar, however companies are no longer able to maintain the registers themselves. It is also not clear what criteria should be applied in defining the independence of such a licensed registrar.

On December 7, 2011 amendments to the relevant legislation were adopted, substantially reforming the registration system by introducing the CSD. In the course of this reform of the share

Table of Contents

keeping system, numerous different depositaries with accounts in the registers of companies are expected to be replaced by a single central depositary, whose primary function would be the custody of shares in all major companies. These changes became effective on January 1, 2012 and are currently being implemented. On November 6, 2012, FSFM officially appointed the National Settlement Depositary as the central depositary. Since the central depositary opened its account in MTS register in March 2013, all the other custodians are restricted from opening their accounts in the register. Currently the central depositary is the only custodian with an account in MTS' register and other custodians hold custodial accounts with the central depositary.

In addition, certain amendments to the Civil Code of the Russian Federation entered into force on October 1, 2013 regarding the transfer and restitution of securities that are aimed at protection of rights of security holders and on September 1, 2014 regarding the regulation of legal entities and their corporate governance. It is however unclear how these new provisions will be applied.

In addition, on July 6, 2012 a central depositary was introduced in Ukraine. Such central depositary is to hold the shares of all joint stock companies in Ukraine. The methods of dividend payments was also changed: according to the new rules the joint-stock company transfers dividends to the CSD through the operating account at the special processing center in order to enable the central depositary make the onward transfer to the parties eligible to receive dividends. The changes came into force on October 12, 2013 and could affect the timing of dividend payouts.

The Regulation No. 591 of the National Bank of Ukraine "On amendments to Certain Legislative Acts of the National Bank of Ukraine" that entered into force on September 23, 2014 and expired on December 2, 2014 set the restriction on a number of operations in foreign currency, including repatriation of dividends to the foreign investor. On December 1, 2014, the National Bank of Ukraine adopted a new regulation (Resolution No. 758) with effect from December 3, 2014, which extended the application of certain currency control restrictions, including the above-mentioned repatriation of dividends (except for the dividends on securities traded on stock exchange) to March 3, 2015. The restriction terms were later prolonged till June 3, 2015, which may adversely affect our cash flow and results of operations.

See also " Recent Russian legislation changed the approach towards disclosure of information about ownership of the ADSs, including in some cases beneficial ownership of the ADSs, and a failure to provide such disclosure may restrict your ability to vote."

***Foreign judgments may not be enforceable against us.***

Our presence outside the United States may limit your legal recourse against us. We are incorporated under the laws of the Russian Federation. Substantially all of our directors and executive officers named in this document reside outside the United States. All or a substantial portion of our assets and the assets of our officers and directors are located outside the United States. As a result, you may not be able to effect service of process within the United States on us or on our officers and directors. Similarly, you may not be able to obtain or enforce U.S. court judgments against us, our officers and directors, including actions based on the civil liability provisions of the U.S. securities laws. In addition, it may be difficult for you to enforce, in original actions brought in courts in jurisdictions outside the United States, liabilities predicated upon U.S. securities laws.

Table of Contents

There is no treaty between the United States and the Russian Federation providing for reciprocal recognition and enforcement of foreign court judgments in civil and commercial matters. These limitations may deprive you of effective legal recourse for claims related to your investment in our shares and ADSs. The deposit agreement provides for actions brought by any party thereto against us to be settled by arbitration in accordance with the Commercial Arbitration Rules of the American Arbitration Association, provided that any action under the U.S. federal securities laws or the rules or regulations promulgated thereunder may, but need not, be submitted to arbitration. The Russian Federation is a party to the United Nations (New York) Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards, but it may be difficult to enforce arbitral awards in the Russian Federation due to a number of factors, including the inexperience of Russian courts in international commercial transactions, official and unofficial political resistance to enforcement of awards against Russian companies in favor of foreign investors and Russian courts' inability to enforce such orders and corruption.

**Other Risks**

*We have not independently verified information we have sourced from third parties.*

We have sourced certain information contained in this document from third parties, including private companies and Russian government agencies, and we have relied on the accuracy of this information without independent verification. The official data published by Russian federal, regional and local governments may be substantially less complete or researched than those of more developed countries. Official statistics may also be produced on different bases than those used in Western countries. Any discussion of matters relating to Russia in this document must, therefore, be subject to uncertainty due to concerns about the completeness or reliability of available official and public information. In addition, the veracity of some official data released by the Russian government may be questionable. In 1998, the Director of the Russian State Committee on Statistics and a number of his subordinates were arrested and subsequently sentenced by a court in 2004 in connection with their misuse of economic data.

*Because no standard definition of an average monthly service revenue per user ("ARPU"), average monthly usage per user ("MOU") or churn exists in the telecommunications industry, comparisons between certain operating data of different companies may be difficult to draw.*

The methodology for calculating subscriber numbers, ARPU, MOU and churn varies substantially in the telecommunications industry, resulting in variances in reported numbers from that which would result from the use of a uniform methodology. Therefore, comparisons of certain operating data between different telecommunications companies may be difficult to draw.

**Item 4. Information on Our Company**

**A. History and Development**

Mobile TeleSystems CJSC ("MTS CJSC") our predecessor, was formed in 1993. The founding shareholders included MGTS and three other Russian telecommunications companies, which collectively held 53% of our original share capital, and two German companies, Siemens AG and T-Mobile Deutschland GmbH, an affiliate of Deutsche Telekom AG, which collectively held the remaining 47%. Sistema currently owns 51.46% of our share capital (53.46% excluding treasury shares). See "Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions A. Major Shareholders."

Our legal name is Mobile TeleSystems OJSC, and we are incorporated under the laws of the Russian Federation. Our head office is located at 5 Vorontsovskaya Street, Bldg. 2, Moscow 109147, Russian Federation, and the telephone number of our investor relations department is +7 495 223-2025. The address of our incorporation is 4 Marksistskaya Street, Moscow 109147, Russian Federation. We

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

### Table of Contents

maintain a website at [www.mtsghsm.com](http://www.mtsghsm.com). The information on our website is not a part of this report. We have appointed Puglisi & Associates, 850 Library Avenue, Suite 204, Newark, Delaware 19715 as our authorized agent for service of process for any suit or proceeding arising out of or relating to our shares, ADSs or the deposit agreement.

Mobile TeleSystems OJSC was created on March 1, 2000, through the merger of MTS CJSC and RTC CJSC, a wholly owned subsidiary. Our charter was registered with the State Registration Chamber on March 1, 2000, which is our date of incorporation, and with the Moscow Registration Chamber on March 22, 2000. Our initial share issuance was registered by the Russian Federal Commission on the Securities Market on April 28, 2000.

We completed our initial public offering on July 6, 2000, and listed our shares of common stock, represented by ADSs on the New York Stock Exchange (the "NYSE") under the symbol "MBT." Each ADS represents two underlying shares of our common stock. Prior to May 3, 2010, each ADS represented five shares of our common stock.

In September 2001, we won a tender held by the Telecommunications Ministry of the Belarus Republic to form a joint venture with a GSM 900/1800 license to operate in Belarus. On June 26, 2002, MTS Belarus received all of the governmental approvals and licenses required to commence operations in Belarus and it began operations on June 27, 2002. In 2003 through a number of purchases we acquired a 100% stake in MTS Ukraine for RUB 11,872 million. Since July 2007, we have operated under the MTS brand in Ukraine.

In August 2004, we acquired a 74% stake in Uzdunrobita, the largest wireless operator in Uzbekistan, for \$126.4 million (RUB 3,693 million) in cash. We acquired the remaining 26% stake in June 2007 pursuant to a put option agreement for \$250.0 million (RUB 6,481 million) in cash. In May 2006, we started operations under the MTS brand in Uzbekistan. In July 2012, we suspended providing services in Uzbekistan per the order from the State Agency for Communications and Information ("SACI") of Uzbekistan on the temporary suspension of the operating license of Uzdunrobita for a period of 10 business days which was subsequently extended to three months. On August 13, 2012, the Tashkent Economic Court granted the petition of the SACI to withdraw all operating licenses of Uzdunrobita. Simultaneously various Uzbek government agencies claimed multiple violations by Uzdunrobita, which having passed through numerous court hearings resulted in heavy penalties which Uzdunrobita has been unable to satisfy. Uzdunrobita has submitted its application initiating self-bankruptcy procedures to relevant Uzbek court. On April 22, 2013, the Tashkent Economic Court declared Uzdunrobita bankrupt and initiated six month liquidation procedures, which we understand to be still in place following several extensions. As a result, we lost control over the subsidiary and deconsolidated Uzdunrobita. In July 2014 the disputes between us and Republic of Uzbekistan were resolved. The parties signed the Settlement Agreement and according to its terms all mutual claims were eliminated. Furthermore, a new mobile operator, UMS, was established by governmental authorities of Republic of Uzbekistan. On September 24, 2014, an ownership interest of 50.01% in UMS was transferred to us as an incentive for reentrance into the country by the State Unitary Enterprise "Center of radio communications, radio broadcasting and television," the second shareholder of an operator, on behalf of the Republic of Uzbekistan. We started operations in Uzbekistan in December 2014. Please see "Item 3. Key Information D. Risk Factors Legal Risks and Uncertainties The inability of our subsidiaries in the countries in which we are present to maintain control over their operations and assets may adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations" regarding recent suspension of our services in Uzbekistan and "Item 8. Financial Information A. Consolidated Statements and Other Financial Information 7. Litigation Uzbekistan."

In two separate purchases in June and November 2005, we acquired 100% of BCTI, the leading wireless operator in Turkmenistan, for \$46.7 million (RUB 1,343 million) in cash. Since October 2006,



Table of Contents

we have operated under the MTS brand in Turkmenistan. On December 21, 2010, the Ministry of Communication of Turkmenistan suspended our primary operating license and we ceased providing mobile telecommunications services in Turkmenistan. In August 2012, we restarted our mobile communication operations in Turkmenistan and resumed providing services for subscribers who did not cancel their contracts. Since October 1, 2012, we resumed our operations in Turkmenistan entirely and started entering into contracts with new subscribers. See "Item 3. Key Information D. Risk Factors Legal Risks and Uncertainties The inability of MTS-Turkmenistan to sustain its operations in Turkmenistan on commercially acceptable terms or at all may adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations."

In September 2007, we acquired an 80% stake in International Cell Holding Ltd., a 100% indirect owner of K-Telecom, the leading wireless operator in Armenia, for €260.0 million (RUB 9,142 million), and entered into a call and put option agreement initially valid until 2012 (and later extended until 2016) for the remaining 20%. K-Telecom operates in the GSM-900/1800 standard, covering the entire territory of Armenia. It historically operated under the VivaCell brand, and was re-branded as VivaCell-MTS in September 2008.

In October 2009, we acquired a 50.91% stake in Comstar, a leading fixed line operator in Russia, from Sistema, and subsequently increased our ownership interest to 61.97% (or 64.03% excluding treasury shares) in December 2009 and to 70.97% (or 73.33% excluding treasury shares) in September 2010 through a voluntary tender offer. On December 23, 2010, the extraordinary general meetings of shareholders of Comstar and MTS approved a merger of Comstar and MTS, which was completed on April 1, 2011. As a result, Comstar ceased to exist as a separate legal entity and MTS became the legal successor of Comstar in respect of all its rights and obligations.

Prior to April 1, 2011, Comstar operated in both the Moscow and other fixed line communications markets, offering voice telephony, broadband Internet and pay-TV, operator interconnect and other services to its subscribers. After April 1, 2011, we continued, and still continue to provide these services. Among our subsidiaries is MGTS, Moscow's incumbent fixed line operator with "last mile" access (the final phase of delivering connectivity from a communications provider to a customer) to approximately 96% of the households in Moscow.

In 2011, we completed the re-branding of Comstar with our main MTS brand. MGTS, a former subsidiary of Comstar, continues to provide services under its own brand.

In 2009, we started to develop our sales and distribution network both organically and through the acquisition of several national and regional retail chains. We organized our retail operations under a wholly owned subsidiary, Russian Telephone Company ("RTC"). RTC handles all functions relating to our retail operations, including the management of points-of-sale, the purchase and sale of handsets and accessories and subscriber enrollment at our retail outlets.

In 2010, 2011 and 2012 we acquired controlling stakes in various regional fixed line operators as we are determined to develop broadband Internet through regional expansion.

In April 2013, MTS, through its wholly-owned subsidiary, acquired a 25.095% stake in MTS Bank for 5.09 billion rubles (\$163.5 million as of April 3, 2013) through an additional share issuance by the bank. The transaction was concluded in accordance with the terms of an indicative offer between MTS, MTS Bank and Sistema. MTS and MTS Bank have also concluded a profit-sharing agreement pursuant to which MTS and MTS Bank would realize 70% and 30% of the proceeds from the MTS Dengi (MTS Money) project, respectively. The MTS Dengi project was launched by MTS and MTS Bank in 2013 and is aimed at providing customers throughout Russia with a variety of payment tools, including credit cards, near-field communications-enabled SIM cards and PoS (point-of-sale) credit. In December 2014, we increased our interest in MTS Bank from 26.3% to 27.0% through participation in an additional share issue of MTS Bank.

Table of Contents

In December 2014, we acquired controlling stakes in Penza-GSM, SMARTS-Ivanovo and SMARTS-Ufa, operating in Penza, Ivanovo and the Bashkortostan Republic, respectively. The acquired companies hold rights to use 900 and 1800 MHz radio frequencies within the regions mentioned. The acquisition enhances our spectrum resources in the above mentioned regions. The purchase price comprised of cash consideration and a deferred payment, payable in 18 months after the acquisition date.

In April 2014, we acquired a 10.82% stake in OZON Holdings Limited through the purchase of OZON Holdings Limited's additional share issuance for RUB 2,702 million (\$75 million). The cooperation with OZON makes new distribution channels available to us.

**Capital Expenditures**

We spent in total RUB 92,599 million in 2014 for network development in Russia and the other countries where we operate, which included RUB 74,243 million in cash expenditures on property, plant and equipment, and RUB 18,356 million for the purchase of intangible assets. We expect to spend approximately RUB 85 billion in 2015 for the on-going roll out of Long-Term Evolution ("LTE") networks throughout Russia and enhancements to 3G networks, continued deployment of our Gigabit Passive Optical Network ("GPON") in Moscow and Moscow Region, maintenance capital expenditures as well as preparation for 3G roll-out in Ukraine, maintenance capital expenditures in Armenia and build out of 3G networks in Turkmenistan and capital expenditures for resuming activity in Uzbekistan. We plan to finance our capital expenditures primarily through operating cash flows, and to the extent necessary, through additional external financing. The actual amount of our capital expenditures for 2015 may vary depending on subscriber growth, demand and network development, as well as currency volatility, vendor terms and the availability of external financing. The capital expenditure estimate for 2015 excludes expenditures that may be made in connection with acquisitions or new licenses. A breakdown of our capital expenditures in 2014 by country is set forth below. For the first quarter of 2015 and continuing into the second quarter, our principal capital expenditures have related and will continue to relate to the build-out of our network and GPON project which we have financed through operating cash flows.

We spent RUB 1,937 million, nil million and RUB 2,755 million in 2012, 2013 and 2014, respectively, for acquisitions of subsidiaries, net of cash acquired.

***Russia***

We spent RUB 86,162 million in 2014 for network development in Russia, including RUB 69,345 million in cash expenditures on property, plant and equipment, and RUB 16,817 million for the purchase of intangible assets.

***Ukraine***

We spent RUB 4,210 million in 2014 for network development in Ukraine, including RUB 3,176 million in cash expenditures on property, plant and equipment, and RUB 1,034 million for the purchase of intangible assets.

***Turkmenistan***

We spent RUB 1,084 million in 2014 for network development in Turkmenistan, including RUB 997 million in cash expenditures on property, plant and equipment, and RUB 87 million for the purchase of intangible assets.

Table of Contents

*Armenia*

We spent RUB 1,142 million in 2014 for network development in Armenia, including RUB 724 million in cash expenditures on property, plant and equipment, and RUB 418 million for the purchase of intangible assets.

*Uzbekistan*

In 2014 our capital expenditures in Uzbekistan were not significant and totaled to RUB 0.6 million.

*Belarus*

MTS Belarus spent RUB 3,534 million in 2014 for network development, including RUB 2,319 million in cash expenditures on property, plant and equipment, and RUB 1,215 million for the purchase of intangible assets. We do not include the capital expenditures of MTS Belarus in our capital expenditures described above as its results are not consolidated in our financial statements.

**B. Business Overview**

We are a leading telecommunications provider in Russia and the CIS, providing a wide range of mobile and fixed line voice and data telecommunications services, including data transfer, broadband, pay-TV and various value-added services, as well as selling equipment and accessories. According to AC&M Consulting, we are the largest provider of mobile cellular communications services in Russia and the second largest in Ukraine in terms of mobile subscribers. According to our estimates, we are also the largest provider of mobile cellular communication services in Armenia in terms of mobile subscribers.

As of December 31, 2014, we had a mobile subscriber base of approximately 98.8 million (approximately 74.6 million in Russia, 20.2 million in Ukraine, 2.1 million in Armenia, 1.7 million in Turkmenistan and 0.2 million in Uzbekistan, which is an increase of 4% compared to December 31, 2013. We are also the largest operator in the Moscow residential broadband market in terms of subscribers, with a 29% market share as of December 31, 2014, based on TMT consulting data. Our revenues for the year ended December 31, 2014, were RUB 410,758 million, an increase of 3.1% from the year ended December 31, 2013. Our net income for the year ended December 31, 2014, was RUB 51,822 million, a decrease of 35.1% from the year ended December 31, 2013.

Russia is our principal market, both in terms of subscribers and revenues. For the year ended December 31, 2014 approximately 91% of our revenues came from operations in Russia; approximately 8% of our revenues came from operations in Ukraine; and approximately 1% of our revenues came from operations in other countries, respectively.

As of December 31, 2014, approximately 75% of our mobile subscriber base was in Russia and approximately 20% was in Ukraine. According to AC&M-Consulting, as of December 31, 2014, we had a 31% and 34% market share of total mobile subscribers in Russia and Ukraine, respectively.

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

### Table of Contents

The table below sets forth our total mobile subscribers as of the end of the last five years:

<b>Period</b>	<b>Subscribers<sup>(1)</sup></b> <b>(in million)</b>
2010	103.3
2011	101.1 <sup>(2)</sup>
2012	95.8 <sup>(3)</sup>
2013	94.7 <sup>(4)</sup>
2014	98.8

- (1) Excludes MTS Belarus subscribers as its results of operations are not consolidated in our financial statements. For the years ended December 31, 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 we defined a subscriber as an individual or organization whose account shows chargeable activity within 61 days (or 183 days in the case of Prepaid tariffs) or whose account does not have a negative balance for more than this period. Starting from 2014, we define a subscriber as an organization or individual, whose SIM-card shows traffic-generating activity or accrues a balance for services rendered or is replenished or topped off over the course of any three-month period, inclusive within the reporting period, and was not blocked at the end of the period. The number of subscribers was restated based on subscriber definition introduced in 2014 only for the year ended December 31, 2013.
- (2) Excludes Turkmenistan subscribers.
- (3) Excludes Uzbekistan subscribers.
- (4) Excludes Uzbekistan subscribers and restated to reflect 3 months active subscribers.

In 2012, we ceased to provide mobile cellular communications services in Uzbekistan as all operating licenses of our subsidiary, Uzdunrobita, were withdrawn by the State Agency for Communications and Information of Uzbekistan on August 13, 2012. We resumed our operations in Uzbekistan in December 2014. As of December 31, 2014, we gained a subscriber base of 0.2 million. See "Item 3. Key Information D. Risk Factors Legal Risks and Uncertainties The inability of our subsidiaries in the countries in which we are present to maintain control over their operations and assets may adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations."

In Turkmenistan, our primary operating license was suspended on December 21, 2010, and we ceased providing mobile telecommunications services in that country for two years. In 2012, our operating license was reinstated and as a result our operations in Turkmenistan were resumed. Our subscriber base amounted to approximately 1.7 million subscribers as of December 31, 2014. For more information, see "Item 3. Key Information D. Risk Factors Legal Risks and Uncertainties The inability of MTS-Turkmenistan to sustain its operations in Turkmenistan on commercially acceptable terms or at all may adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations."

According to AC&M-Consulting, overall mobile cellular penetration in Russia was approximately 168.2% as of December 31, 2014, which is a slight increase from 165.8% at December 31, 2013. Mobile cellular penetration in Ukraine was approximately 132.2% as of December 31, 2014, which is an increase from 124.3% as of December 31, 2013. According to our estimates, mobile cellular penetration in Armenia was approximately 118.0% as of December 31, 2014, as compared to approximately 117.3% as of December 31, 2013. Mobile penetration in Turkmenistan was approximately 104.3% as of December 31, 2014, which is a slight decrease from 105.4% as of December 31, 2013, according to our estimates.

Our consolidated mobile subscriber base increased insignificantly in the first two months of 2015. Specifically, according to our estimates at March 1, 2015, we had approximately 98.9 million subscribers, including approximately 74.6 million in Russia, 20.2 million in Ukraine, 2.1 million in Armenia, 1.7 million in Turkmenistan and 0.3 million in Uzbekistan.

MTS Belarus had approximately 5.31 million subscribers and a leading market share of 46.1% at December 31, 2014, according to our estimates. As of December 31, 2013 according to our estimates,

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

### Table of Contents

MTS Belarus had approximately 5.25 million subscribers and a leading market share of 46.7%. Belarus, a country with a population of approximately 9.5 million, had a mobile cellular penetration rate of approximately 124% as of December 31, 2014, according to our estimates.

As of December 31, 2014, we had mobile licenses to operate and commercial mobile operations throughout the entire territory of Russia with a population of approximately 146 million people, throughout the entire territory of Ukraine with a population of approximately 43 million people, throughout the entire territory of Turkmenistan with a population of approximately 5 million people, throughout the entire territory of Armenia with a population of approximately 3 million people and throughout the entire territory of Uzbekistan with a population of approximately 31 million people. See "Item 3. Key Information D. Risk Factors Risks Relating to Our Business Failure to renew our licenses or receive renewed or new licenses with similar terms to our existing licenses could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations," and " Failure to fulfill the terms of our licenses could result in their suspension or termination, which could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations."

In 2012, 2013 and 2014, we significantly expanded our operations in an effort to meet the challenges of our evolving markets and further the goals of our strategy set out in more detail below. Through our acquisition of a controlling stake in Comstar in October 2009, we have become a leading fixed line services provider in Russia.

We offer fixed line communications services in over 185 cities across Russia, covering a population of over 53 million people.

Our Moscow fixed line operations contemplate communications services provided through incumbent operator MGTS. Our Moscow fixed line operations included 3,403 thousand residential subscribers as of December 31, 2014. MGTS holds licenses and regulatory approvals to provide local telephony, DLD/ILD voice telephony, interconnect to other operators, Internet and data transmission and other services.

Our other fixed line operations include the following communications services: voice, data and Internet and pay-TV services for corporate and residential subscribers, as well as the provision of interconnect services to other communications operators and numbering capacity to their subscribers. As of December 31, 2014, we had 3.7 million residential subscribers and, based on TMT consulting data, we are the largest operator in the Moscow residential broadband market, with a 29% market share. Fixed line services are also provided in Ukraine and Armenia with digital telephony communications services, data transmission, Internet access and the renting of channels.

We have also continued to develop our proprietary sales and distribution network organically.

To maintain and increase our market share and brand awareness, we use a combination of print media, radio, television, direct mail and outdoor advertising, focusing on brand and image advertising, as well as promotion of particular tariff plans.

### **Business Strategy**

Our key strategic goal is leadership in all markets of presence, delivering the best-in-the-market telecommunication experience to our subscribers, including high-speed Internet access at home and on the go, cable TV entertainment with access to best content portfolio and top quality mobile and fixed voice services. We do our best to be at the forefront of LTE development in Russia and CIS and we are focused on building the fastest and most reliable 4G wireless networks and providing the best service to our customers by bringing access to the connected world.

In order to achieve our goals in 2015 we are continuing to execute our "3D" strategy launched in prior year. Our new strategy envisions three areas of focus: Data, Differentiation, Dividends, and as

Table of Contents

described below, is a key to sustaining our leadership positions. The "3D" strategy expands and develops our strategic priorities and principles that we formulated in 2013.

**Data:** we provide customers with fast and reliable networks to manage their data-driven lifestyles. We always pioneer and bring the best available technology to our subscribers, such as building high-speed 4G LTE networks which allows us to be one step ahead of ever growing demand for data consumption. Our commercial strategies are focused on increasing data penetration. We ensure customers have the best possible connectivity experience at their homes, workplaces and places in between.

**Differentiation:** as a multiservice operator, we leverage our retail network to engage customers with products and services to enhance their digital lives. We have established Moscow as world-class city in terms of connectivity and network access, and anticipate customer needs through a diverse portfolio of products and services to meet a world beyond communications: banking, finance, cloud computing, TV etc.

**Dividends:** we continuously improve the operational efficiency of MTS. We further develop the organizational capabilities of MTS, constantly work on organizational effectiveness, and keep it responsive to market challenges and customer needs. We sustain high levels of business profitability and aim to enhance shareholder returns.

Implementation of the strategy is subject to a number of risks. See "Item 3. Key Information D. Risk Factors" for a description of these and other risks we face.

**Current Operations**

We are a provider of wireless and fixed line communications services in Russia, Ukraine, Armenia, Uzbekistan, Turkmenistan and Belarus.

*Subsidiaries*

For a list of our major subsidiaries and our ownership percentages in these subsidiaries, see "Item 4. Information on our Company C. Organizational Structure."

**Mobile Operations**

*Services Offered*

*Network Access*

We primarily offer mobile cellular voice and data communication services to our subscribers on the basis of various tariff plans designed for different market segments. In general, most of our tariff plans combine per minute usage charges, value-added services and, in some cases, monthly network access fees. See "Item 4. Information on Our Company B. Business Overview Mobile Operations Tariffs."

*Automatic Roaming*

Roaming allows our customers, both subscribers and guest roamers, to receive and make international, local and long-distance calls while traveling outside of their home network. Roaming is provided through individual agreements between us and other GSM operators. Unlike many non-GSM providers that require additional equipment or prior notification, our roaming service is instantaneous, automatic and requires no additional equipment.

As of December 31, 2014, we had bilateral roaming contracts with 762 wireless operators in 227 countries, including 14 regional operators in Russia. We continually seek to expand our roaming capability and are currently in negotiations with additional operators.

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

### Table of Contents

#### *Value-Added Services*

We offer various value-added services to our customers. These services may be included in the tariff plan selected by the subscriber or subscribers may pay additional monthly charges and, in some cases, usage charges for them. Some basic value-added services that we offer include:

Blackberry	Call Barring	Call Waiting
Call Divert/Forwarding	SMS	MMS
Caller ID Display and anti-Caller ID Display	Mobile Office	Melody Ring Tones
Conference Calling	Voicemail	Missed Call Alert
Wi-Fi	Mobile banking	Itemization of Monthly Bills
Location-Based Service ("LBS")	Wireless Application Protocol ("WAP")	Information and Directory Service
General Packet Radio Service ("GPRS")	MTS-Connect	International Access Service
Intelligent call assistant	MTS-Tablet	WEB and WAP portal
APN remote access point	SIM-browser	Real IP
Fixed Mobile Convergence	Point-to-point transfer	Automatic Customer Care System and Customer Care System via the Internet
EDGE	Unstructured Supplementary Services Data ("USSD")	Ring Back Tone
E-shop	High-Speed Packet Access + ("HSPA +")	Collect call
Personal cabinet (Internet helper)	Dual-carrier High-Speed Downlink Packet Access + ("DC-HSDPA+")	My subscriptions
LTE	Mobile TV	SMS Pro (SMS black list, autoreply, forward, storage)
MTS-News (ICB Service)	Black List	Second Memory (Cloud storage)

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

VoD (Video on Demand)

Data share plan

LTE RAN Sharing

We also provide many voice and SMS-based value-added services in cooperation with various content providers.

### *Internet Access*

We offer GPRS services, enabling our subscribers to access the Internet, WAP and MMS in all of the countries where we operate. We also provide international data transfer roaming to our subscribers, enabling them to use various GPRS/3G based services while traveling abroad.

We also offer the MTS-Connect service, which allows our subscribers to get mobile Internet access through a GPRS/EDGE/3G/LTE/HSDPA/HSPA ("High Speed Packet Access") connection, using a



Table of Contents

computer, PC-card and USB-modem. This service is available to our subscribers in Russia and Ukraine and in more than 217 countries where we have GPRS roaming.

*GPRS, EDGE services*

We launched our commercial 2G network in 1994 based on GSM-900 technology. From 1999, we significantly improved our 2G network capacity based on GSM-1800 technology. From 2001, we implemented wireless data communication services based on GPRS technology with download data rate up to 85.6 Kbit/s. In 2005, we modernized our GSM network to support EDGE technology and tripled data services rates. Today we continue supporting and modernizing our 2G network and we put the prime focus at the development of our 3G and LTE networks in order to provide our subscribers with high-speed broadband wireless services. As of December 2014, we provided GSM, GPRS and EDGE services with nearly 34,000 2G sites over the geographic area with more than 94% of population of Russia.

*3G Technology*

In April 2007, the Russian Ministry of Communications and Mass Media announced the results of a tender for 3G licenses. We were one of three companies, along with Vimpelcom and MegaFon, who received a nationwide 3G/UMTS (Universal Mobile Telecommunications System) license in Russia. The license is valid through 2017 and covers the entire territory of Russia. In accordance with the conditions set forth in the tender documentation, we, Vimpelcom and MegaFon were required to begin undertaking the construction of a 3G network over a period of two years from the time the license was received. We currently have commercial 3G networks launched in all regions of Russia with the exception of Crimea.

By the end of 2014, we installed 34,221 3G base stations throughout Russia. Together with 3G networks in Belarus, Turkmenistan and Armenia, we operate 38,679 3G base stations. We have also obtained a permit to use the UMTS 900 standard in Moscow regions and Habarovskiy kray. As of December 31, 2014, our UMTS 900 network consisted of 1,363 base stations.

We have launched HSPA+ technology which supports up to 21 Mbit per second data transmission speed. We have launched second and third 3G carriers to improve capacity and activated Dual Carrier technology which supports up to 42 Mbit per second data transmission speed in more than 25,000 sites in Russia.

In 2011, we began to develop a 3G femtocell network. Femtocells are small low-power wireless base stations in the licensed 2100 MHz spectrum. They connect to a mobile operator's network using residential DSL or cable broadband connections and can support multiple standard mobile devices. Femtocells deliver a strong signal and high-quality voice service to standard mobile devices in homes, small and large offices, outdoor public spaces, metro hotspots and rural areas. They allow for strong signal performance even in areas where MTS cellular coverage is limited or unavailable. A femtocell network also provides for high speed of data upload and download. The total number of femtocells installed in Russia reached 3,131 by the end of 2014.

We commenced commercial services using CDMA 450 technology in Ukraine in November 2007. In July 2012, we launched Rev B CDMA technology services in the Kiev region and currently offer high-speed mobile Internet access to our subscribers throughout Ukraine.

In October 2007, K-Telecom, our subsidiary in Armenia was allocated frequencies to offer 3G services throughout the entire territory of Armenia. The frequencies were allocated for a 10-year period. In 2009, we commercially launched our 3G network in Armenia. In 2010, we further expanded our 3G network to cover all towns and villages with a population of more than 2,000 people, and, as a result, 98% of inhabited areas are covered with our 3G outdoor services. In 2011, K-Telecom started to

### Table of Contents

provide telecommunications services based on HSPA+ technology in Yerevan, six northern regions and in some southern regions of the country. We plan to extend HSPA+ technology to all regions of the country by the end of the next year. In 2012, we completed the replacement of outdated 2G radio equipment with SingleRAN technology. We are currently implementing an all-IP concept by providing IP interfaces and transmission link for all base station sites, which is the basic approach for future LTE networks.

In Turkmenistan, we currently provide services based on 3G technology in Ashgabat only. 3G services are available only to our corporate clients due to limited external Internet channel bandwidth which we lease from the state-controlled telecoms provider. HSPA+ (MIMO) and DC-HSPA services are also available in our 3G network.

In 2014, we started replacing outdated radio access network with SingleRAN technology, while providing IP interfaces and transmission links. In 2015, we plan to significantly expand our 3G network in Turkmenistan launching 3G services in all major cities of the country.

### *LTE Technology*

In July 2012, the Russian Ministry of Communications and Mass Media announced the results of a tender for national-wide LTE-FDD frequencies. MTS is among the four companies, including Rostelecom, MegaFon, and Vimpelcom which obtained LTE-FDD frequencies in 700, 800 and 2600 MHz bands.

In September 2012, we began offering LTE-based commercial services in Moscow region where we initially rolled out more than 800 LTE TDD sites. In December 2014, we had 2056 LTE TDD and the 3095 LTE FDD base stations in Moscow.

In 2014, we started DCS 1800 spectrum refarming to LTE and rolled out LTE-1800 network, while expanding LTE 800/2600 coverage. As of December 31, 2014, we had 14535 LTE sites in 76 regions of Russia. In 2015, we are going to further roll out LTE 800, 1800 and 2600 MHz frequency bands and implement LTE-Advanced with Carrier Aggregation and Voice over LTE services.

In Yerevan, the capital of Armenia, we commenced a commercial test of the first 4G/LTE network in December 2010. In 2014, we provided full LTE outdoor coverage for two major cities Gyumri and Vanadzor, LTE coverage in Yerevan was also considerably expanded. Circuit Switch Fall Back (CSFB) functionality is available to all our LTE subscribers.

### *Other Services*

In addition to cellular communication services, we offer corporate clients a number of telecommunications services such as design, construction and installation of local voice and data networks capable of interconnecting with fixed line operators, installation and maintenance of cellular payphones, lease of digital communication channels, access to open computer databases and data networks, including the Internet, and provision of fixed, local and long- distance telecommunications services, as well as video conferencing.

### *Strategic Partnership with Vodafone*

In October 2008, we announced a strategic agreement with Vodafone aimed at drawing on Vodafone's expertise in building and developing 3G networks and mobile broadband products, working with leading global equipment providers and deploying innovative client relationship management ("CRM") practices to enhance quality and further improve the efficiency of our operations. In addition, the agreement allows us exclusive access to a range of products, services and devices from Vodafone for our markets of operation in Russia, Ukraine, Turkmenistan and Armenia.

Table of Contents

*Sales and Marketing*

*Target Customers*

Our service model is based on the provision of services to differentiated levels of customers to meet the needs of distinctive customer segments as such segments have developed. Today, we are considered a mass-market mobile network operator with a wide range of subscribers in all customer segments. As part of our business, we provide a wide range of products and services to these customer segments.

In 2014, we continued to increase mobile broadband users base, develop mobile internet services and strengthen our leadership in data by widening LTE coverage and establishing new quality and the highest speed of 4G internet. In terms of products, we shifted our focus from data options towards package deals integrating both voice and data (range of Smart tariffs).

Our marketing strategy in 2014 was greatly influenced by the introduction of mobile number portability (MNP) in Russia, and subsequent challenges that it presented. In order to retain customers and enhance their loyalty, we have launched a number of campaigns, such as "500 rubles on mobile internet," MTS Bonus re-launch, New Year campaign "Gifts from MTS" and a number of campaigns with special price offers. The goal of these marketing initiatives is to establish us as the best value operator and the most attractive data services provider in the Russian market.

*Advertising and Marketing*

Our advertising and public relations initiatives include:

brand and image advertising and public relations to position us as the leading mobile cellular operator in Russia, Ukraine, Belarus, Turkmenistan and Armenia;

category and loyalty campaigns to inform our current and potential customers of the advantages of the high quality and variety of our services and the extensive coverage we offer; and

product and tariff related advertising and promotions, pricing discounts for various target audiences to demonstrate value for money and cover specific needs.

The key themes for our advertising campaigns in 2014 were high technologies, devices and advantageous data-offers for active mobile users, such as high-speed internet at advantageous prices.

In order to build brand awareness and stimulate demand we currently use a combination of various advertising formats, including television, outdoor, newspaper, magazine and radio. Increasingly, we also advertise on-line (with the help of traditional as well as innovative and novel projects) to market and promote our products and services to the broad audiences of current and potential customers. Additionally, our indirect advertising includes sponsorship of selected television programs, sport events, music shows and other popular events. We also coordinate the advertising policies of our dealers and partners, such as MTS Bank, to capitalize on the increased volume of joint advertising and preserve the integrity and high-quality image of the MTS brand. For example, we developed a creative frameworks and visual style both for MTS Bank and MTS retail.

To support our key directions we undertook the following initiatives in 2014 in Russia and in selected other countries in which we operate:

*Loyalty campaigns*

In the year of the introduction of MNP, we had two loyalty campaigns: "500 rubles for mobile internet" and "New Year gifts from MTS" (free mobile internet, calls and text messages) which prove that we value and take care of our subscribers. In addition, we re-launched MTS Bonus

Table of Contents

with a new concept "Master Bonus" which became more applicable and attractive for MTS Bonus users.

*Voice and Integrated tariffs*

In 2014, the key to the subscriber base growth, MOU development and a drop in churn rate was tariff plan "Super MTS" (the most advantageous rate plan offering free calls within the network, no subscription fee required). 80% of mass-market sales is Super MTS related. We continued to support and develop Super MTS tariff plan, and attracted new users by promoting free calls among MTS subscribers with no need to top up the account, and discount on calls to subscribers of other operators.

Also, 2014 demonstrated a gradual transition from Super MTS to the range of Smart tariffs (a package of voice and data for active smartphone users at an affordable rate). We have introduced changes to the rate plan twice in order for it to stay the best product on the market. Both times, we have supported the re-launch with full-scale advertisement campaigns on TV, radio and online, as well as with special projects that helped to engage the core of our target audience. For the first time in the history of the Russian advertising industry, we have filmed a full-scale advertisement campaign with the help of a smartphone only. Furthermore, we produced an anti-celebrity campaign by replacing media images with subscribers' selfies. Both, the development of the product and its vigorous promotion, have ensured an increase in awareness rate among the audience and supported the growth of Smart share in MTS portfolio. In 2015, Smart tariffs will become a key MTS product for the mass-market audience and the main focus in our advertising communication and retail.

*Data*

In 2014, we continued to actively develop and promote data services in line with our overall strategy. These development efforts included the promotion of various data options, such as BIT and SuperBIT, which offer an unlimited mobile internet throughout Russia, and MTS Tablet, specifically designed and marketed for the tablet-user audience.

This year we introduced a new marketing approach for BIT to attract new users of mobile internet by offering unlimited mobile internet for a fixed daily fee instead of a monthly charge.

*Business-to-business offers*

In 2014 we claimed for leadership at the corporate market, via launching a full-scale image campaign across various communication channels, including TV, radio, online, print, airports and business centers. The advertisement campaign did not simply say "we're the best" but showcased our corporate clients who talked about their experience working with MTS. Hewlett-Packard, DHL, Delovye Linii and Home Credit Bank gave evidence to how the use of various MTS b2b products helped them work more effectively and become more successful. The campaign showed excellent results. Many of the campaign key benchmarks, including "motivation" and "creating a positive opinion about the operator" are on a par of those that feature celebrities and are usually more effective. The TVCs of the campaign have had a positive effect not only on the perception of MTS as corporate operator but also on image benchmarks of the brand. The large part of the target audience were covered by the TVCs despite relatively small spendings on their promotion.

Our corporate clients share their experience of effective cooperation with us in business with high IQ project, this was largely presented on TV and also encompassed radio, print and online media in 2014.

Table of Contents

*Handsets sales offers*

During 2014, we continued to reinforce our image as a leading retailer of mobile devices, including affordable MTS branded phones and full range of phones from all other vendors. The growth of smartphones in our subscriber base is still one of the key objectives, so we offered our customers exceptional device pricing, supported by a widely adopted practice of selling SIM-locked devices linked to our network (both MTS branded and devices from other vendors), attractive operator offers, such as mobile internet or rate plans, featuring mobile internet free of charge for a promo period, in order to effectively promote trial usage of mobile internet on smartphones and tablets. At the same time, we are actively promoting sales of tablets to increase their penetration into our customer base.

*Other products and services*

In 2014, residential MTS services were split into two streams:

Moscow is based upon GPON technology which allows us to provide the best Broadband and TV services compared to competitors. We establish the fastest broadband and the best Digital TV quality with GPON technology as Real Time Bidding.

Other regions with less modern technologies are more sensitive to competition, therefore "value-for-money" strategy is applied. Regions have various technologies and so far use city-based communication strategy with "value-for-money" approach.

We keep developing new products. The launch of communication strategy for MTS Satellite TV is in process.

*Regional platforms for Moscow and St. Petersburg*

In order to strengthen business and brand positions in Moscow and St. Petersburg we created special communication platforms for these regions considering the specifics of temper, mentality and values of Moscow and St. Petersburg citizens.

*MTS Brand*

In December 2008, we reached an agreement with Sistema Shyam TeleServices Limited ("SSTL") allowing SSTL to use the MTS brand in India. Sistema is the majority shareholder of SSTL with an ownership stake of 56.68%. Under the terms of the agreement, SSTL has had the right to use the MTS brand in India since March 2009, when we started receiving royalties of 0.16% of SSTL's revenues. The agreement is limited to SSTL using the MTS brand in India and does not contemplate our participation in SSTL's operations. The terms also stipulate that we will act as the brand guardian to ensure brand usage and marketing communications adhere to our brand guidelines.

In 2014, MTS for the third consecutive year has made it to the top 50 of the most reputable Indian brands according to Brand Equity report in Economic Times, as well as being recognized as one of the most innovative telecom brands in India and became one of the three best-identified brands in mobile internet provider category.

On October 1, 2010, we announced the launch of a refreshed logo which we believe better emphasizes the ideas of innovation and dynamism reflected in our recently introduced new slogan "a step ahead." Our logo and brand style refresh are among the goals of our brand positioning. The refreshed logo retains the same egg shape, but transforms the former logo into a 3D image of a white egg against a red background, which gives the logo a more dynamic and modern look and perception. This logo is aimed at graphically enhancing and modernizing the egg-shaped logo we have been using since 2006. In 2015, we created a new image and visual style of our brand and started a campaign to introduce it to public. We believe that our logo symbolizes our dynamic and innovative approach to

Table of Contents

doing business and our stated mission of "creating the best client experience," and our slogan "you know you can."

In December 2010, we acquired Sistema Telecom from Sistema, which gave us control over the universal brand featuring the egg-shaped symbol against backgrounds of various colors used by us and our affiliates operating in the telecommunications sphere.

In February 2012, MBRD a subsidiary of Sistema, announced a change of its name to MTS Bank OJSC, having agreed to use MTS brand owned by us as a basis for further development. During 2012, we took an active part in MTS Bank's re-branding, as well as in product and advertising development. Two campaigns, image-oriented and product-oriented, were implemented. The image campaign, "For many years we helped you to share your dreams, now we help you to fulfill them" was organized to inform consumers that we now offer not only telecommunications services, but also bank services through MTS Bank. The product-oriented campaign was organized to promote our MTS-Dengi banking card.

A new retail format was developed for MTS Bank. It includes our corporate style and colors with a new corporate color, Turkish blue. The new format offers modern consumer-oriented approach. Particularly it influences the way the internal space of the bank offices is organized.

*Global recognition*

In 2014, MTS was included in the BrandZ Top 100 Most Valuable Global Brands 2014 ranking for the seventh consecutive year, as well as being recognized as the most valuable Russian telecom brand and made it to top 10 leading telecommunications brands in the world.

*Sales and Distribution*

We have historically enrolled the vast majority of our subscribers through a network of independent dealers that operate numerous points-of-sale in places with high consumer activity, such as supermarkets, shopping centers, air terminals and markets. In the second half of 2010, we focused on improving our cooperation with certain of the large national and regional mobile handset retailers such as AltTelekom. We restored our cooperation and resumed working with Euroset in November 2010. In December 2012 MegaFon acquired a 50% stake in this retailer. Currently, Euroset is equally owned by our major competitors, MegaFon and Vimpelcom and remains a significant distribution channel for us. In 2013, we also entered into an agreement with Svyaznoy and our sales through its outlets increased significantly during the year. We also continued to develop our monobrand retail chain in 2014 and the vast majority of our subscribers were enrolled through it. See "Item 3. Key Information D. Risk Factors Risks Relating to Our Business Our failure to further develop and sustain our distribution network as well as the reduction, consolidation or acquisition of independent dealers may lead to a decrease in our subscriber growth rate, market share and revenues."

We organized our retail operations under a wholly owned subsidiary, RTC. RTC handles all functions relating to our retail operations, including the management of points-of-sale, the purchase and sale of handsets and accessories and subscriber enrollment at our retail outlets. It also requires us to secure optimal locations for our points-of-sale and monitors the effectiveness of their operations.

In 2014, we continued to implement our strategy in retail operations by increasing the efficiency and optimizing the structure of our proprietary sales and distribution network. We expect to continue enhancing the efficiency and structure of this sales network, including through the optimization of points-of-sale locations, with the aim of maintaining our market position.

Our proprietary distribution network consists of MTS-branded franchise points-of-sale (third-party dealers operating under the MTS brand) and MTS-branded points-of-sale owned by us. As of

Table of Contents

December 31, 2013, we operated 4,034 points-of-sale, including 1,197 franchise points-of-sale and 2,837 points-of-sale owned by us.

In 2014, we have been focusing on optimizing the structure of our proprietary network in Russia. As of December 31, 2014, we operated 4,245 points-of-sale, including 1,326 franchise points-of-sale and 2,919 points-of-sale owned by us.

Our proprietary distribution network outside of Russia as of December 31, 2014, consisted of 554 points-of-sale in Ukraine, including 524 franchise points-of-sale and 30 points-of-sale owned by us, 97 points-of-sale in Armenia, 8 points-of-sale in Turkmenistan and 21 points-of-sale in Uzbekistan.

For newly acquired mobile subscribers in Russia, we link commissions payable to a dealer on a monthly basis to the amount of revenues we receive during a half to one year period from the date a subscriber is activated by a dealer. In addition, we have established caps, or a maximum commission amount payable to our dealers. The dealer commissions in Russia currently range between RUB 140 and RUB 1,900 (\$2.5 and \$33.8) per subscription.

In Ukraine, we link dealer commissions to the tariff package sold, category of subscriber, subscriber revenue, the duration of a subscriber being active, city of subscription and status of the specific dealer. We have different commission structures based on whether the subscriber is Prepaid, Postpaid or a CDMA-only subscriber (*i.e.*, subscribers using only mobile Internet services). For each new subscriber, a dealer typically receives a one-time commission payment at the time the contract is signed or monthly payments based on the revenue generated from the subscriber. The dealer commissions in Ukraine for Postpaid tariffs consist of one-time commissions of RUB 143 to RUB 171 (\$2.5 to \$3), that depends on the region of activation and we are entitled to retain the full commission amount if the subscriber stops using our services within five months following the month of activation. In addition, we may also pay monthly commission in an amount ranging from 30% to 36% of the revenues generated by the subscriber for a period from 6 to 12 months depending on the region of activation and dealer's plan achievement. Prepaid tariff commissions for activation of a subscriber are linked to the territory where a dealer operates. The period during which we pay a dealer commission depends on our market share in that territory and may vary from 4 to 12 months, and equals to the amount of 50% of the subscriber's monthly invoice. We also pay monthly dealer commissions of RUB 357 (\$6.3) for high quality, long-term subscribers, as well as a lump sum amount of between RUB 8,206 (\$146) and RUB 74,923 (\$1,332) to exclusive dealers who sell exclusively MTS Ukraine products and services. For CDMA subscriptions, we typically pay dealers a one-time fee of RUB 178 (\$3.2) upon subscriber activation, as well as monthly payments up to 12 months based on the revenue generated by the subscriber.

We believe that our method for paying commissions provides dealers with greater incentives to add new subscribers, reduces the risk of dealer fraud and improves our cash-flow management.

**Competition**

*The Russian wireless telecommunications market*

Demand for wireless communications services in Russia has grown rapidly over the last 10 years due to rising disposable incomes, increased business activity and declining prices due to intensified competition among wireless communications providers. As of December 31, 2014, overall wireless penetration in Russia was approximately 168.2%, or approximately 240.3 million subscribers, according to AC&M-Consulting.

The Russian market has achieved high levels of penetration in Moscow and St. Petersburg, where penetration reached approximately 214.8% and 218.2%, respectively, as of December 31, 2014, according to AC&M-Consulting. The average penetration rate in regional markets reached approximately 158.1% as of December 31, 2014, according to AC&M-Consulting.

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

### Table of Contents

The following table sets forth key data on Russia's wireless telecommunications market as of the dates indicated:

	As of December 31,				
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
	(amounts in millions, except for percentages)				
Subscribers <sup>(1)</sup>	219.2	227.6	230.5	236.8	240.3
Subscriber penetration	151%	157%	161%	166%	168%

Source:

AC&M-Consulting.

(1)

Based on registered subscribers (SIM cards only). There is no uniform definition of active subscribers in the Russian wireless market.

According to AC&M-Consulting, we accounted for 37% and 34% of subscribers in Moscow, 28% and 28% of subscribers in St. Petersburg and 31% and 31% of total Russian subscribers as of December 31, 2013 and 2014, respectively. We believe that the decrease in our market share in Moscow, is the result of our effort to restructure our subscriber base to reduce churn by focusing on loyal subscribers rather than infrequent users of our mobile services.

The primary mobile competitors in Russia include us, MegaFon and Vimpelcom, each of which has effective national coverage in Russia. Competition is based on network coverage and quality, the level of customer service provided, roaming and international tariffs, local tariff prices and the range of services offered. For a description of the risks we face from increasing competition, see "Item 3. Key Information D. Risk Factors Risks Relating to Our Business We face increasing competition in the markets where we operate, which may result in reduced operating margins and loss of market share, as well as different pricing, service or marketing policies."

The following table illustrates the number of wireless subscribers for each network operator in Russia as of December 31, 2012, 2013 and 2014:

Operator	As of December 31,		
	2012	2013	2014
	(amounts in millions)		
MTS	71.2	69.4	74.6
MegaFon	62.6	68.1	69.7
Vimpelcom	56.1	56.5	57.2
T2 RTK Holding (Tele2+Rostelecom)		38.5	35.1
Others	40.6	4.4	3.7

Source:

AC&M-Consulting.

**MegaFon.** MegaFon, which operates GSM 900/1800/UMTS (3G) networks, is one of our primary competitors in Russia, and it is the second largest GSM wireless operator in Russia in terms of subscribers. According to AC&M-Consulting, MegaFon had a subscriber base of approximately 69.7 million subscribers in Russia as of December 31, 2014, including 12.3 million subscribers in the Moscow license area. At December 31, 2014, according to AC&M-Consulting, MegaFon had a 31% market share in Moscow, a 36% market share in St. Petersburg and a 29% market share of total wireless subscribers in Russia.

Due to the acquisition of Scartel LLC, which operates under the YOTA trade mark, in the fourth quarter of 2013, MegaFon improved its position on data services market.

**Vimpelcom.** In addition to MegaFon, we also compete with Vimpelcom, which is the third largest GSM 900/1800/UMTS (3G)/LTE wireless operator in Russia in terms of subscribers.



Table of Contents

According to AC&M-Consulting, Vimpelcom had a subscriber base of approximately 57.2 million in Russia at December 31, 2014, including 13.7 million subscribers in the Moscow license area. At December 31, 2014, according to AC&M-Consulting, Vimpelcom had a 34% market share in Moscow, a 19% market share in St. Petersburg and a 24% market share of total wireless subscribers in Russia.

T2 RTK Holding. In February 2014, Tele2 and Rostelecom setting up a new federal operator. The license portfolio of the new company is covering entire Russian territory, which allows rolling out federal-scale networks. Besides, operator has frequencies to roll out 3G/4G networks in all federal districts as well as in Moscow. In August 2014, the number of regions penetrated by new federal operator exceeded 60. According to AC&M-Consulting, Tele2 had a subscriber base of approximately 35.1 million in Russia and 15% market share as at December 31, 2014.

Other Operators. The number of subscribers of other operators is about 3.7 million customers as of December 31, 2014.

*The Ukrainian wireless telecommunications market*

The two largest wireless telecommunications providers in Ukraine are MTS Ukraine and Kyivstar who share 82% of the market, with 34% and 44%, respectively, as of December 31, 2014, according to AC&M-Consulting. The competitive environment in Ukraine changed after Vimpelcom Ltd., a Bermuda holding company, completed the acquisition of Vimpelcom and Kyivstar initiated earlier in 2010 pursuant to the restructuring of Vimpelcom. As a result, Vimpelcom Ltd. currently controls both Kyivstar and URS. Consequently, in October 2010, Kyivstar and URS each announced that they have started integrating their operating activities in Ukraine, including the re-branding of URS services under the Kyivstar brand and introducing unified tariffs and a common system for client relationships management.

In 2014, all operators launched new tariff plans with obligatory daily payments and with a more detailed regionalization. However, MTS Ukraine has kept for subscribers the principle "pay per use" for services in the tariff plan "Prosto Super." Also, MTS Ukraine was the first to provide unlimited intranet calls and mobile Internet since March 5, 2014 in the "Smartphone" tariff plan. Tariff policies of all operators in Ukraine in 2014 stimulated data penetration and data consumption growth. In 2014, our annual average price per minute (APPM) remained stable, but annual ARPU and MOU decreased by 0.7% and 9% respectively, mainly due to political and economic reasons, more conservative spending behavior by customers.

The ongoing political and economic crisis in Ukraine had an impact on certain business indicators of MTS Ukraine. The subscriber base decreased at the end of 2014, as a result of termination of operations in Crimea in October 2014 and the challenging and unpredictable environment in the east of Ukraine. However, we continue to focus on developing and marketing, providing superior customer service and positioning ourselves as offering the optimum price/quality proposition of voice and data services. For example, in February 2015, MTS Ukraine won in a tender allocating 3G licenses the second lot for the use of 1950-1965/2140-2155 MHz frequency bands. See "See "Item 4. Information on Our Company B. Business Overview Regulation of Telecommunications in the Russian Federation and Ukraine Regulation in Ukraine 3G/UMTS License."

Overall wireless penetration in Ukraine in 2014 increased to 132.2%, or approximately 59.3 million subscribers, as compared to 124.3%, or approximately 56.4 million subscribers, in 2013, according to our estimates.

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

### Table of Contents

The following table shows the number of subscribers of the top mobile operators in Ukraine as of the dates indicated and the coverage area of MTS Ukraine and our competitors in Ukraine:

Operator	As of December 31,		
	2012	2013	2014
	(amounts in millions)		
Kyivstar	25.1	25.8	26.2
MTS Ukraine	20.7	21.5 <sup>(1)</sup>	20.2 <sup>(1)</sup>
Astelit	8.0 <sup>(1)</sup>	9.2 <sup>(1)</sup>	10.3 <sup>(1)</sup>
Other			2.6

(1) Number of three-month active subscribers.

Source: Subscriber information based on AC&M-Consulting data.

In Ukraine, we compete primarily with Kyivstar, a GSM operator with approximately 26.2 million subscribers as of December 31, 2014. Kyivstar offers wireless services using GSM 900/1800 technologies under the "Kyivstar" brand and fixed line services by the fiber-to-the-building technology ("FTTB") under the brand "Kyivstar Home Internet." Astelit offers services in GSM 900/1800 standards under the "life:" brand. In November 2014, it got new mobile network code 7, but has not used it yet.

#### *The Armenian wireless telecommunications market*

As of December 31, 2014, overall wireless penetration in Armenia is estimated around 118%, or about 3.55 million subscribers, according to Census results and estimated number of subscribers of the competitors.

The following table shows the number of subscribers as of the dates indicated and the coverage area of VivaCell-MTS and our competitors in Armenia:

Operator	December 31,	December 31,	Coverage Area
	2013	2014	
	(amounts in thousands)		
VivaCell-MTS	2,100	2,145	Nationwide
ArmenTel (Vimpelcom)	694	777	Nationwide
Orange (France Telecom)	624	632	Nationwide

Sources: 2014 PSRC officially published reports.

As of December 31 2014, VivaCell-MTS reported total 2.15 million subscribers, reflecting annual increase of 2.2%, and about 60.4% market share.

In Armenia, we compete with ArmenTel, a fixed line and mobile operator wholly owned by Vimpelcom. ArmenTel holds a license in the GSM 900 standard for the entire territory of Armenia and a radio frequency permit for fixed line communications with CDMA equipment. Starting from 2009, we also compete with Orange (France Telecom), which was granted a GSM-900/1800 network license in October 2008.

#### *The Turkmenistan wireless telecommunications market*

As of December 31, 2014, overall wireless penetration in Turkmenistan was approximately 104,3%, or approximately 5.5 million subscribers, according to our estimates.

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

### Table of Contents

The following table shows the number of subscribers as of the dates indicated and the coverage area of MTS-Turkmenistan and our competitors in Turkmenistan:

Operator	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2014	Coverage Area
	(amounts in millions)		
MTS-Turkmenistan	1.7	1.7	Nationwide
Altyn Asyr	3.5	3.8	Nationwide

Source: Subscriber information based on our estimates.

MTS-Turkmenistan offers wireless services using GSM 900, GSM 1800, and UMTS 2100 technologies. As of December 31, 2014, MTS-Turkmenistan had approximately 1.7 million subscribers and a 31.1% market share according to our estimates. In Turkmenistan, we compete with Altyn Asyr, a state-owned cellular operator which launched an LTE network in September, 2013 and was the only GSM/UMTS operator from December 21, 2010 till August 30, 2012.

### *The Uzbekistan wireless telecommunications market*

The wireless telecommunications carriers market of Uzbekistan is characterized by rapidly increasing penetration rates. In 2014, overall wireless penetration in Uzbekistan decreased slightly from approximately 65.5% in 2013 to 64.8% in 2014, or approximately 20.1 million subscribers, according to our estimates and statistical data from the websites of Vimpelcom and TeliaSonera.

The following table shows the number of subscribers as of the dates indicated as well as the coverage area of UMS and our competitors in Uzbekistan:

Operator	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2014	Coverage Area
	(amounts in thousands)		
UMS <sup>(1)</sup>		188	Nationwide
Unitel (Vimpelcom) <sup>(2)</sup>	10,518	10,593	Nationwide
Ucell (Coscom) <sup>(3)</sup>	8,496	8,574	Nationwide
Others <sup>(4)</sup>	631	740	Nationwide

- (1) Subscriber information is based on our statistical data.
- (2) Subscriber information is based on Vimpelcom's press releases.
- (3) Subscriber information is based on TeliSonera's press releases. TeliaSonera holds a majority stake in Ucell.
- (4) Subscriber information is based on our estimates.

Since December 1, 2014, UMS offers wireless services in Uzbekistan using GSM and UMTS technologies. Currently, there are 2 major GSM mobile network operators in Uzbekistan, besides UMS:

- 1) Beeline (Unitel) a GSM and UMTS mobile network operator owned by Vimpelcom with 10.6 million subscribers and a 53% market share as of December 31, 2014; and
- 2) Ucell (Coscom) a GSM and UMTS mobile network operator beneficially owned by TeliaSonera, with 8.6 million subscribers and a 43% market share as of December 31, 2014.

### *Tariffs*

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

We customize our marketing efforts and pricing policies in each region of Russia and our other countries of operation by considering such factors as average income levels, the competitive environment and subscriber needs, all of which vary from region to region. Consistent with our marketing strategy, we have developed tariff plans to appeal to a broader market. The following table

Table of Contents

shows the mix between Prepaid and other subscribers, such as contract and corporate customers, for Russia and Ukraine for the periods indicated:

	As of December 31,		
	2012	2013	2014
<b>Russia</b>			
Prepaid	74%	70%	67%
Contract and corporate	26%	30%	33%
<b>Ukraine</b>			
Prepaid	92%	91%	91%
Other	8%	9%	9%

We are seeking to migrate our customers from advance payment plans to credit payment plans in an effort to stimulate ARPU and reduce churn. We endeavor to mitigate the risk of bad debt through the implementation of credit scoring algorithms that assess and help manage the risk of potential bad debt.

We currently have a unified system of tariff plans offered to subscribers throughout Russia. The unified system is aimed at achieving such benefits as clarity, simplicity and transparency for prospective subscribers by offering the same set of tariff categories throughout Russia. Under each tariff category, we offer different tariff plans with different connection fees, per minute call charges and a wide range of value-added services.

By advertising on a national rather than regional or local level, we have been able to streamline and reduce our advertising and marketing expenses through unified advertising campaigns throughout Russia. Furthermore, we are able to convey to consumers a more uniform perception of our brand and services.

Currently, each of our tariff plans in Russia combines per minute usage charges, value-added services in packages and different monthly network access fees (with the exception of the prepaid tariff plans) designed for different market segments. Our tariff plans are designed to be simple and appeal to particular segments of the market taking into account such factors as customer needs and consumption levels. Our tariff plans are currently divided into five categories "Prepaid," "Smart," "Unlimited," "Data" and "Corporate" with each category designed to target specific segments as follows:

*Prepaid:* Prepaid tariff plans are geared towards consumers who use their mobile phones for personal communication. These plans do not have monthly subscription fees and the per-minute fee charges depend largely on the tariff plan chosen. We offer a family-oriented tariff plan, "Super MTS," which allows family members to make up to 20 minutes of calls per day within the network for free. The "Super MTS" tariff plan varies depending on the region of the customer and it is a tariff plan which we believe will remain a competitive tariff plan over a long period of time. We also offer a tariff plan, "Red Energy," developed for different market segments, featuring flat price for calls within our network and calls to other networks. Subscribers to our prepaid plans can reduce the price of their calls by using tariff options which have a subscription fee. After our customers subscribe to a particular prepaid plan, they have the option of switching to a different prepaid plan by sending an SMS message (USSD request) to a designated number.

*Smart:* "Smart" is the family of integrated voice and data tariff plans for customers with active mobile data usage. "Smart" has a monthly fee for a bundle of all-net minutes and Internet. On-net calls above the bundle are free. Versions of "Smart" ("Smart mini," "Smart," "Smart+" and "Smart Top") differ by amount of minutes and megabytes included in the bundle and the corresponding monthly fee.

Table of Contents

*Unlimited:* "Unlimited" tariff plans are designed for heavy users who call primarily within their domestic region. Subscribers of unlimited tariff plans are provided an unlimited number of local minutes, an opportunity to pay through our credit payment system and access to personal customer care service. In the Moscow region, for those subscribers issued a local number, monthly fees start from RUB 2,542.9 and those using a federal number pay from 2,118.6 per month. The "Ultra" tariff plan includes unlimited calls to MTS numbers as long as the recipient and the caller are in the same region (defined as "home region"), free domestic calls from the MTS network to any number regardless of carrier up to a certain limit of minutes, no roaming charges within Russia, 15 gigabytes of mobile Internet access and 5000 SMS messages within the network.

*Data:* We offer special tariffs for active users of mobile Internet devices (e.g., USB-modems, 3G and 4G capable devices). For data users, our range of tariff plans is optimized by device type: smart phones and feature phones (small screen), tablets (mid screen) and laptop / table computers (big screen). For "small screen" users we offer the "BIT" add-on option family "MiniBIT," "BIT," and "SuperBIT" that are available on voice tariff plans. For growing "mid screen" market we offer the "MTS Planshet" tariff plan (means "Tablet" in Russian). For "big screen" users, either for USB-modem or embedded modems, we offer a set of options on "MTS Connect" tariff plan. We plan to continue the active development of mobile internet usage in 2015.

*Corporate:* We offer up to four tariff plans in each region targeted to meet the demands of our corporate clients, each plan allowing them to optimize their communication expenses in accordance with their individual consumption patterns. These plans feature specialized customer care, payment through our credit system and volume and tenure discounts. In addition, we provide customized pricing offers and technical solutions to our biggest clients.

Our tariffs vary from plan to plan. The description of tariffs and charges are, in each case, exclusive of VAT. As of December 31, 2014, the per-minute tariff for local calls within the MTS network varied from zero per minute to RUB 1.48 per minute. Different rates apply to local calls to other networks and vary from RUB 0.51 per minute to RUB 2.80 per minute. Higher rates apply to domestic long distance calls and rates for international calls vary from RUB 2.12 per minute for calls to the CIS to RUB 59.3 per minute for calls to other parts of the world. Periodically, we run various promotional campaigns, either on the federal or regional level, in which we provide temporary discounts to our regular prices.

*Tariff Plans in Ukraine*

We offer unified tariff plans throughout Ukraine and, in connection with our re-branding efforts in Ukraine during 2007 and 2008, we developed new regional and segmented tariff plans that focus on the differentiation of subscribers' needs in the various market segments. Our tariffs in Ukraine are oriented towards the following three main segments: (i) Postpaid Business, (ii) Postpaid Private and (iii) Prepaid, which is further divided into voice regional tariffs and data regional tariffs and segmented tariffs.

MTS Ukraine has the following Postpaid tariff plans:

*Postpaid Business:* A set of Postpaid Business corporate tariff plans called "Smart Office," are targeting business segment subscribers, which have unlimited calls within MTS network and mobile internet, bundled minutes of calls to other mobile operators, special prices for international calls, no connection fees and per second charging intervals. MTS Ukraine offers a unique product "ULTRA" for the top management that has unlimited calls and SMS within the MTS Ukraine network, unlimited Mobile Internet and special prices for international calls and roaming. We also have a special tariff plan for the machine-to-machine (M2M) segment "Telematika" which combines service packages for M2M-cards, personalized service, special

Table of Contents

tariffs even for data roaming, special heavy duty SIM cards and consulting support services. We offer combined service packages with a wide range of included telecommunications services which are aimed to attract the segment of our corporate clients. We also offer discounts based on business customers' monthly usage and the amount of the invoice, and we also provide special handset subsidies under the condition where the buyers remain our customers.

*Postpaid Private:* A set of Postpaid Private tariff plans are designed for mass-market subscribers based on the main national tariff plan "Super MTS 3D Zero" with a "3D" set of services for a monthly fee: 1D monthly package of included minutes within MTS network; 2D monthly package of mobile internet services; and 3D monthly package of included SMS within MTS network. Another Voice & Data tariff is "Smartphone Contract" with 2 unlimited services: 1) for calls within MTS network, and 2) for mobile internet, that also contains bundled minutes to other networks and SMS/MMS package. In any case, the Postpaid Private tariffs are the main instrument for the Postpaid customer base growth due to the customers' life time value development and migrations from the Prepaid segment. We also offer special Postpaid tariffs and privileges that are available only to customers migrating from Prepaid tariff plans. The main advantages of Postpaid over Prepaid include the customer's ability to obtain all services on credit, per second billing, national usage of the tariffs, and absence of daily limits in service packages, more convenient roaming and customer service.

MTS Ukraine has the following Prepaid tariff plans:

*Voice & Data oriented Pay-Per-Day tariff:* The flagship tariff plan "Smartphone" is specially designed to appeal to both voice and data oriented middle income customers that are mostly young people using smartphones. The tariff "Smartphone" combines 2 unlimited main services with a Pay-Per-Day charging: 1) for calls within MTS network, and 2) for mobile internet. The tariff has regional prices for other networks depending on the territory of call origination. We also offer data only tariff plan "Planshet" which is targeted directly at the users of tablet devices and has Pay-Per-Use charging and includes 300Mb of mobile internet per day without any speed limits and offers Nano/Micro SIM card free of charge. To increase the data penetration and develop the data usage among our existing customer base we offer the umbrella service "Super Internet" with several concept options: 1) Pay-Per-Use (PPU) for new data users and seldom users or for trying data using without unexpected bills; 2) Pay-Per-Day (PPD) with cheaper daily fees for those who use smartphones daily; and 3) Unlimited the cheapest daily price for mobile internet but the customer must pay in advance for the longer periods.

*Voice oriented regional Pay-Per-Use tariffs:* These are several regional tariff plans designed to attract mainly mass-market subscribers. The "Simply Super" tariff line offers a set of services on a Pay-Per-Use (PPU) basis without fixed daily payments, it is daily limited in net minutes' package and daily limited GPRS internet. To increase customer's life time value (LTV) these tariffs have the LTV principle at its core. Thus, after reaching the certain level of usage during the 30 days trial period upon either activation or migration, customers are provided with twice increased volumes of services without daily fee increase, which however implies them to change from a PPU principle to PPD (Pay-Per-Day) principal. All regions are grouped into several clusters depending on the MTS market share, population and region potential. Regional tariffs are based on the national tariff "Simply Super" but with price differentiations and volumes of free minutes within the MTS network, prices for other mobile operators, cheaper data prices and higher volumes of data included. Along with the regional tariffs, we offer an extensive list of segmented services for medium and heavy users, such as calls in MTS network or other networks, mobile internet, SMS, MMS, international calls and roaming to promote faster customer development and higher customer loyalty.

As of December 31, 2014, the standard per minute tariff for calls to mobile network operators in Ukraine varied from RUB 0.89 per minute to RUB 4.82 per minute. Tariffs for calls to fixed lines in

Table of Contents

Ukraine varied from RUB 1.79 per minute to RUB 4.82 per minute. The standard per minute tariff for calls within the MTS Ukraine network ranged from RUB nil per minute with limitations in minutes per day or month to RUB 4.28 per minute. International tariffs ranged from RUB 0.54 per minute for calls to fixed lines of some countries in special services to RUB 285.42 per minute in standard international tariffs for satellites. All tariffs for MTS Ukraine subscribers are quoted in hryvnias. The tariffs set forth above are translated from hryvnias to Russian rubles using the official exchange rate of 0.280288 hryvnias per RUB 1 as of December 31, 2014.

***Customer Payments and Billing***

We enroll new prepaid subscribers in an advance payment program, under which the subscriber prepays a specific amount of money to use our services. As of December 31, 2014, 86% of our consolidated subscriber base was enrolled in the advance payment program and 14% used the credit system.

Our advance payment system monitors each subscriber account and sends an advance warning on the subscriber's mobile telephone when the balance on the subscriber's account decreases below a certain threshold.

Under the credit payment system, customers are billed monthly in arrears for their network access and usage. We limit the amount of credit extended to customers based on the customer's payment history, type of account and past usage. As of December 31, 2014, subscribers using the credit system of payment had credit limits of up to RUB 84.15 million (\$1.5 million) for key corporate customers in Russia. When a credit limit is reached, we block the telephone number until the balance is settled. There are no credit limits established for certain exceptional, high loyalty customers.

In 2007, we began to actively promote our credit payment system to our existing and new subscribers with the aim of migrating our subscriber base to the credit payment system from the existing advance payment system. In furtherance of this effort, during the period from 2009 to 2010, we introduced the "in full confidence" service (instead of the "Credit" service), which allows our Prepaid customers who subscribe to this service to continue using services when the balance on the subscriber's account becomes negative. We assign credit limits to our subscribers based on their payments and charge history (*i.e.*, average balance usage) during the prior three months. As of December 31, 2014, subscribers using the "in full confidence" service had a maximum credit limit of RUB 28.7 thousands (\$512). Customer service representatives can also set individual credit limits for subscribers. When the credit limit is reached, our billing system blocks the phone number until the balance is settled. Similar to the credit payment system, the subscribers are billed monthly in arrears for usage. The invoice, which can be delivered to the customer by e-mail, fax, regular post and Internet, should be settled within 24 days. If the invoice is not paid five to seven days prior to the due date, the system sends an additional reminder. The telephone number is blocked on the 25<sup>th</sup> day if the invoice is not settled.

We completed implementation of the Foris billing system in Russia in 2008 and have already begun to experience increases in our overall efficiency and reductions in our expenses. In 2014, in Ukraine we performed migration of the part of our Postpaid customers to the Foris billing system which resulted in more opportunities in optimization of the process and provided services. We are planning to complete the transfer of all of our Post-paid customers in Ukraine to the Foris billing system by May 2015. In Armenia, we use the "Eskadenia" billing system. The Foris billing system allows us to offer all of our subscribers a uniform and consistently high level of service. It also supports the monitoring of account usage in real time. In addition, the system provides us with the ability to offer flexible tariff plans with various usage discounts and subscriber loyalty bonuses. Furthermore, we are able to provide our corporate subscribers with more sophisticated customized billing solutions. For example, our corporate subscribers who use multiple phone numbers in different regions of Russia now receive a single invoice, whereas our previous billing system could not support such a service.



Table of Contents

In Russia, we offer our subscribers various ways to pay for our services, including by cash or credit card, wire transfer, Prepaid cards and express payment cards.

In Ukraine, our Postpaid corporate and high-end subscribers receive an invoice which must be paid by a specified date. If the subscriber fails to pay, we block the phone number until the balance is settled. Our contract subscribers, who make an advance payment, are able to continue using our services once they reach a zero balance or until their accounts reach the credit limit specified in their service agreements. When the limit for such a subscriber is reached, we suspend our service until the balance is settled. We determine account terms and credit limits for each subscriber based on the subscriber's age, payment history and tariff plan.

In Ukraine we provide services to our Prepaid customers for as long as the balance on their accounts remains above zero and/or the tariff plans allow to use free-of-charge services without having the positive balance on the account.

In Ukraine we offer our subscribers various ways to pay for our services, including by cash or card payment at the cash desk of the bank, through the recharge terminals, bank transfer from the current account (for legal entities), via internet and payment card of fixed nominal value.

***Customer Service***

In order to attract and retain customers, we must ensure a high level of service at all points of customer assistance, care and billing. In each region where we operate, we have contact centers that provide customer service 24 hours a day, seven days a week. Contact centers provide different services to our clients through various channels (telephone, email and fax). Customer service representatives respond to various issues such as phone lock due to lack of payment, handset operation, roaming capabilities, service coverage and billing. A particular group of customer service representatives handles customer complaints and helps those who want to change their service terms. We use automatic systems and independent analysis for monitoring availability and customer satisfaction level of service in our contact centers regularly. We conduct outbound campaigns with the assistance of our employees in the outbound contact center and the laboratory of the customer relationship management inasmuch as we need to improve customer loyalty and promote our services.

After the completion of the integration process of our fixed line business customer service, any fixed line customer in Russia can now receive consultation and professional assistance in our contact center. Client services are also organized for MGTS and MTS Bank customers at platforms of MTS contact centers. "Detsky Mir" and "MEDCI" are also served by MTS. The project MNP in MTS contact centers was organized for customers who want to take the advantage of mobile number portability using the leased line service.

We are continuously working to improve customer satisfaction by providing our clients the convenience and functionality of self-service systems (e.g., Internet-Helper, interactive voice response ("IVR") and Mobile Helper). For instance, Internet-Helper is a service that provides the customer the ability to view communication about his contract and personal information as well as the management of specific account data. In the IVR system, our customers can replenish their account at any time using their credit cards, as well as to take advantage of Voice Call Back, which allows the client not to wait for an answer in the line of call-center and request a call back. Thus, this system will call back to the client, when it is less loaded. Our customers can order all the information about tariffs and services by SMS through the IVR system. In 2014, all necessary tests of the speech recognition system were successfully completed.

Currently, customer assistance is also available in 2,892 MTS-branded retail stores in Russia. We have a support hotline for our MTS-branded retail stores. The staff of our stores can call the hotline and get advice about our services. We can provide our clients with the highest level of service in our

Table of Contents

stores with the establishment of the claims processing center and the support line of single-brand stores. We also provide limited range of services in our 1,315 franchise points-of-sale.

In addition, we have a back-office center which is responsible for handling customer inquiries and helping to reduce the impact of technical problems and incidents on our customers. Moreover, we have established Siebel CRM, which helps us to develop an individual approach to our clients by consolidating all the information about them. In 2014, the registration of individual customer problems was introduced in CRM. This event helped us to solve problems of our customers more quickly.

In 2008, in Ukraine, we launched a web portal and started to provide free access at special terminals in our sales offices for contract customers. Since 2009 we have further enhanced the quality of our customer service as a result of the complete integration of our IVRs and billing. In 2012, we made improvements to the IVRs menu to enhance its utility. In 2013, we continued to work on the improvement and expansion of self-service channels for subscribers and we were focused on auditing and optimizing internal customer service business processes.

In 2010, in Ukraine, we launched an online "self-service" for our Prepaid customers and significantly increased the number of its users in 2011. As part of online "self-service," we continued developing "self-care" functions through the web and IVRs (which provide, among other things, details of the subscriber's account, tariff plan specifications, amounts charged on credit cards, management of on-line service and charge details for contract subscribers). We also developed special services, such as shortened phone numbers, for broadband users and premium customers who require assistance. We increased the number of services available to our customers in contact centers and started telephone outbound sales through an outsourced contact center.

In 2012, we significantly increased retention and cross- and up-selling activities in our contact centers, including interactive presentations by agents, the IVR system and launch of new paid services. We also completed the process of simplifying and unifying customers care functions through our call centers. In 2012, we made improvements to our self-care channels, launched a mobile version of the Internet Helper for smartphones.

In 2012, we restructured the customer services department in MTS Ukraine which allowed us to increase efficiency of the management of customer service business processes. We made the customer assistance process in Ukraine more personalized by anticipating customer needs. We maintain a history of subscribers' requests and personalize the IVR for each customer profile, which depends on individual ARPU, region and other parameters. Based on these parameters we calculate the customer lifetime value index, which we use to classify our subscribers, so that we can provide our priority customers with a wider range of services.

In 2013, we continued to work for improvement and expansion of our self-care channels. And we completely redesigned our IVR system and Web Chat and expanded the functionality and usability of Web Chat.

In 2013, we launched a new mobile application for Android OS. The application allows users to manage their account directly from their smartphones. We started the process of implementing an operational CRM system and the process of renewal of our contact center technical platform. We have also changed the approach to subscriber differentiation. In 2013, we finished the process of integration of our broadband subscribers into our system of customer service.

In 2014, we continued to transform our call centers into effective channels for client relationship management. We focused on the implementation of operational CRM system and the renewal of the technical platform for our contact center.

In 2015, we plan to run upgraded technical platform for contact center and start commercial use and development of operational CRM system. Our main efforts will focus on reducing the cost of customer service. We will work on improvement of our customer service by changing current standards

Table of Contents

of service for more customer sensitive, therefore enhancing the satisfaction of our subscribers in the future.

*Network Technology*

We launched our commercial 2G network in 1994, based on GSM-900 technology. From 1999 we significantly improved our 2G network capacity on the basis of GSM-1800 technology. From 2001 we implemented wireless data communication services based on GPRS technology with download data rate up to 85.6 kbit/s. In 2005 we modernized our GSM network to support EDGE technology and tripled data services rates. Today we continue supporting and modernizing our 2G network, and we put the prime focus on the development of our 3G and LTE networks in order to provide our subscribers with high-speed broadband wireless services.

Our 3G network, developed from 2009, has mainly reused our existing GSM infrastructure in order to minimize 3G network roll-out time and decrease capital and operating costs. Initially we deployed UMTS-2100 technology and provided data rates up to 3.6 Mbit/s. In 2010 we implemented 3G/HSDPA technology to boost download data rates up to 14.4 Mbit/s. In 2011 we began to re-allocate available GSM spectrum and launched UMTS 900 in the UMTS-2100 restricted areas: in Moscow region and Khabarovsk region. In 2012 we improved the data services rate 3G network by up to 21 Mbit/s for the downlink using 3G/HSPA+ technology. From December, 2014 we activated second and third carriers on the 86% of our 3G sites to improve capacity, and launched ultra high-speed services, based on Dual Carrier (DC-HSPA+) technology, with download rates up to 42 Mbps in 73% 3G sites in Russia.

The first commercial LTE FDD 2600 network launched in Armenia was ours in 2010. In September 2012, we launched a commercial LTE TDD 2600 network in Moscow and in 2013 we started LTE FDD rollout in Russia in 2600 and 800 spectrum. In our LTE network we provide data services with a download data rate up to 75 Mbit/s, and up to 25 Mbit/s uplink. We implemented MIMO2x2, 4RxDiv and 64QAM technologies to maximize spectrum efficiency. Our LTE network meets requirements of 3GPP Rel.10 in line with available LTE customer equipment.

In 2014, we launched several LTE FDD Small Cells' pilot zones in Moscow and St. Petersburg. We plan to rollout the LTE Small Cells in hotspots to improve user experience and upload traffic from macro. Also, Small Cells could be used for coverage improvement both, for indoor and outdoor.

In December 2013, we were allowed to re-allocate the existing 900 and 1800 MHz spectrum to UMTS-900 and LTE-1800 all over the Russia. Our strategy is to re-allocate available spectrum to the most efficient technology and provide competitive high-performance data services to our customers. In 2014, we started DCS 1800 spectrum refarming to LTE and roll out of LTE-1800 network, while also expanding LTE 2600/800 coverage. In second half of 2014 we performed several successful trials of Carrier Aggregation. We are planning to launch Carrier Aggregate on a commercial LTE FDD network in 2015 and provide download data rates up to 225 Mbit/s.

In 2014, we launched an LTE-2600 Active RAN Sharing program with VimpelCom (trademark "Beeline") to jointly plan, develop and use LTE networks in Russia. Under the agreement, in 2014 - 2016, we will develop shared mobile data networks in 19 regions in Russia, while VimpelCom will construct networks in 17 regions. We expect this partnership to boost 4G/LTE expansion in Russia, creating a modern telecommunications infrastructure, which will expand 4G/LTE coverage and improve the performance of our networks. Besides it will give us investment and operational savings.

*Network Infrastructure and Frequency Allocation*

We use switching and other network equipment supplied by Nokia Solutions and Networks, Ericsson, Huawei, Alcatel-Lucent, Samsung and other major network equipment manufacturers.

In the Moscow license area, we have been allocated frequencies spanning  $2 \times 11.4$  MHz of spectrum in the GSM 900 frequency band and  $2 \times 24.6$  MHz of spectrum in the GSM 1800 frequency

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

### Table of Contents

band for operation of a dual GSM 900/1800 network and UMTS900 network. In 2011, we were allocated frequencies 2595-2620 MHz spanning 25 MHz for LTE TDD network deployment in Moscow and the Moscow region.

In St. Petersburg and the Leningrad region, we have been allocated frequencies spanning  $2 \times 9.6$  MHz of spectrum in the GSM 900 frequency band (including  $2 \times 1.6$  MHz in the E-GSM band) and  $2 \times 18.2$  MHz of spectrum in the GSM 1800 frequency band for operation of a dual GSM 900/1800 network.

We have been allocated frequencies 1950-1965 MHz, 2010-2015 MHz and 2140-2155 MHz in the UMTS core frequency bands spanning  $2 \times 15$  MHz (for FDD mode) and 5 MHz (for TDD mode) for UMTS network deployment for the entire territory of the Russian Federation.

We have been allocated frequency bands 2540-2550 MHz and 2660-2670 MHz spanning  $2 \times 10$  MHz and frequency bands 798. 5-806 MHz and 839.5-847 MHz spanning  $2 \times 7.5$  MHz for LTE FDD network deployment for the entire territory of the Russian Federation.

We have frequencies allocated to us for the operation of GSM 900 and GSM 1800 frequency bands in all regions of Ukraine. The radio frequencies allocated to MTS Ukraine for the operation of GSM 900 span from  $2 \times 4.0$  MHz of spectrum in Crimea to  $2 \times 5.8$  MHz in the Nikolaev, Lugansk, Chernovtsy and Kirovograd regions and in Kiev. We also have been allocated frequencies spanning from  $2 \times 20.0$  MHz in the Kiev region to  $2 \times 26.6$  MHz in the Dnepropetrovsk region for operation of GSM 1800 base stations. In addition, we have been allocated frequencies spanning from 453.35-457.1 MHz and 463.35-467.1 MHz in the CDMA-450 core frequency and bands spanning  $3 \times 1.25$  MHz for CDMA-450 network deployment for the entire territory of the Ukraine.

We believe that we have been allocated adequate spectrum in each of our license areas.

### *Base Station Site Procurement and Maintenance*

The process of obtaining appropriate sites requires that our personnel coordinate, among other things, site-specific requirements for engineering and design, leasing of the required space, obtaining all necessary governmental permits, construction of the facility and equipment installation. In Russia, we use special radio planning software supplied by Aircom International and Mentum to assess new sites so that the network design and site development are coordinated. This software can create digital cellular coverage maps of our licensed areas, taking into account the peculiarities of the urban landscape, including the reflection of radio waves from buildings and moving automobiles and supports all necessary technologies, such as 2G, 3G and LTE. To use these tools more effectively we purchase high quality 3D digital maps for more precise planning. Used together, these software tools enable us to plan base station sites without the need for numerous field trips and on-site testing, saving us considerable time and money in our network build-out.

Base station site contracts are essentially cooperation agreements that allow us to use space for our base stations and other network equipment. The terms of these agreements range from one to 49 years, with the term of the majority of these agreements being one to five years. Under these agreements, we have the right to use premises located in attics or on top floors of buildings for base stations and space on roofs for antennas. In areas where a suitable base station site is unavailable, we construct towers to accommodate base station antennas, mainly on leased plots of land. We anticipate that we will be able to continue to use our existing GSM 900 base station sites and to co-locate GSM 1800, UMTS 2100 and UMTS 900 base stations at some of the same sites. In 2014, the company continued to rollout LTE network as a priority by placing base stations on existing sites.

Table of Contents

To provide high quality service to our subscribers in Russia, we launched a global network operation center ("GNOC") in Krasnodar in July 2012. The GNOC experts carry out the monitoring of network equipment in seven macro-regions of Russia around the clock. We have one more operation and maintenance centers in Moscow and Voronezh. Our maintenance department, staffed 24 hours per day, performs daily network integrity checks and responds to reported problems. Our technicians inspect base stations and carry out preventative maintenance at least once every six months.

*Network Monitoring Equipment*

We constantly control and monitor the performance of our network, call completion rate and other major key technical performance indicators. We use monitoring systems to optimize our network and to locate and identify the cause of failures or problems, and also to analyze our network performance and obtain network statistics. We have agreements with different suppliers for technical support services that allow us to obtain their assistance in trouble shooting and correcting problems with our network within the warranty period.

We have two network operation and maintenance centers: GNOC in Krasnodar and the local network operation center in Voronezh.

The GNOC in Krasnodar allows us to centralize such functions as monitoring and controlling of equipment, network planning and optimization, and also helps to solve incidents related to service interruptions. We expect that GNOC will strengthen our network's reliability and safety, as well as will create the necessary conditions to launch and implement new technologies and network standards.

The GNOC experts have the technical ability to monitor network problems and unusual situations online in seven macro-regions of Russia including the macro-region "Moscow." The macro-region "Moscow" is being served by the GNOC from year 2014. It had been served by the local network operation center in Moscow before. The local operation and maintenance center in Voronezh takes the form of outsourcing partnership with Nokia Solutions and Networks and is used for network monitoring in macro-region "Center."

The local network operation center in Moscow is used for network monitoring in macro-region "Moscow."

Our networks in Ukraine, Turkmenistan, Armenia, Uzbekistan and Belarus are monitored by our local operation and maintenance centers in each country. In addition to the monitoring of network performance, those centers analyze network quality parameters, provide troubleshooting, regular and extraordinary reporting to the management and our headquarters.

The handling of any significant network problems and outages is monitored and coordinated at our headquarters in Moscow, where we also manage the cross- functional coordination of our networks in all countries of operation.

*Interconnect Arrangements and Telephone Numbering Capacity*

We operate various types of communications networks, including mobile cellular, DLD/ILD and local fixed line and zonal fixed line networks.

Cellular operators must interconnect with fixed zonal, wireless, long distance and international telephone operators to obtain access to their networks and, via these operators, to the networks of other operators around the world. Cellular and fixed line operators must also obtain telephone numbering capacity to allocate to their subscribers. There are two categories of telephone numbers: "federal" 11-digit numbers (non-geographical numbering plan for cellular operators) and "local" seven-digit numbers (geographical numbering plan for fixed-line operators which can also be used as additional numbering capacity for mobile operators). In Moscow, both "federal" and "local" numbers

Table of Contents

have been used in the 11-digit format since the beginning of 2011. We have entered into various agreements for the provision of local telephone numbering capacity with several local telecommunications operators in Moscow and in other regions of Russia and in Ukraine. We have also built our own local networks in certain cities within Russia (including Moscow) to provide local telephone numbering capacity to our subscribers. We are allocated federal telephone numbering capacity by the government and we provide interconnect services to other operators on the zonal level in all regions of Russia. Our fixed line zonal and local networks in Russia are interconnected with other operators. Zonal/local interconnect typically entails payment of a one-time connection fee per point of interconnect (E1) and a usage charge based on minutes of traffic. Operators with a substantial market position may also charge a guarantee monthly usage fee in case traffic is less than 30 kmin per E1.

The Ministry of Communications and Mass Media has allocated special numbering codes for federal 11-digit telephone numbers on a non-geographical basis for all cellular operators. We believe that we have been allocated sufficient numbering capacity for the development of our network. However, a combination of regulatory, technological and financial factors has led to the limited availability of local 7-digit telephone numbering capacity in Moscow and the Moscow region. Moscow's "495" code and the Moscow region's "496" code have already reached numbering capacity limits. As a result, the new "499" code was introduced in order to increase the Moscow numbering capacity, the "498" code was introduced to increase Moscow region numbering capacity and since 2011 "local" numbers have been used in Moscow in 11-digit format.

To meet subscriber demand and provide for an adequate inventory of numbering capacity, we previously entered into contracts with local fixed line providers for allocation of numbering capacity to us. However, the Ministry of Communications and Mass Media subsequently took the view that numbering capacity assigned to one operator could not be rented to other operators. Accordingly, we have entered into arrangements whereby fixed line operators make their numbers available to our subscribers via agency contracts between the subscribers and us acting on behalf of such fixed line operators. Our right to use numbering capacity ranges from five years to an unlimited period of time. As a result of our merger with Comstar, we have decreased the use of local numbering capacity of other operators. As of December 31, 2014, we had numbering capacity (federal and local) for approximately 27.85 million subscribers in the Moscow license area.

To provide our subscribers in Russia with DLD/ILD services, we have interconnect agreements with national operators Rostelecom, MTT (an affiliate of Sistema until March 18, 2009), Vimpelcom and other national transit operators. We have also built and operate our own DLD/ILD network, which allows us to interconnect directly to foreign operators and thereby decrease our interconnect costs. Most interconnect fees payable for connecting users of other operators' fixed line and wireless networks to our network are based on a one-time connection fee, a monthly fee per point of interconnect and usage by minute which vary depending on the destination called.

Russian legislation provides that fixed line operators with a substantial position in the market cannot refuse to provide interconnect or discriminate against one operator in comparison to another, and the interconnect rates of operators with a substantial position are regulated by the government. See "Item 4. Information on Our Company B. Business Overview Regulation of Telecommunications in the Russian Federation and Ukraine Regulation in the Russian Federation Competition, Interconnect and Pricing" and "Item 3. Key Information D. Risk Factors Risks Relating to Our Business If we cannot interconnect cost-effectively with other telecommunications operators, we may be unable to provide services at competitive prices and therefore lose market share and revenues."

Interconnect and traffic transit between the networks of mobile operators in Russia occurs through direct channels connecting the switches of the different mobile operators within the same city; through the network of transit long distance operators, which connect the networks of different mobile operators in different cities; or through operators' proprietary long distance networks. For domestic

Table of Contents

long distance traffic transit, we use our DLD/ILD network and networks of different national operators, including among others, MTT, Rostelecom and Vimpelcom. For ILD traffic transit, we primarily use our DLD/ILD network which is interconnected with more than 47 international carriers, including, for example, France Telecom S.A. and Deutsche Telecom A.G. We also have an interconnect between the DLD/ILD MTS network and the ILD networks of our subsidiaries, MTS Ukraine and K-Telecom, in order to provide the transit of international traffic.

In Ukraine, mobile operators are allocated numbering capacity by the NCCIR (National Commission for the State Regulation of Communications and Informatization). We believe that we have been allocated sufficient numbering capacity in Ukraine for the development of our mobile network. We also believe that we have been allocated sufficient fixed line numbering capacity with respect to the cities in which we are developing our fixed line network.

**Handsets**

Nearly all of our handset sales consist of tri-band GSM 900/1800/1900 and dual-band UMTS 900/2100 handsets, except for certain models in the low cost segment and touch-phones. These handsets, which function in the GSM 900, GSM 1800 and PCS-1900 standards, provide users with greater automatic roaming possibilities in Russia, Europe, the United States and Canada. In 2013, we launched LTE 800/LTE 2600. In 2014, we launched LTE 1800 and activated current functionality in LTE devices. After network upgrade to support HSPA+ (21,6Mb/s) and DC-HSPA (43,2 Mb/s) in the territory of Russia all HSPA+ & DC-HSPA devices in the network increase their working speeds.

We generally do not offer handset subsidies in Russia but do offer them in Ukraine to a limited number of contract subscribers as well as modem subsidies for GSM and CDMA users. For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2013 and 2014, we provided net handset subsidies of RUB 168 million, RUB 120 million and RUB 67 million, respectively, in Ukraine.

In 2009, we substantially changed the strategy and structure of our retail operations by significantly expanding our proprietary sales and distribution network both organically and through the acquisition of national and regional retail chains. We organized these operations under RTC, our wholly owned subsidiary. From 2009, RTC handles all functions relating to our retail operations, including the purchase and sale of handsets and accessories and subscriber enrollment at our retail outlets. RTC has entered into arrangements with Sony, Nokia, Samsung, HTC, Alcatel, Fly, Philips, Huawei and others to purchase handsets. In 2014, we continued our cooperation with A-brand smartphone vendors and started our partnership with Google. We also offer an array of mobile telephone accessories. Since 2009 we have been successfully selling MTS branded phones and since 2013 our main focus of MTS branded devices are smartphones.

**Fixed Line Services**

We offer fixed line communications services in over 185 cities across Russia, covering a population of over 53 million people.

Our Moscow fixed line operations encompass communications services provided through incumbent operator MGTS. Through MGTS, we own "last mile" access to approximately 4.1 million households in Moscow, representing approximately 91% of the overall number of households in Moscow, according to Direct INFO. MGTS provides regulated and unregulated services, including:

local and zonal telephony services at tariffs regulated by the Russian government;

DLD/ILD voice telephony through licensed operators;

Internet services;

pay-TV services;

Table of Contents

interconnect and rent data channels to other operators;

rent of fixed line infrastructure; and

internet and data transmission services and numbering capacity to subscribers of other communications operators through agency agreements concluded with such operators.

Our other fixed line operations include the following communications services: voice, data and Internet and pay-TV services for corporate and residential subscribers, as well as the provision of interconnect services to other communications operators and numbering capacity to their subscribers. Based on TMT Consulting data, as of December 31, 2014, we are the largest operator in the Moscow residential broadband market in terms of subscribers, with a 29% market share. We also operate in Ukraine and Armenia, where we provide digital telephony communications services, data transmission, Internet access and the renting of channels. For a list of the telecommunications licenses held by us, see "Item 4. Information on Our Company B. Business Overview Regulation of Telecommunications in the Russian Federation and Ukraine Licenses."

***Customers and Services Offered Moscow Fixed Line Operations***

We provide fixed line communications services through our subsidiary, MGTS, which is the incumbent fixed line PSTN operator in Moscow. MGTS owns Moscow's PSTN infrastructure, including switches, a transmission network, underground ducts, and owns or holds leases to properties housing its offices and equipment.

As of December 31, 2014, MGTS had approximately 4.15 million active lines in service, a cable network of over 93,249 km, a fiber optic network of over 40,000 km and 3,093 payphones. Currently, MGTS has focused its efforts on the deployment of GPON, IP/MPLS technologies and an IMS core. The old SDH equipment is being removed which results in the decreased number of E1 streams, a reduction in the copper network and the respective extension of the fiber-optic network. MGTS also develops new services for IP TV, and MVNO as the convergent service for mobile and fixed telephony.

The total installed capacity of the telephone network reached 4.7 million numbers as of December 31, 2014.

Residential subscribers accounted for approximately 82.0% of MGTS' total lines, corporates for 10.4% and public sector subscribers for 7.46%, as of December 31, 2014.

MGTS holds licenses and regulatory approvals to provide, among others, the following services:

local telephony;

DLD/ILD voice telephony through licensed DLD/ILD operators, including us;

interconnect to other operators;

Internet and data transmission, including leased DLD/ILD services;

IP TV for B2C and B2B subscribers;

MVNO mobile telephony and Internet;



inquiry and information, including telephone directories;

use of payphones; and

numbering capacity provided to the subscribers of other communications operators through agency agreements concluded with such operators.

As the only licensed PSTN operator in Moscow, MGTS is considered a natural monopoly under Russian antimonopoly regulations. Consequently, substantial part of services provided by MGTS are

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

### Table of Contents

subject to governmental regulation. The Federal Tariff Service regulates MGTS' tariffs for voice telephony services provided to its PSTN subscribers, including monthly subscription fees, installation fees and local call charges. Operating revenues from regulated services are accounted for approximately 56% of service operating revenues of our Moscow fixed line operations in 2014, 59% in 2013 and 62% in 2012. The percentage decline is connected with gradual growth of operating revenues from non-regulated services as a proportion of the overall operating revenues in 2014 and 2013 as compared to 2012. The Federal Tariff Service sets the tariffs MGTS can charge taking into account cost of services, network investment and a certain profit margin, and the current tariffs fully compensate MGTS for the cost of services provided to residential and government subscribers. According to Russian legislation, MGTS is allowed to petition the Federal Tariff Service for tariff increases upon certain conditions, such as inflation or increases in the cost of services. Historically, MGTS has petitioned the relevant Russian government agency for tariff increases once or twice per year. The Federal Tariff Service has permitted MGTS to increase its tariffs several times.

MGTS also provides a number of unregulated services. According to Russian legislation, DLD/ILD services provided by licensed non-monopoly operators, public payphones, data transmission services, value-added services and a number of other services are not subject to tariff regulation. Among others, MGTS provides the following unregulated services:

various value-added services, including call forwarding, call waiting, call holding, caller ID, provision of second direct inward dialing (DID) number;

Internet access for residential subscribers and corporates;

IP TV for B2C and B2B subscribers;

MVNO mobile telephony and Internet; and

rent of space for telecommunications equipment of other operators connected to MGTS' network.

MGTS is not licensed to provide DLD/ILD communications services directly to its subscribers but must route such traffic through a licensed DLD/ILD operator. As a result, DLD/ILD traffic originated by MGTS subscribers is carried either by us, with these services included in MGTS' monthly bill, or by other providers of DLD/ILD services, who bill MGTS subscribers directly or pay MGTS an agency fee for processing their bills.

The following table presents certain operating data for our Moscow fixed line operations as of and for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2014.

<b>Moscow fixed line operations</b>	<b>December 31, 2013</b>	<b>December 31, 2014</b>
Installed telephone lines (000s)	4.944	4.733
<i>Residential</i>		
Number of subscribers (000s) <sup>(1)</sup>	3.492	3.403
CPP traffic (millions of minutes)	1.269	954
ARPU (RUB)	400	417
<i>Corporate<sup>(2)</sup></i>		
Number of active lines (000s)	776	746
Number of subscribers (000s)	63	61
CPP traffic (millions of minutes)	689	565
ARPU (RUB)	15.552	14.553

(1) We calculate our subscribers based on the number of active lines in service. A line is considered "active" if the subscriber has used and paid for the service within the last six months.

(2)

Includes state-owned enterprises and government agencies.

Table of Contents

MGTS' subscriber segments and the services provided to each subscriber segment are further described below.

*Residential and corporate subscribers*

MGTS provides basic regulated voice services to residential and corporate subscribers using its PSTN facilities and copper or optical "last mile" access. Tariffs for these services are established by the Federal Tariff Service.

In addition to basic voice services, MGTS provides its residential and corporate subscribers with digital telecommunications services, Internet, IP TV, MVNO mobile telephony and Internet and VPN deployment services, rental of high-speed communication channels, intelligent voice and various other services.

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

### Table of Contents

The following table illustrates MGTS' regulated tariff development in the period from January 1, 2011, to March 1, 2015:

MGTS Regulated Tariffs	March 1, 2011	March 1, 2012	March 1, 2013	March 1, 2014	March 1, 2015
<i>Residential<sup>(1)</sup></i>					
Line rental					
RUB per month	175	190	205	205	205
Per minute tariff plan local connection fee					
RUB per minute	0.40	0.44	0.48	0.54	0.54
Unlimited tariff plan connection fee (unlimited connection)					
RUB per month	260	266	276	282	282
Combined tariff plan fee for fixed amount of minutes <sup>(2)</sup>					
RUB per month	152	172	184	205	205
Combined tariff plan fee for each additional minute					
RUB per minute	0.38	0.42	0.46	0.52	0.52
<i>Corporate (non-governmental)<sup>(1)</sup></i>					
Line rental (USD per month)					
RUB per month	195	205	220	220	220
Per minute tariff plan local connection fee					
RUB per minute	0.40	0.44	0.48	0.54	0.54
Unlimited tariff plan connection fee (unlimited connection)					
RUB per month	365	375	385	391	391
Combined tariff plan fee for fixed amount of minutes <sup>(2)</sup>					
RUB per month	152	172	184	205	205
Combined tariff plan fee for each additional minute					
RUB per minute	0.38	0.42	0.46	0.52	0.52
<i>Corporate (governmental and state-funded organizations)<sup>(1)</sup></i>					
Line rental					
RUB per month	180	200	215	215	215
Per minute tariff plan local connection fee					
RUB per minute	0.40	0.44	0.48	0.54	0.54
Unlimited tariff plan connection fee (unlimited connection)					
RUB per month	365	375	385	391	391
Combined tariff plan fee for fixed amount of minutes <sup>(2)</sup>					
RUB per month	152	172	184	205	205
Combined tariff plan fee for each additional minute					
RUB per minute	0.38	0.42	0.46	0.52	0.52

(1) Tariffs for residential subscribers are shown including VAT; tariffs for non-governmental corporate subscribers and governmental/state-funded organizations are shown excluding VAT.

(2) From February 1, 2007, until February 1, 2010, this plan included 450 minutes per month; from February 1, 2010, until March 1, 2015, this plan included 400 minutes per month.

Table of Contents*Operators*

MGTS provides interconnect, traffic transmission and leased line services to other communications operators. Interconnect is carried out on the local and zonal levels in accordance with terms and conditions that are publicly disclosed. MGTS also provides additional services to operators interconnecting to MGTS' network, including access to emergency service, information and customer care numbers.

MGTS has also established an active presence in the data transmission market. Through its PDTN, MGTS can establish VPNs for other operators as well as provide other data network services. Operators can also rent space and utility systems from MGTS to house their network equipment.

*Customers and Services Offered Other Fixed Line Operations*

We provide fixed line communications services to corporate, operator and residential subscribers in over 185 cities throughout Russia. Specifically, we offer local voice, DLD/ILD voice, data and Internet and pay-TV services to our subscribers. Some of the interconnect tariffs we charge other telecommunications operators for in Moscow and certain other cities are regulated by the Russian government. We believe our fixed line subscribers typically evaluate our service and product offerings based on such factors as price, technology, security, reliability and customer service.

The following table presents certain operating data for our other fixed line operations in Russia as of and for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2014.

<b>Other fixed line operations</b>	<b>December 31, 2013</b>	<b>December 31, 2014</b>
<i>Residential</i>		
Number of subscribers (000s) <sup>(1)</sup>	4,071	3,658
ARPU (RUB)	232	251
<i>Corporate<sup>(2)</sup></i>		
Number of subscribers (000s)	123	134
ARPU (RUB)	5,866	5,153

(1) Subscribers to broadband Internet, pay-TV, Wi- Max, voice and other services. We calculate our subscribers based on the number of active lines in service. A line is considered "active" if the subscriber has used and paid for the service within the last six months.

(2) Includes state-owned enterprises and government agencies.

*Corporate subscribers*

We target corporate subscribers covering a range of industries, such as business centers, hotels, financial institutions, professional services firms, consumer goods companies, manufacturers and companies involved in extractive industries, among others. These subscribers vary in size, ranging from large multinational and Russian corporations with thousands of employees to small-and medium-sized enterprises with up to several hundred employees. As of December 31, 2014, we had approximately 50,000 voice and 68,000 Internet corporate subscribers.

As further described below, we offer voice, data transmission and Internet and various value-added services to our corporate subscribers.

Voice Services. We provide a full range of other fixed line voice services to corporates in Moscow, the Moscow region and other selected regions of Russia, which include local, zonal, and DLD/ILD services using our transmission network and leased capacity between major Russian cities. We also provide integrated voice and data services, voice over frame relay and certain integrated services digital network ("ISDN") services.

Table of Contents

Data Transmission and Internet Services. We offer high quality data transmission services to corporates, which allow for data exchange between their various branches or offices located within Russia and internationally. For data transmission services, our network is capable of transferring data at speeds of up to 10 Gbps and utilizes various technologies, such as 10 GE, GE, ATM, TDM, VPN-MPLS/VPLS, GPON, Microwave radio relay (MRR), xDSL, LTE and Wi-Fi to provide high quality solutions at a relatively low cost. We endeavor to ensure the reliability of network connections by utilizing a full reservation approach to back up all elements of the network.

In addition, we offer a wide range of Internet services to corporates, including broadband Internet access, VoIP, VPNs and data center services using the following technologies: (1) NGN (up to 10 Gbps), (2) GPON (up to 1 Gbps), (3) xDSL (up to 60 Mbps), (4) radio Ethernet (up to 300 Mbps), (5) MRR (up to 1 Gbps), (6) Wi-Fi (up to 54 Mbps), and (7) LTE (up to 100 Mbps). We also provide continuous flexibility to upgrade their network capacity to handle additional Internet services. For example, we often integrate data transmission and Internet services for our clients as they expand their operations and need to interconnect and exchange data with newly opened offices and/or branches.

We offer a broad range of Internet packages that vary in terms of data transfer speeds and pricing, with higher tariffs for faster uploading and downloading capabilities. Corporates with GPON broadband Internet packages generally experience data transfer speeds between 1 Mbps and 1 Gbps. In addition, we offer a premium broadband Internet service over our NGN in which subscribers enjoy data transfer speeds between 61 Mbps and 10 Gbps. The NGN provides subscribers with the benefit of the same uploading and downloading data transfer speeds, whereas Internet subscribers using an xDSL/GPON connection upload at speeds that are much slower than the one at which they can download.

We also utilize MGTS' PDTN to provide high-speed reliable Internet services and create VPNs for our corporates.

Leased Channels. We provide corporate clients with the ability to rent high speed data channels. These "leased channels" are dedicated lines of data transmission.

Value-Added Services. We provide corporates with several value-added services, including Autosekretar and integrated solutions. The Autosekretar service is based on our proprietary IN and is designed to help our corporates manage the reception and servicing of a large volume of incoming calls. The unique multi-channel telephone number assigned to customers will not change even if the customer moves to a different location in Moscow, and does not require the customer to install any equipment. In addition, this service allows all incoming calls to be transferred to other fixed or mobile telephone numbers in Russia or in other countries. The IN identifies a subscriber by phone number, phone card or password, which allows our customers to bill their subscribers for services and, if necessary, block access for subscribers who have a negative balance on their account.

In addition, we serve as general contractor for the provision of a full range of integrated solutions to subscribers wishing to establish a modern integrated communications infrastructure. Each solution is customized for subscriber-specific needs. In developing these customized networks, we are able to offer the following range of services: site survey, cost analysis and optimum project planning, assistance with government-related documentation, supply of equipment and operational, technical and maintenance support on an ongoing basis. Once the infrastructure is established or renovated, as the case may be, we typically provide digital voice communications, voice intelligent services, high-speed Internet services, videoconferencing and other data transmission services. We intend to expand our service offerings to include customer premises management and network-centric IT solutions.

Fixed mobile convergence. Based on our fixed and mobile networks, we offer fixed-to-mobile convergence services to corporate clients providing use of their mobile phone as an extension of their private branch exchange ("PBX"). We also provide access to corporate IP-networks from a mobile phone via GPRS/EDGE/3G/4G.

Equipment Sales. We offer and sell equipment manufactured by different manufacturers.

Table of Contents

*Operators*

We are the largest mobile operator in Russia in terms of subscribers, according to AC&M-Consulting. We also operate fixed-line local and zonal networks in Moscow and other cities for provision of telephony services to fixed-line subscribers and additional local numbers to mobile subscribers. In order to lower the costs of intercity and international traffic transition, we put into operation an intercity international network in December 2008.

According to Direct INFO, together with MGTS, we had approximately 78% of the total active numbering capacity in Moscow as of December 31, 2014. We now have approximately 251 local fixed networks in 63 regions of Russia, including Moscow, and 41 zonal fixed networks to provide telephony services to subscribers. Our integrated intercity/international network is interconnected to more than 45 international operators. As of December 31, 2014, we had more than 1400 interconnect agreements with national and international operators for interconnection of our fixed networks.

*Residential subscribers*

We offer voice, Internet and pay-TV services to residential subscribers.

Voice Services. We provide voice services to residential and corporate subscribers. Like corporate subscribers, residential subscribers in each of the regions that we are present seek a full range of high quality voice services equivalent to those provided in Western Europe. In addition to "basic" voice telephony services, we provide a number of additional services, such as call forwarding, call transferring, call waiting, conference, voicemail and Caller ID, among others.

Internet Services. We offer broadband Internet services to residential subscribers throughout Russia. As of December 31, 2014, we had 9% market share in the Russian Federation together with Moscow where we had a 29% share, based on TMT consulting data. Depending on the Internet connection speed, we charge residential subscribers a subscription fee of RUB 300-RUB 2,500 (\$5.3- \$44.4) per month in Moscow and a subscription fee of RUB 300-RUB 3,000 (\$5.3-\$53.3) in other regions of Russia. We do not charge a connection fee in Moscow and in most of the Russian regions.

Pay-TV. We operate a TV service based on IPTV service over ADSL and GPON technologies in Moscow. In addition, we offer pay-TV services based on DVB-C (digital television via cable connection), analog cable transmission and MMDS (wireless cable) technologies in most of the regions in which we are present. Since November 2013, we connect our subscribers only to the TV with digital quality. Special auxiliary equipment (set-top box) allows pay-TV subscribers to access more than 180 channels of digital quality, including 32 channels of HD quality from a home television. International and Russian channels are included as part of the base services package. As of December 31, 2014, we had approximately 235.6 thousand pay-TV subscribers in Moscow and approximately 2.4 million subscribers in other regions of Russia.

Our pricing structure is designed to appeal to large numbers of consumers with various interests and purchasing power, and varies significantly between regions. We charge a subscription fee of RUB 99-RUB 1341 (\$1.8- \$23.8) per month in Moscow and a subscription fee of up to RUB 100-RUB 400 (\$1.8- \$7.1) in other regions of Russia, depending on the number of channels included in the package. We also offer bundled Internet and pay-TV services for RUB 450-RUB 2,500 (\$8.0- \$44.4) per month in Moscow and RUB 450-RUB 3,000 (\$8.0- \$53.3) in certain other regions of Russia, depending on the speed of the Internet connection, the number of pay-TV channels being provided and level of competition in a particular region.



Table of Contents

***Sales and Marketing***

*Moscow fixed line operations*

As the incumbent PSTN, MGTS has not invested significantly in sales and marketing. In 2013 MGTS continued realization of its long-term modernization program on GPON, therefore, the biggest part of advertising budget was spent on convergent products promotion like Double and Triple Play (an offer bundling two and three services) with the use of GPON technology. GPON allows us to provide higher quality services than our competitors and to increase the number of our subscribers and revenue from Internet and pay-TV services in Moscow. By the end of 2014, 1.1 million subscribers were transferred to GPON.

*Other fixed line operations*

Our target customers include corporate, operator and residential subscribers.

To promote our product and service offerings, we use various communication channels for advertising and marketing, including direct marketing, printed mass media, television, Internet, radio, directories, outdoor advertising, advertising in the subway, special promotions and cross promotions. Through these various advertising and marketing channels, we intend to further develop our brand recognition. Our marketing strategy is designed to create a unified brand for each of our various product and service offerings with the aim of becoming a single source for all of our subscribers' communications needs.

We also actively promote our services to existing subscribers with special bundled product offerings aimed at servicing their communication requirements and enhancing subscriber loyalty. Our advertising and marketing materials are aimed primarily at the promotion of MTS brand. All fixed-line products are offered and marketed under this brand. However, when we enter new markets and acquire existing companies, we have to use both brands in advertising MTS brand and the acquired brand. This is done to decrease churn as customers tend to express strong loyalty towards local brands. We then gradually decrease presence of the acquired brand and this allows us to make MTS a market leader in a given region in future. Our advertising and marketing efforts are designed to convey a positive image of us to the market as a leading communications operator focused on customer satisfaction.

***Competition***

We compete with a number of fixed line telecommunications operators servicing Moscow, St. Petersburg and other major Russian cities. Moscow is the largest and most competitive of these markets. Our primary competitors include:

*Vimpelcom*, which is also one of our primary competitors in the Russian mobile communications market, offers voice, data and Internet services to corporates, operators and residential subscribers in major cities throughout Russia, Ukraine, Kazakhstan and Uzbekistan. We compete with Vimpelcom in the corporate, operator and residential fixed line telecommunications markets in Moscow and in certain other regions of Russia where we are present, including, among others, St. Petersburg, Rostov, Nizhny Novgorod, Ekaterinburg and Krasnodar.

*Rostelecom*, Russia's largest national fixed line telecommunications operator with presence in all Russian regions. We compete with Rostelecom in the corporate, operator and residential fixed line telecommunications markets in all regions where we operate in Russia. We also compete with Rostelecom in the mobile telecommunications market.

*Akado Group*, provider of pay-TV, broadband Internet and digital telephony in Moscow. We compete with the Akado Group primarily in the residential fixed line telecommunications markets in Moscow and Ekaterinburg.

Table of Contents

*MegaFon*, which acquired operators Synterra and Net-by-Net, and offers services in the operator, corporate and residential fixed line telecommunications markets in Moscow, St.-Petersburg, and other regions.

*Er-Telecom*, voice telephony, broadband and TV operator. We compete with Er-Telecom in the corporate and residential fixed line telecommunications market in St.-Petersburg, Novosibirsk, Omsk, N.Novgorod, Ekaterinburg, Kazan, Novosibirsk, Chelyabinsk and other regions.

*Corporate subscribers*

The following table sets forth the corporate subscriber market shares of the primary fixed line operators in Moscow as of December 31, 2014:

MTS	7%
MGTS	15%
Vimpelcom	22%
MegaFon (Synterra)	6%
Orange	4%
Akado	9%
Rostelecom (incl. RTCOMM)	16%
Other	21%
<b>Total</b>	<b>100%</b>

---

Source: Direct INFO.

In the corporate subscriber segment, we generally compete on the basis of network quality, individual and bundled service offerings, customer service, installation time, geographical presence and pricing.

*Residential subscribers**Voice services*

The following table sets forth the market shares of the primary fixed line operators for voice services in Russia as of December 31, 2014:

Company	Russia
MTS	13%
Rostelecom	75%
Other	12%
<b>Total</b>	<b>100%</b>

---

Source: Direct INFO.

As Moscow's only PSTN operator, MGTS faces limited competition in the market for residential local telephony services in Moscow. As of December 31, 2014, MGTS provided local voice telephony services for approximately 95% of all residential subscribers in Moscow, according to Direct INFO.

In the other voice services market, we generally compete based on the availability of bundled packages comprising broadband Internet access and pay-TV services, value-added services, network quality, installation time and customer service.



Table of Contents*Internet*

According to Direct INFO, as of December 31, 2014, broadband Internet penetration of households was 55% in Russia. The following table sets forth the market shares of the primary operators in the residential broadband Internet market in Russia as of December 31, 2014:

<b>Company</b>	<b>Russia</b>
MTS	9%
TTK	5%
Vimpelcom	8%
Er-Telecom	9%
Rostelecom (including OJSC «National Cable Networks»)	36%
Other	33%
<b>Total</b>	<b>100%</b>

---

Source: Direct INFO.

*Pay-TV*

According to Direct INFO, as of December 31, 2014, pay-TV penetration was 72% in Russia. The following table sets forth the market shares of the primary operators in the TV market in Russia as of December 31, 2014:

<b>Company</b>	<b>Russia</b>
MTS	8%
Akado	3%
Rostelecom	21%
Tricolor TV	29%
Vimpelcom	2%
Er-Telecom	7%
Other	30%
<b>Total</b>	<b>100%</b>

---

Source: Direct INFO.

In the TV market, we generally compete on the basis of pricing, channel selection and content, individual and bundled service offerings, customer service and installation time.

*Tariffs*

We establish prices for our unregulated services and different subscriber segments based on certain common considerations, policies and goals. For example, we generally seek to establish competitive prices based on market rates for the services we offer and below market prices when our lower-than-average costs or economies of scale allow us to do so. We also offer subscribers bundled service packages with several services offered together at a discount to the cost of ordering each individual service separately and to promote additional services to our existing subscribers. In addition, we often offer promotions to our various subscriber segments waiving or discounting installation fees in order to attract new subscribers or promote new services.

With regard to corporates, we generally aim to derive the bulk of our operating revenues from monthly payments. Thus, depending on the scale and type of services ordered, we will often discount or waive installation fees.

Table of Contents

For services offered to other communications service providers, we aim to generate most of our operating revenues from monthly payments and by offering an array of value-added services.

We develop tariffs for service offerings to residential subscribers with the aim of attracting new subscribers, as well as expanding the services used by existing subscribers in order to generate higher ARPU.

***Network Infrastructure***

*The transport network*

As a result of our acquisitions of Comstar and Evrotel, we became one of the largest operators of the Internet long-haul backbone networks in Russia. We continue to develop our long-haul backbone network through the build-out of a fiber optic infrastructure, based on 100G technology, and acquisitions of other Internet backbone service providers. We currently have a fiber optic network of approximately 160,000 km, which also allows us to operate an optical transport network using dense wavelength division multiplexing technology.

In addition, we have our own IP MPLS network, which is capable of providing Internet and L2/L3 VPN services, as well as deliver other media products, such as digital television and internet protocol television, to regional networks for the use in our fixed line and mobile operations, as well as for our wholesale customers. Our IP MPLS backbone network covers most of Russia and Ukraine and is present in most of the European and U.S. Internet exchange points, such as DE-CIX in Frankfurt, NETNOD in Stockholm, AMS-IX in Amsterdam, PARIX in Paris, LINX in London, Equinix in Ashburn and New York, NIIX in New York and Any2 in Los-Angeles. In 2011, we also established connection to FICIX in Helsinki. More than 75% of our international Internet traffic is delivered through settlement-free peerings with other large networks. The remaining international Internet traffic is delivered through direct connections with certain of the largest networks. All internet traffic in Russia is delivered through settlement-free peering with the largest ISPs in Russia.

*Other fixed line operations*

The network infrastructure we maintain in Moscow is substantially different to the infrastructures we use in the regions. In Moscow, we have primarily grown organically, while our regional development has largely been through the acquisition of companies with different business models and a focus on different services. As a result, the network infrastructures in the regions outside Moscow and the technologies used to support such infrastructures are different from the network infrastructure established in Moscow and which we currently own.

*MTS Telephone network*

The Moscow telephone network consists of 318 switching nodes (278 TDM switches and 40 soft switches) with total capacity of over 1,000,000 subscribers.

All of our PSTN switching centers are connected to a digital transport network, which uses SDH technology and covers the entire territory of Moscow and most of the Moscow region. The network ensures the functioning of our digital ATSS and their connectivity with analog and digital equipment of PSTNs of other operators. The digital transport network includes a trunk core STM-64, with connected half-rings STM-16 and STM-4. Multiplexers of access level are connected to trunk nodes by means of fiber-optic lines that organize streams STM-4 and STM-1. There are 1,000 multiplexers. The management of the transport network and digital ATSS is carried out remotely from network operation centers.

For the provision of Internet access, IP-telephony and other services, we have our own IP MPLS network, the core of which is constructed as IP MPLS rings with routers connected to each other by

Table of Contents

means of 10 GE channels. In addition, separate routers are used for inter-carrier connections and are connected to the core routers by means of 10 GE interfaces.

As of December 31, 2013, our wireless broadband network in Moscow and the Moscow region included 60 base stations in the 5 GHz frequency band. Our radio-relay communication lines included 25 links and it also had 115 Internet hot-spots using Wi-Fi technology as of December 31, 2014.

*Russian regions*

As of December 31, 2014, outside of Moscow and the Moscow region, we provide cable Internet access to 7.9 million households and cable TV access 8.7 million households. Among the access equipment used are Ethernet switches, IP DSLAM and Optical Receivers. We mainly use FTTB technology for internet and CATV access, which can provide speeds up to 1 Gb/s per building and about 250 channel CATV (analog and digital). In 2011, we started to roll-out DVB-C technology for cable TV service. Currently, we have digital TV service (DVB-C) in more than 100 cities with more than 1.2 million of subscribers. In 2014, we started to roll-out a hybrid TV service (DVBC+IP/VOD, CatchUp). In the beginning of 2015, we started Satellite TV project based on hybrid TV solution. In 2015, we also plan to roll-out pure OTT solution for STB, connected TV, browsers and mobile devices

In Moscow and regions as an Internet traffic supplier, we mainly use MTS own IP Backbone network described in the "transport network" section.

The acquisition of Comstar provided us with an opportunity to use MTS fiber optic lines for fixed network development. Optical network construction in cities is carried out on the basis of fixed and mobile business needs. When we modernize and construct new networks, we deploy fixed and mobile equipment on the basis of "collocation" method.

*Principal suppliers*

Our principal suppliers are Ericsson, NVision Group, our related party, Cisco Systems, Huawei, Nokia Solutions and Networks for switching equipment; ECI Telecom, Tellabs and Alcatel Lucent for transport network equipment; Cisco Systems, Huawei and Alcatel Lucent for Internet and data network equipment; Secure Media for crypto-protection conditional access software; and Tandberg TV (Ericsson), Irdeto for broadcasting equipment. All of our equipment is supplied directly through authorized dealers.

**Seasonality**

Our results of operations are impacted by certain seasonal trends. Generally, revenue is higher during the second and third quarter due to increased mobile phone use by subscribers who travel in the summer from urban areas to more rural areas where fixed line penetration is relatively low, as well as an increase in roaming revenues and guest roaming revenues during these quarters. Quarterly trends can also be influenced by a number of factors, including new marketing campaigns and promotions, and may not be consistent from year to year.

**Regulation of Telecommunications in the Russian Federation and Ukraine**

**Regulation in the Russian Federation**

In the Russian Federation, the federal government regulates telecommunications services. The principal law regulating telecommunications in the Russian Federation is the Federal Law on Communications, which provides, among other elements, for the following:

licensing of telecommunications services;

requirements for obtaining a radio frequency allocation;

Table of Contents

equipment certification;

equal rights for individuals and legal entities, including foreign individuals and legal entities, to offer telecommunications services;

fair competition;

freedom of pricing other than pricing by companies with a substantial position in public telecommunication networks; and

liability for violations of Russian legislation on telecommunications.

The Federal Law on Communications came into force on January 1, 2004, and replaced the law of 1995 regulating the same subject matter. The Federal Law on Communications creates a framework in which government authorities may enact specific regulations. Regulations enacted under the legislative framework in place prior to the enactment of the Federal Law on Communications continue to be applied to the extent they do not conflict with the Federal Law on Communications. The lack of interpretive guidance from the regulatory authorities regarding the new regulations and the uncertainty surrounding their compatibility with the regulations still in effect impedes our ability to assess effectively the full impact of the new regulations under the Federal Law on Communications on our business.

The Federal Law on Communications, which confers broad powers to the state to regulate the communications industry, including the allocation of frequencies, the establishment of fees for frequency use and the allocation and revocation of numbering capacity, significantly modifies the system of government regulation of the provision of communications services in Russia. In particular, licenses to provide communications services in territories where frequency and numbering capacity are limited may be issued only on the basis of a tender, whereas according to the Government Decree No. 480 dated May 24, 2014, licenses to provide communication services with frequency spectrum only on auction basis. In addition, the Federal Law on Communications provides for the establishment of a "universal services reserve fund" which is funded by a levy imposed on all operators of public networks, including us.

***Regulatory Authorities***

The Russian telecommunications industry is regulated by several governmental agencies. These agencies form a complex, multi-tier system of regulation that resulted, in part, from the implementation of the Federal Law on Communications, as well as from the large-scale restructuring of the Russian government in March 2004 and subsequent restructuring in May 2008. The system of regulation is still evolving and further changes are expected. See also "Item 3. Key Information D. Risk Factors Risks Relating to Our Countries of Operation Political and Social Risks Political and governmental instability in Russia and other countries of our operations could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects and the value of our shares and ADSs."

*The Ministry of Communications and Mass Media* is the federal executive body that develops and supervises the implementation of governmental policy in the area of communications and coordinates and controls the activities of its subordinate agencies. The Ministry has the authority to issue certain regulations implementing the federal law on communications and other federal laws.

*The Federal Service for Supervision of Communications, Information Technologies and Mass Media* is a federal executive body that supervises and controls certain areas of communications and information technologies, including:

the issuance of licenses and permissions in the area of communications and information technologies;

Table of Contents

the registration of radio-electronic and high-frequency equipment;

the assignment of radio frequencies based on decisions taken by the State Radio Frequencies Commission and registration of such assignments;

the technical supervision of networks and network equipment throughout Russia;

the monitoring of compliance by network operators with applicable regulations, terms of their licenses and terms of the use of frequencies allocated and assigned to them;

the enforcement of equipment certification requirements;

the examination of electromagnetic compatibility of equipment with existing civil radio-electronic equipment;

the organization of tenders with respect to licenses in the sphere of communications;

the control of activity in processing of personal data; and

creation, building and maintenance of a unified automated information system Unified Register of Domain Names, Internet Website Page Locators and Network Addresses which Allow to Identify Internet Websites Containing Information Prohibited for Distribution in the Russian Federation.

*The Federal Communications Agency* is a federal executive body that implements governmental policy, manages state property and provides public services in the area of communications, including the allocation of numbering capacity and the certification of equipment for compliance with technical requirements.

*The State Radio Frequencies Commission* is an inter-agency coordination body acting under the Ministry of Communications and Mass Media which is responsible for the regulation of the radio frequency spectrum, develops long-term policy for frequency allocation in the Russian Federation and decides on the allocation of frequency bands.

*FAS* is a federal executive body that supervises competition regulations and enforces the Federal Law on Protection of Competition and the Federal Law on Natural Monopolies and the regulations enacted thereunder. *FAS* controls certain activity of natural monopolies, including monitoring their execution of certain obligatory contracts, and can issue mandatory orders as provided for in the Federal Law on Natural Monopolies.

*Other regulatory authorities.* In addition, the Federal Tariff Service regulates certain tariffs in the sphere of telecommunications, including the tariffs on the local and DLD calls by subscribers of public switched telephone networks and installation and subscription fees. The Federal Service for the Oversight of Consumer Protection and Welfare is responsible for the enforcement of sanitary regulations, including some authority over the location of telecommunications equipment, and supervises the compliance of companies with the regulations relating to the protection of consumer rights. The Federal Service for State Registration, Cadastre and Cartography is responsible for registering certain telecommunications infrastructure that is considered real property in accordance with Government Decree No. 68 dated February 11, 2005. The Federal Service for Financial Monitoring (*Rosfinmonitoring*) is a federal executive body responsible for countering money laundering and terrorism financing. Mobile operators are to comply with Federal Law No. 115-FZ dated August 7, 2001 "On combating money laundering and terrorist financing."

***Licensing of Telecommunications Services and Radio Frequency Allocation***



Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

Telecommunications licenses are issued based on the Federal Law on Communications and Government Decree No. 480 dated May 24, 2014 on Bidding Process (Auctions, Tenders) for Receipt

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

### Table of Contents

of Telecommunications License. Under these regulations, licenses may be issued and renewed for periods ranging from three to twenty-five years. Several different licenses to conduct different communication services may be issued to one entity. Provided the licensee has conducted its activities in accordance with the applicable law and terms of the license, renewals may be obtained upon application to the Federal Service for Supervision of Communications, Information Technologies and Mass Media. Officials of the Federal Service for Supervision of Communications, Information Technologies and Mass Media have broad discretion with respect to both issuance and renewal procedures.

A company must complete a multi-stage process before the commercial launch of its communications network. A company must:

receive a license from the Federal Service for Supervision of Communications, Information Technologies and Mass Media to provide communications services;

obtain approval to use specific frequencies within the specified band from the State Radio Frequencies Commission if providing wireless telecommunications services; and

obtain permission from the Federal Service for Supervision of Communications, Information Technologies and Mass Media for network operations. To receive this permission, a wireless telecommunications services provider must develop a frequency assignment and site plan, which is then reviewed and certified by the Federal Service for Supervision of Communications, Information Technologies and Mass Media for electromagnetic compatibility of the proposed cellular network with other radio equipment operating in the license area. The Federal Service for Supervision of Communications, Information Technologies and Mass Media has discretion to modify this plan, if necessary, to ensure such compatibility. Effective January 1, 2004, licenses may be transferred in case of mergers or other reorganizations of the licensee upon application by a transferee as a new license holder. Additionally, the Ministry of Communications and Mass Media has declared that agreements on the provision of telecommunications services must be concluded and performed by the license holder.

If the terms of a license are not fulfilled or the service provider violates applicable legislation, the license may be suspended or terminated. Licenses may be suspended for various reasons, including:

detection of violations which may cause damage to rights, interests, life or health of individuals or to interests of government administration including, but not limited to, presidential and government telecommunication networks, defense, security and protection of legal order in the Russian Federation;

annulment of a frequency allocation if it results in the inability to render communications services;

failure in a timely requirements of the licensing authority, which commits to eliminate detected violations, including provisions that had been granted under reprimand to suspend the license.

In addition, licenses may be terminated for various reasons by a court, including:

failure to remedy in a timely manner a violation that led to the suspension of the license;

provision of inaccurate information in documents on the basis of which a license was issued; and

failure to fulfill obligations undertaken in the process of a tender or auction.

The license may also be terminated by the Federal Service for Supervision of Communications, Information Technologies and Mass Media in a number of cases, including liquidation of a license holder. A suspension or termination of a license may be appealed in court.



Table of Contents

Frequencies are allocated for a maximum term of ten years, which may be extended upon the application of a frequency user. Under the Federal Law on Communications, frequency allocations may be changed for purposes of state management, defense, security and protection of legal order in the Russian Federation with the license holder to be compensated for related losses. Further, frequency allocations may be suspended or terminated for a number of reasons, including failure to comply with the conditions on which the frequency was allocated.

The following one-time license fee is payable irrespective of the number of regions covered by the license: RUB 6,000 (equivalent to \$90 as of December 31, 2014) for services involving, among other things, the use of a frequency spectrum and the lease of communication channels. The license fee for a license received through a tender or auction is determined by the terms of such tender or auction.

In addition to licensing fees, a government decree enacted on June 2, 1998, required payment of fees for the use of radio frequencies for cellular telephone services. The payment procedure was established by a government decree enacted on August 6, 1998, which required that all wireless telecommunications services operators pay an annual fee set by the State Radio Frequencies Commission and approved by FAS for the use of their frequency spectrums. On January 1, 2012, a new Government Decree No. 171 dated March 16, 2011 came into force which provides that fees for the use of radio frequency spectrums consist of a one-time fee and an annual fee. The fees are determined according to the methodology approved by the Ministry of Communications and Mass Media.

Furthermore, the Federal Law on Communications provides for the establishment of a "universal services reserve fund" for the purpose of supporting communications companies operating in less developed regions of Russia through the financing, construction and maintenance of telecommunications networks in low-profit and unprofitable sectors. This reserve fund is aimed at eliminating the practice of cross-subsidies by compensating operators for certain mandatory, loss-making local services in rural and sparsely populated areas. It is funded by a levy imposed on all operators of public networks, including us, in the amount of 1.2% of revenues from telecommunications services less the amount of taxes paid by subscribers. The universal service fund concept has been used in some developed countries and in Eastern Europe.

The Federal Law on Communications empowers the Russian government to determine and annually review the list of licensing requirements applicable to various communication services being licensed. The list of licensing requirements was enacted by Government Decree No. 87 dated February 18, 2005, as amended. Licenses also generally contain a number of other detailed conditions, including a date by which service must begin, technical standards and certain other terms and conditions. We have either commenced service by the applicable deadline or received an extension of the applicable deadline for all of our licenses.

***Equipment Certification***

Government Decree No. 532 adopted on June 25, 2009, sets forth the types of communications equipment that is subject to mandatory certification. Communications equipment must be certified, or its compliance with the established requirements must be declared and proven in the interconnected communications network of the Russian Federation, which includes all fixed line and wireless networks open to the public. All our networks must be certified. The Federal Communications Agency issues certificates of compliance with technical requirements to equipment suppliers based on the Agency's internal review. In addition, a Presidential decree requires that licenses and equipment certifications should be obtained from the Federal Security Service to design, produce, sell, use or import encryption devices. Some commonly used digital cellular telephones are designed with encryption capabilities and must be certified by the Federal Security Service.

Further, certain high-frequency equipment, a list of which was approved by Government Decree No. 539 dated October 12, 2004 (as amended), manufactured or used in the Russian Federation,

Table of Contents

requires special permission from the Federal Service for Supervision of Communications, Information Technologies and Mass Media . Failure to receive such certification could result in the mandatory cessation of the use of such equipment. In accordance to Government Decree No. 1252 dated November 27, 2014, the equipment can be shared by operators according to their agreement and certificate of the Federal Service for Supervision of Communications, Information Technologies and Mass Media.

***Competition, Interconnect and Pricing***

The Federal Law on Communications requires federal regulatory agencies to encourage competition in the provision of communication services and prohibits the abuse of a dominant position to limit competition. The Federal Law on Communications provides that telecommunications tariffs may be regulated in cases provided for by legislation. The Federal Law on Communications and Presidential Decree No. 221, enacted on February 28, 1995, as amended, on Measures for Streamlining State Regulation of Prices (Tariffs) allow for regulation of tariffs and other commercial activities of telecommunications companies that are "natural monopolies." Government Decree No. 637, dated October 24, 2005, authorized the Federal Tariff Service to set the following tariffs for the natural monopolies in the communications market, including:

provision of access to a local telephone network;

permanent use of a subscriber's line; and

local, intra-zone and DLD calls.

In addition, the Federal Law on Natural Monopolies No. 147 dated August 17, 1995 establishes the legal basis for federal regulation of natural monopolies, including those in the communications market, and provides for governmental control over tariffs and certain activities of the natural monopolies. The Federal Law on Natural Monopolies outlines the types of transactions for which a regulated entity must obtain prior FAS approval and establishes the general principle that regulated entities may not refuse to provide regulated services to certain types of consumers. Regulated entities are also subject to continuous reporting requirements, including submitting plans for capital investments.

The Federal Tariff Service maintains a Register of Natural Monopolies whose tariffs are controlled and regulated by the state. A telecommunications operator may be included in this register upon a decision by the Federal Tariff Service based on the Service's analysis of the operator's activities and the market conditions.

Our subsidiary, MGTS, was added to the Register of Natural Monopolies in 2000. In addition, our subsidiary Comstar-regions was added to the Register of Natural Monopolies in 2009. As a result, MGTS and Comstar-regions are subject to the requirements of the Federal Law on Natural Monopolies including, *inter alia*, the following:

the Federal Tariff Service regulates and controls tariffs for services provided by MGTS, including installation fees, monthly subscription fees (for subscribers to the unlimited tariff plan) and local call charges (for subscribers who do not use the unlimited tariff plan), as well as interconnect and traffic transit tariffs;

MGTS and Comstar-regions must obtain prior FAS approval for any transaction involving the acquisition, disposal or lease of assets not related to the regulated activity, if the value of such assets exceeds 10% of MGTS' or Comstar-regions' share capital, additional capital, retained profits and reserves;

MGTS and Comstar-regions are required to maintain separate accounting records for each type of activity they carry out; and

Table of Contents

MGTS and Comstar-regions are required to publicly disclose information on their tariffs, products, material conditions of their contracts with customers, capital expenditure programs and certain other information.

MGTS and Comstar-regions are to comply with Federal law No. 223-FZ dated July 18, 2011 "On Procurement of Goods, Works, Services by Certain Types of Legal Entities" while procurement of goods and services.

In addition, FAS is authorized by law to maintain a register of companies holding a market share in excess of 35%. Companies included in this register may become subject to certain restrictions in conducting their business, including in relation to pricing, acquisitions, geographical expansion, and associations and agreements with competitors. We are categorized by FAS as a company with a market share exceeding 35% in Ivanovo region, Magadan region, Kurgan region, Sakhalin region, Udmurt Republic and Nenets Autonomous region. See also "Item 3. Key Information D. Risk Factors Risks Relating to Our Business If we are found to have a dominant position in the markets where we operate, the government may regulate our subscriber tariffs and restrict our operations."

The Federal Law on Communications also provides for the special regulation of telecommunications operators occupying a "substantial position," *i.e.*, operators which together with their affiliates have, in the Russian Federation generally or in a geographically defined specific numerical zone, 25% or more of installed capacity or capacity to carry out transmission of not less than 25% of traffic. Comstar-UTS and MGTS were added to the register of telecommunications operators occupying a substantial position in 2005 and 2006, respectively. After the exclusion of Comstar-UTS from the register in February 18, 2013 MGTS is subject to the requirements of the Federal Law on Communications relating to operators occupying a substantial position in the public switched telephone networks including, *inter alia*, the following:

MGTS must develop interconnect rules and procedures in accordance with the requirements set forth by the federal government;

MGTS must ensure that interconnect agreements with operators who intend to interconnect to our networks are entered on the same terms and conditions as the agreements between MGTS, us and our affiliates; MGTS also cannot refuse to provide interconnect or discriminate against one operator over another; and

the Federal Service for Supervision of Communications, Information Technologies and Mass Media may monitor MGTS' interconnect terms and procedures and issue mandatory orders to the companies where non-compliance with the law is found.

The Federal Law on Communications and implementation rules adopted by Government Decrees No. 161 dated March 28, 2005, and No. 627 dated October 19, 2005, also provides for government regulation of interconnect tariffs established by operators occupying a substantial position. In addition, such operators, including MGTS, are required to develop standard interconnect contracts and publish them as a public offer for all operators who intend to use such interconnect services.

Notwithstanding the above, fixed line operators not considered to occupy a substantial position and not included in the Register of Natural Monopolies, as well as mobile operators, are free to set their own tariffs. Also see "Item 3. Key Information D. Risk Factors Risks Relating to Our Business If we or any of our mobile operator subsidiaries operating in Russia are identified as an operator occupying a "substantial position," the regulator may reduce our interconnect tariffs which, in turn, may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations."

Table of Contents

***Calling Party Pays***

In March 2006, the Federal Law on Communications was amended to incorporate a "calling party pays" scheme effective as of July 1, 2006. Prior to the implementation of the "calling party pays" principle, subscribers of fixed line operators could initiate calls to mobile phone users free of charge. Under the current system, fixed line operators charge their subscribers for such calls and transfer a percentage of the charge to mobile operators terminating such calls. The percentage transferred to mobile operators is regulated by the Federal Service for Supervision of Communications, Information Technologies and Mass Media and is known as the settlement rate. Any reduction of the settlement rate by the regulator could have a negative impact on our average monthly service revenues per subscriber and margins.

***New Communications Services rules and Mobile Number Portability***

On December 15, 2014, Government Decree No. 1342 concerning fixed and mobile services rules was adopted. This act, *inter alia*, has changed rules and conditions of MNP process (retaining telephone number after switching from one mobile operator to another) of certain types of legal entities and state customers. The period of switching numbers for mentioned subscribers was decreased and the procedure was simplified.

Introduction of renewed procedure on MNP in respect of particular legal entities and state customers is expected to affect the mobile services market in Russia and lead to intensification of competition.

Implementation of new regulations in respect of mobile and fixed voice services would impose additional responsibilities on the operators of mobile and fixed voice communications due to change in certain business processes.

**Regulation in Ukraine**

***Regulatory Authorities***

*Administration of State Service on Special Communications and Information Protection of Ukraine.* This body is responsible mainly for establishing and overseeing technical policies and standards in the sphere of telecommunications. Previously these functions were carried out by the State Communications Administration.

*The NCCIR.* The functions of the NCCIR were formerly carried out by the NCRC (National Commission for Communications Regulation of Ukraine). Established by a Decree of the President of Ukraine in August 2004, the NCRC was vested with the powers of the central regulatory body in the sphere of telecommunications on January 1, 2005 pursuant to the Telecommunications Law described below. It consisted of seven members and a chairperson. The NCRC commenced its activity in April 2005 when the chairperson and its members were appointed as required by the Telecommunications Law.

The NCRC has been responsible for issuing licenses for telecommunications services and use of radio frequencies commencing January 1, 2005, as well as various other responsibilities of the SCA from that date. According to the amendments to the Telecommunications Law introduced in July 2011, the NCRC was replaced in August 2011 with the NCCIR, which now consists of six members and a chairperson. The NCCIR is currently responsible for issuing licenses for telecommunications services and use of radio frequencies, and other functions of former NCRC.

*The State Center for Radio Frequencies of Ukraine (the "SCRF").* While licenses for radio frequencies for wireless communications are issued by the NCCIR, SCRF is the authority responsible for all technical issues related to the use of radio frequency resources and, in such proxy, is also

Table of Contents

involved in the issuance of radio frequency licenses. In particular, the SCRF determines frequency availability and technical aspects of frequency allocation, as well as provides the NCCIR with an expert opinion in relation to each application for radio frequency. The SCRF also monitored use of the frequencies and continued monitoring compliance with the license terms and carried out physically inspections of operators and providers of telecommunications services until the establishment of the State Inspection of Communications, as described below. The SCRF also independently issues individual permissions for the use of radio- electronic and radio-emitting equipment, its development, import, sale and purchase, and maintains a data base of IMEI codes of mobile telephones.

*The State Inspection of Communications (the "SIC")*, established by the new Telecommunications Law, was a division of the NCRC. The SIC was responsible for the general supervision of the telecommunications market and the use of radio frequency resources. The SIC also monitored compliance with license terms, physically inspected operators and providers of telecommunications services and, together with the SCRF, reviewed cases relating to administrative violations in the areas of telecommunications and radio frequencies. In July 2011, the SIC was abolished, and inspectors tasked with supervision were re- assigned to the NCCIR.

*The Antimonopoly Committee of Ukraine (AMC)* is charged with the administration of competition legislation and the protection and regulation of economic competition in Ukraine, including economic competition among industry participants in the telecommunications sector.

**Legislation**

The principal legislation regulating the telecommunications industry consists of the Law on Telecommunications dated November 18, 2003, (the "Telecommunications Law"), and the Radio Frequencies Law dated June 1, 2000, (the "Radio Frequencies Law").

The Telecommunications Law provides for, among other things, equal rights for private entrepreneurs and legal entities to offer telecommunications services, fair competition and freedom of pricing. The Telecommunications Law also sets forth the legal, economic and organizational framework for the operation of companies, associations and government bodies forming part of the telecommunications networks. The licensing of telecommunications services, the requirements for equipment certification and liability for violations of Ukrainian legislation on telecommunications are also determined by this legislation. The Telecommunications Law also governs the relations between the state and local governmental bodies, telecommunications operators and users of telecommunications services and radio frequencies.

The Telecommunications Law addresses various areas of telecommunications services in Ukraine, including numbering requirements, tariff and settlement regulations, interconnect, public telecommunications services, market access rules and licensing issuance and renewal. The Telecommunications Law also significantly expands the definition of the telecommunications services market, including in its scope Internet Protocol telecommunications, transmission of data and facsimile communications.

The Telecommunications Law also restructured the regulatory bodies governing the area of telecommunications. It provided for the creation of the NCRC, which, between January 1, 2005, and July 5, 2011, had been responsible for many of the functions formerly handled by the SCA. In August 2011, the NCRC was replaced with the NCCIR, which is authorized, *inter alia*, to issue regulations for telecommunications services, issue telecommunications licenses to operators and providers, issue frequency licenses, request information from operators, providers and authorities, impose administrative penalties and maintain the register of the operators and providers. The NCCIR is also authorized to conduct hearings and to resolve disputes among operators concerning the interconnect of telecommunications networks.



Table of Contents

In July 2010, the Telecommunications Law was amended with provisions on mobile number portability and national roaming obligations. In April 2013 the NCCIR (formerly NCRC) adopted regulations which allow subscribers to retain their mobile telephone numbers when switching from one mobile telecommunications operator to another.

On August 25, 2011, the NCCIR enacted national roaming regulations. Accordingly, telecommunications operators were permitted to conclude agreements on national roaming and prescribed to provide this service as described in the regulations (*e.g.*, must inform users on roaming prices and maintain quality of service on the same level for own subscribers and subscribers of operators with whom roaming agreements are signed). Foreign investments in Ukrainian telecommunications operators are not limited; however, in order to provide telecommunications services in Ukraine an entity must be located on the territory of Ukraine and registered in accordance with Ukrainian legislation.

The Radio Frequencies Law sets forth comprehensive rules regarding the allocation, assignment, interrelation and use of radio frequencies, the licensing of the users of radio frequencies and other relevant issues.

***Licensing of Telecommunications Services and Radio Frequency Allocation***

Commencing January 1, 2005, the NCCIR (formerly NCRC) has assumed responsibility for issuing telecommunications licenses and frequency licenses pursuant to the Telecommunications Law and the 2004 amendments to the Radio Frequencies Law. Licenses are issued for the following types of telecommunications services:

fixed-line telephone communications services (local, intercity, international);

fixed-wireless telephone communications services (local, intercity, international);

mobile telephone communications services; and

technical maintenance and exploitation of telecommunications networks.

Starting from July 5, 2011, the leasing of electric communications channels no longer requires licensing.

Other telecommunications services do not require licenses.

An operator that is granted a telecommunications license may not commence the provision of wireless telecommunications services until it receives a frequency license. The issuance of a frequency license is, in turn, subject to the availability of radio frequencies in the respective regions of Ukraine. Frequency licenses are issued for specific bandwidths within certain frequency spectrums in specific regions. The GSM and UMTS spectrum is presently considered to be the most commercially attractive for telecommunications operators. It is currently deemed to be virtually impossible to obtain a license for GSM frequencies in major Ukrainian cities because most of the GSM radio frequencies in such cities are already licensed to the existing GSM operators, including us. UMTS radio frequencies are currently allocated for special users, in particular, the Ministry of Defense.

Under applicable legislation, licenses for telecommunications services may be issued and renewed for periods of not less than 5 years, with the actual period generally ranging from 10 to 15 years. Renewal of a license is made by an application submitted to the NCCIR at least four months prior to the expiration of the license term. NCCIR officials have broad discretion with respect to both the issuance and the renewal of licenses. The Telecommunications Law further provides that the NCCIR must grant licenses on a first come-first served basis within 30 days from submission of an application. If resources are limited or consumer interests so require, the NCCIR may adopt a decision to limit the

Table of Contents

number of licenses. In this event, the law requires that such decision is made public along with the rationale and that the licenses be allocated through a tender.

In accordance with the Radio Frequencies Law, the NCCIR issues a frequency license concurrently with the issuance of a telecommunications license for the type of services requiring use of radio frequency resources. A telecommunications operator that has the respective telecommunications license may apply for licenses for additional radio frequency bands. Frequency licenses may not be issued for a period shorter than the term of the relevant telecommunications license.

Under applicable legislation, a public tender or an auction for a radio frequency license must be held by the NCCIR if demand for radio frequency resources exceeds available resources. Radio frequency licenses issued on the basis of a public tender or an auction for the same type of radio technology must include identical conditions regarding the radio frequency bands and development period. Telecommunications operators are allowed to apply to the NCCIR for redistribution of the radio frequency resources previously allocated to them.

Applicable legislation prohibits the transfer of a license by the licensee, including by means of assignment or pledge of a license as collateral, and agreements regarding the provision of telecommunications services must be executed and performed by the actual licensee.

Licenses generally contain a number of detailed conditions, including the date by which service must be commenced, terms of network deployment and territory coverage, the requirement to use only certified equipment, the technical standards which must be considered and the requirement to comply with all environmental regulations. Frequency licenses issued after January 1, 2005 also contain the date by which the radio frequency resources must be fully utilized.

Telecommunications operators' activities are subject to strict regulations, especially regarding electromagnetic compatibility; construction and technical maintenance of a telecommunications network must be carried out in accordance with specific regulations applicable in Ukraine. Telecommunications operators must submit periodic reports to the NCCIR on the amount and quality of services provided under the telecommunications license. We believe that we are in compliance with the applicable laws and regulations related to our Ukrainian licenses.

Some licenses also provide that services for persons entitled to certain social benefits must be provided at or below certain minimum thresholds established by Ukrainian legislation in effect at that time.

If the terms of a license are not fulfilled or the service provider violates legislation, the license may be suspended or terminated. Both telecommunications services licenses and radio frequency licenses may be terminated for various reasons, including:

provision of inaccurate information in the application for a license;

repeated refusal to allow the representatives of the NCCIR to make inspections;

failure to remedy in a timely manner the circumstances which resulted in a violation of the license terms;

repeated violation of the license terms;

transfer or assignment of the license to a third party; and

other grounds set forth by Ukrainian laws.

Radio frequency licenses may also be terminated for the following reasons:

failure to commence using radio frequency resources within the time period specified in the license;



Table of Contents

termination of use of radio frequency resources specified in the license for more than one year;

failure to use radio frequency resources to the full extent within the time period specified in the license; and

failure to pay monthly fees for the use of allocated radio frequencies for six months or more.

Decisions of the NCCIR with respect to the termination of licenses may be appealed to a court.

MTS' Ukraine license to construct and maintain the telecommunication network and provide services using such network was due to expire on December 3, 2013. In October 2013, MTS Ukraine submitted an application to the NCCIR requesting the renewal of the license. On October 15, 2013, the NCCIR refused to renew the license and recommended that MTS Ukraine receive a new license to provide operations in the telecommunications sphere. On October 21, 2013, MTS Ukraine filed a lawsuit against the NCCIR with a demand to renew the license. On November 19, 2013, a court decision was issued in favour of MTS Ukraine. The decision obliged the NCCIR to renew the license. The NCCIR appealed against the court decision. On January 15, 2014, the court of appeal issued a judgement in which the court refused to sustain the NCCIR appeal. On January 28, 2014, the NCCIR renewed MTS Ukraine license until December, 2018. On January 27, 2014, the NCCIR filed an appeal against the court of appeals' order which was denied by the Court. The cassation procedure was started by Court of Cassation. According to decision of the Highest Administrative Court dated January 22, 2015, the satisfaction of claim dated November 19, 2013 in favor of MTS Ukraine was denied making the resolution of January 28, 2014 to prolong the license for MTS Ukraine illegitimate.

At the same time MTS Ukraine has bought new license for providing all available mobile services in 2G and 3G networks and NCCIR issued a license for MTS Ukraine as of January 27, 2015, which is valid until 2030. In case of canceling the previous license (as prolonged by resolution of January 28, 2014), MTS Ukraine has the right to provide mobile services using the new one.

**3G/UMTS License**

In September 2009, the NCRC announced plans to launch a tender for a single 3G/UMTS mobile services license in Ukraine. However, the NCRC canceled the planned tender in November 2009 following a decision by the President of Ukraine to put the tender and conversion of the radio frequencies on hold.

Following the election of Viktor Yanukovich as Ukraine's new President in February 2010, a working group was created in order to fulfill the assignment of Ukraine's Cabinet of Ministers regarding the conversion of frequencies.

In October 2010, the NCRC proposed an updated plan that stipulates carrying out the conversion within eight months of November 2010 and at a cost of 841 million hryvnias (RUB 3,245 million) in one stage by means of releasing the whole 100 MHz frequency range. In March 2011, the NCRC developed a draft regulation (which has yet to be approved by the Ukrainian government) for the compensation of costs incurred by various governmental agencies (including the Ministry of Defense) that currently hold frequencies to be converted. In 2012, the NCCIR was planning to determine the specific requirements of a public tender for the sale of frequencies for the development of 3G networks. The tender was not held in 2012.

In July 2014, the President of Ukraine Petro Poroshenko issued a decree that prescribes NCCIR to hold an open auction for 3G-licenses for mobile operators until October 30, 2014. In December 2014, NCCIR published terms of a UMTS technology radio frequencies tender and started the process of submission the applications from operators.

Table of Contents

NCCIR published "Tender Conditions for assignment of the licenses on the use of frequency spectrum of Ukraine for the implementation of radio technology "Digital cellular radio communication IMT-2000 (UMTS)" in radio frequency bands 1920-1935/2110-2125, 1950-1965/2140-2155, 1965-1980/2155-2170 MHz" dated November 5, 2014 providing for key tender terms, such as, lot starting price 2.7 billion hryvnias (RUB 8.7 billion); conversion costs in the amount of 1.6 billion hryvnias (RUB 5.2 billion) (534 million hryvnias (RUB 1.7 billion) per each successful bidder).

The three largest mobile operators Kyivstar, MTS Ukraine and Astelit submitted bids (for each of the three lots). According to the results of the auction held by NCCIR on February 23, 2015, MTS Ukraine won the second lot for the use of 1950-1965/2140-2155 MHz frequency bands for 2.7 billion hryvnias (RUB 9.7 billion at the acquisition date). Successful bidder's portion of radio frequency conversion costs are to be financed under the Plan on Conversion of Radio Frequency Bands approved by NCCIR and the Defense Ministry. Successful bidders are obliged to obtain the approval of NCCIR, special users and other 3G licenses purchasers on requirements specification, including description of conversion terms and conditions, within two months from the tender results announcement date. To date, the terms of the contracts on conversion are being developed together with special users. After receiving a 3G license and signing a contract on conversion, MTS Ukraine will be able to start the process of obtaining permissive documents on exploitation of 3G network (base stations) equipment.

***Equipment Certification***

For installation on a telecommunications network either the manufacturer or the vendor must provide the operator with a document of confirmation to the normative documents compliance and documentary confirmation of inclusion in the registry of technical equipment that can be used in the telecommunication network. The Administration of State Service on Special Communications and Information Protection of Ukraine sets the technical standards for equipment designed for use in telecommunications networks in Ukraine.

The Radio Frequencies Law provides that users of radio frequency resources must obtain permits for the operation of radio-electronic and radio-emitting equipment, except for equipment used on a permit-free basis in accordance with this law. In order to obtain such operation permit, a company is required to file an application with the SCRF. The Radio Frequencies Law also requires producers and importers of radio-electronic and radio-emitting equipment to be used on the territory of Ukraine to register such equipment with the NCCIR.

***Competition***

The Telecommunications Law provides that one of the purposes of the licensing of telecommunications services is to encourage competition and de-monopolization in the telecommunications industry.

Ukrainian antimonopoly legislation prohibits a company operating in Ukraine from abusing its dominant position in its market to gain, *inter alia*, an unfair or anti-competitive advantage in the provision of its services or products. A legal entity is deemed to be in a dominant position if such entity has no competitor in the market or is not subject to substantial competition due to restricted access or entry barriers for other business entities. Further, Ukrainian antimonopoly legislation provides that a company shall be deemed dominant if its market share in the respective product market exceeds 35% unless such company proves that it faces significant competition in the respective product market.

According to AC&M-Consulting, MTS Ukraine had a 34% market share of the wireless communications market in Ukraine as of December 31, 2014.

Table of Contents

A telecommunications operator which is found by the AMC to have a dominant position in the market, in particular, may specifically be required to:

annually submit to the NCCIR irrevocable public offers regarding interconnect with the other operators' telecommunications networks;

comply with the regulations of the NCCIR regarding the technical, organizational and commercial terms and conditions of interconnect with the other operators' telecommunications networks;

comply with the cost determination factors set by the NCCIR for access to the operator's own network; and

not discriminate other players in the telecommunications market.

In June 2010, the AMC confirmed a finding dating back to May 2009 that eight mobile operators, including MTS Ukraine and its closest competitors, have a dominant position on the market for interconnecting to their own mobile networks. As a result, the interconnect fees charged by these operators, including MTS Ukraine, for termination of calls on their networks are currently regulated by the NCCIR. In February 2010, the NCRC approved interconnect rates for telecommunications operators found by the AMC to have a dominant position. Thus, MTS Ukraine was obligated to charge interconnect rates established by the NCRC, which were 0.35-0.40 hryvnias per minute excluding VAT (approximately RUB 0.011 RUB 0.012 as of December 31, 2013). See also "Item 3. Key Information D. Risk Factors Risks Relating to Our Business Governmental regulation of SMP operators in Ukraine could adversely affect our results of operations."

In December 2010, the Telecommunications Law was amended to introduce the term "significant market power operator." An operator qualifies as a SMP operator if its share of gross revenue from the provision of traffic transfer services on fixed or mobile telecommunications networks during the last 12 months exceeded 25% of total gross revenues of all telecommunications operators for the same services during the same period. An operator can be classified as a significant market power operator on either the fixed telecommunications market, the mobile telecommunications market, or on both. Such an amendment could allow the NCCIR to recognize certain operators, including us, as operators with significant market power (rather than operators with dominant positions) and to regulate consequently their fees for traffic transfer services (rather than interconnect fees for termination of calls on the operators' networks).

In July 2010, the term "significant market power operator" was changed by the amendment to the Telecommunications Law which came into effect on January 1, 2011. From this date, the significant market power operator will be qualified in the market which is defined by NCCIR.

On October 20, 2011, the NCCIR issued a decision, which recognizes all mobile operators, including MTS Ukraine, as SMP operators on the market of call termination on their own networks.

On December 1, 2011, the NCCIR approved an interconnect rate of 0.36 hryvnias per minute excluding VAT (approximately RUB 0.011 as of December 31, 2013) which came into effect on January 1, 2012, for all SMP operators on the market of call termination on their own networks.

The Telecommunications Law also extends the power of the NCCIR to receive financial and economic data from telecommunications operators. Such information allows the NCCIR to analyze the Ukrainian telecommunications services market in order to determine which operators (if any) have a dominant position and which ones (if any) are significant market power operators for purposes of regulating fees such operators can charge for interconnect and traffic transfer services. In addition, the financial and economic data permits the NCCIR to better regulate the interaction of operators with regard to traffic transfer services and to assist without court dispute settlement. New amendments to the Telecommunications Law also set forth the methodology for fee determination that can be charged

Table of Contents

for traffic transfer services based largely on a calculation that includes an operator's base cost plus profit level of certain services. Furthermore, in order to prevent "dumping" fees, operators that do not have a dominant position nor significant market power are prohibited under the Telecommunications Law from charging fees less than those charged by the regulated entities, but can charge more if they choose.

Due to the currently large market shares held by MTS Ukraine and Kyivstar in Ukraine, we believe that the AMC may determine that only these two operators (and not the current eight operators) have dominant positions on the market. If so, we and Kyivstar would remain the only regulated operators in Ukraine and, as a result, we could suffer a significant decrease in our interconnect revenues as well as an increase in the interconnect fees we pay to other operators not deemed to have a dominant position or significant market power.

On December 30, 2011, the AMC commenced an investigation of the telecommunications services market for the purpose of determining dominant market force abuse by MTS Ukraine in relation to international roaming services. During the investigation, MTS Ukraine has been continuously providing the AMC with documents and information officially requested by the AMC. A similar investigation was commenced in relation to our main competitor in Ukraine, Kyivstar. In the event that the AMC finds any operator has abused its dominant market force in relation to international roaming services, it could fine such an operator for up to 10% of its revenue for the previous year, calculated in accordance with local accounting principles.

On September 27, 2012, the AMC commenced an investigation into the telecommunications services market for the purpose of determining any abuse of a dominant market position by MTS Ukraine in relation to national mobile communications services. During the investigation, MTS Ukraine has been continuously providing the AMC with documents and information officially requested by the AMC. A similar investigation was commenced in relation to our main competitor in Ukraine, Kyivstar. In the event that the AMC finds any operator in abuse of its dominant market force in relation to international roaming services, it could fine such an operator for up to 10% of its revenue for the previous year, calculated in accordance with National Accounting Regulations (Standards) ("NR(S)AU").

On December 19, 2012, the AMC issued a mandatory recommendation following its international roaming services investigation. According to this recommendation, MTS Ukraine is obliged to decrease its tariffs on international roaming services to a competitive level. In January 2013, MTS Ukraine provided the AMC with the required information, necessary for fulfill this recommendation.

The cases on international roaming services and national mobile communications services have been closed, with MTS Ukraine receiving the relevant notices from the AMC on February 8, 2013 and February 11, 2013, respectively.

Please see also "Item 3. Key Information D. Risk Factors Risks Relating to Our Business A finding by the AMC that we have acted in contravention of antimonopoly legislation could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations" for details of AMC of Ukraine investigations in respect of international roaming services and national mobile communications services.

**Tariffs**

According to the Ukrainian laws, NCCIR regulates the following tariffs:

maximum tariffs on public telecommunication services;

tariffs on leasing of communication channels for operators with dominant market position; and

maximum tariffs on leasing channels of cable duct system.

Table of Contents

Under the Law of Ukraine on Telecommunications the Cabinet of Ministers of Ukraine is not authorized to regulate prices for telecommunication services.

In February 2006, NCCIR has set maximum tariffs on that operators having a dominant market position and, in April 2009, has set maximum tariffs on fixed line public telecommunications services.

Although there are no additional regulations limiting level of maximum tariffs on wireless telecommunications services, if the Antimonopoly Committee believes competition laws are violated, it can assert that tariffs unfair and injurious to market competition. In such cases, the AMC may, *inter alia*, request the telecommunications operator whom AMC considers as one violating the laws to remedy the situation, in particular, to amend its tariff scheme, and impose fines on the company for an violation.

Subject to the above, wireless operators are free to set tariffs at levels they consider appropriate.

***Interconnect***

Interconnect activity is regulated by the NCCIR. Operators may provide offers for interconnect to the NCCIR, and the NCCIR is required to publish on an annual or regular basis a catalog of such offers. Operators with a dominant position on the market and operators having significant market power (SMP-operators) are obliged to submit interconnect offers to the NCCIR for each catalog.

Interconnect is made pursuant to interconnect agreements between network operators as prescribed by the regulatory authorities. Such agreements are required under the law to contain certain provisions. An operator with a dominant position, as well as SMP-operator, cannot refuse an offer to conclude an interconnect agreement with another operator, if the offeror has offered points of interconnect that were previously published by the NCCIR in the catalog of interconnect proposals.

The NCCIR is authorized to conduct hearings and to resolve disputes among operators concerning the interconnection of telecommunications networks. Decisions of the NCCIR are binding upon the parties in the dispute but a party to the dispute may appeal such a decision in court.

In May 2009, the AMC issued a finding that eight mobile operators, including MTS Ukraine and its closest competitors, have a dominant position in relation to the market for interconnecting to each of their respective networks. MTS Ukraine appealed this decision in June 2009, and the AMC suspended the decision pending resolution of the appeal. In June 2010, the AMC confirmed its earlier decision and interconnect fees charged by MTS Ukraine for terminating calls on its network are currently regulated. In February 2010, the NCRC established regulated interconnect fees for termination of calls on the networks of operators that have a dominant position. See " Competition."

In October 2011, the NCCIR defined all of operators, that have operated in the Ukrainian market in the period from 2009 through 2010 as having significant market power (SMP-operators) for termination traffic on their fixed and mobile networks (433 fixed and 8 mobile operators). Effective 1 January 2012, the NCCIR regulates the interconnection rates for all SMP fixed and mobile operators, including MTS Ukraine. The interconnection rates charged by long- distance operators are set up equal to rates charged by mobile operators.

The NCCIR regularly analyses the telecommunication market to determine operators with significant market power in this market. The last analysis of the market was carried out in October 2013. As a result of this analysis, 345 fixed and seven mobile operators were defined as SMP-operators. The interconnect rates charged by SMP-operators have remained in place since January 1, 2012.

Please see also "Item 3. Key Information D. Risk Factors Risks Relating to Our Business If we cannot interconnect cost-effectively with other telecommunications operators, we may be unable to provide services at competitive prices and therefore lose market share and revenues" for details of NCCIR' s plans to substantially lower interconnect rates for the termination of traffic.



Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

Table of Contents

**Licenses**

**Mobile Services**

The following table shows, as of March 1, 2015, information with respect to the license areas in which we and our subsidiaries and affiliates provide or expect to provide GSM services:

License region	GSM 900		GSM 1800	
	Licensee	Expiry date	Licensee	Expiry date
<b>Moscow License Area</b>				
Moscow	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Moscow region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
<b>St. Petersburg License Area</b>				
St. Petersburg	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Leningrad region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
<b>Russian Regional License Areas</b>				
<b>European Russia</b>				
Adygeya Republic	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Arkhangelsk region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Astrakhan region	MTS OJSC	December 11, 2018	MTS OJSC	October 18, 2016
Bashkortostan Republic	MTS OJSC	August 22, 2017	MTS OJSC	August 22, 2017
Belgorod region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Bryansk region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Chuvashia Republic	MTS OJSC	December 30, 2018	MTS OJSC	December 30, 2018
Chechen Republic	MTS OJSC	June 10, 2019	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2016
Dagestan Republic	MTS OJSC	December 30, 2018	MTS OJSC	December 30, 2018
Ivanovo region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Ingushetia Republic	MTS OJSC	December 30, 2018	MTS OJSC	December 30, 2018
Kabardino-Balkar Republic	MTS OJSC	June 10, 2019	MTS OJSC	December 30, 2018
Kaliningrad region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Kalmykia Republic	MTS OJSC	January 25, 2016	MTS OJSC	December 30, 2018
Kaluga region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Karachaevo-Cherkesia Republic	MTS OJSC	December 30, 2018	MTS OJSC	December 30, 2018
Karelia Republic	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Kirov region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Komi Republic	MTS OJSC	August 22, 2017	MTS OJSC	August 22, 2017
Kostroma region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Krasnodar region	MTS OJSC	May 30, 2017	MTS OJSC	May 30, 2017
Kursk region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Lipetsk region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Mari-El Republic	MTS OJSC	January 15, 2017	MTS OJSC	January 15, 2017
Mordovia Republic	MTS OJSC	December 30, 2018	MTS OJSC	December 30, 2018
Murmansk region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Nenetsk Autonomous region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Nizhny Novgorod region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Novgorod region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Orel region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Orenburg region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Penza region	MTS OJSC	May 6, 2021		
Perm region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018



Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

Table of Contents

License region	GSM 900		GSM 1800	
	Licensee	Expiry date	Licensee	Expiry date
Pskov region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Rostov region	MTS OJSC	July 1, 2015	MTS OJSC	July 1, 2015
Ryazan region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Samara region	MTS OJSC	December 30, 2017	MTS OJSC	December 30, 2017
Saratov region	MTS OJSC	July 11, 2017	MTS OJSC	July 11, 2017
Severnaya Osetia-Alania Republic	MTS OJSC	September 1, 2016	MTS OJSC	September 1, 2016
Smolensk region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Stavropol region	MTS OJSC	December 30, 2018	MTS OJSC	December 30, 2018
Tambov region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Tatarstan Republic	MTS OJSC	June 26, 2017	MTS OJSC	June 26, 2017
Tula region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Tver region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Udmurt Republic	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Ulyanovsk region	MTS OJSC	May 6, 2021	MTS OJSC	December 30, 2018
Vladimir region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Volgograd region			MTS OJSC	October 4, 2016
Vologda region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Voronezh region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Yaroslavl region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
<b>Asian Russia</b>				
Altai region	MTS OJSC	September 8, 2015	MTS OJSC	September 8, 2015
Altai Republic	MTS OJSC	July 19, 2016	MTS OJSC	December 30, 2018
Amur region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Buryatiya Republic	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Chelyabinsk region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Zabaykalsky region	Sibintertelecom CJSC	June 5, 2019	Sibintertelecom CJSC	June 5, 2019
Zabaykalsky region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Chukotsk Autonomous region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Jewish Autonomous region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Irkutsk region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Kamchatka region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Kemerovo region	MTS OJSC	December 30, 2018	MTS OJSC	December 30, 2018
Khabarovsk region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Khakassiya Republic	MTS OJSC	September 13, 2016	MTS OJSC	September 13, 2016
Khanty Mansiysk Autonomous region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Krasnoyarsk region	MTS OJSC	May 7, 2018	MTS OJSC	May 7, 2018
Kurgan region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Magadan region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Novosibirsk region	MTS OJSC	February 21, 2017	MTS OJSC	February 21, 2017
Omsk region	MTS OJSC	December 20, 2016	MTS OJSC	December 20, 2016
Primorsky region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Sakha Republic (Yakutia)	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Sakhalin region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Sverdlovsk region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Tomsk region	MTS OJSC	June 5, 2018	MTS OJSC	June 5, 2018
Tyumen region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018

Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

Tyva Republic	MTS OJSC	July 19, 2016	MTS OJSC	December 30, 2018
Yamalo-Nenetsk Autonomous region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018

133

---

Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

Table of Contents

License region	GSM 900		GSM 1800	
	Licensee	Expiry date	Licensee	Expiry date
<b>Ukraine</b>				
Ukraine	MTS Ukraine PrJSC	December 3, 2018	MTS Ukraine PrJSC	December 3, 2018
<b>Armenia</b>				
Armenia	K-Telecom CJSC	November 4, 2019	K-Telecom CJSC	November 4, 2019
<b>Turkmenistan</b>				
Turkmenistan	BCTI	July 27, 2015	BCTI	July 27, 2015
<b>Belarus</b>				
Belarus	Mobile Telesystems LLC	April 30, 2022	Mobile Telesystems LLC	April 30, 2022
<b>Uzbekistan</b>				
Uzbekistan	Universal Mobile Systems LLC	September 8, 2029	Universal Mobile Systems LLC	September 8, 2029

**IMT-2000/UMTS/CDMA**

License Region	Licensee	Expiry date
Russian Federation	MTS OJSC	May 21, 2017
Khabarovsk region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Moscow, Moscow region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Turkmenistan	MTS-Turkmenistan	July 27, 2015
Armenia	K-Telecom CJSC	November 4, 2019
Belarus	Mobile Telesystems LLC	April 30, 2022
Ukraine	MTS Ukraine PrJSC	September 27, 2026
Uzbekistan	Universal Mobile Systems LLC	September 8, 2029

**LTE**

License Region	Licensee	Expiry date
Russian Federation	MTS OJSC	July 25, 2022
Moscow, Moscow region	MTS OJSC	December 29, 2016
Moscow, Moscow region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
<b>Asian Russia</b>		
Altaisk region	MTS OJSC	September 8, 2015
Amur region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Buryatiya Republic	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Chelyabinsk region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Irkutsk region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Jewish Autonomous region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Khabarovsk region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Khakassiya Republic	MTS OJSC	September 13, 2016
Khanty Mansiysk Autonomous region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Krasnoyarsk Territory	MTS OJSC	May 7, 2018
Kurgan region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Novosibirsk region	MTS OJSC	February 21, 2017
Omsk region	MTS OJSC	December 20, 2016
Primorsky region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Sakha Republic (Yakutia)	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Sverdlovsk region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Tomsk region	MTS OJSC	June 5, 2018

Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

Table of Contents

License Region	Licensee	Expiry date
Tyumen region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Tyva Republic	MTS OJSC	December 30, 2018
YamaloNenetsk Autonomous region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
<b>European Russia</b>		
Adygeya Republic	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Arkhangelsk region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Bashkortostan Republic	MTS OJSC	August 22, 2017
Belgorod region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Bryansk region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Dagestan Republic	MTS OJSC	December 30, 2018
Ivanovo region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Kaliningrad region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Kaluga region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Karelia Republic	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Kirov region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Komi Republic	MTS OJSC	August 22, 2017
Kostroma region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Krasnodar territory	MTS OJSC	May 30, 2017
Kursk region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Lipetsk region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Mari El Republic	MTS OJSC	January 15, 2017
Murmansk region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Nenetsk Autonomous region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Nizhny Novgorod region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Novgorod region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Orel region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Perm region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Pskov region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Rostov region	MTS OJSC	July 1, 2015
Ryazan region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Samara region	MTS OJSC	December 30, 2017
Saratov region	MTS OJSC	July 11, 2017
Severnaya Osetia Alania Republic	MTS OJSC	September 1, 2016
Smolensk region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Stavropol territory	MTS OJSC	December 30, 2018
Tambov region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Tatarstan Republic	MTS OJSC	June 26, 2017
Tula region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Tver region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Udmurt Republic	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Ulyanovsk region	MTS OJSC	December 30, 2018
Vladimir region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Vologda region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Voronezh region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Yaroslavl region	MTS OJSC	April 28, 2018
Armenia	K-Telecom CJSC	November 4, 2019
Uzbekistan	Universal Mobile Systems LLC	September 8, 2029

Table of Contents**Mobile Virtual Network**

<b>License Region</b>	<b>Licensee</b>	<b>Expiry date</b>
Zabaykalsky region	Sibintertelecom CJSC	September 8, 2015
Moscow region, Moscow	MGTS	August 2, 2018

Each of our licenses requires service to be started by a specific date. We have met this target or received extensions to these dates in those regional license areas in which we have not commenced operations. Neither the government nor other parties have taken or attempted to take legal actions to suspend, terminate or challenge the legality of any of our licenses (except for Uzbekistan, see Note 4 to our audited consolidated financial statements). We have not received any notice of violation of any of our licenses, and we believe that we are in compliance with all material terms of our licenses.

**Fixed Line Services**

The following table shows, as of December 31, 2014, information with respect to our fixed line licenses:

<b>Licensee</b>	<b>License Region(s)</b>	<b>License number</b>	<b>Expiry Date</b>
<b>International, national, intra-zonal and local communications services</b>			
MGTS	Moscow	No. 112865	December 11, 2018
MGTS	Moscow	No. 124224	July 28, 2015
MTS OJSC	Moscow	No. 94370	March 30, 2017
MTS OJSC	Russian Federation	No. 104893	February 16, 2020
MTS OJSC	Moscow region	No. 94371	March 30, 2017
MTS OJSC	Moscow	No. 87181	February 16, 2016
MTS OJSC	Moscow region	No. 87182	November 21, 2015
MTS OJSC	Rostov region	No. 110869	August 1, 2018
MTS OJSC	Severnaya Osetia-Alania Republic	No. 110870	August 1, 2018
MTS OJSC	Krasnodar region	No. 110871	August 1, 2018
MTS OJSC	Bashkortostan Republic	No. 77972	November 21, 2015
MTS OJSC	Astrakhan region	No. 114620	January 19, 2019
MTS OJSC	Kemerovo region	No. 114621	January 19, 2019
MTS OJSC	Arkhangelsk region	No. 114622	January 19, 2019
MTS OJSC	Vologda region	No. 114623	January 19, 2019
MTS OJSC	Kaliningrad region	No. 114624	January 19, 2019
MTS OJSC	Karelia Republic	No. 114628	January 19, 2019
MTS OJSC	Murmansk region	No. 114625	January 19, 2019
MTS OJSC	Novgorod region	No. 114629	January 19, 2019
MTS OJSC	St. Petersburg	No. 114626	January 19, 2019
MTS OJSC	Komi Republic	No. 114627	January 19, 2019
MTS OJSC	Volgograd region	No. 115176	March 4, 2019
MTS OJSC	Omsk region	No. 90203	October 4, 2016
MTS OJSC	Tomsk region	No. 104900	February 27, 2018
MTS OJSC	Altai region	No. 104899	February 27, 2018
MTS OJSC	Krasnoyarsk region	No. 104895	February 27, 2018
MTS OJSC	Novosibirsk region	No. 104898	February 27, 2018
MTS OJSC	Khakassiya Republic	No. 88183	June 6, 2016
MTS OJSC	Kaluga region	No. 87186	July 3, 2015
MTS OJSC	Tyumen region	No. 100908	August 31, 2017
MTS OJSC	Volgograd region	No. 90196	November 17, 2016

Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

Table of Contents

Licensee	License Region(s)	License number	Expiry Date
MTS OJSC	Irkutsk region	No. 90208	October 24, 2016
MTS OJSC	Sakhalin region	No. 90197	October 24, 2016
MTS OJSC	Primorsky region	No. 90198	October 24, 2016
MTS OJSC	Bashkortostan Republic	No. 77981	September 8, 2015
MTS OJSC	Bashkortostan Republic	No. 92587	March 5, 2017
MTS OJSC	Kemerovo region	No. 113543	December 26, 2018
MTS OJSC	Omsk region	No. 90201	October 4, 2016
MTS OJSC	Udmurt Republic	No. 90202	October 4, 2016
MTS OJSC	Ryazan region	No. 75034	July 3, 2015
MTS OJSC	Voronezh region, Orel region	No. 101773	October 24, 2017
MTS OJSC	Lipetsk region, Kursk region, Bryansk region, Belgorod region	No. 104896	February 7, 2018
MTS OJSC	Severnaya Osetia-Alania Republic	No. 97615	June 5, 2017
MTS OJSC	Dagestan Republic	No. 97616	June 5, 2017
MTS OJSC	Tver region	No. 104894	February 27, 2018
MTS OJSC	Smolensk region	No. 104897	February 27, 2018
MTS OJSC	Buryatiya Republic	No. 105547	April 18, 2018
MTS OJSC	Saratov region	No. 105548	April 18, 2018
MTS OJSC	Zabaykalsky region	No. 75297	May 6, 2015
MTS OJSC	Vologda region, Pskov region, Novgorod region, Murmansk region, Karelia Republic, Arkhangelsk region, Leningrad region, St. Petersburg	No. 94373	April 17, 2017
MTS OJSC	Kaliningrad region	No. 104022	December 17, 2017
MTS OJSC	Krasnoyarsk region	No. 90204	October 24, 2016
MTS OJSC	Yaroslavl region	No. 90185	October 24, 2016
MTS OJSC	Ivanovo region	No. 90212	October 24, 2016
MTS OJSC	Astrakhan region	No. 90199	October 24, 2016
MTS OJSC	Rostov region	No. 90205	October 24, 2016
MTS OJSC	Krasnodar region	No. 90213	October 24, 2016
MTS OJSC	Chelyabinsk region	No. 90190	October 24, 2016
MTS OJSC	Perm region	No. 90206	October 24, 2016
MTS OJSC	Kurgan region	No. 90214	October 24, 2016
MTS OJSC	Khanty Mansiysk Autonomous region	No. 90215	October 24, 2016
MTS OJSC	Tomsk region	No. 90189	October 24, 2016
MTS OJSC	Novosibirsk region	No. 90209	October 24, 2016
MTS OJSC	Altai region	No. 90191	October 24, 2016
MTS OJSC	Samara region	No. 90210	October 24, 2016
MTS OJSC	Orenburg region	No. 90192	October 24, 2016
MTS OJSC	Tatarstan Republic	No. 90207	October 24, 2016
MTS OJSC	Nizhny Novgorod region	No. 90193	October 24, 2016
MTS OJSC	Kirov region	No. 90188	October 24, 2016
MTS OJSC	Komi Republic	No. 90187	October 24, 2016
MTS OJSC	Sakha Republic (Yakutia)	No. 90186	October 24, 2016
MTS OJSC	Khabarovsk region	No. 90194	October 24, 2016
MTS OJSC	Amur region	No. 90195	October 24, 2016
MTS OJSC	Saratov region	No. 97004	March 15, 2017
MTS OJSC	Udmurt Republic	No. 97009	March 15, 2017
MTS OJSC	Orenburg region	No. 97025	March 15, 2017
MTS OJSC	Penza region	No. 97010	March 15, 2017



Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

Table of Contents

Licensee	License Region(s)	License number	Expiry Date
MTS OJSC	Sverdlovsk region	No. 97037	March 15, 2017
MTS OJSC	Tambov region	No. 97011	March 15, 2017
MTS OJSC	Kaluga region	No. 97036	March 15, 2017
MTS OJSC	Irkutsk region	No. 97008	March 15, 2017
MTS OJSC	Khabarovsk region	No. 97012	March 15, 2017
MTS OJSC	Orel region	No. 103568	March 3, 2017
MTS OJSC	Belgorod region	No. 103569	March 3, 2017
MTS OJSC	Primorsky region	No. 97026	March 15, 2017
MTS OJSC	Kirov region	No. 97043	March 15, 2017
MTS OJSC	Altai Republic	No. 96181	February 17, 2017
MTS OJSC	Moscow region	No. 92135	October 12, 2016
MTS OJSC	Moscow	No. 94369	March 30, 2017
MTS OJSC	Kursk region	No. 122729	December 18, 2019
MTS OJSC	Ivanovo region	No. 122269	November 24, 2019
MTS OJSC	Kurgan region	No. 117814	February 17, 2019
MTS OJSC	Tyumen region, Yamalo-Nenetsk Autonomous region	No. 110713	December 1, 2015
MTS OJSC	Samara region	No. 123559	December 31, 2019
MTS OJSC	Leningrad region	No. 110745	December 17, 2016
MTS OJSC	Chelyabinsk region	No. 110711	December 15, 2015
MTS OJSC	Ulyanovsk region	No. 110710	December 15, 2015
MTS OJSC	Perm region	No. 110707	December 15, 2015
MTS OJSC	Rostov region	No. 110743	September 14, 2015
MTS OJSC	Tyumen region, Yamalo-Nenetsk Autonomous region	No. 110704	December 01, 2015
MTS OJSC	Ulyanovsk region	No. 110736	February 28, 2017
MTS OJSC	Mari El Republic	No. 109494	April 11, 2018
MTS OJSC	Chuvashia Republic	No. 109493	April 11, 2018
MTS OJSC	Tyva Republic	No. 110499	May 18, 2018
MTS OJSC	Tambov region	No. 110744	February 28, 2017
MTS OJSC	Penza region	No. 116023	March 14, 2017
MTS OJSC	Tatarstan Republic	No. 124924	May 23, 2018
MTS OJSC	Nizhny Novgorod region	No. 123658	October 1, 2019
MGTS	Moscow	No. 108069	July 10, 2018
MGTS	Moscow region	No. 125848	April 17, 2019
Comstar-regions	Ryazan region	No. 79714	December 23, 2015
Comstar-regions	Khanty Mansiysk Autonomous region-Yugra	No. 109133	December 1, 2015
Comstar-regions	Sverdlovsk region	No. 84299	April 28, 2016
Comstar-regions	Khanty Mansiysk Autonomous region-Yugra	No. 109136	December 1, 2015
Comstar-regions	Sverdlovsk region	No. 82418	March 15, 2016
Comstar-regions	Ryazan region	No. 94386	February 9, 2017
Comstar-regions	Sverdlovsk region	No. 77303	October 1, 2015
<b>Telematic Services</b>			
MTS OJSC	Russian Federation	No. 101246	July 25, 2022
MTS OJSC	Kamchatka region, Irkutsk region, Jewish Autonomous region, Chukotsk Autonomous region	No. 78184	August 13, 2015

Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

Table of Contents

Licensee	License Region(s)	License number	Expiry Date
MTS OJSC	Mari El Republic	No. 77976	September 14, 2015
MTS OJSC	Volgograd region	No. 75029	May 30, 2015
MTS OJSC	Primorsky region	No. 79704	December 21, 2015
MTS OJSC	Sakhalin region, Magadan region, Kamchatka region, Buryatiya Republic	No. 75027	June 17, 2015
MTS OJSC	Zabaykalsky region	No. 118159	June 5, 2019
MTS OJSC	Stavropol region	No. 73258	May 26, 2015
MTS OJSC	Samara region	No. 73944	May 26, 2015
MTS OJSC	Saratov region	No. 73941	May 31, 2015
MTS OJSC	Ulyanovsk region	No. 73940	May 31, 2015
MTS OJSC	Kemerovo region	No. 73007	May 31, 2015
MTS OJSC	Astrakhan region	No. 75713	July 15, 2015
MTS OJSC	Adygeya Republic	No. 75711	July 15, 2015
MTS OJSC	Kalmykia Republic	No. 75709	July 28, 2015
MTS OJSC	Chechen Republic	No. 104023	December 6, 2017
MTS OJSC	Krasnoyarsk region	No. 108065	May 7, 2018
MTS OJSC	Tyva Republic	No. 75705	July 28, 2015
MTS OJSC	Krasnodar region	No. 75701	July 15, 2015
MTS OJSC	Severnaya Osetia-Alania Republic, Karachaevo-Cherkesia Republic, Kabardino-Balkar Republic, Ingushetia Republic, Dagestan Republic	No. 77982	September 14, 2015
MTS OJSC	Mordovia Republic, Chuvashia Republic	No. 77978	September 14, 2015
MTS OJSC	Rostov region	No. 77973	October 26, 2015
MTS OJSC	Komi Republic, Perm region, Orenburg region, Orel region, Nizhny Novgorod region, Lipetsk region, Moscow, Kostroma region, Smolensk region, Kirov region, Kaluga region, Ivanovo region, Bryansk region, Belgorod region, Moscow region, Kursk region, Voronezh region, Tyumen region, Sverdlovsk Reg region ion, Omsk region, Kurgan region, Khanty Mansiysk Autonomous region, Chelyabinsk region, Pskov region, Yaroslavl region, Ryazan region, Vladimir region, Udmurt Republic, Tver region, Tula region, Tambov region, Yamalo-Neenetsk Autonomous region, Amur region	No. 80186	February 15, 2016
MTS OJSC	Bashkortostan Republic	No. 86010	June 21, 2016
MTS OJSC	Khabarovsk region	No. 75033	June 17, 2015
MTS OJSC	Novosibirsk region	No. 82395	April 13, 2016
MTS OJSC	Khakassiya Republic	No. 75000	June 17, 2015
MTS OJSC	Tomsk region	No. 75032	June 17, 2015
MTS OJSC	Sakha Republic (Yakutia)	No. 75031	July 15, 2015
MTS OJSC	Tatarstan Republic	No. 75697	July 15, 2015

Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

Table of Contents

Licensee	License Region(s)	License number	Expiry Date
MTS OJSC	Vologda region, Novgorod region, Nenetsk Autonomous region, Murmansk region, Karelia Republic, Kaliningrad region, Arkhangelsk region, Leningrad region, St. Petersburg	No. 90184	October 4, 2016
MTS OJSC	Altai region	No. 91422	December 1, 2016
MTS OJSC	Altai Republic	No. 96857	May 22, 2017
MTS OJSC	Penza region	No. 125651	April 1, 2020
Sibintertelecom CJSC	Zabaykalsky region	No. 78663	September 14, 2015
Stream LLC	Russian Federation	No. 91771	September 22, 2016
MGTS	Moscow, Moscow region	No. 125851	December 11, 2016
Comstar-regions	Khanty Mansiysk Autonomous region-Yugra	No.111594	December 1, 2015
Comstar-regions	Sverdlovsk region	No. 82417	March 15, 2016
Comstar-regions	Ryazan region	No. 92023	December 10, 2016
<b>Leased Communications Circuits</b>			
MTS OJSC	Rostov region	No. 110872	October 2, 2018
MTS OJSC	Mari El Republic	No. 77975	October 5, 2015
MTS OJSC	Zabaykalsky region, Sakha Republic (Yakutia), Khabarovsk region	No. 78822	November 21, 2015
MTS OJSC	Magadan region	No. 96042	May 21, 2017
MTS OJSC	Irkutsk region	No. 96040	May 21, 2017
MTS OJSC	Koryakski Autonomous region, Kamchatka region	No. 96043	May 21, 2017
MTS OJSC	Sakhalin region	No. 76588	August 25, 2015
MTS OJSC	Buryatiya Republic	No. 76589	August 25, 2015
MTS OJSC	Primorsky region	No. 73005	May 10, 2015
MTS OJSC	Tver region, Kostroma region, Komi Republic, Moscow region, Moscow	No. 111802	November 24, 2018
MTS OJSC	Penza region	No. 81729	December 1, 2015
MTS OJSC	Aginski-Buryat Autonomous District	No. 81728	December 1, 2015
MTS OJSC	Ulyanovsk region, Saratov region, Samara region	No. 73942	May 31, 2015
MTS OJSC	Stavropol region, Astrakhan region	No. 73259	May 31, 2015
MTS OJSC	Dagestan Republic	No. 96041	May 21, 2017
MTS OJSC	Volgograd region, Karachaevo-Cherkesia Republic, Kabardino-Balkar Republic, Ingushetia Republic	No. 105546	April 18, 2018
MTS OJSC	Krasnoyarsk region	No. 108062	May 7, 2018
MTS OJSC	Bashkortostan Republic	No. 108063	May 7, 2018
MTS OJSC	Tatarstan Republic	No. 108060	May 7, 2018
MTS OJSC	Tomsk region, Kemerovo region	No. 108064	May 7, 2018
MTS OJSC	Severnaya Osetia-Alania Republic, Kalmykia Republic	No. 108061	May 7, 2018
MTS OJSC	Chuvashia Republic	No. 75707	July 28, 2015
MTS OJSC	Tyva Republic	No. 75706	July 28, 2015

Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

Table of Contents

Licensee	License Region(s)	License number	Expiry Date
MTS OJSC	Vladimir Region, Tula region, Smolensk region, Ryazan region, Pskov region, Kaluga region	No. 77974	October 7, 2015
MTS OJSC	Yaroslavl region, Nizhny Novgorod region, Kirov region, Ivanovo region	No. 77977	October 13, 2015
MTS OJSC	Krasnodar region, Adygeya Republic	No. 75703	July 15, 2015
MTS OJSC	Russian Federation	No. 94560	December 29, 2016
MTS OJSC	Yamalo-Nenetsk Autonomous region, Tyumen region, Sverdlovsk region, Kurgan region, Khanty Mansiysk Autonomous region, Chelyabinsk region, Amur region, Udmurt Republic, Tambov region, Perm region, Orenburg region	No. 82394	April 13, 2016
MTS OJSC	Mordovia Republic	No. 78823	December 23, 2015
MTS OJSC	Novosibirsk region, Altai Republic, Altai region	No. 76586	July 28, 2015
MTS OJSC	Khakassiya Republic	No. 74998	June 17, 2015
MTS OJSC	Kaliningrad region	No. 92589	March 1, 2017
MTS OJSC	Vologda region, Novgorod region, Yamalo-Nenetsk Autonomous region, Murmansk region, Karelia Republic, Arkhangelsk region, Leningrad region, St. Petersburg	No. 92586	March 1, 2017
MTS OJSC	Omsk region	No. 91421	December 27, 2016
MTS OJSC	Chukotsk Autonomous region	No. 119985	August 6, 2019
MTS OJSC	Voronezh region, Orel region, Lipetsk region, Kursk region, Bryansk region, Belgorod region	No. 119295	July 2, 2019
MTS OJSC	Jewish Autonomous region	No. 124558	November 14, 2019
MGTS	Moscow	No. 111816	December 11, 2018
Comstar-regions	Sverdlovsk region	No. 77302	October 15, 2015
Comstar-regions	Khanty Mansiysk Autonomous region-Yugra	No. 111596	December 15, 2015
Comstar-regions	Ryazan region	No. 92020	December 10, 2016
Sibintelecom CJSC	Zabaykalsky region	No. 119279	June 5, 2019
Metro-Telecom JSC	Moscow	No. 80005	November 24, 2015

Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

Table of Contents

Licensee	License Region(s)	License number	Expiry Date
Sputnikovoe TV	Saratov region, Mordovia Republic, St. Petersburg, Leningrad region, Adygeya Republic, Arkhangelsk region, Astrahansk region, Bryansk region, Belgorod region, Lipetsk region, Chuvashia Republic, Dagestan Republic, Ivanovo region, Ingushetia Republic, KabardinoBalkar Republic, Kaliningrad region, Kalmykia Republic, Karelia Republic, Kaluga region, Moscow, Kirov region, Komi Republic , Kostroma region, Krasnodar territory, Kursk region, Moscow region, Mari El Republic, Chelyabinsk region, Nizhny Novgorod region, Novgorod region, Orel region, Orenburg region, Perm region, Rostov region, Pskov region, Karachaevo-Cherkesia Republic, Amur region, Severnaya Osetia Alania Republic, Smolensk region, Stavropol territory, Tambov region, Tatarstan Republic, Tula region, Bashkortostan Republic, Udmurt Republic, Kurgan region, Vladimir region, Volgograd region, Vologda region, Voronezh region, Yaroslavl region, Altaisk region, Samara region, Buryatiya Republic, Primorsky region, Tver region, Irkutsk region, Kamchatka region, Kemerov region, Khabarovsk region, Khakassiya Republic, Ryazan region, Penza region, Altai Republic, Ulyanovsk region, Sakha Republic (Yakutia), Sverdlovsk region, Tyumen region, Tyva Republic, Chechen Republic, Zabaykalsky region, Omsk region, Novosibirsk region	No. 112799	August 2, 2018

**Data Transmission Services**

MTS OJSC	Russian Federation	No. 101248	July 25, 2022
MTS OJSC	Kamchatka region, Irkutsk region, Jewish Autonomous region, Chukotsk Autonomous region	No. 78185	August 13, 2015
MTS OJSC	Zabaykalsky region	No. 118158	June 5, 2019
MTS OJSC	Mari El Republic	No. 77971	September 14, 2015
MTS OJSC	Altai region	No. 111800	October 31, 2018
MTS OJSC	Volgograd region	No. 74996	June 30, 2015
MTS OJSC	Sakhalin region, Magadan region, Kamchatka Region, Buryatiya Republic	No. 75026	June 17, 2015
MTS OJSC	Primorsky region	No. 79703	December 21, 2015
MTS OJSC	Stavropol region	No. 73856	May 26, 2015

Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

Table of Contents

Licensee	License Region(s)	License number	Expiry Date
MTS OJSC	Samara region	No. 73943	May 26, 2015
MTS OJSC	Kemerovo region	No. 73006	May 31, 2015
MTS OJSC	Saratov region	No. 73939	May 31, 2015
MTS OJSC	Ulyanovsk region	No. 73938	May 31, 2015
MTS OJSC	Astrakhan region	No. 75712	July 15, 2015
MTS OJSC	Adygeya Republic	No. 75710	July 15, 2015
MTS OJSC	Chechen Republic	No. 104020	December 6, 2017
MTS OJSC	Krasnoyarsk region	No. 108058	May 7, 2018
MTS OJSC	Kalmykia Republic	No. 75708	July 28, 2015
MTS OJSC	Tyva Republic	No. 75704	July 28, 2015
MTS OJSC	Krasnodar region	No. 75702	July 15, 2015
MTS OJSC	Mordovia Republic, Chuvashia Republic	No. 77979	September 14, 2015
MTS OJSC	Severnaya Osetia-Alania Republic, Karachaevo-Cherkesia Republic, Kabardino-Balkar Republic, Ingushetia Republic, Dagestan Republic	No. 77980	September 14, 2015
MTS OJSC	Rostov region	No. 82396	April 3, 2016
MTS OJSC	Bashkortostan Republic	No. 75001	June 30, 2015
MTS OJSC	Khabarovsk region	No. 75028	May 31, 2015
MTS OJSC	Altai Republic	No. 75700	July 15, 2015
MTS OJSC	Novosibirsk region	No. 75699	July 15, 2015
MTS OJSC	Khakassiya Republic	No. 74999	June 17, 2015
MTS OJSC	Tomsk region	No. 74997	June 17, 2015
MTS OJSC	Sakha Republic (Yakutia)	No. 75030	June 17, 2015
MTS OJSC	Tatarstan Republic	No. 75698	July 15, 2015
MTS OJSC	Yamalo-Nenetsk Autonomous region, Tyumen region, Sverdlovsk region, Omsk region, Kurgan region, Khanty Mansiysk Autonomous region, Chelyabinsk region, Perm region	No. 93682	April 17, 2017
MTS OJSC	Orenburg region	No. 93684	April 17, 2017
MTS OJSC	Udmurt Republic, Nizhny Novgorod region, Kirov region	No. 93685	April 17, 2017
MTS OJSC	Moscow, Moscow region	No. 93680	April 17, 2017
MTS OJSC	Amur region	No. 93681	April 17, 2017
MTS OJSC	Voronezh region, Orel region, Lipetsk region, Kursk region, Bryansk region, Belgorod region	No. 93686	April 17, 2017
MTS OJSC	Yaroslavl region, Vladimir region, Tver region, Tula region, Tambov region, Smolensk region, Ryazan region, Kostroma region, Kaluga region, Ivanovo region	No. 93687	April 17, 2017
MTS OJSC	Vologda region, Pskov region, Novgorod region, Nenetsk Autonomous region, Murmansk region, Komi Republic, Karelia Republic, Kaliningrad region, Arkhangelsk region, Leningrad region, St. Petersburg,	No. 93683	April 17, 2017

Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

Table of Contents

Licensee	License Region(s)	License number	Expiry Date
MTS OJSC	Penza region	No. 125652	April 1, 2020
MGTS	Moscow	No. 125847	December 11, 2018
Comstar-regions	Khanty Mansiysk Autonomous region-Yugra	No.111595	December 1, 2015
MGTS	Moscow region	No. 125854	April 17, 2019
Comstar-regions	Sverdlovsk region	No. 82419	March 15, 2016
Comstar-regions	Ryazan region	No. 92021	December 10, 2016
Sibintertelecom CJSC	Zabaykalsky region	No. 78664	September 14, 2015
<b>Data Transmission Services for Voice</b>			
MTS OJSC	Russian Federation	No. 101245	July 25, 2022
MTS OJSC	Kaluga region	No. 87185	October 26, 2015
MTS OJSC	Rostov region	No. 87180	February 16, 2016
MTS OJSC	Ivanovo region	No. 87183	October 31, 2015
MTS OJSC	Moscow region	No. 87184	October 26, 2015
MTS OJSC	Moscow	No. 94372	March 30, 2017
MTS OJSC	Sakhalin region, Primorsky region, Irkutsk region	No. 80184	December 12, 2015
MTS OJSC	Voronezh region, Orel region, Lipetsk region, Kursk region, Bryansk region, Belgorod region	No. 76587	July 28, 2015
MTS OJSC	Bashkortostan Republic	No. 82398	April 11, 2016
MTS OJSC	Kirov region	No. 97039	March 15, 2017
MTS OJSC	Magadan region	No. 97007	March 15, 2017
MTS OJSC	Buryatiya Republic	No. 97014	March 15, 2017
MTS OJSC	Khabarovsk region	No. 97050	March 15, 2017
MTS OJSC	Kamchatka region	No. 97018	March 15, 2017
MTS OJSC	Zabaykalsky region	No. 97038	March 15, 2017
MTS OJSC	Amur region	No. 97006	March 15, 2017
MTS OJSC	Kurgan region	No. 97022	March 15, 2017
MTS OJSC	Chechen Republic	No. 97035	March 15, 2017
MTS OJSC	Orenburg region	No. 97030	March 15, 2017
MTS OJSC	Chelyabinsk region	No. 97034	March 15, 2017
MTS OJSC	Khakassiya Republic	No. 97040	March 15, 2017
MTS OJSC	Stavropol territory	No. 97032	March 15, 2017
MTS OJSC	Severnaya Osetia Alania Republic	No. 97049	March 15, 2017
MTS OJSC	Karachaevo-Cherkessia Republic	No. 97016	March 15, 2017
MTS OJSC	Kalmykia Republic	No. 97015	March 15, 2017
MTS OJSC	KabardinoBalkar Republic	No. 97041	March 15, 2017
MTS OJSC	Ingushetia Republic	No. 97045	March 15, 2017
MTS OJSC	Dagestan Republic	No. 97029	March 15, 2017
MTS OJSC	Adygeya Republic	No. 97044	March 15, 2017
MTS OJSC	Kostroma region	No. 97024	March 15, 2017
MTS OJSC	Sakha Republic (Yakutia)	No. 97031	March 15, 2017
MTS OJSC	Altaisk region	No. 97013	March 15, 2017
MTS OJSC	Penza region	No. 97048	March 15, 2017
MTS OJSC	Vologda region	No. 97028	March 15, 2017
MTS OJSC	Saratov region	No. 97002	March 15, 2017
MTS OJSC	Ulyanovsk region	No. 97042	March 15, 2017
MTS OJSC	Mari El Republic	No. 97005	March 15, 2017

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

Table of Contents

Licensee	License Region(s)	License number	Expiry Date
MTS OJSC	Tomsk region	No. 97003	March 15, 2017
MTS OJSC	Chuvashia Republic	No. 97051	March 15, 2017
MTS OJSC	Tyva Republic	No. 97033	March 15, 2017
MTS OJSC	Kaliningrad region	No. 97046	March 15, 2017
MTS OJSC	Murmansk region	No. 97023	March 15, 2017
MTS OJSC	Pskov region	No. 97017	March 15, 2017
MTS OJSC	Karelia Republic	No. 97047	March 15, 2017
MTS OJSC	Komi Republic	No. 97021	March 15, 2017
MTS OJSC	Altai Republic	No. 97027	March 15, 2017
MTS OJSC	Mordovia Republic	No. 97001	March 15, 2017
MTS OJSC	Krasnodar region	No. 110717	August 31, 2017
MTS OJSC	Astrakhan region	No. 122731	December 18, 2019
MTS OJSC	Tambov region	No. 122730	December 18, 2019
MTS OJSC	Udmurt Republic	No. 110729	February 27, 2018
MTS OJSC	Tver region	No. 122267	November 24, 2019
MTS OJSC	Samara region	No. 123560	December 31, 2019
MTS OJSC	Sverdlovsk region, Khanty Mansiysk Autonomous region-Yugra	No. 108752	March 25, 2018
MTS OJSC	Perm region	No. 110716	February 27, 2018
MTS OJSC	Tyumen region, Yamalo-Nenetsk Autonomous region	No. 110748	December 1, 2015
MTS OJSC	St. Petersburg	No. 124994	January 28, 2020
MTS OJSC	Tatarstan Republic	No. 108754	March 25, 2018
MTS OJSC	Nenetsk Autonomous region	No. 108753	March 25, 2018
MTS OJSC	Vladimir region, Ryazan region	No. 108755	March 25, 2018
MTS OJSC	Jewish Autonomous region, Chukotsk Autonomous region	No. 108756	March 25, 2018
MGTS	Moscow region	No. 125849	January 26, 2017
MGTS	Moscow	No. 125850	February 16, 2016
MTS OJSC	Arkhangelsk region	No. 117815	February 17, 2019
MTS OJSC	Krasnoyarsk region	No. 117816	February 17, 2019
MTS OJSC	Novosibirsk region	No. 117817	February 17, 2019
MTS OJSC	Leningrad region	No. 117818	February 17, 2019
MTS OJSC	Nizhny Novgorod region	No. 117819	February 17, 2019
MTS OJSC	Omsk region	No. 117820	February 17, 2019
MTS OJSC	Smolensk region	No. 117821	February 17, 2019
MTS OJSC	Novgorod region	No. 117822	February 17, 2019
MTS OJSC	Tula region	No. 117823	February 17, 2019
MTS OJSC	Volgograd region	No. 117824	February 17, 2019
MTS OJSC	Kemerovo region	No. 117825	February 17, 2019
Comstar-regions	Khanty Mansiysk Autonomous region-Yugra	No. 109139	December 1, 2015
Comstar-regions	Sverdlovsk region	No. 82416	March 15, 2016
Comstar-regions	Ryazan region	No. 92022	December 8, 2016
<b>Mobile Radio Services</b>			
MTS OJSC	Orenburg region	No. 110718	October 2, 2016
MTS OJSC	Rostov region	No. 110721	August 31, 2017
MTS OJSC	Yamalo-Nenetsk Autonomous region	No. 116564	December 15, 2015
Comstar-regions	Khanty Mansiysky Autonomous region-Yugra	No. 109138	December 15, 2015



Table of Contents

Licensee	License Region(s)	License number	Expiry Date
Comstar-regions	Khanty Mansiysky Autonomous region-Yugra	No.109413	May 23, 2018
MGTS	Moscow, Moscow Region	No.112630	August 02, 2018
<b>Telecommunications Services for Cablecasting</b>			
MTS OJSC	Russian Federation	No. 122268	November 24, 2019
Comstar-regions	Ryazan region	No. 96615	December 10, 2015
Comstar-regions	Sverdlovsk region	No. 101795	October 16, 2017
Comstar-regions	Khanty Mansiysk Autonomous region-Yugra	No. 111597	December 1, 2015
MGTS	Moscow	No. 125852	January 27, 2016
MGTS	Moscow region	No. 125853	June 18, 2018
<b>Telecommunications Services for Broadcasting</b>			
MTS OJSC	Kaluga	No. 110724	June 13, 2015
MTS OJSC	Nizhny Novgorod	No. 110698	June 28, 2015
MTS OJSC	Arkhangelsk region, Arkhangelsk, Koryazhma	No. 123157	August 1, 2016
MTS OJSC	Rostov-on-Don	No. 113017	August 1, 2016
MTS OJSC	Tver region	No. 114630	August 1, 2016
MTS OJSC	Rostov region, Taganrog	No. 117190	August 2, 2016
MTS OJSC	Arkhangelsk region, Severodvinsk	No. 120320	August 1, 2016
MTS OJSC	Ivanovo	No. 110719	September 29, 2015
MTS OJSC	Udmurt Republic, Izhevsk	No. 110738	July 20, 2015
MTS OJSC	Krasnoyarsk Territory, Norilsk, Dudinka	No. 114619	August 1, 2016
MTS OJSC	Belgorod region, Belgorod	No. 124923	July 17, 2019
MTS OJSC	Saratov Region, Saratov	No. 124922	August 1, 2016
Comstar-regions	Ekaterinburg	No. 112544	August 1, 2016
Comstar-regions	Nizhniy Tagil, Sverdlovsk region	No. 99351	July 19, 2015
Comstar-regions	Ryazan	No. 86661	November 6, 2015

Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

Table of Contents

Licensee	License Region(s)	License number	Expiry Date
Spitnikovoe TV	Dagestan Replibl, St. Petersburg, Leningrad region, Adygeya Republic, Arkhangelsk region, Astrahansk region, Bashkortostan Republic, Kirov region, Novgorod region, Karelia Republic, Murmansk region, Ivanovo region, Ingushetia Republic, KabardinoBalkar Republic, Kaliningrad region, Kalmykia Republic, Kaluga region, Smolensk region, Bryansk region, Karachaevo-Cherkesia Republic, Komi Republic , Kostroma region, Krasnodar territory, Kursk region, Lipetsk region, Mari El Republic, Mordovia Republic, Moscow region, Nizhny Novgorod region, Chuvashia Republic, Orel region, Orenburg region, Perm region, Rostov region, Pskov region, Ryazan region, Samara region, Saratov region, Moscow, Severnaya Osetia Alania Republic, Belgorod region, Stavropol territory, Tambov region, Tatarstan Republic, Tula region, Tver region, Udmurt Republic, Volgograd region, Vladimir region, Omsk region, Vologda region, Voronezh region, Yaroslavl region, Altaisk region, Altai Republic, Amur region, Buryatiya Republic, Chelyabinsk region, Kemerov region, Jewish Autonomous region, Ulyanovsk region, Khabarovsk region, Khakassiya Republic, Khanty Mansiysk Autonomous region, Krasnoyarsk Territory, Kurgan region, Novosibirsk region, Penza region, Primorsky region, Sakha Republic (Yakutia), Sakhalin region, Sverdlovsk region, Tomsk region, Tyumen region, Tyva Republic, YamaloNenetsk Autonomous region, Chechen Republic, Zabaykalsky region, Irkutsk region	No. 123678	October 1, 2019
<b>Cable Radio (communication services for the purpose of wire broadcasting)</b>			
MTS OJSC	Nizhny Novgorod region	No. 123659	October 1, 2019
Comstar-regions	Sverdlovsk region	No. 120413	May 23, 2019

Table of Contents**C. Organizational Structure**

The table below presents our significant subsidiaries and investees, the places of incorporation and our effective ownership interests therein as of December 31, 2014.

<b>Subsidiary</b>	<b>Accounting Method</b>	<b>Ownership Interest</b>	<b>Place of Incorporation/ Organization</b>
Sibintertelecom	Consolidated	100.0%	Russia
Russian Telephone Company	Consolidated	100.0%	Russia
SMARTS Companies	Consolidated	100.0%	Russia
Sputnikovoe TV	Consolidated	100.0%	Russia
Metro-Telecom	Consolidated	95.0%	Russia
MGTS	Consolidated	94.6%	Russia
MTS Ukraine	Consolidated	100.0%	Ukraine
MTS Finance	Consolidated	100.0%	Luxembourg
MTS Turkmenistan	Consolidated	100.0%	Turkmenistan
MTS Bermuda <sup>(1)</sup>	Consolidated	100.0%	Bermuda
Dega Retail Holding Limited	Consolidated	100.0%	British Virgin Islands
MTS International Funding <sup>(2)</sup>	Consolidated	VIE	Ireland
K-Telecom	Consolidated	80.0%	Armenia
UMS	Consolidated	50.01%	Uzbekistan
MTS Belarus	Equity	49.0%	Belarus
IntellectTelecom	Equity	47.3%	Russia
Stream LLC	Equity	45.0%	Russia
MTS Bank	Equity	27.0%	Russia
OZON	Equity	10.8%	Cyprus

(1) A wholly owned subsidiary established to repurchase our ADSs.

(2) A private limited company organized and existing under the laws of Ireland for the sole purpose of financing a loan to MTS. The company is a variable interest entity of the Group.

See also Note 2 to our audited consolidated financial statements.

**D. Property, Plant and Equipment****Property, Plant and Equipment**

We own and occupy premises in Moscow at 4 Marksistskaya Street Bldgs. 1-4, 34 Marksistskaya Street Bldg. 10, 1/3 Vorontsovskaya Street Bldgs. 2 and 2a, 5 Vorontsovskaya Street Bldgs. 1 and 2, 13/ 14 Vorontsovskaya Street Bldg. 4, 8 Vorontsovskaya Street Bldg. 4, 12/12 Pankratievsky Pereulok, 2/10 Perviy Golutvinskiy Pereulok Bldg. 1 and 2, 4 Perviy Golutvinskiy Pereulok Bldg. 1, 9 Magnitogorskaya Street, 6 Vtoroy Vyazovskiy Proezd Building 1-3, 2A Konstantina Simonova Street, 19 Dmitrovskoye shosse Bldg. 2, 103 Prospect Mira, 42 Profsoyuznaya Street Bldg. 1, 24/2 Malaya Dmitrovka Street, 5/9 Malaya Dmitrovka Street, Sheremetyevo Airport, 58/1 Ryazanskiy prospect, 60 Varshavskoe shosse, 27 Smolenskaya-Sennaya square Bldg 2, 27 Smolenskaya-Sennaya square Bldg 3, 6 Ostrovitjanova Street, 2 Mozhayskoe shosse, which we use for administration, sales and other service centers as well as the operations of mobile switching centers.

We also lease buildings in Moscow for similar purposes, including marketing and sales and other service centers. In addition, through our subsidiary MGTS, we own approximately 235 buildings located throughout Moscow, which serve as sales and customer service offices, house MGTS' telecommunication equipment and are leased to third parties. We also own office buildings in some of our regional license areas and in Ukraine, and we lease office space on an as-needed basis. We believe



## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

### Table of Contents

that our properties are adequate for our current needs and additional space is available to us if and when it is needed.

The primary elements of our network are base stations, base station controllers, transcoders and mobile switching centers. Base stations occupy sites leased at selected locations in all the areas in which we provide network coverage. GSM, 3G and 4G technologies are based on an "open architecture," which means that equipment from one supplier can be combined with that of another supplier to expand the network. Thus, there are no technical limitations to using equipment from other suppliers.

The table below sets forth certain information on our network equipment as of December 31, 2014.

	Base stations GSM-900	Base stations GSM-1800	Base stations UMTS-900	Base stations UMTS-2100	Femtocell stations UMTS-2100	Base stations LTE-800	Base stations LTE-1800	Base stations LTE-2600	Small Cell controllers	Base station Switches <sup>(1)</sup>	Media Gateways <sup>(2)</sup>	
<b>Russia</b>	27895	20071	1363	32852	3129	488	593	13255	197	964	121	166
<b>Ukraine</b>	5221	8250								256	72	
<b>Uzbekistan</b>	787	2011		815				11		66	35	27
<b>Turkmenistan</b>	855	793		298						22	6	
<b>Armenia</b>	1031	591		1091				250		18	10	6

(1) Includes 3G equipment.

(2) Includes 3G and 4G equipment.

#### **Item 4A. Unresolved Staff Comments**

None.

#### **Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects**

*The following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations is intended to help the reader understand us, our operations and our present business environment and should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements, related notes and other information included elsewhere in this document. In particular, we refer you to the risks discussed in "Item 3. Key Information D. Risk Factors" for information regarding governmental, economic, fiscal, monetary or political policies or factors that could materially adversely affect our operations or your investment in our shares and ADSs. In addition, this section contains forward-looking statements that involve risk and uncertainties. Our actual results may differ materially from those discussed in forward-looking statements as a result of various factors, including those described under "Item 3. Key Information D. Risk Factors" and "Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements." Our reporting currency is the Russian ruble and our consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP.*

### **A. Operating Results**

#### **Overview**

We are a leading telecommunications provider in Russia and the CIS, providing a wide range of mobile and fixed line voice and data telecommunications services, including transmission, broadband, pay-TV and various value-added services, as well as selling equipment and accessories.

According to AC&M-Consulting, we are the largest mobile operator in Russia and the second largest in Ukraine in terms of mobile subscribers. We are also the largest operator in Armenia in terms of mobile subscribers, according to our estimates. As of December 31, 2014, we had a mobile subscriber base of approximately 98.8 million.

We are also the largest operator in the Moscow residential broadband market in terms of subscribers, with a 29.0% market share as of December 31, 2014, based on TMT consulting data.



Table of Contents

Our revenues for the year ended December 31, 2014, were RUB 410,758 million, an increase of 3% from the year ended December 31, 2013. Our net income attributable to the Group for the year ended December 31, 2014, was RUB 51,822 million, a decrease of 35.1% from the year ended December 31, 2013. Our net income from continuing operations for the year ended December 31, 2014 decreased by RUB 24,662 million or 32.0% to RUB 52,393 million, the decline was mainly attributable to an increase of RUB 12,550 million in currency exchange and translation loss due to depreciation of the Russian Ruble, write off of RUB 5,138 million investment in Delta Bank in Ukraine and an impairment of MTS Bank (RUB 3,225 million), the effect was partially compensated by a gain of RUB 6,734 million resulting from our reentrance into Uzbekistan. In addition in 2013, we recognized a gain as a result of the settlement of Bitel litigation (the gain totaled to RUB 12,147 million). Our revenues have historically increased through organic growth, as well as through acquisitions.

We also aggressively expanded our proprietary retail and distribution network over the course of 2012, 2013 and 2014, both organically and through the acquisition of several national and regional retail chains. See "Item 4. Information on Our Company B. Business Overview Mobile Operations Sales and Marketing Sales and Distribution" and " Acquisitions."

We require significant funds to support our subscriber growth, primarily for increasing network capacity, maintaining and modernizing our mobile and fixed line networks, developing our network in the regions and continuing the build-out of our LTE, 3G and broadband Internet networks.

Our cash outlays for capital expenditures (consisting of purchases of property, plant and equipment and intangible assets) for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2013 and 2014 were RUB 87,783 million, RUB 81,575 million and RUB 92,599 million, respectively.

We have financed our cash requirements through our operating cash flows and borrowings. Net cash provided by operating activities from continuing operations for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2013 and 2014 was RUB 134,856 million, RUB 159,924 million and RUB 159,518 million, respectively.

Our borrowings consist of notes and bank loans. Since 2001, we have raised a total of \$3.0 billion (approximately RUB 92.8 billion at the date of issue) through eight U.S. dollar-denominated unsecured bond offerings in the international capital markets, as well as ruble-denominated bonds totaling RUB 96 billion. Our bank loans consist of U.S. dollar, euro and ruble-denominated borrowings totaling approximately RUB 176.5 billion as of December 31, 2014.

We repaid approximately RUB 52.6 billion of indebtedness in 2014. As of December 31, 2014, the total amount available to us under our credit facilities amounted to RUB 44.4 billion. We had total indebtedness of approximately RUB 292.4 billion as of December 31, 2014, including capital lease obligations, compared to approximately RUB 219.1 billion as of December 31, 2013.

Our total interest expense for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2014 was RUB 15,498 million and RUB 16,453 million, net of amounts capitalized, respectively. See Note 17 to our audited consolidated financial statements for a description of our indebtedness.

Our reporting currency is Russian Rubles. Our and our subsidiaries' functional currencies are the ruble in Russia, the hryvnia in Ukraine, the U.S. dollar in Uzbekistan, the manat in Turkmenistan and the dram in Armenia. See " Certain Factors Affecting our Financial Position and Results of Operations Currency Fluctuations" and "Item 11. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk Foreign Currency Risk."

Table of Contents**Segments**

The Group identified the following reportable segments:

**Russia convergent:** represents the results of mobile and fixed line operations, which encompasses services rendered to customers across regions of Russia, including voice and data services, transmission, broadband, pay-TV and various value-added services.

**Moscow fixed line:** represents the results of fixed line operations carried out in Moscow by the Group's subsidiary MGTS. MGTS is the only licensed PSTN operator in Moscow and is considered a natural monopoly under Russian antimonopoly regulations. Consequently, substantial part of services provided by MGTS are subject to governmental regulation.

**Ukraine:** represents the results of mobile and fixed line operations carried out across multiple regions of Ukraine.

The segments are organized and managed separately based on the nature of products and services, regulatory environments and geographic areas.

The "Other" category does not constitute a reportable segment. It includes both the results of a number of other operating segments that do not meet the quantitative thresholds for separate reporting, such as Armenia, Uzbekistan, Turkmenistan, Sputnikovoe TV and the headquarters. See also Note 29 to our audited consolidated financial statements for segment information.

In 2014, the Group ceased presenting Russia convergent and Moscow fixed line as one reporting segment. Management has determined that disaggregation may help users of the financial statements better understand the Group's future performance. Related financial information has, therefore, been retrospectively restated.

The net operating revenues of our segments for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2013 and 2014 were as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2013	2014
	(in millions of Russian Rubles)		
<b>Net operating revenues</b>			
Russia convergent	305,991	321,075	340,732
Moscow fixed line	37,856	40,324	40,824
Ukraine	37,722	39,732	32,786
Other	6,382	9,129	11,198
Eliminations <sup>(1)</sup>	(9,711)	(11,817)	(14,782)
<b>Net operating revenues as reported</b>	<b>378,240</b>	<b>398,443</b>	<b>410,758</b>

(1) Represents the elimination of inter-company transaction results, primarily interconnect, roaming and rent of channels and telecommunications infrastructure.

**Certain Operating Data**

Below we provide certain operating data not included in our financial statements that we believe is useful for evaluating our business and results. The data focuses primarily on our mobile operations, particularly in Russia and Ukraine, which comprise the most significant share of our revenue in the periods presented, and is among the information routinely reviewed by our management as part of their regular evaluation of our performance.



Table of Contents**Mobile Subscriber Data**

The following table shows our mobile subscribers by country as of the dates indicated:

	At December 31,		
	2012	2013	2014
	(in millions)		
<b>Subscribers<sup>(1)</sup></b>			
Russia	71.2	69.4	74.6
Ukraine <sup>(2)</sup>	20.7	21.5	20.2
Turkmenistan	1.5	1.7	1.7
Armenia	2.4	2.0	2.1
Uzbekistan <sup>(3)</sup>	n/a	n/a	0.2
<b>Total consolidated</b>	<b>95.8</b>	<b>94.7</b>	<b>98.8</b>
MTS Belarus (unconsolidated)	5.2	5.2	5.3

- (1) For the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2013 we defined a subscriber as an individual or organization whose account shows chargeable activity within 61 days (or 183 days in the case of Prepaid tariffs) or whose account does not have a negative balance for more than this period. Starting from 2014, we define a subscriber as an organization or individual, whose SIM-card shows traffic-generating activity or accrues a balance for services rendered or is replenished or topped off over the course of any three-month period, inclusive within the reporting period, and was not blocked at the end of the period. The number of subscribers was restated based on subscriber definition introduced in 2014 only for the year ended December 31, 2013.
- (2) Including CDMA subscribers starting 2011.
- (3) We reentered into Uzbekistan market and started provision of services on December 1, 2014. We expect our subscriber base and revenues to increase and our market share to enhance in 2015.

We had approximately 74.6 million subscribers in Russia as of December 31, 2014, and a leading 31% market share of total mobile cellular subscribers in Russia, according to AC&M-Consulting. Overall penetration in Russia was at approximately 168.2%, according to AC&M-Consulting. We had approximately 20.2 million subscribers in Ukraine as of December 31, 2014 and, according to AC&M-Consulting, a 34% market share of total mobile cellular subscribers in Ukraine. In addition, as of December 31, 2014, we had approximately 2.1 million subscribers in Armenia, and 1.7 million subscribers in Turkmenistan, representing a 60.4% and 25.5% market share, respectively, according to our estimates. For a description of our fixed line subscriber base, see "Item 4. Information on Our Company B. Business Overview Fixed Line Services."

**Mobile churn rate**

We define mobile churn as the total number of subscribers who cease to be a subscriber during the period (whether involuntarily due to non-payment or voluntarily, at such subscriber's request), expressed as a percentage of the average number of our subscribers during that period. We view the subscriber churn as a measure of market competition and customer dynamics. The following table shows our Russian and Ukrainian subscriber churn for the periods indicated.

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2013	2014
<b>Subscriber Churn</b>			
Russia	42.4%	38.1%	41.0%
Ukraine	30.5%	27.2%	34.2%

Table of Contents

The churn rate is highly dependent on competition in our license areas and those subscribers who migrate as a result of such competition.

A vast majority of our subscribers are prepaid subscribers with no contractual commitment to us. As a result, these subscribers have unfettered freedom to migrate between operators at their convenience. This freedom, combined with the relative ease with which subscribers can obtain SIM cards, contributes to churn and increasing penetration levels in the markets where we operate.

The churn rate is highly dependent on competition in our license areas and those subscribers who migrate as a result of such competition. Our churn rate in Russia slightly increased to 41.0% during the year ended December 31, 2014, as compared to 38.1% for the year ended December 31, 2013, due to growth in the number of new connections. We continued to offer our popular tariff plan "Super MTS" (free calls to all subscribers of MTS Russia), updated options for unlimited mobile Internet, further improved network quality and enhanced data rate by expanding our 3G and LTE capabilities. We expect that the extension of the MTS-Bonus loyalty program and further development of our mono-brand retail network will allow us to keep churn rate under control in 2015, stimulate value-added services usage and promote subscriber loyalty through superior customer service.

The churn rate in Ukraine increased to 34.2% for the year ended December 31, 2014, from 27.2% for the year ended December 31, 2013 due to the fact that MTS Ukraine terminated operations in Crimea in October 2014.

**Mobile ARPU**

We calculate mobile average monthly service revenue per subscriber by dividing our service revenues for a given period, including interconnect, guest roaming fees and connection fees, by the average number of our subscribers during that period and dividing by the number of months in that period. The following table shows our average monthly service revenue per Russian and Ukrainian subscriber based on our current calculation methodology and average monthly minutes of use per Russian and Ukrainian subscriber for the periods indicated.

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2013	2014
<b>Average monthly service revenue per subscriber, RUB</b>			
Russia	297.1	338.6	339.1
Ukraine	152.7	157.5	129.1
<b>Average monthly minutes of use per subscriber</b>			
Russia	304	359	372
Ukraine	597	608	554

Average monthly service revenue per subscriber in Russia slightly increased to RUB 339.1 for the year ended December 31, 2014, from RUB 338.6 for the year ended December 31, 2013. This increase was coupled with an increase in subscriber base in 2014 to 74.6 million from 69.4 million, and was caused by inflation and an increase in the disposable income of the general population. Average monthly minutes of use per subscriber in Russia increased to 372 minutes in 2014 from 359 minutes in 2013 mainly due to decrease in tariffs for on-net traffic and various roaming-related offers.

In Ukraine, average monthly service revenue per subscriber decreased to RUB 129.1 for the year ended December 31, 2014, from RUB 157.5 for the year ended December 31, 2013. The average monthly minutes of use per subscriber decreased to 554 minutes in 2014 from 608 minutes in 2013 due to the negative impact of macroeconomic factors on overall voice traffic and difficulty in provision of services in eastern Ukraine.

Table of Contents

**Revenues**

Our principal sources of revenue are:

mobile service revenues, which include usage and interconnect fees, value-added services fees, monthly subscription fees, roaming fees and connection fees;

fixed service revenues from individual and corporate subscribers, which include monthly subscription fees, traffic charges, connection fees, revenues from broadband Internet connection and data transmission services, revenues from pay-TV and from sales of end-user telecommunications equipment. Fixed service revenues also include revenues received from operators, which comprise revenues from the renting out of channels and traffic charges and revenues from the renting out of telecommunications infrastructure; and

revenues from sales of handsets and accessories.

Our mobile service subscriber tariffs in Russia and Ukraine are not currently regulated by any organization or governmental authority. The interconnect fees we charge to other operators for terminating calls interconnecting to our mobile network are not regulated in Russia, but are regulated in Ukraine. See also "Item 3. Key Information D. Risk Factors Risks Relating to Our Business Governmental regulation of SMP operators in Ukraine could adversely affect our results of operations," " If we are found to have a dominant position in the markets where we operate, the government may regulate our subscriber tariffs and restrict our operations" and " If we or any of our mobile operator subsidiaries operating in Russia are identified as an operator occupying a "substantial position," the regulator may reduce our interconnect tariffs which, in turn, may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations."

Certain of our fixed service tariffs are regulated, including tariffs charged by Moscow incumbent operator MGTS for installation fees, monthly subscription fees and local call charges, as well as interconnect and traffic transit tariffs. The interconnect tariffs charged by us are also regulated by the Federal Agency on Communications.

***Service revenues***

*Usage fees* include amounts charged directly to our subscribers, both for their usage of our network and for their usage of other operators' GSM networks when roaming outside of our service area. We generally bill our subscribers for all outgoing calls. Since July 2006, pursuant to an amendment to the Federal Law on Communications, mobile operators in Russia have been prohibited from charging their subscribers for incoming calls.

The prices for outgoing calls to other cellular operators and to the public service telephone network are usually higher than charges for outgoing calls within our network. The usage fees charged for a call originating on our network depend on a number of factors, including the subscriber's tariff plan, call duration, the time of day when the call was placed and the call destination. Usage fees as a percentage of our total revenues were 27.6% in 2012, 26.4% in 2013 and 23.8% in 2014. Usage fees as a percentage of our total revenues have been decreasing largely due to the increase in revenues from value-added services as a percentage of our total revenues.

*Interconnect fees*, which are fees for connecting users of other operators' fixed line and wireless networks to our network, comprised 11.3%, 11.1% and 12.1% of our total revenues in 2012, 2013 and 2014, respectively. The interconnect fees as a percentage of our total revenues remained relatively stable. However, in absolute terms, interconnect fees grew by RUB 5.6 billion in 2014 as compared to 2013 and we expect it to continue to grow due to the increases in traffic volumes from our competitors.

*Value-added services* as a percentage of our total revenues comprised 21.5% in 2012, 24.1% in 2013 and 26.9% in 2014. We offer our subscribers an array of value-added services. The increase in 2014 in

Table of Contents

revenue from value-added services was due to an increase in data traffic, resulting from active marketing initiatives, expansion of mobile internet penetration and overall improvement of the quality of these services.

*Monthly subscription fees* consist of fixed monthly charges for network access and access to additional services. Monthly subscription fees as a percentage of our total revenues represented 7.1% in 2012, 6.8% in 2013 and 5.8% in 2014, respectively. The fluctuations of the monthly subscription fees as a percentage of our total revenues corresponds to the change in the share of subscribers with monthly subscription fees in the subscriber mix from year to year and the subscription-based services we offer. Many of our monthly subscription fee-based tariff plans also include a usage fee-based component for minutes used over a certain number of prepaid minutes as well as certain amount of prepaid megabytes. The percentage of our total revenues represented by usage fees as compared to monthly subscription fees will continue to be affected by changes in our tariff plans, as well as the relative product mix between usage fee-based tariff plans versus monthly subscription fee-based tariff plans.

*Roaming fees for guest subscribers* include amounts charged to other cellular operators for their subscribers *i.e.*, guest roamers, utilizing our network while traveling in our service area. We bill other cellular operators for calls of guest roamers carried on our network. Roaming fees for guest subscribers as a percentage of our total revenues represented 0.7% in 2012, 0.6% in 2013 and 0.7% in 2014. We generally expect that roaming fees will decline as a percentage of our total revenues due to the large increase of revenues from our value-added services. In addition, roaming tariffs between mobile operators have a tendency to decrease relative to the increase in the total number of mobile users. We may also be pressured or required to lower our roaming tariffs by FAS. See "Item 8. Financial Information A. Consolidated Statements and Other Financial Information 7. Litigation Antimonopoly Proceedings."

*Roaming fees for our own subscribers* include amounts charged to our subscribers while traveling out of our service area. Roaming fees for own subscribers as a percentage of our total revenues represented 8.6% in 2012, 8.6% in 2013 and 8.3% in 2014. We expect that our roaming fees will decline as a percentage of total revenues due to the continuing growth of revenues from our value-added services.

*Connection fees* consist of charges incurred by subscribers for the initial connection to our network and sign-up for value-added services. We defer connection fees and recognize them as revenues over the estimated average subscriber life in our network as described in Note 20 to our audited consolidated financial statements. Connection fees represented 0.3%, 0.2%, 0.3% of our total revenues in 2012, 2013, and 2014, respectively. We expect connection fee revenues to remain at a low level as a percentage of our total revenues.

*Fixed service revenues* which consist primarily of fixed line telephony services, broadband internet and pay-TV services, comprised 14.7%, 14.7% and 14.1% of our total revenues in 2012, 2013 and 2014, respectively. In absolute terms, the growth of our fixed service revenues was stimulated by an increase in revenues from internet and TV services due to the increase in number of users attributable to the development of the GPON project and consequent improvement in quality and uptake of services provided. We expect that it will continue to grow due to the further development of GPON.

Table of Contents

***Sales of Handsets and Accessories***

Revenue from the sale of handsets and accessories as a percentage of total revenue comprised 7.6% in 2012, 6.6% in 2013 and 7.0% in 2014. The increase in 2014 as compared to 2013 is attributable to the expansion of our retail chain. We expect that sales of handsets and accessories will decrease as a percentage of total revenue due to the expected increase of revenues from our value-added services. We do not subsidize handset sales in Russia. In Ukraine, we subsidize handsets for some of our contract subscribers as well as modems for GSM and CDMA users. See " Cost of Handsets and Accessories" below.

***Cost of Services***

*Interconnect and line rental.* Interconnect and line rental charges include charges payable to other operators for access to, and use of their networks, which are necessary in the course of providing service to our subscribers. Interconnect charges as a percentage of our total revenues represented 12.3% in 2012, 11.9% in 2013 and 12.6% in 2014. Line rental charges as a percentage of our total revenues represented 1.9% in 2012, 2013 and 2014.

We expect that interconnect expenses payable by us to other operators for termination of traffic generated by our subscribers will increase. Primarily, this increase will likely be attributable to the growth in the volume of traffic resulting from our efforts to encourage greater usage through the introduction of new services, which may be supported by marketing campaigns.

We expect line rental costs to increase based on the number of base stations, base station controllers, the number and capacity of rented lines.

*Roaming expenses.* Roaming expenses consist of amounts charged by other cellular operators under agreements for roaming services provided to our subscribers while outside our service area. Roaming expenses as a percentage of our total revenues represented 1.7% in 2012, 1.4% in 2013 and 2.2% in 2014.

***Cost of Handsets and Accessories***

This type of expense includes primarily the cost of handsets and accessories sold to subscribers, and the cost of SIM cards provided to our customers. Cost of handsets, accessories sold and SIM cards provided to customers as a percentage of our total revenues represented 6.6% in 2012, 5.7% in 2013 and 6.1% in 2014. The increase in 2014 was primarily attributable to the growth in our handsets sales. We do not subsidize handset sales other than in Ukraine, where we subsidize handsets on a limited basis to contract subscribers as well as modems for CDMA users. In the years ended December 31, 2012, 2013, and 2014 we provided net handset subsidies in Ukraine totaling RUB 168 million, RUB 120 million, and RUB 67 million, respectively.

Generally, we provide SIM cards to our customers free of charge. The cost of SIM cards amounted to RUB 1,194 million in 2012, RUB 1,309 million in 2013 and RUB 1,144 million in 2014.

***Sales and Marketing Expenses***

Our sales and marketing expenses primarily consist of:

expenses for advertising and promotion; and

dealer commissions on new connections and cash collected from subscribers.

Sales and marketing expenses reflect, among other things, advertising, promotions and other costs associated with the expansion of services in our license areas. These expenses have generally increased in prior years as subscriber numbers, market saturation and market competition have increased, as well

Table of Contents

as in connection with the further development of our brand and introduction of new value-added services. In 2012, we changed the motivation scheme for our dealers, shifting from a fixed bonus per acquired subscriber to a bonus depending on the subscriber quality which is measured by a percentage of subscriber payment. This resulted in the decrease of sales and marketing expenses in 2012. In 2013, sales and marketing expenses remained at the same level as in 2012 (5.7% of the revenue). In 2014, sales and marketing expenses decreased to 5.3% of our total revenue due to decrease in subscriber base in Ukraine and more active usage of own retail network.

We retain some degree of flexibility to increase or decrease these expenses in any given period based on our requirements, strategy and the general economic environment. For the structure of our dealer commissions in Russia and Ukraine please see "Item 4. Information on Our Company B. Business Overview Mobile Operations Sales and Marketing Sales and Distribution."

***Sundry Operating Expenses***

Our sundry operating expenses consist primarily of:

employee salaries and bonuses;

social contributions payable to state funds;

general and administrative expenses;

taxes other than income taxes, *e.g.* property taxes;

office maintenance expenses;

network repair and maintenance;

rental of premises;

provision for doubtful accounts;

long-lived assets and goodwill impairment loss; and

other operating expenses.

Sundry operating expenses as a percentage of our total revenues represented 22.9% in 2012, 23.6% in 2013 and 23.6% in 2014. Sundry operating expenses as a percentage of revenue are expected to increase over time as a result of inflation.

***Depreciation of Property, Network Equipment and Amortization of Intangible Assets***

Our expense for depreciation of property, network equipment and amortization of intangible assets as a percentage of our total revenues remained relatively stable and amounted to 18.2% for the year ended December 31, 2014 as compared to 18.4% of our total revenues for the year ended December 31, 2013. We expect increases in future in connection with our ongoing network development and modernization program and the build-out associated with our regional networks.

***Interest Expense***

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

Our interest expense for 2014 increased by RUB 955 million or 6.2% compared to 2013 and amounted to RUB 16,453 million. The increase is primarily attributable to the rise in our total indebtedness, revision of interest rates during 2014 as well as raising funds at higher interest rates due to the increase in the CBR key rate. We expect interest expense to increase in 2015.

Table of Contents***Provision for Income Taxes***

Taxation on income of Russian companies is regulated by a number of laws, government decrees and implementation instructions.

The income tax base for Russian companies is defined as income received from sales of goods and services reduced by the amount of business expenses incurred in such operations with certain exceptions.

The statutory income tax rate in Russia is 20%. Effective April 1, 2011, the statutory income tax rate in Ukraine was reduced from 25% to 23%, to 21% in 2012, to 19% in 2013 and to 18% in 2014. As of today, no changes in tax rates are expected in the future. The effective tax rate applicable to our consolidated group in the year ended December 31, 2014 was 23.8%. The effective tax rate differs from the statutory rate insignificantly, mainly as a result of earnings distributions from subsidiaries, effect of the lower tax rates of subsidiaries and other items not liable for tax purposes.

**Certain Factors Affecting our Financial Position and Results of Operations*****Change in reporting currency***

Beginning with the period commencing on January 1, 2013, we changed our reporting currency from U.S. dollar to Russian Ruble. Previously, we had presented our consolidated financial statements in U.S. Dollars. Our change in reporting currency is to allow better transparency of reporting.

***Currency fluctuations***

A majority of our capital expenditure and a significant part of our liabilities and borrowings are either denominated in or tightly linked to the U.S. dollar or euro. We conduct our operations within the Russian Federation, Ukraine, Turkmenistan and Armenia, and we are therefore subject to currency fluctuations. The local currencies of these countries fluctuate significantly against the U.S. dollar and euro. As a result of these fluctuations we may incur significant currency exchange gains/losses which may adversely affect our net income.

***Inflation***

Our financial position and results of operations as reflected in our audited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this document have been influenced by inflation.

The Russian economy has been characterized by high rates of inflation:

<b>Year</b>	<b>Inflation rate</b>
2008	13.3%
2009	8.8%
2010	8.8%
2011	6.1%
2012	6.6%
2013	6.5%
2014	11.4%

Source:

Federal State Statistics Service.



Table of Contents

The Ukrainian economy has been characterized by high rates of inflation:

<b>Year</b>	<b>Inflation/(deflation) rate</b>
2008	25.2%
2009	15.9%
2010	9.4%
2011	8.0%
2012	(0.2)%
2013	(0.3)%
2014	12.1%

---

Source:

State Statistics Committee of Ukraine.

Inflation rates in Armenia, Turkmenistan and Uzbekistan in 2014 were estimated at 4.6%, 4.4% and 6.1% respectively.

We expect inflation-driven increases in costs to put pressure on our margins. While we could seek to raise our tariffs to compensate for such increase in costs, competitive pressures may not permit increases that are sufficient to preserve operating margins. See "Item 3. Key Information D. Risk Factors Risks Relating to Our Financial Condition Inflation could increase our costs and adversely affect our results of operations."

***Acquisitions***

Our results of operations for the periods presented are significantly affected by acquisitions. Results of operations of acquired businesses are included in our audited consolidated financial statements for the periods after their respective dates of acquisition. See "Item 3. Key Information A. Selected Financial Data."

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

### Table of Contents

Below is a list of our major acquisitions during 2012, 2013 and 2014.

Company	Type	Date of acquisition	Stake acquired	Purchase price
<b>2012</b>				
Tascom	Provider of telecommunication services to corporate clients in Moscow and the Moscow Region	May 2012	100%	1,437
Elf Group	Regional fixed line operator	August 2012	100%	191
Intercom	Regional fixed line operator	August 2012	100%	80
Zhelgortelecom	Regional fixed line operator	October 2012	100%	147
Pilot	Regional fixed line operator	October 2012	100%	32
TVK & K	Regional fixed line operator	December 2012	100%	59
				<b>1,946</b>
<b>2013</b>				
MTS Bank	Commercial bank	April 2013	25.1%	5,089
				<b>5,089</b>
<b>2014</b>				
Ozon Holdings Limited	e-commerce retailer	April 2014	10.8%	2,702
SMARTS-Ivanovo	Regional mobile operator	December 2014	100%	424
SMARTS-Ufa	Regional mobile operator	December 2014	100%	399
Penza-GSM	Regional mobile operator	December 2014	100%	1,934
				<b>5,459</b>

### **Results of Operations**

Our management identified the following reportable segments: Russia convergent, Moscow fixed line and Ukraine. See " Segments."

Intercompany eliminations presented below consist primarily of sales transactions between segments conducted in the normal course of operations.

Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

Table of Contents

Financial information by reportable segments is presented below:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2013	2014
	(in millions of Russian Rubles)		
<b>Net operating revenues</b>			
Russia convergent	305,991	321,075	340,732
Moscow fixed line	37,856	40,324	40,824
Ukraine	37,722	39,732	32,786
Other	6,382	9,129	11,198
Eliminations <sup>(1)</sup>	(9,711)	(11,817)	(14,782)
Net operating revenues as reported	378,240	398,443	410,758
<b>Costs of services, excluding depreciation and amortization shown separately below, and cost of handsets and accessories</b>			
Russia convergent	99,852	98,359	108,405
Moscow fixed line	5,685	5,990	5,458
Ukraine	9,691	10,067	9,275
Other	1,400	2,234	2,628
Eliminations <sup>(1)</sup>	(8,535)	(10,237)	(11,084)
Cost of services and cost of handsets and accessories as reported	108,093	106,413	114,682
<b>Sundry operating expenses<sup>(2)</sup></b>			
Russia convergent	59,473	67,149	70,111
Moscow fixed line	12,241	12,693	13,495
Ukraine	6,242	6,360	11,430
Other	9,687	9,057	5,353
Eliminations <sup>(1)</sup>	(867)	(1,101)	(3,280)
Sundry operating expenses as reported	86,776	94,158	97,109
<b>Sales and marketing expenses</b>			
Russia convergent	17,839	18,712	18,479
Moscow fixed line	731	781	660
Ukraine	2,570	2,664	1,911
Other	817	1,060	1,157
Eliminations	(290)	(356)	(299)
Sales and marketing expenses as reported	21,667	22,861	21,908
<b>Depreciation and amortization expenses</b>			
Russia convergent	51,994	57,655	57,773
Moscow fixed line	4,251	5,182	7,609
Ukraine	9,571	8,896	6,780
Other	2,104	1,588	2,619

Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

Eliminations	(10)	(68)	(71)
Depreciation and amortization as reported	67,910	73,253	74,710
<b>Operating income</b>			
Russia convergent	76,832	79,199	85,964
Moscow fixed line	14,948	15,678	13,601
Ukraine	9,647	11,745	3,390
Other	(7,625)	(4,810)	(559)
Eliminations	(8)	(54)	(47)
Operating income as reported	93,794	101,758	102,349

---

(1) Represents the elimination of inter-company transaction results, primarily interconnect, roaming and rent of channels and telecommunications infrastructure.

(2) For the purposes of this analysis "Sundry operating expenses" consist of general and administrative expenses, allowance for doubtful accounts, impairment of long-lived assets and goodwill, other operating expenses/(income) , gain from reentrance into Uzbekistan and provision for investments in DeltaBank in Ukraine.

Table of Contents**Year Ended December 31, 2014 compared to Year Ended December 31, 2013*****Revenues and cost of services and cost of handsets and accessories***

*Consolidated revenues* for the year ended December 31, 2014, increased by RUB 12,315 million, or 3.1%, to RUB 410,758 million from RUB 398,443 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The principal reason for the growth of our consolidated revenues for the year ended December 31, 2014, was the large increase in the usage of value-added services by our subscribers (by RUB 14,432 million), which was mainly attributable to the increase of data traffic driven by our active promotion of value-added services, an increase in mobile internet penetration, an increase in usage of smartphones by our subscribers and active 3G and LTE network expansion and the consequent improvement of the quality and uptake of value-added services. The increase of our consolidated revenues for the year ended December 31, 2014 was also supported by the growth of interconnect revenues by RUB 5,619 million and increase in revenues from sales of handsets and accessories by RUB 2,443 million. The growth of our interconnect revenues was due to increases in the volume of traffic from our competitors and the appreciation of U.S. dollar and EUR against Russian ruble by approximately 21% based on average exchange rates for the year ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 which affected our interconnect revenue in ruble terms. The increase in sales of handsets and accessories by RUB 2,443 million was stimulated by the continued expansion of our retail operations. In the year ended December 31, 2014, we experienced a decline in our revenues from voice services by RUB 7,260 million due to continued refocusing of our strategy to promote data services. The decrease in subscription fees by RUB 3,316 million in the year ended December 31, 2014 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2013 is attributable to the reallocation of our subscriber base to more affordable contract tariff plans. Our consolidated mobile subscriber base increased and amounted to 98.8 million as of December 31, 2014 as compared to 94.7 million as of December 31, 2013. The increase in the mobile churn rate in Russia to 41.0% from 38.1% in 2013 had an immaterial impact on our consolidated revenues.

*Consolidated cost of services and cost of handsets and accessories* for the year ended December 31, 2014 increased and amounted to RUB 114,682 million as compared to RUB 106,413 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 and comprised 27.9% and 26.7% as a percentage of consolidated revenues for the year ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The increase was mainly attributable to the growth of interconnect expenses by RUB 4,192 million, roaming expenses by RUB 3,307 million and cost of handsets and accessories by RUB 2,623 million. The growth of our interconnect expenses was due to the increase in outgoing traffic volumes and the appreciation of U.S. dollar and EUR against Russian ruble in the year ended December 31, 2014 which affected our interconnect expenses in ruble terms. The increase in roaming expenses is mainly attributable to the appreciation of U.S. dollar and EUR against Russian ruble in the year ended December 31, 2014. The growth in cost of handsets and accessories was mainly attributable to the increase in volume of handsets and accessories sales by 9.2% in the year ended December 31, 2014 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2013. The increase in the consolidated cost of services and cost of handsets and accessories was offset by the decrease in cost of value added services by RUB 2,252 million which is attributable to the change in the structure of value added services sold in favor of high margin services.

*Russia convergent revenues* for the year ended December 31, 2014, increased by 6.1% to RUB 340,732 million from RUB 321,074 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The increase in Russia convergent revenues in the year ended December 31, 2014, was primarily due to the growth of revenues from value-added services by RUB 17,103 million, interconnect revenues by RUB 5,905 million, revenues from sales of handsets and accessories by RUB 2,462 million and roaming revenues by RUB 782 million. Revenues from value-added services as a percentage of Russia convergent revenues in the year ended December 31, 2014, grew to 29.3% as compared to 25.8% in the year ended December 31, 2013, due to the increase in data traffic volumes attributable to the introduction of new marketing initiatives aimed at stimulating greater usage of value-added services among our subscribers and the overall improvement of quality of these services. Interconnect revenues

Table of Contents

grew to RUB 45,639 million, as compared to RUB 39,734 million, in the year ended December 31, 2013, due to the growth in the volume of traffic from our competitors and the appreciation of U.S. dollar and EUR against Russian ruble in the year ended December 31, 2014. Revenues from sales of handsets and accessories increased to RUB 28,778 million in the year ended December 31, 2014 from RUB 26,317 million in the year ended December 31, 2013 due to the continued expansion of our retail operations. The growth in roaming revenues to RUB 34,978 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 as compared to RUB 34,196 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 was mainly attributable to the increase in revenues from guest subscribers in ruble terms due to appreciation of U.S. dollar and EUR against Russian ruble in the year ended December 31, 2014. In the year ended December 31, 2014, we experienced a decline in Russia convergent revenues from voice services by RUB 3,183 million due to continued refocusing of our strategy to promote data services. The decrease in subscription fees by RUB 3,553 million in the year ended December 31, 2014 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2013 is attributable to the reallocation of our subscriber base to more affordable contract tariff plans.

*Russia convergent cost of services and cost of handsets and accessories* for the year ended December 31, 2014, increased by 10.2% to RUB 108,406 million from RUB 98,359 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The increase was mainly attributable to the growth of interconnect expenses by RUB 6,442 million, roaming expenses by RUB 3,978 million and cost of handsets and accessories by RUB 2,715 million. The growth of our interconnect expenses was due to the increase in outgoing traffic volumes and the appreciation of U.S. dollar and EUR against Russian ruble in the year ended December 31, 2014 which affected our interconnect expenses in ruble terms. The increase in roaming expenses is mainly attributable to the appreciation of U.S. dollar and EUR against Russian ruble in the year ended December 31, 2014. The growth in cost of handsets and accessories was mainly attributable to the increase in volume of handsets and accessories sales by 9.4% in the year ended December 31, 2014 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2013. The increase in the Russia mobile cost of services and cost of handsets and accessories was offset by the decrease in cost of value added services by RUB 1,905 million which is attributable to the change in the structure of value added services sold in favor of high margin services. The decrease in other direct cost by RUB 1,229 million in the year ended December 31, 2014 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2013 is attributable to the decrease in volume of construction works performed in 2014.

*Moscow fixed line revenues* for the year ended December 31, 2014, increased by 1.2% to RUB 40,824 million from RUB 40,324 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The increase in Moscow fixed line revenues in the year ended December 31, 2014, was primarily due to the growth of revenues from internet and TV services by RUB 1,188 million attributable to the increase in number of users due to the development of the GPON project and consequent improvement in quality and uptake of services provided. Other fixed line revenues increased by RUB 320 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 due to the growth in tariffs for the rent of fixed line infrastructure. The growth was offset by decrease in revenues from IP-telephony by RUB 1,014 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 due to continued decline in number of subscribers.

*Moscow fixed line cost of services and cost of handsets and accessories* for the year ended December 31, 2014, decreased by 8.9% to RUB 5,458 million from RUB 5,990 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The decline was primarily attributable to the decrease in interconnect expenses by RUB 257 million and the decrease in other direct cost by RUB 472 million due to overall decline in outgoing traffic volume and the completion of project "Svetofor," centralized monitoring and control of traffic lights in Moscow. The growth of line rental by RUB 152 million in the year ended December 31, 2014 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2013 was attributable to increased volume of line rental.

Table of Contents

*Ukraine revenues* decreased by 17.5% to RUB 32,787 million in the year ended December 31, 2014, from RUB 39,732 million in the year ended December 31, 2013, primarily due to the depreciation of Ukrainian hryvnia against Russian ruble by 24% which resulted in decrease of Ukraine revenues in ruble terms by RUB 7,387 million. The decline was offset by the growth in interconnect revenues for the year ended December 31, 2014 by RUB 1,220 million due to the increase in the volume of traffic from our competitors.

*Ukraine cost of services and cost of handsets and accessories* decreased by 7.9% to RUB 9,275 million in the year ended December 31, 2014, from RUB 10,067 million in the year ended December 31, 2013. Ukraine cost of services and cost of handsets and accessories as a percentage of Ukraine revenues for the year ended December 31, 2014 increased and amounted to 28.3% as compared to 25.3% for the year ended December 31, 2013. The moderate decrease was primarily attributable to the depreciation of Ukrainian hryvnia against Russian ruble by 24% which resulted in decline of Ukraine cost of services and cost of handsets and accessories in ruble terms by RUB 2,213 million. The decrease was offset by the growth in interconnect expenses by RUB 943 million due to the increase in outgoing traffic volumes. The increase in other direct cost by RUB 453 million is attributable to the growth of fees for radio frequencies.

*Other countries and business activities revenues* for the year ended December 31, 2014, increased by 22.7% to RUB 11,198 million from RUB 9,129 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The increase was caused by depreciation of Russian ruble against local currencies Armenian dram and Turkmenian manat. The effect of our reentrance into Uzbekistan was not significant.

*Other countries and business activities cost of services and cost of handsets and accessories* for the year ended December 31, 2014, increased to RUB 8,570 million from RUB 6,895 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The increase was caused by depreciation of Russian ruble against local currencies Armenian dram and Turkmenian manat. The effect of our reentrance into Uzbekistan was not significant.

**Sundry operating expenses**

*Consolidated sundry operating expenses* for the year ended December 31, 2014, increased by 3.1% to RUB 97,109 million from RUB 94,158 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 and comprised 23.6% as a percentage of consolidated revenue for the year ended December 31, 2014 and 2013. The increase was mainly attributable to the increase in salary expenses and related social contributions by RUB 3,324 million amounting to 12% of our revenues for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to 11.5% of our revenues for the year ended December 31, 2013 due to indexation of salaries, as well as increases in the number of employees (mainly resulting from our continuing retail expansion).

*Russia convergent sundry operating expenses* for the year ended December 31, 2014, increased by 4.4% to RUB 70,110 million from RUB 67,149 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. Russia convergent sundry operating expenses as a percentage of Russia convergent revenues decreased to 20.6% for the year ended December 31, 2014, from 20.9% for the year ended December 31, 2013. The increase of Russia convergent sundry operating expenses was mainly attributable to the increase in rent expenses by RUB 3,349 million amounting to 4.8% of Russia convergent revenues for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to 4.0% of Russia convergent revenues for the year ended December 31, 2013 due to increases in the number of rented base station sites and retail expansion.

*Moscow fixed line sundry operating expenses* for the year ended December 31, 2014, increased by 6.3% to RUB 13,495 million from RUB 12,693 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. Moscow fixed line sundry operating expenses as a percentage of Moscow fixed line revenues increased to 33.1% for the year ended December 31, 2014, from 31.5% for the year ended December 31, 2013. The increase of Moscow fixed line sundry operating expenses as a percentage of Moscow fixed line revenues

Table of Contents

was mainly attributable to the increase in the percentage of salary expenses and related social contributions to 19.8% for the year ended December 31, 2014, from 18.4% for the year ended December 31, 2013 due to salary indexation.

*Ukraine sundry operating expenses* for the year ended December 31, 2014, increased by 79.7% to RUB 11,431 million from RUB 6,360 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. Ukraine sundry operating expenses as a percentage of Ukraine revenues increased to 34.9% for the year ended December 31, 2014, from 16.0% for the year ended December 31, 2013. This increase was mainly due to the recognition of a provision for investments in DeltaBank in Ukraine amounting to RUB 5,138 million and an increase of taxes other than income tax to 2.9% of our total revenues for the year ended December 31, 2014 from 0.1% for the year ended December 31, 2013 which was partially offset by a decrease caused by appreciation of the cross currency rate of Russian rouble to Ukrainian hryvnia of RUB 416 million for salary expenses and related social contributions and RUB 266 million for rent expenses.

*Other countries and business activities sundry operating expenses* for the year ended December 31, 2014, decreased by 40.9% to RUB 5,353 million from RUB 9,057 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. Other countries and business activities sundry operating expenses as a percentage of other countries and business activities revenues decreased to 47.8% for the year ended December 31, 2014, from 99.2% for the year ended December 31, 2013. The decrease was mainly attributable to the gain from reentrance into Uzbekistan in the amount of RUB 6,734 million recognized in the year ended December 31, 2014. The impact of this was offset by the recognition of a gain resulting from the settlement of the Bitel litigation amounting to RUB 1,060 million in the year ended December 31, 2013, an increase in the year ended December 31, 2014 in our salary expenses of RUB 994 million mainly due to salary indexation, and an increase in sundry operating expenses in Uzbekistan of RUB 550 million driven by resuming of our activities.

***Sales and marketing expenses***

*Consolidated sales and marketing expenses* for the year ended December 31, 2014, decreased by 4.2%, or RUB 953 million, to RUB 21,908 million from RUB 22,861 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. This decrease was mainly attributable to the decrease in commissions payable to dealers by RUB 803.0 million. Dealer commissions as a percentage of our revenues declined to 3.3% for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to 3.6% for the year ended December 31, 2013. Advertising and promotion expenses decreased by 1.8%, or RUB 149.5 million, to RUB 8,313 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, from RUB 8,463 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. Sales and marketing expenses as a percentage of our total revenues decreased to 5.3% for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to 5.7% for the year ended December 31, 2013.

*Russia convergent sales and marketing expenses* for the year ended December 31, 2014, decreased to RUB 18,479 million, or 5.4% of Russia convergent revenue, from RUB 18,712 million, or 5.8% of Russia convergent revenue, for the year ended December 31, 2013. The decrease in sales and marketing expenses by 1.2% was due to the decrease of dealer commissions by RUB 227.5 million and advertising and marketing expenses by RUB 6.1 million. Dealer commissions as a percentage of Russia convergent revenues declined to 3.5% for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to 3.8% for the year ended December 31, 2013 due to more active usage of own retail network. Advertising and marketing expenses as a percentage of Russia convergent revenues remained relatively stable at 1.9% for the year ended December 31, 2014, as compared to 2.0% for the year ended December 31, 2013.

*Moscow fixed line sales and marketing expenses* for the year ended December 31, 2014, decreased to RUB 660 million, or 1.6% of Moscow fixed line revenue, from RUB 781 million, or 1.9% of Moscow fixed line revenue, for the year ended December 31, 2013. The decrease of RUB 239 million resulted from decline in dealers commission from RUB 541 million, or 1.3% of Moscow fixed line revenue, for



Table of Contents

the year ended December 31, 2013 to RUB 302 million, or 0.7% of Moscow fixed line revenue, for the year ended December 31, 2014. The decline in dealers commission was due to partial business disposal. Advertising and marketing expenses for the year ended December 31, 2014 increased by RUB 118 million or 49% from RUB 240 million due to active promotion of GPON. As a percentage of Moscow fixed line revenue advertising and marketing expenses increased from 0.6% in 2013 to 0.9% in 2014.

*Ukraine sales and marketing expenses* for the year ended December 31, 2014, decreased to RUB 1,911 million, or 5.8% of Ukraine revenues, from RUB 2,664 million, or 6.7% of Ukraine revenues, for the year ended December 31, 2013. The decrease in sales and marketing expenses by RUB 753 million was attributable to both a decline in dealer commissions and a decline in advertising and marketing expenses by RUB 437 million and RUB 316 million, respectively. The decline caused by appreciation of the cross currency rate of Russian Ruble to Ukrainian hryvnia amounted to RUB 217 million for dealers commissions and RUB 210 million for advertising and marketing expenses. The remaining decrease in dealer commissions is attributable to a decrease in the subscriber base resulting from termination of activities in Crimea as well as lower subscriber activity due to starting economy downturn. The same factors affected advertising and marketing expenses incurred in 2014.

*Other countries and business activities sales and marketing expenses* for the year ended December 31, 2014, increased by 9.2% to RUB 1,157 million from RUB 1,060 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. This increase was partially attributable to the increase in sales and marketing expenses in Uzbekistan for RUB 22 million driven by reentrance into the country. The remaining increase related to sales and marketing expenses in Turkmenistan (increase by RUB 57 million) resulting from depreciation of cross currency rate of Russian ruble to Turkmen manat. As a percentage of other countries and business activities total revenues, other countries and business activities sales and marketing expenses decreased to 10.3% for the year ended December 31, 2013, from 11.6% for the year ended December 31, 2013.

***Depreciation and amortization expenses***

*Consolidated depreciation and amortization* of property, network equipment, telephone numbering capacity, license costs and other intangible assets for the year ended December 31, 2014, slightly increased by 2.0% to RUB 74,710 million from RUB 73,253 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The expenses grew mainly in Moscow fixed line and resulted from the continued development of GPON project.

*Russia convergent depreciation and amortization* for the year ended December 31, 2014, remained stable and amounted to RUB 57,773 million as compared to RUB 57,654 million for the year ended December 31, 2013.

*Moscow fixed line depreciation and amortization* for the year ended December 31, 2014 increased by 46.8% to RUB 7,609 million from RUB 5,182 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The growth resulted from the continued development of GPON project.

*Ukraine depreciation and amortization* for the year ended December 31, 2014, decreased by RUB 2,116 million to RUB 6,780 million from RUB 8,896 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The decline is attributable to the appreciation of cross currency rate of Russian ruble to Ukrainian hryvnia which resulted in RUB 1,391 million of Ukraine depreciation and amortization expense decrease in ruble terms. In the year ended December 31, 2013 there was accelerated depreciation of certain equipment to be replaced.

*Other countries and business activities depreciation and amortization* for the year ended December 31, 2014, decreased by 64.9% to RUB 2,619 million from RUB 1,588 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, and increased as a percentage of other countries and business activities total

Table of Contents

revenues to 23.4% from 17.4% respectively. The increase was caused by depreciation of Russian ruble against local currencies – Armenian dram and Turkmenian manat. The acquisition of transponder and transfer of majority ownership interest in UMS in the year ended December 31, 2014 added additional RUB 678 million to other countries and business activities depreciation and amortization expense.

***Operating income***

*Consolidated operating income* remained relatively stable both in absolute terms and as a percentage of consolidated revenues and amounted to RUB 102,349 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, from 101,758 million for the year ended December 31, 2013.

*Russia convergent operating income* for the year ended December 31, 2014, increased by 8.5% to RUB 85,964 million from RUB 79,199 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. Russia convergent operating income increased insignificantly as a percentage of Russia convergent revenues to 25.2% for the year ended December 31, 2014, from 24.7% for the year ended December 31, 2013, mainly due to the increase in Russia convergent revenues by 6.1% which was offset by the increase in Russia convergent cost of services and cost of handsets and accessories as a percentage of Russia convergent revenues to 31.8% for the year ended December 31, 2014 from 30.6% for the year ended December 31, 2013.

*Moscow fixed line operating income* for the year ended December 31, 2014, decreased by 13.2% to RUB 13,601 million from RUB 15,678 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. Moscow fixed line operating income decreased as a percentage of Moscow fixed line revenues to 33.3% for the year ended December 31, 2014 from 38.9% million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The decline is attributable to the growth of sundry operating expenses as a percentage of revenues to 33.1% for the year ended December 31, 2014 from 31.5% for the year ended December 31, 2013 and the simultaneous increase of depreciation and amortization expense as percentage of revenues to 18.6% for the year ended December 31, 2014 from 12.9% for the year ended December 31, 2013.

*Ukraine operating income* for the year ended December 31, 2014, decreased by 71.1% to RUB 3,390 million from RUB 11,745 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. Ukraine operating income decreased as a percentage of Ukraine revenues to 10.3% for the year ended December 31, 2014, from 29.6% for the year ended December 31, 2013. This decrease was largely due to the recognition of provision for investment in DeltaBank in the amount of RUB 5,138 million, and the decrease in Ukraine revenues by 17.5% in the year ended December 31, 2014 as explained above.

*Other countries and business activities operating loss* for the year ended December 31, 2014, amounted to RUB 559 million, while other countries and business activities' operating loss for the year ended December 31, 2013, was RUB 4,810 million. The decrease in operating loss is mainly attributable to the gain from reentrance into Uzbekistan in the amount of RUB 6,734 million recognized in the year ended December 31, 2014. The decrease in loss was offset by the release of damages, interest and other costs that had been provided for in relation to the dispute with Nomihold in the amount of RUB 1,060 million which we recognized in the year ended December 31, 2013.

***Currency exchange and transaction gains/losses***

*Consolidated currency exchange and transaction loss* for the year ended December 31, 2014, was RUB 18,024 million, compared to the loss of 5,473 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. We conduct our operations within the Russian Federation, Ukraine, Turkmenistan and Armenia, and we are therefore subject to currency fluctuations. The local currencies of these countries fluctuated significantly against the U.S. dollar and euro during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the currency exchange and transaction gains/losses we incurred were primarily due to the translation effect of our U.S. dollar and euro-denominated debt as of December 31, 2014 and 2013. The increase in losses recognized in 2014 as compared to those recorded in 2013 were mainly due to the significant

Table of Contents

depreciation of the Russian ruble against the U.S. dollar and euro during the year ended December 31, 2014, as compared to 2013.

***Interest expense***

*Consolidated interest expense* for the year ended December 31, 2014, increased by RUB 955 million, or 6.2%, to RUB 16,453 million from RUB 15,498 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, primarily as a result of the increase in our total indebtedness.

***Equity in net income of associates***

*Consolidated equity in net income of associates* for the year ended December 31, 2014, decreased by RUB 5,352 million to a loss of RUB 2,880 million, compared to a gain of RUB 2,472 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The decrease results mainly from the larger loss of MTS Bank and the impairment of investment in MTS Bank during the year ended December 31, 2014.

***Other expenses (income), net***

*Consolidated other expenses* for the year ended December 31, 2014 amounted to RUB 771 million, as compared to the income of RUB 10,636 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. This decrease of income was primarily attributable to the recognition in the year ended December 31, 2013 of release of the provision to exercise the put option for acquisition of the remaining 49% stake in Bitel and the release of damages, interest and other costs that had been provided for in relation to the dispute with Nomihold.

***Provision for income taxes***

*Consolidated provision for income taxes* for the year ended December 31, 2014 decreased by 16.7% to RUB 16,347 million from RUB 19,633 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 mainly as a result of decrease in income before provision for income taxes, partly offset by an increase in the effective tax rate. The effective tax rate increased to 23.8% in the year ended December 31, 2014, from 20.3% in the year ended December 31, 2013 mainly as a result of earnings distributions from subsidiaries, effect of the lower tax rates of subsidiaries and other items not liable for income tax purposes.

***Net income attributable to the non-controlling interest***

*Net income attributable to the non-controlling interest* for the year ended December 31, 2014 decreased by 39.9% and amounted to RUB 571 million compared to net income attributable to the non-controlling interest of RUB 949 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The decrease is attributable to the non-controlling interest share of loss in the amount of RUB 444 million recognized in relation to UMS, our subsidiary in Uzbekistan.

***Net income attributable to the Group***

*Net income attributable to the Group* for the year ended December 31, 2014, decreased by RUB 28,017 million, or 35.1%, to RUB 51,822 million, compared to RUB 79,839 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. Net income as a percentage of revenues was 12.6% in the year ended December 31, 2014, and 20.0% in the year ended December 31, 2013. Net income attributable to the Group for the year ended December 31, 2014, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2013, decreased primarily due to the increase in foreign exchange and transaction losses by 229.3% and recognition of the impairment of our investment in MTS Bank in the amount of RUB 3,225 million. Another reason for the decline of net income for the year ended December 31, 2014 was the recognition in the year ended December 31, 2013 of a gain in the amount of RUB 12,147 million

Table of Contents

attributable to the release of the provision relating to the exercise of the put option to acquire the 49% stake in Bitel and the release of provisions for damages, interest and other costs that had been provided for in relation to the dispute with Nomihold. The net income decrease in 2014 is also attributable to the recognition in 2013 of a gain in the amount of RUB 3,733 from discontinued operations related to the bankruptcy and deconsolidation of Uzdurobita.

**Year Ended December 31, 2013 compared to Year Ended December 31, 2012*****Revenues and cost of services and cost of handsets and accessories***

*Consolidated revenues* for the year ended December 31, 2013, increased by RUB 20,203 million, or 5.3%, to RUB 398,443 million from RUB 378,240 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The principal reason for the growth of our consolidated revenues for the year ended December 31, 2013, was the large increase in the usage of value-added services by our subscribers (by RUB 14,860 million), which was mainly attributable to the increase of data traffic driven by our active promotion of value-added services, an increase in mobile Internet penetration, an increase in usage of smartphones by our subscribers and active 3G network expansion and the consequent improvement of the quality and uptake of value-added services. The increase of our consolidated revenues for the year ended December 31, 2013 was also supported by the growth of interconnect revenues by RUB 1,208 million, increases in roaming revenues by RUB 1,663 million and a growth in fixed line revenues by RUB 2,838 million. The growth of our interconnect revenues was due to increases in the volume of traffic from our competitors. The increase in roaming revenues was attributable to increases in the volume of traffic from our subscribers resulting from the launch of attractive roaming propositions. The increase in fixed line revenues was mainly attributable to the growth of revenues from fixed line Internet and TV due to the development of the GPON project and consequent improvement in quality and uptake of services provided. In the year ended December 31, 2013, we experienced a decline in our revenues from sales of handsets and accessories by RUB 2,409 million due to refocusing of our devices strategy to promote sales of affordable smartphones. Our consolidated mobile subscriber base, excluding Uzbekistan amounted to 94.7 million as of December 31, 2013 as compared to 95.8 million as of December 31, 2012. The decrease in the mobile churn rate in Russia to 38.1% from 42.4% in 2012 had an immaterial impact on our consolidated revenues.

*Consolidated cost of services and cost of handsets and accessories* for the year ended December 31, 2013 decreased slightly and amounted to RUB 106,413 million as compared to RUB 108,093 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 and comprised 26.7% and 28.6% as a percentage of consolidated revenues for the year ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The decline was mainly attributable to the decrease in the cost of handsets and accessories as a percentage of revenue to 5.4% of consolidated revenues for the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to 6.3% of consolidated revenues for the year ended December 31, 2012 attributable to an increase in the amount of volume discounts offered by our handsets vendors.

*Russia convergent revenues* for the year ended December 31, 2013, increased by 4.9% to RUB 321,074 million from RUB 305,990 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase in Russia convergent revenues in the year ended December 31, 2013, was primarily due to the growth of revenues from value-added services by RUB 13,372 million, interconnect revenues by RUB 1,806 million and roaming revenues by RUB 1,614 million. Revenues from value-added services as a percentage of Russia convergent revenues in the year ended December 31, 2013, grew to 25.8% as compared to 22.7% in the year ended December 31, 2012, due to the increase in data traffic volumes attributable to the introduction of new marketing initiatives aimed at stimulating greater usage of value-added services among our subscribers and the overall improvement of quality of these services. Interconnect revenues grew to RUB 39,734 million, as compared to RUB 37,928 million, in the year ended December 31, 2012, due to the growth in the volume of traffic from our competitors. The increase in roaming revenues to RUB 34,196 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 as

Table of Contents

compared to RUB 32,582 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 was attributable to increased traffic volumes from our subscribers resulting from the launch of attractive roaming propositions. Interconnect revenues and roaming revenues as a percentage of Russia convergent revenues for the year ended December 31, 2013 remained stable and amounted to 12.4% and 10.7%, respectively as compared to 12.4%, and 10.7%, respectively for the year ended December 31, 2012. In the year ended December 31, 2013, we experienced a decline in our revenues from the sales of handsets and accessories as a percentage of Russia convergent revenues to 8.2% of Russia convergent revenues in the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to 9.4% for the year ended December 31, 2012 due to refocusing of our retail strategy to promote affordable smartphones.

*Moscow fixed line revenues* for the year ended December 31, 2013, increased by 6.5% to RUB 40,324 million from RUB 37,856 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase was mainly attributable to the growth of revenues from fixed line Internet and TV due to the development of the GPON project and consequent improvement in quality and uptake of services provided. The increase in other revenue of fixed line is attributable to an increase in rent due to Business-Nedvizhimost spin off from MGTS.

*Russia convergent cost of services and cost of handsets and accessories* for the year ended December 31, 2013, decreased by 1.5% to RUB 98,359 million from RUB 99,852 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The decline was primarily attributable to the decrease in cost of handsets and accessories by RUB 2,430 million and as a percentage of Russia convergent revenues to 6.5% of Russia convergent revenues in the year ended December 31, 2013 from 7.7% in the year ended December 31, 2012 attributable to a decrease in sales of handsets and accessories and an increase in the amount of volume discounts offered by our handsets vendors.

*Ukraine revenues* increased by 5.3% to RUB 39,732 million in the year ended December 31, 2013, from RUB 37,722 million in the year ended December 31, 2012, primarily due to the growth in usage of value-added services by our subscribers, an increase in interconnect revenues and the increase in the number of our subscribers by 3.9% to 21.5 million from 20.7 million. Value-added services revenues increased by 8.8% to RUB 12,088 million in the year ended December 31, 2013, from RUB 11,114 million in the year ended December 31, 2012, due to the active promotion of these services among our subscribers. Value-added services revenues as a percentage of Ukraine revenues in the year ended December 31, 2013, grew to 30.4%, as compared to 29.5% in the year ended December 31, 2012. An increase in interconnect revenues by RUB 699 million to 18.9% as a percentage of Ukraine revenues for the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to 18.1% for the year ended December 31, 2012 is attributable to the increase in traffic volume from our competitors. The increase in air time revenue by RUB 492 million arose from the growth of our Ukraine subscriber base by 3.9%.

*Ukraine cost of services and cost of handsets and accessories* increased insignificantly by 3.9% to RUB 10,067 million in the year ended December 31, 2013, from RUB 9,691 million in the year ended December 31, 2012. Ukraine cost of services and cost of handsets and accessories as a percentage of Ukraine revenues for the year ended December 31, 2013 remained relatively stable and amounted to 25.3% as compared to 25.7% for the year ended December 31, 2012. The moderate growth was primarily attributable to the increase in interconnect expenses by RUB 302 million and an increase in other direct costs by RUB 216 million due to the growth in overall volume of outgoing traffic.

*Other countries and business activities revenues* for the year ended December 31, 2013, increased by 43.0% to RUB 9,129 million from RUB 6,382 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase was caused by us resuming mobile telecommunications services in Turkmenistan in the second half of 2012. The subscriber base in Turkmenistan as of December 31, 2013 increased to 1.7 million as compared to 1.4 million as of December 31, 2012.

*Other countries and business activities cost of services and cost of handsets and accessories* for the year ended December 31, 2013, increased to RUB 2,234 million from RUB 1,400 million for the year

Table of Contents

ended December 31, 2012. The decline was caused by us resuming mobile telecommunications services in Turkmenistan in the second half of 2012.

**Sundry operating expenses**

*Consolidated sundry operating expenses* for the year ended December 31, 2013, increased by 8.5% to RUB 94,158 million from RUB 86,776 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. Sundry operating expenses as a percentage of our total revenues increased to 23.6% in the year ended December 31, 2013, from 22.9% in the year ended December 31, 2012. The change was mainly attributable to the increase in salary expenses and related social contributions by RUB 5,304 million amounting to 11.5% of our revenues for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to 10.7% for the year ended December 31, 2012 due to increases in the number of employees (mainly resulting from our continuing retail expansion), as well as indexation of salaries. In addition, our rent expenses grew due to increases in the number of rented base station sites as well as retail expansion. Rent expenses as a percentage of our total revenues grew to 3.7% for the year ended December 31, 2013, from 3.5% for the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase was also attributable to the increase of taxes other than income tax to 1.6% of our total revenues for the year ended December 31, 2013 from 1.4% for the year ended December 31, 2012.

*Russia convergent sundry operating expenses* for the year ended December 31, 2013, increased by 12.9% to RUB 67,149 million from RUB 59,473 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. Russia convergent sundry operating expenses as a percentage of Russia convergent revenues increased to 20.9% for the year ended December 31, 2013, from 19.4% for the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase of Russia convergent sundry operating expenses as a percentage of Russia convergent revenues was mainly attributable to the increase in the percentage of salary expenses and related social contributions to 9.2% for the year ended December 31, 2013, from 8.1% for the year ended December 31, 2012 due to increases in the number of employees and salary indexation. Rent expenses grew to 4.0% of our Russia convergent revenues for the year ended December 31, 2013, from 3.8% for the year ended December 31, 2012, attributable to an increase in the number of rented base station sites and retail expansion. Taxes other than income as a percentage of Russia convergent revenues increased to 1.5% of our Russia convergent revenues for the year ended December 31, 2013 from 1.3% for the year ended December 31, 2012.

*Moscow fixed line sundry operating expenses* for the year ended December 31, 2013, increased by 3.7% to RUB 12,693 million from RUB 12,241 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. Moscow fixed line sundry operating expenses as a percentage of Moscow fixed line revenues decreased to 31.5% for the year ended December 31, 2013, from 32.3% for the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase of Moscow fixed line sundry operating expenses as a percentage of Moscow fixed line revenues was mainly attributable to the increase in the percentage of salary expenses and related social contributions to 18.4% for the year ended December 31, 2013, from 17.7% for the year ended December 31, 2012 due to salary indexation.

*Ukraine sundry operating expenses* for the year ended December 31, 2013, increased by 1.9% to RUB 6,360 million from RUB 6,243 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. Ukraine sundry operating expenses as a percentage of Ukraine revenues decreased to 16.0% for the year ended December 31, 2013, from 16.5% for the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase in sundry operating expenses was primarily attributable to the increase in salary expenses and related social contributions and rent.

*Other countries and business activities sundry operating expenses* for the year ended December 31, 2013, decreased by 6.5% to RUB 9,057 million from RUB 9,687 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. Other countries and business activities sundry operating expenses as a percentage of other countries and business activities revenues decreased to 99.2% for the year ended

Table of Contents

December 31, 2013, from 151.8% for the year ended December 31, 2012. This decrease was mainly due to the recognition of a one off operating gain resulting from the settlement of the Bitel litigation amounting to RUB 1,060 million.

***Sales and marketing expenses***

*Consolidated sales and marketing expenses* for the year ended December 31, 2013, increased by 5.5%, or RUB 1,194 million, to RUB 22,861 million from RUB 21,667 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. This increase was mainly attributable to the increase in commissions payable to dealers by RUB 639.1 million. Dealer commissions as a percentage of our revenues remained stable at 3.6% for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to 3.6% for the year ended December 31, 2012. This resulted from the fact that in 2012 we introduced changes into the motivation scheme for our dealers shifting from a fixed bonus per acquired subscriber to a bonus depending on the subscriber quality, which is measured by a percentage of subscriber payment and this scheme remained in place in 2013. Advertising and promotion expenses increased by 7%, or RUB 554.7 million, to RUB 8,463 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, from RUB 7,908 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, which was primarily attributable to our marketing efforts. Sales and marketing expenses as a percentage of our total revenues remained stable at 2.1% for the year ended December 31, 2013 and 2012.

*Russia convergent sales and marketing expenses* for the year ended December 31, 2013, increased to RUB 18,712 million, or 5.8% of Russia convergent revenue, from RUB 17,839 million, or 5.8% of Russia convergent revenues, for the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase in sales and marketing expenses by 4.9% was due to the increase of dealer commissions by RUB 414.5 million and advertising and marketing expenses by RUB 458.2 million. Dealer commissions as a percentage of Russia convergent revenues remained stable at 3.9% for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to 3.8% for the year ended December 31, 2012. Advertising and marketing expenses as a percentage of Russia convergent revenues also remained stable at 2.0% for the year ended December 31, 2013, as compared to 2.0% for the year ended December 31, 2012.

*Moscow fixed line sales and marketing expenses* for the year ended December 31, 2013 increased by RUB 50 million to RUB 781 million, or 6.8% against RUB 731 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. As a percentage of Moscow fixed line revenues sales and marketing expenses remained stable in both years at 1.9%. The increase was wholly attributable to increase in dealers commission, which rose from RUB 491 million in 2012 to RUB 541 million in 2013.

*Ukraine sales and marketing expenses* for the year ended December 31, 2013, increased to RUB 2,664 million, or 6.7% of Ukraine revenues, from RUB 2,570 million, or 6.8% of Ukraine revenues, for the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase in sales and marketing expenses by RUB 94 million was primarily attributable to the increase in dealer commissions by RUB 70 million as a result of subscriber base growth by 3.9%.

*Other countries and business activities sales and marketing expenses* for the year ended December 31, 2013, increased by 29.7% to RUB 1,060 million from RUB 817 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. This increase was mainly attributable to the increase in sales and marketing expenses in Turkmenistan in the amount of RUB 167 million driven by resuming of its activities. As a percentage of other countries and business activities total revenues, other countries and business activities sales and marketing expenses decreased to 11.6% for the year ended December 31, 2013, from 12.8% for the year ended December 31, 2012. The decrease was mainly attributable to the significant increase in Turkmenistan revenue in 2013 as our operations resumed there.

Table of Contents***Depreciation and amortization expenses***

*Consolidated depreciation and amortization* of property, network equipment, telephone numbering capacity, license costs and other intangible assets for the year ended December 31, 2013, increased by 7.9% to RUB 73,253 million from RUB 67,910 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The expenses grew mainly in Russia and resulted from the continued expansion of our network through builds-outs, as well as accelerated depreciation of certain equipment. In Ukraine and Armenia the expenses decreased as part of the equipment was fully amortized and was replaced at the end of reporting period. Depreciation and amortization expenses as a percentage of our total revenues increased to 18.4% for the year ended December 31, 2013, from 18.0% for the year ended December 31, 2012.

*Russia convergent depreciation and amortization* for the year ended December 31, 2013, increased by 10.9% to RUB 57,655 million from RUB 51,994 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, mainly as a result of the development of our network in the regions, the build-out of our 3G and broadband Internet networks and accelerated depreciation of certain equipment which we intend to replace. Depreciation and amortization expenses as a percentage of total revenues increased to 18.0% for the year ended December 31, 2013, from 17.0% for the year ended December 31, 2012.

*Moscow fixed line depreciation and amortization* for the year ended December 31, 2013 increased by 21.9% to RUB 5,182 million from RUB 4,251 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, mainly as a result of the continued development of GPON project.

*Ukraine depreciation and amortization* for the year ended December 31, 2013, was RUB 8,896 million, or 22.4% of Ukraine revenues, and RUB 9,571 million or 25.4% of Ukraine revenues, for the year ended December 31, 2012. In the year ended December 31, 2012, there was accelerated depreciation of certain equipment to be replaced.

*Other countries and business activities depreciation and amortization* for the year ended December 31, 2013, decreased by 24.5% to RUB 1,588 million from RUB 2,104 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, and decreased as a percentage of other countries and business activities total revenues to 17.4% from 33.0% respectively. The decrease in other countries and business activities depreciation and amortization expense was primarily attributable to the decrease of property, plant and equipment depreciation expenses in Armenia, part of which was fully amortized and replaced.

***Operating income***

*Consolidated operating income* increased by 8.5% to RUB 101,758 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, from 93,793 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. Operating income as a percentage of our total revenues increased insignificantly to 25.5% for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to 24.8% for the year ended December 31, 2012. The main reason for the growth was the increase in our consolidated revenues by RUB 20,203 million which was offset by the increase in sundry operating expense by RUB 7,382 million and depreciation and amortization expense by RUB 5,343 million due to the reasons described in more detail above.

*Russia convergent operating income* for the year ended December 31, 2013, increased by 3.1% to RUB 79,199 million from RUB 76,832 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. Russia convergent operating income decreased insignificantly as a percentage of Russia convergent revenues to 24.7% for the year ended December 31, 2013, from 25.1% for the year ended December 31, 2012, mainly due to the increase in Russia convergent revenues by 4.9% which was offset by the increase in Russia convergent sundry operating expenses as a percentage of Russia convergent revenues to 20.9% for the year ended December 31, 2013 from 19.4% for the year ended December 31, 2012 as well as by the increase in Russia convergent depreciation and amortization expense to 18.0% for the year ended December 31, 2013 from 17.0% for the year ended December 31, 2012 as explained above.



Table of Contents

*Moscow fixed line operating income* for the year ended December 31, 2013 increased by 4.9% to RUB 15,678 million from RUB 14,948 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase is attributable to the growth in Moscow fixed line revenues by RUB 2,468 million offset by the increase in sundry operating expenses by RUB 451 million, increase in depreciation and amortization expenses by RUB 931 million and increase in cost of services and cost of handsets and accessories by RUB 305 million due to the reasons described above.

*Ukraine operating income* for the year ended December 31, 2013, increased by 21.7% to RUB 11,745 million from RUB 9,647 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. Ukraine operating income increased as a percentage of Ukraine revenues to 29.6% for the year ended December 31, 2013, from 25.6% for the year ended December 31, 2012. These increases were largely due to the growth in Ukraine revenues by 5.3% and the simultaneous decline of depreciation and amortization expenses as a percentage of Ukraine revenues to 22.4% for the year ended December 31, 2013 from 25.4% for the year ended December 31, 2012 as explained above.

*Other countries and business activities operating loss* for the year ended December 31, 2013, amounted to RUB 4,810 million, while other countries and business activities operating loss for the year ended December 31, 2012, was RUB 7,626 million. The decrease in other countries and business activities operating loss was attributable to the fact that we resumed our operations in Turkmenistan in the second half of 2012 with the subsequent growth of other countries and business activities revenues. The decline was also reduced due to the release of damages, interest and other costs that had been provided for in relation to the dispute with Nomihold.

***Currency exchange and transaction gains/losses***

*Consolidated currency exchange and transaction loss* for the year ended December 31, 2013, was RUB 5,473 million, compared to the gains of 3,952 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. We conduct our operations within the Russian Federation, Ukraine, Turkmenistan and Armenia, and we are therefore subject to currency fluctuations. The local currencies of these countries fluctuated significantly against the U.S. dollar and euro during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the currency exchange and transaction gains/losses we incurred were primarily due to the translation effect of our U.S. dollar and euro-denominated debt as of December 31, 2013 and 2012. The losses recognized in 2013 as compared to gains recorded in 2012 were mainly due to the depreciation of the Russian ruble against the U.S. dollar and euro during the year ended December 31, 2013, as compared to 2012.

***Interest expense***

*Consolidated interest expense* for the year ended December 31, 2013, decreased by RUB 2,175 million, or 12.3%, to RUB 15,498 million from RUB 17,673 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, primarily as a result of the decrease in our total indebtedness, revision of interest rates during 2013 as well as raising credit funds at more attractive rates.

***Equity in net income of associates***

*Consolidated equity in net income of associates* for the year ended December 31, 2013, increased by RUB 1,603 million, or 184.5%, to a gain of RUB 2,472 million, compared to a gain of RUB 869 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase results mainly from income growth of our equity investee in Belarus during the year ended December 31, 2013. In addition, in April 2013, we acquired a 25.0945% stake in MTS Bank, consolidated equity in net income of MTS Bank for the year ended December 31, 2013 amounted to RUB 229 million.

Table of Contents***Other expenses (income), net***

*Consolidated other income* for the year ended December 31, 2013, amounted to RUB 10,636 million, as compared to RUB 687 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. This increase was primarily attributable to the release of the provision to exercise the put option for acquisition of the remaining 49% stake in Bitel and the release of damages, interest and other costs that had been provided for in relation to the dispute with Nomihold.

***Provision for income taxes***

*Consolidated provision for income taxes* for the year ended December 31, 2013, increased by 1.3% to RUB 19,633 million from RUB 19,384 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The effective tax rate decreased to 20.3% in the year ended December 31, 2013, from 23.4% in the year ended December 31, 2012 mainly as a result of earnings distributions from subsidiaries, effect of the lower tax rates of subsidiaries and other items not liable for tax purposes.

***Net income attributable to the non-controlling interest***

*Net income attributable to the non-controlling interest* for the year ended December 31, 2013 remained relatively stable and amounted to RUB 949 million compared to net income attributable to the non-controlling interest of RUB 970 million for the year ended December 31, 2012.

***Net income attributable to the Group***

*Net income attributable to the Group* for the year ended December 31, 2013, increased by 50,197 million, or 169%, to RUB 79,839 million, compared to RUB 29,642 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. Net income as a percentage of revenues was 20.0% in the year ended December 31, 2013, and 7.8% in the year ended December 31, 2012. Net income attributable to the Group for the year ended December 31, 2013, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2012, increased due to the overall growth of consolidated revenues by 5.3%, recognition of other income in the amount of RUB 12,147 million attributable to the release of the provision relating to the exercise of the put option to acquire the remaining 49% stake in Bitel and the release of provisions for damages, interest and other costs that had been provided for in relation to the dispute with Nomihold. Another reason for the growth of net income was the recognition of gain in the amount of RUB 3,733 million related to discontinued operations in Uzbekistan in the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to the loss in the amount of RUB 32,846 million recognized in the year ended December 31, 2012.

**B. Liquidity and Capital Resources**

Our borrowings consist of notes and bank loans. Since 2001, we have raised a total of \$3.0 billion (RUB 92.8 billion at the date of transactions) through seven U.S. dollar-denominated unsecured bond offerings in the international capital markets, as well as ruble-denominated bonds totaling RUB 96 billion. Our bank loans consist of U.S. dollar-, euro-, Armenian dram-, Uzbek som- and ruble- denominated borrowings totaling approximately RUB 176.5 billion as of December 31, 2014. We repaid approximately RUB 52.6 billion of indebtedness in 2014. As of December 31, 2014, the total amount available to us under our credit facilities amounted to RUB 44.4 billion. We had total indebtedness of approximately RUB 292.4 billion as of December 31, 2014, including capital lease obligations, compared to approximately RUB 219.1 billion as of December 31, 2013. Our total interest expense for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2014, was RUB 15.5 billion and RUB 16.5 billion, net of amounts capitalized, respectively. See Note 17 to our audited consolidated financial statements for a description of our indebtedness.

Table of Contents

**Capital Requirements**

We need capital to finance the following:

capital expenditures, consisting of purchases of property, plant and equipment and intangible assets;

acquisitions;

repayment of debt and related interest payments;

changes in working capital; and

general corporate activities, including dividends.

We anticipate that capital expenditures, acquisitions, repayment of long-term debt and dividends will represent the most significant uses of funds for several years to come.

Our cash outlays for capital expenditures in 2012, 2013 and 2014 were RUB 87,783 million, RUB 81,575 million and RUB 92,599 million, respectively. We expect to continue to finance most of our capital expenditure needs through our operating cash flows, and to the extent required, to incur additional indebtedness through borrowings or additional capital raising activities. Historically, a significant portion of our capital expenditures have been related to the installation and build-out of our network and expansion into new license areas. We expect that capital expenditures will remain a large portion of our cash outflows in connection with the continued installation and build-out of our network. We expect our total capital expenditures in 2015 to be approximately 20% of our total 2014 revenue. These investments are required to support the growth in our subscriber base (*i.e.*, to improve network capacity), to maintain and modernize our mobile and fixed line networks, to develop our network in the regions and to continue with the roll out of LTE networks throughout Russia as well as the development of our proprietary retail chain in Russia. We expect that the development of LTE networks will be among our most significant capital expenditures and require considerable management resources. See "Item 4. Information on Our Company B. Business Overview Mobile Operations Services Offered 3G Technology" for additional information. Our actual capital expenditures may vary significantly from our estimates.

In addition to capital expenditures, RUB 2,198 million (net of cash acquired) in 2012, was spent to acquire businesses. See "Item 3. Key Information A. Selected Financial Data" and Note 3 to our audited consolidated financial statements. In 2013 we bought a 25.0945% stake in MTS Bank for RUB 5,089 million. In December 2014 we acquired controlling interests in a number of mobile operators in certain regions of Russia (SMARTS-Ivanovo, SMARTS-Ufa and Penza-GSM) for RUB 2,757 million. In December 2014, we increased our interest in MTS Bank from 26.3% to 27.0% through participation in an additional share issue of MTS Bank. In April 2014, we acquired a 10.82% stake in OZON Holdings Limited through the purchase of OZON Holdings Limited's additional share issuance amounting to USD 75 million (RUB 2,702 million). We also used cash provided by operating activities as well as external credit facilities to finance our capital expenditures. We plan to finance future acquisitions through operating cash flows and additional borrowings. We may continue to expand our business through acquisitions. Our cash requirements relating to potential acquisitions can vary significantly based on market opportunities.

We expect to refinance our existing debt when it becomes due. Of our notes outstanding as of December 31, 2014, RUB 22,701 million are due in 2015, RUB 1,788 million are due in 2016 and RUB 10,000 million are due in 2017. Of our bank loans outstanding as of December 31, 2014, RUB 19,435 million is due in 2015, RUB 33,821 million is due in 2016 and RUB 39,937 million is due in 2017. We generally use the proceeds from our financing activities for our corporate purposes and refinancing existing indebtedness.

Table of Contents

Sistema, which currently controls 51.46% of our total charter capital (53.46% excluding treasury shares) and consolidates our results in its financial statements, has a significant amount of outstanding debt and requires funds for debt service. These funds may come, in part, from dividends paid by its subsidiaries, including us. Our shareholders approved cash dividends in the amount of RUB 30,046 million (including dividends on treasury shares of RUB 1,127 million) for the year 2010, of which RUB 6 million remained payable as of December 31, 2011, RUB 30,397 million (including dividends on treasury shares of RUB 1,140 million) for 2011, of which RUB 4 million remained payable as of December 31, 2012, and RUB 30,168 million (including dividends on treasury shares of RUB 1,131 million) for 2012. In 2013, MTS started to pay out dividends on a semi-annual basis using interim 6 months and full-year financial results as a foundation, and the amount of semi-annual dividends for 2013 approved by our shareholders was RUB 10,786 million (including dividends on treasury shares of RUB 405 million). Our shareholders approved annual cash dividends for the year 2013 in the amount of RUB 38,435 million (including dividends on treasury shares in the amount of RUB 1,441 million), semi-annual dividends for 2014 in the amount of RUB 12,812 million (including dividends on treasury shares in the amount of RUB 480.5 million). Dividends payable to our shareholders as of December 31, 2014, amounted to RUB 1.7 million.

We generally intend to finance our dividend requirements through operating cash flows, and accordingly, our payment of dividends may make us more reliant on external sources of capital to finance our capital expenditures and acquisitions.

***Capital Resources***

We plan to finance our capital requirements through a mix of operating cash flows and financing activities, as described above. Our major sources of cash have been cash provided by operations and the proceeds of our U.S. dollar-denominated and ruble-denominated note issuances and loans. We expect that these sources will continue to be our principal sources of cash in the future.

The availability of financing is influenced by many factors, including our profitability, operating cash flows, debt levels, credit ratings, contractual restrictions and market conditions. We cannot assure you that we will be able to continue to obtain large amounts of financing in the future through debt or equity offerings, bank financings or otherwise.

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

### Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2014, our outstanding indebtedness consisted of the following notes and bank loans:

#### *Notes*

As of December 31, 2014, our notes consisted of the following:

	Currency	Annual interest rate (actual rate at December 31, 2014)	Amount
MTS International Notes due 2020	USD	8.625%	35,057
MTS International Notes due 2023	USD	5.00%	26,920
MTS OJSC Notes due 2020	RUB	8.15%	15,000
MTS OJSC Notes due 2014	RUB	7.60%	
MTS OJSC Notes due 2017	RUB	8.70%	10,000
MTS OJSC Notes due 2023	RUB	8.25%	10,000
MTS OJSC Notes due 2015	RUB	7.75%	7,537
MTS OJSC Notes due 2018	RUB	8.75%	1,788
MTS OJSC Notes due 2016	RUB	12.00%	136
MTS OJSC Notes due 2015 (A series)	RUB	0.67%	12
MTS OJSC Notes due 2015 - 2016 (B series)	RUB	0.54%	12
MTS OJSC Notes due 2021 - 2022 (V series)	RUB	0.25%	12
Plus: unamortized premium			3
<b>Total notes</b>			<b>106,477</b>
Less: current portion			(22,701)
<b>Total notes, long-term</b>			<b>83,776</b>

The Group has an unconditional obligation to repurchase certain MTS OJSC Notes at par value if claimed by the noteholders subsequent to the announcement of the sequential coupon. The dates of the announcement for each particular note issue are as follows:

MTS OJSC Notes due 2018	December 2015
MTS OJSC Notes due 2020	November 2015
MTS OJSC Notes due 2023	March 2018

Subject to certain exceptions and qualifications, the indentures governing our U.S. dollar-denominated notes due 2020 contain covenants limiting our ability to incur debt, create liens, sell or transfer lease properties, enter into loan transactions with affiliates, merge or consolidate or convey our properties and assets to another person, as well as our ability to sell or transfer any of our GSM licenses for the Moscow, St. Petersburg, Krasnodar and Ukraine license areas. In addition, if we experience a change in control, noteholders will have the right to require us to redeem the notes at 101% of their principal amount, plus accrued interest. We are also prohibited from having any judgment, decree or order for payment of money in an amount of \$15.0 million (RUB 843.9 million as of December 31, 2014) for MTS International Notes 2020 and \$75.0 million (RUB 4,219.4 million as of December 31, 2014) for MTS International Notes due 2023 unsatisfied for more than 60 days without being appealed, discharged or waived. If we fail to comply with these and the other covenants contained in the indentures, after certain notice and cure periods, the noteholders can accelerate the debt to be immediately due and payable.

Our ruble-denominated notes contain certain covenants limiting our ability to delist the notes from the quotation lists and delay coupon payments. We may from time to time seek to repurchase or redeem our outstanding notes through cash purchases and/or exchanges for new debt securities in open

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

### Table of Contents

market purchases, privately negotiated transactions or otherwise. Such repurchases or exchanges, if any, will depend on market conditions, our liquidity requirements, contractual restrictions and other factors.

We were in compliance with all our note covenants as of December 31, 2014.

### *Bank loans*

As of December 31, 2014, our loans from banks and other financial institutions consisted of the following:

	Maturity	Interest rate (actual at December 31, 2014)	December 31, 2014
<b><i>USD-denominated:</i></b>			
Calyon, ING Bank N.V, Nordea Bank AB, Raiffeisen Zentralbank Osterreich AG	2015 - 2020	LIBOR + 1.15% (1.51%)	37,901
Skandinaviska Enskilda Banken AB	2015 - 2017	LIBOR + 0.23% - 1.8% (0.59% - 2.16%)	5,175
HSBC Bank plc and ING BHF Bank AG	2015	LIBOR + 0.3% (0.66%)	
Other	2015	15%	164
			<b>43,240</b>
<b><i>EUR-denominated:</i></b>			
Bank of China	2015	EURIBOR + 1.95% (2.12%)	
Credit Agricole Corporate Bank and BNP Paribas	2015 - 2018	EURIBOR + 1.65% (1.82%)	1,893
LBBW	2015 - 2017	EURIBOR +1.52% (1.69%)	956
			<b>2,849</b>
<b><i>RUB-denominated:</i></b>			
Sberbank <sup>(1)</sup>	2015 - 2021	8.45% - 12.05%	125,000
Notes in REPO	2015	19.36%	3,425
SMM	2015	0% - 15%	556
Other	2015 - 2023	Various	456
			<b>129,437</b>
<b><i>AMD-denominated:</i></b>			
ASHIB	2015	13%	176
			<b>176</b>
<b><i>UZS-denominated:</i></b>			
Aloqabank	2019 - 2022	12%	759
Uzbektelecom	2015	10%	58
			817
<b>Total bank loans</b>			<b>176,519</b>
Less: current portion			(19,435)
<b>Total bank loans, long-term</b>			<b>157,084</b>

(1)

Each of our ruble-denominated Sberbank loan facilities provides that Sberbank may unilaterally change the interest rate including, without limitation, in the event of an increase in the CBR refinancing rate. An increase in the interest rate is subject to a minimum 60-day prior notice from Sberbank, and a decrease in the interest rate is subject to a 30-day notice.

See also Note 17 to our audited consolidated financial statements.

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

Our loans are subject to certain restrictive covenants, including, but not limited to, negative pledges, certain financial ratios, limitations on dispositions of assets and limitations on transactions with associates, requirements to maintain ownership in certain subsidiaries, maintain certain contracts or licenses, maintain assets of certain value and to maintain a certain level of deposits in accounts at our creditor banks. In addition, there are restrictions on the granting of loans and guarantees and the incurrence of debt the purpose of which is to facilitate us paying or making any dividend or other payment or distribution of any kind on or in respect of any of our shares or to undertake any form of

Table of Contents

capital reduction. Most of the loans also include an event of default involving an unsatisfied judgment against us in excess of \$10.0 million (RUB 563 million as of December 31, 2014) for a period of over 60 consecutive calendar days. We were in compliance with our loan covenants as of December 31, 2014.

The following table presents the aggregate scheduled maturities of debt principal outstanding as of December 31, 2014:

	Notes	Bank loans
Payments due in the year ending December 31,		
2015	22,701	19,435
2016	1,788	33,821
2017	10,000	39,937
2018	10,000	28,527
2019		31,695
Thereafter	61,988	23,104
<b>Total</b>	<b>106,477</b>	<b>176,519</b>

In addition, we had capital lease obligations in the amount of RUB 9,395 million and RUB 48 million as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The terms of our material debt obligations are described in Note 18 to our audited consolidated financial statements.

Subsequent to December 31, 2014, we repaid approximately RUB 6,812 million (based on USD and Euro exchange rates as of the payment dates) in short-term indebtedness.

In addition, Sistema, which currently controls 51.46% of our total charter capital (53.46% excluding treasury shares) and consolidates our results in its financial statements, is subject to various covenants in certain of its credit facilities which impose restrictions on Sistema and its restricted subsidiaries, including us, with respect to, among others, incurrence of indebtedness and liens. See "Item 3. Key Information D. Risk Factors Risks Relating to Our Financial Condition Indentures relating to some of our notes contain, and some of our loan agreements and Sistema's loan agreements contain, restrictive covenants, which limit our ability to incur debt and to engage in various activities."



Table of Contents**Consolidated Cash Flow Summary**

A summary of our cash flows and cash outlays for capital expenditures and acquisitions of subsidiaries follows:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2012	2013	2014
	(in millions of RUB)		
<b>Cash flows from:</b>			
Net cash provided by operating activities continuing operations	134,856	159,924	159,518
Net cash used in operating activities discontinued operations	(2,733)	(547)	
Net cash used in investing activities continuing operations	(91,322)	(96,786)	(105,588)
Net cash (used in)/provided by investing activities discontinued operations	(2,045)	115	
Net cash used in financing activities continuing operation <sup>(1)</sup>	(75,346)	(55,145)	(33,171)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(985)	1,037	10,195
Net (decrease)/increase in cash and cash equivalents	(37,575)	8,598	30,954
<b>Cash outlays from continuing operations for:</b>			
Capital expenditures <sup>(2)</sup>	(87,783)	(81,575)	(92,599)
Acquisition of subsidiaries, net of cash acquired	(1,937)		(2,755)
Cash payments for the acquisition of subsidiaries from related party and non-controlling interests	(261)		(26)

(1) There were no cash flows/inflows provided by financing activities related to discontinued operations.

(2) Includes acquisitions of property, plant and equipment and intangible assets.

For the year ended December 31, 2014, net cash provided by operating activities from continuing operations totaled to RUB 159,518 million, and remained stable compared to the year ended December 31, 2013. However, in 2013 net cash from operating activities from continuing operations contained cash inflow recognized on settlement of Bitel litigation in the amount of RUB 4,093 million. In 2014, cash inflow related to Bitel litigation totaled to RUB 816 million. Net of the Bitel effect net cash provided by operating activities from continuing operations increased by RUB 2,871 million or 1.8% compared to 2013. The increase resulted mainly from increase in dividends received (by RUB 819 million), decrease of income taxes paid (by RUB 1,758 million) as well as changes in timing of settlements with customers and suppliers.

Net cash from continuing operations used in investing activities in the year ended December 31, 2014 was RUB 105,588 million, an increase of 9.1% from the year ended December 31, 2013. Net cash used on purchases of property, plant and equipment and intangible assets in the year ended December 31, 2014 increased by RUB 11,024 million. In 2014, the cash outflow relating to short term and other investments in the form of deposits and loans totaled to RUB 3,086 million, compared to cash outflow of RUB 10,541 million for 2013 (effect year on year increase in cash flow from investing activities RUB 7,455 million). Cash spent in 2014 on the acquisition of subsidiaries, amounted to RUB 2,755 million, no subsidiaries were acquired in 2013. Investments in associates increased by RUB 2,679 million to RUB 7,767 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, from RUB 5,088 million for the year ended December, 2013 (mainly as a result of share acquisition in OZON for RUB 2,702 million in 2014; investments in MTS Bank remained relatively stable, RUB 4,905 million in 2014 against RUB 5,088 million in 2013).

Net cash from continuing operations used in financing activities in the year ended December 31, 2014 was RUB 33,171 million, compared to RUB 55,145 million used in the year ended December 31, 2013. The decrease was mainly attributable to the higher amount of funds raised in 2014 (increase of RUB 43,419 million compared to 2013) partially offset by higher repayments of debt and notes (increase in amount of RUB 7,398 million) and higher dividend repayments (increase by

Table of Contents

RUB 10,215 million). In addition, in 2013 we received additional cash from the sale of Business-Nedvizhimost to Sistema in the amount of RUB 3,215 million.

For the year ended December 31, 2013, net cash provided by operating activities from continuing operations was RUB 159,924 million, an increase of 18.6% from the year ended December 31, 2012. This increase was primarily attributable to an increase in total revenues due to the increased usage of value added services by our subscribers as well as one off cash inflow recognized on settlement of Bitel litigation in the amount of RUB 4,093 million.

Net cash from continuing operations used in investing activities in the year ended December 31, 2013 was RUB 96,786 million, an increase of 6.0% from the year ended December 31, 2012. Net cash used on purchases of property, plant and equipment and intangible assets in the year ended December 31, 2013, decreased by RUB 6,208 million. The cash outflow relating to short term and other investments in the form of deposits and loans increased by RUB 8,544 million. The amount of cash paid for acquisition of subsidiaries, net of cash acquired, decreased by RUB 1,937 million. At the same time investments in associates increased by RUB 5,088 million due to acquisition of 25.0945% stake in MTS Bank.

Net cash from continuing operations used in financing activities in the year ended December 31, 2013 was RUB 55,145 million, compared to RUB 75,346 million used in the year ended December 31, 2012. The decrease was mainly attributable to our repayments of loans and debt, which were RUB 17,764 million lower than in 2013. In addition, the amount of funds raised by us in the year ended December 31, 2013 increased by RUB 8,049 million, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2012. The effect of change in cash flows related to debt activities was partially offset by an increase in dividends paid (by RUB 10,080 million) resulting from change in our dividend policy (starting from 2013 our policy is to pay dividends on a semi-annual basis). Additional cash inflows were generated from the sale of MGTS's subsidiary Business-Nedvizhimost to Sistema for RUB 3,215 million (RUB 3,068 million net of cash disposed), as well as reimbursement of debt issuance costs paid for insurance premium of not used credit facilities in amount of RUB 959 million.

**Liquidity**

As of December 31, 2014, we had total cash and cash equivalents of RUB 61,410 million (RUB 29,039 million in rubles, RUB 18,816 million in U.S. dollars, RUB 6,444 million in Ukrainian hryvnias, RUB 5,480 million in euros, RUB 1,162 million in Turkmenistan manat, RUB 341 million in Uzbek som, RUB 128 million in Armenian dram). In addition, as of December 31, 2014, we had short-term investments of RUB 9,849 million, mostly in form of deposits in various banks as well as loan receivables. We also had RUB 44,378 million available under existing credit facilities as of December 31, 2014. For a description of our outstanding external financing, see Note 17 to our audited consolidated financial statements.

As of December 31, 2014, we had a working capital surplus of RUB 14,684 million compared to a surplus of RUB 14,814 million as of December 31, 2013. The slight decrease in working capital surplus was mainly attributable to increase in current borrowings by RUB 17,110 million and trade accounts payable by RUB 13,832 million offset by increase in cash and cash equivalents by RUB 30,798 million. We expect to repay all long-term debts as they become due from our operating cash flows or through re-financings. We believe that our working capital, together with our plans for external financing, will provide us with sufficient funds for our present requirements.

Russian law requires that dividends can only be paid in an amount not exceeding net profits as determined under Russian accounting standards, denominated in rubles, after certain deductions. In addition, dividends may only be paid if the value of the company's net assets is not less than the sum of the company's charter capital, the company's reserve fund and the difference between the liquidation value and the par value of the issued and outstanding preferred stock of the company, if any, as

Table of Contents

determined under Russian accounting standards. Our net income under Russian accounting standards for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2013 and 2014 that was distributable under Russian legislation amounted to RUB 28,376 million, RUB 55,999 and RUB 42,949 million, respectively.

**Credit Rating Discussion**

Our credit ratings impact our ability to obtain short- and long-term financing, and the cost of such financing, and credit rating downgrades may require us to prepay certain loans. In determining our credit ratings, the rating agencies consider a number of factors, including our operating cash flows, total debt outstanding, commitments, interest requirements, liquidity needs and availability of liquidity. Other factors considered may include our business strategy, the condition of our industry and our position within the industry and the strategy, activity and/or credit rating of Sistema. Although we understand that these and other factors are among those considered by the rating agencies, each agency might calculate and weigh each factor differently. See "Item 3. Key Information D. Risk Factors Risks Relating to Our Business Our controlling shareholder has the ability to take actions that may conflict with the interests of holders of our securities."

**Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

Our significant accounting policies are disclosed in Note 2 to our audited consolidated financial statements. Critical accounting policies are those policies that require the application of management's most challenging, subjective or complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain and may change in subsequent periods. Critical accounting policies involve judgments and uncertainties that are sufficiently sensitive to result in materially different results under different assumptions and conditions. We believe our most critical accounting policies and estimates are those discussed below.

***Management estimates***

The preparation of our audited consolidated financial statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses for the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Our significant estimates include the allowance for doubtful accounts, allowance for inventory obsolescence, valuation of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in business combinations, income tax benefits, the recoverability of goodwill, intangible assets and other long-lived assets, certain accrued liabilities and valuation of financial instruments.

***Useful Lives of Property Plant and Equipment***

We calculate depreciation expense for property, plant and equipment on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives. We establish useful lives for each category of property, plant and equipment based on our assessment of the use of the assets and anticipated technology evolution. We review and revise if appropriate the assumptions used in the determination of useful lives of property, plant and equipment at least on an annual basis. With regard to certain equipment, we cannot predict with certainty how and when developing technology will require us to replace such equipment.

***Impairment of Long-lived Assets***

We periodically evaluate the recoverability of the carrying amount of our long-lived assets. Whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amounts of those assets may not be recoverable, we compare undiscounted net cash flows estimated to be generated by those assets to the carrying amount of those assets. When these undiscounted cash flows are less than the carrying

Table of Contents

amounts of the assets, we record impairment losses to write the asset down to fair value, measured by the estimated discounted net future cash flows expected to be generated from the use of the assets. None of the Group's long-lived assets were impaired in 2013 and 2014. An impairment loss in the amount of RUB 16,514 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 was recognized by our subsidiaries in Uzbekistan and included net loss from discontinued operations. See also Note 2 and Note 4 to our audited consolidated financial statements.

***Impairment of Goodwill***

Goodwill represents an excess of the consideration paid over the fair market value of net identifiable assets acquired in a purchase business combination and is not amortized. Goodwill is reviewed for impairment at least annually or whenever it is determined that one or more impairment indicators exist. We determine whether impairment has occurred by assigning goodwill to the reporting unit identified in accordance with the authoritative guidance on intangibles, and comparing the carrying amount of the reporting unit to the fair value of the reporting unit. If an impairment of goodwill has occurred, we recognize a loss for the difference between the carrying amount and the implied fair value of goodwill. As of December 31, 2012, we recognized goodwill impairment in the amount of RUB 3,523 million related to Uzdurobita Litigation, which is included in the net loss from discontinued operations. Please see "Item 3. Key Information D. Risk Factors Legal Risks and Uncertainties The inability of our subsidiaries in the countries in which we are present to maintain control over their operations and assets may adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations" regarding the recent suspension of our services in Uzbekistan, "Item 8. Financial Information A. Consolidated Statements and Other Financial Information 7. Litigation Uzbekistan" and Note 4 to our audited consolidated financial statements.

***Taxation***

Generally, tax declarations remain open and subject to inspection for a period of three years following the tax year. While most of our tax declarations have been inspected without significant penalties, these inspections do not eliminate the possibility of re-inspection.

We believe that we have adequately provided for tax liabilities in our financial statements; however, the risk remains that relevant authorities could take differing positions with regard to interpretive issues and the effect could be significant. See Note 30 to our audited consolidated financial statements.

We recognize deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of existing differences between financial reporting and tax reporting bases of assets and liabilities, and for the loss or tax credit carry-forwards using enacted tax rates expected to be in effect at the time these differences are realized. We record valuation allowances for deferred tax assets when it is likely that these assets will not be realized.

***Other investments and loans***

Long-term financial instruments consist primarily of long-term deposits, which are repayable in more than a year, as well as investments and loans. Deposits and loans are classified as held to maturity and carried at amortized cost. The Group reviews these investments for indicators of impairment on a regular basis. The investments in shares of companies over which the Group has no significant influence are carried at cost. The Group does not evaluate cost-method investments for impairment unless there is an indicator of impairment.

Table of Contents

**New Accounting Pronouncements**

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") amended the existing accounting standards for revenue recognition. The amendments are based on the principle that revenue should be recognized to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. The Group is required to adopt the amendments in the reporting period starting after December 15, 2016. Early adoption is not permitted. The amendments may be applied retrospectively to each prior period presented or retrospectively with the cumulative effect recognized as of the date of initial application. The management of the Group is currently evaluating the impact of these amendments and the transition alternatives on the Group consolidated financial statements.

See Note 2 to our audited consolidated financial statements.

**C. Research and Development, Patents and Licenses, etc.**

Not applicable.

**D. Trend Information**

*Sales*

In 2014, our revenues in Russia increased by 6.1% and in Ukraine decreased by 17.5%. Our mobile subscriber base increased to 98.8 million subscribers as of December 31, 2014 from 94.7 million subscribers as of December 31, 2013. We expect our consolidated subscriber base to remain stable in 2015 as a result of continued marketing and advertising activity. We anticipate our consolidated revenues will increase in 2015 mainly based on growth in data usage and the development of our broadband business in the regions.

Average monthly service revenue per subscriber in Russia remained relatively stable and amounted to RUB 339.1 for the year ended December 31, 2014 as compared to RUB 338.6 for the year ended December 31, 2013. Average monthly minutes of use per subscriber in Russia increased to 372 minutes in 2014 from 359 minutes in 2013 mainly due to decrease in tariffs for on-net traffic and various roaming-related offers. We expect average monthly service revenue per subscriber in Russia to remain stable in 2015 as we plan to decrease prices and stimulate growth in usage of data services. We also believe that average monthly minutes of use per subscriber will remain stable with an increase in megabytes of use per subscriber due to our efforts aimed at stimulating data usage and on-net traffic.

In Ukraine, our subscriber base decreased to approximately 20.2 million subscribers as of December 31, 2014, from 21.5 million subscribers as of December 31, 2013. In 2015, we expect revenues to remain stable under the impact of the weaker macroeconomic environment. We expect the average monthly minutes of use per subscriber will decrease slightly in 2015. Our subscriber base in Armenia remained stable and amounted to 2.1 million subscribers in 2014. The average monthly service revenue per subscriber in Armenia decreased to 2,989 dram (RUB 275) from 3,207 dram (RUB 249.9). We expect the average monthly service revenue per subscriber in Armenia to decline mainly due to the growth of competition in these markets which may, in turn, lead to decreasing tariffs, the addition of lower-value mass market subscribers, as well as increasing market penetration and multiple SIM card usage per person.

Our primary operating license in Turkmenistan was resumed, and all of our operations in the country fully recommenced since October 1, 2012. Our subscriber base in Turkmenistan amounted to approximately 1.7 million subscribers as of December 31, 2014. We expect our subscriber base and revenues to increase and our market to enhance in 2015. After reentrance we started operations in Uzbekistan in December 2014. Our subscriber base in Uzbekistan amounted to approximately

Table of Contents

0.2 million subscribers as of December 31, 2014. We expect our subscriber base and revenues to increase and our market position to enhance in 2015.

Russia and Ukraine are the two largest markets for us, both in terms of subscribers and revenue. In 2014, the underlying developments within these markets remained generally positive and included high mobile penetration, strong demand for mobile services, generally positive usage trends and increased consumption of data services and value-added services. We expect growth of business activity in Russia to continue throughout 2015. We also expect that the stabilizing of the political situation in Ukraine will allow us to retain our operating indicators.

We expect a challenging operating environment in 2015 due to continued macroeconomic and market volatility in the countries where we operate, increasing competition and significant changes in the mobile retail market in Russia. We also experienced significant exchange rate volatility and depreciation of local currencies in the countries where we operate against the U.S. dollar. The volatility and devaluation of local currencies against the U.S. dollar and/or euro may adversely affect our costs, including our non-cash foreign exchange loss due to the translation of our U.S. dollar- and euro-denominated debt. For further information on these risks, see " A. Operating Results Certain Factors Affecting our Financial Position and Results of Operations Currency Fluctuations," and "Item 3. Key Information D. Risk Factors Risks Relating to Our Financial Condition Inflation could increase our costs and adversely affect our results of operations."

However, considering current macroeconomic conditions, our management believes that we will experience medium- and long-term growth and efficiency. Due to the fact that the Russian and the Ukrainian markets are highly penetrated, we believe the next wave of revenue growth for the overall market is likely to come from customers' increasing use of data, content and other value-added services.

**Churn**

We define churn as the total number of subscribers who cease to be a subscriber during the period (whether involuntarily due to non-payment or voluntarily), expressed as a percentage of the average number of our subscribers during that period.

A vast majority of our subscribers are prepaid subscribers with no contractual commitment to us. As a result, these subscribers have unfettered freedom to migrate between operators at their convenience. This freedom, combined with the relative ease with which subscribers can obtain SIM cards, contributes to churn and increasing penetration levels in the markets where we operate.

The churn rate is highly dependent on competition in our license areas and those subscribers who migrate as a result of such competition. Our churn rate in Russia slightly increased to 41.0% during the year ended December 31, 2014, as compared to 38.1% for the year ended December 31, 2013, due to growth in the number of new connections. We continued to offer our popular tariff plan "Super MTS" (free calls to all subscribers of MTS Russia), updated options for unlimited mobile Internet, further improved network quality and enhanced data rate by expanding our 3G and LTE capabilities. We expect that the extension of the MTS-Bonus loyalty program and further development of our mono-brand retail network will allow us to keep churn rate under control in 2015, stimulate value-added services usage and promote subscriber loyalty through superior customer service.

The churn rate in Ukraine increased to 34.2% for the year ended December 31, 2014, from 27.2% for the year ended December 31, 2013 due to the fact that MTS Ukraine terminated its operations in Crimea in October 2014.

Table of Contents**E. Off-balance Sheet Arrangements**

We believe that our existing off-balance sheet arrangements do not have and are not reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources that is material to investors.

***Obligations under derivative contracts***

We regularly enter into variable-to-fixed interest rate swap agreements to manage the exposure of changes in variable interest rate related to its debt obligations. The instruments qualify for cash flow hedge accounting under U.S. GAAP requirements. Each interest rate swap matches the exact maturity dates of the underlying debt allowing for highly-effective hedges. Interest rate swap contracts outstanding December 31, 2014 mature in 2015, 2018 and 2020.

In addition to the above, we have also entered into several cross-currency interest rate swap agreements. These contracts hedged the risk of both interest rate and currency fluctuations and assumed periodic exchanges of both principal and interest payments from RUB-denominated amounts to USD- and euro- denominated amounts to be exchanged at a specified rate. The rate was determined by the market spot rate upon issuance. Each interest rate swap matches the exact maturity dates of the underlying debt allowing for highly-effective hedges. Cross-currency interest rate swap contracts mature in 2019-2020.

**F. Tabular Disclosure of Contractual Obligations**

We have various contractual obligations and commercial commitments to make future payments, including debt agreements, capital lease obligations (including interest) and certain committed obligations. The following table summarizes our future obligations under these contracts due by the periods indicated as of December 31, 2014:

	Payments due by period				Total
	Less than 1 year	1 - 3 years	3 - 5 years	More than 5 years	
	(amounts in millions of RUB)				
<b>Contractual Obligations:</b> <sup>(1)</sup>					
Long-Term Principal Debt Obligations	42,135	85,545	70,223	85,093	282,996
Interest Payments <sup>(2)</sup>	21,469	38,290	25,587	13,428	98,774
Capital Lease Obligations	1	2	2	8	13
Operating Lease Obligations	5,172	1,075	491	1,700	8,438
Purchase Obligations <sup>(3)</sup>	41,881	6,158	175	84	48,298
Asset retirement obligation				3,022	3,022
Retirement and post-retirement obligation	216	113	110	633	1,072
Payments related to business acquisitions		100			100
Uncertain Income Tax Position	342				342
<b>Total</b>	<b>111,216</b>	<b>131,283</b>	<b>96,588</b>	<b>103,968</b>	<b>443,055</b>

(1) Debt payments could be accelerated upon violation of covenants in our debt agreements.

(2)

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

Interest payments are calculated based on indebtedness as of December 31, 2014, scheduled maturities for the debt and interest rates effective as of December 31, 2014. We calculate interest payments on ruble-denominated notes until the dates of their respective put options, as described in Note 17 to our audited consolidated financial statements. Payments under interest rate swap agreements are excluded from the table as their amount and timing cannot be reasonably estimated.

(3)

Includes future payments under purchase agreements to acquire property, plant and equipment, intangible assets, costs related thereto, inventory and services. We plan to finance our capital commitments through operating cash flow and additional borrowings.



Table of Contents**Item 6. Directors, Senior Management and Employees****A. Directors and Senior Management****Key Biographies**

Our directors and executive officers, their dates of birth and positions as of the date of this document were as follows:

<b>Name</b>	<b>Year of Birth</b>	<b>Position</b>
Ron Sommer	1949	Chairman of the Board of Directors, Non-Executive Director
Anton V. Abugov	1976	Deputy Chairman of the Board of Directors, Non-Executive Director
Vsevolod Rozanov	1971	Non-Executive Director
Stanley P. Miller <sup>(1)(2)</sup>	1958	Non-Executive Independent Director
Alexander E. Gorbunov	1967	Non-Executive Director
Michel Combes <sup>(1)(2)</sup>	1962	Non-Executive Independent Director
Thomas Holtrop <sup>(1)(2)</sup>	1954	Non-Executive Independent Director
Andrey A. Dubovskov <sup>(3)</sup>	1966	Executive Director, President and Chief Executive Officer ("CEO")
Sergey A. Drozdov	1970	Non-Executive Director
Alexey V. Kornya <sup>(3)(4)</sup>	1975	Vice President Member of Management Board Chief Financial Officer ("CFO")
Andrey E. Ushatskiy <sup>(3)</sup>	1974	Vice President Member of Management Board Chief Technology and Information Officer
Vasil I. Latsanych <sup>(3)</sup>	1972	Vice President Member of Management Board Marketing
Ruslan S. Ibragimov <sup>(3)(4)</sup>	1963	Vice President Member of Management Board Corporate and Legal Matters
Vadim E. Savchenko <sup>(3)</sup>	1974	Vice President Member of Management Board Sales and Customer Service
Oleg A. Korovitskiy	1970	Vice President Security
Mikhail A. Arkhipov <sup>(3)</sup>	1982	Vice President Member of Management Board HR
Andrey G. Smelkov <sup>(3)</sup>	1976	Vice President Member of Management Board Director of "MTS Foreign Subsidiaries" Business Unit
Ivan A. Zolochesky <sup>(3)</sup>	1972	Member of Management Board General Director of MTS Ukraine
Valery V. Shorzhin <sup>(3)</sup>	1963	Vice-President Member of Management Board Procurement Management and Administrative Matters
Kirill A. Dmitriev	1978	Member of Management Board Head of Moscow Macro-region

- (1) Member of the Remuneration and Nomination Committee.
- (2) Member of Audit Committee.
- (3) Member of Management Board.
- (4) Member of Disclosure Committee.

Table of Contents

**Ron Sommer** has served as Chairman of our Board of Directors since June 2009. He also was the Chairman of our Strategy Committee until 2013 and is now a member of the Strategy Committee and a member of the Budget Committee. Mr. Sommer has served as First Vice President Head of Telecommunications Assets Operating Unit of Sistema from May 2009 until 2011. He served as a member of the Board of Directors of Sistema from 2005 until 2012 and he served as Chairman of the Board of Directors of various Sistema affiliated companies. Currently Mr. Sommer is a Chairman of the Board of Directors of SSTL. He is also a member of the Board of Directors of Tata Consultancy Services, a member of the Supervisory Board of Munich Reinsurance. He was also a member of the International Advisory Board of The Blackstone Group until 2012. In 2009, he served as Chairman of the Board of Directors of Comstar. Between May 1995 and July 2002, he was CEO of Deutsche Telekom AG. From 1980 to 1995, he held a number of positions with Sony Corporation, including as CEO of Sony Deutschland, COO of Sony Corporation of America and COO of Sony Europe.

**Anton V. Abugov** has served as one of our Directors since June 2008 and as Deputy Chairman of the Board of Directors since June 2012. He is also the Chairman of our Strategy Committee. In addition, Mr. Abugov serves on the Board of Directors of various other companies affiliated with Sistema, including SSTL, MTS Bank and SMM. Since 2006, Mr. Abugov has served as First Vice President and Head of Strategy and Development at Sistema. Between 2003 and 2006, he was Managing Director of AKB Rosbank and head of its Corporate Finance Department. Between 1997 and 2006, he was a Strategy Consultant at the TAIF Group of Companies. From 1995 to 2002, he worked for the United Financial Group in different positions, including head of corporate finance from 1999 to 2002.

**Vsevolod Rozanov** has served as one of our Directors since June 2012. He is also the Chairman of our Budget Committee, member of the Corporate Conduct and Ethics Committee (renamed Corporate Governance Committee since February 25, 2015). He has served as the Vice President Head of Finance and Investments Complex in Sistema since 2013 and since 2014 he is a member of "SG-Trans" CJSC, MTS Bank and "Landshaft" CJSC' Board of Directors and Chairman of the Board of Directors in "DIK" LLC. From 2008 until 2013 he served as the president of SSTL. From 2006 until 2008 served as Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of MTS. In 2004 he was appointed Deputy General Director for Economics and Finance of Comstar UTS, coordinating the preparation of the company's IPO on the London Stock Exchange. From 2002 until 2004 served as Deputy General Director and Chief Financial Officer of MTU-Inform. From 1993 until 2001 held various consulting positions at the Moscow, London and Stockholm offices of Bain & Company.

**Stanley P. Miller** has served as one of our Directors since June 24, 2010. He also is the Chairman of our Remuneration and Appointment Committee, and a member of our Audit Committee, Strategy Committee, the Special Committee and Budget Committee. From 1998 to 2010, Mr. Miller served as CEO at KPN, Netherlands (since 2005, KPN Mobile International). From 2006 to 2010, he served as CEO and Chairman of the Supervisory Board at E-Plus, a subsidiary of KPN and the third largest provider of mobile telephony services in Germany. From 2001 to 2010, Mr. Miller was CEO and Chairman of the Board at BASE, Belgium, a subsidiary of KPN and the third largest provider of mobile telephony services in Belgium operating under the Simyo and Ortel Mobile brands. From 1998 to 2010, he served as a member of the Board of Directors of Hutchison 3G UK Ltd, IP Global Net NV and VESTA Technologies. Mr. Miller also serves as the Chairman of the Board of Directors of AINMT (AB) Sweden, and Arrow Creek Investments 75 (PTY) LTD South Africa.

**Alexander E. Gorbunov** has served as one of our Directors since February 2013. He also is a member of our Strategy Committee and Budget Committee. In addition, Mr. Gorbunov serves on the Board of Directors of various other companies such as SSTL and OZON Holdings Limited. From 2010 until 2011, Mr. Gorbunov served as Executive Vice President for development of telecommunication assets at Basic Assets Business Unit of Sistema and from 2011 as Executive Vice-President. From

Table of Contents

2006 until 2010, he served as Vice President for Strategy and Development at Comstar. Prior to that, from 2005 to 2006, Mr. Gorbunov headed Corporate Development Department at Sistema.

**Michel Combes** has served as one of our Directors since February 2013. He also is a member of the Remuneration and Appointment Committee, Audit Committee and Special Committee. Mr. Combes serves as Chief Executive Officer of Alcatel-Lucent SA and a non-executive director and member of Audit Committee of ALTICE. Mr. Combes also served as Chairman of the Supervisory Board at Assystem and as Non-Executive Director and a member of the Board of Directors of ISS until 2014. From 2008 until 2012, he served as Main Executive Director for Europe at Vodafone London and as Non-Executive Director at Vodafone PLC. From 2006 to 2008, Mr. Combes served as Main Executive Director at Telediffusion de France. Prior to that, from 2003 to 2006, he served as Senior Vice President and Financial Director at France telecom. Mr. Combes has also served as a Director of Vodafone, Weather (Orascom Wind), Atari, TDF, Eurotunnel, Atos and Procapital.

**Thomas Holtrop** has served as one of our Directors since February 2013. From 2009 until 2011, he served as a member of the Board of Directors at Comstar. He is also the Chairman of our Audit Committee, a member of the Special Committee and member of Remuneration and Appointment Committee, Corporate Governance Committee and Committee of Independent Directors. From 2005 to 2011, Mr. Holtrop served as a member of the Supervisory Board at Gruner & Jahr (Hamburg). Prior to that, from 2001 to 2004, he served as the President of T-Online International AG. Mr. Holtrop also served as a member of the Board of Directors at Deutsche Telecom AG from 2002 to 2004. Prior to that, he served as Vice President at American Express International Inc. and was a member of the Board of Directors at Bank 24 AG and Deutsche Bank 24 AG.

**Andrey A. Dubovskov** has served as our President and CEO since March 2011. Since June 2011 he has also served as our President and a member of our Corporate Governance Committee, Strategy Committee. From April 2008 to March 2011, he served as the General Director of MTS Ukraine. From March 2006 to December 2007, Mr. Dubovskov served as Director of Ural Macro-region. From January 2004 to March 2006, he served as the Director of one of our subsidiaries in Nizhniy Novgorod. Prior to joining us, Mr. Dubovskov served as the General Director of various telecommunications companies from 1998 to 2005.

**Sergey A. Drozdov** has served as one of our Directors and the Chairman of Corporate Conduct and Ethics Committee (renamed Corporate Governance Committee since February 25, 2015) since June 2013. He has also served as Senior Vice-President and head of Corporate Governance Complex at Sistema since 2011. From 2002 to 2011, Mr. Drozdov served as First Vice-President and Head of Property Complex of Sistema. He served as Vice-President performing duties of the President of OJSC Sistema- Invest, from 1998 to 2002. Prior to that, from 1995 to 1998, he served as head of Development and Investments Department. From 1994 to 1995 Mr. Drozdov served as head of Financial Innovations and Marketing of the Moscow City Property Fund.

**Alexey V. Kornya** has served as our Vice President CFO since June 2010. Prior to that, he served as our Acting Vice President Finance and Investments from August 2008. Mr. Kornya serves as our Chief Financial Officer and is the Chairman of our Disclosure Committee. He is a member of the Board of Directors of RTC, a member of the Board of Directors of MTS Bank and a member of the Board of Directors of SOOO CJSC. He is also a member of the Supervisory Board at MTS Ukraine. From March 2007 to December 2009, he served as our Chief Financial Controller. He served as our Financial Planning and Analysis Director from November 2004 to March 2007 and as CFO of our Urals Macro-region branch from July 2004 to November 2004.

**Andrey E. Ushatsky** has served as our Vice President Chief Technology and Information Officer since April 2014. Prior to that Mr. Ushatsky has served as our Vice President Chief Technology Officer since April 2009. Mr. Ushatsky joined us in 1996 and has served in various technology-related positions, most recently as the Deputy Head of MTS Russia for Technology.

Table of Contents

**Vasil I. Latsanych** has served as our Vice President Marketing since September 2011. He served as Acting Head MTS Ukraine since March 2011 until September 2011. From October 2007 to March 2011, Mr. Latsanych served as Marketing Director of MTS Ukraine. Prior to joining us, Mr. Latsanych served as Marketing Director at Coca-Cola Bottlers Siberia and Coca-Cola Krasnoyarsk. From 1996 to 1999, Mr. Latsanych held various management positions at Coca-Cola Amatil Ukraine Ltd and Coca-Cola Beverages Ukraine.

**Ruslan S. Ibragimov** has served as our Vice President Corporate and Legal Matters since January 2008. From February 2007 to January 2008, Mr. Ibragimov served as our Director Chief Legal Counsel. He joined us in June 2006 and initially served as the Director for legal matters, as well as headed our Legal Department. Prior to joining us, Mr. Ibragimov was a member of the law firm Ibragimov, Kagan and Partners from July 2002 to June 2006. From 1997 to 2002, he served as Deputy General Director and Senior Partner at RSM Top-Audit, a tax and legal consulting firm. From 1992 to 1996, Mr. Ibragimov headed legal departments at various commercial banks.

**Vadim E. Savchenko** has served as our Vice President Sales and Customer Service since July 2011. In November 2008, Mr. Savchenko became the Director of Sales at MTS Ukraine. Mr. Savchenko first joined MTS in 2005, when he assumed the position of the director of the department in charge of partner relations at Macro-region "Ural" until 2007. From 2007 to 2008 he was the director of the Urals branch of OJSC "HARDWARE-Retail." Mr. Savchenko has over 15 years of operational experience in sales from the coordinator of the sales department to the director of a branch in such companies as Pepsi International Bottlers LLC, Joint Stock Company "JTI" and OJSC "Vienna."

**Oleg A. Korovitskiy** was appointed MTS Vice President for Security in June 2014. From February 2013 to June 2014, Mr. Korovitskiy served as our Director Economical Security. Prior to joining MTS, from 2012 to 2013, Mr. Korovitskiy worked at CJSC Region (Sistema) as Head of liaison with law enforcement agencies. Prior to that, he served as Head of Department in General Administration for Economic Security and Combatting the Corruption of Ministry of Internal Affairs from 2011 to 2012, Head of Internal Affairs Department of Tax Crimes Prevention in Republic of Bashkortostan from 2008 to 2011, Head of Internal Affairs Department of Tax Crimes Prevention in Karelia Republic from 2006 to 2008. Prior to that from 2002 to 2006 he served as Deputy Head of Internal Affairs Department of Economical Crimes Prevention in Omsk region. Since 1997 until 2002 Mr. Korovitskiy worked in Directorate of Internal Affairs of Omsk Region, taking various positions related to economic and tax crimes prevention.

**Mikhail A. Arkhipov** has served as our Vice President HR starting April 2013. Prior to joining us, from 2009 to 2013, he worked at SIBUR, where he took several positions, from Head of Compensations and Benefits to HR Director. From 2008 to 2009, he held various positions at SUN Interbrew and KPMG.

**Andrey G. Smelkov** has served as our Vice President Director of "MTS Foreign Subsidiaries" Business Unit since October 2013. Prior to that, since 2010, he has served as a Chairman of the Board in TOO "Mobile Telekom Service," Kazakhstan. Since 2008 Mr. Smelkov has worked as a Head of OOO "Sky Mobile," Kyrgyzstan. Prior to that, he worked as a Head of branch in OJSC VimpelCom.

**Ivan A. Zolochevsky** has served as member of Management Board since October 2011. He has served as General Director of MTS Ukraine since 2011. From 2005 to 2011, Mr. Zolochevsky served as Director of OJSC MTS Macro-region North-West branch. From 2001 to 2005, he served as sales Director at VVS. Prior to that he served as head of Sales and Marketing Department at Tranzas.

**Valery V. Shorzhin** has served as Vice President-Procurement Management and Administrative Matters, Member of the Management Board since March 2014. Prior to that he served at MTS as Director-Procurement Management since 2011. He had also been a Member of the MTS Management Board between 2009 and 2010. From 2008 to 2011, he served as Director of Information Technology.

Table of Contents

Prior to joining MTS, Mr. Shorzhin held the positions of Technical Director and Director for IT and Information Management of Farlep-Invest in Ukraine from December 2006. From 2003 to 2006, he held various information technology management positions at Sovintel.

*Kirill A. Dmitriev* has served as Member of Management Board- Head of Moscow Macro-region since April 2014. Prior to that he has served as Director of North-West Macro-region since 2011. Mr. Dmitriev joined MTS in 2009 as Director of Western branch of MTS Ukraine. Prior to joining MTS he served at various management positions at Baltic Beverages Holding Ukraine.

Our directors were elected at the annual shareholders' meeting on June 24, 2014 and will serve until their terms expire at the next annual shareholders' meeting, which will take place on June 25, 2015. The business address of each of our directors is 4 Marksistkaya Street, Moscow 109147, Russian Federation.

**B. Compensation of Directors and Senior Management**

Our officers and directors were paid during 2014 an aggregate amount of approximately RUB 703 million for services in all capacities provided to us; this amount comprised RUB 350 million in base salaries and RUB 353 million in bonuses paid pursuant to a bonus plan and in other monetary compensations for the management and directors. Bonuses are awarded annually based on our financial performance.

Our management and directors are also entitled to monetary remuneration based on the quoted prices of our ADSs on the NYSE. Related compensation accrued in 2014 amounted to RUB 1,017 million. For additional information, see Note 2 to our audited consolidated financial statements.

In 2009, we amended our Regulation on Remuneration and Compensation of the Members of the Board of Directors to provide that only independent non-executive directors receive compensation. Members of the Board of Directors who are independent non-executive directors receive annual base compensation of \$250,000 (RUB 14 million) (or \$275,000 (RUB 15 million) in the case of an independent non-executive director who serves as Chairman of the Board of Directors).

Independent non-executive directors who also serve on Board committees receive additional compensation as follows. Members of the Strategy Committee, Remuneration and Nomination Committee, Audit Committee and Committee for Corporate Conduct and Ethics receive additional annual compensation of \$15,000 (RUB 0.8 million), and a director serving as Chairman of the foregoing committees receives additional annual compensation of \$25,000 (RUB 1.4 million). Members of special committees of the Board of Directors, which are committees established for undertaking preliminary consideration and making recommendations to the full Board in relation to certain assigned matters, receive additional annual compensation of \$20,000 (RUB 1.1 million), and a director serving as Chairman of a special committee receives additional annual compensation of \$25,000 (RUB 1.4 million). Members of all other Board committees receive additional annual compensation of \$5,000 (RUB 0.3 million) and a director serving as Chairman of any other Board committee receives additional annual compensation of \$10,000 (RUB 0.6 million).

Independent non-executive members of the Board of Directors are also eligible for an annual bonus of up to a maximum of \$200,000 (RUB 11 million) based on our performance and average ADS price over a specified period.

The aggregate amount of compensation received by an independent non-executive director (including annual base compensation, bonus and additional compensation for serving as a Board committee member) should not exceed \$500,000 (RUB 28 million). In the event of early termination of a director, such director receives a pro rata share of the base, committee and bonus compensation based on the amount of time the director served on our Board.

Table of Contents

We provide all of our directors with professional liability insurance and reimburse them for all documented expenses incurred in connection with their attendance at Board meetings and other expenses of up to \$200,000 (RUB 11 million).

**C. Board Practices**

**Board of Directors**

Members of our Board of Directors are elected by a majority vote of shareholders at the annual shareholders' meeting using a cumulative voting system. Directors are typically elected by the annual meeting of shareholders for one year until the next annual meeting of shareholders and may be re-elected an unlimited number of times. The Joint Stock Companies Law requires that companies with more than 10,000 holders of voting shares have a board of directors consisting of not less than nine members. Our Board of Directors currently consists of nine members. The Board of Directors has the authority to make overall management decisions for us, except those matters reserved to the shareholders. Planned meetings of the Board of Directors shall be held periodically when needed, but at least 2 (two) times a quarter. See " B. Compensation of Directors and Senior Management" for a description of the pro rata payments.

**Audit Committee**

Our Audit Committee consists of three members appointed by the Board of Directors. The current members are Thomas Holtrop, Stanley Miller and Michel Combes, all of whom are independent members of the Board of Directors. Mr. Thomas Holtrop serves as the Chairman of the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee is primarily responsible for the integrity of our financial statements; overseeing our internal control system; overseeing our accounting and financial reporting processes and the internal and external audits of our financial statements; recommending the appointment and compensation of the independent auditors to the Board of Directors; overseeing the performance of the auditors; reviewing issues raised by the auditors, management and/or Board of Directors and, as required, making recommendations to the Board of Directors; and resolving matters arising during the course of audits.

According to the bylaws, the Audit Committee shall convene with our external auditors at least four times a year, but may convene more frequently if the Audit Committee chooses to do so.

**Remuneration and Nomination Committee**

Our Remuneration and Nomination Committee consists of three members appointed by the Board of Directors. The current members are Michel Combes, Thomas Holtrop and Stanley Miller, who serves as the Chairman of the Remuneration and Nomination Committee. The Remuneration and Nomination Committee is primarily responsible for developing a remuneration structure and compensation levels for management executives.

According to the bylaws, the Remuneration and Nomination Committee shall be convened by the Chairman of the Remuneration and Nomination Committee, at his sole discretion, or at the suggestion of any member of this committee, a member of the Board of Directors or our President.

**President**

Our President is elected by the Board of Directors for a term of three years and can be reelected for an unlimited number of terms. The rights, obligations and the times and amounts of payment for the President's services are determined by a contract between him and us, as represented by our Chairman or by a person authorized by our Board of Directors. The President is responsible for day- to-day management of our activities, except for matters reserved to our shareholders or the Board

Table of Contents

of Directors and the Management Board. The President reports to the shareholders' meeting and to the Board of Directors and is responsible for carrying out decisions made by the shareholders and by the Board of Directors and the Management Board. On March 4, 2011, Andrey A. Dubovskov was elected as our President and CEO, starting from March 5, 2011, by the Board of Directors for a term of three years, in March 2014 his contract was extended for three more years.

**Management Board**

In October 2006, we revised our charter to establish a new governing body called the Management Board. The Management Board is an executive body which oversees certain aspects of our ongoing activities. The overall number of the Management Board members is approved by the Board of Directors at the proposal of the President with each member being elected by the Board upon nomination by the President. Each Board member is elected for a three year period and can be reelected an unlimited number of times.

The President is the Chairman of the Management Board. Currently our Management Board consists of 11 members.

**Disclosure Committee**

In April 2007, we established an advisory body called the Disclosure Committee. The Disclosure Committee supervises our compliance with disclosure standards in connection with all public information regarding us. These disclosure standards are based on principles of timeliness, accuracy and completeness. Members of the Disclosure Committee may be nominated by various divisions of MTS, willing to have representatives in the Disclosure Committee. Members are appointed by the President. Alexey Kornya, our CFO, is the Chairman of the Disclosure Committee. Currently, our Disclosure Committee consists of seven members, two of whom are officers of the company.

**Information Security Committee**

In July 2010, we created a new advisory body called the Information Security Committee. The Information Security Committee coordinates our activities in respect of trade secrets and data privacy protection. The Committee also supervises the compliance of our information systems and internal procedures with applicable legal requirements concerning data privacy protection. The Information Security Committee consists of 11 members. The Chairman of the Information Security Committee is the Vice President Chief Security Officer.

**Review Commission**

Our Review Commission supervises our financial and operational activities. Members of the Review Commission are nominated and elected by our shareholders at annual meetings of shareholders. A director may not simultaneously be a member of the Review Commission. As of the date of this document, our Review Commission has three members:

Irina R. Borisenkova, who holds the position of Chief Accountant Managing Director, Finance and Investment Complex, Sistema;

Andrey A. Tverdohle, who holds the position of Head of planning of Finance and Investment, Sistema; and

Maxim A. Mamonov, who holds the position of Director for internal control and audit of MTS.

The members of our Review Commission serve until their terms expire at the next annual shareholders' meeting, which will take place in June 2015.

Table of Contents**Corporate Governance**

We are required under the New York Stock Exchange listing rules to disclose any significant differences between the corporate governance practices that we follow under Russian law and applicable listing standards and those followed by U.S. domestic companies under New York Stock Exchange listing standards. This disclosure is posted on our website ([http://www.mtsghm.com/information/corporate\\_governance/](http://www.mtsghm.com/information/corporate_governance/)). See also "Item 16G. Corporate Governance."

**D. Employees**

At December 31, 2014, we had 66,870 employees. Of our 61,308 employees in Russia, we estimate that 515 were executives; 15,258 were technical and maintenance employees; 35,513 were sales, marketing and customer service staff; and 10,022 were administration and finance staff. In addition, of the 61,308 employees in Russia, we estimate that 20,739 were employed in our retail unit.

As of December 31, 2014, 2,910 of our employees worked in Ukraine. Of these employees, we estimate that 13 were executives; 840 were technical and maintenance employees; 1,362 were sales, marketing and customer service staff; and 695 were administration and finance staff.

As of December 31, 2014, 682 of our employees worked in Turkmenistan. Of these employees, we estimate that 17 were executives; 107 were technical and maintenance employees; 403 were sales, marketing and customer service staff; and 155 were administration and finance staff.

As of December 31, 2014, 1,200 of our employees worked in Armenia. Of these employees, we estimate that 9 were executives; 151 were technical and maintenance employees; 659 were sales, marketing and customer service staff; and 381 were administration and finance staff.

As of December 31, 2014, 770 of our employees worked in Uzbekistan. Of these employees, we estimate that 9 were executives; 104 were technical and maintenance employees; 217 were sales, marketing and customer service staff; and 440 were administration and finance staff.

The following chart sets forth the number of our employees at December 31, 2012, 2013 and 2014:

	At December 31,		
	2012	2013	2014
Russia	56,617	62,642	61,308
Ukraine	3,096	3,240	2,910
Uzbekistan	618		770
Turkmenistan	565	608	682
Armenia	1,181	1,225	1,200
Total	62,077	67,715	66,870

Our employees are not unionized, except for 4,333 employees of MGTS, who are members of trade unions. We have not experienced any work stoppages and we consider our relations with employees to be strong.

**E. Share Ownership**

As of April 1, 2015, our directors, senior management and employees owned less than 1% of our outstanding common stock.



## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

### Table of Contents

The following table sets forth information with respect to the beneficial ownership of our common stock as of April 1, 2015, by our current directors and executive officers. All shares of common stock have the same voting rights.

	<b>Beneficial ownership as of April 1, 2015</b>	
<b>Directors and Executive officers</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>%<sup>(1)</sup></b>
Andrey A. Dubovskov, Executive Director, President and CEO	202,410	0.01018%
Ruslan S. Ibragimov, Vice President Corporate and Legal Matters	19,824	0.00100%
Andrey E. Ushatsky, Vice President Chief Technology and Information Officer	14,000	0.00070%
Vsevolod V Rozanov, Non-Executive Director	72,792	0.00366%
<b>Total</b>	<b>309,026</b>	<b>0.01554%</b>

---

(1) Percentage of beneficial ownership of each named director and executive officer is based on 1,988,912,129 ordinary shares outstanding as of April 1, 2015.

Our management are entitled to remuneration in the form of options granted to them for MTS ordinary shares, which will expire in a weighted average term of approximately 1.5 years. The transfer of such shares is probable and is subject to certain employment conditions. In 2014, options related compensation accrued in the amount of RUB 168 million.

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

### Table of Contents

The following table sets forth information with respect to our ordinary shares in the form of share options granted to our current directors and executive officers as of April 1, 2015. All shares of common stock have the same voting rights.

	<b>Number of ordinary shares as of April 1, 2015</b>	
	<b>Number</b>	<b>%<sup>(1)</sup></b>
<b>Directors and Executive officers</b>		
Andrey A. Dubovskov, Executive Director, President and CEO	272,668	0,01371%
Alexey V. Kornya, Vice President Chief Financial Officer	140,103	0,00704%
Ruslan S. Ibragimov, Vice President Corporate and Legal Matters	89,569	0,00450%
Andrey E. Ushatsky, Vice President Chief Technology and Information Officer	99,248	0,00499%
Vadim E. Savchenko, Vice President Sales and Customer Service	115,057	0,00578%
Vasil I. Latsanych, Vice President Marketing	124,074	0,00624%
Valery V. Shorzhin, Vice-President Procurement Management and Administrative Matters	86,286	0,00434%
Oleg A. Korovitskiy, Vice President Security	26,780	0,00135%
Mikhail A. Arkhipov, Vice President HR	67,914	0,00341%
Kirill A. Dmitriev, Head of Moscow Macro-region	57,717	0,00290%
Andrey G. Smelkov, Vice President Director of "MTS Foreign Subsidiaries" Business Unit	50,191	0,00252%
Ivan A. Zolochevsky, General Director of MTS Ukraine	69,398	0,00349%
Oleg A. Atamanov, General Director of MTS Turkmenistan	87,412	0,00439%
Ralph S. Yirikian, General Director of MTS Armenia	104,092	0,00523%
Andrey V. Ershov, General Director of MGTS	77,242	0,00388%
Arvidas K. Alutis, General Director of RTC	46,632	0,00234%
Dmitriy G. Nagorniy, General Director of UMS	21,157	0,00106%
Ron Sommer, Chairman of the Board, Non-Executive Director	120,323	0,00605%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,655,863</b>	<b>0,08325%</b>

---

(1) Percentage of ordinary shares in the form of share options granted to each named director and executive officer is based on 1,988,912,129 ordinary shares outstanding as of April 1, 2015.

Table of Contents**Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions****A. Major Shareholders**

The following table sets forth, as of April 1, 2015, certain information regarding the beneficial ownership of our outstanding common stock. All shares of common stock have the same voting rights.

Name	Beneficial ownership as of April 1, 2015	
	Number	Percentage
Sistema <sup>(1)</sup>	636,224,752	31.99%
Sistema Finance S.A.	206,643,900	10.39%
STA <sup>(2)</sup>	220,467,234	11.08%
ADS holders <sup>(3)</sup>	709,401,170	35.67%
Other Public Float (including our directors and executive officers) <sup>(4)</sup>	216,175,073	10.87%
Total <sup>(5)</sup>	1,988,912,129	100.0%

(1) Vladimir P. Evtushenkov has a controlling interest in Sistema, and is considered under U.S. securities laws as the beneficial owner of our shares held by Sistema, Sistema Finance SA, and Sistema Telecom Activy ("STA"). Mr. Evtushenkov is also the chairman of the board of directors of Sistema.

(2) STA is a limited liability company formed under the laws of Russia. Sistema owns 100% of STA, which became a holder of our 11.1% beneficial ownership after VAST LLC ("VAST") and Invest-Svyaz CJSC, the previous beneficial owners of this ownership interest, were merged into STA in 2010 and 2011, respectively.

(3) Excludes treasury shares held in the form of ADSs, as described below. As of April 1, 2015, the total number of ADSs outstanding (including 33,997,667 ADSs held by our wholly owned subsidiary, MTS-Bermuda Ltd., which are excluded from the table above) was 388,698,252, representing underlying ownership of 777,396,505 shares, or approximately 39.1% of our outstanding common stock. The shares underlying the ADSs are deposited with JPMorgan Chase Bank, formerly known as Morgan Guaranty Trust Company of New York and the local custodian is Sberbank of Russia.

(4) We believe that our directors and executive officers as a group own less than 1% of our shares.

(5) Excludes treasury shares, as described below.

As a result of our merger with Comstar, our subsidiary, MGTS, owned 9,496,163 of our ordinary shares as of April 1, 2015.

As of April 1, 2015, we held a total of 77,501,433 shares, of which approximately 87.7% were held in the form of ADSs. These shares are excluded from the total number of shares presented in the table above.

As of December 31, 2014, Sistema's effective ownership in us was 53.46%.

**B. Related Party Transactions****Transactions with Sistema and its Affiliates***Sistema*

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

In November 2009, Sistema issued a promissory note to us as repayment of accrued interest and principal under a loan we had provided to Sistema-Hals, an affiliate of Sistema. The promissory note is an interest free and is repayable in 2017. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013 the amount receivable from Sistema under the promissory note was RUB 618 million and RUB 618 million, and such amount was included under the line item "other investments" in our audited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

In June 2010, we accepted a promissory note from Sistema in exchange for a promissory note of Sky Link. The note is interest free and was repaid upon demand in the year ended December 31, 2011.

In April 2014, we sold a 49% stake in Business-Nedvizhimost to Sistema for a price of RUB 3.1 billion to be paid by the end of July 2015 in accordance with Addendum to the sale agreement. As of December 31, 2014, the accounts receivable in our audited consolidated statements of financial position amounted to RUB 3.2 billion. Interest was accrued on the balance of unpaid accounts receivable. The amount of interest accrued as of December 31, 2014 was RUB 125 million and it was included as a component of interest income in our consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income.

In October 2014, we acquired 2,501,350 Sistema Eurobonds due in 2016 (series 04) and 1,000 Sistema International Fund SA Eurobonds due in 2019 for RUB 519 million and RUB 32 million, respectively. The purchased bonds were classified as available for sale and accounted for at fair value with changes recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income. For the year ended December 31, 2014, the unrealized gain for change in fair value of the bonds totaled to RUB 6 million. The interest income recognized on the bonds for the year ended December 31, 2014 amounted to RUB 9 million and was included as a component of interest income in our consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income.

***Doveritelnaja Investizionnaja Kompanija "DIK"***

In April and May 2013, we invested RUB 4.0 billion in Investment fund "Reservnyi" managed by "DIK," a subsidiary of Sistema. The investment was sold in April 2014 for RUB 4,165 million. The realized gain of RUB 165 million was recognized as a component of other expenses (net) in our consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income.

***Maxima Advertising Agency***

We have contracts for advertising services with Maxima, a subsidiary of Sistema, pursuant to which we incurred expenses of RUB 1,902 million, RUB 1,757 million and RUB 1,575 million for services provided in the years ended December 31, 2012, 2013 and 2014, respectively.

***NVision Group***

During the years ended December 31, 2012, 2013 and 2014, we acquired from NVision Group, a subsidiary of Sistema, telecommunications equipment, software and billing systems (FORIS) for approximately RUB 12,898 million, RUB 13,394 million and RUB 9,819 million, respectively, and incurred expenses of RUB 1,115 million, RUB 1,083 million and RUB 846 million, respectively, under an IT consulting agreement.

As of December 31, 2013 and 2014, the advances given to NVision Group, a subsidiary of Sistema, amounted to RUB 496 million and RUB 274 million, respectively. These amounts were included into property, plant and equipment and intangible assets in the accompanying consolidated statements of financial position.

***Smart Cards Group***

During the years ended December 31, 2012, 2013 and 2014, we purchased SIM cards and prepaid phone cards for approximately RUB 842 million, RUB 765 million and RUB 267 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

***AB Safety***

During the years ended December 31, 2012, 2013 and 2014, we purchased security services from AB Safety, an affiliate of Sistema, for the amount of RUB 344 million, RUB 354 million and RUB 292 million, respectively.

***Investments in certain subsidiaries and affiliates of Sistema***

As of December 31, 2012, 2013 and 2014, we held investments in the share capital of certain subsidiaries and affiliates of Sistema amounting to RUB 306 million, RUB 125 million and RUB 125 million, respectively, which, individually, were and are immaterial. Our main investments are in SMM, in which we hold 2.356%, and the value of such investments as of December 31, 2012, 2013 and 2014, amounted to RUB 117 million, RUB 117 million and RUB 117 million.

***Liabilities to related parties***

As of December 31, 2014, we had several bills of exchange and loans issued by Sistema Mass-Media, a subsidiary of Sistema, amounted to RUB 867 million. The amount of interest accrued for the year ended December 31, 2014 was RUB 41 million and was included as a component of interest income in our consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income.

**Transactions with equity investees**

***Stream LLC***

In July 2012 our share in the company Stream LLC decreased to 45% and therefore it has become the related party for the group. In the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2014, we signed contracts for content services and expenses amounting to RUB 711 million and RUB 1,395 million.

***MTS Bank***

We maintain certain number of deposit and loan agreements, with MTS Bank, a subsidiary of Sistema. As of December 31, 2012, 2013 and 2014, we had cash positions at MTS Bank in the amount of RUB 8,161 million and RUB 11,297 and RUB 11,687 million in current accounts, including short-term deposits in the amount of RUB 101 million, RUB 5,081 million and RUB 3,482 million, respectively. The interest accrued on the deposits and cash on current accounts for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2013, and 2014, amounted to RUB 172 million, RUB 742 million and RUB 654 million, respectively, and was included as a component of the line item "interest income" in our audited consolidated financial statements.

Interest expense on the funds raised from MTS Bank during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2013 and 2014 amounted to RUB 363 million, RUB nil and RUB nil, respectively, was included as a component of interest expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income.

During the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, we received revenues from mobile and call center services with MTS Bank amounting to RUB 787 million, RUB 378 million and RUB 88 million, respectively. In addition, during the year ended December 31, 2014 we received revenues from processing documents for bank cards issue and granting of consumer credits amounting to RUB 541 million (agency fees after deduction of cross-fines). At the same time, during the year ended December 31, 2013 we incurred expenses under the same contract (in the amount of fines exceeding the agency fees) with MTS Bank amounting to RUB 331 million. Moreover, during the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, we incurred commission expenses (bank commission and commission for cash collection from subscribers) amounting to RUB 135 million, RUB 82 million and RUB 55 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

**MTS Belarus**

During the years ended December 31, 2012, 2013 and 2014, we accrued revenues from roaming agreements with MTS Belarus, our associate company, amounting to RUB 209 million, RUB 149 million and RUB 269, respectively. At the same time, during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2013 and 2014 we incurred roaming expenses with MTS Belarus amounting to RUB 424 million, RUB 278 million and RUB 395 million, respectively.

**Accounts receivable and accounts payable**

We had total accounts receivable of RUB 336 million, RUB 965 million and RUB 4,525 million from, and total accounts payable of RUB 2,338 million, RUB 3,315 million and RUB 4,674 million to, related parties as of December 31, 2012, 2013 and 2014, respectively. We do not have the intent or ability to offset the outstanding accounts payable and/or accounts receivable with related parties under the term of existing agreements with them. See Note 25 to our audited consolidated financial statements for details of our accounts payable and accounts receivable.

**C. Interests of Experts and Counsel**

Not applicable.

**Item 8. Financial Information**

**A. Consolidated Statements and Other Financial Information**

**8.A.1-3.** See Item 18.

**8.A.4-6.** Not applicable.

**8.A.7. Litigation**

**Uzbekistan**

In June 2012, the authorities of the Republic of Uzbekistan commenced repeat audits of previously audited financial and operating activities of MTS' wholly owned subsidiary Uzdunrobita. On July 17, 2012, Uzdunrobita suspended its services in Uzbekistan pursuant to the order of the State Agency for Communications and Information of Uzbekistan (the "SACI") temporarily suspending the operating license of Uzdunrobita for a period of ten business days. This suspension was subsequently extended to three months due to a decision of the Tashkent Economic Court of July 30, 2012.

On August 6 and 7, 2012, fourteen regional antimonopoly departments of the Republic of Uzbekistan simultaneously held hearings and declared that Uzdunrobita had violated antimonopoly laws, consumer protection laws and laws governing advertisements. In total, the claims of the regional antimonopoly departments against Uzdunrobita amounted to approximately RUB 2,558 million. This amount was subsequently reduced by the superior antimonopoly regulator to RUB 416 million in the aggregate. The disputes with the antimonopoly authorities were dismissed after payments were made by Uzdunrobita pursuant to the Appeal Decision (as defined below).

On August 13, 2012, the Tashkent Economic Court granted the petition of the SACI to terminate all operating licenses of Uzdunrobita permanently. This decision was subsequently upheld by the appeals and cassation instance courts on August 27, 2012 and April 4, 2013, respectively.

Notwithstanding the fact that a tax audit of Uzdunrobita's operations for the period of 2007-2010 was completed in February 2012 and did not reveal any serious violations, further tax audits were conducted and purported to find alleged violations of licensing regulations as well as income and other

Table of Contents

tax legislation resulting in the imposition of additional taxes and fines totaling approximately RUB 28,776 million. This amount was subsequently reduced to RUB 21,390 million in the aggregate.

During September-October of 2012, RUB 201 million were seized from Uzdurobita's bank accounts by the Uzbek State and applied to settle its alleged liabilities under these claims.

On September 17, 2012, the Tashkent City Criminal Court issued a ruling in favor of the Uzbek state authorities authorizing the confiscation of all assets of Uzdurobita based on a criminal court's verdict which the Tashkent City Criminal Court issued against four employees of Uzdurobita. Previously, Uzbek law enforcement agencies arrested all of Uzdurobita's assets, including cash held in local bank accounts.

On November 8, 2012, the Appellate Instance of the Tashkent City Criminal Court allowed Uzdurobita's appeal challenging the decision of the Tashkent City Criminal Court dated September 17, 2012.

The appeals court found that all damages (taxes, sanctions, unpaid licenses duties and damages to customers) incurred by the State were to be compensated by Uzdurobita. The amount of damages was calculated on the basis of all of the aforementioned claims against Uzdurobita, which amounted to RUB 18,375 million to be paid in eight equal monthly instalments (the "Appeal Decision").

In accordance with applicable Uzbek laws, Uzdurobita petitioned the Deputy General Prosecutor to challenge the Appeal Decision before the Supreme Court of Uzbekistan and grant a stay of enforcement of the Appeal Decision. However, such petitions were rejected by the General Prosecutor's Office on January 8, 2013.

Following this rejection, Uzdurobita immediately filed a further petition to appeal to the Supreme Court of Uzbekistan with the Chairman of the Supreme Court of Uzbekistan. On January 23, 2013, the Company was notified that the matter had been submitted by the Supreme Court for consideration by the Chairman of the Tashkent City Court. On May 2, 2013, the Chairman of the Tashkent City Court rejected Uzdurobita's petition.

In order to comply with the Appeal Decision, Uzdurobita paid two scheduled installments in November and December 2012 totaling RUB 4,584 million. On January 14, 2013, subsequent to the payment of a portion (RUB 242 million) of the third installment due in January 2013 with all cash remaining in Uzdurobita's bank accounts, Uzdurobita filed a petition for voluntary bankruptcy with the Tashkent Economic Court due to its inability to meet its further obligations arising out of the Appeal Decision. On January 18, 2013, the Court initiated bankruptcy proceedings and appointed an external temporary supervisor over Uzdurobita, and scheduled a further bankruptcy hearing which took place on April 22, 2013.

Considering the adverse impact of such circumstances on the Group's ability to conduct operations in Uzbekistan, the Group tested goodwill and other long-lived assets attributable to Uzbekistan for impairment upon first receiving notification of the investigations. As a result, an impairment loss of RUB 20,037 million on the long-lived assets was recorded in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income for the year ended December 31, 2012. On April 22, 2013, the Tashkent Economic Court declared Uzdurobita bankrupt and initiated six-month liquidation procedures. In accordance with the terms of local liquidation procedures, Uzdurobita's CEO was relieved of his duties and all of the oversight and governance over Uzdurobita was transferred to the liquidation administrator. As a result the Group lost control over the subsidiary and deconsolidated Uzdurobita.

In July 2013, two rounds of auctions were set and held in relation to the sale of assets of Uzdurobita and all of its branches. All auctions were recognized as having failed due to the absence of any applications by interested bidders.



Table of Contents

MTS filed a claim against the Republic of Uzbekistan in the International Center for Settlement of Investment Disputes ("ICSID"), which is part of the World Bank Group, in Washington, D.C. The claim was registered on November 15, 2012. A tribunal was formed on August 29, 2013 and the first procedural hearings took place in November 2013.

In July 2014 the dispute between MTS and the Republic of Uzbekistan was resolved. The parties signed a settlement agreement (the "Settlement Agreement") and according to its terms all mutual claims were eliminated. The Settlement Agreement is governed by English law and provides for resolution of any disputes arising out of the Settlement Agreement in the International Court of Arbitration under the International Chamber of Commerce in Paris. ICSID has discontinued international arbitration proceedings between the Group and the Republic of Uzbekistan following the submission of a joint application by both parties.

Furthermore, the Republic of Uzbekistan established a legal entity, UMS, with such entity having no legal connection to the previously liquidated entity, Uzdunrobita. UMS was granted 2G, 3G and LTE licenses valid till 2029, and received frequencies, numbering capacity and other permits required for the launch of operations.

On September 24, 2014, a 50.01% ownership interest in UMS was transferred to MTS by the State Unitary Enterprise Center of Radio Communications, Radio Broadcasting and Television on behalf of the Republic of Uzbekistan. We have also received certain guaranties in relation to the protection of any future investment in the Republic of Uzbekistan.

For additional information please refer to Note 4 to our audited consolidated financial statements.

**Tax Audits and Claims**

In the ordinary course of business, we may be party to various tax proceedings, and subject to tax claims, some of which relate to the developing markets and evolving fiscal and regulatory environments in which we operate. In the opinion of management, our liability, if any, in all pending tax proceedings or tax claims will not have a material effect on our financial condition, results of operations or liquidity. We believe that we have adequately provided for tax liabilities in the accompanying consolidated financial statements; however, the risk remains that relevant authorities could take differing positions with regard to interpretive issues and the effect could be significant. See also Note 30 to our audited consolidated financial statements.

In December 2010, the Russian tax authorities completed a tax audit of MTS OJSC for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2008. Based on the results of this audit, the Russian tax authorities determined that RUB 353.9 million in additional taxes, penalties and fines were payable by us. The resolution did not come into force as we prepared and filed a petition with the Federal Tax Service to declare the tax authorities' resolution to be invalid. In September 2011, the Federal Tax Service partially satisfied our petition, decreasing the amount of additional taxes, penalties and fines payable by us by RUB 173.9 million. The whole amount of taxes, fines and penalties were paid by us. Based on the verdict of the Federal Tax Service we did not submit any appeal in respect of RUB 95.8 million. We filed an appeal for RUB 84.2 million of the remaining RUB 180.0 million with the Moscow Arbitrate Court. In August 2013, the Moscow Arbitrate Court issued a ruling to grant our claim partly, which was subsequently confirmed by the Arbitrate Appeal Court in November 2013. However, we appealed the decision of the Arbitrate Appeal Court in the Federal Arbitrate Court of Moscow District, which issued a ruling to partly grant our claim in March 2014. Based on the verdicts of the courts, no further legal actions were taken by us in respect of remaining RUB 41.3 million.

In February 2012, the Russian tax authorities completed a tax audit of MGTS for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2009. Based on the results of their audit, the Russian tax authorities assessed RUB 258.1 million in additional taxes, penalties and fines payable by us. In February 2012, MGTS

Table of Contents

challenged the tax authorities' decision with higher authorities within the Federal Tax Service. In May 2012, the Federal Tax Service refused to satisfy MGTS' claim and MGTS appealed the decision in Moscow Arbitrate Court. The court has ruled in our favor on February 20, 2013, dismissing the Russian tax authorities' claims in their entirety.

In June 2013, the Russian tax authorities completed a tax audit of MTS OJSC for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2010 and 2011. Based on the results of this audit, the Russian tax authorities determined that RUB 253.38 million in additional taxes, penalties and fines were payable by us. In December 2013, we appealed the resolution of this assessment to the Federal Tax Service, and, further to its refusal to grant the appeal, we did not appeal to the Moscow Arbitrate Court.

In January 2014, the Russian tax authorities completed a tax audit of MGTS for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2011. Based on the results of this audit, the Russian tax authorities determined that RUB 91.0 million in additional taxes and penalties were payable by us. MGTS appealed the resolution of this assessment to the Federal Tax Service in May 2014. The Federal Tax Service partially satisfied our petition, decreasing the amount of additional taxes, penalties and fines payable by us by RUB 61.12 million. We filed an appeal for RUB 14.94 million with the Moscow Arbitrate Court. In February 2015, the Moscow Arbitrate Court issued a ruling to partly grant our claim.

In July 2014, the Federal Customs Service of Russia initiated audit of MTS for the period from January 1, 2012 to July 22, 2014.

Generally, according to Russian tax legislation, tax declarations remain open and subject to inspection for a period of three years following the tax year. As of December 31, 2014, the tax declarations of MTS OJSC and its Russian subsidiaries for the preceding three fiscal years were open for further review. In December 2014, tax authorities commenced review of tax declarations of MTS and Comstar-regions for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012.

**Antimonopoly Proceedings**

In October 2013, the FAS subdivision in the Pskov region began an investigation into our, Vimpelcom's and MegaFon's GPRS pricing in the Pskov Region. In December 2013 the investigation was closed as no violation of the antimonopoly laws was identified.

In November 2013, the FAS subdivision in the Rostov region charged us and LLC "I-CUBE" with a violation of antimonopoly laws by entering into an agreement to tie in the services of LLC "I-CUBE." FAS issued an order to cease the violation and imposed a fine of RUB 0.1 million on MTS. We do not intend to appeal this decision of the FAS subdivision in the Rostov Region.

In January 2014, the FAS subdivision in the Khanty Mansiysk Autonomous region-Yugra charged Comstar-regions with violation of antimonopoly legislation by abusing its dominant position on the Internet access market by setting different prices in different municipalities of the region. FAS also issued an order to cease the violations. On January 17, 2014, the arbitrazh court of Khanty Mansiysk Autonomous region-Yugra cancelled the decisions and the order of the FAS subdivision in the Khanty Mansiysk Autonomous region- Yugra.

In January 2014, the FAS subdivision in the Rostov region charged MTS with violation of antimonopoly laws by setting a monopoly tariff on cable TV tariffs services in the Rostov-on-Don city. The investigation was ceased as no violation had been found in MTS actions.

Please see also "Item 3. Key Information D. Risk Factors Risks Relating to Our Business A finding by the AMC that we have acted in contravention of antimonopoly legislation could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations" for details of AMC of Ukraine investigations.

Table of Contents

**8.A.8. Dividend Distribution Policy**

On April 29, 2013, the Board of Directors approved a dividend policy, whereby we will aim to make dividend payments to our shareholders for 2013, 2014 and 2015 in the amount of at least 75% of MTS OJSC's free cash flow, but not less than RUB 40 billion. For the purposes of our dividend calculation we utilize a simplified form of free cash flow which we define as Operating Cash Flow less capital expenditures. In case of fluctuations in the amount of dividends payable from the set up goals, MTS OJSC should provide the reasons of that fluctuations.

Annual dividend payments, if any, must be recommended by our Board of Directors and approved by the Annual General Meeting of Shareholders (AGM). We anticipate that any dividends we may pay in the future on the shares represented by the ADSs will be declared and paid to the depositary in rubles and will be converted into U.S. dollars by the depositary and distributed to holders of ADSs, net of the depositary's fees and expenses. Accordingly, the value of dividends received by holders of ADSs will be subject to fluctuations in the exchange rate between the ruble and the dollar.

At a meeting held on April 14, 2015, the Board of Directors recommended that an AGM approves annual dividends of RUB 19.56 per ordinary MTS share (RUB 39.12 per ADS), or a total of approximately RUB 40.4 billion, based on the full-year 2014 financial results. Upon acceptance by the AGM and completion of this payment, we will have paid out up to RUB 53.2 billion based on our fiscal year 2014 financial results.

The Board of Directors set the date for our AGM for June 25, 2015. The record date for the Company's shareholders and ADS holders entitled to participate in the AGM has been set for May 7, 2015. The Board of Directors recommended that the AGM sets the record date for shareholders and ADS holders entitled to receive dividends for the 2014 fiscal year for July 7, 2015.

**B. Significant Changes**

**Disposal of Intellect Telecom**

In January 2015, we sold an investment in IntellectTelecom to Sistema for a cash consideration of RUB 344 million.

**Disposal of Rent-Nedvzhimost**

In February 2015, we sold 51% stake in Rent-Nedvzhimost to Sistema for RUB 4.3 billion. We classified the associated assets and liabilities as "held for sale" as of December 31, 2014.

**Acquisition of Navigation Information Systems**

In January 2015, we acquired 89.53% of Navigation Information Systems from Sistema for RUB 44 million. NIS is the leading systems integrator for GLONASS satellite projects. The acquisition allows us to develop our proprietary technological platform for machine-to-machine solutions.

**Acquisition of 3G license in Ukraine**

In February 2015, MTS Ukraine won a tender to acquire a nationwide license for the provision of 3G telecommunications services. The license with the cost of 2,715 million hryvnias (RUB 6,015 million at the acquisition date) has been granted for 15 years. In accordance with the terms of the license MTS Ukraine is required to launch provision of 3G services in all of the regional centers across Ukraine within 18 months upon allocation of the license.

Table of Contents**Insolvency of Kyivska Rus Bank in Ukraine**

On March 19, 2015, The National Bank of Ukraine adopted a resolution declaring Kyivska Rus Bank to be insolvent. As of December 31, 2014, we held RUB 1,170 million in deposits in the bank. Management determined that this announcement did not provide evidence related to conditions existing as of December 31, 2014, and therefore consider the announcement to be a nonrecognized subsequent event.

**Item 9. Offer and Listing Details**

(Only Items 9.A.4 and 9.C are applicable.)

**A.4. Market Price Information**

Our ADS, each representing two ordinary shares, have been listed on the NYSE since July 6, 2000 under the symbol "MBT." Our ordinary shares have been listed on MICEX since December 2003. In addition, we issued additional ordinary shares in connection with our merger with Comstar, which have been listed on MICEX since May 2011. The shares of the additional issuance became fully fungible with our previously issued ordinary shares in July 2011. Set forth below, for the periods indicated, are the high and low closing prices per ADS as reported by the NYSE and the high and low closing prices per ordinary share as reported by the MICEX.

	ADS High	ADS Low	Ordinary Share High	Ordinary Share Low
<b>Monthly High and Low</b>				
March 2015	\$ 10.10	\$ 8.95	257.3 RUB	220.4 RUB
February 2015	\$ 10.36	\$ 8.34	257.4 RUB	227.3 RUB
January 2015	\$ 8.66	\$ 6.87	228.0 RUB	174.7 RUB
December 2014	\$ 11.95	\$ 6.17	253.0 RUB	158.5 RUB
November 2014	\$ 14.38	\$ 12.22	262.0 RUB	251.0 RUB
October 2014	\$ 14.85	\$ 12.96	274.0 RUB	219.8 RUB
<b>Quarterly High and Low</b>				
First Quarter 2015	\$ 10.36	\$ 6.87	257.4 RUB	174.7 RUB
Fourth Quarter 2014	\$ 14.85	\$ 6.17	274.0 RUB	158.5 RUB
Third Quarter 2014	\$ 19.94	\$ 14.94	324.0 RUB	260.5 RUB
Second Quarter 2014	\$ 20.03	\$ 15.42	316.0 RUB	240.0 RUB
First Quarter 2014	\$ 21.38	\$ 15.79	318.0 RUB	235.0 RUB
Fourth Quarter 2013	\$ 23.92	\$ 19.82	351.5 RUB	305.0 RUB
Third Quarter 2013	\$ 22.66	\$ 18.52	323.0 RUB	258.0 RUB
Second Quarter 2013	\$ 20.87	\$ 15.69	279.9 RUB	248.2 RUB
First Quarter 2013	\$ 21.58	\$ 14.94	283.7 RUB	246.7 RUB
<b>Annual High and Low<sup>(1)</sup></b>				
2014	\$ 21.38	\$ 6.17	324.0 RUB	158.5 RUB
2013	\$ 23.96	\$ 17.66	351.5 RUB	246.7 RUB
2012	\$ 20.07	\$ 14.94	254.7 RUB	186.0 RUB
2011	\$ 21.54	\$ 11.91	263.0 RUB	169.5 RUB
2010	\$ 23.55	\$ 17.84	273.5 RUB	217.6 RUB

(1) Effective May 3, 2010, the ratio of our ADSs changed from 1 ADS per 5 common shares to 1 ADS per 2 common shares. The ADS prices set forth in the table above reflect the new share: ADS ratio for all periods.

Table of Contents

**C. Markets**

Our common stock has been listed on the Moscow Interbank Currency Exchange (currently Moscow Exchange) since December 2003. ADSs, each representing two shares of our common stock, have been listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "MBT" since July 6, 2000. Our U.S. dollar-denominated notes due in 2020 are listed on the Irish Stock Exchange. Our ruble-denominated notes are listed on the Moscow Exchange.

**Item 10. Additional Information**

**A. Share Capital**

Not applicable.

**B. Charter and Certain Requirements of Russian Legislation**

We describe below material provisions of our charter and certain requirements of Russian legislation. In addition to this description, we urge you to review our charter to learn its complete terms.

**Our Purpose**

Article 2.1 of our charter provides that our principal purpose is to obtain profits through the planning, marketing, establishing and operating communications network and facilities, to provide access to Internet and to render communications services on our license territories.

We are registered with the Ministry of Taxes and Duties of the Russian Federation under the state registration number 1027700149124.

**General Matters**

Pursuant to our charter, we have the right to issue registered common stock, preferred stock and other securities provided for by legal acts of the Russian Federation with respect to securities. Our capital stock currently consists of 2,066,413,562 common shares, each with a nominal value of 0.10 rubles, all of which are issued and fully paid. Under Russian legislation, charter capital refers to the aggregate nominal value of the issued and outstanding shares. We are also authorized to issue an additional 100,000,000 common shares with a nominal value of 0.10 rubles each. No preferred shares are authorized or outstanding. Preferred stock may only be issued if corresponding amendments have been made to our charter pursuant to a resolution of the general meeting of shareholders. We have issued only common stock. The Joint Stock Companies Law requires us to dispose of any of our shares that we acquire within one year of their acquisition or, failing that, reduce our charter capital. We refer to such shares as treasury shares for the purposes hereof. Russian legislation does not allow for the voting of such treasury shares. Any of our shares that are owned by our subsidiaries are not considered treasury shares under Russian law (*i.e.*, they are considered outstanding shares), and our subsidiaries holding such shares are able to vote and dispose of such shares without any further corporate actions by our shareholders or Board of Directors. As of April 1, 2015, we had 9,935 treasury shares repurchased in August 2014 pursuant to our shareholders' right to demand buy-out following our decision on merger of our subsidiaries into MTS in accordance with applicable laws. 90,881 of treasury shares which we repurchased in March 2013 in connection with the merger of our subsidiaries into MTS pursuant to the requirements of applicable law were sold in March 2014 in order to comply with the relevant legal requirements. Together with our subsidiaries we held a total of 77,501,433 shares, of which approximately 87.7% were held in form of ADSs. See "Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions A. Major Shareholders." In our consolidated financial statements prepared in

Table of Contents

accordance with U.S. GAAP, these shares are considered treasury shares (*i.e.*, they are considered not outstanding).

As of the date of this document, we had more than ten thousand shareholders for purposes of the Joint Stock Companies Law.

**Rights Attaching to Shares**

Holders of our common stock have the right to vote at all shareholders' meetings. As required by the Joint Stock Companies Law and our charter, all shares of our common stock have the same nominal value and grant identical rights to their holders. Each fully paid share of common stock, except for treasury shares, gives its holder the right to:

freely transfer the shares without our consent and the consent of other shareholders;

receive dividends;

participate in shareholders' meetings and vote on all matters within shareholders' competence;

transfer voting rights to a representative on the basis of a power of attorney;

participate in the election and dismissal of members of the board of directors and review commission;

exercise its pre-emptive right in certain circumstances, as determined by the Joint Stock Companies Law;

if holding, alone or with other holders, 1% or more of the voting shares, file a lawsuit against a member of the board of directors or member of any executive body of the company (including the company's CEO and/or the company's managing organization) to reimburse damages suffered by the company as the result of their fault;

if holding, alone or with other holders, more than 1% of the voting shares, demand from the holder of register of shareholders to provide information on shareholders of the company and shares held by such shareholders;

if holding, alone or with other holders, 2% or more of the voting stock, within 100 days after the end of our fiscal year, make proposals for the agenda of the annual shareholders' meeting and nominate candidates to the board of directors, the counting commission and the review commission;

if holding, alone or with other holders, 10% or more of the voting stock, demand from the board of directors the calling of an extraordinary shareholders' meeting or an unscheduled audit by the review commission or an independent auditor, and file a lawsuit against the company to convene an extraordinary shareholders' meeting if the board of directors fails to take a decision to convene an extraordinary shareholders' meeting or decides against convening such meeting;

demand, under the following circumstances, the repurchase by us of all or some of the shares owned by it, as long as such holder voted against or did not participate in the voting on the decision approving the following:

any reorganization;

Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

the conclusion of a major transaction, as defined under Russian law (*i.e.*, involving assets having value of more than 50% of the balance sheet value of the assets calculated under Russian Accounting Standards ("RAS"));

any amendment of our charter or approval of a restated version of our charter in a manner that restricts the holder's rights;  
and

Table of Contents

delisting of our shares from a stock exchange;

upon liquidation, receive a proportionate amount of our property after our obligations are fulfilled;

have free access to certain company documents, receive copies for a reasonable fee and, if holding alone or with other holders, 25% or more of the voting stock, have access to accounting documents and minutes of the management board meetings; and

exercise other rights of a shareholder provided by our charter, Russian legislation and decisions of shareholders' meeting approved in accordance with its competence.

**Pre-emptive Rights**

The Joint Stock Companies Law and our charter provide existing shareholders with a pre-emptive right to purchase shares or securities convertible into shares during an open subscription in the amount proportionate to their existing shareholdings. In addition, the Joint Stock Companies Law provides shareholders with a pre-emptive right to purchase shares or securities convertible into shares, in an amount proportionate to their existing shareholdings, during a closed subscription if the shareholders voted against or did not participate in the voting on the decision approving such subscription. The pre-emptive right does not apply to a closed subscription to the existing shareholders provided that such shareholders may each acquire a whole number of shares or securities convertible into shares being placed in an amount proportionate to their existing shareholdings. We must provide shareholders with written notice of their pre-emptive right to purchase shares and the period during which shareholders can exercise their pre-emptive rights. Such period may not be less than 20 or, under certain circumstances, 45 days. We cannot sell the shares or securities convertible into shares which are subject to the pre-emptive rights during this period.

**Dividends**

The Joint Stock Companies Law and our charter set forth the procedure for determining the quarterly and annual dividends that we may distribute to our shareholders. We may declare dividends based on our first quarter, six month, nine month or annual results. Dividends are recommended to a shareholders' meeting by a majority vote of the board of directors and approved by the shareholders by a majority vote. A decision on quarterly, six month and nine month dividends must be taken within three months of the end of the respective quarter at the extraordinary shareholders' meeting; and a decision on annual dividends must be taken at the annual general shareholders' meeting. The dividend approved at the shareholders' meeting may not be more than the amount recommended by the board of directors.

The Joint Stock Companies Law allows dividends to be declared only out of net profits calculated under RAS as long as the following conditions have been met:

the charter capital of the company has been paid in full;

the value of the company's net assets on the date of the adoption of the decision to pay dividends is not less (and would not become less as a result of the proposed dividend payment) than the sum of the company's charter capital, the company's reserve fund and the difference between the liquidation value and the par value of the issued and outstanding preferred stock of the company;

the company has repurchased all shares from shareholders having the right to demand repurchase; and

the company is not, and would not become, insolvent as the result of the proposed dividend payment.



Table of Contents

The Joint Stock Companies Law and the Securities Market Law have been amended on December 29, 2012 to adopt new dividend payment rules that came into force January 1, 2014. These amendments include new rules on determining the shareholders entitled to dividend distribution whereby the list of such shareholders is fixed at date determined in the decision of the General shareholders' meeting on the distribution of dividends. The date shall be not earlier than 10 days and not later than 20 days following the date of such decision. The dividends are to be paid within 25 days from such date to private shareholders registered in the share register of the company and within 10 days to nominal holders and professional managers. If shares are held on a depo account with a depository, dividends will be transferred to such shareholders by such depository within 7 days of receipt of funds by the depository.

**Distributions to Shareholders on Liquidation**

Under Russian legislation, liquidation of a company results in its termination without the transfer of rights and obligations to other persons as legal successors. The Joint Stock Companies Law and our charter allow us to be liquidated:

by a three-quarters majority vote of a shareholders' meeting; or

by a court order.

Following a decision to liquidate us, the right to manage our affairs would pass to a liquidation commission appointed by a shareholders' meeting. In the event of an involuntary liquidation, the court may assign the duty to liquidate the company to its shareholders. Creditors may file claims within a period to be determined by the liquidation commission, but such period must not be less than two months from the date of publication of notice of liquidation by the liquidation commission.

The Civil Code of the Russian Federation gives creditors the following order of priority during liquidation:

individuals owed compensation for injuries, deaths or moral damages;

employees and authors of intellectual property;

federal and local governmental entities claiming taxes and similar payments to the federal and local budgets and to non-budgetary funds; and

other creditors in accordance with Russian legislation.

Claims of creditors in obligations secured by a pledge of the company's property ("secured claims") are satisfied out of the proceeds of sale of the pledged property prior to claims of any other creditors except for the creditors of the first and second priorities described above, provided that claims of such creditors arose before the pledge agreements in respect of the company's property were made. To the extent that the proceeds of sale of the pledged property are not sufficient to satisfy secured claims, the latter are satisfied simultaneously with claims of the fourth priority creditors as described above.

The Federal Law on Insolvency (Bankruptcy), however, provides for a different order of priority for creditors' claims in the event of bankruptcy.

The remaining assets of a company are distributed among shareholders in the following order of priority:

payments to repurchase shares from shareholders having the right to demand repurchase;

payments of declared but unpaid dividends on preferred shares and the liquidation value of the preferred shares determined by the company's charter, if any; and

payments to holders of common and preferred shares.

210

---

Table of Contents

**Liability of Shareholders**

The Civil Code of the Russian Federation and the Joint Stock Companies Law generally provide that shareholders in a Russian joint stock company are not liable for the obligations of a joint stock company and bear only the risk of loss of their investments. This may not be the case, however, when one company is capable of determining decisions made by another company. The company capable of determining such decisions is called an "effective parent." The company whose decisions are capable of being so determined is called an "effective subsidiary." The effective parent bears joint and several responsibility for transactions concluded by the effective subsidiary in carrying out these decisions if:

this decision-making capability is provided for in the charter of the effective subsidiary or in a contract between such persons; and

the effective parent gives binding instructions to the effective subsidiary.

Thus, a shareholder of an effective parent is not itself liable for the debts of the effective parent's effective subsidiary, unless that shareholder is itself an effective parent of the effective parent. Accordingly, a shareholder will not be personally liable for our debts or those of our effective subsidiaries unless such shareholder controls our business and the conditions set forth above are met.

In addition, an effective parent is secondarily liable for an effective subsidiary's debts if an effective subsidiary becomes insolvent or bankrupt resulting from the action or omission of an effective parent only when the effective parent has used the right to give binding instructions, knowing that the consequence of carrying out this action would be insolvency of this effective subsidiary. This is the case no matter how the effective parent's capability to determine decisions of the effective subsidiary arises, such as through ownership of voting securities or by contract. In these instances, other shareholders of the effective subsidiary may claim compensation for the effective subsidiary's losses from the effective parent that caused the effective subsidiary to take any action or fail to take any action knowing that such action or failure to take action would result in losses.

**Alteration of Capital**

***Charter Capital Increase***

We may increase our charter capital by:

issuing new shares; or

increasing the nominal value of previously issued shares.

A decision on any issuance of shares or securities convertible into shares by closed subscription, or an issuance by open subscription of common shares or securities convertible into common shares constituting 25% or more of the number of issued common shares, requires a three-quarters majority vote of a shareholders' meeting. Otherwise, a decision to increase the charter capital by increasing the nominal value of issued shares requires a majority vote of a shareholders' meeting. In certain circumstances provided in our charter, a decision to increase the charter capital may be taken by our board of directors. In addition, the issuance of shares above the number provided in our charter necessitates a charter amendment, which requires a three-quarters affirmative vote of a shareholders' meeting.

The Joint Stock Companies Law requires that the value of newly issued shares be determined by the board of directors based on their market value but not less than their nominal value. The price of newly issued shares for existing shareholders exercising their pre-emptive right to purchase shares could be less than the price paid by third parties, but not less than 90% of the price paid by third parties. Fees paid to intermediaries may not exceed 10% of the shares placement price. The board of directors

Table of Contents

shall value any in-kind contributions for new shares, based on the appraisal report of an independent appraiser.

Russian securities regulations set out detailed procedures for the issuance and registration of shares of a joint stock company. These procedures require:

prior registration of a share issuance with the CBR;

public disclosure of information relating to the share issuance; and

following the placement of the shares, registration and public disclosure of the results of the placement of shares.

***Charter Capital Decrease; Share Buy-Backs***

The Joint Stock Companies Law does not allow a company to reduce its charter capital below the minimum charter capital required by law, which is 100,000 rubles for an open joint stock company. The Joint Stock Companies Law and our charter require that any decision to reduce our charter capital through the repurchase and cancellation of shares, be made by a majority vote of a shareholders' meeting and through reduction of the nominal value of shares, by a three-quarter majority vote of a shareholders' meeting. Additionally, within three business days of a decision to reduce our charter capital, we must notify the federal executive body in charge of the state registration of legal entities on the decision taken and publish within the same 3-day period a notice regarding the charter capital reduction, as well as a second notice one month after the first notice is published. Our creditors, whose claims arose before the decision on the charter capital decrease was taken, would then have the right to demand in court, within 30 days of the second publication of the notice, early termination or settlement of relevant obligations by us, as well as compensation for damages.

The Joint Stock Companies Law and our charter allow our shareholders or the board of directors to authorize the repurchase of up to 10% of our shares in exchange for cash. The repurchased shares pursuant to a board decision must be resold at the market price within one year of their repurchase or, failing that, the shareholders must decide to cancel such shares and decrease the charter capital. Repurchased shares do not bear voting rights.

Shares repurchased pursuant to a decision of our shareholders' meeting to decrease the overall number of shares are cancelled at their redemption.

The Joint Stock Companies Law allows us to repurchase our shares only if, at the time of repurchase:

our charter capital is paid in full;

we are not and would not become, as a result of the repurchase, insolvent;

the value of our net assets at the time of repurchase of our shares is not less (and would not become less, as a result of the proposed repurchase) than the sum of our charter capital, the reserve fund and the difference between the liquidation value and par value of our issued and outstanding preferred shares; and

we have repurchased all shares from shareholders having the right to demand repurchase of their shares in accordance with Russian law, as described immediately below.

Our subsidiaries are not restricted from purchasing our shares, and our subsidiaries can vote these shares.

Table of Contents

The Joint Stock Companies Law and our charter provide that our shareholders may demand repurchase of all or some of their shares as long as the shareholder demanding repurchase voted against or did not participate in the voting on the decision approving any of the following actions:

reorganization;

conclusion of a major transaction, as defined under Russian law (*i.e.*, involving assets having value of more than 50% of the balance sheet value of the assets calculated under RAS); or

amendment of our charter or approval of a restated version of our charter in a manner which restricts shareholders' rights.

We may spend up to 10% of our net assets calculated under RAS on the date of the adoption of the decision which gives rise to a share redemption demanded by the shareholders. If the value of shares in respect of which shareholders have exercised their right to demand repurchase exceeds 10% of our net assets, we will repurchase shares from each such shareholder on a pro-rata basis. Repurchase of the shares is at a price agreed on by the board of directors, but shall not be less than the market price.

**Registration and Transfer of Shares**

Russian legislation requires that a joint stock company ensure maintenance of a register of its shareholders. Ownership of our registered shares is evidenced solely by entries made in such register. Any of our shareholders may obtain an extract from our register certifying the number of shares that such shareholder holds. Registrar NIKoil OJSC had maintained our register of shareholders since May 10, 2000. In July 2014, it has been merged into Computershare Registrar CJSC which now maintains our register of shareholders by way of universal succession.

The purchase, sale or other transfer of shares is accomplished through the registration of the transfer in the shareholder register, or the registration of the transfer with a depository if shares are held by a depository. The registrar or depository may not require any documents in addition to those required by Russian legislation in order to transfer shares in the register. Refusal to register the shares in the name of the transferee or, upon request of the beneficial holder, in the name of a nominee holder, is not allowed, except in certain instances provided for by Russian legislation, and may be challenged in court.

**Reserve Fund**

Russian legislation requires that each joint stock company establish a reserve fund to be used only to cover the company's losses, redeem the company's bonds and repurchase the company's shares in cases when other funds are not available. Our charter provides for a reserve fund of 15% of our charter capital, funded through mandatory annual transfers of at least 5% of our net profits until the reserve fund has reached the 15% requirement.

**Disclosure of Information**

Russian securities regulations require us to make the following periodic public disclosures and filings:

posting on our website quarterly reports, containing information about us, our shareholders and depository, the structure of our management bodies, the members of the board of directors, our branches and representative offices, our shares, bank accounts and auditors, important developments during the reporting quarter, and other information about our financial and business;

Table of Contents

publishing any information (including inside information) concerning material facts and changes in our financial and business activity, including our reorganization, certain changes in the amount of our assets, decisions on share issuances, certain corporate events, such as mandatory or voluntary tender offers, record dates, certain changes in ownership and shareholding, filing of any material claim against us, obtainment or revocation of material licenses, entry into certain transactions, as well as shareholders' and certain board of directors' resolutions and certain information regarding our material subsidiaries;

disclosing information on various stages of share placement, issuance and registration through publication of certain data as required by the securities regulations;

disclosing our charter and internal corporate governance documents on our website;

disclosing our annual report and annual financial statements prepared in accordance with RAS;

posting on our website a list of our affiliated companies and individuals on a quarterly basis and in case of any changes;

posting on our website a list of inside information; and

other information as required by applicable Russian securities legislation.

**General Shareholders' Meetings**

***Procedure***

The powers of a shareholders' meeting are set forth in the Joint Stock Companies Law and in our charter. A shareholders' meeting may not decide on issues that are not included in the list of its competence by the Joint Stock Companies Law. Among the issues which the shareholders have the power to decide are:

charter amendments;

reorganization or liquidation;

election and removal of members of the board of directors;

determination of the amount of compensation for members of the board of directors;

determination of the number, nominal value, class/type of authorized shares and the rights granted by such shares;

changes in our charter capital;

appointment and removal of our external auditor and of the members of our review commission and counting commission;

approval of certain interested party transactions and major transactions;

participation in holding companies, commercial or industrial groups, or other associations of commercial entities;

approval of certain internal documents and corporate records;

distribution of profits and losses, including approval of dividends;

redemption by the company of issued shares in cases provided by the Joint Stock Companies Law; and

other issues, as provided for by the Joint Stock Companies Law and our charter.

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

### Table of Contents

Voting at a shareholders' meeting is generally based on the principle of one vote per share of common stock, with the exception of the election of the board of directors, which is done through cumulative voting. Decisions are generally passed by a majority vote of the voting shares present at a shareholders' meeting. However, Russian law requires a three-quarters majority vote of the voting shares present at a shareholders' meeting to approve the following:

charter amendments;

reorganization or liquidation;

major transactions involving assets in excess of 50% of the balance sheet value of the company's assets calculated under RAS;

the number, nominal value, and category (type) of authorized shares and the rights granted by such shares;

repurchase by the company of its issued shares;

any issuance of shares or securities convertible into shares of common stock by closed subscription;

issuance by open subscription of shares of common stock or securities convertible into common stock, in each case, constituting 25% or more of the number of issued and outstanding shares of common stock; or

reduction of the charter capital through reduction of the nominal value of shares.

The quorum requirement for our shareholders' meetings is met if holders of shares (or their representatives) accounting for more than 50% of the issued voting shares are present. If the 50% quorum requirement is not met, another shareholders' meeting with the same agenda may (and, in case of an annual shareholders' meeting must) be scheduled and the quorum requirement is satisfied if holders of shares (or their representatives) accounting for at least 30% of the issued voting shares are present at that meeting.

The annual shareholders' meeting must be convened by the board of directors between March 1 and June 30 of each year, and the agenda must include the following items:

election of the members of the board of directors;

approval of the annual report and the annual financial statements, including the balance sheet and profit and loss statement;

approval of distribution of profits, including approval of dividends, and losses, if any;

appointment of an independent auditor; and

appointment of the members of the review commission.

A shareholder or group of shareholders owning in the aggregate at least 2% of the issued voting shares may introduce proposals for the agenda of the annual shareholders' meeting and may nominate candidates for the board of directors, counting commission and review



## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

commission. Any agenda proposals or nominations must be provided to the company no later than 100 calendar days after the preceding financial year end.

Extraordinary shareholders' meetings may be called by the board of directors on its own initiative, or at the request of the review commission, the independent auditor or a shareholder or group of shareholders owning in the aggregate at least 10% of the issued voting shares as of the date of the request. The decision by the board of directors to call or reject the call for an extraordinary

Table of Contents

shareholders' meeting shall be sent to the party that requested the meeting within three days after such a decision was made.

A general meeting of shareholders may be held in a form of a meeting or by absentee ballot. The form of a meeting contemplates the adoption of resolutions by the general meeting of shareholders through the attendance of the shareholders or their authorized representatives for the purpose of discussing and voting on issues of the agenda, provided that if a ballot is mailed to shareholders for participation at a meeting convened in such form, the shareholders may complete and mail the ballot back to the company without personally attending the meeting. A general meeting of the shareholders by absentee ballot contemplates the determination of collecting shareholders' opinions on issues of the agenda by means of a written poll.

The following issues cannot be decided by a shareholders' meeting by absentee ballot:

election of the members of the board of directors;

election of the review commission;

approval of a company's independent auditor; and

approval of the annual report, the annual financial statements, including balance sheet, profit and loss statement, and any distribution of profits, including approval of annual dividends and losses, if any.

***Notice and Participation***

All shareholders entitled to participate in a general shareholders' meeting must be notified of the meeting, whether the meeting is to be held in the form of a meeting or by absentee ballot, no less than 30 days prior to the date of the meeting, and such notification shall specify the agenda for the meeting. However, if it is an extraordinary shareholders' meeting to elect the board of directors, shareholders must be notified at least 70 days prior to the date of the meeting. Only those items that were set out in the agenda to shareholders may be voted upon at a general shareholders' meeting.

If a nominal holder of the shares registers in the register of shareholders, then a notification of the shareholders' meeting shall be sent to the nominal holder. The nominal holder must notify its clients in accordance with Russian legislation or an agreement with the client. The list of shareholders entitled to participate in a general shareholders' meeting is to be compiled on the basis of data in our shareholders register on the date established by the board of directors, which date may neither be earlier than the 10 days after the date of adoption of the board resolution to hold a general shareholders' meeting nor more than 50 days before the date of the meeting (or, in the case of an extraordinary shareholders' meeting to elect the board of directors, not later than 80 days before the date of the meeting).

The right to participate in a general meeting of shareholders may be exercised by a shareholder as follows:

by personally participating in the discussion of agenda items and voting thereon;

by sending an authorized representative to participate in the discussion of agenda items and to vote thereon;

by submitting a written ballot reflecting the shareholders' voting on the agenda items; or

by delegating the right to submit such written ballot to an authorized representative.

Table of Contents

**Board of Directors**

Our charter provides that our entire board of directors is up for election at each annual general shareholders' meeting. Our board of directors is elected through cumulative voting. Under cumulative voting, each shareholder may cast an aggregate number of votes equal to the number of shares held by such shareholder multiplied by the number of persons to be elected to our board of directors, and the shareholder may give all such votes to one candidate or spread them between two or more candidates. Before the expiration of their term, the directors may be removed as a group at any time without cause by a majority vote of a shareholders' meeting.

The Joint Stock Companies Law requires at least a five-member board of directors for all joint stock companies, at least a seven-member board of directors for a joint stock company with more than 1,000 holders of voting shares, and at least a nine-member board of directors for a joint stock company with more than 10,000 holders of voting shares. Only natural persons (as opposed to legal entities) are entitled to sit on the board. Members of the board of directors are not required to be shareholders of the company. The actual number of directors is determined by the company's charter or a decision of the shareholders' meeting. Our charter provides that our board of directors consists of at least seven members, which number may be increased pursuant to a decision of the general meeting of shareholders. Currently, our board of directors consists of nine members.

The Joint Stock Companies Law prohibits a board of directors from acting on issues that fall within the competence of the general shareholders' meeting. Our board of directors has the power to perform the general management of the company, and to decide, among others, the following issues:

determination of our business priorities;

approval of our annual plans, including financial plans;

convening annual and extraordinary shareholders' meetings, except in certain circumstances specified in the Joint Stock Companies Law;

approval of the agenda for the shareholders' meeting and determination of the record date for shareholders entitled to participate in a shareholders' meeting;

placement of our bonds and other securities in cases specified in the Joint Stock Companies Law;

determination of the price of our property and of our securities to be placed or repurchased, as provided for by the Joint Stock Companies Law;

repurchase of our shares, bonds and other securities in certain cases provided for by the Joint Stock Companies Law;

appointment and removal of our President and the members of our management board;

recommendations on the amount of the dividend and the payment procedure thereof;

recommendations on the amount of remuneration and compensation to be paid to the members of our review commission and on the fees payable for the services of an independent auditor;

use of our reserve fund and other funds;

approval of our internal documents, except for those documents whose approval falls within the competence of our shareholders or the president;

creation and liquidation of branches and representative offices;

approval of major and interested party transactions in certain cases provided for by the Joint Stock Companies Law;

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

### Table of Contents

increasing our charter capital by issuing additional shares within the limits of the authorized charter capital, except in certain circumstances specified in our charter;

approval of our share registrar and the terms of the agreement with it; and

other issues, as provided for by the Joint Stock Companies Law and our charter.

Our charter generally requires a majority vote of the directors present for an action to pass, with the exception of actions for which Russian legislation requires a unanimous vote or a majority vote of the disinterested and independent directors, as described therein. A board meeting is considered duly assembled and legally competent to act when a majority of elected directors is present.

Our internal regulation "On the Board of Directors of OJSC Mobile TeleSystems" (the "Regulation") was approved by the annual shareholders' meeting on June 25, 2013. In accordance with clause 2.2.2 of the Regulation, the members of the board of directors have the right to:

receive information regarding our operations;

propose issues to be discussed by the board of directors;

review the minutes of the board of directors meetings;

request to include in the minutes of the meetings their personal opinion concerning issues on the agenda and decisions made with respect thereto;

receive a remuneration and/or compensation of expenses related to the execution of their duties as members of the board of directors in accordance with decisions of the general shareholders' meeting; and

be present at the general shareholders' meeting and answer questions regarding our operations.

In accordance with clause 2.3.2 of the Regulation, the members of the board of directors must:

act in our interests;

execute their duties in a confident and scrupulous manner;

act within their rights and in accordance with the purposes of the board of directors;

not distribute confidential information concerning us and protect such information from unlawful and improper use and publishing, and not use such confidential information in their own or third parties' commercial purposes;

participate in the work of the board of directors;

participate in the voting process during the board of directors meetings;

complete the tasks assigned by the board of directors;

evaluate the risks and consequences of the decisions made;

inform us on a timely basis about their participation in the management of other companies and changes in such participation;

restrain from voting on issues of personal interest;

inform the board of directors about future deals in which they may have a personal interest;

disclose information about the holding, disposal or acquisition of our shares and other securities;

restrain from actions, which could lead to a conflict between their personal and our interests; and

perform other responsibilities as provided by our charter and the Regulation.

Table of Contents

**Interested Party Transactions**

Under the Joint Stock Companies Law, certain transactions defined as "interested party transactions" require approval by disinterested directors or shareholders of the company. "Interested party transactions" include transactions involving a member of the board of directors or member of any executive body of the company (including the company's chief executive office and/or the company's managing organization), any person that owns, together with any affiliates, at least 20% of a company's issued voting shares or any person who is able to direct the actions of the company, if that person and/or that person's spouse, parents, children, adoptive parents or children, brothers or sisters and/or their affiliates, is/are:

a party to, or beneficiary of, a transaction with the company, whether directly or as a representative or intermediary;

the owner of at least 20% of the issued shares of a legal entity that is a party to, or beneficiary of, a transaction with the company, whether directly or as a representative or intermediary; or

a member of the board of directors or a member of any management body of a company that is a party to, or beneficiary of, a transaction with the company, whether directly or as a representative or intermediary, or a member of the board of directors or of any management body of a management organization of such a company.

The Joint Stock Companies Law requires that an interested party transaction by a company with more than 1,000 shareholders (holders of voting shares) be approved by a majority vote of the independent directors of the company who are not interested in the transaction. For purposes of this rule, an "independent director" is a person who is not, and within the year preceding the decision to approve the transaction was not, a general director/president, a member of any executive body or an affiliate of the company, or a member of the board of directors or any management body of the company's management organization. Additionally, such person's spouse, parents, children, adoptive parents or children, brothers or sisters may not, and within the year preceding the date of the decision to approve the transaction did not, occupy positions in the executive bodies of the company or positions on the board of directors or of any management body of the company's management organization. For companies with 1,000 or fewer shareholders, an interested party transaction must be adopted by a majority vote of the directors who are not interested in the transaction if the number of these directors is sufficient to constitute a quorum.

Approval by a majority of shareholders who are not interested in the transaction is required if:

the value of such transaction or a number of interrelated transactions is 2% or more of the balance sheet value of the company's assets determined under RAS;

the transaction or a number of interrelated transactions involves the issuance, by subscription, of voting shares or securities convertible into voting shares, or a secondary market sale of such securities, in an amount exceeding 2% of the company's issued voting stock;

the number of directors who are not interested in the transaction is not sufficient to constitute a quorum; or

all the members of the board of directors of the company are interested parties, or none of them is an independent director.

Approval by a majority of shareholders who are not interested in the transaction may not be required, until the next annual shareholders' meeting, for an interested party transaction if such transaction is substantially similar to transactions concluded by the company and the interested party in the ordinary course of business before such party became an interested party with respect to the transaction.

Table of Contents

The approval of interested party transactions is not required in the following instances:

the company has only one shareholder that simultaneously performs the functions of the executive body of the company;

all shareholders of the company are deemed interested in such transactions;

the transactions arise from the shareholders executing their preemptive rights to purchase newly issued shares of the company;

the transactions arise from the repurchase, whether mandatory or not, by the company of its issued shares;

merger transactions; or

the transactions that are mandatory for the company pursuant to Russian law and must be concluded on the basis of fixed prices and tariffs adopted by a competent state body.

**Major Transactions**

The Joint Stock Companies Law defines a "major transaction" as a transaction, or a number of interrelated transactions, involving the acquisition or disposal, or a possibility of disposal (whether directly or indirectly) of property having a value of 25% or more of the balance sheet value of the assets of a company determined under RAS, with the exception of transactions conducted in the ordinary course of business or transactions involving the placement of common stock, or securities convertible into common stock. Major transactions involving assets having a value ranging from 25% to 50% of the balance sheet value of the assets of a company determined under RAS require unanimous approval by all members of the board of directors or, failing to receive such approval, a simple majority vote of a shareholders' meeting. Major transactions involving assets having a value in excess of 50% of the balance sheet value of the assets of a company determined under RAS require a three-quarters majority vote of a shareholders' meeting.

**Change in Control**

***Anti-takeover Protection***

Russian legislation requires the following:

A person intending to acquire more than 30% of an open joint stock company's ordinary shares and voting preferred shares (including, for such purposes, shares already owned by such person and its affiliates), will be entitled to make a public tender offer to other holders of such shares or securities convertible into such shares.

A person that has acquired more than 30% of an open joint stock company's ordinary shares and voting preferred shares (including, for such purposes, shares already owned by such person and its affiliates) will, except in certain limited circumstances, be required to make, within 35 days of acquiring such shares, a public tender offer for other shares of the same class and for securities convertible into such shares, at the price which is not less than the price determined based on a weighted average market price of the shares over the six month period before the filing of the offer with the CBR as described below, if the shares are publicly traded, or on a price supplied by an independent appraiser if the shares have no or insufficient trading history. The public tender offer price may not be less than the highest price at which the offeror or its affiliated persons purchased or undertook to purchase the relevant securities over the six month period before the offer was sent to the company. From the moment of acquisition of more than 30% (or 50% and 75% in cases referred to in the next sentence) of the shares until the date the offer was sent to the company, the person making the offer and its affiliates will be able to





Table of Contents

register for quorum purposes and vote only 30% of the company's ordinary shares and voting preferred shares (regardless of the size of their actual holdings). These rules also apply to acquisitions resulting in a person or a group of persons owning more than 50% and 75% of a company's issued ordinary shares and voting preferred shares.

A person that as a result of an offer described in either of the preceding paragraphs becomes (individually or with its affiliates) the owner of more than 95% of the company's ordinary shares and voting preferred shares, must buy out the remaining shares of the company as well as other securities convertible into such shares upon request of the holders of such shares or other securities, and may require such holders to sell such shares and other securities, at the price determined in the manner described in the preceding paragraph but not less than the highest price of the preceding acquisitions by the offeror.

An offer of the kind described in either of the preceding three paragraphs must be accompanied by a bank guarantee of payment. If the company is publicly traded, prior notice of the offer must be filed with the CBR; otherwise, notice must be filed with the CBR no later than the date of the offer. The CBR may order amendments to the terms of the offer (including price) in order to bring them into compliance with the rules.

Once such an offer has been made, competing offers for the same securities can be made by third parties and, in certain circumstances, acceptance of the initial offer may be withdrawn by the security holders who choose to accept such competing offer. From the making of such an offer until 20 days after its expiry (which period may in certain cases exceed 100 days) the company's shareholders' meeting will have the sole power to make decisions on charter capital increase, issuance of securities, approval of certain major transactions, and on certain other significant matters.

The above rules may be supplemented through CBR rulemaking, which may result in a wider, narrower or more specific interpretation of these rules by the government and judicial authorities, as well as by market participants.

**Approval of FAS**

Pursuant to the Federal Law on Competition FAS must approve in advance acquisitions of voting shares in a company involving (1) companies with a combined value of assets or combined annual revenues calculated under RAS exceeding a certain threshold, or (2) companies registered as having more than a 35% share of a certain commodity market or otherwise occupying a dominant position on the market, and which would result in acquisition by a person (or a group of affiliates) of more than 25%, 50% or 75% of voting shares of a joint stock company, or a participation interest according 1/3, 50%, 2/3 of voting rights in a limited liability company, or in a transfer between such companies of assets or rights to assets, the value of which exceeds a certain amount. See also "Item 3. Key Information D. Risk Factors Risks Relating to Our Business If we are found to have a dominant position in the markets where we operate, the government may regulate our subscriber tariffs and restrict our operations."

**Strategic Industries Law**

Pursuant to the Strategic Foreign Investment Law investments resulting in a foreign entity or a group of entities receiving control over a company with strategic importance for the national defense and security of the Russian Federation (a "Strategic Company") or acquisition of fixed production assets of a Strategic Company having value of at least 25% of its assets calculated under RAS require prior approval from the state authorities. The procedure for issuing such consent involves a special governmental commission on control of foreign investments ("Governmental Commission"), which was established by the Resolution of the Government of Russia dated July 6, 2008 as the body responsible

Table of Contents

for granting such consents, and FAS, which is authorized to process applications for consent from foreign investors. "Control" means an ability to determine, directly or indirectly, decisions taken by a Strategic Company, whether through voting at the general shareholders' (participants') meeting of the Strategic Company, participating in the board of directors or management bodies of the Strategic Company, or acting as the external management organization of the Strategic Company, or otherwise. As a result, "control" will generally be deemed to exist if an entity or a group of entities acquires more than 50% of the shares (or participation interest in share capital) of a Strategic Company, or if through contract or securities with voting rights it is able to appoint more than 50% of the members of the board of directors or of the management board of a Strategic Company.

Furthermore, if a foreign entity or group of entities holding securities of a Strategic Company or other entity that exercises control over this company becomes a direct or indirect holder of voting shares in an amount that is considered to give it direct or indirect control over this company in accordance with the Strategic Foreign Investment Law due to a change in allocation of voting shares pursuant to the procedures provided by Russian law (*e.g.*, as a result of a buy-back of its shares by the relevant company), then such entity or group of entities will have to apply for state approval of its control within three months after it received such control.

In addition, foreign investors are required to notify this authorized governmental agency about any transactions undertaken by them resulting in the acquisition of 5% or more of the charter capital of a Strategic Company and other transactions or other actions preapproved in accordance with the Strategic Foreign Investment Law.

On April 8, 2009, MTS OJSC and two of our subsidiaries, Dagtelecom LLC (Dagtelecom LLC has since been merged into MTS) and Sibintelecom CJSC, were added to the register of companies occupying a dominant position on the market with a market share exceeding 25% for the purpose of the Strategic Foreign Investment Law.

See also "Item 3. Key Information D. Risk Factors Legal Risks and Uncertainties The Strategic Foreign Investment Law imposes certain restrictions on us and our existing and potential foreign shareholders, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects."

**Disclosure of Ownership**

Under Russian law, a person acquiring, directly or indirectly, 5% or more of our common shares is required to notify us and the CBR of, and we must then publicly disclose, such acquisition, as well as any subsequent acquisitions or disposals resulting in the crossing of 5%, 10%, 15%, 20%, 25%, 30%, 50%, 75% or 95% thresholds of our outstanding common shares by such person.

A holder of more than 5% of our common shares is required to file with us and the CBR information about its controlling shareholder (if any) or notify us and the CBR about the absence of any such controlling shareholders.

Our subsidiaries are required to notify us and the CBR about the acquisition of our common shares. We are required to publicly disclose the acquisition of our common shares by our subsidiaries.

**Notification of Foreign Ownership**

Foreign persons registered as individual entrepreneurs in Russia who acquire shares in a Russian joint stock company and foreign companies that acquire shares in a Russian joint stock company may need to notify the Russian tax authorities within one month following such acquisition. However, the procedure for notifying the Russian tax authorities by foreign companies that are not registered with such tax authorities at the time of their share acquisition remains unclear.

Table of Contents

**C. Material Contracts**

The following is a description of contracts that we and/or our subsidiaries are a party to and that are or may be material to our business.

**Eurobonds**

On May 28, 2013, we issued U.S. dollar-denominated Loan Participation Notes in the amount of \$500 million (RUB 16.4 billion as of December 31, 2013) with an annual interest rate of 5.00% and a maturity in June 2023. The proceeds will be used for general corporate purposes. The notes were issued by MTS International Funding Limited, a private company organized and existing as a private limited company under the laws of Ireland, and are listed on the Irish Stock Exchange. Proceeds were on-lent to us pursuant to a loan agreement between us and MTS International Funding Limited.

The loan agreements relating to our notes due 2023 sets forth various occurrences, each of which would constitute an event of default. If an event of default, other than an event of default arising from events of bankruptcy, insolvency or bankruptcy-related reorganization, occurs and is continuing, either the lender, the trustee or the holders of at least 25% in principal amount of the outstanding notes may accelerate the maturity of all of the notes. If an event of default arising from events of our bankruptcy, insolvency or bankruptcy-related reorganization occurs and is continuing, then the principal of, and accrued interest on, all of the notes will automatically become immediately due and payable without any declaration or other act on the part of the lender, holders of notes or the trustee.

Covenants in the loan agreement relating to our notes due 2023 limit our ability to create liens on our properties, merge or consolidate with another person or convey our properties and assets to another person. In addition, if we experience certain types of mergers, consolidations or other changes in control, noteholders will have the right to require us to redeem the notes at 101% of their principal amount, plus accrued interest. We are also required to take all commercially reasonable steps necessary to maintain a rating of the notes from Moody's or Standard & Poor's. If we fail to meet these covenants, after certain notice and cure periods, the noteholders can accelerate the debt to be immediately due and payable.

**Ruble bonds**

On April 3, 2013, we issued exchange-traded ruble bond on Moscow Exchange. The bond is worth RUB 10 billion and has a maturity of ten years. Coupons are to be paid semiannually at the rate of 8.25%. Bond holders will have the right under a five-year put option to sell the bonds to us. The proceeds from the bond replacement will be used for general corporate needs.

**D. Exchange Controls**

The Federal Law on Currency Regulation and Currency Control which came into effect on June 18, 2004 sets forth certain restrictions on settlements between residents of Russia with respect to operations involving foreign securities (including ADSs), including requirements for settlement in Russian rubles.

**Repatriation of Export Proceeds**

Russian companies must repatriate 100% of their receivables from the export of goods and services (with a limited number of exceptions concerning, in particular, certain types of secured financing).

Table of Contents

**Restrictions on the remittance of dividends, interest or other payments to non-residents**

The Federal Law on Foreign Investments in the Russian Federation of July 9, 1999 specifically guarantees foreign investors the right to repatriate their earnings from Russian investments. However, the evolving Russian exchange control regime may materially affect your ability to do so.

Currently, ruble dividends on common shares may be converted into U.S. dollars without restriction. However, the ability to convert rubles into U.S. dollars is also subject to the availability of U.S. dollars in Russia's currency markets. Although there is an existing market within Russia for the conversion of rubles into U.S. dollars, including the interbank currency exchange and over-the-counter and currency futures markets, the further development of this market is uncertain.

**E. Taxation**

**Certain Russian Tax Consequences**

The following discussion describes the material Russian corporate income tax and personal income tax consequences to you if you are a U.S. holder of ADSs and a resident of the United States for purposes of the United States Russia income tax treaty and are fully eligible for benefits under the United States Russia income tax treaty. Subject to certain provisions of the United States Russia income tax treaty relating to limitations on benefits, a U.S. resident under the treaty is generally defined as a person liable, under the laws of the United States, to U.S. tax (other than taxes with respect to only of income from sources in the United States or capital situated therein) by reason of your domicile, residence, citizenship, place of incorporation, or any other similar criterion (and, for income derived by a partnership, trust or estate, residence is determined in accordance with the residence of the person liable to tax with respect to such income). The treaty provides for a procedure to resolve matters where a resident of the United States qualifies as a Russian tax resident under Russian domestic rules. The treaty also provides for the non-application of treaty benefits to certain types of entities.

Additionally, the benefits under the United States Russia income tax treaty discussed in this document generally are not available to U.S. persons who hold ADSs in connection with the conduct of a business in the Russian Federation through a permanent establishment as defined in the United States Russia income tax treaty. Subject to certain exceptions, a U.S. person's permanent establishment under the United States Russia income tax treaty is a fixed place of business through which such person carries on business activities in the Russian Federation (generally including, but not limited to, a place of management, a branch, an office and a factory). Under certain circumstances, a U.S. person may be deemed to have a permanent establishment in the Russian Federation as a result of activities carried on in the Russian Federation through agents of the U.S. person. This summary does not address the treatment of holders described in this paragraph.

Treaty benefits may be potentially available to U.S. tax residents that are not subject to limitations on treaty benefits under the treaty, do not operate through a permanent establishment in Russia and are foreign legal entities (*i.e.*, a legal entity or organization in each case not organized under Russian law) or individuals not considered Russian tax residents under Russian law. Under current Russian law, the Russian tax residency for individuals is generally determined based on the number of days a person spends in Russia in a 12-month rolling period. Law specifies that an individual present in Russia for an aggregate period of 183 days in any consecutive 12-month period will be considered as a tax resident. Since tax year in Russia is a calendar year the final tax residency status of an individual taxpayer shall still be defined for a whole calendar year by counting the days spent in Russia within that relevant calendar year. Accordingly, to be considered a Russian tax resident, the taxpayer should spend at least 183 days in Russia in a calendar year. The following discussion is based on:

Russian tax legislation; and

Table of Contents

the United States Russia income tax treaty (and judicial and administrative interpretations thereof by the Russian authorities);

All of the foregoing tax consequences are based on information in effect as of the date of this document and are subject to change, possibly on a retroactive basis, after the date of this document. This discussion is also based, in part, on representations of the depositary, and assumes that each obligation in the deposit agreement and any related agreements will be performed in accordance with its terms. The discussion with respect to Russian legislation is based on our understanding of current Russian law and Russian tax rules, which are subject to frequent change and varying interpretations.

The following discussion is not intended as tax advice to any particular investor. It is also not a complete analysis or listing of all potential Russian corporate income and personal income tax consequences to you of ownership of ADSs. We urge you to consult your own tax adviser regarding the specific Russian tax consequences of the ownership and disposition of ADSs under your own particular factual circumstances.

***Specific uncertainties associated with the tax treatment of ADS holders***

The Russian tax rules in relation to ADS holders (that would affect U.S. holders) are characterized by significant uncertainties and limited interpretive guidance. Recent amendments to the tax rules have clarified the status of the ADS holders as beneficial owners of the income from the underlying shares by establishing that the custodian holding the depo account with the shares underlying the ADSs acting as the tax agent and determines amounts of the withholding tax based on the information about the ADS holders and their tax residency status as provided by the program depositary. However, the application of the baseline tax rate for ADS holders and any double tax treaty relief is available only if the tax treaty residence of the holder is provided to the custodian along with the other information prescribed by the Tax code. In relation to ADS holders such information is to be provided by the ADS holders to the depositary, who relays it to the custodian, who acts as the tax agent and withholds the taxes when making transferring the dividends to the depositary. It is currently unclear how the depositary will collect the necessary information from ADS holders. Thus, while a U.S. holder may technically be entitled to benefit from the provisions of the United States Russia income tax treaty, in practice such relief may be difficult or impossible to obtain.

Russian tax law and procedures are also not well developed, and local tax inspectors have considerable autonomy and often interpret tax rules without regard to the rule of law. Both the substantive provisions of Russian tax law and the interpretation and application of those provisions by the Russian tax authorities may be subject to more rapid and unpredictable change than in jurisdictions with more developed capital markets.

***Taxation of Dividends***

Dividends paid to U.S. holders generally will be subject to Russian withholding tax at a 15% rate. The tax burden may be reduced to 5% or 10% under the United States Russia income tax treaty for eligible U.S. holders; a 5% rate may potentially apply for U.S. holders who are legal entities owning 10% or more of the company's voting shares, and a 10% rate applies to dividends paid to eligible U.S. holders in other cases, including dividend payments to individuals and legal entities owning less than 10% of the company's voting shares. However, according to the recent amendments to the Tax Code, U.S. holders will only be able to utilize the 5% reduced rate through tax reimbursement procedures, as the tax agent is required to use the baseline tax rate established by the code or the applicable tax treaty, whichever is appropriate. See also " United States Russia Income Tax Treaty Procedures."

Provisions of the Tax Code which were effective in 2014 have introduced 30% withholding tax rate to be applied to the dividends paid out in relation to shares underlying an ADS program where the information regarding the holders of the ADSs including the overall number of securities held in a

Table of Contents

specific tax jurisdiction is not provided by the depository to the custodian holding the depo account with the underlying shares and acting as the tax agent.

From a practical perspective, it may have not been possible for the depository to collect the necessary information from all ADS holders and submit the relevant information to the custodian. Therefore, with respect to legal entities or organizations who are U.S. holders, the custodian may be obligated to withhold income tax at a rate of 30% from dividend payments made to the trustee, unless the information on the ADS holder, the respective amount of ADS held and its tax residency is provided to the depository and thereafter to the custodian within 7 days of the date on which the shareholders entitled to dividend payout are determined according to the relevant decision of the general shareholders meeting. The same amendments have also introduced an expedited refund process whereby the information regarding the ADSs not provided to the custodian can be submitted within 25 days of the date of the payment of the dividends to the depository in order for the custodian to refund the difference between the increased 30% tax rate used and the tax rate the respective ADS holders are entitled to according to their tax residency, however this process is new and not tested and it is unclear how it will work in practice. Although non-resident holders of ADSs may apply for a refund of a portion of the tax withheld under an applicable tax treaty, the procedure to do so may be time consuming and no assurance can be given that the Russian tax authorities will grant a refund. See " United States Russia Income Tax Treaty Procedures."

With respect to individuals who are U.S. holders of ADSs and who are Russian tax non-residents, the custodian may also be obligated to withhold income tax at the rate of 30% from dividend payments made to the depository. Where withholding of personal income tax is not performed, individuals who are U.S. holders of ADSs will then be required to submit an annual personal tax return to the Russian tax authorities and pay Russian income tax at a rate of 15% as under Russian law an individual should report on his or her tax liabilities in case the relevant tax was due but not withheld by a tax agent from the relevant payment. When submitting the tax return, individuals who are U.S. holders may claim an application of the reduced rates of withholding tax established by the relevant treaty, provided that the procedures described in " United States Russia Income Tax Treaty Procedures" are complied with. Obtaining the respective approvals from the tax authorities may be time-consuming and burdensome.

If the appropriate information is not provided to the depository for transfer to the custodian in a timely manner, the custodian may have to withhold tax at the 30% rate, and U.S. holders that are legal entities qualifying for a reduced rate under the United States Russia income tax treaty then may file claims for a refund within three years with the Russian tax authorities.

For individuals claiming treaty relief, the documents substantiating the right for treaty benefits should be submitted to the Russian tax authorities within one year after the end of the year to which these benefits relate. In practice, where withholding is performed, the tax authorities may refuse to refund or credit the 15% tax withheld from payment of dividends to the depository and, therefore, it is possible that individuals who are U.S. holders may be subject to up to a 30% effective tax rate (general tax rate for Russian tax non-residents) on their share of dividends.

The amendments to the Russian Tax Code, which came into effect from January 1, 2015, exclude dividends from the scope of payments subject to 30% withholding tax rate.

***Taxation of Capital Gains***

*Legal entities and Organizations*

Income received by a foreign company from the sale, exchange or other disposal (assuming that such income is not related to a permanent establishment of a foreign company in Russia) of shares (participation interest) in an organization in which over 50% of the assets consist of immovable property located in Russia, as well as financial instruments derived from such shares, is treated as

Table of Contents

income derived from a source in the Russian Federation and is subject to withholding tax at a rate of 20%. However, gains arising from the disposition of the securities which are traded on an organised stock exchange are not treated as Russian-source income, and should not be subject to taxation in Russia.

The amount of such income is typically determined as the sales price of shares (participation interest). However, if documentary support for the acquisition cost of the shares (participation interest) is available, the tax may instead be assessed on the basis of the difference between the sales price and the acquisition cost (including other related costs) if documentary evidence of such costs is submitted to the tax agent. The Russian Tax Code also establishes special rules for calculating the tax base for the purposes of transactions with securities. However, an exemption applies if immovable property located in Russia constitutes more than 50% of our assets and the securities are traded on a foreign stock exchange. The determination of whether more than 50% of our assets consist of immovable property located in Russia is inherently factual and is made on an on-going basis and the relevant Russian legislation and regulations in this respect are not entirely clear. Hence, there can be no assurance that immovable property owned by us and located in Russia does not currently and will not constitute more than 50% of our assets as at the date of the sale of ADSs by non-residents.

Where the ADSs are sold by legal entities or organizations to persons other than a Russian company or a foreign company or an organization with a registered permanent establishment in Russia, even if the resulting capital gain is considered taxable in Russia, there is currently no mechanism under which the purchaser will be able to withhold the tax and remit it to the Russian budget.

Under the United States Russia income tax treaty, capital gains from the sale of shares and/or ADSs by eligible U.S. holders should be relieved from taxation in Russia, unless 50% or more of our assets (the term "fixed assets" is used in the Russian version of the treaty) were to consist of immovable property located in Russia.

*Individuals*

The taxation of the income of tax non-resident individuals depends on whether this income is received from Russian or non-Russian sources. Russian tax law considers the place of sale as an indicator of source. Accordingly, the sale of securities outside of Russia by individuals who are non-resident holders should not be considered Russian source income and, therefore, should not be taxable in Russia. However, Russian tax law gives no clear indication as to how the place of sale of securities should be defined in this respect. Therefore, the Russian tax authorities may have a certain amount of flexibility in concluding whether a transaction is in Russia or out of Russia.

The sale, exchange or other disposal of the shares and ADSs by non-resident individual holders in Russia will be considered Russian source income and will be subject to tax at a rate of 30% on the difference between the sales price and the acquisition costs of such securities, as well as other documented expenses, such as depositary expenses and broker fees, among others, defined by the tax rules.

Under Russian law, the acquisition costs and related expenses can be deducted at the source of payment if the sale was made by a non-resident holder through a licensed Russian broker, trust manager or other person that carries out operations under agency or commission agreements, or other agreements in favor of a taxpayer. Such party (as defined above) should also act as a tax agent and withhold the applicable tax. Such tax agent will be required to report to the Russian tax authorities the amount of income realized by the non-resident individual and tax withheld upon the sale of the securities.



Table of Contents

Otherwise, if the sale is made to individuals but not through a tax agent, generally no withholding needs to be made and the non-resident holder will have an obligation to file a tax return, report his income realized and apply for a deduction of acquisition expenses (which includes filing of support documentation). Although Russian tax law imposes tax agent responsibility only on professional trustees, brokers or dealers, in practice, the tax authorities may require Russian legal entities and organizations or foreign companies with any registered presence in Russia that are not professional trustees, dealers or brokers to act as tax agents and withhold the applicable tax when purchasing securities from non-resident individuals.

Under the United States Russia income tax treaty, capital gains from the sale of the ADSs by eligible U.S. holders should be relieved from taxation in Russia, unless 50% or more of our assets (the term "fixed assets" is used in the Russian version of the United States Russia Tax Treaty) were to consist of immovable property located in Russia. If this 50% threshold is not met, individuals who are U.S. holders may seek to obtain the benefit of the United States Russia income tax treaty in relation to capital gains resulting from the sale, exchange or other disposition of the ADSs.

In order to apply the provisions of relevant double tax treaties, the individual holders should receive clearance from the Russian tax authorities as described below. See " United States Russia Income Tax Treaty Procedures" below.

***United States Russia Income Tax Treaty Procedures***

The Russian Tax Code does not contain a requirement that a non-resident holder that is a legal entity or organization must obtain tax treaty clearance from the Russian tax authorities prior to receiving any income in order to qualify for benefits under an applicable tax treaty. However, a non-resident legal entity or organization seeking to obtain relief from or reduction of Russian withholding tax under a tax treaty must provide to a Russian company or foreign company or organization acting through its Russian registered presence, which is a tax agent (*i.e.*, the entity paying income to a non-resident) a confirmation of its tax treaty residence that complies with the applicable requirements and a Russian translation attached to it in advance of receiving the relevant income. The tax residency confirmation needs to be renewed on an annual basis and provided to the payer of income before the first payment of income in each calendar year.

A U.S. holder may obtain the appropriate certification by mailing completed forms, together with the holder's name, taxpayer identification number, the tax period for which certification is required, and other applicable information, to the United States Internal Revenue Service. The procedures for obtaining certification are described in greater detail in the instructions to Internal Revenue Service Form 8802. As obtaining the required certification from the Internal Revenue Service may take at least six to eight weeks, U.S. holders should apply for such certification as soon as possible.

In accordance with the Russian Tax Code, to rely on tax treaty benefits, a non-resident holder who is an individual must present to the tax authorities an official document confirming his residency in the home country issued by the competent authorities in his/her country of residence and also other supporting documentation including a statement confirming the income received and the tax paid in the home country, also confirmed by the relevant foreign tax authorities, duly translated and apostilled or pass through a consular legalization. Technically, such a requirement means that an individual cannot rely on the tax treaty until he or she pays the tax in the jurisdiction of his or her residence. Therefore, advance relief from or reduction of withholding taxes for individuals will generally be impossible as it is very unlikely that the supporting documentation for the treaty relief can be provided to the tax authorities and approval from the latter obtained before any payments are made to individuals. A non-resident holder which is an individual may apply for treaty-based benefits within one year following the end of the tax period in which the relevant income was received and the tax was withheld.

Table of Contents

If a non-resident holder which is a legal entity or organization does not obtain double tax treaty relief at the time that income or gains are realized and tax is withheld by a Russian tax agent, the non-resident holder may apply for a refund within three years from the end of the tax period (a calendar year) in which the tax was withheld. To process a claim for a refund, the Russian tax authorities require (i) apostilled or legalized confirmation of the tax treaty residence of the non-resident at the time the income was paid, (ii) an application for the refund of the tax withheld in a format provided by the Russian tax authorities and (iii) copies of the relevant contracts under which the foreign entity received income, as well as payment documents confirming the payment of the tax withheld to the Russian budget (Form 1012DT for dividends and interest and Form 1011DT for other income are designed by the Russian tax authorities to combine requirements (i) and (ii) specified above). The Russian tax authorities may require a Russian translation of the above documents if they are prepared in a foreign language. The refund of the tax withheld should be granted within one month of the filing of the above set of documents with the Russian tax authorities. However, procedures for processing such claims have not been clearly established and there is significant uncertainty regarding the availability and timing of such refunds.

Recent amendments to the Tax Code have established additional requirements to the reimbursement procedure referred to above, identifying further documents that need to be provided to the tax authorities. These include: 1) a document confirming the rights of the ADS holder to the ADS as of the date on which the shareholders entitled to the dividend payout are set according to the relevant decision of the General shareholders meeting, 2) a document evidencing the actual amount of income received by the ADS holder, 3) a document with information about the custodian that transferred the dividend amounts to the depository, and 4) documents confirming the ADS holder's compliance with the requirements of the Tax Code and/or the relevant income tax treaty provisions necessary for application of a reduced rate. The procedures referred to above are new and no assurance can be given that the custodian will be able to apply the respective double tax treaties when paying dividends to non-resident holders or that ADS holders would be successful in receiving relevant tax refunds.

Neither the depository nor us has or will have any obligation to assist an ADS holder with the completion and filing of any tax forms.

***Stamp Duties***

No Russian stamp duty will be payable by the holders of ADSs upon carrying out of transactions with the securities as discussed above (*i.e.*, on a purchase of the securities, sale of the securities, etc.).

**Certain United States Federal Income Tax Consequences**

The following is a general description of certain material United States federal income tax consequences that apply to you if you are, for United States federal income tax purposes, a beneficial owner of ADSs that is an individual who is a citizen or resident of the United States, a corporation created or organized in or under the laws of the United States, any state thereof or the District of Columbia, an estate the income of which is subject to U.S. federal income tax regardless of its source, or a trust, if a United States court can exercise primary supervision over the administration of the trust and one or more United States persons can control all substantial trust decisions, or if the trust has a valid election in effect under applicable U.S. Treasury Regulations to be treated as a United States person (in each case, a "U.S. Holder"). This discussion is based on the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), Treasury Regulations promulgated thereunder, judicial decisions, and published rulings and administrative pronouncements of the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS"), all as publicly available and in effect as of the date of this document. These authorities are subject to differing interpretations and may change, possibly retroactively, resulting in U.S. federal income tax consequences different from those discussed below. No ruling has been or will be sought from the IRS

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

### Table of Contents

with respect to the matters discussed below, and there can be no assurance that the IRS will not take a contrary position regarding the tax consequences of the acquisition, ownership or disposition of ADSs, or that any such contrary position would not be sustained by a court. If a partnership (including any entity treated as a partnership for United States federal income tax purposes) is an owner of ADSs, the United States federal income tax treatment of a partner in the partnership will generally depend on the status of the partner and the activities of the partnership. Accordingly, partnerships that hold ADSs and partners in such partnerships are urged to consult their tax advisors regarding the specific U.S. federal income tax consequences to them. The following discussion does not deal with the tax consequences to any particular investor or to persons in special tax situations such as:

an insurance company;

a tax-exempt organization;

a financial institution;

a person subject to the alternative minimum tax;

a person who is a broker-dealer in securities or a trader subject to a mark-to-market election;

an S corporation;

a person holding ADSs through a partnership or other pass-through entity;

an expatriate subject to section 877 of the Code;

an owner of, directly, indirectly or by attribution, 10% or more of the outstanding shares of our common stock; or

an owner holding ADSs as part of a hedge, straddle, synthetic security or conversion transaction.

In addition, this summary is limited to U.S. Holders holding ADSs as "capital assets" within the meaning of Section 1221 of the Code and whose functional currency is the U.S. dollar. The discussion below does not address the effect of the recently effective Medicare tax on "net investment income" or of any United States state or local tax law or foreign tax law. This discussion also does not address any tax consequences relating to the direct ownership of ordinary shares.

The discussion below assumes that the representations contained in the deposit agreement are true and that the obligations in the deposit agreement and any related agreement will be complied with in accordance with their terms. For purposes of applying United States federal income tax law, we believe, and the following discussion assumes, that a holder of an ADS should be treated as the owner of the underlying shares of common stock represented by that ADS, although this matter is not free from doubt.

The U.S. Treasury has expressed concerns that intermediaries in the chain of ownership between the holder of an ADS and the issuer of the shares underlying the ADS may be taking actions that are inconsistent with the beneficial ownership of the underlying shares. Accordingly, the analysis of the creditability of Russian withholding taxes described below and the availability of the reduced tax rate for dividends received by certain non-corporate U.S. Holders (discussed below) could be affected by actions taken by intermediaries in the chain of ownership between the holder of ADSs and our company if as a result of such actions the holders of ADSs are not properly treated as beneficial owners of underlying shares and future actions that may be taken by the U.S. Treasury. The remainder of this discussion assumes that a holder of an ADS will be treated as the beneficial owner of the underlying shares of common stock represented by such ADS for United States federal income tax purposes.



Table of Contents

*Taxation of Distributions on ADSs*

Subject to the passive foreign investment company rules described below, for United States federal income tax purposes, the gross amount of a distribution, including any Russian withholding taxes, paid by us with respect to ADSs will be treated as a taxable foreign source dividend on the date of actual or constructive receipt by the depositary to the extent of our current and accumulated earnings and profits, computed in accordance with United States federal income tax principles. If you are a non-corporate U.S. Holder such dividends may be "qualified dividend income" that is taxed at the lower applicable capital gains rate provided that certain conditions are satisfied, including (1) certain holding period requirements are satisfied, (2) either (a) our ADSs continue to be listed on the New York Stock Exchange (or other national securities exchange that is registered under section 6 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Nasdaq Stock Market) or (b) we are eligible for the benefits of the United States Russia income tax treaty, and (3) we are not, for the taxable year in which the dividend was paid, or in the preceding taxable year, a "passive foreign investment company" with respect to your ADSs (as discussed below). Distributions with respect to ADSs in excess of our current and accumulated earnings and profits will be applied against and will reduce your tax basis in such ADSs and, to the extent in excess of such tax basis, will be treated as gain from a sale or exchange of such ADSs. You should be aware that we do not intend to calculate our earnings and profits for United States federal income tax purposes and, unless we make such calculations, you should assume that any distributions with respect to ADSs generally will be treated as a dividend, even if such distributions would otherwise be treated as a return of capital or as capital gain pursuant to the rules described above. If you are a corporation, you will not be allowed a deduction for dividends received in respect of distributions on ADSs, which is generally available for dividends paid by U.S. corporations. U.S. Holders are strongly urged to consult their tax advisors as to the U.S. federal income tax treatment of any distribution received with respect to ADSs.

The amount of any distribution paid in rubles will equal the U.S. dollar value of such rubles, calculated using the exchange rate in effect on the date of actual or constructive receipt by the depositary, regardless of whether the payment is actually converted into U.S. dollars. Generally, any gain or loss resulting from currency exchange rate fluctuations during the period from the date of receipt by the depositary to the date the rubles are converted into U.S. dollars will be treated as ordinary income or loss from sources within the United States for foreign tax credit limitation purposes. Additionally, you may be required to recognize foreign currency gain or loss on the receipt of a refund of Russian withholding tax pursuant to the United States Russia income tax treaty to the extent the United States dollar value of the refund differs from the dollar equivalent of that amount on the date of receipt of the underlying distribution.

Russian withholding tax at the rate applicable to you under the United States Russia income tax treaty should be treated as a foreign income tax that, subject to generally applicable limitations and conditions, may be eligible for credit against your U.S. federal income tax liability or, at your election, may be deducted in computing taxable income. If Russian tax is withheld at a rate in excess of the rate applicable to you under the United States Russia income tax treaty, you may not be entitled to credits for the excess amount, even though the procedures for claiming refunds and the practical likelihood that refunds will be made available in a timely fashion are uncertain. If the dividends are qualified dividend income (as discussed above), the amount of the dividend taken into account for purposes of calculating the foreign tax credit limitation will generally be limited to the gross amount of the dividend, multiplied by the reduced rate divided by the highest rate of tax normally applicable to dividends.

The limitation on foreign taxes eligible for credit is calculated separately with respect to specific classes of income. For United States foreign tax credit purposes, a dividend distribution with respect to the ADSs will be treated as foreign source "passive category income" but could, in the case of certain U.S. Holders, constitute "general category income." The rules relating to the determination of the

Table of Contents

foreign tax credit, or deduction in lieu of the foreign tax credit, are complex and you should consult your tax advisors with respect to those rules.

***Taxation on Sale or Other Taxable Disposition of ADSs***

Subject to the passive foreign investment company rules described below, the sale or other taxable disposition of ADSs will generally result in the recognition of gain or loss in an amount equal to the difference between the amount realized on the sale or other taxable disposition and your adjusted basis in such ADSs. That gain or loss will be capital gain or loss and will be long-term capital gain or loss if you have held the ADSs for more than one year. If you are a non-corporate U.S. Holder, such recognized long-term capital gain is generally subject to a reduced rate of United States federal income tax. Limitations may apply to your ability to offset capital losses against ordinary income.

Gain or loss recognized on the sale of ADSs will generally be treated as U.S. source income or loss for foreign tax credit purposes. The use of any foreign tax credits relating to any Russian taxes imposed upon such sale may be limited. You are strongly urged to consult your tax advisors as to the availability of tax credits for any Russian taxes withheld on the sale of ADSs.

***Passive Foreign Investment Company Considerations***

A non-U.S. corporation generally will be a passive foreign investment company (a "PFIC"), in any taxable year in which, after taking into account the income and assets of the corporation and certain subsidiaries pursuant to applicable "look-through" rules, either (i) at least 75% of its gross income is "passive income" or (ii) at least 50% of the average value of its assets is attributable to assets which produce passive income or are held for the production of passive income.

We do not believe that we were a PFIC for the year ended December 31, 2012. However, our possible status as a PFIC must be determined annually and may be dependent in part on the market price of our ADSs, which may be volatile. Therefore, our possible status as a PFIC may be subject to change. Thus there can be no assurance that we will not be treated as a PFIC in our current taxable year or in the future. If we were to be treated as a PFIC, U.S. Holders generally would be required to pay additional taxes on certain distributions and gains on sales or other dispositions (including pledges) of the ADSs, at tax rates that may be higher than those otherwise applicable. You should consult your tax advisors regarding the application of the PFIC rules to your investment in the ADSs.

***Information Reporting and Backup Withholding***

Dividend payments with respect to ADSs and proceeds from the sale or exchange of ADSs may be subject to information reporting to the IRS and possible U.S. backup withholding. Backup withholding will not apply, however, to a U.S. Holder who furnishes a correct taxpayer identification number and makes any other required certification or who is otherwise exempt from backup withholding. U.S. Holders who are required to establish their exempt status generally must provide such certification on IRS Form W-9. U.S. Holders should consult their tax advisors regarding the application of the U.S. information reporting and backup withholding rules.

Backup withholding is not an additional tax. Amounts withheld as backup withholding may be credited against your U.S. federal income tax liability, and you may obtain a refund of any excess amounts withheld under the backup withholding rules by timely filing the appropriate claim for refund with the IRS and furnishing any required information.

***Additional Reporting Requirements***

Certain U.S. Holders who are individuals may be required to report information relating to an interest in the ADSs, subject to certain exceptions (including an exception for ADSs held in accounts

Table of Contents

maintained by certain financial institutions). U.S. Holders should consult their tax advisors regarding the effect, if any, of this requirement on their ownership and disposition of the ADSs.

**F. Dividends and Paying Agents**

Not applicable.

**G. Statement by Experts**

Not applicable.

**H. Documents on Display**

The documents that are exhibits to or incorporated by reference in this document can be read at the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20549. You may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1- 800-SEC-0330 or, from outside the United States, at 1-202- 942-8090. Copies may also be obtained from the SEC website at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov). Information about Mobile TeleSystems OJSC is also available on the Internet at [www.mtsgsm.com](http://www.mtsgsm.com). Information included in our website does not form part of this document.

**I. Subsidiary Information**

Not applicable.

**Item 11. *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk***

We are exposed to market risk from changes in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates. We are subject to market risk deriving from changes in interest rates, which may affect the cost of our financing. Foreign exchange risks exist to the extent our revenues, costs and debt obligations are denominated in currencies other than the functional currency in the countries of our operations.

***Interest Rate Risk***

We are exposed to variability in cash flow risk related to our variable interest rate debt and exposed to fair value risk related to our fixed-rate notes. As of December 31, 2014, RUB 45,925 million, or 16.2% of our total indebtedness, including capital leases, was variable interest rate debt, while RUB 237,070 million, or 83.8% of our total indebtedness, including capital leases, was fixed interest rate debt.

The table below presents principal cash flows and related weighted average interest rates for indebtedness by contractual maturity dates as of December 31, 2014.

Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

Table of Contents

**Contractual Maturity Date as of December 31, 2014:**

Indebtedness	Currency	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Thereafter	Total	Annual interest rate
									(Actual interest rate at December 31, 2014)
(amounts in millions of RUB)									
<b>Variable debt</b>									
Skandinaviska Enskilda Banken AB	USD	1,781	1,645	762				4,188	0.59%
Skandinaviska Enskilda Banken AB	USD	329	329	329				987	2.16%
LBBW	EUR	319	319	319				956	1.69%
Credit Agricole Corporate Bank and BNP Paribas	EUR	473	473	473	473			1,893	1.82%
Calyon, ING Bank N.V, Nordea Bank AB, Raiffeisen Zentralbank Osterreich AG	USD	7,018	7,018	7,018	7,018	5,616	4,214	37,901	1.51%
<b>Total variable debt</b>		<b>9,920</b>	<b>9,784</b>	<b>8,900</b>	<b>7,491</b>	<b>5,616</b>	<b>4,214</b>	<b>45,925</b>	
<b>Weighted average interest rate</b>		<b>1.46%</b>	<b>1.48%</b>	<b>1.51%</b>	<b>1.52%</b>	<b>1.51%</b>	<b>1.51%</b>	<b>1.50%</b>	
<b>Fixed-rate notes</b>									
MTS OJSC Notes due 2018	RUB	136						136	12.00%
MTS OJSC Notes due 2015	RUB	7,541						7,541	7.75%
MTS OJSC Notes due 2016	RUB		1,788					1,788	8.75%
MTS International Notes due 2020	USD						35,057	35,057	8.63%
MTS OJSC Notes due 2017	RUB			10,000				10,000	8.70%
MTS OJSC Notes due 2020	RUB	15,000						15,000	8.15%
MTS International Notes due 2023	USD						26,920	26,920	5.00%
MTS OJSC Notes due 2015 (A series)	RUB	12						12	0.67%
MTS OJSC Notes due 2015 - 2016 (B series)	RUB	12						12	0.54%
MTS OJSC Notes due 2021 - 2022 (V series)	RUB						12	12	0.25%
MTS OJSC Notes due 2023	RUB				10,000			10,000	8.25%
<b>Fixed-rate bank loans</b>									
Sberbank	RUB	5,000	20,000	15,000	15,000	20,000	5,000	80,000	8.45%
Sberbank	RUB		4,000	16,000				20,000	9.96%
Sberbank	RUB				6,000	6,000	13,000	25,000	12.05%
Ekvant	RUB	36	36	36	36	36	174	356	0.00%
ASHIB	AMD	176						176	13.00%
Uzbektelecom	UZS	58						58	10.00%
Aloqabank	UZS					42	716	758	12.00%
SMM	RUB	395						395	0.00%
SMM	RUB	160						160	15.00%
SMM	USD	164						164	15.00%
Repo 12% Notes due 2018	RUB	1,760						1,760	19.36%
Repo 12% Notes due 2018	RUB	1,665						1,665	19.36%
Other	RUB	100						100	8.25% - 15%
<b>Total fixed debt</b>		<b>32,215</b>	<b>25,824</b>	<b>41,036</b>	<b>31,036</b>	<b>26,078</b>	<b>80,879</b>	<b>237,070</b>	
<b>Weighted average interest rate</b>		<b>8.71%</b>	<b>8.62%</b>	<b>8.61%</b>	<b>8.46%</b>	<b>8.29%</b>	<b>7.97%</b>	<b>8.44%</b>	





Table of Contents

We would have experienced an additional interest expense of approximately RUB 302.6 million on an annual basis as a result of a hypothetical increase in the LIBOR/EURIBOR by 1% over the current rate as of December 31, 2014. The average rates of EUR and USD for the year 2014 were used in calculations.

The fair value of our publicly traded fixed-rate notes as of December 31, 2014, ranged from 75.00% to 98.00% of the notional amount. As of December 31, 2014, the difference between the carrying value and the fair value of other fixed rate debt, including capital lease obligations, was immaterial. For details of our fixed-rate debt, refer to Note 17 of our audited consolidated financial statements. The fair value of variable rate debt approximates its carrying value.

We use derivative financial instruments to reduce our exposure to adverse fluctuations in interest rates. We primarily focus on reducing risk caused by the fluctuations in interest rates for our variable-rate long-term debt. According to our policy, we have entered into various variable-to-fixed interest rate swap agreements. The table below presents a summary of our variable-to-fixed interest rate swap agreements.

Type of derivative	Maturity	Notional amount (at inception)	Mark to Market Value as of December 31, 2014
(amounts in millions of Rubles)			
<b>Variable-to-fixed Interest Rate Swap Agreements</b>			
Swap agreement with VTB to pay a variable interest rate of 6m LIBOR and receive a fixed interest rate of 8.25%	March 2018	2,000	4.8
Swap agreement with Sberbank to pay a variable interest rate of 3m LIBOR and receive a fixed interest rate of 8.45%	March 2020	7,000	(8.2)
Swap agreement with BNP Paribas to pay a variable interest rate of 6m LIBOR and receive a fixed interest rate of 8.25%	March 2018	5,000	(27.3)
Swap agreements with Rosbank to pay a variable interest rate of 6m LIBOR and receive a fixed interest rate of 8.25%	March 2018	3,000	2.9

As of December 31, 2014, approximately 0.12% of our variable interest rate debt was hedged against interest rate risks. We continue to consider other financial instruments available to us to mitigate exposure to interest rate fluctuations.

We have also entered into several cross-currency interest rate swap agreements. These contracts, which hedge the risk of both interest rate and currency fluctuations, assume periodical exchanges of both principal and interest payments from ruble-denominated amounts to U.S. dollar- and euro-denominated amounts, to be exchanged at specified rates. The rates were determined with reference to the market spot rates upon issuance. These contracts also include an interest rate swap of a fixed U.S. dollar- and euro-denominated interest rate to a fixed ruble-denominated interest rate. All of our cross-currency interest rate swaps agreements mature in 2019 and 2020.

Table of Contents

The table below presents a summary of our cross-currency interest rate swap agreements:

Type of derivative	Maturity	Notional amount (at inception) (amounts in millions of Rubles)	Mark to Market Value as of December 31, 2014
<b>Cross-currency Interest Rate Swap Agreements</b>			
Swap agreements with Barclays Bank to pay a fixed rates of 7.3675% to 7.3775% and receive a variable interest rate of 6m LIBOR	September 2020	11,252	5,329
Swap agreements with Merrill Lynch to pay a fixed rates of 7.095% and receive a variable interest rate of 6m LIBOR	September 2020	4,180	1,997
Swap agreements with Sberbank to pay a fixed rates of 7.0950% and receive a variable interest rate of 6m LIBOR	September 2020	4,219	1,812
Swap agreements with Rosbank to pay a fixed rates of 7.253% to 7.2575% and receive a variable interest rate of 6m LIBOR	September 2020	14,065	6,383
Swap agreements with Barclays Bank to pay a fixed rates of 8.12% to 8.295% and receive a variable interest rate of 6m LIBOR	June 2019	11,252	4,361
Swap agreements with HSBC bank Plc to pay a fixed rates of 8.13% and receive a variable interest rate of 6m LIBOR	June 2019	1,406	542
Swap agreement with VTB bank to pay a fixed rate of 8.2% and receive a variable interest rate of 6m LIBOR	June 2019	4,219	1,512
<b>Foreign Currency Risk</b>			

The following tables show, for the periods indicated, certain information regarding the exchange rate between the ruble and the U.S. dollar, based on data published by the CBR. These rates may differ from the actual rates used in preparation of our financial statements and other financial information provided herein.

Years ended December 31,	Rubles per U.S. dollar			Period End
	High	Low	Average <sup>(1)</sup>	
2010	31.78	28.93	30.37	30.48
2011	32.68	27.26	29.38	32.20
2012	34.04	28.95	30.97	30.37
2013	33.47	29.93	31.98	32.73
2014	67.79	32.66	39.34	56.26

(1)

The average of the exchange rates on the last business day of each full month during the relevant period.

	Rubles per U.S. dollar	
	High	Low
July 2014	35.73	33.84
August 2014	36.93	35.44
September 2014	39.39	36.80
October 2014	43.39	39.38
November 2014	49.32	41.96
December 2014	67.79	49.32
January 2015	68.93	56.24
February 2015	69.66	60.71
March 2015	62.68	56.43

Source: CBR.

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

### Table of Contents

The exchange rate between the ruble and the U.S. dollar quoted by the CBR for April 18, 2015 was 50.52 rubles per U.S. dollar.

The following tables show, for the periods indicated, certain information regarding the exchange rate between the hryvnia and the U.S. dollar, based on data published by the National Bank of Ukraine. These rates may differ from the actual rates used in preparation of our financial statements and other financial information provided herein.

Years ended December 31,	Hryvnias per U.S. dollar			
	High	Low	Average <sup>(1)</sup>	Period End
2010	8.01	7.89	7.94	7.96
2011	7.99	7.93	7.97	7.99
2012	7.99	7.98	7.99	7.99
2013	7.99	7.99	7.99	7.99
2014	15.85	7.99	12.19	15.77

(1) The average of the exchange rates on the last business day of each full month during the relevant period.

	Hryvnias per U.S. dollar	
	High	Low
July 2014	12.10	11.64
August 2014	13.89	11.96
September 2014	13.60	12.53
October 2014	12.97	12.93
November 2014	15.77	12.95
December 2014	15.85	14.97
January 2015	16.16	15.75
February 2015	30.01	16.15
March 2015	27.76	21.55

Source: National Bank of Ukraine.

The exchange rate between the hryvnia and the U.S. dollar quoted by the National Bank of Ukraine for April 20, 2015 was 21.05 hryvnias per U.S. dollar.

We have exposure to fluctuations in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to the Russian ruble, Ukrainian hryvnia, Turkmen manat and Armenian dram, which are the functional currencies in our countries of operation. As a result, we may face translation losses, increased debt service payments and increased capital expenditures and operating costs should these currencies depreciate against the U.S. dollar.

In 2009, we entered into two foreign currency option agreements to manage our exposure to changes in currency exchange rates related to our U.S. dollar-denominated debt obligations. Under the agreements, we have put and call option rights to acquire \$80.0 million of U.S. dollars at rates within a range specified in the contracts. The first option agreement to acquire \$40.0 million expired in 2010 and was not exercised, whereas the second option agreement to acquire \$40.0 million expired unexercised in April 2011. In 2010, we additionally entered into foreign currency option agreements to manage our exposure to changes in currency exchange rates related to our U.S. dollar-denominated eurobonds. Under these agreements, we had put and call option rights to acquire \$250.0 million at rates within a range specified in the contracts. These contracts were not designated for hedge accounting purposes and expired unexercised in January 2012.

Table of Contents

A significant part of our capital expenditures, borrowings and certain operating costs (roaming expenses, cost of customer equipment and other costs) are either denominated in U.S. dollars or tightly linked to the U.S. dollar exchange rate, and our U.S. dollar-denominated debt represents our primary future risk of exchange loss in U.S. dollar terms. A decline in the value of the ruble, hryvnia, manat or dram versus the U.S. dollar would result in currency remeasurement losses as the amount of these currencies required to repay U.S. dollar-denominated debt increases. In addition, if any of the ruble, hryvnia, manat or dram declines against the U.S. dollar and tariffs cannot be maintained for competitive or other reasons, our revenues and operating margins could be materially adversely affected and we could have difficulty repaying or refinancing our U.S. dollar-denominated indebtedness and financing our capital expenditures and operating costs.

A portion of our capital expenditures, borrowings and certain operating costs (roaming expenses, costs of customer equipment and other costs) are also denominated in euros. We currently do not hedge against the risk of decline in the ruble, hryvnia, manat or dram against the euro because settlements denominated in euros are not significant.

We would experience a currency exchange loss of RUB 28,980 million on our U.S. dollar-denominated net monetary liabilities as a result of a hypothetical 20.0% increase in the ruble/hryvnia/manat/dram to U.S. dollar exchange rate at December 31, 2014. We would experience a currency exchange gain of RUB 4,767 million in the fair value of our euro-denominated net monetary liabilities as a result of a hypothetical 20.0% increase in the ruble/hryvnia/manat/dram to euro exchange rate at December 31, 2014. We are unable to estimate future loss of earnings as a result of such changes.

Table of Contents**Item 12. Description of Securities Other Than Equity Securities**

(Only Items 12.D.3-4 are applicable.)

**D. American Depositary Shares****3.****Fees and charges that a holder of American Depositary Receipts may have to pay, either directly or indirectly.**

<b>Category</b>	<b>Depositary Actions</b>	<b>Associated Fee</b>
(a) Depositing or substituting the underlying shares	Each person to whom ADSs are issued, including, without limitation, issuances against deposits of shares, issuances in respect of share distributions, rights and other distributions, issuances pursuant to a stock split declared by the Company, or issuances pursuant to a merger, exchange of securities or any other transaction or event affecting the ADSs or the deposited securities	\$5.00 for each 100 ADSs (or portion thereof)
(b) Receiving or distributing dividends	Distribution of stock dividends	\$5.00 for each 100 ADSs (or portion thereof)
(c) Selling or exercising rights	Distribution of cash Distribution or sale of securities, the fee being in an amount equal to the fee for the execution and delivery of ADSs which would have been charged as a result of the deposit of such securities	\$0.02 or less per ADS (or portion thereof) \$5.00 for each 100 ADSs (or portion thereof)
(d) Withdrawing an underlying security	Acceptance of ADRs surrendered for withdrawal of deposited securities or cancellation or reduction of ADSs for any other reason	\$5.00 for each 100 ADSs (or portion thereof)
(e) Transferring, splitting or grouping receipts	Transfers, combining or grouping of depositary receipts	\$1.50 per ADS

Table of Contents

Category	Depository Actions	Associated Fee
(f) General depository services, particularly those charged on an annual basis	Other services performed by the depository in administering the ADRs	\$0.02 per ADS (or portion thereof) per calendar year which may be charged on a periodic basis during each calendar year and shall be assessed against holders of ADSs as of the record date or record dates set by the depository during each calendar year and shall be payable at the sole discretion of the depository by billing such holders or by deducting such charge from one or more cash dividends or other cash distributions
(g) Expenses of the depository	Custodian and share register related issues, including, without limitation, any inspections of the share register maintained by the Russian share registrar or other confirmation of holdings of deposited securities	\$0.01 or less per ADS (or portion thereof) per year which fee shall be assessed against holders of record as of the date set by the depository not more often than once each calendar year
	Certain fees and expenses incurred by the depository bank and certain taxes and governmental charges in connection with:	Charges to be assessed against holders as of the record date or dates set by the depository and payable at the sole discretion of the depository by billing such holders or by deducting such charge from one or more cash dividends or other cash distributions
	compliance with foreign exchange control regulations or any law or regulation relating to foreign investment;	
	depository or its custodian's compliance with applicable law, rule or regulation;	
	stock transfer or other taxes and other governmental charges;	
	cable, telex, facsimile transmission or delivery charges;	

Table of Contents

Category	Depository Actions	Associated Fee
	if applicable, transfer or registration fees for the registration or transfer of deposited securities on any applicable register in connection with the deposit or withdrawal of deposited securities (which are payable by persons depositing shares or holders withdrawing deposited securities) ;	
	expenses of the depository in connection with the conversion of foreign currency into U.S. dollars (which are paid out of such foreign currency);	
	any other charge payable by depository or its agents including, without limitation, the custodian, or the agents of the depository's agents in connection with the servicing of the shares or other deposited securities	

**4. All fees and other direct and indirect payments made by the depository to the foreign issuer of the deposited securities.**

The Depository has agreed to reimburse to us or pay on our behalf certain reasonable expenses related to our ADS program and incurred by us in connection with the program (such as NYSE listing fees, legal and accounting fees incurred with preparation of Form 20-F and ongoing SEC compliance and listing requirements, investor relations expenses, among others). The amounts the Depository reimbursed or paid are not performe related to the fees collected by the depository from ADS holders.

As part of its service to us, the Depository has agreed to waive fees for the standard costs associated with the administration of our ADS program, associated operating expenses and investor relations advice estimated to total \$0.2 million.



Table of Contents

**PART II**

**Item 13. *Defaults, Dividend Arrearages and Delinquencies***

None.

**Item 14. *Material Modifications to the Rights of Security Holders and Use of Proceeds***

None.

**Item 15. *Controls and Procedures***

(a)

*Disclosure Controls and Procedures.*

As of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 20-F, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our CEO and CFO, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934).

Based on this evaluation, our CEO and CFO concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective, as of December 31, 2014, to provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed in filings and submissions under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified by the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our CEO and CFO, as appropriate to allow timely decisions about required disclosure.

There are inherent limitations to the effectiveness of any system of disclosure controls and procedures, including the possibility of human error and the circumvention or overriding of the controls and procedures. Accordingly, even effective disclosure controls and procedures can only provide reasonable assurance of achieving their control objectives.

(b)

*Management's annual report on internal control over financial reporting.*

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Our internal control system was designed to provide reasonable assurance to our management and board of directors regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Internal control over financial reporting refers to a process designed by, or under the supervision of, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer and effected by our board of directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and includes those policies and procedures that:

Pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets;

Provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and members of our board of directors; and

Provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on our financial statements.



Table of Contents

Management evaluated the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014 based on the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, or COSO, in Internal Control Integrated Framework (2013).

As a result of management's evaluation of our internal control over financial reporting, management concludes that internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014 was effective.

The effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, has been audited and assessed as effective by independent registered public accounting firm ZAO Deloitte & Touche CIS, who has also audited and reported on our consolidated financial statements.

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the year-ended December 31, 2014 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

(c)

*Attestation Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.*

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of OJSC Mobile TeleSystems:

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of Mobile TeleSystems OJSC and subsidiaries (the "Group") as of December 31, 2014, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Group's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Group's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Table of Contents

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Group maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on the criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2014 and our report dated March 27, 2015 expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements.

/s/ ZAO Deloitte & Touche CIS  
Moscow, Russia  
March 27, 2015

(d) *Changes in internal control over financial reporting.*

Management has evaluated, with the participation of our CEO and CFO, whether any changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by this annual report have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting. Based on the evaluation we conducted, management has concluded that no such changes have occurred.

**Item 16A. Audit Committee Financial Expert**

Our Board of Directors has determined that Thomas Holtrop is an "audit committee financial expert" as defined in Item 16A of Form 20-F. Mr. Holtrop is "independent" as defined in Rule 10A-3 under the Exchange Act and current New York Stock Exchange listing rules applicable to us. For a description of Mr. Holtrop's experience, please see "Item 6. Directors, Senior Management and Employees - A. Directors and Senior Management - Key Biographies."

**Item 16B. Code of Ethics**

The current version of our Code of Ethics was adopted on February 13, 2014. Our Code of Ethics applies to all of our officers, directors and employees. The new Code of Ethics did not substantively alter any of its requirements as compared with the code of ethics that was in effect prior to the approval of the new Code of Ethics.

A copy of our Code of Ethics is available on our website at [www.mtsgsm.com](http://www.mtsgsm.com).

**Item 16C. Principal Accountant Fees and Services**

ZAO Deloitte & Touche CIS has served as our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for each of the fiscal years in the two-year period ended December 31, 2013 and 2014, respectively, for which audited financial statements appear in this Annual Report on Form 20-F. The following table

Table of Contents

presents the aggregate fees billed for professional services and other services by ZAO Deloitte & Touche CIS and its affiliates in 2013 and 2014, respectively.

	<b>Year ended December 31,</b>	
	<b>2014</b>	<b>2013</b>
	<b>(in thousands of Russian rubles)</b>	
Audit Fees	116,960	130,196
Audit-Related Fees	11,106	3,460
Tax Fees	750	1,098
All Other Fees		949
<b>Total</b>	<b>128,816</b>	<b>135,703</b>

**Audit Fees**

The Audit Fees for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 were for the reviews and integrated audits of our consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP, reviews and audits of the financial statements of our public subsidiaries prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP, statutory audits. In the year ended December 31, 2013 there were services associated with the documents issued in connection with securities offerings. Integrated audits include all services necessary to form an opinion on our consolidated financial statements and to report on our internal controls over financial reporting.

**Audit-Related Fees**

The Audit-Related Fees for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 mainly included fees for agreed-upon procedures related to audited financial statements and procedures to support ongoing investigations related to our former subsidiary in Uzbekistan, Uzdurobita.

**Tax Fees**

The Tax Fees for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, include the fees principally related to tax compliance services.

**All Other Fees**

All Other Fees for the year ended December 31, 2014, primarily relate to a seminar on corporate governance and quality review of segregation of duties for purchasing cycle in MTS Ukraine. No such services were provided during the year ended December 31, 2014.

**Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures**

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 required us to implement a pre-approval process for all engagements with our independent public accountants. In compliance with Sarbanes-Oxley requirements pertaining to auditor independence, our Audit Committee pre-approves the engagement terms and fees of ZAO Deloitte & Touche CIS and its affiliates for all audit and non-audit services, including tax services. Our Audit Committee pre-approved the engagement terms and fees of ZAO Deloitte & Touche CIS and its affiliates for all services performed for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014.

**Item 16D. Exemption from the Listing Standards for Audit Committees**

Not Applicable.

Table of Contents

**Item 16E. Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers**

We did not repurchase any ADSs in the years ended December 31, 2012, 2013 and 2014.

A total of 8,000 MTS ordinary shares representing 0.0004% of our issued share capital were repurchased for RUB 1.96 million (\$70,000 as of March 31, 2011) during 2011 pursuant to our shareholders' right to demand buy-out following our decision on merger of our subsidiaries into MTS in accordance with applicable laws. See "Item 3. Key information A. Selected Financial Data." These shares were sold pursuant to the requirements of applicable Russian legislation in 2012.

A total of 90,881 MTS ordinary shares representing 0.004% of our issued share capital were repurchased for RUB 19.7 million during 2013 pursuant to our shareholders' right to demand buy-out following our decision on merger of our subsidiaries into MTS in accordance with applicable laws. These shares were sold pursuant to the requirements of applicable Russian legislation in March 2014.

A total of 9,935 MTS ordinary shares representing 0.0005% of our issued share capital were repurchased for RUB 2.1 million in August 2014 pursuant to our shareholders' right to demand buy-out following our decision on merger of our subsidiaries into MTS in accordance with applicable laws.

See also "Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions A. Major Shareholders."

**Item 16F. Change in Registrant's Certifying Accountant**

Not applicable.

**Item 16G. Corporate Governance**

We are a company organized under the laws of the Russian Federation and qualify as a foreign private issuer as such term is defined in Rule 3b-4 of the Exchange Act. In accordance with the NYSE corporate governance rules, listed companies that are foreign private issuers are permitted in some circumstances to follow home country practice in lieu of the provisions of the corporate governance rules contained in Section 303A of the NYSE Listed Company Manual that are applicable to U.S. companies. In addition, foreign private issuers listed on the NYSE must disclose any significant ways in which their corporate governance practices differ from those followed by U.S. companies listed on the NYSE. With regard to our corporate governance practices, these differences can be summarized as follows:

For U.S. companies, the NYSE standards require that a majority of directors be independent, as determined by the board. Russian law does not require that a majority of our directors be independent. Of our nine directors, three have been determined by the board to be independent in accordance with the independence standards set forth in SEC Rule 10A-3 and Section 303A.02 of the NYSE Listed Company Manual.

For U.S. companies, the NYSE standards require that the audit committee have a minimum of three members. Russian law does not contain such a requirement. Our audit committee comprises of three members.

For U.S. companies, the NYSE standards require that non-management directors meet at regularly scheduled executive sessions without management. Russian law does not contain such a requirement. However, our audit committee and remuneration and nomination committee comprises of independent directors, who meet on a regular basis in connection with their work on these committees.

For U.S. companies, the NYSE standards require that listed companies have a nominating/corporate governance committee and a compensation committee, each composed entirely of

Table of Contents

independent directors and having a written charter specifying the committee's purpose and responsibilities, as well as annual performance evaluations of the committee.

We do not currently have a nominating/corporate governance committee. We have a corporate conduct and ethics committee comprising of directors and members of management that is responsible for developing and implementing standards for corporate governance and ethics and making recommendations to the Board of Directors on developing our strategy in the area of corporate governance and ethics. This committee is also responsible for conducting annual performance evaluations of the Board of Directors.

We have a remuneration and nomination committee comprising of three independent directors. This committee functions pursuant to bylaws approved by the Board of Directors specifying the committee's purpose, duties and responsibilities. The committee is primarily responsible for recommending appointments to key managerial posts, developing a set of requirements and criteria for directors and management executives and developing a remuneration structure and compensation levels for the Board of Directors, the audit committee and management executives (including the CEO).

For U.S. companies, the NYSE standards require that shareholders be given the opportunity to vote on all equity compensation plans and material revisions. Under Russian law, such approval from shareholders is not required, and our equity compensation plans and material revisions thereto are currently approved by the Board of Directors.

For U.S. companies, the NYSE standards require the adoption and disclosure of corporate governance guidelines addressing certain subjects. Our corporate governance guidelines are consistent with what is required under Russian law and are set forth in our Charter, in the bylaw on our Board of Directors and in the bylaws of our various committees.

In accordance with the corporate governance rules of the NYSE applicable to foreign private issuers, we also disclose these differences between our corporate governance practices and those required by the NYSE of listed U.S. companies on our Internet website at [www.mtsgsm.com](http://www.mtsgsm.com).

Table of Contents

**PART III**

**Item 17. *Financial Statements***

See instead Item 18.

**Item 18. *Financial Statements***

The following financial statements, together with the report of ZAO Deloitte & Touche CIS, are filed as part of this annual report on Form 20-F.

	<b>Page</b>
<u>REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM</u>	<u>F-1</u>
<u>CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2014 AND 2013 AND FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012:</u>	
<u>Consolidated statements of financial position as of December 31, 2014 and 2013</u>	<u>F-2 - F-3</u>
<u>Consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>F-4</u>
<u>Consolidated statements of changes in shareholders' equity for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>F-5</u>
<u>Consolidated statements of cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>F-6 - F-7</u>
<u>Notes to the consolidated financial statements</u>	<u>F-8 - F-74</u>



## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

### Table of Contents

#### **Item 19. Exhibits**

<b>Exhibits No.</b>	<b>Description</b>
1.1	Charter of Mobile TeleSystems OJSC, restated version No. 11, as approved by the General Meeting of Shareholders of Mobile TeleSystems OJSC held on June 25, 2013 (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 1.1 to the Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.*
1.2	Revision and Addition to the Charter of Mobile TeleSystems OJSC (Version No. 11), as approved by the resolution of the Board of Directors of Mobile TeleSystems OJSC held on October 24, 2014 (English translation).
1.3	Alterations and Amendments to the Charter of Mobile TeleSystems OJSC, as approved by the Extraordinary General Meeting of Shareholders of Mobile TeleSystems OJSC held on June 24, 2013 (English translation).
1.4	Code of Corporate Conduct and Business Ethics of Mobile TeleSystems OJSC approved by the Board of Directors of Mobile TeleSystems OJSC on February 13, 2014 is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 1.2 to the Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
2.1	Deposit Agreement, dated as of July 6, 2000, by and among, MTS, Morgan Guaranty Trust Company of New York (as depositary), and holders of ADRs is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2000, on Form 20-F.
2.2	Amendment No. 1 to Deposit Agreement is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit (a)(2) to Form F-6 (Registration No 333-12008).
2.3	Amendment No. 2 to Deposit Agreement is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit (a)(3) to Form F-6 (Registration No 333-121240).
2.4	Amendment No. 3 to Deposit Agreement is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit (a)(4) to Form F-6 (333-145190).
2.5	Amendment No. 4 to Deposit Agreement is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit (a)(5) to Form F-6 (Registration No. 333-166178).
4.1	Indenture dated as of January 28, 2005 between Mobile TeleSystems Finance S.A., Mobile TeleSystems OJSC and JPMorgan Chase Bank is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004, on Form 20-F.
4.2	Indenture dated as of October 14, 2003 between Mobile TeleSystems Finance S.A., Mobile TeleSystems OJSC and JPMorgan Chase Bank is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of The Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003, on Form 20-F.
4.3	MTS License No. 50789 for provision of mobile radiotelephone communication services using IMT-2000/UMTS mobile radiotelephone networks in the Russian Federation (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.53 to the Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006, on Form 20-F.

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

### Table of Contents

<b>Exhibits No.</b>	<b>Description</b>
4.4	MTS Ukraine License No. 720189 for provision of communication services using the NMT-450, GSM-900, PSN and DCS-1800 networks (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.54 to the Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006, on Form 20-F.
4.5	MTS Ukraine License No. 120375 for provision of communication services using the CDMA-450 network (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.55 to the Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006, on Form 20-F.
4.6	MTS License No. 97617 for provision of mobile radiotelephone communication services in the 900/1800 MHz band in the territory of the Tatarstan Republic (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.23 to the Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, on Form 20-F.
4.7	MTS License No. 99903 for provision of mobile radiotelephone communication services in the 900/1800 MHz band in the territory of the Bashkortostan Republic (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.24 to the Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, on Form 20-F.
4.8	MTS License No. 96039 for provision of mobile radiotelephone communication services in the 900/1800 MHz band in the territory of the Krasnodar region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.25 to the Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, on Form 20-F.
4.9	MTS License No. 765 for provision of mobile radiotelephone communication services in the 900/1800 MHz band in the territory of the Armenia Republic (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.50 to the Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, on Form 20-F.
4.10	MTS License No. 94560 for provision of leased communications circuits services in the territory of the Russian Federation (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.35 to the Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, on Form 20-F.
4.11	MTS Ukraine License No. 546038 for provision of mobile communication services with the right for telecommunication networks maintenance and operation and for telecommunication channels leasing throughout Ukraine (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.33 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.12	MTS Ukraine License No. 269377 for provision of mobile communication services with the right for telecommunication networks maintenance and operation and for telecommunication channels leasing throughout Ukraine (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.34 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

### Table of Contents

<b>Exhibits No.</b>	<b>Description</b>
4.13	MTS Ukraine License No. 286185 for construction and maintenance of communication networks with movable facilities and provision of services of network usage in Ukraine (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.35 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.14	MTS License No. 92135 for provision of local telephone communication services using payphones in the territory of Moscow region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.37 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.15	MTS License No. 94369 for provision of local telephone communication services using payphones in the territory of Moscow (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.38 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.16	MTS License No. 105546 for provision of telecommunication services for provision of communication channels in the territory of Ingushetia Republic, Kabardino-Balkar Republic, Karachaevo-Cherkesia Republic and Volgograd region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.40 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.17	MTS License No. 105547 for provision of local telephone communication services, excluding local telephone communication services using payphones and shared-access facilities in the territory of Buryatiya Republic (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.41 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.18	MTS License No. 105548 for provision of local telephone communication services, excluding local telephone communication services using payphones and shared-access facilities in the territory of Saratov region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.42 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.19	MTS License No. 105966 for provision of mobile radiotelephone communication services in the territory of Belgorod Region, Bryansk Region, Vladimir Region, Voronezh Region, Ivanovo Region, Kaluga Region, Kostroma Region, Kursk Region, Lipetsk Region, Nizhny Novgorod Region, Orel Region, Ryazan Region, Smolensk Region, Tambov Region, Tver Region, Tula region and Yaroslavl region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.43 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

### Table of Contents

<b>Exhibits No.</b>	<b>Description</b>
4.20	MTS License No. 105967 for provision of mobile radiotelephone communication services in the territory of Buryatiya Republic, Sakha Republic (Yakutia), Trans-Baikal territory, Kamchatka region, Primorsky region, Khabarovsk region, Amur region, Irkutsk region, Magadan region, Sakhalin region, Jewish Autonomous Region, Chukotsk Autonomous region and provision of mobile radiotelephone communication services (IMT-2000/UMTS) in the territory of the Khabarovsk region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.44 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.21	MTS License No. 105968 for provision of mobile radiotelephone communication services in the territory of Udmurt Republic, Perm Region, Kirov Region, Kurgan Region, Orenburg Region, Sverdlovsk Region, Tyumen Region, Chelyabinsk Region, Khanty Mansiysk Autonomous region-Yugra and Yamalo-Nenetsk Autonomous region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.45 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.22	MTS License No. 105969 for provision of mobile radiotelephone communication services of land mobile radio communication network GSM-900/1800 and IMT-2000/UMTS (radiofrequency 890-915 MHz, 935-960 MHz, 1710- 1785 MHz, 1805-1880 MHz) in the territory of the Moscow (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.46 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.23	MTS License No. 105970 for provision of mobile radiotelephone communication services (GSM-900/1800) in the territory of the Karelia Republic, Arkhangelsk Region, Vologda Region, Kaliningrad Region, Leningrad Region, Murmansk Region, Nizhny Novgorod Region, Pskov Region, St. Petersburg and Nenets Autonomous District region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.47 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.24	MTS License No. 105971 for provision of mobile radiotelephone communication services (GSM-900/1800) in the territory of the Adygeya Republic (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.48 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.25	MTS License No. 108058 for provision of data communication services excluding data communication services for the purposes of voice data communication in the territory of the Krasnoyarsk region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.49 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.26	MTS License No. 108059 for provision of mobile radiotelephone communication services (GSM-900/1800) in the territory of the Krasnoyarsk region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.50 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

### Table of Contents

<b>Exhibits No.</b>	<b>Description</b>
4.27	MTS License No. 108060 for provision of telecommunication services for provision of communication channels in the territory of the Tatarstan Republic (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.51 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.28	MTS License No. 108061 for provision of telecommunication services for provision of communication channels in the territory of the Kalmykia Republic and Severnaya Osetia-Alania Republic (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.52 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.29	MTS License No. 108062 for provision of telecommunication services for provision of communication channels in the territory of the Krasnoyarsk region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.53 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.30	MTS License No. 108063 for provision of telecommunication services for provision of communication channels in the territory of the Republic of Bashkortostan (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.54 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.31	MTS License No. 108064 for provision of telecommunication services for provision of communication channels in the territory of the Kemerovo region and Tomsk region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.55 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.32	MTS License No. 108065 for provision of telematic communication services in the territory of the Krasnoyarsk region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.56 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.33	MTS License No. 108069 for provision of local telephone communication services using payphones in the territory of the Moscow (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.57 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.34	MTS License No. 108752 for provision of data communication services for the purposes of voice data communication in the territory of the Sverdlovsk region and Khanty Mansiysk Autonomous region-Yugra (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.59 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.35	MTS License No. 108753 for provision of data communication services for the purposes of voice data communication in the territory of the Nenets Autonomous District (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.60 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

### Table of Contents

<b>Exhibits No.</b>	<b>Description</b>
4.36	MTS License No. 108754 for provision of data communication services for the purposes of voice data communication in the territory of the Tatarstan Republic (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.61 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.37	MTS License No. 108755 for provision of data communication services for the purposes of voice data communication in the territory of the Vladimir region and Ryazan region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.62 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.38	MTS License No. 108756 for provision of data communication services for the purposes of voice data communication in the territory of the Jewish Autonomous region and Chukotsk Autonomous region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.63 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.39	MTS License No. 109133 for provision of intra-zone telephone communication services in the territory of the Khanty Mansiysk Autonomous region-Yugra (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.64 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.40	MTS License No. 109136 for provision of local telephone communication services, excluding local telephone communication services using payphones and shared-access facilities in the territory of the Khanty Mansiysk Autonomous region-Yugra (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.65 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.41	MTS License No. 109138 for provision of fixed line network mobile radio communication services in the territory of the Khanty Mansiysk Autonomous region-Yugra (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.66 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.42	MTS License No. 109139 for provision of data communication services for the purposes of voice data communication in the territory of the Khanty Mansiysk Autonomous region-Yugra (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.67 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.43	MTS License No. 109413 for provision of mobile radiotelephone communication services (IMT-MC-450) in the territory of the Khanty Mansiysk Autonomous region-Yugra (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.68 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

### Table of Contents

<b>Exhibits No.</b>	<b>Description</b>
4.44	MTS License No. 109493 for provision of local telephone communication services, excluding local telephone communication services using payphones and shared-access facilities in the territory of the Chuvashia Republic (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.69 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.45	MTS License No. 109494 for provision of local telephone communication services, excluding local telephone communication services using payphones and shared-access facilities in the territory of the Mari-El Republic (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.70 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.46	MTS License No. 110499 for provision of local telephone communication services, excluding local telephone communication services using payphones and shared-access facilities in the territory of the Tyva Republic (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.71 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.47	MTS License No. 110698 for provision of air broadcasting communication services (2,500-2,700 MHz) in the territory of Nizhny Novgorod (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.73 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.48	MTS License No. 110704 for provision of local telephone communication services, excluding local telephone communication services using payphones and shared-access facilities in the territory of Tyumen Region, Yamalo-Nenetsk Autonomous region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.76 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.49	MTS License No. 110707 for provision of intra-zone telephone communication services in the territory of the Perm region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.78 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.50	MTS License No. 110710 for provision of intra-zone telephone communication services in the territory of the Ulyanovsk region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.80 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.51	MTS License No. 110711 for provision of intra-zone telephone communication services in the territory of the Chelyabinsk region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.81 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

### Table of Contents

<b>Exhibits No.</b>	<b>Description</b>
4.52	MTS License No. 110713 for provision of intra-zone telephone communication services in the territory of Tyumen Region, Yamalo-Nenetsk Autonomous region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.82 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.53	MTS License No. 110716 for provision of data communication services for the purposes of voice data communication in the territory of the Perm region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.83 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.54	MTS License No. 110717 for provision of data communication services for the purposes of voice data communication in the territory of the Krasnodar region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.84 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.55	MTS License No. 110718 for provision of fixed line mobile radio communication services in the territory of the Orenburg region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.85 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.56	MTS License No. 110719 for provision of air broadcasting communication services (2,500-2,700 MHz, 24 frequency channels) in the territory of Ivanovo (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.86 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.57	MTS License No. 110721 for provision of public network mobile radio communication services in the territory of the Rostov region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.87 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.58	MTS License No. 110724 for provision of air broadcasting communication services (2,500-2,700 MHz, not more than 21 frequency channel) in the territory of Kaluga (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.88 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.59	MTS License No. 110729 for provision of data communication services for the purposes of voice data communication in the territory of the Udmurt Republic (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.90 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.60	MTS License No. 110736 for provision of local telephone communication services, excluding local telephone communication services using payphones and shared-access facilities in the territory of the Ulyanovsk region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.92 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.



## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

### Table of Contents

<b>Exhibits No.</b>	<b>Description</b>
4.61	MTS License No. 110738 for provision of air broadcasting communication services (2,500-2,700 MHz, not more than 18 frequency channels) in the territory of Izhevsk, the Udmurt Republic (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.93 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.62	MTS License No. 110743 for provision of local telephone services using public access in the territory of the Rostov region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.98 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.63	MTS License No. 110744 for provision of local telephone communication services, excluding local telephone communication services using payphones and shared-access facilities in the territory of the Tambov region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.99 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.64	MTS License No. 110748 for provision of data communication services for the purposes of voice data communication in the territory of Tyumen Region, Yamalo-Nenetsk Autonomous region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.100 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.65	MTS License No. 110869 for provision of intra-zone telephone communication services in the territory of the Rostov region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.101 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.66	MTS License No. 110870 for provision of intra-zone telephone communication services in the territory of the Severnaya Osetia-Alania Republic (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.102 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.67	MTS License No. 110871 for provision of intra-zone telephone communication services in the territory of the Krasnodar region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.103 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.68	MTS License No. 110872 for provision of telecommunication services for provision of communication channels in the territory of the Rostov region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.104 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.69	MTS License No. 111594 for provision of telematic communication services in the territory of the Khanty-Mansiysk Autonomous District-Yugra (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.106 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

### Table of Contents

<b>Exhibits No.</b>	<b>Description</b>
4.70	MTS License No. 111595 for provision of local telephone communication services, excluding local telephone communication services using payphones and shared-access facilities in the territory of the Khanty Mansiysk Autonomous region-Yugra (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.107 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.71	MTS License No. 111596 for provision of telecommunication services for provision of communication channels in the territory of the Khanty Mansiysk Autonomous region-Yugra (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.108 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.72	MTS License No. 111597 for provision of cable casting communication services in the territory of the Khanty Mansiysk Autonomous region-Yugra (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.109 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.73	MTS License No. 111800 for provision of data communication services excluding data communication services for the purposes of voice data communication in the territory of the Altai Republic (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.110 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.74	MTS License No. 111801 for provision of mobile radiotelephone communication services (GSM-900) in the territory of the Astrakhan region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.111 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.75	MTS License No. 111802 for provision of telecommunication services for provision of communication channels in the territory of Komi Republic, Kostroma Region, Moscow Region, Tver Region, Moscow (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.112 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.76	MTS License No. 111816 for provision of telecommunication services for provision of communication channels in the territory of: Moscow Region, Moscow (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.113 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.77	MTS License No. 112544 for provision of air broadcasting communication services in the territory of Yekaterinburg (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.115 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.78	MTS License No. 112630 for provision of mobile wireless communication services in the territory of the Moscow Region; Moscow (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.116 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

### Table of Contents

<b>Exhibits No.</b>	<b>Description</b>
4.79	MTS License No. 112865 for provision of local telephone communication services, excluding local telephone communication services using payphones and shared-access facilities in the territory of the Moscow (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.117 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.80	MTS License No. 113017 for provision of air broadcasting communication services in the territory of Rostov-on-Don city (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.118 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.81	MTS License No. 113535 for provision of mobile radiotelephone communication services (GSM-1800) in the territory of the Kabardino-Balkar Republic (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.119 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.82	MTS License No. 113536 for provision of mobile radiotelephone communication services (GSM-900/1800) in the territory of the Kemerovo region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.120 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.83	MTS License No. 113537 for provision of mobile radiotelephone communication services (GSM-900/1800) in the territory of the Moldova Republic (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.121 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.84	MTS License No. 113538 for provision of mobile radiotelephone communication services (GSM-1800) in the territory of the Kalmykia Republic (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.122 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.85	MTS License No. 113539 for provision of mobile radiotelephone communication services (GSM-900/1800) in the territory of the Chuvashia Republic (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.123 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.86	MTS License No. 113540 for provision of mobile radiotelephone communication services (GSM-900/1800) in the territory of the Dagestan Republic (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.124 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.87	MTS License No. 113541 for provision of mobile radiotelephone communication services (GSM-900/1800) in the territory of the Ingushetia Republic (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.125 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

### Table of Contents

<b>Exhibits No.</b>	<b>Description</b>
4.88	MTS License No. 113542 for provision of mobile radiotelephone communication services (GSM-1800) in the territory of the Ulyanovsk region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.126 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.89	MTS License No. 113543 for provision of local telephone communication services, excluding local telephone communication services using payphones and shared-access facilities in the territory of the Kemerovo region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.127 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.90	MTS License No. 113544 for provision of mobile radiotelephone communication services (GSM-900/1800) in the territory of the Stavropol region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.128 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.91	MTS License No. 113545 for provision of mobile radiotelephone communication services (GSM-900/1800) in the territory of the Karachaevo-Cherkesia Republic (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.129 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.92	MTS License No. 113546 for provision of mobile radiotelephone communication services (GSM-1800) in the territory of the Altai Republic (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.130 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.93	MTS License No. 113547 for provision of mobile radiotelephone communication services (GSM-1800) in the territory of the Tyva Republic (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.131 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.94	MTS License No. 114619 for provision of air broadcasting communication services in the territory of Norilsk (2,500 - 2,700 MHz, 12 channels), Dudinka (2,500 - 2,700 MHz, 12 channels) (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.132 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.95	MTS License No. 114620 for provision of intra-zone telephone communication services in the territory of the Astrakhan region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.133 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.96	MTS License No. 114621 for provision of intra-zone telephone communication services in the territory of the Kemerovo region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.134 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

### Table of Contents

<b>Exhibits No.</b>	<b>Description</b>
4.97	MTS License No. 114622 for provision of intra-zone telephone communication services in the territory of the Arkhangelsk region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.135 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.98	MTS License No. 114623 for provision of intra-zone telephone communication services in the territory of the Vologda Region. (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.136 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.99	MTS License No. 114624 for provision of intra-zone telephone communication services in the territory of the Kaliningrad region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.137 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.100	MTS License No. 114625 for provision of intra-zone telephone communication services in the territory of the Murmansk region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.138 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.101	MTS License No. 114626 for provision of intra-zone telephone communication services in the territory of the St. Petersburg (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.139 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.102	MTS License No. 114627 for provision of intra-zone telephone communication services in the territory of the Komi Republic (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.140 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.103	MTS License No. 114628 for provision of intra-zone telephone communication services in the territory of the Karelia Republic (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.141 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.104	MTS License No. 114629 for provision of intra-zone telephone communication services in the territory of the Novgorod region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.142 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.105	MTS License No. 114630 for provision of air broadcasting communication services in the territory of Tver, the Tver region (2,500 - 2,700 MHz, not more than 24 frequency channels) (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.143 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

### Table of Contents

<b>Exhibits No.</b>	<b>Description</b>
4.106	MTS License No. 115176 for provision of intra-zone telephone communication services in the territory of the Volgograd region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.144 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.107	MTS License No. 116023 for provision of local telephone communication services, excluding local telephone communication services using payphones and shared-access facilities in the territory of the Penza region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.147 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.108	MTS License No. 116564 for provision of fixed line network mobile radio communication services in the territory of the Yamalo-Nenetsk Autonomous region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.148 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20 F.
4.109	MTS License No. 117190 for provision of air broadcasting communication services in the territory of Taganrog, the Rostov region (2,500 - 2,700 MHz, not more than 12 frequency channels) (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.149 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.110	MTS License No. 117814 for provision of intra-zone telephone communication services in the territory of the Kurgan region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.150 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.111	MTS License No. 117815 for provision of data communication services for the purposes of voice data communication in the territory of the Arkhangelsk region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.151 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.112	MTS License No. 117816 for provision of data communication services for the purposes of voice data communication in the territory of the Krasnoyarsk region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.152 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.113	MTS License No. 117817 for provision of data communication services for the purposes of voice data communication in the territory of the Novosibirsk region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.153 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.114	MTS License No. 117818 for provision of data communication services for the purposes of voice data communication in the territory of the Leningrad region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.154 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

### Table of Contents

<b>Exhibits No.</b>	<b>Description</b>
4.115	MTS License No. 117819 for provision of data communication services for the purposes of voice data communication in the territory of the Nizhny Novgorod region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.155 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.116	MTS License No. 117820 for provision of data communication services for the purposes of voice data communication in the territory of the Omsk region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.156 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.117	MTS License No. 117821 for provision of data communication services for the purposes of voice data communication in the territory of the Smolensk region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.157 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.118	MTS License No. 117822 for provision of data communication services for the purposes of voice data communication in the territory of the Novgorod region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.158 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.119	MTS License No. 117823 for provision of data communication services for the purposes of voice data communication in the territory of the Tula region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.159 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.120	MTS License No. 117824 for provision of data communication services for the purposes of voice data communication in the territory of the Volgograd region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.160 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.121	MTS License No. 117825 for provision of data communication services for the purposes of voice data communication in the territory of the Kemerovo region (English translation) is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.161 Annual Report filed pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, on Form 20-F.
4.122	MGTS License No. 125852 for provision of cable casting communication services in the territory of Moscow (English translation).
4.123	MGTS License No. 125849 for provision of data transmission services for the purpose of voice transmission in the territory of Moscow region (English translation).
4.124	MTS License No. 122269 for provision of intrazonal telephone communication services in the territory of the Ivanovo region (English translation).
4.125	MTS License No. 123560 for provision of data transmission services for the purpose of voice transmission in the territory of the Samara region (English translation).

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

### Table of Contents

<b>Exhibits No.</b>	<b>Description</b>
4.126	MTS License No. 122267 for provision of data transmission services for the purpose of voice transmission in the territory of the Tver region (English translation).
4.127	MTS License No. 123157 for provision of communication services for the purpose of broadcasting in the territory of the certain settlements in the Arkhangelsk region (English translation).
4.128	MTS License No. 124994 for provision of data transmission services for the purpose of voice transmission in the territory of St. Petersburg (English translation).
4.129	MTS License No. 120320 for provision of communication services for the purpose of broadcasting in the territory of Severodvinsk, the Arkhangelsk region (English translation).
4.130	MTS License No. 123559 for provision of intrazonal telephone communication services in the territory of the Samara region (English translation).
4.131	MTS License No. 122730 for provision of data transmission services for the purpose of voice transmission in the territory of the Tambov region (English translation).
4.132	MTS License No. 124922 for provision of communication services for the purpose of broadcasting in the territory of the Saratov (2,500-2,700 MHz, not more than 24 frequency channels) (English translation).
4.133	MTS License No. 124923 for provision of communication services for the purpose of broadcasting in the territory of the Belgorod (2,500-2,700 MH) (English translation).
4.134	MGTS License No. 125851 for provision of telematic communication services in the territory of Moscow, the Moscow region (English translation).
4.135	MGTS License No. 125850 for provision of data transmission services for the purpose of voice transmission in the territory of Moscow (English translation).
4.136	MGTS License No. 125652 for provision of data transmission services, except for data transmission services for the purpose of voice transmission in the territory of the Penza region (English translation).
4.137	MTS License No. 124924 for provision of intrazonal telephone communication services in the territory of the Republic of Tatarstan (Tatarstan) (English translation).
4.138	MGTS License No. 125848 for provision of local telephone communication services, excluding local telephone communication services using payphones and shared-access facilities in the territory of the Moscow region (English translation).
4.139	MTS License No. 125651 for provision of telematic communication services in the territory of the Penza region (English translation).
4.140	MGTS License No. 125847 for provision of data transmission services, except for data transmission services for the purpose of voice transmission in the territory of Moscow (English translation).
4.141	MGTS License No. 125853 for provision of cable casting communication services in the territory of the Moscow region (English translation).
4.142	MGTS License No. 125854 for provision of data transmission services, except for data transmission services for the purpose of voice transmission in the territory of the Moscow region (English translation).



## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

### Table of Contents

<b>Exhibits No.</b>	<b>Description</b>
4.143	MTS License No. 122731 for provision of data transmission services for the purpose of voice transmission in the territory of the Arkhangelsk region (English translation).
4.144	MTS License No. 122568 for provision of mobile radio telephone services in the territory of the Ulyanovsk region (English translation).
4.145	MTS License No. 122729 for provision of intrazonal telephone communication services in the territory of the Kursk region (English translation).
4.146	MTS License No. 122570 for provision of mobile radio telephone services (GSM-900/1800 network) in the territory of the Rostov region (English translation).
4.147	MTS License No. 123658 for provision of intrazonal telephone communication services (GSM-900/1800 network) in the territory of the Nizny Novgorod region (English translation).
4.148	MTS License No. 122567 for provision of mobile radio telephone services (GSM-900/1800 network) in the territory of the Saratov region (English translation).
4.149	MTS License No. 122569 for provision of mobile radio telephone services (GSM-900/1800 network) in the territory of the Tomsk region (English translation).
4.150	MGTS License No. 124224 for provision of intrazonal telephone communication services in the territory of Moscow, the Moscow region (English translation).
4.151	MTS License No. 123659 for provision of communication services for the purpose of wire broadcasting in the territory of the Nizny Novgorod region (English translation).
4.152	Sputnikovoe TV License No. 123687 for provision of communication services for the purpose of broadcasting in the territory of the Adygea Republic, the Altai Republic, the Bashkortostan Republic, the Buryatia Republic, the Dagestan Republic, the Ingushetia Republic, the Kabardino-Balkar Republic, the Kalmykia Republic, the Karachaevo-Cherkesia Republic, the Karelia Republic, the Komi Republic, the Mari-El Republic, the Mordovia Republic, the Sakha Republic (Yakutia), the Severnaya Osetia-Alania Republic, the Tatarstan Republic, the Tuva Republic, the Udmurt Republic, the Khakassia Republic, the Chechen Republic, the Chuvashia Republic, the Altai region, the Zabaykalsky region, the Krasnodar region, the Krasnoyarsk region, the Perm region, the Primorsky region the Stavropol region, the Khabarovsk region, the Amur region, the Arkhangelsk region, the Astrakhan region, the Belgorod region, the Bryansk region, the Vladimir region, the Volgograd region, the Vologda region, the Voronezh region, the Ivanovo region, the Irkutsk region, the Kaliningrad region, the Kaluga region, the Kemerovo region, the Kirov region, the Kostroma region, the Kurgan region, the Kursk region, the Leningrad region, the Lipetsk region, the Moscow region, the Nizhny Novgorod region, the Novgorod region, the Novosibirsk region, the Omsk region, the Orenburg region, the Orel region, the Penza region, the Pskov region, the Rostov region, the Ryazan region, the Samara region, the Saratov region, the Sakhalin region, the Sverdlovsk region, the Smolensk region, the Tambov region, the Tver region, the Tomsk region, the Tula region, the Tyumen region, the Ulyanovsk region, the Chelyabinsk region, the Yaroslavl region, Moscow, St Petersburg, Sevastopol, the Jewish Autonomous region, the Khanty Mansiysk Autonomous region, the Yamal-Nenetsk Autonomous region (English translation).
4.153	MTS License No. 124558 for provision of communications services for the provision of communication channels in the territory of the Jewish Autonomous region (English translation).

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

### Table of Contents

<b>Exhibits No.</b>	<b>Description</b>
4.154	MTS License No. 122552 for provision of mobile radio telephone services in the territory of the Samara region (English translation).
4.155	MTS License No. 122559 for provision of mobile radio telephone services in the territory of the Altai region (English translation).
4.156	MTS License No. 122553 for provision of mobile radio telephone services in the territory of the Tyva Republic (English translation).
4.157	MTS License No. 122561 for provision of mobile radio telephone services in the territory of the Mari El Republic (English translation).
4.158	MTS License No. 122554 for provision of mobile radio telephone services in the territory of the Stavropol region (English translation).
4.159	MTS License No. 122565 for provision of mobile radio telephone services in the territory of the Novosibirsk region (English translation).
4.160	MTS License No. 122564 for provision of mobile radio telephone services in the territory of the Omsk region (English translation).
4.161	MTS License No. 122555 for provision of mobile radio telephone services in the territory of the Buryatia Republic, the Sakha Republic (Yakutia), the Zabaykalsky region, the Kamchatka region, the Primorsky region, the Khabarovsk region, the Amur region, the Irkutsk region, the Magadan region, the Sakhalin region, the Jewish Autonomous region, the Yamalo-Nenetsk Autonomous region (English translation).
4.162	MTS License No. 122557 for provision of mobile radio telephone services (GSM-900/1800 networks) in the territory of the Belgorod region, the Bryansk region, the Vladimir region, the Voronezh region, the Ivanovo region, the Kaluga region, the Kostroma region, the Kursk region, the Lipetsk region, the Nizhny Novgorod region, the Orel region, the Ryazan region, the Smolensk region, the Tambov region, the Tver region, the Tula region, the Yaroslavl region (English translation).
4.163	MTS License No. 122560 for provision of mobile radio telephone services (GSM-900/1800 networks) in the territory of the Krasnoyarsk region (English translation).
4.164	MTS License No. 122556 for provision of mobile radio telephone services (GSM-900/1800 networks) in the territory of the Khakassiya Republic (English translation).
4.165	MTS License No. 122566 for provision of mobile radio telephone services (GSM-900/1800 networks) in the territory of the Tatarstan Republic (English translation).
4.166	MTS License No. 122562 for provision of mobile radio telephone services (GSM-900/1800 networks) in the territory of the Udmurt Republic; the Perm region, the Kirov region, the Kurgan region, the Orenburg region, the Sverdlovsk region, the Tyumen region, the Chelyabinsk region, Khanty-Mansiysk Autonomous region, Yamalo Nenetsk Autonomous region (English translation).
4.167	MTS License No. 122192 for provision of mobile radio telephone services (GSM-900/1800 networks) in the territory of the Karelia Republic, the Arkhangelsk region, the Vologda region, the Kaliningrad region, the Leningrad region, the Murmansk region, the Novgorod region, the Pskov region, St. Petersburg, the Yamalo Nenetsk Autonomous region (English translation).
4.168	MTS License No. 120614 (GSM-900/1800 networks) for provision of mobile radio telephone services in the territory of the Adygea Republic (English translation).

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

### Table of Contents

<b>Exhibits No.</b>	<b>Description</b>
4.169	MTS License No. 121166 for provision of mobile radio telephone services in the territory of Moscow, the Moscow region (English translation).
4.170	MTS License No. 122193 for provision of mobile radio telephone services (GSM-900/1800 networks) in the territory of the Komi Republic (English translation).
4.171	MTS License No. 119884 for provision of mobile radio telephone services (GSM-900/1800 networks) in the territory of the Krasnodar region (English translation).
4.172	MTS License No. 119985 for provision of communication services for the purpose of broadcasting in the territory of the Chukotsk Autonomous region (English translation).
4.173	MTS License No. 122268 for provision of communication services for the purpose of cable broadcasting in the territory of the Russian Federation (English translation).
4.174	MTS License No. 119295 for provision of communication services for the provision of communication channels in the territory of the Belgorod region, the Bryansk region, the Voronezh region, the Kursk region, the Lipetsk region, the Orel region (English translation).
4.175	MTS License No. 120615 for provision of mobile radio telephone services (GSM-900/1800 networks) in the territory of the Bashkortostan Republic (English translation).
4.176	Comstar-Regions No. 120413 for provision of communication services for the purpose of wire broadcasting in the territory of the Sverdlovsk region (English translation).
4.177	Sibintelecom CJSC No. 78664 for provision of data transmission services, except for data transmission services for the purpose of voice transmission in the territory of the Zabaykalsky region (English translation).
4.178	Sibintelecom CJSC No. 78663 for provision of telematic communications services in the territory of the Zabaykalsky region (English translation).
4.179	MGTS License No. 112630 for provision of mobile radio telephone services in the territory of Moscow, the Moscow region (English translation).
4.180	Metro-Telecom License No. 80005 for provision of communication services for the provision of communication channels in the territory of Moscow (English translation).
4.181	Stream LLC License No. 91771 for provision of telematic communications services in the territory of the Russian Federation (English translation).
4.182	Sibintelecom CJSC License No. 119279 for provision of communication services for the provision of communication channels in the territory of the Zabaykalsky region (English translation).
4.183	MTS License No. 118158 for provision of data transmission services, except for data transmission services for the purpose of voice transmission in the territory of the Zabaykalsky region (English translation).
4.184	MGTS License No. 115208 for provision of data transmission services, except for data transmission services for the purpose of voice transmission in the territory of the Moscow region (English translation).

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

### Table of Contents

<b>Exhibits No.</b>	<b>Description</b>
4.185	Sputnikovoe TV License No. 112799 for provision of communication services for the provision of communication channels in the territory of the of the Adygea Republic, the Altai Republic, the Bashkortostan Republic, the Buryatia Republic, the Dagestan Republic, the Ingushetia Republic, the Kabardino-Balkar Republic, the Kalmykia Republic, the Karachaevo-Cherkesia Republic, the Karelia Republic, the Komi Republic, the Mari-El Republic, the Mordovia Republic, the Sakha Republic (Yakutia), the Severnaya Osetia-Alania Republic, the Tatarstan Republic, the Tuva Republic, the Udmurt Republic, the Khakassia Republic, the Chechen Republic, the Chuvashia Republic, the Altai region, the Zabaykalsky region, the Kamchatka region, the Krasnodar region, the Krasnoyarsk region, the Perm region, the Primorsky region the Stavropol region, the Khabarovsk region, the Amur region, the Arkhangelsk region, the Astrakhan region, the Belgorod region, the Bryansk region, the Vladimir region, the Volgograd region, the Vologda region, the Voronezh region, the Ivanovo region, the Irkutsk region, the Kaliningrad region, the Kaluga region, the Kemerovo region, the Kirov region, the Kostroma region, the Kurgan region, the Kursk region, the Leningrad region, the Lipetsk region, the Moscow region, the Nizhny Novgorod region, the Novgorod region, the Novosibirsk region, the Omsk region, the Orenburg region, the Orel region, the Penza region, the Pskov region, the Rostov region, the Ryazan region, the Samara region, the Saratov region, the Sverdlovsk region, the Smolensk region, the Tambov region, the Tver region, the Tomsk region, the Tula region, the Tyumen region, the Ulyanovsk region, the Chelyabinsk region, the Yaroslavl region, Moscow, St Petersburg, the Jewish Autonomous region, the Khanty Mansiysk Autonomous region, the Yamal-Nenetsk Autonomous region (English translation).
4.186	MGTS License No. 79706 for provision of data transmission services for the purpose of voice transmission in the territory of Moscow (English translation).
4.187	MTS License No. 118159 for provision of telematic communication services in the territory of the Zabaykalsky region (English translation).
4.188	Sibintertelecom CJSC License No. 119280 (GSM-900/1800 networks) for provision of mobile radio telephone services in the territory of Zabaykalsky region (English translation).
4.189	MTS License No. 122558 for provision of mobile radio telephone services (GSM-3 networks) in the territory of the Severnaya Osetia-Alania Republic (English translation).
4.190	UMS License No. AA#0005149 for provision of design, construction, operation and rendering services of local telecommunication network dated 08.09.2014 and License Agreement between State Committee for Communication, Informatization and Communication Technologies of the Republic of Uzbekistan and "Universal Mobile Systems" LLC as integral part of the License (English translation).
4.191	UMS License No. AA#0005151 for provision of rendering services of international telecommunication networks dated 08.09.2014 and License Agreement between State Committee for Communication, Informatization and Communication Technologies of the Republic of Uzbekistan and "Universal Mobile Systems" LLC as integral part of the License (English translation).
4.192	UMS License No. AA#0005153 for provision of design, construction, operation and rendering services of data transfer network dated 08.09.2014 and License Agreement between State Committee for Communication, Informatization and Communication Technologies of the Republic of Uzbekistan and "Universal Mobile Systems" LLC as integral part of the License (English translation).

Table of Contents

<b>Exhibits No.</b>	<b>Description</b>
4.193	UMS License No. AA#0005152 for provision of design, construction, operation and rendering services of mobile wireless (cellular) communication networks dated 08.09.2014 and License Agreement between State Committee for Communication, Informatization and Communication Technologies of the Republic of Uzbekistan and "Universal Mobile Systems" LLC as integral part of the License (English translation).
4.194	UMS License No. AA#0005150 for provision of design, construction, operation and rendering services of long-distance telecommunication networks dated 08.09.2014 and License Agreement between State Committee for Communication, Informatization and Communication Technologies of the Republic of Uzbekistan and "Universal Mobile Systems" LLC as integral part of the License (English translation).
4.195	UMS License No. AA#0005154 for provision of design, construction, operation and rendering services TV broadcasting dated 08.09.2014 and License Agreement between State Committee for Communication, Informatization and Communication Technologies of the Republic of Uzbekistan and "Universal Mobile Systems" LLC as integral part of the License (English translation).
8.1	List of Subsidiaries of Mobile TeleSystems OJSC.
12.1	Certification by the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
12.2	Certification by the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
13.1	Certification by the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
13.2	Certification by the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101	The following financial statements from the Annual Report on Form 20-F of Mobile TeleSystems OJSC for the year ended December 31, 2014, formatted in Extensive Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (i) consolidated statements of financial position, (ii) consolidated statements of operations, (iii) consolidated statements of changes in shareholders' equity, (iv) consolidated statements of cash flows and (v) notes to the consolidated financial statements.**

---

\*

Approved General Meeting of Shareholders of Mobile TeleSystems OJSC held on June 25, 2013 and became effective upon registration with the Federal Tax Service on July 10, 2013.

\*\*

The following financial statements from the Annual Report on Form 20-F of Mobile TeleSystems OJSC for the year ended December 31, 2014, formatted in Extensive Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (i) consolidated statements of financial position, (ii) consolidated statements of operations, (iii) consolidated statements of changes in shareholders' equity, (iv) consolidated statements of cash flows and (v) notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

**SIGNATURES**

The registrant hereby certifies that it meets all of the requirements for filing on Form 20-F and that it has duly caused and authorized the undersigned to sign this annual report on its behalf.

Date: April 21, 2015

MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC

By: /s/ Andrey A. Dubovskov

---

Name: Andrey A. Dubovskov

Title: President and Chief Executive Officer

270

---

Table of Contents

**OJSC MOBILE  
TELESYSTEMS  
AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**Consolidated Financial Statements**

As of December 31, 2014 and 2013 and  
for the Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012

---

Table of Contents

**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b>Page</b>
<u>REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM</u>	<u>F-1</u>
<u>CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2014 AND 2013 AND FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012:</u>	
<u>Consolidated statements of financial position as of December 31, 2014 and 2013</u>	<u>F-2 - F-3</u>
<u>Consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>F-4</u>
<u>Consolidated statements of changes in shareholders' equity for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>F-5</u>
<u>Consolidated statements of cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012</u>	<u>F-6 - F-7</u>
<u>Notes to the consolidated financial statements</u>	<u>F-8 - F-74</u>

---



Table of Contents

**REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Mobile TeleSystems OJSC:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of financial position of Mobile TeleSystems OJSC and subsidiaries (the "Group") as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income, changes in shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Group's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Mobile TeleSystems OJSC and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Group's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014 based on the criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated March 27, 2015 expressed an unqualified opinion on the Group's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ ZAO Deloitte & Touche CIS

Moscow, Russia  
March 27, 2015, except for Note 31,  
as to which the date is April 21, 2015

Table of Contents

**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL POSITION**  
**AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2014 AND 2013**

(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, except share amounts and per share amounts)

	Note	December 31,	
		2014	2013
<b>CURRENT ASSETS:</b>			
Cash and cash equivalents	6	61,410	30,612
Short-term investments, including available-for-sale securities at fair value of 576 and 4,154, respectively, and related party amounts of 760 and 9,235, respectively	7	9,849	14,633
Trade receivables, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of 2,165 and 3,753, respectively	8	32,966	34,554
Accounts receivable, related parties	25	4,525	965
Inventory and spare parts	9	7,510	8,498
Prepaid expenses, including related party amounts of 322 and 123, respectively		11,752	9,811
Deferred tax assets	24	11,206	7,933
VAT receivable		8,071	6,651
Assets related to disposal group held for sale	10	2,004	
Other current assets		2,831	3,019
<b>Total current assets</b>		<b>152,124</b>	<b>116,676</b>
<b>PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT</b> , net of accumulated depreciation of 313,623 and 293,389, including advances to related parties of 254 and 367, respectively			
	11	299,479	270,660
<b>LICENSES</b> , net of accumulated amortization of 5,226 and 3,194, respectively			
	3, 12	5,498	3,202
<b>GOODWILL</b>			
	3, 13	36,311	32,704
<b>OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS</b> , net of accumulated amortization of 65,785 and 58,153, including advances to related parties of 88 and 232, respectively			
	3, 14	56,971	38,423
<b>DEBT ISSUANCE COSTS</b> , net of accumulated amortization of 2,336 and 2,375, respectively			
		1,738	2,023
<b>INVESTMENTS IN AND ADVANCES TO ASSOCIATES</b>			
	15	16,277	13,393
<b>OTHER INVESTMENTS</b> , including related party amounts of 835 and 743, respectively			
	16	14,969	4,392
<b>OTHER NON-CURRENT ASSETS</b> , including asset derivatives of 21,944 and 1,837, respectively, and deferred tax assets of 3,610 and 862, respectively			
	21, 24	25,560	4,051
<b>Total assets</b>		<b>608,927</b>	<b>485,524</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL POSITION (Continued)****AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2014 AND 2013****(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, except share amounts and per share amounts)**

	Note	December 31,	
		2014	2013
<b>CURRENT LIABILITIES:</b>			
Accounts payable, related parties	25	4,674	3,315
Trade payables		36,337	23,864
Subscriber prepayments and deposits		19,355	17,884
Debt, current portion	17	19,435	7,564
Notes payable, current portion	17	22,701	17,462
Deferred connection fees, current portion	20	1,677	1,604
Income tax payable		1,427	997
Accrued liabilities	23	27,620	27,674
Liabilities related to disposal group held for sale		227	
Other payables, including capital lease obligations of 538 and 38, respectively		3,986	1,498
<b>Total current liabilities</b>		<b>137,439</b>	<b>101,862</b>
<b>LONG-TERM LIABILITIES:</b>			
Notes payable, net of current portion	17	83,776	85,282
Debt, net of current portion	17	157,084	108,792
Capital lease obligations, net of current portion	18	8,857	10
Deferred connection fees, net of current portion	20	1,760	2,045
Deferred taxes	24	33,278	21,202
Retirement and post-retirement obligations		1,055	1,059
Property, plant and equipment contributions		2,327	2,428
Other long-term liabilities, including asset retirement obligations of 3,022 and 2,743, respectively	19	4,234	3,859
<b>Total long-term liabilities</b>		<b>292,371</b>	<b>224,677</b>
<b>Total liabilities</b>		<b>429,810</b>	<b>326,539</b>
Commitments and contingencies	30		
Redeemable noncontrolling interest	27	3,192	2,932
<b>SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY:</b>			
Common stock (2,066,413,562 shares issued as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, 777,396,505 of which are in the form of ADS as of December 31, 2014 and 2013)	26	207	207
Treasury stock (77,501,432 and 77,582,378 common shares at cost as of December 31, 2014 and 2013)		(24,464)	(24,482)
Additional paid-in capital		5,419	3,019
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		(6,294)	(15,030)
Retained earnings		191,081	188,217
<b>Total equity attributable to the Group</b>		<b>165,949</b>	<b>151,931</b>
<b>Nonredeemable noncontrolling interest</b>		<b>9,976</b>	<b>4,122</b>

<b>Total equity</b>	<b>175,925</b>	<b>156,053</b>
<b>Total liabilities and equity</b>	<b>608,927</b>	<b>485,524</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-3

---

Table of Contents

## OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

## FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012

(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, except share amounts and per share amounts)

		Years ended December 31,		
	Note	2014	2013	2012
<b>NET OPERATING REVENUES</b>				
Services revenue and connection fees (including related party amounts of 1,372 and 1,113 and 492, respectively)		381,822	371,950	349,338
Sales of handsets and accessories		28,936	26,493	28,902
<b>Total net operating revenues</b>		<b>410,758</b>	<b>398,443</b>	<b>378,240</b>
<b>OPERATING EXPENSES</b>				
Cost of services, excluding depreciation and amortization shown separately below (including related party amounts of 1,985 and 1,186 and 692, respectively)		89,589	83,777	83,051
Cost of handsets and accessories		25,093	22,636	25,042
General and administrative expenses (including related party amounts of 2,096 and 2,047 and 2,097, respectively)	28	90,971	85,458	77,977
Allowance for doubtful accounts		3,266	3,106	2,606
Sales and marketing expenses (including related party amounts of 1,632 and 1,853 and 1,941, respectively)		21,908	22,861	21,667
Depreciation and amortization expense		74,710	73,253	67,910
Other operating expense / (income) (including related party amounts of (635) and 370 and (116), respectively)		4,468	5,594	6,193
Provision for investment in Delta Bank in Ukraine	5	5,138		
Gain from reentrance into Uzbekistan	4	(6,734)		
<b>Net operating income</b>		<b>102,349</b>	<b>101,758</b>	<b>93,794</b>
<b>CURRENCY EXCHANGE AND TRANSACTION LOSS / (GAIN)</b>		<b>18,024</b>	<b>5,473</b>	<b>(3,952)</b>
<b>OTHER EXPENSES / (INCOME)</b>				
Interest income (including related party amounts of 654 and 742 and 172, respectively)		(4,519)	(2,793)	(2,588)
Interest expense, net of capitalized interest (including related party amounts of 41 and nil and 367, respectively)		16,453	15,498	17,673
Equity in net loss / (income) of associates	15	2,880	(2,472)	(869)
Other expenses / (income), net (including gain of (11,087) related to Bitel settlement in 2013)	30	771	(10,636)	688
<b>Total other expenses / (income), net</b>		<b>15,585</b>	<b>(403)</b>	<b>14,904</b>
<b>Income from continuing operations before provision for income taxes</b>		<b>68,740</b>	<b>96,688</b>	<b>82,842</b>
PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES	24	16,347	19,633	19,384
<b>NET INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS</b>		<b>52,393</b>	<b>77,055</b>	<b>63,458</b>
<b>NET INCOME / (LOSS) FROM DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS</b>	4		<b>3,733</b>	<b>(32,846)</b>
<b>NET INCOME</b>		<b>52,393</b>	<b>80,788</b>	<b>30,612</b>
<b>LESS: NET INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO THE NONCONTROLLING INTEREST</b>		<b>(571)</b>	<b>(949)</b>	<b>(970)</b>
<b>NET INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO THE GROUP</b>		<b>51,822</b>	<b>79,839</b>	<b>29,642</b>

**OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME / (LOSS), NET OF TAX**

Currency translation adjustment		8,925	(2,877)	(2,211)
Unrealized gain on derivatives, net of tax of (700) and (361) and (64)	21	2,801	1,445	255
Unrecognized actuarial gain / (loss), net of tax of (4) and (46) and 38		14	185	(152)
<b>Other comprehensive income / (loss), net of tax</b>		<b>11,740</b>	<b>(1,247)</b>	<b>(2,108)</b>
<b>TOTAL COMPREHENSIVE INCOME</b>		<b>64,133</b>	<b>79,541</b>	<b>28,504</b>
<b>LESS: TOTAL COMPREHENSIVE INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO THE NONCONTROLLING INTEREST</b>		<b>(3,575)</b>	<b>(1,056)</b>	<b>(772)</b>
<b>TOTAL COMPREHENSIVE INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO THE GROUP</b>		<b>60,558</b>	<b>78,485</b>	<b>27,732</b>

Weighted average number of common shares outstanding, in thousands basic and diluted		1,988,757	1,988,849	1,988,919
Earnings per share attributable to the Group basic and diluted, RUB				
EPS from continuing operations		26.06	38.27	31.42
EPS from discontinued operations			1.88	(16.51)
Total EPS		26.06	40.14	14.90

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

## OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012

(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, except share amounts)

	Common stock		Treasury stock		Additional paid-in capital	Accumulated other comprehensive loss	Retained earnings	Total equity attributable to the Group	Non- redeemable noncontrolling interest	Total equity	Redeemable noncontrolling interest
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount							
<b>Balances at January 1, 2012</b>	<b>2,066,413,562</b>	<b>207</b>	<b>(77,496,725)</b>	<b>(24,462)</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>(11,766)</b>	<b>148,019</b>	<b>112,108</b>	<b>2,852</b>	<b>114,960</b>	<b>2,595</b>
Net income							29,642	29,642	694	30,336	276
Other comprehensive (loss) / income, net of tax						(1,910)		(1,910)	41	(1,869)	(239)
Dividends declared by MTS							(29,257)	(29,257)		(29,257)	
Dividends to noncontrolling interest											(367)
Change in fair value of noncontrolling interest of K-Telecom							(33)	(33)		(33)	33
Sale of own stock			2,340	0				0		0	
Repurchase of own shares by MGTS					57			57	(319)	(262)	
Disposal of Stream (Note 3)					116			116		116	
<b>Balances at December 31, 2012</b>	<b>2,066,413,562</b>	<b>207</b>	<b>(77,494,385)</b>	<b>(24,462)</b>	<b>283</b>	<b>(13,676)</b>	<b>148,371</b>	<b>110,723</b>	<b>3,268</b>	<b>113,991</b>	<b>2,298</b>
Net income							79,839	79,839	693	80,532	256
Other comprehensive (loss) / income, net of tax						(1,354)		(1,354)	10	(1,344)	97
Issuance of stock options (Note 2)					94			94		94	
Dividends declared by MTS							(39,419)	(39,419)		(39,419)	
Dividends to noncontrolling interest											(293)
Acquisition of own stock			(90,881)	(20)				(20)		(20)	
Change in fair value of noncontrolling interest of K-Telecom							(574)	(574)		(574)	574
Sale of own stock			2,888	0	1			1		1	
Disposal of Business-Nedvizhimost (Note 3)					2,641			2,641	151	2,792	
	<b>2,066,413,562</b>	<b>207</b>	<b>(77,582,378)</b>	<b>(24,482)</b>	<b>3,019</b>	<b>(15,030)</b>	<b>188,217</b>	<b>151,931</b>	<b>4,122</b>	<b>156,053</b>	<b>2,932</b>

Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

**Balances at  
December 31, 2013**

Net income						51,822	<b>51,822</b>	190	<b>52,012</b>	381	
Other comprehensive income, net of tax					8,736		<b>8,736</b>	2,312	<b>11,048</b>	692	
Issuance of stock options (Note 2)				167			<b>167</b>		<b>167</b>		
Dividends declared by MTS						(49,325)	<b>(49,325)</b>		<b>(49,325)</b>		
Dividends to noncontrolling interest								(357)	<b>(357)</b>	(249)	
Sale of own shares		90,881	20	4			<b>24</b>		<b>24</b>		
Change in fair value of noncontrolling interest of K-Telecom						564	<b>564</b>		<b>564</b>	(564)	
Consolidation of UMS (Note 4)								3,565	<b>3,565</b>		
Acquisition of own stock		(9,935)	(2)				<b>(2)</b>		<b>(2)</b>		
Investments in shares of entities under common control				(354)		(245)	<b>(599)</b>	(3)	<b>(602)</b>		
Sale of building to Sistema (Note 25)				232			<b>232</b>	13	<b>245</b>		
Disposal of Business-Nedvizhimost (Note 25)				2,351			<b>2,351</b>	134	<b>2,485</b>		
Other						48	<b>48</b>		<b>48</b>		
<b>Balances at December 31, 2014</b>	<b>2,066,413,562</b>	<b>207</b>	<b>(77,501,432)</b>	<b>(24,464)</b>	<b>5,419</b>	<b>(6,294)</b>	<b>191,081</b>	<b>165,949</b>	<b>9,976</b>	<b>175,925</b>	<b>3,192</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.



Table of Contents**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012****(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles)**

	Years ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>			
Net income	52,393	80,788	30,612
Net (income) / loss from discontinued operations		(3,733)	32,846
Net income from continuing operations	52,393	77,055	63,458
<b>Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:</b>			
Depreciation and amortization	74,710	73,253	67,910
Non-cash gain from reentrance into Uzbekistan	(6,724)		
Non-cash provision for investment in Delta Bank in Ukraine	5,061		
Currency exchange and transaction loss / (gain)	18,024	5,473	(3,952)
Debt issuance cost amortization	645	784	952
Amortization of deferred connection fees	(1,912)	(1,921)	(2,287)
Equity in net loss/(income) of associates	2,880	(2,472)	(869)
Allowance for doubtful accounts	3,266	3,106	2,606
Inventory obsolescence expense	357	660	759
Deferred tax expense	6,540	9,671	3,290
Other non-cash items	328	(192)	461
<b>Changes in operating assets and liabilities:</b>			
Decrease / (increase) in trade receivables	4,466	(3,474)	(8,489)
Decrease / (increase) in inventory and spare parts	731	(592)	(61)
Decrease / (increase) in prepaid expenses and other current assets	777	(2,966)	(727)
(Increase) / decrease in VAT receivable	(1,058)	(1,190)	673
(Decrease) / increase in trade payables, accrued liabilities and other current liabilities	(3,616)	8,136	9,365
(Decrease) / increase in liability for Bitel		(7,238)	241
Dividends received	2,650	1,831	1,526
<b>Net cash provided by operating activities continuing operations</b>	<b>159,518</b>	<b>159,924</b>	<b>134,856</b>
<b>Net cash used in operating activities discontinued operations</b>		<b>(547)</b>	<b>(2,733)</b>
<b>NET CASH PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>	<b>159,518</b>	<b>159,377</b>	<b>132,123</b>
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>			
Acquisition of subsidiaries, net of cash acquired	(2,755)		(1,937)
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(74,243)	(67,146)	(79,836)
Purchases of intangible assets	(18,356)	(14,429)	(7,947)
Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment	619	418	395
Purchases of short-term investments	(35,923)	(37,623)	(33,474)
Proceeds from sale of short-term investments	47,619	27,785	31,548
Purchase of other investments	(34,613)	(703)	(2,100)

Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

Proceeds from sales of other investments	19,831		2,029
Investments in and advances to associates	(7,767)	(5,088)	
<b>Net cash used in investing activities continuing operations</b>	<b>(105,588)</b>	<b>(96,786)</b>	<b>(91,322)</b>
<b>Net cash provided by / (used in) investing activities discontinued operations</b>		<b>115</b>	<b>(2,045)</b>
<b>NET CASH USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>	<b>(105,588)</b>	<b>(96,671)</b>	<b>(93,367)</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

F-6

---

Table of Contents

## OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Continued)

## FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012

(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles)

	Years ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>			
Cash payments for the acquisitions of subsidiaries from entities under common control and noncontrolling interests	(26)		(261)
Contingent consideration paid on acquisition of subsidiaries			(20)
Proceeds from issuance of notes	2	25,651	
Repayment of notes	(23,152)	(6,195)	(25,561)
Notes and debt issuance cost	(360)	(193)	
Reimbursement of debt issuance cost		959	
Capital lease obligation principal paid	(227)	(202)	(213)
Dividends paid	(49,921)	(39,706)	(29,626)
Proceeds on disposal of Business-Nedvizhimost, net of cash disposed		3,068	
Cash on sale of building to Sistema	508		
Cash deconsolidated on the loss of control over Stream			(227)
Proceeds from loans	69,421	353	17,955
Loan principal paid	(29,437)	(38,996)	(37,394)
Other financing activities	21	116	1
<b>Net cash used in financing activities continuing operations</b>	<b>(33,171)</b>	<b>(55,145)</b>	<b>(75,346)</b>
<b>NET CASH USED IN FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b>	<b>(33,171)</b>	<b>(55,145)</b>	<b>(75,346)</b>
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	10,195	1,037	(985)
<b>NET INCREASE / (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS</b>	<b>30,954</b>	<b>8,598</b>	<b>(37,575)</b>
<b>CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of the year</b>	<b>30,612</b>	<b>22,014</b>	<b>59,589</b>
<b>CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of the year</b>	<b>61,566</b>	<b>30,612</b>	<b>22,014</b>
Less: cash and cash equivalents from discontinued operations, end of the year			(411)
<b>CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS from continuing operations, end of the year</b>	<b>61,566</b>	<b>30,612</b>	<b>21,603</b>
Less: cash and cash equivalents within disposal group held for sale	(156)		
<b>CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of the year</b>	<b>61,410</b>	<b>30,612</b>	<b>21,603</b>
<b>SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION:</b>			
Income taxes paid	9,906	11,590	17,050
Interest paid	17,134	15,979	19,104
<b>Non-cash investing and financing activities:</b>			

Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

Amounts owed for capital expenditures	21,935	3,908	3,502
Payables related to business acquisitions	99	11	277
Capital lease obligations	9,395	48	212

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

F-7

---

Table of Contents

**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012**

**(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)**

**1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS**

**Business of the Group** Open Joint-Stock Company Mobile TeleSystems ("MTS OJSC", or "the Company") was incorporated on March 1, 2000, through the merger of MTS CJSC and Rosico TC CJSC, its wholly-owned subsidiary. MTS CJSC started its operations in the Moscow license area in 1994 and then began expanding through Russia and the CIS. MTS OJSC's majority shareholder is Joint-Stock Financial Corporation Sistema or "Sistema".

In these notes, "MTS" or the "Group" refers to Mobile TeleSystems OJSC and its subsidiaries.

The Group provides a wide range of telecommunications services including voice and data transmission, internet access, pay TV, various value added services through wireless and fixed lines, as well as selling equipment and accessories. The Group's principal operations are located in Russia, Ukraine, Turkmenistan, Uzbekistan and Armenia.

MTS completed its initial public offering in 2000 and listed its shares of common stock, represented by American Depositary Shares, or ADSs, on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "MBT". Since 2003 common shares of MTS OJSC have been traded on the Open Joint-Stock Company "Moscow Exchange MICEX-RTS" ("Moscow Exchange").

Since 2009, the Group has been developing its own retail network, operated by Russian Telephone Company CJSC ("RTC"), a wholly owned subsidiary of MTS OJSC.

**2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS**

**Accounting principles** The Group's entities maintain accounting books and records in local currencies of their domicile in accordance with the requirements of respective accounting and tax legislation. The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in order to present MTS' financial position and its results of operations and cash flows in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("U.S. GAAP") and are expressed in terms of Russian Rubles.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements differ from the financial statements used for statutory purposes in that they reflect certain adjustments, not recorded on the entities' books, which are appropriate to present the financial position, results of operations and cash flows in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The principal adjustments are related to revenue recognition, foreign currency translation, deferred taxation, consolidation, acquisition accounting, depreciation and valuation of property, plant and equipment, intangible assets and investments.

**Basis of consolidation** The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, as well as entities where the Company has operating and financial control, most often through the direct or indirect ownership of a majority voting interest. Those ventures where the Group exercises significant influence but does not have operating and financial control are accounted for using the equity method. Investments in which the Group does not have the ability to exercise significant influence over operating and financial policies are accounted for under the cost method and included in long-term investments in the consolidated statements of financial position. The consolidated financial statements also include accounts of variable interest entities ("VIEs") in which the Group is deemed to

Table of Contents**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012****(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)****2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS (Continued)**

be the primary beneficiary. An entity is generally a VIE if it meets any of the following criteria: (i) the entity has insufficient equity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties, (ii) the equity investors cannot make significant decisions about the entity's operations or (iii) the voting rights of some investors are not proportional to their obligations to absorb the expected losses of the entity or receive the expected returns of the entity and substantially all of the entity's activities involve or are conducted on behalf of the investor with disproportionately few voting rights. All significant intercompany transactions, balances and unrealized gains and losses on transactions have been eliminated.

As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company had investments in the following significant legal entities:

	<b>Accounting method</b>	<b>December 31,</b>	
		<b>2014</b>	<b>2013</b>
MTS Turkmenistan	Consolidated	100.0%	100.0%
MTS Bermuda <sup>(1)</sup>	Consolidated	100.0%	100.0%
MTS Finance	Consolidated	100.0%	100.0%
MTS Ukraine	Consolidated	100.0%	100.0%
RTC	Consolidated	100.0%	100.0%
Sibintelecom	Consolidated	100.0%	100.0%
TVT <sup>(2)</sup>	Consolidated		100.0%
Sputnikovoe TV	Consolidated	100.0%	100.0%
Sistema Telecom <sup>(2)</sup>	Consolidated		100.0%
Elf Group <sup>(2)</sup>	Consolidated		100.0%
Intercom <sup>(2)</sup>	Consolidated		100.0%
Zheleznogorsk City Telephone Communications ("ZhelGorTeleCom") <sup>(2)</sup>	Consolidated		100.0%
Pilot <sup>(2)</sup>	Consolidated		100.0%
TVKiK <sup>(2)</sup>	Consolidated		100.0%
Dega	Consolidated	100.0%	100.0%
SMARTS	Consolidated	100.0%	
Metro-Telecom	Consolidated	95.0%	95.0%
MGTS	Consolidated	94.6%	94.6%
K-Telecom	Consolidated	80.0%	80.0%
UMS	Consolidated	50.01%	
MTS International Funding Limited ("MTS International")	Consolidated	VIE	VIE
Intellect Telecom	Equity	47.3%	47.3%
Stream	Equity	45.0%	45.0%
MTS Belarus	Equity	49.0%	49.0%
MTS Bank	Equity	27.0%	26.3%
OZON Holdings Limited	Equity	10.8%	

(1) A wholly-owned subsidiary established to repurchase the Group's ADSs.

(2) Merged with MTS OJSC on October 1, 2014.



Table of Contents**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012****(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)****2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS (Continued)**

The Group consolidates MTS International, a private company organized and existing as a private limited company under the laws of Ireland, which qualifies as a variable interest entity under Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 810, Consolidation.

The Group is the primary beneficiary of MTS International. MTS International was established for the purpose of raising capital through the issuance of debt securities on the Irish Stock Exchange followed by transferring the proceeds through a loan facility to the Group. In 2010 and 2013, MTS International issued \$750 million 8.625% notes due in 2020 and \$500 million 5.0% notes due in 2023, respectively (Note 17). In 2014 the Group repurchased Notes due in 2020 and 2023 with a nominal value of \$126.9 million (RUB 5,043 million) and \$21.5 million (RUB 781 million), respectively. The notes are guaranteed by MTS OJSC in the event of default. While the Group does not hold any equity in MTS International, it has concluded that it is the primary beneficiary by virtue of the fact that it has the power to direct the activities of MTS International that most significantly impact its performance and by virtue of the guarantee that exists which means the Group has the obligation to absorb losses of MTS International that could potentially be significant to MTS International.

The table below summarizes the assets and liabilities of MTS International as of December 31, 2014 and 2013:

	<b>December 31,</b>	
	<b>2014</b>	<b>2013</b>
Cash and cash equivalents	3	1
Intercompany receivable from MTS OJSC <sup>(1)</sup>	70,535	41,035
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>70,538</b>	<b>41,036</b>
Interest payable <sup>(2)</sup>	212	123
Notes payable due 2020 and 2023 <sup>(3)</sup>	70,323	40,912
Other payables	3	1
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>70,538</b>	<b>41,036</b>

---

(1) Eliminated in the Group consolidated statements of financial position.

(2) Relates to MTS International Notes due 2020 and 2023, thereof RUB 187 million and RUB 123 million are included in accrued liabilities in the Group consolidated statements of financial position as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

(3) RUB 61,977 million and RUB 40,912 million are included in notes payable, net of current portion, in the Group consolidated statements of financial position as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively (Note 17).



## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

The MTS International Notes due 2020 and 2023 and related interest payable are fully covered by intercompany receivables from MTS OJSC. MTS International does not perform any other activities except those required for notes servicing. The Group bears all costs incurred by MTS International in connection with the notes' maintenance activities. Such costs for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 amounted to RUB 4,080 million, RUB 2,535 million and RUB 2,011 million,

F-10

---

Table of Contents

**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

**FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012**

**(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)**

**2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS (Continued)**

respectively, and were included in interest expense reported by the Group in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income.

**Functional currency translation methodology** As of December 31, 2014, the functional currencies of Group entities were as follows:

For entities incorporated in the Russian Federation, MTS Bermuda, MTS Finance, Dega and MTS International the Russian Ruble ("RUB");

For MTS Ukraine the Ukrainian Hryvna;

For MTS Turkmenistan the Turkmenian Manat;

For K-Telecom the Armenian Dram;

For UMS the US Dollar;

For MTS Belarus the Russian Ruble.

Remeasurement of the financial statements into functional currencies, where applicable, and translation of financial statements into Russian Rubles has been performed as follows:

For entities whose records are not maintained in their functional currencies, monetary assets and liabilities have been remeasured at the period-end exchange rates. Non-monetary assets and liabilities have been remeasured at historical rates. Revenues, expenses and cash flows have been remeasured at average rates. Remeasurement differences resulting from the use of these rates have been accounted for as currency exchange and translation gains and losses in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income.

For entities whose records are maintained in their functional currency, which is other than the reporting currency, all year-end assets and liabilities have been translated into U.S. Dollars at the period-end exchange rate set by local central banks. Subsequently U.S. Dollars balances have been translated into Russian Rubles at the period-end exchange rate set by the Central Bank of Russia. Revenues and expenses have been translated at the average exchange rate for the period using cross-currency exchange rate via U.S. Dollar as described above. Translation differences resulting from the use of these rates are reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income in the consolidated statements of financial position.

**Management estimates** The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

Significant estimates include the allowance for doubtful accounts and inventory obsolescence, valuation allowance for deferred tax assets for which it is more likely than not the assets will not be realized, the valuation of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in business combinations and income

F-11

---

**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

**FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012**

**(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)**

**2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS (Continued)**

tax benefits, the recoverability of investments and the valuation of goodwill, intangible assets, other long-lived assets, redeemable noncontrolling interest, certain accrued liabilities and financial instruments.

**Cash and cash equivalents** Cash and cash equivalents represent cash on hand and in bank accounts and short-term investments, including term deposits, having original maturities of less than three months. The carrying value of these investments approximates their fair value.

**Short-term investments** Short-term investments mainly represent investments in loans, time deposits, which have original maturities in excess of three months and are repayable in less than twelve months, as well as investments in a mutual investment fund and debt securities. The investment in the mutual investment fund and debt securities were classified as available for sale and carried at fair value with unrealized gains and losses recorded as part of other comprehensive income. Deposits and loans are carried at amortized cost (Note 7).

**Other investments** Other investments consist primarily of long-term deposits, which are repayable in more than a year, loans and equity holdings in private companies. Deposits and loans are classified as held to maturity and carried at amortized cost. The Group reviews these investments for indicators of impairment on a regular basis. Investments in shares of companies over which the Group has no significant influence are carried at cost. The Group does not evaluate cost-method investments for impairment unless there is an indicator of impairment.

**Property, plant and equipment** Property, plant and equipment, including improvements, are stated at cost. Property, plant and equipment with a useful life of more than one year is capitalized at historical cost and depreciated on a straight-line basis over its expected useful life. Construction in progress and equipment held for installation is not depreciated until the constructed or installed asset is ready for its intended use. Maintenance and repair costs are expensed as incurred, while upgrades and improvements are capitalized.

**Other intangible assets** Other intangible assets primarily consist of billing, telecommunication, accounting and office software as well as numbering capacity and customer base. These assets are assets with finite useful lives. They are recognized at cost and amortized on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives.

**Accounts receivable** Accounts receivable are stated net of allowance for doubtful accounts.

**Allowance for doubtful accounts** The Group provides an allowance for doubtful accounts based on management's periodic review with respect to the recoverability of trade receivables, advances given, loans and other receivables. Such allowance reflects specific cases, collection trends or estimates based on evidence of collectability. For changes in the allowance for doubtful accounts receivable see Note 8.

**Inventory and spare parts** Inventory is stated at the lower of cost or market value. Inventory cost is determined using the weighted average cost method. Handsets and accessories held for sale are expensed when sold. The Group regularly assesses its inventories for obsolete and slow-moving stock.

**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

**FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012**

**(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)**

**2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS (Continued)**

**Value-added tax ("VAT")** Value-added tax related to sales is payable to the tax authorities on an accrual basis based upon invoices issued to the customer. VAT incurred for purchases may be reclaimed from the state, subject to certain restrictions, against VAT related to sales.

**Income taxes** Income taxes of the Group's Russia-incorporated entities have been computed in accordance with Russian legislation. The corporate income tax rate in Russia is 20%. The income tax rate on dividends paid within Russia is 9%. The foreign subsidiaries of the Group are paying income taxes in their respective jurisdictions. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities in the consolidated financial statements and the tax bases of assets and liabilities that will result in future taxable or deductible amounts. The deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using the enacted tax laws and rates applicable to the periods in which the differences are expected to affect taxable income. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance when, in the opinion of management, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. In making such determination, the Group considers all available information, including future reversals of existing taxable temporary differences, projected taxable income, tax strategies and recent financial results.

Uncertain tax positions are recognized in the consolidated financial statements for positions which are considered more likely than not of being sustained based on the technical merits of the position on audit by the tax authorities. The measurement of the tax benefit recognized in the consolidated financial statements is based upon the largest amount of tax benefit that, in management's judgment, is more than 50% likely of being realized based on a cumulative probability assessment of the possible outcomes.

The Group recognizes interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits within income taxes.

**Assets held for sale** The Group classifies assets and liabilities as held for sale when all the following conditions have been met: (i) management having the authority to approve the action, commits to a plan to sell the asset (disposal group); (ii) the asset (disposal group) is available for immediate sale in its present condition; (iii) an active program to locate a buyer and other actions required to complete the plan to sell have been initiated; (iv) the sale is probable and transfer of the assets (disposal group) is expected to qualify for recognition as a completed sale, within one year; (v) the asset (the disposal group) is being marketed at a reasonable price; and (vi) it is unlikely that the plan will be changed significantly or withdrawn. Held for sale assets are measured at the lower of carrying amount or fair value less cost to sell.

**Asset retirement obligations** The Group calculates asset retirement obligations and an associated asset retirement cost when the Group has a legal or constructive obligation in connection with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets. The Group's obligations relate primarily to the cost of removing its equipment from sites. The Group recorded the present value of asset retirement obligations as other long-term liabilities in the consolidated statements of financial position.

Table of Contents

**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

**FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012**

**(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)**

**2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS (Continued)**

**License costs** License costs are being amortized during the initial license period without consideration of possible future renewals, subject to periodic review for impairment, on a straight-line basis over the period of validity, which varies from three to fifteen years.

**Goodwill** For acquisitions before January 1, 2009 goodwill represents the excess of the consideration paid over the fair value of the net identifiable assets acquired in business combinations and is not amortized. For acquisitions after January 1, 2009 goodwill is determined as the excess of the consideration transferred plus the fair value of any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree at the acquisition date over the fair values of the identifiable net assets acquired. Goodwill is reviewed for impairment at least annually or whenever it is determined that one or more impairment indicators exist. The Group determines whether impairment has occurred by assigning goodwill to the reporting unit identified in accordance with FASB ASC 350, Intangibles Goodwill and Other, and comparing the carrying amount of the reporting unit to its fair value. If an impairment of goodwill has occurred, the Group recognizes a loss for the difference between the carrying amount and the implied fair value of goodwill. During the year ended December 31, 2012 the Group recognized goodwill impairment in amount of RUB 3,523 million related to Uzdurobita litigation (Note 4) which is included in net income / (loss) from discontinued operations in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income.

**Impairment of long-lived assets** The Group periodically evaluates the recoverability of the carrying amount of its long-lived assets. Whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amounts of those assets may not be recoverable, the Group compares undiscounted net cash flows estimated to be generated by those assets to their carrying amount. When the undiscounted cash flows are less than the carrying amounts of the assets, the Group records impairment losses to write the assets down to fair value, measured by estimating the discounted net future cash flows expected to be generated from the use of the assets. None of the Group's long-lived assets were impaired in 2014 and 2013. An impairment loss in the amount of RUB 16,514 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 was recognized by the Group subsidiaries in Uzbekistan as a result of the events described in Note 4 and included in net income / (loss) from discontinued operations.

**Subscriber prepayments** The Group requires the majority of its customers to pay in advance for telecommunications services. All amounts received in advance of services provided are recorded as a subscriber prepayment liability and are not recognized as revenues until the related services have been provided to the subscriber.

**Treasury stock** Shares of common stock repurchased by the Group are recorded at cost as treasury stock and reduce the shareholders' equity in the Group's consolidated financial statements.

**Revenue recognition** Revenue includes all revenues from the ordinary business activities of the Group. Revenues are recorded net of value-added tax and recognized in the accounting period in which they are earned in accordance with the realization principle.

Table of Contents

## OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012

(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)

**2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS (Continued)**

Revenues derived from wireless, local telephone, long distance, data and video services are recognized when services are provided. This is based upon either usage (minutes of traffic processed, volume of data transmitted) or period of time (monthly subscription fees).

Content revenue is presented net of related costs when the Group acts as an agent of the content providers while gross revenue and related costs are recorded when the Group acts as a primary obligor in the arrangement.

Upfront fees received for connection of new subscribers, installation and activation of wireless, wireline and data transmission services ("connection fees") are deferred and recognized over the estimated average subscriber life, as follows:

Mobile subscribers	1 year - 12.5 years
Residential wireline voice phone subscribers	15 years
Residential subscribers of broadband internet service	1 year
Other fixed line subscribers	3 - 5 years

The Group calculates an average life of mobile subscribers for each region in which it operates and amortizes connection fees based on the average life specific to that region.

**Regulated services** Regulated services provided by the Group primarily consist of local telephone services and services rendered to other operators, such as traffic charges, connection fees and line rental services. Changes in the rate structure for such services are subject to the Federal Tariff Service approval.

Revenue from regulated tariff services represented approximately 5.2%, 5.7% and 6.5% of the consolidated revenue for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. This does not include revenue attributable to discontinued operations (Note 4).

**Leasing arrangements** The Group classifies lease arrangements as capital or operating leases depending on their nature. Rentals payable under operating leases are charged to the statement of operations and comprehensive income on a straight line basis over the term of the relevant lease. For capital leases, the present value of future minimum lease payments at the inception of the lease is recognised as an asset and a liability in the statement of financial position.

**Customer incentives** Incentives provided to customers are usually offered on signing a new contract or as part of a promotional offering. Incentives, representing the reduction of the selling price of the service (free minutes and discounts) are recorded in the period to which they relate, when the respective revenue is recognized, as a reduction to both trade receivables and service revenue.

The Group regularly provides special incentives to its retail customers. Generally the Group sells mobile devices of worldwide known brands with an offer of free telecommunication services for a time period from one to twelve months. Such arrangements with a customer provide for two deliverables – a mobile device delivered immediately and mobile services to be consumed in the future. Both deliverables in the arrangement qualify as separate units of accounting. The consideration received

Table of Contents

**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

**FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012**

**(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)**

**2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS (Continued)**

from a customer is allocated between the deliverables based on their standalone value on the market, which is deemed to be a vendor-specific objective evidence of selling price. Revenue on the devices sales is recognized at the moment of their sale, and the revenue on provision of free telecommunication services is deferred and recognized in line with their consumption by a subscriber. Revenue generated from multiple-element arrangements in the amount of RUB 961 million and RUB 3,276 million were recognized in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The amount recognized for the year ended December 31, 2012 was not significant. The Group's multiple-element arrangements stipulate no performance-, cancellation-, termination- and refund-type provisions.

**Prepaid cards** The Group sells prepaid cards to subscribers separately from the handset. Prepaid cards, used as a method of cash collection, are accounted for as customer advances. These cards allow subscribers to make a predetermined allotment of wireless phone calls and / or take advantage of other services offered by the Group, such as short messages and value-added services. Revenue from the sale of prepaid cards is deferred until the service is rendered to the customer, whereby the customer uses the airtime or the card expires.

**Roaming discounts** The Group enters into roaming discount agreements with a number of wireless operators. According to the terms of the agreements the Group is obliged to provide and entitled to receive a discount that is generally dependent on the volume of inter operator roaming traffic. The Group accounts for discounts received from and granted to roaming partners in accordance with FASB ASC 650, Revenue Recognition. The Group uses various estimates and assumptions, based on historical data and adjusted for known changes, to determine the amount of discount to be received or granted. Such estimates are adjusted monthly to reflect newly-available information.

The Group accounts for discounts received as a reduction of roaming expenses and discounts granted as reduction of roaming revenue. The Group considers terms of the various roaming discount agreements in order to determine the appropriate presentation of the amounts receivable from and payable to its roaming partners in its consolidated statements of financial position.

**Sales and marketing expenses** Sales and marketing expenses consist primarily of dealers' commissions and advertising costs. Dealers' commissions are linked to revenues received during the six-month period from the date a new subscriber is activated by a dealer. The Group expenses these costs as incurred. Advertising costs for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, were RUB 8,313 million, RUB 8,463 million and RUB 7,908 million, respectively.

**Retirement benefit and social security costs** The Group contributes to the local state pension and social funds on behalf of all its employees.

In Russia all social contributions paid during the year ended December 31, 2014 are represented by payments to governmental social funds, including the Pension Fund of the Russian Federation, the Social Security Fund of the Russian Federation and the Medical Insurance Fund of the Russian Federation. The contributions are expensed as incurred. The amount of social contributions recognized



Table of Contents

**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

**FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012**

**(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)**

**2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS (Continued)**

by the Group in Russia amounted to RUB 8,064 million, RUB 7,535 million and RUB 6,512 million in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

MGTS, a subsidiary of the Group, has historically offered its employees certain benefits upon and after retirement. The cost of such benefits includes interest costs, current service costs, amortization of prior service costs and net actuarial loss / gain. The expense is recognized during an employee's years of active service with MGTS. The recognition of expense for retirement pension plans is impacted by estimates made by management such as discount rates used to value certain liabilities, expected return on assets, future rates of compensation increase and other related assumptions. The Group accounts for pension plans in accordance with FASB ASC 715, Compensation Retirement Benefits.

In Ukraine, Uzbekistan, Turkmenistan and Armenia the subsidiaries of the Group are required to contribute a specified percentage of each employee's payroll up to a fixed limit to the local pension, unemployment and social security funds. Payments to the pension fund in Ukraine amounted to RUB 1,535 million, RUB 2,803 million and RUB 2,493 million for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Amounts contributed to the pension funds in Uzbekistan, Turkmenistan and Armenia were not significant.

**Redeemable noncontrolling interest** From time to time, to optimize the structure of business acquisitions and to defer payment of the purchase price, the Group enters into put and call option agreements to acquire the remaining noncontrolling stakes in newly acquired subsidiaries. As these put and call option agreements are not freestanding, the underlying shares of such put and call options are classified as redeemable securities and are accounted for at redemption value which is the fair value of redeemable noncontrolling interests as of the reporting date. The fair value of redeemable noncontrolling interests is measured using discounted future cash flows techniques, subject to applicable caps. The noncontrolling interest is measured at fair value using a discounted cash flow technique utilizing significant unobservable inputs ("Level 3" significant unobservable inputs of the hierarchy established by the U.S. GAAP guidance). Changes in the redemption value of redeemable noncontrolling interests are accounted for in the Group's retained earnings. Redeemable noncontrolling interests are presented as temporary equity in the consolidated statements of financial position.

**Financial instruments and hedging activities** The Group uses derivative instruments, including interest rate and foreign currency swaps, to manage foreign currency and interest rate risk exposures. The Group measures derivatives at fair value and recognizes them as either other current or other non-current assets or liabilities in the consolidated statements of financial position. Cash flows from derivatives are classified according to their nature. The Group reviews its fair value hierarchy classifications on a quarterly basis. Changes in significant observable valuation inputs identified during these reviews may trigger reclassification of fair value hierarchy levels of financial assets and liabilities. During the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, no reclassifications occurred. The fair value measurement of the Group's derivative instruments is based on the observable yield curves for similar instruments ("Level 2" of the hierarchy established by the U.S. GAAP guidance).

The Group designates derivatives as either fair value hedges or cash flow hedges in case the required criteria are met. Changes in the fair value of derivatives that are designated and qualify as fair

Table of Contents

**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

**FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012**

**(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)**

**2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS (Continued)**

value hedges are recorded in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income together with any changes in the fair value of the hedged asset or liability that is attributed to the hedged risk.

The effective portion of changes in the fair value of derivatives that are designated and qualify as cash flow hedges are recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income. Gains and losses associated with the related hedged items are recognized in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income, depending on their nature.

The gain or loss relating to the ineffective portion is recognized immediately in earnings in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income.

For derivatives that do not meet the conditions for hedge accounting, gains and losses from changes in the fair value are included in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (Note 21).

Assets and liabilities related to multiple derivative contracts with one counterparty are not offset by the Group.

The Group does not use financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

**Fair value of financial instruments** The fair value of financial instruments, consisting of cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments, receivables and payables, which are included in current assets and liabilities, approximates the carrying value of these items due to the short-term nature of these amounts. The fair value of issued notes as of December 31, 2014 is disclosed in Note 17 and is based on quoted prices in active markets ("Level 1" of the hierarchy established by the U.S. GAAP guidance). The fair value of variable rate debt approximates its carrying value as of December 31, 2014. The fair value of fixed rate bank loans is disclosed in Note 22 and is measured by discounting future cash flows using current market rates.

Fair value of financial and non-financial assets and liabilities is defined as an exit price, representing the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. The three-tier hierarchy for inputs used in measuring fair value, which prioritizes the inputs used in the methodologies of measuring fair value for assets and liabilities, is as follows:

Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;

Level 2 Observable inputs other than quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities;

Level 3 No observable pricing inputs in the market.

Financial assets and financial liabilities are classified in three-tier hierarchy based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurements. The Group's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurements requires judgment, and may affect the

Table of Contents

**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

**FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012**

**(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)**

**2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS (Continued)**

valuation of the assets and liabilities being measured and their placement within the fair value hierarchy.

**Stock-based compensation** The Group accounts for stock-based compensation under FASB ASC 718, Compensation - Stock Compensation. Under the provisions of this guidance, companies must calculate and record the cost of equity instruments, such as stock options awarded to employees for services received, in the statements of operations and comprehensive income. The cost of the equity instruments is to be measured based on the fair value of the instruments on the date they are granted (with certain exceptions) and recognized over the period during which the employees are required to provide services in exchange for equity instruments. Compensation cost related to phantom stock options granted to the Group's employees recognized in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 amounted to and RUB 1,017.2 million, RUB 483.0 million and RUB 1,445.8 million, respectively.

**Concentration of credit risk** Financial instruments that potentially subject the Group to significant concentrations of credit risk consist principally of cash and cash equivalents, investments, trade accounts receivable, loans and derivatives. The Group maintains cash and cash equivalents, investments, derivatives and certain other financial instruments with various financial institutions. These financial institutions are located in many different geographical regions, and the Group's policy is designed to limit exposure to any one institution. As part of its risk management processes, the Group performs periodic evaluations of the relative credit ratings of financial institutions (refer to Note 5 for description of political and economic risks in Ukraine).

Concentrations of credit risk with respect to trade receivables are limited due to a highly diversified customer base, which includes a large number of individuals, private businesses and state-financed institutions.

**New and recently adopted accounting pronouncements** In May 2014, FASB amended the existing accounting standards for revenue recognition. The amendments are based on the principle that revenue should be recognized to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. The Group is required to adopt the amendments in the reporting period starting after December 15, 2017. Early adoption is not permitted. The amendments may be applied retrospectively to each prior period presented or retrospectively with the cumulative effect recognized as of the date of initial application. The Group is currently evaluating the impact of these amendments and the transition alternatives on the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012****(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)****3. BUSINESS ACQUISITIONS AND DISPOSALS****Acquisitions in 2014**

**Acquisition of Smarts companies** In December 2014 the Group acquired controlling stakes in Penza-GSM, SMARTS-Ivanovo and SMARTS-Ufa, operating in Penza, Ivanovo and the Bashkortostan Republic, respectively. The acquired companies hold rights to use 900 and 1800 MHz radio frequencies. The acquisition enhances the Group's spectrum resources in the above regions. The purchase price comprised of cash consideration and a deferred payment, payable in 18 months after the acquisition date. The acquisition was accounted for using the purchase method of accounting.

The following table summarizes the preliminary purchase price allocation for regional mobile operators acquired during the year ended December 31, 2014:

	<b>SMARTS-Ivanovo</b>	<b>SMARTS-Ufa</b>	<b>Penza-GSM</b>	<b>Total</b>
Month of acquisition	December	December	December	
Region of operations	Central region	Volga region	Volga region	
Ownership interest acquired	100%	100%	100%	
Current assets	24	47	97	<b>168</b>
Property, plant and equipment	68	94	196	<b>358</b>
Rights to use radio frequencies	455	434	571	<b>1,460</b>
Goodwill	41	182	1,407	<b>1,630</b>
Customer base	21	13	44	<b>78</b>
Other non-current assets			165	<b>165</b>
Current liabilities	(88)	(268)	(327)	<b>(683)</b>
Non-current liabilities	(95)	(101)	(123)	<b>(319)</b>
Contingent consideration	(2)	(2)	(96)	<b>(100)</b>
<b>Consideration paid</b>	<b>424</b>	<b>399</b>	<b>1,934</b>	<b>2,757</b>

The purchase price allocation of SMARTS-Ivanovo, SMARTS-Ufa and Penza-GSM was not finalized as of the date of these financial statements as the Group had not completed the valuation of individual assets of each company acquired. The Group's consolidated financial statements reflect the allocation of the purchase price based on a preliminary fair value assessment of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed. The excess of the consideration paid over the value of net assets in the amount of RUB 1,630 million was preliminarily allocated to goodwill and was attributable to the "Russia convergent" segment.

Under the terms of purchase agreements the Group is obliged to pay additional consideration of RUB 150 million in 18 months after the acquisition date. The consideration could be reduced by the amount of tax expenses related to activities prior to the acquisition date. As of the acquisition date, the Group recorded a provision for tax liabilities in the amount of RUB 24 million and respectively reduced the additional consideration. The purchase price allocation as of the acquisition date reflected preliminary estimation of the fair value of the contingent consideration.

Table of Contents

## OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012

(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)

**3. BUSINESS ACQUISITIONS AND DISPOSALS (Continued)**

Rights to use radio frequencies recognized as a result of the acquisition are amortized over a period of their remaining useful life as of the acquisition date ranging from 7 to 8 years. Customer base recognized as a result of the acquisition is amortized over the period of its estimated average useful life of 31 months.

**Pro forma results of operations (unaudited)** The following unaudited pro forma financial data for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, give effect to the 2014 acquisitions of SMARTS-Ivanovo, SMARTS-Ufa and Penza-GSM as though these business combinations had been completed at the beginning of 2012.

	2014	2013	2012
Pro forma:			
Net revenues	411,353	399,161	378,938
Net income	51,598	79,738	29,665

The pro forma information is based on various assumptions and estimates. The pro forma information is neither necessarily indicative of the operating results that would have occurred if the Group acquisitions had been consummated as of January 1, 2012, nor is it necessarily indicative of future operating results. The pro forma information does not give effect to any potential revenue enhancements or cost synergies or other operating efficiencies that could result from the acquisitions. The actual results of operations of these companies are included into the consolidated financial statements of the Group only from the respective dates of acquisition.

Since their respective acquisition dates, companies acquired in 2014 contributed revenue in the amount of RUB 3 million and net loss in the amount of RUB 4 million to consolidated statement of operations and comprehensive income for the year ended December 31, 2014.

**Disposal in 2013**

**Disposal of Business-Nedvizhimost** In December 2013, the Group sold a 51% stake in Business-Nedvizhimost CJSC to Sistema for RUB 3.2 billion. Business-Nedvizhimost owns and manages 76 real estate sites and 44 real estate facilities throughout Moscow with a total area of roughly 178,000 sq. m. After the loss of control over the subsidiary, the Group deconsolidated Business-Nedvizhimost and applied for its 49% interest the equity method of accounting. In April 2014, the Group sold the remaining 49% stake to Sistema for RUB 3.1 billion. The disposal was accounted for as a transaction under common control directly in equity.

**Acquisitions and disposals in 2012**

**Acquisitions of controlling interests in regional fixed line operators** In 2012, as part of its program of regional expansion, the Group acquired controlling interests in a number of fixed line operators in certain regions of Russia. The purchase price for these acquisitions was paid in cash. The acquisitions were accounted for using the acquisition method of accounting.

Table of Contents**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012****(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)****3. BUSINESS ACQUISITIONS AND DISPOSALS (Continued)**

The following table summarizes the purchase price allocation for regional fixed line operators acquired during the year ended December 31, 2012:

	<b>Elf Group</b>	<b>Intercom</b>	<b>ZhelGorTeleCom</b>	<b>Pilot &amp; TVKiK</b>	<b>Total</b>
Month of acquisition	August	August	October	October	
Region of operations	Central region	Volga region	Central region	Central region	
Ownership interest acquired	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Current assets	6	9	4	3	<b>22</b>
Property, plant and equipment	49	11	3	21	<b>84</b>
Goodwill	172	62	115	55	<b>404</b>
Customer base	45	29	54	22	<b>150</b>
Current liabilities	(44)	(15)	(13)	(6)	<b>(78)</b>
Non-current liabilities	(9)	(6)	(11)	(4)	<b>(30)</b>
Fair value of contingent consideration	(28)	(10)	(5)		<b>(43)</b>
<b>Consideration paid</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>147</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>509</b>

The Group's consolidated financial statements reflect the allocation of the purchase price based on a fair value assessment of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed.

Customer base intangibles recognized as a result of the acquisitions are being amortized over a period ranging from 7 to 9 years depending on the type of subscribers.

The recognition of goodwill in the amount of RUB 404 million from the acquisitions is due to the economic potential of the markets in which the acquired companies operate and synergies arising from the acquisitions. Goodwill is attributable to the "Russia convergent" segment.

**Tascom** In May 2012, the Group acquired a 100% stake in Tascom CJSC ("Tascom"), a market leader in providing telecommunication services to corporate clients in Moscow and the Moscow region, for RUB 1,437 million. The seller has indemnified the Group against all losses which arise in connection with liability for taxation matters relating to the pre-acquisition period. As of the acquisition date the Group recorded a provision for tax liabilities and a related indemnification asset in the amount of RUB 236 million relating to this warranty. As of December 31, 2014 the amount of the indemnification asset and related provision for tax liabilities was reduced to RUB 43 million.

The Group also should pay to the seller any amounts received for the services rendered by Tascom prior to the acquisition date, capped at RUB 400 million this contingent consideration arrangement was recorded at fair value of RUB 170 million which was determined based on unobservable inputs ("Level 3" of the hierarchy established by the U.S. GAAP guidance). The fair value was measured as the best estimate of all possible outcomes. During 2012-2013, the contingent consideration in the amount of RUB 170 million was completely paid to the seller.

Table of Contents**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012****(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)****3. BUSINESS ACQUISITIONS AND DISPOSALS (Continued)**

The acquisition was accounted for using the acquisition method of accounting. The summary of the purchase price allocation for the acquisition was as follows:

Current assets	489
Property, plant and equipment	586
Goodwill	1,083
Customer base	168
Other non-current assets	188
Current liabilities	(800)
Non-current liabilities	(107)
Fair value of contingent consideration	(170)
<b>Consideration paid</b>	<b>1,437</b>

The excess of the consideration paid over the value of net assets acquired in the amount of RUB 1,083 million was allocated to goodwill which was attributable to the "Moscow fixed line" segment and is not deductible for income tax purposes. Goodwill is mainly attributable to the expected synergies from increase of market share and reduction of capital expenditures to be made by the Group to construct optical fiber network.

**Disposal of Stream** In May 2012, MTS and Sistema signed a shareholders agreement with respect to the management of Stream LLC ("Stream"), which owns and manages Stream.ru. In addition Sistema contributed RUB 496.1 million into Stream's charter capital giving it an ownership of 55% of Stream, thereby reducing MTS's direct ownership in Stream from 100% to 45%. After a loss of control over the subsidiary, the Group deconsolidated Stream and accounted for its interest using the equity method. The disposal was accounted for as transaction under common control directly in equity.

**4. OPERATIONS IN UZBEKISTAN**

In June 2012, the authorities of the Republic of Uzbekistan commenced repeat audits of previously audited financial and operating activities of MTS' wholly owned subsidiary Uzdunrobota. On July 17, 2012, Uzdunrobota suspended its services in Uzbekistan pursuant to the order of the State Agency for Communications and Information of Uzbekistan (the "SACI") temporarily suspending the operating license of Uzdunrobota for a period of ten business days. This suspension was subsequently extended to three months due to the decision of the Tashkent Economic Court of July 30, 2012.

On August 6 and 7, 2012, fourteen regional antimonopoly departments of the Republic of Uzbekistan simultaneously held hearings and declared that Uzdunrobota had violated antimonopoly laws, consumer protection laws and laws governing advertisements. In total, the claims of the regional antimonopoly departments against Uzdunrobota amounted to approximately RUB 2,558 million. This amount was subsequently reduced by the superior antimonopoly regulator to RUB 416 million in the aggregate. The disputes with the antimonopoly authorities were dismissed after payments were made by Uzdunrobota pursuant to the Appeal Decision (as defined below).

Table of Contents

**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

**FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012**

**(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)**

**4. OPERATIONS IN UZBEKISTAN (Continued)**

On August 13, 2012, the Tashkent Economic Court granted the petition of the SACI to terminate all operating licenses of Uzdunrobota permanently. This decision was subsequently upheld by the appeals and cassation instance courts on August 27, 2012 and April 4, 2013, respectively.

Notwithstanding the fact that a tax audit of Uzdunrobota's operations for the period of 2007-2010 was completed in February 2012 and did not reveal any serious violations, further tax audits were conducted and purported to find alleged violations of licensing regulations as well as income and other tax legislation resulting in the imposition of additional taxes and fines totaling approximately RUB 28,776 million. This amount was subsequently reduced to RUB 21,390 million in the aggregate.

During September-October of 2012, RUB 201 million were seized from Uzdunrobota's bank accounts by the Uzbek State and applied to settle its alleged liabilities under these claims.

On September 17, 2012, the Tashkent City Criminal Court issued a ruling in favor of the Uzbek state authorities authorizing the confiscation of all assets of Uzdunrobota based on a criminal court's verdict which the Tashkent City Criminal Court issued against four employees of Uzdunrobota. Previously, Uzbek law enforcement agencies arrested all of Uzdunrobota's assets, including cash held in local bank accounts.

On November 8, 2012, the Appellate Instance of the Tashkent City Criminal Court allowed Uzdunrobota's appeal challenging the decision of the Tashkent City Criminal Court dated September 17, 2012.

The appeals court found that all damages (taxes, sanctions, unpaid licenses duties and damages to customers) incurred by the State were to be compensated by Uzdunrobota. The amount of damages was calculated on the basis of all of the aforementioned claims against Uzdunrobota, which amounted to RUB 18,375 million to be paid in eight equal monthly instalments (the "Appeal Decision").

In accordance with applicable Uzbek laws, Uzdunrobota petitioned the Deputy General Prosecutor to challenge the Appeal Decision before the Supreme Court of Uzbekistan and grant a stay of enforcement of the Appeal Decision. However, such petitions were rejected by the General Prosecutor's Office on January 8, 2013.

Following this rejection, Uzdunrobota immediately filed a further petition to appeal to the Supreme Court of Uzbekistan with the Chairman of the Supreme Court of Uzbekistan. On January 23, 2013, the Company was notified that the matter had been submitted by the Supreme Court for consideration by the Chairman of the Tashkent City Court. On May 2, 2013, the Chairman of the Tashkent City Court rejected Uzdunrobota's petition.

In order to comply with the Appeal Decision, Uzdunrobota paid two scheduled installments in November and December 2012 totaling RUB 4,584 million. On January 14, 2013, subsequent to the payment of a portion (RUB 242 million) of the third installment due in January 2013 with all cash remaining in Uzdunrobota's bank accounts, Uzdunrobota filed a petition for voluntary bankruptcy with the Tashkent Economic Court due to its inability to meet its further obligations arising out of the Appeal Decision. On January 18, 2013, the Court initiated bankruptcy proceedings and appointed an



Table of Contents**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012****(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)****4. OPERATIONS IN UZBEKISTAN (Continued)**

external temporary supervisor over Uzdunrobita, and scheduled a further bankruptcy hearing which took place on April 22, 2013.

Considering the adverse impact of such circumstances on the Group's ability to conduct operations in Uzbekistan, the Group tested goodwill and other long-lived assets attributable to Uzbekistan for impairment upon first receiving notification of the investigations. As a result, an impairment loss on the long-lived assets presented in the table below was recorded in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income for the year ended December 31, 2012. In 2013 these losses were assigned to discontinued operations:

	<b>Impairment loss</b>
Property, plant and equipment	8,438
Licenses	2,709
Rights to use radio frequencies	2,523
Numbering capacity	1,190
Software and other intangible assets	1,654
Goodwill	3,523
<b>Total impairment loss related to goodwill and long-lived assets</b>	<b>20,037</b>

The Group used a probability-weighted valuation technique to determine the fair value of the long-lived assets as of December 31, 2012, which was determined based on unobservable inputs ("Level 3" of the hierarchy established by U.S. GAAP guidance). In calculating the future cash flows for use in the assessment of the fair value of long-lived assets, the Group used forecasts for the Uzbekistan telecommunication market and Uzdunrobita's position in that market. The forecasts were based on all available internal and external information, including growth projections and industry experts' estimates.

Separate to the impairments recognized, a liability of RUB 12,706 million relating to the claims was recorded with an associated charge to the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income for the year ended December 31, 2012.

On April 22, 2013, the Tashkent Economic Court declared Uzdunrobita bankrupt and initiated six-month liquidation procedures. In accordance with the terms of local liquidation procedures, Uzdunrobita's CEO was relieved of his duties and all of the oversight and governance over Uzdunrobita was transferred to the liquidation administrator. As a result the Group lost control over the subsidiary and deconsolidated Uzdunrobita.

The results of operations of Uzdunrobita are reported as discontinued operations in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income and consolidated statements of cash flows for all periods presented. The consolidated statement of financial position was not retrospectively adjusted on discontinued operations. The gain on disposal recognized in the amount of RUB 3,682 million related to the recycling from accumulated other comprehensive income of the

Table of Contents**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012****(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)****4. OPERATIONS IN UZBEKISTAN (Continued)**

cumulative translation adjustment attributable to Uzdurobita. The results of discontinued operations of Uzdurobita for the year ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

	2013	2012
Total revenues		8,357
Income / (loss) before income tax	1,109	(34,171)
Income tax (expense) / benefit	(1,058)	1,325
Gain on disposal, net of tax	3,682	
<b>Income / (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax</b>	<b>3,733</b>	<b>(32,846)</b>

In July 2013, two rounds of auctions were set and held in relation to the sale of assets of Uzdurobita and all of its branches. All auctions were recognized as having failed due to the absence of applications by any interested bidders.

The Group filed a claim against the Republic of Uzbekistan in the International Center for Settlement of Investment Disputes ("ICSID"), which is part of the World Bank Group, in Washington, D.C. The claim was registered on November 15, 2012. A tribunal was formed on August 29, 2013 and the first procedural hearings took place in November 2013.

In July 2014 the dispute between MTS and the Republic of Uzbekistan was resolved. The parties signed a settlement agreement (the "Settlement Agreement") and according to its terms all mutual claims were eliminated. The Settlement Agreement is governed by English law and provides for resolution of any disputes arising out of the Settlement agreement in the International Court of Arbitration under the International Chamber of Commerce in Paris. ICSID has discontinued international arbitration proceedings between the Group and the Republic of Uzbekistan following the submission of a joint application by both parties.

The Settlement Agreement primarily addressed two separate elements – the aforementioned elimination of all mutual claims filed by MTS and the Republic of Uzbekistan and, certain guarantees granted to MTS in connection with its re-entry into the Republic of Uzbekistan. Consideration received, in total, had a fair value of RUB 6,734 million (see below for further details).

Following unsuccessful tenders on sale of Uzdurobita equipment, the representatives of the Republic of Uzbekistan and MTS commenced negotiations in relation to MTS' return to the market. The government authorities provided certain guarantees to MTS in relation to the protection of any future investment in the Republic of Uzbekistan to encourage the return of MTS to the market. Also, the Republic of Uzbekistan established a legal entity, Universal Mobile Systems LLC ("UMS"), with such entity having no legal connection to the previously liquidated entity, Uzdurobita. UMS was granted 2G, 3G and LTE licenses valid till 2029, and received frequencies, numbering capacity and other permits required for the launch of operations. On September 24, 2014, a 50.01% ownership interest in UMS was transferred to the Group by the State Unitary Enterprise "Center of radio communications, radio broadcasting and television" on behalf of the Republic of Uzbekistan. Independent appraisers hired by the Group determined the total fair value of UMS to be RUB 9,062 million as of the transfer date.

Table of Contents**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012****(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)****4. OPERATIONS IN UZBEKISTAN (Continued)**

Based on the aforementioned fair value assessment of a 50.01% stake in UMS, the Group recognized a gain from reentrance into Uzbekistan pursuant to the Settlement Agreement in the amount of RUB 6,734 million. Management concluded that this consideration related to, in its entirety, a financial incentive to encourage re-entry into the Republic of Uzbekistan and as such, recognition in continuing operations was appropriate. No element was allocated to the non-satisfaction and elimination of mutual claims as this was deemed to have minimal value.

The allocation of consideration received between elements where the settlement of litigation is involved is highly judgmental. In this case, management considered, among other things the terms of the settlement arrangement as well as the development of the negotiations process itself, in which members of MTS management were involved.

The Group consolidates UMS in accordance with FASB ASC 810, Consolidation, starting September 24, 2014, representing the date of transfer of ownership. Below is the summary of fair value allocation regarding the incentive arrangement:

Current assets	26
Property, plant and equipment	3,848
Other intangible assets	5,161
Other non-current assets	1,327
Current liabilities	(30)
Non-current liabilities	(25)
Non-controlling interest	(3,573)
Gain from reentrance into Uzbekistan	(6,734)

**Consideration paid**

The fair value of non-controlling interest as of the date of consolidation in the amount of RUB 3,573 million was determined based on a discounted cash flow technique utilizing significant unobservable inputs ("Level 3" significant unobservable inputs of the hierarchy established by the U.S. GAAP guidance). The key assumptions in the fair value calculations included a discount rate of 24.1% and average price per minute of voice services amounting to RUB 0.56.

**5. POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC CRISIS IN UKRAINE**

During the year ended December 31, 2014, a deterioration in the political environment of Ukraine has led to general instability, economic deterioration and armed conflict in eastern Ukraine. The deterioration has further exacerbated the country's already weak macroeconomic trends, which have led to reduced credit ratings, significant depreciation of its national currency and increased inflation. During 2014, the Ukrainian Parliament adopted a law allowing for the imposition of sanctions against countries, persons and companies deemed by the Ukrainian government to threaten Ukrainian national interests, national security, sovereignty or the territorial integrity of Ukraine. The National Bank of Ukraine (NBU) passed a decree prohibiting Ukrainian companies to pay dividends to foreign investors. These circumstances, combined with continued political and economic instability in the country, could result in further negative impact on our business including our financial position and results of

Table of Contents**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012****(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)****5. POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC CRISIS IN UKRAINE (Continued)**

operations (refer to Note 29 for segment data on Ukraine). Such risks especially apply to funds deposited in Ukrainian banks, whose liquidity is affected by the economic downturn. As of December 31, 2014, the Group held RUB 21,203 million in current accounts and deposits in Ukrainian banks, including RUB 5,072 million in Delta Bank. In December 2014, Delta Bank delayed customer payments and put limits on cash withdrawals. On March 2, 2015, NBU adopted a resolution declaring Delta Bank to be insolvent. The Group treated this declaration as a recognized subsequent event and reserved the full amount of deposited funds (RUB 5,072 million) and related interest (RUB 66 million) as of December 31, 2014.

**6. CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS**

Cash and cash equivalents as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 comprised the following:

	<b>December 31,</b>	
	<b>2014</b>	<b>2013</b>
Russian Ruble current accounts	9,767	5,900
Russian Ruble deposit accounts	19,272	14,215
U.S. Dollar current accounts	11,412	1,336
U.S. Dollar deposit accounts	7,404	7,503
Euro current accounts	3,579	395
Euro deposit accounts	1,901	136
Hryvna current accounts	1,471	87
Hryvna deposit accounts	4,973	276
Uzbek som current accounts	341	
Turkmenian manat current accounts	1,162	697
Armenian dram current accounts	72	67
Armenian dram deposit accounts	56	
<b>Total cash and cash equivalents</b>	<b>61,410</b>	<b>30,612</b>

Table of Contents**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012****(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)****7. SHORT-TERM INVESTMENTS**

Short-term investments as of December 31, 2014 comprised the following:

<b>Type of investment</b>	<b>Classification</b>	<b>Annual interest rate</b>	<b>Maturity date</b>	<b>Amount</b>
Loan receivable from Mr. P. Fattouche and Mr. M. Fattouche (Note 16)	Held to maturity	6%	December 2015	5,533
Deposits			January 2015 - December 2015	
	Held to maturity	4 - 16%		3,534
Sistema Notes due in 2016 (series 04) (related party) (Note 25)	Available for sale	7.65%		534
Loan receivable from Navigation Information Systems (related party) (Note 25)	Held to maturity	8.5 - 10.0%	March 2015 - July 2015	132
Loan receivable from Moscow Business Incubator (related party) (Note 25)	Held to maturity	10.5%	December 2015	52
Sistema International Funding S.A. Bonds due 2019 (related party) (Note 25)	Available for sale	6.95%		42
Other loans	Held to maturity			22
<b>Total short-term investments</b>				<b>9,849</b>

Short-term investments as of December 31, 2013 comprised of the following:

<b>Type of investment</b>	<b>Classification</b>	<b>Annual interest rate</b>	<b>Maturity date</b>	<b>Amount</b>
Deposits			February 2014 - July 2014	
	Held to maturity	4.2 - 14.0%		5,377
Deposits at MTS Bank (related party) (Note 25)	Held to maturity	8.7%	June 2014	5,081
Mutual investment fund "Reservnyi", managed by DIK (related party) (Note 25)	Available for sale		Upon request	4,154
Other loans	Held to maturity			21
<b>Total short-term investments</b>				<b>14,633</b>

The Group considers credit risk for short-term investments to be low due to the strong financial position of counterparties.

Table of Contents**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012****(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)****8. TRADE RECEIVABLES, NET**

Trade receivables as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 comprised the following:

	<b>December 31,</b>	
	<b>2014</b>	<b>2013</b>
Roaming	13,892	15,875
Subscribers	10,722	12,548
Interconnect	3,802	2,847
Dealers	1,931	2,127
Other	4,784	4,910
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(2,165)	(3,753)
<b>Trade receivables, net</b>	<b>32,966</b>	<b>34,554</b>

The following table summarizes the changes in the allowance for doubtful accounts receivable for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012:

	<b>2014</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>
<b>Balance, beginning of the year</b>	<b>3,753</b>	<b>3,461</b>	<b>3,122</b>
Allowance for doubtful accounts charge	2,933	3,366	2,257
Accounts receivable written off	(4,521)	(3,074)	(1,918)
<b>Balance, end of the year</b>	<b>2,165</b>	<b>3,753</b>	<b>3,461</b>

**9. INVENTORY AND SPARE PARTS**

Inventory and spare parts as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 comprised the following:

	<b>December 31,</b>	
	<b>2014</b>	<b>2013</b>
Handsets and accessories	5,971	7,436
SIM cards and prepaid phone cards	897	395
Spare parts for telecommunication equipment	301	305
Advertising and other materials	341	362
<b>Total inventory and spare parts</b>	<b>7,510</b>	<b>8,498</b>

Other materials mainly consist of stationary, fuel and auxiliary materials.

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

Obsolescence expense for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 amounted to RUB 357 million, RUB 660 million and RUB 759 million, respectively, and was included in general and administrative expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income. Spare parts for base stations included in inventory are expected to be utilized within the twelve months following the statements of financial position date.

F-30

---

Table of Contents

## OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012

(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)

## 10. DISPOSAL GROUP HELD FOR SALE

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
Assets related to planned sale of 51% stake in Rent Nedvizhimost	2,004	
<b>Total assets related to disposal group held for sale</b>	<b>2,004</b>	
Liabilities related to planned sale of 51% stake in Rent Nedvizhimost	(227)	

**Disposal of Rent Nedvizhimost** In February 2015, the Group lost control over Rent Nedvizhimost CJSC as a result of the sale of a 51% stake to Business-Nedvizhimost, a subsidiary of Sistema, for RUB 4.3 billion of which 3.8 billion is due before December 31, 2018 and bears interest of 12%-interest p.a. The results of this transaction will be included in the financial statements of the Group for the year ended December 31, 2015. Following the sale of its 51% stake, the Group retained a 49% stake in Rent Nedvizhimost allowing it to exercise significant influence over the operating and financing activities of the entity. Consequently, the results of operations and cash flows of Rent Nedvizhimost were not reported as discontinued operations in the consolidated financial statements. The related assets and liabilities of a disposal group were classified as "held for sale" and measured at carrying value as of December 31, 2014. Balances were attributable to "Moscow fixed line" reportable segment and comprised of the following:

Current assets	238
Non-current assets	1,766
<b>Total assets related to disposal group held for sale</b>	<b>2,004</b>
Current liabilities	187
Non-current liabilities	40
<b>Total liabilities related to disposal group held for sale</b>	<b>227</b>

**Disposal of network equipment in Crimea** In October 2014, the Group's subsidiary MTS Ukraine sold base stations, network infrastructure, IT and telecom equipment and certain other assets located in Crimea, through an open tender procedure, to two private investors for RUB 922 million (EUR 17.7 million as of the date of transaction). Previously, on August 6, 2014, MTS suspended its operations in Crimea due to technical issues, which have curtailed its ability to provide telecommunications services for its customers. The gain recognized upon the sale of the base stations, network infrastructure, IT and telecom equipment amounting to RUB 317 million was recognized as operating income in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income for the year ended December 31, 2014.



Table of Contents

## OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012

(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)

**11. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT**

The net book value of property, plant and equipment as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 was as follows:

	Useful lives, years	December 31,	
		2014	2013
Network, base station equipment and related leasehold improvements (including leased assets of 6,427 and nil, respectively)	5 - 17	499,101	445,857
Office equipment, computers and other	3 - 15	43,640	42,121
Buildings and related leasehold improvements (including leased assets of nil and 28, respectively)	20 - 59	24,362	25,496
Vehicles (including leased assets of 73 and 942, respectively)	3 - 7	3,271	3,139
<b>Property, plant and equipment, at cost (including leased assets of 6,500 and 970, respectively)</b>		<b>570,374</b>	<b>516,613</b>
Accumulated depreciation (including leased assets of 438 and 793, respectively)		(313,623)	(293,389)
Construction in progress and equipment for installation		42,728	47,436
<b>Property, plant and equipment, net</b>		<b>299,479</b>	<b>270,660</b>

Depreciation expense during the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 amounted to RUB 58,511 million, RUB 58,599 million and RUB 54,766 million, respectively.

**12. LICENSES**

In connection with providing telecommunication services, the Group has been issued various GSM operating licenses by the local communication authorities.

As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, the value of the Group's telecommunications licenses was as follows:

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
Armenia	8,782	5,982
Russia	1,825	291
Ukraine	117	123
<b>Licenses, at cost</b>	<b>10,724</b>	<b>6,396</b>
Accumulated amortization	(5,226)	(3,194)
<b>Licenses, net</b>	<b>5,498</b>	<b>3,202</b>

Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

Amortization expense for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 amounted to 598 million, RUB 544 million and RUB 662 million, respectively.

F-32

---

Table of Contents**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012****(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)****12. LICENSES (Continued)**

The Group's operating licenses do not provide for automatic renewal. As of December 31, 2014, all licenses covering the territories of the Russian Federation were renewed. The cost to renew the licenses was not significant. The weighted-average period until the next renewal of licenses in the Russian Federation is four years.

The license for the provision of telecommunications services in Ukraine was renewed in 2013 and is valid until 2026. The license for the provision of telecommunication services in Armenia is valid until 2019. The license in Turkmenistan was suspended by the Turkmenistan Ministry of Communications in December 2010 which resulted in the cessation of the Group's operational activity in Turkmenistan. However, in July 2012, the Turkmenistan Ministry of Communications granted the Group GSM and 3G licenses for a three-year term and the Group recommenced its operations in Turkmenistan. For a description of matters related to licenses for the provision of telecommunication services in Uzbekistan see Note 4.

Based on the cost of amortizable operating licenses existing at December 31, 2014 and current exchange rates, the estimated future amortization expenses for the five years ending December 31, 2019 and thereafter are as follows:

<b>Estimated amortization expense in the year ended December 31,</b>	
2015	970
2016	973
2017	970
2018	970
2019	849
Thereafter	766
<b>Total</b>	<b>5,498</b>

The actual amortization expense reported in future periods could differ from these estimates as a result of new intangible assets acquisitions, changes in useful lives and other relevant factors.

Operating licenses contain a number of requirements and conditions specified by legislation. The requirements generally include targets for service start date, territorial coverage and expiration date. Management believes that the Group is in compliance with all material terms of its licenses.

Table of Contents**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012****(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)****13. GOODWILL**

The change in the net carrying amount of goodwill for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 by reportable and operating segments was as follows:

	Russia convergent	Moscow fixed line	Ukraine	Uzbekistan <sup>(1)</sup>	Other	Total
<b>Balance at January 1, 2013</b>						
Gross amount of goodwill	28,668	1,083	162	3,523	3,981	<b>37,417</b>
Accumulated impairment loss	(1,466)			(3,523)		<b>(4,989)</b>
	<b>27,202</b>	<b>1,083</b>	<b>162</b>		<b>3,981</b>	<b>32,428</b>
Disposals	(23)					(23)
Currency translation adjustment			12		287	299
<b>Balance at December 31, 2013</b>						
Gross amount of goodwill	28,645	1,083	174		4,268	<b>34,170</b>
Accumulated impairment loss	(1,466)					<b>(1,466)</b>
	<b>27,179</b>	<b>1,083</b>	<b>174</b>		<b>4,268</b>	<b>32,704</b>
Acquisitions (Note 3)	1,630					<b>1,630</b>
Currency translation adjustment			(23)		2,000	<b>1,977</b>
<b>Balance at December 31, 2014</b>						
Gross amount of goodwill	30,275	1,083	151		6,268	<b>37,777</b>
Accumulated impairment loss	(1,466)					<b>(1,466)</b>
	<b>28,809</b>	<b>1,083</b>	<b>151</b>		<b>6,268</b>	<b>36,311</b>

(1) The results of operations in Uzbekistan are reported as discontinued operations in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012.

Table of Contents

## OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012

(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)

## 14. OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Intangible assets as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 comprised the following:

	Useful lives, years	December 31, 2014			December 31, 2013		
		Gross carrying value	Accumulated amortization	Net carrying value	Gross carrying value	Accumulated amortization	Net carrying value
<b>Amortized intangible assets</b>							
Billing and telecommunication software	1 to 20	67,238	(41,853)	25,385	53,225	(37,265)	15,960
Office software	1 to 10	12,713	(5,695)	7,018	9,309	(3,582)	5,727
Rights to use radio frequencies	1 to 15	11,444	(5,684)	5,760	9,850	(4,905)	4,945
Acquired customer base	4 to 31	7,690	(3,166)	4,524	8,757	(3,622)	5,135
Accounting software	1 to 5	3,637	(2,641)	996	4,330	(3,021)	1,309
Numbering capacity	2 to 15	3,746	(3,508)	238	3,623	(2,849)	774
Credit line	1 to 3	3,238		3,238			
Other	1 to 15	10,671	(3,238)	7,433	7,090	(2,909)	4,181
<b>Total amortized intangible assets</b>		<b>120,377</b>	<b>(65,785)</b>	<b>54,592</b>	<b>96,184</b>	<b>(58,153)</b>	<b>38,031</b>
Prepayments for intangible assets		2,379		2,379	392		392
<b>Total other intangible assets</b>		<b>122,756</b>	<b>(65,785)</b>	<b>56,971</b>	<b>96,576</b>	<b>(58,153)</b>	<b>38,423</b>

Amortization expense for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 amounted to RUB 15,601 million, RUB 14,110 million and RUB 12,482 million, respectively. Based solely on the cost of amortizable intangible assets existing at December 31, 2014 the estimated future amortization expenses for the five years ending December 31, 2019 and thereafter are as follows:

<b>Estimated amortization expense in the year ending December 31,</b>	
2015	16,901
2016	13,740
2017	9,601
2018	5,036
2019	2,301
Thereafter	7,013
<b>Total</b>	<b>54,592</b>

The actual amortization expense to be reported in future periods could differ from these estimates as a result of new intangible assets acquisitions, changes in useful lives, exchange rates and other relevant factors.

Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

The weighted-average amortization period for billing and telecommunications software acquired during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 is four years.

F-35

---

Table of Contents**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012****(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)****15. INVESTMENTS IN AND ADVANCES TO ASSOCIATES**

As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Group's investments in and advances to associates comprised the following:

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
MTS Belarus equity investment	6,033	5,013
MTS Bank equity investment	4,858	5,476
MTS Bank loan	2,100	2,100
OZON Holdings Limited equity investment	2,708	
Business-Nedvizhimost equity investment		410
Intellect Telecom equity investment	78	163
Intellect Telecom loan	168	
Stream equity investment	332	231
<b>Total investments in and advances to associates</b>	<b>16,277</b>	<b>13,393</b>

**Business-Nedvizhimost** In September 2013, the Group spun off Business-Nedvizhimost CJSC from its wholly-owned subsidiary MGTS-Nedvizhimost and, in December 2013, sold a 51% stake in Business-Nedvizhimost to Sistema. After the loss of control over the subsidiary, the Group deconsolidated Business-Nedvizhimost and accounted for the investment using the equity method of accounting. In April 2014 the Group sold the remaining 49% stake in Business-Nedvizhimost to Sistema for RUB 3.1 billion, payable in arrears till July 31, 2015 and bearing interest of 9.0% p.a. The disposal was accounted for as a transaction under common control directly in equity.

**MTS Bank** In April 2013, the Group acquired a 25.1% stake in MTS Bank OJSC ("MTS Bank") for RUB 5,089 million. As a result of the transaction, the Group's effective ownership in MTS Bank increased to 26.3%. In September 2012, the Group provided a 10-year subordinated loan to MTS Bank in the amount of RUB 2,100 million at 8.8% p.a. In October 2014 the Group contributed RUB 1,266 million to MTS Bank. MTS Bank has no obligation to pay the amount back. In December 2014 the Group had increased its interest in MTS Bank from 26.3% to 27.0% through participation in an additional share issue of MTS Bank, and paid RUB 3,639 million for shares acquired.

In 2014, an impairment charge of RUB 3,225 million related to equity investment in MTS Bank was recognized as an element of equity in net loss / (income) of associates in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income. As a result a difference arose between the amount at which the investment is carried and the amount of underlying equity in net assets.

**OZON Holdings Limited** In April 2014 the Group acquired a 10.82% stake in OZON Holdings Limited through the purchase of OZON Holdings Limited's additional share issuance for RUB 2,702 million (\$75 million). The Group concluded that it is able to exercise significant influence over OZON Holdings Limited based on direct and indirect ownership of equity shares, representation on the investee's Board of Directors and certain veto rights related to matters intersecting with the Group's interests. The difference between the equity investment carrying amount of RUB 2,708 million

Table of Contents**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012****(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)****15. INVESTMENTS IN AND ADVANCES TO ASSOCIATES (Continued)**

and underlying equity in net assets as of December 31, 2014 of RUB 739 million represents equity-method goodwill, mainly attributable to the expected synergies from commercial arrangements and co-branding programs.

The financial position and results of operations of MTS Bank as of and for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 (since acquisition) were as follows:

	<b>2014</b>	<b>2013</b>
Total assets	215,070	224,446
Total liabilities	(181,724)	(201,077)
Noncontrolling interest	(4,061)	(1,924)
Total interest income	(25,107)	(18,266)
Total interest expense	9,868	7,737
Operating loss/(profit)	14,915	(1,036)
Net loss/(income)	12,585	(868)

Summarized financial position and results of operations of other equity method investees as of and for the year ended December 31, 2014 were as follows:

	<b>MTS Belarus</b>	<b>Intellect Telecom</b>	<b>Stream</b>	<b>OZON Holdings Limited</b>
Total current assets	9,022	237	974	7,888
Total non-current assets	8,042	458	91	2,962
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>17,064</b>	<b>695</b>	<b>1,065</b>	<b>10,850</b>
Total current liabilities	(5,126)	(506)	(341)	(3,640)
Total non-current liabilities	(168)	(17)		(377)
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>(5,294)</b>	<b>(523)</b>	<b>(341)</b>	<b>(4,017)</b>
Revenue	(17,639)	(198)	(1,280)	(11,097)
Gross profit	(12,910)	(4)	(566)	(2,369)
Net (income) / loss	(7,466)	170	(226)	2,494

Revenue, gross profit and net income of Business-Nedvizhimost from January 1, 2014 till the date of its disposal amounted to RUB 196 million, RUB 90 million and RUB 17 million, respectively.



Table of Contents

## OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012

(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)

## 15. INVESTMENTS IN AND ADVANCES TO ASSOCIATES (Continued)

Summarized financial position and results of operations of equity method investees as of and for the year ended December 31, 2013 were as follows:

	MTS Belarus	Intellect Telecom	Stream	Business- Nedvizhimost (since deconsolidation)
Total current assets	5,867	140	485	313
Total non-current assets	6,539	483	214	749
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>12,406</b>	<b>623</b>	<b>699</b>	<b>1,062</b>
Total current liabilities	(3,161)	(267)	(206)	(181)
Total non-current liabilities		(14)		(50)
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>(3,161)</b>	<b>(281)</b>	<b>(206)</b>	<b>(231)</b>
Revenue	(14,310)	(357)	(738)	(13)
Gross profit	(10,271)	(66)	(253)	(8)
Net (income) / loss	(4,649)	81	(9)	(5)

For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 the Group's share in the earnings or losses of associates amounted to a loss of RUB 2,880 million, gain of RUB 2,472 million and gain of RUB 869 million, respectively and was included in other expense/(income) in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income.

## 16. OTHER INVESTMENTS

As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Group's other investments comprised the following:

	Classification	Annual interest rate	Maturity date	December 31,	
				2014	2013
Deposits	Held to maturity	6.15 - 6.25%	2016	13,671	
Loan receivable from Mr. P. Fattouche and Mr. M. Fattouche <sup>(1)</sup>	Held to maturity	6%	2015		2,946
Loan Participation Notes EMIS BV	Held to maturity	6%	2015		699
Promissory notes of Sistema (related party) (Note 25)	Held to maturity	0.0%	2017	618	618
Investments in ordinary shares (related party) (Note 25)	At cost			125	125
Other	At cost / held to maturity			555	4
<b>Total other investments</b>				<b>14,969</b>	<b>4,392</b>

- (1) Reclassified to short-term investments as due in December 2015.

F-38

---

Table of Contents

**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

**FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012**

**(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)**

**16. OTHER INVESTMENTS (Continued)**

The Group does not discount promissory notes and loans granted to related parties, interest rates on which are different from market rates. Accordingly, fair value of such notes and loans may be different from their carrying value.

In December 2010, the Group granted a \$90.0 million (RUB 2,777 million at the date of transaction) loan to Mr. Pierre Fattouche and Mr. Moussa Fattouche, the holders of a 20% noncontrolling stake in K-Telecom, the Group's subsidiary in Armenia. Simultaneously, the Group signed an amendment to the put and call option agreement for the acquisition of noncontrolling stake. According to the amendment, the call exercise price shall be reduced by deducting any outstanding balance on the loan amount and all accrued and unpaid interest and any other sums due and outstanding under the loan agreement at the time of exercise (Note 27). Interest accrued on the loan to Mr. Pierre Fattouche and Mr. Moussa Fattouche for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 amounted to RUB 212.8 million, RUB 172.7 million and RUB 174.1 million, respectively, and was included as a component of interest income in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income. The fair value of the loan approximates its carrying value.

In August 2013, the Group invested \$21.3 million (RUB 703 million at the date of transaction) in Loan Participation Notes issued by EMIS BV (effective issuer Renaissance Capital). The Notes were sold before the maturity date due to deteriorating credit quality for \$22.3 million (RUB 764 million at the date of transaction) including realized interest of \$1 million (RUB 34 million at recognition date).

The Group considers credit risk for other investments in loans receivable and deposits to be low.

Table of Contents

## OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012

(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)

## 17. BORROWINGS

*Notes* As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Group's notes consisted of the following:

	Currency	Interest rate	December 31,	
			2014	2013
MTS International Notes due 2020 (Note 2)	USD	8.625%	35,057	24,547
MTS International Notes due 2023 (Note 2)	USD	5.00%	26,920	16,365
MTS OJSC Notes due 2020	RUB	8.15%	15,000	15,000
MTS OJSC Notes due 2014	RUB	7.60%		13,619
MTS OJSC Notes due 2017	RUB	8.70%	10,000	10,000
MTS OJSC Notes due 2023	RUB	8.25%	10,000	10,000
MTS OJSC Notes due 2015	RUB	7.75%	7,537	7,537
MTS OJSC Notes due 2016	RUB	8.75%	1,788	1,788
MTS OJSC Notes due 2018	RUB	12.00%	136	3,844
MTS OJSC Notes due 2015 (A series)	RUB	0.67%	12	12
MTS OJSC Notes due 2015 - 2016 (B series)	RUB	0.54%	12	12
MTS OJSC Notes due 2021 - 2022 (V series)	RUB	0.25%	12	12
Plus: unamortized premium			3	8
<b>Total notes</b>			<b>106,477</b>	<b>102,744</b>
Less: current portion			(22,701)	(17,462)
<b>Total notes, long-term</b>			<b>83,776</b>	<b>85,282</b>

The Group has an unconditional obligation to repurchase certain MTS OJSC Notes at par value if claimed by the noteholders subsequent to the announcement of sequential coupon. The dates of the announcement for each particular note issue are as follows:

MTS OJSC Notes due 2018	December 2015
MTS OJSC Notes due 2020	November 2015
MTS OJSC Notes due 2023	March 2018

The notes therefore can be defined as callable obligations under the FASB ASC 470, Debt, as the holders have the unilateral right to demand repurchase of the notes at par value upon announcement of new coupons. This guidance requires callable obligations to be disclosed as maturing in the reporting period, when the demand for repurchase could be submitted disregarding the expectations of the Group about the intentions of the noteholders. The Group discloses the notes as maturing in 2015 (MTS OJSC Notes due 2018 and 2020) and in 2018 (MTS OJSC Notes due 2023) in the aggregated maturities schedule as these are the reporting periods when the noteholders will have the unilateral right to demand repurchase.

In December 2014, the Group changed the coupon rate for MTS OJSC Notes due 2018 from 7.50% to 12.00%. Following the announcement of new coupon rates the Group repurchased MTS OJSC Notes due 2018 at the request of eligible noteholders in the amount of RUB 3,710 million.



Table of Contents**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012****(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)****17. BORROWINGS (Continued)**

As of December 31, 2014 the Group had the following unclosed repurchase transactions with a due date on January 14, 2015:

	<b>Number of Notes</b>	<b>Due Amount</b>	<b>Unrealized Premium</b>	<b>Total</b>
MTS OJSC Notes due 2015	2,420,000	1,670	(5)	1,665
MTS OJSC Notes due 2018	2,928,358	1,760		1,760
				<b>3,425</b>

The above balance is included in the short-term portion of bank loans and other debt disclosed below.

The fair values of notes based on the market quotes as of December 31, 2014 at the stock exchanges where they are traded were as follows:

	<b>Stock exchange</b>	<b>% of par</b>	<b>Fair value</b>
MTS International Notes due 2020	Frankfurt stock exchange	95.85	33,602
MTS International Notes due 2023	Frankfurt stock exchange	75.00	20,190
MTS OJSC Notes due 2020	Moscow Exchange	96.33	14,450
MTS OJSC Notes due 2017	Moscow Exchange	90.10	9,010
MTS OJSC Notes due 2023	Moscow Exchange	100.19	10,019
MTS OJSC Notes due 2015	Moscow Exchange	96.81	7,297
MTS OJSC Notes due 2016	Moscow Exchange	85.30	1,525
MTS OJSC Notes due 2018	Moscow Exchange	95.06	129
<b>Total notes</b>			<b>96,222</b>

Table of Contents

## OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012

(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)

## 17. BORROWINGS (Continued)

**Bank loans and other debt** As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Group's loans from banks and other companies consisted of the following:

	Maturity	Interest rate (actual at December 31, 2014)	December 31,	
			2014	2013
<b>USD-denominated:</b>				
Calyon, ING Bank N.V, Nordea Bank AB, Raiffeisen Zentralbank Osterreich AG	2015 - 2020	LIBOR + 1.15% (1.51%)	37,901	26,132
Skandinaviska Enskilda Banken AB	2015 - 2017	LIBOR + 0.23 - 1.8% (0.59 - 2.16%)	5,175	4,238
HSBC Bank plc and ING BHF Bank AG	2015	LIBOR + 0.3% (0.66%)		394
Other	2015	15%	164	258
			<b>43,240</b>	<b>31,022</b>
<b>EUR-denominated:</b>				
Bank of China	2015	EURIBOR + 1.95% (2.12%)		2,435
Credit Agricole Corporate Bank and BNP Paribas	2015 - 2018	EURIBOR + 1.65% (1.82%)	1,893	1,557
LBBW	2015 - 2017	EURIBOR + 1.52% (1.69%)	956	839
			<b>2,849</b>	<b>4,831</b>
<b>RUB-denominated:</b>				
Sberbank	2015 - 2021	8.45 - 12.05%	125,000	80,000
Notes in REPO	2015	19.36%	3,425	
SMM	2015	0 - 15%	556	
Other	2015 - 2023	Various	456	395
			<b>129,437</b>	<b>80,395</b>
<b>AMD-denominated:</b>				
ASHIB	2015	13%	176	108
			<b>176</b>	<b>108</b>
<b>UZS-denominated:</b>				
Aloqabank	2019 - 2022	12%	759	
Uzbektelecom	2015	10%	58	
			<b>817</b>	
<b>Total bank loans and other debt</b>			<b>176,519</b>	<b>116,356</b>
Less: current portion			(19,435)	(7,564)
<b>Total bank loans and other debt, long-term</b>			<b>157,084</b>	<b>108,792</b>

In 2014, the Group negotiated changes in interest rates and maturity dates for several credit facilities. None of these modifications were considered to be substantial.

***Borrowing costs and interest capitalized*** Borrowing costs include interest incurred on existing indebtedness and debt issuance costs. Interest expense incurred during the construction phase of property, plant and equipment is capitalized as part of the value of the constructed assets until they are ready for use. The capitalized interest costs for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012



Table of Contents**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012****(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)****17. BORROWINGS (Continued)**

amounted to RUB 1,460 million, RUB 1,942 million and RUB 1,792 million, respectively. Debt issuance costs are capitalized and amortized using the effective interest method over the terms of the related loans.

Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized and amortization of debt issuance costs, for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 was RUB 15,808 million, RUB 14,714 million and RUB 16,721 million, respectively.

**Compliance with covenants** Bank loans and notes of the Group are subject to certain covenants limiting the Group's ability to incur debt, carry on transactions with related parties, create liens on properties, dispose assets, including GSM and 3G licenses for several license areas, issue guaranties, grant loans to employees and entities, delist notes, delay coupon payments, merge or consolidate MTS OJSC with another entity or be a subject to a court decision to pay over \$10 million (RUB 563 million as of the reporting date), which is remained unsatisfied for more than 60 days without being appealed, discharged or waived.

The Group is required to comply with certain financial ratios and maintain ownership in certain subsidiaries.

The noteholders of MTS International Notes due 2020 and MTS International Notes due 2023 have the right to require the Group to redeem the notes at 101% of their principal amount and related interest, if the Group experiences a change in control.

If the Group fails to meet these covenants, after certain notice and cure periods, the debtholders are entitled to accelerate the repayment of the debt.

The Group was in compliance with all existing notes and bank loan covenants as of December 31, 2014.

**Pledges** The non-revolving credit facility agreement between UMS and Aloqabank with total amount as of December 31, 2014 of RUB 759 million is secured by telecommunication equipment and premises with carrying value of RUB 2,038 million.

**Available credit facilities** As of December 31, 2014, the Group's total available unused credit facilities amounted to RUB 44,378 million and related to the following credit lines:

	<b>Maturity</b>	<b>Interest rate</b>	<b>Available till</b>	<b>Available amount</b>
Sberbank	2018 - 2021	16%	June 2015	25,000
CitiBank Europe	2024	LIBOR 6M+ 0.9%	April 2015	16,878
ING Bank Eurasia	2015	MosPrime / LIBOR / EURIBOR + 1.50%	July 2015	2,500
<b>Total</b>				<b>44,378</b>

In addition, the Group has a credit facility made available by Citibank at MosPrime + 1.50% interest rate with the available amount set up on request and to be repaid within 182 days.

Table of Contents**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012****(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)****17. BORROWINGS (Continued)**

The following table presents the aggregated scheduled maturities of principal on notes and bank loans outstanding for the five years ending December 31, 2019 and thereafter:

	Notes	Bank loans and other debt
Payments due in the year ending December 31,		
2015	22,701	19,435
2016	1,788	33,821
2017	10,000	39,937
2018	10,000	28,527
2019		31,695
Thereafter	61,988	23,104
<b>Total</b>	<b>106,477</b>	<b>176,519</b>

**18. CAPITAL LEASE OBLIGATION**

The following table presents a summary of assets under capital lease and accumulated depreciation as of December 31, 2014 and 2013:

	December 31, 2014	December 31, 2013
Network and base station equipment	6,427	
Vehicles	73	942
Buildings		28
Leased assets, at cost	6,500	970
Accumulated depreciation	(438)	(793)
<b>Leased assets, net</b>	<b>6,062</b>	<b>177</b>

Depreciation of assets under capital leases for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 amounted to RUB 509 million, RUB 276 million and RUB 288 million, respectively and was included in depreciation and amortization expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income.

Interest expense on capital lease obligations for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 amounted to RUB 340 million, RUB 182 million and RUB 135 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

## OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012

(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)

**18. CAPITAL LEASE OBLIGATION (Continued)**

The following table presents future minimum lease payments under capital leases together with the present value of the net minimum lease payments:

<b>Payments due for the year ended December 31,</b>	
2015	1,119
2016	1,108
2017	1,153
2018	1,159
2019	1,159
Thereafter	7,687
<b>Total minimum lease payments (undiscounted)</b>	<b>13,385</b>
Less amount representing interest	(3,990)
<b>Present value of net minimum lease payments</b>	<b>9,395</b>
Less current portion of lease obligations	(538)
<b>Non-current portion of lease obligations</b>	<b>8,857</b>

Leased assets include automobiles, network equipment and transponders which are installed on a satellite. The average lease term of the automobiles is three years. The Group has an obligation to purchase these automobiles under the respective capital lease agreements at the end of the lease term. The lease term of network equipment is fifteen years. The lease term of the transponders is twelve years. The Group is planning to use the transponders for providing satellite television services.

**19. ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATIONS**

As of and for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, the estimated present value of the Group's asset retirement obligations and related change in liabilities were as follows:

	2014	2013
<b>Balance, beginning of the year</b>	<b>2,743</b>	<b>2,763</b>
Liabilities incurred in the current period	73	303
Accretion expense	251	97
Revisions in estimated cash flows	26	(453)
Disposal of assets	(41)	
Currency translation adjustment	(30)	33
<b>Balance, end of the year</b>	<b>3,022</b>	<b>2,743</b>

Revisions in estimated cash flows are attributable to the change in the estimated inflation rate and cost of dismantling of assets.

F-45

---

Table of Contents**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012****(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)****20. DEFERRED CONNECTION FEES**

Deferred connection fees as of and for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, were as follows:

	2014	2013
<b>Balance, beginning of the year</b>	<b>3,649</b>	<b>3,817</b>
Payments received and deferred during the year	1,763	1,714
Amounts amortized and recognized as revenue during the year	(1,912)	(1,921)
Currency translation adjustment	(63)	39
<b>Balance, end of the year</b>	<b>3,437</b>	<b>3,649</b>
Less: current portion	(1,677)	(1,604)
<b>Non-current portion</b>	<b>1,760</b>	<b>2,045</b>

The Group defers initial connection fees paid by subscribers for the activation of network service as well as one-time activation fees received for connection to various value added services. These fees are recognized as revenue over the estimated average subscriber life (Note 2).

**21. DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES****Cash flow hedging**

The Group regularly enters into variable-to-fixed interest rate swap agreements to manage the exposure of changes in variable interest rate related to its debt obligations. The instruments qualify for cash flow hedge accounting under U.S. GAAP requirements. Each interest rate swap matches the exact maturity dates of the underlying debt allowing for highly-effective hedges. Interest rate swap contracts outstanding as of December 31, 2014 mature in 2015, 2018 and 2020.

In aggregate the Group entered into interest rate swap agreements designated to manage the exposure of changes in variable interest rate related to 0.12% of the Group's USD- and Euro- denominated bank loans outstanding as of December 31, 2014.

In addition to the above, the Group has also entered into several cross-currency interest rate swap agreements. These contracts hedged the risk of both interest rate and currency fluctuations and assumed periodic exchanges of both principal and interest payments from RUB-denominated amounts to USD- and Euro- denominated amounts to be exchanged at a specified rate. The rate was determined by the market spot rate upon issuance. Cross-currency interest rate swap contracts mature in 2019-2020.

The Group entered into cross-currency interest rate swap agreements designated to manage the exposure of changes in variable interest rate and currency exchange rate for 35.1% of its USD- and Euro- denominated bank loans and Eurobonds outstanding as of December 31, 2014.

Table of Contents

## OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012

(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)

## 21. DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES (Continued)

The following table presents the fair value of the Group's derivative instruments designated as hedges in the consolidated statements of financial position December 31, 2014 and 2013.

	Statements of financial position location	December 31,	
		2014	2013
<b>Asset derivatives</b>			
Cross-currency interest rate swaps	Other non-current assets	21,936	1,825
Interest rate swaps	Other non-current assets	8	12
<b>Total</b>		<b>21,944</b>	<b>1,837</b>
<b>Liability derivatives</b>			
Interest rate swaps	Other long-term liabilities	(522)	(389)
Interest rate swaps	Other payables	(2,028)	(32)
<b>Total</b>		<b>(2,550)</b>	<b>(421)</b>

The following table presents the effect of the Group's derivative instruments designated as hedges in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012. The amounts presented include the ineffective portion of derivative instruments and the amounts reclassified into earnings from accumulated other comprehensive income.

	Location of gain / (loss) recognized	Years ended December 31,		
		2014	2013	2012
Interest rate swaps	Interest income/(expense)	231	(184)	(429)
<i>Including ineffective portion</i>	<i>Interest income/(expense)</i>	<i>173</i>	<i>(28)</i>	<i>(183)</i>
Cross-currency interest rate swaps	Currency exchange and transaction gain/ (loss)	15,288	(777)	(235)
<i>Including ineffective portion</i>	<i>Currency exchange and transaction gain/(loss)</i>	<i>(2,315)</i>		
<b>Total</b>		<b>15,519</b>	<b>(961)</b>	<b>(664)</b>

In May 2013, the Group repaid the full amount due under the HSBC bank credit facility granted to MTS OJSC in 2004 with an original maturity in November 2013. The voluntary prepayment of principal and interest in the amount of RUB 102 million (\$3.2 million) resulted in an immediate termination of the hedging relationship between designated interest rate swap agreements and the credit facility.

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

In May 2013, the Group repaid the full amount due under the Citibank credit facility granted to MTS OJSC in 2005 with an original maturity in May 2014. The voluntary prepayment of principal and interest in the amount of RUB 686 million (\$21.8 million) resulted in an immediate termination of the hedging relationship between designated interest rate swap agreements and the credit facility.

F-47

---

Table of Contents**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012****(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)****21. DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES (Continued)**

In April 2013, the Group repaid the full amount due under the HSBC bank credit facility granted to MTS OJSC in 2004 with an original maturity in October 2013. The voluntary prepayment of principal and interest of RUB 132 million (\$4.2 million) resulted in an immediate termination of the hedging relationship between designated interest rate swap agreements and the credit facility.

In March 2013, the Group repaid the full amount due under the HSBC bank credit facility granted to MTS OSJC in 2004 with an original maturity in September 2013. The voluntary prepayment of principal and interest in the amount of RUB 276 million (\$8.9 million) resulted in an immediate termination of the hedging relationship between designated interest rate swap agreements and the credit facility.

After the termination of hedging relationships any amounts accumulated in other comprehensive income and associated with the prepaid debt have been reclassified into earnings, going forward those derivatives are marked to market through earnings.

The following table presents the amount of accumulated other comprehensive loss reclassified into earnings during the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 due to termination of hedging relationships.

	Location of (loss) recognized	Years ended December 31,		
		2014	2013	2012
Interest rate swaps	Interest expense		(33)	
<b>Total</b>			<b>(33)</b>	

The following table presents the effect of the Group's interest rate swap agreements designated as hedges in accumulated other comprehensive income for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012.

	2014	2013	2012
<b>Accumulated derivatives income / (loss), beginning of the year, net of tax of 293 and 4 and (60), respectively</b>	<b>1,467</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>(241)</b>
Fair value adjustments on hedging derivatives, net of tax of 2,894 and 138 and (29), respectively	14,468	691	(204)
Amounts reclassified into earnings during the period, net of tax of (2,334) and 151 and 93, respectively	(11,668)	755	466
<b>Accumulated derivatives income, end of the year, net of tax of 853 and 293 and 4, respectively</b>	<b>4,267</b>	<b>1,467</b>	<b>21</b>

As of December 31, 2014, the outstanding hedging instruments were highly effective. Approximately RUB 1,011 million of accumulated gain is expected to be reclassified into net income during the next twelve months.



Table of Contents**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012****(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)****21. DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES (Continued)**

Cash inflows and outflows related to hedging instruments were included in cash flows from operating and financing activities in the consolidated statements of cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012.

**Fair value of derivative instruments**

The Group measured assets and liabilities associated with derivative agreements at fair value Level 2 on a recurring basis. There were no assets and liabilities associated with derivative agreements measured at fair value Level 1 and Level 3 as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 (Note 2 and 22).

The following fair value hierarchy table presents information regarding the Group's assets and liabilities associated with derivative agreements as of December 31, 2014 and 2013:

	<b>Significant other observable inputs (Level 2) as of December 31, 2014</b>	<b>Significant other observable inputs (Level 2) as of December 31, 2013</b>
<b><i>Assets</i></b>		
Interest rate swap agreements	8	12
Cross-currency interest rate swap agreements	21,936	1,825
<b><i>Liabilities</i></b>		
Interest rate swap agreements	(2,550)	(421)

**22. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS**

According to U.S. GAAP requirements the Group records derivative instruments, redeemable noncontrolling interest, contingent consideration and available-for-sale investments at fair value on a recurring basis.

Table of Contents

## OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012

(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)

## 22. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS (Continued)

The following tables summarize those assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

	Significant observable inputs (Level 1) as of December 31, 2014	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2) as of December 31, 2014	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) as of December 31, 2014
<b>Assets</b>			
Sistema International Funding S.A. Bonds due 2019 (related party) (Note 7, 25)	42		
Sistema Notes due in 2016 (series 04) (related party) (Note 7, 25)	534		
Derivative instruments (Note 21)		21,944	
<b>Liabilities</b>			
Derivative instruments (Note 21)		(2,550)	
Contingent consideration			(99)
Redeemable noncontrolling interest (Note 27)			(3,192)

	Significant observable inputs (Level 1) as of December 31, 2013	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2) as of December 31, 2013	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) as of December 31, 2013
<b>Assets</b>			
Mutual investment fund "Reservnyi" (Note 7 and 25)	4,154		
Derivative instruments (Note 21)		1,837	
<b>Liabilities</b>			
Derivative instruments (Note 21)		(421)	
Contingent consideration			(11)
Redeemable noncontrolling interest (Note 27)			(2,932)

Changes in the Group's net assets and earnings resulted from recurring fair value measurements of Level 3 assets and liabilities were not significant for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013. There were no realized and unrealized gains and losses on Level 3 assets and liabilities for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013.

The fair value measurement of the Group's derivative instruments is based on the observable yield curves for similar instruments. The redeemable noncontrolling interest was measured at fair value using a discounted cash flow technique. The fair value of contingent consideration was determined as the best estimate of all possible outcomes of the contingency. The inputs are based on all available internal and external information, including growth projections and industry experts' estimates, where applicable.

Table of Contents**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012****(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)****22. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS (Continued)**

The most significant quantitative inputs used to measure the fair value of redeemable noncontrolling interest as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 are presented in the table below:

Unobservable inputs	December 31,	
	2014	2013
Discount rate	15%	12%
Revenue growth rate	0.02 - 0.2% (av. 0.1%)	0.7 - 1.2% (av. 0.9%)
OIBDA margin	44.7 - 46.5% (av. 45.4%)	49.4 - 50.7% (av. 49.8%)

There were no transfers between levels within the hierarchy for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013.

In addition to assets and liabilities that are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis, the Group records assets and liabilities at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. Generally, assets are recorded at fair value on a nonrecurring basis as a result of impairment charges. In 2014, an impairment charge of RUB 3,225 million related to equity investment in MTS Bank was recognized in equity net loss / (income) of associates in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (Note 15). The impairment reflects the review of the development prospects associated with an economic downturn in Russia. The fair value of equity investment in the amount of RUB 4,857 million was determined based on a discounted cash flow technique utilizing significant unobservable inputs ("Level 3" significant unobservable inputs of the hierarchy established by the U.S. GAAP guidance). The key assumptions in the fair value calculations included discount rate of 23.3% and average OIBDA margin of 5%.

No impairment charges were recognized in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income for the year ended December 31, 2013.

An impairment loss of RUB 20,037 million on Uzdunrobita goodwill and long-lived assets was recognized in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income for the year ended December 31, 2012 (Note 4). In 2013 this loss was assigned to discontinued operations.

The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents; short-term investments; accounts receivable; accounts payable and accrued expenses approximate their fair values because of the relatively short-term maturities of these financial instruments.

The fair value of notes payable is estimated based on quoted prices for those instruments ("Level 1" of the hierarchy established by the U.S. GAAP guidance). As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, the fair value of notes payable, including the current portion, amounted to RUB 96,222 million and RUB 106,668 million, respectively.

The fair value of bank loans and other debt is estimated using discounted cash flows and market-based expectations for interest rates, credit risk and the contractual terms of the debt instruments ("Level 2" of the hierarchy established by the U.S. GAAP guidance). As of December 31, 2014 and 2013 the fair value of bank loans and other debt, including the current portion, amounted to RUB 162,914 million and RUB 116,356 million, respectively.

Table of Contents**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012****(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)****23. ACCRUED LIABILITIES**

Accrued liabilities as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 comprised the following:

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
Accruals for services	10,870	9,911
Accrued payroll and vacation	7,670	7,247
Accruals for taxes	6,703	8,355
Interest payable on debt	1,830	1,792
Accruals for payments to social funds	547	369
<b>Total accrued liabilities</b>	<b>27,620</b>	<b>27,674</b>

**24. INCOME TAX**

The provision for income taxes for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 was as follows:

	Years ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Income from continuing operations before provision for income taxes			
Russia	55,424	77,502	71,626
Other jurisdictions	13,316	19,186	11,216
<b>Total income from continuing operations before provision for income taxes</b>	<b>68,740</b>	<b>96,688</b>	<b>82,842</b>
Current income tax expense			
Russia	5,764	7,557	13,790
Other jurisdictions	4,043	2,405	2,304
<b>Total current income tax expense</b>	<b>9,807</b>	<b>9,962</b>	<b>16,094</b>
Deferred income tax expense/(benefit)			
Russia	8,330	8,487	2,312
Other jurisdictions	(1,790)	1,184	978
<b>Total deferred income tax expense</b>	<b>6,540</b>	<b>9,671</b>	<b>3,290</b>
<b>Total provision for income taxes</b>	<b>16,347</b>	<b>19,633</b>	<b>19,384</b>

## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

The statutory income tax rates in jurisdictions in which the Group operates for the fiscal years of 2014, 2013 and 2012 were as follows: Russia, Armenia 20.0%, Uzbekistan, Turkmenistan 8.0%. During the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 the Ukraine tax rate was 18.0%, 19.0% and 21.0%, respectively.

F-52

---

Table of Contents**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012****(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)****24. INCOME TAX (Continued)**

The Russia statutory income tax rate reconciled to the Group's effective income tax rate for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 was as follows:

	2014	2013	2012
<b>Statutory income tax rate for the year</b>	<b>20.0%</b>	<b>20.0%</b>	<b>20.0%</b>
Adjustments:			
(Income) / expenses not accepted for tax purposes	2.0	(0.5)	2.0
Change in unrecognized tax benefits			(0.5)
Settlements with tax authorities	0.6	(0.3)	0.4
Earnings distribution from subsidiaries	4.0	1.8	1.5
Effect of change in tax rate in Ukraine		(0.1)	0.2
Loss carryforward utilisation			(0.3)
Tax rate differential of foreign subsidiaries	(1.9)	(0.5)	
Recognised change in fair value of derivative financial instruments	(1.0)	0.1	
Other	0.1	(0.2)	0.1
<b>Effective income tax rate</b>	<b>23.8%</b>	<b>20.3%</b>	<b>23.4%</b>

Table of Contents

## OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012

(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)

**24. INCOME TAX (Continued)**

Temporary differences between the tax and accounting bases of assets and liabilities gave rise to the following deferred tax assets and liabilities as of December 31, 2014 and 2013:

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
Assets / (liabilities) arising from tax effect of:		
<b>Deferred tax assets</b>		
Accrued expenses for services	7,757	6,291
Depreciation of property, plant and equipment	4,015	1,229
Deferred connection fees	929	1,115
Provision for investment in Delta Bank in Ukraine	925	
Inventory obsolescence	192	265
Other		1,242
Loss carryforward	8,879	5,880
Valuation allowance	(8,438)	(5,504)
<b>Total deferred tax assets</b>	<b>14,259</b>	<b>10,518</b>
<b>Deferred tax liabilities</b>		
Depreciation of property, plant and equipment	(17,489)	(12,735)
Other intangible assets	(6,906)	(2,995)
Potential distributions from / to Group's subsidiaries / associates	(5,817)	(4,553)
Licenses acquired	(1,118)	(774)
Customer base	(905)	(1,027)
Debt issuance cost	(348)	(405)
Other	(138)	(436)
<b>Total deferred tax liabilities</b>	<b>(32,721)</b>	<b>(22,925)</b>
<b>Net deferred tax liability</b>	<b>(18,462)</b>	<b>(12,407)</b>
Net deferred tax asset, current	11,206	7,933
Net deferred tax asset, non-current	3,610	862
Net deferred tax liability, long-term	(33,278)	(21,202)

Table of Contents

## OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012

(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)

**24. INCOME TAX (Continued)**

The Group has the following significant balances of income tax losses carryforward with related operating losses as of December 31, 2014 and 2013:

Jurisdiction	Period for carry-forward	December 31,			
		2014 Operating losses	Tax losses	2013 Operating losses	Tax losses
Luxembourg (MGTS Finance S.A.)	Unlimited	24,183	7,017	14,064	4,101
Russia (Comstar-Regions and other)	2015 - 2024	9,313	1,862	8,897	1,779
<b>Total</b>		<b>33,496</b>	<b>8,879</b>	<b>22,961</b>	<b>5,880</b>

Management established the following valuation allowances against deferred tax assets where it was more likely than not that some portion of such deferred tax assets will not be realized:

Valuation allowances	December 31,	
	2014	2013
Sale of investment in Svyazinvest	2,875	2,160
Operating loss in Luxemburg (MGTS Finance S.A.)	5,305	3,086
Other	258	258
<b>Total</b>	<b>8,438</b>	<b>5,504</b>

The following table summarizes the changes in the allowance against deferred tax assets for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012:

	Balance, beginning of the period	Charged to Income tax expense	Impact of foreign currency translation adjustments	Balance, end of the period
Year ended December 31, 2014	<b>5,504</b>		2,934	<b>8,438</b>
Year ended December 31, 2013	<b>4,952</b>	258	294	<b>5,504</b>
Year ended December 31, 2012	<b>5,250</b>		(298)	<b>4,952</b>

For the remaining balances for income tax losses carryforward realization is dependent on generating sufficient taxable income prior to expiration of the losses carryforward. Although realization is not assured, management believes that it is more likely than not that all of the deferred tax assets will be realized. The amount of the deferred tax assets considered realizable, however, could be reduced in the near term if estimates of future taxable income during the carryforward period are reduced.

As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Group recognized deferred income tax liabilities of RUB 3,118 million and RUB 2,511 million, respectively, for income taxes on future dividend





Table of Contents**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012****(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)****24. INCOME TAX (Continued)**

distributions from foreign subsidiaries (MTS Ukraine and K-Telecom) which are based on RUB 40,383 million and RUB 36,245 million cumulative undistributed earnings of those foreign subsidiaries in accordance with local statutory accounting regulations (unaudited) because such earnings are intended to be repatriated.

As of December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, the Group included accruals for uncertain tax positions in the amount of RUB 285 million, RUB 518 million and RUB 321 million, respectively, as a component of income tax payable.

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits is as follows:

	2014	2013	2012
<b>Balance, beginning of the year</b>	<b>518</b>	<b>321</b>	<b>526</b>
Additions based on tax position related to the current year	37	366	
Additions based on tax positions related to prior years	22	1	66
Additions based on tax of acquired entities			10
Reduction in tax positions related to prior years	(267)	(170)	(220)
Settlements with tax authorities	(25)		(61)
<b>Balance, end of the year</b>	<b>285</b>	<b>518</b>	<b>321</b>

Accrued penalties and interest related to unrecognized tax benefits as a component of income tax expense for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 amounted to a reversal of RUB 40 million, a reversal of RUB 53 million and a reversal of RUB 36 million, respectively, and were included in income tax expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income. Accrued interest and penalties were included in income tax payable in the accompanying consolidated statements of financial position and totaled RUB 58 million and RUB 97 million as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The Group does not expect the unrecognized tax benefits to change significantly over the next twelve months (Note 30).

Table of Contents**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012****(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)****25. RELATED PARTIES**

Related parties include entities under common ownership and control with the Group, affiliated companies and associated companies.

As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, accounts receivable from and accounts payable to related parties were as follows:

	<b>December 31,</b>	
	<b>2014</b>	<b>2013</b>
<b>Accounts receivable:</b>		
Sistema, parent company (Note 15)	3,215	
MTS Belarus, the Group associate	514	304
MTS Bank, the Group associate	510	128
Sitronics N, a subsidiary of Sistema	121	337
Stream, the Group associate	42	59
NVision Group, subsidiaries of Sistema	16	33
Other related parties	107	104
<b>Total accounts receivable, related parties</b>	<b>4,525</b>	<b>965</b>
<b>Accounts payable:</b>		
NVision Group, subsidiaries of Sistema	3,311	1,605
MTS Bank, the Group associate	377	697
MTS Belarus, the Group associate	213	208
Stream, the Group associate	211	99
Maxima, a subsidiary of Sistema	162	307
Smart Cards Group, subsidiaries of Sistema	72	201
Other related parties	328	198
<b>Total accounts payable, related parties</b>	<b>4,674</b>	<b>3,315</b>

The Group has neither the intent nor the ability to offset the outstanding accounts payable and accounts receivable with related parties under the terms of existing agreements.

Table of Contents**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012****(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)****25. RELATED PARTIES (Continued)****Operating transactions**

For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, operating transactions with related parties are as follows:

	2014	2013	2012
<b>Revenues from related parties:</b>			
MTS Bank, the Group associate (telecommunications and call center services, bank cards distribution commission)	787	378	88
MTS Belarus, the Group associate (roaming and interconnect services)	269	149	209
Medsi Group, subsidiaries of Sistema (telecommunications and call center services)	83	48	28
NVision Group, subsidiaries of Sistema (fixed line services)	82	75	77
Sitronics N, a subsidiary of Sistema (construction of fiber optic link)	7	288	26
Jet Air Group, subsidiaries of Sistema (rent)	4	60	
Other related parties	140	115	64
<b>Total revenues from related parties</b>	<b>1,372</b>	<b>1,113</b>	<b>492</b>
<b>Operating expenses incurred on transactions with related parties</b>			
Maxima, a subsidiary of Sistema (advertising)	1,575	1,757	1,902
Stream, the Group associate (content services)	1,395	711	
NVision Group, subsidiaries of Sistema (IT consulting)	846	1,083	1,115
MTS Bank, the Group's associate (commission related (income)/expenses)	(406)	413	55
Elavius, a subsidiary of Sistema (transportation services)	399	347	351
MTS Belarus, the Group associate (roaming and interconnect services)	395	278	424
AB Safety, a subsidiary of Sistema (security services)	292	354	344
Jet Air Group, subsidiaries of Sistema (aircraft maintenance)	127		
Other related parties	455	513	423
<b>Total operating expenses incurred on transactions with related parties</b>	<b>5,078</b>	<b>5,456</b>	<b>4,614</b>

Table of Contents

## OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012

(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)

**25. RELATED PARTIES (Continued)****Investing and financing transactions**

During the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 the Group made certain investments in related parties. Respective balances are summarized as follows:

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
<b>Loans to, promissory notes and investments in shares of related parties:</b>		
<b>Short-term investments (Note 7)</b>		
Deposits at MTS Bank		5,081
Mutual investment fund "Reservnyi", managed by DIK, a subsidiary of Sistema		4,154
Sistema Notes due in 2016 (series 04)	534	
Sistema International Funding S.A. Bonds due 2019, a subsidiary of Sistema	42	
Loan receivable from Navigation Information Systems, a subsidiary of Sistema	132	
Loan receivable from Moscow Business Incubator, a subsidiary of Sistema	52	
<b>Total short-term investments in related parties</b>	<b>760</b>	<b>9,235</b>
<b>Other investments (Note 16)</b>		
Promissory notes of Sistema	618	618
Loan receivable from Navigation Information Systems, a subsidiary of Sistema	92	
<b>Total other investments to related parties</b>	<b>710</b>	<b>618</b>
<b>Investments in shares (Note 16)</b>		
Sistema Mass Media, a subsidiary of Sistema	117	117
Other	8	8
<b>Total investments in shares of related parties</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>125</b>

**Open Joint-Stock Company "MTS Bank" ("MTS Bank")** The Group has of loan agreement and maintains certain bank accounts with MTS Bank, an associated company of the Group. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Group's cash position at MTS Bank amounted to RUB 11,687 million and RUB 11,297 million, respectively, including short-term deposits in the amount of RUB 3,482 million and RUB 5,081 million, respectively. Interest accrued on loan receivable, the deposits and cash on current accounts for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 amounted to RUB 654 million, RUB 742 million and RUB 172 million, respectively, and was included as a component of interest income in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income. Interest expense on the funds raised from MTS Bank during the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 amounted to RUB nil, RUB nil and RUB 363 million, respectively,

Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

and was included as a component of interest expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income.

During the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, the Group provided telecommunications and call center services to MTS Bank and recognized related revenues in the amount of RUB 787 million, RUB 378 million and RUB 88 million, respectively.

F-59

---

Table of Contents

**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

**FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012**

**(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)**

**25. RELATED PARTIES (Continued)**

During the year ended December 31, 2014 the Group provided services related to bank cards distribution and granting of consumer credits to MTS Bank customers and recognized the related income in the amount of RUB 541 million in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income. The amount represents agency fees after the cross-fines deduction. During the year ended December 31, 2013 the Group incurred expenses under the same contract in the amount of RUB 331 million which represent the excess of cross-fines over agency fees.

During the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, the Group incurred commission expenses and cash collection fees in the amount of RUB 135 million, RUB 82 million and RUB 55 million, respectively.

**Sistema** In November 2009, the Group accepted a promissory note, issued by Sistema, as repayment of the loan principal and interest accrued to date under an agreement with Sistema-Hals (Note 16). The note is interest free and repayable in 2017. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, the amount receivable of RUB 618 million and RUB 618 million was included in other investments in the accompanying consolidated statements of financial position.

In April 2014 the Group sold a 49% stake in Business-Nedvizhimost to Sistema for a consideration of RUB 3.1 billion to be paid by the end of July 2015 (Note 15). As of December 31, 2014, the accounts receivable in the accompanying consolidated statements of financial position amounted to RUB 3.2 billion. The related interest accrued in the amount of RUB 125 million was included as a component of interest income in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income for the year ended December 31, 2014.

In October 2014 the Group acquired 2,501,350 Sistema Notes due 2016 (series 04) and 1,000 Sistema International Funding S.A. Bonds due in 2019 for RUB 519 million and RUB 32 million, respectively. The acquired bonds were classified as available for sale and accounted for at fair value with changes recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income. For the year ended December 31, 2014, the unrealized gain recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income amounted to RUB 6 million. The interest income accrued for the year ended December 31, 2014 amounted to RUB 9 million and was included as a component of interest income in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income.

**Leader-Invest** In October 2014, the Group sold a building to Leader-Invest, a subsidiary of Sistema, for a cash consideration of RUB 508 million. The disposal was accounted for as a transaction under common control with a RUB 245 million gain recognized directly in equity.

**Disposal group held for sale to related party** In February 2015, the Group sold a 51% stake in Rent Nedvizhimost to Sistema, for RUB 4.3 billion of which RUB 3.8 billion is due before December 31, 2018 and bears interest of 12%-interest p.a. As of December 31, 2014 the Group classified the assets and liabilities of Rent Nedvizhimost as held for sale (Note 10).

**Doveritelnaja Investizionnaja Kompanija ("DIK")** In April and May 2013, the Group invested RUB 4.0 billion in Mutual investment fund "Reservnyi" managed by DIK, a subsidiary of Sistema. The investment was sold in April 2014 for RUB 4,165 million. The realized gain of RUB 165 million was

Table of Contents

**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

**FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012**

**(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)**

**25. RELATED PARTIES (Continued)**

recognized as a component of other expenses (net) in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income.

**Investments in ordinary shares** As of December 31, 2014 and 2013 the Group had several investments in shares of subsidiaries and affiliates of Sistema totaling RUB 125 million and RUB 125 million, respectively, included in other investments in the accompanying consolidated statements of financial position. The most significant investment is a 2.356% stake in Sistema Mass-Media, a subsidiary of Sistema.

**Liabilities to related parties** As of December 31, 2014 the Group held several bills of exchange and loans issued by Sistema Mass-Media in the amount of RUB 867 million. Interest accrued in the amount of RUB 41 million was included in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income for the year ended December 31, 2014.

**Smart Cards Group** During the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, the Group purchased from Smart Cards Group, subsidiaries of Sistema, SIM cards and prepaid phone cards for approximately RUB 267 million, RUB 765 million and RUB 842 million, respectively.

**NVision Group** During the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, the Group acquired from NVision Group, subsidiaries of Sistema, telecommunications equipment, software and billing systems (FORIS) for approximately RUB 9,819 million, RUB 13,394 million, RUB 12,898 million, respectively, and incurred expenses of RUB 846 million, RUB 1,083 million, RUB 1,115 million, respectively, under an IT consulting agreement.

As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, the advances given to NVision Group amounted to RUB 274 million and RUB 496 million, respectively. These amounts were included into property, plant and equipment and intangible assets in the accompanying consolidated statements of financial position.

**26. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY**

**Share capital** The Company's charter capital is composed of 2,066,413,562 ordinary shares of which 1,988,912,130 and 1,988,831,184 were outstanding as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The total shares in treasury stock comprised 77,501,432 and 77,582,378 as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Each ADS represents 2 ordinary shares. As of December 31, 2014, the Group had repurchased 33,997,667 ADSs.



Table of Contents

## OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012

(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)

## 26. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (Continued)

*Noncontrolling interest* The Group's equity was affected by changes in the respective subsidiaries' ownership interests as follows:

	Years ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
<b>Net income attributable to the Group</b>	<b>51,822</b>	<b>79,839</b>	<b>29,642</b>
<b>Transfers from the noncontrolling interest</b>			
Increase in own equity due to acquisition of own shares by MGTS			57
Decrease in own equity due to acquisition of noncontrolling interest in Teleservice	(23)		
<b>Net transfers from the noncontrolling interest</b>	<b>(23)</b>		<b>57</b>
<b>Net income attributable to the Group and transfers from the noncontrolling interest</b>	<b>51,799</b>	<b>79,839</b>	<b>29,699</b>

*Accumulated other comprehensive loss* The following table represents changes in the balances of accumulated other comprehensive loss by components for the year ended December 31, 2014 and 2013:

	Currency translation adjustment	Unrealized (gains) / losses on derivatives	Unrecognized actuarial (gains) / losses	Accumulated other comprehensive (income) / loss
<b>Balance at January 1, 2013</b>	<b>13,224</b>	<b>(21)</b>	<b>473</b>	<b>13,676</b>
Other comprehensive loss / (income)	6,657	(861)		5,796
Less: tax expense		172		172
Amounts reclassified to net income	(3,682) <sup>(1)</sup>	(945)	(220)	(4,847)
Less: tax expense		189	44	233
<b>Net other comprehensive loss / (income)</b>	<b>2,975</b>	<b>(1,445)</b>	<b>(176)</b>	<b>1,354</b>
<b>Balance at December 31, 2013</b>	<b>16,199</b>	<b>(1,466)</b>	<b>297</b>	<b>15,030</b>
Other comprehensive income	(5,925)	(17,363)	(82)	(23,370)
Less: tax expense		2,894	16	2,910
Amounts reclassified to net income		14,002	70	14,072
Less: tax expense		(2,334)	(14)	(2,348)
<b>Net other comprehensive loss / (income)</b>	<b>(5,925)</b>	<b>(2,801)</b>	<b>(10)</b>	<b>(8,736)</b>
<b>Balance as of December 31, 2014</b>	<b>10,274</b>	<b>(4,267)</b>	<b>287</b>	<b>6,294</b>

Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

- (1) The currency translation adjustment of RUB 3,682 million included as income from discontinued operations in consolidated statement of operations and comprehensive income.

**Dividends** In 2013, the Board of Directors approved a dividend policy, whereby the Group shall aim to make minimum dividend distribution payments to shareholders for the calendar years 2013-2015 in the amount of at least 75% of free cash flow for the relevant financial period but not less than RUB 40.0 billion per year. Free cash flow is defined by cash flows from operating activities less cash

F-62

---

Table of Contents**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012****(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)****26. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (Continued)**

paid (received) for acquisition or disposal of property, plant and equipment, intangible assets and other adjustments.

The dividend can vary depending on a number of factors, including the outlook for earnings growth, capital expenditure requirements, cash flows from operations, potential acquisition opportunities, as well as the Group's debt position.

The Group may take decisions on dividend payout based not only on financial year-end results but also based on interim results for three, six or nine months of the fiscal year. Annual dividend payments, if any, must be recommended by the Board of Directors and approved by the shareholders.

In accordance with Russian laws, earnings available for dividends are limited to profits determined under Russian statutory accounting regulations, denominated in Russian Rubles, after certain deductions. The net income of MTS OJSC for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 that is distributable under Russian legislation totaled RUB 28,373 million, RUB 55,999 million and RUB 42,949 million, respectively.

The following table summarizes the Group's declared cash dividends in 2014, 2013 and 2012 years:

	<b>2014</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>
Dividends declared (including dividends on treasury shares of 1,922 and 1,538 and 1,140, respectively)	51,247	40,956	30,397
Dividends, RUB per ADS	49.60	39.64	29.42
Dividends, RUB per share	24.80	19.82	14.71

As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, dividends payable were RUB 19.5 million and RUB 57.0 million, respectively.

**MGTS' preferred stock** MGTS, a subsidiary of MTS, had 15,574,492 preferred shares outstanding as of December 31, 2014 and 2013.

MGTS' preferred shares carry guaranteed non-cumulative dividend rights amounting to the higher of (a) 10% of MGTS' net profit as determined under Russian accounting regulations and (b) the dividends paid on common shares. No dividends may be declared on common shares before dividends on preferred shares are declared. If the preferred dividend is not paid in full in any year the preferred shares also obtain voting rights, which will lapse after the first payment of the dividend in full. Otherwise, preferred shares carry no voting rights except on resolutions regarding liquidation or reorganization of MGTS and changes / amendments to MGTS' charter restricting the rights of holders of preferred shares. Such resolutions require the approval of 75% of the preferred shareholders. In the event of liquidation, dividends to preferred shareholders that have been declared but not paid have priority over ordinary shareholders.

In June 2014 at MGTS' annual shareholders meeting the decision was made to pay dividends on preferred and common shares for 2013 in the amounts of RUB 1,216 million and RUB 1,217 million, respectively.

Table of Contents**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012****(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)****26. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (Continued)**

In June 2013 and 2012, at MGTS' annual shareholders meeting the decision was made not to pay dividends on preferred shares for 2012 and 2011. Therefore the holders of preferred shares obtained voting rights.

As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, dividends payable were RUB 17.8 million and RUB 48.6 million, respectively.

**27. REDEEMABLE NONCONTROLLING INTEREST**

In September 2007, the Group acquired an 80% stake in International Cell Holding Ltd, the 100% indirect owner of K-Telecom, Armenia's mobile phone operator, and signed a call and put option agreement to acquire the remaining 20% stake. In December 2010, the Group signed an amendment to the put and call option agreement. According to the amended option agreement, the price for the remaining 20% stake option will be determined by an independent investment bank subject to a cap of EUR 200 million. The put option can be exercised during the period from the next business day following the date of settlement of all liabilities under the loan agreement (Note 16) up to December 31, 2016. The call option can be exercised during the period from July 1, 2010 up to December 31, 2016. If both the call notice and the put notice are served on the same day then the put notice shall be deemed exercised in priority to the call notice. The noncontrolling interest was measured at fair value using a discounted cash flow technique and amounted to RUB 3,192 million and RUB 2,932 million as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively (Note 22). The fair value was determined based on unobservable inputs ("Level 3" of the hierarchy established by the U.S. GAAP guidance).

**28. GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES**

General and administrative expenses for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 comprised the following:

	Years ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Salaries and social contributions	49,113	45,790	40,486
Rent	16,220	14,677	13,334
General and administrative	8,057	7,955	8,016
Repair and maintenance	6,875	6,217	6,364
Taxes other than income	5,913	6,374	5,422
Consulting expenses	2,192	1,569	1,689
Billing and data processing	2,070	2,035	1,726
Inventory obsolescence	357	660	759
Insurance	174	181	181
<b>Total general and administrative expenses</b>	<b>90,971</b>	<b>85,458</b>	<b>77,977</b>

Table of Contents

**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

**FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012**

**(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)**

**29. SEGMENT INFORMATION**

The Group identified the following reportable segments:

**Russia convergent:** represents the results of mobile and fixed line operations, which encompasses services rendered to customers across regions of Russia, including voice and data services, transmission, broadband, pay-TV and various value-added services.

**Moscow fixed line:** represents the results of fixed line operations carried out in Moscow by the Group's subsidiary MGTS. MGTS is the only licensed PSTN operator in Moscow and is considered a natural monopoly under Russian antimonopoly regulations. Consequently, substantial part of services provided by MGTS are subject to governmental regulation.

**Ukraine:** represents the results of mobile and fixed line operations carried out across multiple regions of Ukraine.

The segments are organized and managed separately based on the nature of products and services, regulatory environments and geographic areas.

The "Other" category does not constitute a reportable segment. It includes the results of a number of other operating segments that do not meet the quantitative thresholds for separate reporting, such as Armenia, Uzbekistan, Turkmenistan, Sputnikovoe TV and the headquarters.

Other unallocated expenses such as interest (income) / expense, equity in net income of associates, other (income) / expenses and currency exchange and transaction loss / (gain) are shown for purposes of reconciling the Group's segment measure, segment net operating income, to the Group's consolidated total for each of the periods presented.

The intercompany eliminations presented below primarily consist of sales transactions between segments conducted under the normal course of operations.

In 2014, the Group ceased presenting Russia convergent and Moscow fixed line as one reporting segment. Management has determined that disaggregation may help users of the financial statements better understand the Group's future performance. Related financial information has, therefore, been retrospectively restated.

Table of Contents**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012****(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)****29. SEGMENT INFORMATION (Continued)**

Financial information by reportable segment is presented below:

	<b>Years ended December 31,</b>		
	<b>2014</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>
<b>Net operating revenues from external customers:</b>			
Russia convergent	336,099	317,437	303,478
Moscow fixed line	35,938	35,493	33,293
Ukraine	29,064	37,665	36,118
Other	9,657	7,848	5,351
<b>Total net operating revenues from external customers</b>	<b>410,758</b>	<b>398,443</b>	<b>378,240</b>
Including revenue from mobile services	352,964	339,883	322,517
Including revenue from fixed line services	57,794	58,560	55,723
<b>Intersegment operating revenues:</b>			
Russia convergent	4,633	3,638	2,513
Moscow fixed line	4,886	4,831	4,563
Ukraine	3,722	2,067	1,604
Other	1,541	1,281	1,031
<b>Total intersegment operating revenues</b>	<b>14,782</b>	<b>11,817</b>	<b>9,711</b>
<b>Depreciation and amortization expense:</b>			
Russia convergent	57,773	57,655	51,994
Moscow fixed line	7,609	5,182	4,251
Ukraine	6,780	8,896	9,571
Other	2,619	1,588	2,104
Intercompany eliminations	(71)	(68)	(10)
<b>Total depreciation and amortization expense</b>	<b>74,710</b>	<b>73,253</b>	<b>67,910</b>
<b>Segment net operating income:</b>			
Russia convergent	85,964	79,199	76,832
Moscow fixed line	13,601	15,678	14,938
Ukraine	3,390	11,745	9,647
Other	(559)	(4,810)	(7,625)
Intercompany eliminations	(47)	(54)	(8)

Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

**Segment net operating income** **102,349** **101,758** **93,794**

Net operating income	102,349	101,758	93,794
Currency exchange and transaction loss / (gain)	18,024	5,473	(3,952)
Interest income	(4,519)	(2,793)	(2,588)
Interest expense	16,453	15,498	17,673
Equity in net loss / (income) of associates	2,880	(2,472)	(869)
Other expense / (income), net	771	(10,636)	688
<b>Income from continuing operations before provision for income taxes</b>	<b>68,740</b>	<b>96,688</b>	<b>82,842</b>

F-66

---

Table of Contents

## OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012

(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)

## 29. SEGMENT INFORMATION (Continued)

	Years ended December, 31	
	2014	2013
Additions to long-lived assets:		
Russia convergent	86,456	55,939
Moscow fixed line	13,649	14,121
Ukraine	5,103	8,856
Other	8,234	1,951
<b>Total additions to long-lived assets</b>	<b>113,442</b>	<b>80,867</b>

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
Long-lived assets <sup>(1)</sup> :		
Russia convergent	276,896	246,422
Moscow fixed line	64,992	61,812
Ukraine	18,546	24,107
Other	37,825	12,648
<b>Total long-lived assets</b>	<b>398,259</b>	<b>344,989</b>

Total assets:		
Russia convergent	439,950	355,203
Moscow fixed line	78,468	75,929
Ukraine	42,988	38,586
Other	47,521	15,806
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>608,927</b>	<b>485,524</b>

---

(1) Comprises of property, plant and equipment, licenses, goodwill and other intangible assets.



## Edgar Filing: MOBILE TELESYSTEMS OJSC - Form 20-F

Financial information by geographic areas is presented below:

	Years ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Net operating revenues from external customers:			
Russia	372,080	352,930	336,771
Other	38,678	45,513	41,469
<b>Total net operating revenues from external customers</b>	<b>410,758</b>	<b>398,443</b>	<b>378,240</b>

F-67

---

Table of Contents

## OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012

(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)

## 29. SEGMENT INFORMATION (Continued)

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
Long-lived assets:		
Russia	347,994	308,336
Other	50,265	36,653
<b>Total long-lived assets</b>	<b>398,259</b>	<b>344,989</b>

Revenues from external customers and long-lived assets are allocated to individual countries by location of operations.

None of the MTS Group's customers exceeds 10% of consolidated revenue.

## 30. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

**Capital commitments** As of December 31, 2014, the Group had entered into purchase agreements of approximately RUB 41,798 million to acquire property, plant and equipment, intangible assets and costs related thereto.

**Operating leases** The Group has entered into non-cancellable agreements to lease space for telecommunications equipment, offices and transmission channels, which expire in various years up to 2064. Rental expenses under the operating leases of RUB 16,220 million, RUB 14,677 million and RUB 13,334 million for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively, are included in general and administrative expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income. Rental expenses under the operating leases of RUB 7,860 million, RUB 7,583 million and RUB 7,207 million for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively, are included in cost of services in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income. Future minimum lease payments due under these leases for the five years ending December 31, 2019 and thereafter are as follows:

Payments due in the years ended December 31,	
2015	5,172
2016	691
2017	384
2018	269
2019	222
Thereafter	1,700
<b>Total</b>	<b>8,438</b>

**Taxation** Russia and other CIS countries currently have a number of laws related to various taxes imposed by both federal and regional governmental authorities. Applicable taxes include VAT, corporate income tax (profits tax), a number of turnover-based taxes, and payroll (social) taxes. Laws related to these taxes have not been in force for significant periods, in contrast to more developed market economies;

therefore, the government's implementation of these regulations is often

F-68

---

Table of Contents

**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

**FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012**

**(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)**

**30. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Continued)**

inconsistent or nonexistent. Accordingly, few precedents with regard to tax rulings have been established. Tax declarations, together with other legal compliance areas (for example, customs and currency control matters), are subject to review and investigation by a number of authorities, which are enabled by law to impose extremely severe fines, penalties and interest charges. These facts create tax risks in Russia and the CIS countries that are more significant than those typically found in countries with more developed tax systems.

Generally, according to Russian and Ukrainian tax legislation, tax declarations remain open and subject to inspection for a period of three years following the tax year. As of December 31, 2014, tax declarations of MTS OJSC and other subsidiaries in Russia and Ukraine for the preceding three fiscal years were open for further review. In December 2014 tax authorities commenced review of tax declarations of MTS OJSC and Comstar-Regions CJSC for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012.

In December 2010, the Russian tax authorities completed a tax audit of MTS OJSC for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2008. Based on the results of this audit, the Russian tax authorities determined that RUB 353.9 million in additional taxes, penalties and fines were payable by the Group. The resolution did not come into force as the Group prepared and filed a petition with the Federal Tax Service to declare the tax authorities' resolution to be invalid. In September 2011, the Federal Tax Service partially satisfied the Group's petition, decreasing the amount of additional taxes, penalties and fines payable by the Group by RUB 173.9 million. The Group filed an appeal for RUB 84.2 million of the remaining RUB 180.0 million with the Moscow Arbitrate Court. In August 2013, the Moscow Arbitrate Court issued a ruling to partly grant the Group's claim, which was subsequently confirmed by the Arbitrate Appeal Court in November 2013. However, the Group appealed the decision of the Arbitrate Appeal Court in the Federal Arbitrate Court of Moscow District, which issued a ruling to partly grant the Group's claim in March 2014. No further actions were taken by the Group in respect of remaining RUB 137.1 million.

In June 2013, the Russian tax authorities completed a tax audit of MTS OJSC for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2010 and 2011. Based on the results of this audit, the Russian tax authorities determined that RUB 253.4 million in additional taxes, penalties and fines were payable by the Group. The claim was accrued in full amount in the consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2013, including RUB 83.0 million in provision for income taxes and RUB 170.4 million in other operating expense and final amounts due were paid in January 2014. In December 2013, the Group appealed the resolution of this assessment to the Federal Tax Service. The Federal Tax Service refused to grant the appeal. The Group did not submit further appeals.

The Group purchases supplemental software from foreign suppliers of telecommunications equipment in the ordinary course of business, which is subject to customs regulation. In addition pricing of revenue and expenses between each of the Group's subsidiaries and various discounts and bonuses to the Group's subscribers in the course of performing its marketing activities may be subject to transfer pricing rules. Management believes that it has adequately provided for tax and customs liabilities in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, the provision accrued for taxes other than income tax and customs settlements amounted to

Table of Contents

**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

**FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012**

**(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)**

**30. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Continued)**

RUB 2,999 million and RUB 2,278 million, respectively, and was included in accrued liabilities in the accompanying consolidated statements of financial position. In addition, the accrual for unrecognized income tax benefits, potential penalties and interest recorded in accordance with FASB ASC 740, Income Taxes, totaled RUB 342 million and RUB 615 million as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and was included in income tax payable in the accompanying consolidated statements of financial position. However, the risk remains that the relevant tax and customs authorities could take differing positions with regard to interpretive issues and the effect could be significant. The Federal Customs Service is currently reviewing MTS OJSC activities, which are subject to customs regulations, for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012.

**Licenses** In July 2012, the Federal Service for Supervision in the Area of Communications, Information Technologies and Mass Media allocated MTS the necessary license and frequencies to provide LTE telecommunication services in Russia. Under the terms and conditions of the LTE license, the Group is obligated to fully deploy LTE networks within seven years, commencing from January 1, 2013, and deliver LTE services in each population center with over 50,000 inhabitants in Russia by 2019. Also, the Group is obligated to invest at least RUB 15 billion annually toward the LTE roll-out until the network is fully deployed. Management believes that as of December 31, 2014 the Group is in compliance with these conditions.

In May 2007, the Federal Service for Supervision in the Area of Communications, Information Technologies and Mass Media awarded MTS a license to provide 3G services in Russia. The 3G license was granted subject to certain capital and other commitments. Management believes that as of December 31, 2014 the Group complied with these conditions.

**Bitel** In December 2005, MTS Finance acquired a 51.0% stake in Tarino Limited ("Tarino"), from Nomihold Securities Inc. ("Nomihold"), for RUB 4,322 million (\$150.0 million at exchange rate for December 2005) in cash based on the belief that Tarino was at that time the indirect owner, through its wholly owned subsidiaries, of Bitel LLC ("Bitel"), a Kyrgyz company holding a GSM 900/1800 license for the entire territory of Kyrgyzstan.

Following the purchase of the 51.0% stake, MTS Finance entered into a put and call option agreement with Nomihold for the remaining 49.0% interest in Tarino shares and a proportional interest in Bitel shares ("Option Shares"). The call option was exercisable by MTS Finance from November 22, 2005 to November 17, 2006, and the put option was exercisable by Nomihold from November 18, 2006 to December 8, 2006. The call and put option price was RUB 4,898 million (\$170.0 million at exchange rate for December 2005).

Following a decision of the Kyrgyz Supreme Court on December 15, 2005, Bitel's corporate offices were seized by a third party. As the Group did not regain operational control over Bitel's operations in 2005, it accounted for its 51.0% investment in Bitel at cost as at December 31, 2005. The Group appealed the decision of the Kyrgyz Supreme Court in 2006, but the court did not act within the time period permitted for appeal. The Group subsequently sought the review of this dispute over the ownership of Bitel by the Prosecutor General of Kyrgyzstan to determine whether further investigation could be undertaken by the Kyrgyz authorities.

Table of Contents

**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

**FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012**

**(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)**

**30. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Continued)**

In January 2007, the Prosecutor General of Kyrgyzstan informed the Group that there were no grounds for involvement by the Prosecutor General's office in the dispute and that no legal basis existed for the Group to appeal the decision of the Kyrgyz Supreme Court. Consequently, the Group wrote off the costs relating to the purchase of the 51.0% stake in Bitel, which was reflected in its annual consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2006. Furthermore, with the impairment of the underlying asset, a liability of RUB 4,476 million (\$170.0 million as of December 31, 2006) was recorded with an associated charge to non-operating expenses.

In November 2006, MTS Finance received a letter from Nomihold purporting to exercise the put option and sell the Option Shares for RUB 4,526 million (\$170.0 million at exchange rate for November 2006) to MTS Finance. In January 2007, Nomihold commenced an arbitration proceeding against MTS Finance in the London Court of International Arbitration ("LCIA") in order to compel MTS Finance to purchase the Option Shares. Nomihold sought specific performance of the put option, unspecified monetary damages, interest, and costs. In January 2011, LCIA made an award in favor of Nomihold satisfying Nomihold's specific performance request and ordered MTS Finance to pay to Nomihold the award ("Award") including RUB 5,115 million (\$170.0 million at exchange rate for January 2011) for the Option Shares and RUB 178 million (\$5.9 million at exchange rate for January 2011) in damages, bearing interest until Award is satisfied. In addition to the RUB 4,476 million (\$170.0 million as of December 31, 2006) liability related to this case and accrued in the year ended December 31, 2006, the Group recorded an additional loss in the amount of RUB 224 million (\$7.2 million at exchange rate for the year ended December 31, 2012), RUB 94 million (\$3.2 million at exchange rate for the year ended December 31, 2011) and RUB 1,239 million (\$40.8 million at exchange rate for the year ended December 31, 2010) in the consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively, representing damages, other costs and interest accrued on the awarded sums. The total liability accrued amounted to RUB 7,236 million (\$221 million as of June 22, 2013).

In June 2013, an agreement was reached between Altimo, Altimo Holdings, MTS OJSC, MTS Finance, Nomihold and other associated parties to settle all disputes that have arisen from investments in Bitel ("the Agreement"). The Agreement covers matters involving a number of parties and legal proceedings, including those in the Isle of Man, London, Luxembourg and other jurisdictions. Pursuant to the Agreement all proceedings between the parties and their associated parties have been discontinued and waived, and MTS OJSC received a total payment of RUB 4,909 million (\$150 million at exchange rates at the dates of payments) ("Settlement Payment"). All parties made the necessary submissions to the respective courts and tribunals to document the settlement, which, among other actions, fully discharged any and all outstanding obligations under the Award rendered by LCIA against MTS Finance in January 2011, as well as settled the tripartite LCIA arbitration between MTS OJSC, MTS Finance and Nomihold and a tort action filed by Nomihold against MTS OJSC in the English Courts.

The Group released provision of RUB 7,236 million (\$221 million), comprising RUB 5,566 million (\$170 million) set by LCIA to exercise the put option for acquisition of the remaining 49% stake in Bitel plus RUB 1,670 million (\$51 million) in damages, interest and other costs that had been provided for in relation to the dispute with Nomihold. The release of the provision was recognized as

Table of Contents

**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

**FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012**

**(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)**

**30. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Continued)**

non-operating income in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income for the year ended December 31, 2013, being the same line item through which the initial charge was taken.

The Group recognized a gain of RUB 4,911 million (\$150 million) with respect to the Settlement Payment in the consolidated statement of operations and comprehensive income for the year ended December 31, 2013, of which RUB 1,060 million (\$32.4 million) was recognized as operating income, and RUB 3,851 million (\$117.6 million) as non-operating income on a pro-rata basis with respect to the expenses previously incurred and recognized in relation to the Bitel dispute.

**Other litigation** In the ordinary course of business, the Group is a party to various legal, tax and customs proceedings, and subject to claims, certain of which relate to developing markets and evolving fiscal and regulatory environments in which MTS operates. Management believes that the Group's liability, if any, in all such pending litigation, other legal proceeding or other matters will not have a material effect upon its financial condition, results of operations or liquidity of the Group.

**Potential adverse effects of economic instability and sanctions** In 2014 political and economic sanctions were introduced by the EU, US and other countries targeting certain Russian economic sectors. There is significant uncertainty regarding the extent and timing of further sanctions. Also, Russian Ruble has materially depreciated against the U.S. Dollar and Euro and ruble interest rates have increased significantly after the Central Bank of Russia raised its key rate to 17%.

These factors resulted in a higher cost of capital, increased inflation and uncertainty regarding further economic growth, which could have a negative impact on the Group's business including ability to obtain financing on commercially reasonable terms. Management believes it is taking the appropriate measures to support the sustainability of the Group's business in the current circumstances. The Group has a hedging policy in place, which partly mitigated variability of cash outflows, denominated in foreign currencies.

**Investigations into former operations in Uzbekistan** In March 2014, the Group received requests for the provision of information from the United States Securities and Exchange Commission and the United States Department of Justice relating to an investigation of the Group's former subsidiary in Uzbekistan (Note 4). The Company cannot predict the outcome of the investigations, including any fines or penalties that may be imposed, and such fines or penalties could be significant.

**31. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS**

**Disposal of Intellect Telecom** In January 2015, the Group sold its investment in Intellect Telecom to Sistema for a cash consideration of RUB 344 million.

**Disposal of Rent-Nedvizhimost** In February 2015, the Group sold 51% stake in Rent-Nedvizhimost to Sistema for RUB 4.3 billion. The Group classified the associated assets and liabilities as "held for sale" as of December 31, 2014 (Note 10).

**Acquisition of Navigation Information Systems** In January 2015, the Group acquired 89.53% of Navigation Information Systems from Sistema for RUB 44 million. NIS is the leading systems

Table of Contents

**OJSC MOBILE TELESYSTEMS AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

**FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2013 AND 2012**

**(Amounts in millions of Russian Rubles, unless otherwise stated)**

**31. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS (Continued)**

integrator for GLONASS satellite projects. The acquisition allows the Group to develop its proprietary technological platform for machine-to-machine solutions.

**Acquisition of 3G license in Ukraine** In February 2015, MTS-Ukraine won a tender to acquire a nationwide license for the provision of 3G telecommunications services. The license with the cost of UAH 2,715 million (RUB 6,015 million at the acquisition date) has been granted for 15 years. In accordance with the terms of the license MTS-Ukraine is required to launch provision of 3G services in all of the regional centers across Ukraine within 18 months upon allocation of the license.

**Insolvency of Kyivska Rus Bank in Ukraine** On March 19, 2015, The National Bank of Ukraine adopted a resolution declaring Kyivska Rus Bank to be insolvent. As of December 31, 2014, the Group held RUB 1,170 million in deposits in the bank. Management determined that this announcement did not provide evidence related to conditions existing as of December 31, 2014, and therefore consider the announcement to be a nonrecognized subsequent event.